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## THE FIRST PRINCIPLES OF CHRISTIANITY,

 AND MODEREX SCEPTICE, ARE DYSRABHION: ATELY DISCUSESD.

HALx:AX:
Pazutid ar JOHN HOWE and 80 N . 2808.

8O. TIR HOHORABLE

## HENRX AUGUSTUS DILLON;

Colanel of the 101 ift , or DUKE of York's Irith Regiment, \&cc. \&c. \&c.

## SIR,

IN thefe few- fheets you will fee the univerfally ad: mitted principles of Chriftianity clearly flated, and all exceptions saken by the Heathen Philofoplers, Cel/us, Crefcentius, Lucian, and Fulian, the apoftate, and from them tranfcribed by their fucceffors, modern feeptics, ar, at they are called, modern Philofophifts, fairly difcuffed. No expreffion, offenfive to any defcription of Chrittians, has efcaped the writer's pen, which he recollects; his, ftrictures are confined to Anti-Chrifian Works; the authors he has not named, not through any refpect for their perfons, which the reader will eafily perceive, but he did rot think pro. per to printe out fources of imnorality and error to idle curiofity; nor would he flatter the vanity of an infignificant feribbler by inferting his name, though it were but to expofe him to contempt.

He thinks 2 work of this nalure may with
great.
great propriety be recommended to the protection of a Stacerman, whofe cloquence in the Senate, and pen in the Cabinet, have been confecrated to the defence of the general rights of all defcriptions of Chriftians; and whofe conduct, as a military character, has received from this town a mol honorable teftimony.

The writer having no claims nor expectancies from power or protection; no fears hor apprehentions from enmity or malignity, pays this tribute of perfonal efteem, and begsleave to infcribc the work to a Nobleman, who is perfectly capable of appreciating its value. And is,

With great refpect,
Your moft obedient humble fervant,

> E. B. V. G, Qub.

Halifax, Nov. 8th, 1808 .
he protection Senate, and d to the de. criptions of itary charachonorable
tancies from prehentions ute of perwork to ppreciating
efervant, G. Qus.
taus Cmristo Dzo Nostro ejus euz Matri sempra Virgini. E. B
"Invifibilia enim iposus a creturd mundi, per ce qua facta funt, intellecia, conjpicuntur: fempiterna quoque ejus virtus et divinitas : ita ut inexcyfabiles $\sqrt{\text { int. }}$, Ad. Rom. G. 1. V. 20.

THE Apoftle, in his epiftle to the Romans, fpeaking of the Heathen philofophers, fays, that they were not only criminal but inexcufable in their infidelity : this terrific fentence he founds on the moft fimple, yet irrefitible reafon : the invifible power of God, and his divinity, are fo manifeft in his works that no excufe is admiffible in extenuation of the fin of infidelity. His words apply with double force to the pretended philofo: phers of our days, men, the light of whofe undertanding has been totally extinguithed by the depravity of their hearts; and the ftrong impreffions made in their early days by a Chriftian education, entirely effaced by profligacy furpafling that of the Heathens whom St. Paul paints in fuch frong colouring.
By a train of reatoning to demonftrate the exiftence of a God, 2 Supreme Being, all wife, all powerful, and eternal, a firft caule, which gave exiftence to us, and to all the other Beings, which compofe the univerfe; and continues to fupport that beauty, that harmony, which we admire in the world, is ufelefs : all reafoning is loft on the man who denies it : bis heart is callous, and his headinfane. The man whole underfanding it not warped by the perverfenef's of his heart fees and féls it.

In compliance with cuftom the writer fimply indicater principles of derronfration which enforce convic. tion:

1ft. Let any man now exifting be confidered as the laft term of a feries compofed of individuals in contia nued fuccefion from the cominencement; in that feries each individual has been father to his fucceffor ; except the laft who has no fucceffor; and alfo each individual has been fon to his predeceffor, except the firft, who hadno predeceffor, the firftman therefore in this fucceffion mutt have received an exiftence fromanalmigh. ty caufe not included in the fucceffion; the writer fays, almighty, becaufe nothing fhort of omnipotence could give exiftence to a Being, which had no previous exitt. ênce radically in fome other. Topretend that in this fucceffion there was no firf man is inconfiftent with tealon: a regular fucceffion of Beings of the fame fecies without a commencement is impoffible : there is a laft term, therefore a firf : Girft and laft are relative terms. To affert that this firf man made himfelf, is ridiculous in the extreme, and not léfs abfurd to think him the work of chance : a pofitive effect pre-fuppofes $a$ pofitive caufe, and a caufe capable of producing the effect. Chance is an empty found, it conveys no idea, produces nothing. If you fuppofe hinn the work of nature, you are to confider that nature fignifies neither lefs nor more than the mechanical laws by which the material world is governed; that thefe laws have an immediate reference to a Being infinite in power and wifdom to eftablifh thefe fame laws, and execute them. Every part and particle of the material world is fubject to thefe laws, and, placed in the fame circumftances, act invariably in the fame manner, and thus declare, to the intelligent world, there dependence on, and implicit obedience to, the orders of their God. "Cali enarrant Gloriam Dei." Ps. 18, v. 2. Let us now confider this argument
ly indicates ce convic. red as the Is in contin ; in that fucceffor ; dalfo each except the ore in this analinigh riter fays, nce could ious exift. iat in this Aent with the fame le : there e relative imfelf, is to think uppofes a he effect. produces ure, you lefs nor material mediate foom to
Every bject to ces, act , to the implicit sharrant der this gument
.gument, the force of which is not to be eluded, in anothef light:
All the individuals who cotupofe the feries in regular fuccefion have exifted; the number was not infinite, becaufe it admits an increafe, and is, in fact, continually increaling ; infinity admits no increate, therefore, there miut have been a man to begth the fucceftion, and as he could not by any poffibility be fon to himfelf, or to any of his fucceffors, he maft thave had his exifence from fome Being not included in the fucceffor, This rea. foning is applicable to the different fecies of animated Beings, which fucced each other by generation, and as not one of them is capable of giving exiftence, by generation, to a Being of a different fécies, it is manifelt that they muftall have received an exiftence from a Being tocluded in none of thofe fuccefions. To have recourf to cbance, to Izzard, to iature, to fome unknown power; in matter, to tle fortuitous concourse of atoms and fuch like caufes, which, have no exiftence but in the imagina tion of wild feculatifts, is unphilofophical: a philoro. pher affigns no caufe incapable of producing tho fpecific effect, which he examines: What ignorance does the man betray who affigns a caufe incapable of producing ing effect at all.
A fecond principle of demonfration:
All the Beings which we fee or know in this vifible world, are contingent, that is, they may or they may not exift : we may conceive them in a tate of pofibility not one of them all is capable of giving itfelf an exiftence, and much lefs of giving exiftence to all the other Beings, which form this vifible world ; there muft therefore be fome one Being, reffexifent, which we cannot conceive, in a fate of mere pofibility. Why fo? Becaufe it would be poffible and impofible, at the fanse time : pofible from the fuppofition, and impdffible as it could not give itrelf esiftence, and could
not receive exitence from any other Being, all, being fuppofed non-exiftent. A firf caufe therefore mult be felf.exiftent, on which all whe, Beings, which compofo this vifible world depend for their exiftence. A third principle of demontration :
Infinite perfection is pelfible: we reafon on fome of its properties, though our limited underflanding cannot form an adequate iden of the whole ; an impofitle Being, a guare circle, for example, has no properties; all we conceive of fuch a repugnapt Being is, that tha parts of which it is faid to be compofed or the properties with which it is invefted, exclude each other. If infinite perfection be poffible it muft neceflarily exift. Why fo? Becaufe exiftence is not only the fritt, but the foundation of all perfection.
Whether the beliet of a Deity be innate in man, that is imprefled un his mind, at his entrance into the world, by the author of hisbeing or not, a queftion which the writer does not undertake to determine, it is certain that there is not, that there never wa3, 2 man of fenfo free from the influence of prejudice or paflion, who did not believe the exitence of a God, and bis interferences in human affairs; hence, eyen amongft the Heathen nations the mind of man though thackled with falfe, opinions, abforbed in fenfuality, fubfervient to fictitious divinities, deprefled by the tyranny of univerfal cuftom, yet, upon a fudden emergency, as if a wakening from a dream, called on the God of nature: God knows it, God fees ii, God will requite, and fuch like exclamations, not looking to the temples of falfe deities, but to the Heavens, the throne of that God, whom the foul of man naturally adores. If there be, as is pretended by a modern writer, fome favage hordes in the wilds of Africa, or America, who know no Being fuperior to man, and pay no homage to any divinity, it only thews that uncivilized man is capable of being degraded.
g, all being fore mult be th compofo on fome of inding can. 1 impofible perties ; all , that the or the proach other arily exift. to but the
man, that into the ion which is certain of fenf who did erference Heathen vith falfes fictitious cuftom, ng from snowe it, nations, $t$ to the - foul of led by a vilds of uperior it only graded. from
from the rank which he was intended to hold in the prder of created Beings; and the caufe muft be def. perate indeed which has recourfe to the favage authority of fuch men.

From paffing that boldaffertion, or rather wild conjecture, of this modern anti.chriftian, unnnoticed, it munt not be inferred that the writer believes it true: with sefpect to the wildeft Savages in America, it is abfo. lutely falfe, and, if we may judge by analogy, and the report of unprejudiced travellers, it is not more true with refpect to their unciviliped brethren in the defarts of Africa and in the Afiatic Illands.

From the principles of demontration already propofed, it is incontrovertibly true that there is a felfo exiftent primary caufe poffefici of all perfection, from which all the Beings which compofe the vifible, world derive their exiftence, and on which they effentially. depend for that portion of time, and face, which they occupy in the world; that this primary caufe is neither matter, nor any particle or element of matter, is equally evident : for whether matter be compofed of indivifible elements, or of elements infinitely divifible, a queftion foreign to the fubject, on which we reafon, it is mof certainly compofed of parts, it therefore effentially depends on fome agent, which is not matter, to effect this compofition, if it be not thought that matter has no only made, but compofed itfelf according to its own fancy, which is offenfive to common fenfe: We fee matter compofed and decompofed according to fixed and invariable laws; we fee matter fet in motion, the direction, the compofition, and decompofition, of its motion are fo regular, that they are fubject to mathemaical calculation, and we fee the fmalleft particle of matter fubject to the fame. laws which are invariably obferved by the heavenly bodies; the man who from thefe facts is not convinced of the abfolute dependance of
of matter on its maker, "und of its blind fubmifion to the laws, which,he .has effabilihed, for its compofition, decompoitions for "tis motion, and the direction of its motion, mutt be fupidiy ignorant of the fifft principles of reafoning, or perverfely obftitate; and pericyering invan opinion, rlotifuply groundief, but infulting.to reafon.
noti
this
whi
calc
ther

- What is faid of matter in general, is applicable to cvery parcel, and particle of matter: no reafon can be aligned why one particle thould poffefs power, wifdom and independence, exclufively, to have recourfe to latent powersin matter is ridiculous, we know that matter is capable of receivingimpreffions from externalagents, and of naking in preffions according to eftablithed fayse the extent of this capacity we do not know but from this very capacity we know that matter is dependant, that it is paffive, incapable of forming any plang oriacting ith confequence of any pre-oongeived deßgn, of courfe aith capable of compofing that order, which. fabfits in the vifible world, the beauty the harmony, and the almpot boundlef extent of which fo toudy proclaim the powery the wifdon and the magnificence of its author
The write paffes in filence the fenfeles jargon of Atheifical writers, who feem to vie with each other in the extravagant abfurdity of the different fytems, which they invent, in order, as they pretend, to account for the prefentorder of things, without having recourfe 80 n primary caufe:
"To the inventive faculties of there gentlemen the world iss indeted for knowing, that man is a fort of monkey, Au cunning furpaffing the common baboon; 2s they ddance in knowledge we may expect to hear, how a goat màde a hore, or a table buile a houre.

Thefe nen; in genecrat, extremely ignorant, yef amtitious of liserary fame, confcious of gheit inability th fucceed in common purfiitso endeavour to attract

Cubmififion to compofition, rection of its fit principles 1 perilevering influlcing. to applicable to eafon can be ver, widdom irfe to latent matter is ca. geints; and of ed layson the it from this ndant, that orpaing fin courte int bifts in the the almoft the powery or jargon of ch other in ty fytems, to account Ig recourfo
tlemen the s a fort of baboon: ct to hear, ufe. , yef am. ability to 10 attract potice
notice by the affected fingularity of their conceits's to this they add ftrong declamation charged with invective, which, from the irritability of himan nature, is well calculated to infure fuccerse. It is ufelefs to enquire if there lae Atheifts in the world, that there are men *ho affect :Trobelieve there is no God isccertain; that there are many wholive as if the do not believe the exiftence of a God is equally certain that there are men who, on pretended principles of demoñtration, dö not believe a God to exift, is uncertain.

- We know that of civilized Heathens none were fo inipious as to deny the exifence of fome powerfyl and fmmortal Being, which fuperintends this vifible word either immediately or by fubordinate agents, If you exeepto thofe fects, whofe abominable maxime were knuwn to excite public indignation, who from the pratice of fuch maxims had every thing to fear and nothing to hope, ${ }^{\circ}$. Though the-Heathens, abforbed in fenfual pleafutes, did not afcribe omnipotence, and all perfections, to the Deity, yet tha idea of a God, however disfigyred, was not totally, effaced from theír minds. Of apoftates from the Ghriffian faith there may be fuch monffers s God in punifhment of their crimes may fo far extinguith the light of their underfayding: as to efface his own impreffion from their minds.

An Atheift, can he be an honeft man? ? Yes, if, he be. not expofed to a dellicato or dangerous temptation, with which he may by difhonefty coinply, and efcape public hotice with honor and impunity: if he be honeftin fritia gonjuncture he is a fool. why may inot the zeti. ons of an Atheit from fome impulfe of nature be in condiftent with his principles at times, finte we fee men Wha believe a God the avengen of crimes, act inconifit ently with this belief? The Atheift therefore may at times be honeft and unright through on impulfe of fint ture, notwithlianding the perverfetendency of his pris. ciples ©urity of his principles bedepraved thronghthe cotyp: tion and mitability of himan pature. pends, is of neceffity felf.exiftent, eternal and indepen. dent thefe, are, perfections, which muft be afcribed to d clude any one ot them, you annihilate the idea of a Being infinitely perfect nonexiftence deftroys all fotts of perfeation ; and a dependent or temporary exift. erice argues a bfolute imperfection, from: which a Being infinitely perfect is frec, our imagination cannot reach eternity, hor can our underfanding form an ade. quate idea of infinte perfection. Irue, for this, fime ple reafon, thefe faculties in us-are dimited and cannot extend beyond their: fphere; but our undertatiding cafily conceives that fome agent muf beeternally es iftent, to give exiftence to the many Befing which now exift ; that this agent muft be omnipotert, as nothing lefs than omnipotence can give exiftence to a Beings which had none, that this agent mut be omnifcient, to determine the nature, the properties, the qualities, the place and pofition of fuch an inmenf variey of Beings forming onc whole, in all its patis fo wonders fully diverfified by the ufeftrl arde the ofoamental f that this agent, infinite in power and wifdom, is infinite in all perfections, becaufe infinity excludes all limitations, and the pertection of any Being correfpondeic to ins nature, herte beurdefs perfection in any Befng limised in its nature, Is impofible, and any limitation of perfection in a Being infinite in its nature, is equally impofficu.

Thefe are fmple truths within the fpliete of human underftanding. To pretend that we know nothing of 2 Being; becaufe we cannot form an adequate idea of all its propertie\%; or becaufe our limited underftanding

Gignot clearly and diftinctly genceive the naturend fualities of any Being, to fay that fuch a Being does pot exif, is tacon radich the lencoof mapind Thu forexmple noyst we do not inow all the properties. of an Dug, we kgow that 3 Dog is not a Horfe; and though we cannot form ah idea of the nature, or phyg. ctal confltution of that animal, or determine the frings which give oit motion, we know that there are dags, and many in the world, and that they are frequently in motion. On the fame principles, though we cannot conceive a diftinct idea of the nature and perfections of a primary caufe, yet as we fee a viable world, which is not felfeexitent, which has not made itfelf, and as we fee the different parts, which compofe this world placed in a cerrain order, and preferving for ages their: relative pofition, knowing that not one of thefe parts was capable of afluming or preferving its relative pofition and in Gige as we fee all the Heavenly Bodies, thefe vaft maffes of inent matter, placed at fuch an amazing diftance one from the other yet mutuath confeeted and dependent tir their motions, we mule be ignorant indeed, if wê do not know that there exills a primary, caufe eternal, independent, omnipotent and omnicient, which gave thils vifible world exiftence, which formed its different parts, placed them in their tefpective pofitions, eftabiinhed thefe laws of motion by which the fymmetry and harmony of the whole is preferved,
To fiave recoutce to an infinite fucceffion of caufos and cffecis without a primairy caufe, ftrongly marks the ignorance of the Atheifical writer, For if it baim: ponfible for an effect to exin willout a caufe the will be at foatt equall $\dot{\text { impofible for many effects to exift wish- }}$ but 3 zaufe, and the height of abfuaidity to petend, thre an infinite number of eflects could exift without $\alpha$ caufe; which muft be the cafe in the fuppofition of ant
infinite fucceflion, as all the caufes in that fucceffion maft have been effects of preceding cauies. Hence the Atheif under pretence of removing a difficulty encreafés it, or rather makes it infinitely great. 'To fay nothing of the abfurdity of an infinite fucceffion continually in. creafing, as if infinity were capable of any increafe.
: The iminutability of this primary caufe is an immer diate and neceffary confequence of the abfolute neceffity of its exiftence : for as this caufe is effentially felf-exiftent, it cannot poffibly ceafe to exift, no more than a circle can ceafe to be round ; and as no being either cread ted or increated is in exiftence without properties correfpondent to its nature, hence it follows that the pro${ }^{\text {p }}$ perties or perfections of an increated and eternal Being are increated and eternal, the perfections of a Being efd fentially exiftent, are effentially exiftent, cannot of courie ceafe to exift, can neither increafe nor diminifh, this primary caufe therefore cannot ceafe to be, nor are -its perfections fubject to the fhadow of mutation.
Juflice, wifdom, goodnefs, \&c. in created Spirits are accidental qualities, becaufe their fpirits are in their inature contingent, and from a ftate of non-exiftence, have been by the almighty power of a primary caufe -brought into exiftence; their qualities are correfpon"dent to their nature, they may exift, or ceaie to exift; and confequently increafe or diminith ; but in God this primary caufe there are no accidental qualities: They are incompatible with the divine nature. God is not faid to be good and juft as if goodnefs and juftice were qualities inherent in hirn; but becaufe that he is in himfelf the fource of all grodnefs, and the fountain of all juftice.

He is equally immutable in his decrecs : for as he cannot increafe in knowledge, he can lave no motive to change, and to change without a motive is an argument of levily daconfilent with his nature:
hat fucceffion
Hence the ulty encreafés fay nothing ontinually in. increafe.
e is an imme2 lute neceffity ally felf-exifte than a cir; either cread operties corhat the proternal Being f a Being ef cannot of or diminifh, be, nor are tion.
$d$ Spirits are ree in their n-exiftence, mary caufe correfpon. ale to exift; ut in God qualities : e. God is and juftice e that he is he fountain
: for as he motive to 1 argument

This primary caufe is infinite in its nature and in alf its perfections : limitation argues a dependence on fome other caufe or receptacle, which in a primary caufe is repugnant, and fince the primary caufe has not given, nor could not. give itfelf exiftence, nor properties correfpondent to its exiftence, it can give them no limitation. The infinitude therefore of its nature and perfections is manifef.

Some perfections, fuch as juftice and mercy infinite, may feem inconfiftent. To obviate this difficulty, which may embarrafs the uninformed mind, it muft be confle dered that juftice is not faid to be infinite becaufe it never remits any part of the penalty, it would confound juftice with cruelty, and totally exclude clemency. In the cafe of vindictive juftice, the enly fpecies of juftice which can at all be thought inconfiftent with mercy, the punilhment of the criminal is intended to fecure tranquility, to fatisfy the injured, and prevent future aggreflion ; if thefe objects be attained without inflicting the whole of the punifhinent, is not clemency confiftent with juftice ? God is faid to be iafinitely, juft, not becaufe be never forgives, nor remits any part of the punifhment due, but becaufe he never remits, withour fome caufe known to his wifdom, which is his rule of action; in like manner he is faid to beinfinitely merciful, not becaufe he never punilhes; but becaufe there is no crime fo great, which he may not pardon, when he is to forgive, or when he is to punifh his infinite wifdom and fovereign will determines. A temporal Prince either through ignorance, or inattention (the pefpect due to God's reprefentative, does not permit the writer to make ufe of a more harfh term) frequent. dy pardons regardlefs of juftice ; and as frequently punihhes in prejudice of clemency, forgetting that he is limfelf fubject to the natural law, and accountable to Cod, if not to his fubjects, for the ufe or abufe of the
power with which he is invefted: the abufe of power is impoffible in our. God : he is neither fubject to ignorance, prejudice, precipitation nor malice : they are in. compatible with his nature, he pardons or he punilhes according to the dictates of fovereign wifdom; "fo that mercy and juifice are fo far from being inconfiftent in him, that they are not even diftinet, but all one and the fame identified with his effence. This primary caufe iss one fimple and indivifible : if more than one, they would be diftinguifhed by fome perfection or imperfection, all iniperfection is excluded from a Being infinitely perfect, and one cannot poffefs a perfection which the other does not, it would argue a defect, hence there could be no difinction, confequently no plurality.
This primary caufe effentially felf-exiftent and giving exiftence to all other Beings muft poffefs the plenitude of being, therefore there cannot be a fecond : becaufe in that fuppofition neither would poffefs the Being poffeffed by the other, neither formally, nor eminent: ly, as the Creator poffefes all the perfections of his creatures, confequently neither the one nor the other would poffeffs the plenitude of being.

This reafoning is applicable to the wifdom, to the knowledge, to the power, to all the attributes of the Deity : their plenitude evidently excludes a plurality of Gods.

A fecond principle of demonftration is affumed from the unity of the world ; the difpolition of all its parts, their mutual connection, and dependence, thew them to have been formed and piaced in their refpective po. fitions by the fame Architect : if they had been formed and placed by different architects that mutual dependance, which Aftronomers calculate with mathematical precifion, could not fubfift. To have recourfe to a thutual agreement between agents equal in power thews the dependence of each on the other and excludes at fupreme and independent power.
bure of power ject to ignothey are in. he puniffies $m$; fo that confiftent in one and the nary caufe is they would nperfection, finitely per:which the hence there trality. and giving e plenitude d: becaufe the Being oreminent: ons of his r the other m, to the ites of the lurality of l its.parts; hew them cative po: in formed 1) depen: hematical rfe to a n power excludes The

The Heathen philofophers from the unity of the world, the harmony and fymmetry, the mutual con. nection and dependence of all its parts, faw the neceffity of admitting one fupreme and independent God, it is aftonifhing, that they did not fee the abfurdity of fic. fitious. Deities, fubordinate and dependent, as if fubor dination and dependence were not totally irreconciledble with the divine nature.
In this vifible world there are fo many appearances, which feem to contradict the idea of the whole being difpofed by fovereign wifdom; and in the moral world fo many actions which feem inconfiftent with the general principles of human nature, that fome Philofophers unable to account for thefe appearances in the vifible world, and inueh lefs for the innumerable evils, which are manifeft in the moral world, thought there were two principles equal and independent. The one fovereignly good, the other fovereignly evil. This opinion abfurd in itfelf, and contradictory in the terms, as one firt principle, evidently excludes a fecond, has been revived, and embellithed by fome mo. dern writers, no abfurdity is too grofs for men, who grafp at particular applaufe. Popularis aura vile Mancipium. To obviate the difficulties which are propofed by thefe writers, and invalidate all the reafons, which are offered in defence of this ridioulous opinion, it muft be confidered that a primary caule acts by general Jaws, it gives motion to all fecondary caufes, but does not deprive them of that action which is correfpondent to their nature, hence whenever any defect appears, it muif proceed from the obftruetion which one fecondary caufe gives the other, the primary caufe does not produce the defect, nor indeed the fecondarye à defeef has no efficient caufe, it argues a deficiency. which muft be found in all fecondary caufes, becaufe they are limited. Âs to any monftrous appcasance or
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any derangement in the vifible world, they are exi. dently defects; for where there is no defect, there is nothing monftrous, no derangement. Hence we eafily conceive that, all thefe defective appearances are natural confequences of the plan, which the great Architect of this vifible world propofed, and the laws, which he in confequence eftablifhed.

This reafoning is applicable with equal force to the moral world : for aH the diforders, all the crimes, and evils confequent and antecedent to thefe crimes, all tha mileries, of which they are productive, are the natural confequences of that liberty of determination, and action, which is natural to man, and without which he would not be a man, but an automaton, a machine fet in motion by furings at the option of an external agent.

But why not deprive man of that liberty which is fa pernicious to himfelf and others? This fimple reply may fuffice. Becaufe man is not a machine, nor was he intended to be fet in motion by fprings, or the laws of attraction and adhixfion, which inanimate matter obeys.
This queftion propofed under a thoufand different forms, and inceffantly repeated, betrays an inexhauftible fund of ignorance. It might be alked with egual propriety, or rather with lefs abfurdity, why man is not deprived of his legs and arms, for he frequently abufes both : and a man deprived of reafon, the foundation of free agency, is a more deplorable object, than 2 man without legs or arms.

To fay that a God fovercignly good is obliged to avert all evil from his creatures is an affertion which furpafles if poffible the former in abfurdity. Why fo? Becaufe evil is not a pofitive exitting Being, it coniifs in fome defect, and all creatures are effentiatly defective. To oblige him then to, avert all evil, is to oblige him to
y are exi. t , there is ce we eafily are natural. rchitect of which he in
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d different nexhauft. with equal hy man is frequently the founject, than
bliged to ion which Why fo ? it condifts, defective. re him to create
rreate Beings infinitely perfect, which is impoffible. But is he not obliged to avert moral evil, that is, the perverfe actions of intelligent creatures, and the miferies confequent thereto? From himfelf unqueftionably. Hence he can do nothing inconfiftent with fovereign wifdom, which is his rule of action ; nor can he command, exhott, advife, confent to, or countenance any moral action in his creatures inconfiftent with the dictates of right reafon, or what is called the natural law. To oblige him to deprive man of that liberty of determination, and action, which as man he poffelles; which is not fimply a gift of the greateft value to man; as the right ufe of it founds his happinefs here and hereafter; but one of the conftituent attributes of man, to oblige him, I fay to deprive man of that liberty is, in other terms, to fay, that he ought not to have made man a rational Being. But could he not prevent the abufe of liberty? Moll certainly. He wants neither power nor wifdom to do it. Why not prevent it ? For reafons known to his wifdom. This laft queftion is not lefs impertinent than if it were anked, why he did not make the Frog as pleafing to the fight as the Peacock. And the anfwer equally fimple. Becaufe he thought proper to make the one a Frog, and the other a Peacock.

That liberty is grofsly abufed, we know by experience ; that it is not abufed with impunity, the man, who does not know it now, will certainly know it hereafter, the man, who does not believe it, thinks a God of infinite fanctity capable of encouraging inis quity, an opinion more abfurd never infulted the fenfe of mankind.

But fuppofing a criminal abufe of liberty forefeen, and a perfeverance to the end of life, entailing endlefs tnifery, is it confiftent with fovereign goodnefs to ereate a man in this fuppofition? It would be inconfif-
ent; hot only with goodnefs and mercy; but withi juftice and fanctity, toucreate a man for that end, and with that view, or to beftow on man liberty, the proper ufe of which would be impoffible, and the abufe natural and neceffary; but to create a man a free agent, the proper ufe of whofe liberty is natural, though not neceffary, and the abufe of which is unnatural, though not impolfible, is perfectly confiftent with goodnefs and mercy. Why fo ? Becaufe the abufe of any thing good in itfelf does not make it bad, it only thews the perverfenefs of the perfon, who abues it. The very abufe of liberty Shews the excellence of the gift : for what is bad cannot be abufed. The corruption of the mind, by the perverfenefs of the will, thews the native goodnefs of human nature. Why fo ? Becaufe corruption deprives a fubfance of a certain degree of goodnefs, it muit therefore poffefs, it and though reduced in goodnefs; whilft it remains in exiftence, the fubftance is yet good, becaufe it is yet corruptible. If it be not faid that corruption renders a fubitance incorruptible, the greateft of all abfurdities.

From this reafoning it is evident that ail fubtances are good in themfelves. That evil is nothing pofitive, that it confifts in the corruption of what is goed, argues a deficient, not an efficient caúfe. If a previous knowledge of the abufe of any gift were a fufficient inducce. ment to retract it, there are but few of the gifts of God; to his creatures, which would not be withdrawn : are not the very neceffaries of life abufed? Yet what mant in his reafon will pretend that thefe gifts are not good in themfeives, and worthy of their Author? If therefore an intelligent Being, through the perverfenefs of his own will, abu fes that reafon, which conftitutes it intelligent, and diftinguifhes it from all creatures; which are not intelligent, giving it a decided fuperioni-
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reafonable that it fhould be excluded from the ranit which it was intended to hold; and though this exclufion, and the mifery confequent to it, be not a good to the Being which fuffers, it is good that if fiould fuffer : becaufe juftice requires it, and in all this there is nothing which is not perfectly confittent with the idea of a Being fovereignly good, and foveteignly oppofite to evil, which it can neither encourage nor countenance.

To conclude, there is no inconvenience that God fhould create a Splrit, active and intelligent, free from external or internal reftraint in its determinations; though he forefees that, this Spirit will abufe its powers, and perfevere in that depraved ftate: why fo? Becaufe however perverfe, malicious or depraved, it yet furpaffes all inanimate Beings; in the dignity of its nature it is fuperior to the material world, and there is but the dignity of its nature to be afcribed to its Maker; its malice and depravity to itfelf. The furious tyger is fuperior to the inoffenfive ftone, and the drunkard to the wine which intoxicates him. 'The wine is good, the man, though intoxicated, better. If it be confiftent with fovereign goodnefs to create the wine why not the man ? Non-exiftence may appear preferable to endlefs mifery. Difpofed as the writer is at prefent he would not hefitate nor deliberate on the choice; yet if we judge by experience the contradictory is true: for all men are expofed to inevitable mifery, and few, who are not actually involved in it ; yet life in mifery is preferred to death, and the Atheif, of all wretched men, the moft wretched here, fears death moft, notwithltanding that ftate of nonexiftence, which he fondly promifes himfelf.

From what has been faid on this fubject, appears the extravagance of afcribing to a Being fovereiguly malignant, what is called evil, whether phyfical or moral,

C for
for fuch a Being, if a fubltance mult be good, all fub. fances are, and if not a fubflance, cannot be an agent, can produce nothing.
cho are natural confequences of the plan propofed and the order eftablifhed by its great Creator. The very com. plaint of evil is an acknowledginent that the order it. Teff is good ; becaufe evil is ndthing elle but a devia tion from this order. As to the objections drawn from the premature death and fufferings of children, and the pains, to which unoffending beafts, are fubject, they will be difcuffed in the courie of this work.

It may not be unneceffary to obferve that a ftate of trial, in which an intelligent Being acting with reatitude according to the dictates of that reafoning faculty, which diftinguifhes it, may attain happinefs, and if perverting this faculty and abufing its powers of determination and action, it may be expofed to trifery, is perfeclly confiftent with the idea which we have of the wifdom, the goodnefs and jultice of the Supreme $\mathrm{B}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}$. ing

Widdom is the fource and principle of order: order requires, that rectitude of conduct, when freely chofen, thould be rewarded, and that depravity, if ob. 1 tinate and perfevering, when reititude is poffible, fhould be chafifed, a ftate of trial is therefore confift. ent with wifdom.

It is equally confiftent with goodnefs, to reward virtue when free from reftraint, is an effect of good. nefs, a flate in which virtue and vice are equally poffihe, is therefore confiftent with it. An action if not free from external or internal necellity, may $b$ niru. ous or vicious, but not the agent. The agent and not the action deferves reward or punifhment; it is therefore of indifpenfable neceffity that to deferve either reward, cr punihment, the aci on hould be the effect of choice. ofed and the ie very com. the order it. but a devia drawn from ren, and the ubject, they
hat a fate of with rectiing faculty nefs, and if rs of deter. o trifery, is have of the ípreme $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{f}}$ -
order : or hen freely vity, if ob. is poffible, ore confift.

- reward tof goodually poffiion is not $y$ b nt and not $t$ is there either rec effect of choice.
choice. That this fate of trial is confiftent with juftice is evident on the expofition: juftice gives to every man that, which of right belongs to him. If he be not mafter of his actions, he has no title, he deferves neither reward nor punifiment. Is a man who falls from a houfe, though he may efcape unhurt, entitled to a reward? Or a foldier who does not fly over a rampart deferving of punifhent?

To obviate numberlefs difficulties propoled by wild fpeculatifs and practical Atheifs, who from the irregularity of their conduct, having nothing to hope, and every thing to fear, endeavor to perfuade themfelves, and others, that there is not a God; or if there $\mathrm{se}_{2}$ that he muft be indifferent to actions, as they pretend, of inevitable neceffity. It mult be confidered that phi. lofophers proceed to demonftrate the exiftence of any caufe a priori as they term it, that is by fhewing im. mediately that the caufe does exif, and why it exifts, or a pofteriori, that is, concluding from the effects, the exiftence of the caufe. The former is the more forcible and the more perfuafive: the conviction, which it impreffes on the mind is not to be effaced; the latter mode of demonftration if inconfiftent with the former, muft contain a fallacy, whether the human mind detects it or not, becaufe truth is not incompatible with truth, but-verifimilitude is reconcileable with fallhood; hence it follows that all the difficulties, which are propofed, or the objections, which can poffibly be fated againft a truth founded on immediate demonfration ire ineffectual. Whether thefe objections appear capable of an immediate and decifive folution, or incapable, they only argue the fertility of the inventor's imaginanation, or the limitation of his undertandipg, but they. cannot affect the truth.

It muft be alfo remarked that there are numberlefs truths capable of immediate detnonfration, with ref-
pect to certain claffes in fociety, which, with refpect to others, are not only incapable of demonetration, but abfolutely repugnant to their ideas: thus an Aftronomer demonftrates on phyfical, and mathematical principles, the magnitude of any planet, its denfity, if there be an attendant fatellite, its attractive force and extent, the figure of its orbit, and time of its revolution, all which truths are as myfterious to the unlettered Captain, as the mof inconceivable truths of religion. Mathematical and phyfical truths he implicitly believes, becaufe they lay him under no reftraint, the truths of religion he denies, becaule they contradict his vicious inclinations ; againft the former he offers no objection, becaufe he does not underftand the fubject; againft the latter, though equally ignorant, he flates a thoufand difficulties. The gratification of his fenfual appetites, is therefore the motive of his judgment, and his only rule of action, fo true it is, that no man ever denied the exiftence of a God but he, who feared his juftice ; nor did any man ever think him infenfible or indifferent to his actions, if they were not vicious. To this may be added that, there are truths, capable of the moft rigid demonftration, not only inconceivable to the unlet ${ }_{\text {t }}$, tered but to the informed part of mankind, and which in fact appear repugnant to reafon; thus, for example, the Mathematician demonftrates the hyperbolical curve, if extended to infinity, inceffantly approaches its afo fymptots, but cannot touch them. The demonftration of this truth, however repugnant it may appear to our ideas, is mathematically exact, therefore all objections againtt this truth in whatever form they are fated, whatever appearance of demonftration they may affume, whether capable of folution or not, are fallaci. cus.
Thefe principles folve in the moft decifive manner; all the objections flated by Atheifts againft the exift.
th refipect to tration, but an Aftrono. natical prindenfity, if ve force and its revolutie unlettered of religion. tly believes, he truths of his vicious objection, againft the a thoufand 1 appetites, id his only ver denied his juftice ; indifferent this tnay of the moft the unlet ${ }_{t}$ and which rexample, lical curve, hes its af. onftration car to our objections are flated, may af. are fallaci-
manner, the exift. chc:
ence of a God, and by Deifts againtt his fuperintending providence : they give a fimple and fatisfactory anfwer to all their enquiries. If there be a God the Creator of all things, why create the tyger, the rattle-fnake? Why the fly or the beetle? The writer does not know why he created them; but as they are not felf-exiftent, have not made themfelves, could not be made by any other Being equally impotent, they muft have been created, and, as there is no action without an agent, there muft be a Creator, though the writer does not know for what immediate end he created a vaft number of creatures difgulting to our fight, and tafte, and noxious to our bodies, yet in their proporticns, their prefervation, and propagation, he fees the moft evident marks of his power and wifdom.

This anfwer is applicable to the enquiries of the Manichean, and modern Philofopher. If there be but one principle fovereignly good, why does he fuffer moral evil? We do not know why he permits it, but we know that a Being fovereignly malignant is a mere chimmera : for by a Being fupremely malignant is unIterfood, either a Being infinitely oppofite to a Being fovereignly good, or a Being poffeffed of the fane perfections, fubrtituting malevolence in the place of benevolence. There is but a non-entity deftitute of every perfection and infinitely oppofite to a Being poffeffed of all perfection, a non-entity cannot be an agent either good or bad. Wifdom, power, juftiee, mercy and malevolence are attributes which exclude each other. So that no fuch Being exifts or can exif. Hence then whether we can or cannot account for the exiftence of moral cvil under the direction of one God fovercignly good, to admit a malevolent principle independent of equal power, wifdom, \&c. is a grois abfurdity.

That pretended indifference, which the nodern Philofopher has introduced, with which he feeds his fance,
and flatters his fenfuality, is equally abfurd : the vigilant attention of the Creator to the inanimate, and ir. rational part of this vifible world, is evident in the motion of the Heavenly Bodies, the invariable laws to which they are fubject ; in the prefervation, and propagation of the feveral fpecies of brutes. What extran vagance to pretend that he fhould neglect the rational part of the world? That his cares thould be confined to the more ignoble part of his works, and the intel. ligent part, from which only he can obtain his tribute of praife and gratitude, be neglected ? But fays the Deift if his providence fuperintends the world why per. mit fo many diforders which are evident in the world? The writer replies once more we do not know why he permits them; but fince they are diforders, therefore tisere is an univerfal order, for a diforder is neither lefs nor more than a deviation from order, and where there is no order there can be no deviation from it, an univerfal order argues an univerfal caufe to eftablith and fupport it; the man who pretends that in the world there is neither order nor diforder, that human actions are neither virruous nor vicious, all equal and indiffer. ent, to murder a loving mother not more criminal than to fave her from the jaws of a hungry lion, is not to be reafoned with, but either confined in a mad houfe, or hunted from faciety like a wild beaft of the moft deftructive kind.

The Chriftian Piilofopher affigns the moft fatisfacto. $r y$ reafons to juftify providence in the diftribution of good and evil in the prefent life, and from that diftri. bution concludes the immortality of the foul with the utmoft certainty. That fubject will be difcuffed in the courfe of this work. We fhall now proceed to conif. der our God, this primary caufe, under another point of view, that is, as infinitely intelligent.

It is hid of man that he knows, when he fees, hears,
: the vigite, and ir lent in the ble laws to , and proWhat extran he rational e confined 1 the intel. his tribute t fays the 1 why per. he world? w why he therefore either lefs here there $t$, an unithlifh and the world an actions $d$ indiffer. inal than not to be inufe, or moft de.
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 ution of at diftri. with the ed in the to confi. ter points, hears, feels
feels of remembers, what he has feen, heard or felt, of draws conclufions from his ideas and fenfations. This is not applicable to God : in him there is no mutability of thought, no tranfition from thought to thought, no conclufions drawn from principles, no train of reafoning : that mind is moft comprehenfive, which contemplates the greateft number of objects at the fame inftant, and by the fame act. God being infinite in all his attributes, his mind infinitely comprehenfive, views all objects paft, prefent, future and poffible by the fame act and at the fame inftant, this aft of contemplation in God is not any thing diftinct from the divine nature, it is God himfelf contemplating. The fcience of God being identified with the divine nature, is infinite as the divine nature, and immutable ; fclence in man is an inherent quality, from the limitation of the human mind it cannot exceed a certain meafure, God is known to us in part, we know him to be incomprehenfible to any created underftanding, but the fcience of God being identified with the divine nature God perfectly knows himfelf, and fees himfelf, immediately in his own na*ture, from the infinitude of the divine nature no credted object can reprefent it, hence God fees all his creatures in himfelf, that is, in his effence; but he cannot fee his effence in his creatures, for even collectively taken they cannot poffefs that perfection, which is neceflary to rep:efent the divine effence, God therefore fees himfelf immediately in his own nature. It is manifeft that all his creatures are known to him, whether they actually exift, are to exift, or remain in a fate of mere poffibility. Why fo? Becaufe as he perfectly knows himifelf he knows his power of creating, and his will: the exiftence of all created Beings being folely de. pendent on the will of God, he mult know them, or they could not exift, and all the effects of caufes determined by the laws, which he has eftablifhed for the prefervation
prefervation and direction of the material worid ard equaily dependent on his will, there are therefore but the determinations of free agents, the actions of inteljigent Beings fubject to any difficulty ; but as the exift. ence of many creatures deperids eventually on thefe determinations of free agents, and the exiftence of all creatures depends effentially on the will of God, it is impofible that he flould forefee the one without forefecing the other : the exittence of all his creatures he mult know, he muft of courfe know the determinations of intelligent and frice agents, by which as fecondary caufes, he gives exiltence to many of his creatures. This prefcience in God is infallible, eternal and immutable: there is nothing in God which is not fn, nothing which is not identified with his nature ; but it impofes no fort of neceffity on the free agent : for at the fame time that God knows that, fuch or fuch an agent will form fuch or fuch a determination, produce fuch or fuch an act, he knows that the agent will determine itfelf, and act freely, if the agent be active and free in its nature ; or be determined by fome external caufe, if the agent be incapable of determining itfelf. Why fo? Becaufe all fecondary caufes act according to their nature; hence though the prefcience of God be iminutable, the action is contingent, becaufe the prefcience of God is identified with his nature, and immatable as he is himfelf; but the action which is the object of this prefcience, is inherent in one of his creatures, contingent as all creatures are, free if the creature be free, and neceflitated, if it be a blind cauf:.

What God furcfees will infallibly happen. Truc, but it will happen as he forefees it, that is, freely if the agent be free from reftraint, or neceflurily if the agent be fubject to internal or external neceflity. But what God forefees muft of all neceffity happen; yes, for it is not poffible to forefee an event which will not happen,
l world ard herefore but ns of intel. as the exift. on thefe detence of all f God, it is ithout forecreatures he erminations s fecondary is creatures. 1 and immufo, nothing it it impofes at the fame h an agent luce fuch or etermine itd free in its ial caufe, if Why fo? , their na. oe iminutarefcience of atable as he ject of this res, continure be free,
pen. Truc, frecly if the if the agent
But what es, for it is not happen, if,

If therefore the event be forefeen, it will infallibly hap: pen; but this prefcience impofes no neceffity on the tagent, nor is it the mediate, or immediate caure of its Hetermination : thus for example if I fee a man fall from a houfe, the man muft of all neceffity fall, or it would be impoffible for me to fee him fall; but my fight impofes no neceffity on the mañ, nor is it the mediate or immediate caule of his fall : it is hot becaure God forefees the man's determination that the man determines to aft in fuch or fuch a manner ; but it is becaufe the man determines, that God forefees it; as in the cafe of the fall, it is not becaule I fee him that the man falls; but it is becaufe he falls that Ifee him.

But if the mian did not come to that precife determination God would be deceived! No, for he would not have forefeen it, it is impomble to forefee an event which will not happen:
To obviate a difficulty, which may feem embarraffing, that is, how it is poffible to forefee the determination of the mind, which is in itfelf indetermined, and miftrefs of its actions, it muft be confidered that the alind is itfelf dependent on God for its exitterice, and that all its inclinations are known to him, as are alfo the particular circuinftances in which it is placed, this is certainly more than fufficient to fhew a lBeing of infinite intelli: gence, what will be its determination. This prefcience of God fees the effect which is not yet in exifence, but will infallibly happen, though it is not the caufe of that effect, nor does it impofe any neceflity on the agent, as if by revelation I know that it will rain on a certain day: can any previous knewledge be corifidered as the caufe of the rain? If the medium affigned be thought infufficient to juftify the infallibility and immutability of God's prefcience, let it be confidered alfo that God is independent on his creatures, that they are all dependent on him; that his prefeience
identified with his nature is immutable and infallible as the divine nature; he therefore invariable in himfelf, fees all things vary, unchangeable in himfelf he fees all things change, without any fucceffion of thought, or tranfition frem thought to thought, he fees all things in fucceflion. In what medium? In himfelf, that is, in his will, all thofe beings, which are determined to have an exiftence in any circumftance of time; in his power, all Beings; which are merely poffible, and all their poffible relations; in the order of caufes he fees their effects, and as the human will is the immediate caufe of its own actions, and deter. minations, in the will he fees its determinations. The exiftence of an immenfe variety in the fucceflion of Beings, depends on, or rather refults from the determinations of the human will; does it follow that, God in giving exiftence to thefe Beings, is dependent on the determinations of the will in the execution of the plan which he lias propofed in the creation of the world and the fuccefion of the Beings which compofe It? No! but it follows that, from eternity he faw at the fame inftant, and in the fame view, all caufes and effeets whether naturally tefulting, or free determinati: ons, that of courfe he is dependent on the order which lie himfalf eftablifhed in the esecution of his plan; and fince in the order of Beings there are many intelle:tus al caufes, he owes to himfelf to prefete their nature entire, taking the refult of their determinations into the plan of his operations.

As in his effence God fees all his creatures, hats a clear, a diftinct and adequate knowledge of their nature, and operations, it may be fufpected that evil being a corruption of nature God may not know it, as in the divine effence there is no example of evil: to this the writer replics that evil is known by its oppolite good, as falhood is known by its oppofite truth, neither
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ther evil nor falthood can be known in themfelves, ar in any reprefentation, fallfood is a negation of truth, and evil a negation of goodnef, negations have no quat. lities, of courfe cannot be reprefented.

It is ufelefs to remark that this primary caue inf: nitely perfect is not matter, nor any particle or element ${ }^{\text {a }}$ of matter : matter is fo far from being infinitely perfect, that it is fubject to all forts of imperfections; all matter is compofed. $A$ more powertul agent is therefore neceffary to effect this compofition, every element of matter is circumfcribed and dependent on the cir: cumjacent elements for the place which it occupies in this vifible world, which is inconfiftent with the nature of a primary caufe infinite and independent.

It was formerly afferted by a impious Atheift, under the affumed title of Philofpher, that in this vifible world there was but one indivifible fubftance, that all other thiags were Lat modifications of thris one fubfance, which he qualified with the title of God, fo that the ox's horns and the afs's ears were but modifications of this pretended God. They might ferve to adorn the head of the inventor of a fyftem, in which it is difficult to determine whet her impiety or abfurdity. prevaills.

Na abfurdity can be greater than to pretend that an univerfal fubttance exifts, and notindividüals In which it exifts, as if humanity exifted, not men, as f men compofed of bones, fleth, sce. were not fubitances; but modifications of this imaginary univerfal fub. ftance; nor is there any impiety greater than to pretend that, this imaginary fubftance, in which modifications are fuppofed inherent, which exclude each other, as heat and cold, the cruelty of the tyger, and the meeknefs of the lamb, is a God. The writer palles unnoticed his axioms, definitions, and pretended demonftrations : againt tank nonfenfe it is ufeiefs to reafung

From the principles hitherto laid down, it is maniz feft that there exifts a primary caufe, almighty, inder pendent, infinitely perfect, fovereignly wife and intelligent, feeing from eternity all future events, whether refulting from natural caufes, or the determinations of free agents, permitting all fecondary caufes to act according to their nature, and difpofing all events according to the order of that general plan, which in his wifdom he has formed; it is alfo manifeft that this primary caufe is one, fimple and indivifible, excluding multiplicity, and compofition, whether phyfical of metaphyfical ; that in this caufe there are no inherent qualities, no attributes diftinct from the divine nature, or from each other; that when we feak of the mer: cy, the juftice, the power, the wifdom, \&c. of the Di. vinity, it is the fame divine nature, which we confider under different points of view, the limitation of our, underfanding preventing us from taking in all thefe attributes at the fame view.

That in the order of Beings, which compofe the univerfe, there are many intelligent Beings fubordinate ta this firft great caufe, is manifeft from the idea which we have of truth, of goodnefs, of juftice, \&c. which are merely intellectual, and fall under none of our fenfes: truth for example has neither colour, tafte, fmell nor found, nor can it come into contact with us: it confifts in the conformity of the attribute with its fubject; of it we have 2 clear, a diftinct idea, not from any one of our fenfes, it is therefore purely intellectual, and thews that this intelligent faculty is inherent in us.

We are now to confider whether this thinking principle within us, this intelligent Being, which reafons and directs, and to which many, thou:gh not all the movements of our bodies, when well difpofed are fubjeet, be material or fomething diftinct from matter.
n , it is maniz nighty, inder fe and intellints, whethen eterminations caufes to act $g$ all events n, which in ifeft that this le, excluding phyfical or no inherent ivine nature, of the merc. of the Di . h we confiden ation of our g in all thefe pofe the unibordinate ta eidea which , \&c. which one of our olour; tafte, act with us: ute with its idea, not e purely infaculty is

## inking prin-

 hich reafons not all the fed are fub. matter.If we believe the Materialift there is nothing in thin univerfe but matter ; of courfe our reafoning faculty $i z$ an attribute of matter ; our móf elevated thoughts gur moft abftract ideas are modifications of matter. A Philofopher celelsrated in certain fchools, the once filent retreats of truth and fcience; but from which both havé been banifhed, at firft by the iron hand of power, and in fucceeding ages by prejudice, paffion and penal ftatutes, pretends, that matter, though compofed of parts, may receive from almighty power the faculty of thinking; he might as well pretend that, a circle without ceafing to be a circle, might become a triangle; the power of God extends to every thing. which is poffisle, that is, to every thing the conftituent parts, or attributes of which, do not exclude each other, fuch chimeras are not the objects of power. If the principles of this Philofopher be admitted, we may expect to hear a differtation on the nature and effects of fire, by a learned pot : for if one particle of matter be poffefled of the faculty of thinking, no reafon can be affigned why all others fhould be excluded.

The operations of the mind effentially exclude the idea of matter. Of whatever nature the primary or component elements of matter be whether divifible or indivifible a queftion as yet undetermined, matter is $j$ tfelf moft certainly divifible and compored of parts. The operations of the mind are indivifible, and as the modifications of any fubject are of the fame nature with the fubject itfelf, being nothing elfe but the fubject modified, if the modifications or operations of the mind are indivifible, of all neceflity the mind muft be fo. We have an idea of truth, an idea of thought. Truth is not divifible nor is thought half a truth, or half a thought is a mere chimera of which we can form no idea

Add to this that matter can never act on what is not immediatc:y
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immediately prefent, the mind reflects on the paft, and forefess future events, it reflects on itfelf and on its: own operations, of this matter is totally incapable. The mind conceives that it is impoffible the fame thing fhould be and not be at the fame time, and this opera. tion is abfolutely impoffible ta any fabject compofed of parts: if it be faid that the idea of what is, is in one part of the fubject, and the idea of what is not, in another: part of the fubject, neither the one nor the other can pronounce the impoffibility of their co-exiftence; if it be
paft, and ad on its able. The ne thing is operampored of ane part I'another: ther can: e; if it ba f what is on can be as exclu. oth ideas would again revery part: ny judg. nd after hich can
t which bfurdity on wheive the the fub: th thefe Being, fiftency gative : idea of a idea ne nor t, gold judgother, he
he compares thefe ideas and finds them inconfiftent, and then declares that the one is not the other. Again the mind is unconfined in its operations, limited neither by time, nor fpace, and frequently ranges in the regions of imaginary face; from the knowledge of one thing it proceeds to that of another, it forms abs ftract ideas, confiders Beings in a ftate of mere poffibility, reafons on Beings confeffedly immaterial, virtue, vice, truth, fallhood, calculation of numbers. Of all thefé operations matter is totally incapable : neither motion nor reft, fituation hor figure, nor any poffible operation of matter, will give an idea of truth, of mercy or benevolence, nor place matter itfelf in the regions of inaginary face or inftitute a mathematical demonftration.

But fays the Materialift we do not know all the pros perties of matter, the faculty of thinking may be amongit thefe latent properties.

It is true we do not know all the properties of mats ter, but we know that amongtt the unknown proper: ties of matter there are none which exclude thefe we know, none inconfiftent with thefe we know, and of the properties, which we know there are fome which exclude the porfibility of thought, that is extenfion and figure.

But fays the celebrated Phillofopher fo dear to the Lathet Materialift, our conceptions do not confine omnipotence, we are not to conclude that matter does not think becaufe we do not conceive it poffible. True! our conceptions are not the meafure of almighty power. Many things are poffible of which we can form no idea at all, and many things do exift which appear tepugnant to our fenfes. We do not conclude that matter cannot think, becaufe we do not conceive it poffible that matter fhould poffefs the faculty of think. ing ; but we conclude that matter does not pofiefs this faculty;
faculty, becaufe we elearly and eafily conceive that it is impoffible it fhould, and we know that this impofibis lity is founded in the nature of things; that a think: ing pan or kettle is a ridiculous chimera, we know that the object of power is a Being, the compodnent parts of which, or the corffituent attributes, do not exclude each other, as a true falliood, or a triangular circle; or a thinking pot.

Matter we conceive to be a folid fubfance compofed of parts, the idea of vegetation or fenfation is not ins cluded in the effential attributes of matter; yet we firid matter capable of vegetation and fenfation, why not of thought? For this fimple reafon, that the effen-
titu cons
ve that it tis simpofibis at a think: we know he compotributes, do or a trian. e compoled n is not in
$r$; yet we ation, why $t$ the effen. fibility of ich may be fition and le fenfitive and Cenfati. $y$ - of courfe ea of truth, creafed nor' ad cannot particle of it thinking hiriks, and a fpiritual : irrefiftible 1, who exIt is, wher xamine it, e thought; er a ppears erfelf, and the fubject dently fpit rituaj;
ritual, of which matter can by no polibility be, or bo conceived capable.

As to the union of this firitual fubitance with the body compofed of matter, we know it exifts the man; ner, in which this furprifing union is formed we do not, know. That the foul acts on the body and the bodr: on the foul is equally certain; the pringiples on which. their mutual operations depend as affigned by different Philofophers are fome abfolutely falfe, and others un: certain, this argues a defect in our underftading bui does not affect a truth, which is known by inconteftible. experience:

But in fine fays the Materialif the fouls of brutes are. capable of thought, and yet compofed of matter. To this laft refuge of the Materialift the writer replies if it be true, as he pretends, that, that principle of action, or rather that paffive principle, which he calls a foul in the brute, be capable of thought, it is nơt compofed of matter ; or if it be compofed of matter it is nof capable of thought : whatever fyfem be affumed to account for the appearance of reafon in brutes, it is me: taphyfically true, that fimple and indivifible thought cannot be a modification of any fubject cumpored of parts, if therefore this active or paffive principle in the brute thinks it is not matter; and if it be tnatter if does not think.
Some Philofophers celebrated in tlie fchools pretend that brutes are mere automatons, and reduce all their operations to mechanifm. Though the writer does not believe this fyftem triue, the poffibility is incontrover: tible : fuch inacluines being evidently within the reach of infinite power and wifdom. In the principles of thefe Philofophers the Materialit's objection vanithes. If the brutes be mere machines fet in motion by ferings; whatever appearance of reafon be in their operations theie is neither theught nor reflection, thefe Philofo. E
phers
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Phers confirm their opinion by arguments dratin froin the human body, which may be confidered as an hÿ: draulic machine, in which the powers of mechanifm are wonderfully combined. In the human body there are innumerable latent fprings; which are never called into action but by accident; it is by thefe dormant fprings that, when a leg or an arm is taken off, a new communication betweèn the árteries and veins is formed, for the conveyance of the blood; this is an effect of mechanifm, totally independent on the will, why may not the brutes, fay they, be compoled of fprings fo contrived as by the impreffion of external objects to produce all thefe operations, which we admire? To this may bie added that the operations of anl individuals of the rame fjecies are invariably the fame. The young fquirrel, that has not yet feen' a winter, makes às ample a provifion of nuts as the old. This famenefs in the operations of the young and the old flow the mechanifm to be the fame.

- As to the inftruction, of which fome brutes are capable, it deperds on the organization of the animal, which máy be rendered more or lefs perfećt by prac: tice, not precept, of which the brute is incapable, if ivords be added, they are applied as found, which act on the animal's fenfe of hearing, not as figns to convey ideas to its underftanding, hence no general principles; no mathematical demonffrations, no new inventions, in a word no intellectual operation is within the animal's reach, becaufe it poffeffes no intelligent faculty. The whole of the animal's knowledge is confined to its fenfes, and the fenfes are acted on by prefent objects, no conclufions drawn from principles, no retrofpeet, no forethought:

Whether the animal be confidered as an automaton; or poffeffed of fome paffive cognoffent principle, it is tmanifeft that a certain order, and appearance of reafort mult appear in its motions, becaufe it is under the ins:
drawn froink red as an hÿ:f mechanifm n body there never called iefe dormant n off, a new eins is forms an effect of II, why may of fprings fo sal objects to admire ? To dil individu. fatme. The inter, makes his famenefs old fhew the
tes are capathe animal, fećt by prac: incapable, if , which act ns to convey cal principles; nventions, in the animal's aculty. The 1 to its fenfes, $s$, no conclufiforethought: automaton; rinciple, it is nce of reafors nder the inf: immediat
fmmediate direction of fovereign reafon, and intended to fulfil fome end ; thus an arrow though deftitute of fenfe and reafon, paffes with the utmof regularity, to the mark intended by the archer. The arrow, you will fay, is fubfervient to the eftablifhed laws of motion, does not change its own direction, not for the animal, True, but if you compare the limited power and reafon of the archer, to omnipotence and omniccience; and conceive that effects are always correfpondent to the power of action in their caules, you will find that the regularity in the flight of the arrow is more wonderful than the motions of the moft cunning animal.

Man being compofed of fubitances effentially different; and fubftantially united, lice active and intelligent principle is, in many of its operations, dependent on the difpofition of the body, more particularly in the aequifition of ideas, a great number of which are noe attainable but through the medi other of the fenfes, this dependence of the foul on the difpofition of the body furnifhes the Materialiftanop ther pretence. The foul, fays he, is weak in the chitd; ftrong in the man, it grows with the bady, languifles and dies with it. The very dependence of the foul on the difpafition of the body, in many of its operations, from which the Materialift draws his objection folves the difficulty, becaufe it follows of neceffity, that the operations of the foul, dependant on the difpofition of the body, muft be more or lefs perfect according to that difpofition, that its knowledge muft increafe with its years, and that every derangement in the organis zation of the body, mnuft produce a correfpondent derangement in the operations of the foul, but this mutual dependence docs not Shew that they are fimilar fubftances, or that the active principle, in which there is no principle of diffolution, may not exift after the union, by the deftruction of the body, is difcolved,

To conclude this article : there is not an operation of the mind, which does not argue its fimplicity, which does not thew it to be an uncompofed, indivifible and active principle, it is not the eye that judges of colours, nor is it the ear that examines the nature of founds, all the fenfes are inlets, and the fame indivifible Being fees, hears, taftes and fmells. If this principle be fup: pofed material how take in fuch an immenfe quantity of objects at the fame view ? Where fow all the objects contained in the memory? How extend its refearches In futurity? Where place the ideas of truth, of virtue, of vice, of difhonefty, which affect no fenfe? How compare ideas and draw conclufions from fixed and efernal principles? Thefe operations of the human mind fhew, in the frongeft light, the ignorance, abfurdity and impiety of the Materialift. It is a melancholy truth that fuch monfters in human fhape do exift amondf $\mu \mathrm{s}$, and that the gratification of fenfual appetites degrades a man fo low as to deprive him of the light of reafon.

As this intelligent Being, which we call a foul, is not felf-exiftent; cannot receive an exiftence from any Being equally imperfect and impotent, it argues the exiftence of an omnipotent and eternal caufe, on which It :depends for its cxiftence and prefervation in that flate of exiftence.

