




**IMAGE EVALUATION  
TEST TARGET (MT-3)**



**Photographic  
Sciences  
Corporation**

23 WEST MAIN STREET  
WEBSTER, N.Y. 14580  
(716) 872-4503

1.5 2.8 2.5  
1.6 3.2  
1.8 2.2  
2.0

**CIHM/ICMH  
Microfiche  
Series.**

**CIHM/ICMH  
Collection de  
microfiches.**



Canadian Institute for Historical Microreproductions / Institut canadien de microreproductions historiques

1.0  
1.5  
2.0

**© 1985**

Technical and Bibliographic Notes/Notes techniques et bibliographiques

> The Institute has attempted to obtain the best original copy available for filming. Features of this copy which may be bibliographically unique, which may alter any of the images in the reproduction, or which may significantly change the usual method of filming, are checked below.

L'Institut a microfilmé le meilleur exemplaire qu'il lui a été possible de se procurer. Les détails de cet exemplaire qui sont peut-être uniques du point de vue bibliographique, qui peuvent modifier une image reproduite, ou qui peuvent exiger une modification dans la méthode normale de filmage sont indiqués ci-dessous.

- Coloured covers/  
Couverture de couleur
- Covers damaged/  
Couverture endommagée
- Covers restored and/or laminated/  
Couverture restaurée et/ou pelliculée
- Cover title missing/  
Le titre de couverture manque
- Coloured maps/  
Cartes géographiques en couleur
- Coloured ink (i.e. other than blue or black)/  
Encre de couleur (i.e. autre que bleue ou noire)
- Coloured plates and/or illustrations/  
Planches et/ou illustrations en couleur
- Bound with other material/  
Relié avec d'autres documents
- Tight binding may cause shadows or distortion along interior margin/  
La reliure serrée peut causer de l'ombre ou de la distorsion le long de la marge intérieure
- Blank leaves added during restoration may appear within the text. Whenever possible, these have been omitted from filming/  
Il se peut que certaines pages blanches ajoutées lors d'une restauration apparaissent dans le texte; mais, lorsque cela était possible, ces pages n'ont pas été filmées.
- Additional comments:/  
Commentaires supplémentaires:

- Coloured pages/  
Pages de couleur
- Pages damaged/  
Pages endommagées
- Pages restored and/or laminated/  
Pages restaurées et/ou pelliculées
- Pages discoloured, stained or foxed/  
Pages décolorées, tachetées ou piquées
- Pages detached/  
Pages détachées
- Showthrough/  
Transparence
- Quality of print varies/  
Qualité inégale de l'impression
- Includes supplementary material/  
Comprend du matériel supplémentaire
- Only edition available/  
Seule édition disponible
- Pages wholly or partially obscured by errata slips, tissues, etc., have been refilmed to ensure the best possible image/  
Les pages totalement ou partiellement obscurcies par un feuillet d'errata, une pelure, etc., ont été filmées à nouveau de façon à obtenir la meilleure image possible.

This item is filmed at the reduction ratio checked below/  
Ce document est filmé au taux de réduction indiqué ci-dessous.

10X	12X	14X	16X	18X	20X	22X	24X	26X	28X	30X	32X
					✓						

The copy filmed here has been reproduced thanks to the generosity of:



Douglas Library  
Queen's University

The images appearing here are the best quality possible considering the condition and legibility of the original copy and in keeping with the filming contract specifications.

Original copies in printed paper covers are filmed beginning with the front cover and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression, or the back cover when appropriate. All other original copies are filmed beginning on the first page with a printed or illustrated impression, and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression.

The last recorded frame on each microfiche shall contain the symbol → (meaning "CONTINUED"), or the symbol ∇ (meaning "END"), whichever applies.

Maps, plates, charts, etc., may be filmed at different reduction ratios. Those too large to be entirely included in one exposure are filmed beginning in the upper left hand corner, left to right and top to bottom, as many frames as required. The following diagrams illustrate the method:



L'exemplaire filmé fut reproduit grâce à la générosité de:

Douglas Library  
Queen's University

Les images suivantes ont été reproduites avec le plus grand soin, compte tenu de la condition et de la netteté de l'exemplaire filmé, et en conformité avec les conditions du contrat de filmage.

Les exemplaires originaux dont la couverture en papier est imprimée sont filmés en commençant par le premier plat et en terminant soit par la dernière page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration, soit par le second plat, selon le cas. Tous les autres exemplaires originaux sont filmés en commençant par la première page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration et en terminant par la dernière page qui comporte une telle empreinte.

Un des symboles suivants apparaîtra sur la dernière image de chaque microfiche, selon le cas: le symbole → signifie "A SUIVRE", le symbole ∇ signifie "FIN".

Les cartes, planches, tableaux, etc., peuvent être filmés à des taux de réduction différents. Lorsque le document est trop grand pour être reproduit en un seul cliché, il est filmé à partir de l'angle supérieur gauche, de gauche à droite, et de haut en bas, en prenant le nombre d'images nécessaire. Les diagrammes suivants illustrent la méthode.

tails  
du  
odifier  
une  
mage

rrata  
o  
belure,  
à

**Comm**

**W**

**EDIT**

**A NEWSPA**

**Speech**

**ON THE**

**A DIS**

87.

**REPORT**  
OF THE  
**Committee of the Commons House of Assembly**  
OF  
**UPPER CANADA,**  
RELATIVE TO THE  
**WELLAND CANAL,**  
WITH THE  
**LETTER OF J. B. YATES, Esq.**

TO SAID COMMITTEE;

SOME

**EDITORIAL ARTICLES FROM THE PATRIOT,**

A NEWSPAPER EDITED BY THOMAS DALTON, ESQ., CITY OF TORONTO, U. C.

AND

EXTRACTS FROM THE

**Speeches of Members of the Provincial Parliament,**

ON THE DISCUSSION OF MEASURES PROPOSED FOR THE COMPLETION

AND EFFICIENT SUPPORT OF THAT IMPORTANT WORK.

ALSO,

AN EXTRACT FROM THE

***Report of Benjamin Wright, Esq.***

A DISTINGUISHED ENGINEER EMPLOYED BY THE GOVERNMENT

COMMISSIONERS TO EXAMINE AND REPORT ON THE

SITUATION OF THE CANAL.

---

ST. CATHARINES, U. C.

PRINTED BY HIRAM LEAVENWORTH.

1834.

Librarian's office

F 1028

18340

**TO THE PUBLICK.**

☞ The object of this pamphlet is to diffuse such information as may be necessary, to enable the Canadian people to form a correct opinion of the estimation in which they should hold this important work.

**To the**

*The Com  
Ca*

From the  
before the  
holders in  
any inform  
petitioners

From the  
subject, it  
present sta  
him in the

In comp  
Committee

Without  
letter, the  
to the prop  
tion is, sh  
place the  
during the  
pany, by  
dividuals.

To the p  
the Canal  
the stockh  
pair for th

We are  
holding su  
rative dis  
Upper Ca  
from this

The am  
ly £25,00  
suing seas

The C  
gestions o  
by an act  
ture provi  
Yates has  
to corres

**To the Hon. the Commons House of Assembly :**

*The Committee to whom was referred the Petition of the Welland Canal Company, beg leave to make a first Report.*

From the indefinite terms of the petition, the Committee called before them, among others, Mr. Yates, one of the principal stockholders in the Canal, and requested he would give the Committee any information within his reach on the subject of the prayer of the petitioners, and on the present situation of the Company generally.

From the long acquaintance which Mr. Yates has had with the subject, it was supposed a clearer and more general view of the present state and wishes of the Company, could be obtained from him in the form of a letter to the Chairman, than in any other way.

In compliance with this wish, Mr. Yates has addressed to the Committee a letter which is appended to this Report.

Without entering fully into the opinions expressed in Mr. Yates' letter, the Committee are bound to call the attention of the House to the propositions suggested towards the close of it. The first question is, shall the Government advance a sum sufficient, not only to place the Canal in such a state of repair as may insure its success during the ensuing season, but also to restore the credit of the Company, by enabling them to discharge the debts due to different individuals.

To the province, in a commercial and general view, the value of the Canal is denied by none. And it is admitted, that the means of the stockholders are insufficient to place the canal in a state of repair for the ensuing season.

We are then required to consider whether the province, by withholding support, shall allow the Welland Canal to go into comparative disuse, even for one year, and thereby possibly turn from Upper Canada the principal advantages to be ultimately derived from this communication between lakes Erie and Ontario.

The amount of debts outstanding against the Company, is nearly £25,000, and the sum estimated to be expended during the ensuing season, according to the estimate of Mr. Wright, is £8,500.

The Committee would call the attention of the House to the suggestions contained in the Report of the Commissioners appointed by an act of the Legislature, during its last session, as to any future provision for the Canal. Upon this part of their Report, Mr. Yates has entered very fully, and his views seem, in many respects, to correspond with the views of the Commissioners.



The means of the Company are exhausted—they are in debt—the Canal requires repair—without repair it must be, at least for one season, comparatively useless. Our enterprising neighbours are upon the alert, to seize any opportunity which may divert the trade and transport of the west from the waters of Canada.

Should any hesitation on our part to complete the Welland Canal, induce them to establish a route affording even minor advantages, Upper Canada must for a great length of time; perhaps forever, be deprived of the great benefits offered by the St. Lawrence, one of the great, if not the principal outlet of North America.

The Legislature have heretofore afforded assistance to the Canal, but generally in such sums, and on such conditions, as not to enable the Company to proceed with so much energy and certainty as to insure the completion and success of the work. The resources of the Company have been in many instances expended, and their energies almost paralyzed, in consequence of a series of accidents, which none of those most interested in the prosperity of the work, could foresee or prevent. And yet, Mr. Wright gives it as his opinion, that the expenditure on the Canal must, under all circumstances, have been conducted with great economy. This opinion of Mr. Wright's is entitled to much consideration, and ought to remove all doubts, if still entertained; that the funds of the Company, and loans given by this province, at various times, have been improvidently expended.

The Committee deem it unnecessary for them to recommend to your honourable House, either of the propositions submitted by Mr. Yates, and can only hope that something may be done, during the present session, to place the Welland Canal in such a situation as may be most beneficial to the publick interest.

J. H. SAMSON, *Chairman.*

*Committee Room, 9th January, 1834.*

CHAIRMAN

SIR—U  
Committee  
mission to  
course wo

I will, I  
form as I  
variant fro  
which, I p  
the work,

My hear  
is short.

In 1824,  
part of the  
the 30th o

The sul  
should be

The ro  
and decis  
1825, the  
extent of  
altering t  
more vot

These  
that the v  
Legislati  
had paid  
ney alrea  
project v  
000 in a  
nest of t  
bed dete  
continue

It app  
termina  
tions w  
fined to

are in debt—  
pe, at least for  
ng neighbours  
may divert the  
Canada.

Welland Ca-  
minor advan-  
e, perhaps for  
St. Lawrence,  
America.

eto the Canal,  
as not to ena-  
d certainty as  
The resources  
led, and their  
of accidents,  
y of the work,  
it as his opin-  
r all circum-  
This opinion  
nd ought to  
f the Compa-  
es, have been

amend to your  
itted by Mr.  
ne, during the  
a situation as

Chairman.

## MR. YATES' LETTER.

[TO JAMES H. SAMSON, ESQ.

CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMITTEE OF THE HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY, ON THE  
WELLAND CANAL PETITION.

York, December 21, 1853.

SIR—Unapprised as I have been until this day of what the wish of the Committee would be, I had not prepared any written statement for submission to them, but on the suggestion of others, had supposed a different course would have been preferred.

I will, however, in compliance with your request, in as condensed a form as I can, present a history of the Canal, in a few particulars a little variant from that given by the Directors in their Report of last year, after which, I propose making some suggestions relative to the present state of the work, and what is required to be done.

My health is not good, and the time now left in which I must do this, is short.

In 1824, the first act of incorporation was passed for a small Canal, part of the stock was subscribed, a call made, and the work commenced on the 30th of November in that year.

The subscribers to the stock were, however, desirous that permission should be obtained to enlarge the Canal, and increase the capital.

The routes and points of termination were then open for investigation and decision, and as the stockholders supposed, under their controul. In 1825, the law was passed increasing the dimensions of the Canal, and the extent of capital, *but fixing the point* of termination on lake Ontario, and altering the ratio of voting on shares, so that a thousand shares gave no more votes to a stockholder, than one hundred.

These were very exceptionable alterations, but assurances were given that the work would, with these alterations, receive a decided and efficient Legislative patronage. Although permission was granted to those who had paid any money under the first act, *to withdraw and reclaim their money* already paid, yet as no fund for such re-payment was provided if the project was abandoned; and a resolution for the appropriation of £25,000 in aid of the work, having been passed at the same session, as an earnest of the intention of Government to sustain it, those who had subscribed determined that they would not abandon the work for this cause, but continue their support.

It appeared also, from the very enactment itself, by fixing the point of *termination imperatively*, that Parliament had been governed by considerations *with which stockholders* have nothing to do, and the choice was confined to submission to the mandate, or abandonment.

The books for subscription to the stock were opened in several places. The Receiver General of the province, who was President of the Company, appeared in New-York, and offered £75,000 for New-York subscription, reserving the remainder, except a small sum subscribed in Canada, for the English market.

In the intermediate time, before the stock was ever offered in London, the Directors determined, on the strength of the New-York and Canada subscription only, to proceed with the work.

The attempt to procure subscriptions to the stock, in England, was procrastinated until the memorable year of bubble projects, which it is well known, was followed by a general prostration of credit, and an undistinguishing suspension of all undertakings of this sort, destroyed every hope that the remainder of the stock would be taken by individuals any where.

In this state of things, the attacks on the Canal, in consequence of its point of termination on lake Ontario, were renewed. The whole commercial interest of the country was arrayed against it as a hopeless and profitless project, both for publick and private uses, the New-York stockholders were assailed by every argument that could be addressed to their interests or their fears, and the pecuniary safety of some of them was threatened for a time. Yet the Directors persisted in their calls on the stock thus partially subscribed, with a full knowledge that there was not enough to finish any part of the work for use.

Many of the Canadian stockholders forfeited their shares, some offered their's for sale, thus increasing the load upon those who fulfilled their engagements, while the shareholders in New-York, with a confidence that the work would be supported by the Government, paid up.

In the year 1826, pursuant to the resolution of the preceding year, £25,000 was appropriated by way of loan.

The depression of the stock, and its low estimation among monied men, still continued, and in the winter of 1827, when the £25,000 from Government and about 70 per cent. of the subscribed stock had also been called in and expended, the Directors made application for Government assistance, but to so limited an extent, that one of the stockholders made a personal representation and exhibited the inefficiency of so small an aid.

In consequence of this, by a very small majority, after much opposition, the province subscribed £50,000 of stock and made a further loan of £25,000, and also provided for a participation in the government of the Company by the appointment of two Directors.

The same session, the province of Lower Canada also subscribed £25,000 of stock. During the winter a despatch was received from Lord Bathurst, Colonial Secretary, promising on the part of the Imperial Government, a grant of money equal to one-ninth of the estimated cost of the Canal, on certain conditions, which were complied with.

Fresh confidence was thus infused, and the stockholders became more fully assured that the work would not be abandoned by Government. Yet in all this, the commercial interest of the country was not conciliated to its termination on lake Ontario, and it was generally believed, that so placed, it could not be profitable. The stock, therefore, continued unsaleable in the market. The Company, of course, had no credit on its own strength, while the means and credit of some of its most prominent private supporters, had been exhausted by continued and heavy payments to a work so generally disparaged.

The funds thus appropriated were again exhausted, and the actual cost of the Canal exceeded the estimate so far, that it was thought expedient to send Mr. Merritt to England. He succeeded in procuring a loan of

£50,000 from

claim to the per

A private s

from some pers

wealth, that th

estimation of

hopeless of pro

to show away

Again, howe

ned, but durin

ocks had been

he deep cuttin

leted. The v

those opposed

There was a

ers, to persist

ment had take

ainly derive f

The alterna

tors, either to

of the Welland r

It is not wit

these projects

the Directors,

Further ex

a feeder from t

ered into; af

fixed under th

ants, and eco

too near the n

compelled to

great addition

At this time

facilitate the em

were, however

To this diffi

refer; for, ins

to a place alm

the most heav

nal and its su

A consider

towing path

her progress

again be exh

The Comp

stockholders

f possible, a

cent of the su

or dispose of

sed would m

rivers into la

On the str

of the perso

prevent the v

veral places.  
the Company,  
subscription,  
Canada, for the

l in London,  
t and Canada

nd, was pro-  
rich it is well  
an undistin-  
d every hope  
s any where.  
quence of its  
whole com-  
hopeless and  
-York stock-  
essed to their  
of them was  
calls on the  
ere was not

ome offered  
led their en-  
fidence that

eeding year,

monied men,  
rom Govern-  
been called  
ment assis-  
ders made a  
small aid.

n opposition,  
oan of £25-  
of the Com-

cribed £25-  
from Lord  
Imperial Go-  
nated cost of

ecame more  
ment. Yet  
nciliated to  
ved, that so  
ntinued un-  
redit on its  
t prominent  
y payments

actual cost  
at expedient  
g a loan of

£50,000 from the Imperial Government, on condition of surrendering the claim to the performance of the former promise of one-ninth of the cost.

A private subscription to the stock was also obtained by Mr. Merritt, from some persons high in office, and a few others of such standing and wealth, that the smallness of the sum rather impaired than added to the estimation of the stock; although it exhibited their good will to a work hopeless of profit, but for the accomplishment of which they were willing to throw away a certain sum.

