

doc
CA1
EA
96E82
ENG

**EXCERPTS FROM
THE GOLDFARB REPORT 1996**

**SUBMITTED BY
EXTERNAL AFFAIRS**

b3186103(E)

**EXCERPTS FROM
THE GOLDFARB REPORT 1996**

**SUBMITTED BY
EXTERNAL AFFAIRS**

63686103(E)

DATE 05/08/96
694016 Goldfarb Report

66331426

REPRT C0351

S.4 Q.6a Do you believe Canada is at an advantage or a disadvantage with other industrialized countries when it comes to competing on world markets?

BCC
0263

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K- \$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Canada is at an advantage	406	57	65	152	86	77	8	45	54	100	132	54	65	174	115	101	156	130
	29.0	33.7	27.5	29.4	24.2	25.3	17.1	37.5	29.3	30.0	30.8	23.1	30.2	30.5	29.1	29.2	27.1	33.1
Canada is at a disadvantage	398	48	76	142	105	90	16	27	43	92	132	73	58	144	119	107	179	112
	28.5	28.5	31.9	27.4	29.8	29.4	32.1	22.6	23.5	27.7	30.8	30.8	26.8	25.2	30.1	30.9	31.2	28.4
Neither	584	63	94	220	160	135	25	47	87	140	161	107	88	246	160	135	237	150
	41.7	37.1	39.5	42.4	45.2	44.3	50.8	39.2	47.2	42.1	37.6	45.2	40.7	43.1	40.4	39.1	41.3	38.3
D.N.S.	12	1	3	4	3	3	-	1	-	1	4	2	5	7	1	3	3	1
	.8	.7	1.2	.8	.8	1.0	-	.8	-	.2	.8	.9	2.4	1.2	.3	.8	.5	.2

Dept. of Industry
 Min. des Ressources Industrielles
 MAR 18 2004
 Return to Department of Industry
 Retourner à l'Industrie

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0351(continuation)

S.4 Q.6a Do you believe Canada is at an advantage or a disadvantage with other industrialized countries when it comes to competing on world markets?

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Canada is at an advantage	406	199	206	134	65	107	100	189	53	23	133	131	104	25	234	35	44	54
	29.0	29.4	28.6	31.6	25.7	28.2	29.0	30.5	27.8	22.2	28.8	30.6	31.5	27.7	28.3	24.7	30.5	25.9
Canada is at a disadvantage	398	209	190	135	73	111	79	195	54	30	113	127	100	25	228	43	36	61
	28.5	30.8	26.3	31.8	29.0	29.4	22.9	31.4	28.7	29.2	24.5	29.7	30.2	27.7	27.5	30.1	24.7	29.1
Neither	584	265	319	153	111	159	160	234	81	48	211	169	126	40	356	64	65	91
	41.7	39.1	44.2	36.1	44.1	42.0	46.6	37.7	43.0	46.6	45.5	39.5	38.0	44.6	43.0	44.3	44.7	43.4
D.N.S.	12	5	6	2	3	1	5	3	1	2	6	1	1	-	9	1	-	3
	.8	.8	.9	.5	1.2	.4	1.5	.4	.6	2.0	1.2	.2	.3	-	1.1	1.0	-	1.5

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0351(continuation)

S.4 Q.6a Do you believe Canada is at an advantage or a disadvantage with other industrialized countries when it comes to competing on world markets?

	SINGLES			EDUCATION					AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE	
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Canada is at an advantage	406	31	38	32	35	139	103	126	360	46	169	219	58	150	198	130	272	328	77
	29.0	26.8	34.2	28.7	32.3	28.0	29.7	28.7	29.4	26.4	29.3	29.5	31.5	27.8	29.3	29.1	29.1	30.0	25.3
Canada is at a disadvantage	398	36	25	29	27	146	95	127	347	51	161	213	51	147	200	133	262	309	90
	28.5	31.8	22.3	26.0	25.3	29.5	27.6	28.9	28.3	29.3	27.9	28.7	27.9	27.2	29.6	29.7	28.0	28.2	29.4
Neither	584	48	47	49	45	205	144	184	508	75	243	302	72	239	273	183	392	448	135
	41.7	41.4	43.0	44.3	41.5	41.4	41.7	41.8	41.5	43.2	42.1	40.8	39.2	44.1	40.4	40.9	41.9	41.0	44.3
D.N.S.	12	-	1	1	1	5	3	2	10	2	4	7	3	5	5	1	10	9	3
	.8	-	.6	1.0	.9	1.0	1.0	.5	.8	1.1	.8	1.0	1.4	.9	.7	.2	1.0	.8	1.0

S.4 Q.6b In general, do you support or oppose the concept of Canada participating in trade agreements with other countries?

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K- \$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Strongly support	438	55	71	155	124	110	14	32	49	90	125	81	93	173	114	130	159	139
	31.3	32.8	29.8	29.9	35.1	36.0	29.4	26.5	26.7	26.9	29.1	34.3	42.9	30.3	28.9	37.7	27.7	35.4
Moderately support	506	64	88	177	134	115	19	44	52	118	169	94	73	187	160	126	213	143
	36.2	37.6	37.1	34.1	37.9	37.8	38.7	36.2	28.3	35.3	39.4	40.0	33.8	32.8	40.3	36.5	37.0	36.3
Neither support nor oppose	327	35	54	122	82	70	12	35	59	90	89	50	39	159	87	58	141	71
	23.4	20.7	22.7	23.5	23.1	22.8	25.2	29.0	31.8	27.1	20.7	21.3	18.1	27.9	22.0	16.7	24.5	18.1
Moderately oppose	73	11	18	32	7	6	1	5	14	25	21	5	8	27	20	23	36	24
	5.2	6.6	7.5	6.2	2.0	1.9	2.8	4.5	7.8	7.6	4.8	2.2	3.7	4.7	5.0	6.6	6.3	6.1
Strongly oppose	51	3	6	31	6	4	2	4	10	9	23	5	2	22	13	9	23	15
	3.6	1.6	2.5	6.1	1.7	1.3	3.9	3.7	5.5	2.8	5.4	2.2	1.1	3.9	3.4	2.5	4.0	3.9
D.N.S.	4	1	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	1	3	-	1	2	1	-	3	1
	.3	.7	.5	.3	.2	.3	-	-	-	.2	.6	-	.5	.3	.3	-	.5	.1

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Strongly support	438	261	177	157	103	94	83	207	48	21	153	110	93	15	288	49	39	86
	31.3	38.4	24.5	36.9	40.9	24.9	24.1	33.2	25.1	20.1	33.1	25.7	28.1	16.6	34.8	34.0	26.7	41.1
Moderately support	506	230	276	162	68	151	125	236	78	35	148	156	121	30	312	53	54	82
	36.2	33.9	38.3	38.1	26.9	40.1	36.3	38.0	41.5	34.5	32.0	36.3	36.5	33.9	37.7	37.3	36.8	39.4
Neither support nor oppose	327	121	207	59	62	100	107	117	46	34	128	115	81	33	173	30	36	37
	23.4	17.8	28.7	13.8	24.4	26.4	31.1	18.8	24.1	33.0	27.7	26.8	24.4	36.9	20.9	21.2	24.4	17.5
Moderately oppose	73	40	33	26	14	22	11	36	13	5	19	28	26	1	32	8	10	3
	5.2	6.0	4.6	6.2	5.5	5.9	3.1	5.7	7.0	4.7	4.2	6.4	7.9	.9	3.8	5.3	6.6	1.4
Strongly oppose	51	25	25	20	6	8	17	24	4	7	13	19	9	9	20	3	8	1
	3.6	3.7	3.5	4.6	2.3	2.2	4.8	3.8	2.3	7.0	2.8	4.4	2.8	10.6	2.4	1.9	5.4	.6
D.N.S.	4	1	3	1	-	1	2	3	-	1	1	2	1	1	2	*	-	-
	.3	.2	.5	.3	-	.4	.6	.4	-	.8	.2	.4	.2	1.0	.3	.3	-	-

S.4 Q.6b In general, do you support or oppose the concept of Canada participating in trade agreements with other countries?

	SINGLES			EDUCATION					AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE	
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Strongly support	438	31	46	31	37	132	93	170	390	47	175	246	68	177	192	124	310	327	110
	31.3	26.6	41.8	28.0	34.7	26.7	26.8	38.8	31.9	26.9	30.3	33.3	37.1	32.8	28.4	27.6	33.1	29.9	36.0
Moderately support	506	34	37	48	43	167	131	161	453	54	197	277	68	202	236	180	321	391	115
	36.2	29.3	33.9	42.9	39.6	33.6	37.9	36.8	37.0	30.7	34.1	37.4	37.2	37.4	34.9	40.2	34.3	35.7	37.8
Neither support nor oppose	327	34	23	26	21	141	83	79	271	56	153	156	36	118	173	88	236	258	70
	23.4	29.9	21.2	23.2	19.4	28.6	24.1	18.1	22.2	32.0	26.5	21.1	19.7	21.9	25.6	19.7	25.2	23.6	22.8
Moderately oppose	73	9	3	4	3	31	23	17	63	10	25	39	6	20	47	31	42	68	6
	5.2	8.2	2.4	3.6	2.6	6.2	6.5	4.0	5.2	5.7	4.3	5.2	3.4	3.7	7.0	7.0	4.5	6.2	1.9
Strongly oppose	51	7	*	2	4	23	15	8	43	8	26	20	3	23	25	24	25	47	4
	3.6	5.9	.2	1.4	3.6	4.6	4.4	1.9	3.5	4.4	4.5	2.7	1.6	4.2	3.7	5.3	2.7	4.3	1.3
D.N.S.	4	-	1	1	-	1	1	2	4	*	2	2	2	-	3	1	2	4	1
	.3	-	.6	1.0	-	.3	.3	.5	.3	.3	.3	.3	.9	-	.4	.2	.2	.3	.3

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0353

S.4 Q.7a The Free Trade Deal between Canada and the United States has been in effect for over four years.

In your opinion, what kind of effect, if any, has the Free Trade Deal had in your area? Has it had...

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra-iries	Ont-ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl-antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K-\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A very positive effect	66	7	6	33	15	11	4	5	8	9	20	18	12	28	8	27	20	25
	4.7	4.2	2.5	6.4	4.1	3.6	7.8	4.5	4.4	2.7	4.6	7.4	5.5	4.9	2.0	7.8	3.5	6.4
A somewhat positive effect	296	36	43	108	80	71	9	28	35	49	91	51	71	106	84	83	109	90
	21.2	21.4	18.1	20.9	22.6	23.3	18.7	23.3	19.1	14.7	21.1	21.4	32.6	18.6	21.3	24.2	18.9	22.9
No real effect	655	80	117	202	196	174	22	59	94	178	199	99	84	277	183	148	276	173
	46.8	47.4	49.3	39.0	55.4	56.9	46.3	48.6	50.8	53.4	46.5	42.0	38.8	48.7	46.2	43.0	48.0	44.1
A somewhat negative effect	276	35	57	120	49	40	10	16	29	79	80	52	35	108	92	64	122	80
	19.7	20.5	23.9	23.2	13.9	12.9	19.9	12.8	15.9	23.7	18.6	22.2	16.4	19.0	23.3	18.5	21.2	20.5
A very negative effect	99	10	12	53	13	9	4	12	18	16	36	17	12	47	26	22	45	22
	7.1	5.9	5.1	10.1	3.5	3.0	7.3	9.9	9.6	4.9	8.4	7.0	5.8	8.2	6.7	6.4	7.9	5.7
D.N.S.	7	1	3	2	1	1	-	1	*	2	3	-	2	3	2	1	3	2
	.5	.7	1.1	.3	.3	.4	-	.8	.2	.5	.8	-	1.0	.5	.5	.2	.5	.4

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0353(continuation)

S.4 Q.7a The Free Trade Deal between Canada and the United States has been in effect for over four years.

In your opinion, what kind of effect, if any, has the Free Trade Deal had in your area? Has it had...

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A very positive effect	66	39	27	25	14	12	15	33	5	4	24	23	20	2	36	5	8	12
	4.7	5.8	3.7	6.0	5.6	3.1	4.4	5.2	2.4	3.6	5.1	5.3	6.2	2.5	4.4	3.2	5.8	5.6
A somewhat positive effect	296	176	120	103	73	65	55	125	44	15	107	78	63	13	191	34	23	59
	21.2	26.0	16.6	24.2	28.9	17.2	16.0	20.1	23.3	14.4	23.1	18.2	18.9	14.1	23.1	24.0	15.6	28.4
No real effect	655	299	355	186	113	177	178	292	76	58	223	221	164	52	374	58	69	87
	46.8	44.2	49.2	43.8	44.8	46.8	51.9	47.0	40.2	56.2	48.1	51.5	49.6	58.3	45.2	40.7	47.2	41.8
A somewhat negative effect	276	106	170	71	35	102	68	133	41	16	76	76	62	13	173	29	36	41
	19.7	15.7	23.5	16.7	13.9	26.9	19.8	21.4	21.7	15.9	16.5	17.8	18.7	14.5	20.9	20.2	24.6	19.5
A very negative effect	99	56	44	39	17	21	22	36	23	9	30	29	20	9	49	15	10	9
	7.1	8.2	6.0	9.1	6.7	5.6	6.5	5.8	12.4	9.1	6.5	6.8	6.0	10.5	5.9	10.4	6.8	4.3
D.N.S.	7	1	6	1	*	1	5	3	-	1	4	2	2	-	5	2	-	1
	.5	.2	.8	.3	.1	.4	1.4	.4	-	.8	.8	.4	.6	-	.6	1.5	-	.4

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0353(continuation)

S.4 Q.7a The Free Trade Deal between Canada and the United States has been in effect for over four years.

In your opinion, what kind of effect, if any, has the Free Trade Deal had in your area? Has it had...

	SINGLES		EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE			
	Adult Child	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French	
	TOTAL	At Home	No Kids	H.Sch.	H.Sch.	College	/More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French	
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A very positive effect	66	1	3	4	9	10	9	35	64	3	21	43	8	23	35	22	42	55	11
	4.7	.8	2.5	3.8	8.4	2.1	2.7	8.0	5.2	1.6	3.7	5.8	4.6	4.3	5.1	5.0	4.5	5.1	3.6
A somewhat positive effect	296	26	27	19	30	100	69	95	267	29	113	168	37	120	140	89	202	225	71
	21.2	23.0	24.4	16.7	28.3	20.1	20.0	21.6	21.8	16.4	19.7	22.6	20.0	22.1	20.7	19.9	21.6	20.6	23.3
No real effect	655	57	54	54	38	242	171	198	552	103	283	325	79	253	322	196	454	481	174
	46.8	49.7	48.9	48.2	35.4	49.0	49.6	45.1	45.0	58.8	49.0	43.9	43.2	46.8	47.7	43.8	48.5	43.9	56.9
A somewhat negative effect	276	21	19	30	20	97	68	88	248	28	119	144	44	109	123	99	176	237	40
	19.7	18.3	17.1	27.1	18.9	19.6	19.6	20.1	20.3	16.0	20.6	19.4	24.2	20.2	18.2	22.1	18.8	21.6	12.9
A very negative effect	99	9	7	4	8	43	26	21	88	11	37	57	12	36	51	40	57	90	9
	7.1	7.9	6.6	3.3	7.8	8.7	7.6	4.8	7.2	6.1	6.5	7.6	6.5	6.6	7.6	9.0	6.1	8.2	3.0
D.N.S.	7	*	1	1	1	2	2	2	6	2	3	4	3	-	5	1	5	6	1
	.5	.3	.6	1.0	1.3	.5	.5	.4	.5	1.1	.5	.6	1.4	-	.7	.2	.6	.6	.4

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0353A

S.4 Q.7a The Free Trade Deal between Canada and the United States has been in effect for over four years.

In your opinion, what kind of effect, if any, has the Free Trade Deal had in your area? Has it had...

BASE: Support Concept of Trade Agreement

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual
Unweighted row	1099	138	185	331	360	330	30	85	96	255	388	188	172	432	324	285	450	327
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	944	119	159	332	258	226	33	76	102	207	293	175	166	360	274	256	372	281
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A very positive effect	60	6	6	30	13	11	2	5	5	9	19	16	11	23	8	26	15	25
	6.4	4.8	3.8	9.1	4.9	4.7	6.1	7.2	5.2	4.4	6.6	9.0	6.4	6.4	2.8	10.0	4.1	8.9
A somewhat positive effect	271	34	38	100	72	64	8	27	26	45	82	49	69	99	73	80	97	81
	28.7	28.8	23.7	30.2	27.8	28.5	23.0	35.7	25.7	21.6	27.9	28.0	41.5	27.5	26.7	31.1	26.2	28.8
No real effect	408	52	69	120	133	119	14	33	48	104	130	67	59	154	124	107	170	124
	43.2	44.1	43.4	36.3	51.5	52.9	42.3	43.4	47.7	50.0	44.3	38.0	35.6	42.7	45.2	41.7	45.7	44.0
A somewhat negative effect	161	23	40	65	30	24	6	2	13	43	47	35	22	60	58	36	71	41
	17.0	19.6	25.3	19.6	11.8	10.7	19.1	2.6	13.3	20.9	15.9	20.1	13.2	16.6	21.3	13.9	19.0	14.7
A very negative effect	41	3	6	15	10	7	3	7	8	6	15	8	4	23	10	8	18	9
	4.4	2.7	3.5	4.5	4.0	3.2	9.4	9.8	7.8	2.8	5.1	4.8	2.6	6.4	3.8	3.1	4.8	3.3
D.N.S.	3	-	1	1	*	*	-	1	*	1	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	1
	.3	-	.4	.3	.1	.1	-	1.3	.3	.4	.2	-	.6	.3	.2	.3	.3	.3

S.4 Q.7a The Free Trade Deal between Canada and the United States has been in effect for over four years.
In your opinion, what kind of effect, if any, has the Free Trade Deal had in your area? Has it had...
BASE: Support Concept of Trade Agreement

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1099	587	512	392	195	281	231	532	146	69	333	356	294	53	654	124	102	185
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	944	491	453	319	171	246	208	443	126	56	301	266	214	45	601	102	92	168
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A very positive effect	60	38	22	25	13	10	12	31	5	2	21	21	18	2	32	3	7	12
	6.4	7.7	4.9	7.9	7.4	4.1	5.9	6.9	3.6	4.1	6.8	7.7	8.6	5.0	5.4	3.3	7.9	7.0
A somewhat positive effect	271	165	106	96	69	56	50	111	41	11	103	69	57	9	181	34	20	57
	28.7	33.6	23.4	30.2	40.0	22.6	24.3	25.2	32.8	19.5	34.1	25.7	26.8	19.1	30.1	33.1	21.7	34.2
No real effect	408	193	215	133	60	116	98	207	45	31	119	132	104	25	245	43	41	63
	43.2	39.4	47.4	41.7	34.9	47.4	47.4	46.7	35.4	56.1	39.6	49.7	48.5	55.1	40.9	42.3	44.0	37.6
A somewhat negative effect	161	71	90	50	21	55	36	78	27	7	43	36	30	6	116	12	21	32
	17.0	14.4	19.9	15.6	12.2	22.2	17.1	17.7	21.6	13.0	14.1	13.7	13.9	13.4	19.4	11.8	23.1	19.0
A very negative effect	41	24	17	15	9	8	9	15	9	4	14	7	4	3	24	8	3	4
	4.4	4.9	3.9	4.6	5.4	3.4	4.4	3.3	6.7	7.3	4.7	2.8	1.9	7.4	4.0	7.8	3.3	2.2
D.N.S.	3	*	3	-	*	1	2	1	-	-	2	1	1	-	2	2	-	-
	.3	*	.6	-	.1	.3	.9	.1	-	-	.7	.3	.4	-	.3	1.6	-	-

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0353A(continuation)

S.4 Q.7a The Free Trade Deal between Canada and the United States has been in effect for over four years.

In your opinion, what kind of effect, if any, has the Free Trade Deal had in your area? Has it had...

BASE: Support Concept of Trade Agreement

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1099	68	94	79	91	369	275	354	853	246	403	639	146	414	539	371	720	769	330
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	944	64	84	79	80	299	224	331	843	101	372	524	136	380	428	303	631	718	226
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A very positive effect	60	1	3	4	6	8	8	35	57	3	17	42	8	20	31	21	37	49	11
	6.4	1.5	3.3	5.3	7.4	2.8	3.6	10.5	6.8	2.7	4.5	8.0	6.2	5.3	7.3	6.9	5.9	6.9	4.7
A somewhat positive effect	271	18	26	18	29	89	64	87	248	22	102	156	35	111	124	80	186	207	64
	28.7	27.6	31.1	22.8	36.4	29.9	28.5	26.1	29.5	22.3	27.5	29.9	25.9	29.3	29.1	26.2	29.5	28.8	28.5
No real effect	408	31	33	34	22	136	106	142	347	61	166	215	51	166	191	131	275	289	119
	43.2	47.9	39.7	43.2	27.3	45.6	47.3	42.8	41.2	60.3	44.6	41.1	37.5	43.6	44.7	43.4	43.6	40.2	52.9
A somewhat negative effect	161	8	16	21	16	48	34	60	151	10	70	86	34	68	59	55	105	137	24
	17.0	12.6	19.3	26.6	20.7	16.0	15.2	18.0	17.9	10.0	18.7	16.4	24.8	17.8	13.9	18.1	16.6	19.0	10.7
A very negative effect	41	6	6	2	5	16	12	8	38	3	16	23	8	15	19	16	25	34	7
	4.4	9.8	6.6	2.1	6.5	5.5	5.4	2.4	4.5	3.5	4.4	4.4	5.6	4.0	4.4	5.4	4.0	4.8	3.2
D.N.S.	3	*	-	-	1	1	-	1	2	1	1	2	-	-	3	-	3	3	*
	.3	.5	-	-	1.7	.3	-	.2	.2	1.2	.3	.3	-	-	.7	-	.4	.4	.1

S.4 Q.7b In the long run, do you feel the Free Trade Deal with the United States will have ...

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A positive impact on Canada	542	64	85	200	155	134	21	38	74	112	164	95	97	189	154	168	203	169
	38.8	38.1	35.9	38.6	43.8	43.8	43.4	31.1	40.2	33.5	38.3	40.2	44.9	33.2	38.8	48.6	35.4	43.0
A negative impact on Canada	488	63	92	198	94	75	18	41	64	123	160	80	62	206	143	113	220	139
	34.8	37.4	38.6	38.3	26.4	24.7	37.6	34.0	34.4	36.9	37.2	33.8	28.5	36.1	36.1	32.8	38.2	35.5
No real effect	358	39	59	114	104	95	9	42	46	98	102	58	54	173	95	62	150	83
	25.6	23.3	24.7	22.0	29.3	31.0	19.0	34.9	25.2	29.3	23.8	24.4	25.0	30.3	24.0	18.0	26.1	21.2
D.N.S.	11	2	2	6	2	2	-	-	*	1	3	4	3	2	4	2	2	1
	.8	1.2	.8	1.1	.5	.6	-	-	.2	.3	.7	1.6	1.6	.4	1.1	.6	.4	.3

S.4 Q.7b In the long run, do you feel the Free Trade Deal with the United States will have ...

	SEX			MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS					PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD			
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest	
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237	
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209	
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
A positive impact on Canada	542	313	229	195	118	115	114	244	68	29	188	167	136	23	324	50	44	100	
	38.8	46.2	31.8	45.9	46.6	30.5	33.2	39.3	36.0	28.0	40.6	38.8	41.1	26.1	39.2	34.9	30.0	47.9	
A negative impact on Canada	488	210	278	143	67	154	124	223	78	43	136	143	113	27	288	58	61	63	
	34.8	31.0	38.5	33.7	26.4	40.6	36.1	35.8	41.0	41.7	29.3	33.2	34.3	30.5	34.8	40.3	41.7	30.1	
No real effect	358	153	205	85	68	108	96	152	44	30	131	118	80	39	206	35	41	41	
	25.6	22.6	28.4	20.1	26.9	28.6	28.0	24.5	23.0	29.5	28.3	27.6	24.1	43.4	25.0	24.5	28.3	19.6	
D.N.S.	11	2	10	1	*	1	9	2	-	1	8	1	1	-	9	*	-	5	
	.8	.2	1.4	.3	.1	.2	2.7	.3	-	.8	1.8	.3	.4	-	1.1	.3	-	2.4	

S.4 Q.7b In the long run, do you feel the Free Trade Deal with the United States will have ...

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A positive impact on Canada	542	43	55	32	43	169	121	201	494	48	205	311	75	203	265	151	384	409	134
	38.8	37.3	49.6	28.5	40.3	34.1	35.0	45.9	40.4	27.5	35.6	42.0	40.8	37.6	39.2	33.8	41.1	37.3	43.8
A negative impact on Canada	488	47	25	49	33	182	128	145	420	68	209	242	61	191	236	178	308	412	75
	34.8	41.0	22.4	43.7	30.4	36.8	36.9	33.0	34.3	38.9	36.2	32.6	33.4	35.3	34.9	39.8	32.9	37.7	24.7
No real effect	358	25	30	29	31	139	94	90	301	57	158	181	44	141	172	117	235	263	95
	25.6	21.4	27.5	26.3	29.0	28.1	27.2	20.5	24.5	32.8	27.4	24.5	24.2	26.1	25.5	26.1	25.1	24.1	31.0
D.N.S.	11	*	1	2	*	5	3	3	10	1	5	7	3	5	3	1	9	10	2
	.8	.3	.6	1.5	.3	1.0	1.0	.6	.8	.7	.8	.9	1.7	1.0	.4	.3	1.0	.9	.6

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.4 Q.7b In the long run, do you feel the Free Trade Deal with the United States will have ...

BASE: Free trade with US positive effect

REPORT C0354A

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS		
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K- \$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual	+
Unweighted row	407	47	57	132	135	126	9	36	43	76	144	67	77	162	105	115	152	130	
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	363	43	49	142	95	82	13	34	43	58	110	68	82	134	92	110	129	115	
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
A positive impact on Canada	297	37	43	111	80	69	11	27	33	49	96	53	66	106	80	91	105	96	
	81.8	85.4	87.0	78.0	84.2	83.5	88.6	78.8	75.7	84.4	86.8	77.9	79.7	79.2	86.3	82.6	81.3	83.3	
A negative impact on Canada	34	4	4	17	6	6	-	3	6	2	8	7	11	12	6	13	12	11	
	9.4	8.8	8.5	12.0	6.1	7.0	-	10.3	14.4	3.9	7.1	9.8	13.5	8.8	6.8	11.7	9.4	9.8	
No real effect	31	2	2	14	9	8	1	4	4	7	7	7	6	16	6	6	12	8	
	8.5	3.7	4.5	10.0	9.7	9.5	11.4	11.0	9.9	11.6	6.1	10.9	6.8	12.0	6.0	5.7	9.3	7.0	
D.N.S.	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	
	.3	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.3	-	-	1.0	-	-	-	

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.4 Q.7b In the long run, do you feel the Free Trade Deal with the United States will have ...

BASE: Free trade with US positive effect

REPORT C0354A(continuation)

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	407	242	165	150	92	89	76	184	56	26	133	125	103	19	239	41	33	74
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	363	216	147	128	87	77	70	158	49	18	130	101	83	15	227	39	31	71
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A positive impact on Canada	297 81.8	191 88.5	106 71.9	113 88.3	77 88.8	55 72.1	50 71.8	131 82.9	39 80.3	18 98.2	102 78.6	87 86.2	72 86.5	12 81.6	185 81.3	25 64.2	25 79.7	64 90.4
A negative impact on Canada	34 9.4	18 8.2	17 11.3	11 8.4	7 7.8	9 11.1	8 11.5	18 11.1	2 3.7	-	14 10.4	5 5.5	5 6.6	-	25 10.8	9 23.1	2 7.5	5 7.6
No real effect	31 8.5	7 3.3	24 16.1	4 3.3	3 3.4	13 16.8	11 15.4	9 5.9	8 16.0	* 1.8	13 10.3	8 8.4	6 6.9	3 18.4	17 7.5	5 12.7	4 12.8	* .7
D.N.S.	1 .3	-	1 .6	-	-	-	1 1.3	-	-	-	1 .7	-	-	-	1 .4	-	-	1 1.3

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.4 Q.7b In the long run, do you feel the Free Trade Deal with the United States will have ...

BASE: Free trade with US positive effect

REPORT C0354A(continuation)

	SINGLES		EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE			
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	407	29	36	24	40	136	97	130	329	78	149	236	51	152	204	126	276	281	126
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	363	27	30	23	39	110	78	130	331	32	135	211	45	143	175	111	244	280	82
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A positive impact on Canada	297	22	27	14	32	81	63	116	275	22	106	178	36	120	140	87	204	228	69
	81.8	81.3	89.4	59.9	81.1	73.1	80.1	89.8	83.0	69.3	78.7	84.3	79.3	84.2	80.5	78.1	83.3	81.3	83.5
A negative impact on Canada	34	3	1	6	3	18	5	8	29	5	11	20	6	12	17	14	20	28	6
	9.4	10.5	2.9	27.5	7.4	16.4	7.0	5.9	8.7	16.7	8.3	9.5	13.0	8.1	9.6	12.7	8.2	10.1	7.0
No real effect	31	2	2	3	5	12	10	5	26	4	17	12	3	10	17	10	20	23	8
	8.5	8.1	7.7	12.6	11.5	10.4	12.9	3.6	8.0	14.1	12.9	5.8	7.7	7.0	10.0	9.2	8.1	8.2	9.5
D.N.S.	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	1	-
	.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	.7	.3	-	-	.4	-	.6	-	-	.4	.3	-

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.4 Q.7b In the long run, do you feel the Free Trade Deal with the United States will have ...

BASE: Free trade with US negative effect

REPORT C0354B

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K- \$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	430	53	77	185	85	71	14	30	39	107	162	71	51	183	138	89	191	111
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	375	45	69	173	62	49	13	28	47	95	116	69	48	155	119	86	167	103
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A positive impact on Canada	44	3	7	22	12	11	1	-	4	10	11	12	8	14	12	17	20	7
	11.8	6.3	9.9	13.0	19.8	23.6	5.8	-	8.0	10.1	9.1	17.7	17.0	9.2	10.0	19.9	11.8	6.4
A negative impact on Canada	294	38	55	134	42	30	12	24	37	78	98	51	30	123	98	63	133	87
	78.3	85.0	80.4	77.9	67.4	61.4	89.6	88.6	78.1	81.9	84.7	73.6	62.3	79.1	82.7	73.2	79.7	84.5
No real effect	35	4	7	14	8	7	1	3	7	8	7	4	10	18	7	6	14	9
	9.4	8.7	9.7	8.0	12.8	15.0	4.6	11.4	13.9	8.0	5.9	6.4	20.7	11.7	6.0	6.5	8.6	8.8
D.N.S.	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	2	-	-	2	*	-	*
	.5	-	-	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	.2	2.2	-	-	1.3	.3	-	.3

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.4 Q.7b In the long run, do you feel the Free Trade Deal with the United States will have ...

BASE: Free trade with US negative effect

REPORT C0354B(continuation)

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	430	185	245	123	62	139	106	192	72	35	121	136	107	27	239	45	50	58
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	375	162	213	110	52	123	91	169	65	26	106	106	82	22	222	44	46	50
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A positive impact on Canada	44	23	21	15	8	11	10	15	11	1	14	16	12	4	26	5	1	9
	11.8	14.1	10.0	13.4	15.7	9.2	11.2	9.1	16.4	3.7	13.3	15.0	14.5	18.0	11.8	10.6	3.1	19.0
A negative impact on Canada	294	120	174	86	34	102	72	136	53	23	76	83	68	14	172	35	41	33
	78.3	74.1	81.5	78.7	64.4	82.6	79.9	80.6	81.7	88.3	71.2	78.5	82.6	62.1	77.6	79.6	89.0	67.2
No real effect	35	19	17	8	10	10	7	17	1	2	15	7	2	4	22	4	4	5
	9.4	11.6	7.8	7.7	19.9	8.2	7.3	10.2	1.9	8.0	14.0	6.2	2.5	19.9	9.9	9.8	7.9	10.7
D.N.S.	2	*	2	*	-	-	2	*	-	-	2	*	*	-	2	-	-	2
	.5	.2	.7	.2	-	-	1.7	.2	-	-	1.4	.2	.3	-	.7	-	-	3.1

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.4 Q.7b In the long run, do you feel the Free Trade Deal with the United States will have ...

BASE: Free trade with US negative effect

REPORT C0354B(continuation)

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ./ More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	430	25	31	33	31	170	114	112	327	103	166	247	61	165	204	164	262	359	71
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	375	30	26	34	29	140	94	109	337	39	156	200	56	145	174	139	233	327	49
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A positive impact on Canada	44	2	6	2	2	10	10	18	42	2	15	29	8	16	20	13	32	33	11
	11.8	6.6	22.4	6.5	8.2	7.4	10.9	16.9	12.6	5.2	9.8	14.5	14.3	11.0	11.6	9.2	13.5	10.1	23.6
A negative impact on Canada	294	25	15	30	23	114	75	83	262	32	125	153	40	113	140	111	183	264	30
	78.3	81.8	56.4	89.2	79.6	81.2	79.3	75.8	77.8	82.7	79.6	76.4	71.7	78.1	80.5	79.8	78.4	80.8	61.4
No real effect	35	3	6	1	3	16	8	8	31	5	16	17	8	14	13	15	17	28	7
	9.4	11.6	21.2	4.4	12.2	11.3	8.2	7.4	9.1	12.0	10.4	8.3	14.0	9.8	7.7	10.8	7.5	8.6	15.0
D.N.S.	2	-	-	-	-	*	2	-	2	-	*	2	-	2	*	*	2	2	-
	.5	-	-	-	-	.2	1.6	-	.5	-	.2	.8	-	1.1	.2	.2	.7	.5	-

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0355

S.4 Q.8a To date, do you feel more jobs have been created in Canada or more jobs have been lost in Canada as a result of the free Trade deal?

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra-iries	Ont-ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl-antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K-\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
More jobs have been created in Canada	212	26	32	79	54	48	7	21	29	37	59	34	53	67	58	78	71	71
	15.2	15.2	13.6	15.2	15.4	15.6	14.0	17.3	15.8	11.2	13.8	14.3	24.2	11.7	14.6	22.5	12.4	18.2
More jobs have been lost in Canada	810	95	128	354	165	135	30	69	104	198	262	136	110	346	241	174	352	213
	57.9	56.2	53.7	68.4	46.5	44.1	61.8	56.8	56.5	59.5	61.1	57.6	50.6	60.8	60.7	50.5	61.2	54.2
There has been no impact on jobs	365	46	74	81	133	121	12	31	51	97	100	66	52	152	93	92	146	105
	26.1	27.1	31.1	15.5	37.6	39.7	24.3	26.0	27.5	29.0	23.3	27.9	23.8	26.7	23.5	26.5	25.4	26.7
D.N.S.	12	2	4	4	2	2	-	-	*	1	7	*	3	4	5	2	6	4
	.9	1.4	1.6	.8	.5	.6	-	-	.2	.3	1.7	.2	1.4	.8	1.1	.5	1.1	.9

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0355(continuation)

S.4 Q.8a To date, do you feel more jobs have been created in Canada or more jobs have been lost in Canada as a result of the free Trade deal?

	SEX			MALE				FEMALE				WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD		
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest			
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237			
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209			
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			
More jobs have been created in Canada	212	143	69	83	60	37	33	95	24	6	81	57	53	4	134	16	14	43			
	15.2	21.1	9.6	19.5	23.7	9.7	9.5	15.4	12.8	6.0	17.5	13.3	15.9	5.0	16.2	10.9	9.6	20.8			
More jobs have been lost in Canada	810	364	447	231	133	239	208	352	122	69	253	259	189	66	458	85	90	115			
	57.9	53.6	61.9	54.4	52.4	63.1	60.6	56.7	64.6	67.0	54.6	60.4	57.1	73.6	55.3	59.4	62.0	55.2			
There has been no impact on jobs	365	168	197	108	59	99	98	167	43	26	125	109	86	19	229	42	40	49			
	26.1	24.7	27.4	25.5	23.5	26.3	28.6	26.9	22.6	25.2	27.1	25.5	26.0	21.4	27.7	29.0	27.3	23.5			
D.N.S.	12	4	8	3	1	4	5	6	-	2	4	3	3	-	6	1	2	1			
	.9	.6	1.1	.6	.4	.9	1.4	1.0	-	1.7	.8	.8	1.0	-	.8	.7	1.1	.5			

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.4 Q.8a To date, do you feel more jobs have been created in Canada or more jobs have been lost in Canada as a result of the free Trade deal?

REPORT C0355(continuation)

	SINGLES		EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE			
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
More jobs have been created in Canada	212	14	27	13	20	61	33	96	196	16	77	123	33	75	104	63	148	165	48
	15.2	11.9	24.5	11.3	19.1	12.3	9.5	21.9	16.0	9.1	13.4	16.7	18.2	13.9	15.4	14.2	15.8	15.0	15.6
More jobs have been lost in Canada	810	69	51	56	56	302	215	229	713	98	345	422	90	335	385	279	522	676	135
	57.9	60.0	45.8	50.2	51.7	61.1	62.3	52.2	58.2	55.9	59.7	56.9	49.4	61.9	57.0	62.4	55.7	61.8	44.1
There has been no impact on jobs	365	32	31	42	31	127	94	110	306	59	152	188	56	128	181	99	261	244	121
	26.1	28.1	28.3	37.6	28.6	25.7	27.3	25.1	25.0	33.7	26.3	25.3	30.6	23.6	26.8	22.2	27.9	22.3	39.7
D.N.S.	12	-	1	1	1	5	3	3	10	2	4	8	3	3	6	5	6	10	2
	.9	-	1.4	1.0	.7	1.0	1.0	.7	.8	1.4	.7	1.1	1.9	.6	.8	1.2	.6	.9	.6

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0355A

S.4 Q.8a To date, do you feel more jobs have been created in Canada or more jobs have been lost in Canada as a result of the free Trade deal?

BASE: Free trade with US positive effect

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra-iries	Ont-ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl-antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K-\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	407	47	57	132	135	126	9	36	43	76	144	67	77	162	105	115	152	130
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	363	43	49	142	95	82	13	34	43	58	110	68	82	134	92	110	129	115
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
More jobs have been created in Canada	149	20	22	58	37	32	5	13	12	22	41	29	44	48	43	51	46	51
	41.2	45.2	44.6	40.7	39.0	39.5	35.8	39.1	28.4	38.4	37.3	43.0	53.6	35.9	46.4	46.2	35.5	44.1
More jobs have been lost in Canada	122	15	12	60	24	21	3	12	22	13	39	21	26	45	31	34	44	37
	33.5	33.7	23.4	42.0	25.5	25.5	25.0	35.3	51.3	23.1	35.0	31.1	31.6	33.6	34.1	30.8	34.3	32.0
There has been no impact on jobs	91	9	15	25	34	29	5	9	9	22	31	18	12	41	18	25	39	27
	25.2	21.1	31.5	17.3	35.5	34.9	39.2	25.6	20.3	38.5	27.8	25.9	14.5	30.4	19.5	23.0	30.2	23.9
D.N.S.	*	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	*	-	-	-	-
	.1	-	.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.3	.2	-	-	-	-

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0355A(continuation)

S.4 Q.8a To date, do you feel more jobs have been created in Canada or more jobs have been lost in Canada as a result of the free Trade deal?

BASE: Free trade with US positive effect

	SEX			MALE				FEMALE				WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD			
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest				
Unweighted row	407	242	165	150	92	89	76	184	56	26	133	125	103	19	239	41	33	74				
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	363	216	147	128	87	77	70	158	49	18	130	101	83	15	227	39	31	71				
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0				
More jobs have been created in Canada	149	109	40	59	50	22	18	66	15	6	57	37	35	2	101	9	11	39				
	41.2	50.5	27.5	46.2	56.9	28.6	26.2	41.8	31.8	33.5	44.0	36.4	41.7	13.6	44.3	22.7	34.1	55.4				
More jobs have been lost in Canada	122	65	57	41	23	30	27	54	17	7	40	35	27	7	71	18	8	21				
	33.5	30.0	38.8	32.0	26.9	38.6	39.0	34.4	36.0	40.2	31.0	35.3	33.0	45.5	31.1	46.9	27.1	30.0				
There has been no impact on jobs	91	42	49	28	14	25	24	38	16	5	32	28	21	6	56	12	12	10				
	25.2	19.5	33.6	21.8	16.2	32.7	34.5	23.8	32.2	26.3	24.8	28.3	25.3	40.9	24.5	30.5	38.8	14.3				
D.N.S.	*	-	*	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	*	-	-	*				
	.1	-	.1	-	-	-	.3	-	-	-	.2	-	-	-	.1	-	-	.3				

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0355A(continuation)

S.4 Q.8a To date, do you feel more jobs have been created in Canada or more jobs have been lost in Canada as a result of the free Trade deal?

BASE: Free trade with US positive effect

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ./ More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	407	29	36	24	40	136	97	130	329	78	149	236	51	152	204	126	276	281	126
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	363	27	30	23	39	110	78	130	331	32	135	211	45	143	175	111	244	280	82
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
More jobs have been created in Canada	149	6	17	9	12	43	25	67	140	9	54	91	25	60	64	50	98	117	32
	41.2	21.5	58.6	40.1	30.7	39.1	32.0	51.9	42.2	29.8	39.8	43.2	54.7	42.2	36.8	44.9	40.3	41.7	39.5
More jobs have been lost in Canada	122	17	5	7	17	40	24	38	109	13	42	72	11	46	65	39	78	101	21
	33.5	62.8	16.0	29.2	42.5	36.1	31.2	29.0	32.8	40.8	31.4	34.0	23.4	32.4	37.0	34.7	31.8	35.9	25.5
There has been no impact on jobs	91	4	8	7	10	27	29	25	82	9	39	48	10	36	46	23	68	63	29
	25.2	15.7	25.4	30.7	26.3	24.9	36.9	19.1	24.9	28.7	28.7	22.7	21.8	25.2	26.2	20.4	27.9	22.4	34.9
D.N.S.	*	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	*	-	*	-	*	-	-	*	*	-
	.1	-	-	-	.5	-	-	-	-	.7	-	.1	-	.1	-	-	.1	.1	-

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0355B

S.4 Q.8a To date, do you feel more jobs have been created in Canada or more jobs have been lost in Canada as a result of the free Trade deal?

