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February 3.-Hon, Sir E. P. Tacaé moved that an Address be presented to Her Majestry, praying that a lueasure be aubmitted to Imperial Pariiament, based on certain resolntions, 1.
Februtry 9.-IIon Mr. Sa nbobs moved an amendment with reference to the Constitution of the Iegislative Council, 12t:
Febradary 13.-Hon. Mr. Regsor moved that the debate be adjourned for ten days, 162. Nepatived by 37 to 19
February 14-1lad.- Mr. Letelfige De S. Just moved an amendment to IIon. Mr. Saikabn's amendment, that the debate bo adjourned until certain informatioty bo laid before the House, 189. Negatived by 35 to 20.
Pelrwary 16-Honse divided on Hon. Mr. Sanboan's amenduant, 3 15." Negatived by 42 to 18.
Fichriaury 1\%-Hon. Mri Curais moved in appeadment to the nazin motion, that the Howse should not assent to the meaisure -ibhout further mauifestation of the publie will than has get beca declared, 269. House divided on saia amendment, 316. Negatired by 31 to 19.

Pebruary 20.- Hon. Mr. Aikins moved an amendment with reforence to the Constitution of the Legislative Conncil, 317. A question of order being raised, the Ion. the Speaker ruled the said amend. meat out of order, \$18. Hon. Mr. Reesor moved in aneendment, that the transmission of the Address should be delajed, until the resolations should be approved by a direct vote of the electors of the proviace, 347.. A question of order being raised, the Speciker ruled the said amepdment to bo in orler, 329. Houso divided on said amendment, 333 . Negatived by 36 to 19. House divided on main motion, 346: Carried by 45 to 15. Committee appointed to draft an Addres\%. Draft Aldress reported, agreed to, Fad ordered to be ougro sed. Ordered that an Address be presented to the Governor General by the whole House, rininstiag him to transmit said Address to HE Majestr, 346.
Pebruary 23.-The House waitrd on His Exoelleacy with their Address to II zn Majesty: His "Excellency's reply; 421.

[^0]Arkins, Hon. Janess C. [Home], 154-159, 208, $217,316-317$.
Alexaxima, Hod. Georor [Gore], 80-82, 207-208.
Ahcán, Hca. Groboé w, [Tork], 115118.
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Chaisfir, Hon. Dávid [Eria], 212-222, 224, 277, 279.
Cugire, Hon. James G. [Niagata], 45-53,

208-209, 210-212, 218, 269-284; 340, 341, $342,512$.
De Beadjeú, Hop. George S. [L], 205, 322-324.
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Ferrier, Hón. James [L], 193-198, 233, 276.

Flint, Hon. Billa [ Trent], 318-322.
Gueviemont, Hon J. B. [Siurel], 313.
Hamiton, Ilon. John [Inkerman], jiet325.

Letrlaier de Et. Just; Hon. L [Grame ville], 12, 18, 186-189.
Machaerson, Hon. Dayid L. [Saujeeri], $125,149-152,200,201,204,283$.
IncCrea, Hon. Walter [ Western], 16 $173,277,279$.
Mcmaster; Hon. Whinam [Millont], 229-231.
Moore, Hon Philip 11. [L], 83, 160; 163; 2 $55-229$.
Olivieri, Hun Lla [De Lannuliare]. $173-180,180,310<310$.

Price, Hon, David E. [Laurentides], 338359.

Read, Hon. Robert [Quinté], 326-307.
Reesog, Hon. David [King's]; 15S, 161, 162,163-167, 327, 3: $-333,339 \div 340$.
Ross, Hon Jous [L], $\mathbf{1 1 - 8 0}, 160,213$, 270, 271, 280, 300-301, 327.
Rran, Hon. Tho inas" [ Fictoria], 233-338, 840.

Sanborn, Hon. Jonn S [ Wellinglon], 118 $-125,223-225,24-245$
Seymour, Hon Benjamix [L]; 109-20f, 298-300.
Simpson, Hon. Johi [ Queen's], 160,231 234.

Skead, IIon A MEs [Rilieau], 242-244.
Strakik, The - Hon. It. J. Tessien, [fouly, 208,317,318, 328, 421.
Tache, Ion. Col. Sir Fe. P, $\mathbf{R}$ ceiver Gevir ral and Minister of Mifitia [ $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ ], 1-11, $76,83,175,170,210,211,24-242$, 333, 342-346.
Vidal, Hon. I: [So Chur], 82-8:3, 301309, 346.

## LEGISLATIVE AS\$EMBLY

Flervary 3-Mon. Atty Gen. Macdonali. moved that an Adress'be presented to Hea Majesty, prayipg that a measare Le submitted to lmperial Parlianen:, baved ou certain resolutions, 18. Hon. Mr. Holrow objected that the motion "was not in order, inasmuch as the Address should by founded on Resolationg oriniaated in Committee of the Whele, 18. The Sugaker ruled that the mution was ia order, 19.
March 7 -How Atty. Gen. Macbonalo moved the previous question, 703. Hon. Acty. Gen. Carter having moved the adjournment of the debate till the first sittiog of the House, to morrow, after ruatine business, Mon Mr. Holrow moved in "amendment that the debate be adjourned till tho 13 th instant, and that an Address be presented to the Governor General, praying him to causo to be laid before the House, in the meartine, various information relating to the subjects ems. braced in the resolutions, 767. Objection being taken, the Speaken ruled the amendment out or order, 768. The Speaker's decision appealed from, 708.

Sastained on a division of 03 ca 20. Hisen Mr. Dortos moved in amenduent that the debate be abjourned for oue moth; or until such tiane as the people of this Provinice should have an opportunity of constitutionally pronouncing their opibion, 769. Objection being taken; the Spsakei ruled the amendment out of order, 70. Hod. Mr. Catierénotonagredifo, 770.
Merich 10, Objection having been takea by Hon, Mr Holtus, the Speaken ruled that/ the miotion for the " $p$ revious ques tion'" was in order, $8933^{\prime}$ " The llmest Sivided on the mation for the "- previous question," $9 \sigma^{\circ}$. Sgreed tw by 6 to 39 : The house divided on the matio notion, if the Hlon. Atty. Gen. Mareoxale, 96 : Agreed to by 91 to 33.
Marth 13.-Hob. Nuy Gen. Macoosals mored that a Cominittee bo appointed to draft an address fuaded on the resolutiona, 962; Hen J. II. Camehos mioved in amendaient an Adurces to the Coverniur General, for an appeal to the people bo. fore the reacolutions should be sobmitied to the Iuperial Parliament for their fiad action, 96?. Objection beiog taken, tho

SPEAEER ruled the amendmant to be in order, 963. House divided on Mr. CAneron's amendment, 1020. Negatived by 84: to 35: Hon. Nir. Holton anoved an amendment, that the measuife should not go into operation, until approved by the Parliament of Canada; after the next general election, 1021. "House divided on said amendment, 1025 . Negatived by 79 to 31. Hon. Jons Sanprield Macvonatd moved an amendment with reforence to the subject of Education in Upper Canada, 1055. House divided on said amendreat, 1026. Negatived by 95 to 8. Mr. Bourassa moved an amendment that

Roman Catholic minority of Upper Canada be placed on same footing as Protestant minority of Lower Canada, 1023. House divided on said amendment; 1027. Negatived by 85 to 20. Main motion agreed to on a division and Committee appointed, 1026. Draft Address, reportel, 1027. Agreed to and ordered to be engrossed, 1032. Ordered that an Address be presenfed to tho Governor Gencral by the whole House, requesting him to transinit. saif Address to Her Majestry, 1032.
March 14.-The House waited on His Excellenoy with their Address to HEAB Masestry, His Excelloncy's reply; 103z.
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Doaton, Mr. J. B. E. [Drumbond and 'Arihabaska], 856-871, $929,487$.
 608, 922-931, 1017.
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Langetin, Hon. H: L., Solicitor General East [Dorchester], 362-392, 579, 691; 781.
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McDocgale. Mon' William, Provincial Eecretary [Dorth Lanark], 492, 538; 719-730, 985.
NeGee, Hon. T. D'Abcy, Mirister of Agriculture [Mnitreal Weat], 1:25-140, 643, 653, 669.
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McKellar, Mr. Abchbald'[Keti], 70\%766
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O'Hahloran, Mr. James [Misciaquio], 792-799.
Paguet, Dr. A. H. [Berthier], 789-792.
Parker, Dr. T. S. [North Wellington], 668,936-937, 1018-1020.
Perrault, Mr. Josepi F [Richelieu]; 559, 585-626, 926.
Pouliot, Mr. J. B. [Témiscouata], 880882.

Powell, Mr. W. F. [Carleon] 715-716:
Rankis, Col. Arthur [ESsex], 697, 91?922.

Remillard, Mr. Kdouabd [Bellechaese], 783-789.
Rose, Hon John [Moutrial Centre]; 39t119.

Ross, Mr. Jons J. [Champlain], 882.
Ross, Mr. Joun S [ Disndas], 799-803.
RymAL, Mr, Jossiph [South Wentaiorth], 932-936, 093, 1020.
Scatciend, Mr. Thos [ Wese Mifdallesex], 747-760.
Scobie, Mr. Jonn [Trsi Eljin], 852, 900912.

Sahnli, Mr. Walter [South Grencille] 899-906.
Smith, Mr Alexanperi. M. [Toronto EJust], 897-899
Speakier, The Hon Lewis Walibridoe [South Hastinys], 19, $559,664,703,768$, $770,893,963,1032$.
Stinton, Mr. David[South Wellingion ]riss.
Tasenerenv, Mr. Henay E. [Benuce]:893897.

Wallbaidoe, Mr. 'R. C. [.Vorth Ilastizys], 419, 657-660, 072.
Walsi, Mr: Aquila [ Whitobe] so5-sio.
Webb, Mr. William II. [Richmoad and Wolfe ], 931-982:

# THE LEGISLATURE OF CANADA, 

3Rd Session, 8ti Parhínent, 1865.

## GOVERNOR GENERAL.

His Excelledoy The Right Honorable Canales Stanley; Viscount Monck, Baron Monck of Ballytranmon, in the County of Wexford, Governor General of. British Norlh America, and Coplumi General and Governor in Chief in andiover the Provintes of Canaila, Nova Scotia, Neio, Brunsvich, and the Ioland of Prince Educurl, and Vice Admirul of the same, dec, dec., dece

## THE MINISTRY.

Hon. Sir Etiense Pascal Tacis, Receiver General, dfinister of Militia, and Premier.
Hon. Jóan Alexander Macdonald, Attorney General West.
Hon. Gbobae Etienine Cariter, Alforney General East:
Hon. Alexander Tilfoci Galt, Mfinister of Finance.
Hon. Alexander Campaeif; Commissioner of Croien Lanils.
Hon. Tuomas D'Arcy MoGee, Minister of Agriculture and Statistics.
Hon. Jean Cuarles Ceapais, Commissioner of Public Works.
Hon. Gsonae Brown, President Executive Council.
Hon. Wilhiam MoDovanzl, Provincial Secretary.
Hon. Wililam Pearce Howland, Posimaster General.
Hod. Hector Louis Lanaevin, Solicilor Generat East:
Hod. James Cockbern, Solicitor General West.

## LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

## The Honorablo Ulaic J. Tessina, Speaker.

## LHfe memagias.

| Reqdences:' | Niames of Membios. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Aingatom . ${ }^{\text {c. . Blon. }}$ | John Hamilton. |
| Philipsbarg " | Philip H. Moore. |
| Londom, C. B\%" | George J. Goodhue. |
| Hrockicille - $\therefore$ " | James Morria. . |
| Toronto. ... ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Jamen Gordon. |
| Montreal ; . \% $^{\prime}$ | James Ferrier. |
| Perth | Roderick Matheson, |
| Cobours | George S. Baulton. |
| Montmag | Sit Etienne P. Tacho. |
| Alontreal | Jamea L |
| Alontreal | Frederick A. Quieanel. |



## EHECTED MEMBEAS.



## LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.-Concluded.

## ELEOTED MEMBERS.




## LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY.

## The Honorable Lewis Wallbadog, Speaker.

## MEMBERS.

| Argenteuil. . . . . . . . . . Hon. John J. C.Abbott. | Chalton |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bagot . . . ... . . . . . |  |
| Beauce : . . . . . . :'. . . Heriri E Tasch | Llastinge (Vorth Riding)Thomes C Welltrid |
| Beauharnois $\because \because$. | hastings ( vorch Atding) Thomas C. Wallbridge <br> Aastings (South Atiding) Hon. Lewis Wallbridge. |
| Bellechasie . . . . . . $\because$. . Edouard Rémillard. | Hochelaga $\qquad$ Hon. Antoine A. Dorion. |
| Berthier. Bonarent | Huntingdon $\qquad$ Robert H. Somerville. |
| Brant (East Miding). John Young | Hharon and Brace.'. . . vamas Dicknon. <br> lberville: <br> Alexandre Dufreane |
| Brant (Hest Kiding). . Edmund'Burke Wood. | Jacques Curtier $\qquad$ Guillaame Gu. Gaveh |
| Brockrille (Tounn) -. . Fitzwm. H. Chambers. | Jovittte . . . . . . . . . . Hip.C. dit Cirandehame. |
|  | Camourcaska . . . . ...... Hon Jean C. Chapai\% Avis. |
| Chanibly. . . . . . . . . \{ Charles Boneher de | Kingaton $\qquad$ Hon.J. A. Mactonald. |
| Chumphain. ${ }^{\text {cis . . . . . John Juaes Hoss. }}$ | cambion $, \therefore, \therefore$. Alexander Mackenzio. <br> Lainark (North Miding)Hon. Wm: MeDougall. |
| Charletwix. : $\quad \because:$ Adulphe Gagnon. | Lamark (Suath fitiong.) Alexander Morriz. |
| Chaterusuay . . . . . Hon. LutherH. Iolton. | Laprairic … . . . . . . Altred |
| Contptom - . . . . . John Heary Po | Lideromption . . . . . . . Lauis Archanabuatit. Larcal |
| Curnucull ( Tou'n) . . . . . Hon. J. S. Maedonala. | Leiedr and (ircenville' |
| Dorchester . . . . . Hon. H. L. Langevin. | (Aorth Atiding.) ... |
| Drunda A Artkabaska, Jesip Bte. Eric Dorion. | Leeda (South Riding) . David Ford Jomen $\because$ |
| Durham ( E | Lennot and Addinglon. Michard J. Carteright: |
| Purhami (Hest Riding)Henry Muaro. | Linool |
| Eigtin (Euat Riding.). .Leonidas Burwell. | L'labet. . . . . . . . . . . Vhismachiverin. |
| Elyin ( Weot Riding.). Joha Scohle. | London'(City.) …... Hon. John Carling. |
| Eswer . . . . . . . . . . - . Arthur Rankin: | Lotbiarider .......... Meni Gustave \oly. |
| Vicaspû́ <br> William Fergua Juhn Ea Bonillit | Magenimanger : . . . . . . . . . Moise Horde. <br> Megontic |
| dilengrarty...... Donald A. Macdonald. | Aegantic . ...............eorge Ievine. <br> Middleatx (Et Priding.)Crowell Willion |
| (irkmoilhe(Suth Miding) Walter. Shanly. | (fidelleatex ( MF. Proting.) Thomas Scatchetrd |
| Grey ................. George Jackion. <br> Huldimand Dearge Jackzon. | Missiaquor $\square$ Jamea OPRIalloran: |

## LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY - Coneluded:

| hontmagny . . . . . . . . . Jos. Octave Beaubien. | Rouville |
| :---: | :---: |
| Rontmorency ${ }^{\text {R }}$, Hon. Joseph Cauchon. | Rousville . . . . . . . . . Joseph N.Poolin. |
| ELontreal (City) Centre.Hon. John Rose. | St Hyacinthe. .......... Robert Rell. |
| i $\therefore$ is Wast . Hone Geo. E. Cartier, | Sti John |
| pierville . $\mathrm{S}^{\text {a }}$. | St. Ma |
| Niagara (Town) . . . Angus Morrison. |  |
| N | Sherbrooke (Town) . . ..Hon Alex. T. Galt: Simcóc (North Riding) Thomes D McContog |
| rithu | Simcoe (South Riding).Thomas R. Ferguson. |
| Riding ) . . . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ J James Lyon Biggar. | Soulanges . . . . . . . . William Duckett. |
| Hithumberland (W) | Stormoant $\ldots, \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. |
|  | Témiscouata |
| Ontario (South Riding, Thos Viche | Terrebonne. . . . . . . . . Louis La $^{\text {L }}$ |
| Ottava (Cily) ......... Josept Mo | Three Mavers (City)....Chas. B. De Nivervi |
| ttawa (County). .... Aloizo W | Turontot Cily ${ }_{\text {as }}$ East . . Alex. Mortimer Smith |
| Oxford (North Riding Hope F. Mckenz | Tuo Monintuins . . . . . . Jean' Baptiste Da |
| Peed - ............. Hon. John Hi Camero | Raudretuil ..... .t. Antoine Cbartier de |
|  | Lotbinière Harwood. |
| Poele rbiarought ... |  |
|  | Waiterho (N. Riding). Jsane Erb Bowma |
|  | Waterloo (S. R iding)..James Cowan: |
| Prince E | Well |
| Quebec (Cily) East ... Pierre Gabriol | Wellington N. Riding) Thomas S. Pa |
| * " Centre Hoa. 1. Thibau | Wellington (S. Riding) David Stirton. |
| " "\% WPest. . Hon. Charles Alleyn. | Wentucorth (N. Riding). William, Notma |
| Queber (County)...... Hon. F. Evanturel. | Yamuaska . |
| Ric Xmond and Woife., William Hoclaty | York (East Rtiding) .a.Amos Wright. |
| Eiche | York (North Riding). . James Pearson Well |
| Amousti .: … ..................orge Sylvain. | York(West Riding).... Hon. Wm. P. Howlands |

# PARLIAMENTARY DEBATES 

ONTHE

# SUBJECT OF THE CONFEDERATION OF THE BRITLSH NORTH AMERICAN PROVINCES. 

# Third Session, Eighth Provincial Parlianieut of Canada, in the Trointy-eighth year of the Reign of Her Majesty Queen Victoria. 

## LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

Friday. Pebruity 3, 1860.
How. Sir E P TACHE moved, That an humble Address be presentel to Her Majesty, praying that She may be graciously pleased to cause a mecasure to be submitted to the In posial Parfiameat for the purposee of uniting the Colonies of Canada; Now Scotia, New Brudiwick, Newfoundiland, and Priuce Edward Ihland, in one Goveriment, with provisions bised in the following Resolutions, which were adopted at a Conference of Detco gates from the waid Colonies, theld at the city of Quebec, on the 10th of October; 1864: $:^{\text {i/ }}$
1.: The hese intorests: and prefont and-fature prosperity of British Nurth Amerisa will be pro troted by a Ederal Caion under the Crown of Great Britain, provided tuch Uuion can be effect. ed on principlea juat to the several Proviazes.

2 In the Federation of the Britith "North A dierican Proviaces, the system of Gevernment beata adapted under exiating circuinstance to protect tho diversified intereat uf the weveral Proviaces and secare uliciency, barnony and permanency in the working of the Vniun, wuld bo a Geperal Guvernment, charged with mateyrs "of cormon liaterest to the whole country: and Local Qoverumenis for each of the Casudas, and Tor the Provincea of Noes Scotia, New Brats wick and Prince Edward Inland, eharged with the control of local matters it thair respective see tiona. Provision being mado for the adnisstion into the Unioa, on equitable terma, of Newfoundlanit, the North-Weat Tencitory, British Columbia and Vancouver.
3 - for friming in Constitution for tha Benowal Covernusat; tho Conforence, with a viev to tho parpatuation of our comnection with the Mother

Cuuntry, and the promiotion of the best interests of the people of these Prorinces, desire to follow the model of the British Constitation, so far as our ${ }^{\circ}$-ircumstances will permit.

1. The Executive Authority or Government shatl be vested in the Sovereigh of the United Kimedom of Great Britain and Ireland, and be adiniuistered according to the well-anderstood prizciples of the British Constitution, by the Sovereign personally, or by the Representative of the Soverebriduly authorized.
2. The Sovereign or Representative of the Soveretg shat be Commanderin-Chief of the Land and Naval Militia Forces.
is Theres shall be a Geseral Logislature for Parliameat for the Federated Provinces, compused of a Lagislative Council and a llouse of lyminons.
3. For the pirpese of forming the Lefislative Cuntit, the Fedurated Provinces ahall be considered as consiating of the divisions: 19t, Upper Canada; Znid, Lower Canada; 3rd, Nova Scotia, New Brunswick and Prnee- Edward Istand; cach divisioa, with an equal representation in the Leztatative Council.
4. Upper Canails shall be represented in the Legistative Council by 24 Members, Lower Cana do by 24 Meribers, and the three Maritime Pro. vincess by \#t Membera, of which Nova Scotia shall have 10, New Brunsmick 10; and Prince Elwaid Tstand 4 llembers.
5. The Colony of Newfoundland shall be enti tied to eater the propssed Union, with a repro neutation in the Lespiflativo Cuuncil of 4 Members.
6. The North West Territory, British Columbia and Vaucouver shall be aduitted into the Union on stich terms and condition's as the Pas Hiameat of the Federated Provincea shall deem equitable, und as shall receive the assent of Hor Majesty; und in the caze of the Praviaco of Britiah Columbin of Vancouver, as shall be agreed to by the Legingature 'of such Proviuce.
7. The Members of tho Legialative Counacil
shall be appointed by the Crown under the Cireat Seal of the General Government, and shall hold office during lifa; if any Levisiative Cundeillor shall, for two connecutive sessions of Parliament. fail to give his attendaoce in the said Council; his seat shaft thereby become vacaut.
8. The Members of the 'resislative Council' shall be Britist "subjects by birth or naturanzation, of the full are of thity yuars, shall posisess a continuous real property fuahtication of iour thousaind dullař̊ o ver and above, all ueusnbrances, and shall be and coutmue worth that sum over and abuve their debrs snd liabinities, but in the case of Newfound a d and P.iace Edward lsand, the property onty either real or personal
9. If ary question shallyane na thethe quali fication of a Leyshative Cumneilor, the sume shall be determined by the C.juncil:
10. The irst selection of ithe "terrbers ot tho Logislative Council shati bo made, except as rogards Prace Ediximd Ishani, Som the Le fislacive Councels of the varisus Probgen su far ava suffientramber be tound pualited and wallap. tüserve ; such Membes shat be apponted hav the Crown'at therecommendution of the Gearras Ececative Gumernmat upon the uomination oi the respective Locell worefatnents, ani in such
 of the Mrmbers of the Lesistative Cruath of the opposition ita ench Provirese. so that all politecal parties arfy as neasty *s potohbe, be faidy repre: sented:
11. T旊 Speater of the Le, intative Council (anless othe wise prowaded by Pa hament), that

 atfice during phestre, arnl shat waly be entith



 appointed to retpersemit , ane oft the turety tiun


 his qualiticanow in the Unviano tie is apponted to represent.
12. The gajis of "R presutation in the Hyase
 by the Urical Cionas exieity ten yeary; and the number of Mrembyanat rist shat be l34, distrib tod as fellows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Luwer Casmada ..... .................. } 63 \\
& \text { Nova deatla . . i. . } \because \text { :. . . . . . . : } 19 \\
& \text { New Brafsex } \\
& \text { Newturudand , , } \\
& \text { Prince bidward Iatmad . . . .... }
\end{aligned}
$$

18. Until the Utietial Censuy of is; I has been made up, there shald be no ehayge in the number of Representatives truar the overal abetrens.
19. Inmedistely after the cosupletion of the
 cunnial census thereafter, the Hepretentatiun from each sectica in the Howso of Cumaiona shall be readjusied on the basis of Populstion.
20. For the purpose of such readjustments, Lower Canada shall always be assigned siatt-five Members, and each of the other sectrons shall, at each readjustment, receive, for the tea years then wext succeeding, the number of Hembersto which it will be catitled on the same ratio of Repiesentation to Populatioi as Lo ver Canada mi: enjoy according to the Census last takert by having sixty five Menbers.

Il.. No reduction shall be made in the namber of Members returned by asij scetion, unless its pofulation shall have decreased, relatively to the population of the whole Union to the extent of five per centum.
22. In computiag at each decennial period the number of Members to which eact section is entithed no Trartiónal parts shall be cotisidered. unless when axceeding une balt the number ea, tating, to a Member, in which cate a Meniber Whatl be giverntor each such fractivial part.
23. The Lepislature of each Province athal divit süch proviace into the proper mumer ot contutuenciessand detine the buandaries of each of them.
2. The Lo seal Lepislatiore of each Provinco may; frons time to tiate, ultar the Electoral Diay. Bricts for the purposes of Repteteatation in such Lacal Legishature and distribute khe Repregera. tatives to whioh the Province is entited ía sach:
 tuge nuar see tit.
25. Tho nuriber of Members nuay at any time bo increased by the Generat Parliament in regard bo in, had to tho pruportionate rishtas then existiag.
26. Uatil provisions are unde by the General Partiament, alluthe lavs which, at that date of the Proclamation eonssitutiog the Cuion, ane th force in the Provinces respoctively, volating so the quat fication and diaquahfication of apey jer: ose to be elected, or to sty or víte as a Member af the Asombig in the said Pruvinces prajechoo-
 eation of voters and to the aathe to be tatim by Voters, and to Keturnigg Officers aod thour pow.
 at Eiveturns-and to tho, pertod durian which such telectivas may be cyntiuned -and relatias. to the Trial of Coptroverted Electivits end the pruceediagsingelfeni thereto -arid retaling to the ratititg of seats of Sheintrers, and tow the wisting and exucutiva of dew Writs, if scast of any reat botas raczided etherwise than by a diswalutianshall reayectively apply to electicua of Moaboers toserverio tho Ifuyse of commona, for placte sitante in those Pruvinces respectivity
-7. Evory lloase yf Cumminas wall combun for tive yourm froca the day of the netura of the writa chimsing the satase, aud no loapre; mbjeet, anveithibens, sis lio decuaer prorugned ur dasolved by the liovergus.
23. There shall bu a semioa of the cieneral Faritaruent nace, at buass, is every ycar, so that a pertod of twelve calendar mosthas dhall not ho. tervere batmeer chat last uildugot the General

29. The General Parliament shall have power to make Laws for the peace, welfare and good governmeat of the Federated Provinces (savirg, the Eoverelynty of England), and especially laws respectinit the following subjects:-

1. The Public Debt and Property.
2. The regnlation of Trade and Commerce:
3. The imposition or regulation of Daties of Customs on Imports and Exports, except on Exports of Timber, Logs. Masta, Spars, Deala and Sawn Lumber from New Branswick; and of Coal anil other minerals from Nova Scotia.
4. The imposition or regulation of Excise Daties.
The raising of money byall or any other modes or systems of Taxation.
5. The borrowing of money on the Pablic
6. Postal Service.
7. Lines. of Steam or other ships, Railways; Canals and other works, connecting any tivo or more of the Provthe together, or extending beyond the limist of any Province.
8. Lines of Steamships between she Feder. ated Prorinces and other Countries.
9. Telegraph Communication and the Incorprration of Teleypaph Companies.
10. Alf sach worka as sball, althonganies. lying whilly within any Province, be pipecially daclared by the Acta authoriz. ing them to be for the geueral advantage.
11. The Census.
12. Militia-Militiry and Naval Service and
13. Heacons, Buors and Light Hociseo.
14. Navigation autd Shipping:
15. Quarramine.
16. Sea Coast and Intand Fisheres.

18 Ferries between any Provinice. and a For cipg conintry, or between any, two
frovineser Provinees.
19. Curency and Coinaize.
20. "launivig-Ineoprovation of Haniss, and the jeareegit " paper mones.
21. Savingess Banks.

22": Weighta ind Measures
23. Bills of Exchange ánd Promissory Notes:

## -24. Interest.

23. Legal Tedider.
24. Bantruptey ond Insolvency.
25. Patentis of livieption and Diecorery

2i. Copy Rights.

30. Nataratization and Ahens
31. Marriaçe sad Dívorce.
32. The Criminal Law. excepting the Consti. tution of Courts of Criminal Jurisdicsive bit including the procedure in Cri inal puaters.
33. Hoidderiuy uniform afl or any of ine lams relative to property and civit rights is Uppeir"Canade, Nova Scotia, New

Branswick, Nemfoundiand and Prince Edward sland, and rendéring un form the procedure of all or any of the Courts in these Provinces, but any statute for this purpose shall have eo force or authority in any Province until sanctioned by the Legialature
thereof
34. The establishment of a General Court of Appeal for the Feder: ted Provinces.
35. Immigration.
36. Agriculture:

37 And veneraly respecting all mâters of a general character, not specially and exclusively teserved fir the local Governments and Legislatures.
30 The General Government and Parliament shall have all powers necessiary or proper for performing the obligations of the Federated Pros. inces, os part of the British Empine, to foreign Countries arising under Treaties between Great Bittain ant such countries.
32. The Generat Porljament may also, foom tme to time, establish afditional Courts, and the Gecieral ioveronient may appoint Judges añd officets therecre when the sane stall appear ne. cessary, or for the paiblic advahtage; ini order to the due execution of the laws of Parliament.

32 At Cuturts, Judtes, and officers of the sesteral Provinces shafl aid, assist and ohey the General Governmeat in the egercise of its rights and powers, and tor such purposes shall be beld to bes Couris, Judge and officers of the General Government
33. The Geceral Government shall appoint and pay the Judges of the Superior Courts in *ach Provinee, and of the County Courts in Up. per Camida, und Parlianient shall fx their salaries.
34. Until the Consolidation of the Laws of Cpper Canada, New. Brunswick, Nova Scotia, Ne wfoundhith and Prince Edward Island, the Judres of theze Provinces appointed br the General Covernment, shall be sefected from their reatective Bars.
35. The Judges of the Courts of Lower Can. adia ahall be selected from the Bar of Lower Canada.
36. The Judper of the Court of Admiralty no * peceiving welanes stall be paid by the Gen." eral Governumbat.
37. The Judpe of the Superior Conrts shall hiold their offices durinog good behaviour, añd shall te remorable only on the Address of both Hooses of Parliameat:
38. For each of the Provinces there shall bo on Laxiective Officer, styled tte Lieutevant Goverroof, who shall be appointed by the Governor fieacral in Council, under the Great Seal of the Foderated Provinces, during ploasture: such pleasare not to bexercised before the expiration of thr first five years, except for cause: such canse to be conmaisicsted in, writing to the Lieutenant Governor inmediately after the exercise of the pleasure ay hforesaid, and also by Message to. beth Hocisuis of Parliamea, within the firat weot of the first njssion ofterwards.
39. The Lientenànt Governor of each Provinee shall be paid by the General Government.
40. In undertaking to pay the salaries of the Lientenant Governors the Confererice does not desire to pretudice the claim of Prince Edward Igland upon the Inderial Government fir the amount nuw paid for the salary of the Lieutenant Governor therdof.
41. The Local Goverument aud Legisiature of each Province shall bëe constructed in such auăn. ner as the existing Legislature of cach sicty Province shall provide.
42. The Local' Legislature shall have purne- on alter or amend their constitation frim time on tipme.
43. The Local Legislatures shall have pownr to make laws respecting the following sibfrets

1. Direct taxation, and in New Brunsmith the imposition of Daties on the E.x. port of Timber, Logs. Masts, Sprars. Deals," and 'Sawn Lumber: and in Nova Scotia, of Coals and othe:min:erals.
2. Bortowing money on the credit of thy Province.
3. The establishiment and renüre of fecal offices, and the abporintinitrit nid jay. mentof tocal others.
4. Agricaltare
5. Immigratiọiń.
6. Edacation'; saving the righto ard prival whech the Protestint wir Cuthohe menörity in both Canally may ronsons us to their Denominasmal sthonth
 operation:
7. The sale and managemrat if Ruble Landa excepting Lands' heloging? in the General Governamat:
8. Sea Coast and Inlawd fi-herges:
9. The establishtretett tmarutenatice and management if l'pentertiatios, and Pablic and hefutatiory Prouni.
10. The establighment, malitindince ant management of Hispital-, Anglurs, Chasities, and Eleemantrapry leditut tions.
11. Muniẹipal Institutions.
12. Shop, Saloon; Tavern; Aucturneer 'and other Lieenses.
13. Local Works.
14. The Incorporation of Private ur Lical Companies, except such is rriate to mattery nessigned to the deneral par liament.
15. Property and Civil Hiphes. Exedepting those jortions thereof ansinnadt the the General Parlisment.
16. Inflicting punishment lig fine, peinalies, imprisoament or otherwise, for the breach of laws passed in relation wo any subjeet within their jaristiction.
17. The Administration of Justice, ineluding the Constitation, mainterance and organization of the Courte,-both of Civil and Criminal Juriwtiction, and
including also the Procedare in Civi! matters.
18. And generally all matters of a private or local wature, not assigned to the Gen. eral Parliament.
19. The power of tespiting, reprieving, and parionng Prisoners convicted of crimes, and of commuting and remituing of seatences in whole or'in part, which belongs of righe to the Crown, shall be admisistered by the Lieutenant Goverior of each Province in Council, subject to any instructions he mayy, frcm tome to time, receive from the Geseral Government, and subject to any provistions, that may be made in this behalf br the General Parliament.
th. In rejard to all subjects oter which jarisdistion belong: to both the General and Local Leenistatures, the laws of the General Parliamens shall control and supersede those made by the Local Legislature, and the litier shall be void to far as they are repugnant tu, or inconisistent with; the furnier.
Wh. Bosh the Bostish and French hangagee try beemglayed in the General- Parliament and in ty trucedinzs, and in the Local Lezislature of Liver Canada, and also in the Federal Courta and in the Cotres of Lower Canade.
20. So' lands or property belonging to the Gieneral or Lučal thererments shall be liable io to xationa.
i-: All Bills tor appropriating any part of the Pubin kevriue, or for imposing any new Tax or lampast, shall orizinute in the House of Commons or Houne of Assembly; as that case may be.
21. The House of Commons or House of Asermbitw staill not ornyinate or pasi any Vite. Rryeiticith, Address or Bill for the arpropriation "i ary part of the Public He venue or of any Tax or lappose to any parpioses, not first reconimernded by Measage ci the Govemar General er the Lirutenaint Governur. as the case niay be, dur:az the session in which suech Vote Resofo tin. Aveldessis or thill is passed.
5.0. Tiny bill of the General Yarliament may be ergervert in thr usual maniber for Hor Majesty's Aweyt, and any bill of the Lucal Legtalataies equy, w like itamaier, be reserved for the con sid. -ration of the Govorner General.
-5:. A ay bill pasised britho General Rarliament shall hu qubjeci to dixallowance by Her Majeaty withen two years, as in the case of Bills prased fiy the Loseslazurex of the said Provinces bither. io; atul. in tike manner, any Bill passed by a Lucsi Lertistature dhuli be saibyect to disallowance by the Covernor General within one year ather the passay thercof.
22. The Seat of Government of the Federated Prunitest whill be Untama, wabject to the Rogal Preth, gative.
33 . Subject to any future action of the respective Eoeal wioverraments, the Seas of the Loceal Gduvernuenta in Upper Canadia shall be Toronto ; uf Liwee Canada. Queteer ; and the Seatio of the Laveal Goverumenes in the other Provicizes whatl bus at preient.
23. All Stoeks, Caish, Bankene Bollaices aa

Secarities for moner belonging to each Province at the time of the Union, except as hereinafter mentioned, shall "belong to the General Government.
55. The following Public Works and Property of each Province shall helong to the General Government, to wit:-

## 1. Canale

## 2. Tublic Harbours.

3. Light Houses and Piers.
4. Steamboats, Dredges and Public Vessels.
5. River and Lake limprovemerts.
6. Railway and Railway Stocks, Mortzages and other debte due by Railway Com panies.
7. Military Roads.
8. Castom Houses, Post Offices and Other Public Buildiagy, except such as may be set aside by the General Govern: mene for the use of the Local Lexts. latures and Governments.
9. Property transferred by the Imperibl Government and krö̀ion as Ordmance
Property. Property.
i0. Armories, Dritt Sheds, Military Clothing and Minaitions of War, and
10. Lands set apare for publif purposes

50 All linds mines, mineraits and royalties vested in Her Majesty iii the Provincery iot (Hyei Ganada, Lower Canada, Nuna Scotian Nes Brungwick and Price Edward Idtard, Sor the use of such Provincesy thall belong to the Lecal Government of the territory in which the same are so situate: subiect to any truses that thay exist in respecs to say of such tands or to ing uterest of other perions ma repelt of the e one:
57. All sums day from purchavers, se lessint of suct lands, minges or toineraly ar the ume of the Union, shall also belosit to the foceal Govern
menth

5y- All assents connected with thit portions of the publice debi of ary Pruvinge as tix as sumed by the Liocal Gowernmentis stall alsen belong lu thoor Goveraments rempectivery.
39. Th. several "Provinces shath retain al! other Public Property therein, subject to tho right of the Gieneral Governmeats to assumus any Latids or Public Property required forertitie. tionia ur the Defleace of the Geuntry.
60. The Ceseral Coverane ent shall assume all the Debla anid Liabiliute of each Hrowimet.
61. The Libt of Canada, nut sprectaliy in sumed by C pper and Lower Cannela reapmetively. aball not exewed, at the tine of thor Enity, 862;300.000; Nova Scotia shall enter the Co purn Wita a debt not axcesching $\$ \$ 000,000$; aid New Branswick with io tebe not pxeceding \$7,000, 000).
62. In casi Nuza Scotia or New lirninswick do not iarur liakilities beyund those fier which thent Goveruaveciso are now bound, and which shatl mate their debte at tho date of L'uion lesm thasi S4, 00,000 and $\$ 7,00 \mathrm{n}, 000$ respectively, they shall be eatilled to ingorest at five per cent, oh the amount hob ao incurfed, to like mames as is bereinafter provided for Nowfoundlaud and Priace
Edwasd Ieland; the foreging resolution being Edvasd Ieland; che forgoging resolution being
in no respect intended to limit the powers given to the respective Goveraments of those Provinces, by Legigative anthority, but only to live it the maximum amiount of charge to be assumed by the General GGVernsient; provided always, that the powers so conferred by the respective Legislatares shall be exercised within five years
trom this date, or from this date, or the same shall then lapse.
63. Newfondland and Prince Edward Island, not having incorred Debts equal to those of the other Provinces, shall be entitled to reeeive, by half vearly payments, in advince, from this Gen eral Government, the Interest at five per cent. on the difference between the actual amount of their respective Debts st the time of the Union, and the average umonat of indebteduess per head of the Population of Canada, Nova Scotia and New Brunswick:
ol. In considera ion of the trausfer to the Geaeral Pariament of the powers of Taxition; an finnual gramt in aid of each Province shall be nade, eqnal to eighty cents per head of the popuhatiun, as established by the census of 1861 ; the population of Newfonndland being estimated at 130,009." Such aid shall be in fall settlement of all tuture demands apon the General Government: fri ivedil purposes, and shall be paid hidf yearly ta adunice to each Province.
65. The position of New Brunswiek be ing such as to entail larfe immediate charges upon her lecial revinues, it is agreed that for the period of ten yeas, from the time whan the Eininn takes Etfect, an anditional allivennce: of 863,000 per anuum shall be made ta that Prosince. But that so hng as the liability sf that Proviner remains adder si, 000,000 a a delluction $\#$ qual to the inErenst on such defliciency shall be made row the 853, 0001 ).

6ti. In convideration of the surrender to the General Government by Xepfoindland of all its rights in Mines and Minerils, and of all the untranted and unoceapied Lands of the lirown, it ${ }^{15}$ 4urred that the sum of $\$ 130,000$ shall each. trar be paid to that Province, by seimi-amnal pay urents ; provided that that Colvay shall retain the zight of opening constructing and controlling Ruads and Bridyes, through any of the smid Lands subjert to any Laws which the General Parlia. utent may pais in reapect of the samer.
67 All engagements that may befre the Ci tou ne estered into with the Ioperial Goyern. nemat tar the defence of the Coxatry, whall be mosumod br the General Govern ment.
6. The General Government shal secure, without delay, the completion of the Intercolonial Railway trom Rivitere du Loup, thrutgh New Branswiek, to Truro in Nown Scotia.
69. The evmmunications with the North. West: ern Terrtory, ased the improvements reyuired for the devtlopment of the Trasie of the fireas West with the Seabosid, are regarden by thit Confertace aid nubjects of the higheat inportance cus the Federated Provilices, and yhall be prise. cuted at the earliast possible period that the state of the Finances will permit:
70. The anation of the luprovial and Local

Parliaments shall be sought for the Union of the Provinces, on the principles adopted by the Conference.
71. That Her Majesty the Queen be soltited to determine the' rank and asme of the Federated Provinces:
72. The proceedinirs of the Conference shall he authenticated by the simatures of the Delegates, and sutumitted by each Dele aution to its own Gorernment, and the Chairman is author ized to submit a cony to the Guvernor ferneral for transmission to the Secretary of Sate tur the Culonies.

Having read the motion, the hon zentleman commenced to speak in French, when Hon. Mr. Ross requested he should address the House in English

Hon Mr LETELLIER thought as there were two members of the postrament in the Hanse one who spok bent in Frendi sir E. P. Tacmel and one who did the sime in Ens: lish, it would be better for the Hon. Previer to speak in Freneh, and then his colltrague could do the sume in Enuiish. but Hume Nir E.'P. Tactit concluded that as the wert English menbere who did ant, understand Freach at all, while the French membery al! understord Euglish, it would ite bert for him to spedk in the later lamenage, and proced. at to do sio.

Hos sumb. P. TACHF. then aid that in
 tin tuake fow preliminary remarkx and to cive fully and themuchly the rymus which had induced hime th asater the turior responsibility of liying this tur wate kyture the House and the coninity. The reasons were tiwo fold: They refateit tiret the the intionge nerits of the sebme thelte doment of all other considerations and nexy we the settle ment of the dommetio difficultits which for wot years had distractold the, eometry, and

 in. He would theth, firat ddreon hitued th on what he considered the intriasi- merrite of the satheme of Contederation, and he would therefore say that if , were anchuts bo continne wor connection with the Britinh Fimpire, and to preserve iatact our instituthon, our haws, sad even our remembranes of the "Yask. Wo miune mintin the measure, It the appor tuaity which now presented iteelf wert, illowed to pass by muituprosed, whether we would or would not, we wopld beforced into the Ameri: can Thise by whener, and if not by videace, would be phered yian an inclised plain which Wound carry us there instasibly. In either case the result wouli be the sabue. In vur
present condition we would not long continue to exist as a British colony: To sustain this position be thought it was only necessary to look at the present state of Canada, its extent, its agricultural and mineral resourcess its internal mean's of communication-natural and artificial. - its geographical position and its climate. The extent of the Canadian territory was, perhaps; not defined, but it was sufficiently well. known to enable him to state that it was as large as many empires in $\mathbf{E a}$ mpe, laryer than France or Austria:. He kniew that the portion cultivated was, in repeet to its superficial area. only to to the seacoast to the soa itself. We had vast forests not yet-opened or necupied ant ret we had a population numbering over two and a half millions of soule, With such an extent inf tirritory and so fertile a soll, be had no doubt whaterer that in lese than haif a century Ca: nala weuid rabracr a" population equal to that of the large empins of the old wortid. Then with nexard, to our interual communica tions, natural and artificial. there way the noble Et. Lawrence, which with great pro priets unght be called the fither if rivers, for this strean in point of navigable extent, wast loncer than any ther river in the world. Surie of its tributaries which wuld help to peoplt the interiar. wery larger than the tarst dans rivers iff Eurpe and as to its lakes inone wuch are to be fyund elsewhere. especially fri view of the tacilitios they fford to trade. Then the nityrals of Canada, which were gniy mow beximing to attrat attention, were of the unst valuable character, and an practical men aseerted, mudt more valuble than the richent auriterou revions couldite: The honarable member, then refermed us the artiticial conmbertiont of the éountry, viz., ent Canals, which, he kail, were in' a resker une fualled in
 way -yatim insh io "prepertion to witr mieais añul population was ay ustennise as could be found anywhere elor, yrt with all those ad. vantages matural ant aciquird, he was bound to say we "roull not become a freat nation. We labored under adrawbactor or dixadrantage which wield iffectually prevent that, and he would dety any une to tafe e map of the world and print wasy treat nation which tad not sea. gures of its own upen at all tinses of the gear. C'anadi did aot prowess theswo adrantager, but was shut up in a prison, as it ivere for five months of the year in tieldy of ite, which all ther stean "enointwriny apparatu; of human Angenuity could nut overcume, and mis loag as this state of things continued, wo must con-
sent to be a small people, who could, at any moment: be assailed and invaded by a people better situated in that respect than we were Canisda was, in fact, just like a farner who might stand upon an elerated spot on his property. from which he could look anound upon fertile fields, meandëring strearns, wood and all else that was necessary to his dothestic Fants, but who had no outlet to the highrays. To be sure he might haveis an easy, grod-natured neighbor, who had sach an outlet, and this neighbor night say tio him, "Dinatibe uneasy about that. for L will allow you to pasis on to the highwar, through my cross road. and we'shall both profit by the arranement." So -long as this/obligriay neizhbar was in govil humor everything would o on pleasently but the very best natured people would sonietimes get out of tempur, or grow, capricious or citcumstances mightarise to conase irritationa Anil so it bight come to pass that the excellent aeighbor would get dissatistied. For instance. he might be invelted in a tedious and expensive law suit with some one else ; it might be a serious aifair-in thet an atfair of lite or death, and be wight cone to the inolated faruer and say to him, 1 understand that you and your tanily are all sympathining with my adversary: I donit like it at all, and 1 am determined you will find some other outlet to the highway than my orues road, for hace
 such a cases what is the farguer to do? There is the air left, but until the acrestatio seience is more practivally developed, be can hardly try balloning without the risk of breaking bis neek. (Laughter"). Well, that was pro cisely our fasition in reterence to the United States Since the Allantio and st. Liwrence Railway way opened we have had a very conveaient outlet to the sea, and be, with othes thot mecinbers now presect, would remember the joyful jubiles whith was held on the ocea sion of its opening at Boston in 1851 or co For one he was periectly delighted, as being a zaan of a differeat origin, to raste how the two branches of the Anglosiavon race fraterriised. How they did thate hands ty be sure! How they did compliuent each other as possessing qualitios superior wall other people. They were indeed very alfectionate and alniose swore oternal triendship and fidelity, and be (Sir E. P. Tacue) had no doubt whatever of their perfiet siucerity at the time: The consequences of this great work had, no doubt, been highly advantagrousy; to tooth oides, for thair commercial ivolations had enlarged very mach, 50 minch indeed' that now the trangac.
tions with the Enited States were, as he believed, more extensive than those with Great Britain. If the advantages had been all on one side this increase would of course, not have taken place. But how were we situated now?' Difficulties had supervened, in which we were in no wise concerned but which originated with themielves. It was North against South solely. yet theese difficulties had affected the:good ferting between them and this country, To be sure there tha been no misunder. staphing at all betweea our respective (lovernmeuts: but the minds of the people on both sides had been consideribly affected. The poople of the Northera states believed that Candians sympathized with the South muct nore than thev raally did, and the consequences "uf this misapprehensin were, first that we had thees threatened with the abolition of the transit system; then the Reciprocity Treaty was to be discontinued; then a pass port system was inaugarited, which was almost equiraleut to a prohibitioni, of intercourse and the vily thing whith "radly remined to be dune was to shat down the gate altogether and prevent pissage through the ir territory. Would "iny gue say that sucín a state' of thinges was one desirable for Canada to twe plaeed in? Wiill a great people in embryo, as he believed we were, coolly sind tranquilly cross their arms and wait firr what mikht coter angt For his part te held that the tiwe hal nuit arrived when we. should establish a union with the great Gulf Provincest" He called then great advisedly, for they had withiz theinseives many of the elements which weut to constitute greatuess, and of seme of which we. were destitute. Canada, wa unquestionably wantug io several of these inportant elements, and he had been very sorry a few days ago to hear an hoo member of this House miate comparisons un favorable to those codntries. That hon." mem: ber had said the Luwer Provinces were poor and needy, and thas like all other poor people they would ne doubt be glad to connect themselves with a wealthy partner:" He had also said their product of wheat was very small, and that one ot the inferior countice in Lipper Canada yield if more than the whele of New Brunswick. Woll, the allegutions in respeces of the produce of wheat night be true; ; but that did not necessarity constitute then poor provinces. Let the houorable member look and Maxach hatetes, Connecticut, Mhơde Island and Nuw. Haxapehire:, which, $\boldsymbol{\text { an }}$, respect of agricultural produce, might be said to be poor, or pior that an American had once told him (Sí E. P.TAcBA) that they did not even grow
grass, and their inhabitants had to file the teet of the resheep in summer to enable them to get a subsist ice. (Laughter.)' 'Let rere these stites poor? Had they no resources from their trade and manufactures.? If they did not produce wealth in one way they vertainly did in others, and so it was with New Brunswick. . If it did not produce wheat, it produced tinber in immenso quantities. It had a very extenvive fishing coast which was a source of great wealth Sorue honorable gentlemen would perhaps remember what an emineat' man 'from Novs Scotia-the Hinn, Josepit Howe-had said at a dinner in this country in 1850, that he knew of small granite rock upon which, at a single haul of the net, the fishermen had taken 500 barred, of mackerel. That way a great haul n no" doubt-1 بughter)-but the hourable wentleman had yot given the sice of the burnils. (Laughter.) Still no one could dety that the Gulf Provinces were of inmense 'iulportance, if only in respect of their bsheries. Thet they were rich in winerals. Their comblanwas an ciequbst oft rreat wealh, It had been said thast where coal was found the country viay of norestahe than gold. Laok at Fugtiand. and what was che chief wuree of her wealth ir not coal? Drprivel of ecath she would at onee sink to the rank ot a second or thirdrat-power But c'anada hal no cool and notwithotandiny all her other elements of greatness she reyuired that minerth in order to tive her eompletcoest, What she had पut the Louner Provincen hat, and what they hand not. Cumila had Then at to thip building it was an industry prosecuted with great vigor and suceest in those privines especially in Sew Brán,wick. and somp of the finest vessels maling under the British thag had been built in the port of St. John, whech anumally launched a considerable number of the largest class. They were nut hengary. nor did they with to cutise into the union as such; but as indepexdent proviaces, able to keep up their credit; and provide for their uwa wats. They would bring into the equmou stock a fair shate of revenue, of property, and of every kind of industry, is to their harbours, he (Sir E: $P$. Tacher had had the good fortune to vait them persoually, and would say they could aut be surpuswh lanwhere; in fact he believed they wire unequalled in the world. He would espexially refer to that of Halitax, and would ask honorable huewibers to imagive an extonsive roadstead, prutected by weveral, islanda standing out in the exa, so as to breake the
waves and quiet the waters in the worst of storns, This most beautiful harbour could aceomundate, in pertect safety, wure than 100 of the largest resisels; but this was not all. for at the east end where it diminished into a gully, but with very deep water; you enter. inter a large natural basin, rounded as it were by the eompast, und of an extent sufficient to take in all the navies of the world: The entrance to this magaificent inder harbour was ropdered inacecsible to any foe by the fortifcations erected at the mouth, and the entrance could, wiunsista be"so barted that no fiostile Aret could ever thet though. He did not suppuet the thete" Ef England would eveoneed to the retuge there- (hear, hear)- - thouph 'it had been' luully alleged that they could be blownate of the water in an incredibly short space of thint (laughter)-but it might afford shether to twhat vesels, in case they were hard puehnd by nuperim numbers. Well under the union canda would become a parthet in throt ateratages, and with the harphar of Hatitax and Quebec, they mighe well fent proud of their country. On the whote he thousthe that the Coofederatiou of all the Provineer hin! become an aboolute netesity, wad that it was for us a yuestion of to be or not to be., If we desined to remaia Bratinh and monarehfeat, and it we desired io pans, tin out children these adraptages, this thesyare" he "repested, was a ateessity. But there wrre other' untives and other reasons which shyided ituduce as to aghee to the schemo. Fivery hourable geutleman in tho House knev the political pusithon os the coumery and wero acguainted with the fedinge of irritation whioh have ptyvalud for cuany years. They knew it happity nod by their experience in then Hoube, trat by the tove of the pubic press, and by the disecusthas in ancther plate wheto taunta and tmeineco wire freely laing acrust the floor by coditending particto. They knew what howan passisus were, aud luww, when bitter foeling courinued tor a lotig thas, the distance betweon risperation and actial contict was uot very reak. They bat utwe befove their own eyes th eximuphe of the effecte of such disagreements. It wis persisteptiy believed by tuany thaz the rival intereste would uever come to a raptare, but for throe years they had been waging a contice which had desolated and ruined the tairst portion of the cotutry, and in tho courso of which mets of basbarity had been committed which were ouly equallicil by the darkeat aged W"o in Canado were got more perfeet, and the time hid arrived whea, as he believed, all the patriotic tuen is the couptry ought to anito is
providing a remedy for the troubles we had to contend with: It might be said that the remedy proposed was not required; but he would like to know what other could be proposed. Lecislation in Canada for the last two years had come almost to a stand still, and if any we would refer to the Statute Book since 1862, he would tind that the only public ueasures there inscribed had been passed simply by the permisvion of the Opposition. This was the condition of things for two years, and if this vere'an evil there was another not less to be deplored, he referred to the admini* tration of public affairs daring the same period. From the 2 list May, 1862, to the end oryune, 1804, there had been noless than five different diovernments in charge of the business of the country. The henorable member here gave a history of the weveral changes until the Micdosilio Dothos Adiministration died, at ho stated of absolute weakness, falling under the Neight they were unable to carry. Their buecesors were nint mope successtul, and being defeated were thinking of appealing to the country, which they might have done. with more or less success, gaining a conistituracy here, mad perhapo losing another else": where. They hail ansumed the eharge of affairs with an understanding that they would haver risht to thit appoal, and while they were consulting about it thoy received an tint. mation trom the real chicf of the Oppowition, ithrough wane of their own friends, to the effect that he wis desirous of making overtures to then, with the view of seekiag to aceommer, date the diffeulties. The honorablegentemian and wome of his friemds thea came into coatact with the leaders, of the Goverameat and it tras apreed between thetay to try to devine a shene which mould put an end to the mis. understandings, and at the same time secure for Carrada and the other providees a pasition. which would ensure their future safity and procuri them the respect and confideace of "ther nations: 'They arranged a large selieme and a smaller one. If the larger failed, then they were to fall back apon the minors. which provided for a federation of the two seetions of the province: At the time these measures Were resolved upon, the country was bordering. vin civit strift; and he would auk if it was not the duty of beth sides to do all they could to prevent the anfortunate results which would have followed. An honorable member oppor site (Hodi, Mr. Letrilerer de St. JCyr) had said, on lew days ago, that it would have been
easy tu have prevented the . peasuity for a easy tu have prevented the necessity for a Coufederation of all the provinees, by granting
to Upper Canada the increased representation, or the demand of representation according to population, which they had been contending for.

HoN. Mr LETELLIER DE ST. JUST begyed to "say that the Hon. Premier must have misunderstood him. What he had/said was that if the propesition had been made to the people whether they should have a Confederation of all the provinces, or give Representation according to Population to Upper Canada, they would have chosen the latter; and when he had alluded to some other mode of acconmodating the difficulties, he meant that if the Governiment had applied to other parties in the Legislature than those they had had associated with themselves, they might have succeded without having recourse to Confederation.

How Sis F. PACHE said that he had not been alone in interpreting the honorable member as he had done, for two city journals had taken the same view of his remarks.

Hox. M. LETEL LIER said he was aware of it. but they were mistaken for all that:
IIoN: sia W. P. TACUE - Well, it did not much matter; but the honorable nember. should neollect that Lower Clanada had contantly refuicel the dntinind of' Upper Canada for representation according to population, and tor the gool reason that, as the union between them way lexislative, a preponderance. to one of the sections would have placed the other at its mercy. It wouli not be so in 'a Federal Enion, for all questions of a general. nature would be riserved for the" General Government, and those of a local character to the locesh governmentis, who would have the power to manage their donestic affairs as they deeped best. If a Federal: Union were obtained it would bee tantannount to a separation of the provinces, and Lower Canada would thereby preserve its autonomy together with all the institutions it held so dear, and 'over which they could exereise the watchful. unss and surveillance necessary to preserve them unimpairid." [The honorable member "repeated this portion of hiis speech in 'French, tor the exprens purpine of conveying his meaning if the clearest and nost forcible manner to his fellow members - for Lower Canada, who might not have apprehended no well the Euglish.] But there might be a portion of the inhabitants of Lower Canada who might at a first glance have greater reasou to couplain than the French Roman Catholies, and these were the English Protestants. And why? Because they were in a minority; but
he theugit that it ther turk the triouble fully to consitier the subyet they would be reasurediand winsted with the whene. First a grate ent hatak phat the faw of Lower irnala had iener comolulited and the
 hal giot recomedo to it, in tiet they were well satisfied therpith. "In thas ropect, then, they were recter Buthey might suy that the majurts in the Loxal hesithture mith heraifter be mijust th them. Bot he thoushe
 be athited. Betior the union of the protiners: Whot-the late majority of nembers the the.
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 yuite Miely, in a divison in Lown comada numberiug over fitty thournul sooltion which only oue thusaad four haudred were Faghlishi, an election of a merbberito this (hambers had
takn place the candidates being a Fronch Roman Catholie gentleman. long and well Known, and an Eaglish. Protestant-and with what'realt?" Why that the Enclixh Protes, tant had beaten the French Canadian Roman (Githolic by oue thousad votes. (Hear.) Could any mpater prowt of a toleriut and hiteral feling le exhibited? These examples should shovi'; as be thiought, that the Protexthas of Lower (iamada were sure to met with not justice siniply. bit with the lanest tolloration. It mitht propape be sid that Mr. Prote. wha had bern eleced fir the divintim of which he make. Weing a lange nerehan dung businise in Chiegntimat had used the tinttuence
 whe were in his dete to thtian suceros: but whaterer micht te sud of Chioutini, : it gut mithe aid of the crinty of tharleysix. whore he hai the suith businesy relatiand and sot he ditumed a najarity thorr ino The E, Wath the result mitht be considered not mily"a "unarh of enatione in Mr. Pane the wh decten, but ar a tokea ot renpert aud irnatime to Mr l"ance demor, who haf by his Derey and enterprise ponet up the sarucuay cobutry: and whin in a gertut coioc might be suid tio be the fither of that "rovion. Mueh had been mill wo tho wiat of racts. but that "r was extingughtion the diy the Britioh tine mavert xhentil 'anada tesponsible cior - Fomezt, by whith all its inh hhtanto without dintimetion of race or ereve wort phationca
 of rave fimalitr arive it the podations of
 in berar of is again, Wer very mon mithated that



 tavigg ill hid two way, there will te trubite;
 hnamity H1: Behred the Frinh C'animans homath huisl in ahetr power lor rember justice (1) therr: Tillow subjects of Eaptide prigin, and it ohould wit be firpoten that it the timber were in a majerty in buwer Canada.
 Demeral thergment, and that any art of nend injuntine could talk place wensit there were a Fixpmition worptitate ft, without ith leity rotersed therest Il-hat now given th, the Howe he untives which dad hat him be cike the rapungiblity of introlucing thes iaportent turdsure, and he trunted they would be viowed an sufficient. When the propur time for the discuspion of the details cams, he rould bo
prepared to give such explanations as might seem requisite, and as to the mole and time of the discussion he would leave that to the decision of the Honise.
Hon. Mr FERGISSONBLAIR-[Owint to smme mise in the House, the reporter did not clearly understand the rpening remarks if the hon. memitur. except wefarmas that he de sired to coivey the inprussing that what he was ahout, w say wiss unt ta be remarded as committing any one but hitnself; that he did not speak fir any pirty or as repremting any pariy in thro House. The hom. member was afso underistomito allexpe diot not think the political strusirles nind difficulionathuted to by the Hon. fremin romblow taken as sufferint
 propesei]. Ne thentiont that in the couree at party servughes for supremacy the Oppaition had rrid iti steking to oume the Hiniatry the
 the charge with a wewe able prongert if heinz
 Prix was tho Britinh aratem, mul an inglaper had lately eweurred in thr laporial Parliamerint
 Otanani: con't baie deforated the Minintry. but, beind aware that he* could not firm"a atrong Administration, many of hia pirty abe stained from votint: Soch a condition of things cribl hapigen just as:well in an, asom bly of 300 as in onn of biow, anil he din! not? think the change pripumed would puaramiee innannity frome future dithection of the same: kind, therefore thoy mistis happor in the Gen eral Covermment as well sa in that of Canala alone. Hac hogkiniz at the seherue as: pró serited and foryottins all paat party ilisputy and the charges apainst the pubio men con. cerned, it rame helose the house in such a shape as to nimke it neromary ta, aceopi or re jeet it: Ho uruat may he cuuld not bus atetach crivat weight to a selieine" prepariol by"mea of differunt politieal opinionem by eminent men Who had thes tongethre for the expreas parpoise of arranging it, and who had agrecel upou "ita provisions: If it were obotructed by any meripus ahentments, involvimi a prolonged delay; mheh delay misht be tatul, and if it wore to pass, he thought it should be allowed to do ou at anutheient!y early yryot to porruit of its being taid before the Imperial farliament this yevar: " (Hear, luar.) Hecouh noe ghus hit eyes to the fact that whatherior not the wnion added severgeth to the provinces interested, is would uny testiumably sudd to their reapmectability and atardise. Woth at home and abroad. (Heats.) The people of bugland were ovi.
dently lowing to the proposed phange with confilence and hop and as likely to perpet. unte the eandertion of the protines with that empire to a lone time come. But it was rell known that thore was an antiononial party in Fumbal persistantly uruing that it woild be an advantare to the nation to get rial of the cobsines. The "fuestion it detendime them was an embarrasing one, and unles sune such shetne as this vere alopted, it misht present rrave difficultias If the sehame
 ons upon ourererlit (Hyar. l Birt if : alopted. the neveref would the the casi- ․ Its acreprance wrula akn improse mir position the the eves of our noishbors in Frime and other hations: inderd it wonll, in all probabilitro miy is a mitional standing. nithout the necessity of separatime from the mother ermitry, Fur these rgasus the hal enme to the conmerientious enn chesion that it wnold he hashis injurions on? sijert the spheme and that it wasour duty ia pase it as sonn as': was comisutent with a ilué esnemberation of whit was due to or ingurtatit a subjert Il."did foot think it nurewary to express at creater bencth, his rasonis for civ. Enc, the motion hia support, frit he ncrain der: airol it "obe well amiteratomel that he spmike only 'for hifingeft and int in wonert with ang. whe elve. Ai the sure time he might say, that fom whit he knew permonally of the ferlina if him section of the councry it was htyh! fiverable to the mosurs: There mioht beverne mattery of sletat upon which there Was a differome if npinien and when the reme lutions came up in their"urher he would indi. cate what thes, weres The propoed submis sinn of the sebenter the dectors would itivelve a delay. whith could hint be onmpensiated for by any leverit propmed to be derived from such a corurne, but it there should hereater be axy wry inymatant puble movement and numprous petitions th tayor of ain appeal 10 the jeople then the subject wruad preserit a difterent aspect: Or it the uajority in tavor onf the scheme to the wher branch of the lace findature shoubl be, very sumall, that might to Nevined a sutherett reasuri for submittins, it to the comenery. Ad to the courset to ise pursued in the decision ou the merite, fiedidnot know whetater it wonill be bext to have it in Commattee of the Whole or with the speaker in the whiar:-

Hon. sin E. ''. T. C'HE thousht it would be better that the Speaker should continue in the chair, but with the undervanding tiat every tenorable neniber should bent liberty to speak is treely and frequently is it the House were. in comatitsue.

Hon. Mr: FERGUSSON BLAIR assented.
Hon. Me. LETELLIER DE ST. JLST said that if he were sufficiently master of English he would address the House in that language but not being so would have to use the French.:The difficulties to which allusion had been nade were produced by twecauses. They were not constitutional, however, but parliamentary; and, as he believed, could have been surnounted without rexourne to the constitutional change which it was propesed to adopt without appeal to the country. It was true that difficulties had suceeetled to diffieulties, and that legislation was atoppet. but if the leaders had souqut in the Lequila. ture itself tor the means of removing them, he believed they would have been tound. Who would guarantee the Guveroment under the new Constitution from the reverrence of sinilar troubles? There would of courer te ath Opposition as in the smaller Houere If suilar difficulties happened, would the Confelleration seek relief in another change of Cuntitution. On the contrary, wifuld nit relief bo muight in the means he bad sugyested. Ai any rate he did not think such a change as the union of ali the British provines was reyuired: In 18\%0, when a niwn of Cper and, Lowier Canada was proposed, it was objected that if it did not work a larger union would follow. and then lantly, a legivative undent of "all the provinces. Two of the step had already. teen taken. and we were gniag on with rapill strides towards the last. In such a eque it was not hard to conceive what would be the position of Lower Canata, I! was ai misfiortune that we had to contend with national prejudices: but it was impussible to torect thene. In the event of a legidative union would the graramtees proposed to Lower Canada under the federation system be found? Would it nos then be at the merey of thuse they now teared? He admitted we had a rich country as represented;" we had wheat fields, mineral rewurest, foreste, rivers and lakes. but to, mahe then' - available did we requite an increase of territory? We had territory cnuagh: and an increase would be a source of weah netod, not of strength. Would it nue add to our alreaty large frontier, and make wo nore vulnerable to invasions. The union would not inerease the power of Engtand to protect us, and England would have the same ititerest in protecting ulde colonies without as well as-with. the unioti. New Brunswick mighe be rich in coal, in wood and in listrerieg and dö a larye basiness in ship buildiag, but ithese thimgs would seek the best martets under any circui.
stances, and he did not see that a union with us would increase their value, and if it did it would be no adrantage. Then, as to Nova Scotia with its small population and fine harbour-where would be the adyantage of connection with her? Though not united. would not the harbour be equally available to our liessels? He would now say he preferred to Contederation a legislative union of - Cpper and Lenwer C'anada with inequality of representatior in the Lobwer House and equality in the Epper. This, mould not add to the expetese of the province, and would he more consonant with our interestand the sentiments [ideas], of the people. Though there should be incupality in the Asembly the equality in the Leqislative Council would att as a coun terpoise, and prevent one wetiondrovin invading the interests of the other. Thet dill nut Cin tederation coanecrate [establish] the prineipie of represcitation aceordiby to poptations It vould give larger to one of the nationalities. and, as the Getieral Govemment woth bo able to vetw the acts of the Loedal Goverument. wruld there be no danger to Lower cianada? If reprocitation by population had bean son suuch opposed in this part, it was doubtlens because there was cause of fear, set this very. power was to be coniferred upon the Federal fuvernment. If it could not be given with satety under our present regine; how equald it be sater to give it to the Confederation: "The advantage of the plai to lepper Canada was well understood, for "mmediately after uler cealition they all agrred to say they hat hained what they had soloug centended for.
Hox. sib E. D. TACHE-Well, after all. thay ouly got what the honorable t member himellf proposed to arive them.

HON: ME LETELLIER DE NT: JEST said he alwayy preferred a short diryet course tu a long tortueus one- The triend of the new unventent had tried to couceal the fact that representation accoriling to "pipulation was to be conceded to LPper Canada, but they had failed, and the atowal hat cone out at lasi. The realations uot beine beture the House, it would bo inproper to po into the tacrits of the dutaile, but he ocould not avoid allyylites to une point which was of phatinual interest. It was clear that the condituention had the sent trourable meminerg to this House for the purpues of olecting thectiastive linembers tur life an they were invited to do. "They, were niot mat here to change the Constitution, but to uphold it, (Hear.) You were, in tact, about wo declare that the docal geveranexta would have power, to recomuend to the

General Government that you should be ap pointed for life. "If so, then let the people say whether such power should be "conferred. Take the means to make sure that the members of the Assembly, shall appeal to the country. In New Brunswick the question was "about to be submitted to the people through a gencral election. To be sure it was said that the term of Parliauent there had expired; but if the Ministers in Vew Brunswick. had understood they could force a sote, as was about to be done in Canada, he doubted whether the general etection would have taken phace immediately. He believed, ten, that the Conference generally had ne garded tion as the nive proper inude. Ther he did not thitit that such a chaige should have been brought abriut under at Coulition Governinent. This was contrary to Bricish usage, and he believed that if a petition was numerously, vigned and forwarded to the Inperial Government representing that this: important change had been brouyht about by a cualition, the act would be deelared unconstitational. The scheme was practically unkuown to the people "Y Inder some pres sure it had been sent coutidentially $y_{4}$ to "the ueubery, but what did the country understand of it "-litle or mothinge" It hat been said that if the scheme were not adoptel niow it would be in danger; but would it not keeppoud tor a litule while? Was it teared that the prople would tind out that it would cocasion increased expense, aurd so rofuse to. have it? If only tor the reasen that it was not known to the people, he would vite agsinst it. When the details came to be discismed, he bolieved sume of them would not be ap proved, and be also thought that the project did tiot embrace all the pruvisions which it stouald embrace: Fiually, he thosthe the Guvernment should wot set its tace domansi "motue chimper in the seheme, were it" onty" in the watter of the electiod of nembers to the legistative Council, and he hoped the If,etive would head then to consent to that alterattens. When the resilutions came uf, he would nate it: his duty to speak more penitively to the particulary in quesino. The hourable suember then sat down, saying he approved of the mosle of diseussion prapioged. (Hear, hear:).

How, Ma. CUIGIE then meved that the debate be adjourned uncil Mouday, whick was osarried.

## LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY.

 . $+$
## Friday

## ItTy Gen MACDONALD said the

 Speaker having desired that tre should not go on with the Address about the anion of the colonies, he propiosed not to take it up till Monday next, but as the matter was one of the utwost-importance, he thought it would be well now to settle the mode of conducting the discussions. He would propose that after the discussion commenced, it shoull continue day ater day, and that for the purpose of greater regalarity the Speaker should remain in-the chair. At the some time he would propose that the rule which prevented menibers speaking more than ence when the Speaker was in the chair should be "suspended, in order that every member might have the same liberty of free discussion as he would have in Committee" of the Whoie.Hon J S. MACDONALD suid the Attorney, General's pruposition that discussion should contioue day after day, was one which. in his opinion, ought not to be entertatued by the House. This was a very grave' $q$ uestion, and he thought the people of this country had pright to consider maturely the "sentimeuts. promalgated by their representatives, with regard to it. He was sorry, therefore, to have heard it announced by the Attóruey Geueral that the Governiient were to hurry the measure through, to the exclusion of -all other matters:

Atty Gen MACDONALD said he had not stated this. His ideawas that after the debate commenged it should go on each day ather half past seven, leaving the afternoon sit ting tor other business. If the discussiun was to, be confined to government days, the debate of Turseday would be forgotten by Friday, the sane iarguaents would be gone over, and they. would sit the whole year round to thish it:

How. J: S. MACIUYALII, said other chan-es of rio less inportance than, this, with reforence to Clengy Heserves Lexishative Counial, Seiguorial Tenure, deo, had heen beifore the people tor a quarter of a century, and fally diseussed session after session bifore being anally disposed of. fublic opinion in that way was fully matured on these yu stions, but tere they were called on at a few days' nutice to change entirely the Constitution we lived nuder, and time was noi to be allowed for public opinion to be expressed on it." He objected also to the suspension of the rules of Parliament, so as to make the discussion take
place with the Speaker in the chair, instead" of in Committee of the Whole If there wiis any question on, which the House should alhere to its forms. it was a , पuestion like this-when the Government was so strong, so outrageonsly strong- (laughter) - the minority alould be protected by the rules of the Hoise buins fully măintained. He observed the Prevident of the Council latyh. He had hearnefla anod deal from that gentleman in standine up for the rules of the House. But now, formoth, the lion and lamb wre lying twerther, and the Tovernment, knowing that they hat it in"their powery were now to carry the meaner thrimgh by brute force the force of the bagnrity.

Attry Gen Ma('DoNild somd there was nothing irregular in his pownot that discussion should on with the Somatr in the chair. The suspension of the rute the propused was for the protertion of the mannrity. hy allowing each membrer tor mpeah and state his objections asionden as he pletasid.

HuN. J. H:ANERON approme wt the proposition that disenswion ln condacted with the Speaker in the chair.; With refernee to the other propasition that it shuld go in from day to day, he suggented that Ather Attorney deneral Macponimirhad gated has wowath leagth on Monday, the drbate shate for col yourned tor at least one " wonk, that getple in the coutry uight have the siows of the thov. ernment fully thefor them. lafore the debate tairly commened. Atter thit uthet go on day after day.

Hon Ma Hohithy and he had mot rezarled the Attomey deneratis pripunition in exectly the sane lizthe the queruber for Cornwall: but was willine to acerop it as mudi. citime a desire on the part of the Consernamit
 He thought." however. it would" be alvantum: sus if, after the genersh dixumbion tow phact, with the Speaker in the chaur, the Ho, oue weat into Committee of the Whate, the comider tho details. "He thought three thit in ther whth sufticient for the diseasion:

Hin. Mr. BBUW.S suid the meminer lor Chatauguay had rightly appethended thr objert of the Attorney tienersi when fun treated his proposition as dictated by adesere wationd the fullext opportunity of diseumone the great. quation. Vothing could be turthere trom their futention than to hurry the meenupe through by brute forex: as oharyed by "the uember for Cornwanl:. Athough the Atenraey General had propssed that they discussitin should continue day alter days be had not suggested for a moment that the whole ahould
be hurried on; the debate at any period might be adjournéd, if deemed necessary; to allow time tor the exprossion of public opinion. There were $1: 31$ members, and almost eyery mernber would desire to sperak on the question, and he thought clearly the proper course was to devote every diy after half-past seven to the disctission: to cllow all the meinbers on both sides to state their views. that hey nighton to the coustry and be Mully 'eonsidered. He thuegte there wits a gond deal of force in the sugastion of the member for Poel, that after the siewa of the Coviminent liad been stated distine tly tw the House the debate should be aljowaed the a short titne: of courve the Atorney General Fist, as well as the Altorney Cimeral Wert woult dexire to cxplain be ahine trob his rimt of ver, wow whild the Minizter or Finine and probably he also Or



 didficonty aturit wifouming the intinte for a tume the the mantry midet livtinctly undor. stupt whas they were atmon.

Titer, wome remarks by Ita : Mr. Cai cuis and Mr Déskin.
 iode "xperede tio allopt the shene in its
 arlaptopr partion of it and reject another parthe of it?

Hov Ma: foutory That is int in fair 4 1 hitation.

## Hov Ma BROW Y - Merfedy faar

Ma. IWWELL thought Mr. Holions re: gard tint tair play exomeve, when it even ini: pelled him to bitertere on bithalf of the Ad: ministrathot.

Hov MatholtuN 1 thath it would bo tuthir to annwer starla a questum.
 "hat Mr. Comersts prequmititin was a reawo able ofes. The thovegmethe would in the tirnt phace lay thrir casce brotere the, House, and through the" prem betion der cosintry, and thra ahow a reasmable tinu for the country to fuctuo tot the case' as presented by the Gio verimetht, Le wouth not, of edurme, be preinted by hiturwif almos as the Pregident of the C'vencil hat sant The subjers waia oo
 variety of thetaits, that he tancied all the bencar beris of the boveriumint would find jt neesessary topxpreso their views un particular purtions of chit great nelhenet" "In gaswerto the member tor Carteton, Ahe dieverimeut desired to
say that they presented the scheme as a whole, and would exert all the influence they could bring to bear in the way of" argument to induce the House to adopt the seheme without alteration, and for the simple reason that the scheme was not one framed by the Governuient of Canada, or by the Government of Nova Scotia, but was in the nature of' a treaty settled between the different enlonies, each clause of whicli bad been fully discussed, and which had been agreed tw by a nystent of 'mutual compromise.. Of course it was eoupetent to the House to rote against the Addrest iss a whole, or $t \mathrm{t}$ adopt: amemdnents to it, but if they did so, it would then be for the Guyerie. ment to sinsider whether they would preses the echeme further on the atitention of the libuse. It was obrious that undev the sehrued were adopted as it had been setlece betiveen the different provinese, if they piosecuted it furthour, theyे would lave to conumine il mosio, and he had no hesitation in expressing hie tre: lief chat if the seheme was not now culoptectin all its principal details, as precentel to the Houss, we could unt expert tio ine it paseseil this century'. It had been onfy in eerise quene of a very hapy eomentrence of cir cumstaneers which mighty nyte eavily arise ayain, that the diffiereat provitites. had beca eaabled to arrive at the eometunion now priseated, and he stipuld excerelimgly refret in the wherese of camada and bo the frisut of tritish Nurth A merici, if cuythitios shou it delay beyond this gear the emplition and conclusion of thix great whelime, The reselth tions on their face hare evidence of esurtomile ; perhape tuet one ot the defegates frime any of the provibces would have proponuded this secheme as a whole, but beimg ingreswed with the conviethen that it was hyhy havir able with a view to the tanimenancer of Brition power on thin coatingot that there show, the. Confederation suad a junction of all the pro vinces, the coaxideration of the detaily who entered upwe in a spirit of evmprouise. Not one member of the Canadian Guvertingent hat hit own riews carried out if ill the detado, and it was the sulue with the outhre delegates: But after a fell discussimn if sisteren days, aud afler the variouy details thad beten pothed on, the resolutionas as a whole wery tigreat to. by a unanimous vote; every one of the dilesgates. whatever hit siew to any of the details being satistied to asdept the whole setheme in adoped by a uajurity for ceati individual re entution, aud to press it upen his own Levis lature the ouly practecable sechenie that could be carried, such being the ocso, he
trusted th. Government would have the support of a'yery large niajority of the House in carrying the schome just as it stood, members sactificiong their individual opinions as to particular details; if satistied with the Government that the scheme as a whole was for the benefit ard prosiperity of the people of Canda.
Hov. Mr. HOLTON would like to inquire whether, according to the course of proceeding propised by the Attofrney Generall; the several resolutions of the Conference would be submitted stparately to the House as iffirinative propusitions?

> ATr. (iran Macdonado said on no." The propmition' subnitted to the House is that ar dudress be prisented to Her Majesty, priving that is bill should be passed based on these resolutimas. All amendinents inight be moved te that one reoblation.' It would be the sime thits, in fiet, as ty mave thein upon each retulation separately.

Hox. Mis. MoL TOX held that the Goverumeut vight to ask for an atfiriative vote from the Mpuse sin sath of these resolutions. They hal beca prepured and pased by a welf constinuted buad, withupt the House or the pesple ever havigh leveir consulted on the subject. Thitess the Hoise" wste a sham' allugether, the least referuace that could be paid to it would be to obtain sulimet athirmation of each it the hasio min whith she projeted Constitutime wheh was inereatter to givera us were to be torinadel.
Hon: Me Cu'thos wishat intermation as the whinther the selteme war to bo diseassed an a whof, or whet her there wouth be an op promity equen th unvider wach part of it reparately, There were part of the resư̆u: thats albut which thire night be some misundertiadigy and diffreate of opinion, as tir ceample these rlayses by one of which it ist statect that the civil laws of the country Wece to ba puider the cerptrol of the loceal Povernimetate and by the other' of which the L.w uf narrize was phecid under the control if the tivaryal Governamet. The laiv of marrages provaled the whate civil eode, and hẹ winterl to know how it esuld be plaeed under a dilltrut hiontature from that fhich was to: rembite the thet of the civil /aw. He did nimt hawestry we why an aftirnative yote on exict recolution would enable the House to prinuanee with nore freedom on these details thian the courto propused. bif the Attorney Giveral

How A A. DURION sail the metaber for Munturrency misapprobend did the seope of the ubjeotion made by the member for Clateiu-
guay. That objection was that the freedom of Parlianient would be better consulted, and more opportunity would be given to learn the sense of the House by the dififerent clauses of the Address being moved seriatim, in the same way as supplies were voted. This was the manner in which the Irish Union Act had been pasied, as well as the bill to change the Govermuent of India, tfîch Canadian Union Act of 1540 , Legislative Council Act, and other' inportant measures. This was the uniform course of Parliauent; and there, was no precedent to be found for any contrary mode of proceeding. He thought the course pryposed in the Opposition side of the House the niost reasonable - that there should be a generat discusision on the seheme, in which Members of the Government should state their views; that then there should be an adjournent for a Fiek t. enable the public to consider these speecties and that then the subject should be disconssed three "whole days each week till disposed of this would, in fact, be devoting more time to it than the plan that was proposed by the President of the Council, and would tecure more fair, open and full opportunity for diseussion?

Atry Gev MACDONALD could anderstand the object of the hon member for Hóbelaga. That hons yentleman was oppoed tw Confederation, and the course he prot Wied was just that which was calculated to throw the wheme ty another Parliament and till another conference wis' held. so that con' filderation might not be effected till the daf of judininnt 'There revolutions were in the yas-
 eutions the procedings would have to be cominned de now, It each province yudertook to change the details of the seheme, there would be ue and to the discussions and the contereaces whicl would have tw be held. Then, as to havigy a debate three diys a week, if would extend the session beyond all bounds? especialiy tis after the Confederation seheme was disposed of, there would be a measure tor organzing the local governments under that scheme.

Hon Ma. HULTON Why notbring that Heasure down with this?

Atry, Men MACDONALD said that they were tho different propositions, and they could be ouly deale with separately. It the House dectared, bs ite vote, 镇at Conderatios was desirable, therti it would be proper to cunsider the nature of the lical governments; but if it failed to aceept the principle of Confederation, then it would be eutirely uselese to bring up
the other measure. Besides, to bring down both measures at once would make confusion worse confounded, hecause 'uembers would, of necesvity, introduce their views upon local governmentsixto the consideration of the Confederation question.

HoN. J. S. MACDONALD commented upon the declaration that the resolutions of the Confereace were tantamount to a treaty, and asked by what authority the Government had undertaken to negotiate a treaty: He contended that all forms of the House should be strictly observed, so that there should be no infringement upon the rights of the minority.

Mr. THOMAS FERGUSON asked whether it was the intention of the Government to carry this measure into force without submit ting it to the people?

Atty. Gen MACDONALD said he could answer his honorable frieud at once. If this measire received the support of the House, there would be no necessity of going to the people: If, however, the measure were deteated, it, would be for the Government to consider whether there should not be an ap peal to the country. "(Hear, hear, and laugt:ter.)

Mn: Sc:ATCIERD arke whether it way intended to make any amerdments in the schene to meethe suggestions coutained in the dexpatetio the 'olonial Secretary:

ATr G Ge: MACDONALD in reply, matiotopure he could not answer what the policy of the Imperial Guveriment intght be; all he could say was this, that the represera: tatives of the various colonial goverianents, atter this treaty had been made, agred to go hutise and pross upou the legivlatures of their respective provigets this measure as a whole; aad to pretent in all the colonial legeislatures adterestes jdenticil in their nature to Her Majesty, asking Hor to pass an Act based upon theme reselutions, such addrysas being ian expression of the deliberate opinion of the colonites, It would then becoune the duty of the Ineprial Government and Lagislature to act as deaty pleased in the matter. He hoped and boisered they would not make any altera tions is the seheme culopted by the Confer. ence: He was quite satistied that if the local legislaturesyased them to pass the neheme as, it stiod, they would leave us to be the best judges of car owe atiaitr and earyy the meat sure through.

Hon. Ma. HolTON mad he had giver notiee the other day of thres questions he incended ty pue to the Government befory gaing.
into Committee of Supply, bat as the present was an equally favorable opportionity, he trusted there would be no objection to his putting them now:

ATTY GEN MACDONALD-No, go on.
HoN. Mr. HOLTON said the first question had a reference to the subject to which the Attorney General had alluded in the course of his remarks just now. It tras, whether it. was the intention of the Government to bring dowa their projects for local constitutions for the two sections of Canada before inviting the House to proceed with the discussion of this question of Confederation. : The honorable gentleman had already answered that question by saying it was not the intention of the Gove ernment to introduce this measure, and had given reasons for this course. Upon these reasons he (Hon. Mr. Holton) desired to say one word. He maintained that the question now. before the House was, should they revolutionize the country, should they revolutionize the governuent of the country?'(Hear, hear.) That was undoubtedly the question, and tio would like to know distinctly whether the form of the proposed nuw gayernment, local as well ais general formed part of the same scheme? He felt that the Hoase could not be in a position to consider the proposed forms of the Constitution until they had before them, at least in a general' way, the forcas of government which were to obtain between the two sextions of the province, of the union of which a dissolution was to be wrought by the measure bufore the House. Then another question which he had proposed to pat had reference to the educational system of Lower Canada. The Minister of Finance, in a speech at Sherbrooke, had promised that the Gov. urnment would introduce a bill to amond tho xchool laws of Lower Canada: The honorable gentleman nume be awaro that this was a question on which there was a great deal of teeling in this section of the province amongat the Lagtish-speaking, of the Protestant elass, of the popalation.. He did not liketo introduce anything of a religions charactier into discus. sions of this House, but in debating the groat change which it was proposed to effect in our -rstem of government, the effect of them upon that class to which he reifered must be considered. Anong that class thero was no pliaso or feature of these threatened changea which ozcited so much alarm as this very question of oducation. Well, the Ministor of Financo had asid; with great solemnity; as having the anthority of his collcargues for its that this eckion the Governeneat would Bring down
amendments to the sohool laws of Lower Canada, which they proposed enacting into láw before a change of government should take place, and which would become a permanent settlement of that question: The question he then desired to put was whether they intended to submit these amendments before they asked the House to pass finally upon the other scheme of Confederation, and if so, to state when the House might look for that measure, as it would undoubtedly exercise very considerable influence upon the discussion of the Confederation seheme, and probably in the last resort from several menbers from Lower Canada: (Hear, hear.) Then the third question of which he had given notice had reference to the "Intercolonial Railway. It was a novelty that, perhaps, might not be found in the constitution of any country, to introduce a provision for the construction of a railroad, canals, turapike roads or other public works. (Laughter.) But the novelty existed in this case, and we are told that a part of the proposed Constitution wise to build the Intercolonial llailway as to the usefulness of which there had-been a great difference of opinion amongst nembers of the House and in the pountry.

After the dinner recess,
HoN Ma. HOLTON continuing his remarks, said it appeared now to be proposed to make the construction of a railway part of the Constitution of the country. Tha President of the Conucil, who had formerly strougly opposed the Interoolonial Railway, had now become so enamoured of it and its adjuncts that he was reported to have declared in a speeoh nt Toronto, that rather than not have thoge adjunots, to wit, the union of all the provinces, which he had also previously opposed as vigorously as the railway itself; he would consent to building six intercolonial railways. (Laughter.) He thought the House was entitled to know what was to be done with ro ference to that railway before they were asked: to consider the givat question of which it. tormed a part. He desired a so some information as to the position of the North-Weat gueation on which the President of the Couneil had always taken strong grounds, maintaining that Canada had a territorial right extending over all that region. He took it for granted the President of tho Couneil still maintained his position, bat ho wished to know from him authoritatively the manner in which the Government proposed to deal with the question. He desired; also, zome. information on the subject of the defences, and what wes to be the measure of our con-
tributions tinder the proposed scheme for that important object.

Atty. GEN. MACDONALD isaid the Government would eheerfully give an answer to Hon. Mr. Holton's questions. As to the localconstitutions of tepperand Lower Canada, when subordinate provinees of the Coniederiation. Gorernment proposed to subuit to the House a seheme or schemes to be cousidered 'by members of Cpper" and Lower Canada, "respecting"the constitutions of their tespective governmente.: But the action with repard to them must be the action ot Parliament. That action would only be asked after the Confederation scheme was adopted, for until it was sttuled that there was to be Confederation, it was idie to diecuss what should be the constitutions of the several provinees. As to the school question it hal been announced by Hon. Mr, Ginly, at Sierbrome that betore Confederanon tork place. this Parliainent would be asked to consider ameasure whech he hoped would be satistactury to all classer of the community. There was a goon deal of apprehension in Lower Canada on the part of the minority there as to the possible effect of Confederation on their rights on the subject of education, and it whe the anterition of the (xoveriment, if Parliuncut apponvit the scheme of Contedera tion, to day betire the House this, session, certain amendments to the; school law, to operate as a ooft of guaratere nybinst apy infringruent by the majority, of the rights of the mumority in this matter.

Hove A A DOGMON-Will it apply to bothe finer und Lower Canada?

Atry lien Mildbusith said the be Lieved, as rexarted"Lpper C'anada, the matier. would remain in setcu. quop, an the prisent law chetre was 'quite' motiofactury to the minority. As rigarded the latereolonial. Railroad, the resnutinns shewed prestsely what was the intention of the roverument in that mater. The railroit was aut; as stated by Hon. Mr. Hotron,. a pertion of the Conatututon, bat vas ofy of the conditions on which the Leiwer Provinees agreed to enter into the constitutional agreet ment with us. The Nurth-Wist quistion bu would leave mi the hands of the President of the Council, who underntiond' it" tharouytly, and could, no doabt, give Hon. Mr. Holtox as satistactory aniser. With respect to the defeuce of the province, they sere now the subject of uesutiations with the Imperial Goverameint, and thet fullest information would be given to thit House on that subjeet. He might mention that the Maritime Prorinova, reeognizing the peculiar pasition of Capada geogra.
phically, and its danger in case of hostilities, hid mosit cordially agreed that any sum this Pariament might vote for the defence of Ca: nada, they would undertake their share of.

Mr. WaLLBRIDGE asked if he was to understand that a guarantee was to be given in the Constitution of the Federal Government to Kouan Catholie separate schools?

Atty. Gen: MaCDOAALD-I only said this, that before Confederation is adopted, the Gnvernuent would bring down a measure to annend the school law of Lower. Canada, protecting the rights of the niinority; and which. at the same time, I believer, would be satistac tory to the majority, who have always hitherte shown respect for the rights of the minority, and. no doubt, will continue to do so.

Hon Me BRÖWN said Hon: Mr. Holton had done no injustice to him in supposing be beld now precisely the same sentienents ion the North-West question he fornerly did. He be lieved it of vast importance that that region should be brought within the limite of civiliza tion, and vigosous mreasures had been taten to ascertain what could be done, with that niew It was not long since be returned troan . Kaig: land, where the matter was very fully disumed: and he had not as yer had an opportunity of sutimitting the thing wo fully to the Council that a decision could be had upon it, but he had no doubt that in a very short time they would be able to communicate to the House arope information an to-their intentions.

The discussion was then made tegular by Atty. Gen. Macbosilid formally proposing that an Address be presented of Her Majesty

HION. Ma HOLTON suid that the uniyersal law of Parlianeat with raspecs nithor to bills or addressen looking to the disposal of public proporiy or funds, or additinus to the burdens of the eenntry, was that the measure must originate in Committee of the Whole This Conitderation sehenie disposed of the whole aseets of the couniry, and emabished bure ens which mery to be appited to the par poses of the provinced of Now. Brunswick and Ncwfoundiand besides payimg aighty ceate per head of population to all the various provinecs. This appropriation of property indeed rau throughout tite sehtume. Not only so, but the usage on all si ilar occasions wis to introduce the neeasure in Committee of the Whole. The aet of union between Eingland and Ireland was priginated in this way, and 30 Was our own act of unimn in the legisiatare of Upper Canada under the manazement of Mr. Poclet Thompson, who was well hnown to be an able Raglish parliamentarian.". The
samo course was taken on our own Reform Bill noder the Hivers Government.

Atty Gen MACDONALD said that any measure appropriating money must originate in Comuittee of the? Whole, but it was otherwise with an Address to the Crown, asking to recommend a grant of money. This was an andress asking the Crown to make a great constitutional change. Now: supposing that it was asking to have the Constitution done away with altogether, must such an adidress originate in committee?" Clearly not. The money to be appropriated was to be granted by legislatures which did not yet exist.

Hon A A DORION-There is nothing more plain then that, according to the stand. Cing order of the House of Cominons, any measure appropriating money or any Addresis to the, Crwwa asking for a grant of money, or that expenses inay be incurred, monst orininate in Committee of the Whole. Our own soth rule was in a similar sense, setting forth that motions tending to grayt an aid or to make any gharge upon the people must originate in committece Now, surely these sesolations tended to creste a charge upon the people. Anong other things they bound the country to mate a railway.

Attr Gex MACDONALD-That will be made by the Confederate Governineat, nut by this Government: We are not granting any money.

Hor. I. A. DORTON Nor do you ever grant aroury when you ask the Crown to ne commend the grant, sinet the Crowa may refuse; surely it a mater involving $\$ 5$ ar 850 . must órigiate ta compittee, so "large a sat " ter as this must do so:

AtTy Give CABTIKR spote of Hodi. Mr. Dotiox s remark as absurd, as not a farthiug of unoney was being appripriaied. It wasquite true the ralo of the limporial Parliainont might seemi" trigo as tur au Hon Mr Domon maid, but wehad no suoh rule. Yurs was founded ou the Union Act. Which neerely said that no appropiriation could be mude, cxeept after a Message frow. His Excellency, which must bo referfed to i: 'coumitece' The Union Aet was our law, aud. schurrow the British. Parliament? with the nanction of the (4ueen, might aboligh tho Conatitution.

Mr DUNKIN-The Attorngy General was the vary Bayand of defenders of every liale corporation whieb had reveived its charter: from that legislature; chat was of every corporation or company which enjoyed his favor, from mone of mhich be would tate anay the
simallest part of the privileges ever conferred upon them ${ }_{8}$ and yet he asserted that the whole privileges and rights of this great colony could: be taken away to-morrow by the Imperial Parliament. He (Mr. Denew) denied that all our rights were held at pleasure, but, if they. were, that had nothing to do with the matter. If we were precluded from giving away small sums of money, except in a particular way; surely we vere debarred from giving away all our rights.' The British Parliament could declare that a mina is a worun, and he must thoreafter legally be called a woman, but that: did not make him one.

HoN Ma GALT baid clearly no charge was put on the people by this Address; not a penny could be taken out of the public chest in consequence of it. He thought also thie spirit of the rules was no more infringed than their letter, by taking the course proposed by the Attorney General. West; because, if the Address passed, the Imperial Act wonld refer again to the people the power of disposing of this property by their votes.

Mr. SPEAKER decided as follows:-
The honorable member for Chateauiguay bas submitted that the motion is not in order, "Has: muth na the proposed Address prays the Crown to recoumend to the Ininperial Parliament the pasiage of au Act laying new bardens on the peuple of this Province, and saaking dispositions as lwe the public property and money of this: Province, the law of Parliament requirey that it abould be fuanded on Resolutions originated in Commite of the whole House.". Now, the 4th clause of he lith section of the Consolidated Statutes of Catnad, "the Legislative Assembly shall nut ontinate ur pass any Vute, "Resulution or thill for the apropriation of wny part of the said Consolidated hevenue Fund or of any other tax or impust, 10 andy purpose wich has not been first reconamended by a Message of the Governor to the Legisiative Aasembly', duriag the Sersion in which sueh Vote, Ensolutiou or bill is passed;" and the 88 th Rule of this House, *t If any motion be duyde in tha House for any publie aid or charene. upon the puople, the comaideration and detate thereion way puth be presently entered upma, but shath be adfourued till yuch further day as the House shall think fie to appoint; and then it shall be referred to a Coinnittee of the whole Auuse beflife tany Regulation or Vote of the House do pass utiercupoa,', which seems to be baged theroon, reier to Resolutions or to an Address apon which sompo finture action of this House is to be based. I fail to see ja this mution that the action of this Honse is to be involved any further attrr passing this Ressolution.' As this mattor was discussed betore il left the chair, at six o'clock, 1 took ocecasion to put in writing nyy opaiva upou the subject. I will read: "The motion is for an Addreas to Her Majesty, in which
the Resolations on Confederation of the Provinces are set ont. How does this differ from an Address moved to His Excellency, which always comes on motion 'upon a two days' notice, given' as in' this case? I cannot see how, as a point of Order, I can treat the matter other than as in the ordinary esse of an Address. The argument is that it will. be incouvenent so to discuss. it. That is not adidressed to a question of Order, but to enne inf contenience. . The case cited by the hinorahle nember for Chateauruay of Rembutions uponi the question of a Bill-far the Government of India was notone of $R$ solutnons fer an Addreas: but of Resolutions stmply, tyntumang the pron posed principles of the Bill inteaded to be atro: duced. It is not pretended here that this House has any risht to pass such a Bill, tor that the is interided tu present ore in that sobject heres." The reasons why it is convenient to discusy matter's in the form of a Resolution on which a Bill is after. wards to bo introduced, is that Kesolutions more eacily admiti of alteration. The Government have expressed ther determination not to admit of any:alterations in these Resolution. Thas it is obrmus that the same reasons for noting finto" Committee dn not hold.' The menker who movestan Address can force The voite un his motion in the manner he has put it, unless the form of it be changed by amendment, and this appears to be the only course open here 'In truth the word : Resolutions'r" might very. well have beel omitted altogether from this motion. Whatever "mitht have , beet's the result on a mere question of convenience, it is certan- that the. Sueaker does not derde that marter. His duties are to prespervecoder and d-cierumi and to decide questions of Order"".

ATTY: GEv. MACHONALD moved that the debate be adjourned and made the first order for Monday after half-past seven o'clock. P.m.

- HoN. Mr. HOLTON raise I the abjectiona that this rnotion could not be put without tivo dayse notice

Atry Gex. MACDONALD: said if this was the sort of tactics to be parsued, and an aiteript made to embartass the Government at every step, he mast withdraw the conces sion made to the member for Peel, and would give notice that he would move on Tuesday, that th; dibate be continu d from day to day until the . Dddress was finaly adopted or rejected by the House.
After some diseussion, Hon. Mr. Holton withdrew his obj ction, and Atty. Gen. Macdovild his notice.


## LEGISLATIVE GOUNCIL.

Mondar, February 6, 1865.
How Mr. CAMPBELL said that, with the permission of the honorable member who had the floor (Hon. Mr. Currie), he would offer a few remarks apon one - portion of the scheme of Confederation, to which allusion had been specially made in the House, and which to a limited extent, bad occupied the attention of the country. He referred to the preposed constitution of the Leqislative Coun:cil under that scheme; and in offering the reasons which had led the Canadian Govern. ment and the other members of the Gonfer. ence, which, as honorable nembers knew, wain composed of the leading men in the legislatures of the several provinces - the leading. men in opposition as well as the leadity men in office-to decide as they had done, he becged the House to believe that the decision had not been arrived at hastily, but after prolonged and anzious discussion, and after a full and careful consideration of the subject. It was not to be sapposed that the Governuent of Canada had itself laid down the seheme of the constitution as cmbraced in the resolutions on the table of the House. Honorable gentla men mast not misunderstand him: He did: not mean to say that the Government did not heartily concur in and adopt the soheme, but that it was not itis work alone," bui that of "the delegates from the other provinces as well. It was the result of deliberation, accoumio dation and conipromise. When it hecame trecessary for the Gorernment to proses the pesolutions; he trusted honorable members: would not suppose that they did so out of that love which poople have for the creation of their own intellect, but would remeuber they, were the joint production of the wentle men to whom he alloded, and that any pertinacity on his part arose from a seowe of the sacrifices they had made to secure an apreonient, and the diffedties which any failaro now would create. He felt it had hern timpossible for the Conference to arrive at any other anderstanding, and be only wished that those who lonked with disfiavor upon their plan, could have wituessed the anxious debates beld at Charlotictown and Quebee betore it was finally setted. The resule arrived as would, he hoped, promote the welliare of the provincem interested, and be remembered witb gratitude by their inhabitaitits tanany loug gears lience. |Aid supposing thig Ohamber and tho otheresers tojemem to a differens apinion

Which he had alluded at the commencemens and from which he had for a moment digressed. and give the reasons whioh had induced the Conference to detormina as they had done; upon the constitution of the Upper House: And the main reason was to give each of the provinices adequate security for the protection of its local interests, a protection which it was feared might not be found in a House where the representation was based upon numberis only, as would be the case in the General Assembly. The number of reprosentatives to the Legislative Council under the Federal constitution would be linited, and they would be appointed for life instead of elicted by the people. For the purpose of securing equality in that House, the Confederation wauld be divided into two sections, viz. $\because$ Upper Canada, Lower Canada, and the Maritime Provinces, and esch of these seotions would send twentyfour members to the House. In Upper Ca nada, as had been stated lately by an honorable member, the population häs increased very rapidly, and woald probably go on increasing in a much larger ratio than that of Lower Canada or the other proviaces, and if the Legislative Council were eleotive, the time might come when the people of t that section would fancy themselve entitled to an increased representation in the Council, and commerce to ayitate for it. They might object to the fishing bounties paid the Lower Province, to the money expinded there in fortifications, or to something else, and clain a reprosentation in the Council, more in accordance with their population to enforce their views; and in view of such contingencies the delegatem from those provinces coneeived it would not be safe to trust their righits. to an elective House. It was then determined that in one branch there would be a tixed number of menibers nominated by the Crown, to euable it to act as a counterpoise to the braneb in which the principle of representation accord ing io : population would be recognized. It might be said that the principlr of limitation of numbers could bave been adopted, and that of election preserved. Well, he did not say the wheme was perfect, but it was the best that could be devised, and ay the Lower Provinces telt the danger from thei- inferiority of numbers, being oinly $800,001 \mathrm{ag}$ uinst double that number in Upper Canada alone, it was eswential that the security which a fixed representation in the Council afforded to them should be acceded to. The Confi rence acted upon the coanviction that they were not building a atroctune for a temporary parpose, but,
as they hoped, for centaries, and knowing kow the doctrine of representation according to population had operated in distracting the popular branch of the Legislature in Canada: they endeavored to provide acainst a similarly disturbing cause in the Confederation. And their precaution appeared to him to be founded in wisdont and justice. For the sike of argu"ment let it be supposed that the elective principle is maintained, and that the limit of numbers now proposed, siz.. . 4 menubers for each of the three grand sections in the Council is also fixed; let it be supposed further, that the popalation of Upper Canada continnes to augment as in the past, what niay not be that of the Saugeen, Tecunseth and Eastern Divisions (which now have $134,000,90,040$ and 60,000 respectively) forty or fitty years hence? And is it not possible, nay would it not be like ly , that these great constitenenes. when compars ing them with the divisions in Prince Edward Isfand, numbering some twenty thousand to twenty-five thousand souls, would bé dispowed to set up claims for additional representation : Who that looks to the future will Nay that, with an elective Upper House the Constitution will last?: It was the apprehension of danger to its pernanency that dicided the Conference to adopt the principle of nomination to the superior branch; and it was the only way which suggested utself for averting ite. And he mast say for himsetf, that he fully and entirely concurred io the decision. He felt that the principle of election kept alive a germ of doubt as to the security of the Lower Prio rinces, and he was gtad that a way was found of removing it altogether. It was well known that even in the United States, where there was so prevalent a dixpuesition" to subunit everything to the decision of the people, the principle of limitation to the Upper Huanse was so fully recongized and settled by the Constitution, that ho atteupt was ever made to change it. In this way the smallest state, like Rhode Ialand, was as fully represented as the etate of New York. And if that was considered necessary in a country mo compact rogether as the Cuited States, how riuch nore would te not be proper in a Contederation, some of the sections of which wete wemp rated from each other by long, narrow stripw of land, or' wide estaaries, with surall reprementation in the popular branct, and lookine chiefty to their equality in the Upper Chamber for seeurity for local rights and interenta and institutions. He was gratitiod, apon another ground, that this devision had been attained, and thin was on the ground of the respeet be
bore to the life-members of this Chamber: In the law which had made the House eloetive there was no wiser provision than that which had cuaranteed the seat of the members appointed by the Cromn, who then composed it. He had always felt the great advantage of the presence at those bonorable members here. If the elective system had entirely superseded the nominated House, reunoved those gentlemen and brought together fortreight entirely new members, the country would have suffered a grievnus lass'; but the ald members kept their places and the new ones came in twelve at a time two years'apart, so that the change from one system to the other was effected without any injury. The nominated membere had retained their influence and the tone of calmneat and sravity which had obitained in their deliberationa was insenvibly arquirid by the dertive members atherecame in, to the thanifest advantare of the House: We ispeating of the elected monterbs had pieked. up the apirit of and the instruction the Crowa members were sully competent to. give us, and yo had been poabled to dischare garduties in a way we culd not pisaibly have done if had we been lift tio ourselves. If the ifte-members had ben deprived of their weats, it was not probable that many, if any of them. woruld have siught a remtoration, to them by the clective proms, for they were senegally quatlemen of wealth, pooition, and delicacy of fieling, whose habits of mutual deference, quietress and order, would have untitted them, or made them averse to face the turmoil and excitement of the unfatorable *lectionerring contests. 'These ' honorable gentlemen; ander an eleetive system, must have been deprived of their seats, and their servios. have beyen lost to the country: whilst inder the nominative system they will stand on the same footury at the other mentions of thin House, and hive a fair reprewertation along with the members holdine their seats by election in the Legislative Council of the Contederste Papliament. iHear, hear.) Pass ine on to' another point, he would remark that' some pervint had asked whit would be dono it the twe Chanbers of the C'ontederation cane tirto collision? "He had atready pe marked that the Leqislative Council was intended in counterpoise to tho ", weight of numbers in the Assemblye but suich a counterporine "did not necesazily imply the: probability of collision. It was not ifikely that. the two branchos would eome into sueh eullizion upon minor sabjects, or subjects of minor inportance, for two such bodiea should mot, tor
the mere sake of resistance, oppose each other in such a was ; they mould not venture to do it. He did not remember that there had been any really difficult matter of this kind for a long tinie, This House had rejected, the Squatters' Bill, as 'it was called, seven or eight times after it had been passed by the Assembly, but thiat had not. Mupaired the good understanding between them. Indeed the effect had been ot the best. The hon. hite-member from Cobourg [Hon. Mr; Bocitron], irhom he did not see in his place, had, by the force of reasoniuy, convinced the House that the bill was destructive of the rights of property, and the conseyuence was that, year by year the measure bad been pruned of its most of fensive features, until now, as he was informed, it was hardly open to objections Ho could not reall another instañe of persistent difference of opinion between the Chaubers. The real danger of collision would be where one chan. bor invaded the prerugatives of the other, and that danger, if it existed at all; would be greatty" iacreaged were the Lexistative Council hande deetives (Hear hear:) If the members'were dected they might gay, - We coure trom the people just as directly na the nembers, of the Avenbly do, and wur autherity is, therietore, ay tuil and coinplete as the ins Nay, more, for where We each represent 1000 clectors, they ouly cach represent 3414 , and we have, therefore, as mach ruight tơ initider money bills and impost bills as they have ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Mate the Council purefy elective, and the would not promite that an agitation of chis kind would not spring up. ft had not been a theme yet on the thoor of the Hoase, but it was woll ktiow that it had been freely discased in th corriders, ind it the subject had not beon tormally introduced, It was probably becausa it was ihought by those who debated it chat they could not tely upon the tife-metubuts. iscar, hear.; Let the Ionacil propowe to deal with tasation and the dedtive syxtern would be sure in tha cutrse of cime to urge it on to do so, sind imthodately tho spirit of the Assembly would be aroused to pesistance: "This would be the Way to provole collisions, and with an elevtive Counoil it was not unlikely at all to bo rosorted th. In Engiland, thure the Ipper Houss Was conaposed of a olass entirely distinct from the Commoss, and having interests, as a general thing, diverse from those of the peoplo, oven thate ihe collisions between the two branches had beca but infrequeat. Indeed there had been only one very sarious collbion in the courne of centurios. Whanshoweven, sueh comjunotrares arvae, the crown overcame
them by the appointment of a sufficient number of peers whose political views accorded with those of the vovernment. The right to sit in the House of Lords being, however, bereditary; the son generally inherited the politics of his father and so the character of the body was alokays pretty well understoo:; but be it what it might, and as much as posuible removed from popular influences, it had yet learne:s so far to respect the will of the people as to know when to make corcession of itsown opinions. He did not say that it bowed to every breeze and instantly yielded to every demaid, nor did he think that any Legislative Upper, thamber should do so, and be content merely to reflect the tamper and complexion of the other branch.: On the contrary, hee held that when it had good and sulficient eridence, sufficient to satisfy itself: that a proposed measore wias unjost, it was bound to resivt, and public opinion whioh sungtain' came ont right in the end, would sustain it in such an atitude. . But there was very much less dangor in countries like this that differenceos opinion would even be as frequent befween tho Legsislative Chambers as betweea the Lords and Commons in England. and the reason wat clatar: our Legislative Conacilloris would not cotuo from so different. a clasy of society to the guneral population, as the peers of the British hation, compared with the people of thiat natign.: The Clords bad ideas of caste and privileges which none of our peopet wery imbrued withy and the comuion. sympathy existing betmeen all classes heres would for filt equally by the Legislativo Councillors and the Members of the Aswombly: Boih would be equally subjected to popular intiucnces and be more or less controlled by them: The interests of the Legislative Councillor. thoagh a nominee of the troñ, would We the same as those of the mass, and the legisfatuon which would be good for them wrutdi as a goneral thing be good for him too. He winuld have no ancestral estates, privileten, immunitises and titles to protect. like the peers of Hingland. He would be affeoted by the social changos which affected athers, and would be moved by the same aima and aspiratious as his friends around him. This being the case, is was not very probable that his opinions would even be set in oppoaition to shuse of other men as to mako it lutaly that he would come in collision with them, or that, as a House, the Council would be in dapger of a serious quarrel with the Assembly. Then the changes which time would inevitably bring about in a body life the propoed liegie.
lative Council would be sufficiently great to prevent the possibility of a continued antagonism between it and the other branch, if unhap pily it should arise. The demise, the resignation and the loss of seat from other causes, would do this, and afford the Government of the day the opportunity of so reconstructing the House as to bring it more in harmony with public sentiment: He did not say it was desirable that at all times the Legislative Council should be a refleotion of such opinion, though it was, of course, desirable that it should not continue riolently to shock it:" He would have that House conservative, calun, considerate sind watchful, to prevent the enactment of measures which, in its deliberate judg ment, were not calculated to adrance the common weal, Any more rapid changes in the composition of the House than those he no indicated, he did not coosider wholesone or desirable: From the history of the present Chamber for the layt few years, it would be seen that such changes, whether among the life menters, or the elected urembers, were much more frequent than might bo generally. supposed. . According to the present elective system tyelve members went out and twelve cauie in eyrery second year: Supposing that i collision had taken place betwex the two bráiches of the Legislaturi; and that it was dosirable to bring the Council more in accord with the representatives of the people, vader this systen, the same members might be returned, not becituse of the soundness of their political opinions on the topic which had brought about the collision, perhaps without the slightest reference to it, but from their position and their exertions Ono might come bact because he was a wealthy man and had a social position. which gave him a largo infuence; another because te was an able canvasser and well rersed in election tacticm, and othere from causery equally, removed trom the political question upon which the two Houset of Parhament were in antagonism. But suppose the twelve seats mere at the disposal of the Government, and that an irreconcluable differ: ence had existed between the two Houses, would they not have the opportunity of ro dress at once and thoroughly by bringing in twelve nuembers who would harmonise better in opinion with them and the country? Undoubtedly. Well, within eight years the changes ationg the life-members had been as follows :- Why the Huaso mas mado electivo, there were 40 such members in is ; two yoars afterwurde, at the call of the House, the inumber was found reduced to 31 ; tro yeara after
that again to 26 ; in tro years more, to 24 ; and to-day to 21; of which 21, one honorable member was now seriously indisposed. In eight years, then, the number had been diminished by half. Then changes nearly as great had. occurred among the elected members. There had been 24 remorals and changes by death and otherwise anong these 48; and it should be remembered, that as the olected membens came in by twelves, two years apart, the average time had been only four years. This was sufficient to show the opportunity which, even among rounger men than the life members, the Goverament would have of keeping the House in accord with the true interejts: of the country or of overepming any unfortanate misunderstanding between the two branches: [The honorable member here went minutely, into a statenent of the ohanges effected by death, acceptarice of ofice, and defeat at elections, striong this class of members. which, however, we do not deein it nece sary to specify.] "These changer bad cer tainly altered. to mome extent, tho com plexion of the House, and the future rould, no doubt, be like the past in this respeet The Conference had taken all these thing intococosideration, and wisely concluded, at he believed, that while the chanees of collisiou were uuch less under the nominative system. the opportunity of restoring harmonions action was intinitely thore prompe sud efees tive, and that there was no such danger of collisont betiveen the two brancter of the General Legisiature, as to make it a bart wo the principle of nomination, which priacipto, in their judiguent, offered the compensating advantage he had endedavored; in the eartier part of his observations, to point out. He sincerdy hoped the House would concur in the views he had expressed, and would aceept the measure now beford them, as one which: to believed calculated to promote the best interests of this country and tho other provinces, and to hand down to poaterity a eonstitutiun analugous, as nearly as ainghe bo, to that of the eupire under whose protection wo had the happiness to live-a Constitution cal. culated further, as te was fully enonvineed, to perpetuate the congeetion between these colonies and that mighty nation, to the inutal benefit of both. (Hear, hear, and spplicises)

Hon. Ma VIDAL here inquired from the honorable member why it was that the eeloction of Leisilative Councillors from Lower Cainada, in thie Confederation seheme, was to bo left to tho Local Goreroment' of that getion of the province, while no suich provision asized with
respect to IVpper Canara or the Lower Pro vinces.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL said it was out of deference to the interests of the British Cana: dians of Lower Canada, who had sone fear that they might not be sufficiently protected otherwise.
Hon. Mr. RYAN objected to this mode of selection, as calculated to perpetuate differences of nationality and creed; and thought it would be better to leave the selection unconditionally to the Crown.
[After this a number of questions" were put to Hon. Mr. Caypbetl upon various points of detail and 3 cross Gire was kept ap from both sides of the House, which made it next to impossible to keep track of the prucedinys.

Among the questions asked was one an to. whether the local goveriments should be con: stituted before the Constitution of the Confederation became law: The resolution concerning this point seemed involved and contradictory as it supposed some part of the plan to be in force; which depended apon the action of local goveraments nut the uselyes in existence:]

Hox MR CAMPBELL promised to give in explanatiou at the next siftung of the Tlowese:

Hon. Ma. SANBURN then addressed the: Hiouse for a few minutes, it being then nearly is uctock. He did not declare himaself direety opposed to the sehemo: as a whole, but believel. that the abandonment of the elective prineiptr. in rospeet of the leghislative Council was a step $p$ backward and an unwise one. He quited as ${ }^{3}$ prove the opinion of the Premier of the Governinent expressed two years ago, and thutight it sot a little strange he should "wo radily have changed his view-
Cries of sis ocloek:
Purther debate was then puiponed until the urotrow, and the House immediately:
afterwards adjouried afterwards adjourned.

## LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY:

## Monday, February 6, $156 j^{3}$.

"Artuener Geveral macbonald moved, is That an humble Address be presented to Her Majesty, praying that Sho may be graciously pleased to cesuse a measnre to be aubmitted to the Imperial Parlia. meat, for the purpose of uniting the Colonies of Caionda, Nova Scocia; Nem Branswick, Newfoundland, and Priace Edmard Island,
in one (fogvernuent, with provisions based on certain Revolutions, which were adopted at a. Couference of Delegates from the said Uolonies, held at the city of Quebec, on the 10th Getnber, 1864:"* He said :- $\mathrm{Mr}_{\text {. }}$ Speaker, in fulfilinent of the promise made by the Government to Parliament at its last session, I have unoved this resolution I have had the honor of being charged, on behalf ot the Government, to submit a scheme for the Cunfederation of all the British North Aucrican Provinces - a scheme which has been receired Ina glad to say, with general, if not uviversal, approbativi in Canada. The scheme, as propounded throogh the prets has received alnost no opposition. While there may be occasionally, bere and there, expressions of dissent from some of the details, yet the scheme as a whole has met with a huost universal approval, and the Covernment has the greatest satisfaction in previnting it to this House.. This stibject, Which nw absorts the attention of the people of Canada, and of the whole of British Forth America, is not a new one. For gears it has more or less attracted the attiontiun of every stateyman and pultitician in these prov. fites, and has been looked upon by many frir-sceing politicians as being eventually the - means of deciding and wettling very many of the rexed questions which have retarded the provperity of the colonies: as a whole, and partirularly the prosperity of Canada. The subjict was presed upon the public attontions by a preat many writers and politiciand, bui 1 bethere the attention of the Legislature was brst firmally called to it by my henorable triete the Minister of Finance. Sune years a, is, ia an elaborate upeech, my hisi, friend, while an independent member uf Parliament, before being conneeted with any Governincint, pressed his views on the Legislature at freat length nud eith his asual foree. But the subject was not taken up by any parts us a branch of their poliey, until the formation of the Cartier.MACnovaly Administration in 1858, when the Contederation of the colontes was announced as one uf the measires which they pledged thenselyes to attewipt, if possible, to bring toa satisfactory conclusion. 'In parsuance of that promise, the letter or despateh, which has been so mach and so freely commented: upon in the press and in this House, was addressed by three of the members of that Administration to the Colonial Office. The
subject, however, though looked upon with favor by the country, and though there were no distucet expressions of opposition to it from any party, did nut begiu to assume its present proportijus until last sessiun. I I hen, meu of all parties and all shades of politics becane alarmed at the aspect of deffairs. They funud that such was the "oppusition between the two sections of the province, such was the daniver ot impending ánarchy, in cousequence of the irreconcilable differences ut opminu, with respect to representation "by pupulatien, between ' Cpper and Lower Canada, that unles's some solution of the dificulty was arrived at, we would suffer uader a suecession of Weak guvernments,Weak in numerical support, weak in furce, and weak to power ot doing good. All were clarmed at this state of aftairs. We bad electiva ater eivetion,-we had mini-try alter unaistry, "Wrath the game result: Partiey were so equally balanced, that the rote of oue meniber tuight decide the fate of the Adomaistration, and the course of leqishation for a suar ur a serres of yeary. This coudition ot thingo wan will calculated to arouse the earuest cuspderaton af every lover of his cuuatry, and a an happy to say it had that eftect. None wore mite impressed by thes momentuas state of affars, and whe grave aprehensiouls that exsted of a state of a warelay acthuybour credit. destroying our property. destrusigy our progresh, than were the nembery ut thi, preserit House; and the leadug-" tatesuied" ot: "both stdes seemed to have couie" to the common conclusion, that wime step wast be taked to reheve the "country. trum the dead luck ana impendag anarcoy that hang oyer us. With that view, my eolleaghe, the Presi: deat of the Councal, made a mintion founded on the despatch aduressed to the Colonial Minister, to whelh I have referred, and's commithe wasorruck, coupposed ut genthater of beth sides ot the Hiouse, ot all shades of political upinion," without anty refieresec to whether they were suppuriters of the Adariaastration of the day or beluaged to the Oppositio, fur the purpuse of takiog into calm and full deliberativi the evils whech threatened thé tuture of Canada. That motion of my houorable trexid "resulted uiust happily. The committec, by a wisa provista, -ad ia order that each "tuember of the "coumitteo might have an opportunity of expreasing his oparions without being un ày way compro mised befure the public, or with his party, in
regard either to his political friends or to his political foes,-agreed that the discuission should be freely enteved upon without reference to the political antecedenta of ; any of them, and that they should sit with clused doors, so that they might beable to approach: the sabject frankly and in a spirit of cumpromise. The committee included mosit of the leading members of the House- - I had the honor myself to be one ot the number- -and the result was that there was found anar lent dexire-a creditable desire, I mint say-diaplayed by all the members of the comaittee to approach the subject honestly, and to at tempt to work out sowe solution which might relieve Canada from the evils under which she labored. The report of that committee Fas lail before the House, and then caue the - political action of the leadng inen of the two parties in this House, whet enden in the forimation of the present dozerimint. The priaciple upon which that governinens was furmed has been announced, and is kuowa to all. It was formed for efie very parpose of carrying out the object which has now received to a certain degrec its costupletiona, by the resolutions I hare had the buat to place in your hands. As has beea stated, it was not. without a great deal of duticulty and felluet. ance that that (lovernaient was formet. The geatemen who compose this Governarnt had for maoy years been engaged in peritical husthities to such an extent that fo uftected even their sucial relations. But the crivis was great, the danger mas imannent, abil the seatencu who now form the presear strinaistratun fund it tu be their duty to lay astod all persunal teeliugs, to sacrifice in some degree their position, aid even tev" run the risk of haviag their mutige tupugned, for the sake of arriving at.gotise conclusion that would be satisfactory ta the country th general. The preseat resolutions were the result. And, as I said befige, I am proudto believe that the country has sauctivaded; as I trust that the representatires of the people in this tiouse wh sanctive, the schome which is now ubheritted for the future govarnment of Britidi North America. (Checry). Everyihngsemmedto favar tho project, and everythion sermied to shew that the preaent was the 'ume, if ever, when this great union between all Her Majes. ty"u subjecto dwelling iú British North Amerca, should be carried out. (Hear', bear.) When the Government was furued, it was telt that the dificulties in the way of effecting a quion berwedn all the British North Amer-
ican Colonies were great-so great as almost, in the opinion of many, to make it hopeless. And with that riev it was the policy of the Government if they could not succeed in procuring a unim between all the British North American Colonies, to atteript to frea, the couistry from the dead-lock in which we were placed ind pper and Liower Canada, in consequence of the difference of opinion between the two sections, by having a severance to a certain extent of the present union between the two provinees of Upper and Lower Canada, and the substitution of a Federal Union between them. Most of us, however, I may say, all of us were agred-and I believe every thinkidg wai will agree-as to the expedieney' of effecting $x$ union between all the prot rinces, apd the superiority of such a design, if it werit onty practicable, over the smaller sch ima of haviug a Federal Uuion between tpprand lowerchanada alone. By a happy onnurreace of event, the time came when that properition coull be made with a hope of success, - By a fortunate coincidence the de sitefor añinuyisted in the lower Proviaces; mid a ferting of the necessity of streagthening. themorlves by collecting together the scat tired wolonies on the seaboard, hal induced them tor forma coavention of their owa for the purpose af effecting i union of the Maritume Protinces of Nova Scotia; Neio Bruas: 'wick and Prinee BUward Island, the legislatured of thuse citunies having formally authorized their tespective goveramonts to send a defagtion to Rriace Edrard Ioland for the purpose of atempting to form a union of some Hind. Whether the uniot should be tederal or te gistative was aue then indicated; but a unton of wine kinil was sought for the purperat of makits of the malves one people instead of thrie. We, aseertaining that they wefe about to tale such a stepi and knowing thit if we alluwed thio oceasion to pass, if they "disiadead break up all their present political urgaization and form a new one it could uoc be expeeted that they would again readily destroy the nuw orgaiziation which they had Sormed, the tuiton of the three proviaces, on the seaboril, and borman anther with Cas. ada. Kaswing this, we arailed ourselves of the rppartunity, aid asked if thoy woold jreceive a deputation from Canada, who would go to midet the tur at "hatlotietown, for the purpoze of layity betiore them the advantages of a dar ar and more exteasive union, by the junctivn of all the provinẹes in one great government uader var common Sovereign. They
att once kindly consented to receive and hear us." They did receive us cordially and generously, and asked us to lay our views béfore them. Wedid so at some length;and so satisfactory to them were the reasons we gave ; so clearly, in their opinion, did we shew the advantages of the greater union over the lesser, that they at once set aside their own project, and joined heart and hand with os in entering into the larger scheme, and trying to form, as far as they und we could, a great nation and a strong government. (Cheers.) "Eacouraged by this arrangèment, which, however, was altogether unofficial and unauthorized, we re: turned to Quebec, and then the Goveriment of Canada invited the several governments of the sister colonies to send a deputation here from each of them for the purpose of considering the question; with something like anthority from their respective goveraments. The result was, that when we met here on the 10 th of October on the first day on which we assecubled after the full and free discuysions which had taken place at 1 harlotetown, the first' resolutiou now before this. House was passed unanimously, being received with acclamation as, in the opinion of every one who heard it, a proposition which ought to receive, and wuld receive, the sanction of each gorernment and each people: : The resolution is, - That the best interests aud preseat and fucure prosperity of British North America will be promioted by a Federal Union under the Crown of Great Britain, provided such. uniou can be effected op principles just to the several proviaces." It seemed to all the statesmen asowmbled-and there are great statesuea in the Lower Provinces, men who would do honor io any governmerit and to any legislatare of any freo country eijoying representative inattituions-it was clear to theta atl thiat the best interests and preseat and future prosperity of British North Atmerica would bo promoted by a Federal Uaion under. the Crown of Great britain. "And it gecens to me, as to thein, and $I$ think it will so appear to the people of this country, that, if ive wish to be a great people; if we wish to form-using the expression which was sueered at the other evenius-a great nationality, commanding the respect of the world, able to hold our own ayaiust all opponents, and to defend those inst tatious we prize: if we wish to have one system of goverument, and to establish a comnuereial noion, with unfestricted free trado, betweel people of the five provinces, belong: ing, as thog do, to the same nation, oboying
the same Sovereign, owning the same allegiance, and being for the most part, of the same blood and lineage": if we wish to be ablo to affird to each other the means of mutual defence and support against aggressiou and attack-this can only be obtained by a union of some kind betwecu thescattered aud weak boundaries composing the British North American Provinces. (Checrs): The very mention of the scheme is fitted to briag with it its own approbation. Supposing that in the spring of the year 1865, halld milliun ot peoph: were coming from the United Kiagdum to make Canada their heme, "although they" brought only their strong arms and willing hearts ; though they brought deither skill not experience nor wealth, would we nut receive them with open arms, and hail their presence in Canada as an important addition to jur strength? But whea, by the propused union, we not ouly get nearly a milliva of people to join us-when they contribute not only their numbers, their physical streagth; and their desire to benefi their position, but when we know that they consi-t of old established cousmunities, having a large amount of realized wealh, - cotuposed of peofle pussessed of skill, education add experience to the way of the New World-people who "are "as much Canadiana, I may say, is we arepeople who are imbued with the same tydians of luyalty so the Queen, and the same desire for the cuatinuance of the conaectila with the Mother Country as we are, and at the same time, have a like feelitig of ardeat at. tachnent for this, our coniain to tountry, for which they and we would alike fight and shed vur blood, if necessary. When all this is considered, argumetit is agedless to prove the advantage of such a anion. "(Hear hear.) There wereonly thre mudes, if I may ros tura tor a minment to the dificulties, with which Canada was surrounded,-only three modes that were at all gu*gented, by which thedead lock in our affaira, the anarehy we dreaded, and the evily which rutarded our prosperity, could be met or averited. Oue was the dissolution of the an'un betwe ar Upper and Lower Canada, leaviar them as they were betore the union of 1811 . I believe that chat propusition, by itself had no supporters.: it was tele by every one that, although it. was a course that would do away with the sectional difficulties which existed,-though it wouh retuve the pressure on the part of the people of Upper Canada for the represeutation based upors
population,-and the jealousy of the people of Lower Cansda lest theirinstitutions should be attacked and prejudiced by that priaciple in uir representation; yet it was felt by every thinking mai in the province that it would be a retrograde step, which would throw back the country to nearly the same position as it occupied before the union,that it would lower the credit enjoyed by Euited canad,--that it would be the break: iug up of the connection which bad existed for nearly a quarter of a century, and, under which, although it had not been completely succestui, and had not allayed altogether the luedl jealousies that had their root in circum. stances which arose before the union, our province, as a whole, had nevertheless prospered and iucreased. It was felt that a dismolutivi of the union would have destroyed all the credit that wo had gained by being a united pruvince, and would have left us two weak and ineffective governments, instead of oue puwerful and united people. (Hear, hear) The cext mode suggested, was the granting of representation by population. Nuw, we all kuow the tuanner in which that yuention was and is regarded by Lower Canada : that while in Épper Canada the desire aud cry for it was daily angmenting; the resistance to it in Lawer Cauada was proportionably inereasiog in struogth. Still, if. some such means of relieving us from the sectional joalousies which existed between the two Canadas, if some such solution of the dificulties as Confederation * had not beep found, the representation by population wust eventually bave been carried, bo magtier though it might have been fult in hower Cranda, ase beinga breach of the Treaty of linon, no matter how much it might have bees folt by the Lower Canadians that it would waerifice their tocal uteresta, it is certiin that in the progios of events represion. tation by populatiou wonld have beea car. ried ; anil, had it been carried - I + peak here my own individual sentiments-l" do uet think it wowid have beon for the inter: eat of Leper Canada. For though Upper Canada would hiave felt that it had reveived what it elaitued ay a right, and had sueveed in establishing its ight, yet it would have lert tho Lower Provicce with a suflen feelitug of injury and injustice. The Lower : amadians would uot have' wortsed cheerthlly under such a change ut system, bue wult have ceased to be what they are unw - a natipuality, with represcatalives
inParliament, governed by general principles, and dividing according to their political opinions - and would have been in great danger of becoming a faction, forgetful of national obligations, sad only actuated by a desire to defend their own sectional interests, their own laws, and their own institutions. (Hear, hear.) The third and only means of solution for our diffenlies was the junction of the provinces either in a Federal or a Legislative Cuion. Now, as regards the comparative advantages of a Legislative and a Federal Union, I have never hesitated to state' my own opinions." I have again and again stated in the House, that, if practicable, I thought a Legislative Uvioǹ would be preferable: (Hear, hear.) I have alrags contended that if we coula agree to have one government unid one parliament, legislating for the whole of these peoples, it would be the best; the oheapest, the most vigorous, and the stron wist syitein of governtient we could adopt. (Ilear, hear.) But, on looking at the subjove tie the Con: fereace, and discussing the matter ay we dill, most unreservedly, atd with a desire to arrive at a satisfactory conc'univit, we found that such a system was iupracticable. In the first place, it mould not meet the assent of the per ple of Lower Canada, becsuse they felt- that the their peculiar poition-being in a minotity, with a different lanajage, uationality and religion from the majority,in case of a juaction with the othor pro. vinees, their institutions and their laws might bo assailed, and their ancestral arsociations, on which they prided themedyes, atheted atd prejudiced; it was found that any proporition which involved tha abtory tion of the individuality of Lower Canadaif Itmay ase the expression-would a"t be received with favor by has people." $W_{\text {. }}$ found too, that though their people specal the sauc language and enjoy the same sy: tem of law as the peuple of C'pper (Ganala. aby teu founded on tho vomanos laiv of Eag. land, there was as great a disinelination on the part of the various Maritime Provinces' $t$, fuse their iodividuality, as separate political organizations, wo wobserved in the vase of Lower Cavaila herself. (Hear, hear:? There fore, we mere forsed to the cotchusive that we must cither abandua ihe idea of Uaiva altoguther; or devise a system of union ia which the eeparate provincial organizations would be in pome degreo preserved. Su that thuse who were, like myself, in tayur of a Legialitivo Unioa, were obliged to modify
their views and accept the project of a Federal Union as the only scheme practicable, even for the Maritime Provinces. Because, although the law of those provinces is founded on the common law of England, yet every one of them has a large aunourt of law of its own - colonial law framed by itself, and affecting every relation of lite, such as the laws of propertys manicipal and assessment lave'; laws relating to the liberty of the subject, and to all the great interests contemplate 1 'in legislation; we found, in short, that the statagory law of the differant provinces was so varied and diversified that it was anost impossible to weld them into a Legislative" Union at once. Why, sir, if you unly consider the innumerable subjects of legislation peculiar to new countries, and that every out of those five colonies had particular laws of its own, to which its people have been accustóned and are attached, you will soe the difficulty of effecting and working a Legislative Union, and bringing about an asslmilation of the local as well as general laws of the whole of the proviaces. (Hear, hear.) We iu Upper Canada understand from the nature and operation of our peculiar municipal law; of which we biow the value, the dithculty of framing goneral system of legislation on local matters which would ueet the wishes and fulfil the requirementis of the sev* eral provinces. Even the laws considered the teast importand, respecting private rights in timber, ruads, fencing; and innumeralle other mattery, smallin themselves, but it the aggrogate of great interest to the agricultural clais, who form the great body of the people, are regarded an of great value by the portion: of the community affected by them. And when we consider that every oue of the cutuaies has a body of law of this kiud, and that it will take yeare betore those laws cau be aximilated, it was tolt that at first, at all events, a ny united legislation would bealmost tuppossible I au happy to state-and indeed it appears on the face of the resolutions themselves - that as regards the Lower. Provinces, a great desire was evinced tior the final assituilition of our laves; Oue of the resolutious pruvides that an attem:t shall by made to assimilate the laws of the Maritima Pravinces and those of Upper Caniada, for the purpose of eventually establishing one body of statutory law, founded on the conimon lay of England, the parent of. the tave of all those provinces. One great obi-
jection made to a Federal Union was the expènse of an increased number of legislatures. $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{o}}$ will not enter at any length into that subject, because my honorable friends, the Finance Minister and the President of the Council, who are infinitely more competent than myself to deal with matters of this kind matters of account-will, I think, be able to show that the expenses undera Federal Caion will not be greater than those under the existing system of separategovernatents and legislatures. Here, where whave ajoint levishature for Upperand Lower Canada, whith deals not "only with subjects of a general interest common'to all Canada but with ull matters of private right and of sectional interest, and with "that class of meeas ures knowa as" private billo"." we find that -one of the greatest sources 'of' expense to the country/ is the 'cost of legislation. We find, frum theciadmix. ture of subjects of a general with those of a private character sin legislation, tiat they matually interfere with each other: whereas, if the attention of the Legishature was eonfined to measures of: oue kind or the otheralone, the session of Parliament would not be so protracted and therefore not se expensive as at present. Iu the propused Constitution all maters of wineral uterest. are to bo dealt with by ther Gencrall Le golature; while the locily tes hatures will deal with mattersy of logit interest, whicho do not affect "the Cunfeleration as 'a whole, butare of the reatest imporinace the th particular sections. By sude a division of labor the sittings of thy General Legislature would not be so protaced as even thous of Canda alone. Sidd on with the local legislatures, their attention beings conGined to subjects pertaining the their", wa sections, their sessions wuld be shurter and less expeasive: Then, when we consider the eqormous saving that will bo effected in the administration of affary by one Gederal Governmeat - when we reltet that exch of the five colonies have a government of its own with a mplete establishment of public départ .-nts and all the machiaery required for a transaction, of tha basi. uss of the country-that each have a separare uxeutive, judicial and militia systemthat each province has a separate mitaistry, including a Minister of Militia, with a complete Adjutant General's Departinentthat each have a liuance Minister, with a -full Customs and Excise ataff-that each Colony has as large and complete an adminis.
trative organization, with as many Executive offeers as the General Government will have -we can well understand the enormous gaving that will result from a union of all the colenies, from their having but one head Rad one central system. We; in Canada, already know something of the adyantages and : disadrantages of a Federal Uniona. Alhuygh we hare nominally a Legislative. Uniou in Canada-although wo sit in one Parliament, supposed constitutionally tò represent the people wittsout regard to sections or localities, yet we know, as a mater of fact; that since the union in 1841, wo have had a Federal Enion; that in matters afeecting Epper cuada solyt, menbers from that section claimed and henerally exercised the right of exclusive tegislation; while meabers trum" ter "anada legishated in matters affecting only e their pra' section. We have had a Eederal ( vivn in tam thougt. a Legislative Cuign in nane a and in the hut contests of late yeara, if on any vecasion a measure affecting any ond sectiva were interfered with by tho menbers from the uther-if, for " inatance, a measure locally attecting Tpper 1'anada were cartied or defoted azainst the wishes of tits majurity, by one from Lower Cinada,-iny huorable friend the Presildent: wh the Council, and his friends deasunced, with all their eaurgy and ability such legistation as iufringement of the righty of the "pper Proviace. (Hear, hear, aud gheery). Just in the sama way, if any art conceruing Lowor "Cama were pressed into law against the wishes of the majority of her represcatatives; by those from Upper lanada the lower Cauadians yould 'rise ajobe madand protest agitast such a vilation of their peculia rights. (Hear hear.) The relations betiveen Eúshod and soutand are vary himilar tee that which ob: catus betwecu the Candis. The agion bex incen them, in "mattery" of bighlatuon, is of a federal charater becäus the Act of Union berween the two cinatries provides that the Soptish Law sadu o be altered, except fur the manitest adrantage of the poople of Scotland. This otipulaton' has beea held to bo , no
 tain, that as measury theotiog the law of scollnad is passed ualeses it receives the andotion of a majurity of the Sestish mem:
 ant it may be for the iaterests of the onepire as a whole to alker the laws of Scotland -no
matter how much it may interfere with the symmetry of the general law of tho United Kingdom, that las is not altered, except with the consent of the Scottish people, as expressed by their representatives in Parliament." (Hear, hear:) Thus, we have, in Great Britain, to a limited extent, an example of the working and effects of a Federal Union, as we might expect to witness them in our own Conifederation. The whole. scheme of Confederation, as propounded by the Conference, as agreed to and sanctioned by the Canadian Government, and as now presented tor the consideration of the people, and the Legislatare, bears' upon ite face the marks of comprowise: Of vecessity there must have been a great deal of mutual con-: cessipn. When we think of the representatives of tive colonies, all supposed"to have different interesti, meeting together, charged with the duty of protecting those interests and of pressing the views of their own locali. ries and sections, it mast be admitited that had we not met in a spirit of conciliation, and with añ anxious desire to promote this union; if we bad not beea impressed, with the idea contained in the words of the resolution$\because$ That the bent interests and present and fa. ture prosperity of British North America woald be promoted by a Federal C nion ander the Urown of Great Brithin,"-all our eforts might have proved to be of no arail. If We liad aut fole that, after coming to this conclusion, we were bound to set aside our privafe opinions on mateers of detall, if wo had and felt ourselves bound to look' at what was practicabie, aut obetinately rejectiag the opiaions df others aür adhoriag to our own; if we had not met, I say, in a spirit of coucili? ation;' and with an amxious overruliug de: sire to form one peoplo ander one goveriment, we never would bave sacceoded. "With these. views, we prens the question on this Houst. and the convitry. I say to this House, if you do not believe thatethe union of the colonites is tur the advantage of the country, that the joining of these five peoples into one nation, under onesovereiga, is for the bencitit of all, then rejegt the scheme. Hejeet it it you do not believe it to be for the prevent advantage and future prosperity of yourselves and your childrea." But if, after a cala and full con. sideration of this soheme, it is betieved, as a whole, to be for the adrantage of this pro-vinco-if tho House and conatry believe this union to be one which will ensure for us Bri. tish lawn, British connection, and British
freedom-and increase and develope the social, political and material prosperity of the country, then I implore this House and the country to lay aside all prejudices, and accept the scheme which we offer. I ask this House to meet the question in the same spirit in which the delegates met it. I avk each member of this House to lay aside his own opinions as to particulardetails, and to accept the scheme as a whole if he think it beneficial as a whole. As I stated in the preliminary discussion; we must consider this'scheme in the light of a treaty. By a happy coincidence of circumstances, just when an Administration had been. formed in Canada for the purpose of attempting a solation of the difficulties under which we laboured, at the same time the Lower Provinces, actuated by a similar feeling, appointted a Conference with a view to a union amọ̀g themselves, without being cognizant of the position the governuent was taking in Cauada:. If it had not been for this fortunate coincidence of orents, cever, perhaps, for a long series of years wuld tre have been able to bring this scheme to a practical conclasion: But we did zuceed. We made the arrangemedx, agred upon the scheme, and the deputativas from the several goveraments reprö-: sented at the Conference went back pledged to day it before their givernments, and to ask the legislatures and people of their respective prothices to assent to it. I trust the soheme will bo assented to as a whole. I apisure this House will not seek to alter it in its udimportant details; and, if altered in aniy iut purtunt provinious; the result must be that the whole will be set awide, and we must begin de noto. If any importan't ohanges. are made, every one of the colonies will feel itself absolved from the tuptied obligation to deal with it at a Treaty, each province will feel itself at liberty to amend it ad libitum so as to suit its own views and iuterests; in fact, tho whole of vur libours will have been for nought, and we will have to reaem our aegotiativay with all the colunies for the purpuse of ditablishing sume new scheme: Thope the Huuse will not adopt any such a cuarse as will pontone, perbaps for ever, or at all oventa fur a long period, all chances of uniou. All the statesimen and public men who have written or spokea on the sabjeet admit the advaniager of a union, if it were practicable: and now when it is proved to be practicable, if wo do not em: brace this opportunity the present favorable time will pass away, and we mas never.
have it azain. Because, just so surely as this scheme is defeated, will be revived the original proposition for a union of the Maritime Provinces, irrespective of Canada, they will not remain as they are now, powerless, scattered, helpless communities; they will form themselves into a power, which, though not so strong as if anited with Canada, will, nevertbeless, be a powerful and:considerable community, and it will be then too late for us to attempt to strengthen ourselves ty this scheme, which, in the words of the resolution, "is for the best interests, and present and future prosperity of British North A nierica." If we are bot blind to our present position; We must see the hazardons situation in which all the great interests of Canada stand in respect to the United States. "I am no alirmist." I do not believe in the prospect of imnediate war. I believe that the cotamon sense of the two nations will prevent a war ; still we cannot trust to probabilities. The Goverunentand Legislature would be want. ing in their duty to the people it they ran any risk: We know that the United States at this moment are engaged in a war of erorainuy dimensions-that the oceasion of a war with Cireat Britain has again and agaiy arisen, and anay at any time in the feture again arise We cannot foresee what may be the" rivult; we cannot say but that the two uati no may drife into a war as bther nations have dune betore. It would then be too late when war hat commenced to think of measures for streng thening ourselves, or to begin aegociationa for a union with the sister provinees. At this mowent, in consequence if the ill-feeling which has arisen between England and the United Stateais feeling of which Canada was not the canse - in coanequence of the irritation which nuw exists, owne to the unhappy state of affairs on' this continent, the Reciprocity Treay; it seems probable; is aboout to be brought to an eal-oar trade is hampered by the pasport aysten; and at any moment we tuay be deprived of permissiun to carry our godeds through United States clianaely-the bonded goods syntern may be done away with, and the winter trade through the Enited States put, an end to. Our merchants may be ubliged to return to the old system of bringing in during the sammer niouths the sapplies for the white yinir. Oaryelves already threatened, our rade interrupted, our intercourse, political aud commercial, destroyed, if we do not take warning uem when we have the op-
portunity, and while one avenue is threatened tu be closed, open another by taking advantage of the present arrangement and the desire of the Lower Provinues to draw closer the alliance between us, we way suffer commercial and political disadrañtgaes it may take ling for us to overcome. The Conference having cone to the conclusion that a Jegislative union, pure and simple, was impracticable, our nest attempt was to torm a government upon federal principles, which would inive to the General Government the strength of a Figislative and administrative union, while at the same time it preserved that liberty of action for the diffrent seotion's which is allowed by a Federal Union. And I am'strong in the belief - that wo have hit upon the happy medium in those resthuthos, and that we have formed a setheme. of government which unites the adrantages of both, giving as the strungth of a legislstive union and the sectional freedon of a federal unton, with protection to lucal intereats. In duing so we bad the advantage of the exprimence of the Unired States. It is the la hion no to enlarge on the defects of the Comatitution of the Uuited States, but il am not one ot there whe look upon it a a thilure Hear, hrar). (thint and betieve that it it one of the nust skillin! morks which huma intelige, ese ever created; is bne of the most prrtect organizations that ever zurtued a free prople: To may that it has sonie detects ise but to say that it is not the work of Opmiscieach, but of human intellect, Weare happily situated in haviag had the opportunity of wawhing its operatiot, ming itsworking from iciolinancy till now: It was in the main fortired on the model of the Congtitution of Great Britain, adxpted to the circumstances of a now copotry a ad was perhaps the only practicable ayetem that could have been adopted undor che circumstances existing at the time of ito formation: We can now take advantage of the experience of tho last seventy-eight years, during which that Constitution has existed, and $I$ ain strungly of the belief that wo bave, in at irreat' yreasare, avoided in this "system which we prupest for the adoption of the people of C'unada, the "defects which time and events have showin to exist in the Aucerjean Constitution. In the first place, by a redulution which meets with the universal dpproval of the people of this country, we. have provided that for all time to como, 80 far as we can legislate for tho fiture, we
shall have as the head of the executive pow. er, the Sovereign of Great Britain. . (Ifear, hear.). No one can Jook into faturity and say what mill be the Uestiny of this country. Changes come over nations and peoples in the course of ages. But, so far as we can legislate, we provide that, for all time to como, the Sovereiga of Great Britain shall be the Sovereign of British North Anerica By adbering to the monarehical principle, we avoid one defect inherent in the constitntion of the United States. By the clection of the President by a majority and for a short period, he never is the sovereign and chief of the pation. Ho is never looked up to by the whole people as the head and front of the nation. Ho is at best but the successfol leader of a party. This defect is all the greater on account of the practice of ro-election. Daring his first term of office. ho is employed in taking steps to secure his own re-election, and for his party a contina: ance of power. We avoid the by adhering to tho monarehical priveiple-tho Soverrion who y you respect and love. 1 believe that it is of the utmost importanee to have that principle recognized; so that we shall have a Sovereiga who is placed above the region if party-to whou all parties look up-who is not elevated by the nction of one party nor depressed by the action of anuther, who is the common head and sovereiga of all... (Hear, hear and cheers:) Ia the Constitation tre propose to continue the systera of Responsible Gorvornment, which bas existed in this prorince sinco 1841, and which has long obtained in stie Mother Country. This is a foature of our Constitutisn as we have it now, and ass wo shall have "t in the Lederation, in which, I think, we aroid one of the great defeets in the Constisution of the United States. There the Presideat, during his term of office, is in a great measure a despot; a one-man power, with the command of the naval and military forcesWith an immense amoust of patronage as head of tho bixecative, and with the reto power ag a braneh of the legislature, perfectIy uncontrolled by responsible advisers, his cabinet being deparimental officiers mevely, whom he is not obliged by the Constitution to consult with; anless he chooses to do so. With ns the Sovereign, or in this country the Hepresentative of the Sovereign, can act only on the advice of his ministers, those ministery being responsible to the people through Parthament. Prior to the formation of the Amep
ican Union; as we all krow, the different states which entered into it were séparate colonies. They had no connection with each other further than that of having a common sovereign, juist as with us at present. Their oonstitutions and their laws were different. They might and did legislate against each other, and when thicy revolted against the Mother Country they acted as separate sovereignties, and carried on the war by a kind of treaty of alliance against the compun ene:my. Fver since the union was formed the difficulty of what is called "State Rights" has existed, and this had much to do in bringing on the present unhappy war in the United States. "They commenced, in fact, at the wrong end. They declared by their Constitution that each state was a sovereignty in itself; and that all the povers incident to a sovereignty belonged to oack "state, except thoso powirs which, by the Constitution, were conferred upon the General Gavernnent and Congress. Here we havs adopted a different bystem. We have strengthened the Guneral Government. We have given the Goneral Legislature all the great subjects of legiglation. We have conferred on then, not only specifically and in detail;'all the powers which are incident to sovoreigaty, but wo have expressly declared that all subjects of general interest not distinetly and exclasively conferred upen tho local goveromenta and local legislatures, shall be conferred upon the General Government and Legislature.-Wo have thas avoided that great source of weak. ness which has been the en use of the-disruption of the United States. We have avoided all conflict of jarisdiction and authority, and if this Constitution is carried out, as it will be in full detait in tho Imperial Act to be passed if the colunics adopt the scheme, we will have in fact, as I adid beforo, all the advantages of a legislative union under onde administration, with; at the samo time the guarantees !or local institutions and forlocal laws, which are insisted npon by so many in the provinees now, I hope, to be united. I think It is well that, in framing onr Conatitutionalthough ming honorablo friend the member. for Hochelaga (Hon. Mr. Dozion) speered at it the other day, in the discusaion on the Address in reply to tho epeech from the Throne-our first act should have been to recognize the sovereignty of Her Majesty. (Hear, hear.) I beliere that, while England has no desire to lose her colonies, but wishes to retain them, While I am eatisfied that the
public mind of Englat would deeply regret the loss of these proviaces-yet, it the people of British Nurth America after full deliberation had stated that they considered it was for their interest, for the advantage of the future of British North Dinerica to sever the tie, such is the generosity of the people of Eaghand, that, whatever their desire to keep these colunics, they would nut seek to compel us to remain unmilling subjects of the British Crown. If therefore, at the Conferenee, we had arrived at the cunclusion, thad it was for the intelest of licse pruviuces thata severance shoulitake place 1 ansure that ller Majeity and the Luperial P'orlinancut would have sanchoned that neverance Ne aceord: ingly felt that there was a propiety ingiving a distinct de lapation of opinion on that point, und that; in tranimg the Constitution, its first remtence shoud dectare, that - The Eixeca tive authority or goverument shall be vested in the Sovereiga of the ${ }^{\prime}$ nited Kingdom of Great Britita and Irelaud, and be admiaistered accordiay to thie well uaderstood priiueiples of the British Conistitution, Ly the Soverexign parsonally, or by the liepresentative of the Sovereign duly authorised." That resolution wet with the unanimgus assent of the Conference. The destre to remain con: vected with - ir reat Britain and tó retaim our allegiape to Her Majesty Was ubapimous. Not a sirgle suggestion was made, that id could, by any pussihility, be for the interest of the colunites or of any section ar portion of them, that there should be a severince of our convection. Nithough moknew it to be possible that Canada. from her pusition, might be exposed to all the horrory of war, by reason of causes of houstility arising .between Great 1 ritain and the Laited States $\therefore$ causes uver which we had to control, and which we had uo hand in bringing aboutyet there was a nnanimous feeling of willingnest run all the hazards of wary if war must eutire, rather than luge the connection between the Mother Conatry and these colouies. (Cheers.) We provide that The Esecutive authority shall be administered by the Soveregig porsonally, or by the Represedtative of the Sovereign daly authorized!." It is too nuch to expect that the Queen should vouchsafo us ber personal goveruance or preseace, except to pay us, ass the heir apparent of the Throues our future-Sovercign has already paid us, the graceful compliment of a visit:. The Executive authority must therefore be ad-
ministered by Her Majesty's Representative. We place no restriction on Her Majesty's prerogative in the selection of her representative. As it is now, so it will be if this Constitution is adopted. : The Suvereign has unrestricted free dom of choice . Whether in making luer selection she nay send us one of her own family, a Royal Prinee, as a Viceroy to rule over us, or one of the great statesuen of Eingland to represent her, we know not. Welcave that to Mer Majesty in all cont deace. But re may be permitted th hope, that when the union takes place and we become the great: country which Jritish Nuritr Ameries is edtain to be it will be an ulject worthy the ambition of the statesuep of Enghad to he charged with presiding over our destinies: (Hear, hear: Let ne pow invite the ntten. tivu ot the House to the prigisisions in the Constitution respecting the legislative power The sisth resulation says, "There shall be a general legislature or partiament for the federated proviaces, conupised of a Legislative Coancil and a Hoaye of Comthous: Th That resulution has been eavilled at in the Euglish press as if it oxcluded the Sorereign as a portion of the legishiure In one sense, that strictüre was just-be canse in strict constitutional language, the legislature of Kogland eonsists of Kiag. Lords and Commons. Bat; on the other hand, in orditany parlatiee wo speak of "the King and her Parlianent, or otho King summoution hit Parlizuent!? the threo estates-loordis spiritual, temporal Lords, and the Houne of Commes, and I ubserve that such a iwriter as Hallam ouce sionally-uses the word Parliament in that restricted secise. At best is is unerely a verbal eriticians: The legistature of Briliah North Ameries will be ; conapesed at King, Lords; anel Commons. The Lheis olative Council will stand in the sane relation so the homer. House," ay the House of Lords to tho Hoase of Cotumons in Englapd, haviog the same power of initiatiug all matters of legishationa, esce"phthe grantiog of money. As regards the Lower House, it may not appear to matier tuich. whether it is called the House of Commous or House of Asseribly:. It will lear what. ever name the Parlianisent of ka land may choose to give it, but ." Tho Hlouse of Commons" is the "nami we should profor, at sheming that it eepresents the Communs of

Cauada, in the same way that the English House of Commons represents the Commons of Figland, with the sane privileges, the same parliamentary usage, and the same parliamentary authority. In settling the constitution of the Lower Ilonse, that which peculiarly represents the people, it was agreed that the principle of representation based on population should be adopted, and the mode of xipplying that principle is fully developed in these resolutions. 'When I speak of representation by population, the Hoase will of course understand, that universal suffrage is not in any way sanctioned, or admitted by these resolutions, as the basis ou which the constitution of the popalar branch should rest." "In order to proteet local interests; "and to provent sectional jeatousiés, it was found requisite that the three great divisions into which British North America is separated, should be represented in the Upper House on the principle of equality. There are thre gryat seetions, haring different interests, in this proposed Confelcratien. We have Western Cunda, an agricultaral country far away from the sea, and having The largest population who have agricultural interests prin. cipally to guard, We bave Lower (Canada, with other and separate interests, and especially with institutions and laws which she jealously guaeds against absorption by any larger, uore numerous, or stronger power. Lad we have the Maritime Proviaces; hav: ling aloo different sedtional interests of their own, having, from their poyition, elasses and interests wifithire do not know in "Western Canadar Aecordingly, in the Upper Houso, -the centrolling aud regulatiog, but not the Enitiating, bramef (rait we know that here as in. Lugland, to the Lomin House will practically belong the imitiation of matters of great publie interest), in the House which tas the sober second-thought in logislation-it is provided that each of those great setious shall be represented equally by 24 meubers. The only exception so that condition of equality is in the case of Newfoumlland, which has an interest of its ovin, lying, as is dies, at the month of the great river St. Lawrence, and more conaceted, perhaps, with C'anada than with the Lowar Provinces. It has, comparatively speaking, no common interest with the other Maritime Provinces, but has seetional interests and sectional claims of its own to bo protected. It, therefore has been dealf with separately; and
is to have a scparate representation in the Upper House, thus varying from the equality established between the other sections.As may be well conceived, great difference of opinion at first existed as to the constitution of the Legislative Council. In Canada the elective principle prevailed; in the Lower Provinces; arith the excoption of l'rince Edward Island, the nominative prin. ciple was the rule. We found a general disinclination on the part of the Lower Frovinces to adopt the elective principle; indeed, I do not think there was a dissenting voice in the Conference against the adoption of the nominative principle, escept from Prigec Ediward Islaud. The delegates from New Brungwich, Nova Scotiaand Newf undland, as one man, were in favor of nomination by the C'rown. And nomination by the Crown is, of course the system which is most in accordance with the British Constitution. We resolved then, that the constitution of the: Upper House should be in accordance with the British system as nearly as circumstances would allow. "An hereditary Epper House is impracticable in this yount country. Here we have none of the eiements fer the formation of a landlord aristocracyno men of large, teritorial positions-no class separated frem the mass of the people. An hereditary body is altengether unsuited to our state of society, and would soon dwinde into nothing. The only mode of adapting the Foglish system to the Upper. House, is by conterring the power of appointinent on the Grown "(as the Enylish peers are appointed), but that the appointments should be for: life. The arguments for an elective Council aro unmerous and strong; and I ought to say so, as one of the Administration responsible for introducing the elective pripciple into Canada. (Hear, hear.) I hold that this principle has dot beeti a failure in Canada; but there wero cabser-which we did not take into consideration at the time-why it did not bo fully suceced in Canala as we had expeeted. One great eause was the enormons extent of the coistituencies and the immense labor which consequeritly devolved on those Who sought the suffrages of the people for election to the Council. For the sano reason the expense- (laughter)-the legitimate expense was so erpormous that men of standing is the country, eminently fitted for such a position, wore prevented from coming formard. At first, I admit, men of the first standing did come formard, but we
have seen that-in every succeeding election. in both Canadas there has been an inereasing disinelination, on the part of men of standing and political experience and weight in the country, to become candidates; "while, on the other hand, all the young men, the aetive politicians, those wh: have resolved to enbrace the life of a statesman, have sought eatrance to the Housc of Assembly, The nominative system io this country, was to a great extent successful, before the introduction of responsible zovernment: Then the Canadas were to a reatestent Crown colonies, and the upper Eriach of the legislature consisted of gentienien chosen from atnong the chief judicial and ect siastical diguitaries, the heads of departmetsts, and other men of the frst position in the equmtry. Those bodies commanded great respect from the eharacter, standing, and weight of the individuals com: posing them, but they had little sympathy with the people or their representatives, and collisions with the Lower House frcquantiy eccurred, especially iä lower Canada.' When responsible government was introduced, it becalue necessary for the Gorernor of the d.y to have a body of advisery who had the conficence of the House of Assembly: uhich coull make or unmake ministers as is chose The Lower Huase in effect puinted out who sliould be pominated to the Cepper House'; for the ministry, being depeadent altogether on the lowe branch"of the legislature for support, selected nuembers for the Upper House from anong their phlirical friends at the dictation of the House of Assembly. The Council-was becoping less and less a substantial check on the legislation of the Assembly; but under the system now rroposed, such will not be the case. No ministry can in future du what they have - ione in Canada befure,--sthey cannot, ivith the view of carryiag any messure, of of strengthening the party, attempt to overrule the independent opinion of the Upper House, by filling it with a number of its partisans ad political supporters. The provisiou in the Constitution, that the Legislative Council wall consist of a limited number of nembers -that each of the great sections shall appoiut. twenty four Members and no wore, will. prevent the Epper House from "Leing. swamped fruni time to tiato by the ministry of the day, for the purpose of carrying out their own schemes or pleasiag their partisans. The fact of the government. being
prevented from exceeding a limited number will preserve the independence of the Uppor House, and make it, "in reality, a separate and distinct chamber, having a legitimate and controlling inflacnce in the legislation of the country. Theobjection has been taken that in consequence of the Crown being deprived of the right of unlimited appointment, there is a chance of a dead lock arising between the two branches of the Iegislature; a chance that the Upper House being altogether independent of the Sorereign, of the Lower House, and of the advisers of the Crown, may net iadepen. dently, and so independently as to produce a dead lock: I do not asticipate any such result. In the first place we know that in Eingland it does oot arise. There would be no use of an Upper Honse, if it did oot exercive, when it thought proper, the right of opposing or ameading or postponing the legislation of the Lower House. It woud be of no value whaterer sicere it a mere chamber for registering the decrees of the Lówer House. It must be an independent House, having a frea action of its own, for it is only raluable as being a regulativg body; calmly considering the legislation initiated by the popular branch, and proventing aiby hasty or ill considered legislation whigh way come from that bedy, but it will vever set itself in opposition agaiast the deliberate and understood vishes of the people Einen the House of I'ords, which as an hereditar's body, is far mare independent than ooe appointed fur life can be, wheneyer it aseertains what is the calus; deliderate will of the people of Eingland, it yields, and negret ia niodera thies has there been, in fact or act, any attempt to overrule the decisions of that llouse by the appointuent of new peors, exception, perhaps, once in the reign of Queen Aiane. It is true that in 1832 such an intrease was threatenct in consequence of the reiterated refusal of the House of l'eers to pass the Reforin Bill. I have no doubt the threat wold have been carried iato efeet, if neceasary ; but every one, even the Ministry who advised that step, admitted that it would be a revolutiugary act, a breach of the Coustitution to do so, and it was because of the aecessity of preveating the hlowdy sevolution which hung over the land, if the Reform Bill had beca luager refused to the feople of Eagland, that they consented to 'the" bloodleso revolution of overriding th: indepeadent
opinion of the House of Lords on that question: : (Hear, hear.) Since that time it has never leen attempted,'and I am satisfed it will never be attempted again. Only a year or two ago the House of Lords rejected the Paper Duties Bill, and they acted quite constitutionally, according to the letter and as many think; according to the spirit of the Constitation in doing so, Yet when they found they had interffered with a subject which the people's house olaimed as belonging of right to themselves, the very noxt hession they abaudoned their position, not because they were convinced they hiad done wroug, but because they had ascertaived what was the deliberate voice of the representatives of the people on the spybject. In. this country, 'تैe must remenber, that the gentenien who will be selceted for the Legislative Council stand on a very different footing from the peers of Eogland. They have not like them any ancestral associations dir position derived from history. They have nut that direct ioflacnce on the people themvelves, or on the popular branch of the legis. luture, which the peers of Eiogland exercise, trim their great wealth, their vast territorial possessions, their numetous tenanitry ped that prestige vith which the exalied pesstion of their class for centurics han inrested thene: (Hear, hear.) The meubers of uur Upper Houme will be lite those of the Lower, men of the people, anid from the people. The man put into the Upper House is as much a man of the people the dy' ather, as tho day before his elevation. pringing froin the people, and one of them, the takes his seat in the Connell with all the syupathies and foelings' of a man of the people, aud when he returns home, at the cind of the ssussion; he mingles with them on equal terruis, and is infueneed by the samo. Trefluys and assuciations, and events, as Chues witich affect the mass around hituTid is it, then, to be supposed that the nembers of the upper brajech of the legista. ture will set the euselves deliberately at work th eppyou whit theg tnow to be the setted "piniuns: and wishes of the people of the arentry? They will not do it. There is ou fear of o dead loek between the two houses, There is sa infinitely greater chance of a dead luek betwech the two branehes of the ingielature, should the elfotive principle to adopted, thatin with à nominated chamber -chosen by the Crown, and having no mis. sion from the people. The members of th:

Upper Chamber would then come from the people as well as those of the Lower Honse, and should any difference ever arise between both branches, the former could sai to the members' of the popular branch-" We as much represent the feclings of the people as yourdo, and even more so; wo are not elceted from small localities and for a short poriod; you as a' body wère elected at a a particular time, when the public mind was running in a particular channèl: you were roturned to Parliament, not so much representing the general views of the country, on general questions, as upon the particular subjects which happened to crigage the minds of the people when they went to the polls: We bave as much right, or a better tight, than you to bo considered as representing the deliberate will of the people on gerieral questions, añd therefore we will not give way." (Hear, hear.) There is, I repeat; a greater danger of an irrecioncilable difference of opinion between the twa branches of the logislature, if the upper be elective, than if it holds its "commissyion from the Crown. Besides, it must be renienibered that an Upper House, the members of which are to be appointed for life, would uopt have the same quality of permanence as the House of Liords; our members would die; strangers' would sacceed ithein, whereas son succeeded father in the House of Lords. : Thus the changes in the menibership and stato of opiaion in' our Upper House would always be more rapid than in the House of Lords. To show hum speedily changes have occurred in the Úpper House, as regards life members, I will call the attention of the House to the following facts :-At the call of the Honse, ia February, 1856, forty-two lifénembers responded; two years afterwards, in 1838, oply thirty: tive answered to their names; in 186\% there wers only treaty-five life members left, and in is64, but twenty-onc. (Hear, hear.) This shows how speedily changes take' place in the life membership. Nut reiuarkible as this olange has been, it is not so great as that in regard to the elected members. Though the elective'priaciple ouly came into torco ia' 1856 , and although only twelve men were eleoted that year, nad tivelve pure every two yeara sinuco, tweaty four changes have already taken plave by the decease of members, by the acceptauco of oftice; and by resiguation. So it is quite eloar that, should there be on any question a differenes of opiaion between the Upper and Lower

Houses, the government of the day being obliged to have the confidence of the majority in the popular branch-would, for the purpose of bringing the former into accord and sympathy with the latter, fill up. any vacancies that might oceur, with men of the same political feelings and sympathies with the Government, and consequently with those of the miajority in the popular branch; and all the appointments of the Administration would be made with the object of paintaining the sympathy and harinuny between the two houset. (Hear, hear.) There is chis additional advantage to be expected from the limitation. To the T pper House is tio be contided the protection of sectiouad interets; thercfore is it that the three great divisions are there equally represented, for the purpose of defending such infere ty againgt the combinations of inajerities in the Assembly, It will, therefore, becoue the interest of each section to be represented by its very best men, and the members of the Adininistration who belong to each section will see that such men are chasin, in case of a vacancy in their section. For the same reason cach state of the Amerisam Union sends ite two bent men to represent ith interests in the Senate (Hear, hear.) It is provided in the constitution that in the tirst selections for the Council, regard hall be had to thoee who now held nimitar. positions in the different cotenies. This, it appears to me, is a wise provision. liall the provinces; except Priace EdWard, there are rentlemen, who hold commissions for the Upper House for hife. In Canada, there are a number who hold under that commission: but the majority of them, hold by a com: inission; not, perhaps, from a monarchical point: of view so honorable, because the Queen is the fountain of honor,--but ntill; as holding their "appointment from: the people, they may be considered as standing on a par with those who have Her Majesty's commission. There can be no reason suggested why those who have had experience in legislation, whether they hold their positions ig the election of the people or have received preferment from the Crown-there is no valid reason why those men should bo passed over, and new men sought for to form the Legislative Conncil of the Confederation. It is, therefore, provided that the selection shall be made from those gentlemen who are now members of tho upper branch of the Legislature in each of the eolonies, for seat,
in the Legislative Council of the General Legislature. The arrangement in this respect is somewhat similar to that by which Representative Peers are chosen from the Peers of Scotland and Ireland, to sit in the Parliament of the United Kingdom. In' like manner, the membery of the Legislative Council of the proposed Conlederation will be first selected frouit the existing Legislative Councils of the various "provinces. In the formation of the House of Commons, the principle of representation by population bas been provided for in a banner equally ingenious and siniple The introduction of this principtepriseated at first the appareot diticulty of a constantly increasing body until, with "the inereasing population, It would become in orvenienty and expensively larg. $\because$ But by alopting the represen: tation of hovir canala as a fixed standard -is the pivot on which the shole would turu-that rrovitice being the best suited for the purpere, on arement of the coumara tivaly nermavens character of its population, and frou its taving netter the largest nor Last number of inhabituts-we hare been enableil to overceme the ditieuty I have mentioned We have introduced the system af represeatation by population without the danger of an incogvenient increase in the nimber of representatives on the recurrence of each decennial period. The whele thitus is worked by a rimple rule ot three For instance, we have in I Pper Canada 1 , too, 0 mi of a population: in Lower Canadat, low, 0 ow Now, the proposition is simply this-if liowir Sanada, with its population of 1,10 ?, poo, has a right to 05 members, how many nembery should lepher Canaila have, with its larger population of $1,400,001$ ? The same rule applies to the other pruvinces-the propiortion is always observed an ithe principle of representation by population carriod out; while, at the sasue time, there will not be decentially an inconvenient increase in the numbers of the lower. Howse At the same time, there is a constitutional provisien that hereafter: it deemed alvisable, the total number of repremencitives may be increasnd from 114 , the namber fixed in the first instance. In that case, if an increase iss. made, Lower lanada is still to remain the pirot on whick the whole calculation will turn. If Lower Canada, inatead of sistyGive, shall have seventy mimbers, then the calculation will be, if Lover Clanada han seventy mombers, with such a population,
how many shall Upper Canada have with a larger population? I was in favor of a larger House than one hindred and ninety-four; but was overruled. I was perhaps singular in the opiaion, but I thought it would be riell to commence rith a larger representation in the lower branch. The argunients against this were, that, in the first place, it mould cause additional expense; in the next place, that in a new country like this, we could not get'a sufficient number of qualified men to be representatives. My reply was that the number is rapidly iucreasing' as we ituerease in "education and wealth; that: a larger field would be open to political anbition by haviug a larger body of represeatatives; that by having numerous and zmall. er constituencies, more people wruld be interested in the working of the untion, and that there would be a wider tield for selection for leaders of governuents añ'd leaders of parties. These are my individual sentioneats,-- hich, perhaps, I havo no right to express hirebut 1 was overruled, and we tixed on the number of one hundred and ninety four, which no one will say is large or exfensive, ithen it is esusidered that our present mumber in tanada alone is one hundred and thirty The differeace between one hundred and thirty and one hundred and ulaenty-tour io not jreat, considering the large increase that will be made to vur population when Confederation is carried into effect. White the principle of represcotation by population is adopted with respect to the popular brauch of the legislature, not a siggle mem. ber of the Coufereace, an I stated betore, not a siogle woi of the representitives of the - -iverutedit of of the opposition of any one whe the Luwer Provinete was in favor of :unversal sulfrage: Fivery one felt that in Whan rexpect the prine iple of the British Con. - tefution should he carried uat, and that class: "+3md property should be represeated as well sa numbers. In Inapreabic dificulties twould hare presented thecuselves if ne bad nttempt: of to settle now the qualititation for the clecture franchise. We havediffereat laws in each of the culuinies fixipy the qualification of eleethise for their own local legishatures; and we "therufore adopted a similar clause to that which is contained in the Canada Univn Act of Is M; via.; that all the lawe which affected ine qualitication of members and of voters, Which affected the appoitittueDt and conduct of returaing oficer's and the provedings at elections, as well as the trial of controverteil
elections in the separate provinces, should obtain in the first election to the Confederate Parliament, so that every man who has now a vote in his own provinge should continue to have a vote in choosing a representative to the first Federal Parliament. And it was left to the Parliament of the Confederation, as oue of their first duties, to consider and to settle by an act of their own the qualitication for the elective franchise; which would apply: to the arbole Confederation. In considcring the question of the duration of Parliament, we came to the conclasion to recommend a period of five jears. I was ia favor of a longer period: I thought that the duration of the lucal legislatares should not be shortened so as to be less than four years, as at prescut, and that the General Parliament should hare as long a duration ns that of the United Kinglom. I was willing to have gone to the extent of seven years; but a term of five years was preferred, and we had the example of the New Zcaland carefully considered, not only
locally, but by the lapperid locally, but by the Inperial Harliaments,and which gave the provinces of those islatds a gencral parliament with a duration of dre years. Int it tas a matter of little import. abe whatier five years or seven years was the term, the puwer of dissolution by the ('rown having been reserved. I find, on looking at the duration of parliaments since the aceessiou of lieorge III, to the Throne, that exeluding the present parliament, there have been seventeen parliaments, the average pe. riod ot whose existence has been about three years and a half; That average is lesx than the average duration of the parliaments: in Canada sineo the union, so that it was bot a mater of wuch importance whether we fixet upan five or sexco years as the perind of duration of our Ceneral parliament. if good deal of misappreheosion has arisen from the aecidental ounision ol some wordy from the:-the rewelution. It was thoughe that by it the loceal lepislatures were to have the power of arranging hereafier, and from time to time of geadjusting the differeat eonstituencjes and settling the size aud boupdaries of tise varions electoral districts: The mean. Ipry of the resolution is siarply this, that for the tirst Gencral Parliament, the arraugement of constituencies shall be made by the existiog local legislatures; that in Canada, tor instance, the preseat Canadian Parliament thall arrange what are to be the constitucnciess of Upper Canada, and to make such clianges as may be necessary in arranging
for the seventeen additional members given to it by the Constitution $;$ and that it may also, if it sees fit, alter the boundaries of the existing constituencies of Lower Canada. In short, this Parliament shall settle what shall be the different constituencies electing members to the first Federal Parliament. And so the other provinces, the legislatures of which will fix the linits of their several constituencies in the session in whici they adopt the new Constitation. Afterwards the local legislatures may alter their own eléctoral limits as they please, for their own local elections." But it would evidently be anproper to leave to the Local Legislature the power to alter the "constitueacies sending members to the lieneral Legislature atter the Ceneral heqislature shall Lave been called into existence $:$ Were this the cate, a member of the Geperal Logislature might at any time find bimself ousted from his seat by an alteration of his constituency by the Liocal Legislaturo in his section. No, atter the Gencral larliament meets, in order that it may have full control of its orn legislation, and be assured of its position, it wurt have the full power of arranging and re-arranging the electoral limits of its eountituencies as it pleasey, such beiny one of the porers esisen. tially neecesary tin weh a hegistature. (Hear, h(ar.) I shall nat detain the House by entering mio a cinsiderat.on at any leagth of the different puwer conferred upon the dencral l'arlatuent as" contradistincuished froni those reserved to the Local lynhtaris; but any honorabie member oa examining the hist of "different subjects which are to be axsigned to the General and Local herindatures respectively, will ser that all ithe grent questions which affer the general iuterates of the Cunfederacy as a whole, are contidel to the Fuderal l'arhament, while the iveal inteferts and local laws of each section are preserved intect, and entrinted to the care of the local budiey- Aa a matter of eutuse, the deneral Parliameat must have the power of dealing with the public debe and property of the Confederation. Uf" course, too, it must have the regulation of trade and commerec, of custums and excise.: The Federal Parliament nuxt have the soveraign poref of rais. ing money from such, sources and by such means ay the representatives of the people will allow. It will be seen that the local leyishares have the cootrol of all local works; and it is a matere of great importance,
and one of the chief adrantages of the Federal Union and of local legislatures, thet eack province will have the power and megns of developing its own resources and aiding its own progress after its owa fashion and in its own way. Therefore all the local inprovements, all local enterprizes or undertalings of any kind, havo been left to the". cario and management of the local legislatures of axh province. (Cheers.) It is pro vided that all "lines of steain or wther ships, railivays, canals, and other wurks, connecting any two or mure of the prov. inces together or extending beyond the limits of any province," shall belong to the Leneral tiovernment, and be under the con:trol of the Gencral Legislature.: In: Ike manuer "4. lines of steamships between the Federated Prasinces and other countries, telegraph communication and the incorpor: ation of telegraph companies, and all such works as shay, although lying within any province; bo specially declared bs the Acts authorizino them, to be for the general advantage, shall beloug to the theneral live rernment.' For instance, the Welland Canal: though lying wholly within one section, and the St. Lawrence Canala in two only, may be properly considered natiooal woris, and for the general benctit of the whole Feder ation: - fuain, the census, the ascertaining of our nunuers and the extent of var resonrees, must, as a matter of geveral interest, belong to the Geaeral Governmeat. So also with the defencey of the country: Nue of the great adrantages of Confederation is, that we shall have th united, a concerted, and unitormsystem of defence ( Hiar.) Weare at this hument with a difforent militia yyetra in each colung-ia wowe of "the colonies with au utter wat, of: any system of detence. We bivic a number of separate stant establishoneat, without ang arrangement between the colonies as to the means, wither of defence or offeace. But, under the unton, we will have one nyatem of defence and one systems of miltitia organization. In. the event of the Lower froviates beius threitened, wo can send the large militia forces of Ipper Canada to their reasue. Should we bave to tight on our lakes agnaias: a fortiga toe; we will hare the hardy stanea of the Lower Provinces coming to our asgistance and manaing our vessels. (Hicar, hear.): W'e will have one agstecu of deftence and be one people, acting together alite in peace and in war. (Cheera.) The eriminal
law too-the determination of what is a crime and what is not and how erime shall be punished-is left to the General Govern ment. This is a matter almost of necessity. It is of great importance that we should hive the same criminal law throughout these pro-vinces-that what is a crime in one part of British America, should be a erime in every part-ihat there should be the same protection of life and property as in another. It is one of the defects in the United States ystem, that cach yeparate state has or may have a criminal code of its own,-that what may be a capital offence in one state, may be a venial offence, punishiable slightly, in snother. But under onr Constitution we shall bave one body of errminal law, based on the crimioal layy of Fingland, and operatiog equally throughout British America, so that a British American, belonging to what province he may, or going to ang other part of the Confederation, Enows what his rights are in that respeot, and what his panishment will be it an offender against the oriminal laws of the land. I think thig is one of the cuoct most niarked instances in which We iate adrantage of the experience derived from vur obsecratiops of the defects in the Constitation the neightoring Republic. (Hear hear.) The 33rd provision is of very kreat importance to the future well being of these colonies. It coumits to the General Parliament the - rendering uniform all or say of the law relative to property and eivil righes in Upper Canada, Nova Scotia; New Bruaswick; Newfoundand and Pritice Edward Island, and reulering uniform the procedare of all ur any of the courts in these provinees.'.. The iseat prineiples which geverp, the laws of all the previnoes, with the single exeeption of Lojwer Canada, are the samis, although there may be a divergence In details; "and it is gratitying eofind, on the paft of the Lower Provinces, a general dosire to Join together with Upper Canada in this matter, sud to procire, as soon as possisible, an assinuilation of the statutory laws and tte provedure in the courts, of all these provinces: At present there is a good deal of diversity In one of the colonies, for instance, they have no manieipal system at all. In another, tho municipal system is morely permissive, and has not been adopted to any. exteat. Althuagh, therefore, a legislative union mia found to be almose impracticable, it was understood, go far as we could influonee the fature, that tie first act of the Confeder-
ate Government should be to procure an assimilation of the statutory law of all those provinces, which has, as its root and foundation. the common law of England. But to prevent local interests from being over-ridden, the same section makes provision, that, while power is given to the Genèral Legislature to deal with this subject, no change in this respect should have the force and authority of law ix any provipce until sanctioned by the Legislature of that province. (Hear, hear.) The General Legislature is to hate powrer to establish a general Court of Appeal for the Federated Provinees. Although the Canadian Legislature has always had the power to establish a Court of Appeal, to which appeals may be made from the courts of Upper and Lower Canada, we have never availed ourselves of the power: Upper Canada has its own Court of Appeal, so has Lower Cauada. And this system will continue until a General Court of Appoal shall be established by the ceneral Legislature: The Constitution does not- provide chat such a court shall be established. There are many arguments for and aguinst the establishment oif such a coart. But it was thought wise and expediant to put tuto thĭe Constutation a power to the deneral Legislature, that; if atter full consideraton they think it advisible to establish a Ceneveral Court of Appeal from all the superior Courts of all the provinces, they may do so. (Huar, hear.) I thall nos, go over the other powers that are conferred on the Ueneral parliament: Most of them refer to matters of fananial and oenmereial nuterest, and I leave those subjects in other aud bettor hands. Besides all the powers that are specitically given in the 37 th and last item of this portion of the 'Congtitation, conaters on the General' Legis lature the general mass of novereign legisla:tion, the power to legislate on 5 all watters of a general charater, not specially and exelusively reserved for the local governments and logislatures.", This is precisely the provision which is wantag in the Constitation of the United States. It is here that we thad the weakness of the American system"the poist where the American Constitution breaks down. (Hear, hear.)' It is in itself a wise and nuecessary pruvision. We thereby strongthen the Central Parliament, and make the Confoderation one people and one government, inatead of tive peoples and five governmenta, with merely a point of anthority conneoting us to a limited and insufficient extent.
$\stackrel{\square}{7}$
With respect to the local governments, it is provided that each shall be governed by a chief executive officer, who shall be nominated by the General Government. As this is to be one united province, with the local governments and legislatures subordinate to the Geveral Government and Legislature, 'it is' obvious that the chief executive officer in each of the provinces must be subordinate as well. The General Government assumes towards the local governments precisely the same position as the Imperial Goverument holds with respect to each of the colonies now : so that as the Lieutenant Governor of each of the different provinces is now appointed directly by the Queen; and is directis responsible, and reports directly to Her, so will the esecutives of the local goveramenis hereatter be' subordinite to the Representative of the Uueen, and be respousibie $\therefore$ and report to him. Objection has been tak"en' that there is an infringement' of the Royal prerogative in giving the pardouing power to the loeal governors, who are not "appointed directly by the Urown, but only andrectly by the Chief Executute of the Confeueration, who is appuiated by the Crown. This provistou was isserted ta the Coiostitution da account of the practical dif. Geulty which muat arise it the power is contined to the Giveraot Geacral. Fur esample; it a yuestiun arose aibout the dis. charge of a provier convictod of a minor oftence, say a Newtund land, who mighe be in immment danger of losiag his lite it he remaned an coulinemeat, the:exerciso of the pardoniag power might come two late if it were necessary"to wait fur the action of the Givernor licueral: 'It must bo renembered that the pardoning power not valy extends. th capital coanes, but to every case of convic: thun ance sentence, no matter how tritlingevea to the case of a tiue ta the nature of a senteace va a criminal coñivietun. He extends to tanumerable cases, where, if the reapogasibitity for its exereves were thrown on tha General Exectative, it could nut be so sanasfacturity disẹharged, Ot course there maíst be, in eact provinee, a legal adriser of the Executive, oecupying the position of our Atwruey Geupral, as there is ta overy state of the American Hoble. This uffiger will be an uliger if the Lucal Gioverament; but, it the pardonting puwor tis reserved for the Chies cisecutive, there "uydt, in every case where the exerctae of the parduang power is, sought, be a direct evinusuaicathoo and roport fro:s the lucal law officer to the Governus

General. The practical incoavenience of this was felt to be so great, that it was thought well' to propose the arrangement we did, without any desire to infringe upon the prerogatives of the Crown, for our whole action shews that the Confereace, in every step. they took; were actuated by a desire to guard jealously these prerogatives. (Hear, hear.) It is à subject, however, of Imperial interrest, and if the Imperial Government and Imperial Parliament are not convinced by the arguments we will be able to press upon them for the continuation of that clause, then, of coursa; as the over-ruling power, thing inay set it aside. (Hear, hear.) There are numerous subjects which belong, of right, both to the Local and the General Parliaments. In all theso cayes it is provided, in ordor to prevent a couflict of authority, that where there is concurreat jurisdiction in the Gioneral and Local Parliaments; the same rule should apply "as now applies in cases thore there is concurrent jurisdiction in the Im: perial and in the Provincial Rarliameate, and that when the legislation of the oue is adrerse to or contradictory of the legishation of the other, in all such cases the agtion of the General Parliameat mutt overrule, ox-neceswitate, the action of the Local Legislature. (Hear, hear.) We have intruduced also all those provisions which are necessary in order to the full rorking out of the British Constitution in these proviaces. We provide that there shall be no nunoy votes, unloss those: vutes are intrudaced tia the popular branch of the Legislature on the authority of the responaible advisura of the Lrown-thoso with whim tho responsibility rests of equaliniag revenue and expenditure-that thero cau be no expenditure or authorization of expenditure by Address or in any other vay unlesis initiated by the Crowa on the adrice: of its respuasible advisers. (Hear; hear.) As regards the financial featuros of the weheme, the arrangenents made as to the present habilities of tho soveral provinces, and the future liabilities of tho Confodera: tion, on these and kiadred matters, I have no duubt that my huaorable frienfls, the Finanoo Miaistor apd the Iresident of tho Council, will speak at full leagth, and that they will be able to ohew yua that this tiranch of the subject has received the tulleat consideraiion. I foel 1 would be intruding mysulf unnecessarily on ihe Huase if, with my infuriur' baowledge of thiose subjects I wore to detain you by venturing to speats of them, when I Enow that they wi: 0 so ably
and filly gone into by $m \dot{y}$ two honorable friends. The last resolution of any import ance is one which, although not affecting the substance of the Constitution, is of interest to us all. It is that "Her Majesty the Queen be solicited to determine the rank and name of the federated provinces." I do not know whether there will be any expression of opinion in this House on ihis subjectwhether we are to be a vice-royalty, or whether we are still to retaia our name dod rank as a province. But I have no doubt |ler Majesty will give the matter Her gracious considera-tion, that She will give as a name satisfactory to us all, and that the rank She will confer upon us will be a rank worthy of our position, of our resuarces, and of our future. (Cheers.) Let me agaía, before I sit down, impress upon this House the necessity of meeting this questign in a spirit of compromise, with a disposition to judge the matter as a whule, to consider whether really it is for the benefit and advantage of the coountry to form a Confederation of all the provinces; and if honorable gentlemen,: whatever niay have been their preconceived ideas as . to the werits of the details of this measure, whatever may still be their opinious ás to these details, if they really believe:that the scheme is one by which ibe prosperity of the conntry: will be ivöreased, and its future progress secured, I ask them to yield their own views, and to deal with the seheme, according to its merits as due great whole. (Hear, hear.) One argumeni, but not a strong one, has been used agaiust this Confederation, that it is anadvate towarids independence. Some are apprehensive that the very fact of four forming this union will hasten thit time whem we shall be severed from the mother couatry. I have as apprehension of that kind. I beliere it will have the coutrary offect. I bellieve that as we grow etronger, that, as it is felt in - Eigland we have becume a people, able from var nuion, our atrength, war population; and the development of our resonrees, to take our poofition among the nations of the world, she will be less williug to part with us than she would be now, wheu wo are broken up into a uumber of insiguificant colonies, subject to attack pieco-meal without any con. certed action or comunon organization of defenco. I am atrongly of opinion that year ly year, as we grow in population and streogth, England will more eee tho advantays of tmaintaiuing the alliance between British Nurth Amerioa and herself. Doea
any one imagise that, when our population instead of three and a-half, will be seven millions, as it will be ère many years pass, we would be one whit more willing than now to sever the connection with England? Would not those seven millions be just as anxious to maintain their allegiance to the Queen and their connection : with the Mother Country, as we are now $?$ addition to our numbers of the peopls of the Lower Provinces, in any way lessen our desire to continue our connection with the Mother Country? I beliere the people of Canada East and West to :be : truly loyal. But, if they can by possibility bo exceeded in loyalty, it is by the iniabitants of the Maritime Provinctes.: Loyalty with them is an overruling passion. (Hear, hear) In all parts of the Lower Provinces there is a rivalry between the opposing political parties as to. which shall most strongly express and cuost effectively earry out the pripeiple of loyalty to Her Majesty, and to the Britigh Crown. (Hear, bear.) When this union tikes place, we will be at the outset no inconsiderable people. We find ourselves a popalation approaching fur milhons of souls. Such a population in Europe would make a sécond; or at least, à third rate power. Anà with a rapidly iucreasing poptilation-for I a satisfied that under this anion our population will increase in as still greater ratio than "ver before-with increased credit-with a higher position in the egis of Europewith the increased security we can offer to immigrants, who would naturaliy prefor to seek a new home in what is known to them an a great country, than in any one little colony or atiother-with all this'I am satisfied that, great as has been our increase in the last twenty five years sunce the union between Upper and Lower Canada; our future progress, duriug the cext quartor of a century, will be vautly greater. (Cheers:) $\ddagger$ And when, by means of this rapid increase, wo beenme a nation of eight or vine millions of inhabitants, our alliance will be. worthy of being sought by the great nations of the earth. (Hear, hear.) I am proud to believe that our desire for a permaneat alliance will be reciprocated in Euglànd. I know that there is a party in England-but it is inconsiderable in numbers, though strong in intellect and power-which speaks of the desirability of getting rid of the colonies; but 1 believe such is not the fceling of the states men and the people of Magland. I beliove.
it will never be the deliberately expressed determination of the Government of Great Britain. (Hear hear.) The colonies are now in a transition state. Gradually a different colonial system is being developedand it will become, year by year, less a case of dependence on our part, and of overruling protection on the part of the Mother Coun:try, and more a case of a healthy ond cordial alliance. Instead of looking upon us as a merely dependent colony. England will have in is a friendly nation-a subordinate but still a powerful people-to stand by her in North America in peace orin war. (Cheers.) The people of Australia will be such another subordinate nation. And England will have this advantage, if lier colonies progress under the new colonial system, as I believe they will, that, though atwar with all the rest of the world, she will be able to look to the subordinate nations in alliance with her', and owning allegiance to the same Sovreign, who will assist in cuabling her again to meet the whole world in arms, as she has done before. (Cheers.) Andif, in the great Napoleonic war, with every port in Europe closed against her commerce; she was yet able to hold her own how' much more will that be the ease when she has a colonial em. pire rapidly increasing in puwer, in wealth, in influence, and in position. (Hear, hear.) It is true that wa stand in-dander, ay we hare stood in danger again and again in Canada, of being planged into war and suffering all its dreadful consequences, as the result of causes over which we have uo esntröl, by reason of their condection. This. however, did nut intimidate us. At the very mentien of the prospect of a war some time ago, how were the feelings of che people aroased from ono extremity of British America to the other, and preparations made tor meeting its worst consequences. Although the people of this country are fully anare of the horrors of war-should a war arise, unfortunately, between the Cnited states and England, and we all pray it never may -they are still ready to encounter all perils of that kind, for the sake of the conaction with Eagland. There is not one adverse voice, not one adverse opinion on that point. We all feel the advantages we derive from our connection with EDgland" solong ds that alliance is maintained, we enjoy, under her protection; the privileges of constitutional liberty adsording to the British eys gem. Wo will onjoy here that which it the
great test of constitutional freedom- We will have the rights of the minority respected. (Hear, hear.) In all countries the rights of the majority take care of themselves, but it is only in countries like England, enjoy: ing constitutional liberty, and safe from the tyrany of a single despot or of an unbridled democracy, that the rights of minoritie are regarded. So long, too, as we form a portion of the British Empire, we shall have the ex: ample of her frea institutions, of the high standard of the character of her statesmen and public men, of the parity of her legis: lation, and the upright administration of her laws. In this younger country one great advantage of our connection with Great Britain will be, that, under her auspices, ingpired by her example, a portion of her empire, our puolie men will be actuated by "principles similar to those' which actuate the statesmen at hoine. These although not material, physical benefits, of which you can make an arithmetical calculation, are of such overwheluing advantage to our futire interests and standing as a nation, that to obtain them is well worthy of any sacrifices we may be called upon to make, and the people of ctis country are ready to make them. (Cheers.) We should feel, also, sincerdly grateful to benificent Providence that we have had the opportunity vouchsafed as of calinly considering this great constitutional ohange, this peacefal revolution-that we have not beep hurried inta it, like the United States, by the oxigencies of war-that we have uot had a viclent revolationary period fureed on' us, as in other nations, by hóstile action from with. out, or by domestic dissensions within' Here rif are in peace and proaperity, under the fortering govornuent of (Ireat Britaina dependent puople, with a government having only a limited and delegated au thority, and yet allowed, withot reatric. tion, and without jealousy on the part of the Mother Country, to legislate for Jurselves, and peacefully and deliberately to consider and determine the future of Canada and of British North America.' Ii is our happiness to know the expreasiun of the aill of our Gracions Sovereign, through Hes Ministers, that me have her full sanction for unf deliberations, that Her only solicitude is that we shall adopt a syatem which ishall be really for our adrantage, and that She proxaises to sanetion whatover eonclusion'after full deliberation we may arrive at as to the best
mode of securing the well-being,-the present and future prosperity of British Anserica.(Cheers.) It is our privilege and happiness to be in such a position, and we cannot be too grateful for the blessings thus conferred upon us." (Hear; hean), I must apologize for having detained you so long-for having gone perhaps too much into tedious details with reference to the guestions bearing on the Constitution now subwitted to this House-(Cries of "no, no" aud "go on.") In conclusion, I would again implore, the House not to lot this opportunity to pases It is an opportunity that may never recur: At the risk of repeating myself, I' would say; it was only by a happy concurrence of cir cumstances, that "we were cuabled to biring this great question to its present position. If we do not take adrantage of the time if we show ourselves unequal to the occasion, it may never retura, and we shall hereafter bitterly and unavailingly regret havinof failed to embrace the happy opportunity now. offered of founding a great nationander the fostering care of Great Britaia, and our Sovereign Lady Queen Victoria. "(Loud cheers, amidst which the honorable gentleman regumed his seat).

The Ifouse, at elevien $p$ m., adjuurned.

## LEGISLATIVE OOCNCIL.

## Tuespay, 7th Prbruary, 1365

HoN Mr CAMPBELL said that yesterday he had promised to give to the louse today an explanation of the provising contained in the 14 th resolution relating to the selection of unembers tor the lemislative Council of the General Legislature: This resolution read as follows :-
14. The frat selection of tho Mendergs of the Legislative Councilishall he mads, rriopt as re gaids Prinece Edward IAlaitd, frum the Le gistotive Coancits of the various E'riviniero, mor tar as a sallicieat number bo toand qualitied and wilLing to sorve $i^{\prime}$ nuch Members shall loct apphinted by the Crown at the recuamadation of the General bseculive Cuvemaneat, upos, the thomiination of the rexpective bucal Govenntents, ath to sach nomination due nevari! shatt be thad to the clalms of the Meraborts of the Leizitative Council of the Opposition in each Province, so that all political parties may as hearly as prosuibto be fairly represented.
And under it the first recommendation for the appointment of Legislative Councillors
from Canada woold, should the Confederation soheme be adopted, come from the existing Government of this province., In making such recommendations, the spirit of the resoJution would be carefully observed, and both sifes in this House and as well life as elected members be equally considered and fairly represented in the new Parliament.
Hon. Mr. FLINT begged to inquire whether the resolations before the House were in all respects the same as those sent to the members.

HoN. Mr. OAMPBELL said they were not in one partioular precisely as first printed, there being a clanse in those befure the House to allow New Brunswick to impose a daty on timber and logs, and Nova Scotia on coal, which was not find in the first; as for the other provincest the imposition of such duties was reserved to the General Legislature. (Hear, hear, yom Mr. Crabie.)

HoN Ma. CAMPBELL said he hoped that honorable members would father aid in furthering the soheme than take pleasure in detecting the supposed causes of opposition. (Hear.)

HoN Mr. CURRIE asked whether the dif. ference between the two sets of resolutions Whas raerely a niisprint.

Hos. Ma. CAMPBELL could bot say whether it was owing to a misprint or to an error in the manuseript.

HoN. Mr. CURRIE agaia asked whether the members of the Conferance had not signed the instrument containing its resolutions?

Hos. Mr. CAMPBELL coald only say that the resolutiuns bow before the House truly and expressly represented the conclusions the Conterence had arrived at. (Hear, hear.) Thote couclusions had sot been changed.
Hon. Ma. CURKIE then rose and said that the measure now before the House was the mest important one ever subuitted to a Colvial Legislatare, and he hopted to be able to' approach it with entire freedom from party spirit, and without the purpose of finding out unnecessary objections. He hoped be would, at all times, be able to judge of the measures presented with the fairness and candour ot a Canadian and a British subject. At the ontset he would, however, way, that the project now before the House had taken the country by surprise. The first time he had ever addressed the Hoase he was reproted to have spoken thus:-

[^1]Pacific-a Confederacy not born in war, or bap: tised in blood, bat a Confedëracy united by the bonds of friendship, "held together by the strong ties of friendly commerce and mutual interests, and cemented by a common allegiance to the throne of Great Britain.

From this quotation it would be seen that then he was in favor of a Confederation of the several British North American Provinces, but He litlle thought then that within two short years such a scheme would be submitted to Pariament. He was still in favor of Confeder-ation-(hear) - but it must bea Confederation' founded on a just and equitable basis, upon principles which would be alike adrantageous to all parts and injurious to none. If any other kind of Confederation were agreed upon. it would contain within itself the seed of decay and dissolution. The projeet had been elaborately presented to the House hy the gat lant tright at the head of the Government; and by his able colleague, the Hon Commissioner of Crown Lands, and what reasins had they alleged in favor of it? He contessed he had been quite surprised at some of the arguments of the former. That hon, gentleman had stated that if the scheme were rijectet, whether we would or would not, Cunada would be forced by violence into the Ameri; can Union, or placed upon an inclined plate which would carry us there. " Sow when men occupying high positions like the howh. menber, assumed the rexponsibility of giving utterance to such starting opinums, they ought to be prepared to support then with very cogent reasons.
Hon. Siz E.P. TACHE-I'am quite ready to give them.

Hoñ Mr. CURRIE-If the ense wert as represented, it must be because we are quiti de-: fenceless, and that except in union with the Lower Provinces we were at the meiry of the United States. Bat what did the honorable member mean by the inclined plane?". Fire his part he had not heard of any desire on the part of the people of this province to ciange their political institations and turn from she glorious flag under which many of them had fought and bled. Had, anything been heard from abroad, to the effect that unless we aceepted this schere, England would cast us off or let us alide down the inclined plane? (Laughter) Yet these were the sole; or at least the ehief, reasons alleged by that honorable member. Let us then ask oursclves whether the sebeme provided a remedy tor the threatened evils. Would Canada indeed be so physically strengthened sez-ward and land-ward by this alliance,
that in the event of aggression on the part of the United States, we would be rendered quito safe? It was easy to say that union gave strength, but would this union really give us strength? He could understand that union with a people contiguous would do so, but union with provinces 1,000 miles apart at the extreme points, was a very different thing, and more likely to be a source of "weakness." In his mind it was like tying a small twine at the end of a large rope sud saying it strength. ened the whole line. When the honorable member said that Cauada would be supported by all the military power of the Lower Prorinese we should not run away with the idea that this meant anything:- Nat were the faets: Tpon lookian at the census of those prosinces he founel that the male population betwen the ane of 21 and $50-$ the extreme limits at whel men bore arms-was 1 18857 , of which number 63,29 were chie ty comploged on the witer that is, in the conating trade and thetinherics, havigh by, 000 torasist in the deferce of Canada (Hear, hear, Xow, suppose a dratt of "un-third int thene "as made for miltitary exigencies-and one third would be a large propurtivi-we would have less than : 2300 men avalable tor the service. Why, thite would not be enough to defend their own frentior fromagaresion. Without refferring to the causes which had leal to the formatioy ot the previt Geverniment or to the extran ilinary cimatit if some of the pubLie men componitu it, her muxt neteriheless allute th the expres objects thoy professed to hive in thes io coming tugethers side the principal object was a scheme of federation, hut aot the sthenie wow offered to the House. fig he understurd the mater at all, the Gorcrameat way urg inized un the bavis of a Con: tedration if t pper and Lower "dinada first, ia which Cutederation the Lower Proviaces might afterwardsbe shanitued if theywiohed it.

Hon Mr. CaMPbELL--Nut.
Mon Yis, CLRRIE-He was ave surprised at, the dissent of the Honiorable C'omenisesoner out Crown Latady, for the leaders in both Hoass had placed the largee object, that is the arganization of a general liontederation, as, the primary ime. But the basis or the organization had bein reduced to writing, and he lueld in his hand the paper which recapitulated the conditions. They wero an follows:$\because$ The Government are prepared to pledge themselies to bring in a uivesure, next mession, fier the purpowe of removing existing difieulties by introducing the Federal' principlo into Canadis, coupled with suoh provision will
permit the Maritine Provinces and the NorthWest Territory to be incorporated into the same system of Government."

Hon. Mr CAMPBELL-The resolutions on the table fulfilled that promise.

Hon. Ma CURRIE - Well, the honorable member's colleague, the Provincial Secretary, did not mention the Lower Provinces otherwise than incidentally at the great meeting in South Oxford, and the Intereolonial Railway not atall: Ifhis position (Hon: Mr. Ccranie's) was correct, that the Confederation of Canada alone"was the basis of the coalition, then they had not carried out their pledge, and he promonnced the scheme now propounded as the anthorized prodaction of a number of selfappointed delegates, and not the measure the comontry expected: Then he had beea surprised to find that in the Conference Canada had so small a representation. He very willingly admitted that we had very able nen thero, but they were teiv comparet with the whole namber of the Conterence, and did not fairly represeat the population and weath of the conntry... The Honorable Commissioner of Crown hands had said, to be surm that it did not make much diffrence as the votes were not takee by numbers but by the provinces, in other wordsy that Priuce Edward 1sland, mith its population it a 0,000 swols, had as much to say as Canada with its millivas.

Hon Na CaMPBELA-The two sec cions of Canada voted de parately.

Hon Mre CTRRIE-That was not much botter, for it hade Prince Elivard Imdand equal to Upper Canada; with nearly $1,300,000$ of popalation. . But all this apart, he maintained the country was not prepared to pass judgment upon this monentous question. It Eas tho greatest matser that hat ever been presinted for its coasideration, and it should be the aim if all to have it perfectly understood and approved of before it wat adopted. We should seet tofgrane a Conistitution which. would last "for ages. "It" any portion of the contity were derionsly opposed to the project, and it wete carried throuith in spite of them, a wrong "would be inflited which' would perpetuate itedf in all countug tiade. It"pansted Gainust the sense of a majority of Uppor or Lower Canada, the aes mighe lead to an ayitation such as had never been wituessed, and which might be fraught with the thoist disas trous consequences. To prove that the country was not prepared for this sudden change, he would ans how many public meetiugs had been held in Upper Canada tor the purpose of diueusjog it? Me had heard of but ope, and.
that not very influential, where both sides of the question were discussed. The people had in fact been waiting for the programme, and to this moment it had not been supplied-cer-tainly not in"all its details. In a matter of this momentous importance, upon which the well-tining of millions in the future might so much depend, he sincerely trusted the conintry would not be hurried, but that full time for discussion would be given to enable it to arrive at a safe verdict. (Hear.). It was said that all the Governuents interested were in favor of the project, and it was well known that there: was to be a dissolution of Parliament in unc of the provinces; if so, where was the uecessity for haste in Canada, unless indeed it was tor the purpose of unduly influencing the other provinces? When the union between. Upper and Lower" Capada, was efficted, there had been uo such impatience of delay: The Imperial Government had brought in a bill," cupies of which were sent vut, and subuntted to the Parliament of Upper C゙auda-Lower Caunda then had soo Parlianent to cuusult, and in its case there was less need of delay than how the bill was sent home ayna approved, though meeting were held in Lower Canada struagly opposed to the measure, and to this day it is said it was torced upon an unwilling people. (Hear, hear, from some of the French members.) If time was then allowed, why should not tiue ke allowed now, when a nuch inore importint univa ivas in question? (Hear, hear:) Had the views of such enineut men as Lord Elliaborough aud Lord Durhan been duly apprectitted in 1s39, this Parliament would nut auw be auet fur tho purpuose of dissolving a untiou which had been unprotitablo to oie sertion, and unaztiatactory to the other. (Hear, hear, derisively.) He would now take thes liberty to yuote the vipws of Lord. Durkam, to which ho had juist alluded. :They were tas folluws:

I am ayorie to every plan that hap bees propused for givia. au equal number ot members to the two Pruviaces, in order to obtaia the tempor: ary end of uut-aumbering the French, becauso 1 thinkik the same object with be obtained without any viulaton of the priuciples of representation, and withuit any such appearapce of injustice in the acthemex, as wuald set public opinion both is Eing. lame und Americut striongly against it; and because, when emitratuoi shall have increased the Earglish pupulation in the Upper Province, the aduption of such a prisciple would operate to deteat this very purpuste it is intended to sorve. It appears to me that any such olective arrangeurias founded on she presens Provincial Divisions
would tend to defeat the parpose of Cnion, and perpetrate the idea of disunion.
He cited these pregnant words to indicate the danger of resorting to temporary expedients for the purpose of overcoming grave difficulties. 'If hon members desired to establish a union under which the provinces would grow in wealth, power and importance, they must endeavor to make it as nearly infidLible as fallible men could. "He had already remarked that there had been bot little dis cussica ia Cpper Canada on this subject, and "he felt it ill became him, representing as he did $_{\text {, }}$ a large constitueney, to vote approbation before the ptople understood what the vote involved. In the Lower Provinces the people and the press seemed dive to the subject tor the latter teened with articles for and acainst. all tending to give iutormation which our population had uyt received, But peaking -of the Lower Proviaces, he was really afrid that some public inen down there were dis posed to exagerate the advantages of a union with Canada just is some of nurystedned prone to magnify the riches of the Luwer Provinces. If we were ginuy iute a partnership, which he, hoped would lo-t it cutered into- (heag heaty) - we should not attempt to deceivenach other. for if the people tound they thad been deceived, the conpact 'rould be shoptived'. Tu give honorable the ubers some idea of the wasume in which the abojeet was presented by leading. men in the frovipices, he would read them an extract trup the spech of a Mr. Lxxed, at 3 - large meeting in Halifas: "s, reproduced by vie of the urgans of the quiveramient there.

Hon. Mr CAMPBELL-What organ?
Hos Me CDRHLE-They had oomany urgant they did not seetu to know them all. (Laughter-) He would wow read from the speech in quution:-

But we are tuld by uthers, that we fod beltre have pothing is do whth Canada, because she is bankrüpt. Cunada b ubtript! I wibh we were all such basibrupta. Sthe ts uvertuiwing with wealch. This is now rupidly doveloping iselif, midy must eve stually place her umiong the first Dations of the earth. I have travelled over and examined that great eugatry, and it would take more than all the time allosied to me to iell gou of her weald and resources. Her rivers are amony the largest in the worth, and her lakes are mighty infard wowans. I tuever had any idea of their extent matil 1 stood on the shore of Late Exie, sstm twelore me a large square rigged ship, and waf tuld that tucts was the clase of vessely that naximated thuse waters. Why, sir, 7,000,000 tons of shiphins trule upon those mighty lakes. Again, look it the gruwth of the population: Sixty yeary a'so it was 60,000 , now it is $9,000,000$.

L'uper Canada doubled her population in tea years, and Toronto, in the heginning of this century the abode of the red man of she forest, is now one of the tinest cities of Brtish America, with a population of $\mathbf{4 0 , 0 0 0 \text { . The soil is of the }}$ riebest' deacription, indeed it is' only too much so. In, some places rich athuvial deposit is found to the depth of 50 feet, andin many instances lands have yidded their crops for jears without the aid of a a ypadefut of manure. Canada has not only the greatest vield but the best wheat in America. It 3 a well-known fact that the people of the I'nuted States in exporting their best fluar mix it to a large evtent with Canadian wheath and in order to give you an idata of the increased grow th of : I would iatorn you that while in ten years the wheat crop increised in the States 50 . per cent, (an imvease increase) it in the same time in Cumiti increased 400 meticent The average crop to equal to that of the best wheat growing. cunutriex in Euriver, while some places have jielded the nhmast inctidible: quantity of 100 bushels to thersure The yield of las! year wes $27,000$.

He ofily whthed that this honorable gentlo uys hinge had been mistaken but even the Wio. Mr. Tulier one ot the mosit distinguished itatasmen of Niw Brunswick had macte the statmuent. that our tariff wasin tact ouly an cleven per cent tariff: But all checrrupas wory mot winthat mide. tor they need but turn to a celebrated peeet of one of our own leading then-a apeich regarded abmoet as an iniportant state pappr-and there it wast stated that the Thited Prunine would becouce the third maritine power in the world. (Hear, Hear.) Eugland, it said, was first, then the Evited States, and the speaker doubted if France could take the thind rank before use: Oar sea-guing tunnage would te five millions, and par lake tonume weven millions. Thuse ซerv vast fiequres, and it almost bevildered the mind so concelve, ther magaiticunt pruportiona. (Laughiter) Dom suppensity all these vessels were 300 to as each, it would require $1 \$ 000$ to make up the sutm, but uafortunately the census showed that we had but 808 sailors to navizaite them-rather a suall number it must be admitted for 14, प̈0 0 shipo. (Greas laugh wer) The way the mistaki-to use the mildest espression - was made; was simplo enough. The ovesthe were centured at tho Custom Howsea every time they cuame in and left port, and as some of chen cause into porit 200 times in the year, as at Toxunto for mstance, cheir tonnage wis counted $\mathbf{x} 00$ ciunod. It was easy in this way to run up our inland marive to sever millions of twas. But then if the prodecte of Canada wetro great as Mr. Lrsich represented, why of counso we would requiso
all those ships to carry away all that wheat. (Hear, hear, and laughter.) He would be glad if he conld tell as fine a story, bat he could not do that and at the same time tell the truth.: Then the Lower Provinces were told that our tariff averaged eleven per cent., but wasit so ?. [The honorable member was here quoting from a speech of Hon. Mr. Trueer, to which he had before altuded.]

How. Mr. ROSS-Read on.
HoN. Mr. CLRRIE, reading on, immediately came to a paragraph explaining the 11 per cent. to mean the average of duties on tho ralue of all imported goods, a large proportign of which were duty free.

HoN. MR. ROSS-Tho statement was cors reet. (Hear, hear:)

HoN. Mr.CURKIE then proceded to show the truth in regard to the dutites on staples and articles in domestic use in Canada. He said if honơrable gentlemen would tura to the Trade and Navigation returns for 1864 , they would find that in the first half of that year we imported and paid the following datica on cight-tinids of commodicies


Thus bon genticmen would see we pay more than tifty per cent. on our sugary nearly direnty:three per cent an coffer, while upen tea we pay: about twèuty dix per cent. Ho was afraid that if the presens condition of Canada was calmily considered wo would be found poing into the union in astate far different from the glowing representations of Mon. Mr. Lrisen. Let hon. netubers look at the trade of Canada for half of the year 1804, and they would find that the balance against ng was $\$ 9,999,000$, Then there was the interest upon the public debt; interest upon loans to private individuals; bank divideads payable abroad, for much of the stock of our banks was held out of the province; the interest to loan companies and pthers; all to be added to the debit balancie, and the pieture of wealth coajured up would present a very different aspeet. Indeed, he wondered how, with all these burdens, the country had borne up so vell.-

In the next place, he objected to the manner in which the scheme had been brought down. Why, if the Government desired the House to rote favorably; did they not act and speak nnderstandingly? Why did they not at onces bring in the schemes for the local governments and the estimated cost of the Intercolonial Riailway? He (Hon. Mr. Currire) did not object to the principle of Confederation. (Hear, hear) : No, and to believed there would be the most perfect unanimity on the subject, as there was smong the delegates as to the principle of Confoderation, " but he asked to have, as part of the scheme, the oost of the railway, which seemed to be part and parcel of it. We tnew-little of this project, where it was to commence and where to end; or how many ends it was to have. We heard there was to be one branch from Truro to Pietoa; and then it was said again that the road must pass through the ralley pf the St. John, and end in that oity. Were wo to accept the project without inform. ation? Were we to have a road to Halifar ? to purchase the (irand Trunk to Rividre du Loup and the link from Truro to Malifay, all of them to enter and forip part of the national railway?' Notwithstanding the adinitted tulent of the delegates, ho oviteaded that a manifest. iujustice had been dono to Cansda, sud espe:cially to I'pper Canada, in the distribution of the subsidies tr the local governments. Hon. genteqnen fiuust bear in unind that the subsidies change not with population; but renain fixed: They were as follows :-


If a parsun was proposing to enter into-a partuership he would vaturally inquire into the asseta of the other members of the intended irun. We tnew what our agsets wore. We had the finest oanale ta tho world, which had cost miany millions.

Hon. Ma. ROSS_And they pay.
Hon. Ma: CURRIE-Place tolls on the St. Lawrenca Canals and you will vee what they pay. There wais one oanal that did pay, the Welland. In 1861 this work alone earned
a net revenue of $\& 134,289 \quad 50$, over and above the entr of repair and manarement; and it you add to that amount the tolls unwisely re funded, 856,474 63, you have an amiount equill to five per cent. on the total expenditwe ni' the Welland Canal, as shewn in the Report of the Comuisioner of Publie Works, up to the 1st January, 1862, and'a maryin' of 37.436 tin the eredit of this work. Then we had the 'St. L;awrance Canals, and if they did not pay, it wass beceause of the extravaranite of the mannement and the system of toll on those works." (Hear.) It was reported that minue people believed if, we could only get Confederation we would havée enough to pay for both the general and local governmenta, and su nüeh hivere to spare that wu would not know what to do with our money, What woild be the revenue of the Confederativa? Taking the 'year 1563 as the basis, we': find the revenues of the proposed Confederation for that year, fromi oustouns and esciev, to bo is föllows:

We will now consider the burdens to bo assumed by the Confederation. Interest on the debt of Canada, 83,812, 514 01; interest on the debts of New Brunswick and Nora Scotia, of' $\$ 15,000,000 ;$ say 8750,$000 ;$ interest on the debt of Newfoundland, of 89 4 ,000, and the debt of Prince Edward Island of $8240,673-859,333$. Add to this the interest on the cost of constructing the Intercolonial Railway, not less than $81,000,000$ ycarly, supposing it were to cost us but $8 \% 0$, 000,000 , and the amount to be spent yearly for defeasive 'purposes, $81,000,000$. $\cdot$ ' And asoluning that civil government and the cost of legistation should be no more for the Con'Cederation than for Canada, which is certainly a reasonable view, we have for civil govenment, 8430,$57247 ;$ for legidation, 8627 , 377 92; judges salaries, Lower Canads, 8110,75553 ; judges' salaries, Upper Canada, 8157,69033 ; emigration and quarantine, $\$ 57,40432$; vecean and river service, 8511 , 35640 ; liyh thunses and coaste, 8102,72475 ; fisheries, 822,75841 ; cost of collecting revenue and excise in Caunda, 8401,56141 ; local subsidies to provinces, $83,056,349$. Thus shewing is balance againat revenue of \$3,825,

78189 ; and if the catals are to be enlarged, as promised, an additional debt must be created of $\$ 12,000,000$ tor such purpase,-another annual charge of $8600,000,-$ or a total balance against revenue of $81,425,8180$. These gentlemen from the east were going to give us the Intercolonial Railway and eenlarge our canals, but if to enlarge the canals, why were not the canals pat in the Constitution?

Hos Me DlCKSON - They dad not want to throw cold water upon it. (Laughter.)

Hos. Mr. CUKRIE-Why not give a ruarantee for their enlargement? ; He found that the desirable improvement would contail an expense of $812,000,000$, As to the local subsidy, he regarded it as a farce, or as honey spread out to catch flies. As to the argument that the rejection of the seteme would injure our credit, he would ask whether the bondholders would not much prefer our present financial condition to one of fifteen millions of increased indebtedness, with nothing of value to show for it. If the people of Bngland knew that Confederation and the Intercolonial Railway meant an increase of fifty per cent: ou our tariff, they would not be so ansious for it:, As to the represeatation in the Confederated Legislative Council, it was proposed to give Upper Canada and Lower Cansia twenty-four members each and to the Lower Proxinces twentyeight. : That is, the 780,000 souls in the Lower Provinces would have four' members more than Epper Canada with its million and a half. . This proved that though Canada had talented ueen in the Confirence, they ceither furgut uur intersiss or sat there pewiffess: When the Leypislative Council of Canada was inade eleetivo, his honorable friend néar him (Hion. Mr. CuhsTIE) had stood up fir the right of Upper Canada, as the Enclegates thould have dure in the Contertines. On the second reading of tho bill to change the eoustitution of the Lagh:lative Council, wa the Hith March, 18sti,--

Mr. Buown moved, wecouded by Mr. Fuekr, That it be an instruction to the Commitee to amend the bill, by provediag that the aymbere of the Logistative Cuyacil atail' be celeeted ior luar yearh, ouehall retiring every secund year.

Mr. Gocin moved; secunded by Mr. Wabirr, That it be an insiruetion to the cotainitue to numend the bill by providing that the constiteretcies aball be arrançed aceording to popúlatione withoui regard to tho division line between Upper and Lower Camada.

This aniendment was supported by the HonMespe Aikiss, Bhows, Cayebon, ChalsThe, Foley, Faebsan, Wisoov, and many Joading reformars in Upper Canada:

And on the third reading of the bill on the 27th March,-
Mr. Hartyax moved, seconded by Mri. CabisTIE. That the bill be recommitted to a Committee of the whole House, with a view to arrange the electoral divisions so as to embrace withia each, as nearly as practicable, an egual population, and without regard to a division line betwena 'Epper and Lower Canads.
This amendment, although supported by Messts. Brown, Curistien, and twenty other Vpper Cangda members, was not carried.

If representation by population were right in $1 \times 51$, was it not equally right in 1865 ? But it might be said that the union-was to be federal one, whereas it spas no such thing. It was neither federal nor legislative, but a mongrel between both. If the representation had been properly arranged, there would have been no necessity for honorable members vacating their seats. In that case, Upper. Canada would have had 30, Lower Canada 24, and the Lower Provinces 18. Yesterday the-Honorable Comanisgiener of Crown Lanids had piren reasonis for abolishing the clective principle as applied to this llouse; but not oveca year ago he had lauded the systeni, and. he (Hun. Mr: Curaie) had not heard the life members say a word in oppasitiun. The system had got a fair triad of eight years, and liad proved satisfactory, and would a few eelf constituted delegates, with a dash of the pen, destroy that which had received the sanction of the conitry? He was inever sent to this Honse to vow away its constitution-(bear, hear) and before endorsing any such propo sition ho would wish to go to his constituents, and if they axid yes, he would not oppose(hear, hear)- but withont that permisgion, ho was not going to give a vote which might have the effect of giving him his seat for life. (Hear," hear:) Ho had heard of Lower canada domination; but if this was the first. taste of eastern domination, ho wished no more of it. (Heas, hear, and laughter.).

Hos. Ma. CAMPBELL-It was not a petuliarity of Canada, but the judgueat of the whole Conference. (Hear.)
How. Mr. CURLIE-He then presumed it was aot the proposition of the honorable cucmber that the seat the people had given hime ahould be given to the Crowu; but it beemed he had pasised under tho doinination of the Lower Provinces. (Laughter:) In 1849, the Legislature had made provision for Lhe suppairt of conimon sehoole in Canada, and had set aside oue million acres of the beat landy for that noble purgoses. The lands, all
situate in Upper Canada, had been sold; and a fund of a million and a quarter aceumulated, but with another stroke of the pen this, too, was to be scored out. In 1862, the Government of the day had brought down a bill to amend the Separate School Act of Upper Canada, and without expressing an opinion as to its merits, he might say it had produced a very strong feeling of indignation, A mass meeting was held in Toronto to condemn the bill, and the people were so exasperated that they had called upor certaia members of the Government to resign. Othor meetings were held, viz.:-

Meeting at Ilarringtan, North Oxford, Wath March; 1863:

Resolited,-That the Hon. W. Macpozoali has betrayed the interests of his constituents for the sake of office.

Meeting at East Nissouri, 6th April, 1863
Resolved, That this meeting, while viewing the manner in which the Hon. WM. Micpoegall has betrayed the interests of his constituenis in eapporting Mr. Scort's Soparate Schoul Bill, belives it to bo his duty to resigo bis seat in the Provincial Parlignent as member for the North Riding of Oxford'.

Ho had read these resolutions to show the feeling which then prevailed, and he wight have quoted articles to prove that the measure was regarded as a most iniquitous one. He would give one or two from the Globe :-
We can hardly believe that a governiment based on the double majority, will peruit nit anteration in our common echool aystem in defiance of the vote of an Upper Canadian majority.

March 20th.-Tho prospects of Mr. Scorr's bill in the Upper House are not vert bright. When is was brought up from the Assembly, nobody rose to move the first reading, and Sir Erinuse Tacie, Who, it will be reinembered, introdaced this last Upper Canada Separate School Bill, which passed into law, was about to assume this responsibility, when Mr. MfCages, the mevly elected Councillor for the Westerin Division, came to the rescue.
The Sreaikra then very improperly suggested $\mathrm{Mr}_{\mathrm{r}}$, Atriks the seconder, an office which the ne:mber for the Home Division promptly dectined. No one else appearing, Mr. Lersiluzr, a French Camadian; seconded the motion. This "is French domination with a vengeance. We are not astonished to find that there is a disposition to give the bill strono opposition, regardless of the consequences to the goverrment.
Aprill 11 .-The bill passed the ercond reading' in tho Legislative Council, 11 to 13 from Tiper Canada.
In spite of eyery temptation, timer Canada stands true to her achool system. : Itw.tpil may pass as other infamied buve pased our Legiola.
ture before, bat it will not be by Cpper Canada votes. If our school system is destroyed, Lower Canada must bear the shame of it .

April 21st.-Although the bill has passed both Houses, and no namber of meetings can stay its progress, it is well for the people of Tp per Canada to pronounce upon its merits. They are deeply hurt and mortified by this treatment. they have received from Lower Canadianis and traitors among their.own representatives. A sense of personal wrong and injury exista. which we have never witnessed in so great a derree before. The iron of Lower Canada domination seems to bave touched the soul of the people and the wound rankles. The word contempt. docs not express the feeling, which is manifested. There is a ppice of bitterinss abjut it which takes it out of that catenory.

But, notorithandind these eviderices of diBatisfuction, the aet beeame law, and it remained for the present Goveriment, by this scheme, to perpetinte the law. He was sur: prised that the Government framed as it was, should become partien to such a scheme. Thiey had not yet done with the schol question: They proposed to protet the Protestant iniōority of Loower Canada, and a petition was on the table exhibiting what was desired. This was proof enough that the poople were not satistied ; and whether or not the scheme of Cinfederation were adopted, the Government should bring in a measure to do the petition: ers justice. Then fron Cpher Candar the Roinan (Catholics askedito be phaced in a pasition precisely similar to that wheh the Irotestants of Lower Cansia wore secking, and it each of theye minoritiey were, suffring injustice, why sfould not their complaints be redressed befure a Confederation took place Let these measures preludo Confileration, and let not Parliament be asked to pruceed blindfold. : He was satisfied that if the Intercolonial Railway project were taken out of the scheme, we would not hear much about it "afterwards." Sone leadiug men in Halitax had said," the Railway tirst, and Confederaion next."

Hon Mr.SANBORS-Hon Mr. Tuher had said that:

HoN Mr CURBIE-Then it would bo better to try the Confederation without the pailway'. It would, after all, be macheasier for the menbers from the Luwer Provifices to come to Ottawa than it used to be for the members from Sandwich to go to Montreal at the time of the union. - The lirand Trank Railway had cest the province a vast sum, but then it had been of vast service to the country. But where is the company that would teep the Intorcolonial Railway running for it earyings,
the road and the rolling stook being made over to them as a gift? Suppose a merchant from Montreal wants to go to England, which road will he prefer? Why, he woild go by way of Portland. Would any produce be sent over. such a road? How much wheat was there sent over the Grand Truak, even in winter?

Hon. Mr FERRIER-A great deal.
Hov. Mra CURRIE-How much from Montreal? And why did we hear complaints from lluron and Bruce?

SEIERAL VOICES-They have no rail: way there. (Laughter)

Mos. Mr. CVRRIE-Was there not the Buffalo and Lako Ifuron Railway passing throuph Huron? It was our duty to hesitate and not to press on at' railway speed, but to aet lite prudent men. We wrere sent here to place a' check upon hasty lecislation, But was there erer such hasty legislation as this? Yet as the Cinsernment wero strong in Parliament, they might atiempt to press the measure without the consent of the people. If they do, how ever. pursue suech a course, they will perbaps reecive a check in Nosa Scotia or New Brunswick, for in these provinces they had vo inten: tion to pass the measure without a free and full discussion.

Hos. Mr. ROSS Why, if it was good for them as the hon. member said, they mipht be glad to do if.

Hov Ma SceRKA-If it was so unfa rorable for Clanada it must be in the same degreo favirable to the Lower Protiaces.

Hiv. Mn. Cl'RRIE-Oh, that does not briany means follow'; they are a frupal, indug. trivus and intelligent people; and it may ba considered inadruable by them to join a peoplo who, in the thort term of ten years; by a cotryo of extrarayance and prodigality increased the expenges of their government nearty four hiunared per cent." independent" of the increase of the publie debt: . . They might also call to mind the Grand Trunk swindles:

Hov. Ma. RUSS-When the hon mesuber said that there had been Grand Truik swin: dles, he said what was not correct.

Ilov. Ma CURAIE-Perhape be used a wronz term, He meant Grand Trunt frauds. Those proply uight hesitate about connecting themelves with a people that had almonit brought themselves to the verge of national bankruptey, and loaded themselvea with sueh a heavy tariti, they might recall to mind the political dishomesty of our pablie mien, men who liad so maligned and blactienod the publis character of each other as tw require a wider utago and a bev audierse w. witness.
their future acts.' They would also observe that all formerly connected with the Grand Trunk were arging this scheme forward. He then accused the Government of bad faith in bringing down these resolations, instead of a measure simply for the Canadas; that the reform party only committed themselves to the latter scheme when Mr. Brows entered the Cabinet, but now it was only secondary. To bear this out he read the following resolution adopted by that party :-

Moved by Mr Hopt McKexzie, and seconded by Mr. MeGremis- That we approve of the course wheth has been pursued by Mr, Brows'in the ne veliations; with the Government, and that we approve of the project of a Federal union of the Cauadas; with provision for its extension to the Maritime Provinces and the North-Western territory, at one based on which the constitutional difieuthes nowexisting should be setlet.

IIO ivas not personally"opposed to Confederation in itself;' but this measure was so Uetective that he could not support it, tearing, an it did, the seeds of decay apparent in its dutails. He heartily concurred in tho views expresed recently at Halitax,by a dietinguished 1 Wher Canada Statesman-(Mr. Bhown):-- Un a survey of the whole case, I do think that there is no doubi as to the high advantape that trould result from a union of all the colonies, provided that terns of union rould toe found just to all the contracting porties and ho framed as to secure harmony in the future administration of alfairs. Bat "is, were wrong to conceal for a moment that the whole merrit of the seheme of union may be completedy exarred by the character of ite dreails. . Me arked wh would mot say that the details of this measure did not so suar as to spoit the scheme. If weafe to have a Confelcration, let it be put upion a proper and pernancat finurdation; one that wid be of advantage to this yuung and vigurohes province, and he expressed the hope that onty such a scheque would be nanctioned by Parliameat. (Hear hear, and applause:)

It being nearly siix d'cluck, Hons Ma. Hues thoved to adjourn the debato till the norrow, which was carried.

The House then adjourued.

## LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY.

## Tuspay, Fetruary 7, 1865

ATty GEN. CARTIER rose to continue the debate on Confederation. He said that he approached this subject with a certain amount of diffidence, knowing it was not the first time he had had the honor of speaking upon it in the Lower Provinces and elsewhere. He felt that this was a momentous occasion;, as for anything that he said on this grave: question, he was responsible to his constituents and the country. Regpecting this grave ques. tion, it had been said that the TAche-Macdovald Government had taken upon theuselves the solution of a problem which was not at the time of formation before the couns try, and had not eren been mooted. Those saying so wére ignorant of the parliamentary history of the past few years, He would brielly refer to the fistory of this great question, as far as it had been brought before the Parlia ment sad country. When the Cartier-Macr boval. "Government was constructed, after the downfall of the Brown-Doryon Alminis: tration, a progranme of the polity of the former was laid before Parliament. Amovis the subjects contained in this programme of 7th August, 1858, was one referred to in the following terms: "The late Government felt themselves bound to carry out the law of the land respecting the seat of Government, but in the face of the recent " rote on that subject," the Administration did not consider themselres warranted in incurring any expenditure for the public buidings, until Parliament has had an opportunity of considering the whole ques? tion in all its bearings; and the expediency of a Federal Union of the British North American Provinces will be"anxionsly considered, and communication with the Home Government and the Lower Provinces entered into forth: with on the subject; and the result of this communication will be submitted to Parliament at its next session. The Government will, during the recess, examine into the organization and working of the pablic departmente; and carry out such administrative reforms as will be condacive to economy and efficiency." Here was this scheme of a union of the provinces mentioned in the programme of the Cartier-Macdonald Goverament, in 1858. Ho merely quoted this passage to show that neither Parliament nor the country was now taken by surprise with regard to this geheme. (Hear, hear.) Wo had had general and special elections since 1858 , and to pretend that
this subject, which had been so often can vassed, wis new to the country, was to assert an untruth. At the elose of that session; Sir Envery Head, in his Speceh prornguing. Parliament made use of the following languare:- II propose," in the course of the recess, to communicate, with Her Majesty's Goverament, and with the Guveraments of the sistor colonies, ou another matter of very great im portance.' I an desirous of inyiting them to discuss with us the prineiples on which a bond of a feleral character, uniting the Provinces of British Nurth America, way perhape hereafter be practicable." In aceorilanes with that announcement of pulicy, ia deputation was sint to England; copposed of his then colleturesHous. Messrs Gine and hos and himself. We presed the matter hefore the Itiperial Government, "whom we asked to authorize a meeting of delegates trom the British North American Gevernments, to consider this sabject and report upon it, said report to bee com: municated to the Colonial Seretary:- of counse we wanted; at that time to act with the sanction and aptroval of the Inperial Chiternment. We pressied the matter as stroingly as we could before it. Of all the provinese thit responded to the call of the Imperial Government, Newfoundland, he thought, was the ouly one which professed her readiness to appoint delegates when the opportune inoment arrited. (Hear, hear.) "Alhough the other provincen were not opposed to Contederation, still, as the question had not Even brought conspicuously before their people, they did not like thea to join in the measure and in the proceedings which the Canadian delegates had urged upon the Imperial Goverument in 185.5.' At this time the Canadian Delegates had a duty to: perform' towards the illnstrious Administratar Of the Governmeat, Sir E, Head, to fultil tho promise he had made, on propoguing Parliament, by pressing the measure apon the attention of the Imperial Administration. The Canadian Government also kept its promise to report to the Howse" the result of the mission to England, at the next session of Parliament." The hoo gentleman here read the despatch dated October, 1859 , which was transmitted to the Imperial Goverument, setting forth the sectional difficulties which had arisen between, Upper and Lower Canada, principally on account of the forwer's demand. for increased representation in Parliament ou the ground of its much larger population. Every one who knew anythins of his past poblic evurse was aware thit he way opprosel to che principle of represeatation by population
while Upper and Lower Canda were under one Gövernment. He did not regret his opposition. If such a measure had been passed,' what would have been theconsequence? There would have been constant political warfare between Epper and Lower Canada. True it was that the nembers from Upper Canada, being in the majority, it might have been imagined they would have carried everything before them; but as far ds justice to Lower Canada was conerned, such might not have been the case; The ennsequence of representation by Impulation; would have teen that one territory would have poverned mother. and this faot woull have presented itself session after set sin" in the House", and day after day in the public prints (Ilear, hear.) The moment this principle hat beon conceded as the governing dement it would have initiated betwen the two provinces a wartare which would have been unreinitting. (Hear, hear.) Ho wished thet lipper Canads should understand him in this mater. He was aceused of being opposed to Upper Canada's rights, becausi during fitteen or tirenty years the had to oppose his honorable friend the Presideat of the Council (Itom, Me: Brows). His honorable colleague tomk the grond that represeutation shoula be arranged according to population in cach section of tho prow ince: He (Hon. Mr. (Grtiea) had resisted that position, bolieving that the moment such a principle was applied, his honorable triend, who, no donbt, wanted to msintain tho peaceful government of the country; would have been disappointed in his wish: It would have given rise to one of the bitterest struggles between the two provinces that ever took place between two nations. He did not huean to say that the majority from Upper Canada would have tyrannized over Lower Canada; but the idea that Epper Canada, as a territory, had the preponderence in the Governmeat by a large number of representatives, would have been suffieent to generate that sectional strife to which he had alludedi In 1505 , ke tirse saw that representation, by - population, though nusuited for application as a goveraing principle so betwen the two pro Tiuces, would not involes the same objection if other partaurs were drawn in by a federation. In a strusple between two-wne a weak, and the other a strong party - the weaker could not but be overevine ; but if three parties were cotecrned, the stronger would not have the suny advantage, as whee it way seen by the threl that there was the much strength on ony sidu, the third woald club with the weaker
combatant to resist the big fighter. (Cheers and langhter.) He did not oppose the principle of representation by population from an unvillingases to do", justice. to l'pper Canada 'He book this grouud, however, that when justice was done to Upper Conada, it was his duty to see that no injustice was done to Lower Canada: He did not entertain the slightest apprehension that Lower Canada's riwhts were in the least jeopardized by the provi-: sion that in the General Levislature the French Canadians of Lower Canada would have a smaller number of representatives than all the other origins combined. It would be seen by the resolations that in the questions which would be subuitted to the General Parlizment there coutd be no danger to the rights and privileges of either Freach Canadians, Scotchinem, Englishmen or Irishmen. Questions of commerce, of international communication, and all matters of general interest, would be dis, cussed and deternined in the Goneral Legis latare; butin the exercise of the functions of the General Government, no one could apprehend that anything could be enacted which would harm or do injustice to persons of any: antionality. He did not intend to go into. the details of the question of Confederation, but mercly to bring before the House the most conspicuoun arguments in order to induce members to accept the resolutions subuitted "by tho Government. Confederation was, as it were, at this moment almoot forced upon us. We could not shat our eyes to what was going on beyond the lines, where a great. struggle was going on between two Confederycies; at one time forming but one Confederacy. Wo saw that a government, established not more than to yeage ago, had not been able to. beep together the family of states which had broke up fuar or tive years since. We coald not deny that the strugote now in pregriest must necessarily induene our political existence. We did not know' what woull be the result of that great war-whether it yould end in the entablimuent of two Confedetacies or in one as befien. Howiver, we had to do. with five colonies, inhabited by men of the same aympathics and interests; and in order to become a great nation they required only. to be brought together under one dicaural Governmene. The matter resolved itself into this, either we muase obtain Britioh North Arnerican Confeleration or be absorbed in an Amerieain Gonfederation. (Hear, hear, and diswat.) Some entertaited the opinion that it was unneressary to have Britioh North Ameriean Confederation to prevent absorption
into the vortex of American Confederation. Such parties were inistaken. We knew the policy of England towards us-that she was determined to help and support us in any struggle with our neighbors. The "British Provinces', separated as at present, could not defend themselves alone, and the question resolved itself into this: "shall the whole strength of the empire be concentrated into Prince Edward Island, or Ganada, as the case may be, in case of a war with the United tates-or shall the provinces boe left to fight single-handed, disunited? We wero not sufficiently unted. We had our daties, with regard to Enghand, to perform. In order to scute the exercise of ther power in our defence Tve must help her odrietiof We could not do this satisfactorily or émiently unlegs we had a Confederation. When all united, the enemy would know that, if he attacked any part of those provinces- Prince Edward Island or Caiada-he would have to encountar the combined strength of the empire. , Canada, separate, would be, although comparatively strong in population and wealth, in a dangerous position should a war ensue. When we had urganized our good defeasive foree, and united tior mutual protection, Enghand would send freely here both men and treasure for our defence. (Chieers). He had stated" before audiences in the Lower Provinces that, as far as territory, population and wealth were concerned, Canada was stronger than any of the other provinces, but at the same time way wanting in one element necessary to mational preathen-the maritime one; and that, owing to the large trade and couirerce of Camada, extenstre coumunication with Great Britain at all seasons was absolutely necessiry." Twenty years ago our consmeree fir the year cuald be managed by comimuncation with (irnat Britain in the summer moathsonly: At present, hovever, this system was insufficient, and fir winter communication with the sea-buard we were ledt to the caprice of our Anverican neighbory, through whose. territory we must pasio. He had also alluded. to the bonding system, which if the Americans were to withdraw, Canada would be left in winter without any winter harbors. Camada, having two or three elements of national greatuest territory and population-wanted the maritime cleinent; and as he had said, 7 the Lower. Provinees ham this element and a sea-board, but not not a back country or large population, which Canada possesesed,-and for the mutual benetit and prosperity of all the provinees, all these elements ought to be united
together. Those who pretended that the British North American Provinces would be in as safe a position, remaining separate, while they belonged to the British Crown, as under Confederation, were under great misapprehension, Now was the time for us to form a great nation of the several provinces. Now was the time to look the matter in the face and adopt the only safe aad prudent course open to us in the shape of Confederation. He maintained it was necessary for our own commercial intercests, prosperity and efficient defence. That was what wo had now to dis cuss, and not the manner in which Confederation was to be brought about, which would be discussed when the details of the scheme came up for consideration." At present the question was:: Way Confederation of the British Nurth American Pruincés necessary in order to in-: crease our strength and power and secure to us the continuance of the benefits: of British conoection? He had nodoubt that the measure was necessary for those objects. It would be observed that the English speaking opponerots of the schene, in Lower Canada, protended a fear of this element beiny absorbed liy the French Canadian; while the opponents, composed of the lather orgin -of mea who might be called the o'd Papineau Tail-whose sole idea was annexitivit to the United states-said they were atrad of the extinction of French Cauadion nationality in the great, Confoderathone 'The annexatin' parsy in Montreal, incladiagthe foht wery of Mr Jons Dotiali, the proprietor ut the Wieness opposed the scheme in the ground of suppoped danger to the Britinh if Luwer chaida.: The ainexa. tion party could not, howerer, be sapposed to be sin erve in the ropposition to the scheme"xeept in, ar). far as they desired to earry Chada into the American Voion: The aborption of this proviuce into the Cnited States hat boig here cintemplated. as would be seen from the ith article in the origival draft of the huerican Conistitution; which he would mad. It was as follows :- Aft. 7. Cauada, acoording to this Confederation and 'juming' in the measures of the Cuited States, shall be adnitited into, and entitled to all tho .divantagre" of this unive, and shall be equally with any other of the Crited States, solemuly bound to a atrict observance of, and obedienee to, these articles, as shall be also any other colvay which shill be admitted into this Confed racy. The eleven votes in Cungress mall be iucreased iti prupurtion as the Confederacy is extended. But, excent Canada, no other colony shall be admitted into the Confederacy
without the assent of eleven or more votes, as the case may require, by the Confederation being extended.": By that articls, no new state could go into tho union except by the rote of the number of states required to admit a new partner. But, as regarded Canada, no such assent was required; on knocking at the door of the union, she would, as a matter of course, be admitted. (Hear, hear.) The honorable gentleman went on to say that the papers lately contained a report of a meeting at' the Institut Canadien of Montreal, -where it was resolved that it was for the interests of Lower Canada - in the interests of the French Canadians, were the province to become a part of the American Union.

HoN. Mr. DORION said that was not the case. The honorable gentleman had nisquoted what had passed there.

Hox. Mr. CARTIER" said he was right. If resolutions were not passed, sentiments were expressed to that effect. Then the orgat of the Instituto-LOMCre, he thought-had set forth that the interists of : Lower Canada rould be better secured by annexation to the Cnited States than entering into a Contedera tion with the British American Proviactes. It was nu wooder," then, that the French Canadipa amexationista betrayed their pur: pose in opposition to British Norih Maerican Confedration; and that their Eoglish-spating collèzgues pretended a fear of the rights of their class being jeopardized under Contederation. We knew their object in this-that they were aware that as soon as thin project was atopted, there would be no avail in any cry of separation to forin a part of the Amerieaji l'piun. (Hear, hear,) Theri had been a good deal of fsult-finding and complaint an to the proceediays of the delegates haviag been couducted, with clused dnors. Suchia course was an abolute necessiby. Evury oave could understand that if all the diffeulticos arising among the represeatatives of the tive coloties, durisg the Contcreate, had gone every mornung the publie, it would have beea inipusible tor the delogates to continue to meet, or compronise any of the dificulties that might bo-expected to spring up. Be: sides, the proceding of the Anetrican Con. gress of 178 was hild with closed dooms, and their proceediugs wero not pablithed while matters were progresping. With regard tur this, ho would yuote troma leter of Col. Masox, a member of the Conventiona. -.... Nu communications of the procectines are fiorbidden during the sitting of this Convestina; this, I think, was a necensary precaution to
prevent misrepresentations or mistakes;; there being a material differenco between the appearance of a subject in its first crude and indigested shape and after it shall have been properly matured and arranged. On the same principle the Conferince at Quebec very properly sat "with" closed doors. (Hear, hear.) "We wished, however, that the British Canadian public should know the result of our labors. when concluded, and that result the Parliament. and people of Canada had before their con: sideration, and it was for then to discuss its merits. We, on this side of the Housethe meuibers ot the Government and their supporters-had cone to the conclusion that Federation was desirable and necessary ; and we were ready to hear the honorable gentlemen on the other side who necessarily, from their standing, were supposed to have devoted their attention to it and appreciated their position, stating what in their opinion would be sufficient in order to maintain ourselves as a British colony on this side of the Atlantic, and to increase in wealith and power. Ho was aware that sone menbers of the House, and a number of people in Upper Canada, ia Lower Canada and in the Lower Provinces, were of opinion that a Legislative Cnion ought to have taken place instead of a Federal Cnion: He would say, however, at the outset, that it was impossible io have one Goverament to deal with all the private and local interests of the several sectiens" of the several provinces forming the coubined whole. (Hear, hear.) The next yuestion to be considered, therefore, by those who had set to work to discover a whution of the difteulties under which wo had Labared, way-what was the best and most praetteable uute of bringing the provinces together, to that particular rights and interests should be properly guarded and protected? So ocher sehecue presented iiself but, the Federation system, and that was the projeet which now recomaneaded itself to the Par. liament of Canada. Some parties-through the press and by othor modes-pretended that it was iupusstible to carry out Federation, on account of thediffurences of races and religions. Thuss who took this view of the question were in error. It was just the reverne: It ras preetsely on account of the rariety of "races, local interests, dec,; that the Federation' oysten ought to be resorted to, and would be found to work well. (Hear; hear.). We Fure in the habit of seeing in rome publio journals, and hetring from some publio "inen, that it was a great misfortune indeed thero abould bo a difforence of reeo in this colony

Dthat there should be the distinetion of French Canadian from British Canadian, Now, he (Hon. Mr. Cariner) desired on this point to vindicate the rights, the merits; the usefulness, so to speak; of those belonging to the French Canadian race. (Hear, hear:) In order to bring these nuerits and this usefulness more prominently before his hearers," it would be only necessary to allude to the efforts made by them to sustain British power on this continent, and to point out their adherence to British supremacy in trying times. We were all conversant with the history of the circum. stances which hod brought about the difficulties between Eugland and her former American colonies in 1775 . Lower Canada, -or rather he should say, the Province of Quebec, for the colvay was not then known by the name of Canada, but was called the Province of Quebee,-contained the most dense population of any British colony in North America; at that time. The accession of Lower Canada was of course an object of eavy to the other American colonies, and strenuous efforts were made by those who had resolved to overthrow British power on this continent-ito induce Canada to ally herielf to their cause. As carly as 1775, the Freach Canadians were solemnly addressed in a proclamation by General Wase-: ingron, who called upon them to abandon the flag of their aew uasters, inasmuch as they could not expeet anything from those who differed from them in language in religion, in race, and in syinpathes. But what was the conduct of the French Canadian people under these circutustances-what was the attitude of the clergy and the seiguiors? It was rightin treating this chapter of our history, to render: justice to whour justice was due, and it was truth to say that the seigniors, forming, as they did, the educated cliss of our population at that early opoch, had fully understood that the object and aim of those who appealed to them was the downfall of the monarchitial system in America. (Hear, hear.): A few years only had elapsed at that time since the trans. fer of the country and its population from the Crown of Frane to the Crown of Great Britain ; but evca within that brief interval of time, they were enabled to appreciate the advantages of their new position, notwithstanding the taet that they were still struggling and complaining. The people, as well as the clargy and aristoeracy, had understood that it was better for thein to rewain auder the Eaglish and Protestant Crown of England, rather than to become republicans. (Hear, hear.). They were proof against the insidious offers of

Georige Washivgtons and not only so, but when the Anericans caine as invaders, they fought against the armed forces of Ariold, Mostigomery and others, (Cheers.) Attempts were made to excite bostility to Federation on the ground that under the rearime of a tocal legislature, the Eoglish Protestant "niniority would not be fairly dealt with. He thought the way in which the French Canadians had stood by British connection, when there were but few British in the province, was a prgot that they would not attempt to deal unjustly gow by the British minority, when their numbers were so much greater. $O$ a this point. appealing to the evidence of history; he would quote troin the work - which he had already quoted. At a time when there were, perhaps, hardly a few bundred Englioh Protestant residents in Lower Canada, the addres in the name of Wasunvoros, to whieh he had already briefly referred, was circulated throughout the country by Arvols invading army. The hon. gentleman here read a number ot extracto from Gemeral Washavorox's proclamation, addressed to the inhabitants of Canada. It made the must earnest appealy to the Lower Canadians tof join the other colonies "We rejoies" sad Cencral Wasbingros, ", that our enemier have been deceived with rexard to you; they hate persuaded themselveo they have, even dared to may-that the Canadians were gut capable of dhatimpuishing between the blessings of liberty" and the wrochedness of slavery; that uritifyigt the wanty of a lute circle of nobdity would Whid the people of Canada. By such attufies they hoped whend you to their views, but they have been do
 unite, with us in in imdisoluble union, let us rua tugether to the same goal. "* *** Incited by the te imotives aus encouraged by the advice of many friends of liberty aniong you, the grand Anerican Cotgress have sent an aray into your province, under the come mand of General Sontyceit-not to pluader but to protect $y$ you-to animate and bring torth into action those sentiments of freedua you have disclosed, and which the tools of dempotisto wotld extiuguish through the whole creation. To couperate with this design, and to trustrate those ceruel and pertidious shemes, which wuald delage our froutiers with the blood of women and children, I have desp itched Colonel Aryolo into your country, with a part of the army under wey command. I have eajoined upon him and I am certain that he' will consider himself, and act as in the country of his. patrons and bent friends. Nocessatios and
accommodations of every kind which you may furnish he will thankfully receive and render the full value. "I invite you, therefore, as friends añd brethren, to provide him with such supplies as your country affords ; and I pledge myself not only for your safety and se curity but for an ample conpensation.' 'Let no tnan desert his babitation-let no one flee as before an enemy: The cause of América and of liberty is the cause of every virtuous American citizen; whatever may be his religion or descent. The united colonies know no uistinetion but such as slavery, corruption and arbitrary dominion may create. Come then, ye generons citizens, range yourselves under the standard of general liberty -against which. all the force of artifice and tyranuy will-neter be able to prevail!" It appeared by this address that the most tempting offers and promises had been made by the republicau general. but they had failed, nevertheless, to accomplish the desired effect. This, however, was not the onty trait of this nature in the history: of the French Canadian peonle. . There wan aupther despatch, or rather proclamation, issued in 1778 , by Baron D EsTANO, commandar of the French. fleet, which was acting ia aid of the American revolutionary party. The honorable gentleman read some extrict from this proclamation, as follows:- 4 I shall hot ask the military gompanions of the Maryuis of Levis, those who shared his glory, who adtwired bis taleato and geniue for war, who loged his cordiality and tranknews the principal characteristics of our nobility, whether there be wher names in other nations anoug whichthey yroula be better pleased to place their own. Gai the Canadians, whosaw the brave Most. caly tall in their defence-can they becume the auemites of his nephows?' Can they bighto against their former leaders, asd arm thenselved againgt thetir kinsmen'? At the bars wiention of their names, the weapons would fall viet of their handa I shall not observe to the nimiaters of the altats that their cyazetic-etiorts will require the secial protection of Y rovidenee, toiprovent faith being diminished by exumple. by worldly iaterest, and by sovertigna whon fure has haposed upon them, and whowe political indulgence will be lestened propertionably as those soveregigs shall have less to dear. I shall not observe that it iz uecessary for, religion that those who prewith it should torm in body in the stato; and that in Canada no - ther body would be more conaidered, or have moro power to do good than that of the priests, tiaking a part in the Govurnment, sinco thair reapeatable conduct bas merited the
conidence of the people." I shall not repre seat to that people, nor to all my countrymen in general; that a vast monatehy, having the same religion, the same manners, the same language, where they find kinsmen, old friends and brethren," must be an inexhaustible source of commerce and wealth, more easily acquired and better secured by their anion with powertul neighbors, than with strangers of another hemisphere, among whom everything is different, and who, jealous and despotic soverelgns would sconer or later, treat them as a conguiered people, and doubtless mich worse than their late countrymen, the Americans, Who made them rictorious. I shall not urge to a whole people that to join with the United States is to secure their own happiness, since a whole prople, when they acepuire the right of thinking and acting for themselves, must Lnow" their: own interest, But I vill declare. and I now formally deolare in the naine of Mis Majesty, who has authorized and commanded me to do it, that all his former sub--jects in North America, who shall no more acknowledge the supremacy of Great Britain, may depend upon his protection and süpport." D'Estanwi had appealed to their ancestry and their prejudiees; he had invoked the names of Levis and Montcalis, and endeavored to inHuene their elergy ; but the French Canadians understiod their position too well. If they had their intituatons, their lanigunge and their relifion intact to-day, it was precisely because of their aulherence to the British Crown. Had they yielded to the appeals of Wasmivaron and Baron D bistano, it is probable that there would not have beeta now a vestige of British power on this continent. But, with the disappearance of British power, they too mould havediaspeared as French Canadians. (Hear, hear.). These historioal tacta taughe that there should be a mutaal feeting of gratitude from the Ereneh Canadians tuwards the British, and from the British towards the French Canadians, for our present position, that Canada is still a British colony: (Hear, hear. ${ }^{\text {H }}$ He had had recision, a moment ago, to rufer to the French Canadian elergy in coirnection with D'bstinno's address, and he would say this, to their honor and credit, that, if to-day Canada was a portion of the British Empire, it mas due to the conservatism of the French Canadian clergy. "(Cheors.). It was a pleasure to him thus to be able to guote from these old dociuncmes proofs of the honor; 'loyalts; "and liberality of the Erench Canadian peoples. Me (Hon. Mr, Carmerb) was as devoid of prejudice as any homorable gentleriam in this

House ; but when he heard or read the statements occasionally made, that there was some danger that, under the Federation"system, the French Canadians would have too much power, and that the power thus obtained would be used to the prejudice of the British and Pro testant minority-the history of the past, in many instances, "was the best reply to such attacks. (Hear; hear.) Baron D'Esidang issued his tempting proclamation in 1778, and it was sent into Canada frequently afterivards,' and circulated at the instigation of RochayBEAL and Lafaybtie; but our clergy and our aristoeracy, the leaders of our people in these days, saw that it was not their interest to cast their lot with the democratio elementthey knew the hollownessof democracy. (Hear, hear.) We tound ourselves at the present day discussing the yuestion of the Federation of the British North American Provinces, while the great Federation of the Enited States of America, was broken up and divided against itself:- There was hovever, this important difference to be observed in considering the netion of the two peoples. They had founded Federation for the purpose of carrying out and perpetuatiag! democracy on this contipent; but we, who had the bevefit of being able to contemplate republicanisin in action during a period of cirhty years, saw its defects, and felt conviuced that purely democratic institutions could not be conducive to the peace and pros: perity of nations. We were not now discassing the great problem presented to our consideration, in urder to propagate democratic principles. Our attempt was for the parpose of forning a Federation with anyiew of perpetuating the monarchical dernent. The distinction, shere fore, between ourselves and our neighbors was" just this:-In our Federation the monarchical principle would form the leading feature, while on the other side of the lines, judging by the past history and preserit. condition of the country, the ruliag power was the will of the mob, the rula of the populace. Every person who had conversed with the nust intelligent Aniericau statesinen and writers mast have learged that they all admitted that the governmental powers had become too extended, owing to the introduction of aniversal suffrage, and mob iule bad consequently supplanted legitimate authority; "and we now saw the sad spectacle of a country torn by eivil War, und brethren tighting against brethren. Tho question for us to ask ourselves was this: Shall te be content to remain separate--shall we be content to maintain a mere provincial exiatence; when, by combining together,
we could become a great nation? It had never yet been the good fortune of any group of communities to secure national greatness with such facility. 'In past agés, wairiors had struggled for years for the addition to their country of a single province. We hàd too, for instance, in qur orn days, the case of Napoleon III, sho, after great expenditure of blood and treasure in the Italian dificulty, had acquired Savoy and Nice; by which he had obtained an addition of nearly one million inhabitants to France-only one million souls, and if any person were for a moment to make calculation of the value of the provinces acquired on one side, and the great cost on the other, he would at once see the great disproportion between the one and the other, and so ascertain the fact that the ter ritory acquired did not compensate the outlay. Here, in British North America, we had tive different communities inhabiting tive separate colonies. We had the same sympathies, and we all desired to live under the British Crown. We had our commereial interests besides. It was of no use whatever that Ner Brunswick, Nova Scotia and Newtoundand should have their several custom houses against our tride. or that we should have custom houses against the trade of those provinces. In ancient times, the manner in which a aution grew up was different from that of the present day. Then the tirst weak settlement increased into a vils Tage, whieh, by turni, became a town and a city, and the nueleus of a nation. It was not so in modern times. Nations were now formed by the agromeration of conimunities having kindred interests and sympathies. Such was our case at the present nonemt. Objection had been taken to the sheme now ander consideration, beteause of the words. " uew nationality.". Sow, when we "were united together if union were attained; we would form a political nationality such which neither the national origin, nör the religion of any individual, would isiertere. It was lamented by some that we had this diversity of races and hopes were expressed that this distinctive feature would cease. The idea of unity of races was utopian-it was impossible: Dis tinctions of this kild would aliways erist. Dis similarity, in fact, appeared to be the order of the physical world and of the moral world. as well as in the political world. But with regard to the object:on based on this fact, to the effect that a great nation could not be formed because Lower Canada. was in great part French and Catholic, and Upper Canada was British and Protestanit, and the Lower Provivices
were mixed, it was futile and worthless in the extreme. ${ }^{*}$ Look, for instance, at the Cnited Kingdom; inhabited as it was by three great. races. (liear, hear.) Had the diversity of race impeded the glory, the progress, the wealth of Eigland? Had they not rather each contributed their share to the greatness of the Empire? Of the glories of the senate the field, and the ocean, of the successes of trade and commerce, how much: was contributed by the combined talcnts, energy and courage of the three races together? (Chrers.) In our own Federation we should have Catholic and Protestant, English, French, Trish and Scotch; and each by his efforts sind his success- wonld increase the prosperity and glory of the new Confederacy. (Hear, hear.) He riewed the diversity of races in British North Aucrica in this way :' we were of different races ${ }^{2}$ thot for the purpose of warring against each other, but in order to compete and cmulatellor the general welfare. (theers.) We could not do away with the distinctions of race. We could not egislate for the dissippearance of the Erench Canadians from American soil, but British and Freach Canadians alike could appreciate and understand their position relative to each other: - They were placed like great familizs beside cach other; and their contact produced. a healthy spirit of emulation: It was a bepefit rather than otherwise that we had a divergity of rices.: Of course, the difficulty, it would be said, would be to deal lairly by lia minority. In Cpper Canada the Catiolics woila' $\operatorname{tand}$ thenselves in a minority; in Lower Canada the Protestants would be in a minority, while the Lower Provinces were divided. Under such circumstances, would any one pretend that either the-lucal or zeneral governmeats would sanction any injustice. . What would bo the conseyueuce, even suppusizg any oveh thing were attempted by any one of the local governweats ? IL: would be censurel everywhere. Whether it eame from CPper Canada or from Lower Cabiada, aay atempt to deprive the winority of their rights : would be at once chwarted. Cinder ihe Federation system, granting to the control of the Genoral Soviornmeat these latge questions of general interest in which the differencas of race or relleion had no place, it could not be pretended that the rights of cither race or religion could be invaded at all. We were to have Gen: eral Yarliament to deal with the maters of defence, 'tariff, excise, public works' and these matiers absorbed all tidividuat intereat. Now, he would aak those melf-atyled nationato: iste who escused him of bartering filty eighs
counties in Lower Canads to John Bull, and his honorable colleague beside him" (Hon. Mr. Brown)-he would ask them', under what supposition could they think it possible for any injustice to be done to the French Canadians by the General Government? (Hear, hear.) He came now to the subject of Local Governments. We could easily understand how a feeling against the Federation' project was raised in the minds of a fert of the Britigh residents of Lower Caniada by fears of such dificulties as thnse which occurred in the days of Mr. Papineac, relative to the possing of laws relating to commercial matters. (Hicar, hear.) These: difficulties had been of a very inconvenient nature, Mr. Papiseac pot being a commeroial man; and not understanding the importance of these measurea He considered Mr. Papineat was right in the struygle he maintained against the oligaredy at that time in power; but he bad never approved of the course he took with reference to cotumerial natters, and in opposition to neeasures for the improvement of the coonitry; But this preeedent could not be"urged as an objection to Federation, inasuuch as it would be for the General Government to deal mith our commercial maters. There could be no yeason for well-grounded fear that the uinority could be made to suffer by means of adig lays affecting the rights of property, If anify such enactiuents were passed, they would Ball ypon the whole cömmunity. But even supprosing such a thing did occur, there was a rewedy provided under the proposed Constitution. The magnaitude of the scheme now sub:mitted was, pertapes, the reaven why those who had not made themgelves conversant with the question felt some apprehension in contemplating it ; but, when we cakne to discuss 'it ciause by clause, he rould be ready to state that no intersst rould be liarued in any way it Fedetation took place. It was true that op ppoition wà being offered in Montreal, by Mr. Johy Docgill, of the Witaeso. Huar, hear.) And, while referring to the oppouents of Federation, he could not help adverting to the strange manier in which duxtremes met 'and worked in' uaison to ophose Federation. (Laughter.) For instance, we had the party who formerly composed what might be styled Mr. Papisixal's Tail - the eitreme demoeratio party-joined mith Mr. Dokgali's Tail. (Hear, hear, oheers, and laughter.)
Ma. PERHAULT-And membere of the elergy oppose it. (Hear, hear.)
How. Mr CARTIER said the hotiorablo gentleman was mistaken. The clengy wero
for it. But the honorable gentloman would have an opportunity of speaking afterwards. This scheme, he repeated, met with the ap provial of all moderate men. The extrome meas, the socialists, democrats and annexationists were opposed to it. The French Canddian opponents of the project were, it appeared, afraid that their religious rights would suffer under the new arrangement. Fancy the celebrated Institut Canidien of Mont: real, under the lead of citizen BliANCEET, taking religion under ${ }^{\text {Wheir }}$ their protection ! (Laughter.), Mr Dovgall loudly pro claimed that the British Protestant minority would be entirely placed at the meroy of the French Canadians. He (Hon. Mr. CasTIER) thought the arguments of the young French geatlemen belonging to the national democratic party who cried out that their religion and nationality would be destroyed, ought in all reason to be suifficient to satisfy the scruples and calm the fears of Mr. Dot. Gall The True Hititess, which was also one of the eneuites of the scheme, said that if it were adopted the French Canalians were dooned; yhile his brother in viulence, the Witneess, said that the Protestants were doomed: (Hear, hear, and laughter.) At a meuting ro. cently held in Montreal on the subject, bo (Hon. Mr. Cabiers observed that. Mr. Cherrier had enrulled himself ammo the cuemies of the project. : Well, this fine, quiet, old geutleman anaounced that he had come out of his politi: cal retirement for the parpose of opposing Fo deration. Allhe (Hou. Mr. Cartieit) could say was that he never kiew Mr. Cueriticr was a strong politician. However, it appuared that he had conde vut once more on the political stage for the purpose of opposing this villainous scheme, which was inteaded to destroy the nationality and religion of the Freach Cana-dians-all brought about by that confounded Cartika! , Laughter aud cheers.), Allusion had been made to the opinion of the clergy. Well; he would say that the opinion of the diergy was for Confederation. (Hear, hear.) Thave, whio were high in authority, as well as those who occupied more humblo pasitions, were in favor of Federation, nut only because they sam in it so muctr security fur all they held deary' but because it was just to their Protestant fellow-subjects as well; because they were oppused to political bickering and strifo. This opposition to a state of political dissension and trouble was the general feeling of the elerrgy, and beesanse they saw in Confederation: a eolation of those dificulties which had exist ed for somo time, due regard being had to juat
rights they were favorable to the projectThe fact, however, was that when we saw suoh extreme oppouents as Mr. CLibrk, of the True Witees, Mr. Docgall,, of the Witness; and the young gentlemen of the Institut Cinadien combined to resist Confederation, because each party argued it would produce the most widely different tesults-we might look ppon this fact; he' repeaited, as one of the strongest argaments in favor of Confederation. (Hear.) We had; on the other haudid. all the moderate men, all that was respectable and intelligent, including the cleryy, favorable to Federation. © Hear, hearr, and oh, oh.) He did not, of course, mean to say that there were not respectable opponents to the project-what he. did mean, however, was that it met general approval from the classes referred to. He was opposed, he : might as well, state most distinetly, to the democratic system which obtained in the Cnited States. In this country of British North America we should have a distinct form of goserniment, the characteristic of which would be to possess the monarchical element. When we had Confederation secared. there was not the least doubt but that our Goverament would be more respect-able--that it would "have more prestige and conmand more respect from our neighbours. (Hear, hear.) The great want nnder the American form-the "point which they' all adnuited tormed the great defect-was the absence of some respectable exegutive element. How was the head of the United States Goorernment chusen? Candidates came forward and ot course each one was abused and villifed as corrupt, Igoorant, incapable and unworthy by the opposite party. "Uue of them attained the presidential chair; but even while in that position he was not respected by those who had opposed his election, and who tried to make him appear the most corrupt and contemptible being in creation. Such a system could not produce an executive head who would command respect.' Vnder the British system, ministers might be abused and assailed; but that abuse never reached the Sovervign. Whether we were made a kingdon or a vice royalty - whatever bame or grade was assighed to. us-we would undoubtedly have additionial prestige ? He"would now conelude his remarks by asking, honorable gentlemen to consider well this seleme. It was his hope, his cherished hope, that it would be adopted by the Honsie. The time was opportune, as his houof able colleague (Atty. Gan. MacdoraLD) had so ably stated last evening; the opportunity might never offer itself again in auch s facile
and propitions manner. We knew we had, in all oar proceedings, the approbation of the Imperial Goverament. . So if these resolutions were adopted by Canada, as he thad no doubt they would, and by the other Celonial Legis latures, the Imperial Goveriment wivuld be called upon to pass a measure which would have for its effect to give a strony ceeatral er general government and local geverimments, which would atonce secure and tuard the persons, the properties and the civil and reli gious rights belonging to the population of each :section. (Loud cherers)

Hos. Mr. GAlT said-Mr. Spriker, 1 trust the House will: on this occasion, extend to tre the indulgence with which I have often previousty bien favored when I hageviod. dressed it on subjects relating to the commer: cial and financial interests of this provizice; for I Iam now required to follow the very ablo and eloquent speeches of the two Attorisist General, East and Weat. who hase diseussed, as none mere more able than thme gentlemea to discuss, the most importane poplitical anil philosophical questions which are numolved i. the Confederation of the Britioh Nirth Arier ican colonies; sand the material interests of the country upon which it is my pryince this night to dwell, thouigh unyuestionably those which are intended to be served through the political alterations we wave to consider, are, neverthelese, likely to prove tedions to tho House. Explanations reispecting them an: however, in ineratively called for when we are considering the पuestion now at isstie. (Hear.) There is one adrantage which I feel that I enjoy on this occasion, anill it tis that this Huase is not called upm, in dealiug, with the commerecial and tinancial intersts involved in the proposed changes, th cundide the forma or mode of governuent by whict such inter. ests are to be promoted. It maken litter differ. ence to the considerition of this braneh of the subject whether the Coastitution of the new Government be that of a Legithative or Federal Union-the points with which I am about to deal, are thexe which concern thy public at large, and bear"no referente to what may be the ereed, nationiality or language of portions of the people. :Thn salyeuts on which I propose to andress the House are, those coinected with the trade, resioures and financial coudition of the several provinces of British Yorth America, and certain questions prement themselyes fors devision, upon a satisfactory answer to which the decermination of the House upon the whet plan thas is submittod ahould depend, I
will divide my remarks into: five distinct heads:-

First-Do the cominercial and material interests of the several provinges point to their union as an advantageous measure?
Secondly-Is their financial condition such as to permit of this union being carried into practical effect at this moment, with justice to them all?

Thirdly.-Are the measures proposed in the resolutious before the House tair to each and to all:

Fourthly.-Is there a reasonable prospect that the machinery through which these interesto are proposed to be governed, will wort moothly and harmoniously?"

Lustly.-Dues the proposed system for the Goverument of the United Provinces appear likely: to pruve so expensive as to rendor it im. possible for the people of Canada to consent to it?

In dealing with the first question, whether the material interests of the prorinces wiil bo promoted by their union, it may be well for me to offer to the House some fer remarks ay to the resources of British North Auerica: Pussessing' as we do, ita the tar western past of Camada perhapo the most feriile wheat-growing iracts ou this contineat,- -in central and eastern 'Canada tacilities tor manufacturing such as cannot anywhere be surpassed,- and in the eastern or Maritime Provinces an abandance of that thost useful of all minerals, coal, as well as the most maguificent and valuable Aisheries the the vorld ; extending as this country does for two chupusand milos, traversed by the gaest uavigable river in the world, wo uagy well look forward to one future with bepefful anticipation of secing the realization, aut merely of what we have hitherto thoughe
would be the cownere of Canada rould be the commerce of Canada, great as that might becomes, but to the possession of Athantic ports, which we ghall help to build to a position equal to that of the chief cities of the Atnerican Union. (Huar.). But it is not mo much by the extent of a country that ite power and real greathessy are to be estimated; at by its containing within itself the eloments of difterent intiessetts, for it is in tho diversity of employment that mecurity is foniod against those sad ruverses to which uvery country, dopeading mainly oń one branch of industry, cuust alwayy be liable. (Hear.) a most re markable illustration of this hat recontly occarred in lour owa Mother Couitry. No one would have ventured to say, a fow yeara ago, that England could have loat ite immeuse cotton supply withous having ite asstom of
commercial industry almost entirely overthrown, and having its people sunk into the deepest misery. Yet we have seen, within the last few years, the cotton supply cut off. We have seen, it is true, a considerable portion of the people reduced to great want, but, at the same time, the wonderful diversity of employment which exists in the cquatry opened new channels for the employment of the distressed operatives, and though thëre was great pressure for a time, it was only temporary in its operations " and at this moment, after ashort pause, We see the industry of England greater than it was at the beginning of the American war: (Hear.). We may therefore rejoice that, in the proposed Union of the British' North American Provinces, we shall obtain some se. curity against those providential reverses to which, as long as we are dependent on one branch of industry as a parely agricultural conntry, we must always retain exposed. (Hear, hear.) The resources of these great coloniey, and tha extent to which the industry and intelligence of their inhabitants have de veloped thein, are most significantly shewn in the Trade and Navigation Tables, which are in the possession of the public. I am afraid to weary, the House by going st any: length into statements relating to them, but I feel that in order to place the question of union fairly before the House and the country, I am called apou to glance, huwever briefly, at the position in which the trade and tonnage of eadh of the British North Amerrican Provinces at the present mornent stands. The returns of the trade of Canada in 1843, taking exports and imports conjointly, shew an aggregate of 887,795,000. Taking the ceisus of 1861, this trado represents thirty-five dollars per head of the population. The value of the import and expart trade of New Brunswiok, for. tho rave year, reaches $\$ 16,729,680$, amounting to sizty-six dollars per head of its population. The aggregate trade of Nova Scotia for the same period, amounted to \$18622,359, or fifty-dix dollars per head of its people. And in the case of Prince. Edward Island, the import and export trade amounted to $83,055,068$, representing thirty-seven dollars per head of the population of that colony. The value of the total trade of Newfoundland was $811,245,032$, or eighty-six dollars per head. The whole of these figures represent an aggregate trade of all the provinces amounting to \$137,447,567. $:$ Notwithstanding the large population and the very large amount represented by the trade of Canada, when it in divided par hoad it falls considerably short
of the trade of New Brunswick and Nova Scotia, being 'a little more than half per head of the former, and not more than two-thirds of that of Soy Seotia. All the statisties to which I have had acces show that the commercial and financial position of our sister colonies is such as to enable them creditably to seek an alliance "with any country on earth; and it cannot be waid that, in seeking or consenting. to an alliance with Canada, they have any local; or sectional or selfish object in view. (Hear, hemr) Passing from trade, I will turn to another subject - the ship building and tonuage of those colonies - and will take the returns of $15030^{\circ}$. In that year, the numbe of ships built in all those eolunies was no less than 645 , with a tonnage amounting "to 219,763 tois. This statement of the enormous amount of tonnage built in one year is as good evidence thicun be offered of the facilities we posiess fur becoming mu-importapt maritime purer. "The indistry represeated by those tyures show an export walue of dearly nine million dollars: The seatroing tonnage of Camada, includiar that of the in land lakes smounts to about mine million tons, a great portion of which, however, represents the tonnage of, vessels pertiorming conating serviee many of which trequently elear sind arrive in the course of one day. If is gratifying to know that the trade between Canada and the Stato in the other side of the lake ts of a' nature to pive emplivinent io a large portion of this like houtiger- amounting to $6 ; 907,000$ tuns - but. it cannot be clased in the same cationiry as the tounate arriving at Quebect and Moutreh, which in must canes can make ouly two ir the tripe per ant num. The wagotos tomage of Comala amounted tw, 2,133,001 was; of Sew Brung wick, $1,3 \times 5,004$; of Nova scotia, $1,432,090$ tons: Consefuently the aturent of seariong tonnage, subjeet only to a small deduction, was actually about five midion tons, of whith about 2,13 , 3 ,000 was that of revels trading between the St. Lawrence and fireigu porta. In makin's this statetnent it is dus to the House that it should be, made" aware that some portion of this trade will not be repre sented after the conteraplated union has taken place.' At' present, the internal commerce between these colonies appears in the returns of each sis imports and exports, but I should be gladif. I wer able to maket on this account a large deduchon tron the hipures. I have given. It is mitter for regret on the part of all of us that the trade between these colo-gieg-aubject all to the eame Sovereiga, con-
nected with tho same empire-hss been so small. : Intercolonial trade has been, indeed, of the most insignificant character we have looked fiar more to our cominercial relations with the neighbouring-though a foreign country, - than to the interchange of our own products, which would have retained the benefits of our trade within ourselves; hostile tariffs have interfered with the free interchange of the products of the labor of all the colonies, and one of the greatest and most immediate benefits to be derived from their union will apring from the braking down of theso barriers and the opening up of the markets of all. the provinces to the different industries of each. (Hear, hear, Inthis manner we may: hope to supply Xewfoundland and the great tishing districts of the Gult, with the dgricul. tural productions of Western Canauts; we may hope to obeain from: Nova Scotia our supply of coal and the manufacturing indus try of Lower Canads inay hope to tind more exteasive outlets in supplying uany of thosis articles" which are now purchased ia foreiga tnarkets: For instance Newtioundind produces scarcely anything by agriculture, manutactures harity an article of clothogh and a considerable trade may thus be expected "o "arise", while, instead of having payments mader as they are nuw, throunh Lombird street, they will be made throagh our own bankery in Montreal. and eljewhere It we reyuire to tind an ex. ample of the benetit; of tree commercial intercourse. We ned aut look beyond the effectes that hiave followed from the working ut tho Reciprocity Treaty with the Vuited Siates. In voe hort year foum the time when that treaty came into operation, our trade a the natural productions of the two cuantries swelled from. lesis than $82,000,000$ to upwardsot $820,000,000$ per aunum, and now, when we are threatened with an interraption of that trade-when wo have reason to fear that, the aotion of the Lnited States will prove hostile to the continuance of tree commercial relatiuns with this cuuntry - wheu we know that tho con: sideration of this question is not grounded on jutt view, of the material advantages result: ing to each country-but that thio irritation connecfed with political events exeroises a predomianat "intuence over the minds of American statesmed, it is the duty of the House to provide, it posatble, ocher outleqa for our prouluctions. If we have readon to foar thas ons duor is about to bee closed to our trade, it is the duty of the House to endeavor to opan another ; to provido agaiast a cuming ovil of the kind reared by timely orpansion in
another direction; to seek by frec trade with our own fellow-colonists for a continued and uninterrapted commerce which will not be liable to be disturbed at the capricions will of any foreign country. (Hear, hear.) On this grond, therefore, we may well come to the conclusion that the union between these colonies is demanded alike on account of their extensive resources, and because of the peculiar position in which they stand relatively to each other, to Great Britain, and to the United States. : All these are questions which fall within the province of the Geaeral Gorerameat, as proposed in the resolutions before the House, and whatever may be thie doubts and fears of any one with respect to the details of the organization by which it is proposed to wurk the nuw system of Confederaation, zo one can doubt that the greast interests of trade and commerce will be best promoted and developed by being entrusted to one enntral power, which will, wield them in the common interest. (Hear, hear.)

I now come Mr. Splaker, to the consideration of the second, and perhaps I may say the third division of uy subjeot also-whether the material condition of these provinces is such is to make the union practicable; and Whether the details of the measures proposed ary equitable to each and to all: In considering this point, it is necessary for us first to
review the review the liabilities of each province, the reasoas why they were incurred; the objeots which have been sought.: In doing so, the Honse will not fail to resuark that the sane policy, has animated the legislatares of all tho provincer, or perhapos I should speak moro exactly in saying thoóe of Canada, Now Bruns mick and Nova Scotia: The public debt of all these pruvinces has, with some slight esceptions, been incurred for publio inprovementa iatended to develope the resources of the country, to attract immigration and wealth to their respective shores, to cheapen the tueans whereby the products of their farms were to be taken to markee, and to reduce the civat of fregigt of articles which enter largely inte" the coasumption of their inhabitants, Nor will any one fail to observe the intimate conuection which all thesse public works have with each other-a connection which singu. larly illusitrates the natural union which exists between these sevveral proviaces. "If we consider the public iuprovements of Canada, her great canals intended to bring the trado of the vast countries bordering on the lakes down to the Gulf of St. Lawreace; if we look at the railtay syatem forced upon us in ous campo-
tition with Ameriean channels of trade, stretch. ing from the extrene west to the extreme east of the province; and if we then look at the public works that have been undertaken in Nova Scotia and New Brunswiok, we find that, practically, they form parts of one great whole. It is through the St. Lawrence that the people of the Lower Proviuces will send their fish, oils and other exports to the west, and it is through our canals and river that they will import the necessaries they require from the west. Through these canals and the river St: Lawrence, and along the railway systems of all the provinces, when hereafter connected, a great trade will flow in one uninterrupted stream, enriching in its course not only the cities of Canada, but also swelling the tide of na new. commeice we may hope to see called into being in the open Atlantic ports of St. John and Halifax. (Hear, hear.) I will now proceed, sir, briefly to lay before-the House a statement of the present engagements of the several provinces, begioning with Canada: I tind that our whole debt, exclusive of the Common Sohool Fund, which does not form a portion of our engageunents relatively to the Lower Provincess amounts to 867,$263 ; 995$. The debt of Nova Scotia is 84,$858 ; 547$, and that of New Brunswick \$5,702,991; and I: may notice, with reference to the debts: of Nova Scotia and New Brunswick, that in the case of Nova Scotia a portion of their liabilities, to the amount of searly half a million of dollars, consists of treasury notes, while the policy hat been pursued both in that province and in New Brunswiok of retaining in the hands of the Covernuent the Savings Bank deposits of the people, which form, therefore, a part of the liabilities I bave named to the extent of $81,167,000$. It must thenefore, be observed that the rate of interest on the debts of these two colonies is not," on the whole anount, higher than that which the bulk of the Canadian debt now bears. Newfoundland has only incurred liabilities to the extenti of 8946,000, bearing interest at five per cent., while Prince Edward Islaind owes $\$ 240,673$. The total liabilities of those provinces are, therefore, $811,748,211$, against the interest on which may be placed the net revenues of the railways which are the pruperty of those provinces, and which produced last year a net amount of about 8100,000 . In addition to the existing liabilities of Nova Scotia und Now Brinswick, there are certain further congagements they have incurred for the extension of their railway system requiring futare provision to the extent, in the case of Nova Scotia, of
$\$ 3,000,000$, and in that of New Brupswick of $81,300,000$. It must be evident to the House that, in entering into such, a partnership as is proposed, some common basis nust be arriyed at on which each province must enter into the Confederatiou. Traing all the engageruents: present and future of Nova Seotia and New Brunswick, it was found that, relatively to their populations, they anounted to about $\$ 25$ fer head, and this amoupt, ass applied to Canada, would gntitle us we enter the union with a debt of $\$ 6 \%$ 000,000, Sime difficulty might hate oceurred in reducing bur debt to this amount had it not betn-apparent, on examination, that a considerable portion of it whs connected with local advances, such as the Municipal Lan Fund, which die's ant properly belong to the saue category as debtegntracted in connection with ơr system of puble ima: provements, and the management of whichis intended to be confided to the General Goyernment, but rather partakes of a local character, and should iupre properly be left in the hands of the loeal legiblatures, It will therefore be found provided in the resplutions. that:in assuming fer itnelf, apart from the General Gireminent the numplas of debt of
 of Cinada beame entithed to withiraw from the general aserta all those items' which were of a tocal charact, r , and fir whicha portion of its debt had been in-urred. Han not this meams beea adopted, it would haye been neces sary to permit all the L. ivere Previnces to increase their cobligations beyoud thoue for - which their leristatures have hitherte had to provide, and bring in larger detes to the Confederation than they will now dy, and a ment unnecessary and prodigat espondurere of publio money would have bera the equedueace. It wras wise, thea, twenutine the habilitites of tine General Goverument' simply to thise debtad which had been tincurred tor purymetsin of general improvenent, and toprovide beanly, in this country. for the aswupting of the surplus, together, with the asoets which had itery created by it.

Hon. Mr. DORIO. - lo the $\$ 67,2+3,905$ stated as the debt of Canadi, thelude the original seigniorial indemnity given tu Lpper and Lower Canada, under the Aet of 183 '?

Hon. Mit GALT-Yes; that amount does inela we the indennity, and umong the arrangements contemplated by the Government, :ab suming that Contederation does take place, they: will subuit, fur the consideratiou of this Hoase, a project for the assumption by Lowor Canada of the seigniorial indeynnity prorided
by the Act of 1859 , whereby it will be rendered unnccessary to give an equivalent indemnity to Upper Canada, thus saring upwards of three millions of dollars. (Hear, hear.) I would desire again, Mr. Speaker, to refer to the position of the Lower Provinces, and to call the attention of the House to the fact that both in the case of Newfoundland and in that of Prince Edward Island, their liabilities are very much less in proportion to the popu: lation than those of the three larger provinces; and in order to pernit of their enteriag into the union upon far terws, it was necesciry to provide that they should be allowed to revilye from the general exchequer a sum equal to the inter st upon the amount of debe which they had not been obliged to contract: By this means provision was in fact made for the thatinter' ance of their local governments, while at the sime time a cause of future complaint was removed. (Hear, hear.): It now beconies my duty to subinit to the House satement of the resources which the several provinces pro pose to bring into the common stock, and I may add that for the purpone of this stitement being more readily verified, the financial re turns of 1863 have been taker av the standard. From these returns it would appear that the incone and expenditure of the several pro vinces stood in" that year as follows: Nova Scotia, with a population of 338,837 , had an income of $\$ 1,185 ; 629$, her outlay being $\$ 1$, 072.274 ; Nuw Brunswick, with a popatation of $232,(47$, had an income of $\$ \times 44, \mathrm{~N} 36$, and an outlay of 884,613 , Newfoundland, with a population of 130,100 , had an incenite of 840,000 , the outlay being 8474,120 , Prince Edward Island, with a population of sutu00, had an incoue of $\$ 197$, tist $\mathrm{t}_{\text {, }}$, the outlay being 8171,718 . The thal reveuue of all these colonies amounted wo $82,763,044$, and the total expenditure to $82,605,025$ the united surplus over expeaditure dor 1803 , being \$154,979. It will be observed that as regards these provinces their inegme and expendituro are such that they will enter the Confederation.with a futancial position in ao referet inferiur to that of Canada. If an whectiou were made with respeot to any province in regard to its funancial position, it woula be againat' Canada. The Lower Proviace have been and are now tii a pooition to meet, from their tasation, all their expensers, aad capant be regarded as briagiag ainy burthen to the people of Canada. It is not aecesstary for me to say anything in reference to the Gnaucial prosition of Canada in 1863; but it must be gratifying to the Honsa to know that $t^{t a}$ a
ficiency which unfortunately existed during that year was removed in 1864, and that, therefore, we are not obliged now to propose to enter the Confederation in an inferior po sition. in this respect to that of our sister colonies. Hear, hear.) The revenues of each of these provinces' are, as the House is 'mell arare, collected under different systems of taxation, suited to the local industry and the trants of their several populations. It is, therePore, manifest that one of the first duties of the fieneral Legislature will be to consider the imonley by which the burden of taxation can be mut easily borne by the industry of the whole country, and to assimilate the several sources of revenue whieh are now in ozistence in such manner ax will least interfere with the profitable exercise if the industry of the people. It wrould be entirsly out of place for me, sir, to attermpt on this occasion to indicate what the policy of the General Goverument may be, but one thing must be evident to all, and that is, that where the taxation is about equal per heal: the adjustment of it cannot be attended with any injustice to the people of any of the several provinces. Reductions may be made in var customs', on the one hand; and, perhaps, "n the other, some portions of our compmerce may be rulityed from the exactions to which they are now subjected. Apart from the advantayts which will uanifestly flow from the free trade which will hireafter exist between us, it must be clear to every meinber of the House that the crodit of each and all the proviaces will be greathy adranced by a anion of their resoures. A largor fuad will be arailable as security to tho publice creditor, larger industries will bee subjected to the action of the Legishature tor the maintenance of pablic credit, and we will also see removed some of those apprehensions which have latteriy affected. the publio credit of this country: (Hear, hear.) It must be evident, for it is proved by the tuctuating quotations of the securities of these proviaces in london that the appreheasion of war with the United States-which has, unfortunately, affected the pricess of Cauadian bonds-has not to the sanue Pytent effected thuse of New Brunswiok and Nova Scotia, which aro losis exposed to hostile attact ; and we may therefore hope that the union, while it affords us greater poscoarces, "will, at the mame time, earry with it a greater sense of security. (Hear, hear.) I must now enquire whether the proposed system- of general ind local goveruments, as regards the interestio to which I have already ulluded, is Mkels to work beneficially;
and this brings me to the consideration of the question of the means that will be at the disposal of the general and local governments. It must be admitted that having the power of taxation in their ówn hands, it will be the fault of the General Legislature if any embarrassinent is felt in nieeting the expenditure of the General Government. "Before, however, passing to the consideration of the means at the disposal of the local governments. Thould take this opportunity of replying to the honorable member for Hochelaga, in Breference to the export duty on timber in New Brunswick, and the royalty in Nova Seotia on the produce of the mines. This has arisen from the circumstance that in the former province it wis found both expensive and inconvenient to attempt to levy their timber dues in the forest, and they therefore adopted the plan of causing theur to be paid in the form of an export duty upon the clearances of vessels at the custom house. If, therefore, provision had not been made for securing to New Brunswick the payment of these dues, that province would have been deprived of the large amount which its territorial timber contributes to the revenue, and the General Legislature would have been required to increase the proposed grant to that province by. an amount equal to those dues-somewhere about 890,000 per anruun. In the case of Nova Scutia - hot possensiug any public lands or timber to any extent-her territorial revenue is almost wholly derived frow her nines, and collected in the forin of royalty. Her representatives at the Conference pointed out that if the policy of the General Goverament shuald be to impose an export duty on her coal, it would virtually oblige her either to relinquish the royalty, which now forms a lango source of her revenue, or subnit to be placed in a. nuost disadrantageous position in compoting in. the American markets with the coal of that conntry. For these reasons an exception was nuade in the case of both of these provinces, such as has been alluded to by the honorable member. (Hear, hear.) "In the case of Newfoundland, an arrangement has been mado whereby the whole of the territorial rights of that colony have Been ceded to the General Governuent, and I will take the opportunity, when sdverting to the means of supporting the Lucal Government of that colony, to explain the manner and the consideration for which these rights were so ceded. (Hear.) I now propose, sir, to refer to the means which will be at the disposal of the severail local goveruhyints to enable them to adminiater
the various matters of public policy which it is proposed to entrust to them, and it is evident that unless ample provision is made in the arrangements, great danger will arise that the machinery whereby the local wants of the people are, intended to be met will speedily become impaired causing complaint on the part of the inhabitants of the respectivé localities, and involving considerable danger to the whole machinery of government.. (Hear, hear.) In the case of Canada it will be remembered that the sum of nearly five millinns of the public debt thas to be borne by Tpper and Lower Canada. It will hereafter be for the House to deeide hoy this sum shall be apportioned, bat the probability is that the Goveriment will recommend that it shall' be divided on the basis of population. (Hear, hear:) It must be remembered that. Canada will have at its disposal a large amount of the local assets, including especially the suus due to the minnicipal loan fund, which will pruduce ani income for the support" of their local in-stitutions. As a matter of account between Upper and Lower Canada and the Generat Government, they will be charged with the interest on, their respective proportions of the five millions/ugainst the subsidy which it is proposed thall be"given to thein,' while they thenselves will colleet from the municipalities and other local sources all the revenue and anounts which now enter into the general reyenue of the Province of Canada. : The yuestion of the sub-division of the local awisets of Canada is not; however, before the House: What we have now to consider is whether the bargain as between Canada as a whole and the Lower Provinces ought to be assented to. If it' be ascented to the yuestion will arise, how shall we deal with the local matters between Upper and Lower Canada? and a proposition will be brought down which I hope and believe will satisfy both sections; and do them substantial justice.

Hon. Mr. DORION-Will Lower Canada be charged with the municipal loan fund, the seigniorial indemaity, and the educational indemnity?

Hon. Ma. GALT-I must repeit that, no matter what views the Government may have on the distribation of the liabilities as between Upper and Lower Canada, they will be susceptible of alteration in any way the House may see fit, this being a matter solely of local arrangement, and in no respect involving the agreement entered into with the other provinces ; bat I most puint out that, as regards the original seigniorial indemnity and the mu:
nicipal loan, they are, both included in the sixty-seven millions already stated as the fia bilities of Canada, and cannot, therefore, form any additional charge against Lower Canada. (Hear, hear:) Indeed, as reyards the Municipal Loan Fund, instead of being stated as a liability, it appears that the sums due under it are, in conneetion with the question as. I now view it, to be regarded in the light of assets, because we are considering now. the sums received as assets by Lower Canada. The Municipal Loan Fund being one of them, the sums due to it under the existing provincial arrangements will becone payable as an asset to that section of the province. (Hear.) It will be observed that in the plan propused there are certain sources of lowal revenue reserved to the Local Governments, arising from territorial domain, lands, mines, de. In the case of Canada, a large sum will be received from these resources, but it tuay be that some of them," such as the Municipal Loan Fund, will become efthansted in cuurse of time. We may, however, place just confidence in the developinent of our resources, and repoese in the belief that we shall /ind in our territorial domain, "our valuable "rinies" and vur fertile lands, additional sources of revenue far beyond ther requireurents of the public service: If, nevertheless, the local revenues become inadequate, it will be tyecessary for the local governments to have resiort to direct tasation; and I do not hesitate to say that one of the wisest provisions in the propased Cunstitution, and that which affords the suress guarantee that the people will take a healthy interest in their own affairs and sew that no extravagance is committed by thrise placed in power over them, is to be found in the fact that those who: are called upori to administer public affairs will feel, when they resort to direct taxation, that a solema responsibility rests upon them, and that that rempousibility will be exacted by the people in the most peremptory manner. (Hear, beary If the men in power find that they are required, by ueans of direet taxation, to procure the funds neecer sary to administer the local affairs, for which abundant provision is made in the sethecue, they will panse before thoy cuter upon any eareer, of extravagatice. Inded, I do nit hesitate to say, that if the public yen of these provinces were sufficiently educsted to uniderstand their own interests is the true lyght of the principles of politival econotuy, it would be foumd better now to substitute direet taxation for some of the indirect urodes by whioh tasation has been imposed upon the indusury
of the people. (Hear hear.) I do not, however, believe that at this' moment it is possible, nor do I think the people of this country would support any government in adopting this measure unless it were forced upon them by the pressure of ap overwhelming.necessity the neeessity of providing, by extraordinary means, aganant dangers by which the peace, happiness and prosperity of the country may be threatened, in fact, by some of those great disturbing causes" which are frequently the beginining of the most iupportant financial changes. (Hear, hear.) The local revenue of Epper Caninda during the last four years has averaged the sum of 8739,000 , and that of Lower Canada, 8557,239, together they ainount to nearly $\$ 1,300,000$. independent of the eightity cents per head which it is proposed to allow the local governmenss out of the general excheyuer, for the purpose of meeting their leeal expenditures. T These local expenditures include such items 'as the aduinistration of justice, the support of education, grants to literary and scientific societies, hoopitaly and charities, and such other niat, bers as cannot be regarded as devolving upon the General Governument.: The whole charge, exclisive of the expenses of local government anal leyishation, on an average of the last four years, has in Lower Camada amounted to 8997,000, and in Upper Canada to 81,024 , Q2e per aninum. In addition to theso sumes will have now to be added such amounts as may be required to meet the cost of the Civil Goyerimueat of the country and of the Levislation for loeal purposes. It may be dificult to form any reliable estimate of the sums required tor this purpose, but wher the House considers that, aecording to the statements given of the expenditure during the last four years, there will be avaik, able in the whole Provinice of Canada the sum of no less that $\$ 1,043,015$, it muast I thiuk, bie admitted that if those changed with the administration of tlocal affiars in Upper and Lower Canads esceed this amount they will be guiley of a degree of profligacy and extravayance for which a speedy remedy will be found by the peesple. (Hear, hear.) With refference to the Luwer Pruvinees, the delegates from them to the Conferenco were isked what reductions they could make in the existing cost of the goverament of their several colonies, and the figures I'aim about to give "will be found mavet satisfactory, wis showing their disposition to reduce their requireinents to the lowest pposible sum. In the cate of Nova Scotia, the estimate of outlay in 1804 for
objects of a local character required an expenditure of no less than $\$ 667 ; 000$. Some portion of this expenditure was for services that did not require again to be performed, buit it is gratifying to observe that they have undertaken to perform the whole service in future for 8371,000. (Hear, hear.). In the case of New Brunswick, in 1864 the estimated expenditure was 8404,000 , which they have undertaken to reduce to $\$ 353,000$, and at the same time they have further undertaken within ten years: to make an additional reduction of $\$ 63,000$, thus reducing the whole expenditure in the future to $8290,000 \ldots$ (Hear, hear.) Prince Edward Island; with an expenditure of 8124,000, proposes to perform the same local duties that formerly required $\$ 170,000$; and in Newfoundland an ontlay of 8479,000 has been similarly reduced to 8350,000 . (Cheers.) The House must now, sir, consider the means whereby these local expenditures have to be met. I have already explained' that, in the case of Canada, and also in that of the Lower Provinces, certain sources of revenue are set aside as being of a purely local characteŕ and available to meet the local expenditure; ;bat I have been obliged in my explanations with regurd to Canada to advert to the fact that it is contemplated to give a subsidy of 80 cents per head to each of the Provinces. In transferring to the General Government all the large sources of revenue, and in placiog in their hand with a single excepption, that of direct taxation, all the means whereby the induistry of the people may be made to contribute to the wants of the state, it must be evident to every one that some portion of the resources thus placed at the dispissal of the General Government must in some form or other be available to supply the hiatus that would otherwise take place between the sources of local revenue and the deinands of local expenditure. "The members of the Conference anosidered this ! !uestion with the niost earnest desire to reduce to the lowest posible linits the sum that was thas required, and I think the tigures that I have already given to the House; afford the best. possible evidence that no disposition existed, at any rate on the part of our friends from the Lower Provinces, to take from the public exehequer one shilling more than the necessities of their respeotive communities absolutely demandel. (Hear, hear.). In the case of Canada, perhape it will be naid that a smaller sum would have met our immediate wants, but it was felt that it would be impossible to justify any distinction being drawn between subjeots of the same
country:" And' if' in Canada we receive perhaps a somewhat larger amount than we absolutely require, it ought rather to be a subject: of gratification to this House that it will possess the means of giving greater encouragement to our educational system, and greater developinent to those interests which are peculiarly entrusted to the charge of the local governments, and this, too, without making any greater demand than is at this time made upon the resources of the people. (Hear, hear.) A subsidy of 80 cents per head was provided based upon the population according to the census of 1861. The ainount, if taken upon the basis of the presen't population, would undoubtedly be considerably less ; and it must be observed that the agree ment does not contemplate any future estension of this amount. It is hoped that being in itself. fixed and permanent in its' character, the local governments will see the importance - I may say the necessity-of their esercising. a figid and proper control over the expenditure of their several provinces: We thus obtain one of the greatest securities that can be offered to us that those influences which: in such a Legislature as wo now possess in Canada, are brousht to bear for the purpose of swelling the public expenditure, will not exist in the local legislatures but will meet with such a resistance, from the mere fact of the inability of the local gorernments to obey them, as to produce a verý considerable saving in the general expense of the whole country. (Hear, hear:) I have now, Mr. Speaker, only to advert to the last question which I have stated is necessary to be decided on the preent occasion", and that is, whether under the proposed Confederation such additional expunses will be incurred as to render it undesirable. In considering this point, I must state that in my opinion the yuestion of expense alone is by no tueans a fair criterion by which to judge of the adrantages of a measure such as that now befire the House.: If it be looked at in its nost restricted sense, the only point in which additional expenee can be incurred, must be that of the sinple cost of governing the country. In no other way that I an able to we, can there be additional ex: pease charged upon the people; and luoking at it in this point of view, we may well doubt whether the aggiegate change will be greater for the Geveral Government, caring for the general interests of the whole, and for the local governments, attending werely to the local business of each secticti,- we may well doubt; I say, whether that expense will be
greater; in any considerable degree; than that which is required for our Gorernment ander the present system. (Hear, hear.)"On the one hand we shall be free from the empty parade of small Courts entailed by our present system on each of these provinces, keeping up a pretence of regal show when the reality is wanting; we shall have the legislation of the General Government restricted to those great questions which may properly occupy the attention of the first men in the country ; we shall not have our time fritected away in considering the merits of petty local bills, and therefore we may reasonably hope that the expenses of the General Legislature will be considerably less than even those of the Legislature of Canada at the present moment. -while, on the other hand, the local legis: latures having to deal rather with municipal than great general questions, will be able to dispose of them in a manner mire satishactory to the peoplec and at infinitely liss expinse than now. I believe, therefore, the "imple cost of the Government of the country will not be in reality any greater under the new than under the old system but there are other items of expenditure 'for great' public objects, the absence of "which trom the esti, mates of any country is an indication rather of weakness and of dependence than a sub ject thate ought to form a suurce of atisfaction. If sach items are not now fhund in the public expenditure, either of Canada or the Lower Provinces, it is the best ${ }^{2}$ proot that could be given that our position is one of inferiority, and that we do not' possess ecther the power or the mieans to undertake such works as make suoh items necessary. Let me give one or itwo points as examples of mg tueaning ;' and fret I will instance the great yuestionof defence- (hear, hear-the absence of items of expenditure tirs which exu only be an indication, that we are lacking in one of the chief elements of national zreatuess; that we do not properly value the instifutions under which we hive 3 ad that we are not willing to taske the sacritices that every free people inust make if they are desirous of preserving them. The same aryument applies to public works. in connection with which it might be said that great adrantaye would arise from large. expenditure, but with linited resources and an undereloped territory it might be impos. sible for any small country to undertake the necessary outlay. Many works of this kind are not directly productive of revenue, although indiregtly of the utmost adrantage, and if tha resouree of country genurally capios
be applied to that outlay, the absence of such expenditure ought to be' a subject of regret in the community, and not of rejoicing. (Hear, hear.) In this riew let us look at the immense extent of territory that stretches away west of Upper Canada. The reason why we have not been able to assume posses sion of that territory and open it ur to the industry of the youth of this country who, in consequence of the want of some such field for the employment of their energies, have been obliged to go of to the States in thousands, especially to those states possessing the boundless resources of the great North-West, is because thicre sourees of Can-ada-great as they have been, considering the disadvantages under which she has laboredhave been inadequate for the development of this great district. Now, one of the resolutiong uf the secheme before the House refers to this game question; and. I believe that one of the first acts of the General Govermment of the ${ }^{-}$United Provinces will be to enter into public obligations for the purpose of opening. up and developing that vast region, and of making it a source of strength instead of a burden to us and to the Mether Country also. (Hear, hear.): Looking, however, to the whole question of expense, I must say that if the benefits of Confederation are to be weighed against the loss of three or four huadred thousand dullars, the House had better carefully consider whether the people of this country will not aceept the former at such comparatively trifing cost-whether they will not feel that a union with a million of their fellow colonists. is worth much nore to thom than any small peconiary question of this kind that may arise. (Hear, hear.) I trast the House will not permit the question to be judged of in a small, contracted manaer. I trust it will keep in riow the desire the coantry manifeste for the utaiost possible development of its resources. Let us endeavor by this measure to allord a better opening than we niow possess for the industry and inielligence of the people. Let us seek by this scheme to give thern bigher and worthier objecta of aubition. Let us not rojeot the seherue with the bright prospect it offete of a nobler future tor our youthr and grander objects for the emülation of our public uen. Let us not refuse it on mall questions of detail, but judge it on its general merits. Let as not loss sight of the great advaitiages which union offers because there may be some mall maters which, as individuals, we may not like. Let us trast that this machinery, bowever fandty
it may be, will yet under Providence open up for this country a happy career; while at thie same time the House must not forget that it will for ever remove the great and crying evils and dissensions which have existed in Canada for the last ten years, and which hare threatened to plange the country into the most disasterous and lamientable state of discord and gonfusion. (Cheers.) Surely this last fact alone will commend the project to the House. It should induce the Legislature and the people to make every allowance for the men who have been engaged in the work, and lead them to approach the result of their labors as now subbritted, not in a hypercritical spirit so that the public mind may be led astray on mere matters of detail! Let the House frankly and kindly look at it as a great measure brought dowa for the purpose of relieving the country from distress and depression, and give it that consideration which is due, not to the arguments of the Governmient, feeble :as they may be in view of the great. interests involved, but to the fact that the country desires and "cries for, at the" hands of the House, some nieasure whereby its internal prosperity, peace and happiness nray be developed and maintained. (Loud cheers.)

On motion of Hon. Mr. Bzown the debate wais then adjourned.

## LEGISLATIVE OOUNCIL.

## Wepnespar, February 8, 1865:

Hon. Mr. ROSS continued the debate as follows:-Honorabl gentlenen will remember that I yesterday moved the adjournment with the intention of replying to the remarks of the hon: member from Niagara Division (Hon. Ma. Cuarie), who engaged the attention of the House durirg most of its sitting. From its commencement to its cunclusion, the speceh of that honorable geutleman was of a most remarkable character. At its very outset he took the opportunity of yuting some parts of the first speech he made in this chauber, two years aso in which he strongly approved of the principle of a Confederation between Canadia and the Lower Provinces, and in some portions of his yesterday's speech he reiterated in a very decided manner his approval of suleh ageheme. Butother parts of his speech were of tuch a character that if any of the promoters of Conlederation had been at first inclined to numbor him-awong the friends of

Intercolonial Union, they might afterwards have said "save us from our friends." (Hear.) He took the very singular course of first decrying the credit of the Lower Provinces, and then decrying that of Capada itself, endeavoring to show first that we were making a very bad bargain in uniting our destiuy with such poor provinces ay they were, and afterwards that such was" our state of bankruptey that they would be very foolish indeed in joining their fate - with ours. (laughter.) It would, indeed, be almost a sufficient answer to the honorable meniber to take his speech in separate paragraphs and to place certain of them opposite to others as the reply, for a more illogical and inconse quential address I hardly over heard: Nor was he content with dealing in what he thought was irony or sarcasio, but ventared to attack important statemente of fact made by the pub-Lie men of this and the other provinces. Now; if we are to have a Confederation at all, I think we should be carcful what language we use with respect to such men, and what statements we place before the public. If language such as the hon member permitted himself- to use be encouraged, it will be tripussible to secure the good feoling and harmony which are indigpensably pecessary to the well-working of the contemplated union. I am, howerer, satistied that the sober sense of the House will condemin such language, not only when it comes from the kon. member for Niagara, but when falling from any other hon memiber, (Hear, hear.) The hon. member commenced his attacky upors the pablic men of the provinces by quoting from a speech of Mr. LiNca, recently deliyered at Hulitay, and did his best to tura it into ridicule, as well as tó excite contemptuous laughter at the expense of that genteman. Now the statements Mr. Mrncs made are facts, not foolish inventions, wo the hon. mem: - ber pretended. That eenteman spoke by the book, and relied for his intormation upog the official report of one of our publie departmente, and it the hone meniber will tura to the ceasus of 1852 , he will find, at wage 32 , a table comparing the produce of Canada and the United States; from which it appeans that, while that of the later increased is per cent.; that of Cainada increased 400 per cent. during the previous decade. This is what Mr. Ly yod stated, and what the hon member for Siagara asserted to be untrue.

Hons Me CURRE-That mas between the years 1841 and 1851 , while the remarka of Mr. Ly vcir had retereuee the the subsequant
decade.

Hon. Mr: ROSS-It is not so; Mr.LTNCH spoke of an increase of ten years; he read from our official records in proof, and read correctly. : The hon member probably derived his information from some newspaper, and the error he has committed should teach him to be more cautious how he assails public men on such evidence. (Hear, hear.) He then turned from Mr. Lysci to the Rremier of New Brunswick, a gentleman of the highest char acter and ability, who is so strong in the esteem and contidence of the people of that province that it seems impossible to displace him. Now I maintain that, to say the least it is in extremely - bad taste to attack high-placed public men, especially those of other conntries, and more especially those of the sister colonies, as the hon. member has done.
'HoN. Mr. CURRIE-I did not' attack them,

Hox. Ma. ROSS-The hon. member hay attacked their veracity; he has denied the correctness of the statements they made openly as public uren: The Hon. Mr Thasx quoted the figures of our own Minister of Finance; and the hoo. member represented him' as not speabing the truth, but as, in effoot, atternpting to deceive those whor he addrissid.

HoN. Mi CURKIE-I beg to know when the Finance Ministor of Canada stated that the aperige ditios collected in Canada were 11 per ceint. The figured-

Hon. Mit RUSS-The honorable member will find it in the Finance Minister's speech, and while I do not think it proper in him to interrapt me for the purpose of geing into calculations just at this moment, 1 maintain that by taking all the niaports, including those free of duty, the honorable member will find that the rate. stated is exacely correet. The imports in 1863 amounted to $815,96,493$; and the duty collected was $85,169,173$, which is juast 11 per ceat. of the whole I repeat, honorable genelened, that; ingtead of making such attack on great public ment I vonceive it to be more properly our duty to detend them." (Hear.) Having that dispuied of the remarks the honorable neeubet made on the veracity of Mr. Mrsca and Hoa. Mr. Thlefr, I will now advert to that portion of his rumarlss in whieh he endeavived to ofow that Hon. Mr. Gacris stiatementa were hucorrcet. He referred to the figures respecting the tonnage of the proposed Confederation as guoted by Hon. Mr. Gaif, and poolh-pooled bio remarks in a way which was no doabtintended to be very amusing. The Minister of Finame deelared that wheie the Union wiae offected, we should be, he be-
lieved, the third largest country is the world as regards the tonnage of our commercial marine, though possibly France, might be about on an equality with us. Eingland; he said, was the first, the United States the recond; and either, France or the contemplated Con federation would be the third; and this is true. I will read the statement of that honorable gentleman:-
The sea-roing tonatage of Canda, including that of the inland lakes; amounts to about niub million tons, a yreat portion of which, huwever, represtents the tonumy of veselsts pertiorming the coastiang service, many of whieh frequentily clear axd artive in the course of 'one day.. It is gratitying to know that the trade betiveen Cunada and the States on the other side of the lakes tis of ia untife ts ique eutplognent to a lurye portion ot
 I camnot eluss that in the same ceategory as the tönuage auriving at Qaiblec and Montroal which; in most cestes, can only make two or thrue trips per asinum: The seiz zoiug thatiage of Catuads amounts to $2,133,000$ tons of Xep Brunswick,

 supject only to a suall dednction in actailly abrout five million toris.
The way the honorable Minister of Finance tuade up his statement was exactly similar to that in which the same kind of statistical statameats were made up in Eagland the 'tiited States, and othor greai maritime sountriess thio object being to show the actual atuount of tonage employed during tach year in the varrying trade. It dees not mather whether a vessel is engaged in lung or short voyayos, if it be oinployed mendy as a ferty, the fatt of Its being es emploged in earrying joveds inwards or vutwards is a proof that it, tonaze eapacity is required by the trado of the countries to and from which it phes. (Hear.) But the honotable miember made it appearar natruly that the stateuent of the hoa: wrable the Minister' of Finance with revpect to the toanige euploygd on the Ganailian lakes was put forth for the purpose of mideading tha pablic aud inducing thein arrouevisty to. bellive that the Confieleration will have a prozuicisa p plawe aluong the great maritime nationio by reamou of the tounage sanployed in its trade. It. Galr's stateneat was that the
 tion would bee the third largest etaployed in the crade of the world, and the bitaisties reparding the tuninage of the inland waters of Capada were superadded to those of the sear: groige tonange of the Union. The two statemeats wero made perfeodly distinots in overy
table and every speech emanating from the Minister of Fiaance or his department. It is thus the honotable member has availed himself of his position for the purpose of trying to "throw dirt_npon our leading states-men-of endearoring to asperse the characters of our most distinguished public mien, and I repeat, for I cannot too strongly urge. it upon the House, that we ought to dis countenauce such detempts, for we should consider the character of our public men as public property, not to be lightly attacked and damayed. If we are to enter into this scheme, we should at:least do so quassailed by our 'own peeple, and with as good a"public reputation as we deserve. (Hear, hear.) The hionorable niember next proceeded to read extracts from old chlopeses and ocher newspapers, in which," with the tharacteristic features and bitter teelings of the times in which they 'were written, certain' things were stated not specially commendatory of some of the C Coma dian unisisters now concerned in the prepara tion of the Confederstion scheate. I aw not here tu defend these gentlemen- -the Hon: Mresras Brow, and McDoçall, his own party leaders, whou he attacked-nor do I itutend to miake remarks upon past events, but this I will say, that the parties alluded to. hive vatered upon the ir present work with the sincre intention, I believe, of putting an end to the grave dififulties' which have so long. distriacted the country: This they have done with the full conurreace and approbation of thecir pilitical friends," whose advice they souyt before ontering the Administration; and 1 thiak that, uyder the vircumstances, instail oft beiag reproadhed and held up to pubHie ceasure, they ought to be treategd with conbidence and zencrosity. I 'I bavo bitherto alim ays listened to the qoonorable member with pliasure, even when 1 could not agtee with biun, aud uyen in certain parts of the spetech to which I sui now retierrigg, the honorable meauber exhibited coasiderable ability; "but 1 dur think coasiduring it as a whole, that a more illogical, self: wontradietory, and generally objectionable address has seldou been mate in the Canadian Legislature. Upon reviewing the goneral ofleet of this re markable effort, 1 cau ouly compare it with the performances of the Parroth guns discharged quainst Fort Fisher, ;ix of which, wo have been told, stighty waunded two of the teiemy, but killod and disabled about ifty of the wen who sutred them. I take it that Hou. Messors. Tuhex and Lxinge havo got cte witt very slight wounds indeed, and that
any damage done is to the honorable member's own friends. (Hear, hear, and laughter.) I will now come more closely to the subject under debate, the proposed Confederation of Canada and the other British North American colonies, and in doing so I feel I am dealing with a matter in which is bound up the happiness and prosperity of the country, not for the present only, but for a long course of years to come. I only wish the honorable member. for the Wiagara Division had read the debates which preceded the establishment of the Ame-rican Constitution after the Uipited States had gained their independence:- I especially advert to the dëbates in the Councils of Virginia, which at that time, by reason of its wealith and population, bore a similar relation to the other colonies to that which Canada now bears to the Lower Provinces:" If he had read the speeches of the Madsons, the Marinalis, the Ran" dolphs, the Hevrys, the Lees and others, he would have found no passage in keeping with the sentiments he uttered yesterday. Those great påtriots evidently met under a deep sease of the responsibilities of their work, and instead of bringing into the debates the small villaye feelings and anímosities tending to enbarrass and to destroy harmony, they peted like great men, true and noble then"as they were and applied themselves to their ta+k with the purpose of bripging it'ty'a succeisfful issue. The confederation which they first established, in the year 1781, did not work well. It remained puor, without repect abroad. or prosperity at houe tha so in 1789 they aban. doned that condition of existence and adopted the Constitution which lasted until the com mencement of the present unfortunite war, and noxy giveris the Forth. In speaking of the Constitution prepared by our delegates; the bonorable member for Niagara said it was neither one thing now another st wis neither legislative nor federative, buta monyrel non: descript scheme betweeu the two a constitution tor which there was no preedent in all the world's history: Such, at least, was tha ctitect of the words he usod. "It tuappesta, however, to beafact, that in oppoxitifo to the profound and enlightened opinion of the houorable member, the work of the delegstes hise received the approbation of sumit of the most eminent statesmen of Eugland, as well as that of the mose distinguished and able writers for the press of that country, which is at any rate' some small cousolation. I will say that it the delegates who met at Quebeo and prepared: that instrument were incompetent for the task, I do not know where others can be found to
do it better; and, after all, I think that, not withstanding the remarks of the honorable member, the disinterested testimonies to the value of the work done, coming from the quarters I have indicated, will be considered in Canada as having some weight. $\because$ (Hear, hear.) But since the honorable member regards this as a moingrel constitution, unworthy of acceptance, ought he not to have been ready to suggest something better? Should he not as a patriot have given the country the benefit of. his'superior wisdom? It is of no ase to look for a better form to the constitution of the ancient- republics which have passed away their having ceased to exist being of itself proof enough of their not being adapted to our wants. ${ }^{\circ}$ The honomble member might perhaps hase cited the Swiss and Dutch republics, or the constitutions of the United States of 1781 and 1789 , and if he had, the House would perhaps have been able to compare them with that now proposed, and arrive at some definite conclusion which might after all have been that ours, as now proposed, is that which promises best to secure freedom to. those who are to live under it, and stability for the political condition of our country: With respect to the Swiss Confederation, however well it may be considered to have worked, it is a fact that within our own time a civil war has existed atmong the cantons. ant that repablic has been upon the brink of destruction. As regards the Dutch ropublic, it is a matter of history how : it fell. Daring the whole of its struggle pgainst Puilif II., the provinces comprising it never had that ceutralized power which is uecessary to the stability of a government, especially one assailed by cnemies from, withoti, for two provineres, Guelderland and Overysel, coutributed trothing all that contert througheach standing upon its state rights-while anoms the temainine tive, by far the largest proportion was contribated by the one Provinee ot Hollind.: The natural result way that the republie, fell, and becalie a monarehy. The same evil lay at the root of the An inericain: Coustutution of 1781 , and after it had beina. adopted, so ill concertid and distanited were the efforts of the thiriesn states, that the arrangement would not work at all, so that deneral Wasuiveron was obliged to ask for and actaally obtained dietatorial powers, to enable trim to carry ha the evintest against Great Britain. The dificulties betwewn the North and the Suuth which now prevail, arose wholly upon the question of state rights, and had provisions uxisted in the Constitution of tho Acnerican

Union, similar to those which it is proposed to introduce into ours, the probability is the States would have remained united. (Hear, hear.). But the hon. nember said further that the soheme has taken the country by surprise. Now', I really beg to ask whether there is any foundation for such a statement? I most deliberately say that there is not. It must be well known to hon. members that the late Chief Justice Sewkli, who enjoyed the firiendship of the Duke of Kent, the father of Her Majesty the Queeni, so far back as 1814; addressed a letter to the noble Duke, recommending an union, for this fact is adverted to in Lord Duriam's report on the affairs of the British North American Provinces, Some ten or twelve years before even that, the Hon. Mr. Uniacke, of Nova Scotia, had made a gimilar suggestion, and from time to time, since then, the importance and desirability of the project has been openly advocated by leading pablio men in all the colonies, Amongst others, I may wention Archdeacon Sthacuan, the present venerable and Right Reverend Bishop of Toronto, whose enlightened opin: ions upon great public questions, have always commaned the utanost respect, and who, writing to Mr. Charyes Búlwer; the able Secretary of Loid Duriays, in 1838 , expressed himeself á follows:-

I have anly to add tat it will be a pleasure to pre to contribute cverything in my power to the prösperous issue of Lord Duranim's AdministraLion; and if Mr. Pirf conasdered the Constitation wheh he couterred upon thie Canadas one of the, glories of his life, what glory must redound to thio statesmunen who give a free Constitation to the Hritish North Americaacolunies, and by cónsoli. dating theni into one territory or kingdom, exalts them to a nation actitig in naty, and under the protection of the British Goverument; and thus not ouly ensuring sheir happiness, but proventing tor over the rad consequences that might arise fromin sival porior gething poosemsion of their chures.

Then it was formally presented and recommended in Lord Derhan's remarkablo report oil Canada and British North Aruerioa generally, so often quoted as a high authority and only yesterday by the honorable meinger himself Well, That did that distinguished noble. mand say on the subject. He said :-
How inteparably connected I found the intors eatia of Yoar flajeetrys Provincos in North Aingerica, co. What degree I mêt with common disorders, ee quining commong renedies, ts an important topic, which if will be my duty to disenus very, fully be" fore closing this report.

Again- On my firvt annival in Cabiada, 1 was
strongly inclined to the project of a Federal anion, and it was with such a plan in view that I dis. cussed a general measure for the government of the colonies with the deputations from the Lower Provinces, and with various leading individuals and public bodies in both the Canadas.
Brat I had still more strongly impressed on me the, great advantage of an united government, and I was gratified by finding the leading minds of the various colonies' strongly and generally inclined to a scheme that would elevate their countries into something like a national existence.
Lord Durbam, after expressing his opinion in the report as on the whole in favor of the Legislative Union, and referring to the influence of the United States as surrounding us on every side, goes on to say:-

If we wish to prevent the extension of this inflaence, it can only be done by raising up for the North American Colonist some nationality of his own, by" elevating these small and unimportant communities into a society having some objects of a national importance, and by thusgiving their inhabitants a country which they will be un willing to see absorbed eveninto one more powerful.
An union for common deferce against foreign enemies is the natural bond of connection that holds together the great communities of the world, and betweex no parts of any kingdom or state is the necessity for such an union more obrions than between the whole of these colonies.

The whole of this branch of this remarkable report on the subjeet of an union of the British American Provinces should be read by every uian in the several provinces; the anguments in its favor are so able and so unanswerable. (Hear, hear.) I will honestly say, as many others have said before me, that if. it could have becin attained, I would have preferred a Legislative Union, but it 'is' well understood that Lower Canada would never hayo agreed to it.
Hon: Sir E. P.TACHE-Nor the Lower Provinees.

Hon Ma ROSS-Nor, my honorable and gallant friend the Premier states, would the Lower Provinces have consented to it. He may well be supposed to know, for he was in the Conterence, presiding over its deliberations, and had the very best opportunity of ascertaining the opinions of the delegates, (Hear.) But comini down to later timiesthe times 'so well desseribed by' the hon. Premier in his excellent speed-when diffieulties between. Upper and Lower Canada bogan to thicken, the Hon. Mr Galt brought up the schemie of Colonial Federation as: the best modo of overcoming those difficilties, and mide s most able speech on the subjeot in his place in Parliament. Subsequenty, in

1858: that honorable minister entered the Government with the express understanding that the question would be dealt with. It is well known that he carried his point so far, that the subject was alluded to at the close of the session of 1858 , in the Speech of Sir E. Head, the Governor General, 'and courmunication with the Imperial Government for permission to negotiate with the Lower Provinces on the subject was then undertaken. Shortly atter this, three menbers of the Gorernment, niz.,"'Hon. Messrs. 'Cartier. Galt, and myself, went to Eugland, and on the 25th of Octuber, 1858 , we laid aur request before the Secretary of State for the Colonies, Sir E. B.-Lrtrồ, bat difficulties, not of our creation, intervened and easused detay-Lord. Derby's Government was defeated and the matter continued in abegance. To say, in the face of the facts I have stated, that the "project is unknown and has takea the country by surprise, is to say what is not the case, $\cdot$ Even last year it was distinctly referred to in "Mis Exceliency's Speech at the close of the Session, and Hon. Messrs." Brown, McDocanle and Mowit entered the Government with the express understanding that negociations were ty ensue to bring about the proposed Federation. Hon. Messrs. Brown and Muwat went back to their constitueats and were rendected by acclamation, and although Hon. Mr. McDutgali' w.ts defeated, he too nas subsequently elected for athother constituency by acclamation. These gentlemen, instead ot be ing decried and assailed tor the part they have aoted, "shuuld be houored fior their patriotisin.'. "There has been no such' thing as surprise. . The resolunous were seint to all the members of the Legisiatave shortly aftor they were fully settied upun, and evea before that the plan was published in all the news papers of the privince, athd 1 am at a lose to know how it could have been made unore public." It is true the Upposition have not hed public meetings to consider or object to the scheme, but the reason of thes is, that the majurity in ita favor is so enormóusly" large that they did not venture to do so. (Hear.). The next piege of disingenuousans, on the part of the honorable member was in stating the military power of the Lower Provinees at 65,000 thghting men. or in limiting to that number the men competent for military ser: vico-

Hon. Ma. CURRLE-No; I asid 12s,060, of whom 63,000 only were available, the rest being engaged on the water.

Hon. Mr. ROSS-Why did not the honorable member candidly state their census population, which at this time cannot be much short of a million sóns?

Hon Sir E. P. TAGHE With the permission of the honorable member I will state the result of my experience in this matter. I have been for some time attached to the Adjutant General's office, where I had the opportunity of examining the particularly correct returus of the Militia for Lower Cannda, and it always appears that out of a given mpulation of both sexes the one-ifth pait shews the exact number of meñ, between the ages of 18 and 60 , fit for military duty: : This is the case all the world over. The law is as unifortin as that which determines the relative numbers of the two sexes ; in all Christian countries the males being 21 and a traction to 30 females, while in countries where polygany exists the case is exactly pevensed, the females being 21 and a fraction and the males 20. I hive verified the fact that onefifth of our population shows the correot number of militiamen; and if the honorable member (Hon. Mr. Currie) will apply to the Adjutant General he will find it was so.

Hos. Mr. CURRIE-I have taken the tigures as furnished by a colleague of the hoomorable niezuber:

Hus. Sik E. P. TACHE Then wy colloague muart be in contradiction with myself. The number of militia-men in Cpper Canada, by the last census, was: 280,000 , which, minuli. phied by 5 , gives the population; with a few to spare.

How. Mr. ROSS-I think it is now undecessary for me to say anything eling this suibjects," as the hunorable mimber has beep fully answered by my honorable friead the Premier. All that I need add is that aceording tor the rule now stated, the million' of sotuls it the Lower Provinces would produce 210, 046 ingtead of 65,000 men; all capable of bearing arma, those eaployed on the water being as liable to serve as thoss anployed outhe land: It tust we shall never require to mitister our tighting men from any part of the propesed Coniedioration; but the beat preventative of danger is preparydnems to meet it. (Hear.) The hunorable member next cane to the question of the Intereolonial Railway, which atter all seems to be lis great peculiai torror-the great pillar which overshadows und oppreseas him. Woll, I will turn again to Lord Dcaidam'a report, is which the ful. fowing passage, remartably apposite to tho subject, appaars:-

The completion of any satisfactory communication between Halifax and Quebec would, in' fact, produce relations between these provinces that would render a general anion absolutely necessary, - Several survess proved that a railway wnuld be perfectly practicable the whole way. - The formation of a railroad from Halifas to Quebec would entirely alter some of the distinguishing characteristics of the Canadas. Instead of being shut out from all direct intercourse with England during half the year, they would possess a far more certain and speedy commuaication throtighout tie wiuter than they
now possess in samner. now possess in sumarer.

This passage greatly impressed the public men of the day - the Lafontanse-Batio WN Adninistration-in which Mr. Hisces "and the honorable Premier each had a place. It was under them that the railway legialation of the provinée received its first impulse, and last session I remember to have had oceasion to quote the preamble of an aet passed in 1851, which recites:-
That, wherear it is of the highest importance to the proyress and welfare of this provinee, that a Main Truink line of railway should be made thr wighout the length thereot, and from the eastrra fronisias thereif throaght the provinces of New Bruniswiek and Nowa Scotia to the city and port of Hatifar; and it it therefore expedient that every olfort should be made to ensure the constructhan of such ratiway,

The seoond elause of the aet
Authorizes the Governtaent, for the time berngt to negotiate with the laperial cioverament and Now Bruuswick and Nowa, Scotia, for the conseruetion of the thier, and to barrain therefor; the funda to be whtadited coniter Lanpertat gyaruatee.

This act, honorable gentlenuen, is still in fore, and from the time of ite passing there has olvays heon an anxiety among the pablio men of Canida to acomplish the constrnetion of a railway to Halifax All ocer governments, wichont exerdption, have felt in the sanue way; and the Mactovatip Steorrs Admiaiseration took "steps towarils such an end. But the difiroulties which followed stopped further pros gress, and, in faet had alnuose stopped legustativa altogethar. Now, however, ihe Hoa. Mr. Beowx hifuself has nude the ctomstruction of this railway a part of the propossed Constituthan, tuad hax maid, at a great meetime in To. romto, that if the project contaimed half-a-dozen intercoksial railways ho would go for thein all. (Hear, heair.) I feel marally cortoin that if tho subjeod were fairly disousued in every tova in Upper Canada, mine-teaths of the poople movild go huarily for it. Indeed, the railwas is absiolutely nevesiary and we cannot do vithout it. Upper Cauada alone, not to eppeak
of Lower Canada at all, requires it, and so well is this understood in the Lower Provinces that an opponent of the Hon. Mr. Tilley Hon. Mr. SMith-has lately said it was quite unnecessary for New Brunswick to spend any
money on the work, as Upper Canada must money on the work, as Upper Canada must build it for its own sake. As to the cost of this road, which has been so greatly exaggerated, Mr. Brypors, who mast be supposed to know something about the matter, has offered on behalf of an English company, to under:take the construction of the line for $8,500,000$ sterling Everybody knows how much that is, and when reciprocity is gone, Upper Canada will do well to build the road on its own account, if all the other provinces refuse. They will however not refuse, for the line is equally necessary for Lower Canada and the other provinces, and it is a great advantage to all parties that it should be so. New Bruns: wick requires it to opep up its rich intarior. country which contains, as I have learned from' advance reports of subordinate surveying engineers, some of the finest lands in the world. Halifax wants it, in order to bring freight to her great seaport when those of Quebec and Montreal are closed. It should have been commenced three years ago, and if it had it would now be built, and we should have heard nothing about the abolition of the Reciprocity Treaty. (Hear, hear.) The honorable member then asked why, since there was to be a dissolution and an appeal to the people of New Brunswick on the subject, there should not be one in Canida? The answer to that has already been given.. The teri of Parliament would have expired in that province on the 1st of Jupte, and as the members would then have had to go to their constituents to give an account of their conduet during the previous. fuar years, it was thought better to anticipate the time of its disaculution by three or four months. In Nova Scotia and Newfoundiand, however, where the elections were more recent; there are to be no olections. I will add that this modo of appealing to the people is yot British but American, as nader the British system the representatives of the peoplo in "Parliament aro presumed to be competent to decide all the public questionis sabimittad to them. When the anions between Bing land and Scotland, and between" England and Ireland were, efficected, there were no appeals to the people, it being assumed that the people's ohosen represeatatives were quite competent to. judge of the measurea. (Hear, hear.). Yet the members who have recently gone to the conutry hisve Sound publio opinion to be de-
cidedly in favor of the project．One honorable member（Hon．Mr．Macpiebsion）who repre－ sents 130,000 souls，has told the House that he has held meetings all over the vast Division for which he sits，and that in every case he has explained the subject to them without ＂finding a single person to oppose it．＂（Hear．） The honorable member for Niagara also ssaid， that the project has been unfairly brought down． Now，I contend that it was brought down in the only way in which it could be submitted to uis or to the people．Such a censure as this is beyond niy comprehension，and it has cer－ tainly not been shewn to my satisfaction，nor I should izsagine，to that if any body else，in what the untairness consists．（Hear．）Next the honorable member attacked the tinancial terms of the scheme，and rolled／up a－mass of figures which I strongly suspected the honor－ able member himselt did not understand．．． （Hear，and laughter．）The Minister of Finance fully and lucidly stated the case last． evening，and I will read part of his speech to show how satisfactorily the mather was explained．Hon．Mr．Galt said：

With refereace to the trade of this country，be． had takea the reiurns of 1563 ．Tho retiurns of the trade of Canuida，in that year，takiug exports and imports coijuntly，showed un agoresite oui $\$ 57,795,000$ ．Taking the census of 1561 ，chis trade represented thirty－ive dullay pus beint of the pupulation．The－ralue of the import and er． port trade of New Briuswick；for the sume year， reached $\$ 16,729.650$ ，amounting ： 0 stxty atix dol－ lars per head ot tts pupulation．The agaregate urade of Nova scotia，oor the same period，aniount－ ed to $\$ 18,62=3,3,5$ ，or tify－dix dollats per head of its people．And an the choo of Pructe Edward 1sland，the iaport and export trade anamated to $\$ 3,025,56.4$ ，representing thirty seven dullars＇pear head of the papulation of that collony．The value of the total trade of Newfoumdland was $\$ 1$, ， 2 the 031，or etghty－six dolliars per＇head．The whole of these tigutes represtented an aggregate trade of all the provinets，umounting to $\$ 137,447,567$ ． （Hear，hear．）

With respect to the reveave and expenditure of the proviaces，I tind a＇succinct statement in the speech delivered by Mr．Galt，at Sher－ brooke，as follows：－

| Nova Scotia | Hovenue． $\$ 1,183,524$ | Expeaditare $81,07^{7}, 274$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mow Brunswi | －899，991 | － 844.613 |
| Newtoundland（8062．） | \＄80，000 | 479，420 |
| Primee Edward Itany． | 197，384． | 171，718 |
| Canmula．．．．．．． | 9，760，316 | 10，742，00\％ |
| Total，13t3． $\mathrm{S}^{\text {d }}$ | 8！2，523，320． | 13，350，632 |
| Total，1stit．．．． | 14，223，320 | 13，350，832 |
| Estimated Surplus，184． |  | 8372， 888 |

The Hon．Minister of Finance made some admirable remarks，at Sherbrooke，with refer－ ence to the indebtedness of the colonies，where hegare to a public meeting the following table： Nova Scotia．．．．．．．．（1863）．．．．．．．．．$\$ 4,858,547$ Now Brunswick．．．． 6 Newfoundland $\ldots$ ．．．．（1862；．．．．．．．．．．． 946,000
Prince Edward Istand ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．240，673
Total，Maritime Provinces ．．．$\$ 11,748,211$
Canada，（1863）．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．67，263，994

Reasoning from these figures，Mr．Galt stated that the debt of Canadia amounts at the present time to about 827 per head，and that to enter into an equitable arrangement with the other provinces where the debts were about 825 per head either ours had to be reduced or theirs increased；that is，when made chargeable to the Confed eration－and as the fornier the preferable course，the surplus or excess of ours over 825 per head has to be locally sassumed by Ca－ nada．He also explained that the debes of Prince Edward Island and of Newtonadiand being less than 825 per hiead，an allowance had to be made to them to phace theme on an equal footing with the rest fof the colonies． I will add，for the information of the honor－ able meuiber for Niagara the following official fiyures，which are instructive as showing that the people of the Maritime Provinces are a people who contribute under their present tar－ iffs，s cunsiderable sum to thoir reapeotive treasuries：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { dety on mporty y⿴囗十⺝丶 head (1863). } \\
& \text { Newiunudland . . . ................ } 83.53 \\
& \text { - Nova Scotia . . . . . . . . . . ......... } 2.46 \\
& \text { Now Brunswick. ................... } 2.31 \\
& \text { Priuce Edward Lsland. } \because, \quad \therefore . .1 .69 \\
& \text { Canada . . . . . . . . . . . ... . . . . . . . } 1.53
\end{aligned}
$$

Looking at all these facts together，the con－ clusion appears to me irresistible that the arrangement pruposed is in every respect an equitable one，and that it has been mado with a view to give to each provinco as nearly al possible what is right and fair，as far ass what is right could be discovered．．No hunurable nember could wish that Canada should have undue advantages over the other parties to the compacs．The spirit in which the doliberations yf the Conferevee were conducted was the correct oat，and had its members tried twover－ peach each othor－had they not been inupressed with the necessity of mutual connesmions for the common good－no regult could over have been arrived at．（Hear，hear．）The next point tho honorable mamber touched was the
assets of the Lower Provinces, and he asked very emphatically what they had to bring into the partuership. He said we had our valuable canals, but what had they? Well, they have their own railways, built with provincial money. New Brunswick has 200 miles, equal in value to eight millions of dollars; and Nova Scotia 150 miles or thereabouts, equal to about six millions of dollars-though I am not sure of the exact extent.

Hon Mr. CURRIE-What do they pay?
Hon. Mr. ROSS-What do our canals pay? That, however, is not the question; our canals are assets and valuable assets too, even though they do not pay much directly, for they cheapen transport to an extraordinary extent. I remember the time when the freight of a barrel of flour from Toronto to Montreal cost one dollar, and now it is 10 d ; and one cwt. of mierchandize brought back also cost one dollar then, but now only 1 s . It is in this way that great public works are valuable to a country: As to the earnings of the Lower Province railways, the net profits-not the gross receipts, in New Brunswick, and 870,000 in $8140,000,000$ in New Brunswick, and 870,000 in Nova Scotia, which, at any rate, is something. The Welland Canal; of which the honorable gentheman spoke so much, did not pay even the interest on its cost; and if the canal on the American side of the Niagara is conssructed, as we learn from the American press it is to ve, the chief source of, its revenue will be cat iff, and so far from being the best of the canals in a paying point of view, it will be the worst of all thowe connected with the St. Lawrence navigation. Let me not be understocid, however; as depreciating the value of the Welland Canal. None is more ready than I am to adiait that ite construction was wise, and that it has proved and will continue to prove benetisial in the highent degree: (Hear.). The honorable member, living as he does on the very banks of the Welland Canal, very natarally asked how the oanals are to be enlarged? Wull, they will be the property of the Geaeral Hoverament, and when the trade reyuires it. that Governmënt will; no doubt, appropriate money for the work: (Hear.) As to local taxathen, all the provinces will be put upon the sathe feoting, sud nothing can be fairer. It 1 ppedr Canadp, which it: is asserted is so much wealthior than the other portions of the Coniederation, niquires mure than the dighty centis per head allowed to all the provincew, itse greater wealth will cause it tiel the taxation so much the lesso. (Hear.) The honorable-member next attocked the prov
posed constitation of the Legislative Council, and insisted not only that it should have remained elective, but that the principle of representation according to population should also have prevailed: But who ever heard that in a Federal Constitution the Upper House should be arranged on that principle? If that view be the sound one, the better way would be to have but one House, for the only effect of having two Houses, both elected on the basis of population, would be that one would constantly be combating the other, and the wheels of government would unavoidably be brought to a stand-still. In such a case the more powerful members of the Confederacy would be wholly unrestrained, and would completely overwhelm the weaker. This was fully considered on the adoption of a Constitution for the United States, according to which it is well known that the smaller States are represented in the Senate by the same number of senators as the larger ones-there being two members for each. The same principle has beon adopted in arranging the terms of this proposed union, and for the same reason; viz., to protect the weaker parties to the compact. (Hear.) The next point referred to by the honorable member related to the Common Sehools and the fund proposed to be created by the "Act of 18-19, but as the honorable nember has been intormed, one of its provisions, that relating to this fund, has never been carried out; with respect to the other, iny honorable friend the Commissioner of Crown Lands has already explained that the million of acres have been set apart and a fund year by' year created, while Parliament has annually set apart about $\$ 100,000$ for the support of the schools. Upper Canada then has suffered no injustice in this.o. (Hear:) The honorable member at last coneluded his remarks, by drawing a sorry pieture of the condition of Canada. According to him, it was about bankrupt when a number of selfappainted delegates met and devised this goheme for its further embarrassment. So far from this being the case, it is a matter of history that the Government was formed expresaly for the purpose of consilering and framing this very scheme, and getting rid of the dead-locks which havesoinjurionsly affected the legislation of the country. It appeared that by the tinie the honorable upember came to this part of his speech the becamo so excited that he hardly knew what he was saying. (Hear, hear.) I will concludo by reading an extract from a remarkable speech delivered by. His Honor the Speaker (the Honorable U.J.

Tessier) at a public meeting held in Quebec in 1858 , when the three delegates were in England pressing for Confederation. It is as follows:-
In 1819 and 1852 there were passed acts of os: Puviacial Parnament to give some kind of guarantee for the constraction of this (the Intercolonial) Railway: 'As'a member of the Canadian Legisiature, I pledge my best suppert to help thi? enterprise, and as to the Canadian nationahy;, distinct frum the English or French nationality, composed of the best qualtes of both, to which altusion bas been made, I share in this sentiment, and I hope to see growing a Canadian.Empire in North Awericas, formed by a Federal Cuion of all the colonies coniuected and linked together by this Intercolomal Railway, that may huld a position able to colunterbalance the graophty power of the Uuted States on this contuent.

I refer to this able speech to show the enlightened views which that honorable member held an the subject, in common with many other distinguished public men.' I have now done with/the speech of the honorable member for Niagarn, and will only say further that I hope the important subject before the House , will be fully and completely discussed, so that the fine merits of the scheme may be thoroughly understool: \& know it will be discussed calmly/ with matual forbearance and kindness, and with the excellent dispositions which honorable gentlemen usually bring to the consideration of the matters subnitted for their judgraent. (Hear, hear, and applause.) I feel satisfied that after such discussion the House will complete its share of this creat work by asseating to the resolutions submitted for its spproval. (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Mr. aleXayder said-Ian sure that the members' of the Goverannent desire that this great question should be freely and fully discussed-I am sure they will be giad to: see any meuibers of this House frankly state wherein they conceived any of its details to be defective- [ am surt that the suggestions by the honorable gentemen who represent the divisions of Victoria and Wellingtou will be duly weighed by the present Administration, as any other suygestions made in the spirit to accomplish sood. But some membery in both branches of the Larsislatare appear to be opposed to the Confederation scheme in toto. They hold that the coustitutional ehanges proposed sre unwise, and are fraught with great evil. The henorible member for Niagara Division (Hon Mr. C'eatie) appears to bo of that number, trous the very strung appeal whieh heg hay made to this Honse against the whole measure, and I diesire to reply to some
of the arguments which he pressed, no donbt with very great force and ability, upon our attention. He objects to the whole manner in which the Convention was formed; he has no faith whatever in the result of their deliberations. He maintains, in the boldest manner, that the proposed union will be found disadvantagequs and burdensome to all the provinces uniting. He produced tigures, prepared beforehand to show that our burdens will be increased to the extent of at least $\$ 3,000,000$ per annum-ai iuorease which will be found oppressive to the industry of the prosince of Canada. I cannot understand from what souree he has obtained his tigures to arrive at such a conolusion. "There is no difficalty in our being able to form a ruliable idea- ay to the futare financial position of the proposed federal and heal governments. If we make an estimate of the white revenue of these provinces from their tinameial returis, takiag the basis of $180: 3$, we tind that there will be a net revenue, available tor the purpones of the General Government, after payns the subsidy of eighty cents per head tw the local sorernmenta, amounting to the sum of $\$ 9,6,6,108$, while, we are justified in assuming that the ordinary expenditare of the (ieneral Government will not exceed $89,1001,0010$. But, of courge, there are always certain grants which are not classed uader ordiairy expenditure, and we shall have to provide tor the Intercol. onial Railway, and the widening and deepening of the St. Lawrence canals; and suppote that we allow the rery liberal itemot 825,1000 ,000 for those great objocts, it will beadmit. ted ou all sides that we shall bernabled to obtain this amount under the lenperial thuarantee at foar per cent., thins thriwing upan the foderal treasury the adittomal annual burdea or charge of $81,000,400$, whith we uid, with perfect risth, say will be wet in the toflowing manner. It can be clöriy thewn thát it resta eatirely' with ourgelves, whether wo eaknot meed all the phitar of ordhary axpeadture and intiofest on the lederal debt with the
 I aun sure that tavet cemeneretal mea will allow. that, with the power which we thall have of imposiag unform taritif and exciot. Jutued throughout the whote anited territury or theso united pruriacses, we shall raiso sulficient additional revenue to matet this larept item. Bat as I havé, un a tormer oecastion, aid, we must indagurate the dawn of our infinut national ea. reer with the utmoot carv and prodences. All jobbery and lavish expeaditare unuos lave casefully avoided; and if we do eo, i venture to
prophesy that the anticipations of my honorable friend from Niagara will never be realized. I venture to say, in the face of all his evil forebodings of increased burdens and debt, that we shall find our position greatly improved. He appeared in the delivery of his able and powerful speech, very desirons to make out the atrongest possible case, raking up even the public condemnation of the Rrovincial Secretary at the famous Harrington meeting. I was one of those who voted against Mr. Scotr's Separate Sohool Bill, valuing, in common with the earnest electors at Harrington, our noble school system of Upper Canada, which carries the blessingz of education throughout thi width and breadth of the land; but the people generally are not prepared to reject the proposed Confederation, becanse of the position of that question, although there are individual electors who have strong convictions on the subject. My honorable friend also dwolls upon the amount which will require to be appropriated for the militia. He appears to think that soldiers can be formed by magical infloence in"a day, and to effeet a sinall saving he would elect to, leavo this magnificent territory, with its valuablo homesteads; exposed $\omega$ be swept at any moment by a ruthless aggressor; or should not mind that our Canadian people should run the risk of being subjected to share the liability of three thousand millions of debt, in addition to their owa burdens. The great body of the people of Upper Can. ada have great faith in the expansion and growth of a young country such as this. (Hear; hear.) They do not forget the remarkable fact, that after expericncing a largo deficiency in the revenue of the country for several years, with also, in addition, two very indiferent hariests, wo aro in a position to announce a eonsiderable surplus of revenuo at this mouent; and we look forward to this consolidation of other great interesty, full of hope, that it will give us a higher mlanding is the world -that it will give a great inpetus to the srowth of our population, our commerce and var revenue; and if the arpenditure to be made on those great publio improvements ahculd swell the debt, we shall find ourselves in a condition of such prosperity that it. will lall lightly upon us. There aro so many con-spiring circumstances to mako us regard this great seheme with favor, the offipring, as it Is presented to us, of he large expericnce and matured judgment of the political leaders of all them provincess (Heap, hear.) We mas venture to accepe it and give it a Lair trial as she bert ealution of the didiculties wo have ex.
perienced in working out our present Legislative Union. It is very true that we have all opposed until now the construction of the Intercolonial Railway, because we have had grave doubts as to the commercial value of that work, and the prospect of its being self-sustaining ; but it certainly cannot be denied that the unfriendly attitude assumed towards us by the neighboring republic in respeet to the trade relations betwreen the two countries, makes it more prudential. for us thus to secure a winter road to the great highway of the world's commerce-(hear, hear)-and it will certainly place us in a stronger position to negotiate fair and just terms in a renewal or modification of the Reciprocity. Treaty. Whilst that public work is accepted as an indispensable part of the scheme, wo are glad. to be assured by the members of the Government, that thie deepening and widening of the St. Lawrence canals will be carried out simultancously. Good cannot fail to flow from the union if justice is thus done to all its component parts. As regards the question of finance, the proposition to assume the debts upon a certain basis on the one hand, and to impose a uniform tariff on the other, with certain reasonable stipulations, is perhaps the nearest approximation to dealing out common justice to all, which could be arrived at, with so many raried interests there represented. We know that var own delegates contended, as' we now contend; that it would only have been fair and just that the future subsidy to be paid to each province of eighty ceats per head should. be based upon the census returns to be made overy ton yoars. But thim is not the moment to enlarge upon this point, or upon those dotails, to which, as I have beforo stated, the great body of my constituents take exception, and I will reservo myself, therefore, until we disenss the details seriatim. I would only, in conclusion, observe, that our most enlightened eitizens see nothing but weakness and insecurity in our present fragmentary position, while they regard the proposed union as calculateil in every way to give us importance, standing and atrength-improve our oredit-inspire-a feeling of confidence is our future, and bring emigration to our shores. If we can" look back With just pride to our giant growth during the lant quarter of a century, so may we enter upon the extended relations now proposed fill of hope, that with an accession of territory, population and power-cemmencing our career with a volume of trade exceeding to $137,000,000$, with sueh boundles resources to develop, and a country capable of sus.
taining any extent of population, there is no barrier to our extension and material progress. (Hear, hear.) We must feel that such a field for human enterprise and such a position is. ealoulated to give our people higher aspirations, and to make them.cherish what may at the prosent moment bo pronounced at this stage of our infancy but a dream; that just as the Russian Empire extends its powerful sway from the Black Sea to the polar regions, so may the people of British North America aspire to raise up a great Northern Power upon this continent, which shall bo distinguished for the wistom and stability of its institutions, which" shall emulate the parent countries from which its races have sprung, in developing their manly virtues, andin diffusing the blessings of a higher civilization wherever its population may flow. (Cheers.)

Hon. Mr. VIDAL said he cordially agreed with the honorable gentleman who had spoken in desiring a union of the provinces, and with the Honorable Premier in believing that if such union could be arranged to the satisfaction of all the parties concerned, it ought' to be effected. Without exactly committing himself to the opinion of the Honorable Premier that this country was upon an inclined plane, which, if the proposed scheme of Confederation were rejected, would land us in tho United Statos, he nevertheiess thought that the arguinients which he had adranced to depuonstrate the neegssity of bome change which would secure our future exemption from the difficulties by which we were now benct were unanswered and unaswersble., liet he was obliged to express his disapproval uf the manner in which the scheme had been subinitted to Yarliament, as the course adopted entirely preeluded the Legislaturv from suggesting any improvement or modification of its. details. Ho felt, in common with all vither honorable members, that the subject was one of yast importance; that we were not leyidatiug for the mero parpose of escaping trom unpleasant party political difficulties, but for the safety and prosperity of our country and the welfare of vur children and descendauts," and therefure could not ayree with the honorablo member for Brock (lion. Mr. Blalis), that immediate action was neces. sary and that any delay was dangerous: Notwithstanding all that had beea siid of this country being, acquainted with the scheme and prepared to adopt it, her tid not and cuald not believe that such was the case; it arranging its details no advice or assistance had. becen sought from the representatives of the people, and the people themsulves were to bavo no
voice in the matter. The suhome was as sumed to be perfect, and being perfeet, must be adopted by the House without change or modification of any kind. It was said that nine-tenths of the people were in its favor; he believed that a very large majority approved of the general principle of union, but. there were details of the plan which did not pass unchallenged. It was much to be regretted that the resolutions had not been introduced in such a way as would have permitted the House to place upon record its views in respect to any part of them which might be uaacceptable, and to suggest to the Imperial authorities who might frame the bill, such amendments as it considered desirable. L.e thought the honorable member for Wellington (Hou.: Mr. © Saviorns) was in error in proposing the amendments of which ho had given notice,-tho resolutions before them weic not, properly speaking, resplutions of the House, they must be regarded as a mere statement of certain agreements eqtered into by other parties and communicated to us for our information, and consequently could not in any way be altered or amended. Hounorable members were thos placed in an anomalous position-invited to discuss the whole subject ireely and their assistance roquested, and at the same time informed that no change would be effected-that in fact the ouly assistance wanted was the roting for the adoption of the acheme as st whole. Whatever doubts may.esist as to tho change the propoised union might effect either for good or for ill; he thought there wis no doubt that shere weuld necessarily be a vast increase of expense in carrying on the Government: without mentiouing specifie sums, it must bo obvious that Canada would have to maintain two lical legislatures with all their appurtenanosis, in addition to her sharo of the expense of the Federal Legistature, which latter could weareely be expeoted to be lesse than at presene. With regard to the propowed change in the coantitu tion of the Legialative Council, he was tar from considering it is wiso atep; like tho honorablo nember for Niagara (Hon. Mr. Ccranies), he had great regard for the right of the franchiso as now enjuyed by the peoplo, and felt that it would be improper to vote away that privilege of hio constituente without their antherity of assent. He had been want hare by thea to asaist in legislating under the Constitution wo haw have, and not to change it. It was adraitted by all that the elective aystem had operiated advartageously, and why then uhould it be shan-doned?-Why initiate a retrogrado move-
ment unsought for by the country? Much had been said about the risk of collision between two elective Houses, that legislation might come to a dead-look; now it was a remarkable fact that under the present system there had been no such difficulties, while both in England and in Canada, previous to the introduction of the elective system, they had occarred, and on several occasions the power of the Crown had been called in to overcome them by appointing additional members. What would be the position of the Honse ander the new scherme? It would be the most irresponsible body in the world; and if a dead-lock should occur there would be no way of overcoming it, for the casualties of death, resignation or aeceptance of office, which had been so strongly insisted upon as sufficiently numerons to enable the Government of the day to modify the charaeter of the House; would not in his opinion be adequate to meet such an exigency. Such was apparently the view of the Colonial Secretary; and it would in all probability be found neeessary to leave the Crown unfettered in the exercise of its prerogative of apppointment. The honorable gentleman concluded by saying that he would not now comment upon any other -details of the scheme; as he understood the resolutions were to be discussed seriatins, but he did not very clearly. see the adrantage of such a diseussion when it was so distinctly stated that the only question for the Honse to deterinine was whether the seheme as now subuitted, unchanged and unchaugeable, should be rejected or adopted. (Hear, hear.)

Hon, Sia E. P. TACHE waid the sehome, it was true, muist be taken as as whole, or rejected, since it was not the property of the Govcrument of Canada alone, but of all the other provinces as well. Bat it did not therefore follow that honorable members who might dissent from some parts of it might not inscribe that dissent on the jouraals. If the amendueats proposed were passed, the motion for an Aldeness would not be pressed; but, if ihey did not carry, then the votes of the honorable members who had supported thesu would be on record. In former days, before the yeas and nays were taken, it was the practice for urembers who objected to any particalar measure, in conformity with the practiec of the House of Lords, to enter a protest on the journals exhibiting 'itheir reasons for dissent, and he knetr of no rule which would prevent such a course from beingy pursued on the present occasion. It was quite in the power of honorable members, if they ehose, to propose amendments, and so
secure the advantage of placing their views before the country.

Cries of "adjourn! adjourn!"
Hon. Mr. MOORE said, as there was an evident desire for an adjourniment, he would not occupy the time of the House for more than a few minutes, his intention being merely to refer to a portion of the remarks made by the honorable gentleman (Hon: Mr. Vidal) who had just sat down. Though he generally agreed in what had been said by that honorable member, there was one particular in which he (Hon. Mr. Moore) thought he was in error. He (Hon: Mr. Vidal) seemed to have become impressed with the idea that it was not competent for the House to amend the resolutions, but that they should either be adopted or rejected as a whole. It was true the Government had so laid it down, but he (Hon. Mr. Moore) held that the question could be dealt with in the same manner as any other that might come before the House. His honorable friend was also of opinion that, if no suggestions or amendments were to be adopted, it was wasting time to discuss the scheme. $\because$ In this respect he (Hon. Mr. Moore) begged to differ with the honorable gentleman, holding that it was not only useful, but essentially necessary that the details of a measure fraught with such grave and momentous importance, to the country should be thoroughly discussed. A calm and considerate discussion-and every latitude for discussion-were necessary, and he hoped the Government would not press the measure with any unseemly haste, for they not ouly owed it to the Legiglature, but to the country, that ample opportunity for consideration of the project ghould be afforded to the people's representatives. He also considered it impory/ aut that members should have an opportunity to confer with their constituents on the subjeot, in order to vote adrisedly when the time canie; and he trusted the Government would not press the matter, nor hinder the expression of views, even if those views extended to amedadment in certain particulars. The honorable gentleman then sat down; repeating that he thought the House might deal with the question as with any other that might come before it.

The debate was then adjourned until the morrow.

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY.

## Wednespat, February 8, 1865.

The Order of the Day for resuming the debate on the Resolution for a Union of the British North American Colonies, having been read, -
HoN. GEORGE BROWN rose and said: Mr. Speakre, it is with no ordíary gratifcation I rise to address the House on this occasion. I cannot help" feling that the struggle of half a lifotime for constitutional reform-the agitations in the country, and the fierce contests in this chamber-the strife and the discord and the abuse of many years,-are all compensated by the great soheme of reform which is atom in your hands. (Cheers.) : The Attorney General for Upper Canada, as well as the Attorney General for Lower Canada, in addressing the Honse last night, were anxious to have it nnderstood that this scheme for uniting British America nider one government, is something different from " "representation by population," - is sonething different from "joint authority," -but is in fact the very scheme of the Goivernment of which they were members in 1858. Now, sir, it is all very well that iny honorable friends should receive eredit for the large share they have contributed towards maturing the measure before the House; but I could not help reflecting while they spoke, that if this was their rery socheme in 1858, they sacceeded wonderfully in bottling it up from nill the wörid except themselves(hear, hèar)-and I could not help regretting that we had to wait till 1864 unill this mysterious plant of 1858 was forced to fruition. (Hear, hear, and laughter.) For myself, sir, I care not who gets the credit of this schieme, -I believe it contains the best features of all the suggestions that hare been made in the last ten years for the settlement ofour troubles; and the whole feeling ing my mind now is one of joy and thankfulness that there wery foand men of position and infuenco in Canadas who, at a moment of serious crisis, had nerve and patriotiam enough to cast aside political partisanghip, to banish personal considera tions, and unite for the accomplishment of a measure so fraught: with advantage to their cominon country. (Cheers.) It was a bold step in the then existing state of publie feeling for many members of the House to vote for the Constitutional Coinmittee moved for by me last session-it itws a very bold step for many of the members of that sommittee to speal
and vote candidly upon it-it was a still bolder thing for many to place their names to the report that emanated from that committee, -but it was an infinitely bolder step for the gentlemen who now occipy these treasary benches, to brave the misconceptions and suapicions that Fould certainly attach to the aot, and enter the same Government. And it is not to be denied that such a Coalition demanded no ordinary justification. But who does not.feel that every one of us has to-day ample justification and reward for all we did in the document now under discosssion? "(Cheers) Bat seven short months hare passed away since the Coalition Government was formed, yet already aro we submitting a scheme well- weighed and matured, for the erectiop of a future empire, -a scheme whiob has been reeeived at homo and abroad with almost niviversal approval.
Hon. Mr. HOLTON-(Ironically) hear! hear!!
Hon. Mr. BROWN-My hon. friend dis. sents from that, but is it possible trathfully to deny it? Has it not been approved and endorsied by the governments of five separate colonies?-Has it not received the all but unanimous approval of the press of Canada?Has it not been heartily and unequirocally endorsed by the electors of Canads? (Cries of: hear, hear, and no, no.), My honorablof friend opposite cries " no, no," but I say "yes, yes." Sinee the Coalition was formed, and its policy of Federal union announced, thera have been. no fewer than twenty-five parliamentary elect-ions-fourteen for menibers of the Upper House, and eleren for members of the Lower House: At the fourtevn Upper House con: test, but three candidates dared to show themselves before the people in opposition to the Government scheme; and of these, two were rejected, and one-ouly one-succeeded in finding a seat. (Hear, hear.) At the eloven contests for the Lower Houss, but onc candidate on either sido of politios ventured to of pose ilse echeme; and I hope that 'even he mill yet cast his rote in favor of Confoderation. (Hear; hear.) Of these twenty-fire electoral contests, fourteen were in Dpper Canada, but not at one of themi did a candidate apposar in opposition to our scheme. And let it be ob served how larige a partion of the counitry thess tiventy-five elcetoral districts embraced. It is true that the eleven Lovar. Houso eleotions only included that number of countien hat the fourteesi Uppet Honse elections embraced no fower than forty counties (Heair, hear.) Of the 130 constitucencies, therefore? into which Canada is divided for represents:
tion in this chamber, not fower than fifty have been called on sinces ouri scheme was announced to pronounce at the polls their rerdict upon its, and at the whole of them but four candidates on both sides of politics ventured to give it opposition. (Cheers.) Was I not right then in asserting that the elegtors of Canada had, in the most marked manner, proinounced in favor of the scheme ?\% (Hear, hear.) And will honorable gentlemen deny that the prople and press of Great Britain have received it with acclamations of approval ? - that the Gorernment of England have cordially endorsed and accepted it?-aye, that even the press and the pablic men of the United States hare spoken of it with a degree of respect they never before accorded to any colonial morement? Sir, I venture to assert that no schème of equal magnitude, erer placed before the world, was recejived with higher eulogiams; with möre "niversal approbation, than the mieasure we have now the honor of submitting for the acceptance of the Canadian Partiamicat. And no higher eulogy could, I think, be pronounced than that I heard a ferw weeks ago from the lips of one of the foremost of British statesmen; that the system of toverament we praposed seemed to him a happy compound of the best features of the British and American Constitations. And woll, Mr. Spesker; might our present attitude in Cainada arrest the earnest attention of other countriess. Here is a people composed of tro distinct" races, speaking different languages, with religious and social and municipal and educational institutions totally different; with seetional hostilitiee of such a character as to render goverainent for many years well nigh iuppossible; with a Constitution so unjust in the siev of one seection as to justify any resort to enforce a remedy: And yot, sir, here wo sit, patiently and temperately discussing how these great evils and hostilities may justly and auieably be swept away forever. (Hear, t.ear.) We are endeavoring to a aljust harmáuicusly greater difficulties than bave planged other countries into all the horrors of civil war. We are atriviing to do peacefully and satisfactorily whiat Holland and Belgiam, after years of strite, were unable to accomplish. Wo ure seekiag by calm diseussion to settle ques tions that' Austria and Hungary, that Denmarle and Oermany, that Rossia and Poland, could only erush by the iron heel of armed force. We Ware seeking to do without foreign intervention that mbich deluged in blood the sumny plains of Italy. We are striving to settla farever issụes hardly lees momentons
thas those that have rent the neighboring re pablic and are now exposing it. to all the horrors of civil war. (Hear, hear.) Have we not then, Mr. Splakere, great canse of thankfulness that we have found a better way for the eolution of our troubles than that which has entailed on other countries such de plorable results? Añd should not every one of us endearor to rise to the magnitade of the occasion, and carnestly seek to deal with this question to the end in the same candid and coneiliatory spirit in which, so far, it has been discussed? (Loid cries of hear, hear.) "The scene presented by this chamber at this moment, I veiture to affirm, has faw parallels in histors, One hündred years have passed away since these provinces became by conquest part of the British Empire. I speàk in no boastful spirit-I desire not for a moment to exoite a painful thonght-what was then the fortune of war of the brave French nation, might have been ours on that well-fought-field: I recall those olden times merely to mark the fact that here sit to-day the descendants of the rictors and the vanquished in the fight of 1759 , with all the differences of languabe', ruligion, civil law, and social habit, nearly as distinotly marked as they were a century ago." (Hear, hear.) Here we sit to-day seekiug ainicably to find a romedy for constitutional evils and injustice complained of - by the vanquished? No, sir -bat complained of by the coniquerors! (Cheers' by the French C'anadiaìs.) Here sit the reprosentatives of the British popula. tion claiming justice-only justice ; and here it the representatives of the Freach popula tion, disoussing in the Freach tongue whether we'shall have it. "One hundred years have passed away since the conquest of Queber, but here sit the children of the tictor and the vanquished, all asowing hearty attachminet to the British Crown-all earnestly deliberating how we shall best extend the blessings of British institutions-bow' a great people may be established on this continent in close and hearty connection with Great Britain. (Cheers.) Whore, sirf, in the page of history, dhall we find a parallel to this? Will it not stand as an imperishable monumient to the gonerosity of Britich rule? And it is not if Canada alone that this scene is being witnessed. Four other colonies are at this moment occupied as wo aro-dedaring their hearty love tor the parent State, and deliberating with us how they may best discharge the great duty en: trasted to their hands; and give their aid in doveloping the teeming resources of these vast
possessions. And well, Mr. Spearice, may the work we have unitedly proposed rouse the ambition and enerey of every true man in British America. Llook, sir, at the map of the continent of America, and mark that island (Newfoundland) commanding the mouth of the noble river that almost cuts our continent in twain. Well, sir, that island is equal in extent to the kingdom of Portugal. Cross the straits to the main land, and you touch the hospitable shores of Nova scotia, a country as large as the kingdom of Greece. Then "mark" the sister province of New Brunswick-equal in extent to Denmark and Switzerland combined. Pass ap the river St Lawrence to Lower Canada-a country as large as France: Pass on to Upper Canada, -twenty thousand square miles larger than Great Britain and Ireland pat together: Cross over the continent to the shores of the Pacific, and you are in British Columbia, the land of golden promise,-cqual in extent to the Austrian Empire. I speak not now of the vast Indian Territories that lie betweengreater in extent than the whole soil of Russia -and that will ere long, I trust; be opened tip to cirilization under the auspices of the British Anerican Confederation. (Cheers.) Well, sir the bold scheine in your hands is nothing less than to gather all these countries into one to organize them all under one government, with the protection of the British flag, and in heartiest sympathy and affection with our fellow-subjects in the land that gave us birth. (Cheers.) Our scheme is to establish"a government that will seek to turn the tide of European emigration into this northern: half of the American continent-that will strive to develope its great natural resources-and that will endeavor to maintain liberty, and justice, and christianity throughout the land.

> Mi T. C. WALLBRIDGE-When?
> HoN. MR. CARTIER-Very soon!

Hon. Mr. BROW N-The hon. member for North Hastings asks when all this can be done? Sir; the whole great ends of thix Confederation may not be realised in the lifetinue of many who now hear me. We imagine not that such a structure can be built in a month or in a year. What we propose now is but to lay the foundations of the structure-to set in motion the goveramental machinery that will one day, we trust, extend from the A tlantic to the Pacific. And we take especial credit to ourselves that the system we have devised, while admizably napted to our present situation, is capable of gradual and eflicient expansion in future years to meet all the great par-
poses contemplated by our scheme. Bat if the honorable gentleman vill only recall to mind that when the United States seceded from the Mother Country, and for many yeare afterwards their population was not neariy equal to ours at this moment; that their intecnal improvements did not then approach to what we have already attained, and that their trade and commerce was unt then athird of what ours has already reached; I think he will see. that the fulfilment of our hopes mas not be so very remote as at first sight might be imagined - (hear; hear.) And he will be skrengthened in that conviction if he remembers that what we propose to do is to be done with the cordial sympathy and assistance of that great Power of Which it is our happiness to form a part.
(Hear, hear.) Such, Mr. Speaker, are the objects of attanment to which the British American Conference pledged itself in Oetober. And said I not rightly that such a sehome is well fitted to fire the ambition and rouse the energies of every member of this House? Does it not lift us above the petty polities of the past, and present to. us high purposes and great interesis that may. well call forth all the intellectual ability and all the energy and enterprise to be found among us? (Cheers.) I readily admit all the gravity of the question -and that it ought to be considered cautiously and thoroughly before adoption. Far be it from mie to deprecate the elosest criticism, or to doubt for a moment the sincerity or patriotism of those who feel it their daty to oppose the ineasure: But in considering a question on which hangs the future destiny of half a continent, onght not the spirit of mero fanltfinding to be huslied?-ought not the voice of partisanship to be banished from our debates? - oinght we not to sit down and discuss the arguments presented in the earnest and candid spirit of men, bound by the same intereats, seeking: a common end, and loving the same country? (Hear, hear, and cheers.) Some honorable gentemen secin to dragine that the members of Government have a deeper interest in this selieme than others-but what possible interest can any of as have except that which we share with every citizen of the land? What risk doe any oue run from this measure in which all er as do not fully participate? What possible inducement could we have to urge this scheme, except our earuest and heartielt conviction that it will inupe to the solid and lasting advantage of our country? (Hear, hear.). There is one consideration, Mr. Splak. ea, that canuot bo banished from this discons. sion, and that ought, I think, to be reiembered
in everit rord wo uttor; it is that the constitutional system of Canada cannot remain as it is now. (Loud cries of hear, hear.). Something must be done." We cannot stand still. We eannot go bact, to chronic, sectional hostility and discord-to a state of perpetual Ministerial crises. The events of the last eight months cannot be obliterated; "the solemn admissions of men of all parties caa pever be erased. The claims of Upper Canada for justice must be met, and met now. I say, then, that every one who raises his voice in hostility to this weasure is bound to keep before him, when he speaks, all the perilous consequences of its rejection, I say that no man who has a true regard for the wellibeing of Canads, can give a vote against this scheme, unless he is prepared to offer, in amendonent, some better remedy for the evils and injustice that have so long threatened the peace of our country. (Hear, hear.) And not only must the sclieme proposed in amendment be a better schenie-it must be something that can be carried:' (Hear, hear.) I see an honorable friend now before me, for whose opinions I have the very highest respect, who says to me: "Mr. Brown, you should not have settled this part of the plan as juy hare done, here is the way you should have. framed it." "Well, ny dear sir," is my reply, $\because$ I perfectly agree with you, but it could not $b \mathrm{be}$ done. Whether wiy ask for parliamentary reform for Canada alone or in union with the Maritimo Provinces, the French Canadians must have their vierss consulted as well as us. This scluemio can be carried, and no echene uan be that has not the support of both eectiuns of the province."

Hon. Mr. CARTIER-Hear, hear! there is the question!

Hon. Mr. BROWN-Yes, that is the question and the whole question. So coustitution ever fratued was without defect; no aet of human wisdow was ever free frou imperfece tion; no amount of talent and wisdom and integrity, combined in preparing such a scheimo could have placed it beyond tho reach of criticium. And the framers of this scheme had immenso special difficulties to orercome. Wo had the prejudicei uf race and language and religion to deal with; and wo had to encounter all the rivalries of trade and-commerce, and all the jealousies of diversified local intereats. To assert, then, that our scheme is without Palt, would be folly. It was necessarily the Wort of conceasion; not one of the thirty-thirea framers bat had, onsume points, to yield his opinions; and, for uyeelf, I freely admie that I otruggled varaestly, for daye together, to
have portions of the scheme amended. But, Mr. SPEAKER, admittiug all this-admitting all the difficulties that beset us-admitting frankly that defects in the measure exist-I say that, taking the scheme as a whole, it has my cordial, "enthusiastic support, without hesitation or reservation. " (Hear, hear.) "I believe it will accomplish all, and more than all, that we, who have so long fought the battle of parliamentary reform, ever hoped to see accomplished. I believe that, while granting se: curity for local interests it will give free scope for carrying out the will of the whole people in general mattere - that it will draw closer the bonds that unite us to Great Britain-and that it will lay the foundations deep and (Cheers: a porverful and prosperous people. (Cheers:) And if the House will allow me to trespass to a somewhat unusual degree on its indulgence, I am satisfied that I can clearIF establish that such are the results fairly to be anticipated from the measure. Mr. SpeakER, there are two views in which this soheme may be regarded, namely, the existing evils it will remedy, and the new adrantages it will secure for us as a people. Let us begin by examining its remedial provisions. First, then, it applies a complete and satisfactory remedy
to the injustice of the oxistin to the injustice of the oxisting system of parliamentary representation. (Hear, hear.) The people of Upper. Canada have bitterly complained that though they numbered four hundred thousand souls moro than' the population of Lower Canada, and though they have con-: tributed thrce or four pounds to the general rexenue for every pound contributed by the sister province, jet the Lower Canadians send to Parliament as many representatives as they do. Now, sir, the measure in your hands brings this injustice to an end it sweeps away tho line of demareation between the two pections on all matters common to the whole province; it gives representation aecording to numbors wherever found in the House of Assembly; and it provides a simple and convo nient system for ro-adjusting the representation after each decennial census. (Cheers.). To this proposed constitution of the Lower Chamber, I have heard only two objections. It has been alleged that until after the oensu, of 1871, the number of meinbers is to remain as as present; but this is a mistake. Upper Canada is to recefve from the start eighty-two représentatives, and Lower Canada sixty-dive; and whatever inicrease the ceusin, of 1571 may establish will be then adjusted. It haw aivo beem objected thas though the resolutiona provide Chat the existing Parliament.
of Canada shall establish the electoral divisions for the first organization of the Federal Parliament, they do not determine in whose hands the duty of distributing any additional members is to be vested. No doubt on this head need exist; the Federal Parliament will of course have full power to regulate all arrangements for the election of its own members. But I am told by Upper Canadians- the constitution of the Lower House is all well enough, it is in the Upper House arrangements that the scheme is objectionable. And first, it is said that Upper Canads should have had in the Legislative Council a greater number of members than Lower Canada. -

MR. T.C. WALLBRIDGE-Hear, bear!
Hon Mr: BROWN-The honorable menber for North" Hastings is of that opinion; but that honorable gentleman is in favor of a legislative union, and had we been forming a legislatire union, there might have been some force in the demand." But Jhe very essence of our compact is that the union shall be federal and not legislative. Our Lower Canada friends have agreed to give us representation by population in the Lower House, on the express conditiou that they shall have equality in the Epper House. On no other condition could we have adranced a step; and, for my part, I am quite willing they should have it. In maintaining the existing sectiunal boundaries and handing over the control of local uattery to local bodies, we' recognize, th a certuin extent, a diversity of interests; and it was puite natural that the protection for those interests, by oyuality in the Cpper Chiamber, should be demanded by the less numerous provinces Honorable gentlemen may say that it will erect a barrier' in the Cpper House arainst the juist influenee that l pper Canada will ex. oreise, by her numbers, in the Lower House, over the general legishation of the country. That may be true, to a certain extent; but honorableg gentlemen will bear in mind that that barrier, te it more orless, will not affect money bills. (Hear, hear.) Hitherto we have been piying a vast proportion of the tixes, with litile or no control over the expinditure. But, under this plan, by our just influcnce in the Lower Chamber, we shall huld the purse strings. If, from this concession of " equality in the Upper Chamber, We are restrained from forcing through measures which our frieads of Lower Canada may consider imjurions to their interests, we shall, at any rate, have power, which: we neever had before, to prevent thera from forciug through

Whatever we may deem unjust to us, 1 think the compromise a fair one, and am persuaded that it will work easily and satisfactorily. (Hear, hear.) But it has been said that the members of the Upper House ought not to be appointed by the Crown; but shonld continue to be elected by the people at large. On that question my views have been often expressed. I have always been opposed to a second elective chamber, and I am so still, from the conviotion that two elective houses are inconsistent with the right working of the British parliaunentary system. I voted; almost alone, against the change when the Council was made elective, but I have lived to see a vast majority of those who did the deed wish it had not been done. It is quite trac, and I an glad to acknowledge it, that many evils an:ticipated from the change, when the measure was adopted, have not been realized. (Hear; hear:) "I readily admit that men of the highest character and position have been brought into the Council by the electivo system, but it is equally true that the system of appointment brought into it men of the highiest oharacter. and position. Whether appointed by the Crown or elected by the people, since the introduction of parliamentary government, the mea who have composed the Upper House of this Legislature have been men who would have doue hoior to any legislature in the world. But what we: most feared was, that the Legislative Council. lors would be elected under party responsibili. ties ; that a partisan spirit would soon show itself in the chamber; and that the right Fould soon- be asserted to an equal control with this/House over money bills: ". That fear has not been realised to any dangerous ertent. But is it not possible that such a claim might. ere long be asserted? Do wo not hear, eveu now, mutterings of a coming demand for it? Nur can re furget that the elected nembers camo into that chamber gradually ; that the largo number of old appointed menibers exer. cised much influence in maintaining the old form of the House, the old stgle of debate; and the old barriers against. encroachment on the privileges of the commons. But the ap: pointed members of the Council are gradually passing away, and when the elective element becomes stapreme, who will renture to affirm that the Council would not claim that power over money bills which this House claims as of right belonging to itself? Could they not justly gay that they represent the people as well as we do, and that the control of the purse stringy ought; therefore, to bolong to them as much as to us. (Hear, hear.) It is said they have not
the power. But what is to prevent them from enforcing it? Suppose we had a conservative majority here, and a reform majority aboveora conservative majority above and a reforim majority here-all elected under party obligations, - what is to prevent a dead lock between the chambers? It may be called unconstitu-tional-but what is to prevent the Councillors especially if they feel that in the dispute of the hour they have the country-at their back) from practically exercising all the powers that bulong to us? They uight amend our moncy bills, thèy might throw out all our bills if they liked, and bring to a stop' the whole machinery of government. And what could we do to prevent then? But, even supposing this were not the case, and that the elective Upper House continued to be guided by that discretion which has heretofore actuated itw procedings, -still, I think, we must all feel that the election/dy meimbers for such enorurots districts ay form the constituencies of the Upper House has become a great practieal incinvenience. I say this from personal exprrience, having long taken an active interent in the electoral contests in Upper Canada. We have found greater difficalty in inducing candidates to offer for seate in the Upper House, than in getting ten times the number tir the Lower House." The constituencies are $\Rightarrow$ vast, that it if dificult to find gentlemen whe have the will to incur the labor of such a ebotest, who are sufficiently known and popular enough throughout dintricts so wide, and who have muncy enouph - (hear) - to pay the enormous bill, not ineurred in any corrupt way, do not tancy that I mean that for a moment-but tha bills that are sent in ater, the contest is over, and which the candi. diest are compelled to pay if they ever hope to present themomedres for reelection:. (Hear, hear.): But honorable getuleuen say-"I This is all very well, but yiu are taking an important powor out of the hands of the people, which they now possers." "Now this is a mistake. Wo do not propose to do any thing of the siort: Whist we proposit is, that the Ipper Housesthall be appointed fromis the best motn ot the country by those holding the con:tilence of the representatives of the people in this Chamber: It is propised that the Governutat of the day, which only lives by the approval of this Chamber, shall make the ap pointactits, and be respuasible to the peoplo for the selections they shall nake.' (Hear, hear.) Not is siagle appointment could be made, with rugard to which the Government mould not be open to consure, and which the
reprosentatives of the people, in this House, would not have an opportunity of condeming. For myself $I$ have maiatained the appointed principle, is in opposition to the elective, ever since I came into public life, and have never hesitated, when before the pepple, to state my opinions in the broadest maniter; and yet not in a single instance have I ever found a oonstituency in Upper Canada, or a public meeting declariug its disipproyal of appôintment by the Crown and its desire for election by the peaple at large." When the thange was/made in 1855 there was not a sindle petition from. the people askiing for it it was in a manner forced on the Legislature. The real reason for the chauge wis, thit before Responsible Goverument was introduced into this country, while the old oligarchical system existed, the Upper House continuously and systematically was at war with the popular branch, and threw put every measure of a liberal tendency. The result was, that in the famous ninety:two resplutiors the introduction of the elective principle into the Upper House was declared to be indispensable - So long as Mr. Robert balpwiv remained in public life, the thing could not be done; but when he left, the deed was consumnated. But it is said; that if the members are to be appointed for lif', the number should be unlimitedthat, in the event of a dyad lock arising be tween that chanber and this. there should be power to overome, the ditficulty by the appointment of more members. Well, under the British system, in the case of a legislative union, that might be a beritimate, provision. But honorable gentlemen-must see that" the limitation of the numbers in the Lepper House lies at the base of the whole compact on which this scheme rests: (Hear, hear.) It is pertectly clear, as was contended by those who represented Lower C'anada in the Couference, that if the number of the Leyis lative Councillors was made capable of incroase, you would thereby siveep away the Whole protection they had from the Upper Chamber. But it has been'suid that, thoush you may not give the power to, the Executive to inerease the numbers of the Eppir House, in the event if a dead lock, you might limit the teruil for which the menbers are appointed. I was myself in favor of that proposition. 1 thought it would be well to provide for'a more frequent change in the composition of the Upper Hotse, and lesen the danyer of the whamber being largely composed of geatlemen whose advanced years might forbid the punctual and vigorous diseharge of their public
duties. Still, the objection made to this was 'very strour.- It was sitit! :'Suppise you apphet them formin years, what will be the effect": For the last three or four years of their terui they would be antiripating its expry, and aninusly louking to the Ammistration of the day for te-appontment : and the consequence would be that it thirl of the mem bers would be under the iuflience of the tix ecutive.: The desire was tu rember the Epper
 that wuld be in the best pisitiun to cemys. di pasionately the metsures of this Uouser and stand up for the public interests in opposition to haty of partis, in levishenien- It when tended that there is no foar ot a dend-hok: We were reminded how the sytem of appointing for life had worked in list years, siace Respminible Government wa intruluced, we were: told thit the enmplaint wis not then: that the Cpper: Chauber had been tor Aheruene a body-mot that it had sought to oterin the "populir will, but that it thed tow fith: fuly yeflected the popuhar will Cudnabtedly that was the eomplime tormerly prent upan, us- hear; hear-and I rexdily dait that if ever, there was a bady to whoin we conail sately entrust the priwer whel by thin mest sure awe propuse to, couter on the menention of the "pper Chamber, It is the bedy of

 fortyetht C'rancillety tor Eatids arw to be elanent trom the pri ent chanber There are new thaty-fiur fuembir trom the mo
 bela ve that it the saxtymine polate wifl not desire to mate the tr apparance here ushio: others, unhapily, trma yeirs and atimity mity aot hate, serengeth "to dy se, and there mily be others who will ning deare wiplality under the sitate. It is ifite cher thatwhen

 inded of the present 11 ouse will be extodat from the Fethral Chather, and I contesol 1 ata not without hope thite there chaty berentie Way yet found of providing for all whe deare it, an hanirable position in the levishature of the whutry Heir, hare'; Ant, ater all. is at mot an inagmary tear-mithat of a de, deock ?. It it at all probable that any bedy if renthemea who 'may ermpont the C'pper Houre, appinted as they whil be tor life, wethey at they will du on petsonalaind not party requonablity, paneotiss as' thes must, a deep atake in the wellare of the country; and desirous as they must be ot
holding the esteem of their fellow-subjects-" would take so unreasonable a course as to iuiiperil the whole political fabric? The British House of Peers itselt does not ventare. a limetritice, to resist the popular will, and can it be anticipated that our Upprer Chauber would set itself rachly against the popalar will? If hay fear is to bo entertained in the matter, if it not rather that the Guacillors will be finud tioy thoroughly in harmony with the fupular feeling of the day? And we have this satisfaction at any rate, that, so far as its. tirst formation is concerned-so far as the pre sent question is concerned-we shall have a body of gentlemea in whom every confidence uay be placed. (Hear, hear.) : But it is objected that in' the coustitution of the EPper House, sh far as Lower Canad is coneerned, the existing electoral divisious are to be maintained, while, as regards Cyper Canada, they are to be abolished-that the meinbers from Lower Cisnada are to sit as represinting the divisions in which they reside or have their. priperty qualification, while in Upper Canads there is nu such arragement. Tindoubtedly this is the faet, it hat been so arranged wisuit the pecular position of this actinin of the province: Our Lower Canada friends felt that they had French Canadian interests and British interests to be protected. and they conceived that the exinting sistem of chectoral divisinas would pive protertion to these seprite iuterests. We, in Lppor Canixh , on the other hand, were quite content that they bhould setti- that among thetiselyes, and whintain their existing divisious it they chose. But, so far as wie in the west were concerved, we had nu such separate inuresta be profect-we hat no diyersities of origin or baguage to recontile-fand we felt that the true interiotio of liper Canad fow that her very best men should be sent to the Legintatise Council, wherever they wight happen to reside or wherever their property was heated: (Hear; hear.) . If theres isene Eyl in the Americum system which in my mand stads ous as premainenty ito greatest defer, sacept universal suffrage, at is that under that Constitition the representatives of the "prople must reside in the conatituencies the whith they (hit. (Hear, hear.) The result is that a pubiic mas,-go mater what hin chent, or whit his finsition- - avo matter hiw nesersoiry it masy be for the interest of the country that he shotid be in publie life, unkes be happeas to belong to the potitical pary popular tor the timo being in the eonstitueacy where be residea, cainot powsibly find a seat
in Congress. And over and over again have we seen the very best men of the Republic, the most illustrious names recorded in its political annals, driven out of the legislature of their country, simply because the majority in the electoral division in which they lived was of a different political party from them, I do think the British system infinitely better than that, securing as it does that public men may be trained to public life, with the assured conviction that if they prove themselves worthy' of public confidence, and gain a position in the country, constituencies will always be found to avail themselves of their services, whatever be the political party to which they may adhere. You mas unake politicians, by the other, but assuredly this is the way that statesmen are produced: But it is further objected that the property qualification of the members of the Upper House from Prince Edward Is fand and Newfoundland may be either reat or pirisonal estate, while in the others it is to be real estate alone: This is correct; but I fancy it "mattery little to us upon what species of property our fricods in Prince Edward Island or in Newfoundland base their qualitication. Here in cauada real estate is abundant ; every ine can obtain it ; and admittedly by all it is the best qualification, if it be advisable tu thive any property qualification at all. - But in Newfoundland it would be exceedingly intconvenient to enforee such a rule. The pubHic lands there are not ceven surveyed to any :"nistiderable extent; the people are almost entirely: engaged is fishing and conmereial pursuits, and to require a real estate qualiticotion would be practically to exclude sidue of its best publie men from the Legislative ©ouncil. Then in Prince Edward Ishand a ligige portion of the island is held in exten. tyo tracts by absentee proprietors and leased w the settlers: "A foud of long standing has thein the result, and there would be some dificulty in tiading landed proprictors, whit would bo acceptable to the pople as metin"fers of the "Upper House.". This also must be remembered, that it will be a very diffcteat thisg for a member from Xewfoundhud or Erince Edward Igland to attent the Levilature at Ottava from what it is for one of ourselves to go there. He must give up not only his the, bue the comition and convenituce of being near home-and it is desirable to throw no unnecessary obstacle in the way of wur gettiby the very beat uen fou these provinees. (Hear.) But it is further ob jreted that theas resolutiona do not detine huw the legiglative councillors are to bo chosen at
first: : I apprehend, however, there is no doubt whatever as regards that. Clause 14 says: "the first selection of the menibers to constitute the Federal Legislative Council shall be made from the nembers of the now existing legislative councils, by the Crown, at the recommendation of the General Executive Government, upin the pomination of the respective local goveruments.". The clear meaning of this clause simply is, that , the present gorernments of the several provinces are to choose out of the existing bodies-so far as they ean find gentleuen willing and qualified to serie-the members who shall at starting compre the Federal Legislative Council; that they are to present the names so selected to the Executive Council of British America when colistituted-and on the advice of that body the Cusucillors will be appointed by the Crown. (Hear.). And such has been the ypirit shown from first to last in carrying out the compact of July last by all the partics to it, that I fir one have no apprebensiou whateser that full justice will not be done to the party which inay be a minority in the Government, but is certainly not in a minority either in the country or in this Huse. I speak not only of E"perer Canada but of Lower Canada an well-

Hovi, Ma DORION-Ha! ha!
Hos. Ma. BROWN-My honorable friend. lauxhs, but I assure him, and he will not say 1 dos tor the parpoe of deceiving him, that thaving beren present in Conference and in Council, having heard all the discussions and well ascertained the feelings of all ussociated with the, I have not a shadow of a doubt on my mind that tull justice will be done ia the selection of the tirst Federal Counciliors, not vily to those who way have been in the habit of actiug with ine, but also to those who have acted with my hourrable triend the member for IHomeliga. "(Hear, hear.)" "Now; Mr. Sranke, I believe I have answered every objection that has come trom any quarter arainst the proposed constitution of the Federal Legislatire. I ain persuaded there is not one well-founded objection that can be urged against it. It is just to all parties; it rymolies the gross injustice of the existing system, and I am convineed it will not only work pasily and safely, but be entirely satis: factory to the greast mass of our people. But I go further I way that were all the objeotives urget akainst this sehene sound and cosent, they siok into utter insignificance in view of all the miseries this scheme will rolievo us from,-in viem: of all the difioulties
that must surround any measure of parliamentary reform for Canad that could possibiy be dẹvised. (Cheers.) Will honorable gentlemen who spend their energies in hunting out blemishes in this scheme, remember for a moment the utter injustice of the one we have at present? Public opininn has made rapid strides in the last six menths on the rep-resentation/question-but think, what it was a week before the present coalition was formed! Remember how short a time has elapsed since. the member for Peel (Hon. Mr, J. Finivian Camerón) proposed to gramt one additional nember to Fpper Canada, and coulduot carry even that. "Remeniber that but al few werts ago the hon menber for Hochelaga (Hon. Mr. Dokion), who now leads the crusade against this measure, publiely declared that five or six additional members was all Epper Canady was entitled to, and that with these the 「 pper Canadians would be content fior many years to come. (Hear, hear.) And when he has reffected on alli this, let the man who is disposed to carp at this great measure of representative reform. justify his conduct. if he ean, to the thousinds of disfranchised freeholders of Tpper Canada demanding justice at our hands.. (Cheers:) For myself, sir, E unhesitatiogly say, that the complete justice which this mearure tecures. to the people of Typer Canada in the vital matter of parliamentary repreentation alone, renders all the blemishes aretred against it 'utterly contemptible "itr the balance- (Continued cheers.') But, Mr. Neaker, the second feature "tf" this, schene as a remedial measure is, that it remotes to a large extent; the injustice if which ( pperfanatiohas complained in financial:matters. We on Upper C'añada have complamed that though we paid into the pablic "treasury mure than threefourths of the whole revenue, we had less contro! over the system of tasation and the expenditure of the public moneys than the people of Hower Canala. Will, sir; the scheme in your hand remedies that.. The absurd line of separation ? between the provinces is swept away for general matters; we are to have seventeen additional members in the honse that holds the purse; and the taxpayers of thee country, wherever they reside; will have their just share of influence aver revenue and expenditure. Hear, hear.) We have also compliined that immense sums of public money have been systematically t.aken from the publie chest for lucal purpones of Lower Canada, in which the people of typer Canada had no interest whatever, though compelled to contribute thirefourths of the casii.

Well, sir, this scheme remedies that. All local matters are to be banished from the General Legislatare ; local governments are to have control over local affairs, and if our friends in Lower Canada choose to be extravagant, they will have to bear the burden of it themselves. (Hear; hear.) No longer shall we have to complain that one section pays the cash while the other spends it: hereafter, they who pay. will spend, and they who spend more than they ought. will have to bear the brunt: (Hear, hear.) It was great thing to accouplish this if we had accomplistied nothing more, -for if we look back on our doings of the last fiftern years, I think it will be acknowledged that the greatest jobs perpetrated were of a local character-that nur fiercest contests were abnut local matters that stirred up sectional jealousies and indimnation to its deepest depth. (Hear, hear.): We have further complained that if a sum was properly demanded for some Hecritimate local purpose in one section, an equivalent sum had to to appropriated to the other is an offset;-thereby entailing prodigal expenditure, and unnecessarily increasing the public debt. Well, sir, this seheue puts an enil to that. Each province is to deterinine for itself its own wante and to find the money to meet them from its oyn resources. (Hear, hear.) But, sir, I ain told that though true it is that local matters are to be separated and the burden of local expenditure placed apon local shoulders, we mave made an exceprin' from that principle in providing that a subsidy of eighty cents per head shall be taken from the federal", chest and granted to the local goveraments for local purposes. Undoabtedly this is the fact-and I do not hesitate to admit that it would have been better if this hal been otherwise. I Itrust I cominit no breach of diseretion in stating that in Conteryuce 1 was. one of the strongent advoctes for defraying the whole of the local expenditures of the local goveraments by means of direct eaxation; and that there were liberal men in all sections of the provinces' who would thally have had it so arranged. But, Mr. Spakea, there was one difificulty in the way-a dificulty which his often before becen encountered in this world-and that dificulty was simply this, it could not be done. (Hear, and laughter.) We could neither have carried it in Conference nor. yet in any one of the existing, provincial Espislaturem.t. Sur friends in Lower Canada. I am afrail, have a contitutional disinclination to direct taxation, and it was obvinus that if the Confederation seheme had had attached to it a provision for the imposition of such a
system of taxation, my honorable friends oppositẹ would have had a much better chance of success in blowing the bellows of agitation than they now have. . (Laughter, and cheers.) The objection, moreover, was not confined to Lower Canada-all the Lower Provinces stood in exactly the same position. They have not a municipal system such as we have, discharging many of the functions of government; but their"General Government performs allthe duties which in Upper Canada devolve upon our municipal councils, as well as upon Parliament. If then the Lower Provinces had been asked to maintain their constons duties for federal purposes, and to impose tin themselves by the same act direct taxation for all their local purposes, the chances of carrying the scheme of union would have been greatly lessened: (Hear, hear.) But iI apprehend that if we did not succeed in putting, this matter on the footing that would have been the besit; at least we did the next best thing. Two-dourses wete open to us-either tò surrender to the local governments some source of indirect revenue, some tax which the General Government proposed to retain;-or collect the money by the Tederal machinery; and distribute it to the local governmentis for theal purpases. And we decided in faror of the latter. We asked the representatives of the different governments to estimate how much they would require after the inagiuration of the federal system to carry on their local machinery. As at lirst presented to us, the annual sum required for all the prorinces wiss something like five millions of dollars-anamonnt that could not possibly have been al lotted. . The great trouble was that some of the governments are vastly more expensive than others- extensive countries, with sparse populations; peecessarily' requiring more money per head for local government than conntries more densely popalated. But as any graint given trom the common chest, for local purposes, to one province, must be extended to all, on the basis of population, it follown that for every 81,000 given, for example, to New Brunswick, we must give over $\$ 1,300$ to Niova Sieotia, 81,000 to Lower Canada, and 86,000 to Upper. Cauda-mereby drawing from the fecleral exchequer unuch larger sums than thess provinees needed for local purposes. The course we adopted then was this: We formed a committee of Finance Ministers and made each of them go over his list of expendi. tures, lopping of call unncesasary wervicess and outting down every item to the lowest possible Gigure. By this meabis we succeeded in re-
ducing the total annual subsidy required for local government to the sum of $\$ 2,630,000-$ of which Lower Canada will receive annually $\$ 880,000$, and Upper Canada $\$ 1,120,000$. But it is said that in addition to her eighty cents. per head under this arrangement, New Brunswick is to receive an extra graṇt from the federal ohest of $\$ 63,000$ annually for ten years. Well, this is perfectly true. After cutting down as I have explained the local expenditures to the lowest mark, it was found that: Ner Bronswick and Newfoundland could not possibly carry on their local governments with the sum per head that would suffice for all the rest. New Brunswick imperatively required $\$ 63,000$ per anuum beyond her share, and we had either to find that sum for her or give up, the hope of union... The question then arose, would it not be better to give New Brunswick a special grant of $\$ 63,000$ for a limited number of years, so that her local revenues might have time to be developed, rather than increase the subsidy to. all the local governments, thereby placing an additional burden on the federal exchequer of oyer eight hundred thousand dollars per annum? We came nanimously to the conclusion that the extra sumi needed by New Brunswick was too small to beallowed to stand in the wayof union-we also determined that it would be the height of absurdity to impose a permanent burden on the country of $\$ 800,000$ a year simply to escape a payinent of 863,000 . for ten yeary-and so it came about that New Bruniwick got this extra arant-an arrangement which received and receives now my hearty approval. (Hear hear:). It is only right to say, however, that Hew. Brinswidk may possibly be in a position'to do without this money. The House is aware that the Federal Government is to assume the debts of the several provinces, each province being ientitled to throw upon it a debt ife $\$ 20$ per head of its population. Should the debt of any province exceed $\$ 25$ per head, it is to pay interest on the excess to the federal treasury but should it fall below $\$ \mathbf{5} 5$ per head, it is to receive interest from the federal "treasury" on the difference lietween ita actual debt and the debt to whirh it is entitled.. Now, it so happens that the existing debt of New Brunswick is much less than it is entited to throw on the Federal Government. It is, however, ander hability for certain works, which if proveeded with would. Briug its debt up to the mark of 825 a head. But if these worls are not proceeded with Now Brunswick will be entitlod to a large
amount of annual interest from the federal chest, and that money is to be applied to the reduction of the sixty-three thousand extra grant. (Hear hear.) : And this, moreover, is not to be forgotten as regards New Brunswick, that she brings into the union extensive railways now in profitable operation, the revenues from which are to go into the federal chest." (Hear.) A similar arrangement was found necessary as regards the Island of Newfoundland-it, too, being a wast country with a sparse population. It was fond absolutely essential that an additional grant beyond eighty cents per head should be made to enable her Local Goverument to be properly carried on. But, in consideration of this extra allowance Newfoundland is to cede to the Federal Government her Crown lands and minerals-and assuredy, if the reports of geologists are well founded, this arrangement will be as advantageous to us as it will, be to the inhabitants. of Newfoundland. I am persuaded then, Mr. Speaker, that the House will feel with me that we in Canada have very little to complain of in regard to the subsidies - for local government. But if a doubt yet remains on the mind of any hotiorable niember, let hime examine the Trade Returns of the several provinces, and he will see that, from the large quantity of dutiable goods consumed in the Maritime Provinces, they have received no undue adyantage under the arrangenient. Let this too ever be kept in mind that the $\$ 2,630,000$, ty be distributed to the local gov. erniments from the federal chest is to be in full and beal extingutishment of all claims hereafter for focal purposes, and that if this. from: any cause does not suffice, the local governments must supply all déficiencies from direet tax on their own localities (Hear, hear:) And let honorable members trum - Cpper Canada who carp at this annual subsidy, remember for a noment what we pay now, and they, will cease their grumbling. Of all the money raised by the Geueral Government for local purposes in Canada, the tax-payers of Upper Canada now pay moro than three-fourths ; But far froin getting: back in proportion to what they coutribute, or even in proportion to their population, they do not pet whehalt, of the money spent for heal purposes. But how different will it be ander Federation!' Nite hundred thousand people will cone iuto the union, who will contribute to the revenue quite as much, man for man, as the I pper Canadians, and in the distribution of the tocal subudy we will receive our share on the basis' of population. A very
different arrangement from that wenow endure. (Hear, hear.) I confess to you, sir, that one of the strongest arguments in my mind for Confederation is the economical ideas of the people of these Maritime Provinces, and the confiction that the influence of their public men in our legislative hatts will be niost salutary in all financial matters A more economical people it would be difficult to find; their prime ministers and their chief justices get but \&600 a year, Halifax currency and the rest of their civil list is in much the same proportion. (Hear, hear.) But, Mr. Sphakip; there is another great evil in our existing system that this scheme remedies it secures to the people of each province full contril aver the administration of their own intermal affairs. We in Epper Canada have .emplained that the minority of our representatives, the party des feated at the polls of Tpper Canada, have been, year after year, kept in office by Lowier Ganada votes, and that all the lieal patrunage of our section has been isppensed by those who did not possess the confidence of the people: Well, sir, this scheme remedies that. . The local patronage will be under local control, and the wishes of the majority in each section will be carried out in all local matters. (Hear, hear.) : We have complained that the land system was not according to the views of tour westeri people; that free lands for actual settlers was, the right padicy for us -that the price of a piece of land syuezed out of an immigrant was no consideration in conparison with the setelement anong us of a hardy and industrioun family ; and that the colonization road system was far from satisfactory. Well, sir, this scheme remedies that. Each province is to have control of its awn crown lands, crown timber and crown minerals, - and will be free to take such steps for developing them as each deems best.(Hear; hear.) We have couplained that local works of various kinds-roads, bridges and landing piers, court houses, gaols and other structures-have beck erected inan inequitable and improvident manner. Well, sir, this schene renedies that, will leal works are to be constructed by the looalities and defrayed trom local funds, And so on through the whole extensive details of internal local administratinn will this reform axtend: The people of Upper Canada will have the entire control of their local matters, and will no louger have to betake themselves to Quebec for leave to open. a road, to sulect a county toma, or appoint a coroner. Sut I am told that to this general principle of placing all local matters undis
local control, an exception has been made in regard to the common schools. (Hear, hear.) The clause complained of is as follows:-
6. Education; saving the rights and privileges which the Protestant or Catholic minority in hoth Canaday may possess us to their Deniominational Schools at the time when the Union goes into operation.

Now, I need hardly remind the House that I have always opposed and contipue to oppose the system of sectarian education, so far as the public chest is concerned. I have never had any hesitation on that point. I have never been able to see, why all the people of the province, to whatever sect they may belong: should not send their children to the same commou schools to receive the ordinary branches of instruction: I regard the parent and the pastor as the best religious instruc-tory-and so long as the religious, faith of the children is uxinterfered with, and ample optunity afforded to the elergy to give religious instruction to the children of their floeky; I cannot conceive any sund objeetion to mixed whools. But while in the Confereace and elsewhere I have alwayy maintaned this yiew, and always given my vote against sectarian pubhé sehools, Lam bound to admit, as I have always-aduitted, that the sectarian system, carried to the limited extent it has yet been in Upper Canada, and contined as it chietly is to caties and torus', has not been a very great practical injury. The real eause of alarm was that the admisxion of the segtarian principle was; there, and that at any moment it might be extended to such a degree as to split up vur school system ultogether. There are but a hundred separate achools in Uppor Camada, out of some futir thousand, and all Koman Catholic. But if the Koman Catholics are catuled to separate sehools and to go ón extending their operations, so are the members of the Chureh of Bingland, the Presbyterians, the Methodists, and all other seets. No caidid Roman Catholie will deny this for a moument and there lay the great danger to our educational tabric, that the separate system might gradually bxtend itself until the whole country was studded with nurseries of sectariauism, most burtiul to the Beast interests of the province, taid eatailing an enorminus expense to sustam the hosts of teachers that so prodigal a system of public iistruction must inevitably entail. Now it is known to every honorable nember of this House that and Aet was pasted in 1503, as a thinal setlement of this bectarian cuntro versy. I was not in Quebec at the time, but
if I had been here I- would have voted agains that bill, because it extended the facilities for establishing seeparate schools.' I't had, however, this good feature, that it was accepted by the Roman Catholic authorities, and carried through Parliament as a final compromise of the question in Upper Canada. When, therefore, it was proposed that a provision should be inserted in the Confederation scheme to bind that compaot of 1863 and declare it a final settlement, so that we should not be compelled, as "we haye been since 1849 , to stand coustantly to our arms; awaiting fresh attacks upon our cominon school system, the proposition seemed to me one that was not rashly to be rejected. (Hear, hear.) I adinit that, from my point of view, this is a blot on the scheme betore the House, it is, confessedl), one of the concessiung from our side that had to be. made to secure this great uncasure of reform. But assuredly, $I_{\text {, for ope, have not the slightest }}$ besitation in accepting it as a necessary condition of the scheme of union, and doubly acceptable must it be in the eyes of honorable gentlemen opposite, who were the authors of the bill of 1863 . (Cheers.) But it was urged that though this arrangement might perhaps be fair as regards Upper Canada, it was not so as regards Lower Camada, for there were matters of which the British population have long complained, and seme anendments to the existing School Act vere required to secure them equal justice. Well, when this point was raised, geatemen of all parties in Lower Canada at once expressed theniselves prepared to treat it in a frank and conciliatory manner, with a riow to recioving any injustice. that might be shown to exist; and on this understanding the edueational clayse was adopted by the Conference.

Mr. T. U. WALLBRIDGE - That destroys the power of the loval legiglatures to legislate upon the subject.

Hon. Mr.BROWN-I would like to know howtur "h "power" the honorable gentleman has now to legislate upon it?" Let him tintroduce a bill today to annul the compret of 1863 and repeal all the seetarian school' acts of EPper Canhda, and how many votes would he get for it?: Would twenty members vote for it out of the one huidred and thirty who compose this Hqase: If the honurable geatleman had been struygling for fifteen years, as 1 have been, to save the sehool system of Cpper Canada from further extensiou of the sectarian demeat, be would have found precious little diminution of power over it in this very mod erate compromise. And what says the hon
orable gentleman to leaving the British population of Lower Candal in the unrestrivted power of the Local Legislature? The Common Schools of Lower Canada are not as in Upper Canada-they are almost entirely non-sectarian Roman Catholic Schools. , Does the honorable gentleman, then, desire to compel the Proteś tants of Loywer Canada to avail thenselves of Roman C:itholic "iustitutions, or lease their children without instruction? (Hear hear, and eheers.) But, Mr. Sreaker, $I$ am further in favor of this scheme because it will bring to an end the sectional discord between (pper and Lower Canada: It sweeps away the boundary line between the provinces so far as regards matters common to the whele people-it places all on an equal level-and the nembers of the Federal Legislature will meet at last as citizens of a common country. The questions. that used to excite the most hastile feelinss among us have been taken away froin the General. Levisiature, and placed under the control of the local bodiess sio nan need hereafter bes debarred from success in public life because" his views, however pupular in has
own section, are unpupular in the other own section, ate unpupular in the other.- for he will not have to deal with sectigual que's tions, and the teuptation to the Goverument of the day to nake capital out of local prejudices will be greatly lessened, if not ultogether
at an end. What has rendered prominent at an end. What has rendered prominent public mem- in one, section utterly unpmpular in the other in past years? : Has it beeplaur views on tride ana commerce-inmigratiou -land settlement - the canal system-the tariff,-or any other of the trrat , puestions
of national interest? of national interest ? No arr, it ivas from our views as $i$, the dipplying ut public money
to local purposesto low parposes-the allotinent bf publice lands. to loe d purposes, the building of local rodes, bridyes, and landinspiers with public funds-the chartering of ecolesiastical insti-tutions-the granting of public money tor sectarian parposes- the iaterference with our sehool system-and similar maters, that the hot feuds between Cpper and Lower Canada have chietly arisen; and caused our public men, the more fiuthful they were "to the opinions and wishes of gne section, to be the mure onpopular' in the other. A mut happy day will it be for Canada when this bill goes iato effect, and all these subjects oft. diseord are swept from the discussion of ours Legistatite.
(Hear.) But, Mr. Spentur, (Hear.) But, Mr. Spenker, I au further in favor of this scheme ass a remedial measure; because it brings to an end the doube that has so long hung over our position, and gives a sta bility to our future in the eyes of the world that
could not otherwise have been atténded. (Re peated marks of approval, but ironical cheers from Hon. Mr. Holton.) The hon. member for Chateauguay cries sh hear, hear "in a very credulous tone; but the hou. meniber should bd one of the very last to express doubts on this point. IIas he not, for many years, admitted the absolute necessity of coinstitutional chauges, ere peace and prosperity could be es. tablished in our land ?. Has he not taken part in the coutests to obtain those changes? Has he not experienced the harsh and hostile feel. ings that have pervaded this House and the whole country? Anil did he not sign the report of my coumittee hast session; declaring a. Federal union to be the true solution of our troubles, political and constitutional? "And does the honorable member think these mattors were not well known in the United States; and that the hope of our annexation to the republie was not kept alive by them from year to year? Dues he fanicy that our discords and disconkent were not well known in Great Britain, and that the capitalist adid the emigrant frere not influenced by our distractions? Does he fancy that people abruad, as well as at home, did not perfectly understand that Upper Csasda would not much honger submit To the injustice from which she suffered-and that until the future relatious of the tivo seetions were adjusted, no one cuuld predict sately what our tüture positwo might be?" But when the méasure before uo has been adopted - when justice has been done to both sectionswhen all are placed un an equal toóting - when tho sectional muatters that rent us have been handed over to seetional control-when seetional expenditure shall be neseed on seethoual shoulder-will not a sense of security and stability be inspired, which we never before enjoyed and never could haty enjoyed under existing circumstances? (Cheers.) Viewed then, Mr. Spraker, from a merely Canadiän stand-point-viewed solely as a remedial moa-sure-I fearlessly assert that the seheme in your hands is a just and satisfictory remedy for the evils and injustice that have so long distraeted the province-(cheers)-and sos stronyly do I feel this, that were every word of objection urged against our union with the Maritime Provinees just and trio to the very letter, I would nut hessitate to adupt the union as the priee of a measure of constitutional reforin in Canada, so just and ro completo as now pro posed. (Oheers.) But, Mr. Streakeh, su fay fron the objeitions urged againyt paion with the Maritime Provineea beígy soura, so far from union with them boing a dratwack $\omega$ o this
measure, I regard it as the crowning advantage of the whole scheme: (Continued cheering.) Sir, I make no pretension to having been in past years an advocate of the immediate union of the British Aumerican Colonies. I always felt and always said that no statesman could doubt that such was the best arid almost the certain future destiny of these colonies; but I doubted greatly whether the right time for the movement had yet arrived. I. knem little of the Maritime Provinces or the feelings of their people; the negotiations for a union were likely to be difficult and long protracted, and I was unwilling to accept the hope of a measure so remote and so uncertain in lien of the practical remedy for practioal evils in Canada which we were earnest ty seeking to obtain, and which our own Legislature had the power immediately to grant: 'But of late, sir, all this has been changed. The cireumstances 'are entirely altered. A revolutión' has otcurred in Clreat Britain on the subject of colonial relations to the parent. state-the Covernment of the linited States has betome a great warlike power-our commercial relations with the republic are seriousty threatened - ind every man in British Ameriea has now placed before him'tor solution'the practical question, what shall be done in riew of the changed relativus on which we are abont to enter! Shall we continue to struggle along as isolated comminities, or shall we unte cordially together to extend our commerce, to drvelope the resources of our country and to defend our soil? But wore than this-many of us hayo learued; since we last met here, far thore of the Maritine Provinces thati wo evor did before. We have visited the Maritime Pruvinces wo have seen the country-we have met the people and marked their jatelligence and them mudustry and their trugalitywe havo iavestigated their publio atfiairs and funnd them satisfactory-Wo have discussed cerms of union with their statesuer and found that no insuperable obstacle to cuiton esists, and no uecessity for long dolay. We come to the consideration of the question woday in a totally ditiureit position trum whiat wo ever did betore- and it the House will grant me: its indulgeace, I think I can present unanswerable arguments to show that this mion of all British Ameriea should be hearthly and. promplly accepted by all the provinces. (C'heers) Mr. SPEAKEa, I am in theor of a union of the Britisth Americam Colonies, first, beraug it vill raise nis trom the atisudo of i nacubur of inconsiderable colunies into a great and poiveriul poople. (Cheirs.) The united
population of Canada, Nova Scotia, Now Brunswick, Newfoundland and Priace Edward Island, is at this moment very close on four millions of souls. Now, there are in Europe tortyeeight Sovereign States, and out of that number there are only eleven having a greater population than these colonies united - (hear, hear)-while three of the eleven are so little ahead of us, that before the next census is tiken, in 1871, we shall stand equal in population to the ninth Sovereign State of Europe. (Hear.) Then, sir, the public revenues of the united provinces for 1864 were $\$ 13,260,-$ 000 , and their expenditures summed ap to $\$ 12$, 507,000; And, large as these sums may appear, it is satisfactory to know that the tax: ation of British Anerica-were there no reduction from present burdens, which I am sure there will be-will be one-third less par head than the taxation of England or France. There are ouly five or six countries in Europe in which the taxation is less than ours will be-and these, morcover, are either petty principalities or states which do not engoy a, tery high degree of civilization. (Hear.) Ihen, sir, as resards the Inporte and Exports of the united provinces, they summed up in 1863, to the following dimensions :-

| Inporta | \$70,600,963 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Exports | 66,846,604 |
| Total | \$137,44,7,557 |

Vow, sir, I should like houorable geatlenien to untice this tuet, that in 1793 -iong after the Linted States had achieved their indepenalence and established a goitled Gövera-ment-their exports and imports did not amount to onethird what ours do at this moment:" (Chéers.) There are few states in Lurope, and those with a vaitly greater popula-: tion thas ours, that can boast of anything like the extent of toreign col.merce that now passes through our hands (Hear.) Then, sir, as to our ugricultural resources, I find that $45,635,854$ acres have passed frow the goveraments of these colories into private hands, of which ouly $18,125,429$ aro yet tilled, and $32,310,625$ atres have still to be broxght inte cultivation. The whole of these forty-tive milHons are picked land-most of thom solected by the early" settlers in this country; and it our annual ayrieultural products are so great now, what will they be when the thirty-two milliens yet to pass under the plough have been brought into cultivation ?-and what wili they not be when the vast tracts still held by Government ase peopled with hardy settlers?
(Hear.) According to the census of 1861, the value of the agricultural productions of the previous year in the united provinces of British America" was $\$ 120,000,000$, and if we add to that the garden products, and the improvements made on new lands by the agricultural laborers of the provinces, it will be found that the actuat product of the industry of our farmers in that year was $\$ 150,000,000$. (Hear, hear.). The assessed value of /eur farms-which is always greatly less than the real value-wan $\$ 5500_{i}$ 000,000 in the year 1861. (Hear.). Then, sir, in regard to the minerals of the united provinces - what vast fields of profitable industry will we have in the great coal beds of Nova Scotia-in the iron deposits fonnd. all over the proyinces in the exhaustless copper regions of Lakes Huron and Superior and the Eastera. Townships of Lower Canada-and in the gold mines of the Chaudiere and Nova Scotia. And if the mind stretches from the western bounds of civilization through those great north-western regions, which we hope ere long will be ours, to the eastern stope of the Roeky Mountains, what vast sources of wealth to the fur trader, the miner, the gold hunter and the ayriculturist, lie there ready to be developed. (Hear hear.) Nor can another source of wealth be altogether forgotten. The President of the United States is said recently to have declared that the produce of the petroleum welly of the United States will in half'a dozen years pay off, the whole national debt of the republic. "Well, sir, we too have "struck oil," aud every day brings us intelligence of fresh diseoveries-. (hear, hear, and laughter)-and if the enormous debt of our neighbors may : possibly be met' by the oily stream, may we not hope that some material additior to our anual indústrial revenue may tlow from our petroleum regions? (Hear, hear.) Another vast branch of British Aimerican industry is thetimber'and lanber tride. In the year 1562, our. saw-mills turued vut not less than 72,000 . 000 feet of manatactured lumber, and our whole timber: exports sumined up to the value" of tifteen millions of dullars. (Hear, hear.) That manufacturing interests of the provicets, too, are fast rising into importance ayricalural implement works, woollen factories and cotion mills, tanneries and shoe factories, iron works, and rulling mills, tlax works and puper mills, and many other extensive and profitable mechanical establishriente are springing up among ous, and rapidly extending their operations. (Hear, hear.) Aid to adid to oll, wo have
already $\mathbf{2 , 5 0 0}$ miles of railway, $\mathbf{4 , 0 0 0}$ miles of electric telegraph, and the noblest canal system in"the world, but which, I hope; will soon be infinitely improved. (Cheers.) These, Mr. SpEaker, are some examples of the industrial spectacle British Aincriea will present after the union has been accomplished; and I ask any member of this House to say whether we will not, when thas united, occupy a position in the eyes of the worid, and command a degree of respect and infinence that we never cann enjoy as separate provinces? (Hear, hear.) Must it not affect the decision of many an intending emigrant, when be is told not of the fishing and mining pursuits of Nova Scotia, or of the ship-building of New Bruns:wick, or of the timber trade of Lower Canada, or of the agriculture of Upper Canada, but whan he is shown all these in one viem, as the collective industrial parsuits of British America?" (Hear, hear:) I am persuaded that this union will inspire new contidence in our stability, and exercise the nost benefioial influence on all our affairs: I believe it will raise the value of our publie securities, that it will draw eapital to our shores, and secure the prosecution of all legitimite enterprises"; and What I saw, while in Eigland, a few weeks aing would alone have convinced me of this. Wherever you went you encountered the most marked aridence of the gratitication with which the Coniederation scheme was received by all chasses of the people, and the deep interest taken in its success. Let mide state one fact in illustratiou.- For some time previous to Noyember last our securities had gone very low down in the market, in consequence as my honorable friend the Finances Minister ex. plained the other night, of the war raging on our borders, the uncertainty which hung over the future of this province, and the fear that we aight be involved in trouble with our neightiors. Our tive per cent. debentures went down in the market so low as 71; but they recovered fruan 71 to 75 , I think; upou the day the resolutious for Coatederation, which we are now diseussing, reached louton. Well, sir, the resolutionis were pablished in the London papers, with eulogisto editorial artioles, and the immediate etfect of the neheme ppon the public mind was such that our tive per ceuts. rose from 75 to 92 . (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Mr. HOLTUN- Whiat has put them down since?
Hon. Ma BROWN-I will presently eell the honorable gentleman what hus put them down since. But 1 say that, it anything could show more cleariy than another the
effect this union is to have on our position over the world, it is a fact like this, that our securities went up 17 per cent. in consequence of the publication of the details of vor scheme. (Hear, hear.): The honorable member for Chateanguay asks, "What"put them down again? I will tell him. They remained at 91 or 92 until the news came that a raid had been made from Canada into the United States, that the raiders had been arrested and brought before a Canadian Courts and that upen technical legal grounds, not on!y had they been set free, but the money of which they had robbed the banks had been handed over to the robbers. The effect of this news, coupled with General Dix's order, was to drive down our securities 11 per cent: almost in one day: (Hear, hear.)' But, as my honorable friend the Finance Minister suggests, this is but an additional proof of the accuracy of the argument I have been sustaining-for this would not have happened, at all eventa to: the same extent, if cll the provinces had been united and prepared, as we are now proposing, not only for purposes of commerce but for purposes of defence (Hear, hear.) But secondly; Mr. Speaker. I go hearsily for the union, because it will throw down the barriers of trade and give os the controb of a market of four milliuns of people." (Hear, hear.) What one thing has contributed so mueh to the wondrous material progress of the United States as the free passage of their products from one State to another?. What has tended so much to the rapid advance of all branches of their industry, as the vast extent of thoir home market, creatimg an unlimited demand for all the coumodities of daily ase, and stimulating the enengy and ingeauity of producers? Sir, I coufess to you that in my mind this orie vieve of the unien-the addition of "pearly a million of peopler to our home consumersswepres aside all the petty objections that are averred ayajast the scheme: What in cont parison with this great tain to our farmers and uanafacturvers, are even the fallacions money objectious which the imagivatious of hoomable gentiemen opposite bate summoned up? All over the world we hind nations eagerly longing to extend their dumpins, spending large sumis and waying protracted wars to possess "khemeclves of mok territory, untilled and uninhabited. (Hear, hwar.) Uther countries offer lange induevinents to foreiguers to emigrate to their shores-itre passayes, free lands, and free food and impliments to start them in the world. We, oursolves, support costly liahments to attraet immigranta to our coum.
try, and are satisfied when our annual outlay brings ùs fifteen or twenty thousand sonls. But here, sir, is a proposal which is to add, in. one day, "near a million of soulls to our popula-tion-to add valuable territories to our domaia, and secure to as all the advantages of a large and profitable commerce, now 'existing. And because some of us would have liked certain of the little details otheriwise arranged, we are to hesitate in accepting this alliance! (Hear, hear.) Have honorable gentlemen forgotten that the United States gladly paid twenty millions in hard cash to have Louisiana incorporated in the Republic? But what was Louisiana then to the Auerioans, in comparison with what the Maritime Provinces are at this moment to Canada? I put it to honorable gentleqen opposite-if the United States were now to offer us the State of Maine, what possible sum could be napued within the compass of our ability that we would not be prepared to pay for that addition to our country? (Hear, hear.) If we were offered Mlichigan, Iowa or Minnesota, I would like to know, what sum, within the cónpass of Canada, we would not be prepared to pay? These are portions of a foreign country, but here is a people owning the same allegiance as ourseives, loving the same old sod, enjoying the same laws and institutions, actuated by the same inpulses and social customs, and yet when it is proposed that they shall unite with as for par poses of commerce, for the defence of our common country, and to develope the vast natural-resourves of our united domains, we hesitate to adopt it! If a Canadian 'goes now to Nova Scotia or New Brunswick, or if a citizen of these provinces comes here, it is like going to a foreign icountry: The culstouss officor meets you at the frontier, arrests your progress, and levies his imposts on your effects. But the proposal now before us is to throw down all barriers between the provinces-to make a citizen of one, citizen of the whole; the proposal is, that our farmers and manafacturets and mechanioa shall carry their wares unquestioned inte every village of the Maritime Provinces; and that they shall, with equal freedom bring their hat their coal, and their West Indie produce to our three millions of inhabitants. The proposal is, that the law courts; and the sohovis, and the professional and industrial walks of lifo, throughout all the provinces, shall be thrown equally open to us all: (llear, heir.) But, thirdly, Mr. Speakeer, I am in favior of a union of the provinces becann-and I call the attention of inonosible
gentlemen opposite to it-because it will make us the third maritime state of the world. (Hear, hear.) When this union is accomplished, but two countries in the world will be "superior in "maritime influence to British America-and those are Great Britain and the United States.' (Hear, hear.) In 1S63, no fewer than 628 vessels were built in British America, of which the agregate tonnage was not less than 230,310 tons. (Hetar, hear.) There were built-


Now, sir, in 1861 -the year preceding the outbreak of the civil war-all the vesuels huilt in the United States; with their: vas't seaboard and thirty millions of people, were in the agorrate but 233,193 tons only three thousand tons in excess of the British American Provinces. (Hear, hear." And I hesitate not to affirm that if the peopie of British America unite cordially torether in utilizing the singular facilitied we unitedlypossess for the exteusion of the shipping and ship-building interests, many years will "not elapse before we greatly surpas our neiphibors in this luerative branch of industry. $\therefore$ ( $C$ heers.

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-How much of the shipping built in that year do we own now?

Hon. Mr. BROW, How much of what the Americans buiit in 1861 do they own now ? Why is my honorable friend so aaxious to decry the industry of his country'?" If we have not the ships it is beciuse we sold them, and the money is in onr pockets, and we are ready to build more. In 1863 we sold "ships built by our mechanics to the large amount of $89,000,000$ in gold: (Cheers.) But if my honorable friend from Ctirteauguay will pormit me, I am going on "to indoctrinate him upon the point of the ownerstiip of vessels-

Hon. Ma. HOLTON-Don'e!
Hon. Mr. BROWN-Ah! my honoroble friend dies not require to be instructad; well, will he cell us how many tons of shipping are now owned by British Ameriea?

Hon. Ma. HOLTON-I am aware that most of the vessels my honorable friend speaks of and the building of which he citess as a proof that we will bo a great maritune power, were sold abroad. Building ships is a good thing; and selling them is a better, but that
does not prove us to be a great maritime power.

HoN. Mr. BROWN-My honorable friend cannot eat his cake and have it too.. If we got $\$ 9,000,000$ for a portion of the ships we built in 1863, it is clear we cannot own them also: It did not require a man of great wis dom to find out that, (Laughter.) But I Was going on to show the amount of shipping that was owned in these provinces. hold in my hand a statement of the vessels owned and registered in British America, made up to the latest dates, and I find that the provinces unitedly own not fewer than $8, \overline{0} 30$ vessels, with an aggriognte tonnage of not less than 932,246 tons.

> Hon Mr. HOLTON Seagoing? Hon: Mr. BROTry

Hon: Mr. BROWN-Sea-going and in-: land

Hon MR. HOLTON-(ironically)-Hear, hear!

HoN. Mr. BRONY-Why is my honorable friend from Chateauguay so anxioas to depreciate? Is it then so deplorable à thing to own inland vessets? Wone knows better than my honorable friend when to buy and when to sell-and yet, I greatly mistake if there was not a time when uny honorable triend thoughe it not so bad a thing to be the owner of ships and steamers on our inland soas. 'Hear. hear, and laughter." Am I wrong in believing that my honorable friend laid the foundation of his well-merited fortune in the earrying"trade of the lakes? - and is it tor him, from momentary partisanship, to depreciate such an important branch of natioual industry?" What matters where the ship floats, it she is a good and a sound ship? -and the inland tonnage includes, so many steamers, that in value it will compare favoraby with that of the seagoing. On the
31 Deceuber, -


Now, sir, it is quite true that tho Cnited States have a much laryer commercial navy than this, and Cireat. Britain a vastly larger one-bue it is ergually true that the country next to then in inportance is Erance, and that notwithastading her thirty-five: millions of people, large foreign trade, and extensive seaccoast, she own bat 60,000 tone of thip-
ping more than British America. (Hear, hear.) "In 1860, the aggregate commercial nary of France was but 996,124 tons. I say then, that even as shipowners, the British. American Confederacy will occupy from the first," a proud place among the Maritime States of the world - and that when all her ships hoist a distinctive flag alongside the Cross of Red, there will be few seas in which it. will not be unfurled. And lèt me here mention a fact which came under my notice while, re cently in the Lower Provinces- -a fact of great importance, and from which, I think, we, who are mote inland, may well profit. I learped that, as in the British Isles, a system of joint stock ship-building has been spreading over many parts of the Maritime Prótinces. Ships are built and owned in small shares- say in sixteenth, thirty-second, or sisty-fourth parts, and all classes of the people are taking small ventures in the trade. Most of the ohips si) built are sold, but a portion, and an ivereasing portion eyery vear are sailed, and sailed with protit, by the orizinal joint-stock builders. (Hear, hear:') was delighted to be told that some of thiose clipper vessels which w. often hear of as waking wondertul trips from China and India and Australia to British ports, are vessels built and owned in New Brunswick, under this. joint-stock system. (Hear, hear:) so muctr for the building aed ownership of ships Now let me show you what will be the streageth of the : united pro-- winces in seataring men. By the ceasus of 1861, it appears that the numbers of szilors and tishermen were then-


Whethier regarded merely as a luerative branch of indusiry, or as affecting our mari. titae position before the world, or as a bulvark of defence in tine of ned. this one faet that British Aimerica wilt have a conbined force of sevienty thousand zeavien, appears to me an immense argument in tisvor of the union. (Hear, hoar, I, And let us look at the pro ducts of the tabor of a portion of these men -the tishermen. From the iatest returns i bave been able to neet with, I find the joint products of our sea-dejasts and inland lakes were, in the years named, estimated at the following valuts:-

| Upper Canada, 1859 | \$: 380,000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lower Canada, 1862 | -703,895 |
| Nora Scotia, [80, | 2,072,081: |
| New Brunswick, 1861 | 518,530 |
| Newfoundland, 1561 | 6;347,730 |
| Total | 10,022,236 |

(Hear, hear.) I was unable to find any estimate as regards Prince Edward Island, but fancy the amount there must be about $\$ 200,000$. . But, be this as it may, so valuable a fishing trade as this of the united provinces doess not exist in an̆y part of the world., And no doubt these estimates: are far under the fact as a large portion of the delicious food drayn by our people from the sea and inlaid waters could not possibly be included in the returns of the fishery inspectors. (Hear, hear.) And let us observe, for a moment; the important part played by this fishing industry in the foreign commerce of the provinces. The exports of products of the sea in the year 1863 were as follows:-

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Nova Scotia | 2,390,661 |
| New Brunswick (1-62) | 303,47\% |
| Newfundland. | 4,090,970 |
| Prince Edrard Island | 121,000 |
| Tutal expo | 7, |

Add to this, nine millinas of dollars received in the, same year tor new ships, and we haye \$16;696,021 as one year's toreign exports of our ghip-buildiay and tishing interests. (Hear, hear.) With such thats before us as the result ot only a partially-developed traffic, may we not fearlessly liok forward to the future in the confident hope of still more gratifying rosuits, when, by combined and energetio action, a new impetus has been given to these valuable branches of industry? But there reuaina a still tuore singular comparison to bo made. The Minister of Finance referred to it hast uight - but he: scarcely did justice to nur pesition, because he excluded altogether the mand shippiag. I reter to the statement of ships annually entering and leaving our ports." Or course every one couprehends that a lange amount of the tounage chtering and leaving ports on the uppes lakes is repeated in the returas over and over again. : This is the eat, for instance, with the ferry boats between the Amrtican and Camadian shores, that carry passe gery and it small quantity of goods. It would to unfair to put down the toanage of such boats overy time they enter or loave a port, as foreign commerce. Still there a
large amount of valuable shipping engaged in the inland trade and a vast amount of freight is carried between the countries; and the onily just plan is to state separately that which is seagoing shipping and that which is inland. Acting on this plan, I find that in 1863, the tonnage between Canada and toreign ports was as follows :-

| Inwards. | Outwards Totad, |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,091,895 2,133,204 |
| Nora Scotia.......o.... T12,939 | 710,915, 1,432, 354 |
| New Brunswick.f.,... 539.25 S | 727,727 1,386,985 |
| P. E. Island: $1582 . . .{ }^{\text {N }}$ N.080 | : 51,208 薙 150,285 |
| Newfoundiand/........ 150.55 | 143,610 : 305.168 |
| 4,039,164 | 2,769,355 '5,4u8,519 |
| Inland Xavigation. |  |
| Canada:................. 3, 53*,701 | 3,365,432, 6,907,133 |

Now, sir, the United States are in the same position as we are in respect to this inland traffic, and they include it in their returns as is done here. And what, sir, do jou think is the difference between their tonnage and ours? Why ours is over twelve millions and theirs is but' sixteen milliens. There are nut four millions of tons of difference between the. two: (Hear, hear.)' And let it be recollected that the United States have had seventy veare start of us. As regards France, the whole amonnt of shipping that entered and left the ports of that great country in one year was but $8,456,734$ tonsfour millions of tons less than that of the British American Provinces: May we not then, when this union is accomplished, fairly claim- to be the third maritime state of the world: and may we not even entertain the hope that, at some future day, a still higher position is' not beynnd our reach, when the days of puberty have been passed and the strength of manhood has been reached? 1 ask bonorable gentlemen, in jooking at these Gicures, to consider what the effect must be when they are set down thus coliectively sude by side, in, 研ial commercial returis, in comparison with the commerve of all the grat maritime states? Will it not strenghen our position abroad?--will it not give un"a deyree of influeace and importance to have it known that British America wields so large a stare of the wortd s. cominerte? And if hunorable gentlemen will still further onsider the deep importance to Callad., in her inland position, of exereising her just influence in the control of so valuable a matrine interest, I think they will come $u$, the concluainon that ail the object tions urged against this union are, in the balance of its advantages, utterly contemptible.
(Cheers.) But, in the fourth place, Mr. Splaker, I go for a anion of the provinces, because it will give a new start to immigration into our country. It will bring us ont anew prominently before the world-it will tarn ear-nest-attention to our resources, and bring to our shores a stream of jumigration greater, and of a better class, than we ever had before. I was in England when the tirst public announcement of this scheme was made, and witnessed, with pleasure; the marked impression it produced. "You could not go abroad, you could not enter into any company, in any elass of society, where Canada or the British American Provinces were mentiond, but you heard this union mivement xpoken of almust with enthusiasm. And I say it is desirable that this scheme should not be delayed, but be carried through promptly and viyorously. I hesitate not to say that it should be accompanied with a rigorous effort to give a new impetus to our industrial enterprises, to open up fresh lands for settlement, and to cheapen the transport of our produce to the sea-buard: With the consummation of this unios. I trust we will have a new immigration and a new land settlcment policy-that we will ascertain' every lot of land we actually own. se that a printed list may be placed in the hands of every ir migrant-that the petty price we have been heretofore exacting, will na louger be exieted. bui that to actual setilers who come among as to hew out for theniselves and their chil. dren honnes in the forest, nu burtien or condition will be demanded. beyond resident vecapation for a certain number of years, and a fixed amount of improviment on the land:-

Hon Mr. HULTUN-Untortunately for your argument, the lands will be in the hands of the local goverumatats:

Hon. Mr. BReWW--so wuch the beters: My bonoruble triend can matuge his publie lands in Lower Caunds at be likesy and we will manage oury. And, specking for the western setion, 1 am bound to say there are very tew shréwd uen an 'pper C'anada who do ant feel that far nure publio benetit is to be gained from the indusery of a hardy aetual settler upon 100 acres of land given to ham free, twai the trumpery 8150 that can be squeezed vut of him as its price, the payment of which keeps him in trsuble perhaper tor years, and retards the progrens of the cotutry. On thes question of imtaigration carns, in my opinion, the whole future success of this great sclivme which we are now diseussing. Why, sir; there is hardly a political or tinancial or social probleas suggested by this union that
does not fud its best solation in a large influx of immigration. "The larger our population, the greater will be our productions, the nore raluable our exports, and the greater our ability to develop the resources of our conntry: The greater the number of tax-payers, and the more densely they are settled, the more lightly will the burden of taxation fall upon us all. And in this question of immigration is found the only true solution of the problem of defence. Fill up our vacant lands, double our population, and we will at once be in a position to meet promptly and effectually any invader who may put bis foot with hostile intent apon our soil. (Hear, hear.) And this question of immigration naturally brings me to the great subject of the North-West- territories. (Hear, hear.) The resolutions before us recognize thie imnediate pecessity of those great territories being brought./within the Coifederation and opened up for set leanent. But I am told that, while the Intercolonial Kailroad has been made an absolute condition of the compact, the opening up of the Great West and the emlarguiement of our canals have been left in doubt. Now, sir, nothing can be more unjast than "Lhis. : Let me; read the resolutions :-
The Geoeral Government shall socure, without delay; the complet on of the Intortolonial Kalway from Rivicre du Loup, through New Bruaswiek, to Trurv in No Wa Scotis.
The commanications with the North-West. ern Territory, and she improvementa required tor the development of the trado of the lireat West with the seaboard, are regarded by this Conterenee as subjects of the highest importance so the Federated Proviaces, and shall bo prosecuted at the earlicst puasible period that the state of the finamees wall permin.

The Contederation in, therefore, clearly coinmitted to the carrying out of both these e entefprses. I doubt if thery was a member of the Couterenee who did not conalder that the openug up of the North. West and the iniprovement of our oamal system, were nut as clearly fur the adyantage of the Lower Provinces as Ther the interests of Lppor Canada. Indeed, one gencletian held that the Lower Proviaces were wore interested-chey wished to get thuir products tnte tha west--they wanted a baok cuuntry as much as we did-chey wanted to be the carriers for that great country-aad they were, therrefore, to say the least, as much inturested in ithese questions ma wo were. But honorable gentemen lay stress upon the point, that, while the one enterprise is to bo undertaken at onco, the other is not to bo commanced until the state of the
finances will permit. No doubt this is correctg and the reason for it is simply this - the money has already been found for the Intercolonial Railway. They must be well a aware that the late Government(the Macdonalp-Sicotie Administration) agreed to build the Intercolonial Railway, and obtained from the Imperial Goverament a guarantee of the debentures for building it-so that that money is ready at a very low rate of interest, whenever required. We know where to find the money for one enterprise at a rate wo are able to bear, and can thus at once go on withe work which must be gone on with if this union is to be consummated. But we don't know this of the other great work-and we all felt that it tould be exceedingly indiscreet-I, myself; as the special advocate of opening ap the Great West and of the epilargement of our canals-felt that I could tubt put my name to a document which declared that at all hazards, while our five per cent. debentures were quoted at 75 or 80 per cent. in the money market-we would commence at once, without an hour's delay, any yroat public wort whatever. '..'(Hear, hear.) Honorable gentlemen opposite niust not inagine that they have to do with a set of tricksters in the thirty-three gentlemen who composed that Conference. What we have said in our resolutions was deliberately adopted, in the honest sense of the words employed, and not for purposes of deception. Both works are to go on at the carliust possible moiment our tinances will permit, and honorable gentlemen will tind the members of the Cabinet from Lower, as well as from Upper Canada, actuated by the hearty desire to have this whule scheme carried ont in its fair meaning.

Hon. Ma CARTLER-Hear, hear!
Hon. Ma. GAL'r-Hear, hear!
Hon. Mr. BROWN-When recently in Gngland, I was charged to negotiate with the Inperial Goverument for the opening up of the Nurth-West territories. In' a few days the papery will be laid before the House, and it will then be seen whether or not this Government is in earnest in that matter. Sir; the gentlemen who formed the Conference at Quebee did nut enter upou their work with the miserable idea of getting the advaintage of each vther, but with a due sense of the greatuess of the work they had on hand, with an earnest desire to do justice to all, and keeping always in mind that what would benetit oni gection in such a union tuust necessarily benefit: tho whole. (Cheers.) It has always appeared to me thast the opening up of the North.West ought to bo one of the most chosished projecto
of my houorable frieads from Lower Canada: During the discussion on the question for some Years buck I had occasion to dip deep in NorthWest lore-into those singularly interesting narratives of life and travels in the North-West in the olden time, and into the history of the struggles for commercial domainand in the great fur-bearing regions,-and it has always struck me that the Freach Canadian people have cause to louk back with pride to the bold and successtul part they played in the adyein tures of those days. Nothing perhaps has tepded more to create their present aational charaeter than the vigorous habits, the power of endurance, the aptitude tor vut-dour hife ${ }_{r}$ acquired in their prosecution of the NorthWest fur-trade., (Hear, hear.) Well may they look-torward with ansjety to the realization of this part of our scheme; in confident hope that the great north-western traffic shall be onee mure opened up to the Lardy Freveh Canadian traders and voytyeurs. (Hear, hear:) Last year furs to the value of $\pm 250,000$ otg. ( $\$ 1,400, n 00$ ) were carried from that territory by the Hatson's Bay Company samughled of through the ice bound regions "of James' Bay, that the preteace of" the bar: renuess of the country and the difficuley of conveying merchandise by the natural route of the St, Lawreace may be kept up a little longer. Sir, the carrymy of merchindise intö thit country, and bribeng down the bates'ot pelts uughtyo be vurs, and must ere long be uurs, as in the days of yofe themr, hear) and when the fertile pheiun of that great Sas'katchewan terntory are opened up for setleunent bud cultivation, Tant eontident that it will not only add immeisely to our tannal agricaltuial products, but brimg us wheres of mineral and uther weath ya whot at' preseat we do not reckon. Hear, hear, yo While apeaking on this question of immigration, 1 would remind the llouse, aud at is imposesble to urge it too otrougly, that thest provinees are now prescated to the word in a very dizadiantugeun's aspect, as diflerent commumaties. Whena party tu Eurupe think of emipating here, ho has : to dscertan sepuratey all about. New Brunswick, and Prince bidivadlohad, and 'Vove Seotia, and l'pper and Lower Canada, and if by chance the meets a party froin sume one of these provinices, he has to haten to a pheture ot the merits of that out secthon in high coutrast to the drmerts, of all the rest, and the resule "is the pror man's ideas about us becone a mass of coutusion: Ou the other hand, it he weteso to know the inducements for emigration to Neis Suuth Wales, or Now Loaland, he geta it
in one picture-in an official form-and the offer is made to pay his passage to these lands of hope. A large amount of canigration, and of money which the emigrant takes with him, are thus carried off to a much more distant land than this, and one that does not offer equal inducements to the settler. But how different will all this be then these provinces stand united, and present to emigrants a combination of so many branches of profitable industry? In turning over some United States statistics I recently fell upon a very curions official cal. culation made by the Cuited States Coovernment as to the value of immigration. By the census of LSol the population of the United States was over thirty millions; and this calculation was to ascertain what the population :would have been had there been' ao immigra:tion into the country, but had the population been left to advance solely by its own natural increase: And what do you think, sir, was the result :" Why, it is shewa that if the fuited States had reteived all the minmigrants that came to theur up to $18: 20$, and then stopped teceiviag them-the population, at this moment, insteda of thirty mulions, would have been but 14,601, 435. (Hear, hear.) It is shewn that if immugration had goue on until $15 i 0$, and stopped then, the population now would have been only $12,675,562 . \quad$ Had it stopped in 1500 , the populatuon tuow would have been 10,402944 , and hid it stopped in 1790 , the population now, instead of thirty millions; wruld have been buts, 754,969 . (Hear, hear.) These, sir, ste tincot valuable thets, which stuuld be tuppesimed on the mind of every pablic man in, British America, If we wish our country to progress, we should not leavo a single stone, unturned to attracta the tide of eumgration in this direction, aud 1 know no better method of pecuring that result, than the gatheriyg into oue of these tive provinees, and presenting vurselves to the world in the adyantageveus light which, when uated, we would oceupy: (Cheers) But, fifthly, Mr. spencex, l an in tivor of a union of head provinces, beazuse it:will eabable us to naced, withuat alarm, the abrugation of the American Heciprovity Treaty, in case the Cuated Statess shinid iustat ou the abolition. (Hear, hear.) 1 do not beiheve that the American Cioverament th soidinaine as to repeal that treaty: But if is alwaya well to be prepared tor contingen-ces-mand have ao hestuthou in syying that it they do repoad at, should this univa of British Ameriea go wa, a fresh wutlet tor our comuneree will bo opened up to as yuitu as advantageous as the dmerician trade has evers becth.

I have never heretofore ventured to make this assertion, for I Lnow well what a serious task it is to change, in one day, the commercial relations of such a country as this." When the traffic of a cointry has pasged for a lengthened period through a partientar channel, any serious change of that channel tends; for a time, to the embarrassment of business men, and causes serious injury to individuals, if not to the whole community. Such a change we in Canada had in 1847. But as it was in 1847, so it. will be in 1866 , if the Reciprocity Treaty is abolished. Our agricultural interest had been built up on the protective legislation of Great Britain, and in 1847 it was suddenly brought to an end. We suffered severely, in consequence, for sotne years; but, by degrees, new channels for our trade opened up-the Reciprocity Treaty was negotiated -and we have been more prosperons since 1847 than we ever were before. And so, I have not a doubt, will it be in the cvent of the Reciprocity Treaty belng abolished. Profitable as that treaty has. unquestionably been to us-and it has been uore profitable to the Americans-iteill, were it brought to an end to-niorrow, though we would suffer a while from the chanige, I an convinced the ultimate result rould bo that other foncign markets would be opened to us, quite as prolitable, and that we would speedily build up our trade on a sounder basis than at present: A elose examination of the working of the Reeiprocity Treaty diseloses facts of vital itaportance to the merits of the juestion, to which you never hear the alightest allusion mado by Amerivan speakers or writers. Uar ueigtibours," in speaking of the treaty, keep constaatly telling us of the Canadian tradewhat they take from Canada and what Canais takes from them. Their whole story is. about the buying and selling of commodities in Cansda. Nota whisper do you ever hear from them about their buying and selling with tho Maritime Provinces-not a word about the enormsuasearrying trade for all the provineas which they monopolize-not a word of the larg' sums drawn from us for our vait traffic over their railways and canals-and not 3 whisper as to their immense profits from tishitig' in our waters, secured to them by the treaty. (Hear, hear.) No; sir, all wo hear of is the exports and imports of Canada- all is silenes as toother parts of the treaty. But it must not be forgoten that if the treaty is abolished and this union is accomplished; an ubblition ot reeiprocity with Canada means abolition of reaiprocity with all the British American Proviaces-means bringinge to an
end the right of the Americans to fish in our waters; their right to 'use our canals;' their right to the narigation of the St: Lawrence; and that it also implies the taking out of their hands the vast and lucrative carrying trade they now liave from us: (Hear, hear.) It must be always kept in mind that though the United States purchase from Canada a large amiount of agricultural products, a great por-tion of what they purchase does not go into consumption in the States, but is merely purehased for transmission to Great Britain and the West India markots. (Hear, hear.) They imerely net as coinmission agents and curriers in such"transactions, and splendid profits they make out of the business. But beyond this, another large portion of these produce pur? chases, for which they take so much eredit to themselres, they buy in the same manner for. export to the Maritime Provinces of British Ancrica, reaping all the benefit of the seagoins as well as the inland fruight-charyes and commissions. (Hear, hear.) The commercial returns of the Lower Prosinces show not only that the Amoricans send a large quantity of their owin farim products to those provinces; but a considerable annount of what they (tho Amoricaas) receive from us, thereby gining the doable cidyantaye of the carrying trade through the United Stites to the sem(board, and then by sea to the Lower Provinces. (Hear, heir.) I hold in my hand a return of the articles purchasel by the Maritime Provinees from the Luited States in 186:3, which Canada could have supplied. I will. not detain the. House by reading it, but uit nember who desires can have it for ex imiai tion. I I niystate, however, in brief, thit in that year the breadstuffs alone buaght by the: Lowar. Provinces amounted to no less than $84,447,207$ - that the import of meats; iresh and cured; anounced to \$659,917-and that the total value of products which the Lovier Piovinces might have bought hure, advanageously from as, summed up to over seven millions of dollars, (Hear, heir.) The Americans must, therefire, bear tri mind, that it they abolish the Reciprocity Treaty, they will not only lose that seven millions which they now receive for their products, but the oarrying trade which goes with it. But on the other hand, whea we have this union, these products will, as they naturally should; go down the St. Lawrence, not ouly for the advantage of our farmers-but swelling the volume of our ownshipping interesta. (Hear; hear.) The Americans, hitherto, hase bad a large portion of our carrying trade; they have
brought us our "goods-even our European goods-and taken our produce not only to Europe but even to the Lower Provinces; and I say one of the best features of this union is, that if in our commercial relations with the United States we are compelled by them to meet fire with fire-it will enable us to stop this improvidence and turn the current of our own trade into our own waters. Far be it from me to say I am an adrocate of a coercive courmercial policy-on the contrary, entire freedom of trade, in my opinion, is what we in this conntry should strive for. Without hesitation, I would, to-morrow, throw opan the whole of our tride and the whole of our waters to the United States, if they did the same to us. But, if they tell us. in the face of all the adFantages they set by Reciprocity, that they are determined to put a stop to it, and if this is done through a hostile feeling to us-deeply as I should regret that this should be the first use made by the Northern States of their newfound liberty-then, I say, we bave a poliey, and a good puhicy of our owne to fill back upon. " And let me say a word us to the effeet of the repeal of Reciprocity on the . Aneriean fishing inturest. The Ataricans, in 1301, had engaged the the cul am nowed fithing, in our waters, shippinh to the extent of $129,-$ 011 thens-but under the thefluchece of the Reciprocity. leaty it rose, in 1561 , to $19 \%$.
 63,oin tonis, ur filty prer cent.. (Ilear, hear.) The repeal of hiocproty will give us back all this increase, ind more, for it will be a very different thang it the future from whit it was fornerly, to poachon our tishing grounds, when these provinces are united and determined to protect the tioheries of the Gulf.' This fishing iuterest is ofe which may bo culo tivated to an extent difficult, partapos, for many of us to conceire. But we base only to look at the amount of tish taken trum our waters by the Americans and uther nations, and the advantages we possess, to perceive that. if we apply ourselves; as a anited people, to foster that trade, we can vastly increase the great traftic we now enjoy. (Hear, hear.) On the whole, ithen, sir, I come firmily to the conclusion that, in view of the poisible stoppage of the Atnerican Reciprueity Treaty, and our being compelled' to tind new changels for our trade, this uniun presents to us adrantages, in comprison with which any objection that has been offered, or can be oftered to it, is utterly invigniticaut: (Hear, hear.) But, sixibly, Mr. Speaker, 1 amin fivor of the union of the provinces, besiuse, io the event
of war, it will enable all the colonieg to defend themselves better, and gire more efficient aid to the Empire, than they could do separately. I am rot one of those who ever had the warfever; "I have not believed in getting up large armaments in this country; I have never doubted that a military spirit, to a certain extent, did necessarily form part of the character of a great people ; but I telt that Canada had not yet reached that stage in her progress when she could safely assume the duty of defence ; and that, so long as peace continued and the Muther Country threw her shield around us, it was well for us to cultivate our fields and grow in numbers and material strength, until we could look our enemićs. fearlessly in the face. "But it must be adinit-ted-and there is no uso of closing our eyes to the fact-that thig question of defence has becu placed, within the last two years, in'a totally different position from what it ever ocoupied before.: The time has come-it matters not what political party may be in power in England-when Britain will insist on a reconsideration of the military relations which a great eblongy such as Caniala, oupht to hod to the Bupire. And am frestio admit that it is a fair and just demand. "We may doubywhether sone ot the deminds that have Jeven made upon is, without regard to our phecliar position at the moment, and witheut any at terupt to discuss the question with as inall its broadh, were either just or well-considerad. But of this $I$ think there can be no doubt, tiat when the time cones in the history of any colony that it has orercome the burdeas and embarrasmentis of early settlewent, and has entered on a carer of permanent progress thad prosperity." it in only fair and right that it should contribute its guota to the deferese of the Empire .. What dhat guota ought trike, 1 think, is a matter for grave deliberation and discussivn, as well as the measure of assistance the colony may look for, in time of war, from the parent state--and, assuredly, it is in this spirit that the present Imperial doverdment is desirous of approaching the question. (Hear, hear.) I am persuaded that nothing more than that which is fairly due at our hands will be demanded from ist, and anything less than this, I am sure, the peoplo of Canada do not desire: (Hear, hear.) In tho con versations I had, while ia bingland, with"publie men of different politics-while I cound many who considered that the couneetion between C'anata and England involved thie Mother Country in some danger of war with the piwerfal state upon our horlers, and that
the colonial system devolved heavy and unreasonable burdens upon the Mother Country -and whe a still larger number thought we had not acted as cordially and energeticilly as we ought in organizing our militia for the defence of the proyince, still I did not neet one public man, of any stripe of polities, who did not readily and heartily declare that, in case of the invasion of Canada, the honor of Great Britain would be at stake, and the whole strength of the Empire would be unhesitatingly tuarshalled in our defence: (Hear, hear,) But, coupled with this, was the invariable and mist reasonable declaration that a share of the burden of defence. in peace and in mar, we must contribute . And thiss stipulation applies notionly to Canada, but to every one of the colonies. Alreally the Indian Enpire has been made ta pay the whote expense of her military establishment. The dustraLian Colonies haye agred to pay $\mathcal{E}+0$ sterling per wan for every whldier sent there:. This systen is being gradually extended-and union or no union, assuredly every one of thege British American Colonies will be called upon to bear her fair share towards the defence of the Eupire." Aud who will deny that it is a just demand, and that great colonies such is these, stould bey proud to meec it in a frank and earnest spirit. (Cheers,) Nothing, I an persuaded; could be more foreign to the ideas of the people of Canada, than that the people of England should bo unfairly tased fur service rendered to this province. Now, the question presented to us is simply this: will these contributions which Canada and the other provinces mast hereafter make to the detence of the Eempiry; be better rendered by a hardy, energetic, . population, acting as one peoplo, than as tive or six separate communitics? (Hear, hear.) There is no doubt about it. But not only do our changed relations towards the Mother Country call on us to assume the new duty of military defence-our chanyed relations towards the neighboring Republic compel us to doso. For myself, I have no belief that the Atuerigans hive the slightest thought of attacking ua. I cannot believe that the tirgt use of their new. found liberty will be the Anvasion, totally unprovoked, of a peaceful province. I fancy that they have had yuite enourg of war for a good many years to como-and that such a war as one with Eagland woald certainly be, is the last thoy an likely to provoke. But, Mr. Speakea, there is uo better mode of warding ofi war when it it tireatened, than to be pre pared for it is it comes. The Amerigany are
now a marlike people." "They have large armies/a poverful navy, an unlimited supply of warlike munitions, and the carnage of war Has to them been stript of its horrors. The American side of our lines already bristles. with works of defence, and unless we are willing to live at the mercy of our neighbors, we, too, must put our country in a state of efficient preparation. War or no war- whe necessity of placing these provinces in a thorough state of defence can no longer be postponed. Our country is" coming to be regarded as undefended and indefensible the capitalist is alarmed, and the fimmigrant is afraid to come among us. Were it merely as a measure of commercial advantage, every one of these colonics must meet the question of military defence promptly and energetically. And how can we do this so efficiently and economically as by the anion now proposed? (Hear, hear.) I have already shewn that union would give us a body of 70,000 hardy seamen ready and able to defend our sea-coasts and inland lakes; let us now see what would be the military strength of the Confederation. By the last census (1861) it appears that the men capible of bearing arms in British America were as follows:-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Upper Canada, from } 20 \text { to } 60 \text {..... } 303,955 \\
& \text { Lower Canada, from 20 to 60.......225,620 } \\
& \text { Nova Scotia, from } 20 \text { to } 60: \text {. ....... 67, } \mathbf{s} 67 \\
& \text { New Brunswick, from } 20 \text { to 60, .... } 51.625 \\
& \text { Newfoundland from } 20 \text { to } 60 \ldots \ldots, 25,532 \\
& \text { Prince Edward Island, } 21 \text { to } 60 . . .1,14,819
\end{aligned}
$$

With the body of efficient soldiers that might be obtained from this vast array of meñ, the erection of defensive works at salient points, and the force of British troops that would soon cometco our aid-who ean doabt that the invasion of our country would be successfully resisted? But, seventhly, Mr. Speakeb, I am in favor of this union because it will givo us a sea-board at all seasons of the year. (Hear, bear.) It is not to be denied that the position of Canada, "shut off as she is from the sea-board during the winter months, is fir from satisfactory-and should the United States carry out their insane threat of abolish.: ing the bonding systen, by which oar merchandise passes free through their territory, it would be still more embarrassing. The Maritime Provinces are equally cut off from communication inland. Now, this' embarrassmeut will be ended by colonial union. The Intercolonial Railway will give us at oll times aceess to the Allantic through British terri-
tory. (Hear, hèr.) As a commercial entërprise, the Intercolonial Railway has not, I. apprehend, any considerable merit; as a work of dofence it has, however, many adrocates; but, if the union of the provinces is to go on; it is an absolute necessity; and, as the price of union, were there no other argument in its fayor, I heartily go for it. (Hear. hear.) The adrantage it will confer on the Maritime Provinces can hardly be over-rated. It will make Halifas and St. John the Atlantic seapurts' of half a continent-it will insure to Halifar: ere long, the establishment of a line of powerful steamers running in six days from her wharves to some near point on the west coast of Treland-and it will bring a constant stream of passengers and iumngrants through thr-e Linger Provinces that never otherwise would come near them.' Mr. Spesker,' I could go on for many hours piling up arguments in favor of this scheme, but already I have detained the House ton long-(cries of
 Close-But I think I have given reasons mough to katisfy every candid man who desires the adrancement of his country, why this House should go unanimously ind enthu: dustically for " the union. the whole union, and nothing but the union !". Before sitting ilown. how ver there are oue or two general ohjectings urged ngainet the sehene which I sim desirnus if meeting, and I will try to do "r as brietly as possible : And first, sir, $I$ am tuld that we should have made the uniou ligndative and not federal. Indoubtelly this is a point on which different optaions may be honestly held"by men sincerely seeking the same cuds-but, speaking my own views, I think we came to most wise conclusion. Had we continued the present leyislative union, we must have continued with it the unjust system of taxaticn for local parposes that mow exists-and the sectional bickering would hare gone on as before. And can any honorable gentleman really believe that 'it. would hare been possible for a body of mea sitting at Ottawa to admininister efficiently and wisely the parish business of Red River and Newtoundland; and all the country be-: tween? Only think of bringing suitors and witnesses such distances to prounte a bill for Closing a side-line or incorporating a club! And if such a thing, were desirable, would it be possible fir any body of men to go through such a mass of work? Why, sir, the Imperial Parliament with 630 menbers sits for eight monthy in the year, and even our Par-' liament sits three or four months, -how then
would it be possible for the legislature of all the provinces with a thousand or twelve hundred bills before it, to acenmplish it all? The whole year would not suffice for it-and who in these colonies is able to sacrifice his whole time to the duties of public life?' But there is another reason, why the union was not made legislative - it could not be carried. (Hear, hear:). We had either to take a federal union or drop the negotiation. Not only were ourr friends from Lower Canada against it, but so were most of the delegates from the Mari: time Provinces. There was but one choice. open to us-federal anion or nothing. Bat in trath the scheme now before ts has all the adrantages of a legislative union and a federal one as well. We have thrown over on the localities all the questions which experience has shown lead directly to local jealousy and discord, and we have retained in the hands of the General Government all the powers necessary to secure a strong and efficient administration of public affairs " (Hear, hear.) Hy placing the appointment of the judges in the hands of the General Government, and the establishment of a central court of appeal, we have sccured uniformity of justice over the whole land. (Hear, liear.) By vesting the appointuient of the lieutenapt yoveruors in the General Gorernment, and civing a reto for all local measures we have secured that no injustice shall be done without appeal in local legielation. (Hear, hear.) For all dealings with the Imperial Government and foreign countrien we have clothed the General Government with the most ample powers:And finally, all miatters of trade and commerce, banking and currency, and all yuestion, common to the thole people, wo have vested fully and uurestrictedly in the cicaeral cioiernment: The measure, in fact. shinus the faults of the federal and leminlative systems and adopts the best parts of both, and I' an well persuaded it will wort efficiently and satisfactorily. $\because$ (Hear', hear:) $\because$ Bue: Mr. SPEiker, I ain told that the cost of working this Federation scheme will be enurmous. Now, it would be a very rash thing of me, or of any other person, to nissert that the expense wil! not be great ; for wre all know that any syste:n of governinent may be made cither econouical or extratagant, precisely according to the diveretion of these who administer it. But this I am contident of, that with ordinary. discretion, far from being more costly than the efisting system, a very considerable redaction may bo readily effected: and one thing is quite certain. that no ingennity
could make it a more costly or extravagant system than the one we have now. (Lond cries of hear, hear.) Undoubtedly the mode in which the local governments shall be constructed will very much affect the cost of the whole scheme ; but if we adopt (as I earnestly hipe we will) simple and inexpensive machinery tor local purposes, I am quite satisfied that there will be a reduction to the people of Ca nada on the amount they now contribute: I have great confidence in the cconomical effect of placing local expenditures on local shoulders, and in the salutary influence in the same direction, of the representatives of the Maritime Ptovinces when they come anotig us.
Hov. Mis HOLTON-The trouble is that they will spend our money-not theirs.

Hove Mr. BROWN-The honorable gentleman is entirely' wrong, and $I$ am amazed at his making such a statement. There is no portion of the community that will pay more money, per head, to the revenue than the people of the Maritime Provinces. If the honorable gentleman had turned up the commercial returns of those Lower Provinces and calculated the effect of our tariff, if applied to them -or even a tarif less than ours, for our tivit must be reduced, he would haye known th.t they will bear their full propartion of the national burdenis. (Hear, hear:) But, Mr Sprikez, I ata told that the arrangethent as to the debt is unfair-that we have throira on the Federal exchequer the whole of the debts of the Maritime Provinees, but ouly a portion of the debt of Canada. There is not a. particle of foree in this objection. : The whole debt of Canada is $807,500,000$, but five mikions of this is due to our own people, to ruect which there are certain lueal funds. Suw, if we had thrown the whole $\$ 67,500,000$ on the Federal treasury, wo tuust also have handed over to it ths local revenues, which, so fir as these tive taillions are concerned, would have been precisely the same thing: But, as regrals the public debt with which the Federal Chorminent: would start, it would not have bess the same thing. By restrictiag the dêbe of Cumada to $862,500,040$, we restricted the debt of the Maritime Provinces to the same proportion, or $\$ 25$ per head of their populaiivn; but had we thrown our whole debt of ixty-seven and a half millions on the Confed"ration, the proportion of debt for the several Maritime Proviaces must have been increased, and the whole debt very greatly augmented. (Hear.) Bat in throwing these five millions va the local governments of Cpper and Lower Canada, do we impose a burden on them they
are unable to bear? Quite the contrary for with the debt, we give them the corresponding sources of revenue from which to meet it. The local governments of Upper and Lower Canada will severally not only have funds from the subsidy and other sources, to meet all expenditure, but a large surplus besides." Bùt; Mr. Speaker, I am told that this Federation scheme may beall very right-it may be just and the very thing the country needs-but this Government bad no authority from Parliament to negotiate it. The honorable member for Cornwall (Hon. John S. Macdonald) particularly pressed this objection, and I am sorry he is not in his seat.

Hon Mr HOLTON-It is quite true.
Hon. Ma. CARTIER-No, the reverse is true.

Hos. Mr. BROWN-I am astonished to hear such a statement repeated. No one knows better than the honorable member for Chateauguay and the honorable member for Cornwall that in the Ministerial explanations brought down to this House; at the time of the formation of this Government, it was distinctly declared that the Government was formed for the special purpose of maturing a scheme of Federal union, and that it would hike means, during the: recess, for opening negotiations with the Maritime Provinces, to bring about such a union.

HoN. Me. HOLTON-But not to conclude thern.

Hov. Ma. BROWN-What ire have done is entirely subject to the approval of Parlianent. The honorable member for Cornwall is the very last man who should have raised such an objection, for he attended a caucus of the liberal members of the Assenbly, heard the whole plans of the Goveratient explained, precisely as they havo been carried out, and he was the very person who moved that I should go into the Government to give them effect. (Hear, hear.)

Ma: DUNKIN-And I heard something more said-that nothing should be done which did not leave the Honse perfectly free.
HoN. Mr. BROWN-I can assure my honorable friend that as far as that goes, he never was more free in' his life than nows. (Laughter.) We do not pretend to say that anything we have done binds this House; any member may object if ho pleases; but I do say we received the approval of the House for opening negotiations, and it is a miserable pretence to say auything to the contrary. (Hear, hear.) : We did no more than has beea done by every Goverument, under the

British system, that ever existed. We have but made a compact, subject to the approval of Parliament. So far as this Government is conccrned, we are firmly committed to the schente; but so far as the members of the Legislature are concerned, they are as free as air; but I am confident that this House will almost unanimously accept it, and not with changes and amendments, but as a whole-as the very best compromise arrangement that can be obtained.

How. Mr. HOLTON-We have not the treaty-making power:
Hon. Mr. BROWN-T renember a Government formed from that side of the House, and the honorable member for Hochelaga (Hon: Mr. Dorios) will remember it too, which made a treaty respecting the building of the Iutercolonial Railroad. The honorable member for Cornwall was Premier of that Covernment, and it does not lie" in his mouth now to object to what he himself did. But the honorable gentleman is entirely wrong when he says we had no power to make this compact with the Maritime Provinces. We had full power, express instructions to enter into it.

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-Did the Parliament of England give you that power?
Ma. BROWN - No ; the honorable gentleman ought to know that the treaty-making power is in the Crown-the Crown authorized us specially to make this compact, and it has heartily approved of what we did. (Hear, hear.) But, Mr. Speaker I aup told, that the people of Canada have not, considered this scheme, and that we ought not to pass it without appealing to the electors for their approval. Now, sir, a statement more incorrect than'this, or more injurious to the people of Canada, could not be made: They not unly have consider. ed this scheme-for Gifteen years they have been earnestly considering it-but they per. fectly comprehend it." (Hear, hęar.) If ever question was thoroughly debated in any country. the whole subject of constitutional change has been in Canada. There is not alight in which it could be placed that has not been thoroughly canvassed; and if the House. will permit me, I will show from oup historical record how totally absurd this objection is. The question of a Federal union was agitated thirty years ago, and here is the resolution adopted by both Hoases of the Iuperial Parliament so far back as 18:37:-

Thit great ineonvenience has. bey sustained by His Majesty's sabjects inhabitiar, the provinces. of Lower Canada and L'pyer Canads, from the want of some adequate'mequans fur regulating ond
adjusting questions respecting the trade and com. merce of the said provinces, and divers other questions wherein the said provinces hatre a common interest, and it is expedient that the legis. latures of the said provinces respectively, be anthorized to make provision for the joint regulation and adjustment of sach their conimon interests.