Again, however, hope was revived, the progress of the work was pursued, but during the absence of Mr. Merritt, the contracts for some of the docks had been badly performed; and shortly after, the sliding of earth at the deep cutting occurred, when the whole excavation was nearly completed. The whole project was now confidently pronounced a failure by those opposed to it, and many of its friends were dispirited.

There was a determination, however, on the part of the large stockholders, to persist in the undertaking, relying on the deep interest Government had taken in it, and the evident advantage the province must certainly derive from it.

The alternative was now presented for the consideration of the Directors, either to persist in the precarious attempt to make a thorough cut to the Welland river, or take a feeder from the Grand river.

It is not within the limits of my present plan, to examine the merits of these projects; although I am prepared to show, that the one adopted by the Directors, was the only one which afforded a hope of success.

Further excavation at the deep cutting was abandoned, and a route for a feeder from the Grand river surveyed. The excavation was commenced, and a contract for the construction of a dam across the Grand river entered into; after some preparation had been made, and a site for the dam fixed under that contract, with a due regard to the safety of the inhabitants, and economy, objections were unexpectedly made to the place, as too near the mouth of the river, for naval purposes; and the Board was compelled to select a station five miles higher up the river, by which a great additional expense was incurred.

At this time, also, obstacles were thrown in the way of an attempt to facilitate the entrance of vessels into the mouth of the Welland river, which were, however, *unfortunately for the Company*, overcome.

To this difficulty, its removal and effect, I may again have occasion to refer; for, instead of being beneficial to the Company, it gave prosperity to a place almost inaccessible before, and afforded animation and vigour to the most heartless and unrelenting opposition, if not persecution, the Canal and its supporters have ever experienced from any quarter.

A considerable sum was ultimately expended on the Welland river, for a towing path and the cut across the point at Chippawa. After some further progress in the work, in 1829, it was ascertained that the funds must again be exhausted, and the work stop, or more money be procured.

The Company decided on sending again to England, and one of the stockholders in New-York consented to go. He was directed to procure, if possible, a remission of the debt to the Imperial Government, to the extent of the sum originally promised by Government, and to procure a loan or dispose of stock to the amount of 20 or £25,000; which it was supposed would make the Canal navigable by way of the Niagara and Welland rivers into lake Erie.

On the strength of this mission, in the hope of its success, the friends of the person sent, in New-York, accepted to the amount of £10,000, to prevent the work from stopping altogether. The application to Govern-

ment for the remission of the debt, did not at that time succeed, but a subscription for stock to some amount was obtained. With this sum it was hoped the navigation, by the above circuitous route, would be opened, and the practicability of the work being thus proved, confidence would be restored, and a sufficient degree of credit secured to complete the remainder. The Company was, however, again doomed to disappointment. The contract for the dam across the Grand river was not well performed; and the whole having settled more than two feet, the Canal was left dry, and instead of a good effect being produced by the use of the Canal, during the summer and autumn of 1830, additional discouragement was experienced, and the noise from the whole body of assailants so completely misled the community, that explanation and justification could not be heard except by a few, who had independence of character and strength of judgment to look beyond this barrier of obstreperous censoriousness.—Notwithstanding these efforts against them, fostered by a gratuitous malignity, and successfully maintained by exaggerated statements of the casualties that had occurred, relying on the few who were willing to investigate for themselves; the Directors, compelled by the necessities of the Company, again in 1831, presented their memorial for further aid from the province. This was, however, done with so hesitating a fearfulness, that they did not make a full representation of the actual wants of the Company; to obviate the defect, one of the stockholders again, as in 1827, made a representation, and freely exhibited what in his opinion, must be the wants of the Company, and attempted to prove the good policy and beneficial effect of adequate and full, instead of partial relief. The Committee, after a thorough examination of the affairs of the Company, approved of the more efficient course recommended, and reported in favour of creating a Government stock, to the amount of £200,000; taking the Canal and its works in pledge therefor, for the purpose of completing the Canal as it should be, paying off the former debts of the Company to the Government, and consolidating the whole. The useful effect of this measure on the prospect of the Company, the funds of the province, and indeed, the whole character of the undertaking, was so evident to every person who had bestowed any thought on financial operations, that its failure, after being recommended by the Committee, confirmed by the Commons House of Assembly, and supported by a resolution of supply, was matter of astonishment and regret to many disinterested friends of the work both in and out of the House. It is not my design to examine the cause of the abandonment of so good a measure. It will be sufficient to say, that all the features of this promising measure were altered; and a loan of the credit of the Government was voted for £50,000—a sum merely adequate to make the communication to lake Erie direct, leaving the supply for repairs and the restoration of an impaired credit by payment of debts, and also the payment of the semi-annual interest on the £50,000 dependent on the contingent tolls to be derived from an imperfect, and, indeed, an unfinished work. Unequal as this sum was, to the full accomplishment of the object, the aid was necessary, and although accompanied with most singular and personally burthensome conditions, yet those conditions were complied with, and it was thankfully received. The work was again started with vigour, a temporary loan having been procured on the hypothecation of these Government securities, for £50,000, and there was every reasonable prospect that the whole would be finished in a short time, when all labour was arrested by that desolating scourge with which, in 1832, the whole country was afflicted. Thus, time after time, and year after year, have the prospects of this Company been blasted by oc-

ucceed, but a sub-  
 h this sum it was  
 ould be opened,  
 onfidence would  
 complete the re-  
 o disappointment.  
 ve dispensation of Providence.

t well performed ;  
 Canal was left dry,  
 of the Canal, du-  
 agement was ex-  
 ts so completely  
 ion could not be  
 or and strength of  
 ensoriousness.—  
 a gratuitous ma-  
 atements of the  
 ere willing to in-  
 he necessities of  
 for further aid  
 hesitating a fear-  
 the actual wants  
 holders again, as  
 at in his opinion,  
 ve the good poli-  
 artial relief. The  
 of the Company,  
 on this season, is

conclusive.  
 The season of business was broken, commencing in June instead of the  
 first of April. There were no funds to keep the Canal in order, in the  
 hands of the Company ; and no such assurance of safety could be given,  
 to justify prudent men who had another channel, to recommend its use.  
 The transportation was, however, fifty per cent. more than the preceding  
 year, in the three months of uninterrupted navigation, which is, perhaps,  
 the full extent of time that it has been in use this season, free from casu-  
 alties—the bad effect of which would have been, in part at least, obviated,  
 if there had been means to prepare for contingencies. There is good  
 reason to believe, that, if the Canal could have been ready for use in April  
 last, and full assurance given that it would be kept in common order, the  
 income from it would have exceeded £12,000 ; and this sum will more  
 than pay the interest on the whole debt of the Company.

The season of business was broken, commencing in June instead of the  
 first of April. There were no funds to keep the Canal in order, in the  
 hands of the Company ; and no such assurance of safety could be given,  
 to justify prudent men who had another channel, to recommend its use.  
 The transportation was, however, fifty per cent. more than the preceding  
 year, in the three months of uninterrupted navigation, which is, perhaps,  
 the full extent of time that it has been in use this season, free from casu-  
 alties—the bad effect of which would have been, in part at least, obviated,  
 if there had been means to prepare for contingencies. There is good  
 reason to believe, that, if the Canal could have been ready for use in April  
 last, and full assurance given that it would be kept in common order, the  
 income from it would have exceeded £12,000 ; and this sum will more  
 than pay the interest on the whole debt of the Company.

The Canal was open, and in use, altogether unimpeded by ice in this  
 month, even during the cold weather which we have had ; while, on the  
 Erie Canal, they had been obliged to break and cut ice in several places.  
 The Company now requires, in order to secure the earliest opening of the  
 Canal promptly, to be aided to an extent that will enable the Directors  
 to widen the feeder, so as to admit a greater flow of water ; to dredge the  
 Canal ; to repair and secure their locks ; to complete their harbours ; and  
 to pay their debts. The remaining £100,000, contemplated to be loaned  
 in 1831, would be sufficient for the purpose, and, with the income of the  
 Canal, gradually renew the locks, and make them of greater dimensions  
 as they require renewal.

I have thus given as succinct an account as possible of the progress and  
 present state of this great work. In looking at and examining some of  
 the most prominent circumstances, which is all that at the present time  
 can be done by me in its progress, I cannot avoid a remark, founded on  
 what has appeared to me one of the most singular circumstances ever



known in such a work. An exhibiton throughout of *disinterested anxiety* on the part of many with regard to the expenditure, and sometimes a *gratuitous suspicion of misapplication of money*, (evidently without much examination,) while the private stockholders (a very few of whom hold an amount equal to the stock interest of the whole province therein,) have closely examined the accounts, and, although disappointed in the whole cost of the work, are satisfied with the expenditure, and retain their confidence in the prospect of the Canal, and in a full and certain return upon their entire outlay, if they shall be sustained.

In complete confirmation that this confidence in the economy of expenditure has been well founded, every Committee for the purpose of investigating the accounts, has reported the same thing; and now an Engineer, appointed by Commissioners selected by the Legislature to expend a sum on the Canal, for which an equivalent in stock is held by Government, has candidly (although necessarily, in truth,) reiterated the fact—*That much economy in expenditure must have been used to have produced such results as even the present state of the Canal exhibits.*

I now propose drawing the attention of the Committee to some particulars in the Report of Mr. Wright—and first I notice the locks on the Canal. These unfortunate locks have been a fruitful source of declamation and misrepresentation. Some few of them were badly constructed in the first place, owing to fraud on the part of the contractors. With the exception of these, all the locks have answered the expectation of the Company; and it is demonstrable that, under the peculiar circumstances, any attempt to construct more expensive ones must have produced a failure in the work, and ruined some individuals who solely sustained it. Other plans for locks have been recommended. A short comparison of their promised durability may be useful. Mr. Wright estimates the cost of repairing the present locks, without enlarging them, at £1,700 each, which may be done gradually as they shall require repair, stating a probable duration of four years, within which time it will become necessary to attend to all of them in this way; and then he supposes the repaired lock will last 10 years. The present locks have cost on an average not to exceed £750 each; and the first four of these locks were completed in 1826, and have not required any repair. They are yet good after seven years duration, and much greater exposure, than have been in continual use. Where the locks have been regularly supplied with water, the foundation will not sustain injury in fifty years, and the top or upper part of them can be renewed every ten years for less than two hundred and fifty pounds each.

These locks, therefore, which have cost each £750 only, with every disadvantage of an empty Canal, and the worst species of exposure, both winter and summer, are yet in use. Their width is 10 feet more than the other locks, being 32 by 125 feet chamber, the danger of sustaining injury was greater in proportion to the pressure of water and size of lock-gates. The conclusive evidence from this part of the experiment is, that when well made, this species of cheap lock is as durable as any other wood lock. The cost, as suggested by Mr. Wright, for repairing, is £1,700. Deduct from this the actual cost, £750, of a new lock on the present plan, the balance is £950. Put the duration of the present lock at 10 years, seven of which have already expired, and the interest on the difference only, annually compounded at the rate of six per cent., amounts to near £746; so that, in the worst possible form, if the whole required to be taken up from top to foundation, the difference of interest alone on the

relative cost,  
of the old  
No man ac  
to say, that  
only. All,  
want allowan  
water, exclu  
£50, theref  
may be done  
on is on the  
present lock  
years, is £1,  
present locks  
able or dry  
is said, bu  
ther,  
The unerr  
on, and the  
lock and priv  
ies of lock p  
estimated co  
locks and on  
ounded ann  
like ours, ma  
years. But  
A several years  
ist as long  
Mr. Wright'  
the interest  
substantially  
the interest o  
the number o  
sum of £117  
When Mr  
ten compete  
consideration  
and the enlar  
perverted  
These estim  
conviction  
warrant it—  
that must so  
commercial i  
region, in les  
expense that  
desirable. A  
willingness a  
beg leave to  
ready been n  
designed, ha  
the Canal, to  
ed only by t  
This featu  
to notice, an

interested anxiety and sometimes without much of whom hold an opinion therein,) have retained in the whole their constant return upon economy of expense purpose of investment now an Engineering held by Government the fact—*we produced such*

relative cost, would construct a new one every ten years, instead of repairing the old one. No man acquainted with the duration of wood under water will hesitate to say, that wood immersed in water is probably imperishable by time only. All, then, below the water, is as good as stone; and it is an abundant allowance to say one-third of the original cost will replace all above water, exclusive of the gates, which are equally perishable in all locks.—£250, therefore, the sum above named, will repair them amply; and this may be done in the winter when the Canal is not in use. This calculation is on the supposition of repair only. The Engineer's next proposition is a lock of £2,416. The difference between this and the cost of the present lock, is £1,666; the interest as above on this difference, for ten years, is £1,310, (I throw off fractions,) nearly double the cost of our present locks; and yet this is still only a superior sort of wood-lock, with rubble or dry stone wall, sheathed with wood, conveniently repaired, as is said, but still requiring repair in the same time and manner with the other.

The unerring certainty of mathematical calculation settles this question, and the Company have adopted a course the most conducive to publicity of declamation and private interest in the prosecution of their work. The next specimen of lock presented for consideration, is that of stone. Mr. Wright's estimated cost of a stone lock, is £4,312: the difference between our present locks and one of these is £4,060. Three years' interest thereon, computed annually, is a fraction more than £775. Of course, a new lock produced a failure ours, may be made for the interest on difference in cost, every three years sustained it incurs. But good locks on our plan have lasted seven years, and will last commended. Several years more—that is, the exposed part: the rest will, undeniably, last as long as we know any thing of time. Assuming, as we have done, Mr. Wright's limit of ten years—at the end of this period the difference will require repair—the interest will be somewhat more than £3,196 each, and £250 will substantially repair any of our wood-locks: The difference, therefore, on the interest only, at the end of ten years, is £2,946—multiply this by 40, the number of locks in the Canal, and the saving amounts to the enormous sum of £117,840, or 471,360 dollars.

When Mr. Wright made his report, he presented his propositions to ten competent to examine his statements, and they were submitted for regular consideration. It certainly never occurred to him that the information and the enlarged view on which his calculations were founded, would be perverted as to afford an argument against supporting the work.—These estimates were presented for consideration, and to be adopted when a conviction shall become prevalent that the business of the Canal will warrant it—of which he does not appear to entertain a doubt as an event that must soon occur; and, unless the ordinary laws which govern the commercial intercourse of society, are different here from any other known region, in less than ten years the business on the Canal will support any expense that may not only be necessary, but, under any circumstances, desirable. Assured as I feel, of the liberal feelings of the members, and willingness as well as competency to examine this part of his report, I beg leave to draw your attention particularly to it. Attempts have already been made to pervert its meaning; and impressions, evidently never designed, have been excited in relation to the requisite expenditure upon the Canal, to sustain it in useful operation. This can have been occasioned only by too superficial an examination of the report and its statements. This feature in the report of Mr. Wright, I shall again have occasion to notice, and will therefore leave it for the present.



The misrepresentations with regard to the locks, have been the most untrue of any that have been uttered in relation to the Canal. It is painful to be placed in a situation that requires the exposure of deliberate and premeditated falsehood; but, disagreeable as the task is, when it becomes my duty, and is within the limit of my right, I shall not omit it. It has become almost an axiom among a certain class of Engineers, that locks for Canals, made of wood, are useless; and so many of the merely imitative part of community have blindly adopted it as such, that any attempt reasonably to disprove it, is in danger of being laughed into silence. It is therefore, with some gratification, that the testimony of Mr. Wright, in his report, may be referred to, as not objecting to wood locks. He merely prefers varying plans of his own. I have endeavoured to show, that even there, we lose nothing by comparison, in durability, and gain much in cost.

I owe an apology, perhaps, for the terms I have used with regard to those who, without any reason, have condemned the use of locks made of wood. I have so often been met by expressed incredulity, after positive and unimpeached evidence, that I cannot give credit to their sincerity except on the supposition of too easy an acquiescence in a received opinion and culpable heedlessness of proof, while there is a pretension to full information.

When no injury can result from submission to, and retirement from such a course, it is well. But when the protection of an important work and the prosperity of the whole community, are dependent upon our firmness, we must not permit ourselves to remain silent for the sake of quietness. There are many who are called to act on this subject, and have had no opportunity for investigation. It is injustice to them to permit bold assertions, if untrue, to remain disproved.

I have asserted that the locks were such as, in our situation, were conducive to public good and private interest. To prove this, I have shown that the very interest on the difference in the cost of the cheapest lock suggested, is more than double the sum requisite to keep the locks on their present construction, in repair for ever; and in a stone lock, the interest of one year on the difference in the cost is more than double the amount necessary for the same purpose. *I now assert, that, in no one instance, has the delay in navigation on the Welland Canal, been owing to the locks having been made of wood; but the same causes would have produced the same accidents with locks of any other construction.* It will be recollect- ed, that, in the various attacks on this work, the form and mode have varied to so great a degree, that what was, at one time, considered an argument in favour of the Canal, has, to suit the convenience of the assailants, been perverted into a charge against it.

Thus, its friends have asserted, that, when finished, its advantages would be greater and its income increased, because it would be used by the Americans in approaching their own sea-ports; and, in this way, even those who had a distinct commercial interest, would aid in support of work by which the facility for conducting your commerce has been promoted. This anticipation was considered improbable, when first named as a foundation for hope of income; but no sooner does experience prove it true, than this very use of the Canal becomes an evil. Thus has it been with the locks. The first flood would sweep off the rubbish. The floods came again and again—the locks remained uninjured. Years passed—accident prevented their use, and left them exposed, without water in them, to the heat of summer and the frost of winter; yet two or three only have required attention; But that was enough to renew the charge;

have been the model I must confess I have been much surprised to hear assertions made of the Canal. It is painful to men whose opportunity for information has been better than mine, to see a course of deliberate and unprincipled assertions, which, with even the personal attention I have been able to give the work, is, when it becomes known to be unfounded.