BASE: Free trade with US negative effect

	REGION								AGE				HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS		
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra-iries	Ont-ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl-antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K-\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	430	53	77	185	85	71	14	30	39	107	162	71	51	183	138	89	191	111
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	375	45	69	173	62	49	13	28	47	95	116	69	48	155	119	86	167	103
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
More jobs have been created in Canada	7	3	1	4	*	*	-	-	3	2	1	-	2	3	2	2	3	1
	2.0	5.9	.9	2.2	.7	.9	-	-	6.5	1.8	.7	-	3.8	1.8	1.9	2.8	2.0	1.2
More jobs have been lost in Canada	340	41	55	162	56	44	12	25	38	89	109	66	38	140	108	79	156	93
	90.7	92.6	80.3	94.1	91.5	90.8	94.2	90.6	81.3	93.3	94.1	95.1	80.1	90.4	91.1	91.8	93.0	90.7
There has been no impact on jobs	26	1	12	5	5	4	1	3	6	5	4	3	8	12	7	4	7	7
	6.8	1.5	17.5	3.2	7.8	8.4	5.8	9.4	12.2	4.9	3.6	4.9	16.1	7.8	6.3	4.2	4.5	7.2
D.N.S.	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	1	1	1	1
	.5	-	1.3	.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.6	-	-	-	.7	1.1	.5	.9

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0355B(continuation)

S.4 Q.8a To date, do you feel more jobs have been created in Canada or more jobs have been lost in Canada as a result of the free Trade deal?

BASE: Free trade with US negative effect

	SEX			MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD			
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	430	185	245	123	62	139	106	192	72	35	121	136	107	27	239	45	50	58
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	375	162	213	110	52	123	91	169	65	26	106	106	82	22	222	44	46	50
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
More jobs have been created in Canada	7	5	3	3	2	1	1	1	3	-	3	2	2	-	4	1	-	-
	2.0	3.0	1.2	2.7	3.8	1.0	1.4	.7	4.7	-	3.0	1.9	2.4	-	1.9	3.1	-	-
More jobs have been lost in Canada	340	143	197	100	44	114	84	155	60	25	93	100	76	22	200	41	43	46
	90.7	88.5	92.4	90.9	83.6	92.5	92.2	91.4	92.7	96.3	87.4	94.3	92.8	99.3	90.3	92.5	94.8	92.9
There has been no impact on jobs	26	13	13	7	6	8	5	13	2	-	9	4	4	*	17	2	2	4
	6.8	7.9	6.0	6.5	10.9	6.5	5.4	7.9	2.6	-	8.8	3.8	4.8	.7	7.5	4.3	5.2	7.1
D.N.S.	2	1	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
	.5	.5	.4	-	1.7	-	1.0	-	-	3.7	.8	-	-	-	.4	-	-	-

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C03558(continuation)

S.4 Q.8a To date, do you feel more jobs have been created in Canada or more jobs have been lost in Canada as a result of the free Trade deal?

BASE: Free trade with US negative effect

	SINGLES		EDUCATION					AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	430	25	31	33	31	170	114	112	327	103	166	247	61	165	204	164	262	359	71
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	375	30	26	34	29	140	94	109	337	39	156	200	56	145	174	139	233	327	49
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
More jobs have been created in Canada	7	1	1	-	1	1	-	6	6	1	2	4	1	-	7	3	5	7	*
	2.0	4.2	2.3	-	3.6	.6	-	5.1	1.9	2.6	1.1	2.2	1.1	-	3.9	1.9	2.1	2.1	.9
More jobs have been lost in Canada	340	27	20	31	23	129	91	95	307	33	140	185	48	135	157	127	211	296	44
	90.7	89.0	75.4	91.8	79.5	92.0	97.0	86.6	91.3	85.9	89.5	92.4	85.7	93.2	90.2	91.9	90.6	90.7	90.8
There has been no impact on jobs	26	2	5	3	5	9	3	8	21	4	13	11	7	10	9	7	17	22	4
	6.8	6.8	19.0	8.2	16.9	6.8	3.0	7.5	6.3	11.5	8.2	5.4	11.7	6.8	5.3	4.9	7.3	6.6	8.4
O.N.S.	2	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	2	-	2	-	1	-	1	2	-	2	-
	.5	-	3.3	-	-	.7	-	.8	.5	-	1.2	-	1.6	-	.5	1.3	-	.6	-

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0356

S.4 Q.8b And in the long run, do you think there will be more jobs created in Canada or more jobs lost in Canada as a result of the Free Trade deal?

	REGION								AGE				HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS		
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K- \$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
More jobs will be created in Canada	371	47	59	139	96	82	14	30	57	74	115	52	73	126	101	131	126	125
	26.5	27.7	24.8	26.8	27.2	26.8	29.5	24.7	30.6	22.3	26.8	22.0	33.7	22.2	25.4	37.8	22.0	31.8
More jobs will be lost in Canada	714	87	121	299	144	121	24	62	89	179	220	130	96	319	199	148	319	179
	51.0	51.5	50.9	57.8	40.8	39.5	48.9	51.1	48.0	53.6	51.2	55.2	44.4	56.0	50.3	42.7	55.5	45.5
There will be no impact on jobs	306	33	55	76	112	102	10	29	39	79	90	52	45	120	94	66	125	86
	21.8	19.4	23.3	14.7	31.7	33.3	21.6	24.2	21.4	23.8	21.0	22.0	20.6	21.1	23.7	19.2	21.7	22.0
D.N.S.	10	2	3	4	1	1	-	-	-	1	4	2	3	4	2	1	5	3
	.7	1.4	1.1	.7	.3	.4	-	-	-	.3	1.0	.8	1.3	.7	.5	.2	.9	.7

DATE 05/08/96
694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0356(continuation)

S.4 Q.8b And in the long run, do you think there will be more jobs created in Canada or more jobs lost in Canada as a result of the Free Trade deal?

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
More jobs will be created in Canada	371	224	147	141	83	76	70	170	49	18	128	111	96	14	225	36	33	61
	26.5	33.0	20.3	33.2	32.8	20.2	20.5	27.3	26.1	17.5	27.7	25.9	29.0	16.0	27.2	24.9	22.9	29.4
More jobs will be lost in Canada	714	313	401	194	119	213	188	309	101	62	226	219	162	51	414	70	86	100
	51.0	46.1	55.5	45.5	47.2	56.4	54.6	49.7	53.3	60.7	48.8	50.9	49.2	57.2	50.0	48.8	59.0	48.1
There will be no impact on jobs	306	139	167	88	50	85	83	138	37	22	107	95	69	22	184	37	25	46
	21.8	20.4	23.2	20.7	19.9	22.4	24.0	22.2	19.7	21.0	23.0	22.1	21.0	24.9	22.2	25.9	16.9	22.1
D.N.S.	10	3	7	2	*	4	3	5	2	1	2	5	3	2	5	*	2	1
	.7	.4	1.0	.6	.1	1.1	.9	.8	.9	.8	.5	1.1	.9	2.0	.6	.3	1.1	.4

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.4 Q.8b And in the long run, do you think there will be more jobs created in Canada or more jobs lost in Canada as a result of the Free Trade deal?

REPORT C0356(continuation)

	SINGLES		EDUCATION					AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
More jobs will be created in Canada	371	29	38	22	22	109	80	156	344	26	149	198	49	140	182	103	266	289	82
	26.5	25.7	34.1	19.6	20.4	22.0	23.0	35.5	28.1	15.0	25.9	26.8	27.0	25.8	26.9	23.0	28.4	26.4	26.8
More jobs will be lost in Canada	714	63	45	57	57	268	194	189	618	95	297	377	86	293	334	250	454	593	121
	51.0	55.0	40.5	51.5	53.0	54.0	56.2	43.1	50.5	54.4	51.5	50.8	47.0	54.2	49.5	56.0	48.5	54.2	39.5
There will be no impact on jobs	306	22	27	31	29	113	70	91	254	52	127	160	45	106	154	90	212	204	102
	21.8	19.3	24.8	27.8	26.6	22.8	20.3	20.8	20.7	29.8	22.0	21.6	24.7	19.6	22.9	20.1	22.6	18.6	33.3
D.N.S.	10	-	1	1	-	6	2	2	9	1	4	6	3	2	6	4	5	9	1
	.7	-	.6	1.0	-	1.1	.5	.5	.7	.7	.7	.8	1.4	.3	.8	.8	.5	.8	.4

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0356A

S.4 Q.8b And in the long run, do you think there will be more jobs created in Canada or more jobs lost in Canada as a result of the Free Trade deal?

BASE: Free trade with US positive effect

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS		
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra-iries	Ont-ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl-antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K-\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual	+
Unweighted row	407	47	57	132	135	126	9	36	43	76	144	67	77	162	105	115	152	130	
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	363	43	49	142	95	82	13	34	43	58	110	68	82	134	92	110	129	115	
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
More jobs will be created in Canada	208	27	31	75	55	48	7	20	21	35	67	36	49	76	56	70	65	74	
	57.5	62.7	64.0	52.6	57.9	58.1	56.4	60.8	47.5	60.7	60.4	53.5	59.9	56.9	60.7	63.6	50.7	63.9	
More jobs will be lost in Canada	81	9	11	39	16	14	2	7	15	7	20	17	22	37	15	18	32	19	
	22.5	20.3	22.0	27.9	16.6	17.0	13.6	19.7	34.4	12.2	18.1	25.3	26.8	27.3	16.1	15.9	24.6	16.4	
There will be no impact on jobs	71	7	7	26	24	20	4	7	8	16	24	13	11	21	21	23	30	23	
	19.6	17.1	14.0	18.3	25.5	24.8	30.0	19.4	18.1	27.0	21.5	18.6	13.2	15.8	23.2	20.5	23.3	19.7	
D.N.S.	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	2	-	
	.5	-	-	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.6	-	-	-	-	1.4	-	

S.4 Q.8b And in the long run, do you think there will be more jobs created in Canada or more jobs lost in Canada as a result of the Free Trade deal?

BASE: Free trade with US positive effect

	SEX			MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD			
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	407	242	165	150	92	89	76	184	56	26	133	125	103	19	239	41	33	74
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	363	216	147	128	87	77	70	158	49	18	130	101	83	15	227	39	31	71
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
More jobs will be created in Canada	208	146	63	89	57	31	31	96	26	11	71	58	54	4	137	19	21	41
	57.5	67.7	42.5	69.6	64.9	41.0	44.2	60.7	53.9	61.2	54.7	57.8	64.9	29.2	60.1	48.9	66.8	58.3
More jobs will be lost in Canada	81	41	41	23	18	20	21	35	8	4	32	23	16	6	45	8	3	15
	22.5	18.9	27.7	17.5	20.8	26.2	29.3	22.0	16.5	21.0	24.9	23.0	18.8	38.1	19.8	19.2	9.2	21.8
There will be no impact on jobs	71	29	42	17	12	23	19	27	13	3	27	18	14	3	46	12	7	14
	19.6	13.4	28.6	12.9	14.3	30.5	26.4	17.4	26.0	17.8	20.4	17.4	16.3	20.8	20.1	31.9	24.0	19.9
D.N.S.	2	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	2	-	2	-	-	-	-
	.5	-	1.2	-	-	2.3	-	-	3.7	-	-	1.8	-	12.0	-	-	-	-

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0356A(continuation)

S.4 Q.8b And in the long run, do you think there will be more jobs created in Canada or more jobs lost in Canada as a result of the Free Trade deal?

BASE: Free trade with US positive effect

	SINGLES			EDUCATION					AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE	
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ./ More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	407	29	36	24	40	136	97	130	329	78	149	236	51	152	204	126	276	281	126
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	363	27	30	23	39	110	78	130	331	32	135	211	45	143	175	111	244	280	82
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
More jobs will be created in Canada	208	12	21	12	15	56	42	93	197	12	78	121	29	82	97	64	142	161	48
	57.5	45.3	69.5	53.3	37.5	51.0	53.4	71.4	59.4	37.1	57.5	57.6	64.6	57.6	55.6	57.4	58.3	57.3	58.1
More jobs will be lost in Canada	81	12	5	7	13	30	17	19	70	11	32	45	13	31	38	24	52	67	14
	22.5	42.3	16.1	30.9	33.0	27.2	21.9	15.0	21.3	35.0	24.0	21.3	27.9	21.9	21.5	21.9	21.4	24.0	17.0
There will be no impact on jobs	71	3	4	4	12	22	19	18	62	9	23	45	3	29	38	21	50	51	20
	19.6	12.4	14.4	15.8	29.5	20.2	24.7	13.7	18.8	27.9	17.2	21.2	7.5	20.5	21.9	19.1	20.3	18.0	24.8
D.N.S.	2	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	-	2	-	-	-	2	2	-	2	-
	.5	-	-	-	-	1.6	-	-	.5	-	1.3	-	-	-	1.0	1.6	-	.6	-

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.4 Q.9a As you may know, Canada, the U.S., and Mexico have entered into a three-way free trade agreement. Generally, speaking, do you ...

REPORT C0357

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra-iries	Ont-ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl-antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K-\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Strongly support Canada entering into a 3-way free trade agreement	233 16.6	30 17.7	22 9.4	81 15.6	86 24.2	75 24.5	11 22.7	14 11.4	29 15.8	44 13.3	66 15.3	40 16.9	54 24.8	83 14.6	58 14.6	75 21.8	78 13.6	73 18.6
Somewhat support it	628 44.9	75 44.5	127 53.4	205 39.7	157 44.2	137 45.0	19 39.8	64 53.2	90 49.0	148 44.5	183 42.7	109 46.3	97 45.0	257 45.1	172 43.5	160 46.4	258 44.8	180 45.8
Somewhat oppose it	375 26.8	46 27.1	64 26.8	152 29.4	78 22.1	69 22.5	9 19.5	35 28.6	49 26.7	100 30.0	119 27.7	59 25.2	47 21.7	161 28.3	110 27.8	80 23.1	169 29.3	98 25.0
Strongly oppose it	153 10.9	17 10.1	21 8.9	76 14.7	31 8.8	22 7.3	9 18.0	7 5.9	15 7.9	39 11.7	56 13.1	27 11.3	16 7.5	65 11.4	51 12.8	29 8.5	66 11.5	39 10.0
D.N.S.	11 .8	1 .6	4 1.5	3 .5	2 .6	2 .7	- -	1 .9	1 .7	2 .5	5 1.2	1 .3	2 1.0	4 .7	5 1.2	1 .3	4 .7	2 .6

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.4 Q.9a As you may know, Canada, the U.S., and Mexico have entered into a three-way free trade agreement. Generally, speaking, do you ...

REPORT C0357(continuation)

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS					PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD			
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Strongly support Canada entering into a 3-way free trade agreement	233 16.6	154 22.6	79 11.0	90 21.1	64 25.2	42 11.2	37 10.8	106 17.1	27 14.5	9 8.3	85 18.4	67 15.6	55 16.6	9 10.5	149 18.0	20 13.6	22 15.3	43 20.6
Somewhat support it	628 44.9	289 42.7	339 47.0	175 41.1	115 45.3	178 47.1	161 46.8	278 44.8	75 39.6	47 45.8	217 46.8	189 44.1	141 42.7	43 48.2	378 45.7	68 47.6	58 39.8	92 44.1
Somewhat oppose it	375 26.8	157 23.2	218 30.2	111 26.1	46 18.2	116 30.7	102 29.5	174 28.0	56 29.9	29 28.0	114 24.6	131 30.4	102 30.9	27 30.3	205 24.8	38 26.3	50 34.3	48 22.9
Strongly oppose it	153 10.9	75 11.1	78 10.8	48 11.3	27 10.7	38 10.2	39 11.5	59 9.4	29 15.1	17 17.0	42 9.0	38 8.8	28 8.5	10 11.1	90 10.9	17 11.9	15 10.6	25 11.8
D.N.S.	11 .8	3 .5	8 1.1	2 .4	1 .6	3 .8	5 1.4	4 .6	2 .9	1 .8	5 1.1	4 1.0	4 1.3	-	5 .6	1 .7	-	1 .6

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.4 Q.9a As you may know, Canada, the U.S., and Mexico have entered into a three-way free trade agreement. Generally, speaking, do you ...

REPORT C0357(continuation)

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Strongly support Canada entering into a 3-way free trade agreement	233	13	31	13	15	63	52	98	217	16	98	129	35	89	109	61	169	158	75
	16.6	10.9	28.3	11.5	13.8	12.7	14.9	22.5	17.7	9.3	16.9	17.4	18.9	16.5	16.1	13.6	18.1	14.4	24.5
Somewhat support it	628	50	50	60	57	212	153	199	546	83	259	326	84	241	304	188	433	491	137
	44.9	43.3	45.4	54.2	53.1	42.9	44.2	45.3	44.6	47.3	44.9	44.0	45.7	44.5	45.0	42.0	46.3	44.9	45.0
Somewhat oppose it	375	37	19	28	22	147	102	103	323	52	151	202	43	144	188	126	245	306	69
	26.8	32.1	17.4	24.7	20.6	29.7	29.6	23.4	26.4	29.6	26.1	27.3	23.3	26.7	27.8	28.2	26.2	28.0	22.5
Strongly oppose it	153	16	9	9	13	67	37	36	132	21	65	77	18	66	69	69	83	130	22
	10.9	13.7	7.7	8.1	12.0	13.5	10.7	8.2	10.8	11.9	11.3	10.4	10.0	12.1	10.2	15.4	8.8	11.9	7.3
D.N.S.	11	-	1	2	*	6	2	3	7	3	4	7	4	1	6	4	6	8	2
	.8	-	1.2	1.5	.5	1.2	.6	.6	.6	1.9	.7	.9	2.1	.1	1.0	.8	.6	.8	.7

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0357B

S.4 Q.9a As you may know, Canada, the U.S., and Mexico have entered into a three-way free trade agreement. Generally, speaking, do you ...

BASE: Free trade with US negative effect

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra-iries	Ont-ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl-antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K-\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	430	53	77	185	85	71	14	30	39	107	162	71	51	183	138	89	191	111
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	375	45	69	173	62	49	13	28	47	95	116	69	48	155	119	86	167	103
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Strongly support Canada entering into a 3-way free trade agreement	11	-	2	6	3	3	-	-	1	1	5	2	1	4	4	2	7	*
	3.0	-	3.5	3.7	4.1	5.2	-	-	2.7	1.4	4.2	3.5	2.9	2.3	3.7	2.4	4.3	.3
Somewhat support it	102	14	26	38	20	18	2	4	14	26	20	24	18	45	27	27	44	23
	27.1	31.3	38.2	21.8	32.2	36.6	16.3	14.3	30.0	27.1	17.2	34.9	37.0	29.1	22.4	31.7	26.4	22.6
Somewhat oppose it	159	18	28	75	20	17	3	17	23	41	49	27	18	64	51	35	70	52
	42.3	39.6	40.7	43.6	33.1	35.5	24.5	63.6	49.5	42.9	42.5	39.1	38.5	41.4	43.1	41.0	41.9	50.7
Strongly oppose it	103	12	12	53	19	11	8	6	8	27	41	15	10	42	37	21	46	27
	27.4	28.0	17.7	30.9	30.6	22.8	59.2	22.1	17.8	28.6	35.7	22.5	21.6	26.9	30.8	24.9	27.5	25.9
D.N.S.	*	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	*	-	-	-	*
	.1	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.4	-	-	.3	-	-	-	.5

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPDR T C03578(continuation)

S.4 Q.9a As you may know, Canada, the U.S., and Mexico have entered into a three-way free trade agreement. Generally, speaking, do you ...

BASE: Free trade with US negative effect

	SINGLES		EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE			
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ./ More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Dwn	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	430	25	31	33	31	170	114	112	327	103	166	247	61	165	204	164	262	359	71
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	375	30	26	34	29	140	94	109	337	39	156	200	56	145	174	139	233	327	49
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Strongly support Canada entering into a 3-way free trade agreement	11 3.0	1 2.7	2 7.1	- -	- -	3 1.9	3 3.1	4 3.7	11 3.3	* .9	2 1.5	9 4.5	1 1.8	3 2.2	7 4.0	5 3.3	6 2.4	9 2.7	3 5.2
Somewhat support it	102 27.1	6 19.6	11 41.2	12 35.5	10 35.9	37 26.3	25 26.6	28 25.9	95 28.3	6 16.6	49 31.0	50 25.0	18 31.9	44 30.2	40 23.0	30 21.5	72 30.8	84 25.7	18 36.6
Somewhat oppose it	159 42.3	15 51.4	8 30.5	16 48.0	10 33.9	62 44.1	41 43.4	46 42.3	140 41.7	18 47.7	61 39.3	86 43.0	24 42.6	58 40.4	76 43.9	58 41.7	99 42.4	142 43.4	17 35.5
Strongly oppose it	103 27.4	8 26.2	6 21.3	6 16.5	9 30.2	39 27.7	25 26.9	30 27.7	90 26.7	13 33.7	44 28.2	55 27.2	13 23.7	39 27.2	50 28.8	47 33.5	56 24.2	92 28.1	11 22.8
D.N.S.	* .1	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	* .4	- -	* 1.2	- -	* .2	- -	- -	* .3	- -	* .2	* .1	- -

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0358

S.4 Q9b In the long run, do you think this three-way trade agreement (North American Free Trade Agreement, or NAFTA) will have ...

	REGION								AGE				HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS		
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A positive impact on Canada	510	65	81	177	144	128	17	43	77	113	144	78	98	189	137	154	181	158
	36.4	38.3	34.1	34.2	40.8	41.8	34.5	35.3	41.5	33.9	33.6	33.3	45.1	33.1	34.7	44.7	31.5	40.3
A negative impact on Canada	545	60	104	231	107	87	20	43	70	140	178	91	67	231	160	125	245	151
	38.9	35.8	43.8	44.6	30.1	28.3	41.6	35.6	37.6	42.0	41.6	38.4	30.8	40.5	40.3	36.2	42.5	38.5
No real effect	331	42	50	104	100	89	12	34	39	79	102	64	47	146	97	64	148	79
	23.6	24.9	20.9	20.1	28.4	29.1	23.8	28.2	20.9	23.6	23.8	27.1	21.8	25.6	24.5	18.5	25.7	20.1
D.N.S.	14	2	3	6	2	2	-	1	-	2	4	3	5	4	2	2	1	4
	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	.7	.8	-	.8	-	.5	1.0	1.2	2.3	.7	.5	.6	.2	1.0

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0358(continuation)

S.4 Q9b In the long run, do you think this three-way trade agreement (North American Free Trade Agreement, or NAFTA) will have ...

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS					PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD			
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A positive impact on Canada.	510	287	223	170	116	114	110	221	65	29	185	150	120	26	313	50	49	82
	36.4	42.3	31.0	40.1	46.0	30.1	31.9	35.5	34.4	27.8	40.0	35.1	36.2	29.1	37.9	35.1	33.8	39.3
A negative impact on Canada	545	244	301	166	79	159	142	242	85	49	157	174	132	39	309	58	63	69
	38.9	36.0	41.7	39.0	31.0	42.0	41.4	38.9	45.1	47.4	33.9	40.6	40.0	43.9	37.3	40.6	43.3	33.2
No real effect	331	146	184	89	58	102	83	154	39	23	114	102	76	24	196	33	33	55
	23.6	21.6	25.5	20.8	22.8	26.9	24.1	24.8	20.5	22.9	24.6	23.7	22.9	27.0	23.7	23.2	22.9	26.2
D.N.S.	14	1	13	*	*	4	9	4	-	2	7	3	3	-	9	1	-	3
	1.0	.1	1.8	.1	.1	1.0	2.6	.7	-	1.9	1.5	.7	.9	-	1.1	1.0	-	1.2

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0358(continuation)

S.4 Q9b In the long run, do you think this three-way trade agreement (North American Free Trade Agreement, or NAFTA) will have ...

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A positive impact on Canada	510	38	54	37	43	157	108	196	464	46	201	284	72	194	245	134	369	382	128
	36.4	32.7	48.8	33.0	40.2	31.7	31.2	44.8	37.9	26.2	34.9	38.3	39.2	35.8	36.2	29.9	39.4	35.0	41.8
A negative impact on Canada	545	53	34	45	37	198	160	148	471	74	226	281	68	207	270	204	339	459	87
	38.9	45.8	30.5	40.5	34.0	40.0	46.2	33.8	38.5	42.1	39.2	37.9	37.3	38.3	39.9	45.7	36.2	41.9	28.3
No real effect	331	25	23	24	23	135	76	93	276	54	146	168	37	138	156	104	220	242	89
	23.6	21.5	20.7	22.0	21.1	27.3	21.9	21.1	22.6	31.1	25.2	22.7	20.3	25.5	23.0	23.3	23.5	22.1	29.1
D.N.S.	14	-	-	5	5	5	2	1	13	1	4	8	6	2	6	4	8	11	2
	1.0	-	-	4.5	4.6	1.0	.7	.3	1.0	.6	.7	1.1	3.2	.3	.9	1.0	.9	1.0	.8

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0358A

S.4 Q9b In the long run, do you think this three-way trade agreement (North American Free Trade Agreement, or NAFTA)

will have ...

BASE: Support NAFTA

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS		
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra-iries	Ont-ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl-antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K - \$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual	+
Unweighted row	1000	119	170	282	337	309	28	92	108	240	330	164	158	396	279	265	410	291	
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	861	105	149	286	242	212	30	78	120	193	249	149	151	340	230	235	336	253	
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A positive impact on Canada	495	63	79	174	137	121	17	41	76	106	140	77	97	181	132	152	176	153	
	57.5	60.0	53.2	60.9	56.7	56.9	55.3	52.2	63.3	54.9	56.2	51.6	64.1	53.2	57.3	64.7	52.3	60.4	
A negative impact on Canada	123	9	33	40	34	29	5	6	22	24	34	25	18	53	28	32	47	40	
	14.2	8.9	22.3	14.0	13.8	13.5	16.2	8.1	18.1	12.3	13.5	17.0	12.1	15.7	12.1	13.6	13.9	15.7	
No real effect	240	33	36	71	70	62	9	30	22	62	75	46	35	105	70	50	114	58	
	27.8	31.2	24.0	24.6	29.0	29.1	28.5	38.4	18.6	32.1	30.0	30.7	23.1	30.9	30.2	21.2	33.8	23.1	
D.N.S.	4	-	1	1	1	1	-	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	2	
	.5	-	.5	.4	.5	.6	-	1.3	-	.6	.3	.8	.7	.3	.3	.5	-	.8	

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0358A(continuation)

S.4 Q9b In the long run, do you think this three-way trade agreement (North American Free Trade Agreement, or NAFTA) will have ...

BASE: Support Nafta

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1000	518	482	328	190	263	219	463	131	66	320	337	267	61	576	110	91	148
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	861	443	418	265	178	220	198	385	102	56	302	256	196	52	527	88	80	135
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A positive impact on Canada	495	283	212	166	116	108	104	212	65	28	180	143	114	24	307	48	47	82
	57.5	63.8	50.8	62.9	65.2	49.2	52.5	55.0	63.4	50.0	59.6	55.8	58.2	46.1	58.3	54.7	58.3	60.6
A negative impact on Canada	123	54	68	32	22	36	32	53	16	12	38	38	27	10	71	11	12	14
	14.2	12.2	16.4	12.2	12.2	16.5	16.2	13.8	15.1	22.1	12.4	15.0	13.6	20.0	13.5	12.9	15.1	10.7
No real effect	240	106	133	66	40	75	58	119	22	14	82	74	55	18	146	27	21	39
	27.8	24.0	31.9	24.9	22.6	34.0	29.5	30.9	21.5	25.8	27.2	29.0	27.8	34.0	27.8	31.2	26.6	28.7
D.N.S.	4	-	4	-	-	1	3	1	-	1	2	1	1	-	2	1	-	-
	.5	-	1.0	-	-	.4	1.7	.2	-	2.1	.7	.3	.4	-	.4	1.1	-	-

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0358A(continuation)

S.4 Q9b In the long run, do you think this three-way trade agreement (North American Free Trade Agreement, or NAFTA) will have ...

BASE: Support Nafta

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1000	67	89	74	80	343	250	315	766	234	384	561	128	360	512	315	677	691	309
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	861	62	81	73	72	275	205	297	762	99	357	455	118	330	413	248	603	649	212
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A positive impact on Canada	495	37	53	36	43	150	103	193	452	43	193	278	71	187	237	131	356	374	121
	57.5	59.9	65.4	49.4	59.7	54.5	50.1	65.1	59.3	43.2	54.0	61.1	60.2	56.7	57.3	52.8	59.2	57.7	56.9
A negative impact on Canada	123	11	11	13	13	38	41	29	107	16	52	59	16	46	61	39	83	94	29
	14.2	17.8	13.2	18.2	17.5	13.7	20.2	9.7	14.0	16.3	14.5	12.9	13.5	13.8	14.8	15.8	13.8	14.5	13.5
No real effect	240	14	17	23	14	87	60	75	199	40	111	116	30	97	112	76	161	178	62
	27.8	22.2	21.4	30.7	19.7	31.5	29.1	25.2	26.2	40.5	31.1	25.6	25.3	29.5	27.2	30.6	26.6	27.4	29.1
D.N.S.	4	-	-	1	2	1	1	-	4	-	1	2	1	-	3	2	2	3	1
	.5	-	-	1.6	3.1	.3	.6	-	.5	-	.3	.4	1.0	-	.7	.8	.4	.5	.6

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0358B

S.4 Q9b In the long run, do you think this three-way trade agreement (North American Free Trade Agreement, or NAFTA) will have ...

BASE: Oppose Nafta

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual
Unweighted row	636	78	96	248	166	148	18	48	62	165	251	92	66	277	200	123	289	160
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	528	63	85	229	109	91	18	42	64	139	175	86	63	226	161	109	235	137
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A positive impact on Canada	15	2	2	3	6	6	-	2	1	7	5	2	*	8	5	2	6	6
	2.8	2.6	2.1	1.2	5.9	7.1	-	4.7	1.7	5.2	2.6	1.8	.2	3.5	2.9	1.8	2.4	4.1
A negative impact on Canada	421	51	70	191	73	58	15	36	48	116	144	65	48	178	130	93	196	111
	79.7	81.2	82.8	83.3	66.9	63.5	83.9	85.4	74.9	83.1	82.0	75.9	76.3	78.7	80.6	85.3	83.5	81.2
No real effect	88	9	13	32	30	27	3	4	15	16	27	18	12	39	26	14	33	20
	16.7	14.0	15.1	14.2	27.2	29.4	16.1	9.9	23.5	11.7	15.4	20.7	18.8	17.1	16.4	12.9	14.1	14.7
D.N.S.	4	1	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	3	2	-	-	-	-
	.8	2.2	-	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.6	4.7	.7	-	-	-	-

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0358B(continuation)

S.4 Q9b In the long run, do you think this three-way trade agreement (North American Free Trade Agreement, or NAFTA) will have ...

BASE: Oppose Nafta

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	636	285	351	196	89	180	171	282	97	60	187	233	189	42	325	63	68	86
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	528	232	296	159	73	155	141	233	85	46	156	168	130	37	295	55	65	72
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A positive impact on Canada	15 2.8	4 1.8	10 3.5	4 2.6	* .2	5 3.4	5 3.6	9 3.9	* .3	1 1.6	5 2.9	8 4.5	6 4.4	2 5.1	6 1.9	2 4.2	2 3.8	* .4
A negative impact on Canada	421 79.7	189 81.4	232 78.4	132 83.1	57 77.7	122 79.1	110 77.7	188 80.6	69 80.7	36 78.5	119 76.2	134 79.6	104 79.9	29 77.9	237 80.4	47 85.8	51 77.9	55 76.0
No real effect	88 16.7	39 16.8	49 16.6	23 14.3	16 22.2	26 16.6	23 16.5	35 14.9	16 18.9	9 19.9	30 19.0	27 15.9	20 15.7	6 17.0	48 16.2	5 9.9	12 18.3	15 21.3
D.N.S.	4 .8	-	4 1.5	-	-	1 .9	3 2.1	1 .6	-	-	3 1.9	-	-	-	4 1.5	-	-	2 2.2

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report


REPORT C03588(continuation)

S.4 Q9b In the long run, do you think this three-way trade agreement (North American Free Trade Agreement, or NAFTA) will have ...

BASE: Oppose Nafta

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	636	50	33	41	38	274	171	151	463	173	236	366	68	238	330	241	389	488	148
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	528	53	28	37	35	214	139	139	455	73	216	280	61	210	257	195	328	436	91
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A positive impact on Canada	15	*	1	-	*	7	5	2	12	3	8	6	-	7	8	3	12	8	6
	2.8	.5	2.2	-	.8	3.1	3.8	1.7	2.5	4.2	3.6	2.3	-	3.1	3.1	1.4	3.6	1.9	7.1
A negative impact on Canada	421	41	23	32	24	159	119	119	364	57	174	221	52	162	207	164	255	363	58
	79.7	78.8	82.6	87.1	68.5	74.2	85.2	86.1	80.0	78.4	80.4	78.9	85.8	77.0	80.6	84.2	77.8	83.1	63.5
No real effect	88	11	4	2	8	47	15	17	75	13	33	50	6	40	42	27	58	61	27
	16.7	20.7	15.2	5.4	22.8	22.0	11.1	12.2	16.5	17.4	15.3	17.7	9.7	19.2	16.3	13.7	17.7	14.0	29.4
D.N.S.	4	-	-	3	3	2	-	-	4	-	1	3	3	2	-	1	3	4	-
	.8	-	-	7.5	7.9	.7	-	-	1.0	-	.6	1.1	4.5	.8	-	.7	.9	1.0	-

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCDME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra-iries	Ont-ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl-antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K-\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A positive impact on your province	452	61	69	179	114	105	9	29	61	101	123	74	92	164	118	145	163	135
	32.3	36.3	29.1	34.5	32.2	34.2	19.6	23.6	33.1	30.4	28.6	31.5	42.6	28.7	29.7	42.1	28.3	34.4
A negative impact on your province	487	54	65	222	113	92	22	33	61	124	166	84	53	195	150	113	218	143
	34.8	31.8	27.4	43.0	32.0	29.9	45.0	27.0	33.1	37.2	38.7	35.5	24.2	34.2	37.7	32.6	37.8	36.3
No real effect	447	53	101	111	123	106	17	59	63	106	136	76	67	207	127	85	193	110
	31.9	31.6	42.4	21.4	34.8	34.8	35.4	48.5	33.8	31.8	31.7	32.1	30.8	36.3	31.9	24.5	33.6	28.1
D.N.S.	14	1	3	6	3	3	-	1	-	2	5	2	5	4	3	3	2	4
	1.0	.4	1.1	1.1	.9	1.1	-	.8	-	.5	1.1	.8	2.3	.8	.7	.8	.3	1.1



	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A positive impact on your province	452	264	188	155	108	93	96	196	54	27	167	123	96	23	293	43	43	83
	32.3	38.9	26.1	36.5	42.9	24.5	27.8	31.6	28.3	26.5	36.1	28.6	28.9	25.9	35.4	30.1	29.4	39.7
A negative impact on your province	487	213	274	146	68	150	124	218	79	40	138	161	119	39	266	54	56	57
	34.8	31.5	37.9	34.2	26.8	39.7	36.0	35.1	41.9	39.5	29.7	37.5	36.0	44.3	32.1	37.4	38.3	27.5
No real effect	447	200	247	124	76	131	116	202	56	33	152	142	113	27	260	45	47	66
	31.9	29.5	34.2	29.1	30.2	34.6	33.8	32.6	29.7	32.1	32.7	33.1	34.1	29.8	31.4	31.2	32.3	31.6
D.N.S.	14	1	13	*	*	4	9	5	*	2	7	3	3	-	9	2	-	3
	1.0	.1	1.8	.1	.1	1.2	2.5	.7	.1	1.9	1.4	.8	1.0	-	1.0	1.3	-	1.2

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A positive impact on your province	452	32	56	28	36	139	99	173	410	42	176	256	69	182	201	130	316	347	105
	32.3	27.8	50.3	25.0	33.6	28.2	28.5	39.4	33.4	24.2	30.5	34.5	37.7	33.7	29.7	29.1	33.8	31.7	34.2
A negative impact on your province	487	46	27	40	31	178	131	145	427	60	205	251	60	182	246	181	304	396	92
	34.8	40.0	24.4	35.6	28.5	36.0	37.9	33.0	34.9	34.5	35.6	33.9	32.6	33.6	36.4	40.4	32.5	36.2	29.9
No real effect	447	37	28	40	37	171	114	120	377	70	191	226	49	175	222	133	307	341	106
	31.9	32.2	25.3	35.8	34.1	34.6	32.8	27.4	30.8	40.0	33.2	30.5	27.0	32.4	32.9	29.7	32.8	31.1	34.8
D.N.S.	14	-	-	4	4	6	3	1	11	2	4	8	5	2	7	3	9	10	3
	1.0	-	-	3.7	3.7	1.2	.8	.3	.9	1.2	.8	1.1	2.7	.3	1.0	.8	1.0	.9	1.1

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.4 Q.9c And do you think NAFTA will have ...

BASE: Support NAFTA

REPORT C0359A

	REGION								AGE				HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS		
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual
Unweighted row	1000	119	170	282	337	309	28	92	108	240	330	164	158	396	279	265	410	291
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	861	105	149	286	242	212	30	78	120	193	249	149	151	340	230	235	336	253
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A positive impact on your province	428	58	66	168	109	99	9	27	58	90	117	73	89	151	113	140	154	130
	49.7	55.3	44.1	58.7	44.9	46.8	31.4	34.6	48.8	47.0	47.0	49.2	58.7	44.4	48.9	59.5	45.7	51.3
A negative impact on your province	119	13	15	46	41	35	6	5	21	23	36	24	15	42	29	37	48	39
	13.8	12.2	9.9	16.1	16.8	16.5	19.2	5.9	17.9	12.2	14.4	16.0	9.7	12.5	12.8	15.7	14.3	15.4
No real effect	310	34	68	71	91	76	15	46	40	78	95	50	47	145	87	57	135	82
	35.9	32.5	45.4	24.8	37.5	35.9	49.4	58.3	33.3	40.3	38.2	33.8	30.9	42.7	38.0	24.2	40.1	32.4
D.N.S.	5	-	1	1	2	2	-	1	-	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	-	2
	.6	-	.5	.4	.7	.9	-	1.3	-	.6	.4	1.1	.7	.4	.3	.6	-	.9

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.4 Q.9c And do you think NAFTA will have ...

BASE: Support NAFTA

REPORT C0359A(continuation)

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1000	518	482	328	190	263	219	463	131	66	320	337	267	61	576	110	91	148
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	861	443	418	265	178	220	198	385	102	56	302	256	196	52	527	88	80	135
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A positive impact on your province	428	253	175	147	106	87	88	184	52	26	158	111	88	19	283	43	40	80
	49.7	57.1	41.8	55.4	59.5	39.6	44.3	47.9	50.3	46.5	52.5	43.3	45.0	35.9	53.6	48.7	50.3	59.2
A negative impact on your province	119	55	65	35	19	39	25	54	21	8	34	42	29	12	63	11	12	14
	13.8	12.3	15.4	13.3	10.8	17.8	12.9	13.9	20.4	13.5	11.3	16.6	14.8	23.0	11.9	12.5	15.2	10.4
No real effect	310	136	174	83	53	93	81	146	30	21	107	102	78	21	179	33	28	41
	35.9	30.6	41.6	31.2	29.7	42.2	40.9	37.9	29.3	37.9	35.4	39.9	39.8	41.0	33.9	37.4	34.4	30.3
D.N.S.	5	-	5	-	-	1	4	1	-	1	3	1	1	-	3	1	-	-
	.6	-	1.1	-	-	.4	1.9	.3	-	2.1	.9	.3	.4	-	.5	1.4	-	-

DATE 05/08/96
 694016 Goldfarb Report
 S.4 Q.9c And do you think NAFTA will have ...
 BASE: Support NAFTA

REPORT C0359A(continuation)

	SINGLES			EDUCATION					AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE	
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1000	67	89	74	80	343	250	315	766	234	384	561	128	360	512	315	677	691	309
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	861	62	81	73	72	275	205	297	762	99	357	455	118	330	413	248	603	649	212
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A positive impact on your province	428	32	52	28	34	125	95	169	389	39	161	246	68	171	189	123	299	329	99
	49.7	51.0	64.4	38.0	47.7	45.3	46.3	56.9	51.0	39.1	45.2	54.2	57.3	51.7	45.9	49.5	49.7	50.6	46.8
A negative impact on your province	119	9	8	9	11	40	30	35	109	11	56	55	10	45	64	40	79	84	35
	13.8	15.0	9.5	12.4	15.9	14.7	14.6	11.8	14.2	10.7	15.7	12.2	8.6	13.6	15.5	16.0	13.2	13.0	16.5
No real effect	310	21	21	35	24	109	79	93	260	49	138	151	39	114	156	84	221	233	76
	35.9	34.0	26.1	47.4	32.9	39.7	38.5	31.3	34.2	49.7	38.7	33.2	32.8	34.7	37.9	33.7	36.7	36.0	35.9
D.N.S.	5	-	-	2	3	1	1	-	4	*	2	2	2	-	3	2	3	3	2
	.6	-	-	2.2	3.6	.3	.7	-	.6	.4	.4	.4	1.4	-	.8	.8	.5	.5	.9

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.4 Q.9c And do you think NAFTA will have ...