The foul of man, not bcing compofed of jarringiclements like the body, has no principle of diffolution within it, it is therefore immortal of its own nature, and as it cannot give itfelf exiftence, when in a ftate of mere poffibility, nor receive it from any other Being equally impotent, fo, when placed in a flate of exiftence, it cannot deprive itfelf of exiftence, nor be deprived of it by any power inferior to that from which it holds exiffence, it therefore cannot be deftroyed but by annihilation.
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That the foul muft exift, when the union with the body is diffolved, is evident from this, that in its moft perfect operations it is independent on the body, that isp in realoning on univerfal principles, which though eternal and immutable cannot affect any one of its fenfes, hence it follows that a feparation from the body only ferves to perfect the foul, and as perfection and corruption are effentially oppofite, what perfects cannot corrupt, or deftroy, the foul therefore muft exift when the union with the body is diffolved, if not reduced: to non-exiftence by annihilation.

To pretend that the foul, immortal of its own na: ture, capable of greater perfections in its higheft operations in a fate of feparation, than when united with the bod'y, thould be deftroyed by almighty power is rafh and extravagant.. No reafon can be affigned for this pretended annihilation, whilf reafons not fimply. plaufible put conclufive and incontrovertible fhew the contrary.

In the firft place the defire of immortality is natural to man. "It is univerfal, and has been fa from the commencement; in vain does the Atheif, or the Materialif, pretend that this may be the effeet of prejudice or education : he might as well pretend that the defire of life or the means to fupport it, is the effect of prejudice or education.

Prejudices are variable, $f_{0}$ is education, and the opinions depending on them as variable as the principles, on which they depend; the defire of immortality is invariable, as univerfal as the defire of meat and drink ; it is therefore founded in our nature, impreffed on the foul by its author, confequently it cannot be vain, if the author of ntture, in whom veracity, widdom and power are inlinite, be not fuppofed to fport with his creatures, and amufe himfelf with deceiving them, which furpaffes abfurdity.
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There are many who do not defre immortahity Perhaps there may be fome impious, profligate, and unprincipled characters, who have nothing to expect but the punifhment due to their crimes, to whom of courfe immortality is not defirable. There are men *ithout nofes, and fome without eyes, does it follow that an eye or a nofe is not natural to man ? No ! but that, through the interpofition of fome fecondary faufes, there are monfters in the phyfical world; in like manner if there be an Atheif or a Materialif, who does not defire immortality, it only proves that thers. are monfters in the moral world, fo abforbed in fenfuality as to be deprived of the light of reafon: The gualities natural to any \{pecies are not to be fought for in monfters, in which there muft be either an excref, cence, or a defect, but they are found in the more perfect individuals of the fpecies. That this defire of im, mortality is deeply implanted in the minds of all good men was never denied even by the Atheift.

In the next place, the mind of man is unlimited in its defires, the more it knows, the mbre it defires to know, the greater its poffeffions the more it extends its views. One object attained is bur an incentive to purfue another ; it is therefore manifelt that nothing flort of infinitude can fill the capacity of the mind, and equal. ly evident that infinitude is not attainable but by imp mortality, where truth is feen in its fource, and fills the capacity of the underftanding, and infinite excel. lence fixes the defires of the will, there being no other object which it can defire. This unlimited capacity, in the mind of man, Hews that he has been intended for the poffefion of infinite excellence. Whence thele terrors in the mind of the vicious or rather flagitious man? Whilft with impunity and horror he $\varphi$ ppreffes jnnocence, and increafes his poffeflions with the fpoils of the defencelefs? And whence this fecret fatisfaction ofligate, and ing to expect to whom of eere are men oes it follow If No! but c fecondary. orld $;$ in like. erialif, who ves that there. bed in fenfu. reafon. The e, fought for: er an excref he more perdefire of im Is of all grod limited in its res to know, ds its views. purfue anoing thort of d, and equal. but by imf ce, and fills finite exceling no other capacity, in intended for hence thele ier flagitious he uppreffes the fpoils fatisfaftion in
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Tt the foul of the juft man, when he refieves the dif trefs of his moft inveterate enemy, forgetting in the day of his diftrefs that he is an enemy, and remembering that he cannot ceafe to be his brother ? Does not that fenfe of rectitude implanted in the mitid of man, point out an immortality, in which the juft mant expects the reward of his virtue, and the oppreffor of moocence and weaknefs fears the punifiment of his crimes? But virtue fays the Materialit is its own teward, the ferife of having done a virtuous act rewards the action. It is true the fenfe of virtue foothes in affiction, and pleafes in profperity; but it is from the profect of futurity, in which that reward, which is here denied, will be obtained. Virtue without a re. ward either here or hereafter, either in fatt, or in tiope, though good in itfelf, is not the fource of any thing that is good. Vice recwarded here, and having nothing to fear tiereafter, is preferable; hence it fol: lows that the Atheir, and Materialift muft be a flagitious man or a fool ; that any appearance of virtue in foch a character is mere hypocrify, a maks affumed to iinpofe on the unwary.

Now let us fuppofe that virue fiom its innate beauty, and the fatisfaction which attends virtunus actions may, without any profpect of immortality, be an inducement to practice virtue in fome initances; will it in all poffible conjunctures ? And fappofing it it rewatd in fome inftances will it in all? What is the rewatd of the virtuous man whofe innocence is oppreffed by power, his reputation ruined by calumny, his family degraded and retiueed to beggary, arid hie hin:felf dragged as a criminal to a gibbet ? In this life he can have no reward, becaufe he is deprived of life; In a future he can have none, if we believe the Materialif.

And what is the puniffment of a mercilefs Tymant; tho

Who fxerifices thoufands to his amufement? Who; infenfible to the cries of defencelefs women and children. buries them in the ruins of the cities which his ambition levels with the ground? An immortality is indifpenfably neceffary to reward the unmerited and unrewarded fufferings of the former, and to punifh the ferocious cruelty of the latter.
It is therefore manifef that the foul, immortal of its own nature, will not be annihilated by the power of its Creator. Add to this that a creature does not attain its ultimate end until its natural defires are fatisfed, the foul of man naturally, and invincibly, defires happinefs, and a perpetual continuation of happinefs. The author of hurnan nature does not withdraw that, which perfects nature, that without which it cannot attain its ultimate end. The poffibility of annihilation is indifputable : the power which creates can annihilate; nothing lefs than infinite power can do either. The diftance between non-exiffence and exiftence whe
t? Who, innd children. his ambitir. lity is indifd and unre. nifh the fenortal of its he power of does not at$s$ are fatisfibly, defires f happinefs. idraw that, $h$ it cannot innihilation can annihido either. ftence whe e to any li. ith the pre$t$ is mani will appear
lent on the able of ex.' feparation, imple and folute and ording too Tigns two united to in inferior 1 as gold is e grodnefs , but aifo enabling
enabling him by his own efforts and the excrcife of his faculties to attain a more exalted end, than that for which human nature feems intended. And the fe: cond, that the body itfelf elevated by its union with the foul, and purified by the practice of thefe virtues, of which it is the inftrumental caufe, may be placed In a more fublinie fituation, fo that the foul may be to the body, what God is to the foul.
. As it is imporible that a Being which is itfelf defti: tute of intelligence could, give exiftence to intelligent Beings, from the exiftence of fo many fpirits in the intellectual world, that man muft be ignorant indeed tho does not fee that there muif be a primary caufe fovereignly intelligent, a pure intellectual Being eminently poffeffed of all the powers and perfections, which It fo bountifully, and abundantly beftows on its creatures. This primary caufe is what in common language we call God the firft object of his will is his own infinite goodnefs: in this lie fees all that is pleafing in his creatures; as it is natural for goodnefs to commu. inicate itfelf to thefe he gave exiftence at the commence ${ }^{2}$ thent of time, yet to thew his fovereign independence from eternity he was equally happy and glorious without them; their exiftence, of non exiftence; argues no change in him, who is eternal, athd immutable, but in them, who are from the neceffity of their being imperfect, fubject to change. According to our limited mode of conception a fort of progrefs may be remarked in the love of God, his own excellence the firt and principal object, the excellence and beauty of the univerfe as reduced to it, and the goodnefs of each particular object in reference to the whole, hence it is clear that what may appear to us a defect may be a perfection confidered with refpect to the whole, of which it is a part.

The excellence of God, to which nothing can be com= • F
pareds
pared, is a fufficient reafon for his loving himelf, his goodnefs alfo is a fufficient reafon for creating the world; but a reafon of mere convenience, not of neceffity: fot it is convenient and confiftent with fovereign goodnefs to communicate itfelf in fome meafure, yet it is totally independent becaufe it is neither encreafed nor diminifhed by the goodnefs of its creatures.

Fear, hope, defire, forrow, repentance, or any other paffion, which argues a change in the fubject, are inconfiftent with the divine nature. Love is not; God loves himfelf and all his creatures, the beauty of the object attracts our will, the love of God is not attracted by the goodnefs of the object, it is efficient, not affective, it infules goodnels and beauty in the object, and though the love of God to his creatures be one fimple act identified with his effence, and incapable of encreafe or diminution, from the greater or lefs excellence, which he communicates, it may be denominated greater, or lefs, in this fenfe he does not love all his creatures équally. Some Philofophers have taught that God from the neceffity of his Being, is determined to create this world, and that a more, or lefs perfect, he could not create, this is perfectly impious, and hardly deferves a refutation.

A chriftian Philofopher admitting the liberty of God to create, or not to create, pretends that of all pofible fyflems, the prefent one is the moft perfect, this upinion thoughnot fo impious as the former, is equally abfurd, as if the perfection of the whole, does not confift in the perfection of it's component parts; and as if there be any one part of the vifible world, or even the intellectual world, at its ultimate point of perfection, fo that cmnipotence could not add one degree of perfection more to it.
7 he creation of a world more, or lefs, perfect, is an effeit of choice, fo that Ged is perfectly free to create or
himfelf; hit ing the world; neceffity: fot eign goodnefs et it is totally Ced nor dimi-
or any other ect, are incon; God loves of the object tracted by the ffective, it inand though mple act idenncreafe or dince, which he eater, or lefs, ures equally. from the nete this world, d not create, ves a refutati-
iberty of God f all fofitible $t$, this upiniis equally abs not confift is ; and as if d, or even the of perfection, legree of per-
rfect, is an efe to create or not
not ta create, to create a world more or lefs perfect God loves himfelf neceffarily; it is impoffible that $\mathrm{fo}_{2}$ vereign wifdom hould not direct him to love fove reign goodnefs, his creatures he loves becaufe he created them, not becaufe they are neceffary to his happia nefs, his exillence, his goodnefs, his fanctity are independent on his creatures; on the contrary all his creatures depend on him. Moreover that liberty, which is enjoyed by many of his creatures, he muft poffers in a inore eminent degree, elfe he could not communicate it. It may be faid that, the divine will, as the divine knowledge, being identified with the divine nature, Cod wills of neceflity all that he wills, as lie. knows of necelfity, all that he knows. The difference confifts in this, that the knowledge of objects, has a reference to the underftanding in which they are known, but the will has a reference to the objects as they are in themfelves.
Though the will of God be eternal, and immutable and the efficient caufe of all his creatures, it does not follow that his creatures fhould exift from eternity, but that they fhould exift in the fucceflive order, in which by a free act of his will, he predifpofed them, nor does it follow that their exiftence is neceffary, but hypothe. tically, that is, in the fuppofition of God's determina. tion to create them.

In God there is no paffive indifference, nor is it meceffary in us; an inftant previous to action is indif, penfably neceffary to examine motives, and decide in confequence. This inftant is called the inftant of elec.tion. God, whofe knowledge is infinite, decides immediately, without any examination of motives, and his decifion, or decrece, is the exercife of the moft perfect liberty, being an effeet of active indifference; un. der no influence of any external caufe, or preponderating motive capable of neceflitating the will: his goodnef
goodnefs may be confidered as an inducement to create - world, on which his own happiners, the only object capable of influencing his will, is totally independent.

From this reafoning it appears that the immutability of God's decrees is perfectly confiftent with his liberty : for the exercife of this liberty requires no paffive indifference, no previous exainination of motives, no fucceeding change, in a word nothing which argues the Thadow of inconfiftency. A difcuffion of any kind, an enquiry into the efficacy of means, a decifion contrary to a former decifion, are inconfiftent with God'simmutability; all thefe are excluded from the exercife of his liberty.

God, being the firft great and univerfal caufe, it is manifeft that all fecondary caufes are dependent on him in their actions, of courfe that nothing can happen contrary to his will, hence it does not follow that the actions of men are not free, and contingent; it only argues the efficacy of God's will in conftituting all fecondary caufes fo that they may produce their effects according to their nature, yet all fubordinate to his fupreme will. Arfenic poifons, and bread nourifhes. Thefe are blipd caufes, their effects are invariably the fame; they are determined by the Author of their being, whofe fovereign will conflitutes the nature of all his creatures. The man thinks, fpeaks and acts free from co-action, from without, or neceffity from within, but not liberated from his dependerice on the primary, and univerfal caufe; without the concurrence of which no fecondary caufe can act ; hence it follows that, notwithfanding the exiftence of moral evil in this world, the will of God is always fulfilled. It is true, the malice, or deformity of moral evil, is contrary to the will of God; but the permiffion of the act in which this deformity, or, if you will, this non-conformity with the law is found, is not contrary to his will : as the nature
ment to create he only object independent. immutability th his liberty: no paffive inotives, no fucich argues the f any kind, an cifion contrary with God'simthe exercife of
fal caufe, it is dependent on ng can happen ollow that the ngent ; it only conftituting all ce their effects ordinate to his ead nourifhes. invariably the or of their bee nature of all and acts free y from within, n the primary, rence of which lows that, notin this world, ue, the malice, to the will of which this demity with the as the nature
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of man is imperfect and defective, and that, in his wifdom, he permits him to act according to his nature, and moreover as the refult of this act, morally bad, is always directed by God to fomething which is good, the permifion of the act is gond, and confiftent with fovereign goodnefs. From this permiffion, or rather non prevention of evil, it does not follow, that God is the caufe of fin either directly, or indirectly, or even by accident, as Philofophers fpeak. He is not the direat caufe of fin, becaufe he does not intend it, the proper ufe of liberty is intended by him, not the abuie of it, not to fay that a non-preventing caufe, and mare particularly a caufe not obliged to prevent, was never thought an efficient caufe of any act, either directly, or indirectly, or by accident, in a word the malice of any human act confifting in its non conformity with fome law, is a cefect not an effect, it argues a deficient caufe not an efficient one.

A queftion of great importance comes next under confideration, that is, if man be a free agent. How: ever ftrange it may appear that, an opinion abfurd in itfelf, contradicting the fenfe of mankind, degrading man from the rank, which he holds in the order of Beings, annịhilating vice and virtue, rendering rewards and punifhments ineffectual, fubverfive of focial order and deftructive of all fociety, thould be adopted, and publicly ayowed, by men ftiling themfelves Philofor phers, and pretending to correct old errors, and difpel that illufion, which deceived the world from the commencement, it is not the lefs true : our modern Philofophers have difcovered that man is a well regulated piece of clock-work ; an animated machine ; that all his thoughts, his ideas, his fenfations, his words, his actions, are neceffarily connected, and fucceed each other in order, from the neceffity of his Being, as the links in a chain or the movements in a clock. Klyum teneatis amici.
ft is irkfome to reafon againft nonfenfe, yet the cam fequences of this opinion, publicly avowed, and ob, truded on a deluded people, in a thouland different forms, oulige us to examine the fophiftry of its abet tors, and the different fallacies which with unparalelled effrontery they call conclufive reafons.
It mult bo obferved in the firft place that external force produces co-action, an internal impulfe irrefintible, is called neceffity, impulfe however ftrong, if not irrefiftible is an inducement to act, but not inconfitent with liberty. Co-action and necefity are incompatible with freedom of action, or determination.

Beings exift from the neceffity of their nature, which cannat be fuppofed non-exitent. Men's actions, in the opinion under confideration, are of that character, each fucceeding act being neceffarily connected with the preceding, fo that, it is as neceffary that the highwayman fhould murder the innocent, and unfufpecting traveller, at that precife point of time, and in that very place, where the murder is committed, as that there fhould be an eclipfe of the Moon, at that precife time, and in that part of the expanfe, where the Earth's place in the ecliptic, is in the right line drawn from the Moon's place in its path to the Sun.

Beings are faid to be contingent, when they may be fuppofed to exit, or not, thus if man be a free agent, the highwayman might, without abfurdity, be fuppoa fed to abttain from the murder. This fuppofition is fat very natural that, a Judge, upon conviction, will order the highwayman for execution, thinking not only: that he might, but that he ought to have abftained from the murder.

If man has any object in view, if he purfues an ead, the object may be attainable by one, or differene means, if the end be attainable but by one mean, that mean is called neceflury, not fimply, as if the mean
yet the can. ved, and ob; Cand different $y$ of its abet th unparalel.
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Exifted from the neceffity of its being, but it is necel. fary to the attainment of the end in view, thus a vef. fel is neceffary to crofs the ocean, if a man intends to do fo, and food is neceffary to fupport life.
If the fame end may be attained by different means, they are called ufeful, not neceflary. A carriage is ufeful in a long journey.
There is alfo a twofold indifference,' paffive, and active, this paffive indifference is peculiar to all inanimate Beings, which are indifferent to reft or motion in any direction, and will remain in any fate, in which they are placed until removed by fome external agent.
Active indifference is the refult of reaion, the foumdation of, diberty in man, and in all intellectual Beings.
This active indifference, or power of felf-determina:tion, enables a man to purfue an object, or its oppofite, ot ceafe from the purfuit; to affume or reject any mean, or choofe between two, or more, means equal, or unequal.
Does man poffers this power of felf determination ? This active indifference ? Is he mafter of his actions? Are they the refult of choice, or the effect of irrefiltible impulfe? The world was in poffeffion of believing man a free agent. What an effort of generofity in our modern Philofophers to attempt to undeceive it? But if the deception be natural to man, a link in the chain of his idfasa, our Philofophers have to lament his fate, leave him to his deftiny, and thank their fars that they themfelves are but animated machincs, monkeys of a larger fize; and that they have the candor to acknowledge it, whether the world beiieve them or not.
The writer believes the modern Philofopher a factitious monkey : his babbling refembles the chatering of his brother brute, his pretenfions to honor, honelty, integrity
integrity or any other human virtue is mere grimace; the factitious brute however furpaffes the natural, in folly, impudence and vanity. Of this picture he muft not complain; lie knows that every impulfe is irrefitible, the writer is, therefore, irrefiftibly impelled to claifs thefe hitherto non-defcripts called inodern Philofophers amongft factitious monkeys.

God being infinitely perfect, and perfectly free; tither from co-action or irrefiftible impulfe, may create man a free agent : it is not impoffible to omnipotence, nor inconfiftent with goodnefs, nor repugnant to man's dependence on liis maker; for though his defires are boundlefs, he cannot attain the different objects, which he defires without the concurrence of his maker, fo that notwithftanding his liberty of determination and action, he is always in a ftate of dependence.

The majefty, the wifiom, the mercy, and the juf. tice of God, are manifeft in that indifference of action which man enjoys, his majefty in being ferved by choice, his mercy in forgiving offerces on condition of penance, lis juitice in rewarding virtue, when vice is practicable, and punifhing vice whilft virtue is poffible, and his wifdom, in effabliffing moral laws, the obfervance of which conftitutes true happinefs here, and conducts to endiefs happinefs hereafter.
$K$ is in vain to pretend that mere fpontaneity is fuffi: cient to conftitute liberty. If fo a wolf in purfuit of prey would be a free agent, and his liberty increafo with his hunger, becaufe it is certain that his purfuit of prey is eager and fpontaneous in proportion to his hunger.

And it is yet more ridiculous to pretend that external co-action alone deftroys liberty. Irrefiftible impulfe from within is evidently more inconfiftent with it - Why fo? Becaufe the will cannot be forced
ere grimace e natural, in ture he muft fe is irrefiftipelled to clafs Philofophers
rfectly free; , may create omnipotence, epugnant to pugh his dedifferent obrrence of his rty of deterate of depen-
and the jufence of actig ferved by on condition , when vice virtue is pofral laws, the pinces here, neity is fuffiin purfuit of erty increafo $t$ his purfuit ortion to his end that exIrrefiftible inconliftent ot be forced by y
y any external power : the body may be laid under ay reftraint but not the mind, whereas internal imulfe irrefiftible reftrains the mind, deprives her of the ower of accepting or rejecting the object propofed to he will, or of purfuing another at that time; hence ppears the indifpenfable neceffity of active indifference fa free agent, that is, that the agent be not determined y any caufe, either external, or internal, but deterines itfelf according to election. This neceffity of ection argues the necellity of fome previous know. dge of the object : the mind can neither defire nor ate an object, of which it has no idea at all, the purit of fuch an object, mult be the effect of blind impetofity, or impulfe, not of choice.
Hence abfolute neceflity deftroys liberty of action, caufe it is totally inconfiftent with active indifference, it determines the mind on purfuit of the object; ith previous knowledge as it is implanted in our ture ; and with choice or election, it leaves room for one.
Hypothetical neceflity is reconcileable with free agen: , thus a velfel is neceffary for me to crofs the Atlanc, but this neceffity fuppofes my determination to ofs it, and a previous knowledge, difculion, and oice of the motives, which induced me to make the yage.
The exercife of reafon is abfolutely neceffary to erty: it is reafon which directs the mind in the oice of motives, in the choice of means to attain an d, or in the choice of objects, which to purlue, or hich to avoid ; without reafon there is no election, ere is no liberty, nothing but blind inftinct or natural d irrefiftible impulfe. Hence fools and children are at accountable for their actions. Though the will be e fubject of liberty, reafon is the caufe of it. Hence follows that any thing not fubiect to the judyment af reafon
reaton, canndt be the object of liberty, as the defire of happinefs in general, it is implanted in the mind of man, from this defire of happinefs in general we purfue particular objects, which we think conducive to happi: nefs, in the choice of thefe objects, and in the choice of means to attain thefe objects, confifts the exercife of our liberty. The purfuit or averfion of any object pre-fuppofes a knowledge of the object, a difcuffion of motives, an election and confequent determination, all which are neceffary to conftitute a free act of the will:

Motives however ftrong are but inducements to act: they give no irrefiftible impulfe; the mind from its innate activity is free to reject or re-confider them ; hut if the mind ultimately determines to act in fuch or fuch a manner, in confequence of fuch or fuch a mo: tive, its active indifference with refpect to that particular act of the will, no longer fubfifts, becaufe it has been exercifed, the act itfelf is no longer indifferent to exift or not exift, becaufe it in fact exifts; yet it is manifefly a free act, becaufe it is the effect of free and active determination, upon confideration of mo. tives, and choice, hence Philofophers fay that a man neceffarily acts in confequence of his laft practical judg. ment, which is true, but it is a neceffity induced by the exercife of his liberty, as it is impofible that he flould act and not act at the fame time.

The affent of the underflanding to certain truths, which are irrefiftible, is not repugnant to liberty : it is true the mind cannot refufe its affent to truths, which are evident on the expofition ; but the mind is under no neceflity of contemplating thefe truths, the neceffity of believing them, is therefore a confequent neceflity induced by an act of choice, in which the exercife of liberty confifts.
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tions, elther by external force, or internal impulfe, is the univerfal fenfe of mankind if you except a few vain men, who to acquire name, affect to believe the contrary of what their actions fhew to be truth; the very jargon of thefe fcribblers is the effect of liberty, and its greateft abure,

If man be not mafter of his actions to what end have laws been eftablifhed in all countries and at all imes? To what end propofe rewards or punifiments ? Why praife the fortitude of the one, or defpife the daftardly cowardice of the other ? Why erect a ftatue to the deliverer of his country, or a gibbet for the betrayer? It is evident as the Sun at mid-day that no man deferves reward, or punifhment, for what he can. not paffibly avoid.

To pretend that men's actions are under the influ. ence of fate is a ridiculous abfurdity : fate is an empty: Cound, it conveys no idea to the mind, to affign an maginary. Being, which has no exiftence, as the direcwor of man's will, furpaffes fully. That the will of man is fubject to the iufluence of the Stars is equally bfurd. Are the Stars intelligent? Do they direct he mind of man according to fixed or variable laws? n reafoning on moral principles, on mathematical ruths, on univerfal principles, on virtue, vise, \&c. re we to confult the relative pofitions of the Plan. ts?
But fays the Aftrologer the influence of the Moon is, nanifeft in the cafe of lunatics. Yes and in many other afes $100:$ its influence is great on all fublunary bodies t is not difficult to affign the reafon. The attractive orce of the Moon encreafing, or decreafing, in a certain proportion, as its diftance from the Earth encreafes or decreafes, augments or diminifhes the preffure of the tinofphere on the human body, as on all other fubluna$y$ bodics, and therely encreato of dinimiffer that de
rangement
rangement in the lunatic's frame, which is the caufe of his lunacy, the effects of the Moon and of all other Planets on the atmofphere and on the waters are fubject to mathematical calculations. No doubt our pretended Philofophers will begin to calculate the operations of the mind proportioned to thefe effects : the data are fufficient for men accuitomed to fwallow all forts of abfurdity, or, if you will, worthy the attention of thefe men who are come to undeceive the human race, pardon the exprefion, the Baboon race. Unfortunately certain events thew that the influence of the Planets is not invaziable on the human mind : at the fame inftant a drunkard fteps into the tavern, and a fober man paffes it unnoticed, the influence was not the fame on both. No matter. That will only encreafe the difficulty of calculation, and the glory of the modern Philofopher will ftill be greater.

The mind of man is confcious of its liberty, the man who denies it, believes this truth as the writer does he is convinced that when he turns his thoughts on any object, he may remove the object from his mind, and make fome other a fubject of confideration, or continue to contemplate the former, when he wulks, he knows he may fit at his will, or continue to walk, and if he continues to walk, it is an effect of choice, or if he fits, it is equally an effect of choice. It is true he cannot walk and fit at the fame time. Liberty does not require impoflibilities, but it is enough that he walks or fits at his option, to convince him that his determinations are free from reftraint. It is in vain to reafon with a man who docs not admit a truth, of which he is confcious. to our imagination which we bear with reluctance, and
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Liberty does enough that he ce him that his It is in vain to it a truth, of
which we can. eed each other es are propoled rcluctance, and
trom
from which we find it difficult to difengage our mind but all this fo far from being inconfiftent with liberty fhews that there is within us a power of action and determination uncontrouled. Why fo? Becaufe the virtuous man rejects thefe objects propofed to his imagination, with horror, regardlefs of the ftrong impref. fions made on animal nature. Whilt the intemperate fenfualift purfues them with an eagernefs, which approaches fury. The' power therefore of rejecting or defiring fuch objects is free from reftraint; this not only proves the liberty of man, but alfo the total independence of the foul on the body in its operations. For if the frongett impreffions on the fenfual appetite be not irrefiftible, it is manifent that the foul is miftrefs of her will and independent on the body.

Finally the object of defire is either real, or apparent good, and the object of averfion, is either real, or apparent evil, there is no object inferior to fovereign happinefs, which may not excite defire, or averfion, which the will may not purfue, or relinquifh, becaufe there is none in which there is not fome appearance of good, and fome appearance of evil, no particular objeat thercfore can irrefifibly attract the will:
The man, who dreams, fays the Materialift, is confcious of his liberty, why not the man who wakes? May not his life be a perpetual dream? Such nonfenfe fhews the Materialift to be a dreamer. If it be truc, as fome Phyficians pretend, that dreams are caufed by a certain commotion in the brain'from the irregular flowing of the animal fpirits, and prefenting ideas to the mind as if a real impreflion were made on the organs of fenfe, it is eafy to conceive that the mind enjoys no liberty in its dreams, becaufe there is no comparifon of ideas, no previous knowledge of objects, no examination of motives, confequently no choice, no exercife of liberty. To pretend that mañ in his fober fenfes and active employments
employments is in the fame fate as if he dreamed is an abfurdity beneath notice.

Nothing exifts without a fufficient reafon, no effeat without a caufe, true, but the caufe may be determi, ned to act by fome external power, as a ftone gravitates according to the laws of motion, or the caufe fromin. nate activity determines itfelf, as a man walks, or fits, at his option, any inducement is a fufficient reafon for: either.

All fecondary caufes are dependent on the primary caufe in their operations. That is admitted; but the primary caufe directs fecondary caufes according ta their nature, becaufe God preferves and does not corrupt the nature of his creatures, hence as he has created man intelligent, an active and free agent, in the direction of his operations, he does not deprive him of that liberty of action, which is a privilege of human nature, the very characteriftic, which diftinguifhes him from the brute.

As man is not felf-exiftent; he muft depend on the fame greąt caufe which gave him an exiftence for a continuation of that exiftence. At the firft inftant of exiftence he may be confidered as merely paffive, but nothing prevents him from exercifing that activity, which he received with exiftence in all fucceeding inftants: for whether his prefervation be confidered as a continux ion of the act of creation, or rather a manue. tention in received exiftence, or fimply a permiffion to continue, it is certain, that he is not taken a fecond time from a fate of non-exiftence, and that being in exiftence, he may exercife the natural powers which he poffeffes.

In children, and fome fuperannuated perfons reafon appears weak, hence the Materialift imagines that, the pperations of the foul are the effects of motion, with whiç antive indifference is irreconcilcable.

It is true the fenfes are the inlets of mof fenfations; and many ideas, and any derangement in the organs of fenfe mult affect the foul in many of her operations, whilf united with the body. In the child the organs are not fufficiently formed to ufe them with efficacy, and in extreme old age they are decayed. Liberty is an inherent power of the foul, but as the exercife of this power requires a previcus knowledge of objects, if through any defect in the organs, thefe objects are not reprefented; the exercife of the power inuft be fufpended, as in children or perfons infane through age or infirmity.

A Philofopher of note unable to explain the motions of the body fubfervient to the will, or the impreffions of the fenfes on the mind, pretends that the foul is but the orcafional caufe, and God the fole mover. Thus for example the foul defires to move the finger, and Cod gives it motion, in like manner an external object makes fome impreflion on the organs of fenfe, and God produces in the foul a correfpondent fenfation, if he had confined himfelf to fay that the operations of the one or the other, were confequences of the phyfical and perfonal union of the foul with the body, according to laws eftablifhed by the Creator, there would be nothing reprehenfible in his opinion. How this union is formed we do not know, it is not the only part of God's work which our underftanding cannot reach, that it fubfitts we know, and that it may be dif. folved we will know.

Another Philofopher unreftrained in his opinions by divine authority, pretends that the foul and body both act independent ; that a feries of movements fucceed $i_{1}$ the body correfpondent to a feries of ideas in the foul ; to juftify fome appearance of free agency in the foul, of which we are all confcious, be pretends that this correfpondence is pre-eftablifhed between the per-
ceptions of the mind, not its volitions, and the movements of the body, whereas the movements of the body in reality correfpond to the volitions and not the perceptions : for whatever ideas or images are reprefented to the mind, it is the volition, ororder of the will which gives motion to the hand. To pafs in filence the abfurdity of fuppofing that the foul and body are fo conftituted, that, if the foul were in China and the body in Peru, the fame perceptions would fuc. ceed in the one, and movements in the other, as in their prefent union.
In vain the Philofopher has recourfe to the prefcience of God to forefee the volitions of the fnul, and to his omnipotence to form a correfpondent automaton, it is well known that both is poffible, and equally well known that in his fyftem the foul in its volitions is confined to the motions pre-eftablifhed in the body, and no others, which is deftruative of its liberty. It is quite. otherwife with the prefcience of God: for though the foul will not form any other volition, but that which is forefeen, the poffibility, and power of forming any other fubfifts, and is totally unreftrained.
However incorrect the opinions of thefe Philofophers, they unanimoufly admit the liberty of man, and endeavor to reconcile it with their principles, they are not to be numbered with Atheifts or Materialifts.

The power of God comes next under confideration, it is infinite being unreftrained by any external caufe, God being totally independent, nor can it be circumfcribed by God himfelf, as he has not given himfelf exiftence, nor perfection, it is identifred with his effence, becaufe there is nothing in God which is not, it is therefore the principle, not of action, which is not diftinguifhed from power in God, but of effects, and thefe effects nbt being infinite, fhew the liberty of God in all his works, for any effect from the neceflity of
he movef the bo1 not the re reprer of the pafs in fouk and in China ould fuc* $r$, as in
efcience ad to his saton, it ally well itions is dy, and is quite ugh the t which ing any Cophers, and enhey are Its. cration, 1 caufe, circum himfelf his efnot, it is not ts, and of God fity of nature
hature, is correfpondent to the power of the agent, thus a fone falls with all its weight, a man begets and: ther and no other Being.
Within the fphere of omnipotence are all thefe Beings which do not involve a contradiction, that is, whofe conflituent parts or attributes are not incompatible. Beings compofed of attributes which exclude each other can have fio exifente, they are not the ob: jects of power.
The immenfity, or omniptefcente, of God argues no fipitual extenfion in him, for where there is extenfion, there muft be a plurality of parts, which is repugnant to the perfect fimplicity of the Divine Nature. God is in all things. aind places, by his power : all things are fubject to it; by his prefence : all things are open to his view ; and by his effence : from him all created Be. Ings have an exitence. God is prefent to all things, not as a part of their effence, nor as an accident, but as the agent is prefent to the fubject, on which it acts; and as all things have from him an exiftence, and the continuation of that exiftence than which nothing is more intimate to any Being, hence it follows that God is intimately prefent tơ all Beings whill they do exift. " In him welive, we move, arid are.".
The arguments adduced in favor of the opinion that, the prefent world is of all poffible fytems the moft perfect, hardly deferve refutation, as it is manifefly injurious to the power, the wifdom and the goodnefs of God, and totally deftuctive of the divine liberty.
In is admitted by the partizats of this abfurd opinion, that the power of God is not exhautted by the production of this prefent world, or the perfection of its component parts. Why confine the wifdom or God to thls order in preference to all others? Does God not know the extent of his power? Or to fpeak more correctly does be not know that his power is infinite, that no
cteature Which he has produced, is at the ultimate point of perfection, to which his infinite power could not add one degree of perfection more? Why pretend that his wifdam could not prefer a fyftem, in which all the component parts, would receive an additional degree of accidental perfection? Does not the perfections of the whole refult from the relative perfections of all its parts? In a word if his power, his wifdom and his goodnefs be confined to the prefent fyftem, neither the one nor the other is infinite, for the prefent fyftem has its limits; and the man who limits the power or wifdom of God is not far remote from an Atheif.

We come next to examine thefe attributes of the Divinity, which are called relative by Philofophers; becaufe they import, or rather indicate, a fort of relation to its creatures; the firft of thefe is the creation, or that act of the divine will, which gives exiftence, this act of omnipotence is in every fenfe impoffible, and incommunicable to any created Being : in the order of 2gents and actions, the moft excellent action is confined to the moft excellent agent, that action, which gives exiftence is of all others the moft excellent, becaufe it is the firt, it is therefore confined to the firt, and mot univerfal caufe, the Divinity.

Nor can any creature be affumed as an inftrumental cawfe for all inftruments difpofe by that action, which is proper to them, the fubject matter, for the action of the principal caufe : in the creation of any Being there is no pre-exiftent matter, to fubject, which the inftrument can difpofe, and though all creatures are li. mited, yet the production of any one of them requires unlimited power, a power incommunicable to any creature.

Though we cannot reprefent to our imagitiation a Being transferred froma flate of mere poffibility to a fate of exiftence; yet as our reafon is convinced that
the ultimate ower could Thy pretend in which all Iditional deperfections ections of all dom and his em, neither efent fyftem ae power of Atheif. butes of the fophers, bertiof relation creation, or iftence, this ible, and inthe order of n is conined which gives $t$, becaufe it At, and mort inftrumental ction, which he action of Being there bich the incures are li. em requires able to any
nagination a ffibility to a vinsed that
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all the Beings, which now exif in this vifible worid, are fubject to change, and continually changing we are forced to conclude that they are not feff-exiftent, that they muft therefore have been educed by a caufe felf: exiftent, and alltpowerful, from a fate of non-exiftence. We are alfo forced to conclude that, God in the formation of the world did not difpofe any pre-exiftent matter, which he himfelf had not created, for as all matter is manifefty created, if there had been any preexiftent matter not created by God, it muft have been by fome other Being of fuperior power, that powet which furnifhes the matter is evidently fuperior to that which difpores if, to admit a power fuperior to ominir. potence is ridiculous.

The laws of motion, to which all badies are fubject, and which they invariably obferve, fhew a fuperinten? dant power, which is implicitly obeyed, in other words a Providence, which conducts this vifible warld. From certain diforders which happen, and events, which. feem inconfiftent with our notions of a fuperintending power, fome Rhilafophers pretend to conclude that Providence does not extend to human actions, though thefe very diforders, and events, from which they prefend to draw the conclufion, âre conclufive evidenca of the contrary : for order is an effect of widom and power, and if order be nôt eftablifhed, there can be no diforder. To pafs unnnoticed the extravagance of fuppofing a God all-bountiful, all-wife and all-pawerful, neglecting that part of his worls, from which he has a right to expect his tribute of gratitude, and external glory, whilft a man who plants an orchard, or even a tree, without any object in view and neglects it muft be thought an idiot.

The caufes of Providence extend to the molt minute parts of the creation, for the beauty and order of the whole scifults from the beauty and order of all its sparts.

To obviate a difficulty, which is drawn from the profperity of the wicked, and adverfity of the jutt, fiom the oppreffion of innocence and the haughty infolence of power, it is fufficient to remark that if all ctimes were immediately punithed there would be no roiom for repentance, none for the expercife of clemency and mercy, the deffruction of a great majority of the human feecies would be inftantaneous. It is true great crimes,-are fometimes punifhed immediately to terrify
n from the e juit, fiom y infolence if all crimes e no roiom mency and of the hutrue great to terrify ;obtain is rieverance
reatures to ture to its direction Tible, each to difturb sremie provis iately fub. are more e the only apable of clf the latt this end, objects of Beings are ly for the the indidíviduals ce alfo it y fecies, nothing ended for gation of
the intervention of fecondary caufes ading according. to their nature, order requires that inferior Beings frould be fubject to the direction of fuperior, non. iptelligent to intellectual, and as amongf intellectual Beings fome are fuperior to others, order requires that the more noble fhould direct ; yet whatever effects are produced by fecondary caufes may at times be produced by God immediately, as at the creation all cffects were produced by the Divine Power without the interyention of any fecondary caufe ; and the powers of all caufes are from him, nor is the production of any effect without the intervention of a fecondary caufe, a difturbance of the eflablifhed order: becaufe even' that is fubject to order, and within the fphere of his providence. Moreover the order eftablifhed in the production of effects by fecondary caufes is not abfo. lutely invariable, but fubject to interruptions through the interference of other caufes, as when a child is born without hands or with fix fingers, if therefore the eftablifhed order may be interrupted by the interference of fecondary caufes, it may by the primary caufe, this fometimes happens for the manifeftation of God's glory ; and to fhew that the order, which now fubfifts was an effect of choice, not of neceffity. Effects produced by' the Pivine Power without the intervention of fecondary caufes, though not in the common courfe of nature, are not contrary to nature : for all fecondary caufes are but the infirnments of Divine Power, and the nature of all Beings is totally dependent on the Divine Will. The univerfe therefore, and all the Beings which compure it, is a piece of mechanifm, and God the artift, however well finifhed the piece may appear, the artift may yet make changes acfording to his will. A prodigy therefore though not in the common courfe of nature, as known to us, is perfectly natural, becaufe it is according to the dif: pofition
pofition of the Divine Will which determines the ture of all things.

Though God in his providence be infallible, and that will certainly happen which he has ordered, all caufes whatfoever being within the fphere of his providence, yet the infallibility of his providence impores no neceffity on the determinations of man's will, becaufe his providence infinitely perfect difpofes not only all events, but alfo the manner in which thefe events will hap. pen; neceffarily, if the caufes be under any natural recefity, as an eclipfe of the Moon upon paffing through the fladow of the Earth, or contingently, when the caufes producing fuch an effect are free agents; as the late French Revolution, In this appears the boundlefs power, and infinite wifdom of God, that permitting a thoufand free and concurring caufes to act according to their nature, and impofing no neceffity upon any one of them, he yet directs them all fo as to produce infallibly that event, which is pre-difpofed in the order of his providence.

- Though it may appear difficult to reconcile the infal. libility of Providence with the free agency of man, yet, if it be confidered, that there is no created object of man's purfuits, which has not its beauties and deformities, the difficulty will vanifh. May not the deformities of the object be frongly ${ }^{\text {gimprefled on the }}$ mind and deter from the purfuit? Or may not the beauties of the object be fo expofed as infallibly to attract the notice? Do we not every day fee the effects of human perfualion Yet what man in his reafon pretends that an Orator, who obtains his fuit impofes any neceflity on the ininds of an audience? But this you will fay would make the infallibility of God's providence dependent on the will of man. No. But on its own infinite perfection; for it does not depend on the mind of man to lee all the beautics or deformities of
the object, if they be not impreffed on it by that all powerful agent, who directs the wills of all men without neceffity, or coercion to his own views. Pertingerm "a fine ufque in fiven fortiter and fuaviter omnia difponens."

Hence it appeats manifefly inconfiftent with God's providence that man's liberty fhould be deftroyed, or even impeded, as ; the diftinguifing characteriftic of Providence is to preferve, and not to corrupt the nas ture of his creatures; hence it appears alfo that the permiffion of moral evil, or phyfical corruption is con fiftent with Providence, becaufe in the execution of its decrees it makes ufe of fecondary caufes acting actor: ding to their nature; all free agents may ufe, or abuife', their natural liberty ; in the abufe confifts moral evila All inaterial caufes bting compofed of parts, are from their nature fubject to diffolution, and corruption, to fay all in a word, God is the author of all that is good; evil he neither produces, fuggefts nor countenances ; but from evil he always draws gond and directs the refult to the accomplifhment of his own views.

The motion of the Heavenly Bodies, and the communication of motion from one fublunary body to another, is fuch conclufive evidence of the inceffant vigilance of Providence, and of the attention of a God, all-wife, and powerfal to the prefervation of his creatures, that even to doubt it, argues the moft flupid ig. norance or obftinate perverfenefs.
The Planets; inert matter, move in their urbits with the utmoft regularity; if any body in motion frikes another either at reft or in motion, the body which frikes communicates a part of its motion to the other, proportioned to its mafs with mathematical precifion. It therefore implicitly obeys the power which directs it.
Does this invifible power which preierves order in the univerfe, and by inceffant action prevents confufion. preferve its creatures alfo in a ftate of exiftence, fo that

If the upholding hatid of infinite power be fuppofeal withdrawn, but for an inftant, the univerfe would ceafe to exift? Yes, the fame power which gives exift, ence, continues to preferve it, and that Being which is originially from nothing, without a continuation of that action which gave it exiftence would return to its primitive fate, It is true a fpiritual fubftance has no interrial principle of dificlution; but it is equally true that as it did not give itfelf exiftence, it cannot continue in its exiftence, becaufe it cannot withoct abfurdity be fuppofed independent at any inftant of its duration, it is therefore as dependent on the creating power for the continuation as for the commencement of exifence.
It is manifeft that the fame power, which creates, ean'annihilate, and as the goodnefs and wifdom of God is totally independent on his creatures, hemay, at his will, either continue, or ceafe to continue them in exiftence; yet as the power, the goodnefs and wifdom of God appears more evidently from the prefervation, than from the deftruction of his creatures it is certain that he will not annihilate any one of thefe Beings, to which he las given an exiftence.

His dominion over all his creatures is abfolute, and inatienable: from him they have not only that they exift, but that they do not ceafe to exif. All irrational creatures implicitly obey, end purfue that path, which in his wifdom he has traced for them, intelligent Beings abuting that liberty of determination, and acetion, which diftinguifies thein from the irrational part of the creation, feem to difobey, yet even thefe are reduced to the moft perfect obedience: in, all his precepts there is an alternative, either expreffed or implied, obedience to the precept is expected from the intel. ligent creature, if refufed the alternative depending on the abfolute will of the Creator will moft critainly take eficet.

- be fuppotea niverfe would ch gives exif. Being which ontinuation of d return to its ftance has no is equally true not continue t abfurdity be $f$ its duration, ng prower for nent of exift.
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Wive have now taken a curfory view of the perfec: tions of God as known to un by the light of reafong: and fliewn the abfolute dependence of all his creatureis. on his bounty, not only for their exiftence; but for: the continuation of their exiftence. Of his creatares fome are inanimate, fome, though animated; irratiotal, thefe have their places determined, their paths traced, from which, they neither do, not can depart, being incapable of choice and blindly obedient to impulfe; intelligent creatures bleffed with the light of reafon äre alone capable of acknowledging the obligation, and paying a voluntary homage to their Creator, to them laws are given ; rewards promifed; to obedience, and difobedience threatened with punifhment. Amongit them the terms virtue and tice are heard and underftood, to feed the hungry was thought a virtuous act by the filmplicity of our anceftors, and to opprefs innocence by. power, or ruin reputation by adumny, was confidered not fimply a vicious act but an atrocious crime! Thanks to the new fangled philofophy of modern feribblers' thefe notions are growni obfolete : thefe pretended teachers of mankind gravely tell us that there is no effential difference between vitfue and vice. That is in other terms. Reafon qualifies the murder of an innocent, and loving parent, a virluous acti, as laudable as the defence of innocence againft oppreffion. Againtt abfurd extravaganee, or fliamclefs impudence all reafoning is vain. And the man who believes, or pretends to believe fuch difgufting nomenfe is incapable of liftening to reafon.

To act according to the dictates of unprejudiced reafon is perfe.fly confiftent with humah nature : for, fince man is a rational creature, to act according to the dietate's of reafon is anfwering the end of his crea. tion, it is therefore confiftent with his nature; if, on the contrary, his actions be inconfiftent with the dic-
tates of reafon, he does not anfwer the end of his credi. tion, he ftrays from the path, which has been traced, and ftands accountable to the Author of his Being for the perverfion and abufe of the mof excellent of his gifts, hence it muft be inferred that virtue is con: fonant to the nature of man, and vice repugnant to it; yet as all moral virtues are hzbits confoniant to'reafon acquired by a repecition of virtuous acts; virtue is not implanted in the nature of mah, though the capacity of being virtuous is : this reafoning applies with equal force to vice. Man is not born vicious, but the capacity of acquiring vicious habits is natiifeftly implanted in that fenfuality, to whicls from its union with the body, the foul of mian is naturally addicted:

Is man obliged to worthip his God in fpirit and truth? Is he indifpenfably obliged to pay him internal teverence and external homage? The anfwer to this queftion feems extremely fimple, fomething is due from man to that God, from whom he has received an ex ${ }^{5}$. iftence, and all that is neceffary to fupport that exitence, and on whofe almighty power he depends for a continuation of that exiftence. This truth is founded on the firt principles of common fenfe. The leaft poffible payment is an acknowhedgment of the debt: man is therefore obliged to acknowledge him for hisicreator, his confervator; and his benefactor. And as God is good and the fountain of all goodnefs, he is the great object of man's love, which can have no other object but either reak or apparent good, to prefer any limited object to infinite goodnefs is inconfiftent with reafon, 2 love of preference is thèrefore indifpenfably ueceflary; and, as he is truth itfelf, incapable of deception, or doceit, if he propofes any truth, though it may tran fcend the fphere of ous limited underftanding, we are firictly obliged to believe it ; but we are alfo obliged io enquire if, what is prepoled as truth, be revealed by
d of his crèz: $s$ been traced; of his Being ft excellent of virtue is con: ugnant to it; iant to'réafon virtue is not the capacity s with equal but the capaly implanted ion with the d:
in firit and him internal afwer to this $\sigma$ is due from eived an exs. t that exiftepends for a $h$ is founded e Yeaft poffible ebt : man is sCreator, his Fod is good great object r object but limited obth reafon, 2 y veceflary; ion, or demay tran ing, we are alfo obliged revealed by himy
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him, we thus confine our reafon to its proper objeat : for to enquire, if, what is revealed by God, be truth, or not, furpaffes impudence. Hence it appears that faith is an effential part of that homage which man osyes his God.
That man, if his actions be confiftent with the dictates of right reafon, has a right to expect a reward from fovereign power and infinite gondnefs, is indifputable; and if his actions be inconfiftent with reafon, that he may jufty fear chaftifemęnt, from fupreme juf tice is equally certain.

Befides the internal refpect, revarence and lave which a man owes his God, he is obliged to pay external homage : becaufe man is compofed of foul arid body, the bomage which he pays muf be correrpon? dent to the nature of his Being, that is; it muft be compofed of the intergal act of reverence within the foul, and the externaliact of the hody, which fignifies it, that it may not be fimply the homage of the foul, nor fimply of the body but the homage of the man. Toconfine the divine worlhip merely to the internal act is to mifake the nature of man, and alfo the nature of the homage, which he owes to his Creator as. $\alpha$ man ; becaufe: God is a Spirit he muft be adored in fuirit, and becaufe he is truth itfelf, and fanctity, po mixture uf falhood is: admifible, nothing inconfitent with purity, nothing unworthy his majefty : the ex-ternal homage, muft be expreffive and fignificative of that internal refpea, and reverence, which 2 man has for his Gods as a Being infinitely perfect, pure and holy, it muf, therefore, exclude every thing which is inconfiftent with truth and fanctity, Hence appears not only the abfurdity and extravagance, but the abominable impiety of the Heathen rites, of the Mahometan, and of feveral fects, who perverted the Chriftian worliz by rites conrefpondent to thepie. opinions,
opinions, which flattered their pride of fenfyali, 5

That there are certain human actions laudable in their own nature, and others reprehenfible, indepen. dently on all pofitive laws, was never denied but by the moft corrupt and impious amongit the Heathens. From thefe our modern Philofopher have borrowed that nonfenfical jargon, which they call demonfration, of an opinion the inof extravagant that ever infulted the public ear, to wit, that vice and virtue, right and wrong, are totally dependent on human laws, that no action confidered in itfelf previous to fome human law which forbids it, is wrong.

Nor is äry action right if not ordered. : What l thefe actions which tend to the public good, and public fafe. ty, would not be right if a malicious Tyrant forbid them! and actions evidently deftructive of fociety would be right if ordered by hin $!$ it is not in the power of any Legiflature to make that which is juft and laudable in itfelf, and advantagenus to the public, dif. advantageous to it, or to make that which is fubverfive of fociety, uféful to it. A law to order all mothers' to deftroy their offspring would fhew the malice of the Legilator; but would not make the act lawful, and If any man be fo loft to thame or fo impudently obft: nate as to deny this truth, it is ufelefs to reafon with him.

As the nature of all the different fecies, which form the univerfe is determined, the aetions confifent with the nature of each fecies, are alfo determined, thus it is parural for' a horfe to carry burthens, and a bird to fly. A flying horfe or a bird carrying burthens is unnatural. Hence fince man is poffeffed of reafon, it ifs natural that he fhould act according to the dictates of seafon. And fince order sequires that the inferion \$aing fhould pe directed by the fuperior, it is manifell mat all man's
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s, which form confiftent with ined, thus it is da bird to fly. is is unnatural , it is natural ates of seafon. N Ding fhould "hy a| man's fenfes
fenfes are to be directed by reafon if reafon be not thought inferior to one or other of the fenfes, which is worfe than epicureim; hence it follows that intoxication, the immoderate ufe of food, the gratification of any fenfual appetite, which difturbs the free exercife of reafon, is by nature forbidden indépendenty on all humap laws, Moreover, all that depends on mere luman laws, on prejudices of education, or different inftitutions are fubject to change, and are in fact dif. ferent in different countries, according to the difference of the proviling opinions, but there are certain fixed principles fubject to no variation, they are and have been the fame in all times and places; thefe are of courfe implanted in our nature ; nor is this peculative principle, the whole is greater than any of its parts, more evident than this moral principle, do not to another what you would think injurious to yourfelf, It is true the mind of man abforbed in fenfual pleafures, blinded by paffion or prejudice, may miftake and frequently does the diftant confequences and fometimes the more immediate confequences, which are drawn from firt principles. Hence the Indian, knowing that he is oblig: ed to love his triend, thinks it lawfol to hate his enemy, on a principle of retaliation, andito exprefs his hatred the more ftrongly, if he takes a prifoner, will exercife on him the moft wanton cruelty, hence alfo fome of them put their aged and helplefs parents to death through miftaken principle of commiferation. The application of general principles to particular circumfances is fometimes difficule; to evade this difficulty the great bulk of mankind think themfelves authorifed to follow the example of others, hence the molt abfurd cufioms have been introduced and continued for ages, the abfurdity of thefe cufloms does not argue the want pfreafon in the men, but their indolence and inattention to the volice of reafon: Thus for example ali
men know that fome Being fuperior to man exifts, and think it neceffary to ferve him. The Heathens not conceiving it poffible that any one Being was fufficiently wife and powerful to frame andidired the univerfa parcelled it out amonget Deities : a multiplicity once introduced, flattery added every Rotentate to the number; leaft thefe pretended Divinities Ghould be toe fevere, they were painted by their makers fubject tQ all the vices, for which they, themfelves were remarkaBle; hence, the moft thamelefo paffions had their patrons amongt the Gods. The general principle was frictly true, that there is a Being fuperion to man, to whom homage is due, but the application of this principle was deteftable; it was made by paffion withouth cqne fulting reafon.

In the like manner even Philofophers and Legifators frequently difagree in opinions, which are not imme. diate confequences of intuitive principles, but they never taught that good was to be avoided, and evil purfued, though evil under the appearnce of good has been more than once propofed.

To pretend that men are by nature inimical to each other, from different caufes, is to infult mankind, an offence againft the human race, which only, demonftrates, the unparallelled malignity of the man wha dares $: 0^{\circ}$ make ufe of fuch an impudent affertion. Men; fo far. from hating each other, are formed byinature to love each other, they are formed for fociety and cannot fubfift without it ; the wants of the infantine, ingirm, and aged portions of the human feecies cannot be fupplied without tie cares of fociety. That all men have formerly liked without any bond of fociety like beafts in the woods, and that they have been gradually formed into civil fucieties, is a wild unfounded conjecture? contradicted by experience; we have known fome men wha fram civilized fociety transferred to uncivi.
hized beca who Jaboo lized

As fon
othe of $m$ it is f writi as ne the la and $t$ tranfg an of The comm forbid more it.fubj kill, it crimin any c from go

Rem known annot applica times to be d his age out blin

As it
ates of
pitural
$\operatorname{man}$ exifs Heathens not 1sfufficiently the univerfà iplicity once tate to the hould be too ers fubject ta ere remarka their patrons e was ftrictly n, to whom is principle tithout cant

Legifators nat imme. sut they nendevil pur: od has been
cal to each kiad, an ofemonftrates a dares :o Men; fo far ure to love annot fubafinm, and be fupplied $\eta$ have forke beafts in lly formed conjecture? lown fome 1 to uncivi. pized
fized regions, they themfeltes and their defcendanes became Sąvages ; butt we know of no Savage nation who without the influence of religion planted by the Jabors and fufferings of its minifters haye become civilized.
As reaton is natural to man, and the dictates of rea: fon conflituting what is called the natural law, or in other words man's immediate rule of action, the author of man's Being muft be the author of the natural law. it is not neceffary to publifh this law either by word or writing, becaufe it is implanted in, human nature, and as ricceflary for the fupport of the intelligent,world, as the laws of motion to the continuance of that order, and harmony, which exifts in the phyfical world; a tranfgreffion therefore of the natural law is manifenly an offence againft its author:
The natural law in its firf principles is immutable, it commands nothing but what is effentially good, and forbids nothing which is not effentially bad ; in its more remote confequences, circumintances may render itfubject to variation, thus though it be forbidden to kill, it is lawful for the public Magiftrate to put a criminal to death. But it is never lawful, nor can it, by any change of circumflances become lawful to decline from good and do cevil.
Remote confequerices of the natural law are not known to all immediately, the firft principles are, and fannot be effaced but by the extination of reaton. The application of thefe principles to particular cafes is fometimes defective : thus the Indian knows that good is to be done, and evil avoided, he thinks it juft to kill his aged and infirm father. The principle he knows but blindIy mifapplies it
As it is natural for man to act according to the dicates of reafon, all virtues as fucti, are according to the patural law, arid all vices againt it. The former are acts

2as of obedience to the Author of Nature, laudable it themfelves the latter acts of difobedience, reprehenf: ble, and confequently inductive of puniffiment:

Is God the avenger of crimes ? The queftion is extremely fimple: cominom fenfe anfwers in the affirma: tive.

If the be the avenger of crimes, when does he exercife his vindictive juftice? Sometimes in this liféf more frequently and effectually in the next.

Maty of the Heathen Philofoplese, andall thefe im: pious writers, who now affume the title, confine man's happinefs to the prefent life. They difagree as to the conftituent elerients of hapginefs. Some of the Heathens piacing it in the purfuit of virtue, others in the graiification of the : fenfes, thefe and their followers, the modern fquad, are jufty called hogs of Epicurus's fye. The stoics thought cold infenfibility produc. tive of true happinefs. It can hardly be denied that, the exercife of virtue contributes to private and public ad vantage : the virtuous man enjoys a peace of mind free from the tetrors of confcience, which invade the wicked mant, fometimes in the midft of riot and tumult; and at all times in the filence of folitude : for as virtue is according to nature, and vice againift it, nature approves the one; and cenfures the other; it is therefore as im. poffible for a man to free limfelf from a remorfe of confcience as it is to diveft himifelf of human nature. Virtue therefore contributes to private liappitiefs and vice deftroys it.

That the exercife of virtue contributcos to the public welfare and tranquility, muft bejadnitted, if it be not thought that, highwaymen, traitors and murderers are to be conftituted Judges, that men deftitute of prudence, of juftice and equity, are to be appointed Go. vernors, that drunkards, profligates and fpendthrifs are to poffef all places of truft and confidenee. That man's undertanding
laudable it e, reprehenf: nént. eftion is exin the affirma: does he ex. in this life, xt.
all thefe into confine man's gree as to the e of the Heaothers in the eir followers, of Epicurus's bility producie denied that, ate and public peace of mind ch invade the ot and tumult; for as virtue is ature approves erëfore as im . a remorfe of luman nature. liappitrefs and
to the public ed, if ix be not murderers are Atitute of pruappointed Go. fpendthrifs are ee. That man's underftanding
underfanding muft be horribly perverted, who thinks it; and he muft furpafs the demon in impudence who dares to affert it. There are fome fo barefaced as to pretend that the vices of individuals are ufeful to the community; it is true the intemperance of the fendthrift enriches the keeper of the brothel, and the hounds and horfes of the fox-hunter, enhance the value of the farmer's grain, but it is equally true that they withdraw from the common fock tlie induftry of fo many idle hands, whilft they confume a double portion of the fruits of the earth : on the principles of thefe wild fpeculatifts death and difeafe are beneficial to fociety : death, brings money to the heir, and ficknefs, to the phyfician.

Though the exercife of virtue contributes tinuch to happinefs, it neither does, nor can, make the man truly happy in this life. True happinefs excludes all anxiety, pain, ficknefs and death, and fatisfies man's defires to their fulleft extent: The moft vittuous man is fubject to pain, to ficknefs; to death, his defires of full and permanent happinefs neither are nor can be fatisfied in this life. Morcover we frequently fee virtuous men ini the rroft abject ftate; their innocence oppreffed by power, their reputation blafted by calumny, they themfelves the objects of derifion, and though a confcioufnefs of rectitude may alleviate their forrows, it cannot make them happy: Stoic infenfibility is an imaginary quality, which is not in human nature. 'The reward of virtue is, therefore; neither to be obtained, nor expected in this life; nor is vice punifhed in proportion to its malice : the hypocrite is, of all vicious men, confefledly the moft vicious : under the malk of virtue he deceives fimplicity, feduces innocence, oppreffes weaknefs, fupplants and excludes men of real merit, obtains preferment, honors, rewards and applaufc. In what appears the punifhment of liis crimes? He is tor:樂
inred
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tured by his confcience. True, the terrors of his conifcit ence diuisinifh his felicity, perhaps annul it ; but it is not lefs true that, the wicked man has a malignant fatisfaction, in the fuccefs of his projects, that he enjoys a diabolical pleafure in facrificing innocence to his priyate views, of courfe, that to diminifh his happinefs by the feeble voice of confcience is not a punifhment proportioned to his crimes.
Hence it appears that, in the prefent life, the virtugus man cannot be truly, and fully happy, nor the vicious man truly unhappy: for as true happinefs exi cludes all anxiety and pain, true mifery excludes a ll comfort and hope The molt wicked man though reduced to the laft ftage of mifery is not quite bereft of hopes, for death he thinks, at leaft, will deliver him from his prefent mifery.

Thefe principles evident on the expolition, fhew that virtue cannot have its due reward, nor vice its duè punifhment in this prefent life. There is no true happinefs without, at leaft, the fear, or rather certainty of its ceffation, nor mifery without fome ray of comfort or hope of alleviation.