Of the assertions I have made with regard to this part of the work, those which relate to relative expense rest on calculation; and, if this be the merely imitative, must be undeniable. Such as are founded on duration and safety, that any attempt to be proved by experience and observation. We have nothing to ask but to silence. It is an examination of proof.

I have said, if stone locks had been attempted, the ruinous result must have been inevitable. £4,812, the cost of one of the stone locks of Mr. Wright, multiplied by 40—the number of locks on the Canal—would be 192,480. In what state should we have been placed if an attempt like this had been made?

The sum required for this object only, would, after the expenditure of our money, and the first £25,000 of the province, have prevented any further advances.

If, with a Canal almost completed, sufficiently prepared to give conclusive evidence of its immediate and immensely prospective advantages, there is still strength of opposition sufficient to render it doubtful whether this important commercial channel will continue to receive public support—how evident must it appear, that any attempt to make the locks of more durable materials would have caused an entire and disastrous failure!

Much has been said of the large amount yet required by the examination of the subject, and estimate of Mr. Wright to complete the Canal. I have before presented to his view of the subject, and his object in thus presenting it. I permit bold assertions to now examine other parts of his report, and show that a greater part of those expenditures are to be incurred on the Canal, in the event, only of certain contingent extension and improvements, not necessary for its immediate and beneficial use.

In the supplement to the report a more detailed estimate is given, in which we find what is more suited to our situation. The entire amount absolutely necessary is about £10,000 for the ensuing year; of which sum, three-fourths are required for the harbour and permanent work. In another year, if thought expedient, he has pointed out some further useful permanent improvements; and the entire sum of £7,550 is named for repairing locks, and building four new ones at his own estimation. It will readily be seen, with all the professional and proper anxiety of Mr. Wright that this Canal should ultimately become such, in construction, as its situation and great prospects demand—he cannot for a moment entertain the thought, that any suggestion of future expenditure, upon expediency only, would occasion hesitation in its support.

He, therefore, in all his statements, includes the necessary expenditure for enlargement, if increased business shall require it. No part of this should be taken into the account when the propriety of present aid is alone considered: When the Canal shall require such outlay, there will be no difficulty in supplying it. In connexion with this part of the subject, I would refer to the report of the Commissioners: They say, that “the greater part of the sum expended by them, has been applied in finishing the Canal, rather than repairing it.”

In conversation I have heard it urged, by way of argument against the expenditure of £7,500, last season, is a criterion by which the annual outlay for repairs may be estimated. How does this agree with the declaration of the Commissioners “that the greater part of this money was expended in finishing, rather than repairing, the

Canal?" It was also then said, that the income of the Canal received during the season, should be compared with such annual outlay, to test its usefulness. It requires a mere exhibition of such declarations, where they can be seen in connexion with the statement of facts as they are, to show their fallacy. I beg leave to refer to the account of tolls, and the manner and time of their receipt, to show that the business of the season was broken; and they were but a fraction of what would have been received if the navigation had commenced early, and could have continued uninterrupted. From this it will be seen, that, in the month of July, more than £1,000 were received in tolls. This is always a month in which there is the least transportation; yet, even in this year, in which no expectation could be entertained that much would be done, with every engagement for the year against the Canal—if each month had been equal to the one that is commonly of the least consequence, the income would have exceeded £8,000; but, if the full season had been enjoyed, more than £12,000 would have been received, even at the present low rates of toll, which are, for the whole of this large Canal, as low as those on the Burlington Bay Canal. It was thought advisable to put down the tolls, for the purpose of inviting transportation, as low as possible. In some instances they have been placed unnecessarily low. This is not unfavourable to the ultimate hopes of the proprietors, but, in the present state of things, gives some plausibility to the statement of our opponents.

While we are thus struggling with difficulties—when even the smallest circumstances are seized with avidity to embarrass our operations—the Legislature is called on to encourage and give additional strength to opposition by the incorporation of a monopolizing Joint Stock Land Transportation Company, without affording equal facilities to the Canal Company or its friends. From such competition there cannot ultimately be any thing to fear if the Canal should be supported so far that the assurance of one year's business may be given. The effect, however, will be injurious to the estimation of the value of the property until time shall prove that such competition against the Canal cannot be sustained. There is also another view in which it may prove prejudicial to the interest of the Canal Company, and one which I fear more than any other. There are some who are willing to support the Canal with any required aid, if they can be assured of a direct return from tolls; and are too timid to rely on the other advantages arising from commerce, population, &c.—which are, however, equally certain, and evident to those who reflect on them. But, without such reflection, or examination of the capabilities of the country to be improved, and an independent exercise of the understanding, such friends are often driven, by their fears, from sustaining the best measures, although they may pass to the ranks of opposition with great reluctance. All this support is lost through apprehension—when a confident declaration is made that another channel may be used to equal or better advantage. I have said before, and repeat, I fear no rail nor any other road. I am not opposed to them; but, when used to our disadvantage, in estranging our friends, I cannot avoid feeling the injury. I do not recollect what amount has been expended in improving the Chippawa, and the cut across the point, by which the place has been literally renewed. From this place, so renewed, most of the opposition and misrepresentations in relation to the Canal have emanated: The modicum of toll charged for the use of our work has been resisted; and, for the whole of this portion of our expenditure, we have received nothing but injury from those benefitted by it. But I will say no more on this subject, and proceed to the examination of other questions connected with the petition of the Directors.

Mr. W  
We who  
no other  
more mon

Under u  
original es  
assments  
in to wor

On the  
works—w  
sometimes  
both the st

projects, v  
Union Can  
liberally s

dividend w  
were made  
ars. The

of dollars  
Company  
salists in  
Chesapeak

those on t  
6 miles.  
posts as ou

led difficu  
bled the C  
The Hu

pany—a b  
mineral re  
led the est  
precitated

of New-Y  
000 dollar  
found insu  
same desc

judicious  
stock incr  
was before

In Gre  
a private  
but the G  
sufficient

We hav  
would, wi  
way in w  
manner in  
said, that  
plete it.

In the  
of the Co  
tage of th  
lamity, th  
ken of th



this connecting link of communication, until it is heard like the repetition of an old tale. Mr. Wright and the Commissioners have again drawn your attention to it. I shall not trouble you with my observations on this branch. I will now take the liberty, with your permission, to examine an objection I have often heard made—that these loans are a load on the province, and impair the power of making other important improvements. This is one of those financial objections which may be easily refuted; but even plain demonstration is often insufficient to convince of error. Unpromising, however, as this task is, the resources are so certain that I must not pass it without some examination. There is an evident distinction between debts incurred by the Government for improvements in the country, and such as are lost in the ordinary and extraordinary expenses thereof, where no such object has been obtained. This truism has been thus named, because, in the reasons I have heard opposed to incurring provincial debt for objects of improvement, the two have not been properly distinguished. They may both be equally important to the country; but one is a load upon its resources, and the other increases them. Objects of improvement, for which publick expenditure is required, are also of many different kinds.

Those from which immediate income is derived, and which at the same time promote the general prosperity, are most desirable, and bear their own recommendation by every consideration of duty and sound policy. Yet local interests and preferences, personal animosities and attachments, combined with many others of the great variety of human motives, more frequently interfere with and embarrass such measures, than any other. Their very prospects, and certain beneficial effect, if completed, insure stronger combinations and more unremitting efforts to defeat them. One of the most diabolical and insidious passions by which our erring nature is cursed, (envy,) is not unfrequently the foundation and mainspring of the most violent and persevering efforts, thus made for destruction merely. Those who are sincerely desirous to promote useful publick objects without regard to their origin or supporters, must be closely watchful, lest specious sophistry, professed honesty, and affected fearfulness of publick injury, so far mislead as to induce them innocently to do or permit an irreparable injury. These are the weapons by which men of strict integrity, unsuspecting of the motives of others, are too often successfully assailed. When all the advantages of a project or measure are fully known to those who are called to act on it, nothing need be apprehended; but, on very important questions, many who are required to act must necessarily be governed by the representations and arguments of others.—Those should therefore look to the very source of opposition and support of a measure, in the absence of stronger evidence to test the accuracy of the alleged facts and arguments by which it is sustained. An object of improvement is proposed—its beneficial effect is first examined: In this all agree. Then, what are the means to accomplish it? Will it furnish its own income by making a return upon the outlay, by duty or toll imposed on its use? or is the whole advantage from it an increase of the individual prosperity and wealth of the country? Under the last class of improvements must be placed publick highways, bridges, harbours, &c. (*The Welland Canal Harbours have not indeed been so made—but in most cases they are, and should be sustained by the publick funds.*) The wants of the community, and the direct means to make such works, have been viewed by many cautious politicians as the landmarks by which action upon them should be governed. In opening a road by publick appropriation, no one in this country ever proposed making the person using it pay for

like the repetition of the same error; the very object in making it would be defeated; No settler could have again drawn upon the country for use it; and the country must remain a wilderness. Canals have, however, been usually properly placed under the other class of improvements, from which a direct return, or a part at least, of the outlay for their construction and support, should be expected—because their convenience and utility are demanded by the increased population and wealth of the country after the first stages of settlement shall have been passed. The prospect of a permanent benefit from them by tolls becomes an object for preliminary examination. For the accomplishment of such objects, it has frequently occurred that Government has called in aid, and united with its measures individual attention, enterprise and interest. The motives for this combination have been very various in different countries, and different instances of the same country. I only say such has often been the policy. I shall, therefore I close this communication, have occasion to refer to the relations and duties of the parties thus mutually interested.

The question, whether a canal will pay, once settled, its practicability proved, the work commenced and in progress, if undertaken by the public, a pledge that it shall be completed is thus given, which nothing but the positive want of ability should be permitted to violate. What is this ability? In what does it consist, and how may it be applied? I aver, with a consciousness that I am sustained by sufficient proof, that there is no territory or Government whose finances are better situated, whose resources are more certain or commandable, and whose country is better placed for profitable improvement, with the expectation of immediate return, than the province of Upper Canada. I have been furnished with abstracts from reports, from which it appears that the revenue of the province for the last few years has been rapidly increasing; and although the expenditures have also increased, yet the proportion, except for such objects as must make a full return upon the outlay, has been no way equal to such increase of revenue. In the present year, including only a regular dividend on the Bank stock, and the probable direct income from the various investments made for public improvement which produce a return, the amount of revenue will exceed £80,000, estimating the permanent expense £30,000, exclusive of the interest on loans; and a more favourable state of things can scarcely be desired. I make this statement of expenditure more to draw attention, than with any pretension to a knowledge of its accuracy. Whatever the balance at present, or reasonably anticipated may be, that with the expected return from the outlay, forms the legitimate basis for the credit of the Government, and may advantageously be used for the extension and improvement of the sources of revenue. Thus it would appear that the province now possess an income, the greater part of which is derived from a very moderate impost, of about £50,000 above all the necessary calls upon it, for disbursements of Government. The legal provincial interest is 6 per cent. You have then a foundation for a fund for internal improvements which will bear hard on nothing, create not a penny more of taxation, to the amount of £800,000. The result of such improvement, when made, is as certain, and founded on as fixed a law of society, as the flow of water is on a law of nature. If the improvement shall pay no interest on the outlay, except to sustain itself in repairs, the increase of the revenue will be in proportion to the population and wealth introduced and fostered by it. Thus the actual resources of the Government and the state of the country are substantially improved. Is this result doubtful? Does not the policy and experience of every country in the world prove it?



In the United States, by the federal Constitution, all revenue from foreign commerce, has been transferred to the federal Government. The same is true of the commercial intercourse between the states, by an inhibition in the same instrument, cannot be taxed. The subject of internal improvements has hitherto almost wholly been attended to by each state, within its own territorial limits only. Thus cut off from any reliance on an income which you possess, and many of them having no other advantage equal to yours, it may not be deemed irrelevant briefly to show, how some of these states have viewed and applied their remaining resources. New York has constructed canals throughout the state, incurred for them a debt of millions, and in five years more, (fifteen years from the time of their completion) the whole debt so incurred, with the interest, will be paid by the income from the work itself. Many of the other states are also constructing similar works at their own expense, to which I have before alluded, and they do not think themselves poorer in consequence of the debt created for them.

Pennsylvania and Ohio are expending largely, and New York is also extending her canals. The comparison between your resources and any of these states, the most prominent and prosperous of the whole confederation, is much in your favour. The revenue from impost and tonnage benefitted and increased by these very improvements, is not at all under their control. Yet they understand well the beneficial effects of such operations, and have no apprehension from any debt incurred for the construction; the work affords the means of paying the debt. The improvements you have now in contemplation and those already made, present more favourable prospects for return than any other. There is no light in which they can be viewed, from which a stronger hope of return may not be entertained, than from any of those named. Instead of being a load, they may be made a source of additional revenue. The Welland and the St. Lawrence Canals, although distinct undertakings, are projects intimately connected in interest. Which should have been first made, is not now a question for discussion more than the particular termination of the Welland Canal at either extremity. It may be a subject of gratification to some, and regret to others; but it is done. I discuss the present and the future only. The past I have used merely in reference to the justice of the country, and as others may regard it in a different light, or see it through another medium, even that is done with the utmost deference to the opinions of disinterested men. The Burlington Bay Canal has been completed, and although its actual cost has been quadruple the anticipated sum, yet there is no doubt of its making a ample return of interest upon the outlay. It is comparatively a small work. Refer to your receipts of custom as exhibited in the official accounts; look at the increased population and wealth in its vicinity; add to these the tolls received for its use; and I would ask, has not the country gained by its construction? Are you loaded with debt for it? or rather may it not with propriety be asked—Is there any one so regardless of his reputation for intellect or ingenuousness, as not to acknowledge that it has increased your revenue, and aided in filling your treasury? This is a Government work, and the £50,000 for its cost is acknowledged to be well expended. So in effect, although not in general acknowledgment, it is with the Welland Canal. Its partial use and anticipated completion have already reduced the rate of transportation, for the benefit of your agricultural community, more than two thirds. With regard to the value of property above the Canal, it cannot surely be necessary for me to use argument to show that a man, the product of whose farm is worth

all revenue from for-  
 Government. The  
 istribution in the sam  
 improvements ha  
 within its own ter  
 an income whic  
 age equal to your  
 New York has com  
 a debt of man  
 time of their com  
 will be paid by th  
 re also constructi  
 before alluded, an  
 the debt created fo

New York is als  
 resources and an  
 of the whole conf  
 impost and tonnag  
 is not at all und  
 ial effects of suc  
 incurred for the  
 the debt. The in  
 already made, pr  
 ther. There is n  
 nger hope of retur  
 d. Instead of be  
 venue. The Wel  
 undertakings, an  
 ould have been fir  
 the particular te  
 It may be a subje  
 is done. I discus  
 ed merely in refe  
 regard it in a di  
 at is done with t  
 . The Burlingto  
 al cost has bee  
 t of its making a  
 comparatively a sma  
 l in the official a  
 n its vicinity; ad  
 ask, has not th  
 ith debt for it?  
 y one so regardle  
 t to acknowledg  
 your treasury?  
 st is acknowledge  
 eral acknowledg  
 d anticipated cor  
 for the benefit  
 With regard to  
 necessary for me  
 hose farm is wor

ere at his own door in consequence of the diminished price of transpor-  
 tion, is thus far an actual gainer by the improvement, and his farm it-  
 self more valuable in proportion to the amount thus saved in transporta-  
 tion and consequent increase of the product thereof. This is still the in-  
 ipient and imperfect stage. I have before spoken of its tolls. It is im-  
 possible to limit the amount of transportation upon it except by its capa-  
 city to pass vessels. This is an opinion formed by every person acquaint-  
 ed with the extent and resources of the country. An examination of  
 the map of the province, with a knowledge of the climate, soil, rivers inter-  
 secting it every where, and lakes by which it is almost insulated, is  
 enough for any one open to conviction. The contemplated improvements  
 on the St. Lawrence, in point of advantage to the country, are second to  
 no other project; they are intimately connected in mutual interest with  
 the Welland Canal, and are decidedly links of the same chain. A very  
 small portion of the productions of the country and merchandise would  
 pay an interest on the outlay for the whole line of communication. I  
 must here bestow a few words on a most singular argument on the use of  
 the Welland Canal by American vessels. This is nothing less than that  
 such use impairs the interest of the Canadian farmer. I have before said  
 that formerly one of the arguments used against its prospective produc-  
 tiveness was, that the Americans would never use it; but they do use it,  
 and the ground is now changed. An important aid to the income of the  
 Canal is derived from American industry and enterprise, and in an ap-  
 proach to their own seaport. Nothing that they carry comes in competi-  
 tion with any article on your side, so far as the Canal is concerned.—  
 How then, I would ask, can such an assertion be entitled to any weight or  
 even momentary attention? A vessel is cleared from the port of Oswego  
 for Cleveland in Ohio, having on board merchandise and salt, and she  
 brings back a return cargo of wheat, which is ground at the Oswego mills,  
 or sent to New York in the grain. In what way, in the name of reason,  
 does this use of the Canal affect the interest of the Canadian, except that he  
 and his country are benefitted by the tribute thus paid by the Americans,  
 for the use of a communication more convenient than their own, thus  
 contributing to a return on the outlay and consequent advantage of the  
 province? If the produce shall be destined for the Canada market, or for  
 the purpose of sending abroad, the Canal has nothing to do with it in any  
 way; but it is a subject of commercial regulation entirely, and its effect  
 may be commercially examined by others, more beneficially than by me  
 at this time.

