

# The Church.

"HER FOUNDATIONS ARE UPON THE HOLY HILLS."

STAND YE IN THE WAYS, AND SEE, AND ASK FOR THE OLD PATHS, WHERE IS THE GOOD WAY, AND WALK THEREIN, AND YE SHALL FIND REST FOR YOUR SOULS.—JEREMIAH VI. 16.

TORONTO, CANADA, SATURDAY, APRIL 17, 1841.

[NUMBER 41.]

VOLUME IV.]

## Poetry.

### A FATHER'S DREAM.

There was a lovely little flower,  
I fondly hoped to rear;  
I saw it at the matin hour,  
It was expanding here.

I looked again—my flower was gone;  
I knew it must be dead;  
And put a robe of sackcloth on,  
Strewed ashes on my head,  
And sat me down to wail and weep.  
That thus my flower had died;  
And in my sorrow fell asleep—

There stood One by my side,  
Who told me of my lovely flower,  
And showed me where it grew,  
Beyond the scorching summer's power,  
Where winter never blew;  
And told me he had taken it  
To that more genial sphere,  
Because, in truth, it was not fit,  
That it should wither there;  
And said, "It was too sweet a thing  
To bloom on earth for me,  
For waters from a purer spring,  
Around its root must be;  
And dews, which always fall in heaven,  
But never here below,  
Must wash its leaves, both morn and even,  
Or it would never grow;  
And it must have a tender care,  
A true love than thine,"  
He pointed unto Heaven, "And there,  
He said, "a hand Divine  
Shall tend, and train thy flower for thee,"  
Till it is fully grown;

Then, come to Heaven! and it shall be  
Eternally thine own."

And then he went away. My heart  
Was calm and reconciled:  
But gently yearning to depart  
Unto the realms of light and day,  
And join my blessed child:  
And thinking of my pleasant dream,  
In happy sleep I sung:  
Both joy and grief were in my theme,  
And both were on my tongue.  
It was not quite a gloomy strain,  
Nor quite a merry glee;  
But a sweet mingling of the twain  
In one deep melody.

I woke in tears—which soon were dry,  
And knelt me down to pray;  
And then I laid my ashes by;  
And flung my weeds away.

British Magazine.

### THE NATIONAL EDUCATION SYSTEM IN IRELAND.

BY CHARLOTTE ELIZABETH.

Alas! the bright picture of Christian zeal and diligence in Newry is deeply shadowed with that ominous, unweave appendage—the new National Education system. I have not, as yet, fallen in with a single individual of either sex, from Waterford to Newry, who does not denounce it as a curse to the land. In Dublin I saw the immense building, or rather palace, that they are preparing for the Central Board; but I had neither leisure nor inclination to turn my attention from better things to that mischievous institution. In Newry the plan is vigorously pursued, under the special patronage of priests and nuns; and a few plain facts in reference to this place may give you an idea of the reasonableness of the hope indulged by some, that Popery will be undermined by such a system. You know the ostensible purpose of these schools is to provide a strictly neutral ground, on which the children of both parties can meet, without any danger of either being influenced in a way contrary to the wishes of their parents. The necessity for such a plan is stated to have arisen from the objections raised by the poor people against having their little ones taught to read the word of God; and the notable device agreed upon was, that religious instruction of all descriptions should be excluded from the schools, except at particular hours, on a stated day in the week, when a separation was to be carefully made, the children of the Romanists to be taught according to the doctrines of Popery by their peculiar guides, and those of Protestants allowed to receive Scriptural instruction from any Clergymen who might choose to give it. Well, this looked plausible in the eyes of that class called liberal, and even deceived some really good people. How do you suppose it is carried into effect here? The National School for girls adjoins the convent,—the usual entrance being through that building, with another door on a line with the nunnery hall door, and within its precincts. The teachers are all nuns habited in the most remarkable and extreme dress of a monastic order, robes, rosaries, and all the awful paraphernalia of the black sisters. No Protestant visitor can enter this 'public' school, without being previously examined, and kept waiting sufficiently long to put aside objectionable books; but in spite of every precaution it has been ascertained, and proved too upon oath, that at all hours bigoted catechisms of the Romish Church are in use, being regularly taught by the nuns; and books of the most pernicious tendency have been found in the hands of the children. Attempts are continually made to induce Protestant pupils to join in these exercises; by introducing them during the period avowedly set apart for secular study; and the consequence is that all their parents who do not value a little paltry and most miserably inferior education for their children before the salvation of their souls, are obliged to withdraw them. Consequently the national grants, with all the vast and costly machinery of this deceitful system, are employed in riveting the fetters of spiritual bondage on these poor little creatures by the hands of male and female ecclesiastics of the Romish creed. What renders the whole thing most inexcusable is, that by a rule of the board, the regular daily teachers must belong to the laity, while here, as in Galway, and innumerable other places, professed nuns are the sole and exclusive conductors of the whole business of the girl's schools; as monks, regularly habited, and belonging to the various orders, Dominican, Franciscan, Carmelite, black, grey, and so forth, are of the boys. It is common to have a small sliding panel in the doors, which are kept locked: when a visitor knocks, the master partially withdraws the slide, takes a survey, asks questions, then fastens his panel, and puts away whatever books he does not wish to expose to the prying gaze of a heretic, before the door is opened. I will give you an extract from a book studied by the children in the nuns' National School here in Newry, that you may duly appreciate the 'useful knowledge'

instilled into the minds of the pupils, and admire the strict adherence of the Board to its first great principles of total abstinence from all that can offend the consciences of any class. Here it is—the work is intitled 'Indulgences granted by the sovereign Pontiffs to the faithful who perform the devotions and pious works prescribed.' Printed by and for 'the Catholic Book Society,' and it was found among the books for united instruction—that is, for instruction totally unconnected with any thing religious, during the hours when, on the faith of this exclusion of all that could bias the minds of the children either way, all are mingled together. As a specimen of the valuable information contained in the volume, and its freedom from all obnoxious subjects, take the following: 'By a plenary indulgence we gain the remission of all the punishment which remains due to sins forgiven, provided we have the proper dispositions, and comply with the conditions required.' These conditions are thus explained, 'It is enjoined to visit a church, and pray according to the pious intentions of the sovereign Pontiff.' These intentions are again described a little farther on: 'the intentions of the Pope are generally these; the exaltation of the Catholic Church, the propagation of our holy faith, peace and concord among Christian Kings and Princes, and the extirpation of heresy.' Now not to mention the importance of communicating such knowledge to the Protestant children; what think you of a plan that supplies the monks and nuns with means to diffuse it among the little ones of their own flock? The concluding expression too, conveys an early lesson of fearful import: the lower order of the Irish know of no other mode of extirpating heresy than by draining it out with the life blood from Protestant veins. It was the war-cry of the sacerdotal warriors, who in 1798 led their people to the attack; and whatever spiritual meaning it may be intended to convey to the minds of the pupils, the idea with which they are certain to connect it is that of slaughtering their fellow creatures.