- In the instruetions given to Lord Derbasi by the Inperial Governuent in 1838 , this passage occurs:-

It is clear that some plan umst be devised io meet the just demands of Upier Canada, It will be for your Lordship, in conjunction with the Conmuittec, to consider if this should not be doue by constituting soune joint legsistative anthority, which should preside over all questions of com: tuon interest to the two pirovinces, and which mightit be ajpealed to in extriordinary cases, to arbitrate keween costending parties in either; preserving; however, to each provige its distinct leg;slature, with authority in alf misters of an exclusively domestic conceni In this should bo your opinion. you will have further time to consider what should be the hatere aind limits of such anthority; and all the particularg which ou;hth to be compretiended in angremars shar its establish.

In Lord Dermin's aduirable report of 1839, I find this passage :-
The bill shuuld contain provisions by which any or all of the other North American colonies mar, on the application of the legislature, be with the consent of the two Canndas, or their united legislature, ndmitted into the tmion on such tenns as may be agreed on between them. As the mere amalgamation of the Houses of Assembly of the two provinces wíuld not bo advisable, or give at all a due representation to each, a parlianentary commission stiould be appointed, for the purpose of forming the electoral divisions and determining the number of members to be returned on the principle of giving representation as near as may be, the proportion to population. The same cumazeston should forma aplan of local governament by clective bodies, subordinate to the generd hegisiatare, and exer: cising a complete control over such local affairs as do not cume withan the grobite of generat legislation. The plan so framed should bo made an act of she Imperial Parliament, so as to prevent the general legiglaturf from encrosehing on the powers of the local thodies. A general tixecotive on an improved prace pipe thould be established, together with a suyreme court of appeal for all the North American'Colotiea.

And here is the statement of Lord JoHn Ressell.; in 1839, while introducing the original bill founded on Lord Duahas's report:-

The bilt provides for the establishment of a central district at Montreal and its neightoourhood, at Which the Governmeit shall bo earried on, and
where the Assembly shall meet. The other parts of Upper and of Lower Canada are each to be divided into two districts. It is proposed that these districts should be formed for the parpose of becomiug municipal districts, for the imposition of taxes and rates, for all local parposes.

My next quotation shall be froin the proceedings of a body of gentlemen who made a great commotion in their day and generation -the British American Leagne. I hold: in uny hand the procecdings of the League of 3rd Vovember, 1849, and among other names mentioned I find thoze of the Hon. Grorae Morfatt, Thomas Wilson, the Hon. Ceo, Crawforb, the Hon: Asa A. Burniam; Johi W. Gamble, Mr: Aikuan of Barton, Oune R. Gowan; John Diggan, the Hon. Col. Friser, George Benjamin, the Hon. P. M. Vankotounet, and last, though not least, the Hon. Joins A. Macdonalib-of whoin, however, I find it recorded that he spoke in a very" jocose manner. Here is the resolution of the League :-
That whether protection or reciprocity shall be conceded or withheld, it is essential to the welfare of this colony, and jits future good govern. ment, that a Cosastitution should be framed in unisoup with the wishes of the people, and suited to the growsing tupootance and intelligence of the country, and that such Constitution should embrace a uniow of the British North American Provinces on mutually advantageous and fuirly arranged terms, with the concession fron the Mother Country of enlarged powers of selfgovernmen!.

I pass on to 1856 when we had the motion and specth of my honorable Griend the Minister of Finance (Hon. Mr. Giet) in favor of a union of -all the British American Provinces, but, as the whole IIouse is tamiliar with it; I shall not read the document. But in the Votes and Proceedings of this House, of 25th April; 1836 . I tind a very remarkablo docunent. It. is a notice of urotion to be made in this House - and its contents are as follow:-

Resolved-1. That the incouveniences arising frow the Lagisiative Union between Upper and Lower Canada, render desirable the dissolition of that uniou.
2. That a committee be appsinted ta enquire ints the means which should be adopted to form a now poltical and legislative organization of the heretofore provinces of Upper. ind Lower Canada, either by the establishment of their former territurial divisions, or by a division of each province y $u$ à to form à confederation having a Fedaral Governmént, and local legiglature for oaèh one of the new. provinces, and to deliberate as to the course which should the adopted to regulate the affairs of united Canada in'a manner which wôtd
be equitable to the different sections of thep $\mathrm{ro}_{\mathrm{a}}$ vince.
Hon. Mr. CARTIER.-Whose notice wag that?

Hon. Mr. BROWN.-This notice of motion was given by my honorable friend the member for Hochelaga: (Hon. Mr. Dorion.) (Cheers.)

Hon. Mr. DORION.-It was in amendment of that of the honorable member for Sherbrooke, which I did not exactly like.

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-and which that honorable gentleman did not venture to move, so that the House did not pronounce upon it.

HoN. Mr BROWN-But my honorable. friend (Hon. Mr. Domion) made a speech, which I perfectly remember. He held this motion in lis hand while he spoke.

Hon. Ma. DORION-I made a speech on the motion of the honorable member for Haldimand; Mr. Mackenzie, not on my own.

HoN. Mr. BROWN - That does not signify: I seek not to fasten down my honorable friend to the views he then held. Muoh light has been thrown on the whole subject since 1856 , and I trust we will all act on our conscientious convictions of what is best for the country now-without regard to any opinions wo may at other limes have held. (Hear; hear.) But when my honorable friend and others allege that there never has been in Canada, an agitation in favor of ${ }^{9}$ Federal system, and that the people have never considered such a proposition, I think it directly in point to prove the contrary by my honorable friend's own proceedings . (Hear, hoar.) The viext step in the constitutional ayitation of the country was the formation of the BrowsDorion Administration. 'That was in 1853and to show how serious my honorable friend opposite (Hon. Mr. Dorion) and myself and our ten colleagues viewed the position of the country from the denial of constitutional refofin, I will read the othicial statement of the basis on which the ciovernment was formed. I read, sir, from the Journals of the Legislative Council for 18 88:-

For somo years past, gectioual feelings have risen in this country, which expecially during the present seission; have seriously impeded the carrying on of tha administrative und legislative functions of the Government: The late Administration made no attempt to moèt these difliculties or to maggest a remedy for them, and theriby the evil has been greally aggravated. His Excel. leacy'e"present Advisers have entered the Goverin. meat with the fixed determination to propose constitutional measures for the establishiment of that harmony between C"pper and Lower Canada

Which is essential to the prosperity of the province. . They respectfully submit that they have a right to claim all the support which His Excellency can constitutionally extend to them in the prosecution of this all-important object.
(Hear, hear.) Here, sir, was a Government formed seven years ago for the express purpose of doing that which we are now engaged ina Government distinctly telling the Governor General that the peace and prosperity of the country weri endangered because constitu. tional remedies were deferred; and yet iny honorable friends opposite, who with me were responsible for that document, tell us that we are not now in a fit position to legislate upon this question. (Hear, hear.) But I come next to the famous despateh to the Colonial Minister, signed in 1858 by my honorable friend the Minister of Finance, the Attorney General East, and the Hon. Johy Ross. It stated that "very grave difficulties now presented themselves in conducting the Government of Canada"- that "the progress of population has been more rapid in the western section, and claims are now made on behalf of its inhabitants for giving themi representation "in the Legistature in proportion" to their nums-bers"-that" the fesult is shown by an agitation fraught with great danger to the peaceful and harmonious working of our constitutional system, and consequenty, detrimental to the progress of the province"- that $\because$ this state of things is yearly becoming worse"-apd that " the Canadian Covernment were impresed with the neecsicty of seeking for such a mode - of dealhir with those difficulties as may for ever remove them.'. What must have been the rate of public feoling when the Couserva tive Gurernment of $15 j s$ ventured to use stich language as this:- and how can any one pre tend that the people do not eouprchend this yuestion, when seven years of agitation have passed since that document was penned? (Hear, hear.) But, Mr. Sp"kikere I come to a still more important document-one that goes into the details and the merits of just such a scheme as that before the House. I refer to the manifesto issued, in 1859, by the Lower Canada members of the liberal party in this House. (Hear, hear.) It is very long, and I will only read from it a few extracts:-

Yuur committee are impressed with the convictiun that whether we consider the present needs or the probable future condition of the country, the true, the statesman-like solution is to be sought in the sultstitution of a parely Federative for the present sincalled Legislative (lnion; the former, it is believed, would enable uy to extcape
all the evils, and to retain all the advantages, appertaining to the existing union.
The proposition to ederalize the Canadian union is not new. On the contrary, it has been frequently mooted in Parliament and in the press during the tast few years. It was, no doinbt, suig gested by the example of the weighboring states, where the idmirable adaptation of the Federal system to the governinent of an extensive fertitory; inhabited by people of disers origins, creeds, laws and customs, has been amply demonstrated; but shape and consisteacy were first imparted to it iv. 1856 , when it was formally submitted to Parliament by the Lower Canada Opposition, as. offering in their judgment, the true corrective of the abuses generated under the present system.

By this division of power the General Goverament would be relieved from those questions of a. purely local and sectional character, which, under our present system, have led to mach strife and ill-will.

The committee believe that it is cleariy demon. strable that the direct cost of maintainitg boit the federal and local gavernments need not ex ceed that of our present systeni, while its efiormous indirect cost would, in conserpuence of the additional checks on expenditure involed in the new system, and the more direct responsitility of public servants in the provinceito the people in mediately affected by such exprenditure; be entire ly obvated.
The proposed system could in no way diminish the inportance of the colony, or impair its credii,
 ble, withouk any disturbance of the Federal ceon omy; of such territurial extmsion as cirrumistances. may hereafter render desirable.
Now, sir, who were the siguers of the at dress ?-0. Whose spicial responability wai this manifesto sent forth to the world: Why, it whas signed by my honorable friend oppesite, Hon A. A. Bohios-(checry and laughter) -Hon. T. D. Stclies, Hon. L. T. Dnesimons, and Hos. L. A. Dessatllas, fiour of the most able and revest popular leaders of the Loover Canada liberal party-the party now virulently opposing the reselutions before the Chair. (Hear, hear.) So my honorable friend opposite (Hoa. Mr. Domos) not only agitated the country for constitutional changes, but insisted that it should take the shape of a. Federal union," because of the cheapnesy of that system and the facility it afforded for bringing within the federation tho for British Auterican Provinecs-(cheers and laughter) and yet, six years after the promulgation of this document, my honorable friend gets up and repndiates a Federal nnioń
because of its frightful cost and because it does bring within the Federation the other British American Proviuces! (Continued cheering.)

Mr. POWELI-Who wrote that dochment?

Hon. Mr. BROWS-I eannot exactly say suho did the compositiou ; but will not my honorable friend from Chateauguay (Hon. Mr. Hoctos) pernit me to ask if his hand is not discoverable in it? (Hear, hear, and laughter.) If so, he well may be prond of it, for it is a .masterly exposition.
HuN. Mr: HOLTUN- Will my honorable friend accept it as an amendment to his scheme?

How Mre GALT that!

How. MR BRUWN I come now to the great neeting of the Retormers of Upper Cainada, kinwn as the Toronto Couyention of 1s 39 , and ad which 570 delegates were present tron all pafts of the vestern province. Here are the two chiet reablutions-
5. Resodred,-That iñ the ppinion of this as sembly, the best practieable renedy for the evils nuw encountered in the Goyernment of Cauada is to the found in the formation of tiva or more local bovernments, to which shall be coumitted the contego of all matefes of a lucal or sectional character, and some joint authority charged with such matters as are necessurnly common to both secthots of the province.
6. Resoleed,-That white the details of the thanges proposed in the last resblution are uecesfarily subject for future arranzement, yet this fansembly deenis it imperative to declare that no Governuent wonli be satistactory tu the people of Lpper Clavada which is :est based wa the prind. aple of repsesentation by popuiation.

Here wo have the very cerence of the mean sure now betore us for adoption-deliberately approved of by the, largest body of representative nein ever assemblea in Upper Canada for a political purpeses ; and yet we are to be told that our people do not understand the question, and we must wo to then and explain it, letter by letter, at an immanse cost to the
country, aislat the risk of losing the whole scheme? (Hear, hear.) But let us see what followed. A general election was ordered in 1861-there was a ferco contest at the polls - and the main question at every, hustings, wiar the demand for constitutienal changes. The result of that contest was the overthrow of the Cimter-MacdonaliE Ministry and the formation of the Macdonald-Sicorta Administration in its room. But so bitter had been the struggle for abd against constitational changes, and in clewly delined were
party-lines upon it, that it was found jopossible to construct that Government without a distinct pledge that it would regist every motion made upon the sabject-

HoN. Mr. HOLTON-Did you recognize the propriety of that course?

HoN. Mr: BROWN-No, indeed; I did not, I but cite the fact to show how thoroughly the whole question has been agitated, and how perfectly its bearings have, for years past, been understood. Well, sir, mark what followed. One short year had not passed over the heads of the Macdonald-Sicotre Ministry before they tottered to their falland so repugnant to the House "and to the country was their conduct on the constitutional question, that they dared not appeal to the country until they had changed their avowed policy upon it; and replaced the men who had forced upon them the narrow policy of the year before, by gentlemen understood to be more in favor of constitutional changes. The Government (Mic-dovald-Doriow), so' reconstructed, "went to the country in 1863, but in the year following it, too, fell in its turn, simply because it did not deal boldy with the constitutional question-

HoN. Mr. DORION-We had the stipport of all who were in favor of the question.

HoN. Mr. BROWN-Indeed, you had not.
Hon. Ma: HOLTON-We should have fallen if we had attempted to deal with it:

Hon. Mr. BROWN-I entirely deny that; had you purgned a bold policy upon it you might have been in office up to this hour. (Hear, hear:) Well, sir, the MacdovaibDonion made way for the Tache-MacdonALio Administration-but it, too, soon fell by a majority of two, simply because it did not deal with the constitutional question-

A VOICE-Oh, oh!
Hun. Mr. BROWN-My honorable friénd cries "oh, oh," and I am perfectly amazed at liis doing so. I am aboutt to offer my honorable friend the most couplete proof of the correctness of my statement-proof so conclusive that if he does not accent of it as such, I do not kuow how he can be convinced or anything. In one single day the Tachemacdonarid Administration, by taking up the constitational yuestion boldy, tarned their minority of two into a majority of seventy. (Loud eries of hear, hear.) Could anything prove more unanswerably than this the deep hoid this question has on the public mind, and the assured contidence of the members of this Honise that their coustituents understand its whole
merits, when, in one day, such a startling poli: tical revolution was brought about? Was it, think you, a doubtful consideration that could have induced the Upper Canada Opposition. almost as one man, to cast down their party intrenchments and niake common canse with their opponents?, Could there-have been the slightest doubt as to the sentiments of our people and the imperative necessity of immediate action, when such nicn as now, sit on the treasury benches: were forced, by their supporters, to unite for the settlement of this queation? And could there be a more conclusive proof of the ripeness of public opinion than the unanimous and cordial manner in which our so uniting bas been sustained by the reres of all parties. and by the electors at the polis? (Hear. hear;) Never. - I venture to assert, wàs any great measure so thoroughly understood. and so cordially endorsed by the people of Canada, as this measure now under consideration. :(Hear, hear.)The British Government approves of it-the Iegislative Council approves of it - this House almost unanimously approves of it the press of all parties approves of itand though the scheme has already becn directly submitted to fifty out of the one hum-: "dred constituencies into, which Canada, is divided onlỳ four candidates vêntured to appear at the hustings in opposition to it - all of them in Lower Canada-and but two of them were clected. (Cheers.) And yet, sir, we are to be told that we are stealing a march upon. the country, that it is not underitood by the people, and that we must dissolve the Honse upon it, at a vast cost to the exchequer, and at the risk of allowing political partisanship to dash the fruit from our hands at the very monent we are about io grasp it! (Hear. he.r.) Sir I have no tears whatever of an appeal to the people. I cannot pretend to speak as to the popular fecling in Lower Canada, but I think I thoroughly understand the popular mind of the western province, and I hesitate not to suy that there are not five gentlemen in this chamber (if so many) who could go before their constituents in Upper Canada in opposition to this scheme, with the slightest chance of being returned. (Hear, hear.) It is becauso I thoroughly comprehend the feelings of the people upon it, that I urge the adoption of this measure at the earliest possible moment. The mosi gross injustice is to be rectified by it; the tar-payer is to be clothed with his rightiul influeace by it; new commercial relations are to be opened up by it; nem impulse to the industrial
pursuits of the country will be given by itand I for one wonld feel myself false to the cause I have so long sustained, and false to the best interests of my constituents if I. permitted one hour unnecessarily to pass without bringing it to a final issue. (Cheers.) It was only by the concurrence of most propitious circumstances that the wonderful progress this movement has made could have been ac: complished: Most peeuliar were the circumstances that enabled such a coalition to be formed as that now existing for the settlement of this question-and who shall say ht what hour it may not be rent asunder? "And yet, who will venture in affirm that if party spirit in all its fierceness were once more to be let louse amongst us, there would be the slightest hope that this great question could be approached with that candor and harmony nece sary to its satisfactory solution? (Hear, hear.) Then, sir, at the very moment we resolved to deal with this question of constitutional ehange, the Maritinie Provinces were about to assemble in joint conference to consider whether they ought not to form a union auong then selics-and the way was this most propitiously. opened up for the consideration of a union of all British America. The civil war too, in the neighboring republic, the possibility of war betwen Cireat Britain and the United States; the threatened repeal of the Reciprocity Treaty; the threatened abolition of the American louding system for "goods in trunsitu to and from these proii ces; the unsettled position of the Hudsons Bay Company. and the changed feeling of Eivgiand as to the relations of great colonies to the parent state, -all combine at this mouent to arrets earnest atcintion to the gravity of the situa tion, and unite us all in one vigorous effirt to peet the emergency like men. (Hear, hear,) The interests to be affected by this nchene of union are very lange and varied-but the prex. sure of ci.cumstances upon all the colonies is so) serious at this moment, that if we cannot now banisir partisanship and sectionalism and petty objections, and look at the uatiter on its broad intrinsic merits, what hope is there of our ever being able to do so? An appeal to the people of Canada on this measure simply means postponement of the question for a year-and whe can tell how changed ere then may be the circumstances surrounding us " Sir, the man who strives for the postponement of this measure on any gronnd, is doing what he can to kill it alunse as effectually as if be voted against it. (Hear; he ir) Let there be no mistake as to the mann $r$ in which the

Government presents this measure to the House.' We do not present it as free from fault, but we do present it as a measure so adrantageous to the people of Canada, that all the blemishes, real or imaginary, averred against it, sink into utter insignificance in presence of its merits. (Hear, hear.) We present it, not in the precise shapo we in Canada would desire it; but as in the best shape the five colonies to be united could agree upon it. We resent it in the form in which the five governments have severally adopted it-in the form the Imperial Gozernment has cadorsed it -and in the form in which we beliere all the legisla ures of the provinces will accept it. (Hear, hear.) We ask the House to pass it in the exact form in which wo have presented it, for we know not how alterations may affect its safety in other places, and the process of alteration once commenced in fout different le gislatures - who can telljwhere that would end? Every member of this House is frea as air to criticise it if he so wills, and amend it if he is able-but we wara him of the danger of apendment and throw on him all the responsibility of the consequences. (Hear, hear.) We feel contident of carrying this scheme as it stands-but we cannot tell what we can do if it be amended. (Hear, hear.) Let nothonurable gentlemen approach this measure as a Sharp critic dealy with an abstract question, striving to point out blemishes and display his ingenuity; but let us approsch it as men having but one consideration before us-the establishmeat of the futuro peace and pros perity of our country. (Hear, hear:) Let as look at it in the light of a few months backin the light of the evils and injustice to which it applies a remedy-in the lightst of the gears of discord and strife we have spent in seeking for that remedy-in the light with which the people of Cinada would regard this "measure were it to bo lost, and all the orila of past years to be brought back apon us again. (Hear, hèar.) . Let honorable gentlemen look at tho question in this riew-and what one of them will take the responsibility of easting his vote against the meaisure? Sir, the fatare desting of theso great provinces may bo affected by the decision we are about to give to an extent which at this momient we may be unable to estimato-but assuredly the welfare tior many years of four millions of people bange on our decision. (Hear, hear.). Shall we then rise equal to tho occasion?-shall we approach this distussion without partisanship, and free from every personal feeling but the carneat resolution to discharge conscieationgly
the duty which an over-ruling Providence has placed upon us? Sir, it may be that some among us will live to see the day when, as the result of this measure, a great and powerful people may have grown up in these landswhen the boundless forests all around us shall have given way to smiling fields and thriving towns - and when one united government, under the British flag, shall cxtend from shore to shore :-but: who would desire to see that day if he could not recall with satisfaction the part he took in this discussion? Mr. Spraker' I have done: I leave the sub. jeci to the conscientious judgment of the House, in the confident expectation and be lief that the decision it will render will be worthy of the Parliament of Canada. (The honorable gentleman resumed his seat amid lond and continued applause.)

On motion of the Hon. Mr, MoGeer, the debate :was further adjourned till Thursday evening.

## LEGISLATIVEOOUNCIL:

## TuTrsixy; Februariy 9, 1865.

Hox: Ma. Aboldysid, that if he acyuiesced, or thought that the Houso auquiesced; in the opinion expressed by the honorable gentleman who spoke at the close of the debate last evening, that under the circumstances' in which the resolutions now before them were presented for their consideration, it was usoless to discuss their merits or oxpress any opinion apon them; he would not yow veuture to trespass on the time of tho Honse with any remarta of his on the great sohene which had been subaitted for their approval.'. But believing, as he did, that the Goverument had not invited them to express their opinion as a mere matter of form; but they were really asked in good faith to examine and discuss. the moasure, and then to express their approval or disapproval of it as a whole, he would venture to occupy their attention for a fow momenta, while ho stated to the House the reasons which induced him to give it his hearty concurrence and support, . He would first, however, premise that he could not see the force of an objection which had also been made, that as they were procluded from making any alteration in the details of the seheme, they would be betraying their trust and violating thoir duty to their constituents if they
acquiesced in the scheme as is whole, to some of the particular features of which their own conviations might be opposed. and in refercuee to which they perhaps had nim npportunity of ascertaining definitely the riews: of their constituents.. Now, he would ask honorable gentlemen did it not constantly happen that in the business of life they were obliged to dele gate to a fery the evolict an many matters in which they were nust deeply interested themselves. but which, frmin the sery nature of the interests involyed, could unt be dealt with advantageously otherrice and if they bad enfideuce in the judgnient and ability of those to whom, the task had keen cominitted, were they not satisfied to accept their recomweddations, although their views on all points mieht not coincide with their own?. Just so in the case of the Confederation scheme-it was one which required special ability, tee noul judement: to deal with. It ras one in which so many oonflicting interests; so many nice questions were involved, that it would have been next to iupossible to have arrived at any satisfactory settlement of the question, had the task bern comnitted to a popular assembly or any other large body: Yow: he wis stisfied that the poople of this comatry were fulty rir-uaded at the time of the Conferenee thit the tusk of framing a whate tor the union ot all we North Americon Prominer had tren assumed by these statemen whe by their abrity experience and "judyment wire' of all men, the best iunalied, the the duty and he had ret to learn, frome any expressen it public opinion: either out of dwiry wr in" the "presw, that this contidene had feen thaken, or that the seleme, as a whlue had been hasappraved of. On the contrary the pmenile of ('smada had now been aequanted with atl the inpurtant features of the mesure for onne three nonthy at least. and he believed the result had been that, while various opinions had been expressed in reference to the detail's of the seheme the great majority of the people were perfectly satistied to leave the matter in the hands of their re presentatives, to be assented to by them as a whole, if, after a full discussion of its menits, they were satistied that they so far outweighed its defects" asy to commend itself to their adoption. If then, in the best esercise of his judguent. he had come to the conclusion taat the peculiar circumstances of the times, and the merits of the scheme as $\dot{\mathrm{i}}$ whole, outweighed any of the defects which might present themselves in the details, he thongtit he should be fully warranted, acting un behalf of his constituents, in according his support to the
measure without being in any way chargeable with a dereliction of duty or a betrayal of the trinst committed to hiin: He would now state to the House what were the considerations which induced him to give his support to the measure'and which, to him at least, appeared of sufficient importance to outweigh all objections that had been brought against it. They were tivofold-arising in the tiest place from our internal condition, and in the second place from our position with regard to the neighboring Statesi And tirst. with regard to our internal condition, while he partly agreed with the remark which fell from the honorable? member for the Brock Division, that our polt. tical differences alone could perhaps searcely be said to necessitate such great and important constitutional: changes as those involved in Confederationa, yet takea in councetion with our external relations, he thought no one would deby that the state of chroine wenkness of th. governing body had become a subject of grave apprebension to eyery well-wisther of their country. No one would deny that when storinwere impending it was doubly necessary that the ships of the state should be gided by firm and determined hands-that weaknes and vacillation under such circunstances would be: sure to exd in disayter. Fet for the last few years. when the political horizon" had beenErowng darker and darker when frosh causes. of irritation had unhappily sprung up frion time to time betweri us end our neighbis. we had sten hinistry atter midestry beak down until anything like a stable and rigonou: goverament nemed to have become a hopelesimpossibility. Who couid say that such' state of things was not fraught with danger 1 . any community. . He believed that in Pider:i tion they had fiound a remedy for those ser: tinal differences betwean lyper and Lowr:Canada, which hal so long agitated the conatry, and had been a source of weakyes: :so many administratious. Cinder the: stheme now proposed, all ceauses of jealousy and di, trust between the two provinces wuald b; removed, and they might well hail with wati+ faction any change which, by removia, theine stumbling-blooks which sectional tiednoy and party striic had placed in the may of su many successive ministries, had enabled the ablert men of all parties to unite their councils. for the formation of a strong, vigorvus and permanent governmeat. For these consideratisus, amongt others, the measure before the House should have his hearty support, but there were to his mind graver reasons still why the uaion of the provinces
should, if possible, be brought about without further loss of time, "No one who had watēhed the course of public opinion in Great Britain in reference to the colonies, as expressed daring the tast ferw years, either in Parliament or in the public press: could doubt that the feeling had been gaining ground there that the time had come for us to assume a larger share than re had hitherto assumed of those respon: sibilities which attich to every country aspiring to any sort of national existence or political standing." He need scareely say that the alluded to the question of defence. This ris a ¿uestion which would have been forced upon us *opodr or later under any circumstan. ect because it was neither reasonable nor just that we should expect that Great Britain would continue to give us the protection of her fleets and armies, unless we showed that ve' were iflling to bear our share of the burden, and were ready to contribute our quota of men and yeans towards the defence of our own hearths and homes stould war unhappily threaten us. Under any circumstances, then, the consideration of this question must necessarily have occupied a lange share of the atyfation of the Gorerniment and the Legislature, out no one would deńy that it had acquired tentold importances in view of our present relations with the Cuited States, nnd that what niight safely have been left to the unaided resourcesiof Canada alone, had peace and harmony continued to prevail on our borders, would now require all the mesistance, all the material aid and moral suppart," which a close and cordial "union with "nearly a million of our British fellow-subjects could alone give to us. Feel' ing then as he did upon shese points. Lo could not help asking himsolf the question, what would be the rexult, as regards the well-being and prosperity of Canada, if this Confederathon seheme shoulid tall through? Should we unt sulter most seriously in all our relations then at houe and abroad? Would not the elfect on our credis in Eingland to most disasterous? Would they not say that our own tolly and want of patriotism had condemned us to a state of isolation and weakness, when union with our sister provinces would have uado us strong, powerful and prosporous? Some honozable geatlemen had suoh stiong objections to sume of the details of the meas-ure-the alteration in the constitution of this House, for instauce-that rather than bring themselves to vute for it, they were willing to run the riok of impariling the whole scheme. For his own part, tee shoughe it would ill be. come an elected member like hiniself to say.
anything against the elective system as applie to this House ; : although he earnestly believied that the majority of his own constituents were in favor of a Legislative Council appointed by thie Crown:- As for the objection which had been urged that betryeen an Upper Hoouse composed exclasively of hife memibers, and an elective Lower House, there might be the danger of a direçt collision in the event of one rejecting an important measure which the other had passed, he did not think there was much danger of such a contingency. Indeed he would remind honorable members that the only instance of anything like a dead-lock between the two Housos, which had occurred within late years, at all events, was since the introduction of the elective principle, when the Council in 1859, refused to pass the Supply Bill on account of certain items contained in it, providing for the expense of the removal of the Gavernment to Quebec. The Government on that occasion were left in a minority in this House, although they had a majority in the Assembly, and it was guly after an ad. jourament of some days and upon a reconsideration of the question, after bringing up some life members from Lover Canada, that the Government carried the sote by a majority of two or three. Upon the whole, however; he thought that the life nembers of the Council would admit that the elective members had no"far, at all events, comported theuselves in such a way ay to maintaiin the eharacter of the House as a conserva: tive body, tree from all vident party feeling, and exercising a wholesouie check against alt. ill-considered or hasty legistation. The real danger, he thought, was that if the: House in process of time were to become a purely elec tire body, and party lines became uiore closely. drawn, the same partisan: spirit which tuis. othen swayed the proceedings of the popular hranch of the Levialature; bight tind its way into their chamber, larger powers, such an originating money bills, might be claimed; and a collision between the two Houses night then occar at any tiue. Another objection raised by wome hoporable gentiemen, was, that this measure was being ungél upon the P'arliazent and people of this country with unduc haste, and from the danguage of some honorable gentlemen it sas quite clear that they did not think that our situation was by any means such a critical one as to call for uny inmediat. change. For his oivn part, he did not under stand how any one could leok abroad at what was passing on our borders and not take into, comsideration the fact that our coumunica-
tion with the sea-board during the winter was about to be cut off-that our trade and commerce with the United States was hampered by the most vexatious and needless. restric tions-and that, furthermore, measures of a military and naval character having special reference to our frontier relations, had found favor and countenance with the Government and people of the United States. Looking at all these things, he could not conceive how any Canadian could feel that this was a time for his country to remain in her present comparatively weak and isolated condition, when an
opportunity was offered of acyuiring that opportuaity wh offered of acquiring that
strength which union with the sister prostrength
vinees could alone give us. $\cdot$ It might be that there were sume honorable kentlewen wha did not view the question, so far as regarded our relation's with the United States, in the same light that he did. Now, from whatever point of view he looked at the question of Confederation, he was equally convinced of the extreme desirableness of an early settlement of the yuestion: He; would be very orable gentleoflow the example of some honorable gentlemen in decrying the resources or underrating the position of Canada; but he Was bound to say; that while he freely admitted and heartily acknowledged the many sources af materity wealth and prosperity
wheh (Eanala finessed, in her fertile soil, which (anala pirsessed, in her fertile soil, her rich minerals. her noble strieni us canals and rahmays he aesertheless ciuld not shut his ege th the thir that our tride and revenues our comuercial and arricultural in. terests. han bre:s mo injurinusly affected by the stat of thinse on the other side of the lakes, that unfers we. could tiod new avenues fut uur enninercer, new markets fir our produce, we munt ine itably suffer a most serious chack to wir pronperty and well-doing. In this Canfederation scheme he believed that a colden oppirtunity was offered to us of remedy ing the evils under whech we were now su:fering, and of opening out a new and prosperous career fur this country, if we would wail oursekers of it. He believed that is might be said of nations as of indriduals :-

> There is a tide in the affairs of man
> Wheh, taken at the thood, leads on to fortiune
> $\begin{aligned} & \text { Otatted; will the voyage of their lite is spectit } \\ & \text { In rhallowa and uniseries. }\end{aligned}$
> $\begin{aligned} & \text { In hallows and miseries. } \\ & \text { Oin suh a full wia are we }\end{aligned}$
> And we aitull sata are we, now atloat,
> And we mist take the carreat as it llows,

IIe would urge then upon the House, not to allow the opportunity to pass-even should it be at the dacritice of individual opinions-
of forming a strong, powerful and prosperous Confederation and thius ensure for ourselves, and our children's children, a national existence as British North Americans; which may endure for many ages to come. (Cheers.)

HoN. Mr. SAYBORN said he rose for the parpose of moving the resolution of which he had given notice, and would take the oppor: tunity of offering some observations on the general subject. In his opinion it could not be injured by discussion, and whatever might be its merits or denierits after going through the crucible, the residuim must be better than the present condition of the subject, boih as regarded the legislature and the country. On a former occasion he had expressed himself as not averse to the question, but ay inclined, from varions considerations, to view Confeder ation favorably; and seting in the same sease he rrould now state certain points, which, in his opinion, must lead to the conclusion that such a nnion would advance the prosperity of the colonies interested, and their ultimate es tablishment as a nation. The principle of aysociation, as exhibited in commercial partaerships and corporations, continued a secret of prosperity, the precise nature of which it might be dificult to elucidate and account lior, but which no one could fail to recorgnize, and so far as it applied to nations its polency was sufficiently establiatied to show that the anal ogy mas presumed and that it was as operative as in partuerships and corporations. Me wat alio prepared to adinit that diversity of inter ests was no sufficient angument against uniou, (hear)- ince in this rery particular might frequently be found the strongest bond of union: As in electricity, opposite poles at tracted each other, su among uations a diter sity of interests which might at priori be pro nounced a bar, was not unfrequeatly the moot effectual means of harmony, and thus a diver sity of feeling which brought out talent, might foad to a coniparion of opinions which would induce an enlarged policy calculated to elevate and not to depress national enengies. He wa, prepared to admit that Confederation would calarge the minds of all, and wake us better to understand our resourees anil eapabil. ities. It would make as more enquiring, and teach us so to use our industrial power as to secure the best results. (Hear, hear.) He was prepared to admit that the rexults of the union between Upper and Lower Canada had been bendficial to both, and he angued that union with the other provinces, inhabited by a people educated under different circum. stances and of different origins, could hardly
be without mutual adrantage: It would give the inhabitants of each province the opportunity of studying each other's habits and pursuits, and so induce larger and more comprehensive views, He was prepared to admit that the assimilation of tarifs would be an adyantage of no little moment, and that it would do away with much chafing in working the miachinery of the government.: He also admitted the adrantage of having ocean seaports of our own, though he was not prepared to attack so much importance to that as some ither honorable members. We more told that no inland country could ever be great and that so long as we bad no opening to the sea we conild not expeet permanent prosperity. He wris quite prepared to say that access to the ocean through the ports of St. John, N.B., and Halifax, was very desirable, but be was not at all certain that the grand effects proclained would be realized. It was no doubt rery desirable to seçure all these adrantages, tut the measure contained some provisions which, if carried out, would as he believed, be highly injurious to both the general and lucal gorernments. Then he inust say he had a strong distrust of it on account of the manner in which it was originated. It was not in accordince with the analogy of things or with the lessons taught ur by the history of the worlig. that a few gentlemen, however wise and wellinteitioned, but selfelected, should neet bugether to form a constitation and ereet a neve natiouality: If we looked to the I nited States (the history of whose Constitation he would presently allude to, and whose Constitution had beea nore closely followed in that now under consideration than the British Constituuon) we would see how patiently they had proceeded to coustruct it." [Here the heuorable meniber gave a history of the first instru: ment of federation, established for mutual convenimese and support, though not for antional. union, which oceupied from the Tth October io the 15 th Norember, 1777 ; in the diseussing. He then sid that this arrangement, not being luand to answer the requirements of the states coucerned, in September, 1787 , they cosumencel deliberating upon the adoption of a Constitution, which, after being arranged, was for two veara before the individual states and the people, being only ratitied in October, 1789.] This shewed how careful and particular they had ${ }^{7}$ bren-int this important matter, and a distinguished member of the other branch of our le: gislature had waid, only a ferw evenings ago, that the greatest statesmen whoever lived had been engaged in the work. From the length of the
discussions," and the time given to the people to study and understand the meas: ure, it was seen how anxious they were that it should be made perfectly satisfactory to them. But what was it that gave rise to the desire for federation first in the States? They were poor and comparatively helpless. They had just come out of an exhaustive war with Great Britain, and the duty fell upon them of organizing a government for a broad expanse of country containing but tivo and a half or three million souls. This it was that led to the first attempt at federation, and afterwards to a closer union under the constitution of 1789. How was it with us? It was alleged that we had been led step by step, according to the strietest method of induction, to the necessity for the measure now proposed, that without it there must have been an irremediable dead-lock between the parties in the legislature, which would have rendered further progress impossible: But what was the real impediment? Want of patriotism - not the want of a good Constitution. If there had been less viruleace of party spirit, and a better disposition to accommodite matters, there would have been no deadluck. Hear, hear.)

Hon. Mn. ROSS hoped the himotable member felt this.

Hon. Mr. SANBORS-If the leading men had felt as they ought to have felt, there would have been no dead-lock, for it existed more in name than in reality:" There was no cause for sayigg that no government could be formed which could command a good najority. And what had the difficulties arisen from? From a persistent agitation for representation according to population, in consequence of which the people had at last come to believe that it was a fundamental axiom in government (Hear, Liear:) But did it follow that because there wero difficulties that they could not be arranged without recourse to such a measure as this, and was it certain even that Confederation would remove them? Instead of meeting the diffcalties, the Government had travelled away from them and formed an ayreement with provinces in which they had no existence; ivithuut dovising means to relieve theuselves: Federation was forthwith produced, like Minerva from the head of Jupiter, fully armed; and we were told it was just what we wanted: to make all things right. We were told we must take it as presented, without any possible change; we must lay aside our character as a deliberative body, and without considering the country (which had been studiously kept in ignorance of the soheme) vote to accept or
reject it. It was true that soon after the resolutions had been agreed upon, copies had been sent to the members of both Houses confidentially, but the people must be kept in the dark. If the members had acted up to the implied requirement of secrecy, and not divulged the proxisions of the scherie, the people were to this day ignorant of its'oharacter, and it could not be said that it was ever constitutionally subwitted to the country. Then we were told that; as elective members and as patriots, it was;our duty to; accept the neasure as it was, even thoush portions of it might not be satisfactory, rather than lose it altogether." It had been grenerally represented by the local newspapers in Epper Canada-fed to do so-that it was commonly approved; but while the simple idea of union might find faror, as he believed it did, it was not less true that the country was waiting for the details, of which they would judge when they had been disensied in Parliament. When this had been done, and the bearing of the manifold particulars was thor: rughly understood it was expected, at least among : the English of Lower Canada, that it would nut be passed until after hasing been submitted to the people If it we re passed through without such an appeal; he had no hesitation in saying that the corerament would disume a very serious responsibility; and if, in after times, the union should prove disastrous, it would bring upon them inprecations instead of blessings. And he was under the impression that the Government, wheuever they bad given their views on the subject, had never said it would not be so presented, but no doubt their utterances were very much like those of the Delphic oracle, sueceptible of being un: lerstood in two opposite ways. But what could such an indisposition to speak clearly indicate, if not a purpose to press the matter on to a résult, èven though the peoplo might not want it." "nder this measure the elective nenibers would have a ripht to vote themselves, two out of three at least, as wembers, thr life. Now it had been found necessary to enact a law to ensure the independence of Parliament, and for the purpose of removing all temptation to swer ve from the right, they were precluded from occupying even the small offices of postmasters in the remotest parts of the "country; or acting as security for such ofticers. For every day that a nember occupied a seat in either House unlawfully, he was subject to the extreme penalty of $\mathrm{c}^{2} \mathrm{JO} 0_{\text {a }}$ and if this strictness had been foum necessary, was not the spirit of the luy violated when this House was invited to pass a measure by which
the members of the Legislative Council of the Confederation would be appointed for life, and selected from the members of the present Legislative Council-even allowing that all the Crown nominated members were to be first chosen, as "the Honorable" Commissioner of Crown Lands bad left us to infer from his remarks.

Hon. Mri. CAMPBELLL said he had stated nothing of the kind, aud the honorable member had no foundation whatever for his assertion. He (Hon. Mr. Cimpreill) had used ne such language but had expresisly stated that due consideration would be given to imembers. of both sides of politice, and to life and elec tive members equally.

Hos. Me. SANBORN said he had reason to suppose that what he had stated was a fair inference from what the Honorable Commis? sioner of Crown Lands said.

Hox. Mr. CAMPBELA-The honorable member could not have been in the Hyuse When the statement was male, or how could he draw that conclasion?

Mon. Ma. SNBBORX said he wa ready to accept the explanation, an it would not iffert his argument. And supposing all the uetin:bers nominated by the Cruwn were appointed, he would say it was but just"; nay, it would be unjust to deprive them or seato which had been given thera for life. The Honorable Commissioner of Crown Lands would thus see that he (Hon: Mr. Sasionis) hadatributed only such opinions to hime as he himself conseientiously beld to bo right. : disuming then that the Crown nembers would retain their "seats, there was a direct temptation presented to an least two but of three of the elective members. This, he thought, exthibited the projeet in a very damaging light. 'Such a measure was calculated to bias the judgnent, and vughe not to be presented to any legislative body. He beld that, elective nembers had receined 4 sacred trust to exercios ;" that they were tont here by their constituencies to represeat them, and to do that only: Under these circianstances he rould ask, whether they could con. ceive they had the power to vote away the rights of the:r clectors? That was not in their mandet, and if they dial, they would be doing what they hat ino authority to do they would be doing what they could nut do. without going beyoud the authority condidel to them. Coming to the principle of electiso leyislative councils itself, he uight say it had already been adopted in four British colonies besides Canada. Camada, though the largest of these, was not the first tu adopt it,
though it might claim to be foremost in istablishing precedents-especially as with the addition of the Lower Provinces we are :told we shall be the third largest nation in the world-(hear, hear, and laughter)-since for a long series of years the demand had been made by the people.. They persisted against many discouratements, and the reform constituted one of the famous aninety-tivo resolutions of the constitutional party in Lower Canada, until with other reforms it was at last conceded and consummated. When the subject way under discusgion, objecticns were made and fears expressed that there mught be a feeling among the members, who came from the people, to claim the right to originate money bills, and that an antagonism would thus arise between the two branches, but no such conflict of opinion had ever happened, and the Constitution had worked as theretofore. The infusion of the elective element was made gradually with the view of Anticipatiog such a result and the effect was attained, For there had been no olashing of interests. "The elective "principle had been applied to the Legislative Council of Prince Edward Island, and he would ask, how. under the 14 th resolation of the Contederation, that bouly was to be dealt with? He would read that part of the resolution to which. he referrid - "The first selection of the meinbers of the Legislative Comucil to be made trom the Legislative Councils of the various provinees, except as regards Prince Edward Inland, "te.' What did this mean?" Were the members from Prince Edwärd Holand still to be elected?

Hon. Mi CAMPBELL-Nu they were wo appointed. The resolution' was so worded as not to limit the selection in Prince Edward Laland to the Legislative Council now in ex: istence there.

HoN: Ma siviohn-Was it becaase the elective prineipie had worked so bally-in Canada that this change is proposed?

Hon. Ma. CAMPBELLL-No; anâ there fore in Canada the selection was to be made frum the Hinase itedit

HuN. Ma SANBORY-It appeared then, that Prine efdrard Islands dissatistied with the elective principle, thad dictated terms, and Canads had yielded to the dictation.'

Hon. Ma. CAMPBELL $\rightarrow$ The Conference had yielded to Prince Edward Island only in respect of its own members: They, Were so dissatistied with their Legislative Council that, with reference to themselves, a chuice trum the people at lange was pornuit.
ted, but this had no reference whatever to Canada.

Hon. Mr. SAMBORN-Suppose the elective members should be swept off, what became of the people's right of representation by men of their own choice?

Hon. Ma. CAMPBELL-No such thing was intended.

Hox. Mr. SANBORN said be bad only put the case hypothetically, but had been glad to learn the reasons which had led the delegates to resolve upon the abaindonment of the elective priticiple in respect of the Legislative Council. Canada, however, did not degerve to be used in this way. He would now ask whether the representatives of Canada in the Conference had any warrant from the country to justify their action'? They had tone whatever. If they had not acted under the dictation of Prince Edward Island, they haid acted on their own motion, and without authority of any kind. The conclasion was legitimate and logical. The position they had assuned was exceptional and distasteful. Coming to the proposil to amind the resolutions, he would say there existed no reason why the House, if it thought proper, could not change thein in any particular. He willingly admitted that they were in the atare of a treaty and we must accept them, if accepted at all, se that their exential character should not be inupaired; bat when they were presented to $u$, we were bound to decide whether they were what we wanted or not. If they were so in the main, there was po necestity for takituy exceptions which would affect our relations with the oflier provinces, but they misht be moditied and changed io so far as Canada was concerned, and the other provinges would have no cause of conplaint. What interint had they in the manner of our chowsing our own representatives? All they had a right tio say in the mattor was that we should mot have note than thothirds of the Whole number.' There was a way of putting
things so ay to fripe things so to trighten and convince wavering minds; but he would ask the House to yift this matter withe bettom- to look at the reasen of things-and to say if his amendraent were' adopted, which maintained intact the principle of representation laid down in the resolutions-only retaining the uode of choositin the iuembers the modification could lead to harm or to anything else than whathad already been deelared, viz., that the Intperial Parliament might take the soheme with. the amendment under consideration, and act upon said amendment. Mr. Carbwere had
already remarked upon that very point, and if it were changed, would it not be to retain the elective principle? If the Imperial Par+ liament may do sơ, may we not aik them to do it " May we not'record aur views? And would they, upola a neasure of such rast moment aild pregnant with such resuits, proceed to adopt ia priviciple unless they knew its aperation to be beneficial? Turaing to his proposed amenduint, the honorable nixeniber gaid that if it were adopted, the principle of federal representation tin the resolutions would be retained, the same "members would be retianded (in Canada at least, and to render the pruportion ot the Lower Provinces relar tively equal, he would allow them to name ten Life members Were we to be told that sueh a frim mould be intoigruous, and be deterred from considering the proposition?" Then we should be retlecting upon ourselves. He and other honor ble wembers sat under's mandate from the people; while other honorable members sat under a patent from the Crown. It would be a retlection busth ou oar past and premeat : condition. There were the same reasons now for adoytiny such a form as there were when the elective pripciple was adopted. It was a dumestic matter, and should be left to domestic arrangecuent.: Exch province had its owi peculiar interests, and should be left to the exictilese ot its judument in the manage inent of thenis It subseyuently a chauge were desired, it could begranted. He maintained that has views were correct and logical, and he Was at a hiss to see the incongruity with "which they were charged.' He could nut discover tuy +afficimat cause tor the proposed change, and as the people had -not aoked for it, and as Cimada fornied a large mas jurity of, the whule it , nught not whave been prantel whethet rutereate to their opinions. Representation according to populaciua was nund louked uput as a. cirdmal principle, but it"certauly was ave oberved is dealing" with this important yuestion. We were told the rute was taken by provinces, not by numbers, still the Lower Provinees inad the majurits of representatives, though they represembed but a ninority of the people.: Then it ifas said our delegathy were leadiag men and uen of talent, which he was quite prepared to allow, yet they did not coupose all the tajeat or statess. tnanshap of Clanada.: In this way the ouethirid of the populations interested had given: the law the the other ewo-thirds. We were tuld again that the Constitution cmanating from the Coutertuco was desirablo becauso it was modelled on the Britinh Conistitution.

The British Constitution was unmatched in the world, and was not suiscoptible of imitation. It had grown by the accretions of ages, by the independence of the people, and by their undying love of justice and fair play: (Hear, hear.) It had been produced by the strictest inductive method, and stood unirivalled as a monument of the greatest human wisdom. Except in remate future times, it conld not be imitated-(hear)- and he did not unge this difference as a demerit, for it was in the nature of things that it should exist. It had reference to different kinds of people-to people of different genias, aid to people of new conitries and altered uircumstanees ; but though an able theoretical conrposition, it might fail to produce the anticipated resuilts. It was not a copy of that horror of our Constitution-makera-the Constitution of the Uuited States-but he would show that the delegates had borrowed umore largely from that Constitution than from any other, though to be sure; in some aspects, it diffared very much from it, as in the provision which gives to members of the Upper House their seats for life. The resolutions provided that an equal representation shonld be given to the three sections of the Confederation as having separate intercests, while in the Lower House the rapresentation was to be wecording to population. $\because$ Bath these provisions were copied from the Americam Conssitution. The life membership was supposed to be in conformity with che British Constitution, but the limitation of the number of members was a violation of it. The limitation of the pow. er of the federal, and the powar of the local governmente, was the old story of federal and stalie righto-in fect, the bone of contention which had led to the presept unhappy war; an' apple of discosd which our posterity might Wuther in fruits of the most bituer eharacter. There was another branch of the sabjeet he would fail in his daty if he did not wouch upon, sud that was the aituation in which the Einglish of Liower Canada would bo placed: The Ho iorable "Premier had reuarted at some length upon the disposition to toleration and the indalgent spiris erinoed by his people in past tipes, and he (Hon. Mr. Sanzorn) was not propared to detract frome thia. Ho would freely and fally concedo the point: He had always lived is the midss of a mized population, and his division reas more Erench ctian English, and it would in besome him wo cast rofleotions on their tiberclity and deaire for Gair play or justice to othere. But this was tho fime, whe freating of important arrenge
ments for the fatare, to lay aside all unneces. sary delioacy; and by our aetion to lay down the guarantees for the perpettuation of these kind feelings and this spirit of toleration so long existing, and which he devoutly hoped would never cease. No greater calamity could befall the English; or, in feot, both racess; than the introduction of religions diseord among the people of Lower Canada (Hear, hear.) It would, however, be a grievous mistake to overlook the safeguards and rules neceessary to perpetuate kindly feelings, and to prevent the disposition to aggressions which :oxisted more or less in all minds. That principlothe love of power-was found ine every hamian heart, none: were exempt from it, and the history of the world showed that no people had ever risen superior to it. The Honorable Premier had recognized this trath in the ro marks the had made in regard of the difficul. ties between Upper and Lower Canada. The Prench Canadians had parsistently refused the demands of Uppor Canada for represeentation by population, because of the terror they lelt that, if granted, their institations would bo in danger; and he had cold the Frocich members in the Honse that under the neiv Constitution their rights were so effectually graarded that their autonomy was fully seoured-the sateguards thereof being pat in : their own hands. Bat at tho same time, the English, who were a fourthof the popalation, and $\begin{gathered}\text { hoo; }\end{gathered}$ by habit and tradition, hind their owia views of public policy, were lefe entirely without guartatee other thian the grood feelings and tolerant pifit of the French. "Was this safe? The only safeguard they were to hare was in regard of education, but in regard of the rights of property they were to be len to the Legislature. And this bronght him to the cousideratiun of that part of the proposed Contutution which had reference to eivil rights and righes of property. . It was asid that the civil laws of Lower Canada reere now consoli: dated into a code, and this would enhanice our indit; and if based apoin sound principles and reudered permanents it would andoubtedly do *0, for what is so condacive to the prosperity. of a country as well-proteoted rights of property and vested interests? This feature was deepty engrained in the British mind, and in that of the United States also, insomuch that the A marican Constitution provides that no lav. cuuld be passed which would affoct the rights of property. This was ezemplified in the celebrited Dartmoath College cease, in whioh Weis.sprer io distinguished himisalf, when the endowiment was matitained and perpetatect But
to what power were the rights of property committed in these resolutions? When the Minister of Finance appealed to moneyed men abroad for s loan, could be say the Constitution had provided guarantees against injurious ohanges, when it was known that the laws relating to property were left to the caprice of the local governments? Where was the security of the great religious societies of Montreal, if a sentiment hostile to monopolies were carried to "extremes in the Local Parliament?
How. Sir. E. P. TACHE—The General Legisilature had power to disallow such acts.
Hon: Mr: CURRIE-This' would be an interference with locial rights.
Hon. Mr. ROSS-It.would preserve looal rights.

Hon Mr. SANBORN It was a wiso power and commended itself to all; it was, however, not an ordinary power to be commonly resorted to, but an extreme power, and one almost revolationary. It was a power somewhat gimilar to that which existed in the second braich of the Legislature to stop the supplies, but in its very natare not one often to be exercised, and it conld not be frequently azercised without destroying the very foundations of society, and occasioning evils of the greatest magnatude On the whole he conevived that entrasting such porer to the local governments was illogical and dangerous, and informing the world that the rights of property were not made sures It was urged by some that, to make the measure now before the Honse answer the ends proposed, it must be immediately adopted, but he did not partici pate in this opinion. He knew to reason for this haste; and could not belieye that a few months would make any material difference. This union, when formed, was to strengthen us so marvellonsly that'we would be able to intimidate all the rost of the world, and gyarantee as a lasting peace with all mankind. It might increase facilities for communication, butcould not inerease our real strength: How the people of New Brunswick ec uld be expected to come up to Canada to detend us, and Jeave their own frontier unprotected, he could not comprehend. : If he had misinterpreted the statements or explanations on this point, let the minisisters show how this greater strength was to be aequired. There would be three or four provinces more united together, but the frontiep to be defended woild be increased in greater proportion than the additional number of men eqequired. It was said by the advo. eates of the secheme that the anval power of

Great Britain would defend St. John, for instance, and leave scope for the volunteers to defend the frontiers; " but the Intercolonial Railway'; running as it would along the frontier, would be constantly subject to assaults, and would require all the force which could be spared for that purpose. Lower Canada would continue to be assailable from Maine and Vermont, and Upper Canada from the state of 'New York " Under these circumstances, each section of the Confederation would have enough to do to attend to its own affairs.: We were told to love our neighbors as ourselves, but he was not aware that we were enjoined to love them better. (Hear.) We were not told what: appropriations were to be made for defence, indeed pains bad been taken to conceal that, and Hon. Mr: Tlleey said that the matter : was not debated or determined. The province had already incurred an expense of $\$ 400,000$ for the simple purpose of sending a few companies of volunteers to our frontier, and if there were no guarantee in the scheme of union-and he did not' see any-for increasing our strength, where was the use of haste? Were we not as safe now as we would be then? The Honorable Premier had stated we: were on' an inclined plane, and he (Hon. Mr. Sanborn) supposed that like Holland we must dyke ourselves up, lest we slide away into the sea of the great American Confederacy. (Laughter.) Whether we were liable to be hurled thither by an svalanche or gradually glide down, we could not prevent our going there escept by Contederation, but Confederation would stop us, and that was something to be thankful for. His own impression was that our position would have been more improved by an agreement with Upper Canada than by the new nationality: Canada had had difficulties with the Cnited States, but they had never exhibited a spirit of aygression towards us, except in tinues of war, which had arisen from issues between Great Britain and the United states, and he did not believe they entertained such purposes now any more than in former times.: If we desired to have a Constitution which would afford good hope of permanency, it must be planted deep in the affections of the people:-(hear, hear)-for until-their intellects were convinced of its excellence, they would not be prepared to uphold it and resist innovations. But they most feel and comprehend the obligation. (Hear.) To render it secure, it must be in the hearts of the people:- Why was it that the English had always resisted atteupts upon their Constitation? Becuase every link of the
great chain had been conquered by resistance to oppression, and by sacrifices of blood(hear, hear)-by resistance to royal exactions and assumptions-(hear, hear) -and these achievements were preserved, held dear, understood, valued, and clung to with all the tenacity of that great people's nature.. (Hear, hear:) : This was the reason why it'rested upon such a solid foundation, why it had endured so long, and was likely to endare for ever. ' (Hear, hear.) The Constitution asked for was to be built on a flimsy foundation, consisting of certain ideas in the minds of a few raen, who no doubt wished well to their country; but that Constitution was new afterall, and they could not, in the small space of time they had given to the project, view the whole subject in all its bearings and aspects, as it was desirable they should. The honorable member closed his remarks by reiterating his opinion that an appeal on the subject was due to the people whose voice had not been. heard upon it. : The acquiesocice spoten of was rather the patient awaiting of the details which were sure to be challenged, and the testimony of a subsidized press. was not to be taken in evidence of its general acceptance. He was not prepared for one to take or reject the measure as presented. Ho believed the people would not approve of such a conrse, and, even were it infinitely better than it was, he would not take the responisibility of voting for it unless after it had been submitted to the country. (Hear, hear.)" He would now move his amendment, which was follows:
That the following, words be added to the resolation now under conisideration, as an amend. ment, by subimituing for the eighth resolation the following:-

Upper Canada tu be represented in the Laviss-- lative Council by: twenty four elective members, aud Lower Canads by twont-four elective menabers, and the Maritime Provinces by tiventy-four members, corresponding with the twenty four elective members in each section of Camados of which Nova Scutia shall have tea, New Branswick tea, and Prince Edward laland shall have four, and the present niembers of the Legislative Coun: cil of Camada, as well life members as olective members, shall be members of the tirst Legislative Council of the Federal Parliament- the appointed members to remain for life, and the eleetive memibers for eight years from the date of their election, anless removed by death or other cause; their successors to be electud by the same divisions and electore as have elected them; and it shall be permitted to the Maritime Provinces to appoint ten additional members for lifo, tour for New Brunswiet, four for Nova Scotia, and two for Prince Edward Intand, to correspond with tho


#### Abstract

present life members from Canada; and that after the first appointment of members in the Mariume Provinces, no new appointment shall be made, ex: cept to supply the vacancies by death or otherwise in the twenty-four nembers appointed to correspond with the elective members from the two sections of Canada.

And that in the eleventh section; after the word "Council," in the first line, the following words be added: "in the Maritime Provinces:" "

And that section fourteen be struck ont.


Seconded by Hon. Mr. Buread.
Hon. Mr. MACPHERSON brielly addressed the House in opposition to the amendment antil the hour of adjournment, six o'olook, arrived. His remarks will bè found revapitulated in the commencement of his speech on Friday:

At six o'clock the debate was adjourned, Hon. Mr. Macpherison having the floor.
$\cdots$

## LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY.

## Thursday, February 9, 1865.

It he order of the day for resuming the adjourned debate on the proposed Address to Her Majesty, on the subject of the Confederation of the British North American Provinces having been called;

- The Honorable Mr. McGEE said-Mr. Speaker;- I rise to endeavor to fultal the promise made in my name last evening by the Lower Canzdian leader of this Honse. After the four specehes that haver already been delivered from this quarter of the House, it may very well be sapposed that little of essential importance remains tu be said. On Monday the Attorney General West; in exposing the case for the Govern: ment, in moving this Address to Her Majesty, weat very fally through all the items of the resolutions agreed apon at the Quicbe Conference, and gave us a tull analysis of the whole project with his own constitutional commentaries upon the proceedinge of that body. On the next evening, the Attornoy General Esest gave ut his views also, treatiog chient of the difficulties in Lower Canads. The same night, my hon. friend, the Minister of Finance, gave us a financial view of the whole subject; and last evening the Hon. President of tho Conneil gave us another extended. financial and political address, with some argnmenta from "the Upper Canadian point of viow," as the phrase is. It may well theretore seem that
after these speeches little of essential importance remains to be stated. Still this subject is so vast, the project before the House is so vast, and comprehends within it so many objects of intereste, the atmosphere that surrounds a subject of this importance is so subtle and fluctuating, that there may be; I am feign to believe, a little joiner-work still left to do-there may be a hiatüs here and there to fill:up; and although, as far as what is called "the preliminary case" is coucerned, the question might perhaps very well have rested with the four speeches already delivered-there may be sume slight additional contribution made, and, such as it is, in my own humble way, I propose to make it to-night.' (Hear, hear.) We all remember that in the nursery legendof the Three Kings of Cologne, Caspar brought myrrh," and Melchior incense, and Baltassar gold, but I am afraid my contribution will be les̀s valuable than any of these, yet süch as it is I oheerfully bring it, partioularly when there are so many in this and the other provinces who would like to know what my own views are in relation to the present crisis. (Hear-) With your approbation, siry and the forbearance of the House, I will end eavour to treat this subject in this way :-First, to give some slight sketch of the history of the question; then to examine the existing motives which ought to prompt as to secure a speedy union of these provinces; then to speak of the difficulties which this question has encountered before reaching its present fortunate stage; then to say something of the mutual advantages, in a social rather than political point of view, which these provinces will have in their union, and lastly to add a few words on the Federal principle in general, when I'shall have done. In other words, I propose to consider the question of union mainly fron within, and as far as possible to avoid going over the ground already so filly and so much better ocoupied by hon. friends who have slready spoken upon the subject. My hon. friend, the member for Hochelaga, thought he did a very clever thing the other evening when he disentombed an old newspaper article of mine; entitled " $\mathbf{A}$ New "Nationality," and endeavored to fix on me the paternity of the phrasc-destived to become prophetio-which was ernployed by a very distinguished personage in the Speech from the Throne at the opening of the seasion. I do happen to remeinber the article alluded to as one of my first essays in political writing in Canada; bat

I am quite sure that the almost forgotten publication in which it appeared was never known, even by name, to the illastrious person Who delivered the speech on that occasion: " But I will orin when I saw my bantling held up to the admiration of the House in the delicate and fostering hands of the hon. member for Hoehelaga, I was not äshamed of it; on the eontrary, perhaps there was some tingling of parental pride: when I saw what ten years ago I pointed out as the true position for these colonies to take, about to be adopted by all the colonies under suich favorable circumstances. I do not think it ought to be made a matter of reproach to me, or a cause for belittling the importance of the subjeet, that ten years ago I used the identical phrase employed in the 'Speech from the Throne. The idea itself is a good one, and it may have floated through the minds of many men and received intellectual hoapitality even from the honorable member for Hochelaga himself. One is reminded by this sort of thing; of Puff in the Critic. "Two people" happened" "to hit upon the same thought, and Suakspise "made ase of it first-that'sall." (Laughter.) - My honorable friend is in this respect; no doubt, the Shakspere of the new nationality. (Renewed langhter.) 'If there is any. thing in the article he has read to the House which is deserving of disapprobation, he is puirticeps criminis, and equally blameable if not more blameable than myself. . He is indeed the older sinner, and I bow to him io that character with all proper humility. (Renowed laughter.) Really, Mr. Sprakgr, the attempt to fix the parentage of this child of many fathers is altogether absurd and futile. It is almost as ridiculous as the attempt to fis the name of this new Confoderation, in advance of the decision of the Gracious Lady to whom the matter is "to be referred. I have read in gne newspaper published in a western cityonot less than a dozen attempts of this nature.: One individual chooses Tuponia and another Hochelaga; as a suitable name for the nev nationality. Now I would ask any hon: member of this Honse how he would feel if he woke up souve fine miorning sind found himself, instead of a Canadian, a Tuponišh or Hochelagander. (Langhter.) I think, sif, we may safely leave for the present the disousaion of the name as well as the origim of the bew system proposed; when the Confederation has a plece among the nations of the world, and opens-anem page in hiatory, to will be
time enough to loos into its antooedents, and When it has reached this stage there are a few men who, having struggled for it in its earlier difficulties, will then deserve to be honozably mentioned. I shall not be gailty of the bad taste of complimenting those with whom I have the honor to be associated; but when we reach the stage of research, which lies far beyond the stage of deliberation in these affairs, there are some names that ought not to be forgotten. (Hear, hear.) So far back as the year 1800, the Honorable Mr. Uniaces, a leading politician in Nova Scotis at that date, submitted a scheme of Colonial Union to the Imperial anthorities. In 1815, Chief Justice Sewnik, whose name will be well remembered as a leading lawyer of thia oity and a farsighted politician, submitted a scheme. In 1822, Sir joan Beveriley Robinson, at the request of the Colonial Office, submitted a projeot of the same kind; and I need not refer to tie report of Lord Dubeam on Colonial Union in 1839.: These are all memorable, and some of them are great names. If wave dreamed a dream of union (ase some hom. gentlemen say), it is at least worth while remarting that a dream which has been dreamed by such wise and good men, may; for aught we know or you know, have been a sort of vision-a vision foseshadowing fortheoming natural events in a clear intelligence: A vision (I say it without irrevereaee, for the ovent concerns the lives of millions living, and yet to come) rosembling those seen by the Dasisis and Joserpis of old foreshadowing the trials of the future; the fate of tribes and peoplea; the rise and fall of dynastites., Bat the imwediate history of the measure is sufficiently wonderful without dwelling on the remoter predictions of so many wise mea. Whoever, in 1862, or oven in 1863, would have told us that we shouid see, even what we see in these sente by which I stand-suoh a representation of interests acting together, would be aceounted, as our Soutch friends say; "balf-doft;"-and hhoever; in the Lower Provinces about the same time, would have veatured to foretell the composition of their delegations; which sat with us under this root last October, veald probably have been considered equally demented. (Laughter.) But, the thing eame about, and if those ginglemen, who have had no immediate hand in bringing it abous, and therofore naturally felt lese interest in the projeot that we rico did, will only give uig the
beneftit of the doubt, will only assume that we are not all altogether wrong headed, wo hope to show them still farther, as we think we have already shomn them, that we are by no means without reason in entering on this enterprise. I submit, however, we may very well dismiss the antecedent history of the question for the present: it grew from an unnoticed feeble plant; to be a stately and flourishing tree, and for my part any one that pleases may say he made the tree grow, if I cani only have hereafter my fair share of the shelter and the shade. (Cheers.) But in the present stage of the question, the first real stage of its succesa-the thing that gave importance to theory in men's minde-was the now celebrated despatoh, signed by twomembers of this Government and as hon. gentleman formerly their colleague, a member of the other House; I refer to the des patoh of 1858. The recommendations in that despatoh lay dormant until revived by the Conatitutional Committee of last Session, which led to the Coaltion, which led to the Quebeo Confereace, which led to the drafi of the Constitation now on our table, which will lead, I am fajin to beliere, to the union of all these provinoea, (Hear, hear.) At the same time that we mention the diatinguished politicians, I think w'e ought not to forget those zealous and laborione contributors to the public press, who, althongh not associated with governments, and not themselves at the time in politices, addressed the pablic mind, and greally contributed to give life and interest to shis question, and iadiractly to bring it to the happy position in which is now stainds. Of those gentlomen I will mention twa 1 donot know whether. hon: gentlemen of this House hate seam iopme letters on colonial union, written in 1855, the lase addressed to the late Dute of NEW. Casitle, by Mr. P. S. Hamilion; an able putblie writer of Nova Scosia, and the prosent Gold Commissioner of that province: bus I take this opportanity of bearing my tertimony to his well-balapced judgment, political sagacity and the akilfal handling the subject received from him at a very early period. (Hear, hear.) Thore is anothes titule book vritton in Fagluah; mix or aoven years ago, to dhioh I must refors: It is a pamphlot, which met with an extraordinary degrea of nueces, entited Novis Britammiaj; by my hon. friond the member fop Bopth lasast (Mir. Momars) ind as he has bean ope of tifo primaipal agemter ip bringing into existence be present Goverment.
whioh is now carrying out the idea embodied in his book, I trust he will forgive me if I take the opportunity, although he is present, of reading a single sentence to show how far he was in advance and how true he was to the coming event, which we are now eonsidoring. "At page 57 of his pamphlet-which I hope will be reprinted among the political miscellanies of the provinces when we are one country and one people-I find this paragraph :-
The dealing with the destinies of a future Britannic empire, the shaping ins conise, the laying its foundations broad and deep, and the erecting thereon a noble and endaring saperstructure, are indeed duties that may well evoke the energies of our people, and nerve the arims and give power and eathasiasm to the aspirations of all true patriots. The very magnitude of the interests involved, will," I doubt not, elevate mainy amongst us above the de mands of mere sectional. ism, and enable them to evince sutficient comprethensiveness of "mind to deal in the spirit of real statesmen with iasues so momentous, and to origio nate and develope a national line of commercial and general policy, such as will prove adapted to the waints and exigencies of our position.

There are many other excellent passages in the work, but I will not detain the House with many quotations. The spirit that animates the whole will bo seen from the extract I have read. But whatever the private writer in his eloset may have conceived, whatever even the individual statesman may have designed, so long as the public mind was uninterested in the adopkion; even in the disoussion of a change in odir position so momentous as this, the union of these separate provinces, the individual laboured in vain-parhaps sir, not wholly in vinin, for although his wort may not have borne frait then, it was tindling a fire that would ultimately light up the whole political horizon, and herala she dawn of a better day. for our conntry and our people. : Eventa strionger than advocaoy, events stronger thas men, Riave come in at last like the fire behind the invisible writing to bring out the truth of these writings and to impress them upon the mind of every thoughtrul man who has conaidered the position and probable future of thesa scattered proviaces. (Oheers:) Before I go furthor into the details of my subject, I will take this opportunity of congratulating this House and the public of all the provinces upon the extraordinary aotivity which has been given to chis aubjeot since it has becoma a leading copic of public disoussios in the maritime, and what I may
call relatively to them, the inland provinces. It is' astonishing how active has been the public mind in all those communitias since the subject has been fairly launched. I have watched with great attention the expression of public opinion in the Lower Provinces as well as in our own and I am rejoiced to fiid that even in the smallest of the provinces I -have been able to read writings and speeches : which would do no discredit to older and more cultivated conmunitiesarticles and speeches worthy of any press and of any audience. The provincial mind, it would seem, under the inspiration of a great question, leaped at a slingle bound out of the slough of mere uercenary struggles for office; and took post on the high and honorable ground from which alone this great subject can be taken in in all its dimensions,-had risen at ouce to the true dignity of this discession with "an elasticity that does houpr to the communities that have exhibited it, and gipes assurance that we have the metal, the material, out of which to construct a new and vigurous nationality (Chers.) :We find in the journals and in the speeches of public men in the Lower Provinces à discus-: sion of the frst principles of government, a discussion of the principles of constatutional law, and an intimate knowledge and close application of the leading facts in constitutional history, which gives to me'st least the satisfaction and assurance that, if we never went farther in this matter, we have put an end for the present, and I bope fur long; to bitterer and smaller controversies. We have given the people: some sound mental food, and to cevery man who hay a capacity for discussion we have given a topie upon which he can fitly exercise his powers, no longer grawing at a file and wasting his abilities in the poor effort of adrancing the ends of sotne paltry faction or party. I can coagratulate this House and province and the provinces below,', that such is the case, and I may observe, with some satisfaction, that the various anthors and writers seem to be speaking or writing as if in the visible preseace of all the colonies. (Hear, hear.) They are no longer hole-and-corner celebrities: they seem to think that their words will be scanned and weighed atar off as well as at home.' We hạve, I bèliepe, several hündred celebrities in Canada - my friend Mr. Moncian, I believe, has made out a list of them-(hughter) - but they are no longer now local celebrities; if celebrities at all
they imust be celebrities for British North Ameriad for every, one of the speeches made by them on this subject is watched in all the provinces, and in point of fact by the mere appearance of political union, we have made a mental anion among the people of all these provinces; and many men now speak with a dignity and carefuluess which formerly did not" characterize them; when they were watched only by their own narrow and struggling section, and weighed only according to a stunted local standard. (Hear, ḥear.) Federation, I hape, may supply to all our public men just ground for uniting in nobler and more proftable contests than those which have signalized the past. (Hear,'hear.) We on this side, Mr. Speaker, propose for that :betier fature our plain of union; and, if you, will allow me, I shall go over what appear to me tho principal motives which exist at present for that union. My hon. friend the Kinance Minister mentioned the other evening several strong motive9 for union-free acoess to the sea; an extended market, breaking down of hostile tariffs, a more diversified tield for labor and capital, our enhanced oredit' with England, and our greater effectiveness when united. for assistance in time of danger. (Cheers.) The Hon Presideat of the Council also enumerated several motives for paion in relation to the coumercial advantages whioh will flow trom it, sad other poworful reasons which may be ad vanced in favor of it. Batt the motives to sach a couprehensive ohange as we propose, must be mixed motives--partiy "commercial, partly military, and partly political; and I shall go over a fow-nout struitied or simulated-motives which are entertained by many peoplo of all these prorigoes, and are rather of a social, or, strietly speaking, political, than of a financial tind. In the first place, I echo what was stated in the speech last night of my honorable triod, the Presiciont of the Counci- that we gannot stand still; we eannot stave off somd great change; we eanoot stand alone; province apart from province, if we would; and that we are in a state of political transition." All, even honorable gentlemen who are opposed to this union, admit that we must do something, and that that something mast not be a mere temporary expedient. We are compelled, by warning voices from within and without, to make a change; and a. great change. We all, with one voice, who ave unionista, declare our convietion thas we cai-
not go on as we have gone; but you, who are all anti-unionists, say-"Oh! that is bagging the question; you have not yet proved that.". W:ell, Mri Speaker, what proofs do the gentlemen want? I presume there are three influences which determine any great change in the course of any individual or state. First-his patron, owner, employer, protector, ally, or friend; or, in politics, "Imperial connection." Secondlyhis partaer, comrade, or fellow-laborer, or near neighbor. And, thirdly,-the man himself, or the state itself.' Now in our case, all three causes have concurred to warn and force us into a new course of condact. What are these warnings? We have had at least three. The first is from Ecgland, and is a friendly warning. : England warned us by several matters of fact; according to her custom, rather than verbiage, that the colonies had entered'upon a ne or of of existence, a new phase in their career. She has given us this warning in several different shapeswhen she gave us "Responsible Govern-ment"- When she adopted Free Trade-when she repealed the Navigation iaws-and when, three or four years ago, she commenced that series of official despatches in relation to militia and defence which she has ever since poured in on us, in a steady stream, always bearing the same solemn burthen-" prepare! prepare! prepare!". These warnings gave us notice that the old order of things between the colonies and the Mother Conntry had ceased, and that a new order must take its. place. (Hear, hear.) About four years ago, the first despatches began to bo addressed to this couatry, from the Colonial Office, upon the subject. From that day to this there has been a steady stream of despatohes in this diroction, cither apon particular or general points conaected with our defence; and I renture to says that if bound up together, the despatohes of the lamented Duke of Newcastie alone mould make a respectable voliume-all notifying this Government, by the advioes they conveged, that the relations-the military apart from the politioal and commercial relations of this prorince to the Mother Conntry had changed; and we were told in the moat explicit language that could be employed, that we were no longer to consider ourselves, in relation to dofeace, in the same position we formerly occupied towards the Mother Country. Well, these varaiags have been friendly warnings; and if we have failed to do our part in rogard to them, wo must, at
all events, say this, that they were addressed to our Government so continuously and so strenuously that they freed the Imperial power of the responsibility for whatever might follow, because they showed to the colonies clearly what, in the event of certain contingencies arising, they had to expect. We may gramble or not at the neeessity of preparation England imposes upon us, but, Whether we like it or not, we have, at all events, been told that we have entered upon a new era in our militafy relations to the rest of the Empire. (Hear, hear.) Then, sir, in the second place, there came what I may call the other warning from withoutthe American warning (Hear, hear.) Republican America gave us her notices in times past, through her press, and her demagogues' and her stategmen,-bat of late days she has given us mach more intelligible notices-such as the notice to abrogate the Reciprocity Trenty, and to arm the lakes, contrary to the provisions of the addenda to the treaty of 1818. She has given os another notice in imposing a rexations passport system; another in her avowed parpose to constract a ship canal rouind the Falls of Niagara, so as "to pass war vessels from Lake Ontario to Lake Erie;" and yet another, the most striking one of all, has been given to us, if we will only uaderstand it, by the enor? mous expansion of the American army and navy. I will take leave to read to the House a few figures which show the amasing; the naprecedented growth, which" has not, perhaps, a parallel in the annals of the past, of the military power of our neighbors with: in the past three or four years. I have the details here by me, but shall only read the results; to show the House the emphatic meaning of this most serious warning. In January 1861, the regular army of the United States, including of course the whole of the States, did not exceed 15,000 mien. This number was reduced, from desertion and other causes, by 5,000 men, leaving 10,000 men as the army of the States. In Decomber, 1862-that is, from January. 1861, to January; 1868, this army of 10,000 was increased to 800,000 soldiers actually in the field. (Hear, liear.) No doubt there are exaggerations in some of these figuresthe rosters mere, doubtless, in some eases filled with fictitions namen, in order to procure the bounties that were offered; but if we allow two-thirds as correct, we find that a peoplo who had an army of 10,000 men in 1861, had in two years increased it to an
army of 600,000 men. . As to their amma nition and stock of war material at the opening of the war-that is to say, at the date of the attack upon Fort Sumpter-we find that they had of siege and heary guns 1,952; of field artillery, 231; of infantry firearms; 473,000; of cavalry firearms, 31,000 ; and of ball and shell, 363,000 . At the end of 1863-t he latest period to which I have statistics upon the subject-the 1,052 heavy guns had becone 2,116 ; the 231 field pieces had become 2,965 ; the 473,000 infantry arms hiad become $2,423,000$. the 31,000 cavalry arms had become $369,-$ 000 , and the 363,000 ball and shell had become 2,925,000. Now as to the navy of the United States, I wish to show that this -wonderful development of war power in the United States is the second warning we have had, that we cannot go on as we have gene. (Hear, Kear.) In Juuuary, 1861, the ships of war belonging to the United States were 83; in "December, 1864; they numbered 671, of which 54 were monitors and iron: elads, carrying 4,610 guns, with a tonoage of 510,000 tons, "and manned by a force of $51,000 \mathrm{men}$ :- Thesc are frightful figures for the capacity of destruction they represent, for the heaps of carnage that the'y represent, for the quautity of hutman blood spilt that they represent, for the lust of conquest that they represent, for the evil passions that they represent, and for the arrest of the unpard progress of civilization that they represent. Hut it is not the figures which give the worst view of the fact-lor Eugland still carries more guns afloat even than our war-making neighbors. (Cheers.) It is the change which has taken place in the spirit of the people of the Northern States themselves, whieh is the worst view of the facs.: How far hive they travelled sidee the hamane Ceannina preached the unlawfuluess of tar -isince the living Susinea deliverèd his addresses to the Peace Society on the same theme!: I remember an accomplished poet, one of the most accomplished the New England States have ever produced, took very strong grounds against the prosecution of the Mezican war, and published the Bigelow Papers, so well known in American literature, to show the ferocity and criminality of - war. He thas made Mr. Biad-of Freedom Sawin sing :-

[^2](Laughter.) This was slightly audacious and irreverent in expression, bat it was remarkably popular in New England at that time The writer "is now one of the editors of a popular Boston periodical, and would be one of the last; I have no doubt, to indace a Northern soldier to withdraw his sword from the body of any unhappy Southerner whom he had, contrary to the poet's former political ettrics," stack thru:" (Laughter.) But it is not the revolution wrought in the minds of men of great intelligense that is most to be deplored-for the powerful will of such men may compel their thoughts back again to a philosophy of peace; no, it is the mercenary and military, interests created under Mr. Linconis-which are represented, the former by an estimated governmental outlay of above $\$ 100,000,000$. this year, and the other by the 800,000 men whose blood is thus to be bought and paid for; by the armies out of uniform who prey upon the army; by the army of contractors who are to feed and clothe and arm the million; by that other army, the army of tax-colletors, who cover the land, seeing that no industry escapes unburthened, no possession uneptered, no affection evea, untaxed. Tax: tax: tax! is the cry from the rear : Blood: blood! blood! is the cry from the front: Gold ! gold ! gold! is the chuckling undertons which comes up from the mushroom millioninaires, well named a shoddy aristocracy. Nor do I think the aruy intercst, the contractinginterest, aind the tasgatheriug iuterest; , the worst resulty that have grown out of this, war. There is another and equally serious interest-tho change that has come over the spirit, mind and principles of the people, that terrible change which has made war familiar and eren attractive to them. . When the first battle was fought-when, in the language of the Duke of Wellinoton, the firse "- buteh-er's bill was sent in" -a shadder of horror ran through the leagth and breadith of the conntry; but by and by as the caruage increased, no bewspaper was considered worth laying on the breakfast table unless- it contained the story of the butchery of thousands of men. "Only a couplo of thousand killed: Pooh, pooh, that's nothing !" exclaimed Mr. SHoddy as he sipped his coffee in his lusurious apartment; and nothing short of the news of ten, filteen, twenty thousand hamay beings seruct dead in one day would aatisty the jaded palate of men eraving for excitement, and such horrible excitemeat as attend.
ed the wholesale murder of their fellow creatures. Have these sights and sounds no warning addressed to us? Are we as those who have eyes and see not ; ears, and hear not ; reason, neither do they understand? If we are true to Cansda-if we do not desire to become part and parcel of this peoplewe cennot overlook this the greatest revolution of our ofnd times." Let us remember this, that when the three cries among out next neighbors are money, taxation, blood, it is time for as to provide for our own security. I said in this House, during the session of the year 1861, that the first gan fred at Fort Sumpter had "a message for us;" I was unheeded then ; I repeat now that every ouc of the 2,700 great guns in the field, and every one of the 4,600 grus afloat, whenever it opens its mouth, repeats the solemn warning of Englend-prepare-pre-pare-prepare! (Cheers.) But I may be told by wome noralizing friend, Oh: bat When they get ont of this, they will have had enough of it; and they will be very glad to rest on their laurels. Thoy!" Who? The shodily aristocracy have enough of it? The disbanded ariuy of tax-gathers have enough it? The manufactarers of false intelligence have enough of it? Who is it possible will have had enough of it? The fighting men themselves? I dare say they would all like to have a furleugh, but all experiences teach us, it is not of war soldiers tire but ot peace; it is not of the sea sailors tire, but of the land: Jack lizes to land, and have a frolic and spend his money; so does Jack's brother the fighting landswan-but the one is som as mueh out of his clement as the other, when parted from his comrades; when deaied the gypsy joys of the casap, when be no longer feels his sword, he lvoks up to it where it hangs, and sighs to take it dowa and be "at work" again. Ho will evenquit his native country, if she continuès perverso: ly peaceful, and go into füreign service, rather than remain what he callis "idle." (Hear.) This is experience, which I beg respectfally to cite in opposition to the seductive, divarming fallacy of my moralizing fricud. (Hear, hear). The Attorney General East told us in his speech the other. night, that one of the features of the origioal. programmo of the American Revolutionists was the acquisition of Canada to the United States. They protend to naderrate tho importance of this country, now that they are fally occupiod elsericre; but I remomber woll that the lete Mr. Werscer-who was
not a demagogue-at the opening of the Worcester aud Albany Railway, some years sunce, expressed the hope that the railways of the New England States would all point towards Canada, because their iofluence and the demands of commerce would in time bring Canada into the union and increase the New Eingland element in that usion. (Hear, hear.) I think, sir, I"am justified in regarding the Anerican conflict as one of the warnings, we have received ; and the third warning, that things cannot go on in this country as they are, is a warning voice from within-a warning voice from our own experience in tha governmeut of these provinces. (Hear, hear.) On these internal constitutional difficulties existing timong ourselves.' which were so fully exposed last eveaing by my hon. friend the President of the Council, I need say little; they are admitted to have been real, not imaginary, on all, handss "An illustration was used in another place in explainitg this part of the subject by the venerable and gallant knight; our Premier, than which nothing evuld be more clear. He observed that when we had had five administrations within two years, it was full time to fook out for soure permancat reinedy for suoh a state of things. - Truemost trae - ConstitutioualGoveramentamong ns had touched its lowest point when it existed only by the successful scarch of a messenger or a page, after a member, willingly or unvilhingly absent from his seat. Aity one might in those days have been the saviour of his country. (Laughter:):- All he had to do was, when one of the five successive governments which arose in : two years, was in danger, to rise in his place, say "yea?" and presto the country was saved. (Laughtor.) This House was fast losing, under such a state of things its hold on the country; the administrative departments were becoming disorganized under such frequent changes of phiefs and policies ; we were nearly as bad as the army of the Potomac, before its "permanent remedy" was found in Gequral Grant. Well! we have had our three warnings. One warning from within and two trom without: 1 dare say, sir, we all remember the old class-book story of Mrs. Turale's "Three Warnings;" how Death promised not to come alter a certain individual he had unintentionally intruded on, on his wedding day. I say, upintentionallyfor Death is a gentleman, and seldom walks in, unannounced -(laughter) - but he promised not $=$ to call upon this particular per-
son, without giving him three distinct warnings. Well, the honorable gentleman in question-I dare say he, was honorable, and a member of some House, -he, like all the rest of us expected to outlive everybody. But in process of years ha-fell lame, then afterwards, be became deaf, and at last he grew blind: then Death's hour had come and in spite of some admirable pleading on behalf of the defendant in the case, he had his "three wárnings" libe a Parisian editor, his case was closed, his form was locked up, and his impression was struck off the face of the earth, and Death claimed and had his own- (Laughtrr.) Now, sir, we have had three warnings, and if we do not take heed of them and prepare for the possible future condition into which we may be planged, woe to us if we are found ungrepared when the hour of desting strikes! (Cheers:) We bave submitted a plan preparing us for such a contingency, and the Altorneys General East and West have analysed its constitutional character, while the Minister of Finance and the President of the Council : have treated it in its financial aspects: There are ome objections taken to the plan, I understand butt I do not beliove that any wémber will get up in this House, and declare that be is manti-unionist, that he is opposed to all union, and that he considers unionannecessary and inexpedient. (Hear, hear.) I do not know that there is one man out of the one handred and thirty who compose this House, in view of the circunistances in which we are placed, who will declare that he is opposed to any sort of union with the Lower Provinees. One miay say that he does not like this or the other clause-that he does not like this or that feature of the proposed scheme; but still all admit that union of some kind would increase our protection and be a source of strength. Some honorable gentlemen; while admitting that we have entered, within the present decade, on a period of political transition, hapt coniended that we might have bridged the abyes with that Prussian pontoon, called a Zollvercin. But if any one for a moment will reinember that the trade of the whole front of New Branswick and Nova Scotia gravitates at present along-shore to Portliand and Boston, whilo the trade of Upper Canada, west of Kingston, has loog gravitated across. the lakes to New York, he will see, I think, that a mere Zollverein treaty without a strong political end to serre, and some poli. tical power at its back; would be; in our nem
oircumstances, meroly waste paper. (Hear, hear.) . The charge that we have not gone far enough-that we have not struck out boldly for a consolidated union, instead of a union with reserved local jurisdictions, is another oharge which deserves some notice. To this I answer that, if we had had; as was proposed; an: Intercolonial Railway twenty years ago, we might by this time have been, perhaps, and only perhaps, in a condition to unite into one consolidated Government; but certain politicians and capitalists having defeated that project twenty years ago; special interests took the place great general interests might by this time have occupied ; vested rights and local ambitions arose and were recognized; and all these had to be admitted as existing in a pretty advanced stage of development, when our Conferences. were, called together. (Hear, hear.) The lesson to be learned from this squandering of quarter centuries by British Americans is this, that if we lose the present propitious opportunity, we may find it as hard a fev years hencée to get an audience, even foriany kind of union (except American union) as we should have found it to get a hearing last year for a Legislative union, from the long period of estrangement and non-intercourse which had existed between these provinces, and the special interests which had grown up in the meantime in each of them...(Cheers.), Another motive to union, or rather a pliase of the last motive spoken of, is this, that the polioy of our neighbors to the sooth of us has always been aggressive. There has always been a desire amongst them for the acquisition of new territory, and the inexorable law of democratic existence seems to be its' absorption. They coveted Florida, and seizod it; they covoted Louisiana; and purchased it ; they coveted Texas, and stole it; and then they pioked a quarrel with Mexico, which ended by their getting California. (Hear, hear.) They sometimes pretend to despise these colonies as prizes beneath their amibition; but had we not had the stroug arm of England over us, we should not now have had a separate existence. (Cheers.) The acquisition of Canada was the first ambition of the Amorican Confederaey, and nover ceased to be so, when her troops were a handful and her navy scarce a squadron. Is it likely to be stopped now, when sho counts her guns aficat by thousands and her troups by handreds of thousands?. On this motive, a vory powerfinl oxpression of opinion has

Jately appeared in a published letter of the Archbishop of Halifax, Dr. Connonly. Who is the Archbishop of Halifar? In either of the coast colonies, where ho has labored in his high vooation for nearly a third of a century, it would be absurd to ask, the question ; but in Canada he may not be equally well known. Some of my honorablo friends in this and the other Hoose, whe wore his gaests last year, mast have felt the impross of his character as well as the warmith of his hospitality" (Hear, hear.) Well, he is knowit as one of the first men in sagacity as he is in position, in any of these colonies; that he was for many years the intimate associate of his late distinguished confrere, Archbishop Huanes, of Nem York; that he knows the United States' as thoroughly as the does the provinces, and these are his riegs on this particular point; the extriot is somewhat long, bat so excellently put that I am sure the House will be obliged to me for the whole of it:-

Inatead of cursing, like the boy in the upturned boat, and holding on until we are fairly on the brink of the cataract, we must at once begin to pray and strike out for the shore by alt means, betore we get too far down on the current. We must at this most critical moment invoke the ${ }^{-}$ Arbiter of nations for wisdom, and abandoning in time our perilous position, we must strike out boldty' and at some riak, for some rock on the nearest shore-some resting place of greater security.: A cavalry raid or a visit from our Fenian friends on horseback, through the plains of Canada and the fertilo valloys of New Brunswick and Nura Scotia, may coss more in a single week thap Confederation for the neast fifty years; and if we are to believe yon, where is the secarity even ai the preseni moment against such a disaster? Withoas the whole power of the Mother Coumtry by lapd and sea, and the concentration in a gingle hand of all the strength of British Anerica, our condition is sees at a glance. Whenever the preiecit dificulties will terminatè-and who cian tell the moment? -re will be at the mercy of our neighbors; and vietorious or otherwise, they will be eminently a militury people, and with all :heir appareat indiference about n'anexing this country, and all the friendly feelings that may be talked, they will have the power to strike when They please, and this is precisely the: kernel and the only touch point of the whole question. No mation ever had the power of conquest that did nut use it, or abuse it, at the very first fascorable upportunity:- All that is said of the magnanimity and forbearance of mighty nations can be explained on the principlo of sheer inoxpediency, as the world knows. The whole face of Europe has tween chanated, and the dyuasties of many bundrod yeara have been awept away within oar own time, in the principle of might alono-the oldest, the
strongest, and as some would have it, the most sacred of all titles. The thirteen original states of America, with all their professions of selfdenial, have been all the time, by money, power and by war, and by negotiation, extending their frontier antil they more thian quadrupled their territory within sixty jears ; and belieye it who.may, are they now of their own accord to come to a full stop?. No; as long as they have the power; they most go onward: for it is the very nature of power to grip whatever is within its reach. It is not their hostile feelings, therefore, but it is their power, and only their power, I dread; and I now state is as my solemn conviction, that it becomes the duty of every British subject in these provinces to control that power, not by the insaae policy of attacking or weakening them, but by strengthening ourselves-risingy with the whole power of Britain at our back, to their level ; and so be prepared for any emergency. There is no sensible or unprijadiced man in the community who does not see that vigorous and timely preparation is the only possible means of saving us from the horrors of a war such as the.world has never seen. To be fully prepared is the onily practical argument that can have weight with a powerful enemy, and make him pause beforehand and count the cost. And as the sort of prepara: tion I speak of is utterly hopeless without the union of the provinces, go at a moment when public opinion is being formed on this vital point, as one deeply concerned, I feel it a duty to declare myself nnequivocally in favor of Confederation as cheaply and as honorably as possible-but Confederation at all hazards and at all reasonable sacritices:

After the most mature consideration, and all the arguments I haye heard on both sides for the last month, these are my inmost convictions on the necessity and merits of measure which alone. under' Providence, can sefare to us social order and peace, and rational liberty and all the blessings we now enjoy and 3 r the mildest Government and the hallowed institutions of the freest and happiest country in the world.

These are the words of a statesman-of a mitred statesman-one of that order of mighty men, powerful in their generation, whose statesmanly gifts have been cast in the strong mould of theological discipline-such 'men' as werg Ximenes and Wotsey. No one more deprecates than I do the interference of clergymen in mere party politics, and I think such is the sentiment also of His Graie of Halifax ; but when it is an issue of peace or war, of deliverance or conquest; Who has a better, who so good a right to speak as the ministers of the gospel of peace, and justico, and true freedom? Observe once more these twóo elosing sentences, "I feel it a duty" saya the illustrious Arçbishop $2_{0}$ "to declare myself unequira.
cally in favor of Confederation as cheaply and as honorably obtained as possible, but Confederation at all hazards and at all reasonable sacrifices. After the most mature consideration, and all the arguments I have hcard on both sides for the last month, these are my inmost convictions on the necessity and merits of a measure which alone; under Providence, can secare to us social order and peace, and rational liberty, and all the blessings we now eajoy under the wildest Government and the hallowed institutions of the freest and happiest country in the " world." (Hear, hear.) The next motive for union to which I shall refer is, that it will strengthen rather than weakeu the connection with the empire, so essential to these rising provinces. Those who may be called, if there are any such, the anti-unionists, allege, that this scheme here submitted will bring separation in its train.' How, pray? By making these countrics more important,' will you make them less desirable as connections to Eagland? By making their trade more valuable; will you make her more ansious to get rid of it? By reducing their Federal tariff will. you lessen their interest for Eagland? By making them stronger for each other's aid, will you make her less willing to discharge a lesser than a greater responsibility? But if the thing did not answer itself, England has answered that she "cordially approves" of our plan of union, Aand she has always been accounted a pretty good judge of her own Imperial interests. (Hear, hear.) She does not consider our union inimical to those interests. Instead of looking upon it with a dark and diseourag: ing frown, she cheers us on by her most cordial approval and bids us a hearty "God speed" in the new path we havo chosen to enter. (Hear, hear.) Hut I put it on provincial grounds as well. We are not uble to go alone, and if we attempted it we would almost certainly go to our own destruction-so that as we cannot go alone, and as we do not desire noion with the tinited States, it is the duty of every man to do all in his power to strengthen the connee tion with Great Britain. And how shall we do it? Is it by compelling the Imperial Government to aegotiate at Charlottetown for every mon and musket required for our defence, to negotiate again at Halifax, and again at Frederickton, and ayain at St. John, and again at Quebec? Is it by having these five separate governments that. We
are to render the connection desirable and appreciated, or is it by patting the power of these colonies into the hands of ope General Government and making the negotistions between two parties only, thereby simplifying the whole transaction and expediting whatever is to be done between the two conntries. (Hear, hear.) I will content myself, Mr: Speaker, with those principal motives to nuion ; first, that Weare in the rapids; and mast go on; next that our neighbors will not, on their side. let us rest supinely, even if we could do so from other causes; and thirdly, that by making the united colonies more valuable as an ally to Great Britain, we shall strengthen rather than weaken the Imperial connexion. (Cheers.) Let vie now, sir, call your atténtion to the difficulties, past and present, which this great project had to encounter, befure it reached the fortunate stage in' which we now find it. When it was first advocated by iodividuals, however eminent, of course it had but scanty: chabee of success.- (Hear, hear) That was the first stage ; when, as in $18=2$ and 1839 . it found favor with Downing street, it excited the suspicions of the colonists' ; when it was identified with the Quebeo and Ifalifax railway projeot, it shared the fate,-it was sacrificed to the jealousies and disseasions Which destroyed that particular underiakingIV hen, as in the case of my hon. friend (Mr. Gatr's mation in 1858; and my own motion in 1860, the subject was mooted in this House by a private member, the Miaistry of the day could not allow so grave a measure to succeed in other hands than their own; When, as was the case in 1858, the Ministry committed thenselves to it, the Opposition complained that Parlianient had not been consulted. When Canada proposed to move, in 1859, Nowfonndland alone responded; When Nova Scotia mored, in 1860, New Brunswick alone agreed to go. with her i at all events, Canada did not then consent. (Hear, hear.) Of late years the language of the Colonial Offico, of Itr. Lanotucuizas, of Sir Bulivea Iixtron, and of the lamented Duke of Newcistike, was substantially: "Agrec among yourselves, geutlemen, and we will not stand in the: way." Ah: there Was the rub-"Agree amiong yourselves"" Easier said than done, with five colonics so long estranged, and whose formier negotia. tions had generally eaded in bitter contro-versies.- Up to tho last year there nas no conjunction of circamstances favorable to the bringing about of this uniod, and
probably if we suffer this opportunity to be wasted we shall never see again such a conjunction of circumstances as will enable us to agree, even so far, among ourselves." By a most fortanate concurrence of circumstances -by what I presume to call, speaking of events of this magnitude, a providential concurrence of circumstances-the Governmept of Canada was so modified last spring as to epable it to deal fearlessly with this subject, at the very moment when the coast coloniès, despairing of a Canadiat union, were arranging a conference of their own for a union of their own. Our Government embraced among its menbers from the vestern section the leaders of the former Ministry and former Opposition from that section. At the time it was formed it announced to this Mouse that it was its intention as part of its poliey to seek a conference with the lower' colonies, and endearor to bring about a general union. This House formally gave the Government its confidence after the announcement of this policy, and although I have no desire to strain terms, it dees appear to me that this House did commit itself to the principle of a nion of the colonies if fond practicable. "That is my view, sir; of the relations of this Honse to the Goverament after it gave it expressly its confidence. Other members of the House take another view of that matter, they do not think thenselves committed even to the principle and they certainly are not to the details of the soheme. (Hear.) After the Coalition was formed an incident occurred; "Which," though not of national importance, it would be most ungrateful of the to forget. An intercolonial exearsion was proposed and ras rendered practicable througt the public spirit of two geatleimen representing our areat railway; of which so many hard things have been said/that I feel it my duty to eay this good thiog-I refer to the Honopable Mr: Flarigazud Mr. Bardaes. (Cheers.) Forty members of his House, twenty-five members of the othif House, and forty gettlemen of the press and other professions, from Canada, joined in that excarsion. So many Canedians. had never seen so much of the Lower Provinges before, and the people of the Lowe Provinces had never seen so many Canadians. Our reception: was beyond all desoription kind and cordial. The genoral xedtiment of union was everywhere cheored to the echo, though I am sorry to find that some of those who cheered then, when it was but a general gentiment, scem to act very
differently now, that it has become a ripened project, and I fear that they do not intend to act up to the words they then uttered. They may, perhaps, fotend to do so, bat they have a very odd way of going about it. (Laughter.) Well sir, this was in Augnst; the Charlottetown Conference was called in September, the Quebec Conference in October, and the tour of the maritime delegates through Canada took place in November. Four months of the eight which have elapsed since we promised this House to deal withrit have been almost wholly given up to this great enterprise. Let me bear my tribute, Mr. Speaker, now that I refer to the Conference, to the gentlemen from the Lower Provinces, who sat so many days in council with us under this roof. (Cheers:) Avery worthy citizen of Montreal; when I went up a day or two in advance of the Montreal banquet, asked me, with a curious sort of emphasis-"What-sort of people are they?" -meaning "the maritime delegates. I answered him then, as I repeat now, that they were, as a body, as able and accomplished a body as I thought any esw country in the world could produce, and that some among them would compare not unfavorably in ability and information with some of the leading commoners of. England: As our Government included a representation both of the former Opposition, and the fornier Ministry, so their delegations were composed in about equal parts of the Opposition and Ninisterial parties of their several provinces. A more hard-working set of mev ; men more tenacions of their own rights, yet more considerate tor those of others; men of readier resources in debate; men of geatler mannoers ; inen more willing to bear and forbear, I never can hope to see together at one council taide again: (Oheers) But why need I dwell on this point? They were seen and heard in all our principal cities, and I am sure every Canadian who met them here was proud of them as fellow-subjects, and would bo happy to feel that he could soon call them fellow-countrymen in fact as well as in name. (Cheers.) Sir, by this combination of great abilities-by chis coalition of leaders who never before acted together-by this extraordinary armistice of party wartare, obtained in every colony at the same moment -after all this labor:ayd all this gelf sacritice -after all forner impediments had been most fortunately overcome-the treaty was concluded ond signed by us all-and there it lies on your table. The propositions contained in
it have been objected to, and we were reminded the other evening by the honorable member for Chateauguay; that we are not a treatymaking power. Well, in reference to that objection, I believe the Imperial Government has in certain cases, suich as the Reciprocity Treaty, conceded to these provinces. the right of coaction; and in this case there is. the Imperial Despatch of 1862 to Lord MULarave, Governor of Nova Scotia, distinctly authorizing the public men of the colonies to confer with each other on the subject of union, and writing them to sabmit the result of their conferences to the Imperial Government. (Hear, hear.) We assembled under authority of that despatch, 'tnd acted under the sanction it gave: Everything we did was done in form and with propricty; and the result of our proceedings is the document that has been submitted to the Imperial Goyernment as well as; to this House, and which we speak of here as a treaty. And that there may be no doubtabout our position in reggard to that document we say, question it you may, reject it you may, or accept it you may, butalter it you may not. (IIear, hear.) It is beyond your power, or our power, to alter it. There is not asentenceay, or even a word- you can alter without desiring to throw out the document. Alter it and we know at onee what you nean-you therely deedare yurselves anti-unionists. (Hear, hèar.) 'On this point, I repecat after all my hon friends who have already spoken, fur phe jarty to alter a treaty, is, of course, to destroy it. Let us be trauk with each other; you who don nut like our." work, nor do:you like us who stand by it, clause by clause, tipe by line, and letter by letter. Oh : . but this clause ought to run thus, and this other clause thuis. Does any hon member seriously think that any treaty in the world between five separate proviaces ever save full and entire satiafaction on every point to every party? Does any hōn. mecu-s ber seriously expect to have a constitutional act framed to his order, or wy order, or any mata's order? No, sir, I am sure no legisla: tor at least sipee Anvichaisis Clootz was "Atturacy General of the Iluman hace" ever expected such ideal perfection. (Laughter.) It may be said by some hon. gentleman that they admit the principle of this measure to be good, but that it should be dealt with as an ordinary patliamentary subject in the asual parliamentary manner. Mr. Speakea; this is not an ordinary parliamentary measure. We do not legislate apon it-we do
not enact it, -that is for a higher anthority. Suppose the Address adopted by:this House to-morrow, is the act of this House" final and conclusive? No.. It is for the 1 m perial Parliament to act upon it. (Hear, h:ar.) "It will be that body that will cause the several propositions to be maulded into a measure which will have the form of $\ln w$, and these resolutions will probably be the ipsisisima verba of the measure they will give us and the other pröviaces.' But some hon. gentlemen opposite say, that if there' be defeets in this measure they ought: to be remedied now, and that the Governmentought to be glad to have them pointed out. Yes. surely, if this were simply the act of the Parliament of Canada : bat it is not to beoor act alone: It is an Adress to the Throne, in the terms of which other colonies are to agree, and even if we were to make alterations in it, we cannot bind them to accept them. If we were weak and wicked enough to alter a solemn agreement with the other provinces, the moment their representatives had turned their backs;and gone homie, what purpose would it serve except that of defeating the whole measure and throwing it as well ay the country back again into chaos. (Hear, hear.) I admit, sir; as tre have been cold, that we ought to aim at perfection, but, who has ever attained it, except perhaps the hon: member fur Bronie. (Laughter:) Whe, however, did strive and ainu at the niark, and we think we made a tolerably good shot. The hon. member for Chateauguay will not be satisfied-insatiate archer:-unless wo hit the bull's ege. : (Laughter.) My hon: frisad is well read in political literaturo-will he mention me one authority, from the first to the lase, who ever held that human government ever was or could be anything more than what a modern sage called "an approsimatign to the right,"' and an ancient called "the possible best." Well, we believe we bave here given to our conntrymer of all the provinces the posisible best-that we have given it to theni in tho most itimperative mo-ment-their representatives and ours have labored at it, letter and spirit, form and substance; until they foand this basis of agreement, which we are all alike confident will not now, nor for many a day to come, be casily swept away. Bcfore I pass to another puint, sir, permit me to pay my sribute of unfeigaed respect to one of our Canadian colleagues in this mork, who is no longer with ous I mean tho present Vice.Chancellor of Upper Canads (Hon. Mr. Mowat), who
took a constant and honorable share in the preparation of this project: (Cheers.) Now, sir, I wish to say a few words in reference to what I call the social relations which I think ought to exist and will spring up between the people of the Lower Provinces and ourselves if there is a closer communication established between us, and also in reference to the social fitress of each of the parties to this praposed union. And first, I will make a remark to some of the French Canadian gettlemen who are said to be opposed to our project, on French Canadian grounds only. I will remind them, I hope not improperly, that every one of the colonies we now propose to re-unite under one rule-in which they shall have a potential voice-were once before united; as New France. (Uheers.) Newfundland, the atitermost, was theirs, and one large section of its coast is still known as "the French shore;" Cape Breton was theirs till the final fall ot Louisburgh'; Prinee Edward Island was their Island of St. Jean, and. Charlottetown was their Port Joli; in the heart of Nova Scotia was that fair Acadian land, where thio 'roll of Longreflow's noble hexameters may any day be heard in every wave that breaks. upon the base of Cape Blomedon (Cheery.) to the morthern conaties of New Branswick, from the Miramichit to the Matapediac, they had their forts and farms, their churehes and their festivals, before the English speech had ever ouce been heard between those rives. Nor is that tenacious Norman and Breton race extinot in thair old haunts a ad hoives: I have heard one of the meubers for Gape Brecon speak in high terms of that portion of his constituency, and I' believe I am correet in saying that Ar. Lis Visconte, the late Finance Minister of Nova Scotia, was, in the literal sense of the term; an:Acadina. Mr. Cozzans, of New York; who wr te a very seadable little book the other day about Nupa Scotia, deseribes the Prench residents near the basin of Minas, and he says especial. Iy of the women, " they might have stepped ont of Normandy a hundred years ago!" In New. Brunswick there is "wore" than one county, especially in the north, where business, and law, and politics, require a Larowledge of both Freach and English. A Forthy friend of oars, Hon. Mr. Miponell, of Chatham; who was present at the ciarlier meetings of the Conference, owed his first election for one of these counties, because he was Pierra Alitetel, and could speak to his French constituents in their own language.

I will, with leave of the House, read on this interesting subjeet a passage from a very capital sketch of the Freach district of New Branswick in 1863, by Lientenant Governor Gordon [it is in Gais:on's Vacation Tourist for 1864], and is exceedingly interesting thraughout:-
The French population, which forms so large a proportion among the inhabitants of the coun ties of Westmoreland, Kent and Glotcester, appearis to me as contented as the habitants of Victoria, but hardly equally as well off." There was an air of comfort and bienetre about the large timber two-storied houses, painted s dark Indian red, staiding among the trees, the numerons good horses, the vell-tilled fields and sleek cattle, which is wanting on the sea coast. We stopped after a pleasanatdrive, affording us.good views of the beautital peak o:Green River Mountain, at the house of a Monsieur Violer, at the mouth of Graid River, which was "to be our starting point. "The whole aspect of the farm was that of the mitecarie in Normandy-the outer doors of the house gaveily paiated-thé panels of a different color from the frame-the large, open, uncarpeted room, with its bare, shining floor the lasses ai the spinning. Wheel-the French costame and appear ance of Madame $V_{\text {loLET }}$ and her sons and dangh:ters, all carried me back to the other side of the Adlankic. After a short conversalion with the VioLidys, we walked dowih to the bridge, where two log canoes, manued by Frenchmen-three CYRs and as Trastocar-were waiting for us, and pushed off from the shore. A tiorn in the river very speedily hid from us the bridge and farm, our empty carriage, and the friends who had accoinpanied us from Graut Fulls atanding on the bank, in the evening sunshine, waving us therr farowells, and it was nut without pleasure that wa folt that the asue turn whith screened them from our view "separated us forgume timip to come from civilized life.
It will be observed Guvernor Goadon speaky of four counties in the norith of New Brunswick, which still bear a mixked" French character. Well,' genthemen of French origin, we propose to restore thess long-lost compatriots to your protection : in the Federal Union, which will recogaize equally both languages, they will naturally look to you; their petitions will come to you, aul their representatives will naturally be found ullied with you. Suppose those four Nuw Brynswick counties are influenced by the French yute, and tw. in Nopa Scotia, and one in Noif foundland, you will, should you need them, have them as sure allies to your own compact body, to. aid your legitimate infuence in the Fediral councils. (Cheers) I shall proceed with my outline analysis of the maritime population, in order to sstablish the congruity and
congeniality of our proposed naion. In point of time, the next oldest element in that population is the Irish settlement of Ferryland, in Newfoündland, undertaken by Lord Baltimoere and Lord Falklind (Lord Lieutenant of Ireland at the time), immediately after the restoration of King Cbaries I., soon after 1660. $\therefore$ Newfound!and still, remains strongly Irish, as is natural, since it is the next parish to Ireland - (langhter)and I think we saw'a very excellent specimen' of its lrish natives at our Conference, in Ambrose Shea. (Cries of hear, hear.) To me, I confess, it is particularly grateful to reflect that the only Irish colony, as it may be called, of our group, is to be incladed in the new arrangements. (Hear.) Another main element in the Lower Hrovince population is the Highland Scoteb. Large tracts of Prince 'Edward Island and Cape Breton were granted after the Peace of Paris, to officers and mer of Frazer's: Highlanders and other Scotish regiments, which had distinguished themselves daring the seven years', war. If $m y$ hon frieud from Glengarry (Mr. D. A. Macdovald) had be ?n with us last September at Charlotietown, he would have niet clansmen, whom he would hare been proud to know, and who could have conversed with hitu in his own cherish'ed Gaelic.

Mr. D. A. MACDONALD-They are all over the world. (Laughter:)

Hon.:Mr. McGEE-So much the better for the world. (Cheers.) And I will tell him what I think is to their honor, that the Highlanders in all the provinces preserve faithfully the religion, as well as the language and traditions, of their fathers. The Catholic Bishop of Charlottetown is a McIntyie; his Right Rev: bruther of Arichat (Cape Bretod) is a McKinnon; and in the list of the clergy, I find a coastant succession of such names as McDonald, McGilles, McGillivaiy, Molegod, McKeszie and Cameron-all $\cdot$ Anglo-Saxuns ' of course, and mixed up with them Eolennizhis, Galvreaus, Paquers aod Martelis, whose origin is easy to discover. (Cheers') Another of the orignal elemenis of that population remains to bo noticed-the C, E. Loyalists, who founded New Bruaswick, just as surely ay they fuanded Upper Canada, for whom New Brunswick was uade a separate province in 1794, as Upper Canada was for their relatives in 1791. - Their descendantes still flourish in the land, holding many
positions of honor, and as a representative of the class, I shall only mention Judge Wilsiot, who the other day declared in charging one of his grand juries, that if it were necessary to carry Confederation in New Brunswiek, so impressed was he with the necessity of the measure to the very existence of British laws and British institutiocs, he was prepared to quit the bench for politics. (Cheers.) There are other elements also not to be overlooked. The thritty Germans of Lunenberg, whose homes are the ueatest upon the land, as their fleet is the tightest on the sea, and other smaller subdivisions ; but I shall not prolong this analysis. I may observe, however, that this population is almost universally a native population of three or four or more generations. ' ln New Brunswick, at the most there is about twelve pei cent. of an immigraut people; in Nova Scotia, about eight; in the two islands, very mách less. In the eye of the law we admit -no disparity between natives and immigrants in this country; but it is to be considered that where men are born in the presence of the graves of their fathers, for even a few generations, the influence of that fact is great in enhancing their attachment to that soil. 'I admit, for my part, as an immigrant, of no divided allegiance to Canada and her interests; but it would be untrua and paltry to deny a divided affection between the old country and the new. Kept within just bounds, suoh an affection is reasunable, is right and creditable to those who cherish it. (Hear, hear.) : Why I refer to this broad fact which distinguishes the popalations of all the four seaward provinces as much as it does Lower Canada herself, is, to show the tixity and stability of that populativa; to show that they are by birth British Ameritans; that they can nearly all, of every origin, use that proud phrase when they look daily from their dowirs, "this is my own, my native land." (Cheers.) Let but that population and ouns come together for a generation or two-such are the elements that compose, such the conditions that surround it-aid cheir mutual deseendanes will hear with woudar, when the history of these priseut transactions are written, that this plan of union could ever have been seriously opposed by statesmen in Canada or ebswhére. (Cheers.) I aip told, however, by one or two memberis of this Houso, and by exclusively-minded Canadians out of it that they cannot entertain any patriotic feel
ing about this union with New Brunsyiok or Nova Scotia, and that they cannot look with 'any interest at those colonies, with which we have had hitherto so little association. "What's Hecuba to me, or I to Hecuba?" Well, I answer to that, know them and my word for it, you will tike them. I have been on seven or eight journeys there; and have seen much of the people, and the more I have seen of them, the more I respected and esteemed them. (Hear, hear.) I say, then, to these Yentlemen, that if you want to feel any patriotism ou the subject; if you want to stir up a common sentiment of affection between these people and ourselves, bring us all into closer relation together, and having the elements of a vigorous nationality with us, each will find something to like and respect in the other; mutual confidence and respect will follow, and a feeling of being engaged in a common oause for the good of a common nationality will grow up of itself without being foreed by any man's special advocacy. (Hear, hear.) The thing who shuts up his heart against his kindred, his neighbors; and his fellow subjects, may be a very pretty follow at a parish vestry; but do you call such a forked-radish as that, a man? (Laughter.) Don't so abuse the noblest word in the language. (Hear, hear:) Sir, there is one other argumeat for this union, or rather an illustrationof its mutually advantageons character, whioh I draw from the physical geography and physical resources of the whole terratory which it is proposed to unite; but betore I drav the attention of the House to it, I may perhaps refer to a eharge that probably will be mado against me, that I am making what may appear to bee a non-polit?: cal speech. If is be non-political in the sease of non-partisan, then I pload guilty to the charge; but I think that on some of the points to whioh I have alluded the country is desirous of being informed, and as many hon. yentleman have not had time to make a tour of the connery to the east of "us, those tho have had the opportunitg of doing so cannot, I think, better subserve the interest of the commanity than by giving what appears to them a fair, just and trathful sketch of those prowinces and their people, and thus informing those in Canada who have not had the opportunity of making abservations for themselves on the spot. (Hear, hear) It was remarked by the late Sir Joan Bevarcey Roansoon, in his lettor. to Lord Joun Russex. in 1839, that if the Bri-
tish Government had attempted to maintain the ancient boundaries of New France, in the treaty which acknowledged the United States, it would have been impossible to do so. Those boundaries extend to Ohio on the south, and included much of what is now ealled by our neighbours " the North.West." There is great force $;$ I think, in this observation. - But in relation to what I may call the ground-plan on which" we propose to erect our constitutional edifice, itte natural oneness is admirable to contemplate. There is not one port or harbcur of all the provinces now proposing to confederate, which cannot be reached from anyother by all vessels, if not of too great draught, without ever once leaving our own waters. From the head of Lake Superior the saine craft may coast uninterruptedly, always within sight of our own shores nearly the distance of a voyage to Eng-land-to St. John, Newfoundland. (Cheers) We sometimes complain of our inland navigation, that we have it free but half the year round, but what it lacks at one seasous, it amply compensates by its vast capacity. (Cheers.) Last sumner, when we visited Halifax in the Queen Victoria, which the good people of that blockade running stronghold mistook for a Confederate cruiser, we were the better part of a week steaming away, always in British American waters, within sight of the bold and beantiful coasts, which it was our privilege to call our own. (Cheers.) While we were thus following our river system to the open sea, I could not help often recurring to the vast extent of the whole. If any hon. gentleman who has never made, and who cannot. find time to make; a journey through his own country, will only go to the library he will find an excellent substitute for such a voyage in Keith Jonnston's Physical Atlas, a book that when one opens its leaves his brain opens with the book. (Laughter.) He, will find that our matchless St: Lawrence drains an area of 298,100 square miles, of which only 94,000 are cccupied by the five great lakes taken together: I shall not attempt to tread in the gath of my two friends who sit next me (Hoa. Messrs. Galt and Brows) by exhibiting in any detail the prospects of mutaid! commercial advantages opened np by this union. I have prepared a statement on this subjeot, giving, certain geaeral results,which I do not present as complete, but only as proximately correet-and whish I now bug to reed to the House :-



But there is one special source ot weath to be fonìd in the Maritime Provinces, which was not in any dotail cexhibited by my hon. friends-I allado to the important article of coal. I think there can be no doubt that, in some parta of Canada, we are fast passing out of the era of wood as fuel, and aitering on that of coal. In my own city every year, there is great suffering among the poor from the enormons price of fuel, and large sums are paid amay by mational societies and benevolent individuals, to prevent whole families.
perishing for want of fuel. I believe we must all conclade with Sir Wriliam Locan that we have no coal in Canada, and I may venture to state, on my own authority; another fact, that we have-- five months' winter, generally very cold. Now, what are the coal resources of our maritime friends; to whose mines Confederation would give ns free and untaxed access forever? I take these data from the anthority in my handfrom tho highest authority on the subjeotTaylon's Coal Fields of the Neio World:-
Dr. A. Gesser, in a communication to the Geological society of London, 1843, states that the area of coal feelds in New Branswiok has been recently determined to be 7,500 square miles; 10,000 square miles, including Nova Scotia, but exclusive of Cape Breton. Since. his first report he has explored the whole of this yast region, and has found the' area covered by that coal formation to be no less than 8,000 square miles in New Branswick. He saysg the most prodactive coal beds prevail in the interior, while those of Nora Scotiai occur on the shores of her bays and rivers, where thay offer every advantage for mining operations The coal fields of the two provinces are united at the boindary lite, and belong to the carboniferons period. The developments of almost every seasion illis. trate more clearly the magnitude of these coal fields, which extend from Nowfoundland by Cape Breton, Prince Edward Island, Nova Scotia, and acrose a large portion of New Brunswick into the state of Maipe. Mr. Hexwoob, a geologist of high standing, obsorves that the beauty and extent of these coal treasures it. is imposibible to describe. In Nova Scotia, Dr. Gessire's statements exhibit an area of coal formation of 2,500 gquare miles, while Messis, Looss, Datwos and Browx greatly exceed even that area; Sirw. E. Loans dedonostrated by a laborions survey thi thickness or depth of the whole group in Northern Nova Scotiato bo over 23 miles, an amount which far exceeds anything seen in the coal formation in other parts of North America; in this group there are seventy-six cond beds one above the other.

I must say, sir that shis is a cheering statement of facts, coming to us on the very highest authority, and 1 feel warming with the sübject, even while makiny the statement. (Laughter:) These ex haustless coal fields will, under this planWhich is in fact our Reciprocity Treaty with the Lower Provinces-become, hereafter, the great resonree of our towns for fael. I gee the ery is raised below by the anti-unionists that to proceed with Confederation would be to bntail the loss of the New Eing: land market for their coals. I do not quite gee how they make that out, but evon an
anti-unionist might see that the population of Canada is within a fraction of that of all New England put together, that we consume in this country as much fuel per annum as they do in all New England; and, therefore, that we offer them a market under the union equal to that which these theorizers want to persuade their followers they would lose. (Hear, hear.). Sir, another cry raised by the anti-unionists below is, that they would have to fight for the defence of Canada-a very specious argument. " What, sir, three millions and one million unite and the one milion must do the fighting for all. In proportion to their numbers no doubt these valiant gentlemen will have to fight, if fighting is to be done, but not one man or one shilling more than Canada, pro rata, will they have to fight or spend. Oi the contrary, the greater community, if she should not happen to be first attacked, would be obliged to fight for them, and in doing so, I do not hesitate to say; on far better authority' than my own, that the man who fights for the valley and harbour of St. John, or even for Halifax, fights for Canada. I will sup: pose another not impossible case. I will suppose a hoatile American army, on a fishery or any other war, finding it easier and cheaper to seize the lower colonies by land than by sea, by a march from a convenieut. rendezrous on Lake: Champlain, through Lower Canada, into the upper part of New Branswick, and so downward to the sea-a march like Suerman's mareh from 'Knox: ville tó Sayannah... While we obstructed such a march by every means in our power, from the Richelieu to Riviere du Loup, whose battles would we be fighting then? Why the seaporis aimed at, for our common subjugation. (Hear, hear.) But the truth is, all these selinsh views and arrangements are remarkably short-sighted, unworthy of the subject, and unworthy even of those who use them. In a commercial, in a military; in every point of view, we are all, righty congidered, dependant on each other. Newfoundland dominates the Gulf amd none of us can afford to be separated from her. Lord Ceatham said he wuitu'as soon abandon Plymouth as Netroun land, and he is said to have understood how to govern mea. Nova Scotia and New Brunswiek are Siamese twins, held together by that ligature of land between-Baie Verte aud Cumberland Basin, and the fate of the one must follow the fate of the other. (Hear,: hear.) Prince Ed.
ward is only a little bit, broken off by the Northumberland Strait from those two bigger brethren, and Upper and Lower Canada 'are essential to each other's prosperity. Our very physical outline teaches us the lesson of union, and indicates how many matual advantages we may all derive from thé treaty we have made. Mr. Spraker, while we in Canada have no doubt of the ratification of the Intercolonial Treaty, by this House and country, I cannot conceal from myseli that our friends in the Lower Provinces are fighting a battle with narrow views and vested interests which are always most bitter in the snallest coumunities. Theremare coasting trade interests and railway interests at work; and thereare the strong itterests of honest iguorance and dishonest ingenuity. What can these men mean, who are no fools? Do they; too, fancy they can get a government made to their own private order? Do they think they can go on on the old system? Do they mean to give up the country to the Americans? Why not hang up at once the sign, "these provinces for sale-terms cash ! - 'greenbacks' taken at ful walue !". I re joice to see the unionists of the Slaritime Provincés so resolved, so high sinirited and so nuited-and though their rictory will not be won without work, yet I feel assured it will be a victory If the honest and anisguided would but rellect for a moment the riske they run by defeating, or even delaying this measure, I am sure they would; even yet, retract. (Hear, hear.). If we reject it now, is there any human probability that we shall ever see ajain so propitions a set of circamstances to bring about the same results? How they came about 'wo all know:" (Hear, hear.) The strange and fortunate events that have occurred in Canada; the extraordinary concessions made by the leaders of ilio Guvernments below-Dr.Tupper, the Yova Scotian Premier, for instance, admitting to hiseconfidence, and bringing with him here as his correpresentatives, Hon. Messrs. ArchibatiD and WicCulin, two of his most deteimined political opponents-can we ever expect, if we reject this sebetue, that the same or similar things will occur agaia to favor it? Can we expect to see the leader of the Upper Canadian conservative party and the leader of the Upper Canadian liberals sitting sido by side again, if this project fails to work out, in'a spirit of mutial compromise and concession, the problem of our constitutional dificulties? No, sir, it is too much to expect: Miracles
would cease to be miracles if they were events of every day occurronce; the very nature of wonders requires that they should be rare; and this is a miraculons and wonderful circumstaice, that mep at the head of the Gorernments in five separate provinces, and men at the head of the parties opposing them, all agreed at the same time to sink party differonces for the good of all, and did not shrink, at the risk of having their motives misunderatood, from associating together for the parpose of bringing about this result. (Cheers.) I have asked, sir, what risks do We ran if we reject this measure? We run the risk of being swallowed up by the spirit of noiversal democracy that prevails in the United States. Their usual and favorite motto is-

## No pent up Utica contracts our powers, <br> But the whole boundless continent is ours.

That is the paraphrase of the Monroe doctrine. And the popalar voice has favoreday; and the greatest statesmer among them have looked upon it as inevitable-an estenFion of the principles of democracy over this continent. Now, I suppose a universal domocracy is no more acceptable to us than a universal modarchy in Europe, and yet for thice centuries-from Cuables V. to Na. Polzon-our fathers conibatted to the death against the subjection of all Europe to a single aystem 'or a single master, and heaped up a debt which has since burthened the producing classes of the Empire with an enormons load of taxation, which, perhaps, aone other except the hardy and ever-growing industry of those litte islands could have borne ap ander. (Hear, hear.) The idea of a uaiPersal democracy in America is no moro welcome to the minds of thoughtiful men amoug is, than was that of a universal munaruhy to the mind of the thoughtful men who, followed the standard of the third Wicilisa in Europe, or who afterwards, under the gruat Marisozodar, opposed the armies of the particular dyyasty that songht to place Europe under a single dominion. (Hear, hear.) But if tre are to have a universal demooracy on this continent, the Lower Provinces, the smaller iraguents-will be "gobbled up" first, and we will come in afterwards by way of dessert. "(Laighter.) The proposed Confederation vill enable us to bear up Thoulder to shoulder; to resist the spread of this universal democracy doctrine; it will make it more desirable to maintain on both
sides the connection that binds us to the parent State; it will raise us from the posi-. tion of mere dependent colonies to a new and more important position; it will give us. a' new lease of existence under other and more favorable conditions; and resistance to this project, which is pregnant with so many advantages to as and to our children; means simply this, ultimate nnion with the United States. (Cheers.). But these are small matters, wholly nuworthy of the attention of the Smitrs, and Anvands, and Paliers, who have come forward to forbid the banns of British American union. : Mr. Speaker, before I draw to a close the little remainder of what I have to say-and I am sorry to have detained the House so long(cries of "No, ho".)-I beg to offer a few observations apropos of my own pasition as an English-speaking member for Lower Canada. I yenture, in the first place, to observe that there seems to be a good deal of exaggeration on the subject of race, ocea sionally introduced, both on the one side and the other, in this section of the country. I congratulate my honorable friend the Attorney General for this section on his freedom from suck prejudices in general, though I still think in maters of patronage and the like he always thinks first of his own com-patriots-(langhter)-for which peither do I blame him. But this theory of race is sometimes carried to an anti-christian and unphilosophical excess. Whose words are those-" God hath made of one blood all the antions that dwell on the face of the earth ? ? Is not that the true theory of race? For my part, I am not afraid of the French Canadian majority in the fature Local Goverdment doing injustice, except accidentally; not because I am of the same religion as themselves; for origin and language gre barriers stronger to divide men in this' world than is religion to unite them. Neither do I believe that mys Protestant compatriots need have any such fear: The French Canadians have never been an intolerant people; it is not in their temper, anless they had been persecuted, "perhaps, and then it might have been as it has been with other races of all religions. Perhapjs, on this subject, the House will allow me to read a very striking illustration of the tolerance of French Canadian character from a book I hold in my hand, the Digest of the Synod Minutes of the Presbyecrians Church of Canada, by my worthy friend, the Rev. Mr. Kespe, of the

Free Church, of Montreal. The passage is on page seven of the introduction:-

About the year 1590 the Presbyterians of Montreal if all denominations, both British and American. organized themiselves into a Church. and in the following year secured the services of the Rev. Join Yoryg. At this time they met in the Recollet Roman Catholie Church, but in the gear folluwing, they erected the edifice which is. now known as st. Gabriel Street, Church-the oldest Protestant Ch.irch in the province. In their early Minutes we find them, in uchnowlediment of the hirdness of the Recollet Fathers, presentung them with "One box of candles, 50 lbs , at ' 8 ., and ont hogshead of Spaaiish wire at $£ 6$ 5 s. "
(Laughter.) I beg my hon fricndy, who may havedifferentintions of Christian intercourse at this time of dayy, just to fancy doings of that sort. (Hear, hear.) Here, on the one hand, are the Recullet Fathers giving up one of their own charches to the disciples of Juhs KNox to enable them to worship God atter their own maniner, and periaps to have a gird at Popery in the meantime- (rreat laughter)and here, on the other hand, are the grateful Presbyterians presenting tothese same Seminary priests wine and wax tapers in acknowledgmient of the use of their church, for Presbyterian service: Certainly a more characteristic instance of true tolerance on both sides can hardly be fuandin the history of any other country. I cite this little incident to draw trom it this practical moral -that those who are seekiag, and, in some particulars, 1 believe justly seekang, the settlen ent of Protestant education io Lower Canada oa firmer ground than it now uceupies, might well afford to leave the two great Seminaries of Montreal and Quebec at peace. No two institumons in Christendow ever more conscientiously tullilled the ends of their erection; and whoever dacs not linow. all, but even a little, of "the good services they have reudered to both the peuple and the Government of . Lover Canada, to the civilization and settlement of this country, has much yet t, learn of the history of Canada: (Hear, hear.) Tu cluse this topic, I have no doubt whatever, with a good deal of moderation anda proper degrees of firmness, all that the frotestant minority in Lower Canadia can require, by way: of secarity to their educational system, will be cheerfully granted to them by this House. I, for one, iss a Roman Catholie, will cordially second and support any such amendments, propieyly framed. I will merely add
in relation to an observation of wiy friend (Hon. Mr. Brown) last night on the subject of the Catholic Separate Schools of Upper Canada, that I accepted for uly own part, as a finality, the amended act of $18: 3$. I did so because it granted all the petitioners asked, and 1 think they ought to be satisfied. I will be no party to the reopening of the question; but I say this, that if there are to be any special guarantees or grants extended to the Protestant minority of Liower Canada, I think the Catholic minority in Upper Canada ought to be placed in precisely the same position-beither better nor worse. (Hear, hear.) At present 1 shall not add another word on this subject, as I am not aware of the particular nature of the amendments asked for at present, either east or wist. (Hear, hear.) All who have spoken on this subject have said a good deal, as was naturgi, of the interests at stake in thersuccess or failure of this plan of Conifederation. I trust the House will permit me to add a fer words as to the priticiple of Confederation
 this principle teformer constitations, there certainly almays was one fatal defeot, the weakness ot the central nuthority. "Or all the Federal constitutious I have efor beard or read of, this was the fatal mullady : they were short-lived, they died of consamption. (Laughiter.) But 1 am not prepared to say that because the Tuscan League elected its chief magistrates for two months and lasted a century, that therefore the Federal principle failed. On the contrary, there is something in the frequent, fond recurrence of mankind to this priuciple, among. the freest people, in their best times and worst dangers, which leads me to believe, that it has a very deep hold in human nature itself-an excellent basis for a guvernment to have: But indeed, sir, the wain question is the due distribution of puwers-a question I dare not tonch tonight, but which'I mas be prepared to say somothing on before the vote is taten. The principle itself seems to me to be capable of being so ndopted as to promoteinternal peace and external security, and to call into aotion a genuine, enduring and heroio patriotism. It is a fruit of this principle that makes the modera Italixn look back with sorrow and pride over a dreary waste of aeven centaries to the famives field of Legnano; it was this principle tindled the beacons which bura yet on the rocks of U'ii; it was this principle that broke the dykes of Holland and overviolm-
ed the Spanish with the fate of the Kgyptian oppressor. It is a principle eapable of inspiring a noble ambition and a most salutary enulation. : You have sent your young men to puard your frontier You rant a principle to guard your young men, and thus truly defend your fron: tier. For what do good men (who make the best suldiers) fight? For a line of scripture or chalk line fora pretext or for a priceiple? What is a better boundary between nat:onsethan a parallel ot latitude, or eren:a natiaral obstacle? -what really keeps nations intact and apart:-a principle. When $I$ can hear our yonng men say 38 proadly, "our Federation", or "our Conntry," or " our Kingdom," as the young unen of other countries do, speaking of their own, then I shall have less apprehension for the result of whatever trials the fatare may have in store for we. (Cheers.) It has been said that the Federal Constitution of the United States has failed. I, sir, have never said it. a Mie Attorney General. West told yon the other night that he did not cononder it a falure, and I remember that in 1861, when in this. House I recusrked the same thing, the ouly mnn who then applanded the statement was the Attoraey General West-so that it is pretty plain he did not simply borrow the argament for use the the other pight, when he was advocating a Federal union among ourselves: (Hear; hear.) It may be a fallure for us, paradosical as this may seem, and yet not a failure for them. They have had eighty years' use of it, and having discorered its defects, may apply a remedy and go on with it eighty yeary longer. IBut we also are lookers on, who saw its defects as the machine worked, and who have prepared contrivances by which it can be improved and kept in more perfect order when applied to ourselves, And one of the foremost statesmen in England, distiagaished alike in politios and literature, has declared, as the President of the Council informed ns, that we have combined the best parts of the British and the Anerican systems of goverament, and this opinion was doliberately formed at a distance, without prejudien, and expressed without interested, motives of any description. (Hear, hear.) We have, in relation to the head of the Government, in relation to the judiciary, in relation to the second chamber of the Legislature, in relation to the financial responsibility of the General Government;
and in relation to the public officials whose tenure of office-is during good behaviour, instead of at the caprice of a party-in all these respects we have adopted the British system; in other respects we have learned something from the American system, and I trust and believe we have made a very tolerable combination of both (Hear, hear.) The principle of Federation is a generons priaciple. It is a principle that gives men local duties to ${ }^{\text {'discharge, }}$ and invests them at the same time with general supervision, that excites a healthy sense of responsibility and comprehension. It is a principle that has produced a wise and true spirit of statesmanship in all countries in which it has ever been capplied $\therefore$ It is a priuciple eminently farorable to liberty, because local affairs are left to be dealt with by local Wrodies and cannot be interfered with by those who have no local interest in them, while matters of a general character are left exclusively to a general goverment: "It" is a principle coincident with every govern. meat that ever, gave extended and important services to a country, because all governments have been more or less confederations in their character. Spain was a federation, for althongh it had a king reigaing over the whole country, it had its local governments for the administration of local affairs. The British Isles are a confederation, and the old French duledouns were confederated in the States General. It is a principle that. runs through all the history of civilization in one form or another, and exists alike in inonarchies and demoeracies; and having. adopted it as the pribeiple of our future government, there were only the details to arrange and agree upon. -Those details are before you. It is not in our pover to alter any of them cyen if the Housse desires it If the House desires it can reject the treaty, but we cannot, nor can the other prorinces which took part in its negotiation, consent that it shall be altered in the slightest particular. (Hear, hear.) Mr. Speaker, I am sorry to have detained the Honse so long. and was not aware till I had been some time on my legs that my physical force was so inadequate to the exposition of these few points which, not specially noticed by my predecessors in this debate, I undertaok to speak upon.. We stand at present in this position: we are bound in honsr, we are bound in good faith, to four provinces occupied by our fellow-colonists, to casry ont
the meastre agreed upon here in the last week of October. We are bound to carry it to the foot of the Throne, and ask there from Her Majesty, according to the first resolution of the Address, that She will be graciously pleased to direct legislation to be had on this subject." We go to the Imperial Gorerament, the common arbiter of us all; in our trua Federal metrupolis-we go there to ask for our fundamental Charter. We hope, by having that Charter that can only be amended by the authority that made it, that we will lay the basis of permanedncy for our future government. The two great thing" that all men aim at in any free government, are liberty and permanency. We have had liberty enough-too much perhaps in some respects-bat at all events, libarty to our heart's content.. There is not "on the face of the earth a freer people than the inhabitants of these colonies. But itis uccessary there should be respect for the law, a high centràl authority, the virtue of civil obedience, obeyiag the law for the law's sake; even When a man's private couscience may convince him sufficiently that the law in some cases may be wrong, he is not to set up his individual willagainst the will of the country expressed through its recoguisel constitu. tional organs. We necd in these prorinces, we can bear, it large infusion of nuthority. I am not at all ufrad this Cunstitation errs on the side of to great conservatism. If it be found too conservative now, the downward tendeney in pulitical ideas which characterizes this demucratic age, is a suff. cievt guarantee for ameadment.. That is the principle on which this instrunent is strong. and worthy of the support of every colonist, and through which it will secure the warm approbation of the Imperial anthorities: We have here no traditions and ancient vencrable iustitutions; here, there are no aristocratic elements hallowed by time or bright deeds; here, every man is the first settler of the land; or removed from the first settler ope or two generations at the furthest ; here, we have no arehitectural monuments calling up old associations; here, we have none of those old popalar legends and storics which in other curntries have exercised a powerfal share in the government; here, every man is the so:' of his own works. (Hear, hear.) We have none of those influences about us which, elsewhere, have their effect apon government just as much as the invisible atmosphere itself tends to influence life; and animal and regetable
existence. This is a nèw land-a land of pretension becanse it is new; because classes and systems have not had that time to grow here naturally:'. We have no aristocracy but of virtue and talept, which is the only true aristocracy, and is the old and true meaning of the term. (Hear, hear.) There is a class of men rising' in these colonies, superior in many respects to others with whom they might be compared. What I should like to see is-that: fair representatives of the Canadian and Acadian aristocracy, should be sent tis the foot of the Throne with that scheme, to obtain for it the royal sanctiona scheme not suggested by others, or imposed upon us, but one the work of ourselves, the creation of our own intellect and of our own free, unbiassed and untrammelled will. "I should like to see our best men go there, and endearor to have this measure carried through the Imperial. Parliament-going intio Her Majests's presence, and by their manner, if not aettally by their speech, saying-"During Your Majesty's reign wo have had R sponsible Government conceded. to us ; we have administered it for nearly a quarter of a century, during which we have under it doubled our popalation and more than quadrupled our trade. The small colonies which your ancestors could searcely see on the map have grown into great communitiess A great danger has arisen in our near neighborhoud Orer our homes a eloud: hangs, dark and hears. "We do not know when it may burat. With our own strength we are not able to combat against tie storm, Whit we, can do, tre mill do cheerfally and loyally. But see rant timo to grow-w Want more people to fill our country, more industrious familic of men to develope oar resources-we want to increase our prouper-ity-we want more extended trade and cons-merce-we want more land tilled-moremen estiblished through our wastes and wildernesses. We of tha British North Amarican Prorinces want to be juined together, that: if danger comest we can sapport each other in the day of trial. Wo cume to Yuar ilia. jesty; who have given us liberty, to give us unity, that we may preserve and perpotuate our freedom; and whatsoever Charter, in the wisdom of Yoar Majesty and of Year Parlia: ment, you give us, we shall loyally obey and fulfil it as lonez as it is the pleasure of Your Majesty and Your Suceessors to maintain tho conpection botween Great Britaia aad these Colonies.". (The hon. gentloman then gat down amid prolonged cheers.)

ATTY GEN MACDONALD noved that the debate be adjourned till Tharsday, 13 th instant, and be then the first Order of the Day, after half-past seven.

Hon. Mr. HOLTON said:-Mr. SpeakER, We on this side had some donbt lest the Opposition might be placed at a disadvantage, by allowing the speeches of the Government to go to the country, trithout any comment on them. But if these fire spcech. es, to which we have now listened, contain all that can be said in faror of this scheme, We have no fear of letting them go unan: swered. I listoued to the speech of the Attorney General West with great disap-: pointment. The cause of that disappointment Was simple enough.: The hon. gentleman Was, in that spece, giving the lie to twienty years of his political life. IIe was offering to the canse he is now adrocating one specch against his continuous roice and vote. for twenty jears. He was struggling, all through that speech, against the consciousness of the falseness of his political position, and what every one conceived would be the brightest effort of his life was the feeblest address he ever delivered on any important question, during the twenty years he has sat in this House. The Attorney Gederal West was followed by the Attorney Geieral East. I know not how to characterize the speech of that hon. gentleman, further than to say that it was quite characteristic: It was perlectly characteristic. I doubt whether aay attorney general who ever existed, since attorneys general : Were first invented, beisides that hon. gentleman, could have delirered, on an occasion like this, the speech which he delivered. It may be said of that hon. gentheman, as the poet said of a very different style of uan-one who was not an hon. gen. tleman in the sense in which we are now speaking-" None but himgelf can be his patallel.". (Langliter.) No attorney general, I repeat, since attorneys gencral were first inveuted, could have delivered a speceh. at all like that prononinced hy the Attorney Geveral East; in opening his side of the great question now submitted to the consideration of l'arliament: Then followed the singular If able speceh of my hon. friend the Finthat Minister, which was delivered with all that ease and grace that'mark all his efforts in this House, and with that tluency of diction which we all adinire, and wach I-am almays ready to acknowledge. But I think it will ghop be admitted by that hon. gentle.
man's own friends, that his speech was chiefly remarkable for an adroit avoidance of the very topics on which he was expected, or might have been expected, to address the House; and for a very adroit assumption of those very things which he might have been expected to prove. Such, at least, was the impression which that specec made upon my mind. Then came the speech-the herctlean effort-of my hon friend, the President of the Conncil, who, I am sorry to see, is not in his seat, and with reference, therefore; to whose speech I shall not make the remarks I might have done; if he were in his place. I must say, howrever, that that speech was a disappointing speech. (Cries of "oh ! oh !". and "hear, hear.") I did expect, from the conspicuous part which that hon gentleman has so long played in the politics of the country-from the leading part he has had in all the proceedings which have condücted to the project now before the Housethat we should hare had from him, at all events, some sindication of the steps which be has seen fit to take-some vindication of the priaciples of the proposed union, so contrary to all those principles which he has hitherto advocated. I say, we did expeot that we would have had something of that Find from that hoo. gentleman. But, 'instead of that, his whole speech was mainly an apology for his abandonment of all those objects for which he has contended through his political life, sazring ouly the shadow of reprcsentation by population, to attain which shador he seems to have sacrificed all the material objects, all the real objects, for the attainment of which the agitation for that change has proceeded on his part. Then we have had; to night, the speech of my hon. friend, the Minister of Agricultare, a speech which I admit was one of very great interest, as a historical essay-one which will read rery vicely in those reports which we are to get in a few days-one which does very great credic to his literary research and literary taste-but one, which I do veature to say, had very little' practical bearing on the question that is now before us. Well, I repeat, I am not afraid that these speeches should go to the country unanswered. The country will sen that these hon. gentlemen have utterly failed to establish a cause for revolution. They are proposing revolution; and it was ineumbent upon them to estab. lish a necessity for revolution. All revola. tions are unjustifiable, except on the ground
of necessity. "These hon. gentlemen were, therefore, bound to establish this necessity: The country will see too, that they have failed to explain, to vindicate and to justify the disregard of parliamentary law and of parliamentary usage by which they are attcmpting to extort from this House an assent, not merely to the principle of unionwhich would be perfectly proper-but to all the clumsy contrivances adopted by that selfconstituted júnta which sat in Quebec a few weeks since, for giving effect to that union, and to all those hustering arrangements by which the representatives of the Lower Provinces were iuduced to give in their adhesion, and, so far as they conld, the adhes: ion of their provinces to this scheme. I say, they guite failed to explain this and to rindi. cate it:" The country too will sec that these hon. gentlemen have carefully refrained from entering into any explanation of the concomitants of this scheme of the proposed constitutions of the lical governments for instance, which are, at least, as important as the Constitation of the Federal Gopernment. It is quite manifest that a upion, even if generally desirable, might become uudesirable from the bad, or inconvenient, or expensive arrabgements ineldent to the doption of that union. And that really expains the position of many hon. gentlemen in this House, who, like myself, are sot opposed to the Federal principle, but whe find therrselves obliged to go counter apparently to their own convictions, because they cannot accept a union clogged with such conditions as this union is. (Hear, hear:) Then it-might have been expected that some further, some more distinct, information might have been given than has been given, on the all-important question of education, in respect of which; we have been given to under. -stand, that some final and permanent system will be enacted by this Legislature, in view of the proposed federation of the provinces. We might also have expected that some information would have been rouchsafed to us in respect to the Intercolonial Railwaywhich we are in fact voting for, without having gone into Committee of the Whole. Without having in point of fact any information with regard to it whatever, we are voting the cost of that road, so far ns this Legislature can do so-a road which will certainly cost us $820,000,000$, and, for anght we know, may cost us $840,000,000$. I do think we should
have had some information with respect to that road from those hon. gentlemen, ini order that the whole case might bave gone to the country: (Hear, hear:) And then, with respect to the defences of the countryWhat sort of utferances have we had on that subject? We were told by the President of the Council that the subject was engaging the attention of the Imperial Government;"and he vindicated union, because defence can be better given by united, than by separate colonies. And what have we been told tonight by the Minister of Agriculture? That despatches are received by every second mail th from England telling as that we are entering on a new era with reference to the question. of defence: What does all this mean? It means that, in connection with this nnion, we are to hare entaifled upon us untold expenditures for the defeoce of the country. (Hear, hear.) Ought they not to ploce this information, these despatches, before the House and the country, before any tinal and irrevocable action is taken with regard to the scheme? These are a fer, and but a few, ot the leading topies which constitute the contents of this scheme of Federation, in respect to which we had a right to expeet the fullest possible information, but in respect to which hon: gentlemen have either maintained a studied reserve, or have spokév, like the Delphic oracles, in language which defies interpretation:- (Laughter.) I say, "then, let these speeches go to "the country, and if the country, by petusing them, is not awakened to the dangers which threaten it from the adoption of this crude, immature, ill-considered scheme of hon. gentlemen-a' scheme which threatens to plunge the country into measureless debt-into difficulties and confusions utter y unknown to the present constitutional system-imperfect as that. system confessedly is-if the country is not awakened to a sense of its danger by tho perusal of these speeches-1 do not say I will despair of my conatry, for I will uever despair of my country-(cheers)-but 1 anticipate for my country a period of calami. ties, a period of tribulation, such na it has never heretofure Enomn: (Cheers aud counter cheern.).

The motion for the adjournment of the debate was agreed to, and the House thea adjourned.

LEGISLATIVECOUNCIL.

## Friday, February 10, 1865.

Hon. Mr. MACPHERSON, continued his speech commenced yesterday, as fol-lows:-In the remarks I offered to this House yesterday, hon: gentlemen, I desired to stato my" reasons for voting against the amenduent of my hon. friend from Welling. ton, and for the resolitions of Hon. Sir E.P. Thcis: I stated that I believed the ConPederation scheme was desired by an overwhelming majority of the people of this country, certainly by a very large majority of iny own coustituents. I stated further that ass the resclutions had been before the country for a long time-for a number of months-and as there was no evidence whatever before us of their being disapproved uf as a whole, or indeed any ore of them, we fial goved reason to assume that the people were satisfied. The press had pablished them in full, and there was not one petition against the measure. We have every right to assume that the people are in favor of Con: federation. I went on further, and said that during last antumin, I mas constantly and daty bringiag the matter before a very large constitucncy,"where it was alvays approved of: Durinig a portion of that canvass, one of uy upponcints mooted what I may call the - tualier Confederation-that is, the Confederation of the two parts of Canada, and the poople rejected and scouted it; while approvibg of the larger one. (Hear:) I went on is say, with respect to the proposed change in the constitution of this House, that I did uot hook upon it as a disfranchisement of the electors, alth ugh the nominative was to be - tibstituted for the elective principle, because while the nomination was not to bo made by the peotple directly, it was to be made on the recommendation of their representatives in the other House of Parliament,-in fact in' a mativer amalogous to the mode adopied for selecting the Senate of the United States-two senators being elected by the Legislature off each state. In our case the mon-: archical principlo was strictly preserved, wad the Legislative Council was to be appuiated by the Crown, on the advice of the Givernment of the day. If I viewed it as a measure of disfranchisement, then, looking. at my obligation to maintain the franchise of the people, I shonld have more hesitation in voting for it. An hon. gentloman has said.
that the change, from the nominative to the elective plan, was made at the demand of the people. That assertion is not historical: ly correct; it is not correct as far as Upper Canada is concernèd, and I think not with respect to Lower Canada either. "There was, at one time, a desire in Lower Canada, for the election of its legislative councillors, but the public men who carried out the change, did so more out of respect to the traditions of the country than from any pressure that existed then. In Upper Canada, I am quite sure, that so far from the people desining it, they were cither lukewarm or opposed to it. The liberal and reformparty of Upper Canada' were all opposed to it. It is well known that the late Hon. Robert Baldwin, ao many years the leader of that party, was always opposed to the change. And it is also well known, that the hon. gentleman whe is and has been for yatis the leader of that party, and who now holds in the Government the position of the President of the Council (Hon. Mr. Brown), opposed the change to the very last wherever his in-: fluance extended, in the press which he controlled, and in his place in Parliament. I myself sam him stand up to voté against the third reading of the bill. "It:canoot, therefore, be said that the alteration mas made at the carnest desire of the people. (Hear, hear.) I went on further, and stated that I looked on the measure as one which did not admit of amendment, since if we were to amend it, there were nine other houses which might claim the right to do the same, and it could, perhaps, never be carried out: I then expressed approval of the financial arrangements contemplated; and differed fromi my hon. friend from Port Hope (Hon. Mr. Seymour), who said the revonues of the provinces in past times should have been made the basis on which they stould bring their debts into the Confederation. Inasmuch as we are not to continue soparate provinces, and not to contribute eeparato revenues to the treasury; but are to be subject to the gane imposts and to have one tariff, a capitation basis is the proper oae, and not that desired by my hon. friend. (Hear.) I' went on to say that the trifling amount to be paid to New Brunswick by the Confederation, for ten yeara, was necessary under the circomstances, and ought not to be allowed to weigh for one moment against the benefits to be derived from the proposed arrangement. The hon. gentleman from Niagara (Hon.

Mr. Corrite) said our expenditure would be greatly increased. I said that would depend apon ourselves, for we should continue to have thë management of our own affairs, and the economy with which they are conducted will depend upon those who administer them. If great ituprovements are carried out-if the Intercolonial Railway is built, and our canals entarged-if harbors are constructed on Lake Huron, as they must beand if further aid should be granted to extend a railway to those harbors-if all.this is done, it will be impossible to effect it and not increase our present ex neaditure-but those in provements will be amongst ourselves in Canada, and we shall enjoy the benefits they will coofer; and Canada, it should be remembered, will have a just voice in the Confederate Legislature. 1 myself hope these great improvements' will be carried on in the west, simultaneously with the Intercolonial Railway, although this is the only one specifically referred to in the resolu-tions-the enlargement of the canals being. only spoken of generally. The Intercolonial Railway, hon. gentlemen, nust be constructed if we have Confederation, "but I hope western improvenents will be carried on at the same titre. " (Hear, hear.) I think, honorable gentlemen, we should be governed in our rotes by the cousideration of the effect of this measure upon the prosperity of the provizes. If it is to do us good, we should adopt it without annecessary delay. What is it that we expect? Have we not reason to believe that it will settle the sectional difficulties which have so long agitated and distracted the country? . Will it not be the means of extending our influence over a large and most valuable territory?. Will it not open the way for us to two of the finest harbois on the Atlantic-St. John and Halifax? Will it not give us access to the qecan. at all seasons of the year? Will it not open to us the coal fields of the Lower. Provinces? Will it not add nearly another million to our present population, and place under one gov:ernment four millions of sonls? : (Hear.) But if the measure fails, what will our position be? I believe that our position in Upper Canada would be one of hopelessness, one bordering on despair-with none of the questions settled that have been agitating as, and which have checked the progress of the country; with representation by popalation not granted, and no prospect of it being granted for a long time to come, white the
agitation for it could not possibly cease until it was granted. (Hear, hear.) Furthermore, hon gentlemen, you all know the influence that the agreement arrived at in the Conference had upon our credit in England; that it had the effect of raising the price of our securities 15 to 17 per cent. Bat if we fail to agree upon' the measure here in Parliament, what will be the 'effect in Britain?' Would there not be a feeling of disappointment-would not our friends there almost despair of our ever placing ourselves in a position to carry on our affairs with credit, and acting for ourselves in a statesmanlike way? Somo hon. gentlemen. speable of dreading to take the respousibility of a vote on a question wisch is to make a change in the constitution of this House, withort consulting the people. Why! what are we here for if it is nut to tako responsibility? The people send us here for that purpose, to act as we think best upon all measures that may be presented to ns. But under existing circumstances, I think the responsibility of postponige the adoption of the sebeme, of putting Confederation off, is very much greater than the respousibility of sanctioning it: (Hear, hear.) I cannot help thinking that if we postpone the measureand to adopt any amendnent pould have the effect of postponing it, and perhaps, of losing Confederation for ever-our conduct will be considered extremely factions and unpatriotic: A good deal has been said about a possible dead lock betiven this House and the other House; but there has been little of that in past times, and nothing of a serious nature. If, however, the amendment passes, I can imagine a dead-lock which might be extremely pryjudicial to this House -prejudicial to its infuevee in the country; nay, almost destractive of it. Suppose these resolutious to be carried in the other Honseby a large majority, which I have little doubt will be the case, and we carry an amendment here-suppose all the legiulatures of the Lower Pruvinces adopt the resolutions, and this House stands aloue in rejecting themdo you believe the British Parliament will be turned aside. from what it believes to be the best interests of British America by the action of this Honss? I can imagine a dead-lock occurring then, and one in consequence of which the opinion of this Honse might be set nside. and its vote disregarded. Until this meazure is carried out it is innpossible the
defences of the country can be properly attended to, while all mast admit it is most important they should be proceeded with. Is it patriotie, honorable gentlemen, in the presence of such a state of things, and in view of circumstances which all thinking men admit to be most serious, is it wise to delay unnecessarily the passing of these resolutions?. Honorable yentlemen may feel, that they do not meet the vierts of every one in all particulars, but they must see the bencficial tendency of the whole, and:they cannot fall to see the importance of gettiog them passed without delas, for if they ate to receive the sanction of the Inperiap Parliament at its nest session, there is no time to be lost. : (Hear, hear.): One honorable gentleman has said the people are not satisfied with the neasure I believe they are perfectly satisfied. It has' been before them for a loog time, and they are possessed of sufficient intelligence to hava made their disapprobation kuown if it was felt. But, to sher the feeling in reference to the matter, I will read two or three estracts from a repoot which I received this morning of the proceedings of the Cognties Council of York and Peel. These conuties send four representatives to the other House of Parliament, and they comprise portions of three of the divisions representel in this ChamberMidlaud, Peel and York: Mr. Graviam; a member of the council, moved that a seleet comaittee be appointed to draft a petition to the Legislature as to the adrisability of the peoplo being consulted before the scheme of Confederation should be ciarried into effect. I will now read from the report:-
Mr. Ganmax, Waughan, afgued that he did not iatroduce the resolation with auy 'such inteptiont fir he did not regard is as political. The Admiaistrition was composed of then of different shades of paliticy, and hence the question was not one of anf particular party. The presert Parliament whould elected to consider this question, and should theiefure not pronoinee on the scheme without first consulking the people. Un questions of far less intorest they had gone to the country, and he thoughe theg sliould cu this, as it involved large intere is, and was of the greatest iuportance. The Attorney Gieneral had said, in his place in Parliament, that the schems must bo adopted withoat any, amendments, but he (Mr. Grivisy) thought it needed amendment so far as the peoplo of UP er Canada were concerned.
Mr: HastLE said the whole seheme was now before the coiucry, and the peoplo who. were inIterested in the matter mere a ware of its provisions, In the very riding represented in part by the very
mover of the resolution, the people gave expres sion in favor of Confederation by the election of the Hon. W. P. Howlasp ; and in fact at every election held since the scheme was proposed, the candidates elected have declared in its favior. He considered the Attorney General perfectly right in declaring that the resolutions must pass without amendment. The measure, as it stood, had "received the sanction of all the delegates reprèenting.
Mr. Grahan, Gore, stated that some of the members looked upon the resolation as being of a political character. However, be that as it may, the resollation was noiv before the chair, and had to be disposed of. He thought the question of Confederation was in the hands of the best judges, and they would decide whether it would be adrantageous to Canada or not. These representatives of the people. were all well posted up in the re: sources of the provinces,' and how such could be best dereloped, and therefore the thought the question should be left with them for decision: As to an appeal to the people, he conld not see what good results would flaw from it. The resoIutions passed at the Quebec Conference on Confederation were before the people and their repie. sentatives, and it is for the latter to decide for or against them; and they are undoabtedly in a good position to form correct conclusions concernung them. Ho could not see what reasons the statesmeri of Canada would bare in sacrificing the interesis of our country. They all had a commoni interest with ourselves, and hence would not be likely to do angthing detrimental to the best interests of Canada As regarded the submitting of the question to the people, Mr. Graras thought that ample time had been given the representatives during the recess, to ascertain the feelings of the people on the subject, and that, therefore, they went to Quebec perfectly prepared to deal with the question without putting the country to the expeuse of a general election. An appeal to the people rould be a useless expenditure, and, therefore. he would oppese the resolution.
On being put to the council, the motion wa, tost on the vote of 6 to 25 .

This, honorable gentlemen, is the opinion of tho Mugicipal Council of York and Peel, and I hope this House will do as that council desire, and decide apan the measure withont resorting to any course that can produce any delay whatever. (Hear, hear:) So important is this seheme considered in England, as well as in our own country, that I believe the vote talen on it will be regarded as a test of our desire to remain in connection with the British Empire, to maintain our allegiance to oar beloved Sovereign, or of our indifiereace to the prospect of beins merged into another country. This might be an unjust conclusion to arrive at, but tre have recent examples in our own history of
the way such conclusions are formed abroad. I remember a yote which was misconstrued, much to the prejudice of this country-I refer to that on the Militia Bill rejected in 1862. There is no doubt the rejection of that bill gare rise to the opinion which pre-vails in Exgland-and you cannot convince the people there to the contrary -that Canadians are unvilling to defend themselves: Nothing could be more unjust to our people than to entertain such an idea, nothing more anjust even to the majority who voted against that bill; but still that was the convietion arrived at, which it took a long time to modify, and which is not entirely remored to this day. I believe that vote has cost the country a very large sum of money in various ways. (Hear, hear.) In conclusion, let me just say that we cannot remain any longer as we are ; iwe have to adyance in some direetion, and I believe we are going in the right direction when we proceed towards Confederation. I am very inuch disposed to agree with the honorable and gallant Premier, that we are on the top of an inclined plane, and that if we do not adopt Confederation, we shall very likely find ourselves descending it against our wish; and planged into, a malstrum of debt, democracy añd demagogisu. (Hear; hear)

Hos. Mar BOITTUN said he rejoiced to find, in the teceesion to the House of the honorable member who had just spoken, a geatlemin oo well calealated to sustain its credit, and tw asist by his enlightenedand thoroughly patriotic viem in the disposal of the nimy and importut 'questions with which it had to deal, in a maneer worthy of the House and beneficial to the country. With respect to the medure in debite; he must state he was delighted at the principles it cmbodied. He wis strongly in its favor, and so far from regording it as imperilling the interests of the province, thought it emimently adapted to advance its prosperity and welfare. He was nöt a youtrsuan, having numbered wore than half a century of years, during the greater purt of which period he had filled a seat in either one or the other of the Hoases of Parliament, but he had never yet known a measure of equal importance brought under discassion. He might possibly not live to see it carriel, but hoped and expected he would, and it it were, he had no doubt it would realize all the anticipations of its framers, and issue in the greatest advantages not to the colonies alone, but to the Mother Country likewise. During the time he had been in Parliament he
could safely say be had been guided by an carnest purpose to yote rightly, but yet he had two or three votes to regret, and that which he most regretted, was the one he gave against the union of Upper and Lower Canada. In this he felt now, as he had felt before, that he was wrong, but his consolation was that he had acted independently and conscientiously, not aliowing himself to swerve from what he regarded as his duty even by the earnest entreaties of one of his most valued friends, the then Attorney General for Upper Caưada, who had taken a different viev of the case. He now recognized the risdom of the measure: and was glad his fears had been disappointed, and that great benefits had resulted from it. to both sections. Me viewed the union now pro. posed as fraught with the largest advantages to all the British North American Prorinces, and believed his anticipations vould be realized. He had often crossed the Atlantic and travelled extensively in England and the United States, but it was not until last summer. as he acknowledged with shame, that he had paid : visit to the Lower Provinces, now proposed to be united with Canada. This iguorance of sister: colonies so near to our own country, he thought, was not creditable to any legislator, and he hoped other honorable nembers rould feel it their duty to acquire for thenselves information which was so necessary to their position. Well, he had been there last summer, and his opinions respecting those countries had immediately undergone a very sensible change. He had not expected to see such a bésutiful eity. as St: John, N. B., or such a place of Halifax. He had conceived the people as por andstruggling for existence, but was detighted to find merchants doing a great buisiness, and exhibiting as. high"a standing and as much enterprise as any in Canadh. Then, thera provinces were distinguished by the most de: voted attachiment to the British Empire and loyalty to the British Crown, sentiineats which he was uafeignedly delighted to observe." He hoped these sentiments would continao to prevail and even be strugthened by the Confederation now contemplated. (Hear, hear.) When te represented a constituency in Upper Canada and had to seek rectection, he had alruay hung out his flag with "British supremacy inscribed thereon - (hear, hear, - and he. hoped that the sentiment would coptinte th be cherished in the country so long as he lived. As to the allegations of some honorable members that the people were ignorant as to the merits of the measure proposed, he could say that, so far as the loeality from which le
came was concerned, it was a sarions error. It hiad engaged the attention of the people more or less for many years, and especially of late. After :alluding to the favorablo consideration of a Confederation of the British North American Provinces by many distinguished British statesmen, suah as the late Earl of Dukizam and the late Sir Wilmot Horton, formeriy Under Secerretary of State, many years ago, the hon. gentleman spoke of the opinion of a particular friend of his own, a distinguished member of the other House, Mr. Morris,'son of the late Hon. Was. Morbis, with whom he (Honorable Mr. Bouliov) had had the pleasure of acting for many years in the House of Assembly of Upper Canada. Mr. Morris, the present member for South Lanark, in a pamphlet published by him, in 1858, expressed ibimself clearly and distinotly in favor of the union of the British North turerican Proviness, and in that pamphlet quoted the views of the present American Secretary of State, Mr. Seward, and which he (Honi Mr. Bouliton) read as follows. Mr. Morris introduces those views thus:-
That day may be and $I$ trust is far distant, but sure Iant that whaterer, in the upheatings of the uld world and the restless whirl of eventas may be. wde, yet the connection between our country and the pareat state will nót be rudely severed, but fositered by the ponar and might of Britain, and, ristis in instrength and power, thonsands of stroug basuds and bold heartes within our borters will cherish towards Britain sentimepts of warm af. fection and attached loyalty. aid will be ready, if need be, in the contests for liberty that may arcee, to stand side by side in the foremost, rank with the armies of Britaia:
There is, indeed, vast roon for speculation as to thie future of this great British Colonial Emipires, and its consideration has engrossed and is enyrissiay the eneryies of many minds. Amongst others, hear what Seriator Sewato thinks of as: "Hitherto, in common with mosi of my country. men, as I suppesis, 1 havo thought Canada, or, to. speak mure aceurately, British America, to bo a mure itrip tying northof the Cuited Stales, easily detuctathly from the parent state, but incapable of sutuianings itelf, and therefore ultimately, nay rightr toon, to bur taken on by the Federal union without materially changing or affecting its. own cundition or development. 1 have dropped the oppuion as a natuonal concuit. I see in British North America, strecthing as it does across the coatinent from the shures of Labrador and New. Pouddand to the Pacific, and occupying a considerablio belt of the temperate zone, traversed equally with the United Statex by the lakes, and enjoying the magniticent shores of the 'St. Lam. reace, with its thousand of islands in the river and gull, a region grand enough for the seat of a great emipire."

Secretary Seward (who was known to be one of the principal men in the American Government)-once regarded this couñtry ass.a poor one, but it was clear he no longer thought. so, but had tormed a very high opinion of our: resources and capabilities: There was no doubt that Canada was a great country and destined to be much greater. still, and he held that if we were true to ourselves we could well sustain ourselves, especially as in the effort (if effort ever were needed). we were sure to enlist the sympathies, co-operation and support of the Empire. (Hear, hear.). Then he was satistied that as the project of Confederation was favorably received at home, as calculated to strengthen our position; we might expect all the aid that we needed, He regretted not being prepard to support his views by statistical statements, but other honorable members who were much more compatent than himself, had done so, and no doubt others would follow: Of this, however, he was convinced, that we would lose nothing by the ninion, but would considerably improve our revenue. The Lower Provinces possessed ade vantages which. we had not, and among them. their coal aud their gold fields might be regarded as of great value. We would soon require a large and constant supply of coal, $;$ mineral which; so far; had not been found ịn Canada. It was really melancholy that there should have been so little conimercial intercourse between us and those provinces. They: were constantly necding large supplies of provisions, which we had to sell, and it was a pity that the woney expended in procuring them was not puid to us. He hoped that there would be a great revolution in the state of thingss before long, and that we would pro: fit largely by it." In every point of view, he conceived this union to be most desirable, though he must cenfess he would not desire to see it carried out if he thought there was the remofest probability of its leading to a separation from the Empire. (Hear.) The Mother Oountry had doue much for us $;$ mis takes hyd arisen; but; on the whole, we had been most kindly and geuerously treated by her ; we had been materially axseisted by loans: on the guarantee of the Luperial Government; and that very fact had greatly enhanced our credit. In this way it was that our great and valuable publice works had been constracted. It had often been a matter of surprise to him that we had shown so little care and ansiety with regard to our own defence, but the time had now come when we would be obliged to do something for ourselves in that direction.

The people" of England very truly said we had now grown up so as to be able, to some extent at least, to protect ourselves, and while they did not expect us to nimintain the whole struggle unaided, they yet demanded that we should do our part. This done, according to the measure of our ability, we would have nothing to fear, and the union would enable us" to do better than we otherwise could. There might still be a féeling"among a few of our people in favor of annexation to the United States, but it was limited to a very small number indeed, if it existed at all. (Hear, hear.): Some years back he thought the feeling prevailed to some extent, but the unhappy war in the adjoining conntry had led to a very great change in this respect. He deplored that dreadful trar; and would deprecate the possibility of a rupture of our present peaceful relations with that country. He hoped we would still continue to live upon amicable ternis, and was couvinced that if war did arise, it would not be provoked by us. They were a greato and a powerful people, and he hoped they would continue in the future to treat us kididy as they had done 'in' the past; but it could not be denied that of late they had shewn a different disposition. They had passed a measure to repeal the Reciprocity Treaty, which had been of so much advantage to the two countries; a repeal which, two or three years ago, they had no purpese whatever to bring about; but he thought a change might yet take place, and that after all the treaty would not be abolished. At the same time, if it were abolished; be did not think we would be, ruined altogether, but expected that intercourse with the Lower Provinces would, in a great degree, make up the loss. It might be, however, that we conld yet pass through the States, but if not, and we were restricted to our own chännels of communication, we musist do the best we can. He trusted the amendment of the honorible member Or Sherbrooke (Hon. Mh. Sanbons) would bsteted dovin, and that the measure as it was wh pass in its integrity. . The Constitution the Fedcral Legislature had been adopted in is council of pur leading politicians, some of whom had all along been opposed to elective legislative conneils, amongst others, the Honorable President of the Council, (Hon. Geozge Brown). And the people, he verily believed, did not wish to see the prineiple prevail. He had no doubt the Crown would make wise selections as it had generally done before, and though mistakes might in some cases have been made, for his part he wes per-
fectly willing to trust it: ${ }^{H e}$ was willing to give' the people all the power they could reasonably ask, but it was a fact that the power granted had in many instances been abused. Many municipalities have been nearly ruined. They contracted loans, and instoad of applying. the money in a way to forward the public: weal, a good deal of it had gone into the pockets of the borrowers. (Hear, hear.) He desired to prevent a recurrence of such thinge: When the Municipal Loan Eund Bill was passed, great adzantages had been expected from it, and great improvements had beca projected, some of which, he was free to say," had been carried out, but some of the municipalities had misapplied and wasted the money, and now. they were asking the Government for delay to enable them to pay the interest. In making these remarks te had no intention of saying aught that could be disagreeable, and if he had done so he prayed it might be overlooked: Ho had taken an active part in the legislature, especially in the other branch, in years gone by, and had always acted independently, and he thought it was the duty: of public men to follow the dictates of their own convictions in preference to the solicita. tions of friends. Having done so in the past, he would try to do so in the future. He would elose by expressing the hope that the resolutions would pass by a large majority, as he had no doubt they would. (Cheers.)

Hon. Ma. AIKINS said:-I do not beliere, honorable gentlemen, that what occirred in the Counties Conacil of York and Peel, to which the honorable member for the Sangeen ${ }^{n}$ Division referred, can bear the interpretition: that honorable gentleman placed upon it:The honorable member stated that a large mijority in that council had declared themselves unfavorable to an appeal to the people on, the subject now before the House-the Confederation of the Provinces. . Now: I am personally acquainted with most of the members of that body, and think a fuller reading of the proceedings to which the honorable meuber referred will place the matter in a different light-

Hon. Mr. MACPHERSON-I read the whole of the report.

Hon. Mr AIKINS-Well, I shall read it for myself and draw my own conclusions. [Here the honorable gentleman read the report again, remarking that there was nothiug in the speeches of any of the members of the Countice Council to show that they were op: posed to an sppeal to the people, and then proceeded] :- The members of the Co naties

Council were not elented on political grounds, but to administer the affairs of the municipality: Any expression of opinion that they may offer on political subjects is therefore bat the expression of their own individual opinians, and however much it may be entitled to respect from the character of the gentlemen composing. the council, it can in no way be regarded as the expression of their constituents' wishes on. the subject. But I contend, moreover, that the vote ia the conncil was not even an expression of opinion on the part of the members; for we find from the report that serveral members opposised the motion for an appeal to the people, simply on the ground that the question was one that ought not to have been brougtit before them, it being of a purely poli: tical character, and they rejected it without expressing any opinion upoi its real merits. Then, combined with them were the gentlemen. who readly oppose the appeal to the people, and of these two classes was the majority com-: posed, of which the honorable gentlemen spoke so esultingly.' (Hear, hear.) But apart from the expression of opinion of the Counties Council referred to, in" whatover light it may be rejarded, I object to the resolutions being. subwitted to Parlisment and prossed upon the consideration of this Hoose in the same way as the Address in reply to the Speech. from the Throne. They are said to be passed or rejected as a whole, without alteration or anendment, jist as if the Government mére bound to stand or fall by the decision:The Government; it appears, has pledged itself to the other govermments to abide by these resolutions, and in that case it should have been a condition that they should stand or fall with them. Ministers are oppused farther to any expression of opidion on the contents of these resolutions, other than what may bo stated in the specches of honorable members; the resolutions caanot "be chaniged, modified or amended in any particular, and yet the chambiers are asked to con-: sider therat What is the use of considering them if we cannot come to our own condlasions and give them effeet in the shape of amendnienta? I itand berc as the representative of, if not one of the largest, at least one of the most intelligent coistituenoies in Upper Canada, and I have no hesitation in saypog the peopie are generally in favor of the principle: of the resolutions; in other warde, of a Cuifederation of Canida and the Lower Proviuces, but I do not beliere they are in lavor of all ihe details of the project. The Honorable Pru:iier, in yoring the repolations, said
they would be productive of two special advantages to Canada;-they would give us strength and durability, and at the same time settle the difficulties under which the provinoe has labored for some years. That honiorable member also stated that if this union is not accomplished there will be a danger of our being forced by violence into the United States; that, if rot forced therein by violence, we will insensibly slide thither; and that we are upon an inclined plane which mast of necessity land us there, and whether by violence or by sliding, we must reach that resalt. (Hear, hear.) If the IIonorable Premier had shown that the proposed anion would in reality give us strength, and place us in a position to improve our defences, then I would admit ho had made a good case: I have anxiously waited to hear his reasons and explanations, for I wanted better reasons for adopting the resolutions than any I was acquainted with. I am anxions to have them earefully analyzed and secrutinized, and desire that they may be found in the interest of Canada. If the Government, in bringing them down, had stated that after a thôrough canvass and exanination, if deemed desirable, they might be amended in some particulars, I would have accepted the deelaration with satisfaction and hope; but nof; thongh allowed to debate them; we cannot proceed any further. They are submitted, as I have already said, ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ dike an opening "Speech from the Throne, an amendment to which is treated as a motion of want of coutidence, and I oan see no great use in discussing them at all. I desire, however, priof to the taking of the sote, to know how much othe Intercoloiial Railyay will cost. Ondy a short titiec ago public opinion in Upper Cauada was adverse to this onterprise, but if new liftht: has dawned upon the subject, I would be glad to share in it. I would like to know also what the route will be, and how many millions it will cost; and if it should be shown that its construction will be a real advantage to the country, I will be prepared to go for it. There are other points upon which $I$ desire information, and one is as to the proportion of the debt which Upper and Lower Canada will be called upon respectively to bear. If the sixty two and a-half millions of debt the Confederation is to assume is to be divided according to the extent of the tive populationes, will Lower Canada; over and abore its ihare, assume the amount paid for the abolition of the Seiguiorial Teaure? These questions, in my opinion, need answer before this seheme is carried.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL-Thdre is no disposition on the part of the Goremment to withhold any information the House may desire to have;-on the contrary; they are anxious to afford all in their power,-but the points suggested by the honorable member are not yet before the House for discussion: As to the Seigniorial Tenure debt it will be assumed entirely by Lower Canada. " Then as to the five millions reserved for a certain part of the debt, the matter will be disposed of by a fair division between Upper and Lower Canada; and I beg to add that Parliament will have the opportunity of fully considering the arrangement which the Government may propose for that division. An affirmative proposition will be laid before the House, apon - which members will of course have the opportunity of prononncing.

Hon. Mr. AIKINS-I am rery mach pleased, indeed, to hear the statement of the Honorable Commissioner of Crown Lands; but I must say I am at a loss to perceive how we shall have an opportunity of considering any of these resolutions if we now affrm the sabstantive proposition.

HoN. Mr.CAMPBELL The opportunity will be afforded when these fire millions of debt come to be distributed between Upper and Lower Canada, and when bills" or propo sitions are brought before Parliament for that purpose. The intention of the Government. is to offer propositions which it considers, fair to both sections of the country; and it will be. in the power of Parliament, of course to speak and decide in regard to the scheme.

HoN: ME:AIKINS-I am quite willing to give the Gaverament credit for sincerity on this question, but before I am called upon to
vote for Confederation, I would like to kno vote for Confederation, I would like to know, and I am sure this House would like to know, not only how much the Intercolonial Railway is to cost, but how this amount of Gebt is to
be diffused or distributed between Upper and be diffused or distributed between Upper and
Lower Canada. It does appear to ne very Lower Canada. It does appear to ne very
important that we should hare all these ex: important that whations prior to being called upon to vote these resolitions.
Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL-As to the Intercolonial Railway, the honorable gentlenan will see that it is a matter for the Goverument of the Confederation to deal with. : The only question for this House to consider is as to how the five millions of debt is to be distributed between the two ections, and as to that every member will have an opportunity of assenting to or differing from the proposition of the Government. The question of the rail-
way stands on an entirely different footing, being for the consideration only of the Gerie ral Legislature of the union.

HoN. Mr. AIKINS-I am quite well aware that the Intercolonial Railway is to be, constructed by the General Government, but I would like to know now how much it is "to cost. It does appear to me that this is a very important-question, and one that lies at the root of the whole matter in the minds of many. honorable gentlemen. I know' that 'it affects me rery much. (Hear, hear.) Then, with regard to the Constitution of this Chamber, the honorable gentleman who has just taken his seat (Hon. Mr. Bocltós), and who comes from Cobourg, has indulged in'a general attack apon the elective system, because, forsooth, several municipalities throughout the country have borrowed largely from the Loan Fund, and becanse the money they so borrowed has not been properiy invested. He argues from this that the principle of election by the people should be done atway with in this House. It does appear strange that any hon. gentlemen should take the narrow and contracted ground that this Chamber should be appointed by the Crown, because certain loans have not been properly distributed by municipal bodies man should strange that an honorable gentleman should take it who represente a municipality that is very heavily ia arrears to the Loan Fund.

Hon Mr BOULTON-I did not allude to the town of Cobourg at all. bat to other municipalities, where the councils squanderod the money borrowed froin the Lioan Fund and put large sums of it into their own pookets. Cobourg expended the money properly in connection with a great public work, and acted honestly, aprighty and properly in the matter:
Hon. Mr. AIKINs-Well I do not seo why a good thing should be put past the honorable gentleman himself; and when he in dulges in an attack upon the elective system, becanse certain municipalities have failed to meet their obligations, I do not see why. I should not point ont that Cobourg is a defaulter to a lange amonnt. The houorable member frow the Sangeen Division "argues that the appointment of members of this House by the Crown is not a disfranchisement of the people.

HoN Ma MACPHERSON-The honorable gentloman is mistaken. What I said was that, inasminch as the appointments are to be made in the way that has been describedthat is, on the nomination of gentleman re-
presenting the people in the other House- the change does not amount to a disfranchisement. (Hear, hear.)
Hon. Mr. AIKINS If the honorable gentleman had not been quite so sensitive, I would have saved him the tronble of making his explanation,
Hon. Mr, MACPHERSON - I did not wish to be misrepresented.
Hon. Mr. AIKINS-It certainly is not my desire to misrepresent the hoñorable gentleman in any manner. : I think the conclusion one would arrive at, after hearing his remarks upon the point, is that the people would still, after this proposed change in the Constitution, have the power to make appointiments to this House.

Hov. Mr: MACPHERSON - No, but through their representatives.

HoN Mr. AIKINS-The howorable gentheman says they will have the power, through their representatives, to make their appoint ments. Well, after reading the 'fourteenth resolution, it does appear to me that, after the tirst election of the Chainber, the people will have nothing at all to do with it. (Hear, hear.) The honorable gentleman sags, however, that the representatives of the people will bare the power of making these appointments. Who are the representatives of the piople he refers to? The meubers of the Guveroment, who will have this power; or, in other wurds, the Crown will nake the ap. pointurentis.
Hon. Mr. Macpherson-With the adrice of the representatives of the people.

Hon. Ma AIKIVS Yes, undonbtedly; but the people, nevertheless, will have nothing at all to do with the matter; we advert again, in lact, to the old principle when the Crown Juade all the appointments. (Hear, hear.) Now, with regrard to this question, I feel my:
self in this position, that although I inay bo self in this position, that although I may bo in tavor of the Crown making these appointaeute upon which principle I express no opiaion at this moment-if I voted for these resolations I would give' ar vote, and every member of this Honse would give a vote, by which they would give theinselves seats in this House as loug as Providence thought fit to let them romain. (Hear, hear.) I came here, honorable goatlemen, to conserve certain interests, to represent certuin classes, and to reflect the views of those who sent me here so far:as they accorded with my own judginent. Bat they did nos send mo here to change the Constitation under which I was appointed, and to sweep away at one dash the privileges:
they possess, one of which is, to give a seat in this House to him in whom they have confidence. It does not appear right to me that the members of "this House should declare, by their own votes, that we shall remain here for all time to come. (Hears Eear.) The reasons given for the proposed change are various, and to some extent conflicting. We find one member of the Government telling us that it is because the Maritime Provinces are opposed to an elective Chamber, and hence wh in Canada-the largest community and the most influential-give way to thetr, and set aside a principle that was solemnly adopted here, and so far has worked without prejudice to our interests. $\because$ We find another gentleman, who, when the question came up years ago, strongly opposed the elective principle, quite as strongly opposes it now, because since then certain municipalities hare borrowed more than they are able to pay! These are somewhat extraordinary reasons, and I trust the House will give them their due weight. I I think, honorable gentlemen, that prior to the proposed change taking place, we ought not to declare by our orn yotes that we are entitled to permanent seats in this Houe, Without, at nuy rate; knowing whether the people consent to it or not; and Ido not think I am wrong in using this line of argument, When we have reason to believe that, even if the Crown-appointed members remain here, a large number' of the elected members will also remain.

Hon. Mr. ROSS-How would you act if yon trere satisfied that the whole public opinion was in favor of it ?

Hon, Tra, AIKıNS-I can vory easily answer the honorable gentleman. If I did not and could not'reflect the views of my constituents on such an important subject as this, there is one thing I could do, return to them the power they placed in my hands. (Hear, hear.) - That is the course 1 should feel compelled to take under such circumistances. (Hear, hear.) With regard to this scheme altogether, I think that a very great deal depends upon the resolutions themselves. If we are to have framed a new Constitution upon them as a basis, all of them, in my opinion, should be thoroughly canvassed and examined; and this House, as well as the other branch of the Legislature, ought not to be provented by the Goverament of the day from expressing its opinions with regard to their merits. (Hear.). It is said by many honorable gentlemen that the people are in favor of this scheme. I thing the people are in favor of a scheme.
of Confederation, but I think it depends altogether upona the details of that soheme whether they will give it their approval or not. I have no hesitation in declaring what is the opinion of the people of my division. $\therefore$ I meet and mingle with them almost daily, and have had ample opportunities of ascertainiug their views and sentiments. I believe that a very lare proportion of them have no fixed and definite opinions with regard to this scheme. They are in favor of Confederation. but they have no definite distinct ideas in reyard to the details of the scheme proposed. If they knew that their tasation woutd be largely increased by it, and that it would add heavily to the public burdens, they would not support it. (Hear, hear.) I think, then, that we who are placed here to conserve and protect the interests of the pablic, should be extremely careful and analyse these resolutions thoroughly, and ascertain, as nearly as possible, what their effect is likely to be, before we take the responsibility of yeting for them. I have no hesitation in declaring that there never was a period in the history of Canada when the people suffered more than they do at present. (Hear, hear.) In consequence of the personal, municipal and national indebtedness. the farmers of the country: were never placed in a worse position than that which they now occupy. (Hear, hear:) When we fiud that property has depreciated in value within the last five years, twenty, thizty, forty, ay, and even sixty per cent.; when we fond that the crops of the country have been steadily decreasing in quantity and value within that period; when we find that the people are dissatisted with the manner in which the country has been governed during the tavt eight or ten years; when we tind all this we may believe that they are propared to accept almust any ethange that promiles a relief from their present difficulties: : But we were placed here to conserve their intereste, to look after their welfare, and should not hastily adopt any scheme, proposed by any Goverament whether all of oue party stripety ur not without fally exanioning k ydd wewhery the results bikely to flow from it. (Alear, hear.) It is said that the publis is well acquainted with the nature of the scheme. I demur to that statenent in toto. The public is not acquainted with it in all its bearimes, and if there is oae thing I regret, it is this, that it. has not been made a party measure. (Hear, hear.) I regret this because, although porhaps no party could have carried it as a party measure through this Legislature, it pould
have been better if proposed as a party scheme, for then its merits would hape been more thoroughly canvassed and its demerits more thorougbly exposed. "Our public men would have ranged themselves on either side; some would hare favored it, and others would have opposed it; they would have pointed out its defects as well as its good points; the whole subject would have been fully ventilated, and tha result would have been that, if passed at all, the scheme would have been as perfect as it was possible to have made is. But what do "you find now? You scarcely see a newspaper from one end of the country to the other that is not. full of landations of the scheme. And why? Because the leading public men of the country have thought proper to makea fusion ; the leading daily journals en both sides appland the step and the scheme that followed, and the small papers through. out the province, as in duty bound, follow in their wake.

Hos. Mr CAMPBFLL-They only express public opinion.

Hon: Ma. AIKINS-Public opinion, the honorable gentieman says. I say that pablic opinion has not sufficiently woighed this scheme, and that we should be juflueuced here by our own matüred opinion in regard to it. (Hear, hear.) As I have already, stated, I stw in favor of the confederation of these provinces, framed on a proper basis; and all I desire is that we should have the opportunity of exainining all these resolutions, and if we abject to any of them. finding them imperfect or unsuitable, that we should have power to ampend them." (Hear hear.) So far as the amendment that has been proposed is concerned, there are portions of it with vhich I cordially agree. After it has been thoroughly discussed, I shall, like other honorable members, make up my" mind as to what course I shall prassue in relercice to it.: (Hear, hear.)

Hovi Ma. REESOR-As no one has talen the floor to continue the debate, 1 beg to enquire of the Honorable Commissioner of Cruwa Lands why it is that certain export duties are alluwed under this sicheme to be collected by the local governaturits in New Bruaswick and Nova Scotia, but which in Canada are collected by the General Government? It is part of the forty-chird resolation, which rexeds:-.The tocal leyislatures shall bave power to make lawe respeotiag the following subjects: Direct/taxation, and in New Brunswict the imposition of duties an the export of uimber, loge, masta, mpars, deals and sawn lumber; and in Nara Scotian os
coal and other minerals." "That, it appears to me, is learing very valuable material to be sabject to taxation by these local governments, for they comprise a very large proportion of the exports of the country. This is giving a great preferenco the eastern provinces in regard to powers of taxation. "(Hear, hear.) Then, again, as stated by the Presidentof the Conncil, in another place, the sam of $\$ 63 ; 000$ a year is to be given as a sort of gratuity to New Brunswick for a period of ten years. When these things are taken into consideration, certainly it seems that our public men representing Canada in the Conference have gone to work in a rather reckless manner. They have apparently been regardless of expense on the part of Canada, while particularly careful to meet every objection to union on the part of the Lower Provinces.: It would appear that because Canada is the largest colony; they were willing to grant everything that the other colonies asked. (Hear, hear.) It scems extraordinary too that theso gentlemen should have passed a bsheme biudiay the. Goverament to construct the Intercolonial Railmay without ayy underetanding or kiowledge as to what it will cost. (Hear:)

Hon. Ma CAMPBELL-It will be some What inconvenient for a menber of the Gov ernment to answer at once the questions put by the honorable nember, but I have no objeotion to answer those which he has now asked. The Hoase understands, of course, that the Crown lands of the provinces, are retained under the Confederation sclieme by each individual province. It was found necessary that they should be retained in order to provide each province with the required funds to carry on the local government. Ii the ${ }^{\circ}$ province of Now Brunswick the duties that are levied in Canada as "stumpage dues". on cutting down timber; are not levied in the moods but collected at the ports as export daties, this being in that-province a more couvenient and less expensive mode of obtaining revenue from the timber trade. Now; the. honorible: gentleman will see that if we do not allow the Local Government in Now Branswick to colleci these dues in this way, the revencie which is derived in Canada from "atumpage dues," would be lost to New Branswick. That is the reason why the exception he refers to was made. In the same way, with zeference to Nova Seotia, was atlowed the rogalty on coal, that is the peroentage of the product of the mines reserved for the use of the Governuent, which is collected as a dety on the esport of the article. There also
the export duty" is reserved as a source of revenue to the Local Government, it being necessary in both cases that they should have the advantage of their territorial revenue in the same way as the local governments in Canada, which will collect the same revenue in a different way. At the first glance it may seem that this clause gives especial advantages to the Lower Provinces not conferred upon the local governmeats here; but this is not the case. (Hear, hear.): Then, with regard to the subvention of $\$ 63,000$ a year to New Brunswick for a period of ten years, it was found necessary because during that time it would be impossible for New Brunswiok out of its loeal revenue to earry out the undertakings upon which the province had entered. The honorable geatleman said, and I regret to hear the statement, that the reprosentatives of Canada mast have been reckless; and that as the Lower Provinces made demands conditional upon entering the union," we had to submit with what grace we could. All I ean say is that I wish yery heartily that those gentlemen who thus find fault had been at the Conference, and then they would have had an opportunity of judging whether in: deed we were reckless or not; and I must say to my hoonotable friend, whom I have had the pleasure of knowing for some years, that if he had truly known the representatives of Canada on that occasion, he would have spared us to-day the imputation made against them that they were "reckless," (Hear, hear.) The 863,000 were given to New Brunswick beeanse it was found that with the local revenue allowed her it would have been impossijble for her to fultil her engagements. It yould of course have beer dide to have gone into a confederation and find that the revenues of that colony had been so far ceded to us. that she was unable to meet the obligations into which she had entered, and that the Confederation would be responsible for the claims of her creditors. The engagements into whioh she had entered involved a subvention of the railways of the province. $\therefore$ In Now Brunswiek they thought it better, rathor than take the shares or mortgages of a railway for the encouragement of railway enterprise, to give a certain sum 'at once for railway purposes. Any. company constructing a railway became entitled to a certaia sum per mile out of the public funds. Thus liabilities were incurred which of course it was necessary to redeem. 'Well, Now Brunswiok häving ceded all her ordinary rovenues to the General Government, mexns had to bo provided by it to enable her to meer theso
liabilities. And I may say that these railways, which are amony the public works ceded to the General Government, are not valueiess. They yield a revenue to the public exehequer: : I do not rentember the exact sum; but it is about $\$ 6,000$ or $\$ 8.000$ per annum.

Hon MR CURRIE-That is exactly three-eighths of one per cent. of their cost.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL-Well, I said they yielded a revenue-I did not siy, what proportion it bore to their cost"- and when we give this sum we know that we are not entirely without a return for it. Caless we made some provision for this pay: ment we would have been unable to carry out the scheme. sad there is a fair probability of these works becoming more productive. Of course, some gentlemen hiay say that it was posible to have given the other provinces equivalents for this expenditure in New Brunswick, but we all know how unfavorable to our finances has been this'system of equivalents. (Hear, hear.) A similar sum might have been granted to the other provinces, but that would have been nothing but extravagance, which, I am sure, the country would be slow to sanction, in riew of the past experience in this prevince in the system of equivalents. (Hear, hear.). This, we all felt conyinced was the most economical and prudent course to have fulluríad in order to obtain the end of Confederation.

Hon. Ma. SIMPSON-I would like to ask the Commissioner of Crown Latnds whether, supposing L sent a vessel from Montreal with four to a lower port, and it returied with: a cargo of coal. thery would be an export duty upon it in Nova Scotia?

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL-1 aui nut aware that there would be but upon this point I speak. under correction. That is a yuestion which, if the honorable gentleman desires axplicit information, I'would like to reverve for a fature occasion: If questions are put; uot to embarrass the passave of the scheme before the :House, but to clicit information on particular points, I shall prepare myself wander them as fuily as possible. (Hear, hear.) I am sure, however, no honurable gentleman would put questions with a view of exibarrassing the subject, but simply to obtain in: formation on" certain points.

Hon. Ma SIMPSOS-I have no desire to ask questions in order to ereate cuibarrass ment, but this is a-question torced upon ine by the explanations that have been made, and while I am up I may ask another. I will not
discuss how much Upper Canada gives to the General Government under this scheme, but it strikes me as singular that in making these compensations the Couference gave then all to the Lower Provinces. Why was not" this money required by New. Brunswick raised by direct taxation, and the colonies thus placed on an equal footing? (Hear, hear.).

Hon Mr. ROSS-Because the income of these railways in New Brunswiek accrues to the General Government

Hon: Mr. SIMPsoN-But they. pay nothing.

Hon Ma ROSS-They do pay something now, and in future they will pay more. if; however, speak only from my ewo individual point of view, and not from any knowledge other than that in possession of the House.

Hon Mr. SIMPSON-And Ispeak from the same, and think the objection I have made good.

Hon Ma. ROSS-As to the export duty on coal from Nova Scotia, it appears' from the resolutions that the equivalent given to Uppor Canada for this revenue is the duty on Crown timber.

Hon Mr STMPSOS-Well, what about the fishery dues given to the Lower. Provinces?

Hon. Mr. ROsS-We will have that by' and by. I an only answering one yuestion now. It is in lieu of the duty we levy on timber, and known as "'stumpage dues," that Nova Scotia is allowed to levy an export duty on coal. The honorable gentloman shates this head but it is a fact.

Hon Mr. SLMPSON-It is not on the stump, that we levy dues, but as the hewn timber passes through the slides.

Hon. Mr ROSS-Well, it is not an export duty at any rate; but in New Brunswick it pays a duty when exported, either as sawlogs or square timber. In both cases it pays. a duty to the Local Government, and it only seemis reasonable that Nova Scotia should enjoy a revenue frum her coal wherever it gues. (Hear, hear.)

Hos. Mr. MOURE-If the coal wore exported to a foreigu country, thein I could underitand why a duty should be impised, but when" a ship is laden in one port of the Con: federation, with coal, for another port in the same country, it does not appear uuch like a free Coufoderation if an'export duty is levied upon the cargo. (Hear, hear:) There would seem, then, to be a distinction-a preference for one portion over another-mithin the limits of the Confederation. If we are to have' a union, I hope we ghall have it in fect and
not in name alone. I should like to be fully informed as to whether an export duty is to be levied on coal in Nova Scotia, no matter whether it is intended for another part of the Confederation or for a foreign country.

HoN. Ma. CAMPBELL-The royalty collected on coal in Nova Scotia is similar to the stumpage duty on timber in Canada, which is paid no matter where the timber is exported to. It "maywell be, therefore, that when coal is exported from Nora Seotia to another prorince it will contribute to the revenues of the Local Government of Nova Scotia: (Heär,

Hon Mr. REESOR-There are several other provisions in the proposed Constitution which seom to be ambiguous in their meaning, and before discussion upon them it Fould be well to have them fully explained. In the cleventh elause of the twenty-ninth resolution. for instance, it is declared that the General Parliameat shall have power to make laws respecting " all suoh works as shall; although lying wholly within any'province, be specially declared by the acts authorizing thenr to be for the general advantage." It would appear from this, that works like the Welland canal, which yield a very large revenue; will be given orer to the General Government; and this being the case, surely this is a sufficient setoff, tive times over, for the railways given by New Brunswiok, without the annual subsidy proposed to bo given to that province of \$63,000.

How Ma. MACPHERSON-Tho cost of these works forms part of the public debt of Canada, Which is to be borne in part by the Lower Provinces under the Confederation.

How. Ma. CAMPBELI-The honorable gentleman will see that there are some works which; although local in their geographical position, are general in their character and resultis. Such works become the property of the General Government. The Welland canal is one of them, becanse, although it is local in its position, it is a work in which the whole country is interested; as the ehief tneans of water commanication between the. western lakes and the sea. Other works, in the Lower Provinces, may be of the same charactior, and it is not safe to say that be cause a eertain work lies wholly in one prorince, it is not to belong to the General Gowerament.
Hon. Ma. REESOR-I do not object to the General Government having the control of these vorts. It is, I beliave, a wise provision to place them nader such control. But

I do say that it is unfair that an express stipulation should be made to pay one province a large sum per annum for certain works, while, at the same time, we throw in our public works, such as the Welland and St: Lawrence canals, without any consideration whatever. This, I think, is paying quite too much for the whistle. Thei the answer of the Coñ-: -missioner of Crown Lands about the export duty on minerals in Nova Scotia is not at all satisfactory. Whatever dues may be levied on minerals in Canada- and Cánada; although it may contain no coal, is rich in gold, silver, copper, iron, and other ores-in the shape of a royalty or otherwise, go to the General Government, while in Nova Scotia they acarue for the benefit of the Local Govermment.

Hon. MR. ROSS-No, they will notgo to the General Government.

Hon Mr: REESOR-Well; there is nothing to the contraiy in the resolutions, and you way depend upon it that whatever revenues the General Government may claim, under the proposed Constitution; will be fully

HoN. Mr. CAMPBELL-My honorable friend, referring a moment ago to the Welland and other canals, objected to certain works being considered as belonging to the General Government; becanse they are local in their geographical position.

How. Mr. REESOR-I do not say that they should not go to the General Government, but what I do say is that they are a sufficient set-off for the warks given by the Lower Provinces, without paying them is special sum from the gencral revenues "of $\$ 63,000$ per amum.

A Message from the Legislative Assembly" interrapted further discussion upon the sabjeet, and the House afterwards adjouthed without resuming it.


## Monday; Februiry 13, $186 \overline{5}$.

Hos. Me. REESOR-Honorable gentlemen, as the question now under consideration involves a change in the constitation, not only of this Honse but of the whole of the British American Provinces, I think that more time ought to be given to it; and my object in now rising, is to urge upon this Honorable House the propriety of adjourning this de-bato- bay for ten days. (No! no!) Many new features have been developed since the.
discassion opened that were not before properly understood. The question has not been sufficiently understood in the country, and even now I doubt whiether the proposed changes are thoroughly comprehènded in both: branches of the Legislature. Constitutions are iot usually made in a day, and they should not be passed in a week ; they are matters of too grave a character. I trust, if we make a neir Constitution, it 'will be one that will be sistisined not for ten or twenty years, but for centuries. It is to be hoped that erery change which is made will be of the right character, and in accordance, with the interests of the country; not such a change as will hare to be repealed again in a few years.

Hon: Mre CaMpBELL - Will the hon. sentleman wake a motion on this subject, or shall we continue the debate without that inotion?
Hons Mr. REESOR. - I have iu ting bind a resolution", which I propose to subbuit to the House shortly:
Hov: Mr. CAMPBELL-D Dee the hon. gentleyman intend to choke of disousaion now? Surely that is not desirable.

Hon. Mr. REESOR.-Tho hon gendeman knows we do not desire to chotia of discussion. If any persons areminious that the discussion should be choked off; they are thiose who are desirous of pushing through this measure with undue häste. There are many reasons why the discuession of this question should be delayed. First, its very great importance; secondiy, to cuable us to obtain more information 'upon'it. It is well known that very elo(ilent and cffective speeches have been made in the other branch of the Legislature, which have not yet been published in full, and withont which we camot so thoroughly understand what arguments are made in faror of the measure, as is desirable: I beg to move that this debate be adjourned for ten days:

Hon. Mr. MOORE-l agree with the hon. gentleman who has made this motion that the question now before us is a very inportant one and should be fully considered in all ite. bearings, both by this House and the peoplo of the province at large. I think, with him, that we ought to have the benefit of the perusal of the able and eluquent speeekes which have been made in the other branch of the Legislature, and in this braneh also; and inasmuch as they have postponed the disenssion in the other braneh of the Legislature, I apprehend there would be nothing inpropar in our duing. ,so too: Again, there are other oontracting partics to this measure, via., the Lower Pror-
inces, which are equally interested with ourselves. In Nova Scotia the Legislature, I understand, is in session, and by telegraphic communication, from day to day, we could ascertain the feeling of the people there. "This would not at all retard the action of this House, for it is known that business is usually despatched with more rapidity here than in the other. Nothing, it seems to me, will be lost: but, on the contrary.-much gained by a ten:porary postponement of the debate.. (Hear, hear:) I desire to place my views on the whole subject before this House, but I desire to give them only after the matter has been calmly and deliberately discussed. The quaytion is one which concerns us all. I do not think the discusign of it should be entered upon with any party spirit or any party feeling. Our interests are all the same, whether for weal or woe: If the measura be a good one-if the project for our Confederation be a salutary one-if it be a panacea for all the existing evils of our body politio-a litte. time given for reflection can do it no harm: (Hear.) When we come to the discussion ot' the scheme, thore are soveral important points to be cleared up. We have yet to ascortain the respective and relative powers of the federal and local governments, and it is desirable that ample time should be given to the Government for the answering of questions upon this subject. Then we have to receive explanations about the export duty on coal and other minerals-whether this export duty is to be levied by or on behalf of the Loosal Government of Nöra Scotia after Cuafederation, and whether it is to be levide on all conal exported, or not upon coal exported to other sections of the proposed union. Arain, in regard to the export daty on the lumber of. New Brunswict, is it to be applied, as I understand it, to the local revenue of that province? Then, as to the sturupage duty on that portion of the Crown dounaia apper. taining to Lower Canada, is that to be applied to the parposes of the Local (iovernment of Lower Canada?
Hox. Ma. CAMPBEL! I ALa! be very happy to give my hon. friend, from time th tinie, as the questions may bo puit, all, the information to may desire.

Hon. Ma. MOORE-It is cortainly desirable that they shonld be answered, either by the hon. the Promier or the hon: tho Con:missioner of Crotn Lands, and it doees appanr to me that it would be proitable for the House to postpono the dobate, to afford tive a for doing eo filly.

The question being put, thie amendment was lost on the following yote :-
Contents :-Honorable Messieurs Aikins, Archambault, Armstrong, Bennett, Chaffers, Cormier, Currie, A. J. Duchesnas, Flint, Leonard, Leelie, 'McDonald, Moore, Olivier, Perry, Proulx,' Reesory Seymour, and Sizipson. - 19!
Nos Contexts :-Honorable Messieurs dlexander, Allan, Armand, Sir N. F. Belleau, Fer: gasson Blair, Blake, Boutitni, Boss6, Bull, Burnham, Campbell, Christie, Crawford, De Beaujeu, Dickson, E. H. J. Duchesnay, Dumouchel, Ferrier; Foster; Giagras, Hamilton (Inkerman), Hamailon (Kingstou), Lacoste, McCrea, MeMaster, Macpherson,' Matheson, Mills, Panet, Prud'homme, Read, Ross, Shav,' Skead, Sir E. P. Tachat, Vidal and Wilson.-37.

Hon. MR. REESOR-I shall be very brief in the remarks I have now to make to the House.. I do not object to the objects of these resolutiong, or to the measure per se, but I do object to some of its details. I hope to see a union of the British North American Colonies effected, but what I an anxious for is that the conditions of the union may be so satisfactory and well considered, that" there will not be cubraced therein the seeds of future disruption, or anything that will give sise to a desire on the part of any of the prov-inces to separate from the union, or prevent other portions of British North America coming in' hereafter a.d forming parts of this proposed Confederation. I hope we shall be some day a great British North American. Confederacy, but that is the greater reasion why the terms of the agreement should be of such a character that we can all, or nearly all,: approve of thein. ". Wa must bear in mind, also, that one ruason why those who" were heretofore the exponents of the views of two great political parties are all on one side at the present time; arises from the very peenliar eircumstances in whieh the country has been placed for the last eight or ten years. Thuse who support this measure have given as reasous for it that wo have had so many political erises, and the changes have been so yaried, that it becomes necessary for some great conwhitutional change to be made. They have at the same time carefully ennmerated the political changes that have taken place during the past four or tive years. First we had the CantienMacdonalid Administration, which was surtained in the Assombly: by a very mmall majority for two or three sessions. Then we had the Macnónald-Sicorte Goverment. sustained by a very, slim majority. Then the Macdonald-Dorion Government,searcelyany stronger. Then again the 'IAcheMacdos.

ALD Government with an equally slim major-ity;-so that we were really in a state of politieal crisis like that of a merchant, who, ? having suffered many-losses in business affairs; yet, with his orediti still good; at last becomes confused, and, incapable of exercising his judyment; launches into some scheme that proves ruinous, whereas calmness and deliberation might have retrieved his situation. . We had three governments formed within many years, eaoh failing in torn to administer affairs to the satigfaction of the people. We had, in the Tache Macdonalp eabinet, Finanee Minister on whom a rote of censure of the most serious character was passed, which amounted to a vote of want of confidence in the whole Government. At that time we had in opposition the gentleman who is now the President of the Council, who had contended for ten. years for a change of the constitutional rela-. tious between Upper and Lower Canada. Ha failed to accomplish his object. He could not consistently ally himself with his opponents without some new scheme to lay before the country. To form a government, he could not. The Finance Minister being condemned, the government was bound to reconstruct or resign:. Each party desired to rule, but neither was able. Out ot political adsersity grew political desperation.' It was called by some a political millennium, and perhaps it was; but matters were just in that shape to induce partics to take up almost any new scheme; as in this case, in which I thiuk they have goné on quite too rapidly. They have. not deliberated sufficiently to propose a measure of that mature character which the country had a right to expect. Perbaps as good a meastire has been brought out as could have been, considering the short time that has: elapsed, and the disadrantages under which they labored during the discuission of, the acheme:" But it muse be admitted that when this measure was agreed to by our Governuient, they adopted a hasty course. The country heard only one side of the question. (Hear.) They had the kreat daily newspapers, the chicf organs of public opinion of both political parties; all on their side, and there was only a suall portion of the country press, and that ${ }^{-}$ not widely circulated; that gave the opposito side of the question. And so it has been going on up to the present time; and how we have the scheme brought bafore us in its present shape. I consider that; under theso circumstances, it is our dnty to give very serious atiention to the question, before we adopt it as it is. (Hear, hear.) I fur-
ther" think, and I know many others agree with me, that these resolutions may be amended in some points, and yet without in the slightest degree endangesing the whole scheme: But the Government say, "you must take the whole measure, or no part of itw', $\mathbf{I}_{\text {v }}$ very much fear that the determination of the Govermment in this respect is, if I may so speak, father to their wish.' That they have fallen in love with their scheme. It is their pet medsure-their bantling-and they wish to get it through, without any amendment, just as it. is Suppose amendments are proposed that really can only affect Canada, and cannot affect our relations with the other provinees at "all: " what reason is there that these amenduents should not be made? "The Government can surely communicate with the other proriuces, and get their assent. At the same time., while I am speaking on these particular points, $L$ must express my dissent from certain other features of the resolutions, but they are features. I fear. that we can do nothing to alter now, for we shall be obliged, as the Gorernment say, to adopt the whole of the resolutions or noue.

Hox Mr. CAMPBELL-That is the point.

Ilov: Mr. REESOR-Yes, that is the point in reference to certain of these resolutions, but not with regard to others. Two years ago the Government of Cantda had a conterence ivith medmbers of the governments of Nova Scotia and New Bruswick, and in that confereace agreed that upon certain conditions, if the money could bo obtained with the guarantee of the home Government, at a certain rate of interest; the Intercolonial Kailivay should be built, and they further agreed that Canada should only have to pay five-twelfths of the cost, which was then estimated, as it was stated, at twelve millions of dollars. . I believe, un good authority, that in company offered to build the toad for twelve millions of dollars, and undertook to run it. without any additional charge, for twelve years.

Hon. Mr. CURRIE-It was three uillion pounds sterling, or fifteen millions of dollars.

Hos. Ma: REESOR-Perhape it was; but let us suppose that the estimates should be as high as they are at present; let us conceive the fact as possible that the company inight fail to complete tha road without more aid; and that it might have cost as much as is now estimated, namely, eighteen millions of dollars,-still Canada would only have had five-twelfths of this to pay. But here; in ${ }_{3}$
the short space of two years, we have had such a change, such a sudden change, that one statesman of Canada, a man of very great influence, and who now presides over the administration of affairs in this country, the President: of the Council, who opposed that seheme because it inyolved too large an expenditure for Canada to incur-

Hon. Mr. ROSS-He does not preside over the administration of affairs.

Hon. Mr. REESOR-We call president the man who presides, and he presides because he is president $\rightarrow$ who opposed that -scheme because it "was' alleged Canada was paying far more than her just proportion but is now in favor of it. Had it not been so strangly opposed by a man in such a position, and had the Government not been so weak; I believe the scheme would have been carried out. He who opposed it was one who had been twenty years in pablic life; his opinion was justly considered valuable, and many vere disposed to agree with it: Had the ministry gone to the country then, taking the Intercolonial Railroad on their backs, I venture to say they would have been totally defeated. They would hare had a large majority against them in Uppor Canada, and I think a majority against themi in Lower Canada also. But how is it now? Why, this Intercolonial Kailway is to be built out of the funds of the Intercolonial Government that is proposed to be established, so that instead of Canada har-: ing to pay only five-twellths of the whole cost. she will have to pay ten-twelfths. (Héar, hear.) This will involve five to seven millions of dollars of am expense more than we hal any occasion for incurring, for the other provinces were all willing to have been responsible for the rest, and there is very good reason why they shouid. The countries to be benctited by the Intercolonial Railway are New Brunswiok and Nova Scotia, but especially the former: In that province thero is an extensive wilderness, with some valuable timber limita, if not muoh farming land, through which this road will have to pasy, and every acre of land within twenty or thirty miles of the road will be largely increased in value. New Brunswick would gain that advantage, while as for Nova Scotia, Halifax, its chief port, will be made an outlet by' the construction of the line, and will of courso be largely benefited, so that they "were only proposing what was fair and equitable; but in coming. down with a scheme which involves us in twice as great an expenditure as twas formerly. contemplated, they seem not to havo been
satisfied, unless we handed over to the Federal Government our public works.' These, hon. gentlemen, are of immense value to Canada. By imposing tolls on our canals to an extent which they would easily bear, and which would not prevent our carrying on the same immense"trade as at present; we could readily raise half a million a year.: The Welland canal alone has produced a revenue of 8200 ,000 a year. Well, all such sources of income are to be thrown into the hands of the Federal Government, while New Brunswiak is to give us a railway' which only pays three-eighths off one per cent over its worling expenses. This small sum, remember too, is what is paid now -two or three years after the construction of the line-but when the rolling stock gets ont of repair, the rails want renowing, and other matters usual after a railroad has been sometime working have to be attended to-the expense of the line to the Federal Government will constantly' increase. The road will be a drag; ' and I say to hou. gentlemen that we are opening an account without knowing when it will be closed. : (Cheers.) By engaging in the construction of the Intercolonial Railway, and the assumption of the Ner Brunswick and Nura Scotia lines, we are entering upon indenite liabilities the whole being noppayioy property in which we shall find a heavy hill of expense., (Hear, hear.) Then, as if not satisfied with this, we are giving a sort of Reyizm donum of 863,000 for ten years to the Province of Nuv Brunswick. Again, we are to purchase for 8160,000 a year the mines and mineraly and Crown lands of Newfond: land:. Now, I venture to say, we shall not. realize $s 40,000$ a year out of these minerals: "and Urown" lands. We have a largo nuixing country ourselves, which wo find no very fertile soarce of revenue, and though it is tree we have no coal in Canada, we can get that from Nova Scotia by paying an export duty and the cost of freight. In the face of these disadrantages wo are entering a union which, by judicious management, might have been brought about without involving us in this imanense expense. As I said before, I desire to see a union, but I want to see it etfected vi fair terms. (Hear, hear.) Nowr, in requard to the increased trade which it is said we are likely to get after the union is effected, I think there will be mueh disap. peiatinent. It strikes me that it will be alwost impossible to alter the present course of tradecexcept by imposing duties or articles imported froin other countries. The Intercolonial Railsray will be too long, and there-
fore frcight by it will be too expensive to divert trade, unless it is run by. the Government at the cost of the country, and people are allowed to carry their goods alimost free of charge. It can hardly be expected that we shall send breadstuffs over this railway." Even: now it is not pretended that the railway can bring breadstuffs down as far as Quebec.

Hon. Mr. ROSS-They get them by water in the autumn, and'store them for winter use.

HoN. Ma. REESOR-They will be able in winter time to get their supplies cheaper at St. John or Halifax by water than by the Intercolonial Railroad. If they are to buy our produce, there must be some pecuniary inducement, for they will not give us half a dollar a barrel more becanse the flour comes from Upper Canada; and what that inducement is to be I fail to anderstand, unless it be the effect of a heavy customs duty on foreign breadstuffs. As the channel of trade now is, the Lower Provinces can buy their flour cheaper in Boston and New York than in Canada; and would it be right to compel their people to take our produce at a greater cost than they can purchase elsewhere? It has been said that they consunie $\$ 4,000,000$ worth of breadstuffs in a year, and many, other articles that might be produced or manufactured in great part in Canada, and is it dikely the 60,000 fishormen of Nova Scotia and New Brunswiok will consent to have a duty of 20 per cent., or any other high duty imposed on breadstuffs, for the sole purpose of driving them out of the American and into the Caaadian markets? (Hear; hear.) I' question whether they are not apprehending a difficulty of this kind now, and on that account unwilling to accept all the indgicements we have held out; unwilling to take the retenue :we have offired them; unwilling to yield to the temptations put before them; because they are afraid of the imposition of duties on breadstuff, to which they would be liable if they' were to place themselves in the power of a country represented by so large a vote in the General Government as Canada will have. (Hear, hear.) Leaving thisquestion of trade, we come to the consideration of the constitution of this House. Now, no one has petitioned against the continuance of the eleotive system -no one has complained that it does not work satisfactorily. We do not see that many of the elected members are so very much inferior to the nominated members of this Housethere has been no serious ground for fearing a dead-lock-yet there is to be a change in the constitution of the Legislative Conncil,
in conformity, we are told, with the desire of the Lower Provinces. But we must look a little further than this. If yon canvass the views of the honorable gentlemen who represented this province at the great Confederation meeting, you will find that most of them were-inclined heforehand to concur in the views of the representatives of the eastern provinges, for they have always entertained views in opposition to the elective principle as applied to this Hoase. They acted quite eonsistently, but it does not follow that they are tight in making this change.. We know that in former times, when our Legislative Council was nominated by the Crown, difficulties did arise $\therefore$ In old times, bills passed by the As sembly were thrown out almost by the hundred.

Hon Mr. CAMPBELL- fhat was before - responsible government was adypted.

Hon. Mr. REESOH-I was about to add that it was before the introduction of responsible governuent; and that responsible gor-ernment-is a cure for many evils, but not to such and extent as it should be: But under othe system of appointment there is another evil-the government of the day is particular in, ippointing those who are political friends of their owns and have aided them either at elections or in ways which may not be very creditable. (Laughtet) My houorable friend (Hon. Mr. Urawrokn) may laugh; but it he reflects le will remember that he has. himself known men in high positions whose career was nut ereditable in all particulars. -However patriotic' and anxious, to diseharge their duties rightly they minht be, their views were sonetimes warped by circumstances.
$\because$ Liooking actoss the ocean, my honorable triénd will remember that during the Administration of Wiblim Pitt; who wielded almost the soic control of Parliament in England for seventeen years, he appointed, during this period, 1.40 members to the House of Lords, subservient to his own wishes and intent on carrying out his views. I will just read to this House a short extract relating to him, written by a man capable of judging. In Mar's Constitutional History we read:-

When Mr: Prrt had been eight years in powur he had created between sixty and seventy Peers, the greater part of whom owed their elevation to the parliameatary support they had themselves given ti, the Ministry; or to their induence in ret turning member's to the House of Commons.

Now, when motives of this kind can be attributed to Mr. Patr, we need not say that similar motives may prevail here:

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL-Does the honorable gentleman suppose that the members of this 4 House will owe their, nomination to the political services they ean render in this House?

Hon. Mr. REESOR-Not solely, but rather to their political services at elections and otherwise, before their nomination. The honorable "gentleman will remember" a certain little domestic arrangement he made on the other side of the House, while in opposition, in which he had many warm friends. Does he expect to forget those?

Hon Mr.: CAMPBELL-I hope not. (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Mr. REESOR-Well, thero it is. The honorable gentleman acknowledges bis determination to reward his political supporters. Is this the way to obtain an independent branch of the Legislature, one that will operate as a wholesome clicek on hasty legislation? Those who receive favors from a political party are not likely to turn their backs upon that party.: I think we are not likely, under any circumstances, to have a more independeat House under the proposed system than we now have, or one which will befter advance the interests of the country. If you wish to raise the elective franchise, tor clections to the Upper House-if you would contine their election to voters on real estate of $\$ 800$ as sessed value, and tenanta holding a lease-hold of $\$ 100$ annual value, and thus place these elections out of the reach of a mere money in fluence that may sometimes operate upon the masses-if you think this body is not suff. ciently conservative-let them bo clected by a more conservative portion of the communitythat portion which has the greatest stake in the coumunity-bui do not strike wit the elective principle alkosether. . The late Dute of Neveastles, than whom few British states men have had more to do in establishing new and liberal constitutions in the various colonies in the Eimpire, and whose opinious are very valuable on this point, wrote as follows to the Coverbor of Prince Edward Island, on the th of February, 1863:-

Nör do 1 think it any way'objectionable, bat the contrary, that the Council (as in Canada, Yietivia, South Australia and Tastasaia) bat is capabile of being dissolved by the dovernor. An Leper Chamber is valumble as ay element ot stability, and the pripcipal value of an elective $\mathrm{U} p$ per. Chamber I conceive to be this, -that while - in virtue of its elective chararter, it may cluim equally with the Assembly to apeak tion voice of the community, it may yet be to coraposed ns to retlect their sotuled wishes and principles rather
than their transitory impulses. - But this advantage would be wholly lost if the whole body were chosen or could be removed under the influence of such an impulse. The first of these dangers is obviated (or intended to be so) by providing that half only of the Council shall be elected at one time. The second, by giving to each Couneillor a fixed tenure of office, independent of any ¿popular or govermental influence.

Thus, it will be seen, he would place the Council out of the reach of Government: while they should be under the influence of the settled convictions of the people and not their mere transitory impulse. He would have them elected by a conservative body of elecsory. The nest clause of the instructions runs thus:-

In Prince Edward Istand, I rould enforce a tolerably high property qualification in the case - of the electors, but of the candidate I would only require that be should be a British subject, resio dent in the colony, and thitty years of age:

This, I think, would be a wise procision hare, because it would give the electors an opportunity, which they do not now possess, of selecting their candidates from any part of the 'country, so that thicy could choose the ablest and most trustworthy men in it, ánd being. olected by a class who had a deep interest in the countyy, you might rely on their not being. too, vacillating, but on their proving a proper, healthy and valuable check on the lower branch of the Legislature. (Hear, hear.) Aome hónorable gentlemen have urged that the people should not cleet their representa: tives to the Upper House, because it involves 3 very great expense on the part. of the elected, and because they cannot judgo who is "morthy of their confidence so well as the Gove ernmeat of the day: Now; I argue that if the people are unfit to choose members of this *House, they are unfit to choose menibers of the other Mouse too. If three counties united are not able to make a good selection; how cau one-third part of that constituency make a.good one? And with regard to the corrupt inlluences that may be broughe to bear, will it be for a momear maid that a large constituency of three counties can bo as oasily corrupted as a cons:ituenoy composed of only one county? Ithink not. I think a more indopendent vote is brought to bear on the election of a member of the Upper, Hoase than of the Lower. Yot the menibers of the Lower House waut to assume the power of diotating who shall compose the Legislative Council. A fow years ago, ate the general olections, whon two men were resing, though they
were both conservative, we always found one * taking the ground that no money should be spent by the Government of the day without the consent of Parliament, and all the liberal party, without exception, took that view, yet now we find that as some of these men have got into the Government they have unlimited confidence in the wisdom of the Executive; they say our very Constitation can be amended within a period of six months without the people having anything to say about it; they now think goveraments can do no wrong. Of course, this is in accordance with human nature -what they themselves do must bo right; they themselves can do no wrong. (Hear, hear.) To sum up, honorable gentlemen, 1 complain that this arrangement for bringing about the Confederation of the British North American Provinces is being made on torms of great disadrantage to Canada, that a farr agreement has not heen "settled upon as between the several colonies. I complain that in making such an arrangement with the other provinces, the constitution of this House should have been interfered with ; and I complain, finally, of the manner in which the fhole ineasure is being forced through the Legislature, without Grst being submitted to the people for their sanction; and I. cannot but teel that these proposed changes so rashly adopted, carry with them" the seeds of their early , dissolution-a result that all should regret who desire the permanent consolidation and well-being of these colonies. (Cheèrs.)

Mon. Mr. McCREA - Honorable gontle: men, so much has, already been said on the subject of the Confederation of the British Ainetican Provinces during the course of this debate, which has now occupied. the House for sereral days, both bere and in the Assombly, by the ablest men in the provinee, that I do not hope to add anything of great weight or importance to what has been urged on the question now submitted to our consideration ; still, I feel that I bhould neither do justice to my constituents; who have sent me here, nor to myself, if I do not upon this occasion state, with what force I may, the reasons which induced ne to give my hearty approval to this measure for the Confederation of all these provinces under one government, upon the basis of these resolutions which Miniters liave laid upon the table of this House. Very much Las been said, by almost every speaker whio has preceded mo, upon the importanoo of the onbjeet now before us, and the consequent responaibility whioh attaches itsolf to every
individual member of this Honorable House for the course which he may adopt. I quite concur with honorable gentlemen that the present juncture in our affairs is big with the fature destiny of our country, quad that our fate for weal or woe depends upor the course we shall now pursue, and $I$, for one feel not the dightest desire to shift one single atom of the burthen of that responsibility from my shoulders. I am fally prepared to assume it. at once upon the merits of the scheme as it is evolved in these resolntions, and I do not vish to shield myself behind either an adjournment; such as has been proposed by my honorable friend the member for the division of King, and which, I am glad to say, has just beên rejected by an unmistakable voto of this House, or the larger motion, of which my honorable friend from Niagara has given notice, for an appeal to the people, and to which I shall presently again refer:: An objection has been taken in limine by the honorable "member from Niagara' to the constitution" of the Conference which sat at Quebec; that they were, in the firsti place, self-appointed; and, in the second place, that the great principle of representation based upon population was not carried out, because although the Lower Proviaces possessed each, and even collectively, a much smaller population than Canada, yet they had a much larger number of members. in the Congress than we had. As to the first objection, of their being self-appointed or selfconstituted, some one had to take the initiative in the matter, and no one had better authority than the different governments to say Who should represent their respective provinces in the Conference. Will honorable genthemen contend that the delegates were selfappointed when they were appointed by the Ministry of the day, who are responsible to the Legislative Assembly, which, in its turn; is responsible to the people at large ? Then, as to the second objection, that the numbers wére unequal, che hooorable gentleman ought to know that the principle of representation by population does not apply to the Coniterence in the same way that it does to the re presentation in this and the other House of Parliament: Here the vote of every individual member coants upon a division on any question, and so numbers become of the ntmost importance. But in the Conference the yotes were counted by prorinces, and not by single votes, so that it was impossible that any one province could ve swamped by the others by reason of their haviog a larger pepresentation. The only effect of an undue
representation from any province would be to increase the difficulty the delegates from that one would have in agreeing among themselves to any single proposition, or to the propositions as a whole, and it could not, in any way, work any injustice to the other pro vinces. I have no doubt the Conference found their greatest difficulty in bringing the members of each section to agree among themselves. (Hear, hear, from the Hon. Mr: Ciypbell.) "If the delegates from 'any proxince felt that they could not agree to any of the questions submitted to them, they had but to say so, and the scheme of Confederation, so far as they were concerned, would have been at an end. The argument of unfair representation is; therefors, quite fallacions. There could really be no danger from the number of representatives not being in proportion; so long as each province had the power of protecting itself from any injustice which might be atteunpted to be perpe trated against it by the others. (Hear, hear.) It has also been objected that the present Ca. nadian Administration was formed upon the avowed policy of forming a Federal union between. Upper and Lower Canada ouly, and that the Government has exceeded their constitutional powers by substituting an union of all the provinces instead of what they had promised. Do we not all remember that the avowed policy of the Government was a Fed. eral union of these provinces, I miean Upper and Lower Canada first, leaving it open to the Maritime Provinces and the colonies of the great west to fall into the union whenever they might find it thicir interest to do so? Ministers, no doubt, had not the slightest idea that the larger scheme could be accomplished as soon if not sooner than the smaller one. I told my constituents, on coming before them for redection, that there was an urgent necessity for a diffrent union be tween Upper and Lower Canada fronr that which now exists, and that there was not time to inclade the Lower Provinces in the first scherac. But the movement has outrun my expectation; and "I believe that of every member of the Honse. And is the House to. reject the larger scheme when 'it'is the easiest of accomplishment, simply because it had a secondary place in the ministerial programme I think the Charlottetown Conference was : good opportunity, and that the Government has acted wiscly in patting themselves in coin-: munication writh it, and in taking up the whole uniog first. Bat the honorable menber from the Wellington Dirision complains
that sufficient time has not been given to the consideration of the resolutions by the Conference, and cites the case of the American Constitution, when its framers took, I (Hon. Mr. McCrea) know not how many months longer than our own Conference. But the honorable uember should recollect that we had all their experience. We could commence where they left off Their work was ready to our hands. We had also the experience of the working of their Constitution, and knew what to avoid. Besides, the honorable member should recollect that we live in an age of railroads and lightning telcyraphs. of which the revolutionary fathers knew nothing; and there is no doubt that speed in trayelling and communication has a great deal to do in quickening the perceptions of mankind. Instead of its being made a ground of aceusation asminst the Government that they have accouplished so much in so short a time, it ought rither to redound to their credit: And yet the honorable nember for the Niagara Division complakns that the measure was not infallible.
HoN. MR. CURAIE-I did not make that complaint:

How Mr MeCRFA - Well, the hon gentlemin said that it ought to have been." as infalhble as fallible men could make it", and that $\cdots$ it hovild do complete juistice to all and injus thee to nome." I tiok down the exact words of thie honorable gentemian at the time, and if the last words de not imply infallibility, they eertainly come very near ito I venture" to assert that it the hoiorable gentleman were etuployed to drive up a sunple document of a fery pres, without the interference of any one ter corterl hinu, and should refer it to the pevision of any competéat person, it would be found subjet to some criticism. How truch lesp then must we expect a State documeat like this, the work of so many handsWhire so inany conflicting elements were to be reevacifed-where so mach had to be insisted upon on one side and resisted on the othershould do eomplete justice to all and injustice to none, aceording to the notions of my honorable frimd from Niagara? The wonder is, not that some sialt cin be found, but that the opponenes of the measure can find so litele. - Bui it is iltte siagular that all the gentlemen who have yet spoken against the resolutions of the Coniterence have deelared themselves in favor of Coufederation, and yet, by their motions and their spetehes, they are doing evergthing in their powes to delay and embar rass the pueasure-certainly a very left-handed way. of dhowing their sapport. Honorable
members argue against the details from both a Canadian and the Maritime point of view, and still tell us they are favorable to Confederation: Some honorable members' deelare that the question is not opposed in Upper Canada because it is not understood. It is certainly paying a very poor compliment to the intelligence of their constituents. The question has been propounded by eminent. statesmen both in the old country and on this side of the Atlantic both time and again since the cominencement of the present century, and has been in the minds of the people ever since. The" reason why it has not been consummated is that no opportunity has ever presented itself like the present. It had bat to be mentioned to take complete possession of the minds of the people. Out of thirteen elections for both branches of the Legislature which have taken place in Upper Canada since the scheme of union has been proposed, every single one, with but one exception, has, resulted in itss favor; and out of six elections: tor members of this House, whose original term of office had expired, four, my own among the number, I un glad to say, were by acelimation-I believe chiefiy on account of their declared sentiments in fuvor of the scheme. Bat it is a little inconsistent, I cannot help saying, that at the same time some honorable gentlemen complain of the ignorance of Upper Canada on the details of the measure-by their votes the other day they refused to allow five handred extra copies of the resolutious to be printed for the use of members, that they might distribute them among their constituents, prodhimiag their ignorance, and yot withholding the theatis of information. But howorable gentidenen attiempt to trighten us with the expenses nit the Iatercolonial Railivay; and my homrable friend from Niagara, arguing from the enstern provincial point of view; declared they would remeinbur the Grand Trunk frauds, and avoid a unini with those, who hid perpetrated them.. The Grand Trunk used to be made an excellint stalking horse for yentlemen to ride into. Piarliament apou; and so pleased have honorable. menibers boome with the seat, thit even alter having arrived here, they tind it very dificult to distuount. : My hotiorable friend from the divition of King, has just now told us that we Upper Candians, by the seheme proposed by Ministers, will be coutpelled to puy'tentivelths the cost of the railwizy. Well, I thought I had read the resolutions with a great deal of eare and I dit not remember anything which said a singlo word about the
proportionate expense, or about the expense at all. But, thinking I might be mistaken, I have taken the trouble to turn then up, and find there is not one word in them about the railway except the following. It , will be found in the sisty-eighth resolution, and reads thus :-" The General Governiment shall secure without delay; the completion of the Intercolonial Railway, from Riviè̀re du Loup through New Brapswick to Truro, in Nova Scotia," Is there anything here about Upper Canada having to pay teri-twelfths of the expense?

HoN. Mr. REESOR said he had not declared that by the resolutions Upper Canada should pay teu-twelfths, but that upon calculation; taking into account nuabers and revenue, that would be the effect-

Hon. Mr. McOREA-Well, I take the honorable gentlewan's explanation'. 'Dues he wish to enter into a compact with the Maritime Provinces by which we shall not pay uur fair proportion of our expenses decording to our numbers and our means? Is he so uinjust as to ask so unftiir an advantage? The fact is, that the talk about the expenses and stringing together long rowa uf tigures, is only caleulated to bewilder and frighten the rriends of the scheme.' Three millivis of dollars a year, exclaims' the member for Niagara, without making it very plaiia how'; will beadded to uur expense for all time to come.