I have thus passed over in perhaps too hasty and desultory a manner,  
 subjects of importance, but the shortness of the time allowed prevents my  
 putting it in better order. I will now proceed to a brief examination of  
 three propositions which have been presented for legislative considera-  
 tion, in relation to the Welland Canal Company and its support.

The situation of the Company has been represented. It is now requi-  
 site that immediate measures should be adopted to prepare the Canal for  
 early spring navigation. Engagements for transportation will soon be  
 made, and longer delay may lose a great part of what will otherwise be  
 sent through the Canal.

The first question is—shall aid for this work be given by the Govern-  
 ment to the full extent of the sum required to put the Canal in a respect-  
 able situation, and restore the credit of the Company?

It is said the Government have already aided to an extent far beyond  
 any sum anticipated, and the merits of the undertaking do not warrant  
 any further advances. Another ground taken in opposition, is, that the



amount of repairs recommended by Mr. Wright, is so large that the Government cannot do it, and that its means are not adequate.

The whole amount required to pay off the debts of the Company, and put the Canal in a complete state for use the next season, will be somewhat less than £50,000.

The Government and individuals are stockholders in a joint concern. The Government has had its stock represented in the management of the concerns of the Company, by Directors of its own appointment. Every measure adopted by the Board of Directors, has had the approbation of the Government Directors. Indeed, there is great satisfaction in being able to make the assertion, that no Board of Directors in any Company have appeared to me to act with greater attention to the interests entrusted to them, and with more unanimity, than the Directors of the Welland Canal Company, amidst all their discouragements and troubles.

The Government has always been satisfied with the course pursued by its Directors. When the accounts of the Company have been exhibited and examined by Committees of the Commons House of Assembly, their reports have always expressed satisfaction with them, and been received. The province has advanced large sums on loan to promote the work, and holds the Canal for such loans.

The private stockholders can never expect to receive any interest on their stock, until these loans are fully provided for. If they had means to aid by loans, they could not do it except on the foundation of a subsequent lien, which might be endangered by the prior claims of the Government.

The greatest portion of private stock is held by a few persons whose means have been exhausted by investing their property in the stock, and they are of course unable to aid any further.

The interest of the Government is great in the work—I have shown that it has abundant means—and from all these considerations it appears to me almost necessarily to follow, that either in its Government character, to sustain a work of such importance, or as joint proprietor of stock and partner, with a prior lien on the property that effectually shuts out the hope of aid from any other quarter, it would not be proper, with a due regard to public faith, to suffer the Company to die, and then claim the forfeited property. Our case is however stronger than is above represented. That would be a parallel case of common partners with equal proportional participation in the profits; and yet the partner who had means and refused to aid in such an emergency, with the expectation of advantage from the embarrassment, could scarcely hope to retain a character for integrity. Here, however, the Government has all the profit, and until the nett dividend shall exceed the interest of the debt, and be sufficient to provide for the payment of the principal, the shareholders sustain all the loss. The improvement is in the land—an immense population and millions of wealth will be added; and the revenues of the province greatly increased; yet the shareholders must wait, and perhaps lose all, while the publick is reaping its full harvest. This is not an imaginary representation—it is strictly true, and if it shall be deemed proper to act upon this proposition only, I cannot avoid feeling a perfect confidence that the requisite aid will be granted.

There is, however, an opinion very prevalent that it would be better that the Government should own this great channel for commercial intercourse. I entertain no apprehensions of the intrinsic value of the stock, if we can once be placed on a footing to provide against any contingencies, and be enabled to give assurance that the Canal shall be kept in good order for a single season.

o large that the Go  
quate.

f the Company, and  
ason, will be some

in a joint concern  
management of the  
pointment. Ever  
the approbation of  
satisfaction in being  
s in any Company  
the interests entrus  
ors of the Wellan  
troubles.

course pursued by  
have been exhibited  
of Assembly, their  
and been received  
mote the work, and

ive any interest of  
if they had means to  
ion of a subsequent  
the Government.  
ew persons whose  
y in the stock; and

ork—I have shown  
erations it appears  
overnment charac  
roprietor of stock  
ually shuts out the  
proper, with a due  
and then claim the

is above represen  
rs with equal pro  
er who had means  
ectation of advan  
retain a character  
the profit, and un  
, and be sufficient  
holders sustain all  
se population and  
e province greatly  
aps lose all, while  
imaginary repre  
proper to act upon  
nfidence that the

would be better  
commercial inter  
lue of the stock,  
t any contingen  
l be kept in good

None of the accidents which have occurred have lessened my ideas of intrinsic value of the property. I know the country by which it will be supported; and the result is inevitable. During the last season the commissioners addressed letters to the different shareholders, with a request to answer on what terms they would sell their stock. All with whom I am acquainted preferred that an offer should be made, if it was the wish of Government to possess the Canal. They have never considered it worth less than what it cost, including interest. I have also seen letters from shareholders in England—one from the Rev. Mr. Black, of Liverpool, who appears well acquainted with the Canal and its reasonable prospects, and evidently possesses a degree of accurate topographical intelligence, which, for the proper estimation of its value to the proprietors and the country, it would be very desirable to see more generally diffused even here.

The other is from Messrs. Bosanquet, Pitt, and Company. Both letters hold the property in greater estimation than some of our shareholders, not higher than I do. I hope Mr. Merritt, to whom these letters have been addressed, may feel himself at liberty to submit such portions of them as are applicable. On this subject I have nothing further to say: it is a measure resting with Parliament. If no sufficient aid be furnished on such terms as the Company can take, it appears to me reasonable that arrangements should be made to purchase out a sufficient amount of stock to vest in the Government a control of the work.

If, however, a state of feeling shall exist, which cannot consider the resources of the country, and the abundant means it possesses to aid or purchase, in the light I have endeavoured to present it; I would then submit, for the purpose of enabling the Directors to offer security on an unencumbered Canal, that the Government relinquish its interest therein, on condition that the stockholders put the Canal in good order, and keep it so. I would not name this proposition, if some gentlemen had not informed me that many members thought this measure preferable to any other.

I am, with the greatest respect, Sir,

Your obedient servant,

J. B. YATES.

## MR. WRIGHT'S REPORT.

*An Extract from the Report of BENJAMIN WRIGHT, Esq., a distinguished Engineer employed by the Government Commissioners to examine a report on the situation of the Canal.*

In concluding my report upon the Welland Canal, my duty leads me to make a few remarks upon the present importance of the whole work, and more especially its thousand fold prospective usefulness; at this latter point you will perceive I have particularly arrived in the formation of the plans and estimates.

North of the 37th degree of latitude, and east of the Rocky Mountains is a vast expanse of country, capable of sustaining a population of 50 millions; and which in 25 years hence will have a population of more than 10 millions. With this rapid and mighty increase of population adjacent to the shores of the upper lakes and their tributaries, to what direction shall we look for an outlet for their surplus products?

The three general outlets are: 1st. To New Orleans by the way of the Mississippi. 2nd. To New York by the way of Canada—and thence to Hudson and such rail-roads as may be made auxiliary thereto. 3rd. To Montreal and Quebec. To the first, the insalubrity of the climate is an insuperable obstacle to a regular trade. It is one of those natural impediments which there exists no way of fully counteracting, although the introduction of steam vessels on that river and its tributaries will do much to alleviate this disadvantage; still the trade inclines to seek its connexion with some other quarter. We see this in the fact that the Erie canal, in the short period of its use, is even now thronged with boats, a small part of which are as yet the transports of the products west of Buffalo, and complaints are made of the insufficiency of this channel. Of the several cities on the Atlantick border, I have mentioned New-York only: her vast superiority in local position and other natural advantages, will ever give her a commanding influence over the trade of the interior, compared with her sister cities. Pennsylvania, it is true, is doing much for her commercial emporium; but the elevated region she is compelled to intersect, is a great obstacle to her efforts. Thus between New-York, Montreal and Quebec, more of an equal competition will ensue for the trade of the upper country. Had it been possible to attain any thing like as easy a communication as the Welland Canal between lakes Erie and Ontario, on this side the Niagara river, the natural jealousy of a commercial rival would have interfered. So that, fortunately for your Government, gentlemen, you are in the possession of the power to prevent an entire monopoly of the trade alluded to.

The cheapness of transportation, via lake Ontario to Montreal, is a decided advantage, and one not easily, if at all, countervailable. It only remains to be seen how far the policy of your Government, with respect to this work, will correspond with the object to be attained. We may set down as certain, to Montreal, the trade of the country adjacent to lake Erie, lying within the precincts of your Government; and to this may we not add one half the trade of Ohio, Indiana, Illinois and Michigan?

not then  
commercial im  
ousy and a  
New-York do  
need only in  
ature of th  
id, 1811. T  
—one the  
t round N  
ng the can  
e at that  
obstacles  
whether, be  
end into  
e of the o  
The Comm  
ght, for sup  
ation, it i  
at on lake  
ish neighb  
lightly to l  
Freight fr  
access to t  
er St. Law  
ascend from  
at 196 feet  
lake: but  
a distance o  
tion, throu  
onsiderable  
e water in t  
e allowanc  
ot too mu  
enty feet b  
one hundre  
: about thi  
the head  
two moun  
hine rapid  
age fall of  
water, so  
ig; there  
is an in  
s height,  
Under cir  
egation fr  
d boat nav  
lucting One  
per mile  
istance, obst  
These are  
ates to shu  
are open  
supplied t  
ry will. in n

is not then correct to say, with the Welland Canal in good order, the commercial importance of Quebec and Montreal will be doubled? The anxiety and apprehension above adverted to, of a diversion of trade from New-York down the St. Lawrence, has long existed; in proof of which, need only introduce a paragraph or two from a report made to the Legislature of the state of New-York, by the Canal Commissioners, March 10, 1811. Two routes had been suggested to obtain the trade of the St. Lawrence—*Q. a distinguished person has been appointed to examine and report on the subject*—one the direct communication to lake Erie now adopted—the other, round Niagara Falls, and from Albany by Rome to Oswego, terminating the canal there. Notwithstanding the limited pecuniary resources at that time a great impediment, still, on a comparison of the cost and obstacles of the former with the latter, they put the interrogation—*whether, being less difficult and expensive, it would not be advisable to send into lake Ontario, rather than encounter the difficulty and expense of the other course?*—to which they reply:—*The Commissioners believe it would not be so, and without relying, as they thought, for support of their opinion on the comparative expense of transportation, it is sufficient to say, that articles for exportation when once at lake Ontario, will, generally speaking, go to Montreal, unless our British neighbours are blind to their own interests; a charge which ought to be made against a commercial nation.*"

Freight from Niagara to Oswego, will, from the difficult and dangerous access to that harbour, be as high as to the head of the rapids in the river St. Lawrence. The descent from thence to Montreal is less than the ascent from Oswego to Rome. It is true, that lake Ontario is estimated at 196 feet above tide water; and the Rome level only 134 feet above the lake: but there is a considerable descent in the river St. Lawrence, a distance of about 70 miles to the lower end of the present sloop navigation, through which the current is sometimes strong. There is also a considerable descent from Montreal in a distance of about 30 miles, to the water in the lake St. Peters. Perhaps it will be found that an allowance of 3 inches per mile (in the whole upwards of twenty feet) is not too much, and that the river at Montreal is not one hundred and twenty feet below the upper surface of the gallop rapids. In the distance of one hundred miles between these places, there are forty of still water, about thirty in lake St. Francis, between the foot of Longue Sault and the head of Coteau du Lac rapid, and upwards of ten in the lake of the two mountains, between the foot of the cascade at the cedars and the rapids. Thus there will remain but sixty miles of canal, with an average fall of 34 inches per mile. The land descends proportionately to the water, so that there can be but little deep cutting. The soil is easy to dig; there are no streams or ravines of any consequence to cross, and there is an inexhaustible supply of pure water, which never varies much in height, for any canal whatever.

Under circumstances so propitious, it is probable that a good sloop navigation from above the gallops to Montreal, would cost less than a canal boat navigation from Oswego to Rome. The extent of this last, including Oneida lake, is 56 miles. The fall is, on an average, near 40 inches per mile. The supply of water is doubtful; and in 12 miles of the distance, obstacles almost insurmountable present themselves.

These are facts to which it would be vain for the citizens of the United States to shut their eyes. The eyes of a rich, enterprising, commercial people are open; and when it is considered that (if the means of easy exportation are supplied to the inhabitants who may settle near the lakes) that country will, in no distant period, furnish a more abundant stock of commodities

ties for foreign trade, than is now sent from all the Atlantic ports of the Union. It would be absurd to doubt, whether, in the competition for the commerce, our neighbours will employ the means in their power. No doubt the public must be forgotten, that the revenue which, under present circumstances, is raised from commerce, and which no probable change will reduce below an ad valorem duty of 10 per cent. cannot but operate in favour of our rivals. True it is, that, so far as regards the pecuniary benefit of those who may settle along the lakes, the route by which their produce is sent abroad, and their supplies of foreign articles introduced, must be to them a matter of little consequence. But the political connexion which would probably result from a commercial connexion, certainly deserves the consideration of intelligent men."

Although there are some errors in the statement here made, in regard to descent of water, and some want of knowledge of the lake of two mountains as now understood, I have inserted it to show the views of those gentlemen at that early day, as to rivalry of Montreal and Quebec.

I have the honour to be, gentlemen, very respectfully,

Your obedient servant,

BENJAMIN WRIGHT.

New-York, October 3, 1833.

---

## EDITORIAL ARTICLES

*From the Patriot, a newspaper edited by THOMAS DALTON, Esq.  
city of Toronto, U. C.*

December 27, 1833.

### THE WELLAND CANAL.

This exceedingly interesting subject is again under Legislative consideration. On Friday resolutions were introduced fully to sustain this great work by making it Government property, by a fair and honest and not bargain driving purchase.

There are three questions presented for consideration.

1st, Shall a sufficient sum of money be advanced as an additional loan to put it in complete order?

2nd, Or, will the Government make ample provision to buy up the private stock?

3rd, Or, if neither of these receive favourable consideration, does not the publick interest require that the Government surrender to the private stockholders its property in the Canal, and release the lien on it, so as to enable the stockholders, with some hope of success, to apply for money to men of capital.

These questions appear to be fairly and frankly put, and we can see no reason why they should not be answered. The province has a greater

erest in the  
e interest  
the pub  
reased pol  
ortations, a  
ers are no  
Publick me  
ware how t  
those who  
ings and p  
e Welland  
dition to th  
ates torpic  
ans of this  
first effort,  
nguarded sp  
of this enou  
he questions  
for the preser  
abundantly  
he Canal, or

We promi  
relation to t  
loan to the C  
eat therein.

We have b  
rest or honou  
ession of th  
roprietors,  
Government.  
plainly indic

From the  
the financial  
bed and paid  
Debt due  
Province  
Individual

To pay th  
nearly comp  
or Chippawa  
by this chan  
at Port Col  
summer, an  
navigation  
only, and ex  
a more adv  
it is design  
Maitland is  
and safe.  
entrances,  
Canal and  
sources an

antic ports of the interest in the success of the Canal than any individuals can have. Private competition for the interest in the stock looks to a direct return on the investment only; their power. None the publick has its benefit from the increased value of property, present circumstances, increased population, increased products, increased consumption of im-

change will reduce expenditures, and consequent increased revenue. Thus, if private adventures are not paid, the publick may yet be amply remunerated. Publick men who have the power of appreciating sound policy, should be aware how they permit their judgment to be influenced by the prejudice of those who are violent in their opposition to publick measures. Local feelings and private animosity not unfrequently pervert the best designs.

The Welland Canal has had much opposition from both these causes. In addition to these, we regret to say, that many who lay with their worthless estates torpidly in the desert, and have been warmed into animation by the lake of two mountains of this work and its prospects, have used their revived strength in a first effort, in an attempt to strangle their benefactors. We make no guarded speech in this assertion—it is unhappily too literally true; but of this enough. In our next we will endeavour briefly to examine how the questions above presented should be answered. Before dismissing it for the present, however, we assert that the intrinsic value of the Canal is abundantly sufficient to warrant any extent of necessary aid, to support the Canal, or to purchase it.

N. WRIGHT.

December, 31, 1833.

We promised in our last to examine briefly, the questions proposed in relation to the legislative aid for the Welland Canal, either by further loan to the Company, purchase by the province, or surrender of its interest therein.

We have before expressed our most decided opinion, that if the interest or honour of the province be regarded, this Canal should be in the possession of the publick, by a satisfactory arrangement with the private proprietors, at least so far as to put it entirely under publick control and Government. It is now our design more particularly to show the course plainly indicated by publick duty.

MALTON, Esq.

From the information we have received, and which is probably correct, the financial state of the Company is as follows:—capital stock subscribed and paid £197,500—£2500 yet unsold.

Debt due to the Imperial Government, . . . . .	£55,000
Province of Upper Canada, . . . . .	100,000
Individuals, about . . . . .	25,000

November 27, 1833.