Another instance of the power enjoyed and exercised by the inferior officials of this Board appears in the fact that, although the exclusion of religious instruction, except on the appointed day, is publicly boasted of by its advocates as the one all-sufficient guarantee against the communication of any thing to the children which their parents would not approve, in this very school the nuns possess a distinct permission in the Inspector's hand-writing, for imparting religious instruction every day. The excuse offered for this, is that no Protestant children attend the school; which again proves that the public money is withdrawn from scriptural institutions, where all denominations alike drink at the pure fountain of truth, unadulterated by man's inventions, to be placed at the disposal of those whose existence as a church and community depends on their keeping the people in ignorance and error: and who do actually employ it for that purpose, to the exclusion of the offspring of a loyal Protestant population. Do you not suppose it must be a startling thing to those who have had such fearful experience of the temper of Popery towards themselves, to send their little ones within the very walls of a convent, to be taught exclusively by females habited in so strangely imposing a manner, who firmly believe that all must be eternally lost who do not embrace the delusions of popery? I have alluded to the dress—we are all influenced by externals, children especially so, and you may imagine the effect likely to be produced on the mind of a little ignorant timid creature, by the appearance of a teacher, whose sedate severe aspect, and stiff practised solemnity of manner are further enhanced by the following garb:—A loose robe, with a flowing train, formed of the blackest serge; the bust being enveloped in a peculiar wrapper of white cotton, somewhat between a shawl and a tippet. A cap or hood close, and so drawn down on the sides as to perform the same office as blinkers to a horse; while the small portion of face thus left open to view is farther curtailed by an enormous neck-cloth, covering the chin, and meeting the aforesaid wrapper. In fact, nothing can approach nearer to the grim effect of old-fashioned grave-clothes. Several of these apparitions, each with an hour-glass in her hand, a black rosary and crucifix depending from the leatheren girdle that confines her waist, and a black riband beside it, with an image of the virgin and child, are to be seen daily in the school-house, the sole teachers and managers, moving up and down among the awe-stricken little ones, and bringing all these striking externals to bear upon the doctrines which they inculcate, and of which you have a sample in the foregoing extract. Such is my solicitude for the deliverance of those poor Irish children of the Romish persuasion from the deep and fatal darkness surrounding them, that I would cheerfully relinquish every shilling of the national grant to their service alone, trusting to private liberality for the means of educating the Protestant class. But is it not frightful to see the latter deluded, except so far as the watchfulness of their parents may keep them from these schools, into sharing the deadly poison thus administered to the minds of the poor little Romanists? It will be a terrible thing for England when in her skirts is found the blood of the souls of these poor innocents. What a strikingly applicable passage is that to which I allude, Jer. ii. 34: "I have not found it by secret search but upon all these." This national board plan is not a sin of mere passive connivance, where abuses may creep in unprovided against, because unexpected: it is a sin of which the government vaunts, an offence in which the perpetrators glory, a confederacy deliberately entered into with popery and infidelity, to secure their present possessions against the intrusive claims of the Lord Jesus Christ.—He comes to seek and to save his lost sheep: they build an inclosure, shut in the poor victims, and place a guard of wolves to watch their fold. I could not bear the spectacle, had I not that glorious promise ever before me, given by Him who most assuredly will fulfil every title of his own word. Let earth and hell combine; let all the enemies of Christ unite their efforts, and some of his friends abandon their Master's cause, to bless that which he has cursed, and to build up that which he has sworn shall fall; yet can they not avert the coming hour, when he who has spoken will also make it good. "I will seek that which was lost, and bring again that which was driven away, and will bind up that which was broken, and will strengthen that which was sick, but I will destroy the fat and the strong; I will feed them with judgment." That thirty-fourth chapter of Ezekiel will yet be felt in all its awful applicability, by those who so justly incur the rebuke, "Seemeth it a small thing unto you, to have eaten up the good pasture, but ye must tread down with your feet the residue of your pastures, and to have drunk of the deep waters, but you must foul the residue with your feet?"

## RELIGIOUS JOURNALISM.

Under this head will be found on our last page an article from Blackwood, which we have copied from *The Church*, (a paper which often lays under similar obligations,) and which contains suggestions that have long seemed to us worthy of consideration. It is easy for monks and ascetics to find reasons, and for querulous objectors to multiply complaints without reason, against the use of journals and periodicals as vehicles of religious intelligence and discussion. The question, however, ought not to be considered abstractly, but in relation to the actual temper, customs, and idiosyncrasy of the age. It may be true that in past ages and in the absence of many now prevalent means of popular religious excitement, men were more given than at present, to meditation, prayer, obedience and the substantial duties of the Christian life. But this, if true, is foreign to the purpose; for we are not called upon, as practical men, to discuss the relative merits of different ages, but to say whether we shall or shall not adopt and make available to the Church a species of instrumentality which belongs to the age in which we live. Beads and crosses were very little things, and the Reformers were very great men; and as theirs was not the age in which the lion and the lamb could consort together, it was to be expected that forms and ceremonies instead of being enlivened and spiritualized, should be run down and discarded. But no men in some ages, and few men in any age, are worthy to become reformers: the majority of us must take the age as it is, and use the machinery already furnished to our hands.

Modern sermonizing has been a growth, and, in its redundancy, an execrable of the Reformation. "I well remember," says good old Jackson, (speaking of "those times and those dioceses wherein there were then scarce tolerable preachers besides the prebendaries of the Cathedral Clergy, under whose tuition in a manner the rest of the clergy were?") "and I cannot but remember with joy of heart, that the synods of that diocese wherein I was born, did constantly examine the licensed readers how they had profited in learning by their exercises, which they did as duly exhibited unto the Chancellor, Archdeacon, &c., as they did their orders or their fees. Such as had profited well, were licensed to preach once a month, or once quarter, having certain books appointed from whose doctrine they should not swerve, but for the most part translate." "But," he presently adds, "since the liberty of prophesying was taken up, \* \* \* things have gone so cross and backward in our church, that I cannot call the history for these forty years more to mind, or express my observations upon it, but with a bleeding heart." What then would Jackson, or the English Reformers of whose views on the subject he is in the above passage a witness, have said to every deacon in the church, preaching two or three times a week! But whatever they might, or we may think, of modern sermonizing, no judicious man would advocate a return to postils, and reading sermons and homilies. The age demands preaching, and will demand it, until the victory gained by the apostles and primitive christians, is again achieved; and men are once more reclaimed to the church from which Papal superstition and intolerance have driven them. Other means may help to secure the victory after it is achieved; but preaching, varied and multiplied, aggressive as well as conservative, converting the world as well as edifying the church, is an indispensable means to achieve it.

Now religious journalism we hold to be in some respects similar to modern sermonizing. It is a growth of the age, demanded by it, and a needed implement for all those who would work upon its character. It is an agency, *sui generis*; having its own peculiar laws and character; its own ends and its own way of attaining those ends; and to wish to explode it or quarrel with it because it is not something else than it is, would argue as morbid, captious, and impracticable a temper, as the wish to abolish preaching because we sometimes the infliction of a frothy sermon; or to explode learned commentaries and systematic treatises, in divinity, because they are not manuals of devotion.

Religious journalism, as it seems to us, (and we wish our remarks on the subject to assume more of the suggestive than the didactic form,) has its own peculiar ends. Besides matters of intelligence, there are many topics of daily occurrence which are not of sufficient seriousness for the pulpit, nor of sufficient magnitude for books, which may be properly (and nowhere else so properly and effectively) introduced into the columns of a religious journal. These are matters on which churchmen without any detriment to the unity of the faith, may have different opinions which they wish to interchange; they concern points on which the church is open to misrepresentation and assault, aid need to be instantly and briefly vindicated to the world; they relate to the minutiae of worship, to ecclesiastical arrangements, to the externals of the church, to her minor morals and politics; they are notices of books and new publications, or fragments of history or biography, or fugitive essays in prose or poetry; or they may be even matters of faith and fundamental principles of church polity, developed in their relations to the passing fashions of the age, and with a view to that instant, fresh, and distinctive impression which journalism is so well fitted to produce. The rapid treatment and discussion of such topics in a religious journal, tend to bring the principles and features of the church into contact with the minds of many who might otherwise never give them a thought; to cherish and increase in many others an interest which without such exciting might flag and become torpid; and to absorb and make subservient to the digestion of sounder aliment an amount of excitability which would otherwise be wasted on the world, or made to nourish tastes, predilections, and interests that have no sort of affinity with the church.

But religious journalism has not only its own ends, but its own way of attaining those ends. It has its own individuality, as much as so preaching or conversation; and its characteristic traits are the freedom, off-handedness, piquancy and raciness which belong to popular periodical literature. To write reviews of books, or notices of the passing events of the religious world, its fantastic fashions of sentiment or odd vagaries of error, in the style of Wilson's *Sacra Privata*, or Sutton's *Disc Mori*, would be as *outre* as to discuss politics on the model of Sternhold and Hopkins, and in the twang of Puritan psalmody. Sermons are good in the pulpit, learned treatises in the study, devotional books in the closet, and colloquial gifts in society; but not any of these nor all of them together constitute religious journalism. Journalism itself, as it now exists, is an entity by itself; a new feature of society; a new phase or fashion of mind; a new medium of intercourse, having its appropriate laws, style and complexion, and occupying an intermediate position between grave books and sprightly conversation. Whether it becomes the church to adopt it, and if so, under what regulations, are questions which we stop not to discuss, and which perhaps it is too late to discuss; we suppose it to be adopted, and are only saying that it is to be of any avail, to be anything more than a drug or an anodyne, it must still be journalism. It must be treated as a separate language, and be made the vehicle of instruction for those who understand and enjoy it. It must bring out the treasures of history, biography, theology, and liturgical lore, in its own peculiar way, and allure the minds of men to sound principles, by a style adapted, as far as justly and innocently may be, to the taste and temper of the age. Otherwise, the end which it proposes will never be gained; it may have the name and form of journalism, and multiply itself in folio or quarto sheets, monthly or tri-monthly pamphlets, but

its life will be gone; it will bring upon us the evil which the French wit was willing to bear without the compensation for it which he so eagerly coveted, or in other words, it will cause us to be abused by every body and read by nobody; instead of helping us to seize on the activities of the age, and shape them towards sound principles, it will doom us to perpetual leeway; instead of being a new and independent wheel in the machinery of the church, it will be no better than a clog on her operations, and a dead weight to impede her movements.