Hos. Mr. CERKIE-More than that.
Hon. Mr. McCREA-More than that. Well, what of that?' The amount of debet is nothing to him who has the means and is wit, ling to pay.". It' is only uupleasint to the bankrupt who cannot pay, and to the miser who hates to part with his gold. Sowe one has said that it wast a very great drawback to the morals and prosperity of London, that there should be fifty-thousand thieves within its walls. But it was well replicd, "hat it was rather a souree of congratulition that the metropolis should be able to support mo miny. So instead of regretting that we shall have so much to pay, wo ought rather to rejoice that we shall be able to pay it. . lirstead of complaining that in the coustruction of the railiay, we shall have to pay tei-tweifhs, according to the estimate of my bönorible friend from the division of King it ought to be rather a source of pride and patistaction to ue that , we have a large population and greater resuurees than our edisteru' neighbors. 1 am as mach opposed to uevilless and extrio. vagant expenditures 'us any ufemiter of this honorable Houst,", but it the Intercolonial Railway has become a neecesity,' wo must
not be afraid to undertake it. I am free to admit there was much needless waste and expenditure in the construction of the Grand Trunk Railway, but Iquestion whether there is a single honorable member of this House who would to-day; if he could, place us: back where we were before the first sod was turned in that great undertaking. If war be imminent between us and the United States, and actually enisues, the railmay will become ap absolute military necessity. And who can tell but that, at any momsit, the turning of a hand, looking at : what has already happened, we may not be launched into the very midst of a war. : It is, I, bet lieve, very well known, that as soon as it was learned in Washington that the St. Alban's raiders had escaped through the bunglingrand incompetency, to say the least of it, of the Montreal olficials, the first order:' of Mr: Seward was one of non-intercuurse, but- it was aftervards modified to the pasport eys. tem. What, honorable gentemen, would have been our situation had that order been sent out, and what guarantee have we that it may not be seat out at any monent? But my honorable friend from Niagarâ says that the union of these provinces would not tend to strengthen our means of defence if, unfortunately, wa should be invaded by the United States forces, because our frontier would be extended more than in proportion to the increase of our numbers. Does not every ane know that it is the setted conviction of the military authorities of the States that their mistake in the last war was invading these provinces in different places at the same time" and that, in the event of a secoud war, their policy will be to concentrate all their stringth on some one given potat-Montreal for iastance? "And will my honorable friend contead that the union and the railway will not enable us to concentráte a greater foree, and more rapidly, on whatever poilit danger may be 'threatened, and' also that they. will not chable us to obtain aid frum the British troups more quickly at any sexson of the year? Then, as to the conmercial uecessity of the railway, it does seem to nie plain that when our owa Graud Trunk hat a councetion with Halifax; when the Cunard and other steamers will discharge their valuable treight and their passengery destined for the far west at Halifax; when Torouto will be brought, in point of time, as near to London and Liverpool as New York ; it must nut ouly increase the busines of the Grand Trunk; but also the business of the railwags in Nova

Scotia and New Brunswick, which are to be made the property of the General Government. When the connection becomes complete there must be a mutual advantage to all. I believe the child is now born in Canada who will live not only to see an Intercolonial but an Interoceanic Railway, if this scheme of union shall be honestly and fairly carried out. The necessity of the railway has time and again been admitted by the several governments of these provinces, but, owing to a want of some power to control all, and a natural jealousy of each-other, together with our own political differences, the scheme for its construction has alyays fallen through. When visiting the Maritime Provinces last summer, I told our thends thete that the railway could only be had by a union-the union first, and the ralway was sure to follow. I come now to consider the amendment of my honorable friend from the Wellington Division, and to which, according to the strict rales of debate," this discussion should have been confined; but Lhave taken the same conarse as honorable getulemen who have preceded me have done, namely, to consider the whole scheme. The auendment brings ap the question of members beng appointed for life by the Crown; or elected for a term of years by the people. I am among those of the reform parity who think that making the members of this House elective was a step in the wrong direction; and though I am free to admit that but for the elective princtple haring been applied to this Huuse, I should never have had the hooor wa a sat within its walls, yot $I$ am prepared to reafirm that opinion on the floor of this House by my voting, as I shall do, against thes imendment of my honorable friend from Wellipgton, ind to sanction a retarn to the amination of menibers for life by the Crown, under the advice of Ministers responsible to the peogle through the Legislative Assembly. I deny that the cxtension of the elective priaciplo to this House was ever sought for, is feitioned tor by the people at the titue of its censummation. It is quite trae, honorable geateinen, that before the union of Upper.
and Lever Canada, and duriny tho paluy and Lewwer Canada, and during the palmy. days of the Family Compact and the irrespon-: aibility of the Governuent, when the Assembly tad no control over the Eyecutive, except by stopping, the supplies,' the Legislative Council Was choten for the mere purpose of opposing the public will and they did it most effectually. Every measure calculated to elevate the people atid prontote their best interests was sure to. be tecuadiawled, tas the phrase. went, by that
very obstructive body. Short-sighted politicians of those days, who did not very well understand the working of the British Constitution, fancied theonly remedy was by making this House elective. But the memorable resolutions of the 3rd September, 1841, at Kingston, established the true British principle of responsible government, and I maintain that since that time the people never demanded that this House should be made elective. I apprehend that my conservative friends and $I$, who agree with each other on this point-the nomination of members to this House-come to the same conclusion by a very different process of reasoning. They hold that the elective principle applied to this branch of Parliament gives too much power to the people, while I, on the other hand, argue that they have not by it as quick and as sharp a remedy against a stubborn Council as they had under the system of nomination. The great beauty of the old system was the promptness with which at the critical moment it could be brought: to bear, and the history of its operations, both in this country and in England, clearly shews its superiority: My honorable friend from the division of King has cited the case of the greatest commoner of England; the celebrated. William Pitt, háving appointed so many members to the House of Lords within the tirst few months of his ministerial career. Did not Pirt at that time command the confidence of the people of England? Does not my honorable friend Lnow, if he has read the history of those times, that this great statesman steadily refused to. accept office until he saw that public opinion was ripe for his schemes? Aid was not Pirt, at the commencement of his parliamentary career, the great advocate of parliamentary reform? It is true that subsequent causes, over which he had no control, led him to pursue a very different course. What it at the times of the achievements by the people of those two great victories of civil and religious liberty in England, I mean Catholic enancipation and inie passage of the Reform Bill, the Crown, through its ministers responsible to the House of Commons and the Englisti nation, had not had power to coerco the Lords into consent, but had been obliged to wait for tivo years for the doubtful iswe of a certain number of elections. Suoh have been my opinions with regard to the comparative merits of the nominative and elective principles as applied to this House and I have not hesitated to express them nmong my constituents, both beforo and sineo
they honored me with this seat. I admit that the proposed system is not the same as the old one because it limits the numbers, and to this tiurtation I have the most seriaus objections; but I am not going to hazard the success of the union scheme as I sincerely believe I would, by yoting for the amendment, but I shall take it as it-is, with the hope and belief that in the new Parliament, when the union is consummated, the coristitution of this House may be set rigkt. Houorable gentlemien seem to tallk as if this scheme and the Inperial tet to be founded upon it, are tinalities. I do not look upon any human act as a tinality and I have no doubt a way will be found by which this amendment may be made. Was not the Constitutional Aet of 1840 amended? And will honorable gentlenen tell us that the act to be founded upon these resolutions cannot/be amended in the same way?

Hun. Ma. LETELLIER-Will the honorable gentleman tell us how the Act of 1840 was amended?

Hon. Mr. MoCREA-Does the honorable meniber from Grandville not remember the inorease of members in the representation of the other House, in 1853 , and the amendment of the constitution of this. House in 1856, the very yuestion I am now debating? Surely these measure were amemdnénts of that act, and who knows but under the new Conistitutional Act-the favorite measare of my honorable friend-the election of nembers of this House, may not again be resorted to, if the nominative principle shall not be found to work well? But let us examine for a moment what the amendment of my honorable friend from Wellington is intended to effect. 'It will be seen by referring to the amendment iteelf, that the honorable gentleman proposed that the members of this House from C'anada and from the Maritime Provinees shall have a different origin 'or," ass "it were, at different pareatage, elected by the people with as, and appointed by the Crown rom the eastern provinces." I take it that it is/very desirable that in whatever way the members of this House may be chosen, there should be uniformity in the system. By the honorable gentleman's plan we shall have one third of the meubers from below representing the Crowa, and twothirds frou above, representing the people; a curious sort of incougruity which I think should by all means be avoided. I may be answered that our/present Honse is constituted in that very way; but honorable gentlemen must xemember that the life moubers are nut the sole representatives of any particular section
of the province, but are chosen indiscriminately from all parts of the province. This is not likely to lead to a seetional collision like the scheme of my honorable friend; and be sides that, the appointment of life members in this House is not to be continued atter the seats of the present members shall have become vaeant from any canse whatever." I think the scheme of my honorable friend the most objectionable of all.- The hovorable member from Niagara has siven us notice that he intends to move a resolution to the House that this question shall be delayed until an appeal shall be had to the people-

Hon. Ma. CURRIE-The honorable geatleman is quite mistaken. I have given notice of no such motion.

Hon. Mr. MóCREA - What notiee have you given?

Hon. Ma. CURRIE-If you read it yoú will "see.

Hon. Mr McCREA-Will you give it to me that I may see what it really is? [Upon being handed the notice by the Hon. Mr. Guraie, the Hon: Mr. MoCaea proceded.] Ah! Here it is! It reads as follows. -

That apon a measure of such great tuportance as the proposed Confederation of this and rertain other British colonies, this House is unwilhing b. assume the responsibility of assentusg fo a metisure involving so many important cossiblefations without a further manfestation of the pubite will than lias yet been declared.
How is the honorable gentheman to yet this manifestation of the public will unless it be through a dissolution of the other House and a new elcetion?: Surely the hotiorable gentleman does: not mean to shelter himself frow the legitimate consequences of his resolution by its technieal phraseology. It eertaiuly comes with a very bad grace and taste trouin any nuember of this House to proposec a dissolation of Parliamentand send the members of the Assembly packing to their constituents to undergo the wear, tear; expenses and thr: uroil of an election, while we can sit hure tirmly in our seats, and with folded hands look quietly on: As to the true state of pablic opinion upon this impartant subject sa this provisce, it will be time enough to ceinxider it, when my honarable friend from Siagara comest to preas his reselation. If our uma political dituation required a menedy I think this union is an ezcellent opportunity, but' 1 do not niean to unge that our own political exigenoy should be the only, reaenon for the unou. We should settle our uwa political dificulties. But that and everything elde
seems to conspire to this union The immiuence of war with the United States, the certainty of the abrogation of the Reciprocity Treaty, the danger of non-intercourse, the op portunity of the Charlottetown Convention, and the consequent necessity of the Intercolouial Railway-all point to this Confederation. But the expense is the bugbear of the apponents of this scheme. If the great social and political interests of the conntry are to be served, if we are to hate laid broad and deep in the liearts of the people the foundations of a great nationality, as my honorable friend trom Wollington has expresed it, the financial part of the scheme is but a secondary consideration, To-day, the balance of adranture may be arainst us; to morrow, it may be unvir tavor, Who ean say, when the railway shall be established; and when by the union we shill have incited new enterprises and energies, and developed the whole resources of the eastern provinces, with whom the finadal balace may rest? I cannot close my romark better than by saying, that had a ungo of "d these" provinces existed in fact as it has existed in the minds of statesmen since the commeneement of the present centary the gan who, in the face of our present critical position, with eivil war raging "in our becinty, and even nationad war threatening burbelves, should now propose to dissolve that "union and seatter us again : ipto" disjointed frugnents, would be looked upon as an enemy to hise Quéen and a traitor to his country. (theers.)

Hon. Ma. OLIVIER-Henorable gentle men, in Fryach juarnal in Hoatreal, in repertmot the proceedings of a mectisf recently held it Berthior, to consider the propised project uf the Confederation of Canada and the Lower Provinges, and to which meting, in the cipacity of representative of the dirision is which the county is situated, I was invited, stated that I had expressed myself against that projeet, and I therefore take this, the first opportunity, of declaring that the journal in quention was inverror, and that I did not so express myself: I did, however say at thet meetiag, that there werg provisiuns in the prujeet apos which I could not look with a favorahic oye bat that I could not then prowries an ophinon, but woula wait until I came to Parliameat, when I expected the detaild wuid bo placed before this members tully and in good fidet:- I biate however, say, honorable grontemetii, that in this I have brou much disippoinged, for until now, the mituruation so naugh desired and asked for has
not been supplied, and the House is left in the dark in respect of several important matters upon which it is asked to decide. For instance, it" was stated in the resolutions; that means would be taken to effectually protect the minorities and preserve to them the rights they now possess; but "we were not informed as to what those rights were, or as to the ineans to be used for preserving them üntouched and unimpaired. If we had known what these means would be, we would have come pre: pared to assent to, or to dissent from them, in an intelligent "manner, and to express our opinions is we ought to do, but this informetion was not vouchsafed. I understand thata bill; to assure to the Protestants of Lower Cinada the uninterrupted possession and enjoyment of their rights, is to be brought down and passed before the scheme of Federation itiself is fully adopted and sanctioned ; but I have not heard that any similar measure is to be passed in favor of the Roman Catholics of Tpper Canada. I have no objection, whatever, to grant to the. Protestants of Lower Ganada, for all future time, the rights they now enjoy; or any other rights and yuarantees which may be deemed reasonable ind equit able, but I cianot vote to adopt the resolutions until I ani informed whether the Roman Catholics of the 'west are to be dealt with in the same manier. By refusing u 4 information on t.is, iuportant subject, the Government has placed us in a false position, from which, I think, it is their duty to extricate us." I shall not now address myselt"to the in4uiry; of whether the Confederation scheme" be really desirable or not, but cannot help saying that the longstanding difference between the two seetions of the proviace might have been arryanged if during the last difficulty between the respective parties the leading men on either side had beca willing to sink their personal diffrences and make mutual eonces sious. But as it is of no use now to refer to that subjeot, I will not argue the iatiter further. I' maintain again, however. that the Hoase has a right to expect the Government vill give us all the information in respect of the detuils of the Confederation tcherme as may be necesaary to understand theroughly all its provisions. My opimion' is, that as much power as possible should have been entrusted to the local yoverntuents, and as little as is consistent with the functions it will have to discharge to the Central Governinent, and my reswon for entertaining this opinion' is, that the Suprome Government, with its power of purse and ite control of the armies, will always.
be more disposed to stretch its prerogatives and to trench upon the domain of the local governments than to narrow down and retain its authority. The scheme then; in my opinion, is defective in that it inverts this order. aud sives to the General Government too much polier and to the local governments too little. As' it is now, if the scheme goes into operation, $t$ ie local governments will be in danger of being crushed (éeriusés') by the General Guverument." The' tendency of the whole: scheme seems to be one of political retrogres"sion instead of advancernent.

## Hox: Sir E P TACHE-Hear! hear!

Hon. MR. OLIVIER-I amglad the Hon. Premier seems so strongly to approve of what I siy.

HoN. SiR E. P.TACHE-Ah! but it is exactly the contrary.
-HoN. Ma: OLIVIER-Then I am sorry not"to obtain his approval-(laughter)-but nievertheless I hold that the policy disclosed in the seheme is a backward policy. I want to prorress, I wart to see the country adyance, I want to see the liberties of the country unfolding and expanding'; but instead of this our rulers are narrowiug them down and restricting thcir free exercise; (Hear.) They are now proposing to take away the elective minciplis its application to this Chamber, and that tion, without evin haring received a petition on sign of any kind from the poople that nuch is their wistr If this is not a policy of petrugresion I can hardly inggine what would be. I was riot sent here to assist in doing uny such thing, and sm not aware that there has been any eridence of de desire in the country for a return to the old mode of appuintuent by the Crown. I am not aware of ane conpphint; or of any dissatisfaction Whatever with the present constitution of the Lusislative Council, 'and I therefore' regard it as not a little strange that a few gentiemen," without missivu ur warrant," should havedenisd sueh a chinge, and should be trying to press it upon the Legislature and the coontry. The pablic mind in taver of a Contederg in the public mind in favor of a Confederation ot Cunada and the Maritime Provinces, and ?o tar, perhaps, from being opposed to it peirsinally, I would be glad it it could be accompisthed upin principles I cau approve. I do uot wint. huwever, to see the local governments cruhted, under a great central power, and I ain, sure the people cannot wish, and do not wish, to gise if the principle of election in respect of this. House. They had fought wo lung tor the privilege to do that, and one
thing was quite clear, we were not "sent to Parliament to destroy our present Constitution. There is "a great difference between' making machinery work and breaking it to peices, and I maintain that we were elected to legis: late within the Constitution, not to legislate away the Constitution. When I was elected I expected to go back to my constituents to give them an account of the manner in which I had fultilled the duty entrasted to me, not to take adyantage of my position to provide for myself a seat for life. No, my constituents never gave me" this right, nor was any elected member entrusted with it, and whoever assume to vote away the liberties of the' people in this manier betray their mandate - If it was desired that the people should surrender this right they should have been informed of such desire in good time, so that they might have considered the question; but without warning them, or consulting them. this most highly-prized principle was buttered away to the Lower Provinces for a Confetor: ation which could not last. The Ihon, Commissioner of Crown Lands hatd told the House that the repartition of Loswer Canula accoriling to the present electoral divisions had lueen retained for the purpose; of protecting the British population of Lower ( anada. I think that if, with the retaining these efectoral divisions the elective pringiple was also retained; the French population of Luwer Canata' would also find in it their protection." For then each division would be free to choose for its representative in the Fedcral Legislative Council a man attached to the institations of Lower Canada, while, in mivin, the nouination of the legislative, comellors to the Federal power; the latter would be at liberty to chouse whansoever it thought proper, and, unfortunately - a circamanane which I do not anticipate, but which may inceur - the General Goveranient, when formed, might be surrounded by coteries inimical to Lower Cunada interests, and be ked by then to choose members for the Levislative Couneil hostile to the views of Lower Candda. I consider, therefore; an elective Leqislative Council in the Confederation as essential to the interests of Lower Canada: Nuthing is gained politically by the scheme any more than tinancially: The honorable méniber for Niayara bas abondantly proved that all the results to Canada would be a sacritice of priaples and of money. To assure the advantages to themselves of the subene of Confederation, the Lower I'rovinces bad stipulated first for tho conatruction of the Intervolonial Railway,
and we would in consequence have to expend twenty millions for that object, besides paying $\$ 63,000$ a year to New Brunswick for ten years, and $\$ 150,000$ a year to Newfoundland forever: To be sure, in the latter case we would have the produce of the: mines of that island, but I would ask any one who knows the value of those mines, how much they would be worth to us?
Hos. Mr. CAMPBELL said, with respect to the Newfoundland mines, that he had had communicated to him a note from Sir William Logay, the provincial geologist; Which would probably throw some light upon the subject. 'The note was written noofficially and without the remotest reference to the question under debate, and therefore might be taken as good evidence in the case. It was as follows :-

There is no part ot the whole surface, accord ing to my present impression, which deserves mure attention than Newfoundland. oThere is, in that istand, a grat developraent of the formatưns wheh promise so considerable a mineral result in the Eastern Townghips . The coast of the istañd abounels with' eorsd harbors, and the avalable minerals would, in very many cases, ex"tud to the coast:" Newfoundiasd is the part of the area nearest to Europes. The surface of the shand, wot being in general very'favorable for Hgrin !tare, minity might become the means of pribe ems loymentito labor and attractins popu: Gation; while the indand requires an increase of whabitants tu make the more available the im pertant position it occupits tor the detence of the: St. Law rence aid the cuuntry beyond on its bauks:,

## Atter the dinner recess-

How Ma ULIVIER continued his re marks. He said: When the Council adjourned at six oclook, I was speaking of the loland it Newfinuadland, to which we grant a subsidy of $\$ 1$ ou, 000 per annum, and not for one year only, but fior ever. I was saying, tuoreviver, that I was' apprehensive that some of us were igaorant of the facts which night bave. led the delegates at Quebee to grant that sum to the Island of Newtoundland. Bat It seems, if 1 perfectly understood what was said, that that suit was granted as an indomnity for giving up the produce of the public Lunds, mines and forests. Wo are told by the Honorable Cominissioser of Crown Lands (Hoa. Mr. Caspesenc) that he had been inbraed by the provincial geologist, Sir. W. lochas, that there really are wines in the Island of Newfoundland. I was anxious to tearn from the Hon. Commissioner whether an official exploration of the country had ever been made, whether is had evor boan ascos.
tained what kind of mines existed in Newfoundland. The information which he gave Was not derived from official reports, and I am extremely anxious to know whether there is any documentary evidence of the existence of the pretended riches of Newfoundland, in woods, mincs and public lands.
Hon. Sir E. P. TACHÉ-The hònorable member may go on ; in the course of the de bate he will receive satistiactory information.

Hon. Mr. OLIVIER - Very Hell; but I see by the statistics, on the contrary, that there is no timber on the island beyond what is necessary for the building of the huts'or cabins of the fishermen who iuhabit it, and that there is no land fit for cultiyation belonging to the Crown; and, as to mines, I donot believe any official exploration has been made to ascertain their existence in the island.

Hon. Sia E. P. TACHE-It is a wellascertained fact that there are mines in the Island of Newfoundland of great value. As to the grant of 8150,000 yearly subsidy, I must observe to the honorable mender that it Was intended to make up for the revenue given ap by Newfoundland to the Confederation, amounting at present to $\$ 400,000$.
Hos Ma: OLIVIER-Another reason why I cannot approve of the plan of Confederation, as it is now presented to us, is that I consider it as a retrograde step in the polititical progress of the counatry. The spirit of modern society is to give to the people as much politioal liberty as possible, and it is my belief that by this plan of Confederation wo shall sacrifice. whatever liberty is already possessed by the people of this country. When I expressed this idea, a short time since, the Honorable Prouiner seemed to give an ironical assent to it, as if he considered niy notions exaggerated. I am bound to tell him that I neithor love aor approve of mob. rule aiy more than he does, but I have always
held as a political princip held as a politieal principle; that as uuch political liberty as possible should be conceded to the nasses, combined alivays with a Governuent strong enough to maintain order and administer the laws; aud lierein I consider that I conform to the principles of modera societs, fithout giving in to the dictates of detuagogy. I am fayorable to democracy, but not to demagogy, and in this sense I spoke. I say, then, that in taking from the people for all time, the right which they accuired after long struggles of electing nuemben to thes Liouse, we are retrograding, making a step buckward, and I am sure the poople will not look upon this project with a favorable eye. We are
told that Confederation is become necessary for the defence of "the country. For one moment I will admit that it might increase our means of defence, but that is assuredly no reason for urging the adoption of the measure, as now attempted to be done. With Confedergation, neither the number of meen in the several provinces, nor the peciniary resources now at their disposal,' will" be increased. I cannot see what vast increase of strength this Confederation is to give forthwith, for England is fully entitled at this moment to dispose, without let or hindrance; of all the resources, both in men and money, possessed by the colony, just "as well, as, she will be after Confederation is effected. That is therefore no reason to make us urge on the adoption of the measure, especially as we risk nothing by giving the people time to study, examine and understand the new Constitution of which we are desirous to make them a present. They tell us that the Intercolonial Railway is to be a military road. But if it be sor tow happens it that nobody has thought of another part of the country in which a military road is much more called for. I can hardly believe that anybody can be serious in this, while they: overlook the real military road which would be wanted in the event of hiostilities,-I mean a railway between Quebec and Moutreal, on the north shore of the River. St. Lawrence: In order to render the Interechinial, Ruilway of any avail an a military road, the Sorth whore failway must alio be built, for the present road on the south shore wiay be eavily cut and occupied by the enemy: Leavor Quebee it takes tha direction of tho Chited, states, and leaving Montreal it tike the same diretion to meet the other branch at Richumad. In case of war the Americas would hase but a short distuice ti) alvinge" in tahe purocosion of either one ur the "ther of theres. branches. I thall now proceed to examiae whether the plin of Confederation is really what it seems to be $I$ hear it suid that Confederation, as it is promed, will be a Federal union-bucit areus to me that it will be rather a Legislative union, at teat ta fur an" regards the most inportant anturests of Lower C'anada. The $29 t h$ "retion of the scheme submited to us says "The Federal Parliunent shall bave the power of making liws tor the pate, the well being, and the gond" government of the Confederate prowince, and in particular in respect of the tollowing tiatters." The powers of the Fuleral tensernment will ke in reality unlimited.g The fact of the enuratation of these thirty-spen heads does not in the least
restrain the power of the Federal Government from legislating on cyerything., The exeep tions are few. I would atk the Hoiorable Premier, for instance, whether the Federal Government has not the power towenact that marriage is a civil contract? He cannot dèny it, and I do not believe that that clause will in any way suit Lover Cañada. In a matter of divore, T"epasider that the power of levislating upor it ought to beovested in the Federal Governuent ; but as to the passing of a marriage act, we have the authority of the past to convuce us that Lower Camada will never be satistied with what is proposed in the plat of Confederation. Ona" former occasion; when a member of the Parliament of Canada moved to enact that marriage should be made a civil coutract, all the members for Lower Canada voted against the muotion, and the whyle country was oppored to it. I shall also inquire whet her the federal Government' will not have the rieht to enact that religious eorporations shall no longer exist in the country, or that they shall not be alluwed to hold real property, excent whit is abolutely, necessary for thei louting aceom modation. According to the revolutinas which have been submitted to us, the Bederal Ger cramentiwould certinuly have this right." It has beeta and that article 15 of the tion resolution replits to this inbjection, but $\mathrm{I}_{\text {con }}$ see nothing in that" artide wheth restricts the right of the Federal Covernment to beginhe on this 'mater. ' The fi:rd resedution detine: the powing of the her qovernmentes, and art: cle 15 of that 'resolution' declares that they may tuake laws rempeting "property and civil riphte excepting thisy portions therow tavioned to the "eneral Parlighment," Thas article reserves the freal levinatures nothing relative to religions, corporation's, and the Federal Giverntuent whald thave full power th decree that thone corperations shall nit hod immevable property. The supreme "piwer : that which has, the right to lexishate upori, and rexulate the existencerot, the corporationt, in question, and they cim only possens ceive rights कo boy the the Government permits them to exist. The sume might be said ot uost ${ }^{\text {dit }}$ the 'insticutinns to which Luwer Cinata attached. I am theretove rifhe it saying that, so fur is thow thatg which Lower Cagus tust holdy to are cencerned, Catederation is in fact a lesistative union, becruse upon the Federal Goverminent is confered the right of legislatiing apon those subjects 'which Lower Canada bolds moge dear. it appears to mes that it is the more important wot to proced
so rapidly as it is proposed to do, because it is extremely difficult to foresee what will be, the bearing of the platform which it is proposed to erect. I have just cited the rights which Confederation would confer upon the Federal Government in respect of certain points ; but there are other interests whioh may perhaps be imperilled by this measure-I will cite, for instance, the rights of the creditors of the provinces.
Hon. MR. CAMPBELL-They will have the guarantee of the ConPederation.

Hov. Sir E:P. TACHE-The rights of the cieditors of the province will form the subject of an arrangemont between Upper and Lower Canada at a later period but the creditors will have the guarantee of the whole Confederation.

How. Me. OLIVIER-I see nothing of that in the resolutions.

Hon.SIR E P.TACHE-All the details are not included in the resolations; but as to the balance of $\$ 5,000,000$ which will have to be divided between Upper and Lower Canada,
and which constitutes the differ and which constitutes the difference between the $862,000,000$ of debt which will be assumed by the Contederation, and the $\$ 67,000,000$ which Canada owes, a division will be made before Parliament is dissolved.
Hon. Ma. OLIVIER-I understood that the debts were to be divided and that the indemnity to the seigniors, for instance, for the abolition of the Seigniorial Tenure was to be imposed entirely on Lower Canada. "If there are any verbal explanations beyond what is contained in the resolutions, I am quite willing to receive thom from the Government; but that is just the reason why we should not be in a hurry to adopt these resolutions antil "we have those explanations; for it night be dangerous not to have all these questions settled before yoting for Confederation; who can say whether we can settle them as well after as before? These promises of explanations - shew that, since all the facts are not submitted, we may easily mistake the meaning of the resolutions which we are called upon to adopt. In any case, I certainly mee nothing in these resolutions which gives the seigaiors the guarantee of the Confederation as seourity for their claim," and I can only judge of the resolations by what they contain, especially in the absence of any explanation of the details. The Minister of Finaince (Hon. Mr. Galt) stated that the debt due to the seigniors monld fall on Lower Canada alone, and this does not. agree esaotly with what the Prime Minister has just said. As I said a short time
ago, when speaking of the school question, $I$ would not vote for a Constitution which would not confer on the Catholics of Upper Canada the same advantages as are possessed by the Protestants of Lower Canada, and I consider that this is a matter that should be settled. before taking a vote on the resolutions, for when Confederation is once voted it may easily happen that we shall not be able to obtain what is promised us now. We therefore are in a position which may make us sacrifice the minority of Upper Canada by voting Confederation now, or make us vote against a principle which we might perhaps accept, if we were acquainted, with all its details. For my part, I acknowledge that I would not cause the plan of Confederation which is proposed to us to miscargy, if it is possible to make it. just, acceptable and useful to all parties. But for this I:will not sacrifice the interests of a portion of the popula-* tion. Another point apon which we require explanations, and respecting which we have none, is that relating to the constitution of the local governments. Now, for instance, some journals which usually express the views and opinions of the present Government, have stated that in all the local governments the system of responsibility of the ministers to the people or their representatives would not exist, but that an irresponsible system would be substituted for it. I ask which of you would accept such a system, and what part of the people would approve of such an altera:tion in our political institutions? You are told "vote for the plan submitted to you, and the details will be explained to you at a later period." But at a later period neither Upper nor Lower Canada will be master of the position, and able to obtain the system of government which may suit them, should that imposed upon them not meet their views. But, yet once more, why hurry you so much? Why, for instance, should this House be called upon to sit twice a-day on this question before even its details are known? Why depart from our custom of examining matters in a calm and deliberate manner. Certainly, up to the present time, not one valid reason has been given to justify the harry in which it is proposed to carry this measure. Mention has indeed been made of defence, but this is no valid reason, for it is perfectly well known that all the resources of Canada are now at the disposal of England in case of need; this precipitate action is then neither justified nor justifiable. I ask whether we know the plan of Consederation whioh is subuitted to us;
and, unfortunately, I must answer my questipn in the negative. Surprise has been expressed in certain quarters at the opposition which has arisen to this measure after all the advantages whioh we were promised should result from it. Thus it was said that under Confederation we should obtain coal from Nova Scotia without having any duty to pay. This reasoning might appear to carry a certain amount of force with it, but I must say that it is in fact captious, for wo find at the present day that we can indeed get this coal, but by paying the export daty exuctly like foreign countries." Would there, then, be no real free trade between the different parts of the same Confederation? Would the position of the provinces," in this respect, "remain as it is to-day ${ }^{\text {. }}$ The proof of what I state bere is found in Hon. Mr. Galt's speech to his con-stituents:-

In Nova Scotia a considerable revenue was dèrived from a royalty on coal mines, and its repre. sentatives at the Conference stated that if the General Góvernment imposed an export duty on coal it would annihilate one of their most important resources, and, therefore, Nova Scotia has been allowed to regulate herself the export duty on coal, precisely as New Brunswick enjoys that right as regards its timber.*
This duty which Nova Scotia may impose on the cxport of its coal, whatsoever it may be styled, is then in reality an export duty, and the result, as regards ourselves, is to leave us still in the same position if we must pay the duty in order to get the coal of that province. The argument based on the fact that we could obtain coal from Novà Scotia without paying an import duty, is thas destroyed; since the duty will still exist. I have already stated that the plan subnitted for our approval is exceedingly complex, and that it is not easy to foresee the difficultied that will arise between the local governments and the Federal Government. It may, perhaps, be asserted that these difficulties cannot be very serious, inasmuch as the local governments will not possess any large powers ; but if it is designed to make them real governments, and not mere municipalities, they may be opposed to the Central Government on a host of questions. Take, for instance, the question of the fisheries. Article 17, of the 29 th resolution, gives to the Federal Parliament the power of legislating on the "sea coast and inland fisheries." Under the 8th article of the 43 rd resolation; the local legislatures will also have the right of legislatiog on the "sea coast and inland fisheries." Thus
the local legislatures and the Federal Legislature will have the right to legislate on the same subjects. And if the laws they make are in opposition the one to the other, what will be the result? And this may well happen, for we know that in the Gulf, for instance, there are fisheries which are of the highest importance for the people of Lower Canada, as well as for the people of the adjoining colonies, of which the latter have taken possession, and sought to exclude our people from them. Now, if the Local Government of Lower Canada made laws to protect its subjects and insure to them the right to these fisheries, would it not be in the power of the Federal Goverament to interfere and prevent it? "And if' this were to happen, would it not give rise to endless antipathies and struggles between the two governments? Lower Ganada would not saffer such: an interference without feeling it very strongly; and what I have just said with reference to the fisheries might also, occar with reference to a large number of questions. And it is quite evident that if the Local Government, acting in the interests of a province, were arrested in its action by the Federal Government, the people would take sides with their Loeal Government and become disaffected towards -the Central Government:
Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL-This question of the fisheries is, no doubt, divided between the local governments and the Federal Gorernment, but it is evident, that in order that justice may be done to each part of the Confed. eration in an impartial manner, the general legislation must be left to the General Govern: ment, while the application of the internal details within the limits of the tisheries of a prorince, mast be left to the local legislatares.

Hon. Mr. OLIVIER-The argument I have brought forvard, with reference to fish: eries, is applicable to oiner questions, and is merely to show that the preseut plan is complex, that thero are conficting interests in the different colonies, and that the settlement of them, in one sense or in another, might be productive of discontent in the country, and create a spirit of dissatisfaction among the people: Some one has said that this project is riewed favorably in England, and that for that reason we ought to aceept it in order to prevent the evil consequenees that might arise from our opposition to the project. . For my. part, I do not believe that England would iisist so strongly on the details as they ari insisted on here, but I believe hor desiry is, that the plan should be just and accoptable,
and that it should be thoroughly' understood by the people before it is adopted; she is less anxious to enforce the details of the project than to see the people of the provinces content and satisfied with it. If a large portion of the people were opposed to the project, I do not think that Englaind would approve of forcing this project apon them without giving time to examine it or to pronounce upon it. The opinion of the country upon this plan is, today, quite unknown. I am quite aware that certain memberscan vote in favor of the project with the certainty of their course ineeting the approval of their constituents; for instance, those whose elections have taken place since the plan was subinitted to the country: But in those parts of the country where no elections have taken place, it is impossible to say that the peoplo will be satisfied or that they will endorse the action of their representatives in voting for Confederation, because we have not been enabled to make it knowa. Thus when my constituents invited me to "a' public meeting to discuss the subject, I was compelled to admit that $I$ could not tell them what tho plan of Con: federation was; that I could not commúnicate it to them, because the resolutions I had received were private. I also told them that I did not wish to form ing opinion before hearing the disoussion and learning the details; but today we are refused the details, and the adoption of the project is pressed without affording us time to study it as it is. An attempt will probably be made to injure us in the opinion of our electors it we vote zainst this project, and we shall ber accused of haviag opposed Confederation; but I trust the peoplo will see that we cannot vote for a thing with which we are not acquainted, and that we shall have their approval-in the course we shall adopt. When the project of Confederation is submitted to the Eiglish Parliament, they will take it for granted that the people of this country approve of it ; but they syill never suppose that the measure has been forced upon the people without affording them the opportanity of pronouncing for or against it. But there is another thing it is not surprising that this project should be looked upon with a favorable oye in England, for public opinion is composed specially of that of the industrial and commercial classes, and it is the interest of thoee classes to favor Confederation. Bat lot us well consider whethor the interetsts of those classes is ours aleo." I consider that oup present political course. should be to gee to the interests of the agri.
culture, the trade and the industry of our country, before laboring to build up that of English traders and artisans. If by Confederation we unite provinces, the inhabitants of which find it their interest to have a very low tariff adopted, it might very well happen that the agricultural interest of Canada might not find itself so well off, and in such a case what would be the result? The result would be that we should very soon have an enormous debt, and that, should the customs revenue not saffice to meet it and provide for the expenditure, the deficit would have to be made up by means of direct taxation, which would weigh upon the agriculture and industry of the country If we have a tariff of twenty per cent., it protects the industry of our native land, and is a source of revenue wherewith to provide for the public expenditure; but if we make it too low, real property will suffer, for on it will be laid the burthen imposed to meet the deficit:- Confederation would appear to me to be very costly, for money is scattered on all sides in handfuls. Thus it is proposed to construct the Intercolonial Railway, which will cost at least 820 000,000 ; to Upper Canada is given 816,000 ,000 to improve its canals; 8150,000 a year is given to Newfoundland, as a compensation for mines which perhaps do not exist, and $\$ 63,000$ to New Brunswick; and after all this the Local and Federal Governments have the powar conferred on them of adding new taxes to those which already exist in order to meet the expenditure; and I have no doubt whateger but that they will avail themselves of that permission. All this is deserving of consideration, and theso are reasons which should indace the Government to submit the question to the people, instead of wishing to have it decided at once; for, even allowing the measure to be absolutely a good one, the people will always regard it with mistrust if it is thrust upon them. What! we are told that we are perhaps on the eve of a war with our neighbors, and we ran the risk of dissatisfying the people by imposing a system upon them to which they are perhaps opposed. It is not onily in the district of Montreal that the submission of the question to the people is called for-the Toronto Leader says that the peoplo ought to bo consulted, and this appears to me to be most reasonable. For my part, I ama in favor of an appeal to the people, and I cannot approve of Confederation being thrust upon them without their being consulted. Let it be well understood, if it is wished that the population should make sacrifices for its
government in case of war, we must not begin by rendering them discontented and disaffected. Let a fair and equitable system of Confederation be proposed; and let the people have' an opportunity of examining into it and approving of it, and then'no man will shrink from the necessity of making the greatest sacrifices to defend the Constitution which has been freely accepted by the people. It may be said that the people would be compelled to march at the point of the bayonet; but the risk of such a course is great, for the arm is but feeble when it is not animated by the heart; and to defend a country effectually the heart of the people must be in the cause. The Prime Minister stated that the object of Con"federation was to strengthen the monarchical principle in this country. I do not see that it is necessary to confer upon the Crown greater privileges than it already possesses in England itself. In England the members of the House of Lords are not appointed by the Crown; succession in the peerage goes down hereditary from father to son ; but here it $\begin{gathered}\text { ins } \\ \text { proposed }\end{gathered}$ that the members of the Legislatire Council, which body corresponds: to the House of 'Lords, should be selected by the Crown. Why thould this be ?' Why go beyond what is done in England itself? Is in that the Crown complains that it has not sufficient power here? As to the statement that it is proposed to tistablish in America, by means of Confederation', a counterpoise to the influence and power of the United States, I would ask whether that would not in itself constitute the best pretext which the Government of the United States could wish for upon which to declare war against us. At the present time, I am not of opinion that the American people are desirous of seeking a quarrel with us; just now they have quite enough to attend to. But if, their Government should think it to their interest to declare war against Englañd, the best pretext which they could bring for ward, to excite the American people againstus would certainily be this pretended counterpoise which it is sought to establish. "It is well known that the Monroe doctrine is a principle to which all the people of the United States are attached, and, should we give them an opportunity, they would avail themselves of it to put that doetrine into practice. Since Confederation does not in reality increase the strength of the colonies, why should we give umbrage to the Government of the United ${ }^{\circ}$ States, and provide them with the means of animating their people against us in case of $t^{\text {the breaking out of hostilities? If the means }}$
for the defence of the country were increased, I would say, let us throw agide all these considerations, but such, in my opinion, is not the case. In conclusion, I woyld implore the Government to grant to the people the time and the opportunity of convincing themselves that the Constitution which it has prepared is a good one,"and that it has really been planned with a view to their interests; and, in that case, I predict that when the time for defence comes, the people will maroh like one man. But if it is intended to thrust it upon them by main force, and without consulting them, we inusit not, we cannot; expect them to defend their land with the like zeal.:- I consider that this demand is no more than just, both to ourselves and to the people whom:we represent. So far as I myself am concerned, I did not come here to fight against Confederation and destroy it at any price, bat I certainly will not vote for it without being acquainted with it in all its details:" (Cheers.)

The debate was then adjourned till tomörrow.

$$
\text { Tčzspaý, Feburucuy: 14, } 1865 .
$$

Hon. Sir. N. F. BELLEEAU said-Hon. gentlemen, the discussion on the scheme of Confederation has already been protracted, and little really remains to be said, for all the objections to the scheme have been made by the ove side and raplied to by the other. I may; however, bo permitted to offer a few remarks on one or two of the objections which wero urged again yesterrday. For the last three ycars the country has witnessed i state of things Which by many persons-bas been designated governmental anarchy: Government after governmention rapid suegession have grasped the helon of state. A state of conflict existed between. political parties which was hourly on the increase; a conflict which threateped to arrest the progress of public business, and which drew the minds of our statesimen to covisider whether any means could be adopted to renedy this state of things. The men of influence throughout the country' af length decided to unite, and have come to the conclusion that a remedy was to be found in a Confederation of the British stmerican Provinces. It is not to bo wondered at that this plan should have attracted the atteation of the present Administration, for it was not a new one, and the question. had already been brought bef.re the country
on several occasions. In the Confederation of these provinces-are to be found elements which give promise, nay, contain the germ of a power which will one day take its place among the pations of the world. And in considering this Confederation of the British North American Provinces, I am reminded of the fable of the bundle of sticke, which I learned in my childhood, and which so exactly applies to the presenf circumstances. This fable tells us that the sticks when bound together were strong enough to resist all the efforts made to break them; but that when separated they were broken one by one with but little effort: It seems to me that the lesson afforded by this fable may be well applied to the question of Con-federation-separated we are weak, united we shall be strong. Commerce, population, manufactures, progress, in a word, all the elements requisite to constitute a powerful nation are contained in the united colonies; but "these become of little consequence if allowed to be atilized by each separate colony. And not only would the union of these ilements constitute the Confeceration a great power amongst the other nations of the world, but there wôpld be found amongst its population a number of stardy arms, snficient, with the aid of Great Britain, to repel foreign aggrestion. I do not belong to that school which pretends that io case of invasion on the part of the United States, "the best thing we could do would be to re:main passive with folded" arms: That is not my idea. : Such notions may flatter the opiaions ant desires of those tho have republient leanings, of annexationists and of onti-Canadians, who are nothing less than enemies of the monarchical system in this country. I have no sympathy with those Who place themselves at the head of the republican and, annexationist' school, for I see in them none of those national aspirations of which every man is always proud. With these few reyarks to shew the neces: uity of Confederation, and that its first result will be the prodaction of a nēr and powerful people, I propose to consider the terios $\therefore$ conditions of the scherie, and whether Tawer Canada will fod in them the protecion its interests; demand. The first point
Which I directed my attention was to as.
tain what guarantees Lower Canada would find in Confederation for its lamis, its religion phit its autonomy. I. find the guarantee of ." these things in that article of the
soheme which gives to Lower Canada the local government of its affairs, and the control of all matters relating to its institutions, to its laws, to its religion, its manufactures and its autonomy, "Are you not all prepared, hon. gentlemen, and you especially members. from Lower Canada, to make/some fem sacrifices in order to have the control of al those things to which I have just referred, and which are all to be within the jurisdiction of the local governments. Are you not ready to make some few sacrifices to see an end put to those struggles which have been constantly recurring during the last fer years, to the imminent peril of Lower Canada and of its institutions-dangers which still exist and which might even now become only too apparent were the friends who have sustained the combat to grow weary, or to give way and leave the field to their adversaries? If we persist in striving to obtain too much, if we are anwilling to make' any sacrifice; we may lose the whole result of these struggles and the advantages now offered for our acoeptance. For my part the consideration that we shall have the control of our local affairs in Lower Canada, under the Conf deration, is a sufficient inducement to vote in favor of the scheme now submitted to us, even although it offered us no other advantage, But, without entering into the details, I now propose to reply to certain objections which have been urged, and prove that it is for ồur interest to adopt this plan. One of the very first objections raised has been offered by the honorable member for the Wellinyton Divison (Hon. Mr. Sanborn). He has stated that he conld not vote for Confederation because the had not regeived the sanction of his constituents to change the Constitution of his country. Whilst; however, he makes this statement, the same honorable gentleman proposes, nevertheless, to bhange the Constitution which fe declares his electors have not given him authority to ohange in any particular. This is the resolation which he proposes in amendment:

Upper Canada to be represented in the Legis. lative Conicil by twenty-four elective members, and Lower Canada by twenty four elective members, and the Jlaritime Provinces by twenty-foar members, corresponding with the twenty-four elective members in each section of Canada, of which Nova Scotia shall have ten, New Bruns: wick ten, and Priace Edward Island shall have four, and the present members of the Legislative Council of Canada; as well life members as eleetive membery, shall be members of the first

Legislative Council of the Federal Parriament the appointed members to remain for life, and the elective members for eight years from the date of their election, unless removed by death or other canse; theirir successors to be elected by the same divisions and electors as have elected them.

Well, honorable gentlemen, if the honorable member from Wellington has not received authority to change the Constitution of this country, certainly he has not the right to make the amendment which he proposes, an amendment which is full of contradiction. The honorable gentleman says that he has no objection to rote for Confederation after having consulted hiselectors. Well, althoughi' he may not have much faith in the maxim Vox populi, iox Dei, the honorable member has declared that the rule of his conduct has alvays been, Salus populi'suprema lex. I have no doubt, however, that he would say, Salus meus suprema lex est, if hé were appointed life nember, and that he would. have no scruple as regards amending the Constitution.: The same argument has been urged by the honorable' nember for Lanaucierre (Hon. Mr. Olivira): Well, I think that that honorabie gentleman will not, without difficulty, extricate himself from the dilemma in which $I$ am about to place him.' He has also stated that he had receiv-: ed no authority from his censtitucnts to alter the Constitution. If he has not received this authority, he ought to vote against the amendment which is now proposed, the object of which is to alter the Constitution. If this objection were a serious one, why do not members who are desirous of consulting their electors resign their seats, and seek re-election on this question, instead of setting the whole country in a blaze by a dissolution? Bat no, they told their arms and say that a dissolution does not affect them, the Council wrould not be affected by it: They are not, thea, serious in asking for an appeal to the people. The hon. memberfor.Grandville (Hon. Mr. Letelariza de St. Just) has also read somethicu to the same purpose. I call upon ham, then, to. resign his seat and to consult his constituente; but as he has already tried the experiment lately in two important places ia his division, he knows that the ground trembles under his feet. I do not think he would care to make the trial, as the result might be very far from gratifying.

Hon. Ma. LETELLIER DEST.JUST -I am ready to resign tomoriow, if you.
will come and contest the division with me.

Hon Sir N. F. BELLEAU-I will not go myself, but others will, and I venture to predict that you will be left at home. I trust, then, that we shall hear no more about this want of authority to alter the Constitution, for it is only a roundabout way of defeating the scheme of Confederation, out of pure party feeling. ." It has also been said that the electors were taken by sarprise, and that they did not know that was being done-that they did not Know what the plan of Confederation was until it was discussed here ; but those who have taken part in publie affairs since 1838 cannot say this, for the question has been laid betore the country for discussion several times since that period, and always by official acts. No one has forgotten the celebrated speech made by the Hon. Mt.. Galty, in 1558, when he joined the CartiertMacionale Ministry; in Which he declared himself to be in favor of a Confederation of the provinces. It has not been forgoten that Hon. Mesirs. Galt, Cabtier and Ross then inado a vogage to England to lay before the Minister for the Colonies their riess on the subject of Confederation. It is true that from that period up to last year, but little was said about it, because there had been a change in the Faglish Government, and it was necessary to recommence all that had been dono; but if the question was not talked about in England, it was not allawed to sleep hère. No one has forgotien the Speech from the Throne; delivered in Toronto in 1858, by Sir EDMUND Head, in which he spoke of the necessity of enquiring into the matter, and laying it before the country. Most certainly no better means of submitting it tw the people could have been adopted. Subsicquently the question was mooted again and again in the House of Assembly up to the time when, governments succeeding each other like flashes of lighting, it became necessary to have recoutse to a coalition, ia order to put an end to the anarely which prevailed in the political affiirs of the country. The coalition in question wats bised on the prin: ciple of Coniederation. The members of that Government, by a happy and unusual concurrence of circumstances, had an opportunity of meeting at the Charlottetown Conferesce to discuss the question, and enter slightly upon the subject of a general Confideration. They again met at Quebee,
together with all the delegates from the Lower Provinces, and the result of their labors is the plan which is now laid before us. Bat there is more to be said, for before the pregent Ministry had entered upon the consideration of the plan, with their colleagues of the Gulf provinces, His Excellency had alluded to it in his Speech, and had said that it was absolutely necessary that a conclusion on the subject should be come to And besides, the leading papers of this province and of the Lower Provinces, have loug been engaged in the discession of the question. The details have been examined in all their bearings to satiety... In view of all these facts, I would ask how it can be said that the people do not know what the question is? "No, this is merely a pretext Which is made nse of to overthrow the plan. But another fact which goes to prove that the people have not been taken by surprise in this matter is, that within the last ten months, there have been twelve elections of legislative councillors, and it cannot be said that when those elections took place, : the question of Confederation was not before the pablic. This , would be to state a falsehood in the full glare of noonday. The hon. member for Lanaudière (Hon. Mr. Olivieri), yesterday said that a Montreal paper had stated that he had declared himself opposed to Confederation, and he hastened to contradict the assertion. But. I mast say that When he appeared before his constituents and talked of retrogradesteps:-when he eaid that, for his part, he should consider it a step back in civilization, if he roted against the clection of momtiers of this Honse, I consider that it was jdle of him to say that he had not declared himself opposed to Cunfederation.

Hos. Ma. OLIVIER-The details.
Hon. Sir N.: F. BELLEAUU-Details and principle. I say then that at the elections which have taken place, the members clected, unless they did not choose to do their duty, must have spoisen of the Con. federation, and above all, of the manner in which tho Legislative Council would be treated in that Coufederation. And if these details were entered into, the people are acquainted with the subject in question. And not only have there been elections, but there have been publio meotings in large nanibers throughout the whole conntry, that is to Eay, wherever the opponents of Confederation could get them up without
danger to themselvas; and at all these meetings they did not require to be forced to speak of Confederation, and that in the most unfavorable terms possible. It is true that matters were not represented on those occasions in their true light, but the people at any rate knew what subject, was under discussion. The honorable member for Wellington (Hon. Mr. Sanborn) laid great stress on the danger which might be incarred by the Protestant minority in the local legislation of Lower Canada. He fears that they may not be safficiently protected by the Catholic majority in respect of their religion, their schools and possibly their property. I am astonished to hear such langrage from the lips of a man who, like myself, represents a division more than one-half of the population of which is French Canadian and Catholic, for that fact in itself is a proof of the liberality of our fellow-countrgamen. I heard that remark with pain : Wat I can tell him that the Protestant minority of Lover Canada have nothing, to fear from the Catholic majority of that province: their${ }^{2}$ religion is guaranteed by treaty, and thoir schools and the rights. Which may be connected with them, are to be settled by legislation to tako place here-after, and when that legislation is laid before the Houses, those members who so greatly tremble now for the rights of the Protestant minority: will have an opportunity of protecting that minority; they may then urge their reasons, and insist that the Protestants shall not be placed in a position of the slightest danger: But even granting that the Protestants were wronged by the Local Legislatare of Lower Canada, could they pot avail themselves of the protection of the Federal Legislature? And woald wot the Federal Government exercise strict surveillance over the aotion of the local legislatures in these mattery? Why should it be sought to give exist.ince to imaginary fears in Lower Canada? I say imaginary, Jecause the liberality of the inhabitants of Lower Cana-da-a liberality of which they gave proof long, long ago, by enacting the eraancipation of the Jews betore any other nation in the world Lad dreamed of such a measuro-is well known: 'Nu; far frou wishing tooppress other nationalifies; all thatt the French Canadians ask is to live at peace with all the world; they are quite willing that they should enjoy their rights, provited that all live peaceably together. (Hear.)

I cannot refrain from saying a word as to the Protestants of Lower Canada, and as to the liberality evinced towards them by the French and Catholic population. It is feared that we may combine together in order to treat them unjustly." I may be wrong in referring to the fact, but it is true that the French Canadianis have always, lived on more cordial terms with the English Protestants than with the Irish," who are nevertheless of the same religion, and of the same belief as thenselves. If this good feeling has alwaysexistsd, what is there to fear? The hon. member for Lanaudidre (Hon. Mr. OLIVIER) has said, that the plan of Confederation was not necessary, and in that he agreed with the hon, member for Grandville (Hon. Mr. Letelíier): He has stated that it would have been possible to regulate the difficulties which we have witnessed, without having recourse to Confederation, from the fact that many of these difficulties arose trom the hatred existing between curtain individuals.: Now, for my part, I do not believe that our political men were actuated by motives of mutual hatred. When I witnessed the struggles. Which occurred in the House of Asseubly, the votes of want of confideuce which were proposed, I always felt that tho who proposed them gave good reasuins tor so doing "But L"mas not alvare of the existence of hatred or personal jealousy between the parties, and that. upon the remonal of such feelings, the difficulties might 19 easily overcome.. $\therefore$ But the state : 'ment is made situply for want of any sound argu nents ugainst Confederation.. $\therefore$ The same hon. member also stated, that the minorities in Upper and Lower Canada wished to know the fate reserved for them, before voting for Con'federatiosiu, If he had rellected a little, he would hare learned that the fate of the minorities will be defined by the law, that their religion is guarantead by treaties, aud that they will be protected by the vigilance of the Federal Government, which will never permit the minority of one portion of the Confederation to be oppressed by the majority. The hon. member also fontends that the local governmeats ought to have larger powers than those proposed to be conferred upon them, and that the Federal Government ought/ to have fewer powers. To hear him, one cannot holp thinking that the experience of history is entirely lost on certain individuals. He must have been aware, however, that it is in
reference to the rights of particular states; that civil war now exists in the United States; nevertheless, he would implant in this country the same germ of discord. He would have more power below and less authority above. For my part I say the very contrary, if we wish to have a strong Government capable of enforcing respect for its authority when it shall be necessary to enforce it. The hon. member also stated that he has to confidence in: the exercise of the powers of the Federal Government, because it/would be surrounded by a clique
HoN.Me OLIVIER-I did not say that would be the case, but that, theoretically, it might occur, and that if it were surrounded by a clique, the rights of Lower Canada would be in danger.

Hon: Sir N. F. BELLEAU-That makes no difference; for be stated that he feared the Government would be surrounded by a clique. But is it not the national representation that will surround the Federal Government? Is that a clique? To say that our Government is a clique, is to vilify. the institutions of the country. The Government will be respousible to the Legislature. Let us uever lose sight of the fact, that our natienal representatives will almays see that Lower Canada shall have in the Federal Government one, or perhaps two, representa--tives-the number is not of importance. What is of importance is, that such one, or such two members, should represent in her Executire Council the national represertation, which will be composed of 65 members, in the Federal Legislature: Aud this, forsooth, is called a clique! I insist somewhat at length upon this priat, because the opera: tion of the principle of respoasible governnsent in the Federal Legislature is lost sight of. I beg to call the attention of Lower: Canada members to this. Suppose it were proposed to adopt a law in the Federal Legis. latare calcalated to injuro Lower Cagada, our 65 representatives in the House of Commons discuss the law, and decide that they must oppose it; they at onse comma. nicate with the members of the Goverament representing Jower Canada, and inform them that they cannot accept the measure, and that if it be passed, they will coalesce with the minority, which always exists under responsible goveriment, and that they will overthrow the Ministry. Sack is the weight of our influence in the Federal Government; and if this were not lost sight of, there
would be no grounds for fear. The ipfluence of Lower Canada will enable her to make and unmake governments at pleasure, when her interests shall be at stake or threatened. And if the importance of this responsibility of the Federal Government were well understood, there would be no anxiety about our institutions. The hon. mexiber also stated that he did not want to make a backward step in relation to the election of the members of the Legislative -Council In reply to that, I would state that the elective principle; as applied to the Legislative Council, becomes unnecessary in view of the uumierical strength of Lower Canada in the Federal Parliament, for the House of Commons is the iody that' will make and unimake ministers. Why have the elfoctive principle for the Legislative Conncil, sioce we shall hare it for the House of C'onmons, since we shall have a responsible Gobernment and a Fedcral Government, composed of memberg elected by the people? The hon. member has stated that he desired to ad yance with the intellect of the people, and not to take a backward step. These are great word-the intellect of the people! progress ! Bat for may part, I. ido not hesitate to assert that the people will gladly sacritice the election of the members of the Legislative Council, in. view of the control of all the matters I mentioned before: The hon. member has said that the elective principle, mould have beea the safe-guard of Lower Canada. I can understand this to be the case in' a House which is able to make and unmake administrations, But in a House which is indissoluble, I cannot discover sits importance. The safety of Lower Canada depends, not on the elective principle; bat on the responsibility of the members of the Executive to the House of Commons. I may be permitted to say one word on the subjeet of the elective right, as it is the grand panatea for all tho ills incident to hamanity. We must not shat our ages against evidence. Have we, since the unioa of several coanties .to form electoral divisions, seen persons of independent fortune and character,' who do not seek to make a gainful parsiuit of pulitics, offer thenie elves for election to the Legistative Council? I acknowledgo that the elections to seats in the Legislative Council which bave taken place so far have thad eseellent resalts : the members sent hither by their conasituencies have added nev lastre to the body; but has it not now become almost
impossible to get an independent man to stand? The contested elections in the large divisiuns have disgusted many who would do honor to the country, but who will not risk their fortune in an election; and if we see such a result already, what is it likely to be hereafter? We shall see political intriguers making their own of the electoral divisions as a living-living by polities and for polities only. We shall see what has been seen in other countries-people embracing political. life as a shield against their creditors, sheltering themselves under its egis against the law. Such men will fill this House, to the exclusion of honor and honesty. 1 say again, those who now compose this House ate honorable men, who are a credit to their conntry-in time, their seats will be filled by political intriguers. Another, and a final objection to Confeder:ation has beev made, namely, that having it, we shall not have increased the meavs of defence, nor the resources of the couptry. lf those who talk thus had taken time to consider the matter more carefully, they would not ho!d this opinion. It is evident that with the means of communication already provided, and the Intercolonial Railway, if a section of Upper Canada should be invaded by the enemy, the combined forces of the Confederation might be transported to the point threatened in a very short time, and we rould be in a position to show the enemy that naited we are strong. . We should be wilfuily blind not to see this. It has also bece alleged that in order to increase our means of defence, we should build the North Shore Railway, and that the Government who do not this are inefficient and renegades to their enuntry.

How. Mr. OLIVIER-I never made use of that expression.

Hon. Sir N. F. BELLEAU-True, you did not make use of the expression, but what you said amounted to that in meaning. According to the fon. member, the North Chore Railway vould be the salvation of the country. I believo the hon: meaber resides somewhere in the north, on the line of that road, (Hear, and laughter.) I believe that his motto is, Salus mea suprema lex est.(All for myself, nothing for others.) The North Shore Railway has had; and may again have, its adrantages; and as a channel of communication I should be glad to see it built, but at present the building of it would cont too dear When the military delences
projected by the prosent A dministration for the protection of the South Side Railway are completed, the north side road will not be required. The hon member has also said that he is desirous of giving the inhabitants of the country time to reflect on and study the scheme of Confederation, and that he does not see why we spould wish to urge on the $p$-sping of the a easure so strenuously.
LI have already observed that a plan was submitted to the Mother Country some years ago, but that a change of ninisters then had rendered the scheme abortive. The same thing may happen again, and if we consider the age of the Premier of England; and the uncertain position in which his Cabinet would stand if he should die, it will be plain that we have no time to lose. This is a very sufficient reason:for urging on the measure in the minds of these who hold that ic is destined to save the country. One more remark and I'have done. The hon. member (Hon. Mr. OLINiER) has adjured us not to wound the susceptible feelings of our neighbors,-nut to give umbrage to their sensitiveness, - by entering" into a Confed ration which mhat give them a pretext for carrying out the Mouroe doctrine. This is, I think, the most paltry reason that could be alleged in diseassing the most "important gu stion"of legislation which has ever ariven on this continent, so far an the fate of Cauada is conceroed. 1 thms that the measure is in every resp, ctsuitable and udrantageous to Canada. Aiy attempt to ubstruct it by. such coniderations, is a prouf of pusilfanimity, aud lhm st teel ashaned to hear the, expressun of themfrom the hps of a French Canadiua. (cheers.)
huy Ma, iHTELIIER DE ST, JUST - Hoburable fintlemen, after the speech which we"have just heard, l hope a few words will be allowed to me, for I havo been, I must say, perfectly astonished to hear such statements fall from the lips of the hoo. member who has just resumed his seat ; and if my object was to reply to him; I might satigly myself with saying:-

Oalaspo, ia his frenzy, I saw.
Experid all his strength and tonl.
Fromithe hold of their muther oarth to draw
Trues that clave not tis the soll.
It is must certainizy niy right, I condider, when I see an honorable member rise in his place, and say that we, the councillors elected by the people, are nobody because; our
pouches are not so well lined as those of certain hoporable members, to express my astonishment at the use of such lan. guage ; for we should be permitted to hold the opinion, that the value of the man is not to be measured by the amount of money which he may happen to possess. There is such a thing as a nobility of education and of intellect, as well as a moneyed aristocracy, and for my part, I consider that the former is. quite equal to the latter. In all countries in the world education has produced"a feeling of devotion to the country, while riches alone have often produced but sordid ararice. The hon. member pretends that if the elective principle continues to be applied to the Legislative Cour cil, the result will very soon be that all those adventurers' who seek to live in political life and by political life, will drive from our midst all men of merit, and will then control the affairs of the conntry. For my part; I by no means stand in dread of such a result, for I know that there is too much good senseamong the people to make it possible that they will ever consent to serve as a stepping-stone to political adrenturers in pursuit of the advancenent of their own persunal prospects and fortane in public life. I am wellaware that some political adventurers do occasionally succeed in imposing upon the people by mean's of fine promises and a hypocritical exterior"; but the political life of such individuals has never been of loug duration, and the results of the election. of legislative councillors by the people remain to prove the complete absence of fuadation for the fuars expresoed by the honorable member. I think; norcover that the results which have hitherto obtained. from the application of the eloctive prineiple to this. House and from the electign of the medubers who now sit in it, are satisfactory and do no dishonor to this hotiorable llouse At any tate I never yet beard such a thing asserted. The hen. member maintains that It is not necessary that the Legislative Couicil should be elective, because that body is intended, or has tor its mission, to acet as a counterpoise between the Executive and the Lower House. But that state of affairs exists at the present day,-and when all acknowledge it, - When none coruplain of tho present system-we are told that this privilege is to be taken away trom the people in order that it may be restored to the Urown! Now, I say that such a proceeding is a stop in a backward direction, and a retrogression from
the adrancement of the age. Is it because certain members of the Council have never been successful in their efforts to be elected for any county whatever, that they wish to deprive the people of the right of electing their representatives? But is it supposed that by giving the Crown the right of appionting legislative councillors, the services of nore able, more upright, and more honorable men will lie gecured, than if the people were allowed to elcet them?" When the Legishative Council was made elective, those who prepared the law were of the same opinion as the honorable member Hon Sir N. F. Bellegu) that a rich man must of necessity be a man of greater talcut than one less blessed with this world's goods, and, in order that the peoplenight not err in their selec. tion, they enacted that every wember elected to the Legislative Council should possess at least one thousand pounds in real property; but now, in the scheme of Confederation, that amount is reduced by one half, and it is thereby admitted that the possession of riches" is not an indispeasable condition to the possessiun of talent. :The honorable member endearorel to justify the haste with. Which it is sought to push the scheme of Confe deration through; by declar 'ay that Lord Paimenstos is a very aged man;-and that his Ministry is quaverinis in the balaice. So, because the Prime Minis. ter of Euglated is old, we are to be compelled taswallow the pill without esen being allowed the to conuire whether it is suited to our case or not. It must be acknowledged- that this is a tery poor argument. Is to the fear ufseing the scheme of Cunfederation thrown cut in Eugland, in cascot any change taking place in the coustitution of the Imperial Goverament, I look upon it as entirely chi. merical-for if Confederation is acceptable ${ }^{20}$ England and to Eoglish interests nuw, it will be just as aceeptable to them eight or ten mouths hence as it is at present:. If the plan. is a useful one, in an English puint of view, it will be carried out, let what Goverament may be in power. Then let the people have tine tu consider of it. The honorable meni. ber has stated that there have been tretve deetions to the Legislative Cuuncil sinee the question of Confederation has béen mooted; but those elections did not tale place at a pariod subsequent to the preparation of the scheme of Confederation, nad consequently the prople were not and could not be acquainted with the dotsits. The resple of
the twelve elections in question was neither favorable nor the reverse to the plan of Confederation, for that plan was not then known. It is sad because the plan was distributed throughout the country, that therefore it must be known. "But how could it be so, especially in its details, when we every dáy see the Government greatly embarrassed at giving explanations, or refusing to give them, on certain points? - When, for instance, we see a minister in one Honse state that the seigniorial indemnity will be paid by Lower Canada alone, whilst it is declared in another House that that debt will be divided between the two provinces? - when we see ministers asking for time to reply to each of the ques. tinas put to them respecting this scheme? How can the people: be acquainted with the local constitutions and the legislatures, when the ministers themselves would appear to know nothing about them? How can the people know in what matter this five million "dollars balance of debt, to be laid upon Canada, will be divided, since those who prepared the scheme themselves do not know? And there is a inass of other important. details which ought to be known in order to be able to pronounce upon the merits of the messure, such as the proposed law in relation to education, measures of defence, the Intercolonial Railway, \&c.: We are told, indeed, for instance, that the Protestants of Lower Canada and the Cathohes of Upper Canada will bo protected, in so far as relates to their sehool system, but we have no guarantee of it.; and if the scheme of Confederation is adopted before", these questions are settled, whis can tell us that the Gorernment will have as complaisant a majority to settle those questions as to vote Confederation? There is another part of the seleme which is of the highest importance, ana respecting which we are entitled to explanations before voting for it, and that is, the measures to be taken for the defence of the country. It is important that we should know what is to be the nature of the defence which it is proposed to organ-: ize and yhiut debt we ara to incur for the purpcine. Why not let us have the rinty and the wherefore of the whole business in order that we may come to a sound decision as to " the weasure. These are details which we ought to have.

Hon. Sir P P. TACHE-You will soon have them.

Hon: Mr. fetellier de ST. JUST -It is stated that the federal union pro-
vides a means of forming a great people, and of raising us to a position in which we may take a place among the nations of the globe. But if into that people, by the Constitution itself, the seeds of discord are introduced, will any one believe that it would not be better to live apart, as at the present time, than to live together with disanion in our midst? It was also stated that on entering into the Confederation we should have to reduce our iuport duties in order that our tariff might agree with that of the Luwer Provinces. . But, as a sequence" of that statement, wa must enquire upou what the effect of that reduction of duties will fall. For my part, 1 am of opinion that the defcit which thit reduction of our revenué will produce will have to be filled up by the agricultare and iadustry of Caninda. $\quad$ By seting this Confederation going, in order to overcome secondary dificulties, we shall be working out the interests of the English dealers by reducing the import duties unehallf. And who will provide the balauce which we shall have to find in order to inect our espen iture?" The agriculturist and the artisan of this country; who will be wade to 'meet that balance by direct taxation The Lower Provinces are not agricultural countries, and we are told that we shall barter our , four fur the produce of their mines and their forests But I am of opioion that it is not by enacting political uneasures that the coures nt trade will be ebanged; Let Emgland abandon Canada at once, and ceven whe whederation, our products willalways go to Eugland, becauce it is our nost advantageous market, and will always continue to be su. "So also will it be with Vew Brunswick and Sora Scotia;: that is to say, the products of their mines will continue to seek the It nited States imarkets, becanse those provinces now have commercial relations with the Coited States. Those Provinces will follow the general lairs if commercial "transactions in going to the United States, exactly as we go to Europu to obtain there the goods which we reyurire, and to dispose of vur produexts in returu: But to return to the question of the tariff; I ssy that we must needs come to the conclusion that the deficit created by the lowering of the tariff will fall on the agriculture and iodustry of the councry, and that an inferior position is ascribed to them in the Confederation. If the import duties are redaced from ten to eleven per cent.
our manufactures will be denuded of all their profit, and we shall prevint capitalists froun establishing themselves in Canda. This will be an immediate consequence of Confederation. I bavo heard it said that the Protestants of Lower Cainada ought to be satisfied with their prospects for the future, because we have always acted with liberality towards ; them. But that is no guarantee for them, for we would not conteet ourselves with a mere prowiso to act liberally, if we considered that our interest or our institutions were threatened by a majority differing in race and religion from ourselves; and in any case that is not the way to ensure the peace of the country, If we establish this principle, wo should say to the Catholics of Upper Cana: a that they ought to be satisfied writh the lot which wo provide for them . -When we makéa Constitution, we tiust in the first place setile the political and religiousquestions which divide the populations for whom the Constitution is devised ; because it ;is a well known fact, that it is rellgious differences, which have caused the greatest troubles and the greatest diff. culties which bare agitated the people in days goue by We must learn to prevent them tor the future. When we observe a man like the hon, urember (Hon' Sir S. F. BblLEAV) acknowledge that we do not agree with the Irish, despite the dentity of our religious belief, it may casily be foresesn that difficulties will arise with populations difficing from us in origin àd belief. Wo are toid to vote Confederation first, and that the details will be arranged at a subsequent period; that a measure will shen be brought down toregulate the sectional or seectarian difficulties. I am quite wilfing to admit that such a measuro will be presented ; but; should not the majurity choose to adopt it, we should then be compelled to remain with the seed of trouble and diusension, which the fluuse will not have succeeded in crad:cating, suplanted among us. It is alko asked what kind of Loceal Government we shall have; but the Goverits ent will make tio sticument rexpreting it until Cinfiderative is roted. What kiid of a Constitution, and shat tivernor we shall have? What Goveruor? Ferhapis that is where the great secret lies, for I believe that for some timo past the idea or the hope of being governor has filled the head of more than one political man. What is to be the amount of the Governor's salary? These are so many
questions in respect of which we are in complete ignorance, and in relation to which the Government will say nothing whatever: And, with respect to the constitution of the local governments, are we, in case the Upper Canada majority choose to impose theirideas upon us, are we, I say, to submit to them? Such a proceeding would not be fair either to us or to the country. The hon member (Hon. sir No F. Beiceave tells us that we were not sincere in asking for an appeal to the people, because we knew that dissolution would not reach us. Such expressions do not surprise ue, ploceeding as they do from a man who neter had the honor ty be the elected represeututive of the people, and who holds his eat by the favor of the Crown, but I lail to tiliscover by what right he Judges us in such a manner. In conclusion, I shall move the following amendment:-
"list all the words "after "That" in the first. hine thereof be left out, and that the following woth be inserted in lieu thercol, viz:-.. The debite on the motion for an Address to Her Majsty on the subject of a untion of the British Nith American Colonims be postponed untii such tme ais the Government shall have made known io this house:-1st. The measures it intends to syunit to the Legistatare for the parpose of insanizing the local governments and legislatures in lipper and Lower Canada. 2nd. The , ht on the subject of education whieh it intends in ubiat to the present Parliament for the , pro wetion of minorties in Cpper and hower Cana 4. Trit. The correspondence between the Imfirial Government and the Gopernment of Camaili, lispectang the detence of the province, and What measure the Governmeat inteadit to subnit to is ior the same purposte. - the In what man. hier the Government intends to divide between the Provinces of CPper and Lowier Canada the matance of our present provincial debt, after de: ducting the $\$ 62,500,000$ payable by the Federal Guverument; and which will be the items assigned weach of those provinces. 3th. 'The report of Mr: Eleming os the survey for the Intercolonial - Huway."
*
How. Ma. OLIVIEA- Before proceedho to a vote, I will ank the hon. menber ( Hou. Sir N. F. Belleau) who proposed to my honorablo friend (Hon. Mr. Letelinei) and myself to resign our seate if we dal not now chnose to vote for ConfederaLini, and subait the question to opr electurs, whether the debato will be postponed untul uur olections arn over?

Hon. Sir N. Fs bellkaU - As I am not a member of the Government, it will be understoid that I cannot reply to that question. I did not propose to them to regign
their seats, but I said that if they were serious in their objections they might resign and submit the question to their constituents by presenting themselves for reëlection.

Hon: Mr. OLIVFER-I uiderstand the object of the hon. member in giving that advice. "He would wish to see us retire from the House during the contest; but that is a trap into which we will not fall. Surprise must have been excited that I did dot correct ath the inaccuracies of the hon. member when he spoke of what I had said; but I preferred not doing so, as I should have had to take up-nearly every single word of his in order to correct it, as he distorted and altered the sense of nearly everything that. I-said. I conceive that a blush must have mantled his forehead as he concluded his speech.

Hon. Mr. Letehlier de © Sr. Just's: amendment ivas then put to the vote, and lost on the following division:-

Conrests-Hon. Messieurs Aikins, Archanbauit, Armstrong, Beunett; Bureau, Chaffers, Cormier, Currie, A: J. Duchesuay; Flint; Leónard, Leslie, Letellier de St. Just, Mathiot, Olivier, Perry, Proulx; Reesor, Seymour and Simpson.-20 .

Nos-Cortexts-Hon:. Messieurs, Alexinder, Allañ, Cirmand, Sit N: F. Belleau, Fergusson Blair, Blake, Boulton, Bossé, Bull, Bưrubam, Camphell, Christie, Crawfird, Debeaujen, Dickson, E. H. J. Duchesnay, Dumonchel, Ferricr, Fliat, Gingras, Guevernont, Hamilton (Kingston.) Hamilton (Inkermar-, Lacoste, McCrea, MeMaster, Macpherson; Matheson, Mills, Panet, Price, Prud'homme, Read, Ross, Shaw, Skead,

'Ind the Council then adjourned:


Wednesday, fócrucury 10̆, $1 \times 60$.
Hon. Mr. BUREAU said-I should have refrained from addressing the House, had I not heard the astonding language made use of by the hon. member opposite to me (Sir N. F: Belleau). Mo has spoken to us of annexationists and repablicans, and of the dangers with wich they menaced the country. I am far from surprised at such language on his part, for an ardent supporter as he is of the present Government; who desire to effect Confederation with a view to strengthen the monarchical principle in this country, he is doabtless alarmed at the tendencies of some of the members of this

Cabinett; and at the republicàn sentiments to which they gave utterance. I, however, belicre that the aunexationists who are most to be feared are not those of whom he speaks, those who boldly and openly express their opinion on the questions which now agitate the country; but those rather who endeavor by all possible means, to sow the seeds of discord between us and our neighbors in the United States, and to plunge us into war. Surely those who boldly , proclaim' their opinions' to the" whole 'country; cannot be accused of disloyalty when they do it with the view of serving their country. I do not believe that there is a single member in this House who would wish to see our country annexed to the United States. I think; on the other hand, that all are striving to find the means of establishing a government and a political condition that shall beear equitably on all sections of the population : without distinction of race or creed-a system which will secure the stability of our institutions and the general welfare of the country. "I he hon: gettleman bas "ulso referred to the dangers of the elective system as applied to this House, because scheming polticians without a stake in the country might acquire popularity, and work their way into the House. Let that hon. gentleman read the history of his conntry, an fe will find that the priucipal men who have wecupied the teading polatied positions were children of the perple; who, thaks to their education, their talent and their perseverance, have attained to the contrul of the business of the country, Let him call to mind the history of the past, and be will remember that there Was atipe when the Legislative Council had becume an obstacle to all reform and to all procress.' But thanks to our energy and to our persererance, a liberal ministry has been enabled toobtain the long sought-for refirms. The Lafontane-Baldinin Adtuinistration, sceing that there was no possibility of obtaining reforms on account of the obstacles raised by the Legislative Council as then constituted, had recourse to the appointment of new liberal councillors; and by the adhesion of the older ones, they succeeded in carrying their measures. The times at which these appuintinents were inado, were as follows:In 1848, the Snerwoon-Badaley Admiaistration appointed the Kion. D. B. Vicem. In this case wo had a Liberal nominated by a Tory Ministry. Alterwards, on the accession of the Lafontive-Baldwin Ministry, Sir
E. P: Taceiend the Hon. Messsrs: James Leslie, Quesnel, Bourret, DfBeadjeu, Ross, Methot, J, E Turgeon, Mills, Crane, Jones and Wylie, were appointed. Had it not been for the nomination of these members, and the adhesion given by some others, it would have been impossible to reform the Legislative Council. But, what results may be anticipated from the proposed constitution of the Federal Legislative Council? Bylimiting the number of the members of this House, the prerogative of the Crown is, in fact, restricted, and a system is adopted, exactly the reverse of that which exists in Eugland. Snd the erent of scrious difficulties arising between the House of Commors and the Upper House, what. would happen?"- The same thing would happen which has already occurred before, but with this differcuce, that the Crown would not have the power of infusing new elements, and legislation would thus be at a stand still: The only course to be pursued under those circumstances will be to ask the Injperial Governuent to amead the coasti? tution of the Council, as the people will be powérless from our haviag deprived them of the right of electing councillors. For my part, i am convinced that this new system wilf nut be productive of beneficial results. I do not propose to repeat here al! the arguments which have been already urged against tho projected ehanges;" but I must say, as holding my authority from the people, that the question: of Confederation has never been alverted to duriag the two elections which Ihare passed through, and that, therefore, I do not think that my coustitu. ents expressed their opinion on this question when they elected me, or that they conferred upon me the rizht of chatging the constitution of the Legislative Council, without consulting theni in the matter. I am aware that in 1859 Confederation was referred to in a parayraph of the Speech trom the Throne, but I also remember that at that time I combated the idea of Contederation, because the carrying out of the views expressed in that paragraph would have resulted in giviag all the influence to one section of the pro-- riace at the expense of the other sectiva. At that period it was not the question of Confederation which was diseassed, but the question of representation based upon population, and the Upper Canada Soparate Sehool question. I stated at etho neme, as regarded those separate schools, that the
minority of Upper Canada must not be abandoned to the mercy of the majority, and we succeeded in obtaining for them a system of separate schools which, howerer; does not appeare to satisfy the minority. In Lower Canada the Protestant minority has almays been satisfied with the school system, until quite lately; and they have now begun to agitate with the vieit of obtaining, as they pretend, a more equitable distribution of the moness appropriated for school purposes. For my part, 1 Lnow that they have no foundation tor their claim, and 1 remember that when I was Secretary of the province, I drew the attention of the Superintendent of Education to the unequal distribatior of the money, as it appeared that the Protestant minority of Lower Canada received a larger amount than they were entitled to? IIe replied that the distribution had been so made by his predecessor, and that he had oot deemed it adrisablo to mate any change: This we see that uncasiness prevails among the minörity, both in Upper Canda and Lofwer Canadi, and even among the majority in Liswer Canada. But I was astonished to hear the remedy announced by my honorably colleague (Han: Nir N. F. Belleaty $\%$, in the ereat of the Federal Government eñdeavoring to prejudice the interests of Lower Canada. He tells us that as Lotier Canada is to have sixty five representatives - ju the Federal hegistature out of 19 t, these sixty: five imembers from' Lower Canada will almays be able to preserve their rights/by taking sides with the Opposition to tura out the Governmeat. ${ }^{\text {Dies }}$ the hon member really sappose that all tho members from Lower Cinada would make common catise in any question? Does he not know that these will alwaya be a minority among them of different origin and religion who will pro: hably tako part with the Government or with the majority?" In such -a case, what would the Opposition from Lower Canada arail about which ho talks? Do we not know that the difficalties which gave rise to the plan of Confederation were produced by the coalition of an Upper Cisuada minority with the Lower Canada majority? And what happened to Upper Canada might very well happen to Lower Canada. By rejeéting the principle of the doubfe majority adopted bs a liberal ministry, the apple of discord Fas thrown among the legislative body which originated the present difficaties. Another great error committed by the members from

Lower Canada was the orerturning of a government which had maintained the principle of an equalitysin representation. We now see to what that has brought us. The hon. member (Hon. Sir N: F. Beuiceav) had said that our institutions would be protected under the Federal Government. But how? By the resolutions as they stand they would not be so guarded; and would not the Gencral Government put its veto on every act of the local governments? © And whilo on this subject, I should like to kiow what is to be the organization of the Local Government of Lower Canada. As far as $I$ can see, it is this-that nearly all our local revenue is to be taken from us, and we are to be allowed a subsidy of eighty cents per heqd. And yet this is not all. There will be a debt of $85,000,000$ to be shared between Upper and Lower Canada; and how is this sharing to be brought about? If, as we are told, Lower Canada is to be charged with the payment of the deht conitracted for the redemption of the Seigniorial Tenure, that alone will. represent a capital of about 84, 118,202, including $8891 ; 500$ indembity to the townships. Is Lower Canada to andertake the payment of that sum? Certain sources of revenä́c in Lower Canada are devoted to the redemption of the Seigniorial Tentre, but if the Federal Goveroment. absorbs these sources: of rerenue, who will assure us that tie Local Government will, not repadiate ths Seignaiorial debt now by the Federal scheme sought to be imposed on it? The Local Legislature will sist, perhaps, that the Imperial Goverament hay not the right of annuliing the act which imposes on United Canada the paymertof the indemnity to the seigoiors, and will probably reflise to assume the whole responsibility of it, alleging that the Generil Government ought to pay it. . And if the Liocal Government takes this course, what will the General Goverament do? On this question it will be easy to excite the passions of the people, prejudicedas they already are against the selgniors. Chiefly, and above allf, we are bound to respect vested rights. We are recommended to vote with blind contidence, bat we are' refused the details;' which might satisfy the country and the honorable meribers of this House. Why not lay the constitation of the Local Government before the. Hơuse? We are told that the possession of her ciril code is to be gaaranteed to Lower Canada, but we are not informed how it is to be done.

Then the Federal Government will have the right of settling questions of divorce and marriage. With respect to divosce I, shall make no remarks, because I think it best that the decision of such questions. should be left to the General Government, an exception being made in favor of coreligionists. - What shall I"say on the subject of marriage-the basis of all our institutions? Is it not dañgerous to have it at the mercy of the Federal Government? We shall soon be told probably that it is but - a sounding affair, and before long, mayors will take the place of the curés, and will celebrate the marriages of their constituents. Our laves which regulate our marriages at presènt arc very important to us, and are based on the Tioman law. These are the only laws suitable to Canadians, ánd the wise provisions characterizing them were the fruit of the experience of sereral ages. We should not incur the risk of any change in them by a legislature, the majority of whose members do not hold our opinions on this subject. The hon. member (Hon. Sir N: F: Bellesau) might have favored uswith his. opinion on this head, but he did not, and I regret that he did not. There is another question decply interesting to Lower Canada, but it scems that ou that neither must we permit ourselves to speak. That question relates to the interest of money: Do we not know that the yuestion of the rate of interest h:s womething to do with our civil laws.? Is that aloo of no importance? An - pper Camak majurity has aready saddled us with a law abolishing the rate of intere.t. Free trade in mouey was not suitable to Lusver Canada, and the right of lewislating on the question is now sought to be entrusted to the Foderal Covernment. What will bie the resalt? Who will assure us that the law limitiog the rate of linterest. will not be repealed as, it respects all cases, and that banks and corporations will not be allowed to exact such rates of interest as they may think fit, as private persons may now.' This might become the fate of Lower Canada. Why uot allow the local legislatures. to regulate the question according to the exigencies and the ideas of communities which they represent, as the same is now fixed and decided in the United States, where the rate of interest varies in the several states ? Thus Iower Canada will be prevented from regulating a question which has been decided for us by Upper Canada against
our wishes. I confess that I am surprised at this, because I see in the present Administration men who have done battle at my side on that very question. . The local legislatures will have the power of making laws on the subjects of immigration and agricultare ; but the Federal Legislature will have the same poner, and it is eyident that it will have the upper hand on these matters; that the laws of Lower Canãda, for instance, may be overridden: by means of the veto of the Federal Government. But there is something jet more fraught with danger for us: The Federal Government will have the right of imposing taxes on the provinces without the concurrence of the local governments: Under article five of the 29th resolution, the Federal Governmeat may rase moneys by all modes"or systemis of taxation, and I look apon this power as most exceessive. Thut, in case it should happen, as I said a moment ago, tiat the Lower Canada Government refused to nodertake the payinent of the debt contracted for the redemption of the Seigniorial Tenure, the Federal Government Would have two methods of compelling it to do so. First, by retaining the amount out of the eighty cents per head indenimity to be accorded to the Local Government, and secondly, by imposing a lical and direct tax. The Lieutenant Governor of the Local Government will be appointed by the Federal Govcrnment, and will be guided by its instructions." We ara not told whether the Local Government will be responsibie to the Local Legislature; whether there will be ouly one or two branches of the Legislature, nor how the Legislative Council will be com. posed, if there is to be one; we are refused any information whatsoever on these points. which are neverthelest of some importance I regret, therefore, that the amentment propised yesterday by the hon. member for Grandville, should have been rejected, since it would have enabled us to obtain inportant information before voting on the question. Ido not see that the reasons advanced yesterday by the Hon: Sif N.F. Behleav, to justify the haste with which it is attempted to pasy this nieasure, are legitiuato and conclusive. We are told that the present Ministry in Eugland is in favior of this project. For my past I do not think the measure will be adopted without important andend. ments. Unfortunately the measure will. perhaps, be amended in England in a sense highly prejudicial to Lower Canada in
particular. We shall probably sce influences brought to bear there, as occurred once before when the Legislative Council was made elective. 'The Lower Canada members will recellect that when the law was passid in Eugland, under itfluences which to this day remains uncarthed, the clause was blotted out from our Constitation which we in Lower Ganada justly regarded as our only safeguard against the cucroachments and the domination of Upper. Canada; and ${ }^{2}$ in. point of fact, for the striking out or changing of that clause, Upper Canada would never have demanded representation by' population, and the difficulties which have tusulted from this question would not have occurred, and we should hare heard nothing. of the Confederation measure which is now before us: IIad the people of Upper Canada been well conrinced that the Constitution could not be changed, they would have sub: witted to sacrifices rather than create a useless agitation. It is said that we are to have guarantees for our institutiois. But who will say that the guarantees deft to us may not vanish wher the measure reaches Eughand, in the same way as the guarantec we had against represcntation by population? At all events I still maintain that our institutions are not guarauteed in any way whatsoerer, and this is clearly shown by Sir N. F. Belefead himedf, as I have already. had uecasion to prove We are asked to satritice the election of the Legislative Council; but is the system proposed a better one? I do not think sodfor to my mind the mode in "which it is proposed to constitute that House' appeary to be unsound in èvery way. . Not ouly are the people to be deprived of an important right, but the prerogative of the, Crownos to be infringed by limitiog itho number of members to. "e appointed. It is painful to take"a hackward step of this kind, and to ababion a reform, the fruit of the: persevering struggles of Bo many eminent men: aud $Y$ believe that if we consent to this change, the conserfuences of the act will soon be seen:- In order to show that the defeets of the system are very real, I will site the opinion of the IIon. the. Secretary of State fir the Colonies, set forth in his despateh to the Governor Geueral, relative to the project of Couffleration and to the new Constitution fur the Legislative Council. This is, what Mr. Cabdereli says:-
The gecopd point white Hir Majesty Gevern. ment desire should be reconsidered it the Copnsti-
tution of the Legislativa Council. They appreciate the considerations which have influenced the Conference in determining the mode in which this body, so important to the constitution of the Legislature; should be composed. But it appears to them to require further consideration, whethery if the members be appointed for life, and their number be fixed, there will be any sufficient means of restoriny harmony betweer the Legisla. tive Council and the popular Assembly, if it shall ever unfortunately happen that a decided difference of opinion shall arise between them.
After this "formal condemnation of the project of Confcderation, and in view of our own experience, it seems to me that we are quite justified in oprosing it, and in anticipatiog that the Legislative Council will become again, as it formerly wás, an obstacle in the may of all reform and of all progress; unless the present plan of Confederation be amended. (Chërs.)

Hon. Mr. FERÉtER said - Honorable gentlemen, I had almost resolved to give "a sileat vote for the resolutions now "before the House, but having, especially since I have had the honour of a seat in the Legislative Council, been accustomed to take note of passing crents in the history of Canada, I think I may he allowed to ocecupy a short time in speaking of what has transpired in this country in past years, and more particularly of what has transpired within the last twelve months: In past years there were two great yuestions which had agitated both Eastera and Western Canada: The one was the Seiguiorial question' in Lower Canada; the other-was the Clergy Reserve questioni in Western Canada. These two questions, for many yearss occupied the attention of the Legislature and of the statesmen conducting successive governments. At last a settlement of these inupurtant questions was arrived at-. I believe satistactory to the majority of the people. Since that time no great questions of public interest have occapied the minds of the people, or have been urged cither by the Government of the day or by the leaders of the Upposition?" The conserquence thas been that a political warfare has been waged in Canada for many years, of a nature calculated almost to destroy all correct political and moral prinuiple, both in the Legislature and out of it. Has it not been the fact that any man who, through life, had sustained a good character, either as a private individual or a professional man, no sooner áccepted office in the Government than the Opposition and the Orposition papers would attack him at ouce as having joined a very doubtful company?

Or, when a man of plain sense came and viso ited the Legislature, and took his seat in the galleries to listen to the debates, did he not hear so frequently the charges of political crime, bribery and correption, that he left the House with ver"y different views from those with which he entered it? Every nember of Parliament has felt this demoralizing influence, and it has met him at the polls, and nothing but money, in some cases, could secure his election. (Hear, hear.) I come now to the period of 1863-64, when we find two political parties nearly equal in strength, with a pajority supporting the Government of only two or three.- That Government found it necessary to appeal to the country by a general election:- After that election the Government of the honorable and gallant Knight (Hon. Sir E. P: Tache was formed. It existed only a very short time, and on the 1 th of June of last year came what has been called the dead-lock. Then, honorable gentlemen, there was, for eight or ten days a breathing time for the parties who had been engaged in this political strife. It was a breathing time to them, as it were, to reflect upon the past and to endeavor to look forward to the future. It had been thought by many that the spirit of patriotism in the hearts of our statesmen 'was: $a$ ' dead principle. In their strife they secined to have forgoten the best interests of Canada. But, during these ten days, the spirit of patriotism revived. This was a memorable period in the history of Canada. The leader: of the Opposition, the Hon: George Brows -I speak it to his honor-was the first to declare what he was ready to do, and, what he proposed was so reasonable that very sion the acceptance of his propositions was brought about. I have pleasing recollections in referring to that period, particularly as having had an opportunity of giving a word of advice on the crening of the day these propositions were made. I may refer to it as the name of the gentleman ${ }^{\text {I }}$ allude to, Mr. Moras, a wember of the Legislative Assenibly, was incorporated in the documents that were submitted to this honorable House, when the result of the resolutions was laid before us. Meeting Mr Mormis one evening, be informed me of what the Hon. Mr. Brown had proposed. I thought it was so reasonable, and looked so like a deliverance from the dilemma we were in, that I recommended him at onde to conmunicate it to the leading members of the Government,' and I accompanied-him to a nember of the Government, who is also a niember of this House, now present. He told that honorable gentle.
man what Hon. Mr: Brown had communicated to him, and he (Mr. Morris) was authorized to make an arrangement for the other meinbers of the Government to meet-Hon. Mr. Brown. We all very well remember the time I am speaking of, and the astonishment of many that a reconciliation could have taken place between gentlemen who had been so long opposed to each other. I do not know. that I ought to repeat what was the on:dit of the day with reference to it. But, I think I can remember this being said, that, when Hon. Mr. Galt met Hon. Mr. Brown, he received him with that manly, open frank: ness, which characterizes him; and that, when Hon Mr. Cartier met. Hon. Mr. Browis, he looked carefully to see that his two Rauge friend were vot behind hin-(laughter)-and that when he was satisfied they were not, he embraced him with open árins and swore eternal triendship-(laughtet and ehcers)and that"Hon. Mr. Macdonisd, at a very quick glance, saw there was an opportunity.

Hos. Mn SEYMOUR-Saim his adraEtage.

Hox:Mr. FERKIER-That Hon. Mr. Micposilp saw there was an opportunity of forming a great and powerful dependency of the British Enipire; that the gallant Knight, the Premier of the Government, with his liberal, cautions, and comprehensive mind, did not object, and that the Commissioner of Crown Lands, with his usial conrtesy, his vigurous and acute mind, agreed. (Hear, hear.): To the best of $m y$ recollection, that was the way in which it was said out of doors the propositions of Hon. Mr. Brows were re ceiied by the gentlemen com posiay the Govers. ment of that day: Yea all remeniber huw delighted we vere to find that political bitterness had ceased: We all' thought, in fact, that a political millennium had arrived-and the Opposition was nowhere.' (Laughter.) The business of the session progressed very rapidly and we were soon relieved from our responsible duties here. . Immediately atter the close of the session, the agreement entered into was fully carried out. Hon."Mr. Brows. and the other two honorable gentleman who entered the Goverament with him, trere added to it, according to the agreement. These honorable gentlemen went to the country, and they were all returned, exeept one, and he very soon afterwards found a place. The Government thus formed, had, I believe, a unajority of gro thirds a the population of Canada in their favor; add; so far as my observation has gonst two birds of the press
also, have supported, them in this scheme of union. ' The Government, thus sustained. soon began to act, and their first movement was to take the provincial steamer and go off to Prince Edward Island. I remember well standing on the bank of the river at Riviere du Loup, seeing the steamer pass down, and I. wished them God-speed. ' . They went to the Conference at Charlottetorn', and I have no doubt they acted in a manner worthy of gentlemen going to propose a union. We know too that they were well received. There had been agrowing love in these provinces towards: Canada for some time. "This was manifested when they gave an invitation to this Legislature to visit them, after the ctose of last session. And I only regret that the Legislatureevery member of it-did not accept that invitation. Those who did, came back much better informed than when they went there. We had the satisfaction of seeing those who probably are going to be our partaers in this union. And I do assure you, that for one, I can speak of the people of the Lower Provinces, as an energotic, active, industrious peo ple, quite equal to ourselves. (Hear; hear.) And, as regards the resources of these provinces, I had no idea of them approaching the reality, before 1 paid that visit . We saw farms there on the banks the River St. John, quite equal to any farms in our western peniusula, which is called the girdein of Canada: The members of the Conterence at Charlottotown, as I understood, after discussing the whole question, and arriving at some. thing like an understanding, returned to their respective governments, and arranged to have ra Confereace, representing in a more official mannier all the provincest, Some gentemen have objected that this was an unauthorized, self-cónstituted Conference. But I believe, it can be shewn that they had the express authority of the British Goveroment for eatering into these uegotiations. The Lower Provincess sent members of their several gonurnments, and they did more-thery appointed the leaders of the Opposition to accoumpany them -sil that the people of those provinces Were fully represented. They did, in fact. what was equivalent to that which has been done in Canada, where our coalition Government represants both classes of politics. The able statesmen, composing the Conferenco which assembled at Quebec, thus represented the whole people of these provinces." It has been objected that it was impossible that a Conference, meeting only for a few days; could have devised a measure that would be of a
character which we could áceept. 'But, hon orable gentlemen, when men meet together honestly to carry out a parpose, they can do a great deal in a rery short time. (Hear,
hear.) And hear.) And I believe the gentlemen composing the Conference which assembled here in this city vere men of honest purpose, and earnestly bent on framing a Constitution that. Would be for the best interests of our country. We cannot expect it to be infallible, because no human act is such; but it is of such a character that $I$ do not think we can ever have another opportunity, if this is let slip, of recciving again a document so well calcrlated to answer the ends-designed. There could be no merely party government either here or in the Lower Provinces which could produce a document that: would be so acceptable, or ought to be so, to the whole people. (Hear, hear.) I think it is unfair to make comparisons between. Upper and Lower Canada and the Lower Provinces. . When we take partners for life we take them for richer or poorer, and endow them with all our worldly. goods, and I think we should go on the same principle in carrying out this union with the Lover Provinces. I have been surprised at some of the arguments which. I have heard sone of the opponents of this scheme bring against it. I was assuredly surprised at the course taken the other day by wy honorable fried from Niagara' (Hon Mr. Ccraie), who, in trying to make out a point, spoke of our commercial and agricultura! interests here as being very small, and in speaking of our shipping and the amount of tonayge employed in doing the business of Canada, said, "Oh" that only exists on paper."

How. Ma. CURRIE-I beg my honorable friend's pardón. In any remarks I made I certainly did not say that either the cominercial or agricultural interests of Canada were small. (Hear hear.)

HoN. Mr. FERKIER-When my honorable friend makes this statement, I have nothing further to say about it. I supposed I was correct in the impression I gathered from his remarks, but I must have misunderstood him. But I must s.y this, that I thought he was exceedingly unkind when he took up newspapers and read from them a cataloyue of the supposed political sins of his own friends, the party he formerly acted with. As these honorable gentlemen are now devoting themselves to what I' regard as being for the best interests of the country by carrying out this scheme of union, I think really my honorablo friend vould do better to support them.

Hon. Mr.CURRIE-That is a matter of opinion.
How. Mr. Ferrier-I an giving ing opinion - nothing more... But my honorable friend proceeded to refer to the Grand Trunk Railiway-(hear, hear)-that monster corporation "which, one wouid haye inferred from my honoruble friend's remarks, had really laid desolate every district of Caysda through which it had passed: For my own part 'I cannot understand what dimage the Grand Trunk Riilway has done to Canads. We have had thirteen millinins sterling of Enylish capital-(hear, hear)- Jexpended in building the Grand Trunk Ruilway and the Jietoria Bridge, which is the sreatest work in the world. Canada has pinid sonemhere about three millions to complete the Grind Trunk -abcut one-fifth part of the sisteen millions that liave been spent, and it is the cheapest bargain she cever made. (Hear, hear.) We have the benetit of the why of this expenditure: ' If' thère has been extravagance in it, those Euglish stochtholders hare been the suffergrs. We cen only have sufficed a fifth part of what they hive duric, and we have the benefit of the whlule of it . That I think is the riey we sught to take of the cirand Trunk Railisay in cymnection with Camada. (Hear, hear.) Then the Intereglonial hait way: has been referred th Thist ruad has becoue. I thiuik. esen, it. present a necesgity: It should have bey mande nomo gye go, and it would line been made bift for the political incepiecity of the deremaneot of that day, which preveuted it. (Hear, hear.)
How Mr. CTRKIE-Let me remind my houorable friend that tivo members of that Government-H/m. Mesers. McDocialíciand Howland-ire in the prosent Governmeat.
Hov. Mr: FERBIER-It is fortunate that sonie men vee the errur of their way, and do bether, and I trut it has been so in the present case. (Hear thear and laughter.) If we had had this road to the seaboard at the present tine it it very likely the !eeciprocity Treaty would nut hatic been repealed. (Hear, hear.) We want the road at the pre seat momient for the buyness of the country. Some honorable geatlemen says that, if the road were made torday, we would have nothing to send over it. The tact is, these hunorable geatlemen, when they make such a statement. shew that they have not taken the troable to enquire what the position of the trade of the country is. For the list ten diys we have had abont 100 cars standiug haded at Point St. C'harles, and no way of gethuy them off. "These

Cars are full of produce for Boston and New York, and the two roads leading to these cities have so mueh to do, that they are un, able to do the basiness of their own country and of ours too." And. while these cars are thus detained, they are wanted for Western Canada, where the people are evernore crying for ears. and. we cannot get rid of the produce we have.
Hox Mr SIMPSON - Will my hongrable friend state what kind of produce these cars are boaded with. and where it cane from?

Hoy Mr. Ferrier-The whole I be lieve is the produce ot Canada. (Hear; hear.) One portion of it is for the supply of New York and Boston. or for shipment there; and another portion is to by distributed along the routes by which these railways run: If was sin partiecular as to make these enquiriey of Mr. Brydise the day before gesterday.

Hos.Mr.SIMPSON-I siw Mr. Baymiei too.

Hox. Ya: FERRIER-We hive also : large accumalation of ears standing full of pro: duce at $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{or}} \mathrm{land}$, and no ships, to take it hivay. Such is the proseat state of the Grand Trunk Railway: and it is' a very arkward position to be placed in. As the gallant Knight (Hon Sir E. P. Taché) told us the other day, it iy just as if a neichbor's farm stood between us and the highivar. That is the position of the United Stater, they stand between Cinada and the sea board and they have now been plened to say, "we will not allow you to pass through gur farm "--beeause, although the Reciprocity Treaty is not yet repaled, they have put a check on interevurte by this pasport systeni, and by the way in which they work the present law with reterence to the produce we are taking along. For iastance, if pork is seat on' an :"fiduxit must he put in that that pork is the produce of Cauada. . Now, it is a dilit cult thiug to make sueh an allidavit. At this season of the year loals of pork come from ail guaters, and after it is all pacted into : barrel, it is aluost iuppossible for any man to make an affidarit where it was raised. (Heir, hear.) It is the same with flour. A miller frequently mises four brought in from the United Stater, and how is an affidavit to be made whether that tlour is mised or not? There way be four-fifths of it the produce of Canada, und yet the other fifth prevents it from going. Hence, the trado is so hampered by all these obstructions put in the way by the Uuited States Government, that it is very seriously interfered with. And, that being the position of our trade, I beg to ask whether
the Intercolonial Railroad is not now wanted? I have some memorands here taken from some statements I have had an opportunity of looking at, and I find that the Lower Provinces require 600,000 barrels of flour and grain annually beyond what they raise themselves. Now that they take flour from Boston and from Portland, at considerable quantity of it is carried down by the dirand Trunk Railway: to Portland, It is then taken round to St. John, and is taken" up the St John river, and distribated all the wayalong, until within sixty miles of cour own Canadian frontier at Miviere du Loup. Now, I would ask, any. sewsible man whether it would not be as easy for the Intercolonial Railway to take this proiluce and distribute it along the line, justi as the Grand Trunk is now doing in the State of Maive? St. John is just top miles from Montresal-the same distance that Portland is frnm Sarnia. Well, to move this quantity ot flour that I have mentionedeg 600,000 barrels, would wecups one train every working day through the year: "I think that is a sufficient answer to any honorable genteman whoo says there is nothing to do fior this Intereolonial Rallway. (Hear hear.) $\therefore$ In 1862, "Sew Brunswick sold goods to the United States to the salue of $\$ 880,000$, and purchased $\$ 2,916$, $000-$ thus paying to the Vaited states 8 , 000,000 in hard casli. Nova Scotia exported $\$ 1,59,000$, to the Enited States, and purchased from the Inited States $83,850,000-$ thas paying them another $\$ \approx, 000,000$, These two proviaces, therefore, paid to the United States in one year, the suai of four millions if dollars, There is a trade now between the lnited states and these provinces of ten millions of dollins a year The proposed abrogation of the Heciprocity Treaty diseards that trade, and should we' not here in 'Canada Jay hold ot it? (Hear, hear:) Is nut every mereantile min wide arrake and ready to lay hold of it at once, if there was a possibility of douss so? but there is no such possibility, uxcepting by the Intercolonial Railrodad. Invether thing I wish to point out is, that half the iupportations of tea into New Brunswick and Yova Seotia ate supplied from the United States. Now, that is precisely an article which' we could send aloag the railmay at a very low figuremand every honorable gentleman is well aware that Montreal and Quebec ompete with Now York and Boston in the tea trade. Uppar Canada menchants know that they would never go to Montreal to purchase the large cargoes of tea sold there if they could do better in New Yort. And I
maintain, therefore, that Quebec and Montreal are in a position; as soon as they have the opportunity, to do the basiness of those provinces, better, in fact, than the United States can do. (Hear, hear.) Under the Reciprocity Treaty and the bonding system, in about the period of fifteen years, the trade between ourselves and the United States has increased from $\$ 9,000,000$ to $\$ 37,000,000-$ being four hundred per cent: In 1862, the Canadian imports passing through the United States in bond amounted to $\$ 6,000,000$. And, unless we are careful in looking into the progress of trade here as well as in the Cnited States, we may lose what is absolutely neees sary tor the prosperity of our country. It requires men to be wide-awake in these days of rapid progress to keep pace with the march of events. (Hear, hear.). And Iam prepared to shers: as I have already to some extent endeavored to shew, and my orn mind is made up on it that, before the Intercolonial Railroad can' be made, we will have enough business for it to pay expenser- (heary hear) - so that no lusis can acerue to the province: when the puad is made-that is, three years hetice, if it were set about now: Bat, 1 sup, pose, if this union is brought about, some time will bé taken; after the Confederation is formed, to decide upon the mode of proceeding with the construction, and, if it is gone on with even in the most rapid manner, it would take at lease four years before it was in full working order. I think it is much to be regretted that we have been so long in commeaciug it: : In view of the present state of our relations with the United'States, it ought. to have been in existence now and I say that in another year it wuald have paid expensen. (Hear, hear.) Honorable pentemen object to the seheme of union because it thas nin published sufficieitly to make the people of the provinces acquaiged with'it. I du not undertand that objection. Lvery Thase of the document now under coninderatioun was published in Quebee, before the delegates left the city

Hon. Mr. campbelim- hmin the pa: pers in Leper Canada.

Hos. Ma SIMPSON-But it was denied that it was a correct copy of the resolintions of the Conference.

Hon. Hr CAMPBELL-It was merely denied that it was the official document.

Hov Ma. SLMPSON-The copy of the document I got was marked "Private," and I could not, therefore, make use of it.

Hon. Ma. FERRIELL-I dare say honorable members, in receiving the document, un-
derstood very well what the word "Private" meant. (Hear, hear:) I was invited to attend a very large meeting, comprising jearly all the leading merchants in Montreal, just after the delegates left for home. We spent a whole night over it; I believe it was early in the morning before we parted. A third part of those present, I think; came apparently determined to oppose the scheme. . Fortu. nately we had oa gentleman there who had made himself thoroughly acquainted with it, and who was ablo to go into explanations and deal with all the whys and wherefores that were urged by the various objectors. The result was, that 'When we closed the neeting there was only one man who declared himself positively opposed to the scheme -- (hear; hear, ) -and this man said he opposed it, because, in his opinion, it would gise the French Cunadians power to crush us. British out of the Lover Provinces. I maintain, honorable gentemen, that the publice opinioi of "Canada is not. opposed to" the schenie of Confedcration. (Hear, hear ) . If it had been so, we should hare petitions ayziust it poured in-upon us from every quarter." I do not think the seheme is pertect, büt we should tiry with an honest purpose to work it out, "and if it is fuad defective, it" 1 ta , wot of curse, like the latrs' ot tho Medes and Persing-it can bealered. Wi hive had the Cunstitution of $1=41$ ahared more than one -twice at least-ince the union: If we tind that some parto withe ni whory due work -if, after the establi-hmente of the C'untederation, we find some hath ertor h. he beon made-we will then no dothe have power und duthority also tw alter it. I I trust thit scheme of Federation will be curried by a large majority in
 membiy, and thit the keghatures of the Lower Provitices bill abo adupte it. It sor, hourable gequthei, we shall encer ou a new cra in the hivitury of British North Ameried, (Hear, hear) I beheve that a Divine Provi: dence gundes the destimies of tistans, and I believe a Divine I'rovidence has direoted the statesmen "who were present at that Conference in thitir deliberations, and has brought conflicting interests inti) tiarmony in a mone wonderful way. (Hear, hear.) What wis our political condition on the fourtewth of June list - only about eght monthy ago? What was our political condition then, and what brought the leaders of the prtitical partios who were then tiere ly coutending with eaih other, alnost as
in a death struggle, for power, into relations: of intimate friendship? What led the Governments of New Brunswick, Nova Scotia, Newfoundland, and Prince Edrard Island to send their leading statesmen, representatives of both their political parties, to meet our Coalition Government? I say it was an over ruling Providence." A party government could never have arrived at such a scheme of union as this. If we reject this proposed Confederation,' we refuse to lay the foundations of a great nation, as a depen. dency of the British Empire. When I came of age I considered what country I should adopt. I adopted Canada." I have now lived in it for forty-tour years: I have been identified with the progress of its institutionsof those at any rate of Lower Canada, and particularly of Montreal. I have had the pleasure of taking part with others in organ. izing some of them: I have scen some of them prosper, and uthers that will probably fail, as we may expect will be the case in a nesw country: I have, during these years aloo, travelled over a large part ot Europe. I have travelled too over patis of Aria and Africa. I haye seen people under monarchical governments-some of them tolerably prosperuys, others of them less so.. I have seen people under despotic governuenta - some of the pretty comfortibie, and others urushed dowa to the lowest depths of slavery. 1 have seen republican graversiments, in Eu. rope, and of course I have seen the'great Kepublic here on this continent:. "I have seen people, two, living under the governiment of the Church: But I have seen uo peoplo like those living under the government of Great Bitiain, or cojuyiag such perfect freedou, and such complete protection tor life and property, as those havig under the fluy of Old England. (Hear, hear.) Ad had I my choice to maku woday, after an experience of forty four years, 1 should sull chowe Canadsas my home. Ifed that at ming age I have not long to live, but, during the tume that I shall be spared oo eirth. I would be wallag tu devote all my energies to the carrying out of this schemoaud 1 do pray it may succeed-because it is Laying ane, the foundations of oue of the uust inportant dependencies of the British Empire: I trust I shall not live to see it in any other condition than as a dependeacy of the Bratish Eimpire: Honorable gentlomen, I shall hate pleasure in voting for the resolutivas of the hozorable aud gallant Kuigit. (Cheers.)

Hoñ. Ma: SEYMOUR said :-Honorable gentlemen, I desire to make one or two remarks in reply to something which fell from my honorable friend the Commissioner of Grown Lands, in reference to the objections I took on a former occasion to the details of: this scheme. That honorable gentleman, after explaining one or trio minor points, disposed of the others by saying that I opposed everything: As that statement mightimply, if honorable members of this House were not aequainted with me, that my course had been fietious, I desire to state what I have, opposed, "Haviorg been always a strong adroate of retreachment and tuancial reform; I have opposed the exorbitant expenses of the roveriment I have opposed the extravafance which has male the expenses of the civil goisernment of Canada execed those of any uther country on the face of the "globe, in proportion to the revenue, I have almays apped the expenditure of money without the authorty of Parliament. : (Hear, hear.) I have alrays opposed the extravagant granta and subsidies to the Grand Trunk Railway Coupany. (Hear, hear) My honorable fritall opposite (Hou. Mr. Ferrier) has spoken of the benctit of the frand Trunk Railway, and of the great expenditure of Laglen. capitalrsta in the work." - It is true the with was undertaken by them; bui (rmadd has borne her full share-has fulfilWed every agreemeat! Aud more than that, Capada has paid at the rate of thirty thonsand dollars per mile for her railways; Canada bas cuntributed $\$ 15,142,000$ in princípal, and $85,400,000$ in interent, without taking futo consideration a large number of smaller inaters. If' a catculation be made from these amunate,' it will be fuund as I hare reated, that Canada has paitl at the rate of spobeo for all the railway which was required, mamely, from Quebec to Toronto, which would have connected with the Cireat Westeri, and formed a Trunk line through the proviuce to Sariaia. If large sums have been axpended; if lurge sums have been -quandered, have nut English contractors terefited! Are the people of Canada to be blamed?. The scheme was planined by Engish capitalises, and canada fulfilled every whicathen - (llear, hear.) Now, thero is athother matter which 1 have opposed. I have always opposed the lopese system of manageneat of the Urown lands, a system by whoh our splendid dowain has been frit. tered away. I do thot mean my remarks on
this subject to apply to my honorable friend, the present Commissioner of Crown Lands. He has only been in office a few months, and I have not read his report. But'I refer to the past, and I say that the whole of that domain has been squandered away in useless expenses. There is another matter which I have opposed - the Militia Bill of 1862 ... I admit that I opposed that measure. That was a measure: which was going to entail upon the country an enormuas expenditure, which would have exhausted our resources -at à time when that expenditure was not required. Why, honorable genternen, was not the Trent difficulty settled at the time? Had not the American Government complied with the demands of Great Britain, and what threatened us to authorize that expenditure? There is one expenditure which I opposed, which might perhaps be questioned: I opposed the Supply Bill in 1858 , and I had then voting with me niy honorable friend the Commissioner of Crown Lands. (Hear, and laughter.) Whether that vote can be defended from a constitutient point of yiew, I cannot say ; but every yote 1 have given in this House, or the other branch oi the Legislature, has beeu given in accordance with What I conceived to be the interests of my native coantry. (Hear.) My honorable friend the Commissioner of Crown Lands, alluded the other day to the conservative feature of the Senate in the Voited States, in allowing the same representation to small states as to the larger stifes. . But this does not at'all affect the general arrangement, because the large majoridy are large states. Mut while my honorable thiend approves of this portion, he should hate expressed an opinion on the whole system wa the United States, no change of Constitulion can be effected without the consent of thends of both branches of the Legislatur neod that must afterwards be sanctioned threefourths of the state goveraments. is is a conservative feature also. Theo; whaye the constitutions of the state goveraments? I have here a clause taken from the Constitution of one of the states (Connecticut), which provides that :-
Whenerer in majority of the House of Repre geatatives shall deem it necessaty to alter or anend this Constitution, they imay propose such alterations and amendmeati, which proposed ameadments shall be continued to the next General Arsembly, and be published wath the laws which may have been passed at the sume session, ind it two-thirts of each house, at the next, sea-
sion of sad Assembly, shall approse the amendnénts propesed, by yeas ard nays, said amendments shall, by the Secretary, be transmitted to the towa clort ia each town in this State, whose duty it shall be to present the same to the inhab itanto thereof, for their consideration, at a town meeting lerally warned and held for that purpose; and if it shall appear in a manner provided hy law, that a majority of the electors present at "uch quetings shall have appruved such amend. intent, tie same shall be ialiop to all intents and rurpuse, ate a part of this Coustitution:
That is the way , vie of the oldest states guards the rights and libertes of its people. Then here is another extract from the toostitution of thgitate of Mississippi, vee of the reiv atates, showing how the people there are protected arainst haty inuovation:-

Whenever tiru-thirds of the (ifsieral Asimbly - hatl deemithecessary to amend or chang thes Contitution, the shall socommend to the elee torc, ath the next dection for members of the Gen. eral Assembly, to viote for or afainst a contentuon : and it it sliall apptes that tif thajotity of the citizens of the state, Fotimg for ripresentative, have yoted for'a conbuham, the Cicaeral Asembly shall, at their next session. call a cobrention, to consist of as many members as there may be in the cieneral Asseribly, to be chosen by the: qualitied electors in the mannery; and at the times nad places of chowing members ot the General Ilosembly: whacike conventua shall mect within

 Comatution.
Nuw, in addition to this, what hate we seen?. Have we iot" soen changes in the Constution latterly in respect toplavery, and bave they acted upon this thll they have becu ratified by the state governments? Now, compare this mode of procedure "with that adopted in regard to the scheme-and very properly called a scheme-of Confederatiou submitted to this House. How were these delegates ; called into existenee $\frac{1}{?}$. Ire they not self-appoinced?, (Hear:') ©Did nut the members of the Dxecutive Conacil of Canada constitute themselves delegates? ('Uries of "no, no," and " yest"')' Ind the menbers of the Executive Councils of the Lower Provinces, did they not also cunstitute themselves delegates? "They prepared a scheres which they have laid betore Parliament, and what is that scheure? It was embodied in resolutions sent to members of the Legilature before the meeting of the House, marked "I'rivate"." both oh the outside and inide Didary honuable member. feel himself at hberts the betore his constituents and explain it to them? Didany
honorable member feel himself at liberty to call his constitueuts together; and say, here is a schenic on mhich I will have to vote at the next'session of the Leegislature? No, he could not doit: Some of the newspapers did publish what purported to be the resolutions, but were they copied all over the country so that the people might see and judge of them? No, they were not, and what was the reason? Did not the Proviacial Sceretary write his mandate to the press, that any newspaper that did not support Confederation, wiss not to receive the Government patronage? Not being an elective member, I did not feel myself at" liberty to address the people on these resolutions. Did any menter take them to his cunstitucots and explain cvery detail of then:
Hov. Mn Mht MEREOX-Douthet the honurable member tudeavor to create a false impressiou. I, fur one held two meetings a day for some tiane and fully explained the scheme tomy constituctits

How: Mh: SEMOOTR-Dil my hot orable friend tell the mo ho unch this Cotereolonial Railway was to eset, or hitw much Coper Cauada was to pay torit? Thaty it was to be established ty the Gurerament," and kept up as a public work? I should be ylad to hear my hunorable fricul wn these points before a pupular ásemblage. (Hear, hear): We have beed told by"my houvable: friend the Commissiotier of Crown Lands, that conerssions had tiv be made, but how weyre these concesnions mades'. I' ufortunate. ly they were all made viee way; they wete made to the Lower Proviaces. No conces. sious to" Clanada, cast or "west, but all in favor of the Luwer lrovinces: And could you expect anything else would be the resuit of the C'onvention, when the small province of Yrince Edward 1oland, and the swall province of Sewfoundand, seut representatives in the same manner and the same number as the whole próvince of Camada? Couldt have been experted that the delegates from Canadi soould supply at the talent". However much I estectu the tatents of the members of the Executive Cunneal, I believe there ard those ia the Lower Provinecs who possess the taleat necessary to arrange a scheme of this bind. Wheu Cauada, with it $3,3,000,000$ of population and $\$ 11,000,000$ of revenue, was repreyented thare by twelve, and the Naritime Provinces, with only son,0,0 of population and a reventic uader \$3, 000,000 , was represented by ncarly two to vae, could it bo expected that a farorable
arrangement could be made. (Hear): My honorable, friend says that they voted by provinces, but it was all the same. Now; what was the first concession? The first concession was in granting twenty eight mémbers of this Honse to those provinces, with only 800,000 inhabitants and paying a small amount of revenue, whereas in Upper Chanada we have 1,500,000 of population, and contribute $\$ 7,000,000$ or $\$ 8,000,000$ to the revenue, and yet have only twenty-four members. Here is the first concession to make the Lower Provinces come in to support the scheme. And is it not a fact that this House will have the control of the legislation to a certain extent, and are we not. entitled to it? Then there is another point in connection with the Lower Provinces, which 1 . will here notice.: The franchise is lower there- it is almost univerbal. Persons entered upon the assessinent roll for'a small amount of personal property may vote for members of the Confederate Parliament. Here members are elected by persons ascessed for real property to a certain amonat "This" is another matter which should have been attended to. It is not right that members should be sent to the General larliament on these terms." Hear, hear.)' The wholo seheme is, in fact, a history of concessions, and all on one side. The arraugement of the public. debt at a rate per head, instoad of according to revenue, is another mistake. My friend, the honorable nember for Saugeen Division, (Hon, Mr. Macpuzranon, stated the other day that my arghments were fallacions; that in this case tho rate per head of population was the one which ought to be adopted. Is not the revenue the meaus of payment of the debe? Is population to be considered?. I will satisfy my honorablo friend that his reasoning was not correct, at least it is not what $I$ would ex: pect from a gentlenian uccupying the position be does 'in the country. Is population alwas's wealth? No. It is wealth when it can be protitably employed; it is wealth. when you can employ it in manufactures, or in the cultivation of good farming lands; but lock at the case of Ireland, where population has been a source of poverty.

Hon. Mr. MACPHERSON - What I said was, that past revenue was not a fair criterion of what each province was to pay. In future we would have a naiform tariff: 'I am sure that my honorable friend will not
say that in this country population is a source of poverty.

Hon. Mr. SEYMOUR-My honorable friend says he adopts one plan for the'past and another for the future. What justice is there in that? We have only to look at the proposed system to see the effect it has. If New Brunswick, with a million revente, be allowed to put her debt of seven millions npon the Confederation, then, upon thie same rule, Canada should enter into the Confedera: tion with all her debt and more: The estimated revenue of Canada is eleven millions. Any one could figure that out and see that Canada should have had no debt left for the local governments to pay; but on this principle of concession, why, of course, Canada must suffer. Now, to shem the working of the system, look at the effect of the rate of 80 cents a head." Upper Canada will pay $81,040,000$ to the General Government, and receive back $81,120,000$ for the LocalGovern-ment,-that is, sapposing, Upper Canada contributes two-thirds of the revenue of the united provinces. That has been admitted by one who now holds a high position in the Government. This is the fine scheme which my honorable friend fróm Saugeen lauds: You pay according to wealth, and the difference against Upper Cariada is $84 \div 0,000$, or in othen words, Upper Canada pays $81,540,000$ out of one pocket and receives back $\$ 1,1 \geqslant 0,000$ in the other. This is the working of the system which has been carried out, very much against the interests of not ouly Upper Canada but all Canada. The third concession is the amount to be paid to Newfoundland, as a set-off against her not being indebted. There may be, 1 admit, a show of fairnetess in this, but the sum is a great deal too large", Canada will go on increasing, whereas frour Newfoundland we can espeet very little. The fourth matter is that of the 80 cents a head. to which I have just alluded, and I have shown the working of that, and it is decidedly against it. Then couces the 863,000 a year to New Erunswick, for tea years. I was very glad to hear my honorable frieod from Saugeen (Hon.: Mr. Mícpichson) disapprove of that. I am glad to find him, so strong a.supporter of this scheme, admit that that was wrong. I have made my calculation in" an Upper Canada point of view. So long as the union was maintained, however, my voice was aever raised by way of comparison. I desire to maintain that union. (Hear, hear.) But
now wé＂are foreed to take this scheme as it is，without any amendmentio any particular． I only now wish to point out that of the principal which this $\$ 63,600$ represents，and which iny bonorable friend from Saugeen cannot endurse．C＂pper Cauada will have to pay $\$ 367,000$ ．Then $\$ 150,000$ a－year to Newfoundland is a sisth concession；made for worthless lands．This is equal to a capi－ tal of three millions．：The lands of the ather provinces are well taken care of ；but those in Jewfoundland，what are they worth？ They，are entirely valueless：－When my hon－ orable triend the Commissioner of Crown Lands has all these layds ：o control，I am sure he wi⿱⿻丷木⿰夕㐄巜l hase bis hands full The lands of other provinees were worth retaining，and they were left under their own management； but as these happenedt to be good fur nothing， they were put upon＇the General Govern－ ment．Had thes been good tor anything， they would also hare beer reserved．There is another question．－It is proposed to take the government，railways of New Brunswick and Nova Scotia，aud wake them provincial works．I suppuse we shall be told that the canals of Cadadx are also＇taken，and made public＂works＂of the confederation．But there is a very rerat difference between these． The railways had only an existence of a few years，they would be worn out soon，and must be kept up at the expense of the cen－ federate Geverumetit．What adrantige gould they be to the Coutederate dovera－解的？What are our expenses now for public works？Have we not＂seen thé＂tolls removed ou our canals，aud will it not be a part of the policy of the Confederate Crov－ ernment to retwove the rattes paid＂on these railways，aud they will be kept up，at all public works are，at an enorinous loss to the Government．＂（Hear，hear）My hoourable friend frum Niagara the uther day，I thuught， on one point，was not quite correct io what hesaid in respect to l＇pper cauada．（Laugh． ter，and hear，hear．）From the census of 1 $\$ 61$ ，I find that the cash value of tarms in Coper Canada was $\$ 295,16 ; 315$ ，and in Lơwer Canada，sliss，432，545，makiupa total of $8463,594,661$ ．The live stock in C pper Canada was valued at $\$ 33,2 \pm \pi, 516$ ；in huwer Caciada， $824,572,1 \% 4$ ．Whett，Cepper Can ada， $8: 4,640,425$ ；Lower Canada，$\$ 2,563$. 114．Other grains；Cpper Canada，83s， 123,340 ；Lower Canada； $523,5: 54,703$ ．Now， in timber，mineral wealth，manufactures and fisheries，Cpper Canada is quite equal to

Lower Canada and the Maritime Provinces． I believe that if Upper Canada could be left alons，if it was not to be burthened and its back broken by these concessions，the whole of Canada would become still inore prosper－ ous，provided we did not enter into apy further useless and wasteful expènditure． Compare these resources with those of the Lower＇，Provinces！＂The gallant Premier， the other day，stated something with respect to the wealth of those provinces－with res－ pect to their mines and timber．But the timber nust become exhausted，and conse－ quently that country cannot grow richer； whilst in Canada；with a good productive soil and an industrious population，we must go ：on－increasing in wealth：What is the value of the mines which we are to get？In Nova Scotia the royalty on coal is only 828 ， 000 ，and the revenue derived frow the gold fields，$\$ 0,000$ ；and what else have we to obtain from these provinces？Whys in Nova Scotia they have no timber，and con－ sequently，their revenue eannot increase； whilst we in Canada múst inevitably go on and grow in prosperity，because the ele－ ments of our wealth are in the soil and climate．：（Hear，hear）

Hoy．Mr：CAMPBELL－Surely my hon－ orable friend does not pretend to say that the revenue of Nopa Scotia cannot increase？ Why，it has doubled in one year．

Hos＇Mr．SEYMOLR－What else have they besides their coal ficlds？：It is not pretended that they have any timber 1t you increase the tariff，you will increase the revenus，but it must not be expected that the revenue can be doutted．They will lessen thèir consumption if you in crease the tarif，It is fallacious reasoning to say that when you double the tariff you duable the revenue．

Hon．Ma CAMPBELL－For the year 185y，the revenue of Nova Scotia wis $86: 9,009$ ，and it increased the next year to $\$ 1,-49,000$ ，and trent on increasing，and yet my honcrable friend says that it eannot in－ crease．

Hon Mr．SEYMOUR－I have not the statements which the hotorable gentleman has quoted from，but the figures I have given are those of 186：．There ar excise duties， but I believe that the local duties will be aid to the local governments．＂The con－ plaint which has been made by Upper Canada has been，that although they contributed two－thirde or three－fourths of the revenue，
they did not possess a correspoodiag esatro of the legislation, and that they did not receire, back in proportion to the amount they paid. Will this be remadied by this measure? Draw a line east of Montreal, and do jou not find the control of the Legislature there, in consequence of the concessions made to the Maritime Provinces?
Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL-The balance will be restored when the Red River Settlowent comes in.
Hon Mr. SEYMOUR-I am afraid that yo one here will live to see that country cone in. I have listened with a good deal of attention to the speeches of my hooorable friends, and I bave read the reports of the debates in the other branch of the Legisla ture, and the only argument I have heard brought forward in favor of thin scheme, is that it will strengthen the conneetion with the Mother Country. (Hear, hear.) Now, hourable gentlemen, I yield to no one in syyng that that connection ought not to be broken.." I say we are infinitely bettei here under the faik of Great Britain than under that of the United States. (Hear, hear.) But no reason is assigned; we are not told u' what way the connection is to be strengthened Can you alter the geographical positiou of the country? Will you have any more peoplé or means? Your reveñe is not inerreased, nor is your population, nor is your geygraphical pisition attered. Is it because the peuple of the Lower Prorinces are ready soexpend a larige sum for the defence of the couitry? Why, to :shive you what those provicices consider it necessary to do in this direction, I will read a short extract from a statement of the Financial Secretary of Nova Suttia:-
A crezards ith sum proposed to be graated for tur wistua- $8: 2,0000-$ honorable gestlemen might thalk 12 a large amiount in the present state of the Alanaies; but, looking at the large sum already "xperaded, and still being expended in Canadachrevifits being made in New Brangwick for a simatat ibject- wiould it be creditable io us as Suva Seotians, particularly considering the efforts put fucth by the British Guvesamient to. protect $u s$, expeide a leess sum?
The large sum of 820,000 was to be expended, and that at a time when the expenstre Militia Bill, to which 1 have alladed, was before this Houso. (Hear, hear.) Twenty thousand dollares was the sum that wias proposed by the Legislature of Nova Scotia, the: nest important colony to Cainede, at a tume Whea' we wore told hare that wo wore in
danger from our neighbors acrosa the line But something more was said by the Financial Secretary:. The present Premier was pressing to strike out this' item and put $\$ 8,000$ instead, and the Financial Secretary said:-•

[^3]The hoor of the country was at stake in this $\$ 20,000$. New Brunswick the same year spent $\$ 15,000$. Now, Lopposed the expensive Militia Bill submitted to this House; but then the Governiment haxd expended over half a million dullars a year in inilitia expenses, and I admit they are going on very properly now. (Hear, hear.) Then wa have been. told that this Confederation scheme is going to raise the credit of the country. My honorabie friend from Saugeen veatured the statemeat that on the intelligeace of the adoptiva of these resolutions in the Cunfereace reaching Eigiand, funds rose fitieen to serenteca per cent.' Now, does' any honorablo, penitlewan suppose for a moment that that was the cause for this rise? (A roíve- It was.) I have here from the files of the London Times, the quotations of Canadian Securities, and on the 7 th of November, - the date of His Excellency's letter, cunveyiag iaformation of the adoption of the seheme,--the inseribed stock was 86 to 90.

How Ma. Macpherson-I stated a fack when I said that that rise took place ià consequeace of the resolutions. I would like miy honorable friead to explain it in any other way.

Hos. Mi. SEyMOUR-We know that there are varicus caiuses which operate in raising, or depressiag stwoks in England, the rate of interest of the Bank of England, sc. W.ill, on the 7 th of November as 1 said, the quotation was 86 to 90 , and I find that ca the 25 th November, giving time for the uews to reach England, it was only 88 609. And now, with a strong probability of the measure passing, what is the priee? Tho lass quotation is 81 to 83 .

Hon. Mr. MACPHERSON -I suppose the honorable member knows the resson of this decline. Soon after what was done in the Conference was known in England, the St. Alban's raid took place, and the consequence of the eveats connected with that was a fall of 17 or 18 percent in our securities.

Hón. Mr: SEY SOUR.-In consequence of the wise policy of the statesmen of England friendly relations had been maintained with our neighbours: It is true the passport system was put on, but it $\$$ sto be removed again, and all things are to become as they: were before, with the exception; perhaps, of the Reciprocity Treaty. ". Every man of business knows that that rise in stocks was not caused by anything connected with the Confederation scheme.' Why should it? What is it that increases the value of stonks and depreciates them? Is it not the confidence of capitalits who have invested in them, that the interest will be paid. But under this, Confederation scheme will not our expenses be increased? This Intercolonial Railway must be built and kept up; and this ṃ̂ust be at the cost of Canada: Y ju have got your local goveruments to keep up, and you have got your Confederate Government to keep up, and it we look at the experience of the past, is it likely there wilt be any reduction in the future? (Hear, hear.) I have got firures here to shew what the cost of the two goverimente was before thet union of the provinces. The whole expense of the government of Lower Canada, with the salaries of officers, \&c., was $£ 57,61 \mathrm{x}$. In Upper Canada we were as economical. "We were then under the rule of the Family Compact. and a worse compact we might have. (Hear, hear, and laughter.) 'They were high-minded, and they did nut stoop to matters of corruption, as others have done since.: (Hear, hear.) The whole expenses of the two gov: ernments were only a little over $£ 100,000$ a year. What are they now? Some two years ago the expenges of the civil goverument alone, not including the cost of the militia, were $83,000,000$. Here, in a little more than twenty years, the expenses have increased seven-fold, notwithstanding that we have only one Government. "Now, what are we to expect from the Confederate Govern ment? Every hotiorable member know that things mast be made pleasaut for every: body, and when you are forming a Confederate Government, these expenses must be continued. Yor cannot tarn people'sdrif,
and you must either employ or pension them. Are we to suppose that because there is a Federation, these expenses will be lessened? I admit that in the Lower Provinces they have managed their affairs with less expense than we have. But "now we will have the local governments to pay for: We will have ancther staff to keep up for each province, which will add very materially to our expenses. The money must come out of the pockets of the people, who will have to pay it either by direct or indirect taxation. What possible difference can it make to the people of this country, whether they pay it directly by taxation or in duties? Birect tasation mast be imposed, and that to a large extent, by the local governmente,

It being six o'clock, the Speaker left the Chair.

After the dinner recess,-
How. Mr. SEYMOUR , continuing his remarks, said-I think, honorable geatlemen, that, taking into consideration the vast importance of this scheme-its importance in a finadial point of view alone, without saying one word about the principleof changing the Constitution without consulting the peoplethere should be an appesl to the country before it is" carried into effect. A point which I did not enter fully into before the recess was the argument that Confederation would strengthen the connection with the Mother Country: Now, do we not see all the financial reformers in Eagland, with the Times and other inflicential organs of the press, which on financial grounds were desirous of separating the colonies from the parent state, all advocating this weasure in the warmest possible manner?"' Undoubtedly the in perial government will sanction the scheme, but it is the policy now of that Government to sanction anything of a local character that the colonies desire. Well, in aldition to the press that is favorable to the separation of the colonies from the Mother Country; and fuancial reformers: like Gplawis: Suita and others who have favored the same views, What was stated a short time ago by the Uader Secretary of State for tre Colonies to bis constituents? ? In speatiog of this schemer, he said it was favored by the Imperial Goverament for the parpose of preparing is for a change in our relations; for the parpose of educating us to defend ourselves (Hear, hear.) Was it not very strong language, conaing as if did from no less a personuge than the Under Seeretary for the

Colonies, that the Imperial Government is ready to favor a separation whenever we asked for it? (Hear, hear:) Now, I am not one of those honorable gentlemen who wish to see the day arrive when the colonies will ask for such separation. I am not one of those who wish to educate the people to that idea, but would rather impress mpon them the paramount importance of endeavoring to maintain the union and connection pith the Mother Country (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Mr. DeBEAUJEU-What is the. opinion of the foreign press with regard to us? Has it not threatened us, so that it is our duty to be prepared?

Hon. Mr. SEYMOUR-I suppose my honorable friend alludes to the press of the neighboring republic. We have certainly seen some of those newspapers, but rery few of them threatening to invade and overrun us, but have you heard anything of that kind from the Goveriment of the country, and are not onr relations with it of the most frieadly charaeter? Are you to be governed in'your conduct by the rash utterances of a few newspapers,-perhaps sensation newspapers?
Hon Mr. MACPHERSON-Has not Mr Seward threatened us?

Hos Ma SEYMOUR-Not since he entered the Government. (Hear, hear, and loughter.)

Mon. Mr. CaMPBELL-Yes, just befure the laet presidental election.

Hos. Mr SEYMOLK-Well, that is a matter of very little importance (Laughter.) Now, Lonorable gentlemen, I have shown that this scheme has no procedent, even on the other side of the line. Among all the wild republican theoriess of our neighbors, they have never proposed to change the Constitution in this manner-never changed it, at all evente, without the conseat of the people, obtained in sowe form or other Reference has been made, I think; by my honorable friend in front (Hon. Mr. Koss) tw the union of England and Ifeland: Well, every houorable mesuber knows the means employed to bring about that union. May, in his. Comstitufional History, states that $£ 1,300,000$ sterling were spent in carrying it. But how was the representation dealt with in that case? Did'Kingland, being the richer country, possessing the largest share of wealth and capital, give a preponderance of the represeatation to Irolaind, as we propose to give to the Lower Provinces?

Hon. Mr. ROSS-That was a legislative union, while in this the representation will be based on population.

Hon. Mr. SEYMOUR-That does not affect the case. After the Irish union was effected, what was the representation of Ireland in the House of Commons? It was 100 members in a total number of 656 ; and in the House of Lords 28 Peers, in"a House of 450 members. And although it was considered by England an absolute necessity that the union should be brought about, she did not give a preponderance, and scarcely a fair share, of the representation to the sister kingdom.

Hon. Mr. ROSS-That is because in the English Parliament they do not recognize the principle of representation by population.

Hon. Mr. SEYMOUR My hon. friends will say that this proposed change is neither American nor English:

SEVERAL HON MEMBERS-It is Canadian. (Hear, hear.)

How Mr. SEYMOUR-No, it is neither one nor the other; it is a mongrel Constitution. (Laughter.) In Eagland no important change in the laws is ever carried without being disecussed in Parliament, session after session, followed by an appeal to the people upon it. Even so unimportant a change-or what would, is comparison with. this scheme, be here regarded as so uniuportant a chainge-as the extengi n of the franchise, has been discussed in Parlament for years, and submitted to the people before passing into law. Now, I would like to enquire of honorable gentleuren, what are the legitimate functions of the Legislature of this country. Do we not assemble here for the parpose "of enacting good and wholesome laws for the people? (Hear, hear.). Thone laws may be repealed, it they chance not to meet publie approval; but here ycu propose to change the Constitution - to change the whole fabrio of suciety-in tact to revolusionize society, without avking the consent of the people, and without the possibilityat any rate, the reasonable possibility-of this important change ever being reconsidercd. Does nut this importantisubject affect: every freeholder in the country as much as it affects us, and are there not thousands of peopie in the country who have ay great an interest in it as the menibers of the Exacutive Council of Canada? And yet, forsooth; these gentlemen prepare a scheme, bring is down to this House, and tell the representa-
tives of the people that they are not at liberty to ascertain the wishes of the people respecting it, nor to alter it in any manner, but that they must take it as it is." Still we are told, notwithstanding all this, that this is freedom, and that we are a free people."

HoN Mr. CAMPBELL-You are at" liberty either to accept or reject it. " (Hear, bear.)

Hon. MR. SEYMOUR-Well; that is all very well, but we are told we must accept the scheme as it is; and all the influence. that the Government can use - which I fear will be successfully used-(hear, hear) -will be emploged to carry it through without the people having an opportunity of saying yea or nay upon it. We are told it is not British to permit this-even to pass a short, act allowing the people to rote upon it'; bat if this is not British, neither is the proposi: tion itself. (Hèar, hear.) I entreat honorable members not to pass a measure of "this " importance" without delaying it" some little time, at all events, for the purpose of obtainiug an expression of pablio opinion jupon it. The people who are to be governed. by it, who are for all time to come to live: under this Constitation, certainly have a right to be consulted beforer it is consummated ; and for the special well-being of the country, I hope and trust it will not pass - without affording them that oppor unity.' (Hear, heir:)

HoN: Mr. BENSETT said-Honorablè agentlemen, after the many able and eloquent speeches we have heard on this sabject, it inay be presumptuous in me to offer any re"mark - (cries of "go on.',")-but I cannot consente to give a silent rote upon the quas tioun before the House, and I think I would be wanting in míy duty to those who sent mo here if I did not make sorme obserrations upon this important subject: (Hear, hear:) I think hoourible gentlemen will agre with me that this project is one of the most' impor-tant-indeed, the most important-that has - ever been brought before the Legiolature of Canada. (Hear, hear.) We are abuut to "witness a great change in the Constitution of the country, the like of which has aot beeu . seen since the union of the provinoes; and I am free to say that a change of some kind or other is imperatively demanded, for I thint that if the present"state of things were allowed to ecminue it would be difficilt, if not impoisible, to carry on the Government as it has been carried on for the last three or four years. (Hear, hear.) We havo been told by
the honorable and gallant gentleman at the head of the Government that we have been on an inclined plane, and I am sure that if some remedy had not been proposed we would have found ourselves sliding into a state of anarchy from the bitterness of feeling which prevailed in the country. I am not so sanguine, as some honorable gentlemen seem to be, that when we get Coufederation we shall have a sort of political millennium, that we shall have no more political storms and agitations, but that we shall then "enjoy nothing but the calm and sunshine of political life. But I think We. will find ourselves pretty much in the same position as before with regard to parties -that we shall have a Government party and an Opposition, for in all free constitutional gorernments it is better to have an opposition than to be "without one. I object, not to a healthy opposition, but to a factions one: (Hear, hear.) From the difference in the laws language and institutions of the several provinces it is clear that legislative union of them is out of the question. The principle of the double majority, as a remedy for our difficulties, has proved to be a/failure; representation by population, which would have satistied Upper Canada, has been per. sistently denied by Lower Canada; and; therefore, I see no resource but to fall back upon the project of the Confederation of the provinces." (Hear, hear.) I would like to remark apon the peculiar position in which the elected members of this. House stand in reference to this subject. It has been said that, if they vote for the resolutions; they vote to make themselves members of the House for life; that this was not contemplated by the constituencies when they were elected; and that it would be destroying the franchise and tiking away a right from the people which the House had no authority to do. Well, all I ean say' is, that I have heard no such objections from the people of the constituency which I have the honor to represent: all I have heard from them is a call for dolay in the cursideration of this question, and I maintain that delay is not fatal or injurious to it. (Hear, hear:) "We haso delayed it already for weeks; in New Brunswick it has been postponed till after the general election, and can any one show me that it will injure the measure to put it off for a short titue longer? Surely if it is good now, it: will be juist as good twelve months hence. (Hoar, hear.) The resolutions hase been drawn up by able, talented, but fallible men; and thorefore we oughe to, weigh them care
fully before finally passing them. (Hear, hear.) I have no doubt it is the opinion of every man-even of the delegates who framed these resolutions- that if he had the power he would change them in some particular. If I had the power I have no hesitation in saying that I would change them; but we mast take them as a whole or reject them altogether. When I hear of amendments being moved by different honorable gentlemen, therefore I am reminded of the lookeron at a game of chess. He imagines that he conld improve many of the moves made by the players, but it would be found, if his suggestious were followed, that the end would be that he would find himself checkmated and the game lost:- (H6ar, hear:) In lookipg over the resolutions I have found some things that are good, and some that are open to objection; but, upon a careful balance of both, I.have come to the conclusion that the good preponderates. (Hear; hear.) I would, therefore, hesitate to take upon myself the responsibility of risking the defeat of the measure bs voting for any : amendment to them. (Hear, hear.)
HoN. Mr. ALEXANDER said-I shall not now trespass at any length upon the indugence of this House. My honcrable friend from Port Hupe (Hon. Mr: Seynouba) pos. sesses the esteem and respect not only of the Legislative Council, but of the country, from the straightforward and consistent course he has ever pursued on the floor of Parlianent in regard to all great questions of public interest, and it is with very great diffidence and reluctance that I veature to challenge the Ggures, generally, stated by my honorable thend, in the position to took; and the deductions he drem from them, in reference to the proposed Confederation. But my honorable friend took surely a most gloomy view of the sabject. He apprehended the worst conse quences and resultes from the proposed alliance. The reply to that is that it just depends apon ourselves- it depends upon the urambers of the new Confederate Legishature whether good or evil shall flow frum it. (Hear, bear.) If they proceed to work out the Cons stitution with reasonable frugality and care, determined to keep down the public expenditure and prevent all jobbery in the carrying ont of public improvements, then, I ani satis gied that the Confederation may be carried out without materially increasing the public burdens; or, at all events, that our position will be such, that they will not fall more heavily upon tes a a whole. The honorable gentleman
particularized certain instances of alleged injustice, such as the financial arrangements with regard to Newfoundland and New Brunswick. Why, surely there can be no great injustice to Canada, in our agreeing on the one hand to allow certain subsidies, not of large amount, while we take the whole of their excise and castom duties with the power : of levying a uniform tariff: A's regards the probable adequacy of the revenue of the proposed General Government to meet all the items of ordinary expenditure, I will leave my honorable friend frol Por: Hope to disprove the. correctness of the figures given by the Minister of Finance at Sherbrooke: For my own part, - I would not presume to challenge the statements of so able a Minister of the Crown. But it is suid that to meet the expense of the Local Government, we would require to have recourse to heavy direct.taxation apon Upper and Lower Canada. I shall proceed to show that this would not be necessary, unless the Local Legislature ran out. Let us see what will be the position of Upper Canada, which is to receive upon the basis of 80 cents per head, $81,1 \geqslant 0,000$. The local items which will have. to be met out of that appropriation will be as follows :-
Edelucation.

Hospitals and charities, - ...............125,000
Penitentiary and reformatories.......... 76 ,000
Agricultural societies: $\therefore \cdots .5 \therefore . .$.
Roads and bridges.a :. ! . . ............. 80.000
Pblice, $\therefore$........................ 15,000
Literary and scientifice insututions ..... ${ }^{\circ}, 000$
$\because$
Logislatiou.................................... 100,000
Civil Guernment, Lieut Goveruor and
120,000
Leaving a balance for other purposes.
$\$ 1,120,000$
The prevailing desire in my section of West ern Canada is, that the Local Inegislature shall only be one Chamber of thirty members, with a very limited inexpensive Executiveq sort of large municipal deliberative body-: which would involve a small expenditure, and if such views are carried out, there age no reasonable grounds for apprehending the ne cessity for direct taxation. But I did not intend when I rose to enter again at flength upon such details. I was onily desirous to explain the course which I shall be obliged to. pursue in reference to the amendment of the honorable member for the division of Welling-
ton. After the leader of the Government, in another quarter, has declared that they will look upon any amendment of an important detail as a defeat of the whole scheme, I am not prepared to take tho responsibility of voting for an amendment which would have such 'an effect.' (Hear, hear.) But while 'I am satisfied that I am acting in accordance with the views of my constituents in voting in the negative, I do think that an opportunity should be afforded to any of the members of this House to record the views of their constituents upon this or upon any of the other details to which they take exception, and I therefore beg to move in amendment, seconded by the Hon. Mr. Skead, -
That it is proper that any members of this - House should be afforded an opportunity of re re cording their yiews in regard to the proposed change in the manner of appointing the members. of the Legislative Council. ${ }^{\circ}$. But that the way to effect this in the most satistactory pranner, without endangering the safety of the Confederation as a whole, will be to enter a memorial or protest upon the Journals of this House, embody: ing their views. upon this important detail of the Confederation. . A copy of such memorial or protest to be transmitted to the Imperial Govern-: mentalong with the resolutions now before this House.

Hos. Mr. AIKINS-I should like to know in what position I would be placed if the amendment of the honorable yentleman was carried. (Hear, hear, ${ }^{\prime}$ If I support the amendment of the honorible, nember from the Wellingtou Division (Hon. Mr. SAnbobN) it would appear, from this amendment, if it were adopted, that $I^{\prime}$ would have to support tur substantive resolutions adso. I waitd like to know how these two things can be done at the same time.

Hon Ma. BOSSE-I do not think the amendment is in order, and I raise that objection to it.

Hon. Sir N. F. BELLEAU-The rules of the House provide for protests being made by members, and the amendment is, therefore, unnecessary.

HoN. MR: CURRIE-I would like to hear some further explanation from my honorable friend from the Gore Division, respecting this améndment.

Hon' Mr. REESOR-Is it in order?
The Hon the SPEAKER-- The amendment is not in order. The effect of it wonld be cimply to affirin the 23 rd rule of this House, which prosides that any member may enter his protest against any action on the
part of the House. This amendment is simply a reiteration of that rule, and I must, therefore, declare that, in my opinion; it is out of order: (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Mr. AIKINS-As the honorable memher from the Gore Division particularly desires to express an opinion upon the question whether the elective principle shall be abolished or not, I will, with the permis sion of the House, give notice of a motion which I intend to move, in amendment to the main resolution before the House :-

That the legislative councillors representing Upper and Lowey Canada ia the Logislative Counci of tho Ceneral Legislature; shall be clected as at present, to represent the forty eight electoral divisions mentioned in schedule of chaptor first of the Consolidated Statates of Canada, and each such conacillor shall reside or possess the qualification in the division be is: elected to represent.

HoN Ma. CURRIE-At this stage of the debate I will take the opportunity of re ferring to some figures just used by the homorable member from the Gore Divisione who gave us the impression that the local governments would have much more than sufficient means to cariy on their local affairs from the sutsidies granted to them by the Geueral Govern-ment.- Now, it' is very easy to make this staternent; but if the honorable genteman will look back to the time of the union of "pper and Lower Canada he will find that," imuediately before that naion, the cost of governing Upper Canada by its separate Lo gislatare; with a population of 450,000 , wias 8770,000 a-year ; and we have heard it stated to-day that the people were then goverued cheaply, honestly and properly. If it cost - $8770,000^{\circ}$ to govetn 450,000 people in Upper, Canada in 1839, how much, in the same pro: portion, will it cout to govern 1,396,000 of people now, in that section under the Contederation ? The answer is, $82,170,000$ ayear, or, in other words, just about doabla the amount of the local subsidy.

Hon. Ma. ALEXANDER-The honora ble gendeman forgets that the Federal Gov: ernmeat will incur a large part of the expenditure of that province formerly borne by the Local Legislature.

Hon Mr. CURRIE-I am quite well aware of the burdens the General Goveriment will bear, and also aware that powers will be given to it over certain subjeots formerly dealt with by the Local Legiolature. As to Lower Canada, it had as the time of

the union, 650,000 inhabitants, 200,000 more than the population of Upper Canida, al though its government cost only $\$ 573,348$; and in the same proportion, provided the new Local Legislature is equally economical as the old, this sum will be increased to, $\$ 1,230,000$-some $\$ 100,000$ over and abcre the local subsidy, which excess will, of course, have to be raised by direct taxation. - These tigures, taken from the Public Accounts, are easily accessible by the honorable member from the Gore Division, and are, of course, entirely reliable.
Hos. Mb. ALEXANDER-The figures I presented to the House are also reliable, aud I ehallenge the honorable gentleman to dispute them.

Hos Mr. ARMLAD-I have listened attentively to the honorable members who have spokea to the question before the House, some of whom have manifested fear in regard to the changes proposed to bo introduced in the Constitution, and I am far from blan:ing them, but it is to be observed that none of them have proposed a remedy for the difficulties of the situation. Two or three said that the measare had caken the Legislature and the country by surprise, but it seems to me that those honorabie nembers have forgotten that the question of Confederation was discesssed. both in Parliament and in the country in 1859, and that since then the Legislature and the press have occupied themselves with it often enough. Did not the Levislative Assembly last year name a committee to inyuire into the difficulties which seemed to bo hurrying us on to anarchy, and 'did not that conimittee report that the remedy for those crils Tas Confederation?: Those hnorable nembery also seem to forget that ince the Goverament disclosed its, policy through the magnifiecut speech of the Minister of Finaince to his constituents at Sher-brooke-a specela circulated in all parts of the sountry by the press of the varions political parties-24 elections have taken place, 13 for this honorable House and 11 for the other. Of the 13 for this House, three candidates only declared thernselves opposed to Confederation, and of those three, but one was elected. Of the 11 for the Assembly, one only objected to it, and it is said that he will now vote for the measure. Relative wo that provision in the resolutions of tho Conference, having regard to the elective principle in the Legislative Council, I have already stated my pepinion, anid I would tell the honorable mensber for the Wellington Division that it seems
to me that the delegates, who are all eminent men, could not have come to such a conclusion except after mature deliberation. I can well understand that befofe England permitted us to adopt its Constitution-gave us responsible government; allowed us the control of our own affairs; and when its governor spere not advised by ministers responsible to the peo ple, "but were surrounded by advisers ${ }^{\text {.. who }}$ were more like clerks, "who to preserve their salaries were often obliged to submit to the arbitrary will of their master-I can easily conceive I repeat, that it was expedient to seek a remedy tor the wrongs under which we then labored. But to day; when the parent state requires that its "governors shall choose advisers responsible to the people, the elective system is no longer needful in relation to finance or to the tranquillity and safety of the people: As to finance, I will certainly not say that officers of the Government take advay tage of their position to speculate in setting. up ephemeral candidates - most assuredly not; but I: will say that many citizens, little careful of their true interest and of the fature of their country, convert election daye into days of speculation, by givingo rise to corraption, viclonce and perjury ; and I shall be ready; whenever required, to prove as elearly as that two and two make four that in sereral divisions the election resembled civil warfare more than proper election contests. I know that many persons, I will not say urged by an inordinate liberalism, degencrating into demagogy- for I do not believe we hare in our young country any of those fierce demagogues-but I will say, that there are persons who wish that all the offices under the State should be sub. mitted to universal suffrage, because they know that in sueh circumstances they could impose upou the syiupathy and the judg. ment of the people. But I would say to. such persons-gentlemen, do not suppose yourselves niser' statesmen than those of ${ }^{\circ}$ the Muther Country, who luave established their Constitution after centuries of efforty and contests, and who work it after the' experience of centuries. I vould further tell them "do not suppose yourselves better able to appreciate the British Constitation than Monsieur Montaliembert, one of the great literati of the day, the historian and eminent statesman; or than M. Berayer, the priace of the French bar, both of whom proclaimed but recently that that Constitution was one of the most beautiful and free that could possibly be desired." I congratulate the Government upon desiring to preserve so
much of this law as may appear rational and good. - I refer to the territorial divisions and the propriety of causing them to be represented by persons who have rested interests therein; and indeed how could any one represênt with equal devotion and advantage a division, as the man who had sacred rights therein, whether by personal residence or the ownership of the property upon which his qualification rests; and who clings to it because it has descended to him from his an:cestor, or because he has acquired it by the sweat of his brow, his vigils and his toils? I hope it will not be said that I intend by my remarks upon this law to disparage the residents in the towns, for the division which I have the honor to represent embraces part off the most populous city in Canada, and I only accepted the charge after the refusal of two of its most eniinent citizens-eminent: equally by their large fortunes and their social position. But probably those gentlewen had learned by their own experience or by that of others, that public, life did not present sufficient charuis to cause it to be eagerly coreted:(Hear hear:)

Hon Mr, CURRIE-Before recording "ny yote on the amendinent before the House, I, feel it my duty to say a few words in reference to that amendment: I caanot say that I altogether coneur is the manner in which it is drawn. but.at the same tine I feel called upou. as an elected menker, to support it. I feel that it would ill become nue-that I iruld be hardyy discharging my duty to my constitu-ents--if I were to sit silently by and give iny vote to change the Constitution under which I wis elected. (Hear, hear.) "I feel that there is something extraordiary in the fourteenth of these resdutions before the House, and I would like to hear the Government give a full explanation as to the manner in which that resolution was arrived at in the Conference. Bear in mind, honorable gentlemen, that the eleventh resolution declares that "the mema" bers of the Legistative Council shat be ap pointed by the Crown under the great seal of the Geueral Government, and shall hold office during life." Thus the House will see that by this resolution the Crown has the right for all future time to select the legislative councillors in Upper Canada from any part of the country' which the Crown sees fit; but in Lower Canada there is this difference that, according to the sixteenth resolution, "each of the legislative councillors representing Lower Canada in the Legislative Council of the General Legislatare,shall be appointed to 'represent
one of the twenty-four electoral divisions mentioued in schedule $A$; of chapter 1st of the Consolidated Statutes of Canada, and such councillor shall reside or possess his qualification in the dirision he is appointed to represent.? Then the fourteenth resolution declares that © the first selection of the members of the Legislative Council shall be made, except as regards Prince Edward Island, from the legislative councils of the variots provinces, so far as a sufficient number be found qualified and willing to serve." " Now, honorable gentlemen, I have always understood-: my reading of books on constitutional law has given me to understand- that the greatest of England's statesmen who have spoken on the question of the Royal prerogative, have always broadly laid it down as a rule that the prerogative should never and could never be limited. How is it then that these thirtythree individuals, talented, able and gifted; as no doubt they were, who met in the roons behind me and sat with closed door's, saw, fit to hamper and aripple the eperation of that good rule? (Hear; hear.) Nhould the pre: rogative of the Crown in the selection of nembers of this llouse be limited? It may be true that, residing in many of the divisions in Loower Canada represented in this House, there may" be good men, competent men, well qualified men; but it is equally true that there may be just an good, able and talented men, outside of them as in it. Why, then, strould the duors of this House be clowed againist the men? Why is it, I would like. to know, that the prerogative of the Crown is" to be restricted so as to prevent the choice at these men"?

Hong sir E. TuCHE-I can give exphanations ti) the honarable gentleman. He must be avare that Lower Canada is in a dif. terent position from L'pper Camada, and that there are two nationalities in it occupying ceirtain portions of the country.' Well, these divishons have been male so as to secure to. both nationalities their respective rights, and these, in our opinion, are good reasung for the provision that has been made.

Hon Mr. CURRLE-I do not think my hoborable and gallant friend sees the point of my remarks. I would ask why in the first selection the choice of the Crown is restricted. to the metubers of this Chamber, when proba. bly others out of it could be found those presence here would be of more advantage to the public?
hon. Sir E. P. TACHE-I do not knom what advantage would be derived if the Crown
had the right of making selections from all orer the country. If that had been proposed, I think many honorable gentlemen would have found fault with it. ' (Hear, hear.)' It was due to courtesy that the members of this House should not be averlooked, and not only that, but there were acquired rights which had to be respected. My honorable friend appears to dissent from this statement. Well, the last choice of the people are now in this House, and by the fact of their election they have acquired a right to a seat, and I think those gentlemen who have been appointed for life hare gained rights which should not be overlooked.: (Hear, hear:)
How, Mr. CURRIE-The honorable and gallant gentleman says we have an acquired right. I admit we have a right to sit here duriag the term for which we have been clected, but what right have we to seat ourselves here for the remainder of our lives? The people did not send us here to make this change in othe composition of this House. (Hear; hear.). And what right even have the appointed members of this Honse to seats here during their lifetime? I have a despatch here, written by the late Bube of Newcastie, who will be considered pretty good authority upoin the point, to the Lientenant-Governor of Prince Ldward Island, on this very gaestion. I need not read the words of the despatch, but the sense of it, is, that legislative coun cillors have no right of property in their positien, but simply a naked trust which the Legislature may at auy time call upon them to surrender to other hands, if. in their opinion, the public interest shall rey aire such transfer.

Hos. Sia E. P. TACHE-That is merely a mater of pinion.: That may for a time have been the view of the Imperial authorities, but previous to 1856 they held and said direetly the contrary. (Hear, hear.) They then said that they had granted certain privit leges to cortain' gentlemen for life, and that they would not commit the injustice of with drawing those privileges when the gentlemen had done nothing to forfeit them. $\because$ Hear, hear.)

Hon. Ma CURRIE-I an surprised at the honorable and gallant Premier questioning the ability of the distinguished gentleman who wrote the despatch to which I have just referred. Whatever may have been the opinion of the Colonial Office in 1856, this is a later opinion, for the despateh is dated the th of Febraary; 1862. The honorable and gallant gentleman saye they do not propose to take from any honorable geatleman the rights
he now enjoys. I could understand this argument if they did not propose to take away the rights of any honorable member of this House ; but I cannot understand it when you propose to drive from this Honse faithful subjects who have served their conntry honestly in the Legislature, and I am afraid We have not yet had from the gallant Premier that explanation to which the House is entitled. (Hear, hear.) Why is it that the legislative councillors from Prince Edward Island are excepted ? In that province, as we know, the Legislative Council is elec tive, and it is an elected Chamber that is now in existence there, but the members of it are excepted from the provisions that apply to the legislative canncils of the other provinces. Why is this? I think there must be some reason, in the first place, for breaking the good rule that in no way shall the prerogative of the Crown be restricted; and, in the second, for making an exception in regard to one that does notapply to the others. I think a reason may be found for this in the fact, that it was doubted whether the resolutions in a different shape would have passed through some of the chambers that compose the legislatures of the different provinces. (Hear, hear.) I would like to know what justice will be done if this change is carried out? What, for inistance, will be done with regard to two honorable members who come from the city of Hamilton? One of them (the Hon. Mr. Milis) is an appointed member; the other (the Hon. Mr. BLCLL was the almost unanimous choice of the people only a fery months since. Under the working of the resolutions', one of these honorable gentlemen will forfeit his seat.

## Hon Mr. ROSS-Why? (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Mar CURRIE-If it does not follow. that one of these honorable gentlemen will lose his seat, it must follow that sone other portion of Upper Canada will be unrepresented in this House. (Hear, hear.) Let honorable gentlemen take either horn of the dilemma they please. It may be quite true that the geutlemen who have been sent here possess the confidence of their constituents, bat it docs not follow that they will be retained in their seats: It is plain that a great injustice will be done these honorable gentlemen, sonf of whom have served their country faithfully, without, in any way trenching upon the rights of the Crown or infringing on those of the people'; and I think the conclusion this House and the country, as well as the other btanch of the Legislature, will arrive at, is that these re-
solutions were devised because they were better calculated in this shape to be palatable, if not to this Chamber, at least to other houses of the legislatures of British North America. (Hear, hear.)

Hon Mr CHRISTIE-Like other hon. gentlenta, who have preceded me, I am overcome with the importance of this subject; and I would fail in my duty were I to give a sileat vote on the very grare question now before the House., I Teel that, in the linLuaze of my hon. friend from the Easterr Division, it is a question of the greateest possi-ble importance; apd I think the House has. great reason to congratulate itself on the manner in which the discussion of it has been approached-in the way in which it has been treated, both by the friends of the resolutions and by those who have opposed them. (Hear,', hear.) Difference of opinion there nust be qu:all great public questions. (Hear, hear.) It is idle to expect that we should all be agried on this any more, than on any other great public question; and after all, the most correct judgment, which can be formed on any oceasion, is but an approximation to the 'truth.' (Hear, hear). Alt those who hare preceded as in the work of constitutionmaking have left, on the structures which they have erected, the impress of that attribute which prérades humanity-imperfection We have a very lamentable instance of this in the cease of vur neighbors on the sputhern side of the line. As was well said, by a prominent member of the Government in another place; the Constitution of the I nited states "was one of the most wouderful works of the human intellect-uwe of the most marvellous efforts of skill and orgayiza. tion that ever governed a free people. But, to say that it was perfect would be wrong." The wonder is that men with the limited amount of experience : which its authors possessed, should have framed such an instrument. It has stood many rude tests, and but for the existence in the social compact of our American friends, of an element in direct antagonism to the whole genius of their system-negro slayery - the Constitution of the United States rould have conbinued to "withstand-yes, and atter the cstiaction of that element," will continue to .withstand-all the artillery which their own or foreign despotism can array against it: Their institutions have the same features with our own. There are some points of variance; but the same great principle is the basis of both-that life, liberty and the
pursuit of happiness are the unalienable rights of man, and that to secure these rights, governments are instituted among men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed; This is the secret of the strength of the British Constitution, and without a free and full recognition of it, no government can be strong or permanent. I am free to admit that the scheme before us has some defects, which, in my judgment, will mar its well-working; but, at the same. time, I am confident that, it it should become law those defects can and will be remedied The gentlemen composing ama jority of the Conference, who were the authors of these resolutions, honestly thought that their views were right, but the time will come then they or their successors will see that they were wrong, and the orrors will be rectified. We are told the resolutions must be cither aceepted or rejected. Therefore, the question which we must solve is, whether those defects are so serious as to render it our duty to reject them, or are the advantages likely" to result from their adoption more than equivalent to the drawbicks. I hold that the substitution of appointment by the Crown for the elective principle, in this Chamber, is a great objection. I have always been an adrocate of the elective principle; still I shrink from the responsibility of voting against the scheme beciuse of that objection (Hear, hear.) We hat reached a condition almost borducring on anarchy ; and I au-sure from the conflict of passions that prevailed and it is not my design to blane one political party or the other for it, I simply state a tact, fredy soa-: ceded by both parties - that'a state of things existed for which a remedy of some kind mast be found Anditisa checring fact that in the midst of this state of thing* we have fund men patriotic enough to merge foruicr dif. fereaces and unito together for the purpere of framing a Constitution witheh will secare exemption from the evils, under which we haye labored. And slthough it nay entail -1 am not here to state that it will not en-tail-additional cost upou the country, yet that is not a ralid argument against the adoption of the scheme. (Hear, hear.) The Husise and the conntry have to tale this into consideration, whether, if it be rejected, we can deviso a plan better fitted to extricato us from our present dificulties, and which will command the support of all the parties to thris compact.: It seems to be unnecessary to go into the discussion of the question as to

Whether union of the British North American Provinces is desirable. Every hon. gentleman who has spoken, has given his assent to that proposition: "But objections have been urged against the resolutions before the House, and some of those objections have assumed a tangible shape. They have been presented in the amendments moved by my honorable friend from Wellington and by my hon. friend from; Niagara. My vote shall begiven for the resolutions, notwithstanding their defects," because I believe that the benefits which we shall derive from thair adoption will far outweigh them. (Hear, hear.) We have beca told that this setieme is new, that the "country is not informed upon the subject, and that the people do not understand it. There was a time in the history of this country-and that time has not very long gone by - when this plan of governmeat, or at any raty the leading principles embedied in it, were discussed and approved by a very large number of the people. In thö, a numerous and respectablo body representing the Reform party of Upper Canada, yet in the city of Toronto. That coavention" was composed of, I think, 560 members, who substantially adopted it as the policy of the party. Among other resoluthens which the convention agreed to were two which I shall take the liberty of read: toz tiv the Honse. The 4th resolution was 11) the fullawing effeet :-

[^4]The ubject of this resolution was clearly tuot to ignore the larger project of ConGderation of all the British North American I'ruvinces, and I think I shall be able to winvine the House, frem what fell from miself on that occasion, that it was not so ersuidered. Bat the diffealties then sarrounding us were of a grave character and an !umediate remedy was desired; and, as the resolution expresses it, the obstacle in the way of a Pederal naion of all the pro: vituess and which prevented its acceptance is an immediate remedy, was the delay Which-would necessarily occur in obtaining the consent of the Lower Provinces. But the 5 th resolation adopted at that meeting embodied in it some of the main features of
the resolutions of the Conference It runs thus:-
That in the opinion of this rssembly the best practicable remedy for the evils now encountered in the Government of Canada is to be found in: the formation of two or more lacal governments, to which shall be committed the control of all matters of a local or sectional character, and some joint authority, charged with soch matters as are necessarily common to both sections of the province.

HóN. Ma. ROSS-Or, in other words, there was a hope at that time that Con federation would be aecomplished. (Hear hear.)

Hon. Mr. CHRISTIE-Yes; äd I was going on to show that that twas the sense in which I and others in that body viewed the resolution at the time; and my hon. friend from the Niagara Division was a member of the convention. I shall quote from a speech. I made upon that oceasion, which will show at all events the sense in "which I regarded the resolution 1 have just read. It is sometimes an advantage in advocating measures to have no embarrassing antecedents.". This is my lot on this occasion, or I whould, perhaps, have been reminded of them by my hon. friend from Niagara. It will be remembered by those who were prescint at the meeting, that Mr. Sieppard moved a resolu, tion, in ameadment, affirming the propriety of dissolving the anion between Upper and Lower Canada; but in doing so, he said, that if our objeot was to establish a large nationality, he would withdraw it, and support the msin rosolution. In reply to him I said:-
Mr. Suepramd has stated that if he could see that the tendency was towards the acquisition of a national existence, then he was with us; ${ }^{\prime}$ he could see the propriety of a course of that kind. Nom I, for one, have no hesitation in saying that such is its tendency, and that that man is blind to the fuiture of this country, buy, more, that he is not a true patriot, who does not believe that some day or other this great British North American continent will have a nationality. I think every man, looking at the history of the past and jud ${ }^{5}$ ing from that what may be the history of the future of this country, must feel that one day or other-and this, perhaps, at no very distant period-we shall have a great North American nakionality. It is ng part of our scheme that there shall not be a Federation of all the Britisli North American Provinces. Wo admit the possibility of that in one of the resolutions already passed, but we say that we cannot/afford to want for it, tor the extravagance of our present system is so great that the country cannot stand it muich longer. With regard to dissolation of the union,
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pare and simple, we sey you can't get it-itit is not advisable that you should have it, because it is a step in the wrong direetion. It is going back. We adopt the principle of Federation, as a step in the right direction, which will, in the meantime, relieve us from the pressing difficulties under which the country labors, and which also looks to the future-to a Fedelation of all-the British North American Provinces first, and beyond that to the admission of other territories in to-the great North American Confederacy.
Having thus shown the views which were entertained at that time, I feel, honorable geitlemen, that we are perfectly consistent in supporting the main features of this scheme. (Hear, hear.) I think it will be in the recollection of honorable gentlemea, that while this meeting in Toronto took place on the 9 th of November, 1859 , there was also another meeting in the city of Montreal, on the 25th of October preceding, the proceedings at which to a great extent inflaenced the decision of that convention. The meeting at Montreal, composed of Lower Canadian Opposition members of Parliament, gave forth to the world a very important and able document-a document which on its face was partly advisory to the members of the Reform party of Upper Canada, who were about to meet in Toronto. It was signed by the following gentlemen : "the Hon. Messrs A. A. Dorion, L. T. Drummond, L. A. Dessaúles, and Thomas DArcy McGee. If the House will bear with ine, I will quote from it as briefly as possible, because it is impossible for me to prèsent, in any language of my own, argu. ments so cogent, and so satitfactory, in support of the scheme now before the House. (Hear, hear.) Aftez setting forth the necessity of immediateaction and deprecating dissolation of the union pure and simple, these gentlemen-who formed a committee of the Liberal party of Lower Canada to propare this manifesto-say :- 1

Neither càn we comprehend how the readjustment of representation could effectually prevent the recurrence of the contlicts and collisions arising out of the distinct character of our two fold population. In each section there 'rould still de minority and majority parties; and unless the principle of a double majority could be enacted as a fundamental lam, we should be exposed tw an endless round of the same complautas that we now hear, of one section raling the other. contrary to its well-known public opiuion, and to see reproduced in our politics the same passions, the same intrigues, the same corruption and insincerity. $\because$ The enactment of the donble ma. jority is not advocated in any gquarter.

I am sorry that my hon. friend from the Grandrille Division is not in his place, for I think the remedy he proposes is so ably shown in this document to be insufficient to meet the exigencies of the case, that even he would be conviaced of the inadequacy of the views he has just now announced. The language I fave quoted is just what we say now, that representation by population per se would not afford sufficient means of extrication from our difficulties, and would not give us the hope which the new constitutional system, of which it formis a main feature, dues "afford, that we will be rid of the evils which have distracted the country. (Hear, hear.) Ypper Canada, were that principle engrafted into our legislative union, would undoubtefly have greater power and weight, but as the manifesto justly says:-
We should be exposed to an endless round of the same complaints that we now hear, of one section ruling the other, coritrary to its well known public opinion.
We should still bave Upper Canada versus Loprer Canada ; bècause local difficulties, arising out of real or supposed interference with the castoms, laws, religions institutions, or sectional questions of any kind, would provole and perpetuate the same bitter and hostilo feelings which have so long annoyed and vered the people of both sections of the province. (Hear, hear) The Federative system is the ouly cure for this great evil. (Hear, hear.) The manifesto of the com: mittee proceeds to say :-
Your committe are inipressed with the con viction that whet ther we consiler the preseat needs or the probable futare condition of the countrythe true, the statesmanlike solution is to be sought in the substitution of a purely Federative for the present socalled Legislative uniun.- The furmer, it is believed, would emable us th escape all the evils, aid so retain all the advantages appertaiaing to the existing union, while by restricting the functions of the Federal Guverauent to the fem easily-detined subjects "of comimon or national concera, and leaving, suprease jurishiction in all other matters to the several prownces, tho people of each sub-division would pustess every guaran. tee for the integrity of theirteapective institutions which'an absolute dissolution of the union would confer.
It is in possible to state in stronger or mure appropriate terms than these the ad: tantages set forth in the leading features of this seheme-they are in exact accordance with the principles here so luminously and powerfully stated. One would suppose that
the hon. and gallant Knight, or the hon. the Commissioner of Crown Lands, had written the paragraph; even they could not offer a better defence:- (Hear, hear) But I wish to call to the pext paragraph of this manifesto the attention of my hon. friend (Hon. Mr: Aikivs), who thinks that these resolutions have not been long enough before the public to enable them to form a correct judgment apon them. I trust: the House will bear with me while quoting from this State paper; but really I feel that the arguments which it urges are so good that they are the best defence of the resolutions that can be offered :-

The proposition to Federalize the Cañadian union is not uew. On the contrary, it has been frequently mooted in Parliament and in the press during the last few years: It was, no doubt; suggested by the example of the neighboring States, where the admirable adaptation of the Federal spstem to the government of an extensire tersitory inhabited by people of divers orizins, creeds, laws and customs, has been amply demonstrated; but shape-and consistence were tirst inparted to it in 1 1 506, when it was formally submitted to Purliament by the Lower Canada Upposition, is offerng, in their judgment, the true corrective of the abuses:generated under the present syste:n
Thus it appears that the gallant Knight and his confreres of the Conference have not the credit of originating this scheme-the honor belongs to the Liberal party of Lower Canada; and $i t$ is somewhat surprising that these gentlernen; who not only adopted it themselves but recommended it, to Upper Canada, are the only parties who now oppose it. (Hear, hear.) Now, mark the signitioance of the paragraph which follows:-
The discussioa now going on in Upper Canada justities the thope that the Liberal perty of that section of the province will at the approachiug cosvention propounce in favor of Federation. It therefore, now becones imperative upon the Lherala of Lower Canada to determine whether they will sustain the viewy enunciated in Parliar meat in 1505 , atid urged upon every subisequent occasion whe: constitutional changes wero dis: cassed.

Hon Mr: CURRIZ-Hear, hear:
Hon Ma. CHRISTIE-Tte hon. gentloman says "hear, hear," but what was recommended in this paragraph has been done. Our friends called on the Liberal party in Upper Canada to adopt their sehome at the convention of 1859 . It was then adopted. It has now been adopted by both parties in Uppor Canada; nay more, it has
been adopted by the Conservative party in Lower Canada, and shall the coûntry now be told that the only party who oppose it, are the Liberal party of Lower Canada, who claim the credit of being its authors." The arguments are so cogent that I must continue to quote them :-
If Lower Canada insists on maintaining the anion intact,-if she will neither consent to a dissolution of the union, nor consider the project of a Federation, it is difficult to conceive on what reasonable grounds the demand for representation according to popalation cani be resisted. The plea for such a resistance has hitherto been, that danger might arise to some of her peccliar and most cherished institutions; but that ground will be no longer tenable if she rejects a proposition the effect of which would be to leave to her own people the sole and absolute custody of those institations, and to surround them by the most stringent of all possible safeguards, the fundamental law of the land, unalterable eave by the action of the people affected by them.
Could there be anything stronger or more to the point than this. Be will not admit it', but no devibt this document has contribated largely" to "the conversion of my venerable and gallant friend at the head of the Government. (Hear, hear, and laughter.) I have such fos in the efficacy of it, that in the hope of haking more converts I will go on with it :-
Your committee will not be expected, it is presumed, to do more than indicate the conclusions at which they have arrived with respeet to the more prominent features of the proposed system of Federation. " They are clearly of opinion that whatever be the number of the provinces into which it may ultimately be thought advisable to divide the Province of Canada, the old division line between Upper and Lower Ca: nada musi be preserved. In the distribution of powers between the Local, or State, and the Federal Government, the controlling and pervad. ing idea should bo to delegate to the Federal Governcent such authority only as would be essential to the objects of the Federation; and by hecessary consequence to reserve to the subdivisions, powers as ample and varied as possible. The customs, the post-office, the laws coacerning patents and copyrights, the currency, and such of the pablic wortis as are of general interest to the wholo province, would form the chief, if not the only iubjects with which the General Govern. ment should be charged ; while everything relating to purely local improvements, to education, to the administration of jostice, to the militia, to laws relating to property, and generally' all questions of local concern ; in fine, on all matters not specifically devolving on the Federal Goverament, would be lodged in the goveraments of the separate provinces. In conclusion,

Your committee strenuously recommend to the Liberal party cf Lower Canada the propriety of seeking for a solution of the present dificulties in a plan' of Confederation, the details of which should be so matured as to meet the approbation of a majority of the poople of this_ province, and, in order to further this, and to promote the mogt ample discussion of the subject as well in Parliament as throughoat the country:
It mây be said in reply, that this document refers only to the Federation of the Canadas. The scheme before the House provides for that most fully; but if the principle be good as regards Canada; it will be equally beneficial as regards the other British North American Colonics: (Hear, hear, ) The hon. member from Wellington, in the very able speech which he delivered the other day, and to which all who heard him must have listened with very great pleasure, enunciated his views in his usual forcible and lucid style; and whether there is a coincidence of opinion with him or not, one cannot but respect the intelligence, moderation and candor with which he expresses his yiews (Hear, hear.) I trust that in giving my opinion upon some points of his remarks I shall be guilty of no want of courtesy although differing from" hims. (Hear.) The hon. gentleman, at the outset of his remarks, said that this, Constitution, in order to be strong, " must be planted deep in the hearts and "affections of the people," and that "there would be no good hope of its permaneacy without this?. Su true and correct is this position, "that if" I did not "believe, houestly believe, that the Constitution which we are now discussing commanded the approbation of a large majority of the people-1-an'speaking now more particularly of the section of the province to which I belong-I' $巨$ nuld be one of those to advocite our delaying its. passage until we aseertained beyond all doubt what the feelings, of the peopleare; but. I think there is no reasonable ground to doubt what their views are. (Ilcar, hear.) They wero shown, in the first place, as pointed out by my honorable friend the cornmissioner of Crown Lands, in the fact that nearly all the elections of members' of this and the other branch of the Legisliture that have taken place since the formation of the Government, have resulted in its favor That, I think, is very strong testimony of the popular approbation. (Hear, hear.) Then we hare no petitiods againgt it. (Hear, hear.)

Hon, Mr CURRIE-And none for it.

Hon. Mr. CHRISTLE-"None forit," the hon gentleman says. Why, the country has demanded the schene for years. : (Hear, hear:) What have I been proving to the House but that the very party of which the hon. gentleman is a member resolved upon this in 1859. I do not think the feelings of that eonvention in its favor could have been more distinctl? expressed. I certainly so understood it, and a large majority of the 560 gentlemen present so understood it. (Hear, hetr.) It has been before the country in Lower Canada since 1856, when our friends from Lower Canàda formally brough it before Parliament:- Aro there any petitions from Lower Canada now against it? (Hear, hear:) Are there" any from Upper Canada?: Has there been a single public meeting in either section against it? (Hear, hear.) In Lower Canada, an hon member says, there have been two or three. It his been said- I do not declare it, but make the statement on public rumor-that they were failures, suall demonstrations of oppositivu. But. in Upper Canada we have had no de monstration whatever against it... An indi. rect. attempt Was made the other day at Turonta by an effort to epademn the luter colonial Railway in conaction with Confederation, but it was a mapitest failure. (Hear; hear.) I think, then, that we are justified in assuming-and, indeed, are bound is assume-that the people do not object to it, and that they fally understand its character: for in spite of what may be said to the contrary, it has gooe through the length and breadet. of the land, having beet widely cirtulated by every newspaper in the country' and it is a Himsy argument for honorabl. gentlemen to use," that becaase tho reso Lutions addressed to theun were " marked - Private," they could not be comminicated to the public. (Hear, hear.) Thry have been spread all over the cuantry ; bat we ase toll the entire press has been snbsidized by, the Goverement. To say that the press wat. intluenced in any manacr by the circular b, which allusion has been made, is absolutely ridiculoús. (Mear, hear.) There are a fetr nevespapers in either seotion of the proviuce - eertainly there are few in Upper Canadathat hare apoken against the schene; but nice-tenths of them in both sections are ii favor of it, and have discussed it in all its bearings-yet we are told that the publie has not been sufficiently informed upon it, that in fact there is no public opinion in
respect to it, and that hence there are no petitions or demonstrations against it. I think this is a mode of reasoning which my hon. friend (Hon. Mr:' Currir) ought not to adopit-it is an argument unworthy of his intelligence. (Hear, hear.) My hon. friend from Wellington the other day attacked the chapacter of the Confetence, and the attack has been repzated since, by styling it a "self. elected body." This designation? was not cortect. So far as Canada is concerned, we were represented by the Capadian Goyarriment, formed for the express purpose of carrying into effect a plan of Federal union -union of the Canadas at all events, and if possible of all the British North American Provinces. It will not be denied that the (Gorernment possesses the con fidence of large majoritics in both IIouses: of Parliament, and of the people of the provithce. $\cdots$ (Hear, hear.) The representatives of Canada, therefure, could hardly be called a selfelected body, that is in the sense in whichimy hon. friend has applied the term, namely, that "they represerted nobody but themselves. To maintain this is indeed to go a great lensth, for it is practically to ignore both Houses of Yarliament, and the very principle of representation!. (Hear, bear.) Then; as regards the represeutatives of the other provinces; they were appointed by the sanction of the Crown, on the invitation of the Governor General, and wero selected from rarions political partics, to consider a guestion of the utmost interest to every subject of the Sovereign, of whatever race or faith; resident in these provinces; and they have. arrived at a conclusion destined to esercise a most iniportant influence upon the future condition and welfare of the whole com: munity. My honorable friend from Port Hope (Hon:- Mr. Servocib) referred to day to the Americin mode of revising their congtitationsi. The honotable gentleman very correctly stated the manner in which the Federal Cunstitution may be numend'ed, but he is in error as' io the mode in which state constitutions nany be revised. Ore of the most important of the States rerised its Constitution in 1540. I refer to the State of Sew York. The modus operaneli ua that oecasion was as follows: - An aet was. passed in the State Logislature auchorizing the electory at large to choose delegates to a conveation, for the express purpose of pebising the Onstitution. The instrumont pasied by the convention who then submit-
ted to the Legislature for approval ; but the Legislature had no power to alter it. : It had either to be rejected or accepted as a whole. It was so accepted, none of the details being altered: My hon. friend will see that while the Conference was composed of leading representatives of the people in the various profinces, those conventions are composed of gentlemen elected by the people for that special purpose; and that the only difference between them is in the mode of selection. Howerer, in both cases, all political parties are represented. My hon friend from the Home Division (Hon.. Mr. Aikins) in speaking of this Conference the "other day, said he would hare preferred if it had been a party matter, and he took the ground that if it had, it would have been better for the coundry.
Hon. Me. AIKINB-I beg the honorable gentleman's pardon.: What I said was, that I regretted very much that the measure had nöt been taken up and discussed as a party measure ; for although I was of opinion that it could not be carried as a party measure, if it had been so taken up it wruld have been more thoroughly scrutimized and discussed before the people.

Hon. Mr CHRISTIE-I think the explanation of my hon. friesd guite bears out what I stated, that he thought it should be made a party measurc.

Hon. Ma. AIKINS-I thought the coun:try would be the gainer if it were.

Hon. Ma: CHHISTIE-In what way?
Mon. Mr. AIKLNS-By the fuller discussion we would have.

HUN. Ma. UHMISTIE-Where can the hon. gentleman find an instance of the revision or change-uf a constitution being made a party measure?

Hon, Mr. AIKLNS-The hon. gentleman can find it on reference, to the action of the Toronto convention and the Lower Canadian Liberal party, to which he has just alluded.

Hon. Mr. CHRLSTIE-The hon. gentlemab, I see, has not changed the ground which the took the other day, and which is precisely as 1 stated it. He thinks it would have been to the public advantage if this question had been taken up and disconged by a party. In this, in uny judgment, he is entirely wrong; and I say he can find no instance of a cunstitution having been revised by a party.

HoN Ma. CURRTE- $W^{\dagger}$ oll, 1 submit an instance-the amendmeot to the United
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States Constitution, prohibiting slavery; which was passed last month, and which was proposed by a party.
Hos. Ma: CHRISTIE-A number of the representatives in the Federal Congross who voted för it were democrats, and without their concurreg e and support it could pot have been carried. Besides, that was only an amendment, not a revision of the Constitution. The Constitution of the United States was not the work of a party. The revision of the Constitation of the State of New York, in 1846; was not the work of a party: It is not desirable that-any Constitutive should be the work of a party; in so important an undertaking all party spirit should be laid aside. (Hear, hear:) Why? Because men of all partues are alike interested in the furmation of a Constitution, and because in the construction of such an instrument, the collective wisdou of the lead. ing men ot all partiès is peeded. Besides, a Coustitution so framed will be more likely; as my thoo. 'friend from Wellipgton has so well said; to live in the hearts and affections of the reople. " (Hear, thear.) 'To shew the food osevs' of our neighibory on this point: they do nut pive the ruesion of a lonstitu= tion-and the work of the Cunfereace was a revision of our Constitution - 10 any party, but to mon specially choisea for the purpose, trom all parthes; a ad 1 think the diveraor (ienerat, and the Lieutenant Governors of the $\boldsymbol{i}$ Luvier Prouinces sucted most wisely when they selected men or all thades. of politicallopinion to compose this Conferénce and to prepare thig Constitutuion, urcanse all party vicita and feelings belug laid aside, the whole object and wotive of the members of the bouy was to devise a scheme which would best tend to prowote the good of their. common country. (Hear, hear.). The hon. member trow Welliagton his angeested a very iupportant objection to the scheme; and 1 :an free to admit that, it the position he touk wert a currect one, then it would aut be my duty; or that of auy elected müaber of this House, to asseut to the weasure. 10. order that I may wot mimerepresent the position taken by the hon. gentlewan, let me quote his tanguage, as reported in the newspapers: : He hedd :-
That the elective membera had rectived a sacred truas to ererceise; that they wiere sent hero by ther e,mtituen:-rs so represeat them; and to do that oull.i. Under these curcumstances, how could they cuncerve they bad the power to vote away the right of their electors? Thai
was not their mandat, and if they did, they would be doing that which they had ra authority to do ; they would be doing that whech they could not do without going beyond the authority con: fided to them.
Nof, it must be frankly admitted that if, the hon gentleman's position bé correct, then hisis objection would be fatal to any elected member giving this concurrence to the, scheme of the Conference. But, hoi. gentlemen, let us enguire what is the position of a representative. Two elewents enter into the "dea of represectationnamely, power and duts. A representative derives the former from his constitueato neting by their majority, under the Cousti: tution. From' what source does he derive the later? Obviously not from his constitu: ents, because even the majethis are uut agreed on'all points connected with the dis-. charge of bis duty. My hon. friend (Hois. Mr. Sakbor.y) bas spuken of the position of a representative, as being that of a truste I sball quote from a very able work on the British Commonvealth, in which that. position is, to my mind, very fully and very satisfactorily proyed to be incorrect Cox says:-

Ahy trust, to be obligatory: in censciences; must be detined by the selfisame perions whi appoint the truste or the person who is t, fultil the trust. His paivers and duties muts: be derived from identically the sagne auithority, Yor it obviously would be contrary to nuoraly, ax it is to law, that a man would be buiund in coin science to exercise, in a particulat was, poners delegated to hius by several others, whea tiey themselves, whie dele egatiog thuoe powers, ditite as to the mode in which they gre to be exercisect. For, which of the diferent ways is the tristec io ethoose? ' By whom of those who appuiat him wh te to be guidod in preference to the rest? A: the most he is bound to exercise hia isust in a particular way ta thuse prarticulars ovily reapect ing whish the trust makers are agreed. Let is nuw apply this abstracty trinciple of equity th the relatons, between a tepresogntative, and his cun sitituents. Kidgand hiw ad ther trystec. With respect to the source ofo has power ihere is si ambergity; it is derived from his conatituen!s acting by theer majorty. Buit from whous does. he denive the duty of expressing this or that apatun an Partiament? In sbat particular are the trast makers agreed? The very, majorty who roted for him are turely, porthapes never, ail agreed on any one puint on which their opinious have. been compared with his. Some of them differ from him on sume points, some on others, but they all volted fur then, from personal con: sideration, or because of their agreement with hall ua those puituts whict they respectively. deemed most important. In the minority, albo, are probably some êlectors' who ascent to some,
and dissent from others, of his opinions. : The essential conditions of a valid trust to express partucular opinions in Parliameat are then want ing. The persons nominating him to his office, do not concur as to the opinions which he is to express. How then can a truast exist which it is impossible to deline. The real trist imposed on the representative is coextensive with those öbli;ations, which alone the trust-makers can generally confer on him,-namely, to exercise his representative power honestiy and discreetly. This argument, of coirrse, assumes that the candidate has not vefined bis parliamentary obliga. tions by unconditional pledges.
The only other possible limitation might exist in the Constitution. I shall look then at the ingtrument from: whigh we derive on powers-as legislative conacillors, and shall quate from the Imperial Act of 1854 , in tituled "An Act to empower the Legislature of Canada to alter the Constitution of the Levislatiye Council, and for other parposes:" The firt section is as fillows:-
It shatl be lawful for the Lezislatury of Canada, by aily acs or acts to be for that purpoce passed, water the manaer of composing the Legislativo Cjunet of the said provinie, and to make it consiyt if suet number of members' apposinted ör to be anpuinted or elected by sued persons, and in. such minner cas to the suid Le isislature may seem tiry and to tix tho tualifications of the persons calable of being so appointed or elected, and by such act or acts to make provision, if they stall think, if, for the separate dissolution ty the Gowriore of the sisd Le: islative Council and Legis. :ative Asembly respectively, and for the purposesafires.ad, tw vary and repeal in such manner ns to Stem shaill seem fit, all or any of the sections and provisions of the said recited act, and of any othe: Actor Parliameat now in force which reLates to the Constitutivn of the Leastative Coum-cll-of Canada.
Then, in the 3rd section it is provided-
That it shall be lawful for the Legislature of Canadan from time to time, to vafy and repeal all or cian of the provisions of the act or acts alter: mg the Conglitution of the aid Leginative Cunneal.
These are tho polvers given us by ourConstitution. (Hear, hear.) They are of the wost ample character. We were elected, pursuant to an act passed in consequence of the excreise of these powers. And, coming from the people, tho manibers of this House were put in posscssion of these powers the moment they wer elected. None of them at their oleations pledged thomselves not to exercise the powers granted by the Conssitation. They were nut asked by their constitoents to do so: How then, by voting for this or any other messure altering the con-
stitution of the Legislative Council; can they be said to " betray the trust reposed in them by their constitucnts? My hon. friend from Wellington admits that uador the Constitution we have the power to alter the constitulina of this Honse iu so far as it relates to Canada, but he says. we are not authorized to extend our action to the other provinces; in a scheme of Federal union. " That is begging the question. I answer his objection that any change affecting the electire principio is a breach of trast. Besides, we do not propose to enact a syatem of Government embraeing all British North America. We have not the power to do so. We merely propose to address Her Majesty on the subject. The Imperial Parhamint alone has that power; but if we have power without a breach of trust to alter the constitution of the Legislative Council of Canada (and mey hon. friend admits this), then certainly, we cannot be guilty of a breach of trust in suggesting a change embraced in a Constitution for the varivas prosincess. I will not gield to my hon triends from Wellingtou and Niagara, in attachment to the elective principle, as applied to this House. I have alwass been an adrocate for it, and I am so still, but we cannot get it inserted in' this instrument; aud much as I deplore its absence from our proposed Constitution, I am not on that account prepared to reject the résolutions. This schemef; like all other constitutional o mpacts, is a compromise between the conflicting opinions of its framers; and on the whole, it: is a fair compromise. $\because$ This feature is not peculiar to our plan of Confederation. . My hon friend will. find in the Federalist, and from the correspondence of the able men who framed the "Articles of Confederation," that compromise and clacessions of opinion were submited to. Rut out of them all grew the wonderful fabric of the American Constitution. In the resolation ehtich my hon. friend proposes, there is, aceording to his. own admission, compromise $\because \mathrm{He}$ admite that he cannot in its integrity procure the application of the elentive principle to the Legislative Council. He even proposes to add to the opposite principle; why, then; does my hon. friend objeot tas similar concessions on our pari, when we believe that the probable advantsges of the whole soheme far outweigh its defects ? (Hear, hear.) As regards limitation in the general powers of Parliament contended for by my hon. friend, I hold that it is not to be found in the
unwritten Constitution, ap of historical and parliamentary precedents, any more than in our written Charter from the Imperial Parliament. . That great commentator, Beackstone, says of Parliament:-
It hath sovereign and tuncoutrollable authority in making, confining, enlärging, restraining, re pealing, revising and expounding of laws.
So, Justice Story in speaking of the American Constitution, says:-

Where a poxwer is granted in general terms the power is to be construed as co extensive with the terms, unless some clear restriction upon it is deducible from the context:

## Chief Justice Marshall gays:-

The Constitution unavidably deals in general language, "hence its powèrs are expressed in general terims, leaving toethe Legislature, fromi time to time, to adopt its own means to effectuate legitimate objects, and to mould and model the exercise of its powers as its, own" wisdom and the public interest may require.
The "only other authority I shall quate is from Deen's Constitutional Jurisprib-dence:-

No axiom is inore clearly established in law or reason than that, whereser an end is required. the means are authurized, wherever a general power to do a thing is given. every particular nower necesary for domng it is included
But my han frieudy motion is utterly inconsistent with the position which he has taken. lle takes ground in his speech Which is upset by his motion. According to that pusition he is bound to the elective principle, and he is therefore on principle bound to do all in his power to remoye obstructions to its well-working. He is boond even to remore the present nominated nembers from the Houso. What does his resolution propose? "It proposes not merely io allow the nominated members to remain tor life, but to add ten to their number:
a. I'his is surely not giving free scope to the clective principle. Were the Lower Provinces to have the power which my hon. friend proposes to give them, they would appoint ten of their youngest men to seats in this House, who might be here for years after those to whom they were an offiet had been removed from the House. (Hear, hear.) Besides, he proposes to give the present elected members seats for eight years, and then, of course, the whole of them would go back for re-election at.
once. I am not convinced by any argument which I have heard that the elective principle, exercised in some way, is not the best mode to compose this Housc. It has worked well so far. : All the fears which Were entertained in reference to it have proved groundless, and I believe it would continue to work well, and therefore, I disapprove of the change proposed in the resolutions." But I am not on that account prepared to reject the whole scheme. With all its defects (and believe those defectis will be remedied). I accept it, because it will be productive of good to the country at large. Therefore, I shrink from the responsibility of rejecting it. (Hear, hear.) I have to apologize for having detained the House so long (cries of "no, no," "goon") but, before sitting down, I must refer to the amendment of which my hon. friend from Niagara Division (Hon, Mr. Cunker) has girea notice. It is as follors :-

That upon a matter of such great importance as the proposed Contederation of this and certain other British Colonies, this House is unwilling to assume the responsibility of assenting to a measure involving so many important consideration, with out a fuither manifestation of the public will than" has yet been declared.
My hon. friend does not tell us, in this. resolution, which he intends to more-

Hov. Mr. ARMSTRONG-I scarcely think it is in order to discuss a resolution whieh has not been moved yet.

Hon. Mr: CHMISTIE-It forms part of the general subjact brought before the House. It is on the notice paper, and I think I am quite in order in referring to it." I was about to say that my hon. friend. in that notice does pot tell us whether he intends to propose that public opinion shall be tested by an "ppeal to the people in the way of a dissolution of the Legislative Assembly, or by submitting the scheme in its integrity to a popular rote." If we. recommend tho former course, we should place ourselyes in rather a strange position. If we advised His Excelloney to dissolve the House of Assembly, while we sat quietly by to see what was going on, it would be in effect saying-" We have scruples as to whether publie opinion has, or has not endorsed these proposed constitutionl changes ; but, if your Exactlenvy will be so kind as to dissolve the House of Assembly, those scruples will be resolved by a general elevtion:" (Hear, hear,'and laughter.) I think
that would be an extraordinary course for this House to take-and a course which I think would not be considered by the country at large a very becoming one. (Hear, hear.) If the other plan. be what my hon. friend intends by his notice, then I say it is á process of ascertaining the popular sanction entirely unknown to the British Constitution. It is a process unknown even to our friends on the other side of the line, except in those cases where the general or state Constitution expressly provides for it. Where such provisious are not contained in the state constitations, it is invariably held that submission to the popular vote, in order to give the force of law to any legislative $n \%$ is unconstitutional and void. In reference to the practice, Sedawick, an eminent American authority, zays:-

Efforts have been made; in several cases, by the state legislatures to relieve themselves of the respousibility ot their functions, by submitting statutes to tho will of the people, in their primary capacity. But these rroceedings have been held, and very rirhtly, to be entirely unconatitutional and invalid. The duties of legislation are not to be exercised by the people at larges" The majority governs, but only in the prescribed form. The introduction of practices of thes kind would remove all checks on hasty and improvident legis!ation, and greatly diminish the benefits of representative government:" So when an act to establish freo schools was by its termy directed to bo submitted to the electors of the state to become a law guly in case a majority of the votes were given in its favor, it was hetd in New York that the whole proceeding was entirely void The Legislature, said the Court of Appealy, have no powe r to make. such submission, nor had the people the power to bind each other by actung upon it. Theq volan: tarily surrendered that power when they adopted thy Constitation: The government of this state is deniocratic, but it is a representative democracy, and in pussing genera! laws the people act vuly through theifr, representatives in the legislatures. In Indiana, the principle is now framed into a constitutional provision which vests the legislative anthority in a Senate and Hocise of Représentatives, and declares that no law stiall be passed the taking effect of which shall be made to depend upon any authority except as provided in the Constitution. "And under these provisions' it has been held that so muich of an act as relates to its submission to the popular vote was nall and void.
That is the general principle, according to American prgetice. And as I bave said, the process of submitting any statuto to the popular vote, in order to give it the force of lam, is unheard of in British constitutional practice. (Hear, hear.) . I shall not detain
the House by going into the question of expense, as I promised to do. I will simply say in conclusion, that I do think it is our duty as patriotic men, as men actuated by an honest desire to extricate our country from the difficulties in which it is placed, to deal fairly with this scheme, and as no other has been presented-as those who oppose it have not presented for our consideration any other -have not even suggested the possibility of any other to extricate us from the evils of our position-and believing that in the main this scheme, as regards its great leading outlines, will effect that purpose-then, Isay, it is our duty as honest and patriotic men, to approve of it and to sanction it by voting for the resolations in their integrity. (Hear, hear.) I have resolved, like my honorable friend from the Westeru Division, and my hon. fricud from the Brock Division (Hon. Mr. Blair) to vote against all amendaients which may be offered to it. We have been told distinctly by the members of the Government that we must either accept or reject it as it is-that amendment is impossible. I can very well understand the reason of that. It was adopted as a compact be: tween the representatives of the different provinces who had assembled in Conference for the express purpose of framing this Constitation. Were we to make any inroads upon those resolutions, then the other provinces might elaim and might exerecise the same right. This instrument is not perfect. We all adiuit that there are points in it to which we object ; and there are points in it, I dare say, to which our friends in the Lower Provinces object. It is a compromise, and I think it is a very able, and in the main a very fair compromise. It is such a compromise as ought to commend itself to every. reasonable and candid mind. I think, therefore, that all amendments should be vetoed And I am not afraid that, in taking that course, we shall not be justified by the people at large.". (Hear, hear.) The people understand the meaning and effect of these amendments perfectly well. Perhaps I should not call them "buncombe," but they sayour very much of that kind of thing. I think the members of this House need have no fears of public opinion in this matter. As regards the people of Upper Canada-for whoin I ami in a better position to speak than for the people of Lower Canada-I an satisfied they will endorse our approbation of the resolutions; although, as we do, they may objec ${ }_{t}$
to some of the details. I have not hesitated. to state my own disapproval of some of them.

Hon. Mr. CURRIE- Hear, hear." o.
Hon. Mr:- CHRLSTIE-I disapprove of some of the details, just as strongly as my hon. friend from the Home Division (Hon. Mir. AIKINS; ) or my hon friend from Niagara Dipision (Hon. Mr Currie). Bat I look at it in this light: here we are offered a Constitution which will deliver us from many of the great evils under which we have been laboring. I feel that in the main it will have that effect; and that this will be the result, could not have been stated more clearly or forcibly than we. find it in the document which I read, as coming from the Lower Canada Opposition, and sigued by Hon. Mr. Dorton, Hob. Mr. Drummond, Hon. Mr. Dessauleses, ańd Hon. Mr. McGee. Ithing that document contains argunents in its favor which are unanswerable. (Hear, hear, In the circumstances, then, in which we are placed, and in the absence of any other more feasible scheme, I believe that, in spite of allits objectionable features, the good which will result from it as a whole, owill more than counterbalance all the difficulties and all the evils which may possibly gruw out of it. (Hear, hear.). And besides, it is not a final, ity. We have every reason to believe that those principls, which, L think should have been embodicil in it; are such as widl ultiatately prevail. I have confidence enough in the representatives of the people, and in the wimbers of the Upper. House to be nomiuated by the Crown, and who will compose that' branch of the new Legislature fortued under this Constitution. I say I have "contidence in them to believe that, if the opinions which I hold in respect to those details shall prove to be correct; the defects referred to will be removed from the Constitution. There will be no more dif: ficulty in excising the nominative prisciple from the futare Legislative Counçil, than there was in excising it from the furmer body. I might say' there were greater diffculties in the one case than in the other. (Hear, hear.) Looking then at the advantages likely to result from the adoption of the resolutions-the establishment of peace and harmony among the people of this coan-try-the getting ril of those terrible dificulties and conflicts which have beset our path, we ought not, to hesitate. $\therefore$ Whatever hon. gentlemen may say now, they did not
estimate them slightly when they wére complaining of the conduct of the governments of the day, and my hon. friend from Niagara (Honorable Mr. Currie) inveighed against the evils which then existed as strongly as any man could do. Looking; then I. say, at the abuses and difficulties which have arisen under a legislative union; and, thence arguing the impracticability of going on with that kind of union, and believing that the" great advantages likely to result from this scheme of Federal anion will much more than counterbalance the evils likely to arise from it, I do say it is our duty as honest and patriotic men to adopt the resoluticns presented to us by the Conference... (Cheers.)

Hon. Mr. SANBORN said-I have no desire- to take up the time of the House, and shall only do so for a moment or two. I have been unable, from ill health', to be present during the" speeches on the amendment which I had the honor to submit, and I shall merely avait myself of this opportunity to answer two or three of the argaments which have been adranced byomy hon: friend who has just taken his seat, It appears to me that the difficulties under which my hon friend tabors can be very easily removed ; and that, if he is really in harmony in sentiment with those who sustain the amendment now before the House, he ought not to hesitate to give it his support: Op a former occasion 1 endeavored to shọw that the amenduent did not impair the scheme at all; that it did not plave us in antagonism with any of the other pro vinces; that it was entirely a matter of our own concern, the election of membera to the Legislative Conncil-ana that it was of no cousequence to the other provinces how those nuembers were e'ected, if they had relatively the same number as we." My hon. friend accuses me of being inconsistent in taking ground in favor of the elective prinoiple, while proposing still to retain the nominated members in their seats, and also to add ten. new members from the Maritime Provinces. To this, I would answer that it is an cxeep-. tional condition in which we are placed. Wo cannot obviate the difficulty. A similar difficulty preserited itself to those who sought the change when the elective principle was introduced into this Honse, and they met it just in the sawe manner in which we propose to meet it bere. The life members were retained while' recognition and sanction were
given to the elective principle, and the House remains now a visible memento of the carrying out of the very position which I take on the present occasion. (Hear, hear.) The ground taken then, and to which the Hond. Premier (Hon. Sir Etienne P. Tacié) gave the sanction of his name and reputation, was a recognition of the principle embodied in the amendment now before this honorable House. (IIear, hear.) If we gained anything by introducing the elective principle, wo propose to keep that adrantage, by, retaining it just in the same form, and bear: ing the same relation to the proposed Legislative Council as it is retained in and bears relation to this House.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL-But, under the present union; there is no federativénecessity tor relative equality of numbers in the Legis. lative Council, as there will be under the proposed union.

Mon. Me SANBORN-I admit no neces. sities of the kind. These necesgities are enurely artificial. In that respect, I think hon. geutlemen are entirely in error in the positon they take. And though I concede to my hou. friend from Erie Division (Hop̣. Mr. Curistie)every credit for great candor and soundness of judgment, still 1 must say that, when he enters into the province of lave, he is travelling a little, as we say in the protession, out of the record-and that any vae the is familiar with the doctrine of trusts cuuld not fail to see the falseness of his reasoning in that particular' 'As regards a trast, of course, the person who has a mandate given to him; must act according to his discretion under the circumstances: But then he must do so withia the trust that is given him, and not beyond the trast.
Hos. MR CHRISTIE-Of course.
Hon. Mr: SANBORN-My hon. friend eites the act empowering the Legislature of Canada to chauge the constitution of the Legislative Council, and on this aot he bases his whole arguneint. If I convince him that that act does not cover his argument, will he then concede the puint ?' That act, to which my hon. friend refers, was passed for a specific parpose, to enable Parliament to reconstruct ihis House. It had answered its. purpose when the coustitution of this House was changed, but it oannot properly be invoked as giving authority with reference to bringing in other proviaces to form a a new Contederagy.

Hos. Mr. CHRISTIE-But my hon. friend will observe, that we are not legislat-
ing now-that we are merely passing an Address.

Hon. Mr. SANBORN-We must feel that, according to the: rules of law, we are asked here to go beyond the duties which our electors sent us into this House to discharge. I contend that neither any act on our own Statute Book, nor any Imperial Act, authorizes us' to assume that they elected us to come here to demolish the whole fabric of our Constîtation, and to seek to form another and entirely differant political system, embracing a number of other provinces, so that our identity is entirely swamped and lost. I must say that, if my hon, friend feeis bound at all by the trust committed to hím by those who sent him here as a representative of the people, I conceive he is necessarily bound to this, that he mast sustain the elective principle with regard to the constitution of the proposed Legislative Council. It is impossible, I think, to arrive at any other conclusion. (Hear, hear.): My hon. friend made use of one expression, with apparently some degree of reluctance-the term' "buncombe." I thint that was sug. gestive, and very suggestive. For, if those who are faroring this principle favar it for what my" hon. friend characterizes as "buncombe," then they are secking popularity with the people-they are seeking what the people want-(hear, hear)-and that argument certainly does not avail my hon. friend in his present position; for he maintains that the people fully understand this thing, and want it. If this be the case-if the whole Province of Caaada is bent upon having this scheme-then those who are trying to resist it are standing alone, and are ether actipg patriotically, or are beside themselves. They cannot certainly be acting from any desire to obtain popularity, because, according to my hon. friend, they are just dqing what the people do not want them to do. (Hear, hear.) I know that the position of my hon friend is somewhat embarrassing. He resides in a section of the provinee. where he feels there is a difficulty that needs to be removed in some way or other; and he is now endeavoring to show that the best means of femoting that difficulty is to embrace' a grieat many other difficulties of a huge character, and of which we cannat fully compreheud the consequences. When an hon. gentleman is prepared to take that ground, I think it would be better for him to take it in silence; than to attempt to sustain it by reason. As regards Lower Canada,
we are not situated in the same way. There is the French party; and there is the English party in Lower Canada, who are situated very differently from the people of Upper Canada; and the people of Upper Canada have a disposition not to recognize their peculiar circ̣umstances, or to have any concern for them at all. If my hon. friend will pardon mes $I$ would say that his whole philosophy is in favor of Upper Canada. In speaking of the public opinion of this prorince, it was always Upper Canada-he had no idea of Lower Canada as having any existence or any rights.

Hon: Mr. CHRISTIE-My hon. friend is: quite mistaken. I quoted as lengthily from the manifesto of the Lower Canada Opposition, as from that of the Upper Canada Opposition.

Hon Mr. SANBORN-I am now speaking of the English of Lower Canada; : and, as regards the people giving a distinct assent to this proposition, my hon. friend will admit that the English of Lower Canada have not given such an aissent.

How.Mr. CHRISTIE-I "stated that I could speak with more confidence as to the public opinion of the section of country to which I belonged, than with regard to Lower canadä.

Hos. Mr. SANBORN-The resolutions to which Hon. Mr. Dorion was a party, and which were read by my hon: friend, I conceive to embody, not what Mr. Dorion's party, or any one political party rather than another desired." Ut take it for granted that British subjects of French Canadian origín generally have their feelings in thet direc: tion-that is, they desire large power for the local government-in fact they would desire the local governments to be the real govirnments, and that the Federation should be very much nominal;,for very minor parposes, aud with very weak powers in the Central Government; while, on the other hand, the English poprutation of Lower Canada would take the opposite view, and desire larger powers in the Central Govern: ment, and smaller powers in the Local Government. :This; I think, was the view to which the resolutions read by my hon. friend had reference. Now, as regards the Reform party of Upper Canada, let us see what they had reference to-whether it was anything like the Constitution which is now proposed. I hold in my hand a pamphlet-the Address of the Reform Constitutional Association to
the people of Upper Canada in 1859-and I find here what they conceive to be the true remedy thus stated :-
"The true remedy !". What then is the remedy best adapted to deliver the province from the disastrous position it now occupies? We answer - dissolve the existing legislative unien. Divide Canada into two or more provinces with local executives and legislatures having entire control over every public interest except those, and those only, that are necessarily common to all parts of the province. Let no public debt be incurred by the leigislatures, until the sanction has been obtained by direct vote. Establish some central authority over all, with power to administer such matters, and such only as are necessarily common to the whole province. Let the functions of this central authority be clearly laid; let its powers be strictly confined to discharging specified duties: Prohibit it from incurring any new debt, or levy ing more taxation, than is required to meet the interest of existing obligations, discharige its own. specified duties, and ryadually pay off the nationaf debt. Secure these rights hy w writen constitation, ratified by the people, and incapable of alteration except by their formal sanction.
This was the programme laid down by the Upper Canada Reform Couvintion of $1859{ }^{\circ}$

Hon. Mr. CURRIE-Who is the author of that address?

Hox: Ma Savbory-Various parties had a hand in it. I find the name of Hon. Mr. McDovanct; the present Provincial Secretary, attaghed to it. And I suppose my hoa. friend frometric División (Hon. Mr. Caristie) was one of them.

Hon. Mr. CU'RRIE-They proposed that the Constitution should be sabmitted to the peoplé?

HoN Ma. SANBORN-Yes; it was to be ratified by a direct rote of the people. And the beanty of the thing was, that the Cectral Parliament was to be bound not to increase the debt of the provinces, but gradually to pay it: off. (Hear, hear.) 1 apprehend the Reform party of Upper Canada at that time was wiser than the same party in these days.

Hon MreCHRISTIE-That is a question.

Hos. Mr. SANBORN-If my honorable friend would take that platiorm, or something like it, I should be happy to give it my best consideration at once; and I should be very glad if they would only give us a small part of it, of which I think they must see the justice-namely, vritteu guarantees, so as to assure us that our rights of property shall not be overturned by the Looal Parliament;
to prevent, for example, a Squatter's bill-(laughter)-being passed at the very first opportunity in the Local Parliament, denrolisbing all the rights of property... I see rixy hon. friend opposite (Hon. Mr. Criwfori) luok melaneholy, because he foresees that, When the new Constifution is adopted, twelve mouths will toot pass before that becomes Lừ in $\overline{\text { Li urer Conada, and all protection for }}$ proprietors, so far as that is concerned; brought to an ead. Bat this is obly ope, instance, significant of what will take place. It is perfectly well known, and none can realize it better than those who have a much greater horror of the progress of popular sentiments than I have, that the tendency in the popular mind is to break down monupolies of every kind, and to go to extremes in deainge with "rested rights, even those which are established and fiunded on sub-: stantial princíples of justice Now these riphts, at the very least; ought certainly to be contided to the highest degislative authorniy. 1 go further sod maintain that guarnatoee for those rithts ought to be placed in the writien. Constitution, that they ought to be beyond the power of interiereace by the leghatitye authority, and that they should be suarded by the judicial derisions of the hivhest courts in the country. In that case there 'would be a protection tor property; but in thit. ('onstitutive there is no such protectivet for property either in LPper or Lower Camada Aud here is the point to whech I aik the attention of miy honorable friends wifl purbies-a point which I think all of thenin have beea too little conceraed aboui, and which applies just ast woll to Upper as "o Leviver C"quada.' For I say that, if sowie recurity is not given to the people in one of thuse way tor maintaining vested rights and interests of this character; the mgst desagtruu results will arise in every Local Hariament; because; when these parliaments are constructed, they will necessarily consist of a different clays of men from those whio now compose the legislatures of the various proviaces. There will be such Inducements to men of the highest order to Wet elected to the Central Parliament, that the con-equence will ucoussarily and naturally be the result to which I point. (Hear, hear.) 1 should like to refor to one argument which was used by my hon. frieud from Saugeun (Hon. Mr. Macparason)-who isnot cow in his place-that the appointment of members of the Legislative Council in
the proposed Federal Parliament is notin fact an abadonment of the elective principle", because the appointments are to be by the Ministry of the day, who must have the cunfidence of the people. That is certainly a must extraordinary argument. If it. held rood at all, it should apply equally to both Houses, and the Legislative Assembly shauld be appuinted by the Ministry, beciuse the Ministry have been selected by those who have been elected by the people. This is the clear, loyical deduction from my hon. friend's aryument if it is good for anything -because, it appointment by the Ministry is not an ahandonment of the elective principle, you would still have àn elective Legislative 1 sembly, although its members were apponted by the Government (Hear, henr.) - But this was also well answered on a former occasion by my hon friend betind me (Hon. Mr. Aikivs.) "It is not simply the first appointment that we oppose: It is the appontrients aftervards, as the first nembers die out or retign and their successurs are appointed on the nomination of the tuture local ruvernments: Instead of this prodnein' a favorable result, it appears to me it will have just the opposite effect. The riawou is plain. If, "in the very first instance, the prereqative is exercised, not by the Soverem or the Novereinn's representative, unbiased, tut is exercised by a party eovernment, you have a House constituted at ite very tirat medtig of a party character. In the wher branch that particular Governmerit has a mimority. Bat is is possible, that that party moy not long retain power, In the natuec ot thater tis mot probable that they will : No party does But the Epper Hense remains" permanent, and yóu provide by your sery tirut operation fir that dead-lock-thatconthe between the Tpper and the Lower House, which has been spoken of:". (Hear, hear.)

On nution of Hos. Ma Moone, the debate was adjourned till ti-mirry

## Thirabay. Frhirury 16. 1865.

Hon: Ma. (HoHe sad- Howorable gentlemen it is with a great degree of difidence that I rise:to address this House, atter the very able speeches that have been made on both sides of this question, but I shall ondearor, honorable gentlemen, as, briefly as
possible-for I do not feel able to address you at any leng th to speak in that moderate tone in which I conceive the question before us ought to be dealt with: : In the discussion of so important a question as the change of the Constitution of the country, the laying aside of the old Constitution and the adoption of a new and very different one, we all ought to endeavor to tind common ground of agreement. It is important that no party, or at least no sectional interest amotig political parties, should betriy: itself in the diseussion of so important a subject. I shall now endeavor to take a brief view of the scheme, as it is presented, and endeator to give an - exposition of the vicws' which I-entertain with regard to this' matter.' (Hear, hear.) It appears to me, in the first place, that the origin of this scheme was not what it ought to have been. It did not emanate from the people, but from the feet that certais political diffeulties existed n Canada, in conetquence of the political parties being so equally divided that it was tound mipracticable toget on with the government of this province. The scheme emanated from the Guvernment of this country in consequence of those political difficulties, aud had mot its orivin with any novenent anoug the masses of the people. . It is wiry well kunw "that at the last general electuna, in letios, thits was mot amone the questious that were brourht before the country. It way-not whe ot thene grestions that the people were called upon to degide in returning members, to represent them in the Legislature. It is very true that the sheme of a Federal uniur of sall the proibinces has been spoken of fur'a quarter of a century by eminent men of ath shades of prolitics: We may reffer to the convention that was held at Kingstön, at whioh the British-Anerican League was formed. -That cynvention was convened by the Conorragive party of Cpper Canadat Subsequently, the great mettingit I may use that expreminn-that was equ-- vened in the city of Torotito, reflerred to the same question:: But 1 go buek and appal to the fact that at the last geueral olectun. at was not one of those questions that wire teferred to the arbitrament of the people to deeide by their putes as to the desirability of union. I think every honorable gentlenam will agree with me that this was the fict. Now, honorable gentleuen, I desiire to speak in a temperate tone and manner in regard to this scheme. I believe the gentlemed that now constitute the Goverument of Capada, as well as the geutlemen who constitute the dif:
ferent governments of the Lower Provinces, are all able men, and I believe' they are all honest and practical men, and it. was by and through the instrumentality of hooorable gentlemen constituting the Government of Caneda in coniuection with the governments of the Maritime Provinces that this scheme, if it had not its origin, at least was by them put before the people of this country in the shape in which it now presents itself in these resolutions. I therefore observe that this is a measure emanating from the minds of the foremost men in Canada, and probably the foremost men on the continent of America: Still, it is not a measure that has emanated from the people," and I would ask you all, honorable gentlemen, in reference to the change of a country's Constitution if history does not bear fue out in asserting that all such changes. are preceded by a rising of the people in favor "of the change.' The people, feeling oppressed by the existing state of thing rise in their majesty and put an end to its continuance, and demand a new Conistitution. But in re gard to a change effected in the manner in which this is proposed, by the united wisdon of the several governments, without any convulsion, I hold that under those circuinstances the people of the whole country to be affected by the change uught to have an opportunity of considering the great change. It is not sufficient in my mind that a few of the leading spirits of the land should be able tweontrol and bring about so great a change without the initiatory steps being takea on the part of the people.. Now, honorable genLeemen, I would refer to the representation in the tirst confereace-the confereace in which the initiatory steps were taken--at Charlotio town. "All honorable gentlemen are aware that the goveraments of the several Maritime Provinces had decreed by resolutions passed during former sesslons of their several parlifuents, that they were to send delegates to neet at Charlotetown, for the purpuse of uniting their several governuénts under oue government; in other words, to consolidate their governments iuto what would be termed Elegrslative union. We could all uuderetand from the pisition of those deveral local goverameate that it was a miatter of very great iniportance that they should unite their goveramients under one to obviate the necessity of haviag different rates of duty ; and in fact their interests were so blended that wo can underatand that union was of very great inportance to them. The Government of Canada mot tho delegstey at Charlott wn, and
by the representations that were made to the representatives of the Lower Pravinces, they abandoned their project of meeting togather for the purpose of consolidating their governments, and took up the larger question of a Federal union of all the provinces. I believe, honorable gentlemen, that is the inducements held out to the delegates convened at Charlottetorn to abandon their first scheme were fully "known. it would be found that" chief "among them was the construction of the Intercolonial Railway. It strikes me very forcibly from all that I heard in the Lower Provinces during a recent tour, that if there "ras one thing more than another to' which the people gave prominence, it was the Intercolnuial Ruilway. Now, with reference to this subject the plan preriously adopted was, that Canida was to furnish five-twelfths of the money, and the Maritime Provinces seven-twelfthe. It appears by the resolutions laid on the tab'e of this House, that if the Confederation scheme is carried out, the Interenthnial Railway is to be built. I admit it is a'matter of necessity that"it should be built in that case. There is no doubt about it. We cannot have union without it." But the fact dies egist, that instead of Canada contributing five-twelfths of the cost of construction, it will be called upon to contribute about ten-twelfths. (Hear, hear.) I merely "nention the fyet to show that it appears to tue that some stroing inducement must have been beld out to the delegates from the Loiver Provinces :o enter into, this great scheme, when- "we find, as it is very well known, that. the Intercolonial Railway has been otie of those. objects: that has been first and foremost inthe minds of the people of almost all the Lower Prorinces It would open up for thenu a vast section of new country, and the bencfite to be derived would certainly be paranount to them above anything Canada could derive from its construction. It is therefore evident to my mind that this inducement has been held out in order to induce, those provinces to come into the proposed union. Again, with regard to representation ia the Confer-ference-I refer now to the Conference at Quebec - there : were twenty-one honorable gentlemen constituting the delegration from the Lower Prorinces. Am ${ }^{1}$ correct?

Hos. Sir E. P. TACHE-I believe that is correct.

Hon Ma MOORE-There; were twelve delegates from the Province of Canada: We were told by my honorable friend the Comnismioner of ('romb Lands that they did not rote
by numbers but by provinces.. Well, in voting by provinces, I think there was nothing to be gained, so far as the adyocacy of certain measures in the interests of the Province of Canada was concerned in this Convention. For if they voted by "provinces; the little Island of Prince Edward, and Newfoundland, would equal the votes of the Prorince of Ca nada. "Now," honorable "gentlemen, when we consider the position of Canada, our resources, and the amount that this province will-bring into the common treasury, it does appear to me that Canada was not equitably represented in the: Convention. I would not for one moment attribute to the delegates from Canada neglect of their duty in any particular, but when there becaue a necessity that certain arrangements were to be made with the Lower Prorinces, then " $I$ can understand that if they. were more favorable to the Lower Provinces than to Canida, the vote would preponderate in favor of the former. $\because$ There is another point, honorable gentlemen, to which I would like to draw your attention, namely, the increase of the expense of government ander: the new arrangement. It Ioes appear to me, that if the scheme is adopted, it will necessarily increase the burdens of the people, and, I believe that wee will be obliged to resort to direct taxation to sustain the looal governments. It appears to me impossible to have so mary local governménts, and, also, a General Government, without greatly adding to the: expense. There is yet another point on which I teel more deeply than on any of the precedins. It places Lower Canada in a false position. The Anglo-Saxion race of Lower Cana-: da is nearly one-fourth of the population, and in the Local Goverument they will be completely under the control of the people of French orivin'; not that I believe but that the latter would endeavor to give all their just due, but still it does appear to me that it places the people of Anglo-Saxon origin in a : false position. $\therefore$ Then the French population in the Federal Government is placed in a falso position, for there they will be in a very small minority-in the same position, relatively; an. the Anglo-Saxon race in the Local Govern-ment. The honorable gentleman who addressed the House so very ably and eloquent. ly last evening - the honorable member for Erie-suid he preferred taking the scheme as it was rather than risk any alteration:. It has also been said by honorable gentlemen of the Goverument, that they could not permit any alteration; or suggestion of amendment, to bo made in the resolutions now before the Houso.

But it does appear to me, honorable gentlemen that inasmuch as there are five different ligislatures to tike tho re reolutions into consideration. if any oue branch of either of those legrishatures sliould be eble to sugest any inprovenents, and the restutious should be changed before their adaption by that branch. such a step winuld not defeat the whatercheme" It would be ouly afferinu on mater ungrestions ou the part of the represutitives if the perto ple. Ot eourse any alterations surserted in this mannery wuth be betiore the Inperial Parlicment as a basis dinu whict to einstrict an let of Cuiono It woul afforl the Imperial Partament onin oprtunty of knowing othe peoples sutiments, and woild not in any way really interfere with the proposed unin beinu carrite 1 nat. Therefore Le thitik thit any anmonent that may be nade in this branch at the Lerislature, or in the other brang or in either of the branches of the legidatures of the Maritime Prönincese winld only con betire the Imperial Larliament as so many suge to m- that uight xery proporly be considerd by the Ini perial authorites in de diniz with ore very in portant a subject. Xow, zhintting as I du admit, that the gentemen whe econstituted
 time were the tirt fury an wer lut - I be-
 deroplothit whith was tor lhe What interes:-

 omited onhe thinn that, a if the were
 had elapeet mify be pheme in the "rentutions that woul bery muth laprose then' My honable themf fron Ped has -tateal that although he approved of nunt uts the resolutiont he desired the menduntor mude, but in onuch an he ar the intrit duction by this Hioure wobl bieftal tu the whole measurt, the would take the whole an it stood: : I divirece with that homrable gentlonan. ated with the perition tahetio bs the honorable gentemen reprentatiz the (ionernment in thiy finuse. 1 think at is a mistake and it to malting to both the Hente and the country to whene thatio texate -
 liberated tire fifteen or cishtera daya, there should be an tmprovement made when the result "of their deliberations: Sus, hon: orable geatlénen, I an one of thowe who, if I can be convinced that a Federal unitai is, going to pronmte the stability or welfare of Canada, will g' with it inost hearsily, but 1
do think it becomes necessary not to make out altogether an er prate case because I think the resolutions that were passed by the delegates, though sent out to the tountry ought to be accompanied by the other side of the puestion, which has not been fairly heard, There' is still another matter to which I wish to refer, and in doint"ol I might rematk that I am aware that this is looking at the darkest sile of the picture. I think that the engrafting of this system of yovernment upon the British" Constitution has a tendency to at leat introduce the republican system. It is republican so far as it goes, and that is another reason why do not approve of it if We commence to adept the republican' systen. ire shall perhap get the idea of contrinuing the system until we fon "ton far. 'It is also zait that we are to have a new nationality. I du nut understand that term. hongrable gentlenen. It we were goinir to have ant indepentent sovereignty in this country, them I could undersiand it: 1 believe honorable irinte wen will aree with her, that, ather this cheme is fully carried into oferation, we shall still be culontes.

## Hion sir E P. TACHE-nf course.

Ifos Mr Moolie--Jow. tat being the case I think inu Loed tevernment will be phect in a dower pustion than in the ghvernurot we have now: Eyery mecoure rostred
 th the retu of the Eederil Cinernment- - that is ": any meacure or bill pasing the lead Legisture may be disallowed within one year by the Federal Civerument.

Hov sim E. P. TACHE-That ir que case at present is between Comada and the Iniperial "lovernment.
 with the honerable genteman. Any metene pased by thit province may be dioullowed withon ewo years thereafter by the Inperial Aliovernment. But the lecal giveranemes. under Confederation, sre to be subjected to havin their measarta veled withm one year By the federal (invernment, and then the lmperiad Guvermuent has the privilage of vening anything the Federal Boverument may do, within two yeary. The vete porrer thun placed in the hands of the fedrral Gov rament, if exercised frequently, would be alminst eertain to cause difticulty betiven the local and general governments. I obseryr that my houorable friend, Sir ETrunse: P. Tacile, dues not approbate that reharis:

Hon. Sir E. P. TACHE-You understand me correctly.

Hon: Mi. MOORE-It will be conceded that the question of the veto power was very ably discused, at one time; in the United States Congress, and that discussion led to a yunditication of the weto power in the Constitution of the Thited States, so that now any bill ppissed by both Houses may be vetoed by the President within ten days thereater, by :ispryuing resisus for duing sec. Both Houses nay then, however, again tike up the measure, and it , they pass it by a two-third yote, it beemies the law of the land:- independent of the Prevident's will., Now, I would have the setio power applied in a similar way in our hew Constitution. Therecisig' it in an arbitray mamer, as the Federal power is privileged to thi it must, from the very nature of things. grente desatistiction and difficulty between the two Ene criment. Ayain han rable gen thanch, wio said that by this, union we are to stenn then cur detemise capacity. I really cuntite we the fore of this argumetit, unless Monery yosible that in uniting with the Lower Asweinits their pupulation was to be brought Auser to us. If pature weye to make the wet mory vefort and nove their territory ap at, unsite of us and thas make a compact mas s Mf porple. I would at one weree that it would strmethen us in a military phint of view: But the lact is, the untin willwite an extensinna of whthery tar meater in propertion the the nue. For int the prquation than whe exists in Cunadif. Figen that eqirvemetance," 1 argue that it will we:ken instead of streng then "us. (Hear, hear:) Pufortunately, ita war thould tuke phe between the thuted stater and Gras Britime the Lower Prowince have a
 and it appethend they would be yery: jealous abisut havime their milititmen's at to catad. Ther sur difegece from berter incursonot. And W- Whuld be very natural for them to desire that all their van toree should be kept at home for their protertion a aut the same with regurd to, imatha. If a censider ble phrtion of the mulitianot Cansidu were ordered to preved to the Jower Provineses, it would megt certanly Wrakeñiand causer zereat disatistaction in Camadd. But, setting thit anide does it nicrease "ur numbers and our tuennyot detence?" Have We 隹t the satue terrigiry exponed? W0ं shall - have no deditural mently the univi for the Nothe of Conada. P'erhape, ater the union lishes place. emizration will dow sto the cuuntry, but Id no thouw that there would be suy very great induceneat, atter a union, above the inducements that now uxist. ' It appears to me that that fuestion and fact
wrould remain in "ery much the" same state as at present. . Ilonorable gentlemen, in conelusion, I would say that I have thus endearored to. point out some of the objections to the scheme as presented that have oceurred to me. : We have all a common interest .In this matter. (Hear. hear.)"I think that no political ir party feeling should have any bearing upon its consideration, and if, after a free and full discuision of its merits and demerits, and the people and the members of Parliament cone to fully understand the question, it is found that it is ghing to be an advantage tin the country I will. certainly give it my cheerful support. "But I do wish to have poute thingex repecting it made more clear to iuy comprehension than they are at present, and it is for these reawns that I have taken up the tiane" "of the Mouse' in naking these feiv remark. ․ (Chers.)