BASE: Oppose NAFTA

REPORT C0359B

	REGION								AGE				HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS		
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra-iries	Ont-ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl-antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K - \$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual
Unweighted row	636	78	96	248	166	148	18	48	62	165	251	92	66	277	200	123	289	160
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	528	63	85	229	109	91	18	42	64	139	175	86	63	226	161	109	235	137
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A positive impact on your province	23	3	3	9	5	5	-	2	2	11	6	1	4	11	5	5	9	5
	4.3	5.0	3.9	4.1	4.9	5.9	-	3.8	2.4	7.9	3.3	1.1	5.6	5.0	3.0	5.0	3.8	4.0
A negative impact on your province	367	41	50	176	72	56	16	28	40	100	130	60	37	153	119	76	169	104
	69.5	64.9	58.5	77.1	65.7	61.3	87.8	67.2	62.1	71.9	74.2	69.6	58.8	67.6	73.8	69.4	72.0	75.5
No real effect	134	19	32	40	32	30	2	12	23	28	39	25	20	60	37	28	56	28
	25.5	29.7	37.5	17.4	29.1	32.5	12.2	29.0	35.5	20.1	22.4	29.0	30.9	26.7	22.9	25.3	24.0	20.3
D.N.S.	4	*	-	3	*	*	-	-	-	*	*	*	3	2	*	*	*	*
	.7	.4	-	1.4	.2	.3	-	-	-	.2	.1	.3	4.7	.7	.3	.2	.2	.2

DATE 05/08/96
 694016 Goldfarb Report
 S.4 Q.9c And do you think NAFTA will have ...
 BASE: Oppose Nafta

REPORT C0359B(continuation)

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	636	285	351	196	89	180	171	282	97	60	187	233	189	42	325	63	68	86
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	528	232	296	159	73	155	141	233	85	46	156	168	130	37	295	55	65	72
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A positive impact on your province	23	10	13	9	1	5	8	12	2	1	8	12	8	4	9	*	2	3
	4.3	4.2	4.4	5.5	1.4	3.3	5.6	5.1	2.4	3.0	4.8	7.0	5.8	11.6	3.0	.7	3.7	3.8
A negative impact on your province	367	159	208	110	49	111	97	165	58	33	102	118	89	27	203	43	44	43
	69.5	68.5	70.4	69.3	66.7	71.8	68.8	70.7	68.6	71.3	65.7	70.1	68.5	74.4	68.7	78.1	66.7	59.8
No real effect	134	63	71	40	23	37	34	55	24	12	44	38	33	5	80	11	19	25
	25.5	27.4	24.0	25.3	31.9	23.8	24.2	23.6	28.7	25.7	28.2	22.6	25.3	14.0	27.2	20.8	29.7	34.1
D.N.S.	4	-	4	-	-	2	2	1	*	-	2	*	*	-	3	*	-	2
	.7	-	1.2	-	-	1.0	1.5	.6	.3	-	1.3	.3	.4	-	1.1	.4	-	2.2

DATE 05/08/96
 694016 Goldfarb Report
 S.4 Q.9c And do you think NAFTA will have ...
 BASE: Oppose NAFTA

REPORT C0359B(continuation)

	SINGLES		EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE			
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	636	50	33	41	38	274	171	151	463	173	236	366	68	238	330	241	389	488	148
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	528	53	28	37	35	214	139	139	455	73	216	280	61	210	257	195	328	436	91
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A positive impact on your province	23	*	2	-	2	13	4	4	19	4	13	9	-	11	11	7	16	17	5
	4.3	.5	6.8	-	5.4	6.3	2.8	2.6	4.2	5.0	6.2	3.3	-	5.4	4.5	3.6	4.8	4.0	5.9
A negative impact on your province	367	37	19	30	19	137	101	109	318	49	148	196	49	137	181	141	223	311	56
	69.5	69.6	69.1	82.2	54.9	64.2	72.7	78.7	69.8	67.6	68.5	70.1	80.3	65.1	70.6	72.3	68.1	71.2	61.3
No real effect	134	16	7	5	13	61	34	26	115	19	53	72	11	60	63	47	86	105	30
	25.5	29.9	24.0	14.1	35.8	28.5	24.5	18.8	25.3	26.4	24.6	25.8	17.5	28.7	24.7	23.9	26.1	24.0	32.5
D.N.S.	4	-	-	1	1	2	-	-	3	1	1	2	1	2	1	*	3	3	*
	.7	-	-	3.7	3.9	1.1	-	-	.7	1.0	.6	.8	2.2	.8	.3	.2	1.0	.8	.3

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0360

S.4 Q.9d How concerned, if at all, are you that this North American trade agreement would lead to job losses in Canada?

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Very concerned	365	38	54	193	49	35	15	30	49	90	113	67	46	168	91	84	154	97
	26.1	22.8	22.9	37.3	13.9	11.3	30.3	24.6	26.8	27.1	26.3	28.4	21.2	29.5	22.8	24.3	26.8	24.7
Somewhat concerned	471	56	76	169	132	115	18	38	60	112	155	75	69	183	141	112	197	136
	33.7	33.4	31.8	32.6	37.3	37.5	36.6	31.5	32.3	33.7	36.2	31.7	32.0	32.1	35.7	32.5	34.3	34.8
A little concerned	387	46	65	96	143	131	12	38	42	103	119	62	61	145	128	91	165	108
	27.7	27.4	27.3	18.5	40.3	42.8	24.4	31.3	22.8	30.9	27.7	26.3	28.4	25.4	32.3	26.4	28.7	27.6
Not concerned at all	168	27	42	57	28	24	4	14	34	26	40	32	37	72	34	58	57	48
	12.0	16.1	17.6	11.0	8.0	7.8	8.8	11.7	18.2	7.9	9.4	13.4	16.9	12.6	8.5	16.8	10.0	12.3
D.N.S.	7	*	1	3	2	2	-	1	-	1	2	1	3	2	2	*	2	2
	.5	.3	.5	.6	.5	.6	-	.8	-	.4	.4	.3	1.6	.4	.6	*	.3	.5

S.4 Q.9d How concerned, if at all, are you that this North American trade agreement would lead to job losses in Canada?

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Very concerned	365	158	207	104	55	107	100	144	67	38	111	113	75	36	208	42	35	44
	26.1	23.3	28.7	24.4	21.6	28.2	29.2	23.2	35.7	36.9	23.9	26.3	22.6	39.9	25.1	29.4	24.0	21.0
Somewhat concerned	471	229	243	151	78	127	116	224	56	33	147	145	123	21	279	51	56	68
	33.7	33.8	33.6	35.5	30.8	33.6	33.6	36.1	29.4	31.9	31.7	33.9	37.3	23.3	33.7	35.7	38.2	32.4
A little concerned	387	195	192	122	74	104	88	185	43	24	133	136	103	28	221	35	40	62
	27.7	28.8	26.6	28.6	29.1	27.5	25.7	29.7	22.7	23.7	28.8	31.8	31.0	31.9	26.7	24.3	27.5	29.5
Not concerned at all	168	95	73	48	46	37	36	65	23	7	70	32	28	4	115	13	15	35
	12.0	14.0	10.1	11.4	18.4	9.8	10.5	10.4	12.3	6.8	15.0	7.5	8.4	4.8	13.9	9.2	10.3	17.0
D.N.S.	7	1	7	*	*	3	3	4	-	1	3	2	2	-	5	2	-	*
	.5	.1	.9	.1	.1	.9	1.0	.6	-	.8	.6	.5	.7	-	.6	1.4	-	.1

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPDRT C0360(continuation)

S.4 Q.9d How concerned, if at all, are you that this North American trade agreement would lead to job losses in Canada?

	SINGLES			EDUCATION					AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE	
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Very concerned	365	31	28	28	28	163	94	77	320	46	164	181	49	130	187	139	224	331	35
	26.1	26.9	25.2	25.4	25.8	32.9	27.2	17.6	26.1	26.1	28.4	24.4	26.7	24.0	27.6	31.1	24.0	30.2	11.3
Somewhat concerned	471	44	29	39	38	159	111	160	412	59	190	257	54	191	227	156	308	357	115
	33.7	38.1	26.0	35.1	35.2	32.1	32.1	36.5	33.6	34.0	33.0	34.7	29.2	35.3	33.6	34.8	32.9	32.6	37.5
A little concerned	387	25	40	22	24	123	105	131	338	50	156	209	47	154	186	115	268	257	131
	27.7	21.5	35.9	19.5	22.2	24.8	30.2	29.9	27.6	28.4	27.0	28.2	25.7	28.5	27.6	25.7	28.6	23.5	42.8
Not concerned at all	168	16	14	20	15	49	35	69	149	19	65	89	31	66	71	36	131	144	24
	12.0	13.6	12.9	17.8	14.1	9.8	10.1	15.7	12.2	10.7	11.3	12.0	17.1	12.2	10.5	8.0	14.0	13.2	7.8
D.N.S.	7	-	-	2	3	2	1	1	6	2	2	5	2	*	5	2	5	6	2
	.5	-	-	2.2	2.7	.4	.4	.3	.5	.9	.4	.7	1.3	*	.7	.3	.5	.5	.6

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0360A

S.4 Q.9d How concerned, if at all, are you that this North American trade agreement would lead to job losses in Canada?

BASE: Support Nafta

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS		
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual	+
Unweighted row	1000	119	170	282	337	309	28	92	108	240	330	164	158	396	279	265	410	291	
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	861	105	149	286	242	212	30	78	120	193	249	149	151	340	230	235	336	253	
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Very concerned	141	19	25	67	17	11	6	13	24	29	28	31	28	64	22	41	50	42	
	16.3	17.8	16.5	23.5	7.0	5.3	18.8	16.7	20.5	15.1	11.5	20.7	18.4	18.7	9.8	17.3	14.7	16.6	
Somewhat concerned	260	34	43	89	74	64	10	20	38	56	88	39	39	101	77	63	103	80	
	30.2	32.1	28.6	31.0	30.6	30.1	34.1	26.0	31.4	29.2	35.3	26.2	25.8	29.6	33.5	26.6	30.7	31.6	
A little concerned	317	28	52	78	128	118	10	30	36	84	97	50	50	119	98	80	131	93	
	36.8	27.1	34.8	27.4	52.8	55.6	33.1	38.3	29.9	43.7	39.0	33.4	33.0	35.1	42.4	33.8	39.0	36.7	
Not concerned at all	143	24	30	52	23	19	4	14	22	23	35	29	34	55	33	52	52	38	
	16.6	23.0	20.1	18.1	9.6	9.0	14.0	17.7	18.2	12.0	14.2	19.6	22.2	16.3	14.3	22.3	15.6	15.1	
D.N.S.	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	
	.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.3	-	-	-	-	.7	.3	-	-	-	-	

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0360A(continuation)

S.4 Q.9d How concerned, if at all, are you that this North American trade agreement would lead to job losses in Canada?

BASE: Support Nafta

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1000	518	482	328	190	263	219	463	131	66	320	337	267	61	576	110	91	148
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	861	443	418	265	178	220	198	385	102	56	302	256	196	52	527	88	80	135
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Very concerned	141	58	83	32	25	42	41	47	27	13	51	41	26	14	85	23	9	14
	16.3	13.0	19.9	12.2	14.2	19.0	20.8	12.3	26.2	23.1	17.0	16.1	13.3	26.7	16.2	26.2	11.8	10.2
Somewhat concerned	260	138	122	87	51	66	56	123	31	18	83	77	66	10	161	26	27	39
	30.2	31.1	29.1	32.8	28.7	30.0	28.2	32.0	30.2	31.5	27.4	30.0	33.7	18.2	30.6	30.1	33.8	28.7
A little concerned	317	165	151	102	63	83	68	156	31	20	107	109	79	25	180	27	33	49
	36.8	37.3	36.1	38.6	35.4	37.8	34.2	40.5	29.9	36.2	35.5	42.6	40.4	46.8	34.2	31.0	41.4	36.1
Not concerned at all	143	82	61	43	39	29	32	58	14	5	60	29	25	4	100	10	11	34
	16.6	18.5	14.6	16.4	21.7	13.1	16.3	15.1	13.7	9.1	19.8	11.3	12.5	8.2	18.9	11.6	13.1	25.0
D.N.S.	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	1	-	-
	.1	-	.2	-	-	-	.5	-	-	-	.3	-	-	-	.2	1.1	-	-

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0360A(continuation)

S.4 Q.9d How concerned, if at all, are you that this North American trade agreement would lead to job losses in Canada?

BASE: Support Nafta

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1000	67	89	74	80	343	250	315	766	234	384	561	128	360	512	315	677	691	309
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	861	62	81	73	72	275	205	297	762	99	357	455	118	330	413	248	603	649	212
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Very concerned	141	11	14	12	14	61	33	30	125	15	65	68	19	42	79	43	96	129	11
	16.3	18.0	17.2	16.1	20.1	22.2	15.9	9.9	16.4	15.5	18.2	15.0	16.2	12.9	19.2	17.4	15.9	19.9	5.3
Somewhat concerned	260	23	21	24	25	83	59	89	232	28	107	138	31	107	121	80	176	196	64
	30.2	36.9	25.5	32.6	35.3	30.0	29.0	30.0	30.4	28.6	29.9	30.3	26.4	32.5	29.4	32.0	29.2	30.2	30.1
A little concerned	317	20	35	19	22	92	84	114	276	40	130	168	41	121	155	93	219	199	118
	36.8	31.9	42.8	26.3	30.2	33.5	41.1	38.3	36.3	40.6	36.3	37.0	34.5	36.6	37.6	37.5	36.4	30.6	55.6
Not concerned at all	143	8	12	18	9	39	29	65	128	15	55	80	27	60	56	32	111	124	19
	16.6	13.2	14.5	25.0	12.9	14.3	14.0	21.8	16.8	15.3	15.5	17.5	22.9	18.1	13.6	13.0	18.4	19.1	9.0
D.N.S.	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	1	-
	.1	-	-	-	1.4	-	-	-	.1	-	-	.2	-	-	.2	-	.2	.2	-

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0360B

S.4 Q.9d How concerned, if at all, are you that this North American trade agreement would lead to job losses in Canada?

BASE: Oppose Nafta

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	636	78	96	248	166	148	18	48	62	165	251	92	66	277	200	123	289	160
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	528	63	85	229	109	91	18	42	64	139	175	86	63	226	161	109	235	137
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Very concerned	223	20	29	125	32	23	9	17	25	61	83	36	18	104	68	42	105	54
	42.3	31.5	34.1	54.7	29.6	25.6	49.4	40.0	39.1	44.0	47.1	42.0	28.5	45.8	42.2	38.9	44.5	39.5
Somewhat concerned	210	23	32	80	57	50	7	18	22	55	68	36	29	82	63	50	93	57
	39.8	36.1	37.6	35.1	52.6	54.9	40.7	42.5	34.7	39.9	38.6	41.3	46.1	36.4	39.0	45.5	39.8	41.3
A little concerned	69	18	12	17	15	13	2	7	6	18	21	12	12	25	29	11	32	16
	13.1	28.4	14.5	7.5	13.5	14.2	9.9	16.6	9.8	13.2	11.8	14.0	18.4	11.3	17.7	10.5	13.6	11.4
Not concerned at all	23	3	12	4	5	5	-	*	10	3	4	2	3	15	1	5	5	10
	4.4	4.0	13.9	1.7	4.4	5.3	-	.8	16.4	2.2	2.5	2.7	4.8	6.5	.5	5.0	2.1	7.1
D.N.S.	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	1
	.4	-	-	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	.7	-	-	2.1	-	.6	-	-	.7

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0360B(continuation)

S.4 Q.9d How concerned, if at all, are you that this North American trade agreement would lead to job losses in Canada?

BASE: Oppose Nafta

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	636	285	351	196	89	180	171	282	97	60	187	233	189	42	325	63	68	86
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	528	232	296	159	73	155	141	233	85	46	156	168	130	37	295	55	65	72
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Very concerned	223	101	122	71	29	64	59	96	41	25	59	71	48	22	122	19	26	29
	42.3	43.3	41.4	44.8	40.0	41.3	41.5	41.1	47.7	54.2	37.6	42.0	36.8	58.6	41.3	35.2	39.1	40.4
Somewhat concerned	210	91	119	64	27	61	58	101	25	15	63	68	57	11	117	25	29	29
	39.8	39.2	40.3	40.3	36.7	39.4	41.3	43.5	29.1	32.8	40.2	40.3	43.4	30.6	39.5	45.2	43.6	39.4
A little concerned	69	29	40	18	10	20	21	28	11	4	26	26	22	4	41	8	7	13
	13.1	12.4	13.6	11.6	14.4	12.7	14.6	11.8	12.4	9.0	16.8	15.2	16.6	10.8	13.9	14.0	10.4	17.8
Not concerned at all	23	12	11	5	7	8	4	6	9	2	8	3	3	-	14	3	5	2
	4.4	5.1	3.9	3.3	9.0	5.0	2.6	2.6	10.8	4.0	5.4	2.0	2.5	-	4.8	5.7	6.9	2.4
D.N.S.	2	-	2	-	-	2	-	2	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	-	-	-
	.4	-	.8	-	-	1.5	-	1.0	-	-	-	.6	.7	-	.5	-	-	-

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0360B(continuation)

S.4 Q.9d How concerned, if at all, are you that this North American trade agreement would lead to job losses in Canada?

BASE: Oppose Nafta

	SINGLES			EDUCATION					AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE	
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	636	50	33	41	38	274	171	151	463	173	236	366	68	238	330	241	389	488	148
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	528	53	28	37	35	214	139	139	455	73	216	280	61	210	257	195	328	436	91
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Very concerned	223	20	14	17	13	101	61	48	193	30	98	112	29	87	107	96	127	200	23
	42.3	37.3	49.8	45.1	37.9	47.2	43.6	34.5	42.4	41.6	45.2	40.0	47.3	41.5	41.7	49.2	38.8	45.7	25.6
Somewhat concerned	210	21	8	15	12	76	52	70	180	31	82	119	22	83	105	76	131	160	50
	39.8	39.5	28.7	40.3	35.5	35.4	37.0	50.5	39.5	42.0	38.0	42.5	35.6	39.6	41.0	38.8	40.0	36.7	54.9
A little concerned	69	5	5	2	2	29	20	17	60	9	26	39	6	33	30	20	49	56	13
	13.1	9.2	17.4	6.8	6.1	13.7	14.2	12.6	13.2	12.1	12.2	14.1	10.1	15.9	11.5	10.2	14.9	12.9	14.2
Not concerned at all	23	7	1	1	6	8	6	3	20	3	9	8	3	6	14	4	18	18	5
	4.4	14.0	4.1	4.1	16.6	3.7	4.5	2.4	4.4	4.3	4.0	3.0	4.9	3.0	5.5	1.8	5.6	4.2	5.3
D.N.S.	2	-	-	1	1	-	1	-	2	-	1	1	1	-	1	-	2	2	-
	.4	-	-	3.7	3.9	-	.7	-	.5	-	.6	.3	2.2	-	.4	-	.7	.5	-

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0361

S.4 Q.10a The World Trade Organization is the successor to GATT(General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade), an agreement among more than 100 countries, including Canada, reducing barriers for world trade. ...

The new agreement was signed late in 1994. What kind of an impact do you think the World Trade Organization will have in Canada?

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A positive impact on Canada	424	52	77	163	99	86	13	33	52	90	128	80	74	142	122	137	166	137
	30.3	30.6	32.6	31.5	27.9	28.1	27.0	27.2	28.3	26.9	29.9	33.7	34.3	24.9	30.8	39.7	28.8	34.8
A negative impact	151	18	21	59	44	39	4	8	19	34	51	30	16	66	34	43	71	41
	10.8	10.8	9.0	11.4	12.4	12.9	9.1	6.8	10.1	10.2	12.0	12.8	7.6	11.6	8.6	12.4	12.4	10.5
No real impact	215	26	38	77	52	42	10	22	21	46	73	37	37	91	61	51	100	53
	15.3	15.3	16.0	14.8	14.8	13.8	21.4	17.9	11.6	13.9	16.9	15.7	17.2	16.0	15.4	14.7	17.3	13.4
Don't know	604	73	100	216	158	137	21	58	92	162	175	89	86	270	177	114	237	159
	43.2	43.0	42.0	41.6	44.6	44.9	42.6	48.1	50.0	48.6	40.8	37.5	39.8	47.4	44.7	33.1	41.3	40.6
D.N.S.	6	*	1	4	1	1	-	-	-	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	3
	.5	.3	.5	.7	.3	.4	-	-	-	.4	.4	.3	1.1	.1	.6	.2	.2	.7

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPDRY C0361(continuation)

S.4 Q.10a The World Trade Organization is the successor to GATT(General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade), an agreement among more than 100 countries, including Canada, reducing barriers for world trade. ...

The new agreement was signed late in 1994. What kind of an impact do you think the World Trade Organization will have in Canada?

	SEX			MALE				FEMALE				WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD		
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest			
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237			
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209			
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			
A positive impact on Canada	424	242	183	141	101	105	78	191	57	21	149	120	100	18	269	47	39	74			
	30.3	35.6	25.3	33.1	39.8	27.7	22.7	30.8	30.4	20.1	32.2	27.9	30.2	20.1	32.6	33.0	27.0	35.5			
A negative impact	151	73	78	48	25	48	30	77	20	9	41	45	30	12	87	19	17	17			
	10.8	10.7	10.8	11.3	9.8	12.7	8.6	12.3	10.3	9.1	8.8	10.5	9.2	13.8	10.5	13.3	12.0	8.4			
No real impact	215	126	89	85	41	51	38	108	28	12	60	67	57	9	127	16	26	41			
	15.3	18.5	12.3	20.0	16.0	13.4	11.1	17.3	14.8	11.8	13.0	15.5	17.3	9.8	15.4	11.1	17.6	19.6			
Don't know	604	237	367	151	87	171	196	241	84	60	212	195	141	50	340	61	63	76			
	43.2	35.0	50.8	35.4	34.3	45.2	57.0	38.9	44.5	58.2	45.7	45.6	42.6	56.3	41.1	42.2	43.4	36.2			
D.N.S.	6	1	6	*	*	4	2	4	-	1	1	2	2	-	4	*	-	1			
	.5	.1	.8	.1	.1	1.0	.6	.7	-	.8	.2	.5	.7	-	.4	.3	-	.3			

DATE 05/08/96
694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0361(continuation)

S.4 Q.10a The World Trade Organization is the successor to GATT(General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade), an agreement among more than 100 countries, including Canada, reducing barriers for world trade. ...

The new agreement was signed late in 1994. What kind of an impact do you think the World Trade Organization will have in Canada?

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ./ More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A positive impact on Canada	424	33	47	28	36	114	98	172	379	45	151	246	54	166	204	127	295	338	86
	30.3	29.1	42.5	25.2	33.3	23.0	28.4	39.3	31.0	25.8	26.2	33.2	29.5	30.6	30.3	28.3	31.5	30.9	28.1
A negative impact	151	16	10	9	8	57	39	44	126	25	60	84	20	57	74	64	86	111	39
	10.8	14.1	8.8	8.5	7.8	11.5	11.2	10.0	10.3	14.0	10.4	11.4	11.0	10.5	10.9	14.3	9.2	10.2	12.9
No real impact	215	15	18	14	10	80	52	73	195	19	93	113	26	93	95	66	148	172	42
	15.3	12.8	16.3	12.5	9.0	16.1	15.2	16.6	16.0	10.9	16.2	15.2	14.4	17.2	14.0	14.7	15.8	15.8	13.8
Don't know	604	51	36	58	52	242	155	148	519	85	271	294	80	224	300	190	403	467	137
	43.2	44.0	32.5	51.6	48.6	48.9	44.8	33.8	42.4	48.7	46.9	39.6	43.8	41.5	44.3	42.4	43.0	42.7	44.9
D.N.S.	6	-	-	2	1	2	1	1	5	1	2	4	2	1	3	1	4	5	1
	.5	-	-	2.2	1.3	.5	.4	.3	.4	.6	.4	.6	1.3	.1	.5	.2	.4	.5	.4

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0362

S.4 Q.10b How concerned are you that some food products like, poultry and dairy products will become less available in Canada because of Canadian producers inability to compete with foreign producers?

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Very concerned that this might happen	422	36	47	158	143	124	18	39	55	108	146	59	54	191	109	88	190	101
	30.2	21.4	19.6	30.5	40.3	40.6	38.3	32.0	29.8	32.5	33.9	24.9	25.1	33.5	27.5	25.4	33.1	25.8
Somewhat concerned	528	76	89	196	117	100	18	49	74	131	150	93	81	226	150	126	218	140
	37.7	45.3	37.6	37.9	33.2	32.7	36.3	40.1	39.9	39.4	34.9	39.4	37.2	39.7	37.8	36.4	37.8	35.7
Not very concerned	311	40	76	107	64	55	9	23	38	71	86	55	60	105	99	91	117	102
	22.2	23.9	31.7	20.7	18.2	18.0	18.9	19.3	20.6	21.2	20.1	23.3	27.9	18.4	25.1	26.3	20.3	26.0
Not concerned at all	133	15	25	54	28	24	3	10	18	22	46	29	19	47	35	41	50	47
	9.5	9.2	10.7	10.4	7.8	8.0	6.6	8.7	9.7	6.5	10.7	12.2	8.8	8.2	8.8	11.7	8.6	11.9
D.N.S.	6	*	1	2	2	2	-	-	-	1	2	1	2	1	3	1	1	2
	.4	.3	.5	.4	.6	.7	-	-	-	.4	.4	.3	1.0	.1	.9	.2	.2	.6

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0362(continuation)

S.4 Q.10b How concerned are you that some food products like, poultry and dairy products will become less available in Canada because of Canadian producers inability to compete with foreign producers?

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Very concerned that this might happen	422	179	243	114	66	123	120	183	55	38	134	137	96	38	236	48	39	48
	30.2	26.4	33.7	26.8	25.9	32.6	34.9	29.5	28.9	36.9	29.0	31.9	29.1	42.5	28.5	33.3	26.8	22.8
Somewhat concerned	528	245	283	154	91	147	137	221	81	36	188	171	134	35	309	53	55	84
	37.7	36.1	39.3	36.2	36.0	38.8	39.8	35.6	43.0	34.7	40.6	39.9	40.5	39.5	37.3	36.8	38.1	40.2
Not very concerned	311	170	141	107	63	78	62	146	42	19	96	85	68	13	192	31	40	54
	22.2	25.0	19.5	25.1	24.9	20.7	18.2	23.5	22.3	18.6	20.8	19.7	20.7	15.1	23.2	21.4	27.4	25.9
Not concerned at all	133	82	51	50	32	28	23	68	11	9	43	34	30	3	88	12	11	22
	9.5	12.2	7.0	11.8	12.7	7.3	6.7	10.9	5.8	9.0	9.2	8.0	9.1	2.9	10.6	8.1	7.7	10.3
D.N.S.	6	2	4	*	1	2	2	3	-	1	2	2	2	-	3	*	-	2
	.4	.3	.6	.1	.5	.6	.6	.4	-	.8	.5	.5	.6	-	.4	.3	-	.8

S.4 Q.10b How concerned are you that some food products like, poultry and dairy products will become less available in Canada because of Canadian producers inability to compete with foreign producers?

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Very concerned that this might happen	422	39	37	42	33	167	101	115	360	62	189	209	63	144	216	132	283	298	124
	30.2	33.6	33.8	37.7	31.1	33.8	29.3	26.1	29.4	35.6	32.7	28.2	34.3	26.6	31.9	29.6	30.2	27.2	40.6
Somewhat concerned	528	41	33	35	40	195	140	147	465	63	219	280	58	208	261	169	354	428	100
	37.7	36.1	29.7	31.6	37.4	39.4	40.6	33.5	38.0	35.9	37.9	37.8	31.8	38.5	38.7	37.7	37.8	39.1	32.7
Not very concerned	311	21	24	24	23	90	73	123	278	32	125	168	42	135	133	95	213	255	55
	22.2	18.0	22.1	21.2	21.6	18.2	21.1	28.0	22.7	18.5	21.6	22.7	23.1	25.0	19.7	21.2	22.7	23.3	18.0
Not concerned at all	133	14	16	10	11	40	30	52	116	17	44	78	19	52	63	50	83	109	24
	9.5	12.4	14.4	8.5	9.9	8.2	8.6	11.9	9.5	9.5	7.7	10.6	10.1	9.6	9.3	11.2	8.9	9.9	8.0
D.N.S.	6	-	-	1	-	2	1	2	5	1	1	5	1	2	3	1	4	4	2
	.4	-	-	1.0	-	.4	.4	.5	.4	.5	.1	.7	.6	.3	.4	.2	.4	.3	.7

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0769

S.6 Q.5a How strongly do you agree or disagree with the statement... If governments invest in Canadian technology companies it will help these companies compete in international markets.

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K- \$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1 Totally disagree	142	25	28	39	32	26	6	18	9	17	54	36	27	43	40	49	52	46
	10.1	14.8	11.8	7.5	8.9	8.5	11.6	15.0	4.7	5.1	12.5	15.1	12.4	7.5	10.0	14.1	9.1	11.6
2	67	11	12	33	5	5	-	5	7	8	28	17	7	19	25	23	30	18
	4.8	6.4	5.2	6.5	1.5	1.7	-	4.2	3.6	2.4	6.4	7.4	3.5	3.4	6.2	6.7	5.2	4.5
3	174	28	39	58	35	30	5	14	22	39	55	32	26	69	47	45	72	44
	12.4	16.4	16.5	11.3	9.9	9.9	9.6	11.2	11.7	11.7	12.9	13.7	11.8	12.1	11.8	13.0	12.6	11.2
4	306	32	52	108	87	72	15	26	37	77	104	47	41	147	97	43	122	84
	21.8	18.9	21.9	20.9	24.6	23.7	30.3	21.7	19.9	23.1	24.3	19.9	19.0	25.7	24.6	12.5	21.3	21.4
5	305	41	46	115	79	66	13	23	48	86	92	35	45	117	81	89	132	86
	21.8	24.5	19.5	22.2	22.4	21.6	27.5	18.8	25.9	25.7	21.4	14.7	20.7	20.5	20.4	25.7	22.9	22.0
6	164	15	24	67	46	38	8	13	21	52	41	27	24	57	56	39	71	46
	11.7	8.7	10.1	12.9	13.0	12.5	16.0	10.4	11.3	15.5	9.5	11.2	11.2	10.0	14.1	11.3	12.4	11.8
7 Totally agree	213	17	31	84	60	57	2	21	41	50	48	39	35	107	45	49	87	60
	15.2	10.0	13.0	16.3	16.9	18.7	5.1	17.4	22.1	15.0	11.2	16.7	16.1	18.8	11.3	14.1	15.2	15.4
D.N.S.	30	1	5	13	10	10	-	2	2	5	8	3	12	11	6	9	8	8
	2.1	.4	1.9	2.5	2.9	3.4	-	1.4	.9	1.6	1.9	1.3	5.4	1.9	1.6	2.6	1.4	2.1
Average	4.4	4.0	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.3	4.3	4.8	4.7	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.6	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.4

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0769(continuation)

S.6 Q.5a How strongly do you agree or disagree with the statement... If governments invest in Canadian technology companies it will help these companies compete in international markets.

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ./ More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1 Totally disagree	142	4	10	11	13	48	29	51	121	21	45	95	19	61	62	47	94	116	26
	10.1	3.9	8.9	9.9	12.5	9.7	8.4	11.7	9.9	11.9	7.8	12.8	10.3	11.3	9.2	10.6	10.1	10.6	8.5
2	67	3	8	8	5	16	12	34	58	8	22	40	12	22	33	27	40	62	5
	4.8	2.9	7.5	6.8	4.6	3.2	3.6	7.7	4.8	4.9	3.9	5.5	6.4	4.1	4.9	6.0	4.3	5.6	1.7
3	174	10	16	14	6	55	45	64	154	20	76	89	25	79	69	53	118	143	30
	12.4	8.7	14.8	12.7	5.8	11.2	13.1	14.6	12.6	11.2	13.1	12.1	13.7	14.7	10.2	11.9	12.6	13.1	9.9
4	306	25	16	28	15	143	71	74	266	39	130	157	43	109	154	99	204	233	72
	21.8	21.7	14.1	25.1	13.8	29.0	20.4	17.0	21.8	22.4	22.5	21.2	23.6	20.1	22.8	22.1	21.8	21.3	23.7
5	305	42	24	17	21	94	86	103	265	40	120	155	34	117	154	99	203	239	66
	21.8	36.3	22.1	15.7	19.1	19.0	24.8	23.4	21.6	22.8	20.7	21.0	18.5	21.7	22.8	22.1	21.6	21.8	21.6
6	164	12	9	16	12	53	53	45	147	16	76	82	21	65	78	44	119	126	38
	11.7	10.2	7.8	14.1	11.4	10.7	15.3	10.2	12.0	9.4	13.2	11.0	11.6	11.9	11.6	9.9	12.7	11.5	12.5
7 Totally agree	213	18	27	14	29	76	44	60	186	27	98	103	25	78	110	69	138	156	57
	15.2	15.6	24.6	12.7	27.3	15.4	12.6	13.6	15.2	15.7	17.0	13.9	13.9	14.4	16.3	15.5	14.8	14.2	18.7
D.N.S.	30	1	-	3	6	10	6	8	27	3	11	19	4	11	16	9	20	20	10
	2.1	.8	-	3.1	5.5	1.9	1.8	1.8	2.2	1.8	1.9	2.6	2.1	2.0	2.3	2.0	2.2	1.8	3.4
Average	4.4	4.8	4.5	4.3	4.8	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.7

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0770

S.6 Q.5a How strongly do you agree or disagree with the statement... If governments invest in Canadian technology companies it will help increase jobs and economic growth in high tech industries.

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K- \$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1 Totally disagree	117	21	25	41	25	18	7	6	4	15	40	30	27	41	30	37	41	35
	8.4	12.3	10.4	8.0	7.0	5.9	13.5	4.7	2.3	4.6	9.4	12.7	12.5	7.3	7.6	10.7	7.0	8.9
2	89	9	23	33	15	15	-	8	7	16	36	25	5	27	31	25	34	29
	6.3	5.1	9.8	6.4	4.3	5.0	-	6.8	3.6	4.8	8.4	10.7	2.2	4.7	7.8	7.3	5.9	7.3
3	170	27	27	66	34	29	5	15	19	46	51	29	25	63	52	41	71	43
	12.1	16.1	11.3	12.8	9.6	9.4	10.8	12.8	10.3	13.7	12.0	12.4	11.4	11.1	13.0	11.9	12.3	10.9
4	256	35	45	80	66	57	8	30	28	62	86	37	43	110	77	60	102	73
	18.3	20.8	18.8	15.5	18.5	18.7	17.5	25.2	15.3	18.6	20.0	15.8	19.8	19.3	19.3	17.4	17.7	18.6
5	294	33	51	111	78	64	14	20	47	85	94	39	29	122	88	70	145	84
	21.0	19.7	21.6	21.5	22.1	21.0	29.5	16.3	25.3	25.5	21.9	16.5	13.4	21.4	22.2	20.3	25.2	21.4
6	208	25	27	77	61	51	10	18	43	50	57	29	28	75	58	58	77	67
	14.8	15.1	11.2	14.9	17.2	16.5	21.6	14.7	23.4	15.1	13.3	12.4	13.0	13.1	14.6	16.9	13.4	17.0
7 Totally agree	239	18	34	99	66	62	3	22	35	54	56	44	50	122	53	47	99	56
	17.0	10.4	14.4	19.1	18.6	20.4	7.2	18.1	18.9	16.2	13.1	18.7	22.8	21.4	13.5	13.7	17.3	14.3
D.N.S.	28	1	6	10	9	9	-	2	2	5	8	2	10	10	8	6	7	6
	2.0	.4	2.6	1.9	2.6	3.0	-	1.4	.9	1.6	1.9	.9	4.7	1.7	2.0	1.9	1.2	1.6
Average	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.4	4.6	5.1	4.7	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.7	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0770(continuation)

S.6 Q.5a How strongly do you agree or disagree with the statement... If governments invest in Canadian technology companies it will help increase jobs and economic growth in high tech industries.

	SEX			MALE				FEMALE				WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD		
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest			
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237			
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209			
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			
1 Totally disagree	117	72	46	44	28	22	24	54	12	4	42	35	26	8	72	14	8	22			
	8.4	10.6	6.3	10.2	11.1	5.7	7.0	8.7	6.3	4.3	9.1	8.1	8.0	9.2	8.7	10.1	5.7	10.6			
2	89	46	42	30	16	31	11	49	13	4	22	28	23	6	50	7	5	14			
	6.3	6.8	5.9	7.1	6.2	8.2	3.3	7.9	6.6	3.8	4.8	6.6	6.8	6.4	6.1	5.2	3.5	6.6			
3	170	73	97	49	24	46	51	69	26	12	57	43	31	11	104	7	18	40			
	12.1	10.8	13.4	11.5	9.6	12.2	14.7	11.1	13.9	11.4	12.4	10.1	9.4	12.5	12.5	4.7	12.6	19.1			
4	256	111	146	76	35	72	73	116	33	22	81	82	62	18	150	24	27	37			
	18.3	16.3	20.2	17.8	13.8	19.2	21.3	18.7	17.2	21.9	17.5	19.2	18.8	19.7	18.1	16.9	18.8	17.7			
5	294	148	145	108	41	81	64	151	39	18	84	102	81	17	161	36	35	32			
	21.0	21.9	20.1	25.3	16.2	21.4	18.8	24.4	20.6	17.1	18.1	23.8	24.5	19.5	19.4	25.2	23.8	15.1			
6	208	102	106	53	49	61	45	82	32	17	72	62	50	11	122	21	27	22			
	14.8	15.0	14.7	12.5	19.2	16.2	13.1	13.2	17.0	16.1	15.6	14.4	15.1	12.2	14.7	14.7	18.4	10.4			
7 Totally agree	239	122	117	65	57	53	64	89	33	25	91	67	50	16	153	31	24	38			
	17.0	17.9	16.2	15.3	22.4	14.1	18.6	14.3	17.3	24.0	19.7	15.6	15.3	17.6	18.5	21.4	16.4	18.0			
D.N.S.	28	5	23	1	4	11	11	11	2	1	13	9	7	2	16	3	1	6			
	2.0	.7	3.2	.3	1.4	3.0	3.3	1.7	1.1	1.4	2.9	2.2	2.1	2.7	1.9	1.9	.8	2.6			
Average	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.9	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.3			

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0770(continuation)

S.6 Q.5a How strongly do you agree or disagree with the statement... If governments invest in Canadian technology companies it will help increase jobs and economic growth in high tech industries.

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNIDN AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1 Totally disagree	117	2	11	9	13	38	24	41	104	14	38	78	18	49	50	36	80	99	18
	8.4	1.9	10.2	7.8	11.9	7.7	6.9	9.3	8.5	7.8	6.6	10.5	9.7	9.1	7.4	8.1	8.6	9.1	5.9
2	89	3	9	11	4	32	15	36	78	11	38	46	16	32	40	34	54	73	15
	6.3	2.9	8.3	9.7	3.6	6.5	4.4	8.3	6.3	6.2	6.6	6.2	8.9	6.0	5.9	7.6	5.8	6.7	5.0
3	170	13	15	12	4	62	45	58	152	18	69	90	20	82	68	51	116	141	29
	12.1	11.6	13.4	10.5	3.6	12.5	12.9	13.2	12.4	10.1	11.9	12.1	11.1	15.1	10.0	11.5	12.4	12.9	9.4
4	256	19	18	24	19	94	58	84	224	32	100	145	38	104	114	83	170	199	57
	18.3	16.9	16.0	21.9	17.4	18.9	16.9	19.2	18.3	18.4	17.3	19.5	20.9	19.3	16.8	18.5	18.2	18.2	18.7
5	294	37	19	18	16	97	88	92	257	37	128	140	30	104	160	101	192	230	64
	21.0	32.1	17.0	16.1	15.0	19.6	25.5	20.9	21.0	21.0	22.2	19.0	16.3	19.2	23.7	22.6	20.5	21.0	21.0
6	208	24	16	16	13	71	60	61	179	29	87	106	24	69	115	61	145	157	51
	14.8	20.6	14.1	14.1	11.9	14.3	17.5	14.0	14.6	16.3	15.1	14.3	13.1	12.8	17.0	13.8	15.5	14.4	16.5
7 Totally agree	239	15	23	19	35	92	50	58	206	32	108	119	33	90	116	74	160	176	62
	17.0	13.1	21.0	16.7	32.7	18.6	14.4	13.3	16.9	18.4	18.7	16.1	17.8	16.7	17.1	16.5	17.0	16.1	20.4
D.N.S.	28	1	-	3	4	10	5	8	25	3	10	17	4	10	14	7	19	18	9
	2.0	.8	-	3.1	4.0	1.9	1.5	1.9	2.0	1.8	1.7	2.4	2.1	1.8	2.1	1.6	2.1	1.7	3.0
Average	4.5	4.9	4.5	4.4	4.9	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.8

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0771

S.6 Q.5a How strongly do you agree or disagree with the statement... If governments invest in Canadian technology companies it will help Canadian companies make the shift from research and development to product production.

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K- \$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1 Totally disagree	120	22	19	45	27	22	5	7	2	19	40	38	21	36	31	44	50	33
	8.6	13.3	8.0	8.7	7.5	7.2	10.1	5.7	1.2	5.7	9.3	16.1	9.7	6.3	7.9	12.7	8.8	8.4
2	100	8	29	35	14	12	2	13	8	18	43	19	11	30	40	26	45	29
	7.1	4.6	12.4	6.8	4.0	4.0	4.4	10.7	4.3	5.5	10.0	8.2	5.2	5.3	10.1	7.6	7.9	7.4
3	157	22	30	61	31	21	10	12	22	37	53	28	17	54	52	39	67	40
	11.2	13.2	12.6	11.7	8.8	7.0	20.4	10.3	12.1	11.2	12.3	11.7	7.8	9.4	13.1	11.3	11.6	10.2
4	328	36	66	121	77	64	13	28	56	83	100	46	43	141	90	79	135	96
	23.4	21.4	27.7	23.3	21.7	21.0	26.0	23.3	30.2	24.8	23.3	19.4	20.0	24.8	22.8	22.8	23.4	24.5
5	299	39	39	107	85	76	9	29	44	82	86	41	47	137	82	63	115	94
	21.4	22.9	16.5	20.7	24.0	24.8	18.8	24.1	23.7	24.6	20.1	17.3	21.5	24.1	20.7	18.3	19.9	24.0
6	176	22	19	71	55	45	10	8	31	46	51	25	23	58	58	47	78	51
	12.6	13.3	8.1	13.7	15.6	14.9	20.3	6.9	16.6	13.8	12.0	10.7	10.4	10.1	14.6	13.7	13.5	13.1
7 Totally agree	191	18	29	66	56	56	-	21	20	43	48	37	43	104	35	39	79	40
	13.6	10.9	12.1	12.8	15.7	18.2	-	17.7	10.9	12.9	11.1	15.6	20.0	18.3	8.8	11.3	13.7	10.3
D.N.S.	29	1	6	12	9	9	-	2	2	5	8	2	12	10	8	8	7	8
	2.1	.4	2.6	2.2	2.6	3.0	-	1.4	.9	1.6	1.9	.9	5.5	1.7	2.0	2.3	1.2	2.1
Average	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.0	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.2	4.1	4.6	4.6	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.3

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0771(continuation)

S.6 Q.5a How strongly do you agree or disagree with the statement... If governments invest in Canadian technology companies it will help Canadian companies make the shift from research and development to product production.