We fear far from saying or thinking that there should be any descent to frivolity, ill-natured sarcasm, or to any unworthy artifice to catch popular attention. Such things are not necessary, nor indeed, actual features of journalism, in any of its more reputable specimens. The clergyman does not lose his dignity because he mingles with his parishioners and indulges in the freedom of social intercourse without the formality of a text and gown. In like manner the church, we think, may adopt the journalism of the age, with its pungency and vivacity, its playful satire and severe irony, and communicate her influence by means of it, to the sympathies and intellects of thousands who will feel its vibrations, without derogating from her dignity, and in strict fidelity to her character as the guardian and witness of the heavenly deposit.

There is, indeed, a class of persons—sincere and zealous men in their way—to whom these suggestions will be the proofs of "an evident carnal temper;" such men to use the words of a favourite author already quoted, "as from a passionate, humorous, cynical spurning at monkish practices and popish customs, have thrown themselves off the shore into the whirlpool, which finally sucks them in the very dregs of that error, wherein the others were drowned. The very self-same superstitions or magical conceits, the one hath of beads and crosses, the other feeds by precise hearing sermons and loathsome abuse of the Word of life, upon every trivial occasion." These are men who preach in a journal, extort in a parlour, and cant everywhere; and who seem to live mainly for two objects, to abuse the papists, and to puff themselves. Journals, books, conversation, and all else that can feed their vitality, must first be dragged down to their deadly damps of subterranean dulness; nor can they themselves ever be fairly exhumed, and grow up to humanity on the food of men and in the light of heaven, until they have taken the advice of South, and applied for the cure of their noxious humours to Luke the physician, instead of Luke the Evangelist.

Others, however, there are, of no vulgar taste, but of a really elevated piety, of deep and fervent spirituality of mind, who shrink from every agency not sanctioned by Catholic prescription, as a development of Antichrist. To such persons, we would say, that we are aware of the defective ground which we have been treading; and that we have offered our suggestions with no other wish than to widen the sphere and to strengthen the outworks of interior spirituality, without sullying the purity diminishing the intensity of the flame. We would not deprive the flame of its aliment, but we doubt whether journalism should herself on to much extent as its feeder. We would have the pages of a religious journal open, both to writers and readers, a relaxation from the severer efforts of devotion and a barricade of its foundation and outposts, rather than a direct and formal means of sustaining it. We would advocate journalism in its living individuality, and would have writers write and readers relish its style with the same easy versatility that they throw their minds into the colloquial style in conversation, the epistolary in letter, or that of sustained seriousness from the pulpit. Why not? Shall we die of dignity because we are christians? or cease to breathe and move and dress like men because we are christians? Surely this is not the way to influence the world. We must have means of fellowship with the workers of darkness, if we would wear them from its works; we must learn the language, and bend to the bustle, and watch the ways, and study the sympathies and touch the tastes of the world, in order to leave it with the principles and influences of the church. The authors of the "Tracts for the Times," whatever may be thought of their doctrines, are allowed to be eminent for a severe and deep-principled piety; and yet where is to be found a more genuine specimen of journalism than the late article in the British Critic (edited by the Rev. J. H. Newman) on the writings of Dr. Channing? In this instance we had the journalists of the world made subservient to the deep and broad principles of the church; and we beheld a priest of the church, (we speak figuratively, for the writer perchance was a layman,) discoursing to the world "in a language understood of by the people." Before we repudiate such writing, and denounce it as inconsistent with the vitality of the christian faith, it may be well to reflect, if it were more favourably regarded in the church, much loose and floating energy might not be diverted into a safe and valuable channel, which is now (at least remotely) tributary to the current of infidelity and voluptuousness; whether much of the feeling and talent of the country might not by this means assume a religious form and manifestation, which, for want of such an outlet as is offered by a well-defined religious journalism, controlling and directing the energies which it enlivens, is left to evaporate in the mere sentimentalism of literature, or entwine itself around the ministry of vice.

A new country like ours, which calls her children to the active duties of life too soon and too early to allow them to become deep and profoundly learned scholars, affords at the same time a rich variety of talent for the species of literature we have advocated. For this reason also, it behoves, we think, serious and thoughtful men to be less desirous than some are, to treat the alliance as unholy, and to seek its separation from the church. Two things already implied we would more distinctly add, though rather abruptly, as we must hasten to a conclusion. One is, that articles of faith, considered as distinct from religious opinions, should never be discussed in religious journals, and certainly never in the style of journalism; and the other is, that the journal, however free and versatile in its tone, should always seem to candid men to tend to support the faith and being of the church, and to seem for them, intellectually and practically, an ascendancy over the world.

## THE PRAYER OF JABEZ.

How unlooked for, yet how refreshing, this fountain in the desert! It is the fragrance of a rose, blooming in a scene of desolation! A lovely flower, unfolding its beauties on the barren hill-side! It is a familiar tone, coming to the ear when it listened only for discordant sounds! the welcome voice of a friend, rising over the din of the passing multitude, and bringing assurance of the nearness of one whose sympathies, and joys, and sorrows, are in union with our own. The eye passes carelessly over the group of strangers congregated around us, but it rests, with delight, on one whom we recognise as a fellow pilgrim, on his way to Jerusalem. He speaks the language of Canaan! Shall we not give him the right hand of fellowship, and say, "Peace be upon thee?" He is one of the Lord's songsters. Listen to his warblings! "O that thou wouldst bless me indeed!" Do not our hearts re-echo the petition? We have used the very same, a thousand and a thousand times.

"O that thou wouldst indeed bless me!" This looks like humility, sincerity, and fervor! It is a good prayer, for 'the blessing of the Lord, it maketh rich, and he addeth no sorrow therewith.'

Here, too, we find the seal of adoption, the aspiration of the soul after God—and we do not doubt that the individual in whose company we are fallen, is one of the sons of the *Most High—elect—precious*. We hear him saying, "My soul thirsteth for thee, O

God! my flesh longeth for thee! Oh! that thou wouldest indeed bless me!"

The next petition is a request for temporal blessings, and these our Lord has himself taught us to ask for. "Give us this day our daily bread"—"Feed me with food convenient for me"—"Casting all your care upon him"—"Oh! that thou wouldest bless me indeed

I mention another quality that makes a friend desirable, which is tenderness. For friendship is like a foreign plant, which requires delicate treatment. It shrinks from whatever is rough and unfeeling. It cannot repose confidence in rudeness, but seeks such a friend as the psalmist describes the Lord to be, when he thus speaks of him:—"He shall cover thee with his feathers, and under his wings shalt thou trust." O how tender a friend must he be, when he compares himself to the parent bird, who opens her wing to receive her young, and gathers her callow brood under her downy plumes!

Now would I omit that which may be called the crowning quality of a friend—unchangeableness. And this our Lord is. He, my beloved brethren, is not a summer friend—a friend, who, like the butterfly, is continually fluttering round our dwellings while the sunbeams are shining, but retires when rain, or frosts, or tempests come. He is a "friend, born for adversity." "Whom he loves to the end." He will correct his people for their sins; "but his loving-kindness will he not take away, neither suffer his faithfulness to fail." This is his name, "Jesus Christ, the same yesterday, and to day, and for ever!"—*Rev. J. Haldane Stewart.*

## THE CHURCH.

TORONTO, SATURDAY, APRIL 17, 1841.