Hos. Ma Mcyster said-The reso lutions belfere the Hluse have beed to ably discused in nearly th their different Tearings, that it apporss to ne that but little can be advaucel in ceither ille in dddition to what has bect already said. I shall therefort only occups the time of the Ifouse for a very few muments in explaining tay reasons for the sute 1 intend wh gine on the amiendinent of the fruarable member for Wellington: When the C Crified ration of the proninees mas' first propesiti, I F , althemph faverathe to the principle of the sheme, chteitanned grave doubts as to whethic, it cearries, it would lie of any real beteftit th that petinn of the country in which 'I: aur mure "numediately interested. Much hewevir, dipended upon, the details, and atter giviny the in as wend deal of considerathin, I have bnen unable to cone to the empluston that the sheine, as a whole, will be a reinedy tor all the evils comrtained of by the pewple of : C per ri Canada. ( H Har, hear.) The appryifitituis to be made annually to the fysid lezeshotures inut if" the general:revenue 1 " revard as bing most objectionable. (Hear hear.) This T believe will go far to urctruiize sume of the advantages which would have restated from the schene had the goverimente of thit sifferent proviaces been obliged ti puride tor all expenditure of a strictly hewili eharister.: The buildiag of the Iuterementint Railway must also be regarded ds a iery quantimathe part of the project, indeed th luy mind it is the most objectionabie off the whate, a Hear, hear.) We are twid by thouirathle gentlemen that the abrogatton of the heciprocity Treaty readers this road an indispeurible uecessity in order to
secure an independent outlet to the sed-board;

- but, if this riew of the case be correct, why do nct our merchants and millers forward their produce during the minter months to New York, Boston "or Porthand, by our or any of the other different railway lines which hare long been open to these points? The reason is obvious. The freight by railway is so expensive that they find it to be for their advatuage to pay interest, storage and insurance on their wheat and fllur until the opening of the naviration. And if ther do not now ayail thenselves of the shipping purts referred to, neither of which are more than six hundred miles from Toronto will they send their produce double that distance over
the Intercolonial ro to Halifax: Mint assuredly not "Hear hear)\%, It'the Recipri eity Treaty even should be abrogatel, the great bulk of nur produce in the west will will then, as now, continue to be stured at the different places of hipment altony hur camals and lakes until the dening of navisation, ar that whatever may be sail in favor of the Intereoponal rond in a military point of tirew, or horever it may be urged as acessity in order to furnish easy and concenient 'inter:course between the prominces in the event of their being united, I hold that as a commer: cial speculation it will prosis an ritire talure whieh munt necessarily ald greatly to nur al. rexy hiree undenductive investmentic (Hear) And how the homisable sentemon from
 did the other day the I Pher Canala alone hail better build the lateremmial. Railway than be wathout it, is what I cannot compre hend:


## Huv. Mr. Ross-l siy so ardin.

Hós. Ma. Nevaster- Well if the honorable qeatlenan woulid resion has wat and present himself to any ennstituency west yn Kingston, giving the views he has enuncinted about this railroad a proment plice in hif address to the electors. I fear this Houm. would be deprived of his valuable servees (Laughter.! The change propmed in thi. constitution of the Legillative Council. by which the nominative ts we bubstituta foir the elective system, I cannot but rearrit at : retionrade movennent; and were the resplu. tions providing change, and authoripug the builling of the Intereolonial hailway, and the annual subsidy to the different local lexishlatures, subuitted separately, and under ordinary circumstances. I dhould, if standing alone in the House, feel it to be my duty to record my vote aquainst them; but when viewed as part
of a general scheme embracing other provis ions, "Which" may hare an important bearing upon the future interests, the peace and pros perity of the prowince. I feel buund toconsider the resolutions in that spirit of compromise which is absolutely nece ary in franipg any measure or conatitution that will be at all likely" to remedy our seetional difficulties. (Hear heary): I need hardly remind honorable gentemer that nothing eould be mere unsatisfactory that the state of our public atfairs for a lone time pat. . The Leqislature bas beencalled together year atter year; and the usual sessional expenditure ingurred-which is alway very larg-but the seetinnal majorities arrayed acainst each other in the other Chamber renderd utetulleghation almost if mit altogether miphisbe Whateversiveri: ment:was in finer hemd as it were, by the day and boine enzage ta a contont struggle fir "existence the" very witurad hosire to ob tuin increxted strmath fieptionts led to the


 We have been denge and that sume chaze is new wary and th the abemer of why thing het
 sehome propesed a thal. betheve that there are reasuably zromis tio hup that the: donctituton whin in to be baed om the reswhthons before the House vill. at fast to
 ties which have ignrated mis moth the pre judice of the country - Hear, hear:) It will serure to the mophe of Ppor Camada the entire enotrol of thrir lueslathars, whith I regard as being of the uthome importance It will put an end th the wreme ot "duplienting ta one serion of the provimel arg", amones of money grinte 1 to the other fir combization rogdo and wher tred abyere oh whel rast uans have benarnumberad It will eryure be the people. of " pper C'und, represeatation by population in that branch ot the Pederal Lequhature

 wosera' tething of the provinge And I trust the pronites" mude "with reference to the widenne and derpnomotour casal, and the op-nimz'up of the' North Wiest 'Terratory will b. currid put mand taith. (Mear; hear) ladedan hoveramat ean afford treat with
 Leppre Cunada, and at the same tine ineur the large expenditure rejured tor the Intercolonial Kuilroad. (Hear, hexr.) When I look at these adrantages, and buink of the critical
position in which the province is now placed, and the serious consequences that might possibly follow, should the Confederation scheme be rejected, I shrink frou the responsibility of becoming a party to any amendment which may have the effect of defeating the measure. (Hear, hear) Holding these views, and looking upon the resolutions of the Quebec Conference in the light of a treaty entered into by five provinces, which must be either approved as a whole or rejected, Ifeel that in giving them imy support, I aun, all things evinsidered, acting in the interest of the provinee generally, and doing what ity best for mypen- co Btituente Cherers.

How Ma SIMPSOX said-I think it pas said by a wise mau that shere is nothing hew under the sun. But had Solovor he sehecue now before the House presecuted to him, he woull probably have changed has opaina, Possibly nothtug uéw can be said on the subject of representation by populiativo, or even on the beheme now belore the House; but represcothag as ldo, vou of the largest and wealthirst cousitituencles in Upper Canada, I think itnecessary fir mat to pive niy reasogs fur the pusition thate. felt it tay duty to take in reference thereto It has bern stated that the electons which have lately takea place have fone in fayor of the Guverament; but, esen if such wer. the case, how could ui porestly beotherwiee, necing that men of cil stades of puitios have united iu forming a happ tamily . We baye seed those who hatie beca or almosta lifeane asiagonistic we each other upenery there arus, as was so well and cloptonely" depieted the other weang by the hongrable menber. trom Muntreal "Mon. Mr Feahien) and embrachan cach other and we have been
 predicted abid ausuously to hed for; has, oo far as Camada a ouncerned at all eronts. at leugh arraved thiughtery We are to have muerediseord and ing more strte, but. are beaceforth in he in harumy the wate with the other. Ithas been asserted that un regard to myself l uwe ayy retura withouuppertion tue the fact that 1 aruwed ungelf If favor of the cuatederation of the provinees on the basis subuntted. This is nut $\therefore$ currect., I held no meetinge-I mato no specehes-aud in po iustauce was I asked what were my views in regard to the seheme; and, it honorable geatieneen will permit me, I will read i portiva of my short address to the elecwrs. It is as follows:-

You will reasunabiy expect me to give my views on the important coustitutional change that are now contemplated. No one at all acyuainted with the effects produced upon our legisfislation and on the geyeral prosperity of the country, by the unbappy sectional difficulties existiny between Upper and Lower Canada, but must have' felt that some remedy should be found for thuse evils:' Whether the very able gentlemen who bave so strangely, united to solve and. rempee thesediffulties will be uble tio accumplish their praiseworthy tisk, time alone can tell. We need the detalla befure betne able to próounce an opimon; but heartily' (and I hope in common with overy well-wisher of their couttry) I most earnestly pray that they may succeed;
It will be seen that here 1 simply state that the gentiemen who bad so strangely come together would be entitled to the thanks of the country if they were able to agree upon scherue which would solve the admistted difficulties betweea Upper and Lower tanada.: But as I have read from my addréss soI stilt maintain ghat, before we can be expected to exprest an iutelligent opinion, we oust jut simply to hiave half a sethetue, but the details of the scheme in tos eatirety: If we refer to the election in Sorf Untario, where the Hunorable Provocill secretary had been the representative, and who returned for re-elec ion atter acepting otfice in the preseut Government, we find that lie was deteated by a gentleman Mr. M. C. Cambron) who is knuwn to be an oppoinent to the project Andif we take "the mure recent election which" vecurred in South Gatariu, we tiad the contest between two gentlemea, buth persunal Iriends of my own, and both of whon were tavorable to the priuciple, bat who pledged themselves that. betorn it became an aćeom; lished fact, it should, so thr as their vote would extend, be subuitued for the approval of the people. And l would be greatly deceived if the gentheman who han now the hunor to represent that ridug in the other branchiof the Legislature (Mr. G'isiss) shall be found support: ing the seheme uuless that course be first taken: We need the details before it is: poisible that we can proujunco upon the schetue and consider itiun its merifs.

How Mar HUSD- You have the details:
Hon. Min. SIMPSOX-The de;ails are unfortunately the very thiggs that are want-ing-they are the marrow of the wholo affir: (Hear, hear,) When the agitation for" representation by population was first etarted in Épper Canada, I atated that I had no confidence in it as a ouro for the evils

We complained of and I thin", and have ever since, felt that it wiuld be better for the two provneres to sarate than to create sechival yeal,u-a and strife" by the demand for an iucrend it arematout, and the rellitiots eries arbeded with it For my part, 1 have never, bite sume honerable geatemen of this 1 hums. atruided and presided aver that hind at pondical oryainzations knuru as conventions, port heting these to be the proper festur of rodresitug the grievauces under which tie evoutry labored. The effect "them. invetiters. was to add fael to the Enitatinn which : wis already sunderiny the ebitutry That uch should be the result Idepyrexre thayding as some of the dearest triemis hate in the world are nut only Enwer hanadians but adherents of a dith rent faith. The truit of this sectowalhonthy and diecord he buw see in the demand whel har at rucg पp tor Federation with all hts comematant burdetis. I can lay no blame to my enntrenterer having asisted to bridio dhuat an uataral a state of thrigs, and whitiore may bee the consequences of the now comdutith of fintit. cal exinteuce toparde whth we's aro aph




 Comund of the F!mat ancomot it addational inctume "hif wher real adian-
 15 adhatural minat is or the purn we of crushity Lower c"amay that what is

 presentation by pomathon - comednathe new urder of than:- what whe the the gan
 nembers cure the "ends of "whidn" we
 excessive expendruri gndir whinhow are
 the causes of the amibum for ennitutimal changea? I dumat he tere a swirl of it. Súpposina Cpper conada li-atarar repre sentation by that number chan lowiger Canada, you muyt remember that Lower Canada. with the iantern proincer is en. theted to He nembers; sio that 1 pper Canadi would till be in a dirge minorty of'. the while Humer. By hoger ate frand the
 brought befure the llouse a number of valu.
ahlatistics braring on this yuextion, and I must sar I deeply regretthat the members of the fovernmetititith the thamber have not attompteit to ritut. shem . If the figure isure waz, tiog wete edify
 by so able a zenten an an the Hopurable Comulisioner of pown hat. $\because$ But he has "hot atterperde the task, manuch as ho knows it wond be a hopeliosone I hold ja iny hands a statement furaived by the Luditer Gemeal tio the Mininter, Fmance, from which it phater that our idel amounts

 a batance of Bio. thotove the action debi if Catradi, ta be brac by the people of this province under ang seheme that ean be concorted. "If we cannuct that the eost of the hatcrathonal Rathay will bey sedmmino
 Gran Trunk there es tom muth reand to fear it whlle dube that mant - ihe pro-


 dirert debe s-3, hty, UM. The inctite at


 of the thas wh hatw anghag of ral. "at vathe:mand the charicter ot the enun trytu be trayreal by the letoreumal kity
 My homable friend troni Toronte llow
 tpectun themeaphate af kagland fondly homed that the "ram! Trunk whuld pay 115 per enthe at the mbestment Bue we know hisw thate expection, haw the en doap-
 theresing prouni, on hop that the later cot miantw will be upy u hetier poritan, the de in too nüch reabote to fear that it will: be fill wirye Why, the sost of its giain. Towlee coull hardiy le lese that swondoo per manum bejath all its receipes, How the tr eould which work be con hidered to bo of betuetit to the country
 canals-by cheapeniag the cent if tranporration

Hon Man simpsidithis imposible. It eosts iwo entes per ton per mile to move fright by rail, and ast the distatee frum To ronto to Halfar is llisk miles, it would cost 5:3 per barrel to move tluar from Toronto
to that port ; while a barrel of flour can now be sent cuid the St . Lawrence at 50 cents or under, and cira Dew York at 53 cents. Taking anuther view of the scheme, in its financial aspect, We find that Canada pow: contributce, in all ferms, to the support of the Geucral Guverument, over $810,000,000$ per anum. No one will say that: we shall be called upon to contribute tess under Confedcration. . Ind if we add to this sum the interest, at five per cent., on the additional debt of slis,000,010 created by the proposed rail. way and the expense of tivo local governmento, assuming then to cost $\$ 1,000,000$ each, which is below the mark; with $\$ 1,000$, W0y to be expended annually out the militia, at wrill as our share of maintaining and rimning the railiway, we will find that the people of the two (anadas will be called upon to contribute $S 14 ; 200, v e 0$, annually, instead of the Slownowo, as at presentorind I would a-k loporable gentenacn if the country is in "pinition tu bear this additional burden"?
Mar hear.) lically, looking at the quegtove of "expese. it an inot sure whicthrer nivuld not be in favor of returning to the probitive sytem of administernas the affairs of the cuthtry-in preference to having this sehtomeby a (isvernor in Council. (Laugh. ter. ) lur theres is po quentim that vur an. nual expenditura will berunder Cuatederatwa, at lext masy milloms, mure than at fresent, whth the eqst added thereto of mainthang and sumang the Iaterolonial Rails "way-a work which can never pay
How Ma FBLHELR-It was predicted when it wa' proposed to build the Riviere du laup section of the (irand lruak that it would tever pays but the faet is that for the Lust two years it has not only paid expeases, but has giveraprotit.
How Mu SLMP'sON-I hould nut coutratict the honorable gentleman, because hes knows moro abuut Grand Truak matters than IIto, or must other people ; but my late respected friend, Mr. Fueser, who was the Lesee of that seetion during two or three year, tuld tue that, while recerving a subsidy of \$1x, 000 per anaum for ruaniag it, with the Iree we of four engines, and with a suitable equiptient of rolligg stock, it would have ruined him had ho continued to work the line even oa those appareatly farorable terms.

How. Ma FERHIER-It is perhaps useless for me to say any thing more, as the honurable geatleman'will not believe what I say,
-(Hon. Mr. Simpson-Hear, hear)-but all I can state is; that a premium was offered for the lease of the line, but the company determined to take possession of it.

Hon. Mr. SLIP PSON-But the real question is, what was the cost of original construction, the interest on that amount, and th cost of maintenance? Take these charges into account, and it would require a pretty lirge rental to cover them, mach larger, I think; than any responsible person would offer for tease of the line. As to the Intercolonial Railway; we have no information from the government respecting the route to be followed or the leath or cost of the road; but from figures 1 have been able to obtain, the following may be taken to be nearly correct :-

|  | Miles | Tobe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frotn | Halifax to Truro : . Cin buil |  |
| $\because$ | Trura to Shediac $\therefore$. |  |
|  | Shediac to St. John ...... $10 \times$ |  |
|  | St, John to St. Andrews: $:$ |  |
|  | (under contract) ....... |  |
|  | N. Andrewsto Wrodstoke 3 - 0 |  |
|  | , | 160 |
|  | 2 |  |

The total length of road from Riviere du Loup is 5 is mile,; add frow Rividre du Eomp to Quebec $1: 0$ miles; Quebec to Montreal, 170 miles Montreal to Toronto, about 330 males' ; so that we have a total of 1168 miles over which it is gravely proposed to send flour and wher heavy produce during the winter munths. (IIear, hear.) As has - been already stated, before a barrel of four culd reach Halitar from Toronto, it would be nearly eated up in expensex. [An honorable member-There would be nothing len but tho hoops." (Laughter)'] It has beea urged that under Confederation an. active trade would spring up between Canäda and the Maritime Provinces. A trade in what? What have we to send them excepting flour and the coarser grains? The former, as lias been shown, cannot be sent, and the latter they do not require. - The principal articies of export froxa the Lower Provinces are fish; timber and ships. We can take a moderate quantity of fish; but our forests"supply us, with" an abundance of timber, and the ship yards of Quebec tarn out some of the finest sailing ships in the world. The true markets for the principal staples of export for these provinces are New York and Boston. Small vessels from
thirty to fifty tons, laden with ish, run from the Maritime Provinces to these ports, where they dispose of their cargoes and purchase with the proceeds, corn meal, flour, pork, molasses and other necessaries. But it has been left for ur Canadian statesmen to propose new political alliances in: order to divert trade and commerce from their natural channels. It 'is yet further said in faver of Confederation that it wit increase our power of defence. "In the crdinary acceptance of the term, union undoubtedly is strength ; but there are cases in which union, instead of being a source of strength, is in reality an elequint of weakoess. If me could attach the territory possessed by the moon to these provinces, and obtain the assistance for our joint defence of the man who is popularly suppocd to iutiabit that luminary, we mitht derive strength from ther Confederation: (Latghter.) But although John Bull is accused of doing many foolish things, I am persciaded that the Mother Country is far too wise "to entrust the lives of her valuable soldiers" when sent to our defence-as in case tof need I feel well assured they would be-cin passing over a road so liable to attack and so easy of destruction by our peighbors on the other side, should we uofortunately erer become involved with them in war, which I sincerely pray may neyer occur. (Hear, hear.) In con lusion I have simply to say that I cannot possibly rote for the scheme before the Hoas , and thereby deprise the wealthy and inpelligent freeuen, who have twice elected me unanimously, of Constipation obtained Ly loag years of struggle, without knowing what we have to offer them in its stead: (C'ters.)

Hos. Sir E. P:TACHE-Betore the quession is put; I have a fer remarks to wake on the general question, and particularly on the motion of amenduent which is now before the House I have copious dotes which I will not refer to now, but which I will make use of at another stage of the debate. Ques tions have been put to me by several nembers, which I I will answer in due season; and es: planations have been asked, which 1 hope also to be able to give. But, at present, my object is merely to make a few remarks in reference to the amendment which has been brought forward by my honorable frieńd from. Wellington (Hon: Mr. S.ansons), When the gentlemen who composed the Conference met, they had to lay down a broad basis, as it were,
for the foundation of their superstructure. Well, it so happened that the corner-stonc was that which concerned the representation in both Houses. It was agreed on the one hand that in the House of Commons of the Confederate Government representation should be according to numbers, and that in the other branch of the Legislature it should be fixed that this representation should be equal for all the provinces-that is to say, Lpper Canada, and Lower Canada, and the Maritime Prọvinces, grouped into one, should each be allowed to send the same number of representatives, so as to secure to each province its rights, its privileges, and its liberties. We neted upon this principle, because we felt that if the House of Commons representation was based upon population, equality should be secured in the other branch of the Legislature:My honorable friend from Wellington has gone urer almost every detail of the scheme of Federation, and he thought also tie would try his hand a little at constitution-making, by improving that part which has particular reference to the Legislatite Council. Well, honorable gentlemer, I think the saying is pretty correct that it is easy to find fault, but it is not so easy to do better: (Hear, hear.) The honorable gentleman no doubt thought in his own mind that he was going to improve the scheme of the Conference, but I think he has made it so bad that I believe I can shew in the course of the few observations I have to offer, even if we had the power to make a: mendments, no nember of this House either from Upper or Lower Canada would consent to them for a moneunt. I have just said the agrex: meat was that there should be equality in the representation in the Legislative Counct. But the honvrable gentleman has moved that the elective members as they now stand shoubi forim the Legisiative Council in the Feder:! Government, and that also the life memberi. shouid continue for the remainder of ther d.ys; and, as a set-off against the life meir. bers, he proposes to allow the other provinces a certain number" of new nembera who shoull have the righe to sit in the Legislative Council of the Federal Government.: But what dust the do? Does he preserve the proportion as laid down at the Convention? Not a bit of it. The proportion agreed upon at the Con: vention was one third to the Maritime Provinces; the Lower Provinces grouped together had a righe to send one-third of the represea: tatives. - The honorable gentleman, however, I suppose out of the fulness of his good dis
position-I am sure it was not pressed upon him by the delegates from the Maritime Pro-vinces-comes forward and says; "I will give you, ten members as a set-off against the tiventy-one members who are now members for life in the Canadian Legislative Council."? If I am not wrong in my arithmetic, ten are not a third of trenty-one. If the honorable gentleman had given seven members to the Lower Provinces as a set-off against the tife mem ers of this House he would have acted with strict justice, but he is generous enough to give them three more-ten, or ncarly one half.

Hov. Mr. SANBORN-Ten are nearer one third than the seven you propose.

How. SIR E. P. TACHE - I think the honorable gentlenian is wrong in his calculation. I say we have 21 members sitting here for life, and if the other prorinces are entitled to one-third of that number, it is clear to my mind that they would bave a right to no niore than seven.: "Cries of." no, no," and ".yes, yes."."
Hon. Mr. CURRIE-They are contitled to a third of the whole. Do you refer to the dective members?

Hus. Sir E. P. TACHE-The elective members are a fact accomplished. On the elective principle it is proposed to give a third of the members of the Legislative Council of the F deral Government to the Maritime Provinces. But there are twenty-one life nembers of this House, and you want to give the Maritime Provinces an equivalent for them:

## Hon. Ma. CURRIE - But not one-half.

Hon. Sir E. P. TACAÉ-You should ouly give them seren.

Hon Ma. SANBORN-No, ten.
Hon Sia E.P.TACHE-We will have to get a schoolmaster. (Laughter.) If seven is not a third of twenty-one, I do not know what a third is. (Laughter.) I am not very tluent in speaking the English language, and when I am met right and left, betind aud before, with interruptions like this, I assure you I feel it a hard trial, and if honcrable gentlemen have remarks to make, I trust they will wait until I have delivered mine. (Hear, hear.) Well, honorable gentlemen, admitting that the third of twentyone are not seven- (langhter)-I say admitting, for the sake of argument, that ten are the third of twenty-one-(laughter)-I have another objection, and a very serious objection, which I do not think will advance very much the case of the honorable gentleman who has
moved this amendment.: Many of us have been appointed for life in this House, and some of us were so appointed many years back. Here, for instance; is my honorable friend on the left (Hon. Mr. Hamiltón) who has been'a member of the' House some triventy-four years-who was among the first appointed by Lord Sydenhiar ; and I see on the other side, honorable gentlemen also. far advanced in years-men who, in the ordinary course of life, cannot expect to be very long with us. Will the honorable gentleman propose to give to the provinces below the right to appoint old gentlemen? Not a bit of it. They would send here young men-men who are in the prime of life-and when we shall have gone to our last home, "these young men from below will be found sitting in your places and in my place. Where, then, would be the equilibrium? The equilibrium would be lost, and lost for ever. (Hear, hear.). And the honorablé gentleman thinks that his amendmént would be a great improvement to the scheme of the Conference. Well, for my part, honorable gentlemen, I believe it is a great failure in the way of improving the scheme of the Confederationa very great failure indeed. The honorable gentleman has had the opportunity of speaking several times in this House, and very often he has made allusion to me since the opening of Parliament. He has endeavored tó place me in contradiction to myself: He has stated that, in 1856, Inas a niember of the Government conducting the affairs of the House, and that I was the party who brought in the measure to extend the elective principle to this honorable House, and he says that I ain here again, nine years later, endeavoring to destroy that which I had a hand in erecting so long ago as I have stated. But, honorable gentlemen, I think that when I shall have explained the circumstances which then forced the Government to bring forward the measure to render this House elective, you will agree with me that it was not on account of any fancy or predilection on their part that the elective system was proposed, but that it was necessitated by the circumstances in which the country found itself placed. It is from no levity in the minds of the members of the Government, or in my own mind; nor is there any in f onsistency in what $I$ then did and in what I am now doing. But we will have something more on that point in the course of a fevt moments. The honorable gentleman, the other day, said we ought: to speak freely on this gubject, the measure
being one of very great importance. He did speak freely himself, and gave expression to the fear that the Protestant English element of Lower Canada would be in danger if this measure should pass. He said as much as this, that in the Legislature of Lower Canada acts might be passed which would deprive religious educational institutions there of their rights, and even of their property. Another honorable gentlemian, who spoke yesterday, also gave expression to the fear that vested rights and privileges might be wreşted from the hands of the English-speaking population of Lower Canada-that there was nothing secure to them under the new Constitu-tion. Well, the honorable gentlemen who - could see, in the future, such dreadful consequences flowing from this union; and whọ̀ make such sinister predictions, must make them upon some data. But I would ask honorable gentlemien if since 1791, when the Constitution was given to Lower Canada, there is, in all the records of the Legislature of Lower Canada, a single act to be found in Which it can be shown that the Lower Canadians -the Papists of Lower Canada-everattempted to commit a single injustice towards thcir fell low subjects of English origin professing the "Protestant religion? I say; honorable gen-" tlemen, that the aet is not to be found. But adts' of generosity, acts of liberality, acts of tolerance are to be found cverywhere. (Hear, hear:) When you predict things of the future you ought to have at least an inch of ground to stand upon." You ought to be able to say" that at such and such a time you did such and such unlawful acts. But I defy the honorable genteman to point to one such act. (Hear, hear.) As it was well asked by my honorable friend. Sir Nabcisse F. Belleaú, who was it that emancipated the Jews in 1808, much earlier than they were emancipited in England? Why, a Lower Canada House of Assembly. Who gave Protestant dissenters the right to keep records of marriages and burials? Well, it was a French Canadian - a Papist - House of Assembly. And that House had a great deal of difficulty, and why? Because they were opposed in thie Legislature of Lower Canada by the Protestant Eaglish minority.

Hon. Ma. SANBORN-Not by an elective majority.

Hon. Sir E. P. TACHE-Perhaps it is well that we have now responsible government, because responsible government is a care for many evils: Well, the bill to give Protestant dissenters hin Lower Canada certain rights was
opposed again and again in the Legislative Council of Lower Canada; and opposed by English Protestants. But this was no less a proof of the liberality: of the French Canadians. (Hear, 'hear.) The honorable geutleman behind me is not at all satisfied with the electoral divisions as they stand in -Lower Canada. He says there is no "security whatever afforded by them to the Protestant coinmunity. ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ But I wish that honorable geatleman had taken the trouble to look a little into the factśs ugn which he had based his conclusions in regard these very electoral divisions. Honorable gentleinen, I feel warm on this subject oAnd why? Because the limits of the counties in Lower Canada wire devised by one of the most intelligent, upright and liberal men it has ever been my tortune to meet with. If"a model of human perfection can be found in Canadi, it must be in the person of the honorable Judre Moriv. (Hear, hear.) : Before laying his project before the Executive Council, that gentleman did me the honor to consult me in the matter, and on two occasions I attended by appointment at his office to advise with him on the details of his scheme. The divisions of the other branch of the Legislature were worked out so as to give our Protestant English fetlow subjects everything which could be considered fair in every sense of the word. I say also that the same spirit was manitested in regard to the working out of the divisions of the Legislative Council! I assisted to work them out in conjunctiva with the Hou. Mr, Catcinon, and I do asiert here most solemnly that our whole trouble and study was to try and devise some means so as to give the English portion of the comnunity of Lower Canada something like fair play: And when I ame conscious of having doue these things, Ifeed it counes hard on me to hear honorable gentlemen wat there is no security for them in the future, bute that the French-the Papists-may do anything they choose in the lower branch of the Leegislature. But; honorable gentlenen, if the lover branch of the Legislature were inselisate enough and wicked enought to commit some dagrant iet of injustice agaiast the English Pratestant portion of the community, they would be checked by the General Government. But the honorable gentleman argues that that would raise an" issue between the local and the general governments. "We miust hot, however, forget that the General Goveraneat is composed of representatives from all portions of the country-that they would not be likely to
commit an unjust act - and that if they did so they would be met by such a storm of orposition as would sweep them out of their places in a very short time But. honorible gentlemen, to come back to the electoral di-visions.-I wish to look at them a little more closely, to show the results already produced. I will be obliged to make a comparison, but believe me, I do not wish to make invidious" emmparisous. When, howerer, honorable genthemen cómplain that they have no guarantee fer the preservation ortheir rights and liberties. I aet on the suggestion of the honorable sentlemau and speak my mind freely. Now, luw does the population of both Canadas stand in refernene to teligious creeds? We have in leper Canada $1,396,090$ souls; according to the last census, and of that number there are 258,141 Roman Catholics. I should like to know how many Roman CathoIn representatives these $\mathbf{2 5}=000$ Roman Ca thenirs ruturn to this IIouse"?. I don't know .ne. I say that there are 258,000 Roman Cathuliss in Ipper Canada who are not repreconted by one of their own faith on the Hone :of this House-cexcept, indeed; there are those of the Roman Catholie faith of whom I am not aware. (Lataghter:)
Hisy Mr. CRAWFORD was understood th sy in a jocose way that he was a. Catholic.(Lauzhter.)

Mósia E: P. TACHE said-No; jou are an Orangeman-we have shaken hands together already; and I hope we may shake. hands again, but when the honorable gentle-mau says he is a Catiolic, I fancy he must be juking. (Laughter.) I wish; honorable genthemen, for you to pay a litele attention to what I am saying, because it is facts that always tell. A tree is known by its fruits and it is the fruit I wish to place before this House and before the country: The total population. in Lower: Canada at the last census was $1,110,000$, and of these 942,724 were Roman Catholics, leaving of all other religious persuasions: know-nothings, if any there are, kathens and other unbelievers, 167,940 : That is'to say, honorable gentlemen, that the Protestamts in Lover Canada are less in number than the Catholies in Upper Canada, by 21:011. Wlere, then, we have Protestants in Lower Cinada to the number of 167,000 , and the puestion arises how aro they represented in this Huase? Well, they are represented by three members:; besides, there are two other. honorable gentlemen from Lower Canada who have English names, but I really do noiknow whether they are Protestants or Catholics. I
do however know, as I before stated, that there are three honorable gentlemen, Protestants, representing in this Council the 167,000 Protestants of Lower Canada. The honorable gentleman by my side, who has moved these amendments, is one of them; an honorable gentleman who sits opposite to me is another, and an honorable gentleman who sits behind me, is the third; and there are two other honorable gentlemen with English names whom I do not Enow whether to classily as Protestants or Catholicz. "I therefore say that in compring the representation of the two sections of the province, the hon. gentlewan has uo caúse to complain: I have ever labored to secure to iny fellow subjects of English origin; of the Protestant faith, in Lower Canada, their rights, and their liberties ; and that my labors have not been in vain is proved by the fruits $I$ have adduced. But that is not all.

Mon Mr. MOORE-There are tive Proteitants in this House from Lower Canada.

An Hos. MEYBER-But they are not all elective.

Hon Sir E. P. TACHE-I speak of the elective members, because the argument has refereace to the electoral divisions. Now let us look at the other branch of the Legislature; and I assert that the principle has worked equally well there. There are 258,000 . Roinár Catholics in Upper Canada represented in the other branch of the Legislature by only two Roman Catholics, and one of these, I an told, like my honorable friend opposite who has avowed himself a Roman Catholic, never gioes to mass. (Laughter.) He is, however, a good Catholic, because he has an accomplished and charming wife and most beautiful daughters, the whole of whom are zealous Christians and good Catholics, who go to charch and confess regularly $;$ so that $\mathbf{I}$ aim bound to take the head of the family as a good Catholic also. (Laughter.) Then, how does the case stand for the Protestants in Lower Cańada ? In Upper Canada we have just seen that 258,000 Catholics are represented by just two members of their own faith in the lower branch of the Legislature. How are the 160,000 Irotestants in Lower Canada represented? Well, honorable gentlemen, they are represented by no less than 14 members, (Hear, hear.) That is to say. more by fifty per cent. than they would be entitled to according to strict rule of three. (Hear, hear.) I would ask are at these things mere matters of aceident? Is it chance or Dame Fortune that brings about
all these things ? I believe not: (Hear, hear.) Causes invariably produce effects; and they are the effects mainly-I do not say entirelyof the pains: we hate taken to give our fellowsubjects of English origin the whole of 'their rights and fair play in every respect. The rest is "due to French Canadian liberality. After stating these facts, I really do not think the honorable gentleman who represents the division of "Wellington has much cause to complain. We judge of the tree by its fruit $t^{2}$ and the fruit I have endeavored to place before 'you: If I have made any mistakes in' my facts, I am weady to be, corrected. But besides these 14 gentlemen representing the Protestant element in Lower Canada in the other branch of Legislature, I find three other Eoglish names, but whether they are Catholics or Protestants I cannot say, and therefore, not being certain of their creed, I have classed them as doubtful, but added to the 14 , they would make the number' $17 .:$ I think all this is pretty good proof of the liberality and the spirit of justice of the Lower Canadians; and if they have acted so for three quarters of a century, how is it to be supposed, now that they are about to form the majority again in the Lower Canada Legislature, they will all at once change their mode of acting, and become ready to tyrannize over and commit acts of injustice on their fel ow-subjecta of English origin in Lower Canada? I do not believe it. I Io not believe there is such a thing as randalism in their minds, and I believe they are as ready as ever to render equal and impartial justice to their fellow-men and fellowsubjects. (Hear, hear.) I must now "pass to another portion of my remarks: Hooorable gentlemen say I was inconsistent in that at one time I erected a monument, and since then I have been trying to pull it down. Well, honorable gentlemen, to understand how. wé stood in 1856 it is necessary we should take the history of the Legislative Councif a little further back-from the tine of its "formation immediately after the union. We had not responsible government at the time of the union, but then it was that the whole system was put in practice. The first batch of councillory were appointed in 1841, and were 25 in number; but two of them never attended. Out of these 25 there were 18 conservatives and five reformers. La 1842 seven new councillors were added, five conservatives and two reformers. In 1843 the Government changed, and the change zade a little difference in the political bearing of the appoint. ments, so that in 1843 , there mere appointed
one conservative and five reformers. In 1844 45 there were two appointments-two reformers. In 1846 there was one conservative. In 1847 there were four conservatives. Therefore, in 1848, when the Liberal Government came into power-the Larontarise-Baldwin Administration-the fact was that their partisans in the Legislative Council were fifteen less than the opposite party. (Hear, hear.) What were the Reforim Government to do? They were forced to "appoint a large batch this time. They appointed no less than twelve gentiemen.: But still it left a majority to "the conservative party of three. And if the conservatives had been true to thenselves -and I wish to God they had been, and I will tell you, by and by, why -they could have prevented a good deal of trouble and a good deal of acitation the country. Supposing that what is called the Rebellion Lossere Bill had not been passed in 1849 would the country havé suffered a great deal from it? But if the conservatives had been true to themselves they would have stopped the bill. It' would have been discussed in all the pablic printe. Tho Montrealers would not have been entirely reconciled to the measure, but they would have waived their opinions as dutiful sabjects of the Queen, and we should not have witnessed the seandal we had in Montreal-the burning of the Parliamentary buildings and the Representative of the Queen pelted with stones and almost murdered, followed ty the annexation movement. But I say if the conservatives had resisted and just postponed the bill for another year, all this trouble migtit have been avoided. Now, honorable gentlemen, what was the spirit Which actuated the appoigtuents to the Council from 1841 to 1848 ?. It was a spirit of partisanship, and where there is partisan. ship there can be no justice." (Hear, hear.) Where there is partisanship there can bo no stability - you can dopend upon nothing. (Hear, hear.) It is only when justice is rea. dered to all parties that you can reckon upon stable and permaneat governmeutal ionstitutions. (Hear, hear.) To shew the difference between the spirit which actuated these nom. inations, from 1841 to 1847 ; and the spirit which exists now; it is only necessary to refer to the resolations of the Conference. The fourteenth resolution says :
The first selection of the memberts of the Legislative Council shall be made, except as regards Princo Edward Island, from the Legislative Councils of the various proviaces, bo far dis a sofficient number be found quaditied and rilling
to serve ${ }^{j}$ such members shall be appointed by the Crown at the recommendation of the General Esecutive Government, upon the nomination of the segreral local governments, and in such nomination due regard shall be had to the claims of the members of the Legislative Council of the Opposition in each prorynce, so that all political parties may, as nearly as possible, be fairly repre sented.
This shews you the spirit in which theso resolutions were framed. Certainly the gentlemen who composed the Conference were, like ourgelves, hable to err, but there is no. doubt in my mind that they acted conscientiously from bejinning to end. $\cdots$ Well, honorable gentlemen, after the burning of the Parliament House in Montreal, the greatest possible excitement was created all oper the province. Those who: were miost displeased at the passing of the Hebellion Loswes Bill, condemned in the most violent terms the swamping; as they called it; of the Legislative Council, though after all it was nothing to be condemned, seeing that it simply, to soiue extent, reestablished the equilibrium. But it was called, in the furur of the moment, the disgraceful swamping of the Legislative Council, and there was great agitation "all over the country. Well, by means: of the press constantly "hammering away upon what had been done by the Gove eranent, and representing those "who had beén appointed as mere machinay and tools of the Executive, although they were rally armong the most respectable and intelligent in Canada-but party passion does nut reason-the people were led to believe that the Legislative Council had been disgraced by the appointment of these twelvo additional members. But during the time that the conservatives were, va the one haind thus battering down' the Legistative Council, what had wo on the other hand? We had the old Reform party in Lower Canada berginning to recall their old lisitred to the Legislative Counct.: Although there was no reason to complain after the introduction of respousible goverament, yet people folllowed not their reason but théir prejudice. So that the Legialative Council received a cross-fire from both sides. It was being battered down by public opinion on cither hand, and what could it do? Nothing, but come down lower and lower in pablic estimation. Although the consoiences of the members reproiched them nothing-although they could walk the streets with their heads erect, yet the Legislative Council had been so -much reduced in public opinion, that those genclemen were really, I will not eay ashamed, but reluctant to attend in their plece9. "But,
besides, they came not to receive remuneration or salary. From the time they were appointed in 1841, they sacrificed their time and their money, and gave their services gratuitously to tho public; and they were met, as I have already stated, by this universal deluge of abuse which was levelled against them. (Hear, hear.) There was therefore no great encouragement for them to attend in their places in the Legislative Council. $\because$ But what have we seen since? Session after session, day after day, week after week; we saw the Speaker come into the Council :With great pomp, as the Speaker always does come into the Councii-(hear, hear, and a laugh) - preceded by the mace; and after the 'Speaker had made his usual dutiful bow to the Throne; he would take his seat and remain quietly in the ohair for the space of one hour. At the end of the hour, he would consult his watch, and saying there was no quorum presentalthough surely the quorum was a very small ove; being ten menibers only-he swould. declare the House adjourned until' the fol lowing day:

It being six oclock; the SPEAKEE left the Chair.

After the dinner recess,
Hon. Sir E. P. TACHE continued his remarks. He said-Honorable gentlemen, when the clock struch six, I was stating that, in one session after another, the Speakeri of this honorable House had day after day to declare that there was no quorum; and the Government of the day had to employ all sorts of means to induce honorable gentiomen to attend in their places. The prestige of the Legislative Council had gone, and the menbers, notwithstanding the offer to pay their expenses, \&c., remained at homes and the business of the country suffered very much: Tuwards the end of the session, we could muster a few gentlemen. But they did not take much interest in the business of the country-in fact, they were disgusted with it, and they got through legislation at railroad speed. Under those circumstances, what liad the Government to do? They were obliged to resort to some means to restore, it possible, the status and prestige of this House. There was one unanimious ory on the subject from one end of Lower Canada to the other-both conservatives and, reformers being as one in pointing to the elective principle as a cure for the state of things in which this province was placed; and the Coversiment, in cousequence, consulted with the English authorities with a viem of obtaining leave twextend to this House
the elective principle: This was not, as I have stated already, because of any predilection on our part for the elective principle. It was not because we thought that the elective principle was mach better than the system of appointment by the Crown-at all events before the introduction of responsible govern-: ment, Before that, the gentlémen who nominated members of this House were responsible to a one." The appointments then were all made on one "side. Even after the union; but before responsible goverument was established, or before it was put in a thoroughly practical working state, the appointments had been-made in a partial manner. (Hear, hear.) And it is not surprising that we experienced the difficulties we did until that period. After the establishmeat of responsible government the position was very different-- the resolutions of the 3rd teptember, 1841, having declared that no lovernment could be carried on except by heads of departments having theiconfidere of the representatives of the peoplefine the lower brinch of the lecrislature. 睩e from that moment, bad appointmene happened to bis made to the lex whative ouneil, then the Cownment for the time bramensis responible tio the jeople for thost appointments. . And. "hon the perple wanted an elective council at, that timer they did not base the demand upon frimetituthal pinciphes, but were led by their f-ina, whith hid, teen excited by their reconctigum of the past: They did ant rëasom the thing out and, in fact, the great majority oft the perphe here at evarywhere else, ate nut able tor fearn mut onntitutional pointa they ate iel for thene, who are at the head of the diti rent batiec... In saying this. I have no winh to ? Ma itaunitress like the Enited Statex, which bine thach of tix ie dincation, the immense mbs the the people are led by prominent mea They do not rettect. they do not think for themsides-and su' it was with our preople. The Givsermment for the time being were thas, Ly the fore of circumstances, obliged to bring firward the measure for altering the constitutinne the Legishative Council. The measure wis pased by a pretty large majority; and 1 thuk that until now the elective principle has worked remarkably well indeed, and that the clectors have sent to this House gentlemen whin would do honor to any deliberative budy it the world-I care not where, whether in Eaghand, or on the continent of Europe, or in America. But difficulties hare arisen since the passing of the Act of 1856 , and the Govcriment of the country came almost to a
dead-lock. Some remedy had to be found, and gentlemen of opposite parties wisely came together with the view of devising a plan which would uot only cure our domestic difficulties, but give gteater "power and force to the British North Aimerican colonies. To bring this about we deterninied that we would endeavor to obtain a Federal union of all the British American Provinces.:. Delegates froit below, and the gentlemen conuposing the Ad ministration of Canada met together. Somie of us might have preferred still to retaini the elective pripciple, but then we had to meet those gentlemen from- below and we had to give and takey. We conld not carry everything our owa way (Hear, hear.). The genthemen from the Lower Provinces were ap posed to the elective principle, and wein strongly the system of appointments by the Crown. . At the same time some among ounselves were ' not "very". much cmamoured with the present system- (hear. heir-mul those who were anxious to retion the electiv. pripeiple were obliged to yith. Thus, hem orable gentlemen, what is now proposed connes before yiba, not as the act of the Government of Canada- (hear, hear)-but as thic mised Whrk of the delegates from all the provinees. in the form. as it. were, of a treaty.. 1 oh not think, after the explanationis I havegitin that I can be accused of a sreat deal of itaensistency; or of that levity; which would make a nian build up something to-day whel he woald be anxions to demelish to-morrow No, honorable gentheman-but circumstances forced the Governumet in 1856 to bring on their measure fir rendering this Houre elect. ire: and the circumstances of the country in 1dit reguired that we should have recourn to some other means to put an ead ti) the dead-liek in wheh the l'ruvince was placed (Hear, hear,") I an sorry that I do not ste the honiorable queteman irom iratiduilh. (Hon, Mr. Letelater) in his place. The Hon. Sir Sabcisof F. Belieat the other ni-ht made sonie remarks as tio the difficulty. of finding candidates for the Le Legislative Council. Now. for ny own part; It should ber exceedingly sorry to say anything shat would wound the feelitigy of any one. And where. could I look-before me, or behind me, or at my side-to tiod any one against whom 1 could bring the least reproach? No-I Re peat it-digain-that those whom the dective pribciple has seat here are gentlemen who would compare well with the member of any legislative body that conld be mentioned. But then there are difficulties inherent to the
working of the principle itself. I would especially mention the difficulty which arises from the constittericies being so large. II know not whether this has been felt in Upper Canada, but I know that it has been felt in Lopver Canada. Hany of you, "honorable rentlemen, have spent laborious days and laborious nights in canvassing these immense. divisions, where sometimes the internal communications are exceedingly difficalt. + You hnuw the wear and tear thus imposed on the buman body, and that some gentlemen atter canvassing these immense divisions, have found their graves in consequence: of the exhaustion brought on by these efforts. (Hear, hear.) But, honorable gentlemen, it is not merely this tear and wear of the haman constitution which you have end to encounter. This country, I reced not say, is not very wealthes "In point" of wealth it does not re. -emble the Mother Country. There are genthemen there with $£ 200,000$ or $\mathrm{E} 300,000$ a year, who think nothing of spending several thoustad poundy, provided that by that expenditure they can pat themselves in a conpicuous pusition before the country. But here yur tortuncs are limited. That is the case in Lower Canada. I hope it is not so in Upper Canada.
Hov. Me. CAMPBELL -It - is worse there. (Laughter.)

- Hov: Sir E. P.TACHE-Your fortanes 10 C"pper Canada may be much greater than ours. ('Uries of"" no, no.")' But I can tell you how it is generally among ourselvesspeaking aut so much for the distriet of Montreal to tor the portion of the country in which I tive myself, the district of Quebec. Where I reside, some forty or tifty miles below Que: bee, the fortunes are not very large, and the farmer who by his industry has been able to accumalate sotme $£ 8,000$ or $\pm 10,000^{\text {i }}$ is very wealthy man:- My honorable friend beside mo (Hon. Mr. CAyPBẹLL) suggests that it is the Ottawa gentlomen who are able to aftord a contest. (Laughter.) If so, I tell honorable gentlemen that we cannot afford it below. It is bui few whose fortunes reach $\pm 6,0100$ or $£ 8,000$ —perhaps half a dozen in a' large parish. It is true that some of our mercuanta in Lower Canada, by their industry and aptituda in trade, have accomulated very handsome-fortunce-but these are the exceptions. Well, a man who, after fifteen or twenty years of hard labor, has accumulated th, 0000 or $£ 8,000$ for his family, or for his old age-knowing how a candidate is bled-(laughter)-is not very willing to go and
spend half: of it in an election, You cannot persuade sưch a man to come forward-but you may engage other parties who have not got much money to lose to do so. These mêr will be ready to promise a great deal, though they may not be able to fulfil their promises, and are thus more likely to be elected than those who have fortunès. My honorable friend from Grandville; I think, misapprehended the honorable Knight on his left (Hon. Sir N. F. Belleaty, when he understood him to speak slightingly of the talent of honorable members of this House." We have no aristocracy, here in the sense of a family aristocracy, but we have an equally influential aristocracy, that of intellect. (Hear, hear.) "And a man of intellect and education, though not a rich man, I consider is in every way worthy of respect, and would be a most desirable addition to this House. - But, suppose we have a man of respectability, of education, and of intellect, and one who is highly esteemed by lis neigh-bors-suppose he has a little fortune besides, he is not "the worse man for that: (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Mn LETELLIER DEST.JUSTHe is so much the better. (Hear, hear.)

Hon Sir E. P. TACHE-But," as I was remarking, what $I$ am afraid of is, that men who are well "qualified for the position, after haviag gone through one or tro elections, in which they hare lost one-half, or two thirds, or the whole of their fortune, are not likely to stand another contest, and we lose the happiness of nieeting them here again. And I fear that the longer the elective system is continued, the greater would be the difficulty in that - respect. Let us take a lesson from history, and from what goes on around us. I recollect that, in 1855, when on board, the Cinnadia, going to Europe, I made the acquaintance of some most respectable Anierican fainilies, and particularly of a most interesting American woman. (Hear, hear, and laughter.)" Honi soil qui mal'y pense. "(Continued laughter.) I met with a very interesting Anerican woman, and, as she was conversing, with me and mentioning some- very preposteruus laws that had been passed in her state, I said-" Madame, have you nöt some. people of good common sense and respectability to oppose such absurd laws?" She replied,."Sir, I am an American woman, and -I am ashamel to say it the respectable people, the people of standing in our state, have no voice in the government of their conntry.". (Hear, hear.) Many of you, honorable gentlemen, are familiar with the
state of things in the Vnited States, which has resulted from carrying the elective principle too far ; "and the fact that that principle, carried too far has worked much mischief, outyht to place us on our guard. Some years ago. in Canada, there was quite a rage for the elective principle, and an agitation was got up with the view of rendering the judiciary clective. Well. a statesman of the Enited States, with whom $L$ an well acquainted; and who now "uceupies a high position in that country, once remarked to nes ""Fou hive quite enough of the demoeratic element in ywir Cisustitution already, and, above all, to unt make your judiciary elective, for that nould be cue it the greatest curses you could inflict on wor country.: (Hear, hear.) The. alectuc principle kept within proper bounds. is sers good indeed. and hitherto, no doubt. his worket ryell in this House. But I doubt. whether, in the course of time, this House would not lose its prescrit high stutus if the elective principle was continued in it for ever. As resards this, however I merely state "my own upinion', and other honorable gentle" nen may holl contrary opinions, as they are qertectly entitled to do. (Hear, hear.) Hharme thus. konorable sentlemeni, explained the retsons whech induced the foverument in 14.50 ith propuse thit the clective principle "inuld ber cixtended the this House, with the icncematat circumpances which assisted in brineng that about-and having also explame the reasens which have induced the Cobernment now to look sire : pother state of priliticatexptence, as we may call is, by Con-: Pederatinn with the Maritime Provinecs, 1 think 1 am elear frum any imputation of incinsistency or levity of purpone. Before sitting down I have a persoual explanation to make. When I speak, hourable gentleqen, I speik with sincerity, but like any other man, I may eonmit a mistake. The monent, however, that $I$ tind I have committed ai misthe; I ain ready to admit it, as any hunest. man should do. (Hear, hear.) I tind that I took a wrong view of the proportions of ap: pointed menthers that were to be allotied to. edeh province, in case the amendment of my honorable friend from the Welington Divis-: ion should pass., I have since been convined that'I was wrony, and that it was, really carrying out the principle of distribution adopt ed in the scheme, to allot to the Lower Provinces other ten members. Lam glad to admit that my honorable fried was right in correcting me. But I still maintain that he was wrong-very wrong-in bartering old men
for young ones, because, no doubt, the old men would soon disappear from their scats, while the young meu from below would long retain their places, and we would thus destroy the equilibrium ypon which the whole fabric of the proposed Constitution is based. I say: the honorable gentleman was wrong in that, and that, if his proposal were adopted, it would certainly be no improvement on the scheme as it has come from the Conference. (Cheers.)

Hov. Mr: SKEAD said-Honorable genilemen, Iolaim the indulgence of the House for a few moments while I state from my point of view, as one of the representatives from Central Canadar the way in which I regard the meagure now under consideration. I" am an' adrocate of the union ot the British Niorth Auerican Procinces. (Hear, heary) When I came here a few weeks ayo," my mind was scarcely made up as to the course I should pursue. . It the sanie time I was defirous of takiog that coarse whieh should be approved by the majority of my constituents. In Novenker last' I received the document which was sent to members of both Houses through. out the province; but ass it was marked "Private", I felt bound by every consideration of propriety not to make it publić. Having at that time been reelected by my conistituents, I had no oceasion to use it in connection with securing my retura to this House." But, speaking of ray rectection a ferw mouths ago, I may renark thas a sumber of miy constituents felt disposed at that time to pledge me to oppose this union of the British North Atmericain colonies. For my own part I felt it would be wrong fir me to do so, not knowing What way the programme that was about to be laid; I refused, theretore, to give a pledge.' Probably I felt more independerit on account of there being no opposition. (Laughter.) But be that as it mayy, I have the same desire to ant in accordance with the deliberate views of my constituents as if I had canvased every vote in the divisiou. I pledged mysell on the hustings on the day of my elcotion, that as soon as the sebeme was published I would give it my earuest attention, and form "a judguent upon it to the best of my ability: After the docunent was formally had on the table of the House, I waited till ít was put in type, and having ordered 'iwg thousand copies of it; I seat them, some fiteen days ago, to my constituents, with a curcular calliug upon them to state auy objections they might have to the sohene $/ i$ they corsidered it objectionable, and to indieate what
course they "wished "me to pursue with reference to it. I am up to this evening without any answers to that circular, with one or two exceptions which I need not take up the time of the House by farther alluding to. I now take it for granted, having given such an ample opportunity to my constituents to express their opinions, that I am at full liberty to pursue that course which I think myself to be for the interests of the country. (Hear, hear.) My feeling in the first instance was that the matter was being pressed too rapidly in this House-that we might have waited for a little-that we should have allowed the other House to yo on and adopt the resolutions first, if they did adopt them, before we were called on to pronounce a final decision apon them. But I have somerthat changed my mind from hearing the able speeches of honorable gentlemea both for and against the amendment now under the cotaideration of the House; and I have cone to the conclusion to give an independent vote, according to the best judgment I can form, since my constituents, after being iarited to express their opinions, up to this hour thave not responded to therequest.' I opeak hereus a representative of Central Canada, and particularly of the Ottaiva country. The people there are engaged mainly in one trade. the lumber trade ; and; with reference to. that trade, the promulgation of this schexie has caused us some feelings of apprehension, not to say gloom: Till within the last few hours, as late as yeaterday, I wats fitl in the dark as to the beariags of the scheme in that rgepect. But I have now had assurances from the Government-and particularly from one or two members of it-that the seheme is not going to indict that injury upon the lambering interest which wo imagined, In fact the clanse relating to that subject has been so explained to me, that 1 an now perfectly satis. fied. (Hear, hear.) My feeling formerly was that our trade was treated in a manner which it did not deserve. Here we have a trade employing many thousands of piopleomploying a large amount of shipping to carry away the produce of our torests, which exceeds the anoount of the exported agricultaral prodace of the country by a value of some two millions of dollars. We naturally felt that such a trade had somes right to be considered. (Hear, hear.) However, accepting the assuranecs of honorable gentlemen; in whom I have the utmost confidence, who compose the present Government, I am now yuite prepared, as one of the representatives of the Ottawa section of country, to leave that
matter in their hands.' "A great deal has been said in this debate on the general question of the Confederation of these provinces, and as to that I shall say but little: As I have already stated, I am an adrocate of union." I would even say that the scheme of the delegates to the Quebee Confererence does not go far enough. I contend that, instead of merely taling in the provinces "to the east of us, the scheme shouild have embraced British Columbia and the whole of the territory to the west., An honorable friend near me says that will come in good time. 'But. I am afraid that some Downing-street or other inflaence may prevent it. (Cries of "no, no!") I should like to see the Pacifio as the western boundary of this young Confederation, in the same way as the Atlantic is its eastern limit, so that we should have one country stretching from ocean to occan. (Hear, hear:) A great deal has been suid" in this debate on the subject of rail ways. Honorable gentlemen have spoken of the cost of building our railways, of the damage the Grand Trunk has done, and of the profits certain gentlemen have made out of it: For the life of me, I cannot see the force of their arguments. True, the Grand Trunk has cost a qreat deal of money, but how should we feel if we had to go back to the state. of things which existed when we had no railroad? What should we do it the Grand Trunk were now taken from us? I believe we could not do without it." It has becoure a necessity. Every man within the rainge of its influence, has had his land enhanced in value-and the debt of $\$ 15,000,1400$ or $\$ 16,000,000$, while of course in itself a yreit deal of money, is nothing when we reflect on the abilty of the prov inces to bear it. If spared to continue here during uy term of eighi years, I shall still advocate the Intorcolonial Railway as a line necessary to connect us with the seaboard. Itwill cost us some little money no doubt, but it will yield us compensating advantages. There are laine forests to the east of us, which have still to yield up their wealth, and no one can tell how miuch may come out of that country, when its resources are developed. The subsidy we are now paying the ocean steamships will go a good way to pay the interest on our share of the cost of the railway. Besides we aro now spending a groat deat of moncy to bring population into these provinces-an object that will be promoted to a large extent by the building of that road. To build it will take some four or five years, and we cannot tell how much that section of the country will be settled in that time. It will no doubt
prove of great advantage to us. We shall then be ready, I hope, to commence the rail road to British Columbia, and the improvement of the Ottawa river to the upper lakes(hear, hear)-and the navvies and others who have been employed on these works will find employment on the road leading to the Pacific, and will ultimately become settlers in the great Red River country." "(Hear, hear.) Such are my sentiments in connection with the subject how betore the House. My experience may not have been as great as that of some honorable inembers, but $I$ have been in the habit of observing what was going on around me, and I have come to the conclasion that the union of these provinces is desirable and necessars. (Hear, hear:) It has heen said that the gentlemen forming the present Ministry hatre held such opposite opinions that no good can be expected to result from their coalition., I have not such a poor opinion of human nature as to feel disposed to question in any way the sincerity and patriotism of those honorable gentlemen. They have seen the necessity of some change being brought about, if the good of the country was to be promoted.'" Whatever may have been the antagonism of their views furmerly, they now occupy the same wigwam, and, it is said, the same blanket covers them-(laughter)-and, so long as the country receives the benefit, I am satisfied to support them, no matter what their politics may have been during the last twenty years. "Hear, hear.) No doubt the country has been suffering-a cure had to be found, and I think we are now on the highway to get it. (Hear, hear.) Honorable gentlemen compoxing the Government "will pernit me to repeat that our lumber trade deserves their earnest and best attention on account ot the employment it gives to so large a number of persons, the way in which it awells the exports of the country, the market it affords for the produce of the agricultural portion of the community, and the manner in which it forwards the settlement of our wild lands. To the Ottawa district it is, of course, of special importance, but it has an interest for the whole province, inasmuch as it makes for: us a back country. A country that is all frontier must always be a little conutry. (Hear; heas.). If a check is in any way put upon the lumber trade, as the consequence of its being placed under the separate control of each local "government, 'it would be a'result much to be regretted. But it is to be hoped that the Government will give this matter
their most earnest consideration, and that they will do what in their opinion is best for the interests of all concerned. It has been said by some in our section that Central Canada is to be made the footstool of Upper Canada; and that it is also to be made the footstool of Lower Canada. For my own part $\downarrow$ am'quite unable to see how we can be made the footstool of both. (Hear, hear.) That was the idea expressed in a letter sent me the other day; beging me to give the scheme all the opposition in my power: It may be true that the western part of the province is a little covetous, and a little ambitions of controlling everything; still, I have that faith in the good feeling of the western people; and in our ability to protect ourselves, that I do not be lieve our lumbering interest is to be destroged all at onee, even though the Local Gorernment of Upper Canada should have its seat in. To ronto. Whoever may compose the Local Gor. ernment, I think they must see the import ance of the lumber trade, and will do what they ean to foster and encourage that which is essential to the good of the whole country. I do not believe they will adopt the policy if killing the goose that lays the golden egy. (Hear, hear.) I see there is a disposition to have the vote taken, and I will not detain the House longer. "(Cries of " "go on."' "I have only this to say in conclusion, that when these scattered provinces are united :together, as is now proposed, and when the bond of that union hass been sealed with the great: Imperial seal of (ireat Britain-with the blessing and favor of an all-ruling Providence- -1 , for one, have no fear of the result. (Cheers.)

How. Ma. SaNBORI said-I desire, be fore the vote is taken, to offer a single explan ation. The Honorable Premier (Hon. Sir E: P. Tacus) attributed to me certain remarhy on thich he based the early portion of hin speech, He said I complained of the arrange ment of the electoral divisions in Lower Cia ada. I made no such complaint: I made noallusion to that. What I had reference to was the appointment of Legislative Council. lors for divisions, and their bavigy property qualifications in those divisions. I am sure the Honorable Premier did not desire to attribute to me anything I did not say.

Hon. Sir E P. TACHE-If my honorable friend says he did not use the aryument. of course my remarks upon it go for nothing.

Hon. Mi. SANBORN-Another point too, I may notice. The Honorable Premier based his argumeut on my having drawni a
distinction between Papists and Protestants: Now. I never used the terms "Papist," or "Catholic," or "Protestant." (Hear, hear.) The distinction I drew, and the remarks I made, were with regard to the: English and the French of Lower Canada: And honorable gentlemen will remember that I distinctly aduitted what the Premier had claimed for his countrymen-namely, their well-known liberality: I have always admitted that, and have never had any disposition to deny it. But my argument was that, in establishing a Constitution, our rights and interests should be protected by distinet provisions in that Con-stitution-that these would form the only 'satisfactory assurance we could get-that we could not rest upon the liberality of any class of men, but must have the assurance of distinct guarantees. That was the line of argument I pursued. "(Hear, hear.) I do not think the Premier should have been so hard upon me for not stipulating that the ten men who should be ctiosen in tho Maritime Prorinees should be old men, so that they might not have the advantage of putting in young men ay an offget to our old oncs. When I see, in the case of the Premier himself, at his advanced years, the youthful fire burn ip so brightly, and that age does not at all detract from the vigor he manifestis, I think he mast excuse ma for not having made an invidious distinction between old men and joung ones. (Hear, hear, and laughter.)

Hon. Mr. WILSON begged to state that hé was opposed to tho amendment, but":was preeladed from recording his vote, in conse quence of having paired off with Hon. Mr. Moore.-

The House then divided on Hoñ. Mr. Savuobr's amenduent, which was negatived by" t? to 18.
Contents-The Honorable Messieurs Aikins, Archambautt, A rnstrong, Bureau, Chaffers, Cormuer, Currie, Flint, Leonard, Leslie, Letellier do St. Just, Malhiot, Olivier, Perry; Proulx, Reesur, Sambura, and Sisppson. -18.

NoxContesits-The Honorable Messieurs Alexaider, Allan, Armand, Sir N. F. Belleau, Bennett, Blake, Fergusson Blair, Boulton, Boss6, Ball, Byrnham, Campbêll, Christie, Crawford, DeBeaujeu, Dickson, A. J. Duchesmay, E. H. J. Dachesnay, Dumouchel, Ferrier, Foster, Gingras, Guevremunt, Hamilton (Interman), Hamilton (Kiagston), Lacoste, McCrea, McDonald, MeMaster, placpherson, Matheson, Mills, Panet, Price, Prudhomme, Read, Russ, Ryan, Shair, Skead, Sir E.P. Tachó, and Vidal.-42.

## LEGISLATI VE ASSEMBLY.

## Thorsday, February 16, 1865.

HoN MB. DORION, in resumitg the adjourned debate on Confederation, said I should have desired to make my remarks to the House in French, but considering the large namber of honorable members who are not familiar with that language, I think it my duty to speak at the present time in English.. In rising on this occasion to ad:dress the House on the important question submitted to ds, I must say I do so with an unusual degree of embarrassment, not only on account of the importance of the subject of our deliberations, but also because I have to differ from many of those with whom I have been in the habit of acting eversince I first entered into political life.: Yet, Mr. SPraker, when I consider the questions raised by the resolutions submitted by the Government, afind that whether they be parely political ones, such as the proposal to restrict the influence and control of the people over the Legislature of the country by substituting a Chamber nominated by the Crown for an Elective Legislative Conncil, or Whether they are purely commercial in their characteri, such as that regarding the Intercolonial Railway, or the larger question of Confederation itself, I still hold the same views that I held, in common with others: who have now changed their opinions, when the subjects wele first mooted. (Hear, hear.) And as I have not heard, since the first opening of this debate, any reasori for sub. stituting a nominated for an elective Upper Chamber that was not fully argued out in" 1856; when, "by an overwhelming majority of this House, it was decided that the elective principle should prevail-as I have not heard any reason why we should pledge our credit and resources to the constraction of the Intercolonial Railway, even previous to any estimate of its cost being made, that was not urged in 1862 when the question: was before the country-nor any reason for intercolonial union that was net raised in 1858, when tho present Hon. Finance Minister pressed the question on the attention of the Imperial anthorities-I do not see on what ground these several" subjects which were then so unpopular, and those views which were then almost universally repudiated, should now be more favorably considered by the people of this country-I fail to perceive why those ouce unpalatable measures, now
coapled with additions to the burdens of the Feople, should have grown into the pablic fivor.' I cannot understand why I or any members of this Honse shoold ehaige our views merely because certain other members. have, when we do not conscientionsly think such change would be for the benefit of the country. I say, sir, that I am quite entitled to maintain the same views now that I have almays entertained: (Hear.) This scheme, sir; is tubinitted to us on two grounds; first, the pecessity for métiong the constitational difficulties which have arisen between Upper aud Lower Canada:oring to the growing demands on the part of Upper Canada for representation by poolation ; and, acocondy, the necessity for providing more efficient means for the defence of the country that Eow exist These are the only two grounds we: hare hoard stated for the propositions now submitted to us; and, sir, I. shall apply myself to explain my views on these two subjecte, and also upon, the scheme generilly. When on the first question, I trust I shall be permitted to go a little ioto the history of the agitation of representation by population, for I' owe it to myself, to my constituents and the country. My uasme has been used in various wass. It has sometimes beeu said that $I$ was entirely fatiorable in represeatation by population-at other tumes that I was entirely favorable to the E'uffederation of the provinces, and I will now endeavor, onco more, to state as clearly as possible what my real views have been and still are. (Ifear) The first time represecutation by pupulation mas mooted in this House, on bebalf of Upper Canada, way, T believe, in the Session of 185", when the Conserrative party took it up, and the Hon. Sir Allas Maćvab mored resolutions in yavor of the principlo.. We then fuund the conservatives arrayed in support of this constitutional ehange It had been minoted before on. behalf of Lower Canada, but the Lipper Canadiaus had all opposed it. I thiuk two rotes were taken in 1852, and on one ot these occasiong the Hon. Attorney Genoral West (Hoo. J. A. Macdonald) voted for it ; it camie up incidentally. In 1854 the Macsab-Horin coalition took place, and we heard no more of representation by populathou frow that quarter-that is, as mooted by the Conservative party, who from that n:oment uniformly opposed it on every occasion. It was, homever, takep up by the preseni Hon. President of the Council, the member for South Oxford, and with the en ergy and
vigor he brings to bear on every question he takes in hand, he caused such an agitation in its behalf as almost threatened a revolu. tion. As the agitation in the conatryin. creased, so did the rote for it in this House increase, and on seeveral oceasions. I expressed my views apon the sabject. I ne erer shirked the question $\rightarrow$ I never hesitated to say that something ought to be done to meet the just claims of Upper Canada, and that representation based on population was in the abstract a just and correct principle. I held, at the same time, there were reagons why Lower Canada"could not grant it; [entreated Lower. Canadian representatives to show themselves disposed to meet the views of Cpper Casada by'making, at any rate, a counter proposi tion ; and in 1856, when Parliament was sitting in Toronto, I, for the first time, sug. gested that one means of getting orer thie. difficalty would be to substitute for the " presont Legislative uniun a Confederation of the two Canadas, by meang of which all looal questions could be consigned to the delibera. tione of local legishatures, with a central government hasing control of coniwercial and other questions of common or géneral interest. 1. stated that, considering the Cifferent religious faith, tho different language, the different laws that prevailed in the troy sections of the country, this "was the best way to meet the diffeculty; to leave to' a genoral government questions of trade, car. rency, banking, public works of a geatral character, \&e., and to compinit to the deecision. of local legislatures all matters of a lucal bearing. At the same time I stated that, if these views should not prevail, 1 woula certainly go for representation by puppulation: and such ehecks and gaarantees as woald. secure the interests of each . section of the country, ad preserve to Lower Canada its cheristhed institutions. (Hear, hear.) This speech, sir, has been twisted in all sorts wf mays. : T have heard it quoted to provo that I was ia favor of representation by popula. tion, pare and simple ; that $I$ was in favor of a Confederation of the provinoes and for sevoral other purposes, just as it suited the occasivn or the parpose of thase who quoted it. (Hear and laughter.) The first cime the nateter was put to a practiral testo was in 1858. On the resignation of the Macdonald-Cartier Administration, the Brown-Dorion Goverament mas furmed, and one of the agreements made between its anombers was that the constitutional question should be tateon ap and setted, either by a

Confederation of the two provinces or by representation according to population, with such rhecks and guarantees as would secure the religious faith, the laws, the language, aud the peculiar institations of each section of the country from encroachments on the part of the other. The subject came up again in the later part of 1850 , when the Tóronto Convention took place. I should, however, first say that, when the BrownDorion Administration "was formed, the Hon. the President of the Council urged very strongly that representation by populadion should be taken uy as the method by which to settle the eqnatitutional question; while, on the coatrary, I saw the dificulty of so taking it up, even कith such checks and guarantecs as were spoken of, and made the ceunter proposition that a Confederation of the two provinces should be formed. Of course as our Jdministration "was so shortlived, the subject was not discussed in all its cariugs; but if we cuuld have come to an derenieut un one or the other mode, that vine would have been subuitted as the solunon for the evils comiplained of-it being li,wever distinctly understood that I would nit attempt to carry any such measure through without obiaining for it a majority frim lower Cauada. : I would nerer have triel to male anijy change in the Coustituion without aveertaining that the people in my vinu section of the province were in favor of uchachatige (Hear.) Tu retorn to the Turuato Convention. I was invited to attend it, but though I was uuable to do so, certain enmunuticatious took place, and a meeting of the liberal uembers of the Lluase from fover Causda was held, aud a ducúment issuid, sigaed by the present Minister of Agriculture (Hon: Mr. McGeE), Hon. Mr. Dessaulíes, Mon: Mr. Dhemand, and myselt. The ducument was given to the public for the purpose of sectiag forth the Cicws which we beld as to the sectlement of the diffculty. Pretended exiracis have hien given trom that ducuwent, as from my seecth, to attempt to prove all sorts of things a's butuy my views, but I can show mist clearly that the propositiva made in it was jurt that which had been made in 1858 , -viz, the Confederation of the tivo provinces, with sume joint authority for both. Both at thitt time, and at the tivie of the formation of the Brown Dorton Aduiaistration, various suggestions were made us to the caryying ope of the plani of conteqderating the
twa Canadas. Some thought that two entirely distinct legislatares should be formed; one local for Lower Canada, another local for Upper Canada, with a general legislature acting for both, Others suggested the idea that the same legislature might fulfil all purposes; that the same body might meet and deliberate on questions of common interest, and that the members for êach section might then separate and disouss all matters of a sectional character. Others, again, said the same result night be obtained by having but one legislature, and insisting that no laws affecting either section of the proviace should be carricd, unless "with the support of a majority from the section dffected by them.- These three plans were suggested-the first to have two entirely distinct legislative bodies, one for general purposes, others for local ones ;'the second, to hive one legislature, of which the parts should have the right to act separately for local objects, after general business had been disposed of ; the third, to have but one body, but to resolve that no legislative act of a local nature should pass without the consent of a majority of the representatices from that locality. (Hear, hear,) The document to which I have just referred, issued in Octobar, 1859, contained this language on the subject :-

Your Committee are impressed with the conviction that whether we cousider' the present needs or the probable futare condition of the country, the true, the statesman-like solution is to be sought in the substitution of $a^{\text {a }}$ purely fedecative for the present legislative union; the former, it is believed, would ensble ns to escape all the evils, and to retain all tre advan. tages, appertaining to the existing unicn.

The proposition to federalize the Canadian anion is' not new. On the contrary, it has been frequently mooted in Partiament and the pross daring the last few years.: It was no doubt suit. gested by the example of the neighbouring States. where the admirable adaptation of the federal system to the goverament of an extersive territory, iuhabited by people of divers oritying, creeds, laws and customs, has been amply demoustrated; but shape and consistency were first imparted to it in 1856, when it was formally submitted to Parliament by the Lower Canada $U_{\text {pposition, }}$ as offering in their judgment, the true corrective of the abuses generated under the. present system.
The dogument further went on to say:-
The powers delegated to the General or Fed. oral Government ought to be those only which
are essential for the ends of the Confederation and consequently: we ought to reserve for the subdivisions as ample powers as possible. Customs, finance, laws regulating the currency, patent rights, Crown lands and those public works which are of common interest for all parts of the province, ough to be the principal, if not the only subject submitted to the control of the Federal Government; while all that belongs to matters of a purely local character, such às education, the administration of justice, the militia, the laws relating; to property, police; de., ought to be referred to the local government:, whose powers ought generally to extend to alt subjects which would not be given to the rieneral Government. EThe system thus proposed would in no way diminish the importance of the colony norimpair its credit-
HoN. Atty Gea. MACDONALD-From what document is my hon. friend reading?
Hon. Mr: DORION-I am translating from the document published by the Lower Canada liberals in 1859. It continues :-

The proposed system would in no way diminish the importance of the coloni, or impair the credit, while it presents the adrantage of being susceptible, without any disturbance of the fed. eral economy, of such territorial exiention as circumstances may hereaiter render desirable.
Well, Sir, I have not a word of all this to take back. I still hold to the same views, the same opinions. I still think that a Federal union of Caiada might hereafter estend so as to embrace other territories either west or east ; that such a system is well adapted to admit of territorial expano sion without any disturbape of the federal économy, but $I$ caninat understand how this plain sentence should be considered by the Hon. President of the Council, or by other hon. , members who hare spoken in the other House, as any indication that I have ever been in favor of Confederation with the other British Provinces. There is nothing. I have ever said or written that can be construed to mean that I was evor in favor of such a proposition.. On the contrary, whenever the question came up I set uy face against it. 1 asserted that such a confederation could only bring tronble and embarrassment, that there was no social, no commercial connection between the provinces proposed to be united-nothing to justify their union at the present juncture. Of course I do not say that I shall be opposed to their Confederation for all time to come. Population may extend over the wilderness that now lies between the Maritime Provinces andourselves, and cômmer-
cial intercourse may increase sufficiently to render Confederation desirable: My speeches have been paraded of late in all the ministerial papers-misconstrued; mistranslated, falsified in every way-for the purpose of making the pablic believe that in former times I held different views from those I nowdo. A French paper has said that I called with all my heart for the Confederation of the provinces - (que j"appelais de"tous mes roux la confeleration des provinces) : But $I$ say, here, as I said in 1856 , and as I said in 1861 also, that I am opposed to this Confederation inow. In the Mirrorof Parliament which contains a report, though'a very bad one, of my speech in 1861; I find that I said on that occasion:-
The time may come when it will be necessary to have a. Confederation of all ${ }^{6}$ the provinces; - - bat the present time is not for such a scheme.
This is the speech : which bas been held to signify that I was ansious for Confederation, that I should like nothing better. Why? I distinctly said that though the time might come wheu it would become necessary; it was not desirable under existiog circumstances." (Hear, hear.) In 1862 I was not in Parliament; the Cartier.Mac. Dorald Administration mas dismissed, and my hon. friend, the member for Cornwall (Hon. John S. Macdonald), was ealled upon to form a nevr one. He applied to Mr . Sidotte to form the Lower Canada section while he himself undertook the formation of the Upper Canada portion. The question of representation by population then necessarily came up for settement-this time at the hands of the Liberal party who had voted for it year after year-and when I came down to Quebec, summoned by telegraph, I found the arrangements :made, the policy of the new government was settled, representation by population was excluded. (Hear, hear.) The Liberal party from. Upper Canada, sir, to my surprise, had decided that it was not to be takea up-that they were going into office just as the Conservative party had done before on a similar occasion in 1854; they decided that they would sustain an Adminis tration which made it a closed question, and whose members all pledged thengelves to vote against it: (Hear, hear.)

## Mr. RANKIN-No, no.

Hon. Mr. DORION-If not, 1 was misinformed. I certainly nuderstood that the Administration was formed on the under.
standing that every member of it should vote against the question of representation by population whenever it came up, and that the Upper Canada party would support the Aduinistration so formed. At any rate the Upper Canada Liberal party supported, for cleven months, a government pledged to exclude representation by population from the category of open questions, and agrèed to lay that question aside.

Mr. McK ENZIE (Lambton)-No; no.
IIon. Mr. DORION-I hear an honorable genteman say it was not so, that he did not agree to lay aside representation by populatiou then, but if he did not then has ho not done so since? He declared at a public meeting the other day that representation by population was no cure for the evils afflicting Upper Canada. The members from Epper Canada who had joined the Macmosald.Stcorie Government had certainly abandoued representation by population, by entering into an Administration which bound every one of them to vote against it.' The Hon. Provincial Secretary had stated pablicly in Ottawa, in January, 186t, that it had been abandoned by the Liberal party at the Toronto Convention in 1859; and although he had at the time been soundly abused for this by the Glabe and. by those of his paity "w ho look to the Glaie as their political gospet, he had now the satisfact:on of seeing the hoo. member for lambton, and some uthers who formerly held very, strong viewson this question, acknowledge, as they bad done at a publie meetiog held at Toronto about three weeks ago, that they also considered representation by population as ap: phed to Canada no remedy for the Upper Province, and that it was not a measure the hberals ought to insist apon, and that it had been abandoned. ( Ilear, hear and laughter.) Yes, the question was in effect abandoned when in November, 1859, six hundred ilesegates from all parts of Upper Canada attended the Keform Convention at Toronto, and agreed to advocate a Confederation of the two Canadas, by giving to cach province alocal legislature, with some joint anthority, to carry on the general business common to both: The hon. member on my left was present on the occasion-

## Hon. Ma. HOLTON-Yes I was.

Hon. Mr. DORION-And the hon. menber has told me that he never saiv a more respectable, a more educated, or uinore intelligent assemblage brought together in such
numbers to discuss public questions. But that scheme did not attract much attention out of the Convention. It took no hold on the popular mind. Shortly before that, in 1858, the present Hon. Finance Minister, who then sat on the cross-benches, made a speech of two or three hours' duration, in which, with all that force and ability for which he is distinguished, he expounded and advocated the Confederation of the whole of the British North American Provinces. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{He}$ was then assisted in its advocacy by the present Hon. Minister of Agriculture ; and, subsequently, on becouing a member of the Cartier-Macdonald Administration, he went to England and drew the attention of the Imperial authorities to the soheme of Confederation of all those provinces. "The Hon. Finance Minister received an answer not very encouraging ; and that which he received from this country was still less en: couraging. There was not even an answer to his speech, able though it certainly was:-

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-He never ventured to propose any resolutiou to Parliament:

IIon. Ma. DORION-Though the Administration was formed with the understanding of effecting the Coufederation of all the provinces, and it was the main plank of their platform, they never dared to subuit the question to Parliament at all.," (Hear.) Subsequently, in 1861 , the hon nember for South Oxford brought forward a motion based on the resolution at the Toronto Convention. I spoke and voted for it. It was in perfect accord with a notice I had given in 1856, and which was ruad here by the Hon. President of the Council a ferr nights ago, and-with "my often-repeated declarations that I was willing to adopt some measure calcu-: lated to remove existing difficulties, without doing injastice to either section ; but while I was willing to do justice to Upper Canada, I alwags declared that I would not do so "by sacrificing the interests of Lower Canada, or placing her in the position of having to beg for justice at the hands of the sister province: (Hear, hear.) I always stated that the difference existing in the religious faith of the people of the two sections, "in their languages in their laws, in their prejudices: oven-for there are prejudices which were respectable and ought to be respected - would prevent any member from Lower Canada, representing a French constituency, from voting for representation by population, pure and simple, and thereby placing the people of

Lower Canada in the position of having to trust for the protection of their rights to the people of Upper Canada; who would thereby have the majority in the Legislature. - (Hear.) There is at this moment a movement on the part of the British Protestants in Lower Canada to have some protection and guarantee for their educational establishments in this province put into the scheme of Confederation, should it be adopted; and far from finding fault with them, I respect them the more for their energy in seeking protection for thèir separate interests. I know that majorities are naturally aggressive and how the possession of power engenders dispotism, and I can understand how majority, animated this moment by the best feelings, might in sis or nine months be willing to abuse its power and trample on the rights of the minority, while acting in good faithe and on what it considered to be its right. We know also the ill feelings that might be engendered by such a course. I think it but just that the Protestant minority should be protected in its" rights" in everything that was dear to it as a distinct nationality, and should not lie at the discretion of the majority in this respect, and for this reason I am ready to extend to ny : Protestant fellow citizens in Lower Capada of British origin, the fillest justice in all things, and I wish to see their interests as a minority guaranteed and protected in every scheme whict may be adopted. With these views on the question of representation, I pronounced in $f$ vor of a Confederation of the two Provinces of Upper and Lower Canada, as the best means of protecting the varied interests of the two sections. . But the Confederation I advocated was a ; real confederation, giving the largest powers to the local governments, and merely a delegated authority to the General Govern-ment-in that fispect differing in loto from the one now proposed which gives all the powers to:" the Central Government, and reserves. for the local governments the smallest possible amount of freedom of action. There is nothing besides in what I - have ever writen or said that can be interpreted as favoring a Contederation of all the provinces. This I always opposed. There is no breach of confidence in my saying that in the conversations. I had with the Hon. President of the Council, pravious to his accepting office, since he has refegred to
them himself in áspeech which he made when reëlected at South Oxford, I positively declined to support any proposition for the Confederation of all the provinces. Jery true, sir, I did not refuse to vote for it in committee. I did not vote at all-I was not present when the vote was taken, but I did not conceal my opposition to it. In that speech the Hon. President of the Council also said:-

Before the negotiations: were gone throngh with, 1 warned the Hon. Messrs. Hotron and Do. RJos to take action, but they refused me: (Hear, hear.) Iffelt all the pain of a refusal, but they left me no resource. When the question was asked me by the Government 1 said I wanted six members-four from Upper and two from Lower Canada, When asked how many supporters I could bring from Lower Canada, I replied that sinte Hon. Mr. Dorior did not act, I could bring no supporters.
So, sir, I have the best evidence possible to repudiate the accusation that $I$ was in favor of Confederation of all the provinces in the fact that, before thexe was any question at all as to who should go into the Government, I stated-and that in the hearing of sêveral honorable members now presentthat I would have nothing to do with it because I did not conceive it would be for the interest of the country to have such a Confederation, at all events at the preseat time. (Hear.) Now, sir, I think I have shewn that I neither favored representation by population pure and simple, nor a Confederation of the provinces; and when honorable gentlemen state that the necessity of settling the question of representation is the origin of this Federation solheme, they habor under a grare misapprehension. There is nothing further from the fact. (Hear; hear.) The representation question was almost altogether abandoned-was played out ; there was no agitation about it, sod certainly less than there had been for the last ten years. The honorablé member for South Oxtord; after adopting the views of tha Toronto Convention, still persisted in advocating representa: tion by population, but so changed was the Eeeling that he could hardly get a debate on the anotion he made last session for a committee to consider the constitutional diffioulties. There was then another cause for this Confederation scheme of which representation by pópulation was made the pretext. It is not so well kiown, bat far more powerful. In the year 1861, Mr. Watkin was sont from England by the Grand Trunk

Railmay Company. He came with the distinct view of making large claim on the country for aid, but if the then temper of the people, he soon found that be could not expect to obtain that. Thinking that if he only could put some new scheme afloat which would give'a decent pretext to a well disposed Government, he would quietly get the assistance required, he immediately started for the Lower. Provinces, and came back after inducing people there to resuscitate the question of the Intercolonial Railway. Parties were readily found to advocate it, if. Canada would only pay the piper (Hear, and " laugh:) A meeting of delegates took place, resolutions were adopted, and an application was made to the Imperial Government for a large contribution to its costs, in the shape of an indemnity for carrying the troops over: the road.': Mr. Watkin and Hon. Mr. Vankovorinet, who was then a nember of the Government, went to England about this scheme, but the Imperial authorities were unwilling to grant the required assistance, and rejected their propositions, Mr. Watkin, although baffled in his expectations, did not give àp his project. He retarned. again to Canada, and by dint of perseverance, indaced my honorable friend on my right (Hon. J. S. Macdonald) and other honorable members of his Cabinet to enter into his views. As to the adgyantages of the Intercolonial Railway, I have not the slightest idea that my hon. friend had any suspicion whatsocver of the motives which animated these Grand Trunk officials;, and thatit their object was to have another haul at the public parse for the :Grand Trank-(laughter)but this was the origin of the revival of the scheme for constructing the Intercolonial Railway.

Hon. J.S. MACDUNALD-We fond - the project then left to us as a legacy by the Cartier-Macdonald Administratión.

HoN. Mr. DORION-So it was. The Macdonald-Sicotte Government found the matter so far advaniced that an arrangement had been made for a meeting of delegates of the several provinces to consider again this railway scheme, the other project having failed. At this meeting of delegates; which took place in September; 1862, a new scheme for building the Intercolonial was adopted, by which Cauads was to pay five.twelfths and the Lower Provinces seven-twelfhs. So unpopular was this arrangement that when ita terms were made known, if a vote of the
people had been taken apon it, not ten out of every hundred, from Sandwich to Gaspé, would have declared in its favor, although Canada" was ouly to pay five-twelfths of its cost. (Hear; hear:) This project having failed; some other scheme had to be concocted for bringing aid and relief to the unfortunate Grand Trunk-and the Confederation of all the British North American Provinces naturally guggested itself to the Grand Trunt officials as the surest reans of bringing with it the construction of the Intercolonial Railway (Hear, hear, åd laughter.) Such was the origin of this Confederation scheme. The Grand Trunk people are at the botom of its and I find that at the last meeting of the Grand Trunk Railway Company, Mr. Watein did in advance congratulate the shareholders and boidholders on the bright prospects opening before them; by the enhanced value which will be given to their shares and bonds, by the adoption of the Confederation scheme and the construction of the Intercolonial as part of the soheme. (Hear, hear.) I repeat, sir, that representation by population had very little to do with bringing about this measure: The Tache-Macdonatd Government were defeated because the House condemned them for taking without authority $\$ 100,000$ out of the public chest for the Graud Trunk Railway, at a time when there had not been a party vöte on representation by population for one or two sessions. Those who had been the loudest in their adrocacy of it, had let it"arop. I was tracked through Lower Canada as being willing to sell Lower Cániada, grant representation by population, and destroy Lower Canadian institutions. I thank God, sir; I never insolted Upper Canada, like some of those who reviled me. I never compared the people of Upper Canada to so many codfish. "I showed on the contrary that I was always willing to mect the just claims of Upper Canada: (Hear, hear.) Well, without any demand whatever for the agitation of this question, the moment the Government was defeated and there was a necessity for resign'ing or going before the people, these gentlemen opposite prepared to embrace their greatest opponents and said to themselves, "We will make everything smooth, wo will forget past difficulties, provided we can but zeep our meats."

Hon. ATTY. Gen. MACDONALD-(Lf-onically)-Hear, hear.

Hon. Mr. DORION-I hear a voice, sir, which is well known in this House, the voice of the Attorney General West, saying "hear, hear." But what was the course of that hon. gentleman last year, when the hon. member for South Oxford had a committee appointed to whom wias referred the despatch written by his three colleaines, the Minister of Fi namee, the Attorncy General East and the Hon Mr. Roses, who is now nolonger a minister. He voted against the appointment of the committee, and, after it was named, as a member of it, he voted against the prineiple of Confederation: "(llear, hear:)

Hon Itrye Gen. MacdonaldHear, hear.

HoN. Mr. DORION-The last vote taken in that committee was about the middle of June, the very day of the crisis, and the bon. genticman voted against the principle of Coufederation of whe provinces, in accordance with the opinious he again and again expressed in this House, as being opposed to all Confederation whatever. (Hear.) When I state that these gentlemen. only fuund out that Confederation was a panacéa fur all crils, a remedy for all ills, when their seats as ministers were in danger, I come tö this conclusion quite legitimately, from facts which are well known to this: House." (Hear, hear.) But, sir,"it would probably be of very little "moment whettier I' was furmerly in favor of Confederation or against it, or whether the Hon. Attorney General West was in Tayor of Confederation or opposed to at, it the scheme proposed to us were an equitable one, or one calculated. to theet the wishes of the people of this country; but, as I said a minute ago, the scheme was not called fur by any considerable propurtion of the popalation. It is not latd before the llouse as one which was demanded by any number of the people; it. is not brought down iur response to any call from the people; "it is a device of men who are in difficulties, for the purpose of getting out of thew. (Hear, hear.)'The members of the Tache-Macibnalid Governiment' could nut appeal to the country after their defeat upon the question, whether they were justified in taking $\$ 100,000$ out of the public chest, in addition to the millions they had previously taken, without the consent of Parliament; so, having either to give up their seats or evade that particular issue, they abandoned all their previous opinions, and joined the hon. member for South Oxford in carrying out this Confederation scheme.
(Hear:) I come now to another point, viz., is the schene presented to us the same one that was promised to us by the Administration when it was formed? This, sir; might be but of slight: importance if the. manner in which this proposed Constitution was framed had not a most unfortunate bearing on The scheme itself; but it is a grave matter, since the seheme is so objectionable, especially as we are gravely told that it cannot be amended in the least, but that it is brought down as a compact made between the Government of this country and delegates from the governments of Nova Scotia, New: Brunswick, Newfoundland, and Prince Edward Island-as a treaty whicfi cannot be altered or amended in any particular (Hear.) The plain meaning of this is, sir, that the Lower Povinces have made out a Constitution for us and we are to adopt. it. This fact will appear the mone.clearly when it is cousidered, as was pointed out much to my surprise, by the hon. member for Hastings (Mr. T.C. Wallbridge), that in the Conference, the wote was taken by provinces; putting Upper and Lower Canada, with nearly $2,500,000$ people; on no didher level than Prince Edward Island, with its 80,000 -on the same level with. New Brunswick, with its 250,000 -on the same level as Nova Scotia; with its 330;000.

Hon Atty Gen MACDONALD-Tliat is entirely a mistake.

Mos. MandORION-It was admíted by the Honorable the President of the Counci! the other evening.

Hon. Attr. (inn MACDONALD-No, no!

IIONMR DORION-It was the champion of representation by population who made the statement. He it was that weat to Prince Edsuard Island and asked it to frame a Con stitution for this country: (Hear and laughter.) In order to show, Mr, Speaiker, that I am not mistaken in what I state, that this seleme is not the one which it was announced in the formation of this Adiministration was to be brought down-in order to prove, indeed, that it was then detormined not to bring down such a measure,-I will cite a declaration' made by memibers of the Government as to the negotiations which took place at its formation. I will read froun the Quebec Morning Chrunicle of Jane 23rd :-
The Hos. Atty. Gen. Macoowate, in explain. ing the negotiationi, read the fullowing memer. andum:

## Memorandum-Confidential.

The Government are prepared to state that immediately after the prorogation, they will address themselves, in the most earnest manner to the ne gotiation for a Confederation of all the British North American Provinces.
That failing a successfoil issue to'such neegotiations, they are prepared to pledge themselves to legislation during the next Session of Parliament for the parpose of remedying the existing dificalties $\mathrm{br}^{\prime \prime}$ intiopdacing the Federal principle for Canadu alone, coupled with such provisions.as will permit the Maritime Provinces and the NorthWestern Territöry to be bereater incorporated into the Canadian system.
That for the parpose of carrying on the negotiations and setting the details of th promised legislation, a Rojal Commission shall be issued, composed of three members of the Government and three members of the Opposition, of whom Mr. Brown shall bo one, sand the Government pledge themselvés to give all the infuanice of the Administration to secure to the said Commission the meais of adrancing the great object in riew.

This was the first memorandim commanicated to the meniber for South Oxford, but that hon. member did not accept of it. This memorandum proposed the scheme which is now brought to the House, and I repeat; that scheme was not accepted by the honorable member for South Oxford, but an understanding was come to, which is to be found in the next memorandum, which was commupicated to the House in these termis:-

The Gorernment are prepared to pledge themselves to bring in a measuro next session for the purpose of removing existing difficulties, by intruducing the Federal principle into Canada, coupled with such provisions as will permit thio Maritime Provinces and the North-West Territory to be incorporated into the same aystein of - goveriment.

And the Government will seek, by sonding representatives to the Lower Provinces and to . Eugland, to secure the asisent of ihose interests. which are begond the control of our own legisla:tion to such a measure as will enable all British Nurth America to bo united under a General Legislature based apon the Federal syatom.
There is a vast difference, Mr. Speakira, between these two propositions. The first was that the Government would pledge themselves to seek a Confederation of the British American Provinces, and if they failed in that to Federate the two Canadas, and this was rejected; the second, which was accepted by the President of the Council, pledged the Government to bring in a measurs for the Confederation of the two Canadas,, with provision for
the admission of the other provinces when they thought proper to enter.

Hon. Atty. Gen. MACDONALD-When they were ready.

Hon. Atty Gen CARTIER-Everything is accomplished.

Hon. Mr. DORION-But, sir, I may be asked, granting all this, granting that the scheme brought down is not the scheme promised to us, what difference our bringing in the provinces ath once can make? This I will endeavor to explain.: When they went into the Conference, honorable gentlemen opposite submitted to have the votes taken by provinces. Well, they have now brought us in, as was natural under the circumstances, the most conservative measure ever laid before a Parliament." The members of the Upper House are no longer to be elected, but nominated, and nominated by whom? By a.Tory or Conservative Government for Canada, by a Conservative Government in Nova Scotia, by a Conservative Government in Prince Ed ward Island, by a Conservative Government in Newfoundland, the only Liberal Government concerned in the nomination being that which is controlled by the Liberal party in New Brunswick, whose fate depends on the result of the elections that are now going on in that province. Such a scheme would never have: been adopted if submitted to the liberal people of Upper Canada. When the Goveriment went into that Conference they were bound by the majority, especially since they voted by provinces, and the $1,400,000$ of Up per Canada with the $1,100,000$ of Lower Canada-together $2,500,000$ people-were over-ridden by 900,000 people of the Maritime Provinces. Were we not expressly told that it was the Lower Provifices who would not hear of our having an elective Legislative Council? If, ingtead of going into Conference with the people of the Lower Provinces, our Government had done what they pledged "themselves to do, that is, to prepare a Constitution themselves, they would never have dared to bring in such a proposition as this which is now imposed upon us by the Lower Colonies - to have a Legislative Council, with a fixed number of members, nominated by four Tory governments. Why, tuking the average time each councillor will be in the Council to be fifieen to twenty years, it will take a century before its complexion can be changed. For all time to come, so far as this generation and the next are concerned, you will find the Legislative Council controlled by the infliuence of the present Government. And is it
to be believed that, as promised in the document we are considering, such a Government as wo have " will take care of the Opposition, or consider their right to be represented in the Council ?" (Hear;, and laughter.) Sir, I thank the delegates for their kind solicitude for the Opposition; but I do not believe they will do anything of the kind. Have we not heard the Honorable Attorney General West, a few nights ago, state, turning to his followers, "If. I were to advise the nomination, I should advise the selection of the best men I could find -and of course of my own party?" (Hear.) So it will be, sir ; and, if this precious scheme is carried, we shall have a Legislative Conncil divided in the following pro-portion:-For Upper Canada, we should pro-: bably have liberals in the proportion of three to: nine; for I suppose the honorable member for South Oxford has made sacrifices enough to deserve at least that consideration, and, as his friends compose onefourth of the Executive Council, I dare say we should get one-: fourth of the Upper Canada Legislative Councillors liberal too.
Hon Atty Gev. MACDONALD-Hear, hear

Hon Mr. HOLTON-Just $\overbrace{}^{\circ}$ per cent.
Hón. Mr: DORION-Just 25 percent. of hberals for Upper Canada. Then, in addi'tion, we should get from Nova Scotia ten conservatives, "from Prince Edward Island four more, and four from Newfoundland. Thus we shall have eighteen conservatives from the Liower Provinces; which, added to thirty-six from Canada, would make fifty-foar conservatives against twenty-two liberals, taking the ten New Brunswick councillors to be all liberals. Now, supposing three per eznt. as the average number of death per annum-the average proportion of changeit would take nearly thirty, years to bring about a change in the character of a majority of the Council, even supposing all the additions made to it to be from the liberal ranks. But, sir, that will hardly be the case.: In some of the Lower Provinces there will be Conservative goveraments now and then, and there may occasionally be conservative governments in Canada. (Hear, and laughter.) So this generation will certainly pass away before the viers of the Liberal party will ever find expressicn in the decisions of the Upper House.

Me. MACKENZIE (Lambton)-That uakes no differenee, as between the two measures.
Hon, Ma. DOBION - The honorable member for Lambton says that malkes no dif-
ference: It makes just the difference that we are to be bound by the scheme or by a Constitution enabling the Council to stop all measures of reform, such as would be desired by the Liberal party; if the honorable member for Lambton thinks that makes no difference, I beg to differ from him, and I believe the Liberal party generally - will. The Government say they had to introduce certain provisions, not to please themselves, but to please the provinces "below, and they have pledged themselves to those provinces that this House will carry out the scheme withoutl amendment. Does not the honorable member see the difference now? If the twô Canadas were alone interested, the majority would have its own way-would look into the Constitution closely-would scan its every doubtful provision, and such a proposal as this about the Legislative Conncil wonld have no chance of being carried, for it is not very long since the House, by an "overwhelming majority, voted for the substitution of an elected for a nominated Upper Chamber. In fact, the nominated Chamber had fallen so low in public costimotion-I do not say it was from the fault of the men who were there; but the fact is, nevertheless, as-I state itthat it commanded no inflence. There was even a difficalty in getting a quorum of it together. "So' a change became absolutely necessary, and up to the present moment the new system has worked well ; the elected members are equal in every respect to the nominated ones, and it is just when we see an interest beginning to be felt in the proceedings of the Upper House that its Constitution is to bechanged, to returu back again to the one so recently condemned. Baek again, did I say? No, sir, a Constitution is to be substitutcd, much worse than the old one, and such as is nowhere else to be found. Why, even. the British House of Lords; conservative as it is, is altogether beyond the influence of the popalar sentiment of the country. Their number may be increased on the recommendation of the responsible advisers of the Crown, if required to secure united action or to prevent a conflict between the two Houses. From the position its members ocoupy, it is a sort of compromise between the popular element and the influepce or control of the Crown, But the new Honse for the Confederation is to be a perfectly independent body -these gentlemen are to be named for lifeand there is to be no power to increase their number. How long will the system work without producing a collision between the
trio branches of the Legislatare? Suppose the Lower House turns ont to be chiefly Liberal, how long will it submit to the Upper House, named by Conservative administrations which have taken advantage of their temporary numerical strength to bring about such a change as is now proposed? Remember, sir, that, after all, the power, the influence of the popular branch of the Legislature is paramountit." We have seen constitutions like that of England adopted in many countries, and where thereexisted a nobility, such as in France in 1830, the second chamber was selected from this nobility. In Belgium, where the Constitution is almost a fac-simile of that of Eng: land, bat where there are no aristocracy, they adopted the elective principle for the Upper House, and no where in the world is there a fixed number for it; unless it is also elective. It must be fresh in the memory of a great many members of this House how long the House of Lords resisted the popular demand for reform, and great difficulties were threatened. At last in 1832 the agitation' had become so great that the Government defermined to nominate a sufficient number of pers to secure the passage of the Reform Bill. ' The members of the Hóuse had to choose between allowing the measure to become law, or sce their influence destroyed by the addition of an indefinite number of members. They preferred the firsi alternative, and thereby quieted an excitement, which if not checked in tine, might have created a revolution in England. The influence of the Crowf was then exerted in accordance with the peris of the people; but here we are to liave no surch power existing to check the ac: tion of our Upper Cliamber, and no change can be made in its composition except as death might slowly remiove its members. I weuture to prophesy, sir, that before a very short time has elapsed a dead-lock may arise, and such an excitement be created as has never get been seen in this country. (Hear, hear.) Now, if this Constitation had been framed by the members of our Government, we could change some of its provisions-this provision would most certainly be alteredthere is not a man in the Liberal ranks who dare vote for such a proposition as this, that could go before his constituente and say, "I have taken away the influence and control of the people over the Upper Chamber, and I have created an entirely independent body, to' be chosen by the present governments of the several provinces." But no, the Constitution is in the nature of a compact; a treaty and
cannot be changed. (Hear.) But, sir, the composition of the Legislative Counoil becomes of more importance when we consider that the governors of the local legislatures are to be appointed by the General Government, as well as the Legislative Council; their appointment is to be for five years, and they are not to be removed. without cause. $: 1$ will venture upon another prediction and say we shall find there will be no such thing as. responsible government attached to the local legislàtures.

Mr. DUNKIN-There cannot be.
HON. Ma DORION-There will be two, three, or four ministers chosen by the lieute-nant-governors and who will conduct the administration of the country, as was formerly done in the times of Sir Francos Bond Head, Sir John Colborñ, or Sir James. Craía. You will have governments, the chief executives of which will be appointed and hold office at the will of the Governor. If that is not to be the case, why do note hoporable gentlemen lay their scheme before us? (Hear.). Is this House, sir, going to vote a Constitution with the Upper House as proposed, without knowing what sort of local legislatures we are to have to govern us? Suppose, after we hiave adopted the main scheme, the Government come down with à plan for settling the local legislatures upon which great differences of opinion will arise, may it not happen then that the majority from Lower Canada will unite with a minority from Upper Canàda and impose upon that section a local Constitation distasteful to a large majority of the people of Upper Canada. The whole soheme, sir, is absurd from beginning to end. It is but natural that gentlemen with the views of honorable gentlemen oppcsite want to keep as much power as posible in the hands of the Government-that is the doctrine of the Conservative party everywhere. -that is the line which distinguishes the tories from the whigs the tories always side with the Crown, and the liberals always want to give more power and influence to the people.. The instincts of honorable gentlemen opposite, whether you take the Hon.. Attorney. General East or the Hons Attorney General West, lead them to this-they think the hands of the Crown sliould be strengthened and the influence of the people, if possible, diminish-ed-and this Constitution, is a specimen of their handiwork; with a Governor-General appointed by the Crown; with local governors also, appointed by the Crown; with legislative councils, in the General Legislature, and in all the provinces, nominated by the

Crown ; we shall have the nost illiberal Constitution efer heard of in any country where constitutional government prevails. (Hear.) The Speaker of the Legislative Council is also to be appointed by the Crown, this is another step backwards, and a little piece of patronage for the Government. We have heard in a speech lately delivered in Prince Edward Island or New Brunswick, I forget which, of the allurements offered to the delegates while here in the shape of prospective appointments as judges of the Court of Appeal, Speaker of the Legislative Council, and local governors-(hear, hear) as one of the reasons assigned for the great unanimity which prevailed in the Conference.

HoN. Mr: HOLTON-They will divide all these nice things amongst them. (Laughter.)

Hon. Mr DORION-I do not accuse houorable gentlemen of holding out these inducements, I only mention the fact from a speech I have read on the subject.

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-It was a speech of one of the delegates. (Hear; hear.)

Hon. Mr. DORION-I now come to another point. "It is said that this Confederation is necessary for the purpose of providing a better mode of defence for this country. There may be people who think that:by addfog two and two together you niake five. I am not of that opinion. I cannot see how by. adding the 300,000 or 800,000 people; the inhabitants of the Lower Provinces, to the $2: 500,000$ inhabitants of Canada, you can multiply them so as to make a much larger furce to defend the country than you have at present. of course the connection with the British Empire is the link of communication by which the whole force of the Empire can be brought together for defence. (Hear, hear:) But the position of this country under the proposed scheme is very evident: You add to the frontier four or five hundred more miles than you now have, and an extent of country immeasurably greater in proportion than the additional population you have gained; and if there is an advantage at all for the defence of the country, it will be on the part of the Lower Provinces and not for us. And as' we find that we are about to enter into a very large expenditure for this parpose of defence-this having been formally announced in a speech delivered by the President of the Council at To-ronto-and as Canada is to contribute to that expenditure to the extent of ten-twelfths of the whole, the other provinces paying only
tho-twelfths, it follows that Canada will pay ten-twelfthis also of the cost of defence, which, to defend the largely extended country" we will have to defend, will be much larger than if we remained alone. I find in the speech delivered by the President of the Council on that occasion, this statement :-
I cannot conclude without referring to some other things which have received the grave attention of the Conference. And the first point to which I degire to call attention is the fact that the delegates have unanimously resolved that the united provinces shall be placed at the earliest moment in á thorough state of defence. The attacks which have been made upon is have created the impression that these provinces are in a weak and feeble state;- if then, we would do away with this false impression and place órselves on"a firm and secure footing in the eyes of the world, our conrse mast be to pat our conntry in such a position of defence that we may fearlessly look oar enemies in the face: It is a pleasure to me to state, ańd I am sure it must be a pleasure to all present to be inforined, that the Conference at Quebec did not separate before entering into a pledge to put the military and naval defences of the united provinces in' a most complete and satisfactory condition.

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-Where is that resolution? (Hear, hear.)

Hon:Ma:DORION-It appears then that our course is to put "the military and naval defences" into "a most complete and satisfactory condition." Now I find that, according to these resolutions, the Gencral Government is to have control of "the military and naval defences," but, of course, the cost of them is not stated.- This I contend, thien, that if the military and naval defences of all the provinces are to be provided for by the General Government, and if you hate to in: crease the militia for this purpose, the Lower Provinces will pay only their proportion of two-twelfths, and Canada, while obtaining no greater defensive force than at present, will have to pay five times as much as we are now paying. (Hear, hear.) Why, sir, take the line dividing New Brunswick from Maine and you find it separates on the one side $\mathbf{5} 50,000$, thinly seattered over a vast territory, from 750,000 on the other, compact and powerful. These 250,000 Canada will have to defend, and it will have to pledge its resources for the puipose of providing means of defence along that extended line. (Hear, hear.) And, if rumor be true, the Intercolonial Railway, this so-called great defensive work, is not 20 pass along Major Robinson's line." The statement has been made-I have scen it in newspapers isually well informed-that a new route has
been found that will satisfy everybody or nobo dy at all ; and while I am on this point I ruustsay that it is mosi singular that we are c Aled upon to vote these resolutions, and to phidge ourselves to pay ten-twelfths of the cost of thut railway, without knowing' whether there will be ten miles or one hundred miles of it in Lawer Canada; or whether it will cost $\$ 10,000,000$ or $\$ 20.000,000$.

Hon Mr. HULTON-It will be ncarer $840,000,000$. (Hear, hear.)

Hov, MR: DソRION-In 1862, when the question of the construction of this road was 'before the country:" what' was the cry raised. by honorable gentemen opposite? Why, that the Macboxald-Sicotre Governinent had pideded itsilt to build a ruilway at whatever cost it mught cone to ; and those who were loulet in these denuaciations, were the very geatiemen who have now undertasen to build the roud without knowing or even enquiring whit the cost of it will be. :(Hear, hear.) This, if I remember rights, was the parport of: a. specth made by the Hon. Attorney General. West at Utecribille. (Hear, hear.) I was sitisfed, sir, at that tiue, to press my objec twity to the scheine and retire from the Guvernacint; but my colleagues were denounced without stiat for haviag, undertaken to build the railway and pay seven-twelfths of its cost, and now the House is asked by the, very mea who denounced them to pay tenlireliths of it, without even fnowing whether the work is practicable or not. (Hear, hear.) We have'hertrd for some time past that the engiduer Mr. Filesina, is prepared to make his repori. Why is it not forthcoming? Why has it been kept back ?' The represencutives of the people in this House will show an utter disregard of their duty if they do not hasst upon having thit report, and full explastou's respecting the uidertaking, as weil sas the schemie for the constitution of the lucal pisternments, before they vote upou the resolutions beture the House. (Hear, hear.) It-st tolly to suppose that this Intercolonial Rulway will. in the least degree be condueve to the defence of the country. We liste expended a large sum of money-and none voted it more cordially and heariily than myseif-fior the purpose of opeaing a mili; tary highway from Ciasps to Rinouski; and that road, in case of Lóstilities : with our neighbors, would be found of far greater service for the train port of troops, oanuon and ail kinds of munitions of war, chan any railway following the same or a more southern
route possibly can bn. That road cannot be effectuatly destroyed; but a railway lying in some places not more than filteen or twenty miles from. tlie frontier, will be of no use whatever, because of the readiness with which it maiy be attacked and seized. An enemy could destroy miles of it before it would be pussible to resist him, and in time of difficulty it would be a mere trap for the troops passing along it, unless we had almost an army to keep it open. Upon this question of defence, we have he rd so much during the past two or three years that I think it is time now "we should have some plain explanations about it. We heard the other day from the honorable meniber for West Montreal-and I am always glat to quote him, he is usually so correct-(hughter'-that in less than a year the Auerican arny, the army of the Northern States, was increased from 9,000 to 800 , 000 men ready tor service, and that in less than four years they were able to put to sea a fleet which, in point of numbers-I do not say in armanent or value-was equal' to the entire naval force of England. Well, the honorable gentleinan might have gone further and shown that withia a period of four years the Northern States hare called into the field $2,300,000$ men-as many armed men as;we have men, women and children in the two Canadas jud that we hear every day of more being raised and equipped. It is stated that, in view of these facts, it is incumbent upoo us to phace ourselves in a state ol defence. Sir, 1 say it here candidly and honestly, that we are bound to do everything we cc in to protect the country-(hear, hear,)-but iwe are not bound to ruin ourselves in anticipition of a supposed invasion which we could not repel, even with the assistince of England. The battles of Cinads cannot be fought on the frontier, but on the high seas and at the great cities on the Atlantic coast; and it will be uothing but folly for us to cripple ourselves by spetadiug filteed or twenty millions a year to raise an army of 50,000 , men for the purpose of resisting an invasion of the country. The best thing that Cunada can do is to keep quiet, and to give no cause for war.: (Hear, hear.) . Let the public opinion of this conntry colipel the press to cease the attacks it is every day making upnn the Government and people of the United States; and then if war does come between England and the Stateseven it from no fuult of ours-we will cast uur lot with kuylund and help her to fight tho battlo ; bat in the meantime it is no use what-
ever to raise or keep up anything like a standing army.

How. Atry. Gen MACDONALD-Will ny honorable friend let me ask him how we can asist England in a war on the high seas unless we have a naval force?

Hox. Mr. DORION - The honorable meniber for Peterborough itited the other day and correctly I believe, that the place for our militia was behind the fortifictions of our fortified places, where they would count for something to be of some use No doubt of this. Why, sir. it is absurd to speak of defendines 'this country with such a force as' we could mantain when we have the recent examph beffre our eyes of a country in Europe pon sesuing as large a deferase force, literally wiped off the map by an ingeding army of sonue 75,000 or 50,000 men. "The kingdom of Denmark consists, now of only two suall islands-less by far, in extent, thin one of our large counties, and this dismemberment has been forced upon it, although it had a standiag army of 30,000 men; and the feelinse of the whole population was in tavor of the war., (Hear, hear.) - I'do not use this arguiuent for the purpose of showing that something ought not to be done respeeting our 'militia. I am willing' that we should make: Bacritices, if necessary, tor the purpose of orgamzing it thoroughly; but I am decidedy opposed to a standing aruy. und do not belicie we could raive an army now that would be able to withstand the force that could be sent' against it. (Hear, hear.) We have sent to the frontier 2,000 men, whose services for a year will cost us a million aad, a-half; and at "the same rate of expenditure, "Ju",000 men would cost us over thirty millions of money. . Now, if the whole defence of the country, is to rest upon us, I ask again what would such a foree amount to? (Hear, hear.) 'Nuw, sir? when I look into the provisions of this sehemer I find another most ubjectionable one. It is that which give the General Covernuent control over all the acts of the local legislatures. What difficulties may tot arise under this system? Nuw, knowing that the General Guvernment will be party in tss character, may it nut for party purposies reject laws paisied by the local derislatures and demanded by a majority of the people of that locality. This power couferred apon the General Government - has been compared to the veto power that oxists in Enghind in respect to ourleyislation; but we know that the statesmed of England are not actuated by the local feclinge and
prejudices, and do not partake of the local jealousies, that prevail in the colonies. The local governments have therefore confidence in them, and respect for their decisions; and venerally; when a law adopted by a colonial legislature is sent to them. if it does not dish with the policy of the Empire at large. it is nut disallowed, and tuore especially of late has it been the policy of the Imperial Goverument to do whatever the colonies desire in this' respect " when their 'wishes are constitutionally expressed. The axiom on which they seem to act is that the less they hear of the colonies the better. (Hear, hear) But how differentivill be the result in this cise; when the General Goverument exercises the veto piwer over the acts of local legislatures. Do you not see that it is quite possible for a majority in a local government to be opposed to the General Government: and in such a case the minority would call upon the General Government to disallow the lays enacted by the majority? The men who shall compose the Grneral Government will be dependent for their support upon their political friends in the Jocallegislatures, and it may so happen that, in irder to secure this support, or in order to serve their own purposes or that of their supporters, they will veto "laws which the majority of a Incal legislature find necessary and good. (Hear, hear) We know how high party feeling runs sometimes upon local matters even of trivial importance, and we may find parties so hotly opposed to eich other in the local leqislatures, that the while power of the minority inay be broughs to bear upon their triends who have a majority in the General Legislature, for the purpose of presenting the passage of some law abjectionable to them but denired by the uatjority of their own section. What will be the result of such a state of thinge but bitterness of feeling, strony poilitical acrimony and daquerous apitation? (Hear hear.) Then air, I find that in addition tis all the other sums that are to be paid by the general to the local governonents, there are provisions in favor of New Brunswick and Nova Seo tia, which must, strike the House as being if a rather extraordinary natare. In the document which was sent by the Provincial Secretary to the members of this Honse marked "Privite," there appears to have been a mistake. It was therein stated that the Geueral Government quould have no right to impose an expurt daty on cimber lay masts spars, deals and sawn lumber; Gat that the local governmenta would have tite power
to impose export duties on these articles: This provision, it seens, was too favorable to Lower Canada; for it would have allowed Lower Cariada to impose an export dnty upon Upper Canadian timber:

Hon.Mr. HOLTON-As New Branswiek does upon Anerican.

Hon. Mr. DORION-And by this means raise a sufficient revenue, at the expense of Upper Canada, to meet its local expendituré. This inistuke seems to have been corrected, for, in this respect, the resolutions before the Honse have been changed, but hardly amended.

How. MR. HOLTON-Changed in a sense hostile to Lower Canada. (Hear.)

- How. Hr DORION-The clause of the resolutions to which I reter now reads, that the General Parliament shall have power to make laws "respecting the imposition or regulation of duties of customs on imports and exports-except on exports of timber, logs, mists, spars, deald and sawn lumber from New Brunswick, and of coal and other minerals from Nova Scotia." "That is, the General Guvernment inay impose a tax for its own benetit upon all timber and minerals ex:ported from Tpper or Lower Canada, but not from New Brunswick or Nova Scotia: (Hear, hear.) Then, amoug the powers granted to local legislatures, we find the power to pass by-laws imposing direct taxation: (Hear, hear.). That is the first power they hive, and I have no doubt that before many mouthis have passed atter they are constituted, they will tind it necessary to resur! to it. But, in addition to this, I find that New Braiswiok and Nora Scotia, which, no doubt are the favored children of the Contederativn, have powers not grauted to the ofher firmincest, New Bruaswick; the resolution declares, shall have the power to inapose avi export duty un timber, logs, unasts, ispars, deals and sawn' lumber, and Nova Scotia on coal and other minerads, for local, purposes; T3 that while our timber and minerals exported froma Luper and Lower Canada will be uxed by the qeneral Givernment for general purposes, the tinaber and suinerals of. New Brumswiek and Nova Scotia will be exempt, the revenue derived from them going to the benelit of the "loeal governments, to be ex: paded ou local objects. (Hear, hear.) This is one of the resuits of the Conference in whith; of courso, Niw Bruaswick counted as inuch as Cpper iand Lower Canada, and Nuva Scotia and itim other Lower Provinces. had the balanee of miluence: (Hear, hear.) Iow, among the other powers granted to the

General. Government is its control over agriculture and immigration, as well as the fisheries. "An honorable nember" from Upper Canada (Mr. Mackenzee of Lambton); enquired very anxiously, yesterday, if it was posible that any act respecting agriculture could be affected by the General Government? Well, sir, it is as plain as can be that agriculture and itumigration are to be under the control of both the local and the general legislatures. And the forty-fifth resolution says :- In regard to all subjects over which jurisdiction belongs to the general and local legislatures, the laws of the General Parliament shall control and supersede those made by the Local Legislature, and the latter shall be void so far is they are repugant to or inconsistent with the former." What will be the operation of this provision? The Local Legislature will pass a law which will thengoto the General Government ; the latter will put its veto upor it, and if that does not answer, it will pass a law coutrary' to it, and you have at ubee a conflict. (Hear, hear.)

Hos Ma. HOLTON -Then they will tight. (Hear, hear, ind laughter) No seotional dittioulties then !

Hos. Mr. DOLION-Oh! mone whatever. I may pasis now perhaps to the consideration of a portion of the tinaicial part of the seheme: I shall certainly not attempt to follow the Hon, Fin,nce Minister in ${ }^{\prime}$, what I admit was the able statement, or rather able manipulation of figures, he made the other day. When that honorable gentleman was able to prove tu-the satistaction of the Barivas, the Gisms, and the leadiag inerehants of England that the invistmient they would make io theGrand 'Trunk Ruilway would yield themat heast 11 per cent., it is not astonishing that he wis able tio show to this Hoase that the fraances of the Confederation will be in a niost flourishing condition, and that we shall have a surpius eyery year of at least a million dollars. Laughter:) From what I knew of former prophevies, 1 ipugined he would thake it eleyen or twelve millions at any rate, but he is modest and puts it duwn at only a milliou. But how does he make out oven this, moderate surplus? He takes, in the dirst phace the revenuerff Newfoundlind tor 1.862. I had the curiosity to leok for the reason, and what do you suppose it is: The revenue for 1862 was the highest he could tiod, exeept 1860. (Hear, hear.) :Theu he takes the revenue of New Bruassick, Nova Scotia and Canada, for 1863 -the highest tigares again. (Hear, hear.) Taking all this, he still finds a de-
ficiency of $\$ 827,512$, which he provides for by adding a supposed increase for 1864 of $\$ 100,000$ for each of the Provinces of Nova Scotia and New Brunswick, and of \$1,500,000 for Canada. (Hear, hear:) And this leaves a surplus of júst $\$ 872,488$ : (Hear, hear.) Weil;'even granting that on the 31st of Deceniber he had a surplus of a million, af at the end of the present finaveial year it is not diminished to half a million by his militia and other expenditure, I shall be very agrèeably surprised. (Hear.) This, then, is the inducement he offers to the country to adopt this scheme. - I have a million more than 1 want, he exclains, and I will " reduce the duties to 15 percent. But the honorable gentheiran forgets that he has the Intercolonial Railway to provide for, us well is that military and naval defensive force which we are going to rase. (Hear, hear.) He forgets all this, but the promise is there; and just as he held out to the expected shareholders of the Grand Trunk Kilway the 11: per cent. dividends upon their insestuients, he now tells the poople of these several colonies that the custorus duties will be reduced to 10 per cent. (Hear, Hear.): I de nut de sire to go vere the tigures the honorable geutleman has liad before the llouse, tor I have not the taient of group: ing theili torgether, sons to present an atfrac: tive, but deceptive, whole, that he possesses in such an emment degree. I find in the resolutivis now proposed a few propositions to which I' would call the attention of the Housc. The first thing that the: Cunfedeiathon will have to provide for is the lntercolomal Kailiwa, wheh will ce tainiy cost twenty milhuns of dullars, the interest upon which, at 5 per cent, will amount to one milhon of dollirs antiully. (Hear, hear.) Then to Newtomadhad we are bound to pay $\$ 150,000$ a year, fur all tiuse to coase, to purchase the antueral lands of that eolny; whle, as regards the other provinces, all the publice lands are given"up tw'the lecal geverameats. But that is not all, for, in urder to manage these "wrafuble lauds"' in Surtoundland we shall have to estabhoh a C'rown Lads department under the lieneral Guverameat; aud if honorable gentemea desire to leara someching of the probabie expeuse of such an estabistiment, they need ouly refer to a return brought down lastuight, by which they will -see thut there are no lese than sixty or seventy oftiecrs th the Crowa Lands department, and that some eight or ten new appointments hare been made since Mareb last, when the present Goveroment was formed.
(Hear, hear.) This return is a highly instructive one in other respects. It shows that " within that period there is not a department of the Government that has not increased its force of employes, except that of the Attorney General East, who is satisfied with the three officers it contained before he returned to the Government.: (Hear, hear.) I may state, however, that in the return there iss an omission which ought to be supplied. The bonorable gentleman has mado a large number of appointments connected with the administration of justice in Lower Cunada that are not mentioned.' This return is, however, instructive as slowing the additional bumber of appointments that have been made within the past year in all the departuentseveral of whom have been taken from their seats in this House and appointed to offices to make room for others here.
Hon.Ma. HOLTON-Uh! there are only four of them-that's all. (Laughier:)

Hon. Mr. DURION-Then New Brungwick is to get a spectal subsidy of $\$ 63,000$ a year for ten years: It is evident this sum is voted to that province to enable it to avoid the necessity of direct tuxation.

Hon. Mr. HOLTON- Of course it could not impose direct taxation. for it has no mapmopal machitiery. (Laughter.)

HoN Me DURION-Now, i find from a speech defivercea by Hou. Mr. Tilyey, theticad of the New Brunswick Governutent, that this. grant of $\$ 63,000$ a year, begund the subsidy of so cents per head of the population tor the purposes of local goverament, whll give New Brunswick \$3-4,004 a-year over and above all its necessities." (Hear, hear.) Luder these circumstances, there need be nodirect taxation to that proviace: The whele speech of Hon. Mr Thlery, to which I re.er, would be found very instructive if 1 could read it all, bat I tha afrath of wearying the llouse. (Cries of "go on.") Well, after rectung the various advintages that will be couteired of New Brauswick by Coatederation, Hou. Mr. Th. LEY suys:

Uver and above all these advanta gea, we get for ton yeats a aubsudy of \$0.J, 00U per annam; vür local expechithita, sumbiey upit amoviat :o \$. $20,0.50$; and we get frum the liesetul Gíurera. ment, without meteased taxativn, $\$ \mathcal{F}$, vev: in lien ot our export duty (1t shiu.d be "tmport duty,") and casual terrtwrial revenue, making. \$241,637, and a special subsidy of $\$ 63,000$ a year fos. ien years, making in all $3354,6.37$, being $\$ 34,000$ wier and above our prezent necespithea. ... These are the principal pouts looked to. (Hear, hear) Bût, honorable gentlemen will remember, Hon-

Mr: Tmier declared-no Intercolonial Rail. way, no Confederation-and Canada gave hign what he wanted. (Hearr; hear.) It is not New Bruniswick alone that is to get something handsome over and above its necessities:": I have heie a letter from the Charlotetown (P.E.I.) Examinet, wherein Mr. Weilan, the editor, and one of the delegates at the Quebec Conference, sums up the adiantages to be obtained by Prince Edward Island pretty müch as Hon. Mr: Túteyr does for New Brunswick. He:sass:-

By this arrangement the debt of Prince Edward Island will be gyaranteed to the amount of $\$ 2,025,00$ - the interest of which, at five per cente will be $\$ 101,250$. Add to this the pro: portion which the Confederation has given to each province for the support of their locil adminstration, at the rate of so cents per head, giving for the population of Prince. Edward Island, which is 81,000 , the sum ot $\$ 64,800$; we have then a total of $\$ 166,050$, which Prince Edward Island will receive annually. Deduct from this sum $\$ 12.00$ for interest as five per cent. on our debt ot $£ 75,000$ or $\$ 240,000$, and the balance in our faror will be $\$ 154,0.50$, which suma exceeds by nearly, forty elght thousand dollars the actual cost of our local aftiairs, the Central Government untertaking to pay certain general expeuges. (llear, hear.)
The general expenses he alludes to are the salartes of the governor, judges, and so on, which the General Governuient will pay. Thas. sit, we have Mr. Whelan, one of the dele: gates, and Hon. Mr. Tilley, another, chuckling oyer the good bargains they have made at the expense of Canada, andendeavoring in this manner to carry the scheme of Confederation by showing that New Brunswick is to have 834,000 over and above its necessities, and Prince Edward Island 843,400 . I would advise the Hon. Finanice Minister, when he is in distress for want of moniey, togo down there and borrow this surplus which we will have to pay to these provinces; thes will na doubt; , be willing to lend on favorable ternis. (Laughter.) I have entered into a short calculation to show what propertion Upper and Lower Canada: will respectively have to bear of these additional burdens,- 863,000 a year for tea yearsto New Brunswick, would make a capital at five per cent. of about $\mathbf{8 3 5 0 , 0 0 0}$.

Hon. Ma. HOLTON-More near 8400,000.

Hon. Mr. DORION-My calculation is rather under than over the mark, but let us take $\$ 350,000$ as the capitalized value of this annuity for ten years. That gives an interest of 817,500 per annum forever. Now, suppoaing the increased astent of tarritory to bo
defended under the Confederation augments the militia expenditure to the extent of a million a-year-this, I think, is a very reasonable estimate, and will not go very far towards carrying out the intentions of the Conference respecting military and naval defence, as explained by the President of the Council to his Toronto audience. Then add the interest of the sum required to build the Intercolonial Railway, five per cent on $\$ 20,000,000$, and we have an annuall payment of $\$ 1,000,000$ more, which is increased by $\$ 150,000$, the indemnity paid to Newfoundland for its valuable mineral lands. Then we have to pay the local governments, at the rate of 80 cents per head; $\$ 3,056,849$. The interest on the debt of Nova Scotia, $88,000,000$, will amount to $\$ 100$,000 ; on that of New Brunswick; $\$ 7,000,000$, to $\$ 350,000$; on that of Newfoundland, $\$ 3$,250,000 , to $\$ 162,000$; and on the debt of Prince Edward Island, $\$ 2,021,425$, to 8101 ,071. Adding all these sums wogether, we find that the annual expenditure, in addition be it remembered to the burdens which we now bear, will be $\$ 6,237,920$ - (hear, hear -representing a capital of $\$ 12 \pm, 758,400$. (Hear, hear.) The share of Canada in this annual expenditure will be $\$ 1.89$ per head, amounting to the sum of $84,725,000$. This is altogether irrespective of the debt of $\$ 62,500,000$ with which Canada enters the union. The share of Upper Canada, according to population, will be \$2,646,000; but Upper Cunadiuns have long claimed that they paid upwards of two-thirds of the expenditure, and the Globe lately said that this was the proportion ten years ago, but that the disparity had greatly increased since. If Upper Canada really pays two-thirds of the expenditure, its share in the additional but necessary expenditure will be ' 83,$183 ; 334$ per annum. (Hear, hear.; This is tuking for granted that the Lower Provinces should pay their share according to population.. I have my doubts about that, and $I$ believe that the city of Montreal paysa little more duty on imports than Prince Edward, and more, perhaps; than Prince Edward Island and Newfoundland put together, and that. the population of the District of Montreal piy a good deal more'per head than that of New Brunswick.

Ma. H. F. MACKENZIE-Do you mean the local consumption?

Hon. Me. DORION-I mean to say that the inhabitants of the city of Montreal pay a great deal more than the inhabitunts of any similar portion of any of the provinces, and that the district of Montreal consumes as much as any other section of the bamo extent and
population. But I have taken these figures, as to the relative contribution of Upper and Lower Canada to the revenue, as given by the organ of the President of the Council. But, sir, we have been told, for ten years past, that Upper Canada wanted rèpresentation by population for nothing else but because Lower Canada was spending the money of the province lavishly-two-thirds of it coming from the pockets of the people of Upper Canada. We have been told that it was not to interfere with the institutions, language, or laws of Lower Canada, but merely to give Upper Canada proper control over the finances. That was the only thing to be gained by it.

Mr. A: MaCKENZIE-No, no!
Hon. Mr. DORION-Perhaps, the honorable gentleman will recollect a letter written by the honorable inember for Moutreal West to " my dear friend Maćarrow," of Kingston It was on the eve of the geperal election in June 1861, and intended to induce the peoplo of Upper Canada to join in putting out that bad Administration that had been the bane of the country-the Cartier-Macdunald Administration. The reasons which were given were as fullows:-
First.-Becsase they cullectively volated the Constitution, and vítraged the moial sense of the count y by the double-shuffe and double swearnis. of 1sja. Second.-Because they violated the Constutution by allowing, payments, and grainting gratuites, and gying. or procuring luerathe contracts for members of Parliament, of: their, supporters, as wituess the jayments, tracts made or goven to M1. Tucotre, Mr. McLeod, Mr. Dexjimis, Mr. A. 'P. Magbunald', and Mr. Mchiceses. Third.-Because they violated the Consutution by keeping for three sestions Messis. Ahlery, Diburh and simab in their scats for Quebec on a preitended mitjority of 15,000 wotes Fourth - Because they volated the Constitution by justityng the vale of ollices in Sheriff Mercer's case, and in retaining the Hon. Col. Pinser in the fipper Honse as their active partisati, after his ophe bal heren created and his commissions made cutr, as fudfe of the District of Algouna. Fifth:-Bëanse they no lated the Constituthe by ketpug Josera C. Moraisos tur the cabuet, as Mifmiser of the Crown, after he' had liewn three times rejected by the people. Siuth.- Berause they viliated the Constitution in athandpnin to the discretuon of Sur Eimivi Head prosonally, the sule representation of the people of Canadd, during the mem: orable yis it of His Ruyal Highness the Prince of Wales., Serenth- - Beeause thay have continuously and systematically n wolated the Constitution in expendig yast sums of money, anounting in the augregate to se everal mullions of dollars, without the authority of Parliament.

Sir, I advise the honorable gentleman to continue that correspondence and add the $\$ 100$,000 which the Grand Truik Railway had got, and the bill of exchange affair.

Mr. POWELL-The Confederation is worth all that. (Laughter.)

Hon. Mr. DORIUN-The letter proceeds to say :-
"This indictment will be admited tou" contaiu grave misdemeanors and breaches of trust, which ought to be punished by the people, now that they have the offenders up for judriment. Whatever differences of opicion may exist among the Opposition, whether menibers or leadeis, as to the nature and extent of the constitutional refurms demanded in uur present fiame of Government there is nut a shadow of difference in this point, that some remedy must be found at once for the unprincipled expenditure of the pub. lic money which is daily demoralizing wur public men, beyzaring the coun'ry, and retading its natural ratio of increase
want, first of all, an honest covernment a reall responsible gorernment-which, except in the clearest case of neecessity, such as ani ruvasion of - the soi', will not on auy pretext whatever lavish the people's money without the authorty of tho people'a representutives.
Well, sir, this was the adrico given to Upper Canada in lsbil, by oue of the leaders the present Hon. Minister of Agriculture

Hon. Ma. MoteE - What has that to do with the union of the provinees?

Hon Me DORIUN-It has much to do with it.- It shows that representation by population was put furgh is a remedy for the finaucial arils of our prisent system:of goverament. © Under this adyice Upper Canada gave a largo majority againite the Government of the day, and the nembers elected turned round, and by abdodoning, for a time at least; representation by population, thè acknow: ledged that the tinuncial yuestion sras paratuount to it. I have showa, Mr. Spleakea, I think, the propurtion which. Upper Uanada would hate wo pay of the inereased expenditury which müst ininediately ensut troun the. adoption of Confederatiou whiwh is proposed to free Upper Canada from a larger expeaditure by Lower Camada than what she contributes to the revenue. - Nuw, let us see whit that expenditura is. "The whinde expeaditure of" the province exclusive of interest on, public debt, costs of legislation, militia, sibsidy to ocean steamers and collection of revenue, which will have to be paideven with Confederation, if it tabes place, does not amougt to more than $82,000,000$, or one dollar per head of the whole" populativa:- Thes supposing that

Upper Canada pass two-thirds of that sum, or $\$ 1,666,666$, and Lower Canada one-third, Upper Canada would only pay $\$ 266,666$ more than her share according to population. And it is I say, to get rid of this expenditure of a couple of hundred thousand dollars that the Tpper Canadian members of the Government propose that their section of the country should pay an additional yearly expenditure of $\$ 3,181,000$, yielding no return whatsoever - (hear, hear:-and to saddle on Lower Canada an additional expeniditure of from 81;500,000 to $\$ 2,000,000$ a year-the amount depending on the proportion which they respectively contribute to the revenue of the cotntry. And. Mr. Speaker, this is only the immediate and necessary expenditure that will fall upon the people of Canada at the very. outet. There is not a single sixpence in this estimate for any improvements to be made in the eastern or western portion of the Confederacy: (Hear, hear.) But, sir, respecting the defences of the country, I should have said at an earlier stage of my remarks: that this scheme proposes a union not only with Nova Scotii, New Brunswick, Prince Edward Island, and Newfoundiand, but also with British Columbia and Yancouver's Island. Although. I have not been able to get the information from the Government-for they do utot seem to be very ready to give informa-tion-yet I understand that there are despatches to hand, stating that resolutions have. been adopted in the Legislature of British Columbia arking for admission into the Confederation at once I must ennfess, Mr: speakeh; that it looks like a burlesque to speak as a means of detence of a seheme of Confederation to unite the whole conantry extending from Newfoundland to Vancoaver's Island, thousands of miles intervening without any communication, except through the Whited States or around Cape Horn. (Oh!)
HoN ATtr GEN QARTIER-There is au Interoceanic Railway to be built.

Hon. Mr. DORION-Yes, I suppose that is another necessity of Coufederation, to which we may suon look forward Sonte westera extension of this Grand Trunk scheme lor the benetit of Messrs. Watisin \& Co., of the vew Hudson's Bay Company. So far as Lower Canada is concerned, I need hardis stop to point out the objections to the scheme. It is evident from what has transpired, that it is intended eventually to form a legislative union of alh the provinces. The local governments, in addition to the General Government, will be found so Vurdensome, that a
majority of the people will appeal to the Imperial Govermment for the formation of a-legislative union. (Hear hear.) I may well ask if there is any member from Lover Canada, of French extraction, who is ready to vote for a legislative union. What do Ifind in connection with the agitation of this scheme? The honorable member for Sherbrooke stated at the dinner to the delegates given at Toronto, after endorsing everything that had been said by the Honorable President of the Coun-cil:-

We may hope thaty at no far distant day, we may become willing to enter intó a Législative Union iifstead of a federal union, as now proposed. • We would haye all have desired a legislative union and to see the power concentrated in the Central Government as it exists in England, spreading the reyis of its protection over all the institutions of the land, but we found it was im. possible to do that at first: We found thiat there were difficulties in the way which could not be overcome:
Honorable members from Lower Canada are made aware that the delegates all desired a legislative union, but it could not be accomplished at once. This Confederation is the tirst necessary step towards it. The British Government is ready to grant a Federal union at once, and when that is accomplished the French element will be com;letely overwhelimed by the majority of British reprosentatives. What then would prevent the Federal Government from passing a set of resolutions in a similar wáy to those we are called upon to pass, without submitting them to the people, calling upon the Imperial Government to set aside the Federal form of government and give a legislative union instead of it? (Hear hear:) Perhaps the perptre of: Upper Canada think a legislative union a most desirable thing. I"can tell those gentleinen that the people of Lower Canada are attached to their institutions in a manner that defes any attempt to change them in that way They will not change their religious institutions, their laws and their language, for any cousideration whatever. $\because$ A million of inhabitants may seem a small affair to the mind: of a philosopher who sits down to write out a constitution. He may think it would be better that there should be but one religion, one language and one systein of laws and he yoes to work to frame institutions that will bring all to that desirable state; but I can tell honorable gentlemen that the history of every country goes to show that not even by the power of the sword ean such changes be
accomplished. "Hear, hear.) We have the history of the Greek race, having at one time a population of six millions, dwindling down to'seren hundred thousand, and we find them evenit then, after several centuries of oppres sion, risigg up and asserting heir rights. (Hear, hear.)" We have the same circiumstance in the history of Belgium, which was united to Holland with a view to secure the assimilation of the two countries, but biften years of trial had hardly elapsed when the whde of the Belgium people and Goverament rose en masse to protest against that union, and to assert their separate nationality. (Hear, hear. S Sir, it is not only from the history of the pust we may derive the lesson, but we have the circumstances of the present generation to guide us. I aim astonished to see the honoruble ménber tor Montreal West helping a echeine designed to end in a legislative union, the object of which esn only be to assimilate the whote poople to the dominant population. In that hoaorable gentleman's own country the system has produced nothing but a dis-: satisted and iebellious people. I Is it desirable thit is this country then we should pass a measure calulated to givedissatisfietion to a million of perple's You muy ascertain what the cost of heepug duwn a million of dissatisfied peuple is by thie seches that have been and are yow traphing on the other side of the line, where a ifth. of the people of the Ctited Stutes had heen and has cuised wiore misery and mistinune to be heaped upon that country tha civald have been wrought in cen:tuites of peateful eomprouising legislation. Sir, it a leghtitive uniun of the British American Provaces is attempled, there will be such un agitativi in this portion of the province as ivat hever withessed before-you will se the whole perple of Lower Canada chnging together to resist by all legal and constituinual means; suchań attempt at wresting from thein thuse institutions that they now enjuy. They would as a body to the Legisluturi, voting is one man, and caring tor nuthrog clse but tor the protection of their beloved institutious and haw, and makioge goverament all but imposible.. The rinety Irish incmbers' in the British House of Coumons, cumposed as it is of nearly seven hundred members, by votitig together have caused their influence to be felt, as in the grants to the Maynoth College and some other questions. It would be the mane way with the people of Lower Cnida, and a more deplotrable state of thiags nuuld bo the inevitable result. The majarity would be forced by the minority to
do things they would not, under the circumstances, think of doing. This is a state so undesirable that, although I am strongly opposed to the proposed Federal union, I am still more strongly opposed to a legislative union. Those who desire a legislative union may see from this what discordant elements they would have to deal with in undertaking the task, and what misery they "would 'bring upon the country by such a step. (Hear, hear:): I know there is an apprehension among the British population in Lower Canada that, with ${ }^{*}$ even the small power that the Local Government will possess, their rights will not be respected. How, then, can it be expected that the French population cananticipate any more favorable result from the General Gov ernment, when it is to possegs such enormous powers over the destinies of their section of the country? Experience shows that majorities are always ugressive, and it cannot well be otherwise in this instance. It thérefore need not bo wondered at that the people of Lower Canada, of British origin, are ready to make use of every means' to prevent their being placed at xe mercy of a preponderatiog population of a differeat urigin. 1 sigreo with them in thinking that they ought to take nothing on trust in this matter of entering upona new state of political existéce, and neither ought we of French origin to do so, in relation to the General Goverament, however happy our relations to each other may be at present.

How. Ma. McGEE-That is a ghorious doctrine to iastil into society. (Hear, hear:)

Hon. Mr. DORION-Well it is the doc ting generally acted upon, and correctly so. When my houorible friend makes a coutriset with a frieud and neighbor to be filled even. afew anonths in the future, does he not have it put in legal forim, in black and white? Of course he doess And when we are nakingarrangements dalculated to list for all time to come, is it not yistly nove importautithat the same safe and equitable principle should be recognized? (Hisar, hear.) The honorable gentleman recoguized it himself in the uost marked manotr, by placing in the resolutions guarantees respectipg the educational instituthous of the tuse sections of Canada." The Roman Catholics of Upper Caníds were anxious to haye their rights protected agaiast the hand of the Protestant majority and, where the Protestants are in a mincrity, they are just as anxious to have their rights per: manently protected. But, sir, thie whole soheme, since it must be taken or rejected as
a whole, is one which I do not think any honorable member of this House ean really endorse in an unreserved manner, if be were to spoak his sentiments freely. I see nothing in it but another railway scheme for the benefit of a few-and I cannot better describe the whole project than by a quotation from the remarks of a gentleman, who expressed himself on the subject of Confederation and the In. tercolonial Railway a very short time before he became a member of the present Adminisiration, and a warm adrocate of both these babbles. After speaking of the visit of Mr. Watan to this country, he closes with the following:-
If our Government were to rash into the rail. way project, expend a large sum of money upon. the roid, and formi, a compact incrediately with Nova Scotia, New Brunskick, and Prince Edward Island, both the alliance and the road rould be carried out mainly for the benefit of the dominant power in this province at this moment; we need hardly say wo menn Lower Canada. The important question to Upper Canada-her coanection with tho North. Weast Territory-would be altogether iznored, Quebee would be made the capital of she Federation," representation' by population would form no part of the compact, and, instead of having one leech draining her of bet resources, Upper Canadu would have three. Before entering into new alliances, it should be the effort of Upper Canadians to regulate the atairs of their own proviace, to obtain represen:ation by population, and tooppen the Nonth-West Territory, so that when the Federation of all the British Aninerican provinces dues come, it may bo bund with Upper Canada as the ceritral figure of the group of states, with western adjuncts as well as.eastern. Noteren the most ardent supporter of the union of all tbe provinces can allege that there is any absolute necessity for haste in carry. ias out the project. Nobody is being burt by the provinces reamaiaing in their present condition; no one single material interest, either in Canada or the Lower Provinces, would be enhanced in value by the naion.

## This appeared in the Gobe in 1863.

Ma. A. MACKENZIE - What Administration did that refer to?
Hon. Mr. DORION-It did not refor to mine.
Hon. Atry. Guv. MACDONALD-Well', whoso was it?
Hos. Mr HOLTON-I think the Minister of Agricalture inight inform my houorable friead.
Hon J. S. MACDONALD-The Honor-
able Attorney General has them nearly all
around him. (Langhtor.)
Hov. Mar. DORION-On the 13th of Oc-
tober, Hon. Mr. Brown's paper further stat-ed:-

The line, in fact, will leave na just where we are now In the summer, when navigation is opened, we can send produce down the river and gulf, and, to some extent, compete with the Americans.' Bat in the winter, to suippose we can send flour and wheat over this long land route cheaper than the Americans can senh it from the: eastern ports, is an absurdity which no mani ac quainted with the trade will commit.
Again, on the 17 th of 'October, in the same year, it said :-
The road is to run mainly through" a country which does niot belong to Canada, but whieh can-not, ander any possible circumstances, bring any profit or return, directly or indirectly.
On the 20 th it said:-

- It will not be wise for the opponents of the measure to rely upon present appearances. $\cdots$ The ministerial project must $b$ resisted at every stage; in the press and in Parliament.
Again, on the 25 th, of the sque month :-
With fair professions of retrenchment and econumy on their lips, Ministers took office; bui three short months after ands'. we find them launching a new railroad scheme upon the ma:ket, admittedy more cuerous it the moment of Gitiation that was the Grand Trunk at the same staje:
Sir, I agree with the statement, that to go into the construction of this road without knowing wh $t$ it is to cost, or over what particular route it is to be built, is a thing not to be thought of by any prudent inember of this House, zad that such a proposal ought to be resisted at every stage, I think, too, that the whole scheme, apart from the construction of the railway, is worse than the railivay scheme itself, and ought to be still more strongly opposed. It is a mere revival of a soheme that has been rejected by the people on every occasion on which it has beenpresented to them during the past seven years: Independent of various other considerations the mere question of its expense ought to canse it to bo rejectéd by the representatives of the peoplè. When the duties on imports were raised to twenty and tiventyifive per cent, what was the cry wo heard from the lower portion of the province? . It was that the people were quite unable to pay such a serious tax, and the result was the establishment of a free port at Gaspes. We have not, for eeveral years, collected a single cent of income from that large section of country, but have; from year to year, paid out large sams of money for the opening up of roads, for the administration of justice, and for keeping up
a. steam summer communication with the extreme eastern section of the province: More money has been squandered in that section of the country than on any other, yet it has produced no revenue. And that was the character of the country through which the Intercolonial road was to run, both in Canada and in New Brunswick'. 'For we are asked to add, at one stroke, to our debt of sixty-two and a half millions of dollars, an annual charge representing in capital the moderate sum of one hundred and tiventy-four millions-for that is the financial nature of the proposition, and this for the parpose of adding 900,000 inhabitants to our population, most of whom are not in a better position, it they are in as good, as the people of the district of Gaspe. (Hear, hear.) Sir, in 1841, nearly twentyfive years ago, Lower Canada entered into the union of the provinees with a debt of $£ 133$.: 000 . That was a debt created by the Special Conncil, for the Legislature of Lower Canada under the old Constitution owed not appenay when it ceased to exist. That debt was created betiveen 1837 and 1840. Since the union there have been expended in Lower Canada; for the Beauharnois canal, the enlargement of the Lachine canal, the works on Lake St. Peter, and the Chambly canal, about four millions of dollars. Besides, we thave thrice hundred and fifty miles of the Gränd Truuk Railway-about a hundred less than Upper Canada. Taking one-half the cost to the "province of that railway - two million pounds currency; or eight millions of dollars-we have four millions for canals and eight millions for railways. Twelve millions of dollars have, thereforesbeen, expended for public works in Lower Canada, with perhaps another million for other small works-in all, thirtêen millions of dollars.

Mr. A: MaCKENZIE-What about the Montreal harbor?

Hon. Mr. DORION-The Montreal harbor will pay for itself. The Government will not be called upon to pay a single sixpence of its indebtedness.: The province only guarantees a small portion of its debentures, and will never have to pay a copper of it, any more than it will of the municipal indebtedness of the city of Montreal, the interest of which is rezularly paid every year. Twelve or thirteen millions of dollars' worth of public works is all we are able to show for an increase of our debt from $£ 133,000$ at the time of the union, to $827,500,000$, which, on going out of the union to enter into the Confederation, is the Lower Canada proportion of the 862,500 -

000 of public debt we are bringing into it. I do not take into consideration the Municipal Loan Fund indebtedness, nor the Seigniorial Tenure redemption, because if we have reccived any benefit from the outlay, we are going to be charged for those items separately over and above our share in the $\$ 62,500,000$. If I am not right in thus stating the case, I hope honorable gentlemen on the other side of the House will correct me. From the ex planations given the other day by the Honorable Finance Miuister, I infer that by putting the Seigdionial Tenure to the oharge of Lower Canada; and by Upper Canada abandoning its indemnity' for the Seigniorial Tenure expenditure, there is no nicessity for taking those itens into account as part of the liability of Canada in the Confederation; that the charge for the redemption of the Scigniorial Terrure, the tornship indemnity under the Seigniorial Act of 1859, the interest on that indemnity, the liability of the proviace to the Superior Education Fund, and the loss on the Lower Canada Municipal Loan Fund, amounting in all to about $\$ 4,500,000$, will have to be paid by Lower Canada alone, the interest on which, at five per cent, will be 8225,000 , which sam will be retained out of the $\$ 880,000$ to be paid by the Gencral Gorerniment to Low Cavada for local purposes, leaving something less than 60 cents per head for carrying on the Local Govermment. Upper Canada came into the union with a debt of \& $1,300,000$ : Immediately after the union \&l, 500,000 sterling was borrowed for public works, most of which amount was expended ia Upper Canada. And yet Vpper Canadagoes out of the union : by simply abandoning its. clain for indeminity under the Seigniorial Tenure Act, having nothing to assume but its Municipal Loan Fund and its share in the Federal debt; while Lower Canada, en the contrary, goes out with a load of $8,500,000$ of lecal debt, besides the $\$ 27,500,000$ which falls to its share to be paid through the General Government. Aud this, too, after paying for twenty tivo years a highly increased taxation, for all which it has nothing to show except public iuiprovements to the amount of about $\$ 13,000,000 .^{\circ}$ Sir, if such a scheme as this, ou being submitted to the people, would be approved by them, I am very much mistaken. I submit that no sueh project ought to bet rutad by the House, before we have the fullest information deces sary to enable us to couse to right conelusions. (Hear, hear.) It is for the security of the majority, as well as of the minority, I make
this demand: Honorable gentlemen who-ory "hearl hear!" may find themselves very much disappointed, if, after this portion of the scheme is passed, the local constitutions proposed were quite unsatisfactory. I contend that the local constitutions are as much an essential part of the whole as the general Constitution, and that they both should have becn laid at the same time before the House. (Hear, hear.) : Wo ought, besides, to have a clear statement of what are the lisbilities specially assigned to Upper and Lower Canada. (Hear, hear.) It is well that Upper Canäda should snow if she has to pay the indebtedness of Port Hope, Cobourg," Brockville, Niagara, and other municipalities which have borrowed from the munjicipal loan fund, and what these liabilities are; and it is important for Lower Canada to be told what are the amounts they will be required to tax'themiselves for: We ought; besides, to 'obtain some' kind of information upon the subject of the Intercolonial Railway, what is the proposed cost, and what route is to be followed; and before these facts are before the Hoase, we ought not to take it upon ourselves to legislate on the subject . Still further, the people of the country do not understand the scheme. (Hear, hear:) Many members of this House, before hearing the explanations which have been offered, were, and others are still; in ${ }^{4}$ doubt as to the bearing of many of these resolutions. In the Upper House doubts. were expressed as to who should recommend the appointwent of the menbers composing the Legislative Council. It Wris thought in many quarters that the appointment of these mentera was to be made by the local goverìments after the scheme stionld come into operation: But this it seens is a mistake. There are many other matters with which we are unacquainted, particularly as regards the assets and liabilities. There is a provision that the nominition of the judges of the superior courts shall be vested in the General Governuent, but it would seem that the constitation of the courts is to be left to the local governments; and I pat the question, What does this mean? Do you mean that the local guverament's are to establish as many cuirts as they please, declare of how uany judiges they, will be composed, and that the Geueral Government will have to pay for them?. Is a local goverument to say, here is a court with three judges'; we want five, and those. tive must be appointed and paid by the General Goverament? I have received no answer to this and to several other questions. I I cand
well understand what is meant by the regala tion of the law of divorce; but what is meant by the regulation of the marriage question? Is the General Government to be at liberty to set aside all that we have been in the habit of doing in Lover Canada in this respect? Will the General Government have the power to determino the degree of relationship and the age beyond which parties may marry; as well as the consent which will be required to make a marriage valid? (Hear, hear.) Will all these questions be left to the General Government? If so, "it will have the power to upset one of the most important portions of our civil code, and one affecting more than any other all classes of society. The adoption, for instance, of the English rule, whereby females at the age of twelve," and males at the age of fourteen, can contract a valid marriage without the consent of parents, tutors or guardians, would be looked to by the mass of the people of Lower Canada as a most öbjeotionable innovation in our laws, as would also any provision to allow such marriages to take place before any common magistrate without any formality whatsoever:. (Hear, hear.) Yet is there no danger that such measures might be carried, when you see the different feelings existing on these questions amiong the people of the different provinces ${ }^{2}$ There is another question to which I must refer before closing. It is said that the division of the debt is a fair one. We have given, say the Government, 825 of debt to each inhabitant-that is, in those provinces where it wis less, they have increased it to that aunount, charging it to the Confederation, and thereby they have nade a present to the several provinces of the difference betwieen their present indebtedness and the $\$ 25$ per head. (Laughter:) This 825 per head, when compired to the debt of England, is a heavier burthen upon our own people than the ${ }_{n}$ Imperial debt upon the people of England, taking into consideration the greater wealth per individual in England and the fact that the greater part of that debt 'bears three per cent. interest. " (Hear, hear). There is another aspect in which this question of debt is to be considered.' To equalize it, the Conference have increased it on the basis of the present population for the several provinces. This is fair enough at present, supposing that each province contribate the same proportion to the general revenue, and would continue to be so if their population progressed in the same ratio of increase; but, from the nataral àdvantages of Uppor and Lower

Canada, and their greater area of arable lands, there is no doubt they will increase in popnlation and wealth in a much greater ratio than the Lower Provinces, and in ten years hence, this proportion, which this day appears a fair one, will have much increased for Upper and Lower Canada, while it will have diminished tor the Lower Provinces. (Hear, hear.). I owe "an apology to the House for having of fered such lengthened remarks on this question, and I have to thank honorable members. for having so kindly listened to them.. (Cries of "go on.") I will simply content myself with saying that for these reasons which I have so inperfectly exposed, I strongly fear it would be durk day for Canada when she adopted such a scheme as this. (Cheers.) It would be one marked in the history of this country as having had a most depressing and crusthing influence on the energies of the people in both Upper and Lower Canada--(hear hear) - for 1 consider it one of the worst schemes that could be brought under the consideration of the House; and if it should to adupted without the sanction of the people, the country would never cease to regret it. (Hear, hear.), What is the necessity for all this histe? The louger this Constitution is expected to list, the greater the necessity for the fullest coosideration and delaberation. I tide, sir, that when, in 1839 , Lord Jous Res--ELL brought into the IIouse of Commons his tirst measure for the union of the proviaces, lie announced his intention to hay his méasure before the House, have it read is second time, and postpone it till next sesion, to give a year to the people of Cauada to consider it and wake such representations as they, would think proper. (Hear, hear.) And it was only in the subsequent session, and after undergoing considerible modifications in the mean tine, that the ineasure was pissed. Nothing could be taore reasonable than such delay. But here it seems the people are to be treated with less respect than they were when their Constitution was suspended, aud this measure is to be pushed with indecent haste. There are three modes of obtaining thè views of the people upon the queation now under discussion. The most direct one wuald be, after debating it in this Illuse, to submit it to the people for their verdici, yea or nay. The second is to dissolve the House and "apeal to the people." Thie third is to diocuas aud pass the resolutiotis ur address to a second reading; and atterwards leave it open to the public to judge of its merits, by meeting and discussing it, and sending in petitions
and instructiog their representatives how to vote upon it when they came. to Parliament at the next session. Any one of these methods would elicit the viens of the people. But to say that the opinions of the people have been ascertained on the question, I say it is no such thing. (Hear, hear.) :We have heard one side of the question discussed, but we have heard none of the views on the other side; and yet the feeling, as exhibited in some parts of the country, has been un: mistalicably in favor of an appeal to the people. Some fifteen counties in Lower Canada have held meetings and deolared for an appeal before the scheme is allowed to pass; and when honorable gentlemen on the other side have beld second meetings, they have been condemned more conclusirely than at first (Hear, hear.) In the county of Rouville, the hoin. member representing that. county, not satisfied with the first expression of opinion, beld a secoud meeting, but the decision was still more emphatic than at the first. (Hear, hear.) Then meetings have been hald all tendirg to the same conclusion, in St: Maurice, Maskinonze, Berthier, Joli.. ette, Lichelieu, Chumb', Vcrohères, Bagot, St. Hyacicthe, Iberville, St. John's, Napier: ville, Drummond and Arthabaska, Two Mountains, Vandreuil', and also in the city of Muntreal:

Ma. BELLEROSE—What about Laval?
Hon Mr. DORION-Yes, a mieetiag was called, and called withous the requisite totice; the notice havity been given at the church doors on a Friday for a meeting on the next day; but notwithstandiag, when. the meeting was held, the hon. geateman did not"dare press a resolution in fusor of Confederation, but simply one of contidence io hiuself:" (Hear, bear.) "His fricuds collected together, and all they did was to: express confidence in him. There was nu resolution ia favor of Confederation, nor of passing such a measure without submitting it to the people. (Hear, hear.). I havenow to thas the House for the patience with which they have listened to my rowarks. In the cirms of the paragraph I have quoted from the cilobe, I shall leel it : my duty to resist the pasiage of the measure at evory stage, with - a view that the sohome should go to the people in some shape or other. (Hear, hear.) Thero is no hurry in regard to the scheme. We aro now legistatiog for the future as well as for the present, and feeling that we ought to makea Copatitution as perfect as possible, and as far as possible
in harmony with the views of the people, I maintain that we ought not to pass this measure now hat leave it to another year, in order to ascertain in the meantime what the views and sentiments of the people actually are. ('The honorable gentleman was loudly cheered on resuming his seat.)

After some discassion as to the mode of continuing the debate; the House adjourned at ten minutes past twelve.

## LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

## Fainay, February 17; 1865.

Hon Mr. CURIIE said-Hon. gentlomen, with the consent of my hon. friend opposite (Hon. Mr. Dicessos) who is cnvitled to thee floor, in consequence of having moved the adjournment of the debate," I rike for the porpose of proposing the resolutiun which for some leagth of time has been beffre the House, on the notice-paper. It is one which, I think, should commend itself to the good sense and impartial judgment of the members of this Honorable House; and I shall be surprised if it shall meet any degree of opposition from the hou: gèntle-" men representing the Government in this branch of the thegislature. (Hear, hear.) The resolution is as follows:-
That upon a matter of sach great importance as the purposed Confederationi of this and certann uther British colonies this House is unwilling to assume the responsibility of assenting to a mear "sure intolving so many mpoortant considerations, withupt further manifestation of the public will than has yet been declared.
It is not aimed at either the destruction ur the defeat of the resolutions before the House. It simply asks for delay until: such tine as the people of thie cuantry can more filly ex press their views on the matter than they grave hitherto had an opportunity of dougg: Hon. gentewen, I stated, when I tirst aduressed this Chamber an reference to the pruposid addresse, that I was not opposed to the Confederation of the British Provipees in itsell', bute that' II was opposied to tuany of the details enubraced in the reso. tatiops unup which this House is asked to fuand an: Address to Her Majesty the Queen. The houn gentleman'(Hou. Mr. Hoss) Who followed me upon ibat cectasions; atated among other thiurs, that. I had attempted to deery the Lower Provinces, and that I had attempted also to deory the oredit of

Canada. I appeal to hon members present, who were good eñough to listen to me on that occasion, to point out a single word which I said, reflecting upon the credit of the people of the eastern provinces. Instead of having. said anything to their discredit, I thought $I$ had paid them a very, high compliment. So far from reflecting upon the character of the public men of those provinces, I alluded to but one of them by name; the Hon. Mr. Ticiey, and I paid him the coinpliment, Which be fully merits, of stating that he, must be runked among the leading and most prominent of British Amérícan statesmen. (Hear, hear.) As to my decrying the credit of Canada-if, to tell the truth-if, to speak the honest convictions of one's mind-if; to state to the world whate tho Public Accounts of our country tell ns-if this be to decry the credit of our country-then I am guilty of the charge. But the hon. gentleman went on and told us, that my speech sras so illogical that it was unworthy of notice.

Hon. Mr, ROSS-I did not siay that.
Hon Mr. CURRIE-The hou. gentle. man said what amounted to that. And yet to my astonishment he found it necessary to reply to me in a speech four columus it length-a speech," however, in which he failed to controvert a single position which I had the honor to take on that occasion. Then I was oharged with haviog attacked statements of fact made by our public men

Hos. Mr. RUSS-Hear! hear!
Hon. Mr. CURRIE-The hun. gentleman from Toronto says "Hear, hear.". But I ask, is it not the duty of hon, gentlemen, standitig on the floor of this Honse, to correct misstatements which have becn sent to the country? Was I doing anything more than my duty, when, in uny humble. way, I endeavored to correct what, if not misstatements, were at least evidently incorrect statements? We have had too much of that kind of thing in this country. And sinco my hon. friend from Toronto (Hoc. Mr. Ross) has chosen to remind use of it, I must say that I think it is much to be regretted that certain statements have been made in this country, and sent from this country, which, instead of helping to build ap our credit, have done much to injure it. (Hear, hear.) Porhaps I could not allude to anything more foreibly in point, than the flaming prospectus sent to the world under the auspices of my hon. friend from Toropto, in which he promised the confiding capitalists of England a
dividecd of 1113 per cent. on the stock they might subscribe to the Grand Trunk Railway.

Hon. Mr. ROSS-Was it not 11 1 ? (Laughter.)

Hon Mr. CURRIE-No; he was yot so modest as to put it at 114. (Laughter.) It was $11 \frac{1}{1}$ per cent." 'I was charged with attacking the statements of the Hon. Mr. Thlef I stated, when last addressing the House, that Hoa: Mr. Tilley ifformed a public meeting-I think in St. John," New Bruoswick-that the tariff of fanada was in fact an 11 per cent. tariff, and my : on: friend from'Toronto said that Hon Mr. Milley was correct in making that statement.

Hon Mr: RGSS- What I said was that the average duty on the whole in ports of the country; including the free goods, was 11 per cent.

Hon. Mr. CURRIt-Then I must say that that is a very novel way of arriving at the tariff of a country -to take all the dutiable goods, to add to them all the free goods, and then to average the duty on the whole. It mày be a very convenient, but it is net. a' corcest or honest mode in my opition.

How. Ma. ROSS - It is precisely what Hon. Mr. Truley did ; and I did it in the name way.

Hon Mr. CURTIIE-My hoń: friend told us that our present abla and talented Finance Minister had stated the tariff of sur country to be an 11 per cent tariff. I asiked my hon. friend when the Fitaice Nioister stated that ?

Hov: Mie ROSS-I said that, taking the statements Hon Mr. Galt had furp nished with refercace to the tariff of customs duties, and the amonat of imports of dutiable and free goods, and fioding the average of the whole to be II per cent., Hon, Mr. Tilley had made a statement based on' Hon. Mr. Galt's uwa figures

Hon Mr: CURRIE-I find the report makes my hon. friend say, that" "Tho Hon. Mr. Trleley had quoted the figures of oar own Minister of Finance.". He was frong in that statement, beciase Hoo. Mr. Tiliey, on the occasion I referred to, had quated the figures furaished by the C'omptroller of New Brunswick.

Hon. Ma. ROSS-The Comptroller of New Ifruswiek coull not furnish the figures of the trade of Canada

Hon. Ma CURRIE-Surely my hon: friend will remember, that, togire olficiat foree t) the statement of Hon Mr. Muley, he said that, after the Comptroller of the provine had reviewed our tarifi; he came to the con-
clasion that it was but an 11 per cent. tariff Iquiote from the report :-

Hon. Mr. Thleer quoted the figures of our own Minister of Finance, and the hon. member represented bim as not speaking the truth, but as; in effect attempting to deceive those whom he dddressed. "Hon. Mr. Currie-I beg to know when the Finance Min:ster of Canada stated thet the ajerage duties collected in Canada were 11 per cent.
He (Honorable Mr. Ross) desired to be no longer interrupted; I ceased to interrupt him, and he did not give me an answer to the question.- But, if the honorable member from Toronto will tura to the celebrated speech of the Minister of Finance made only the other day at. Sherbrooke, he will find that Hon. Mr. Galt puts the Canada tariff at 20 percent.

Hon Mr. ROSS - But he did not include the free goods; that is all.

Hus. Mr. CURRIE-No, he did not include the free goods. But I say that if he had taken the ralue of dutiable goods, as we tiod it given in the Trade Recurns of 1863 - the last complete returns for a year that we have-instead of arriving at the conclusion that we had a tariff of ony :20 per cent., he would have found that the actual duty on the dutiable goods imported in 1863 was 20? per cent. (Hear, hear.) Then my hon. friend from Toronto came to the dssistance of Mr. Lrven of Halifax. dad, not stoppiag the ere, he undertook the defance of the present President of the Couscil (Hon Mr. Brown) and the Provincial Seoretary (Hon. Mr. McDougalif ) I confess I was a little amased, and somemhat surprised to find my hon. friend from Toronto becoming the apologist and champion of those" hon. geatemea, who, I believe, are perfectly competent on all occastious to take care of themselves - even without the assistance of my hon. friend. (Hear, hear.) Ho next alluded to the propriety and necessitywhen the people of Canada "rereon the poiat of forming a parthership with the other provinets - of - our knowing what the assets of those provinces were- what stock they were bringing into the common cuscern. I had shewed that we had a great many valuablo. publie works - some of them wif a prolitable character. My troa. friead told us that the Lower Provinces too were engaging in-profitable works. Ho told ins that Now Bruaswick badd spent vight millions of dollars on rail. ways, and Nora Scotia six millioas'- and that from; those railways those provinces
were getting a net revenue of $\$ 140,000$, or $\$ 70 ; 000$ a year each, which would go into the revenue of the General Governement. Well, hon gentlemen, when such statements are made on the fioor of this House, they of course go abroad, and those who make them ought to be well satisfied that they are based on reliable facts.

Hon. Mir ROSS-So they were:
Hon. Ma. CURRIE - Well, I was very wach struck" by the hon. gentlemen's statement. I was surprised to fiod it stated, in the first place, that those provinces had already spent so much' on railways; and; in the nest place, that those-railways in the eastern provinces were so much more profitable and paid so much better than the railmays in Canada. Now, I find; on looking at the Public Accounts of thoso provinces $\rightarrow$ the very latest available - that the New Brunswick railways cost $\$ 4,27^{\circ} 5,000$, and that the Nova Scotia railways cost $\$ 4,696$,-288-that the New Brunswick railways in 1863 paid $\$ 21,711$ net, and the Nova Scotia railways, $\$ 40,739$-making together, instead of $\$ 140,000$ tor the two proivinces, as stated by my hon. "friend from Toronto, the swall sum of $\$ 0,450$ And this too, hon. geatlemen will bear in mind, was from new railways, or ruilways comparatively new - and they will find, if they take the trcable to esamine the accounts, that the cost of the repairs of those railroads, as of every other railodad after it has become somewhat worn, is increasing year by year.
Hon. Mr. ROSs-Tho House will recollece that. I took the figuris which were prompted to me while speaking.

Hon. Mro CURRIE - That "is the wistake which, I fear, has been committed during the whole of this discussion. (Hear; hear.) Uur public men have been too recklcis in making statements-statements in the east, as to the prosperity of Canada; and statements in the west, as to the wealth, property and resources of those eastern provinces. ' Now, hon gentlemen, let us look at our public works, which my hen. friend in a measuro tried to belittlo and decry.
Hon. Ma. ROSS - I did not belittle them; I said that indirectly they were of of great value to the country.

Hon, Ma. CURRIE-Yes; and directly too. I find, by the Public Accounts of the province, that in 1863 the net revenue of our public works-all of which are going to the Contederate Government-yielded to
this province a net revenue of $\$ 303,187$ and that our public works cost this province, taking the amount set down in the statements of affairs of the province, \$25,931,168." So much for the stock-so far as the public works at all events are con-cerned-that this province is prepared to put into the partnership with the other provinces. (Hear, hear.) I shall refer no further to the remarks made by my hon. friend from Toronto in answer to the few words I addressed to the House the other day, beyond ixpressing my regret that my hon. friend should" not merely have been dissatisfied with the statements I made, but that he should have thought fit to take exception to the style and the manjer in which my remarks were submitted to the Honórable House.

Hon. Ma. ROSS-I said, the temper and toue.

Hon. Mr. CURRIE-From the attention you were kind enough to give me, hou. gentlemen, on that occasion, and from the way in which iny remarks were received both by mis political opponents and my political friends, I had hoped that I had not exceeded the bounds of propriety - that, neither in my temper nor in my tone" had I violated the rules of this House. If I did so I regret it, and I may be allowed to express the hope that when my native land hay paid one-toarth as much for my politicil education as it has paid for that of my hon. friend from Toronto -if my manners still fail to be those of a Chesteriield, or my eloquence that of a Pirx-l shall at all events be able to treat my fellow members: with eourtesy aịd propriety. (Heàr, hear.) But, leaving these little matters to take care of themselves, I shall now allude to the stroug pressure which seems, from some source or other, to be urging the representatives of the poople of Canada, and the people themselves, to adopt this in portant scheme without that time for deliverate consideration which a mater of that sind is entitled to. "I an satisfied that that pressure does not coue from the peuple. themselves. I am-satisfied ti does not coure either from this or from the other branch. of the Liegislature. I entertain the fear, which has been expressed before, that it has been a pressure frum without, which has been urging us to take this step too rapidly, I fear, tor our country's good. It may be that the statesmen of Great Britain,
and that a great portion of the people of Great Britain are very anxious for this measure, and that the press of that country generally approves of it. But, when they rightly understand it-when parties holding our provincial securities know that Confederation means more debt, more taxation, and a worse public credit-we will have another cry coming from across the Atlantic. And when British manufacturers know that Confederation means a higher tariff on British gocds; we shall have different views from them also, crossing the Atlantio. (Hedar, hear.) Hon. gentlemen, when I left my constituency, I had little, idea that this measure was going to be pressed upon the country in the manner in which $I$ see the Government of the day are attempting to press it. I think we should pause before adoptiag these resolutions. I think we want some more in! ormation before we adopt them. . Refore we vote away our local con-stitutions-before we vote away in fact our whole Constitution-we should k'iuw something of what:we are going to get in place of What we are giviug away. Dud any hon. gentleman suppose, before he left his home, that we would not have the whole scheme of Confederation brought duwn $t ? u s$, and be asked to pase a judgment on it, or to constder it at all ovents as a whole sehe:te? I think w"s ought to be cautious in taking half a measure until we know what is the whole of: it (Hear, hear) Hoo gentle men will remember the caution with which the Parliament of Englaud proceded, in' 1839, whon dealing with the rights of the peóple of Canada. At'that t we there was an urgent necessity for a new Constitution for the people of Canada, and a gruat neces. sity tor $1 \mathrm{It}_{;}$parttcularly in the eastern pro. viace. When the liovernment of the day brought down their resolutions-1n "something like the same shape as thise now betore the House-resolutions evibodying the principle of a Legislative Uuion-the leader of the opposition, Lord Srancey, claimed that the "whole masure stiould be 1 rought down; and the Goverument of the day was actaally compelled, by the force of public opinion in and out of Parliament, to withdrativ the resolutions, tiad to bring down their entire measure. (Hear, hear.) And are we to be less careful of our own consti. tutional rights"-are we to "gnard more loosely the interests of ourselves and those Who are to come after us-than the people
legislating for us three or four thousand miles away? Besides, we are asked by those resolutions to pledge our province-to what? To build the Intercolonial Railway, without knowing, as I stated the other day, where it is to ran, or what it is to cost. Why do we not have the report of the able engiveer sent to survey and report upon that work? Why is it delayed? Why is it attempted to hurry this measure through the Legislatare, while we are in the dark with reference to that great undertaking? It may be that it is kept back designedly, and fur the purpose of furthering this very measure, not hore, but in othér parts of British America.
Hon. Mr CAMPBELL-My hon. friend is going too far. The report has not yet been made, and, that being the case, it is some'what extraordinary to oharge the Goverament with keeping it back.

Hon. Mr.CURHIE-Certainly ; 1 think the case is bad enough, when the Government are charged mere'y with what they have done. And I have no desire to make an incorreet statement But I will put it io this way : I think we have good reason to be surprised, that the Government should com: down with their scheme, anc solbuic it to the House, before they tien theuselyes know what the work is to cont-( hear, hear)-and ank: this House and the country to pledge themselves to the construction of a work of Whieh they do not even know the oust theaselves. (Hear, hear') Put, if the report has not been prepared, we have been told in the public prints that the survey is either fin shed, or very nearly ginished The report; thereffre, can soon be furnished and, why should there be so much hurry and aaxicty to pass thes resolutions befers we get it? Thea, ágain; why do the Government not bring down those School' Bilis: which have been promised? Why are the people, or why is Parliauent, to have noopportunity of pass:ing judgment upon those mis asares-tho Sohowl Bill for Upper Canada, aid the Schiool Bill for Lower Cauada-bafore this Confederation Boheme is adupted? I canioot see the propriety of keoping baek these matters; and I do not think the nembers of the Government can show any reason whatever why they should not be settled at onee. Then, hou. geutlemeu, we should know something about the division of the public detri if hon. gevtlemen will take up the Public. Accounts placed in thair hands daring the present eession, they will find a statement of
the liabilities of this province, certifying the amount to be no less than $\$ 77,203,282$. Now it is well known that Canada is only allowed to take into the Confederation the debt of 862,$500 ; 000$. 'We have a right to ask' how the other $\$ 15,000,000$ are to be paid? By whom are they to bo assumed? What portion is Upper Canada to assume? What portion is Lower Canada to assume? (Hear, hear.) Then, hon. gentlemen, if we adopt these resolutions, and a bill based on then is brought into the Imperial Parliament and carried--look at the power whioh is given to the Confederate Parliament. They have the power to impose local taxation upon e ch of the separate provinces" - I would like to know how that power is to be exercised; I would like to know whether it is to be a capitation tax, or an acreage tax upon: the lands of the province, or whether it is to "be a tax urou the general property of the province, I an sure there is no hoo gentlemain present who would not like information on these points, before voting for this schense. (Hear, hear.) Then, hon gentle. men, there is another very imporiant ques. tion-the question of the defence of these provinces- Which within a few months has taken a shape which it never took before in the history of this country,..I shall trespass on the attention of the House for a few moments, while $I$ read an extract from. a very able report on that question, which ranks, and in time to come too will rank, destruedly high as a State paper., it is a memorandum of the Execuive Conneil, dated Octeber, 1N62, at the time the Macdonald-Sicotre Administration hold office. Aud, whatever the errors of that Govornarat might have been, however they may, have been found fault with in other matters, I believe the people gen rally were of opinion that the stand which the Governmeut took on that yuestion, was one which catitled them to the respect and confidence of the cominunity at large. The Government say in this meuorandutu :-
That they are not onwiling to try to the utmost to comply with the duggestions of the Imporial Goverument is evidenced by the mantier it which the projected Intereolonial Railway hag been entertianed. Their conduct in this matter should relieve them from every iunputation. At the same time, they insist that they are and must be allowed to be the beas judges of the pressure. which th provincial credit can sustain. They are prepared, subject to certain conditious, to encumber this credit with liabilities arising ont of
the Intercolonial Railway, but they are not pre. pared to enter upon a lavish expenditure to build up a military srstem distastefal to the Canadian people, disproportionate to Canadian resoarces; and not called for by any circumstance of which they, at present have cognizance,
That is, the arming and bringing into the field a force of $50,000 \mathrm{men}$.

His Grace, while promising liberal assistance, contends that any available supply of regular troops would be unequal to the defence of the province-and that the main dependence ố such a country for defence must be upon its own people. Your Excellency's advisers. would not be faithful to their own convictions or to the tust reposed in them; if they withheld an expression of their bulief that withont very large assistance any etforts or sacrifices of which the people of the province are capable, would not enable them successfully and for any lengthened period tw repel invasion from the neighboring republic. They have relied for protection in some degree upon the fact, that under no conceivable circum. stances will they provoke war with the United States, and if theretore Canaida should become the theatre of war resulting from Imperial policy, while it would cheerfully put forth its strength in the defence of its soil, it, wauld nevertheless be obliged to rely for its protection mainly upon Imperial rejources; and in such an event it is their opinion that they would be justified in ex pecting to be assisted in the work of defence with the whole strength of the empire. It is not hecessary at this stage of their history, to put for wand assuranees of the readinesg of the Canadian people ti assume whatever responsibilities belong to the th his subjects of Her Majesty. Their devonon thas. been exhibited toj often to be open to doubt or depreciation. They have made sacrifices' that should relieve them from suspicion, and which Her Majesty's Government should remember as a pledge 领 their fidelity: No portion of the empre is exposed to sufferings and sacrifices equal to thựe which would inevitably fall upon this province in the event of war with the United States. No probable combination of regular troops and militia would preserve our soil from invading armies ; and no fortune which the most sanguine dare hope for would prevent our most Hourishing distrets from being the battle field of the war. Our trade would be brought to a standstill, our iiddustry woula be paralyzed, our richest farming lands ${ }^{\text {h devastated, our towns and villages }}$ destryfed ; homes, happy in peace, would be reytered miserable by war, and all as the result offevents for the production of which Canada $w$ (unld be in no wide accountable.
Ad, honorable gentlemen, that is not only the language in tiues past of leading politieining in Canada. Hon gentlemen may oall to mind the writings and sayings to the same effect oi men in the eastern provinces-men now holaing high position under the Imper
rial Goyernment. One hon. gentlemen, to whom I have particular reference (Hon. Josepr Howe declared it was unreasonable to expect that "we should defend ourselves against a foreign power, when we had no voice either in the declaring of war or the making of peace-that while we were quite ready; as in times past, to expose our persons and property to meet the invader at the threshold of our country, we were un willing. to take upon ourselves, as colonists, a duty which belonged to the parent state. But does this correspond with the views that are now adopted by the Ministry of the day? I hold in my hand an extract from a speech delivered by one of the most prominent members of the Government at a recent banquet in the city of Toronto And what did that hon. gentleman say? Speeaking of the Conference at Quebec; he stated that "the delegates unanimously resolved that the United Provinces of British North America shall be placed at the earlicst moment in a thorough state of defence." Hon. gentlemen, I was not aware that the Imperial Govern: ment had ever cast off the burden of the defence of this province. But we are told by an hon. gentleman, high in the Execu tive, that this Conference, self-appointed as it was, by a resolation that we do not see laid upon the table, promised to place the province in a thorough state of defence. Hon. gentlemen, what does that mean? It means an expendetare here of "our or five millions of dollars annually, or else the statement exceeded the truth. Again the hon. gentleman stated:-"The Conference at Quebec did notsseparate before entering into a pledge to put the military and naval defences of the united provinces in the most complete and satisfactory position." "Before we discuss this scheme: further-betore we are called on to give a vote upon it-I say we ought to know something more with reference to this important matter. (Hear, hear). Hon. gentlemeă may perhaps argue that there is no necessity for this: guestion going to the people - no necessity for further time being alluwed to the people of Upper Canada or of Canada generally to consider this matter. Why, hon. geutlenien, has it not been stated by every hon. member who has taken the floor to address the House. on this question, that it is the mest important question ever submitted to this or any other British Colonial Legislature? And yetmany of those hon. members are unwilling
that the people of this country should have any further time to consider this important matter-although, by the laws of our land, no municipality has a right to enact or pass a by-law creating a little.petty debt, not to be paid off within a year, withbut submitting it first to the vote of the people: (Hear.) Hon. genilemen assign as a reason why the matter should not be submitted to the people-that we have had a number of elections to this House since it was known that the scheme of Confederation was under the consideration of the Government; and that these elections went favorably to the scheme. I would ask, hon. gentlemen, how many elections have we had in Upper Canada since the scheme was printed aid laid before tho people? I would like to see the hon.-gentleman -stand up., who has been elected to come here to vote upon this scheme since it was submitted to the people. It is true we have had one electicn in Upper Canada since that timemy hon. friend near me (Hon. Mr. Simpson) alluded to it yesterday-the election in South Ontario, a coustituency until recently repre sented by one of the hon.: gentlemen who entered the Ministry. which briags this scheme before us-our present esteemed Vice-Chanceller of Cpper Clanada, Hon. Mr. Mownt. What did the candidates say at that election? Both of them, as stated by my thonorable friend, in arking the suf, frages: of the people, had to promise that, if elected "to Parliament, they' would vote for a submission of this scheme to the people. (Hear, hear.) And that is the last election we have had iv Upper Caneda. It is true that many honorable gentlemen now present, in their addresses to their everal constitucncies, wheu seeking election last fall, "said they were in favor of a union of the Britisti North American Provinees, But, hon. gentlemen, there is nut man in this chamber, within the sound of my voice, who would pot say the same. I ain mysolf as much in favor of Confederation today as ever I was tin'my life; and I will challenge any one to say that at any time; on any public occasion, 1 ever said aught against the sebetme of the Confederation of the British North American Provincees. (Hear, hear.) But, honorable gentlemen, when I fook at this schenic, iuperfect as I conceive it to be, if receives my opposition; not because it is a scheme for the Confederation of British North America, but becanse it is a scheme containing within itself the germs of its
destruction, The resolution before the House is not, as I' said before, aimed at the destruction of the scheme; " and I hope, before the debate closes, the Government will see the propriety and the advisability of granting the reasonable delay therein asked for. Suppose the Government concedes even the short delay of one month,-it can do no possible harin to the measure: - If the measure be good-if it be so desirable as the governments of the respective provinces tell us it is-the simple permitting it to stand over for a month will certainly not destroy it. If, on the other band, it be bad-if it contain within itself the elements of decayit is better to know it now than hereafter, when the resolutions will have been embodied in a Statute over which we have no control. To shew m'y own feeling in the matter, all I have to say is this: give a reasonablo delay-allow the section of the country I have the honor to represent to speak on the subject, gnd if it be found to be the will of my constituents that the measure in its present shape be adopted, honorable gentlemen may be assured that I shall give them' no further opposition ; and that instead of doing everything in my power to impede the progress of these resolutions, I will do nothing to impede their progress through the House. "But," say hon geatlemen, "delay means defeat." If it be a good measure-if it commènd itself to the approval of the people, supported as it is by the nost able and brilliant meno ia Parliament-the scheme is in no danger. And, hou. gentlemea, sup: posing a itonth's delay is granted, we will even then be turther advanced with the measure than the people of the eastern pro vinces. The writs for the elections in New Bruoswick are returnable, if I mistake not, on the $\mathbf{2 5 t h}$ March.
Hon: Mr. CaMPBELL - On the 9th March.
Hon. Ma. UURRIE-Then it will be at least ihe elst or Dind of March before tho Legislature: of that eolony, can be called together.

Hon. Ma: CaMPBELL-I misunder. stood the statement made by my hon. frievi. What I meant to say was that the Legislature of Now Brunswick is expected to assemble: on the 8th or 9th of March.

Họn. Mr.CURMIE-Then they are going to hurry up maters thero, I am sorry to hear, nearly as fast as ini Canada, the people of which have not had the same opportunity,
at all events, of considering the question as the people of New Brunswick. The people of New Brunswick seem to be fully alive to the importance of this momentous question, and I hope that when their verdict is given it will be a well-considered verdict ; but this we do know; that it will not be given until after a free and fair opportunity has been afforded them of discussing the question on its merits in all ita bearings. My hon. friend from the: Western Division (Hon. Mr. McCrea) really surprised me the other day when he declared that an elective Legislative Council was neither asked for nor desired by the people. My recollection is that the Conncil under the nominative system was a standing grievance in Lower Canada as well as in Upper Canada.

Hon. Mr. McCREA-That was before the union.

Hon. Mr. CURRIE-The demand arose that the Council should be elective.

Hon. Mr. MoCREA - Not after the union.

Hon. Mr. CURRIE-My hon. friend is, I can assure him, mistaken in stating that there were no petitions in favor of an elective Legislative Council at the time of the change: If my hon. friend will consult the Joprnals of Parliament, he will find there petitious for the change; he will find also that from the town of Cobourg a petition was received in favor of representation by popalation in this as well as in the other brani $h$ of the Legislature. "But my hon. friend, in his ignorance of the facts of the case-although he certainly handled the subjeot with a good deal of ability, though not with the ability he usually puts torth when he has a good cause to plead-(a laugh)-mide a statement which he could scarcely have considered before bringing it under the notioe ot the House. He said that a House appointed by the Crown wuald be more responsible to the people than the present House. That hon. gentlennen, is curainly a new doctrine to me. If such would be the case, why, I ask, do you nut apply the same system to the other brauch of the Legislatare? In such in event I feel assured that the Government of the day would have a much more comfortable and pleasant life of it than oven the present Government, strong and talented as they undoubtedly are. (Laughter.). But, says my hod. friend. once more, the people of Canada are in favor of the seheme, in regard to which they have had ample time
for holding meetings and adopting petitions:: But, I would ask what did most of the members even of this House know of the scheme when they first came to Quebec? Did we know as much about it then as we know now?

Hon. Mr. ROSS-Yes.
HON. $:$ MR. CURRIE-My hon. friend from Toronto says, "Yes."

AN HON. MEMBER-No.
Hon. Mi: CURRIE A nother hon: mem. ber replies," no." I may say for myself that I have learned something even from the speech of my hon. friend from Toronto that I did not know before. The people of the country hàve been waiting, expecting this matter would be discussed in Parliament, and that the whole sckeme would be presented so as to enable itsi being judged of as a hole. Unfortunately, however, it is only a part of the scheme which we have at this moment before the Council. I did not have the pleasure of hearing the whole of the remarks of my hon. friend from Montreal (Hou. Mr. Ferbicr), but I was greatly interested in listening to the portion I did hear. I refer to what he said respecting the ministerial crisis in June last: I thought that the cele-, brated menorandum, which, by the bye, has since been in great part repudiated by the ${ }^{\circ}$ Government of the day, contained all the Ministerial explanations. But that scene, so fo:cibly described by the hoo. geatleman, where the President of the Council met the Attorney General East-

Hon. Mr. FERRIER-I did not say I samp it. I only heard of it.

Hon. Ma. CURRIE-When the Hon. Mr. Cartigr "embraced the Hon. Mr. Brown: (Laughter.)

Hon. Mr. FERRIER-I simply said it was so report td on the streets:

Hon. Ma. CURBIE - And the Hon. Mr. Brown promised eternal allegiance to the Hon. Mr. Cabtiba. (Laughter.)

Hon. Ma. EERRIER-I way simply giving the on-dit of the day. I said I knew nothiag whatever of it further than what' I had heard on the atreetes.

Hon. Mr. ourrie-I must have misunderstood my hon. friend. I thought he was a witness of the affecting secme. (Laughter.) But my hon friend did tell the House somethiug which was new to me, and which mast have sounded as new to the conntry, when he said that the Grand Trunk Railway cost the people of Canada very little. The
hon. gentleman seemed to think that I was very much opposed to the Grand Trunk. But' never in my life have I spoken a single word against the Grand Trunk as a railway. I believe there is no hon. gentle. man who can possibly appreciate more highly the commercial advantages to this country of that work than I do. At the same time, I have taken occasion, and may do so again if the necessity requires it, to speak of some of the transactions connected with that undertaking:. Let this work or an'y other public work come under the attention of this Chamber, and it will reçeive át my hands in the fature, as in the past, that degree of consideration to which as a public work it is entitled: I hope the day is not. far distant when the Grand Trunk will become what it ought to be, a striotly and entirely commercial work, and when the people of all classes and parties will look upon it with favor.
Hon, Mr. FERRIER-It is strictly a cominercial work now.

Hon: Mr. CURRTE-My hon. friend stated that it had cost the country, a mere trifle. Büt unluckily the Public Accounts do not tell the same story, and they. do not exactly confirm the views of my hon. friend in relation to this work. If he looks at the assets of the province-ithe valuables of the province-he will find there is a charge against the Grand Trank of $815,142,000$ for debentures. And besides there is this little 8100,000 which has been used in redeeming the city of Montreal bonds. There is something more besides about subsidiary lines.

Hon. Me. FERRLiER-I spoke of the first capital investment.
Hon. Mr. CURRIE-My hon. friend from the Erie Division (Hon. Mr. Carigire) admitted in openirg his caso that this sohome was very much marred by its details. Admitting this-which is jost the whole argu-ment-that the details ao greatly mar tha sotheme, it is mach to be fegred that the measure will not work so peacefully, usefully, or harmoniously as its originatons expeoted; and I beliove sincerely, hoped it would do; because I do these hon. gentlenieit the oredit of belioving that in devising a scheme which should ba for the fatave as well as the present welfare of the country, thiny were animated by a desire to do the very best they conld under the circumstanges. Theirl great orror, in my opinion, lay in
their yielding too much on "the part of Canada to gratify the eastern provinces, so as to enable them to bring about this scheme at the present moment. If the scheme is so marred in its details as to destroy the whole measure, why not reject it? Then my hon. friend gliuded to the state of the مcountry, just before the present Goverament Whas formed, in terms which I hardly think fie was justified in using. He claimed that the country was in a suate of anarchy and confusion." Now, hon. gentlemen, I mast say that for miy part I saw none of that anarchy, and I must say very little of that confüsion. I assert that there may. be witnessed in other lands what was Fitnessed in this. We saw weak govera:ments stiving month after month to keep themselves in power, and we saw these gofernments daily and hourly attacked by a strong and wary opposition: But, hou. gentlemen, I have yet. to learn that the giving of 17 additional menbers to Upper Canada and 47 members to the eastern provinces will ensure us against the same state of things in the future, It was very well put by the hon. member for Wellington (Hon. Mr: SANBORN)" when he said, if there was more patriotism on the part of our public men, and less desire to sacrifice the country, for the good of party, "we would not have had that state of confusion to which myithon. friend from the Erie Division has alluded. Then my hon. friend who represents the Erie Division, in order to fortify the position he took in supporting the scheme, took up. the resolutions adopted by the Toronto $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ : form Convention in 1859. He stated that I was a delegate present at that convention ; bat I can only say that, although elected a. delegate; llook no part in the procoedings, and know nothing more of them than I loarned from the public prints. The hon. gentleman, however, conveniently read oinly a part of the resolutions:- But it must be admitted that these resolutions were the identical basis upon which the present Government. was organized. 'This Góvernment' was organized for the express parpose of carrying out the arrangements embodied in the resolutions of that body. And, hon. gentlemen, a committee was appointed by the Toronto Convention, and that committee prepared a drait address to the publio. That Fas submitted to the executive committee, and considered on the 15th of February, 1860, and was revised and sent to the country as
the address of the convention, of whith the hon. member for Erie was a member, and over which he also presided as one of the vice-chairmen. And what did they say ?. That convention never intended that Parliament should change the Constitution or give ns a new Constitution without eonsulting the people and allowing the public an opportunity of passing its judgment upon the pro-posed new Constitation. And how did this convention propose to secure the people'the right of passidg judgment upon so impor: tant a seheme as the adoption of a new Constitution? Here it is, in large typo-and I have no doubt my hon. friend has often read it in going through his large, wealthy, and prosperous division.

Hon. Mr. CHRISTIE-It was not presented to the convention.

Hon. Mr: CURRIE-I wish to put my hon. friend right. The meeting pras held on the 23rd September, 1859 , and was presided over by the late Hon. Adamin Ferausson; and my hon friend, the member for Erie Division, and Mr. D. A. MacdonALD were vice-presidents. A speoial eom-: mittee was appointed at that meeting to draft an address to the people of Upper Canada on the political affairs of the province in support of the resolutions then adopted. A draft of the address was submitted to the executive committee."

Hon.: Mr. CHRISTIE - I was not a member of that committee.

Hon. Mr.CURRIE - The publio meeting was held on the 15 th February 1860.

Hon. Mk. CBRISTIE-And when was the address pablished?

Hon. Mi. CURRIE-It was published in this shape in February, 1860. Well, one. of the provisions contained in that address was this:-"Secure these rights by a written Constitution, ratifed by the people, and incapable of alteration except by their for: mal sanction." Hon. gentlemen, I fear the hon. member for Erie Division will hardly be able to justify the course he feels called tyon to take on this occasion by anything contained in the address or the resolution of the Toronto convention. The hon. gentleman would never have thought of preparing such a seheme as this to be submitted to the members of such a convention. Bat think you that had such a scheme been presented they would not have demanded that it should be left to the people? Think you, hon. gentlemen, that that scheme would have met the approval
of that body in its present shappo? I am sure that my hon. friend, warm as be now is in support of the scheme, could hardly have accepted such an issue. I am sure that even the present Government, backed as they are by a large majority in both branches of the Legislature, and possessing as they do a large amount of the talent,-I' may say a majority of the talent -of Parliament, dare not bring such resolutions down as a Government measure and ask the Legislature to support them in carrying it through. Then my hon friend thought that the scheme had gone through the length and breadth of the land. Hou. gentlemed, it is quite true that the resolutions have gone through the length and breadth of the land; but where has there been that discussion in Canada to which renolutions of so much importance are entitled - except in Lower Canada, where I am told that fifteen counties have repudiated the resolutions, when they were submitted to public meetings.? And in Upper Canada, where is the single instance of discussion of the facts having taken place except in the city of Toronto, where there was littie or no discussion, and where it was promised that that city, like Quebec, should: be made the seat of one of the local governments? I üderstood my hon. friend froni Erie Division to take issue on the fuct that the delegates to the Conferente were not selfelected; and heard my hon friend from Montreal deny it also. But if yuntake up a copy of the resolutions and the despatches accompansing them. you will ind that they were in every sense of the word self.elected. And if they were not selt elected, who deputed them to come and do what they have done? Did the basis on which the Government was formed authorize them to enter into this compact? The basis on which the Government was formed speaks for itself. The measure they promised the people of Upper Canada: Was simply a measure to settle the existing diffeulties between Upper: and Lower Canada. They were to form Upper and Lower Canada into a Federation upon such a basis as would hereafter allow the other provinces, if ayreeable, and if they could agree as to terme, to also enter the Federation. These are the bases cn which the present Goverument was formed, and these are the bases on whict the members of that Government went to the country and asked for the support of their constituents. And to bear me out in this
assertion, I have only to read the language of His Excellency the Governor General as Ifind it embodied in His Excellenoy's Speech at the close of the last geision of Parliament. You will find it in the latter part of the Speech.". His Excellency says :-" The time has arrived when the constitutional question, Which has for many years agitat ad this province, is ripe for settlement." What" prov. iuce is alluded to in this paragraph ? . Most certainly the province of Canada. " "It is' iny intention," proceeds His Excellency, "during the approaching recess, to endeavor to devise a plan for this parpose, which will be laid before Parliament at its next meet. ing." "Hon. gentlemen, where is that plan? Where is the measure so promised in the Speech from the Throne. "In releasing you from further attendance, His Excellency goes on to say, "I would impress apon you the importance of using the influence which the confidence of your fellow subjects confers upon you to secare for any scheme which may be prepared with this obje: $:=$ a calm and impartial consideration both in Parliament and throughout the country?", Now, what does this mean? 'If it means anythiog, it means this, that the Goverament prow mised to bring down a measure to this Legislature to enableus to Confederate Upper and Lower Cadsda. "Well," hon. gentlemen say, "they have brought down a larger scheme. Yes, but who asked them to bring down that solheme? It is said that it makes no difference. which scheme was laid before the House ; but I contend that it makes all the differeace, for if these resolutions had reference simply to Upper aud Lower Cana: da, they would be susceptible of amendment by this House. : In suck a cass, hon. genilemien would not have come down as we now see them'shaking their resolutions in the face of the wembers of the Legislature, and saying, "Here is a treaty which you must accept in jte gatirety or not at all." They would not be warning us at our peril to alter a word or erase a line on pain of being branded ay disunionists; or perhaps something worse than that. Had they brought down the resolution's they were pledged to bring down, we would be sitting here calmly and dispassionately, aided by the Goverament of the day, franiog a measure which would to in very deed for the benefit of the two provinces. But why do the Governasent seet to shelter themselves so completely behind these resolu-
tions-resolutions which, as they stand, are incapable of justification - resolutions which shew concession after concession to have been made to the eastern provinces, but not one of which (I challenge them to the proof) was made by the Lower Provinces to the people of Canada? Then look at the representation at the Conference. Both parties. I believe, from all the provinces were represented, except as regards one sec; tion of Carada. There was no one representing in the Conference the Liberal party in Lower Canada " (Hear, hear.) While in the eastern provinces the Government of the day were maguanimous enough to ask the cooperation and consideration of the leaders of the Opposition in those provinces, the hon. gentlemen" in Canada ignored entirely the existence of the Liberal party in Lover Canada. (Hear, hear.) My hon. friend from the Erie Division teils us that he is stronely opposed to the details of the scheme.
Hows Mr. CHRISTIE-I did not sà so. I stated in reference to the elective prinoiple that I was opposed to its abrogation.
Hon. Mr. CURRIE-If the hon. gentleman feels towards the elective principle as strongly as I do, he will oppose its abiogation to the last. I have reason to teel strongly in regard to that principle, being, like himself, indebted for it to' a seat in the Legislature ; and I will resist the measure very long. beföre I vote against a principle giving the people power to sead me here as their representative. The hon. gentleman also told us that the whole country is in favor of Federation. I have no doubt the whole coun*. try is in fasor of Federation in itself, but there are many people throughout Canada who are opposed to the present scheme on account of its details. Then the hon. gentlenian declared that the country understood the scheme. Nuw, what better illustration can we have of the falsity of this "position than what was witnessed on the floos of this Bouse lasi night? We then heard one of the most intelligent and one of the most able members of the mercantile community in lipper Canada, my hon. friend from Ottawa Division (Hon. Mr. Skead) tell us it was only within the last twenty:four hours that he had understood the scheme as now submitted to the House. And yet we are gravely told that the whole country understands it! Do the people of the province generally kiom anything in reference
to the cost of working the scheme? Hon: gentlemèn, it has been stated in various parts of the conatry, by leading public men of the country, that the local subssidies propesed in the scheme' will be more than sufficient to carry on the local governments of the several provinces. 'Buts "hon. gentlemen, we must judge of the future by the experience afforded by the past. If you will look af the Public Accounts of Upper and Lower Canada -take for instance Upper Canadi in 1838,you will find that the expenditure on 450,000 of a p pulation was $\$ 885,000$ for one ycar. But hon. qentlemen may assert that at that time, Upper Canada had to bear the burdeng of the wilitia and pay the cost of collecting the customs, and some other small charges which it is now proposed to throw on the Federal Government. But what were the charges of the militia for that year? The ¿nsignificant sum of $£ 649$. 19 s . 11 d d. Thén there was received from fees and commissions $£ 31715 \mathrm{~s}$., thiss making the total cost of the militia to Upper Canada no more thàn £33\%. 4s. 11dd. Then as to eustoms. Why honorable gequlemen, the whole cost or collecting the customs revenue in Upper Canada, during the year 1838, amounted to $£ 2,792$. 14 s . 2 d - just about one half the cost, hardly one half the cost - of collecting the present dúties at the port of. Toranto. Then if you come down to Lower Canada you will find that at the tigie of the union you hal a population of 650,070 souls, and that the expense of governing the people was $8: 573,348$. And I venture to say that no people in the world were ever more cheaply governed than weresthe people of Lower Canada before the nuion. . (Hear, hear.) But if you can govern them after t'se union'just as cheaply per head as before, what do you find ?: You will require 8980,000 to. carry on the government of the country; independent of paying the interest apon the large portion of debt saddled uphon you. In Upper Ganada, we have been told that we really shall not know what to do with the large amount of money about to be lavished on the Local Legislature. (Laughter.)

Hon. Mr. Mclerea- Who said that-: that we would have more money than we know what to do with ?

HoN: Ma: CURRIE-You must have read it in the speeches made in the other House, and particularly in the speeches of the Hon. Mr. Brown:. Well, if we can govern the people of Upper Canada as cheap-
ly after the union as before, it will cost $\$ 2,170,000$ or $\$ 1,054,000$ more than the amount of the local subsidy. I am sure no hon. gentleman will believe that wa are going to be more saving of the public money in the future than we were in those early days of our history. . Hon. gentlemen, it is said that the people of the country have had those resolutions before them; that they perfectly understand them; and that they are prepared to pass a dispassionate judgment in the matter: It ill becomes the members of the Government to make such a statement. Why, what has been witnessed on the toor of this House? A simple question was put to the Hon. Commissioner of Crown Lands as to the manner in which the members of the Legislative Conncils of the rarious provinces were to be appointed. The Hon. Commissioner informed us that the appoint-: ments were to be made by the local governments, and he was confirmed in that view by, the hon, and gallant Premier, who had the; dignity conferred upon him of presiding over the Conference of delegates held in this city.

Hon. Mr CAMPBELL-I do not think that my hon. colleague said anything on the subbject.

Hon Mr CURRIE-I understood him to confirm the statewent of the Hon. Com: 'missioner of Crown Lands: But at all. events, he heard the statement and did not object to it: ' . But what did you find'? After the absurdity of that position was' pointed out, my hon friend, the Commissioner of Crown Laids, asks a day to give an ańswer to the question, and he comes down nexi day and gives a totally different reply: A few days later, the question of the export duty on the minerals of Nova Scotia came under consideratina, and I understood the Hon. Commissioner of Crown Latids as saying that in his opinion only the coal and minerals exported to foreign countries would be liable to duty. $\therefore$ But according to the explanations given by the hon. gentleman. afterwards, I understand that the export duty will apply to all coal and minerals exported from Nova Scotia. My bon. triend went on to explain the mieaning of this export.duty, And what is his explanation? He tells us that it is nothing more than a royalty. The export duty is imposed simply, upon the coal whish leave the cuuntry. In Nova Scotia they now iapose a royalty, and that royalty they intend to change for an
export duty, and the "difference in their favor will be this-that on the coal they consume themselves there will be ao daty, but on the coal they send to Canada there will be this barrier of an export duty.

Hon. Mr. ROSS-My hon friead will see this, that had all the Croizn lands in the different colonies :been placed in the hands of the General Government, the General Government would have received all the proceeds thercfrom. But those have been given to the local governments, and as in Upper Canada we/will have timber dues, so in Nova Scotia they are entitled to a revenne from their coal.

Hon. Mr. CURRIE-Any one not acquanted with the subject would naturally fancy from the language of my hon. friend that under Federation we are to have something which we did not possess before. But the Crowo lands are the property of Unper and Lower Canada now and wo ure entitled to the revenue from them:

Hon. Me ROSS-And so is Nora Scotia entitled to a revenue from their coal:

Hon. Mr. CURRIE - But you give them a privilege not accorded to the other provinces of imposing export duties. Hon. gentlemen, I would now desire to allude to another matter which I think the people do not thoroughly understand, and that is the apportionment of the public debt.' . I stated before and I again assert that revenue is the only true baxis on which the people should os into Confeteration as regards their debt; ald I think iny hon. friend from the Sauge n Division (Hon. Mr. Macruzeison) sai the matter in the same light.

How. Ma MACPHERSON-Not in this case, becanse wo have not the revenue to base it upon.

Hon. Mr. CURRIE-Why have we not the revenue to base it upon? Hon. gentlomen, the Trade Returias of Nowa Scotia, New Branswick, and Prince Edward Island, are in the Library below, aud twenty-four hours' work of a competent accountant would shew what cach province would contribute to the general revenue from her tifade under' our present tariff.

Hon. Mir. CAYPBELI-Bat does not the hon. gentleman see inat when the tariffis' are assimilated, they" will not bring in the future what they have brounht in the past ?

Hon Mr. CURRIE-This I cañ see, that you aregiving to the Lower Pruvinces privileges which we do not enjoy" Hon. gen:
tlemen speal of the imports from the Maritime Provinces. But take the import of coal from Nova Scotia, and wéfind that in 1863 , its whale ralue amounted to 867,000 . Then they refer to the fish trade. But why need we go there for fish, when in our own waters we can have for the catching as fine fish as the world produces (But Confedera'tion will give' us no priviteges over the fisheries which W. $\mathbf{W}$ do not al present enjoy. Capadian fishermen can as well go, and have as much the right to go, and fish in the maters below betore as after Confederation. We rill continue to go there if we desire it, not because we are members of the Confederacy, but becausè we are British subjects. Bat I was going to speak of the trade of these countries. We derive now little or no Juty from the trade of the Loirer Provinces, at tbe samé time much of the revenues of the lower: Profinces is derived from exports from those provinces to each other, all of which will be lost to the General Government; as the Confederation will only be entitled ts collect duties on goods imported from foreign countries. We are told, too, that our tariffic to be greatly reduced under Confederation: I am surry to hear that statement, because it is impossible that it can be correct, ind there is too 'mruch reason' to fear that it was done fith a' view of influencing legislation elsewhere, by holding out the hope in Newfondland and in the other provinces,', that if they joiued us, the tariff wuald be less burdensome thatu it is at present. Bat if the tariff is reduced, the people of Canada may rest assured that they will haris $\$ 4,000,000$ or $\$ 5,000,000$ to raise in some other way; so that if you take it oft the tariff, you uust put it on the land. I wish now, however, to speak of the unfair appor; tionment of the debt. I have almays tailen the grond that revenue is the true gauge by which you can' measure a nation's ability to pay debt. Well, taking the tables of the Fiuance Minister, we fiad that New Bruaswick, with a tevenue of $\$ 1,000,000$, gots into the Confederation with a debt of $87,000,000$, while Canada, with a rerenue of $\$ 11,500,000$, is only entitled to go into the Confederation with a debt of $\$ 62,500,000$. Is this fair? -is it right? -is it honest? Take the revenue as the basis of ability to pay-and it is the only true basis-and instead of Canada going into the Confederation with a debt only $862,500,000$, she moali? be enticled to go in fith a deb $t$
of $\$ 80,000,000$, or more than her present indebtedness. Then it is said that the people understand the whole seheme; and that they are perfectly satisfied with it. If that were so we should have petitions coming down. But I haye yet ts learn that, when the poople, especially of Upper Canada, understand the scheme and how it is going to work, they will be at all satisfied with it 'Take the little Island of Prince Edward, with its population of 80,857 sonls, or a less. population than a fingle constituency repres seuted in the other branch af the Legis lature, and we fipd it getting $\$ 15 ?, 7 \% 8$, while it is relieved of a debt of $\$ 240,633$
Hon. Mr. ChMPBELL - And what does it contribute?

Hon. Ma' CURRIE-It simply contributes oustom and exciso duties by the operation of the game tariff and under the sanue law as the people of Canada:

Hon. Mr. CAMPBEIL - But how much does it' contribute?

Hon Mr GURRIG-I G d the whote revenue of the islaud set down at $\$ 200,000$. But, hon. ycollemen, pray do not run tiway with the idea that all this comes to the Confelerate Government. 'All that comes to the (Confederate Government are simply the duties froin excige and customs on goods imported from forigqu cuuntries.

Hos. Mt. CAMPBELL - Which is the whole amount of their reienue, except $\$ 31,00_{0}$.

Hon. Ma GURRIE-Surely iny hou: friend docs mot wish to get up and argae that the people of this little island-aitrugal and industrious plo, econtribute more to the revenue per bead than the people of Epper Canada?. Well, let u'y prceced now to Newfoundland, and what do we find ? That with a populution of 12:2,600 souls - less thau the population of Huron, Bruce and Grej-less, in fact, than the constitcency represented by my:hon. friend; the member fur Saugeen-they get $\$ 369,400$ a year for all time) and are relieved of a debt of $\$ 940,000$.

Hon. Mir. CAMPBELI-And what do they contribute?

Hun. Mr.CURRIE-Simply the revenue from castoms and excise, and nothing more. Hos. Mt: CAMPBELL-And what does that amount to?

IIon. Mr. CURRIE-I am aware that-
Hon. Mr. CAMPISELL-They will contribute, under the present tariff, $8 \& 79,000$ per annum.

HoN. Mr. CURRIE-My bon. friend surely, does not intend to say thit Wewfound land has no other source of revenue than customs and exc se?

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL-No other ; and that is the reason'why they get $\$ 150,000$.

Hon Mr. OURRIE-Newfoundland is to have $\$ 106,000$ a year, not for this year only, but for all tipe to come. She gets as well 80 cents per head fur all time to come. Then she gets alse, what I am sure the Commissioner of Crown Lands can hardly: justify, that is a bocus of $\$ 165,600$ for all time to come; and this, if capilatized, amounts to $\$ 3,000,0$ no-and all this that she may come into the Confederation. And why does she receire so large a sum? My hon friend tells us that she gets it in consileration of the raluable Crown lands and minctals whin she, surrenders to the dieneral Government. But we have yet to learn as a matter of fact that a ton of coal has ever been raised in the island. And what other minerals have they? We know of none. TheirCrown lands, too, are of no value, as is proved by their uot having yielded anything at all for many years past. Then. Why "should we give them $\$ 3,000,000$, or $\$ 165,000$ per year for worthless dands? I. will not say, hinwever, that they are altogether worthless but I know this, that for years past a statute has been in force, giviog the lands free of charge to anybody who will go and settle on them fur five years. And these are the valuable lands for which we "are to pay án cquivalent of $\$ 3,000,000$. But my hon. triend the Commissioner of Crown' Lands perhaps, when he addresses the House; will tell us these Crown lands and minerals, whaterer tieir value to Newfoundland, are worth $8,3,000,000$ to the Confederation', and will argue as that they give up these lands and minerals, and have no local s jurce of revenue, it is, uecessary they should receive this subsitly in retura. But why have they no local source of revenue? Why not adopt the same neans to raise revenue in Newfoundland that we adopt here? Why should we he"called upon to contribute from the public chest $\$ 165,000$ for a parpose that we in Canada tax ourselves for ? Hon. gentlemen, I stated that the country was taken by surprise in regard to the manner in which this measure was brought down to the House; and I think I have good reason for making that statement. Before we came here'we had - very little explanation of the financial part
of the scheme ; and that is most important part. I am not one of those who, while favorable tó Confederation as a principle, would pat a few hundred thousand dollars in the sca!e'against it. Bút my grounds against the scheme are these - that if it is commenced upan a basis which is unjust to one portion of the community, it will be based upon a' false foundation, and the tenement thus proposed to be erected will not withstand the breath of publie opinion. We had reason to suppose that when we cane here the measure promised at the closs of the last session woutd be submitted; but instẹad of that we have a very different measure altogether. Buts supposing this Address passes - suppo-ing these resolutions are carried, and the other colonies do not concur in the same Aldress as ourselves, what is to be the consequence? As 1 unteretnd it, the consent of all the provinces must be had, and if thés do not concur, the sthene fal!s to the ground. What we ought to have liad in Canada way the promised meastre to put an end to the sectional diffeulties between Upper and Lower Canada. But, instead of that, we are placed in consequence of the "Quebec Can" ference in this position-a scheme is brought down which is declared to be in the nature of a"treaty, and we are told that we are to have no roice in its alteration. No matter what the details my be-our discussion of them is to be a mere farce. Even the reasonable delay I an now asking for will, I fear, be oppused by the Goverument of the day Hon. gentlemen, in order to shew the "necessity which exists for the measure being equitable and just to all classes of the people aud all sections of the country about to be affected by it, I will readshe remarik of a distioguished statesiman-one of the: ablest men, perhaps, that Carida can claim. This is his language:-

No measure could possibly meet the approval of the people of Canada which contained within it the germs of injustice to ang, and if, in the measure which was now before the people of Canada, there was anything which bore on itsface injustice, it would operate greatly againust the success of the meresure itself.

These were the views of the Minister of Finance as expressed by him only a few months ago, and it' is because I teel that there are parts of the scheme which mill do gross and vantoin injugtice to portions of the proposed Confederation, that I feel it to
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be my duty to oppose it. It may be said that it is not proper for this branch of the Legislature to delay the measure, but I guite concur, on this point, in the views of the hon. gentleman who represents one of the largest and most important constitucncies un Canada (Hon. Mr. Macperison), when he sail:-
Although the Lezislative Council is precluded Dy this Constitution fiom criginating money votes or making money appropriations; of any kind, they have it nevertheless in their power zealously to guard your interests, protecting them against basty and ill-considered legislation, and prevfnting improper and extravagant appropriations of the public funds.

## Hov. Mr. MACPHERSON-I approve

 of all that.Hos. Mr. UURRIE-I fully concur in all the hon. member from Saugeen stated in his address to his constituents, with reference to this subject; aud I hope the hon. gentleman will now, when the opportunity is offered him, act up to the professinns he made, and I feel confident he will do so. Now, hon. peatlenen, what have we here before us? We have a scheme which is calculated to do manifest and untold injustice to that section of the province which the hon. gentlenan. has the honor to represent. We have a scheine pledging us to construct the Intercolonial Railway without our knowng whe: ther it is to cost fiftecn, trenty or thirty millious of doliars. The orly estimate is that alluded to by the hon. imember from Toronto; who stated that Mr. Baypaes was prepared to build it for seventeen and a half millions of dollars.
Hon: Mr. MACPHERSON-This House has nothing to do with money matters.
PHon Mr CURRIF-If my hon. friend entertaius that opinion, he will very soon learn a very different and important lesson respecting the privileges of this Honse. It 1s uar duty us honest legislators to protect the country from the banefal effects of hasty and ill considered legislation. Well, is not this hasty legislation that is now. proposed to be transacted by the Government of the day?

Hox. Mr. MacPIERSON. -I do not regard it so, and I tell you why. My constituents have considered the question and are tully satisfied that the proposed legislation should take place.

Hon, Mr. CURRIE-It has been said by hon. gentlemn that the whole seleme con-
sists of concessions." I would ask what concessions have been made to Canada? What concession has been made to the views of the people of Upper Canada? The people will understand why it is that everything was conceded on the part of Canada, and comparatively nothing on the part of the Lower Provinces, when they know that the little colony of Prince Edward Island, with its eighty thousand people, has os much to say in the Conference as Upper Canada with its million and a half, and as Lower Canada with its million and a quarter of people. (Hear, hear.) When we conceded to them that point, the series of coucestions on the part of Canada began. Then we conceded to them the right of depriving us of an elective Legislative Council (Hear, "hear.)" Who challenges this statement? I defy any hon. gentlemen to say that it was not at the dictation of the eastern provinces, that the character of the Legislative Council was changed. In order to settle this roint, it is only necessary to refer hon. gentlemen to what the Hon. Minister of Finance stated in his celebrated Sherbrooke speech with reference to it. . That was concession number two. Then look at the proposed Constitution. The Lower Provinces had only a population of 700,000 people. One would think they would be satisfied with the same representation in-the Legislative Council that Upper Canda with double thè number of people should have, and that Lower Cauada with wearly donble the populaticn should be given. But instead of being satisfied with 24 , they must have 28 menbers. There are three distinct and most important concessions on: the part of Canada to the people of the eastern provinces." And then we go into the Federation with a debt of only $\$ 62,500,000$, instead of with $\$ 82,500,000$ as we were entitled to. Then we are to saddle ourselves with a burder of $\$ 15,000,-$ 000 , and give them a bonus for coming in, in the shape of an annual payment for loeal purposes, which we defray in Upper Canada by dirset tasation.

Hon. Mr. McCREA-That is because they are to help to pay our debt:

Hon. Mr. CURRIE-My honorable friend from the Western Division says, they have to help to pay our debt; true they have to help to pay the debts of the Confederation; bat that is no reason why they should receive money from us to pay their local expenses. Then look at the absurdity of giving each
province so much per head on its population for the expenses of the local governments: Every ove knows that the population of the Lower Provinces will not increase nearly so fast as that of this province. We will thercfort have to pay a greater pruportion of this amount through the increase of our population than we can receive under the proposed arrangement.: This is concession nuniber four. The next concession is to New Brubs: wick. We are to gire New Brunswiek a bonus of $\$ 630,000$ in addition to building the Intercolonial Railway through a long seciion of the coutry-leading the people to believe that the road is to pass through nearly every town in the provinge. Then 'Nova scotia gets the right to inpose an export duty on its coal and other minerals coming into Upper Canada," or going "elre: where. Then Newfoundland, as I have said before, is to have upward or three millicins of dollars; if you capitalize the annual gitt, as an inducement to come in a ad join us. Then, hour gentlemea, my hon'. friend trom Fort Hope spoke of the common sehools of Canada, of about one million and a guarter of duilars that is to be dbolished by a stroke o the pen-that inauther concesiou, I suppose, wade to the people of the castern provineis. What do we get for all these coinces sions: Do we get anything that we are not entitled to as a matter of right. We get 18 additional mewbers of the Lower House for Upper Canada-bue that an nothing more than we are antited tu-at the same thme that we get' 47 added from the east. We are tuld that the teasou for having so large a number of niembers is to avoid narrow majorities. If everything works well, thercfure, under the new cunsti tution, "we are told we will" always have a strong Government, somewhat similar to that with which we are wow blessed. Hon. gentlemen say, that this question is perfectly understond by the people of Canada, and that they are satisfied with the arrangenent; then what danger, 1 would ask, can there be in allowing the pople a few months w consider the matter still more fully? "In my upinion, it is far better to take the thing up deliberately and proceed cautivusly with it, than to attempt to force a measure upon. the people. so burriedly; that they wifl feel hereafter, if they do nut now, that you are doing themi a very great injustice. (Hear, hear:) It is most extraordinary, the grounds on which theso resolutions are supported by different classes of people. Some hon.
gentlemen support them on the ground that the Confederation is to build up an independent nationality in this part of the worid: Others, on the ground that it is going to cement us more closely' as colonies. A nd a third party uphold the resolutions on the ground that the injustice of the thing will disgust the people and float our country over to the American Republic. I feel myself that unless the people hare due time to consider the matter, and are not driven iato it against their will, these resolations will amount to nothing wore than so many withes to tie the provinces together untit we all driit like a raft in to the American Confederation. (Hear, hear; and laughter.)
Hons Mr. DICKSON-Honorable gentle men, - Every honorable member of this House rusist be aware of the difficulties which an individual member has to encounter in rising to address the House at this late period of the debate, when the subject, after a fortnight's discussion: is almost exhausted. I have, how ever,' refrained from offering any observationis at an earlier stuge; in consequence of a desire to contine iny remarks more particularly to the principle embodied in the amendment of my honorable and learned friend from the Niagara Division. I shall now briefly refer to the introductory remarks of the honorable and gal lant Knight at the head of the present Government, when he submitted the matter for the consideration of this honorable House. That honorable gentleman told us th at the unsatisfactory state of things which had existed in. the polities of this country for the snity five months prior to the Tacue-Macdonald Administration, rendered it necessary that bope great political exertion should be made to remedy those difficulties. Well, gentlemen, what were those difficulties? Why, it was that tive different administrations had been formed, and five different administrations had becer unable to carry on the administration of puolic uffairs, and had either resigued or becoine so weak, in consequence of their small majority in the popular brafobe that they could not conduct the Government in a satisfactory maner. . The Tache Macdonald Government had arrived at the same state as the five preceding admipistrations, and finding themselves in this political dilemma, were again about appealing to the country, when'a "still, suall voice " vas heard in the distance; and what was that "still, small voice," and where did it con. •rom? It was the voice of a grext man, and cane from an individual who solicited an upportunity of
pouring oil on the troubled political waters. (Hear, hear.) Permission was granted, the oil poured on; the effect was miraculousthe commotion ceased, and a calm succeededa circumstance which caused no surprise when it was discovered, as it speedily was, that the magical oil came fresh from the wells of Bothwell. (Great laughter). The Government, as the honorable and gallant Knight told us, received a communication from the "real chief" of the Opposition. And there is no donbt but that he was the real chief of the Opposition, and by his apôstacy-this individual from whom the still, small voice came is the real chief of the Goverament party. (Layghter.) Well, he was desirous of making overtures, and he did, as a matter of fact, make overtures, with the view,' as the honorable and egallant Knight has told us, of sinking all previous differences. We are told he went into the Goverament for the parpose of setting this one question of ace political existeace, tind wè are therefore justified in iuferring that he is either going, out of the Covernment again at an carly day, or else is going up to a higher position. Well, gentlemen, what difficulties have been: settled? None as yet,' bat the scheme now before the Hoase was to be a panacea for all the ditficulties and dissensions that have afficted the wuatry fur the past five and twenty years.: From whom does this panacea emanate? Why, frum the very individual who has been more tostrumental than any other man in creating those difficulties. (Hear, hear.) The honorable gentlemain at one titue stated that he was - governmental impossibility, but it does not appear thit he has been so in. reality. After the oil was thrown on the troubled waters, then came the period for making some litte delicate arrangewents betwien the Goveranent and the gentleman possessing the still suall voice. Well, what were the little arramemonts? 'Why, the honorable gentlemand masisted on being an outsider.' He would not s) iuto the Government under any circumtuhoes whatever." (Hear, hear:)" No, no, he would nut. (Langhter.) Well, the menibers of the Governinent siid: : But we inust have you amioug us; we are too well aware of the puwer you can bring us, to consent to your remaining on the outside.".". Well now, it is antonishing the sterifices publio men will bometimes consent to make. (Loaughter.) It is really surprising, gentlemen, what sacritices they do feel called upon to make for the good of their country: (Laughter.). And here wo bave a very notable expmple of it. We have
an instance of how much can be sacrificed at the shrine of patriotism for the salvation of one's country. (Laughter. Towards the last of the delicate arrangements before alluded to, he thought he would go in-this still; small voice gentleman. "(Laughter.) Well, this being determined upon, he thought it would be necessary to go in upon some principle, but that was a most difficult operation. What principle could be found applicable to the case? (Laughter.) Some inventive genius sugnested that he might go in on the homoopathic principle. Well, he finally went in on, that principle, and took with him an infinitessimally small dose of Grits. (Renewed laughter.) And the result of his going in on that principle is that we have now a Gorernment composed of three Cleâr Grits and nine Conservatives: The honorable gentleinan, to whom I have alluded, went to the country and got returned to his seat in the House and Government. My honorable friend from Toronto says he got returned by acclamation. Well, when we look at the individual and consider that he has been for years the leading spirit and gaidiag genius of a larye political party; made up of a majority of the representatives of Upper Canada, and look at the acknowledged intellect of the man, and tike into account the influence of the pen which he has the opportunity" of wielding 80 powerfully-when we. tate all these things into consideration, it is not at all surprising that he should be returice by acclamation. (Hear, hear.). He came back from the country and has since taken part in the Government; and here I wish to make a"fer observations with reference to the Govern: ment as it stands to-day: You must recollect, honorable gentlemen, that we are eujoying, or at least have enjoyed, a system of government in this country which has a great many "admirers,' and which some honorable gentlemen admire a great deal more than the. quality of the people. The systern is known by the name of Responsible Government. If I understand the subject properly, that system of government is defined in this way-that the Government of the country must be carried on according to the well-understood wishos of the people, as expressed through their representatives on the floor of the House of As sembly. (Hear; hear.) Well noir, I take exception to the formation of the present Governurent, on the ground that it was not established on that priaciple, because they are not a governwént cmanatiog from the people. I caunct hold thein in the same respect that I
did bofore the three Conservative members from Upper Canada; who retired in favor of the three Grit members, left it. $\because$ 'The Gorernment then all belonged to one political party, were all consistent members of that party, and taken together, were equal in talent to any Administration that has ever had charge of the affairs of this or any other province. All holding the same views on leading political questions, even those: who opposed them could not but feel'a very large degree of respect for them as sincere, honest, conisistent Conservatives, and as I believe, entertaining. sound politioal principles. But the introduction of the three other members altered the whole face of the Government: And the first thing this unholy alliance does is to go to work at the suggestion of the chief with the still, small voice to upset our Constitution. (Hear, hear.) When a great constitutional question. comes before this House, designed as it is to sweep an entire constitution from our Statate Book, and replace it with another, I think you will agree with me, honorable gentlemen, that this is one of the most important measures that could eome from any goverament on the face of the earth. (Hear, hear.) Well, 1 would ask those people who are so anxious to see responsible government carried out in this country in its integrity, is this a governwent that yoù oan recognize as representing the well-understood wishes of the people? A goverament claiming to be a responsible government' ought to have for its basis, returus mado from the polls, and ought not to have its: origin through tho instrumentality of ministerial convenience. (Hear, hear.) I would like to ask if, at the last general election, this subject was mooted to the people in any section of the province?- Whether it was as subjeet to which the slightest reterence was cxer made by the votes of the people when they returned their representatives? I do not think that it could have been, becauise it is a measare that has emanated from tho particuIar individual to whom I have referred, sinco the Tachie Macponald Government got into that unfortunate political dilemma. The people: "were not aware at the last general election that any such measure as this was to come before the Liegislature. Houorable gentlemen, I would not stand up here and speak in this manner if the sabject brought under our consideration was any ordiaary measuro which could be pissed this session and repealed at the next, if found unsatistactory. But theso resolutions, if adopted by all the legislatares, will become embodied iu ai

Imperial Aot, and the people of Canada will find some diffoulty in having any ohange made in respect to them. : The powier that creates Confederation, by passing the act for that purpose, will be the only: pawier by which any change can be effected in that aot. There fore, after passing these resolutions, it will be out of our power to alter them in the least degree. \This, honorable gentlemen, is one of the reasons why I have refrained from ad: dressing the Hoase until the resolation which has just been proposed by ny honorable friend from the Niagara Division should be brought forivard. I would take this opportanity of saying that I do not think the observation made by an honorable gentleman, to the effeet that it would bo in bad taste for this Honse to suggest a disolution of the other branich of the Legistature, should bave any infuenoe in disposing of the amendiuent now before us, Why, hooporable centlemen, there is nothing of the kind it the amendment. We argas for delay, and we are perfectly willing you should delay the measure until after the next general election. But, if the Government think that delay will be so dingerons to the meisure, there is a constitutional remedy open to thein, which, of course, it would not be proper tor me to refer to in a more pointed manner.' I do not argue for a week or a month's delay. "I thing there ought to be a much longer time allowed. ' I think the ques tion ought to be submitted to the people of this country, for their approval. I do not want the thing to be gone abont in a peddling Lind of style, one hooorable gentleman runiniag here and anotber there, and endeavoring in that way to learn the view of his constituents: If wo cannot have the usmal constitutional mode of arriving at the "true views, opinions,: and impressions of the people in relation to the schemie, I dö not want, any delay at all. I do not want the opinion of the peoplo taken, unlest it can be done in such a manner as will give us something upoi which wé can depend. If an honorible geatleman consults the electors in one portion of his constituency and they are. opposed to the scheme, while those of another section of the same constituenoy are in faror of it, he is no better off than when he began. Nor do I believe in tuking a vote of the cour stituencies, "yea or nay," on the measure, in the manner in whioh the people have to vote with reference to stopping the supply of intoxicating drink under the Temperance Act. (Laughter.) I go for the whole British constitutional mode, or nothing: I have no idea of wishing to see honorable gentlemen going
round among their constituents, thocking at every door, and asking: Do you go Confederation? (Laughter.) I would as soon seee them :going around peddling wooden clocks. (Renewfd laughter.) I'say, honorable gentlemen, that the whole scheme has emaniated from the fertile' and imaginative brain of one individual. : Thas individual suggested the scheme to the Goveriment; the Government took that individual in" amongst them; he proposed this arbitrary mode of carrying the schenie through -with the assistance of a united following -and it is going to be done. The whole thing, I say again, proceeds from that individual, who has sown toós the storm -and reaped the whirlwind long enough; and does not intend to reap it'any longer if he can help it: But my opinion is that he is, perhaps, unwittingly sowing a greater storm than ever, and that a whirlwind will ensue of a most fearful character. It is just possible, hovereve, that it will be found the most ad rantageous measure for the country that has eper been introduced to the Legislature, and: if so, the bonorable nember for South :Oxford is entitled to the whole credit of sug: gesting it, and taking the iuitiatory steps, withput miich it could never have been brought about; 'while on the other hand, if it should prove the most disastrous to the country that has ever been mooted, as I fear will be the case, unless submitted to the people in the constitutional way, that honorable genteman' will be entitled to, and will receive, the mosi bitter condemnation. (Hear, hear.) Well, I now comie to the position which the measure now occupies before the House, and the relation in which I stand to this House in dealing with it. When the proposition was made to change the character of the constitution of this House, I did everything in wis poiver to prevent its becoming law ; büt all my eflorts, with those of a number of honurable colleagues, were of no avail.' And those yentemen who, on that occasion, agreed with me that it was a most unwise step to alter the Constiution in that respect, when they gad I found ye could do no more, we filed a protest against it, because-

First; - The Act of Union conferred upon the peeple of Canada a Constitution as nearly similar to that under which Great Britain has attained ber place among nations, as their colonial position mould admit ; and the Leegislative Council, an integral part of that Constitution, was early ess tablished on its present basis as an check equally apon the hanty action of tho popular branch, as upooi the undue inflience of the Crown.' Scond.
ly-Because the introdaction of the elective princtple into the Constitution of the Upper Chamber gives an undue -prepopderance to the popular element; diminishes the proper infuence of the Crown, and destroys the balanee that has acted is a proper check upon both since repre. sentative institutions mere given to the colony. Thirdly,- Because the measure now proposed tends to the destruction of execuitive responsibility; the adoption of a .a rritten Constitution; the election of the highest officer of the Crown; and the separation of Canada from the parent state--Signed, P. B. DeBlaveizre. Jomi Has hiton, George J. Goodbie, Wa. Widaren, Jas. Gordon; J. Ferryer, R. Mataicisor:, G.S., Boci. ton, Waltrr h. Dicgsos.
Well, honorable gentlemen, the change took place in spite of all we conld do: I condemned the proposed change on that occasion from my own personal views respecting it, for I had no constituency, as some honorable gentlemen now hare; to consult, and I now take exception in the same manner to the soheme before the House I do not take such strong exception to the details of the measure as some honorable gentlemen do, becanse when I reflect upon the number of individuals that took part in the Coiference, and the ability poosessed by those individuals, I would not, as a matter of course, have the tetierity to rise in my place and proceed to point out an error here and another error there, even if they seemed to me to be errors, as some of them do seem, unless I felt satisfied not only that I possessed sounder judg. ment than they, but also that I was bettor acquainted with all the circumstances having a direct as well as indirect bearing upon the question. But, honorable gentlemen, let. me ask who is going to be chieflig affected by those changes? Why, the people of Canada: And therefore it is that I ask, and all I ask is what appears to me; to be only what is reasonable, as applicd to the every day trans: actions of life, and that is, that those who are going to be affected should have some roiece, at least, in these proceedings. (Hear, hear.) This appears to me to be a sound mode of viewing the question and elaiming to myself the right of exercising my own personal judgment, with thi limited means of doing so which the Almighty has thought proper to place mo in possession of, I feel it ny duty to stand up in this Hoase and record my views and my vote in such a manner as that, while. I live, I may look back with some degree of satisfaction upon, the viem that I took and advocated upon the floor of this House.(Hear, hear.) I do not think some honorable gentlemen who have stood up and argued
against continuing the elective principle in this House, can have done so with as much satisfaction to themselves as if they had not, on a'previous occasion, pursued a different course. I I well recollect that when I found it was the determination to introduce the elective principle in relation to the membership of this House, I said-Gentlemen, if the principle is good in one case, it is good in another ; let us make the Speaker elective. No, no, they said, that will not do "that is republicanism. They would not have the Speaker made elective:. You know there was a little patronage at disposal by keepingthe appointment of the Speaker in the Government. At that time I could make no progress in getting the House to go for making the Speaker elective. Since then, howeyer, they made the Speaker elcctive, and therefore the House 'must admit that I was right on that occasion. I opposed the House beiny made elective, but honorable gentlemen made it. elective, and now they are going to reinvest the appointments in the Crown. So it is clear that when the first change was made I was alsn right on that occasion. (Hear, hear, and laughter.) When the proposal was made to grant three millions of money to the Grand Trunk, I saw it was being done Tor political support; and I ?therefore opposed it. I also opposed the grants to the Arthabaska, and Port Hope and Peterborcugh railways, because Fconsidered themi unly convenient inethods of acifuiring parliamentary supporton the pretence of getting mency for the Grand Trunk proper. Those riads were termed "feeders" for the - Grand Trunk, but I called them Grand Trumk $\because$ "suckers." "(hughter.) I take to mysolf "ome little credit for having taken the view. of thése questivns. I an willing to admit that the Crand Truak is a very great benctit to the province in a material point of view; - but I do believe that we puid very dearly for the whistle. (laughter.) NHaving patal so dearly for that road, runing, as it does. ihrough the very finest portion of the coun-: try; I am disposed to be very cautious about entering upon the construction of this Intercolonitil Ruilway. (Hear, hear.) I have often arailed myself of a leaf out of the book of my honorable friend (Hon. Mr. Ross) and I like to stick pretty close by him, beciuse if I get off the track he has the happy faculty of putting me ou again. Now, I would like to ask him whether or not, in the remarks he made: this afternoon, he stated that there had been no demand on the part of the people for an olective Legislative Council since the union.