	SEX			MALE				FEMALE				WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD		
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest			
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237			
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209			
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			
1 Totally disagree	120	67	53	44	23	30	23	63	16	4	37	34	28	5	77	13	8	25			
	8.6	9.8	7.4	10.4	8.9	7.9	6.8	10.1	8.3	4.1	8.0	7.8	8.6	5.9	9.2	8.8	5.6	11.8			
2	100	54	46	36	18	31	15	53	14	4	28	30	26	4	62	7	11	19			
	7.1	7.9	6.4	8.5	7.0	8.1	4.5	8.5	7.3	3.7	6.1	7.0	7.7	4.9	7.5	5.0	7.5	9.1			
3	157	83	74	57	25	34	40	70	23	13	48	47	35	10	90	13	11	22			
	11.2	12.2	10.2	13.5	10.1	9.0	11.6	11.3	12.0	12.2	10.4	10.8	10.6	10.8	10.9	9.2	7.3	10.5			
4	328	125	202	77	48	103	99	134	47	28	109	106	79	24	183	35	38	45			
	23.4	18.5	28.0	18.2	19.0	27.2	28.9	21.6	24.7	27.2	23.6	24.6	23.9	27.3	22.1	24.1	26.4	21.4			
5	299	144	155	94	50	84	71	141	38	22	96	89	69	17	174	34	38	37			
	21.4	21.3	21.5	22.2	19.8	22.3	20.6	22.6	20.2	21.0	20.6	20.8	20.9	19.5	21.0	23.6	25.9	17.5			
6	176	100	76	67	32	40	36	82	27	14	52	58	45	12	102	18	17	24			
	12.6	14.7	10.6	15.8	12.8	10.7	10.4	13.2	14.2	13.3	11.2	13.5	13.5	13.1	12.3	12.5	11.7	11.4			
7 Totally agree	191	99	92	47	52	44	48	68	23	18	78	57	42	14	123	21	22	32			
	13.6	14.6	12.7	11.1	20.4	11.6	13.9	11.0	12.2	17.1	16.8	13.3	12.7	15.9	14.9	15.0	14.8	15.6			
D.N.S.	29	6	23	1	5	11	11	11	2	1	15	9	7	2	17	3	1	6			
	2.1	1.0	3.2	.3	2.1	3.0	3.3	1.7	1.1	1.4	3.2	2.2	2.1	2.7	2.1	1.9	.8	2.6			
Average	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.2			

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0771(continuation)

S.6 Q.5a How strongly do you agree or disagree with the statement... If governments invest in Canadian technology companies it will help Canadian companies make the shift from research and development to product production.

	SINGLES		EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE			
	Adult Child	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French	
	TOTAL	At Home																	
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1 Totally disagree	120	2	11	12	13	39	24	44	105	15	39	80	22	48	51	43	77	98	22
	8.6	1.5	10.2	10.4	12.0	7.9	6.9	10.0	8.6	8.5	6.8	10.8	11.8	8.8	7.5	9.7	8.2	9.0	7.2
2	100	2	9	11	7	28	21	43	85	15	34	59	17	43	40	36	63	88	12
	7.1	1.4	8.1	9.8	6.6	5.8	6.0	9.7	6.9	8.7	5.9	8.0	9.1	7.9	5.9	8.0	6.8	8.0	4.0
3	157	19	19	8	8	43	44	59	138	19	68	80	20	54	83	50	103	135	21
	11.2	16.7	17.5	7.5	7.1	8.7	12.7	13.5	11.3	10.7	11.8	10.8	10.9	10.0	12.3	11.3	11.0	12.4	7.0
4	328	37	12	26	19	130	74	104	286	42	131	174	36	130	162	110	216	264	64
	23.4	32.1	11.1	23.2	17.3	26.3	21.5	23.6	23.3	24.0	22.6	23.5	19.7	24.0	23.9	24.5	23.1	24.1	21.0
5	299	24	23	27	20	111	85	81	262	38	139	142	39	115	145	78	219	224	76
	21.4	20.8	20.8	23.8	18.8	22.5	24.7	18.5	21.4	21.5	24.1	19.1	21.4	21.3	21.5	17.4	23.4	20.4	24.8
6	176	23	14	11	14	56	49	54	158	18	73	91	18	61	97	54	120	131	45
	12.6	19.6	12.9	10.3	13.4	11.3	14.3	12.3	12.9	10.1	12.7	12.3	9.6	11.3	14.4	12.0	12.8	11.9	14.9
7 Totally agree	191	8	21	13	21	77	43	46	165	26	82	96	28	81	82	68	118	135	56
	13.6	7.1	19.4	12.0	19.3	15.5	12.4	10.5	13.5	14.8	14.3	13.0	15.4	14.9	12.1	15.2	12.6	12.3	18.2
D.N.S.	29	1	-	3	6	10	5	8	26	3	10	19	4	10	16	9	19	20	9
	2.1	.8	-	3.1	5.5	1.9	1.5	1.9	2.1	1.8	1.7	2.6	2.1	1.8	2.3	2.0	2.1	1.8	3.0
Average	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.7

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0772

S.6 Q.5b How strongly do you agree or disagree with this statement about Canadian technology companies...

These companies are already very profitable and do not need to be subsidized by taxpayers.

	REGIDN								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K- \$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1 Totally disagree	88	8	8	31	34	32	2	6	11	20	22	14	21	42	24	16	30	22
	6.3	4.7	3.3	6.0	9.7	10.6	4.5	5.2	5.9	5.9	5.1	5.8	9.9	7.4	5.9	4.5	5.2	5.5
2	72	9	12	29	15	15	-	7	15	12	26	7	12	30	20	20	32	22
	5.1	5.4	4.9	5.6	4.3	5.0	-	5.4	8.0	3.5	6.0	3.1	5.5	5.2	5.1	5.7	5.6	5.5
3	189	22	28	71	54	47	7	13	26	46	59	30	28	70	59	50	76	56
	13.5	13.2	11.6	13.8	15.4	15.5	14.5	11.1	14.0	13.8	13.8	12.9	12.9	12.3	14.8	14.4	13.2	14.3
4	318	37	52	124	76	60	16	29	56	93	90	45	34	121	88	86	136	91
	22.7	22.0	22.0	23.9	21.6	19.7	33.6	23.6	30.4	28.0	20.9	19.0	15.8	21.3	22.3	24.8	23.7	23.1
5	196	24	40	72	45	37	7	16	31	56	61	26	22	72	63	47	80	65
	14.0	14.0	16.7	13.9	12.6	12.2	14.9	13.1	16.5	16.8	14.2	11.1	10.3	12.7	15.9	13.6	13.9	16.6
6	196	31	40	62	41	34	7	23	21	51	62	36	26	85	52	48	79	57
	14.0	18.3	16.7	11.9	11.6	11.2	14.3	18.7	11.3	15.2	14.4	15.4	12.0	15.0	13.1	14.0	13.8	14.5
7 Totally agree	306	35	52	117	75	69	6	27	25	50	99	72	61	136	82	70	134	71
	21.9	20.8	21.7	22.6	21.3	22.7	12.6	21.9	13.4	14.9	23.0	30.3	28.4	23.9	20.8	20.3	23.2	18.1
D.N.S.	35	3	7	12	12	9	3	1	1	6	12	5	11	13	8	9	8	9
	2.5	1.6	3.0	2.3	3.4	3.1	5.5	1.1	.5	1.9	2.7	2.2	5.2	2.3	2.1	2.7	1.4	2.4
Average	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.3	4.5	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.6

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0772(continuation)

S.6 Q.5b How strongly do you agree or disagree with this statement about Canadian technology companies...

These companies are already very profitable and do not need to be subsidized by taxpayers.

	SEX			MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD			
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1 Totally disagree	88	46	41	22	24	19	22	32	9	6	35	27	22	4	53	9	10	20
	6.3	6.8	5.7	5.3	9.4	5.1	6.5	5.2	5.0	5.5	7.5	6.2	6.6	4.6	6.4	6.5	7.1	9.4
2	72	39	33	26	13	22	11	36	12	2	21	20	13	7	43	6	7	9
	5.1	5.8	4.5	6.2	5.0	5.7	3.2	5.8	6.4	1.7	4.6	4.7	3.8	8.0	5.3	4.4	4.9	4.3
3	189	97	92	58	39	49	43	85	22	16	62	62	47	14	109	26	16	27
	13.5	14.3	12.8	13.7	15.4	12.9	12.7	13.8	11.8	15.3	13.3	14.5	14.3	16.0	13.2	17.9	10.9	12.7
4	318	154	165	110	43	83	82	142	53	24	94	107	78	26	179	29	36	35
	22.7	22.7	22.8	26.0	17.1	22.0	23.7	22.8	27.9	23.4	20.3	24.9	23.7	28.6	21.6	20.2	24.7	16.8
5	196	102	94	72	30	51	43	97	27	17	54	60	50	10	116	21	24	22
	14.0	15.0	13.0	16.9	11.9	13.6	12.4	15.6	14.5	16.2	11.6	14.0	15.0	11.2	14.0	14.4	16.5	10.6
6	196	84	112	49	35	61	51	85	25	15	66	60	50	8	116	17	20	31
	14.0	12.4	15.5	11.5	13.9	16.1	14.8	13.8	13.3	14.8	14.3	14.0	15.0	8.7	14.0	12.0	13.8	14.7
7 Totally agree	306	146	161	84	62	80	80	132	34	21	118	83	65	19	190	32	31	59
	21.9	21.5	22.3	19.6	24.6	21.2	23.4	21.3	18.2	20.5	25.5	19.4	19.6	20.9	22.9	22.1	21.3	28.4
D.N.S.	35	11	25	4	7	13	11	11	6	3	13	9	7	2	22	4	1	6
	2.5	1.6	3.4	.8	2.8	3.5	3.3	1.8	3.1	2.6	2.9	2.2	2.0	2.0	2.6	2.6	.8	2.9
Average	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.8

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.6 Q.5b How strongly do you agree or disagree with this statement about Canadian technology companies...

These companies are already very profitable and do not need to be subsidized by taxpayers.

REPORT C0772(continuation)

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1 Totally disagree	88	7	8	3	6	36	20	22	76	11	40	40	10	36	41	28	59	55	32
	6.3	6.2	7.3	3.1	5.7	7.2	5.8	5.0	6.2	6.6	6.9	5.4	5.6	6.7	6.1	6.3	6.3	5.0	10.6
2	72	8	7	6	5	20	16	31	59	12	28	39	6	32	33	25	46	56	15
	5.1	7.0	6.4	5.3	4.9	4.0	4.5	7.0	4.8	7.0	4.9	5.3	3.5	5.9	5.0	5.7	4.9	5.2	5.0
3	189	12	15	13	12	66	51	59	167	22	87	95	26	65	98	54	131	142	47
	13.5	10.5	13.4	11.5	11.0	13.4	14.6	13.5	13.7	12.6	15.1	12.9	14.3	12.1	14.5	12.1	14.0	13.0	15.5
4	318	40	26	24	25	111	76	104	284	34	139	151	41	121	157	102	215	258	60
	22.7	34.5	23.8	21.8	23.3	22.5	22.0	23.7	23.2	19.4	24.0	20.3	22.1	22.4	23.2	22.8	23.0	23.6	19.7
5	196	23	20	12	10	66	54	65	173	23	88	94	23	65	107	56	138	158	37
	14.0	19.8	18.0	10.6	9.1	13.3	15.7	14.9	14.1	13.0	15.3	12.6	12.7	12.1	15.9	12.6	14.8	14.5	12.2
6	196	16	12	16	7	62	56	69	173	23	65	122	26	75	95	68	123	162	34
	14.0	13.7	11.2	14.2	6.3	12.5	16.1	15.8	14.1	13.1	11.2	16.5	14.2	13.9	14.1	15.1	13.2	14.8	11.2
7 Totally agree	306	9	22	31	37	127	65	74	259	47	118	178	44	135	127	103	200	237	69
	21.9	7.7	19.8	28.0	34.2	25.6	18.9	17.0	21.1	27.2	20.4	24.0	24.3	25.0	18.7	23.0	21.3	21.6	22.7
D.N.S.	35	1	-	6	6	7	8	14	33	2	13	22	6	11	18	10	23	26	9
	2.5	.8	-	5.6	5.5	1.4	2.2	3.2	2.7	1.1	2.2	3.0	3.4	2.1	2.6	2.3	2.4	2.4	3.1
Average	4.7	4.3	4.5	5.0	4.9	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.5

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0773

S.6 Q.5b How strongly do you agree or disagree with this statement about Canadian technology companies...

Government deficits are too large to find these types of programs.

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K- \$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1 Totally disagree	73	6	6	24	33	33	-	4	3	17	19	12	21	38	18	12	24	16
	5.2	3.5	2.6	4.6	9.3	10.8	-	3.7	1.8	5.2	4.5	5.1	9.9	6.6	4.7	3.4	4.1	4.0
2	75	10	11	28	19	16	3	7	10	15	32	6	12	35	20	17	37	17
	5.4	5.8	4.8	5.4	5.3	5.3	5.9	5.6	5.6	4.5	7.4	2.4	5.6	6.2	5.1	5.0	6.4	4.5
3	129	10	16	55	32	26	6	17	22	35	33	25	14	56	35	31	57	35
	9.3	6.2	6.7	10.5	9.0	8.5	12.7	13.7	12.1	10.5	7.7	10.4	6.6	9.8	8.8	8.9	9.9	9.0
4	246	22	38	102	64	48	16	20	49	71	68	32	26	92	69	72	99	75
	17.6	13.2	16.1	19.7	18.0	15.6	33.1	16.2	26.7	21.3	15.8	13.6	12.0	16.2	17.3	20.8	17.2	19.2
5	203	27	35	76	44	40	4	21	31	63	64	21	24	80	70	38	84	67
	14.5	15.9	14.6	14.7	12.3	12.9	8.4	17.5	16.5	19.0	15.0	8.8	11.0	14.0	17.6	11.1	14.6	17.0
6	256	43	55	88	49	42	7	20	36	63	82	45	30	94	87	63	108	70
	18.3	25.7	23.0	17.1	13.9	13.8	14.1	16.8	19.3	18.9	19.2	19.1	13.7	16.4	22.0	18.2	18.8	17.9
7 Totally agree	384	48	70	133	103	93	10	31	32	62	119	92	78	163	91	103	158	103
	27.4	28.3	29.4	25.6	29.1	30.3	21.6	25.4	17.5	18.7	27.7	39.1	36.0	28.7	23.0	29.9	27.5	26.3
D.N.S.	33	3	7	12	11	9	2	1	1	6	12	3	11	12	6	9	8	9
	2.4	1.6	2.8	2.3	3.0	2.8	4.2	1.1	.5	1.9	2.7	1.4	5.1	2.1	1.5	2.7	1.4	2.3
Average	5.0	5.3	5.3	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.8	5.0	5.4	5.0	4.9	5.0	5.1	5.0	5.0

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0773(continuation)

S.6 Q.5b How strongly do you agree or disagree with this statement about Canadian technology companies...

Government deficits are too large to find these types of programs.

	SEX			MALE				FEMALE				WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD		
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest			
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237			
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209			
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			
1 Totally disagree	73	38	35	17	22	17	18	25	9	3	33	28	19	9	40	8	6	15			
	5.2	5.7	4.8	4.0	8.5	4.4	5.3	4.0	4.5	2.8	7.1	6.6	5.6	10.4	4.8	5.5	3.9	7.2			
2	75	37	38	24	13	23	16	36	12	7	21	28	22	6	42	9	6	5			
	5.4	5.4	5.3	5.6	5.1	6.0	4.5	5.7	6.5	7.0	4.6	6.5	6.6	6.4	5.1	6.6	4.3	2.3			
3	129	70	59	47	24	32	27	62	16	8	41	35	25	10	82	15	17	17			
	9.3	10.4	8.2	11.0	9.3	8.4	8.0	10.0	8.6	8.3	8.9	8.1	7.5	11.3	9.9	10.6	11.4	8.3			
4	246	107	139	66	41	73	66	97	42	25	76	75	60	12	141	25	30	30			
	17.6	15.7	19.3	15.5	16.2	19.4	19.2	15.6	22.3	24.2	16.5	17.6	18.3	13.4	17.0	17.4	20.6	14.2			
5	203	106	97	74	32	59	39	106	28	16	54	65	53	11	114	21	24	20			
	14.5	15.6	13.4	17.4	12.6	15.5	11.2	17.0	15.0	15.3	11.7	15.1	16.1	12.3	13.8	14.3	16.7	9.5			
6	256	129	126	85	45	67	60	119	33	18	79	83	66	16	147	21	31	34			
	18.3	19.1	17.5	19.9	17.8	17.7	17.4	19.1	17.2	17.5	17.1	19.3	19.8	17.6	17.8	14.3	21.6	16.4			
7 Totally agree	384	183	201	110	72	95	107	166	43	25	145	106	80	24	241	43	30	82			
	27.4	26.9	27.9	25.9	28.7	25.1	31.0	26.7	22.8	24.2	31.3	24.7	24.1	26.6	29.2	29.7	20.7	39.2			
D.N.S.	33	8	25	4	5	13	12	11	6	1	13	9	7	2	20	2	1	6			
	2.4	1.2	3.5	.8	1.8	3.5	3.4	1.8	3.1	.8	2.8	2.2	2.0	2.0	2.4	1.5	.8	2.9			
Average	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.9	5.0	5.1	5.0	4.9	4.9	5.0	4.9	4.9	4.7	5.0	4.9	4.9	5.3			

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0773(continuation)

S.6 Q.5b How strongly do you agree or disagree with this statement about Canadian technology companies...
Government deficits are too large to find these types of programs.

	SINGLES		EDUCATION					AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1 Totally disagree	73	3	6	3	7	34	18	12	64	9	37	33	9	30	34	20	53	40	33
	5.2	2.7	5.5	2.6	6.8	6.8	5.3	2.8	5.2	5.3	6.5	4.4	4.7	5.6	5.1	4.5	5.7	3.7	10.8
2	75	4	7	6	8	26	15	26	66	10	36	35	10	24	40	24	51	59	16
	5.4	3.3	6.1	5.7	7.5	5.2	4.3	6.0	5.4	5.4	6.3	4.7	5.6	4.5	6.0	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.3
3	129	13	13	9	10	40	32	47	113	17	66	57	17	50	63	43	85	104	26
	9.3	11.3	11.7	8.4	9.3	8.0	9.3	10.6	9.2	9.6	11.4	7.7	9.3	9.2	9.3	9.7	9.1	9.5	8.5
4	246	34	17	21	14	90	71	72	214	32	104	113	26	88	132	78	167	198	48
	17.6	29.6	15.7	18.7	12.7	18.1	20.4	16.5	17.5	18.1	18.1	15.3	14.3	16.2	19.6	17.5	17.8	18.1	15.6
5	203	22	17	15	12	76	51	61	180	23	93	101	33	65	105	60	139	163	40
	14.5	19.5	15.5	13.1	10.7	15.4	14.6	13.8	14.7	12.9	16.2	13.6	18.0	12.0	15.5	13.4	14.9	14.9	12.9
6	256	21	24	12	18	80	62	95	228	28	98	145	28	111	117	86	169	214	42
	18.3	18.6	21.5	10.5	16.4	16.1	17.9	21.8	18.6	16.0	17.0	19.6	15.3	20.6	17.3	19.3	18.0	19.5	13.8
7 Totally agree	384	16	27	38	33	144	91	111	329	55	131	236	53	163	169	124	253	291	93
	27.4	14.3	24.0	34.3	30.9	29.0	26.3	25.3	26.9	31.3	22.6	31.8	28.9	30.1	24.9	27.9	27.0	26.6	30.3
D.N.S.	33	1	-	7	6	7	7	14	31	2	11	22	7	10	16	10	20	25	9
	2.4	.8	-	6.6	5.5	1.4	1.9	3.1	2.5	1.2	2.0	2.9	4.0	1.8	2.4	2.3	2.1	2.2	2.8
Average	5.0	4.7	4.9	5.2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.1	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.2	5.1	5.1	4.9	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0774

S.6 Q.5b How strongly do you agree or disagree with this statement about Canadian technology companies...

Governments have had a poor track record in choosing winning companies and very often do not get a good return on their investment.

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K- \$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1 Totally disagree	65	2	6	22	28	25	3	7	10	10	14	10	20	33	13	10	25	11
	4.6	1.4	2.6	4.2	7.9	8.3	5.4	5.4	5.4	3.0	3.3	4.3	9.5	5.8	3.2	2.8	4.4	2.7
2	56	6	8	16	17	15	2	9	3	15	18	10	11	32	13	10	17	15
	4.0	3.8	3.2	3.0	4.9	5.0	4.2	7.8	1.8	4.5	4.1	4.1	5.0	5.6	3.3	2.8	2.9	3.8
3	100	6	10	52	22	21	1	10	27	26	20	17	9	41	30	24	43	32
	7.1	3.6	4.1	9.9	6.3	6.9	3.0	8.2	14.8	7.9	4.6	7.2	4.4	7.2	7.6	6.8	7.4	8.1
4	268	31	41	111	68	56	11	17	59	85	74	32	18	105	82	65	110	89
	19.1	18.4	17.2	21.4	19.1	18.5	23.0	14.3	31.9	25.6	17.1	13.5	8.2	18.4	20.7	18.7	19.2	22.6
5	211	28	27	83	55	47	9	18	30	65	66	20	29	87	61	50	96	61
	15.1	16.9	11.4	16.0	15.6	15.2	18.2	14.5	16.5	19.6	15.4	8.6	13.4	15.3	15.4	14.5	16.8	15.6
6	253	40	56	76	57	48	9	23	27	50	86	47	42	90	76	72	106	70
	18.1	23.5	23.7	14.8	16.1	15.9	17.6	19.4	14.5	15.1	20.1	19.9	19.5	15.8	19.2	20.8	18.3	17.9
7 Totally agree	415	51	84	147	96	83	14	36	27	74	140	94	80	167	114	112	169	108
	29.6	30.2	35.5	28.4	27.2	27.0	28.5	29.5	14.6	22.1	32.6	39.7	37.1	29.3	28.8	32.3	29.4	27.5
D.N.S.	32	4	6	12	10	10	-	1	1	7	12	6	6	15	7	5	9	7
	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.8	3.3	-	.8	.5	2.2	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.7	1.8	1.3	1.6	1.9
Average	5.1	5.4	5.5	5.0	4.9	4.9	5.1	5.0	4.5	4.9	5.3	5.4	5.2	5.0	5.2	5.3	5.2	5.1

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0774(continuation)

S.6 Q.5b How strongly do you agree or disagree with this statement about Canadian technology companies...

Governments have had a poor track record in choosing winning companies and very often do not get a good return on their investment.

	SEX			MALE				FEMALE				WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD		
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest			
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237			
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209			
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			
1 Totally disagree	65	30	35	13	17	14	21	17	10	4	31	24	18	6	39	8	6	14			
	4.6	4.4	4.8	3.0	6.8	3.6	6.2	2.7	5.1	3.6	6.7	5.6	5.5	6.7	4.7	5.8	4.4	6.9			
2	56	27	29	11	16	13	16	17	7	6	25	18	13	4	35	9	3	6			
	4.0	4.0	4.0	2.6	6.4	3.5	4.6	2.8	4.0	5.6	5.5	4.2	4.0	4.3	4.3	6.6	1.8	2.8			
3	100	51	49	36	15	28	20	51	13	7	26	27	21	7	63	17	11	11			
	7.1	7.5	6.8	8.6	5.7	7.5	6.0	8.3	7.0	7.3	5.7	6.4	6.2	7.6	7.6	11.7	7.7	5.2			
4	268	97	170	65	32	91	80	115	42	22	85	94	71	19	134	24	31	16			
	19.1	14.4	23.6	15.3	12.8	24.0	23.1	18.5	22.3	21.6	18.3	22.0	21.6	20.9	16.2	16.6	21.3	7.8			
5	211	95	116	67	28	61	55	96	32	19	62	70	52	18	117	17	27	19			
	15.1	14.0	16.1	15.7	11.0	16.1	16.1	15.4	17.1	18.9	13.3	16.3	15.7	20.0	14.1	12.1	18.4	9.3			
6	253	137	116	85	52	66	49	119	34	17	77	73	59	12	157	25	33	47			
	18.1	20.2	16.0	20.1	20.5	17.6	14.3	19.1	18.0	16.3	16.6	17.0	17.9	13.3	18.9	17.4	22.4	22.5			
7 Totally agree	415	235	180	145	90	91	88	196	46	24	144	112	89	22	264	39	34	89			
	29.6	34.7	24.9	34.2	35.5	24.2	25.7	31.5	24.1	23.7	31.0	26.0	26.8	25.1	31.9	27.3	23.1	42.9			
D.N.S.	32	6	27	2	3	13	13	11	5	3	13	10	8	2	18	3	1	5			
	2.3	.8	3.7	.6	1.2	3.5	3.9	1.8	2.5	2.9	2.9	2.4	2.3	2.0	2.2	2.4	.8	2.6			
Average	5.1	5.3	5.0	5.4	5.2	5.0	4.9	5.3	5.0	5.0	5.1	5.0	5.0	4.9	5.2	4.9	5.1	5.6			

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0774(continuation)

S.6 Q.5b How strongly do you agree or disagree with this statement about Canadian technology companies...

Governments have had a poor track record in choosing winning companies and very often do not get a good return on their investment.

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1 Totally disagree	65	6	3	4	8	36	6	12	52	13	32	28	7	28	30	16	48	40	25
	4.6	5.0	3.1	3.3	7.7	7.2	1.8	2.7	4.2	7.6	5.6	3.8	3.7	5.2	4.4	3.5	5.1	3.6	8.3
2	56	5	8	4	5	17	19	16	49	7	22	29	9	15	33	17	40	41	15
	4.0	4.0	7.4	3.3	4.8	3.4	5.3	3.6	4.0	3.9	3.7	3.9	4.9	2.7	4.9	3.7	4.2	3.8	5.0
3	100	10	12	4	7	32	23	36	85	14	48	43	13	35	51	33	65	79	21
	7.1	8.9	11.2	3.9	6.7	6.5	6.6	8.2	7.0	8.1	8.4	5.8	7.0	6.5	7.6	7.5	6.9	7.2	6.9
4	268	35	16	29	20	100	64	83	235	33	138	106	36	88	144	93	173	211	56
	19.1	30.5	14.4	26.4	18.5	20.1	18.4	18.9	19.2	18.8	23.8	14.2	19.6	16.3	21.3	20.8	18.4	19.3	18.5
5	211	27	16	18	13	71	54	71	186	25	88	112	28	65	118	60	150	165	47
	15.1	23.3	14.3	16.0	12.4	14.4	15.6	16.2	15.2	14.3	15.2	15.2	15.4	12.0	17.5	13.4	16.0	15.0	15.2
6	253	13	20	13	13	84	73	83	230	23	93	148	25	121	107	77	171	204	48
	18.1	11.0	18.0	12.0	12.1	17.0	21.0	18.9	18.8	13.2	16.1	20.0	13.8	22.4	15.8	17.3	18.2	18.7	15.9
7 Totally agree	415	19	35	32	36	148	99	129	357	58	145	255	58	180	176	142	270	332	83
	29.6	16.5	31.7	29.1	33.8	30.0	28.6	29.3	29.2	33.0	25.1	34.4	31.9	33.3	26.1	31.9	28.8	30.4	27.0
D.N.S.	32	1	-	7	4	7	9	10	31	2	12	20	7	9	17	9	21	22	10
	2.3	.8	-	5.9	4.0	1.4	2.6	2.3	2.5	1.0	2.1	2.7	3.6	1.7	2.5	2.0	2.2	2.0	3.3
Average	5.1	4.6	5.1	5.1	5.0	5.0	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.0	4.9	5.4	5.1	5.3	5.0	5.2	5.1	5.2	4.9

DATE 05/08/96
694016 Goldfarb Report
S.6 Q.14a How interested would you say you are with events or issues on the international scene? Would you say you are ...

REPORT C0870

	REGION								AGE				HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS		
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Very interested (100)	511	68	78	191	138	115	23	35	48	102	150	101	111	189	138	154	218	130
	36.5	40.4	32.9	36.9	39.0	37.6	48.2	29.1	25.8	30.6	35.0	42.7	51.1	33.2	34.9	44.5	37.9	33.1
Somewhat interested (66.7)	682	80	134	246	156	136	20	66	97	176	223	106	81	288	200	153	278	200
	48.7	47.5	56.1	47.4	44.2	44.6	41.1	54.7	52.3	52.7	51.9	44.8	37.6	50.5	50.6	44.3	48.3	51.0
Not very interested (33.3)	162	18	19	70	46	43	3	10	30	48	44	21	20	67	49	33	66	49
	11.6	10.7	8.0	13.5	12.9	13.9	6.2	8.3	16.4	14.3	10.2	8.8	9.2	11.8	12.4	9.7	11.5	12.6
Not interested at all (0)	35	2	7	8	9	8	1	8	10	6	9	7	4	22	4	4	10	9
	2.5	1.4	2.9	1.6	2.7	2.6	2.9	6.9	5.6	1.7	2.1	2.8	1.8	3.8	.9	1.2	1.7	2.4
D.N.S.	9	*	*	3	4	4	1	1	-	2	4	2	1	3	5	1	4	4
	.7	.1	.1	.6	1.3	1.2	1.6	1.0	-	.7	.9	1.0	.3	.6	1.2	.3	.6	.9
Index(Maximum=100)	73	76	73	73	74	73	79	69	66	71	74	76	79	71	74	78	74	72

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Very interested (100)	511	282	229	167	115	123	106	225	69	31	174	135	109	23	335	64	47	80
	36.5	41.6	31.7	39.2	45.6	32.6	30.8	36.1	36.4	30.7	37.6	31.4	32.8	26.2	40.5	44.6	32.6	38.1
Somewhat interested (66.7)	682	307	375	203	104	195	180	314	84	61	213	232	172	54	380	61	80	106
	48.7	45.3	51.9	47.6	41.3	51.5	52.5	50.6	44.5	59.1	46.0	54.0	52.1	60.0	46.0	42.8	54.7	50.8
Not very interested (33.3)	162	74	89	46	28	43	46	64	25	9	60	52	41	10	89	14	11	23
	11.6	10.9	12.3	10.9	10.9	11.3	13.5	10.3	13.2	9.1	13.0	12.1	12.5	10.8	10.7	9.6	7.9	10.9
Not interested at all (0)	35	10	25	5	5	16	9	13	11	*	13	7	5	2	22	4	7	1
	2.5	1.5	3.5	1.2	2.0	4.3	2.6	2.1	5.9	.3	2.9	1.6	1.5	2.1	2.7	2.9	4.6	.2
D.N.S.	9	5	4	4	1	2	3	6	-	1	2	4	3	1	1	*	*	-
	.7	.7	.6	1.0	.2	.4	.7	1.0	-	.8	.5	1.0	1.0	.9	.1	.1	.2	-
Index(Maximum=100)	73	76	71	75	77	71	71	74	71	74	73	72	73	70	75	76	72	76

S.6 Q.14a How interested would you say you are with events or issues on the international scene? Would you say you are ...

	SINGLES		EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE			
	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French	
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Very interested (100)	511	37	44	53	29	146	114	219	466	45	196	293	80	204	228	148	358	396	115
	36.5	32.4	39.5	47.9	26.9	29.5	32.9	49.9	38.0	25.9	34.0	39.6	43.5	37.7	33.7	33.0	38.3	36.2	37.6
Somewhat interested (66.7)	682	52	52	42	53	255	193	171	591	91	296	342	78	266	338	234	438	545	136
	48.7	44.9	46.8	37.3	49.4	51.6	55.9	39.0	48.3	51.9	51.2	46.2	42.7	49.2	49.9	52.4	46.7	49.9	44.6
Not very interested (33.3)	162	24	13	11	19	72	30	40	133	30	66	82	19	59	85	51	110	120	43
	11.6	21.3	11.7	9.8	17.7	14.6	8.7	9.2	10.8	17.1	11.5	11.1	10.2	10.9	12.5	11.5	11.7	11.0	13.9
Not interested at all (0)	35	2	2	5	6	17	8	4	27	8	15	18	6	11	18	10	25	27	8
	2.5	1.5	1.9	4.3	5.3	3.3	2.4	.9	2.2	4.6	2.7	2.4	3.3	2.1	2.7	2.2	2.7	2.5	2.6
D.N.S.	9	-	-	1	1	4	-	4	8	1	3	5	1	*	8	4	6	5	4
	.7	-	-	.6	.6	.9	-	.9	.7	.6	.6	.7	.4	.1	1.2	.8	.6	.5	1.2
Index(Maximum=100)	73	69	75	77	66	69	73	80	74	67	72	75	76	74	72	72	74	73	73

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0871

S.6 Q.14b Please indicate how high a priority you feel this issue should be given ---
The threat to North Atlantic fisheries

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra-iries	Ont-ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl-antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K-\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not a priority at all																		
--- 1 (1)	25	1	2	2	20	18	1	-	3	6	8	6	2	10	4	6	11	7
	1.8	.6	.9	.4	5.6	6.0	2.5	-	1.5	1.7	2.0	2.5	1.0	1.8	1.1	1.8	1.9	1.8
--- 2 (2)	26	2	8	3	12	12	-	2	5	8	10	3	1	10	10	5	10	7
	1.9	1.0	3.2	.6	3.3	3.8	-	1.8	2.7	2.4	2.3	1.1	.6	1.8	2.5	1.6	1.8	1.8
--- 3 (3)	57	6	7	11	30	29	1	2	14	18	15	4	6	25	12	15	25	21
	4.1	3.8	3.0	2.2	8.5	9.4	2.7	1.6	7.7	5.4	3.6	1.6	2.7	4.4	3.1	4.3	4.4	5.4
--- 4 (4)	147	15	25	56	46	37	9	5	33	38	55	9	12	60	43	34	70	40
	10.5	9.1	10.3	10.8	13.0	12.0	19.1	4.4	17.9	11.3	12.8	4.0	5.7	10.5	10.8	9.7	12.2	10.3
--- 5 (5)	256	26	53	85	76	69	7	15	34	78	72	48	23	104	67	69	102	80
	18.3	15.6	22.4	16.4	21.4	22.5	14.3	12.8	18.6	23.4	16.9	20.5	10.5	18.2	17.0	19.9	17.7	20.3
--- 6 (6)	344	55	71	136	59	49	10	22	45	93	112	46	47	129	110	85	155	94
	24.6	32.5	29.9	26.4	16.7	16.2	20.0	18.2	24.5	28.0	26.1	19.4	21.8	22.7	27.9	24.7	26.9	24.1
Very high priority																		
--- 7 (7)	523	62	72	214	103	83	20	72	50	88	150	116	119	223	143	126	196	137
	37.4	36.9	30.2	41.4	29.0	27.1	41.4	59.2	26.9	26.5	35.1	49.0	54.8	39.1	36.2	36.4	34.1	35.0
D.N.S.	22	1	*	9	9	9	-	2	*	4	6	5	6	9	6	6	6	5
	1.5	.6	.1	1.8	2.5	2.9	-	2.1	.2	1.3	1.4	2.0	2.8	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.0	1.3
Average	5.7	5.9	5.6	5.9	5.1	5.0	5.7	6.3	5.3	5.5	5.6	6.0	6.2	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.6	5.6

S.6 Q.14b Please indicate how high a priority you feel this issue should be given ---
The threat to North Atlantic fisheries

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not a priority at all																		
--- 1 (1)	25	13	12	9	4	7	5	13	5	2	6	6	2	4	15	3	1	3
	1.8	1.9	1.7	2.1	1.4	1.9	1.5	2.2	2.8	1.7	1.3	1.4	.7	4.1	1.8	2.0	.9	1.4
--- 2 (2)	26	16	10	12	4	6	5	14	3	1	8	12	9	2	13	2	1	2
	1.9	2.3	1.5	2.7	1.7	1.5	1.4	2.2	1.7	1.4	1.7	2.7	2.8	2.5	1.6	1.6	1.0	1.0
--- 3 (3)	57	26	31	15	11	19	12	27	7	3	20	20	18	3	29	7	6	3
	4.1	3.8	4.4	3.5	4.2	5.1	3.5	4.3	3.9	2.9	4.2	4.7	5.3	3.0	3.5	4.7	4.1	1.5
--- 4 (4)	147	63	85	38	24	40	44	68	11	18	47	55	38	14	66	12	17	9
	10.5	9.2	11.7	9.0	9.6	10.7	12.9	10.9	5.8	17.6	10.1	12.8	11.6	15.8	8.0	8.5	11.6	4.1
--- 5 (5)	256	101	155	67	34	86	69	121	32	28	72	93	69	22	140	17	35	39
	18.3	15.0	21.4	15.7	13.6	22.6	20.1	19.5	16.9	27.6	15.6	21.6	20.7	24.5	17.0	12.0	24.2	18.6
--- 6 (6)	344	159	185	109	50	114	71	155	69	18	99	108	89	17	205	43	36	47
	24.6	23.4	25.6	25.5	19.9	30.2	20.6	25.0	36.5	17.9	21.3	25.2	27.0	18.8	24.8	29.8	25.0	22.3
Very high priority																		
--- 7 (7)	523	293	230	170	122	104	127	215	61	29	201	131	102	27	347	56	48	103
	37.4	43.2	31.9	40.0	48.4	27.4	36.9	34.6	32.3	28.1	43.4	30.6	30.7	30.5	41.9	39.0	33.0	49.3
D.N.S.	22	8	13	6	3	2	11	8	*	3	10	5	4	1	11	4	*	4
	1.5	1.2	1.8	1.4	1.0	.6	3.2	1.2	.2	2.7	2.3	1.1	1.2	.9	1.4	2.5	.2	1.8
Average	5.7	5.8	5.6	5.7	5.9	5.5	5.7	5.6	5.7	5.4	5.8	5.5	5.6	5.3	5.8	5.8	5.6	6.1

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0871(continuation)

S.6 Q.14b Please indicate how high a priority you feel this issue should be given ---
The threat to North Atlantic fisheries

	SINGLES				EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE	
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not a priority at all																			
--- 1 (1)	25	3	1	3	4	13	3	5	19	6	13	11	4	9	12	8	17	7	18
	1.8	3.0	1.1	2.6	3.7	2.6	.8	1.2	1.5	3.6	2.3	1.4	2.2	1.6	1.8	1.9	1.8	.6	6.0
--- 2 (2)	26	3	4	2	5	8	2	11	22	4	9	16	4	8	14	9	17	15	12
	1.9	2.9	3.7	1.7	4.5	1.7	.7	2.4	1.8	2.5	1.5	2.1	2.4	1.4	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.3	3.8
--- 3 (3)	57	8	3	3	3	24	14	15	49	8	28	24	4	19	33	14	43	28	29
	4.1	6.8	2.8	2.4	2.7	4.9	4.0	3.4	4.0	4.4	4.9	3.2	2.4	3.6	4.9	3.2	4.6	2.6	9.4
--- 4 (4)	147	24	7	12	12	60	34	42	132	15	65	67	14	45	88	47	100	111	37
	10.5	20.5	6.5	10.5	11.0	12.1	9.7	9.5	10.8	8.9	11.3	9.0	7.7	8.4	13.0	10.5	10.7	10.1	12.0
--- 5 (5)	256	20	15	20	18	83	72	81	215	41	112	130	28	100	128	73	178	187	69
	18.3	17.8	13.8	17.9	16.8	16.7	20.7	18.4	17.6	23.2	19.4	17.6	15.3	18.5	18.9	16.4	19.0	17.1	22.5
--- 6 (6)	344	27	28	25	15	106	97	123	311	32	132	194	48	125	171	121	215	294	49
	24.6	23.4	25.7	22.7	13.8	21.3	28.2	28.0	25.4	18.5	22.9	26.2	26.2	23.1	25.3	27.1	23.0	26.9	16.2
Very high priority																			
--- 7 (7)	523	29	50	44	46	194	122	157	459	64	212	285	76	230	217	170	349	440	83
	37.4	25.5	45.6	39.4	42.6	39.1	35.2	35.8	37.5	36.4	36.7	38.5	41.3	42.6	32.1	38.1	37.3	40.2	27.1
D.N.S.	22	-	1	3	5	7	3	6	17	4	6	14	5	4	13	4	18	13	9
	1.5	-	.7	2.6	4.9	1.5	.8	1.3	1.4	2.5	1.1	1.9	2.5	.7	1.9	.8	1.9	1.2	2.9
Average	5.7	5.2	5.9	5.7	5.6	5.6	5.8	5.7	5.7	5.5	5.6	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.5	5.7	5.7	5.9	5.0

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0872

S.6 Q.14b Please indicate how high a priority you feel this issue should be given ---
International peace