It was our pleasing duty some few weeks ago to present in our columns a masterly letter from the Lord Bishop of this Diocese, in exculpation of himself from the ungenerous animadversions which had been made upon him in various quarters, in reference to certain transactions connected with the University of King's College in this Province. His Lordship did wisely in confining his defence to an explanatory letter published in the London *Times*: here, upon the spot, there could be no necessity for formally meeting the charge: the respectable and impartial portion of the community could be at no loss in making up their minds upon the matter, even with the evidence before them; and that their decision was favourable to his Lordship was abundantly testified in the universal and unabated respect with which he was every where received during his late visitation tour. But in England, where the public, in such a case, must form their judgment in a great degree from what is presented to them in the public newspapers,—having no means of referring to documentary or other collateral testimony—it was quite possible, from the tenor of the debate in the House of Commons, coupled as that was with the harsh reflections contained in Lord Sydenham's despatch of the 2d of May last, that opinions unfavourable to his Lordship might have gained currency. It was, therefore, proper that his defence should appear in a leading public journal in London; and every person of candid mind who has perused that mild but energetic reply, cannot fail to arrive at the conclusion which the Editor of so distinguished a journal as the *Times* at once admitted, that it was triumphant and complete.

At the time that the despatch which contained these unkind reflections upon the Bishop of Toronto first made its appearance in public, it seemed to be very eagerly copied by all the newspapers in both Provinces, with very few exceptions,—whether to aid in the somewhat fashionable effort to crush a Protestant Bishop, or to help the administrative policy of Lord Sydenham, remains to be seen. Of their republication of this document, however, as it was public property, we have no special reason to complain; but when the defence against the allegations it comprised appeared, it would strike the generality of the sober-judging portion of society as an evidence that common honesty and common justice really characterised our Provincial press, had they proved themselves as forward to retract an unwarrantable accusation as they were apparently anxious to make it. We believe, however,—in opposition to our pre-conceived hopes of such manly and virtuous dealing,—that no more than three or four papers in both Provinces, which gave circulation to the accusation, have thought it proper to give equal publicity to the defence.

This is not an age, as we have often borne testimony, in which men are remarkable for yielding reverence and honour where both are due,—least of all where ecclesiastical dignity is in question; and so we find that, about six months ago, a fresh accusation,—emanating from one or two of what are termed the "liberal" Toronto papers,—was got up against the Bishop of this Diocese; viz., that as one of the prominent members of the "LOYAL AND PATRIOTIC SOCIETY," formed for the relief of sufferers by the late war, he had never accounted for certain sums of money intended to be applied to the purchase of medals for the more meritorious of our gallant militiamen,—and ending with the charitable conclusion that these monies had been appropriated to the Bishop's private use! It has been our gratification lately to receive and peruse a pamphlet, containing various statements supported by every necessary voucher, which sets this whole matter in that light in which every man of common good feeling anticipated at once that it could be placed.

But the calumny thus recklessly expressed, though it is a refreshment to feel, only partially circulated, was the more uncalled for, since after the closing of the labours of the Society in October, 1817, a detailed account of all their proceedings was printed, shewing, in the most minute particulars, to whom and in what manner its funds had been distributed,—an account so particular and so minute, indeed, that it occupied no less than four hundred and nineteen octavo pages.

Subsequent, however, to the closing of the local transactions of the Society in 1817, a communication was received from Montreal, announcing the receipt from London of the munificent donation of £4,000, which, during the war, had been collected there in furtherance of the objects of the Loyal and Patriotic Society. As the distribution of this sum amongst individuals who had suffered by loss of property during the war, would have afforded no perceptible relief amongst claimants of so large an amount, and as such distribution was foreign, indeed, to the original objects of the Society, it was proposed to appropriate that donation towards the founding of three Hospitals in different parts of the Province,—an arrangement which received the prompt concurrence of its contributors, except that they earnestly recommended its application to the establishment of one Hospital only, instead of three. With this sum, therefore, to adopt the words of the published statement before us, "the Hospital was built in Toronto, which has for many years past extended, and is at this moment extending inestimable benefit to the poor and afflicted, and especially to the destitute Emigrants from the Mother Country,—the source from whence the fund was derived." The balance above the cost of the building was paid into the Hospital fund, and has been invested in Bank Stock, for the use of the institution.

We now come to the charge concerning the Medals. In the original constitution of the Society, it was proposed that a sum not exceeding £1,000 should be set apart for the purchase of gold and silver medals, as a reward for gallant services rendered in defence of the Province; and at the conclusion of the war, the sum of £750 was accordingly appropriated for that purpose, and the medals were received from England in 1817.—Yet, to adopt the language of the printed statement,—

"Though nothing could have been better intended than

this plan of the Society, for bestowing medals as a reward for meritorious service, it was unfortunate that they did not at first sufficiently consider, that it belongs to the Sovereign to confer that mark of honour, for public services rendered to the Crown, in a military or civil capacity..... Although this seemed to have been lost sight of in framing the Constitution of the Society, it occurred upon more deliberate reflection; and it added to the other difficulties which were felt upon attempting to make arrangements for the distribution. But the main difficulty consisted in the making selection..... In reviewing the events of the war, the Society felt that to make distinctions which were not founded in justice, would be injurious and offensive, while, on the other hand, to comply with all the claims which might be fairly advanced for the contemplated distinction, (supposing that any soldier could properly receive the distinction of the kind not awarded by the Sovereign), would require a vast number more medals than the funds which had been set apart for that purpose would enable the Society to furnish..... Embarrassed by these considerations, and deterred by the conviction that the distributing the medals upon any principle, and according to any scale to which it was in their power to conform, would occasion much more disappointment and heart-burning than satisfaction; and perplexed also by the discordant views taken of the subject by the different Commanding Officers of militia to whom they applied for recommendations, the Society delayed acting finally in the matter, from time to time, till several years had elapsed. In consequence of this delay, the medals were deposited in the Bank of Upper Canada for safe keeping."

"Resolved"—That it is the opinion of this meeting, that as the gold and silver medals cannot now be distributed in any manner to answer the original purpose for which they were designed, it is expedient that the same be sold as bullion, and the net proceeds thereof be put to interest, for the purposes above stated."

In a matter, however, of so great delicacy, the members of the Society felt a growing disinclination to deal finally with the question. The subject of the medals continued to engage their thoughts, and was frequently a matter of discussion; and as it was always hoped that some plan might yet be struck out for more exactly meeting the original intention of the Society, the medals were allowed to lie untouched in the vaults of the Bank of Upper Canada.

If our Colonial Legislative bodies are not discovered, in the aggregate, to prove of very distinguished benefit to Colonial interests, they are generally found to be very quick-sighted in the discovery of grievances; and accordingly in the last session of the Upper Canada Legislature, the delay in distributing these medals was taken up as a *public grievance*; in other words, this deliberative body took up as a public grievance the non-fulfilment of an original design of a private and unchartered benevolent institution, to whose funds the Legislature had never, at any time or in any shape, granted one shilling, nor had manifested, during its most active operation, the slightest interest in its affairs!

Without admitting the propriety of this interference, the surviving members of the Loyal and Patriotic Society felt, nevertheless, the necessity of putting an end to all further agitation of the question, and resolved upon carrying into effect the purport of the resolution above given. Previous, however, to the disposal of the medals as bullion, it was thought proper to deface them, "because that alone could ensure their not falling into unworthy hands, while they retained their impression, which, for obvious reasons, was not desirable."

This was accordingly done: the medals were disposed of for the net sum of £393 12s. 1d.; and so ends this "public grievance!"

It is always painful to us to be compelled to differ from any of our Constitutional contemporaries,—much more to feel called upon to censure the spirit in which their statements or opinions may be given. We grieve, then, to have to rebuke the harsh and vituperative manner in which Mr. Berrie, late Clerk of the Peace in the Gore District, is spoken of in the *Montreal Herald*. Had Mr. Berrie been identified with the celebrated party who framed the 92 Resolutions, and who subsequently called out the loyalists of Lower Canada from their quiet homes and peaceful occupations to put down their treasonable combinations,—had this gentleman, we repeat, been dismissed from his situation for his rebellious practices, he could hardly have been assailed in language more coarse and contemptuous. But when such language is applied to a gentleman of sound constitutional principles and unsotted private character, it becomes quite insupportable, and calls for a rebuke as loud and public as the accusation itself. Mr. Berrie is of Scottish parentage,—the son, we believe, of a General officer,—called to the Upper Canada Bar many years ago,—and appointed, if we mistake not, by Sir John Colborne to the office which he lately filled. He is of extremely quiet and unobtrusive manners, and no man can have a greater abhorrence of any thing bordering upon presumption than he.