If it be true that virtue is feldom rewarded in this life, and never according to merit, and alfo true, that vice frequently efcapes punifiment, and is never punifhed in proportion to its inalice, it follows of courfe that, the reward of the one, and the punilhment of the other is to be referred to a future life. It has been juftly remarked that no man ever denied the exiftence of a. God, but he, who has every thing to fear from his juftice. This remark is applicable to a future life : that man muft be flagitious in the extreme to whom annihilation is a defirable object, and no other man ever denied or difbelieved a future life : the Heathens believed it, the favage Indians believe it. The difciples of Epicurus the moft corrupt of all Heathens rathet doubted
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duabted than denied it. It is ufelefs to cite apthorities, the truth is indifputable, there is nothing fo deeply imprefled on the mind of man as the belief of futurity. Our modern Philofophers; though they pretend not to believe it, cannot ceafe to fear it. For, if they. have no certainty that there is an after life as they pretend, they muft admit that they have no certainty that there is not. A fate of uncertainty is a fate of anguifh and anxiety, and having nothing to hope, they muft have every thing to fear. Nor can this fear bo extinguifhed but with reafon.
Setting all authority apart, let us confult reafon on this fubject, of all others the moft important.

It has been already clearly fhewn that the human foul has no principle of diffolution in it, that it is in: dependent on the body in its higheft operations; that it is immortal of its awn nature, that the dictates of right reafon are its immediate rule of action, and thatevery deviation from the dictates of right reafon, is an affence punilhable by the author of reafon. For it is a general rule that, he who gives the law or rule of action, rewards the obfervance of the law, or punifhes the tranfgreflion. This obfervance of the law is not al: ways rewarded in the prefent life; nor the moft capital tranfgreffions always punifhed, they muft therofore in the future; if not, the fanctity, juftice and providence of God would be expofed to cenfure, his fanctity, in the encouragement which impunity gives to vice, and wickednefs, his juftice in not rewarding obedience to his law actording to its merits, his providence in not taking the neceffary meafures, that all hould receive according to their deferts. He does not deferve the name of ruler, much lefs of fovereign ruler, who does not. In vain the Deift pretends that God's juftice, fanctity and providence is not fimilar to that of man. If is true, all the imperfestions of human juftice, fanc-
fity and providence are excluded, the fanctity of God infinitely furpaffes that of man in perfection, fo does his juftice and his providence? Does a man's fanctity encreafe by encouraging vice? Is his juttice more perfect by difcouraging virtue? Is he more provident in per: mitting the worf of bad fubjects, to obtain greater privileges than the beft ${ }^{3}$

If juftice requires that virtue fhould be rewarded, it is yet more confiftent with juftice, that vice fhould be punifhed according to its demerits. Why fo ? Hecaufe virtue is according to nature and vice againft it, thẹ virtuous man therefore, follows the plan which the author of his Being has traced and anfwers the end of his creation; the vicious man does not. It is ftrictly jutic that he flould fuffer: "and as it is a diforder that he fhould act according to his own will regardlefs of the will of his Creator. Order and juftice require that he fhould fuffer according to the will of his Creator though againft his own.

The fear of punifliment in a future life, fays the Deift, was introduced by politicians to reftrain their fubjects. It is therefore ufeful to mankind, if anarchy, the worft of all evils, be prevented by it, or even infiurrection, which is in itfelf fo great an evil, that op: preffion cannot authorife it. It is an attempt to remove a head-ache by a dofe of arfenic.

But when did thefe politicians meet? Where ? By what means have they duped not'only the unthinking croud, but the Philofopher, the man of fenfe, the man of fcience, the whole world for ages back, if you except a few two-legged hogs of Epicurus's herd' and their worthy fucceflors the modern Philofophers?

God, fays the Deift, is immutable, man's actions therefore cannot offend him, True, man's actions cannot offend God fo as to int Juce any change in his difpofitions; tut it is equally true, that man doed swlat
metity of God ion, fo does his n's fanctity en. e more perfect ovident in per: obtain greater
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what he can to offend him, and thereby introduces a change.in himfelf, inftead of being a virtuous man ac cording to rule and order, he becomes a vicious man contrary to rule and order, and is by that fame rule brought to order. . The immediate rule of man's action' is the light of reafon, which is an emanation of the divine reafon, the univerfar rule, all actions inconfiftent with this rule are diforderly,

Man has an innate defire of happinefs, it is implanted in his nature, it is therefore from the author of nature, punifhment hereafter is inconfiftent with this defire, renders it ineffectual, which cannot be faid of a defire implanted "by God himfelf. Hence the Deift 'pretends to exclude all punifhrient from a' future life. But this itinate defire of happinefs fhews that future happinefs is attainable by man, not that all men will attain it, as a horror of futurc punifherent fhews the pofiibility of avoiding it, not that all men will avoid it, God, the fupreme ruler, directs all Beings according to their nature, inanimate Beings by fixed and invariable taws, but man poffeffed of reafon is left to his own direction, within certain limits, it is true, that he may not derange the general plan; if then man conducts himfelf according to the plan traced out by his Creator, he will arrive at the end, if not, it is not furprifing that lie fhould be excluded; it would be furprifing if he were not: becaufe he would arrive at the terin by forfaking the path.

In a word, God by every pofible right is man's lawful fuperior ; a lawful fuperior has a frict right to exact obedience to juft and equitable laws, of which the inferior cannot be ignorant but through culpable negiect ; the light of reafon points out the great principles of the law ;' a law without propoling either rewards or punifliments, without any inducement to obferve it, is tidiculous, antu argues ignorance and flupidity in the Legilator;

Legiflator; henee it is manifeft that obedience claims, fonie reward and difobedience calls aloud for punifh. ment. In this life rewards are frequently obtained without merit, fumetimes by the moft atrocious crimes, and punifhments inflicted on innocence. This therefore is not the time of retribution. This doctrine juf: tifies Providence in the diftribution of thefe temporal advantages which are thought to. conftitute happinefs in the prefent life. For as man is compofed of a mortal body and an immortal foul, is poliefled of reafon to direct the choice, and a power of felf-deternination to make a proper choice, it is perfeitly confiltent with pur ideas of Providence that he fhould be allowed a certain time of trial, during which, if he not only makes an improper choice, in making an immortal foul fubfervient to a corruptible body contrary to the dictates of reafon, but obftinately perfeveres in it, abu. fing both liberty and reafon to the end of the time, that he fhould receive punifhment proportioned to the abufe. lamediately to punifh would exclude repentance on the part of man, and clemency oa the part of his Judge. Never to punith would encourage iniquity and make a God of fanctity, the accomplice of crimes. This reafoning is applicable to virtue: it is tried in adverfity, and perfeverance fhews it to be folid, its reward there fore mult fucceed the time of trial, and not immediately accompany it. Hence the belief of a future life juftifies Providence in the very unequal diftribution of profperity, and adverfity, which to the Heathens was incon: ccivable, and, as their views were confined ro the pre. fent life, induced many to doubt, and frome to deny the interference of Providence in human affairs. There is nothing which fo vifibly fhews the little value, which God fets on wealth, and power, as the diftribution he makes, and the fort of characters to whom theefe gifts are granted. Helingabalus and Sardanopholus

Lence claims 1 for punifh. tly obtained cious crimes, This theredoctrine juf. efe temporal happinefs in of a mortal of reafon to mination to filtent with allowed a lie not only n iminortal trary to the sin it, abu. e time, that o the abufe. zentance on f his Judge. and make a
This reaadverfity, ward there $x$ immedire life juftiion of prof. was incon: ro the pre. te to. deny an athairs. little vialue, he diftribu. hom thefe danopholus bave
have been mafters of the world; quare were there two more contemptible wretches in it?
Having thus fhewn, by a train of the moft conclufive reafoning, that an after life muft be admitted, to juftify the providence, the juftice and fanctity of God, it is not difficult to thew that the refurrection of the body is perfectly confiftent with realon: man is a compofed Being, the foul is not a man, much lefs the body, the foul and body united conftitute the man. Though the foul makes ufe of the beidy as an inftru. ment in many of its operations, more particularly in the practice of virtue or vice, yet the body cannot be confidered fimply as an inftrument, but as a part, it is the man, not the foul, that relieves indigence or op:profles innocence, to the man therefore the reward of virtuc or the punifhment of vice is due, the body therefore muft be re-united to the foul that, the whole man, and not a part of him may reccive the reward of his virtues or the punifhment due to his crimes.
It is in vain to pretend that by death the union is diffolved, and the body reduced to athes, as if greater power were neceffary to re-unite thefe parts, which thouch diffolved, exift, than to create, and unite them originally. To deny the pollibility of the refurrection is to deny the omnipotence of the Divinity, and turn Atheif. The only reafon offered againft the refurrection, which deferves a reply, is, that, men are known io feed on human flefl, that, of courfe, the fame par:ticles ferve to conftitute the bodies of different men. To obviate this, and all fimilar difficulties, it muft be confidered that, though the fame identical body muft be united to the foul, elfe it would not be a refurrection of the fallen bady, but an affumption of another, it is not necelfary that all the different particies of natter which have fuccellively ferved to form the body fhould be united in its relurrection: of thefe the fuperfluous
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inuft be rejected as ufelefs, hence then if the particles of one body, which liave ferved to conftitute another; be neceffary to the former, they may be reftored, and their place fuppplied in the latter, by others, whictid would have been fuperflunus. There is no Savage whofe food is confined to humari flefh: he muft confurne other things alfo, as water, fruits and vegetables; his body of courfe, cannot be entirely formed of the flefh of his fellows. In the refurrection all excrefeences will be retrenched, and defects fupplied, by the power of God.

A queftion of no fmall importance prefents itfelf, that is, whether this future life, in which the juft man obtains the reward of his virtues, and the impious man the punifhment of his crimes, be eternal and immutable or terminable after a certain time.

If the refurrection of the juft be admitted and a ftate of happinefs affigned, as the reward of their virtues, no poffible reafón can be affigned why they thould be deprived of it. The privation of fovereign happrinefs is a mof fevere punifhment, punifhment always fuppofes a crime, which in a ftate of confummate happinefs is impofible. Acid to this, that a flate of confummate happincfs exeludes cvery cvil, and every apprehenfion of evil. The loifs of fovereign liappinefs is the greateft of all evils, and the certainty of lofing it, an object of the greatelt and moft juft apprehenfion.

Morcorei man doés hot arrive at his ultimate end until all his natural defires are fatisfied, he not only defires happinets, but the continuation of happinefs, for fince he delires happinefs but for himfelf, he muft defire it to continue, as long as he himfelf continues to exift, and as he is himfelf immortal his happinefs muft be fotoc.
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the natural defires of man : whilft happinefs is incom blete it is capable of fome addition, and the mind of man, boundlefs, in its defires, munt continue to defire what is wanted, until its happinefs be confummate. Hence it appears that no created object can make man happy; becaufe all created objects are limited; limitation argues a want of forne perfection, which is the object of defire. Hence alfo it follows that confummate happifels cannot : be obtained in this life: for this life is fiubject to many and unavoidable evils, ignorance; in:ordinate attachments, infirmities and death, no wealth, no power, can ward off thefes. .

True and confummate happinefs confifts in the contemplation of God; nö limited object can make man truly happy. God alone is infinite, he is the fource of true happinefs : in him the underftanding fees all truth, and in him the will finds all that is good; in him terminate all the purftits of man, he is his laft end as he is his firft beginning. The will always tends to its oba ject whilft abfent, and refts in the poffeflion of the object when prefent:.
Though hàppineis confifts in the contemplation of God, and is rather the operation of the underfanding contemplating the object, and propofing it to the will; than of the will, which is plealecl in the poffelfion, yet true happinefs does not confift in that confufed knowledge, which all men have of the Divinity; whether the idea of a God, be innate in man, that is, impreffed on the foul at the inftant of its creation, as fome pretend, or fo eafily attained that the leaft reflection fuffices to form it, it is certain that this confufed knowledge is fubject to numberlefs érors: it wuflefs to peak of the errors of the Heathens, they re known every man, who knows uny thing, error is an evil, which true happinefs excludes.
Nor docs confummate happinefs confif in that knowledge:
ledge of God, which is obtained by demonftration the Philofopher demonftrates that the divine effence is one eternal, immutable, infinitely wife and powerful; unlimited in all perfection, but, as reafon, nor even imagination cannot reach infinity, the mind is yet de: firous of having a more adequate idea of all thefe perfections, and of an infinite number of perfections, of which we have no idea at all.

Finally, confummate happinefs does not confift in the knowledge of God as obtained by faith : though many of God's perfections be known to us by faith, which human reafon could not attain, and even thefe per. fections, which reafon may attain, are more clearly known by faith, as is manifeft from this that many of the divine perfections clearly known to the Chrittian Philofopher, were but obfcurely known, if at all, to the Heathen: yet, as by faith, thefe perfections tran. fcending reafon; and founded on external evidence, are not clearly conceived, the mind is yet defirous of a more confummate knowledge. The light of faith dors not make the object vifible to the underfanding, but it makes it vifibly credible, what we fee is the object of fcience, not of faith; hence it follows that confum. mate happinefs confilts in feeing God as he is in hint felf : until this object is obtained the mind is in purfuit, confequently never at refl; but this view of God as he is in himfelf terminates ail purfuits: in him the under. fanding fees all truth, it can defire no more ; in himt the viill finds all that is good, it can feek no more All the defires of the foul may be reduced to four, that of knowledge, of excellence, of pleatire, and a perpetul? continuation of $i t$. By a difinct view of all trath, the " defire of knowledge is perfectly fatiated, no greater exce lence can be fought than a participation of the divine Which is attained by the adthefion and quicfeence of the taderftandingtc truth in its fource, and of the will tof
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yereign goodnefs; for when one Being is united to ano ther both by diftinct knowledge, and boundlefs love, no uniun can be more ftrict, hence the Saints abforbed in the excellence of God participate in it, and all defires of greater excellence muff ceafe. Pleafure refults from the poffeflion of tlie object of defire, and is proportioned to the object. The poffeffion of infinite goodnefs muft produce unlimited pleafure. Happinefs would not be confummate if any apprehenfion of lofing it remain, but a clear and diftinct view of God removes all ap? prehenfion, for in this view confifts eternal life.

This diftinct viesw of the Divinity is not attainable by the foul of man from its natural powers: For that object which infinitely tranfeends the human underftanding cannot be clearly conceived by it ; no exertion of irs natural powers can reach infinity. Hence an influx of the divine light is indifipenfably neceffary to enable any created underitanding to fee the Divinity : if an agent be rendered capable of an action, which furpaffes its natural powers, it muft be by extending the power, which it formerly pofieffed, or by the addition of fome new pawer, which it did not poffefs; there is no extenfion of a finite power, which can raife it to an action infinitely tranfcending its nature, hence it is effentially neceffary to add a power, which the underflanding does not poffefs, to enable it clearly and diftinctly to fee the Divinity. This addition of intellectual power is called the illumination of the under fand. ing.
Though the underfanding of man cannot by its natural powers have a diftinit view of the Divinity, yet it is his laft end and the only object capable of fixing all his defires: the laft end of the principal agent is that of all fubordinate agents ; the underftanding is in man the principal agent: it propofes all objechs to the will, the will difeets the inferio appetites, and thefe fet the body in
motion. The laft end of man is therefore that of the inderftanding. The object of the underfanding is truth, and its ultimate end, primary truth in its fource, that is, in the Divinity, thus every man feeing any effeet is naturally defirous of knowing the caufe, and this defire is never fatisfied until the firft great caufe of all things is known. In this firft caufe all enquiries terminate ; hence man's laft end is to know his God, and in this diftinct vifion of God he obrains pofferfion of eternal life. The act is feecified by its object, and partakes of the perfection of its object, the contemplation of eternal truth is not merely in time, the object is itfelf eternal, the light by which the underftanding contemplates the object is alfo cternal, and the foul is immortal, hence poffeffion of eter. nal life is obtained by a diftinct view of God. Nor, can it be loft. In it confummate happinefs confifts, it mutt therefore exclude every apprehenfion, every polif;
to foul gue nefs be a ence have rule auth and influ fon, fiften prov
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to be attained by no man, the defire impreffed on the foul of man would be vain, and illufive ; it would argrue the malice of its author, not that fovereign good: nefs which is characteriftic of the Divinity. If this end be attainable, it is but reafonable that thefe, in preference and exclufively, fhould atcain it, whoie actions have been in the courfe of life conformable to that rule of conduct, which they have received from their author, that is, the light of reafon. To exclude thefe, and admit others, whofe actions have been invariably influenced by paffion, regardlefs of the dictates of reafon, or even to admit both indifcriminately, is inconfiftent with ti, e juftice, the fanctity, the wildom and providence of God,

The next queftion which prefents itfelf is of all others the moft important and the moft violently contefted by all the partizans of pleafure, that is, the puifhment of vice. Is it in a fuṭure life eternal ?
It mult be admitted that man by acting contrary ta the dictates of reafon, at leaft, withholds that obedience which he owes his maker ; that he fhews a confempt of the law, or rule of action impreffed on his mind, and confequently of the Legiflator; that though no action of his can at all affect his good, who from his nature is incapable of being effectively injured, the injury as far as in man's power is offered by a formal act of difobedience and contempt, add to this that an injury is effectively offered, and fuftained, either by the perfon who commits the crime, or fome other perfon who is under the direction of God's providence, and confequently under his protection. Thefe truths are inconteftiole and from them it evidently follows that fin is offenfive and difleafing to the Divinit;.
The offence may be greater or lefis as it is more or lefs confiftent with rule, thus, a fmall excefs is not fo inconfiftent with the rule of temperance as abfolute intowication.
toxication. The foic Philofophers and fome modern fpeculatifts think all fins equal, as fin is a privation of rectitude, and privations, fay they, are neither increafed, nor diminifhed. This is one of the many paradoxes ${ }_{2}$ which the fpirit of innovation has introduced, which puts conimon fenfe to the bluth. What! a jocofe lie to amule a company is as grievous an offence as the maffacre of a city! No, there is a total and a partial privation.' Death is a total privation of life, and difeafe. but a partial privation, or if you will take difage for a privation of health, it moft certainly can be increafed or diminifhed. The privation of rectitude in fin is of this nature, it may increafe or diminifh, it may be more or lefs confifent with rule, confequently more or lefs grievous.

If there be a total deviation from the laft end, that is, if a man formally prefers the purfuit, or poffeflion of any created object, to the purfuit or poffeffion of confurmmate happinefs, in the contemplation of the Divinity, the fin is called mortal becaufe it is a total privation of firitual life. If the deviation be not abfolute, that is, if a formal preference be not given to fome created object, but fome act committed, which though defective, through inadvertence, or levity, is not formally and malicioufly againft rule, the offence is called venial, becaufe it does not deftroy man's tendency to his laft end, though it may retard and impede him. If the offence be mortal, it is in its own nature irreparable : as after death there remains no principle in the body, which can reftore life, fo after the perpetration of a mortal crime, there remains no principle in the fuul, which can reinftate it. If the offence be venial it may be effaced, as in the body, whilft life remains, a difeafe may be removed, fo whilf the tenden. cy to the laft end continues in the foul, the impediments. may be removed; but if by a total deviation that ten. dency er increafparadoxes ced, which a jöcofe lie ence as the d a partial and difeafe difate for e increafed in fin is of it may be ently more
$t$ end, that r poffeflion offeffion of on of the it is a total be not $a b$. ot given to tted, which or levity, is the offence man's tenand impede own nature no principle $r$ the perpeprinciple in ence be vehilft life rethe tendennpediments. on that ten. dency
dency be loft, the attainment of the end is impracticable. If the human body be put in contact with certain fubftances, it contracts a ftain, and lofes its native beauty; fo the foul from an inordinate attachment to forbidden objects, contracts the ftain of fin, and lofes its beauty. There is a two-fold beauty in the foul unfullied by fin ; the firft refulting from the light of reafon, and thie fecond from the light of grace. This fain remains, though the act which produced it paffes, as the ftain contracted by the body, from being in contact with fome foul fubftande, remains, though the fubftance. be removed. As the ftain of fin is contracted by an attachment to fome forbidden object which caufes a deviation from the laft end, it is neceffary not only to renounce the attachment, but alfo to refume a direction to the laft end, as it is neceffary not only to remove the foul fubifance which ftains the body, but alfo to cleanfe the ftain ; hence the immutability of the will immediately on the termination of life. The laft inftant of life being the laft of the time of trial, the foul has arrived at the end, which in life the purfued: if her purfuits were directed to the proper end according to the dictates of reafon, her reward is certain; if on the contrary abufing reafon, and liberty, her purfuits during the time of trial, were directed to fome end; which reaton condemns, ber punifhment is unavoidable. As mottal fin extinguifhes every principle of life in the foul, it has not power within iffelf to refume its tendency to the laft end. During the time of trial an affiftance both natural, and fupernatural, is offered to enable the foul to refume the ftrait path, if rejected in the time of trial, when that time ceafes, fuch affiftance would be ufelefs, and is offered no more; hence the will is immutably fixed on evil. Add to this that it is natural for every thing when it comes to its laft term to reft in it, if it be not fet in motion and re-
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thoved from it by fome more powerful agent. The than who in the courfe of life has directed his purfuits to the attainment of fome created object, or the gratification of fome paffion, regardlefs of the laft end of his creation, is, at death, arrived at the term, the affec: tion of his mind is therefore immutably fixed to the object of his purfuits, and cannot be changed but by fome exertion of divine power. $t$ is in vain to expect fuch an exeftion : it would extend the time of tiv beyond its term, and confound it with the time of reward, and punifhment; hence it is manifert that the punithnieit of fin muft be eternal : becaufe in the finful foul there is no power of deftroying that affec: tion to an improper object, which induces punifhment nor any difpolition' to be difengaged from it by the dívine power.

Every tranfgreffion of the law ís inductive of punift. thent : it is the fanction of the law, without which no law can exift; this punithment is from the nature of things twe-fold; an exclufion from the poffeffion of the lafferat, and fenfible pain. Juftice proportions the puanifhment to the offence : there is no punifhment better proportioned to a contempt of the laft end, than an abfolute exclufion, but a's the tranfgreffin does not fimply confift in a contenipt of the lift end; but alfo in an adherence to fome inproper' end, or fennible object, juftice adds a fenfible pain, correfpondent to this in ordinate attachment. If no fenfible pdin were inflicted, the punifhment would not be proportioned to the of fence : it might be confidered as null, in the idea of the criminal, however great in itfelf : In excltafion from that, which is not defired, is not thought a punifhment by any man : hence fenfible pain, befides an ex. clufion from the poffeflion of God, is effentially neceffary to fanction the law. Both the one and the other mut lie cternal :' for fince that difpofition of the foul, which
refe umr hucti hat 0 it ixes eque oul race fatur secau ree eren ably efum thas divin ind $t$ may nfup its aff At hoctri moft telff Endea whofe reject Som foran uffice, Thefe rime reflio punilh hot ta
ent. The $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n} n_{3}^{\prime}}^{1}$ is purfuits to or the gratifi laft end of his's im, the affec ly fixed to the anged but by jain to expect time of tid h the time of manifeft that becaufe in the ng that affec: punifhment; it by the dio
ive of punifh. nut which no the nature of ffeffion of the tions the pui lifhment betend, than an fiun does not d; büt alfo int infible object, to this in cre inflicted, ed to the ofn the idea of clafion from bt a punifhcfides an ex. ally neceffary e other munt foul, which prefers
refers fome fenfible object to the poffeffion of com ummate happinefs in the contemplation of God, is ins luative of punifhment as an abufe of reafon, whili hat difpofition continues the punifment correfpondent o it muft continue, death the laft term immutably xes this criminal difpofition, makes it eternal, and conequently the punifhment due to it . It is true the oul has, from its nature, a capacity of receiving race in this life, and glory in the next. It has no patural power of acquiring either the one or the othes pecaufe they tranifend the order of natare and hence rec called fupernatural ; but thete is this effential diference that, in the courfe of life, the will is not immuably fixed, hence though the foul has no power of efuming that life of grace, which in has extinguikhed, thas yet the capacity of receiving this life from the divine power, but in death the will is immutably fixed, Ind the capacity of receiving either grace, or glory, may in fome fenfe be faid to remain, there is an Infuperable bar, that is, the immutability of the will in its affection to fome forbidden object.
A thourand difficulties have been ftarrted againft this foctrine, which however fimple and founded on the moft folid principles of reafon it may appear, is yet in ffelf fo terrible, that the mind of man, as by initinct, Endeavors to invalidate or avoid it. And all thefe met, whofe purfuits are confined to pleafure, unanimoully eject it.
Some pretend that to inflict an endlefs puniflment for an inftantaneous tranfgreflion, is inconfiftent with uffice, with gooidnefs, with mercy or even with power. Thefe men feem to imagine that the punifhment of a rime thould be of the fame duration with the tran!. greflion, a rule obferved in no Court of Equity : the punilhment is proportioned to the malice of the crime, hot ta its duration, and the malice of an offence is pro
portioned to the dignity of the perfon offerided, an offence, of the Divinity is of unbounded malice, and deferves endlefs punifhment, it is therefore perfectly confiftent with jultice. In all countries, for any enor mous crine againft fociety a man is for ever tetrenched from that fociety whether by deth or perpetual bad nifhment ; an offence againft charity, the boind of union amonglt the bleffed, is a capital offence againft that iociety, and of courfe deferves a perpetual exclu. fion:
:. Add to this that not only the act but the defire, and the attacliment to any forbidden object, is criminal, and confequently deferving of punifhment. The man twho perfeveres until death in purfuit of any created objec, however flattering to his vanity, his ambition or his renfuality, has made his election: he has not only preferred that object to the poffefion of confum. mate happinefs in the contemplation of his God, in op. pofition to the dictates of reafon, but obftinately perfe. vered in that difpofition to the end, and fince death immutably fixes his affection, it muft be perpetual, and the correfpondent punifhinent of equal duration. Hence to indlizt endlefs punilhment is a neceflary effeet of juftice. It is alfo perfectly confiftent with fovereign grodnefs : to countenance or encourage evil, is not an effect of goodnefs, it would rather argue a defect; infinite goodnefs is infinitely oppofite to evil, infinitely remote from evil, and of courfe infinitely inimical to cvil. Whilft this oppolition contintues; fovereign good. nels will exclude and difcountenance evil ; and fince by death the finner's will is immutably fixed on evil, this sppofition mult be perpetuad.

An act of mercy always proceeds from love, and, as God is fovereignly good, and therefore infinitely inimir cal to evil, neither does nor can love that foul, which is inmutably fixed oa cevil, he can extend no act of imecty
to her, mercy. All ces, or well be parents ects an are, an sthey y is of oodne parent, the offe portion 1 , the rom w whes to ff confe nid dep e is obl tinate ionably
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the defire, and ct, is criminal, ent. The man of any created $y$, his ambition in: he has not ion of confum. his God, in (op. bftinately perfe. and fince death perpetual, and quál duration. neceffary effet with fovereign re evil, is not rgue a defect; evil, infinitely cly inimical to overeign good. 1 ; and fance by ed on evil, this
a love, and, as infinitely inimifoul, which is noact of mercy
to her, perpetual punifhment is therefore confiftent with mercy: This reafoning is applicable to clemency." is? All comparifons between God and fovereign Princes, or parents, are defective : a comparifon might as well be inftituted between time and fpace! Princes and parents are men, are bound by certain laws to their fubects and children, ftrietly obliged to attend to their wel. dre, an offence againft them confidered as fuch is limited 3 they are themfelves. An offence againft the Almigh. $y$ is of courfe illimited in its malice, as he is infinite in goodnels, if therefore an offence againft an indulgent parent, or beneficent Prince argues a certain malice in the offender, which deferves a temporal punifliment proportioned to the offence, an offence againft the Almighb, the univerfal Sovereign, Parent and Benefactor, rom whom the offender holds life and all that contriSutes to fuport life, to whom on the title of creation, ff confervation, of fubjection, of gratitude, of love nid dependence, he owes himfelf, and to whofe glory e is obliged to contribute, fuch an offerce, and obtinate perfeverance in it to the end, deferves unquefionably a punifthment endlefs as is the riffence.
A temporal Prince in inflicting punilament may be puity of cruelty, though the punifhment does not furafs the enormity of the offence, becaufe he may inand the fufferings of the criminal, not the fatisfaction ve to juftice. 'This is inconffiftent with divine gondefs. It is the fatisfaction due to juftice, which is inended, and in it there is on cruelty.
In a word, if the man, who perfeveres in fin to the nd, ceafes to fin, $i$ is becatife he ceafes to live. His efire of fin is perpetual and the punifment alfo.
Hitherto we have reafoned on the attributes of the deity as known to us by the light of reafon unafited yany fuperior light, and thewn that God is the firft finciplo, and the laft end of all his creatures: that all
the Beings which compofe the univerfe, hold from him their exiftence, and the continuation of their exiftence; that his providence fuperintends and directs all crea, tures, the inanimate by fixed and determined laws ; the irrational by unerring inftinct, prefenting rules for the rational part of his creatures to direct themfelves, ne, ver allowing them to difturb the general plan, which in his wifdom he has formed for the manifeftation of his glo, ry; we have alfo thewn that reafon and free agency are excellent gifts worthy of their Author, of thefe the proper ufe and not the abufe was intended, from the abufe refults moral evil, the exiftence of which argues the malice of the evil doer, but does not affect the fove, reign goodnefs of God, who though he can neither en. courage nor countenance evil, is not obliged to prevent it.

We have alfo ghewn that a ftate of trial, in which, if man acted confiftently with the dicates of reafon, ha thould obtain a reward, ar, if he abufed and perverted reafon, he fhould be expofed to punifhment, was recon cileable with the idea of Providence, and then pro ceeded to thew that the reward obtaiped by virtue of the punifhment inflifted on vice muft be without end

In the courfe of the work we have remarked that man's innate defire of happinefs, which he invariably purfues, however miftaken in the object, and the boundlefs capacity of his mind, thew that he wasin tended for confummate lhappinefs; which is to be $w$ tained but in the contemplation of the Divinity, if which truth foen inmediately fatisfies the underfand ing, and goodnefs in its fource fatiates all the defires 0 the will. We have alfo clearly fhewn that, there is n natural power in man equal to the attainment of $\cdot$ hit object, that no extenfion of his natural powers can af rive at it, that, what is called the light of glory, is in difpenfably neceffary. An example of this we bat
old from him heir exittence ; irects all crea, ined laws ; the ng rules for the themfelves, ne, plan, which in ation of his glo. free agency are r, of thefe the nded, from the of which argues affect the fove can neither en. ged to prevent

1, in which, if es of reafon, he 1 and perverted ent, was recon and then pro ed by virtue of be without end remarked tha h he invariably jject, and tha that he wasin hich is to be 24 he Divinity, the underftand all the defires 0 that, there is $n$ ainment of $\cdot$ thil 1 powers canal t of glory, is in of this :ve bat
in the natural order. The eye, however well conftituted, or however its vifual powers may be increafed or extended, cannot fee an object even the moft vifible, if totally deftitute of linhe How this light of glory is to be cbtained is not immediately known to man from the light of reafon, hence the abfolute neceffity of revelation to enable man to attain the end, for which he was originally intended, as that capacity of arriving at the end with proper afiftance manifefly, hews,

The Heathen Philufophers, whole powers of reafoning were certainly great, and whofe ftudies were intenfe, were notwithfanding fubject to the moft grofs and ridiculous errors. The unity of the Divine Being they knew, yet dared not avow it ; of his providence they fpoke in ambiguous terms; their frequent cons fultation of oracles thew their belief of his prefcience, but this they thought dependent on the decrees of fate, by which all their pretended Gods were Ihackled. Their modes of worflip were indecent and the whole plan of religion, which they had formed, compnfed of fenfelefs errors and ridiculous practices, to fay po more,

Flattery firf introduced a multiplicity of Deities, as thefe were faflioned by the makers according to their own fancies they were made fubject to all the vices, to which the Heathens themfelves were addicted, hence there is no paffion, however extravagant, which had not its patron or patronefs amongtt the Heathen Deities ; this abufe was fo univerfal that one of their moft fevere moralifs thought intoxication laudable in honor of Bacchus, and public proftitution commendable in honor of Venus. Our modern Philofophers, men abforbed in fenfuality, muft regret thefe happy times, when they could with impunity and honor give $a$ loofe to the moft blind and fenfelefs defires. Hence they unanimoully reject a revealed religion, well know-
ing that reafon, unaffited by a fuperior light, is no more frong now than inthe days of Heathen fuper ftition, confequently incapable of reftcaining the viokence of pafion, and frequently acting in concert with it. A revealed religion with its promifes of rewards, and punifhments, is a moft powerful reftraint for thefe men, it has no attractive : it holds out nothing to them but confufion heve and torments hereafter. Thefe thoughts produce a certain anxiety, which difturbs them in the enjoyinent of their pleafures, to quell this anxiety they exhauft their imagination in purfuit of fome plaulible reafon to think this revealed religion with all its terrors a mere bug-bear. They have re courfe to what remains of the writings of the Heathens and glean all they have faid againft revealed religion.: This they obtrude on the ignozant, who form a vant majority in all focieties, as the productions of their own brains, gratifying at the fame time both their malice and their vanity. It is in vain to clafs them as fome writere do. They areall engaged in the fame purfuir, that is, Epicurean pleafure, all equally adverfe to that re: vealed religion, which condenns, and terrifies them, all declared enemics to fubordination and order, and as fuch enemies both to Cod and man. Thefe unfortu: nate men endcavor to perfuade themfelves, and others, that revelation is impoffible, that it is ufelefs, that it is totally unneceffary, that it is not credible. Reafon in their opinion is more than fufficient to direct man ta attain the end of his creation, and to pay the Supreme Being the homage which he exacts.

By revelation is ynderfood a certain knowledge, which God communicates of the divine nature, or the divine will, hence many things are known from revehation, which reafon cannot attain.

To reafon againft the pumbility of revelation, argues The moft nupid ignorance, as if God; whofe undertand-
ht , is ro hen fuper $g$ the vio. cert with frewards, tor thefe othing to hereafter? which difs, to quell in purfuit d religion have re Heathens religion. rma vat their own eir malice as fome purfuir, o that re. ies them; rder, and unfortuad others, fs, that it Reafon ct man ta Supreme

10wledge, re, or the rom reve-
$n$, argues deritand-
ing is infinite and poffefted of an infinity of truths, ted which man's reafon cannot reach, were incapable of making any of thefe truth known to him ; one man communicates his ideas to another by the intervention of founds, or letters, which are material, though ideas are fpiritual; and omnipotence, which has formed the tongue, the eye and the ear, the air which conveys found, and the light which imprefies the image of the object on the optic nerve, will be deprived of this faculty !
But thefe truths tranfcend the knowledge of man. Yes, if unaffifted by a fuperior light, as the fatellites of Jupiter efcape the naked eye, but are clearly feen by the' afiiflance of the telefcope.

We every day fee men learn from other men truths, of which they had no previous idea, which feem to contradict their ideas, though thefe men, from whom they learn, have no power of infufing light in their minds. What abfurdity then to preténd that from almighty power, and infinite wifdom, they máy not learn truthy, of which they neither had nor would have any previous knowledge?
Thefe truths, it is true, are not in the natural order or the common courfe of things, but they are perfectly confiftent with it, for there is nothing more natural than for an artif to make any change, which he thinks proper, in a piece of mechanifin, and with reffect to God the whole univerfe is fuch. This alf fhews that the prefent order of things was an effect of choice, not of any natural determination: By the interference of fecondary caufes we every day fee deviations from the eftablifhed order of things, or, if you will, from that order which ficquently happens, to that which rarely happens, without prejudice of Divine Providence. Is the power and efficacy of the primary caufe all-wife and omnipotent, more confined than that of a fecondary caufe? The idea is ridiculous in the extreme

Extreme, But cortinues the Deift we have no certain: ty of any revelation made to man, thefe who pretend to have heard truths revealed might have been dupes or impoftors: it cannot be proved that they did not intend to deceive us; nor can it be proved that, they themfelves were not deceived. To this the writer replies that there are proofs the moft fatisfactory, that the men; who tranfmitted revealed truths of religion to us; were neither deceived nor deceivers ; that they did not intend to deceive, and if they did, that they could. not. Thefe proofs are taken from the nature of thefe truths themfelves, which breathe nothing contrary to reafon, nothing, which does not give man elevated ideas of hisCreator, nothing, which does not infpire fanc. tity and virtue, nothing, which dies not tend to man's perfection here, and happinefs hereafter, in a word nothing, which does not thew the divinity of the Author: thefe may be confidered as the internal evidence of revealed truths, and the external proofs are taken from the character of the writers, and the impoflibility of their impofing on the world ; they were men under the influence of no témporal intereft, had no finifter views; fimply and unequivocally they attefted the truth, knowing it muft be at the expenfe of theirlives. They foretold what it was not poffible for man to forefee, and in confirmation of the truths, which they announced, the laws of motion, at other times invariable, were frequently fufpended. 'I hat there have been miracles fuppofed, and revelations feigned ir.tentionally to deceive, is true, but that indifcriminately all have been dupes andimpoftors; that of the many thoufands who atteft, of the many men of the mot profound erudition, and great penctration who affert that they thenfelves were witneffes, there has not ieen one honeft man, is a moft impadent and fhamelefs affertion, an affertion which a man who has any remains of modefty would ho pretend been dupes did not inthat, they writer rery, that the igion to us, hey did not hey could. re of thefe ontrary to n elevated infpire fanc id to man's word nohe Author : dence of reaken from ffibility of n under the ifter views; the truth, lives. They to forefee, ey announriable, were en miracles rally to dehave been ufands who d erudition, thennfelves eft man, is $n$ affertion efty would
hot dare to make: he might as well pretend that becaure fome men tell lies, no man tells truth, or becaufe there are fome bank notes forged there are none of any value, or, if you will, becaule there are fome men blind, there are none who can fee ; but he is told that there are certain rules for detecting fallhood, that thefe muft be applied, and the deceit, if any there be, mult appear. Thefe fuppofed miracles and feigned revelations io fat from fhewing that all are Co , evidently argue the contrary : for falfhood is the fiction of truth, and copies, however faithlefs and faulty, thew the original true, hence if there wefe no true miracles, there never would have been a falfe one, nor would there be a fictitious revelation, if there had not been a teal one previous, which the fiction counterfeits.
The revelation of myferies, that is, of truths to reafon inconcei vable, is, if we believe the Deif, totally impofible. Yet we know, and the Deif mult know, if he knows any thing, that many trutlis even in the natural order, and within the limited fphere of human reafon, are evident to men of, fcience, which to the illiterate Mechanic, are as myfterious as any revealed truth whatfoever. Will not the unlearned Mechanic believe the Aftronomer that the Earth performs its revolution round the Sun, though his fenfes tell him, and feem to affure the contrary. The affertion he muft believe-fimply on the credit of the Aftronomer, becaufe he has not the iñolt diffant idẹa of the principles on which it is founded, the Deift furely will admit that the divine underftanding as far furpaffes the human, as that of the moft learned man, does the reaforing faculty of the artifan; if then the credit of the Aftronomer be fufficient to eftablifli a truth, which contradiets fenfe, why may not the infallibility of the divine word eftablith a truth which tranfeends reafon?

Myftetious triths are not evident in themfleves, that is, they do not appear immediately to us, but they are evidently certain, becaufe they are founded on the divine word, which excludes falliood. Tbe object of reafon is to determine whether the truth propofed be revealed or tiot ; and, as that is matter of fact, it is determined as all other facts are by concur. ring teflimonies: if the motives of credibility be fuffi: ciently frong to induce a well-founded belicf, that the truth propofed has been revealed, the enqui. ries of human reafon ceafe : why fo? Becaute there is nothing mote reafonable than to believe infallible aus thority, however myfterious the truth may appear.
Thefe miracles by which revealed truchs were firt effablifhed, are yet continued, not in themfelves, but in their effect, the converfion of the world, which is of all others the greatef, a vifible miracle which flathes conviction on the underftanding ; that the learned and the ignorant, the Prince and the peafant, men of all nations, and times, whofe names and cuftoms are as different as their faces, fhould unanimoully admit truths inconceivable, inductive of the thof fevere and mortifying reftraints, contradicting the moft violent inclinations of corrupt nature, is a mitralous effect of almightypower, and if this converfion of the world be effected without a mirate, it is itfelf greater than any pofitible miracle. Add to this, that the revelation of truths tranfeending reaifon is indifpenfably neceffary to direct man to a fupernatural end, for which we have already fhewn him to be originally intended. As man can never defire that, of whith he has no idea, not can he have recourfe to theans, which are to him not known, it is therefore neceflary, that this fupernatural end thould be revealed to him, and the means by which it may be attained, and fince the means are always proportioned to the end, the end being fupernaturals,
in themdelves, tely to us, but oy are founded falliood. The ther the truth hat is matter of are by concur. dibility be fuffi. led belief, that ed, the enqui. 3ecaude there is e infallible aus nat appear. ruths were firft hemfelves, but Id, which is of whith flathes $t$ the learned afant, men of id cuftoms are noully admit oft fevere and moft tiolent ulous effect of the world be ater than any revelation of neceffary to ich we have ted. As mani no idea, not e to him not fupernatural ins by which are always Cupernatural; that
that is, tranfeending the natural powers of man, the means mult be fo too.
It is true myfterious objects are nat vifible to the ye, but we believe many things, which we da nat fee, bor cannat fee, qur thoughts are not vifible to the eye, or are the thoughts or fecret difpofitions of others ifible even to our minds, yet we believe that othen hen think, of this we haye indications fufficiently rong to remove all doubts, It is from fuch indica. ons that we believe myfterious truths revealed hich are vifible neither to our eyes nor to our minds, uths of courfe, which give us a more fublime idea of ur God, and a more diftinct knowledge of the divine ture. The moft diftinct knowledge that we can have the divine nature, is, that it infinitely furpaffes the moff ftretch of any limited imagination, fuch is the ex; which certain revealed myfteries convey. If, fays the Deift, a revealed religion be fubltituted to enatural religion common to all, and at all times, it gues a change incompatible with the immutability of od. To this it may be replied that God's decrees are trnal, but the execution of thefe decrees is fucceffive, cording to the order which he himfelf has determi: d. It is not more ftrange than true that men are found olinded by prejudice as to affert that revelation is not ful to man, as if it were ufelefs to man to learn, by mple, concife and unerring method, all that. in this, he can know of the divine nature, of the worfhip ich the Deity expects, and exacts, and all his relative ies as a man, and a member of fociety. If incons. iences bave happened, and different fects have been ned, difagreeing in opinions, this is not imputable evelation, but to the depravity of man, who endea; sto warp revelation from its true and natural fignifion, and make it fubfervient to his private views : revelation
revelation is not more abufed than reafon. Will any man be fo perverfe as to pretend that reafon is ufelefs becaure it is fo often abufed, and tometimes to the wort of purpofes?

The advantages which refult from revelation are ins numerable and invaluable: without it a great majority of the human fecies could never attain a competent knowledge of the Divinity, or of their own relative duties, fome through a natural indifpofition are incapable of acquiring this knowledge, others; whofe whole time is abforbed in purfuit of the firft neceffaries of life, food and raiment, many whofe time is devoted to pleafure, youth in general is incapable of the intenfe ftudy and the abftrufe and metaphyfical difquifitions neceffary. To obtain by dint of reafon. ing, even a flender knowledge of the divine attributes, to pafs in filence the mutability of the human mind, continually thanging its opinions if it be not fixed by infallible anthority. There are in revelation many ob. fcure paffages, fone from the nature of the truths which they difclofe, fome, which at the time, and in the places, where they were firt difclofed, were per. fectly intelligible, at this diftance from both, appear to us obfcure, and fome obfcurities intended by the wri. ters themfelves to exercife the mind of the reader, and fupprefs his pride, but the attributes of the Divinity are clearly and diftinctly revealed; the end of man's creation; the great principles of morality ; the means, by which, this end is to be obtained, and many other things, which human reafon could not difcover, are announced without any ambiguity. If thefe principles of morality are by fome neglected, it only proves that paffion in fome men nverpowers all reftraints, not that 'the reflraint impofed by revealed religion is weak of $\mu$ felefs.
From what we have faid appears not only the utility
n. Will any xfon is ufclefs etimes to the lation are in reat majority a competent own relative tion are inca. others; whofe e firft necefla. fe time is de incapable of metaphyfical lint of reafor. ine attributes, human mind, not fixed by ion many ob. of the truths time, and in ed, were per. th, appear to $d$ by the wri. e reader, and the Divinity enci of man's ; the means, 1 many other difcover, are hefe principles ly proves that ints, not that on is weak ot
but the neceflity of revelation. The infufficiency of reafon to direct man in the purfuit of true happinefs is known from the experience of all ages : not one of the Heathen Philofophers, after all their refearches, difcovered that, which conftitutes it; not one who did not, botl by precept and example, authorife intolerable errors in morality. The idolatrous fuperfitions of all the different nations whom revelation did not enlighten atteft this truth; that there is but God himfelf, who can teach his creatures to honor and adore him in fpirit and truth; the moft fenfible and convincing proof may be taken from the men who oppofe this truth. Whilf they boaft the fufficiency of human reafon, they confound vice with virtue, they authorife licentioufnefs, applapd fuicide, encourage rebellion, remove all reftraints, break down all the barricrs. The principles taught in this new fchool are not fimply fubverfive of focial happinefs but abfolutely deftructive of the human fpecies. There is between our moderin Philolophers and their predeceflors the Heathens, this remarkable difference, that in the Heathen fchools truth was fought but through the inability of reafon not affited by revelation not to be found, in our modern fchools truth prefents itfelf and is expelled whilf the mof abfurd falhoods fupply its place.

The revelation of fome fupernatural truths was indifpenfably neceflary at all times, and muft have been co-eval witt the creation. For fince man was intended for a fupcrnatural end, and could neither by his natural powers know the end, nor the means, to attain the end, he muft have known them from revelation, elfe that innate defire of confummate happinefs implanted in his nature, and that capacity of enjoying it, would bave been illufive, which is blafpheiny to affert; tho end therefore of his creation, and the means to obtain the end, muf have been "revealed to our firt Parent,

Who, being the immediate principle of the human foe: cies, muft have been perfect both in body a nd mind, in body, to procreate, and in mind, to inftruct, and from him this primitive revelation muft have been tranfs. mitref to his pofterity, whether by oral tradition, as feems probable, of by written documents, which feems mare probable. To convey our thoughts to the eya by figns, as to the car by founds, being equally a gift of the Creator, in the opinion of the moft intedligens
men.

Add to this, that a certainty of pardon for fins com: mitted on certain conditions is a frong incentive to virtue ; this certainty cannot be obtained but from revelation : by the light of reafon we know that, God is infinitely merciful, but we know alfo that he is infinitely jun, it is impolfble to know from reafon on what conditions his juftice may he fatisfied, fo as to extend his mercy to the man, who has been guilty of a eapital offence, hence defpair would be a neceffary confequence, and defpair removes all reftraints, this! we know from experience; and the conduct of our modern Philofophers evinces the truth : in defpair thefe unfortunate men give themfelves up to all forts
of uncleannefs. of uncleannefs.

That God is infinitely gaad we know, and confe. quently that he can forgive offences, but we know alfo that it is not confiftent with goodnefs to encourage evil by impunity ; we know that the divine goodnefs does not exclude the divine juftice : that juftice muft be fatisfied, and what are the conditiord we cannot know but from revelation. The condiciong, on which a capital offence is forgiven, muft be known but from the injured party, not prefymed by the offender.

If it be alked why a more explicit revelation was made at the time of Mofes? A reafon may be affigned; it was then mure neceffary to check the progrefs of
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Idolatry, which the pride and licentioufiefs of man had authotifed to an intolerable excefs, and though this revelation, or rathet the ceremonial law inftituted by it, was confined to the defcendants of Jacob, the prodigles atteriding this revelation were knowh to all furrounding nations, atid fufficient to fliew the wealst neis and vanity of the Heathen idols, and the fliamelefs blindnets of thefe, whod factilice to, or confide in them.

To enquire why this revelation thas inade to the defcendants of Jacob, and not to other nations, is ufelefs : fuch a preference depends on the abfolute will of God, whofe diftribution of gratuitotis fators to fome is an effect of goodnefs not injurious to others; who have no title or claim on hith. Where there ate no claims there is no acceptation of perfons. An enquiry might be inftituted with equal propriety why he created the angel fuperior to man, or man to the moure.

The law eftablifhed by the miniftry of Mofes was an extraotdinarg favor to the Jews, beccaufe it explicitly pointed out all the great principles of the natural law, it was therefore a fimple and infallible rule of action; though thefe principles might have been known to the Heathens, they were not : prejudice, paffion, example; intereft and other reafotis had effaced them from their minds in a great meafure, few, if atiy obferved them ; thefe amongt the Heathien nationis, who obferved the precepts of the natural law, were not obliged to obferve the ceremonial precepts of the Jewifh law : thefe pred cepts obliged but the Jews themfelves and prifelytes to their religion.

To this may be added, that as God always grants to every one of his creatures all that is neceffary to the attainment of its end, if there be no impediment given, if any math remote from times or places, in which the revelation of fupernatural truths is manifelt, frictly obferves the
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the dictates of unprejudiced reafon, and all the precepts of the natural law, God will either enlighten his under: ftanding immediately, or fend fome other agent to ins Atruct him, as Peter was fent to initruct Cornelius.

The experience of ages thews that even men of extraordinary abilities, and intenfe ftudy, though know: ing the moft common principles of the natural law, have been fulject to the moft grofs errors in remote confequences, and in the application of firt principles, if then, reafon be infufficient to direct the learned few, a $\mathrm{fu}_{2}$ plement is indifpenfably neceffary for the bulk of mankind, this fupplement, which perfects reafon, is the infallible authority of revelation.

That a law founded on revelation has been announ: red, is a fact, which is not fubject either to mathematical, or metaphyfical demonltration, nor is it an intuitive truth, which is evident on the expofition, it is known, as all other facts are known, by inural evidence; that is, by the teftimony of unexceptionable witneffes corroborated by circumftances. Whether a truth founded on moral evidence be more cerrain than that which is founded on metaphyfical reafoning is left to Logicians to decide ; but it muft be admitted that to deny the one is more dangerous and detrimental to fociety than the other : thus for inttance, in England a man may, with impunity, deny the pirituality of the foul, but if he were to deny that Gcorge the Third is King, it might procure him a halter: The former is a truth founded on metaphyfical reafoning, the latter on mere moral evidence, the internal evidence of revelation as it is called by fome, or perhaps more properly by others, corroborating evidence, is taken from the nature of the doctrine confidered in itfelf; in it are truths, which man's imagination could not difcover, truths which mortify his pride, contradict his moft violent inelinations: teach him to fubduc his paffions, to eradi-
cate the cate the without truths thought the plar of its al

The there ft cles, an a mirac which r fcending condary fects ft angels, ble but and act excite eftablifh duction:

An ef knówn itfelf, as the Sun effect is the illur duction, which b the cour That teftibly compofe hature will, its tettain
e precept his under: ent to ins lius.
ien of exgh know: tural law, in remote principles, arned few, he bulk of fon, is the
n announ: mathema is it an intion, it is 1 evidence; le witneffes $r$ a truth $n$ than that g is left to tted that to intal to fo. England 2 ality of the he Third is former is a he latter on e of revcla. properly by rom the na$t$ are truths, ver, truths moft violent ons, to eradi.
cate the firit of relf-love and private intereft, inculcate the indifpenfable neceffity of univerfal benevolence without diftinction of friends or enemies. In a word, truths which condemn every poffible vice, eyen in thought, and enforce every virtue; fuch doctrine an d the plan of religion formed on it, atterts the divinity of its author.
The external evidence of revelation is talken from thefe ftupendous effects of divine power, called miracles, and of the divine prefcience called prophecies. By a miracle is undertood an effect of omnipotence, to which no inferior power is competent; a work tranfcending the eftablified order of nature, which no fe: condary caufe can produce, but as an inftrument. Effects furpafing the power of nian, but not that of angels, whether good, or bad, are faid to be admirable but not miraculous. By the fuperior intelligence and activity of the agent, effects are produced, which excite admiration, though they do not tranfcend the eftablifhed laws, thefe may be confidered as the productions of art:

An effect may furpafs the meclianical powers, or the known eftablifthed laws of nature, either conlidered in itfelf, as if tivo bodies were to occupy the fame place, the Sun to retrogade, or in the fubject, in which the effect is produced, as the refurrection of the dend, or the illumination of the blind, or in the manner of production; as if a fever be inflamaneoufly removed, which by the powers of nature might be removed in the courfe of time.
That God may produce miraculous effects is incon. teftibly true : for fince all the leveral Beings, which compole the univerfe, have been created by him, the hature of each different fpecies is eftablifhed by his will, its powers of producing effects confined within cettain limits, may be extended by that omnipotent
will which grants them, or reftrained without being defltroyed. By fuch an extenfion of power or reftraint an effect tranfeending the order of the particular nature of that caule may be produced, as when Sampfon pulled down the temple of Dagon, or the fire did not affect Sidrach, Mifach and Abednego in the furnace: Thefe effects, inconfiffent with the nature of thete particular caufes as known to us, are perfectly confiftent with univerfal nature, for there is nothing more na. tural than for an artift at times to make ufe of an in: ftrument according to his will, and for an omnipotent artift to produce any effect by any inftrument, or to produce the effect immediately without any inftrument at all.

We have already fhewn that the creation of this world was an effect of choice ; that God was undei no necefity either externally or internally to create, that he might have created this or any other, or no world at all; the world depends on him, not he on the world, hence the order of all caufes and effects depends on his abfolute will.' To preteria that Cod may not pro. duce an effect without the interference of any fecondary caufe, or that he cannot increafe, or diminifi the pow. ers of fecondary caufes, is to make God dependent on his creatures not them on him, which would be an in. verfion of all order. Hence it follows that no created agent, however great its power, can produce a mira. culous effect : the agent cannot exceed the limits of its power ; all effe?ts therefore, which it produces, mult be according to the order of its naturc. The powers of the angelical nature are fuperivt to the powers of human nature : powers of agency are correfpondent to the nature of the agent, the angelical nature is fuperior to human nature, in which the foul is embarraffed in its operations by a dependance on the body, hence ait Angel, dither of light, or darknefs, from its fuperior intelligencé,
phtellige plicatior ffect wl but fuch mechani governac fone r vill cauf bithin co certain $n$ invilit freate eep it fu dmiratio ccaufe th gent, ac on of $m$ imate a ifled o pdy is $m$ A mirac ture in crees, d pre-or ere is $n$ by the i e under rintends In vain d the pow tinguifh ced by ects prod true we res, nor other,
vithout being er or reltraint particular na. vhen Sampfon fire did not ithe furnace: of thete parti. tly confiftent ing more na. e ufe of an in: in omni potent rument, or to ny inftrument
eation of this d was undet ally to create, other, or no not he on the ffects depends may not pro. iny fecondary difh the pow. dependent on uld be an in. tat no created oduce a mira. e limits of its duce's, mult The powers tie powers of efpondent to re is fuperior nbarraffed in ty, hence ain its fuperior inteliggence',
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intelligence, and the rapidity of its motion, in tha apr blication of active to paffive principles, may produce an ffect which excites admiration, becaufe it is unufual, but fuch an effect muft be produced according to thefo mechanical laws, by which the material world is overned. Thus, according to the law of gravitation ftone defcends, a man, from his locomotive powers, vill caufe it to afcend; but as his powers are confined ithin certain limits his action is confined to fones of certain magnitude, and the afcent to a certain height; $n$ invifible agent of greater powers will caufe a fone f greater magnitude to afcend to a greater height, or leep it fufpended in the air ; fuch an effect muft excite imiration, yet in this there is nothing miraculous ccaufe the effect is correfpondent to the power of the yent, according to the eftablighed laws, but no exten. on of man's power, or of any created agent, can himate a dead body, becaufe this tranfeends the eftaifled order, of things. The refurrection of a dead dy is manifeftly miraculous.
A miraculous deviation from the eftablifhed order of, ture in particular caufes, argues no change in God's crees, becaufe all thefe deviations have been forefeen, d pre-ordered, in the general plan of Providence: ere is nothing more common, than deviations cauf by the interference of fecondary caufes, yet all thefe. c under the direction of that Providence, which fu-. rintends the whole.
In vain does the Deift pretend that we do not know. the powers of mechanical caules ; that we cannots. finguifh a miraculous effect from that, which is proced by fome mechanical caufe; we fee wonderful ects produced by chemical operations, fay they, it rue we do not know all the powers of mechanical. res, nor the effects, which one body produces on other, in virtue of the laws of gravitation, of at." traction $_{n}$
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traction, of election or repulfion, nor is it neceflary that we thould, but we know that no mechanical law can produce life in a dead body, or enable a man to walk on the feas as on dry land, to command the winds, becaufe thefe effects are contrary to the ma chanical laws, and powers, which are known, and thefe, which we do nat know, are not contrary to thefe, which we do know. It is not therefore fo dif ficult to diftinguifh a miraculoụs effect from a pro duction of art.

At leaft, fay they, the power of firitual agent efcapes our knowledge, yes, but we knaw their pow ers are confined within certain limits, and in a certal order : for no agent can act on any fubject, not fub ject to its action, nor fufpend the laws eftablifhed by a fuperior power, hence no fpiritual created agent cur effect a miracle. The reafon, why increated powe effects miracles, is, becaufe all nature is fubject to, and dependent on it; and that all particular caufes are buif its inftruments; as to the miracles which were pry tended to be wrought amongft the Heathens it is fuf ficient to fay that, the authors, from whom we lear thefe pretended miracles, did not believe them ; Lir relates fome, but he fays in the preface of his word "t that he was not difpufed either to affirm or refury "what was faid to happen, either before, or at th " building of the city, and which was rather adornd " by poetical fables, than authentic monuments of fact: "It is pardonable," fays he, "in antiquity, to adm" "fome things divine, thereby to render the foundatin " 6 of their cities more venerable, and no more pardona) "than in the Roman people." In the $24^{\text {th }} \mathrm{B}$. 2 12th C. he fays, "many prodigies were publifhed ti "year and the more the fimple and credulous $p$ s " ple believed them, the more they were increafed In the $24^{\text {th }} \mathrm{C}$. hefags, "that the Confuds having rifit
is it neceffary 10 mechanical enable a man command the ry to the me known, and $t$ contrary to erefore fo diff from a pro
iritual agent aw their pow nd in a certai bject, not fub eftablifhed ated agent cas created powe fubject to, and caufes are bor bich werepry thens it is fur vhom we leat e them ; Lim ce of his work firm or refurs se, or at rather adornd ments of faat nity, to adm the foundatio ore pardonal e $24^{\text {th }}$ B. e publifhed credulous pa ere increafed having riic

Y: two city legions, and filled up the other legions, did [is not move from the city. until they had procured pros ".digies." Thefe pretended prodigits were therefore, in the opinion of the authors who relate them, intended \$o encourage the foldiers, or fecure the approbation of an ignorant and fuperftitious populace.

Valerius Maximus, in his itt. B. having related many pretended miracles, adds, that "as thefe were not late "tranfactions, but depending on hearfay tradition, let " the authors vouch for them." Fidem auCloris vindicent. Suetonius, in the life of Claudius, fays of thefe miracles, " J have related them not that, I believe them true, "or verifimilar." And Paufanius, in the 6th B: fays, "I have related, what the Greeks have circulated, but "nathing obliges me to believe them."

It was currently reported that great cures were performed in the temple of 王fculapius, and infcriptions, expreffive of thefe miraculnuscures on brazen tablets were hung up to commemorate the events. The artifices of the officers of all the Heathen temples to impofe on a fuperftitious people are well known, the officers attendant on. the temple of 压culapius were phyficians, and performed cures, as our phyficians do, but with greater ceremony and myftery, the effects of their medicines alcribed to the pretended God, and certain perfons hired to pretend indifpofitions, which were inftantaneoufly cured, fendered the temple famous, and increafed the concourfe of votaries and wealth of the minifters.