	REGION								AGE				HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS		
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not a priority at all																		
--- 1 (1)	15	2	1	3	7	7	-	1	2	3	5	4	2	9	2	2	9	4
	1.1	1.5	.5	.6	2.0	2.3	-	1.1	.8	1.0	1.1	1.6	1.0	1.6	.4	.6	1.6	1.0
--- 2 (2)	15	3	-	5	4	4	-	3	2	4	5	4	-	3	6	6	4	6
	1.1	1.8	-	.9	1.1	1.3	-	2.8	.8	1.3	1.1	1.8	-	.6	1.4	1.7	.8	1.5
--- 3 (3)	44	4	15	17	7	6	1	1	9	12	8	6	9	22	10	11	14	15
	3.1	2.6	6.3	3.2	1.9	1.9	2.0	.8	5.0	3.5	2.0	2.3	4.1	3.9	2.5	3.1	2.4	3.9
--- 4 (4)	115	24	23	46	11	7	4	11	19	27	36	18	14	47	33	28	46	35
	8.2	14.2	9.7	8.9	3.0	2.3	7.8	8.9	10.4	8.2	8.3	7.6	6.6	8.2	8.3	8.2	8.0	8.8
--- 5 (5)	200	22	39	83	41	35	6	15	32	52	70	23	24	61	66	69	80	65
	14.3	12.8	16.3	16.0	11.7	11.5	13.4	12.2	17.2	15.5	16.3	9.7	10.9	10.7	16.5	20.1	13.9	16.5
--- 6 (6)	318	35	61	116	74	60	14	31	43	98	100	42	35	115	101	86	146	97
	22.7	20.6	25.8	22.5	20.9	19.7	28.6	25.9	23.3	29.5	23.2	17.8	16.2	20.1	25.6	24.8	25.3	24.6
Very high priority																		
--- 7 (7)	673	78	97	240	203	180	23	55	77	134	203	134	125	303	174	139	273	168
	48.1	46.0	40.8	46.3	57.3	58.8	48.2	45.8	41.7	40.2	47.3	56.9	57.7	53.2	44.0	40.3	47.4	42.8
D.N.S.	20	1	2	8	7	7	-	3	1	3	4	5	8	9	5	4	3	3
	1.5	.6	.7	1.5	2.0	2.3	-	2.5	.7	.8	.8	2.3	3.5	1.6	1.2	1.1	.6	.8
Average	6.0	5.8	5.8	6.0	6.2	6.2	6.1	6.0	5.8	5.9	6.0	6.1	6.2	6.0	6.0	5.8	6.0	5.9

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.6 Q.14b Please indicate how high a priority you feel this issue should be given ---
International peace

REPORT C0872(continuation)

	SEX			MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD			
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not a priority at all																		
--- 1 (1)	15	10	6	5	4	4	2	9	3	2	3	2	2	*	12	*	2	1
	1.1	1.4	.8	1.3	1.6	1.1	.5	1.4	1.7	1.7	.7	.5	.6	.5	1.5	.3	1.0	.5
--- 2 (2)	15	7	8	6	2	6	2	7	4	2	1	7	7	-	7	-	3	2
	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.3	.7	1.6	.5	1.2	2.2	2.1	.3	1.5	2.0	-	.8	-	2.2	.8
--- 3 (3)	44	27	17	16	11	11	6	19	8	1	16	8	5	2	33	3	5	9
	3.1	4.0	2.3	3.8	4.3	2.8	1.8	3.1	4.2	1.1	3.4	1.7	1.4	2.5	4.0	2.3	3.7	4.3
--- 4 (4)	115	73	41	54	19	22	20	59	17	12	27	31	23	8	67	8	21	12
	8.2	10.8	5.7	12.7	7.7	5.7	5.8	9.5	9.2	11.8	5.9	7.1	6.9	8.8	8.2	5.4	14.4	5.6
--- 5 (5)	200	110	89	65	45	58	32	102	21	15	57	73	65	7	105	17	20	27
	14.3	16.3	12.4	15.4	17.8	15.3	9.2	16.5	11.0	15.1	12.4	17.1	19.7	8.2	12.7	11.7	14.0	12.9
--- 6 (6)	318	162	156	109	53	89	67	156	43	19	93	104	83	18	179	34	35	44
	22.7	23.9	21.6	25.6	21.0	23.5	19.5	25.1	22.9	18.6	20.1	24.2	25.1	20.1	21.6	23.8	23.8	20.8
Very high priority																		
--- 7 (7)	673	282	392	167	114	188	204	265	92	49	251	202	144	53	412	79	59	111
	48.1	41.5	54.3	39.3	45.2	49.6	59.4	42.6	48.7	48.1	54.2	47.0	43.5	59.2	49.8	54.8	40.7	53.1
D.N.S.	20	7	13	3	4	2	12	4	*	2	14	4	3	1	13	2	*	4
	1.5	1.1	1.8	.7	1.7	.4	3.4	.7	.2	1.6	3.0	.9	.9	.9	1.5	1.7	.2	2.0
Average	6.0	5.8	6.2	5.8	5.9	6.0	6.3	5.9	5.9	5.9	6.2	6.0	6.0	6.2	6.0	6.2	5.7	6.1

S.6 Q.14b Please indicate how high a priority you feel this issue should be given ---
International peace

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not a priority at all																			
--- 1 (1)	15	1	2	1	7	3	4	1	12	4	6	9	4	4	7	5	11	8	7
	1.1	1.2	2.1	1.2	6.1	.7	1.2	.3	1.0	2.1	1.1	1.2	2.4	.8	1.0	1.0	1.2	.8	2.3
--- 2 (2)	15	3	1	-	2	5	3	5	9	6	1	13	1	5	9	11	4	11	4
	1.1	2.2	.8	-	1.9	1.0	.9	1.1	.7	3.7	.2	1.7	.5	.9	1.4	2.4	.4	1.0	1.3
--- 3 (3)	44	6	9	2	2	17	8	17	40	4	15	23	5	24	15	12	31	38	6
	3.1	5.1	7.7	2.1	2.0	3.4	2.4	3.8	3.3	2.3	2.7	3.1	2.5	4.5	2.2	2.6	3.3	3.5	1.9
--- 4 (4)	115	10	12	9	8	43	30	32	102	12	47	57	16	45	54	33	80	108	7
	8.2	9.0	10.8	8.0	7.7	8.8	8.6	7.4	8.3	7.1	8.1	7.7	8.6	8.3	8.0	7.4	8.6	9.9	2.3
--- 5 (5)	200	18	15	13	10	59	62	68	177	22	76	113	26	72	101	65	135	165	35
	14.3	15.6	13.6	11.7	9.3	11.9	18.0	15.6	14.5	12.9	13.2	15.2	14.3	13.3	15.0	14.5	14.4	15.0	11.5
--- 6 (6)	318	32	25	14	19	101	79	117	275	43	127	172	33	114	171	109	207	258	60
	22.7	27.5	22.5	12.6	17.8	20.3	23.0	26.8	22.4	24.5	22.0	23.2	18.1	21.0	25.3	24.5	22.1	23.5	19.7
Very high priority																			
--- 7 (7)	673	44	45	69	53	259	159	192	595	78	299	340	94	271	309	211	451	494	180
	48.1	38.6	41.1	61.8	49.8	52.2	45.9	43.8	48.6	44.6	51.8	45.9	51.1	50.1	45.7	47.2	48.2	45.1	58.8
D.N.S.	20	1	1	3	6	8	*	5	15	5	6	14	4	6	10	2	17	13	7
	1.5	.8	1.3	2.6	5.4	1.6	.1	1.2	1.3	2.8	1.0	1.9	2.4	1.1	1.5	.4	1.8	1.2	2.3
Average	6.0	5.7	5.7	6.2	5.8	6.1	5.9	6.0	6.0	5.9	6.1	5.9	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.9	6.2

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.6 Q.14b Please indicate how high a priority you feel this issue should be given ---

Trade between Canada and other countries

REPORT C0873

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not a priority at all																		
--- 1 (1)	23	1	*	6	11	11	1	3	5	3	8	4	3	12	3	2	11	4
	1.6	.8	.1	1.2	3.2	3.4	1.8	2.9	2.9	1.0	1.8	1.7	1.2	2.1	.8	.6	2.0	1.1
--- 2 (2)	10	3	*	*	4	4	-	2	3	1	1	5	-	4	2	5	2	3
	.7	1.7	.2	*	1.1	1.3	-	2.0	1.6	.4	.3	1.9	-	.6	.4	1.4	.4	.8
--- 3 (3)	47	4	7	18	18	16	2	1	10	16	15	2	4	15	20	8	22	14
	3.3	2.1	2.8	3.5	5.1	5.2	4.8	.5	5.3	4.7	3.5	.9	1.9	2.6	5.1	2.3	3.8	3.7
--- 4 (4)	217	25	39	76	53	46	7	24	44	57	78	17	22	96	63	48	90	63
	15.5	14.7	16.4	14.7	14.8	14.9	14.5	20.1	23.6	17.0	18.1	7.1	10.3	16.8	15.9	13.8	15.6	15.9
--- 5 (5)	397	49	63	161	92	75	17	32	52	109	125	70	41	158	110	110	174	116
	28.4	29.0	26.3	31.0	26.0	24.6	34.9	26.8	28.3	32.8	29.1	29.6	18.8	27.8	27.7	31.8	30.3	29.6
--- 6 (6)	350	49	76	113	82	76	6	30	36	85	120	57	52	121	109	98	138	109
	25.0	29.1	31.8	21.8	23.3	25.0	12.3	24.4	19.4	25.4	28.1	24.1	24.0	21.2	27.5	28.3	24.1	27.8
Very high priority																		
--- 7 (7)	328	37	51	129	85	71	14	26	34	57	77	72	88	152	81	72	127	76
	23.5	22.1	21.6	24.8	24.1	23.2	29.8	21.3	18.3	17.0	17.9	30.7	40.8	26.8	20.5	20.8	22.0	19.4
D.N.S.	29	1	2	15	8	7	1	2	1	5	6	9	6	12	9	4	11	6
	2.0	.6	.8	2.9	2.3	2.4	1.8	2.1	.7	1.6	1.4	4.0	3.0	2.2	2.2	1.0	1.9	1.6
Average	5.4	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.3	5.3	5.4	5.3	5.1	5.3	5.3	5.7	5.9	5.4	5.4	5.5	5.4	5.4

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0873(continuation)

S.6 Q.14b Please indicate how high a priority you feel this issue should be given ---

Trade between Canada and other countries

	SEX			MALE				FEMALE				WORKING STATUS					PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD			
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest					
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237					
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209					
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0					
Not a priority at all																							
--- 1 (1)	23	8	15	3	4	10	5	10	6	3	5	8	6	2	11	3	-	2					
	1.6	1.1	2.1	.8	1.7	2.7	1.5	1.6	3.1	3.4	1.1	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.3	1.9	-	.7					
--- 2 (2)	10	6	4	4	2	1	3	2	3	-	5	2	2	*	6	1	-	2					
	.7	.9	.5	1.0	.8	.2	.8	.3	1.8	-	1.0	.6	.7	.2	.7	.7	-	.8					
--- 3 (3)	47	17	30	10	6	15	15	21	4	6	15	17	10	7	22	5	5	5					
	3.3	2.4	4.2	2.4	2.5	4.0	4.4	3.4	2.3	5.9	3.2	3.9	3.1	7.4	2.7	3.6	3.6	2.5					
--- 4 (4)	217	83	134	60	24	73	61	98	36	15	65	73	50	19	116	19	21	20					
	15.5	12.3	18.5	14.0	9.4	19.2	17.7	15.7	18.9	14.6	14.1	17.0	15.1	21.3	14.0	13.4	14.5	9.8					
--- 5 (5)	397	196	201	127	69	105	96	182	52	33	123	132	105	24	229	40	47	57					
	28.4	28.8	27.9	29.8	27.2	27.9	27.9	29.3	27.5	32.1	26.6	30.8	31.8	27.2	27.6	28.1	32.2	27.2					
--- 6 (6)	350	191	159	127	64	84	75	177	36	24	109	114	97	16	203	37	39	50					
	25.0	28.2	22.0	29.9	25.2	22.2	21.9	28.4	18.8	23.6	23.5	26.6	29.2	18.2	24.6	25.8	26.6	24.2					
Very high priority																							
--- 7 (7)	328	168	160	88	80	84	76	122	51	19	126	75	54	19	225	35	33	67					
	23.5	24.8	22.2	20.8	31.6	22.2	22.1	19.7	26.9	18.8	27.2	17.5	16.3	21.9	27.2	24.2	22.9	32.3					
D.N.S.	29	10	19	6	4	6	13	11	1	2	15	8	6	2	15	3	*	5					
	2.0	1.4	2.6	1.3	1.7	1.6	3.7	1.7	.6	1.6	3.2	1.9	1.8	2.2	1.9	2.1	.2	2.6					
Average	5.4	5.5	5.3	5.5	5.7	5.3	5.3	5.4	5.3	5.2	5.5	5.3	5.3	5.2	5.5	5.4	5.5	5.7					

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.6 Q.14b Please indicate how high a priority you feel this issue should be given ---

Trade between Canada and other countries

REPORT C0873(continuation)

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not a priority at all																			
--- 1 (1)	23	*	*	3	9	8	4	2	15	7	11	10	4	6	13	7	14	12	11
	1.6	.4	.2	3.0	8.6	1.6	1.2	.4	1.3	4.2	2.0	1.4	2.0	1.1	2.0	1.6	1.5	1.1	3.4
--- 2 (2)	10	3	1	*	-	8	1	1	7	2	3	5	1	3	6	5	5	6	4
	.7	2.4	.9	.3	-	1.5	.3	.3	.6	1.3	.5	.6	.5	.5	.9	1.2	.5	.5	1.3
--- 3 (3)	47	8	1	2	1	17	16	13	36	11	20	22	3	19	24	8	39	31	16
	3.3	6.9	.7	1.6	.8	3.4	4.7	2.9	3.0	6.0	3.5	3.0	1.9	3.5	3.6	1.8	4.2	2.8	5.2
--- 4 (4)	217	23	20	20	14	81	43	79	191	26	112	88	30	81	106	78	138	171	46
	15.5	20.4	18.5	18.3	13.2	16.4	12.4	18.0	15.6	14.8	19.5	11.8	16.4	14.9	15.7	17.5	14.8	15.7	14.9
--- 5 (5)	397	39	26	27	18	132	102	141	352	45	157	213	48	151	198	136	254	322	75
	28.4	34.4	23.7	24.6	16.8	26.6	29.4	32.2	28.7	25.7	27.1	28.8	26.2	28.0	29.2	30.5	27.1	29.4	24.6
--- 6 (6)	350	24	35	20	23	116	101	109	308	41	125	208	43	128	180	106	237	273	76
	25.0	20.5	31.8	18.1	21.2	23.4	29.1	24.8	25.2	23.6	21.7	28.1	23.3	23.6	26.6	23.8	25.4	25.0	25.0
Very high priority																			
--- 7 (7)	328	16	26	32	36	124	74	88	290	38	138	178	50	145	134	101	227	257	71
	23.5	14.3	23.3	28.9	33.3	25.1	21.4	20.1	23.7	22.0	23.9	24.0	27.2	26.8	19.8	22.5	24.2	23.5	23.2
D.N.S.	29	1	1	6	7	10	5	6	24	4	11	16	5	9	15	5	23	21	7
	2.0	.8	.9	5.1	6.2	2.1	1.5	1.3	2.0	2.3	1.9	2.2	2.5	1.7	2.2	1.1	2.4	1.9	2.4
Average	5.4	5.1	5.6	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.5	5.4	5.5	5.2	5.3	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.3	5.4	5.4	5.5	5.3

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0874(continuation)

S.6 Q.14b Please indicate how high a priority you feel this issue should be given ---

Reform of the United Nations

	SINGLES		EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE			
	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French	
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not a priority at all																			
--- 1 (1)	60	2	4	4	9	20	12	19	45	16	26	33	7	22	31	17	44	38	22
	4.3	1.8	3.4	4.0	8.7	4.0	3.5	4.4	3.7	8.9	4.4	4.5	3.9	4.1	4.6	3.7	4.7	3.5	7.3
--- 2 (2)	78	5	7	2	2	27	15	34	70	9	28	46	10	34	35	26	49	51	27
	5.6	4.5	6.3	2.1	2.1	5.4	4.5	7.7	5.7	5.0	4.8	6.3	5.2	6.2	5.2	5.9	5.2	4.7	8.9
--- 3 (3)	145	16	7	9	7	47	49	41	119	26	44	84	15	46	84	57	86	105	40
	10.3	14.1	6.0	8.0	6.4	9.4	14.2	9.4	9.7	14.7	7.5	11.3	8.3	8.4	12.4	12.8	9.2	9.6	13.0
--- 4 (4)	357	37	24	23	16	125	92	122	319	37	155	187	39	129	188	122	233	292	64
	25.5	32.2	21.4	20.6	15.3	25.2	26.7	27.9	26.1	21.4	26.8	25.2	21.3	24.0	27.9	27.3	24.9	26.7	21.1
--- 5 (5)	344	26	28	34	26	121	91	102	302	42	136	188	49	143	152	108	233	275	69
	24.5	22.9	25.2	30.8	24.4	24.4	26.3	23.3	24.6	23.9	23.5	25.4	26.5	26.4	22.5	24.1	24.9	25.1	22.4
--- 6 (6)	195	17	22	16	21	71	52	51	175	19	95	87	29	75	91	59	131	158	37
	13.9	14.4	20.3	14.1	19.4	14.4	14.9	11.6	14.3	11.1	16.5	11.7	16.1	13.8	13.4	13.2	13.9	14.5	12.0
Very high priority																			
--- 7 (7)	195	11	19	18	20	72	34	63	174	22	86	99	29	84	82	55	138	158	37
	14.0	10.0	17.4	16.0	18.4	14.6	9.7	14.4	14.2	12.3	14.9	13.4	15.9	15.5	12.2	12.3	14.7	14.5	12.1
D.N.S.	26	-	-	5	6	13	1	5	21	5	8	17	5	8	13	2	22	16	10
	1.8	-	-	4.4	5.3	2.6	.3	1.2	1.7	2.7	1.4	2.3	2.7	1.5	1.9	.6	2.4	1.5	3.2
Average	4.6	4.5	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.7	4.5	4.8	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.3

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0875

S.6 Q.14b Please indicate how high a priority you feel this issue should be given ---
Violations of human rights by governments in other countries

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not a priority at all																		
--- 1 (1)	40	4	3	13	15	15	*	4	4	5	16	8	6	13	12	11	15	13
	2.8	2.3	1.1	2.6	4.3	4.8	.9	3.6	2.3	1.5	3.7	3.6	2.9	2.3	3.1	3.1	2.6	3.3
--- 2 (2)	38	5	4	16	12	10	1	1	4	15	10	5	5	17	9	12	16	13
	2.7	3.2	1.6	3.1	3.4	3.4	3.0	1.0	2.0	4.4	2.4	1.9	2.3	3.0	2.3	3.3	2.8	3.4
--- 3 (3)	93	14	20	32	21	21	-	6	14	23	29	16	11	32	31	25	42	28
	6.6	8.2	8.4	6.2	6.1	7.0	-	4.6	7.8	6.9	6.7	6.7	5.0	5.6	7.7	7.3	7.3	7.1
--- 4 (4)	226	31	39	90	51	45	6	15	28	56	71	30	41	90	62	61	76	69
	16.1	18.5	16.4	17.4	14.4	14.8	12.2	12.2	15.2	16.9	16.5	12.8	18.7	15.9	15.6	17.5	13.2	17.6
--- 5 (5)	308	37	63	115	71	58	13	22	44	68	103	49	44	110	101	84	127	94
	22.0	22.2	26.3	22.2	20.0	18.9	27.0	18.5	23.9	20.4	24.0	20.9	20.2	19.2	25.4	24.4	22.1	23.9
--- 6 (6)	339	41	56	126	79	63	16	37	49	88	105	54	42	147	88	83	172	76
	24.2	24.4	23.4	24.3	22.2	20.5	33.0	30.9	26.7	26.4	24.5	23.0	19.5	25.7	22.3	24.2	29.9	19.5
Very high priority																		
--- 7 (7)	334	34	54	117	97	85	12	33	39	75	91	69	60	152	88	66	121	95
	23.9	19.9	22.6	22.6	27.3	27.8	23.9	27.2	21.1	22.5	21.2	29.1	27.9	26.7	22.2	19.1	21.1	24.2
D.N.S.	22	2	*	9	8	8	-	2	2	4	4	5	8	9	5	4	6	4
	1.6	1.3	.1	1.7	2.3	2.7	-	2.1	.9	1.1	1.0	2.0	3.6	1.6	1.3	1.2	1.0	1.0
Average	5.2	5.1	5.3	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.6	5.5	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.4	5.3	5.3	5.2	5.1	5.3	5.1

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0875(continuation)

S.6 Q.14b Please indicate how high a priority you feel this issue should be given ---
Violations of human rights by governments in other countries

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS			PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD					
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not a priority at all																		
--- 1 (1)	40	22	18	14	8	12	6	21	6	4	9	10	9	1	25	1	4	8
	2.8	3.2	2.5	3.2	3.2	3.0	1.8	3.4	3.4	4.1	2.0	2.3	2.7	.9	3.1	.6	2.5	3.6
--- 2 (2)	38	22	16	16	7	9	7	19	5	1	13	15	11	4	18	2	6	3
	2.7	3.3	2.2	3.7	2.6	2.3	2.1	3.0	2.9	.8	2.8	3.6	3.4	4.3	2.2	1.3	4.1	1.4
--- 3 (3)	93	60	33	41	19	19	14	51	9	5	26	28	23	5	58	7	11	15
	6.6	8.9	4.6	9.6	7.6	5.0	4.1	8.2	4.7	5.0	5.7	6.6	7.0	5.2	7.0	4.9	7.7	7.4
--- 4 (4)	226	121	105	71	49	58	48	102	27	15	79	70	56	13	129	21	22	29
	16.1	17.8	14.6	16.8	19.4	15.2	13.9	16.3	14.5	14.3	17.0	16.4	17.0	14.2	15.6	14.8	15.4	14.1
--- 5 (5)	308	158	150	104	55	84	66	145	44	13	98	93	82	10	181	32	34	49
	22.0	23.4	20.8	24.4	21.7	22.2	19.2	23.3	23.1	13.1	21.1	21.6	24.8	11.4	21.9	22.0	23.3	23.5
--- 6 (6)	339	150	189	108	42	96	93	154	51	32	97	113	83	25	195	44	34	40
	24.2	22.2	26.2	25.4	16.8	25.5	26.9	24.8	26.7	31.2	21.0	26.3	25.2	27.9	23.6	30.9	23.7	19.2
Very high priority																		
--- 7 (7)	334	138	196	69	69	98	97	124	46	28	129	93	63	29	209	34	34	59
	23.9	20.4	27.1	16.2	27.5	26.0	28.3	20.0	24.3	27.5	27.9	21.6	19.0	32.5	25.2	23.8	23.2	28.4
D.N.S.	22	7	15	4	3	3	13	6	1	4	11	7	3	3	12	2	*	5
	1.6	1.0	2.1	.8	1.2	.8	3.7	.9	.5	4.0	2.4	1.6	.9	3.6	1.4	1.7	.2	2.3
Average	5.2	5.0	5.4	5.0	5.2	5.3	5.5	5.1	5.3	5.4	5.3	5.2	5.1	5.5	5.3	5.5	5.2	5.3

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0875(continuation)

S.6 Q.14b Please indicate how high a priority you feel this issue should be given ---

Violations of human rights by governments in other countries

	SINGLES			EDUCATION					AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE	
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not a priority at all																			
--- 1 (1)	40	2	4	5	9	13	12	5	28	12	13	25	7	14	19	15	23	25	15
	2.8	1.6	3.4	4.3	8.2	2.7	3.5	1.2	2.3	6.9	2.2	3.4	3.7	2.6	2.7	3.4	2.5	2.3	4.8
--- 2 (2)	38	2	4	1	2	13	9	14	33	5	19	17	5	14	19	12	26	28	10
	2.7	2.1	3.4	.7	2.1	2.7	2.5	3.2	2.7	2.7	3.3	2.3	2.9	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.5	3.4
--- 3 (3)	93	7	10	4	6	33	30	21	75	18	32	52	12	42	39	28	64	71	21
	6.6	6.3	8.8	4.0	5.7	6.6	8.7	4.9	6.1	10.1	5.6	7.0	6.6	7.7	5.8	6.2	6.9	6.5	7.0
--- 4 (4)	226	21	17	18	16	88	52	68	195	31	83	131	29	84	113	70	155	181	45
	16.1	17.9	15.7	16.3	15.1	17.9	15.0	15.6	15.9	17.9	14.4	17.6	15.7	15.5	16.8	15.6	16.5	16.5	14.8
--- 5 (5)	308	29	22	23	15	101	72	117	273	35	111	179	35	118	155	99	208	250	58
	22.0	25.5	19.6	20.7	14.2	20.4	20.9	26.7	22.3	20.1	19.1	24.2	18.9	21.9	22.9	22.0	22.2	22.9	18.9
--- 6 (6)	339	26	30	27	25	106	89	117	312	27	156	165	47	121	171	110	225	276	63
	24.2	22.7	27.3	24.2	23.7	21.5	25.8	26.7	25.5	15.4	27.0	22.3	25.8	22.3	25.3	24.7	24.1	25.3	20.5
Very high priority																			
--- 7 (7)	334	27	24	29	26	132	80	91	292	42	156	159	44	142	147	111	217	249	85
	23.9	23.7	21.8	25.8	24.3	26.7	23.0	20.8	23.8	24.2	27.0	21.4	24.0	26.3	21.8	24.9	23.2	22.7	27.8
D.N.S.	22	-	-	4	7	8	2	4	17	5	8	13	4	5	13	2	18	14	8
	1.6	-	-	3.8	6.7	1.6	.6	.9	1.4	2.7	1.4	1.7	2.3	.9	1.9	.5	1.9	1.2	2.7
Average	5.2	5.3	5.1	5.3	5.1	5.3	5.2	5.3	5.3	4.9	5.4	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.2	5.3	5.2	5.2	5.2

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0876

S.6 Q.14b Please indicate how high a priority you feel this issue should be given ---

The situation in Bosnia

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not a priority at all																		
--- 1 (1)	86	9	7	33	32	30	2	6	8	12	32	19	15	38	25	19	35	23
	6.2	5.3	2.7	6.4	8.9	9.8	3.5	5.3	4.1	3.7	7.5	8.1	7.0	6.7	6.4	5.6	6.0	5.8
--- 2 (2)	76	5	18	27	22	22	-	5	11	18	20	18	10	25	28	20	31	24
	5.4	2.9	7.4	5.1	6.1	7.1	-	4.1	5.8	5.4	4.6	7.4	4.6	4.4	7.2	5.7	5.4	6.2
--- 3 (3)	110	16	21	42	26	24	2	5	19	25	31	20	15	44	35	26	45	30
	7.9	9.2	9.0	8.2	7.4	7.8	4.4	4.0	10.1	7.5	7.3	8.4	7.0	7.8	8.9	7.6	7.8	7.8
--- 4 (4)	233	36	44	88	52	45	6	13	27	61	79	24	41	91	67	62	93	72
	16.6	21.2	18.3	17.1	14.7	14.9	13.3	10.9	14.9	18.4	18.5	10.2	18.9	16.0	17.0	17.8	16.1	18.4
--- 5 (5)	334	37	56	126	95	77	17	20	53	80	113	56	32	125	102	89	152	93
	23.8	21.6	23.7	24.4	26.8	25.3	35.8	16.3	28.7	24.0	26.3	23.6	14.8	21.9	25.8	25.8	26.4	23.8
--- 6 (6)	266	28	54	99	56	47	9	29	37	77	75	39	39	108	74	64	118	71
	19.0	16.3	22.6	19.2	15.8	15.5	17.6	24.3	19.9	23.1	17.4	16.4	17.9	18.9	18.6	18.6	20.4	18.2
Very high priority																		
--- 7 (7)	276	38	39	95	65	53	12	40	30	57	73	58	58	129	59	63	98	74
	19.7	22.4	16.2	18.3	18.4	17.3	25.3	33.1	16.4	17.2	17.0	24.4	26.8	22.7	14.8	18.1	17.1	18.8
D.N.S.	19	2	*	7	7	7	-	2	*	3	6	4	7	10	5	3	4	4
	1.3	1.0	.1	1.4	2.0	2.4	-	2.1	.2	.8	1.3	1.5	3.0	1.7	1.3	.8	.7	1.0
Average	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.6	5.3	5.4	4.8	4.9	4.7	4.8	5.0	4.9	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.6 Q.14b Please indicate how high a priority you feel this issue should be given ---
The situation in Bosnia

REPORT C0876(continuation)

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not a priority at all																		
--- 1 (1)	86	52	35	31	20	19	16	39	14	8	26	24	20	3	51	9	11	14
	6.2	7.6	4.8	7.4	7.9	5.0	4.7	6.2	7.5	7.3	5.6	5.5	6.2	3.6	6.2	6.2	7.7	6.5
--- 2 (2)	76	55	21	37	18	10	12	41	6	2	27	17	16	1	48	6	9	11
	5.4	8.0	2.9	8.7	6.9	2.5	3.4	6.5	3.1	2.1	5.8	3.9	4.7	1.4	5.8	4.2	6.1	5.2
--- 3 (3)	110	64	46	37	27	25	21	51	13	9	38	27	19	8	70	11	10	20
	7.9	9.5	6.3	8.8	10.7	6.5	6.1	8.1	6.7	8.4	8.1	6.2	5.8	8.4	8.5	7.9	6.9	9.5
--- 4 (4)	233	106	127	71	34	72	55	111	33	14	74	81	63	18	125	26	24	28
	16.6	15.6	17.6	16.8	13.6	19.1	15.9	17.9	17.2	14.0	16.0	19.0	18.9	20.2	15.1	17.8	16.4	13.4
--- 5 (5)	334	171	162	107	64	91	71	148	51	24	97	102	83	14	199	39	35	57
	23.8	25.3	22.5	25.2	25.5	24.2	20.7	23.9	26.9	23.7	21.0	23.8	25.0	15.5	24.0	27.2	24.0	27.3
--- 6 (6)	266	118	148	81	36	75	74	129	28	25	80	89	69	18	154	22	33	25
	19.0	17.3	20.5	19.1	14.3	19.7	21.5	20.7	14.8	24.2	17.2	20.6	20.7	20.7	18.6	15.6	22.6	12.1
Very high priority																		
--- 7 (7)	276	107	170	55	51	85	85	99	43	18	111	84	58	25	171	28	23	50
	19.7	15.7	23.5	13.0	20.3	22.5	24.6	15.9	22.9	18.0	23.9	19.5	17.7	27.6	20.6	19.4	16.1	24.0
D.N.S.	19	6	12	5	2	2	11	4	2	2	10	6	3	2	10	3	*	4
	1.3	.9	1.7	1.1	.7	.4	3.2	.7	.9	2.3	2.2	1.4	.9	2.5	1.2	1.8	.2	1.9
Average	4.8	4.6	5.1	4.5	4.7	5.1	5.1	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	5.2	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.9

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.6 Q.14b Please indicate how high a priority you feel this issue should be given ---

The situation in Bosnia

REPORT C0876(continuation)

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ./ More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not a priority at all																			
--- 1 (1)	86	6	6	6	17	38	21	10	67	19	28	56	10	34	43	30	55	57	30
	6.2	5.0	5.0	5.1	15.8	7.7	6.1	2.4	5.5	11.1	4.8	7.5	5.4	6.2	6.4	6.7	5.9	5.2	9.8
--- 2 (2)	76	5	12	1	11	21	18	26	68	8	30	43	11	32	33	26	50	54	22
	5.4	4.5	11.3	.7	9.9	4.3	5.1	5.9	5.5	4.5	5.2	5.8	5.8	5.9	4.9	5.7	5.4	4.9	7.1
--- 3 (3)	110	12	12	6	5	37	34	29	96	14	44	57	12	51	47	32	77	86	24
	7.9	10.9	10.8	5.2	4.3	7.5	9.9	6.7	7.8	8.2	7.6	7.7	6.5	9.5	7.0	7.1	8.2	7.9	7.8
--- 4 (4)	233	18	15	19	16	90	50	77	202	31	88	132	29	81	123	75	155	187	45
	16.6	15.9	13.8	17.2	14.6	18.2	14.4	17.4	16.5	17.5	15.3	17.8	15.7	15.0	18.2	16.8	16.6	17.1	14.9
--- 5 (5)	334	35	24	24	12	100	101	118	298	36	150	158	37	132	165	111	221	256	77
	23.8	30.8	21.6	21.3	11.6	20.3	29.1	26.9	24.3	20.6	26.0	21.4	20.3	24.3	24.4	24.9	23.7	23.4	25.3
--- 6 (6)	266	23	20	27	18	86	61	99	234	32	108	141	41	89	135	81	182	218	47
	19.0	19.7	18.2	23.9	17.2	17.5	17.5	22.6	19.1	18.4	18.7	19.0	22.5	16.5	20.0	18.2	19.5	20.0	15.5
Very high priority																			
--- 7 (7)	276	15	21	27	24	114	60	75	247	29	123	142	41	116	120	89	179	224	53
	19.7	13.3	19.3	23.9	22.6	22.9	17.4	17.1	20.2	16.9	21.3	19.2	22.2	21.4	17.7	20.0	19.2	20.4	17.3
D.N.S.	19	-	-	3	4	8	2	4	14	5	6	12	3	6	10	2	16	11	7
	1.3	-	-	2.6	4.0	1.5	.6	.9	1.1	2.8	1.0	1.6	1.6	1.1	1.5	.5	1.7	1.0	2.4
Average	4.8	4.8	4.7	5.2	4.4	4.9	4.8	5.0	4.9	4.6	5.0	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.6

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.6 Q.14b Please indicate how high a priority you feel this issue should be given ---

Easing poverty in Third World countries

REPORT C0877

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not a priority at all																		
--- 1 (1)	70	11	8	24	21	21	*	6	10	10	26	16	8	28	22	17	24	22
	5.0	6.6	3.4	4.6	6.0	6.8	.9	5.0	5.3	3.0	6.2	6.9	3.6	5.0	5.5	4.9	4.2	5.6
--- 2 (2)	70	3	12	35	16	16	-	3	11	22	15	12	9	29	23	17	25	29
	5.0	1.7	5.2	6.8	4.7	5.4	-	2.1	6.1	6.7	3.6	4.9	4.2	5.0	5.7	4.9	4.4	7.4
--- 3 (3)	123	17	19	48	33	26	7	6	20	33	32	19	19	41	41	38	56	30
	8.8	10.0	7.9	9.3	9.3	8.5	14.5	5.1	10.8	9.8	7.5	8.0	9.0	7.2	10.3	10.9	9.8	7.6
--- 4 (4)	249	31	54	103	50	43	7	11	27	57	85	39	42	98	84	57	108	70
	17.8	18.6	22.6	19.9	14.0	14.1	13.5	9.4	14.7	17.0	19.7	16.4	19.4	17.1	21.1	16.4	18.9	17.7
--- 5 (5)	321	45	60	115	72	61	11	29	38	77	109	61	37	117	93	92	143	99
	23.0	26.4	25.4	22.2	20.4	20.0	23.2	23.8	20.5	23.1	25.5	25.7	16.9	20.6	23.4	26.5	24.9	25.1
--- 6 (6)	269	25	45	95	71	55	16	33	38	68	83	36	43	109	77	65	124	66
	19.2	14.9	19.0	18.3	20.1	18.0	33.8	27.0	20.5	20.5	19.4	15.3	19.9	19.2	19.3	18.9	21.5	16.9
Very high priority																		
--- 7 (7)	277	36	39	89	82	75	7	31	41	64	72	50	50	138	53	57	90	73
	19.8	21.3	16.3	17.2	23.1	24.6	14.1	25.5	22.0	19.2	16.8	21.4	23.0	24.2	13.3	16.6	15.7	18.7
D.N.S.	21	1	1	9	8	8	-	2	*	3	6	4	9	9	5	3	4	4
	1.5	.6	.3	1.7	2.3	2.7	-	2.1	.2	.8	1.3	1.5	4.0	1.7	1.3	.8	.6	.9
Average	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.9	5.2	5.3	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.6 Q.14b Please indicate how high a priority you feel this issue should be given ---
Easing poverty in Third World countries

REPORT C0877(continuation)

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not a priority at all																		
--- 1 (1)	70	36	34	26	10	20	14	34	14	5	18	16	14	2	43	4	8	10
	5.0	5.3	4.7	6.1	3.9	5.3	4.1	5.5	7.6	5.1	3.9	3.7	4.2	2.2	5.2	2.5	5.6	4.9
--- 2 (2)	70	45	24	28	17	17	7	35	9	4	21	17	16	1	40	4	13	10
	5.0	6.7	3.4	6.5	6.9	4.5	2.2	5.7	5.0	3.6	4.5	4.0	4.9	.9	4.9	2.8	8.6	4.6
--- 3 (3)	123	71	52	41	31	29	23	60	11	9	43	34	23	10	74	13	13	25
	8.8	10.5	7.2	9.6	12.1	7.7	6.6	9.6	5.9	9.1	9.2	7.8	6.8	10.8	8.9	9.3	8.7	12.1
--- 4 (4)	249	128	121	84	44	68	54	116	36	20	73	72	57	13	155	28	25	40
	17.8	18.9	16.8	19.7	17.4	17.9	15.6	18.6	19.0	19.6	15.7	16.8	17.3	14.4	18.7	19.3	17.4	19.1
--- 5 (5)	321	158	164	108	50	87	76	161	37	19	103	100	80	16	192	41	29	43
	23.0	23.3	22.7	25.4	19.6	23.0	22.3	25.8	19.4	18.3	22.3	23.3	24.3	18.3	23.2	28.5	19.9	20.6
--- 6 (6)	269	121	148	84	37	75	73	118	43	20	81	102	83	19	146	23	31	34
	19.2	17.8	20.5	19.8	14.5	19.8	21.3	19.0	22.7	19.5	17.4	23.7	25.0	21.5	17.6	15.8	21.4	16.2
Very high priority																		
--- 7 (7)	277	113	164	51	62	79	84	93	38	24	112	83	54	27	166	29	26	43
	19.8	16.7	22.7	12.0	24.6	21.0	24.6	14.9	20.0	23.2	24.3	19.3	16.5	29.7	20.0	20.3	18.1	20.5
D.N.S.	21	6	15	4	3	3	12	6	1	2	13	6	3	2	12	2	*	4
	1.5	.9	2.0	.8	1.1	.8	3.5	.9	.5	1.6	2.7	1.3	.9	2.2	1.5	1.5	.2	1.9
Average	4.9	4.7	5.1	4.6	4.9	4.9	5.2	4.7	4.9	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.3	4.9	5.0	4.7	4.8

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0877(continuation)

S.6 Q.14b Please indicate how high a priority you feel this issue should be given ---
Easing poverty in Third World countries

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not a priority at all																			
--- 1 (1)	70	6	6	6	12	29	17	13	56	14	27	39	8	28	34	28	41	49	21
	5.0	5.4	5.9	5.4	10.7	5.8	5.0	2.9	4.6	8.2	4.7	5.3	4.6	5.1	5.1	6.2	4.4	4.5	6.8
--- 2 (2)	70	7	6	1	6	25	19	20	58	11	28	35	8	26	35	25	44	53	16
	5.0	5.7	5.0	1.3	5.9	5.0	5.4	4.5	4.8	6.5	4.8	4.7	4.3	4.9	5.2	5.6	4.7	4.9	5.4
--- 3 (3)	123	16	13	4	8	39	46	29	102	21	50	65	10	60	52	30	93	97	26
	8.8	14.0	11.9	3.2	7.7	7.9	13.2	6.6	8.3	11.8	8.7	8.8	5.6	11.1	7.8	6.7	9.9	8.9	8.5
--- 4 (4)	249	16	16	27	16	99	64	71	216	33	94	140	32	99	119	79	167	206	43
	17.8	14.2	14.5	23.8	15.1	19.9	18.4	16.1	17.6	19.0	16.3	18.9	17.3	18.3	17.6	17.8	17.9	18.8	14.1
--- 5 (5)	321	30	28	23	16	114	74	112	295	27	120	185	43	116	162	113	204	260	61
	23.0	25.8	25.1	20.4	15.0	22.9	21.4	25.5	24.1	15.3	20.8	25.0	23.5	21.5	24.0	25.3	21.8	23.8	20.0
--- 6 (6)	269	19	20	22	16	87	63	100	237	32	114	142	36	91	142	87	182	214	55
	19.2	16.3	18.1	20.0	14.7	17.5	18.3	22.9	19.3	18.2	19.7	19.2	19.9	16.8	20.9	19.4	19.4	19.5	18.0
Very high priority																			
--- 7 (7)	277	21	22	24	27	96	62	90	244	32	136	124	41	115	120	82	187	202	75
	19.8	18.6	19.6	22.0	25.4	19.3	18.0	20.4	20.0	18.6	23.6	16.7	22.5	21.3	17.8	18.4	20.0	18.4	24.6
D.N.S.	21	-	-	4	6	8	1	5	17	4	9	11	4	5	11	3	17	13	8
	1.5	-	-	3.8	5.3	1.6	.3	1.0	1.4	2.5	1.5	1.5	2.3	1.0	1.7	.6	1.8	1.2	2.7
Average	4.9	4.7	4.8	5.1	4.7	4.8	4.7	5.1	4.9	4.6	5.0	4.8	5.1	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.9

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0878

S.6 Q.14b Please indicate how high a priority you feel this issue should be given ---

International environmental problems like the depletion of the ozone layer and global warming