An election, however, was pending in Hamilton where he resides, and he felt himself bound in conscience to support Sir Allan McNab; but as the opponent of the gallant Knight was to be a leading member of the Government, he, as the holder of a situation under that Government, felt it to be a point of delicacy, not to say of public duty, to detail to that gentleman his reasons for appearing as the supporter of another at the approaching election. We are free to say that Mr. Berrie erred in his manner of communicating those reasons,—that he travelled out of his way in finding arguments in defence of his conduct,—and that some of his positions, even on great constitutional grounds, are untenable; but we contend that his motive was sound and correct, and that it was much more honourable in him antecedently to declare his reasons for appearing as the opponent of the Government candidate, than subsequently to have shielded himself from blame on the ground of the *quietus* with which that opposition had been manifested.

We cannot but believe that Mr. Harrison himself felt the correctness of Mr. Berrie's manner of proceeding, although he may have dissented, as we do ourselves, from many of the public reasons by which he professed himself to have been actuated; and if Mr. Harrison, instead of communicating this letter to his Excellency the Governor General, did not, with a friendly candour and generosity, afford him the opportunity of recalling it as irrelevant and ill-judged, we must impute that to us, what would be deemed, perhaps the preponderance of a public duty over all private considerations. Be this as it may, and even while we cannot deny the strict justice of the course which, in this case, Lord Sydenham has pursued, every man of candid mind must acquit Mr. Berrie of any vain-glorious desire of intruding himself upon the public notice,—least of all, of adopting a very usual, though a very exceptionable method of acquiring consequence and notoriety as a public martyr.

In our last, we gave a complete list of the members returned to the United Parliament, with the exception of Shefford and Stanstead in the Lower division of the Province, in which the results of the elections were then unknown. We have, however, since learned that they have terminated in the return of Mr. Foster for the former, and of Dr. Colby for the latter county.

We have given to the list of members thus published a very careful inspection, and we have availed ourselves, at the same time, of such information, in forming an opinion of their general political complexion, as is furnished by our contemporaries. With the opinions of

none of these can we entirely agree in the estimate of public principle by which they believe the new Legislature in the House which they appear to entertain. Nothing, for example, can be to our mind more absurd than to separate them into two great classes of Unionists and Anti-unionists, as the question which that designation would imply is not by any means likely to be a prominent subject of discussion in the new Legislature. It is very clear to us,—though we admit our own liability to error in the opinions which we entertain upon this point,—that there will be *four parties* in the House; none of them holding precisely the same views with the others, and not likely on all, or even on most occasions, to act in concert.

First, there will be the Administration or purely Government party; composed of members of the Executive, and a few others well understood, from various ties or expectations, to be bound to their policy, and to be uniformly their supporters. This party, after a careful estimate, we reckon to amount to twelve.

Next, there will be the Conservative party, properly so called; gentlemen of sound and unalterable constitutional principles, but who have never avowed any implicit confidence in the measures or the policy of Lord Sydenham; who will honestly support him when they believe him to be right, and as frankly oppose him when they know him to be wrong. This body,—adding to the few in Upper Canada who have been returned, those gentlemen in Lower Canada who, although originally anti-unionists and averse to the general policy of Lord Sydenham, are nevertheless hearty constitutionalists, and whose sentiments will, on most occasions, be found strictly to accord with those of the Upper Canada Conservatives,—we also reckon, at the very lowest estimate, at twelve.

A third party will be such members in both Provinces as hold constitutional principles, but who have expressed themselves more decidedly in favour of Lord Sydenham's policy than the body last mentioned, and who therefore, in general terms, may be set down as his supporters. These, at the highest calculation, we reckon at twenty-four.

Lastly come the Anti-unionists of Lower Canada who hold democratic principles, with whom we all what are usually termed the Reformers or Radicals of Upper Canada; because they agree in their political principles generally, and without question entertain similar views upon elective institutions, responsible government, and other republican theories. It is true they may not, at first, act together; for at the commencement, probably, several of the Upper Canada Reformers, so called, may be found to be supporters of Lord Sydenham, while the republican anti-unionists of Lower Canada will, no doubt,—whether right or wrong,—be invariably opposed to him. But classing them according to their well-known principles,—the best criterion as to their ultimate conduct, whatever their initiatory acts may be,—we reckon this whole body, at the least, to amount to thirty-six.

We do not say there will be, at the outset, this standing opposition of thirty-six; but they possess such principles as will cause them, we believe, to be ultimately in formal array against the constitutional members. These last,—reckoning the twelve direct and pledged supporters of the Executive; the twelve Conservatives, a sort of corps of observation, who, without any special friendliness towards Lord Sydenham, will always be ready to "do the state some service;" and the twenty-four Constitutionalists, as for the sake of distinction we term them,—will form altogether a band of *forty-eight* members, who, in a trial of a question of British supremacy, or constitutional ascendancy, will be unanimous, hearty, and immovable.

It is undoubtedly a very gratifying and consolatory feature in the newly-elected House of Assembly, that out of the eighty-four members of which it is composed, *forty-eight* may be depended upon, in any emergency, to resist democratical invasion and preserve the Constitution in its integrity,—that they may thus be depended upon, provided the whole of the twelve members connected with the Administration are imbued with the principles which will be found undoubtedly to actuate the remaining thirty-six. Still this large constitutional force,—constituting a certain majority of *twelve* over those of opposing principles,—does by no means insure to Lord Sydenham or his policy a majority of equal amount. A leaning to any measures so extremely "liberal" as to endanger our monarchical institutions, will alienate the twelve Conservatives at once and probably three-fourths of the Constitutionalists along with them; while the manifestation of an honest determination to cling to monarchical supremacy will combine at once the whole force of Radicals, Liberals, and Anti-unionists in decided opposition.

Moreover, should occasion fairly arise, from any offensive management, to test the amount of public confidence really reposed in the counsellors of Lord Sydenham, we may see the Conservatives, aided by many Constitutionalists, taking the lead in opposition and largely outvoting the Administration. We apprehend that the result of certain of the elections in Lower Canada,—unless the proposal for inquiry be met at once in a fair and generous spirit,—will be the first cause of a formidable coalition against the Executive; and certain it is,—much as we should have to deplore the change,—that should new elections be ordered in those countries where they were so abruptly and strangely terminated, anti-unionists or oppositionists will, probably, in every instance be returned. This unfortunately is a contingency,—although on a principle of "equal justice" it cannot be averted,—which would at once equalise the strength of the anti-monarchical with the whole aggregate force of the Constitutional party, and produce, we fear, just that parliamentary conflict which we anticipated from the measure of the Union.

The able and lucid speech of the Bishop of Exeter upon the claims of the SEMINARY OF ST. SULPICE, will be perused with great interest and satisfaction by the great body of our readers. It effectively rolls away the mist with which that important question had been shrouded by the ignorance or violence of the local press.

The Lord Bishop of Toronto will hold his next General Ordination at the Cathedral, Toronto, on Sunday the 25th of April. Candidates for Holy Orders, whether of Deacon or Priest, are required to obtain previously the Bishop's permission to offer themselves, and they will be expected to be furnished with the usual Letters Testimonial, and the Si Quis attested in the ordinary manner. The Examination will commence on Wednesday the 21st April, at 9 o'clock A.M.

### ECCLESIASTICAL INTELLIGENCE.

The Duke of Buccleugh has signified his intention of building, and partly endowing an Episcopal Chapel in the town of Dalkeith, for the convenience of the members of the Episcopal Church in that neighbourhood.

BRIGHTON PROTESTANT ASSOCIATION.—The Committee of the Association have just issued an address at the close of the first year of their labours. We extract from it a startling statement as to the progress which Popery has lately made in this country. "Popish colleges, monasteries, mass-houses, numeraries, and convents have one by one sprung up around us,

till their number is alarming. In the year 1814, there were only forty-five Papal chapels in England and Scotland; but now, within an interval of only sixteen years, they have increased to 522. Popish processions are openly paraded in defiance of the statute called the Emancipation Act; shows and pretended miracles are openly exhibited; in our Colonies there are no less than twenty-three bishops, and two superiors of missions from Rome, with numerous assistants, many of whom are receiving public support and pay from our Government; in London the "Catholic Institute" has been established, directed by all the *Vicars Apostolic* of Britain, a Peer of the realm being President, and all the Romish Bishops and Priests of Britain and the Colonies, and the Romish Peers and members of Parliament, the committee."—*London Watchman*, January 13, 1841.