Suetonius and Tacitus fay that, Vefphafian wrought miracles in Alexandria. A blind man directed by Serapis, and a lame man fays Suetonius, if we believe Tacitus he was not lame but maimed of an arm, that is a trifling difference, were inftantly healed by that Prince. In anfwer to this it muft be remarked that the Egyptians were extremely fuperftitious and confidered Serapis as their great divinity, the crafty Prince
could not endear himfelf more to them than by pretending to be a favorite of their beloved Serapis, and there was nothing more fimple than to engage the mi. nifters of Serapis, or his own minifters, to procure a counterfeit blind man to play a role, his unwillingnefs to undertake it was a mere artifice. The very relation of Tacitus fhews it. He, thought it would not fucceed Why then attempt it in public? He was told by the attendants that he was a favorite of the Gods. He knew it 'would fucceed or he would not, and he muft have known it from the actors, who knew his intereft, and their own, was ftrengthened by the fuccefs, of which they could not doubr. Tacitus and Suetonius both agree that, thefe pretended miracles were intended ta confirm the autherity of that Prince, after his election, and that he was told by flattering courtiers that his election was pleafing to their Gods. It is true, Suetonius adds that fome who were prefent attefted the fact without hopes of reward. Undoubtedly a great majority of the people were duped as was intended by the actors. "The fame thing may be faid of the pretended miracles of Hadrianus. Spartianus fays that he cured a blind man, and woman, who were fent by the Gods to prevent him from putting himielf to death. The motive fhew's the fraud, and Marius Maximus, as Spartianus himfelf fays, relates it as a fiction.' Marius's works are loft. To this may be added that many things have happened amongft the Heathens, which furpafs the power of man, but nothing furpaffing the locomotive powers of the demons.
As to the miracles of Apollonius related by Philoftraf. tus. The whole of his compofition is a tiflue of abfurdities, refembling our Arabian Nights' Entertainments, and deferves the fame credit: he makes Apolloniús underftand the voice of birds and beafts, and fays, that he learned that frience from the Arabs, and adds other tales
fales equally ridiculous. If any man wifhes to know the Heathen tales and miracles let him read Ovid's Metamorphofes which becaufe they could not happen did not happen.

Mofes fays in the book of Exodus, that the Magicians in Egypt caft their rods in prefence of Pharoah as Aaron did, and that there were ferpents. The immediate difappearance of the rods, and the fubfitution of Cerpents, which were numerous in Egypt, does not furpais the powers of an angel either of light or darkneff. The converfion of a rod into a real ferpent does. The very matter, of which the rods was compofed, might be inftantaneoully fo difpofed as to exhibit the appearance of a real ferpent, and though not living it might appear in motion as an automaton, this feems more confonant to Mofes's relation, becaufe he fays that Aaron's rod devoured the rods of the Egyptians, which feems to indicate that the component parts of the rods remained, though the form was changed; this was not a miracle but an artificial operation. The intelligence and active powers of the demon beitig fully competent to fuch an operation.

Hence appears the necellity of that warning, which Mofes gave the jews, to beware of impoftors, whofe diabolical figns, furpafing the powers of human nature, might induce them to fwerve from the obedience which they owed their God; in like manner Chriftians were warned both by Chrift and his apolle to beware of all impoftors, more particularly that great impoltor Anti-Chrift, whofe prodigies will be according to the extent of Satan's power.
It is faid in the book of Kings that Saul applied to the witch of Endor to raife Samuel by her incantations. It is true, Samuel appeared to Saul, but not by the witch's incantations, for he appeared before the began them, but by the power of God, who fent him to prophef
prophefy Saul's fate, and the fall of bis arms, and to reproach him with his difobedience. This is evident from the whole paffage, and is confirmed by tbe authosity of Ecclefiafticus who fays that Samuel prophefied after his death. Though the demon has no power Whatfoever over the fouls, or bodies of departed faints; It is not inconvenient that when the demon was confulted God himfelf thould give an anfwer by one of his prophets, as when the king Ochozias fent to confult Beelzebub, the pretended God of Accaron, the prophet Elias was fent to meet lis melfengers and announce bis death.

There are certain rules to diftinguifh true, from pretended miracles, which though not within the reach of the finiple and illiterate parts of fociety (a frong prejudice againft our innovators) are yet infallible. The firft rule. No miracles can be wrought in proof of pofitions contradicting each other, none to invalidate truths alreddy eftablifhed by divine authority, becaufe God cannot contradict himfelf, none to eftablifh impiety or irreligion : this is inconfftent with the fanctity of God. Second rule. True miracles mult furpafs the force of mechanical caufes. Third rule. ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ They muf be, wrought by the invocation of the true God, and in confirmation of fome trith propofed by him. The moft fimple and at the fame time the moft univerfal is \$ happy combination of circumftances: if it be manifef that the fact has happened; that it furpaffes the known powers of natural agents, and mechanical caufes; if the inftrumental caufe be fincere, incapable of deceit; if it tends to eftablith piety and fanctity of morals, and to glorify God ; if it be analogus to previous miracles and tending to the fame end, if it futtains the critical examination of intelligent men. A fact accompanied by all thefe circumftance is manifeftly fupe atural and miraculous. It excites mi confirms our belief of re. vealed
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vealed truths: for as by natural effects we arrive at the: knowledge of natural truths, fo by fupernatural effects, we attain a knowledge of fupernatural truths. That miracles have been wrought in confirmation of revealed religion is evident from this circumfance, that in revealed religion there are many, fupernatural truths, as the refurrection and afcenfion of Jefus Chrift, which could not be impofed on the credulity of the world but by fupernatural means: to believe thefe, and other, truths of revealed religion, we muft believe that miracles were wrought in confirmation of thefe truths, which are themelves miraculous, and to believe all this winhout any miracle would be of all miracles the greatelt. : But, fays the Deif, Chriftians now fee no miracles, and yet they believe all thefe iruths. It is true they fee no miracles in themfelves, but they fee them in their effects; and the Deift muft be miferably blind, if he does not fee them alfo: for there is no effect without a caufe, and to pretend that fo many nations renounced the Heathen fuperfitions, which flattered all their paffions, to embrace a religion fevere in its maxims, full of refuraints, contradicting all the inclinations of man, andin which there are fo many myfterious and miraculous truths propofed, without having feen a miracle at all, is to believe a moft extraordinary effect without any caufe.
Miracles may be wrought by vicious men in confirmation of iruth, not in confirmation of that fanctity, which they do not poffefs: for though faith not animated by charity be dead, it may yet be an inftrument to a living power to produce any effect, as a man makes ufe of an axe. God may therefore confirm truths of faith at the invocation of a vicious man, but not that fanctity which the man has not, becaufe God cannot atteft a falfhood.

In reply to a number of impertinent queftions fuch
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as thefe : why fhould God fufpend the phytical laws which he has eftablifhed ? Why work great miracles upon trifling occafions without a great neceflity? Why fo many repeated miracles to eftablifh the fame truth, as in the cafe of Balaam, of Gideon, of Elias, of Eliftha, of Ezechias? It muft be obferved that God in the creation of the world could have no other object in view, but the manifeftation of his power, his wifdom, his goodnefs, \&c: to intelligent Beings from whom, he could exact his tribute of glory; that matter in this vifible world is incapable of geod or evil, of pleafure or pain, of perfection or imperfection: the polifhed diamond derives its value from ufe or fancy : in itfelf it is inert matter, as is the unpolifhed pebble. 'The earth, the fea; the fun, the moon, all the Heavenly bodies, compofed of matter are incapable of contemplating any of God's works, or paying him any tribute of glory; they mult therefore have been created for intelligent Beings, as a mean to attain the end which God had in view in the creation of the whole; the abfolute and relative pofition of all thefe bodies, and the mechanical laws, to which they are fubfervient, muft have been eftablifhed for the fame end, hence if the perfection of many, or even of one intelligent. Being, required a deviation from one or more of thefe laws, it was perfectly confiftent with the original plan of the Creator to pre-difpofe fuch deviation for the fated time. Why fo? Becaufe one intelligent Being is fuperior to the whole mafs of inanimate matter, and all the claffes of irrational creatures. And fince the perfection of intelligent Beings confifts in moral reatitude, and intellectual excellence, if tlis end be not artainable but by a deviation from accuf. tomed phyfical laws, the deviation muft have been predifpofed in the plan of the Creator. That miracle ap-
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hytical laws sat miracles flity? Why fame truth, $f$ Elias; ${ }^{7}$ of hat God in other object er, his wifeings from glory ; that f geod or uperfection : nufe or fanunpolifhed e moon, all are incapas, or paying refore have can to attain ation of the of all thefe ch they are for the fame even of one rom one or fiftent with ofe fuch deaufe one in. rafs of inanial creatures. ings confifts ince, if this from accuf. ve been premiracle aphe power of vifible
vifible agents, to atmighty power all miracles are equal : no miracle is, great when omnipotence is the agent: to open the Red Sea, to fufpend the motion of the earth or moon, to raife the dead, to exterminate all the inhabitants of the earth by water, or fire, is not an effort of power for him, who by an act of his will gave exiftence to all the Beings, which compofe the univerfe.
The effect of repeated miracles at the inflance of Gideon, of miracles, which God wrought for the immediate relief of bis fervants, as the feeding of Elias by a raven in the wildernefs, the caufing the borrowed axe to fwim, at the prayer of Elifha, of the fign given to Ezechias, of the many miracles in favor of Tobias, Daniel and others, was not confined to them : there have been, are, and will be millions, who believing thefe miracles, praife and glorify a God fo bountiful, fo condefcending, fo attentive to the wants of his fervants. If the Atheift rejects thefe facts, as fuppofed, it is becaufe he docs not believe the author to exift; if the Deif refufe his affent it is becaufe, that God whom his corrupt life diflonons, and his impious tongue blafphemes, has in punifhment of his crimes withdrawn the light of his underfanding and abandoned him to a reprobate fenfe.

The miracles related of Balaam were wrought on him, not by him, he was the fubject, not the inftrumental caufe ; they have the fame tendency with the other miracles related by Moles to eftablifh the truth of revealed religion, moral rectitude, intellectual exceltence; the perfection of man, the great end of the creation.
Prophefy is of all proofs the mô unequivocal, and leafl liable to objection. That future events may be known was univerfally believed by the Heathen nations, hence their augurs and feothfaycrs were in great
repute, and their oracles were religioully confulted. But as all future events dependent on indetermined caufes efcape the knowledge of limited intelligence, their knowledge was merely conjectural, and their oracles equivocal. There is but God, whofe infinite underftanding fees the order of all caufes to their ef fects, and confequently all future events in their caufes, as alfo in themfelves, who can announce with the utmof certainty a future event depending on the combination of an indefinite number of free and concurrinig caufes. Ifaias fpeaking of idols fays "tell us future events, and we fhall know that you are Gods."

This knowledge of future events, called prophefy, confifts in fome fupernatural illumination of the mind; on which truths, to which human knowledge cioes not extend, are impreffed. As truth conveyed from the teacher to the difciple is the fame in both, prophefy being impreffed on the mind of the Prophet by primary truth, is infallible.

Truths remote from the knowledge of one man, though known to others, may be known by the feirit of prophefy; truths intelligible in themfelves, but not to the limited underftanding of man, may alfo be known, as the mytteries of religion, and truths entirely remote from the koowledge of all creatures, becaule they are not intelligible' in themfelves, as future contingencies dependent on the free determinations of man, may be known by the firitit of prophefy, thefe are more properly its object.

There is no limited underflanding which knows the order of indetermined caufes to their effects, confequently no limited undertanding, which can foretel an event depending on the combination of fuch caufes, the fipirit of prophefy is not therefore natural cither to men or angeis; but God being the firft author of ail Beings mult know thein before they have an exiftence,

Ay confulted. indetermined intelligence, al, and their whofe infinite Ces to their ef a their caufes, : with the uton the combid concurring tell us future ods." lled prophefy, of the mind; dge cloes not yed from the th, prophefy ophet by pri-
of one man, by the fpirit elves, but not may alfo be ruths entirely cures, becaule s future con. minations of efy, thefe are
h knows the ffects, confe. an foretel an fuch caufes, ural either to author of all an exiftence,
he therefore knows all future events and he alone can foretel them.
To pretend that God cannot inftruct the Prophet in truths, to which his underfanding cannot naturally extend, is abfurd : there is nothing more common/that to fee one man teach another truths, of which by any exertion of his mind unafififed, he never could have. formed an idea, though the teachor can do no more. than to convey fome image by founds, or figns, without infuring any light in his underfianding, whilf the Almighty God not only enlightens the underfianding. but increafes its powers of action, at the fame time that he conveys the images.
An angel either of light, or darknefs, from its fuperior fagacity, and more extenfive knowledge, combining circumftances, may form a frong conjecture of Some future event not far diftant, but uncertainty is effential to conjecture, there is but infinite fagacity, and unlimited knowledge, which excludes conjecture.
In vain does the Deift think that becaufe feme events were foretold, or rather threatened in the fcriptures, which did not happen, as the death of Ezechias, and the deftruction of Nineveh, that thefe were merely conjectural, and all others of the fame nature. God fees all future events in themfelves, as they depend on him for their futurity, aud he alfo fees the order of all caufes to their effects, and knows whether thefe effetts will be produced, or prevented by other eaufes. He faw that the difpcaition of Ezechias's body was tending to' ${ }^{\prime}$ ' diffolution, this truth he impreffed on the mind of the Prophet, he faw at the fame time. that in confequence of Ezechias's prayer, his death would be poltpined for fifteen years, this he did not at that time communicate, he did after ; the fame reafoning is applicable to all menaces in the fcripture : they
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wee als conditional, whether the condition be expreffet or implied. The crimes of Nineveh deferved the de: Aroction of the city, the penance of its inhabitants. kivedit. The Ninevites underfood the prophefy in its true fenfe as a menace.

It is true, in many prophefies of the Oid Teflament there is great obfcurity, and in forne, feeming contradictions, more particularly thefe which regard the Meflas, whofe power and glory is amounced in firong inaxgery, and whofe humiliations and fufferings is related is the moft pathetic manner. Rower, majefty, and glory, homiliations, fufferings and death, muft have appearedito the Jews inconfiftent in the fame porfor, and wrould have been foin fact, if in one perfon the Mefias, had not united the divine and human nature. Anoa they caufe of obfcurity was that, at the fanme time, events. ages diftant, one from the other, were foretold, as if they were to happen at the fame time : thus the deAfrection of Jerufalem, and the confummation of the woyld feem confounded. Many things are faid of Ansiochus, whichare applicable to Anti-Clsxit, of whom thast fyrant was an image, or, if you will, a figure: moreover the total ruin and defruction of the Jewils nations, their sejection and the aflumption of the Heathen mations was foretold, obfcurity was indifpenfably neceflary, or they wond have not only murdered whe Prophets as they did, burt alfo deftroyed the fcriptures. However there are in the Ofd Teflament many prophefies as intelfigible as language can make them.

By prophefy, as by miracles, our faith is confirmed: it is natural to man to reafon from effects to caufes, if the efect be fupernatural, the caufe murt be fo too, otherwife it might be faid that a natural caufe had produced a fupernatural effect, which is abfurd in the extreme. Prophefy is manifeßly fupernatural; to foretel a future evone at a diftant period of time, refult-
e expreffed red the de: inhabitants. phefy in its ing contraregard the in flrong ings is yelaajefty, and oft have apporfon, and the Mefinas ure. Ana ime, events. retold, as if us the deion of the faid of An of whom a figure; the Jewilh on of the indifpenfamardered d the fcrip. ment many ke them. confirmed : o caufes, if be fo too, fe had pro1 in the ex; to fureine, refult-
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ing from different combinations of ant indefinite nturBer of free and concurring caufes, lerpaffes atl the pown ers of nature.

Prophefy is more effectual to confirm the treth of revelation than miracles. Why $\mathbf{r} 0$ ? Becaure a miracls is but a momentary fulpenfion of the retablifhed or her, and may be eluded by afcribing it to magic, or fome occult caufes, but prophefy is permanent and can be afcribed to no power inferior to the divine. Hence that invincitile argument of the apologits of the chitrtian religion againf the Heathen philofophers, who adintting the miracles of Chrift and his apoptes upo incontrovertible evidence, afcribed them to the powers, of Satan. TWVas Chrif, faid the apologifts, a magia cian before he was born, to forecil all the circumatances of his life, was it in the power of a magician to foretel what has happened fince his death? To the evidence of this argwment there is no seply, nor cain the force of it be eluded.

To determine, lays the Deif, if any religion be forndod on revilation, it is necelfary to examine minutely the tenets of all the different religionsi, which contradict each other, and pretend to mirades, fuch an examination requives a length of time, intenfe fudy, a knowledge of many, if not all different languages, an intimate acguaintance with the inhabitants of all different countries, a toil of which fow, if any, are capalde $c_{0}$ and to which none will fubmit. This argursent is incontentible againf the Deilt, who believes nothing but on the teftimony of his eyes, or ears, fofarfrom having any force againft the Chriftian it goes directy to thew the noceffity of revelation; fince reafon unaffifted by it, is incapable of conducting z man to his laft end. It is not neceffary for the Chriftian to inftitute this examination, ot to wander through different zations: tive Hutids of revealed religion are found in that
that revelation, and that they have been tevealed is a fact, of which there is moral evidence capable of convincing any man, who reafons ; that there can be no contrary revelation is evident from this fimple princin ple, that God cannot contradict himfelf, it is ufelefs to vifit forcign countries to know if that dnes exift, which cannot exift, he might as well enquire if bread which nourifhes in his own country, puifons elfewhere, or if dogs which run in England fly in Spain.

It is not inconvenient that the prophetic fpirit as well as the power of working miracles may be fometimes given to the vicious: both the one and the other being intended for the public good, and a man however vicious may be an infrument to ferve others as a cha. ritable mafter gives alms by the miniftry of an impious fervant: Senfuality feems inconfiftent with the fpirit of prophefy: becaufe it abforbs the mind, and renders it fubfervicnt to the Hefh, other vices of a fpiritual nature, as ambition, vain glory, \&cc. are not fo oppofite.

If the fipirit of prophefy be intended to illuftrate the onderftanding of the Prophet, as alfo to inform the public it does not reft on immoral characters : habitual grace, which is not granted to immoral men, enlightens the underftanding; actual graces only excite and are tranlitory.

We come now to enquire, if any revelation be contained in the books of the Old Teftament? If the mo. tives of credibility be fufficient to induce a well.founded belief, that a revelation was made ; and that this revelation is in the whole, or in part, contained in the books. of the Old Teftament? Whether the truths revealed be confiftent with our notions, or furpafs the powery of nur underftanding, is ufelefs to enquire: becaufe there are two truths evident to the meaneft capacity: that Cod can neither deceive, nor be deceived is one; and that,
that, in the range of infinity, there are numberlefs truths, to which the human mind cannot extend is ano. ther ; any one therefore of thefe truths may be rewealed by God, who perfectly knows them all. In a word, the lealt homage we can pay the almighty power of God is, to admit that he can do, what we cannot conceive. It is the fummit of pride, to pretend to bound the power of God by our conceptions.

That there did exift fome ages ago a nation of Jews is miverfally admitted; that the nation poffeffed books; which they believed to be written by men infpired is equally certain; they yet exift and they yet believe their fcriptures divinely infpired, though thefe, very Icriptures contain the moft levere frictures on the conduct of their anceftors, and the moft dreadful menaces ayaintt themfelves. In thefe fcriptures are contained tiue mof fublime ideas of the Divinity, and of all the attributes of the Deity known to us; they alfo contain rules of moral conduct fuperior to all that the Heathen philofophers imagined : in conduct thefe philofophers refembled their children of the prefent day, they were more referved in their writings. This Jewifh nation firmly believed that their anceftors had been for fome centuries in aftate of flavery in Egypt ; that Mofes had beeh exprefsly fent to deliver them from their captivity; and the very laws delivered by Mofes were the public laws of the nation, all caufes civil, criminal, religious and matrimonial, were decided according to thefe laws.
This law of Mofes, or, if you will, the books in which it is contained, have fuch internal marks of the divinity of its origin, that there is but the moft blind ftupidity, or perverfe obftinacy, that can refift them. Language cannot afford terms more ftreng than thefe; by which he expreffes the eternity, the wifdom, the power, the immutability, the independence and the felf-
exiftence of the Divinity, Fehova fignifying a Being which poffefes independent exiftence, the incommenicabie name of God. Elobim indicates his júdicia! power and the fovereignty of his Being. El conveys a particular idea of the power of God. El Sabaol ${ }^{2}$ the God of armies on whom the event of battlegs dopends. El Sadi the all-powerful Being. The truel the juftice the fanctity of God in thefe terms of Deut. 32. C. 4. v, "God's works are perfect, all his ways are judgments: God is faithful without any iniquity, juft, and upright:" The unity, the power, the providence of God in thefe terms. V. 19, "See hiat I am alone, and there is no other God befide me : 1 will kill, and enliven, I will frike and I will heal, and there is none who can reft out of my hand." In the original tex, I, Iam be, there is no God with me. 'Ani ani bou ve ein elohim imadi.
In this law, the moft pious, the moft tender fentiments
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fer of devotion are inculcated, the fear of a God the avenger of crimes; the reverence due to Sovereign Majefty ; the boundlefs confidence to be placedin the power and goodnefs of God are propofed in expreffive terms. Deut. 6. C. 5 v , "Thou fhalt love the Lord thy God with thy whole heart and thy whole foul, and with thy whole ftrength. Thefe things, which I now command, will be in your heart, and you will tell them to your chil. dren, you will meditate on them fitting in your houfe, and walking in the way, lying and rifing." And Deut. 10. C. 12.v, "And now, O Ifrael, what is it that the Lord your God requires of you, but that you fhould fear the Lord your God and walk in his ways, that you fhould love him, and ferve the Lord your God, with your whole heart and foul; that you thould obferve the precepts of the Lord and his ceremonies, which I this day command you, that it may be well with you ...... Do not harden your necks
ng a Being incomme his júdicia! El conveys El Sabaot ${ }^{2}$ of battle The truin terms of fect, all his out any inipower, the "See fiat fide $m e: 1$ ill heal, and d." In the h me. 'Ani
$r$ fentiments the avenger Majefty ; the r and good1s. Deut. 6. od with thy thy whole mand, will to your chil. your houfe, ing." And , what is it $u$, but that walk in his ve the Lord ; that you nd his cerethat it may your necks more
more : becaufe the Lord your God is the God of Gods and the Lord of Lords, a God great, and powerful, and terrible, he accepts neither perfons nor gifts . . . . . The Lord your God you fhall fear, and him alone fhall you ferve. ..... He is your praife and your God, who has done for you thefe great and terrible things, which your eyes have feen." The 32 C. 3. v, "Give Glory to your God. God's works are perfect and all his ways are judgments."

In this law, the moft fublime perfection of man is resnmeñed and ftrictly enjoined. Deut. 18. Co $13 . \mathrm{v}$, "Iou tall bc frect and fontlefs with tho Lord your Gua." And, Levi. 19. C. 2. v, ${ }^{\text {st }}$ bh ras holy becaufe I am holy, the Lord your Gou."

In the external rites and facrifices, which were ordered, the greateft purity both of foul and body was ftrictly enforced; all fuperftitious rites, and facrifices to idols, forbidden under the moft fevere penalties.

The moral precepts of that law enforce every focial virtue and prohibit every vice: "Thou fhalt love thy neighbour as thyfelf." This precept is frequently repeated in the law of Mofes, Exod. 20. C. 17. v, 4. Thou flalt not covet thy neighbour's houfe, thou Thalt not defire his wife, nor his fervant, nor his maid, nor his ox, nor his afs, nor any thing which is his." Mofes did not confine himfelf to thefe general precepts, but to obviate thefe difficulties, and prevent falfe interpretations, he defcends to the moft minute particulars., Levit. 19. C, "Let every one fear his father and his mother.

When you reap your ftanding corn you will not cut to the very furface of the earth, nor will you collect the remaining ears, nor will you collect the bunches, which remain in your vineyard, nor the grains which fall, you will leave them for the poor and for ftrangers. I am the Lord your God ; you will met nont we nillon lie, you will not deceive your ncighbour
neighbour. . . . . . You will not calumniate your neighbour, nor opprefs him. The labour of the mercenary will not remain with you until morning. You will not curfe the deaf, nor place a ftumbling block before the blind. You will not do what is injuft, nor will you judge againft equity, you will not confider the perfon of the poor, nor honor the countenance of the rich. You will judge juftly. You will not be an informer nor tale bearer amongft the people. You will not ftand againft the blood of your neighbour. You whink hate your brother in your heat, set pubicly repri-maid-tim. You will not feek rẹenge nor will you be mindful of an injury from your fellow-citizens, you thall love your friend as yourfelf, I am the Lord." Again in the book of Deut. C. 22. v. 1, and feq. "You will not fee your brother's ox or his theep ftraying and pals it by, but you will bring it back to your brother ; if your brother be not near, and that you do not know him, you will bring them to your houfe, and they will remain with you, whilf your brother feeks them, and he will receive them. You will do fo with his afs, with his garment, with every thing, which your brother may lofe, if you find it, you will not neglect it, as if not pertaining to you. If you fee your

- brother's afs or ox fall in the way, you will not pafs by, but affift him to lift it."

Is this the language of an impoftor? What man, who has any remains of reafon, can think him an impoftor, who thus enforces the fear, the love, the reverence of Sovereign Majefty, and all the virtues, which make, man great and good? Such an impoftor would be a more extraordinary character than Mofes himfelf.

The writer paffes unnoticed the errors of all the Heathen philofophers, and the abfurdities of the different fyftems of religion, which prevailed, and continue to prevail in all thefe unfortunate countries,
your neigh: mercenary ou will not before the ll you judge. rfon of the rich. You former nor 11 not ftand H widn biicly reprior will you w.citizens, the Lord." 1 , and feq. Theep ftrayack to your hat you do houfe, and other feeks do fo with ing, which ill not neg. ou fee your lot pafs by,
man, who n impoftor, everence of vhich make would be a es himfelf. $s$ of all the ies of the ailed, and countries, which
which revelation has not enlightened, or which have perverted revelation to their own deftruction.

Mofes defcribes the creation in the moft fimple and fatisfactory manner : " in the beginning God made the heavens and the earth." He then proceeds to relate the formation of certain parts, as the fun, the flars, the formation of the firf man and his affiftant the firt woman in a moft beautiful garden. Their difobedience to the precept of the Creator and the fatal confequences of that difobedience to them, and all their polterity.

As the angels make a part of the univerfe, not a feparate univerfe, they were created at the fame time with the material world. The ruin of fome we know from revelation; and the perfeverance of others in their obedience and confequent exaltation to glory. Why that angel of darknefs called Satan, the adverfary, was permitted to tempt our firft parents is a fecret known to God, not to us, why in particular that difobedient Spirit was permitted to make the ferpent the inftrument of his malice is equally unknown to us; the fact we know, and its effects we feel.
It has been afferted by fome, that the immortality of the foul was not known to the Jews before the captivity of Babylon, becaufe Mofes does not fpeak of an after life. Never was affertion more groundlefs: : Mofes might have paffed it unnoticed as a truth publicly known by all. It is not neceflay to tell a man it is day, when he fees the fun thine. Yet he did not: God fays to him, I am the God of Abraham, of Ifaic, and of Jacob. Thefe patriarchs were therefore in exiftence, for he is not the God of non-exifting Beings; not their bodies : they were crumbled to afthes, and, if entire, God would not have called himfelf the God of the dead, their fouls therefore muft have been living And when the patriarch Jacob was told that his fon Jofeph
was devoured by a wild beaft, "I will," faid he, "defcend to him with forrow." Sheolab. That is to the peace of fouls. Queher in that language fignifies a grave, in which he could not think that Jofeph's body was laid, as he thought him devoured by a wild beaft. And what fignifies that fentence fo often repeated at the deaths of the patriarchs: "He was gathered to his fathers?" Can it imply any thing elie but that their fouls were all collected, their bodies certainly were not: Abraham was buried in Ephron's field in the land of Canaan and his anceftors in Chaldea.

It is true Mofes propofed temporal rewards and punifhments to fanction the law. Thefe were better proporcioned to the obftinate, and perverfe difpofition of a great majority of the Jews, as in fpeculative truths the ineans of demonftration ought always to be proportioned to the underftanding of the learner. Moreover the Jewifh law was but preparatory to the Chriftian Jaw, which was to give it its ultimate perfection, and in which rewards and punifhments are propofed proportioned to its perfection, that is, Eternal. In all the books of the OId Teftament the immortality of the foul is fuppofed as a truth, of which there was no doubt. It is faid that Efau's wife aflicted the fpirit, Rouach of Ifaac and Rebecca. Saul defires that Sarnuel might appear to him. The prophets fpeak of the happinels which the juft will enjoy in a future life and the torments of the wicked. In a word, to pretend that the Jews did not believe a future life argues an intolerable ignorance of their hiftory. The affertion deferves contempt not a ferious refutation.
From the order which Abraham received to facrifice his fon Ifaac and the actual facrifice of Jephta's daugh. ter; as alfo of the death of Agag by the hands of Samuel in prefence of the Lord, it would feem that human faprifices wrre authovifed hy the law more parti-
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Moreover the Chriftian rfection, and ropefed pro. rnal. In all mortality of here was no ed the firit, that Samuel of the haplife and the pretend that gues an intoaffertion de.
ed to facrifice hta's daugh. the hands of Cem that humore particularly
cularly, as it is faid in Levit. 27. C. 28. and 29. $v$, That whatever is confecrated to the Lord, whether inan, animal or field, thall not be redeemed...... That every thing fo confecrated fhall die." Notwithftand. ing thefe feeming difficulties there is nothing fo ftrictly prohibited in the law as human facrifices. Dcut. t 2. C. 30. v. and feq. "Take care not to imitate them (the Canaanites) " they have committed all the abominations which the Lord detefts, offering their fons and their daughters'to their Gods, and burning them with fire.
With refpect to Abraham, his obedience, by an immediate compliance with a precept fo difficult, was made known to the world, and his faith : " for he hoped even againft hope," fays the apoftle to the Hebrews "knowing that God was able to raife from the dead." Thefe his virtues are propofed as models; and the facrifice of his fon was not permitted.

Jephta's vow was indifcreet, and precipitate, and the obfervance of it, if he in reality pur his daughter to death, which feems extremely probable, a deteftable aft. It is thought by fome that he only condemned her to perpetual celibacy, which in them times was an uncommon facrifice. Be that as it will, the feripture relates the fact, as it does the murder of Uriah after the feduction of his wife, the fcandalous multiplication of Solomon's wives and concubines, and feveral other facts, which it fometimes feverely cenfures, but never approves, though the authors are for other reafons highly approved.

Samuel put Agag to death, whether by his own hand, or the hands of others is ufelefs to enquire : he was urdered by A'gag's natural Judge, who had juftly condemned him : he did not facrifice him for Samuel was not one of the prieftly tribe; but as that tyrant's fword had made many a childefs widow he put him to death in an exemplary manner.

In the 27. C. of Levi. there is a diftinction made between things which were offered to God according to the mode of devoting tiem. If they were offered by a fimple vow Neder, they were redeemable, if by an abfolute confecration cberem they could not be redeemed. Animals ufed in facrifice were fincrificed without redemption, others were confined to the fervice of the temple, men thus confeerated were confidered as dead in the famre manner that houfes and lands were, becaufe the men could never be fet at liberty, nor the houfes or linds return to their former proprietors. In our law language they were in mortmain.

It has been ftated by fome writers that the Ifraelites were guilty of an act of injuftice in borrowing gold and filver veffels and other things from the Egyptians on the eve of their departure. It might be faid with equal propriety, that the creditor, who by fentence 'of a Court, takes a debtor's mnveables in payment of a juft demand is guilty of injuftice : the children of Jacob had been reduced to a flate of flavery without caufe; obliged to labour without reward, and all the fruits of their labours were taken by the Egyptians; God, the natural Judge of both nations, had ordered payment in that manner. The fentence was perfectly juft, and the execution free from blame; God as Sovereign Lord and difpofer of all things might have transferred the right of property from the Egyptians to the Ifraelites, and his order is the beft of all poffibie titles.

The fublime morality of the old law fhews the divinity of its author. In the ceremonial law there is nothing reprehenfible : it was adapted to the natural dif. pofition of the people. They were extremely prone to idolatry at all times. When Mofes delayed a few days on the mountain, they made a molten calf, the idol
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which the Egyptians adored, and refolved to return to Egypt under its protection. Exodus 32. C. 1 v, "Rife, faid they, to Aaron, make us Gods to conduct us."..... And on the borders of Canaan they formed the fame project. Numbers 14. C. 3. $v$, "Is it not better to return to Egypt?" V. 4, " They. faid one to the other let us appoint a leader and return to Egypt." In the land of Canaan they wére always addicted to idolatry : Jóhua when dying reproached them with it. Jofhua 24. C. 14. v," Now therefore fear the Lord and take away the Gods, which your fathers ferved in Mefopotamia and Egypt." It is manifeft from the prophet Ezechial, C. 20, that a itrong propenfity, to idolatry always fubfifted amongt the Jews, v. 31 , 6 You are defiled in all your idols until this day." The ceremonial law was well calculated to check this propenfity. In this law were marked with the greateft accuracy the facrifices, by which they were to acknowledge the fupreme dominion of God over all his creatures, and their entire dependence on him ; the tabernacle and facred veffels folely appropriated to the divine worfhip, were capable of infpiring a due refpect and veneration for the Divinity, and their different facraments, by which they themfelves were confecrated to the fervices of God, and their priefts in a particular manner, as the public minitters of the eftablifhed worfhip, were well adapted to excite and Yupport the fpirit of picty and devotion. And from this confecration to the fervice of the Divinity, it was neceffary that in their manners and cuftoms they fhould be diftinguifhed from the Heathen nations, amongt whom they were at times obliged to live, hence the diftinction between clean and unclean animals in their food, and fome other things in their jrefs. As to their facrifices, no religion either true' or falfecan exift without a facrifice : it is that act of re$R$ ligion
ligion by which a man offering to his God, a part of his poffeffions, publicly acknowledgres by this obla. tion, that he himfelf and all that he poffeffes is from God;-that God is his firf principle and laft end Add to this that the facrifices ordered in the old law-were figurative of the great facrifice of the new law, and from their varicty and multiplicity they ferved as barriers againft the many different fpecies of idolatry, and fuperfition, to which that people was addicted. It is true that in many of the ceremonial precepts of the old law, the reafon on which the precepr is founded, does not immediately appear, becaufe the ceremony itfelf may have but a figurative fignification and confequently is not founded on any immediate reafon in itfelf. This is the great advantage of the new law, that all its precepts are rational, and that the reafon of the precepts immediately appears. Hence St. Paul to the Romans, 12. C. 1. v, calls our obedience rational. And St. Peter, 1. Epift. C. 2. v. 2, fays, " Like new born children defire rational milk," as if he had faid, having by your profeffion abjured the Heathen rites, which are falfe and fuperftitious, and the Jewifh ceremonies which were merely figurative, confine your thoughts and defires to the Chritian law, all the precepts of which are evidently founded on reafon.

That the Jewifh ceremonies were effentially different from the Heathen rites is evident from this, that God ftrictly forbid them to imitate the Heathen nations in their mode of worfhip. Deut. 12. C, "Take care that you do not imitate thefe nations which are fubverted before you; that you do not learn their ceremonies, faying, as thefe nations worfhipped their Gods fo will I worfhip, you will not do fo to the Lo:d your God : for all the abominations, which God hates they done for their Gods, offering their fons and their daughters and burning them with fire. Whent I comnand,
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mand, that only will ynu do for the Lord : you fhall neither add nor diminifh:" And Levit. 18. C, ${ }^{* 6}$ I am the Lord your God. You fhall not do according to the cuftom of the land of Egypt, in which you have dwelt, nor according to the cuftom of the land of Canaan, into which 1 am going to introduce you." When therefore St. Paul fays, Acts 13. C. v. 18, " That God fupported the manners of the Children of Ifrael forty years in the defart." He does not pretend that God permitted them to worfhip him, as the Heathens worfhipped their idols, but he commends the patience of God in their frequent revolts to idolatry; their continual infractions of the divine law, and their murmurs againt himfelf and Mofes. ". The houfe of Ifrael irritated me in the wildernefs," faid the Lord by Ezechial, C. 20," They did not walk in my precepts . . . . . . . and my eye fphred them." Nor did the Apofte pretend, when he faid to the Galatians, C. 4. v. 3, " We were under the elements of the world," that the Jews had facrifices and ceremonies of the faine nature with the Gentiles, but that they were under the law, which promifed temporal rewards to obedience, and threatened difobedience with temporal punifhments. Whenever any fcience is to be taught, the elements are firft propoled, hence the law was called by the Apoftle a pedagogue becaufe it fhewed the way to Chrift. The elements of the world, under which the Jews were, may be confidered as thefe corporal, external and figurative rites and ceremonies, as their new moons, their fabbaths, \&c. with this effential difference between them and the Heathens, that the Jews ferved God under thefe elements of the world according to his orders, and the Heathens ferved thefe elements contrary to the exprefs command of God; and the voice of reafon.

It is not neceffary to take a view of the judicial law of Mofes: it is univerfyy admitted that there never was.
a code of laws fo finmple, fo wife, fo equitable, fo few in number, and which fo effectually provides for every poffible cafe. All the different relations of man are fore-
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for the fake of elucidation, and propofe them to ignorant people as the fruits of their own deep refearches. If men of fcience thewed a proper contempt of fuch fcribblers, it might check their vanity, and though they themfelves may be incurable, it might reftrain others from imitating them; but when a man affuming the title of Prelate undertakes to give an anfwer, to 2 low, ignorant and fcurrillious buffoon, it ftamps a fort of refpectability on the blockhead, feeds his vanity, and encourages him to continue.

We are now to enquire whether the old law ${ }^{\text {as }}$ of divine inftitution attefted by miraculous worke, that is, whether Mofes and Jofhua wrought miracles in confirmation of the truths, which they taught or not. It may not be amifs previoufly to examine the force of thefe motives of credibility, on which npinions are founded, and alfo thefe motives, which extort the affent of the undertanding.

The affections of the mind are known by the impref fion, which refults from them, and is abfolutely infeparable from them: they are of two kinds : thefe which have no reference to external objects, as joy and fadnefs, thefe are, by logicians, called paffions; and thefe, which have an immediate reference to fome external object, and are conveyed to the mind through the organs of fenfe, as the impreffion: of founds, of colours, \&c. thefe are called fenfations. Thefe latter infallibly atteft the fate of the mind, but the judgment, which is formed of the external object, in confequence of the impreffion on the mind, may be falfe. Thus, though a man at a diftance may miftake a fheep for a dog, he cannot miftake that the object has made an impreffion on his mind ; the miftake lies in attributing the impreffion to a dog which is an imaginary object, not to the fheep which is the real object.
Truth in general confifts in the agreement of the attribute
tribute of any propofition with its fubject. Thus, in this propofition, "man is morsal," the idea of mortali, ty, the attribute of the propofition, is perfectly confiltent with the idea of man, which is the fubject of the propofition ; and of courfe falfhood; which is the negation of truth, is mamifelt from the difagreement of thefe ideas. Thus, this propofition, "a man is a borfe"" is manifefty falfe, becaufe the idea of a horfe does not coincioe with that of a man. Fvidence confits in a clear perception of the agreement of thefe ideas. That propo: fition is evidently true, in which the idea of the attribute is clearly perceived to be confiltent with the idea of the fubject. As this propofition, "God is immortal." And that propofition is evidently falfe, in which the idea of the fubject excludes that of the attribute, as this propo. fition " God is mortal," hence all judgmeats founded on cvidence are infallibly true ; crrors are founded, not on evidence, but on the fuppofition of evidence : thus a man thinks a propofition evidently true though he has not a clear perception of the fubject or attribute of the propofition and confequently cannot determine whether they agree or difagree, the affertion may be true, but made by him it is a mere conjectural opinion.

There are many truchs, which, though not founded on evidence, are evidently credible. Thus for example, "London is a capital city." The propofition is not evident, but it is evidently credible becaufe it is founded on the teftimony of many witneffes. Truths like this, which are founded on the teftimony of man, are faid to have moral evidence.

Truths founded on the mechanical laws, by which the material world is gaverned, are faid to have phyfical evidence, thus, by the law of gravitation, a ftone, if not fupported, will fall towards the attracting centre. From the interference of either vifible, or invifible agents, temporary fufpenfions of fome mechanical laws may be effected.

That
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That there are bodies, and many in the material world, though not evident, is evidently credible : no man ever ferioully difbelieved it : the arguments offered by fome writers againf the exiftence of bodies, cnly argue the vanity of the men, affecting to aequire fame by the fingularity of their opinions. All men naturally believe that there are bodies, this judgment is the nhtural effect of the impreflions on their minds through the organs of fenfe; and as God is the author of this impreffion, he is alfo the author of the judgment ne. ceffarily connected with it. To pretend that he is the author of fallhood is blafphemy.

The certainty of any propofition depends on its con. nection with the motive, which induces a belief of the propofition : to put the propofition beyond all doubt, the motive, which induces the belief, muft be infallible ia itfelf, and manifeflly connected with the propofi. tion.

Propofitions founded on evidence are faid to be metaphyfically certain.

Propofitions founded on the mechanical laws are faid to be phyfically certain.

And thefe depending on the teftimony of man are faid to be morally certain.

The intelligent world is fubject to certain general laws, as the material world is, with this difference, that in the material world all the Beings, which compofe it are blind caufes, and are of courfe determined to produce their effects by the prime mover ; their effects in fimilar circumftances are invariably the fame; they are faid to be under a phyfical neceffity ; intelligent caufes have, within themfelves, a power of determination, they do not at all times act according to the whole force of agency, or power of action, which they poffefs, as the former do, hence; though in finilar circumftan:ces they do not alwayo produce fimilar effects; yet there
there are certain general rules, which they invariably obferve, in there cafes they are faid to be under a moral necefity. Thus, it is morally certain that a prudent fuber man will not murder an only child; indeed it is morally certain, that he will not be guilty of murder at all ; it is alfo morally certain that an upright Judge will not, at the lofs of his reputation, pronounce a fentence manifeftly contrary to law.

In particular cafes phyfical and moral certainty are nearly of equal force : it is phyfically certain that water in a river will not revert againit the current, there is a diftant pofibilicy of its being compelled by an invifible power, there is alfo a diftant poffibility of prudence and equity forfaking the upright Judge at that critical juncture, when he pronounces a fentence manifeftly iniquitous. There is no probability of either; but the latter is more improbable than the former, becaufe a momentary fufpenfion of any mechanical law is not inconfiftent with any attribute of the Divinity, whereas to concur directly or indirectly to iniquity is incompatible with fanctity. If then even in particular cafes moral certainty be of greater force than phyfical, there are certain cafes, in which the teftimony of witneffes totally invalidates any arguments, which may be drawn from mechanical laws, and amounts to what logicians call a metaphyfical certainty, which is abfolutely incapable of falfhood. Thefe are the cafes in which the teftimony of witneffes is indifpenfably neceffary for the fupport of order and the eftablifhment of religion, this reftimony is founded on the laws of Providence, and is warranted by the wifdom and veracity of God, which can neither deceive nor be deceived.

That moral certainty is founded on the difpofition of Providence is manifeft from this, that fociety, of which God is the author, and governor, cannot fublift without it. By the teftimony of witnefles we know
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our Magittrates, our fuperiors, our yery parents are not otherwife known to us, All the different fciences are dependent on moral certiznty. The mechanic the chymift, the aftronomer, the navigator, muft reft his hopes on the teftimony of others. There is no mathematical demonfration, to which the mind of man more firmly atheres, or more readily affents, than to this truth, dependent on the teftimony of wit. nefles, that Quebec was taken from the French by. Great-Britain. To deny this truth argues fomething more than Aupidity, that is a perverle obitinacy of the will: hence to reject truths founded on moral evidence is more abfurd, and inexcufable, than to deny mathenatical demonfration; the latter may be the effect of mere ftupidity, the former refults from $\alpha$ depravity of heari.
Againtt a fact founded on moral evidence no netaphyfical arguments are admiffible. This is an axiom amongt Philofnphers, becaufe it is impoffrble that a fact thould happen and pot happen, and it is equally impoffible that the fact thould be marally certain if it did not happen, all feaforing to the contraty is ofe. lefs. Maral evidence therefore cannot be invalidated by any arguments drawn from mechanical lav's : a fact canqot be fupported by moral evidence if it has not happened; and it it has, it is ridiculous to pretend any impoffibility. To conclude that any fat is founded on moral certainty, we mult enquire if the fact be poflible nothing can induce a wife man tö affent to an impofibility; but if neither the pofibility nor impoffibility of the fact be manifent, from the eftimony of man we muft conclude the poffibility; the fufpicion of impoffibility is not fufficient to invalidate moral evidence, it only argues the weaknefs of eur underftandiag. The fact mult be related by feveral witnefles, who were not themfelves deceived
nor can any motive be affigned why they flould combine or confpire to deceive others. The mere fufpiciour of a diflant pofibility of deception is no argument at all. Thus in every individual there is $a$ poffibility of deception. He may deceive or he may be deceived, thence to infer that a number of witnefles may be deceived is ridiculous: It is that fallacy which Logicians call a conclufion from a particular to a gene: ral fenfe. As if becauie a foldier is not able to ftorm a forr, an army could not do it.

If the fact be momentous, it, murt be connected with other public facts, and known monuments, admitted by thofe, thio are inimical, or, if contradited; the variety and futility of their oppofition muft be fhewn; fitally, if the fact be of ancient date; it muft be tranfmitted by witneffes in regular fucceffion from the very time the fact has happened; any fact vefted with thefe conditions is incontrovertible. To contradict it árgues an infuperable fund of impuderice, and to attempt by reafoning to difabufe an ignorant or impadent feribbler is folly. Thus for example, a man who under pretence that ancient facts are not fo certain now as they were formerly, would deny the exiftence of Julius Caffr, or Aléxander the Great, would deferve a place in Bedlam, hot a ferious refutation. Whilf the motives of eredibility continuc the fame, the certainty is the fame, and we at this day are as well aflured of the exiftence of Julius Cafar as we are of the exiftence of Bonaparte-on the credit of witneffes we know both the one and the other.

A fact vefted with the conditions which bave been afreaty marked, muft be fotanded in truth : it cinnot be the work of man to decejve the world: no man has any fuch power, and it is inconfiftent with the Divine nature to be the author of univerfal de: ception, or even to countenance it, which muft be
the caf detectir any fad than or underf: joy, or tion of ror exci longer tyrant i time.
Befid of decep are real known fufficien fact. E prejudic if the or probabil fulfehoor and aftr times aff to devia fons, the former eminenc noticed from oth incapable firt auth opinions them, th prejudice fpring, o ceived os
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connected iments, ad. ontradicted; on muft be ate; it muft effion from any fact rtible. To impudeñce, n ignoran example, a acts are not would deny xander the lot a ferious ity cont inue $\pm$ we at this ulius's Cafar $n$ the credit e other. h have been th: it cinworld : no Ififtent with niverfal de: h muft be the
the cafe, if Providence did not furnith any means of detecting the deceir; it is true, the impreffion made by any fact is fronger on the mind of the fectator, than on any other perfon, hut the conviction no his. underfanding is equal, the inprefion of terror of joy, or any other paffion, diminithes, but the conviction of the fact is unalterable, the imprefions of ter: ror excited by the cruelty of Nero is effaced, it no longer fubfifts ; but that Nero wis a fanguinary tyrant is univerfally believed and will until the end of time.
Befides thefe motives, which exclude a poffibility, of deception, there are many others on which opinions are reafopably founded. The teftimony of a man of known probity, who has no motive to deceive, is fufficient to found a highly probable opinion of any fact. Even the opinions of men of real fcience, where prejudice does not interfere, have great weight, and if the opinions of many coincide, they form a frong probability of the truth of any affertion when the fulfehood does not appear. In experimental philofophy and aftronomy the opinions of great mafters are fometimes affumed, as principles ; nor is any man allowed to deviate from them without afigning fuflicient reafons, the fame practice is obferved in courts of law : former decifions founded on the opinions of men of eminence, ferve as precedents : the writer paffes unnoticed thefe opinions, which the unlearned borrow from others without difcuffion, of which they are incapable. Thefe reft folely on the authority of the firf author. If they be inconfiftent with the received opinions of the public, the prefumption is agalnt them, the proof lies on the author, if it appear that prejudice, paffion, precipitation or intereft, was the epring, or the author's inducement to conteft the received opinions, the prefumption againf him amounts.
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mounts to pofitive proof. The credit given to fuch opinions is unwarrantable, and they who adhere to them' are inexcufable.

All there judgments, which are fnonded on motives of probability are but opinions fubject to difcumion. Judgments founded on motives in themfelves, certain and manifeftly connected with them, are not in the clafs of opinions, they exclude the poffibility of decep: tion, difcuffion may ferve to illuftrate but carnot in: validate them.

Having talking this curfory view of the motives which found our unerring judgments, and our opini: ons fubject to error, the writer now proceeds to ex. amine, whether we have motives öf credilility fuffici. ently frong to fupport a reafonable and well. founded Belief that the law of Mofes was of Divine Authoritỳ, in other words did Nofes authenticate his miffion? Did he by miraculous works atteft and deinonftrate to the Children of Ifrael that he was fent by God? And have we fufficient reafon to believe it? Miraculous works are known, as other tacts are, by the teftimong of their fenfes to thefe, "who are prefent; and by the teftimony of witneffes to all others." Thus for exam. ple, the refurrection of a dead man is known to thefe who are prefent by the teftimony of their fenfes. They fee the man dead, they feel him cold, they fhell the cadaverous odour of putrcfaction. The fat is unquefionable; again they fee him, at the will of another, the, they hear him fpeak, thiy feel him warm, they converfe with him. they eat with him, this fact is equally incontrovertible as the former; that a man who was dead and lives again hăs been raifed from the dead is abfolutely certain; and that he could not' be raifed by any fecondary caufe, ac cording to any mechanical law, is equally certain: for though Nature may produce life a foetus pre.
given to fuch ho adhere to ed on motives to difcuffion. felves, certain are not in the ility of decep: out cannot in:
of the motives and our opini: proceeds to ex. dilylity fuffici. lwell. founded ne Authority; his miffion ? demonftrate to y God? And ? Miraculous the teftimony $t$; and by the hus for exam. nown to thefe their fentes. him cold, they Etion. The fat , at the will of thiy feel him eat with him, $s$ the former; gain hás been tain' ; and that dary caufe, ac qually certain: n a foetus pred difpofed
difpofed according to the eftabithed order, it cannot produce life in a dead body, in which every difpofition to life is extinct, and which is tending to putrefaction. The iniracle therefore maybe conidered as refulting finm two facts, which are natural, and thefe facts muft be known, as all others are, by teftimony.

That Mofes did exif; that he conducted the children of Ifral from Fgypt; that he taught the ceremonies and rites of the Jewifh worflip; that he affumed the whole tribe of Levi as minifters of religion, and confined the office of high Prieft to A aron and his pofterity exclufively, are facts of public notoriety, which the whole Jewifh nation at all times, believed, and which they continue to believe, and which the whole Chriftian world believes; no fact either ancient or modern is better eftablifhed. That he wrought the mof fupendnus prodigies in Eg ypt, and during the face of forty years. in the wildernefs, is helieved in the fame manner, a particular. defcription of thefe prodigies is contained in the very books, which deforibe the rites and ceremonies of their religion, the public laws of the land, by which all judicial proceedings were determined, the authentic records of all their tights and poffeflions; thefe books were written and publifhed at the very time in preferice of an army of fix hundred and fifty thoufand fighting inen, and an immenfe body of people, who were wieneffes to thefe prodigies, without any contradiction or fufpicion of deception ; thefe bogks were then given to the public tifnifters of religion, and to all the Elders of Ifrael, with an expref order, that on the feventh year at the great feltival of the Tabernacles, when all the people were affembled, men, women, and children, they fhould be read in their hearing, "that;" faid Mofes, Deut. 3:, Chap. "theating they
may learn and fear the Lord your God.". And is it was impofible for Mofes to impofe on fuch a body of people, and perfuade them to believe that they had feen all the prodigies which were wrought, if ther had not feen them; that they had feen the earth open and devour Cori, Dathan and Abiron, the fire iflue. from the Tabernacleand confume two hundred and fifty men, the accomplices of their crime, that they themfelves had been forty years in the defert, that their cloaths weere not worn, nor their Moes grown old that they did not eat bread nor drink wipe nor beer: Deut. 29 Chap. 1 v. The immenfe number of copies given to the Levites and all the Elders with this order to have them readin prefence of all the people on the feventh year precluded every pofibility of deception and interpolation in future.

A differtation on the miracles wrought by Mofes, by Jofua, and the other Prophets, in defence of each in particular, is totally urneceffary : they all tend $10^{\circ}$ ti: fame end, that is, to eftablifh the truths of revealed religion, to infoire foblime ideas of the God, whom we adore, and enforce obedience to his precepls. No contradiction, no difcordance, though wrought at difierent periods of time, in different countries, and by different men, they have all the fame tencency; their coincidence forms a fort of proof againft Which impiety declaims in vain.

That fome have been deceived is admitted ; that fome impofors have fuppofed miracles intentionally to cucceive others, is equally true; but that of all the miracles related by Mofes and the Prophets not one has been real ; that of all thefe Prophets fo eminent for piety, not one has been fincere ; that all the witnefles who attefted, and all the men of fcience who believed them, have been impoftors or dupes is an affertion, which furpaffes impudence, which no man would
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dare to make, who has any remains, not fimply of mo: defty, but even of common fenfe or common honefty ; and if it be admitted that any orie of thefe miracles was wrought, impiety falls defencelefs : becaure it is impoffible that God by an imenediate effect of his Almighty Power fliould atteft a fallehood.

But after all, fays the Deift, if Pharaoh and the Egyptians faw the Prodigies wrought by Mofes why did they not believe tiam? Why did they perfift in refufing to permit the Children of frael to depart ?
The fipitit of intereft blinded thein ; the immenfe advantages, which they obtained from the labour and induftry of a numerous people; which they could not prevail on themfeives to renounce, whilf there was thic moft diftant hope or even poffibility of retaining them: This appearis from the fupplications and promifes to Mofes during the continuance of the public calamity, and their refufal to perform thefe promifes as foon as the calamity ceafed. "I have finned," faid Pharioh, " even now, the Lord is juft ; I and my people are impious; pray to the Lord that the thunder and hail may ceafe," Exodus 9 Chap. 27 and 28 v . Yet after the ceffation of that térrible plague, the fpirit of intereft inducing them to believe that it might have been fome unufual accident he refufed to difmifs them: However aftet the death of the firft born, "Pharoah calling Mofes and Aaron at night, fays, arife and depart from amongt my people, you and the Children of Ifrael; go and facrifice to your God as you fay $\ldots \ldots$ and going blefs me : the Egyptians preffed the people to depart quickly, faying, we fhall all die." Chap. 12, 7 . 31 , yer fo great was the obftinacy of Pharaoh and his people, and fuch the blindnefs of anderftanding produced by the firit of intereft and defire of domination, that notwithftanding all the calamities they had endured; when they faw the Chil. dre:
dren of Ifrael on their way, to return no more, they regretted the permiffion which had been extorted from them. "The King of the Egyptians was told that the people fled, and Pharaph's heart and the heart of his fervants was changed, and they faid; what have we done to difmifs the Children of Ifrael from ferving us ?" Exodus $\$ 4$ th Chap. $5^{\text {th }}$ v.

The obftiuacy of the Egyptians was not greater than that of our modern Deifis, who, though they do not fee thefe prodigies in, themfelves, as the Egyptians did, fee them in their effects, with an additional prodigy, which the Egyptians did not lee, a prodigy the more capable of convincing the under: ftanding, as it is fubject to no poffible illufion, that is, the converfion of the world according; to the exprefs prediction of Jelus Chrit, and the literal ac. complifhment of many other prophecies contained in the New Teftament, and written at a time when every thing confpired to extinguifn Chriftianity, when the doctrines of Chriltianity, and Cliriftiansthemfelves? were in public execraion; if then the firit of pride and infidelity, blinds the Deift fo far as to induce him to refufe his affent to truths eflablithed beyond the poffibiity of doubt, by palpable cvidence, manifeft as the Sun at nuid-day, why thould it appear furirifing, that the fame fpirit of inlidelity, united to the firit of intereft, blinded the Egyprians.

- To fuppofe that natural caufes, acting áccording to mechanical Iaws, could produce the flupendous pro: dicyes related in the book of Exodus, and other books of the old law, is ridiculous in the extreme. "Mofes hifts up the red, which he held in his hand and immedintely a fcorching, wind blows a whole day and sight, atid in the morning, the wind collects and brings with ic locuifs in fuch numbers that they coverd the furface of the ground, detroying every and the ley faid; of Ifrael V greater. ugh they , as the th an adot lee, a he.under: ion, that o the exliteral ac. tained in me when ity, when emfelves. $t$ of pride o induce. $d$ beyond e, mani: pear furnited to
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thing. Exodus 10. chap. Was che eletation of a rod a fuficient caufe to product fueh an effect ? With the fame facility, on Pharroh's promife of obedience, 2 wefterly wind is raifed, coricets all there locult, and fweeps theri into the Red Sed, not one remaine ing behind. Are the winds fubject to man's counmand? Or huw did the wind fo carefully collett the locufts that not one was left behind P What haturel Eaufe can be altg gned for the death of all the firt born in Egypt? A peftilence malkes no diftinetion between the firt and fecond, or third. What caule inferior to omniporence can be affigned for the waters in the Red Sea dividing and forming themfelves like walls on both fides of the paflage, through which the Children of frad paffed ? It is well known that water and all other liquids form themelves to an horizontal level as far as the curvature of the tarth permits. The fimple narration of the facred writer confounds all the different opinions which are offered by Deiftical critics in order to invalidate, or at leaft to reduce the force of this miracle. The lfraelites, fays one, took advantage of an cbb tide, which was greatly increafed by a ftrong wind, which continued all night 3 yes, but the wind did nor bew the water out of the paffage and form it like walls on both fides, that inftead of diminifhing would have increaled the prodigy: "The Children of Ifrael:" fays the writer," paffed through the middle of the dry fea, and the water was as a wall both to the right hand and the left.": Exodus,' 14th Chap. 22 v.

They did not pafs through the fea, fays another, they only went a certain diftance aind then turned back to the fante defert from which they had entered it. Mofes fays they pafied through it; David thought fo ton, PC. 104 and 113 ; and St. Paul believed it, and the Jews, to whom lie addreffed his epiftle, did not
doult it : " by faith they paffed througt the Red Sea as on dry land." Epift. to the Heb. Chap. ii. v. 29.
or, as
The abfurdity of thefe opinions is fueh, that it is matter of furpiife that the authors themfelves, how: ever ignorant they may be fuppofed, did not remark it ; that they did not obferve in contradicting the fimple narration of the facred writer they contra. dicted the principles of cominon fenfe : for to give the greatef puffible weight to their opimions, let us fuppofe that the tide was greater than ufual, that the elb continued twelye or fourteen hours, or more, if they wifh to have a miracle to fupport the abfurdity of their reafoning; that the waters retired not three or four hundred, but twelve or fourteen hundred yards. Did the ebb continue long enough, and was the face fufficient, to give a paffage out of the reach of Pharaoli and his army, to a body of fix hundred and three thouland five hundred and fity men, upwards of twenty years old, to all the women, children and old men, to all their focks and their herds, and their baggage? "We will depart, faid Mofes, with our little ones and our oid people, withour fons, and our daughters, with our fheep, and our herds." Exodus, 10 Chap. 9 . And Praraoh faid, difmifing them: "take your theep, and your herds, as you defired." "12 Chap. $3^{2}$ v. Was Pharaoh and his people fo ignorant as not to know that if the Children of Fraei followed the tide, they multseturn to the fame thore again ? Was it not more fimple to wait their red turn than to purfue them? If he had not feen an immenfe paffage open and clear of incumbrances would lie have contered it with his chariots? Tu give fome colour of probability, or rather of polibility, to thefe opinions, let it be fuppofed, that the paffage was ef. fected near the northern extremity of the Red Sea,
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or, as it is now called, the Ifthmus of Suez.; everi in that extravagant fuppofition, both time and fpace would have been deficient, and Pharaoh moft certainly would not have loft himfelf and his army in the purfuit: he might have paffed on the Ifthmus, and found them on the other lide. "Every fuppofition made in defence of thefe opinions, only ferves to thew their abfurdity, the ignorance and obitinacy of thein authors. To, pretend that Mofes was an impuftor; that he prevailed on his penple to believe that they had paffed through the Red Sea, though no fuch thing had happened, is fuch an extravagant affertion that it deferves contempt, not 2 ferious refutation:
It is faid that the Children of Ifrael departing from Phthahiroth, paffed through the middle of the Sea into the wildernefs, and, walking three days through the wildernefs of Etham, they pitched their camp in Mara." Numbers 33 Chap. 8 v. And in the 6th verfe of the fame Chapter, as alfo Exodus is Chap. 20 v , it is faid, "s that they paffed from Socoth' and pitched their camp in Etham, in the extremity of the wildernefs: hence it is inferred that they only went a certain diftance into the Sea and returned to the fame defert again. To this the writer replies that Etbam, where they pitched their camp, fignifies a par: ticular place in the extremity of the wildernefs, not the wildernels itfelf, if it be not thought that the whole be comprifed within a part, an abfurdity too grofs even for the credulity: of a Deift. "On the oppofite fide, the whole of the wildernefs is called Etbam, not a particular fpot of it. Add to this, that Moles fays, in that very text, that they paffed through the middle of the Séa, "Bethok ba jam bai midbarah, into. the wildernefs, in the moft expreffive manner.
To give fome colour of truth to this opinion it is gid that the dead bodies of the Egyptians, which were fera
feen by the Children of Irrace on the fhore, muft have
$m 0$ been brought back by the flood tide: The appearance of the dead badies only ferves to confirm Mofes's relation; for as the Egyptians purfued the Children of Ifrael fo clofely that they would have overtaken them, but for the interpatition of the cloud, which prevented the junction, the van of the Egyptian army muft have been clofe to the sear of the lfraelites, during the whole paffage, and confequently near the more, on which they landed as the time of. its defruction. This accounts for Pharaoh's raltnefis in the purfuit : the paflage muft have been immenfely Jarge and clear, perhaps the waters at fuch a diftance, on each fide, that he did not attend to them in the eagernefo of the purfuit, or if he did, he mult have concluded that a junction of the watexs muft involve the Irraelites with his army in one common rum : "it flood (the cloud) between the camps of the Egyptians. and the camps of Ifrael . . ..... fo that the whole night they could not approach each other."