	REGION								AGE				HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS		
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not a priority at all																		
--- 1 (1)	27	4	5	6	8	8	-	4	3	3	11	7	2	11	6	7	10	10
	1.9	2.6	2.1	1.1	2.2	2.5	-	2.9	1.8	.9	2.5	2.9	1.2	1.9	1.5	2.0	1.7	2.5
--- 2 (2)	22	5	5	8	3	3	-	*	4	3	3	8	5	7	7	6	6	7
	1.6	3.2	2.2	1.6	.9	1.1	-	.1	2.0	.9	.7	3.4	2.1	1.2	1.8	1.8	1.0	1.9
--- 3 (3)	37	3	8	17	8	8	-	1	1	7	13	5	10	16	5	12	11	10
	2.6	1.6	3.4	3.3	2.3	2.6	-	.7	.5	2.0	3.1	2.2	4.8	2.8	1.3	3.6	1.9	2.4
--- 4 (4)	133	21	33	36	29	23	5	14	20	35	43	13	22	58	39	31	50	35
	9.5	12.5	14.0	7.0	8.1	7.6	10.9	11.4	10.9	10.6	10.0	5.3	10.3	10.1	9.7	9.0	8.7	8.9
--- 5 (5)	204	18	34	75	64	56	8	12	26	43	68	37	30	77	61	55	96	58
	14.6	10.9	14.5	14.5	18.2	18.4	16.8	10.2	14.3	12.9	15.9	15.6	13.9	13.4	15.5	16.1	16.7	14.8
--- 6 (6)	344	44	72	111	84	74	10	33	41	101	103	57	42	130	106	85	163	92
	24.6	26.1	30.3	21.4	23.7	24.2	20.6	27.0	22.0	30.2	24.1	24.4	19.2	22.7	26.9	24.6	28.3	23.4
Very high priority																		
--- 7 (7)	614	72	80	258	150	125	25	55	89	139	183	105	98	264	166	145	236	177
	43.9	42.4	33.4	49.8	42.3	40.8	51.7	45.6	48.2	41.7	42.6	44.7	45.2	46.4	41.8	42.1	41.0	45.0
D.N.S.	18	1	*	6	8	8	-	2	*	3	5	4	7	9	6	3	3	4
	1.3	.7	.1	1.2	2.3	2.7	-	2.1	.2	.8	1.1	1.5	3.3	1.5	1.5	.8	.6	1.1
Average	5.9	5.8	5.6	6.0	5.9	5.8	6.1	6.0	5.9	5.9	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.9	5.9	5.8	5.9	5.8

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0878(continuation)

S.6 Q.14b Please indicate how high a priority you feel this issue should be given ---

International environmental problems like the depletion of the ozone layer and global warming

	SEX			MALE				FEMALE				WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD		
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest			
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237			
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209			
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			
Not a priority at all																					
--- 1 (1)	27	12	15	5	7	12	3	14	5	4	5	9	8	1	14	3	-	3			
	1.9	1.7	2.1	1.2	2.7	3.3	.7	2.3	2.8	3.8	1.0	2.0	2.4	.8	1.7	2.2	-	1.6			
--- 2 (2)	22	17	6	8	9	3	2	7	4	3	8	1	1	-	17	*	4	8			
	1.6	2.4	.8	1.9	3.4	.9	.7	1.1	2.3	2.7	1.8	.3	.4	-	2.1	.2	2.5	3.9			
--- 3 (3)	37	16	21	7	9	11	10	16	2	4	15	8	5	3	26	3	2	13			
	2.6	2.4	2.8	1.6	3.7	2.9	2.8	2.6	1.0	3.8	3.3	1.9	1.5	3.3	3.2	2.3	1.7	6.2			
--- 4 (4)	133	72	61	47	25	32	29	52	28	6	44	39	31	7	81	18	13	17			
	9.5	10.6	8.5	11.1	9.8	8.6	8.4	8.4	14.9	6.2	9.6	9.0	9.3	8.1	9.8	12.3	8.8	8.1			
--- 5 (5)	204	120	84	82	39	42	42	107	18	13	65	60	49	9	119	18	25	32			
	14.6	17.8	11.6	19.3	15.2	11.2	12.1	17.3	9.4	12.4	13.9	14.0	14.9	9.8	14.4	12.8	17.3	15.5			
--- 6 (6)	344	148	196	106	42	105	91	175	37	21	108	117	97	20	194	26	44	41			
	24.6	21.8	27.2	24.9	16.5	27.7	26.6	28.1	19.5	20.3	23.3	27.3	29.3	22.9	23.5	17.8	30.4	19.8			
Very high priority																					
--- 7 (7)	614	288	326	167	121	170	157	245	93	50	208	190	136	48	365	73	57	89			
	43.9	42.4	45.2	39.3	47.8	44.9	45.6	39.5	49.3	49.2	44.8	44.3	41.1	54.1	44.2	50.7	39.0	42.6			
D.N.S.	18	6	13	4	2	2	11	4	1	2	11	5	4	1	11	2	*	5			
	1.3	.8	1.8	.8	.8	.5	3.1	.7	.8	1.6	2.3	1.2	1.1	.9	1.3	1.7	.2	2.2			
Average	5.9	5.8	5.9	5.8	5.7	5.9	6.0	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.9	6.0	5.9	6.1	5.8	5.9	5.9	5.7			

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0878(continuation)

S.6 Q.14b Please indicate how high a priority you feel this issue should be given ---

International environmental problems like the depletion of the ozone layer and global warming

	SINGLES		EDUCATION			AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE				
	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French	
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not a priority at all																			
--- 1 (1)	27	4	2	2	7	11	4	5	19	8	9	15	5	6	16	9	17	19	8
	1.9	3.2	2.2	2.0	6.6	2.1	1.1	1.2	1.5	4.5	1.5	2.1	2.5	1.1	2.4	2.1	1.9	1.7	2.5
--- 2 (2)	22	4	1	1	3	7	5	6	21	2	6	14	2	14	7	9	10	19	3
	1.6	3.2	1.2	1.3	2.4	1.5	1.5	1.3	1.7	1.1	1.0	1.9	1.0	2.6	1.0	2.0	1.1	1.7	1.1
--- 3 (3)	37	2	2	4	2	18	6	11	34	3	16	19	4	19	13	10	26	29	8
	2.6	1.9	1.9	3.7	1.9	3.6	1.7	2.5	2.8	1.5	2.7	2.6	2.4	3.6	1.9	2.2	2.8	2.6	2.6
--- 4 (4)	133	11	14	11	12	47	34	38	118	15	50	72	20	48	65	33	100	110	23
	9.5	9.3	13.0	10.3	10.8	9.6	9.8	8.7	9.6	8.8	8.7	9.8	11.2	8.8	9.6	7.5	10.7	10.1	7.6
--- 5 (5)	204	17	10	14	8	72	50	74	177	27	73	118	20	87	97	67	137	148	56
	14.6	15.1	9.3	12.6	7.5	14.6	14.4	16.9	14.5	15.4	12.6	15.9	10.8	16.2	14.4	15.1	14.6	13.5	18.4
--- 6 (6)	344	26	28	25	17	111	97	117	298	46	140	191	49	126	169	119	220	270	74
	24.6	22.8	25.4	22.6	15.7	22.4	28.0	26.6	24.3	26.4	24.2	25.7	26.7	23.4	25.0	26.6	23.5	24.7	24.2
Very high priority																			
--- 7 (7)	614	51	52	50	54	222	149	184	545	69	280	299	80	235	299	197	410	489	125
	43.9	44.4	47.0	44.9	50.2	44.9	43.1	41.9	44.5	39.6	48.5	40.3	43.7	43.5	44.2	44.1	43.7	44.7	40.8
D.N.S.	18	-	*	3	5	7	2	4	13	5	5	12	3	5	10	2	16	10	8
	1.3	-	.2	2.6	4.9	1.3	.5	.9	1.1	2.8	.8	1.7	1.7	.9	1.5	.5	1.7	.9	2.7
Average	5.9	5.8	5.9	5.9	5.7	5.8	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.7	6.0	5.8	5.9	5.8	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.8

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.6 Q.14b Please indicate how high a priority you feel this issue should be given ---

Maintaining the ability to defend Canada

REPORT C0879

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not a priority at all																		
--- 1 (1)	72	8	7	23	29	24	5	5	18	13	28	9	4	29	24	16	30	28
	5.2	4.9	3.0	4.5	8.2	7.8	10.5	3.8	9.5	4.0	6.5	3.9	2.0	5.1	6.0	4.6	5.2	7.2
--- 2 (2)	58	6	9	17	23	22	1	4	6	16	25	7	5	25	15	16	29	14
	4.1	3.6	3.6	3.2	6.4	7.2	1.5	3.3	3.2	4.7	5.7	2.9	2.3	4.4	3.7	4.5	5.0	3.6
--- 3 (3)	98	14	17	32	32	31	1	3	14	30	36	13	4	33	31	32	45	35
	7.0	8.3	7.2	6.2	9.0	10.1	1.6	2.2	7.6	9.1	8.4	5.6	2.0	5.7	7.9	9.4	7.8	8.8
--- 4 (4)	222	27	41	67	74	63	11	13	34	58	77	34	20	72	66	73	93	70
	15.9	16.3	17.4	12.9	20.9	20.6	23.1	10.6	18.4	17.3	17.9	14.3	9.3	12.7	16.7	21.0	16.2	17.9
--- 5 (5)	289	39	52	106	72	65	6	21	36	77	89	47	40	97	96	81	124	90
	20.7	22.9	21.8	20.4	20.2	21.3	13.4	17.4	19.6	23.1	20.7	20.1	18.3	17.0	24.3	23.3	21.6	22.9
--- 6 (6)	268	28	62	95	56	44	11	28	38	66	86	39	38	115	84	51	110	68
	19.1	16.3	26.1	18.3	15.7	14.5	23.2	22.8	20.6	19.7	20.2	16.7	17.6	20.1	21.2	14.8	19.1	17.3
Very high priority																		
--- 7 (7)	375	45	49	172	63	50	13	46	39	71	83	83	99	191	74	74	141	84
	26.8	26.6	20.7	33.1	17.8	16.4	26.9	37.9	21.0	21.3	19.3	35.1	45.8	33.6	18.8	21.6	24.5	21.3
D.N.S.	17	2	*	6	7	7	-	2	*	3	5	.4	6	8	5	3	4	4
	1.2	1.1	.1	1.2	1.8	2.1	-	2.1	.2	.8	1.2	1.5	2.7	1.5	1.3	.8	.7	.9
Average	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.3	4.6	4.5	5.0	5.6	4.8	5.0	4.8	5.4	5.8	5.3	4.9	4.9	5.0	4.8

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0879(continuation)

S.6 Q.14b Please indicate how high a priority you feel this issue should be given ---
Maintaining the ability to defend Canada

	SEX			MALE				FEMALE				WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD			
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest				
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237				
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209				
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0				
Not a priority at all																						
--- 1 (1)	72	36	36	25	11	24	13	34	16	6	14	20	15	5	43	8	11	9				
	5.2	5.3	5.0	5.9	4.4	6.3	3.7	5.4	8.5	5.8	2.9	4.6	4.6	5.1	5.2	5.7	7.7	4.1				
--- 2 (2)	58	30	28	21	9	15	13	28	9	5	16	26	21	4	26	2	6	1				
	4.1	4.5	3.8	5.0	3.5	3.9	3.7	4.4	4.5	4.5	3.6	6.0	6.3	4.4	3.1	1.5	3.9	.5				
--- 3 (3)	98	54	44	37	17	35	9	54	18	6	19	31	27	4	54	7	10	14				
	7.0	8.0	6.1	8.7	6.7	9.1	2.7	8.7	9.3	5.4	4.1	7.3	8.2	4.8	6.5	4.5	7.2	6.9				
--- 4 (4)	222	118	104	88	30	58	46	114	34	11	62	80	63	15	116	16	20	27				
	15.9	17.4	14.5	20.7	11.7	15.5	13.4	18.4	18.1	11.0	13.4	18.6	19.0	16.9	14.0	10.9	13.7	13.1				
--- 5 (5)	289	146	144	93	53	90	53	152	32	19	82	88	75	11	176	32	41	41				
	20.7	21.5	19.9	21.9	20.8	23.9	15.4	24.5	17.0	18.4	17.8	20.6	22.8	12.1	21.3	22.1	28.2	19.6				
--- 6 (6)	268	121	147	79	42	67	80	112	36	20	97	84	60	22	160	27	34	42				
	19.1	17.8	20.4	18.6	16.4	17.9	23.2	18.0	19.0	20.0	20.9	19.5	18.2	24.8	19.3	18.7	23.2	20.0				
Very high priority																						
--- 7 (7)	375	168	206	78	91	86	120	122	44	34	163	96	66	28	243	50	23	71				
	26.8	24.8	28.6	18.3	35.8	22.8	35.0	19.7	23.2	33.3	35.3	22.4	20.1	31.0	29.4	35.1	16.0	33.9				
D.N.S.	17	5	12	4	1	2	10	5	1	2	9	4	3	1	10	2	*	4				
	1.2	.7	1.7	.8	.6	.7	2.9	.8	.5	1.6	2.0	1.0	.9	.9	1.2	1.5	.2	1.8				
Average	5.1	5.0	5.2	4.8	5.4	4.9	5.5	4.9	4.8	5.3	5.5	4.9	4.9	5.3	5.2	5.4	4.8	5.4				

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0879(continuation)

S.6 Q.14b Please indicate how high a priority you feel this issue should be given ---
Maintaining the ability to defend Canada

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not a priority at all																			
--- 1 (1)	72	11	7	5	10	20	13	30	64	8	31	33	9	26	37	30	42	49	24
	5.2	9.5	6.0	4.3	9.2	4.0	3.6	6.8	5.3	4.6	5.4	4.5	4.9	4.9	5.5	6.8	4.5	4.4	7.8
--- 2 (2)	58	3	7	5	2	11	10	35	50	7	27	30	14	12	32	22	36	36	22
	4.1	2.5	6.1	4.8	1.9	2.3	2.8	7.9	4.1	4.3	4.6	4.1	7.6	2.3	4.7	4.8	3.9	3.3	7.2
--- 3 (3)	98	16	9	7	5	25	24	43	85	13	40	50	11	35	52	30	68	67	31
	7.0	13.7	8.0	5.9	5.1	5.1	7.0	9.8	6.9	7.5	6.9	6.7	6.0	6.4	7.8	6.7	7.2	6.1	10.1
--- 4 (4)	222	19	21	19	12	70	50	90	201	21	83	123	25	74	124	72	148	159	63
	15.9	16.4	19.4	17.1	11.2	14.1	14.6	20.5	16.4	12.1	14.4	16.6	13.6	13.6	18.3	16.1	15.8	14.6	20.6
--- 5 (5)	289	24	18	23	11	95	86	98	251	39	118	155	35	114	140	95	191	224	65
	20.7	20.5	16.4	20.3	10.4	19.1	24.8	22.3	20.5	22.0	20.4	20.9	19.1	21.1	20.8	21.3	20.4	20.5	21.3
--- 6 (6)	268	19	20	19	17	101	72	75	235	33	119	134	32	119	117	69	196	223	44
	19.1	16.7	17.7	17.3	15.4	20.4	20.9	17.2	19.2	19.0	20.5	18.1	17.4	22.1	17.3	15.4	20.9	20.4	14.5
Very high priority																			
--- 7 (7)	375	24	29	30	46	167	90	63	325	49	155	206	54	157	165	126	242	325	50
	26.8	20.7	26.3	26.7	42.8	33.7	26.0	14.3	26.6	28.2	26.8	27.7	29.2	29.0	24.4	28.1	25.8	29.7	16.4
D.N.S.	17	-	-	4	4	6	1	5	13	4	5	11	4	4	9	3	14	11	7
	1.2	-	-	3.5	4.0	1.3	.3	1.1	1.1	2.3	.9	1.5	2.1	.7	1.4	.7	1.5	1.0	2.1
Average	5.1	4.7	4.9	5.1	5.4	5.4	5.2	4.5	5.1	5.2	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.3	5.0	5.0	5.1	5.3	4.5

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.6 Q.14b Please indicate how high a priority you feel this issue should be given ---

Reform of the United Nations

REPORT C0874

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Not a priority at all																		
--- 1 (1)	60	8	6	16	26	22	3	5	3	11	29	13	4	21	20	11	22	22
	4.3	4.7	2.4	3.1	7.2	7.3	6.8	4.1	1.9	3.4	6.7	5.6	1.8	3.7	5.2	3.0	3.8	5.6
--- 2 (2)	78	7	7	27	30	27	2	7	4	22	32	14	6	26	13	33	35	24
	5.6	4.4	2.9	5.2	8.4	8.9	4.8	6.0	2.1	6.6	7.4	6.0	3.0	4.6	3.4	9.6	6.0	6.1
--- 3 (3)	145	22	18	53	44	40	4	8	27	35	51	21	11	42	50	43	60	49
	10.3	12.9	7.8	10.2	12.3	13.0	7.7	6.5	14.5	10.4	11.9	8.9	5.1	7.5	12.7	12.5	10.4	12.6
--- 4 (4)	357	47	65	139	75	64	11	31	53	97	117	52	37	138	108	94	158	105
	25.5	27.6	27.4	26.9	21.1	21.1	21.7	25.6	28.5	29.2	27.4	22.1	17.3	24.3	27.2	27.1	27.4	26.7
--- 5 (5)	344	33	77	125	80	69	11	28	42	97	97	57	51	153	96	82	152	96
	24.5	19.5	32.3	24.2	22.6	22.4	23.6	23.4	22.6	29.0	22.6	24.2	23.5	26.9	24.2	23.7	26.5	24.4
--- 6 (6)	195	20	34	73	43	37	6	24	28	48	56	23	39	91	60	30	79	40
	13.9	12.1	14.5	14.1	12.0	12.0	12.5	20.0	15.2	14.4	13.1	9.9	18.0	16.0	15.0	8.8	13.7	10.1
Very high priority																		
--- 7 (7)	195	28	30	75	48	37	11	15	28	20	42	49	57	87	41	50	65	52
	14.0	16.4	12.7	14.4	13.6	12.1	22.9	12.0	15.0	6.0	9.7	20.6	26.5	15.3	10.2	14.4	11.2	13.2
D.N.S.	26	4	*	9	10	10	-	3	*	4	5	6	10	10	8	3	6	5
	1.8	2.4	.1	1.8	2.7	3.2	-	2.2	.2	1.1	1.2	2.7	4.8	1.8	2.0	.8	1.0	1.4
Average	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.4	4.3	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.4	4.3	4.7	5.3	4.8	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.4

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0874(continuation)

S.6 Q.14b Please indicate how high a priority you feel this issue should be given ---

Reform of the United Nations

	SEX			MALE				FEMALE				WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD			
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest				
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237				
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209				
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0				
Not a priority at all																						
--- 1 (1)	60	23	37	18	6	24	13	36	8	4	12	20	16	3	36	4	6	9				
	4.3	3.4	5.1	4.1	2.3	6.3	3.9	5.8	4.2	3.8	2.6	4.6	4.9	3.8	4.3	2.7	4.4	4.3				
--- 2 (2)	78	51	27	39	12	15	12	47	8	5	18	28	23	5	47	8	15	14				
	5.6	7.5	3.8	9.1	4.9	4.1	3.4	7.6	4.2	4.5	3.9	6.5	7.0	5.6	5.7	5.3	10.1	6.9				
--- 3 (3)	145	68	77	56	12	46	31	76	27	13	27	56	47	8	66	15	12	17				
	10.3	10.0	10.7	13.1	4.6	12.2	9.0	12.2	14.1	13.1	5.9	13.0	14.2	9.0	8.0	10.1	8.0	8.3				
--- 4 (4)	357	183	174	117	66	100	74	166	53	22	111	127	99	25	188	34	32	45				
	25.5	27.0	24.1	27.6	26.0	26.5	21.4	26.7	27.9	21.7	24.0	29.6	29.8	27.9	22.7	23.8	21.6	21.5				
--- 5 (5)	344	155	188	101	54	92	96	150	44	22	122	100	78	19	218	36	48	46				
	24.5	22.9	26.1	23.8	21.4	24.3	28.0	24.2	23.3	21.3	26.3	23.4	23.7	21.8	26.3	25.3	33.1	22.0				
--- 6 (6)	195	91	104	48	43	55	49	80	23	18	74	58	40	18	119	21	21	25				
	13.9	13.4	14.4	11.2	17.1	14.5	14.3	12.9	12.3	17.2	15.9	13.6	12.0	19.7	14.4	14.9	14.1	12.1				
Very high priority																						
--- 7 (7)	195	99	96	43	56	43	54	61	26	17	82	34	24	9	139	23	12	45				
	14.0	14.6	13.4	10.1	22.1	11.4	15.6	9.8	13.6	16.2	17.6	7.9	7.2	10.6	16.8	16.0	8.5	21.4				
D.N.S.	26	8	18	4	4	3	15	6	1	2	17	6	4	1	15	3	*	7				
	1.8	1.2	2.5	.8	1.7	.8	4.4	.9	.5	2.3	3.6	1.5	1.3	1.6	1.8	1.8	.2	3.5				
Average	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.3	5.0	4.5	4.8	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.9	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.8				

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0908

S.6 Q.14c Which three or four of the following countries or regions should Canada consider high priority in terms of its overall relations with them?

	REGION								AGE				HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS		
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
United States	1312	164	228	485	321	274	47	114	168	314	404	226	200	528	377	329	542	375
	93.7	97.1	96.0	93.6	90.7	89.7	96.8	94.2	90.8	94.1	94.3	95.8	92.4	92.7	95.1	95.2	94.3	95.7
Western Europe	754	96	134	306	151	127	25	67	87	179	226	135	127	273	232	218	301	232
	53.8	56.7	56.3	59.0	42.8	41.4	51.3	55.3	46.9	53.8	52.8	57.1	58.4	47.9	58.5	63.0	52.3	59.1
Eastern Europe	290	22	48	104	88	75	13	28	40	80	99	44	28	123	83	63	129	86
	20.7	12.8	20.0	20.2	24.8	24.6	26.4	23.3	21.5	24.0	23.0	18.6	12.8	21.6	21.0	18.2	22.4	22.0
Countries of the former Soviet Union	205	22	46	78	42	35	8	17	32	53	65	28	27	93	57	39	90	54
	14.7	13.1	19.3	15.1	11.9	11.3	15.5	14.0	17.3	16.0	15.2	11.8	12.4	16.3	14.4	11.4	15.6	13.8
China	620	83	102	219	161	144	17	54	88	144	204	96	88	255	167	166	270	164
	44.3	48.9	43.0	42.3	45.6	47.2	35.5	44.6	47.5	43.1	47.6	40.5	40.8	44.7	42.0	48.2	46.8	41.8
Latin America	189	15	30	67	62	48	14	14	16	38	51	36	48	69	52	54	62	58
	13.5	9.1	12.4	13.0	17.6	15.9	28.8	11.8	8.5	11.3	11.9	15.4	22.1	12.0	13.0	15.5	10.8	14.9
Africa	69	5	8	18	33	29	4	5	9	16	25	11	9	33	17	12	25	17
	4.9	2.9	3.2	3.5	9.3	9.4	8.4	4.1	4.7	4.7	5.7	4.5	4.2	5.8	4.4	3.5	4.4	4.2
Middle East	177	16	34	73	34	32	2	19	24	52	60	20	21	83	46	38	71	43
	12.6	9.4	14.5	14.1	9.7	10.4	5.1	15.8	13.2	15.6	13.9	8.5	9.5	14.6	11.5	11.0	12.4	10.9
Mexico	407	47	64	140	124	110	14	31	55	98	121	73	61	171	113	94	175	108
	29.1	27.8	27.1	26.9	35.1	36.1	28.9	25.9	29.5	29.4	28.2	30.7	28.0	30.1	28.6	27.1	30.4	27.6
Japan	785	104	144	278	199	173	26	59	117	181	238	143	105	297	234	215	321	230
	56.1	61.8	60.5	53.8	56.3	56.7	53.6	48.6	63.6	54.2	55.5	60.8	48.4	52.1	59.0	62.3	55.7	58.7
Australia and New Zealand	274	42	51	102	53	42	11	26	32	46	73	56	66	118	79	56	105	61
	19.6	24.9	21.4	19.7	15.0	13.8	22.2	21.8	17.5	13.9	17.1	23.7	30.5	20.7	19.9	16.3	18.3	15.5
D.N.S.	19	1	1	8	6	6	-	3	2	3	4	1	8	7	6	4	5	4
	1.4	.8	.2	1.6	1.7	2.0	-	2.2	1.1	1.0	1.0	.5	3.9	1.3	1.5	1.1	.8	1.0

S.6 Q.14c Which three or four of the following countries or regions should Canada consider high priority in terms of its overall relations with them?

	SEX			MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD			
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
United States	1312	639	673	403	236	357	316	593	174	97	426	403	310	84	784	138	142	198
	93.7	94.2	93.3	94.9	93.1	94.5	92.0	95.4	91.9	94.8	92.0	93.8	93.7	93.9	94.8	95.8	97.4	95.0
Western Europe	754	406	348	261	145	189	158	356	97	48	243	223	182	37	462	72	79	123
	53.8	59.8	48.2	61.3	57.3	50.1	46.1	57.4	51.2	46.8	52.4	52.0	55.0	41.1	55.9	50.3	54.0	58.8
Eastern Europe	290	124	166	83	41	86	80	130	40	20	89	96	78	17	170	34	40	22
	20.7	18.2	23.0	19.6	16.0	22.8	23.2	20.9	21.1	19.3	19.3	22.4	23.7	18.9	20.6	23.6	27.4	10.5
Countries of the former Soviet Union	205	102	104	70	31	50	54	92	29	19	61	80	55	25	108	19	21	27
	14.7	15.0	14.4	16.6	12.3	13.2	15.8	14.8	15.3	18.7	13.1	18.6	16.6	28.0	13.1	13.2	14.5	12.9
China	620	297	322	187	110	179	143	276	91	44	199	205	157	41	351	67	61	87
	44.3	43.8	44.7	44.0	43.6	47.4	41.6	44.5	48.2	43.4	42.9	47.8	47.6	46.3	42.4	46.6	42.1	41.9
Latin America	189	116	73	67	49	32	41	78	23	10	72	48	36	10	121	24	17	38
	13.5	17.1	10.1	15.7	19.4	8.4	12.1	12.5	12.0	9.8	15.5	11.3	11.0	11.0	14.6	16.7	11.4	18.2
Africa	69	34	34	19	15	19	15	30	8	5	24	25	19	6	34	3	4	8
	4.9	5.0	4.8	4.4	6.0	5.1	4.4	4.8	4.5	4.6	5.1	5.7	5.8	6.2	4.1	2.1	3.0	3.9
Middle East	177	76	100	42	34	54	46	79	19	15	64	63	42	20	97	12	18	17
	12.6	11.2	13.9	9.8	13.6	14.3	13.5	12.7	10.2	14.3	13.8	14.6	12.7	22.0	11.7	8.2	12.6	8.1
Mexico	407	204	202	127	77	102	100	180	52	30	133	112	85	25	252	47	40	66
	29.1	30.1	28.0	29.8	30.7	27.0	29.2	28.9	27.6	29.0	28.8	26.2	25.8	28.2	30.5	33.0	27.6	31.7
Japan	785	402	383	256	146	209	174	349	118	51	254	232	184	44	472	92	88	113
	56.1	59.2	53.1	60.2	57.7	55.3	50.7	56.2	62.5	49.9	54.9	54.1	55.7	48.9	57.0	64.2	60.5	54.2
Australia and New Zealand	274	133	141	81	52	55	86	101	35	20	108	68	45	19	176	37	27	49
	19.6	19.7	19.5	19.0	20.8	14.6	25.0	16.3	18.6	19.8	23.3	15.9	13.7	21.2	21.3	25.8	18.2	23.5
D.N.S.	19	6	14	3	2	5	9	7	1	2	9	6	5	1	10	2	*	4
	1.4	.8	1.9	.8	.9	1.2	2.6	1.2	.4	1.6	2.0	1.4	1.6	.9	1.2	1.3	.2	2.0

	SINGLES		EDUCATION					AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	Adult Child	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French	
	TOTAL	At Home	No Kids	No Kids	H.Sch.	H.Sch.	College	/More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
United States	1312	108	103	96	95	465	328	411	1145	167	536	699	166	505	641	421	877	1038	274
	93.7	93.7	93.3	86.5	88.2	93.9	95.0	93.8	93.5	95.6	92.7	94.3	90.7	93.5	94.8	94.1	93.7	94.9	89.7
Western Europe	754	64	65	58	41	227	202	280	673	80	285	424	97	301	356	243	504	627	127
	53.8	55.4	58.7	52.0	38.2	45.8	58.3	63.8	55.0	45.9	49.4	57.3	52.9	55.7	52.6	54.4	53.9	57.3	41.4
Eastern Europe	290	22	21	29	22	105	88	73	247	43	118	156	35	116	140	103	185	215	75
	20.7	19.4	19.4	26.1	20.3	21.2	25.6	16.6	20.2	24.3	20.5	21.1	18.9	21.4	20.6	23.2	19.7	19.6	24.6
Countries of the former Soviet Union	205	14	18	14	18	91	43	49	178	27	101	96	25	81	100	57	145	171	35
	14.7	11.8	16.7	12.3	16.7	18.3	12.5	11.1	14.6	15.4	17.5	13.0	13.4	14.9	14.8	12.7	15.5	15.6	11.3
China	620	46	49	45	43	213	166	193	542	77	255	325	85	228	306	204	409	475	144
	44.3	40.0	44.6	40.2	40.1	43.0	48.0	43.9	44.3	44.2	44.2	43.8	46.4	42.3	45.3	45.7	43.7	43.4	47.2
Latin America	189	17	15	15	13	52	45	78	177	12	65	107	26	77	85	58	128	141	48
	13.5	14.9	14.0	13.2	12.2	10.6	13.0	17.7	14.4	7.0	11.3	14.5	14.3	14.3	12.6	13.1	13.7	12.8	15.9
Africa	69	3	10	6	6	26	10	27	60	9	37	31	13	24	32	24	45	40	29
	4.9	2.3	8.9	5.2	5.3	5.3	2.9	6.1	4.9	4.9	6.5	4.2	7.1	4.4	4.7	5.4	4.8	3.6	9.4
Middle East	177	10	22	15	9	67	45	55	161	15	99	68	29	64	84	49	124	145	32
	12.6	8.7	20.1	13.1	8.1	13.5	13.0	12.5	13.2	8.8	17.1	9.1	15.8	11.8	12.4	10.9	13.2	13.2	10.4
Mexico	407	37	30	32	40	143	93	126	359	47	180	206	42	169	195	130	272	296	110
	29.1	32.1	27.6	29.1	37.2	29.0	27.0	28.8	29.3	27.1	31.2	27.7	23.1	31.3	28.9	29.0	29.0	27.1	36.1
Japan	785	75	64	60	52	273	203	250	686	99	320	414	104	295	386	246	532	611	173
	56.1	65.2	58.3	53.6	48.6	55.2	58.6	57.1	56.0	56.7	55.4	55.8	57.0	54.5	57.1	55.1	56.8	55.9	56.7
Australia and New Zealand	274	15	23	21	17	109	66	79	240	34	112	148	39	119	116	80	187	232	42
	19.6	13.1	20.4	18.9	16.0	22.0	18.9	18.1	19.6	19.7	19.4	20.0	21.3	22.0	17.2	17.8	19.9	21.2	13.8
D.N.S.	19	-	-	3	4	9	1	5	17	3	5	13	3	5	11	3	16	13	6
	1.4	-	-	3.0	3.6	1.9	.4	1.1	1.3	1.5	1.0	1.8	1.7	1.0	1.6	.7	1.7	1.2	2.0

S.6 15a Which problem facing the world today do you feel requires the most urgent attention from the world's leaders?

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Qual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Hunger and poverty in the Third World	350	36	44	117	116	106	11	37	27	71	113	71	69	154	80	83	152	76
	25.0	21.3	18.4	22.6	32.8	34.5	21.8	30.7	14.3	21.2	26.3	30.2	31.7	27.1	20.2	24.0	26.4	19.4
Increasing population	316	56	58	141	45	33	11	17	32	60	87	59	77	110	97	93	112	94
	22.6	32.9	24.3	27.3	12.6	10.9	23.2	13.8	17.5	18.1	20.3	24.9	35.6	19.3	24.6	27.0	19.5	24.0
The spread of AIDS and other diseases	364	38	56	142	91	76	15	38	60	91	89	58	66	159	101	71	133	104
	26.0	22.5	23.4	27.4	25.7	25.0	30.3	31.1	32.7	27.4	20.7	24.4	30.5	27.9	25.5	20.5	23.1	26.4
Violations of human rights	199	23	33	70	61	50	10	12	28	53	46	38	34	86	57	36	86	49
	14.2	13.5	14.0	13.6	17.1	16.5	21.1	10.0	15.3	15.8	10.8	16.0	15.7	15.2	14.4	10.5	14.9	12.5
War	396	36	68	137	124	113	11	30	47	100	131	61	57	176	107	85	172	105
	28.3	21.5	28.6	26.5	35.0	37.1	21.8	25.0	25.4	29.9	30.5	25.7	26.5	30.9	27.1	24.6	29.9	26.7
O.N.S.	24	2	1	13	6	6	-	2	3	4	8	2	8	8	10	6	8	7
	1.7	1.1	.4	2.6	1.6	1.9	-	2.1	1.9	1.1	1.8	.7	3.5	1.4	2.6	1.6	1.3	1.8

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0919(continuation)

S.6 15a Which problem facing the world today do you feel requires the most urgent attention from the world's leaders?

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Hunger and poverty in the Third World	350	167	183	88	80	89	94	140	40	21	145	104	81	20	210	35	19	61
	25.0	24.6	25.4	20.6	31.4	23.6	27.2	22.5	21.4	20.1	31.2	24.3	24.6	22.7	25.3	24.3	13.4	29.3
Increasing population	316	200	116	124	76	61	55	143	44	17	109	77	60	15	204	37	31	59
	22.6	29.5	16.1	29.1	30.0	16.2	16.0	23.0	23.3	16.4	23.6	18.0	18.1	16.7	24.6	25.7	21.0	28.0
The spread of AIDS and other diseases	364	158	206	91	67	92	114	142	42	34	138	108	70	34	222	35	48	53
	26.0	23.3	28.5	21.5	26.4	24.2	33.2	22.8	22.3	33.6	29.7	25.1	21.3	38.4	26.8	24.7	32.9	25.2
Violations of human rights	199	81	118	50	32	61	56	83	28	9	73	61	43	15	122	18	20	32
	14.2	12.0	16.3	11.7	12.5	16.3	16.4	13.4	14.7	8.5	15.8	14.1	12.9	16.3	14.7	12.4	13.5	15.3
War	396	181	215	115	66	120	95	180	58	34	118	136	105	26	225	42	33	58
	28.3	26.6	29.8	27.0	26.0	31.8	27.6	29.0	30.4	33.3	25.4	31.7	31.9	28.8	27.2	29.3	22.5	27.6
D.N.S.	24	8	16	5	3	5	11	9	*	3	12	5	4	1	16	4	2	5
	1.7	1.2	2.2	1.1	1.3	1.3	3.3	1.4	.3	2.8	2.5	1.1	1.1	.9	2.0	3.1	1.2	2.4

S.6 15a Which problem facing the world today do you feel requires the most urgent attention from the world's leaders?

	SINGLES			EDUCATION					AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE	
	Adult Child	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French	
	TOTAL	At Home	No Kids	No Kids	H.Sch.	H.Sch.	College	/More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Hunger and poverty in the Third World	350	26	27	33	43	123	74	105	296	54	146	188	57	134	158	104	240	244	106
	25.0	22.6	24.0	30.0	40.4	24.9	21.4	23.9	24.1	31.0	25.2	25.4	31.2	24.9	23.4	23.4	25.6	22.3	34.5
Increasing population	316	20	32	20	24	95	70	126	294	21	126	177	40	140	135	97	217	282	33
	22.6	17.7	29.0	18.3	22.5	19.1	20.3	28.7	24.0	12.2	21.8	23.9	22.1	25.9	20.0	21.7	23.1	25.8	10.9
The spread of AIDS and other diseases	364	38	23	29	43	147	102	66	316	48	162	172	45	150	169	121	234	288	76
	26.0	32.7	21.2	25.8	40.5	29.7	29.4	15.1	25.8	27.7	28.1	23.2	24.4	27.8	25.0	27.0	24.9	26.3	25.0
Violations of human rights	199	14	16	19	23	60	48	65	173	26	101	87	27	86	87	62	134	149	50
	14.2	12.6	14.6	17.2	21.4	12.0	14.0	14.8	14.2	14.7	17.4	11.7	14.5	15.9	12.8	13.8	14.3	13.6	16.5
War	396	29	29	32	36	162	90	103	339	57	161	210	59	135	202	121	268	282	113
	28.3	25.3	26.0	28.8	33.1	32.6	25.9	23.6	27.6	32.6	27.9	28.3	32.0	25.0	29.9	27.1	28.6	25.8	37.1
D.N.S.	24	3	2	3	3	11	4	7	22	2	8	16	4	8	13	3	21	18	6
	1.7	2.4	2.2	2.5	2.9	2.2	1.1	1.5	1.8	1.3	1.3	2.2	2.1	1.5	1.9	.7	2.2	1.7	1.9

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.6 15b And which do you feel is the second most important?

REPORT C0924

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Hunger and poverty in the Third World	380	52	56	124	109	93	16	38	46	80	118	73	62	163	102	95	145	109
	27.1	30.9	23.4	23.9	30.9	30.4	34.0	31.6	24.8	24.1	27.6	30.9	28.7	28.7	25.7	27.5	25.3	27.9
Increasing population	197	32	44	78	31	28	3	13	24	36	58	46	34	78	57	45	85	58
	14.1	18.8	18.3	15.0	8.9	9.2	6.8	10.5	13.1	10.8	13.4	19.4	15.6	13.6	14.3	13.0	14.8	14.8
The spread of AIDS and other diseases	358	34	55	148	92	83	9	30	50	84	102	55	67	169	94	79	146	96
	25.6	19.8	23.1	28.6	25.9	27.2	17.7	24.6	27.2	25.1	23.9	23.2	30.9	29.7	23.7	22.7	25.3	24.4
Violations of human rights	280	33	47	109	62	53	9	31	27	71	86	44	52	122	76	56	111	68
	20.0	19.4	19.6	20.9	17.4	17.3	18.1	25.3	14.5	21.4	20.0	18.7	24.1	21.5	19.2	16.3	19.2	17.3
War	291	24	41	109	99	82	17	18	42	74	82	51	41	109	79	82	115	83
	20.8	14.4	17.2	21.0	27.9	26.9	34.2	15.1	22.8	22.2	19.2	21.7	19.1	19.1	20.1	23.8	19.9	21.1
D.N.S.	22	3	1	11	5	5	-	2	2	4	4	2	10	6	7	6	7	6
	1.6	1.6	.3	2.1	1.6	1.8	-	2.1	.8	1.3	.9	1.0	4.7	1.1	1.8	1.6	1.1	1.5

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.6 15b And which do you feel is the second most important?

REPORT C0924(continuation)

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Hunger and poverty in the Third World	380	185	194	113	72	113	81	166	63	29	114	106	84	19	236	37	41	62
	27.1	27.3	27.0	26.6	28.4	30.0	23.6	26.8	33.1	28.2	24.6	24.7	25.4	21.8	28.5	25.6	28.2	29.9
Increasing population	197	103	94	70	33	49	45	93	26	11	64	54	41	12	127	14	15	43
	14.1	15.2	13.0	16.5	13.2	13.0	13.0	15.0	13.9	10.5	13.8	12.6	12.4	12.9	15.3	9.8	10.3	20.5
The spread of AIDS and other diseases	358	172	186	92	80	87	99	145	33	31	141	123	90	30	197	44	34	43
	25.6	25.4	25.8	21.7	31.7	22.9	28.9	23.4	17.6	29.8	30.4	28.8	27.1	33.8	23.9	30.4	23.4	20.4
Violations of human rights	280	132	148	83	49	65	83	123	27	21	104	70	54	14	177	26	25	47
	20.0	19.5	20.5	19.5	19.4	17.2	24.1	19.8	14.5	20.8	22.5	16.3	16.4	16.2	21.3	18.4	16.9	22.5
War	291	124	167	74	50	91	76	121	46	18	103	89	69	17	176	28	32	36
	20.8	18.3	23.1	17.3	20.0	24.0	22.2	19.5	24.1	17.2	22.2	20.8	20.9	19.2	21.3	19.4	22.2	17.1
D.N.S.	22	9	13	6	3	3	10	6	3	2	11	5	4	1	13	3	-	7
	1.6	1.4	1.7	1.5	1.3	.8	2.8	1.0	1.6	1.6	2.4	1.2	1.3	.9	1.6	2.2	-	3.2

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.6 15b And which do you feel is the second most important?

REPORT C0924(continuation)

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Hunger and poverty in the Third World	380	25	35	26	26	124	89	135	331	48	151	209	55	158	166	128	248	287	93
	27.1	21.5	31.6	23.1	23.8	25.1	25.9	30.8	27.1	27.6	26.2	28.2	30.2	29.2	24.6	28.6	26.5	26.2	30.4
Increasing population	197	16	16	15	19	69	45	61	169	29	81	102	25	87	85	66	130	169	28
	14.1	14.0	14.6	13.9	17.6	13.9	12.9	14.0	13.8	16.4	13.9	13.8	13.9	16.1	12.6	14.7	13.9	15.5	9.2
The spread of AIDS and other diseases	358	31	21	27	39	142	84	89	315	44	158	185	41	134	183	120	234	275	83
	25.6	27.1	19.4	24.0	36.3	28.7	24.3	20.2	25.7	25.0	27.4	25.0	22.4	24.7	27.1	27.0	25.0	25.1	27.2
Violations of human rights	280	21	28	27	32	114	63	68	247	33	123	141	52	109	119	76	199	227	53
	20.0	18.4	25.1	24.6	30.2	23.0	18.2	15.5	20.2	19.0	21.3	19.1	28.5	20.2	17.5	17.0	21.3	20.8	17.3
War	291	33	21	31	29	88	75	95	250	41	129	138	41	104	146	87	200	209	82
	20.8	29.0	18.9	27.9	27.3	17.8	21.5	21.7	20.4	23.7	22.3	18.7	22.4	19.2	21.6	19.5	21.3	19.1	26.9
D.N.S.	22	2	1	2	4	8	1	8	21	2	3	17	2	7	13	5	17	17	5
	1.6	1.5	.5	1.7	3.5	1.7	.4	1.9	1.7	.9	.5	2.3	1.3	1.2	1.9	1.0	1.8	1.5	1.8

S.6 15c Which one of these trouble spots do you feel should be given the highest priority by the world's leaders?