The Treasurer of the District Committee of the Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge acknowledges the receipt of the sum of £80 5 6, amount collected after a sermon preached in Christ Church, Montreal, on Sunday last, by the Rev. Dr. Bethune.—*Com. Mess.*

### Civil Intelligence.

(FROM OUR SUPPLEMENT.)

ARRIVAL OF THE BRITISH QUEEN.  
LATE AND HIGHLY IMPORTANT FROM ENGLAND.

*From the New York Sun Extra, April 4.*

The British Queen, for which public expectation has been excited for a week past, arrived at quarantine about three o'clock this morning, bringing us full London and Liverpool files, and interesting letters from our correspondent, to the day of her sailing, 10th March.

The Queen experienced a very rough and disagreeable passage, and on the 30th ult. having been out 20 days, found herself obliged to put into Halifax for fuel. She left Halifax on the 1st, and made the passage to this port in three days.

The news she brings is of the most intense interest, and we hasten to lay it before an anxiously expecting public.

The people of England appear to have been panic-struck at the intelligence which reached that country by the packets George Washington, United States and Westchester, from New York.—The first named vessel brought the news of the stoppage of the United States Bank,—the second that of the indictment of McLeod, and the Westchester, Mr. Pickens' Report in Congress on the McLeod affair. These three causes combined, created a sudden panic among all classes of persons, and the funds at the Stock Exchange were materially lowered in consequence.

We find it quite impossible in our limited space to give at this moment even a synopsis of the comments of the press upon this intelligence; but upon Mr. Pickens' Report, which seems to have created the greatest shock, the *Globe* says—"The painful effect which this tempestuous 'party document' must otherwise produce on the strongly cherished hopes of the people of this country, of an amicable adjustment of the dispute, will be much relieved by the tone of the principal speakers in the debate which ensued on the question of printing the Report."

The *Morning Chronicle* of the 9th says:—"The publication of this report created considerable uneasiness. In this instance we confess we do not altogether participate. The very men who drew up this document—it is plain upon the face of it—must have felt they were putting their names to a cause upon which no nation would venture to enter upon hostilities. The language of the report is not the language of men who either were convinced that they had a good cause, or were determined to go to extremes in a bad one."

The *Times* says:—"We shall quote from this offensive and unjust tirade, but we earnestly invoke our readers to study it *in extenso* for themselves, and then judge what chance exists of fair or just treatment for Great Britain from those authorities with which such a catalogue of insults could have originated."

If the Harrison Government be, as we believe it will be, against the report, is there no ground to fear that they may be too feeble to resist the war faction?"

From the *Money* articles in the same papers, we find that this American news caused a panic in the markets.

The Despatch says, "the stoppage of the U.S. Bank will cause a great deal of misery here as well as in America. Shares which brought two years ago £24, are now anxiously sold for £14 10s."

The *Morning Herald* says, "the financial and political news from New York produced a considerable impression on the Stock Market, but the depth of the impression would be ill measured by the actual decline merely in quotations of stocks, for it must be remembered that the funds were on the rise, and with a firm tendency to a greater rise still."

The *Globe* of the 8th says:—"The arrival of the *West Chester* from New York, whence she sailed on the 16th of February, brings certain information that the Congress of the United States, by the lame majority of 103 against 68, had voted in favor of the report on the McLeod affair, which was calculated to excite hostilities with England. The subject being thus taken up by Congress, the government must of course act in conformity with the will of the majority, and therefore the chance of seeing the acts of the interior jurisdiction of the State of New York overruled by the General Government no longer exists. It makes the danger of a collision more imminent than ever. Our citizens, viewing it in this light, have shown a much greater degree of alarm regarding the question this morning, than they did on the receipt of the previous communication, and stocks fell  $\frac{3}{4}$  or  $\frac{1}{2}$  per cent, immediately after opening of business."</p

## The Church.

without any deductions, into the treasury of my Sublime Porte—the remaining three-fourths shall serve to cover the expenses of collecting, the civil and military administration, the maintenance of the governor, and also to make payment for the corn to be sent annually to the holy cities of Mecca and Medina. The tribute above made payable by the Governor of Egypt, and the mode of payment, to continue for five years, dating from the year 1257 (22d February, 1841.) They may afterwards be regulated in a manner suited to the future state of Egypt, and the nature of new circumstances. As it is due to my Sublime Porte to be made acquainted with the annual amount of the revenues, and the manner of collecting the impositions, and as this object requires a commission of superintendence in the province, this shall be ulteriorly provided for according to my imperial will.

"The very important regulation of the coinage having to be settled by my Sublime Porte in a way to admit of no more variation, either as to the intrinsic or the current value, the gold and silver coinage which will continue to permit in Egypt, in my name, is to be equal to that of the imperial mint of Constantinople, as to value, form, and model. In time of peace 18,000 troops being sufficient for the internal guard of Egypt, this number cannot be exceeded; but as the Egyptian forces are not less destined for the service of the Sublime Porte than the other forces of the empire, they may be augmented in time of war in the proportion which may be considered proper. According to the new system of military service, which has been adopted for the whole of my empire, the soldiers after having served five years will have to be replaced by new soldiers, and this system will also be followed in Egypt.

"Thus out of the last recruits of the troops now serving, 20,000 men will be chosen to commence the new service, of whom 18,000 shall be kept for Egypt, and 2,000 sent here to serve their time. The fifth of these 20,000 men having been replaced each year, there shall be chosen annually 4,000 recruits, according to the mode prescribed by the military regulation by lot, and observing all necessary humanity, impartiality and diligence. 3,600 of these recruits shall remain in Egypt and 400 be sent here. The soldiers, who shall have served their time, either in Egypt or here, shall return to their homes, and cannot be called on a second time.

"Although the climate of Egypt may require a different military clothing, as to the cloth of which it may be composed, the uniforms, distinctive emblems and colours of the Egyptian troops shall not differ from those of the other troops of the empire. This also shall be the case with the costume and distinctive emblems of the officers, sailors and soldiers of the Egyptian navy, and the colours of the vessels shall be the same as those here. The nomination of officers both by sea and land, up to the rank of lieutenant inclusively, shall belong to the Government of Egypt, that of the superior officers shall depend on the imperial pleasure. In future the Governor of Egypt shall not be allowed to build any vessels of war without my express permission.

"The grant of the hereditary government of Egypt being subjected to the above conditions, the inexecution of either of them shall warrant the immediate revocation of this grant."

### INDIA AND CENTRAL ASIA.

The threatening condition of affairs in the Punjab still continued, but nothing of a definite character had taken place. An intervention by the British was confidently talked of, and the anticipated result of such a measure was the annexation of Peshawar and Cashmere to the Anglo-Indian territory.

In Scinde the British troops were likely to have full occupation; and the intelligence from Candahar was that the whole country was in a state of insurrection against Shah Soujah. Dost Mahomed had been sent off to Hindostan.

### LATEST INTELLIGENCE.

#### ARRIVAL OF THE ACADIA.

*From the New York Commercial Advertiser.*

On the 15th March, in the House of Lords, the Bishop of Exeter moved an Address to the Crown, praying Her Majesty to disallow the Ordinance, passed by Lord Sydenham, Governor of Canada, to incorporate the Roman Catholic Seminary of St. Sulpice in Montreal. The motion was debated at great length and with considerable heat; the Duke of Wellington took part against the Bishop, and the motion was negatived without a division.

The Conservative Journals are very strong in their expressions of sorrow and disappointment at an event so prejudicial to Protestantism in Canada.

In the House of Commons, on the 11th of March, Lord Stanley gave notice that he should persevere in his endeavours to effect the passage of his Irish legislation bill, and that end moved that the order of the day for its second reading be fixed for the 28th of April—the Government bill having been set down for the 23rd.