HON MR. ROSS-What I said was, that there had been no general demand for the change on the part of the people of Upper Canada. I am well aware that there was agitation on the subject in Lower Canada.

Hon. Mr. DICKSON-Well, I find here in the Journals of the Liegislative Assembly for 1855, that on the 21 st of May, when the second reading of the Bill to make this House elective was defeated, the following was entered on the Journals by eight honorable members, in the shape of reasons for their dissent from the tote, viz. :-
Dissextient-Because public opinion has long and repeatedly been expressed on the necesssity of rendering this branch of the Legislature elective; because the/almost unanimous vote of the Legislative Assembly, irrespective of party; bas, in the móst unequivocal manner, ratifed the opinion of the people as hereinbefore expressed; because the opposition of this Honse to the universal desire of the inhabitants of Canada, unsustained either by a paity in the other braich of the Legis. lature or pus of it, is unprecedented, and of a nature to cause the most serious apprehensions.
-The first name, honorable gentlemen, signed to that protest is the Honorable Joex Ross, and the second is my honorable and gallant friend, Sir E. P. Tache. Then there are the Honorable Messrs. Panet, Belleac, Arystrong, Perry, Legare, and Cartikr. Well, I can now exonerate all those gentlemen, after observing, as I have done, how well the electiye principle has worked in its application to this House. But I cannot understand how honorable gentlemen could have entertained the view that great disaster would be the result of refusing to grant the elective principle, and then inside of ten years, when their ideas had been put into practical effect, and had worked so admirably, they could again rise in this House and advocate' a retarn to the gysten which then was so bad, and which the people were so determined to have altered. (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Mr. ROSS-I was then a wember of the Government, and spoke their sentiments.

Hon Ma. DICl'SON - Well then, honorable gentlemen, 'it seems I am to understand that the honorable gentleman did not then express the sentiments of Hon. Mr: Ross as au individual, but of Hon. Mr. Hoss as a member of the Government. I have never been in the Government, and therefore, perhaps, I am pardonable for not having understood that the gentleman carried about with him a double set of sentiments, either of which could be used as ccoasion seemed to demand. "(Laughter.) But, in furtherance of the argument for delay,

I desire to say that I am anxious to have the further consideration of the scheme in this House postponed for other reasons than those which I have given expression to. My honorable friend the gallant Knight, in his remarks last "evening, made allusion to the burning of the Parliament buildings. I agree with him that that was $\mu$ thing sincerely to be regretted. But he stated that, if the conservatives in the Legislative Council had had the prudence and good sense to exercise the amount of wisdom that they might have exercised, they would haye put off the Rebellion Losses Bill another year, which course of proceeding would, in all probability, have prevented the deplorable occurrence to which he referred. Now, bonorable gentlemen, I stand here to ask you to take the advice the honorable and gallant Knight has given, and apply it to the present scheme: ' (Hear, hear, and laughter.) You do not know what disastrous consequences may ensue, if this huge scheme is carried out without an appeal to the people in a constitutionai manner. I do sincerely hope you will allow that powerful argument for delay ad-- duced by the honorable and gallant Knight to bear upon this question. (Hear, hear.) "This is a resolution, gentlemen, not a mere payment - of a few thousand pounds, that is proposed. A revolution may be carried out by the exertcise of political power, as well as by physical force. If the Government of the country is subverted, it makes no difference hiow it is done. It is a revolution all the same, no mat"ter how it is brought about. The effect is the same upon the country. The proposal is to sweep our present Constitution away; and supply its place with another, which may be better or a great deal worse. As I see by the clook I have onily five minutes left before six, and do not desire to speak at any greater length, I will have to draw my remarks to a close: (Cries of "go on,"" "go on.") "Well, as honorable gentlemen seem to desire it, I will make a few further remarks after dinner:

A message was here received from the Assembly, after which the House took a recess until's P.M. . That hour having arrived, apd the House having reassembled-

Hon. Mr. DICKSON said-The great reason for delay I conceive to be that it is proposed by the adoption of the resolutions of the Government to wipe out the present Constitution of the country without consulting the people affected thereby. I have not jet heard one singlé observation from the Government; or from any honorable member of this House, tending to show that there is any necessity for
the unseemly haste with which the matter is being pressed. I think it ought to be laid over until after the next general election; and I beg honorable gentlemen to observe that I make no suggestion respecting a dissolution of the other branch of the Legislature. But if, there is really any necessity for haste, then there is a constitutional mode of hastening an appeal open to the Government. "My honorable friend opposite argued that the prerogative of the Crown was taken away, in reference to the appointment of members of this House, without an appeal to the people, and that therefore no hàrm could result fiom taking away the boon then given them without any demand on their part or any appeal to them. Gentlemen, we were then experimentalists, and the experiment succeeded weil. Then why not stick to it?' We improved on the Constitution on that occasion.: And you may give the people privileges they do not ask; very safely. But what is now proposed to be done? ? It is proposed to take that power from them without consulting them, and I hold that such a thing ought not to be done. Having raised them to the highest state of political. èxalkation, without their even asking for it; it is now proposed to reduce them, almost without notice, to the lowest possible position of political degradation. $\therefore$ It is the main principle of the Government under which we live, that the people, through their representatives, shall be consulted as to the composition of their Government. As: for' a mutual understanding between the electors and the elected in relation to this scheme, there is none whatever, and I have thius urged delay because: I do not think there is any need of hurry. There is a constitutional mode of ascertaining the views of the poople, and it ought" to be made use of. But honorable gentlemen say, " Oh, don't throw out any hintabout bringing. on a general election before the proper period; we have haid elections enough duriag the past five years." Why, honorable gentlemen, what is proposed to be done xy thê pussing of these resolutions? Will their adoption not bring on a new election inside of eighteen monihs? There is another observation I desire to make with reference to honorable gentlemen endeavoring to obtain the yiervs of their constituents by knocking at their doors, and asking whether they favor the first resoIution and the second, and so on, through the entire list. : I do not think that even by such a proceeding you could arrive at a thorough understanding of the views of your constituents. The common way of doing it is for a.
momber to call his constituents together in a large room in some hotel or other building, and lay the whole subject before them, expressing his opinion on the various clauses as he proceeds. In so doing he is more thani apt to imbue their minds with the same view that he himself holds. I have only heard one member allude to having received the resolutions. and he merely opened and sealed them up again in consequence of their being marked "Private," without endeavoring to ascertain the views of his constituents. I do hope that some course of procedure can be devised by which the spirit of the amendment proposed by my hociorable friend from the Niagara Division may be carried into effect, The amendment simply states-

That upon a matter of such great importance as the proposed Confederation of this and cert. in Other Bratish Colonies, this House si unwiling to assume the responsibility of assenting to a measure in volving so many important considerations, without a further manitestation of the public will xhin has yet been declared.
Well, honorable gentlemen, is this House willing to assume the responsibility of de priving the people of the opportunity of expressing their wishes on so momentous a question as an entire change of their Coustitution. Those who are willing to take the responsibility wull vote against this amendment, while those who are willing to have the inater referred to the poople, will vote for it. My sentiments are well expressed in the umendment, and cxer:ising ny own individual judg. ment, having nc constituency to be governed by, I'shall vote for it, and it it is deteated it will strengthen the hands of the Goverawent in carrying out their great principle of Confederation without an appeal to the people -and, as a matter of course, according to our. present system of responsible goverament, they must assume the responsibility.
HON. Mr. CAMPBEL! said-I would like, honorable gentlemen, to continue the debate in that excellent and happy spirit in which my honorable friend who has just sat down has addressed the House. I eury my honorable friend very much tor the possession of that happy faculty of amusing and nestruciing the House in combination. I am some what grieved to feel obliged to call the attention of honorable members to that which is, perhaps, more of a business character and less interesting than the remarks wh.ch fell frow my honoruble triend. I must say that I very much regret that my bonorable triend should have thöught that on this particular amendment
being proposed, it was his duty to come to its support, because it is evident to my own mind, and mast also be so to every honorable member present, that my honorable friend, while giving his support to the amendment, entertains very different views from those which were cnunciated by the honorable mem: ber for Niagara, who moved it. My honor: able friend says, "If there is to be delay, let it be a.substantial delay; let it be such a delay as will ensure a dissolution. of parliament; such a delay as will enable the people to speak in that manner, and in that manner only, that is known to the British Constitution.' I can respect that sentiment.- There is something real in an argument based on that foundation: I do him the justice to believe that he takes that view with a sincere desire that the delay should not militate against the scheme, but that it should be adopted by the people when referred to them. But, honorable gentlemea, contrast that view with the idea suggested by the honorable gentleman who mored this re. solution: " What view does he take? Not: that there should be such a delay as would enable the people to express themselves in the manner in which Great. Britain and all her colonies speak, but in that sort of way which, as my honorable friend (Hon. Mr. Dicksor) has graphically described, is mure nearly allied to the peddling of clooks than to anything connected with British constitutional procedure. What does the honorable gentle man say? He says, give us twenty duys or $a$ month.

Hon. Me CURRIE-I said that was the least time I would ask.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL- What could be done with twenty days or a month's delay? Is it possible for the people to speak in any constitutional way in twenty days or a month? The honorable gentleman knows sery well that it is not possible, and that under no system of government could such a plan, as his mind has suggested, by any possibility be sanctioned by: the Legislature. Would the people of New York, state, or any of the States of the Union, sanction a proceeding of that kiad ?. On-the contrary, they would adopt the courso at once of haviug the scheme submitted to a direct vote of the people. If you adopt the British constitntional way, then there will have to be a dissolution of Yarliament; but, it you adopt the American systemin, the people will be called upon to vote "yea or nay" on the schemeas it stands. Let it be expressed in one way or the other, fairly and consitutionally, in arcordance. Fith our eyetemi of goverament.

My honorable friend does not contemplate that. He contemplates a postponement of the subject, in some way or other, for twenty days or a month, and I am sorry that my honoratle friend, who spoke last, should have felt himself called upon to adopt a scheme so entirely contrary to what I know are his views as to what is correct and proper, according to those constitutional and British, views, which he entertinins. I am sorry that he should hare been led to àdopt a sccieme whioh is evi: deutly not adrocated by him from the same motires as those which actuate mimy honorable friend froin Niagara.
Hov. Me DI'CKSON-I approve of the resolution as it stavids, and I entertain the viess that II have expressed. I have always held that 'i general election was the proper con: stitutional nitiode of learning the peopie's views, and I. distinctly stated that I did not care to hase a short delay.
How Mr. CURRIE-AllT suggested was that the Governiment might at least give twenty days or a month, if they would grant no more. Of:course, I desire to get what my honorable friend Mr. Dickson has asked.
Hos. Mr. CAMPBELL-Then I do hope my honorable friend will withdraw his sup. port to the amendment, "when he sees that he dees not concur with the maver of it, who evidently" contemplates some-other course than is Lnown to the British Constitution for ascertuiniag the views of the people--for instance, by meiubers going from door to door, or by holding weetiags in convenient-places add m ating themselves agreeable to their con: stituents by intuluing in hospitalities; \&e. I min quite confident that is not the idea which my hoarable friend opposite entertains; nor, 1 am satistied, is it the view which any houorisble gentleman of this House can entartaia "rho is desirous of promoting Confederation 'of the proviaces-ithat these resolutions, import int as they are, and neecssary as it is that We, bhould arrive at soime conolusion in refer. ence to them, should be laid asido until my hooorable friend from Niagara goes about frou door to door throughout his large and intelligent coostituency; kuocking at each and askiug the views of the elechors on eadch separate resolution My houorable friend is charged with the daty of representing his constituency on the flow of this Housi, and it is to bee supposed that he is well capabie of represeating them in point of intellect and good judrmeat, whea he is called upon to suy whether or not he believes the soheme, as a whole, to be desipable one for the
country: (Hear, "hear.) But he keems to ignore all that. He does not seem willing to pronounce his judgment upon this scheme. He will not say that it is so objectionable that he will vate against. it on the merits of the case.: If he is anable to come to a decision, he ought to resign his position; and give place to some one who can come to a decision. But look at the position of a man who says in ef fect, "I have no opinion of my own; if the people whom I represent. are favorable to the scheme, I have not a word to say ; I will vote for it to please them, though $I$ disapprove of it.". Gentlemen, let him give his constituency the benefit of his best judgment, and consider whether, reffecting upon the fact that there are five different proviuces to be consulted, and constituencies upoi constituenoies to be canvassed, that which he desires oan be ascertained in' any better way than by this House, considering itself a fair represeatation of the sentiment of Canada; coming to an immediate decision: He says hisis constitueats have not charged him with the duty of altering the Constitution. Well, but he is charged with the duty of exercising his best judgment upon every subject brought before this House. We are not here for the purpose of altering the Cosistitution. We have niot the power to alter the Constitution if we desired to do so, but we bave the sacred duty inoumbent upon us of expressing our views in relation to such alterations as máay be considered advantageous to the country. (Hear, hear.) Do tiese resolutions alter the Constitution of the country? Not at alll. They merely state that such alterations are desiriable.. The Constitution itself can ouly be changed by the Imperial authorities. 'We are no exceeding what our Freench Canadian friends called the mandat with which we are charged: We have no poiver to alter the Constiuntion, but we have the power of expressing our views in an address to Her Majesty, which it is proposed to adopt in all the legislatures, stating that such aud such changes would, in our opinion, prove adyantageous to the country. We-are exercising exactly the duties which are incumbent upon us. We are giving to our constituents the benefit of our experience and honest coavictions upon the topics whioh are committed to our charge, and which events force upon our attention. Has not the Hoase, on previous oceasiivis, adopted resolutiong, the effeet of which has been to bring about changes of the Constitution? And has it ever before been argued that this House had no right io debate such resolutions? Nothiog
of the kind: The first alteration asked for, was for the purpose of allowing the use of the French language in the House of Parliament. Honorable gentlemen might have said then that they had not the power to ask for such a change, but such an idea was never mooted.

AN : Hon MEMBER - It was carried unanimously:

HoN Mr. CAMPBELLLI had not the honor of having a seat in this House at the time, but I am happy to hear that it was unanimously carried. Next, a change was asked for in the composition of this House. This House was at one time nominative, and was, in 1856, made elective. Was that not a change of the Constitution? 'Nobody, however, urged at that time the idea that this House had no power to pass such a resolution. We stand exactly in the saine position now, and it seems to me a most futile and illogical argument to say that we have not the porser to do what it is proposed to do in passing those resolations, that'is, to pray the :Queen so to change the Constitution of this province that we may unite in one Government with the other provinces of British North America. I am quite satisfied that, when honorable gentlemen reflect upon it, they will see that they are not in any way exceeding: the powers cominitted to them by their constituencies. - My honorable fricend from Niagara suggests this amendment in a spirit that is comparatively poor to that in which it is supported 'by my honorable friend opposite. 'He says he is in favor of the union, but is opposed to some of the details. It is painful to me that : any honörable gentleman, whoprofesses a desire to advance the union, should yet shelter himself, in opposing it, under an objection to some of the details. Does my honorable friend seriously propose to submit to the country all those various details? Can be imagine that he could get an intelligent expression from any part of the country on those details? All he coould get would be a qeneral opinion in favor of Confederation, and we are all satisfied that he would have that. I' believe there are but two or three honorable members in this House who are really opposed to Confederation. Take ten thousand people from the country, and you will find nine thousand of every ten in favor of Confederation.

Several Hon Members-No, no.
Hon. Mr. CAMPBELI Well, I will submit to the opinion of honorable gentlemen from Lower Canada, for I do not pretend to be $s$ well acquainted with the feelings of their
people, but I am in as good a position to speak for Upper. Canada as any other honorable gentleman, and I have no hesitation in saying that the people of Upper Canada are almost unanimously in favor of Confederation. 1 am satisfied that, if the question were put before the people by means of a general election; there would be an unatimous vote in Upper Canada in its favor:

Hon. Mr CURRIE-Hear, hcar.
Hon Mr. CAMPBELL-My honorable fricud from Niagara says "Heär, hear." My honorable friend cavils at every statement which is made -attempts to throw doubt and distrust upon the figures which have been produced in advocacy of the ineasure, and has. not restrained himself from using every method of opposition which his imagination could invent cr his ability turn to account. I must say that I can hardly believe an honorable rentleman to be in favor of the scheme, who takesevery opportunity to attack it, and, when accused of hostility shelters himself under objections to the details. (Hear, hear.) It shows to me that his feelings are not sincere, but that he decires to upset the very foundations on which Conféderation rests not perhaps because he is opposed to Confederation in the abstract, or a Confederation such as be would like to see established, but because be desires to thwart and defeat the efforts of those who have been honestly and industriously engaged in bringing about the scheme which is now before this House. I say, honorable gentemen if the people could express their opinions as we inay express ours to-night, they would all concur in the first resolution.' (Hear, hear.) Well, gentlemen, it being granted that we are all in favor of union, how are the details to be settled? Is it possible that the nearly four miliious of people who compose the provinces to be affected by the union, should meet together en masse and settle those details? It is not possible, and those who argue that the scheme should originate with the people, know very well that it is not possible. Well, then, cuuld the pariaments of all these provinces assemble together and ayrce upon a scheme of Confederation? Look at the diffculties that we have to encounter on every point of the details in carrying the scheme through this House, and judge for yourselves whether the parliaments of all the provinces could meet together, and originate and decide upoi the details of Confederation. There is no other practicable way than that ${ }^{\circ}$ delegates should meet together as they have done, and frame resolutions on the subjects apon which
the act constituting the pnion could be founded. Honorable gentlemen have asked who anthorized those delegates to meet together for the purpose of framing those resolutions. Honorable gentlemen know very well that the present Government of Canada was formed for the very purpose of considering and submitting a scheme of this kind. My honorable friend from Niagara again takes shelter under the statement that what the Government proposed to do was to bring down a seheme for the Confederation of Canadà alone, and that the bringing of all the provinces into the Confederation was only a secondary idea The honorable gentleman knows very well that that statement 'of the case is a mere pretence. Everybody nnew that the Government would eadeavor to overcome the difficulties which presented themselves in working the government of Canada, either by one project or by the other. The bonorable gentleman has guoted from the Speech from the Throne delivered at the close of last session, in which an allusion was made to the formation of a Federal union between the two sections of this province, and not to a Federal union of all the provinces. Why does he not refer to and quote from the Speech from the Throne at the opening of this session? My honorable friend' will fipd there, and I' sappose he will place the expression on even terus with the other, the following:-
At the close of the last session of Parliament: I inforned you that it was my intention, in conjunction with my ministers, to prepare and subimit so you a measure for the solution of the constitaHoual problem, the discussion of which has for some years agitated this province. A careful constderation of the general position of British North America induced the conviction that the circumstances of the times afforded the opportunaty, not merely for the settlement of a question of provinctal poltics, but also for the simultaneouis creition of a new nationality:
Now, my honorable friend says in effect that we were not right, when the opportunity presented itself of endeavoring to carry out the idea, in seizing upon it, and codeavaring to combine these provinces in one nationality, under the common flag of Great Britain, and under the rule of a Viceroy of the British Crown. Every honorable genteman feels in his heart that we were not only right and patriotic in thus assembling, but that we were doing that which was promised to the Legislature of this province at the close of last aession of Parliament. Honorable gentlemen, I.am. surprised and grieved that my honorable friend from Niagara, whum I hnow to be a patriotic and-loyal subjeot of Her Majesty,
does not feel it his duty to unite with us in: bringing about that which is so dear to all of us-a a closer connection with the Mother Country, and a better means of perpetuating British institutions on this continent. (Hear, heir.) My honorable friend says the whole scheme is characterized by concessions to the Lower Provinces.' Why, honorablé gentlemen, place him in any portion of the Lower Proninces and let him listen to the opposition that is made there to the scheme, and he will find that the whole cry of those who, hike him, do not reflect on the necessity of yielding something for the common good, is, that e eerything has been conceded to Cunada. 'It is suid, "We are going to be united with a province which is infinitely beyond us in point of population and wealth, and whose public men are able to command, by their ability, a much larger. influence than our public men." They protess to believe that they are coming under the shadow of Canada, and that everything which they desire for themselves wil be trampled under foot. My honorable friend, forgetting those duties which he owes to the Government, and forgetting the duty which he owes as a patriotic citizen to his country, contents himself with finding fault with the details of a scheme which he believes will be for the benefit of the country, and picks holes in every part of these details which he does not happen fully to understand. He not only complains" that the people of Canada huve not been consulted; but that in every respect the interests of Canada have been bartered away." Does he forget that the members of the Government all lovo their country, and have interests as great and as dear' to them as the rest of the people of Canada? Is it likely that my honorable triend at the head of the Governwent, the honorable: and gallant Knight, would give up everything that is dear to his race and to the people of this provinces? Is it likely that any of us would ruthlessly throw away any idvantage which we could reasonably retain? On the contrary, if my honorable frieud could be brought to look upon the measure with that liberality which ought to characterize a publio man, he would concede that, althöyrghe had to give away some things, we did that which was best for the interests of our country. Let hitn tiud himself surrounded, as we were, by diverse interests-peculiarities here, prejudices there, and strong interests in the other direotion, and let him produce, it he can, a scheme which, on the whole, is more advantageous to the people of this province, or which promises better for the country at large than that vhich
is now on the table of this House: Let him do this, and then I will forgive him for the illiberality which he exhibits towards those who have honestly endeavored, to the best of their united ability, to arrange the sebeme which is now under your consideration." (Hear, hear.) I could forgive my honorable friend altogether, if, like my honorable friend opposite, he took the ground that the scheme qught to be delayed until aftera general election. But, instead of that, he leaves no stone unturned to prejudice this House against the measure. It seems to me that if he could prejudice the House suff: ciently against it to insure its defeat, as a whole, be would leare no stone unturned to accomplish it. So far from showing that he is in tavor of the scheme, I cannot for one moment imagine how any one can believe him to be a sincere friend of Confederation ander any circumstances. It is all very well to say, " $I$ am in favor of the scheme, but opposed to some of the details." Was not every one of those details tested and tried in all its bearings, so tar as such a thing was possible, by gentlemen as intelligent and well informed upon the subjects embraced as any honorable gentleman in this House? Every honorable gentleman now listening to tue knows very well that it was not posible to adopt a scheme that could not be "found fault with. No matter what sctreme was put upon the table of this House, even if my honorable friend had been able to submit a zcheme infinitely superior to this, does anybody believe that certain honorable gentlemen iu this House would have supported it? The resolutions may be objectionable here and objectionable theré but it is for honorable "gentlemen to consider all the circumistances out of whigh they have grown; and consider whether, under those circumstances, they ought not to be adopted as a whole by the House. Honorable gentemen say, where is the advantage to be gained by Canada from Confederation? Well now, can any honorable. gentleruen in his senses believe that the removal of the oobstache to intercourse between the proriuces, the doing away with the customs duties, and the developing the trade of the St: Lavrence, is no advantage to Canada? Can it be said that tui, open up commetce with three millions of people aloug the St. Latrence and the lakes will be of no advantage to the people of the Loner Provinces? Can any Briton, advocating as he doss the continuation of our connection with the Mother C'untry, say-"I would rather bé alone, be an Upper Canadiau and be left to myself, and that my fellow-colonists be left to
take care of themselves." Then my hopos able friend asks: "Where is the additional military strength ?" Does my honorable friend pretend to deny that there is no additional strength in union over isolation? Does any man pretend to say that eight hundred or a thousand men belonging to a regiment are just as strong in units as when they are combined in a regiment and directed by the intellect of one man?. And just so the forces of all these provinces are comparatively weak in their present i-olated state $\cdots$ If we could say to the United States that we had the control of four millions of people to guard our frontier and repel attack, would not that form a strong barrier of defence? Would that be no weapon in the hads of a government desirous to avert an appeal to force of arms? It is the strength of a large number of people wielded by one niod, affording a power vastly superior to that which Canada alone could bring into the field, and-giving'the Government, when 'negotiating, an' opportunity to puint to what might posibly result from that power being called into active service. How can men be so lust to all that is true and useful and patriotic as to oppose a union of the powers of defence, and to oppose a scheme which is alone likely to afford the means of maiutaining, tor any long period of years, that connection with Great Briain which we all regard as so valuable? My honorable friend from Niagara took occasion, in the course of his remarts, to throw duabt upon one or two of my statements, and particularly in regard to the value of the mineral deposits of New: foundland. . I stated that I could satisf fy the House that there were minoral deposits in Newfoundland of a valuable character. I will not detain the House by reading it at leugth, but I hold in my hand a copy of a report that was made ou that colony in 1840, stating that those depooits consisted of galena, Eypsum, marble, gold, iron, copper, etc. There are most important lead mines in operation, and Prolessor Suepuard tates that he saw 3,500 pounds of pure galena thrown trom a tein at as siagte blast. He goes on in this report to describe the very convenicat position of the mines, showing that they can be approached very closely by vessels drawing twelve or tifteen teet of water. This repors plainly shows that my hoorable friend was uistaken in supposing that there were no valuable minerals in Newfoundland. But suppose, for the sake of argument, that there were no minerals there; suppose we were cimply giving the Province of Newfoundland $\$ 150,040$ a
year for the purpose of getting that island into the Confederation, would it not be better to have the Confederation complete than to refuse "to agree to that condition? One would suppose, from the manner in- which some honorable gentlèmen treat the question, that the various sums to be annually paid to the Lower. ProFinces were to be paid by Canada alone; but it is nothing of the kind,-they are to be paid: by the whole Confederation, the population receiving the benefit contributing as much per head to the amount as that of the Proviace of Canada: What does my honorable friend suppose the Province of Newfoundland gives up to the Confederation in return for the $\$ 150,000$ ? It transfers to us the whole right. of property in its unsold lands; and the whiole of its general rercnue. In 1862; it had a grose revenue of 8480,000 only 85,000 of "which' was from local sources; and it is calcu. lated that the colony will bring a revenue of $\$ 430,000$ per annum to the Confederate parse, while the total amount it will receive will be 8369,200 per annum out of which to defray ths local expenses." Is there anything so marvellously outrageous in that? In ad. dition to the fact that Newfoundland will pay the Confederation 8430,900 , and receive 8369,000 , we have a complete yielding :o the Federal Goverament of all her territorial sources of revenue. And so it is with all the provinces. Each of them will contribute to the general revenue, or to the Confederate parse, more than they will receive from it, so that the revenue of the whole country will show a surplus: The hooorable genteman from' Niagara eridently contémplates much more by his amendmeat than my hooorable friend opposite, who has so ably supported it, contemplites. My honorable friend who supported the aumendment contewplates : a delay until there stall be an expression of the people taken through a dissolution of Parliament. - Well now, how can a dissolution of Parliament be brought about in a constitutional manner? Suppose this scheme to recuive the support of an iumensé majority of the Lawer House, as it plainly does, aud also of a large majority in this House, how, I would ask, under our oystem of goverument, can a dissolution be brought about? A dissolution is onknown to the British Constitution, as carried out in this province, except when a measure, originated by the Government; dues not receive the support of Parliament. Receiving the sapport of more than two-thirds of the representatives of the people, as the presist Covernment does, how is it possible
that Parliament could be dissolved to suit the views of a simall minority? That is asking quite to much, even if it were possible to grant it. (Hear, hear.) What, therefore, do honorable gentlemen ask, when they ask that the scheme be submitted to the people? They ask us as a Government to leave that which we consider the safe, sound, British constitutuonal mode of procedure, and resort to the American system of obtaining assent to constitational alterations, by taking the votes, yea and nay, of the individual members of the whole community. What sort of a conclusion could be arrived at by that mode of procedure? Is it possible that any hon. meinber of this House desires that the peoplo should have the opportunity of saying yea or nas to each clause of these resolutions? I am satisfied that that is not wh it my honorable friend from Niugara desires, because he only asks for a delay of a moath; and my honorable friend opposite does not desire it, because be knows the Britisti Constitution and loves it too well to contemplate such a course for a monent: : What conclusion, then, can we arrive at, but that those who oppose the passage of the scheme through this House, by moving and supporting auieudments to it, are desirous of deteating it, and make those amendments for that purpose? (Hear, hear:) I am satisfied, fren the best information I oun obtain, that the passage of the amendment would have a very great tendeucy towards de feating the measure.: It has to be agreed to in both branches of all the other legislatures, and then in the Imperial Parlianent. All the other legislatures are now waiting upon the action of this House. They are waiting to know whether honorable gentlemen of the Legislative Council of Canuda coucur in the scheme-whether you are satisfied to put on one side sumall objections to minor matters of detail-to put to oue side your individual opinions on this point and on that point, and give it your support as a whole. Every person Who reflects upou the subject must be satisfied that that would have to be done under any circumstauces, "Do you desire to have a union of all the British Americin Provinces, or do you desire to remain as you are? That is the tssue. For myself, I feel that our connection with the Mother Country cannot be maintained for any great leugth of time without such a union. What have we found in the utterances of the public men of England from year to year? Have we not found them asserting, vith more and more vehemence every year, that we mere not doing our duty on chis cide
of the water in relation to our defences? If Great Britain should get into a war with the United States from circumstances over which we had no control, still our destinies were linked in with those of the great empire of Which we form a part, and it is our duty, under all circumstances, to do something more than we have yet done, to prepare for events that may happen from one cause or another. But suppose that during the past summer armed forces from the Uuited States had entered Canada in pursuit of raiders escaiping into this province from the other side of the border; as they might have done had not Gen. DIx's order been' withdrawn;- and had we found that our integrity as a member of the great Enipire was not respected, and Great Britain had coincided with the views of our Government and declared war against the United States; because that country had exercised liberties in one of her provinces to which no foreign power "was" entitled, where' then would have been the cause of the war? It, would have lain in the assertion of the right of the peciple of this protrince to manntain the position of an integral potion of the British: Empire. Well, supposing the cause of a war with that nation to have been else where, still we must partake with the Empire in upholding its integrity, and must stand or frill with that Empire: Șhall we say that we will contribute nothing towatds our defēnce except to keep up the rolunters, and depend entirely upon what the Mother Country, for prudential reasons, may do for us? Is that a reeling that any honorable member of this House ought to be actuated by in relation to this or any other question? I an sure no honorable gentleman would be willing to sit down and fold his arms under the protection which the money and arms of Great Britain give us'; and I am sure my honorable friend from Niagara himself would not unite in such a view plaiuly expressed. Sull; my lonorable friend thinks these resolutions ought not topass this House, but ought to be postponed. indefinitely, leaving the colonies in the divided condition in which they now are, I I believe, on the contrary, that the interests and desting of this country are bound up in the union now contemplated taking place. Suppose, as many believe, the end of that untortunate fratricidal strife in the United States is at hand, and a reconciliation takes place at any reasonable time between the Northern and Southern Staties, I am quite sure the maintenance of the integrity of these provinces will depend upon this union biving been con-:
summated. If the soheme is postponed now, it is postponed indefinitely. For years past the effort has been making to get the Lower Provinces to assent to a union with Canada, and, if the question is now postponed, there is no knowing whether we shall ever be able to get their assent to it again or not: Action. in the parliaments of Nova Scotia, Newfoundlaød, and P:ince Edward Island, is now hanging upon the proceedings in this House. If you pass an amendment, it will indicate to them that the people of Canads are not warmly in favor of the scheme. . Hod orable gentlemen, are you ready to take the responsibility of declaring that the people of Caniada are opposed to Confederation? There is no knowing when circumstances will allow of its being brought to this forward stage again: 'Those of you who know what difficul. ties and objections were met with-the selish interests of the various sections of this and of the other provinces, which we had to over-come-must feel that a very great adzance was made when the measure was brought to the present forward stage. When again will it be likely to happen that the representatives of the various provinces will be brought together to consider the question? When will it again happen that the goternments of the several provinces concerned will be able to lay upon the table of their respective legist latures a scheme so complete in all its details as this is ? It is imposisible to say when that happy coincidence of circumstances will again occur: ", Then my tionorable friend from Niagara says, "You have not given us the soheme in detail., You have not given the whole of it The House "has not betore it the proposed Constitution under which Upper and Lower Canada are hereafter to meet. . You have not told us what are to be the rights and the powers of the local legislatures,"' Well, hon: orable gentlemen, all I can say is, that it would be impossible, and not only in posssible, it would be useless for the Government to have brought down this scheme at the same time that they submitted the scheme now before the House. Uutil this schene passes, until it shall be adopted in the other provinces, until we kpow whether or not we are to form portions of a Confederate Government, there is no occasion for introducing the scheme relating to the local legislatures. "But, honorable gentlemen, is it likely or can it be possible for such a scheme to be adopted without the sanction of both branches of the Legislature? The plan, whatever it may be, for the constitation of Upper and ILower Canada, is it a matter
which the ministers of the Crown can carry in their pockets and ppt in force without the sanction of Parliament? No, it is a measure which must hereafter be laid on the table of this House, which must be debated, and upon which we shall all have an opportunity of pronouncing an opinion before it comes in force. At the proper time, a full opportunity will be afforded to those who dissent from the riems of the Government, in regard to the consitutions of these provinces, of expressing their opinions; and of seeking to give effect to them.' The same may be said in regard to the abjections taken to the Intercolonial Rail: riay. It is asserted that the Intercolonial Railway is something that we ought never to have agreed to." But honorable gentlemen. will acknowledge, as a general proposition, that union is impossible, without the railway, and such as believe that union is important and necessary, must be content to take the railway as a condition which is indispensable: But, - hotiorable gentlemen, the Guvernment cannot of itself build the Intercolonial RailHay. There is no power either in this Government or the Goveruments of the other proviaces to build it. We must come down po Parliament for the sauction-uot to this Parliament, but to the Confederate Parliamemt, "and the Confederate Parliament will have an opportunity of saying upon what terrins we shall build the Intercolonial hail. way. The fullest opportunity will be afforded for discussion before cither the Intercolonial Railway "is built, or the constitutions are adopted for Lipper and Lower Canada. The fornier will be subuitted to the Confederate Parliament :" the later, should "the resolutions nuir before the House pass, to the present Parliament of Canada; for that must aneessarily be a matier for the disposal of the Legisature of Canada. I am notone of those who would, as suggested, desire to take shelter behind the resolutions before the House for any un worthy parpose; but this I will say, that the amendment now before the House ought not to receive its sanction. I am quite satisfied that no honorable member of this House, who is really and truly an adrocate of this scheme, and who believes that Confederation of all the provinces is important and desirable, will be found voting for this amendment, which would plue a barriẹr in the way of Confederation, such as, perhaps, wo could not overcome. Fisucy the number of years during which this matter has been contemplated. As my honorable friend who sits near me pointed out, it is a measure which has
long been agitated. He shewed you that for years and years it has engaged the attention of almost every person who took any kind of interest in the public affairs of this country.: I have only one thing to add to my honorable friend's elaborate statement on this point, and that is, to quote an extrict from the resolutions proposed in this House many years ago by an honorable friend of mine, whom I am glad, and whone every one of his fellow members is glad to find still occupying his' accustomed place in this House-I refer to ny honorable friend Hoa. Mr. Mathesox: In 1855, my honorable friend proposed a series of resolutions in this House against the elec tive principle, the "last of which. is in language prophetic of the result which pow we are testing by actual experience. The resolution is in these words:-
8. Resolved, -That as the subject of a union of the whole of the British North American Provinees has for years" occupied the public attention, it would manifestly be unwise ta complicate future arranjements by a change in the Constita: tion of one of those provinces, which has not heen suugt for, and which this House belieres, would not be aceeptable to the others. It is, therefore, the opiniui of this Council, that any procedings on the sutject at the present juncture would be premature, unwise, and inexpedient.
My honorable friend at that time looked forward to that which we now see about to take plaee-a union of these provinces-and he anticipated also that the elective system; if introduced into this branch of the Legislature, would be fraught with difficulty. It has been fraught with difficulty, and it is a difficulty which we must surnount-a barrier which We must strive to avercome. The personal objections which my hourioble friend from Niagara division has started, are the poorest kind of objections. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ It is not what my hon: orable friend cear me, or my honorable friend opposite, possibly thought or said at some re mote period, that we have now to cousider. We are all more or less exposed to this sort of attack; : but fortunately the time durinis which I have had the honor of being in public lite has been so short, and the position I have since occupied hats been so obscure, that I an not so much exposed as many others to. these aceusations; but I an well aware that this is owing to my comparative insignificance. I must say that for miy part I am disposed to. put aside all these things: I am disposed to put aside all reference to what an honorable member may have done under other circuinstances and in other times, and I would mere-

Iy ask myself this: "Is this Confederation desirable? Do I wish for it as a lover of monarchical institutions? Do. I desire it as à subject of the British Empire? Do I wish for the perpetuation of the connection between this country and Great Britain?" If I do I shall waive my objections on this point and the other, in my desire for the success of the principle. This Cosifederation has been sought after for years, but neever until now has it approuched so near a consummation-never:was it a possibility as it is now : a possibility. After years of ansiety, atter years of diffculty, after troubles here and divisions there, the sclieme is found possible, and I will not put it away from me because I object to this proint or to that. "If this harness of the Confederation of the country is ta be put on, we cannot but expect that it will chafe here and chafe there, ', but time will give relief and provide the remedy, as it has done in other circunistances before. It was so in regard to the uniou of 18t0. The Lower Canadians bad a grierance in the Freich language being excladed from thim Provincial Parlianient. That chated, us was to be expected, and provoked remonstrante. And what was the result? The injustice cow plained of was done a away with, and both languajes were thereafter peruitte? to be used. Thin it was the desire ti the perple that the elective system should 'be introdueed into this Hyses. I believe' my' self that if was a mistake, but a change was desir d, ${ }^{\circ}$ and a" change was brousht abobut. And so it will be in this cave. If change is. sericusly desired; it will be had. It would be unwise and unstatesimailike, in my opinion, to declare that beeause we cannot hare our way on this puent or on that point-that be cause the scheme in all its features is not ex: actl, what we would like it to be-we will not have it at all Where, honorable gentlemien, is the union effected between uny two countries', or any two individuais even, which has lasted for any leagth of time without mutial torbearace and mutual coucessions? Let those honorable gentlewen who here had the good fortune of forming uuions, and who can theretore speak from experience, say whe ther any union can be formed either happy or lasting without forbearance on both sides', (Hear, hear, and laughter.) You must give up all thoughts of union unless you are willing to give and take, and cease persithing for everything you "thiuk best. Nobody ever did effeci a unupn upon such terms, aud nobody ever will. You must forbear bere and give way there. I trast and bolieve that in the present
instance this will be the opinion of the Legis lature of this conatrys. I trust and believe we zre satusfied that Federation is desirable in itself, and that, ,without insisting on this point or on that point, we will be louking confideotly forward to the future, when we shall withess, in this conntry, a population of fons millions, with a valuable commerce, and', in point of naval power and supremacy, ranking fourth in the: world. (Applsuse.) Particularly am I'surprised that any honorable gentleman from Lower Canada should oppose himself to this dinion; for by union the peo ple of Lower Canada will regain possession of those countries which were once belonging to their race, and in which their language continues to be spoken. I beliere that for them, as well as for us, there is a future in store of great promise, to which we can all look forward with the most confident expectations. And shall we set aside all these promising prospects because we cannot obtain thiq little point or that little point? I hope honorable gentlemen who faror the scheme see as I see that there is ingminent danger in pastponing the measure, and I ask them not to pase this amendment, which is brought forward in the poorest of all spirits, which is baved on the assumption that Lonorable gentlemea' are nat ready to give the country the beileit of their minds and their judguents; but which ask's us to wait and go :knocking about from door to door, asking what is thought about the schemé upon which we are now called to legislate. Federation is the future sadety and Balvation of the conitry. Let us then waire our small objettions and rote for Federation. (Applaase.)
HuN. Me SEYMOUR-The Hon Com. missiouer of Crown Lands is right in sap. posing that I am opposed to Federation I ath "pposed to it; aud particularily on the basis agreed upon at the Queber Convention. I do not say that I would be oppised to a legislative noion on fair and equal terms; but I am deeidedly opposed to Federation on the terims now before the Huase. ity hon: friend has suid that in' all 'unions there must be forbearance; ; bat in this Federation scheme it appears to me the forbearancee bas been all or one side. The forbearance has not been mutupl. When parties eater iuto a partnership, there ought to be forbearaice on the part of each, ana mutual concessions. But in this case the conceessious as well as the forbearanee hars been all on the side of Cunada. My hon. friend, with ail his eloquence and ability, has not ansmered a aingle
objection raised by iny hon, friend from Niagara (Hon. Mr. Curnie). He has found it convenient to pass them all over for the simple reason that he found them unanswerable. My hon. friend says:-"W as not the French language restored to Lower Canada, and was not this a change in the Constitation?", Hon. gentlemen, it was ertainly restored, and by the Conservative administration of that day, and, as my hòn. friend opposite (Hon. Mr. Bocleton) has ssid unanimously. There Fas Do opposition, for it was connidered a right to which our French Canadian fellow subjects were fally entitled. But is the restoration of the French language to be compared with the resolations now proposed - with the great constitutional change which is intended to affect, not only ourselves, but our children and our children's children for all time to come? Is a change like this to be compared with the restoration of the French language? Certainly not.: It seturs to me to be the most extraordinary. comparison 1 ever heard of Then my hon, fricad has referred to the change in the constitution of the Legislative Council. But was, pót that question over end crer again before the people? Did not the people at the hustings frequently pronoubee an opinian upou that change? Undonbtedly they did, and it being auderstood that the peuple were in favor of it, the change was briught abuut: My hon. friend says that in the Conference they were surrounded with diffeculties. No doubt they wero.: And why? Bicause they allowed for Prince Edward Island and Newforadland as many delegates as they did for Canada. No doubt they were surfounded with difficulties. No doubt they were overwhelued by the demands of these geutlérien: The hon. gentleman says that Cunfederation is necessary to strengthen the. defences of the country. In what way? 'San any hon. gepleman tell me in what way? I have not heard one word to prove, to ning satisfaction, how the defences of the country are to be strengthened by Federation, unlesy indeed it bo by placing the thole of the provinces under one head. Why, hon. geuthewen, did I not shew here the other day whit was the feeling of the Lower Provinces in regard to the detenc $s$ of the country? At a time when our Parliament were propos. ing to pass an act whioh would ontail the expenditure of millions on the deffaces of the- country, what was being done in the Lower Provinces? Why the Finencial Secye-
tary of one of the provinces came down with a proposed grant of $\$ 20,000$, and he was obliged to apelogize to the Bouse that the sum was so large!'And the present Prenier of Nova Scotia- the provincesecon 1 in importance in British Noith America - proposed to strike off $\$ 1 \geqslant 000$, and leave the appropriation at 88,000 . This was proposed by a province next in importance to our own, and at the time'of the Trent aiair, when there was an appearance of danger much greater than at present. Abd what did New Branswick do? Appropria'e $\$ 15,000$. The people that did all this are the people to whom we are to ally ourselves that we may be strengthened in our efforts for the defence of the country: Do hon. gentlemen believe that an alliance with provinces whose leading men hold such views as these would "add to our streugth? Certainly not. My hon. friend the Commissioner of Crown Lands has also said that 95 out of every 100 of the people of Upper Canada are in favor of Federation My hon. friend is in istaken. I once had the honor of representing a portion of his coustituents; and I"would inform my hon. friend that $I$ know as much of the feeling, not simply of the people of Upper Canada, speaking, of them generally, but of his' constitupats, as he does; and this I would say that were my hon. friend to ga befire his constituenterad cel! them that, in order to get Federation, Upper Canada is to pay two-thirds of the cost of the Intercolonial Railway; and twothirds of the cost of maintenamee of the road for all time to come, and that the roads of the Lower Prôvinces are to be made Government roads, and to be kept $u p$ in tuiture ato the espense of the Federal Government, and. that Upper ''anada will have two-thirds of the burden to bear, I will venture to say that wy hon: friea l mould 6 d himself wrong in his estimate of being able to satisfy 95 out of évery 109 of his coastituents.

Hon. Mr CAMPBELL-Tell them of all the circumstances', and I would be able to sati fy them
Hon. Me. SEYMOUZR-Ny bon. frieod is greally mistalsen. If thy hon. fiend is to be one of the life members under the Federation, he would not require so much to satisfy them.

Hon. Ma: CAM PBELL-My hon. friend is altogether too fast. I do not look forward to any such thing.

H A. Ar. SEYMOUR-My hon, friend has the power in his hands; but if he does
not desire the honor, of course he can avoid itsbeing thrust upon him. But my hon friend could not for a moment go before his, con ${ }_{z}$ stituents-and he represents a constituency Which for intelligence is second to none in Cpper Canada-and tell them that they are to contributis to the revenue of the Cinfederation in proportion to therimport dutiesthat they are to contribute according to their wealth-and that they are only to receive back in proportion to their poryla-tion-that largely as they cintributc. the return' will only be the same as to the tishermen ant lumberers who furm the floating pupulation of the lower Prorinces; and carry so large a majority as he has pimed with him: A doctrine such as this is any thine but conservative. I woild submit to any thing rather than vote for such a cheme: Were I to support it in its present shape I should consider myself as betraying the interestis of my country. Hon. gentleman are of course entitled to their "wa opinions in this matter; but these are mine and I sball continue to maintain and uphold them. I dssert that the amendwen of my hoú friend for delay is a just'and reasonable prie., and I canout see huw it can possibly be objected to tin a matter of thi- in mertance: where the dearest interests, of the whore country are at stake, did where we are degisliting nit fur ourvelyes alự but for future wrotatius. Such: being the importance of the asosure, I cannot conceive how hon. geintemed can sote against no reawoulle a proposition (Hear, tear')

Hon. Ma: FERGLSSON BLAJR-I - Hevk for information from the Hon. Comatis: sioner of Crown Lands, as" to the sehegne respecting the local legislatures. Ind I uuderstand hy hon friend to say, that it would be submitted to the present. Par liament?
Hov. Mr. CAMPBELK-It is no intevded:

Hon. Mr fergesson blalk - 1 alsa uaderstood my hon, frimed tos diy that beore the House pronounced upon the geveral scheme of Federation, it would not be proper to subwit the scheme for the local legistatures. I canoot see the force of that. But still I will not raise that a- an objection to proceeding with the fresent scheme.

Hon. Mr CAMPBELI-Perhaps tiny hon. friend from Broot is right in the vieiv he takes. But it was throught by the

Government that it would be prematare to bring in the "sheme for the local govern-: ments until it was seen hether Parliament was in favor of these resolutions.

Hon: Mr. FERGUSSON BLAIR:But. many members of this House, before making up their minds as to how they ought to vote on the resolutions, would like to be informed as to the nature of the local scheme, which is to have such an important bearing on the question at issue.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL The Parliament of the country will have the fullest opportunity of pronouncing upon it.

Hos. Mr. STMPSON-When?
Mos: Mr CAMPBELL-After: these resolutions have been passed. We thought it was unnecessary for us to give our attenthun to the local constitutions for Cpper and Lower Canada until we had ascertained whether Parliament was in favor of Federation. . . That ascertained, we shall feel it ourduty to give our minds to the preparation of the acheme for the constitutions of the tivo: provioces; ad these constitutions will be laid before Parliament.

How Ma ROSS-I do not know what the views of the Governinent may be upon this puint, but it secus to me that it would have been an esiraurdiatiry proceeding had they brount down at this juncture the prupose constitutions for Leper and Lower C'inada: : There may a great difference of opinion arise as to the constitutions proper to be proposed for these prosine as; and it is quite possible that these differences may" occasion the "withdrawal "of some members of the Government. (Cries of " hear, he ir.") Hon' gentlemen cry "hear, bear:" But I say that such may pousbly be the case. And it would be absurd and impolitic for the fuvernment to throw the country in a state of confusion as regards the scheme for the local legislatures if they failed in carrying the resolutions here submitted. Hon, gentlemen will see that they would be anmerthy of the position thicy hold wire they to do-ss. I ana pot sure whether I ubderstood may han friend oo say that"the scheme for the local legiblatures would be brought down on the pasing if theres : resionlutiuns. I hope that I misuaderstiod him, because I think we should wait the resalt of the action of the Lower Provinces. We should see if Federation succeeds there, inasmuch as: in case of its failare in the Lower Proviaces, even if we adopt the reso-
lations here, the arrangement would not go into effect, and we would be placing the country in a state of turmoil and confusion in discussing measures which would be altogether unnecessary. We ought, it seems to me, first to carry out this arrangement as far as it is possible to carry it; and if we can secure the assent to it of the two larger provinces below, there will be a reasonable certainty of the scheme being effected. And then, and not till then will the proper time arrive for the discussion of the proposed constifutions of Upper and Lower Canada. I am perfectly amazed at the proposition of my hon: friend (Hon Mr Fergusson BLatr).: because he is friendly to these resolutions, and gave us the expression of his" views thereon in an admirable manper at the opening of the debate. "And how the hon. gentleman shonld desire to tave the scheme for the local legislatures puod this project is beyund my comprebension
Hus Mr ferglsson blatR-I think it is only reasonable that, as hoti. renthemen argue, they should see before voting fir or against Federation what are the pro: pised constitutions for the local legislatures. © Hear, hear.)
Hos Mr CAMPBELL-My hon friend shatd a!d this to the reflection-that at all eyents hon. nembers will haye a full opportuaty of pronouncing uponit.

Hon. Mr VIDAL said-Honorable geatlemen, you may: probably regard it as presumptious in one so inexprrienced as I am in parligmentary debate, to enter the lists agatist, the Hon. Commissioner of Crown lands, and to venture to dispute the validity of the arguxuents adduced by him in his
 under consideration; yet, preat is is the existing disparity in poing of ability and influence, I to not shrink from the contest, for I believe that: I have truth and justice on my side; and bive confidence that in ifs own inherent power, the truth will: ultimately prevail: I hive listened with delight to the hon, gentlemari's address, and cordially concur with his views in many poitits, but therev aresome in whioh I differ, in none more so than that which regards all who support the atwepdment of the hon. member from the Siagara Division (Hon. Mr. Cubres as iossincere, nay, even as wanting in loyalty to the Crown aud tw the cuuatry.
Hon: Mr, CaMPBELL-What I said was this, that I was slow to believe in the
sincerity of those who advocated a measure apd sheltered themselves behind details.

Hon Mb: VIDAL-It was more pointedly put than that:- It was' said that the terms of the motion were such as clearly showed that it was made simply for the object of defeating the measure.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBFLI-And I repeat that it is so. But that is very different from what you charged me with saying.

Hon. Mr. VIDAL"-The hon. gentleman made the remark that we were not altering the Constitution, but that the question before us was: one simply for an address to the Crown Now, strictly speakith, and taking the words of the motion in their mereliteral sense, this statement is correct; but I' ask hon. gentlemen if it is fair or candid to endeavor to lead the House to belicve that this motion', which is undoubtedly for an address, is not in'effect for a change in the Constitution? Aro we not plainly told that no Imperial legislation will take place on this subject anless such an Address as this receives, the assent of the Canadian Levislature? I hold, therefore, that the motion before us, though it be for an Address to Her Majesty, is in effect'a measure, which has for its object achange of the Constitution. Such being the case, the subject is"one which demands our most careful consideration, and for which we ought to be allowed all the time requisite to the fullest and freest discussion. The changes which have been referred to, and with which it has been sought to compare this change, cannot with propriety be regarded as similar I contend, in the lavguage of the hunorable gentleman (IIon. Mr. Seymour) who has just preceded me, that this is in fact a revolution: the word is not too strong. So far from its being as has been stated, a simple change. like the merë introducing or reintroducing the une of the French language into the Legislature, or even the more important step of altering the constitution of this House, it is an entire alteration of our political condi. tion and relations, and affects mist deeply the whole country in all its varied interests. Whatever may be the correctuess or "incorrectness of the opinion of my him. friend as to hon. menibers covering their hostility to the scheme of Confederation by objecting ouly to its details, it will not apply to me; I shall take no shelter under details. My cisurse in voting for the amendment of the hon. member for Niagara is based on broad and constitutional gronuds. I differ from that hon. gentleman in regard to somo of
these details, and on the whole, I am not sure if my views do not more nearly coineide with those of miny hon. friend the Commissioner of Crowt Laids.
Hon. Mr: Campbell-I am very glad to hear my hon. friend say so. I would like hin also to state if' he goes with the bon. member tor Niagara, in desiring the delay of a month or delay for a longer period.
Hon. Mr. VIDAL-That question will be fully answered when I come to touch upon th t point., But I may state, that instead of offering a factious opposistion by the course $I$ intend taking, it is my loyalty to our Sovereien and country which induces me to support the amendment bow before the Honse, not with the object of defeating this measure, but for securing its adoption on a broader and more permanent basis. How singular are the different views which are taken of our position and pọwers according to the manner in which we may vote upon this question: In one breath we are told that:we are the representatives of the perple, and we have a perfect right to vote upon it as we may see fit; and in a few minutes. afterwards, we are informed that if we do not vote upou it in a certain manner, we do not represent the people.: I cannot possibly reconcile the two statements. It is also sald -and if is the ooly argument I have heard on the point-if indeed it cantbe called au aryument at all-that it the present oppor: tunity"of securingithe union of the provinces is aflowed to pass unimproved, it will be a long time befure we may look fur another. I admit that the opportunity is one whicl has been long desired, and one which it will be wise policy to improve ; and it will be sus hamble éndeavor to seèk to do so to the best advantage. But if the measure is in reality fraught with the benefitw which have been claimed for it, I cannot see how it will be jeopardized by a littio delay; because the more ité benefi's are looked iuto, the better, it is reasonable to suppose, the peeple will be satisfied :with thew. I' cannot see how. the measure will be eadangered hy giviug both the people and their reprexenntitives a 1.ttle longer time to become acquanted with its. priucipley and its details. Since the commencement of the debate in this House, much light has been thrown in thé scheme, and we have had the advantage of the explanations in the other Cha iber, and I am sure that the minds of hon. gentlemen. must now be mach better intormed on parti-
cullar points of the scheme than they were betore we came here. For my own part; after having ball my mind frequently directed to it, and after having lis ened attentively, to the arguments of all the speakers, $I$ am more and more impressed with the magnitude and importance of the various interests on which our action is in inited in thi matter, and I think we should 'proceced cautiously. and slowly in taking the stép before us -a ehange so great as that contemplated by the tramers of these resolutions-a chatige amouiting to nothing less ithan, as I before observed, a revolution in the whale system of governing the country: This is astep which, un order to be permanétly successsful, must rest on the priuciples of truth and justice, and these trinciples must be itutelligently apprehended by the people to be governed. Notwithstanding all that $h$.s been adranced in this chauber-all the assertions which bave been made-in refereace to the inform: ation said to be p' ssessed by the people of this country relative to this measure, I must say that I do not coincide in that opinion. I believe that the people of the cuuntry, as a whole, are not acquaiuted with the details What nè li_ht has there been thrown on the resolutions since we aseembled here ? Have we not had our attention directed to the fact that eren some who assisted in framing the resolutions, did not theuselves know precisely what some of them meant? Moreover, is it not the fact that the atten. tion of the country bas not to any great estent been called to auy arguments against the scheme: Now. in order to a right appreciation' of the value and ituportance of the proposed Confederation, it is right that the people should klow and uadeittand both sides of the question. : They shonld not be carried away with the pleasing prospect held out to them of the adyantages to be derived from torming part of a greal Confederation, without being told at the sanue time of the cust at which these adrantages are to be purchased. And this is all the more necessary because the movement did not originate with the peaple. All great constitational chaoges vught to and usually do originate with the people "But this is an aivomaly. Hero we bave a proposed Coustitution frymed by a self-elected budy-I do not ave the term: reproachfully, because I hold that these hoo. gentlemen did perfeetly right in so nueting. together-this, I say, is a Constitution which was not framed by a body appointed for the
purpose ; and it is sent down to us as a perfect document; which must be regarded us resembling'a treaty which we have nc power to alter even in the smallest detail.
Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL-My hon: friend cavils at the question of authority. But he. must know that the Parliament of this country bad sanctioned the formation of a Governnient with the avowed intention of bringing about Federation ; and therefore there was authority for what was done from the people of this country: Butmy hon. frieud is a mionarchist; and recognizes other sources of authority than those vested in the people. There is the authority of the Crown ; and on this point I would beg to refer him to the despatch which was received on this subject from the Secretary of State for the Colonies. It says: " With the sanction of the Crown, and upon the invitation of the GoverrorGeneral, men of every province, chosen by the reŝpective Lientenant-Governors, without distinction of perty, assembled to consider questions of the utmost interest to every sinb: ject of the Queen, of whatever race or faith, resident in those provinces, and have arrived at a conclusion destined to exercise a most important ioflaence upon the future welfare of the whole coinuunity." So here was the sanction of the Crown so far as the action of the other provinces was conceroed; whilst our own Parliament directly sanctioned the formation of a Government having this object in view

Ilon. Ma. VIDAL-I have stated clearly and emphatically that I was satisfied with the formation of the Conference and what it did, so why my hon. friend the Commissioner of Crown Lands should have thought it aecessary to make the explauations he has just now done, I realy do nót knew. I "aduited-I nevèr' in the least disputed that the Conferebce was properly; legally, and formally constituted. I gave the members composing it all praise for the iutelli, gence and fidelity to the interests of the country with which they carried on their laborious negotiations. - But I must still reiterate my former statefuent, that on account of this c.ovement not having emanated from the people-antl the faet of there being no petitions before either branch of the Legislature asking for it establishes this-we ought before i.s adoption to have some expression of the views of the people, and consequéntly that the motion in amendment made by my hon. friend the member from Niagara is one which I ought to support. I
believe,' after this debate has been concluded in both Chambers, and the full report of it which is being prepared has gove forth to the country, the people will be in a position to form a correct judgment on the merits of the case. They will then have before them perhaps all that could be said on one side or the other, and if they cannot then form a reliable judgment, it will be their own fault: There is no reason why this House should be at the very great expense-sóme $\$ 2,00^{\prime}$ ) I believe-of printing so large a number of the debates as is bing done, if the people are not to be consuited; for unless they are to be asked for a decision-if the scheme is to be carried into effect withunt consulting them-where is the necessity for placing be fore them speeches and arguments which which will ouly have the effect of disturbing their minds". In ad lition "to saying that the plan has not emanated from the people, I contend that it has not even emanated from the representatives of the people. Had these resolutions been framed by our own Government, brought dowa I ke other Goverument measures into our Legislature, and there discussed, voted upon, and adopted by the majority, I should not think it necessary that there should be any reference to theppeople, though perhaps I wight still think such reference desirable: But the fact is that the represeutatives of the people have uot been con ${ }^{3}$ sulted in the matter; there has been no way left open whereby they can effect the amendment of any objectionable feature in the resolutions, or influence the Innerial Legislature on the proposed union., I presume họnorable gentlemen will cuncur with me that if, after all that has been stated, the country should not desire the changeif the people at large should thiuk they are reaily paying tov much and making too considerable a sacritice to secure the anticipated benefits of this imeasure-it ought not to be passed. (Hear) Wheré, I wouid ask is the danger to be apprehended in submitting the measure to thie country? "Danger is to be apprehended from forcing upon the peoplea; measure of which they may not appiove. (Hear.) But nothing can be endangered by submitted this project to the peopie, if, ás has been so strongly asserted aud as I believe, the majority are in favor of it. If I thought an immediate reference to the people would jeopirdise the scheme, perhaps I might hesitate in urging it as I now do-(hear, and laughter)-but I believe its ubject is really one desired by the country generally,
and there would be no risk in submitting it. Where is then the danger of delay?-and delay is all we ask for. What struck me very much in the eloquent and able address of the Honorable Commissioner of Crown Hands was, that he never touched upon the real question of the amendment. It is true he said delays were dangerous, delay would lose the measure, but not a shadow of argument did he advance in proof of this view. I think delay is safety, in that it will enable the country, and the Legislature to lock into the scheeme, to weigh all its adrantages and disadrantages, if it has any and so more certainly secure the passing of it if good, and the rejection of it if the reverse. Ot course, honorablegentlemen, divers views may exist as to the way in which the opinion of the people on this question is to be obtained. I am not to be deterred from expressing in y views by the taunt of republicatism; a saeer never disturbs me when I hare good ground for what-I do or say.: I have had to bear with many a sueer on account of my adhesion -to the temperance cause, bat they never moved me from my course. My belief is that the views of the people sway be ascertained without any such delay as, will endanger the scheme. It is to be presumed that the debate will not extend beyend a week or two, it both Houses A ver'y short time after it" is concluded, and the pamphets containing the speeches printed, a direct vote of the people might be taken with pro priety and safety: The proposition to submit the plan to the vote of the people seemis at the first glance not to be Brush-our prejudina rise against it. SVe are, however, not to be guided by prejudices, but by reason and reflection; and if we can tind the best neaus of clearly and satislactorily ascertaining what the people wish, that rueans ought to be adopted, call it by what name you may, I think that to put the matter to a direct vote in this way is the best plan. The people should ber told: "Here is the measure"; will you take it or will you not?"' We should not ask them to discuss amendments; we could not bring the people of all the provinces together for such a purpose, ond if we allowed amendments to be discussed, we should have inextricable confusion. The plain question should be proposed: Do you wish fur this Confederation or not-yes or no.?

Hon. Mr. ROSS-No power to alter its details?

Hon. Mr. VIDAL-No. That is the way the question is proposed to this House, and if it be wrong to submit it thus to the people, it is also wrong to submit it in such a manner to the Legislature " (Hear, hear.) An additional motive tor suggesting this: mode of proceeding. is; that I should be ${ }^{\circ}$ extremely unwilling to subject myself to the censorious remarks of hon.: gentlemen in the otber Chamber who wight reasonably say, if we propose to have a dissolution and a new election on the subject, 'It is all very well", but you keep your seats, while you send us home". I do not indeed see why we might not with great propriety wait until the next general election, when after two years of reflection and disnussion, the people would be still better able to give an intelligent vote. I can see vo objection to the wish of the people being thas ascertained in this pur excellence constitutional way; but as ministers tell us we cannot wait, then I say, let us rather have a direct vote of the people on the scheuce than precipitate a genoral electivi. I should prefer a direct vote to a general election, because during "an : alection" other itfluences are at work besides purely political oues: In many places the personat popularity of a candidate outweighs the political leaning of the electors; in orhers, a well-filled purse carries the day, or sume local yuestion prejudices a constituency and influences the minds of the voters. But upou a schems such äs. this, if submitted direetly to the country, nine of these considerations would have any effect, and the electors would be guided by patriotism alune. So that while coustitutionally the House represeats the will of the people, and no fault cculd be lound if the House, atter a new eliction, were to pass upou the matter, still the object desired, viz., to know the desire of the people, would be more expeditously and less expensively attained by a direct vote. In is of no use to call this method "Yanke", or $\%$ Republican." It is well known that it prevailed as far back as the days of ancient Home.

Hon. Ma. LETFLLIER DEST: JUST - You may call it French; too.

Hon Ma: VIDAL-Yes, or, if you please, you may call it imperial; it has been resorted to in France andia Mesíco. It would ecrtainly in this case be fair-nu one could have any object for tampering with the votes of the people, or obtaining a decision which was not a
trathfal expression of their wish. We could obtain the views of the whole country in a shört time-perhaps not within one month, butstill in time enough to enable the measure to be adopted within the current year. The Le egislature of New Branswick is not to mect forsome time yet ; the question therefore cannot be soon settled there; and if it were, it has still to go home to England, there to be embodièd in an Imperial enactment before being acted upon. The Imperial Parliament has assembled and will probably continue in session, as it generally does, some five or six months.- Sarely then there will be time to take the vote here. I should like to have some reason adduced to convince the that there is danger in delay. I have heard an indistinct allusion to such danger as being great in case war should suddenly come upon us. Sow, hon: gentlemen, I hold this to be ${ }^{\text {a }}$ an objection which has no weight whatever. How long will it be, if we adopt the resolutions, before this-scheme can be got into operation? I presume it will be twelve months, and if we can wait a twelvemonth, can we ${ }^{5}$ not wait two years without risk? For, what immediate strength is the measure to bring. tous? The mere uniting together of these provinces will not give us one additional soldier; it will gire us no more moaey; neither willit lessen the extent of frontier to be. deefended, nor give us any increase of military power. As for its placing all the provinces under the directicn of one mind-the only "argument which I have heard applying to this part of the question-if we were in a state of war to day, the forces of the whole would be under the direction of one mind. Do we think for one moment; that if a hostile force set foot on the shores of Canada, New Brunswick or Nova Scotia, the heart of the Eupire would not thrill with indignation, and the whole force of the Empire net be brought to bear against the foe who thus insulted and defied the British Crown, just as readily in our isolated as it would be in our united condition? I think the danger from war is one on which no argument against submitting this measure to the people can possibly be based. (Hear, hear.) An hon: gentleman has stated that the defences of the country must remain at a stand-still until Confederation is accomplished. I do not know the sonree from "Which that opiaion cames, or whether it was spoken by authority. If it were, it is certainly a startling adinouncemént.

Hon. Mr: ROSS-We have dertainly. been given to understand so, in this House.

Hon. Mr. VIDAL-I do not, and cannot think the British Government is going-to leave us unprotected and undefended, even if Confederation should not take place.

Hon: Mr. CASHBELL-We may assume that the preparations the Imperial Government may make for the defence of these colonies may be materially affected by the result of our deliberations on this Confederation scheme-they may be influenced by our capacity for defence, and the willingness shown to exert ourselves.

- Hon Mr. VIDAL-They may be eventuallỳ, but I am speaking of to-day, and I. am. sure Her Majesty's Goverument will readily send us today every assistance we might need.

Hon Mr. MACPMERSON-No progress is being made with our defences-the whole question of defence seems waiting for Confederation-nothing is being done That fact must be patent to every honorable member of the House.

Hon. Mr. VIDAL-They may seem to be- waiting, but why I cannot conceive, for: every argument that can be brought to bear to show that our defences will progress under. Confederation, can be equally available tor that "purpose now." (Hear:) It has been said by the Hou the Commissioner of Crown Lauds, in reply to the member from Niagara, that the country has not been taken by surprise by. these resolutions. In this I differ from him. It is quite true that as far as the nuestion of union is concerned, it is not newthe thought of union has long occupied many miuds-but I do contend, that with reference to many points comprised in the schome, the country has been taken by surprise. No thought, no knowledge whatever of the character of many of the changes proposed to be introduced ever entered the minds of the people at large.

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELI-It is a satisfactory surprise. (Hear.)

Hon. Mr. VIDAL-It may be a satisfactory surprise; I have no doubt it is to many. It was a satisfactory surprise to find that gentlemen from all the provinces; of different politionl parties, could meet together in such an amicable way, and make such mutual concessions as to enable this scheme to be presented at all. (Hear, hear.) This is just what ought to have been done. To represent me as opposed to Confederation
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is a great mistake, 'It' is just because io apprectate its adrantages, and wish to see them secured without any chance of danger resulting from the "scheme having been too hastily allupted, that I spak as I do. (Hear, beary) It is said the peop!e were not appealelf to whe the upions betireen England and. Scotland, aud G̈reat Britaiuand Ireland, were brought abot. That is quite true, but it is equally true that these unions were brought about by the Pallaments of those countries -the representatives of the people. . The measares were arracged with them, and the people were represented tis th those anions by their Parliam nuts:

Hon. Mr ROSS-That is just what is the ease here tow

Hos. Mel Vijul-I ber the lívorable genthan's parton" "I he can find anythiog in this scheme whith har ennated from the
 iuld that, if even one amendment is passed by Parlianent, it will destroy the scheme?

It : Mr. lioss-The course taken here is exactly that which was adopted in England. Newotiations first, then the subuission to Parlazhent of their result.
 uning between" Therani"aud"Ircland, and Eninh mand scomiand, were not uegutiations merty; thes were teatien ; they were called treaties-

Hoseme hons-They were negotiated
 wards

Hoy Mr. VIUAi- - is it is not my "in: tention to vecupy the timo hon. gentlewen onany other vectistun during the debate, I shall vegture to touch o a ather point, not directiy connected with the amedment before us, on which $I$ said a few words when $I$. last addressed the Ilouse on this subject. We have härd much about the proposed inew eonstutution of the Legislative Couneil. We have been told it was political necessity that first fured the elective system on minds that were by no means enamnured of it, and this, I think, bas been fully established. Now, it wopld ill become me, as an eleoted member, to dwell on any merits or excellencies the elective systen may have possessed as applied to this branch of the Legisla-ture-it is a subject we cain none of us touch upon with the same freedoun which we might if we were not ourselves elected-but I may call the attention of the Hoaso to this, that none of the evils that were dieaded, as likely.
to flow from the elective system, have yet shown themsefes, and do not think it at all reasonable, much less necessary, that they should be auticipated in time to come.."My owh views were in perfect accord with those of hou, gentlemen who protested against the system when it tras first introduced: I did not then consider it an improvement, and my views have not changed since; I have, consequeatly, no personal predilections for an Elective Council, but far prefer a Chamber nominated bs the Croinn. Bat I am not here to carry out only ny personal views or pre: dilections, but to guard the rights and privileges of my coustituents; and I would remind hon members that it is one thing to coneede a privilege, but a $\begin{array}{r}\text { rer } \\ \text { different thing to take it }\end{array}$ away. (Ilear.) A privilege may be conceded unaiked, but it is a dangerous thing to take it awny hanked or ounassented ti Hesr. hear)" I cannot find either that the Candand Cobermine made any endearor to maintain the el ctive principle; I canot see that the nomination system was forced on them by the wishes of the Laver Provinces It may have been the desire of some of the Maritime Provinces to maintain their nominatiou'system, but the change in ouzs' was one which obvioully net the wishes of the members of this Government; and no effert appears to have been made by them to preserve to the people of this country the priviloge they now enjoy of electing members of this House, (Hear) I think, also, tha: there are obj ctionable features in certain provisions of the scheme fur which the Cindian liorernment are responsible. I speak unt as an oppoaent, but as one of their truest and best friends-one who is desirvu. to keep them from doing a wrong. It is not as an opponent to them or to Coufederation. that I support the amendment of the hin. member frum; Niagara:

Hon. Mre ROSS-I think that amend. ment is a rote of wat of confidence.

Hon. Mr. VIDAL-So it has been suid: but the asertion'is not warranted by the racts of the case; it is merely as anbitrary declaration. I cannot consent to be put insuch a position as I should oceupy if I thought'it were not. It is true, my hou friends in the Government mas say, "You will not do for us if you vote that fay ;" but I cannot sacrifice my views and vute contrary to my convictions, in order to be counted us a friend

How. Ma CAM! P3El,L-My hon. friend
must see that if all our friends entertained the same views, we could neter get our measure through:

Hos. Mr. WIDAL-In compelling the first selection of legislative councillors from the memters of the Chamber, the Conference have put a restraint on the rrerogative of the (rown which they had no right to impose. I sm unvilling for a moment to suppose that any Juw or unworthy motive actuated the Cinadian delegates, who alone are responible for this detail, or that they lid this in hopes cf securing the sotes of any members of this House in favor of their scheme, which tiey could not othermise have beedn sure of; still that part of the scheme has an awtward. appearance, and some honorable members may telew the mënber from Wellington.(Hon. Mr A.NBony), that if it be not a bribe, it look something very like it. I, however, do not see it in that light: I do not think there has been anything worse than a desire to make the system of appointment palatable th the people, by taking a certain nurbber of Their, rejresentatires, whom they then sent th this House, to be members of the new one. (Hear, hear.) As to the bonsted impartiality apparent in the 14th resolution, I do not attach any importan e to its provisions.' If it were not the understanding that the selection would be made in the manuer there laid down, there would be' a strong party opposition to the measure, which was aithing to be asoided. (Hear, hear.) One mure subject connected with this part of the scheme remains for me to speak on, and I thiuk it is an important one: Twenty-one uembers of this Hovorable House are to be. dismissed. It is quite true we do not know who they may be.

## A roteE-Ballat ser them.

Hon. Mis. VIDAL-Lam not spraking of, the mode of selection. (Ilcar; hear.)Twenty one members of this legislative Council are to be tod that they are no louger wanted. Ate they to be those called oy ller Majesty iu former times to sit here, tor thooe representing tho peeples? It seems. fo mo ouly fair that those who hold appointancuts frow the Croma for life are entided to retain their seats, to go first into the new House, and the rejection will then be of the clected members. It willinvolve nearly half wi these, and it is quite obvious that it places all honorable unembers of this Chamber in a very anomalous pusition tube called apon to vote on such a question as this. If may
remark that it would lave been much the wiser plan, and certainly much more congenial to the feelings of the members of this House; had the Government thought fit to have passed these resolutions in the Legislative Assembly first, and then, if those who are more especially representatives of the people had chosen to pass this clause, we should have felt less hesitation. As it is, $I$ feel it to be my duty to the constituency $I$ represent to lift my voice against it: Mhave no right, without their consent, to vute away. frour them a right they may cherish; a fran-: chise they, may value, even though I should thereby vote myself in for life., which would be a betrayal of my trust. Eveg my hor. friend from Saugeen-so recently sent here as the representative of that divisit $n$-mast admit that a great many of his constituents. would vote " nay;". if they thought the scheme of Confederation was to be purchased at the sadrifice of their representative. (Ilear, and a laugh.)

Hon. Mr. MAOPHERSON-I believe a large majority of them would yute " yea." (Laughtecr.)

Hon. Mn. VIDAt-There in ai differeace of opinion between my hovorable friend and nosself on this point. (Hear, haty) Hour orable gentlemen, I have said It am favorable "to the scheme of union-I say it siucerely and honestly-and notwithstanuing the Honerable Commissioner of Chorn Lands may say "It cannot be so; by supporting the" amendment you are destroying the, scheme," I cannot see it so.: My course, I think, is, that which is most conducire to the success of the scheme. $\therefore$ I consider myself one of its bestand most dithful frieads in seeking to have it inore firmly based upon the approval of the people, at the cost of a tritling delay: A great deal tias been said, as an introduction to this meastre, that was untworthy of it. We have had long accounts of political and party difficulties, which have been spoken of as'appertaining to it: These were too small matters to have led to this great constitutional chavge. It was clearly seen by the people, as well as by Her Majesty's represen-tative, that these difficulties were not based upon what they were said to be by some of our politicians What does Ihis Excellency say in a memorandum to the lixecutive Council, cominunicated to this Howe on the 30th of June last?-C. During this period, (of the late successive governments since the election of 1861,) no questiou involving any
great principle or calculated to prevent politicians, on public groands, from acting in concert, has been raised in Parliament. The time had arrived wher an appeal might, with propriety, be made to the patriotism of gentlemen on both sides of the House to throw aside"-what? Theit party aleasures? their political iaterests? No-"'their personal differences! and to unite in one endeavor to advance the great interests of the country.". A little further on he again nentions "the absence of public grounds for artagonism between them;" and intimates plainly that is such a state of things was very prejudicial to the best interests of the province.?: As I have already "stated, the people iwere rapidly coming to the same conclusion, and this evil would soon have been remored hy their aetion at the elections, without resorting to any change in the Constitution.Such were His Excellency's views, communicated to his Council in a memorandum, and I rejoiced to hear them" enunciated by him "They are views which;" if held also by the people, rould have led to a thorough' Scure for the evils under which we labored, even without resorting to Confederation, for the people themselves ; were beginuing to see that their political leaders were too much under the in fluence of bitter personal feelings ; prominence was no longer given to the coustitutional difficulty of unequal representation; it was droppe I both by its friends and its opponents. l"et representation by Fopulation was a question of such political ituportance, that its satioftactory solution mould justify the bringing about sueh a ehange as this, That was a sufficient motive to induce statesmen tö join together and seek some way of escape from it. I think the scheme now submitted is perhaps the best that could have been found attainable, and I give fits framers all credit for it. $I$ am satisfied with aine-tenthy or perhaps mure than nine-tenths of the whole, and I am willug. to take the other tenth, it really neces-ary, for the sake of the rest. I think the very name, and the prestige of our larger union will have a desirable influence upon our futare prosperity. It will infuse into us that feeling of national pride-those patriotic sentiments connected with our country, which it is worth much to possess. '(Hear.) I think, also, that our credit in mobey matters will be improved by the union, and it is worth some sacrifice to accomplish such results. I believe, further, that when this scheme is completed it will
have the effect of attracting emigration, and thus adding largely to our population. As we are, in our presented isolated condition, we either fail to attract emigrants or do not manage to retain them"; but if we were known as one great country, we should find ho:pes for many of those able-bodied, enterprisingand industrious, men trho constitute the great strength and wealth of a State. It would also, undoubtedly, promote our commerce and develope our trade and resources. It is well to weigh all these considerations; they may not promige advantages so great as some of the sanguine advocates of the measure predict, but they are well entitled to fair and honest consideration. (Hear.) As to Confederation cheapening our government, that idea, I think, is a fallacy; nnd here is one of the causes which may lead to future dissatis: faction, if the "eyes of the people are not opened ty it until too late. The proper and the true way to act is to let the fact be Known, that so far from Confederation being likely to lessen the expenses of government; it will be directly the reverse, and that to these must be added the ecst of those defences which are to be constructed-of this, Intercolonial ruad which is to be a vecessary part of , the scheme-ot these uther works on the canalk, \&c, we hear so much about. Confederation will, doubtess, be expensive; then why not say so- why not say to the" people; "Here are great advattages, but they will ineritably coot a large tum,". I for une am willing to take these advantages at that cost. I havenot"analyzed the numerous figures eet before us by my hon. friend fiom Niagara, fur profusion and confugion in matters of figures in a speech are very müch the same to me.: I will not pretend to follow him. But I have such contidence in the financial ability of those who watched over our interests, that I am unwilling to receive, except with great caution, those objections brought in figures against the measure. One honorable gentleman remarked that the hand of an over rulinis Providence might be observed as bringing about this seheme and reconciling so many conflicting inflenees. That is very trut. I delight to recognize nan overruling Proridence influencing the lives of individaals and nations. I rejoice that the blessing of an over-ruling Providence on the deliberations of this House is daily. asked, and I have faith to believe it will be granted to us But I , should have
the same comfortable feeling if the question were referred to the people; so that as an argument in favor of our making an immediate decision does not amount to much, and it certainly does not impose on us the duty. of hastily taking the whole scheme as it is. (Hear, hear.) I have endeavoured, bon. gentlemen, to show that I am guided by an honest, earnest desire to adrance the interests of the country by the course I now-propose to take in refererice to this amendment and I have endeavored to disabuse the minds of those who think that in supporting it I Iom actiug in hostility to a scheme which. I beliere will be adrantageous to the ccuntry but the advantages of which I think cannot be secured without referring it to the people. I presune it is altogether likely-perhaps I may consider it a certainty-that this is to be the last time I shall appear as a representative in the Council of iny country. I am anxious, short as my parliamentary career lias been and is probably destined to be, that it should bé unsullied by anything that can cven have the appearance of selfistness. I ani, therefore, unwilling to record gat vote whichimight either have the effect of making we a member for life, or of helping to take. arfay the privilege which my constituents at present enjoy, of having a representative in the Legislative Council. (Hear, hear:):
Hos. Ma BUREAL-It is not my inleation to take part in the debate on the atuendment which is now engaging the atteñtion of this Honorable House; but I really do not feel justified in passing over in silence the declaration which has just becen made by the hon", member for Toronto (Hun. Mr. Ross) That gentleman said, with perfeet miterte, that if the Ministry submitted a bill respecting the organization of the local givernments, the course would be a bad one ; tor, said he, diffioulties would probably arise in eelation to the matter, which might result in the revignation of several members of the fresent Cabinet.' In those fer words the Won. member for Toronto has furnistied the best ag gament in favor of the delay for which ire ask; but such was not his intention. $\therefore$ In a similar sease, some other hon members have, in my opinion, exhibited a degree of firce and logic which is truly remarkable. But ean it be possible to make a request unore essentially legitimate in its character than that of the hon member for Niagara? "or my part, I do not think so. And indeed, what can be more reasonable than the wish
to know, and to be in a position to form a sound, complete and satisfactory opinion, both for ourselves and for our constituents, respecting the scheme which is proposed to us? Has not this Honse a right to require the present Government, Within a reasonable period, to lay before it, not only in a general way, but also and more especially in detail, the various aspects of the Constitution which it is wished to have:voted with such strange and impradent precipitation? Let us re member that sometimes no difficulty whatever is raised to devoting an entire session to the consideration of a measure of seconda y importance. Last year no attempt was made to pass a new Militia Bill at railroad speed, as it is now proposed to do with the quasure for Confederation; on the contrary, all the time necessary to complete it and to examine it' in all its aspects was devoted to its consideration. And yat; how immense the difference between these zwo measures, in regard to their importance and the solemu consequences which might result from them: And firther, it cannot be denied, the plan which it is sought to maise us adopt is as yet but imperfectly known to the Caiadian Legislature, and the people hardly "know its outlines, not having yet had time to examine into it, so closely have our ministers invested it with mystery and secrècy. I consider that the hon, niember for Toronto shewed rather the much zeal in the cause of his friends when he proceeded to make that declaration; which was heard by the House with pellmarked surprise. I am prepared to acknow. ledge that in so doing he has done us a very great service. I hava no doubt whatever, in fact, that as we have been told by tho hon. gentleman, the disclosing of the "organization of the local governments during this phase of the discussion would, for the Admi. nistration of the day, be auact of imprudence, and one which; it is highly probable, would subject it to serious difficulties. I am also of opinion that one of the difficulties, of hy. no means the least importance, which is feared is that respecting the distribution or divisiun of the part of the pablie debt which will havo to be borno by the different provinces. Indeod it may, with very great reason, be asked whether it will be possible to come to an understanding on this point. With a degree of courage worthy of a better cause, the Ministry now conies to us and says: "First vote the Address, and afterwards we will lay. before you the scheme for the organisation
of the local governments." But let us note the contradiction in this on the part of the Governmeat, and how illogical its conduct is: Let us for a moment suppose that this measute gives risedo difficulties in the Cabinet, during the discussion cn the details of the scheme, of sufficient importauce to entail the resignation of the "Administration.: What happens? The Address having been roted by our Legislature, is sent to England, and Whist the Imperial Governaient is engaged in ratifying it and incorporating it in a bill, which is to become our Constitution, the present Ministry succumbs under the details of the stheme"respecting the local govern: wents.: A bew ministry succeeds then, an appeal to the poople probably takes place in the interral; and when the new Constitution comes to us from Great Britain, ite have a Goverauent and a Legislature ready to reject t thefure its promulgation. In view of stich a propict as this, ought we to be' in a hurry to uce de to the request of the Government and refuse the legitimate delay anked for by the inution now before this Hunorable House?" I haye, then, considered that I ought not to pass orer in silence the declaration of the hon. member for Toronto, for I am of opinion tuat it is of a dature to convince us that pre"cipitation' in so highly' solemu a matter is most daugerous: The Custitution of a cuuntry" should nut be changed from base to cumbit, uutil thuse who are appoinuted to Watch uver the public futeresty, ard the very Constitution in question, hare had time to see and to ascertain, in a cositive manner, that wuch a chatige is vecessary and called for by: the people. (Hear, hear.;

Hon. Ma. OLIVIER-Honorable genthemen, in again rising to address the Houre, 1 bey to assure you that $I$ do not propose to repeat the observations. I have. already uade on a previous occasion; but being pressed for time, I was obliged to omit tw refer to certain aspects. of the Neheme ou which I proposed to offer a feiv' remarks. when the present motion should be befure the Ulouse. I was avare that this motion would come up for discussion, as it appared ưpon our Minutes of Procerdings.' With. these few preliminary ubser:ationy I shallpruceed, honorible gentlemen, to offir a few. remarks in some fer points in the scheque whet I was conpelled to pasi over in silence ou the occantua of my first address on the plan of Confederatiou now submitted
for our consideration. I must refer here, honorable gentlemen, to a wonderful incident of this afternoon's sitting: A declaration, novel in every respect to each one of us, fell from the lips of the Honorable the: Minister of Cromn Lands, sho has only had this one sole reason to offer us in explnation of, and excuse for, the precipitate haste with which his Government is endeaviring to obtain the adoption of the dew Censtitution:-"We are ansious to obtain the vote of this Heuse, to transmit it to New Bruaswiek and to the other Maritime Provinces which are to coter into the Confederation." This, then, is the real reason of this incomprehensible aid indecent haste, for 1 canoot believe that the reason given by the hou nuember who sits immediately apposite to me(Sir F. F. BeLLEAL), in ixplanation of this liaste, can be a serious one. It is ditticult, indeed tiut tos cousider as somewhat absurd the reavon alleged by the Hon. Sir N: F. Belleat゙:- The Ministry are anxious that this scheme should be adoptel forthwith, because Lord Paliverston in already au uld man, and might dee at an' moment." I would rather accept the reasou given by the Mon. Minister of Crown Lands than that of my honorable friend, because I cannot believe he was authorized to give it. "Thus this House and the country now kuow the secret of this precipitate haste on the part of the Gorerawent, nod I have n: duabt they will bear is in mind. but I will renture to enquire of the Honorable the Minister of Crown Lands, whalias given us. this very absurd reason, whether he hopes to decive the people of the Lower Provinices by the vote which he desires; to precipitate I will ark him whether it is to bo desired that this House should tot thwith givea rote on this question, a vute which will undoubt. edly have the effect of hiading them into error as regards the feelings and opinions of the people of this country iu relation to the project of Confederation. Well, honorable gentlemen, I do not for one mowent hesitate to declare to this Hewee, that the fet alone of the ansiety of the diuverament to ubtain forthwith a vote of this House vo this in. portaat "ueasure, is that which ought most of all to put us on vur guard, and ough: to cause us to determine not to give'it lightly; and in a manner unworthy of prudent and wise legislators. Indeed, hon rable gen. llemed, our vote will bave a sigaificance which it will be vain to seek to diminish.

We constitute the highest branch of the Parliament of this country, and when the' Lower Provinces hear thatwe have voted for this méasure in the shape in which it bas been. thid before us, they will naturally and with reason believe that our vote has been given with a thorough knoviledge of the matter, and that we fully indicate the popular feeling on this important quesion. They will never for a moment imagine that. we have set at naught and ignored the opinions of those whom we represent in this House; they will werer beliere that the couptry has been solittle consulted in the matter as it in faet has been. I assert, the efore, honcrable gentlemen; that the rote which it is sought to make us give to day is calculated to deceive the people of the Lower Provinces, both as to the riews of this Honorable II unse and as' to the upinions of the rast majority of the people of this province, and that we cannot give it with satisfaction either to ourselves or to those Whom we represent.: I heve already taken vecasion to state before today, that the sheme ot Confederation had not been submitted to us complete, I am prepared to prove this statement; 1 mantain that only whe part of the scheme has been laid before us, and under the e circumstances, I would ask this Monotable House, if"it is prudent to accept and sanction that with which we are but imperfectly acquainted" When I'accepted from "my constituents their nomination to th. Legiglative Council; I did so with tho firm deter nination never to accept bliadly the various measures which might be submitted for my approval in this Horse. This resolation I liave adhered to hitherto, and I hope that I shall vever forget it in the course of my public career. A ferv minutes ago I' remarked, honorable gentlemen, "that the pha of Confederation had not been subbitted to us cumplete; ' 1 now propose to prove this assertion. 1 Hy art. 6 of the 43 rd resjlution, we perceive that the local legislatures will have the power of making laws in relation to elucation, saving however, the riglits and privileges enjoyed by the Catholic and Protestant minorities in relation ts their separate schools at the time of the union; so that by this resolution wo are to affirm that the minorities shall be bound by the sehool laws which will be in force at the moment when Confederation will take effect. On the other hand, wo are told that à nicasure will be brought down for the better proteetion of the rights of the Protestant minority
in Lovirer Canada, whilst at the same time we are not informed whether the same advantages will be accorded to the Catholic minority in Upper Canada. Thus these school laws form a portion of the s̀heme upon which we are called to vote, and if unfortunately, after we have adopted these resolutions we are unable to obtain justice for the Upper Canadian minority, shall we not be guilty of having voted for the scheme without having known all about it? We ought then to be on our guard, If, as it is pretended; the measure will not endanger the rights of the Catholic minority in Upper Ganada, why are we refused the details and the information which we ask to hare afforded to us before pronouncing on the merits of the plan? I maintain that any one Who desires that justice should be extended to the minorities in" question, would not kinow how to vote as we are called upon to do. In the absence of the information which we are entitled to demand from the Government as to the nature of the guarantees to be offered by the : uer Constitution to. the minorities of the two provinces of Canada, I do not for one instant hesitate to declare that this. Honorable Honie is justified; and indeed fulfils a sacred duty in demanding the delay songht for by the motion of the bon. member for 'Nagara.' If it should so happenthat the people are called upon 't' pronounce on the merits of the measure, it becomes of the utwest necessity that we, their representatives, should be able to explaiu and point out to them the details of the scheme. We have then every reason to insist that this information should be supplied to us, Thye Premier will now permit mo to put to him a question. May it not happen, after the adop tion of these resolutions, that the lrotestimit majority of Upper Cauada may ally itseli with the Protestant minority of Lower Canada ia the present Parliament, and de. prive the Catholic majority of Upper Canadi of the rights which they are eutitled to enjoy in relation to the education of their children? 'Should such an event occur, $l$ ' would ask the hon. Prenier what mem; the' aggrieved minority might bo ablo to adopt in order to obtain justics?
hlon: Sir E. P: TACHE-I will infuru you when the proper time comes.

Hos. Mr. OLIVIER-The hon. the Premier ought to give us the details of the measure ou this subject. I do not "mean t, assert that I am opposed to every possible
form of Confederation; but what I can never consent to is to vote for a Confederation of which I know neither the exact nature nor the details. The article which I have already quoted is one of those to which I desired more particularly to draw attention." I will now quote the 67th resolation.: I fiad by this resolution "that the General Government will fulfil all engagements entered into, previous to the anion, with the Imperial Gorernment, for the defence of the country." Now, strange to say, the authors of this dociument do not even take the trouble to state by whom such engagements must be made. No, they simply assert the obligation in the terms of the resolution I have just quoted. Suppose our Government had entered into an engagement to the extent of fifty millions of dollars; shall we-can we-affrm that the engagement was a necessary one, by votiag for the measure without knowing the nature of the engagement? Coming now to the 68 th resolution, I find ${ }^{\prime}$ 'The General Gavernment will cause to be sompleted, without delay, the : Interelonial Railway; from Rivière du. Loup, through New Brunswick; to Truro, in Nova Scotia." Now; hon. fontlemen, I maintain that" this is another portion of 'the plan with which we are not acquainted We do not know what is to be the cost of this railway thus described in the resulution I have just read: Here, arain, we are kept in the most complete ignorance by the present Goverament? .An Lountable member of this House has dec:ared, that though the Intercolonial Railway were to cost fitty millions of dollars, we should tot hesitate to support a measure for cariyiug it unt, tor, eveu at that price-that exorbitant pricu-it reuld be fur the interests of the country: Well, 1 ask you, would this House be actisy in aceordsnee with ihat spirit of wisdon and prudeve which ought to characterize it, by veting blindly for such an enormous expenditure as that? I du"uot believe it, and for my part, I do not hesitate an instant to say that I would refuse. . I am well a ware, it is true, that the cosstruction of thit gigantic railway cannot cost so large a sump; but it is generally admitted; both in this Huase and out of it; that the werk cannot be done for less thian twenty millions. Moreorer, does it not often happen that public Works estimated to cost, say one million of dollars, are found to have cost;" when finished, double and more than double that amount? This may happen with the

Intercolonial Railway, which, it is perfectly clear, will cost more than is supposed; and I repeat it, this House ought to hesitate before sanctioning such an enormous expen. ditare out of a pablic treasary already heavily charged, and which will scarcely be in a more flourishing position when the various British proviaces of this continent are united under the Confederation:- I aim justified, then, in demanding that the details of the plan should be made known to us before we are called upon to sanction it. I have already stated that I do not pretend to be opposed to Federation of the provinces in every possible shape that I might support a Contederation not of too onerous a character for this conatry-but it is obviously quite impossible for we to support a project of this kind, with which I am unacquainted, in its details and as a whole. It'appears to me that the Ministry cannot complain if, under these circumstances, we vote sgainst a project which we desired to knowf fully in order to form our opinions concerning it, and to ascertain that of the people we represent. I do not think it can be pretended that this House is not entitled to make so just and reasoñable a request. As I ha ve show, hon: gentlemen, if we accept the risolutions presented to us, we endanger the rights of the minorities in both sections of the province ; we expose ourselves to the paymetr of enormous sums for the construction of a railway which may prove to be utterly useless tor the defeuce of the country 14 scems to me that, before undertakia, such oucrous charges, we ought to re flect deeply and to weigh well all possible cliances of such serious eventua'ities I am quite aware that certain hon. members of this House will never yield to the reasons I have advanced, nor shall I undertake to bring them round to my views, for I feel that all my efforts must be useless:' The fact that we refuse to aecept the messure proposed to us betore we areacquainted with it, certainly does not imply, as it is stated and supposed, that we are opposed to every idea of Confederation:" Another provision of the project which tre cannot approve in. that by which the constitution of the Legis. lative Council is based on the nominative principle, instead of the elective principle which now prevails, as regards that brach of the Legislature, under our own Givevenment. I have already had occasion to ex: press my opinion as to the constitutional
changes undergone by our own Legislative Council, so that I need not recur to that subject. : The Hon, Commissianer of Crown lands has asserted that we are justified in roting on the proposed reversal of the Constitution without an appeal to the people. I beg to differ from that opinion.: Eknow the pature of a trust, whither civil or puitical; they both cotail very much the same dutics. Well, what is the charge entuasted to us by our constituents? That of working out the present Constitution to the best of our understanding and of our judg. ment: Such is the powem, entrusted to us; but never have our electors authorized us, at it is now proposed to du, to destroy the Constitution itself and to enter into a political allianee with the other Britigh provinces of this cintinent. An instince of a similar constitutional subversion, "without: the suthorization of the people, is not to be foud in the pares of history: It has been stated in this llouse that the project of En. foldention was known to a portion of the people' and that there was nothing to pre ient fis adoption being pressed. Hereagain, I beg to differ with the hon. meimbers who express that opinion. I think that ever though the project were, stated, known bya portion of the people, that would not be a reasou for precipitatiog its adoption, for the plan intefests the whole country teverally, and it is not sufficient that it should be acceptable to a certain portion of the inhabitants, but to the great mass of the people" Moreover, it the "public meetings already held in Lower Canda serve to indicate the popular opiaion relative to this questiun, in this section of the country at all cevoits, it imay tearlesoly be said that the projects lias been condemined in fitteen cuunties. Will any oue venture to pretend that Lower Canada is to be of no account in the Confederation, and that Upper Cauada alone has a ,right to male its voice heard; that only its approval or disipproval of the scheare can entail the adoption or rejuction of that scheme? Most assuredly, I do not believe that auy one would ever veature to enunciate such a pretension. I know of but one single county in Lower Ganada which authorized ity representaitive to vote on the seheme in guestion as he should think tit. I therefore consider that I am justified in saying, that the season which induces the Goveroment to cause this muasme to be adopted without submitting all its tetails, is that it fears to
have those details known by the people, "who no doubt would have no course left save to reject them. After having displayed cous. federation olothed in the most brilliant colors, the Administration fears to allow the people to examine it in its true light, and as it is interded to thrust it upon them I have already stated that throughout the whole of Lower Canada, but one county" has been found which granted to its representative the privilege of voting on this question according th his own judgment. In all the remaining counties in which the people have been called together to probounce apin it the scheine of Coufederation has been furmally coudemined

Mon. Ma:.. GUEVREMONT-Several counties pronouneed thenselves in favor of the seheme; anong others, the county of Vaudreuil.
Hon. Mr. OLIVIER-I am not aware that the county of Vaudreuil voted in favor of Confederation. The honorable menber for Richelieu had also no ntioned the county of Richelieu'as ore of those which hat not rejected the scheme of Confederation

Hon. Mr, GUEVREMONJ-The meet. ing in question did not condewn 'confeder. ation. It merely declarod itself in favor of certain resolutions which were subhinitied to it, which demanded that the people should be consulted as to the proposed constitutional! changee.
Mon. Mr. OLIVIER-It is perfenty true that the county of Richelieu never condenined the detuls of the messare, and for a very simple reason: the Government had never: allowed themi to be known, and. still persists at this presenit time in keeping the country in ignorance of chem." But the honorable gentleman aderits that the county of Richelieu directed its represen ative tw demand an appeal to the people. To say that Lower Canada is farorable tọ the solieme of Confederation, id to make an assertion to which the public neetings which have been held within the last moath or two give the lie in the most formal manner. I know hat to think of the expression of public opinion in the district of Moltreal; as to the district of ©unebec, perhaps the honorable gentlemen who represent the several divisions com. prised in it will be good enough. to tell me whether or not there have beep any meetings in fayor of Confederation? Until I am shewn that the project has been ap. proved there, I shall venture to believe that in the district of Quebee, as in the district of Montreal, public opinion has nof approved
of the proposed Confederation. I do not trish to assert that the country at large is arerse to any idea of Confcderation, but I maintain that it cannot be in favor of a scheme with the details of which it is unacquainted, and of the entirety of which it is igoorant. The minst effectual means of providing for the defence of a country is to make the people attached to the Constitution of the country; to attempt to force a constitutiou upon them is, in plain language, to impel theun towards anarchy. Ah! we are already surrounded by dangers enough to abstain from aggravating our position. Let us conduct ourselves" so that the people may, be attached to their constitution, and thet we may rest assured that they will be ready to defend it when it is threatened. Cudoubtedly, it is not by acting as we ara notr doing that we shall ettain that result. The reasun assigned by the Konorable Com: missioner of Crown Lands for urging on this. measure does not appear to me to be suif. cient. We are nut here to please the Maritime Provinces or to legislate in their iutereste, but we are here to preserve the rights of our fellow-citizens." We did not come here with a predetermined resolution to throw impediments in the way of any plan of unionn. We are all interested in the prosperity and greatness of our country. The fist tume I had the botror to address this Howorable House, I stated that with respect to the questions which possensed the highest interest for Lower Clapada, the propused Confederation would be a legislatire union, that is to say, that we should be at the mercy of Lpper Conada and the Maritime Provinces. 1 espressed that opinion in gond taith, and if I was incorrect in wy conjectures, I hope that the members of the Government will be good enough to enlighten me on the subjeot, and point out my crror. Such was not done at the time, for I cannot accept as a satisfactory reply the ferv explanations given wi the subject by the hooorable. member who sits opposite to me. I say that the Federal Government will have power to declare that religious corporations, for instance, shall not be allowed to hold real estate of more than a certain value-more than is required for tre immediate necessities of their establishmenss.- It will also have power to enset that there shall be no connection between Church and State. I say that the powery of the Federal Governnient will be so great that Lower Canada will be a cypher in the affairs which most concern her.

Hon. Sir E. P. TACHÉ-Yes! yes! of course.

Hon. Mr. OLIVIER-Iam glad that the honorable and gallant Kaight confesses so much.

Hon SIa E: PACHE-The hon. mem ber must surely understand my meaning in saying. "yes." He must be aware, that I mean it in irony.

Hon. Mr OLIVIER-If the honorable and gallant Knight says it in irony, I for my part can odoly tell him that I regret to sec, when I ask questions in sober earnest conceraing the affairs of the cotutry, when I ask for information on so important a matter, I can get no answer but an ironical one.: I ask for information, because I confess, for my part, that I may be mistaken in my opinions on this matter. My opinions are not infallible any more than those of the members of the Quebec Conference-any more than those of the Lower Canadian members of the Ministry; aud it is for that very reason that I seek information which may serve to enlighten me and enable me to form a correct judgment on the question. Have those who devised this scheme the presumption to think that they are not lisble to mistake? When I ask for the dẹtails of the scheme in the name of my constituents, I'am answered ironically." But I know what such answers are worth. I know that some persons have recourse to irony when they have no serious answer to make, when they have no sclid reasons to give. I know what: discussion is; and, if I have not often mixed, in the debates of this Honorable House, I have argued at the bar, and Is am perfectly" aware that those who have no valid reasons to oppose to the pleas of their adversaries, endeavor to shift their gröund and blink the issue, by calling attention to some minor. point and calling in the aid of ironys: If 1 . am denied the explanations which I claim in this place, how can I answer the questions Which my constituents have a right to ask me? But I must now' address myself to the. consideration of the appointurent of members by mandamas which is to be introdaced into. the new Constitution of the Federal Legisla. tive Council. When I heard the honorablo and gallant Kiight tell the history of the last moments of the Legislative Conocil sittidg under that authority, I took it as tho strungest sentence of condemation of the present segeme. He told us. in effect, that those mombers who had been appointed for life were honorable mon, who by their position
and their integrity : Were rightly entitled to carry their heads ereat ; whereas, when they passed along the streets, it was with heads drooping. Why is this?
Hon. Str E P. TACHE-I didinotsay that they hung their heads as they walked the streets. I said they were honorable men Who had a right to carry their heads high wherever they went, but that they were averse to coming here to sit in the Ccunci! on account of the prejudices of public opinion which had been misguided.

Hós Me. OLIVIER-The unanimons opiniou of a country is not so misguided; and the opinion of the country was unanimous in condemning the system of nomination to the Council by the Crown. In order to produce as great unanimity of pablic upinion as prevailed in regard to that system, the cause which leads to it must be slow and deep.seated-the grounds of dissatisfaction must be real. Both Lower and Upper Canada must have suffered long under that ysistem, to condemn it as they both did ; and I regret deeply to hear from the honorable and gallant Knight that he is willing to retara to it. It may be that as mes advance wh years they may chapgo their views and opinions; but it seems to me that they ought not to change them in so short a space of time as the honorable and gallant Knight bas changed his in regard to the Constitution of the Legislative Council:.: It is not so very long since the docutnent which has been read this erening was sigued., I say,then; that the history told us by the honora: ble and gallant Khight is the condemation of the system dorm sought to be introduced: After what the honorable and gallant Knight has gaild about the couneillors appointed by the Crown, with what grace can the nevy councillors' come here to take their seats? Will not the prejudice against them be stronger than ever? inasmuch as it will be said that those who have roted for the scheme now befiore us have done it to keep their seats as long as they live. What respect cao the people feel for such a House?
Mon. SIR E. P. TACHE - Wo know that. you will notberter the rights of the people for a mess of pottage.
Hon. Ma. OLIVIER-Nor for a dish of gold either. I ask whether the Government of the honorable and gallant Knight have ever found me among those who ask their farors?
Hon. Sir E. P. TAC日E-Idid not accuse jou of it.

Hon. Mr. OLIVIER $\rightarrow$ No, but you insinuate as much.

Hon. Sir E. P. TACHE-It is you who say that the seats for life are a bait for coancillors.

Hon. Mr. OLIVIER-I see the meaning of the honorable aud gallant Knight, and when I am told ironically that I would not barter the rights of the people for a mess of pottage, I have a right to say that I wonld not sell them even for a dish of gold ; for so far, thank God 1 no government have ever reckoned me among those who ask their favors..' I live by my labor, and want nothing from the Government. I took notice of an expression made nse of by the honorable and gallant Knight in speaking of the last moments of the Legislative Council appointed by the Crown. He told us that to restore the credit of the Legislative Council it had been found necossary to make it elective; but this was not the sole inducement for the charge; there was another motive quite as reasonable for making the Council elective, and this motive was that in causing the Councillors to be elected, they would be taken from among all parties in the conntry, and would, therefore, represent the public öpinion of the different parties in it. Thére wis a time, under the old order of things, when the opiaions of two or three men residing in the cities of Quebee and Montreal formed the public ofinion of all Lower Canada. This had a bad effect, for the public opinions of the differeut parties in the country ought to be represented in this House as well as in the other. "It wha for the purpose of attaining this end that the country was broken up into divisions, that it was required that the councillors elected should be residents in the divisions, of should be the owners of real estate within their limits of the value of $£ 2,000$; but under the systeui of Crown nominations to seats in this Honse, the choice might fall, as it formerly did, on persons residing in the large cities; it. would not be difficult for them to acquire $£ 1,000$ worth of real estate in the divisions, and the country would not be equally represented in this llouse.: An: other reason why the elective systen is preferable to that of nominations by the Crown, is that on every fresh election the newly elected meniber represents the opinions of the people then prevailing, whereas councillors appointed for life mãy sometimes represent public opinion as it existed twenty
years before The progress of the country requires that frum time to time men should enter this. House as representatives of the opinions of the day..

Hon. Mr: ARMSTRUNG moved that the House do now adjourn.-Contents,"21; Nop̆.Contents, 29 .

Mon. Mr. OLIVIER-I shall now endeavor to answer an objection made by the Hon. the Commissioner of Crown Lands (Hon: Mr. Campbeli) to the motion of the honorable member for Niagara (Hon. Mr. (ubrie): Me mould make it appear that the motiou is incousistent with the position taken by the hunorable member who seconded the motion, because he declared himself as favorable to Cónfederation.' For my part; I can see "no incousistency in the proceeding" af the hunorable member, who merely ask $\dot{s}$ that time be alluyed that the people may nve their opinions on the question. Ine does not care in what manner it is allowed. If the Governaient do allow time for the purpose, it will rest with them to say whether the question shall be subinitted to the people by means of a general election, or some other way. The an udnent of the honosable member for Niagara does not suggest any particular way of submitting the ques. tion to the country. He only asks that it be, so submitted, leaving to the Govern: ment to chuse the most convenient method of doing it. And this is exactly the position which I have nyself taken. I have told honorable members who seemed to believe me altogether opposed to Confederation, that it is bot the case, that $I$ only want time to ascertain whether the people are in favor of the scheme or not., Only if the project is subuitted to the people, it is desirable that it be presented to them in all its details, and not in the skeleton shape in which it is now laid tefore us. I have no intention to weary the attention of the House, but I thought it right to express my views and say why I intend to vote in favor of the mutivn of the honorable member for Ni agara. (Llear, hear.)

The amendment moved by the Hon. Mr. Curnie was then put to the rote, and lost on the following dirision:-

Contents:-The Honorable Messieurs Aikias, Archambault, Armstron, Chaffers, Currie, Dick: son, A. J. Duchesuay, E. H. J. Duchesnay, Flum, Leonard, Malhiot, Ohivier, Perry, Proulx, Read, Reesor, Seymour; 'Simpson, and Vidal.-19.

Non Coxtexts. - The Honorable Mossiears Alexander, Armand, 'Sir N. F. Belleau, Bennett,

Blake, Boulton, Bull, Burnham, Campbell, Chris tie, Crawford, De leaujeu, Dumouchel, Foster, Giangras, Guévremont. Hamilton (Inkerman), Hamilton (Kingston), Lacoste, McCrea, MeDon: ald, McMaster,' Macpherson, Matheson; Mills, Panet, Ross, Shaw, Skead, Sir E. P. Taché, and Wilison:-31:

On motion of the Hon. Mr. Aikins, the debate was then adjourned

Monday, Furuary $20,1865$.
How Mr AIKINS said-Hon guntemen, when I last had the hoopr of address: ing the House, it will be remembered by thoset.on. gentlemen who were"present that:T spoke rery strongly in relation to the changes coutemplated by these resolutions in refer. ence to this Chamber. Since then althovigh I have listeued very attentively to the speeches of honorable gentlemen, lave heard no good reavon to convince me that the elective principle as regards this hooorable Honse should be abolished.: It' hiss been asserted by those who are strong advocates of Confederation, that if any amenduent is passed affecting the general principles of the resolutions, it will be considered a defeat ; that the scheme will have to be considered again, and that negotiations with the Maritime Provinces will have to be resumed. in order to meet the altered view of the case Had the amendment of the hon. member for Wellington (Hon. Mr. Sisbors) been carried, this might have been the case, but an the motion which I amabout to move applies only to the Cansdas, that would not be so. It will be remembered that that amendinent afirimed not only the elective principle fir ait the provinces, but that the life members wh. are now sitting in this House should continise to hold their seats. It wen/ further aud declared that a number to forregpond with the life membersis should be admigted to the Chamber from the Maritime Provinces. In referring to the vote which was tak an on this amendment, I find that in the 41 votes cast against it, 11 of the life members of the House voted against, while only three voted for it ; thus they, by a large mujurity vote, negatived the principles therein affirued. I refer to this particularly, for this reason,that the ground mag be taken by the lite mem: bers. in this Chamber that my amendment is specially directed against, and if carried, would be appticable to these hon. gentle-
men. The vote they have already given on the resolution referred to is my vindication, and they, in affirming the general principles of the Confederation resolutions, will vote for that which may deprive them of their seats.
Hon Ma. CAMPBELL-Hear, hear.
Hon. Mr. AIKINS-The hon. Commissioner of Crowí Lañds eries' "Hear, hear !": But, after the life members of the House have affirmed by their votes that they do not desire that the elective principle should obtain, I do not think they can find fault with me, an elective member, for, affirming that it should prevail. And it does appear to me, hon. gentlemen, that this House, if constituted as foreshadowed by these resolutions, would be oue of the most independent and irresponsible bodies that could possibly be created, the Grown possess: ing no power whatever over it. There is no power of dissolution"; the Crown has no power to add to the number; and whatever difficulties might possibly occur under the elective system, when the opportunity is afforded to the people of correcting those diff"culties,' it' will be found that these difficulties' will be largely increased under the proposed system. It has been stated by some hon. members that a dead-lock might océur:- That was the impression which prevailed when the eleetive priaciple wasintroduced ; but few have thought proper to use sich an argament during the present debate, "because it has not been proved by the result.' But if it were possible for a dead-lock to occur under the elective system, it is far' more probable under the system proposed in the resolutions. If a feeling had been manifested by this Chamber since the elective principle was introduced-if we had attempted in any one respect to usurp the exclusive privileges of the Legislative Assembly-it might then with truth be affirmed that the introduction of the elective priaciple in this Chamber was a dangerous one. But such has not been the case. I think that tho elective principle has worked well, and that so far as the danger of a contict is concerned, it is as far removed under the present system as under the nominatiye systeu. Holding these views, I have thought it proper to place my amendment before the Honse, and I trust that the question will be discussed fairly on its merits: i beg now to move, seconded by. Hon: Mr. Bureat, -
To resolve, in amendment to the resolutions of the Hon. Sir E.P. Tache,-That the Legislative

Councillors representing Upper and Lower Canada in the Legislative Council of the General Legis. lature, shall be elected'as at prefent, to represent the forty eight electoral divisions mentioned in schedule A of chapter first of the Consolidated Stattes of Canada, and each such Councillor shall reside or possess the qualification in the division he is elected to represent.
The ground may be taken by many hon. gentlemen who are strongly in favor of this scheme, that there is much more symmetry ${ }^{\text {i }}$ in the seheme presented by the resolutions, and which this motion, if carried, would mar: But really thêre is wery little harmony in them. Under them the appointed conncillors will, in Lower Canada, be required to reside in certain divisions or to hold their property there.: In Upper Canada the same property qualification applies, but as to residence there is no restriction; whilst in one of the Maritime Provinces (Prince Edward) qualification is based on personal property only. Hence there is in reality very little symmetry about the scheme. (Hear hear.)

Hon: Sir N. F. BELLEAU raised the point of order that the amendmẽnt had in substance been already disposed of by the vote on the amendment of Hou. Mr. Sanborin.
The Hon the SPEAKER-The question of order raised by the hon. gentleman is Whether the amendment now proposed is not substantially the same as the one voted on by the Honse and brought forward by the Ion. Mr. Sanborn, and if it is, whether it is in order?. Before giving my decision, Fwish that the mover of the : mendment should himself explain the differente between his motion and that already decided by the House, if he thinks proper to do so.
Hon. Mr. AIK INS-I contead that it is not the same, in effect, as the motion brought. forward by the hon. nember for Welling. ton. It is true that the elective principle is affirmed in both ; but then the metion of the Mon. Mr. Sandors went further aid applied the elective principle to the Maritime Provinces, and was favorable to the retention of the life members, and it also extended the life principle to the Maritime Provinces, and contemplated the addition of ren life members to this Chamber from those provinces. My motion simply affirms the elective principle so far as Canada is concerned, and between the two I think there is a material difference.

Hon. Mr. ROSS-There is no doubt that the motion of the honorable member for

Wellington embraced all that this contains, and a great deal more So that in in the motion that was disposed of the other day, there was embraced what this motion cont taing,', the present motion is out of order, containing as it does a principle which has already been pronounced upon by this House.

The Hon. the SPEAKER-There may be some difficulty in deciding on a matter" of this kind, because the two motions, although not exactly identical, are very nearly so in one particular. The aygument that the motion of Hon, Mr. Sanborn contained more than is embraced in this motion does not apply: The question is, does this affirm what was contained in the motion already voted upon? That in deciding on this paricular matter, -we have decided on other things connected with it, does not affect the position. : Rules on questions of this kied have been made to ${ }^{\circ}$ prevent Parliament deciding one day contrary to anothere ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and to avoid also surprises, by questions being introduced a second time: in the absence of members' who may have 'previously voted on them. Were this motion to carry, it. would affirm a principle which was negatived when the motion of the Hon. Mr. Sanborn was before the House.. It is. not necessary that the two mictions should be exactly the same; it is sufficient if they are substantially alike. I will quote a few words on this"point frem May:-

It is a rule in Setholouses not to permit any yuestion "or bill to be offered which is substantially the same as one ani which their judgment has already been pronpanced duriag the stime session. -This is nécessary to a voidy jifferent decisious beng given, and to prevent surprises by a question being lesolyed first in the affirmative and next 'tat the negative.
Should we pass this motion now before the House, wo should be doing what May says the rule of Parlianient has been framid to 'avoid, for it would be affirming a priaciple on one day, and in another day the contrary. I am bound to say that in miy opinion the resolution is substantially contained in the resolutiongalready decided upon, and that therefore it its out of order. (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Mr: AIKINS-I must confess that I would like to have had the opinion of the Housc on the motion; but I am quite willing to abide by the decision of the Speaker. (llear, hear.)

The IIon.the SPEAKER-That the de, cision I have given may be well understoodto remove all apprehension on the score of a
motion once negatived not being supposed to be finally disposed of, I may say that we find this in the rules of the Imperial Parliament: "A question once carried or negatived can-: not be brought forward again."

Hon. Mr: FLINT said-Honorable gentlemen, I deeply regret that the amendment of my honorable friend could not have been placed before tho House, in order to a more direct vote being elicited on the principle therein contained, that of the application of the elective principle to this Honse. It is true that the honorable member for Welling. ton embodied the same principle in the resolution which he brought before the House, and which was negatived. I confess I hardly expected, when I saw this amendment on the notice paper, that it would be allowed to be proceeded with. Still I was in hapes that the House would have borne with the honorable gentleman, and would have allowed his motion to be placed on the Journals of the House. Having been sent here by a constituency which embraces about 75,000 souls, upon the elective principle, I feel that I should but ill discharge my duty to that constituency, without having received from them their direct and positive instructions to the contrary, were I to staid up on the floor of this House and advocate the taking away from them of the privilege of the elective franchise which has been con': ceded to them by Parliament. If this principle had not been granted, the position would be altogether changed; but having once granted to a people the right of saying whom they will haye to represent them in this Chamber, they ought also to be asked, before we are called upon to vote, whether they' desire to give back the privilege into the hands of the Goverament. I would not for a" moment thiuk of placing them in so false a position. I cannot, therefore, look with favor upon that portion of the resolu. tions which goes to take away from the people the right to nominate and select meubers to this Honorable House. So much has been said on this subject that it would be hardly worth while for me to consume the time of the House in going over the ground which so many others have gone over already. I have not heard, however, in all the speeches which have been made in advocacy of this measure, anything to cause me to swerve for a moment from the views I have always entertained after reading this portion of the resolutions. I may say that when I was
elected, it is true that Federation was before the country, but it was before the country in a very different shape from what it is at the present time.' After the Goverinment of the day was: defeated last session, and after arrangements had beor entered into', it 'was undefstood by thése arrangements that we were to have Federation of the two Canadas: That was all that was placed before us... In issuing my short address, I stated I was in favor of Federation." I am so still-(hegr)-but while in fivior of Confederation of all the provinces, 'I' desire it should be carried' out in such a way that it will conduce to the best interests of all concerned. I wish that no advantage may be taken by any one of the provinces over the others." When I came before my constituents for election, as hon. gentlenen may be aware, I had no opposition -I was elected by acclamation - All I could say to the people on the measure was simply "this, that I approved of the secherie marked out by the Goverament when the new administration was forued, but I knew nothing as to what had subsequently taken place. I told them that L was in favor of changethat I was in favor of a Federation of the two provinces of Upper and Low:r Canada, 'in order that we might live together in peace, as I was satisfied, from what we had wittocssed as transpiring for many years, that it was impossible to live longer together-that it .was better to separate, and in separating we would probably, be better friends. I also stated that the time must come when the Confederation of all the provinces would take 'place, and that if Confederation" was formed upon a just basis, it would no doubt be the mieans of a vast amount of good to our common country. (Hear, liear.) The firt knowledge I had of Confederation was, as a matter of course, when the delegates met and passed the resolutions which are now before us with a slight alteration or two of no moment. When these resolutions were printed by the Government $I$ reeeived one from the Honorable the Provinecial Secretary, marked "Private,"; and I also at the same time received a note fromi that honorablé genfleman, stating that these resolutions were not then intended for the eye of the public. The consequence was; ${ }^{\text {; }}$ Ifelt that I could not read these resolutions, and meet my constituentis and tell them that - I knew nothing in referenice to Confedera. tion. Thas fecling my hands tied, I placed.
the resolutions in my desk, and left them there; and never did I examine them;to ascertain what honorable gentlemen had done until I took my seat on the floor of the House. I could not feel free to place myself. in a position before my constituents, and on being asked from time to time what were the prospects of Confederation and what were its details, give a trathful reply with the restrictions placed upon me, were I to have read the resolutions; and therefore I did not read the resolations, so that I might honestly say I knew nothing about them.. I feel, honorable gentlemen, that it would be impossible for me, ander "existing circumstances, to vote away that right which has been granted by the Constitution of our conntry to those who now have the privilege conferted upon them of exercising the elective franchise so far as regards this Chamber. I feel that I should do a great wrong and perpetrate a great injury to the electors who sent me here, were I to vote for that portion of the scheme which contem: plates the taking away of their fraichise altogether. I have no objection, as a matter of course, to the life members, if they so desire it, voting away their rights, or of placing their seats in the hands of the Government to be dealt with as they please; and so far as $I$ am individually concerned, I would have no objection to sacrifice my seat in the Honse for the good of the country and of miny constituents. They have sent me here, not because I $I$ was ansious to be placed in this position, however honorable it may be, but because I was their choice. And I mast say that it" was ono of the proudest and happiest days of my life when I found, after having battled politically for so many years on theside of reform, that I could go into a constituenoy embracing 75,000 souls, of all deseriptions and shades of politics, and that I had so far given satisfaction that not a man was to be found who raised his voice against my re-election: ' (Hear, hear.) I have gained, I may say; all that I desire in the wiay of earitily hooor; but I feel, like many other honorable gentlemen, that in being placed in this high and honorable position, it is my duty to aot faithfully toWards those who sent me here; and I feel I should do wrong if, on an occasion like this, I should give my vote for placing that portion of Upper Canada which I am sent to represent in a worse position than they occupied before. Having made these few remarks
with reference to the elective principle, I desire now to speak about' one or two other things in connection with these resolutions. And one thing in particular, I find, has not been spoken of by any member on the floor af this House. " I refer now to the sixth clause, with reference to education: Now, hou. gentlemen, it strikes me it was decidedly wrong on the part of the delegates to place anything in reference to the education of the peosple of Upper and Lower Canada in this scheme. I' will give my reasons for it, and I think those reasons are good. I. thirk it should be left fulty and entirely to the people of Upper and Lower Canada to decide what is best with reference to this matter. , We see already that both in Upper and Lower Canada both parties are actively engaged endeavoring to press upon the attention of both Houses of Parliament the aecessity of granting them greater privileges than they already enjoy. "They seem to be determined to have nothing less for their Catholic education than a full staff of officers; together with model and normal schools, and all the paraphernalia which attach to the present common sehool system? That which in I pper Canada was rezarded as a tinality in. school matters is' now séonted at, and the adrocates of separate schools go so far as to insist upon having a college; and the object is no doubt to place themselves in a position to Lo wholly independent of the proposed local governiment of Upper Canada. So far as I am individually concerned in reference to schools, I would far rather that the sechool syotém was worked out in both provinces on the principle of the cummon schools.. I see no reason why in any neighborhood a portion of the childrea should be seat to ocie deseription of school, and a portion of the children sent to another description of school. I believe it is wrong in principle; and that the ehildren of our common country should grow up together and be educated together. In our public achools there should be nothing taught which would have the effect of preventing any person from seading their children to them. These are my views 10 reference to schools. I believe that the effect of giving exclusive rights and privileges to certain parties has had a tendency to weaken the good feeling which -should subsist between all classes of the community, and which is now seen in the demand from both sections for different systems of education. (Hear, hear.) The next thing to which I desire to call the attention of the

House is that of the Intercolonial Railway. I am opposed in toto to that great road. I am opposed to it for the best of all reasons. In the first place, I am not satisfied with it, because I do not know what it is going to cost. There is nothing in these resolutions to indicate what is to be the expense; nor have I been able to discover from what has taken place on tho floor of the House, auy data on the subject. Consequently, I do not feel that it would be my duty to vote for a measure which is going to entail upon Upper Canada a large amount of debt, with out first knowing what that debt is to 'be. So far frön this being regarded as a com. mercial undertaking, - L cannot for the life of me see how it possible that it can beo worked commercially. The hon. member from Montreal (Hon. Mr. Fethase); who. spoke in his place the other evening, neyer touched upon this subject: All he told us in reference to this great scheme was simply this: that there were 100 odd cars lying at Montreal laden with produce, and that they could not go forivard because on the other side of the lines they had so much to do that they could not send the cars through. But this was no argument at all in fayor of the Intercolonial Railway: But supposing the road were built, do hour gentlemen beliove for a moment that it would pay running expenses? There is no doubt in my mind that to keep - it open a subsidy wuold be required, like that which is paid to the ocean steamers. It was stated the other day by the hon member from Montreal that two cents per ton per mile was a very small rate for railivay carriage. But taking it at that figure, what do we fiod? Froui Toronto to the seaboard, over the Intercolonial Railway, the distance may be estimated at 939 miles, and to send a barrel of Hour that distance by railway, at a cost of two cents per mile por ton, the charges on the flour would be not less than $\$ 2.08$. But supposing one-halt this tariff were charged-one cent per ion per mile-and we are told that at such a rate the road would be run at' a loss, the cost would be $\$ 1.04$; and by the time the barrel of flour was laid down in Liverpool, there would be charges on it for carriage of eight or ten cents per each bushol of wheal over what was formerly paid. These figures are based on the authority of hon. gentlemen opposite. "Oh! bit," say they, "the furmer gets the benefit of his moncy during the winter." I do not see that this is any argument at all in a commercial point of
view. We have the advantage of getting the money in the winter, it is true, but how do we get it? By losing a large amount.' For my part, I do not believe in getting only 3s. 9d. for a dollar's worth of produce. (Hear, hear.) And I am satisfied that Wheu our farmers get to understand the question, they nerer will consent to be taxed for the construction of any such road. Takiug the cost of transportation at two cents per ton per mile, and the distance from Halifax to Belleville at 831 miles, we find it would cost $\$ 16.62$ to transport a ton of goods between the two places. And at such figuris, dues any honorable gentleman who has the slightert kuowledge of commercial transacthus believe for a moment that merchandise ruild be sent over the road at any such rates? Supposing you reduce the rate one cent, it would still cost: 88.31 , which would pre clude the possibility of carrying freight over the road; so that, in a commercial point of view, the road would be perfectly useless. It is true that under our present system of banking, our bapkers endeavor to enfores on the purchasery of produce the necessity of umediate shipments and immediate sales, and with that view chuse them to draw for their accommudation at short dates; but it is also true that by such a practice the tarwer ots iil every instance the loser. The reason o! this custoni is that the banks waint quicker returns. But I contend that "the bavka should be prepared to advance money at such dates as vill enable the producer to so sell his produce ais to got from it a reuu-a rative return for his labor. But this it sot done It seems that the tendency of "rerything is to force freight down the railways duribg the winter season, and to this eud a virey "is advanced at short dates, the' tiarmer belag the chiof losur by the tratisavtion. Then the Intercolonial Railway is 'dyocated as a military vecessity.' It is anid that it is ereential for the defence of the rountry to euable the trangportation of troopy and military stures:" Ithink, hon. gentlemen. we bave only to look acroiss the lines and see what has taken place during the war in the State of Virginia and in other states, to convince as at once that for the purpose of moving troops and heavy' oupplies, suoh an artillery and ammunition, these roads are of very little use. You will find that they have been ont in almost every direction, and the facilities they" were enpposed to poss' $e y$ for transportation have been proved to be well nigh, worthleas for any pruotical
purpose,-and that, too, in a country where they are able in a short time to rebuild any partions of the roads which may be destroyed. But how would it be on the Intercolonial Railway? That road is iutended to run through a country near the boundary of the State of Maine, over which troops could be distributed at given points so as in case of necessity, to break up the Intercolonial Railway in every direction and to prevent the transportation of troops and munitions of war during the wioter.

An HON. DWMBER-They would be unable to reach it so as to cut it.

Hon Mr. FLINT-'1 hat is a very curious idea : " They cannot reach it "." I lo k upon the Anericans as a class of persons who can cut their way wherever they wish to go. Nothing would be easier than fur them to cut the Intereolonial Ruilway. But if it were really the case that the country to be traversed by the latercoonial Railway is of sucli a nature that no one culd get through: to it, the sooner we cease saying ayything further about it the better. . (Hear.): For it the country is in such a state that it is impossible for men to travel through it. I see no benefit in having such a railway... (Hear. hear.): These are my viens in reference to the railway." In the first place $I$ do not feel inclined to pay the large sum of uoney it is noige to cose, without knowing how much will be required. There it no kuowing how unuch it will cost C Cper Canada for her pro-portion-whether it is to be $\$ 12,000,000$, $\$ 15,000,000$, or $\$ 29,000,000$. But taking into consideratiou the amount of debt we will have to assume, together with our apportonment of the $\$ 6 \%, 500,000$ assigned, to $I$ Ipper and Lower Canada, as also that portion yet uipr vided for by the reselutions; 1 think thaf by the time the Intercolopiai: Ruilway is built, Lepper Canada' will be saddled with a: least $\$ 50,000,000$ as her share of debc.. I do not see how it is possible tor the people to bear up under such a weight ; nor do I beliveve that, if they understood this matter as they ought to understand it, they would give thear conseat to us to vote tor it. . It may be thought, perhaps, that I am not in tavor of Confederation." Butisuch is not the case I would much desire the Federation of all the provinces; but while I would desire the Federation of all the proviuces, I do desire that that Federation should be based on true and proper princiflemothat every portion of these plovinces of Hor Majesty's dominion showld share and
share alike. I do not believe in one portion of the provinces being placed in a position of inferiority to the others. I believe Upper Canada should have its just rights:-I believe Lower Canada` should have its just rights-and II believe that the other provinces should have their just rights. We should come together not with a feeling of distrust. but with a feeling of mutyal good will, seady to take each other by the hand and to press forward to what I would hope might prove an honorable destiny." (Heary, hear.) I'am well satisfied that the more this question is' discussed-notwithstandiag the remarks of some hon. gentlemen to the contrary-the more the question is discussed and ventilated, the gieater will be the dissatistaction of the people with it. I have recelved but one letter from my constituents ou the point, and the simple reference of that writter is this: " Do not you vote for the Intercolonial Ritil. way:" He says, "l should like Federation ; but do not vore for the Intercolonial Railway." But, hoi. geotlemen, whether I had received such an admonition or not. I couldd not see my way clear to yote for the re-olutions as they now stand. I have paid all possible attention to the speeches which have been delivered in this chat ber I have list, rued withevery dearee of re pecifulattention to the hon. and gallant Kuight who leads the Government, and also to hiw hon, colleague the Coumissioner of l'rown Lands, and I should be happy if there my power to go with them in the vote which is about to be cast ; but I do uot see hiw that is possible, It Iam at the same time todischarye my duty to my constituents, to myself" and to niy constry. I can never consent to vote awhy the rights which beiong to the people, without first askiug the pecple tor their consent. If the time is' given them necessary to make up their minds on this subject, and theg then soy to this House : "We are willing to try" this scheme-we are willing to tak.. th. with all its defects, in the hope that it will be found to work well," I will give my vote for it. as it now rtands; But, in the abrence ot this opportunity being afforded, I inust say that if I an in the House when the vote is called on this measure, I shall have to recorit nin name against it, and in so doiug I shall be acting conscientiously. I shall do so because lithok it a duty incuubent on me, however painful it may be for mie to evote contrary to the views of the Goverament in this respect, and contrary to a large majority of this House. And while I would concede
to every bon, gentleman who may differ from me the same freedom of judgment that I claim for myself - while lwould look with ail charity on the course thought proper to be taken by my fellow members, I'feel persuaded that they will not begrudge me the right of discharging my duty in accortance with the dictates of my conscience, and what I' believe to be for the good of my coustituents. And if my constituents do not agree with me in what I am about to do, they tave only to say; "Mr"Flint, your conduct does not accord with our views; we desire that you should retire from public life;" and I shall be most happy to conform to their wishes." (Ilear, hear:):

Hov. Mr, DE BEATUJE didad-Honor able gentlemen, I think it an act of patriotism to support the resolutions submitted to us, having for their object the Confederation of geveral provinces, so as to bring them inio a "roup, with the view of forming a nationality. This project will not surprise any one, when We recollects that this' immense "territory is occupied by the descendants of the two first powers of the world; and that the greatest portion of them are of Norman and Breton bloid. They will, also remember that the Normans, were the most adventurous pioneers. fit for all hazardous colonizations; and daring navigators. After having established their dominion over the British Islands, and over a part of France. Naples, Nicily, even in Jerusalem, Antioch, and near Constantinople, they crossed the ocean and establinhed themselves on the Canary Islands. and afterwards came close on the borders of the Saint Lawrence and the Mississippi-a voyaye that their ancestors had commenced in the environg of Novgorod; and where nuelens of their race is yet to be tound. The French Canadian countrymien of this Honorable. House ought more than others to be proud of the scheme, and it ought to bring to their mèmory that France had once this object in view, but even on a larger seale (having then a terr:tory of 1.800 leagues), and of inskingon this continent a second to herself by calling it $\mathbf{L a}$ . ouvelle Frince. She was then seeonded in thas great undertaking by her best military. and civil adininistrators. Among the foremost was the Couut de Frontenac, and the Marquis of Denónville, and La GalissonMreme, and also the celebrated Intendant Talon. The French Government was then Laboring under the same difficulty of seeking tör an open ses-port in winter, so as to avoid being shut up by the ice during five months
of the year, having their powerful neighbors, as we have now, to contend with. The Che valier d'Iberivillee, one of the brave sons of Montreal, the equal, as it is admitted by the best näry historians,' of the celebrated JEAN BART, after having made, in 1695 , two glorious expeditions to the Hudson Bay, Newfoundland, and to some of the other present Mari-time-Proviuces, wrote a Mémoire, in 1701, on the situation of Buston and New York and other coasts of the then British' colonies; pointing out the necessity of possessing a seaport during winter "Well, honorable gentlemen, this now may be effected without shedding of blood or money, only by securing the Confederation as, agreed at the Convention by the wost distinguished parties contrictantes of these British Provinces, in extending the: present railroad from Riviere du Loup to the Maritime Provinces, so as to counect in winter the wost remote parts of Western Canada to the 'sea. The advantages to be derived from the annexation of these Maritime Provinces. have been most ably developed at the begin-, ning of this debate by the brilliant speech and. sound logic of the gallant Premier, and also by other able "speeches in support of those resolutions: - I will, nevertheless, add that the Proxiace of Canada will also derive the immense adrantage of begioning the nucleus of bur future milit ry being, particularly if "you' get the great assistance of the Iuperial Gove: ernment that we are entited to. Let us all recoilect that France commenced her Canadian being by seading divers companies of troops by rotation to the present Maritime Proviaces, and aloo to Lodisiana. Those companies there commanded by offeers who held the rauk of apitaines des detucherients de la marine, equal in rank to a heutenant-colonel in the army. Those compinies were in the habit öf being traned for navy pürposes, I entertain no doubt that the frequent intercourse with those Martime Provinces, coupled with the navy thip sechoul that the Iuperial Goverament, as I understiand, has the gntention of establishing at Quebee, similar to those in. England and France, will promote this object ; and especially if Eagland upen the dow of her acaderines of Woolwich and Sandhurst to var jouths, as France was in the habit of doing when post Bessed of these colonics-in admitting, as cadets de marine, at Brest and Rochefort, the sons of those colonists who, as military and civilian administrators; had deserved such a reward-and, by so doing, they formed a good colonial navy, and it was from it sprang up those able and brave officers-the glory of
the past history of the French Canadians; and the honor that they had so acquired reflected also over Old France.' Amongst the great number whose memory ought not to be forgotten, not.ouly by the people of this Province, but also by the Maritime Provinces, at the birth and development of a new nation, and to the defence of which those men have contributed by their intelligence and courage, I will name, amongst others, Bona venture, Sévigny, Chateacguay, D'alligny, Til ix, Granvipley Soulanges, Vaudreut, Beacharnols, Longueudi, Repentignt, Boishebert, St. Ocrs, \&ce., \&c.; and many of those distinguished navy officers became goveruors not only in the French colonies of America and India, but commanded also seaports in Fránce. . Benoit, Chatssegros De Lery, the two Vaudretils, and Pierbe Bedọ́r rose to the rank 'of' Rear Admiral, and one of them, Roeer de la CordonNickis, was even cofmplimented by Fox in the English Parliament, for his generous and gallant conduct towards his enemies. Now; bonorable gentlémen, besides the extablishment of the colonial nary, we should also promote the military; organization and martial spirit, the natural accompaniment and the best safoguard of freedom; by assuming part of the military defences of this colony; proportioned to our population and revenues, of course with the effective assistance of the Imperial Government. And I hope that England will call out, to exercise the highest functions of statesuanship, such of her subjects in those colonies us will render theuselves fit to fill such situations in future. Why should she not even eniploy them in the diplomatic service, or as governors of her other colonies,' as France did lormerly, in granting those favors for emineat services? And in spite of the intrigues of thuse near the soleil levant at Versuilles, the daring exploits of those brave colunists; in that glorious struggle from 1698 to 135\%, forced the French monareh to do them ample justice, and by so doing the most of the unlitary commands and governorships of the Freach colonies fell into the hands of Canadiau born subjects. 1 have said so muoh to show that the policy of England ought to have been directed to promote, in these colonies, the appointinents in the civil as well as in the mulitary career to her colonial subjects, as well as those living in the British Isles.(Hear, hear.) Keferring again to the Maritime Provinces, I will say to my French Canadian countrymen that they have too many glorious pages in the past history of Amerioa;
and particularly in relation to these provinces, not to tiel arsiupthey towards them. as there still exist a lares notimber of the old Acadians who will fed proud to renew old acquaint'auce $e_{\text {, and }}$ to live 'vith' them as' brothers, happy under the protection at the Enylish Guveruuent. . Lett me call to their memory wome nt the piees which were the theatre of the eajoints if the brave afficers have alrudy urucuped, such as Port Royal, or

 LHe Reyale. now Cipes Breton; Isle stt. Sear, any l'rine Edward IAnand. de., dec. I hoper asis, that the construction of a good route ion Riviert Rouge the Rocky Moun= thius and Brath CuTunbia, will bring those Iftento an eary ace 'ss for commeres, trading and azrealtare. to bur erowiur populationa and will erevert then emureting to the leited States. as theys will thad phorious sencomeirs in the tormetspecs, where their Cunadian brothers hase abrady formed flourishing agricultural sethemopts, adodened up, valuable mines. © I trust that my Freach C'anadian countrymen in this House will see the atrantuge of udoptinit the resolutions now lid before the en, trushug as they should do w. the good chrmatan of the Home Goverument, as thin hew Coustutuon is well calculated to deveiope the "reoource of this time and imarnense conity " "And the best proof that, we are takin: the rishit steps tu secure our happiness, is fund anmusst wher artiches hostile to Brit-
 Eitus bints. When the question of contederstion was diguted intaj3, and which ran's as follury -
ontwativiathar all that may be said, written





Should the yrtat project be mopted, our infirtathee would rise on the "comtinernt of Europe, madie would be on the same footing
 to alurge: and amportant Confederaton. and nur credit wall rise in conseythence:' The Lower ('andiuns wall recullect that in lotio, atrer the winperary maspenstom of the det of 1751. Exabiaderathed una new cimatatution. They what reondect troo the unculsh, the porigs telt-by theas it cinat peried, but uotwith-tand. ing that we had us wice then in the measure as we have now, sill the rights, and advantages grauted us by the capitulation of Que-
bec and Montreal and the treaty of Paris in 1763 , have not been abrogated, and I am of opinion that by adopting those resolutions, our future rights are as safe as they were formerly: (Hear hear.) Before I close I will answer the remarks made by the honorable nember for Lanaudière division, in a speech a few days ago, respecting the Monroe doctrine, allering that we onght not to legislate upon this delicate subject, or words to that effect. I will quote two letters lately discovered and published by Monsieur Pierbe Mercoz, Guardian of Archives of the Ministry of the Foreign Affairs in France, and his remarks on these two great honored navigators who discovered the: Mississippi and other parts' of America, and which remarks are as follow:-

We cannot shat our eyes the aftinity of the interrests of the present times and those of former days, and which recommend the memory of "LaShitir and d'Iaervinis. In 1699 b'Ibervinie wrote on the subject of Louissana: "II France dues uut take posseśsion of this part ot America, which is the finest, to have a colony strong enough to resist those that England possesses in the tast from Pescadoue to the Caroline, these colonie's, which are becoming very extrnisive, will jifcrease to such an extent that in leso than a century they will be strong enough to seaze upon the whole continent ut A merrea, and to expel all other nations " ' D' lbenvilaie wrote again. in Nuiember, 1702: ' What nay be said against the establishment that the king has made at No. bile? It is the only one that could sustain Ime: nica against the undertakings of the Englishon this contivent: In a few, vears they wall, be able to forward in fiffeen days, by means of their large naty, more inan 20,000 or 30,000 men upon such of the Prench islands as they would be inclined to attack, the distance nut being, at the " utunost, mure than 500 to 600 leagues, the wind being generally favorable to carry them on those shores. and by land they may reach nexico." - These views (says Mr. Mercioz), to gether with d laenvilfes remarks, will, account for the na: tura uneasiness felt by the European powers at what is uow taking place "as Souti in inerica."
What I have just quoted is, I believe; sufticient to convince the houorable member for the Lanaudiere Division that the Buropean B'owens were not disposed, even at those remote tinies, to favor the doctrine now oalled the Monroe ; the British colonists of those times being now replaced by. our republican neighbors. Having saidso much, I will conclude by stating that I shall pote for those resolutions as they are laid before us. (Cheers.)
"Hon. Mr. HAMILTON' (Inkernicn)Honorable gentleuen, so much has beea said during the course of the present debate with ruference to the elected members of this Hoùse,
and the rights of the electors who sent us here, that I desire to make a yery fem remarks to explain why I, representing a Lower Canadian division, a majority of whom will be amongst the minority of the Lower Canada of the future, have decided that it is my duty to vote for the resolutions of the Quebec Conference as they have been laid before us by the Gorerament, and consequently against all the amendments. I am free to confess, honogable gentlemen, that there are among the resolutions sonie that I would haye gladly seen, as I conceive, amended; but considering; from the nature of the thing itself, and therefore fully concurring in what many of us heard from an eminent and distinguished statesman in another place, that the whole scheme of Confederation partook of the nature of a treaty; into which, as a matter of course, the spirit of compronise must largely enter ; and the Government having, as I also consider they were bound to do, informed uswe must accept the scheme as a whole, or reject it as a whole, I conceived it was my duty not to be a bar in the way, howeyer humble; of the passage of the resolutions and I came to this conclusion the more willingly because I have been for a long time an advocate for a union of the provinces, and I have been so because it is indisputable that, a much greater share of our selfedefence must rest :upon ourselves than heretofore; and though at the bost our means of defence may not be as great as we could wish; yet it must be manifest they must be greater by being consolidated under one head. Some hon. gentlemen, especially my neighbor from St. Clair, have ridiculed the idea of Confederation increasing our powers of defence, inasmuch as: under the best of circumstances it must take a long time to perfect our arrangements; but I would ask hon. gentemen to consider what will be the effect in England, as to our defences, if, we reject or even postpone this scheme of Confederation, coming as it would on the heels of a rejected Militia Bill, During the discussion; we have had, if the term is parliamentary and may be used, many fancy finance statements. Now, without disputiug the correctness of any of them, I "would ask the tionorable gentemen who have made them, have they uade any calculation as to the costs we would be at after we had been gobbled up by our neighbors south of $43^{\circ}$; or, to use the words of the honorable and gallaut Knight the Premier, affer we had slid down the inclined plane, and become uerged in the neightoring republic? I for one would say that such is position was altogether too contemptibla to
oçcupy. With reference to the change doing away with our elective Legislative Council, of which we: have heard so much, I for one can say that I consider the delegates "came to the only correct conolusion, and this is no new conclusion, and involves no change of opinion on my part, for I can appeal to an honorable member of this House as to whether, within half an hour of taking my seat in it, I did not express the opinion that though it was not right to speak ill of the bridge over which one had crossed safely', yet that I was opposed to the elective system as applied to this House. I also dissent from the sentiments: I have heard expressed by many honorable members of this House as to our position here, for I never understood that I came here as the mere delegate of the mien of Inkerman, to vote just as the most active village politioians happened to pull the wires for me. No, gentlemen, I came here, as I thought, as the representative of nuy division, to do my best according to my humble ability in legislating for the benefit of the whole country, and under no other circumstances would I have accepted the position. I shall not occupy your time, bonorable gentlemen, in saying that which has been better said by others; but thanking you for the ferw moments' hearing you have so kindly given me, conclude by reducing my explanations as follows: I vote for Coufederation because lansider it essential to the maintenance of British connection, and to preserve that, I for one am prepared to make many sacrifices. (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Mr. BLAKE-I feel it to be my duty, honorable gentiemen, to make a few remarks upon the general'question of Federation before the vote is taken. A great dead has been said about the manner in which the scheme has originated. . It has been said that the honorable gentlemen composing the Conference were selfelected. Now liold that it is most unfair to charge honorable gentlemen who have, as urembers of a government, entered into this piatter at the request of His Excellency the Governor-General, with a sin'cere desire to do the best that could be done Tor the interests of Canada, with being too precipitate, especially when- the subject was surrounded with so much difficulty. Although I have been an advocate of a union of the provinces for very many years, yet I am fully prepared to admit that there are some matters of detail in those resolutions that are very dististeful to me. I refer particularly to the abandunment of the elective pringiple in the constitution of this branch of the Legislature.

I was always in favor of the elective pripeiple as applied to the Legislative Council; and a very large proportion of my constituency is also in favor of it. I am opposed to the building of the Intercolonial Railway, on accóunt of the immense expenditure which it will "entail apon the country not only now', but for all time "to come. I think that that expeñditúre will be so great that it will fall very heavily on our finances, which are now so very poorly able to bear the burden, and that the road will be of very little use to the. country. Much has been said about this soheme not being understood by the people. With regard to that, I can only speak of my own locality, "Before coming here, I went through my own constituency, and conversed with a great many leading men of all political parties, and all urged me to go for Confederation, without a single cexception. . (Hear, hear.): I pointed out the abjections' whieh I had to the scheme : I told them that I disapproved of the elective principle being igaor-ed-of the building of the Intercelonial. Railmay-atid of the increased expense of maintaining two sets of goverument, I pointed out all" these and "uther objections, but notwithstanding, they said that it would be far better to take Federation, even as proposed by the resolutions, than to rentin 'as we are. They saif" "The governument of the country has come to a dead luck; we have seco jötestrong party pitted againist anothet strong pirty; ;we have sten two or three goternments formed that were unable to puss a single iuportant measure, and some change is therefore absolutely necessary:" The question then arises, What are we to do? Niow, I wuild ank the opponents of this; schenic; if they have any other plan to propose that will relicye the country of the difficulties under which it has been laboring? (Hear, hèrr) Out the wher hand, we have been told by biph authority that we were on the brink of ruin. ' We wère told by the honorable and gallant Knight at the head of the Government, that wo were on an "inclined plane," on which we were fayt sliding into the republic of the Cnited States of Auerica. I think it is therefure my duty to vote for the resolutions as they stand, and to vote for uo ameuduents ot any kind. (Hear, hear.) We are told that if we adopt any amendiuents to the resolutions, the whole scheme must fall whe grouid:- Are we to go back to the position we formerly vecupied, or will it nut be better to accept these, resolu'tions, on which a new. Constutution nray' be formed? If it is not fornied to suit us, me
can alter tit hereafter. It is not, I apprehend, to be like the laws of the Medes and Persians, totally unalterable. The Constitutions of Great Britain, of the United States, and of the different divilized nations now in exiatence have been altered, aud why are we to expect that these resolutions are a finality ? Gentlomen, the Constitution of the Confederation can be altered in future as easily ay our present Constitution bas been altered. I hope this scheme will go into effect at an early period, and I trust it will be productive of a vast amount of good to our country. (Hear, hear.) Honorable gentlenien say it is a revolution. "It may be a revolution, bat certainly it is not so violent a one as was proposed in 1837 aud 1838. (Hear, hear:) There has been a great deal of heary artillery brought into play since this debate began, but I hope that the revolution will be carried out without the shedding of blood: (Hear, hear and laughter.) I am prepared to give my vote for the scheme. '(Cheers.)

Hon. Mr. READ next addressed the House. He said - Honorable gentlemen, I have roted for delay in the pasdage of these resolutions; believing that to be my duty ; and if I have been wrong in doing so, it has been through want of julgquent. I have had no other intention in so doing thain to promote the best interesta of the country: A $\downarrow$, however, I observe that a large majority of this dlouse entertains a different option, I shall no longer attempt to mar the scheme, but shall gite it uy support when the time for voting upan it arrives. (Hear, hear, and cheeri.). I never intended to niar it, but I wished to be sure that the country was satis: tied with it, and would appreciate it when theygot it: (Hear.). I think human nature is the same now as it .ulways was and always will be. As the hon. Premier and the hon. Comimissioner: "of C'rown Lauds have used swme comparisons with reference to the proposed union, I have also a comparison to make. They said that a uniop could not be effected without seme sacrifices-a litulo giving and taking all round. I thiuk so too, but I think there is a different way in which this propused union must be viewed. I compare Canada to a young man who has had guardianay appointed to take care of hie estate; but haviug arrived at chat age that bis guardians think it is time to should be marned, they arrange a matrimonial alliance for him. $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is all the time looking on, and expecting $t$ be asked how the arrangement suits him. But in this cape it appears he is not to be
asked at all. (Hear, hear.) When they have all things in readiness, ho says to himself: "You may have pawer to marry me, but you cannot make me live happily." Now, had he been consulted, he would probably have made the same choice and have been fully satisfied with the alliance. As human nature is always the same, I have thought these were sufficiently strong reasons for wishing to have some delay, in order that the people, after the matter was fully before them, might cordially enter into the proposed union. I am favorably impressed with a great many of the resolutions composing this measure,.. I cannot, however, agree with my hon. friend from Toronto (Hon. Mr. Rosis), that Upper" Canada would build the Intercolonial Railway herself rather than :be without it:., Upper Canada does nüt produce anythang that cair be profitably taken over the road. There is no alternative, however, but to build it, if Confederation is to be carried nut. In $186^{2}$, we had a good bargain throw open to us, but as we refused to accept it at the time, we cannat now get it without paying higher price. Aloug with the mat triumbial alliance into which we are about to enter, there will be fresh responsibilities, and I really do not think the country is quiteprepared for them. (Hear hear, and laughter.) It seems we are prety cértain to form the alliance, and it is equally certain that those little responsibilities will imuediately spring up. (Laughter.) I think, howeyer, that we must call them great responsibilities, and I repeat. inuch greater than we are prepared for. $\therefore$ I would mate a great "sacritice for the defence of the country, but if England tells us we must do more thạn the country is able to do, I do not think we will be willing to submit to, it. We are prepared to do all we can, but I am not prepared to go to such an enortmous expense as to inyolve our country in such debt as will render it in undesirable place to live in: With Confederation we will have to go to great expense, not only for our defénces and our militía, but also for a navy.; because I believe that, as soona as the Americans put wn ivereased number of gun-buite oo the lakes, "we will have to put on an equal. number, and it is very doubtiul to nue if we can afford it. (Hear, hear.). Where is the money to come from?

Hon. Ma. CURRIE-Yes-where is the money to cone from?

Hon. Ma. READ-We are now very heavily tased, 'and have a heavg. bill to pay for interest on our large debt. I would lite to see the Government edopt.some method by

Which this interest should not go ont of the country. I do not like to see so much borrowed from abroad:- Interest is a thing that accumulates very rapidly, and it has ta be paid-regularly. If some system could be dovised by which this borrowing from abroad could be stopped, the Federation schemie would suit me much better, especially when we consider that the taxes of the people of this country, per bead, have been running up at an alarming rate-from que dollar to threosince the uninop; in 1841. It seens that the Confederation is to increase our taxes; that fact is generally admitted, independent of the expense of building the Intercolonial Railway. I do not see where all the money is' to come from; but I dare say the Finance Minister will find out some means "of raising it by increaced tasation: When the final vote comes onn; I shall be prepared to support the motion rather than have it rejected altogether, and shall press my opposition no further. (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Ma. REESOR-Honorible gentlemen, I rise to wore

That the following vurds be added to the man moticu:- "P Provided Gitwars, that His Excel. lency the Governor creficral be piraged to with:hold the tranmissiun of the said Aldress until the said resolutions shall have been approved of by the electors of this piovince, "quaified to yote under th lict 20. Vic., cap. 6, to be siznified by a direct vote on the said resolution, to be taken in the varitus muricipatities throughout Upper and Euver Canada."

How Mr DICKSON-I am desirous of calling to the notice of the Houve the fact that this aniendment appears on the face of it to embody the sanie principle as the amendment propised by the honorable member opposite (Hon Mr. Ccrier), and seconded by myself, and which, after a long and somewhat tedious discusxion, was decided in the negative. I would like to know, therefore, whether the amendment is in order. I do not oppose it, ut if it is ant in order, time will be saved by disposing of it at once; and I - rise to. obtain the Speafer's decisioñ upon the point.

Hov. Mr. ROSS-The objection of the honorable member is, I think, conclusive with regard to the amendment. It appears to be the same in principle as that moved by the honorable member for Niagara, and seems to we to be out of order.

Hon. Mr. BUREAU-I think the motion isin order. It declares that before the seheme is finally adopted, it shall be referred to the people, for them to yote yea or nay upon it.

No such amendment has before, been offered in this House.

The How The SPEAKER-The motion proposed in amendment to the main motion by" Hon. Mr: Crraie was in the following words:--: That in a matter of such great importance as the proposed Confederation of this and certain other British Colonies, this, House is tuntiling to assume the responsibility of assenting to a weasure insolying so many improtant considerations, without a further manifestation of the public will than has yet been declared." Now the present: motion is "That His Excellency the Gorernor Cieneral be prayed to 'with hold the transmission of the sud Address until the gaid resolutions shall have been approved of by the electors of this province qualified to vote under the Aet 22 Vic. cap. bi: to be signified by a dirict wote "on the said resolution, 't)' be taken in" the various municipalities throaghout Tpper and Lower Canada." Althongh there may be ome similarity still it is not substantially the "same motion. (Hear, bear;) The ". furthetr manifestation of the public will " may be quite a different thing from the manifestation of that will by a direet vote; as provided for by this andendment. I believe, therefore, that the motion is in order; snd, as in a case of this kind it is my "pinion that a liberal in' terpretation of the rules and practice of the House should be made", I canuot dedire that the amendment is included in the motion do cided by the House yesterday: , (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Mr. REESOR-It will hate been observed that the coutse of this debate has taken a most extraordinary turn.. At tirst, honurable members addressed the Huuse in fivur "of the rexulutions-members of the Guverameat 'more especially, and' then some huavirable geutlemen supportiog them; but lateriy we: have heard several honorable géatlemen expressing their views very stringly and emphatically against many of the resolutions embraced in the sehame of Confederation, but while expressing themselves so stryagly, they seemed to feel it to be their tuly to support it as a whole: (Hear, hear.) Now, it strikes meer, and I trust it will strike some other honurablé members, also, that we have been elected to this Legislature, with a view to perfect as far as possible every schenue or proposituon that may properly come betore it. It', we haie views on a particular measure which would lead us to propose amendunents for the purpose of mating it different in shape or seope trom whit it is when first intruduced, I maintain that it is our duty to express our
views in that ${ }^{\text { }}$ direction-not taking the mes. sure withut looking fairly and impartially into it, or accepting it in the belief that we have no right to dispate or alter, any portion of it. For my part, I look upon the scheme now before the House as upon the whole very different from what" we had a right to expect from the membirs of the present Government. They have been strongly supported in both Houses of Parliament and in the country; and I do net desire to see any difficulty thrown' in their way, or anything done calculated to lessen their support in the Legislature ; but at the sane time I do say that, with the support and confidence they have received, they oughtito have brought forward a better scheme than that which they have preseated to the House and"country.: Why, take the question of the 'atercolotial Kailroad involved in these resolutions, and what do we fand? More than two years ago the governments ot the provin' ces of Sew Brunswict and Nova Scotia made. a proposition to the Canadian (iovernment to build this road and pay nesco-twelthes of the cost, Canada to pay the remaining fre twelfths. :Well, what arrangeuent have ne now-what has tine brought about-what advantayres hive these two years gained fir us? This, that the Governuent of Canda conte down the Lestislature with a solieme according tö' which Cauada will have to pay towarde the, construction of this road nipe welfths of the eubre amount, and the othir provinces the balance-thus involving additional expense on the part of Canada to the amount of several millions of dollars certainly not less thain six millions to build the Inte:collotial Ridway ulene-uore than was demanded of us two years ago-and a totad additional expenditure that will add to the annual tasatiou of Canada more than a miilion and a half of dollars for ail time to come. This heavy ispeenditure over the proposition made two jears ugo bas, therefore, been peedlessly, undertaken. It is admitted, evea by the promiters of this schewe. that the easterni provinces will benedit far unore largely than Camada by the construction of the Inter. colonial diailway. It is adinitted by the beat counnercial men who have spoken upou the subject, that as a commercial undertaking it will not pay. It is admitted that it, will bo of little or no value whatever as a defensive. work. This being the case, why then rush into this large expenditure with such precipitancy; why not, at lenst, postpone its passage in order to get a measure of a more perfect character, and one more in harmony with the
wishes of the people chiefly interested? Honorable gentlemen who betray, such anxiety: to press this schemie at once should remember that we are pot voting away onr own but the people's money, and that this should not be done to the extent that is now proposed, without consulting their wishes in the matter. This the law requires before a municipal council can make any special grant of money. In such cases a vote of the people has to be taken, which is conclusive as to whether the pimposed expenditure shall be incurred or not; and yet we are here passing a measure of vastIf greater importance to them; a measure involving a revolution in our political affairs-a measure involring an immense outlay of money without asking whether the people are favorable to it or not. '(Hear, hear.) I maintain, honorable gentlemen, that betore it is finally passed upon, the whole question should be submitted to the people, and that the law which requires a reference to them in minor matters, should be extended in a matter which so nearIy concerns their future, condition and pros perity: "The people of the eastern provinces have very little to complain of in the plan of Confederation -proposed. The fact is, they will be largely the gainers by it, if it is carried out: ${ }^{\circ}$ In Nova Scotia and New Branswick, the amembers of the governments of thoo provincer, and other public men, see the agreat advantage thicy have gained over Canada, and are not slow to set them before the people e They are naturaliy anxious that the scheme shall be carried as speedily as pos sible, and are making every effort in this direction, for under it unprotitable local works in those provinces are assumed and paid for by the Geteral Government; such, for instance, as the railways of New Brunswick. which, before five years go round, will, I have uo doubt, be run at very conisiderable cost beyoud the returas they will yield to the General Government. The Hon. Mr. Tilley, in is speech to the electors of St. John, sets forth. the advantages to be gained by New Brunswick' by the union, as follows:-

Tew Brunswick is allowed to euter the Cunfedrrition with a debt of seven millions, and Nowa Ecotia with a debt of eight millions." Now, what was the nature of the arrangenent by which we cane in? It was found that the debt of Canada wis not much larger per head than that of New Brunswik.' Wra came in on better terms than that provines
Mr. Tulex then proceeds to show how New Brunswick gained a clear advantage of 8610, 000 a year for all time to come on the Interco-
lonial Railway alone. So much better are the terms to that province under the Intercolonial scheme than those upon which they offered themselves to join us' in building that road, two years ago, Hon. Mr. Tricey says.-
Of the cost of that rod (the Intercolonial Railway) New Brunswick and Nova Scotia had guar anteed the provincial ceredit for' seven-twelfths, and Canada for five-twelfths. Now, if the Confederation would build the road, New Brunswick and Nova Scotia would be relieved of the interest on the s wem millions,' amounting to $\$ 420 ; 000$, as well as upon the interest of the three and a halftwelfths of the thre millions: sterling. ampunting to $\$ 190 ; 000$, making in' all $\$ 610,000$ provided for by the General Goveriment.
This liberal bribe to bring New Brunswick into the union, one would think, ras quite enough to satisfy the little prorince ; but Hon. Mr. Thlet adds:-

[^5]These (says Hôn. Mr Thery are the principal points looled to. Hon. Mr. Tilley is very candid, and acknowledges these advan tages in the name of "subsidicg." He further assures his audicnce in the following worts - -

But we are ashet, whatguarantee have you that sou with continue th recrive these suksidies pro mised by' the General Government? -. Most unquestionable security-we are not at the mercy $6 i^{\circ}$ the Canadians. $\because$ So close is the contes between parties in the Canadian Legislature, that eien the five Prince Edward Island members, ly their votes, could turn victory on whatever sidi. they choose; and have the game entirely "in their own hands.
This is the success with which Hon. Mr. Tis. ley has acted on behalf of the people of New Brunswick, and I think the Commissioner of Crown Lands, when he reflects upon the advantagos that the eastern provinces have received over those obtained by Cainada, will admit that I was not far astray the other day when I said that our public men had acted with a great deal of recklessness. It appears to me that they went to work with the determination to get Confederation-to get it ou fair terms if they could, but to get it on any terms that might be found necessary to con-
cede to the Lower Provinces. (Hear, hear.) Another of the delegates to the Quebec Conference, Hon. Mr. Whelan, of Prince Edward Island, enumerates all the advantages that will be secured to that province by Confederation, and winds up by saying, that that little island will have $\$ 40,000$ a year more than necessary to carry on its local affairs. (Hear.) Taking all these circumstances into consid: ation, I do think the Government ought to have given more time to deliberate upon and perfect this measure ; and, at any rate, to leave it over till another session of Parliament before demanding a final decision upon the question. Failing to do that, and failing to conisent to any alteration in any one of the resolutions, however objectionable, I think it it is our duty to yefer it to the people for their decisinn upon it. I know I will be met with the objection that this is contrary to British practice-that a refereace to the people in the manner I propose is unknown to the British Constitution. We may say the sume thing in regard to every: branch of legislation and public business in this country, that it-differs in some respect. from the mode of conducting it, which prevails in England; but we must remember that we are differently situated in this country from the people of England, and that our feelings and habits of thought upon public affairs are altogether different. . And since we have adopted the principle in the conduct of our municipal affairs, to refer all matters involving the expenditure of money for special purposes to the peọple, it will do no possible harm to apply it to this measure; and if the people adopt it, and it should afterwards prove that they had entered into a bad bargain, they would have no one to blame but theiuselves, and I have no doubt would, under such circumstances, bear it more patiently But if we take the opposite course, if we close this arrangement on terms' disulvañ tageous to us, it will be many years before a change cann be effected. Would Prince Ed-ward Island, at the demand of Canada, give up the lien, the constitutional right she will have obtained under this scheme, to the money: she receives over and above what is: necessary to meet her local requiremepts? Not at all. Would Newfoundland give up her bonus of 8160,000 a year for all time, should the looked for coal not be found to pay? Not a bit of it. Would Nova Scosi. give up her right to impose an export duty on coals and other minerals, because Canads found that this right gave her undue advan.
tages ?: Certainly not. Would New Brunswick surrender her right to levy an export duty on timber or, at the call of Canada, give any extra assistance towards the construe: tion of the Intercolonial Railway, which will benefit her far more largely than any of the other procinces, inasmuch as it will open up a large tract of country within her borders and reader the lind and timber it contains far itore valuable? 'Undoubtedly she would not ; ; we would have to abide by our agreement, no matter how invidious might be the advantages it conferred, yo. matter how unfavorably it might affect western interests. (Hear, hear.) The complaint that has beesi made against the working of the present union is that in Lower. Canada the people do not pay as much in taxes to the general rerenue, man for man, as the people of Upper Canda, It was centended, I believe, by Le frisut Attoriey General East, at a speech delivered some gears since to his cona: stituents at Vercheres, that the expenditure for the redemption of seigniorial rights diduot affect Lower, Canda very much, "bicause. lipper Canada paid two-thirds of the revenue of the country; and all the adrocates of the we tern section; who hare urged its rights before the people, have taken the ground that it contributed in that proportion to the public exchequer. Now, if there be any truth in this statement, it must follow that under this arrangenent Cansida, at all events, will have to pay more, tu un for man, than the eastern provinces to, the gencral rềwute, because it canuot be contended, I apprehend; that Prince: Edward Island, Newtoundland, or either of the other Maritime Provinces, however pros p rous their condition may, be, have a popula tion as wealthy as that of Upper Canida, or one that will contribute as mich in taxes to the General Government. If then, duriigy the past, Lower Canada has paid less than Cpper Canada to the revenue, while enjoying the benefit of as large or perhaps a larger expeaditure than that section, what is proposed to be done now? Why, to remove thit difif. culty which led almost to a dead-leck in our legislation, to get rid of the embarrasymeats that have beset the Governinent of this country for many years past, we are axded to adopt a scheme that will perpetuate them on a larger scate than before, and involve, in the con: struction of the Intercolonial fe ilway alone, the expmititre at a sillion or a million and a hall annualty for ever. (Hear, hear.) How absurd then to urge on this scheme without at least sharing the responsibility of it with the
people? Why not take time and maturely consider it? Why not submit it to the rerdict of those who have to pay its cost, and if they accept it; let them bear the consequences. (Hear, hear.) With legard to the constitution of the Upper House of the proposed General Legislature, a good deal has been said, but $I$ think the main point has too often been lost sight of. The course of the debate upon these resolutions has secmed to run in some instances as though we rezazded a membership of this branch of the Icegislature a position which we ought to yccupy by right, as though we had some sort of a constitutional right to remain here, and as though governments and parliamentary bodies were instituted ly the people, not for the benefit of ihe community, but for the adrancenient of those who compose them. . We monld seem to have oreerlộked a fundamental prine:ple of all free governments, that governments should be carricd on for the good of the governed; and the principle of responsible government. aceordiny to which government must be carricd on-acording to the well-understond wishes of the prople.

Hos: Mr. McCREA-As expressed by their representatives.

Hov. Mre REESOR-As expresed, my honorable friend says, by , their representatires. Very well ; we must remember that those who constitute the Government of this country hive brought down lere a very curious schene, and have held out to you the inducenent that if you support it you have a chance of being appointed for life to the seat sou occupy ; and there is thas a probability of your being blinded to what yon owe to the people, of your ignoring the constituencies that sent you herefiand of your forgetting the duty you ore to thie country: Now, I hold ' with regard to the elective principle in this' Heuse, that the oftener a man is brought in contast with the people in a legitimate way, 'to leirn their wishes as constitutionally and properly expressed, the more likely he is to use his influence and talent in conducting the tovernment in such a manuer as to secure the happiness and prosperity of the country. (Hear, hear.) It is said that, as you have a responsible government, the Goverament of the day will be held responsible to the people, through their representatives in the lower branch of the Legislature for the appointmenti, it may make to this House. Admitting this "to be the case, we kuow what the tendeacy is in England, and what it was in this country whens the Government
had the appointment of the members of the Legislative Council; the effect will be to find a place in this House for men distinguished for the aid they have given at elections to certain men or parties; and not as a reward of true merit or legislative ability. Furthermore, if this House is to be of any value at all, it is as affording a wholesome check over hasty and unwise legislation. But if you place the whole legislation of the country in the hands of a single man or body, I care not whether it is democratic or aristrocratic in its tendencies, a power like that in the hands of the Executive to create the Legislative Council is a dangerous one. Unrestrained or unchecked action by a single elceted body of the most democratic character is apt to go astray if they feel they have only themselves to consult. This is what is proposed to bo done under this scheme; but let this House be elected, as before, by the people; let them be returned for a period of cight years as at present, or eren longer if desired, and then, if there is a demand for legislation of a selfish or ill-considered character-a dêmand which, founded on ignorance or passion, is likely to right itself after the lapse of a few years-the members of this House would take the responsibility upon themselves of rejecting it, and public opinion would eventually sustain them and acknowledge that they have done some service to the country. But inasmuch as you appoint these members for life, you liave no check over them, nor are they so likely to check legislation of an immature and ill-considered character. While the Ministry of the day which appoints them remains in power, it will expect and receive a cordial support from them; 'but lett it be defeated, and a uinistry, formed out of the opposite party, obtain office, there will certainly be difficulty -there will be a tendency to dead-locks between the two branches of the legislature, and a repetition of those scenes which were witnessed in this country some years ago, and which formed one of the principal causes that brought about the rebellion of 1837 . Honorable gentlemen say that we will have the power to remedy those defects in the scheme if they are found to be injurious in their action, but it is well knowu from the experience of the past that io power can be brought to bear to bring about any change that may be required, withuut ab great deal of agitation and labor:. What has been the ayitation to secure a change in the representation of the two sections of Canada in Parliament? It has been going on for ten or tivelvo years,
and yet，on the eve of accomplishment，those who have advocated it have not effected a change of the nature that was desired， but have jumped into a new and totally different scheme，that really seenis to me to have been brought about for the sole purpose of advancing their own personal aims， rather than satisfying any demand on the part of the people．（Hear，hear．）The hon－ orable and gallant Knight at the head of the Government stated that we were on an in－ clined plane，and in danger of eliding into the republicanism of the United States．This phrase has been referred to so often by honor－ able members who have spoken，and so many deductions have been drawn from it，that I may perhaps be permitted to say a few words upon it．I think all nust see that the ten－ denicy of the scheme，now before the House will be in a fors years to impose direct taxation upon the pepple for the support of the local goxernments．＂Let us－then have direct tixa－ fion，and what will be the result？If there is d large expenditure on the part of the Gen－ cral Government，in addition to this taxation， political agitators will arise，who will cry out that the public burdens are unequally borne －（hear）－that two－thirds of the revenue is borne by the epople living west of Quebec－ that ir ，the population mest of this city will，main tor man，pay twice as nuch to the pubiet excheyuer as the population east of it．There will undoubtedly be the same tendeucy；under such a state of things，as has been charged to exist on the part of the Lower Canadian representatives since the union was formed－namely，a tendency on the part of those who pay the smaller portion of the re－ renue to spend the public money freely and extravagantly．They will－naturally say when any appropriation is proposed for their own section－＂We will go for this expenditure， for it will benefit us，and we will support a corresponding expenditure in the other sec－ tion，because we have not so much to pay of it as the people of that section－we will have only fifty cents to pay of it，while they will have to pay a dollar．＂This argument will be used in support of all extravagant and wasteful expenditurés，and you may depend upon it that they will soen be incurred．Then you will have political agitators who will con－ stantly keep these things before the people， who will demand a dissolution of the union of the provinces as a remedy for the evil．Then a further diticulty will be found in the fact that breadstuffs，the American market for
which will probably be closed，cañọt be transported to the Lower from the Upper Provinces without being protected by a heavy import duty．Will the representatives from the Lower Provinces allow that import duty to be imposed？No，undoubtedly they will not． Attempt to carry it in the interest of Upper Canada and you will at once transform the Whole of theminto advocates for the repeal of the union．＂Thus＂you create cause for agitation in all the sections，and it will not long continue until you will again see another dead－lock．You will again have three administrations formed and three gen－ eral elections occurring within two years，and again you will have sufficient excuse för another change in the Constitution．And you may rely upon it，that before such an agita－ tion goes on five years it will be made an ex－ cuse for sliding further dowin the inelined plaue than would have been afforded if we had remained as we were．（Hear，hear．）I edn－ not help coming to the conclusion，honorable gentlewen，that these resolutions contain the seeds of our destruction as colonies．There car be no political advantage in the proposed union，unless we assume the rights and respon： sibilities of an independent country ．．We are not yet prepared for that step．Our popala－ tion is not numerous enough；we are too young and too weak to assume those rights and res－ ponsibilities．We haye no commercialadvan－ tages to gain by the union：Why then force it upon us？Let it rexain for more mature consideration，and the cvils you have will be borac the more quietly；but if you force it upon tho people prematurely，and the evils 1 fear spring from it，depend upon it that the pablic men who press it tirsward will be as seriously condemned as they are now highly lauded．The fact is，the people of the country do not understand this scheme．How can it be expected that they should understanditin all its， bearings？Why，the honorable member from the Kideau Division said he heard the expla－ nations of it and was here a couple of weteks before he understood it，and that he had sent 2000 circulars to his constituents that they might have a knowledge of it．How can he expect them to understand it from these print－ ed documents，when he himself，with the ad－ vantage of hearing all the explanations upon it，was two weeks in gaining an understand－ ing of it？Monorable gentlemen，I am in the abstract in favor of the union of these colon－ ies－（hear，hear）－but I do not wish to force on this scheme in a way thát is unfair and un－
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just, that will lead to future difficulties of even a graver character than those we are now laboring under, and that will give cause for the adrocacy of such a change in our position as few in this country would désire to see brought about. (Hear, hear.)

The House then divided upon the amendment, with the following result :-
Contexts-Honorable Messieurs Aikins, Ar: cbambault, Armstreng, Bénnett, Bureau, Chaf. fers, Currie, A. J. Duchesnay, Flint, Leonard, Leslie, Malhiot, Moore, Olivier, Proulx, Reesor, Segzuur, Simpson, Vidal.-13,
Vox-Contests - Honorable Messieurs Alexsuder, Mlan, Aruand, Sir N: F. Belleaú Blake, Boulton, Bosê, Bull, Campbelli, Christie, Crawiord, 'DeBeaujeu', Dickson, E. H. J. Duchesaay, Duansuchel,' Foster, Gingras, Guêrremont, Ham. itun (Inkerman), Hamilton (Kingston), Lacoste, Mecrea, McDonald; MeMaster. Macpherson; Matheson, Mills, Panet, Price, Read, Ross,' Ryan, Shair, skead, Si: E. P. Tache; Wilson.-36.
Si) the amendment was negatived.
Hen. sir F, P. TACHE said-I am anzmús that honorable gentlemen should have a fall opportunity of expressing themselves upon the "Heasure which is now before the House, and as $I$ an the mover of the resolutions, I think it is but just and fair that I should close the debate. '(Hear, hear.) If no other honvable gentieman desires to speak upon them, I think that before the vote is taken I should hase an upportunity of answering the argameuts that have been advanced against the chetre, and of explaining certain expressions that have fallen from we. I believe the House will be disposed to give me that fair play which has always been given under circumstances sinilar to these- (hear, hear)and I purpuse, therefore- no other honorable Nenterman desiring to address the House-to close the debate this evening.
Hon Ma. CURRIE-I would ask if it is the intention of the Government to explain the resolutions more fülly than' has been done?

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELL-The members of the Government will be happy to afford any atiormation the honorable nember may desire.

The House than adjourned till eight o'clock in the evening, and on reassembling,

Hose Mr: RYAN said-The importance wh the vote we areabout to cive on these reso-" lations is very great, as the future of the comutry is so largely dependent upon it, and sepreseating as 1 do the divivion of Vietoria, which is one of the most important in the country, contaning a large represeatativi of those sections or divisiuns ji races which make up the population of Cauada, I think it due to
my constituents to make a few observations upou the subject before us.:. (Hear.) If the constitueucy I represent is, perhaps, not quite the most numerous in the country it, possesseq a large share of the wealth, business and manufacturing energy and commercial enterprise of the province. It also. contains, in not very unequal proportions, people of the different nationalities, religions and languages which most largels prevail amongst us. You have the Frencli element, with the Roman Catholic religion and French language; you have the English, Scotch and Irish Protestant clement, and you have the Irish Roman Catholic element, which I máy be said more especially to represent. and which is by no means an unimportant obe: Go through Canada, and you will find that these, with a few European foreigners, such as Germans and Norwegians, make up nearly the whole population. My division. is, io fact, an epitome of Canada. (IIear, hear:) It may not be too unch to say that the opiniou and feeling of Montreal will be a fair representation of what the opinion of the country generally is, and that if Montreal has come to a nearly unauiunus conclusion, it is very likely tha different seetions of the country will have arrived at a very similar one on the subject of Confederation.. I amhappy to be able to state with confidence, that I have taken pains to ascertain the opinions of each of the different sections of my constituency to which I have alluded, and that.I believe they are in consonance with the votes I have given in this chamber. (Hear, hear.) I have alluded to the energy of my constituents, to their great commercial caterprise. I believe that energy is one of their leading characteristics, and I may say this, that if that energy has led them, on rare occasions, a little further than their own interest and that of the country required, they, nevertheless, on such occasions acted on an honest and generous impulse, or were prompted by the feeling that some injustice had been done to them. I was greatly gratified with the remarks of the honorable and gallant Premier at the commencement of this debate, when alluding to events which long since took place in Montreal; he put the blame where it really should restron the Legislature of the day, which was pressing on the people a measure distasteful to them, and which was vainly reinonstrited against by numercus portions of the country. The same impulsive character which led
them at that time into a course which is certainly much to be regretted, afterwards led them to countenance a movement of which I disapproved at the time, and which I opposed with all my might-the moveprent towards annexation. They favored that movement, hecause they thought they had been aggrieved and maltreated. But I L ay tell you now, that this feeling has com:pletely ranished, and that their wish now is to place Canada on a footing in which, united with the Lower Provinces aud in close con: ucction wit' Great Britain, she may be thorougbly. independent of her neighbors, and free from any need fflooking again tuwards' Washington. (Hear, hear.) In considerin: the project of Confederation, one of the principal subjects which has undergone discussion in this Honse bas bean the proposed Constitution of the Cumall, and the most prominent question connected with it has been the question of the clective versus the nominative principle. Although an elected memer, I roted without the least hesitation against the elective principlé and I believe that in doirg so I represeuted the views of miy constituents as well as suyown-I mear the great majority of my constituents, for there may be some exceptions with regard to this point, as there are no doubt with respect to the general question of Confederatiou.. I based my vote on what is, I thiok, a true principle in politics, which is that if you wish a cheok to be established; such as I think this Conincil is intended to establish on the legiglation of the other branch, you must not trave the $f$ wo Chambers returnable by the same constituents. If the constituents of both Houses are nearly the same, you lose the power of obieck, or at leart you will nct have it effectual, because you"will have the same sentiments and feelings represented in this House as in the other. I mot singular in this opinion, bat were I to cite the opinions of mey who are of a conservative tura of mind, and who have always upheld the privileges of the aristocracy and the prerogative of the Urown; [ should, perhaps, give you opinions which woudd carry less weight with the opponeuts of this measure thad will that of a gentleman whose views I will cire, who bas written a great deal, and very ably, and who belongs to the ranks of the advanced Libcral party in England-I niean Mr. Joan Stuant Mill. In his ehapter on the Second Chamber (Censidicrations un Representative Government, page 212), he says:-

That there should be in every polity a centre of resistaice to the predominant power in the Constitation-sand in a democratic constitution, therefores; a nucleus of resistance to the demo cracy-I have already maintained, and I regard it ${ }^{\circ}$ as a fundamental maxim of government. If auy people who possess a democratic representation. are, from their historical antecedents, more willing to tolerate such a centre of resistance in the form of a Sécond Chamber or Huuse of Lords thau in any other shape; this conttitutes a strong reason for having it in that shape.
Now, tonorable gentlemen. I thiok a Second Chamber, constitutel uearly in tho same way as the lisower Chamber, would be wholly ineffectual to stop the current of legislation coming from that Chamber ; the point, indeed, àdmits of very little question.' (Hear, hear) The objectinns which have been raised to noininatiou hy the Crown or the Executive Government are ur very hitile effect at this tiuae of day For myselt I should hase prefirred; to haye the numination of legislative councillors vested in the Crown indepenidently f the recomaradation of the 1 tocal Government, so as to have left the prerogative unfetteres. These in whs douhe that abuses formerly existed in Canada when the nominative systen ras in force-before responsible government was established and -when the Colonial Office nieddled a good deaf with the affairs of the province; but riow every honorable gentlemant with any $k=10$. ledge of historical exents in Cauada will say at once the case is altogether altered. So far from iaterfering in our internal matters, the Colonial Office now leaves us a great deal to ourgelves and lets us do as "ro please. There never was a freer constitution that ours. Under these altered circumstances, I should have preferred, I say; that in order to avoid all appeartiace of nominations for party purposes, the dircet nomination of le: gislative councillors should have been lefito the 'rumsn or the Crown's representative in the Cunfederation. (Hear.) There was ope remark made by the hon. member lor Wellimgtuo in reference to Mr. Cabdwelf's lefter, which I think was made in error. He inferred from that dexpateh that Mr. CanipWELL was opposed to the nominative syetem. Now, the passage he alluded to was this : -
The second point which Her Majesty's Goverament desired ohould be reconsidered is the Constimation of the Legislative Council. They appreciate the considerations which have inzuenced the Cot. ierence in determining the mode in which this body, so important to the aconstitation of the Le-
gislature, shoold be composed. Butitappears to them to require farther consideration whether, if the members be appointed for life, and their number be fixed, there will be any suificient means of restoring harmony between the Legislative Conncil and the popular Assembly, if it shall ever unfortunately happen that a decided differ, ence of opinion sliall arise between them. .
Now the point of this (Mre Cardwelis's) objection clear! $y$ is to the number being fixed, not to the principle of nomination, nor to nembers being appointed for life. (Hear, bear. $)$ - Like many honorable gentlemen in this House, there are certain of the clauses in these resolutions which, I think, might -have been improved. "I, for instance, might have preferred the Confederate seat of government being established elsewhere than at Yetawa; and, witl reference to this sabject, I bave been much strurk with a remark, which I will cite, from a recent writer, who sajs that-"Any country compelled to forego the use of its natural chieff city, and make somé inferior and ill-placed town the sent of its goverument, labors under incalculable disadrantages:". Everybody, however, has his own fittle bantling, and thinks it the handsomest in: the world; and I 'doubt very much if. after all, we' shonld have made the phati of Confederation much better had every one of us been consult. ed and taken into the Conterence, at Charlotteotam nor Quebec, $\quad$ urge our nwn special views. (Iltar, hear.) I rather infer, from the dit fereuces of opinion I have heard around me in thesc debates, that the compromise system: Hould not hase been no essily adopted by usas by the genthenicu who composed those conferences.: : I hope, howerer, that we shall adopt that system now, aud get through the delafe in the faith that they liave done what is best for the interests of the country, and that the measure is so iuporiant, as a whole, as to render it unwise to place minorimpediments in its way to interrupt its course. ( Bear, heari) I have marked soveral sec: tions of the resolutions which I think are 'open to nbjection or susceptible of improve-' ment, and I hope the honorable and gallant Kinght at the head of the Government will give some explanations respectiog the views. Which animated clic Conference in reference to them: One of them is a matter in which Lower Canada is somewhat peculiarly inter:-ested-the system of marriage and divoree, which. I see, is to be left in the hands of the Federal Goverbment. I hope nothing will be done by the General Government, in rela-
tion to this question, which will outrage the : feelinges of Lower Canada, or lead to the laxity, in de tling with the marriage tie, which prevails sonth of the line $45^{\circ}$. (Hear, hear.) Again, emigration is a'subject which in left to the Local as well as the General Government to deal with. I think it should be under the care of the Gencral Govern-: ment entirely. Then, as to the question of education', I hope the Government will secure: to Roman Catholics in Upper Canada the same rights which will be extended to Protestants in Lower Canada., To have the same privileges is only equal justice, whioh I trust and believe will be granted. Having been: in communication with several of the Roman Catholic clergy. I can say that they desire to have every justice done to their Protestant fellorr-subjects, but expect to have the same privileges granted to Reman Catholics in Upper Canada (who are the minority there,) as will be given to the Protestant minority in Lower Canada. (Hear hear.) I must also refer to the clause which gives to local governments the right of dividing the sections of the Confederation into constituencies and electoral divisions. This porver may become very dangerous yand lead to great practical injustice, and should, I think, be placed in the hands ot the General Government. I come thow th the question of rail. way extension, and this is a mater which seems to have been a serious stumbling-block to a great number of those who are really favorable to the measure of Confederation. Noir, I do uot think the Intercolonial Railway "will be a profitable concera, all at onca;" but I think I can remore a few of the objections which have been raised to this part of the geheme. In the lirst place, I think a mistake prevails is to what will bo the cust of carrying freight ou this railway..I have here the anuual Tride and Navigation: Refurns of New Brunswich for -1863, in which I find the following statement:-

If Now Brunswick was conhected with Sow treal and Quebec by direct railway communication through British territory, our importations from the States would decrease immediately, and much of our tlour and other supplies would corne diect from Canada; and in the event of the Reciprocity Treaty and the bonding system of the Un ted States, which allows British goods to pass through their terriory free of duty under bond to Canadia, being abolished, Saint John would probably become the Atlantic shippiuy port of Canada for the riuter months.
People may suppose the rates of freight
would be so very'extravagant that this could not come to pass; but in the same report, which has very opportunely come to hand, as it corroborates the remarks I made during the debate on the Address as to the fact that ive should have : some offset in the trade of the Lower Provinces, under Confederation, for what we should lose if the Reciprocity Treaty were to be annalled, I find the following statement :-
The cost of transportation of flour from Montreal to Portland, Maine, by rail, has been reduced to the low figare of 35 cents per barrel, and from Portland, Maine, to this port, it can be convesed for 25 cents by steamer, or 15 cents by sailing vessel, making altogether 60 cents for concesing a barrel of flour, weighing 200 lbs, by rail and steam, á distance of 385 miles, and it could be delivered at this port (St. John, N. B.) within fire or six days from the time of loading at Montreal. Of course these love rates of railway freight apply to large quantities only.
Well now, gentlemen, the distance from Montreal to St. John, by railmay, is at a rough estimate about 600 miles.

Hon Mr. CURRIE-Not so muchabout 500 only.

How Mr RYA - So much the better for my argument, but I will gise my ho friend the benefit of the 600 miles. Now, the further a barrel of flour is carried the I. os the freight per mile is, bechuse you get nd of the ront of lavdiug it at successive stages If you cancarry it from Montreal to Portanid, say 300 miles, for 35 cents. yun can certanly carry it 60. miles for-less than twice that sum, or let us say for 60 cents, nut arore than what it now costs by the combined rail and steamboat route cia Portland, white the flour conveyed all the way by rall will be the better for nut being moved ahout from one means of conseyance to another I haste inded reason to believe, from a very good railway authority, that it would pay a railway company well to carry flour from Nontreal to St. John for from 1:3 to 70 cents per barrel, and that if it were necessary, the work could be dove at a profit at 50 cents per bar rel. (Hewr, bear.)" I'want to shew by this, that the carrying of flour over the Intercolonial Railway will not be so difficult of accompiishuent as people who have not gone into the calculation closely may be disposed to imagine: ( Hear, hear.) I have here, too, a statement of the imports of flour into New Binoswick, Nova Scotia and Nowfoundland. It is os follows:-

| Fmports of Flour | Batrels: |
| :---: | :---: |
| New Brunswick | 243,000 |
| Noya Scotia | 328,000 |
| Newfoundla | 226,000 |
|  | 797,000 |

If we now look at our imports and exports for 1863 ; we shall find that ime imported into Canada $4,210,942$ bushels of wheat. while we exported only $3,030,407$ bushels. Well, this may appear strange, considering that we are an agricultural and expotting country; but we coine next to the article of flour, and find that while we imported only 229,793 barrels, we esported 1,095,691 barrels.

Hon. Mr. CURRIE-Weinforted whea to grind it into flour.

Hon. Mr. RYAN-Exactly so. The excess of tlour exported wasis 865,898 barrels, which, taken acº $4 t$ bughels to the barrel, would be equal to $\mathbf{3 , 8 9 6 , 5 4 1}$ bushels of wheat. Deducting from this the excess of our importsorer our exports of wheat, viz. $1,180,50$ 委 bushels, will leave us $2,716,006$ for export, which at the same calculafion, Fiz., ty bushels to the barrel, gites us 603,557 Garrels of surplůg flour, groüud fron wheat in Canada arith which to supply the demand of the ${ }^{z}$ three Maritime Provimes mentioned of 797,000 barrels: : (Hear, hear.) This, if the Reciprocity Treaty be repealed we can just about supply what they ainualit require. (Hear, hear.) Their impurtation ane moreover very constant, for the return. says:-
Uur impirtations of wheat four in 186 Bam and ed to $-43,391^{\prime}$ bartels, against $232,2: 77$ batrels in 186: ; 310,676 bariels in 1561, 193,323 barreto in $1860: 295,356$ barrels in $159,246,649 \mathrm{fin}$ rels in 1855y; and 163,615 barrels in 1857.
That is as far as wheat or wheaten flour is concerned They consume also a large quantity of pork, a large quantity of beel and other produce ; but i du not wish to trespass longer upon the timise of the House

## NOICES-" Go ou."

Hos. Ma. RYAN-I will just read from the New. Brunswiek return. It'says:-

Our importations into tho Proviuce in $1=64$, of all kinds of agricultural protuce, amountid in value to $82,060,702$, the description of which wat as follows:-Flour andimeal of all kinds, bread, beans, peas and pot barley, $\$ 1,333,746$; yrain of all kinds, bran; horse and pig' feed, $\$ 148.413$; vegetablea, including potators, 876,769 ; ineats
viz., salted, cared and fresh; including poaltry, $\$ 242,933$; butter, cheese, lard and eggs, $\$ 75,235$; animals. including horses, oxen, cows, sheep. and pits, $\$ 587.5$; apples, pears, plums, cranberries, \&c.. $\$ 60,257$; tallow and soap grease, $\$ 29,973$, bops, $\$ 2,226$; hay, $\$ 3,142$; malt, $\$ 4,7.19$; shrubs, trees, $\$ \mathrm{c} ., \$ 2,188$; seeds; $\$ 10,815$; wool, $\$ 8,531$; amounting altogether in currency to $£ 515,175$. The value of the agricultural produce imported in 1862 was "£476,581 currency ; in 1861 it ${ }^{\prime}$ was £ 427,083 currency ; aṇd in 1860 it was $£ 447,341$ currency.
The Noza Scotia and Newfoundland retarns alsöshow that large quantities of agricultural projuco of all kinds are imported into these colonieg, as well as inmense quantities of pork and other meats which we could easily and profitsbly supply. Now all these articles Cunada will be able to supply, and this is another item in the retarn which is very noticeable. The Lower Provinces import large quantities of boots and shoes. The New; Bruuswick return státes, that-

The value of boots and shoes imported in 1863 was $\$ 3 y$ 851-doty, 87,521 ; against $8: 7,957-$ duty, 89,105 , in $1862 ;$, 8101,96 -daty, $\$ 16,395$, in $1861 ;$ and $\$ 131,424$-duty; $\$ 20,832$; in 1860 .
Thiese under Confederation would go duty free trom Cañada. "There is a large manufacture of such articles, and with them, as with some other articles we make, we might : supply the Lower. Prorince markets. (Hear, hear.) If there is one feature in our condection with the Lower Providees which we must not lose sight of, it is their possessing "coal io large quantities; this is sure eventually to create manufacturing communities amongst them, to increase their population; and cause a larger home demand than at present, for the agricultural productions of Western Canda.. (Hear,' hear.) 'I may now recur to the Intercolonial Railway question, and express a bope that it will be goneabout by the $G$ sverumeat in the most econo mical manuer possible. This much may be said that whatever money is spent on it will be spent in the country, that is, in our new country, will bo spent among ourselves, and Fill attract a great army of laborers; and I do bope and trast the Administration will so arrange the prosecution of the work, that these laborers shall be induced to settle on the lands traversed by the line, which; I am told, are very favorable to gettlenient; so that another market for our manufactures and productions may be formed; and that if the Reciprocity Treaty should be lost to us (an event which. I deprecate as
nuch as any one), we may have something to fall buck upon-which we shall have, hon. gentlemen, if we look at our position boldly and energetically, and take advantage of circumstances as they arise, '(Hear, hear.) With respect to the statemeat that the road will not be valuable for purposes of defence, not being a military man, that is; nothing more than a militia officer, 1 do not pretend to offer a very valuable opision: but it appears to me that, removed a certain distance from the frontier as it will be, as attack on the railmay must be next to impossible in the winter time' besides, if will be our duty to: guard our frontier in such a way that incursions cannot be made upoi us with effect, and I hope we shall be able to do so. (Hear, hear.) It has been remarked that the Eaglish Goverineent would not think of sending a military force from Halifax to Canada by railway, tut I confess I differ from this view. In the war which is now going on in the United States, if it bas been proved that railways can be casily broken up, it has also been proved that they can easily be relaid, and the value set upon them by military men is clearly exemplified by the struggles they make to gain or to retain possession of them. If a railway is partially broken ap, they have appliances at hand quiekly to repair it. It is a part of modern warfare to lay railways and lines of telegraph, and armies have corps attached to them whose special duty this is. (Hear, hear.) There is another thing important in a militiry point of view, which has been lostsight of - which is, that although soldiers might walk over the snow, military munitions and the heary articles used in war, such as cannon and mortars, cannot be put on suow-shues. (Hear, hear, and laughter:) I think the railway would be of incalculable. value for transporting guch things as these if there were vecasion for it, which $I^{\prime \prime}$ hope there never will be. It is, however, meet to be prepared för such an eventuality as war, for that is the best way to ayoid it. (Hear, hear.) I may her? refer to what some hovorable gentlewen-have remarked in this debate, that the circumstance of certain portions of the ponulation of the Lower Pronnces being occupied in maritime pursuits, diminishes to that extent their power of uiding Canada in case of war. In this opinion I am unable to concur; for if there be one arm wore than another in which they can assist us, it is by the aid of their hardy scufaring population, who will awarm the seaports of the Confederacy and the

Empire, and act with great effect upon the commerce and sea-board towns of any foreign foe It has been said, honorable gentlemen, that this measure is heing hurried thriugh the House, and complaint has becn made that it has not been referred to the country. for arbitrament. Bat, look at the roasequences of so referriog it to the country; Look at, the consequenees" of delay You have read the telegram to day which gives the news of the asseatining ot the Britisll Parliament, and I am glad to see a statewent in Her'Majesty's Speech, that she bas approved of the measure which is now uader our consideration. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Well," "gentlemen", the Parliament of Great Britain will net sit fur an ualimited time. Its session," this year, may be shorter than usuat, for the natiaral dissolution of this and the ass mbliag of a new Parliament are drawing near; atid conlending parties generally make an effurt, towards the close of a Parliameot, to wake'a change in the Administratic. An A one who reads the English papers and poilitical docu: ments will see that a clange of Ministry s confideatly expected by sume peoplo ; and it a defeat of the present Ministry tokes place, and Parliament is dissolved, thetr uwa affairs will occupy the minds of, Brisish statostuen, so that when agaia called tugether, for a short time in summer, ir may be mercly to legislate on lical "reatters, and un Con federation project way thus be indefinitely delayed.

Hon Mr. CURRIE-:o much tho better.

Hon Mr. RYAN-I think any man with his eyes open will see that events are marching ou upon this contiuent with great surides Eveat follows event in such zapid succession that we can hardly tell whither the ude will flow next. Already we hear the great daticipated successes of the Nurth:- If the uew. be true that Charleston has been evacuated, it will be a severe blow to the cause of the South; and it the South be conquered, we know what have been the sentiments towardy Gaiada expressed in the Uuited States for the last three years." They will, perhaps, tinn north for further couquests, and try to huinble a puwer which hav not in every way met their wishès. 'We should, at all eveats, be prepared to meet such a contingency, prepared to repel attack, prepared to defend our homes and the free Constitation uuder : whieh we live. I will onnclude by saying that if the citizens of Montreal have been ecoused in former times of energy in a wrons
direction, they are prepared now, and Ispeak it advisedly, to use that energy for the defence of the province. For the people of the ationality to which I belong, I will farther say they have come to this country to find a houre aud they have found one, where they are not oppressed by any wronis, where there is no invidious distinction between races and creeds. They appreciate the blessing and value of the institutions under which they live, they are ready to detendstheiu, and they look on the union of the British North American Provinces as the surest means of preservin and perpetuating them. (Cheers.)

Hox: MR:PRICE-Honorable gentlémen, being one of the newly elected members of this House, I would like to say a few words, by way of defining my position, before the vote is taken. Although I have said that I was' in favor of Confederation as the ouly means'by which we could make proper provision for our defence, yet, until I understood the details more olearly than what I could learn from the resolations, I could not make up my mind to vote for it. Previous to the declaration at the election in my divison, the press had circulated the views of the Conference; and I went over the details so far-as I was in possession of them, and the verdict of the people at the hastiogs was unanimuiusly in fuvor of the scheme. (Hear, hear.) I would like to enter into a discussion of the details, clause by clause, but it is impossible to do so at the present time. It is not surprising that alunost every member of the House is oppnsed to one or more of the resolutions; it is impossible for us, even whon we go into committee on almost any subject, to unanitiousily agree on all the clauses. Before going further I wish to thank my honorable friend the gallant Knight at the head of the Government, for his kind remarks with reference ta my father and myself, at the opening of this debate, For the last' twenty years I have been known and resided in the constituency which sent me here, and if I have been elected without much opposition, it was from friendship towards nie on the part of my cop: stituents. Although I represent people haring different religious views, yet I'believe only twenty-six Protestant votes were given ior me. I have had a great deal of personal friendship and intercourse with the Roman Catholic clergy of Lower Canadi, and must say I biave afmays found chem liberal and loyal in their viqws, and, $2 a \cdot \alpha$ body, almons
ananimous in supporting the scheme of Confederation, being convinced that it is the only sortie from our present political troubles,' snd of our continuing under the British Crown, knowing well the liberty that all subjecte enjoy under it; and I feel certain "t.at if ever the day comes to defend the British flag on this soil, while a shred is left on the staff they will be there to defend it. Being as elected member of this House, who by this scheme may be offered a seat for life, I beg to say that I sare little for thẹ ohapce; but $I$ hare been congratulated by my constitueats, on all sides, upon the prospect before me, and if I vote for the measure as it stands I shall not, therefore, in any way displeàse them. Although I voted for rendering this House elective, in 1856, yet I did so, contrary to my own convictions, for the sole purpose of sustaining the Government; believing that. this House should be a conservative body. I consider that this should be a special branch; where. we should judge measures without re ference to popular prejudices, if such a thing 'were possible. I think we are here to judge mithout that political partiality whieh actuates most of the members of the Lower. House, some of whoni got their soats by a majority of one, I am sure persons elected in that mazaner can hardly claim to represent the popular feeling of the country... For' my pirt, I iutend to vote for these resolutions, for it becomes, à matter of choios with us either 'to support them and thus become one strong. Confederacy, or else go by driblets into the Atuerican Union, (Hear, hear.) I am fully convinced that owe are fending rapidly tomards unnexation, and that the only thiug thit con sare us is the formation of a strong. Confederacky." And if that is iot dono immedistely; I firmly believe that we shall let the goldea opportunity slip, and will not again have the opportuaity: Honorable gentlemen say that our debt will be rapidy increased under Coofederatiou. Well, that is hard to say, but I think it quite likely it may in errase slightly. But what would be our debt if we were annexed to the United States? What would our taxes be if we had a proportion of the enormous wir debt of that country to puy, in additiou $\omega$ our own? For my purt, believing as I do that this is the only tope of the country, and the present the only opporiunity we stall have of carrying' it through-and no far as I know it is the only one- I should feel myself unworthy the position I hold if I did not vote in favor of it. It is the only priacticable soheme that has
ever coma before the country for settling: this difficulties that have afflicted the country. For the past ten years, during which I have had the honor of being a representative of the people, there has always been a running fight between the ins and onts-first one side and then the other ${ }_{2}$ conteńding for office, and the resalt has been anything but satisfactory to the country. I think if honorable members Fould take an impartial view of this question, and consider that we cannot alter the details, if we desired to do so, without defeating the whole, they would not hesitate to vote for it. As $I$ understand it, the details in reference to the formation of our own local goveraments will be broight" before us, and "we shiall thêh have ample opportanity of considering and amending them if we think it necessary: (Hear, hear:)
How. Mr. REESOR-I do not wish to make any lengthened remarks; but there are one or two points to which $I$ wish to call the attention of the House before the vote is taken. (Cries of "Question," "question (") If I am out of order, I will tiake wy seat.
The Hon. The SPEAKER-The hororable gentleman is perfectly in oorder,
Hon. Mr. Refsior - 1 wish to call the attention of the House to the opinion of the celebrated author quoted by my hobiorable friend from Vietoria Division (Hoa. Mr. RYAN):" My honorible friend quoted some part of a work by Mr: Joen Stuart Muis, a celebirated writer on Representative Government, but he did not go far enough. Mr: Muiss suys :-
The consideration which tells most in my juigment in tavor of two Chambers (and thy I do regard is of soupe mument), $s$ the evil effect pruduced apoun the mind of any holder of power, whether un individual or an assembly, by the constiousness of having only themselves to donsult.
This is perfeetly truc. But what does my hooorable friend adsocate? He advocates that thie whole power shall be concentrated in the Geaerial Giverament; that they shall have the power to create this House, so that the whole power shall be legally centred in "one body." The writer he quoted goes on and coudems that principle in the following words:-
If the writiars by which reputation has been gamed are unconnected with politics, theg are no evidence of the special qualities required, while, if political, they would enable successive miniscries to deluge the 'House with party tools. That is the position to which ing honorable'
friend would drive us.: He would give the ministry the power "to deluge this House with party tools." He then went on and proved too mueh with regard to the trade betwen the provinces. He said New Branswick and Nova Scotia would take our manufacturce, that already we had large manufactures of boots and shoes, and that the Lower Provinces would take these and other manufactures from us. - And then be told us that they had coal in Nova Scotia, and that where there/s coal, mannfactures will spring up.

Hon. Mer-RY AN-Coal is not used in the manufacture of beots aud shoes.

Hon. Mr. REESOR-Butcoal makes a manufacturing country, and there is no reason why Nova Scatia, as a manufacturing country, should not manufactule boots and shoes as cheaply as" they can be manufactured at Montreal. I have lately learned from good authority that the very articles to which thy. honorable friend refers (boot's and shoes), are now being largely manufactured in the city of St: John. Labor 'is, quite as cheap in New Brunswick as in Canada, and there is no reason why they could not supply themselves with the articles numed, and with many uthers. even cheuper than they cau be supplied from Canada.

Hon. Mr: RYAN-As regards Mr. Milis' opinions, the extract I red was this:-

That there should be to every pultity a censre of resisisance to the predominant power an the Constitutioa-and in a demucrate Consutat un, therefore, a nucleus of resiatatice to bhe demo-cracy-I have already maintanted ; andid I reyard it as a fundamental maxim of government a If any peopie who possess's a denocratic representa: tion are. from ther historical antecedents, mote willing to iolerate such a centre of resistance th the form of a Second Chamber' or "House 'ot Lords, than in any other shape, this constifutea a' strong steason for haviag. 4 tii that shappe.
He admits that" acheck can be used, and properly used, by a: House of Lords or a Legislative Council.' Then he'goes on to say that he does not think this the best check, and prescribes a plan of his own; but his statement on this point is too long to enter upon now.

Hon. Mr. CURRIE-I wish to ask the Hon. Commissioner of Crown Lainds a question with reference to the mieaning of the 5 th sub-section of the 29th clauss, which commits to the Geueral Parliament" the raising of money by all or any other modes or sysems of taxation." Am I to understand that he General Goverament are to have the pow-
er of imposing local tazation upos the lands of the provinces?

Hon: Mr. CAMPBELL-The general national power of taxation is to be in the General Government.

Hon Mr. CURRIE-The 3fth sub-section of the same clause comits to the General Government: "the establishment of a general Court of Appeal for the Federated provinces."." Is that to be in lieu of the Courts of Appeal we now have? Is it intended to do away with the present Court of Appeal and to establish a pew one?

How: Mr. CAMPBELL-I do not thing ny honorable friend has cuught the meaning of what is intended. It does not say the general Court of Appeal shall be established; but that the power to establish it shall be in the General Government.

Hon. Mr. CURRIE-New Courts of Appeal?

Hon. Mr. CaMPBELL-If a statute of the Parliament of the United Provinces shall be passed creating a Court of Appeal, it will state whether it is in lieu of, or in uddition to, the present Courts of Appeal. I should suppose it would be in addition:

Hon Mr. CURRIE-I think that' point is one which we ought wunderstand before giving a final vote; and I do not think the Hon. Commissioner of Crown Lunds, with reference to it, has fulfilled bis prouise to give an explicit answer to any question which wight be put, to elicit further fifformation about the scheme. - Then the 43rd clause gives the Nova Scotia Legislature power to make laws respecting export duties on coal. What is the meaning of that?

Hon. Ma. CAMPBELL - I thought I had explained that the export diuty there was almóst sy nonymous with our royalts. It is levied in lieu of a royity at the mine? and we therefore pernit the Nova Scutis Government to exact it on conal coming to this country.

Hon. Ma. CURRIE-The honorable genIleman must see it cannot be a rogalty, bechuse the royalty must apply to all coul coosumied in the Yrivince of Nova Scotia, while the export duty only applies to coal exported from that province. The 9 th sub-section of that clause imposes on the local governments," the establishiment, mainteuance and management of penitentiaries, and of public and retormatory prisons." There is but ono penitentiary in Canada, which is situated in Upper Canada. Does this clause impose on
the Local Legislature of Lower Canadd the construction and maintenance of a nevr Penitentiary, leaving to Upper Canada the Penitetitiary now in existence in that provinee?

Hon. Me. CAMPBELL-No doubt; but Lower Canada may arrange with Cpper Cat pada for the temporary use of the Peniten. tiary, so long as she requires it, or for its permanent use, if that is thought better:

Hon. Mr CURRIE-By the bth subsection the local leyislatures have the control of "Education", saving the rishts and privileges which the Protestant or Cathulic minor. ity in both Canadas may poisess as to their denominational schools at the tine when the union goes finto operation.". I do niot know whether the representitions which have been made in some portions of the wountry are correct-that, under this section the Roman Catholics would be entitled to no more schools than they have at the passin's of the act? Will the Cominisulioner of Cruwn Lands please explain?

Hon. Ma. CAMPBELI-By this section it is affirmed that the principle of action with reference to thuse schools whec moy be in existence at the time the Contederatian takisy effect, shall continue in operation. Should this Parliament and the other legislatures 'adopt the scheme; and it the Iuperial Parlidment adopts an act giving effect to it, thrie will be found in existence certuin principles by which the minorities in Cpper and Luwer Canada will be respectively protected, and those principles will continue iu operation.

Hon. Ne. CURRIE-Hut suppose nn alteration is made in the Common Schoul Law. of Upper Canada-and, as Funderstand, none is promised-would the Roman Catholics be enutled to establish "wore separate schasis?

Hon. Mr.' CaMPBELI-The prëent Act would continue to operate, and the iomorable genteman knows what are the rights of Roman Catholic sehools under that Act.

HoN Mr. CURRIE-That is the way in which I understand it. With reference to the 61st clanse, I would ask is it proposed, at this session of the Legislature; to arrauge the balance of the debt-- not taken inte the Con-federation-between Upper and Lower Can: ada?

Hon. Ma. CAMPBELL-It is proposed. Defore any Federation scheme goes into uper-- kion, that the debt shall be arranged betwee:a Upper and Lower Canada.

Hon. Me. CURRIE-In the 64th section $t$ is provided that, "in cunsideration of the reasfer to the General Yarliament of the
powers of taxation, an annual. grant in aid of each province shail be made, equal to eighty cents per head of the pripulation, as established by the census of 1861 ; the population of Sentimadhend being estinated at $130,000$. ." Woald the Cominimioner of Crown Lands state why the population of Newfoundland is to be estimated at 130,000 , while the population of the other promees is taken according to the census of 1 stit - Newfoundland thas being allowe d s; meo of a population more than it wruld te entitled to under the census, and: being allowised to take in on that busis $\$ 200,-$ 000 marrector debt, and also receiving more subidy than it would cotherivise be entitled tin \% If weare to asmine thit the population of Newtmand.nd increased 8,000 between 1861 and 1 stit or 11855 . why should not a similar increbe be allowed to Chanday. Assuming that the fopulation of Canada increased at no more rupid rate." we wond have an increase of 1bio,000: which wruld entitle us to go jnto the Conitideration "with a debe exceeding that with which we mire $g$ in ot upwards of 84,0,00.NOO, and which woulf give us $8130,000^{\circ}$ a yutar huore ut subidy:" I ciantiot understand why the ppuptation of Newtoundand should be taken at lijoloor, when all the other provinces - mont of thom at all events, increasing in pupuation much more rapidly than Newfoundiand - on in with the pripulation ascerthind by the cemsus of 1661 .

Hos. Mr. CLMPBELL-The reason is just this, that there happened to be no census trher in Nenfoundtud in 1861. The last cent us there was some years betore-I think in"1657. The entumated incerese, if I recollect righty, wis based on the juctease which had tifen place duriug the prind between the previous census and that of 1857 ; and, taking that ratto of increate, it was found that the pupulation "t the time of the union, would be duse upon' 130,000 . We therefiure put it at that tigure

Hov. Ma. JURRIE-The honorable genthethan is rightin saying that the last census of Nowfoundland was taken in 1857; but the increase thould have been rectened only for a periud of four yeans, and I cian scarcely be-: hive that Newtoundatad could be entitled to an iucrease of 8,000 in its population in four years giving to that colony the benefit of tour years incredse more than Canada. Our Census was taken in 1561.
-How. Mr. CaMPlibliL-Not at all; we 4t go in with the amounts of our respective topulations estimated at the same time; 130;000 was the extismated population of Now-
foundland at that date. We had no desire to gire Nerfoundland any adivantage. Its popudation was estimated at 130,000 at the time at which the populations of the other provinces wure tiken.
Hon Mr. CURRIE-Perhaps the Hon. Comuissivner of Cruwn Lands wall inform us whether,' in statug the revenues of the various province, . the castous revenue raised on goods exportud from one proviuce to another was taken tuto aceount? "Pruce Edsrard Istaud, in 1801; prid customs duties amounting to $£ 17,769$ sterhug; of that, only $£ 11,096$ Was pind on govds iupported from toreign countries, or countrics other than those which, it is propused, shall form part of this union; so that the people o: that fisland paid only about 70 cunts per hedd in duties on' goods broughtin irom evantries outade the proposed Cuntederation.

Mon. Ma. CAYPBELE-That to you make the twtal custumb revenue of Yriace Edward lahd tor that yeary
Hus. Mit CURKIE-Sereentecn thoosand seven huadrcd ad saxy-ame pounds sterling. Great Bithat uirmbined the hagest proportion of the hifpors; ther Noins seuth; then the Uuited Dintes; then Nive Brunswick.: The
 comary that utheremuntins thin the Bratish Pivinctes, were ell, Uyo, or ibotut twothards of the entue curstuan terenue.

Hun Ua CDMPBELL-I suppose the persua in io was paub bey the best iaturmed about the shte vi', the revenue in Prince Edsard Inhand, wis the siccietary of the Theasury, "hun: Mr. Pupe; and vur éstmate of the heienue of that abad was based on a proted retura iwitch Mr. Hole handed. round ationg the usiabirs of the Conference, intinning us whit a d beea the revenue of
 surles of ye rars beture lsus. In the same way Hun. Mi. Thiley raruished the statement of revenue for Neiw B. unawicke, Dr. Topper for Nows seuthe, and Hon. Mr. Galt tor Cuada: and ou these statciacuts tyrushed by the Maisters of Panace for tue various proviuces the estimattes were bused. I ubserve that the Mbiber of Fia ace, Hon. Mr. Galt, in a speceli delivered elsewliere, pats dowa the total revenay ot Pauce Ediwad Island at 8197,000, all of which is trum customs and excase, suve abuat sjs,ouv:

HoN. Me ALEXANDER-My hon: fricud hum Nasita (Hua. Mr Curare) in his own spaceh stited the reveaue of Priace Codward Laland at $\$ 1053,000$.

HoN SIB E.P. TACHE then rose to wind up the debate, with a general reply. He said:-Hon. gentlemen, I was very anxious that ample opportunity should be given to the members of this Honorable House to express. their opinions on the matter which has been for the last two or three weeks under debate. And now as I see no member disposed to rise, with the riew of offering any further remarks, I think the time has come when the debate may be closed, if such is the pleasture of the House.' I commenced, hon. geatlemen, to take notes-pretty copious notes-with the riew of answering the stitements and arguments of hom. gentlemen who have spoken in opposition to the scheme. But, at the sug. gestion of soine of miy friends, I have takenmy pen and crossed out all those notes(hear, hear)-by way of compromise, if I way so express myself-(laughter)-and in order that I might not provoke further dis cussion. I hope that this sacrifice of minefor it is a sacrifice- (laughter) - will be taken in good part, and that the few remarks I have nuw to make will not be of a nature to protoriko any reply,- In the tirst place, I must answer a question that was put to we, I think by wy hon.. friend from St. Clair Division. (Hon: Mr. Vipal), He said he did not understand exactly what I incant by the province being at the top of an inclined "plane. It is trua that in going over very rapidly the different topics on which I touched, I did not explain that figure very fully. But I stated that the provinca stood in a twofold danger-of being dragged violently into the American Union; and, in the next place, as we stood on an inclined plane, of ssipping down gradaally, and without our being aware of it, into the vortex below. It seems to ue that the thing was plain enough. Still, as I' ama Frevehman, and cannot express myself, in English in the manuer I would like, I thint I should bo allowed the privilege which is conceded to persons belonging to certain foreign nationalities. For instance, they siy that an Englishmin is allowed to speal ouce, an Irishman twice-

AN Hon. MESBER - Three times (Laughter.)

Hon. Sir E. P. TACHÉWell, thre times be it ; that is still better. And a Dutchman us loug as he finds it necessary, until he can tusko himself understood: Well, I wat to have the privilege allowed to the Dutchman. : (Laughter.) As to being drawn violently into the American Union, if this scheme of Confederation does not take plice, it seems to mo that that might be a very pros
bable result of our position. : Suppose war was declared late in antumn; at the close of navi-gation-with the little means we have here of defending obrselves, we would be placed for five months in' a very disagreeable and trying position, hating no opportuaty of obtaining the powerful succour of the Nother Country. (Hear, hear.) That must be so easily understood, that I shall make no further remark upon it. "But, my" statement about the province being placed upon an inclined plane may require some little comment and explanation. I say that, if we do not cultivate with our sister provinces-the Maritime Provincese-a close commercial, political and social inter-course-being all of us British subjects, all of us monarchists, owing allegiance to the sume Crown-if we neglect the cultivation of that intercourse, we ran a great danger. We are, in our present position, small, isolated bodies, and it may probably be with us, as in the physical world, where a large body attructs to itself the smaller bodies within the sphere of its influence If we do not make these alliances with the Lower Prorinces-if we do thot open with theen those communica'tions, political, social, and commercial, which are essential for our own interest,' we shall little by- little lose some of those priticiples we now estecu so much; we shall lose little by little our attachment to the Mother Country, and the interesting reminiscences whịh, with many of us, now give intensity to that attachment ; and we shall become-yod may depend upon it, hou. gentlemen-more and more de-mocratised, betore we are aware of it. (Hear, hear.) And really, hoo. gentlemen, if I were to form my opinion by some of the speechcs which we have heard in this Honorable House since this debate was opened, I think there are some hon. gentlemen why; from the way in which they have expressed theuselves, mịght be supposed to be-although I hope in reality they ure not--ulready half way down the inclined plane. (Hear, hepr and laughter.) Well, hon. gentemen, I say that if we want to avoid that, we must have a Federal union : with our fellow-sabjects of the Britush Proviaces, and that besides we must have - easy means of access to the seaboard, so that, in case of danger, help can be immediately forwarded to Canada and to all pirts of this Federal union; and that we may have a powerful army of Great Britain coming here as an auziliary so the defence which! hope we shall be able to make ourselres: (Hear, hear.) An honorable gentleman has stated that 1 expressed myself to tho effeot
that, if this Confederation did not take place, Canada could not become prosperous. I never said anything of the kind... I suid expressly the contrary. Perhers I may not precisely apprebend the meaning of the woid" prosperous." But I said this, that Cauada had within itself all the means to become populous, to become wealthy; to become a great people. But on the other hand, I said that Canada and the other. British American Provinces; without union, could not become a "powerful," as distinguislied from a pros perous people. I said that we in Caniada could not become a piwerfil people unless we had some maritime elements, uuless we had the "means, by hasing halurs aud ports of our orn open at ail seasons of communicating treely with all the nations of the world. (Hear, hear) 'That is what I said, In ver stated that Canada could not becoine prosperous, make, mioney, and so forth. No; I thiuk Cunadacan do thit; but Canida, even though its population shoull reach forty' millionswhich it may in a century hence-oin never be a powerful nation, unless as poter is felt all over the world; and how cun its power be so felt, unless it hais its senports opear all the year round? (Hear; hear.) And I said"Point out to me the nation in this world which is powerful, that hat not some maritume "elements." I say there is no one in the world. Every nation whose power has' been felt over the globe, has been a nation that had some naritime outlet. But . Canada, situated as it is, is in glest wait oi fice access 'to the sea; aull, as long as we are shat up, during five months of the jear, without being able to coumanicate with the rest of the world - for, notwithstanding our fine river, we camot be side to have a real, manitime element-we are in trath a dependent people. : (Hear, he:ar:) I lave some hotes in Freneli, made with the intention of answering honorable gentemen who spoke in that lanpuage; but I think; having commenced, that I wiil go on iu: Eughish. It has been asked by weveral hourable gentemen how we were to make provision for the protection of the aninorities in Upper and Lower Cdnula respectively. We have in Upper Canda a Catholic minority, in Lower Cunada a Protestant minority. Well, thuse minuities are now in possession of certuin rights; and, if we ivere not to legislate at ah ipon those sights, my interpretation of the scheme is; that they would still, under the local governments, enjoy the rights which they now possess. Biat ii has been provided that, if necessary, addi-
tional protection shall be afforded; and in that case, I say, without hesitation, that what will be doue for one portion of the country will also be done tor the other portivis-justice égale distributive. . Hear, hearr.) Honorable gentlemen have said thatt we have merely submitted the general scheme of the tovernment, and they hise called "upon us to give details-detals about the" school bill, details about the local governments, and the immense string of other detuils embraced in the ameudiment goved the other day by my hon. friend from Grandville (llon. Mr. Letellier de St. Jost i, whichlam sure was at least a fathon long, and a rery long fathom too. (Liughter.) Niws, suppose we had all these matters betore us, could we rually dipiot such a mass of information as how "gent marn hare asked for?". It seems tö, me it would be like introducing liquids into a vesel whose mouth is very small, if you-throw ing the liquid rapudy and in two great quantities, the vessel will be overflowed, and the tluid win't be got into it. I think we have enough before us at present; when we have the psinump matter, without the accesories Fur, whit would be the use of the accessories if you reject the priuciple? (Hear, hear.) "Pependupn it, as soon ats these resolumas are cencurted in, then the details will be gren one after the wther: and I truat "hey" whia be of "such a niture as to nueet with the aprobal' of the majority of this Humebble House silfar, hear., sone hou "enthain have told us that this was not a Federal uifin-that the project beture you; hoo gentiehen.' was 1 m : ponat of tiet a project for a decolintive union. One hon gentlenain who trok this, wew read the '29th section, in order'to shew that the Geberal Government, if it chiose, wuld repeal any ot' the head acts of thic difierent lied legislature that the Geaenal Gowernament: for sasiance, could do a way with our rehymus. and benevolent corporatious, or deprise then of their property. I than the honorathe gentleman must have been rutherphort-inghted when he read the egth resolutua. thir he omitted a very impurtint part of it, and, if he had not onitted that pati, I do pht think he would have said that thes Fedral seh me was really a scheme for a'Lequative uaion. I hate no doubt my honor tbie friend acted in good taith; but being rather short-sighted, he did not real the whale chaner, otherwise be"mut have arribed at'a ditherent conclusiou. The 29 th section says. "The Gencial Yailimaede shall Lave power to make lawis for the peace, welfare and good
gosernment of the Federated Provinces (saving the forereiruty of England), and especialIy haw respectius the following subjects." Then follows a list of all the subjects com. mited to the General Government. But the resulution does not finish there.:" There is something that comes after all that; and it is this: "And gencrally respecting all matters "of a reneral character, not specially and ex clusively reserved fur the local governments "ind legrolatures." Now I would aske honor able gentlemen if an act iucorporating a relighous body or benevolent society here in Lower Canada is a subject of a general character; is it not a subject purely local ? (Hear,' hear.) Take, for instance, the sisters of charity. Could the General Government, under this clause intertere with the privileges of those hidies? I say they could not. I suppose the honorable gentleman who used the aryunient advanced it conscientiously and in good taith. But I think it is quite evident from a reading of the resolution that, if Contederitun take place; the General Government will have no power to interlere with such matters. (Hear. hear.) I must say positively, it,$\frac{\mathrm{am}}{}$ competent to draw any conclusion at all froiu what I read, othat the General-Gorernament" will have no right to meddle at all with thuse religious and benevolent corporations, mate it the world: (Hear, hear.) Limurks have also been made about the laws of" "unvere and marriuge," and the honorable nember for the division of DeLanaudiere. (Hon. Mr. Olvierg) told us that the Conhence hid done well in traisferring tho piow of divoree to the General Government. Qin his purt, I tinuk this was a wise view of theripuestion, and I am glad to have the oppor. tunty of muw tellug him soo. He was, how"bur. very uneasy about the word " marriage." Well, 1 will try to put him right and at his exee on that print, and I will give hini the auswer as I tind it put down in writing; so that un prissibic mensuderstanding may conthate tis exist. . If the honorable geinticuan aill but, the his men, he will be able to note my answer --" The iword " uarriage' bas been insorted to give the General Legislature the aght to dectude whit form of a marriage will bo wil in all parts of the Contederation, without in any way interfering with the rules and preseriptons of the Church to which the contr ceting parties belong:" Another honorable reviteun u-I think the honorable member for DeLorimer (Hon. Mr. Bereay)-asked me if the beneral Goverament would be responsible for the debis contracted by Canada
prior to the Federal union? I replied "Yes, the General Government would be liable for all the debts contracted before this date.". "But," says he, "there are certain sums above the sixty-two and a half millions of dollars which will have to be settled as between. Upper and Lower Canada. And what will become of the amount due to the seigniors? It may be that Lower Canada will repudiate that portion of the debt so allutted her:". Well, I reply, Lower Canada canuot do that, if she were disposed to do so ; but I do not believe that Lower Canada would be dispused to repudiate a debt which she has herself contracted-a debt of honor-a debt which is, as it' were, sacred. 'But even if Lower Canada were disposed to do so, the Giencral Government are liable for the amount of that.debt; and as the General Government has to give to Lower Canada a subsidy of 80 cents perkead, it would, of course, take very good care to substract from the amount ullotted to Lower Canada the interest which is to be puid to the seigniors. (Hear, hear.) So that on that score-I do not know if the hon. gentleman is himself a seignior or not, but he' beems to take a great interest in the seig-niors-the hon. member need not be uneusy at'all:

Hov: Mr. BUREAU-What I stated was, that under the authority of a public act, apecial appropriations have been made for the redeuption of the debt due to the seignior's, and that the putting aside of that act 1 consider an act of repudiation. Then, for the sake of argument I stated this, that you are shewing an example of repudiation. But I added that If you were going to pay to Lower Canaida wh:t you state, for her Local Government; in the event of her refusing to pay the seigniors, probably the General Governnient would reaian suflicient from the 80 cents per head apportionment for that parpose. I do not wish to pash the argument furtier; and I may state that it was only for the sake of argument that I advanced the proposition.
Hon: Sir E. P: TACHE-No law has been repealed-no repudiation tuken place. The seignors, as it appears to me-I may not have understood the law, for I am no lawyer -will have addititional security. That, it seeius to the, is a plain fact. (Hear, hear.) Then the hon member from DoLorimier found a great deal of fault with the manner-I must gay the able manner in which the gailant Kni:'ht (Hon. Sir N. F. Belleac) explained the action of responsible goverament in this country. Thee honurable Knight shewed how
responsible government protected the French Cathölics in Lower Canada under Confederation; sayiog that if ever an act of flagrant injustice was to be attempted in the Federal Government, the whole of the Lower Canadians would join as one man, and by uniting with the minority agaiust the Government-bec:use honorable gentlemen must know that there always will be minorities-by means of thus strengtliening the minority any Administration could be ousted out of their places in twentyfour hours. : My honorable friend stated this, and he stated it justly; he' said so, well aware of what he was saying. But the honorable gentleinan from DeLorimier comes forward and says: "Don't you recollect that at one time the Upper Canadians, with the minority from Lower Canada, united to impose upon Löwer Canada their will?"' I tell you, honorable gentlemen, that they never did harn tô Low r Canada, and that they never could do harm to Lower Caniada had they so chosen. And why? The French had the use of their own language conceded to thein in order to briag them to support the Government, and much more would have been done to accomplish the same end. I'am refeiring now to the Government of the day froin 1844 to 1848 . That Government would have given yoụ, what was passed afterwards, an act to secure to the sufferers the payment of their losses, the Rebellion Losses Bill, They would have given every shilling of those loses, and they would have given you more if you would have consented to become their followers. "The honorable gentleman made out. no case at all, and he could not have studied parliamentary history since 1841 correctly. Had he done so; he would have found that atethat period what was called responsible igovernment was not worked out. Sir charles Bagot, it is true; had lent himself to the views of his adyisers, and responsible government had been going on perfectly under hiim; but then he died here, and honorable gentlemen must understand that Lord Metcalif was opposed to responsible government.