£180,000

legislative consideration, does not to sustain this. To pay this, the Company has the Canal for lake vessels and boats nearly completed, with three outlets into lake Erie, one by the Welland, and honest and nearly completed, with three outlets into lake Erie, one by the Welland, or Chippawa, (the original and better name,) into the Niagara river, and a additional loan at Port Colborne—both these routes were in use for schooners part of last summer, and require no great expense to prepare them for the earliest navigation in the spring. The other is at present fit for boat navigation only, and extends to the Grand river, some distance farther westward, and buy up the private more advantageous point on the lake for early navigation. To this place navigation is designed ultimately to extend the Canal for larger vessels. Port Maitland is a naval station, and the harbour may with ease be made good and safe. Thus a communication opening an avenue by three different entrances, with about 340 feet lockage, and altogether about sixty miles of we can see no Canal and Chippawa river improvement, into a region of incalculable resources and wealth, has been made for a sum certainly not exceeding e has a greater

£397,500, and of this outlay £130,000 is debt due by the proprietors, of which £25,000 of which is owing to labourers, contractors, &c. If £50,000 more shall be loaned, and £25,000 of that sum appropriated to the payment of the floating debts due to individuals, the whole debt of the Company will be £205,000—of which £55,000 to the Imperial Government. This is doubtless to be relinquished. We cannot, under the peculiar circumstances connected with that transaction and the condition of the loan, permit ourselves to doubt, that the intention was to hold the claim for the purpose of insuring the completion of the work in any event, and on satisfactory assurances that it is completed and in use, the demand will be remitted. Representations for this purpose have, we are told, been sent home. Many of these should not be relinquished, the whole annual interest then, instead of £9,000, will be £12,000 or somewhat less; the interest on the home debt is less than six per cent.

Even then, if it be shown that the Canal will give a sufficient amount to pay this, on the common ground of mere commercial calculation, the province is safe and the advance should be made, for the security of the property it already possesses in the Canal, which is £157,500, with a good faith including interest thereon.

There are now 50 schooners employed in the regular trade between the lakes and from the Canal.

There are eight months navigation in the regular season. And on an average, lake vessels will perform a double trip in three weeks, through the Canal, if in good order.

Nearly 12 double trips may thus be made by each vessel, say however 11. Thus each vessel will pass the Canal 22 times. Seven hundred vessels would thus pass in a season with the present number using it in full employment.

When confidence shall be fully placed in the safety of the Canal, each vessel will probably pay about £15 toll each time, that would be £300 on this multiplied by 50, the probable present number, and the result is £15,000 for the next season. Let the Canal be kept open and in full unimpeded operation, and we do not hesitate to say, their anticipation will be short of the reality, and due allowance for lumber and articles carried on boats and scows being then made, we are willing to have the assertion marked; the gross receipt of £20,000 for the ensuing year would be a surprise to us.

It is a great concern, and nothing but the most consummate folly and wickedness will permit it any longer to drag along as it has done, by a half way drivelling policy, which neither withholds or helps efficient. It is not our intention to write any long articles, not because the subject does not deserve it, for it is worthy of more attention than we have power to bestow, but we wish to write what may be read. A liberal support of the work now, is sure to make a liberal return; contracted views may defeat their own hopes, if those possessing the feelings from whom such views emanate, ever have courage enough to entertain hope.

Is the loan asked from the province for those who may waste the money? Do men ask who have nothing at stake? It is well known that some of the stockholders have invested large amounts; and will be as watchful as private interest can make them, that no money shall be squandered. By the report of the Engineer it now appears, that hitherto the money has been providently expended. The publick has now disinterested proof, that what we never doubted so long as it appeared that the private stockholders were satisfied, that "much economy must have been used to produce so much labour as has been performed on the Canal." Lend the money



by the proprietors with confidence, that it will be faithfully and properly used, enable the  
 company to conduct its own affairs and complete its work in its own way,  
 without publick interference with it, or prepare by the requisite arrange-  
 ment of the Company to make it an entire publick work.  
 This is what it should always have been, and if it can still be done  
 with a due regard to publick faith, the opportunity should not be lost.  
 Without any pretensions to a spirit of prophecy, on the reasonable foun-  
 dation that like causes produce like effects, we fear not to assert that af-  
 ter the expiration of two or three years more, you will find it difficult to  
 make any arrangement for the purchase of this stock.  
 Many who are now willing to sell will then feel as if they had rode out  
 a storm, and need not be in haste to realize the certain profits of the en-  
 prise. Indeed, it will be difficult to fix the limit of their reasonable  
 rate of return within the amount laid in the law; which is 20 per cent.  
 Every consideration of sound policy leads to this measure. We more par-  
 ticularly allude to the necessity of having the channel for commercial in-  
 tercourse through the country under publick controul, and without pur-  
 chase you cannot have it, with the privileges this Company must enjoy, if  
 good faith shall be kept; which we would feel mortified to think doubt-  
 ful. There are many who now are unwilling to purchase, because they  
 cannot discern or understand how the stock can ever be profitable, or the  
 country injured by not having the entire controul of a Canal, which, for the  
 necessary profit of its proprietors, must have the power of taxing the in-  
 dustry of that country in perpetuity. Speak to persons of this descrip-  
 tion of the highly beneficial effect produced on population, on the increase  
 of commercial revenue, on the value and extent of labour of all descrip-  
 tions, in short, on the prosperity and wealth of the community, and the lit-  
 tle comparative importance that should be given to the amount of tolls  
 directly received from a publick work, and you must soon perceive that  
 the £300,000 have addressed them in an unknown tongue. It is beyond their reach,  
 and in that case the hopeless effort to convince must be abandoned.  
 When private enterprise has been successful in publick works, and re-  
 turns received, then their perceptions become quickened, and such men  
 are foremost to apply the power of legislative authority to  
 the injury of the adventurers. To men who would legislate, withhold  
 aid, or do any other act, by which the purchase, when desirable, should be  
 affected on their own terms, we have nothing to say. Their standard for  
 political morality is peculiarly their own, let them enjoy it. We address  
 only those who are unwilling that publick or private power should be used  
 for any but correct and beneficial ends. We invite this description of po-  
 liticians to throw their attention only a short distance into the vista of po-  
 litics, to see how important this measure, and whether political wisdom  
 does not imperatively demand that the present opportunity to accomplish  
 the object be embraced.  
 The last proposition is one that we cannot think of without impatience,  
 and yet it is certainly preferable to seeing the Company embarrassed in  
 such a manner, that the Canal cannot be put and kept in the best order.  
 If the mortgage on the property held by the Government prevents the  
 Company from borrowing money to prepare and keep the Canal in order,  
 what must be the inevitable consequence? If a prose-  
 cution be instituted by its creditors, and a judgment recorded, we leave  
 it to lawyers to say what would be the legal effect on the property of the  
 province, especially its interest in the stock, as well as that of Lower  
 Canada. Is it not possible that to some minds such an event may not be  
 undesirable.  
 Lend the money



That one or other of the two first propositions will be adopted, we can not entertain a doubt. The consequence if neither be adopted, must so disgraceful and ruinous to the country, that the man who would permit it should never again receive publick support. The measure is of great importance, and those, of whom there are too many, who acknowledge that they have by the general voice, condemned without sufficient examination, would be roused from their apathy and examine for themselves, and then they will say with us, let a sufficient amount of the private stock be purchased to place the controul of the Canal in the power of the publick, and all future difficulty will be removed.

January 7, 1834.

In our last paper, the reasonable certainty of safety to the province at a return upon the cost, in case the aid required should be granted, or the private stock purchased, was briefly examined. There are some very cogent arguments in favour of purchase, resting on sound commercial policy, and which necessarily require that we should compare our relative situation with the New-York Canal.

We have frequently had occasion to remark, that in one particular we are somewhat more unfortunate in this province than any other territory. The prosperity of the country itself, operates against the use of publick funds for its internal improvement. It will very naturally be asked what is meant by this? All parts of the province have been opened to emigrants. Efforts are of course actively made, by each distinct interest, to draw those who come out with means to settle themselves, to its particular location. In order to afford greater inducements for such emigrants, publick improvements in all those districts are presented for attention, and the usefulness of each is made equally evident. The most important of such improvements are always those in which the inhabitants within that particular district are interested. Popular feeling is excited and the member of Parliament from that quarter must not see any advantage in appropriations, unless his constituents shall be locally benefited by them. For all other objects the province is too poor. In this we almost all beneficial measures are liable to be thwarted. The accomplishment of the most important publick improvements has been thus procrastinated or defeated, while there is a decided majority in favour of making them. They cannot all be made at one time, and no one of them must be preferred to the other. We will not assume the unpleasant task of particularizing; we know and have seen that such feelings exist, and have influenced the conduct of gentlemen. Patriotism sinks into local interest, and the general welfare of the province is too frequently sacrificed to effect a minor and more confined object. We have been led to make these remarks more particularly at present, because the deleterious effect of this feeling has been strongly exhibited by the situation of the Welland Canal hitherto. We most sincerely hope sufficient information may have been elicited to prevent its injurious influence on the proposition now under consideration, to purchase the stock of that Company and make it a publick work. The best assurances the Government or the community can possibly have of the intrinsic value of any work from its anticipated use, have been afforded during the last summer.

Its vast commercial importance to the province has become so apparent, that it is now erring against the light to deny it. The possession of this Canal by individuals, may ultimately become injurious to the province, by the heavy tolls which may be imposed on it. The time is not distant, when the tolls on the Erie Canal will be lowered to a sum merely

sufficient to  
proprietors  
the canal  
would in a  
continue our t  
on the north  
advantage  
ere us a p  
d if our t  
Any r  
transit duty  
is thus c  
distinctly c  
benefit of it  
relatively dis  
comparativ  
hands, it is  
sources; b  
vate as it w  
It is not  
on the part  
between tw  
sity, not ch  
through th  
the profit  
ders, who h  
crease of p  
for only as  
without an  
attack of th  
profitable r  
Suppose  
to the mini  
portation b  
York, may  
it or not, h  
or be at the  
rail or othe  
All the a  
rest, to t  
through th  
can side m  
the Ameri  
Canal is no  
be graduat  
The cou  
and the c  
great; a s  
portant to  
competitio  
both agric  
a subject  
pass, in w  
ment. T  
tionable n



country, but by diminishing it. All that can be desired by the publick for a careful the possession of such a work is, that it shall pay its own debt, profitless, should yond this is not desirable. With private interest it is, otherwise. Its effect is undeniable action is tested by what the object will bear, and not by the common, occupied number interest.

That this Canal must be the door for the whole agricultural and commercial condition—merchandise of the western part of the province, is certain. The private hands authority of the province should direct the use of the key.

We solicit gentlemen as inhabitants of the country and subjects of the British empire, by every consideration of sound policy, to overcome any other sectional feelings on this subject. It a work really done, although some stockholders what embarrassed. It cannot now be prevented by opposition. It which they inevitably become the most commanding point in North America. That the measure proposed is merely just and reasonable to the stockholders, at the terms will secure to the province a control of the canal and the transportation for the construction it, which is all that is desirable. This will be done without the additional cost of a penny to the Treasury. The income will pay the whole contingent amount of consideration for it, long before it will become due.

---

### EXTRACTS FROM SPEECHES

*Of members of the Provincial Parliament, on the discussion of measures proposed for the completion and efficient support of the Welland Canal.*

January 24, 1834.

This morning the House resolved itself into a Committee of the whole on the first report of the Committee to whom was referred the petition the Welland Canal Company—Mr. Jones in the chair. The report having been read :

Mr. Robinson rose, and after again reading some parts of the report referred particularly to the last sentence of the report, in which the fact is stated, that the Company have not means to prepare the Canal for early spring navigation. The question then for us to consider is, What is the best measure to adopt for the future maintenance and completion of the Welland Canal? The necessity for completing this great undertaking must now, he thought, be so apparent to every hon. member of the House, that it would not be necessary for him to take up much time in remarking upon it. The Canal would in a few years require extensive repairs. A great part of the work was the property of the province already and by paying a small proportion of the entire value, in addition to the amount formerly paid, the publick would become possessed of the whole work. Gentlemen had in their hands the printed documents containing all the information that can be given or even desired, in relation to the manner of conducting, and the present state of the Canal. If therefore hon. gentlemen had not availed themselves of the opportunity afforded

tion, should  
is undeniable  
occupied number  
er bestowed

condition—  
The private hands  
he hoped, if

the share  
any other  
some stockholders  
which they  
the terms ex  
for the cons  
of the  
contingent  
payment of  
gretted that  
in publick  
subject der  
the assistan  
not bring m  
tion of publi  
lent to exhib  
that would

Mr. Robi  
ly on each  
1st. Reso  
it is expedie  
by which n  
rest with th  
that whate  
tially and i

2nd. Reso  
of which su  
loan £100,  
The Gov  
000.

In divid  
remainder o  
British Go

3rd. Reso  
this work,  
perity of t  
be taken v  
most secu

4th. Reso  
this House  
judge, tha  
Canal, bu  
the assur  
assume th  
sirable for

by the public for a careful perusal of this information, he would not hope for any attention, should he now detain them by reading and more particular reference. It is otherwise. Its effect is undeniably a work of high and commanding interest, and if it has been occupied much attention, it is deserving of all the attention and time ever bestowed on it. It is too important a work to remain in its present agricultural and commercial condition—indeed he would venture to say, too important to be left in private hands, if the public can honourably obtain the government of it.

He hoped, if it should be thought desirable to purchase from the Company the shares which they possessed, the House would not wish to do so on any other grounds than with the intention of remunerating the individual stockholders for their whole outlay, with the back interest upon it, of which they had never received a farthing. His own opinion was, decidedly in favour of the province should purchase the private interest in the work, on the terms expressed in the resolution which he was now about to submit for the consideration of the House; in which provision was made for the payment of the principal sum, by direct engagement of the province, and without the aid of a contingent ulterior advantage preserved to the stockholders for the payment of the back interest, founded on equitable principles. He regretted that it was not in his power, from a want of facility and practice in public speaking, to explain them in a manner the importance of the subject demanded; but he submitted them with a confident reliance on the assistance of gentlemen in the House acquainted with it, who could not bring more zeal and anxiety to the discussion, from a strong conviction of public duty, than he (Mr. R.) had, but much more ability and talent to exhibit the great value of this Canal as a public work, in a manner that would induce them to sustain the measure now proposed.

Mr. Robinson then read the following resolutions and commented briefly on each one as he read them :

IES

on of measures proposed  
Welland Canal.

January 24, 1854.

Committee of the whole  
read the petition  
The report has

parts of the report  
in which the feasibility  
of the Canal for eastern  
Canada is, What is the  
completion of this  
great undertaking  
a member of the  
Committee spent  
much time in requiring  
extensive reports  
from the province already  
in addition to the  
reports of the whole  
Committee containing  
information in relation to the  
Canal. If therefore  
an opportunity afforded

1st. *Resolved*, That to insure general confidence in the Welland Canal, it is expedient that it should become wholly the property of the public, by which means the responsibility of keeping it in constant repair would rest with the Legislature. Merchants and all others would feel assured, that whatever might be necessary for that purpose, will be done substantially and in season.

2nd. *Resolved*, That the cost of the work has been about £380,000, of which sum the Government of this province has advanced by way of loan £100,000, and possesses in stock the amount of £57,500.

The Government of Lower Canada holds stock to the amount of £25,000.

In dividend stockholders to the amount of £115,000, and for the remainder of the sum of £380,000, the Company stands indebted to the British Government and to individuals.

3rd. *Resolved*, That the province having now so deep an interest in this work, and its success being on other grounds so essential to the prosperity of the country, it is highly desirable that decisive measures should be taken without delay for placing its durability and efficiency on the most secure footing.

4th. *Resolved*, That after a minute examination, it is now reported to this House, upon the authority of a disinterested and highly competent judge, that there has been no want of economy in the construction of the Canal, but that the work done is fully worth the expenditure; and with the assurance of this fact, the Legislature can with the more satisfaction assume the responsibility of such measures as they may think most desirable for the public interest.

5th. *Resolved*, That if all private interest in the work were extinguished and it were wholly the property of the publick, it would be attended with less difficulty to raise and apply the funds necessary for completing and keeping it in repair.

6th. *Resolved*, That the interest now held by individual stockholders in the Canal, can be no otherwise acquired than by their voluntary surrender. And to place this beyond question, such terms should be offered as must appear just and liberal to those concerned; and considering the difficulties with which the principal stockholders have hitherto contended, it ought not to be the desire of the publick to treat with them upon other terms.

7th. *Resolved*, That with this view the Government shall be authorized by an act of the Legislature to issue to all stockholders, debentures for the amount of their stock, payable in forty years, and bearing an annual interest of ——. And inasmuch as the stockholders have hitherto received no interest upon the money invested by them several years since in this work, (a loss which by many of them must have been severely felt, it would be acting liberally in the Legislature, to preserve to them the only chance of indemnity for this loss upon which they can now rely, by providing that if hereafter the profits of the Canal shall pay a higher interest than is expressed in the debentures to be given to them, such excess shall be paid to them, or to other assigns in proportion to the share formerly held by them.

8th. *Resolved*, That this arrangement, by securing to the stockholders all the advantage they now have in prospect, will leave them no inducement to decline surrendering their stock; and if it shall appear to be an offer unreasonably liberal on the part of the Legislature, it is fair to consider, that so long as the publick are first secured in the receipt of six per cent. interest on the monies advanced for the purchase of those shares, they are no losers by the transactions, and much consideration is due to those who hazarded their private means in the execution of a work likely to add incalculably to the wealth and resources of these provinces.

The 1st Resolution having been read from the chair,

Mr. Merritt said, this subject has been frequently brought under the consideration of this House, and should be well understood. I am satisfied it is not, and never did I attempt to advocate it, under greater embarrassment than on the present occasion, feeling as I do, wholly incompetent to do justice to the subject. I am quite sensible, if I had the talents possessed by many hon. members, of expressing my ideas clearly and forcibly, I could not fail to convince the Committee it was the true interest of this province, to embrace the present opportunity of making this a publick work—it is a measure which not only involves the future prosperity of the province, but the character of its inhabitants.