On the 12th, in the House of Lords, the Earl of Mountcashel gave notice that soon after the Easter recess he should move for a committee to inquire into the causes of duelling, and to see whether it could not be put a stop to. Should the house refuse a committee, he would move a repeal of the Act 1st Victoria (under which Lord Cardigan was tried), for it was quite ridiculous to allow the law to remain as it now stood.

In the House of Commons, Lord Palmerston was pressed with questions, by Sir Robert Peel, Lord Francis Egerton and others, relative to the conditions imposed by the Sultan on the Parsha of Egypt—whether the hatti-cherif had received the sanction of the four powers—whether it was in accordance with the treaty of July 15—whether it had been communicated to the English ambassador at Constantinople before it was sent to the Pacha, &c., &c. Lord Palmerston evaded direct replies, saying that he had no official information—that communications might be still pending, &c.

On the 18th in the House of Commons, great numbers of petitions for the abolition of church rates were presented, and many also for the extinction of Ecclesiastical Courts. A long debate arose on the motion to print out of these petitions and the motion was negatived, 45 to 40.

The London paper announces the death of Lord Valentia, eldest son of the Earl of Mountnorris, and somewhat distinguished as an Oriental traveller. He published a book, some years ago, containing an account of his travels in Abyssinia.

The arrival of the packet ship *England*, on the 10th of March, and that of the steam packet *Columbus* on the 16th, revived the alarm and angry excitement occasioned by the report of Mr. Pickens earlier in the month. The former carried out the proceedings in Congress relative to fortifications and other preparations for war, and those of the Maine Legislature on the subject of the boundary; among the news by the latter was the letter of Angus McLeod, denying his brother's presence at the affair of the *Caroline*.

The rumours current when the *British Queen* left England, concerning divers ships and squadrons being ordered to the United States, seem all to have died away. Touching the ships named as being under orders for immediate equipment, it is now said that their destination is China. But, per contra, the *Hampshire Telegraph* reports that the *British Queen* had already been twice detected prouling about the apartments of the Queen, in Buckingham Palace, was a third time found there on the 16th of March.

### UNITED STATES.

#### DEATH OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES.

*From the N. Y. Commercial Advertiser.*

Mr. Mayor's Office, April 5, 1841.

GENTLEMEN: It becomes my painful duty to announce to you the melancholy intelligence of the death of General WILLIAM HENRY HARRISON, President of the United States; an event sudden, solemn, and affecting to the nation. Of his services, civil and military, it is unnecessary for me to speak; they are identified with the history of our country.

I should do injustice to my own feelings and to the station I temporarily fill, were I not to partake of the general gloom that pervades the community which mourns the loss of a chief magistrate, recently called from peace and retirement to preside over a nation of freemen.

I respectfully suggest, that such measures be taken as will evince the respect and affection due to one who has occupied so short a station and shone so largely in the public eye.

I am with respect, gentlemen, yours, &c.

ELIJAH F. PURDY. Acting Mayor.

*From the National Intelligencer of Monday, April 5.*

Immediately after the decease of the PRESIDENT, MR. WENSTER, JR., chief clerk in the Department of State, accompanied by MR. BELL, an officer of the Senate, set out for the residence of the VICE PRESIDENT, in Virginia, bearing to him the following letter:

"To JOHN TYLER,  
Vice President of the United States:

"Sir: It has become our most painful duty to inform you that WILLIAM HENRY HARRISON, late President of the United States, has departed this life.

"This distressing event took place this day, at the President's Mansion, in this city, at thirty minutes before one in the morning.

"We lose no time in despatching the chief clerk in the State Department as a special messenger to bear you these melancholy tidings.

"We have the honour to be, with the highest regard, your obedient servants,

DANIEL WEBSTER, Secretary of State.  
THOMAS EWING, Secretary of the Treasury.  
JOHN BELL, Secretary of War.  
JOHN J. CRITTENDEN, Attorney General.  
FRANCIS GRANGER, Postmaster General.

#### REPORT OF THE PHYSICIANS.

Washington, April 4, 1841.

Dear Sir: In compliance with the request made to us by yourself and the other gentlemen of the Cabinet, the attending and consulting physicians have drawn up the abstract of a report on the President's case, which I herewith transmit to you.

Very respectfully, your obedient servant

THOMAS MILLER, Attending Physician.

To the Hon. D. Webster, Secretary of State.

On Saturday, March 27th, 1841, President Harrison, after several days' previous indisposition, was seized with a chill and other symptoms of fever.—The next day pneumonia, with congestion of the liver and derangement of the stomach and bowels, was ascertained to exist. The age and debility of the patient, with the immediate prostration, forbade a resort to general blood-letting. Topical depletion, blistering, and appropriate internal remedies, subdued, in a great measure, the disease of the lungs and liver, but the stomach and intestines did not regain a healthy condition. Finally, on the 3d of April, at three o'clock, P. M., profuse diarrhoea came on, under which he sank, at thirty minutes to one o'clock on the morning of the fourth.

The last words uttered by the President, as heard by Dr. Worthington, were these—"Sir, I wish you to understand the true principles of the government, I wish them carried out. I ask nothing more."

THOS. MILLER, Attending Physician.

FRED. MAY, M. D.

N. W. WORHINGTON, M. D.

J. C. HALL, M. D.

A. ALEXANDER, M. D., Consulting Physicians.

To ourselves the scenes of the past month seem but as a protracted dream—opening in a bright and glorious vision on the 4th of March, and ending in gloom at the close of one short month. Just one month ago yesterday we saw the good old man moving in triumph through the streets of the capital, attended by a throng of countless thousands, making the arches of heaven to ring with their shouts of gladness. We marked his own deportment—grave and solemn, as though deeply impressed with the high duties devolving upon him by the new relation in which he was to stand to the people. He was neither lifted up by his exaltation, nor depressed as though the charge was too great for him, but his carriage was altogether that of an unostentatious and plain republican statesman, carrying upon his countenance the impress of patriotism, integrity, and benevolence.

We saw him dismount from his steed, and stand forth upon the portico of the national capitol, there to proclaim in the ears of the people the principles by which he should be governed in the administration of the concerns of this vast republic. Calmly stood the good old man, surrounded by the wisdom of the Senate, the Representatives of the people, the chivalry of the army and navy and much of the beauty of the land—to say nothing of the ministers of foreign powers gazing intently upon such a popular pageant as the old world cannot exhibit. Having read his declaration in a full, clear voice, the noble and patriotic sentiments of which met the ardents response of thousands, he then with deep solemnity received the oath of office from the Chief Justice of the United States, and reverently kissed the sacred book of God, in whose name he swore to be true to the constitution and the people.

Then went up the last long shout of the mighty throng—proclaiming that the work of the people in a great civil and bloodless revolution was accomplished,—it remaining only for their servants to execute their behests. That moment was one of awful solemnity and grandeur. But how true the line of Couper:

"God moves in a mysterious way!"

One short month, and the nation then so joyful is overwhelmed in woe.

The administration of the government now devolves upon the Vice President, and until he shall have assumed the duties of the station to which he is called by the constitution, the most intense anxiety will prevail as to the course of policy by which the new chief magistrate will be governed. Meantime the question is already asked who is now the Vice President of the United States? The following citation, from the first volume of Kent's *Commentaries*, gives the law upon the subject:—

"In case of the removal of the President from office, or of his death, resignation, or inability to discharge the powers and duties of the office, the same devolve on the vice president; and, except in cases in which the president is enabled to re-assume the office, the vice president acts as president during the remainder of the term for which the president was elected. Congress are authorized to provide, by law, for the case of removal, death, resignation, inability, both of the president and vice-president, declaring what officer should then act as president; and the officer so designated is to act until the disability be removed, or a president shall be elected, and who is in that case to be elected on the first Wednesday of the ensuing December, if time will admit of it, and if not, then on the same day in the ensuing year. In pursuance of this constitutional provision, the act of Congress of March 1st, 1792, sec. 9, declared, that in case of a vacancy in the office, both of president and vice president, the president of the Senate pro tempore, and in case there be no president of the Senate, then the speaker of the House of Representatives, for the time being, should act as president until the vacancy was supplied."