If Mofes's defcription of this event be minutely dif? cuffed, it will appear extremely probable, that Pharaoh and his Egyptians did not know that they had entered on the bed of the fea: they arrived at Phahiroth which is the point at which the Ifraelites crolfed th. ${ }^{c}$. .here the cloud refted between them and the fugitives, the cloud was dark on the Egyptian fide, whilf it enlightened the night on the oppofite fide, the Egyptians naturally continued the purfuit of an. ime menfe multitude of people upon dry land without fufpecting that they wore on the bed of the fea, the purfuit continued the whole night long, at the morning watch their deflruction commenced and the junction of the waters compleated it.

However great this prodigy, it was not greater than that which we find in the book of Jolhua, nor
mugt have The appear:Girm Mufer's the Childrep e overtaken loud, which e Egyptian of the Ifrael confequenty. the time of oh's rallinef $n$ immenfely, h a diftance, them in the e mult have nuft involve on rum : " it he Egyptians the whole r.!
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6 not greater of Jochua, nor $m^{\circ}$
more violently conteflod by men, who, to Ahecthemen felves from the reftraints of religion, are difpafed to fwallow any ablurdity rather than admit the truth. Jothua, by Godia order, direets the Prieft, who ear's ried the Ark, to proceed to the brink of the Rivar Jordan, and reft their feet in the water Inflantly the waters above were fopped and fwelled as a mouns tain, and the waters below defcended to the fea of the defert, or the Dead Sea; and "t the people paffed over againt: Jericho, whilt the Priefts, who carried the Ark of the Covenant of the Lord flood on ary land in the midf of Jordan, and the people paffed on the dry bed of the riyer." Jofhua Chap. 3, v. 1\%. Againft this paffage over the river Jordan undar the fonduct of Jothua no fuppofitions are admiffible- A direct contradiction is full of abfurdity: Jofhuia did not, nor çould not impofe on fuch a numercus body of people; by him the lands were parcelled out amongit the tribes ; forty cight cities fet apart for the Levites, which divifion continued to the deftruction of the Jewifh nation. The bonk of Jofhua is the public regiftor of the nation, contains the titles, on which their lands were held, deferibes their baundar ries, never was book mnre authentic, and in na beok of the Scriptures are there more aftonifhing prodir gies recorded.

The deftruction of the walls of Jericho by the fhund of a trumpet, Jof, 6 Chap, 20 v . The fufpenfion of the diurnal motion of the Earth and Moon, Jof. ro Chap. 13 v. ". And the Sun food in the midft of the Heavens and did not haften to fet for the fpace of one day." i 4 th. "There was notibefore nor fince à day fo long, God obeying the voice of a man."

This laft prodigy gave rife to many fuppofitions each inconfiftent with the other, and all of them inconfitent with truth, which is to be found in
the fimple marration of the facred writer, not elfe: where.

Says one, the Sun and Moon did not fop but the
clude a
the hor miracu
fupport
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roünd
fpeak th
of fome well-meaning, but uninformed Chriftians, who from the truth of this fact, of which they have no doubt, infer that the Earth is fixed and that the Sun revolves about it.

The firft opinion is a direct contradiction to the text; it would be more convenient to reject the authority of the Book at once.
The fecond fubftitutes or imaginary miracle to a real prodigy, it deferves no anfwer. Jf there be a miracle at all admitted, why reject that, which is recorded to fubftitute one that is only fuppofedr? If it be thought that God of his tree will eftablifhed the mechanical laws, to which the material world is fubject, he might at the fame intant have ordered a temporary fufpenfion of any one of them with equal farility; and to pretend that mechanical laws are not fubject to his controul is to make him dependent on his creatures, not his creatures on him. I his firpafles abfurdity.

The third only argaes the jorance of its author. A parhelion or parfelene $;$, but a certain portion of that luminous circle, which fometimes furrounds, or rather appears to furround, the Sun or Moon, from which fome refracted tays reprefent the Sun or Moon in that point from which they come direct to the eye, 2 parhelion therefore or parfelene could not remain over the horizon when the Sun and Moon was far under it without fubitituting one prodigy to another.
The fourth alfo gives an imaginary miracle to exclude a real one : for an appearance of the Sun above the horizon for many hours after Sun fet is evidently miraculous :and all the reafons, which he offers in fupport of his opinion, prove nothing: whether the Sun revolves ruind the Earth or the Earth turns round the Sun, is ufelefs to enquire, a man muft always fpeak the language which is underfood. Antronomers

Cow . Aays believe that the Earth defcribes fiss orbit round the sun as the centre of fis motiong and they belicue iton fufficiont grounde' they alfo know that the Earth, in its diurnal chotion, turns rowad its own axis, expoifing an entire hemifphere to that blaze of Wht, which the sun emits continually, enjays all the bleflings of light on that beinifpere, whilit the oppofie is thvolved in darknefs, they know that the Sun nexther fets nor rifes, but is taid to rife when any polit on the furface of the Earth zomes to the light, and is faid to fit, when that point defcribes a fetrivircle and is again immerfed in darlenels, yet all Attommers fay that the Sun rifes and fets, that Its daily thotion is from the exte to well Thus in fact is its apparent motion, being in an oppofite. fenfe to the teal motion of the earth, which is from the weft to the eat. But this is the language which mankind underftahds, and if a man were to feak a different language the would not be undertood.

The fecond reafor given is frivolbus. Though the Sun was not vertical to the valley of cabaom, It might have teen in, or mear, the circle, which was verticle to it, and that authorifed Joftrua to fay it was over it, over and under are relative terms. The next reafon is paffed umoticed, the author feems difpofed to give advice to his Creator. As to the enIving reafon, it is true, the facred writer fays the prodigy is written in the Book of the 7 Jf , but he does not refer us to that book for the truth of his affertini. Whener that book was puetical or not, which is mere mater of furmife, there is no figurative exprefinon it the book of Joflua, where this fact is re. corded. Thint Jofhua did not pray until after Sun Set becauíe he ordered the Moun to fand over the Valley of Ajalon is a flange affertion. What has the author fievet feen the Sum and Moon above the hori
itso orbit and they now that Iits own blaze of njoys all thilit the now that ife whet es to the deferibes nels, yet fets, that A Thus oppofite is from e which - ppeak a d. Thoügh frabaon, Which was t to day it rins. The 10. feemis to the einfays the ut he does his affertirot, which rative exfact is reafter Sun dover the hat has the the hori. żón
gon at the fame time? If Jofhua had ordered the Moon to ftand over the Valley of Gabaon with the Sun the obfervation would have been judicious, but that the Sun thould be in the verticle over or near the Villcy of Gabaon, and the Moon near the verticle of Ajalon is mattet of furprice but to a man, who is unacquainted with their motions.
In his laft obfervation he introduces a new fort of evidence, that is, the probability of inconvenience to. one nation is fufficient to prove that a fact, which is publidy known, and autlientically recorded by another nation has not happened. As to the inconvenience; to which the in inabitants of the other hemifhere were expored, it tas pofibile there might be lome, but it was not injurious: if a man be deprived of that to which he has a frić right, the inconvenience he fuffers is injuriousand unjurt, but if a mant bé deprived of that, which he holds from the mere bounty of another, however great the inconvenience, there is no injury, no injuftice. The inconvenience complained of was not great, nor was there any derangement in the order of the Heavenly Bodies : a fufpenfion of the diurnal motion of the Earth, whilt the ann aal motion continued the rame, was all that was neceifary to effect that fuppendous prodigy. ' The motion of rotation about the axis of the Earth, which produces day and night, is unconnected with its influence on the other celeftial bodics: it can at lealt neither increafe nor diminifh it.
From thefe words of Jofhua, and fimiar cxpreffions, in other parts of the scripturc, to infer that the Earth is immovenble, and that the Sun effects a revolution round it in twenty-four hours, is injudicious: Thefe expreflions fignify no more than the apparent motion of the Sun, and the apparent immobility of the Earth, it is the language of fience and of ignorance,
it

It ts the language of all mankind, the facred penmen mult have fpoken it, if they wifhed to be undertiod: We fay now, as Solomon did, that the Sun rifes and fets, that it comes to the meridian, \&c: Eccl. it Chap. 5 v. In the preceding verfe it is faid, that the Earth ftands for ever. This has no reference to the immobility of the Earth : it fimply fignifes that the Earth continués to exift, or fubfitts. Hamadéth: Though generations fucceed each other.

The Pentateuch of all works the moft fimple, the mof fublime, the mof fatisfactory, is by our modern Philofophers fet in compecition with the romantid tales of Sanchoniaton, a Phenician author, who lived, if at all, about two thoufand years before the Chriftian gera, of which fome fragments remain, thought to be fuppofed by Dodwell and Dupin, with the rhapfody of Zoroafter, a Perfian tale writer, whom Iluet de: monftrates to have been a fabulous perfonage; with an Indian work called Hanfortt or Sanfcrit; of which they pretend to underftand a few words; they might have added Ovid's Metamorphofes, if the work were not in the hands of childreh, and they are defirous of paffing for men of profound learning ; of fome of thefe pretended works they give what, they call verfions, the ravings of their own fancy, and cite manu. fripts which do not exit but in their own imagination: From all this they conclude the immenfe antiquity given to the world by Egyptian and Chinefe tales, to be well authenticated, and thence infer that Mor fes's account of the Creation is fabulous. A man of real fcience, would draw a contradictory inference, for having as we have already feen, the moft incon. teftible evidence of the authenticity of the Pentateuch; he would conclude, without hefitation, that all ac. counts incenfiftent with it were fabulous. Our mo. dern critics are extraordinary men; in the mof ab-
furd fab truth th men is a
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furd fables they fee nothing but trush, and in fimple truth they difcover impofibilities; the vanity of the men is a glafs, which diftorts every object.
In the Book of Genefis, Gay they, there are many things which appear fabulous the creation of the world in fix days, which might have been done in an infant, the feduction of Eve by a ferpent feaking her language, the folly of Adam, the founder of the world, to think that by eating a fryit ho thould become equal to God.
A man of fenfe judges of facts by the authenticity of the book which relates shem, he does not judge of the authenticity of the book, by the facts which it records : if we were to reject all the facts, of which we do not clearly conceive the reafons, nine tenths of all hiftories flould be at once fuppreffed.
Nothing obliged the Almighty God to create all the Beings which compofe the Univerfe at the fame ine ftant ; he yet continues to create, and will contiaue until the end of time, he thereby fhews his independence on his creatures, and their total dependence on him. To enquire why he gives exiftence to fuch a man, at fuch a point of time precifely, and not either before, or after, is impertinent : he is not obliged to give the man an exiftence at any time ; it is a matter of grace, not of right, when granted; this reafoning is applicable to every Being, which contributes to compofe the Univerfe,

That a woman's vanity may expofe her to temptation is not matter of furprife; and that the organs of the ferpent fet in motion by fo powerful an agent as the Demon, fhould form articulate foupds is not difficult to conceive. Adam did not think that eating the fruit would make him equal to God, he well knew the contrary. "Adam was not feduced." Epif, to Titus, 2 Chap. Nor did Eve expect an equality

## $\$ 5$

equality but a fimilarity of knowledge: The was
nó miferably deceived, as are her children, our inodern vou Deiffs, whofe vanity and curiofty refembles that of their mother.

Why did not Mofes begin hism recital with the ruin of the Angels? He did not write their hiftory. The Jews in his time knew it ; it was not neceffary to inform them, he exprefsly farbid them to confult Evil Spirits, Deut. is Chap. 1 I v. "There will not be amongf you a man to confult $O b_{\text {,' ' that is a Spirit }}$ dpeaking as through the navel, a Ventrikque.

The exiftence of thef Evil Spirits was known to the Heathen world as to the Chriltian ; the nature of the crime induative of their perdition is known but by mere conjecture, the text of Ifaies, which is apnefe rati, criti fide to Chr fable whis extr the narr plied by come to the chief of there rebel fpirits, literally regards the King of Tyr. The whole text is frongly metaphorical. "I will afcend into Heaven, I will exalt my throne above the higheft fars, I will fit in the mountain of the teftament, in the fides of the north." Ifaias, 14 th Chap. 13 v . If this Evil
man conf ditio form arts, hifto Spirit, proud of his own excellence, pretended a fort of equality with, or rather independence on, his God, it is not more furprifing than to hear the Atheilt dif pute even the exifterice of his God. It is for this reafon that Job calls him ". King over all the fons of pride." Job, 41 Chap.' 25 v.

The pretended antiquity of the Chinefe monarchy is offered by our modern Deifts as totally fubverfive of Mofes's account of the Creation, they may add the Babylonian annals, which give four hundred thoufand ycars to their empire, and the Egyptian, which equal them in ablurdity. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ The account given by Mofes has every poffible mark of authenticity, the Chinefe, Babylonian and Egyptian annale are puerile fables. Mr. Goguet fays, in his origin of laws, "that the aftro-
: She was pur modern bles that of

1 with the eir hiftory. t neceffary to confult ere will not is a Spirit que.
known to e nature of nown but hich is appirits, litehole text is o Heaven, ars, I will he fides of this Evil da fort of his God, theift dif. is for this he Cons of
nonarchy ubverfive yadd the thoufand ich equal Mofes has nefe, Bables. Mr.' the attronomical
nomical obfervations, with which fone have endeavoured to fupport the pretended antiquity of the Chinefe are manifefly fuppofed, that fome of their literati, though the Chinefe in general have no ldea of criticifin, remarked it ; that it may be faid with confidence that there is no credit whatioever to be given to their hiftory, previous to the year 206, before the Chriftian rera; that until that' time it is a tiflue of fables and contradictions, a monffrous chaos, out of which nothing, that is reafonable, or connected can be extracted." Such is the work, which in the idea of the Deift is fuffictent to condemn the plain fimple narrative of Mofes.
"The Chinefe hiftorians," fay the authors of the Univerfal Hiftory," have applied in a ridiculous manner to the ancient ftate of their monarchy the confufed notions tranfmitted to them by vague tradition concerning the creation of the world, the formation of man, the deluge, the inftitutions of arts, of all this they compofed a monftrous fyltem of hiftory."

The reader may reft fatisfied with the following fpecimen of the boafted hiftury of the Clinefe :
${ }^{3}$ Toby was conceived by his mother in contat with a rainbow, his body was partly that of a ferpent, and Chin-nung had the head of an ox. If the reader defres to know more of this remarkable hiftory he muft confult fome inodern Sciolift who is accuftomed to fallow monftrous abfurdities, the writer is not dif. pofed to lavifi time on nonfenfe.
The Chinefe calculation of celipfes during the face of four thoufand years is merely imaginary-; about a century and a half ago they were obliged to have recourfe to the Mahometans for the calculation of their almanacks; and in 1772 , they were forced to call for fome jefuits to fill the tribunal of mathema-
tics, which by the death of Father Hallerfein conld, no longer continue itş calculations. Mathematical calculation is too abtrufe for a Chinefe : before the introduction of Europeans, their knowledge of that icience was low indeed, nor is it yet much in. creafed. Father Martin read, in one of their mols authentic books, that in the reign of Yahoo or Yao the Sun enlightened China during the fpace of ten days and ten nights. The calculation of the eclipfes reported in their annals is fo incorrect, that Caffini by correcting their errors retrenched fix hyndred years from their chronology. After all from the cala culation of eclipfes, no inference can be drawn in fas vour of the antiquity of any country : fuch calcula. tions may extend as far as man's imagination can reach, either backward or forward. Mr. Touquet, the titular bifhop of Eleuthropolis, publifhed in 1729, a chronological table, which a Tartarian no. bleman had extracted from the changcun, or great annals of the empirc. In this table the commence. ment of true chronology amongft the Chinefe, is fixed in the reign of Lye vang, three hundred and forty-four years before the Chriftian Era: more acute. chronologifts fix it at a much later period. It is needlefs to abferve that Xi-hvam-tu, 2 Gnguinary and vigilant tyrant, deftroyed all the annals of China in his reign, which continued thirty feven years. So attentive was he on the deftruction of thefe annals, that he burned four hundred and fixty of their literati, together with the annals which they had con: cealed, he thus fuppreffed a work filled with ridiculous fables, and on uncertain confufed tradition a fort of rhapfody was fubstituted equally if not more fabulous than the former.

The Chinefe Emperors exercife their dominion over time as well as place: they grant letters of nobi-
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lity, which have a retro-active force, enobling the grantee's anceftors for two, for four, or perhaps ten thou' fand years before. The antiquity of fuch an empire muft be great in their imagination, to contradict their pretended antiquity is a capital offence. Du Haide's defrcription of Chind, T. 1. Hence the Miffionaries dare not fay what they think, and to give theit opinions in writing would be highly indifcreet.

Diodorts, of sicily, in the firl book of his Bibliotheca, fect. 2, fays: "Gods, as fome of the E. gyptian writers relate, reigned firf in Egypt, then Heroes (that is Demi-Gods) about eighteen thoufand years, the laft of thefe was Hirus the fon of Ifis; the kingdom was governed by men about fifteen thoufand years. This requires no comment; thefe Gods and Heroes are imaginary Beings."

The Babylonian and Egyptian ta'ss, are pafled unnoticed, they refemble the Arabian Nights' Entertain. ments.
$\therefore$ The writer comes now to difeufs another dificulty ftated againft Mofes's account of the Creation. Strong marks, indications of the moll remote antiquity, are to be found in the phyfical world, which are manifeftly inconfiftent with the antiquity afcribed by Mofes to the Crention : A famous naturalift gravely tells us, that the Sea has fucceffively covered all the different parts of this globe, that the higheft mountains were for many ages under the Sea. The latter part of this affertion contradicts the former, the firit of infidelity fo blinds men, even of fome fcience, that they dont remark the glaring inconfiftencies, which they frequently advance in a few words. Since, according to the known laws of hydroftatics, water, and all other liquids, compofe themfelves to a level fo that every point of the furface is equi-diftant from the at-

were covered with water, the whole earth was covered not fucceflively but the fame time, and the waters were raifed abpve the prefent furface of the Sea to the height of the higheft mountains, the naturalift forgot to tell us how this immenfe quantity of water was difpofed of, nor has he deigned to inform us how: the human fpecies, or land animals, which arenot accufomed, at leif in our times, to live in water, ma naged to fubfift during them agese It is true one of his admircrs tells us that men were at that time fih? and that when the waters retiring they were left on dry hand, their tails opened and became legs : we muft thank this gentlemap for the information he gives, whether we acknowledge a fih or a monkey for an anceftor is of little confequence. Thefe are the teachers. of the work!! Thefe are the men, whom nature has bren endeaxouring to form for millions of years, they now come at length to undeceive the world!

The Naturalift adds an oblervation, by way of proof, that the re-catcriag angles on one fide of the mountains, are oppofte to the falient, angles on the other This like the former is an effet of his imagimation: in fome places they are, in others they are not. Thare are many mountains infulated immenfely diitant from any other. Mountains and valleys fornt ed as they are argue the wifdom and bounty of the Creator mountains break the current of air-make the winds variable, which would without them, blow always in the fame direction. In them are placed reeeptacles for waters, which the chouds convey to their fummit, and when condenfed, fo that they become fpecifically heavier than air, fall in drops of rain, this rain colleatad into thefe receptacles, iffue from the fides, of the mountains through fprines, which unit, ing in the valieys form rivulets, and there uniting form rivers, as the valleys are intended for conveying
the w the it ing $f$ if try. were
Flood
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the waters, their finuofities are' neceffary to prefrent the too great rapidity of the waters, which defeending from the monntaits with an accelerated ©elocisy; If not broken in their conref would ravage the country. Mountains were previous to the Deluge; they were then neceffary as they are now. Perhaps the Flood may have formed fome, of broken others, bue in general from their neceffity they mult have edtered into the plan of the Creation.

The Naturalif ptetends that the fea has formed the mountains, though the Flood in his opinion could not, the Flond if we believe him had made no change in the furface of the earth. The affertion is tather bold: what, the fea tifing fifteen cubits above the higheft tnountains, in the greatel poffible agitation, made no change! St. Peter thought there was a sotal change. "The then World flooded with water periftied the Heavens afid Earth which now are:".... Epitt. 2, Chap. 3, v. 6 and 7.
If, lays the Naturalift, the furface of the earth had been diffalved by the Flood, the different frbftances, which compnefit; ought to liave been depofed by the waters when fettled, defcending according to their fpecific gravity, yet we fee meks of granite placed over fand atid mud, and light frbftances deep in the carth, whilt the moft weighty are found on the very furface. If this had been univerfally the cafe this difficulty would appear ferious, but the contraty is truo in general, the more heavy fubllances are found at the greateft depth. In fo great a commotion a matheritatical exactnefs was not obferved, inoreover thefe rocks, which are now found at the furface of the earth, immediately over lighter fubfances, were they rockes at that time? Animinenfe rock never did fwim in water. If thefe have been depoled they muft

which in the courfe of time has been coagulated by the intervention of fome fluid, or fome other natural agent. Petrifactions are not uncommon.

Banks of thells; which are frequently found far diftant from the fea, evince the truth of the Flood; thefe thells tre formed of a certain fubftance, which is not fubject to decay, from the immenfe quantities found in fome places the Naturalift infers that the fea moft have refted longer than is confifent with Mofes's account of the Flood. Here he offers nere conjecture ás evidence againft a well-hnown fact. ts it not poffible that the waters of the Deluge might have been reftraised in thefe valleys by fame obifacle which time had removed? Incalculable quantities of fhells are collected in a fhort time, and, what concludes evidently againft the Naturalift, bones of ani-, mals have been found in countries where they do not breed, where they canhot llve, the bones of elephants in Siberia, and in 1772 the bones of a rhinoceros were found there. It is well ki.owh that the ele. phant and rhinoceros are inhabitants of hot cound tries, that their bones muft have been tranfported to -Siberia by fome fuch inundation as the flood $;$ the waters which depofed their bones in Siberia muft have taken them up in Africa or Afia, far fouth of Siberia. Thefe animals are nut inhabitants of the fea as the fhell-ifh of Touraine.

An Englifh traveller, intending to extend the fphere of human knowledge, by his own experiments, found by examining the different layers emitted in the cruptions of Mount Etna, that the world is at leaft fourteen thoufand years old. There are, he fays, to be feen in fome places feven lajers, or beds, one over the other, each covered with an excellent bed of foll, two thoufind years are not more than fufficient to convert one of thefe lagre inte a good mould Hents
this n fourte into ea mould bed of It feem verted derful larging that in we fine Let 148 ; intende quired tive eal the conj eruption matter ber of fi the laye ages wit accumul: traveller: Arays th buried by in others fent furf earth and are fever thefe laye lifh travel years in 1 teen hund laneum
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end the riments, nitted in ridd is at ;he fays, eds, one It bed of ficient to d. Hene this
this mathematicfon infers there muft have paifod fourteen thoufand years to convert thefe reven layers. into earch. But if thefe layers are converted into mould, how did he find the feven'yet entires, and a bed of earth between each layer and the next to it? It feems fourteen thoufand years have not yet converted the firf of the feven into mould, as this wons. derful calculator forind it entire, thus, inftead of en. larging the fcience of mankind, he adds his mite to. that immenfe fock of ignorance, and vanity, which we find in the writings of our modern travellers, Let ws; however, fuppofe that this our traveller intended tofay, that each bed of volcanic matter required twa choufand years to cover it with vegeta tive eareh, his obfervation would then coincide with the conjectures made by more intelligent men on the eruptions of Mount Vefuvius, the layers of voleanie matter fay they, are round in tome places to the number of fix; with a bed of vegetative earth berween the layers of volcanic matter, hence they infer that ages without number muft have olapfed before this accumulation could have been formed One of thefe. travellers however relates a fac which totally defrays thefe conjectures.: The city of Herculaneum, buried by an earthquake, is in fome parts feventy feet, in others one hundred and twelve feet under the prefent furface of the carth. Between the furface of the earth and the city, op rather the ruins of this city, are feveral layers of volcanic matter, and between thefe layers are beds of vegatative earth. Our. Eng. lifh traveller would have found many thoufands of years in this phoenomenon, yet we know that eighteen hundred years have not yet paffed finee Herculaneum was a flourifhing city.
The vegetative earth is placed in a fhort time over. the velcazit matici by many uniting caules: alhes, cartl! ${ }_{2}$,
carth, duft and other fubftances, are emitted by the
the volcano, the rains wath down eath from the fides of the mountains, men and animals bring furttances which are fon copverted into earth, the induftrious hufbandman covers the moft barren rock with vegetative mould. Thus fimple facts confound the wild conjectures of yondering, and wonder-telling travellers.

But there aremany extinguithed volcanos found of which no writer fpeaks, thefe at leaft fpeak the remote antiquity of the world,

True, there are many found, and many more may be found if fought for in the wilds of america, of Africa and Afia, where no writer is to be found; phenomenons fometimes pafs unnoticed cyen by good writers, and of the writings of many, who might have noticed them, fome have fallen victims to the ravages of time.

There is no ancient fat more authentic than the Deluge: Mofes defcribes it 856 years after it happened when the fact was frefh in the memory of man; when it was impoffible for him to impofe on the world ; the Son of Sirach fpeaks of it as an undoubted fact, Ecel. 44th Chap. 18th and igth v. St. Paul, in his Epiftle to the Hebrews, Chap. 1 1. v.' 7 , arcribes Noah's prefervation to his faith. The Saviour himfelf, Matthew 24 Chap. $3^{8}$ anid 39 v. com. pares his laft coming to the time of Noah, when the flood deftroyed mankind. The fame is repeated in St. Luke, 17 Chsp. 26 v. St. Perer, in his ift Epift. 3 Chap. 20th $\nabla$, fays there were but eight fouls faved in the Ark; and in his fecond Epift. Chap. 2, v. 5, he fays iuat God did not fpare the primitive world, that he faved Noah, eighth, the preacher of juftice, and brought the Flood on the impious.

On this fubject the Heathen writers agree with the facred penmen: Berofus the Chaldean tells us that
ed by the n the fides fubftantes indutitribus ith Vegeta. d wild con travellers. nos found $t$ feak the any more A America, be found; even by nany, who len victims
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ee with the ells us that the
the Ark, near the erd of the Deluge, ftopped on a mountain of Armenia; this tefiniony is not offer. ed as zuthentic, though cited by fome writers. Berofus was cotemporary with Alexatider the Great, Prief of the Temple of Belas, in Chaldea. Some fragments of his hifory remain in Jofephus, the work itfelf is loft, and no lofs to the world. The romance publifhed in his name under the title of Antiquities, is a fuppored piece. See Hift. des G. Hom. Nicolas, of Damafcus, in the g6th book of his hifory, fays that ono man efcaped in a veffel from the univerfal flood, and that the remains of the veffel were preferved a long time on a high mountain in Armenia; Abydenus, in his hiftory of the ancient Medes and Affyrians, defcribos the Flood nearly as Mofes did; Ovid, in his Metamorphofes, attempts a defcription of the Flood, which he intermixes with ridiculous fables, as he did every other event, which he defcribes, and Varro (peaks of the time which elapfed from Adam's creation to the Flood, from the commencement of mankind to the Flood ; finally tha Chinefe fay that 2 man whom they call Puencuus efcaped with his family from the univerfal deluge. This agreement of facred and profane writers, attefting the tradition of the world, is furely more than fufficient to authenticate a fat, in which the whole world was interefted. It is not wild fuppofitions, and impertipent queftions which invalidate public facts.

From the dimenfions of the Ark, as defcribed by Mofes, it is not difficult to demonfrate that there was in it a fufficient fpace to contain the animals preferved from the Flood, together with the neceffary: provifions for the time they were enclofed.

It is alfo certain that there is a fufficient quantity of water to cover the higheft mountains on the earth without any increafe, which if neceflary was eafy to. omnipotence.
omnipotence. It is well known to the judicious philofopher that there is an incalculable quantity of water fufpended in the atmofphere, we fee with What rapidity a high wind dries up tmall pools of water, becaufe every layer of air, which comes in contact with the water, inftantly abrerhs a quantity of water, neceffary to forgave lay layer and thefe layers fucceed each oher in proportion to the velocity of the wind: a quantity therefore neceffary to faturate the whole aimofphere may remain fufpended, if an extra quantity le witd it muf again defcend in rain, or, if condenfed by cold, in fnow or hail. Differtations have been written by other men on this fubject, and the mof fatis. factory folutions given to all the difficultiṣ, which wild fpeculatiffs propofe.

As to the exifence of whole nations of giants and pygnies, they exift in the imagination of Atheifs? fome have been, and fome few are, of an extraordinary fize, and fome others extremely little; but thefe do not form nations, nor are they of a different fpes cies, their ancefors are known. The term Gibon which has been tranllated by that of Giant fignifies a powerful and violent man. They are numerous now as they were before the Flood; and now as then we call virtuous, pious men, the fons of light, the children of God; and vain, capricious, diffolute girls may well be called the daughters of men. marriaga contracts between fuch characters, were cenfured by Mofes, and the confequences marked in the fimilarity of manners between the children and their morhers. This we fee every day. The obildren of God marry. ing the daughters of men gave rife to many ridiculous tales and wild conjectures. Ignorance finds myfteries where common fenfe can difcern none. Difficulties are fated by fome pretenders to fcience againfl
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againt Mores's accoant from the different colours of the human feciés in djferent countries. Thefe are as ftivolous as the former. Difference in colour as in height, in ftrength, in activity, in underfanding, is merely accidental, the human fpecies, is, in all individuals eflentially the fame. The black man in Ethiopia, the coppef.coloured favage in Canada, the white man in Europe, is the fame man, tinged with.the colour of the climate, in which he lives, his food and manier of living contribute to increafe or diminifh the effect of the climate. Some anatomifts imagine that the pores in the fcarf fkin of the black, dilated By the burning heat of a vertical fun, abforb a greater quantity of light; others think that the effect is proluced by the getat porofity of the inner fkin; and fore think that there is a liquid fubtance between the fadff fkin and the inner Ikin, which abforbs the light. It is mandfelt, without confulting optics, that any body which abforbs the light muft appear black. A difertation on this fubject may be curious, but is cotally ufelefs : reafon fays that a black man and a white man, a big man and a little man, a lame man and a blind man, a fool and a knave, are men, individuals of the fame fpecies, differenced individually not fpectically. A man and a horfe are individuals of different fpecies, differented fpecifically, not individually. This may ferve as a general anfwer to all the difficulties, which are founded on the difference of fize, of colour, of wit, of Atrength, \&cc of men in the fame or in different climates.

We have now examined the molt material difficulties ftated by Atheifts and Beifts againft Mofes's account of the Crention, and hewn them to be frivolous in the extrenie. That remote antiquity which they afcribe to the world, and all the marks of this部iquity are metely imagizary, the offpring of ignorance
ignorance and vanity : the difgerning phifofopher difcovers the moft fenfible indications of the contrary : the late invention of many arts and ficiences, the increafing pupulation, yet leaving one half the world à wild and uncultivated defert, are fubborn truths which forced that infamoully famous Atheif Lucre tius, to acknowiledge that the prefent world was not of remote antiquity. He thought that other Poets would have fung wars previous to, that of Thebes or Troy, at leaft that fome monumente of that remote antiquity would be frund. It has alfo been flewn that Mofes didatref his legation by miraculnus powers; that the prodigies recorded in his Books could not be fuppofed; that the great number of authentic copies given by his order to all Elders and Levites, who were the minifters of the eftablifhed worthip, with exprefs directions to have them read before all the people, at the great feftival of the Tabernacles, precluded even a poffibility of interpolation. It is therefore, certain that the truths comained in thefe books are divincly revealed, or elfe that God by an act of his alnnighty power has attefted a falfehood, a blafphemy fo impious, fo darirg, that even the Deift, impious as he is, dare not affert it.

We fhall now proceed to ftate a fecond proofy as yet more forcible, of the zuthenticity of the Seriptures, that is, the prophecies contained in them, which were neither fuppofed nor imferted after the accomplithment, and of the accomplifhment of which

## - there is the moft incontrovertible evidence.

We have already remarked that contingent events depending on the concurrence of innumerable caufes poffeffing a power of felf-determination, are not within the reach of limited intelligence; to forefee and foretell fuch events with the utmof certainty is the exclufive prerogative of the Divinity. Conjectural powers
power: intellig gent e whofe nounce many acconp manife
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powers increafe in proportion to the fagacity of the intelligence; But all conjectures int refpect to contingent events are uncertain. There is but God only, whofe fagacity is infinite, and who of courfe pronounces with unerring certainty. That there are many fuch events forefeen, and foretold, and of the accomplifhenent of which there can be no doubty is manifen to every man, who reads the Scriptures.

Thus that Noah forefaw the Deluge is evident from his building the Ark and preparing for it'; that the patriarch Jacob forefaw that Ephraim the younger fon of Jofeph would give his name to one of the Tribes, and to a more numerous Tribe than his elder brother Manaffes, is equally certain from his announcing it when they were children, of this event the whole Jewifh nation are witneffes; that Jofeph forefaw that feven years of famine would fucceed feven years of abundance is incontrovertible, from his being taken out of a prifon in a ftrange country-placed at the head of the State, and preparing for the famine in the abundant years, of this the Egyptians had, to the deftruction of their empire, the moft unequivocal proof, that is, a tax of one fifth of the produce impofed on all the lands, excluding alf the lands appropriated to their Priefts, who were fed from the King's granaries during the famine, and did not fell theirlands to the Crown, as the other landholders did; he alfo forefaw that God would withdraw the defcendants of his father from Egypt, and conduct them into the land which lee had promifed to their anceltors, or he would not have adjured them to take lis bones with them.

Mofes forefaw and foretold the mary calamities of Egypt ; the deftruction of Pharaoh and his army : thefe were facts ref the moft public nature, to which both nations were witneffes; he foretold, Dent.

$$
\mathfrak{V} \quad 17 \mathrm{th}
$$

$17^{\text {th }}$, that the Children of Ifrael would get poffe ffion of the land of Canaan, and in the courfe of time elect a King. Of this event there is no doubt; he foretold that they and their King would be carried into captivity, in confequence of their difobedience to the Jaws which he eftablifhed. This alfo happened.

Jofhua foretold that, the man, who would rebuild fericho, would be aecurfed, that he would lofe his fint born in laying the foundation and his youngeft fon in erecting the gates: Jos. 4th Chap. This event took place nany ages after, when Hielo of Gethel re-built that city he loft Abiram his firf born in laying the foundation, and Segub his youngett in placing the gates, "according to the word of God; by his fervant Jofhua,", faid the writer 3d Book of Kings, 16 th Chap

The writer pafles unnoticed many other events which were foretold, and cites no fact but fuch as were of fuch public notoriety that not even the fhadow of doubt can fall on them, nor any thing, which has the appearance of a difficulty, be ftated againft them: In like manner in the Books of the Prophets are events foretold, againt the accomplithment of which no objection can lie : haias; Chap. 5 th, foretells the deftruction of the Jews, and enumerates the crimes, which caufed the calamity ; Chap. 7th he foretold that the Kings of Syria and Ifrael would not take Jerufalem, and added that in fixty five years Ephraim would ceafe tabe a people, which prophecy was fulfilled by Sennacherib fencting a new colony to Samaria, th B. of Kings, 17 th Chap. 24 th $v$.

The deffruction of Babylon by the Medes he foretold, and its devaltation for ever, Chap. 13th, the ruin of Moab, Chap. ${ }^{15}$ th, the devaftation of Tyre during the face of feventy years and its ec-eftablifhment after, Chap. 2 ad.

He added added, had the dors, of his $c$ King of no chil pourtie wars th him, h city and ftate, C filence a tion of ment an there ca

Jercm accordin verely. moft re inhabita lon, and feventy venty $y$ would Lord) I and Neb its inhab King of 1 ty years lon, and land of $t$ lafting fo phecy th Book of
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He told the King of Juda, Ezechias, that God had added fifteen years to his life, Chap. $3^{8 \text { th, }}$, and he added, Chap. 39th, that all the treafures which he had thewn through vanity to the Babylonian ambardors, would be carried into Babylon, and that fome of his children would be eunuchs in the palace of the King of Babylon, though at that time Ezechias had no child, this was not the language of a flattering pourtier. He fortetold the fuccefs of Cyrus in his wars two hundred years before his birth, and named him, he alfo added that Cyrus would re-build the city and the temple, which were then in a fluurifhing ftate, Chap. $44^{\text {th }}$ and 45 th. The writer paffes in filence all thefe prophecies againft which the imagina. tion of the Deift may offer the fhadow of an argument and fimply adduces thefe, of the event of which there can be no poffible doubt.
Jeremias foretold many calamities, which happened according to his word, and for which he fuffered feverely. The writer gives an inftance, but one the moft remarkable, Chap. 25th. He foretuld that the inhabitants of Juda would be tranfported into Babylon, and ferve the Babylonians during the fpace of feventy years, that at the expiration of thefe feventy years God would judge Babylon and that it would be deftroyed for ever. "Behold (faith the Lord) I will fend and affume the kindred of the north and Nebuchodonofor my fervant on this land and on its inhabitants, . . . . . and thefe nations fhall ferve the King of Babylon 〔eventy years, and when thefe feventy years are expired I will vifit on the King of Baby. lon, and on that nation, their iniquity, and on thic land of the Chaldeans, and I will make them an everlafting folitude.". Of the accomplifhment of this prophecy there can be no doubt, the writer of the 4 th Book of Kings gives a particular defcription of the
event.
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event, 2 5th Chap. and the author of Chronizles concludes thus, v. 17 th, " he brought on them the King of the Chaldeans ....... and he transferred the trea: fures of the temple, of the King, and of the Princes, into Babylon, the enemy burned the Houfe of God and deftroyed the wall of Jerufalem . . . . . If any man efcaped the fword, he was carried into Babylon, and ferved the King and his -fons until the King of Perfia reigned . . . . . until the feventy years were fulfilled in the firft year of Cyrus, King of Ferfia . . . . he ordered to be proclaimed in his whole kingdom, even by writing, thus faith Cyrus, King of the Perfians : the Lord God of Heaven gave me all the kingdoms of the earth, and he commanded me to build him a houfe in Jerufalcm." . . . . . fulfilling at the fame time the prophecies of Ifaias and Jeremias.

What thadow of objection can imagination fuggeft againft the prophecies of Daniel ? The events, which he foretold were of the utmoft importance to the whole civilized world. Chap. 2, he tells Nebuchodo. nofor the vifion which he had forgotten, and all the events which were fignified in it. Chap. 4th, he told the King the fevere fentence which was paffed againft him, and the execution of which fhortly after fulJowed. Chap. $5^{\text {th }}$, he explained to Baltaffar a more dreadful fentence, which was written in myferious characters on the wall, announcing the death of that unfortunate prince, and the deftruction of his empire the faune night. Zenophon's account of that event agrees perfectly with the Prophet's." "Baltaffar," fays the Prophet made a grear feaft for his nobles, a thoufand, and they drank each man according to his age . . . . . . . they drank wine and praifed their geds; Fold and filver, brafs and iron, wood and ftorie, ..... "when," faid the hifeorian, "Cyrus heard that there Waba great ícitivai in Batyion, anci that ail tie Batyo
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iides con. the King the trea: e Princes, fe of God any man ylon, and g of Perfia fulfilled in e ordered , even by fians: the gdoms of ild him a fame time
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Ioniaus drank and eat the whole night long, as foon as it was dark he opened the channels which were previoully cut near to the river, and turned the swater into the marfh Nictiris, Thus Babylon fell. in a drunken fit. The accounts of both writers coincide, Chap. Sth, he relates a vifion and explains it : ". The ram . \& *io. is the Kizg of the Medes and Perfians, and the goat the Kiogr of the Greeks, the great horn between is eyes the firft King (Alexander) and the fuur horns which rote when the firt was broken four Kings of the fame nation but not in his firength." Jofephus the hiftorian fays that when Alexander faw this prophecy in which he himfelf was fo clearly foretold, and his conquelt of the Medes and Perfians under the figure of the gnat breaking the ram's two horns, and trampling on the body, he fpared the city of Jerufalem, which he intended to have deftroyed. And Chap. rith, Daniel feems to write a hiltofy of fucceeding Princes, and their wars, which the events have literally verified, his account is fo minute, and correct, that fome Heathen Philo. fophers preffed by the primitive Chriftians on the fubject, finding it impoffible to decline the force of paniel's authority, pretended that the hiftory had been fuppofed by the Chriftians, and written after the events had happened. However the Jews, who are preffed by Daniel's authority more forcibly than the Heathens, never accufed the Chriftians of any fuppofition or interpolation.

The Prophet Ezekiel not only foretold events in the mont fimple manner, but his very actions were expreffive of the events. Chap. 12 th, v. 7 , "I done," fays the Prophet, " as God commanded me ; I produced my veffels as a man going inen captivity by day, and in the cvening, I made an opening in the 4. . .tith my land, and I went forth in the dark,
and was carried on men's fhoulders in their fight. 4 ...... . roth v , "fay to them, thus faith the Lord God of Ifrael, this burden is on the Prince, who is in Jerufalem, and on the whole Houfe of Ifrael, who is in the midf of them. . . . as I have done, fo will be done to them; they will remove from their dwellings and go into captivity, and the Prince, who is in the midtt of them, fhall be carried on thoulders," he fhall go forth in the dark, they thall dig through the wall to bring him out, his face thali be covered that lie may not fee the ground with his eyes, and will fpread my net over him and he fhall be taker in my net, and I will bring him to Babylon into the land of the Chaldeans, and he thall not fee it, and there he fhall die.". The Prophet is more explicit if poffible, in the 17 th Chap. he there affigns the :ca. fon why the King of Babylon would put out Sedecias's eyes, becaufe he was to break his oath of fide. lity. We knaw from the writer of Chronicles
diction coincis fpiric of the
contra filence, biaffed were the fau under fhifts
Fron have be that he confide pened $f_{2} w$ an why himfelf perfon, terminating lis work that this prophecy was literally fulfilled. In the 2gth Chap. is foretold the deftruc: tion of Egypt during the fpace of forty years, and after that time its rectablifhment, but never in its former power or fplendor. Of the truth of this pro:piecy we ourfelves are witneffes. In the 35 th Chap. he announces the perpetual deftruction of the Idumoeans, which happened accordingly.

A number of prophecizs, againft which no objection can be ftated, are paffed in filence, they all have the fame tendency, that is, to authenticate the miffion of the Prophets, and enforce obedience to the law of God, In theí Prophets we find men born at different periods during a face of many centuries, and in different countries, differirg in their orcupations, placed in the different ranges of life from the Prince on the men ; felf in his com ktows the fpi him, $h$ at the ment which authen tain th: now, as voice ; and Lit grecing

All t
diction in their writings; no inconfiftency, a jerfect: coincidence in all, evidently fhewing that the fame firit animated them all. Compare this agreement of the Prophets to the difputes, the diffentions, the contradictions of Philofophers ancient and modern ; filence, prejudice, pafion and party fpirit confult un-: biaffed reafon, and it will tell you that the Prophets: were directed by the firit of truth, which is one and the fame in all times, and places; and the Philofophers under the influence of the fpirit of error; which fhifts with every wind.
From fome texts in the Pentateuch; which appear to have been written after Mofes's death, it was inferred that he was not the author of that werk; but if it be confidered that Mofes foretold many things which hap. pened after his death, this difficulty vanillies; he forefaw and foretold his death, and the manner of his deatli, why not his funeral ? It is true that Mofes fpeaks of himfelf in the courfe of the work as of an indifferent: perfon, and even fays that he was the moft meek of men ; it is not unufual for a writer to fpeak of himfelf in the third perfon : Cefar gives us a fpecimen in his commentaries. As to the praife which Mofes bethows on himfelf it was not from himfelf, but from the firit of truth, which influenced and directed him, he in the fame fumple manner tells his infideliiy at the waters of Meribah, and its confouent punifhment death, before he entered the land of promife, which he fo ardently defired. Never was book more authentic than the Pentateuch, nor author more certain than Mofes : the whole Jewifh nation atteft it now, as they did at all times without a diffentiont voice ; their different fects of Pharifess, and Sadu : es and Libertines, Jews and Samaritans, though difi. grecing in every thing elfe, all agree in this.

All the books, which have been written pofterior
to the Pentateuch aforibe it to Moles: God fays to Jothua, Ch. "f take courage; and be very valiant to obferve, and tof futh the whold hw, which my fervant Mofes has commanded thee .... . 0. . . let not the book of this law depart from thy mouth, and thou thalt meditate on it day and night, that thou mayeft obferve and do all things written in it: The law was therefore written before Jofhua took the command of the Children of Ifrael, and Moles had delitered it. Four liundred and thirty eight years after, David immediately before his death fays to his fon Solo. mon, 3 d. B. of K. Ch. 12. v. 3 , "take courage, and be a man, that you may obferve the obfervanees of the Lord your God, that you may walk in his ways and keep his ceremonies, his precepts, his judg ments, his teflimonies as is written in the law of Mofes." The writer of the 4 th B. of K. Chap. 2., enumerating the crimes of Mandfes, fays, that ' he placed an idol of the grove, which he had made in the temple of the Lord, of which the Lord had faid to David and to Solomon his fen, in this temple and in Jerufalem, which $I$ have chofen out of all the tribes of Ifrael I will put my name for ever; and I will not remove the foot of Ifrael from this land, which I gave to their fathers, only if they will obferve to do all, which I have commanded them according to the law, which my fervant Mofes commanded them.' The writer of the 2d. B. of Chronicles, fpeaking of the celebrated Paffover, which was obferved in Jofias's raign, fays that the ceremonies were oblerved " as is written in the book of Mofes."

In the Ift Efdras, Chap. 3d, it is faid, "that they (the Jews) built the Altar of the God of Ifrael, that they might difer bolocay/fs upon it, as is written in the law of Mofes, the man of God." It is ufelefs to cite more: all the writers of the Old Teftament as weil
as all t Pentateu the Jewi felves: procofs o Phenecia tiquities the poffi
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4s all the writers of the New Teftament afcribe the Pentateuch to Mofes: The Heathen authors afcribe the Jewith religion to Mofes as do the Jews themfelves : Jorephus againft Appion, Book, ift, adduces procifs of the Jewith antiquity from the writings of Phenecians, Hgyptians and Grecks ; and in his Antiquities of the Jews he eftablithes that truth beyond the poffibility of doubt.

Juftin, the martyr, in his exhortation to the Greeks, proves to them, from the writing s of their anceftors, that Mofes was far more dincient than their fages, their hiftorians, their philofophers or legiflators. No. Ip, he fays, thefe things, $O$ Greeks, writers ftrangers to our religion, have written concerning the antiquity of Mofes, and they faid that they had them from the Egpytian priefte amongit whom Mofes was born.

Tatian, in his oration againt the Greeks, fays, No. 26, "let H mer be prefent at the Trujan war, and let him have fought with Agamemnon, or let him, if any man defires it, have lived before letters are faid to be found, it is yet manifeft that Mofes was many years prior to the deftruction of Troy, as he flourifhed long before the building of that city, as witneffes of this truth 1 flall adduce Chaldeans, Phenecians and Egyptians. He proceeds to cite thefe authors, Nos. $3^{6,} 37,3^{8}$, and No. 41, Thews that Mofes is more ancient than all the writers known to us before Homer.

Theophilus, in his third book to Autolicus, No. 20 and 21, fhews the antiquity of Mofes from the writing of Manethon, a famous Egyptian prieft, which were then extant, but are now lof. "Though," fays Thecphilus, " he tells many fabulous sales in favour of the Egyptians, and, uttered blafphemies againft Mofes, and the Hebrews who fcllowed him.

Yet from his account it appears that they wére hine lundred years before the Trojan war.
Origencs in bis menorable work againto Celfus the Heathen philofopher, writes thus, B. I, No. 16, * I wonder that Celfus, who ranks the Odryfes, the Samothracians, the Hyperborean Clafini ationgft the inoft wifo, and ancient nations, does not deign to give the Jews a place either amongt wife, or ancient people, though there be many Egyptians, Plenecians and Greeks, who atteft their antiquity. It would be ufelefs to adduce thefe writers whom any perfori may fee in Jofephius' two books of the Antiquities of the Jews : there many are collected by the author, who give teftimony of the antiquity of the Jews. The book of the younger Tatian againft the Gentiles is in every body's hands; in it he, with great cru: dition, adduces the hiftorians who atteft the antiquity of Moles and the Jews. It is therefore certain that Celfus has no regard to truth, that he is influenced by a fpiteful batred to the Chritian religion, which derives from the Jews." A that srould imagine that this intelligent triter reafoned againft a modern philofophift. There is lowever this difference between Celfu's and our niodern fcribhlers, that Celfus was deeply verfed in all the fciences taught in the Heathen fchools; and ofiered in defence of error the plaufible reafons, which his imagination furnifled ; they on the contrary, ignorant in the extreme, are reduced to colle? the fragments of his works which yetreinain. Thefe they obtrude on the uninformed as the fruits of their deep refearches into antiquity.

Men of real felence minutely difcuffing every ex. preflion of the Pertateuch, in order to remove that 'obfcurity, in which events of remote antiquity muft appear imnerfed to the generality of readers, have indirectly chabled our Sciolifts to add to thele frage
ments
ments authent faid in I fes fpok inferred after the original fage, br is detert ately ad fite Supt jel, and dernefs: anceftors peated. As book of fubjoinec fucceedin of himife "thefe a Jordan," though 1 ginal tex
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Num. iii.
vere hine 10 Celfus , Na. 16 ryfes, the ongtt the deign to r ancient PheneciIt would ny perfori quities of e author, the Jews. Gentiles yreat cru: antiquity tain that fluenced n, which igine that dern phi. between elfus was Heáthen plaufible they on daced to h yet re. ormed as dity. cvery ex. nove that ity mult ers, have iefc fras. ments
ments fome other feeming difficulties againft the authenticity of that work: thus, for example, it is faid in Deut. i. 1, 9 there are the words which MoSes fpoke to 1 frael beyond the Jordan:" Hence it is inferred that this book was written by fome perfon after the Ifraclites had paffed the Jordan, but in the original text it is Bebeber which fignifes in the paf. fage, br oppofite the paffage of Jordan, and the fenfe is determined by the context : the author immediately adds : "in the wildernefs in the folitude oppofite Supot, the Red Sea, between Pharan, and Thopfiel, and Laban, and Chaforeth," that is in the wildernefs where Mofes had given his inftructions to the ancefors of thefe men, to whom thy were then re: peated.
As the laws, which Mofes recapitulated in the book of Deuteronomy and others, "which he then Gubjoined, were to be read on every feventh year for fucceeding generations, the facred penman fpeaking of himfelf, might with great propriety have faid, "thefe are the words, which Mofes fpoke beyond the Jordan," hence the tranflator was perfectly correct, though he did not give precifely the fenfe of the original text.
From this and fimilar expreffions fome thought Eddras author of the Pentateuch, though in his wri-: tings the contrary be manifeft :"it is faid, ift Efd. iii. 2, "Joflaua the fon of Jofedee arofe and his bre. thren the priefts $\therefore \ldots$. . and they built an altar of the God of Ifrael to offer bolocaufs on it, as it is written in the Jaw of Moffes Beiherath Mofichel, and v.' 18, they appointed Priefts in their orders, and Levites in theit turns over the works in Jerufalem, as it is written in the book of Mofes." The text fays "as the book of Mofes has written." Cbi chatiab fipher Mofcheh. This order was given by Mcfes; Num. iii. and viii.

In the sd. of Efd wiii. we read; st the seribes faid to Efdras, bring the book of the law of Mofes: Lebabi ath Sepher T Worath Mofbeb, ... .i. Aud Efdras the Prief broughe the law..... rand they read in the book, in the Jaw of the Lord, Diefepber Bothorath, diftinctly, and intelligibly. In the text fom fecbol, that is giving the fenfe of its tontents. After the captivity, few if any of the people taken from Judea reinained, their children bornin Chaldea had corrupted the language of their anceftors ; mixingit with Chalda!c terms; and phrafes, they formod the Syriac language, which they continued to fpeak to the defruction of their Republic. The Hebrew text written by Mofes many of them did not undertand, Efdras explainedit to them in the language which they underfood, but left the writentext as he found its, This appearsevidently from the many Chaldaic words in the books written by Efdras, not one of which are to be found in the Pentateuch. It is probable that many copies of the Scripture were deftroyed when the city and temple were confumed by fire, but there was an im: menfe number of copies in the hands of the Prophets, Priefts and Levites who efcaped, It was ordered by Mofes, Deut. XVII. that the King hould tranferibe the law from a copy attefted genuine by the Priefts of the Levitical Tribe. Their atteftation was fuffieient to authenticate the copy. "Many copies mult have been in their hands, nor were they confined to the Tribe of Levi : Mofes gave copies to all the heads of families, Deut. XXXI. It is not in the nature of things that fo many copies, and authentic tranfcripts, of'a book, which contained the public records of the nation, determined the rites and ceremonies of the national religion, fhould have perifhed.

Names of cities grown obfolete might have been changed with great propricty, though of this we
have t have * Ab Lot) XVII. receivi Tribe, writte after $t$ probal fount ic Cap from t Mofes and Damal dan:"

In 1 tioned ed its death, XIV.

Hebro from fome it was have feven Egypt An name a great on of fhortly the fit the pre
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have ne certainty; the names now in the sext might have precexifted: thus it is faid in Gen. XIV. 14, ©. Abraham purfued them (the men wherhad taken Lot) as fan Dan te It would appear from Jud. XVIIF that, this city, in Mofes's time called Lais, received the name of Dan from the 600 men of that Tribe, who robbed Micha of his idol If Mofes had written Lais; Dan might have been fubtituted in after times without any inconvenience. It is more probable that Mofes fpoke not of the city but of the fountain called Dan : we know from Jofephus, Lib. i Cap. 10 de Ant, that the Jordan tonk its mame from two fountains the one called for the other Dan. Mofes adds, ibid. "that Abraham overcame them; and purfued them as far as Chobah to the left of Damafcus, which place is near the fources of the Jordan:"

In like manner., Hebron which is frequently mentioned is the Pentateuch,: is thought to have received its namie from Hebron, fon to Caleb after Mofes's death, it hạ been called of old Caraith Arbe, Jofhua XIV. It is plain from the context that it was called Hebron before Caleb's! fon poffeffed it ; it was not from him therefore that it took the name, but from fome chicftain of the Canaanites. Jofoua marks that it was called originally Cariartb' Arbe, which might have been long before Mofes, as the ceity was built feven years before Tianis; the moft ancient city in Egypt, Num. XHI. 23.

An expreffion, not uncommon in Scripture, the name continues to the prefent day, feems to indicate a great lapfe of time between the event and the relation of it, yet we find it in St. Matthew who wrote Thortly after the events, which he relates: "for this the field was called Haceldama the field of blood to the prefent day, XXVII; 8. And XXVIII. 15; "t this
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was divulged among the Jews to the profent dayit In the fame manner Mofes had faid, Deut $111{ }^{14}$ that the paffeffions of Jair, fon of Mapaffes, called Havotb Yair, that is the villages of Jain, to the prefent daj, thereby fignifying that no change had taken place in the name during the laple of fome years previaus to his writing ata, ther ath - Other expreffions are found in the Pentateuch, which feem to indicate that the writer had lived af: ter the expulfion of the Canianitest thas it is faid, Gen. XII, 6, Abraham palfed over the land to the place of Sechem. The Cananite was then in the land." And XIIL to "the Canaanite and Pherizite wera then in the land. The writerif proporif undertood fays fimply that they were then effablifhed in that country, ax fo/heb Baarets, he neithet fays nor infinuates that they had been expelled; he indireetly. thews the Patriarch's faith and confidence in God, who ventured to fojourn amongt a wicked people? from whofe onormity and rapacity lie had every thing to fear.
Facts are related in the Pentateuch, which are thought to have happened afrer the death ò Mofes; lence it is inferred by fome Sciolifs that he was not the author, thus we read, Gen. XXXVI. Thefe are the Kings who reigned in Edom before the children of Ifrael had a King'" And in the XVI. of Exodos: " the Children of Ifracleat manna 40 years until they: came to the habitable land. Tliey were fupported by: this food until they came to the borders of Canazn; we know from Johua, $V$, 12, that the matina did not ", ceafe until after the death of Mofes, in the V.: 12 . onf Deut. it is faid, ": the Horrei dwelt in Seir, whom the Children of Efau expelled, and deftroyed and dwelt there, as Ifrael did in the land of their pofferfion.' "It is happen he was cienco pendin related bisidea thers 2 people land ${ }^{*}$ and th and th XXVII
Cod ders th XII. Ihe when he wor byisalu pherst fallibly bave r as if th death; stteridi lated th Sume
death Mofes' Ifrael, that tin and ele Mofes from he is ex vajabi

Long
happened efter his death, the hatieral inference is that he was divinely Inpficed a lo there is but the pref cienco oficed, whidt etrends to diftate events depending on tosilugendes. Mofes thertfore might have relatect the events adducea though tating effed after hisideath with the andid cortainty that he did thany others at more diltant perwoug, ho foretold that the people of Ifrae a fter talking poffenion of the promiced land would conftitute Kiting to reign dver themfelves! and then preferibed wis duties, Deut, x VIr that they and thetr King would be carried into captivity, Deut. XXVII. that thée wouta the a certain place chofen by - God for the building of his temple, th whict he or ders thom to offer thein facrifles not elfewhere, Deut. XII. fie afo chatged then to exterminate Amalic when 0 od would give trem reft in the country, which fe would fubject co therh, this order was executed by Batulad David It is not unufual whth the Prophersto ipeak of revents, which they know will infallibly happen, as alreaily paft. Hente Mores might have related events, which he knetw to be at hand, as if they had already happened. 1 . Thus he relates his death, the manner of his deach, and the circumftances attending it. In the fame matiner he might have related the events adduced, though it is probable that dume of them lrappened before his death : from the Ueath of Iface when ECáu tool' pofferion of Edom to Mofes's appointmert to the fuprette comiziand of Ifrael, two hundred and thirty fix years had elapfed, in that time eight Kings might have reigned in Edom, and eleven Chieftairs in differerit departinents. That Mofes was King in lfracl is manifeft not only from his exercifing regal authority, but becaufe he is exprefsly, called King in the XXXIII. 5. of Deut. ya jabi bejisboovour melek.