The object of the resolutions proposed by the hon. member from Simcoe, is to purchase out the private stockholders, and make the Canal, what it always should have been, a *publick work*. The whole amount of stock held by individuals, is only £115,000, which it is proposed to pay at its par value, by issuing debentures, payable in forty years, with an interest of 6 per cent., and it is hoped the income of the Canal will meet the payment of this interest, and redeem the principal without burthening the revenue of the province.

The question for consideration at present, is, whether it is sound policy to make this a publick work at this time. From the experience I have acquired in my share of the management of this work, and from attentive observation in the operation of similar undertakings, I am quite satisfied

is my own mind that it is. I will therefore submit for the consideration of the Committee, the following reasons in support of this opinion. First, if Lake Erie is admitted to be the central point or focus, where the trade of the western and southern country will concentrate, from whence it must take the cheapest and best route to the ocean. The Americans are so fully aware of this fact, that great jealousy is entertained against the Welland Canal, and every exertion is making to divert this commerce to their different Atlantic cities, which is apparent from the following extracts from their most intelligent papers. (Mr. Merritt here read extracts from some of the American papers.) The only successful competition to be apprehended, is from the Erie canal. That work commencing at lake Erie near the same point with the Welland, possesses many advantages, the greatest of which is, having the controul placed under the direction of their Legislature, which enables them to lower their tolls. This measure was resorted to last year, and the tolls reduced in a ratio of 3 to 5, the result of which, instead of diminishing the revenue, has increased it, and they may still make further reductions. The Welland Canal is the main artery to our line of water communications to the ocean, and every article of produce we draw through it, leaves a revenue throughout, and enables us to lay a contribution on American products for re-payment of our expenditure, in constructing those works, besides employing our own vessels, carriers, labourers, seamen, merchants; extending our commerce, and deriving all the positive advantages incident to that branch of trade. At the same time it does not come in competition with any articles we may have to transport, but on the contrary has a tendency to cheapen the transportation on our products, by enabling us to reduce the tolls in proportion to the quantity passing down. This, of itself, is a sufficient reason for placing the Canal under the controul of the Legislature. To show the unequal operation of the present system, I will merely compare the tolls now imposed on our canals, with the Erie canal: Lachine, 5 to 11-2, Cascades, 33 2-3 to 1, Welland, 7 to 4 1-2, from which deduct the difference of 3 to 5, as by last reduction on Erie canal. Another reason, it would unite all parties in favour of the work, and allay the opposition it has heretofore encountered. The Welland Canal is now considered a private work; and although we would naturally suppose the thanks of the country were due to those who had expended their money for our benefit, they are viewed with a very different feeling.

While alluding to these stockholders, I cannot refrain from adverting to a paragraph in a paper published in this town, which contains an insinuation against one of the stockholders, too base to be repeated. This gentleman, distinguished by all who have the pleasure of his acquaintance, for intelligence, strict integrity and the most amiable disposition—a gentleman incapable, knowingly, of giving offence, and one who has sustained the Canal, almost singly, for a length of time—has been liable, times and again, for large sums of money, when not a shilling could be obtained here, and this is the return he receives; but I am satisfied there is too high a sense of justice left in this House to allow any other effect to be produced than that of indignation. This is no measure of his; all he requires at our hands is, to finish the work, and he is quite willing to await the result. It is a measure recommended by the Commissioners appointed by this hon. House, which I consider highly creditable to their understanding and judgment. Another object will be gained by this measure. I have been no particular favourite with the opponents of the Canal ever since the work was in progress. They will then have an opportunity of supplying my place with some other person better qualified for the situa-

tion; and I assure hon. members I will transfer it with all the information I may possess, with much pleasure. For eight years past I have found it any thing but a sinecure, and at best a most thankless situation. It appears by Judge Wright's report, we require this season to put the Canal in order; £8,500 we require to pay the debts of the Company £2,000, making a total of £33,500. Mr. Yates' letter appended to the report of the Committee, has entered fully into the merits of this report of Judge Wright's, not an item of which is disputed. I take the report as it is, and assume it to be correct. All I require of hon. members, is to take each distinct object by itself. He thinks the locks require to be wholly renewed in five years. On this subject every hon. member is quite as capable of forming an opinion as an Engineer. We maintain, wood which is submerged in water will last a great number of years; we maintain, the foundation of those locks, and all below water level, will not require replacing for a length of time; we maintain that the experience acquired the last three years, proves those foundations to be substantial: Further, we prove that no delay in the navigation has been caused by those locks having been constructed of wood; and we call upon our opponents to produce an instance to the contrary. If this be true, whence the necessity of entering into this additional expense at this time. Taking his report for my guide, I will assign his reason for the alterations and suggestions therein made. [Mr. Merritt here referred to page 17 of the Commissioners' Report.] In accordance with those views he has formed his estimate:—

Assuming his report to be correct, and that we will require no greater sum at present than he has estimated, viz.	£8,500
Debts due by the Company,	25,000
Purchasing private stockholders,	115,000

Making altogether a sum to be provided for, of £148,500. The interest on which is £8,910 per annum. The main question is—Will the Canal pay the interest on this sum out of the tolls? The tolls collected in the month of July last was £1,018. At this rate, for eight months we should have an income of £8,144. We never yet have had an opportunity of testing the Canal for a single season. The advantages anticipated from the early navigation on lake Erie, have never yet been realized. We have never yet had money enough on hand to put the Canal in order in the fall, to avail ourselves of the spring navigation. If the tolls would have been at least \$50,000 the past season. We will take another view of this subject. There are at present nearly fifty British vessels on lake Ontario, which have passed the Canal, and as many Americans, the names of which I have before me. Say one hundred vessels engaged in this trade, each making only ten trips in the season averaging \$100, it will give \$100,000 per year; this will assuredly be the case before three years, if we may judge from the increase of as many years past. In all calculations of this kind, in all works of this description, we must give credit for the increase. It is unfair and unjust to argue, that, because a canal only brings in a certain sum this year, we are to calculate its future income from this data. All experience proves the contrary. Canals in England show this most clearly, as well as the increase of tolls on the Erie canal. If those results have been produced on other canals, why not on the Welland? or is it because we have not the same prospect of increase as other canals. This subject requires examination, as it is of the utmost importance, in forming a correct opinion of the future usefulness of this work, and the probability of its making a

turn. To j  
 experience g  
 vessels on lak  
 1812; after  
 increase had no  
 of the Ohio c  
 been un  
 The export  
 in 1833, to  
 Showing a  
 The import  
 in 1833, to  
 Showing a  
 To those v  
 these con  
 case. You  
 the rapidly in  
 lake Erie. I  
 taken place v  
 by Judge W  
 at this mome  
 question to c  
 the product  
 Canal? A fe  
 culated for as  
 generally ad  
 for the count  
 of men. W  
 year. We  
 one cent, ex  
 York to Cle  
 a tythe of w  
 merchandise  
 per ton, one  
 imports for t  
 ded by the  
 there any bo  
 and Canal  
 will be \$50  
 extent of co  
 tion, and th  
 should not  
 ne sustain  
 ferent loan  
 £157,500.  
 000—giving  
 portion of t  
 land Canal  
 foreigners  
 been the m  
 try, more  
 value of a



all the information  
 past I have found  
 ss situation. . .  
 reason to put the  
 the Company £24  
 ded to the report  
 s report of Judge  
 report as it is, an  
 s, is to take each  
 be wholly renewe  
 quite as capable  
 ood which is sub  
 we maintain, th  
 l not require re  
 perience acquire  
 antial: Further  
 ed by those lock  
 opponents to pro  
 the necessity  
 ing his report fr  
 and suggestion  
 the Commission  
 formed his est  
 require no great  
 £8,500  
 25,000  
 115,000  
 £148,500  
 question is—Wi  
 The tolls colle  
 for eight month  
 ve had an oppo  
 vantages alway  
 e never yet bec  
 nd to put the C  
 igation. If s  
 eason. We wi  
 nearly fifty Bri  
 al, and as man  
 one hundred ves  
 s in the season  
 will assuredly b  
 ecrease of as man  
 of this descrip  
 nd unjust to ar  
 his year, we an  
 erience proves t  
 y, as well as th  
 e been produce  
 use we have not  
 ect requires ex  
 correct opinion  
 v of its making a

turn. To judge correctly of the future increase, we must refer to the experience gained by the past. In 1800 there were only two or three vessels on lake Erie; trade increased gradually, but slowly, until the war of 1812; after the war the Erie canal gave it a fresh stimulus, but its increase had not been fairly or fully developed until after the construction of the Ohio canal; since which the increase of the commerce on that lake has been unprecedented in any country.

The exports at the port of Cleaveland amounted in 1829, to \$111,000  
 in 1833, to . . . . . 2,000,000

Showing an increase of . . . . . \$1,889,000

The imports at the port of Cleaveland amounted in 1829, to \$284,000  
 in 1833, to . . . . . 4,700,000

Showing an increase of . . . . . \$4,416,000

To those who have a correct idea of the extent of country connected by these communications, this increase is by no means a matter of surprise. You have a population of 2,000,000 within your reach, besides the rapidly increasing and flourishing part of Upper Canada on and above lake Erie. No hon. gentleman will deny the fact, that this increase has taken place within the period named. That the extent of country named by Judge Wright actually exists above the Welland Canal, and that it at this moment contains a population of 2,000,000 of people. The next question to consider, is, shall we be enabled to draw a fair proportion of the product of this immense and populous country, through the Welland Canal? A few years since, the advocates of the Welland Canal were ridiculed for asserting this opinion. We now find that those opinions are generally admitted and reiterated by all persons. But, Sir, fortunately for the country, we have better proof than the opinions of any man, or set of men. We have positive proof, by the practical experience of the past year. We have, and can convey a barrel of flour from lake to lake for one cent, exclusive of tolls; and we can convey merchandise from New-York to Cleaveland, cheaper than by the Erie canal. But all this is but a tythe of what we anticipate. After the completion of the St. Lawrence, merchandise will be delivered at Cleaveland from England, for £2 10 0 per ton, one half of what it will cost by way of New York; consequently imports for the supply of the southern and western country, will be introduced by this communication. If this view of the subject is correct, is there any bound or limit to the revenue we may anticipate from the Welland Canal? Place that work in order, and in five years your income will be \$500,000; this amount I assume from the fact, that there is the extent of country I have described above it—that it contains the population, and that this is the cheapest route for their products. Suppose we should not have occasion to place any tolls on this canal, would the revenue sustain it? We have to pay an interest of about £6,000 on the different loans and stock now owned in this work, the principal of which is £157,500. We find the revenue in 1828 is about £24,000; in 1833, £73,000—giving an increase of near £50,000. Is it not fair to assume, that a portion of this increase may be attributed to the construction of the Welland Canal? Has it not been a means of drawing the attention of many foreigners to this country?—of increasing the emigration? Has it not been the means of cheapening the transportation from the western country, more than one-half? Has it not been the means of enhancing the value of all property, not only above, but below it? If so—and I think



no intelligent person in the province will deny it—the Canal has contributed to increase this revenue. For the purpose of reducing this proposition to figures, I will assume 1-6th, according to the ratio of population above it, which gives us upwards of £8,000 per year. Therefore, I maintain, the Welland Canal has never cost the country one shilling, and is finished as it should be, it never will—it will pay for itself. This idea is by no means chimerical; it is formed from practical results. The canal cost 10 millions in its construction; it increased the value of property 100 millions. This additional value enabled the people to purchase so much additional supplies; this again, created so much additional revenue, which more than pays for the interest of its construction, independent of tolls. The public aid afforded in the construction of the canal is as follows. In 1826, a loan of £25,000; in 1827, stock £50,000, a loan of £25,000; in 1831, a loan of £50,000; in 1833, stock £7,500, making a total of £157,500. However well intended this aid, from the time and manner granted, it has never been effectual. It has been granted so late, that the work had to be executed in the spring, when the navigation should have been in operation. With respect to the management of the work, as I have had a share in it, I will say very little about that subject. It will always speak for itself, and those who know the embarrassments and difficulties we had to contend against, will appreciate it as it deserves. From the time the Canal commenced, all law suits have been avoided, and perhaps as little contention as on any similar report, about work. Errors have doubtless been committed. Has any work of a similar nature ever been constructed without them? But to judge whether it has been managed with judgment and economy, can only be ascertained by a comparison with other works of a similar description.

Canal	Cost	Value
Rochdale canal in England, cost for 31 1-3 miles,	£291,900	£2,919,000
Ellismore canal, " 57 "	400,000	£3,800,000
Grand Junction, " 90 "	420,000	£3,800,000
Leeds and Liverpool " 129 "	800,000	£7,200,000
Rock and Avon, " 57 "	400,000	£3,800,000
<b>Total cost for 364 1-3 miles,</b>	<b>£2,311,900</b>	<b>£23,119,000</b>

These canals have locks only 7 feet wide, and are constructed in a country where labour is plenty and cheap, and where the construction of canals is well understood, notwithstanding the cost is nearly thirty thousand dollars per mile, a sum that is far beyond what the Welland has cost, though nearly one-half of the whole sum was expended on the deep canal and for a ship Canal. The cost of the Erie canal from Lockport, after ascending the mountain, to lake Erie, was \$1,600,000. However, this is merely alluding to the past. We have the Canal as it now is, and consideration is, shall the work be sustained and placed under the control of the Legislature. Before hon. members decide on this subject, I wish them to be satisfied of the truth of the propositions I have advanced, viz. 1st. That there is the extent of country to which Judge Wright alludes, above the Welland Canal.

2nd. That it contains at this moment, a population of upwards of two millions of people, and rapidly increasing.

3rd. That the Welland Canal is, and will be, the cheapest and best communication from that lake to the ocean; and if satisfied of this truth, they must feel certain it will repay the outlay. I should hope that the subject is now so well understood, we may get an unanimous vote in its favour.

Canal has contr. DUNCOMBE.—I should not have risen so early in the debate, but being this proposition the circumstances of my having opposed the grant to the Welland Co. of population of the Company last year, and my disposition to support it this session, therefore, I maintain some cogent reasons should be offered that would satisfy my mind a shilling, and on the subject, that the support to the Canal Company should not be self. This idea forced. At present the question appears to be—whether the Canal is suits. The Erie be, or not to be—whether the deep interest the province, as well the value of private individuals, have in that work, is to be thrown away and lost for ever people to purchase the province, and to those individuals to whose exertions the people of such additional the province owe so much for their enterprise, in having vested their ruination, independent in a work in this colony which has so greatly enhanced the value of the work property in this province. I have carefully examined the subject, and stock £50,000, and the question now is—shall the Canal continue, or shall it not continue, stock £7,500,000? The question is not, whether a sum sufficient to construct the work this aid, from that from the commencement, shall now be granted, or where the profit has been generally place for the Canal is; but it is—whether the province will allow a the spring, which is just beginning to pay, and in which the province has so respect to the manifest interest, to fail for want of Legislative aid, and long remain a monetary very little amount of the parsimony and folly of the Legislature. In order to undertake who know that this question properly, I would first enquire whether the Company must, will appear to go on and complete the work without Legislative assistance. I find that, all law some Company indebted to individuals about £25,000. I find according to is on any similar report, about £15,000 will be required to complete the work, while the any work of a similar of the Company are completely exhausted, and when the stockholders judge correctors have used every means in their power to complete the work, but in any, can only be said. This point then, is settled; the Canal cannot be used again without description. Legislative aid. Now let us examine the propriety of affording them that aid, and whether the province will be a gainer or loser by the measure.