The reader will remember that previous to the adjournment of the late extra session of the Senate the Vice President vacated the chair, and Mr. Southard, of New Jersey, was elected President of that body *pro tempore*. It will therefore be seen by the authority above quoted, that the Hon. SAMUEL L. SOUTHDARD, of New Jersey, is now Vice President of the United States. In view of the calamity that has befallen the nation, the selection of Mr. Southard by the Senate for their presiding officer was most fortunate.

House of Lords, Thursday, March 4.

#### SEMINARY OF ST. SULPICE.

The Bishop of EXETER said, that in rising to present the petition of which he had given notice, it might be convenient, particularly with reference to the views which had been taken of the subject, to enter at large into the case as it appeared in the petition, and which he believed was capable of proof. His reason for taking that course was, that as he meant to-night merely to move that the petition do lie on the table, an opportunity should be given to their lordships to consider (if any thing that fell from him were worthy of consideration) his statement, in case that he should feel it necessary to submit to their lordships any anterior motion on the subject. The petition was one of no common moment. It was one also of a very singular character—for it addressed their lordships with a prayer, that they would be pleased to give advice to the Crown not to afford the royal sanction to an ordinance of the Governor and Special Council of Lower Canada. This was the first time, he believed, that such a petition was presented to their lordships; but, singular as the instance was, the occasion, in his opinion, justified that singularity; for it appeared to him to be a case of a very grave and serious nature. His Majesty's Ministers had laid on their lordships' table (and he thought their lordships ought to feel obliged to them for doing so), within these few days, certain despatches from the Governor-General of British North America connected with this subject. The first of these was dated the 20th of January in the present year, which enclosed a list of persons who had franchised their property under the ordinance of the Governor and Special Council of Lower Canada. This was the 3d Victoria, cap. 30. The Governor, in that despatch, thus expressed himself, "I am happy to say that all excitement on this subject has now subsided, and I can conceive no probability on this being renewed, except it were supposed that there was a violation of the ordinance being interfered with in England. How little such an interference would accord with the wishes or interests of those who last year petitioned the Crown, your lordship will easily understand from perusing the enclosed list." Now, amongst those who had franchised their property were some of the present petitioners; and they asked their lordships to interpose, and prevent far greater evils than those which the ordinance was intended to remedy. What those far greater evils were he should presently state to their lordships. Having adverted to the first despatch, he should next notice the despatch of the Governor-General, dated the 25th of June, 1840, in which the conduct of the petitioners was impugned and censured. Now, he ventured to say that he should not go beyond the rules of propriety, if he laid before their lordships some statements with reference to the facts of the case that were a little at variance with the observations made in the despatch of the Governor-General. The tone in which the Governor-General had spoken of the petitioners rather called on them to justify themselves; and certain statements had been put into his hands, connected with the passing of the ordinance, which, but for the observations contained in the despatch, he would not have introduced. The Governor-General stated, in the first paragraph of the despatch, that he had sent down to the Special Council the draught of an ordinance for incorporating the ecclesiastics of St. Sulpice, "which, after the fullest discussion, was passed." Now, this was rather an equivocal expression, and certainly it did not imply that the fullest information on the subject was afforded to the parties interested. The Special Council, by motion, called for information as to all that had passed between the heads of the seminary of St. Sulpice and the Government; but it was refused. That, however, was not all. They wanted information as to the value of the property that was to be given away to the seminary; but all this information was peremptorily refused. He therefore repeated, that the "fullest discussion" did not include the fullest information. In one other respect, the discussion in the Special Council was distinguished by a circumstance of a novel nature—the Governor-General himself attended there, and a thing before unheard of, presided in the council during the whole time they were discussing this question in their legislative capacity. The authority he had for calling this an extraordinary proceeding was no less than that of the Governor-General himself. If their lordships looked to the despatch of the 16th of November, 1839, addressed to the Colonial Secretary by the Governor-General, they would find that the Chief Justice presided at the deliberations of the Special Council. The Governor-General stated in that despatch, "I expect that great advantage will result from the presence of the Chief Justice in the Special Council, and from his presiding over its deliberations, which could not be so well carried on in the presence of the Governor-General himself." Therefore it would appear, that it was not usual for the Governor-General to sit in that assembly. Being present, the Governor-General must of necessity know how the members spoke and voted—a practice which, in the despatch he had allowed, was treated as being not only unusual, but unfit to be pursued. Under such circumstances there might be a full, but at any rate, not so well carried on in the presence of the Governor-General himself. If their lordships looked to the despatch of the 28th of June, the Governor-General further observed, "I have every reason to believe, that notwithstanding the clamour raised by a few individuals in this city, and the violence of the press, the measure is approved by the moderate men of all parties." If this were so, then he (the Bishop of Exeter) was sorry to say, that the moderate men of the British party were exceedingly few indeed. A petition was drawn up against the ordinance for incorporating the seminary of St. Sulpice, when it originally appeared in the *Gazette Extraordinaire* of Montreal. The ordinance actually proposed and carried differed, however, from that which was so published. The committee of the petitioners, immediately on the ordinance being passed, addressed letters to their agents in England, calling on them to let no less active in pressing forward the petition against the ordinance. They impressed them, in the strongest manner, the necessity of making every effort they possibly could in support of the prayer of the petition. The petition which was so lightly spoken of by the Governor-General was framed in terms of the utmost moderation; but at the same time evinced such strength of argument and of understanding as entitled it to the most serious consideration. It was signed by 2,000 persons, who were described as proprietors of real estates, merchants, and others, inhabitants of Montreal. But, though the numbers who signed it were great, it had been sent off in such hurry, that sufficient time was not given for all those to sign it who wished to do so. 2,000 persons, however, formed a very considerable portion of the population of Montreal. That population was calculated at 15,000 British Protestants. Now, supposing one-half of these to be 6,000 males (and here he must state that the petitioners most strictly adhered to the resolution and came before their lordships with the signatures of children or of young persons who were little more than children)—supposing, he said, that there were 6,000 males, and that of these 2,000 had signed, it might fairly be said that one-third of that large population were opposed to the ordinance. They were not to be described as not being moderate men because they held that opinion; they were not to be held up to the reproach of this country because they can forward to petitioners such a measure. There were 24 magistrates at Montreal. Of these 18 signed the petition; and as to the remaining six, some were absent, and some were sick. There were two members of the Special Council opposed to the measure, but it consequence of their situation they declined signing the *petitio in absentia*. Here they had a majority of 24 magistrates, all calling on their lordships to save the country from the calamities which could accrue to them and to the general peace and safety if the measure were sanctioned. There was one individual who had signed this petition, whose opinion the noble viscount himself would say was worthy of attention, and that was Her Majesty's Solicitor-General in the colony. He was not in that situation at the time that the ordinance passed, but he had opposed it, and said that the individual in question (Mr. Day) had been made Attorney-General. This was a striking proof, he thought, of the high estimation in which he was held. Mr. Friend, one of the Special Council, who had opposed the ordinance in one of its stages, had afterwards stayed away; and he was assured by a gentleman of veracity, that Mr. Black, another member of the council, who had also opposed the ordinance in one of its stages, was, in fact, the author of the ordinance. He was not in that situation at the time that the ordinance passed, but he had opposed it, and said that the individual in question was the authority of the Government in the beginning of the year 1835, expressed by the noble Secretary for the Colonies at that time, that it would be looked upon as a most disastrous occurrence by every Canadian, whatever might be his religious or political opinions. In discussing this subject he wished not to be understood as throwing any censure upon the parties allied to; as individuals, he believed most conscientiously that they were entitled to the honour, and respect, and reverence of every man of every religious communion. He believed they were most exemplary in their conduct. That was, indeed, the uniform testimony from every quarter of Canada. But their merits as a civil body was quite another affair. How had they exerted themselves in their collective character? A return from M. Quiblier, the principal of the seminary, contained in the appendix to Lord Durban's report, set forth the object which the funds of the seminary were actually directed to be as follows:—"1. The service of the parish of Montreal, containing about 20,000 Roman Catholics; one-third of which are English, Irish, or Scotch. For these the seminary maintains from 15 to 18 priests. 2. The Mission of the Lake of Two Mountains, for the instruction of two tribes of Indians. 3. The Little Seminary or College: 5 priests and 15 masters. Here are taught French, English, Greek, Latin, the *belles lettres*, philosophy, mathematics, &c. About 250 scholars attend this establishment. 4. The parochial schools: 30 masters or mistresses. 1,40