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Bosnia	626	74	98	228	161	136	25	65	101	184	194	95	52	271	167	151	277	179
	44.7	43.6	41.3	44.1	45.4	44.6	50.8	53.8	54.7	55.3	45.3	40.0	24.0	47.6	42.1	43.7	48.1	45.5
Israel and Palestine	481	52	87	181	117	106	11	44	43	89	148	96	106	189	139	125	194	129
	34.4	30.6	36.6	35.0	33.1	34.6	23.8	36.2	23.2	26.7	34.4	40.5	48.9	33.2	35.0	36.1	33.7	32.8
North and South Korea	72	7	14	19	29	26	2	3	8	15	20	19	10	40	14	14	26	15
	5.2	4.3	5.8	3.7	8.1	8.5	5.1	2.7	4.3	4.6	4.6	8.0	4.8	7.0	3.6	4.1	4.5	3.7
Russia	198	28	32	74	52	43	9	10	29	38	53	35	42	67	67	45	75	61
	14.1	16.5	13.6	14.4	14.8	14.2	18.7	8.6	15.9	11.5	12.3	14.8	19.5	11.8	17.0	13.2	13.0	15.5
Sri Lanka	27	5	4	6	10	9	2	1	3	8	5	6	5	12	4	7	8	7
	1.9	3.2	1.7	1.1	2.9	2.8	3.1	1.0	1.7	2.4	1.1	2.5	2.3	2.2	1.0	2.0	1.3	1.9
D.N.S.	38	5	7	15	7	7	-	4	*	8	14	4	12	13	13	7	12	10
	2.7	2.7	2.9	3.0	2.1	2.4	-	2.9	.2	2.3	3.3	1.6	5.4	2.3	3.3	2.0	2.2	2.5

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0929(continuation)

S.6 15c Which one of these trouble spots do you feel should be given the highest priority by the world's leaders?

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Bosnia	626	243	383	154	90	211	171	273	95	52	198	217	164	48	353	70	74	64
	44.7	35.9	53.0	36.2	35.5	55.9	49.9	44.0	50.1	50.6	42.7	50.5	49.7	53.3	42.7	48.4	50.7	30.5
Israel and Palestine	481	274	207	167	107	101	107	220	50	28	174	140	106	31	301	49	46	100
	34.4	40.4	28.7	39.4	42.2	26.6	31.0	35.5	26.2	27.6	37.6	32.6	32.0	34.5	36.4	33.8	31.5	48.0
North and South Korea	72	35	37	18	17	16	21	28	7	10	28	17	14	3	42	6	7	11
	5.2	5.1	5.2	4.3	6.6	4.3	6.1	4.5	3.7	9.8	6.0	3.9	4.1	3.5	5.1	4.0	4.7	5.4
Russia	198	124	74	78	46	41	33	90	32	11	60	41	35	6	129	16	17	33
	14.1	18.2	10.2	18.3	18.1	10.9	9.5	14.5	16.7	10.6	12.9	9.6	10.6	6.9	15.6	11.2	11.8	15.7
Sri Lanka	27	11	15	7	5	7	8	7	7	1	10	10	7	2	13	3	2	2
	1.9	1.7	2.1	1.6	1.9	1.8	2.4	1.1	3.5	1.1	2.3	2.3	2.0	1.9	1.6	2.1	1.2	1.0
D.N.S.	38	12	25	7	5	11	14	16	3	3	15	13	10	3	19	5	1	7
	2.7	1.8	3.5	1.7	1.9	3.0	4.2	2.6	1.3	2.7	3.2	3.0	3.1	2.8	2.3	3.6	.6	3.3

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0929(continuation)

S.6 15c Which one of these trouble spots do you feel should be given the highest priority by the world's Leaders?

	SINGLES		EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE			
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Bosnia	626	66	46	49	51	249	157	164	532	94	272	313	82	218	326	209	411	490	136
	44.7	57.4	41.6	43.5	47.3	50.3	45.5	37.3	43.4	54.0	47.2	42.3	44.7	40.3	48.3	46.8	43.9	44.8	44.6
Israel and Palestine	481	25	41	35	36	148	123	172	431	50	198	262	63	212	206	148	326	375	106
	34.4	21.8	36.7	31.5	33.4	29.8	35.4	39.2	35.2	28.8	34.2	35.3	34.5	39.2	30.5	33.1	34.8	34.3	34.6
North and South Korea	72	7	4	5	8	29	18	15	64	8	29	38	8	34	30	22	49	46	26
	5.2	6.0	3.8	4.9	7.2	5.9	5.2	3.3	5.2	4.7	5.1	5.1	4.1	6.4	4.5	4.9	5.2	4.2	8.5
Russia	198	14	27	18	15	62	40	78	177	21	76	109	33	79	86	66	130	154	43
	14.1	12.1	24.6	16.5	14.4	12.6	11.7	17.8	14.5	11.8	13.1	14.7	18.2	14.6	12.7	14.7	13.8	14.1	14.2
Sri Lanka	27	3	2	3	2	9	6	8	23	4	10	15	4	7	16	8	18	18	9
	1.9	2.7	1.6	3.1	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.9	2.2	1.7	2.0	2.0	1.3	2.4	1.8	1.9	1.6	2.8
D.N.S.	38	-	*	5	5	16	9	9	32	5	11	27	7	10	21	7	29	30	7
	2.7	-	.2	4.4	4.7	3.2	2.5	2.0	2.7	3.1	1.9	3.6	4.1	1.8	3.1	1.6	3.1	2.8	2.4

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0934

S.6 15d And which one do you feel should be given the highest priority?

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Bosnia	346	36	63	128	84	73	11	34	40	79	102	49	76	146	91	85	140	91
	24.7	21.6	26.3	24.8	23.7	24.0	21.9	28.2	21.5	23.6	23.8	20.9	34.9	25.6	23.0	24.7	24.4	23.2
Israel and Palestine	470	50	73	170	141	119	22	36	66	123	144	80	57	200	134	109	204	122
	33.6	29.5	30.6	32.8	39.8	39.1	44.6	29.9	35.9	36.9	33.5	33.8	26.1	35.2	33.9	31.4	35.5	31.0
North and South Korea	195	23	26	81	47	41	6	18	28	43	58	39	26	84	63	34	78	60
	13.9	13.3	11.1	15.6	13.3	13.3	13.0	14.8	15.3	13.0	13.5	16.6	12.0	14.8	15.8	10.0	13.5	15.3
Russia	286	43	60	91	68	58	10	24	36	61	88	59	42	108	71	91	114	83
	20.4	25.3	25.3	17.6	19.2	19.1	20.0	19.5	19.3	18.2	20.4	25.1	19.5	19.0	18.0	26.3	19.9	21.1
Sri Lanka	86	14	13	35	16	16	-	8	15	22	29	14	5	32	28	19	37	29
	6.1	8.3	5.5	6.8	4.5	5.2	-	6.2	8.0	6.6	6.8	6.0	2.4	5.6	7.1	5.6	6.4	7.4
D.N.S.	44	6	7	19	10	9	1	3	*	12	14	4	14	14	14	11	12	12
	3.2	3.3	3.1	3.6	2.9	3.0	2.0	2.2	.2	3.6	3.2	1.7	6.6	2.4	3.5	3.2	2.2	3.1

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0934(continuation)

S.6 15d And which one do you feel should be given the highest priority?

	SEX			MALE				FEMALE				WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD			
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest				
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237				
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209				
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0				
Bosnia	346	175	171	109	66	85	86	155	42	19	123	106	75	26	205	32	36	59				
	24.7	25.7	23.7	25.5	26.1	22.5	25.1	25.0	22.0	18.6	26.6	24.6	22.6	29.4	24.8	22.4	24.5	28.3				
Israel and Palestine	470	198	271	128	70	143	128	197	76	31	158	158	125	31	269	50	46	57				
	33.6	29.2	37.6	30.2	27.7	37.9	37.3	31.7	40.0	30.3	34.1	36.8	37.8	34.4	32.5	34.5	31.5	27.3				
North and South Korea	195	100	94	57	43	48	46	85	20	18	68	61	43	16	117	21	24	35				
	13.9	14.8	13.1	13.4	17.2	12.7	13.4	13.8	10.4	18.0	14.6	14.2	13.1	17.9	14.1	14.6	16.3	16.9				
Russia	286	169	117	106	63	63	54	135	36	28	84	67	54	12	184	28	36	50				
	20.4	24.9	16.2	24.9	24.9	16.7	15.7	21.7	18.8	27.6	18.2	15.6	16.3	13.4	22.2	19.2	24.5	23.7				
Sri Lanka	86	33	52	22	11	34	19	44	13	4	21	30	25	4	45	13	5	9				
	6.1	4.9	7.3	5.2	4.3	8.9	5.4	7.1	6.9	3.9	4.6	6.9	7.7	4.6	5.5	9.0	3.6	4.1				
D.N.S.	44	14	30	7	7	13	17	17	4	4	18	14	12	3	24	4	1	9				
	3.2	2.1	4.2	1.7	2.7	3.5	4.9	2.7	1.9	3.9	4.0	3.3	3.6	2.8	2.9	3.1	.6	4.3				

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.6 15d And which one do you feel should be given the highest priority?

REPORT C0934(continuation)

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Bosnia	346	23	21	28	33	122	77	109	313	33	147	186	38	148	159	94	246	272	73
	24.7	20.5	19.3	25.1	30.8	24.6	22.2	25.0	25.5	18.6	25.5	25.0	20.9	27.4	23.5	21.0	26.3	24.9	24.0
Israel and Palestine	470	43	35	44	29	157	121	158	414	55	208	237	66	161	243	151	316	350	119
	33.6	37.8	31.4	39.1	26.6	31.8	34.9	36.0	33.8	31.6	36.0	32.0	36.1	29.7	35.9	33.8	33.7	32.0	39.1
North and South Korea	195	16	17	10	12	87	43	50	163	31	68	108	23	82	90	74	118	154	41
	13.9	14.1	15.3	8.5	10.8	17.5	12.4	11.5	13.3	17.9	11.8	14.6	12.4	15.1	13.4	16.6	12.7	14.1	13.3
Russia	286	19	30	18	23	97	77	89	243	42	109	155	37	128	121	90	193	227	58
	20.4	16.9	27.0	15.9	21.8	19.5	22.2	20.2	19.8	24.3	18.9	20.9	20.0	23.7	17.9	20.1	20.6	20.8	19.1
Sri Lanka	86	12	7	7	8	29	21	25	75	11	38	44	11	24	51	35	49	70	16
	6.1	10.2	6.2	6.2	7.7	5.9	6.2	5.6	6.1	6.3	6.6	6.0	5.8	4.5	7.5	7.8	5.2	6.4	5.2
D.N.S.	44	1	2	6	6	17	11	10	38	7	16	27	10	14	20	10	31	35	9
	3.2	.8	2.0	5.1	5.8	3.5	3.2	2.2	3.1	3.8	2.8	3.6	5.6	2.5	3.0	2.3	3.4	3.2	3.0

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0939

S.6 Q.16 Should Canada become more/less involved or maintain same level of involvement in

--- Peacekeeping activities of the United Nations

	REGION								AGE				HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS		
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Increase involvement	181	21	25	55	66	56	10	15	36	49	54	22	20	89	45	32	78	45
	12.9	12.3	10.3	10.6	18.6	18.4	20.1	12.4	19.5	14.8	12.5	9.4	9.2	15.7	11.2	9.3	13.6	11.5
Decrease involvement	340	49	62	128	75	65	11	26	42	76	91	65	67	119	106	98	124	99
	24.3	28.8	26.0	24.7	21.3	21.1	22.5	21.8	22.7	22.7	21.3	27.4	30.7	21.0	26.7	28.4	21.5	25.3
Maintain current involvement	860	98	151	326	206	179	28	78	105	207	279	148	121	354	242	211	371	244
	61.5	58.1	63.6	63.0	58.3	58.4	57.4	64.6	57.0	62.0	65.0	62.7	56.0	62.1	61.2	61.1	64.5	62.3
D.N.S.	18	1	*	9	6	6	-	1	2	1	5	1	9	7	3	4	2	4
	1.3	.9	.1	1.7	1.8	2.1	-	1.2	.9	.4	1.2	.5	4.1	1.3	.9	1.2	.4	.9

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0939(continuation)

S.6 Q.16 Should Canada become more/less involved or maintain same level of involvement in
 --- Peacekeeping activities of the United Nations

	SEX			MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD			
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Increase involvement	181	77	104	44	33	49	55	67	26	17	63	70	46	23	89	14	14	16
	12.9	11.4	14.4	10.4	12.9	12.9	16.1	10.8	13.8	16.3	13.6	16.3	13.8	26.0	10.8	9.5	9.8	7.7
Decrease involvement	340	208	132	131	77	64	68	154	41	23	118	94	78	13	208	36	30	63
	24.3	30.7	18.3	30.8	30.6	16.9	19.8	24.8	21.9	22.2	25.4	21.8	23.6	14.1	25.2	25.2	20.6	30.0
Maintain current involvement	860	390	470	248	141	262	208	396	120	61	271	262	204	53	517	92	100	126
	61.5	57.5	65.2	58.4	55.9	69.3	60.6	63.8	63.7	59.9	58.5	61.0	61.7	59.1	62.5	63.8	68.8	60.6
D.N.S.	18	3	15	2	1	3	12	4	1	2	11	4	3	1	13	2	1	4
	1.3	.5	2.1	.4	.6	.9	3.4	.6	.7	1.6	2.4	.9	.9	.9	1.6	1.5	.8	1.8

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0939(continuation)

S.6 Q.16 Should Canada become more/less involved or maintain same level of involvement in
--- Peacekeeping activities of the United Nations

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Increase involvement	181	20	19	7	16	62	36	62	158	23	93	76	21	63	98	59	120	125	56
	12.9	17.4	17.5	6.6	14.9	12.5	10.3	14.0	12.9	13.0	16.2	10.2	11.2	11.6	14.5	13.1	12.8	11.4	18.4
Decrease involvement	340	27	28	24	30	117	83	111	298	42	126	189	42	140	159	112	225	276	65
	24.3	23.9	25.3	21.5	27.8	23.6	24.0	25.3	24.3	24.3	21.8	25.5	22.9	25.8	23.5	25.1	24.0	25.2	21.1
Maintain current involvement	860	67	62	75	58	309	225	263	753	107	353	465	116	334	411	275	578	682	179
	61.5	58.7	56.0	67.4	53.7	62.3	64.9	59.9	61.5	61.4	61.1	62.7	63.1	61.7	60.8	61.5	61.7	62.3	58.4
D.N.S.	18	-	1	5	4	8	3	3	16	2	5	12	5	5	8	1	14	12	6
	1.3	-	1.2	4.5	3.6	1.6	.8	.8	1.3	1.3	.9	1.6	2.7	.9	1.2	.3	1.5	1.1	2.1

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0940

S.6 Q.16 Should Canada become more/less involved or maintain same level of involvement in
 --- Trade agreements with other countries, such as the North American Free Trade Agreement or NAFTA

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Increase involvement	340	39	47	128	96	87	10	29	45	73	104	58	59	135	79	103	132	113
	24.3	23.3	19.7	24.7	27.2	28.4	19.8	24.2	24.4	21.9	24.3	24.8	27.3	23.7	20.0	29.8	22.9	28.9
Decrease involvement	283	35	39	116	58	50	8	35	38	79	88	43	35	135	75	55	117	68
	20.2	20.9	16.5	22.3	16.3	16.4	15.8	29.0	20.6	23.8	20.5	18.3	15.9	23.7	18.9	16.0	20.3	17.3
Maintain current involvement	751	92	151	265	192	161	31	52	101	179	230	130	111	289	238	183	320	209
	53.7	54.6	63.4	51.1	54.2	52.6	64.4	42.8	54.8	53.9	53.6	55.0	51.2	50.7	60.0	53.1	55.7	53.2
D.N.S.	25	2	1	9	8	8	-	5	*	2	7	5	12	11	5	4	6	3
	1.8	1.2	.4	1.8	2.3	2.6	-	4.1	.2	.5	1.6	1.9	5.5	2.0	1.2	1.1	1.1	.7

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0940(continuation)

S.6 Q.16 Should Canada become more/less involved or maintain same level of involvement in
 --- Trade agreements with other countries, such as the North American Free Trade Agreement or NAFTA

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Increase involvement	340	211	129	130	81	78	51	172	38	14	110	90	76	11	213	32	32	59
	24.3	31.1	17.9	30.5	31.9	20.6	14.9	27.7	20.1	13.8	23.7	21.0	23.1	12.0	25.7	22.1	22.1	28.2
Decrease involvement	283	129	154	84	45	80	75	122	43	23	91	91	70	20	155	21	34	30
	20.2	19.0	21.4	19.7	17.9	21.1	21.7	19.7	22.8	22.5	19.7	21.1	21.0	22.9	18.8	15.0	23.1	14.4
Maintain current involvement	751	337	415	211	125	213	202	320	104	64	246	242	180	57	441	87	79	116
	53.7	49.7	57.5	49.7	49.6	56.3	58.8	51.6	55.0	62.1	53.2	56.5	54.4	64.2	53.3	60.7	54.0	55.6
D.N.S.	25	2	23	*	1	8	16	6	4	2	15	6	5	1	19	3	1	4
	1.8	.3	3.3	.1	.6	2.0	4.6	1.0	2.1	1.6	3.3	1.3	1.5	.9	2.2	2.2	.8	1.9

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0940(continuation)

S.6 Q.16 Should Canada become more/less involved or maintain same level of involvement in

--- Trade agreements with other countries, such as the North American Free Trade Agreement or NAFTA

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Increase involvement	340	27	43	18	28	103	88	117	307	33	127	196	43	131	166	107	231	253	87
	24.3	23.8	39.2	16.2	25.8	20.9	25.4	26.7	25.1	18.7	22.1	26.5	23.2	24.2	24.6	23.9	24.7	23.1	28.4
Decrease involvement	283	17	20	32	21	112	68	82	241	42	127	135	47	105	131	105	176	233	50
	20.2	15.1	18.3	28.4	19.4	22.5	19.6	18.7	19.7	24.0	22.0	18.3	25.6	19.4	19.4	23.6	18.7	21.3	16.4
Maintain current involvement	751	70	47	57	52	272	187	232	657	94	314	393	89	296	367	233	508	591	161
	53.7	61.0	42.5	51.1	48.2	55.0	54.2	53.0	53.7	53.8	54.4	53.1	48.5	54.7	54.3	52.1	54.3	54.0	52.6
D.N.S.	25	-	-	5	7	8	3	7	19	6	9	16	5	9	11	2	21	17	8
	1.8	-	-	4.3	6.5	1.6	.8	1.6	1.6	3.5	1.6	2.2	2.6	1.7	1.7	.4	2.3	1.6	2.6

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0941

S.6 Q.16 Should Canada become more/less involved or maintain same level of involvement in

--- Defence alliances such as NATO (The North Atlantic Treaty Organization)

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Increase involvement	164	17	37	59	39	33	6	12	40	38	38	27	22	83	32	35	69	46
	11.7	9.9	15.4	11.3	11.1	10.7	13.3	10.1	21.7	11.3	8.8	11.2	10.0	14.5	8.0	10.1	11.9	11.6
Decrease involvement	338	48	41	119	109	101	8	21	39	78	103	65	52	132	89	100	140	93
	24.1	28.7	17.2	22.9	30.7	33.0	15.7	17.7	21.1	23.5	24.1	27.7	24.0	23.1	22.4	29.1	24.3	23.7
Maintain current involvement	872	102	159	332	194	160	34	85	105	215	280	137	134	344	272	206	360	250
	62.3	60.6	66.8	64.1	54.8	52.2	70.9	70.0	57.0	64.5	65.4	58.2	61.8	60.3	68.6	59.5	62.6	63.7
D.N.S.	26	1	1	8	12	12	-	3	*	2	7	7	9	11	4	4	7	4
	1.9	.9	.5	1.6	3.5	4.0	-	2.2	.2	.7	1.7	2.9	4.2	2.0	1.0	1.3	1.1	1.0

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0941(continuation)

S.6 Q.16 Should Canada become more/less involved or maintain same level of involvement in

--- Defence alliances such as NATO (The North Atlantic Treaty Organization)

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Increase involvement	164	77	86	45	33	46	40	69	22	8	58	52	35	16	81	20	15	9
	11.7	11.4	11.9	10.5	12.9	12.2	11.6	11.2	11.5	7.5	12.5	12.1	10.5	18.4	9.8	13.8	10.3	4.5
Decrease involvement	338	194	144	136	58	70	74	158	49	20	103	104	88	14	203	35	34	56
	24.1	28.6	20.0	32.0	22.9	18.6	21.5	25.4	26.1	19.7	22.2	24.3	26.8	15.3	24.6	24.5	23.2	26.6
Maintain current involvement	872	402	470	242	161	255	215	386	114	73	288	267	202	58	525	85	95	138
	62.3	59.3	65.1	56.8	63.5	67.4	62.6	62.1	60.4	71.3	62.1	62.1	61.2	65.4	63.4	59.2	65.2	66.0
D.N.S.	26	5	21	3	2	7	15	8	4	2	15	6	5	1	19	3	2	6
	1.9	.7	3.0	.7	.7	1.8	4.3	1.3	2.1	1.6	3.2	1.5	1.5	.9	2.3	2.4	1.3	2.8

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0941(continuation)

S.6 Q.16 Should Canada become more/less involved or maintain same level of involvement in

--- Defence alliances such as NATO (The North Atlantic Treaty Organization)

	SINGLES		EDUCATION						AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE	
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Increase involvement	164	14	18	8	14	60	51	35	142	22	81	75	21	60	82	59	104	131	33
	11.7	12.0	16.5	6.8	13.3	12.1	14.7	8.1	11.6	12.5	14.1	10.1	11.7	11.1	12.1	13.2	11.1	12.0	10.7
Decrease involvement	338	26	24	29	30	92	65	150	296	42	136	183	44	129	165	111	224	237	101
	24.1	22.6	21.8	25.6	27.6	18.6	18.7	34.2	24.2	23.8	23.5	24.7	24.1	23.8	24.4	24.9	23.9	21.7	33.0
Maintain current involvement	872	75	68	70	57	333	226	249	767	105	355	463	113	343	417	274	588	712	160
	62.3	65.3	61.7	63.1	53.4	67.3	65.5	56.8	62.6	60.2	61.5	62.5	61.5	63.4	61.7	61.4	62.8	65.1	52.2
D.N.S.	26	-	-	5	6	10	4	4	20	6	5	20	5	9	12	3	20	14	12
	1.9	-	-	4.5	5.7	2.0	1.1	.9	1.6	3.6	.9	2.7	2.7	1.7	1.8	.6	2.2	1.3	4.0

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.6 Q.16 Should Canada become more/less involved or maintain same level of involvement in
--- United Nations efforts to promote peace

REPORT C0942

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Increase involvement	456	52	77	150	135	121	14	42	79	120	129	75	52	200	119	98	208	121
	32.6	30.6	32.6	29.0	38.1	39.6	28.9	34.3	42.9	36.1	30.2	31.8	23.9	35.1	30.1	28.5	36.1	30.8
Decrease involvement	177	19	28	60	56	49	7	14	21	29	59	37	31	74	51	42	63	52
	12.6	11.1	11.7	11.6	15.7	16.1	13.6	11.8	11.3	8.8	13.7	15.8	14.1	13.0	12.8	12.3	11.0	13.3
Maintain current involvement	741	97	130	297	156	128	28	61	84	182	231	122	122	280	222	201	297	217
	52.9	57.4	54.8	57.3	44.0	41.8	57.5	50.5	45.7	54.7	53.8	51.7	56.1	49.2	56.1	58.1	51.6	55.2
D.N.S.	26	1	2	11	8	8	-	4	*	2	10	2	13	16	4	4	8	3
	1.9	.9	.9	2.1	2.2	2.5	-	3.4	.2	.5	2.3	.8	5.8	2.7	1.0	1.1	1.3	.7

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.6 Q.16 Should Canada become more/less involved or maintain same level of involvement in

--- United Nations efforts to promote peace

REPORT C0942(continuation)

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Increase involvement	456	200	255	117	83	123	133	184	59	36	165	156	121	31	258	44	51	48
	32.6	29.5	35.4	27.5	32.9	32.5	38.6	29.7	31.1	35.4	35.7	36.4	36.5	34.6	31.1	30.6	35.2	22.8
Decrease involvement	177	105	72	66	38	41	31	81	27	14	53	48	40	8	104	14	16	28
	12.6	15.5	10.0	15.6	15.2	10.7	9.1	13.0	14.3	13.2	11.4	11.2	12.0	8.5	12.6	9.6	11.0	13.6
Maintain current involvement	741	367	374	238	129	211	163	350	103	51	227	219	166	50	448	83	77	127
	52.9	54.1	51.8	56.1	50.9	55.9	47.3	56.3	54.2	49.8	49.1	51.0	50.2	55.8	54.1	57.6	52.7	60.8
D.N.S.	26	6	20	3	2	3	17	6	1	2	18	6	4	1	18	3	2	6
	1.9	.9	2.8	.8	.9	.9	5.0	1.0	.3	1.6	3.8	1.3	1.3	1.2	2.2	2.2	1.1	2.7

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.6 Q.16 Should Canada become more/less involved or maintain same level of involvement in

--- United Nations efforts to promote peace

REPORT C0942(continuation)

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Increase involvement	456	47	41	37	42	154	119	137	400	55	210	225	62	158	236	154	297	335	121
	32.6	40.6	36.8	32.9	39.5	31.0	34.3	31.1	32.7	31.7	36.3	30.4	33.9	29.2	34.9	34.5	31.7	30.6	39.6
Decrease involvement	177	14	15	13	19	56	40	61	147	30	66	98	23	72	82	50	126	128	49
	12.6	12.6	13.3	11.7	17.9	11.2	11.5	13.8	12.0	17.1	11.5	13.3	12.6	13.4	12.1	11.1	13.4	11.7	16.1
Maintain current involvement	741	54	55	55	41	275	184	235	655	86	292	402	90	302	349	239	494	613	128
	52.9	46.9	49.4	49.2	38.2	55.5	53.3	53.5	53.5	49.1	50.6	54.2	49.0	55.9	51.6	53.5	52.8	56.0	41.8
D.N.S.	26	-	1	7	5	11	3	7	23	4	10	16	8	9	9	4	20	19	8
	1.9	-	.5	6.2	4.4	2.3	.9	1.5	1.8	2.1	1.7	2.1	4.6	1.6	1.4	1.0	2.1	1.7	2.5

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0943

S.6 Q.16 Should Canada become more/less involved or maintain same level of involvement in

--- International organizations helping the poor in the Third World

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS		
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual	+
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457	
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392	
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Increase involvement	412	46	62	140	122	104	18	41	76	99	120	60	57	182	98	98	180	106	
	29.4	27.0	26.3	27.0	34.5	34.0	37.8	34.3	40.9	29.7	28.1	25.4	26.2	32.0	24.8	28.3	31.2	27.0	
Decrease involvement	265	29	52	108	67	63	5	9	28	65	80	50	43	102	87	67	93	88	
	18.9	17.5	21.8	20.8	19.0	20.5	9.4	7.2	14.9	19.5	18.6	21.2	19.7	18.0	22.0	19.4	16.2	22.4	
Maintain current involvement	700	92	121	261	157	132	26	68	81	168	221	125	104	273	204	178	297	196	
	50.0	54.3	51.0	50.4	44.5	43.1	52.8	56.2	44.0	50.5	51.6	52.8	48.1	48.0	51.6	51.5	51.6	49.9	
D.N.S.	24	2	2	9	7	7	-	3	*	1	7	1	13	11	7	3	5	3	
	1.7	1.2	1.0	1.7	2.1	2.4	-	2.4	.2	.4	1.7	.6	6.0	2.0	1.6	.8	.9	.7	

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0943(continuation)

S.6 Q.16 Should Canada become more/less involved or maintain same level of involvement in

--- International organizations helping the poor in the Third World

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Increase involvement	412	196	215	120	76	106	109	164	66	30	142	135	101	27	236	44	38	53
	29.4	28.9	29.8	28.2	30.2	28.1	31.7	26.4	34.7	29.0	30.7	31.4	30.6	30.0	28.5	30.9	26.1	25.5
Decrease involvement	265	151	114	98	52	65	49	130	34	18	77	73	57	16	158	25	32	32
	18.9	22.2	15.8	23.1	20.7	17.3	14.3	20.9	17.8	17.7	16.6	17.1	17.3	17.8	19.1	17.6	21.7	15.5
Maintain current involvement	700	325	375	205	120	202	173	321	90	53	228	215	167	46	417	70	73	118
	50.0	47.9	52.0	48.3	47.3	53.5	50.3	51.7	47.4	51.7	49.2	50.1	50.5	51.4	50.4	49.1	50.4	56.4
D.N.S.	24	6	17	2	5	4	13	6	-	2	16	6	5	1	16	4	3	5
	1.7	.9	2.4	.4	1.9	1.1	3.7	1.0	-	1.6	3.4	1.4	1.6	.9	2.0	2.4	1.8	2.6

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0943(continuation)

S.6 Q.16 Should Canada become more/less involved or maintain same level of involvement in

--- International organizations helping the poor in the Third World

	SINGLES				EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE	
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Increase involvement	412	43	40	28	34	129	98	144	362	50	184	198	54	143	214	134	273	308	104
	29.4	37.7	36.4	25.0	31.9	26.1	28.4	32.9	29.5	28.5	31.8	26.7	29.4	26.5	31.7	30.1	29.2	28.1	34.0
Decrease involvement	265	16	21	21	32	90	75	68	230	35	113	137	33	104	128	80	184	202	63
	18.9	13.7	18.9	18.8	29.8	18.2	21.6	15.6	18.8	20.0	19.6	18.5	17.8	19.2	19.0	17.8	19.6	18.5	20.5
Maintain current involvement	700	56	50	58	36	268	169	219	614	86	272	391	92	284	324	230	461	568	132
	50.0	48.7	44.8	51.9	33.4	54.2	48.8	50.0	50.1	49.2	47.1	52.8	50.1	52.6	47.9	51.5	49.2	51.9	43.1
D.N.S.	24	-	-	5	5	7	4	7	19	4	9	15	5	9	10	3	19	16	7
	1.7	-	-	4.3	4.9	1.5	1.1	1.5	1.6	2.3	1.5	2.0	2.6	1.7	1.4	.6	2.0	1.5	2.4

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0944

S.6 Q.16 Should Canada become more/less involved or maintain same level of involvement in

--- The Commonwealth (an association of countries that includes Britain, Australia, and India)

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Increase involvement	154	29	28	60	25	20	6	11	28	27	39	24	36	70	46	26	56	40
	11.0	17.4	11.9	11.6	7.1	6.4	11.6	9.0	15.2	8.2	9.1	10.0	16.6	12.2	11.7	7.4	9.8	10.2
Decrease involvement	351	38	57	105	121	113	8	30	44	89	119	60	39	141	86	108	148	106
	25.1	22.8	23.8	20.3	34.3	36.9	17.5	24.5	24.0	26.6	27.8	25.3	18.1	24.8	21.6	31.3	25.7	27.1
Maintain current involvement	870	99	153	343	197	162	34	78	112	215	265	148	129	348	259	209	366	243
	62.1	58.9	64.1	66.3	55.5	53.1	70.8	64.2	60.6	64.6	61.7	62.8	59.7	61.2	65.3	60.5	63.6	61.9
D.N.S.	25	1	*	10	11	11	-	3	*	2	6	5	12	10	6	3	5	3
	1.8	.9	.1	1.9	3.1	3.5	-	2.2	.2	.6	1.4	1.9	5.6	1.8	1.4	.8	.9	.7

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0944(continuation)

S.6 Q.16 Should Canada become more/less involved or maintain same level of involvement in
 --- The Commonwealth (an association of countries that includes Britain, Australia, and India)

	SEX		MALE				FEMALE				WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD		
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest		
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237		
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209		
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Increase involvement	154	90	64	49	40	26	39	52	23	11	64	33	22	9	107	21	11	22		
	11.0	13.2	8.9	11.6	16.0	6.8	11.3	8.4	11.9	10.3	13.9	7.7	6.7	9.8	12.9	14.3	7.3	10.8		
Decrease involvement	351	193	159	126	67	96	62	176	48	21	103	124	100	24	185	25	47	42		
	25.1	28.4	22.0	29.6	26.4	25.4	18.2	28.3	25.4	20.2	22.2	29.0	30.1	27.1	22.4	17.1	32.2	19.9		
Maintain current involvement	870	392	478	249	143	251	227	387	116	69	280	267	206	56	517	95	87	138		
	62.1	57.8	66.2	58.6	56.4	66.3	66.2	62.3	61.1	67.3	60.5	62.3	62.3	62.3	62.5	66.3	59.7	66.0		
D.N.S.	25	4	21	1	3	6	15	6	3	2	16	4	3	1	19	3	1	7		
	1.8	.6	2.9	.2	1.2	1.5	4.4	1.0	1.6	2.2	3.4	1.0	.9	.9	2.3	2.2	.8	3.3		

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0944(continuation)

S.6 Q.16 Should Canada become more/less involved or maintain same level of involvement in
 --- The Commonwealth (an association of countries that includes Britain, Australia, and India)

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Increase involvement	154	14	26	11	18	50	43	41	143	11	66	76	25	62	67	51	103	134	20
	11.0	12.6	23.7	10.2	16.5	10.2	12.4	9.3	11.7	6.4	11.4	10.3	13.8	11.5	9.8	11.5	11.0	12.3	6.4
Decrease involvement	351	23	23	20	24	110	87	128	299	52	139	192	38	144	169	126	226	238	113
	25.1	20.4	20.9	18.4	21.9	22.3	25.2	29.3	24.4	29.9	24.1	25.9	20.7	26.7	25.0	28.2	24.1	21.8	36.9
Maintain current involvement	870	77	61	75	60	326	213	263	764	105	364	458	115	324	430	268	588	707	162
	62.1	67.1	55.4	67.0	55.9	65.8	61.5	59.9	62.4	60.3	63.0	61.8	62.8	60.0	63.6	59.9	62.8	64.7	53.1
D.N.S.	25	-	-	5	6	9	3	6	19	6	9	15	5	10	10	2	20	14	11
	1.8	-	-	4.5	5.7	1.7	.9	1.4	1.5	3.4	1.5	2.0	2.7	1.8	1.5	.4	2.1	1.3	3.5

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0945

S.6 Q.16 Should Canada become more/less involved or maintain same level of involvement in
--- La Francophonie (an association of countries that speak French)

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS		
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K -\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual	+
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457	
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392	
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Increase involvement	185	8	12	23	139	132	6	4	41	43	60	18	24	89	45	43	76	58	
	13.2	4.5	5.1	4.5	39.1	43.2	13.3	3.2	22.0	13.0	14.0	7.6	10.9	15.6	11.3	12.4	13.2	14.7	
Decrease involvement	519	77	125	227	48	38	11	42	46	120	172	99	83	180	167	144	209	157	
	37.1	45.8	52.5	43.8	13.7	12.3	22.3	34.7	24.8	35.9	40.0	41.8	38.5	31.5	42.2	41.7	36.3	39.9	
Maintain current involvement	657	77	95	255	157	126	31	72	93	168	190	114	91	282	176	154	281	172	
	46.9	45.8	39.9	49.3	44.3	41.1	64.4	59.9	50.3	50.5	44.4	48.3	42.1	49.6	44.4	44.6	48.9	43.8	
D.N.S.	38	7	6	13	10	10	-	3	5	2	7	5	18	19	8	4	9	6	
	2.7	3.9	2.4	2.5	2.9	3.3	-	2.2	2.9	.6	1.7	2.3	8.5	3.3	2.1	1.3	1.6	1.6	

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0945(continuation)

S.6 Q.16 Should Canada become more/less involved or maintain same level of involvement in

--- La Francophonie (an association of countries that speak French)

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Increase involvement	185	91	94	54	37	49	45	74	30	13	61	62	49	10	99	21	19	22
	13.2	13.4	13.1	12.8	14.5	13.0	13.2	11.9	15.8	13.0	13.2	14.5	14.7	10.7	11.9	14.4	13.1	10.5
Decrease involvement	519	280	239	181	99	138	102	258	63	26	170	157	127	26	308	46	52	87
	37.1	41.3	33.2	42.5	39.2	36.4	29.6	41.6	33.1	25.7	36.8	36.5	38.4	29.4	37.2	32.1	35.9	41.9
Maintain current involvement	657	297	360	185	112	184	176	280	90	59	211	205	150	52	393	74	73	92
	46.9	43.8	49.8	43.5	44.4	48.6	51.2	45.0	47.8	57.5	45.5	47.7	45.5	58.7	47.5	51.3	49.8	43.9
D.N.S.	38	10	28	5	5	8	21	9	6	4	21	6	5	1	28	3	2	8
	2.7	1.5	3.9	1.2	2.0	2.0	6.0	1.4	3.3	3.7	4.5	1.3	1.4	1.1	3.4	2.2	1.1	3.7

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

S.6 Q.16 Should Canada become more/less involved or maintain same level of involvement in

--- La Francophonie (an association of countries that speak French)

REPORT C0945(continuation)

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Increase involvement	185	20	21	9	17	58	48	60	153	32	92	78	19	71	96	56	128	53	132
	13.2	17.1	19.4	7.9	15.8	11.7	13.9	13.7	12.5	18.3	16.0	10.6	10.1	13.1	14.2	12.5	13.7	4.9	43.2
Decrease involvement	519	36	38	38	36	177	134	166	466	54	178	317	74	203	243	182	333	482	38
	37.1	31.3	34.3	34.4	33.4	35.7	38.8	37.9	38.0	30.6	30.9	42.8	40.3	37.5	35.9	40.7	35.5	44.0	12.3
Maintain current involvement	657	56	50	56	46	245	161	201	575	82	293	324	80	256	321	205	445	531	126
	46.9	48.9	45.5	50.4	42.7	49.4	46.5	45.8	46.9	46.8	50.8	43.7	43.9	47.3	47.4	45.9	47.5	48.5	41.1
D.N.S.	38	3	1	8	9	15	3	11	31	7	13	22	10	11	17	4	31	28	10
	2.7	2.6	.9	7.4	8.1	3.1	.8	2.6	2.5	4.2	2.3	2.9	5.7	2.1	2.5	.8	3.3	2.6	3.3

S.6 Q.17a Which 3 or 4 of the following countries/regions should Canada consider high priority in terms of its trading relations with them?

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra-iries	Ont-ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl-antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K-\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
United States	1314	162	224	484	327	283	44	117	173	307	404	227	202	529	378	331	541	374
	93.9	96.1	94.0	93.5	92.4	92.5	91.9	96.3	93.4	92.3	94.2	96.2	93.4	92.9	95.4	95.9	94.1	95.4
Western Europe	900	117	158	351	194	163	31	79	106	212	275	159	147	350	261	245	388	254
	64.3	69.6	66.3	67.7	54.9	53.4	64.1	65.4	57.3	63.6	64.1	67.5	68.0	61.5	66.0	70.9	67.4	64.7
Eastern Europe	464	44	80	164	123	105	18	54	74	128	142	69	51	210	128	93	208	131
	33.2	26.2	33.4	31.7	34.6	34.3	36.6	44.3	40.1	38.4	33.1	29.2	23.7	36.9	32.4	27.0	36.1	33.3
The Middle East	301	23	45	114	95	86	8	24	46	83	90	39	43	136	81	62	124	83
	21.5	13.8	18.8	22.0	26.8	28.3	17.5	20.0	24.8	25.1	21.0	16.6	19.7	23.8	20.5	18.1	21.6	21.1
The countries of the former Soviet Union	323	34	75	110	81	68	13	24	38	66	110	58	51	146	89	69	122	90
	23.1	20.0	31.5	21.2	22.8	22.3	26.0	19.8	20.6	19.7	25.6	24.7	23.7	25.6	22.6	20.0	21.1	23.0
Caribbean islands	145	13	29	56	32	28	4	15	22	29	41	20	33	65	30	31	56	35
	10.4	7.6	12.2	10.7	9.1	9.2	8.8	12.6	12.1	8.6	9.5	8.4	15.4	11.5	7.6	8.8	9.8	8.9
Latin America	364	44	55	125	107	90	17	34	34	78	104	66	82	140	109	87	127	107
	26.0	26.0	22.9	24.2	30.2	29.3	35.8	27.9	18.3	23.4	24.3	28.0	37.8	24.6	27.4	25.1	22.1	27.3
Asia	683	115	124	254	149	126	22	41	92	170	215	119	88	248	200	205	285	214
	48.8	68.3	52.0	49.1	42.0	41.3	46.5	33.9	50.0	51.0	50.0	50.3	40.4	43.5	50.5	59.4	49.5	54.4
Africa	100	5	15	30	41	38	3	9	11	23	34	13	18	41	28	22	47	19
	7.1	2.9	6.5	5.7	11.5	12.4	5.3	7.5	6.0	7.0	7.8	5.6	8.5	7.2	7.0	6.3	8.1	4.9
Other	100	15	15	42	26	19	6	2	22	23	24	23	8	34	28	31	41	34
	7.1	8.9	6.5	8.1	7.3	6.4	13.2	1.6	12.0	6.9	5.5	9.6	3.9	6.0	7.1	8.9	7.1	8.8
D.N.S.	18	3	*	10	3	3	-	2	*	3	6	1	8	8	4	4	4	2
	1.3	1.8	.1	1.9	.9	1.1	-	1.3	.2	.9	1.4	.4	3.6	1.3	1.1	1.1	.7	.5

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0946(continuation)

S.6 Q.17a Which 3 or 4 of the following countries/regions should Canada consider high priority in terms of its trading relations with them?