Hon. Mr. BUREAU-Still we had responsible government.

Hon, Sir E. P. TACHE-You had it in name only but not in practice; otherwise Hon. Mr Baldifin and Hon. Mr. Lafontaine would never have left the Cabinet. They resigued their seats in Council because they held themivelves respossible to Parliament, while Lord Aetcalf chose to appoint persons to office without consulting them, as his constitutional
advisers. Well, then, I assert that the case the honorable gentleman has cited to show that my honorable friend on the other side was wrong, is no case at all. It is not applicable in any respect to present circumstances; because, I repeat it again, we had not responsible goverument at that time.
Hon: Mr. BUREAU-We have not responsible government yet, then.

Hon. Sir E. P.TACHE-How does the honorable gentleman make that out ?

Hon.Mr. BUREAU-The honorable gentheman has stated that since the death of Lord Bagot we have not had responsible government:

Hon. SIR E. P. TACHE-The honorable gentleman cannot surely have understood me. I think I said that under Lord Metcalf there' was responsible government in name but not in deed. And if the honorable gentleman will stady our parliamentary listory a little closer, he will admit that such was the case. The consequence was, as I have already stated, theresignation of Hon. Messrs. LafonTaine and Baldwiñ Still the Lower Canadian party was unbroken. It is true the new advisers of Lórd Metcalf coquetted much with that majority to obtain adhesion; but it was in vain. They remained firm to the last; until the general election of 1848 brought back the parties te Parliament in nuch about their natural strength. I have already stated that I have destroyed my notes, and $I$ am ready to await the verdict of this honorable House " ${ }^{\text {Applause, } \text { ) }}$

Hon. Mr. VIDAL said-Honorablegenticmen, as I consider it my duty to vote for the motion now before the Hoase, I think it desirable to clear myself from the imputation of inconsisteney in having supported the amendments which have been proposed, and which the House has rejected." I' may state that my views as to the desirableness of submitting the question to the people are unchanged; the plan has been voted dcwn, but no argument has been adduced to demonstrate that it. was wrong in principle; or likely to destroy' the scheme. I have previonsly expressed my general approval of the Confederation, and that my desire was tó secure its peruanency by having its frupdation broad and deep in the expressed approval of the people. Submisnion of the proposal to them has been refused, and the: only question now for me to decide is. whether I ahould accept the scheme as it is, or vote for its rejection altogether. Uuder these circumstances, I have no difficulty in
deciding that I must support the motion for its adoption. (Hear, hear:)

The question was then put on the main motion, which was carried on the following division :-

Covtivis.- Fonorable Messieurs Alexander, Allan, Amand, Sir N F. Bellean; Bennett, Fergusson Blair, Blake, Boulton, Bösse, Bull, Burn ${ }^{\circ}$ ham, Campbell, Christie, Crawford, De Begujeu, Dickson, A. J. Duchesnay, eE: H. J. Duchesuray, Dümouchel, Ferrier Foster, Gingras, Guévre. mont, Hamilton (Inkerman), Hamilton (Kingston), Lacoste, Leonard, Leslie. McCrea, McDonald, McMaster, Maicphèrsoñ, Matbeson,"Mills, Panet, Price, Read, Renand, Ross, Kyan, Sham, Skead, Sir E. P. Taché; Vidal. and Wilson:-45.
Non-Contexts,-Honorable Messieurs. Aikins, Archambault, Armstrong, Bureau, Chaffers, Car rie, Flint, Letellier de St. Just, Malhint, Moore, Olivier, Proulx, Reesor, Seymour; and Simpson. $-15$

Hov. Sir E. P.TACHE mored, seconded by Hon. Mr. Fergusson Blair, that a select committee be appointed to draft an Address founded on the said resolution, and that the committee be composed of Honorable Messrs. Camprelle, Fergusson Blaik, Ross, Christie, Sir N. F. Belleate, and the Mover.Carried.

The House adjourned daring pleasure ; and on resuming,

Hon. Sir E. P. TACHE reported the Address, and-moved, seconded by Hop. Mri FirGusson Blair, that it be agreed to.- Carried.

It was then ordered that the Address be engrossed, signed by the Speiker, and presented to His Fixcellency the Governor General by the whole House: It was also ordered that such meinbers of the Executive Council as are members of this House, do wait on His Excellency the Governor General, to know what time His Excellency will please to appoint to be attended with the said Address.

The House then adjourned.

## I. EGISLATIVE ASSEMBIY.

## Monday lebruary 20, 1865.

Mr. JOLY said-Mr. Speakee; when it is proposed to change the Constitution of the country, it becomes our daty to study with the greatest care, and from every distinct point of view, the new Constitution which it is proposed to substitute for the existiug one; and in doing so we ought not to diadain the experience of past ages

History is the statesman's safest gaide; it ought to be the basis of all his conceptions; indeed it would be treating its lessons with contempt, were we to attempt to dispose of the future without first knowing how Providence has disposed of the "past. "To make use of a maxim, common, but yet most trathful: "There is nothing new under the sun;"; the history of the world is a constantly, revulving scene; the same events pass and repass, before our eyes undor aspeets varied enough, it is true, to deceive the siuperficial observer, but the "man who thinks and investigates will have no difficulty in discovering that at all periods of the world's history, men have allowed themselves to be controlled by the same motives and hassions, and will arrive at the inevitable conclusion that like canses produce like effects. The honorable ministers, who have unfolded to us the scheme of, Confederation have based all their arguments on the future;'they have tried to prophecy, bat for them the bistory of the past: is a dead letter. Before . attempting to predict the fate of our fatare Confederation, they should first have told us: what had been. the fate of past confederations. It does not suffice to paint a splendid pieture of grandeu. and prơperity'; let it first be asoertained that the foundations on which the editioe is to be erected are sure and proved, aod that establishied, we may then begin to build with safety: As has been said by one of the great professors of political science: "The wisdom of a states man is the result of experience and not of theory." I am by no means astunished, however, at the repugnance evinced by the advocates of Confederation to make allusion th the past. The Minister of Agriculture alout tias had the courage to open the velume of the world's history; and he bastily closed it with the significant remark, especially so falling tom his lips:-

If all the consulitations in which the Federal pronciple has been adopted, it cannot be denied that the same fatal vice is to be discerned-the weakness of the central anchority. Thitis hisis been the fatal disease in all confederations of which I have herrd, or whiose histories I have read. They have died of consumption.
What the Government has not beerf willing to do, I nuw propose to do. Let us take connsel of those nations whioh have adopted federative constitutions, and may the recital of their unhappy experience be of use to us by placing us on our guard ragainst the same
dangers. I propose to cast a brief glance on the history of each Confederation. I do not propose to lay before jou my own' views, and ask you to adopt them, but rather those ot mèn of eminence, who have made the art of good government the study of their lives. I shati indeed- inake, use, as rearly as I posisibly can, of the very language which they have used. Lord Brovgeam, who is listened to with profound respect in the Imperial Parliament, thus expresses his views in. the third part of his work on Political Philosophy:-

Besides the other defects of the Federal union; its manifest tendency to create mutual estrangement, and even bostility, bètween the differeat parts of the same nation, is ari insuperable objection to it.

## And further ou he adds:-

Whoever would see further proofs of this position, may be referred to the ancient common. wealth's of Greece. As a Florentine bated a Siequese worse than a Geŕman or a Spaniard, or even an infidel, in modern times, so of old did an - Athenian hate a Spartan or a The ban worse than a Persian. Now, the Federal union, by keeping up, a line of separation anong its menbers, gives the: freest seope to these pernicious prejudicesfeelings which it is the highest duty of all governments to eradicate; because they lead directly to confusion and war.
Passing from the confederacies of Greece and Italy to those of the Seven Uaited Provinces (uow Holland and Belgium), we there find the same state of things: Lat us hear what Lord Madaulay sags in the first volume of his Histery of England:-
The union of Utrecht, hastily established amid the throes of a revalution, with the view of pró: viding for the exigencles of the moment, had never been considered with calmness, nor brought to perfection in a period of tranquillity. Each one of the Seven Provinces, which this union bound together in one cluster, retained uearly all the rights of sovereignty, and exacted from the Federal Government the most absolute respect of its' rights. As the Federal authorities had no means of entorcing prompt obedience from the Proviaciu: authorities, so these latter were equally powerless as regarded the Municipal authorities.
The advocates of Confederation take pleasure in citing the resalt of the Siviss (or Helvetic) Confederacy as an exception to the disastrons fate awaiting all confederatious; but Switzerland possesses all the germs of this fatal malady, as witness the civil and religious war of the Sonderbund. Here, howover, the symptoms are less violent than in other confederations, on acconnt of its
exceptional position; France, Prussia and Austria are deeply interested in majntaining the cyistence of Switzerland as a neutral and iodependent state-it is indispensable to their safety. Were it nct so, the last hour of the Helretie Confederacy would have sounded long ago. If we pass from the confederations of the old world to those of the nem, we shall fud that the climate of Ame. rica appears to be still more fatal ta confed: erations than that of Hurope. Let' us begin with the Central American Contederacy or Re poblic of Guatemalia: It was established in $18 \% 1$, and was composed of five siates: Guatémala, Honduras, San Salvador, Nicaraga, and Costa Rica In $1 \times 29$, that is to say, iufter an interval of eighteen ycars ouly; Hoviluras set the example by seceding from the Confederation, n example hich was very soon followed by the tour other states, a a 4 that Coufederation has ceased to exist, after a brief existence, in the milst of revilu: tiens and civil wars.: The Confederition of Columbia was furmed in 1819 of the trelve provinces freed by Bolivar from the doundion of Spain. : Atter endless truables and revolutions, they separated in 1831 " (atter an existence of $1 \geq$ years iuto three indepen: dent republics, turugh reunited undre the name of Cunferation of the 1 nited states of Suth A meri. a - New Gremada, Vebezuela and Ecuador. It rold in my hand a gilume of the Anmuire des.Deux hondex, emptilitiag a geveral : history of the diffrent states durng the jears 10503 and 184 . I williot occupy the the f the House by entering inte th : detaly of that hastury; [ shall epitomiz* it by reding a few h ids from the tuble of cortent, to which we find mention made of the principal events in the most succinct form. I read frou this table ay fullows:-"Vepezueli-Gëberu! coodition; of Veni-züela-Lusur rectiot of 18 ō3-In urrection of 1854." One per annua, oue wo mad sombi become used to insurrections and chink but hate of them in that happy country. "Co a!' "pulsory Loans."-I suppuse one may set usi.d to these operations in coarse of tume however disagreeable they may be., At all events, if matters, turn out well with the com: pulsiry borrowers, as I tave no doubt they do, they do not leave enough tu their compulsory oreditors tis make it worth their while to renew the operition aniunally, and thus wee see that compulsory loans are no: eff cted every year in Venezueta with the sawe regularity in which the insurreetions there are carried out. "New Grenada
-movements of parties ; I I nugur nothing good from this movement. "The Golgothas und Draconians"-probably the liberals and. conservatives, who have had the singular taste to assume these villainons titles and who discuss. the question of the day by nusketry practice-"struggles of parties aind threats of military revolution; movenient of 17th April.": Still abother movement": uprising of the 'Provinces;"- here, at all events, we have an unmistakable movement, as to the pature of which there can be no duabt whitever: : "Present "state of the civil war."-In New Grenada cival war tigures. in the:" quotations" just as in Canada.; "mê quute trausactions in flour or lamber; it is their norual condition : I hear an honorable member exctaim "Oh,but they are savages!", They are not sarages; but I am free to contess they behave like savages. This is but the ordinary effect of civil war'; as witness": what is passing amongst our neighbors in the United States. - But let us proceed to another conplederation." Bulivia and Lower Peru formed themxelves into a Confederacy in 1836. This Confederacy was born and lived and died, the whole in three years, between 1836 and 1839, hardly allowing time to begon to write its history. Next we hare the coufederation of the United ProVinces of Rrode la Plata or Argentine Republic, establisbed in 1816, by the union of tourtecai indepéndent provinces. Bociclet, atter haviog referied to the promulgation of the new Constizution, cqusiuuss in the follow. ghgererme:-
This Constitution, howevor, dues not prevent the unted provinces of Rio dola Plata from bentig a prev 10 anarchy. . The federalistis and the antifederalats are continually at war: Nanutac tures there are wutne; and the trade is very humitid. In that same table of contents of the 'An' nuture dés' Deux Mondes, I read * * * "Civill" war aidd talsiog of the siegrot Buenos Ayres--separated Coristitution for Biecoos, Ayres * -Struggle between the partiés, and finaucial di tress-Disturbance (echatuffiouree) of the 1sth July 1803." I suppose this wourd means -otuething half way between a movement and an lasurrection,." Revclution af the 25 th Sept cuber:". Events succeed each other rapidly. "C Civil war-Intervention of Brazil." But all this passed in 1850 and 1854 . It is" ancient histury. Let us look at a few jour. uals of last week or the week before. What do we fiad? Here is a specimen or two: "The President of San Salvadur in bis speech at the opening of the House dulends
himself indignantly against the imputation of a wish to annex Central America to Mexico;" or take another article: "Hostilities have recommenced between the Empire of Brazil and the Republic of Uraguay," oue of the States of the Argentine Confederation. "Paraguay, an ally of U raguay, has also declared war against Brazil. The latter is assisted by the revolutionary party in Uragiay, under the orders of General Flores. A Brapilian fleet assisted by General Flores and the revolutionists of Uragiay; has burned Payganda, the capital of Uraguay * * so that Uraguay is torn at the same time by civil war and a foreign war." This is a "ament"able stite of affairs!" Huw' prudently ministers have acted in omitting all mention of these sad scenes, in asking us to vote for Confederation! They would have spoiled their brilliant picture by too great a depth of shadow." Passy, it" his Mémoire sur les' formes de Gouvernement et les caisses qui les: duterminent, (Mémoires del I Institut, Sciences morales et palitiques, 2 é série, voll. 3,) expreses himself as follows, speaking of all these South Amerioan Confederations:
Seldóm does a year pasa, "iothout fresh rẹbel. lions breakin, out amon, them; very seldom do the heads of the governments rench the legal term of their functions. The presideniships are ephemieral dictatorshipe, the prize off generals who, exiles one day, are at the head of artaies the next, while the states themselves soñetumes confederate, again iadependent, are conatantly changing their forims of goverument atid their aspect.:
Patisy assignis two main causes for these occurreaces. The absence of hourgeneity or cummon origin, and the want of knowledge: As to this' want of knowledge, I must observe that there are few nations in the wortd, if any, the population of which is generally as enlightened as that of the United States of North Amerioa, and yet, at. this very time. we see the dogs ot civil war let loose among them and raging as fiercely as ever they did among the confederate govern:mients of South America. As to the absence of homogeneity talked of by PASBY, if it exists to suoh an extent as to lead to these sad results amiong the confederations of South Americu, in which all the citizens are; without excoption, Catholics, speaking the samo tanguage, and who all within a few years fought side by side against their common enemy, Spain, to achieve their liberty,- if they are deficieut, I say, in homogeoeity, what is the case withr us? Proteatants and Catholics, Frenoh, English.
and Irish speaking two different languages. The strongest bond of union among the citizens of a state is a community of language. and religion. We have neither in commoin. The confederations of Sonth America have both, and yet; as'Passy says, they have not sufficient homogenexity to afford a hope that they can ever live in peace under a federal regime. Mexico was constituted a Confederation in 1824. In 1837 it was united, and the union subsisted till 1846, except three years of dictatorship. In 1846 the Federal principle again prevailed; but disa ppeared in 1853, siuce whioh period the history of Mexico is to generally lnown to need repetition in this place. It is written with the blood of its, citizens. I shall merely mention the United States of North Auierica. I do not pretend - I do not possess the ability -to trace out the real sources of the immense civil war by which they are now rent in pieces. Enough for me to say, that nobudy is to consider slavery as the only cause of the civil strife Tore than thirty years ago upon a question of tariff whice went to protect the manufacturers of the North at the espense of the planters of the South, South Carolina sounded the signal of insurrection, as she since did in 1861 ; and had it not been for the firminess of General Jackson, who overstepped his powers to save his country, the civil contest. would. have conimenced at that time. It was sure to comie on; it was only delayed for a while". These were all trialg of the Confederate system.

Mr: CORNELLIER-All the confederations which you have mentioued were or are r publican, and had the common fate of republican institutions. You have not said a wurd about monarchical confederations.

Ma: JOLY-I have made no mention of monarchical confederations, because none have ever existed, and none cesn exist.." The principlé of a monarchy is that the power residea in ons person; the priaciple of confederation is that it resides in all the members of the contederation. A coutederation would, therofore, always be a republic, even if formed of several states subject to a monarchy; because the power would not be vested in one person, but in cach of the several states, of which no one would ackuowledge a head; it would be a republic cousisting of a very small number of menberg. Before I take leave of all the confederations, the names of which I have mentioned, I intend to say one word, at least, in their favor. We understand that statess
perfectly independent of òne another, and not subjeat to ainy authority bearing equally on them all, may have agreed (notwithstanding the inconveniences of confederation) to becume confederate for the purpose of strengthening themselves to resist a common. enemy. No much they may haye done. But wè do not understand hov provinces like ours, which have no existence independent of each other, but are all subject to the same authority, weed have recourse to confeder-ation for the purpose of cementing a union which already exists. Contederation, by marking wore strongly the lines of demarcation bet ween them, spoken of by Lord Brocgham, reuders any more intimate connection betwien them for the future im pos"sible. We are like bars of iron stringly Welded together, which men should try to anite more strungly to each other by tearing them asuader io reuntie them with shoemaket paste; Some will answer, "True : the Federal priuciple has always nnd in overy case proved a failure, but the catuse lay in the weakuess of the central power. We shall obviate that incuveuience, by establish"Ing a central" power strung enough to pre"serve our c'oufederation truin that danger." "But then it wall the no loing' a Codeder. ation; it will bé a legislatuve union-s union Which the nost zealous advocates of confederation riject as incempatible with the various intereste of the different provinces. If you sucteced in establishong this central power, with strengeth eäourh to bear sway over the lucal powers, the later will no longer hase au exclusive existence; they will becomis the auchorized delegates of the central power, their ufficers aud every vestige. of confederations will disappeai frou your Coustitutiou if you absulutely resolve to adopt the Federal priaciple, yuu canuor do it without adopting at the same cime all its inconvenieuces. The weaktiess of the central power is not the fruat of the Federal system; it is its root, it is itself. This is the reason why states which are perfeotly independent of each other, adopt the Federal princeple solely as a means of defence againat foreigners, Secause the central power in a confederation canuot be other than weak. We already possess, under our preseát Constituticn, and without, confederation, a central power stronger than any power which you can create, and to which we, submit without. complaint, because it is perfectly goinpatible with the existence of our local powers-I
mean the pawer of England. It is excroized by men wholive too far from us to hearken to the bickerings of race or of party, or tabe mixed up with them in any way. But if that central power was wielded by men faken, from among ourvelves, men tho have takien part in our quarrels and animusities, and who would make use of it to give effect to the views of their party it would becone insupportable." As it now exists, we feel it only by the benefits it confers Having thus shown the serious' inconveniences innate in the Federal system, let us see whether there be anything exceptional in ont position, operating in our favor, and allow: ing us to húpe for immanity from those evils. Which have befallen all tormer confederations. What is our position? In what respects is it more favorable than that of other confed. erations? Let us begin with Lower Canada; its population is composed of about threefuurthis French-Canadiaus, and of one-fourth English-Capadians: It is impossible, even for the blindest admiress of the scheme of Confederation, to shut out from their vien this great difference of nationality, which is certaluly fated to play an important part in the destinies of the future Confederation When Lord Durbam wrote his celebrawd report in 1859, he said, when speaking of the Euglish.Canadiaus of Lower Capada:"The Euglish population" will never submit to the anthority of a parliament in which the French have a majority, or even the semblance of a niajority." A little further. on, he added:-" In the siguificant language of one of their most eminent mea, they assert that Lower Canada must become Euglish, even if to effect that object it should be necessary that the province should cease to belong to Eugland." Whatever errurs Lord Derbair may have fallen into ia judgigg the French-Canadians, he certainly cannot be reproached with having shewn too great severity towards the English. Canudians. He imerely depicted their seatiments, as they manifested themsolves in his day. Since then, things havè undergone a ohange And last autumn; at Sherbrooke, the Honorable Minister of Finance presented to us a very different pioture, when he said:-"For five and twenty years, harmony bay reigned in Lower Canada, and the Eaglish and Frecioh populations have entered into a com. pact to labor together to promote the common interests of the country." This pictare is, a true one at the present time, as wos also
that drawn by Lord Durianm in his day; things have changed !.. In the Parliament of the United Canadas, the English are in a majority; they have not to deal with a French majority. But, if circumstances have altered, men have not; place them in the same position in which they were previons to 1839 , and again you will perceive in thein the same sentiments as were depicted by Lord Duriam. The seed lies hid in the soil, it dees not shew itself on the surface; but a few drops of rain are' all that is necessarg to cause it to spring up: ". If such sentiments did not exist between the two natiun. dities, why this resolution, to be submitted $w$ the House by the honorable wember for Missisquoi, which Iam now about to read :-
Resolred, That assuming the Federal system of government to the a political necessity in a innion of the British North American Provinces, any Confederation of those provinces which ignores the difference of race, language and religion of the mhabitants of the respective states or territories wought to be thus united, and is not framed with a viẹw to secure to the inhabitants of such state.ir ternory the management of their own local affairs. ta accordance with their own peculiar views ant ientiments, is unwise and inexpedient, and not conducive to good government or to the peace. and tranquiflity of ihose for whom it is framed:

Resulded; That with a view to sec̣ure to that purtion of the 'inhabitants of Lower Canada' speaking the Einglish language, the free exercise and enjoyment of their own ideas, filstitations and rights, in any proposed Confederation of the provinces, Caunda should be divided into three civil divisions, to-wat: Weatern, Central and Eastern Canada.
At the miere idea of, a legislature in which the Freach element is to be in a majority is: Lower C'anada, the passions described by Lurd Durgam are evinced. It is true that the Ninistry are doing their best to reassure both parties, and to each party, separatcly, they make promises at the expense of the other, French-Canadians!: do not allow yourselves to be led away by those brilliant promises. An Italian poet deseribes the endeavors of a mother to induce her child to swallow a draught, which is intended to restore hitu to health; to tempt him, she covers the edge of the cup with tioney; in like manner, the edge of the cup which is presented to gon has been cóvered with hovey, but instead of containing a health reestoriog draught, that eap centaing poison and death. Ido not believe that the French-Ganadians will abuse the power of their majority in Lower Canada by striving to oppress the EnglishCanadiany; but there are too many points
on which they disagree to allow of their living long in peace together, in spite of their sincere wish to do so, under the system of local government which is proposed to us. The Hovorable Prime Minister said in the Council :-

I belieye the French-Canadians will do all in their power to render justice to their fellow subjects of English origin'; , und it should not he fargotien that, if the tormer are in a majority in Lower Canada, the English will be in a majority in the General Government,' and that no act of real injustice can take place witboatits beiag reversed by the Federal Parliament:
But who is to decide whether any act of the French-Canadiats is really an act of injustice? The Federal Parliament. in "which the English element will be all powerful! In political maters, a disinterested opinion is but seldom come to; the sympathies of the majlority" in the Eederal Parliament will be against us; I see in this the prospect of a position which may prove to be "a most dangeraus ono for us; if the stifife should commence, no one can tell when it. will end.

Dr. BEAUBIEN-I have confidence in the conscience of the Federal Parliament: We ought not to attribute evil intentions to men, but rather suppose that they will treat us as they desire to be treated themselves, with justice, and in a c nobeientiona manner.

Mr. JOLY-Despite the honorable member's. sermon- -1 beg this partion, 1 mean despite the honorable member's observationI ami of opinion that we ought not to leave interests so precious as those which are confited to us to the mercy on men with whom we are not alvays certain of living on' good teruis, without any other guariatee than their conscience. Gontederation by changing the state of things which established harmong between the Euglish and Freach races in L)wer Canada, will destroy that harmony, and the cousequences may be only too easily forescen." In Upper ('anada there is much more homogeneity, and, by co sequence, the danger of iotestine trouble there is much less great; true it is, that the enormous power of the Orangenen and the law respecting separate sohools may give rise to difficulties, but I fear more for the relations of $U$ fiper Ca ada with the other provinces, and especially the Athatic Provinces. Upper Canida objects, in general terius, to the construction of the Iutercolonial. Railway. Itis wish is to see the resourges of the future Cuafederation applied to opening up the immen te territory of the North-West, and to the eularge ment
of its canals. The Atlantic Provinees desire the Intercolonial Railway; but they hold in dread the expenditure which would be entailed by the opening up of the North-West territory aud the erfarmement of the canale: Upper C'anada already fears lest the Atlantic Provinces should unite with I ower Canada against ber; the Erench-Canadians fear for their nationality; threatened by the English majo:ity from the other provinces, and yet Confeduration so far only exists as a scheme. If our relations with the other provinces are not at present very intimate, at least there is nothing hostile in them. We regard them with interest and frie odship as members of one and the same family with ourselves We all grow together under the shelter of the English flag, and in case of war with the United States, we are all ready to unite in our effortis, in good faith, for our coumon defence. "But when the different provinces shall meet together in the Federal Parliament as on a field of battle, when they have there contracted the habit of contending with each other to cause theirown interests; so varivus and so incompatible with each other, to prevail, and when, from repetition of this und ying strife, jealousy and inevitable hatred shall have resulted, our sentiments ${ }^{\circ}$ towards the other provitices will be no longer the same; "and" should any" great danger, in which our safety would depend upon our unite- condition, arise, it would then perhaps be found that our Federa! union had been the sigual' for our disunion.' "In such a position the greatest danger wald result from the neighborhood of the United States. a nation which for a long time has looked vi our rrovinces, with a covetons eye, and which has an immense army which the end of the war, probably not far distant, will leave without ccupation.,: They will follow up our political struggles closely, will encuarage th discontented, and will soon find an opportunity for interfering ia our'internal affairs, beiog called in by the weaker party; history is tull of similar occurrences. $\because$ The Attorney General for Loweric anada preteads that the opponents of Coufederation desire annexation to the United States. If iud it dutficult to believe in his sine rity whon he expresses that opiaion; it .s usually by such argoments as this that he replies to his opponentes when he has no other auswer to make th m . One of the most justly respected "men in Lower (Canada, a mau who enj.ys nniversal esteem,: Mr. Ceeraiéá, tho had
long:withdrawn from public life, determined, despite his repugnance to cutering the lists; to raise his voice in order to warn his fellowcountrymen against the daingers of the Confederation project. The purity of his motives could not be questioned; being connected with no political party, ha was perfectly disinterested ia the course the tork It appears to me that the opinion of such ${ }^{\text {a }}$ man deserved at least a respectful hearing. Instéad of answeting his argument, ithe honorable the Attorney Geacral attempted to make him the laughing stock of this House. The Government stifles the voice of thase who wish to enlighten the people; but it takes upon itself the task of enlight. ening them. Here is a work "in favor of Confederation" "publighed in 1855 ; entitled: L' C'nion des Provinc s' de l'Amérique Brilannique du Nord, par l'Mon: Joseph Caus. chon, nembre de Pirlement Canadien, a Rêdacteur en:chef du Journal de Québec; and álso author of a work published in 1858; "against Copfederation." If the Govern. ment were generous, they woutd distribatg the work of 1858 at the sume tine with that "of 1865 ', in ordur to afford to every one the advantage of a choice, more particul rly as the honorable author caninot be right in both. la bringing these two works into contrast, I do not wish to make a perseoal attack oo the honorable nember; the faet that he first wrote ngainst Cunfederation and then in favor of it, is periecily foreiga to the debate. I should not have mentroued the matter, were it not that the Government make use of the xwurk of 1865 (the s cond) in order to propagate in every direction their doctrines on Cunfederation; they, are distributing et ongands of copiess of the work throughouk Lower Canada, and in order to taf rence the Engliehspeaking population, thes are baving it translated into English. It is, therefore, right to warn the people that they must distrust the arguineats contained in that book; they are diametricaliy opposed to the opinions enunciated by the uuthor in his work of 18 iss, in which the says, in expres terms, that the consequences of Confederation would be the ruin of Lower Canada. Of course the author, in bis' work of 1865, attempts to explain his change of upizion; it is nove the less true that he was wrong either in 1858 or else in 1565 -which'? It ina'y be said in behalf of the book of $180^{\circ}$ that it is four times thicker than the other; this pertaps may seem a disadvantage to the
minds of some readers. The Government, tnowing well how much the people fear direct tazes, tell them that Confederation involves them in no such risk. What new method are they going to invent then for raising money? It is perfectly clear that Confederation will largely, increase our ex: penditure. Then, for instance, Canada, which has now but one Government to maintain (and it is as much as she can do to maintain it), will have three to maintain, or nearly so: the Government of Upper Canada, the Government of Lower Canada, and nine twelfths of the Federal Government; it will be the same as regards the legislatures. Canada, with a population furming nine twelfths of the Confederation, will have to build nine-twelfths of the Intercolonial Railway; in place of the five-twelfths she was to have been charged with, under the arrangements of 1863 . With reference to the opening of the allbut boundless territory of the North.West, and the construction of the fortifications which are spoken of only in whispers as yet, lest we should become alarmed, it is impossible to calculate the expenditure these works will involve.: And, in face of this increased expenditure, our chief source of revenue is to be considerably diminished.: I refer to the import castoms duties:' Here is the justification offered by the Minister of Finance for the reduction :-
It is erident since the Atlantic Provinces consume a far larger quantity of articles paying import duties than we do, that we shall be com. peiled, in order to assimilate all the castoms tarifa, to diminish the import duties we pay in Canda. The Allantic Provinces cannot adopt a customs tariff so high as ours.
I think I have shown that our expenditure mast infallibly increase; and as our revenue will diminish, to what nev tax will the Governiment have recourse in order to make up the defieit? We are told that Lower Canada will have a revenue of nearly a million and a half to meet her local expenditure; with what shall we meet our proportion of the Federal expenditure, which will be far larger? But I shall now deal with the adrantages which we aro told must certainly result from Confederation. They may be divided into three olasses-political, military and commercial The hooiorable member for Montmorency tells us that we are to have the adrantage of a seat at the banquet of nations. The perspective is a highly flattering one, I admit, but we must be
permitted to take a commonsense niew of 'it. . The Honorable Minister of Finance, faithful to the doctrine that the greatness of a State is proportioned to the greatness of its debt, announces to us that our oredit will be considerably increased, and that wis shall be enabled to borrow much more extensively than we have hitherto done, a prospect at which he seems greatly to rejoice This facility of borrowing is not always an unmixed good; but it must be remembered that our credit/will depend entirely on the success of our Confederation. If it should not succeed, if any serious difficulty should arise within it-a thing which is possible - public opinion will bo more prompt to take alarm, in that our Federal form of government does not afford strong guarantees for the maintenance of order and peace, and our credit will soon bo worth less than the credit of a siogle province is worth to-day:. The Honorable the President of the Counoil enumerated all our provinces, comparing one after another, as regards superficial extent, with the great states of Eu. rope -He finished with the Hudson's Bay territory, stating that it is as large as Earopean Rusija; but will it "ever be capable of supporting, like European Russia. a population of sizty millions, and feeding; with its surplus corn, a great part of Europe? The vastness of territory in which the honorable minister takes so much pride is precisely what inspires me with uneasiness; we shall have the outward form of a giant, with the strength of a child; ;we shall be unable to 'stand up. Hasty and premature growth is as fatal io states as it is to men; a state should extend its limits only in proportion as its strength inoreases. "The Roman Empire did not attain in a day'its colossal proportions; its growth, like that of the oak. was slow but sure. Let us-not allow ourselves to be dazzled by the ambition of becoming all at once a great people; the United States are a great people, but where is the people, however small it may be, that now envies their greatness? Let us be content with our lot.; few nations have a better one. The territorial formation of the future Federation will aliso be an insurmountable obstaole to the establishment of a strong goveriment ; it amounts to a deformity. I give the following passage in support of this proposition :-

What may the geographical advantages of the Union be 8 We speak more as regarids the future than as regards the present. If the provinces is
is proposed to unite were grouped in a compact mass as are the majority of the states of the American . Lnion, it their geographical position were such that they needed one another in order to prosper, in order to attain an outlet. on the sea, we should say - here, at all events, is a motive for the sucrifices demanded of uis. But no, they are scattered over the surface of the Gulf. The nearest to Cauada, New Brunswick, is connected with us solely by a narrow strip of territory at moŝt but a few; leagues in width, and bordered throughout by the menacing frontier of the American. Union.: And eren at this moment, pending the carrying out of the works of improvement we have just referred to, the shortest route from the provinces to Canada is by way of the United States. While the union of the Canadas was odious in its formula, it was at all events justifiáble in a geoizraphical point of view ; : Cpper Canada required the use of the St. Lawrence ig order to reach the sea, and the two provinces together form a compact body, a fact which is the strongest possible condemnation of the Constitutional Act of 1791 , and on which they were separated.
If the readers of the work published by the Hon. Mr. Cavoion, in 1865, in favor of Confederation, desire to know where I found that passage, I answer, in the work published by the Hon. Mr. Caucaon, in 1858. It is probably the portion of the honorable gentle man's work of 1858, which he will find it most difficult to get over. He may, indeed, allege in explanation of his change of opinion on other points, that the political position is altered, that our relations with the provinces and our neighbors of the United States are no longer the same; but I apprehend he will hardIf go the length of asserting that the geograph:ical configaration of the country is changed. He will perhaps endeavor to show that the Intercolonial Railway, the construction of which forms part of the plan of Confederation, will obviate the defects of our geographical position ; but I would remind him that in 1858 , when he wrote his first work, the building of the Intercolonial Railway was proposed as it is proposed now; this will appear from the passage I have just quoted: "And at this moment, pending the carrying out of the improvements we have just referred to, the shortest way to come from the provinces to us is by way of the United States." Mr. Speaksa; with the best possible desire to assist the honorable gentleman; I find it utterly impossible to extrioate him from his unfortunate position, and I shall not make the attempt. The Hon. Attorney General promises us that Lower Canada will bey the sun of the Confederation. Since we cannot find a comparison on this poor earth em-
blematic of our future greatness, let us bor. row one from the heavens at the risk of losing ourselves in the clouds with the advocates of Confederation; I propose the adoption of the rainbow as our cmblem." By the endless variety of its tints the rainbow will give an ex cellent idea of the diversity of races, religions, sentiments and interests of the different parts of the Confederation. By its slender and elungated form, the rainbow would afiord a perfect representation of the geographical coinfiguration of the Confederation. By its laek of consistence-an image without substance -the rainbow would represent aptly the solidity of our Confederation. An eniblem we must have, for every great empire has one; let us adopt the rainbow. Mr. SPEAKER; the fact of our provinces being all at once erected Into a Confederation will not give us a single additional man; battalions canuot be made to spring forth from the earth, armed from head to foot,' by a stamp of the foot as in the mythological ages. The Hon. Attorney General for Lower Canada has developed a plan of strategy which I take the liberty of seri: ously recommending to the Commander-inChicf: The honorable gentleman sums up in the following terms the advantages of the Confederation in' a military poipt of view: "When we shall be united, the enemy will know that if he attacks any part of our provinces, the Island of Prince Edward or Canada, he will have to meet the combined forces of the Empire." There was no need of the Conifederation to convince our neighbors of that; they are, as a gencral rule, sufficiently sharwitted to discover, without being told it, that if they content themselves with attacking us at a single point at a time, of course they will have to meet all our strength. Would it not be well to enter into a contract, binding them to attiack as at a single point only at one time -say Quebee? We might in fact, give them the free use of the Grand Trunk Railsay to bring their troops to Point Lévis. Of what benetit to the United States would be their vast armies, their great fleats, their abundant means of transport in every direction, if they were to attack us only at one point at a time, as the Hon. Attorney General seems to hope? In the war of 1812, they attacked us simultaneuusly at different points, though their troops were far- less numerous in proportios to ours than they would now be in case of war, and ghough their mēans of traasport were then fir inferior to what they now are: Nowfoundland, Prince Edward Island, Nova Scotia, New Brunswick arn Canada, would
be attiaoked simultaneously, and each province at different points. The provinces will help one' another susficiently if each of them can maintain the integrity of its own territory, so that the encmy may not be eizabled to take the adjoining province in flank; in the same way that a soldier in line of battle assists his comrade at his side by simply keeping his orin. place in the ranks. We do not need Confederation to give us that unity which is indispensable in all military operationsunity of headship. A commander-in-chief will direct the defence of all our provineses; he will formard troops; and, if he can, vessels of war; to the points most seriously threat. ened, and will assist 'each province to defend the post which Providence has already asigned to each in our long line of battle. Moreover, in the event of war with the United States, if we were to trust to numbers me should be sadly disappointed. What we need above all is enthusiasm; our citizen soldiers must be convinced that they are risking "their lives for somethitg worth while; that they are happier in being under the flag of England than they could be under that of the Uuited States, and that they must lose by an eschange.' In the present position: of the Uiited States it is not difficult to make them understand that; the taxes alone with which the Americans are now crashed down, and of which the vast volume is growing from day to day, suffice to shew, at a first glance, how far our position is saperior to theirs' in a material point of view. But if, in order to meet the extravagant expenditure the Confederation must bring with it, the people find themselves taxed beyond their resources, the Governient need not be surprised, if they should erer appeal to the courage of the people and call upon them to meet the enemy, to receive the answer the old man got frou his donkey in Lafontane"s fable. When, at the approach of the enemy, the old man wished to mount and thy, the donkey refused to bear him, and commenced the following dialogue with his master:-
Me fera-t-on porter double bat, double cliarge? Non pas, dis lo vieilland, qui prit d'abord le large.
Et que m'importe done, dit l'ane, a qui je sois?
Sauvez-rous, ef me laissez paltre.
Notre onnemi, cleat notre maltre,
Je rousi le dis en bon françois."

- On. me doublo burthen do 904 think thoy will lay ?"
"Not so," said the old many, ore he toduled away.
$\because$ Then, what odds," cried tho donkey, "to whom I belong?
You anay taxoto your heele and leavo mo to fced. The duakey'a real oqemy js his ow a maater's greed, And I tust you'li admit thes the argumeat's atrong."

LAFONTANE, it will be seen, found means, two hundred years ago, of saying serious things in a laughing way. If the Government treat the people as a beast of burthen, to be pitilessly overladen, the people will one day make them tho same answer that the donkey made to his master, in Lafontiane's'fable. Lord Bacon, in his essays, expresses the same thought in more serious terms. But apart from purely material interests, which are nevertheless highly important, for happiness. and poverty rarely go hand in hand, there are other interests of a higher order which rouse the courage of a people and sometimes render it capable of sustaining the most unequal struggles. Deprive the French Canadians of their nationality, and you deprive them of the enthasiasm which would have doubled their strength. I concur with the Governiment in their desire to form more intimite commercial relations between the different provinces; but when it is attempted to use the immense advantages which would result from these relations as an overwhelming argument in favor of Confederation, it is as well to form' a proper appreciation of those advantages, and' see whether we cannot secure them without Confederation. The Gulf Provinces possess timber, cobal and fisheries; our own two great articles of export are timber and wheat. With regard to timber, the Gulf, Provtinces have no more need of ours than we of theirs. As to coal we import from England what we need for our present wants, in ballast; on board the numerous ships which come here for our timber, and we thus get it cheaper than we could import it from the Gulf Provinces. When this supply becomes insufficient to meet our growing wants, it will be necessary to look somiawhere for a supply of coal. If the Lower Provinces can furnish it to us at cheaper rates than we can get it in the United States, we shall buy it from them: Upper Canada will probably get its coal from the Yennsylvania mines, which are in direct communication with Lake Erie, on the north shore of which the richest and most thickly settled portion of Upper Canada is situated: As regards fisherics, Canada has a stock of fish in its waters sufficient not only to supply all its own requirements, but to enable it to export largely from Gaspé to Europe. Now as to wheat. The Honorable President of the Council told us that in a single year the Atlantic Provinces paid $\$ 4,4 \mathbf{4 0 , 0 0 0}$ to the United States for flour, and that a portion of that flour came from Upper Canada; and the honorable gentleman asks why stiould not we
ourselves sell our flour to the Lower Provinces? For the simple reason that, instead of having to pay four millions four hundred and forty-seven thousand dollars to the United States, they would have to pay us five millions of dollars, and they would therefore refuse to buy from us. There is no such thing as sentiment in matters of business, men buy in the cheapest market. The Gulf Provinces will buy their flour from the United States so long as they can obtain it at a lower price there than in Canada; and the fact that they do obtain it cheaper from the United States is clearly demonstrated by their buying from the Americans and not from us. But a single glance at the map will account for the difference in price. I do not believe that the Intercolonial Railway: can be advantageously employed for the transport of flour from Rivière da Loup to Halifax; the cost of transport over five hundred miles of railway would be too great; the water route must therefore be adopted. Kingston and Halifax are in the same latitude, between the 44th and 45 th parallel. From Kingston the 'St. Lawrence flows undeviatingly towards the north-east, and falls into the Gulf in the 50th degree of uorth latitude. From that point, in order to reach the Gut of Canso, you must not only make five degrees of southing, but also make ncarly three degrees of longitude to the east, and then nearly three more towards the west before reaching Halifax. Moreover, the navigation is more or less dangerous throughout: When you compare this circuitous route with the far more direct one of the United States, it is quite casy to understand why the United States can sell even our wheat to the Gulf Provinces at lower prices than we ourselves are able to do. I have attempted to reduce the commercial advantages we are promised to their proper proportion. I will now endeavor to show that we can secure every one of these advantages without the Confederation. I I shall cite; for that purpose, the very words of the Honorable Minister of Finance:-

[^6]trade with the Gulf Provinces? In support of this view, I shall quote the work of the honorable member for Montmorenoy, not that of 1858 , but that of 1865 , written in favor of Confederation, pages 32 and 33 , where he shews in the most conclusive manner that we have no need of Confederation to improve our commercial relations with the Gulf Provinces: It is under this head of commercial advantages that the Intercolonial Railway fitly comes in. The Honorable. President of the Council tells us that he is favorable to Confederation, because it will give us a seaport at all seasons of the year-a most powierful argument, he adds, in its favor. We stand in great need of a seaport in the winter season, more especially if the United States abolish the right of transit. Absolutely, without reference to that, we require it in order to perfect our system of defence. But is Confederation necessary in order that we may build the Intercolonial Railway? Certainly not. The hon. minister, in the same speech, gives an answer to the representatives from Upper Canada complaining that the Intercolonial Railway is to be built before any scheme is entertained for opening up the North-West Territory,-"The reason is that the neces sary means of constructing the Intercolonial Railway are already secured to us by the guarantee of the Imperial Government, which will cnable us to obtain money at a very advantaceous rate" of interest:" These means were secured to us a long time since, long before the 'question of Confederation was agitated. I see also in a report laid before the House in a return to an address moved for last year by the Honorable Minister of Agriculture, that as moon as it became known in England that Mr. Fleviing had been appointed to report upon a plan for the Intercolonial Railway, two offers were at once made for the building of "it, uncalled for by os. One is contained in Mr. C. D. Archirald's letter of 27 th August, 1863, and the other in that of Mr. C. J. Beydeies of 4th March, 186.t. Our credit is good enough to procure us the means of building the railway without having recourse to Confederation. To sum up all in few words: all the advantages are negative, that is to say, Confederation will do no harm to our interests, military or commeercial, but neither do they require it. As to the inconveniences of which it may be productive, I leave them to the judgment of the House, who will decide whether they are positive. I amasked: "If you will have nothing to do with Confederation, what will you have?

I answer, we would remain as we are: That, I am told, is impossible, in our present position with respect to Upper Canada. The Hon. Premier, in introducing the scheme of Confederation to the Legislature, said;- $\%$ At the time these measures were resolved upon, the country was bordering on civil strife, and he would ask if it was not the duty of both sides to do all they could to prevent the unfortunate results which would have followed." All the ministers following him, used expressions of the same tenor, nothing caring for the: incalculable wrong which they were doing to the country, they whose duty it was to watch for the preservation of its good name, and the safety of its interests. How will the world be astonished, who look upon Canada as one of the most favored countries on earth, in which the people enjoy more liberty and more perfect tranquillity than is to be found in any other -how will they be astonished to hear that we are "a country bordering on civil strife?" How will such tidings affeet our credit? The 'world will not understand the motives of our ministers in painting the condition of our country in such gloomy colors. It will not be aware that they must have Confederation to keep their places, and that this threat of war is uttered for the nonce as an unanswerable argument to force us to accept it. What a discrepancy there is between this declaration of the Ministry that we are "bordering on civil strite," and the opening of the Speech from the Throne, which expresses "thankfuluess to a beneficent Providence for the general contentment of the people of this province," or the address voted by the Liegislature in answer to the Speech from the Throne, which is the faithful echo of this grateful sentiment! What would the urembers of the Ministry have said if a nember had risen to move an amendment to the Address in the words made use of by the Hons Premier, "That tho country is bordering on civil strife, and that-therefore the House cannot aduit that there is general contentment amoug the people?" It is on reasons widely differing from these that the Speech from the Throne takes ground in recommending the adoption of the scheme of Confederation. Bat are we really bordering on civil strife? Of course it is representation based on population which is the exciting eause. Do the people of Upper Canada demand representation based on population as a condition sine $q^{\prime \prime a}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ non of the contination of our peaceful relations with them? Has this desire to obtain representation based on population taken
such deep root ip the bosom of Upper Canada, that it is ready to plunge us and itself into the horrors of civil war in order to achieve it? Or is not representation by population rather one of those polifical clap-traps which ambitious men, who can catch them no nther way, set to catch the heedless multitude? We, Lower Canadians, who at this distance cannot judge of the sentiments of Upper Canadians by our own observation, must depend for the formation of our opinions respecting them on the Upper Canada newspapers, and on the speeches of their members. in this House. They are the only sources of information whish we possess. Well, in 1862, we saw the Upper Canada leaders, except the President of the Council; who was wise enough to keep aloof, who are at the same time connected with the principal newspapers there, either as proprietors, editors' or co-editors, accept office under the Macdonald-Sicotte Government, the fundamental principle of which was equal representation of the two sections, a principle which entitled it to the cordial support of Lower Canada. : These gentlemen we saw reëlected, notwithstanding their abandonment of their principles; and we found them voting against representation by population. From this I conclude that Upper Canada is much more indifferent, and its leaders much less sincere touching this question of the representation, than they would have us believe. Were it otherwise, Upper Canada would have taken the opportunity, afforded by the election, of punishing the men who had betrayed her. But who are those two " men who now pitch their voices in harmony (formerly so discordant) to predict civil war, if we do not vote for Confederation? " They are the Attorney General for Liower Canada, and the President of the Council (Hon. Messrs. Cartier and Brown!)-the one demanding representation by population, the other refusing it :"both took their stand as the champions of their sections, and became their chieftains respectively. When they found out that that game was unprufitable to both, as the President of the Council seemed to be excluded for ever from the ministerial benches, and the Attorney General could not maintain himself in his position on them, the Attorney General gave way: he agreed to representation by population, trying to disguise it under the name of Confederation; and to reward him for this complaisance, the President of the Council saved him-hini and his, colleaguesand condescended to take a seat among them. They hold over us a threat of civil war to
force us to ratify their bargain. " . There is only one man in Canada who could have done what the Attorney General for Lower Canada his done, and that man is himself. Thanks to bais energy, to his intimate acquaintance with the strong and the weak points of his fellow-countrymen, the Attorney General for Lower Canada has succeeded in attaining an elecration which no one can dispute with him -that of chicf of the French "Canadian: nationality. To attain this eminence, he has crushed the weak, cajoled, the strong, deceived the credulous, bought up the venal, and exalted the ambitious; by turns, he has called in the accents of religion and stimulated the clanour of interest - he has gained his end. When Lower Canada heard of his alliance with the-Presideut of the Council, there arose trom all quarters one universal cry of indignation. "He managed to convert the cry of anger into a shout of admiration. When his scheme of Confederation becaue public, a teeling of uneasiness pervaded all minds; that instinct forewarned them of the danger which impended. : He has hustied that feeling to a sleep of profound security. I shall compure him to a man who has gained the unbounded contidence of the public, who takes advantage of 'it to set up a Savings Bank, in which the rich man deposits his wealth, "and the day laborer the small amount which he hais squeczed out of his wages, against a day of need-both without a voucher. When that man has gathered all into his strong box, he finds an opportunity to purchaso, at the cost of. all he holds in trust. the article on which he has long. set his ambitious eye ; ánd he buys it, unhesitatingly, without a thought of the wretches who are doomed to ruin by his con-: duct. The deposit committed to the keeping of the Attorney General is the fortune of the French-Canadians-their nationality. That fortune had not been made in a day ; it was the accumulation of the toil and the savings of a whole people in a whole century. 'I'o prolong the ephemeral existence of his administration' for 'a few months, the Attorney General has sacrificed, without a soruple, this precious trust, which the unbounded confidence of his fellow-countrymen had confided to his keeping.

Hon. Mr. Caktier-Ind what have I received in payment for that?

Mr. JULi-A salary of tive thousand dollars per annuin, and the honor of the position.

Hon. Ma: CAKTIEL-That is notenough for me.

Mr. JOLY-I am well aware of it ; that
is why the honorable member is desirous of extending the circle of his operations." But he will not long enjoy the fruits of his treason; by crushing the power of the FrenchCanadians he has crushed his own, for upon them his existence depends. Does he believe in the sincerity of the friendship of the Liberals of Upper Canada? They fought with him for too long a time to allow of the existence of any sympathy between them and him, and now he has lost even their respect. They consented to ally themselves, with him in order to obtain their object-representation by population; but when they no longer stand in need of him, they will throw him aside like a worn-out tool. I look upon this threat of civil war as resembling a farce played by two conirades; they slout out to us, .. Take care, we are going to fight; we shall do some mischief if you don't hold us."
Do not put yourselves out of the way to stop them; you need not be alarmed, they will not fight. It is also said to us, "See how" many changes of Mivistry there have been since 1862; can such a state of aftiars continue any longer?"' I am free to admit that all those changes must have been very unpleasant for the different ministers who have succumbed under them, but has thé country suffered much by them? The condition of the finances of a nation is the touchstone of its prosperity. In 1862, the Minister of Finance, before reaigning, deelared a deficit of five millions one huadred and fifty-two thousand dollaris (page 20 of his speech) ; for the year ending the 30 th June last, there was a surplus of seveni hundred and fifty thousand dollars. If all these changes of ministries had not taken place, it is impossible to say how large the deficit would have become by this time, as for several years previous to $186 \%$ it had gone on steadily jncreasing. :These twu reasons advanced by ministers are merely intended as a veil to conceal the true motive for this complete rovolution in our Constitution; that true motive is simply a desire on their parts to remain in power. Withont wishing to enter into all the details of the measure proposed to the House, which have been so ably handled by the honorable member for Hochelaga, more especially those relating to the Legislative Council, there are some which I cannot pass over in silence. The following are the paragraphs of the resolutions of the Quebec Couference which regulate the organization of the Lower House of the Federal Legislature, principally in respect of the num-: ber of representatives:-
17. The basis of representation in the House of Commons shall be population; as deternined by the official census every ten years; and the rumber of members at first shall be 194 , dis:tributed as follows:

Nova Scotia: $\quad \therefore . . . . . . . . . . .$.
New Brunswick:. .....................
Newfoundland .................
Prince Edward Island ............. 5
13.' Until the otticial census of 1871 has been made up, there shall be no change in the number of representatives from the several sections.
19. Iminediately after the completion of the census of 1871, and immediately after every decennial census thereafter, the representation from each section in the Huase of Commons shall be readjusted on the basis of population.
20. For the purpose of such readjustunents, Lower Canada shall always be assigned sixty-five members, and each of the other sections strall at each readjustment receive, for the ten years then nest sacceeding, the number of members to which. it will be entitled on the same ratio of reprosentation to population as Lower Canada will eajoy according to the "census, last taken by baving sisty-five members.
21. No reduction shall be insde in the number of members returned by any section, unless its population shall have decreased; relatively to the population of the whole Uinion, to the extent of tive per centum.
22. In computing at each decennial poriod the number of members to which each section is entitled no fractional parts shall be considered, unless when exceeding one-half the number entitling to a member, in which case a member shall be given for each such fractional part.
I object to the 21st clause, becanse it contains provisions which are unjust to Lower Canada. The full scope of that clause is not generally anderstood; that proportion of five per cent. appears to be a very small affair, and yet, under certain circumstances, it might produce considerable results, which are not taken into consideration in the explanations given on that subject in the work written by the Honorable Mr. Caucuips, which the Government has caised to be distributed (pages 72 to 87 ). It is difficult to foretell what the exact numerical increase of the several provinces will te from the present time to the next census. in 1871. The Honorable Mr. Cauchon assumes, as the basis of his calculations, a rate of thirty per cent. Let us suppose the caso to prove that in all the provinces (with the exception of Lower Canada) the population increases by thirty per cent. between 1861 and "1871, and that that of Lower Canada increases by thirty-four per cent. It may, parhaps, be objected to this that it is improbable. My reply is, that when we are discussing a scheme
of such importance as that which is now under our consideration, we should provide for all possible contingencies; but this one is far from being impossible if the predictions of the Minister of Finance and the Attorney General, who promise to Lower Canada so brilliant a future under the Federal system, are fulfilled. If Lower Canada becomes the heart of the commercial life of the Confederation; if the mines of copper, lead; silver, and gold which we have lately discovered should produce the same results that they produce everywhere else; that of attracting a great influx of population; I cannot be accused of any very great exaggeration in supposing that the population of Lower, Canada may; between the years 1861 and 1871, increase by four per cent. more than the popalation of the other provinces. In the case which I have supposed the in crease would be as follows:-


According to this calculation, Lower Canada would have, in 1871 , a population of 1,488 ,289 souls, which would have to be divided by 65, that being the invariable number of representatives assigned to Lover Canada, in order to ascertain what will be the number of constituents for each representative in thie Federal Parliament; the result will be found to be 22,896. Upper Canada would have a population of $1,814,918$ souls, which, divided by 22,896 , would give her seventy-nine representatives instead of eighty-two. Nova Scotia would have a popalation of 430,14 souls, which would give her ninetcen representatives as at present (eighteen and a fraction over the half). Nèw Bruniswick would have a popu: lation of 327,661 souls, which would give her fourtcen representatives instead of fifteen. Newfoundland would have a population of 169,000 souls, which would give her. seven representatives instead of cight. Prince Edward Island would have a population ôf 104,984 souls, which would give her five members as at present (four and a fraction over the half). It will be seen that if the five other provinces were represented on the same scale as Lower Canada, they would, in 1871, lose among them five 'members; but as the total population of each will not have decreased by five per cent., relatively to the total population of the Confederated Provinces,
there will be no reduction in the number of their representatives, in accordance with the provisions of this 21 st clause." It is the interest of Lower C Canada, more than of any other province, to watch with a jealous eye over the mechanism adopted for the organization of the Federal Legislature. In case of a vital question arising, we should have to ${ }^{\circ}$ counteract the votes of these five members (who ought, in justiee, to be deducted from the representation of the other provinces) by those of five of our members, whose votes would thus be lost to us, as would also be the weight which their five united counties, with a total population of 114,480 (or 22,896 for each county), would throw into the scale. Other combinations of circumstances - might arise which might prove even more disadvantageous to us. This subject naturally leads me to address myself to my French Canadian colleagues; I fear that my remarks may not be wedl received by:all, but Ihope that honorable members will be good enough to excuse my frankness in consideration of the great importance of the question. I have no rigtt to maintain that all those who are favorably dis posed towards Confederation are not acting in good faith; it is not my wish to reproach them for acting according to their convictions, but in'so acting they should not forget the duties which their-charge imposes on them: It-a well known fact, that when the scheme of Confederation was laid before the public, "all the newspapers, and most of the members who support the Administration; declared themselves in favor of the scheme, but, 'in nearly every instance, with' an express reservation of the right to introduce certain amendments which they considered indispensable. But the Honorable Attorney General for Upper Canada declared, some days ago, that the Government would accept no amendment, and that the resoln"tions must be adopted exactly in the shape" in which they were brought down. Are honorable members going to submit to this deceree? Is it not their intention at least to make an effort to have those amendments, which they looked upon as indispensable, adopted? Their position in relation to the Goverament confers. upon them an influence which they can never exert more usefully than at present; it is. their duty to exert that influence; ; they are responsible for the results of this measure, which cannot be adopted without their conesrrence: Their principal argument in support of Confederation is that we have now an excellent opportunity of obtaining favorable
conditions-an opportunity which will proba. bly nerer occur again, and one of which it is their duty to avail themselres. But have the honorable "members made those conditions? Have they taken as great precautions to preserve "intact the interests of nearly' a million French Canadiàns entrusted to their care, as they wóld have taken in making an agreement for the sale of a farm, or even the purchase of a horse ? Have they made any conditions at all? If they have made no conditions, do they at loast know what the fate is that is reserved for us? Do they know the nature of the form of Government which will be imposed on Lower Canada? Can they say, whether we shall have Responsible Gorernment? No ! for the Ministry refuses to speak " it will only speak when the measure' of Confederation shall have been adopted, àd. when it is too late to raise any objections. Responsible government would not be a very efficacions remedy for the evils which 1 foresee, but it would, at all events, be a means of defence for us, and we ought not to reject it. It is true that, according to the 41st article of the resolutions, "The local governments and legislature of each province shall b3 constructed in such manner as the existing legis lature of each such province shall provide." Bat the Eiglish element is at present in the majority We are told that the English are naturally favorable to responsible government: That is true when it relates to them: selves ; for how many years did Capada re main without responsible government? The painful events of 1837 and 1838 were the result of that anomaly in the parliamentary system. Upper Canada will not need, as we shall, a local responsible gorernment; it will not have; as we shall have, to defend a nationality which will be in a minority in the Fed: eral Parliament, brit'whioh, at least, ought to enjoy in Lower Canada those powers which parliamentary authority everywhero accords to the majority. Upper Canada only dosites to make of her local legislature a municipal council on a large seale; she will fight out her party quarrels in the wider arena of the Federal Parliament. The English of Lower Canada, who will gain nothing by having a responsible local government, because that government is the government of the inajority, will unite their votes with those of Upper. Canada to impose upon us the same system of government is in the other section. The local parliaments, in the event of that system being adopted, having no part in the government, will soon become porfectly useless, and
they will soon be dispensed with just as in a machine we do away with useless and expenive wheelwork. Nothing will then be left to us but the legislative union which the honorable members have not ventured to propose, because they are compelled to admit it would be an act of crying injustice to Lower Cansda. But we are told to rely on article 42 , which gives to the docal legislatures the right of amending or changing their Constitations from time to time, and it is said that when Lower Canada is separated from Upper Canada, she may alter her Constitution if she pleases, and adapt it to her own views: It must not be forgotten, however, that the Lieutenant-Goverior, who will enjoy tine right of reserving the bills of the Local Parliament for the sanction of the Governor General, will be appointed by the Governor Genefral in Council, that is to say, by the Federal Government, and, as a matter of course, it must be expected that he will act in conformity with the views of the Federal Government. Any bill reserved by him will require to be sanctioned by the Federal Government, which may refuse such sanction if they think proper, as they undoubtedly will as regards any bill the object of which might be to give responsible government to Lower Canada, whilst all the other proyinces would only have governments which were not responsible. And the militia,-it will be exclusively under the control of the Federal Government. Have the hooorable the French-Canadian members, to whom I more particularly address myself at this moment, reflected on the danger to us that is contained in this provision?. It is with reluctance that $I$ once more allude to the difficulties which may arise between the different section of the Confederacy, but it would be wroug to shut our eyes to the future for fear that it may appear too threatening. Did we not, a few days ago, hear one of the honorable members, who most warmly supports the Goverument, complain in this House that Upper Canada was going to 'have four military schools, whilst Lower Canada would only have two? Why should we vest in the Federal - Goverameat the right of giving instruction ia the military art and of arming the other provinces at the expense of Lower Cainada? Why, while there is yet time, should we neglect to take those salutary precautions on which our existeace as Frencli-Ganadians depead? OUr Local Government ought whave
$\therefore$, the same active part in the organization, instruction and equipment of our militia which
belongs to all local governments which form part of other confederacies. $\because$ But $I$ was forgetting that this is to be a model Confederation, which is to unite within itself all the evils of the Federative system without including one of its advantages. I read in the work in favor of Confederation, th which 1 have referred on more than one occasion, page 25, as follows": "With them we offer protection. to your religion, to your institutions, and to your civil laws," \&c., \&c. They offer to protect the French-Canadians; but when, under the present-Constitution, they can protect themselves, why should they abdicate the right of so doing?. Now they are strongly entrenched in their citadel, and they are adrised to raze the walls in order to secure their safety: The French Canadians, at the present day, are in a the union. They are at the same time both judges and suitors.' They are asked to adopt a new form of government it is not imposed upon theni, and, to induce them to do so, the hon. Minister of Agriculture tells them that this new form of goverament was recommended successively by Chief Justice Sewell, Judge Robinson, and Lord Duriais. The names. alone of these three men ought to suffice to open our eyes; their avowed object always was to obliterate French-Canadian nationality, to blend the races into one only, and that the English; and to attain that end they recommended, as the Minister of Agriculture has told us, the system of government now submitted for our approyal. In the last passage, a few lines of which I have just cited, we find at page 55 a phrase upon which I have reflected seriously; it is as follows, and is placed by the author in the mouths of the English Canadians of Lewer Canada," Renember that we, too, are inhabitants of Lower Canada, and that we, too, aspire to other and nobler destinies." I asked of myself; "with all seriousuess, what then are the aspirations of the French-Canadians? I have always imagined, indeed I still imagine, that they all centre in oue point, the maintenance of their nationality as a shield destined for the protection of the institutions they hold most dear. For at whole century this has ever been the aim of the French Canadians; in the long years of adversity they bave never for a moment lost sight of it ; surmounting all obstacles; they have adranced step by step towards its attainment, and what progress have they not made? "What is their position to-day? They number nearly a million, they have no longer,
if they are true to themselves, to fear the fate of Louisiana, which had not as many inhabitants, when it was sold by Napoleon to the United States, as Canada had in 1761: A people numbering a million does not vanish easily, especially when they are the owners. of the soil. Their number is rapidy increasing. New townships are being opened in every direction," and being peopled with industrious "settlers.". In the Eastern Townships, which it was thought were destined to be peopled entirely by English settlers, these latter are slowly giving way to the French-Canadians. There is a friendly rivalry betmeen the two races, a struggle of labor and energy contact with our fellow-countrymen of English origin has at last opened our eyes; we have at hast comprebended that in order to succeed, not only labor is nceded, but well-directed and skilled labor, and we'profit by their example and by the experience they have acquired in the old countries of Eurnpe Agriculture with us is now beconing an honorable pursuit; the man of education is no longer ashamed todevote himself to it. Our farmers feel the necessity and desire of attaining peecection in the art. We possess magniticent model farins, in which we can learo the science of agriculture. We are entering a hew era of prosperity; The French-Canadians hold a distinquistied position in the commerce of the country, they have founded banks and savings bamks; on the St: Lawrence: between 'Quebec. and Montreal, they own one of the finest lines of steamboats in America; there is not a parish on the great river which has not its steamboits; the communications with the great town's are casy; we bave railways, and we nust measure by bours the duration of a journey which formerly we meavired by days; we have foundries and manutactories, and our shipbuilders have obtained a European renown." We have a literature peculiarly our own we have authors, of whon we are justly proud ; to them: we entrust our language and our history; they are the pillars of our dationality: Nothing denotes our existence as a people so innch àsour literature; education has penetrated every where, we have several excelient colleges, and an university in which all the sciences may be studied under excellent professors. Uur young wen learn in the military schools hors to defend their pountry. We possess all the elemetits of a nationality.: But a few nonths ago, we were steaddy advancing towards prosperity, 'satisfied with the present and contident in the future of the French-Canadian people. Suddenly discouragement; which bed never over-
come us in our anversity; takes possession of us;' our aspirations are now only empty dreams; the labors of a century must be wasted; we must give up our nationality, adopt a new one, greater and nobler, we are told, than our own, but then it will no longer be our own. And why? Because it is our inevitable fate, against which it is of no use to struggle. But have we not already strug gled against destiny when we wiere more feeble than we are now, and have we not triuuphed? Let us not give to the world the sad spectacle of a people voluntarily resigning its nationality. Nor do we intend to do so. Let the people have time given them to understand the question; let theira opinion on the subject be obtained at the polls. It is but their right, unless our form of government is a delusion and a snare. If the measure is a good one, what danger is there in discussing it'\% If the new Constitution it is proposed to give us is to last for centuries, why should we not at least endeavor to make it us perfect as possible? Why press its adoption before it is understood? In conclusion, I object to the proposed Confederation, first, as a Cabadian, without reference to origin, and secondly; as a French Canadian. Froms either point of view, I look upon the measure as a fatat ecror; and, as a French-Canadian, I once more appeal t. ny fellow-countrymen, reminding them of the precious inheritance contided to their keeping -an inheritance sanctified bby the blood of their fathers, and which it is their duty to hand down to their children as unimpaired us they received it. , (Cheers:)

The debate was then adjourned:

Tue-dAy, February $21,1865$.

## Hov Solicito a General LaNGEIN

 - Hh is nut without some degree of hesitation that I rise to :ddress the House on this occasion-; for I sed before me the representatives "f two millious aud a half of penplo, who are called wigether to settl, the woust weiphty maters which concern them; and more particularly to take into cousideration a question involving the destiay, but crly of the two Canadas, but also of all the Yroviaces pf British North America. 1 must confees that ! experience a stroug fieting of hesitation and groat difidepice of ny uwa: powners, when I consider the importayee of the measure submitted to us for discussion, and the consequances which may result tromour decision, both to ourselves and our posterity. The measure is so vast in its bear. ings, the interests affected by it are so considerable, that no ove can be surprised at my . diffidence and hesitation. This questicn of Confederation is bound up with the common interests of empires and the general polioy of dations, for it is no unimportant matter for the great nations who bear sway among mankiod, to know into what hands the Prosinces of Britinh North America may fall. We need only look back into the pages of bistory to learn how greatly nations are -uoved by the creation of a new people; and on the present occasion, the thousand poites of the press proclaim the interest which the question of Confederation excites both in Amsrice and in Europe atself, and how close'y the goveraments observe our proeediniss ; and this interest which they feel and proclation is legitimate and natural. for the measure is destined to ma'ce us rank amiong the nations of the earth. More than all, the question particularly concerns Evigland apdithe United States, anil in an équal degree with ourselves. England is interested in seètug these provinces well governed. prospercus, free, contentëd acd happy she is interested in their having a good government, aud that it should be so administered as to be no burthen to her as the Mother Cuuntry; that on the coutrary, they should becoue powerful and in a position to assist her in certain sventualities. On the other hand, the United States must feet a degre of satistaction in seeiug the Provinces of British North America become a powertal nation. They will sye it without a feeling allied to jealousy: $\therefore$ They miust wish us to be strong enough mandata pur ueuirality, our good understandiog with thein, and those frendly relations which should ever subsist betwera usi hboring uations.-. But if this question is iaterestiug to Eugland and the: United stace, it is still cure sij wourselvesto in, whase destin"y is at stive, to us whose poritiou is a lufty one as compared with the. ordiary lot of yatio is ; for the faculty is not grauted to all nations to choose their own lot in the full leisure of a time of peate, withwhe the taint of a siagte dryp of bloo she tto fis upron a Constitution which will set theur at once on thie high road of progress, and enable them to thite such ground for their. career as may seem gord io their owi eyes. In lytu, when the union of the twi Canadas Wasuader cususideration, wooccupied no such position, for that uniou was imposed upun us
in our own despite, and we were never consulted on the subject. -It will be remembered that for a certain time our very language was proseribed, and, our position reudered as unfortunate as it could be made. "True, we had an equal nurnber of representatives in this House, but as a people we were manifestly beld to be inferior. I grant that the attempt to fix the yoke permatently on our necks proved a failure, but this was no fault of those who imposed the union on us. We have won the position which we now occupy by our own energy and perseverapce, assisted by some of the representatives of Upper Canada. At this day things are greatly changed. We are iv̀ the midst of a great revolution, but a revolution of which peace is the guid: ing spirit; we are free to deliberate whether we whl change our position, and to dictate the ternid on which the change is to be made. We are invited to shape out our future destiny, and we should not be true to our elves; , st to our constituents, if we refused. : his day to avail ourselves of the resolutions adopted at the Conference of Quebec. . The hon uneinb rifor Hochelaga: (Hon Mr. DoRios), whom I regret not to see in his place-

Hon Jh HOLTON-He will be here in a:moment.

Hov. SoL. Ges. LiANGEV IN-The hon. menuber for Hochelaga told us the other day that the plan of a Coufederation was arlopted and moved by the present Administration for the mere purpose of stifliLg the cry of representation by population. Well, anid it it really were so, where dues the hon. inember fiad the ham in it Is it not most important tha: we stoonld stop that cry for representative based on population, in our present coudition? Represeutation by nopulaticu would $h$.ve left $\mu s$, Luwer Canadians, itio an inferior position relatively to that of Upper Carada-would have couferred on the latter the privilege of leginlating for us, not oifly ia geaeral, but in local' matters The hua. uramber for Huchelaga ought to have been the last to reproachithe present Govern: ment with having, by, this measure of Confeduratiou, stopped the ory for representation bised vis population.: In $185 \frac{1}{2}$, the hon. member admitted; as hú himself acka, iwledges, that representation based on popuration wis just iu priticip.e, and the consequence of that adtuissiun was fatal The conisequedce Th thint tha hoin. member was compelled to keep in the same track until the formation of the Brown. Dorion Administration in
$1858-$ an Administration which hadno very long existence: (Hear, hear:)

HoN: Me. HOLTON-Unfortanately. (Laughter) )

Hon Sol Gev LANGEVIN-That Aduninistration bád no very long existence, and I rejoice that I did my part in rpsetting it, for it is probable that, if it had stood, representation based on population would have been forced "upon us, and we should not be now in our prèsent position-in a position to make our own terms as freely as Upper Canada, and take part, co a footiog of equality, in negotiating a treaty with the Lower Provinces. This is why rejoce that I contributed to överthrow that goverament. The hon... member for Hochelaga told us the other evening that in 1856 he spoke as follows:

In $!856$, when Parliament was sitting at Toronto, I first suygested that one means of surmounting our difficulties would be the substitition of a Confederation of the two Canadas in place of a legislative anion.: By that arrangement local questions would be debated in the local legislatures, and the Central Goverament would have the control of commercial and other questrons of general interest. I said that considering the Hiffrences of race, feligion' and laws now existang betreen the two sections of the country, it wnuld te the best means of surmounting them. That is so say, 1 wouid leave to a central govern ment yuestions regarding commerce. bankins, The currency; public works of a general character, '\&c., and to the local legislatures all local yues. tions. At the same time I said that if these views were not accepted, l' should certainly bs in favor of representation based on population, with conditions and guarantees "which would secure the interests of Lower Canada, and spreserve to Lys i' Canada the institutions which are sơdear to her:
Well, we see that in 1856 , the hon: member for Hochelaga was desirous of forming anew Constitution for the expross purpose of stifling the cry for representation based on pupalation. In 1858 he formed, together with the preseat.Hon. President of the Council (Hon. Mr. Brown), the Brown-Durion Goveriment; and again, he stipulated that $t$, e question of representation based on popalation should he takeo into considera. tion, and that the Gover nmeat should consider the means of settling the dificulties which it involved. In 1859 he signed adocument, which also bore the signatures of Hon, Mr. Deummond, Hon. Mr. Dessaulleg, ond Hon: Mr. McGee, in which ho said with his colleagues, that"a change io
the Cobstitution of the country was noces-sary:-

If Lower Canada iusists on maintaining the union intact, if she will neither consent to a dissolution of the union, nor consider the project of a Federation, it is difficult to conceive on what reasonable grounds the demand for representation according to population can be resisted. .The plea for such resistance has hitherto been that danger might arise to some of her peculiar and most cherished institutions; but that ground will be no longer tenable if she rejects a proposition, the effect of which would be to leave to her own people the sole and absolute "custody of those institations; and to surround them by the most stringent of all possible safeguards, the provisions of the fandamental law of the land, unalterable save by the action of the people affected by them: The logical alternative now presented to the people of Lower Canada would, therefore', seem. to be dissolution or federation on the one hand, and representation according to population on the other:

Here, again, he intended to stifle the cry of representation based on population, and intended to do it by founding a new Confedération. In 1861 it was just the same ; he deelared that he was desirous of settling that question of the representation; that it was not'expedient that it should remain an ypen question; that it was a difficulty to be got rid of one way or another. In 1862, also, he iwat into the Government with the same object in view But how did he set about carrying it out? He made it a close question, and adopted, with his oulleaydes, the plan of the double majority: The hon. member doubtless bad forgotten that in 1859 , when the penned the manifesto which. I have just quated, he had condemned the doublo majority: Here is, in fact, what he said in that ducument:-

In edeh section there would still be minority and najority parties, and unlest the praviple of the duable majurity could the enacted as a funda. mental law, we should be exposed tw an tndless tound of the same complaints that we now hear, of one section ruling the other conterary to its well kinown public opinion, and to see reprodured in our politics the sume passione, the same intrignes, the same corruption aud insincerity. Tho enact. ment of the duable majority is not advocated in any quarter. The impossibility of clearly defining the cases to which it should apply, and of distinyusbing them from those to which it should not, is felt by"alt; but were it even poasible, it would only lead to new phases of difficulty, by compelling majorities. professing opinions and principles diametrically opposet to each other, so unite, and thereby effectually to extinguish the influence
of one or the other minority, or of both. It is difficult to conceive one single legislature composed of two majorities and two minorities; these two majorities without any identity of principle, acting nevertheless together bs common consent. so as to never trespass the one on the other, and so that each section of the province "would always be governed by a majority of its representatives. On many questions this course could not be carrried out without alternately forcing the majority of the representatives of each section of the province to abstain from voting, or to decláre themselves in favor of measures which their judgment and their conscience would disavow. "The com plications of such a system amounting to nothing short of an application of the Federal principle to a single legislature, would render it impracticable.
Then the honorable member had changed his opinion on this subject! I do not say this as a reproach; but it proves that he almays acted with the same object in viewthat is to say, to stifle the cry for representation based on popalation. "How, then', does it happen that he finds fault with the present Ministry for bringing forward a measure to put an end to these difficulties, and to prevent"our being placed in a position of inferiority? But the object of the Confederation is not merely to do abay with ëxisting diffculties. It has become a necessity"; because we have become sufficiently great,-beeause we have become strong, rich. and powerful enough,-because our products are numerous enough and considerable cuough;-because our population has become large enough to allow of our aspiring to another position, and of our secking to obtain an outlet through some seaport tor our products :- At the present day we stand in a position of vassalage to the United States, with respect to the exportation of our productes" to Europe ; we are at their mercy. If we should have any difficulty with our neighbors to-norrow, they would close the Portiand route to us, and wo should find ourselves, during nearly seven months in the year, cut off from all communication with the seaboard, save by meang of the usual long and difficult land journey. This is not a tenable position, nor oue worthy of a people such as that which inhabits the Provinces of British North America. It is a position which must be emerged from, for such is the interest of Canada; of the Lower Provinces, and of the Western States. The honorable nember for Hochelaga told us that He:was in favor of a play which would sette existing difficulties, and would place Lower Canada in a suitable position; but he never told us what that plan was. The only thing he over proposed wis his plan of 1859 for
the Confederation of the two Canadas; but that plan would oriy have settled one difficulty, and would bạve allowed others of the greatest importance to arise-and among others, that respecting our communication with the seaboard. That plan, for jostance, would not have allowed us to construft the Intercolonial Railway ; for it is almost impossible that so great an enterprise should succeed unless it is in the hands of a great cen'ral power, and if it is.necessary' to coonsult five or six governments before commencing it . But the question of the Confederation of the tro Canadas is not the only one which is presented as a means of esciaping from our difficulties ; there are different plans which F shall equmerate. Some propose, for instance, that we should remain in the position in Which we now are ; others wish for annexation to the United States; some woulh, perhaps, be in faver of complete independence; others would favor a Confederation of the two Canadas ; and, lastly, the Confederation of all the British North American Proininces is proposcd. Well; let us cursorily examine these varions propositions. It may be that there are some members who are desirous that we should remain as we are. The honorable members for Hochelaga and Lotbinière (Hon. Mr. Dorion and Mr. Jolx.) consider our position aǹ' excellent one; and so, in "their speeches, they have told us. They consider that we are extremely prosperous, and that we have nothing to wish for. Formy part, I cousider that in our present position we are under a great disadvantage; it is that if we remain isplated and alone, we cannut communicate with the metropolis, except through the United States; it we remain alone we can aspire to no position, we can glve rein to no ambition as a people. Again, we have at the present time dis many systems of judica: ture as we have provinces; with Confederation, on the contrary, this defect will be removed, and there will be but two systems: one for Lower Canada, because our laws are different from those of the other provinces, becanse we are a separate people, and because we do not choose to bave the laws of the other populations-and the other for the remainder of the Confedration. All the other provinces having the same laws, or their system of law being derived from one and the same source, may have one and the same system of Judicature; and, in fact, a resolution of the Confarence allows them to resolve that they will have one code and one
judicial system; but an exception is madein "favor of Lower Canada and our laws "There are also as many differsnt tariffs as there are different provinces, as many commercial and custóms regulations as provinces. .It is true that there are now mayy free goods, but it is also correct to say that there as mang cus. toms systems as there aro provinces. And with respect to great colenial works' is it not true that it is impossible at the present day to undertale then, because the interests involved are too considerable, and because it is necessary to consult three or four legislatures? By this it will be understood that it is almost imposible to reconcile so many different interests, except by unitingio ove and the same" legislature the representatives of those interests and of the people affected by them, and this object wie canoot attain by remaining by ourselves.: Currency and the iuterest of moncy are -also regulated by different systerus in the several provinces. There is onecurrency here, another in Newfoundland, another in Pripce Edward Island and so on. The shilling aud pound of this province are different from the shilling and pound of New'oundland and thise of the other Maritime Provinces, But. with Confederation, all these matters would be placed under the contrl of one central legialature"; the currency would become uniforns throughont, and capital might be everywhere iovisted without obstacle So - also it will be with respect to the rights of authors, patenteg for mechanical ioventions, de. Whenspeaking of the Intercolonial Railway, I made no menition of the Pacific Railway, because I cuasider that we ought to devote our aiteation to accomplishing the works of which we at present stand in need: At a later perion, when our resources and our population shall have sufficiently itncreased, we may direct our attention to the Pacific Rallway. Aud should it become vecessary, we caa, with lonfederation, hope to build it in less thau ten years, whereas by remaiuiug by ourselves ay We are, we could not hope to have it for per haps one huudred years. I think that I have now theld up in a salient puint of view the disadvantages of the status qua. The pecessary consequence of what I have just demonstrated is that we cennot remain in the ptsition in which we now are, whether we will. or not. The question of representation based on populatint must be met; that quertion uust be setled. To gaty that wé will graut it is to wish to place us in a position of inferiority and l, for my part, will
never consent to place my section of the province in that position... Then there is another alternative that is proposed-annexation to the United States.. I do not believe there is a single nember in the House or out of the House who would consent to the andesation of Canada to the United States But it is a question which must be examined When discussing that of Confederation, because itois one of the alternatives offered to us, and out of which we have to make a selection. What then would be our position in case we were anned to the United States? It is true that we should become ar independent State in the American Confederation, but with the advautages ace cruing from such a state of affirs. we should likewise have the disadvartages.'. We should have to contribute towards the liquidation of the enornous debt which the United States have contracted in consequence of the: sar which is desolating one of the fiuest portions of the land; we should have to pay the iuterest, and subsequently the principal itself, for I do not suppose that the Americans lave the slightest intention of repudiat ing their debt. The debt would hare to be paid, and to effect that, heavy imparts would hare to be paid for a great number of years tov provide the interest and sitiking fund. Those who talk of the debt which is going to result frou the Confederation should remember that it will be but a mere trifle compared with that for which we should becone responsible ander annexation; For one dollar' that we shall bave to pay under Confederation, wo should have to pay sir under annexatiou. It is said that the debt will be enermous, but it will outly be as one vollar ito four dollares in Eughand, and six dollars in the United States. That is the fiunacial aspett of anexexation: But what would be the tate of the Freach-Canadians in the case of aunesation to tho United States? Let us profit by the eximple of the Freach race in the United States, and enquire what has bien the fate of the Freach in Louisiana? What had become of them? What has become et their language, their customa, their mangers and their iostitutions? After the war; harily a trace will remain to shuw that the Freneh, race has passed that way. So far as religion is concerned, we wight oot find ourbelves so badly off; but we live in peace at the present day and are pi ifeetly coufortable; Catholiessand Protestauts have the same rights and religious. liberty, und they live an peacefully togother
as if there was but one religion in the land. Ha: DTERESNF" (Iberville)-We are well off, st us remáa so.

Hon. Sol Gen. LANGEVIN-Yes, but we cannot remain in the position in which we are. The hon.m mber for Hochelaga has said so for ten years past, and undertock to change it. He said the position was no longer tenable in 1854, and if was not: tenable then, it is still less so in 18650.1 now fome to the other alternative proposed to us -that of independence Men may be fuund, both in the House and out of the House, who"would be disposed to say that we had better bave inderpendence than Confederation. For my part, I believe that the independence of the Pritish North American Prorinces would be the greatest misfortune which could happen to them; it would be to leave us at the mercy of our neighbors, and to tho us iuto therr arms. Independenciwould make us masters of our pósition, but at the same time we should be deprived of the protection of England: and-without that it is by no means difficult to foresee what would becoine of us. The hon nember for Hochelaga may think it to our acivantage to be weak, but in that opinion I do not coincide; I consider that it is better to be in a position to meet the enemy in case of his attackiog us. Let it be well understood that without the prefection of England we can do nothiog: And besides the outlay which would be entailed by our providing. tor our defence, there would also be enor wivas expenditurein order to keep up in a suitable unaner our relations with" foreign powers. With iudopendence, and without the support and assiscance of England," we should have to maintain an army and a very. expensive government, wa should have to keep op diplomatic relations with other countries, and provide means to defray a hơst of other expenses. which we stould not have to do under Cunfederatica. Independence is, therefore, out ef the question for the pregent." Lastly, we have the founth alter-native-the Confederation of the two Canudas; proposed by the honorable member for Hoghel iga, In his manifesto of 1864 he told us in what position we should then be. The following passage is fom the manifesto in question :-
It would have beep easy at any time to satisify Upper Canada by giving her four or five members more than Lower Canada, preserving at the same. time equality in the Lefislative Coincil. To svoid the daggor which this increase of members
might entail, it is proposed togive Upper Canada seventeen members more than Lower Canada, and there are added besides forty-seven menteers nore for the Marilime Provinces; in all sizty-four members are added to the British element besides the twentreight additional members which ave give, to the Legislative Council; and this is the way in which it is pretended that the rights. of Lower Canadia are to be protected.
The hon member for Hochelaga accordiag to his own plan would have preferred y
Hon. Mí: DURION-It is not a plan, it is ain argument.

Hón Sol Gen LANGEVIN-Then it is a very bad argument-an argument by no means a tuantageoús to Lower Canāda: "The hon. member says in that manifesto that it would be quite an easy matter to secure the silence of Upper Canada, by granting it four. or five more members than Lower Canada. But the hou. member very well knows that if we were to srant represeatation based on population, it would not be four or five members we should have to give to Upper Canada. but the seventeen meubers which it is now proposed to give U'pper Canada by the plan of Confederation. The increase would not be based on auimaginary nuimber. But even' with four or five wembers more in the present uaion. Upper Canada could impose its decision on all questions which might come before the House - The hon. meuber for Hochelaga has told us that under the proposed syste i: U. pper Canada will have seventeen members more th "n Lower Canada, aud that the Eaglish element will be increased by the addition of all the members from the Lower Provinces, and that they will enter into a league against us Lower Canadiañs. I must say, I do not think the hoo member pays a very high compliment to his ex-colleague the Hon. Mr. Holtos, when he says thiat because the members will be Loglish, they will be agaiust us Freach:Canadrans. So greát was bis confidence in the hou. member for Chateauguay, that he took him into his Uovernmeut, and would take him again to day if he had the opportunity; and yet the hon member for Hochelaga speaks of the Euglinh as though they were our natural encmics. For my part, I do not think they are; moreover, the question before us is not the formation of a Loval Goverament only. We are considering the establishinent of a Confederacy-with a Central Parliament and local parliaments. The Central or Federal Parhament will have the control of all measures
of a general character, as provided by the Quebec Conference; but all matters of , local interest, $:$ all that relates to the aftairs and rigbts of the different sections of the Confrderacy; will be reserved for the con trol of the lueal pariaments. The position in which Confederation will place us is very different frou that which we should have occupied uinder thé systeñ proposed by the honorable meuber, "inasmuch as. the serenteen members, which Upper Caiada will havé more than lower Canda, will have nothing to do with our local affirs, our religious questions or particular iustitutions, and the hoin meïnber for Hôchẹlağa; by his scheme. would have entrusted all that to the good-will of the Upper Canadian majority; but for wy part, I would rather entrust the manayement of these matters to my owia peop'é than to the m. As' regards the serenteen additioual menters, which Upper Canada will have in the Federal Parliament, 1 am not alarwed at their presence any more than at that of the members from the Lower Providesy, because in Parliament there will be no" questions of race, nationality, religioù or lucality, as this Legislature will only be charged with the settlement of the great general questions which will ruterest alibe the whide Contederacy and not vee lucalty ouly. Our positiou then is. exxellent, and all those who trankly_glve axpression th their opiaions mustadmit that the repipesentatives of Lower Canida att the Quebie C'onference have carefully guarded her interents. ${ }^{\text {it }}$ I may say that the bavis if actun atopted by the deliegates, iu propaingy the resollutions, was to do justiee to all-justice to all races, to all religious, tè all rationalities, and to all interestes. Wor then reatuo the Confederation will be accepted by all, in the Lower Provincés is well as here. Gndy Corifederation there" will no longer be domination if voe race over another, and if one section shiuld be desirous of commuting an act of injustice aganst another rection, all the vihers wotld yuite together to preyent it: But, supposing that an uajust measure was passed to the House of Communs of the Federal Legislatiare, it -would be stope ed in the Legistative C'ouncal; for there, we shall be represeated equally with the other sections, ind that is a guar. - antee that nur -interests will be amply protected. Ju the Lexiniative Council we shall have 24 membirs like Upper Canada and the Lower Profinces. I assert, then, that
there is a vast difforence between the argaments of the hon. member for Hochelaga and the measure of the Government ; our interests will -be protected by the Legislative Council, and the measures of general interest will come under the jurisdiction of the Federal Parliament. When the matter under consideration is a great pablic enterprise, such as a railway, a canal or a telegraph line, our religious and national interests will not be endangered. It will be the duty of the Central Government to see that the country - prospers, but it will not be its duty to attack our religion, our institations or our nationality, which $h_{\text {y }}$ noreverr, as I have just proved, will be amply prótected." While on this point,I I will draw the attention of the honorable member for Hocheelaga to the fact, that in 1859 he espressed himself as fol-lows:-

Whatever may be the number of provinces or of subdivisions which it may hereafter be deemed necessary to adopt, the geparating line between Upper and Lower Caniada must be maintained. In defining the powers of the local and federal povernments, those only must be delegated to the latter which would be absolutely necessary for the purposes of Confederatuon, índ, as a neces sary consequence, reserve to the "subdivisions powers as ample and as varied as possible. The customs, the mili service, the laws respecting the curreacy, "patents" and copy-rights, "the pibblic lands, and such of the public works as pussess sin interest cornmon to all parts of the country, ought to be the principal, if not the ouly objects which, would be placed under the control of the Federal Gosernment, whilst all that would relate to improvements purely local-to education, the admanistration of justice, the militia, the laws of property, and of internal police-would be under the control of the local governments, whose powers, in a word, would extend to all mattera not sperially delegated to the General Government.
Thüs wo see that the konorable member way willing to give up the coutrol of the public lands to the Federal Government. He considered that it would be better to leave the control of colonization and the public lauds to the Federal Government, io whith, nevertheless, he was prepared to give a preponderanice to Upper Canada. By the plan of Confederation brought down by the present Government, the cuntrol of these. wattery is given up to the local legislatures, and I earnestly hope that the houcrable nimember will mot endearor to take them away and trander them to the control of the Federal Government. If his plan or his argu: ment had ever been put into operation, he

Would have abandoned the control of our public lands to the Britsh element, of which he now pretends to stand in mortal fear.: I repeat the declaration that it is impossible for us' to continue in our présent position ; that annexation to the United States would be the greatest disaster that could befal us; and that it is impossible, that it would be disastrous to think of the independence of the country; that the project for the Coufederation of the two Canadas as proposed by the honarable member for Hochelaga is not desirable, and would not offer any guarantee for the institutions of Lower Canada; but that the Confederation of all the Provinces of British North Atuerica would be preferable, and is our only remedy. The Coufederation would have the effect of giving us more strength than we now possess; we should form but one nation, one country, for all general matters affecting our interests as a people. But when I speak of a great and powerful nation, far be it from me to wish that we should form an iudependent nation, and that wo should abaindon the protection of the British flag;" on the contrary, I earnestly hope that we shall loug remain under the protection of that flag. What I would say is, that with Confederation we shall be in a better position for self-defence, "and to aid the Mother Country under certain exigencies, than we are at the present time. Maving "onfederation, the Central Government will be in a position to have its orders carried out over its whole territory; and when the question of defence comes up; it will not be obliged to conyult four or five differeat legislatures, but it will be able to organize our delences inumediately and withoul obstruction. "Besides, we shall have acquired a standiug which we have not hitherto athained in our relations with other countries with which we have dealings.'. It is of no small importance for the inhabitants of a coountry to have a standiag in foreign coyntries, and not to be treated as men of inforior position. When Canadians go "to Loadon or elsewhere out of their own country, $t$ ey have no recugnized "podtion, because yve are only a siuple colony, But under : the Confederation we shall be protected by England, and besides we shall have a position in fureign lands, the poition which every man enjoys who belongs to a great nation. On this very point a publio - writer wrote some few years ago in a London newapaper an artiole from which I will
ask permission to read an extract to the House: The matter under consideration was the onssion of the right of fishery on the Banks of Newfoundland by England to France. He"says:-

Now, see the effect of this want of assuciation and representation here. The binsis of a treaty is agreed upon between Great Britain and France, by" which Great Britain ayreed to give to France the exclusive right of fishing upon a great portion of the coast of Newfoundland, a thing unjustified by any former treaty; "Newfoundland no sooner heard of it thian she remonstrated, and denied the rirht of Great Britain to sign away to a foreign nation the property of the people of Newfoundiand; aud; in fact, set at defiance the action of the Imperial Government.' Now, this is not ouly derogatory to us as a nation, but it illus. trates the dainger which may arise to the colonies from the Imperial Government not being properly informed on such subjects. For, from a careful perusal' of all the treaties on the subject in question, we cannot but believe that Nowfoundland. was ripht.
It is evident that, if the Confederation had existed at that period, England would not have acted without consulting us ; but in those days they used to say, "They are Tanadians, mere colonists, de.;" gnd as we were then separated, of course we had to submit ; our rights were not protected as they will be when we are united. Under Confederation, England will consultus in all matters which affect our interests, and we shall be able to make ourselves effectually heard in Löndon. In proof of this I cite from the same writer:-

Here is another question which especially affects Canada:- In the coarse of last yeas, the subsidy of $£ 176,340$ per annum, paid to the Cunard vessels plying between Liverpoul and the United States, was renewed for a period of six jeais by the Imperial Government. Another postal subsidy of $£ 78,000$ was just being grianted by the Imperial, Goverument to a new line of atcamera betwoen Galway and the United States, in this case also without consulting the interests of British North A merica. This is a great injustice, particularly so Caniada, for that province has expended large sums in the opening of water commanication in the valley of the River St. Lawrence, canals which hive become valueless from having to compete with the United States routes, encouraged by a subsidy from the Imperial Giovernment of nearly $£ 300,000$ per annum, while Canada on the other hand receives no aid whatever from the Inperial Gorernmient, but is compelled to subsidize à hee of its own (to atract a feeble share of the trade) to the extent of $£ 50,000$ per annum."
If all the Provinces of British North America had thei been united under ove
single goverument, we should have bern informed that the Imperial Government inteuded to make that treaty, and our rights, would havie been respected; but, as we were but a simple colony, and as there were many interests brought tu bear, we could do nothing to protect ourselves. I do not desire to weary the House with quoutations, but It trast 1 shall be allowed to cite anuther author, who no addition to showing how limited are the óbjects of ambition presented to the inhabitants ot a colony, demoustrates that, though British subjects, we are almost on the footing of foreigaters in Eugland :-
Here agan the contiguily of the colonies to the United -sates suggesud disagreeable coumart sons." In that great republic, the scope for indtvidual exertiou is immense'; and although the rewards of success ta the higher walks of hie are nütigeñeràliy so gitat as under must mubarchical governmeats, oine of the "pizes open to ail,' an that country, are of a very hishorder. Many a Brush North American has' seen indui iduals "upou' the United States side of our boundary; whom he knew trom personal acquamtisiace to be inferior to bim in nutural abblues, ed deation, wealth. and" social s'anduc, rased in a short tume to the pre sidency of that repubhe, a positton wheth would entule hin to rank with the proudest monarchs of Europe.' At the sanie time that Britisti American
 governut ot his uative province; and it he were to got to Earitand, allithe intiuence which be could commad would prolably'nut procure him a presentatua to has sureretgin
Dueg not that show that the position of a Canadiai, ot of auy uther inhabitant of the colomes, in England is a position ot inferurty? We desire to remove that infe: riority by adopting the plan of Coutederation nuw subuitted to the flunse: : The honorable member for Hochelaga stated that Coufederation had nut beven asked tor by the people, but that 11 was aduptad as the last resource of a falliug party. He cuterred, of course; when he e expressed, that opuowi; to the vote of censure he had propused last year'syanst the Tache-Macuunald. Mastry: After all his ettiorts aganast that minstry, the hoourable geistleman coula du au mure than reproach them with an act coumitted, or supposed to have been committed, five years. beture by another governameet; and by that means he had succeeded in overthrowing the miastry. The result of the voie, brought abuut by the tiouorable metuber, was pery different from what he expected; it resulled in the Coalitum, and the project of Cuafederation nuw betore tue House. The honorable
gentleman says that the people have not asked for it, but when the Government announced to the House that the basis upon which the new ministry had been tormed was the Confederation of the provinces, the opposition did not declare that the measura was"a bad one. On the contrary, the great majority of the members from. Upper and from Lower Canada pronounced themselves in favor of the plan, and promised their support to the Goverament. The hodorable geutleman also asks, who enpowered the delegates to mett and prepare a plan of Contederation, and subuit it to this House? I answer, that ihe power was derived trom the expressec sentuments of the Houas when 16. cousented to the tormation of the Gioverament on that bavis. The Guvernment felt that they had a perfect right not only to. 'assist at the Uuebec Coniere..ce, but to bring It about. Aid eveu though thele had beea no otuer reagon butt the dithculties which had aris a na Cuasda sume years befure; evén though there had been uu uther reason than the care of the interests of the cuuntry, we bhould have been jushtied thereby 10 ussisting at the Charlottetown Contereace, and in cauting the Uuebec l'ontercnce, at which the measure was adopted by the thirty-taree deligates. . The honorabie gentleman let tall the accusacion that we consented that Cauada bhould have but oue vote 10 the Cuaterence. In maklog à charge ugainst tüe Gioverameat, us leader of the Uppusition, the houorable geatleman ought io have suaght to dase at on moie corréch information:

Lon. Mr. DURIUN-1 uuderstood is to be so, from what the Yressdent of the Conacil" stated.
hiun. Sul. Gien. LaNGEVin-Cabada haid mure than one votu"; and the Presideni ot the counch oever stated the contrary.

Hus. Ma. DUKIUN-Huw wavy were there : Tro?

Hon. sul Gen. Langevin-Yes, 'two ; oine tor Upper and oue for Luwer liauada. Wo could have had more, but that was nut the quistiva. We did nut go" to the Couterence to discuss simplo matiers of torm, nur did we go chere to surce uar vieivs upou vihers; we nesires to cumoto an uaterstatiding wita tho Luwer Pruvinces. ' It was nut our ob, ect to trame a teeble and unjase L'oustutuhliij, destivied, from tho very sach,' to laot but a day. Hence it would wot have been right, aud we did not deaire to take advantage ot our position, but we treated
with the provinces on a footing of equality, not wishing to force our views upon them, but anxious to come to an understanding, and to extend justice to all
Hon. Ma. DORION-The statement I made is not denied, that the votes were given by provinces
Hon. Sólicitror Gen Langevin-It is true; the Lower Provinces had each one vote : is had Upper and Lower Canada; and it is for us a matter for congratulation. I may be permitted to remind the Honse, in connection with this matter, of the eaying of the first Napoleon to one of his ambassaders whom he sent to a prince who was feeble; poor: and without an army-that prince: was the Pope: "'I'reat' with him" as if hie had an arny of two hundred thousand. mien at his back!" Now, that is what we did;"we treated Nova Scotia, New Branswick and the other provinces as we desired. to be treated ourselves, that is to say, with justice and consideration, and the result shews that we were right. The honorable gentleman ought to have confined himself to publishing, in his "own way, the secrets of the Conference, and refrain from divulging those of the committee appointed last year with respect to constitational difficulties,' I understood that everything was to have remained secret in that committee, except. the report wade to the House.
Hon. Mr. DORION-Does the hod. gentleman accuse me of divulgigig the secrets, of that co:nmittee?

Hon. Sol. Gen LaNGEVIN--The hon. gentleman stated that the Hoi Atoriey General (Hon. J." A. Macdonald) had coustantly acted and roted in that committee against the Confederation project, and that now he presents one himself; and I maintain that he ought not to have said that, for the action of the members of the committee was to have remained seoret. If the deliberati"ns : of "the comimittee were: to have remained secret, the bon. gentleman must see that he is in a difficult position The object of that secrecy is evident; 'it was the same object we had in view in preserving secrecy in the proceedings of the Quebec Couference; to give increased freedom of: optuion to eaoh member, and not, as has bẻen said, to deprive the people' of information to -which they were entitled. We knew that if our proceedings were preseâted day by day to the prople, thruugh the press, we should not have enjoyed that liberty of action and
of discussion whioh we required. It is easy to understand, that during the delibrations; a member might one day pronounce against. a resolution or some important point, and that the arguments of another member in a contrary sense might make him change his opinion; but that this might be, it was necessary to be free from all outside influence, and therefore it was that the Conference sat with closed doors.
Hon. Mr. DORION-Will the hon. meimber allow me to say a few words? 'He has stated that I divulged the secrets of the committee on sectional difficulties. I assert that I never attended the sittings of that committee, that I merely went there on the first day to state that I wonld not take part in its proceedings; and that I then withdrew and did not again ạtend. I was opposed to ihe proceedings of that committee, and I did not attend it ; but I learned that the Hon: Atturney General voted, on the last day the cotumittee sat, against Confederation ; and that was all I stated. So that if the secerets of the committee have been revealed, it has not been done by nie.
Hon. Mr. CaUCHON.-The hon: member for Hochelaga has quite forgoten what passed in the committee. He was present, with the hon. member for Chateauguas (Hon. Mr. Holton), at the commencement of the proceedings of the committee, when it was stated and agreed that everything that passed in the comuittee was to be kept secret. I aduit that the hon. gentleman refused to take part so the proceediogs of the commit tee, tut at the same time he knew. perfectly well that they were to be secret, and he was bound to respeot that secrecy. He was aware that the representatives of the press had been excluded.
How. Mr DORION-The hon geptlen:an is eatirely wistakea, for I was not present:

Hon. Sol Gen Langevin.-The hun uember for Hochelega must understand that not being ungelt a member of that coumittee, and knowing that he. was a member of it, and that it had been stated in the House that the proceediugs were to be secret, I was. perfeotly justified jo blawing him for having spoken.

Hon. Mr. DORION.-I never knew that the proceedings of the committee were to be secret.
hosi Sol Gein. Langeyin - I knéw it, aud I feel that I was perfeotly justi-
fied in saying what I said; but after the explanations which the hon. gentleman has just given, I cannot accuse him of having done it otherwise than inadvertently. The honorable member for Hochelaga stated that the memorial submitted by the "Government at the time of its formation spoke of a Confederation other than the one which it now proposes." It will be well to'refer tơ the document in "question in" order to ascertain its contents The memorial consists of two parts, of which the following is the firsi:-
The Government are prepared to state that immediately after the prorogation, they will address themselves; in the mostearnest manier, to the negotiation for a Confederation of all the British North American Provinces.

That failing à successful issue to ssuch negotiations, they are prepared to pledge themselves to legislation, during the next session of Parliament, for the purpose of remedring existing difficulties by introducing the Federal principle for Canada aloné. coupled with such provisions as will permit. the Maritime Provinces, and the North.Western territory to be hereafter incorporated into the Canadian system.
In other words the Government promises, in the first part of the memorial in question, to direct itsuattention to a Confederation of all the ${ }^{\prime}$ British North American Provinces; and, in the event of its not succeeding in carrying out that object. to turn its attention to a Confederation of the two Canalas. And now here are the " contents of the second part: $=$

The Government are propared to pledz. themselves to bring in a geasyre. next.session. for the purpose of removing existing difficulties', by intrn ducing the Federal primeiple into Camada. Foupled with such provision as will perme the Marritime Provinces and the North-West territory to be in: corporated into the same systen of sovernment.

And the Government wili seek, by semin: represtandatives to the Lower Provinces and to England, to secure the assent of thiose interests whichiare beyond the' control of vur owa legis. Jation, to sueh a measiure as' nay enable all British North America to be united winder a General Legislature based upon the Federal principle.

Well; where is the contradiction between these promises and the present action of the Government? We begin with a plan of Confederation for the two Canadas, and subsequently, finding that the Maritime Provinces are ready to enter upon the comsideration of a more extensive union, we have made arrangements to bring them "at once into the Confederation. There is no contsa-
diction in that, but it is the same measure and the same plan; the only difference is, that, instead of admitting them into the union some six or nine months hence, we have admitted them at once. When we "appioathed the question, we found the Maritime Proviuces in process of deliberatiog upon a union amongst themselves; but the Charlottetown delegates perceird that the Confederation which we proposed to them would be much more advantageous to all the provinces than that upon which they were engaged, and they at once consented to accopt our proposition. Accordong they came- to Quebec, and the result of their visit was the plan a bich has been submitted to this House The hoa. nember "for "Hochelaga has, therefore, no rishit to reproach us with having altered the plan promised to the House, since it is word for word that' which we promised. This meanure, as I observed $z$ short time ago, cannot last, unles's it protects the interests of all. Now, we have different interests in Lower Canada, in which reside two populatious differiur:in origin', differing in religion, and spakiar different languages. On the other hand, Upper Caunda has a hòmogeneous population, but one professing different religious, and so it is with respect to the several Maritime Provitices. In these latter provinces, alog: we have mire than one hundred thouand fellow countrywen of French irigin. Well, Mr. Speakea; we bave taken carr tu protect these different interests, and to preserve the rights of this population, by unitiog them io the confederation to a peo: ple numbering a million sonls of the same oripio as themelyes. But we are ild: " You wish ti form a new natiouality." Let us come io an uaderstandiag on this word, Mr, Spakek. What we deaire and wish. is to Alfend the wheral meterests of a great country and of a powerful nation, by meania of' a central poivar.: On the other hanit, we do not wish to do atway with our difierent cn-toms, manvers add laws ; onthe coutrary, thise are precisely what we are dexirous of protacting ai the most coaplete mannet by means of Comfiderat on." Vuder the new syatem there will be wo nore reasoin Than at present to lose vur character as French or Eaglish, undir the pretist that we should all have the same general interests; and our taterestry a relation to race, religion and nativuality will remain they
are at the present time. But they will be better protected under the proposed system, and that ayain is one of the strongest reasons in favor of Confederation. Not only indeed did we assure ourselves of that protection, but the provinces who were parties to the Confederation desired it also. "All local interests will be submitted and left to the decision of the local legislatures. There will be other exceptions with respect to Lower Canada, aud, in fact, all the exceptions in the scheme of Confederation are in favor of Lower Canada: These restrictions in favor of Lower Canada were obtained by the delegates from that province; but they sied no thanks for their conduct, as they cousider that in so doing they only performed a duty-a duty incumbent on all true patriots and good citizens. All that they now eome to this Huase and ask for, is its sanction to the measure which ensures these privileges to the populations which they represeat. I may acid that, under Confederation, all questions relatiug to the colonization of our wild lands, and the disposition and sale of those sande lands, our- civil laws and all measures of a local tature-in fayt everythiug which concerns and affects those interests which are most dear to us as a people, will be reserved or the action of our local legislature ; all our charitable and other linstitutions : will be protected by the sawe anthority. There is also the questiva of education. Upon this question, as upou all others, the Lower Canadiau delegates have seen tu the preservation of certain privileges, and that question has becin left to our Local Lexislature, so that the Federal Legislature shall bot be able to toterfere with it. It has been said that with respeet to agricuiture the power of legistation would be i-xercised cotrcurrently hy the Federal Legislature and the local legislatures. But the lfouse is perfectly well aware for whate reason that concurrent porser was allowed: Every one indeed, is aware that certain general iuterests may arise respecting whioh the iaterveation of the Central Legislature inay be necessaty; but., Mr: Speakeir, all interests relating to local agricultare, everything eonnected with our land will be left under the control of our Lower Canadian Legislature, and this is a point upon which we in rariab'y insisted, and which was never denied us in tho Cooference. It ia thus clear that under Confederation as proposed, the inhabitants of distant parts of the Confederacy, having the privilege of laying their olaims before their reypeetive
local legislatures, will not be put to the great trouble of betaking themselves to the central seat of government; when, for instance, they wish to obtain authority to build a bridge or open a rodi. I now come, Mr. Speaker; to the subject of the details of the measure, and I shall reply to the observation of the honorable member for Hochelağa on that subject: That honorable gentleman objects to the appointment of the legiskative councillors by the Central Government and adds that those councillors will be appointed by a Tory governuent, and will necessarily be selected from among the tories. Ih making that assertiou the houorable member did not act with that frankuess which we are entitled to expect-trom hiu. (Hear, hear.) He hardly alluded, if he did so at all, to the clause in the resiflutions by which the opposition, in the diffe:ent parts of the Confederation: aru protected In that clause it is " provided that the Central Parliament, in making the appointments in question, shall be careful to watch over the interests of the Op position, is well us orer those of the Minísterial party: Now Mr. Splaker, when a government binds itself in this way; is it reasonable and fair to believe or to suppose that it will break its word which has been so solemuly plediged? For my part, I an convinced that the nembers of the present Government, should they form part of the Central Goverament; would fulfil what lias-been promised, and would watch over the fights of the Cpposition as over those of the other party. The honorable meinber for Hochelaga also pretended taat the Maritime Provinces had forced upin us the clause which provides that the legislative councillors in the General Parlimment wail be appointed by the Crown. Yet, the honorable member right well knoivs that the elective principle in our existing Legislative Council was : meroIy an experiment, and that in Lower Cauada we have beceine tired of the system, not because the councillors who have been elected by the people are unworthy of the position which they occupy, or because their selection was an uufortunate selection, but because the very hatare of the system prevents a large numbur of men of talent, of men qualified in every respect and wortliy to sit in the Legisiative Council, from presenting themselves for the suffrages of the electors, in consequence of the trouble, the fatigue and enormous :expeuse resulting from theso electoral contests in enorióous divisions. We know that the system has wearied Lower Canida,
and that that province will approve of our having inserted the clause in question in the resolutions. The vote which took place last night in another place, shews that 1 am not mistaken in what I assert"on this subject. One of the greatest objections which the honorable member for Hochelaga raises to the appointment of the legislative councillors by the Crown, is that their number will be fixed, and that, by consequence it will prove an obstacle to the decisions and 'egislation of the Cormmons House of the Federal Parliament. In a-word, the bonorable member declares that the Legislative Council, so constituted, will be, to use an English expression, a nuisance The honorable member shoüld glance back at the past to consider how many councillors appointed for life there were in the .Legislative Council at the time of the concession of the elective principle, and how many of those said conncillors remain at the present day. ${ }^{\text {( }}$ He would have ascertained that in eight years the number had diminished by one-half. Of the forty-two or forty-three members which there were then, there now remain but trienty-one or "twenty-two. (Hear, hear.) The honorable meniber for Hochelaga should also have admitted that in those eight years there, had been such considerable changes among the elected cooncillors, that there was no dagger of the Legislative Council not being at least accessible to the people. This diminution gives an average of three members a-year, and if we take the proportion between this diminution añd that which would necessarily prevail among a larger number of councillors, we shall find that there "will be at least" five vacancies in each year. The honorable member must then perceive that, if it should haippen that the Legislative Council should be so opposed to the views of the Lower House as systematically to reject the measures of the popular branch of the Legislature," at the end of a year or perhaps less, such changes would be effected by death or otherwise, that we should immediately have such an infusion of new blood, that any attempt of this kind could not be" repeated for a long time. Besides, the Legislative Council will not conbtitute a separate class like the House of Lords in Eugland: The councillors will cone from among the people, with whom they will have interests in common, and it is absurd to suppose that they will be induced to oppose systematically and cunstantly the measures which the Lower Housc may enact in tavor of the people and at their instance. The hon. member for Hochelaya, when on this subject,
reproached the Attorney General for Upper Canada with having stated in his opening speech, that if he had to preside over the selection of the legislative conncillors, he would see that the best qualified men were appointed. Now, Mr. Speaker, I see nothing in that declaration which is not in the most perfect accordance with the interests of the country, and it is important that the best men from each section of the Confederacy should be called to sit in this important branch of our General Legislature The honorable mexiber has tiken occasion to find fault with the clause of the resolutions which provides that the lieutenant-rovernors shall be appointed by the Central Government, and sees in it great danger, especially to Lower Canada. Mr: Speaker, Li should very much like to know what protection the population of the different provinces derive from the fact that the governors of the British North American Provinces are sent out." to us from England. Under' the existing system," "our governor is responsible: neither to the people nor to the House ; he depends entirely upon the English Government, to which he is responsible. Under the bystem proposed the lieutenantgovernors will be appointed by the Central Government, to which they will necessarily be responsible for their actions. And in that Government weshall have more than one vote; we shall be represented in it by our ministers, who will be there to cause every encroachment or arbitrary act whick the lieutenant-governor may alluw himself to commit; to be condemned... If the Central Government should refuse to do us this justice, and should persist in not recalling any lieutenant governor who should have so failed in his duty to the population which he governed, we should have our sixtyfive representatives to protest and $\omega$ vote at need against a government which" should dare to act in such a way. In that respect we. should have tiuch betto $r$ puarantees than at present; and in very truth this is a new privilege that we have obtained ay the people will have a voive in these" appointnients, from the fact that we shall have qur responsible ministers in the Ceatral Guvernment, who will bo custained and supported by the members from our section. In allusion to the appointrient of the lieutenant-governors, the honorable member for Hochelaga thought proper to make a violent attuek upon the Conservative party. He asserted that that party continually sought to diminish the liberties and the privileges of the people, whilst the Liberal party labored to extwnd and ensure those sama
liberties. Well, Mr. Speaker; I believe the people know their interests as well as the honorable member for Hochelaga, and that they will not beap reproaches upon-us for having given them a Constitution, the object of which is to protect their local and general rights in a much inore effectual inanner than they are protected under the present system. While thus attacking the Conservative party," the honorable member for Hochelaga did not neglect also to make a slight insinuation against the delegates to the "Conference. In fact, he says:-
The Speaker of the Legislative Council will also be appointed by the Croma. This is another retrograde stêp, and a bit of patronage urore for the Government. We have all heard talk of a speech delivered lately in the Island of Priuce Edward or in New Brunswick-I forget which-in which the speaker enumerated the adyantages which had been tiashed in the eyes of the delegates, while they were here, in the shape of appointro nts which were to be looked for, as those of judges in the Court of Appials; of Speaker of the Legis: lative Council, of local governorships, as one of the causes of the unammi:y which prevailed among the members of the Cunference.
The honorable member miust have a very mean opiniou of human nature, to suppose that pub. - lic men;, having such great interests eutrusted to them, and their own and their country's honor to guard and oto keep pure and unsullied in the eyes of the world, would agree to betray and deliver up their country for the love of a poor appointment, even if it were the post of heatenatht-governor or of chief justice. II am willing to believe that that insinuation was a slip of the touguc, and that he is already sorry that it ever escuped from his lips. Another point on which the hotoorable member for Hochelaga colarged, is the militia question and the defence of the country.' On this head, the booorable member declares that he canoot understand how the union of the provinces is to increase our streagth. The experience of the hounrable member for Hochelaga and the teachings of history ought, however, to have taught him that a disumted people, scattered oper a vast extent of territory, must' be an easier conquest than one which is uuited under a single strong and respected goverament. This brings me to speak of auuther observation made ty the hooorable meniber, who declared that our best policy, in order to avoid all dififculty with our neighbors, and escape the evils of a war, pould be to remain quetet and sit with our arms acrosg. The House will permit me to quote the very expressions of the honorable membor on this subject :-

It wrould be a piece of folly for us to raise a standing army, by way of keeping off an invasion of our frontier, - Our best plan is to remain quiet, and to give no pretext to our neighbors for making war on us. Let a healthy state of public opinion be our shield; let-nut the press violently assail the northern authorities; theu if war comes without any fault of "ours, it will be our duty to do our best to assist the Mother Country in the struggle which would ensue.
I think', as the honorable member does, that we ought not to give any just cause of dissatis: faction to our neighbors, and still less attack their frontier; and the present Government have given proof, onall occasions, that they dire disposed to respect the rights and opinions of the American people. But, on the other hand, the honorable member is the first to inform us that the best means of defending ourselves is, not to be ready and accustomed to the use of arms, but to remain unarmed; with our arms across like men of peace-in phain terus, to give ourselves up, bound hand and foot. "Now, I will ask hin a plain quies-: tion. It he were apprehensive of an attaok from a neighbor of his, would he go to him and say, "dere lam, do what you please with me, orer would he not rather be prepared to: meet an attack? I rather think that the honorable member would not be loug in making. up his mind as to which conrse he would take. Now that which is wise and politic in an individual is equally wise and politic in the case of a nation. We are not desirous of assuming a threatening attitude towards our neighbors.- On the contrary, our wish is to live with them in peace and quietness.". Wo: are "qnxious uot to do the least act which can be construed into a threat ; but- we should be lamentably blind if, with the enormons military "armainent of our neighbors before our eges, we looked at this tormidable military display with our arms across, and a careless dişregard of its greatuess in our hearts." Such. an attitude would neither be patriotic nor worthy of a nation of free men. The most cortain way to avoid an attack and subjugation by our nelighbors, to have our independence. and our privileges respected, is to shew them that we are prepared to delend them at any: cost: The houorable member for Hochelaga has declared that he is prepared to make some. sacrifices to defend the country,' but he has not told us how much he is ready to do in that behalf. Perhaps he will let us know at a future time, if we are called upon to apend money for the purpose. Howevor that may be, I must animadvert on the remarks which he bas made with regard to tho volunteers.

Speaking of the expense which the Government were incurring for the defence of the frontier, he said that 30,000 militiamen would cost thirty millions of dollars! The honorable member has a singular way of calculating. The fact is; if we were under the necessity of raising an arny of 30,000 men, we should not pay them at the rate of a dollar, nor eyen threequarters of a dollar, a head. The honorable member for Hochelaga knews as; well as I do that the militia force now on foot and doing duty at the frontier, or in garrison in the interior, was called out in circumstances altogether exceptional, and that the Government were quite unable to coutrol, to the extent they would perhaps have desired, the rate of pay which was to be allowed. $\because$ The honorable member must likewise be aware that those brave militiamen gave the greatest proof of their love of country, and in minny cases made very great sacrifices to the detriment of their own interest and that of their families. $\because$ Many of them "were employes in commercial houses, some in counting-houses, others in workshops, which gave them much higher remuneration than they are now receiving from the Goveramient, and I conyider it very bad taste indecd that any should gradge them their paltry pay, inader the pue tence that it will be a heavy item on the budget. (Hear, hear.) They did not hesitate, when the country claims their services, to risk their healty and to give up the comforts and delights of home, and I aun well assured that the people will not grudge them the miserable half crown which they receite in ex change, and will approve of what the Goverrment has done under the circumistances. The honorable member for Hochelaya réproaches the Government with anuther mitdeed The truth is that he finds something wrong, dome short-coming, in every activn of the present Administration. Acerdiugly, allad. ing to the right of veto permitted to the General Government, the honorable member expresses himself" in this manner. "Thum, it a measure were passed by a inajority of a local legislature, and if, nevertheless, the majority of the section of the Geveral Government representing that particular province were opposed to it, would not that section use all their influence in the General Government to throw out that measure ?' ' Before-ansiver ing the honorable member, Mr. Speaken, I think it will-be well to refer to the two cliuses which relate to that matter. In these clauses We find:-

1. Any bill of the General Parliament may ba
reserved in the usuat manner for Her Majesty's aissent, and any bill of :the local legislatures may, in like manner, be reserv-d for the consideration of the Governor General.
2. Any bill passed by the General Parliament shall be subject to disallowance by Her Majesty within two years, as in the cuase of bills passed by the legislatures of the said provinces hitherto; and, in like manner, any bill passed by a:local legislature shall be súbject to disallowance by the Govervor Geperal within one year after the pass. ing thereof.
Well; I ask the House, what is wrony in those two clauses? At preseat, what is our position wher a bill has passed the tro Houses of our Legislature? It is this the bill is submitted for the sanction of the Gavernor General, and in nearly all casees is sanctioned without being referred to the Imperial Gorernment. But if, for instance, the bill relates to a divorce, or to any question watich concerns the Imperial Government, or if again it is a measure affecting our relations with our neighbors or any other nation, it is then reserved for Her Majesty's sanction. When a mieasure is thus reserved, does the honorable meniber for Hochelaga suppose that the members at the English Guvernment meet to take it into consideration? Not/at all; there is in the Colonial Office a second or a third class clerk whose particular business it is, and who makes hiv report to the minister. "This report decides cither the sanctivn or the disallowance of the measure in question. If the "measure is highly interesting to the country and is disallowed, we c:muot blame any one and must subuit, as the English ministry are not respousible to us. Under the Confederation this danger and inconvenience will no longer exist. 'In a case wherein the Local Government of Lower Canadia should pass a law which the Lieutenant-Goveruor might think fit to reserve for the sanction of the Central Goverametit if the latter refused their sanotion, al though it was demanded by the paople of the section, and there were no reason for this refusal, wo should have our sixty five menibers in the Central Parliament to protest against it,' and who would unite and make combinations to turn out the ministry who should act in that manner. And you are not to say that those sixty-hive members would be powerless agaimst the rest of the House. United in a compact phalaus, they would, without doubt, tind support aulong the members of the other provinces, who would lave evory reason uot to allow our rights and privileges to be infringed, lest they ghould one day es. perience the same treatment themselves in
regard to their own On the other hand, Mr: Spzakzr, the digallowance of a measure sanctioned by the local governments is limited. as to time, and must be declared within twelve montis, whereas, under the present system; it can be done within two years. This is a restriction which has been granted in favor of Lower Canada and of all the other provinces of the Confederation; it is a restriction favorable to the people, but the honorable member will refuse; no doubt, to acknowledge that this concession to the people is our work. Moreover, why should we be afraid of this veto? In our Liooal Legislature we assuredly have no intention to be unjust towards a portion of the population, but propose to act topwards them, as in times phast, as towards equals; "we intend, in short, to be as just to that part of the population al we were when they were a feeble clementin it. This has not. prèented the honorable member for $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ chelaga from telling the English inembers from Lower Canada that they mast be on their guard and take care of themselves. Well, Mr. Speaker, I shall not offer such an insult to the race to which I belong. The French-Canadians have always acted honorably towards the other races who live among them, and they will certainly not take advantage now, any more than they have done in times past, of the majority they may have in the Local Legislature to molest or persecinte the minority. This is the reason why we have no fear nor misgiving relative to the right of veto. Moreover; we are not to suppose that the intention of the tiwo elauses which I have already quoted, is that every bill passed in the local legislatures will be reserved for the sanction of the Central Government. That reservation will take place only in respect of such measures as are now reserved for Her Majesty's sanction. So that the honorable member for Hochelaga is widely mistaken when he reproaches the present Government for having agreed to those two olanses. Another question on which the hon. member has also: called us to account, relates to the export duties on tinimer and coals. In clause 29, which relates to the powiers of the Federal Parliament, the third seetion reads as follows:
The imposition or regulation of duties of cass toms on imports or exports, except on exports of timber, loge, manta, spara, deals, and sawn lomber from Nom Brunstick;; and of coal and other minoralg from Nopa Scotia.
The fact that this porer has been conferred on the Government does not imply that it will be esercised. The power was granted
simply beeause it might be necessary in certaim cases mentioned. Now this is the reason for the second part of the clause which I have just read to the House, and which I cannpt better explain than by giting some expressions of a speech by the Hon, the Minister of Finance on the subject. Nevertheless, as there are several honorable members in the House who do not anderstand English, I think it will perhaps be better to explain them in French. Here then was the thought of the Convention: as in. New Branswick the GIorernment had found that it was a great disadvantage to collect the duties on timber according to the system formerly adopted, and they had subsitituted an export duty which superseded all other dues on that prodict, it was no more than right that this source of revenue should remain in New Brunswick, to which province it was an object of absolute necessity to defray its local expenses. In Canada we retain, under the new Constitution, our own method of collecting gimilar daties. As to New Brunswick, the duty on the article in question is their principal reyenue; as coal is almost the sole revenue of Nova Scotia; and if they had been deprived of them, they would have peremptorily refused to join/the Confederation. * (Hear, hear.) :Their demand was perfectly just, and could not therefore be refused. Moreover, we have no right to complain, for they leave us all our mines and our lands; and we shiall now, as heretofore, collect the proceeds for our own use and profit. The: honorable member for Hochelaga says that it will be impossible to administer the affairs of the local legislatures without having recourse to direct taxation; but a man of his éxperience ought not to have made that assertion. Instead of attempting to trade on popular prejudice, he ought to have admitted at once that the right granted by the new Constitution of "levying direct taxes, is the same that already exists in the present Constitution ; it is the same right that all our municipalities possess. It does not follow that the right will be ceercised. But the hoiorable member knows well that the people are not in favor of direct taxation, and that they would be unwilling to adopt it as a system; 'in place of indirect taxes ; hence his attempt to use it as a. bug-bear in order to alarm the people of Lower Canada. We must bear in mind that the proceeds of the local revenue of Lower Canada will be emploged in defraying local expenses. The Hon. Minister of Finance has stated that in Lower Canada the local rovedue will be $\$ 55 \overline{7}, 000$, besides the 80 cents per
head of the population to be paid each year, in half-yearly payments in advance," by the Federal Government. This subsidy will; therefore, a mount to $\$ 888,000$, malking a total of $\$ 1,446,000$ for the local requirements of Lower Canada. I am aware that the honorable imeuber has caist: a doubt upon the accuracy of the ligures set down by the Hon. Minister of Finance, and attempted to show that the local revenue would not be as harge; but the figures I' shall give are taken from the Public Accounts, and 1 think it will be admitted that they must be considered to be correct. At all events, here are the figures I have gathered from an examination of the official docùments :-


Now the reyenue of Lower Canada will be as follows, taking the present figures and without adding the probable increase :-


Now it is evident that these figures agree with the calculations of the Honorable Hz . nance Minister, less a difference of $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 , 0 0 0}$ to $\$ \mathbf{\$ 5}, 000$. Lower Canada will have a reve nue of nearly $\$ 1,500,000$, and the excess of its revenue over expenditure, according to the calculations of the Honorable the Finance Minister, will be $\$ 209,000$.

Hon. Mr. DORION - Why do you deduct the revenue from the Municipal Loan Fund?

Is it because Lower Canada is to be charged with the payment of the Municipal Loan Fund debt?

Hôn Sol. Gen. LANGEVIN-I strike out the item of "revenue from the common school lands, because in the course of tume the lands will become sxhausted, and the revenue cannot be considered as permancnt: Besides, the amount must be added to the Common School Fund, and cannot really be considered as an ordinary source of revenue. It is the same ds regards the Municipal Loan Fund, which cannot' be considered as permanent revenue, and I wish to count only the ordinary items of revenuc. But, on the other hand; it must be seen that many of the items of revenue will increase in course of time, so that the surplus of revenue over expenditare in Lower Canada will always be conisiderable
'Hon.' Min. DORION - The honorable meniler did not understand my question. I asked him whether Lower Ganada will be compelled to pay the municipal debt, and he has not answered.

Hon. Sol: Gen Lavgevin-I understood the honorable gentieman perfectly well, but I make it a rule never to allow my self to be turned aside by interraptions; and I shall not depart from that rule now. (Hear, hear.) The figures I have given are highly important, for' they demonstrate that Lower Canada will have a real revenue under the new Constitution-a revenue which is not calculated upon the probable increase and prosperity of the country, but upon the preBent revenue-of nefrly $\$ 1,500,000$, to meet local expensés.: And yet, in the face of these figures, which are based upon the most evident facts; honorable members talk of direet taxes. They simply want to frighten the country. But the people will see that there is no danger of direct taxation with the sur plus revenue we shall have. Direct taxation urust be resorted to if Lower Canada should give way to extravagance and spend/more than her means, bat not otherwise. Lower Canada will haye a revenue sufficient to meet all its expenses, unless it follow the example of a person with an income of t 400 , who should expend $£ 1,000$. The total experses of Lover Canada for all purposes, less the cost of legislation and the payment of interest on the local debt, will be $\$ 997,000$, calculating the expenditure upon the presint basis. But it is evident that Lower Canada will reduce its expenditure, such for instance as the expenditure connected with the Cromn Lauds department, and that economy will be prac-
tised in order, at a future period, to meet the expenses of looal works, without rendering it necessary to defer other necessary items of expenditure. The expenses of the local legislation of Lower Canada may be set down at $\$ 150,000$, and that is a reasonable estimate if twe remember that all questions of general interest are to be discussed and regulated by the Federal Parliament, and that the local legislatures will only have to deal with questions of local interest. . It is clear that the stssions will be far shorter than they are at present, and far less expensive. Every one will admit that under the present system long discussions do not take place in the House on private bills and measures of local interest, which are discussed in committees, but that such discussions occur on questions of a general interest, such as railways, taxation, the tariff, Confederation, and that these are the discuissions which prolong the session. I-say, moreover, that the interest on the portion of the public debt to be assigned to us will be about $\$ 90,000$, and that our total yearly ex penditure will reach $\$ 1,237,000$; leaving us a surplus revenue of $\$ 209,000$. "I trust Lover Canada will have the prudence to set apart a large portion of the $\$ 209,000$, in order to carry out hereafter local works and improvements without being compelled to touch its yearly revenue.
Mr. DUFRESNE (Iberville)-The surplus can be put out at interest:! (Laughter.)
Hon. Sol.' Gen.' LANGEVIN-The dounorable member for Hochelaga feigas great uneasiness as to the position of Lower C:anada in the Confederation, as well as to the matter - of direct taxes. .He spoke at great length as to the prosperous financial position of Lower Canada when she entered the union in 1841; but we must remember that before the union the revenue of Lower Canada was but $\$ 550$;000 , and that, nevertheless, she was compelled to provide for all local expenzes and many itens of genoral expense which, under tha Confederation, will fall within the domain of the Federal Governiment; such, for instance, as the payment of the salaries of the judges, cte. Under the Coufederation Lower Camada will have a surplus of over $\$ \mathbf{2 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ on its local expenditure, even though the present expenditure should not be reduced. The honorable member for Hochelaga also said that the share of the debt apportioned to Lower Canada, apart from the general debt, would be $\$ 4,500,000$. He must have made serious crrors in his calculations in order to arrive at such a result. The debt of the two Canadas at the present moment, deducting the Sinking

Fund, is $\$ 67,263,000$; comparing the calculation of the honorable member with that put forth by him in his address to his elcetors in 1863; I find he has arrived ata perfectly different result, and he has no right to accuse others of being in error. Thus, in his address he, states that apart from the then debt, $\$ 16$;000,000 would be required for the Intercolonial Railway and yet he now asserts that it would take twenty millions.

Hon. Mr. DORION-It was the President of the Council who said it.

Hon. Sol: Gen. LaNGEVIN' - The honorable member should not trust to the calculations of the President of the Council, since he himself has stated that nothing good can come from this side of the House. But the fact is the honorable member was anxious not to frighten the people at that time, and thercfore it was that he spoke of sixteen millions, whereas now he speaks of twenty. With regard to the amount of the public debt, the Hon. Minister of Finance has given us figures taken from the most reliable sources, and I prefer adopting his figures to following those of the honorable member for Hochelaga: The Hon. Minister of Finance told us that the total debt of the two Canadas, without counting the Sinking Fund, was $\$ 67,263,000$, and that the Federal Government would undertake $\$ 62,500,000$. There will therefore reniain about $8+7,763,000$ to be divided between Upper and Lower Canada, and if Lower Canada takes for its share $\$ 4,500,000$ as the honorable meniber stated; there will only remain about $\$ 263,000$ for Upper Canada! I do not see how the honorable gentleman has mapaged to arrive at such a result, for it is clearly erronenus.

Hon: Mr: DORION-Let the Honorable Soliticitor General apply to the Honorable Minister of Finance; and he will get the explanation.
Hon. Solicitor General LANGEVIN -It is evident that the houorable uember for Hochelaga, in his calculation of the apportionment of the residue of the debt between Upper and Lower Canada, has put a 4 in place of a 1 or $\%$, in the same way that he put 20 in. place of 16 in the matter of the Intercolonial Railway. In his anxiety to find fault he sees double, and instead of seeing five millions to be divided, he s es nine. The debt devolving upon Lower Canada will not be $\$ 4,500,000$. Lower Canada will have ouly its just share of the five millions to be divided.

HoN. Ma DOKION - The hemorable member has forgotten the explanations of the Honorable Minister of Finarice, who stated
that the debt incurred for the redemption of the seigniorial tenure, which amounts to ${ }_{a}$ three millions, was not included in the general debt.

## Hon. Solicitor General LaNGEVIN

 $\rightarrow$ The Minister of Finance stated the whole debt, in his speech at Sherbrooke, at $\$ 67$;263,994. The amount of the debt is $\$ 75$,578,000 ; but it is necessary to deduct the Sinking Fund and cash in bank, $\$ 7,132,068$, reducing it to $868,445,953$; the Minister of Finance also deducted the Common School Fund, which amounts to $\$ 1,181,958$, and he arrived at the result $I$ have just given, that is to say, that the real debt of Canada is $\$ 67$, 263,994: I do not give all the items of the public debt, for I do not think it deyolves upon me to prove that the ealculations of the Finance Minister are not correct; that is the task of those who accuse him of crror; and the Public Accounts are there to shew that the Finance. Minister has stated nothing but the truth.: The honorable member for Hochelaga has manifested excessive anxiety respecting the financial position of the Confederation; but in "this case also we have the same guarantees as for that of the local governments. He "asserted,' for instance, that Newfondland was too poor to contribute to the revenue of the Confederation, and that, in place of regeiving anything from that province, we shall be compelled to send down money to prevent the people of the island from perishing by cold. The honorable gentleman is, nevertheless, well aware that Newfoundland has a large revenue, a revenue of $\$ 480,000$, and that its expenses are less than its income. Newfoundland will receive its share from the Federal chest, but it will also contribute to the general revenue. While I am considering this portion of the honorable gentleman's speech, I must admit that it is the strongest argument in behalf of Confederation, from the standpoint of the Lower Provinces, that could be brought forward; and, for my part, I desire to see thoustinds of copies of his'speech sent to those provinces, for his object clearly is to shew that the measure would be entirely to their advantage. . He has attempted to shew that they will have a larger revenue than they have at prement; but he omitted to state that Lower Canada would have $\$ 200,000$ over and above her expenses. He knoivs perfectly well that the total revenue of the provinces forms a sum of $\$ 14,223,320$, for 1864 , and that the total expenditure amounted only to $\$ 13,350,-$ 832 , so that there is a surplus of $\$ 872,488$, apart from the revenue from increase of im-ports in 1864. The financial position is therefore highly favorable for the formation of a Confederation. The honorable member for Hochelaga stated that New Brunswick would have a surplus of $\$ 34,000$ over its expenditare, and he complains, upon that ground, of the subsidy of $\$ 63,000$ it is proposed to pay that province during ten years. Būt every one is aware that the subsidy is to be paid because that province gives up all its rérenucs to the Federal Government; except that derived from its export duty on timber; that was the réason its delegates insisted on the payment of the subsidy during tei years, and they were right. The honorable member also stated that Prince Edward Island was to receive $\$ 48,000$ more than its expenses. But how comes it then that Prince Edward Is land has hitherto exhibited reluctance? It must be that that province takes a different view from the honorable member. The truth, however, is that Prince Edward Island, like the other provinces, was treated with juistice and equity by the Quebee Conference, that its local requirements were considered, and that a sufficient revenue to provide for them was awarded to it: The honorable member for Hochelaga, who spoke in English, took that opportunity to make a violent appeal to the members from Upper Canada, and told them that there would be enormous imposts, and that two-thirds of the revenue and of the taxes wöld be paid by them. He did well to speak in English; for I am certain that he would not make the same assertion in French in the presence of the members from Lover Canada; he would make no appeal of that nature, and I regret it, for that would give us the best of reasons for entering into the Confederation; but I must acknowledge that that statement of the honorable member is not exactly correct, for the basis of the Confederation is justice to all.' The honorable member for Hochelaga. also said, in order to produce an effect upoi the members from Upper Canada, that the extension of the Confederation westward was a farce, "an absurd uffair," because the westera provinces do not even think of it, and because we have no conmunieation with that territory,

Hon. Atry. Gen. CARTIER-We must go round Caps Hora (Laughter.)'

Hon: Sol Gen. LaNGEVIN-Since the question of Confederation has been raised, papers have artived from Victoria (Vancouver's Island) and froin British Columbia, and they all agree in baying that it is to their
adrantage to unite themselves with the provinces for all general business, reserving to themselves the management of their local affairs. I quote as follows from one of the journals in question :-
Whatever may be the result of the present attempts to form a Confederation of the North American Colonies, we may be certain of one thing, and that is, that but few yeara will pass away before the accomplishment of a plan of this nature. Half a dozen provinces lying adjacent to each other, and sabject to one and the same power, having different tariffs, exhibit a state of áfairs which, from its very nature, cannot conunue long. However, setting aside this anomaly, we find North American Colonies for which a more past political career mist be provided The people have too loing labored under the weight of oisabilities which, by wonnding their pride, have placed them in a humiliating position before the eyes of the whole world. With all the adrantages of responsible government granted to him by the Imperial authorities, after years of strife and triall, the colonist hardly possesses une half the national privileges enjoyed by an Enolishman. He is deprived of his share of patrouage even in cases in which he is entitled to it alad is.eminently worthy of it. The position of Colonial Governor is seldom or never granted to him, and in many parts of Her Majesty's dominions he is forbidden to practise his profes. ston in the coarts of justice. We therefore hail this intiative taken by the Canadian Government as the commercement of the regeneration of the colonists, who have hitherto remained in pupilage. With a confederation of colonies extending from gie ocean to the other," what himits shall we assizn to our greatness, our material progress and our political aspirations? Instead of seeing th talent of our statesmen fettered, harassed and restrained within the narrow limits of local politice, we shall find its scope extended to a whole contivent, while a more rast and natural field will be thrown open to the active and enterprising spirit of the Nörth American Provinces. Want of space prevents our entering upon this question at greater length to-day; but we hope that the in vement will succeed, and will allow us at ho distant day to emerge from the isolated and feeble position in which we now are, to become a part of the great British North American Confederation.
That is the language of one of the nemspapers of those colonies. What has the hon. member to say to it? I hope I shall be forgiven for reading some more extracts from these journale, which wo do not read here as much as" we ought' to do, although they are of a nature to five us information respecting that part of British North America. Anotiner paper says:-
There is thea but one course left for the Eng
lish colonies, and more especially the North American and Australian colonies: Before ten years have passed over our heads, the population of the colonies comprised between Vancouver's Island and Newfoundland will be hardly lest than six millions of souls; occupying a territory às large as that of the United States before the civil war, and in extent greater than three-fourths of the continent of Europe. " With telegraphic communication and railways from one ocean to the other, with a Federal union, in which will be combined and concentrated all the talent of the colonies, and the object of which will be to represent the various interests of those colonies, what country has before it a more splendid future than this immense Confederation, with its innụmerable and inexhanstible resources?
I shall not occupy any further tine in quoting from these journals, but I wished to demonstrate that the plan of Confederation is not only a plan of political men in their extremity, as was said by the hon. member for Hochelaga, but that the provinces give in their adherence to it, because they perceive that it will be advantageons to them. As to the facilities for communication, I shall quote an excellent authority-Professor Hing-to show thatithéy are not so limited as the hon. member declares them to be. The following is from an essay by Professor Hind on the subject of the North-

## West Territory :-

The Canadian emigrant party assembled at Fort Garry, in June, 1862, travelling thither by Detroit, La Crosse, St. Paul, and Fort Abercrombie, by rail, stage. and steamer: At Fort Garry they separated into two parties ; the first division cons tained about one hundred emigrants; the second division, sixty-five persons. The first party took the northern route, by Carlton to Edmonton ; the ${ }^{\text {a }}$ second, the southern trail. At Edmonton they all clanged their carts for horses and oxen, and went thence in a straight line to the Leather Pass (lat. $54^{\circ}$ ), through which they took 130 oxen, and about 70 horses., They sud enly found them. selves on the head waters of the Fraser river, and ${ }^{\text {so }}$ hadentle was the ascent that the only means they had of knowing that they had passed the dividing ridge of the Rocky Mountains was by unax. pectedly observing the waters of the rivers flowing to the west ward. When in the mountains they killed a few oxen for provisions'; others were sold to the Indians at Tete Jaune Cache, on the Fraser river, and others were rafted down the Fraser to the forks of the Quesnelle. ' At Tôte Jaune Cache a portion of the party separated from the rest; and, with fourteen horses, went across the country by an old well-worn trail, to Thompson's river, and thas succeeded in taking their horses from Fort Gatirry through the Rocky Mountainsthrough a supposed impassable part of British Columbin-to the wintering station on Thomp-
son's river for the pack animals of the British Columbia gold-seekers. With this party of more than 150 people were a woman and three little chaldren. The little children were well cared for, for the emigrants took" a cow with them, and these infant' travellers. were : supplied with milk all the way on their long journey to the Leather Pass in the Rocky Mountains, I look. upon the successful jouruey of the Canadian emi grants in 1862; across the continent, as an event in the history of Central British America of anesaupled importance.' It cannot fail to open the eyes of all thinking , men to the siugular natural features of the country which tormed the scene of this remarkable journey.' Probably there is no other continuous streteh of country in the world, exceeding 1,000 miles in length, and wholly in a stite of inature, which it would be possible tor 100 peopple, including a woman and three children, to traverse during a single short season, and successfully, and indeed easily overcome such apparentIy formidable obstacles as the Rocky Meuntains have been supposed to present.

On a review of what is now. known of Central. Britsh America, the following facts cannot fail to arrest the atteation and occupy the thoughts of those who think it worth while to consider its. future, and its possible relation to ourselves durinis. the next and succeeding gignerations.

We tind in: the great basin of Lake Wimipeg an area of cultivable land equal to three times the area of this province; and equal to the ayaul--ahle land for agricultural settlement in the pronuce of Canada. It is watered by great lakes, as large as Lake Untaxio, and by a vait river, which in summer is navigable to within sight of the Rocky Mountains. 'It contains ineshaustibie supplies of iron, lignite, coal, salt, and much yold: It has a seaport within 350 miles, via the Nellion River, of Hudson Bay, whichis accessible for three months in the year for steamers.

This great basiu contains the only area lefi on the American continent where a new nation can spring into existence.
This is a complete refutation of the statement made by the hon. member for Hochelaga, that communication with those colonies is impossible. In a part of the lecture from which I have just quoted; Professor : Hind says that, between Lake Superior and the Lake of the Woods, the distance is only about 200 miles, and when once that is got over, an immense valley more than a thousand miles in length is attained-a nagnificent valley, which may furm part of the Confederacy and provide an outlet for our population. The hon. member for Hochelaga also told us, that if we accepted Confederation we should subsequently be drawn into a legislative union; but he well knows that by the Constitution which is submitted to this Honse, the questiou of a Foler, 1 , union only is mooted. It at a subsequeat
period our descendants should choose to have a legislative union, that will be their affair and not ours; if they do choose to have it, it will be because they will then be strong enough to have nothing to fear: Further, without entering into all the details relating to the position, 5 as to religion, of Lower Canada in the Confederation, I must call attention to the fact that the total population of all the provinces, in 1861, was $3,300,000$ souls, and of these the total number of Catholics amounted to $1,494,000$. Thus they will be numerous enough to protect their religious and other interests; and those interests will be in a position of safety in the local legislatures. We do not seek to be allotved privileges which others have not; we only wish that our rights may be respected as we respect those of others. French-Cainadians are not, have never been, and will not become persecutors either in political or religives matters under the Confederation. I appeal to men belonging to other religions to say whether we have cver proved unjust or persecurors to them. That part of the -population of Lover Cinada which is of foreign origin will have nothing to: fear under the Local Governnient, any more than we shall have auything to fear under the Federal Government: But in consideration of what has been said by the huuurable members for Hochelaga and Lotbiniere, and of the mistrust which they have endeavored to create in the minds of the French-Canadian and Catholic population of Lower Canada, I think the House will allow me to read an extract trum a letter written by IIis Grace the Archbistop of Halitas, who is likely to understand the interests of the Cathulic population quite as well as the two honorable members in question. Ihis is the reply which he makes to those who pretended that we had reasou to fear invasion of the country by the Fenians:-

If there be fifty thousand mien already prepared to invade this eountry, as you admit, instead of laboring to keep is in var preseat dusjointed and defenceless position, you should rather call on all to unite where a siagle man canaot be dispensed with; and gird on our armor for the rencontre. If responsible governueni, which the great and good meir of this country won for us, bo a precious heirloom on the lilliputian seale on which we now find it, instead of tartering it away for nothing by Confederation, is you say, we shall rather, in my opinioi, add to its lustre and value, and enuoble sad enfich it, and make at boundlessly grander and mure secure for ourselves and those who are to come after us. Wo obtained
responsiblegovernment from the Mother Country; in" whose legistative halls we had not a single member to represent us. We are now, on the contrary, asking to transfer the rich and prized deposit to a place which will be a part only of our common country, where our voice must be heard, and where we will have a fuller and fairer representation than the city of London or Liverpool, or Bristol, can bodst of in their English House of Commons; and this is the great difference betweén obtaining from England what we had not, and transferring what we now have, in order to make it miore valuable and more available for our own purpoises, añd by far more secure. Confederation, therefore, instead of depriving us of the privileges of self.goverument, is the only practical and reliable guarantee for its continuance. .We are too small to be warranted in the hope of being able to hold it always on the strength of our own resources; and England, if not two weak; is certainly too prudent and too cautious to risk her last shilling and her last man in a country where, instead of a population of four millions, she will have scarcely one-tenth of that number to help. her against the united power of a whole continent. To deny, therefore, the obvious advantages of Confederation, you must first prove that union is not streugth - that England under the beptarchy, 'and France under the feudal chief and barons, were greater and stronger and happier than they now are at the two greatest nations of the world.
Here, again, is what be says in answer to those who will have nothing to do with defence, under the pretext that we have nothing to fear from our neighbors :-
No nation ever had the power of conquest that did not use it, or abuse it, at the very first favorable opportunity.
All that is said of the magnanimity and forbearance of mighty nations, can be explained on the principle of sheer expediency, as the world Enows. 'The whole face of Europe has been' changed, and the dynasties of many hundred years have been swept away within our own time, on the prineiple of might alone- the oldest, the strongeat, and, as some would have it, the most sucred of all titles. The thirteen states of America, with all their professions of self-denial, have been all the time, by money-power, and by war, and by nogotiation extending their frontier, until they more than quadrupled their territory within sixty years; and believe it who may, are they now, of their own'aceord, to come io a full stop? No; as long as they have the power they must go onward, for it is the very nature of power to grip whatever is within its roach. It is iot their hostile feelings, therefore, but it is thoir power, and only their power I dread.
In reply to those who declare that the best defence we can have is no defence at all, he says:-
To be fully. prepared is the only practical
argument that can have weight with a powerful enemy, and make him pause beforehand and count the cost.' And as the sort of preparation I speak of is utterly hopeless without the union of the provinces, so at a moment when public opinion is being formed on this vital point, as one deeply concerned, I feel it a duty to declare myself unequivocally in favour of Conifederation as. cheaply and as honorably obtained as possible, but Confederation at all hazards and at all reasonable racrifices.

After the most mature consideration, and all the arguments I have heard on both sides for the last month. these are my inmost convictions on the necessity' and the merits of a measure which alone, under Providence, can secure to us social order and peace, and rational liberty and all the blessings we now enjoy under the mildest gavernment and the hallowed institutions of the freest and happiest country in the world.
I will now draw your attention to a short ${ }^{\text {s }}$ letter from the Roman Catholic Bishop of Newfoundland, which has not yẹt been read in the House, but which has just been published in the newspapers:-

## St. Joriss, Jan. 5th, 1865,

My Dear Sir, -In reply to your communication of this date, I beg to state that I took no notes of the observations I made at the last examination of the youth of St. Bonaventure's College. I distinctly remember, however, that among other arguments I used to inupress on parents and scholars the necessity of education, one was, that according to the tendency of the age, a union of all the British North A merican Provinces would take place, if not immediately, by the force of circumstances in a few years; and that such a union would have an extraordinary influence on the risiag geneation in Newfoundland. People were in the babit of saying that education of a high class was useless in this country, as the field was too limited. I repodiated that idea altogether. Newfoundlanders were not confined to this isliand, the "British Empire and the States were open to them. Wherever the English language was spoken, there was an opening for au educated Newfoundlander. But independently of that; the Confederation of the Provinces would open up a home-market for edacation and talent-a market increasing every year, and of which at present we can forin no conception. The bar, for example, would be open to all; the Centrat Letislature would open up a great field für political ability; the highest offices of the law and the government would be open to Newfoundlanders as well as to Canadians or Nova Scotians; and I hape that they would be found perfectly qualified by education to take their places, side by side, with their fellowconfederates, and compete for the prizes the Confederation would hold out to them, on terms of perfect equadity: I sincerely believe that they could do so, as, from my experience, I considered that the youth of this country have as fine talents,
and as great an adaptability for learning, as I have seen in any part of the world; and that I never saw, in any part of Europe, boys acquitting themselves better (and in many eases not so well) than they did at the preparatory examinations and the present exhibition. This, so far as I recollect,' was the substance of the remarks I made on the fact, immediate or prospective, of the Provincial: Confederation.
Thus, whilst some honorable members seek toalarm Lower Canada by asserting that our religion and our Eationality are in danger, here we have an Archbishop and a Bishop declaring themselves strongty in favor of Confederation, and who do not see in it any danger for their flocks. And it is well known, even here, that the whole of the estimable and most respected body of the clergy, from those of the highest rank down to the very humblest of their followers, are in favor of Confederation. But the honorable member for Hochelaga, for the purpose of frightening Lower Canada, has told us that we should very soon hàve a legielative union, and that in that case the fifty French. Canadian members from Lower Canads would coalesce with the minority of the Fedëral Parliament, with the view of obstructing the working of the Government. Well, what better proof could we hare that we have nothing to fear, and that we shall not be exposed to danger under Confedera: tion? History is befrie us to prove that there will alwass be an opposition, and that if ac atteupt is made to oppress any oue section of the Confederation, its representatives would unite in a body with the minority, and having thereby cousstituted a majority, would prevent any injustice on the part of the Federal Goverament. I beg to thank the hon. member for having, againṣt his will, furnished me with so strong an argumention favor of Confederation. The hon. nember then appealed to the national passions aud the prejudices of race. He told us that the Protestant minority in Lower Canada would have to seek protection against the majority of that section. I repeat it, he made that assertion in English, and would not repeat it in French: But what treatment did the minority receive in lower Canada when she had a separate Parlianent? Did not the French-Canadian majority always exercise liberality towards our fellow-conntrymen whose origin and religion was different. from ours \% Thank God, our race is not a persecuting race ; it has ever been liberal and tolerant. The hon.
member for Lotbinière (Mr. JoLr) has also appealed to the religious and national prejudices of the English minority of Lower Canada, but he ought to remember that there is no more danger for the English race in Lower Canada than for any other, and that he was the very last member of the Honse who ought to appeal to religious or national prejudices.

Mr. JOLY-Mr. Speaker, I beg leave to correct the honorable member.

Hon. Sol. ' Gen. LANGEVIN - The hon. gentleman can speak presently.

Mr. JOLY-But any member may correct another when he has been made to say the very reverse of what he did say:

Hon. Sol. Gèn. LANGEVIN - Mr. Speaker, I call the hon. gentleman to order: I have not found fanlt with his having spoken for three hours. I did not interrupt him whilst he spoke, and consequently I do not choose to be interrupted myself. I do not wish to put words into his mouth which he has not uttered, but I wish to have it understood that he made an appeal to the English of Lower Conada, calling upon them to reflect on the fate of their race and their re-: ligion, when he read an extract from the report of Lord Dubiam, the hon, gentleman took very good care to read it in Euglish only-

MR. JOLY-I protest against the lan. guage of the hon. member, and I claim the right to explain.

Mr. J. B. E. DORION-It is not so; the hon. member for Lotbiaidre did not appeal to religious prejudices.

Mg. JOLY - I desire to knur, Mr. Speaker, whether the hon. member is to be allowed to assert that I said what I did not say?

Mr: SPEAKER-The hon. member for Loibiniere is entitled to explain his languape, or to correct the Solicitor General after he has finished speaking.

Hon. Sol. Gen. LANGEVIN--I have the floor, and I claim to be heard without further interruption.

Ma.J. B.E. DORION-Go on ; but statè correctly what a member may have said.

Hon. Sol. Gen. LaNGevin - The hon. gentteman is not pleased that an attack should be made on one of his friends, and yet he was by no means displeased at the language nsed by the hon. member for Lotbiniere last night when speaking of my colleague the Hon. Attorney. General. At all events I will not be interrapted.

MR. J. B. E. DORION-This is the sort of justice to be expected from the other side of the House.

Hon. Atry. Gen. CARTIER - You may speak when you like; you can speak whea your turn comes, but we'shall not listen to you.

Hon Sol. Gen. LANGEVIN-I assert then that the hon nember for Lotbiniere has appealed to the passions, seeking to have it believed on the ove hand that French Ca: uadian nationality and the Catholic religion: would be endangered by Coufederation, and on the other haid that English nationality and the Protestant religion would be ex posed to danger in Lower Cauada uvder the local government. He cited in the Eng'ish language the report of Lord Duriam, to induce the belief that the English of Lower Canada would never consent to submit to a legislaturd, the majority of which would be French. Canadian ; but for my part I am not of that opiniou, and I think that they will subnit to it, because they are sure that they will be treated with justice. It ill became that hun. gentleman to make this statement, when he is himself elected for a conuty exclasively Catholic, which has not hesitated to entrust him with its interests. . He ough: not to have made this appeal, as he himselt is a living proof of the religions tolerance and liberaity of our compatriots Neither did it beconie the hon: member for Hoeholaga to speak as he did to the same effect; When we have seen a large and iuportant electoral division-the division of Lauren-tides-rejeot : venarible gentlematr who presented himself for reelection to the Legislative Conncil, a mas who had been in poltucal life for more than-tweaty-five years, to elect in his place an Eughsth Protestant, Mr. Puice, although there were not 1,50 . Protestants in the whote division, out of a population of 50,000 souls. The election of the member for the county of Megantic (Mr. lavine) is yet another eyidence of the liberality of our tellow-countrymen, the majuricy of the readents in that suabig benag Froneh-Canadians'and Catholics.

MR. J. B. E. DOKION-It was not they who gent ham here.

Hon. Sol. Gen. LaNGEVIN-On the contrary, but for their wotes he would not have been retarned to Marliament for that county. I may turther sey, Mr. Speakea, that the prosence here of the hon. meinber for Sthefiurd (Hon. Mle. Huntinatun), that
of the member for Chateauguay (Hon. Mr. Holton), and the presence of several other members afford abundant proof of the liberality of our fellow-conntrymen, becanse those honorable members, although Enghsh and Protestant, represent cónuties the great majority of the popalation in each of which is French-Canadian and Catholic. The English have always been dealt with more liberally than the hoo member for Hochelaga himself would, perhaps, treat us were he in power. We did uot require the aid of the hon members for Hoohelaga and Lotbinière for the protection of the minorities in the Conference. We were the first to demand that justice should be extended to the Catholics of Upper Canada and the Protestants of Lower Canada, because we desired to establish a solid wörk, aud not to build on the sand an edifice which would crumble to dust the next day. The En lish of Lower Canada will not be excited by the appeals. ot the hon. members, because they knöw that whitever they epan justly claim will be conceded to them without difficulty and with all good will:" Mr. Speakei, although it is with great regret that I have to ask the continued attention of the House, at this late period of the evening, yet such is the great importance of the question before us, that I venture to hope that the House will pardon une for presenting at such length my views on this matter:- I may be permitted, I hope, to retute another assertion made by the honorable member for Hochelaga. That honorable nember, who has found something to censure in every article of the scheme of Confederation, conceived that he produced an argúment that- would be irresistille by aisserting that the distribution of the debt was untüir and burdensome to 1 ower Canada: To give a greater force to this argument; he stated that Luwer Cauada entered into the union with a debt of $\$ \$ 00,000^{\circ}$, and that she would leave it with a burden of $\$ 30,000$,voy, after having ouly expended in the iuterval the simu of $812,000,010$ for publio works withit her humes. This argument is inost spechocis. Supposing that our debt was $\$+0 v, i v 0$, and that to day it is $\$ 30,000,000$, the hou rable mimibar must at all events adinit that the circumstances also have very much changed. At the time of the union our population was onily. 635,000 , and to day It is $1,250,000$. The honorable member, two, mavt not förget that at the tine of the union our territory only proauced $21,000,000$
bushels of grain; whilst to-day it produces more than $50,000,000$ bushels. At the time of the union we had only 1,298 schools, and to-day we have 3,600 . At the time of the union these schools were attended only by 39,000 childrea, whilst to day they are attended by" more than 200,000 . At the union the exportations from the ports of Quebec and Montreal amounted to $\$ 9,000$,000 ; to -day they exceed $\$ 18, \% 00,010$. At the union the number of vessels built annually in our shipyards was 48 only ; now. We have 88, and the tonnage is quadrupled: At the time of the union our importations. amounted to $\$ 10,000 ; 000$, and tọ-day they reach $\$ 45 ; 000,000$. At the time of the union our exportations and importations anounted to $\$ 16,000 ; 000$; to day they reach the enormous sum of $\$ 87,000,000$. And it is" with such figures as these before us that we are to be told that we are leaying the union with a debt of $\$ 30,000,000:$ 'At' the time of the union the revenue arising from the tax on bank:cotes, which affords a fair indication of the extent of busiaess done, amounted to $\$ 2,200$; to day it amounts to $\$ 15 ; 000$, At the time of the union the number of merchantinen arriving in Quebec every, year was 1,000 ; now it is 1,660 and the nunber of vessels arriving at all the ports in Lower Canada is 2,463 . At the time of the union the tonnage of these yessels was 295,000 tons, and now in the port of Quebec it is 807,000 tons, and for the whole of Lower Canada $1,041,000$ tons. At the time of the union 25,000 sailors arrived here anuually; now we have 35,000.. In 1839 the revenue of Lower Canada was $\$ 588,000$; when we enter the Confederacy, although we are not called upon to pay any of the expenditure for general purposes; our revenue will be $\$ 1,4 \pm 6,000$, that is to say that we shall have, under the Confederation, a revenue threu times as large as it was the time of the union; and instead of having, asy we then had, an excess of expenditure amounting to about \$80,000, the tocal expenditure of Lower Cainda, under the Confecteration, will be about $\$ 1,200,000$, leaving a surplus of morc than $\$ 200,000!$ If the ur debt has increased, we have made most rapid "progress, and we have received the full value for our money. Nor must"it be forgotten that at the time of the union of Cpper and Lower Canada the country had not a single railway, and now it is traversed from end to
end by one of the finest railways on this continent $;$, and ere long, let us hope in the interest of our commerce and our safety, that this iron band will connect the extreme west with the Atlañtic ocean. (Hear, hear:) We entered the union when the Welland canal had hardly been begun; we leave it with one of the most magnificent canal systems the world has ever seen."And then the telegraph lines: At the time of the union the only telegraph we had was that one with balls, which so many of us remember, and which used to connect the citadel with the Island of Orleans, and thence communicated with Grosse Isle by a telygraph of the sa:ne kind; now an immense network: of telegraph wires places us in daily and immediate communication with the most remote districts in the different provinces. We leave the anion with a debt greater than: that with which we entered it, but we leave it with" a most "perfect system of lighthouses, wharves, piers, slides, in fact with a large number of other public works, Which have mainly contributed to the settle. ment and the prosperity of the country, and which have more than doubled its resources siuce the union. The Grand Trunk Railway. alone, for the sixteen millions, which it has cosit us, has contributed to increase the value of uar lands by millions and millions. of dollars, by enhancing the value of our ayricultural productions, which are by its means brought with greater easo to the different markets, and has moreover entailed an expenditure in our midst of more than seventy millions of dollars for its construction alone. Yes, Mr. Speaker, if we entered the union with a debt of four hundred thousand dollars, and if today we leave it with a deb: of thirty millions of dollars, we can at all events yhow what :we have done with the money, by the immense extent of territory, then uncleared, which is now covered with abuntant crops, and which have served to keep in the country, not indeed all the children of . our farmers, but at least a very great number of them, who but for these improrements would have emigrated en masse to tho neighboring couniry. Under the Confederation we shall have the control of our lands, and we can settle them eo as to retain in our milst all those of the rising generation of buth origins who too often take to a foreign land their strong right arms, their energy and devotion. Our mineral lands, so rich and so productive, the opening up of which has

Hardly been begun, will also be a source of enormous revenue to the country, and will largely contribute to increase the sum of our population, by keeping in Canada many men who would have gone in search of fortune elsewhere, and it inspires me. with still greater confidence that Providence has been pleased to join to His other blessings conferred upan us, the possession of mines the richest and perhaps the most abundan't in the world. As regards our fisheries, they were hardly opened up at the time of the union; and now, although much more may be done with them, it is, aevertheless; undenia'le that every year they arc more and more developed, and that they are destined, at no distant period, to be a source of immense revenue to the country: (Hear, hear.) There are many other points of riew, Mr. Speaker, from which we night ésamine the advantages we have derived from the union of the Canadas, in return for the sacrifice we have imposed upon ourselver. We might look at the political position we occupied at that period. We should see that we had just come out of a terrible crisis, during which blood had been spilt on battle fields and elsewhere; our Con-stitution had been suspended, and the whole country had witnessed scenees such as its inhabitants, hitherto happy and prosperous, had never seen before. Now we enjoy responsible government, one of the most ylorious of England's institutions, and one that has stood the test of ages. $\therefore$ This great constitutional guarantee we take with usinto. the Confederation, into which we are about to enter in a state of peace and prosperity, with happiness in our midst, and with the conviction that this peace, this prosperity "and this happiness will be made more lasting than ever. . We enter it with the legitimate and patriotic aim of placing our country in a position more vorthy of our population and of "yreater importance, and meriting higher consideration from foreign natious. The hon member for Hochelaga, not-content with calling up past events, has also alluded to the constitution of the courts of law in Lower Canada under the Confederacy. He declared that he did not understand the meaning of that article of the resolutions which leaves to the Central Government the appointment of the judges, whilst by another article it is provided that the constitution and maintenance of the courts was entrusted to the Local Parliament. : The honorable member should have obsorved that
by the powers conferred on the local governments; Lower Canada retains all her oivil rights; as prescribed by the 17th paragraph of article 43, as follows:-
The administration of justice, including the constitution, maintenance and organization of the courts, both of civil and criminal jurisdiction, and including also the procedure in crvil matters.
This is a privilege which has been granted to us and which we shall retain, because our civillaws differ from those of the other provinces of the Confederatiou. This exception; like many others, has been expressly made for the protection of us Lower Canadians. It was our desire, as the representatives of Lower Canada at the Conference, that we should have under the control of our Local Legislature the constitution and organization of our courts of justice, both civil and criminal, so that our legislature might possess full pawer over our courts, and the right to establish or modify them if it thought expedient. But, on the other hand, the appointment of the judges of these courts had to be given, as it has been; to the Central Government, and the reason of this provision is at once simple, natural and just. In" the Confederacy we' shall have a Central Parliament and locat legislatures,Well, I ask any reasonable man, any man of experience, does he think that, with the ambition which must naturally stimulate men of mark and talent to display their powers on the theatre most worthy of their talents, these men will consent to enter the local legislatures rather than the Federal Parliament? Is it not more likely and more reasonable to suppose that they would rather appear and shine on the largest stage, on that in which they can render the greatest service to their country, and where the rewards of their pervices will be the highest? 'Yes, these men will prefer to go to the Central Parliament, and among them there will be doubtless many of our most distinguished members of the legal profession. The members of this profession are often accused of going into Parliament for the purpose of monopolizing the representation. $\cdot$ If this be the case at the pres'ent time, is it not to be supposed that they will do the same thing under Confederation? Were the appointment of the judges left to the local legislatures, the local governments would be subjected to a pressure which might be brought to bear upon them by the first advocate who would attain influence in the Local Legislature. To get rid of an inconvenient nember who might have three or four followore, the Looal Government would have to take
this troublesome advocate of the seennd, third or fiuth order of talent, and place him on the bench, whilst by leaving these appointments to the Centril Government we are satisfied that the selection will be made from men of the hishest order of qualifieutions, ${ }^{2}$ that the external ind local pressure will not be so great, and that the Government will be in a position to adt more freely. 'It may be remurked, in passing, that in the proposed Con-: stitution there is an article which provides' that the judges of the courts of lower Canada shall be appointed from the members of the bar of that section: This exception was only made in favor of Lower Canuda, and it is a substantial guarantee for those who fearthe proposed system. Besides, the honorable member for Hoohelaga, "who fancies that he sees danger in the powers given to the Central Government, knows by experience, ás having himself been a minister of the Crown, that iur respect of every appointuent of a judise the Cabinet always cousults the ministers for the section in which the appointuent is to be made, and accepts therr choice. The bave practice would necessiarily be followed by the Cenitral Government; who would be forced to respect it, because behiad the ministers from euch section would be found the members frow that seetion, and behind our ministers för Lower Canada will be found the sixty-five members whom we shall have sent to repre sent and protect our interestis in the Federal Parliament. It is then advantageous, and there could be no danger in the provirion that the judges should be appointed by the Central Government; indeed, it is for our interest, and the interest of all, that it should be so. And although it may be looked upon as a secundary consideration, yet it maỳ as well be mentioned now, that by leaving the appointment of our judges to the Ceutral Goverimient, we are the gainers by oue hundred thousand dollars, which will have to be paid. for their servioes by the ceatral power: This consideration will perhaps have some weight with the honorable mewiber for Hochelaga, who makes such' an outery to alarm the people that we shall be obliged to have recourse to direct tasation to defray the expenses of our Local Legislatire. Notwithstanding the advanced hour of the evening $I$ cannot pais over in silence another ohserration made by the honorable member, and I beg he will accord me his undivided attention at the precent moment: The honorable gentleman has abked the Goveraunent what nueaving was to be attachod to the word "marriaye," whore
it occurred in the Constitution. He desired to know whether the Government proposed to leave to the Central Government the right of deciding at what age for example, marriage might be erntracted. I will now answer the honorable gentleman as categoriently as pasible, for I and anxious to be understood, not only in this House, bat also by all those who naxy hereafter read the report of our 'proceedings.: And first of all 'I' will prove that civil rights form part of those which, by article 43 (paragraph 15 of the resolutions, are guaranteed to Lower Canada. This paragraph reads as follows :-
15. Property and civil rights, excepting thöse portions ithereof ass yied to the General Parliah rent.
Well, amongst these rigits are all the civil laws of Lower Canada, and among these latter those which relate to marriage; now it was of the highest importance that it should be so ander the proposed systeni, and therefore the nembers from Lower Canada at the Conference took great care to inbtain the reservation to the Local Govern:ment of this important right, and in consenting to allow the word " marriage" after the word "divoree," the delegates have not proposed to take away with one hand from the Local Legislature what they had reserved to it by the other: So that the word " marriage," " placed where it is amung the powers of the Central Parliament, has not the extended signitication which was sought to begiven to it by the honorable member. With the view of being unore explicit; I now propose to read how the word narriage is proposed to be understood:-
The word marriage has been placed in the druft of the proposed Conistitution wis invest the Federal Parliameut with the rizht of declaring what tharriaies shall be held and deemed to be valid thr: ough' int the whole extent of the Confederach. withuut, buwever, jater fering in any particular wath the doctrines or rutes of the rellininus creeds to which the cuatracting parties may belong.
This is a poiut of great inportance, and the French Canadian members ought to rejoice is see that their fellow-countryumen in the Government have not failed in their duty on a question of so serious a nature: On many other points suany of then will doubtless claiii that we have not thoroughly fufilled our duty, but as regards the matter in questivu there can be no difference of opinion, as We buve all i common rule to guide us; and I repeat that they uught to rejuice that their correligiunists iu the Conterence have not been found wanting on this accasion. Tho whole
may be summed up as follows:-The Central Partiament may decide that any marriage contracted in Upper Canada, or in any other of the Confederated Provinces, in accordance with the laws of the country in which it was contracted, although that law might be different from ours, should be deemed valid in Lower Canada in case the parties should come to reside there, and vice versa.

Hon. Mr. DORION-There was no necessity for that provision.
llon. Sol. Gen. LANGENIN-I have just proved that it wis necessary.

Mr. ARCHAMBEAULT-I would ask of the Hon. Solicitor General if a marriage contracted in the"United States, b fore a magistrate, and not according to canonical laws, would be deemed valid in Lower Canada?

Hon Sol Gen. LANGEVIN-It would be so, from a civil point of view, if it were contracted in accordance with the laws of the state in which it was celebrated.

Mr. GEOFFRION - IF a marriage contracted in the United States is valid here, as a matter of course a marriage contracted in a British colony in conformity with the laws of the country must be valid; therefore the explanation of the Hon. Solicitor General is iuadmissible, or the resolution is useless.

Hon. Sol. Gev. LANGEVIN-The honorable member for Vércheres does not choose wo be convinced; so I will make no further atteimpt to convince hiin. "The resolution in question vimifies just what I have stated,

Hon Mr. DORION-That is to, say, it means riothing at all.

Hos. Sól. Gen. LANGEVIN-I beg your pardon, it means that a marriage contracted in no matter what part of the Contederacy, will be valid in Lower Canada, if contracted according to the laws of the country in which it takes place ; but also, when a marriage is contracted in ing province contrary to ita laws, though in conformity with the laws ol another province, it will not be considered valid. Let us now examine the question of divorce: We do not intend either to establish or to reconize a new right; we do not mean to udmit a thing to which we have constanitly rea fused to assent, but at the Conference the ques-- tion arose, which legislature should ezercise the different powers which already exist in the constitutions of the different provinces. Now, among these powers which have been already and frequently exercised de facto, is this of divorce. As a nember of the Conterence, without admitting or ereating uny new right in this mahalf, and while deolaring, as I now
do, that as Catholics we acknowleage no power of divorce. I found that-we were to decide in what legislative body the authority should be lodged which we found in our Constitutions. - After matare consideration, we resolved to leave it in the Central Legislature, thinking thereby to iperease the difficulties of a procedure which is at present so easy. We thought then, as we still think, that in this we took the most pradent course. The following illustration will prove this still more forcibly. It is known to the Hou-e how zealous a partisan the honorable member for Brome (Mr. Dunkin) is of the caase of temperance. Wen, we will suppose that the honorable gentleman were present as a member of a municipal conncil in which it ás to be decided whether all the taverns in a very: populous part of the parish, which could not be suppressed, should be banished to a remote corver of the parish, where they would no longer be a temptation and à stùmbling-block; would he not vote for such a measure'? Would he not send them to a place where they would be least accessible to the population, and would he not think he had done a meritorinas act, an act worthy of a good friend of the temperance cause? Just so in a question of divorce; the case is exactly analogous." We found this porver existing in : the constitutions of the different provinces, and not being able to get rid of it, we wished to banish it as far from us as pos sible.: Oue thing it would be vain to deny, namely, that although we, as Catholics, do not admit the liberty of divorce, although we hiold the marriage bond to be indissolable, yet there are cases in which we both admit and require the annalling of the marriage tie-in cases, for instance, where a marriage has been con tracted within the prohibited degrees without the necessary dispensations. An instance of this occurred very recently. A few months since, an individual belonging to my county, who had married a young girl of a neighboring parish, without being aware at the time of his marriage of the relationship which existed between him and his wife, fonnd out several nonths afterwards that they. were related in such a degree that they reluired a dispensation from the bishop. That dispensation had not been obinuned. He spoke of it to his wife, who refusod to apply for a dispensation, as a step tomad the legal celebration of their marriume. It became necessary, therefore, to have the marriage annulled. The affair was brohyht before the Ecclesiastical Court, and, -after a minute nnvestigation, the diocesan
bishop gave judgment, declaring the marriage null in a çanonical sense. -Regarded in acivil point of view, marriage was still/ valid until it should hare been declared null by a civil tribunal. $\because$ It became necessary there fore, to carry the cause before the Superior Court, and my honorable friend, the member for Beauce, who took the ease in hand with his usual zeal and legal address, obtaiued from. the court, after a suitable inquiry, a judgment declaring the marri ye null in a civil sense, and ordering that it should be registered as such in all places where it should be needful. If this affair had occurred in Upper Canada. what recourse would the parties have had? The parties being Catholics, the case would have been "brought before the bishop, who would also have dedlared the marriage null after suitable inquiry; but the cause would not have had the same conclusion in the civil cuurt, particularly had it depended on certain impediments which have force in Lover Caiada, but none in Cpper Canada. It would haye become necessary to go to Parliament to pray for an act, which, in a Catholic point of view, would be amere decree of separation, but which the Parliament would have termed in act of divorce. This power to grant' a separation is therefore tee essarily vested iu the Parliament, by whateyer name such separation may be designited. and we are not to be reproachef the interpretation which others may give to such name, different from that whieh we assign to it.' I thought it richt to make myself understood un this point, "because I' do not choose that people should be able to say we are afraid of explain: ing our position with regarta to the yuestion of divorce and marritge, and I believe that I have shown that our position is consistent with our religious laws and our principles'us Catholics. : I regret that I have dweit so long on the matters touched upon by the honurable nuember for Hochelagat, but after hiṣ speech. and considering the position he assumed, he must have expected an auswer. And, having done with him, I cone to the houorable imember for Lotbiniere (Mr. Joly) ... That hunorable member has endeavored to prove that all confederations die of comsumption, and has cited, in support of his argument, the political condition of the Spanish republice of South Anerica. Why did he say nothing of the Germanic Confederation? If he bad inentioned that, he would have had to confess that it had proved a suceess. : He would have suid also that it is a monarchical comfederation consisting of thirty-one seater, the chiefs of
which are almost all kings, princes, or eleptors: There are not more than four or five states which are not monarchical, and, nevertheless, that confederation works well.

Mr. GEOFFRION-Are they sovereign states?

Hon: Sol, Gen. Laygerin-Yes, but they have done what we are now about to do. In order to hold their own smong the great powers, and not to be at the neroy of the first who might choose to assail their rights, they have united their strength because they conceived that "union is strength." When the honorable member for Lotbinier rè was talking about the weqkiess inherent in confederations, he ought to have recollected late events in Italy, as they happened a few years ago. He should have called to mind the conquesto of Garibaldi, and reflected that if he had succoeded in overcoming a number of petty states and even the kingdom of *iples for the benetit of the king of sardinia, it was bereuse the Italian states, being divided as he fumd them, were too weak to' resist an invasion, and that, had they been confederated, - acither Garibaldi nor Vietor Emmianci, would ever have succeeded in getuag the uppre hand of them: And what happened when the litite states of Italy were banded together with Piedmont? TLis happened - whea. Garibaldi aimed at making couquests on his own account, he soon found out that the shall states uo longer existed, and that a largee state had been formed out of their fragmenth, the consequence of which was that he was beatea at Aspramicnte: The honorahle member says that our connection with the Mother Country, under the Confederation, would be one of paper, and that the Ep per Camadians would detest the Lower Canadiañs.

Mr geoffrion-Me did not say that such things would be, but that such inight be the effect of Coufeder ation:

Hon. Sol: Gen. LaNGevin - Why' should such be the effeet of Contederation? No questions will be decided in the Federal Parliament but such as relate to general mattexs. Local matters will not be treated of, nor questions of race, of religion, or of institutions peculiar to the several provinoes, and consequently there can be no collision "of opinious on' such questions. Suctis fear, therefore, is quite untinuadet. The houorable meniber save ofreover, that the Confederation liollad rather to divide than to unite us, that civil war would be the result, and that the feg pper Camadians would rather be annozed to the United States than subjected to Lower

Canadian rule. For my part, I believe no such thing. I believe that the Upper Canadians are too loyal to wish to be annexed to the United States. They are quite disposed to trade with their neighbors, to keep up a good understanding with them, bat they do not wish to be annexed. The honorable member for Lotbinière, getting over his fears and predictions and speaking of the sixty-five mémbers from Lower Canada, put the following question-"Suppose the population in Lower Canada should in ten years increase thirty-four per cent., while that of the other provinces increases only thirty per cent.. would it not be unjust to Lower Canada that the number of its representatives should remain the same, should still be sixty-five, While that of the other provinces will be increased ; while in any case the number of representatives from the other provinces is not to be diminished unless their population should diminish five por cent?" This point is very important, but we must observe that Whatever the increase of the population in the other provinces, the part from Lower Canada is fixed and known. Thus, for instance, If the population of Upper Canada should increase more than that of Lower Canada, the latter will always have sixty-five members, the other provinces re ceiving such increased number of representatives as their increased population would "entitle them to. But the resolations do not prevent Lower Canada from having uore than sixty five representatives, if its population should increase faster than that of the other provinces. The French translation of these resolutions is erroueous'; for it says that "for" the purpose of deternining the number of representatives frome each province at the end of every decenuial census; Lower Canada shall never have either more or less than sisty five represcutatives,". whereas the English versien of the resolutions, which is the official version, says: "Lower Canada shall always be assigned sirty-five members." This does not mean that Lower Cañada can never have more than sixty-tive members, but that it wan not have less than sixty-five members. 'That is, I think, a categorical answer to the honorable member's objection. : If the honorable member for Lotbinière were here, I would answer him on other points'; butal will not attack him as he last night attacked the Honorable Attorney General. The honorable member compared the conduct of the Honorable Attorney Genoral, in movinig tho scheme of Confederation, to that of a man who, pre
siding over a savings bank in which every one came to deposit his savings, having confidence in his honesty, should some fine day turn defaulter, betray their confidence and rais them. He said that the honesty of the Honorable Attorney General for Lower Caninda had yielded to the temptation of honors, titles and places, and that he had forgotten all his obligations and duties and sold his fellow-citizens. I shall not retort on the honorable member; but I shall take upon me to continue the comparison made by him and tell him that the Honorable Attorney General has in fact open'ed a' savings bank and has invited every one to deposit in it' his 'title' deeeds and his sarings. Accordiagly we find one day the seigniors and the censitaire ming and depositing in his Eeeping their title deeds, their lands and all they have, These the Honorable Attorney General takes and deposits' in his bank; and when he is called upon to restore them, when he is required to account for them, he pays as never man paid before him; to the censitaires, instead of their title deeds burthéned with mortgages, lods et ventes, corvees and all sorts of services and duties, he restores their lands free from all burthens; while to the seiguiors he tenders' the full value of their seigniorial rights; and if this day there are seigniors holding $a$ hundred thousand acres of land in full right of property, which they can safely estimate as worth eight dollars per acre, they may thank the Honorable the Attoruey General for Lower Canada for itt. . The suitors in our courts come next; they were oppressed with enormous costs, which amounted almost to a denial of justice; they went and deposited their briefs, declarations and pleas in the Honorable Attorney General's savings bank, and he returned them, giving them at the same time judicial decentralisation and diminished costs. of suit. Thus it is that he has earned thio respec: and gratitude of his fellow-citizens. It is the same as reyards the inhabitants of the townships; in place of their ambiguous civil law, he gave them a civil law applying to the whole of Lower Canada, the tuwnships as: well as: the seigniories; and all are now unanimous in expressions of gratitude towards the Hon. Atty: General for estricating them from the judicial chaos in which they were involved. Pleaders, adrocates, in fact the whole country, deposited their complaints in the Hon. Atty. General's hands, and at the end of tive years he has given them a civil code which will do honor to Lower Cianada, honor to the three distinguished Codification Commissionera selected by the

Hon. Atty. General, whose name it will transmit to posterity. Yes, his name is attached to that work; and the attacks of the honorable member for Lotbiniere will hardly prevent that name from going down to our descendants surrounded with the respect of all those who know the services he has rendered to his country. "But the Hon. Attorney General for Lower Canada was not satisfied with these services. $\because$ In the midst of a terrible crisis his country confided to him all its, interests, all its rights, all its institutions, its nationality, its religion, in a word everything it held most dear The Hon Attorney General received the whole trust into his safe and faithful keeping, and when called upon to render an account, he exhibited all these interests, rights, institutions, our nationality and religion. in fact everything that the people held dear, and restored them guaranteed, protected and surrounded by every safeguard, in the Confederation of the British North American Provin ces. : He has been a faithful banker, and has not betrayed the trust repoied in him, "he has honestly paid his debt; rich and poor, seigniors and censitaires, adrocates and pleaders, all have received their due, and the banker is blessed from one "end of the province to the other. The honorable member says that the Hon. Attorney General will have his reward: He is right; by honorable colleague will have his reward-his day will come as did that of the late Sir Locis Hypolite Lafontaine, When that eminent citizen held the position occupied today by the Hop. Attorne'y General, the opposition heaped upon him the same reproaches, the same insults that are now offered to my honorable triend. He was acciused of being a traitor to his country; it was broadly asserted that he was sellugg his fellow-citizens, and that he was the enemy of his' race: Nevertheless, that defender of the rights und institutions of Lower Causida had but one ambition", nainely, "to secure for his fellow-" countrymen the splendid position they have ever since occupied. He let the disaffected contmue to assail him, and before descendiag into the tomb, he had the happisess of secing his patriotic efforts and the purity and nobleness ot his intentions ackuowledged; and when his mortal remaios were carried to their last resting place, all classes of his's fellow-citizens were eager in doing honor to that great man, and all united in blessing the memory of one who was no couger aceused of being a traitor, but whose name was unversally admitted to be deserving of a place among the very highest in parliamentary history. It trill be the eame
as regards the present Hon. Attorney General for Lower Canada. He will have his reward; his day will come, not in the sense of the honorable member for Lotbinière, who makes use of the expression as a menace, but by retaining that confidence of his fellow-citizens which appears so completely incomprehensible to the honorable member for Lotbinidre. That be should enjoy the confidence of his fellow citizens appears to nie a thing perfectly. natural, and not by any means diffecult to understand.' During his whole life, like Sir Lodis Hrpolite Lafontaine, the present Honorable Attorney Genéral for Lower Canada has devoted himself to protecting and promoting the material and religious interests of his fellow-countrymen, and he has now crowned his gigantic labors by the important share he has had in the framing of the new Constitution; which is destined to govern one of the greatest eupires in the world, a Constitution beneath which all races and all religions will find protection and respect." He fill have his reward, and like his predecessor, his nàme will go down to posterity as one of the greatest benefactors' of his country. 'I regret. Mr. Speaker, having spoken at süch great length, but the importance of the question must be my excuse for having, perhaps, wearied the House. After the long speeches delivered by the honoiable member for Hochelaga and the honorable inember for Lotbinière, it was impossible for me to curtail my"remarks, when' I had to refute and destroy all the hazardous assertions of the two honorable members." I thipk I have said enough to show that the honorable meinber for Hochelaga made a talse prediction when he said that the day on which Confederation was accomplished would be an evil day for Lower Canade. No, Mr: Speakea, the Confederation, I amperfeedy convinced, will afford the best possible guaraiteo for our institutions, our language aud all that we hold dearest in the world ; ander'its protection we shall be strong aqainst the common enemy; we shall advance rapidly in the way of prosperty, and when we withdraw from the arena it, will be with the consolation of leaving to our descendants an' inheritance worthy of a free people. (Cheers.)

Mr. JOLY-While the Honorable Solicitor General was speaking, I twiee asked peruission to explain what I had stated; because I thought he had not understiond me; but from the manner in which he has acted towards ne, twice refusing me the opportunity of explaining myself, I am now convinced that he perfectly well understood what I wished to
say, and that he merely pretended not to understand it. I am not willing to bear the onus of the charge he has brought against me. I shall take the opportunity of setting him right, and of explaining what I said yesterday. I am quite ready to bear accusations of imprudence or igmorance; but I will not stand a,charge of cowardice, and that is the accusation I find in the Journal de Quebec of this day. The honorable member charges me with having appealed to the religious prejudices of the French-Canadians. I did not appeal to their religious prejudices; I made an appeal to their national prejudices; I look apon this measure of Confederation as fatal to the interests of Lower Canada, and I consider that that was the only means of breaking the bands by which the French-Canadians are bound, and of arousing them while it is yet time; that is what I have donej and ever will do. But I am not the man to appeal to the national prejudices of the English after my appeal to the French-Canadians, as the honorable member has stated. I shall now state the manier in which I explained the passage from Lord Dctaham's report. I said it was impossible that both races should long continue to live in peace; that some day or other the two nationalities would come into collision'; that judgment would be "given by the Federal Parliament, in which the English were to have the majority, and from which the French-Canadians could not hope to obtain justice. I did not state that the FrenchCanadians would act unjustly towards the British; but I said that the latter might complain, and that the Federal Legislature would be called apon to decide as to whether injustice had been done; and that its sympathies must be distrusted. I added that the Federal Parliament being composed of a majority of English members, would be inclined to give ear to the English of Lower Canada rather than to the French-Cinadians. I then quoted Lord Durbays's report to prove that English-Capadians would never willingly sabmit to the majority in Lower Canada. And in citing the troo extracts from Lord Dere Han's report, I/tirst read them" in English and then translated them into French. How can it be assertdd, therefore, that I mado use of the English llanguage in order to make an appeal to the prejudices of the Anglo-Canadians? The charge is absurd. Far from desiring to influence them in that sense, I road the passage with hesitation, because I felt that the Britigh ought to blush for them. There was no need of quoting the passuges
referred to in order to tell the English of Lower Cangda what their sentiments were $;$ I cited them in order to make them known to the French Canadians. With regard to the second passage, I could not cite it in order to attract the sympathies of the British, since it was an extract against them.. How can it be shown that I cited that passage for the purpose of exciting the national prejudices of the English:: I appealed neither to the religious prejudices of the Canadians, nor to the national prejudices of the English.

Hon. Mr. CAUCHON-I did not say that the honorable member for Lotbiniere was a coward; I found fault with him for treating the question incompletely and patting it in a Wrong light. With reference to the quotations, the honorable member did not translate into French that part in which it was stated that the English will never submit to a French - Canadian majority.

Mr. JOLY - I translated it word for word.
Hon. Mr. CAUCHON-I did not hëar it; but I am quite willing to take his word. The honorable member has said that he wished to excite the national prejudices of the FrenchCanadians, but that is quite as bad as exciting religious prejudices. All I said was, that he was wrong in exciting the prejudices of the one race against the other.:

How Sol. GeN. LANGEVIN-After the explanations given by the honorable member for Lotbiniere, and though he has stated in a moment of excitement that he felt convinced that when I made an accusation against him I knew it was not well founded, I must conclude that was mistakep, and that he trans lated his quotations from Lord Durhair's report unknown to me.. I take his word in the matter, but I am quite sure that if he had not been excited at the moment, he would not have charged me with wilfully misrepresenting him.

Mir. JOLY-I am the more clẹar in my recollection of having translated the passage from Lord Dernain's report, from the fact that I had great dificulty in translating it, as the House will remember.

Mr: DUNKIN-And in fact your translation was not quite correct, particularly as to the word British.

Mr. JOLY-But since the Houorable Solicitor General has given explanations and has withdrawn what he had said against me, I foel it to be my duty to state that I regret to have expressed inyself so strongly with reference to him.

The debate was then adjourned.

## WEDNESDAT, February 22, 1865.

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-Before the debate is resumed, I would enquire whether it is the "purpose of the Government to bring down the promised measure on the subject of education in Lower Canada, before the House is invited to pass finally the scheme of Confederation now under discussion? I need not say to honorable gentlemen that this is a matter which is regarded with a great deal of intercst by a very large portion of the people of Lower Canada, and I think that before my honijrable friend for Montreal Centre (Hon. MIr. Rose) proceeds to take part in this debate, the position of the Government upon that question should be clearly defincd.
hon. Atty. Gen: Cartier-Ahthough the question is not $\begin{aligned} & \text { put regularly, }\end{aligned}$ I have no hesitation in answering the Honorable gentleman. My answer is the on'swer mich has already been given by my honorable friend the member for Sherbrooke (Hon. Nr: Galt).

Hon Ma. HOLTON - The honorable member for Sherbrooke has not stated to the House-

Hon. Atty Gen CARTLER-Order!
Hon. Mr. HQLETON-I think I am quite in order, on the calling of the Orders of the Day, to put a question of this kind. But I rise again, simply to give notice to the honorable gentleman that I shall renew the question on the Orders of the Day being called to-norrow evening. I do think it is dealing slightingly with the House and with the country for honorable gentlemea to refuse to state explicitly what are their purposes with regard to this important question -whether or not their measure is to be brought down before a final vote is taken ou Contederation:- I shall reuew the question to-morrow.

IIon. Arty. Gen. CARTIER-Thequeation : Kas been auswer :d twice, but the Government are ready to answer it again, if the honorable gentleman so desires:

Hon Ma MOSE then resumed the adjourned debate. He said-Before I proceed, Mr. Spearer; to offer any observations on the motion in your hand, I "wish , to acknowledge very cordially the consideration which the Huase orinced last evening during my absence, and eespecially to ackionowledge the courtesy of my honorable triend from Lambtou (Mr. A. Maceenzie), miy honorablo friend from Chateauguay (Hon. Mr.

Houton), and my honorable friend the mem: ber for Brome (Mr. Dunisin). I certainly feel indebted to them for the manner in which they yielded mo precedenct, at the request of the honorable member for Montmorenci (Hon. Mr. Cajochon); and I shall endeavour to shem my sense of the kindness of the House, by not trespassing on its indulgence any longer than I can possibly help. And, before I offer any remarks on the ques. tion itselt, I would premise this, that I hope in the course of them I shadl not give utterance to a single expression which would seem to reflect upon those tho entertaia strong opinions adverse to the proposition now before the House. Far be it from me to deprecate discussion-discussion of the amplest, widest, and most searehing character, on this important question. And far be it from me, by the use of a single word, to impute to those honorable members, Who teel it their duty to oppose this masasure, any absence of patriotism. I believe they are actuated by the same ardent desire for the good of the conntry, which I claim for myself. (Hear, hear.) It is right that the question should be considered in all its details-not merely in its bearings on the present state of parties, but" as respects its influence in all time to come on the country at large: And with that view I think it ought to be calmly, deliberately and patienty investigated, and instead of deprecating the fullest and most ample discussion, I. trust the opportanity will be afforded to every honorable member of this House to speat on it in his own way and at his own time. (Hear, bear.) Well, sir, I prosume there are few who, in the abstract, wouid not favour the idea of a union between a number of small states adjoining each other, rather than that they should remain isolated under separate governments. - To theidea of union in the abstract batween states no circumstanced, I take it no one mould be oprosed. But the principal ground of the opposition whioh' is made to the present seheme by a not unimportant elass, is this-that the "mere abstract prisoiple of union doea not apply with full force to colonies circumstanced as Canada, New Brusswick, Nova Scotia, Prince. Edward Island, and Newfoundland-the five colonies that are parties to this scheme. It is feared by many that is is the first step to wards independenco-that it must toud to loosen the tiea now existing betreen this and tho mother country.-that it changes our relakions, ead will produce a mbrongth incom-
patible with Imperial sovereignty-that it may probably result in not only severing our connection with the Mother Country, but in forcing us to a union with the neigh bouring republic. That I have heard urged as the greatest and most important objection which strikes at the root of the proceedings of the Quebec Conference. I know that many of the opponents of the scheme entertain the apprehension-perhaps the conviction-that that will be the result. (Hear, hear.): Far from deprecating, then, the discussion of that question in its broadest aspect, I think all of us who dcsire to perpetuate our connection with England, should listen calmly and anzionsly to the objections which are urged by those who conscientiously entertain those opinions which are not only blameless, but entitled to respect. (Hear, hear.) Now; I do not deny that the effect of the present morement may be to change the character of the actual relations which subsist between this province and the Mother Country.

## Hon. Mr. HOLTON-Hear ! hear !

Hon. Me. ROSE- I do not deny that the result may be to eliange the character of thesejrelations. But I maintain, and I hope I shall be able to satisfy the House on the sonndness of the position I take, that the shange will be of that character, that, instead of loosening or weakening or diminishing the conncction with the Mother Country, it will tend to put it on a footing whioh will make it stroager and more enduring. (Hear, bear.) Though I believe these relations will be somewhat changed, and we may have to consider what new aspect they will present, I believe this measure is forced upon us by the "necessities of our position. The irresistible force of passing events will not allow us to statd still- But, whether by this inevitable change the country shall gradually lose its dependent or protected character and assame more of the Federal relation, constitating this a territorial division of the Empire; I believe it will result in placing those relations on a surer and more steadfust footiog, and that we will still :acknowledge the same Sovercign, owe the same fealty, and maistain the same veneration for the English Constitution and name. (Hear; hear.) It cannot be denied ttat there is a state of pubhe opinion growing up in Bingland just now - not confined, as it was a few years ago, to a class of eztremetheorists-that the conneotion which subsists between the coloniesCanada eapecially-and the Mother Country,
is a source of expense and danger. It cannot be denied that that kind of opinion has obtained a good deal more force within the last few years, than those of us who desire to maintain the connection between these colonies and England would like that it should have obtained; and we cannot ignore the consequences which that increasing volume of public opinion máy have upon the legislation of England. Then there is another consideration whioh makes this subjeet stand out more prominently before the people of England at the present time than otherwise it would do, and that is, the state of its relations with the republic adjoining us, and the enormons military power which the United States have shewn, within the last two or three years, that they possess. $\therefore$ In consequence of this, the state of opinion in England which might have been confined for many years perhaps to mere theory, has been brought to a head. It is not now merely a question of abstract opinion, whether under sucti and such oircumstances it would be better for this and other colonies to assume a more independent attitude towards Eng land. But it has been pressed with nnexpected abraptness to a practical issue before the people of Eagland, and they have now to consider what the relations of Great Britain to these colonies would be, in the event of war with the United' States; how far, in that event; it would be possible to protect' this remote dependency of the empire, to avoid disaster to the English flag, and at a distance of 3,000 miles to maintain the prowess of the English name. It is this which has forced public opinion so strongly in. England to a consideration of the actual relations between this country and the Mother Country, and it is this state of facts with which we must deal now. It is, I repeat, past discussing as a mere abstract scatter of doctrine. We must look our situation in the face. We must consider the eventual ities which press themselves on our notice, and it is our bounden duty to see whether we cannot find in the union of these colonies security to ourselves and a source of strength to the Empire at large: (Hear, hear.) With respeat, then, to the abjections urged by those who consider that this scheme may be leading us along a new and natrodden path towards independence; or at least to a more independent relation with reference to Linglund than that in which we now stand towards her, I say we cannot forget that our
$\rightarrow$
surroundings are of a peculiar kind. I would grant' that there would be much force in the argument that it might sever our ties with England, if we were circumstanced as some of the smaller states of Europe-if we had, for example, a state like Switzerland on the one side and any of the German Principalities on the other. If we had, as our neighbours, states like Belgium or Den-mark-if, so situated, we were one of a number of small states, I grant you that, if'a union' of all these provinces were to take place, it might lead possibly to that independence which those who oppose the schemenow fear, and which for one, I' hope from my heart, may never occur. (Hear, hear.) No doubt, if situated in that way-if we had no powerful and over-awing neighbor, such a political combination as we now. propose, might lead to practical indapendence of England. If'we 'were a mere congeries of. small states, with no powerful neighbor, that result which we so much deprecate might possibly follow. We should, probably, in time aspire to have foreign relations of our owa, to have our own army and navy, and to seek for that complete emancipation which with communities as with individuals, maturity prompts. Bat independence in a state must always be relative, and none of us can expect to live to see the day when the British dominions in this part of the world will be peopled to such an extent, and become so powerful, that thes can afford to be independent of England.:"We must, from the necessities of our geographical position-so long as the Uuited States continue to be as powerful as they are; and even if they were divided into two or three portions - We mast alway find in them a source of danger "Which must force upon us dependence on England. We find, I repeat, in our positiontowards the United' States, and in the great preponderating" power they possess, a guarantee that we need not apprehend that there will be anything like practical independence of England asserted by the colonies of North America; because, from the very decessities of our pósition, we shall. almays have to look up to her for protection and aid. I say nothing of the sentiment of loyalty, of that stachment to the Brisish Crown, that love for the person of the Sovereign which we all possess so strcagly and try to instill into our childres' I do not speak for the moment of the pride we all have in the conatitation of England, and in
our being identified, in all our associations and feelings, with the glory of the English name. I put aside, for the moment; the instinct of attachment to the Mother Country, and I put the case on this ground alone, that the necessity of self-preservation will for centaries-for generations at all eventsprevent the possibility of these colonies asserting their independence of England, unless it were', indeed, to become a portion of the republic which adjoins us, and to which, I think, it is neither the interest nor the inclination of any member of this House to become united. (Hear, hear.) Whatever fate may be in store for ns, that is a desting to which no one looks with favor. The genius and instincts of our people" are nonarchical and congervative-theirs levelling and democratic. But; sir, though I have said that I was disposed to look upon this question-the danger of Federation rendering is independent of England, quite apart from the considerations that spring out of sentiments of loyalty; yet I believe that those attachments will be increased tenfold by this proposed union. We will have a sentiment of nationality aunong ourselves; and I consider it to be one of the first duties of a statesman to inculcate that national feeling that gives the people a strong interest in their country'swelfare: We will feel that we have something here, in the way of constitutional blessings due to our uinion with Eugland, and that we have stable material interests which we can transmit to our posterity. We shall feel very differently from what we now do as colonists, apart and alienated from. esch other, and in some respects jealous of one another. With a stable government and a strong central power controlling an immense territory, we shall be able to eater upon a well considered, well devised and attractive system of immigration: (Hear, hear.) We will be enabled shortly, Itriust, to commence to bring from the Sother Conntry a constant stream of immigration by which those sentiments of attachment to houe and devotion to the Crown vill be perpetuated. And io this continuous recruiting of nur population I see one of the great elenicuts we will have to look to for the perpetuation of the attachment of this country to the Crown We have not, in time past, been able to devite or carry out any eztensive syistery of immigration We could not, in onr divided and isolated condition, offer thosi attractions' whieh we
will be enabled to offer to emigrants when we can throw open to them the ohoice of a large country, a country which will have a name and a nationality-a country in which they and we can all feel ap honest pride. (Hear, hear.) They will not feel as we have hitherto done, doubtful how long our system of constitational governiment, and the blessings flowing from it, were to last. I trust, therefore, that the formation of a stable government, and the devising of a system of emigration that will be attractive to the people of Eigland, Ireland and Scotland, will do a vast deal to keep up that constant attachment to the Mother Country which we all desire to see strengthened. (Hear, hear.) We shall then not only have the ordinary motive to present to emigrants, of self-interest-the opportunity to make money inerely, but the other interest cf attachmeut is a permanent way, to the soil, without a desire to go back to the Mother Country after a competence shall have been gained-for the sentiment of nationality will soon take root among us. Now, sir,' I think that so far as the "danger of ubion leading" to independence is concerned, those who are most eardest in desiring to perpetuate the union, need not have much apprehension. But, it may be said, that from the necessity of our position there is danger that we shall feel our material and commercial interests so strongly bound up with the United States, and feel so reliant in our own strength as a great country, that we will eventually form a closer alliance with thet republic than any of as desire, and that the formation of the present union is the first step towards annexation, $\because$ I do not think we need have any fears on that score. I do not think our interests would lead us in that direction... At the present time wo are almost entireiy dependent upon the United States commer. cially.: We are dependent upon them for an outlet to the ocean during the winter months. If they choose to suspend the bonding system, or by asystem of consular certificates make it prastically useless; if they abolish the reciprocity treaty, and carry the passport system to a greater degree of striugency, we should feel our dependence upon that country oven in a greater and wuch more practical way than we do at che present time. And perhaps, sir, it ì is. worth our while to consider whether this nasy not be the real motive which diotates tie poliey they are now purauing! (Hear, hear.) But, give us this Intereolonial Railmay, afiording
us communication with Halifax and St John at all seasons of the year, and we shall be independent of the United States commercially as we now are politically.' We may not find this route to the ocean more economical, especially in the winter season, than to go through the United States, butif We have a route of our own to which we may resort, in case of necessity, our neighbors will find it to their interest to give us the use of their channels of communication at a cheaper rate. (Hear, hear.). They will. not do that if they find we have no other outlet; but if we are prepared with an opening for our produce, all the year round, they will not act so foolishly as to deprive themselves of the opportunity of carrying our goods through their territory.. It we had this railway built, we should have no need to fear the withdrawal of the boñling system, or the continuance of the passport' system, becanse they would be inflicting upon themselves a greater injury by so dóing than upon uṣ, Let mé bay then once more that I can perceive no one element of danger to us in this union. I certainly did try, during the many months in which the process of incubation of Federation, if I may so speak, was going cin-I certainly did try to bring as unprejudiced and dispassionate a consideration to its various phases as I possibly could. I looked upon it, I confess, with suspicion at the outset; I felt it was launching us into. an unknown future, and that -we were changing a system, that we got along with in comparatively a satisfactory manner, for one that was, in some of its aspects, new nuder the British Connstitution. I say now, however, after giving to it the fullest consideration I am capable of giving, that I do not see, in any one respegt, how the cementing of these colonies together in the bonds of government can tend to make us independent of Great Britain : If I did, I should feel it my duty to offer it a most uncompromising opposition, and to endeavor to defeat it by every means in my power," But, sir, I do see a great danger the other way. I see that if -we remain a mére congeries of isolated colonies, hostile in some degree to 'each others' interests, there is danger ahead." I see that danger existing and threatening us in the United States. I see that if we do not unite and form one Central Government, giving it the power to direct all the physical energies of this conntry in. Whatenar direction may be necessury, that we are liable to be overrup by that power. And this I con-
eeive to be one of the very strongest arguments in favor of the Confederation of the provinces, that it enables us to prepars appropriate defences along the whole frontiè of our country. I believe I shall be able to show in a very few words, that if 'we are united; we shall afford to England sufficient inducements for undertaling those works of defence that are essential to our own security and to the maintenance of her flag on this continent for all time to come, and that if "we do go into this union, as I believe we will. we shall be placed in a position to defend oureelves successfully from attack. And this; sir, unfortanately, is not a contingency which we can hope will never occur. It is not now a mére vague possibility in a far distant fature which we have to consider. So long as the present civil war continues, it is impossible for any man to foresee that such national complications will not arise as may at any day or hour involve us in actual hostilities. It is impossible for any prudent man to disregard that dark threatening cloud that has been gathering upon our borders, ready at almost any mom ent to burst upail us:- It behoves us therefore to lose no time, if we believe that union offers a guarantee of safety against the dangers that threaten us-it becomes important that we lose no time to consummate the proposed union, in order that the General Government may put us at:once in a proper state of defence. Thè public opinion of England, as we unhappily know, does not at the present time tend very much to warrant the Imperial Government in making any large expenditure for colonial purposes. There must be some reasooable prospect, that if oxpenditure is incirred in crecting nèeessary works of defence, those works will be actually available, when constructed, to protect the country uponowhose frontier they are established. We cannot expect England to enter upon a course of expenditure for tortifica tions on our frontier, unless she has the assurance of our ability with her aid to hold those works against attacks from a hostile power. I believe that if the prop sed plan of union breake dow -fails to get the assent of the several' poovinces-and we go back to our old condition of separate colonies, wie shall so discourage the statesmen of England in reference to us, that they will feel very much embarrassed with the prospect before them. (Hear, hear.)

HON. MA. HOLTON-No, Dol

Mr.' DUNKIN-Whàt reasón havié you to thitin so ?

Hon Ma ROSE-I believe that the formation of a government, having the power to direct the whole strength of five colonies would greatly add to our security. Who doubts that there is greater security in such a union than in isolation, each with separate interests and having no common action \& I think the advantages of union for parposes of defence are not properly appreciated. (Hear, hear.) What would be the strength. of Great Britain if there was a separate government for England, another for Wales; another for Ireland, and another for Scotland; each directing its owns military and naval power ? If one national government had not called forth all the national materials and elements of strength, would the prowess of her fleet or of her armies have been what it is? Is there no benefit in having a power that can bring to bear the whole military strength at any point desired? If there is not, then I am willing to say that this argament which carries conviction to my mind is of no value whatever.

Hon, Me. HOLTON-Are we not all connected with the Mother Country?

Hon. Mr. ROSE-Certainly.
Hon. Mr. HOLTON-Then what stronger conld we be by merely having a mere political connection with others? It would give us no more men.

Hon Me. ROSE-Does my honorable friend think that if each province hiad control of its own militia force, Nova Scotia and Newfoundland and Yrince Edward Island controlover the seamen, and Canada the direction of her own militia, that the military forces ot these five provinces could be brought to bear with the same advantage as if they were under the control of one ceatral power? We could not take them out of their own. provinces contrary to the lawe of those provinces. Is it of no importaice to make the hardy seanen of Newfoundland, or the people of Nova Scotia and New Brunswick feel, that if a hostile force lands at Sarnia. in Upper Cainada, their territory and their soil are invaded, or their independence threatened! We should have embroilmens and difficulty among ourselves at the very moment when united action in presenting of bold front to the enemy was necessary to our gafety. If we go back to ouir old condition of isolation, now, that the solemin epproval of the Nôther Uountry has beon
given to this proposition of Federation (and her statesmen see in this a great source of strensth in enabling her to avert a war, and a ready means of defending the country) do you believe that those statesmen will look kindly upon the act? Eren my honorable friend from Hochelaga has admitted that there must be in that case a dissolution of the union between Upper and Lower Canada. That honorable gentleman stated in his speech the other night, that if this measure failed there must be Federation between the Canadas ; and what, I would ask, is that but a dissolution of the present union ? In is certainly'a dissolution of the present union. to adopt some new Federative system as between Upper and Lower Canada.: But does the honorable gentleman think that he will find in the separation of these provinces an element of strength ?

HoN. Mr. HOLTON-That is what: you propose to do now.

Hon. Mr. ROSE-No, Mr. Speaker, I do not propose to do auything of the kind; as my honorable friend will acknowledge, if he will but bring his mind, dispassionately and earnestly, to. the consideration of the question. There is no one more capable of -seeing and appreciating the important features of this scheme than he. But my hon. friend has strong feelings, and sometimes is led away by preconceived jealonefes or fears; I say that if my honorable friend will bring his strong intellect to bear on this soheme, he will find in it none of those dängers which ordinarily attach to the Federalform of government:" I must now say a few miore . Fords in reference to the question of our ability to provide for the defences of the conntry. I have already stated-and I must apologise to the House for the digression which has been forced upon me-that I do not believe that, if we reverted back to our original condition, the Imperial Government would be as muoh disposed to aid us in the construction of the works necessary' for our defence, as if they found that in the presence of a commón danger we were united together to repel the common enemy. Isay the Imperial Government would not in such a case be actuated simply by a regard to the expense of constructing these works-in - Which 1 understand the Lower Provinces will have to bear a ghare-but she would ba, deterred from so doing by the further consideration, that whet built', chese works - would be leas" likely to serve the purpose they wore designed to, eccomplish, namely;
to enable the country : to be eficiently do 'fended.' It is one thing to have a population of four millions united under one common head, and erabled to direct all their energies to the point of danger $;$ and it is another thing to have a number of separate units, with no common action-each under a different government, and distractod and separate at the very time when they ought to be most united: (Hear, hear.) What we have to guard against is this: a sudden conquest or surprise, for which we might be puprepared. I believe myself that, if works cain be constructed, by means of which we dan effectually defend the country against sudden attaek, no one will grudge the expense. Of course they will gost no inconsiderable sum; but I hope, as I believe my hon. friend the :Finance Minister, although he may be pressed for other purposes; will not hesitate to rocommend the appropriation necessary for the purpose, and to impose increased taxation for that purpose. (Hear, hear.) For I am sure that no member of this house; nor man in this country, would hesitate, if need were, to put their hands in their pockets and give a tenth of their substance for the construction of the works required to protect the country from the ravages of the aggressor, and to secure to ourselves a perpetuation of the inestimable blessings derived from our living under the British flag. (Hear, hear.) I am the more earnest in this question on account of the observations which have been made by my honorable friend the member for Hochelaga, (Hoar. Mr. Dorion) observations whioh I am sure he did not mean to have sach an effect, but'which nevertheless have a most mischievous tendency. That hon gentleman stated that our true policy was, in fact, nentrality ; that it was hopeless for us to attempt to defend ourselves against the overwhelming force which the United States could bring to bear against. us, and that with our small population we would be very mach in the same position as Denmark when opposed to. the armies of Austria and Prussia. Indeed, he almost went as far as a gentleman who no longer holds a seat in this House, when he said that "the best armament for Canada was no armament at all." "I am sure that had the honorable gentleman felt that any injuig would be dono-any false impression produced on the pablio mind-by the use of observatiops like these, he would not have employed them at all. But I may say thas thoy.all toinded to this end-the taking away
of that confidence "we should have in our energy and resources, by telling us that the prospect before us is practically a hopeless one-that there is po ise undertaking public works for ourdefence-no use in organizing, training, and arming our militia-that all attempts to hold our own would be fruitless on account of our inability to bring sufficient able-bodied mén in the field to cope with the force to which we might be opposed. .Why, sir, is it by such a tone as that, that you can keep up the spirit of the people for the defence of the country, by telling us that 'four millions' of "British' subjects could offer no resistance whatever, even when backed by the power of England, against the United States or the greatest military nation on earth? T assert that even were we to be pat in the unfortunate position of Denmark, ninety-nine out of every hundred of our population would be prepared to make a stand, hopeless though it might be for them, and to resist until the last foot of ground was wrested from us. (Hear; hear.). But if England, in case of war, should, for the first time in her history, decline to come to the aid of her colonies, future generations would not glory in the name of being Englishmen, as the past had such just reason to do. Sure I am, however, that we should occupy no hopeless or isclated position. It is in order that the observations of my honorable friend the member for Hochelaga may in some reespect be coupteracted, that I would yet trespass upon the indulgence of the House for a few minutes more on this head. We know that in modern' warfare, if you can erect certain works which will compel an enemy to sit down before them, so as to prevent him from making progress into the country, you may by sueie 'means defend it for many monthe. I do not know what the scheme of the defence commissionery may be. But it is well known that they express the conviction that by the construction of certain works at various points; the manning of which is quite within the compass of our power, we can arrest the progress of an invader for mung months, we can compel him to expend and exhgust his strength before these works, "and we could throw embarrassments in his way such as would take an invading force many months to overcome. Because honorable members must remember that it is impossible to have more than a sis months' campaign in this country. And supposing: you were to erect works
before which an enemy was compelled to sit down in the month of May, it would take him fully three months tefore he could bring up his supplies and siege train and protect his communications, and by the time he was ready to make a determined attack; he wrould be overtaken by winter, be compelled to raise the siege and go into winter quarters. In truth our winters are our safeguard and defence. Such, at any rate, is the opinion of military men. During six unonths only are military operations practicable in this country, and thus whatever is done one season has to toe abandoned on the approach of winter and begun again the following spring. If therefore we can only, by manning certain salient points in the country, prevent the progress of invasion, we are sate. Sudden conquest would be impos-sible-delay and impediments are everything. Every one knows the history of the celebrated lines of Torres Vedras; which extended thirty miles, and by means of which the invasion with which Napoleon terrified Ea: rope was first rolled back. These lines were defended by but a small number of men, and they compelled Napoleon to retire before them. Then, on this continent we have the experience of Richmond, which has forced the army of General Grant to become a mere corps of obscriation, and of Charleston which has fallen at last; but after what delay and at what cost ! Going to the Cripea, we see Scbastopol defying for months and months the joint efforts of Eng. land and France. If we therefore can keep the invader from our doors for a certain number of monthis, our Canadian winter will do the rest, whilst English ships would be engaged in harassing their coasts and in the destruction of A cuerican commerce in every sea. I; therefore, entreat those who are disposed to take a desponding view of the question to coñider these things. An aggressive warfare in this conntry is one thing, and a defensive warfare another, and a very different. (Hear, hear.) Our country is well adapted for defensive purposes, and it is next to impossible to subdue us. The badness of our roads, the dificulties presented by our winters, our deep, broad and unford able rivers, and the means we could establisp for keeping an enemy in check at certain pointa for the necessary time, would enable us to resigt the United Statea with all their power and resources. No man can have a greater appreciation of the enormous re-:
sources, of the courage, of the varied appliances, of everything in fact which tends to success in war than I have of the A mierican nation. Fhave seen them in: the field, and seen them at sea. They certainly have come "out as a military nation in such a way" as almost to astonish the world 'But, sir, let is consider a little more closely, what their oircumstances are in other respects. No doubt they have àn enormous navy, but that very navy would not be more than sufficient to defend their harbors'in case of a war with Eogland. It is not because $I$ imagine their ships could not cope singly with British stips-it is not because $I$ beliave their men are lacking in skull or courage, or that they are unable to build sufficient vossels-but they lack this-and" it is a consideration. which we cannot and ought not to forigetthat they have not an single harbor in any sea, except on their own coast, to refit their ressels. (Hear, hear.) Suipposing them to send'a fleet of 20 or 30 ships to Eagland.
Au Hon.MEMBER-OrIreland (Laugh, ter.)
Hor: Ma: ROSE-If they went to Ireland, they would have: a very warm reception indeed. (Hear, hear.) No doubt they could get there with the coal they could carry; but where wouid they get the coal to briug them back or to carry on operations there? Saillog yessels now a days can do no pothing; all ressels of war have to be propelled by steam; , and there is no neutral port in the world where in time of war with Englund, the navy of the United States would be able to obtain assistance. For I take it for granted thut io the event of a war with England the United States would have femallies. And, as I betore remarked; theie is not a port. in the woild wh sre they could get an onace of coal or any addition to their armament. Io this mould couisist our great safety:" They have no prots io the Indan Sea, in the eust Atlantue, the Mediterranean or China seas, and it is simply because men of war could not exist witbout coaling and refitting that the navy. of the Uuited States would be placed at so great disadvantage. It is conitrary to international law, ns the House is well.aware, that the ships: of a belligerent' nation can be received in a neutral port and assisted, besond what is requied by the dictatee of huuianity, to exable them to face the clemente. They would be uuable, I s. y, to get ia single man; a ton of coal, un ounce of goopowder, or ${ }_{\beta}$ a pound of iron, in
any neatral port, and I would like to know what the United States could do in a war with England so circumstanced? (Hear, hear.) Well, sir, this is one state of thitigs. But there is yet another view to be taken of the question. Do we not know that in the event supposed, we should find the Atlantio ooast swarming with English vessels cairying moveable eolumms of troops, menacing and landing at every point: The navy of Eng:land, the arsenals of England, the paise of Wagland, and all the appliances and requirements of war would ba brought tọ bear apon aud be available to ns in'such a straggle. We should not suffer fric m the lack of the minterial of war, which is pef haps the very thing of all other things the most esseatial. In all respeots. we should be in a very different position from the Confederate States at the present day: We should simply be required to hold our own, while the United States were being harassed co the seaboard, and thei when the winter came we whould be comparitively safe. Think of the exhaustion to the United States of sich a war! I have ventured to say thas much with a view of counteracting, so far as fy feeble observations will exable me to do, the remarks of the hon. nember for Hoohe: laga the othér night,' beoause I think it was a most pernicions, unuanly, and un-: patriotic view of the case to be allowed to be disseminated, when wo ought to do all. We can to encourage and evoke à militiry spirit on the part of the youth of this country. Neutrality has been spoken of. But how could neutrality be nossible in a strugglo beiween Eogland and the United States? The country which cannot put forth an effort to deliend itself ociap es a despicable position, and ffiffeits on the soore of weakness, even the wretched privilege of being beutral. How is it possible, I agiain ask, that we could maintain a neutral pusition in such a war? We cuuld not. We should have to make cummon cause with one or the other. Do you suppose the United Stutes would allow us to stand aside?

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-It is the Minister of Agriculture's opiaion that we should hold a neatral position.

Hon: Mr. Migele Not at all:
Hon. Mr. ROSE-I have listened with pleasure to mauy specthes from my hon. iriend the Minister of Agricu, ture, bat I lave never heard one in : which it. was
implied that we ought to remain neutral in the event of a war between England and the" United States: My hon. friend is well able to speak for himself; but I must say- L have no recollection of hearing him utter so unpatriotic a sentiment.

Hon. Me. HOLTON-Heă! hear!
Hon. Me- ROSE-I have ño doubt that what my hon. friend meant by neutrality was this, that we; as part of the British Empire, were bound to remain neutral as between the two warring sections of the beighboring states.

Hon. Mr HOLTON-No; the hon. gentleman expressly gave it as his opinion: that the neatrality of this country should be guaranteed by treaty, the same as is the case with Belgium and Switzerland.

Hon. - Ma. McGEE-I had this idea' once. It was shortly after my hon. frien 1 opposite (Hon. Mr. Holton) declared in favor of annexation. (Laughter.)

HoN: Me: HOLTON-The seutiment has been expressed by the hon. gentleman within the last two or three years.

Hon. Mr. ROSE-Ereats have changed very much within the last two or three ears, and we have got to deal now, not with mere party guestions only, but with events that are transpiring. I will not say any: thing further on this point, however, as my hon: friend from Hochelaga is not in his place, although the hon: nember for Chas teauguay chivalrously defends hin in his absence. I say then, Mr. Spraker, that while I do not wish to exaggerate the danger, I cannot be insensible to it. It is a danger, dark', imminent aud overwhelming, and if it was on that consideration alone, 1 say that I find in this question of defence sufficient not only to justify me in voting for the scheme now before the House, but to demand of me every effort to carry it into effect. (Hear, hear.). If we show that we are in eardest on this question of defence, England will be encouraged to come to our assistance in time of danger, knowing that she can look to us not only to contribute towards the construction of works, bat effectually to defend them when constructed. (Hear, hear.) If we" show England that she can depsad on a population of four millions, with a strength wielded from a common centre, she will be enconraged to aid us pith both men and material of war, and will lend us the assistanco necessary to protect oupselves both now and in time to come. Let mio repest thon; sir, that wore thero
nothing in addition to the great considerations to which I have adverted, I should no heartily for these resolutions, and I should ba disposed to overlook many inequalities and someobjectionable features which Isee in the scheme. I do not intend to advert in detail to these, for I feel that I have to consider this question as a whole, and that unless I see objections to its, so great and numerous as to make me vote against it as a whole, it is useless to criticise that which I cannot mend. The scheme is in the nature of a treaty. It will not do to cavil at this or at that; we mast either accept it or reject it. (Hear, hear:) I see the dificul. ties of the scheme, and the inequalities of it; but we must not complain if one colony gets a ferm thousand dollars more than another; or if one colony has to assume more of the debt than another.' Unless I saw enough in the whole scheme to make me vote against it, Ithink it would be a mere waste of time to cavil at these simall matters. Because without the consent of all the other colonies they cannot be altered, and on the whole there is no reason why the whole scheme should be rejected, and these slight inequalities will soon right themselves. (Hear, hear.) There is one thing I would ast the House to consider-spart from the higher consideration of defence; apart from the cementing of our union with England, which I believe is involved in the adoption of this measure, and apart from the chance of our falling a prey to the United Statesand it is this: are we prepared, looking at Canada alone, to go back to the old state of things of twelve or eighteen months aga? Are we willing to revert to the chronic state of crisis in whioh we constantly found ourselves for years past? (Hear, hear.) This House and the whole Government had lost the confidence of the country, find the must lamentable recriminations and difficulciea existed on the floor of this chamber. In ied at the time of which I speat affairs wers in .buch a state as to make every man with any feeling of self-respect disposed to abandon public life. $\therefore$ I think we see in this alone enough to reconcilo us to the change, and I. believe I should see aufficient cause in this to indace me to vote for a change in our political aystem. The dread of going back to the past, the approhension lest old party ories should be revived, and the fear lest diftioultiea in which me tinud unrselves might he perpotuated, would iupel me to vote for the collome nowin our hands. (Hear, hear.)

Having said so much on the general policy of the union, I might have been disposed to enter at greater length into it, were it not that I wished to keep faith with my honorable friend from Lambton; but, having said so muoh on the higher grounds "which recommend this scheme, I will now say a few words in reference to the objections which have been urged against its character, viz., because it embraces those elements of disraption which are to be found in every federal union. That is thè objection of many who, while they would be willing to go for a parely legislative union, object to one of a federal character.: They seein it that which tends to a disruption, and collision with the Central Government. Now, sir, I do not deny that if a legislative nuion, pare and simple, had been practicable, $I$, for one, would have preferred it; but I cannot disguise from myself that it was, and is at prosent, atterly impracticable, and I cannot help expressing my astonishment and extreme gratification, that five colonies which had been for so many years separate from each other, had so many separate and distinct interests and local differences; should come together and agree apon such a scheme. Remembering the difficulties that had to be encountered in the shape of local interests, personal ambition, and separate governments, I certainly am surprised at the result, and I cannot withhold from the gentlemen who conducted these nemoeiations, the highest praise for the manner in which they overcame the difficalties that met them at every step, and for the spirit in which they sunk their own personal differences and interests in preparing this scheme of Confederation. (Hear, hear.) It: is remarkable that a proposition having so few of the objections of a Federal system, should have been assented to by the representatives of five distinct colonies; which had heretofore been alien, practically independent, not only of each other, but almost of England, and almost hostile to eaoh other. (Hear, hear.) There had been very much to keep these colonies apart, and very little to bring them together, and the success Which has attended their efforts speaks well for those stotesmen who applied their minds earnestly to the work of union. (Hear; hear.)

Hon, Mr. HOLTON-The necessity was urgent.

Hos. Mr. ROSE-I quite understand the ironical apirit of my honorable friend-
but the work of Confederation was no less one of vital importance to the country. I cannot help saying that I had no sympathy with the hon. member for Hochelaga (Hon. Mr. Dosion), the other evening, in his historical detail of all the antecedent difficalties which existed in our political position. That honorable gentleman told us what were the opinions of this member and of that one at different periods,-commented on their inconsistenoy, and claimed that he himself had always been firm in his opposition to the project. Well, sir, I do not care what may have been the views of one member or of another, or how inconsistent he may have been. What we have to consider is the scheme which is now presented to us. Let us forget the past; let us forget former differences; do not let us revive former animosities! Let us consider that we are starting fresh in life, or as the term has been used, that we are entering upon a new era of national existence. (Hear, hear.) Let us cast aside past recriminations and look at the merits of this scheme. I have only to gay that a man who does not change his" opinions is a very unsafe man indeed to guide the affairs of a nation. Such a man is like an old sign-post on a road that is no longer used for travel.: The sign-post is consistent enough, it remains where it had been placed, but though a type of consistency it is an emblem of error. (Hear, hear.) The hon member for Hochelaga spoke of his consistency and the inconsistency of others, but he was like the sign-post, which pointed out a rond that existed twenty years ago, but which no one could now pass over. "(Hear, hear, and langhter,) I think, therefore, that instead of endeavoring to find objections to this scheme because it does not give us a legislative instead of a federal union, we ought to acknowledge the sacrifices of those men who came together and prepared it. (Hear, hear.): Whatever may be said of our desire to get out of our own constitutional difficulties in Canada, that objeotion cannot bo urged against the public men of the Lower Provinces. Newfoundland has not been in a state of ecrisis like us, and New Brunswick has been- tolerably faithful to Mr. Trleey for the last ten years; a short time ago the Premier of Nova Scotia had a majority of thirty in a-very small houseevergthing went on swimmingly there, and even Prince Edvard Island was not much embarrassed.