£291,900 How much direct interest has the province already in the undertaking?  
 400,000 stock £58,000, or thereabouts, and in loans £100,000, all of which must  
 420,000 lost if the Welland Canal goes down. Besides the vast indirect in-  
 800,000 creast, that the people of this province and the kingdom of Great Britain  
 400,000 owe in the improvement, from the increased value of every species of  
 wealth in the province—not only the increased value of lands and of ex-  
 £2,311,900 ports, but of the diminished exports to this province, as well as the facilities  
 constructed in a course for emigration and internal communications. Then, Sir, if it be re-  
 construction of called to protect these interests by granting such a sum, as shall be suffi-  
 thirty thousand cient to complete the work and establish its credit, the question is, in  
 land has cost, what way it shall be done. Shall it be by a loan to the Company, of a  
 on the deep canal sum of money, sufficient to pay the debts and put the work in op-  
 lockport, after action, or shall it be by purchasing out the stockholders, making the Ca-  
 However, this al a provincial work, and thereby assuming the controul of our own  
 now is, and times and resources, and have the regulation of our own inland naviga-  
 under the controul, or shall we leave in the hands of a Company of foreigners, aliens,  
 s subject, I wish British subjects, and citizens who now have the Canal in their possession,  
 ve advanced, and having the entire monopoly of the carrying trade, not only of the  
 Judge Wright growth of the west, but also the imports of the west, consumed by the  
 inhabitants of that extensive territory rapidly settling to the west and  
 upwards of two ports throughout the Welland Canal, of a large proportion of the trade  
 nearest and best the States bordering on lake Erie, on the western lakes, the trade of  
 fied of this truth, which must come to lake Erie; and if our Canal and St. Lawrence im-  
 d hope that, movement be completed, will follow its natural route to the ocean by the  
 unanimous vote way of Montreal and Quebec, notwithstanding the great exertion that is  
 making by Atlantick states to turn the current of trade through them re-

spectively. Ours is the natural channel, theirs are all artificial—our improvement is a magnificent undertaking, theirs are small in comparison. They have immense distances to travel by canal and more than double the amount of lockage to overcome. Their communications by canals are frequently in the vicinity of other water communications, or rail roads. Ours lies in a country where nothing can compete with it. Ours is the grand connecting link in the chain of waters between the ocean and the "far west." The question then is, shall this important link in the chain be broken, upon which so much of the prosperity of our commerce depends—shall the key-stone in the arch of our prosperity and greatness, as a commercial nation, be left in the hands of a private Company, with privileges secured to them by acts of Parliament, which their interest may induce them to use in a way that may prejudice the best interests of the province? Their tolls are unlimited; they would have the entire monopoly of all the exports and imports of the west, whether of this province or those parts bordering on lake Erie. Would this be good policy? would this be prudent or wise legislation, to allow a Company to controul one of our principal sources of advancement, to use at their convenience the large funds of the publick money they have in their hands at present, and the additional sums that must be granted to save what has already been expended in the work? I think it would not. The improvement of the St. Lawrence is a provincial work—this is a part of the same navigation, and should be subject only to the influence of the same powers, which will appear still more evident when it is recollected that vessels of the dimensions of those which now pass the Welland Canal, are the best and most profitable for the navigation of lakes and for coasting vessels. And although foreigners and European ships may never use our canals, from their being loaded with articles that should be divided at Quebec for distribution, and therefore lose little or nothing by transshipment; yet vessels built for coasting voyages, of the size adapted to our Canals, might traverse the whole chain of our lakes, deposit their cargoes of sugar or molasses, and take in return wheat or flour, by which immense savings would be produced to the consumers, or immense profits be afforded to the province on the tolls, or to the merchants engaged in the trade. The truth is, all of the parties would be benefited, the whole of our lake coast would virtually become a sea coast, and his Majesty's subjects in the West Indies, and at home, would share in the benefits attending the work. Under this view of the subject, who would let go the purse strings; who would by his vote throw away, and worse than throw away, the immense advantages already enjoyed, and to be obtained by this Canal, and £157,500 of the publick money to boot? I am not one of those who would squander publick money in this manner, or who would do worse—forfeit the pledge of the Government, given by acts of Parliament, whether I had supported or opposed the measure when those grants had been made, and when the province become stockholders. In the last session, that pledge was again given to the publick by taking more stock, and supporting the work. The next question is, are the resources of the province sufficient without embarrassment, to assume the Canal, and go on with it? to purchase the stock, to pay the debts of the Company, and to put it in repair? We received this year £55,000 from the port of Quebec, and a larger sum from the ports of this province than on any former occasion. What sums will be required to meet the interest and redeem the debentures we are about to issue? We want £115,000 to purchase the whole stock of individuals, £25,000 to pay the debts for which we are at present holden, therefore this ought not to be taken into account, and 10 or 15,000 to

complete the  
 than we are  
 sold, and go  
 will be betwe  
 former loans  
 from the Ca  
 simony, wou  
 a few years o  
 be long recei  
 sum, and I an  
 will have red  
 vince, and in  
 ver pay, whi  
 vidual have t  
 should annua  
 the last thre  
 hence to pay  
 of taxation a  
 And if at the  
 be settled we  
 of which this  
 and imports  
 people, who  
 will be more  
 ry, to give U  
 man, I firmly  
 comparison  
 England, wh  
 Canal, conne  
 nals, and lia  
 their canals,  
 revenue to t  
 although the  
 as they can  
 recommends  
 parts. The  
 witness the  
 and the Che  
 actual oper  
 of New-Yo  
 their territ  
 vantages ov  
 feet, more  
 worth the c  
 to redeem  
 disgrace us  
 Welland C  
 and value  
 and this w  
 pair, and i  
 marked on  
 the arts of  
 joy, this C  
 Erie and C  
 voting aw

complete the work. The whole amount then to be annually paid, more than we are at present bound to pay, if we let the work go down and be sold, and go into the hands of purchasers for its debts at 5 or 6 per cent., will be between 6 and 10,000 pounds. We shall have all the interest on former loans to meet, and the principal too, without a prospect of return from the Canal, if sold, or sunk; and all we should save by this parsimony, will be the prospect of meeting this interest on these sums for a few years only, out of the provincial revenue. But, Sir, this would not be long received: the work will never pay the interest on more than this sum, and I am quite satisfied, long before the expiration of the forty years, will have redeemed the debt and become a source of revenue to the province, and immense wealth to the nation. But if the Canal should never pay, which I do not by any means admit, what sum would each individual have to pay, forty years hence; if the population of this province should annually increase, in the same ratio that it has progressed during the last three years, the ability of the people of this province forty years hence to pay the debt then, would bear no proportion to the inconvenience of taxation at this time, when money is worth more than simple interest. And if at the same time we consider the vast extent of territory that will be settled west and north-west of us, bordering the great chain of lakes, of which this Canal forms a link, through which must pass the exports and imports that will be received from or distributed among 30,000,000 of people, who will be benefited by this Canal, and by whom its advantages will be more or less felt and enjoyed? Internal improvements are necessary, to give Upper Canada a name and a character abroad. But, Mr. Chairman, I firmly believe the Canal will pay. I formed my conviction by a comparison of this Canal with those of the United States, and those of England, which with inferior advantages do pay. Ours is a short ship Canal, connecting large lakes with the ocean—theirs are only small canals, and liable to have their goods transhipped at every termination of their canals. The Erie and Champlain canals have this year produced a revenue to the state. They have this year paid more than \$1,400,000, although the tolls have been reduced 23 per cent., and now almost as low as they can constitutionally be reduced. The Governour of New-York recommends the Legislature to double the locks, and widen the canal in parts. The Atlantick states are struggling for the trade of lake Erie—witness the canals and rail roads connected with it—the Erie, the Ohio and the Chesapeake canals, and the rail roads that are projected, and in actual operation. Witness the recent exertions of the people of the state of New-York, to get a ship canal from lake Erie to lake Ontario through their territory, to compete with the Welland Canal. We have many advantages over them, they have a solid rock to cut through from 50 to 70 feet, more than three-fourths of a mile, and yet they think the object worth the cost. We ought not to be surpassed in enterprise—we ought to redeem the faith of the Government. A narrow minded policy would disgrace us abroad, and impoverish and dispirit us at home. But if the Welland Canal should never pay in tolls, it has paid already in the rise and value of property, and in the emigration it has induced from abroad, and this will increase rapidly annually. But, Sir, it will pay if kept in repair, and if publick confidence is supported. It is inevitable; nature has marked out this channel to the ocean, and given us this advantage over the arts of man, and unless we throw away the advantages we now enjoy, this Canal must be a source of revenue to the province, similar to the Erie and Champlain canals to the state of New-York. Sir, we are not voting away money, we are voting money to ourselves, and in more ways

than one. We are not lending money, we are using it ourselves. We are paying our honest debts as stockholders in the Canal; we are acquiring wealth by the purchase, by carrying for the great western world, who must send their produce to lake Erie from thence. We can carry it cheaper and better than any body else. They pay our prices—they pay this interest and the sum besides; we may trade to their markets, they may trade to ours; we all seek the best market, and the more business is done in a place the less per centage can it be done for; thus the market of Lower and Upper Canada will be improved by our exertions. The Welland Canal and the St. Lawrence with the lakes, are one great canal or sea, and the mutual interest of both provinces require that these two improvements should go hand in hand. The revenues of the province are never incumbered by advances of money to works that gain more than interest. Her resources are strengthened by such works; and he hoped that not one hon. member would seriously oppose the measure. I am unwilling to believe that the interests of the province are to be sacrificed at the shrine of—what shall I call it?—I will leave the name to be given by those hon. gentlemen who think proper to oppose all publick improvements. I will support publick improvements—I will use my exertions to promote the growth, prosperity and improvement of the province by every laudable means in my power, and I hope and trust, on this occasion I shall find a very large majority of this House go with me.

Mr. WERDEN said, not that he was an enemy to publick improvement, but he was of opinion that the House would not be warranted in going the length contemplated. The hon. member said something about borrowing money for the roads. But what, he would ask, was the small pittance required for the improvement of the roads, compared to the immense sums wanted for the Welland Canal?—the great outlet to the ocean!—this province becoming one of the first nations of the earth! &c. &c. It may be a very captivating theme for popular declamation; but let us not go on so fast; let us not be carried away by eloquence of that kind, but act prudently and study our ways and means. He only wished to throw out these suggestions for hon. gentlemen's consideration, that they might not hereafter regret having swallowed up all the resources of the country in this one improvement.

Mr. MORRIS said:—From the applause which the hon. gentleman from Haldimand (Mr. Merritt,) received, I have no reason to hope that the sentiments I am about to deliver will meet with approbation from gentlemen on the opposite side. The hon. gentleman has given several reasons to induce this Committee to adopt the resolution for the purchase of the private stock—and one is, that, if the Canal were wholly in the hands of Government, private individuals who have claims for property would not make such exorbitant demands. This is a sort of reasoning which I cannot understand; but perhaps the hon. gentleman will be able to explain the matter. He says that the debts of the Company amount to £25,000: I wish he would state if this sum includes the whole amount due to persons whose property has been taken for the uses of the Company? or if it is wholly owing for work and labour, &c.? It is important to understand this; for, from the reason alluded to, it would seem that many claims for property are unsettled—else the feeling against the Company, which he speaks of, would not exist. The hon. gentleman says, that, if we make it a publick work, confidence in the undertaking would be unshaken, and the Government would be better able to controul the persons employed on the Canal, and, if they did not discharge their duty, they could be removed, and others appointed in their stead. This, Mr. Chair-

man, appe  
now, and  
says—If t  
in return  
grant of  
with wher  
lingness, i  
to argue,  
degree tha  
the latter  
promoted  
through:  
the naviga  
now the m  
fect operat  
Queenston  
benefit as  
certainly d  
prosper be  
nue of the  
to the met  
and theref  
of commun  
western cu  
eping and s  
the compl  
Lawrence  
hon. gentle  
to receive  
sand pound  
the Wellan  
the debts,  
the busines  
when add  
short of £  
young cou  
ing? Is it  
king for t  
country be  
the new se  
ing the inl  
the countr  
of the necl  
the agricu  
and some  
will bring  
providing  
mons—he  
the House  
great a del  
rest annua  
interest ou  
selves: bu  
probation.  
to be mor

elves. We  
we are ac-  
stern world,  
can carry it  
s—they pay  
arkets, they  
ore business  
the market  
cions. The  
great canal  
t these two  
rovince are  
ore than in-  
d he hoped  
e. I am un-  
sacrificed at  
be given by  
ck improve-  
xertions to  
nce by eve-  
ccasion I

rovement,  
n going the  
ut borrow-  
small pit-  
to the im-  
ttlet to the  
the earth!  
clamation;  
loquence of  
He only  
considera-  
up all the

leman from  
e that the  
om gentle-  
several rea-  
urchase of  
the hands  
erty would  
ng which I  
ble to ex-  
nt to £25,-  
unt due to  
pany? or  
t to under-  
hat many  
Company,  
ys, that, if  
ld be un-  
the persons  
duty, they  
Mr. Chair-

man, appears to me no reason at all, for the Company have that power now, and ought to exercise it, if it is necessary. The hon. gentleman says—If the province takes the Canal, the mother country would give aid in return for the benefit she would derive : I think she might assist by a grant of land ; but, from the difficulty which the hon. gentleman met with when he applied to the home Government, I much doubt her willingness, in these times of distress, to grant money. I think it fallacious to argue, that the Welland Canal will benefit Upper Canada in the same degree that the Erie canal benefits the state of New-York ; inasmuch as the latter work was carried through the interior of that country, and promoted the prosperity and wealth of every section which it passed through : Whereas, the Welland Canal only connects two great lakes, the navigation of which is already as good as it can be made, and affords now the means of as cheap transport as could be if the Canal were in perfect operation—save and except the difference of expense of transport by Queenston and the Canal. I cannot see that the province generally would benefit as much as is contended. The districts west of lake Ontario are certainly deeply interested in the fate of the Canal, and will, no doubt, prosper beyond example in consequence of it ; but I deny that the revenue of the province will be greatly increased thereby. The advantages to the mother country and to Lower Canada, are far greater than to us ; and therefore they ought to assist in the improvement of the great chain of communication which conducts to the port of Quebec the produce of the western countries, and which gives employment to a vast amount of shipping and seamen. This question involves other considerations besides the completion of the Welland Canal :—The improvement of the St. Lawrence will be the next subject to be thought of ; and I suppose some hon. gentlemen would not advocate the present measure did they not hope to receive the support of the House in passing a vote for 3 or 400 thousand pounds for that work. We are told to buy out the private stock of the Welland Canal Company, which amounts to £115,000, and pay off the debts, (£25,000 more,) and grant £8,500 to put the Canal in order for the business of the spring. These, Sir, are large sums of money, and, when added to the proposed expenditure on the St. Lawrence, will fall little short of £600,000. Now, Sir, I would ask you if the revenues of this young country, flourishing as they are, will warrant such an undertaking ? Is it right to lock up the whole means of the country, and do nothing for the inland rivers and roads ? Would not the best interests of the country be better consulted by opening and improving transit roads from the new settlements in every district to the main waters ? and by rendering the inland rivers navigable, than by expending the whole resources of the country on a communication which now affords, with the exception of the neck of land between the two lakes, every facility for transporting the agricultural products to market ? (*Hear, hear ! from the Speaker and some other members.*) The hon. Speaker may cry hear ! but if he will bring forward these improvements, in a statesman-like manner, by providing the ways and means—as is always done in the House of Commons—he will find me as ready to promote the work as any member of the House. But I will never consent to burthen the country with so great a debt, without at the same time providing a way to pay the interest annually, and in the end pay the principal. Gentlemen say—pay the interest out of the loan for a few years, and the works will pay for themselves : but this is a species of legislation which shall never have my approbation. Unforeseen accidents may happen, as experience has proved to be more than probable ; the works may require further grants ; and



what will then become of the province? Her means to meet the demands inadequate—her credit injured—and no possibility of promoting other improvements. Ask Lower Canada to unite in a work from which she will derive much greater benefits than this province. Ask her to consent to a small additional duty on some articles imported at Quebec:—It would never be felt, and would give means for the improvement of the St. Lawrence, and also for the completion of the Welland Canal. Should she refuse to do this—and whether she does or not—impose a duty of, say 3 per cent. on all up-freight, and a small duty on wines and spirituous liquors imported into this province, and you will have something to go upon; but do not create so enormous a debt without some other prospect of getting rid of it besides the hope of an increasing revenue, which should not be wholly expended on these works, important as I admit them to be. The hon. gentleman has stated, that the proposed grant to make the Welland Canal wholly a publick work will cost the province not one farthing—in fact, that it never has cost the country any thing; and his argument is, that the Canal has been the means of settling the country to an extent that has raised the revenue as much as has ever been granted to it. This, Sir, is strange doctrine! The Canal has already cost the country, in one shape and another, no less than £157,500; and now the hon. gentleman will say that it has cost us nothing! Perhaps he forgets that the Canada Company claim the merit of promoting the emigration which he ascribes to the exertions of the Welland Canal Company. I was astonished at the assertions of the hon. gentleman from Oxford, (Dr. Duncombe.) Will he stand up in this House, and tell us, that the faith of the Government is pledged to aliens, foreigners, and British subjects, who have been induced by us to take stock in the Canal—when the reverse is notoriously the fact? In place of ground for such a charge, they dragged the province into the undertaking by repeated applications, and only succeeded, at last, in gaining a most unwilling compliance. The hon. gentleman says, also, that the province dealt out the grants to the Welland with a penurious hand. What does the hon. gentleman mean? Was it penurious in the province—possessing as it does, but a limited revenue, and having so many calls for aid—to grant, first, all that was asked, £25,000; then £50,000; then £25,000; next £50,000; and, at the last session, £7,500. I say, Sir, it was most extravagant, and far beyond what the other interests of the country could justify. The hon. gentleman tells us, that in forty years, the population of the province will amount to thirty millions, and any member who votes against the resolutions is an enemy to his country. The hon. gentleman is rather too sanguine in his calculations, and might as well spare such observations. I may be called an enemy to improvement; but that shall not prevent me from opposing a measure that must involve the province in difficulty. My inclination—my interest, is in favour of both these improvements; but let the means be provided and they shall have my hearty support. I would even grant the £3,500 to put the locks of the Welland in repair, and give it a trial for another year; but to grant the sum proposed is what I do not feel to be my duty. I would say more, but being unwilling to trespass further on the time of the Committee, I shall sit down and hear what further arguments can be adduced in favour of the proposition.

Mr. BERCZY was opposed to the resolutions in their present shape, and his principal objection was, that we should be involving ourselves in debt; and he was led to believe that the private stockholders would manage the Canal with a great deal more of economy than it would be if it was in the hands of Government. Not that he was opposed to the Welland Canal,

on the co-  
plete it.  
become a  
vour of u  
actual or  
in the w  
into open  
a burthen  
vince—v  
be viewe  
oppositio  
consider  
conveyar  
ready red  
every 6d.  
the value  
the work  
ced that  
the hon.  
be provid  
tingencie  
tax at Q  
whatever  
the hon.  
was deci  
ney to de  
mination

Mr. C  
tance, th  
said, this  
member  
other me  
insinuate  
thy and  
which al  
well reco  
nent indi  
high und  
associate  
ous time  
He lived  
utility of  
abandon  
supporte

Mr. S  
argumen  
of the re  
mense d  
of this C  
nothing  
were no  
already  
and pay  
all inten  
pay our



on the contrary he felt more convinced that it was highly desirous to complete it. Besides, he was convinced that in a very few years it would become a profitable work; it was with this view that he had been in favour of using the credit of the province. Were they to consider the mere actual ordinary revenue, it would be imprudent to invest so large a sum in the work; but knowing as they did, that the moment the work came into operation, it would produce a revenue to the country instead of being a burthen to it, and that in fact it was only lending the credit of the province—viewing it in this light—and it was the light in which it should be viewed,)—he thought hon. gentlemen should be less strenuous in their opposition. He differed from the opinions of those hon. gentlemen, who considered the usefulness of the Canal as extending only so far as the conveyance of goods between the two lakes was concerned. It had already reduced the rate of freightage on lake Erie nearly 50 per cent., and every 6d. reduced from the cost of transportation was so much added to the value of the productions of the country. So far from believing that the work would absorb all the means of the country, he felt fully convinced that it would ultimately pay for itself. He agreed, however, with the hon. gentleman from Lanark, that in all cases ways and means should be provided in the first instance, in order to be prepared against all contingencies, and for this purpose he would join him in his view of a small tax at Quebec, whether we get Lower Canada to join with us or not, whatever way it was it would meet with his concurrence, and he hoped the hon. member would bring forward a motion on the subject. His mind was decidedly made up to support the work, and would vote a sum of money to complete it so that the publick might see that it was our determination to carry the work through.