Long before his death the Childrent of Ifach, hat taken
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talen poffefion of the kingdems of Og and Senoa,
 paffed the land from Areers which is onithe hank of the proek Arnop, to the middla of the mounstio of Galuad/ zbefe cities I givè to Reuben sand Gad ; the temainder of Galaad, and anll Bafon ofs the kingdiond
 might therefore have faid that the Chffiden of Ifract had expelled the formier poffeffors off that land, as the children of Efau had expelled the Horrai from Seit,
Jafeph id prifon had laid to Pharaob's cup beazer that he was brought elandeftinely frem the land of the Hebrews, Gen, Xis Tliai fiadow jal foized by our philofopthifts: the land, fay they, belonged ta the Canagnites, it was called the land of Camann; This is anue of the country: at large, but the He. beews perfefed 'a part : Abraham's defcendanta were then numerous from the lands which they poffeffedi Jofeph had been folen. Add to this shat Jofeph well knew that whole country liad been promifed to the Hebrews by God the Sovereign Difpofer of all counaries. He therefore jurtiy called it the land of the Hebrews.
There are in the Pentateuch espreffions obfoure in themifolves, which ase tafily diftorted from the intended fignification. They have been minutely difo cuffed, and all feeming inconfiftencies fatisfactorily reconciled by men the moft inselligent, and of the moft profound eructition, a Toftat, a Calmet, \&ec. The malignity of a Celfus, of ani apoflate Julian, had recgurfe to the fables of a Manethon, of Ca: Che. remon; a man is furprifod to hear Tacituk affert that the ótiject of the Jewidh worlhip was an als's head, this tale hee burrowed from Appion's furipus declzniation againft the Jews, wha refufed to erect fatues to Caligula, or to fivear by his name. All thefe fables
fables ho books a by Cyril writers. collect a thefe wc to add al to dimir velation, fuality: with te him to
A mai writing good ap His Div its confe from hi: ruined impious "perfeca The correfipo have int a total. théfe b the Jew and the ted by 1 by the Pafs ove the bloo of the $I$ houre is tween $t$ the offel
fables have been folidly refuted by Jofephus, in his books againt Appion, by Origines againf Celfus, by Cyril againf Julian the apoftate, and othor early, writers. It was referved for modern Sceptics to collect all the fraguents of Heathen impiety from thefe works, in which they have been refuted, and to add all, that refleffimagination chn fuggof in order to diminift, if poffible, the force of that Divine revelation, which denourcing vengence againf fen: fuality and vanity, the Philofonhif's idols, fills him vith tetrors and anxiety in this life, and devotes him to excruciating torments in the next.
A man, famous in the annals of modern philofophy, writing to a brother Acheift, withes him fleep and a good appetite, the only Gods, faid he, whichI adore. His Divinities were ungrateful : reftefs ambition, and its confequent cares, and fatigues, had banifhed feep from his wearied eyes, and fatiety had depreved and ruined his vorticicus appetite, fo true it is that the implous man is always the victim of his crimes: "perfecutionem palfi ab ipfos facitis fuis."
${ }^{\text {T The five books of the Pentateuch are perfealy }}$ correfporident, a partial interpolation in one, would have introduced an inconfiftency with the others, and a total change was impoffible. Why fo? Becaufe in thefe books the religious rites and ceremonies of the Jewifh people, and their civil polity were defined, and the miracles recorded in them were commemorated by folemn feftivals ; their deliverance from Egypt, by the feftival of Eafter, or, as they called it, the Pafs over, " becaufe the exterminating Angel; feeing the blond of the Pafchal Lamb, affed over the houres of the Ifraelites, whilf he.llew the firf born in every houre in Egypt, a peftilence makes no diftinction between the firft born; and the fecond or third. Hence the offering of the firft born in the. Temple, and the $X$ affumption
sfumption of the whole tribe of Levi in place of ail the firft Born then in being. By what poffibility could this 'whole tribe have been feleated for the fervice of the tabernacle and the remple, and continue in that fervice until the total deffruction of the Jew. ifh republic, in commemoration of thit miracle, if no fuch prodigy had been effected? In like manner the promulgation of the law on Mount Sinai was commemorated by the foleminity of Pentecoft, and the Jews were taught to remember that their anceftors lived in tents, by the Feat of Tabetnacles. All the books of the Old Teftament pre-fuppofe the law of Mores, refer, to it, teach the fame morality, which is contained in it, though written in different ages thefe is a perfect coincidence. Copies of the Pentateuch were given to all heads of families, Deut. XXXI. They were ordered to meditate on the law, and infruct their chil: dren init, Deut. Vi. It is cited in a fpecial manner by Jofia I. III. XXIII. in the 3 Kings II. in the 4 Kings, XIV. XXIII. Edras, Vill Eccl: XXIV. and elfewhere; fuch was the zeal of the Jews in defence of this law; that they facrificed life itfelf rather than renounce it : read the hiftory of the Maccabees: we know with what relentlefs fury they perfecuted the Chinitians; who firft taught that the ceremonial part of the law was abrogated, no change therefore or interpolation was poffible during the Jewifh difpenfation; after the eftablifhment of chriftianity, if the Jews intended to interpolate or falfify, the Chriftians would not permit them.
Mofes after he had given topies of the law to the priefts and to all the heads of families, and ordered it to be read for the people every. feventh year at the feftival of Tabernacles, directed the Levites to depofit the original in the fide of the Ark of the covenant, "" it will, faid he, be therea witrefs againft you, baja fibility for the ontinue ie Jew. , if no ner the ommee Jews lived in of the efer to din it, perfect e given rere or. eir chil: iner by Kings; where; is law, nce it : with iftians; he law olation ter the ided to permit to the lered it at the depofit enant, , baja ham
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Asam leed beka, Deut. XXXI. This original by the band of Mofes, 2 Par. XXXIV. 14, which probably wae concealed from the fury of Manaffes and Amon in fome recefs of the temple, was found by Helkias tha high prieft in the reign of Jofias, 4 Kings, XXII; that Prince amazed and terrified ordered Helkias and others to inquire of the Lord by fome Prophet, if the calamities denounced againft the difopedience of the Jews in the law were then impending. It was anfwere ed by Holda the prophetefs in the affirmative, ibid From the amazement and terror of this pious Prince, it is inferred by fome, that the law had been then for: gotten, not reflecting that thefe calamities were at all times known to be denounced againft difóbedience, yet caufed no apprehenfion until the appearance of that original by the hand of Mofes, which was depofited as a witnefs againft them, from which it was very natural to conclude that the threatened evils. were then at hand. Jofias had an additional motive. to fear the impending calamities: his father Amon, and his grand father Manaffes, had publicly profefled idolatry, had erected altars to the heathen deities in the temple, and had filled the city with the blood of the faithful who fteadily adhered to the hw, 4 Kings. XXI.

To imagine that, becaufe this original in the hand-writing of. Mofes was concealed during the turbulent reigns of Manaffes and. Amon, the numberlefs copies and authentic tranfcripts in the hands of the Priefts, of the Prophets, of the heads of families in the different cities and towns of Judea, were all deftroyed, is a mere groundlefs conjecture. We know that Jofias was himfelf inftructed in the haw before he faw this original : it is faid of him, 2. Par. XXXIV. That in the eighth year of his reign, the fixteenth of his age, he began co fecis the God of his father David, and
and the writer of the 4 th of Kings, fays, XXII. That he walked in all the ways of his father David, he declined noither to the right nor the left," he mult have been well infructed in that law, which he fo feruptiouily obletved from the 8th year of his reign to the 18 th when the autograph of Mofes was found in the temple, ibid.

It is faid of Joas, that, at his inauguration, the tefimony, that is, the Book of the Lav, was put into his hands when he was anointed by the High Prieft Joiada, 4.K. XI. 13. This ceremony mult have been obferved at the inauguration of all their Kings; as it was exprefsly commanded, Deit: XVII; By this law the King was obliged to tranfcribe the whole of Deuteronomy from a copy delivered to him by the High Prieft, though fame of their Kings may well be fuppofed not to comply with the precept, the greater number did, hence the law could not be forgotten in the court, much léfs in the temple, and the public fchools, where it was diligently taught and explained by the Jewifh Priefts and Doctors. During the long reign of Ezechias the Jaw was frictly obferved, and though his immediate fucceffor Manaffes, in the early part of his reign, had introduced idols into the temple, and perfecuted the faithful, yet after his captivity he removed them, and fteadily perfevered in the obfervance of the law to his death, 2. Par. XXXIII. His fucceffor Amon reigned but two years, during which time, however well difpofed, he could not obliterate the law. Jofias's reign was long. From his death to the invafion of Nabuchonofor but four years elapfed; this thort fpace, and the whole time of the captivity, which followed, was celebrated by the writings and inftructions of the great Prophets Jeremias, Ezechiel, Daniel, Habaccuc, \&c: who not only obferved the law of Mofes, and preferved that, and the writings of
former bear the Dani of Baby fent Zou Jofedee, and otl falem, il well ve where his bret of Salat of the written the rea from th their d is the $c$ record Prieft neolog the $F$ births to thin of the in the Itroyed $\ln 2$ and $Z$ ple. did th deceflc Shortly Jews. by rab the Sc
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6. That vid, he e mult the fo reign found
he reffiinto his Joiada, een obs, as it his law $f$ Deule High fuppo rinumin the fchools, 1 by the greign though part of ple,' and he re-ébferI. His g which literate leath to lapfed ; ptivity, ngs and zechiel, the law tings of former
former prophets, but added to them the books which bear their names.

Danic was yet living when Cyrus took poffeflion of Babylon, Dan. V. Who in the firlt year of his reigh fent Zorobabel fon of Salathiel, and Jofua the fon of Jofedee, the high prief. with all the Priefts, Levites and others, who were defirous of returning to Jeryfalem, in order ta build the temple That thefe were well verfed in the law we know from, I Efd. III. 2, where it is faid, "and Jofua the fon of Jofedee, and bis brethren the Priefts, flood up, and Zorababel fon of Salathiel and his brethren, and they built an altar of the God of Ifrael, to offer on it holccaufts, as is written in the law of Mofes the man of God." That the records of the nation were regularly kept is plain from this, that fome, who afcended could not prove their defcent ; and that the fons of the Priefts, that is the children of tiobai, who could not produce the record of their geneology were difmiffed from the Priethood: "They fought the writing of their geneology, and did not find it, and were rejected from the Friefthood." Efd. II. 62. If the regiftry of births and marriages was kept, it is a wild conjecture to think that the book of the law, the public record of the whole nation, was loft, or that the friptures, in the hands of both Priefts and Prophets, were deItroyed.
In Zorobabel's time we find the Prophets Aggeus and Zacharias inftructing and encouraging the people. Thefe men did not lofe fight of the law, nor did they permit the prophetic writings of their predeceflors to be forgotten, deftroyed or interpolated; Thortly after Efdras was appointed to conduct the Jews. He was thought by fome good men, deceived by rabbinical fables, to have reinftated the whole of the Scriptures; there might have been inaccuracies in different
different coples through the neglect, inadverterice at ignorance of tranfcribers, which he corrected; and he is thought, upon good grounds, to have fubftituted the Chaldaic to the primitive Hebrew characters, in order to break the intercourfe between the Jews and Samaritans, who always retained the old Hebrew. character in the Pentateuch, the only part of the Scriptures in their hands; bưt the Scriptures were in the hands of the Rriefts, the natural guardians, and keepers of right of thefe public recards before his time, in his time, and after it, and they will continue in the hands of their fucceffors ia office, until the end of time.
The authenticity of the Old Law received additional force from the New : the latter being the completion and perfection of the former. All the Mofaic rites and ceremories were figurative of the, Chriftian difpenfa. tion. It was promifed by all the Prophets, the mof remarkable event, immediately preceding the eftablifhment announced by the patriarch Jacob, Gen. $\bar{X} L I$. 10. The time determined by Daniel, IX, 24. The inftitution, therefore, literally verifying thefe prophecies, fhews them to have been divinely infired, it is therefore more than fufficient to filence impiety, if impiety, the refult of ignorance, vanity and ferfuality, could be filent.
-The revelation contained in the books of the New Teftament, if confidered attentively, and difpaftion. ately, will appear of divine original. The fimplicity of its fyle invites and charms, whilt the fublimity of its truths, the perfection of its maxims, the obfcurity of its myfteries, aftonifh the true Philofopher; it intelligibly inftructs him all his duties, whilf it teaches him to captivate his underfanding, and fix the inconftancy of his will; it fhews him the neceffity of confining his realon to objects within his fphere ${ }_{3}$
and the danger of launching out into the regions of imagination in purfuit of difcoveries, which reafon cannot make.
In this revelation the Philofopher finde, and the child with equal certainty and eafe, that God is the Creator of all things, wifible and in vifible : all things were made by him, John i. that he alone poffeffes immorfality from the neceffity of his nature, that to the created intelligence he is incomprehenfible: "who alone poffeffes immortality and dwells in inacceffible light, ${ }^{\text {T }}$, 1 fl . Tim. VI. 16 ; that his power and divinity is eternal, Romi. i. 20 ; that he is perfectly immutable in his decrees as in his nature : with whom there is no change nor fhadow of vicifititude, James i. I7, that he is perfectly free and totally independent on his creatures:" who was his counfellor? Or who hath given to him previouly, and a recompence fhall be made ? From him, and by him, and to him are all things," Rom. XI. 35,36 , That as firft beginning and laft end he is alfo the 8overeign Arbiter of all his creatures, difpofing of them according to his will: " who worketh all things according to the counfel of his will, Ephi i- is ; that his providence fuperintends and direqts the univerfe, fo that nothing can happen without his immediate direction :- a fparrow is not forgotten by him, Luke xii. 6. He feeds the birds of the air, ibid. Not one of them falls to the ground but by his order, Matt. x. 29. The hairs of our heads are numbered by him, and under his infpection, ibid. ; that he alone is poffefled of immenfity : " in him we live, and are moved, and exift," Acts XVII. 28; that his mercy knows no bounds; hence the Apofle calls him Father of Mercies, 2. Cor. i. 3 : "be you merciful as your father is merciful,". Luke vi. $3,6:$ "for the Lord is merciful and compafionate," James v. is ; "that he
is juft and true in allhis ways t of thy waye are juf and true, King of $A$ ges, Rove XV, that he is the avenger of crimes : " ${ }^{6}$ vengeance is mine and L will repay," Rom. XII. 19 :" the Lord knowe how to deliver the godis fiom temptations but 10 refgrve the unjuft to the day of judgmerit toibe tormeated, " 2d Pet. ii. 9 , In a word, that he is polfefled of all pert fection. This revelation after having giver the mof fublime idea of God, which the mind oan conceive, fates mhn's origitial dignity, and defination; then add the fotirce of all the calamities, to which he is fubject in his faflen fate; the prevarication of out firf parents : by one man fif entered into the world, and by fin death; Rom. v. +2 , hence ; that Innumerable train of confequent evils, which termi, nate in that greateft of all evils death. Thus the unlearned find, what the Heathen Philofophors Gought in vain, the caufe of that viotent inclination to fenfible objects, the emptinefs and vanity of which; though known to the feathens was not fufficient to check the ardour of their wild purfuits. In this, however, they were more excufable than their fucceffors, our modern Sciolifts, of whom it cannot be faid that they did not know, but that perverfe obfinzcy, and unbridled fenfuality has effaced from their minds a truth which they muft have knows.

If it be neceflary to know the fource of our woes, it is of yet greater confequence to know the remedy; in this revelation we find it the boundlefs mercy, and inexpreffible goodnefs of God; ${ }^{2}$ bas given us a Redeemer, who at the expence of his blood has reconciled us. From this revelation we know that his fatisfaction was full and fufficient; that when applied to us by faith, and the facraments of his inftitution, it perfeetly reinftates us ; that we may have recourle to in if neceflary, more than onee in the
courfe of life homage whic they who ad tiuth, Jotin thy God, wit foul, and w mind:" LuEe fhalt love t? ference to év this precept, cepts, be ext fifthle, and $t$ ciples of realo proportion'ed and our grat God is in $h$ him, and at exiftence, añ owe the hom underttandif fefs. To d great beyon which will beauty in its lation denoui eternal exclu ed with othe and enorm may be judg which alfo y refay affixi you, who the Lord Jel the Angels punifhment do not obe
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courfe of life. From this revelation we know the homage which God exats: "God is a Spirit, and they who adore him muif adore him in fpirit and tiuth, Johin IV. 24. "Thoi fhalt fove the Lord, thy God, with thy whole heart, and with thy whole fout, and with all thy frength, and with all thy mind," Lake X. iy," that is, in two words : thou flale love the Lord thy God fincerely, andin pre: ference to every thing which is not Cod. Though this precept, which radically includes all other precepts, be extreffiery dificult, yet the reafon is irrefifttbe, and the prêcept is indifpenfible on the principles of redifon : but love to any object ought to be proportionied to the goodnefs of the object in itflelf, and our grattude proportioned to favours received. God is in himfelf the fource of all goodnefs; from him, and at his will, we hold all, tha' we poffefs, our exifterce, and its continurince; to him, therefore, we owe the homage of our fouls and bodies, of our undertanding, our will, of all that we porfefis To our obedience he promifes 2 reward great beyond meafure : that is, the light of glory. which will fhew us truth in itfelf, goodnets and beauty in its fource; againft difobedience this revelation denoutices the moft terrific fentence, that is an eternal extlufion trom this light of glory accompani. ed with other torments proportioned to the number and enormity of tranifreffions, That you may be judged worthy of the kingdom of God, for which alfo you fuffer, feeing it is juff with God to refay afflition to thofe, who opprefs you, and to you, who jre opprefled relaxation with us, when the Löd Jefius fliall be revealed from Heaven with the Angels of his power in a flame of fre, giving punilhment to thofe, who know not God, and who do not obey the gofpet of out Lord Jefus Chtith,
who Thall fuffer eternal pains in deftruction from the face of the lord, and from the glory of his power," 2. Thefs. I. 5 . and feq.

To create man's confidence, it reprefents chis our God fo great, fo powerful, fo rich in mercy, as an in. dulgent father: : our father who art in Heaven, Matt. vi. 9. "fee the grace which the father has given us, that we fhould be called the ciildren of God.....we are now the children of God," John. iii. 1, 2.

To engage us to have recourfe to him, it promifes that whatever weafk conducing to our welfare, now and hereafter, he will grant it, "whatever you alk the father in my name, that will I do," John xiv, 13 .

To prevent defpair when paffion overpowers, or the tempter feduces, it promifes pardon to fincere re. pentance, nor does it confine this grace to any limited number of transgrefions, or to any limited num. ber of times, "whofe fins you forgive they are forgiv. en,' John xx. 23.

The incertainty of the term of accompt, is propo. fed as a check to prefumption : "Be you then alfo ready, for at what hour you think not the fon of man will come," Luke xii. 40 .

In this revelation we find all the perfections which human reafon, neither warped by prejudice, nor clouded by paffion, may difcover, and others to which reafon unaffited by a fuperior light cannot extend, we find all the duties which thefe perfections impofe, and alfo all the relative duties, which man's place in the creation, and his original defination, affign him. As a child of God and heir to his Ningdom, he is ordered to perfift in the exercife of all thefe virtues, which adorn the foul, and give it a diftant refem. blance to its heavenly father, univerfal benevolence without diftinction of friends or enemies: "thou Ahalt love thy neighbour as thyfelf," Matt. xix. 19;
"Loveyour e blefs thofe whi calumniate yo the moft high, and to the wic merciful becaul ty and meekne and humble of

In this quali enjoined, whic peace with all no man will fee particular man from its nature from the inheri
In this revela relative duties, as a fubject, as a hufband, as every fex, eve conduct, which It is true our fci its maxims ; hig of the laws. The to the atrocity the highway-ma of the Gofpel ar ty difregards the they would ceafe of holinefs, worth thor ; they alfo teries. In this th teries that of the not more difficul three perfons the fiff and in all its
"Loveyour enemies, do good to th ore who hate you, blef thofe who curfe you, and pray for thofe who clumniate you...nnd you thall be the children of the mof high, for he is beneficent to the unthankful and to the wicked," Luke vi. 33...Mercy: "Be you merciful becaufe your father is merciful, ${ }^{\prime} 36$. Humility and meeknefs: "Learn from me for I am meels and humble of heart," Matt. xi. 29.
In this quality of child of God fanctity is fricty enjoined, which excludes every poffible vice: "feets peace with all men, and fanctification, without which no man will fee God," Hc3. xii, 14. Chaflity is in 2 particular manner enjoined: "the oppofite vice being from its nature incompatible with fanctity excludes from the inheritance of the children ofGod," 1 .Cor.vi.
In this revelation man is taught his abfolute, and relative duties, in every fituation of life; as a prince, as a fubject, as a magiftrate, a citizen, as a father, a hufband, as a free man, a bondman ; every age, every fex, every range of life, find in it rules of conduct, which extort the approbation of impiety. It is true our fciolifts complain of the rigid feverity of its maxims ; highway-men complain of the feverity of the laws. The laws, which proportion punifhments to the atrocity of crimes are not the lefs wife though the highway-man may think otherwife; the maxims of the Gofpel are not the lefs venerable becaufe impiety difregards them : if they countenanced impiety, they would ceafe to be what they are, that is, maxims. of holinefs, worthy the fanctity and wifdom of their author ; they alfo complain of the obfcurity of its mysteries. In this they fhew their ignorance : of all mys. teries that of the Trinity is the moft obfcure ; yet ${ }^{2}$ tis not more difficult to conceive the divine nature in three perfons than in one : the divine nature is in itfiff and in all its attributes impenetrable to the crea-
ted underfanding. It is not more fo in three perfons than in one: the nan who pretends to meafure the fave to be immenfity of the divinity by his own limited un, derfinding expofes both his pride and ignorance at the fane time.
From leme expreflions in the infpired writings, which intimate that God extends his mercy ta fome, whilt he exercifes the feverity of his juftice on others; that he feems defirous of faving fome, not others; that he confers extraordinary favors on fome, and fends affictions and calamities to others, the fpirit of error pretends to concludeagainf the authenticity of the work, fuch partiality being, fay its abettors, incon. fiftent with juftice. It has been already obferved that juftice does not exelude clemency; that God is not faid to be foverciggly juft becaufe he never pardons, but becaufe he is friely obervant of the rules of juftice in his judgments: he never condemns the innocent, nor does the punifhment, which he infiads on the delinquent, exceed the malice of the offence. If on condition of fincere repentance he forgives an offender, it is an att of mercy, from which none are excluded; but thefe, who exclude themfelves. The obftinate delinquent, and fincere penitent are not ¢qually entitled to mercy: clemency pleads for the qne, while juxtice claims the other. In this there is nothing which reafon does not approve.
That God defires to fave fome, not others, is not true : he fincerely defires to fave all, as is exprefily marked in the Scriptures: St. Paul directs his difciple Tinothy to have prayers and fupplications offered up for all men: " for this is good and acceptable, in the fight of God our Saviour, who wills all men to be faved," 1, Tim. II. 3-4. Why then are not all men laved, fince God's will is irrefifitibe? God's abfolute will is irrefiftible ; but he does not abolutely will to
ancer
whick nothi

Th true, diftri) injuft no tit mani perfe ftowi his paft i or pe whic he fe reftra merc morf
iniqu
torm
num
W
adeg
bute
rect
fequ
bein
vey
of $t$
fanc
mon
and
appr
ree perfons reafure the imited un sorance at writings ta fome, on others; t others ; ome, and ef firit of enticity of rs, incon. erved that God is not p pardons, e rules of lemns the he inflicts offence. rgives an none are ves. The are not ds for the is there is.
rs , is not exprefsly is difciple offered up ble, in the nen to be thall men 3 abfolute ly will to fave
fave men againf their will ; he fincerely defires them to be faved, if the will co operate, with the affit? ance which he offers, and have recourfe to the means which he has inflituted for that end. There is nothing morereafonable.

That he confers extraordinary favours on fome is true, that he aflicts others is equally true. In the difribution of extraurdinary favours there can be no injuftice, no acceptation of perfons: where there is no title there can be no claim. In this dififibution he manifefts the riches of his magnificence, and his perfect independence on his creatures, liberally beftowing favours without merit, or title, according to his will. If he afflicts the juft man, it is to chaftife paft iniquity, or to prevent forefeen tranfgreflions, or perhaps to difengage his affections from a life, in which there is nothing but emptinefs and vanity; if he fends calamities to the impious, it is to punifh, or reftrain evil, righty confidered it is rather an act of, mercy than of juftice. The untimely end of a remorfelers Tyrant prevents him from adding to his iniquity, and thereby encreafing the meafure of his torments, which Divine juftice will proportion to the number and enormity of his exceffes.
Words being the figns of ideas, as we can have no adequate idea of the Divinity, or of the Divine attributes, we can have no terms to exprefs them correctly. From this limitation of, our ideas, and confequent defect in our language, the terms. in ufe being appropriated to objects, which we know, convey our thoughts, but are not fufficiently expreffive of the Divine nature ; hence the neceffity of underfanding all expreflions applied to the Divinity in the moft perfect fenfe, and excluding every imperfection and limitation which the expreftion applied to its appropriate object may convey. This detect in
language has introduced the neceflity of thaving recourle to metaphorical exprefions, thefe are mali tiplied for ornament, as well as ufe, in all languages, more particularly amongt the Oriental. The Scriptures abound with frong metaptions, thereby fupplying the ative defect of language, and conCeying an idea of the magnificence and power of God, which though not commenfurate with either, is the utmoft effort of the human mind In thefe metaphorical expreffions, the different paffions of anger, of jealoufy, of indignation, of repentance, \&e. are afcribed to the Divinity, by which no more is fignified, or intended, but that God's conduet to the obedient, or difobedient, appears fuch as ours, in fimilar circumftances, under the influence of the 0 paffions, which are incompatible with the Divine nature, which of courfe he cannot feel.

Jgnorance only can miftale thefe metaphorical ex- * preflions, which afcribe hands, eyes, oce. to the Divinity: when, in common difcourfe, we fay of a man that his head is good, we are underftood to feak of the frength of his underftanding, or if we fay, his heart is bad, it is underfood of the perverfenefs of his will. Thus the arm of God, conveys an ide of his power, the throne of God, fignifies his majefty, the eyes of God, his providential vigilance over his creatures, and attention to them.

In the new law are found ambiguous expreffions, which are thought favourable to diffenting fects of Chrittians, even to Deifts, Mahometans and Atheifts. This, if we believe our Sciolifts, is a prejudice againet the law.

In the new law are fome expreffions, which, from the nature of the fablime truths which they convey, areobfcure, others, which the perverfe obftinacy of diffenting fects, diftorts from their intended fignifica.
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cion, affixing to them $a$ fenfe, which coincides with their preconceived opinions; many, who will not Thape their conduct according to the maxims of the law, impiouly endeavour to thape the law according to, the maxims of their conduct. In the law there is no defect, no danger; in the perverfinefs of their own will they find ruia. If inftead of diforting the $I$ w to their opinions, and bending it to their will, they would corret their errors by the law, and conform their will to its dictates, they would find in it a fource of happinefs, and all diffentions would ceale.

To infitute a comparifon between the heathem morality, and that of the chritian law, is a grols abfurdity: their pretended divinities were the inventions of tancy, infected with all the vices, to which their inventors were addicted; the mof violent and deftructive paftions were perfonified, and honored with temples, in which crimes, at which nature re. coils, were committed, not only with impunity, but with religioun folemnity. Amongit their great divinities were parricides, proftitutes, drunkards, bullies. Even the highway-man had recourfe to his mercury for fuccefs in his undertakings.

Thefe pretended divinities by their example authorized and encouraged licentioufnefs, and the minifters of their temples by thicir precepts and their religious rites. Tatian, in his oration againg the Greeks, No. 20, fays: "I difregarded your inftitutions, bpcaufe there ought to be but one common form of living; amongl you there are as many different itItitutions as there are cities; actions infamous in the one are honorable in others." Matrimonial connections with mothers are forbidden amongt the Greeks, they are honored by the Perfians ; fodomy is condemned by the Barbarians, authorized by the Romans, for whofe pleafures flocks of boys are brought to market
market like horfes. Such was the morality of the predeceflors of our modern philofophifts. The man who approves will initate when the occafion effers. $I t$ is rather unlucky for the modern race of heathens, that in chriftian countries the laws will hot permit them to imitate their anceftors with impunity.

That in the works of the Heathen philofophers there are fome precepts of morality is trite. Firf principles of the natural law are deeply impreffed in the mind; they are not eafily effaced; the confequences, which are immediately inferred from thefe principles, the heathen philofophers found, not in accredited fables, but in the light of reafon, which was not totally extinct ; remote confequences are of more difficult accefs : their precepts did not extend to them; if any did, they were botrowed from the fcriptures : "which of your poets, or which of your fophifts," faid Tertullian in his apology, "did not drink of the fountain of the prophets ? The moral precepts of Epictetus aremanifeftly borrowed from the Gofpel, which he muft have feeen, yet the pretended virtues of this celebrated foic ave as oppofite to true chriftian virtues as darknefs to light: Virtuous actions are diftinguifhed by their motives; that of the chrifian is univerfal benevolence; that of the ftoic, the moft refined felf love and cold infenlibility, his invariable inaxim is "think of yourfelf; facrifice every thing to yourfelf." In the corrupt fyttem of Epicurus there is no maxim more bafe or dangerous to fociety.

To their moral precepts the heathen fages added the moft grofs errors; thus we find the famed Plato directing the inhabitants of his inaginary republic to take their wives in common; add to this, that their precepts were confined to men of fcience, the eloquence of a $\mathrm{Plato}_{2}$ or of a Cicero, the phitofophical reafoning
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reafoning of an Arifotle, the lectures of a Socrates, or a Seneca are ufelés to the unlettered Peafant. In the infired writings rules of actioh are taught in language incelligible to ali claftes without dintinction; and by the infitution of minifters, ample provifion made for the intruction of the mof iliterate; hence the unlectered chritian knows all his felative duties with greater precifion than the heathen philofopher.
It is frequently urged againt the evarigelical law, that its precepts are fevere; that they prefribe a total morification of our fenfes and paffions; that an exact obfervance of them is inconfiftent with the laws and welfare of fociety ; ruinous to trade and popuIation; inimical to arts and fciences ; encouraging. apathy, Infenfibility, alienation of affection from our parents, friends and country.

It is adinitted that the precepts of the evangelical law areftrict and even fevere; they enjoin every virtuc, and prohibit every vice, dehouncing vehgeance againft iniquity under the purple or in rags, without diftinction, or difcrimination. They exact fanctity, Becaufe their author is holy, and their object is to fanctify; if they countenanced vice they would be unwotthy of fuch an zuthor, and unfit for his pur: pofe.

There is nothing more realonable than the trot. tification which they enjoin: to reprefs the tumultuous paffions of anger, avatice, ambition, envy, jealoufy, fenfuality, and vanity, fo fatal to mankind, argues the perfection of the Law, and the wifdom of the Legiflator, who applies the remedy to the fource of the evil. The man, who pretends that to gratify thefe paffions is either lawful, laudable, innocent or ufeful, is not to be reafoned with, but confined with lunatics, or malefactors.

If the Chritian be iold in the Gorpel that he muft
hate his father and mother, the fenfe of the precept is obvious: that is, if they order any thing contrary to the Law of God, or the Law of the Land, he muft not obey them; if they endeavour to divert him from the duty, which he owes this Univerfal Father, he muft difregard their injunctions, but yet remem. ber that in all things, not contrary to the Law of God, he muft love and cley them : the Chrifian who knows that he muft love his enemies, cannot think of hating his parents or friends, but he mult not prefer them to bis God, hence the Redeemer fays, Matt. X. 37 : © he that loves his father or his mother better than me, is not worthy of me."
This reafoning is applicable to many other precepis of the fame nature: thus the injunction of renouncing all poffeffions in the world to be a true difciple of Chrift is underftood of the difpofition of the mind, and preparation of the heart, to facrifice every thing, even life itfelf, rather than difobey tho Lord or deny him ; than which there is no precept more reafonable: a man of honor would rather fa. crifice his life than betray his King or Country. The actual rerunciation of wealth, or power, lawfully acquired, is not of precept, but of counfel, to which none are obliged but they who chufe a more perfect ftate of life : without it falvation is attainable: this appears from the Saviour's anfwer to the, young man, who alked what he fhould do to be faved? "If you with to enter into life kecp the commandments." After telling him the commandments, which muft be obferved, he adds, "if you defire to be perfect, go fell all you have, give to the Poor. Come and follow me," Matt. XIX. The Saviour clearly diftinguifes the precepts of indifpenfable noceffity to enter into life, from this counfel, by the obfervance of which, the young man would have arrived
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arrivad at a greater degree of perfection, and ob. tained what the Saviour called a Treafure in Heaven.
There are ot her precepts in the Goppel, which appear extremely fevere, yet upon inveltigation they are found perfectly confiftent with reafon, and wifely intituted. Thus, when the Saviour fays, Matt. V, "If a man ftrike you on the right cheek turn to him the other; if he contends with you in judgment for your coat, let him take your cloak alfo." Patience in injuries is enjoined; individuals are prohibited from doing themfelves juftice by private authority, than which there is nothing more reafonable. The public Magiftrate is not forbidden to redrefs injuries, and do juftice to the fufferer, on the contrary, he is ordered to do it: " he is the minifter of God'... he does not hold the fword in vain .... the avenger to execute wrath on him who doeth evil," Rom. XIII. 4-5. As the minifter of God he exercifes on criminals that vindictive juftice, which belongs to God, and, of courfe, to thefe exclufively who are authorifed by him : "Vengeance is mine and I will repay," Rom. XII. 19. Nor is the fufferer forbidden to have recourfe to the public Magiftrate for redrefs, if he be influenced by the love of juftice, not by a defire of revenge, which is never lawful, and is at all times frictly prohibited. Vexatious law-fuits are ftrictly forbidden. If redrefs. can be obtained in juftice without fraud, without injury to the adverle party, without hatred, animofity, llander, difunion, or breach of chriftian charity, it is not forbidden to inftitute a law-fuit for redrefs of injury; if not it is prudent to decline it: becaufe no redrefs, which can be obtained, is an equivalent for the Divine difpleafure incurred by fin. This thews the wifdom of thefe counfels, which

Thich our Sciolifs think fevere, and even unceafopa. ple.

That the evangelical law is inimical to arts and fciences is a groundlefs, furmife, refuted by experi-: ence: if arts and fciences be known, it is in thefe. countries where that law prevails; in the eaftern countries the moft barbarous ignorance has fucceeded its fuppreffion.

If fraud, ufury and circumvention, be ufeful ta trade ; honefy, fincerity and plain dealing, deftruc. tive; or if unbounded licentioufnefs encreafe popula. tion, whilf regularity ruins it, the evangelical law muft be acknowledged defective; he muft be fond of deluffon who believes fuch nonferfe, and may be configned to his dreams without interruption.

That foical apathy, infenfibility and alienation of affection, which philofophitts find in the evangelical law, was remarked by the heathens-its difciples were abfent from the orgies of Bacchus ; they were ftrangers to the myfterious rites of Ceres; their wives and daughters were not brought in folemn proceffion to the temple of Venus, nor did they range themfelves amongft Gladiators; thefe fafhiopable amufements they refigned to the memorable anceftors of our modern fciolifts. Why complain of us ? We imitate our anceftors. They were infenfible to criminal excefles, which the voice of reafon condemns; but their affection to their parents, fo their friends, to their country, their anxiety for their welfare, their exertions to procure relief in the public calamities, in a word, their charity knew no bounds; that is the apathy enjpined in the new law : this is 2 language which vanity and fenfuality dinike.

Some have fecluded themfelves from fociety in penitential filence to atone for paft offences, or to avcid dangerous occafions; others to contemplate the works
works of tl rors of hell, pardon this five to the for this fecl mire, but a to imitate 1 all : if a m live in reti he cannot. of the evar privilege t The perfec frict obfer its council diments, peceffary.

In this the fource infirmity, the found defcendan underftan ftancy, in ble inclina fure of co vain: " I wrong," this law, taught to fiftance, ftanding, infirmity the preff ous paffin fes on thi fubject to
works of the divinity, the joys of heaven, the hore rors of hell, the abyis of eternity. The reader will pardon this unfeafonable intrufion of terms fo offenfive to the atheift, the deift, the modern philofopher : for this feclufion there is no precept; we may anmire, but are not obliged, in many cafes not allowed, to imitate them: what is laudable in one, is not fo in all : if a man be free from all engagements he may live in retirement; if his cares be neceflary to fociety, he cannot do it with propriety, amongft the difciples of the evangelical law no man lives for himfelf: that privilege they refign to pretended philanthrophits. The perfection, which the law requires, confifts in a ffrict obfervance of its precepts. The obfervance of its councils may, and frequently does, remove impediments, it is therefore lawful and laudable but not peceffary.

In this divine law the man of reflection difcovers the fource of all his calamities; he fees the flate of infirmity, to which the uhprovoked tranigreffion of the founder of the human race has reduced all his defcendants; the clouds, which it has diffufed in the underfanding, and that fate of debility and inconflancy, in which it has placed the will; the irrefiftible inclination ta fenfible objects, the overbearing preffure of concupifence, againft which reafon ipeaks in vain: "I fee and approve what isright, and do what is wrong," and what is yet of greater confequence, in this law, he finds the only effectual remedy ; he is taught to have recourfe to a God of mercy for affiftance, whofegrace difpels the clouds of the under. ftanding, fixes the inconftancy of the will, heals its infirmity, infures a power of refiftance fuperior to the preffure of concupifcence, foftens thefe tumultuous paffions, which torment the impious man, impofes un them an abfolute filence, or at leaft-makes them fubject to rcafuñ.

There

There are truths anmounced in this law which tranfeend reafon, hence the fciolift infers that they are contrary to reafon. The inference only fpeaks his ignorance : all truths, which regard the divinity, and the divineattributes, muft of neceffity tranfcend reafon: becaufe the divine nature is not within the fphere of reafon's activity.
An affertion is contrary to reafon, and contrary to truth, when the idea of the fubject and the idea of the attribute, both precifely known, exclude each other: thus to affert that a circle is a fquare, is a manifeft abfurdity, becaufe the known properties of thefe figures exclude each other; but if the effential properties of the fubject, or of the atribute, be not accurately known, reafon cannot pronounce whether they beconfiftent or inconfiftent, whether they exclude each other or not : thus whien it is faid that in the divine nature there are three divine perfons, as the divine nature and the divine perfons are totally - incomprehenfible to us, reafon, unalifited by a fuperior light, can neither pronounce the propufition true not falfe; and as reafon can inftitute no comparifon between objects, which are known, and thefe which are not, fo it can inftitute no comparifon between objects within its grafp and thefe which tranfeend it, the length of a nile might be compared to the heat of the fun with equal propriety, or rather with lefs abfurdity.

Though by reafoning we cannot arrive at truths, which tranfend the force of reafon; or, if you will; of our limited faculty of relloning, yet there is nothing more realonable t idieve thefe truths on the authority of Revelation : a peafant would be thought inconfiderate, if he refufed to helieve an inference deduced by an able mathematician from principles sinconceivable to the peafant, as the truths of religion;
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if he refufed tobelieve the truthinferreduntil he cleariy conceived the principles, he would be difmiffed with contempt as an obftinate blockhead. The allufion is juft: many revealed truths are inferences from prin: ciples evident to the divinity, incomprehenfible to us. Men of true fcience modeftly admit the truth on the authority of the divine word ; the fciolitt in initation of the peafant, whofe obltinacy is the natural refult of pride, and ignorance, will not believe if he does not comprehend. The noan of fcience confines his reafoning to the proper object : he does not prefume to rontradict the divinity: he inquires. whether the truth propofed to his belief be revealed or not ; whether the proofs adduced be fufficient to found a prudential affent, if fo he does not doubt the veracity of his Creator, knowing well that God can do what he cannot conccive, of this he has unqueftionable evidence in all the works of God, not one of which he comprehends. The Sciolift on the contrary confults his pride not his reafon: he does not inquire whether the propofed truth be revealed or not, but as he cannot conceive principles, which are inconceivable, and will not admit that Almighty power tranfcends his own, or that truths are known to God of which he is ignorant, he rejects the truth without farther difcuflion. It is doubtful whether pride or ignorance be the more prominent feature in impiety. Nothing is wanted to make it contemptible:
The fanctity of the law, and its tendency to perfect human nature, is manifeft froin the reafons on which the Sciolift founds his objections againft it : the mortification which it prefcribes, fays he, deftrays the natural liberty of our will and all our fa. culties of action; the propofal of incomprehenfible doctrines depriyes us of the ufe of our underfand
ing ; the injunction of refting all our cares on Pro: vidence, reduces us to a fate of torpid infenfibility: The contradictories would have been logically deduced from the principles admitted, if the Sciolift knew how to reafon: More than once we have been told by thefe fcribblers; that man is a fort of monkey. Their wild declamation refembles the chattering of that mifchievous animal: However, as it is teafon; which diftingnifhes man from all other animals, even from Atheifts and Deifts if they be claffed with monkeys, it is reafor which determines human nature, hence whatever is under the direction of reafon tends to perfect human nature; and whatever tranfgreffes the bounds of reafon, and contradicts its dictates, vitiates and corrupts it. If diforderly affections be called paffions, as they are contrary to order and the dictates of reafon, they vitiate and corrupt ; virtue muft exif without them: The mortification of thefe is therefore indifpenfably neeceffary to perfeet human nature, but if the inclina: tions of the fenfitive appetite be thought paffions they are the fubject matter to which the exercife of virtue is confined! virtue confifts in directing them to their proper objects, and preventing tranfgteffions of the bounds, which reafon prefcribes : in this con: fifts that mortification, which the evangelical law recommends. To direct the operations of the will, and all the powers of action fubject to the will, is not to defroy its liberty, but to deliver it from flavery. Thus a man's liberty is not reftrained becasfe lie is not allowed to feduce his neighbour's wife, or forcibly feize his poffeflions: he poflelles no fuch liberty; in the act his will is a flave to a diforderly affection, which corrupts nature, becaufe it is contrary to that reafon, which conftitutes it.
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neceflary to the prefervation of the individual, and the confervation of the fecies, a certain pleafing fenfation. Exceffes and defects are forbidden by reaton, as deftructive of the end : hence intoxication and licentibufnefs are evidently vicious : that mortification which retrenches them perfects human nature, er, at leaf, prevents its corruption. The writer feaks of men, not of monkeys; or of two-legged animals of the monkey kind

This reafoning is applicable to the human underflanding: It is perfected by truth, corrupted by falthood : if truths be propofed which tranfcend its limited force of action, and light be infured to increafe its powers, inftead of enflaving the underftanding this frees it from the dominion of error, enables it to act with greater energy, and difcufs, with greater accuracy, fubjects within its own fphere. Thus objects, which are invifible to the naked eye, become vifible by the affiftance of a glafs; and objects which are already feen, become more clearly defined: in like manner the underftanding, affifted by a fuperior light, not only difcovers truths, which tranfcend its native powers, but alfo tees more clearly thefe which are within its inveftigation.

All faculties are to be confined to their proper. objects : the eye is not made to bear, nor the ear to fee, however, the force of either may be increaled; no extenfion of its powers can enable the eye to hear, or the ear to fee, nor can they act at all without the affiftance of light for the eye, and found for the ear.

As to that ftate of infenfibility to which. religion reduces its votaries, it is merely imaginary: vain folicitude and excruciating anxiety are forbidden, the neceffary cares and vigilance prefcribed. It is forbidden to make wealth or power the ultimate end of our purfuits: "do not lay up treafures on earth....but in A 2

Heaven,"

Heaven,? Mat. VI, 19-20-It is not allowed to ferve God in view of thefe; it is:alfo forbidden to defpair of divine affifance in lawful purfuits ; "Your father knows that you want thofe things," ibid. Confidence in our own exertions, independently on the divine affifance, is ftrietly and jufty prohibited; "Which of. you can add to his ftature one cubit ?" ibid. An over anxious care, previous to the neceffary time, is allo forbidden : "Be not folicitous for to-morrow," ibid. The man who believes a Providence to exift, to fuperintend and direct human affairs, attends to all the relative duties of his condition with vigilance and attention, and refls on Providence for fuccefs without anxiety or agitation.
As the great object of the evangelical law is to inftruct, and conduct its votaries, by fanctity of life, to a holy and fupernatural end, its precepts are proportioned to that end; they enforce fanctity beyond the reach of human laws; they, in order to eradicate evil, forbid all unlawful defires, from thefe iniquitous actions refult. Human laws are confined to actions which are public, they cannot reach the mind nor what is concealed. They punith the effect, but leave the caufe untouched. Add to this, that the moral precepts of the Gofpel, which enforce the practice of virtue, are always proportioned to the powers of action in its difciples, which, in fume are greater, in others lefs; for the powers. of action in every agent refult, or, at leaft, are increafed by the habit acquired, and this habit is ftrengthened by a repetition of acts; hence a perfon advanced in virtue finds no difficulty in that which to the vicious is impracticable, and to thefe, who enter on a virtuous life, if not impracticable, extremely difficult. What is tolerable in the one is repreherfible in the other. Human laws are made for the multitude, of whom a great majority are imper. fect,
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fect, if not vicious; they prohibit but fuch actions as are prejudicial to fociety : they cannot enforce the practice of virtue. The precepts of the divine law not only prohibit every poffible vice, whether public, or private, whether in act, thought; word or defire, but enjoin évery virtue, ini proportion as the pow. ers of action, which are inceffantly augmented in the virtuous man, encreafe: "c performing truth in charity, let us encreafe in all things, in him who is the head, Chrift," Eph. IV. 15. "Encreale in the knowledge of our Lord Jefus Chrit," 2. Pet. III. 18.

The fanction of all human laws is defective ; they can'neither proportion punifhments to the malignity of delinquents, nor rewards to the virtue of claimants; the motives and intentions of both efcape the eye of human juftice; hence actions, in themfelves vicious and reprehenfible, are fometimes thought laudable, and as fuch deemed worthy a rewird, whiltt acts of heroic virtue are paffed unnoticed, and not unfrequently treated with contempt.

The fanction of the divine law is perfect, and fpeaks the wifdom of its author'; vengeance is denounced againfl vice, by him, from whom no vicious thought is concealed; " all things are maked and open to his eyes," Heb. IV. I3. He will propurtion the punifhment to the number and the malignity of the delinquent's offences: " he will render to every man accurding to his works," Rom. II. 5. As an inducement to virtue, rewards are propofed more than'adequate. If juftice meafures the punifhment. and the offence, liberality diftributes rewards; " the eye hath not feen, nor the ear heard, nor has it entered the heart of man, what God has prepared for thofe who love him," I. Cor. II. 9.

To engage the juft to fanctify their bodien, as well as their fouls, they are informed that, if they preferve
preferye them pure and unpolluted, they will, afte: paying the debt of nature, rife again in glory. dai "We know that when (Jefus Chrift) will appear, we) thall be like him, becaufe we fhall fee him as he is, "In i, John IH.

To deter them from the fin of uncleannefs; from all exceffes, and irregularities, they are told that their bodies are the temples of God, and that God will deftroy the man, who prefumes to prophane his temple, I. Cor. III. 17. 12 tive it wist

If many who profefs Chrifianity, or rather pretend to profefs it, be fubject to vice and irregularities, it is becaufe they diffegard its precepts; of fuch materials Atheifts, Deifts, and Philofophifs are moulded ; brothels are their fchools, there they have been taught, and there their lectures are received with applaufe. The A theif does not become a profligate ; but the profligate becomes an Atheif.

Effects are always proportioned, though not always equal to the efficacy of their caufes. It is fimply impoffible that any effect chould furpafs the power of action in its caufe. This principle admitted, the divinity of the evangelical law is manifeft in its effects : the means employed for the eftablifhment of the law are fo difproportioned to the effects produced, that the fuccefs muft be afcribed to the divintity of the laws: or, if you will, to the almighty power of its author.

Men are exprefsly chofen for the purpofe as dif. qualified as men could be for fuch an arduous undertaking: wealth or power they had none; to all human fcience they were ftrangers; of the loweft order of a once powerful, but then degraded nation, they are fent : upon what errand? ?o fupprefs idnlatry, in which the whole world was immerfel, and in the rites and ceremonies of which
they fo moft interw the ve of all t] abomin counte impuni fublim to hun of ima tending to ever rupt lawful thing To enf human the law idolate exampl paffions ferior $t$ were the jew temples courfe fuggeft by the phiftica word, nefs, 2 who w prevail of fuc have d
they found the gratification of all their paffions, and moft violent inclinations; to abolifh fuperfition interwoven with the laws of all nations, in many, the wery bafis of empire; to confound the vanity of all the fects of Heathen Philofophers; to reprefs abominations, authorifed by immenorial cuftom, countenanced by law, and practifed, not only with impunity, but with applaufe; to fubftitute a law, fublime in its fecculative doctrines, incomprehenfible to human underftanding, beyond the utmoft fretch of imagination ; in its moral precepts feveres extending its reftraints to every faculty of the mind, to every defire of the foul declaring that to be corrupt and vicious, which was univerfally thought lawful and laudable, and promifing its difciples nothing in this world but perfecutions and calamities. To enfure fuccefs, impediments innumerable, to human power infurmountable; were to be removed; the laws of nations changed; the attachment of idolaters to their rites and cuftoms, fanctioned by the example of their anceftors, and flattering all their pafions, to be effaced; difficulties to any power in. ferior to that, which knows no bounds, irrefifitibe, were to be encountered: the perverfe obflinacy of the jews; the intrigues of the officers of the heathen temples, who, feeing their impending ruin, had recourfe to every artifice, which the fpirit of darknefs fuggetts ; the infidious arts of politicians, fupported by the ferocious cruelty of defpotic power; the fophiftical declamations of Heathen philofphers, in a word, the united powers of all the Spirits of Darknefs, and their emilfaries. What would a Plato, who with all his wifdom and eloquence; could never prevail ona village to adopt hisopinions, have thought of fuch an undertaking? What would a Cicero have done if chofen for fuch a mififion? Would he
have dared to undertake it ? No, though confcious of the vanity of idols, he would not acknowledge it ; though convinced of the unity of God, he dared not affert it ; yet it would have been more merito. rious to fpeak well of truth, than to fpeak ill of Anthony; death would have been more honorable in defence of truth, than in fupport of perfonal en. mity.
If all the Heathen Philofophers and Orators had united their efforts to reform the Heathen world, would they have been fuccelsful ? No, becaufe they would have to contend with the moft powerful interefts and paffions of man, and in them there was nothing more than man. They nevef reformed 2 village, not even themfelves. This great work was referved for the inftructions of a Fihherman, and a few affociates, deftitute of humin fcience, and every human affifance. Thefe we fee furmounting all difficulties, removing all impediments, changing the face of the world, foftening the manners of Barbarians, abolifhing abominable rites and cuftoms: "thefe who infribe their name for this difcipline, do not contract matrimony with their mothers; nor do the Scythians, to whofe country the word of Chrift has reached, eat human flefh; nor do other barbarous nations inceftuouifly defile their daughters; nor do men difregarding the rights of nature abure each other; nor do they expofe to dogs, and birds, as was their cuftom, the bodies of their relatives and friends ; nor do they frangle the old and infirm ; nor do they feed on the flelh of their deareft friends, as their anceftors did; nor do they facrifice men to their iduls, as their inftitutions prefcribe ; nor do they, deceived by a falfe opinion of piety, ftab their beft beloved friends-with thefe and many fuch cuntoas was life inffted."-Euftè.
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"In Parthia, chriftians though Parthia ns have not many wives; in Media they do not give the bodies of the dead to the dogs, nor in Perfia, though Perfians, do they efpoufe their own daughters; nor in Gaul do men contract with men difregarding the rites of nature ; nor in Egypt do they adore a calf, 2 dog, a buck-goat or a cat; wherever they live they are not overcome by the torment of cuftom, the wickednefs of laws, or the turpitude of immoral inftitutions, nor can they be forced by power, or induced by folicitation, to commit any of thefe monftrous crimes, forbidden by the laws of their mafter," Bardesane.

As the powers of human perfuafion were totally incapable of producing thefe changes, the fuccels of the Apoftles muft of all neceflity be afcribed to the divinity of the law, which they announced. Lex Domini immaculata convertens animas. The irrefiftible power of the Deity, was alone adequate to fuch an arduous tafk: any power, inferior to omnipotence, would have been inefficient. The man who does not fee it, is miferably blind.

For the rapid progrefs of Mahometan impiety, and the extraordinary fuccefs of other fectaries, we find a fufficient caufe in that violent inclination to fen. fual pleafures, which is flattered by thefe teachers, their deluded followers vainly imagine that the removal of all reftraints propofed by thefe impoftors is no im. pediment to future happinefs. To the feverity of the Gofpel they have fubftituted a fyftem of voluptuoufnefs, little, if at all, inferior to that of the famed Epicurus. Mahomet furpaffes him : for this arch impoftor flatters his followers with a continuation of that vo. luptuoufnefs, in which Epicurus had placed fupreme happinefs in this life; he promifed nothing after death, but annihilation. Quere, to which of thefe claties do our modern Sceptics belong ?

A man of folid fenfe, and true fcience, fees in the eftablifhment of Chriftianity, a miracle of all others the moft convincing ; a fubfifting miracle, againt which all fuppotitions are vain; $\dot{\mathbf{a}}$ miracle, which could neither commence, nor be continued, but by almighty power; a miracle, which renders impiety odious, and inexcufable, and baffles the vain efforts of its abettors.

The fophifical declamation of fome impoftors a. gainft the miracles related in the Gofpel comes next under confideration, one of the mof virulent of thefe, who from an intenfe hatred of truth, and refined malignity of heart and foul was beft qualified to be an emiffary of the-fpirit of darknefs, the irreconcileable enemy of man, pretends that the miracles related in the Gofpel, tho ugh underfood in the literal fenfe, do not fufficiently evince the divinity of Jefus Chrift; to this he adds that the miracles related, if underfood in the literal-fenfe, involve a contradiction, of courfe, as he pretends, they are to be underftood as allegorical, fymbolical, or prophetical; finally that when Chritt referred to miracles, he did not fpeak of things, which he had done in the flefh, but of things which he was to do in the fpirit. Our modern Sceptics have borrowed their idea's from this Sophift, as he did from Julian, the apoftate, and Celfus, the Heathen philofopher, to whom he was as far fuperior in malice, as he was inferior in frience.

To what has been faid on the fubject of miracles already, the writer only adds, that every event out of the ordinary courfe of things may be confideret in fome fenfe miraculous: it is truly fo, or apparently fo ; relatively miraculous, or abfolutely fo: the production of any fubftance immediately, which did not exit beforc, cither in itfolf or in its fubject
is abfolutely diate effect o verfion of on lities of whicl or may not maniferty f known to us miraculous i determine : elementary p of the relativ does nut fee gents ; if or matter be ff relative pofiti another is be caufe it is m order, which grefs.
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is abfolutely miraculous, fuch a productionis theimmediate effect of omnipotence. The intantaneous converfion of one fubftance into another, the fenfible qua. lities of which are both differeut and diffimilar, may or may not furpais the power of created agents; it manifefly furpaffes the power of any vifible agent known to us: whether it be abfolutely or relatively miraculous is ufelefs to enquire, and impoffible to determine : for if all matter be homogenous in its elementary parts, and fpecific difference be the refult of the relative pofition of thefe parts, fuch a change does nut feem to furpafs the power of created agents; if on the contrary the elementary parts of matter be fpecifically different, and independent on relative pofition, the converfion of one fubftance into another is beyond the limits of created power : becaufe it is not within the courfe of the eftablifhed order, which no created Being is allowed to tranfgrefs.

To communicate life to a body, in which it has been totally extinguifhed, is an abfolute miracle. The truth of this obfervation is manifeft from this fimple confideration, that in the fortus there is adif. pofition, an immediate tendency to life, it is of courfe enlivened in due time, according to the order eftablifhed by the Creator; but the extinction of life introduces 2 contrary difpofition, a tendency to corruption. To reftore life by any created agent would require the eftablifhment of a new order directly contrary to that, which the Creator himfelf has eftablithed, which is manifefty impoffible.

The healing of a difeafe, though incurable by any means or remedies known to man, does not feem to furpais the power of created agents : whilt life fubfifts, the Iprings on which it depends, however weakened, may be reinitated by fome powerful, B b
egent, removing abfacles, and applying remedies to us unknown.

The infantaneous reforation of perfect health, without the application of any remedy, in the cafe of a long and lingerng difeafe, though curable in due courfe of time, and by ordinary means, may be claf. fed with the former, and is relatively miraculous.

It is an invatiable rule that the powers of created agents are great in proportion to the excellence of their nature, but never of fuch extent as to fubvert the order eftablifhed by the Creator; the fuppofition is ridiculous : becaufe their powers of action, howe. ver great, cannot equal his, who gave them ; they muft therefore be fubject to the controul of the laws eftablifhed by him. As the firitual or intel. lectual world is effentially different from the material world which we inhabit, the laws to which they are fubject muft be different, many laws, on which the fymmetry, harmony, and beauty, of this vifible world depends we know by experience; thus we know the laws of motion, others we conjectare from analogy, the moft effential efcape our obfen va. tion.

Spirit and niatter formed into one principle of action by a fubftantial union, is the link in the chain of Beings, which unites the intellectual and material world, man is therefore in part fubject to the laws of both, not frictly, and exclufively to the laws of either : thus, though the human body be affected by the laws of motion, it is not fo ftrictly to, as the in. animate ftone; for being not merely the paffive inftrument of the foul, but an effential part of the man, the principle of action, it partakes in fome meafure of his locomotive powers, of this the inanimate for. is incapable; in like manner the human foul not being a complete firit, and independent
agent, but matter, and pend on therefore is motion, wl
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agent, but the fubltantial form, which animates matter, and informs the human body, it muf depend on the body in many of its pperations: it is therefore in fome meafure fubject to the laws of motion, which govern this vifible world.

What are the laws, which govern the intellectual world we do not know; what are the powers of action in fpirits, in themfelves complete and independent agents. we are left to conjecture. From analogy we conclude that they poffers locomotive power, becaufe we poffefs this power from the inherent activity of our loul, a quality of which matter is deftitute, and which to matter is incommunicable; this power is great in proportion to the fuperior excellence of their nature, but circumfcribed by the eftablifhed order, which they are not allowed to deftroy.

How a fpirit acts on matter we do not know; or how impreffions are made on firits by material objects we are yet to difcover ; that both is common we know, but the manner is, and in all appearance ever will be, an inpenetrable fecret.

From experience we know the mutual actions of all bodies: it is in a certain ratio, in certain diftances invariably the fame. This is the refult of laws, depending folely on the will of the Creator, fo con. ftant in their operation, that they are fubject to mathematical precifion; if we may judge by analogy, the actions of firits on material objects, and the imprefions made on fpirits by fuch objects, or on one fpirit by another, muft be the refult of laws eftablifhed for the government of the intellectual world.

One created fpirit having from its nature no authority over another in the intellectual world, the impreflions, which it makes, or any authority which
it exercies, muft depend folely on the will of the Creator, and on the rules eftablithed by him for the government of pure intelligences; the inter. vention of fenfible objects is therefore totally un. neceflary. Matter fo far from affifting an intelligence in its operations, would only impede. Whether fuch intervention be neceffary to enable a dif. engaged firit to make impreffions on the human mind, while informing the body, or not, is uncertain, the writer thinks fuch inter vention total ufelefs: for fince many operations of the mipd are independent on the fenles, no reafon can be affigned, why a difengaged fpirit, acting according to the laws; eftablifhed for the intellectual world, fhould not make imprefions on the mind, whilft informing the body, as when difengaged, the mind whether infurming the body, or difengaged, being the fame in all its effential properties. Hence, when it is faid in the fcriptures, that angels have appeared to men, fpoken to them, \&c. the affumption of bodies compoled of air, or any other material fubftance, feems totally unneceflary, as they might, without déviating from eftablithed rules, make the fame impreffions on the minds of the perfons, to whom they appeared, without the intervention of any fenfiole object.

That the powers of action inherent in difengaged fpirits, whether of light or darknefs, are far fuperior to ours is clear from the foregoing obfervations; that therefore they may produce effects in appearance miraculous muft be admitted; but, thefe effects, however great, muft be under the controul of the general order eftablifhed by the Creator, which they cannot fubvert. Thus, for example, to raife a tempeft confined to a certain fpace, by caufing an unufual commotion in a certain portion of air, nay, and in all appearance is, within the power of an Angel
of Light or moon, the e the reach of ted power, created pow Whareve Darknefs, r the deftruct on; let thei they cannot being all fec the primary lows, that t furpalfing tl error not di would unav perfects the corrupt itgreateft wol
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of Light or Darknefs; but to ftop the motion of the moon, the ebbing or flowing of the lea, is not within the reach of either : it is beyond the limits of crea. ted power, becaufe it is a general order, which no created power can deftroy.

Whatever powers Angels of Light, or Spirits of Darknefs, may poffefs, they cannot exercife them to the deftruction of God's Works without his permiff. on ; let their powers of deftruction be ever fo great, they cannot deftroy a fly without his permiffion: for, being all fecondary caufes, they muft depend on God, the primary caufe, in all their actions. Hence it follows, that they can produce no extraordinary effects, furpalfing the power of vifible agents, in fupport of error not difcoverable by reafon, becaufe fuch effects would unavoidably feduce men from truth, which perfects the mind, and lead to criminal errors which corrupt it-lt would be the deftruction of God's greateft work in this vifible world.

That in the times of heathen fupertition, the Spirits of Darknefs, did effect fome things furpafing the power of vifible agents, is extremely probable: but the error, which thefe extrordinary events were intended to authorife, that is, idolatry, was in itfelf to abfurd, fo oppofite to the light of reafon, that none could be deceived who confulted reaion. The permiffion of fuch events was one of théfe dreadful judgments which God, in his juftice, exercifes on thofe who make their reafon fubfervient to their paffions. Where the error is not fenfible, or not fo manifeft that reafon may without difficulty detect it, fuch events are not permitted : they are inconfiftent with the general order of Providence, which will not permit God's creatures to be unavoidably feduced, and his works corrupted.