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS			PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD					
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
United States	1314	641	673	403	239	356	317	589	177	96	430	400	308	82	787	137	140	202
	93.9	94.5	93.2	94.7	94.3	94.1	92.2	94.8	93.4	94.0	92.9	93.2	93.2	92.3	95.1	95.7	96.3	96.9
Western Europe	900	461	439	285	176	234	204	408	114	72	290	271	215	49	535	86	89	146
	64.3	68.0	60.8	66.9	69.8	62.0	59.5	65.6	60.4	70.2	62.6	63.2	65.0	55.3	64.6	59.6	61.1	69.9
Eastern Europe	464	207	258	136	71	135	123	205	66	43	140	167	121	42	252	42	49	54
	33.2	30.5	35.7	32.0	28.0	35.7	35.7	33.0	34.9	41.5	30.3	39.0	36.7	47.2	30.5	29.3	33.5	25.8
The Middle East	301	140	162	79	60	83	79	129	34	26	109	109	75	31	157	29	26	42
	21.5	20.6	22.4	18.6	23.9	22.0	22.9	20.8	17.8	25.8	23.5	25.3	22.6	34.5	18.9	20.2	17.9	20.0
The countries of the former Soviet Union	323	159	164	97	63	86	77	140	45	30	105	95	76	18	191	32	35	49
	23.1	23.5	22.7	22.7	24.7	22.9	22.5	22.5	23.8	29.3	22.8	22.1	23.1	20.6	23.1	22.2	24.3	23.4
Caribbean islands	145	75	70	43	32	32	38	57	22	7	61	41	23	17	84	16	12	18
	10.4	11.0	9.8	10.1	12.6	8.6	11.1	9.1	11.6	6.9	13.2	9.6	6.8	18.9	10.1	11.1	8.4	8.6
Latin America	364	206	158	122	84	68	90	155	37	22	139	98	78	19	230	38	37	67
	26.0	30.4	21.9	28.8	33.3	17.9	26.3	24.9	19.4	21.4	29.9	22.9	23.5	20.8	27.8	26.4	25.4	32.1
Asia	683	366	317	237	129	188	129	327	102	49	199	207	166	36	407	70	72	100
	48.8	54.0	43.9	55.6	51.2	49.8	37.5	52.7	53.9	47.4	42.9	48.3	50.1	40.5	49.2	48.7	49.3	47.9
Africa	100	45	54	27	19	33	21	44	16	5	30	30	26	4	59	5	14	15
	7.1	6.7	7.5	6.3	7.3	8.7	6.2	7.1	8.4	5.2	6.4	6.9	7.9	4.1	7.2	3.6	9.9	7.0
Other	100	58	42	39	19	26	16	53	12	4	29	21	18	3	73	17	18	14
	7.1	8.5	5.9	9.1	7.4	6.9	4.8	8.5	6.6	4.4	6.2	5.0	5.6	3.3	8.8	12.2	12.3	6.6
D.N.S.	18	3	15	2	1	4	10	4	2	2	10	4	4	-	12	3	1	4
	1.3	.5	2.0	.4	.6	1.1	3.0	.6	1.1	1.6	2.2	1.0	1.2	-	1.5	1.9	.9	1.8

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	Adult Child	Single Male/	Single Female/	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French	
	TOTAL	At Home	No Kids	No Kids	H.Sch.	H.Sch.	College												
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
United States	1314	106	104	100	97	466	327	411	1146	168	538	698	171	508	634	418	880	1031	283
	93.9	92.8	93.6	90.1	90.5	94.2	94.5	93.6	93.6	96.0	93.1	94.2	93.5	94.0	93.9	93.5	94.0	94.3	92.5
Western Europe	900	73	77	69	58	297	227	313	795	105	354	496	119	348	432	289	604	736	163
	64.3	63.2	69.6	62.3	53.7	60.0	65.6	71.5	64.9	60.1	61.3	67.0	65.1	64.4	64.0	64.8	64.5	67.3	53.4
Eastern Europe	464	42	37	35	39	182	130	109	394	70	193	248	57	174	234	141	319	359	105
	33.2	36.8	33.1	31.7	36.8	36.9	37.5	24.9	32.2	40.0	33.4	33.4	30.8	32.1	34.6	31.7	34.1	32.8	34.3
The Middle East	301	31	21	17	24	117	78	76	260	41	146	138	29	117	156	90	207	215	86
	21.5	27.1	19.0	15.6	22.5	23.6	22.4	17.3	21.2	23.5	25.3	18.6	15.7	21.6	23.1	20.2	22.1	19.6	28.3
The countries of the former Soviet Union	323	23	28	25	25	132	71	89	280	43	136	166	43	129	151	99	219	255	68
	23.1	20.0	25.1	22.3	23.3	26.6	20.6	20.4	22.9	24.6	23.5	22.5	23.6	23.8	22.4	22.1	23.4	23.3	22.3
Caribbean islands	145	16	14	9	17	50	31	47	126	19	59	77	22	56	68	54	88	117	28
	10.4	13.7	13.1	8.2	15.6	10.0	8.9	10.7	10.3	11.1	10.3	10.4	11.9	10.3	10.0	12.0	9.4	10.7	9.2
Latin America	364	17	35	31	31	118	87	126	330	34	135	209	47	161	156	118	241	275	90
	26.0	15.0	31.7	27.9	29.2	23.8	25.1	28.6	27.0	19.4	23.4	28.2	25.6	29.8	23.1	26.4	25.7	25.1	29.3
Asia	683	59	54	54	28	197	172	279	621	62	270	373	90	254	339	220	455	557	126
	48.8	51.6	48.5	48.7	26.4	39.8	49.8	63.6	50.7	35.6	46.8	50.3	49.3	47.0	50.1	49.3	48.6	50.9	41.3
Africa	100	10	8	10	9	38	18	32	87	12	40	52	15	40	45	40	58	62	38
	7.1	8.6	7.3	8.9	8.4	7.6	5.2	7.4	7.1	7.0	7.0	7.1	8.4	7.3	6.6	9.0	6.2	5.6	12.4
Other	100	13	11	2	10	26	26	37	88	12	38	57	10	45	45	38	62	81	19
	7.1	11.2	10.3	1.8	9.7	5.3	7.7	8.4	7.2	7.1	6.6	7.7	5.6	8.3	6.7	8.6	6.6	7.4	6.4
D.N.S.	18	2	-	3	4	6	3	5	16	2	6	12	4	6	8	2	16	15	3
	1.3	1.3	-	2.8	3.6	1.2	1.0	1.1	1.3	.9	1.0	1.6	2.2	1.1	1.2	.4	1.7	1.3	1.1

DATE 05/08/96.

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0956

S.6 Q.17b More specifically, which two or three of the following Asia-Pacific countries should Canada consider high priority in terms of our trading relations with them?

	REGION								AGE				HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS		
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K- \$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Australia	536	60	91	197	134	119	15	53	65	104	144	107	116	231	145	115	211	135
	38.3	35.4	38.2	38.1	37.9	38.9	31.9	44.1	34.9	31.3	33.5	45.4	53.6	40.6	36.7	33.4	36.7	34.4
China	1031	131	175	376	260	226	34	89	138	252	321	179	141	421	294	264	447	290
	73.7	77.5	73.5	72.6	73.6	74.0	70.9	73.6	74.6	75.7	74.8	76.0	65.0	73.8	74.2	76.5	77.7	73.9
Japan	1178	150	214	438	270	234	36	106	150	287	364	199	178	475	338	294	488	336
	84.2	88.9	89.9	84.6	76.3	76.4	75.3	87.9	81.3	86.2	85.0	84.2	82.1	83.4	85.2	85.2	84.7	85.7
Korea	441	53	81	163	114	100	14	29	68	117	141	64	50	173	137	108	178	134
	31.5	31.6	33.9	31.5	32.3	32.8	29.4	24.1	37.0	35.2	32.8	27.1	23.2	30.3	34.7	31.3	30.9	34.2
India	288	30	47	113	75	63	12	23	41	64	84	46	54	112	72	83	106	86
	20.6	17.7	20.0	21.8	21.3	20.6	25.6	18.6	22.1	19.2	19.5	19.4	24.7	19.7	18.1	24.1	18.4	22.0
Indonesia	143	21	20	53	37	29	8	11	19	38	48	18	19	58	31	48	55	45
	10.2	12.4	8.3	10.3	10.6	9.5	17.4	9.2	10.2	11.3	11.2	7.8	9.0	10.2	7.7	13.8	9.6	11.4
D.N.S.	28	5	1	12	8	7	1	2	3	3	9	3	9	13	8	5	7	7
	2.0	3.0	.4	2.2	2.4	2.4	2.1	1.3	1.7	.9	2.2	1.3	4.2	2.2	1.9	1.4	1.1	1.7

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0956(continuation)

S.6 Q.17b More specifically, which two or three of the following Asia-Pacific countries should Canada consider high priority in terms of our trading relations with them?

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Australia	536	252	283	150	102	123	160	216	59	41	205	137	98	35	358	69	48	102
	38.3	37.2	39.3	35.4	40.3	32.5	46.7	34.8	31.4	40.5	44.3	31.9	29.7	39.4	43.2	48.2	32.9	48.9
China	1031	516	516	329	187	283	233	469	148	78	325	326	254	64	601	104	111	150
	73.7	76.0	71.5	77.2	74.0	74.8	67.8	75.6	78.3	76.4	70.3	75.9	77.0	71.8	72.6	72.5	76.0	72.1
Japan	1178	577	602	364	213	324	277	527	165	84	385	363	278	77	700	122	127	172
	84.2	85.1	83.4	85.6	84.2	85.8	80.7	84.9	87.4	82.2	83.2	84.6	84.2	86.5	84.6	85.2	87.0	82.6
Korea	441	215	225	133	82	139	86	210	65	36	126	153	119	27	242	42	51	55
	31.5	31.8	31.2	31.3	32.5	36.8	25.1	33.8	34.4	34.9	27.3	35.6	36.1	30.3	29.3	29.1	34.9	26.5
India	288	158	130	102	56	64	66	126	42	15	98	87	69	18	162	25	29	36
	20.6	23.3	18.0	23.9	22.3	17.0	19.1	20.3	22.2	14.8	21.2	20.2	20.7	19.6	19.6	17.1	20.1	17.3
Indonesia	143	86	56	54	32	32	24	62	24	13	38	40	34	5	79	8	18	15
	10.2	12.7	7.8	12.6	12.8	8.5	7.1	10.0	12.6	12.7	8.2	9.3	10.3	5.5	9.6	5.8	12.7	7.2
D.N.S.	28	4	23	2	3	6	17	8	-	2	17	5	5	-	19	4	2	4
	2.0	.6	3.2	.4	1.1	1.7	4.9	1.3	-	1.6	3.6	1.3	1.6	-	2.3	2.8	1.5	2.1

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0956(continuation)

S.6 Q.17b More specifically, which two or three of the following Asia-Pacific countries should Canada consider high priority in terms of our trading relations with them?

	SINGLES				EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE	
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Australia	536	43	40	46	50	198	130	157	469	66	226	281	74	241	221	172	356	417	119
	38.3	37.3	36.0	41.1	46.2	39.9	37.6	35.8	38.3	38.0	39.1	38.0	40.7	44.5	32.6	38.4	38.1	38.1	38.9
China	1031	73	80	77	78	365	257	319	897	134	419	551	129	390	513	339	681	805	226
	73.7	64.0	72.0	68.6	72.5	73.8	74.4	72.8	73.3	76.7	72.6	74.4	70.2	72.1	75.9	75.8	72.8	73.6	74.0
Japan	1178	103	91	94	85	406	301	375	1032	147	475	629	147	458	573	380	787	945	234
	84.2	89.6	82.6	84.3	79.0	81.9	86.9	85.5	84.2	83.9	82.3	85.0	80.5	84.6	84.8	85.0	84.0	86.4	76.4
Korea	441	48	26	29	35	175	98	130	383	58	188	225	41	154	246	135	301	340	100
	31.5	41.9	23.4	26.4	32.7	35.3	28.2	29.6	31.2	33.2	32.6	30.3	22.1	28.5	36.4	30.3	32.2	31.1	32.8
India	288	20	34	23	12	86	66	122	263	25	122	156	48	100	140	96	189	225	63
	20.6	17.1	30.5	20.9	11.6	17.3	19.0	27.9	21.5	14.4	21.2	21.0	26.0	18.6	20.7	21.4	20.2	20.6	20.6
Indonesia	143	12	21	10	8	44	25	65	131	12	59	74	25	51	66	52	89	114	29
	10.2	10.5	18.8	8.6	7.1	8.8	7.1	14.9	10.7	6.8	10.2	10.0	13.6	9.5	9.8	11.6	9.5	10.4	9.5
D.N.S.	28	3	1	6	7	10	6	4	23	5	15	13	8	8	12	5	22	20	7
	2.0	2.4	1.2	5.4	6.5	2.1	1.7	.9	1.9	2.6	2.5	1.7	4.3	1.4	1.8	1.1	2.3	1.8	2.4

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0962

S.6 Q.17c Which two or three of the following Latin American countries should Canada consider high priority in terms of our trading relations with them?

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K- \$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Argentina	492	59	68	191	117	96	21	57	51	89	154	104	94	199	133	126	199	129
	35.1	34.9	28.6	36.9	33.0	31.4	43.0	46.9	27.4	26.7	36.0	44.0	43.4	35.0	33.7	36.4	34.5	33.0
Brazil	850	109	146	308	218	188	29	69	124	211	259	136	121	345	223	224	363	249
	60.7	64.6	61.5	59.4	61.5	61.7	60.5	56.9	67.2	63.3	60.3	57.5	55.7	60.6	56.3	64.8	63.1	63.5
Chile	360	44	62	128	99	81	18	26	35	62	113	64	86	143	105	88	148	85
	25.7	26.2	26.2	24.8	28.0	26.6	37.0	21.7	19.1	18.6	26.4	26.9	39.8	25.2	26.5	25.5	25.7	21.6
Columbia	328	37	50	131	84	76	8	26	65	104	87	40	32	161	94	54	142	84
	23.4	22.1	21.0	25.4	23.6	24.9	15.8	21.4	35.4	31.2	20.3	17.1	14.6	28.2	23.6	15.6	24.6	21.3
Mexico	1081	143	196	386	263	227	36	93	139	262	332	185	162	436	302	277	450	308
	77.2	84.9	82.2	74.4	74.4	74.3	74.8	76.7	75.1	78.7	77.4	78.5	74.8	76.6	76.3	80.2	78.3	78.6
Venezuela	283	22	46	109	86	75	11	21	28	64	104	39	48	107	88	74	110	97
	20.3	13.0	19.5	21.0	24.3	24.5	23.1	17.0	14.9	19.2	24.4	16.6	22.3	18.7	22.1	21.3	19.2	24.7
D.N.S.	46	5	3	23	10	9	1	4	6	8	12	8	12	19	9	14	12	13
	3.3	3.1	1.2	4.5	3.0	3.1	2.1	3.3	3.1	2.4	2.8	3.3	5.6	3.4	2.4	4.0	2.1	3.3

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Argentina	492	251	241	151	99	126	115	211	69	37	166	140	106	30	304	58	49	85
	35.1	37.0	33.4	35.6	39.3	33.4	33.4	34.0	36.6	36.4	35.8	32.6	31.9	33.2	36.7	40.4	33.8	40.7
Brazil	850	443	407	283	160	218	188	394	113	61	270	248	191	52	510	89	105	116
	60.7	65.4	56.3	66.6	63.2	57.7	54.8	63.4	59.7	59.3	58.3	57.8	57.8	58.2	61.7	61.8	72.3	55.5
Chile	360	199	162	103	96	81	80	140	46	29	139	99	83	15	229	41	30	72
	25.7	29.3	22.4	24.2	37.9	21.5	23.4	22.5	24.5	28.1	30.0	23.1	25.2	16.4	27.7	28.7	20.9	34.3
Columbia	328	135	193	85	50	97	96	131	51	30	108	108	70	34	178	28	29	31
	23.4	19.9	26.8	19.9	19.8	25.8	27.9	21.1	26.9	29.5	23.4	25.2	21.2	38.3	21.5	19.4	20.0	14.8
Mexico	1081	535	546	341	194	293	253	494	147	74	347	341	264	69	641	110	119	161
	77.2	78.9	75.6	80.2	76.6	77.6	73.5	79.5	77.5	72.0	75.0	79.5	80.0	77.5	77.5	76.3	81.4	77.4
Venezuela	283	153	131	99	54	75	56	140	36	17	85	91	75	12	161	23	32	33
	20.3	22.5	18.1	23.3	21.3	19.8	16.2	22.6	18.8	17.0	18.4	21.2	22.8	13.1	19.5	16.2	22.2	15.9
D.N.S.	46	13	33	8	5	11	22	14	4	4	23	10	9	1	27	6	3	7
	3.3	2.0	4.5	1.8	2.1	2.8	6.4	2.3	2.2	3.5	4.9	2.3	2.8	.9	3.3	4.1	2.4	3.3

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Argentina	492	30	41	34	31	186	106	166	434	57	190	277	67	197	228	169	316	396	96
	35.1	26.6	37.0	30.1	28.8	37.6	30.8	37.8	35.5	32.8	32.9	37.3	36.3	36.5	33.7	37.9	33.7	36.2	31.4
Brazil	850	75	74	66	66	289	213	275	750	100	361	433	113	320	417	281	563	661	188
	60.7	65.0	66.5	59.2	61.5	58.4	61.4	62.7	61.2	57.1	62.5	58.4	61.5	59.3	61.7	62.8	60.2	60.5	61.7
Chile	360	27	34	31	23	112	78	143	315	45	132	213	50	146	164	115	243	279	81
	25.7	23.7	31.2	27.6	21.6	22.7	22.5	32.7	25.7	25.8	22.8	28.7	27.4	27.0	24.3	25.7	26.0	25.5	26.6
Columbia	328	39	27	35	25	134	84	81	281	47	170	135	44	120	165	104	220	252	76
	23.4	34.2	24.6	31.2	23.4	27.1	24.2	18.5	22.9	27.1	29.5	18.3	24.0	22.1	24.4	23.2	23.5	23.0	24.9
Mexico	1081	83	81	85	80	375	273	342	947	133	450	576	132	425	524	344	723	853	227
	77.2	71.9	73.5	76.6	74.5	75.7	78.9	78.0	77.3	76.3	78.0	77.8	72.1	78.6	77.5	77.0	77.2	78.0	74.3
Venezuela	283	21	20	24	22	92	74	95	251	32	123	142	34	108	141	89	192	209	75
	20.3	18.4	17.9	21.3	20.6	18.7	21.3	21.7	20.5	18.3	21.2	19.2	18.7	20.0	20.9	19.9	20.5	19.1	24.5
D.N.S.	46	4	2	5	10	19	9	8	37	8	16	29	9	15	21	12	33	36	9
	3.3	3.5	1.4	4.4	9.0	3.8	2.7	1.7	3.1	4.8	2.7	3.9	5.0	2.9	3.1	2.6	3.6	3.3	3.1

S.6 Q.18 Have you heard/seen/read anything about Canada's proposal at the United Nations to improve peacekeeping by creating a Rapid Reaction Force?

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS		
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K- \$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual	+
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457	
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392	
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Yes	402	52	77	136	102	87	15	36	43	68	106	87	99	163	105	115	152	108	
	28.7	30.9	32.4	26.2	28.8	28.5	30.4	29.5	23.1	20.4	24.6	37.0	45.6	28.7	26.4	33.3	26.4	27.6	
No	984	117	160	375	248	215	34	84	140	264	320	145	115	403	285	230	419	280	
	70.3	69.1	67.1	72.5	70.1	70.2	69.6	69.7	75.8	79.2	74.7	61.3	53.2	70.8	71.9	66.7	72.9	71.5	
D.N.S.	13	-	1	7	4	4	-	1	2	1	3	4	2	3	7	-	4	4	
	.9	-	.5	1.4	1.1	1.3	-	.8	1.2	.4	.7	1.7	1.1	.6	1.7	-	.7	.9	

S.6 Q.18 Have you heard/seen/read anything about Canada's proposal at the United Nations to improve peacekeeping by creating a Rapid Reaction Force?

	SEX			MALE				FEMALE				WORKING STATUS					PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD			
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest					
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237					
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209					
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0					
Yes	402	278	125	165	113	60	65	179	48	23	147	98	80	16	272	49	42	79					
	28.7	40.9	17.3	38.7	44.6	15.9	18.8	28.8	25.6	22.2	31.7	22.7	24.2	18.1	32.9	34.2	28.6	37.8					
No	984	396	588	258	138	313	275	436	139	79	312	329	248	72	547	94	103	128					
	70.3	58.5	81.5	60.7	54.7	82.9	80.0	70.3	73.7	77.0	67.3	76.6	75.2	81.1	66.1	65.3	71.0	61.1					
D.N.S.	13	4	9	3	2	5	4	6	1	1	5	3	2	1	8	1	1	2					
	.9	.6	1.2	.6	.6	1.2	1.3	.9	.8	.8	1.1	.6	.6	.9	1.0	.5	.4	1.0					

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0968(continuation)

S.6 Q.18 Have you heard/seen/read anything about Canada's proposal at the United Nations to improve peacekeeping by creating a Rapid Reaction Force?

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	402	27	43	32	34	110	87	166	362	41	157	232	61	166	176	127	271	315	87
	28.7	23.3	38.5	28.4	32.0	22.3	25.1	37.9	29.5	23.2	27.2	31.3	33.2	30.7	26.0	28.4	28.9	28.8	28.5
No	984	86	67	78	72	378	258	268	852	132	415	502	119	370	495	317	656	770	215
	70.3	74.8	60.3	69.9	67.4	76.3	74.7	61.1	69.6	75.4	71.9	67.8	65.0	68.5	73.2	70.9	70.0	70.4	70.2
D.N.S.	13	2	1	2	1	7	1	5	11	2	5	7	3	4	6	3	10	9	4
	.9	1.9	1.2	1.7	.6	1.4	.2	1.0	.9	1.4	.9	.9	1.8	.8	.8	.8	1.0	.8	1.3

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0969

S.6 Q.19a If the United Nations were to create an international peacekeeping force , which could be sent into international trouble spots, would you favour or oppose having members of the Canadian Armed Forces participate in this United Nations Force?

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K- \$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Favour	1012	128	175	380	235	198	37	94	133	248	311	169	150	412	289	248	441	279
	72.3	75.6	73.7	73.4	66.3	64.6	76.4	77.6	71.9	74.3	72.6	71.8	69.3	72.4	73.0	71.7	76.7	71.1
Oppose	371	41	61	129	114	104	10	26	50	83	113	64	62	150	99	98	127	109
	26.5	24.1	25.8	24.9	32.2	33.9	21.5	21.5	26.9	24.9	26.2	27.1	28.6	26.3	25.1	28.3	22.2	27.9
D.N.S.	17	1	1	9	6	4	1	1	2	3	5	3	4	7	8	-	7	4
	1.2	.4	.5	1.6	1.6	1.5	2.1	.9	1.2	.8	1.2	1.1	2.1	1.3	1.9	-	1.2	1.1

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0969(continuation)

S.6 Q.19a If the United Nations were to create an international peacekeeping force , which could be sent into international trouble spots, would you favour or oppose having members of the Canadian Armed Forces participate in this United Nations Force?

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Favour	1012	492	520	313	180	280	239	464	135	71	324	323	252	67	600	107	110	154
	72.3	72.6	72.0	73.5	71.0	74.2	69.6	74.8	71.1	69.1	70.0	75.4	76.3	74.8	72.6	74.8	75.3	73.6
Oppose	371	181	190	110	71	90	100	148	53	31	135	101	75	21	217	33	35	52
	26.5	26.7	26.3	25.9	28.0	23.8	29.1	23.8	28.3	29.8	29.1	23.5	22.8	23.1	26.3	23.3	24.3	24.8
D.N.S.	17	5	12	3	2	7	4	9	1	1	4	5	3	2	10	3	1	3
	1.2	.7	1.6	.6	1.0	2.0	1.3	1.4	.6	1.1	1.0	1.1	.9	2.1	1.2	1.9	.4	1.6

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0969(continuation)

S.6 Q.19a If the United Nations were to create an international peacekeeping force , which could be sent into international trouble spots, would you favour or oppose having members of the Canadian Armed Forces participate in this United Nations Force?

	SINGLES			EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE		
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Favour	1012	80	82	70	69	348	264	322	897	115	421	536	126	399	487	326	677	814	198
	72.3	69.5	74.1	62.9	64.4	70.2	76.3	73.3	73.2	65.6	73.0	72.4	68.6	73.9	72.0	72.9	72.3	74.4	64.6
Oppose	371	33	28	39	35	141	80	111	313	58	148	197	55	135	181	118	245	267	104
	26.5	28.6	25.7	34.6	32.8	28.5	23.2	25.4	25.6	33.1	25.6	26.6	29.8	25.0	26.8	26.4	26.2	24.4	33.9
D.N.S.	17	2	*	3	3	7	2	5	15	2	8	8	3	6	8	3	14	12	4
	1.2	1.9	.2	2.5	2.8	1.3	.6	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.0	1.7	1.1	1.2	.7	1.5	1.1	1.5

S.6 Q.19b In light of recent American/European efforts to negotiate a ceasefire/peace agreement in Bosnia, how likely is it that lasting peace will be achieved there?

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra-iries	Ont-ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl-antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K-\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Very likely (100)	58	6	9	19	19	18	*	6	11	13	18	10	6	31	15	10	18	20
	4.1	3.6	3.7	3.7	5.2	5.9	.9	4.6	5.9	3.9	4.1	4.4	2.7	5.4	3.8	2.8	3.1	5.1
Somewhat likely (66.7)	481	55	87	164	137	116	20	39	71	134	143	72	61	202	127	123	214	141
	34.4	32.3	36.8	31.6	38.6	38.1	41.6	31.9	38.3	40.3	33.3	30.3	28.3	35.5	32.1	35.5	37.2	36.0
Not very likely (33.3)	709	91	116	267	169	150	19	66	84	162	219	128	117	284	201	180	300	178
	50.7	53.7	48.9	51.6	47.9	49.1	40.2	54.2	45.5	48.5	51.0	54.3	53.8	49.9	50.8	52.0	52.1	45.3
Not at all likely (0)	137	17	25	61	24	16	8	10	17	23	45	24	29	47	46	33	39	50
	9.8	10.2	10.4	11.8	6.9	5.2	17.4	8.3	9.1	6.9	10.5	10.0	13.4	8.3	11.6	9.7	6.8	12.7
D.N.S.	14	*	1	7	5	5	-	1	2	1	5	2	4	5	7	-	5	4
	1.0	.1	.3	1.4	1.4	1.6	-	.9	1.2	.4	1.1	1.0	1.8	.9	1.7	-	.8	.9
Index(Maximum=100)	44	43	45	43	48	48	42	44	47	47	44	43	40	46	43	44	46	45

DATE 05/08/96
 694016 Goldfarb Report
 S.6 Q.19b In light of recent American/European efforts to negotiate a ceasefire/peace agreement in Bosnia, how likely is it that lasting peace will be achieved there?

REPORT C0970(continuation)

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Very likely (100)	58	34	24	21	13	10	14	25	6	6	19	16	10	6	34	4	3	7
	4.1	5.0	3.3	4.9	5.1	2.7	4.1	4.0	3.3	5.4	4.1	3.8	3.0	7.3	4.1	2.7	2.4	3.3
Somewhat likely (66.7)	481	213	268	138	75	158	111	217	79	38	138	168	132	34	260	45	55	51
	34.4	31.4	37.2	32.5	29.5	41.7	32.2	34.9	41.6	36.6	29.8	39.2	40.0	37.9	31.4	31.2	37.9	24.5
Not very likely (33.3)	709	351	358	218	134	174	184	312	86	49	252	207	160	42	439	81	72	123
	50.7	51.8	49.6	51.1	52.9	46.1	53.5	50.2	45.4	48.2	54.5	48.2	48.5	47.5	53.1	56.6	49.6	59.0
Not at all likely (0)	137	77	60	46	31	30	30	60	17	9	49	34	25	6	86	12	14	25
	9.8	11.4	8.4	10.9	12.1	7.9	8.9	9.7	9.0	9.0	10.6	7.9	7.6	6.4	10.4	8.2	9.7	12.0
D.N.S.	14	3	11	2	1	6	5	7	1	1	5	4	3	1	9	2	1	3
	1.0	.5	1.5	.6	.3	1.7	1.4	1.2	.6	.8	1.0	.9	.9	.9	1.0	1.2	.4	1.2
Index(Maximum=100)	44	43	45	44	43	47	44	45	47	46	43	46	46	49	43	43	44	40

S.6 Q.19b In light of recent American/European efforts to negotiate a ceasefire/peace agreement in Bosnia, how likely is it that lasting peace will be achieved there?

	SINGLES				EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE	
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Very likely (100)	58	11	7	6	6	20	10	20	52	6	22	30	10	21	27	13	45	40	18
	4.1	10.0	6.7	5.0	5.8	4.1	2.8	4.6	4.2	3.5	3.7	4.0	5.2	4.0	4.0	2.9	4.8	3.6	5.9
Somewhat likely (66.7)	481	45	36	39	29	152	124	168	422	59	209	242	63	173	245	165	311	364	116
	34.4	39.4	32.5	35.2	27.1	30.7	36.0	38.4	34.5	33.7	36.3	32.7	34.2	32.0	36.3	36.9	33.2	33.3	38.1
Not very likely (33.3)	709	48	54	55	49	272	174	212	615	94	290	381	87	287	335	225	477	559	150
	50.7	41.6	48.9	49.4	45.5	54.9	50.2	48.3	50.2	53.9	50.2	51.4	47.3	53.1	49.6	50.3	51.0	51.1	49.1
Not at all likely (0)	137	8	13	8	20	45	36	36	123	14	51	81	20	57	61	42	92	121	16
	9.8	7.1	11.7	7.3	18.8	9.0	10.4	8.1	10.1	8.1	8.8	10.9	10.9	10.5	9.0	9.3	9.8	11.1	5.2
D.N.S.	14	2	*	3	3	7	2	3	13	1	6	8	4	2	8	3	11	9	5
	1.0	1.9	.2	3.1	2.8	1.3	.6	.6	1.1	.8	1.0	1.0	2.4	.4	1.1	.7	1.1	.9	1.6
Index(Maximum=100)	44	51	45	46	40	43	44	47	44	44	45	43	45	43	45	44	44	43	48

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0971

S.6 Q.19c In the event that there is an eventual peace agreement in Bosnia, would you strongly favour, somewhat favour, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose sending Canadian troops to act as peacekeepers in that country?

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra- iries	Ont- ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl- antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K- \$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Strongly favour (100)	162	20	34	53	40	32	8	16	18	42	54	34	15	71	44	40	74	49
	11.6	11.8	14.4	10.2	11.2	10.3	16.5	13.2	9.8	12.5	12.6	14.3	7.0	12.5	11.2	11.7	12.8	12.6
Somewhat favour (66.7)	660	82	121	237	163	141	22	57	97	168	199	104	91	276	177	164	270	186
	47.1	48.8	50.7	45.7	46.1	46.1	45.5	47.3	52.7	50.4	46.5	44.1	42.0	48.4	44.6	47.6	47.0	47.5
Somewhat oppose (33.3)	381	43	57	135	108	99	9	39	47	96	118	66	54	150	103	103	167	103
	27.2	25.3	23.9	26.1	30.5	32.3	19.3	31.9	25.5	28.7	27.4	28.1	25.1	26.4	26.1	29.7	29.0	26.1
Strongly oppose (0)	184	23	26	85	40	31	9	9	20	26	54	30	54	69	65	37	60	49
	13.1	13.7	11.1	16.4	11.2	10.0	18.7	7.6	10.8	7.8	12.6	12.6	24.9	12.1	16.5	10.8	10.5	12.5
D.N.S.	13	1	-	8	4	4	-	*	2	2	4	2	2	4	7	1	4	5
	.9	.4	-	1.6	1.1	1.3	-	.1	1.2	.6	.9	1.0	1.0	.6	1.7	.2	.7	1.2
Index(Maximum=100)	53	53	56	50	53	53	53	55	54	56	53	54	44	54	50	53	54	54

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0971(continuation)

S.6 Q.19c In the event that there is an eventual peace agreement in Bosnia, would you strongly favour, somewhat favour, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose sending Canadian troops to act as peacekeepers in that country?

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Strongly favour (100)	162	87	76	56	30	43	33	77	24	14	44	57	46	11	88	13	20	21
	11.6	12.8	10.5	13.3	12.0	11.4	9.5	12.3	12.7	13.4	9.4	13.3	13.9	12.4	10.6	8.9	13.6	10.3
Somewhat favour (66.7)	660	302	358	187	114	193	165	294	88	52	208	200	151	43	403	76	72	98
	47.1	44.5	49.7	44.0	45.2	51.1	48.1	47.3	46.6	50.9	44.9	46.6	45.7	48.6	48.7	52.6	49.1	47.1
Somewhat oppose (33.3)	381	183	198	129	55	99	98	176	55	22	127	127	97	27	209	35	39	45
	27.2	27.0	27.4	30.2	21.7	26.3	28.6	28.3	29.2	21.0	27.5	29.5	29.4	30.8	25.3	24.4	26.9	21.4
Strongly oppose (0)	184	103	80	51	53	35	45	66	21	14	82	41	33	7	121	20	15	42
	13.1	15.2	11.1	11.9	20.8	9.3	13.1	10.7	10.9	14.0	17.6	9.6	10.0	7.4	14.7	13.6	10.0	20.4
D.N.S.	13	3	9	2	1	7	2	8	1	1	2	4	3	1	6	1	1	2
	.9	.5	1.3	.6	.3	1.9	.7	1.3	.6	.8	.5	.9	1.0	.9	.7	.5	.4	.8
Index(Maximum=100)	53	52	53	53	50	55	51	54	54	55	49	55	55	55	52	52	56	49

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0971(continuation)

S.6 Q.19c In the event that there is an eventual peace agreement in Bosnia, would you strongly favour, somewhat favour, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose sending Canadian troops to act as peacekeepers in that country?

	SINGLES		EDUCATION				AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE			
	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French	
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Strongly favour (100)	162	14	13	10	9	50	39	61	144	18	58	92	19	65	79	52	111	131	32
	11.6	12.3	11.7	8.7	8.8	10.1	11.4	13.9	11.8	10.3	10.1	12.5	10.2	12.0	11.7	11.5	11.8	12.0	10.3
Somewhat favour (66.7)	660	48	54	55	42	247	168	197	579	81	279	341	90	256	314	214	439	519	141
	47.1	42.2	49.0	49.7	39.4	49.8	48.4	44.9	47.2	46.5	48.4	46.0	49.1	47.3	46.5	48.0	46.8	47.4	46.1
Somewhat oppose (33.3)	381	35	30	29	34	123	88	132	331	50	164	198	48	137	196	120	253	283	99
	27.2	30.1	26.7	26.2	31.9	24.8	25.6	30.2	27.0	28.5	28.3	26.8	26.2	25.4	28.9	26.8	27.0	25.8	32.3
Strongly oppose (0)	184	15	14	14	19	69	50	45	160	24	71	103	24	80	80	58	124	153	31
	13.1	13.5	12.3	12.9	17.9	14.0	14.4	10.3	13.0	13.6	12.2	13.9	12.9	14.8	11.8	13.0	13.2	14.0	10.0
D.N.S.	13	2	*	3	2	7	1	3	11	2	5	6	3	2	7	3	10	9	4
	.9	1.9	.2	2.5	1.9	1.3	.2	.7	.9	1.1	.9	.9	1.7	.4	1.1	.7	1.0	.8	1.3
Index(Maximum=100)	53	51	53	52	47	52	52	54	53	51	52	53	53	52	53	53	53	53	53

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0972

S.6 Q.19d In the event that there is an eventual peace agreement in Bosnia, would you strongly favour, somewhat favour, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose providing Canadian aid to help rebuild that country?

	REGION								AGE					HOUSEHOLD INCOME			NUMBER OF WAGE EARNERS	
	TOTAL	B.C.	Pra-iries	Ont-ario	Total Quebec	French Quebec	English Quebec	Atl-antic	Under 25	25-34	35-49	50-64	65 Or Over	Under \$35K	\$35K-\$59K	\$60K Plus	Single	Dual +
Unweighted row	1653	199	271	534	508	462	46	141	171	409	588	258	227	679	486	390	705	457
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	169	238	518	354	306	48	121	185	333	429	236	217	570	396	345	575	392
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Strongly favour (100)	188	23	22	83	47	36	11	13	35	52	47	32	22	88	47	42	82	60
	13.4	13.6	9.3	16.0	13.3	11.8	22.6	10.7	18.8	15.5	11.0	13.7	10.1	15.5	11.9	12.1	14.3	15.3
Somewhat favour (66.7)	639	88	115	199	171	149	22	65	89	163	195	102	90	271	177	159	264	173
	45.7	52.2	48.6	38.5	48.4	48.8	45.3	53.5	47.9	49.0	45.4	43.4	41.5	47.5	44.8	45.9	45.8	44.2
Somewhat oppose (33.3)	373	37	63	142	104	94	10	27	41	80	121	66	64	140	104	101	158	99
	26.6	21.9	26.6	27.5	29.4	30.6	21.5	21.9	22.4	24.0	28.3	27.9	29.5	24.6	26.3	29.3	27.5	25.3
Strongly oppose (0)	183	20	37	84	25	20	5	17	18	36	61	32	37	66	61	42	65	55
	13.1	11.9	15.6	16.3	7.0	6.4	10.7	13.7	9.7	10.8	14.1	13.4	16.9	11.5	15.3	12.2	11.3	14.0
D.N.S.	17	1	-	9	7	7	-	*	2	2	5	3	4	5	7	2	6	5
	1.2	.4	-	1.7	2.0	2.3	-	.1	1.2	.6	1.1	1.5	1.9	.8	1.7	.5	1.1	1.2
Index(Maximum=100)	53	56	51	52	56	56	60	54	59	57	51	53	49	56	51	53	55	54

DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0972(continuation)

S.6 Q.19d In the event that there is an eventual peace agreement in Bosnia, would you strongly favour, somewhat favour, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose providing Canadian aid to help rebuild that country?

	SEX		MALE		FEMALE		WORKING STATUS				PARENTS WITH KIDS UNDER 17			ADULT ONLY HOUSEHOLD				
	TOTAL	Male	Female	Work- ing	Not Working	Work- ing	Not Working	Full Time	Part Time	Unemp- loyed	Not In Labour Force	Total Parent	Couple Parent	Single Parent	No Kids Under 17	Parent /Adult Kids	Pre- Nest	Empty Nest
Unweighted row	1653	807	846	526	281	449	397	752	230	127	514	577	463	103	909	175	159	237
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	678	722	425	253	378	344	621	189	103	463	429	330	89	828	144	146	209
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Strongly favour (100)	188	95	93	65	30	53	40	88	31	15	50	59	46	11	108	12	21	19
	13.4	14.0	12.9	15.3	11.8	14.1	11.5	14.1	16.4	14.9	10.8	13.7	14.0	12.4	13.0	8.0	14.7	9.2
Somewhat favour (66.7)	639	269	370	156	114	191	179	267	84	54	221	193	145	43	388	68	72	97
	45.7	39.7	51.2	36.6	45.0	50.4	52.2	42.9	44.6	53.1	47.8	45.1	43.9	48.7	46.8	47.3	49.4	46.6
Somewhat oppose (33.3)	373	200	173	136	63	93	80	181	51	21	115	120	95	21	210	47	33	50
	26.6	29.5	24.0	32.1	25.1	24.7	23.2	29.2	26.8	20.7	24.9	27.9	28.8	24.1	25.4	32.6	22.6	24.2
Strongly oppose (0)	183	109	73	66	44	33	41	78	21	11	72	52	40	12	113	17	19	40
	13.1	16.1	10.2	15.5	17.3	8.7	11.8	12.5	11.0	10.6	15.6	12.2	12.0	13.9	13.6	11.6	12.8	19.1
D.N.S.	17	4	12	2	2	8	4	8	2	1	4	5	4	1	9	1	1	2
	1.2	.7	1.7	.6	.8	2.1	1.2	1.3	1.1	.8	.9	1.2	1.3	.9	1.1	.5	.4	.8
Index(Maximum=100)	53	51	56	51	51	57	55	53	56	58	51	54	54	53	53	51	55	49


DATE 05/08/96

694016 Goldfarb Report

REPORT C0972(continuation)

S.6 Q.19d In the event that there is an eventual peace agreement in Bosnia, would you strongly favour, somewhat favour, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose providing Canadian aid to help rebuild that country?

	SINGLES		EDUCATION						AREA		RESIDENCE		HOUSEHOLD SIZE			UNION AFFILIATION		LANGUAGE	
	TOTAL	Adult Child At Home	Single Male/ No Kids	Single Female/ No Kids	Less Than H.Sch.	Some/ Compl. H.Sch.	Tech./ College	Some Univ. /More	Urban	Rural	Rent	Own	One	Two	3 Or More	Union	Non-Union	English	French
Unweighted row	1653	117	123	117	119	626	424	470	1238	415	626	937	200	600	853	562	1076	1191	462
TOTAL INTERVIEWS	1400	115	111	111	107	495	346	439	1225	175	577	741	183	541	676	447	936	1094	306
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Strongly favour (100)	188	27	17	15	14	65	46	64	170	18	88	86	28	68	92	61	127	152	36
	13.4	23.5	15.8	13.3	12.8	13.1	13.2	14.6	13.9	10.0	15.2	11.5	15.0	12.7	13.6	13.7	13.5	13.9	11.8
Somewhat favour (66.7)	639	53	43	60	41	217	158	215	560	79	267	331	82	248	310	211	421	490	149
	45.7	46.5	38.8	53.9	38.2	43.8	45.7	49.0	45.7	45.0	46.3	44.6	44.5	45.8	45.8	47.2	45.0	44.8	48.8
Somewhat oppose (33.3)	373	23	32	24	31	125	96	117	322	51	148	212	44	138	191	117	249	279	94
	26.6	19.9	28.9	21.8	29.0	25.3	27.8	26.7	26.3	29.2	25.6	28.5	24.1	25.5	28.3	26.1	26.6	25.5	30.6
Strongly oppose (0)	183	9	17	8	19	80	45	38	157	26	66	105	24	84	75	55	127	163	20
	13.1	8.1	15.2	6.7	18.1	16.1	13.0	8.7	12.8	14.7	11.5	14.2	13.0	15.6	11.1	12.3	13.5	14.9	6.4
D.N.S.	17	2	1	5	2	9	1	4	15	2	8	8	6	2	8	3	13	10	7
	1.2	1.9	1.3	4.2	1.9	1.7	.2	1.0	1.2	1.1	1.3	1.1	3.4	.4	1.2	.7	1.4	.9	2.3
Index(Maximum=100)	53	62	52	59	49	52	53	57	54	50	55	51	55	52	54	54	53	53	56



DOCS
CA1 EA 96E82 ENG
Excerpts from the Goldfarb report
1996
66331426