Mr. CLARK said, the subject under discussion was of so much importance, that he could not refrain from saying a few words. It has been said, this measure had been dragged before the House, and by the hon. member for Haldimand. It originally came before the Legislature as all other measures do, and the House was not dragged into it, as has been insinuated. The shafts of malevolence had been hurled against his worthy and excellent friend, but he enjoys a conscious rectitude of conduct which all the attacks made upon him, would not be able to disturb. He well recollected the support the Canal received from a talented and eminent individual who once graced a seat in this House, and who now stands high under the Government. He, (Mr. Clark,) felt proud in having been associated with him upon this matter. Commissioners have been at various times appointed to examine the Canal, who have reported favourably. He lived in the neighbourhood, and had every opportunity of seeing the utility of the Canal, and with all this evidence he could not consent to abandon it. He hoped the resolutions before the Committee would be supported by a large majority.

Mr. Speaker McLEAN.—I have listened with great pleasure to all the arguments upon this question, but after all I have heard, I am in favour of the resolutions. We ought certainly not to involve ourselves in an immense debt, until we could see the means of paying it; but in the instance of this Canal, the means were before us. Arguments are adduced, as if nothing was to be got in return for the expenditure; certainly, if means were not advanced to complete the Canal, a return for the expenditure already incurred could not be expected. We must complete the work and pay off the debts of the concern. We are partners in this Canal, to all intents and purposes; we are a part of the Company, and are bound to pay our share of the debts, but if our partners cannot pay their share, we

are bound in justice to pay theirs also. Now if we are bound to pay the debts, should we hesitate to take the Canal and put it into such a state of repair, so as to produce a return for the expenditure incurred. We, by taking it into our own hands, would be subjecting ourselves only to the payment of six or seven thousand per annum, interest, beyond what we now pay, and have we nothing to pay it with? Have we not the tolls? Why, the tolls of last season amounted to £3,000, and the Canal was only opened part of the season. But it is impossible to estimate what the tolls will be, by what they are at present. The moment you put it into a state to command public confidence, it will pay. The Company's means are exhausted, and it cannot be put into the state required, unless we assume the whole work. We ought to put it into a complete state of repair—we are more interested in finishing the work than the Company are. Look at the advantages we may reasonably expect to derive from it, in drawing forth the resources of the western part of the province. I do not know that the expectation of the hon. member for Oxford, (Dr. Duncombe) will be realized, as to the future population of the province being 30 millions, but it is certain this Canal has been the means of increasing the population, and will continue to do so. I do not admire that kind of economy, which would prohibit all public improvements, till we have the money actually in our pockets. We have the means of borrowing money, we have the example of other countries for doing so, and let us not hesitate therefore, in calling forth the resources of our country. Every penny of our debt has been laid out in improvements, and the country would in time reap the benefit. I will put it to the hon. and learned member from Lennox and Addington, whether he feels himself justified in opposing public improvements because a particular place he refers to, will not be directly benefitted. This is no argument—in my opinion we ought not to take that into consideration, when the general interests of the province are concerned. Is it no benefit, I would ask, to encourage trade and bring out the resources of the country? one particular part of the country will benefit equally with the rest, though not immediately. My own opinion is, the work ought never to have been left to a private Company; however, we have now embarked in it and I hope we will carry it through triumphantly. The hon. and learned member for Lennox and Addington, seems to have a horror of making it a public work, and fears that the Executive Government would have an overwhelming power: I should like to ask, how is it likely to add to their power? they can derive no additional interest or possess any undue influence that he seems so much to dread. I am against great works of this kind being in the hands of a private Company, though I believe Mr. Yates has done very much to advance the interest of the Welland Canal. Will, the hon. and learned member, (Mr. Bidwell,) resist the calculations made, as to the importance of this work to the province; and does not he see it is thought necessary to have a rail road close by it. I am quite willing to take upon myself, all the responsibility that may attach to my vote upon this question; the hon. and learned member, (Mr. Bidwell,) says he always votes for public improvements, if extravagance is not attached to them. Well then, all our public improvements are under censure, as I have never had the honour of voting side by side with that hon. and learned member upon any public measure of improvement. As to Lower Canada assisting us, I think they ought. Whatever improvements we make in such matters as this under discussion, must improve their interests, and I do think the Legislature of that colony, looking at their true interests, if applied to, would assist. My own opinion is, it is wise in us to take the Canal into our

own hands  
increase  
under n  
our own  
years, th  
Mr. M  
of this E  
which I  
culties a  
us. Inc  
the task  
hon. gen  
perfectly  
away in  
ces of t  
always p  
hand, th  
nounced  
as enem  
matter o  
views w  
and Add  
have alw  
hon. and  
actuated  
course o  
Legislat  
granting  
fidence t  
remainder  
should n  
admitting  
the Rece  
Legislat  
the work  
ty to the  
enterpris  
we are b  
faith, cos  
ly given  
ined; an  
man as A  
tance to  
ity and t  
recollect  
lose if w  
or shall  
both prin  
Last year  
the worst  
of uninte  
from the  
complete  
the Canal  
they wer

own hands. Our resources are increasing rapidly, and as our population increases, so will our revenue; and under all our prospects we need be under no uneasiness. I am perfectly satisfied, if we take the Canal into our own hands and pay the stockholders interest for a certain number of years, the country will be benefitted.

Mr. NORRIS.—Since I have had the honour of a seat upon the floor of this House, no question has been presented for my consideration upon which I have been called upon to record my vote, surrounded with difficulties and embarrassments, so perplexing to me as the one now before us. Indeed, Sir, it requires no small degree of moral courage to attempt the task of assigning my reasons for the vote I am about to give, for I find hon. gentlemen who are in favour of the question, denounced as persons perfectly reckless of the interests of the province, determined to squander away in the most lavish and shameful manner all the revenues and resources of the country upon a work that will never make a return, but will always prevent our undertaking every other improvement. On the other hand, those who feel themselves bound to oppose the measure, are denounced in terms of equal violence as enemies to all improvements, and as enemies to the best interests of the province, and I assure you it is matter of no small regret that I find myself compelled to differ in the views which I have taken from my hon. and learned friend from Lennox and Addington, whose opinions upon all questions of general policy, I have always listened to with great attention and respect; but, Sir, I trust hon. and learned gentleman will do me the justice to believe that I am actuated by no other motives than a conscientious sense of duty. In the course of this debate, it has been said that the Company had deceived the Legislature, that an agent of the Company had obtained a resolution granting £25,000 towards the work, for the avowed object of giving confidence to the publick, which would enable the stockholders to get the remainder of the stock taken, and if necessary raise a loan, and that we should never be called upon to redeem the pledge thus given. Well, Sir, admitting this to be, have we not, by passing that resolution, by sending the Receiver General into a foreign market with this resolution of the Legislature in his pocket, as an assurance that the province would sustain the work by further grants if required—have we not, I say, become a party to that deception, and thus led persons to embark their capital in the enterprise, relying upon the faith of the Legislature—if it be so, then I say we are bound by every consideration of justice, of honour, and of good faith, cost what it may, to step forward and redeem the pledge thus tacitly given. If we refuse the Company assistance, they are inevitably ruined; and can we look calmly on and witness the ruin of such a gentleman as Mr. Yates, who has done so much for a work of such vast importance to Upper Canada? I think not. I believe there is too much liberality and too much justice in the Legislature of Upper Canada. It must be recollected that we have already advanced them £157,500, which we must lose if we abandon the work—are we prepared to throw that sum away, or shall we go on and complete the work? I believe the work will pay both principal and interest, long before our debentures will become due. Last year with all their difficulties, in the month of July alone, which is the worst month in the navigable season, but which was the only month of uninterrupted business on the Canal, their receipts were £1,017, and from that, what are we to expect when this work shall have been fully completed and in successful operation. That part of the province west of the Canal could well afford to pay the whole expense of construction, if they were never to receive a shilling in return; look at the increase of

their lands and the price of their produce since this improvement in their transportation has been even partially effected ; but, Sir, who can tell the immense increase of business upon our waters for the next twenty years. If we examine the map we shall find an extent of country, capable of sustaining all the surplus population of Europe and America for centuries to come, and we find that country the most fertile of any in America ; and can any person deny that the great natural outlet for all the exports of Ohio, Michigan, Indiana, Illinois, &c. must be through our waters.

Mr. MERRITT.—I am highly gratified that one of the Commissioners of the St. Lawrence comes out in favour of this measure—nothing could afford a more convincing proof of his intelligence ; and I trust we shall find the other two following his example, before the discussion is ended. On opening the debate, I assumed as the basis of my argument, three propositions : First—that lake Erie was the point, where the trade of the western and southern country must concentrate ; I proved the increase of this trade for the last three years, and inferred from the past, what we had reason to anticipate for the future. The hon. member from Oxford, (Dr. Duncombe) showed the extent of the country, which would be influenced by this trade, and the different communications now leading to it—those constructing and contemplated. Secondly—that from the magnitude of our internal waters, the cheapest and nearest communication to the ocean was by the Welland and the St. Lawrence ; and I proved it from calculations, showing the prices now actually paid between given points on canals and waters of different dimensions. Thirdly—from the experience of the past season, and assuming the two first propositions to be true, I inferred that the Welland Canal must in a short time repay any reasonable outlay in its construction. Now, I would ask, in what manner have these propositions been replied to. No hon. member has ventured to controvert the first, therefore I take it for granted they admit it to be true. I will now examine the different objections in order, and the Committee will decide whether they are well founded. The first, was the hon. member for Prince Edward, who admitted the great increase of the western country, and the importance of the Canal, but recommends due caution—some contingency may take place, some accident may happen to disappoint us in our expectation of a return of revenue, and he recommends delay. This is quite true, but are we never to effect any improvement—any enterprise without risk ? Our tolls would not be affected by any fall in the market, they would be the same on a barrel of flour, whether the price was \$3 or \$6. The only apprehensions would be a war, dearth or famine, which would put a stop to commerce. But as the earth has been so far permitted to bring forth its fruits, we should place every reliance upon its being continued. The next is the hon. member from Lanark ; he states that the transportation on the lakes formerly was as cheap as it is now ; and the only advantage the country will derive, is the short distance through which the Canal passes. In reply to this argument I will merely remark, that the price heretofore paid for transportation of a barrel of flour from lake Erie to Ontario, was 2s. 6d. The price now paid by way of Canal, from any port on lake Erie to Prescott, including the navigation of both lakes, is only 2s. 1d. Again, that no reduction of price will take place on downward freight on the St. Lawrence canal, when made, as produce will continue to descend the river. In reply I will refer the hon. gentleman to my former statement.

Price paid  
of flour, 1s  
On the S

Making

Again, p  
At the m  
Add tolls

Making  
In case th  
diate reven  
500,000 b  
20,000 t

Of this n  
portion of  
Besides  
the natural  
ent prices,  
500,000 b  
20,000 t  
Insurance

I adduce  
land and th  
The argum  
Addington  
will have r  
of the prov  
credit, say  
would of c  
vince is un  
the interes  
contrary w  
the argum  
ments aga  
alarm, at th  
for his an  
there is on  
for the im  
£7,096 2s.  
be reduced  
emigrants  
go to find  
to our tho  
constituen  
way benefi  
not the inc  
crease in t  
and many  
eral increa

Price paid on the Erie canal for transporting one barrel of flour, 130 miles,	s. d.
On the St. Lawrence, from Prescott to Montreal, 130 miles,	1 3
	2 0
<b>Making a saving of, per barrel,</b>	<b>0 9</b>
Again, price paid from Cleaveland to Prescott, 50 <sup>0</sup>	2 1
At the same rate, 130 miles, 7d.	
Add tolls, 7 1-2	1 21-2
<b>Making a saving on present prices of, per barrel,</b>	<b>0 101-2</b>
In case the St. Lawrence was finished, it would afford an immediate revenue, as follows :	
500,000 barrels of flour, at 7 1-2d,	£15,625
20,000 tons merchandise, at 10s.	10,000
	£25,625
Of this navigation, 90 miles is in Upper Canada, the proportion of which would be	£17,740
Besides lumber of every description, not an article of which would descend the natural channel—and effect the following saving to the province from present prices, which are 2s. per barrel of flour, and 75s. per ton merchandise :—	
500,000 barrels of flour, at 10d.	£20,833 6 8
20,000 tons merchandise, at 55s.	55,000 0 0
Insurance 1 per cent.	12,500 0 0
	£88,333 6 8

I adduce these statements to prove my former position, that the Welland and the St. Lawrence must be the cheapest conveyance to the ocean. The argument adopted by the hon. and learned member from Lennox and Addington is, if you extend aid to this object and the St. Lawrence, you will have no means for any other object—it will absorb all the revenues of the province. This would be correct if the province had only a limited credit, say £2,000, and you give half to the one and half to the other, you would of course have nothing left. But so long as the credit of the province is unlimited—if you feel satisfied those objects will ultimately repay the interest from tolls—it will not check any other improvement, on the contrary will promote them; and the only effect that can be produced by the argument, is to enlist the feelings of those interested in other improvements against these undertakings. Again, he expresses the greatest alarm, at the amount of our publick debt—let us see how far he has grounds for his anxiety. The amount of our publick debt is £258,133 6s. 8d.; there is only required annually from the revenue of the province to pay for the improvements, the sum of £5,942 2s. 6d. and on the whole debt £7,096 2s. 6d.; and in case they repay the principal, the whole debt will be reduced to £19,233 6s. 8d. He fears it will be the means of preventing emigrants from coming to the country. I would ask, where would they go to find a country so free from debt in America, all of which owe millions to our thousands. The hon. and learned gentleman says too, that his constituents in Lennox and Addington have no interest in, and are in no way benefitted by this Canal. This argument is equally fallacious. Does not the increase of wild land in the western country create a similar increase in the east? Will they not get their supply of lumber, gypsum, and many articles from lake Erie cheaper, as well as sharing in the general increase of the province?

NOTE.—This pamphlet has been compiled from the newspaper publications of the discussion ; and many of the speeches have been omitted because they were either merely personal, or answers to personal allusions, or direct attacks, without adding much if any thing to the arguments in favour or against the measure. The observations of Mr. Berczy have been inserted because the measure he advocates in it, was afterwards proposed by him and adopted, instead of the purchase of the private stock and making it a Government work altogether. A law was finally passed, creating an additional stock to the amount of £50,000, and to be subscribed by the provincial Government—the province thereafter appointing three Directors and the individual stockholders four. By the measure thus proposed by Mr. Berczy and adopted, the Company has been entirely relieved, and the canal is now in full and successful operation. Imperfect, however, as this pamphlet may be, it will probably aid the Canadian publick in forming a more correct opinion of the value of this great work to the provinces, than any other publication which has hitherto been given to them.

*Welland Canal Office,*  
*St. Catharines, 30th June, 1834. }*

At this time the Canal is in good order and constant use : the transportation upon it has been greater than was anticipated, in consequence of a general apprehension that it would not be in readiness early in the season. There have been more than twenty new schooners added to those used last year in navigating the Canal ; and there is an increasing demand for vessels to meet the accumulating business.

A daily line of light boats between the eastern end of the summit level and Port Colborne, Gravelly bay, Port Maitland, and Dunnville, (Grand river,) has been established, forming a connection with a line of coaches from Niagara Falls, (a distance of only six miles,) St. Catharines, (eight miles,) and Niagara, (twelve miles,) and a steam boat by way of the river Welland to Buffalo.

The tolls have nearly doubled those to the same period last year. The following list, taken from the official returns, will show the sum total of the main articles which have passed through the Canal since the commencement of navigation the present season :—

Number of Schooners,	208	Bushels of Potatoes,	2,302
“ Boats and Scows,	161	Barrels of Salt,	10,760
Tonnage,	12,480	Barrels of Lard,	1,218
“ Rafts of Lumber,	31	Pipe Stoves,	46,198
Tons of Merchandise,	749	Tons of Coal,	105
Barrels of Beef & Pork,	12,961	Passengers,	280
Bushels of Wheat,	288,917	Saw-Logs,	1,£15
Barrels of Flour,	9,488		

JOHN CLARK, *Secretary.*

paper publi-  
omitted be-  
al allusions,  
rguments in  
Befczy have  
rwards pro-  
ivate stock  
ally passed,  
be subscri-  
appointing  
measure thus  
entirely re-  
Imperfect,  
nadian pub-  
eat work to  
een given to

834. }  
ne transpor-  
quence of a  
the season.  
those used  
demand for

ummit level  
ille, (Grand  
of coaches  
ines, (eight  
of the river

year. The  
um total of  
e the com-

2,362  
10,760  
1,218  
46,098  
105  
280  
1,815

etary.