Of falfe teachers, fince the eftablifhment of chriftis
*nity, few have appealed to Cupernatural effects to aturrant their miffion; they who did were miferably difappointed: fome arch impoftors, who, to countenance their errors, did pretend to fupernatural powers, were detected; they had prevailed on fome deluded wretches to feign themfelves dead, when they pretended to raife them they were found in reality lifelefs. The relatives of thefe wretches publifhed the cheat.

It has been already obferved that miracles, as all nther facts, are known, not by metaphy fical.difquifition, but by the teftimony of their fenfes to the immediate witneffes, to us, at a diftance from the times, and fcenes of action, by the teftimany of the witneffes authentically tranfmitted. Thus we know that Cxelar was murdered, with as unerring certainty as the men who atffed at that tragical fcene; the conviction of the truth is as frong on our minds, but the impreffion of horror is diminifhed.

Facts, which are not uncommon, are believed without difcuffion; if they be not interefting, the difcuffion is minute in proportion as facts are interefting, yet whilf they remain in the ordinary courfe of events, there muft be frong prejudices againft a witnefs to ruin his credit. Extraorrinary facts are not admiffible, nor are they ever univerfally believed, without ftrict enquiry; the leaft prejudice againft a witnefs, will invalidate his teftimuny, hence we may conclude that facts extremely interefing, which furpafs the power of vifible agents, cannot pofibly obtain credit if the witneffes, who atteft them, be not found upon ftrict invefligation, free from fufpicion, of furprife, fraud or defign.

Man is by mature fo confitusted that he effily believes
lieves what ordinarycou event may ay on, untilaft truth, whic tefible evid: have been o human natu clinations, ing his imas a miracle if on, or if, up they had no hence Sr . A lieve there. the world be
To found well affured were not de conditions a to deceive is unexcepti mind ; to $x$ ftinacy.

Thefe pri amine the $n$ the moft hi Adam's race fúficien, tl his enemies " will charg him, ackno traying inn brought bef were fo ma without hea
lieves what fatters his paffons, if it be not out of the ordinary courfe of things, if fo, however flâttering the event may appear, a wife man mult fufpend his opini on, untilafter difcuffion ; but man is inacceffible tox truth, which mortifies him, if not forced by inconteltible evidence to admit it. As miracles in general have been offered in proof of truths mortifying to human nature, contradiding than's moft violent int clinations, captivating his underftanding, and curbing his imagination, it would have been more thati a miracle if they had obtained credit without difcuffe on, or if, upon the mot exact and critical difcuffion: they had not been fupported by irrefrtible evidence: hence Sr. Auflin tays : the man, who does not believe thefe prodigies to have been effected, which the worla believes, is himfelf a prodigy.
To found an affent in prudence it is neceffary to be well aflured that the witneffes of miraculous events were not deceived, nor cifpoled to deceive; if to thefe" conditions a third be added, that is, that any attempt to deceive whuld have bech fruitlefs, the teftimony is unexceptionable : it impreffes conviction on the mind; to refufe an affent is the effect of perverfe obftinacy.

Thele principles prefuppofed, let us proceed tó $x$ amine the niracles of Jefus Chrit. As man he as the mot huubbe, meek, humane and innocent, of: Adam's race; his life fo free from blemifh, or even fúficion, that he fubmitted it to the criticifm of his enemies ; "which of you," faid he, John iv. 46 ;" " will charge me with fin ?" that the trator who fold him, acknowledged his innocence "I have finned, be-" traying innocent blood," Matt. xxvii. 4 ; the charges brought before Pllate by his moft malignant enemicz were fo manifelly calumnious, that, from them, without hearing a word in his defence, Pilate was convince:
convinced of his ianctence: "I find in himno caufe"" John xviii, $3^{8}$; and when forced by the clam ors of the Jews to confent to his death, he waphed his hands faying: "I am innocent of the blood of this juit man," Matt xxvii, 24. The fanctity of his doctrine was perfectly correfpondent with the fanctity of his life, a \{ketch of his morality has been given, and, contrafted with that of the beathen philofophers; he Thewed the folly and implety of idolatry ; the neceffity of honoring one God, Creator of all things; the boundlefs fubmifion due to his orders in the moft trying circumftances. Impoftors do not facrifice their lives to enforce ebedience to the law of God, their lives were never remarkable for fanctity; the blafpheming Sciolif, who pretends that Jefus Chrift was an impoftor, ought to affign fome private intereft, which he had in view. Impoftors, who have nn other object in view but the glory of God, and the welfare of man; who facrifice themfelves to the happinefs of others, are of fuch an uncommon character, that they are to be fought for in the wild imagination of modern fcribblers-there are no fuch phenomena in nature.

To pafs unnoticed the miracles attending his birth, by which his miffion was announced, let us examine minutely fume of the many, which he wrought to atteft the truth of his doctrine, the firft we find upon record is the converfion of water into wine at Cana in Galilee, John II. The witnefles were numerous, the difciples were prefent : "the manifefted bis glory, and his difciples believed in him," v. 2. The difciples were not philofophers, no, nor were the waiters who drew the waters, and filled the fix fone pots, each containing two or three metretai, or meafures; but the moft illiterate peafant knows the
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A chemical of wine to a the fimplicity but a chemicá of water infta the fpectators, of a modern confumed, no ately, in a cor the facerdotal perance, as occafinns, the been detected
To remove were ordered pots, which bi tions, were ah water; from tl fent to the
mof acute philofopher. The water might have been removed and wine fubflituted by fome attifice. Yes, if there had been but an ounce or two, br even? few phunds, by fome Atratagem might fhefe been tev moved; but the impoffibility of deceprion' of for great a quantity is manifent: the Greek matred ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ Hind the Hebrew batut was a meafure of 2 cublic foot ${ }^{\text {a }}$ a cubic foot of water weighs fixty woo pound and half avoirdupoife, or $96{ }^{2}$ ? Troy weight, the phifo. fopher may confult any writer on hydroflatici, the unlearned may believe it on the credit of the au. thor. Hence confining each pot, to two metretas, of meafures, the quantity of water converted 3 ?no: wine was nine hundrod and feventeen poutids, Troy. The inflantanenius removal of fuch á quath. tity unknown to, or unobferved by fectators, is not within the reacli of fratagem or artifice.
A chemical preparation might give the appearance of wine to a fmall quantity of water, and impofe on the fimplicity of perfons unaccuftomed to wines buta chemiéal preparation to convert twoh ghtheids of water infanitaneoufly into wine, unhberved by the fpectators, mutt be extratted from the fecufence of a modern feeptic's brain. As the wine was not confumed, nor intended to be confumed, implediately, in 2 company where there was a prefident of the facerdotal order to prevent the effeds, of intem perance, as was the cuftom of the Jews upon tuch occafions, the deception, if any had been, munt have been detected.
To remove every furpicion of fraid, the waiters were ordered to draw the water, to fill"the ftohe pots, which being intended for the Jewinf purificia: tions, were always to be filled with pure elementary water; from this water untouched by jecus elitift to pre.
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The faet was publicly afferted by fome of the witnefleg whilt they were all, or at leaft a great majority of them living, and not contradieted by arowed enemies, no fact was ever quthentic if this be nof let us pals to another equally authentic, and not lefs furpafing the power of man, Matt. xiv. We read that with five loives and two fifhes 5000 men befides women and children were fed, and that twelve bankets were filled with the fragenents, which remained. Here there was no room for deception, of collufion: 5000 men were not taught to believe that they had dined, and difmiffed with hungry bellies, words co not fatisfy hunger: fomething more fubItantial than found is necellary to appeafe its cravings. Why were fuch numbers collected ? becaufe they had already feen the mot unheard of prodigies: "And Jefus,' anfwering, faid to them, (John's difciples) go and relate to John what you have heard and feen, the blind fee, the lame walk, the lepers are cleanied, the deaf hear; the dead rife again." Matt, xi, 4-5. St. Luke adds: "at that hour he relieved many from dileafes, and infirmities, and gave fight to many, who were blind:" vii. 22 He had already raifed to life the widow of Naim's fon, of which miracle the whole country was informed: Luke vii. 15. Thefe ninacles were public ; John's difciplos had feen them, or he would not have ordeted, them to relate what they faw, it would have been a moft ridiculous ins junction. It is not matter of furprife, that fome thoufanda were, collected; but it is a melancholy reflection thas the invecerate and incurable envy of the Pharifes preventedgreater numbera from attend. ing to the inftruitions of fuch 2 teachers they, who did, were not diliupointed : "and he, coming forth, faw
a a great:m and healed th came to him the dumb, the and they caft Matt: XV, 3 C feeing the dur see, and they thefe multit fome in grat others in he their adhesen fautteles, curi pollible, fom accufation, a matked theit appear that

But why had juit put of Chrit's 1X. 9 . Ch expofe him over the pl country, in ons. Henc to decline, difmils the villages abo

But wh vifions? It vifions, bul Chrift'sint appears to the third c might'hav fitle to ot
an a great multitude, and had compaffion on thein. and healed their fick," Matt, xiv. 14 ; "And there came to him great multitudes, baving with them the dumb; the blind, the lame, and many others, and they caft them at his feet, and he heated them," Matt $\mathrm{XV}, 3^{\circ} \cdot{ }^{-6,}$ fo that the muhitudes wondered feeing the dumb fpeak, the lame walk, and the blind fee, and they glorified the God of Ifrael," ibid. Of thefe multitudes many attended for infruation; fome it gratiude for paft relitf from infizmities ; others in hopes of prefeat ; of the Pharifeces, and their adherects, fome attracted by fimple, perthaps. fuiltela, curiofity, others to fervinize, and deteet, if polfble, fome word or action, on which to found an accufation, and diminith the eredit of a man, who unmalked their hypocrify, of this laf claft it does not appear that many were is the defert.
But why withideaw into this defert place? Herod had juff put john to death, and, hearing the repont: of Chrift's miractes, was defirous of feeing him, Luke IX. ©. Chtif did not think proper at that cime to. expore himielf to the fary of that tyrand, moreover the place was not far diftant from a populous. country, in which there was no feaccity of provifi. oná. Hence St: Luke Iays, IX. 12, "the day began to decline, and the Twelve came, and faid to him: difmifs the crouds thaf, going into the towns and villages about, they may lodge and find provifions."
But why remain threewhole days witheut pro. vifions? It is not faid that they were without pro. vifions, but that they were three days attending (o). Chrilt'sinftructions: thequantity, which they brought, appears to have been confumed before the evening of the third day, and as they delayed until perhaps it might have been inconvenient to fome, and impof. fitte to others, to find provifions, that night in the adjacent:
djazent towns and villages, had they been difmis fed without food, fome of them would have fainted pn the way-v But why remain until evening ? Chrif's will, to which all his creatures are obe. dient, was a fufficient reafon; the attractivepowers of his divine prefence, and his heavenly voice, eafly detained shem.
10 In this miracle, the authenticity of which is unex ceptionable, the almighty power of Gud is manifeff It does not appear that the loaves were in. creafed by any additional matter, whether imme. diately created, or preexifting in fome other form, and fuper, added: St. John fays, exprefsly, that the twelve bafkels of fragments, which remained were of the barley doaves, (vi) of thefe, not of any additional matterethe men muff have eaten, and, as they were ranged in companies of fifties and hundreds, (Mark vi): thefe five loaves muft have been prefent, and caten at the fime time by thefe different companies, lefs than five loaved, was not fufticient for a company of fifty, they muft alfo have bicen prefent in the balkets, after the company had dined. This obfervation may ferve ass a corrective to the wild declamation of fume inew fangled teachers, who, though believing the power of God to be infinite, pretendeo confine it by their own imagination, as if infinite: pawer cannot: produce an effee beyond the range of limited imagination.
Of thefe raifed from the dead, three are particularly mentioned by the Evangelifts, perhaps they were of greater note, or the fâts, from their nature, were lefs liable to njjections. The widow of Nain's fon, Luke VII. IS ; the daughter of Jair, prince of the fynagague, Matt. IX, Mark VI, Lulke VIII; and Lazarus, John XI. Modern Seeptics, in imitation of their anceftors, the Heathen philofophers, under the influence of the fame firit of darknefs, "what is King

King over "at whofe II. 26 ; 6 b world, and' party," Wifd throw fome have only f

The frit is proached the out, an only great multitu the Lord flav her; and faic he touched and faid yo he who ha to fpeak; an feized them luke VII.

There mig tic, between C drowning ma fubterfuges, times impofit it does not apl were great, or for the aggra pretended coll to parchafe the note, of her fo funcral, was no ty of impofing hereafter.

Tothis firt perhaps, fays fit. Why ina

King over all the fons of pridon" Job XIE 55 "at whofe will they are held captives;", ad Tim: 11. 26 ; " by whofe envy death entered into the world, and whom all thefe imikrte, who are of his party," Wifdom II 2.5 , have exhaufted invention to throw fome obfcurity on thefe miracles; their efforts have only ferved to relucidate them on thes shers The firt is thus related br St Luke: "as he approached the gate of the city a dead man was carried out, an only fon to his mother, ond the a widow, a great multitude from the city with her; whom when the Lord flaw he was noved, with compaffion for her; and faid to her do mot weep; and coming up he touched the coffin, (thefe who carried it ftood) and faid young man, I fay to thee, grife; and lee who had been dead fat up, and began to fpeak and he gave him to his mother : feat feized ther $n$ all, and they magnified God," luke VII.

There might fiave been a collufion, fays the Seeptic, between Chtift and the Widow, it is faid that a drowning man will catch at a rufh, defpair fyggefts fubterfuges, which carry improbability and fome times impoffibility, on their facesfuch is: the prefent: it does not appear that Chrift's poffefions in Judea were great, or that he made any valuable acquifitions for the aggrandizement of himfelf or family. The pretended collufion wónld require no trifling fum: to purchafe the perpetual filence of a woman of fothe note, of her fon, of fall perfons concerned in public funcral, was not ealy, to pals unnoticed the impofibility of impofng on his difciples, of whom we thall fpeak hereafter.

To this firf fubterfuge the Sceptic adds a fecond: perhaps, fays he, the young manuras in a tethargie fit. Why inat inform us: by what extraondinary combination
combination of cuents Chrif arrived at the very inftant of his recovery? What amazing fagacity \% muff have had to difcover that this young man, whom he had not feeth and who was thought dead by thofe, who faw him, was but in a leclargic fit, and that he would awake precifely at that inftan of time, and in that place What penetration of mind does our Siseptic difplay in his different fuppófitions?
4. His laft fubterfuge yer remains to be examined : Sk. Luke is the only Evangelift who relates this fact, and he was not prefent at its True, but he is not the only one who believedit. The fat was never denied by the Jews, nor concradiated; if we may judge by his writixgs, St. Luke was not a man open. to feduction ; he mut havo had the fact from unqueftionable a uthority, or he would not liave related: it : Men of fenfe are nit impoftons without private views.

- The Evangelifts did not write a hifory of Chrift's. miracles their object was to frew that he was the Meffias, promifed and expeared by the jews, and to engage borh them, and the Gcruiles, robelievoin him, and obferve thamaxime of his divine. hw, to evince the truth of his miffon, and the indifenfable neceffity of obedience to his precepts: they fpoke in general of his miracles, fpecifying fome; which to. them, and to us alfo, appeared unexceptionable, in this we admire theis wifdom: Why fot becmuse any one mitaculous effect, beyond the rea ch of created power, is fufficient to authenticare his, minion, and alt the Ather miracles, which he waqughtet f as is wasimpof. fible that God, by an immediate interference, fhould zuthorize déception, or permit any deception in his name, without affording fufficiert means to detect it. $r$ Bust why did not all the Evangelifs relate tive fatie miracles?
mitacles ? They did: for they fay in general that tre healed the fick, the latme and blind ; that he raifed the dead, and caftout evil fpirits. They did not ak ways fpecify the fame miracles becaufe they did not confult each the other, nor act in concert having no impofture in view, hence each of the Evangelifts ins fifted more particularly on what he thought fufficient for his purpore. Three of the Evangelifts relate the'feftrteetion of Jair's daughter; their fimple nart ration leaves the room for fulpicion : Chrit on his: return from the country of the Gerafani, who were ? difpleafed for the lois of their hogs, was met by the Prince of the Synagogue, a man of great bote in the: country, he tells Chrift that his daughter was dying, and, proftrate at his feet, he prayed him to come and heal her, on the way a meflenger arrived, who informed then that the girl was dead. Chrif tells the difionfolate father not to fear,and continues his jour-: ney; at their arrival they found a tumult, tears and lamentations, fome mufical inftruments playingas was euttomaty with tho Jews; Chirif orders them all out, raying, the child is not deadbut feeps, thereby fig: nifying that it was as eafy for him to ralfe her from death, as. to awale her from fleep: he chen takes with hin the girl's parents, and three of his difciples, 25 witheffes, whilf the croud derialed him for attempting to awake a girl from death; which they, thought impoffible; , he then takes the girl by the hand, and ordered her to rife, which fhe did immediately ; the farcaftical derifion of the multitude only ferved to filence obloquy, and remove the mof diftant fufpicion, or even colour of deception This Gimple nartation fets the powers of invention it defi. ance : there could have been no collufion with the prince, and his whole family. An impottor, on the news of her death, would have interrupted his jour-
ney; he would not dare to promife relief, which he muftknow was not within his power; he could not prefume that the girl was in a trance, and would recover at the very inftant that he ordered, not before, all thefe circumflances leave no toom for cavilling.
To tet the vanity of our modern Sceptics in a clear. light, the conjectures, on which they pretend to invali. date thec truth of miraclesj, wehich have flood the teft of ages; and obtained the foffrage of all poliffied na-: tions, are here inferted st ? 0
3They are, fay they, related in fuch order as gives room for fufpicions Thes refurrectiph of Lazarus, the greateft of Chrifte miracles, is omitted by Matthew, Marks and Luke 3 related by John, who wrote his, gofpel at an adsanced age, when the witreffes were dead, he might then have invented this fact in honer of his mafter. It is not probable that the other three would have omitted it if the fact had not been fuppofed The widow of Naim might have lagreed with Chrift, and her fon might have feigned himfelf dead, or he might have been in a lethargy, and roufed at that erivical inftant; Jair's daughter might have been fubject th vapours; Chrif himfelf faid th at fhe flept; as he directed them to conceal the miracle, he did not think it unexceptionable ; the circumflances of Lazarus's refurrection tender the miracle doubtful: Chrift is faid to have wept, co have called with a loud voice; and Lazarus to have rifen, his face covered with a towel, thefe circumftances found a fafpicion of impoflure, and the prefumption is encreafed by the fury of the Jews, who refolved to put both Chrif and Lazarus to death.
If to eftablifh facts, or to efface pre conceived opiniones the Eqangelifo had coffered fufficiong and furmifes,
furmiles, they would have been objects of univerfal derifion widd conjectures either fors, or againf hiftory, are treated with contempt. The fufpicions againt the refurrection of the widow's fon, and Jair's daughter have been already dircuffed the injunction of filence is an indirect cenfure on yanity; if the three Evangelifts do not make feccial mention of the refurrection of Lazarus, is is not the only fact, which they pais unnoticed, it was not neceffary to their purpofe. They had affigned other miracles authentic, fufficient and equally effectual to eftabliih the truth of Chrift's mifion the refurrection of a dead body, whether four months or four minutes lifelefs, is beyond the range of limited power: the feeding of four or five thoufand people with a few loaves of bread is not lef for If the three Evange: lifts had denied the fact, their denial would have been fufficient to invalidate St John's relation; their filence is totally immaterial : the filence of ten witnefles on a fact, of which they do not fpeale at all, does not affect the teftimony of one, who aferts it.

It is true John was old when he wrote his Gofpel, his authority mut have been indifputable to obtain credit; it is not poffible that any man relating mi. raculous events of public notoriety, within the meinot ry of man, of which the world had not heard before, fhould obtain credit on his bare affertion, If Lazarus was not living when John wrote his Gofpel, the immenfe number of Jews who embraced the chriftian religion in Jerufalem, Judea and elfewhere, fome hundreds wore yet living, who knew the fact, aither on the teflimony of their own fenfes, or from the:relation of ocular witneffes.

The circumfances, which in our fophifts opinion fund a fupicion are calculated on principles of Dd. , . common
common fenfe ta remove every fufpicion : the Saviour afked the Jews where they had laid him, in order to ex. tort from them an acknowledgement of his death, and that he had lain four days in the grave ; he ordered them to remove the fone that they might fee the corple, which they had interred ; he called aloud that they might be witnefles of the power, which be exercifed over death; Lazarus came forth bound as he was buried, Chrit ordered them to loofe him, to convince them it was not a phatoom, but the very inan, whom they had depofited in the grave.

As St. John had written his Gorpel exprefsly to demonftrate the divinity of Jefus Chrift againft the errors of Ebion, Cerinthus and others, it was natural for them to infift more particularly on thefe mira. cles, in which, the independent and almighty power of Chrift was mot vifible. In his relation of the refurrection of Lazarus the true character of Chrift is manifeft, that is, the unity of his perfon in the divine and human nature : he wept, which is a fundion peculiar to man; he groaned in fpirit, indignant at the cruelty of the demon, by whofe envy fin, and death the wages of fin, had entered the world; the deftruction of thefe enemies was the great end of his miffion. He lifted up bis eyes to the father, that they might fee it was in the name of the true God he taught; by his own almighty power he ordered the dead man to rife, and was inftantly obeyed, fhew. ing that he himfelf was God, one with the father, 23 he had faid, and exercifing the fame power; in all this there could have been no deception, nor could God permit a deception in his name, which was in. acceflible to detection.

In like manner all the circumfances of the cure of the blind man, John IX, fhew him to have been the Creator, he made clay with his fittle, put it on the blind
blind man's eyes organ of the hun which he had or Confidered an 2 r calculated to def He fent the max Siloe, at the foot might be witneffe cifed, ablent as we in the pool his e more correctly, th ed. We man's tire, and perfect, from his birth, a pool ; the Pharife moft artful mant anfwers to veil 2 thority ; their mal ception; in defpai flance of the fab with the fittle, an dation of the fabl tence it had the refurrection of 1 Lence they refolve alfo, thinking tha moving a cenfor 0 into Jerufalem, h called Lazarus fr are vain: "the IX. ig. In the only accufation wrought miracles tinue, that all w But if thefe mira fot the prichto ant
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blind man's eyes, thus furming the moft delicate. organ of the human frame of the fame matter, of which he lad originally formed the whole body. Confidered a 2 medical application, clay is better calculated to deftroy fight, than to produce it. He fent the man through the city, tathe pool of Siloe, at the foot of Mount Sion, that the citizens might be witneffes of the power, which he exercifed, ablent as well as prefent ; after he had wathed in the pool his eyes were opened, or, to fpeak more correctly, the organs of fight were then formed. Whe man's return with his eyes open, en tire, and perfect, furprifed all thofe, who knew him from his birth, and wbo taw him go blind to the, pool; the Pharifes alarmed, interrogate him in the. moft artful manner, to obtain fomething from his, anfwers to veil 2 truth deftructive of their own authority; their malice only forved to remove every ex ception; in defpair they have recourfe to the circumfance of the fabbath, pretending that making clay with the fittle, and putting it on the eyes, was a vir olation of the fabbath. However ridiculous the pretence it had the defired effect on fome; but the refurrection of Lazarus was open to no pretence; Lence they refolved to murder hin, and Jefus Chrit alfo, thinking that, the only effectual means of removing a cenfor of fuch authority. At his entrance into Jerufatem, hearing the croud atteft that he had called Lazarus from the tomb, all efforts, fay they. are vain: "the whole world follows him," John IX. 19. In the Council which they affembled, the only accufation brought againf him was, that he wrought miracles, and if they permitted him to continue, that all would believe in him, John XI. 47 . But if thefe miracles were not fuppoled, why did fot the prich and Phatifecs, who were beft qualified
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to examine fuch facts, believe in them ? Some did, many did not ; forme who did, dared not avow it, for which the Eyangelift aligns a very fimple reafin, - many of the princes believed in him, but, becaufe of the Pharifes, they did not confefs, leaf they thoufd be expelled the rynagogue, they loved the glory of men, moote than the glory of God? John 211. $42-43$.

The feeming regularity of the Pharifees, that ap. pearance of fantity, which they affumed, gave them an afcendant over the minds of the people, who believed them fuch as they appeared, this afcendant was to them a fource of wealth and pow. er, it fed their ambition, and their avarice, thefe rwo great frings of action. Chrift was in their mind a molt importunate rival, lis doctrine de. ftructive of their arcendincy, cancelling at once their honors and interefs: he unmaked their hypocrify, undecelved the people, ruined their credit; they of courte confidered him as their capital enemy, ard to prevent their own ruin, refolved on his. As, the fane caufes, acting in fimilar circumitances, inyarably produce the fame cffects, we find an op. poftion nade to the Gorpel, in all ages, on the very Irinciples, on which the oppofition of the Pharifes ro Chrif himelf vas founded. Thus when Paul preaches againn idolatry in Ephefus, Demetrius the fiver-mith, who employed many workmen in the fabrication of finall liver teuples, like the great temple of Diana, Gaid to thens "you fee, and hear, that, not only at Epherus, but all over Afia, this Paul perfuades, and averts, a great multitude foying they are fot Gods, which are made by hands, ........ the temples of the great Diana will be thought nothing of ACt XIX. 26. To ciflame theit eal for the bonor of the Foddets, he had pre:
faced hi gain is From were pr ples 00 them fr fytem oracles enemies Was it Thefe is of thei which their $\mathbf{p}$ honor, ferent one of tificatio tended ceal the deluded fighted reftrain novelty formed artifice mifrepr tem ind of its $n$ whethe to the publifhe imagina and exc The $m$
m:
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me did, avow it, - realon, becaufe aft they ved the low John
that ap. gave people, d; this nd pow. e, thefe in their rine de. at once heir hy. credit enemy, on bis. ttances, d an op. the very Pharifes en Paul trius the in in the he great fec, and er $\Delta f i a$, ultitude y hands, will be cinfame had pre. faced
faced his difcource by faying "s you known that out gain is by this trade" v. 22.

From authentic hifory we, know the arts, which were prastifed by the miniters of the heathen tem ples of fupport the credir pf their Idols, and preferva them tron: that deftruetion, which the chriftian fyftem threatened; the pretended refponfes of theis oracles defiring the extermination of chrifians as enemies not oniy to the Gods, but to their Kings. Was it pure zeal for the glory of thein idols? No Thefe impoftors could not be ignorant of the vanity of their duols $_{2}$ and of the falfehood of the refponfes. which they themfelves, had forged, but they mafked their private views under the cloak of seal for the honor of their ldols, the fame is true of all the difo. ferent leaders of factions amongt chriftians: not one of thefe new teachers, who had not the gratification of fome favourite paffion in view, the pre-, tended diccovery of fome abufe was a malk to conceal the real motive of the revolt. The fimple were deluded with the idea of reform, the more, clear fighted faw a field open to ambition; $a$ removal of reftraints yas a frong ailurement to the fenfual; novelty an inducement to many. A party is foon formed; to encreafe the party, recourfe is had to artifice: the paffions of the great are flattered; mifreprefentation of the tenets of the primitive fyf. tem indnferioully circulated, the indifcretion of any of its minifters, malicioully exaggerated; the crimes, whether real or pretended, of individuals, afcribed to the whole body, the mof calumnious invectives publifhed with effrontery, every art practifed that imagination can fuggeft to efface the light of truth, and excite an averfion to the abjured communion. The minitters of the new order, in felf defence,
 inceffantly
ineellantly repeat the fame calumnious mifreprefen. tations, and, though a thouland times refuted by authentic documents they continue to amule their deluded followers by the tame idle tales, The op. pofition of the Pharifes continues to the prefent diy in their fucceffors, the fons of pride; our muv. dern Sophifts are more inexcufable, for they have had more unequivocal proof of the divinity of Chrit, than the Pharifees had : alt the miracles. which the Pharifees faw, are, yet vifible in their effect, the deftruction of idolaty, and the converfion of the world, as was foretold. This effect, of which our Sophifts are witneffes, againft their will, the Pharifees did not fee, their obltiaacy, therefore, however crimina, was not fo liexcurible, nor will its. punifliment be fo great.

In the incredulity of the Jews our Sophits pretend to difcover another caufe of fufpicion : if, tay they, the miracles, which are faid to be effected at the birth of Chrif be combined with thefe which he is faid to have wrought in his life time, the Jews muft have believed in himb Could we, fays 2 Jew, who bave tuld the world that one wauld come from God to punift the wicked, treat him ignominoufly when he came? To the Jew the writer teplies as he does to his brother the Deift, that the queftion argues a fund of fupidity": the magitrate, who facrifices. juftice to his private views a the woman who dupes and dimonors her busband; the highway-man who robs, the affifin, who murders for hire, diftegard" truth, juftice and all the reftraints of reafon and religion; fo did the lews ; the mifcreants, who brought Chrift befure Pihate, wrapped up in felf.crea. tedimportance, thought their appearance fufficient to convict him of their calumnious charges; their
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of their caufe: "if this man were not a malefactor would we have delivered him up to thee ?" John xviii.

The appearance of the Angei to 2 few frepherds, men of little note, made no imprefion on the public $2 t$ large; the arrival of the wife men was public and threw the whole city into confufion; they faid that they were come to adore hin, who was born King of the Jews. It was thought that this young prince was cut off by Herod in the general maflacre of the'children; few if any knew bis efcape into Egypt : Jofeph and Mary were firangers in Bethlebam and had retired in filence before the mallicre, on their return from Egypt they lived in a different part of the country in great obfcurity. Chritt was thought Ton to a mechanic, by none fufpected to be that King, whom the wife men came to adore. Thirty years were more than fufficient to efface the remeinbrance of thefe events when nothingoccurred to refrefh it. The difappointment of the Jews at feeing a Meffias, whom they expected to reinflate their monarchy in Solomon's glory, and make them inaffers of the world, preaching poverty and humility without a place to repofe his wearied limbs, embittered their minds and indifpofed them againft himfelf and his miracles, if he were to come again with the fame appearance of poverty and humility, teaching the fame doctrine, working the fame miracles, he would meet the fame oppofition.
Paflages are cited, mutilated, unconnected with antecedents and confequeuts, which determine the fenfe, forne diftorted from the intended fignification, and others totally fuppofed, in order to milfead the uninformed, and induce them to believe, that early writers, Origen, Eucher, Ambrofe, Hilary, Irenzus, Jerome and others, did not think the miracles of Chrift
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Chitin feat, or to be findertoded in the literal Ténte, thöugh ffoun his virirzactes they unảnimour. Iy demonftrate his divinity, to enforce "this truifh citations ate quelecs Ther have writen White tobles to alemonfirefle the fruth land reapivy of
 from whith paffage are atenaly extracted, they pre-fuppofe the hiftoricat truth, from It the deduce fifferént fignifications : the minacles of chrif were Infructive as was his words s they hive their Tanguage, if they be underfood: but this filent fanguage, which miricles xjeak, prappore, of alr neetefity, the reality of the fiets : thus St. Paul fays that Abtaham's tio wives, Agar and Sarah, fignify the old and new teftament, as Agar Was a lave, and Sarah a free whamin, Bue if Abr ahatr bad not thele two wives in reality, the one 2 live and the other a free womath, they could not be figurative of the old and new tentarient. A non-entity is no fign. In like manner, St. Auflin lays, that Jair's daughter raifed froth death, fignifiled the Jewifh people, but If the hat not been raifed there could hive been neither fign nor fignification.
Sume loofe expreffions of Origen, in 2 Work which abounds twith ertors, are artfully diftorted againt the fenfe of the author. There are, he fays, fome things written, which have not happened, which tould not thappen. The affertion is true : it is written that at the eorining of the Meflias, the wolf, that frecte and ravenous animat," would feed with the lamb; that the panther would "Leep with the kid; that the calf, the bull, and "the lion, whuld pature fogether, that the ox and the bear would have their young in the rame cavern; that the lion would eat Araw whe the ox. Thefe things have not happen. ed, hot willing riopeh. In thede exprefion, manis fenly
fefly figurative is announced the peace of the worla at Chrift's birth; and the converfion of the Heathens from the favage cruelty, natural to thefe ferocions animals, to the moeknefs of the lamb.
From an exuberance if imagination, Origen thodghte he found allegorical fignifications where they were not; but the hiftorical truth of miraculous facts re: corded in the friptures he never dehice, from the hiftorical truth he deduced his fanciful fignificationse: his works againft Celfus contain konclufive evidence of the truth of miracles; in them, the teachers of aut profeffed Sceptics have found all their pretended diffiw culties againft truth, there alfo they might bave found the moft fatisfactory folution. The writer doas not accufe the modern fry of having recourfe so Onigents Works: to ignorance and diffipation they are unint telligible.
As the jaundiced eye refers the yellow hue to every object it fees, fo impiety afcribes the confufium inf. its own dreams to the truth, which condemns it. Mole hills ate rnountains; Ihadows are metranorphofed into frabfances, in the delirium of inipiety. There is no faet, however authentic, in whichit does not find fome circumftance to found a furpicion; and the mot diftant fufpicion is in its idea cont vincing evidence. The narration of the Evangelifts is not fulliciently detailed; if more circumftantial, our Sceptics would find greater caufe of fufpicion the perfons raifed from death, or selieved from difeafes; are not exaetly defcribed; the accounts they brought from the other worldiare not 'tranfinitted'; why were not thefe miracles wrought in favor of meti of sote in Judea ? Why were inot their Princes, their Nobles, raifed from death ? Was it not unjuft, and injuripus, to permit the demons to deftroy the fwine; an ufurpation of authonity to drive the traders nat
of the temple; a ilagrant injuftice to averfet the money changer's tables, and featter their money on the floor? Unlawful totake figs from a tree without the owner's permiffion? Why expect to find them on the tree when' it was not the proper feafon? In the transfiguration there was rpom for impofture: he recired to a mountain, where the refraction of light, through fome cloud, might bave dazeled his difiples. He might have artfully engaged the Samaritan Woman to difclofe her own fecrets, and then pretend to bave known them by the fpirit of prophecy. The women, who are faid to be cured of different difeafes, might have been fubject to vapours; fick, in imagination : from which they might have been relieved by the confidence they placed in his affurances. To thefe impertinent queftions, and wild conjectures, which is, in fubftance, all that Sceptics find to cenfure, or fufpect, the reply is extremely fimple:: the Evangelifte were not public notaries, nor did they write for Seeptics: they were plain men, who told plain truth in plain language; 2 circumftintial hiftory of Chrin's miracles, or of the perfons relieved, was foscign to their purpofe, and entirely ufelefs: circumftances of time, place or perfon, are immaterial ; 2 fact, beyond the limits of human power, is at all times, in all places, and withrrefpect to all perfons, impoffibie to man. To Ged neither time, place, perfon, or fituation, give oppofition : there is nothing difficult when omnipotence is the agent.

The atteftation of perfons raifed from the dead would be fufpected, as is their refurrection ; their obfervations, on the other world, might gratify idle curiofity, they could anfwer no other purpofe. The joys of Heaven, the horrors of Hell, the narrow path, ,which leads to the former, and the broad way and rapid defcent, throkzh which all Sceptics roll on to
the latter; better inf
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erfet the oney on without ind them fon? In flure: he of light, his difciamaritan n pretend cy. The t difeafes, in imagi. relieved ices. To njectures, cenfure, the Evan. ey write told plain iftory of d , was fo. circum. terial ; all times, impoffibie perfon, or difficult
the dead on ; their ratify idle ofe. : The row path, way and roll on to the
the latter; are defcribed by Chrif himfelf, who was better informed.
The deftruation of the fwine feems rather an act of juftice: \{wine's flefh was forbidden by the Jewilh kww, the Samaxitans furnifhed both the temptation and means to difobey the precept. From this event we learn fome important truths : the intenfe malice of the demon, hls deftructive powers; his irreconcileable enmity even to his flaves; but we learn alfo that, however great his natural powers of defruction may be, he cannotexert them withoutan inmmediate permiffion.
In the expulion of the money changers and traders from the temple, there is no room for cenfure. it was the houfe of bis father, which they prophaned. he very juftly expeiled them. It is one of thefe in* Alances, in which his power, even over the wicked, is confpicuous.: one of their princes, perhaps, would, not have done it with impunity ; their rcady compliance, with his injunction, fubmiffion to an ignomi-sious chaftifement, and filence, when publicly charged with injuftice by an obfcure individual, poffefled of no place, to which political power is annexod, muft: have been the effect of an invifible power. In the courfe of natural events it is impoffible. The fig'tree, being on the way, was perhaps for the ufe of the public, if it had an owner, by his abfolute will, he: might have difpofed of the tree, and its owner. His. humanity, united to the divinity, was fubject to the natural affections of human nature, according to his. will. His hunger was then voluntary, 2 ftrong indication of his ardent defire of the fruits of jultice. and fanclity; his accefs to the tree at an unfeafona. ble time, thews that he expects thefe fruits at all; times, and his prohibition to bear fruit in future, at which the tree immediately withered, thews the, danger
danger of his finding nothing but leaves, that is vain projects, or ineffectual refolutions. He himirelf faíd, fin the parable of the fig tree, Luke XIF, "It bears no fruit, cut it down."
To thew that he was Creator of the different claf. fes of Beings, which compofe the Univerfe, he gave fignal inftinces of his power over them afl: the de. mons he expelled, the fick he heated, the dead he raifed, the fea and wind obeyed his order. The in. fatances of his power, in conferring favors, are num. Berters; of inflicting puinifitments there are but thret: the chaftifement of the Money Changers, which was extreme'y moderate, from which we learn, that he puniffes with regret, and fparingly, whilft his favors flow from the fulnef of his heart, and are without meafure. The Swine irrational, and the fig tree inani. mate, he totally deftroyed, intimating that his puwer extended over the whole of the Creation. As common father hiis cares extended to all his children, without diftinetion or difcrimination; the poor were more frequently relieved, becaufe they were numerous, and their wants were greater ; the rich were not excluded, if they did not exclude themfelves, as too many of them, engaged in purfuits of pleaifure, or fomething worfe, unfortunately did. If his enemies complained that he was more profure of his favors to Arangers, than to his fellow citizens, he replied, that in the days of Elias the e were many widows in Judea, and that he was fent to a Sidonian; and that, in the days of Naaman; the Syrian, there were many lepers in Ifrael, and not one of them cleanfed. There is no title to extraordinary graces; where there is no title there is no claiin, confequently no acceptation of perfons, which is a fin againft diffributive juftice.
To refer the transfiguration of Chrift to the refraction of light, argues the moft contemptible innor-
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it is vain elf faid, bears no ent claf. he gave the dedead he The in. re num. threes ich was that he is favors without ee ináni. s puwer ommon without e more ous, and cluded, of them, 5 worfe, that he than to of Elias he was taaman, el, and to ex. re is no which
ance : refracted light fhews the object, from which it is emitted, in the direation in which the refracted ray comes to the eye; thus, from the refradion of its rays, by the atmofphere, the fun appears above the horizon, when it is reality below it, this is called, by Aftronomers, "tbe Jun's apparent rijuns:" but refraded light will not form articulate founds; it will not fhew three men feaking aloud and intelligibly, where there is but one: our affent to the truth of the fact refts on the fincerity of the witneffes; which will be difcuffed in the courfe of the work.

Three witneffes were chofen as necefiary to atteft the fact, Mofes from the place of fouls, and Elias, from the place of his ref, appeared with him; the former attefting his power over the dead, and the latter his fupreme authority over the living; both fhewng that he was not one of the Prophets, as was thought by the Jews; but the Meffis, whom the law, of which Mofes was the minifter, and the Prophets, of whom Elias was the chief, had promifed. They foke of his departure, which he was to make at Jerufalem, the term Exodos, employed by St. Luke, may lignify, indifferently, his departure from life on the crots, or his departure from this vifible world by his afcenfiun; of allimportant fubjects, the moft important.

Their fudden difappearance, leaving Chrif alone on the mountain, indicates that the law and prophets were no more ; that to Chrift alone the world muft look for falvation and intruction; that in him all types and figures were fulfilled.

They eppeared in glory to inform us that Chrif's, clect will be fimilar to him ; to engage us to perfevere in the rugged path, which he has traced, in order to arrive at the end, a glimple of which had hrown the witnefles into an exflacy. Other reafons
fons are affigned, but thefe are fufficient to evince not only the truth, but the neceffity of this miracle.

It is with great propriety called a transfiguration; the Greek term morpbe uniformly fignifies the exterior appeatance, or figure, not the effential parts which confitute the fubftance, both in the old and new teftament : thus, Daniel iv, 33 , " my fhape returned," morpbe mou, and V. 6. "then the King's countenance" morphé "c was changed,' Mark xvi, 12, " le was thewn in another hape, etere morphe."

In his transfiguration the change was confined to the exterior form : "his face was refplendent as the fun, and his clothes were white as foow.

Chrift's inftruction to the Samaritan woman was not intended for her exclufively; millions have learned from it "that God is a pirit, and muft be adored in firit and truth ; that the time was come when facrifices, either in the temple of Jerufalem, or the mount of Garazim, would be no longer acceptable." Was it from the Samaritan that Chrift had learned thefe fecrets? If fo fhe was a moft intelligent woman. Thefe imaginary difeafes, which our feeptics have detected, are frong indications of fome mental derangement at liome' in all imaginary diftempers there is fomething real, the patient mif. takesit. : Moral remedies may engage him to have xecourfe to proper phyfical remedies, and fo remove the difeafe; our fophifts are difeafed at heart, the head feels it, but miftakes the nature and feat of the diftemper. Obftinacy, the eldeftdaughter of pride, renders their difeafe incurable. Is the imagination of a dead man eafily rectified? of a man born blind? his imgination muft be a noft powerful agent to form the organs of fight inftantanenufly. Does imapination cure the deaf, the dumb, the maimed? Wumen aflicted, the one eifhteen years, another twelve,
nvelve, with no uncommon difeafe, an iffue of blood? There is fomething fo ftupidly impudent in pretending to invalidate 2 well attefted fact, by a furmifed poflibility, that it is irkfome to difcufs it.

We are come to the laft fubterfuge of impiety, the miracles of Chrift are not attefted by the Jews; the controverfial writings of the Jews, in which thefe miracles were refuted, might have been fuppreffed by the Chriftians ; it appears, even from the Evangelifts, that he did not heal all the tick, who were brought to hins; that he healed but one at the Pool of Bethfaida, though there were many fick there at the time; that he could not work miracles in his own country. All thefe circumftances, fay our fophifts, found a fufpicion that his hiftory was fuppofed by the Evangelifts, and that there was nothing real in his pretended miracles.

How thefe fublime writers difcovered that Chrift's miracles were not attefted by the Jews, we plain men are at a lofs to conjecture, the Apofles were Jews, the primitiveChurch in Jerufalem, confifting of many thoufands, of whom a great number were of the facerdotal order, was entirely compofed of Jews : all thefe believed, and attefted, the miracles of Chrift. The Scribes and Pharifees, his avowed enemies, did not deny the $n$ : they had recourfe to artful evafions to elude the force of them. Cavilling on the circircumftance of the Sabbath, as if it were unlawful to heal the fick on that day, if they found no circumfance to cenfure, afcribing them to Beelzebub ; but the facts they did not deny, nordo their defcendants? to the prefent day.

When we are told who were thefe Jewifh writers, who refuted the miracles of Chrif, how, when, by whom, or where, their works have been fuppreffed; why the Jews connived at fuch fupprefion, we fhall
difculs
difculs that article. We have yet the works of Jorephus, an able writer, and more able fatefinan, who never failed to facrifice truth to his political views: a friking inftance we have in his Hiftory of the Jewin Antiquities. In it he artfully diminighes the force of the miracles recorded in the Otd Teftament, and throws ai hade over every thing he thought might give offence to the Heathens. His application of the prophecies concerning the Meflias, to Vefpafian, ${ }_{2}$ Heathen Emperor, has damned his memory, without redemption. Yer in his works, however grateful it might have been to his patrons, we find no refutation of the miracles of Chrif, or his Apoftles; nor do we find any fuch refutation in the works of Philo, a better mart, though not more favourable to Chriftianity; its doctrines he profeffedly rejects: in that book, which he entitled, Abraham's. Migrations," he fays, there were amongt the Jews 2 fort of people, who thought the laws were nothing elfe but figns of fpiritual things; that they condemned the letter to feek the fpirit; that they endeavour. ed to abolifh the fabbath, circumcifion and feftivals, introduced by Mofes ; that, in their opinion, true circumcifion. confifted in repreffing evil defires and affections. He accufes thete innovators of inconfancy and levity, cahprt his fellow Jews to refite thefe new opinions, and make no change in what had been wifely eftablified by their anceftors.
The feverity of his cenfure, on the new doarine, as fubverfive of the rites and ceremonies of the Mofaic Law, which the Chriftians uniformly taught to have been figurative, and to have ceafed on the eftablift-: ment of the new law, is decifive evidence that he would have attempted to invalidate the miracles, by which its divine original is attefted, if he faw any probability of fuccefs. To have mentioned them, withour
without a Coli his caufe, he This reafonin nore intelfig he fpeaks of his ftele; it Pilate, he cou moft remark bius, a moft Lib. 3, N. 7 NicephorusLex. Art. Jof of John Bip James, the b N. 1. Of the modern Criti cites them, in he would nc genuine. Th Lib. 2, Cap. 2
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There are ft but none con
without a folidrefutation, wonld havebeen ruinous to his caufe, he therefore wicely paffed them unnoticed. This reafoning is applicable to Jofephug, though the nore intelligent Critics judge thas paffge in which he fpeks of Chrift andhis miracles, genuines it is in his fxle; the gocation required it: fpeating of Pilate, he could not with propricty have omitted the moft remarkable cvens of his adminifration. Fufe bius, a moft diligent writer, cites it, Demon. Evay Lib. 3. N. 7 , and alfo. Hif. Ecc. Lib. 1, Cap. If Nicephorus-Hift Ecc. Lib. 1, Cap. 1. Suidaslex. Art. Jofephe, and Jerom, cite it Jolephus fpeaks of John Buptif, Lib, 18, Cap. 5 , N. 6. and of:St. James, the brother of our Lord, Lib. 20, Cap. 9. N. t. Of thefe teftimonies, though rejected by fome modern Critics, there is conclufive evidence: Origen cites them, in his book againt Celfus, No. 47 , which he would not dare to have done, if they were not genuine. They are alfocited by Eufebius, Hift. Ecc. Lib. 2, Cap. 23, and by St. Jerom, De. Scrip. Eccl.

The paffage is found in the 18 th Book of Jewith Antiquities, Chap 3, No. 4 , and is thus conceived: "at that time (that is in Pilate's time) was Jefus, a wife man, if it be juft to call him a man : for he was the effector of wonderful works ; the teacher of men, who receive truih with pleafure; he attracted many Jews and many Gentiles. This was that Chrif, whom Pilate, on the delation of our chief men, crucified : they who had loved him before did not ceafe to love him; he appeared to them on the third day, returned to life. Thefe and a thoufand other wonderful things, had been foretold by the Prophets; from him arofe the nation of Chriftiams, which continues to the prefent day.?

There are ftrong reafons to fufpect an interpolation, but none conclufive. Jofephus did not believe in Ff

Chrift

Chrif-true, he did not believe him God equal to his eternal father, as the difciples did; but it is not clear that he did not believe bim to be the Meffias announced by the Prophets: he moit certainly did not believe Vefpafian, a profeffed idolater, to be the Mefias, though, courtier like, he flattered him with the title. He might, like his brethren of whom St. John fpeaks, have believed in him, and not avow it: becaufe, fays the Evangelift, they "loved the glory of men more than the glory of God." John xii, 43 .

- This teftimony has not been cited by the primitive apologifts of chriftianity. True : they did not reft the juftice of their caufe on the authority of a court flat. rerer, whofe duplicity they abhorred. His authority would have no force againft the heathens, with whom they reafoned; it might have fome weight with the Jews ; but they did not difpute the truth of Chrift's miracles; they afcribed them to magic, which they had learned in Egypt, and endeavored to clude the force of them under different pretences.
It is faid that the chriftians, in the third century, inferted the paffage in Jofephus's work. That is extremely improbable : no reafon can be affigned why the chriftians Grould interpolate a work, which they at all times difregarded, and continne to difregard. The authority of a court flatterer, who facrifices truth to private views, is of little weight for, or againf, any caufe. Chrifian writers adduce vouchers of an unblemifhed character. It is highly probable that the pafige was retrenched from many copies by the Jews, becaufe it is not very honorable to themfelves, or their anceftors.

That Chrift did not work miracles, at the requifition of the Pharifees, is true ; he difregarded the defire of Herod alfo. It was inconfiftent with his wifdom to gratify idle curiofity. The miracles, which
the Pharife animofity : lame, in th Pricfts, an which he d and faying moved wi figns, whic virulence 0
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entury, $t$ is $\mathrm{cx}-$ d.why h they regard. struth Ift, any of an hat the e Jews, or their the dehis wifwhich the
the Pharifees had feen, only ferved to increafe their animofity : "There came to him the blind and the tame, in the temple, and he healed them. The Cbief Priefts, and Pharifees, feeing the wonderful things which he did, and hearing the children crying out, and faying-Hofanna to the Son of Javid-were moved with indignation." Math. xxi, 15-16. The figns, which they required, would not diminifh the virulence of their ulcerated minds.

If he could not work miracles in his own country, it was not through impotence, but becaufe he could not, with propriety, work miracles amongt an incredulous people, which would have no other effect but to render them inexcufable, we find him reward the piety of fome, even there: "he did not work many miracles, on account of their incredulity." Matth. xiii, $5^{88}$. And Mark, who fays that he could not work any miracles, there adds, in the fame fentence, that he healed a few fick perfons, Mark vi, 5 . In the fame fenfe the Angel faid to Lot, Gen. xix, 22," "I can do nothing until you go thera (to Segor.). The Angel's, power was independent on Lot's fafety; but he could not, with propriety, exercife his terrible commiffion until Lot was in a place of fafety.

The immediate effect of Chrif's miracles was to manifef, to the Jews, the divinity of his miffion, and, by neceffary confequence, the truth of his doctrine: when he changed the water into wine at Cana : the Evangelift fays " he manifefted lis glory, and his difciples believed in him," John ii, 11 ; and he adds, $v_{0}$ 23 , "when he was at Jerufalem, at the Pailover upon the Feftival Day, many believed in his name, fecing the ligns which he effected." Hence Nicodemus came at night, and faid to him: "Rabbi, we know that you came from God a teacher : for no one can do thefe ligns, which you do, if God be not with him." John
iii, 2. For the truth of his mifion Chrif frequently appealed to his miracles. Thus, John y, 36 , he faid to the Jews: "But I have a greater teftimony than That of John: the works, which the father hath given me, that I may effect them : thele works, which I do, they give teltimony of me, that the father fent me. Immediately before he ordered Lazarus to fife from the tomb, he lift up his cyes to his father, faying: "I thank thee that thou haft heard me; I knew that thou heared me always, but becaure of the people, who ftand about, have If fid it, that they may believe that thou haft fent me o John xi, 42.

His miracles, attefting the divinity of his miffion, and thereby renderfig the truth of his doctrine un. queftionable, afford conclufive evidence of his divinity. To raife to life, or form the organs of fight, is not within the limits of cteated power. Hence the blind man, in reply to the Pharifees, fays: "From the age it has not been heard that any man opened the eyea of one born blind. "John ix, 32 .

It was not by invocation, but by his own inhe. rent power, that Chrift effected thele works, which tranfcend the limits of created power. Hence St. Luke fayw, vi, 19, $\%$ virtue (dunanus) went out from him, and healed them, all." He taught ex. prefsly that he was God, one with the father, pof. reffed of the fame independent and almighty, power; and, in confirmation of this truth, he frequently ap paled to his miracles. Thus when the Jews furrounded him, in Solomon's porch, and faid: "How 10ng will you keep us in fufpence? If you be the Chrift (the Mefias) tell us plainly. Jefus anfwered them - I P eak to you , and you do not believe me; the works, which Ido, in the name of the father, Thes give tefinony of me." John x, 25 . After declaring that he would give eternatlife to his fiep,
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that no power could wref them out of his bands, he affigns the reafon-becaufe no power can wreft them out of the hand of the father - he immediately adds: land tbe father are one; that is, one in effence, one in power. The Jews took up fones to fone him for Blafphemy : becaufe, faid they, "that, being a man, you nake yourfelf God." To which he replied, that in their law the Prophets were, in a certain fenfe, called Gods, without blafphemy ; that it was not blafphemy in him, whom God had fanctified, and fent into the world, to call himfelf Son of God: "If I donot the works of iny father," faid he, 37 , "believe me not: but if I do, though you will not believe me, believe the works : that you may know and believe, that the father is in me, and In the father.? John $x$.

He in the fame manner offered his miracles in proof of his divinity when Philip defired to fee the father: "do you not believe faid he, that I am in the father and the father in me ? Otherwife believe me for thefeworks," John xiv, 11.

And becaufe thefe his miracles were irrefintible proofs of his divinity, he declared that the Jews who perfifed in their incredulity, were inexcufable, "if I did not come and fpeak to them, they would not have fin; but now they have no excufe. for their fin. He who hates me, hates my father. If I had not done works in thein, which no other, did, they would not have fin ; but now they havo feen, and they hate both me and my father, Joha. XV. 22 , \&c.

The divinity of Chrift, manifett in his works and words, the Jews refufed to acknowledge. This ar ticle of his doctrine they clearly undertond, and of all others moft frenuouly oppofed. They perfecured him for a pretended violation of the fabbath, becaute fied had beaied a ūck man at the pool of Betheaida

Bethfaida on that day : but their indignation was increaled beyond meafure at his reply, in which his equality with the father was fated : "Chrif replied to them : my father worketh until now, and I alfo work. For this, therefore, the Jews fought yet more to kill him, not only becaufe he broke the fabbath, but becaufe he faid thiat God was his proper father, "Patera idion," making himfelf equal to God," John V. 17, and feq.

The Jews therefore underfood his doctrine in the true fenfe, that he was Son of God by nature, not by adoption : in this latter fenfe there could have beeil no accufation of blafphemy : for the Jews called them. felves the Sons of God by adoption: "They faid then to him: we are not born of fornication, we have one father, God." John viii, 41.
That it wasthe intended fenfe is manifeft - the words can bear no other fignification-" The father worketh until now, and I work as if he had faid, though the father had ceafed on the Sabbath Day, from the works, which he had created; he yet continues to create, and to preferve his creatures, without a viola. tion of the Sabbath-this, his operation, is mine, in it there is no violation of the Sabbath. So far from diminifhing the force of an expreflion, which had excited their indignation, he continued to explain it more clearly: "Then Jefus anfwered, and faid to. them: Amen, amen, the Son cannot do any thing of himelf but what he fees the father do : for what things foever he does, thefe the Son alfo does in like manner: ..... as the father raifes the dead, and gives life, fo the Son gives life to whom he will ..... that atl men may honor the Son as they honor the father-he who does. not honor the Son does not honor the father, who fent him ..... Amen, amen, I day unto you, the hour comes, it is now, when the dead fhall hear the
the voice of Shall live: $f$ hath given $t$

In the wh power, the 1 is, the fane with the fatl he refers the in his works ny than that gave me to give teftime ibidem. The becaufe it w: animofity, c where there fays the age in its effects the divinity

As Chrift quently rec relieved, gi vanity is to fire of popula cenfured in then, the fp modera fce Heathen Phi

Of the mi ture as not $t$ five thoufan tion of the , and many o riety, which

So public, licued were
the voice of the Son of God, and they who will hear thall live: for as the father hath life in himfelf, fo he hath given to the Son to have life in himfelf." ibidem.

In the whole of this difcourle he claims the fame powe:, the fame honor, the fame life in himfelf, that is, the faine eternity, in a word, the fame divine nature with the father ; and, for the truth of this doctrine, he refers them to the teftimony of the father, manifelt in his works : "I have," faid he, "a greater teftimony than that of John-the works which the father gave me to effect, thefe works, which I perform give teftimony of me, that the father fent me," ibidem. Their incredulity he declared inexculable, becaufe it was the refult of groundlefs, and virulent animofity, condemned by the voice of reafon : for where there is an exercife of the divine power, reaton, fays the agent, muft be God; they faw this power in its effects, and obotinately refufed to acknowledge the divinity of the agent.

As Chrift taight by word and example he frequently recommended fecrecy to thofe, whom he relieved, giving his difciples to underfand that vanity is to have no fhare in their works. The defire of popular applaufe is a vice which he feverely cenfured in the Pharifees. It is at prefent, as it was then, the fpring of action in the fons of pride. Our modern fceptics, in imitation of their anceftors, the Heathen Philofophers, think it a virtuc.

Of the miracles of Chrift many were of fuch a nature as not to be concealed. The feeding of four or five thoufand people, with a few lonves, the refurrection of the widow of Naim's fon, that of Lazarus, and many other miracies, were facts of public notoriety, which could not be concealed.

So public, fo well attefted, and fo univerfally believed were the miracles of Chrif, that the hexthen
writers againft Chriftianity admitted them : we learn from Origen shro book againt Celfus, No. 49, and feg. that this frreconcileable enemy to Chriftianity believed the pofracest ohrif genuine, he endeavoured to invalidate their force, under pretence that fuch prodigies had been effected by magicians.

Porphyrus, hot lefs inmical than Celfus, inadver. tently acknowedged Chrif's powet over their pretended Gots : The that Jefus is worthipped, faid he, Ab. Eur Pef. Evan. Lib. $5^{\circ}$ Cap. 1 , we can obraih no advantage from the Gods, nor is it wonderfulif, after fomay years, the city be afticted, fince Zefculapius and the other Gods are abient from t.
"That philoropher, fays St. Auftin, De. Liv. Dei. lib. $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{Cap} 23, N \mathrm{No}$, , fpeaks well of Chrift, as If forgeting the contumely of which we have fooken; or, as if the Gods in a dream had blafphemed Chrift, and, awaking, knew him to be good and worthy of praife. Finally, ad if going to pronounce fonce. thing wonderful and Incredible, Porphyrius fays it will appear to fome extrôdinary what we are going to diy: the Gods have pronounced that Chrift moft plous, and made immortal, ahd remembered him whth good words. He then, continues St. Aufth, fubjoins tome oracles of the Guds blaiphem. ing Chirinians, and after this he fays to thofe who afked if Chrift was God, Hecate replied : ince you know how the immurtal roul walks after the body, but that which is cut of from wirdom for ever wanders. That foul is of a mof excellent man for piety, this they (the Chriftans) adore, truth being far from then." After St. Auftin had cited foine other oracles, from Porphyrius, to the honor of Chritt, though not fo to Chriftians, he thus concludes, No. 3, "who does not fee that thefe oracles were forgeã
forged by this Chrift, traduce given by thef to precluide th muft be a Chri

Hierocles, w tianity with which he infer tians, who pr blind, and do He inftitutes a Chrift, and th adducing many impottor, he th commemoratec accurate jüdgn levity of Cliril done thefe gre: pleafing to the prodigies call t As to the Tyane, which colleague Daría hundred years by Julia, wife They are a tiffu fenfe. Philont: profound refpe the language learnt from t 4th book, he wedding of h at table, infor the demon, the leaving them a thaprody :here

Corged by this artful enemy, who, whilit he praifes Chrift, traduces Chriftians, or, if fuch anfwers were given by thefe unclean fpirits, they were intcided to preclude the way of falvation, in whichzman muft bea Chriftian.
Hierocles, who, under Dioclefien, perfecuted Chrif. tianity with his fword and his pen, in the book which he infcribed Pbilaletbe, inveighs againft Chriftians, who praifed Chrit for reftoring fight to the blind, and doing other things equally wonderfurt. He inftitutes a comparifon between the miracles of Chrift, and thofe of Apollonius, of Tyane, after adducing many pretended miracles of this celebrated impoftor, he thus concludes : "to what end have I commemorated thefe things? That our folid and accurate judgment of all things may appear, and the levity of Chriftians : we do not think him, who has done thefe great things a God, but a man dear anid pleafing to the Gods, they on the contrary for a fewt prodigies call their Jefus God:"

As to the pretended miracles of, Apollonius or Tyane, which are faid to have been writen by his colleague Darias, and repeated by Philoitratas 'tw' hundred years after, from fome memorials furnified by Julia, wife to the Emperor Septimus Severus. They are a tiffue of abfurd fables, offenfive to common fenfe. Philoftratus, who feems to have the moft profound refpest for his hero, makes him underfanid the language of birds and beafts, which, he fays, he learnt from the Arabs. In the 8 th chapter of his 4 th book, he fays, that Apollonius, invited to the wedding of his friend Menippas, whillt they were at table, informed his friend that the bride was a the demon, the the demon immediately difappeared, leaving them all in confernation and fear. In this thapfody there are many tales efiunlly abfurd.

A judicigns critic, fpeaking of Philoftratus, lays: This wonkexeites a contempt for the hiforian, and indirnation againit the impoftor, whofe hiftory he matesh $\mathrm{m}_{1}$ defence of the hiftorian, fome pretend that it was a romance, which he compofed for the amurement of the Emprefs, his benefactrefs:
Bucm Julians the apoftate, whofe hatred knew no bound ho though he affected to defpife: Chrifians, whom he callod Galileans, admitted the miracles of Chriftse It is true, that, illfated mifcreant was fo in. fatuated with the myflerious rites of augurs, footh. fayers, and magicians, that he thought greater mira. cles might have been effected by them. Hence he fays that Chrif done nothing great; "sif it be not thought a great matter that he cured the lame and blind, and adjured fome people poffeffed by demons, in the freats of Bethfaida and Bethania, "Ap. Cyr. Lib. 6, Con. Jul,

Wbatever figns apparently tranfeending the power of man, and exciting his aftonillament, the artful illufions of Anti-Chrift, or other falfe Prophets, may feem to effect, or the Demon, by his fagacity in difcovering natural agents, and his power of transfering them almof inftantaneoufy from places however diftant, and applying them to fubjects naturally dif. pofed for their riception, may in reality effect, none can be deceived by thefe figns, but thofe who are willing to be deceived, becaufe they havejoeen told that fuch figns would be given by falfe Prophets, in order to deceive. In the pretended miracles of Anti-Chyift there will be nathing real: St. Paulexprefsly calls them lying prodigies, 2. Thefs, ii. 9. He there affigns the reafon why God will permit thefe illufions to impofe on the wicked: " becaufe," fays he, "they did not receive the charity of truth." that is, the truth in charity. It has been already obferved,
that the operat is one of Go mits no fuch ? conjuncture, cheat.

Of all fac world, there rection of Chr greateft enein his name, renc trovertible: : who had conf told him tha promifed to 1 the body fhow the errors, wl them to take Nothing wa fuggeft. The move the mof the moft inve neffes of his tr true Few own guilt confefion; th whilf the gas witnefs is a me bility of a gua on thetr polt ofremoving a fepulchire, and king any ove The falleho the difciples 1 known that he
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that the operation of the Demon, in order to decelve, is one of God's mof fevere judgmethts? But he permits no fuch operation in his owh name, her in any conjuncture, in which reafon cannot difcover the cheat.

Of all facts. upon record, in the annals of the world, there is not one fó well attefed as the refurrection of Chrift, and his afcenfion into.Heaven; his greateft enemies have, by their exertions to efface his name, rendered the fact of his refurrection incontrovertible : fhortly after his interment the Jews, who had confired againft him, came to Pilde, and told him that the Seducer, as they called Chrin, had promifed to rife from the dead after three days, if the body fhould difappear, this would eftabling all the errors, which he Had taught. Pilate directed them to take the guard, avd focure the fepulchice. Nothing was omitted which prodence could fuggeft. The effect of thefe precautions was to remove the mof diftant fufpicion of illafion, and make the mof inveterate enemits off chitht the firt witnefles of his triumph er They did not attef the fact; true. Few men are fond to give evidence of their own guilt ; but their "only fabterfoge is equal ta a confeffon, the diceples, fay they, fole the body whilf the guards fept. The teftimony of a fleeping witnefs is a mockery, to pafs unnoticed the improbability of a guard bf weh difciplined foldiers all Deeping on theh pohe at the fatio times, atid the inpofitility ofremoving a yteat fone from the entrance of the 'fepulchre, and carrying off the body, without awa"king any one of them.

The falfehood of the fuppofition is manifen, if the difiples had folen the body, they mutt have known that he did not rife from the dead; that he Was an impofor, who implefe on incir fimplicity ; and.
and, as fon lis fake, they had expofed themfelves to the enmity of their nation, they muf have then at leaft abandoned lim. The contrary has happened; though they bafely deferted him before his death whilft they had great expectancies from his power; and could hardly prevail on themfelves to believe his refurrection real, after they had feen him, yet, upon conviction of the truth, they were no more to be deterred by threats or tortures, by tormerts or death. Their words and actions breathe nothing butfincerity. They did not remove to a diftant country, nor did they wait until time had effaced the memony of the tranfaction ; but whilit it was yet recent, whilf the inipreffion of his ignominious death was yet frong on the public mind, they appeared in the temple, where the Priefts prefided, who were his accufers, there they attefted the truth: it was believed by thoufands, and not denied by Chrift's moft inveterate enemies. Their charge, againf the principal Jews was of the moft atrocious kind: "The God of Abraham, of Ifaac, and of Jacoi, the God of our fathers, glorified his fon Jefus, whom you delivered over, and denied before Pilate, whojudged him to be difmiffed; but you denied the juft and the holy one, and defired a murderer to be granted to you; but the author of life youkilled, whom God raifed from the dead, of which we, are witneffes," Acts iii, 13, 14; 15. An accufation of a more heipous nature is not upon record in the annals of mankind. And this accufo. cion is funded on the fact of Chrif's refurrection: for if he did not rife lie was an impoftor, and tie Jews were perfectly juftifiable in putting him to deach, The chief priefts and magiftrates of the temple affembled, and put Peter and John into confinement; the day tollowir: che accufation was repeated, and the fact of the refurrection on which it refted, was
afferted in John, Ale: order ; th tempt wh the princi fed of ever refute an a if it were Their acq of the tru Peter and confident mation of of the trut validating The car fufferings, their fince which the their nati mory of fpoke, is to deceive have inte men of tr denies it; Why d after his; did not ri juftificatio tine ; its vince com rection; hy them, doubt : t angels, th
afferted in publio council before Annas, Caiphas; John, Alexander; and all who weresof the Sacerdotal order ; there was no denial of che fact, nor any $;$ attempt whatfoever to sefute it. Fol pretend that the principal Jews, men of wealth and power, pofferfed of every poffible meansof information, would not refute an accufation, refecting difhonor on themfelves, if it were poffible, is to miltake the nature of man. Their acquiefcence is more than juridical evidence of the truth. It is equally abfurd to imagine that Peter and John would make fuch a charge, and fo confidently affert the refurrection of Chritt in confirmation of the charge, if they were not well affured of the truth of the fact, and the impoffibility of invalidating it by any artifice or evafion.

The candour of the apoftes, their labours, their fufferings, their deaths, is conclufive evidence of their fincerity. The bold, and open manncr, in which they atteft truths deftructive of the hooor of their nation, damning, without redemption, the memory of the principal Jews, in whofe prefence they fpoke, is equally convincing that ${ }_{\mathbf{x}}$ if they intended to deceive; they could not have done it. Impoftors bave interefted views : they had none, they were men of truth. The man who reads their hiftory and denies it, does not know the truth.

Why did not Chrif fhew himfelf to all the Jews after his refurrection ? There was no neceffity He did not rife for the conviction of the Jews, but forthe juftification of Chriftians until the confummation of time; it was therefore neceffary that he fhould convince competent witnefles of the truth of his refurrection; that it fhould be afcerfained to them, and hy them, to all nations, beyond the poffibility of a doubt : this was effectually done. It was declared by angels, the meffengers of Heaven: "He is rifen he
is not here. ${ }^{M}$ Luke xxiv, 6 . He heard them; he anfurered them; he eat with them; he inftucted then , he fhewed them his wounds, from the nails. and the fpear ; they touched them ; by every ${ }^{\prime}$ poffible argument he convinced them, that he was rifen in the fame folid boidy, in which he fuffered. If he had fhewn limfelf in the temple it would have convinced the Prieft' : many of them were convinced, and embraced the faith on the teftimony of the apoftes; they, who obftinately perfevered in theitincredulity, would not have been convinced by his appearance, and from the abure of the favors, which he had: fhewn were not deferving of more, add to this that the queftion would again recur if he had thewn himfelf in the temple why not in the court? Why not in every ftreet of the city? Why not in Samaria? And, as the effect of the refurrecion was not to be confined to the Jewift people exclufively, Why not in Rome, in Athens, \&e And as an fucceeding generations were equally interefted with the then exifing. generation, on the prineiple laid down by moderiz fceptics, Chrift's appearance would have been neceffary at all times, and in all places. A leader of the fquad thought it incumbent on Chritt to appear to hinfelf; the witer ventures to affire him that he will, in due time, not to gratify idle curiofity, but to chaftife arrogance and impiety.

The credulity of an ignorant populace is the laft refource of our fophifts. There is nothing, fay they, Which the populace will not eafly believe, if it bs toid by men of influence: thus the Romans believed Juhius Proculus, that Romulus had defcended from the Heavens'to inform him, that he was among the Gods; not boly the populace, bue the fenate ordered a temple to be built in honour of the new God. ralevander dechning that Hephention, was deifed;
was believ was believ that Chri believed th

It is tru flatters, th dence any other amufemen they were without e were firor of having manner, is tended to then for fufpicion; the popula the utmof ligent amo on which pular cred the perver How our of the low jecture : $v$ Arimathea Barnaby, that imme embraced may judge were men fcribblers,

Let us $\mathbf{a}$ tics fuppof thefe igno

Was believed by the courtierg, and fhortly after; it was believed: by himfelf : thus the Apodlestimagined that Chrift rofe from the dead, and she populace believed them without farcher difcuffion,

It is true, a credutous populacereafly believes what flatters their pafions, if afferted by men of confidence. The emolynent of Romulus, Hepheftion, or any other pretended divinity, only encreafed their amulements, and was accompanied by no reftraints, they were of courfe received with acclamation, without examination or difcuffion. The Senaturs were frongly, and in all probability juftly, fufpected of having difpofed of Romulus in a clandeftine manner, it is not maiter of furprife that they pretended to believe Proculus, who was employed by then for the exprefs purpofe of temoving the fufpicion; bat when we fee the Jewifh Priefts, not the populace, filent under an accufation reflecting the utmof difhonnur on themfelves, the moft intelligent amongit them convinced of the Refurrection, on which the charge was fupported, the idea of popular credulity vanifhes, an emotion of furprife at the perverfe obftinacy of the incredulous fucceeds. How our Seeptics difcovered that the Difciples were of the loweft orders of lociety, we are a lofs to conjecture: was Gannaliel, Nicodemus, or Jofeph, of Arimathea, of the loweft order? Were Stephen, Barnaby, Luke, and Paul, of the populace? Was that immenfe body of the Sacerdotal order, who embraced the faith of the creduluus berd? If we may judge of a writer by his works, Luke and Paul were men of fcience, as far fuperior to Sceptical fcribblers, as thefe are to their brother monkeys.

Let us admit the Difciples were fuch as our Seeptics fuppofe them, ignorant and credulous, how did thefe ignorant and credulous men impofe their ap:
parently Yand incredible doctrines on the moft incte: dulous' of men, the Heathen Philofophers? How did they enforce obedience to their injunctions, which are confeffedly full of the tinot mortifying reftraints ? Thefe are embarraffing queftions.
The refuriection of Chritt, and other fipeculative dodrines of his religion, were in direct oppoftion to the received opinions of the world, its moral maxims equally inimical to the eftablified maxims of the world, beatifying poverty, humility, chaftity, mos defly, meeknefs and patience; denouncing the divine vengeance, againf avarice, ambition, incontinence, and all the prevailing paffions of man ; is propofed nothing lefs than the total abolition of idolatry,' the deftruction of all the Heathen temples, the fappreffion of all fuperfitious rites and ceremonies, which had been univerfally eftablified by im: memerial cuftom, authorized and confirmed by Legiflatures, interwaven with the fundamental laws of Kingdoms and States, flattering all the inclinations of mani. Add to this, that thefe doctrines, fo appa ${ }^{+}$ rently increctiole, and thefe moral maxims, in reality fo inimical to corrupt nature, were taught by men of no influence; in their own country, hated and perfecuted ; amongt the Heathen nations defifiled; oppofed by wealth, power, intereft, fience, and every artifice, which the malice of the Demion could invent. Thefe dificulties, to human power invincible, were furmounted by the irrefifible evidence of Chrift's Refurrection.
His afcenfion is a fact attefted as forcibly, and with the fame fuccefs. In it there is no room for deception: the witnefles were numerous; they could not have been all fubject to the fame illafion at the fame infant of time. He had fpoken to them, he had eaten with them, he had conducted them from
the city throug and there, blefl See the relation

It is not in witneffes woul to be a fiction, in view. The intereft in vie hopes of the 1 blafted, and they could pro Heathens, whol foothfayers, or people'to defpi pretended God folly of whore of whofe offic more they coul tained, that is, thercforemuft fion of fouts, an is true, they pr they did to the it would have an after life, fo knew to be therefore true; anger of God piety," Rom. i true.
From Juftin: the Senate, and rius had been occurrences of ting many of "that theie th
the city through Bethania to the mountain of Olives, and there, bleffing them, he afcended in their view. See the relation, Acts i.

It is not in the nature of things that fo many witnefles would confpire to atteft a fact, lnowing is to be a fiction, without hating fome great intereft in view. The Apoftes could have no temporal intereft in view : from the Jews, whofe fanguine hopes of the re-eftablifhment of their empire, they blafted, and whofe total deftruction they foretold, they could promife themfelves nothing; from the Heathens, whofe rites they fuppreffed, whofe augurs, foothfayers, oracles and temples, they taught the people to defpife, the vanity and emptinefs of whofe pretended Gods and Goddeffes they expofed, the folly of whore fuppliants they ridiculed, the artifices of whofe officers they difclofed, from thefe once more they could expect nothing but what they obtained, that is, chains, tortares.and death. Their object thercforemuft have been, as they afferted, the converfion of fouls, and the fanctification of their own. It is true, they promifed happincis to their difciples, as they did to themfelves, but not in this world, and it would have been madnefs to expect happinefs in an after life, for teaching that for truth, which they knew. to be faffe. The doctrine they taught was therefore true; and when they declared that " the anger of God is revealed from Heaven againft impiety," Rom. i. 18, our Sceptics will find it but too true.

From Juftin's apology, addreflied to the Emperor, the Senate, and Roman people, we learn that Tiberius had been informed by Pilate of the principal occurrences of Chrilt's mifion in Judea ; after rclating many of thefe traufactions, he fays, No. 7, "that thefe things have happened you may learn
from the acts of Pontius Pilate.". The fame affertion he repeats, No. 48. We know that it was invariably the cuftom for the Governors of Provinces, to tranfmit to the Emperor a circumftanitial account of fingular incidents. Pilate tannot be prefumed to have omit. ted it, and Justin would not have dared to appeal to the public records if he were not well affured the facts were regiftered, nor would his adverfary, Crefcentius, the cynic, a moft malignant cenfor, have palfed fuch a miftake unnoticed.

Tertullian alfo, a writer well verfed in the Roman laws, fays, in his Apologetic, Cap. $21^{\circ}$, No. 7, "that. Pilate himfelf, a Chriftian in confcience, had reported to the Emperor Tiberius the moft memorable oc. currences of Chrift's miffion." He adds, in the fame Apolagy, that Tiberius propofed the Divinity of Chrift to the Senate, " with the prerogative of his own fuffrage." The Senate refuifed to ratify it. Eu. febius, in his Ecclefiaftical Hittory, cites thefe facts from Tertullian, whofe authority he thought unexceptionable: "swen," fays Euf. Lib. 2, Cap. 2, " the wonderful refurrection of Chrift and his afcenfion into Heaven was publifhed by the voice of all people, as it was an old cuftom with the Governors of Provinces to tranfinit an account of every new occurrence to the Emperor, that nothing might be concealed, Pilate informed Tiberius of the refurrection of Chrift, the fame of which was celebrated over all Paleftine; he at the fame time fignified to the Prince, that he had heard of miany other miracles, and that after his return to life he was held by many to be a God; is is faid that Tiberius referred the matter to the Senate, and they rejected the proprofl, becaufe he had been dechred God without waiting their authority, and there was an old law forbidding any perfon en be a Gud without a decree
of the Senate in reality bec trine did not men. Thoug as was faid, creed nothin Thefe things Roman laws, celebrated, fta

Some of o ductrine is tr to approve ; tl they made the The impiety folly. The 0 votes, if he c all his preten placet deus non

There is a the miracles critics to be fu writer has nc pofition. Th the hiftory of profeffed lawy modern criti fources of ir letter tranfm is cited on his author, the $w$ authority to of all modern flated againft who appcaled
Tïberius, 1 guinary in h
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of the Senate. This was the apparent reafon, but in reality becaure the preaching of the divine doc. trine did not want the authority, or the counfel of men. Though the Senate had rejected the propofal, as was faid, Tiberius, perfifting in his opinion, decreed nothing harfh againt the doctrine of Chrif. Thefe things Tertullian, a writer well verfed in the Roman laws, and amonght Latin writers the moft celebrated, ftates in his Apologetic." $n$

Some of our modern legiflatures declare that no ductrine is true but that which they find convenient to approve; the Roman fenate went one ftep farther : they made the divinity felf dependanton their cecree. The impiety of this law is furpaffed, if poffible, ioy its folly. The candidate is introduced canvaling for votes, if he cannot obtain a majority lie falls from all his pretenfions to the divinity. Si bominibus non placet deus non erit.

There is a letter from Pilate to Tiberius re porting the miracles of Chrift ; it is thought by fome modern critics to be fuppofed though cited by Tertullian. The writer has not feen conclufive evidence of the fuppofition. There is no modern critic.better verfed in the hiftory of Rume, and itslaws than Tertullian, a profeffed lawyer, and eminent in the profeffion ; no modern critic has, or can pretend to have the fame fources of information, which he poffeffed, if the letter tranfmitted to us be that, which he cites, and is cited on his authority by Eufebius, '2 moft intelligent author, the writer is ftrongly inclined to prefer their authority to the filly conjectures of any modern, or of all modern critics. Whatever objections may be ftated againf Tertullian, none can be againft Juftin, who appealed to the public acts.
'İberius, though corrupt in his morals and fanguinary in his difinofitions, was neither ignorant, not inattentive
inatentive to the duties of an Emperor; and Pilata who, if Philo and Jofephus tell truth, was little in. ferior to his mafter, was too deeply verfed in politics, to conceal from the tyrant's. cartranifations, which he knew muft reach it through fome other channel. It cannot be fuppofed, with any appearance of proba. bility, that Chrift's death and the rumour of his, refurreetion was not reported to the Emperor.
Whether the letter be genuine, or fuppofed, is of little confequence : the truth of chrifianity does. not reft on the authurity of a Tiberius or a Pilate; it is. totally independent of the teftimony of a Juftin, $a_{1}$ Tertullian, or an Eufebius.
However unexceptionable the miracles of Chrift, however candid and fincere the Apoftes, yet as the religion, which they taught, was deftructive of all the fyftems of religion then eftablifhed by laws and cuftoms in the world; in its feculative doctrines in. conceiveable, and in its moral precepts full of mortifying reftraints, they never could obtain credit on their bave affertion in thefe countries, where Chrift himfelf or his miracles were not known; their teftimony therefore muft have been accompanied by figns, which authenticated their miffion, and infured their followers againf illufion. In Judea where they firft began to preach, the defcent of the Holy Ghoft under the appearance of fiery tongues, and the permanent effect of this temporary appearance, was a fufficient voucher; the affembly was too numerous to be futject to any illufien, and the effect, fuch as omnipotence alone could produce : it is nor poflible that an ignorant man, by any powers inherent in human nature, fhould inflantaneoufly acquire a knowledge of different languages : and, much lefs, that he fhould be underilond by men of different lauguages at the fanctine. This was the firf miracle in attefation of
the truth of effeft was th If the reader wrought by 1 let him read Lule, and Churches in whofe prefen
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the truth of the Apoftle's miffion; and its immediate. effeft was the converfion of three thoufand. Acts ii. If the reader withes to fee the miracles, which God wrought by his Apofles, in many different countries, let him read the Acts of the Aponties written by St. Luke, and attefted by the acceptation of all the Churches in the different countries wheres, and in: whofe prefence, thefe miracles were wrought.

Impiety is forced to admit that the Apofles dids convert many thoufands in different countries to the. faith. To pretend that thefe converfions were wrought: without miracles; or that fo many thoufands of men; who had eyes and ears as we have, were taught to. believe that miracles were wrought in their pre-. fence, though no fuch thing had happened, is a manifelt abfurdity, which is refuted by contempt. There have been fuppofed miracles. True, the writer is willing to admit that fome dupes have been deceived by impoftors : there are many fuch in all countries to this prefent day, and in all appearance will continue to the entl ; but that of all the men fo celebrated for fcience, fanctity, and difinterefted viows, who have attefted there miracles of the Apofles, and of their difciples, there was not one honelt man ; and that all thefe thoufands of thoufands of Chriftians, who compofed the primitive Church, were dupes and impoftors; there is fomething fo wild in the thought. fo extravagantly impudent in the alfertion, that a man who has any remains either of modelty or common fenfe, would not dare to make it. And if it be admitted, that any one of all thefe, told truth, impic. ty fall: defencelefs. How defperate the caufe, in defer c " of which, even the impudence of the $A$ theift, and the effrontery of the Deift, is abafhed!

From the miracles of Chrift and his Apolles, let us pafs to his prophecies, It is univerfally actinitor
that, contingent events depending on the jpint action of many free and concurring caufes, are not within. the range of limited prefcience Such events were foretold by Chrift, and promifes made by him, which almighty power alone could fulgil. The events have hiappened as foretold, and the promifes fulfilled. Our Sceptics, however unwilling, are witneffes to both.

The writer paffes unnoticed all thefe predictions, and promifes, which were fulfilled before the Gofpels were written, to obviate the pretence that thefe might have been fuppofed by the writers, the falfe: hood of this calumny is manifeft, not only from the fincerity of the Evangelical writers, but becaufe they wrote nothing but the doctrine, which they had already taught verbally; and if their writings contained a doctrine differing from what they had verbally taught, or included predictions, or promifes, of which the Churches had not previoully heard, they would have been rejected as fpurious. The acceptation of all the Churches is the moft quthentic evidence of the truth of the New. Teftament, and the veracity of its writers. The fame doctrine, which the Evangelifts wrote, was taught by all the Apoftles, who did not write, and continues to be taught in the fame manner, by their fucceffors.

Chrift exprefsly foretold the deftruction of Jerufalem, the manner of its deftruction, and even limited the time. "The days will come on thee, faid he, and thy enemies will furround thee with a line of circum. vallation, 'charoka' and they will encompafs thee and ftrditen thee on every fide, and will licvel thee to the ground, and thy children, who are in thee," Luke xix. 42. We know that Jerufalem, the 4 oth year after Chrift's death, was deftroyed by Titus. He caft up entrenchments, and a wall, which fo clofely
clofely inv that meme Jofephus, bave been on his dif
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clofely invefted the city that none could efcape. In that memorable fiege $1,300,000$ fouls perifhed. See Jofephus, Lib. 6 and $7_{0}^{\circ}$ Such an everit could not have been forefeen but by him, who has all events on his difpofal.

As the Jews were numerous, obitinate and difcontented, fubject to be deluded by impoftors, who af fumed the title of their expected deliverer, a man of great fagacity might fufpect a rebellion; but that the city would be furrounded by a line of circumvallation, and totally deftroyed by the firf army, that invefted it, as Chrift faid Luke xxi. 20. "when you fee Jerufalem furrounded by an army know that then its defolation is near," whilft the then generation lived. "I fay unto you all thefe things will came on this generation," Luke xii. $3^{6}$, was contrary to all rules of probability and beyond the range of conjecture.

To this, firft, Chrift added a fecond prediction, more remote from probability, that is, that though Jerufalem would be deftroyed, and not one fone left on the other, her fons and daughters difperfed, would continue to exift until the confummation of time : after giving a defcription of that alarming fcene, he adds, "Amen, I fay unto you, that this generation (the Jewifh people) will not pafs away until all thefe things are done," Matt. xxiv. 34Hence we find St. Paul declaring that blindnels in part has happened in Ifrael, until the fulnefs of nations thould come in, and thus all Ifrael thall be faved;" Rom. xi. 25, 26. Will our Sceptics condefeend to admit the firft part of this prophecy, that is, the fulnefs of nations is coming in, and that the blindnefs of the Jews continues yet? For their converfion we muft wait the confummation.

If the Jew were not invincibly obninate he could hardly
hardly refift the evidence of another prophecy, the truth of which he knows by 1800 hundred years experience: "c the law and the Prophets, faid Chrift, Luke xvi, 16, until John" or, as it is in Matthew: "f for all the Prophets, and the law prophecied until John,"; xi. 12. During the Jewifh difpenfation their law was fupported, and the obfervance of it enforced by the Prophets, who appeared in regular fuccefion at different intervals; until Johir began to preach. The fuccefion was then interrupted, and the miffion of Prophets to the Jews ceafed, according to the words. of Chrift, fo that the Atheilt or the Deift is not more fteeled againft conviction than the unfortunate Jew.

This unanfwerable argument, drawn from the difperfion of the Jews, and their obftinate adherence to their law, has exercifed the imagination of our modern Sceptics, whofe inventive faculties are ftretched but to deceive themfelves. They find, or pretend to find, many reafons for this continuation of the Jews, diftinct from all other people. Their fancy, fay they, is fed by the hopes of a deliverer; who will reinftate their empire, and their temple; they carefully avoid inter-marriages with other people; they are firmly attached to their law; and though they fee the Chrif: tian religion flourifh, yet they think their own rites and ceremonits morermajenic. To thefe reafons the reply is fimple $r$ hopes difappointed, in courfe of years fublide. A continuation of the Jewifh hopes after eighteen centuries continued difappointment, is neither natural, nor reafonable, the fource of fuch extravagance inult be found elfewhere, that is, in the malediction attached to that ill-fated race. They are the defcendants of thofe anceftors, who, thirfting after the blood of innocence, to which Pilate, 2 fanguinaly tyrant, with reluctance gave his fanction, ex-
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ciaimed: "let his blood be on us, and our children," Matc $x \times v i 1,25$
Theit feveral attempts to build the temple have proved ineffectual, and ruinous to themfelves: Julian's project, in oppofition to Chrift's prophecy, only ferved literally to verify it.

That Julian did intend to rebuild the temple, we have incontrovertible evidence in his letter to the. Jows, Aill extant in his works, No. 25. It is mentioned by Snzomen, and tranflated by Doctor Cave, in his life of St. Cyril. In it, after relieving them from all taxes, and burdens, he enjoins diligence, and promifes that, after bis Perfian expedition, he would fix his refidence in Jerufalem, and offer his joint prayers with them. The artifice and hypocrify of the apoftate, and confequent exertions of thejews, have rendered the truth of Chrift's prediction incontefible : Chrift had declared, Matt, xxiv, 2; that one ftone of the temple would not be left on the other. After the deftruction, by Titus; the foundations and fome ruins of the walls romained: Eufebius fays, Dom. Evan. Lib. 80. that in his time the inhabitants frequently carried off the ftomes for private buildings. All thefe rains, and the very foundations, were removed by the Jews, under Julian's direction, in order to recommence the work, from which they were deterred by flames ifiuing from the foundations, which at firft only deftroyecí the works; but when the Jews oblinately perfifted in the defign, they cunfumed the workmen alfo. This fact is authentic if there be truth in man : Ammianus Marcellinus, an unprejudiced Heathen, fays, Lib. 3, Cap. I, that Julian undertook the work to immortalize his reign; he adds, Lib. 23, Cap. 1, that tremendous. balls of fire, ifuing from the earth, near the foundations, rendered the place inacceffible
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to the workmen. His terms are ftrongly expreffive L-bocque modo elemenito definatius repellenito-in this manner the element in a more determined manner repelling them. The concurting teftimony of cotemporary writers, removes all fufpicion. Chry. sdy. Jud. Or. 2, and Hom. 4 in Matt. Nazian, Or. 2, and 4, in Jul.
$\therefore$ Chryfofome fays that the Jews at three different times attenipted the building of their temple, under Adrian, which encreared the enmity of the Heathens, and brought deftruction on the Jews; again under Conftantine; who difperfed them, cut off their cars, and branded them with the mark of rebellion; and finally, faid he, in our time, not above twenty years ago, in which God himfelf bafiled their endeavours, to thew that no human power could reverfe his decrees, and that at a time when our religion was oppreffed, lay under the axe, and had not the liberty to fpeak, that impudence itfelf might not have the leaft thadow of pretence See a circumftantial account of this memorable event, in the life of Sc. Cytil, by Batler.

That the Jews avoid inter-marriages with chriftians is true, but whether from choice or neceffity is doubtful : in chriftian countries fuch marriages are prohibited by law, and in all countries they are null of right. As to their rites and ceremonies, however majeflic, whilft the temple exifted, fince its dellruction, they cannot be performed : thefe, therefore, cannot fix the attention of the Jews. Finally, whatever reafons may be alfigned for the continuation of the Jews, as a diftinct people, the force of the prophecy is not the lefs invincible : becaufe to forefee that thefe reafons would be permanent, and the effect perpetually correfpondent, is beyond the fphere of limited fcience.
let us now pafi from the Jews to the Gentiles: Chrift

## 27.5

Chrift ordered his Apofles to teach all nations, or, as is is in the Creel- text, to make thent difciptes- ${ }^{+5}$ Mathe-leufate"-promifing that he would be with them unitil the confummation. Matt. ult. They immediately. commenced the work, and carried his faith and name beyond the limits of the Roinan Empire, into nations where the Roman naine was not,known :- of this the, monuments are univerfal, and the work as yet con. tinues in the hands of their fucceffors. In this Thort fentence there is a promife which Ominotence alene could fulfil, and a truth announced which God only could forefea-that is, that Clirift's miffionaries would : continue until the confummation, and that they would from his difciples in all nations. He was promifed all mations as an inheritance, not all the men of all nations.; if there be a nation, which was not yet enlightened by his gofpel, it willbefore the confummation :the paft enfures the futare. Chrift faid to his difci. ples : "You will be brought befere Kings and Gover-nors for my fake, in teftimony to them, and to nati. ons," Matt. x, 18. Impisty will not difpute the accompilifhment of this prophecy. Chrift, therefure, forefaw that his difciples would perfevere in the doetrine, which he taught in the face of perfecution, in defiance of defpotic power. Healfo forefaw that Kings and Governors. would perfecute his difciples: if he' had, confulted the rulcs of probability, and offered a con-: jecture, he would have faid that Epicureans, whore principles are fubverfive of o:der, and whofe conduct ficis decency at defiance, or Peripatetics, whio teacis that prayers and facrifices are ufclefs, would be arraigned before Kings and Governors ; but that his difciples, whom he ordered to be as harmlefs as doves, Thofe whole line of conduct, as prefcribed by him, and frietly ubierved by them, breathed nothing but riecknefs, humility, fubmiffion, fidelity and obedience, to the raling powers, hould be the objects of hatred,
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of calumny and perfecution, is not matter of conjec. ture there is but God, who ktows the intenfe malignity of mani, and the depravity of. his heart, who could forefe, that truth, innopence and juftice, would be exclufively and univerflly the object of his hatred.
The writer adds one prediction more, againt which even the effrontery of the modern fciolift will not venture to flate an objection. When Mary, fifter to Lazarus, badipoured the precious ointment on Cbrift's feet, and Judas expreffed his indignation at, what his avarice called a profufion Chrift faid : "Amen, I fay unto you, wherefoever this gofel is preached in the whole world, that allo, which the has dove will be told in memory of her," Mat. axvi, 13 , Jilin xii. In this finort fentence there are two folemn promifes : That the Goipel would be preached all over the werld; and that Mary's piety would be remembered in all places where the Gofpel is preached. Thefe promifes, which nothing fhot of Almighty power could fulfil, are fo manifefly accomplifhed, that the obfinacy of the modern Philofophift is not more odious than contemptible:

- If fanctity, eftablifhed by miracles, authenticated by prophecy, confirmed by the accomplifhment of promifes bsyond the fplere of limited power, fpeak the divinity, the feriptures are divinely infpired. In them are many things impervious to human reafon; but there is nothing more reafonable than to believe on the teftimony of infalible authority, though reafon from its limited nature cannot detect the principles, from which the propofed truth refults. Thus in human fcience the Afronomer fhews the denfity of the carth to be greater than that of the planct Jupiter, The principles from wirich he dedrices this truth, though cvider: th the aftronomer, are as impervinus


The mat the lypel tots, but though of a con knowled denied t exit ; tl can fort Be, wh ideas to future is an ab argume cleary revelat is any miftalk that th is only to it. more in wh canno ject to ufe of pofed propo but to be tru term

Th
whicl hum: its d many

## 教
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The mathematician demonfrates that the branches of the hyper'ollic curve approach inceffantly its afymp. tots, but can never touch them ; this laft propofition, though mathematicaly true has all the appearanco of a contradiction; that Gad as fir furpaffes man in, knowledge as the aftronomer does the peafint, is. denied but by him, who does not believe a God to cxif; that truths are known to him, of which man can form no idea is equally certain, to pretend that, Be, who has enabled man hy founds to convey his ideas to other men, or with his pen to paint them for future generations, cannot make himfelf unitertood, is an abfurdity which is refuted by concempt not by argument. As truth, is not oppolite totrath, if we. deariy conceive a truth, which we think appofite to revelation, we are not thence to conclude that there is any thing fale in revelation, but that we have mitaken the inicnded fenfe of the infpired writor: that the truth, which we think opponite to revelation is nily oppofite to that fenfe, which we curfelves affix to it. Thus we are frequently deccived, and jet more frequently in thinking that 2 manifeft truth. in which thercis a latent fillacy, which our reafon cannot detect. Truths revealed by God are not fubject to the examination of human reafon ; the proper ufe of reafon is to inquire whether the propofition propofed he revealed by Gud or not; or whether it be propofed in the fenfe intended by the infired writer: but to inquire whether a propofition revealed by $\mathbf{G o d}$ be truc or falle is a fretch of impudence, whicl no term in language can exprcs.

There are in the feriptures many truths revealed, which, though in appearance within the fphere of human reafon, nave not been difonvered by reafon in its degraded flate : the unity of God, his immenfity, many of the divine perfections, which the chriftian
philoropher, previouly infruted by revelation, demonfrates on found pringiples of reafon without recourfe to religion. We do not find that the mofe intelligent amongh the Heathens had a diftinct idea. of thele perfections: their language is always obrcure, and ambiguous on the fubject, and interferfed with ridiculous errars.
The clemency of God, and his vigilant attention ta the perfection of his creatures, is manifeft in the rela. tion of thece very traths, which reafon, unaffifted by a fuperior light, might, perhaps, with great excrions, difcover, and making them oljects, of fuith ; thus atonce removing every hade of erter, and illufion, to which human reafon is fubject in the inventigation of truth. Y truth yerc attainable but by demonfration, many. eflential truths, or to fpeak correctly, all truths, which have for their object the divine nature, or its. perfections, would remain in rotal obfcurity amongf the unlearned, who compofe an incalcutable majority of the human fpecics: they do not underfand the force of demonfration, and even amongf the learned, thefe truths would remain in a llate of uncertainty; however inkelliggat. and even unpre. judiced they may be fuppofed, fron the limitation of the human underfanding, and the verfatility of the imazination, there is a character of un certainty infcparably annexed tait. A thade of error: is latent in whatever is inveftigated by human reafon.

- Probable, or fopliniticil reafone, are mifaken for de. monfration. and erroneous conclufions fet in oppofi: tion to fimple truth.
The irreconcileable differences and altercations of the Healien piituropliers, and their defcendants the modern fupad, not only on abofrufe, and dificult queftions, but on lirft principles and intuitive truths. fufficienty juftify the ebrervation.

Yf reafor rain guide minfead, if and nature us to prope fon tells us, fured of the us to revela and makes tranfend thi creation of and punifinn for his reco ideas, fo far tould have of which is many other ing, on whic not fubjects facts, and kn the fripture erring authoi
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If reafon acting within its own pohere,be an uncerrain guide in a bftruic inquiries, it muft of necefity millead, if it trangrefles the bounds, which God and nature have preferibed. However in referring us to proper authority it feldom mifleads : thus reaTon tells us, that we mula confult witnefles to be affured of the truth of facts, in like maniner it hands us to revelation for truths, which God only knows, and makes known. There divine perfeations, which tranfend thuman reafon, the origin of the world, the creation of man, his primitive deffination, tranfgreflion and punilament, the incarnation of the divine wifdom for his reconciliation, an event 'To temote from man's $^{2}$ s ideas, fo far tranfcending his thoughts, that it never tould have entered shto his imagination, the divinity of which is manifert on the expoftion; thefe, and many other truibs, intimately conneted with gur being, on which out lappinefs effentially depend, are not fubjects of metaphyficil dirquifition : they are facts, and known, as all facts are, by teftimony: in the fcriptures we fave the teftimony of God, an unerring authority to it reafon mult refer us.
The writers of the New Teftument ate Matthew, Mark, Luke, John, Paul, Peter, James and Jude. There is a difference in their Ryle, but a perfect coincidence in the principal facts, which they relate; and in all the maxims of religipn and morality, which they inculcate. The rage of modern feppicifm, which fubftitutes fufpicions and furmifes to proof, fuiplects, or pretends to furpect, that they were not the authors of the books afcribed to them. This furmife is refuted by contempt. The works of Cerfar, of Curtius, of Terence, of Plautus, are be. lieved authentic, without 2 contradiction, we do not difpute the works of Collins, of Tindal, of Priefley, of Price, and to defcend to the mon iguorant
of furilous feribblers, we leave Tom Paine in peace. able poferfion of his Age of Reafon.

The Feathen writers againf Chrilianity admitted the books to have been writen by the Evangelify, and 1 poftes, to whon they areacribed, nor dis they deny offolurely the mirades related in them: They endeavoured to elude the force of Chrit's mi. racles, by arciting them to magic.
"Cdrus, Tays Origen, Lib. 2, No. 48, ", now very often, becaufe he could not deny the miracles which it is written that Chritt performed, calumni. ates them as the effect of majical delufious, we have often times hewn the contrary, now he afs us why We judge him to be God, and he imputes to us this anfiver; becaufe he curcd the lame ard blind, to this adds, and becaufe, ss you lay, he raifed the dead That the lame and the blind were cured by Jefus, that hence we believe him Son of God is ma. nifelf from this, that it is written in the prophecies: - then the eyes of the blind fhall be opened, and the ears of the dedf flall hear, and the lame thall bound like,the roe," Ifa $\Delta \times \times x, 5$.
Julian, the apoftate, as cited by St. Cyril, Lib. 20, in prim. fays : neither Paul dared to call that Jefus, God, nor Matthew, nor Luke, nor Mark; but the gnod man John, when he difcovered that a great multitude, 31 motitities of Greece and Italy, were catched with that difeafe, and heard, I think privately, it is stue ; but yet he heard that the monuments of Peter and Paul were worhipped, firf dared to affert ir."-That is, John firf dared to fay that Jefus was God. The apotate, like our modern fophifts, contra. dicts himfelf: for if numbers were taken with that difeafe, ass he calls it, in moft cities of Greece and Italy, before John wrote, he could not have been the firlt to avois it.

From Celfus venemies of the mitive chrittiar 2 religious ref No heathen ev written by $t$ thought divine epologitts of cl out 2 contrad Gofpels, and a ment, in his a his letter to th epifiles, whic cited by Eufet St. Irenicus fays : "Matt Gofpel in $t$ preached at R their departui interpreter, d caught by P wrote the G john, the di his breaft, wi
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From Celfus and Julian, the moft irreconcileable enemies of the chriftian name, we leann that the primitive chriftians believed Chrif to be God, and that 2 religious refpect was paid to the relics of the fiats. No heathen ever denied the Gofpels to have been written by the Evangelifts, or that they vere thought divinely infpired, by Chriftiang. The firf spologits of chriftianity cited them inceffantly without a contradiction; cotemporary writer cite the Gofpels, and afcribe them to the Evangelifts; Cle ment, in his epiftle to the Corinthians; Polycarp, in his letter to the Philippians; Ignatius, in his feven epifiles, which are confeffedly genuide; Papias, as cited by Eufebius.
St. Irencus, in his third book againft herefies, fays : " Matthew, monglt the Hebrews, wrote the Gofpel in their language, when Pever and paul preached at Rome, and founded the Church. After their departure, Mark, who was Peter's difciple, and interpreter, delivered to us in writitg, what was caught by Peter. Luke, who was Paul's difciple, wrote the Gofpel which was preached by him, and john, the difciple of the Lord, who had leaned on his breaft, wrote the Gofel at Ephefus, in Alia.
There were Gofpels fuppofed by impofors, whilf fome of the Apoftes were yet living, but they were immediately rejected as fpurious. An impofition wall impolfible, becaufe the autographas of the Evangelifts. were in the hands of the, Churches, which they had formed, and attefted copies in the hands of all other Churches.

The immenfe number of authentic copies, and the care with which they were preferved by the Church, at all times, fhews the impoffibility of firppofition or interpolation, in every age of the Church fince its firft eltablifhment. On this principle Irenreus fays:

We we know the difpofition of falvation but through thofe, by whom the Gofpel cainc to us," and Clie. ment, of Alexandria, Lib 3 , Stro fpeaki, "fint we pretended Gofpel of the Egyptians, have not
tranfinited to us, but in that which is according to the Egyptians.?
Tervilliain, writing againft Marcion, who admitted but the Cofpel of St. Luke, fays, Lib. 4, Cap. 5 : the fame authority of the Apoffolical Chutches authenticates the other Gorpels ; "it is by them, and through :them, that we have the Gofpels, that is of John, Qf Matthew, that uf Mark is faid to be of Peter, whofe interpreter he was; that digefed by Lule, is ufually a afribed to Paul." And in the 26 th chapter of his Prefcriptions, he fays that the autographs of the Apoftes were yet in the hands of the Apofolical Churchest $\%$ Pars through the Apotolical Churches where the Sees of the Apoftes hold a Prefidency in their places, where their authentic letters are yet recifed, founding their voice, aud re. prefenting the face of each of them. If. Achaia be near, you have Phillippi, you have Theffalonica. If You go to Afia, you have Ephefus, if to Italy, you bave Rome."

Origen, as cited by Eufebius, Lib. $6{ }^{\circ}$, Hift Ecel. cap. 2与, fays: "From traditicn I have received four Gofpels, which are admitted by the univerfal church without a controverfy - the firft was written by Matthew, formerly a publican, and afterwards. an Apoftle of Jefus Chri:t, who wrote it in Hebrew, and publifined it to the Jews converted to the faith: the fecond is that of Mark, who wrote as Peter had preach. ed : and, fur that reafon, Peter, in his catholic epifile, acknowledges him as his fon: - The Church elect of Cod, which is in Babylon, falutes you, and my fon Mark ;

Mink ? the th ded by Stin Pa litt is that of J
And in his fir as there were fo there were Chritians. Wit write Gofpels, max know th have been writt háve; were fele Attend to S ted : "fince m N. . . . as he fa accuration ágai Gofel withuut thew, Mark, Jr write; but, firl Confels; . Mariy narration of th Gofpets, herefy to the Egigptiat Apoflesers Badihi tite it with his write; and man but four Gofpels
Eufebius; in 1 Hiftory, Ch: 24 Lord, Matthew mertaries It is f bp fome neceflity the faith to the from thence to in his country 1 ment, which he prefence. After

Mank ; the third Cofpel is that df luke recommended by Sti Paul, and written for the Gentile y, the: Litt is that of John.
And in his firft homily, on St. Luke, he fays, "e that as there were fome falfe prophets amongt the Jews, fo there were fotne prefended prophets a mofigt Chrifians." 6 St Many", falgs he, "Emdeavoured to write Gofpels, but were not ill recelved; that you max know that not only four Gofpels, but miang have been written, from which the four, which we hâve; were felected and delitered ta the Church." Attend to St Luke's preface, which is thus fod ted: "fince many enideavomrdt to order a natrazion, ...... as he fays endeavoured; it contains datent acduation againet there, who undeftook to write a Gufpel withour a grake of the Holy Ghof. Mat thew, Mark, John and Luke, did not endeavdit to: witc, but, full of the Holy Ghoft; they wote the Gofpels: Mariy, thereforeg endearioured to fotm a narration df thefe thinge: The Chutch has four Gofpels, herdfy Kas niany, of thefe, one is according to tlie Egyptians, another according to the twelve Apofles, - Badilides dated to write a Gofpel, ant en: title it with his own name. Many endeavoured to: write, and many endeavouted to form a narration, but four Gofpels are: approved. ${ }^{\text {nh }}$
Eufebius; in the third book of his Ecclefiaftical Hiftory, Ch. 24th, fiys: " of all the Dirciples of the Lord, Matthew and John alone left us written commentaries. It is faid that they wiere impelled to write by fome neceffity : for when Matthewhad firtpreached the faith to the Hebrews, and was prepared to go from thence to other nations, te wrote his Gofpel in his country language, to fupply by the inttrument, which he left, what feemed wanting te his prefence. After thiss, when Mark and Luke had
writien their Gofpels feparately, it is fiid, that Johm, who had hitherto preached by word only, betook himfelf to write. The three former Gofpels he is faid to have approved and confirmed by his teftimony."

The hiforian affgns the reafon, which induced John to write his Gofpel It is perfealy confifient with the author. He only relates fome events, which they omitted, and omits fome, which they related

The Acts of the Apofles were written by St. Lukes they are addreffed to Theophilus, as was the Gofpel, and by the fame writer, and are of equal authority. They are cited by: all early writers. St, Auftin, in his Treatife on St. John, fays :"that canonical book is ta be read in the Church exery year.'

Our fceptics complain of the flyle of the evangelifis; that they did not obferve the fame order in their nar. ration; that they cite paffages from the old teflament which are not to be found ; thus Matthew fays, if, 23. "That might be fulfilled what was faid by the prophets, that ho would be called a Nazarean;" and, $x x$ vii, 9 , he cites a paffage from Jereny, which was written by Zachary: They add, that the falutations, and fome exhortations, in the epifles, do not favous of the gravity of an infoired writer ; for infance, Paul defires Timothy to bring him a fhort coat, tome books and papers; they find other caufes of fufpicion; which the writer paffes unnoticed, as he finds them impertinent, tediaus, irkfome, and beneath the notice of a ferious man.

It has been already obferved that the evangelits did not write for the amufement of feeptics, but for the inftruction of chrillians : their ftyle is commenfurate, and perfectly well adapted to their purpofe; if
the ord becaufe which t
Matt Meflias xi, 1 . perfon fon, to be $N$ fo calle

The or Jere the oth not th Mark' what is chias ; whole the pro other It apoftle were a fincere word to pre knew ficient

Th our fo rection chrift with phers by th ble, $t$
$t$ John betook 3 he is 3. teffiinduced infiftent events, $h$ they
by St . was the f equal cs. St, " that 1 exery gelifis; eir nar. flament fays, iit by the $\because$ and ich was tations, favour nfance, $t$ t tome Spicion; Is them notice but for menfis. ofe; if that
the order of time be neglected in their narration, itis. becaufe it was not neceflary to fubtantiate the fads which they relate, in thefe the coincidence is exact.
Mathew correcty cited the prophets: for the Meflias is called Netzer, that is a Nazaneari, by Ifans, xi, 1. Or if Nazarean be undeffood to fignify 2 perfon feparated and confecrated to God, as was Samfon, to whofe mother the Angel faid, that he would be "Nazer Elobim", confecrated to God :Chrift was fo called by all the prophets.

The paflage cired by Matthew is neither in Zachary or Jeremy-part of the paffage is in one and part in the other - the evangelift united them, citing: the fenfe not the found, as all good interpreters do. Thius Mark began his Gofpel citing from the prophet IGiass, what is written partly by him and partly by Malachias; though it is extremely probable that the whole paffage as cited by Matthew was formerly in the prophecy of Jeremy, but omitted, as were many other things, through the neglect of copyifts.

It was not inconfiftent with the gravity of the apoftes to falute the perfons, to whom their letters were addreffed, or others whofe fpiritual welfare they fincerely defired; an immediate infpiration to every word in fcripture was not neceffary ; a fpecial affiftance to prevent errorin thefe things, which the apoftes knew on the teftimony of their fenfes, was fully fufficient.

The filence of heathen writers is the laft refuge of our fciolifts. The caufe is defperate which feeks protection from 2 filent witnefs. The apologies for chriftianity are yet in our hands, from thefe we know with what virulent animofity the heathen philofophers perfecuted the chriftian name; if the facts ftated by the chriftian writers Iad not been incontrovertible, their adverfaries, peffeffed of every means of information $_{2}$

Sormatron; woold have detecited thic fhadow of imph Stioion, znd unitecetived the worid; their filentit acquii ofcedrec is cepuivialent to formall stowal.
Chaltidius, in his commentatics on the time of thith fpeaks of the flat which appedted to the wife men of Chalded, and of their jouliney to Jerufulent 3 and Macrobius, in, the fecond hook of his. 8travalia, fiys os that whed Auguftus heard that mongte the children under owo years old, whom Herod king of the Jews in Syria, ordered to be maf frexed, was one of his otn obns, he faid, it was better so bet Herod's hog that his fon? Macrobius re. hees this anedote, as he does many others, from the Works of Heathen writers, extant in histime, which. nave fince been loft.

- The erlipfe, whith happened at the death of Chrift, in direct oppofivion to the eftablifhed order, as it Was at tho full moon, when an eclipfe of the fun is. nirurally impoffible, is thus deferibed by Pllegon in the 13 th Dowt of hiss Chednicles or Oympiads: Son the fourth year of the 202 Olympiad was a very great eclipfes furpaffing all which have happen. ed: the day at the fixth hour das tarned into night, the ftars were feen in the heavens, an earthquake throw down many houifes int Nice, ar city of Bithys. nit:" The fane is repebted in the Chronicle of euretbius and St. Jetoma

Exceptions have been taken againf the teftimo: shes of thefe Heathen writers; by Apti-Chritian Sophits of modern times. Thefe exteptibiss have boen idifuffed ant elucidated hy meh of fcience; their fuxitity, and elie wnity of then inventors, expored to whe contempt of the learned world: as they are onfye intended to divert the attention of the uning; formed, fromithe more glaming abfurdities of our Epicureams, and to introdúce obfcurity in a fibject,
which is credulous writer paff

Againft exception Ap. ©h. 1 , of its orbi event, (mu)

Origen, Tays: © of tius Cafar was crucif earth was the izthor

Lucian,
facred and " confult y late's time, mid-day, Lib. 9, Cap
The fact friends anc anity incelf tradiction.
We kno would be e> Heathen w. verified: Tacitus, in in the roth part, the fi the perfecul Atheifical e

The teftir embraced th its.victims,
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which is totally independent of the tortimony of credulous Heathens, or incredulots sophitas, the writer paffes them unnoticed.

Againft Tertullian's appeal to the public fecords io exception can lie: 6 at thefrmoithfant, "raid fie, Ap. Oh. , No. 6, whilt the fun marked the mides of its orbit, the day was wifhdrawn ....\% this event, (mundicafum) youhave in tho publicarcitwes. ?:

Origen, in his fecond book zgaimit Cuffus, Wa. 83 , fays:- of the eclipfe, which happened undexithor rius Cafar, in whơf reign it is certain that Chrift was crucified, and of the commotion, by which the earth was hook, I think Philegon makes metrition in the 13 th or ath book of his Chronicles. P .

Lucian, the martye, a man well verfod in kittovys facred and profane, told his Judges with confid toe: "confult your anwals, and you will find that incEiq Jate's time, when Chrilt fuffered, the fun fled at mid-day, and the day was interrupted.? See 虫tify Lib. 9, Cap. 60, Hif. Eccl
The fact was indifputable, known to the world, to friends and enemies, hence the apologits of Chritianity inceffarity appealed to it, and without acontradiction.
W.e know that Chrit foretold that his diciples would be expofed to fufferings, and we know from Heathen writers, that this prediction was literally verified: Suctorius, in the life of Claudius, Chers; Tacitus, in his annals, book 15; Pliny, the younger, in the roth book of his epittes, Ch. 94 , defcribe; in part, the fufferings of Chriftians: if they had not, the perfecutions are of fuch notoricy that even Atheiflical effrontery docs not difpute them.

The teftimony of thefe heathen philofophers, who embraced the chriftian faith, were its apologitts, and its yidims, is unexceptionable : men of fenfe and fai-
ence, who renounce pleafures and honors to proféls 1 religion, which promifes its votaries nothing but fufs ferings and death in this world, muft have irrefiftiblo evidence of its truth:
Quadratus, a philofopher converted by the Apoltes, efteemed and honored by the heathens as an orne ment to the city of Athens, is the apology which he offered to the Roman Emperot Adrien, Hews the difference between the miracles of Chrift, and the delufions of maglicians: "t the miracles of our Saviour," taid he, *were permanent, becaufe they were real and truethe fick healed, and the dead raifed, did not only appear reinitated, but continued fo, and that not only whilf Chrift remained on earth, but long after he had retired; fo that fome of them came down to our times." See Eufeb. Ecc Hift. Lib. 4, Cap. 3 ; and St. Jerom, in Cate 19: and Ep. 84
Arittides, a platonic philofopher, prefented an apology to the fame Emperor. It was extant in St. jerom's time and thought by him a mafter piece of elcquence and crudition.

Theophilus, a man deeply verfed in all the fcience of the heathen philofophers, and in their different fyitems of mythology, as appears from his booles to Autolycus, yet extant, vindicating the chriftian religion from the calumnious invectives of his heathen friend, fays: "Do not be incredulous, I Ulid not believe the refurrection of the dead formerly, but now I do, fince l have more attentively confidered the \{ubject, after falling on the writings of the Holy reoplats; who foretold things now paft as they have happened, things now prefent, as they do happen, and future events in the fame order as they will happen." See 13. 1 , No. 14.

Athenagoras, alfo an Athenian philofopher, wrote a defeñe of the zefurateation of the dead, a folid and - methodical
methodical which have fo mortifying our epicurea It is ftated the enrolmen which he trei is not noticed Prince ; nor Prefident of whether noti le leaves to is not the lel Cyrinus, wh ureiefs to is fays : Ant. 1 time, Cyrin came into $S$ to dojutice ment of thei the Jews at ment, with। to give it'a modern chio phrenzy of Cyrinus was have been fe it is unque? Syria, and his Gofpel. propriety; c $a$ man by hi
If St. Lu) been fenfelef public natur immediately
methodical work; in it he folves all the difficuites which have been flated againf that article of docirite fo mortifying to the foins of pleafure, fo terrible to our epicurean tceptics and fciolfts:
It is fated againit the authority of St . Iuke, tliat the enrolment of the people of the Roman Empire, which he treutions to have Been ordered by Auguftus. is not noticed by any heathen whtee in the life of that Prince, nor does it appear that Cyrisus was then Prefident of Syria : to this the writer replies, that whether noticed by headien writers or not, which he leaves to hiftorians and chtonologits to difcufs, if is not the lefs true that this errolment was made by Cyrinus, who was, whether then, before or after, is ufeiefs to inquire, Prefident of Syria: for Jofephus fays: Ant. Lib. I8, Cap. I, No. 1, 6 In the mean time, Cyrints, one of the Roman fenators,
came into Syria with a few foldiers, fent by Cafar to dojultice to the people, and alfo that an enrolment of their pofieffions thould be made . . . though , the Jews at firt could not bear the name of enrolment, with patience, they, by litte and little, cenfed to give it any oppofition." If it be true, as fome modern chronologits, who agree in nothing bat the phrenzy of contradicing antiquity, pretend, that Cyrinus was not yet appointed Governor, he mult have been fent by an extraordinary commiffiom and it is unqueftionably true, that he was Prefident of Syria, and dead fome time before 'St. Luke wrote his Gofpel. The Evangelift did, therefore, with great propricty, call him Prefident. All' writers defignate a man by his moft honorable title.

If St. Luse had been an impoftor, he muft havo been fenfetefs to publifi a fuppofed event of fuch a public nature, that the impofition muft have been immediately derected, nor would the Jows have

[^0]omitted it. We find even Julian, the apoitate, ac. fonowledge it: "that Jefus, fays he, whom you preach was one of Cafar!s fubjects, if you do not believe this, I will demonfrate it : you fay that he and his fathar and mother were enroled, under Cyritus." Sẹe Cyril, Lib, $6{ }^{\circ}$.
The divine original of the fariptures eftablifhed be yond the polibility of a doubt renders the Atheif. the Deif, the Sceptic, in a word, the whole tribe of modern anti. chritians, inexcufable.
Whether they have been tranimitted entire or interpolated: whether fome entire books or parts of books have been fuppofed or npt, is a queftion which is not to be difcurfed with the Atheift or the Deif: for if it be true that any one book, or any one verfe of a book, be divinely infpired; or that any: one miracie has been wrought to authenticate revelation, the Atheift apd Deift are defencelef.

To pretend that all the Scriptures have been loft, or interpolated, through the neglect or malice of man, is to deny a Providence, and turn Atheift: however greas the malice of man, or criminal his neglect, it can neither counteract the views of provi-: dence, nor render its cares ineffectual. To thefe cares the world was not more fubject when God, in his mercy, enlightened it by a revelation of his divine. will than fince. The meafures inmediately adopted for the prefervation of the fcriptures, and fince purfued, rendered a material loss, or interpolation, impoffibls: They werce entrulled toa priefthood divinely inftituted, to men of fenfe, of fcience and influence, who were officially ooliged to fudy them, and ex. plain them to the people at large, in whofe hands Fere numberlefs copies. This prifthpod, though confined to one tribe in the old law, is, in the new fīt, comprifụ off men of ícience promifcuouny taken from
from ail t world. T chriftianit poffers the different y vent inter attempted or toe ne tected ; its it furious

Many time of intereft t jects of pr tend to th while it e do not ct names of fifhes, and intereft us larity of which a di cauled fon expreffed indifferenc 2 differenc Hebrew la foretic pois ent points. ent fenfe. derivatives literal fenfe tended by doctrines, interefing; commandis and are inv
from ail the different tongues and nationg of the world. Thefe, immediately on the eltablifiment of chriftianity, poffefled the feriptures, and continue to poffers them, in the original languages, and in theis different verfions. They are officilly obliged to pren vent interpolations or fuppofitions, if any fuch be attempted, either through the malice of impoftors, or toe negled of tranfribers it is inmediately detected ; its difagreement with authentic copies proves; it furious, and it is of courfe rejected.

Many facts and circumfances, Interefing at the, time of their infertion, have long fince ceafed to intereft the world ; thefe cannot be confidered ob: jects of providential protection, which does not extend to things abfolutely ufelefs; it protects the fly while it exifts, but not its memory. Such objects do not claima Atrict attention in tranferibers: the names of perfons, of towns, of birds, of beafts, of fifhes, and many other things, which do not at all intereft us, may be fubject to alterations. The fimilarity of fome letters of the Hebrew alphabet, in which a difference is farcely difcernable, mult have caufed fome shanges, more particularly, in numbers exprefled by thefe letters. Thefe being matters of indifference eafily efcape notice. In different vęfions 2 difference is unavoidable, from the nature of the Hebrew language, whiç has no vowels: the mafforetic points are of late invention. Afixing different points to the fame confonants, muft give a different fenfe. It is a peculiarity of this language, and its derivatives, that the fame fentence conveys many literal fenfes equally truc, and perhaps equally intended by the writer. But leading facts, fpeculative doctrines, and moral maxims, are at all times equaliy interefing, the otjecto of a protecting peovidence, commanding the attention of tranferibers and readerf; and are invariably the fame.
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The creation of the world，the prevarication of Adam，the delage，the vocation of Abra末am，the legation of Mofes，the mirzculous pallage through the Red Sea，the infitution of the Levitical priefthood； the birth，minitty，miracles，the death，refurrection， and afcenfion of Jefus Chrift，the great maxims of his morality，the defeent of the Holy Ghoot，the mifinon of the apoftes，their fuccers in eftablifting chirittianity actording tochrit＇s promife．Thée，and many other facts，are delcribed in the fame manner in originals，verfions，trancripts，in a word in ahlan： guages，to make any alteration in there is not，nor was rot，at any time，withir the range of tuman pówer．
The writer here difiniffes the Atheif，the Deit．the nadern Sciolif，the whole fquad of Anti－Chrifian ecribblers froth his mind and his paper，without even diftant hope of their converfion．The fool faid in his heart there is no God．This Linguage was Spoken in David＇s time，the fool concinucs to fpeak the fame language fill，and will whilt the wotld con－ tinues．All reafoning is loft on the wreteh whom God defpifes；＂fpeak not，fiys the wife man，in the ears of fools，they will defpife the infruction，＂ Pro．xxiii． 9 ．

> E. B. V. G. Q⿴囗十力.


[^0]:    I. 1
    omitted