## A VOICE-It wanted a railway.

Hon. Mr. RUSE - Lit us attribute no motives, but rather give to every man who has had anything to do with this measure the credit of being actuated by the utmost patriotisurand singleness of purpose: Such, I believe, is the feeling of nine-tenths-yes, ninety-nine tundredths of the people of this country. What inducement, except those of a public kind, had my hon. friend the President of the Council, or the Attorney General Weit to enter the same Government, if it was not with a view to bring about a union of the colonics? And evenif they had only in view to heal the constitutional difficalties of the past, we ought to be deeply than's ful to thew. (Hear, thear) I stated that I would not criticise many of the features of this sohem"; but there are two main features which to my judgmeat cymmend themselves. to the attcution of every one who has any doubts as to the stability of the system, and which give us a sufficient guarantee, that gua:antee which federal uafons have heretofore wated, namely : that is establishes a central autbority which it will oot be within the power of auy of the local governments. to iuterfere with or rise up agatnst. It appears to we that they have avivided the errurs'into which the sramers of the Anerican Cocstitution not unnaturally fell. They' have evidently learat something from the teachíngs of the patt, and profited by the experience afforded io the case of our American neigíbors, They have established this Ceutral Government, giving it such powers, aud so defining the powers of the local governuents, that it will be imposibible for any Local Yarliament to iotertere with the central porter ip such a manoer as to be detrimental to the interests of the whole: The great advantage which 'I see in the schenue is this, that the powers granted to the local governments are strictly defined and circunscribed, and that the residuam of power lies in the Central Government You have, in addition to that, the local governors named by the central authority an admirable : provision whith establishes the conuection of authority between the cent:al power and the different localities; you have vested in it also the great questions of the customs, the currency, banking, trade and navigation, commerce, the appointment of the judges and the administration of the Lams, and all those great and large questions which interest the entirs community, and with which the General Government ought
to be entrusted. There can, therefore, be no dificulty under the scheme between the rarious sections-no clashing of anthority between the local and central governments in this case, as there has been in the case of the "Americuns. The powers of the local: governments are distinetly and strictly detined, and you can bive no assertion of sovereigaty on the part of the local govera: ments, as in the United States, and of powers inconsisteat with the rights and security of the whole compunity. (Hear, hear.) Then, the other point:which commends itself sostroogly to my mind is this, that there is a veto power on the part of the General Government over all the legislation of the Local Parhament. That ivas a tuadamental element which the wisest statesmen engaged in the fiamingof the Américas Ccnstitutiona sam, that if it was not ergrafted in it, must necessanly lend to :he destruction of the Constitution. These men eugaged in the framing of that Constitution at. Yhiladelphia saiv ciearly. that noless the power of veto over the acto of tho : state legislatures Was given to the Central Government; sooner or later a clashing of authority between the central authority and the various states must take place: What said Mr: Madison in refereace to this point? I quote troin The: Secret Debates" upon the Federal Constitution, which took placo in 1787, and during which this important question was considered. On tha motion of Mr. Pinenex " that the National Legislature shall have the power of oegativing all laws to be passed by the state leigislature, which they may judge improper," he stated that he considered "this as the corner atone of the system, and heoce the vecessity of retrenching the state anthorities in order to preserve the good govern meat of the National Council." And Mr. Madisos said, "The power of negativing is absolutely necessary -this is the ooly attractive principle which will retain its ceatrifagal force, avd Tithout this the planets will ify from their orbits." Now, sir, I believe this power of negative; this porer of veto, this controlling power on the part of tho Ceatral Government is the begt protection and safeguard of the system; and it it had not been provided, I mould have felt it very difficult to reconcile it to mg sense of daty to vote for the resolutions: But this power having been giren to the Central Government, it is to my mind, in conjunction with the power of maming the local governors, the appointment and pay: ment of the judiciary, one of the best farares
of the scheme, withont which it would eertainly; in my opinion, have been open to very serious objection. (Hear, hear.) I will not now criticize any other of the leading features of the resolutions as they touch the fundamental conditions and principles of the union. Ithink tbere has been throughe out a most wise and statesmanlike distribution of powers, and at the same time that thôse things have been carefully guarded which the minorities in the varions sections required for their protection, and the regulation of which each province was not unnaturally desirous of retaining for itself. So far then as the objection is concerned of this union being federative: merely in its character, and liable to all the difinculties which usually surround federal goveruments, I think we may fairly consider that there has been a proper and satisfactory distribution of power, which will avert many of those diffreulties: (Hear, hear.) Bat, sir there is another objection nade to it, and one upon which, from"my stand-point, I desire to make some observations, and that is with reference to the manaer in which the right of the varions minorities in the prorines bave been protected. This is noquestionably a grave and serious subject of consideration, and especially so to the minority in this section of the province, that is the Eng-lish--speaking minority to which I and many other members of this Hoase belong, and with whase interests we are identiticd. I do not disguise that I have beard very graye and eerious apprehensions by many men for whoso opinions I have grevt respect, and "whom I admire for the absence of bigotry and narrow-mindedness which they bave always, exhibited. They have expressed themselves not so much in the way of objection to specific features of the scheme as in the way of apprehension of something dangerons to them in itapprehensions which they cannot atate ex. plicitly or evin define to themselves. They seem doubtful and distrustful as to the consequences, express fears as to hovit will dffect their futare condition ard interesta; aud in fact they almost think that in view of this uncertainty is fould be better if we remained as we arre. Now, sir, I believe that the rights of both minorities-the French minority in the Geoeral Legislature and the Luglish speaking minority in the Local Legislature of. Lower Canada-are properly guarded. I would admit at once
that without this protection it would be open to the gravest objection; I would admit that you were emboljing in it an element of fatare dificulty, a cause of future dissension and agitation that might be destructive to the whole fabric ; and therefore it is a very grave and anxious question for us to consider -especially the minorities in Lower Canada -how far our mutual rights and interests are respected and guarded, the one in the General and the other in the Local Legislature: With reference to this anbject, I think that I, and those with whom I have acted-the English speaking members from Lower Canada-may in some degree congratulate ourselves at having brought about a state of feeling between the two races in this section of the province which has produced some good effect. (Hear, hear.) There tas been, ever since the time of the union, I am happy to say -and everybody knows it who hàs any experiencein Lower Canada-a cordial understauding and friendly feeling between the two nationalities, which has produced the happiest results. Belonging to different races and professing a different faith, we live near each other'; we come in contact and mix with each other, and we respect each" other ; we" do not "trench: upon the rights of each other; we have not had those party and religious differ-: ences which two races, speaking different languages and holding different religious beliefa, might be supposed to have had; and it is a matter of sincere gratification to us, I. say, that this state of things has existed pad is now found amovgst us. (Hear, hear.) But if, instead of this mutual confidence; if, insteãd of the English-speaking minority. placing trust in the French majority in the Local-Legislature, and the French minority placing the ssme trust in the English majority in the General Legislature, no such feeling existed; how could this scheme of Confederation be made to work successfully? (Hear, hear.) I think it cannot be denied that there is the utmost confidence on both sides; I feel assured that our confidence in the majority in the Local Government will not be misplaced, and I earnestly trust that the confidence they repose in us in the General Legislature will not be abused. (Hear, hear.) I hope that this mutual yielding of confidence will make us both act in a high-minded and sensitive manner when the rights of either side are called in question-if ever they should be called in question-in the reapect-
ive logislatures. This is an era in the history of both races-the earnest plighting of each other's faith as they embrace this scheme. It is remarkable that both should place such entire confidence in one another; and in fature ages our posterity on both sides will be able to point with pride to the period when the twio races had suich reliance the one on the other as that each was willing to trust its safety and interest to the honor of the other.' (Hear, hear.) This mutual confidence has not been brought about by any ephemeral or srasmodic desire for change on the part of either ; it is the result of the knowledge each race possesses of the character of the other, and of the respeot each entertains for the other.' (Hear, hear.) It is because we have learnt to respect each other's motives and have been made to feel by experience that nether mast be aggressive, and that the interests of the one are safe in the keeping of the other " And I think Imay fairly appeat to the President of the Council, that if, during the ten years in which he has, agitated the question of representation by population, we the English io Lower Canada had listened to his appeals-appeals that he has persistrntly made with all the edrnestness and vigor of his nature--if we bad not türned a deaf, car to them, but had gone with those of our own race and our own faith, the people of Lpper Canada," who dẹmanded this change, where, I would avk him, would have beên our union to day?. Would not a feeling of distrust have been established between the French and Eaglish races in the commanity,' that would have rendered oren the tair consideration o of" it "utterly impracticable? (Hear, hear.). Would the French have in. that case been ready now to trust themuselves in the General Legislature,' or the Eaglish in the Lncal Legislature of Lower Canada? No; and I pray God that this mutual confidence between two races which have so high and noble $a^{2}$ work to do on this continent, who are menaced by a common danger, and actuated by a" coumon interest, may continue for all tivis to come! I pray that it may not be interrupted or destroyed by any act of either party; aud I trust that each may continue to teel assured that if at any time hereatter círcumstances should arise calculated toinfringe upon the rights of either, it will be suffieient to say, in order to prevent any aggression of this kind-". We trusted each other when we entered this union; we felt then that our rights would be sacred with
yon; and our honor and godd faith and integrity are involved in and pledged to the maintenance of them." (Hear, hear.) I be. lieve this is an era in our history to which in after ages our children may appeal with pride, and that if there should be any intention on either side to aggress apon th3 other, the recollection that each trusted to the honor of the other will prevent that intention being carried out. (Hear, hear.) Feeling as I do thus atrongly that our French fellow-subjects are placing entire confidence in us-in our honotr and our good faith-we, the English speaking population of Lower Canada, ought not to be behind hand in placing confidence in them. I feel that we have no reason as a minority to fear aggressions on the part of the majority. We feel "that in the past we have an earnest of what wo may reasonably expect the fature relations between the two races to be. But although this feeling of mutual confidence may be strong enough in our breasts at this time, I am glad to see that my hon. friend the Attorney General East, as representing the French majority in Lower Canada, and the Minister of Finance; as re: presenting the English apeaking minority, haveeach carefully and pradently endeavored to place as fundamental conditious in this basis of noion such safeguards and protection as the two races may respeotively rely upon. (Hear, hear.) I feel that it has beèn carefully considered and carried ont, and with the saime amquint of mutual confidence in the futare working as in the past, we need not have any apprebension in trusting the interests of, the two races either in the Federal or Local Legislature, (Hear, hear.) But although we here, and as members of this House, feel this confidence in each othor, no doubt those who propared these resolutions wore consioions that the powers mast be so distributed, and the reservations of power so made, as to commend them to the people of the country at large. You must carry the people with you in this movement, for gou cannot force a new Constitution, a: new state of political being, apon a people, unless their own jadg. ment and their own convictions as to its safety go along with it.

Hon. Ma HOLTON-Hear, hear.
Hon. Ma ROSE-You cannot, I gay, force a new Congtitution upon an anfilling people, Lut in this instance I believe a very great majority approve of, and are earnestly desirons of che change, I know you must
satisfy them that their interests for all time to come are safe-that the interests of the minority are hedged round with such safegiards, that those who come after us will feel that they are protected in all they hold dear ; and I think a few observations will enable me to show the House that that has been well and substantially done ia this cases. (Hear, hear.) Looking at the scheme, then, from the stand-point of an English Protestant in Lower Canada, Iet me see whether the interests of those of my own race and religion in that seation are safely and properly guarded.. There are certain points upon which they feel the greatest interest, and with regard to which it is bat proper that they should be assured that there are sufficient safeguards provided for their preservation. Upon these points, I desire to put' some questions to the Government. The first of these points is as to whether such provision has been made and will be carried out that they will not suffer at any fatare time from a system of exclusion from the federal or local legislatures, but that they will have a fair share in the representation in both; and the second is, whether such safeguards will be provided for the educational system of the minority in Lower Canada as will be satisfactory to them? Upon these points some apprehensions appear to exist in the minds of the English minority in Lower Canada, and although I am free to confess that I have not shared in any fear of injustice at the hands of the majority, as I consider that the action of the past forms a good guarantee for the foture, yet I desire, for the full assurance of that minority, to put some quastions to my hon. friends in the Government. - I wish to know what share of representation the Eoglishspeaking popalation of Lower Canada will have in the Federal Legislature, and whether it will be in the same proportion as their representation in this Parliament? This-is one point in "which I think the Engligh inhabitants of Lower Canada are strongly interested. Another is with regard to their represcntation in the Local Legislature of Lower Canada-whether the sune proportion will be given to them as is now given to them in this House, that is to say, about oue fourth of the Lower Canadian representation, which is the proportion of the Einglish speaking to the French apeaking population of Lower Canada, the numbers being 260,000 and $1,100,000$ respectively. Now, the apirit of the resolutipis as I undergtand thenemend
will thank my hon friend the Attorney General to correct me if I am in error in regard to them-provides that the electoral districts in Lower Canada for representatives, in the first Federal Legislature shall remain intact as they now are ; and, although the resolution is somewhat ambiguously expressed, I take that to be its epirit.

Hon: Mr: HOLTON-Have the Eindness to read it and see.

Hon. Me. ROSE-The 23rd resolution reads: " "The Legislature of caeh province shall divide such province into the proper number of constituencies, and define the boundaries of each of "them" "Then the 24th resolution provides that-"the Local Legislature may from time to time alter the electoral districts for the parpose of representation in such Local Legislature, and distribute the representatives to which the province is entitled in such Local Legislature, in any manner such legislature may see fit.". In these resolutions I presume that power is given to the Legislature of each province to divide the province into the proper number of conatituencies for representation in the Federal Yarliament, and to alter the electoral districts for representation in the Local Legislature. Now, to speak quite plainly, the apprehension which I deesire to say again I do not personally slare in, but which has been expressed to me by gentlemen in my own constituency, is this, that with respect to the Local Legislature, it will be competent for the French majority in Lower Canada to blot out the English-speaking minority from any share in the representation, and so to apportion the electoral districts that no Engish speaking member can be returned to the Legislature. That is an apprehension upon which I would be veny glad to have an expression of opinion by my hon friend the Attorney General East. As I read the resolations, if the Local Legislature exercised its powers in any such unjust manner, it would be competent for the General Goverńment to veto its action, and thus prevent the intention of the Local Legislature being carried into effect-even although the power be one which is declared to be absolutely vested in the Local Government, and delegated to it as one of the articles of its constitution.

Hon Atty Gen Cartien-There is not the least donbt that if the Local Legislature of Lower Canadashould apportion the electoral districts in such a way as to do injustice to the English spealcing population, the Geme ral Government will have the sight to vet
any law it might pass to this effect and set it at nought.

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-Would you advise it?

Hon. Atty Gen. Cartien-Yes, I would recommend it myself in case of injustice. (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Mr. RUSE-I am quite sure my hon, friend would do it rather than have ian injustice perpetrated. There is another poiut upon which I would like to have from the Attorney General East an explicit statement of the vievs of the Government. : I refer to the provision in the 23 rd resolution which I have just read; ; what; I wish to kiow. is whether the Legislature therein spoken of means the Legislature of the province of Canada as it is now constituted; and whether it is contemplated to have any change in the boundaries of the electoral districts for representation in the first-session of the Fede. ral Legislature?

Hon. Atty. Gen Cartien - With regard to Lower Cansda, it is not the inten: tion to make any alteration in the electoral districts, because there will be no change in the number of representatives sent to the General Parliament. But with regard to Upper Canada; there will be a change in the electoral districts, "because there will be" an increase of members from that section.

Hon. Ma: ROSE-So that I clearly an. derstand from the statement of the hon: gedtleman that in Lower Canada the constituencies, for the purposes of the first e'ection to the Federal Legislatare, will remain as they are now?

- Hon. Atty Gen CARTIER-Yes, as they are now.

HoN. Me. ROSE-And that as regards the representation in the Local Legislature, the apportionment of the electoral districts by it will be subject to veto by the General Government:

Hon. Atty Gen. Cartier-Yee, in case of injustice beng done. (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Me. ROSE-I have to thank the hon. gentleman for the manner in which be has answered the questions, and for the assurances he has given on these two pointsassuranoes which, I feel persuaded, will remove soms apprehension felt in the country with regard to them. An hon. gentleman who sits near me (Mr, Francis Jones) asks me to enquire who is to change the electoral districts in Upper Canada.

Hon. Mr, Gali-The Parliament of Canada. (Hear, hear)

HoN. Mr. ROSE-The hon gentleman wants to know if it is the present Parlia. ment of Canada; but I am quite villing to let Upper. Canada take care of itself, and I think its representatives are able to do so," One minority is quite enough for me to attend to at present. (Jaraghter.) I trust the 'Attorney General East, from my' pattiog these questions to him, will not infer that I have any doubt as to the fair dealing that will be accorded to the minority by the majority in Lower Canada. But it is very desirable, I think, that we should receive a clear, emphatic, and distinct decla ration of the spirit of the resolutions on these points, in order that the minority may see how well their rights and interests have been protected. (Hear, hear:) I am fully persuaded that in the past conduct of the majority in Lower Canida there is nothing which will canse the minority to look wish doubt upon the future ; for I will do my hon. friend the justice of saying that in the whole course of his public life'there has not been a single'act on his part either of execative, administrative, or legislative action, tinged with illiberality, intolerance, or bigotry: (Hear, bean.) I say this to express my Delief that in the fature, wherever he has control, there will be no appearance of bigotry or illiberality, an' I feel that the confidence I repose in bim in this respect is shared in by many others in this Honse and throughout the country. (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-Wh my hon. friend allosv me to interrupt him? Perhaps it would be well, while he is asking questions of the Government, to elicit an answer to the question $I$ have put once or twice tonohing the proposed measure of the Adininistration on the subjeet of education io Luwer Canada; as it affects the Enylish-speaking minority. Perhap he will ascertain wheiher it will bo submitted to the House before the final: passage of the Confederation scheme.

Hon. Mr. ROSE-I intend to come to that presently, aud to put a question to my hon: fricnd the Attorney General East in reference to that subject. What. I wish to do now is to point out the objections. I have heard on tho part ovea of some of my own friends to this scheme-objestions whiuh, as I have saia, are grounded on an undefiped dread of evil rather than on anything that they actually now see obnoxivus in the scheme itnelf. These fears, I have said, ars rague and undefined, and difficult therefore to combat. If Igo among one class and ast
them: what they fear, I am told-"Oh; you are going to hand us over to the tender mercies of the French; the English in. fluence will be entirely annihilated; they will have no power in the comma nity; and all the advantages we have gained doring the past twenty-five years by our union with the people of our awn race in Upper Casada will be eatirely lost." I can but asswer- What are yon afraid of? Where is the interest affecting you that is imperilled ? You have, in conjunction with a majority of your own race, power in the General Legislature to appoint the local gopernors, administer jastice ond name the jadges, to control the militia and all other means of defence, and to make laws respocting the post office, trades commerce, bavigation; and you have all the great and important. interests that centre in the community I represent-all matters that affect the minority in Lower Canada-within your control in the Federal Legislature. The French have surrendered the questicns relating to usury, to marriage and divorce, on which they hold pretty strong opinions, to the Central Governmeat What, then, are you afraid of in the action of the Local Legislature ?" "Well;" I amanswered, "all that may be true enoingh; but we shall not get a single -appointment; the administration of local affairs in Lower Cauda will be entirely in the hands of the French majority, and they will control all the patronage." You say to them again-" Is it the exercise of patronage you are afraid of? Is not the appointiment of the judees, the patronage of the post office, the customs, the excise, the board of works, and all the other important branches of the administration in the hands of the Federal Guvernnent? What is there, then, but a few municipal officers to be appointed by the local legislátures ; and for the sake of this petty patron. age, are you going to imperil the success of a.soheme that is fraight with such important consequences to all tho Provinces of British North America? Is it for this that you will oppose a measure that contains so many merits, that possesses so much good; and that is calculated to confer such lasting benefits upon these provinces, if not to lead to the formation of a territorial division of the British Empire here P". Well, these questions ${ }^{\circ} 1$ have put, and these explanations I have made, but some still seamed to enter: tain an undefined dread that they could not realise to themselves-a dread which to a great extent appears to be shared by my lion.
friend opposite (Hon. Mr. Dorion) in re. gard to the General Legislature. Well, if Fe look to the history of the past twentyfive years and see how we have acted towards each other, I think peither party will have any cause for apprebenioion." Has there been a single act of agreression on the part of my hon. friend the Attorney General East on us the English minority, or a single act of aggression on our part towards the race to which he belongs? (Hear, hear:) Has there not been mutual respect and confidence, and has there been an act on either side to destroy that feeling? (Hear, hear.) "I think the past gives assurance to us that no such difficulty will arise in the future, and that we shall contioue to lipe and work harmonious $y$ together, each holding the other in respect and esteem, (Hear, hear.) But we are told-and it is urged as an objection against the scheme-that works of inproveinent will be obstracted by the Local Govern: ment in Lower Cavada Now, I think the day has long gone by when acte which were foramerly countoitted could possibly be repeat-ed-when, fur instance, before the union, the Furk carricd on by the Yontreal Harbor Commissioners could nöt be proceeded with because Mr. Papineau opposed it:" The days of progress and adraucement have come since that time. This is an age of progress, the very spirit of which is hostile in the strongest degree to such a state of things. It is impossible for either race to treat the other with iujustice. Their interests are toc much bound up together, and any injustice committed by one would react quite as, injuriously upon it elsewhere'; and I believe that. the mutual confidence with which we are goigg iato this unijn ought to and will induce us all to labor together harmonionsly; and endeavor to work it out for the best. (Hear, hear.) I do not disguise from mysulf that the minurity in Lower Canada has always been on the defcusive. That is a condition which is natural under the circomstances' for we cannot be in a minority without being more or less on the defensive. But I thiuk that under this scheme the French mincrity in the General Legislataie and the English minority in Lower Canada, will both be amply and satis!actorily protected. (Hear, hear.) Now, sir, I cume to the question adverted to by the hon. member for Chatcauguay, in reference to the eduoation measure which the Government has promised to bring d, wn to the House. I befieve this is the first time aumost in the history of

Lower Canada-and I call the attention of my hon: friends from Epper Canada to the fact-that there has been any excitement, or movement, or agitation on the part of the English Protestant population of Lover Canada in reference to the common school question: (Hear, heary) It is the frst time in the history of the country that there has been any"serious appribensiou aroused amongst them regarding the eleusentary education of their chidren. I am not amare that there has ever been any attempt in Lower Canada to deprive the -minority of their just rights in respect to the education of their youth. I do nut state this simply as my owo opinion; or as the result"of ubservationis" which I have made alone. I hate received letters from those who have been cognizaut of the educational system in Lower Canada for many gears, confinmatory of this in the strongest degrees: It was also ubserved and commented upon by the three: cimmissioners who came out from England to this country in 1837, and whe in their roport said it was ouns of the must remarkable circumstances that came under their notice; that they found two races; speakiog different languages and bolding different religiouy "opinions; livang together in harimony, aud having no differeace or ill-feeling ia renpect to the education of their children : Now we, the English Protestant mituority of Lowe Cavada, canut forget that whatever right of separate educatiou we have" was accorded to us in the most ungestricted way before the uniut of the proviaces, when we were in à minority and eatirely in the havds of the French population. We caunot furget that in to way was there auy attempt to prevent as educating our childrea in the manter we saw fit and"deemeed best and 1 wuid be antrue to what is just if I furgut wistate that the distrioution of State funds for edučational purposest mas made in such a wayas to cause no complaint on the part of the minurity. I believe we hate allways had our fint share of the public grauts in so far as the French clement could control them, and nut only the liberty, but every facility, for the establishment of separate dissentuent schuiels Wherever they were decined destrable. A single person has the right, under the law, of establishing a dissentient sehool and obtaining a fatr share of the educational grant, it he cain gather together fifteen children who desire instruction in it. Now, we camot forget that in the past this liberality
has been shown to us, and that. whatever we desired of the French majority in respect to education, they were, if it was at all reason-: able, willing to concede (Hear, hear.) We have thus, in this also, the guarantee of the past that nothing will be done in the future unduly to interfere with our rights and ititer: eits as regards education, and I believe that everything we desire will be as freely given by the Local Legislature as it mas before the union of the Canadas. (Hear, hear.) But from wheace comes the practical diffenty of dealiug with the question at the present moment \%. We should not forget that it does not cone from our French, Canadian brethren in Lower Canada, but that it arises in this way-and I speak as one who has watched the course of events and the opinion of the country upon the subject-that the Protestant majority in Upper Canada are iudisposed to disturb the settlement made a couple of years ago, with regard to se parate schools, and rather to hope that the French majority in Lower Canada' should cuncede to the Euglish Protestant minority there, nuthing more than is given to the iminority in the other section of the province. But still it must be conceded that there are certain points where the present educational system demands moditication-poits in which the English Protestant minority of Lower Canada expect a modification I would ask my hoocrable friend the Atorney General East, whether the system of educa thon which is in force in Lower Canada at the time of the proclamation is to remain and be the system of edacation for all time to cume; aud that whatever rights are given to evither of the religions geotuons shall continue to be guaranteed to them? We are called upun to -rote for the resolutions in ignorance, to some extent, of the guarantes to be given by subsequent legialation, and therifure my honorable friend will not take it anits if I point out to him where the Protestant minority desire a change, with a view of aseertaining how far the Government is disposed to meet their views by coming dawn with a measure in which they may be embodied. The first thing 1 wish to mention' has caused a good deal of difficulty in our present system, and that is, whether non-resident proprictors shall have the same right of designatiog the class of schools to which their tazes shall be given actual residents. That is one point-whether a person livigg out of the distriot or tomnship
shall not have the same privilege of saying that his taxes shall be given to a dissentient school as if he resided apon the property. A second point is with reference to taves on the property of incorporated companies: As it is now, such taxes go in a manner which is not considered katigfactory to the minority of Lower Canada. What I desire to ascertain is whether some equitable provision will be, made, enabling the taxes on such property to be distributed in some way more satisfactory to the owners-perhaps in the same way that the Government money is. Some bave. arged that it should be left to the directors. of such companies to indicate the schools to which such taxes should be given, ' while others think that each individual sharebolder should have the power to say how the taxes on his property should be applied. I am inclined to think the latter metaod wonld be found utterly impracticable. I confess it is an extreme rief, and I do not think we could expect that. But I do think there ought to bo some more equitable way of appropriating the taxes on such property These are two points, of perhaps inferior importance to the third, and that is, whether a more direct control over the administration aod management of the dissentient schools in Lower Canada will not be given to the Protestant minority; whether in fact they will not be left in some measure to themselres. I am quite well aware that this is a question that concerns both Catholics and Protestants, for I believe that about onethird of the disientient sohools are Catholic schools.
Hon. Mr. HOLTON-Dissentient on account of language.
Hón. Mr. Cartier-There are none dissentient on secount of languiage.

Hon Me HOLTON-Well, what for then?
Hon. Mr. CARTIER-Well, not on account of language ; there is no difficulty on sciount of that.

How Mr. ROSE-The question relates to all dissentient schools, from "whatever cause they may have been led to dissent. The remedy can be made to apply equally to all. I do not ask what precise measure will be brought down, but I do thint they ought to have more control than they now possess. The final question is one relating somewhat to the finances, and therefore belongs more properly to my hon. friead the Minister of finance.

Hon. Mr. GALT-You shall have an answer immediately.

Hon. Mr ©artier-Mr. Speaker, as usual, I am ready to answer categorical guestions, and I will answer my hon. friend in such a way as to satisfy both the House and my hon. friend. With regard to the first point. respecting non-residents in the townships,' I may say that it is the intention of the Government, in a messure which is to be introduced, to give those who are in a minority power to designate to what dissentient schools their assessment shall be paid.

Hon. J S. MACDONALD-Only in townships?

Hon. Ifr: CARTIER-Everywhere. Not to Catholics alone either, With regard to the second question-the distribution of money raised from commercial companies-I am well aware that to this day there has been a complaint with regard to the distribution of those moneys. It is the intention of the Government to have in the measure a provisinn which will secure" a more equitable distribution of those moneys, distributing them in such a way as to satisfy everyone. (Hpar, hear, and laughter.) Now, with regard to the third eaquiry; I am ready also to answer my honorable friend from Montreal Centre, that it is the intention of the Government that in that law there will be a provision that will secure to the Protestant minority in Lower Canada such managemènt and control over their schools as will satisfy them. (Laughter and cheers.) Now, with regard to my hon. frind from Chateanguay, who said that there were dissentient schools on account of language.

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-The han. gentloman nust have misunderstood what I said. The honorable nember from Montreal Centre was saying that there were dissentient schools on account of religion. II merely suggested that there might be dissentient schools on account of language. There was nothing in the law to prevent it. There might be Catholic dissentient schuols in municipalities where the majority was Protestant.

Hon. Mr. CARTIER-The honorable nemiber for Chatemaguay has the laws of Lower Canada in his possessiona. Well, he will not find there that there is any such thing ay Catholic or Protestant sohools mentioned. What are termed in Upper Canada separate schooli, come under the approprinte word, in Lower Canada, of diobentient. It is stated that where the majority
is of either religion, the dissentient minority - either Catholic or Protestant - have the tight to establish dissentient schools. In the cities the majority being Catholios, the dissentient schools are Protestant, but in the townsh'ps, the majority is sometimes Protes. tant and the dissentient schools Catholic.
Mr: POPE-What will be the provision made, where the population is pretty sparse, as in some parts of my county? Will you allow the minority of one township to join with a rieighboring township for the purpose of establishing a dissentient schöol?

Hon. Mr. CaRTIER-Yes. There will be a provision enabling the minority to join with their friends in a contiguous municipality : in order to make up the requisite namber.

Hon.J. S. MAODONALD-While the Government is in a communicative mood-(laughter)-I think it is of some importance that we should know whether it is the intention of the Government to extend the same rights and privileges to the Catholic minority of Upper Canada that are to be uiven to the Protestantiv of Lower Canada?

Hoñ Mr. CARTLER-I cannot do my own work and the work of others: The Hon. Attorney General for Cpper Canada is pot present, but I have no doubt that on some future occasion he will be able to anower my honorable friend from Corimall.

Hon. J. S. MACDONAL!-In the absence of the Hon. Artorncy General West, perhaps the Hon. President of the Council will be kiad enough to give us the desired. information?

Hon. Mr. BROWN-If my hon. friend wants an answer from we, I can only say that the provisions of the School bill relat: ing to Upper Canada have not yet been considered by the Government.. As soon in a bill is framed there will be no delay as laying it before the House.

IIon. Mr. ALLEYE゙ーI sibcerely hope that the Goverament feel dispoved to grant to the Catholics of Upper Canada th same privileges they tave just promised to the Piotestants of Lower Ganada.

Hon. Me. ROSE-The manner and spirit in which the Government have given explanations on the subjectoaght to be satisfactory to the people of Luwer Canada of the Protestant religion. *The liberal manuer in which they have been dealt with in the past gives us every reason to be convinced that we will receive justice. (Hear, hear.). I
have no hesitation in saying that I have full confidence that the Lower Canada seation of the Administration will deal with us in a fair and liberal spirit. I have confidence in my hon: friend the Minister of Finance, and in my hon. friend the Attorney General East, and I an glad to learn that he will give all proper consideration to that financial ques. tion, the distribution of the assessment of commercial companies in à satisfactory manner I hope the Minister of Finance will be disposed to go further, and deal in a similar spirit with the endowment of colleges.

HON MR. HOLTON-Bring the pressure to bear, and you will get it. Now is the time, before the Confederation schewe comes to a rote.

Hov. Mr. ROSE-Well, it happens that my honorable friend from Chateauguay and myself hold very dissimilar views respecting the importance of Confederation. If I. were disposed to follow such tactics, I might possibly profit by his advice. But I au inclined to overlook a great many things on which ung honorable fiiend would hesitate, for the purpose of seeing so important a measure carried out. While I have every confidence in the present Government, I feel that we may expect as much justice at the hands of the Lower Canada Local Parliament as from any Govérnment of United Canada that we ever had. Wo have never yet had occasion to appeal to the Protestaut majority of Upper Canada for help; and if we ever should deem it proper to do so, I have no reason to believe that we should receive mare attention than our wants received at the hands of the Catholio majority of Lower Canada. (Hear, hear.) Now, sir, so far as the three questions to which I have niade allusion are conceried, the appre. hensions of being shat out from the General Government-being handed oter to the French in the Local Parliament of Lower Canada, and our educational rights being interfered with, I feel every assurance that the spirit of the answers just given will be carried out. I will non say a few words respecting the arguinant presented by my hon. friend from Hochelaga (Hon. Mr. Dohion) in the course of his speech the other evening - that the plan for Federation would inflict great financial itijustice upon Canada, and that it would, through the Intersolunial Railway and works of defence, entail such enormous buidens upon the people of Canada
as to ultimately lead them to ripe up against and overthrow it. Well inow, for the life of me I cannot see how it is to increase our expenditure. I cannot see how it can go beyond what the Minister of Tinance stated -that it could not in any case add to the present cost more than the expenses of the General Government. The Locial Governments cannot be more expensive than the present Government, and therefore all we need to add at the very most is the expense "of the General Government: I do not see how it is possible to add any more. I would; however, ask the attention of the House to another statement made the other evening by the hon. member from Hochelaga. He gaid tht we were making a mistake in supposing that we were discussing a question of colonial union: Confederation, be said, was simply tacked on to the Intercolonial Rail: way at the suggestion of Mr. Watisin, and that the whole arrangement was merely a oicely planned scheme for the benefit of the Grand Truak Railway.

Mr. WALLBRIDGE-That was the very motive.

Hon. Mr. ROSE-Well, does any one sappose that my hon. friend the President of the Council could be duped in that way? Is it possible that miy hon. friend from Hoohelaga believes he has so little astuteness as not to see through such an attempt as that? The argument was used to get the support of the opponents of railways in this House against the Federation. Sir, it would appear that the hon. Presideat of the Couvicil, and the hon. Yrovincial Secretary aid the othermenmbers of the Goveinuient, who are antirailnay in their views, have been altogether mi-taken, and that we are merely going to build up another gigantioe railmay monopoly for fraudulent purposes. ${ }^{-}$They may all be deceived by this imaginary project, and it - mould seem too, sir, that Mr. Wateln, poshsessing the wiles of Mepaintapasles, had hoodwiaked the Gavernor General, and thei Colonial Secretry, and cansed them to fall ioto the trap also. Nay, further; it would. appear that his wiles had reaghed the Throne -itself, for Hier Majesty has expressed herself. in the speech to Parliament, in favor of the seheme. (Hear, hear.)

HuN. Ma, HOLTON-Order, opdor.
Hon. Ma. ROSE-Can it he supposed that a grave and important mattor of this kind would have received such consideration from the Home Government, if it were nothing more thap © Grand Trant job? My
hon friend opposite sonorously cries "Order," when I come to deal with his late oolleague's: arguments as the only answer he can give Does he suppose I am going to allow a gravie charge of such a nature to go unanswered ?

Hon:Mr.HOLTON-If I called "Order,". it was becau e I considered that Her Majesty. ought not to have been mentioned in connection with the term "hoodwink.". Her advisers were the responsibls parties. .(Hear, hear.)

HON. MR:ROSE-I repeat that the Speech from the 'throne which we have received today; and to which I have a perfect right to refer, does not treat this measure as anything akin to a Grand Trunk job. It is really presum. ing to much on the part of my hon.friend from Hochelaga to get up and say in effect to the members of this House: "You know nothing about this scheme; you cannot see or understand what it really is ; but my astuteness enables me to see that it is nothing more than a. mere railway job." (Laughter.) Does the hon. member really believe what he has stated? Does he really believe that the whole project is' for the bencfit of the Grand Trunk? It is a most un worthy oourse for him to pursue to endeavor to bring old prejudices against the Grand Trunk Company, to bear in the manner be has been doing; prejudices and auimosities based upon stories that have been repeated until a further reference, to them seems almost childish. But it is not possible that any honorable member's judgment can be carried away by those little appeals to side issues, on a question of this important nature: What does the Speeoh from the Throne say :-

Her Majesty has bad great satigfaction in giving her sanction to the meeting of a confereace of delegates from her several Noith Americaa Provinces, who, oi an invitation from Her Majesty's Goverior General. assemblede at Q iebec These deldoates adopted resolutions having for their object a closer union of thase provioces under a Central Government. If those resolutions shall be approved by the P:ovincial Legislatures, a bill will be laid before you for carrying ihis important measure into effect.
(Loud cheers.) This is the language used by our Sovereign when addressing tha tuperial Parliauent, and are we now to be urged to under estimate the value of the great projeot by neere appeals in the prijudices of the people at large agaiust the threatened motiopoly of the Grand Trunk Railway. The apinion of Eer Majesty is shared. in, too, by some of tha
greatest statesmen of England, whose names are identified with the history of the nation. What said Lord Derbe in reference to Coufederation? Daes he consider it to emanate from a mere clique of railway speculators? : Speaking of the relation of Canada to the UnitedStates-and his remarks come in most opportunely in connection with the observations I made at the ontsetspeaking of defending the upper lakes with armed vessels, the noble lord says:-
I do not ask Her Majesty"s Government what steps thêv have taken, but $I$ do say this, that they will be deeply restronsible if they are not fully: "awake to the position in which this country' is placed by these two acts of the United States. If the preponderating force upon the lakes should be in the hands of the United States, it could only be used for purposes of aggression. (Heaf, hear.) An attack on the part of Canada upon the Cnited States is a physical impossibility.: The long frontier of Canada is peculiarly open to aygression: and assailable as it is by land, unless there be a preponderating force upan these lakes, you miust be prepared to place the province of Canada at the disposal of the United States.
I prefer the appreciation of Lord. Derby, and his opinion of the state of these affairs, to the ironical cheers or opinion of my honorable friefd from Chateauguay. I place what the noble lord has said as to the Confederation question in its relation to the defence of these provinces and the "strength to be thereby added to the Goverument of England, before anything which he or the other opponents of this scheme can express. The nôble lord says with reyard to the great measure itself:-

Under the circumstances I see, with additional satisfaction, the announcement 10 a contemplated step-I mean the proposed Federation of the Britigh North American Provinces. I hope I may regard that Federation as à measure tending to. constitute a power strony enough, with the aid of this country: which, I trust, may never be withdrawn from these provinces to àrquire an importance which separately ther could not obtain. If I saw in this Federation a desire to separate fromthis country, I should think it a matter of much more doubtful policy and advantige; hat I perceive with satusfaction that no such wish is entertained. Perfiaps it is premature to discuss at this moment resolutions not yet submitted to the different legislatures'; but I hope I see in the terms of that Federation an earnest desire on the part of the prounces to maintain for themselves the blessing of the connection with this country, and a determined and deliberate preference for monarchical over republican institutions.
(Hear, hear, and cheers.) Now, sir, could there be anything more opportune? This is the lan-
guage of one of the ablest statesmen of England. Be united, he says, that you may be strong, and depend "upon it you will have the whole power of England to sustain you. . Can there be anything more cheering or èncouraging to those who have taken an interest in the subject, than the language $I$ have just quoted, and which was pttered in the House of Lords not three weeks ago ? (Hear, hear.) And yet my honorable friend from Hochelaga: presumes to stand up here and tell us, in effect, that we are so many children-that wé are deceived with the idea that we are going to establish a great nation or Confederation of provinces, and that there is nothing of that kind in it ${ }_{j}$ and he appeals to prejudices formerly entertained by members on this side of the Honse, in order that he may induce them to withdraw their sapport from the important measure which the Government has brought down, and which the greatest statesmen of England have stamped with their approval: (Hear, hear.) Perhaps the House will indulge me if I read a few more words from the discussion in the House of Lords upon the Speech from the Throne. Earl Granville, the President of the Council, said :-
And what ought to make as still more proud of the good government which musi undoubtedly have prevailed amongst ns , is to find that our North Ani:erican colónies, "in expressing" their wish to continue their connexion with this country, and in adopting the new institutions they have been'considering with such calm and pradent statesmianship, have thought it deairable to keep as close as possible to the constitution and inst. tutions under which wè so happily live.
(Loud dheers.) He does not belittle the men who have sacrificed 50 much; as honorable: gentlemen opposite are inclined to do. He does not sneer at those who have gone into the matter with the honest view of carrying it out; ; but," on the contrary, he praises their "calm and prudent statesmanihip," and says that it is a matter of which they may feel proud, and I say that those, who have taken part in originating and bringing this "project to the present" advanced staje; may well feel proud of their work, when the greatest statesmen of the world com: mend it: as a thing of wonderful perfection, considering the difficulties with which it is surrounded. 'And these opinions ;were, not corfaned to any one party; but vere uttered by both liberals and conservatives. Lord Hocarton said in the course of the same debate :-
On the other aide of the Atlantic the same im. pulse has manifested itself in the proposed amal-
gamation of the Northern Provinces of British America. I heartily concur with all that has been said by my noble friend the mover of this address in his laudation of that project. It is, my lords, a mostt. interesting contemplation that that project has arisen and has been approved by Her Majesty's Government? It is certainly con trary to what might be conisidered the old maxims of goverriment in conpection with the colonies, that we should here express, and that the Crown itself should express saisfaction at a measure which tends to bind together in almost andependent power our colonies in North America. We do still believe that though thus "banded together they will recognize the value of British connection, and that while they will be safer in this amalgamation wo shall be as sate in ther foalty: The measure will, no doubt, my lords, require much prudent consideration and great at-, tention to prevent susceptibilities. "It will have to deal with several British provinces,', but with a race almost foreiga in their babits and origin. I do"hope it will ultimately succeed, and that the French Canadiass forming part: of this great integral North" British American empire will have as much secarity and happiness as they can attain.
Those who sary that the people throughont the country are opposed to this measure 1 am satisfied, know very little what the sentiment of the country is. I believe there is a deeprooted sentiment of approbation of the steps that have been taken, I know that those who are perhaps most fearful with reference to it, and whose interests are perhaps most in jeopardy-the English speaking minority in Liower Canada-have considered it carefully, and with all their prejudices against it at the outset, are now warmly in its fivor. I speak particularly of those who have great interests at stake in the community which $I$ represent -the great and varied interests of commerce, trade, banking, manufactures and material progress generally, which are supposed to centre in the city of Montreal. These menand there are none more competent in the province-have considered the scheme in a calm and business-like way, and have deliberately come to the conclusion that it is calcalated to promote the best interests and greatly enhance the prosperity of this country. (Hear, hear.) Well knowing that they are to be in a minority in the Local Legislature, and to be cut off, as it were, from those of their own race and religion in Upper Canada, yet, after considering how the change is to affect the important interests which they have at stake, they are prepared to cast in their lot with the measure, and endeavor to make it work harmoniously. (Hear, hear.). And I believe, Mr. Speaser, that wo have not a day to lose
in carrying out the project. I believe the question of preparing for the defence of this country is an imminent one: (Hear, hear.) There is not, I repeat, a day or an hour to be lost, and I believe that if this country is put into a proper condition of defence, the union will be the best safeguard we can have. If our neighbors see that we have the means of causing them to sit down on our frontier and spend a summer before they can hope to make any impression upon the country, we will then be in a pretty good condition to defend ourselves. I trust that the blessings of peace may long be preserved to us, that the good: feeling which onght to subsist between Canada and the United States may never be interrupted ; that two kindred nations which have so many ties, so miany interests, and so many associations in common, mate never become enemies, and I think that we ought to make every honorable concession in order to avert the calamities of war. No man can appreciate the blessings of peace more than $I$ do, and no one is more alive to the horrors of war than I am. But at the same time we cannot conceal from ourselves the fact that within the last three or four years we have several times been seriously threatened. It is not in the power of any man to say when the cloud, which so darkly overshadows us, may burst in full fury on our heads, and those who have the direction of the destinies of this country ought to be propared to do all that in them lies to place it in a position to meet that event. We cannot recede from the position we have assumed. We cannot go back, we nust go for ward is and it is certain to my mind that if what has now been undertaken is not consummated, we will regret it in years to come. I have but to add one word more, and $I$ must apologize to the House for the time I have already occupied. ${ }^{\circ}$ (Cries of "Go on. ${ }^{\prime 2}$ ) I " an alraid $I$ " have very much transgressed the limits I had assigned to myself. There is bat oue poin't more, and I have done. My honorable friend opposite (Hon. Mr. Dorió') says that this scheme is going to ruin us financially - that it is financially unfair. "But he has failed to point out in what feature this can be regarded as finanicially ibjurious to any particular section. There can be nothing fairer to my mind than that, in forming a partuership between these five provinces, the amount of the debt should be equalised at the time the part. nership is formed; and that whatever one is short should be made upiby an annual grant to the other, not an increasing one buit a fixed.
sam. There can be nothing onfair in the application of such 2 principle as that. Of course the interest on the debt.. Whatever it may be, must be met by taxation. "And," says my honorable friend," the Lower Provinces are less able to pay taxation than we are, and therefore the great bulk of the taxation will have to come out of the inhabitants of Upper and Lower Canada, and particularly the merchants of the city of Montreal.". Well, sir, is not this just? Is it not fair that the richest portion of the community should pay the most taxes? Does my honorable friend mean to say that those who consume most ought not to pay most to the revenue? And if the people of Upper and Lower Canada are larger consumers than the people of the Lower Provinces, ougbt they not to contribute according to their consumption to the revenue? "Bat, ob,", says my honorable friepd, "the people of the Lower Provinces get their 80 cents per head, and we get no more, although we are uuch larger contributors. to the revenue.". And, he adds, "the amount to be derived from the contributions to the revenue by the Lower Pro vinces will be very infinitesimal.". But granted, for argument's sake, that this is so, I thiniz we ought not to undervalue in this discussion the collateral adrantage which the control of the fisheries will give to the united government in the union to be formed.. Remiember that these fisheries will form an important part in the future negotiations with the Uaited States in reference to reciprocity, whieh Upper Canada attaches so much importance to. Hence Canada in this union will have the control of the policy in regard to the concession of "fishing rights to the - American Government:' 'And it is in this' respect that the future commercial position of the Upper Canada farmer and the Lower Canada merchant will be enhanced by the fact that the concession of the fisheries will procure for them advantages in other branches of trade; for I repeat that the future policy will be directed in a great measure by the influence wielded by Cuanada in the Confederation.(Hear, hear.) My honorable friend, however, goes on to say, "But you are about to incur a large amount of debt. Lower Canada èntered into the present union with a debt of only $\$ 300,000$ or $\$ 400,000$, and the united debt of the two provinces is now $867,000,000$." Well, sir, this is quite true. But Lower Canada, when she entered the union, had only a population of 600,000 , and Upper Canada a population of 400,000. There wes not at
that time a mile of railway; now there are upwards of $\mathbf{2 , 0 0 0}$. (Hear, hear.) There was hardly a light-house, and see how the St:Law: rence and lakes are lighted now from Lake Superior to Belleisle: (Hear, hear.) She went into the union without a canal, and she has now the finest canal system in the world. (Hear, hear:) She had no educational system, and look at the state of education among us at the present time. (Hear, hear.) She was withont a municipal system, and look as the municipal institutions of Lower Canada: as they are to be found to-day.. (Hear, hear.) She went into the union with the seigaiorial tenure grinding as it were the people, and weighiny, down the industry and enterprise of the country; and has not the seigaiorial tenure. been abolished? (Hear, hear.) Does not my honorable friend see the advantages of all these reformis" and improvements? And does not my honorable friend know that of the $\$ 62,000,000$ which is regarded as Canada's' proportion of the joint debt, $849 ; 000,000$ and more have been actually expended in and are now positively répresented by pablio works of that value?

HoN: Me: DORION-Not in Lower Canada.

Hon. Mr ROSE-My honorable friend says "Not in Lower Canada." Bat does bo not see that the ohain of canals which have been constructed to bring down the trade of the West to Montreal and Quiebec, is a benefib of the most substantial kind to Lower Canida ? (Hear, hear.) What, but these very facilitiea have increased the shipping of Montreal some. five hundred per cent, within the last few years. Does my honorable friend mean to say that the conneotion of the Grand Trunk with the "western railways of the United States is not a benefit to Lover Canads? Does he mean to assert that the slides constructed on the Ottawa so nis to bring lumber: to Quebee is of no advantage to Lower Canada? Surely he does not measare everything that is done in the way of improvement by a petty, narrow, sectional standard, which would exaot that unless a pound of money laid out in a particular spot or locality benefited that particular place, it was thrown away: Is this the poliey which he would like to eee introduced into the new regime?

Hon. Mr Cartier-We bave, too, tho Victoria Bridge.

Hon. Me. ROSE-Yes, we have also the Victoria Bridge. And does my honorable friend think that we vould have had this
great work had the views he en enuciates been ated upon?

HoN. J.S. MACDONALD-Leave us as we are.

Hon. Mr. ROSE-We cannot be left as "we are" I should be content, Mr. Speaker, were I to live for twenty-five years after the union now contemplated is consummated, I should be content to know that I had taken a humble part in bringing it about, if the pros"perity of the country during the next twentyfive years under it. were only as great as during the twenty-five years that have past.' (Hear, hear.). My honorable friend seems to thins that the Intercolonial Railivay is, an un: dertaking of doubtful advantage, if it is not one of positive uselessmess. But does miy hon. friend think we can safely continue in our presint position of commercial dependence on the United States? Shall we be denied acceis to the seaboard for a bale of goods or a bay of letters ? : Are we to be for all time to coune dependent on the fiscal legislation of the United States os. Is it to come to this, that in the winter season thie Upper Canada farmer shall have no means whereby he can sond a barrel of flour. ©or the Lower Canada merchant a bale of goods; to the seaboard, without the leave of the United States?" Is my honorable friend disposed to leave us in this condition of commercial dependency for ever? I can hardily beliève he will deliberately say that we:are to continue in such circumstances: as these-that under no conditions shall the expenise of constructing the Intercolonial Railway be incurred. I believe with him that that work is a great and grave undertaking, and one that will involve a serious charge onthe realth of the country. But then If contead that it is one which we cannot avoidit is a necessity. We must fave it. It is called for by military reasons and commercial necessity, and the date of its construction cannut sufely be postponed. Why, what have we not seen within"a yery recent period? "Rostrictions have been put on goods sent. through the United States, by the establishment of consular certificates, to such an extent that you could not send a bale of goods through the States without accompanying it with one of these certificates, the cost of which I am told was uearly 82 -perbaps more than the worth of the package, or more than the coast of the freight. (Hear, hear.) Still further, the Seuate of the United States had also be fore them a motion to consider under what ngulatious foreign merchandise is allumed to pase in bond through the peighbouring coun-
try ; and this was evidently done with an in tention of abolishing the system under which goods were permitted to pass in bond from England through the: United States. I do not hesitate to say that if the bonding system were done away with, half the merchanta in Canada would be seriously embarrassed if not ruined for the tine (Hear, hear.) In the winter season you could not seid a barrel of flour to England-you could not receive a single packace of goods therefrom. The merchants would have to lay in a t pelve monthst stock of goods, and the farmer would be dependent on the condition of the market in spring, and would be compelled to force the sale of his produce at that moment, whether there was a profitable market for it then or not, instead of having as now a market at all seasons, as well in England as the United States. So that, whatever sacrifices attach to the construction of the Intercolonial Railway, we must have it seeing that it is impossible for ùs to remain in our present position of isolation gnd suspense.. It is one of the "unfortunate incidents of our position which we cannot get . rid of. It will be a costly undertaking, but it is one me must make up our minds to pay for, and the sooner we set about its construction the beter.

Hon Atty: Gen CARTIER-We must always expect to pay for what is good.

Mr. WALLBRIDGE-But when it is good for nothing, what then?

Hon. Mr. ROSE-I have just done. I do not hope to convert my honorable friend; but I desired to show how indispensable and how desirable those communications are, and how necessary it is that they should be effected. No sne can foresee what the future of the neighboring States will be-whether they will be reconstructed as one union, or split up into two or more confoderacies. They have a dark and uncertain future before them, for no one can doubt that no matter what their condition as regards reconstruction may be, they will have an. enormous load of debt weighing upon them; and that thoy will have to encounter great difficulties before they finally settle down into the same state of per minent security as formerly.: If we are alive to the natural advantages of our position, unless we deliberately throw them avay, we can, whatever that future may be, seoure a profitable intercourse with them. Unless the St. Hawrence and Ottawa cease to 'flow, and the lakes dry up, those roads to the ocean are the nitural outlets for the west, and we can turn them to good account. Wo know. ooma.
thing of the great productiveness of the Western States. There is, in fact, no limit to that productiveress, and the necessity of their having another outlet to the sea, without being altogether dependent upon Nèw York and Boston, is to my mind very plains. This neeessity of the powerful western interests must have a controlling ipfluence in the conmercial policy of the United States; and if we can direct the trade of the Western States down the St. Lawrence by giving them ad ditional facilities, it canhot be doubted that we shall find therein a great element of security for the future peace of the tro countries. This House will remember the resolutions, of a couple of years ago, of the states of Wisscousin and Illinois in reference to this question: These resolutions contained one or two facts which are of the greatest importance, as showing the necessity existing in the. Western States for' a channel of communication through the St: Lawrence. The memorial founded on it stated these facts:-

With onetenth of the arable surfaee under cula tivation, the product of wheat of the Nirth-Wes terut states in 1862 ys estinnted at $150,000,000$ of bishels; a aid from yur own state of ilimois alone there, has been shipped unnually" for the 'last two years, , surplus in' foud sufficient to feed ten milliuns of people. Eor several years pasit a lamentable waste of crops actualiy harvented has vecurred in conseqürnee of the inability, of the ralways and canals leading to the seaboard to take off the excess. Thi North-West seeais already" to have arrived "at a point of puduction beyoud any possible capacty for transportition, which caa be provided, exeefit by the great nas: cural sutfers.. It has for two successive, years crouded the canals and railways with murthan $100,000,000$ of buishels, of grain, besides immense quantities of other pronasions, and vast numbers of catle and hors. This fucrearnily colume of business eanhut be maintanaed without feccurise to the natural outlet of the lahers. The future prosperity of these states birdering ion the great lakes depends in a great measute yn wheap. transportutuon to foreigi markets; henies they are vitally interestod in the question of "operining the i. Lawrence, the great natural thoroughitiore from the lakes to the decan, through, and by which the people of England may calarge thein supplies of breadstuffis and procisions, greatly exceedug the quantity heretofore recifived from the United Stated, at one-fuorth less cost than $n$ hat heretofore been obtained. From actual ex perience derived from shipments of Indian corn from Chicago to Luverfool, it is shown that the freight charges often civered seven-eighths of the value of the bushel of corn at Liverpion; more - than one-half of the cost of wheat is also often consumed by the present very inadequate mitans of transportation. The European customer for
our breadstaff determines their price in all our mârkets The surplus of grain derived from the North-West is fifty or sixty millions of bushels beyond the demand of the Eastern States, and when that surplus is carried to their markets; the foreign quotatious establish the value of the entire harvest. The interior of North America is. Hruined by the St. Lawrence, which furnishes for the country bordering upon the lakes' a natural highway to the sea. Throughi its deep channel. must pass the agricultural productions of the vast lake region. The commercial spirit of the age forbids that interuational jealousy should in. -terfere with yreat natural thoroughfares, and the goveruments of Great Britain and the United States will appreciate this spirit and cheerfolls vield to its influence. The great avenue to the Atlantic through the St. Lawrence being once opened to its largest "capability; the laws of trade, which it has now been the policy of the Federal Goverument to obstruct, will carry, the comuerce of the North:West through it.
I say, then, give us the Intercolonial Railway, give us the command of the St. Lawrence; give us a government by which we can direct our national policy',"give as the control of the fisheries, and we will be able to secure such reciprocal trade "with the United States for - "pper Canada as it requires. But'if we are disunited-if the Lower Provincess retain the control of the fisheries, and Canada has nothing to give in exchange for the concession she seeks from the United States in the way of commercial intercourse, in breadstuffs. and otherwise-I say that in such a case as this we are very much hampered indeed. I have detained the House very much longer than I inteuded, and I fear that I have exhausted the patience of honorable members: ' (Cries of "No, no"" and "no on."') I have fallen into the same error which has been attributed to nthers. But there is a single' observation. I desire to offer in conclusion, and it has reference to the deuaind made by some honorable members, that there should be a dissolution before the question is finally decided. Wêll, sir, time presses. We have, and I cannot repeat it too often, not a day or an hour to lose in undertaking those great works of defence which may be absolutely necessary to oür existence.

Hon. J.S. MACDONALD-What works of defence?

Hon. Mr. ROSE-The works to which I have alluded.

Mr. WALL BRIDGE-Where are they?
Hon. Ma. ROSE-Does any honorable geutleman know, or, if he does know, ought he to nay publicly where they are to be? All we know is that there must be a large outlay
on the defences of the country, of which the Lower Provinces will bear their share and the Imperial Government will bear its share; bat how do I know, or ought any honorable. gentleman here to enquire if I did, whether these works will be at Point Lévis, at Montreal, at Kingston, at Toronto, or where? But that there are to be works, and extensive works necessary to be constructed, so as to check sudden conquest or invasion, does not admit of a doubt. Does not the honorable gentleman know that there have been out here time and again eminent military officers, under direotions from the Imperial Governnient, to ascertain where would be the best points for the erection of those fortifications?

Hon. J. S. MACDONALD-And how much of the cost are we to contribute?

Hon. Mr. RUSE-I hope as mach' as may be necessary and fair. (Cheers.). For my part-and I know that this, feeling is shared in by every honorable member who hears wo-L am prepared not only to stike the numey of others, but, if necessary, to expend -ny last shilling on these works, if they are deelared to be essential for the defence of the conntry. (Hear, hear, and cheers.) I consider such precautions as much a necessity as insuring one's house against fire. If the honorable gentleman means to say that, in providing for the continuance of our national existcuce, the people would bargain , whether theyshould give a hundred pounds or a thousand pounds, I can assure him he knows very, little of the spirit of the country. The people are prepared to tax themselves to the extent of their last shilling in order to defend themselves against "aggression. (Hear, hear:) I do not pretend to know. anything of military operations, but any man with a head on his shouldêrs must see that there must be works of some kind constructed to enable us to resist aggregsion.

Ma."WALLBRIDGE-I pretend to have a head on my shoulders as well as the honorable gentleman; and I would ask him whether: the railway, which is made part of the Constitution, is considered part of the works he alludes to or not?

Hon. Mr. ROSE-I do not think the Intercolonial Railway is part of the Constitution, but its construction is provided for, and a railway from such point as shall be considered on the whole best, both in reference to commercial considerations and military considerations, is indispensable; and what is more, I believe the country will cheerfully bear the expense. (Hear, libar.) But in
regard to the question of an appeal to the people, I would just ask, is there a single member of this House who does not already know what is the feeling of his constituents on this question, who is not aware whether they are for the union or against the union? Is there a member who does not kn ow what his constituents desire in respect to it, and who is not himself prepared to take the responsibility of his vote? I believe there is not. . And does any honorable gentleman think that if there was to be a"dissolution and au appeal to the country on this question, the elections would tura upon the scheme itself, that there arould not be-individual predilections, personal questions, and local questions affecting the elections, far more than Confederation? And would it not be most anomalous to elect a Parliament, the first yote given by which would be its own death ? The sole business of the new Parliament would be to agree upon a Constitution which should annihilate itself. There is something so anomalous, almost unconstitutional and absurd in such a step, that I think it could not commiend itself to the common sense of the country: I think we are already sufficiently aware of what the feeling-the mature and dispassionate feeling-the calm conviction and vicws of the country are, and that too after an intelligent appreciation of it in all its bearings, and I' do not think there is anything to be gained, but on the contrary much to be iniperilled, by the expense and delay of an election. I know that in my own constituency -not the least importiant in the province-this conclusion has been come to, not from any inconsiderate love of change-not from any ardent and temporary impulse or vague aspirations to be part in name of a future nation, at the risk of imperilling their relations with England or of injury to their interests, but I believe the scheme is stamped with their approval, because their reason and judgment convince them that it is not only desirable but a necessity of our condition. (Hear, hear.) I again apologise for the time 1 have occupied the attention of the House, and express my thanks for the kind consideration honorable members have extended to mee.' (Loud cheers.)

Mr. A: MACKENZIE moved the adjournment of the debate.

Hon. Ma: HOLTON-I would like to say a word, and only a word, before the motion to adjourn the debate is put. I have listened with very, great attention to-the speech of my honorable friend from Montreal Centre, a larye portion of which was devoted to the
subjectio the defences of the country, I admitt to the full the inimortance of that subject, but I maintain" that as yet we are not in a position to give the proper weight to the arguments of my honorable friend and of other honorable gentlemen on that question, that in fact we are hardly in a position to consider the subject at all; and I do maintain that it is hardly fair to introduce it as an̆ element into this discussion, oo loug as the Government. withhold from us the official information which inay be assumed to be in their' possession on that subject. "I have risen, therefore, to express the hope that the bonorable gentlemen on the Treasury benchies will see the propriety of submittiug to this House the fullest poissible information on that subject. (Hear, hear.) I àm sure my honorable friend who has just tuken his seat will himself aduit the force of whät I am now urging; and that Net cannot gise the cousideration he ayks to that branch of the greueral subject of Confederation without having the amplest information that the Goverument can give, us with regard to it. I would; therefore, express the desire-which I am sure is' shared by a large number of the honorable nuembers who sit around me-that at once, before we proceed turther in this debate, this important itiformation should be submitted to the Housi in a distinct form.' (Hear, hear.)

Hon. J. s. MACDUNALD-My homor: 'rable friend from Chateauguay (Hon. Mr: Holton, has very property called the attention of the Government wo the necesity", of having laid betore this House information as w the amount we shall have to appropriate for the defences of the country , It is well known that luperial officers were sent out soure time ago to make a survey, and report on the detensme condition of this country, and the best points at which to build fortith-catious-the ponts duppui, where in cases of disaster we should be obliged to take shelier, if the encuy drove us into our jarrisous: The report of those officers was made betore I left othice, more than a year ago: Surely dariug that time, with such a loyal administration as that couposed of the honorable gentlemen now on the Treasury benches, the secret of the amount of the appropriation that will be required at our hands has not beea kept from them. (Hear, hear.) It appcars to ine that this is a branch of the question to which we must address ourselves, before we are in a conditiou to deal satistacturily with the general subject. It is a principle of the British Constitation that the appropriation of any
moneys from the taxes paid by the people, shall be at the disposal of Parliament: We have a right therefore to know, at the earliest possible period, before we go blindly into this scheme of Confederation, what we are called upon to appropriate in connection' with this niatter. (Hear, hear:) And there is another point on which, as yat, we have had no infor mation, beyond what was given to night when the hon: member for South Oxford answeted me in his curt way. The Goverument may as well at an early date-I mean the portion of the Government who will have to speak for Upper Canada, and who are especially responsible for the acts of the Aduinistration with reference to that section of the provincegive their attention to the question how far the Catholies of lipper Canada are to be placed in the position of maintainiug their schools and clalming their portion "of "the public fuinds; and enjoying generally the same privileges which are to be enjoyed, according to the declaration of the Honorable Attorney General East, by the Protestants of Liower Catiada. I express no opinion at this. time as to the propriety of the demands nade by the Protestants of Lower Canada, or as ty what I shall be prepared to do when that ques" tion comes up. ${ }^{\prime}$ - Nor do I express now any opinion as to the propriety of giving the Catholics of Upper Canad:s more rights than they have got. But I say the Government ought to address themselves at unce to the "question, whether they are to make the same provision for the Catholics of Cpper Canada, as for the Protestants of Lowier Canada. This is a mater which comes home to the feelings of the Catholics of Upper Canada, and they have here at this moment delegates to express their opinions. No dqubt, to enforce what they conceive to be their own rights, they will use as a lever the proposition to extend to che Protestants of Lower Canada the priv. ileges which they claim us their due. And depend upon it, that when the time comes for the Protestants of Lower Canada to ask what they assert to be their rights, they will be expected to stand up, also for the Catholics of L'pper Canada, and to deal out to them the same justice which Chey expect the Catholics of Lower Canada, to extend to them:

Hon. Ma. BRUW:N-My honorable fricend from Cornwall does not of course agree himself with the views he is now urging. I think Lee ought to wait till the parties he speaks for ask fim to express their views, or allow them to get as their advocate one who does share their views. Ho surely does not want to urge
riews upon us in which he does not sympathize himself.
Hon. J. S. MACDONALD-Is my honorable friend ignorant of the resolutions which have been passed by the Catholics of Upper Canada? Is he ignorant that Vicar-General McDonnell of Kingston is here at the Palace, to give effect to them? And does he say that whatever opinions I may entertain on the question, I must not presume to ask the Goverament to state their intentions with regard to it? Their answer should not be delayed on the plea set up to-night by the President of the Council (Hon. Mr. Brown), that they are to consider the matter. It is a matter worthy of consideration, and I press it on the attention of the Government in order that they may be prepared, for it must come.
Mr.A. MAGKENZIE-What must come?
Hon.J.S. MACDONALD-The question must be brought up in this House.

## Hon. Mr. BROWN-Well; bringit:

How. $\mathbb{J}^{\prime}$ S. MACDONALD-I want the yentlemen on the Treasury benches, when the question is brought up and pat to them, to be prepared to say what they are to do with reference to the Catholic minority of Upper Canada, as the Attorney General East has manfully stated what he will do for the Protestant minority of Lower Canada. (Hear, hear,) I have never come to this: House to act, as the champion of any religious sect. I have come to do justice to all parties, and I claip that we are entitled to understand, when it is intended to make distinctious for the benefit of the minority in one section of the province, whether similar distinctions are to be nade also for the benefit of the minority in the other section. (Hear, hear.)

The motion for adjourning the debate was then agreed to.


## LEGISLATIVECOUNCIL:

Tuuisday, February 23, 1865
THE SPEAKER reported that the Honse had that day waited on His Excellenoy the Goverior General; with their Address to Her Majesty the Queen, on the subject of uniting the colonios of Canada, Nova Scotia, New Brunswick; Newfoundland and Prince Edrard Island in one government, with provi sions based on the resolutions whioh were
adopted at a Conference of delegates from the said colonies, held at the City of Quebee, on the 10th of October, 1864; and also; the Address to His Excellency the Governor General, requesting him-to transmit the same to the Secretary of State for the Colonies, in order that the said Address to Her Majesty may be laid at the foot of the Throne,-and that His Excellency was pleased to return the following gracious reply:-
"Mr. Speaker and Honorable Geythemen :-I shall have much satisfactiog in transmitting your Address to the Secretary of State for the Colonies, in order that it may be, by him, laid before Her Majesty the Queen.:

## LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY.

Thubsiday, February 23, 1865.
Mr. A. MACKENZIE resumed the adjourned debate: He said - Before proceeding, Mr. Speaker, to discuss the measure of Confederation itself," I think it desirable to revert for a moment to the position which we have occupied, in discussing those constitutiodal questions that have so long separated parties, and involved the two sections of the province in serious dissensions "I do this we meet the charges of inconsistency bought against my m ëlt and others, because we support the present Coalition Governiacat with a view to obtain the solution of the difficulties with "which we have. had to contend-in a way not perbaps hitherto advocated very extensively, especially in that part of the province to which I myself belong. Since I had the chonor of having a seat in this House, I have never advocated representation by population as the sole measure I would accept as a settlement of those difficulties. In the first speech I ever made in this. House, I used the following language:-
I am not myself bound down to representation by population as the only possible measure. If the opponents of that measure can suggest any other remedy, I am quite willing to give it a candid consideratign; and I am quite sure that the large constituency I represent will support me in considering any measure which will place it out of the-power of the Government of the day to perpetrate sectional injustice; but antil such a remedy is auggested, I feel bound to advocato
reform of the representation on the basis of population as one remedy I believe to be an effective one.
(Hear, bear.) The hon member for Hochelaga (Hol. Mr. Dorion) asserted that. we had advocated this measure merely as a means of remedying the financial injustice of which we complained. That was not the case. It is quite true that we urged very strongly-and I am not prepared ati this moment to withdraw a single statement I have made with reference to that-we urged very strongly the injustice of the fosition in which we" were placed, in coutributing largely to the public revenue and findiug that that revenue was expended without due consideration being given to that part of the country which contributed most lieavily towards it. But, at the same time "we felt that we were treated unjustly in another respect. We felt that it was uot fair-that it could not be just-ithat fourmen'in Lower Canada should be equal, politically, to five men in Upper Canada. We complamed that our laws were framed by, an casteri majority" in spite of our protestations. It was this which aggrieved us much more deeply than the mere loss of a certain sum of money. (Hear, hear). "'p"to" the begianing of 1862 the agitation for a redress of this grievance had been carred on throughout the whole of Western Canada; and I amo convinced that at that uime there was not an individual who could appear is. public in Canada West, and talke any share in the public discussions of the day, with any chance of getting a favoruble bearing, unless he asserted that he was in favor of representation by population.

Hon: J: S Macdúalid-Uh : oh:
Mr.A.MaCKENZIE - The hon member for Cornwall cries "Oh!" Well, I will except him.

Hon. Mr: BROWN-No! do!
Mr. A. MACKENZIE-It is true, p. haps; that even that hon. member cannut be excepted; for no ane spoke more strously than he did of the injustice perpetrated on Upper Canada.

Hon. J. S. MACDONADD-Hear, hear.
Mr. A. MACKENZIE-He went even further in his assertion of the rights of Upper Canada, and of the justice due to it, than I would be disposed to do He asserted on the floor of this House that he would not submit to any legislation, good, bad or indif. ferent, that came from the Administration of
the day, smply because they would not accord justice to Upper Canada.

Hon: J. S. MACDONALD-Any Upper Cana da legislation.

Mr. A. MACKENZIE-The hon. gentleman could not have taken stronger ground than that. I shall come to speak presently of this own Administration. The hon. mèmber for Hochelaga seemed to think that, because the people of Canada West condncted their agitation with a good deal of system and order, there was no:hing very alarning or dangerous aboutit. . But the hon. gentleman should have remembered that it is a characteristic of the British people, that they on all occasions conduct a political agitation with due decorum and due: respect to the laws, and that it is cot the less serions on that account. When they have deep-seated feoling that injustice is being perpetrated upon"them, they will not sit still under it, although they will at the same time, while conduction the agitation against it, respect the rights of other parties. (Hear, hear.) I am free to confess that, when 1 tirst came into this House, 1 labored under some slight misappreheusion of the purition which the Lower Canadians oceapied towards' us of Western Canada. 'Thers' is, or there was then, a popular opinion that the Lower lianadians were ondy afrad d of representation by population, because they dreaded that the people of Canada West wuald use the larger power they would thereby obtain for the injury, if not the destruction, of : their religions institutious. "That is eutirely an" error. I am conviaced that the people of Lower Canada have $u$ se such opinion and no such fear. In speaking the other day on that-subject, the houorable meaber for Hochelaga (Hon. Mr. Lorion) quoted from a speech of inine delivered in Toronto a few days betore this sessision commenced; and I do not think the hon. gentlomun sheived his usual candor or fairness in making the representation he did: He represented me as having stated at that uceting, that I had abandoned representation by population' as a thing that was not adyisable, or possible, or something of that sort. Now what I did say was this:-
Having tuken some part in public affairs, be (Mr. Mackeszin) had long folt is would be almos: inipossible, by representation by population, to obtain to the full extent the justice that Upper Canada should receive with a legislative union as the basis of our power.
Hon. Mar BROWN:-Hear; bear!

## Mb: A, MACKENZIE-

He had looked at it in this way.' The time had been when the people of Upper Canida imagined that the Lower Canadians were afraid to grant representation by population desansestern reformers shoald interfere with they refigious institutions. He was fully satisfied that thatidea was entirely erruneous- that the French, people never had the slightest fear of the kind; because they knew it would be political suicide, it would be absolate ruin to any political party having the administration of affairs in their hands, to perpetrate injustice on any section of the people, to whatever church they belonged (Cheers.) There was one element, however; which always eptered largely into the discussion of all our natiounl questions, and that was that the French people were a people entirely different from ourselves in origin, and largely in feeling. We all hid a certain pride in our native, country, and gloried in the deeds of our ancestors. The French people had that feeling quite as strongly as any of us; this reason; and also becouse they were a conquered people, they felt it necessiary to main: taina strong national spirit, and to resist all at teinpts, to procure justice by the people of the west, lest that national existence should be broken duwn. $\mathrm{He}_{\mathrm{o}}$ (Mr.' Maceenzie) felt for one that mere representation by population, under" such circumstances, would pertiaps scarcely meet the expectations formed of it, because alihough Ep . per Caumda would have seyentern more members than Lower' Canadn, it would be an easy, thing: for the fifty or 'fifty-five members representing Fruch constituencies to uinite, with a minority iroin Upper Canada, and thus secure an' Adruinistration subservient to their views.
These were the sentiments that I uttered at that meeting, and the sentiments to which 1 am prepared now to cive utterranceagain. (Hear, hear.) Ibelieve that that feeling of nationality hias been our sole difficuliy, in working our present political system. But I do not believe for one mument that it would be pussible or perhaps desirable to extioguish that strong feeling of nationality. Break down that feeling and all patriotism will be broken down with it. (Hear, hear.) I do nut think it would be fair, or kind, or honorable, to attempt to do so When Britain oonquered the country, she aceepted the responsibility of governing a foreign people in accordance with their feelings, so far as consistent with British policy. $\therefore$ That feeling of nationality obtains :80 strongly in all countries, that, where attempts have been made, as in Austria, to break it down, they have signally failed. When such an attempt failed; though made by a despotio governmeat, with a powerfill army at its command, how could wo expect it to succeed in a free coan-
try. In Austria; at this noment; eighteen different nationalities are represented in the national councils; and, notwithstanding all its military power and prestige, Austria has been compelled to accord local parliaments or assemblies to every one of those cighteen nationalities. (Hear, hear) I have felt, therefore, that it would be atterly impracticable to obtain representation by population so long as the French people believed, as I came to find they did believe; that this coneession to ns would involve destruction to them as a separate people:

Hon. Mr. HOLTON - That is what they fear will be the result of the scheme now propōsed.

Me. A MACKENZIE-No; I have yet to learn that they have any such fear. The Attoney General East (Hon. Mr. Cartier), in his speech, a few evenings ago, adverted to the position taken by the French inhabitants of Lower Canada at the time of the Frewh revolution, and claimed credit for them, because they remained loyal to the British Crown, when all the other North American Colonies : threw of the British sway.:The honorable gentleman's claim was perfeetly just. But I beliere that they were actuated by another feeling beyond the teeling of loyalty-that they felt their enly safeguard as a distinct paople-the ouly way to "preserve their nationality, was to remain attached to Great Britain. Their existence for twenty years as a French colong under British rule, was not perhaps sufficient to give that attachment which they have now to the British Government: But it wis perfectly clear to the that, if they entered the American. Union, they would be absorbed and lost, just as the French colony of Luasiaua has since been.: (Hear, hear.) I have been charged, and others with me, Who have held the same political views, with deserting our party; becausie we have ceased to act with the gentlemen froin Lower Canada with whom we formerly acte.1. I think there is no fair ground for such a charge. For what, afler all, is party? It is but an association of individuals holding opinions in common on some groutids of public polioy," or some measures which they may believe to be nevessary for the conduct of the government of the country to which they belong: Looking at the matter in that light, there is no part of our party polities in the west, that we have insisted upon s.o strongly as that which conceras the represeatation of the people in Parliament.

Hon. Mr. BROWN-Hear, hear!
Mr. A: MACKENZIE-And, as soon as" our former political friends in Lower Canada ceased to take adraced ground on that question, while the other party, hitherto opposed to us. becaméowilling to take that adranced Gruand, it becaue clearly our daty to unite with that party who held opipions in comuon with us on matters that coneerned us dibove all others. " (Hear, hear.) At the time of the formation of the Macdonald. Sicirte Government, I was, with many others, stringly blamed, because we allowed that Crovermment co come into existence at all. It is quite possible we were wrong; but I think after all it was fortunate that the hon member for Cornwall, (Hon:J. S. (Macdonald) had a tair opportunity to try his fävorite remed for our consticutional difficulties-the "double majority principle." That principle., had been pressed ou the attention of the country for ten years as one amply sufficient as a remedial measure, under which the existing political system could be harmöniously worked In the Macdonald-sicotte Guyernment it had a tair trial and a speedy death. (Hear, hear;and faughter.) The existence of that Government, if it served no other purpose; thowed the utter mpracticability of the ine means, by which miy hon. friend hoped to accomplish what he, in common with curselves, had long aime at.(Hear, hear Now, supposing the Liberal party of the west had refused the terms offered by the present Ad-ministration-If we had decliped to support a goverument which was really miving us néarly all we dewanded-I do thiuk we would have been fairly chargeable with creating if rot advocating a state of anarchy. I thiuk it would have been a most suicidal thing, it, having obtained-if not to the full extent, yet to a very great extentthe concession of the principle we had contended for so locg, we had retused to accept. the settlement offered, werely because a cer:tain number of genclemen, to whom we had bien strongly opposed before, were among the leaders of the new movement. Ifor oue felt it would be quite impossible for me to maintain uny ground in. Capada West, if I took the responsibility of acting in that way. Some honorable gentlemen have asserted, and truly diserted, that this measure is not as pedfect as it might have been-aud that it is not as complete as some of us might have desired it ta.be. It is not perhaps, consider-
ing everything, in the exact form in which we demanded it. But, where there are two great parties in a nation-as there have been. with as-it is quite clear that; whet they agree to effect asettlement of the constititional difficulties which have separated them, this can only' be' accomplished by mutual compromise to a yreater or less estent And the true question to be determined in this discussion, and by the vote at the close of this debate, is this-whether this a fair compromise or not. I am prepared to say it is perhaps as fair as could reasonably be expected, and I have therefore no hesitation in giving it all the support in my power. (Hear, hear.) In its main features it is the very: scheme which was proposed by the Toronto Convention-ionly carried to a greater extent than the convention thought advisable or possible at the time. The peeches which were delivered at that convention, as well as the resolutions which were passid, shewed clearly that it was the opinion ot the dele-: gates there present, that a c'onfeleration of the whole provinces would be desirable, if it were possible to attain it at spredily as they expected they could obtain a-Federation of the two. Provinces of Canada. That, I believe, was the sole reasou why resolutious were not moved and adopt: ed in favor of the larger "ustead of the stinaller scheme. But we have been told by the two hon gentlemen beside me-the he $n$. member for Chateauguay (Hon Mr. Holtov). and the honorable member for Hocbelaga. (Hon. Mr. Dorion)-that the scheme of the Toronto Convention twok no hold upon the public mind. As to this I have to say that having had as fair an opportunity perhaps' as. most men to ascertain the feelings of the people in: Wëstern Canada, I cau asseri, without any fear of contradiction by hon. gentlemen srom that part of the covintry, that po schene cver tiok a greater or more. complete hold upon the publie mind in Upper Canada than the secheme of the Toronto Couvention (H ar, hear.) And' for the voly ressou that the present scherine is merely an expansioa, of that one, it has received alatiost uaiversal approval in Canada West. (Hear, hear.) It is true that after the Toronto Couveation way hêld, there was not any very stroug agitation in its favor. But I have observed this, that at all the elections which have been held subsequent to the conveativn, gentlemen who hare taken the same side of polities as myself have been accustomed to esy that as soon as the Lower

Canadians who were opposed to representation by population would agree to the scheme of the Toronto Convention, they were ready to meet them on that ground. Personally, I have always been in favor of a legislative union, where it can be advantageously worked. If it could be adapted to our circumstances in these: colonies, I would at this moment be in favor of a legislative union as the best system of government. I believe that is the general opinion of the people in the west. But it is the duty of every public man to shape his course with reference to theoretical principles of government; according to the circumstances which may: prevail locally. : And it is quite clear that, if the legislative union could not be worked well with Upper and Lower Canada, it would work still worse with the other provinces brought in:. There remained, therefore, in iny opinion, no other altcrative than to adopt the Federal principle, or to dissolve entirely the connection which exists between Upper and Lower Canada at the present moment; and that I would look upon as one of the greatest calamities, which could befall these provinces. Even it this scheme were móre objectionable than it is, had I the alternative put before me to accept dissolu-tion of the union or to accept this, I would without hesitation accept Confederation rather than dissolution. (Hear, hear.) In the schene as propounded, we have all that we could possibly demand ir ths way of representation in the Lower House. And, besides that, we have provision made for extending the representation eust or west, as vecasion may require, according to the increase of cur population shown' at the decennial periods for taking the census. Any thing fairer than that could not possibly be demanded." And if Lower Canada increases more rapidly in population than Canada .West, she will obtain representatión accordingly:" For,' although the number of her nembers can not be changed frem sixty-five, the proportion of that number to the whole will be ohanged relatively to the progress of the zarious colopies. On the other hand if we extend, as Ihave no doubt wie will do, westward, towards the centre of the continent, we will obtain a large population for our Confederation in the west. In that quarter we must lool for the largest increase of our population in British A wierica, and before many years elapse the centre of population and power will tend westvard much farther than most peoplo
now think. The increase in the represen: tation is therefore almost certain to be chiefly in the west, and every year will add to the influence and power of Western Canada, as well as to her trade and commerce.' The most important question that arises relates to the constitution of the Upper House : It is said that in this particular the scheme is: singularly defective-that there has been a retrograde movement in going back from the clective to the nominative system. I admit that this statement is a fair one from those who contended long for application of the elective principle to the Upper House; but it ean have no weight with another large class, who, like miyself, never believed in the wislou of: electing the members of two Houses of Parliament with coördinate powers. I have always believed that a change fom the preseat system was inevitable, even with our present political organization. (Hear, hear.) The constitution of an Upper House or Senate seems to have originated in the state of society which prevailed in feudal times; and from being the sole legislative body-or at ieast the most powerful-in the State, it has imperceptibly become less powerful, or secondary in impor. tance to the lower chamber, as the niass of the people becamie more intelligent, and popular rights became-more fully understood. Where there is an Upper House it manitestly implies on the part of its members peculiar duties or peculiar rights. 'In' Great Britain, for instance, there is a large class of landed proprietors, who hàve long held almóst all the landed property of the country in their hauds, and who have to pay an immense amount of taxes. The fiscal legislation of Britain for many years has tended to the reduction of impost and excise duties on articles of prime necessity, and to the imposition of heavy taxes on landed property and incomes. Under such a finaucial system, there are immense interests at stake, and the House of liords being the highest judicial tribunal in the kingdom, there is a combination of peculiar rights and peculiar duties appertaining to the class represented which amply justify its maintenance. We haveno such 'interests, and we impose no such duties, and hence tho Upper House becomes a mere court of revision, or one of codrdinate jurisdiction; as the latter it is not required; to become the former; it should be constituted differently from the House of Assembly. The United States present the example of a community socially similar to ourselves,
establishing an Upper House. They havoreasoning doubtless from the same premises -not only given the legislatures of the respective states the power of nominating the members of the Senate, but have also given that body powers entirely different from those possessed by the elective branch. It is a remarkable fact that there is only one other government in Europe which has a system similar to Great Britain," and that is Swedon. 'There is another class, represented by a number of the German nations. There are Wurtomburg, Hesse Darmstadt, Prusisia, Saxony, Hanover, Baden and Bavaria, with à aggregate population of about $30,000,000$, whose UpperChambers are partly hereditary; partly nominătive, and partly ex-oficio. The purely herediary prínciple, as found in Great Britain and Sweden, obtains among a population of some $32,000,000$. Then there is another class nominated by the Crown for life from a lisi chosen by intermediate bodies. The councils choose three lists and the Sovereign nomisates therefrom. In this way, Spain, Brazil and the new nation of Roumania, composed of the Turkish principalities of Moldavia and Wallachia, appoint their Upper Houses-Spain, with a popula-tion of $16,301,850$; Brazil, 7,677.800; Roumauia, $3,578,000 ;$ alto zether $27,556,650$. There is another class where the members of niag the Senate are nominated for life," where the number is limited, and where some few members of the royal fatuily baye the privialege of sitting as ineubers. Italy, with a population of $21,777,334$; Yortugal, 3,581 , 077 ; Servia, 1,69, 2 '81; Austria, $34,000,000$. This class represents altogether a population of $61,460,292$. Then there is another class where the members are elected for a term of years, and it is a remarkable fact in this connection that the countries 1 refer to are, with the exception of three British colonies andone monarchy, entirely reputlican. The one nuboarchy in the list that elcets its Upper Chamber in this way, is Belgium; but Belwium, although a monarchy, is well known to be one of the most demoeratic countries in E'u rope. This list includes Switzerland, whuse people number $2,534,242$ L2 Plata, 1,171,800; Chili, 1,558,319; Peru, 2,565,000; United States; $30,000,000$; Liberia, 500,000; Bèlgium, 4,529,000; South Nustralia, 126,830; Tasmania, 89,977 ; V'ictoria, ${ }^{5} 40,322$ Thaving a total population of $43,915,490$. In Nassau we find the E'pper Chamb. r partly nominative and parily ex-officio, th $\cdot p$ pulzo tion being 407,571. Then there is Dosimart
with a partly nominative and partly elective system, the clections being held by the Provincial Councils, the population being. 1,600,000; while in the Netherlands, with a population of $3,372,652$, the members are elected entirely by the Provincial Councils. In one of the British colonies, New South Wales, the members are nominated for a term. of years; , whilst in two of the youngest and inost enterprising of the British colonies, New Zealand and Queeusland, thêy have the system which we propose to adopt, of nominating a limited number of members for life. There is evidently room here for great latitude of opinion as to the constitution of the Upper Chamber, and I do not think we can be fairly charged with retrogression because ire choose to make the members of our Epper House nominative insted of elétiic. Our people comprise but one class, and if the members of the two chambers are to be chosen by the same electors, it is very clear that it will be extremely dificult for both to maiutain their individuality, possessing simi-" lar powers and privileges, and avoid collisions. It is evident that two chambers which have originated in precisely the same way, will clum to exercise the same rights and privileges; and to discharge the same functions; but were the Upper Chaniber nominative, instead of elective, the jurisdiction of that chamber would be; of course, correspondingly. changed, and the chances of collision mude more remote. There are, quite a number of states (some of them yery considerable in size and population, and of recent origin) which thave dispensed with an Upper Chamber altogether. I confess my argunents would lead to the adoption of this sy,temens. the one most suited to our circumstances: (Hear, hear.) The nations which have adopted this systens are Hesse Cassel; with a population of 726,000; Luxemburg, 413,000, saxe We: mar, : 273,000 ; Saxe Meiningen, 172,000; Sise Altenburg, 137,000; Saye Cobourg, 153,000 ; Brunswick, 273,000 ; Mecklenburg Sehwerií; 548,000 ; Norway; 1,328,471; Mecileuburg Streilitz, 99,060; Oldenburg, 295 ,-45, Anhalt, 181,824; Lippe-Detmola, 105,$513 ;$ Waldeck, 58,000 ; Schwarzbarg. 71,313 ; and in the kingdom of Greece, vith a population of $1,096,810$, where a new constitution has been recently adopted, the statesmer of that country have, after some experience of the duplicate system, resolved to legislate with a single chiaber. But whie it is my opinion that we would be better withent an Upper House, I know that the question is
not, at the present moment, what is the best possible form of government, according to our particular opinions, but what is the best that can be framed for a community holding dif, ferent riews on the subject.

Hox. Mr. BKOWN-Hear, hear. That is the point, aupd therefore I accept, as a fuir compromise, a second enamber nominated by the Confederate Cabinet.
MR, A. MACKENZIE-One honorable member-i think the honorabie member for Lotbiniere (Mr. JoLY)-used the argument that the Federal system was a weak one. I' do not think the Federal system is necessarily a weak one; but it is a system which requires a large degree of intelligence and political knowledge on the part of the people, and I think it was entirely unfair on the part of the honorable member to compare our probable prospects ia the future; under Confederation, "with the past history of the Spanish republics in Soutin America. We have in this country a population habituated. to self-government, and this entirely destroys the parallel sought to be instituted. For my part, I hold it would bs altogether impossible for the honorable member for South Oxford, for instance, or some other honorable members we know of, to cary on the sime agitation in any of the South American republics-(laughter)-that we have seen them doing in Upper Canada, without producing a complete revolution, and instead of my honorable friend (Hon. Mr. Brown) finding himself at the head of a "newspaper, controlling his columns, he would ind himself at the head of an ariny marshalling its columns. (Laughter.)
Hon. Mr. GALT-He would, perhaps, be found issuing apponunciamsento. (Laughter.)
Mr. A: MACKENZIE-Yes, a pronuncia'mento would undoubtedly be the legitimate resalt in such a state of society. The faot is, Tre cannot compare suoh a population with those who are educated to our own form of government. I have time and again attended politioal meetingy with ny honorable friends opposite, and ufter seven or eight hours indulging in stron language, and sometimes bitter enough speeches, the people have separated quietly without any personal feetiag being entertained the one against the other. Sore, then, asserting that the people of this country are incapable of governing themselves; or that the Federal principle is a weak one, it is necessary to prove that we are not more civilized than were the people of South America thirty years ago. (Hear, hear.) I assume, therefore, that it is necessary to
prove that our people are less civilized than the populations of the South American republics were thirty yeaas ago, or that they have alrcady shown an incapacity for governing themselves before we can receive the assertion that the Federal principle as proposed to be applied in our case is a weak one. If the honorable member based his argument against the Confederation on the question of weakness or strength as exemplified in existing governments, he would be bound to accept Russia as the model for his government, there being no stronger government on the face of the earth. But a despotism is only possible where the people are ignorant, and an attempt to establish a republic among such a people would be out of the question,-it would only produce weakness.- Were a republic to be established at this moment in Russia, it would occasion a state of anarchy, because the people are too ignorant to exercise intelligently the franchise bestowed upon them. It is for this reason unfair to institute comparisons between these uafortunate republics and the proposed government for the people of British North America: I am certain that, if there were a Federal union between all the colonies of British North Ameriea, extending even across the continent to our western contines, although great inconvenience might be experienced by such an extension, we would find a law-abiding people oapable of self-government, in all parts of the Confederacy.: (Hear, hear.) The example of the United States has been appealed to, and it is true that when the war commenced, when they found themselves unable to enforce their laws in some portion of the states, that it did seem to prove to the minds of those who did not understand the people, and to the writers of certain newspapers in England, that there was an inherent weakness in the system. There is no doubt that there were some indications of such weakncss; and the condlict of sovercignty between states and the Federal Government did produce weakness. But I think the attitude of the people of the Northern States fully shows that even with the imperfections of their system, which will be admitted, and which imperfections are avoided in the scheme now before the House -even with these imperfections, a strength, a power, and a vigor have been displayed; which have silenced even the attacks of hostile criticism. (Hear, hear.) The Federal system, then, cannot be said to be a failure with our race, neither can it be said to be a failure in Switzerland. This was admitted in a measure by
the honorable member for Lotbinière, but that honorable gentleman gave as a reason for its apparent success, that 'Switzerland was surrounded by a number of powerful nations. I think, on the other hand, that the reason ossigned would be the very cause of a failure of the system in Switzerland. The government of that country would have broken down long ago if there was any inherent weakness in the form of the constitution, in consequence' of the hostile systems which surrounded it. The fact of the Swiss maintaining their independence so long and conducting the adminis tration of their affains so well and cheaply, is an evidence to my mind that the Federal system of government is not weak where the people are trained and educated to understand and appreciate the benefits of self-government. (Hear, hear.) Then," sir, we are assured that all sorts of calamit? will overtake us if we change our Constitution, and many of the honorable gentlemen who prophecy these evil results will no doubt, like many other prophets, do all they can to bring their predictions to pass. (Hear, hear.) This is not the first time in the history of the world that prophecies of this kind have been indulged in. I Iwas a good ded amused the other night in reading the discussions which took place in the Scottish Parliamient on the occasion of the proposed union with England in 1707 ; and in perusing one of the speeches in particular, I could not help remarking the coincidence betpen the tone therein assumed and that adopted by Her Majesty's loyal Can: adian Opposition. The speaker, Lord Belhaven, used this language in depioting the dire calatinties which he imagined would befall Scotland by joining her fortunes to Eng-land:-

Mr Lord Cancellor,-I think I see our learn:ed judges laying aside their practiques and decisions, studyiug the common law of England, gravelled with certiorzries, nisi priuses, writs of error, verdicts in dovir, ejectione firmae, injunctions, demurs, \&c., and freighied with appeals and avocations, because of the new regulations and rectifications they may meet with. I think I see the, valiant und gallant soldiery either sent to learn the plantation trade abroad, or at home petitioning for a small subsistence as the reward of their honourable exploits, while their old corps are broken, the common soldiers left to beg, and the youngest English corps. kept standing. I think I see the honest, industrious Tradesman loaded with new taxes as d impositions, disap. pointed of the equivalents, drinking water in place of ale- (laughteri, - eating his saluess pot-tage-(renewed laughter)-petitioning for encouragement to his manufactories, and answered
by counter petitious. In short, I think I see the laborious ploughman, with his corn spoiling upon his hands for want of sale, cursing the day of his birth, dreading the expense of his burial-(laigh. ter)-and uncertain whether to marry or do worse. (Mưch laughter.). I think I see the incurable dif: ficulties of landed men, fettered under the golden chain of equivalents, their pretty daughters pe. titioning for want of husbands-(laughter) -and their sons for want of emplosment. I think I see our mariners delivening up their ships to their Dutch partners, and what through presses and necessity, earning their bread es underliggs in the rogal English inavy.
And here, Mr, Spenker, comes the climax, and if I were asked to point to one of the dramatis personice in our Capadian House of Assembly fitted to take part in a similar scene as is here depicted, I should unhesitat-: ingly tura to the honorable nember for Chiateauguay (Hon, Mr. Holtón), who could more suitably than any one else I know personate Lord Belhaven: when he exclaims: "But above all, my Lord, I think I see our ancient mother Caledonia, like © Cssar, sitting in the midst of our Senate; ruefully looking round about her, covering herself with her royal garment, attending to the fatal blow and breathing out her last with et"tu quoque nii fili." (Laughter.) . It must bave secmed very strange for the statesmen of Scotland, who saw in the union of the two kingdoms all the evidences of coming power and grandeur, to have heard expressed suoh desponding sentiments" as "these. (Hear, hear.) No doubt the majority saw in the union which theyowere then about to consummate, the strength which subsequently gress out of that union, and the intluence and greatness by which it would be attended. At the time of the union Scotland had only a revenue of $£ 150,000$ per annum, and lusi year she contributed to the British exchequer nearly $£ 7,000,000$. "(Hear, hear.) This' however, is bat.one instance of the benefit of the union, which has worked to the fullest extent as well as could possibly be desired. If nécessary I could bring forward many arguments to prove that, in the same manner, union between different peoples who are geographically situated so as to favor it, adds to their strength, and makes them greater and more powerful than they could possibly hope to become in their several states of separation and isolation. (Hear, hear.) I am quite aware, sif, that in a matter of this kind it is: exceedingly easy to make objections. There can be nothing easier than to carp at a set of resolations like these. It would not be difi.
cult to spend hours in captious criticism as to the details of such a scheme as. is proposed. But I think we may fairly call on those gentlemen who criticise in a hostile spirit a measure of this character, to say what else they propase to do; for, if we cannot carry this into practical operation now, fit is quite evident something else must be devised. I recollect that last year, when the present administration came down to the House proposing such a plan for settling our difficulties, and received, as I for one inagined, the sanction of this House, I remarked that the course of the House was a revolutionary one, the revolution to be a peaceable one certainly, but still a revolution. It implied the opinion, on the part of our public men, that our present system could not be gone on with; and if our present system cannot be continued, "we ought not to attempt to throw out this measure merely because it does not entirely meet the views of every menber of this House: (Hear.) I think it would have been desirable that all the members from Lower Canada should have united with us and studied out a new system, and gone to mork earnestly to give it effect by the necessary legislation. (Hear.) I did hope that when the measure came dorv and we met this session to discuss it, it would not hare been thought neecessary by any one to organize a regular opposition. Certainly I did not expect that honorable gentlemen like the tonorable nuembers for Hochelaga and Chateauguay, who have hitherto appeared to recognize the gravity of our constitutional dif. Giculties, or have at least asserted that they did, would have found it necessary to go into unqualifid opposition. I rather thought they wuild have endeavored to give effect to the measure as the only remedial one within our reach: (Hear, hear.) It is not because I - think the measure éntirely faultess that I' propose to give it my utmost support, but because I believe every other measure to be impossible now, and because, under the propased government, the country has a great fatare before it.' 'Looking at thie matter commercially, as a question of comparative cheapness, we shall not be, to say the least, any worse off than at the present moment. I believe we shall be able to govern as cheaply united as we now do separately. I apprehend thero will be no necessity in the Loeal Legislature for more than one chamber, and alchough this branol of the subjeot has not been discussed in the House, and we do not know what the propositions of the Government are to be, I
may take occasion to say that I hope they will not think of adopting tha double system in our local- legislatures, for it will cause a serious increase of expenditure, not attended: with a corresponding benefit. (Hear, hear.) The honorable member for Montreal Centre devoted a large portion of his speech last night to the military side of the question, and argued very strongly; from the position of the neighboring republic, that it was absolutely indispensable for us to become a military power. Now; while I am not at all disposed. to take the view that gentleman does of the position of the United States relatively towards ourselves; while I do not think that any large proportion of the people of the United States have hostile inclinations towards ourselves-though they are apt to indulge in language that is undoubtedly unbecoming and certainly threatening; while I do not at all anticipate they will adopt, in so unjustifiable a manner as he seemed to expect, any hostile measures towards us, it is not to be denied that with a pppulation of three millions and a half, it will be absolutely necessary for us to take some steps that will place us in a more independent position. It is not honorable, it is not manly for so powerful a colony as this is to depend entirely on the Mother Country for protection. (Hear, hear.) I took occasion to express these views last year, when discussing the estimates, and said I hoped the Government would bring down a measuro to pay a large portion of the expenditure attendant on the maintenance, by the Imperial Government, of British troops among us. (Hear, hear.). Portugal, with a population as nearly as can be equal to our own, has a standing army of $17,000 \mathrm{men}$. Holland, with about the same population as ourselves at home, but with extensive colonies abroad, hias a standing army of 57,500 men. Denmark, with a population not quite equal to one half: what the Confederacy will possess, has an army of 22,900 men. Now I do not think it will be at all necessary for us to maintain a standing army like these nations. I do not think we are in the same position as these conntries, because our wealth is, to a great estent, not realized. It would be hardly fair to assess some of our new counties, where people own nothing but their land, at the nominal value of that land, for the purpose of paying a large standing army; and besides we. have no colonies, no outside sources of wealth. I think, however, we are nearly as well able, man for man, to maintain' a force necessary for our defence as the people of Great Bri-
tain, and whatever measure the Confederate Government may propose of a moderate; reasonable nature, will, I am convinced, receive the support of the majority of the people of this country: (Hear, hear, and cheers.) I apprehend it is not looking at all too far forward to think of the day when another colony to the westrard of Canada West will come into the "union. I' am of course unaware' what papers may be brought down by the Government in reference to the North-West and the Hudson's Bay Territory, but I hope when they do come down they will show some progress in that direction, in raising that magnificent country from the state in which it now is. I hope some system will be put into operation for extending roads and telegraphs to that country, so as to open it up for settlement by our own young mien and immigrants coming from Europe. The question of the North-West is most intimately connected with our prosperity as a people; and sonie exception has justly been taken to the 68th and 69th paragraphs in the resolutions, which say:-
63. The General Government shall secare, without delay, the completion of the Intercolonial Railway from Riviere du Loup through New Branswick, to Truro in Nova Scotia.
69. The communicutions with the North West: en Territcry and the improvements require t for the development of the trade of the Great West with the seabibard, are regarded by this Conference as subjects of the highest importance to the Federated Provinces, and shall be prosecuted at the earliest possible petud that the state of the finances wilh nid

Mr. T. C. WALLBRIDGE-That is the point.

Mr. A. MACKENZIE-Yes, that is the point my hon. friead is very much exercised orer, but he is quite as much in favor of Confederation as I am. . In this paragraph, while it is pronounced indispensable to have the Intercolenial hailway built at once, it is ouly promised that as soou as the state of the finances will permit, the North-West is to be taken in hand, I think it is absolutely necessary for the y rosperity of this colony that our canal connection with the upper lakes should be perfected as early as possible:" Our canal system must be improved so as to accommodate the kirge trade that is coming from the North-West. On the northern shores of Lake Superior we have sources of wealth that are perfectly inexhaustible. We read only the other day that a mountain of iron had been discovered close to the coast, quite sufficient to supply the demands of the world for 500
years. We have in that locality an abundapt supply of minerals of all kinds, and unless our canals are made capable of carrying that traffic, it will necessarily find channels in another direction, (Hear.) There is an agitation among a portion of the community for making a new canal from Toronto to the Georgian Bay, and I admit it is very desirable it should be constructed, though I do not think it ever can be; and even if itcould be, it is entircly beyond our resources at the present time. I am convinced that the true route for a canal (if a new one should be undertaken) to the Georgian Baye is up the Ottawa, because that would be giving a great backbone to the country. If we had a fine canal, capable of carrying vessels of war in that direction, it would be a splendid means of defence, as well as a great highway for the commercial products of the west." 'Of course I know this to be impossible at the present time, but 1 think it exceedingly desirable that we should press on the attention of the Gor-crament, with all the influence that can be brought to bear, the necessity for having this 69th article attended to, though 1 aum not inclined to go farther than that now.' (Hear.) The importance of perfecting the present and other highrayg to the centre of the continentmust be so apparent to all parts of our cemmon country, that I see no reason to fear that the subject will not receive due attention from the Confederate Government at the earliest moment. As regards the Intercolonial Mailway, I have taken some little interest in that; as I knew that 1 would be compelied to discuss it on approaching this subject, and, in examining the maps and reports of Major Robinson, I find that there is no dificulty Whatever in arriving at a conclusion as to the comparative colst. The route that is most feasiblo-that alluded to by the hoporable member for Richelien-the northern or eastern route by the Bay of Chaleurs, is about 655 miles frow Halitax to Quebec. It is already constructed to Truro, some 55 miles from Halifiss, and: from Quebeo 140 miles $\omega$ Riviere du Loup." This'will leave nearly 400 miles to be built. Major Robinson estimàtes the cost of the road at about $£ 7,000$ per mile, or about $£ 2,800,000$ altogether. I do not think, judging from the statemont he gives of the grades in the road; the bridges to be bailt. and the material to be found along the line, that it is a fair inference that the cost would equal the amount he sets down. The charaeter of the ground over whioh the road will pass is very similar to the railways of Canada:

It is represented to be very much of the nature of the country through which the Great Western runs westward of Hamilton over a great portion of the line. The best portion of the line is equal to the worst portions of the Great Western: Even at the cost of $£ 7,000$ per mile the expense of constructing. the entire road would be a little over fifteen millions of dollars: : The proportion of that payable by Canada would be about nine millions. I think it is extremely probable that when we obtain the report of the engineers sent out by our Government, it will be found that a very large portion can be constructed for much less' than $£ 7,000$ per "mile.' But, whaterer the sum may amount to, it is perfecty clear that without the road there can be no union of the provinces. (Hear, hear.) It is equally clear that on that road there is a very Lurge proportion of the country that is erceedingly desirable for settlement, and that. valy ayaits the opening up of some means of csmbunication .with the markets. Major Robisson reports that on one portion of it-and I confess that I was not aware of the fact $Y$, until Lexamined the report more elosely to-day-that there is a tract of country along the New Brunsirick portion of the line not' ezellded tor timber or land in any part of the world that he ever sim. (Hear, hear.) I do not propose taking up the time of the Ilouse by readiag from this valuable report; but ettinatey are given shorving the amount of Ppulation that thicse districts" will gupport when pruperly settled: "IIe shows that the cuntry, if the road is once carried through, will be settled very rapidly. I do not, however, expect that that road ean possibly pay as a coumércial enterprise for a long time to couie, and I do not desire to deceive myself ir deceive any other persion on that point. That it will be of importance more as a militry work than for any other purpose, nobody cun duy. In 186, when 1 opposed the propusition to construct the road, 1 then felt that this wis an argument that could fairly be used in its fuvor. Military authorities are still unanimously of opinion that its construction trould be of great iuportanee as a means of protectiva in case of hostilities. The most "tuportant reason, however;" why it should be (onstructed, in addition to the military reason, is, that without its construction there oan be no union of the provinces, and without a Federal uniou of the provinces we cannot hope to obtain a settlement of our sèctional difficulties. The one is dependent upon the other, and I believe the people of Canada are villing
to accept the conclasion that this argument necessarily leads as to engage in the construction of that road. (Hear, hear,) I do not propose to-night to indulge much in figures relative to what our condition will be, financially, after this measure is carried out; but the honorable member for Hochelaga made some statements that I can scarcely aliow to pass. He was understood to say that Lower Canada eame into the union without any debt, and was to so out with thirty millions of dollars of debt, white only some twelve millions of dollars were expended in that section of the province. Now, sir, there has been spent altogether on the canals of Canada $\$ 20,813,304.03 ;$ on roads and bridges in Canada West, \$562;866, and on roads and bridges in "Canada East; : $81,163,899.34$; on the goverament buildings at Ottawa there have already been paid over $\$ 1,513,412.56$; and on ruilroads there have been spent altogether : $\$ 29,910,825 \cdot 26$, or altogether about $\$ 53 ; 964,236,79$. Now, I think that one-half of this enormous amount is fairly chargeable to Lower Canada. Oncbalf or a little more than that of the works on which the money was spent are siuated in Lower Canada, and, if we dicilude the Victoria Bridge, it is considerably more than oue-half. Besides these, however, there are quite a number of other items which I do not take into account. There is the Quebec Fire Loan, and a deficiency in a number of special funds that I will not take any notice of at this time. Then take it from another point of view. From a return made to Parliament; we find that the entire cost of improving the navigation in Upper Uanada, including the cost of light-houses, canals, de.,' altogether amounted to $87,022,665.61$; that the revenue derived from Upper Canada harbors and canals has been $84,887,291.73$; leaving a balance against Upper Canada of $\$ 2,145$,373.88. In Lower Canada, during the same period, the expenditure has becn $\$ 4,484$,566.52 , while there was a revenue of 8708,086.80, leaving a balaree against Lower Canada of $\$ 4,176 ; 479.72$." I-give thíse figures simply to prove that the position taken by the honorable member for Hochelaga was entirely incorrect ; but it would be superfluous to do that if I were to allude to one item which he gave when he was comparing the amount of debt that we would have to pay per head of our population, compared with that of Great Britain. The amonnt per head with us is about $\$ 25$, and he gravely told the House that the amount per head in Great Britain was only \$37, when every person
knows or ought to know that it is about \$140. Nevertheless he drew comparison showing that while the comparatively poor people of Canada would have to pay $\$ 25$ per head, the rich people of Great Britain had only $\$ 37$ to pay. It is very remarkable, however, that the whole of this portion of the honorable; gentleman's speech was omitted from the report given in the papers next morning. I do not propose to go into these figures, but merely to refer to a few fiets to place the assertions made by that honorable gentleman in their true light before the House. Our debt is indeed very large, and we could all wish that it was very much less than it is, ,but we have got to bear it and to pay it, and must do the best we can under the circumstances. The measure of Confederation, in my opinion, will not add to nor yet lessen it, except what may be incurred for the construction of the Intercolonial Railway. It is quite possible, of course, that we may undertake énormous expenditures for public works calculated to open up and develope the resources of the conntry; and thus. soon render our debt much heavier than at pres-ent, and it will be a question for the Govenment that may be established "after Confederation,' to decide how far it will be wise or prudent to undertake works of great cost until we shall have a good surplus in hand. (Hear, hear.) One of the objections urged by the opponents of the measure is, that it is being hurried through too fast-that in a matter of so much much importance to present and future gener-: ations, more time for consideration should be given:. We have been discussing this questiou for many years in Canada West: Since the Toronto Convention of 1859 , the question has been continuously before the people. It is now nearly a year since it was proposed in something like its present shape in this House, and since that time the whole of our newspapers have been writing upon it continually: We have nearly 300 newspapers in the countryand they have been carrying on a constant argument for or againsti. the scheme, until I do not think it is possible to say or write much more upon the subject with any advantage. If the question is not now fully understood, I fear it will not be much better understood by any delay that can be now accorded. (Hear, hear.) Another objection raised is, that a measure of such vast importance ought not to be carried through without its first being submitted to the people. I have mixed with the people agood deal, and I have found the opinion all but univereal amongst thém,
that it was expedient to put the measure into practical operation as soon as possible. The people consider it utterly impossible to carry on the former violent political agitation with any benefit to the country, and the desire is general that we should get rid of the present constitutional difficultieg and get settled down to some quiet and permanent way of manag. ing our governmental business and political discussions. (Hear, hear.) The charges that are made against members of this House about inconsistency in adrocating this measure, are very easily met. In a country like ours, so full of change, with a constant agitation going on for constitutional changes and for nem laws; both local and general, it is utterly im possible that a man can remain long in publiẹ life without being open to charges of inconsistercy ; but it "these are caused by a strong effort to settle the difficulties under which the country has been laboring, like the present one, I feel certain that the success the measure in hand will render the cliarges of. only evanescent existence. I think it exceedingly desirable, even for the salse of those people who might reasuably yed the strongest objections to it-I mesan the English minority of Lower Canada, and the Catholio minority of Upper Cana, -that it should be settled at once. Soalong as the question remains in its present state; there will be a constant agitation going on, and much injúry may be done by the misrepresentations that will be indulged in, and the misapprehensions which will exist; but if these people can be assured that the scheme provides a perfect remedy for any injustice that they might apprehend, they will immediately concur in it. As regards the people of Lower Canada of French ori: gid, and who are Roman Catholics, I have always heard it said in their favor, that a large degree of liberalism "characteriseg their conduct toward - their Protestant neighbors. (Hear, hear.): Lower Canida, I believe, was the first portion of Britioh territory to give political freedom to the Jew, I believe that a person of this persuasion sat in the Lower Canada Legislature thirey years before the same privileges wero accorded in Great Britain. People who charged the French Canadians with intolerance should remember this with some degree of favor. With regard to the people" of British origin, over the whole Confederacy, I do not think it is at all necessary to defend them from any charges of this kind. I do not think they will be inclined to persecute the people of Lower Canada if they had it in their power;' but I ad-
mit that it is reasonable and just to insert a provision in the scheme that will put it out of the power of any party to act unjustly." If the poiver that the central authority is to have-of yetoing the doings of the Local Leg-islature-is used, it will be ample, I think, to prevent :unything of that kind.. But the veto itself is objected to. It is objected that the elected Legislature will be rendered powerles's by the influence of the appointed Upper House cxercised over them: Wefl, siz, under the British Constitution, irdall British colonles, and in Great. Britain itself, there is a certain elasticity to be presumed. Everything is not provided for, because a great deal is trusted to the common sease of the people. I thiak it is quite fair and safe to assert that there wis not the slightest danger that the Federal Parliameat will perpetrate any injus. tice upon the local legislatures, because it 'would cause such a reaction' as to compass the destruction of the power thus unjustly esercised. The veto power is necessary in corder that the Guneral Government may have a coutrol over the proceedings of the local kerislatures to a certain extent. The want of this power was the great source of weakness in the United States, and it is a want that will be remedied by an amendment in their Constitution very soon. So. long as each stiate cousidered itself sovereign, whose acts and laws could not be called in question, it w.is quite clear that the central authority was distitute of poiver to compel obedience to general laws. If each province were able to enact such laws as : it pleased, everybody would be at the mercy of the local legislatares, and the Geaeral Legislatare would become of little iuportance. It is contended that the power of the General Legislature should be held in oheck by a veto power with neference to its own territory, resident in the local legislatures, respecting the application of general laws to their jurisdiction. All power, they say, comes from the people and uscends through them to their representatives, und through the representatives to the Crown. But it would never do to set the Local above the General Goverument. The Central Parliament and Government must, of necessity, "exercise the supreme power, and the local governments will have the exeroise of power corresponding to the duties they have to perform, The system is a new and untried oue, and nay not work so harmoniously as we now anticipate, but there will always be power in the British Parliament and our own to remedy any defeots that "may ho discovered after
the system is in operation.. Altögether, I regard the' scheme as a magnificent one, and I look forward to the future with anticipations of seeing, a country and a government possessing great power and respectability, and of being, before I die, a citizen of an immense "empire built up"on our part of the North American continent, where the folds of the British A.g will float in triumph over a people possessing freedom, happiness and prosperity equal to the people of any other nadtion on the earth. If there is anything that I have always felt anxious about in this country, it is to have the British possessions put in such a position that we could safely repose, without fear of danger from any quarter, under the banner which we belqeve ifter all covers the greatest amount of personal freedom and the greatest anount of personal happiness that is to be found in the world. (Hear, hear.) " And when we look to the Sast territory we have in the North-West; when we know that the great rivers which flow through that territory; flow through immense beds of coal, and that the whole country is rrch in mineral deposits of all kinds-petroleam, copper, gold and iron; that the land is teeniing with resources of wealth calculated to build ap an extensive and valuable conmerce, and support a porverful nation; that all this we cau touch:and seize upon the moment we are prepired to open up is way to reach them and allow the settler to enter; when we remember this, I say, I think we can look forward with hope to a prodigious incroise in our pupulation and an immense developrent of strength and poiver. (Hear, hear.). So far our people have had to contend with the usual dificulties common to the people of all new conutries like ours; but now Canada is begrinning to assume a position of commer: cial importance, and in proportion as that importance'increases we will be able to devote ourselves to the opening up and settlement of the interior, and to the development of a new nationality - to use the term that has been so sharply criticised-in that vast western country where there is hardly a white man living to-day. : (Hear, hear.). I do not proposte:sir, to follow the example that has been set of speaiking four or five hours upon this subject. I proposed at the beginning brictly to give my own views in reference to the Confederation of these provinces, and then to lesve the ground to other honorable gentleucn. I iam exceedingly desirous of seaing tho debate proceed as rapidly as possible; and believing it will be necessary for us to
speak briefly upon the question rather than indulge in long set speeches. I determined to give an example in this respect and bring my remiarks within reasonable bounds. '(Hear, hear.) I believe then, sir. in the first place, that Confederation is desirable, in the second, that it is attainables, and, in the third place. that it is the best thing we can get,. and this last is perhaps the strongest reason of all for accepting it:" It is'quite clear that we must have'a'settlement of our difficulties in some Way; "and I think the scheme proposed is an very farorable settlement of them. I think it at more than perhaps souie of "us expected at the time when the present Government was formed to bring about a settlement; and I do think, sir, that it would be the greatest act of" madness that western meembers of this House could perpetrate to vote against it. (Hear, hear.) I am not, howeser, afraid that it will be voted against by them . I beliere that under it we have obtained representation by population, that we have obtained what we have long contended was justly due to us, that we have obtained our legitimate intliuence in framing the financial policy of the country, and that beyond this we have obtained the prospect of building up a great British Cnion on this continent. 'We should, therefore, I think, in view of these greatadvantages, orerlook those objections which may be regarded as antecedent to the scheme, and cindeavor heartily to carry out the work suceessfully: "I shall willingly yield my "suppurt to the seheme, and I believe it will be acceptable to the people I represent-not only to the people of the locality, but to those who surround ne ine Upper Canada. (Cheers.)

Mr. MORRIS said-Mr. Apeaker, the member for Lambton has, I think, set a good example, and I shall endeavor if it be possible to follow it. I desire to state at the outset that this, as has been well observed by many who have spoken upon the subject, is no new question; but that in one phase or auother, as was very properly stated in the narrative given to the House by the honorable member for Montreal West, it has been before the people of this country from time to time for many years past. It is not my intention to follow that honorablewgentleman in his interesting narrative of the history of this question, but $I$ desire to ask the attention of the House to the fact that this is the third time that this question has been formally brought before Parliament by the Government of this country.: The first occasion was, I believe, in 1858, whon the then Governor General, in
closing the session of Parliament for that year, used in the Speech from the Throne the following words:-"I propose, in the course of the recess, to communicate" with Her Majesty's Government and with the goverpment of the sister colonies, on another matter of very great importance. I am desirons of inviting them to discuss with us the principles on which a bond of a federal character uniting the provinces of British North America may, perhaps, hereafter be practicable." That formal statement was followed by the despatch. which has been referred to frequently in this House and during this debate; and which was made the basis of the motion laid before, the House last session by the honorable memf ber for South Oxford-which motion has had the effect of causing present and, as I believe, future great résults. (Hear, hear.) I bo lieve the appointment of the committee moved for by that bonorable gentlemuln will be looked back to as. an ers in the history of this country. (Hear, hear.) Now, as to the second occasion on which this question was formally brought before the attention of the House and country, we have heard from those who object to this scheme, that the people of the country have been taken by surprise, that they do not understand it, and that they are not prepared to discuss it. I would ask, sir, in reference to that, if this present Government.was not formed on the very basis and understanding that it woukd bring about a settlement of this question, and if the people of the country did not" know this to be the fact?" 'I hold in my hand the basis upon which the Goverument was formed, in which the following is stated as the result of a long negotiation between the leading members of it -

The Covernment are pfepared to pledge them: selves to briag in a measure next sessiun: for the purpuer of removisg existing dificulues by intuo ducing the Federal priaciple iuto Cauada coupled with such provision as will permit the Mantime Provinces and the NorthiWest territory to be Tincorporated into the same system of Government.

Hon Ma. HOLTON-Hear, hear!
Mi. , MORKIS-I trust the honorable gentleman will say "Hear, hear," with the game euphasis when I read the next pars graph:-

And the Guverument will seek, by sending so presentatives to the Luwer Provinces, and to Ensland, to secure the assent of those iuterests which are begond the control of our opn legilas tion to such a measure as may enable all Britiah

North America to be united under a general legislature based apon the Federal principle
This, sir, was the pledge given to this House and country by the present Government on its formation. It was pledged to introduce the Federative system into the Government of Canada, with special provisions for the incorporation into this Federation of the Maritime Prorinces, and it was alsu pledged to send delegates to those provinces and invite them to join us in this Federation. (Hear, hear.) And yet we are told forsooth that these delegates, who were thus appointed in conformity with the pledge of the Government, were "a self-constituted junta,"-we were told that they had no authority for their action in the face of the distinct obligation resting upon the Governupent to send delegates to those provinces and to England with a view of bringing about this Confederation. No self-constituted junta were those delegates who framed these resolutions; but they inet in accordance with a pledge given by this Government, and must be held to have been called together with the sanction of the Parliament of Qanada, because Parliainent gave the Governinent, formed to effect the Federation, its' confidence: They met also with the sanaction of the Imperial Government, as now appears fromi statements and despatches in possession of this House. (Hear, hear.) But coming now to the present aspect of the matter, I feel that this country has reason to be satisfied with a scheme of so practical a nature as that now under the consideration of the House. I, beleve that the plan of union proposed will be found to meet the exigencies of our local position, give latitude to local development, and due protection to local interests, snd yet secure that general control which is essentially neessary tor the proper government of a country placed under the dominion of the British Crown. (Hear, hear.)" And while I thus look upon the plan, I desire to state emphatically and olearly that it is no new principle that the people of this country and the members of this House are asked to give their sanction to. The question of colonial union, in one shape or another, is one that hase engaged the attention of high intellects and able statesmen in England; and I think I will be able to show to the House that the very prin: ciple we are now endeavoring to introduce as a principle of government in these British North Amporican Provinces, is one that has received the sanction of eminent men in England, and more than that; the sanction of a colemn act of the Inporial Parliament.
(Hear, hear.) I will go back a few years, when the condition of the Australian colonies rendered it necessary for the statesmen of Great Britain to endeavor to find a practical solution of the difficulty of governing those. great and growing dependencles of the British Crown. What was the practical mode adopted when events. made it necessary that they should form a new Constitation for the inore perfect government of those colonies? Why, the Imperial Government revived an old committee of the Privy Council, called the "Cominittee on Trade and Foreign Plantations," and referred the question to it, calling in to its aid, as new members of the comnititee, Lord Casipbell, then Chancellor of the Duchy of Lancaster, Sir James Steperen and Sir Edrard Ryan. The resalt of the deliberations of that committee was a report in which the pminent men who composed it recommended the formation of a general as. sembly, to which the control of the general affairs of the Australian colonies should be entrusted; with local governments having local jurisdiction and certain defined powers granted to them. I hold in my hands a series of letters on the colonial policy of England, addressed by Earl Grey to Lord Jobn RusSELL, which contain the report of the committec of the Privy Council that I have referred to, and I find that the plan there sug gested is analogous to the one we are now: asked to giye practical effect to in this country (Hear, hear.) The proposition of the committee was that there should be a Governor. General to admivister the affairs of the Australian colonics, and that he should convene a body, to be called the General Assembly of Australia, on receiving a request to that effect from two or more of thie Australian legislatures;: und it was recommended that this General Assembly, so convened, should have the power to make laws respecting the imposition of duties on imports and exports, the post office, the formation of roads, canals and railways, and a variety of other subjects. The advantages of this plan were so manitest, as uniting those colonies together and securing for them a better and more satisfactory form of government than they had before enjoyed, that the report wis at once adopted by the Privy Council, embodied in a bill and submitted to Parliament. The bill passed the House of Commons and reached the House of Lords; but while before that body the two clauses which introduced into the goverament of the Australian colouies the same system that in effect it is proposed to
introduce bere were dropped, and why? . Nut because of any change of opinion on the part of the Government on the question, nor because the House of hords was opposed to the principle, but beenuse it wis found on examination that they were liable to practical objections, to obviate which aneudiments would have to be introduced whieh there were no means of arranging without further comunnications with the colonies. The Imperial Goverument would not unake these chages it the measure without the consent of the colonies', but Earl Grey by no means chinged his mind in regard to the advantages to te derived frum the plan proposed, as the following extract from onc of his despatches, written in 1850 , to the Guvernor ot New Nouth Wales, will show :-

I an not, howerer, the legs persaded that the "want of some such' central autuerity" to reguiate maters, oft cenmon importance to the Austratian culun:'s wal be tat, atid protably at a very eatifyerod but whea thas
 by-which it maj be met. The sereial, legislatures. will, it is thae, be unable at mite tu fore the necessury author ty to'd deneral Assembly, because tha fe folative puwer of each is contined of: inecessity within it treriturial Thmis; but, at two or mose of these legastatures should bad"that inere dee abjection commen anterest tor whoh it is expedeat to create suchan authorig. they whll
 of an anangrmert tof the purinse, whas actis
 tacir ope aluan uitul Par sament, hana have suppided the authoity that is wablang. Wy such actis the extent mid jbject's of the puwers "huth they are - penared to defrate to such a bidy m. fit be dinited and hanted "with preesion, and there can be litile doubt that Parlitan- nt, wheri appherl to In order itu give efect to an as ratigemeat sos. - agreed uperi, muu d readily wasere to du oo.

Sume may sta, Mr. Speaker; thit this is very true, but that the British ! fovernmeat dropped the plan and did nut proceed with it. I think I shall be prepared to meet that argument and show that it only, rested an the planto Tearn the wishes of the people of the colonies; tor "you bind it tollowner the very" samepronciple, reported upun fivurably by the Liommittee on Trade and Fureign Hantaious, in the Constitution which. Wis subsecjuently grunted to the New Zealath provinces. In 1852 , the plan suggested by that committee, in regard to Australia, was earried into effect in New Zealand; and it must be remembered that at that time the population of: New Zeas-: land was very small, so small indeed that one cannot help contrasting the position of that
country with that of British. North America at the present day; but the statesmen of Great Britain looked into the future of the collony, and they decided that it would be advisable to confer on it powers analogous to those now sought for by, us. $\because$ The New Zea: land Constitutional Act created six provinces, with superintendents, provincial councils of nine appointed by the governor, and a general goverument of three estates, In the debate on that bill, Earl Grey said that this was the only form of government which could be conferred on a colony situated as that one was, He remarked:-

It was impracticable and must for many years cminue to be so, for any, general eóslature to teet all the wants of so many separate setule wents it a great distance fom each other $;$ hence it seemed absulutely necessarv-to constitute provincial legislatures on which a great portion of the public business must devolve.
The very dificulty which was met with there is the one we have to overcome here.' It wat found absolutely necessary to create in every province a. Local Legislature, and in addition one central power, to whom matters common to all might bo referred. Earl Grey, in the course of the same debate, speaking of the imporiance of this arrangement,' suid :- "There were some subjects on which extensive incon. venience would arise, if uniformity of legislation among the several provinces were not in sured, which could only be acomplished by a Gioneral Legislature." And that, sir; is whit this Goverument now asks us to adopt. They ask us to invite the Imperial Parfameat to creato for us proviucipl legishatures, to whour shall be referred all local matters, and that we shall have a General Legislature for the care of those subjects of ia general character which could not be so well looked after by the provincial legislatures. And I say, sir, that Guding as'we do that this is no new question, we can, therefore, understand why this measuro met with such ready approval from the stiatesmen of Britain and the high commenchtion of Mer Majesty by her advisers. (Hear, hear.) But, Mr. SPbaker, I will now pissifrou the condsiderition of the histury of this important movement-and I asore you that I feel the difficulty of audressiug tho llurse on this subject, in consequeace off the sease I eutertuin of the gravity of the question itself and the momenturs character of the is sues it involves. The" subject, sir, is one of the very highest importance. The destinies of this great country are bonnd up in it. (Elear, hear.j The

Upper House has already sanctioned the scheme, and I would take the opportunity of remarking that I do not think that the members of that House can be rightly charged with not having given it that deliberate consideration which its importance demands. I think that they have shown a very proper example in their discussion of the question, and one that we may well follow. They debated with leisure, deliberation, and a thorough appreciation of its gravity, day by day, during four weeks, and I therefore think that the members of the Upper House ought not to have been charged with " indecent haste""
Hov.J.S. MACDONALD - Who said so?
Mr. MORRIS - The honorable member -from Cornwall was one of those who said so.

Hon. J. S: MACDONALD-Lsaid it was unsuitable haste.

Mr. MORRIS-I have somewhat of a recording memory, and I think the words he anfortunately used were "indecent haste." However, I have no intention of aisputing with my honorable friend as to the particular mords be used. I have only to express ing opinion that the tiue which has been already spent on this question here and elsewhere has not been lost." I think it is our duty to consider this subject in all its aspects,' and belieriug as I' do that the scheme will be adopted by this House, I feel the importance of a full and free discussion, in order that its merits may be put before the country. (Hear, heiar.) Mr. Speaker, I desire now to state that I support the proposal at present under 'our consideration, because' iu: my honest and deliberate judgment I believe that this union, if accomplished, is caleulated in its practical effects to bind us more closely to Britain than ime could be bound by any other system: (Hear', hear.)
A VOICE-It would put an end to the counection.

Ma. NORRIS-An honorable member says "it would put an end to the connection." Well, I would say to that honorable geptlemain and this House, that in my opinion there are but tivo destinies betiore us, We have - either to rise into streugth and wealti' and puwer by means of this union, uader the shelUriag protection of Britain, or :we must be absorbed by the great power beside us. (Hear, hear.) I believe that that is the only conclasion we oan arrive at.
A VUICE-But the people are against it.
Mr. MORRIS-An houorable gentleman says the people are not in favor of a Federal union. But wo know on the contragy, that
the people are in favor of the change. When the pablic mind is excited against any measure, is there not a means open to the peoplo to make known their opposition, and how is it that the table of this House is not covered with petitions against the scheme, if it is so unpopular as lionorable gentlemen would have us believe?

An Hon. MEMBFR - There are no petitions for it.

Mr. MORRIS-An honorable gentleman says "there are ro petitions for it." And why is it that there are not? 'Is it not because the Government was constituted on the basis of union? (Hear, hear.) The people, through a vast majority of their representatives in this Honse, ure in favor of it. If they are opposed to it they have the remedy in their own hands, they have the means of opposing, but they do not oppose it because they feel that a change of some kind is absolutely eissential, and they have confidence in the wisdomi of those entrusted with the destiny of the country: in this erisis of its history. But I say that the great reason why this scheme has taken the hold that it has done upon the public men of the province, is that they see in it an cearnest desire to perpetuate British oonnection:

Hon Mre HOLTON-It will turn out a delusion.

Mr. MORRIS-I am not a prophet, nor the son of a prophet, but I am willing to place my prediction against that of the hoaorable gentleman who says it will be a delusion. (Hear, hear.). A fear has been expressed that the Confederation will lead to the severance of those links which bind us to the Mother Country. But I believe it will be our-own fault if the ties between us are broken. With entire freedou and the right of self-government in the fallest sease of the word, together with the great advantage of an improved position, and the strength and power of Great-Britian to foster and protect us, why should we seêk to change our connection, what object could we have to induce us to form other ties? "(Hear, hear.) What have we to euvy in the position of the neighboring country, burdened as it is with the heavy load of taxation arising from the cruel war raging there, that we should covet that flag? Why then should our coning together for the purpose of union weaken our position or diminish the tie that links us to Britain? It will be for honorable gentlenen who do not believe that the union of these scattered colonies will give" them strength, to prove that,
contrary to all precedent, union is not strength. (Hear, hear.) :But I will state why this union is calculated to prolong our connection with Britain. It is well known that there has been an entire and radical change of late in the colonial policy of England. That policy has been to extend to us the utmost liberty in our relations to the Empire. "What is after all the nature of the bond which links, us to Great Britain, apart from our allegiance and loyalty? What is it but a Federative bond? That is what links us to Britain,and Ifeel quite satisfied, in the words of an English publicist of some eminence, that " the new colonial policy is calculated to prolong the connection of the colonies with the Mother Country.". I believe it will raise these provinces as part of the British Empire, and so secure to us the permanency of British institutions, and bind us more closely to the Crown: (Hear, 'hear.) I believe it will, in the words of that far-seeing statesman,' Lord Dtrhayy, 's raise up to the North American colonist a nationality of his own by elevating those small and unimportant communities into a society having some objects of national importance, and give these inhabitants a country which they will 'be unwilling to see absorbed into that of their powerful neighbors." And, sir, our neighbors so see it. Shortly after the visit of the Duke of Newcastle to this country, attention was directed to the question of the union of' the colunies, not only iu this country, but in Eingland and in the United States. The New Fork C'marier and Inquirer, in an article published at that time, came to the conclusion "that the union would, in fact, be an arguinent for a continuance of the existing relations between the two countries is a matter of policy and gratitude, and that such a change of "government could be met with no objection of any weight.." (Hear, hear.) I invite the attention of the honorable member for Chateauguay, to that statement. ' But, Mr. Speaker,' it is a siugular study, looking back over the history of the past, to see how this questiou has coue up in the experience of the various colonies. Before: the American revolution, $\because \mathbf{B E N}$ Jayin Frankins suggested a phay for a Federation of the old colonies of Britain on this continent; which, he afterwards said; would, according to his deliberate opinion, have prevented the severance of the conuection between the colonies and the Mother Country. I will quote a passage written by him after the revolution, in which be makes allusion to this project. He said :-

I proposed and drow up a plan for the union of
"all the colonies under one government, so far as might be necessary for defence and other important general parposes. By my plan,' the General Government, was to be administered by a Presi-dent-General, appointed and sapported by the Crowń, and a General Coûnicil, to be chose by the representatives of the people of the severaf colonies, met in the respective ussemblies. : Thé plan was agreed to in Congress, 'bat the 'assenf blies of the provinees did not adopt it, as the thought there was too much prerogative it and in England it was judged to have too $\%{ }^{2} t \mathrm{th}$ of the democratic. The different and conttand reasons of dislike to my plan made me'sudect that it was really the true medinm,' and 'I smmatll. of opinion it would have been happy fft oth sides if it had been adopted. The cototites so un ted would hare been strong eniough to have defended themselves; there would then kave been no need of troops from England ${ }^{2}$, ${ }^{\prime}$ f courze the subsequent pretext for taxing Avericica, and also the bloody contest it occasioned, been aroided.
It is singular that nearly a hondred years ago, Benjamin Franklin,, looking at the difficulties then existing betweet the colonies; should have suggested'a planof anion similar to that now proposed to us, and it is a strong proof of the wisdom of the plan now before this House, that seeing the difficulties under which the other colonies lathored for want of a central power, just ${ }^{2}$ as we now see them, proposing thes Confe deration, he should have declared that if such a plan had been adopted then it would hive prevented the severance of the British connection.

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-This scheme is looked upon as equal to independence.

NR. MORRIS-Is that the opinion of the honorable nember?" I think that far different views prevail in Britain: In 1858, when British Columbia was erected into a colony, it was found then that the Cominons of Britain had no intention of surrendering the fair poos sessions of Britain ou this continent, and Her Mijesty was advised to say:-

Her Majesty hopes that the new colony in the Pacific may be but one step in the career of steady progress, by which Her (Iajesty's dominions in Norih America may ultimately be peopled in. an unbroken chaini from the Atlantic to the Pacific by aloyid, iadustrious population of subjects of the Britint Cromi.
(Hear, hear:) I say, sir', that there is no evidence whatever that the statesmen of Britain look upon this great scheme as involving the severance of our connection with the Empire ; but these utterances, as read here the other night by the honorable member from Montreal Centre, prove directly the contrary. If breaking off from the Mother

Country were its tendency, then I, for one, would not support it, nor would it be supported by any of those. honorable gentlemen whe so strongly advocate it. I am not dared to bris that any government which hurled from their places. (Hear, hear.) But, Mr. Speaker, I have been led into the discassion of this question of couni ction with the Motier Country at much greater length thạn I had intended, by the suggestions of hon. members, and I will take the liberty of call. ing the attention of the House to a passage from a work [ have already referred to, and in which we find an exposition of the policy which governed the administration of Lord Johy Ruesell: I find there an elaborate argument to prove that the coloniegs are an advantage to Britain, und that Britain of course is an advantage to the colonies; and on the mere ground of material interest, if there twere no other-if deeper aud stronger ties did not exist as they do-I feel satisfied that this country would not be prepared to take the first step towards the severance of our connection with Euglant and the loss of that prestige and power which go with every British subject to every civil zed part of the globe, enabling him to say,"like the old Rourau, "I am's British citizen." Earle Grey states that:-
The possession of a uymber of steady and faithful allies, in various quarters of the globe, will surely be admitted to add greatly to the atrength of any nation; white no alliance between independent states can be so close and intimate as the connection which unites the colonies w the United Kingdom'as' parts of the Great Britith Empire. Nor ought it to be forgoten, that the power of a nation does not depend merely on the amount of physical force it cain command, but rests, in no small degree, upon' oppaion and moral influence. . In this respect British power would be diminished by the loss of our culonies, to a degree which it would be difficult to estimate.
Passing on a little, we find hisu saying:-
To the latter [i. e the coloniste] it is no doubt of far greater importance than to the former, because; while still forming comparatively small and weak communities, they enjoy, in return for their allegiance to the British Crown, all the security and consideration which belongs to them af members of one of the most' powerful states in the world. No foreiga power ventures to attack or interfere with the amallest of them, while every coloniat carries with him to the remoteyt quarters of the glube which he maag visit, in trading or other parsuits, that protection which the character of a Britiah sabject everywhere confers.
(Hear, hear:) But to view the subject in another aspect. I believe it will be found that all the conditions are combined in the scheme now before us, that are considered necessary for the formation on a permanent basis of a Federative union. I hold in my band a book of some note on Rcpresentative Government, by Jonn' Stuart Mille, and I find that he lays down three conditions as applieable to the union of indepecident states, and which, by parity of reasoning, are applicable to provinces which seek to have a closer alliance with each other, and also, thereby; a closer alliance with the Mother Country. The conditions he lays down arex first,-

That there should be a süfficient amount of mutual sympathy among the populations.
And he states that the sympathies which they should have in common should be-

Those' of race, language, religion, and, above all; of political institutions; as conducing most to a feeling of identity of political interest. :

Hon Mr. HOLTON-Hear, hear-
Mr. MORRIS-We possess that strung tie of mutual sympathy in a high degree. We have the same systems of government, and the same political institutions. We are part of the same great Empire, and that is the real tie which will bind us together in future tivie. The second condition laid down is :-

That the separate states be not so powerful as to be able to rely for protection against foreign encroachment on their individual strength.
That is a condition which applies most foreibly in our case: (Hear, hear.) I'He third condition is:-
That there be not a very marked inequality of strength among the several contracting states.

Hun Mr. DORION-Hear, hear.
Mi. HORRIS-Allow me to proceed with the extruct:-
They cannot indeed, be exactly equal in resiources; $;$ in all federutions theie will be a gradation of power among the members; some will be more pispulous, rich, and civilized than others." There is a wide difference in wealth between Néw York and Rhode Island:
Just as there is between Canada and Prince Edward Island: I trist I have satisfied my hon. friend from Hochelaga (Hon. Mr. Dohion , that Mr. Milc's views are entirely upplicable to our positivu. (Hear, hear.) I now proceed to state my belief that we will find great didvantages in the future, in
the possession of a strong Central Govern ment and local or munieipal parliaments, such as are proposed for our ${ }^{\text {adopantion. I }}$ beliève the scheure will be found in fact and in practice - by its cumbination of the better features of the American system with thöse of ths British tionstitution-to have very preat practical advantages: I' shall read an extract trom an article in the London Times, written in 1858 , bearing on this subject, and which brugs very clearly into riew the distinstion' between the system which has been proposed for our aloption, atd that which has been adopted in the States.- The great weakuess of the Anerican system has laiú in the tact that the several states, on enterng the union, clained indepudent jurishiction; that they demitied to. the Central Government certain powers, and that they" chamed er ual and sovercign powers with fegart to everything: not so delegited and demitted. The weaknesses aud difficulties of that system have been avorde in the project now before us, and we have the ceentral power with defined and sovereigu powers, and the local parliaments with their defiued and delegated powers. but subürdinated to the ceutral power. . The article says:-

It is yute clear that the Eederal Constutution of the Uuited States ot Amenca forms a precedent whish cahinut ensobibly be followed in ats pinciples or detanh, by the' unted colonies,' so lonk as they remam part of the dommons of the Imperial Er wn. The priaciple of the American Federation is' that each is a suveren'gn state, whach. cunsents to delegate to a central authority a purtuon of its sumeregh paver, leaving the remander which is not so dele zated absolute and "utact in its own hands." Thes is not the position of the culones, each of which, instead of being. an isulated suvereggi state, is an integral part. of "the Brition Erapire. They cannint delegate" their suvereigh authority to a central government, becauise they do not possess the sovereignauthoraty to dele eate. The only alternatuye as it seems ti) us would be to adipt a course exactly the contrary of thai which the United States adopted, and mstead of taking for ther motto $\boldsymbol{E}$ Pluribus Uniun, tis invert it by suying In Uno Plura.
(Hear hear.)
Hon Mr.: HOLTON-What are you reading from?

Mr. MORRIS-From the london Timev, and I quote the article on account of the fores of the remarks themselves, apart from the standug of the jourual in:which they appear:-

The first steps towards a Federation of the American Colonies would thus be to form them all into one state, to give that state a completely organized government, and then to delegate to each of the colonies out of which that great. state is formed, suich powers of local government as 'may' be thought necessary, reserving to the Central Government all such powers as are not expressly delegated. The Government of New Zealand forms a precedent well worthy the attention of those whio are undertaking this ardoous nèzotiation.
And I cannot doubt that the framers of this Constitution have studied the precedent as - well of the proposed Constitution of Australia, as that of the Constitution of New Zea land which thas beeti in use for ten years past.

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-How does it work?
Mr. MORRIS-I have not been there(laughter) -but I know that from a small population of 26,000 in all the Ner Zealand provinces when that Constitution was giveu them, they have risen in ten years to a population of $\mathbf{2 5 0 , 0 0 0}$-indicatiug certainly growth and progress.

Hon. Mr'. IUULTON-ds we hàve grown in spite of that tirribly bad uiion you wish to do away with.

Mr. MORRIS-True, we have growu and progressed under the present union." But the ton. gentleman knows the heart-buriings we have had in the past. I have nut becen in Parliament so long as that honorable geutleman. But I recollect, when I first took a seat in this House, the state of excite. yent which then prevailed, and which con-: tinued, making government practicully impossible. For we had gorernments imaintaining themselves session after session by
 was imposisible for any government to conduct public affairs with that dignity and success with which a governmeat ought to conduct them. But, as I have stated, I thiuk the Coufereace has been exceedingly happy in the plan they have submitted tor our adoption I cummunity of British freemen as we are, deliberately surveging our past as well as our present position, and look. ing. forward to our fiture, we in effect resolve that we will adhere to the protection of the British Crown; that we will tell the GoLDwin Syith school-these who are crying out for cutting off the coloniegthat we will eling to the old Mother Land -(hear; hear)-we desire to maintain our connection; we have no desire to withdraw
ourselves from that protection we have so long enjoyed; but wo desire; while remaining under that protection, to do all that lies in our power for our self-defence, and for the developpuent of all the great interests which Providence has committed to our trust; and we seek at the hands of the British Parliament such legislation as will enable us to accomplish these great ends for the whole of British America. (Hear, hear.) Why, what a domain do we possess! We have over three millions of square miles of territory-large enough, certainly, for the expansion of the races which inhabit this country; and our desipe is, in the language of a late colonial minister-language which, I believe, well expresses the views and sentiments of the people of all these provinceswe would approach the British people, the British Government, and our Sovereign, with. this language: "We desire, by your aid, with your sanction and permission, toattempt to add another community of Christian freemen to those by which Great Britain confides the "records of her Empire, not to" pyramids and obelisks, but to states and communities, whose history will be written in her language:" That was the language of the Colonial Secretary, Sir Bulwer Liftton; when he proposed and carried out the setting off of a new colony on the Pacific shorelanguage certainly whioh indicated a firm and sure reliance in the power and efficacy of British institutions-that these institutions would be found capable of all the expansion requisite to meet the circumstances of a new conntry; and of any body of British fremen to whom the care of these institutions may be entrusted. (Hear, hear.) But I fear I have been tempted to forget the excellent example of my honorable friend from Lambton. (Cries of "No, no," "go on.") I desire very briefly to aotice two or three immediate advantages which, in my judgment, .. would be derived from the consummation, under one central power. with local manicipal parliaments, of a nuion of the Canadas with the Maritime Provinces. Let us glance at whiat is their position, in relation to the great military power which is rising on the other side of the lines.. Let us see what they are thinking of us there. One of their oninent statesmen suggested some years ago, that they should cultivate our acquaintance, while, Wd. were still "incurious of our desting." But we have passed that state. We have beoome curious
of our destiny, and are seeking, as far as we can, to place it on a sure and certain basis. (Hear, hear.) Here is the view taken of our position by an American writer:-
They have now "no comprehensive power that embraces the interests of all-that acts on the prosperity of the seacoast and interior - of cormmere e and agriculture "where they are seemingly rivals-that gives uniformity in tariffs and taxes, and the encouragement that shall be entrusted to the fishing, mining aind other great interests.
That is a view of the position of these provinces to which I commend to the attention of my hon. friends from Chateauguay and Hochelaga. I ask, is it not a correct view? Is not that the position in which we have long been? And I believe the result of this union will be to do away. with that state of things. (Hear, hear.) I believe that when these colonies are combined, acting in concert, and quickened and invigorated by a feeling of mutual dependence and interest, the tendency will be to increase their wealth and manufactures, and general strength. And, sir, I am satisfied one of the great advantages of this union. will be found in this that we will be raised above our sectionalisms; and come to feel and to act as the citizens of a great country, with destinies committed to us such as may well $\varepsilon$ vole the energies of a great people. But I desire to point out another practical advantage which, I think, is of no mean or slight moment ; and it is this:-Bound as we are to England; by the closest ties, and yet enjoying our own government, England is still compelled to act for us in all matters of an international nature. $\therefore$ But, when we have for all these British provinces one General Government, able to take an oversight of the whole, and to attend to all their various interests, we will be able to represent to. Britain on behalf of the whole, with a force and power we have never before been able to use, what these interests ard ; we will be able to press: them home on the attention of Writish statesmaen in such a manner as will lead them to appreciate, and seek to protect those interests in their negotiations with foreign powers. I would allude, as an illustration of what I mean, to the Reciprocity Treaty, and I cannot refrain from reading a very striking extract from a report presented to the United States House of Representatives, in 1862, frou the Committee of Commerce on the Reciprocity Treaty. . I' ass the attention
of the House to this extract, as shewring how the Uaited States have been able to take advantage of our isolated condition-our want of central power and authority to gain for themselves adrantages in the regotiation of that treaty, such as they could not have obtained or even sought, had we been in a position to present all the adrantages, in negotiations with the United States, whichCanada and the Maritime Provinces as a whole could present: Instead of the American statesmen having to negotiate with the separate governments of separate provinces, they would have to negotiate with the com-" bined interests of British North Amerioa. I read this extract as a very striking one, and as entitled, on account of the source from which it comes, to some' weight: In the report I have referred to, the satural results of the treaty and of its abrogation are thus spoken of :-

事A great and matually beneficial increase in our cominerce wuth Canady was the inatural and primary result of the treaty. "Many causes of irrita: tion were removed, and a large accession to our trade was acquired, through the treaty, with the Maritime Provinces. Argaments füunded upon the results of the treaty as a whole, with the vari. oos provirces, havè a valid and incontrovertible application ayainst the unconditional and complete abrogation of the "treaty, so far as it refers to provinces against whichuo complaintis made. The isolated und discounected condition of tho various governmeents of these provinces to each other, and the absence of their real responsibility to any common centre, are little understood. No fault is found with the acts of Newfoundlaid, Prince tedivard Island, Nova Scotia and New Brunswick." These separate provinces and that of Canadi have each: a separate tarif and legislatare; and neither of them is accountable to or for any' other. An abrogation of the treaty, as:a whole; would therefore be a breach of good faith towards the other provinces, even if it were ox pedient to adopt such a course towards Canada, but no advantuges gained by the treaty with the Maritime, Pruvinces can be admitted as offsets in favor of Cabada. Each province made its own bargain, and gave and received its separato equivalent.
(Hear, hear.) This is an instance of some moment, and I believe the same principles will be found to apply to all those questions on which, in the future history of this Confedoration, it will be found necesaary to confer with foreign governments, through the Mother Country. No longer detached and isolated trom each other, we will be able to present a combined front, and to urge the advantages which may
be derived from the exhaustless fisheries of: the Lower Provinnes, as well as those afforded by Canada. (Hear, hear) The defence question has been alluded to very frequently in this debate. I think there really cannot be a question that it woald be for the advantage, not. only of Britain, but of each one of these preyinges, that on such subjects as the militia, and on all kindred questions, such as those reluting to aliens, the observance of neatrality and like sub: jects, there should be a general and uniform action; that, seeing the action of any one of the colonies might iuvolve the parent state in war, there should not be sepurate and distinct action, but one uniform action, on all that class of national and intergational subjects, throughout the whole of the British Provinces. I cannot help thinking that in practice an immense advantage would be derived from the introduction of such a systen. It is not my forte, as that of some hon. gentlemen, to speak with regard to the defence question. There are other hon. members who understand that subject thoroughly, and will no doabt deal with it in a satisfactory manner. But I cannot help thinking that a vioiform system of militia and marine for British North Americavould be powerfally felt in the history of this con:tinent.

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-Are we to have a nary?

Ma. MORRIS-The hon gentleman no donbtlistened with intersst to the speech of the President of the Council, and he might have learned from that, that we had a nary of which any country might be proud, devoted to the pursuits of honest industry, and which causes us to rank even in our infancy as the third maritime power in the world. And should the time of peed come-as I trust it never may-I ami satisfied that in the Gulf, on the St. Lawrence, and on the lakes, there Fould be enough of bold men and brave hearts to man that navy. (Hicar, hear.) . I would further remark, that under the proposed system, local interests would be much bettêr cared for. "I am satisfied the local interests of all the separate provinces would be better cared for, if their legislatures were divested of those large subjects of general interest which nor absorb -and necessarily so-so much of our time and attention. (Hear, hear.) I will now only mention briefly one or two incidental advantages which I believe will be found to acorine in the future from our position as
united provinces of the British Empire I will not at this late hour of the night, as I see the House is wearied- (cries of "No, "no," "Go on.")-I will not quate any figures to shew the extent of intercolonial trade that will spring up with the Maritime Provinces and with thé West India provinces. Some years agothere was, as mercantile men well know, a large trade conducted with the West India Islands, "Which, from varions circumstances, has almost entirely ceased. I believe that; when the provinces are united, not only will a large trade spring up in those agricultural and other products iwhich are now supplied to the Lower Prorinces "from the United States, but a trade will also be established with the Weist India Islands.' Some time ago I tock the trouble to look into the figures; and I was surprised to find how large a trade was conducted tweuty-five years ago with thue islands; and I believe that; by carrying out this union, we." will have facilties for establishing such conmercial relationsas will lead to the reopening of that valuable trade.

How Mé. HOLTON-You should bring. in the West India Isininds also.

Ms. MOKKIS-The hon gentleman is very dusious to extend the Confederation. (laughter.) I: havo known bimo for long years as a Federalist, and I believe he is only sorry that we do lot go a hittle tisster. I am satistied that when Coafederation is accomphished, he will be oue of its most hearty supporters. (Hear, hear.) I would now, Mr. Speakeri, desire to quato a few words from a leoure delivered some years ago by Principal Dawson, of Montreal, a wellitnown Nova Scotian, and who is distinguighed for his thorough acquatutance with the Maritime Proviaces. He says:-
Their progress in population and wealth is alow, in comparisun with that of Wéstern' America, though equal to the average of that of the Aimericau Uuivn, and noose rapid than that of the older states, 'Their agriculture is rapidly improving, manufacturing and mining euterprises are extend. ing themselves, and raiitrays are being built to condiect'them with the more inlaud parts of the contineat: Lulse Great Britain, they possess 'important miuerals in which the neighboring parts of the continent are deficient, and enjog the utmost facilitiea for commercial pursaits. Ulimately, therefore, they must have with the United States, Caunda and the far countries, the same commercial relations that Britain matatains with westera, ceatral, and northera' Europe. Above all, they form the great natural ocoanic termination of the greai valley of the St. Lawreuce; and
although its commerce has hitherto, by the skill and industry of its neighbours, been drawn across the nataral barrier which Providence has placed between it and the seaports of the United States, it must pltimately take its natural channel; and then not only will the cities on the St. Lawrence be united by the strongest common interests, bat they will be bound to Acadia by ties more close than any merely, political union. The great thoroughfares to the rich lands and noble scenery of the west, and therce to the sea-breezes and salt water of the Atlantic', and to the great seats of industry and art in the old world, will pass along, the St: Lawrence, and through the Lower Provinces. The surplas agricultural prodace of Canada" will find its nearest consumers among the miners, shipwrights, mariners, and fishermén of Acadia; and they will send back the treasures of their minass and of their sea. This ultimate fusion of all the populations extending along this great river, valley and estuary, and the establishment throughout its course of one of the principal streams of American commerce; seems in the natare of thingqinevitable; sind there is already a large field for the profitable employment of laborers and capital in accelerating this desirable regult.
Such, I believe, Mr. Speaker, will be found to be the results of the steps now being taken. (Hear; hear.). In conclusion, I would desire to call attention to the advantages we will enjoy in consequence of our being able to do something to secure the development of the immense tract of country lying beyond us-Ceutral British North America, popularly known as the Geeat Noith' West. "If Canadians are to stand still and allow American energy and enterprise to priss on as it is doing towards that country, the inevitable result must be that that great section of territory will be takea possession of by the citizens of the neighboring states. The yuestion is one of great interest to the people of Canada:" Years ago Canadian industry pushed its way up the valley of the Ottawa to the Great North West. In 1798 the North-West. Company had in its employment not less that 12,000 persons; and there is no reason in the world why the trade which was then carried on should not be reegstablished between the North-West and Canada. No insuperable obstacles stand in the way. A practicable route exists witich can be used by land and by water, and there is no reason why the necessary steps should not be taken to secure. the development of the resources of that conntry: and making them tributary to Cangica. (Hear, hear.) I think it ras a wise foresight on the part of the gentlemen
who prepared the plan now before us, that they laid this down as one of the principal features of the scheme-that they regarded the development of the North-West as necessary for the security and the promotion of the best interests of British North America:. (Hear, hear:) If the House will bear with me," Mr. "Speaker, I would ask hon. members to consider for a moment the extent of the territory there possessed: An American writer, who stimates - it at 2,500,000 square miles, puts : it in this way:-
How large is that? It is fifteen and a half times larger than the State of California; about thirtyeight times as large as the-State of New York; nearly twice as large as the thirty-one States of the Union; and, if we omit the territory of Nebraska, as large as all our states and,terr. tories combined.
Between the settled portions of Canada and the Red River country, there are areas of arable land, ranging from 200,000 acres downwards, with facilities for opening up communication by land and water; and I do not wouder that the late Sir Georae Simpson; while making his celebrated journey round the world, in passing from Montreal to Red River, and thence overland
to the Pacific, should be struck with the extraordinary advantages of this country, and that on one occasion, when surveying the magnificent expanse of iuland lake and river navigation, in the midst of a fertile country, he should exclaim-
Is it too much for the eye of philanthropy to discern through the vista of futurity this noble stream, connecting, as it does; the fertile shores of two spacious lakes, with crowded steamboats on its bosom, and populous towns on its borders?
(Applause.) Sir George Simpson was not a man likely to be carried away by zere impalse; but viewing the prospect before him, he could not refrain from breaking forth in the glowing language I have quoted. Then glance for a moment. at the Saskatcheman, the Assiniboine and the Red River country, with the Red River settlement of 10,000 people, forming the nucleus for $r_{s}$ a future province-a nucleus around which immigration could be driwn so as to build up in that distant region a powerful section of the Confederation. It is a conntry which embráces 360,000 square - miles, and the Red River, Lake Winnipeg, and the Saskatehewan afford a navigable

Water line of 1,400 miles. And what is the character of the country? On this pointil would quote Professor Hind, who describes the valley of the Red River and a large portion of the country on its affluent, the Assiniboine, as "a paradise of fertility." He could speak of it in no other terms "than of astonishment and admiration." He adds that as an agricultural country the character of the soil could not be surpassed; affirming in proof of this assertion :-

That all kinds of farm produce common in Canada succeed admirably in the District of Assiniboia, and that as an agricultural conntry it will one day rank among the mogt distingaished.
Nor are there any difficulties of climate. If any hon. member will take the trouble to examine that excellant work in our library, Blodgett's Climatology, he will find it stated as having been 4 demonstrated that the climate of the North-West coast, and of the interior towards Lake Winnipeg, is quite the reverse of that experienced in the same latitude on "the Atlantic," and is highl, favorable to occupation and settlement:" (Hear, hear.) Mr. Speaker, I desire now to place before the House the extent of the territory we possess in the Atlantic and Pacific Provinces. The Atlantic Provinces comprise Canada East, with an area of 201,989 square miles; Canada West, 148,832; New Brunswick; 27,700 ; Nova Scotia; 18,746; Prince Edward Island, 2,134; Newfoundland, 35,913 -together $4: 5,314$ square miles, to which add the territory of Labrador, 5,000 miles, making a grand total of 440,314 square miles, embracing a population of something like $4,000,000$ of souls.: The Pacific Provinces are British Colümbia, containing 200,000 square miles, and Vanconver's Island, with 12,000 square miles; and there is the territory of Hudson's Bay (including Central British North A"merica), with $2,700,000$ square miles.: (Hear, hear.) I desire now, sir, to thank the House for the patience with which hon. members have listened to my remarka. I rose at a late hour in the evening, and sceing that the House was wearied when I commenced, I did not wish to prolong the debate. I have thus shortened very much the remarks I intended to offer, and have treated only hurriedly and casaally on many points which might have engaged firther attention under other circumstances. I desire to express my confident opinion, before olosing, that
this great sicheme is not one which ought to be factiously met. For if ever there was a plan submitted to any legislature which deserved to be treated with an avoidance of party feeling, it is this (Hear, hear.) It is evident that in the Honse there are a large majority in favor of thè plan, and while. it is their duty to concede to the minority What is the right of the minority-the opportunity of stating their objections to it, it is; on the other hand, an evidenee of the strongest kind that the majority, in supporting this measure, believe they are doing the best for their country, and that it is a measure which meets the popular sanction and approval; when they avow by their own act their readiness to return to the people for their approval of the steps they have thought proper to take. (Hear, hear.) It is the duty of those who are in favor of the "scheme-and I believe there are a very large majority who see in it adratitages of the most substantial kind-I ami firmly persuaded that it is a duty they owe to those who sent them to th's House; it is a duty they owe to the country, it is a duty they owe to the great empire of which we form a part, to bring this scheme to a speedy consummation. I am glad, sir, in taking a retrospect of the three eventful years during which I have had a seat in this Honse, to. reflect that on the first oceasion I had the hooor of addressing the House, in 1861, I declared myself in favor of an analogous schewe to that we are now discu sing ; that I then expressed myself in favor of a general government of the British North American Provinces, with separate local legislatures, in the following terms, when speaking of the question of representation by population :-
He had confidence that men would be found able to meet the question fairly and to come down with a measure satisfactory to the country...It might be that that measure would be one which would bring together the different provinces of British North America into a union, formed on such a basis as would give to the people of each province the right to manage their own internal affairs, white at-the same time the whole should provide for the cianagement of matters of common concern, so as to secure the consolidation of the Britannic power on this continent.
I have held this opinion ever since I have had the eapacity of thinking of the destiny of this country, and I would beg to be allowed further to quote language 1 used in 1859. Reviewing at that time, as I have
done hurriedly to-night, the catent of our possessions, and the great advantages we. would be able to obtain by the union now proposed to be carried into effect, I spoke as follows, in a lecture on the Hudson Bay and Pacific territory; delivered in Montreal:
With two powerful colonies on the Pácific, with another or more in the region between Caniada and the Rocky Mountains, with a railway and a telegraph linking the Atlantic:with the Pacific; and ourr inlaind and ocean channels of trade becoming a great thoroughfare of travel and of commerce, who can donbt of the reality and the: accuracy of the vision which rises distinctly and clearly defined before us,' as the great Britannic Empire of the North stands out in all its grandear, and in all the brilliancy of its magnificent fature! Some hard matter-of-fact thinker; gome keen utilitarian, some plodding man of bubiness, may point the finger of iscorn at us and deem all this but an empty shadow-but the fleeting. fantasy of a dreamer. . Be it so.. Time is a worler of miracles-ay, and of sober realities, too; but when we look east and wost and north; when we cause the goodly band of the north-men trom Acadia; and Canada, and the North-West, and the Columbia, and the Britsin of the Pacific, to defile before ns, who are the masters of 80 vast a territory, of a heritage of such surpassing value; and when we remember the rapid rise into greatness, as one of the powers of the earth; of the former American colonies, and look back over their progress, who can doubt of the future of these British Provinces, or of the entire and palpable reality of that vision which rises so grandly before ns of this Great British Empire of the Northof that new English-speaking nation which will at one and no digtant day people all this northern continent-a Rossia, as has been well said, it may be, but "Yet an English Russia, with free institutions, with high civilization, and entire freedom of speech and thought-with its face to the south and its back to the pole, with its right and left resting on "the Atlantic and the Pacific, and with the telegraph and the iron road connecting the two oceans?
(Applause.) Such, Mr. Spenker, is the vision which is present to myself and to many others who, like myself, whether in Upper or Lower Canada, are "to the manor born," and whose all and whose destiny is here. I know and feel, and am assured that if the people of these British Provinces are but true to themselyes, and if the statesmen of Britain now aet aright their part in this great órisis of our national history, this vision will be realized. We will have the pride to belong to a great country still attached to the Crown of Great Britain; but in which, notwithstanding, we shall have entire freedom of action and the blessings of responsible
self-government; and I am satisfied we will see as the results of this union all that.we could possibly imagide as its fruits. Hear, hear.) Thanking the House for their kind attention, I have only to say further, that I believe the plan under which we seek to ask the Parliament of Great Britain to legislate for us is a wise and judicions one, and which not only deserves, but which I am confident will receive, the he arty support of the representatives and of the people of this province, and to which I, for one, shall feel it my duty to give my warmest and most cordial canction. (Loud cheers.)

Mr. M. C. Cameron moved the adjourament of the debate, which was agreed to.

## Friday, February 24, 1865.

Mr. BURWELL'; in resuming the debate upon Confederation, said-Mr. Speaker, before allowing a measure of this importance to go through the House, I feel it my daty to offer a few words upon it. The question of Federation is not a new one to my constituents. Ever since the Reform Cunvention in Toronto, in 1859, they have been quite familiar with it. At the general election in 1861, in an address to my coinstituents, I. stated that in case we should not be able to get representation by population; I would be in favor of Federation of the two provinces of Canada, with a Local Government in each province and a Central Government to administer matters common to both, provision to be made to admit the Eastern Provinces and the North. West territory, ahould they see fit to eater the union, of course with the sanction of Great Britain. And at the last general'election in 1863 , I addressed them in precisely the same language. (Hear, hear.) The agitation for constitutional changes had beca so general and persistent for a leogth of time in Upper Canada, that it was impossible to all appearance to stave off much longer some action in reference to the dificulty. Efforts were uade at different times" to secure represention by population as á remedy; but withont success. The dearest approach to a remedy for the difficulty under which Upper Canada labors, is, in my opinion, the resolutions of the Quebec Coaference now before the House, and the question for consideration is whether thoy are acceptable to uis and our people, or
not. The principle of Federation, in my view, has been a great success on this continent. I think that, if we look to the history of the United States, it cannot be denied that there, as a principle of free government, it has been successfal ; and $I$ doubt whether history records a like example; under ordinary circumstances, of such great success and prosperity. The present trouble in that country- the war noiv raging there-is not in my opinion attributable to the federative form of government adopted there. I attribute it to different causes altogether, which might have existed, had it been a monarchical or a despotic government that prevailed Slavery existed there and was the cause of the war.' It was opposed to the spirit of the age, and had to be eradicated. (Hear, hear.) There were, no doubt, other causes which had sowe influencein bringing. it about ; such, for instance, as the desire of the North for a high protective tariff to encourage its domestic manufactures, and the opposing interest of the Sonth in favor of free trade, so that, manufacturing nothing itself, it might have all the bencfit of cheap importations. These, sir, I conceive were the two great causes of the difficulty in the United States. Now, in forming a Federal Government in these provinces, I think we should look for an example to a people who are similar to us in situation, habits and cuistoms. I find that example in the people of the United States. (Hear, boar.)' My honorable friend from Lambton cited the example of a great many other countries, but they were not not perhaps accustomed so much to free government as the United States, for it was not Federation that first gave their liberty, the old colonies of New Eagland eojojiag a large share of liberty long before the adoption of Federal Government by them. (Hear, hear.) The plan proposed by the Couference at Quebeo is, in my opinion, too restrictive, as icgards. the puwer of the Local Legislatures." It gives too much power to the General Government. I am one of those, sir, who believe that the appointment of the deputy or lieutenant governors should not be in the gift of the General Government, but that they should be elected by the people. (Hear, hear.) ". I believe, too, that the members of the Legislative Council should be elocted by the people. (Hear, hear.) There is no olement in this country-no class in this country, nor do I think it possible to create a class-the counterpart os
the class that composes the House of Lords in Englaid. The British Government is uandoubtediy the best-bilanced government in the world; bat we cannot exactly copy the gystem here, because of the absence of the class to which I have reforred: The nearest approach that we can haye to the Honse of Lords is', in my opinion, an elective Legislative Council, the members of which shall hold office for an extended period. My hon. friend from Lambton; in the very excellent speech he made to the House yesterday, said that if both Houses were made elective their circumstances and powers would be so similar that deither would be a check upon the other; but I contend that if we had an elective Upper House,' with the members ' representing larger constituenciess apd elected fora longer period than the members of the Lower House, it would be less liable to be iofluenced by every change of public opinion, and congervative eaough in its oharacter to be a wholesome check upon rash and hasty legislation. (Hear, hear.) But although the soheme now proposed does not make these provisions, there are many things in it that, 1 can approve of. 'That the General Government should have control over many matters committed to it by the scheme is, I think, quite right. The customs is a branch of the administration that has ramifieations throughout the whole country; and it and the appointments conneeted with' it should be in the hands of the General Goveriment. So, too, with regard to the post office, whioh affects the wholo: country, and should be "nder the same control. The militia and all matters connected with the defence of the conatry should also be placed under the control of the Central Government $\bar{j}$; and the scheme would be defective if it were otherwise. I thituk there is no question more important now to us than that of defence. A military spirit seems to have seized the people all over the continent, and promises. to control their action for a long time. I think it wise, therefore, that provision should be mado by which the General Government. can put the country iuto a state of prepar: aticn for whatever may occur. It is well also, in miy opiaion, that the judges should be appointed by that government. I like to see an independeat judioiary; and believe that this will be secured to us by the mode proposed in these resolutions. (Hear, hear.) It is hardly necessary. for me to make allusion to the local governments; there
are so many propositions connected "with them, and so little is known of what their constitution will be, that it is hardly possible indeed for me to refer to them. I would like to be informed as to their character and authority before speaking of them. My opinion is, that they should have certain poweis defined in written constitutions, so that beyond these powers they would have. no right to logislate, and if they did, that their legislation should be :set aside and rendered null and void by the superior courte I believe that the British Oonstitution is of that elastic charaoter that the insititutions which exist under it can be made most popular and still work : well." I think history has proved this to be the case. Under it we have" kept sacred the great priciciple of responsible government which we now enjoy, and under which ministers of the Crown hold seats in and are responṣible to the Legislature: Well, we want no change in that principle; for 1 think it is the greatest safeguard to liberty, not only in England, but the world. (Hear, hear.) With regard to the executive head of the General Government, appointment, by the Crown as at present is the only mode that is desirable. It will not do to tamper with or change this provision of our government; tor if we become detsiched from and cease to be $a$ dependency of the :British Crown, what do we become? We mast necessarily become independent, and when that state of political existence is reached, we know not what' will follow. (Hear, hear:) The question may be nsked, is the Constitution foreshadowed in these resolutions such as oan be accepted by the people of this country? Is there a possibil: ity, if it be defective, of bettering or amiending it ? I think that in many of its details it has a great deal that is good; and if, in portions. where it is desirable, it cannot be amended, I think, nevertheleless, that the people of this conntry would hardly be jüstified in rejeeting it. (Hear, hearr.) There is no donbt that all history shows that nothing in the way of government is ever considered a finality. Changes are continually going on in all formis of government The politioal history of our own country even is proof of this fact. At the time of the union of these provinces, the members of the Legislativo Council were appointed by the Crown, but since then there has been a change, and they are now eleoted by the people. At that time, too, the wardens of
our district councils were appointed by the Crown ; that principle was subsequently changed; and they are now e'ected by the popular vote. It is impossible, sir, to take this question of Confederation into consideration, without alsotaking into account the question of the Intercolonial Railway:-I have on several occasions spoken against the construction of that road at the expense of Canada. I never could see that any advantage would be derived from it, unless in a military point of view; and as a military work I did not think it worth the large sum it would cost. Bat if commercial advantages could be pointed out equivalent to the cost of it, then I admit its construction might become a subject of consideration. (Hear, hear.) I think that free intercoarse añd free trade with 800,000 of our fellow-subjects in the Lower Provinces àre not lightand unimportant considerations. They are, in my opinion, something like an equivalent for the ex penditure- (hear, hear)-and if there are no graver difficulties than the building of this road in the scheme of the Quebec Conference, thei they may all be easily surmounted. (Hear, hear.) That there will be great expense in the construction of the road, and in connection with Confederation, admits scarcely of a doubt. But we have csue to a period in our history when, for various reasons, expense has become necessary. We must have some ehange in our Constitution, and whether it be attended by additional expense or not, it is indispensabie in order to remove the evils under which the country has so long labored: (Hear, hear.)

Mr. M. C. CAMERON said -Mr . Speaker, I approach the discossion of this subject in no degree of diffidence or temerity, because I' apprehend that it signities very litule what I or any other hon member may Bay, it will receive but little attention, so far as teading to change in the slightest degree the opinions thit hon: members may have in reference to the project of Confederation. (Hear, hear.) Nevertheless, though no weight may attach to anything that I may say, I feel it my duty to the constituenoy that I represent, and to the province at largo, to enter my protest against the passage of this resolution in its present shape. (Hear, hear.) I am in favor of a uition of the provinces, but it mast be such a union as will benefit and protect the interesta of the provinces at large ; and I feel that those interests cannot be protected and benefited
if weare going into the extravagances that must necessarily follow such a naion as is now contemplated: (Hear, hear.) The question has been considered in its political, in its commercial, in its defensive or military aspects, and in its sectional aspectis, and very little that can be said by any hon. gentleman now will be considered new ; and he who speaks at this estage of the discuission. will speak at a disadvantage, because he can say ver'y little that is new. He may speak on those matters that have been discussed in new : language, and so make some little change, but as for the material positions, they have been already discussed, and by honorable gentlemen very tably discussed. I understand that the position which the Government of this country assumes; in introducing this measure with the haste in which they are doing it, declining. to allow the people to have anything to say upon it, except throug $h$ their representatives, who were not sent here to vote on any such measure as this, is that this conntry, had arrived at such a stage that it was impossible for the affairs of the Government to be carriad on", unless some change toot place, and that of a radical character." In that assertion I do not agree. I dissent from it entirely, and I feel that it was not tho necessities of this country that have brought about these resolutions, but that it was the faotious conduct of honorable gentlemen on the floor of this House. If that factious conduct had not been persevered in, there would have been no pecessity for the consideration that we are now undertaking. (Hear, hear.) I feel that I am making a statement the correctness of which cannot be deaied; and I shall refer to the language of the Hon: President of the Conncill, even siace this matter has been under consideration, to establish it. (Hear, hear.) It has been stated by him that the affairs of this country had come to a dead-look. It has been stated that wo were drifting into inevitable rain; that our debt was so fast increasing, that it was absolutely impossible to stem the torrent, or close the flood-gates of the treasury that that had been opened by the mismanageinent of hon. gentlemen sitting alongside of the President of the Conncil at the present time. Understand me: I ami not oharging those hon. gentlemen with extravagance; i am simply referriog to the language used by the Honorable President of the Oounoil. But on a recent occasion he apote of this union as a matter to be proud of, and
said that every one of the provinces that was entering into the union would enter it with a surplus of reventre, and were, therefore, not obliged to go into it from necessity; that they did not enter into the partnership as a bankrupt copcern, but, on the contrary, Would commence basiness in a most prosperous condition. Now, if that were the case, what is the necessity for this change-a change that will render so much more extravagance necessary to carry on the goverament, even under the guidance of the Hon. the President of the Council? 'It was. said that the people of the section of the province to which I belong had become satisfied that there was extravagance in the Goveriment, that the people of Lower Canada were absorbing too large a proportion of the revenue that was paid by the people of Upper 'Canada: "It was asserted that the people of Upper Canada were paying seven-tenths of the whole revenue of the country ; that we had not sufficient representation in Parliament ; and that there was ruin staring us in the face, because we had not our proper voice in the Legislature, by means of which we might resist the extravagance of Lóver Canadians. 'It' was said that for every appropriation tuade for Upper Capada, a corresponding one had to be. made for Lower Canada, and thereby the people of Upper Canada were paying more than their fair share into the common purse of the country. Taking that view of the. case, I would ask the Honorable President of the Council, who is so warm in adrocating these resolutions, how much the people of Upper Canada will be called upon to pay -imore than Lower Canada in the new scheme? :I understard that Lower is to reccire 8888,531 from the Federal Government. As Upper Canàda hias been paying two-thirds, uay; as much as seven-tenths into the general. nevenue, how much are we granting to Lower Canada out of the pockets of the people of Upper Canada towards paying the expenses of managing their local affairs-affairs of which we in the Upper Province will have not one word to say f By the arrangement that is to be entered into, suppose that the Lower Provinces constitute about one-fifth of the wholo-which, I presume, is all that they will contribate. This would make 8177,706 . Upper Canda, on the principle of paying two-thirds, would contribute 8478,884, and Lower Canada only 8286,941. For the support of the Local Governmentor Lower Canada
from the Federal exchequer, Upper Canada would, therefore, have to pay no less a sum than $\$ 473,884$, which is nearly double the amount that Lower Canada itself will pay for the same purpose. The amount that Upper Canada will have to pay in excess of Lower Canada, for exclusively Lower Canada purposes, is 8175,859 . (Hear, hear.) Now that is the position in which that branch of the question stands; but it is said that we are to become a great people; third, I think, in rank of the nations of the earth. It is said that, because we' unite with a people who have less than a million of inhabitants; while: we have pearly two and a half millions, we are to become this vast nation; and to hold is position in the world above that of all nations except three on the face of the globe. . Well; it dots not strike me that the mere fact of our joining the Lower Prorinces to this province by the Iutercolonial Railway is going to give us that position. We need a vast population as wellias a vast country tu accurire that greatness. It is said that we will be stronger by this union; that we will le better able to protect ourselives in the event of hostilities breaking out between this country und the United States. But is that true? (Cries of "Yes, yes," and "No, no.") Are ve to become at once an independent pation that will make treaties with foreign mations, orare we still to be dependent on the British Orown-a dependency that I hope will never be done away with? (Hear, hear.) Let it be understood that $I$ am not to be dazzled by those idens of greatness that are being held out to us. "We can never be so great in any way as we can by remainiug a dependency of the British Crown. "Every one of these provinces is true and faithful in its allegiance to the British Crown, aid if that power makes war, each will do all that lies in its power to dofend its own territory and assist the Mother Country. But how do we gain strongth from the seheme? We obtain many hundreds of miles of additional frontier, and we do not get men in proportion, (Hear, hear.) We shaH build a sailvay that cannot possibly be of much use to us; but that will be subject to destruction by the enemy, and will be indefensible and difficult to keep open. The armies that will be brought against us by the United States will be too great to be resisted along the entire frontier, and no ordinary force. will be sufficient to protect so long a line of communication. I therefore argue that the

Confederation will not make us a stronger or a greater people than before. Then it is said that in our present exigencies we must look out for other markets for our produce than those we have been depending upon; that we must endeavor to become a manufacturing country, obtaining minerals from the Lower Provinees and sending them our produce in return:. That is all very fine, but it can be accomplished without entering into au extravagantly expensive arrangement such as this is. "He could have a legislativa union with one Legislature or Central Government, that would manage all our affairs on a seale as ronomical as the affairs of the protince of Cavada have been conducted; but when you provide for a Gencral Government, and theu for a Local Government in each province besides, it stands to reason that the expenditure must be far in excess of that which would result from having a single legislature. . The How. President of the Council has said that he is not, atthough all his other colleagues who have spoken on the floor of the House have admitted that they are, in favor of a legislative union, if this union could be accomplished. The Hon. President of the Council thinks, perhaps, that this wouid be too damaging an admssion, so he says: "I would not have a legishative union if I cuald. There is nothing, but a Federal union for nie, because our country is so extensive that it would be iupossible to control it with a Legislature sitting at Ottawa.": Now, is this so?" Would foar or five hundred additional miles of territory make all the differénce?

Hon. Mr. BROW N-The hon. gentleman is mistaken. I never used any. such expression.

Mr. M. C. CAMERON-Of course it is very unpleasant to have to say it, but my ears must have deceived me very grossly indeed, if the hon: gentleman did not assert in the hearing of persons in this House, when delivering his address on thene resolutions, that he preferred a Federal naion, and assigned as a reason for his preference the extent of the country.

Hon. Mr. BROWN-The hon, gentle. man will see that this is a very different thing from the statement he previously made. What I did say was this, that it would be exceedingly inconvenient to manage the local affairs of so widely extended a country. I did not say that we couid not
exercise a general control over the country. I said that it was impossible to attend to the mere parish affairs. of Newfoundland, Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick and the North-West. That is what I said.

Mr.M. C.CAMERUN-Well, one reason assigned by the hon.' gentlewan for a Federal union was that in attending to the private business of the Lower Provinces, under a legislative union, we would be kept: sitting at Oitava for nine moaths. of the year. It is, however, the case that the atfairs of United Canada can be transacted in a period of three or four moths, while according to the Hon. the President of the Conneil, the affairs of the federated provinces would not be attended to in less thap nine mouths in consequence of the frivate business which would be added to the legislation from a people numbering only seven or' eight hundred thousand. . (Hear, hear.) The business of two and a half millions can be disposed of in three monthe, whilst it is alleged that the business brought by the addition of seven of eight hundred thousand more would prolong the sessions of Parliament by six months. (Hear, hear:)" Ihink that the position which the hon. gentleman took in reference to that, is just as untenable as his position that a Legislative union: in itself would not be better than a Federal union.' Now, it is said that our commercial affairs will be very much advanced by this arrangement. It is said that the Reciprocity treaty is going to be abrogated. No doubt we have received notice of it. It is also said that it is possible - although the Hou. President of the Council does not think it is so-that the bonded system is to be dovie arway with between Canada and the Lnited States, and that, therefore, we would have no means of reaching the Atlantic except dariug the summer months of the year, in consequence of which it is very desirable that this great work of the Intercolonial Railway shuuld be accomplished, and that this union of the provinces should take placer I presume it is a well understood fact that a people will almays find some channel into which to direct their energies-that there will be a chaunel for their commerce-that there will be a channel for their produce. Now, if the Reciprocits treaty is abrogated, and if the bonded system is put an end to, it. will be done long betore the Intercolonial Railway cau bo established, and we must then remain suffering for a number of years natit
that work is accomplished and before we get commanication with the Lower Provinces, except through the medium of the St. Lawrence, which is ouly accessible during the summer time. "Then it would be absolutely necessary for us to resort to some other means, to devise some other scheme, by which we might not allow the affairs of these prorinces, in the meantime, to be injured, to lag aud to suffer; and when our commerce flows in such new channel, it will not be casy to divert it. But is it not the fact that we have been in existence a number of years as a colony here? Is it not the fact, too, that we have been far removed from the sea? Is it not the fact, that when Upper Canada was subject to duties to Lower Cariada, and when tive had no connection with the United States except by paying high restrictive duties, Upper Canada progressed rapidly and became a large and prosperous province? Did we then vouplain with all these restrictions weighing upon us? For my part, I have yet to sec, if the reciprocity treaty is put an end to and it the bondiog system is discontinued, that we "would be unable to find means by which the encrgies of the people of this country would find development. We would still go on in material prosperity, if we fould hon. gentlemen forgeting their faction, and allowing the wheels of goverament to progress without being unnecessarily impeded. "(Hear;", hear.) In one vi.wo of the case, if I were satisfied that the people of this country fully approved of the scheme, I would give it my support, althouzh I disapprove off it in its present shape. But I cannot understand why those hon. gentletnen who have professed, at all event heretofore, to be the advocates of the rights and liberties of the people, should 80 far forget those rights and liberties as to set them aside; and allow half a dozen gentlemen in this province to combine with a number of geutlemen from the Lower Provinces to completely ignore and set äside the views of those they profess to represent. (Hear, hear.) It has been said that the people of this conntry have fully endorsed and approved of this measure. But where is the eridence of it ? It has been asserted that this is a matter which was ander consideration in the year 1858, and that it has been mooted at different times sioce. But this. very fact shews that it has never taken a deep hold on the people, and cortain it is
that it has never boen made a question up to this time at the polls. $\therefore$ (Hear, hear.) Therefore, the people have not prononnced an opinion upon it." And I mean to say this, that if the people understood it was going to cost so much more than the present form of government, they would not be inclined to approve and to accept it as readily as hon.: gentlemen seem to think. t hold that, if the hon. gentlemen who occupy the Treasuly beaches wero really sincere in their views of the benefits to result from this measure, they would allor the question to go to the people for the fullest eonsideration: In 1841 the people of this country obtained responsible government, and it was declared to them then that they should have a controlling voice in the affairs of the countrythat no important obange, in fact, should take place without their having an opportunity of prosouncing upun it. And yet hon. gentlemen now disclaim the right of appeal to the people, and arrogate to themselves an amount of wisdom to suppose that the tens of thousands of people of this province have not the capacity to understind the meaning or the margitude of this ques: tion. They exclude from these men the right of pronouncing an opinion; and is it not singular that it is the people of the province of Canada who are treated in this wia? It is not so in the Lower Provinoes. New Brunswick, for instance, dissolves its Housc, and goes to the people. And why should New. Brunswick do that which is denied to Caniada? Why should the people of New Brunswick be treated as more able and more capable of underslanding and pronouncing an intelligent rpinion than the people of Canada? (Hear, hear.) The people of Canada, I apprehend, are just as capable of comprehending a measure of this importance as the people of New Brunswiok, and they ought to have the samo opportanity of pronouncing upon it: (Hear, hear.) The Honorable President of the Council has said that a hostile feeling had arisen between both sections of the province to such a degree, that the government and legislation of the country had almost come to a dead stand. Now, was there such a feeling of hostility existing between the people of the different provinces? Was such the fact? Did honorable gentlemen of French extraction meet honorable gentlemen of British extraction upon the floor of this House with any feeling of hostility whatever? Did we not meet as
friends? They considered that they had peculiar interests to serve, and we considered that we had a larger population than they, and which population had not a sufficient representation on the floor of this House, and we sought a change in order to give them the representation to which they were entitled. The President of the Council claims that he has accomplished a great work in gaining for the people of Upper Canada that representation on the floor of Parliament. Now, I beg to join issue with him on that point. l assert that; instead of having gained for the Upper Province that boon, he has arrayed thirty additional votes against Upper Canada.: He makes Upper Canada stand not as she is now, but with thirty additional voicest to contend agaiost. (Hear, hear.) We shall pay in the same proportion, in fact, that we paid before to the whole revenue of the country. Let us: see if I'am singular in this view-let us see whether the gentlemen who compose the governments in the Lower Provinces do not entertain the same opidion. Hon. Mr. Tilley made this representation in a speech which he delivered on the 17 th November last:-

So close is the contest between parties in the Canalian Legislature, that even the fire Prince Edward Island members by their vote could turn victory on whatever side they chose, and have the game entirely in their own hands. Suppose that Upper Canada should attempt to carry out schemes for her own aggrandizement in the west, could she, with her eighty-two représentátives, :successfully oppose the sixty five of Lower Canada and the forty-seven of the Lower Provinces, whose interests would be identical? Certainly not; and

* she would not attempt it.

Mr. H. MACKENZIE-What has that to do with representation by population?

Mr. M. U. EAMERON - "What has that to do with representation by population?", asks the hop. gentleman. Representation by population was agitated, so far as Upper Caniada is concerned, because we are paying so large a proportion of the revenue of the country ; and should the Lower Provinces have a corresponding voice, we should still pay the same proportion of revenue-instead, in fact, of standing on an equality, we would have thirty voices more to contend against. (Hear, hear.) Now, let us see whether, in another point of view, it is going to benefit us, It is represented by this same gentleman in the Lower Provinces that, when this change takes place, they will be relieved from the burdens they now bear; because,
as asserted 'in the speech to which I have referred, they have paid $\$ 3.20$ per head of taxes ; and, when the change was brought about, they would only pay $\$ 2.75$-that is, they would be gainers by the arrangement by 45 cents a head. Is that so, or is it not so? If not, then there is dishonesty at the bettom of this scheme, when it requires arguments of that kind to further it. If it is so, then these"gentlemen who assert that they. are looking out for the interest and the advantage of Canada, are proving traitors to the trust reposed in them, are doing a wrong to their country, and are doing that for the sake of their own self-aggrandizement.

Hon Atty Gen CARTIER-Allow me to make a remark A little while ago the honorable gentleman quoted from a speech of Hon. Mr. Titcex, in which that gentleman supposed the case, that on some evil" day Upper Canada, actuated by selfish motives, would endeavor to obtain the passing of some measure that would be conducive to her exclusive aggrandizement. "In that event," said Hon. Mr. Tricièy, addressing himself to his people below, with the view of meeting that hypothetical cease; "you will have the sisty-five members from Lower Canada and the forty-seven from below, to unite in resisting any attempt of the kind:" On that account the honorable member for North Ontario has stated that he is opposed to this scheme of Federation. He prefers a legislative union; but of coürse with a legislative union there would be the same ratio of representation, and his opposition, on this particular ground, ought to apply to the one syatem as much as to the other.

Mr. CANERON-I will give you a practical illustration of how this may affect our interest. It is a part of this schenie, or ought to have been a part of it, that the opening up of the North-West should be included in it ; that improvements should be made in that direction so that we might have theadrantage of the vast mineral wealih which exists there, and of the great stretch of territory available for agricultural purposes as well. But this is not given to us now. The Intercolonial Railway is made a portiou of this scheme. It is made, so to speak, a part of the Constitution-a necessity without which the scheme capnot go on: Now, suppose we ask in the Federal Liegislature for the improvement of the North.West, because we consider it for our huterest io have that territory opened up and improved;
shall we not find a verification of the language of this gentleman-sizty-five members fron Lower Canada and forty-seven from the Lower Provinces, whose interests are identical, will be united against us, and we will not be able to accomplish a work of that kind: (Hear, hear.) In considering a question of this nature-in considering a change of the Constitution-I presume every man ought to have the interest of the ivhole at heart, and not the interests merely of individual parts-that every man from the Lower Provinces who seeks this union should desire it, not because it is going to advantage the Lower Próvinces merely, but because it is going to adrantage Canada as well. The argunient should be, that it is to be for the advantage of the whole. It shöuld not be an argument that $\$ 2: 75$ is the sum that will be paid by the Lower Provinces under the arrangement, when they are paying now 83.20 a head to the public revenue. Aryuments of that kind should not be used to show that an advantage is.gained by one portion of the proposed Confederation at the expense of another; for example. that the subsidy ibtained by the Lower Provinces from the Federal Government will be so great, that it will meet all their expenditures, and leare them $\$ 34,000$ the gainers. (Hear, hear.) 'Nuw, 1 ask, are we contributing to that in the same proportion that "we are contributing to the subsidy to Lower Canada -and is that honorable gentleman who has taken the advocacy of Upper Canadian. interesis so peculiarly under his own controi, acting for the interests of Upper Canada when he consents to an arrangement of this kind? (IIear, hear.). 'The President of the Conncil hay used this language with reference to the inatter. Ile says:-"It is not a yuestion of interest, or mere commercial advantage ; no, it is an effurt to establish a new empire in British North America." That is the hooorablo gentleman's state* ment. But, for my own part, I think it would be better to get out of the debt which: now burdens us,-to reduce the expenses the people are: sufferiug from,-to lighten the taxation wo are laboripg under-than to cadeavor to establish an empire such as my honorable friend the President of the Council speaks of. It would be much better for us to endeavor to reduce our expenditure, and live within our meàns, than to attempt to establish a new empire; becanse, ualess he weans by that that wo are going to establish our independence, we are
already, as subjects of the British Crown, sharers in all the glories of the British nation. (Hear, hear.) The hon. gentleman also saidand this was the argument he addressed to thion House as a reason why his friends from Uppeir Canada should unite with him in supporting this scheme-"We complained, that immense sums were taken from the public chest and applied to local purposes, in Lower Canada, from which we of Upper Canada derived no advantage." Now I ask, have we ever seen an attempt made by Lower Canada to abtain so great a subsidy as $\$ 175,000$ a year in perpetuity? And yet; that is what the hon. gentleman,' by this scheme, actually concedes to them, apart from the greater expenditure we will have to pay in connection with the administration of the general affairs of the whole Confederation. Let us see what the seventeen additional representatives we of Upper Cavada arè to obtain, will cost us. I make it that for each representative we will have to pay only $\$ 16,397$ per annum. I make that out in this way. The contribution by the lower Prövinces to the General Government is $\$ 1,929,272$. The contribution of Lower Canada is $\$ 2,208,035$. The contribution of Upper Canada is $\$ 4,416,072$. I am speaking now of the contributions that go to meet the expenditure of the Federal Government. The contribution of Upper Cauada is thus in excess of the Lower Provinces, $\$ 3,4 \$ 6,800$; in excess of Lover Canada, $\$ 2,208,037$; and in excess of both, $\$ 278 ; 765$, which, divided by 17 , will give $\$ 16,397$ as the cost of each additional member चैe are getting:

Hon. J. S. MACDONALD-Hear, hear.
Me. CAMERON-Well, this matter is not left to us either, as the representatives of the people, to pronounce au opinion upon it. We are to take the scheme as a whole. We are not to be allowed to amend it in any: particular: But the Government come down and tell is, that in consequence of the union of political parties which has taken place, they feel themaelves so strong that they can say to the representatives of the people: "Just tate this, or you shall have nothing, and revert back to inevitable ruin."' That is the position in which they put us. Yet, if the statement made by the Hon. Finance Minister is correct, our revenue has increased; so that we have a surplus of $\$ 572,000$, after making up the deficiency of the previous year. He tells us the revenue of Canada has increased by a million and a balf of dollars; and that the revenues of New Brunswick and Nova

Scotia have increased $\$ 100,000$ each-beiug an increase for the whole: provinces of $\$ 1,700,000$. Would $\cdot$ we then revert back to ruin, if these statements be correct? If our income has really increased so much as has been represented, would we; if we remain as we are, eo back to ruin ? '(Hear, hear.)' It has been said that there has been a deadlock in the affairs of the country; for a considerable length of time; but 1 think the province has not been going to rain, if it has been getting an increase of revenue to the extent of a million and a half, notwithstanding that dead-lock. I am not sure but the province would do better if this House were closed up for ten years and hon. mèmbers sent about their business. (Ironical ministerial cheers.) Then it has been said that we are bound to accept this scheme, if we cannot show some better means of getting out of our "difficulties. With reference to that, I would say that if any of those hon. gentlemen were really the patriots they represent" themselves to be, let them exemplify the virtue of resiguation-let them leave their places in-the front ranks of the ministerial benches, and let new men be introduced to take their places-let themi do this, and I have no hesitation in saying that parties in this country are not so bitterly hóstile but a government or any numiber of goverpments could be formed to carry on the affairs of the country. (Hear, hear.) Hon. gentlemen who have been in the front of the political affairs of this country for years back, have fancied that the whole of the political wisdoni of the country was centred in them; and that this conntry must of necessity go to ruin, if they were not at the helm ol affairs. This, I think, is claiming, too much. 'However, I do not mean to say that they are not exceedingly able men. .But I would say that the Attorney General East; and his colleague the Attorney Gen: ral for Upper Canada, who have been so much opposed and vilified by the honorable gentlemen who are now as sociated with them in the Government, must have felt exccedingly gratified when they found that after all the charges of corruption which had been brought against them, these pure patriots from our section of the country were willing to place themselves "side by side with them to carry on the affairs of the country. (Hear, hear.) It was represented by the Honorable Provincial Secretary in a political contest that he and I had together-and which ?-when we were
in the field, we carried on pretty pleasantly, notwithstanding there had been some rather sharp passages at arms on the floor of this House between us - that honorable gentleman, in excusing himself before the electors for the change he had made in his views on the question of representation by population, said the financial crisis of the country had become so much more imminent than the constitutional; that it was absolutely necessary to take office-in fact, to join the gentlemen of Lower Canada, who made rcpresentation by popplation a close question. We must look after the pursc-strings, he said, or the country will go to ruin. It is very gratifying uow to find that honorable gentle-- man now in a position in which he is going to create so much larger a debt than before. It is quite gratifying to find him now seated on the Treasury benches alvocating the additional burdens, to the extent of millions of dollars, that will be cast upon us by this union and the construction of the Intercolonial Railivay: At one time, and it was not long since, this country was agitated from one end to the other with the statement that the public debt was so great as to amount to a mortgage of $\$ 25$ upon évery cleared acre of land in the province, and now those who made this statement wish to add millinus more to the debt by this railway, and to add as it were $\$ 5$ more to the debt per head of every min in the land: (Hear, hear.) Now, if the Honorable Pro. vincial Secretary was sincere in his argument that retrenchment was necessary to save us from ruin, how can he reconcile it with his sense of duty and propriety that he should be found advocating this vast extravagance at this time, when there is no inminent dauger to call for it, but, on the contrary, a degree of prosperity that should make us exceedingly careful how we adopt experimental changes. I find honorable gentlenen complaining of the incapacity of our railivays to meet the commercial requirements made upon themto do the business of the country properly. It is true the crops are not so abundant as they were; no foresight or management will ensure< us a plentiful harvest, but still; éven according to these honorable gentlemen, the trade of the provinec is growing, and their statements altogether in this respect do not show that we are going to ruin. A people who are increasing in population as we are increasing, who are growing in wealth as we are, and who, over and above all our expenditure, have a million and a half surplus revenue, are not rushing to ruin in the manner that has
been represented by some honorable gentlemen. I say, then, that we ought not to hasten on a change that may prove injurious to us, without asking the people themselves whether they approve of it or not. (Hear, hear.) So anxious are the honorable gentlemen on the Treasury benches to have it carried, that they even "quarrel amougst themselves as" to the parentage of the scheme; and the House was amused the other day when the Hon. President of the Council took the Hon. 'Attorney General West to task because that honbrable gentleman presumed to say that it was his Government that had first brought the matter up. (Luughter.) They appear to take great pride in the child, but this country of ours, the mother of the bantling, is travailing in agony from fear of the burdens that these honorable gentlemen are endeavoring to put upon it. (Hear, hear.) $\because$ The Honorable Minister of Agriculture the other evening called our attention to the affairs that are occurring in the United States, and spoke of the army of contractors and tax-gatherers that wasspringing up there. "ILe said that the cry of "'tax, tax, tax!" came up perpetually from the tax-gatherers, and the cry of "Money, money;-money!" from the hordes of contractors who are fattening upon the miseries of the people ; and while he was talking of the message conceyed to us in the sound of erery gun fired in the United States, he may have thought perhaps that, in the formation of this union and the building of this Intercolonial Railway, we too shall hear the scries of "Tax, tax, tax! money, money, money !' in the same way. (Hear, hear.) It is said again, in reference to this scheme, that every line of it shows a compromise. .The Hon. Minister of Agricultare, if I remember right, used an expression of that kiñd. "But I would ask the President of the Council and those who with him have been adrocating the iaterests of Upper Canada, where is there any concession to Upper Canada in it? If they can point out one solitary instance, with the exception of the seventeen additional members given to the west, where anything has been conceded to that section, then - I will say the scheme is deserving of my support. Bat I hold that the additional number of representatives given to Upper Cauada is no boon or concession. The differences between the tro provinces of Canada were not merely national differsnees, but were of a seotional character. It was the West arrayed against the east, rather than nationality against nationality, for was it not a fact that the sizteen

English-speaking members from Lower Canada united themselves with the French-Canadian majority, and not with the majority of their own race in Upper Canada? The English members from Central Canada did the same ; and I contend; therefore, that the differences we had were sectional in their nature, and that we had no national differences that rendered a change at this time necessary. Are we going to get rid of these sectional differences by this scheme ? Will not the thirty additional members called into this legislature from the east unite with the Lower Canadian majority, and will not the same preponderance of influence be cast against Upper Canada as before? (Hear, hear.) Now, if a union of free people is to be brought' about, it should be because the people desire it and feel that it is advantageous on the whole; and I am quite satisfied that if, ine these plovinces, we are to have a union that will confer any advantage upon us, it ought to be a Legislative and not a Federal union. We should feel that if we are to be united, it ought to be in fact'as well as in name $;$ that we ought to be one people, and not separated from each other by sec tions; that if we go into a union, it ought to be such a union as would make us one people; and that when a state of things arises farorable to such a union, we will have an opportunity of forming a union that will give us strength and protect our interests in all time to comc. $\because$ The Honorable President of the Council thinks that we should enter the union proposed for the parpose of protecting and defending ourselves. I would like to know of that honorable gentleman if he thinks that we, with a population of two millions and a half, cañ createasufficient armament, and raise a súfficient number of men to repel the millions of the United States, should they choose to attack us? (Hear; hear.) - I do not suppose, Mr. Speaker, that there would be any more ready to defend the honor and integrity of Great Britain in this country than those who feel as I do in reference to this matter; and I am satisfied that, even with the knowledge of certain destruction before us, if at tacked by the United States, we would have defenders springing up at any moment-de ${ }^{\circ}$ fenders to sell their lives ás dearly as possible, and to fight inch by inch before they would be compelled to surrender the honor of the British Crown. But still, sir, we cannot help feeling the rast disparity of numbers between us and the United States ; we can form no armament that could repel them from cvery portioñ of our territory, and spending millions now.
in that direction is but crippling our resources and weakening us for the time of need. If these moneys we now propose to spend in that way were carefully husbanded, we will have them when the necessity arises, and be able to use them to better purpose than in defending ourselves. (Hear, hear.) Some say that Canada is deensible, and others say that it is entirely defenceless; but $I$ apprehend that there are certain points in the country which could be so fortified that they could be held against any foe: While so held, the rest of the country would probably be under the control of the enemy, and would pemain so nntil the fate of war decided whether we were to remain as ve were or be absorbed in" the neighboring union. Now, it was said' by the Hon. Minister of Agriculture that we are to have fortifications at. St. John, New Branswick; and if this union is to be brought about in order that we may be taxed for the purpose of constructing fortifications in New Branswick; it will certainly be of little service to the people of Canada in preventing their $\therefore$ country being invaded and overrun by an enemy: Fortifications in St. John, New Brunsswick, would not protect us from the foe, if the foe were to come here. They, of courss, would be an advantage to the country at large and aid in sustaining the British dominion in this part of the continent, and so far we would not object to contribute to a reasonable extent to an expenditure of that kind; bat I do say that it would be quite impossible by fortifications to make the country so defensible that we coild resist aggression on the part of the United States at every point:, To endeavor to make it so rould be a maste of money:

Mr MoKELLAR - What mould you do then? Surrender to the enemy?

Mr. CAMERON-No, I would not.
Mr. McKELLAR:-What would you do if you neither spent money nor surrendered?

Ma: CAMERON-We would do as many brave people have done before when they were attacked; and the country from which the honorable genteman comes is a marked example' of what a'small nation can do against overwhelming numbers, without fortifications, wuch as it is here proposed to put up. "(Hear, hear.)

Hus. Ma. BROWN-It is something newf that a country can be defended without forti'ficutions. (Hear, hear.)

Mr. CAMERON-I do not know whether honorable gentlemen meaa that this country is capable of undertaking the expenses, that would be necessary to pat it in such a state of
defence as to enable it to regsist the aggression of the United States. I © Want to know whether with tyo and a half millions of people, we could cope with añ army of millions-because the Upited States have shown that they are capable of raising such an army-or make fortifications that could resist it. " (Hear, hear.) The Hon. Provincial Secretary has spoken on the floor of Parliament as well as to the electors in the country, to the effect that it was retrenchment we needed more than constitutional changes; and yet now he says that the people are not to have one word to say in reference to these vital changes that are proposed, and the vastly increased expenditure that is to take place. In addressing this House in 1862, he said-"The finances of the country are growing worse and worse, and a check must be applied. It was chiefly for this cause that the people of Upper Canada desired a change in the representation." Now, I should like to understand how a union with 800,000 people, with immense expenditure; is going to improve our finances, which, according to the honorable gentieman, are " growing worse and worse." (Hear, hear.) I have not heard in what has been yet said on the subject of these resolutions, anything to show me hoir this great increase and improvement \$s yoing to take place by a union with less than a million of people ', but argunents for the union, when directed merely to the material interests that will be served by it, are arguments ten-fold stronger in favor of union with the United States. (Hear, hear.). The arguments of honorable gentlemen all point that way, because they say it is to our interest to be joined with the 800,000 people of the provinces, who will furnish ns with a market for our produce; when we have on the other side of the line thirty millions of people to furnish us a narket." Arguments of this kind, urging the measure because our fuaterial interests will be pronioted by it, are, Gherefore, arguments for union with the United States rather than with the Lower Provinces; but nnion fith the United, States, I hope, will never take place. (Hear, hear:) Still I cananot help believing that this is the tendency of the measure ; for when we have a legislature in each provinc; with powers coordinate with those of the Federal Legislature-or if not possessing coordinate powers, having the same right at least to legislate apon some subjects as the General Legislature-there arecertain to arise disagreements between the Local and the General Legislatare, which will lead the people to domand changes that may destroy our conuection
with the Mother Country. The Federal character of the United States Government has been referred to to prove that it has increased the prosperity of the people living ander it; bat in point of fact the great and relentless war that is now raging there-that fratricidal war in which brother is arrayed against brother, filled with hatred toward each other, and which has plunged the country into all the horrors of the deadliest strife-is the strongest comment upon the working of the Tederal principle-the strongest argument against its application to these proripces. (Hear, hear.) The French element in Lower Canada will be separated from us, in its LocalLegislature and become less anited with us than it is now.; and therefore there is likely to be disagreement betwiveen us." Still more likely is there to be disagreenient when the people of Upper Canada find that this scheme will not relieve them of the burdens cast upon them, but, on the contrary, will subject them to a legislature that will have the power of isisposing direct taxation in addition to the burdens iniposed by the General Government. When they Gind that this power is exercised, and they are called uponto contribute as much as belore to the Generultuvernment, while taxed to maintain a separate Local Legislature-when they find that the material question is to weigh with them, they will look to the other side of the line for union. 'Theel that we are going to do that which will: weakeu our connection with the Mother Country because if you give power to legislate upon the same subjects to both the localaind the tederal legislatures; and allow. both to inipose taxation upon the people, dis agrecments will spring up which must necessarily have that effect. (Hear, hear.). Then again, by this scheme that is laid before us, certain things are to be legislated upon by both the general: and the local legislatures, and yet the local legislation is to be subordinate to the legislation of the Federal Parliament. Nor instancea, emigration and agriculture are to be sabject to the control of both bodies. Now stippose that the Federal Legislature chooses to decide in favor of having emigration thow to a particular locality, so as to benefit one proviace alone-I do not mean this expressign to be understood in its entire sense; beoause 1 think that omigration in any one portion will benefito the whole, but it will benefit the particular locality mach more at the time-and if provision is made by the General Legislature for emigration of that" tind, and grants are made from the pablic
funds to carry it out, it will cause much complaint, as the people who are paying the greatest proportion of the revenue will be subject to the drafts, upon them as before. Supposeagain, for instance, that arrangements are made for engration to a particular part of Lower Canada or New Brunswick, and a grant is made for the purpose, who is to say whether it is for the local or general good? It is the Federal" Legislature that has to pronounce upon it. The expenditure and the benefit would be received by a portion of the province lying remote from that which pays the largest proportion of the money, and so We would not be relieved from the difficalties that have existed between Upper and Lower Canada. This being the case, the reasoning on which this whole scheme is based falls to the ground. "(Hear, hear.) But this question has been of some service. It has enabled ùs to ascertain what our debt is. This we have never previously been enabled with certainty to find out. Our highest authorities have widely differed in footing it up. If recollect the Hon. President of the Council asserting that our debt was eighty-five millions of dolliars.

HoN. MR, BROWN-When did you hear that?

Ma. M, C. CAMERON-I heard it in one of the speeches which you made on the floor of this House. You remarked that you had yone to the Auditor that very morning and found the debt to be eighty-five millions.
HoN. Mn: BROWN-The honorable gentleman is mistaken in the first figure. It was seventy-five millions that I stated.

Mr. M. C. ©AMERON - I think the honorable gentloman has made a mistake. I will show him that his memory is short on this occasion.

Hon. Mr. BROWN - Very good.
Mr. M. C. CAMERON-You said the, debt-was $\$ 85,000,000$, but that there was the Sinking fund and the Municipal Loan in: debtedness which together would amount to some fourten or fifteen millions of dollars; which would reduce the amount to about $\$ 70,000,000$ of direct debt.

Hon. Ma. BROWN-(Hear, hear.) Why: did you not say that at first?

Mr. M. C. CAMERON-Well, I did not design to catch the Hon. President of the Coancil in the trap that he had laid for hiniself. (Hear, hear.) We have now found that our debt is not so much as that honorable gentleman led us to suppose it was. The tourteen or fifteen millions did not belong to us at all. -But the honorable gentleman, since
he has been so closely connected with those old corraptionists, has discovered that it is only sixty-seven and a half millions. Well, the Hon. President of the Council has also said, and has acknowledged it too, that he was very much opposed to the Intercolonial Railway, and when the Hon. Attorney General West made the observation that he learned from a brief paragrặ in a paper called the "Globe, that Messrs Sicotte and Howland were about to return, having accomplished the object of their ninssion, viz: to throw overboard the Intercolonial Railway, the Hon. President of the Council remarked, that that was "a very sensible thing-the most sensible thing they ever did...But now the honorable gentleman goes so heartily into this matter, that he will build this vast, railway which it was so sensible to throw overboard at that time, and I-think he went so far as to say he would buld five intercolonial ruilways rather than that the scheme should fail.

Several Hon: MEMBERS-Six; he said six.

Mr. M. C. CAMERON-Well, we will give him the benetit of one, and yet I have not been able to hear him express in pounds, shillings an pence the practical benctit there is to be derived by this country as compensiation for the expense of building that useless thing that it was so sensiblo to throw overboard two years ago ; sensible even though the persons who went home were charged with acting falsely by the people of the Lower. Provinces, and the honorable gentleman commended their throwing it overboard at the risk of our being charged with a breacli of good faith. (Hear, hear.) Now, looking at chis scheme politically, I do not ree that we gain any advantage from it. I do , wot see that it secures to uns peace for the fucure. I do not think that it secures us against the Honorable President of the Council coming forward ayain as the member for South Uxford or for some other constituency, and shaking our whole poliucal fabric by his violent agitatioñs. I do vot think it prevents our having political', tirebrands in this country such ass we have had. I do not think it prevents our having the same difficulties on the diloor of the Federal Legistature as we have had on the floor of this House: (Hear, hear.) Wémay have, with all the additional expenser we shall have gove to in order to ubvinte it, the same thing enacted over again. (Hear, hear.) Commercially, it does not promise to give us an advantage that will warrant the expenditure.

We are only to supply 800,000 people with our products. : But it is said the Lower Provinces will have lands of a fertile character, and that when the railway is built they will be able to grow enough produce to support themselves, and we must find a market far beyond the market that the Lower Provinces could possibly give us. And it is said that it would be desirable to create a trade with the West Indies; but that may be done just as well without going to the expense of a union with the Lower Provinces and a double set of parliaments. Let us have a union in which. we are each looking out for the commen interest, and not each for his own individual benefit, Commercially, then, it does not hold out such inducements that "we need to have all this haste in pushing it through and preventing the people from pronouncing upon-it. In a military sense it does not hold out the inducement that we will get by it from the Lower Provinces either such assistance in men or noney as to make it an object to unite with them: (Hear, hear.) In a sectional point of view the people of Lower Canada can see what they are to get. $\therefore$ I cannot see that the people of Lovier Canada are to be any better protected from the neeins that honorablo gentleman thas made use of to create all the difficulty between Upper and Lower Canada that has existed so long, and to get rid of which this expensive seleme is proposed. E'pper Canada, it is said, will have the control of the expenditure; because they will have seventeen nienbers more in the Federal Legislature than Lower Canada; but hom easily their influence can be cheeked and completely swamped by the addition of forty-seven métibers from the Lower Provinces! (Hear, hear.) Looking at it in all these aspects, I am at a losis to understand what great benefit there is in the Confederation scheme to call for its being; put through in such a hurried maner. Hon. Mri Grey sad in the Lower Provinces that it might be years be fore the change would come into effect; that it would take years to think about it. He said, $\because$ It ia not intended to hurry the proposed scheme into actual life and eperation it is not to be carried out to-day, but years may roll by before it is carried tiato effect." This quotation oceurs in ai speech made by Hon. Mr. Gagy at St. John, on the 17 th November last. Now that honorable genternan also tukes a very difierent view of what is being boasted of here, the imposing of direct taxation for the support of the local goveruments, of whioh be disapproved. Honorable gentlo-
men here, however, have said that they were in favor of direct taxation for the support of the local governments, because it would lead those who have to pay the taxes to look more closely into what was going on, and the manner in which their money was expended. (Hear, hear.) There seems also to have been a feling in the Lower Provinces in favor of a legislative union, and the Hon. Mr. Grex seems to be combatting that idea. He says that with a legislative union, municipal institutions, and direct taxation in evory province, would be the only means of getting along. He expressed himself as opposed to thaty and in favor of a Federal union; which he thought would afford them all the advantage that could be attained, commercially, by union, and would allow each province to retain control over its own lacal affairs. The local legislatures, he said, were to be deprived of no power over their own affairs that they formerly possessed. But in Canada it was represented that the local legislatures iwere to be only the shadow of the General Legislature-that they were to have merely a shadow of power; as all their proceedings were to be controlled by the Federal Government. That is the position taken by the advocates of the measure on this floor So it seems that those gentle. men who have represented to us that they acted in great harmony, and came to a common decision when they were in conference, take a widely different view of the questions supposed to have been agreed upon, and give very different accounts of what were the views of parties to the conference on the various subjects. (Hear, hear.): In the Lower Provinces they were strongly opposed to direct tasation, while here it was prescat ed as one of the advantages to accrue from the Federation: (Cries of No, no.) Well, Mr. Speaker, I say yes.". That view of the case has been takeu. If the amount allowed for the expenses of local legislation-the 80 cents per head-was found insufficient, the loeal parliaments must resort to direct tasation to make up the deficiency, while in the Lower Provinces, it seems, nothing of that kind was to follow. Now, all the gentlewen Who have spoken on the Government side of the House have declared that this scheme was a great seheme ; but they have declined to allow us to uiderstand what sort of a local legislature we are to have: They will not tell us how our Executive is to be formed. They will not tell us whether we are to have Legislative counoils in Upper and Lower Canada, and whether or net thoy will be
elected councils. They will not tell us what number of members will constitute the Executive Council of the Confederation, nor what influence each individual province will have in that government. They will not bring down the scheme for the local legisla: tures." They tell us that it is better to withhold those details-that we are dealing with Federation alone, and have no business discussing local governments, What is the object of all this vagueness'? Is it politic or statesmanlike to tell us that we, the representatives of a free people, are not to know anything about these things, but : vote with our eyes shut?. I hold that wf ought to have the whole scheme before us, but they say we shall know nothing about it. And" yet they continue to say it is a great scheme. Well, if it is a great scheme, and they continuc to deal with it and with this House in this way, are not they, the architects and fabricators of this great achenie, fairly entitled to becalled great schemers? (Laughter.) Are they not treating us as a lot of "school-boys? "As an evidence. of the excellecice and popularity of their scheme, they point to the circumstance that they have formed a strong government upon the question, with a majority of seventy in this House, while two governments preceding them could each only muster a majority of two. "And because they are so strong they feel themselves at liberty to deny to the people's representatives the right to have information on a most important matter of this kind-information they would not bäve dared to withhold if they were weak. (Hear, hear.) When a motion is placed on the notice paper of this House frr several days; requiring a statement of the portion of the debt which Lower Canada and Upper Canada respectively will have to pay, they tell us that they cannot submit to the House any information of that kind. Is it possible that the hon. gentlemen composing the Government have not determined that question at this stage of the proceeding, and that they have not yet made up their minds respecting it? If they have not, it show that they have "been trifling with their position, and have not been discharging the duties devolving upon them. It has also been represented that this matter has been so fully before the country for a great length of time, that it is not neoessary to submit it to a vote. I would ask in what way has it been before the country ' Why, it was declared, in the first instance, by the
press, that it was not possible the measure could be passed untill it had been submitted to the people; it was looked upon as a thing which was quite impossible. There is no doubt the organ of the Ministry in Toronto -the organ more particularly of the President of the Council-did declare from the first, as if throwing out a feeler, that it would not be necessary to submit it to the people. But the -jress generally took a different yiew of the question, when out came that remarkable circular from the Provincial Secretary's office-(hear, hear)-which had such a magical effect, that at onee the story was changed, and the advocacy was begun of disposiog of the question without sübiniting it to the people; although the people themselves never dreamt that it cuuld be carried through this House and become a fixed fact until that step was taken. I do not see how any man, who does not desire to make himself amenable to the charye of a breack of the trust reposed in him, can come here, and without consulting those who sent him, change a Constitution affecting the well-being of " millions. (Hear, hear.) Those who have to pay for all this-who provide the revenue for carrying on the affairs of the country-are not at liberty to express their views on the subject in the legitimate way known to the Constitution. It is argued that there have been no petitions presented against Confederation; but where; I ask, has there been any agitation in reference to the question? Where has it been contested at the polls? I stand here an elected mẹmber, who ran against the Provincial Secretary, when, as a member of the government formed for the purpose of carrying out this scheme, he returned to his constituenter for rë̈lection, and I succeeded in defeating him. So far, therefore, as the people of North Ontario have spoken at all, their proṇouncing, in one way, has been against it.

Hon. Ma. BROWN-Hear! hear!
MR. M. C. CAMERON-I do not mean to say, Mr. Speakeir, that they did prononnce definitely against it

Hon. Mr. BROWN-Hear! hear!
Mr. M.C.CAMERON-For when it was being discussed, I told them I was not pre pared to pronounce against it mysel:

Hon. Mr. BROWN-Hear!: hear:
Mr. M. C. CAMERON-I said that 1 must know what the scheme was before I could say whether I would vote for it or against it.

Hon. Mr. BROWN - Hear! hear!

MR. M. C. CANERON - But this much is certain; that the President of the Council who took the trouble to go into the riding, to stump it, to hold meetings there, and to speak against me at every meeting he held, took the opportunity of declaring that anless the Provincial Secretary swas returned, it would ser:ously damage and endanger the scheme. And notwithstanding all these warnings, the people thought fit to return me (Hear licar.)

Hon. Mr: MAODOUGALL-Will the hon. gentleman allow me to interrupt him? Does the hon. gentleman mean to convey to this House the impression that he did not declare himself in favor of the poliey of the Government on the subject of Federation?

Mr: M. C. CAMERON-I mean very distinctly to say that I did not declare myself in favoriof the policy of the Government: (Hear, hear:)

How Mr. BROWN-Oh! :oh !
Mr. M. C. CAMERON-I declared there as I deolare here, that $I$ was in favor of a union of the provinces. $\because$ But whether the union contemplated was a union which eould be approved of, or whether it would be to the advantage of the country, I was unable to say until I more fully understood the schene, and the hon gentleman was not in a position at that time to explain the sobeme, or to say what it mas.
An Hon. MEMBER-How about the clections to the Upper House?

MR. M. C. CAMERON-I think there were two elections only for the Upper House in which the question was a test one.

AN HoN. MELBER-Which were they?
Mr. MO.CAMERON-I think Saugeen was oue.

Me. THOMAS FERGUSON-Oh, but Saugeon would have been carried by as, no matter whether there was Confederation or no Coufederation. (Laughter.) Everybudy knows that.

Mr. M. C. CAMERON-Be that as it may, I am quite satisfied the people were nader the impression, and that the candidates who appeared before them wore also under the impression; that this thing would never become law-that this Constitution of ours would never be chansed, without the constituencies having an opportunity' of pronouncing upon it. It was nover supposed that the people's representatives, sent here Tor an entirely different parpuse, would presume or assume to set aside the Constitution, to make a complete revolution in the affairs
of the countrys to involve them in a much larger expenditure, to ckiange the constitution of the Upper House completely, to bring in an additional number of representatives from Upper Canada, and to add a new element of forty-seven members altogether to the Lower House. I say I am persaaded the people, did not understand that this was to be done without their having an opportunity of speaking upon it, and of saying whether they approved of it or not:. (Hear, hear.) And T scarcely can believe that we will be able to find, at this late day of the world's history, in a frec country such as Canada, among a people who understand what are their rights and liberties, a government prepared to act ini so unconstitutional a manier-a yovernment ready to tyrannize and to assume the part of an oligarely. (Hear, hear) But this Government is prepared to act thus. They tell their followers that they are at their peril to accept the scheme just as it is, that they are not at liberty to change a single word of $i t$, and if they do so they will defeat the whole project. That, however; is not the way in which hou. gentlemen in the Lower Provinces deal with this question: 'Hon. Mr. Truiex, in Nova Scotia, only two or chree daysago, made the declaration that if the people's representatives choose to alter the resolutions, they were at liberty to do so. (Hear, hear.) And yet we in Canada are gravely told that we are not to be allowed to exeroise any judgment or to pronounce any opinion upon it. (Hear, hear,) I regard the scheme itself as having been got up hastily, for it bears upon its face the evidence of haste and of curupromise. Indeed, it is a complete piece of patch work, and as' we are all aware, it is a piece of patchwork in which we are not to be at liberty to chauge the patehes, in any respiect so as to make it look better to the eye or more en during to those who will have to wear it. (Hear, hear, and laighter.) On the sabject of the Legislative Coungil,' it doey strike me that the language is not such as to convey the idea that hon. members of this House have said it ought to convey: The 14 th section reads thus :-

The first selection of the members of the Legislative Conncil shall be made, except as. regards Prince Edward Island, from the legislative councils of the various provinces.
You will observe the language-". From the legislative councils of the various provin. ces." That is; from the legislative connoils
now in existence." "So far," the clause goes on to say,", as a suufficient number be found qualified and willing to serve; such members shall be appointed by the Crown at the recommendation of the General Execative Government, upon the nomination of the respective local governments." Honorable gentlemen say that means, upon the nomination, so far as Canada is concerned; of the present Government. I I presume that ine the nature of things, the hon. geatlemen tho are at present administering our affairs anticipate that they will be the controllers of our destiny, for some time at all events, in the Federal Government: So that they are going themselves to nominate to themselves. Is that the object of the clanse? In point of fact, would it be such in its operation, because before these nominations can take place; I assume that the Executive Government must be in existence, 'and that when the Federal Government comes into existence, the present Government' will cease co-instanti. I take it that so soon as the Iniperial Aot passed, there:would be an end to the present arrangements, and that the local legislatures and the General Legislature would be brought into existence at the same moment.: The present Gorernuent of United Canada would cease to exist." And how then would the nominations to the Legislative Council take place, from this Government to the Executive Government of the Confederation? (Hear, hear.) In one way, these resolutions may be considered hs only an outline of the Constitution. .But they seem to have descended to very smill details. . For iustance, they say that a member who is abseat from the Council for two sessinns shall racste his seat. 'This is'a very small piece of detail, and I regard it also as a very unjust picce of detail, because the cause of a member's abséuce may be sickncess; and it may be the case that a member woutd be sick during the period :of two sittings of Parliament and woll immediately afterwards.
An Hon MEMBER - In that çase he might be excused.

Another Hox. MENBER-Or he could be re-appointed.

Ma. M. C CaMERON-There is: no provision for any such thing; and I hold that when they went into detail such.as this, the details ought to be full enough to prove what is meant. But if it is not detail-if it is mese skeleton-why did they introduce this at all? Why not simply say that the

Legislative Council should be nominated for life? We are also told that we are to have under the control of the federal and local governments the sea-coast and inland fisheries: Of course it is impossible for me to say what they mean to do with these things; but this is a clause out of :Which, at all events, disagreements might arise. "To shew what little care has been exercised in the wording of these resolutions, in one place they speak of the seal of the General Gorernment, and in another place they speak of the seal of the "Eederated Provinces." I presume there is no such thing as a seal of a general government. It is the seal of the nation-ot the country in its entirety; the same as we speak of our own seal as the Great Seal of the province:' There may not be much in this; bat it shews; at any rate", a want of care in the compilation of this document; it shews that they hare not studied each resolution with a desire to make it a perfect thing. Then it is said:"The Local Government and Legislature of each province shall be constructed in such manner as the existing legislature of each such province shall provide.". I do not understand from this whether it is competent or not for us in thit Legislature, before there is a Federil union, to make provision for the Local Goverament and Legislature, or whether we are to await the action upon the subject of Federation of the Imperial Government. Our action, one should suppose, ought to be taken after the Imperial Government has propounced: Perhaps this is the intention. Mr Speaker, they refuse to tell us angthing about it.' It may be that, as soun as these resolutions are carried, , we. will be sent about, our business; that the Imperial Legislature will be invited to pass an act, and that they will convene us'again, provision' beling made for that course, and so in point of fact, haviug. once affirmed the principle of Federation, we will have to accept such lucal legislalatures as they choose to give us. (Hear, hear.) I find the Finance Minister, in speaking of the construction of the local lo gislatures, saying: "It "was known, at all events in the Lower Canada section of the province, that there would a Legislative Council as well as a Legislative Assembly," constituting thereby a very expensive machincry of governnuevt for the local adminis: tration: I do not und retand that this is the view Upper Canadians take of this matter. - If weare really to have a Local Legistature, we
want it to be as inexpensive in its character as possible - we want to construct it as mooh as possible with a view to economy, in order to the public burdens being lessened to the lowest practical point: (Hear.) Giviag this question the best attention in my power, desirous if possible of' seeing sömething accomplished by which the seen blance of a cause for faction may be done away with, I would have been willing to support this schenie had I seen that the Government in' forming it had an eye to the true interests of the country, and not an exe to the creating of a number of legisla. tures, and the carrying on of works most expensive and burdensume in their character -work's which will be of but little value as a commercial undertaking, and of very little value for military purposes, but which, no doubt, are absolutely niecessary tor briaging us into contact with the people of the Lower Provinces. It secms to me that it would be much better had this Intercolonial Railway been built without forming this umion at all. (Oppositivn cheers.) Had we gone on building the railway without anion, it would have been lessexpensive in its oharacter to us; we would have gained wore by it, and we would have had the control of our affairs, vithout being swamped; so far as Upper Canada is concerned. (Hear, hear.) As it is, we shall get no more benefit from it, commercially, than if it had been built without a union of the provinces.

Mr. WaLLBRIDGE-We should have had the railway, without bringing in: those who may limit our western exteńsion.

Ma. M. C. CAMERON-I do not know what, will be doue under the new arrangewent. But under the old arrangement we were to have paid five tweltho of the cost, and the charge upon as now will be at least duable that dum So that in whatever way this watter iss looked at, it will be seen that there has been no designi for the parpose of advantagiog Upper Canada, whose people are to find the means by which all this extrava: gance is to be carried on: In the formation of this scheme, it has been truly admitted that eompromises have been made. The Lower I'roviaces have laws which are not in accordance with jur owí in Upper Canada, and it has been thought very desirable that thoy shuuld be brought into unizon and, if pot sible; consulidated: Welli provision has been ruade for the consolidation of these lawa; buk observe how religiously the laws of Lower Caunda are guarded from interierence. The

33rd sub-section gives to the General Government the power of "rendering uniform' all or any of the laws relative to property and civil rights in Upper Canada, Nova Scotia; New Branswick, Newfoundland; and Prince Edward Island, and rendering unitorm the procedure of all or any of the courts in these prorinces; but any statute for this purpose shall have no force or authority in any province until sanctioned by the legislature thereof." So that in reality no such dam will be binding until it has the sanction of the Local Legislature of the province particularly affected thereby. Such being the guarded terms of the resolution, why is it not made applicable to Lower Canada" as well as to the other provinces? Nothing could be done respecting its peculiar laws without the consent of its Local Legislature; and it is quite possible to iny mind, that there are some laws which it would be advantageous to all parts of the Confederation to assimilate: Buit they emphatically declare in these resolations that there shall be no interference "with the laws of Lower Canada: So that while it is proposed to assimilate the laws of the other provinces, there is a large section of intervening conntry which is to have, for all time to come, lairs separate and distinot from the rest. (Hear hear.) There is a great deal of difference in making a provision of this kind, which is to give the psople the - option, and which is not to be binding for all. time to come unless sanctioned by theu, and declaring that a law shall be forced upou the people whether they liked it or not. (Hear.) I can easily understand the feeling of the French people, and can admire it - that they do not want to have anything forced upon them whether they will or not. But that they "will not allow you to contemplate even the possibility of any change taking place for the general weal, and with their own consent, in their laws-that they will not allow any-thing to be introduced into this measure by which, under any eircumstances whatever, we can meddle with the laws of this particulat section of the country-I do not understand. And having feelings of this kind; and, manifesting them so strongly as they do in this docunent, it appears to me that in. going into this naion, we do not go into it with the proper elements, We go into it with elenients of strife and dissension, rather than of union and strength: (Hear, hear.) That is to be regretted ; for if a change is to be made affecting the destinies of the people of this country, it is lamentable that "wo do
not find patriotism enough among the representatives of the people to be willing to give and take, so that we may have such a ninion as will be beneficial to the whole, and not one burdensome to the whole, because one portion of the country says, "We have peciuliar ingtitutions which we dare not entrust to the care of you, gentlemen, who are to be united with us." Having given this whole matter the best attention I could, with the most earnest desire that any man could have to come to a just conclusion, l have not been able to satisfy myself that there are not the elemeats of ruin rather than of safety and strength in this scheme; that there are not the elements of the dismemberment of this country from the Empire to which we belong, and have pride in belonging; that there is not the means here of causing us to driftright into the vortes of annexation to the United States, whether we will or not. 'So far ass I am-concerned, I should sooner see perish' root and branch everything belonging to me, than I would become a party to a union with that power Feeling no hostility to the people there-feeling as friendly to them as to any other people, still I have that attachment to British institutions-I $\cdot$ have within me that feeling of allegiance to the British Crown, which would not allow me to throw off British connection under any circumstances whatever, or even to accept the disruption of that connection, if it were offered to us by Great Britain. . I feel it would be a curse to this country, if we were forced into that union-forced to adopt the licentiousness of conduct which: we find there, and habits and manners totally distastefal to us.: To be brought into that union would seem to me the greatest injury which by possibility could happen to us. In adopting the scheme before us, I feel we would be sowing the seeds of discord and strife, which would destroy our union, instead of its being cemented by this measure. I am thercfore opposed the scheme, because I believe that pulitically, commercially, and defensively; as a matter of cconomy or of sectional benefit, it will not be one tittle of service to this country, bat on the contrary will iaflict on it a vast and lasting injury. (Chèers.)

Ma: DUNKIN said he desired to take part in the debate, but did not wish to commence at this late hour, and if no other houorable gentleman was disposed to speak, he would move that the debate 'be adjourned.'

Mr. MGGIVERIN-As I know the honorable member for Brome (Mir Dunkin) is unwell, I am willing the relieve him by taking. the fioor, At the same time, I rise with mach diffidence to make the few remarks I intend to offer on this occasion, after the able and eloquent speech to which we have just listened. But, although I may not be able, pewhaps, to place before this House any views on this' subject which have not already been ably placed before the House and the country by honorable gentlemen who have preceded. me, still I feel I would be wanting in my duty to my constituents were I not to explain the reasons which induce me to take the course which I propose to take with reference to this question. - The subject is certainly a very important one, and, from the momentous character of the interests involyed in this proposed change of our Constitution, deserves the earnest attention of every true Canadian. "(Hear, hear:)' In the first place, I feel some explanation should be given of the reasons which have induced myself, in common with a large number of the liberal members of Upper Canada, to take the course we have seen fit'to take with reference to the present Government, and the policy they have laid before the country: In Upper Canada-I believe in almost every constituency-there bas long been an ayitation having reference to the sectional difficultes between Upperand Lower Canada. This agitation, instead of diminishing, has coutinued to gather streugth. Ever since the union of $18+1$, Weatera Canada has felt-and I think justly felt-that it did not receive that justice to which its wealth and population entitled it. On the other hand, the Vrench population of Lower Canada believed, or poffessed to believe, that an increased representation of Upper Camada in the Legislature would tend to destroy their language; their laws, and their religioy. Tho difficult position into which we were brought by this antagonisin' was such, that when the proposition came from the divernment that the Honcrable the tresident of the Councal (Hon. Mr. Brown) should unite with them to see if some means could nut be devised by which these untortunate bectional dificulties might be arranged, I fele it my duty-however unpleasant, however strange it may have seemed that we should alienate purselves from the liberal section of Lower Canadu- yel, satistied that some change was necessary in the management of the public affairs of this country; il felt it my duty, as an Upper Can--adian-I may say as a Canadian-to do, as
far as I possibly could, what might tend to remove from our country the unfortunate difficulties under which we have labored. (Hear, hear.) I believe that the people of Upper Canada: at least-I may say of Canada generally-have become tired of the strife in which we have been involved for many years, and which has put a stop to that practical and useful legislation which the country re. quired for the development of its resources. I believe the people of this country, in consequence of the position in which we found ourselves, had become earnestly desirous of a change; but the change they looked to was not in the direction of a nion with the United States. "(Hear, hear.) The change they looked for was in the direction of a union with the other British provinces; one which should embrace- - hope at no distant daythe British colonies on the far Pacific coast, as well as those to the east of us, bordering on the Atlantic: (Hear, hear.) I believe that this scheme of union now proposedthough I feel that it has many imperfections: -is still a step in the right direction. "It is perfectly impossible that the people of this country should be satistied to remain in the agitated state, politically, in which they bave litherto been, and which might ultimately land them in difficulties," for which no other solution could be fuund than that to which our neighbors on the other side of the line have untortunately been coimpelled to resort. (Hear, hear:) The honorable - member for Hochelaga (Hon. Mr. Dorron) truly said, so, long ago as 1858 , that the country was then almost verging 'on revolution, and that achange was necessary: The necessity for such as change, instead of diminishing since, has increased. (Hear, hear:) i. As far as I have been able to ascertain the feclings of the messbers of this House, I have not as yet understond one honorable gentleman to state that he was opposed to a union with the other provinces. Even the houvable gentleman' who has preceded me has stated that he advor: cates such a union, and believes it wouid bo bencticial to this country; only he did not like the manner and the details of the preseat scheme. But, while he and other honorable gentlemen have condemned that scheme of union which is now submitted to the Howe; while professing to be in favor of union in the abstract, I have as yet failed to find one of them offoring anything as an improvement upon it. (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-We have a right to amend this scheme.

Hon. Atty, Gen. CARTIER-You had better print your amendments.

Mr. McGIVERIN-The honorable member for North Ontario (Mr. M. C. Caǹ eron) has statel, that while he is an ad'vocate of union, he believed that a Legislative would be preferable to a Federal union. It is easy for honorable members to make that assertion. There are few, at least, of the English-speaking of this country who would "not also be favorable to the principle" of 'a legislative union. But can we getit? We have tried year after year to obtain representatiou by population, with a view to bettering our condition in the western section of the province, by getting a fair and equal distribution of the public moneys of the country, accordiag to our wealth and population, and the measure in which we contribute to the public revenue. Few, I think, will deny that the western section-for whatever reason, whether because of its being more favorably situated, and having a-better climate and more fertile soil, or from whatever other cause-the fact is indisputable that the western seetion of this provisce produces more and consumes more than the eastern section. And this formed the ground of' complaint, the reason of the agitation, that notwithstanding this fact, we of Upper Canadá were not placedyon an equal footing with the Lower Canadians in the le-: gislature of the country, and in the administration of its affairs. Hence it is that popalar opinion in Upper Canada has declared so emphatically that a change is necessary. (Hear, hear.) The honorable meinber for Vorth Ontario favors a kind of union which,: though desirable in many respects, most people believe to be impracticable. Are the French population, who are entitled to olaitu just and equal rights, willing to concede it? I believe, not. Even the liberal section of Lower Canada refused to concede to us a fair leyislative union? The hunorable member for Hochelaga-i gentleman for whom I entertain the highest respect-I believe a more liberal or high-minded màn does not sit in this House-even he, whilst we were acting with bin politically, when appealed to time after time to join with the Liberal section of Upper Canada in some policy that would remove these unfortanate diffeulties, constantly refused to do so, and told usit was impossible for him and his friends to meet us on that ground: Therefore, when at the close of last session, the people af Upper Canada were met, as they were met,"by the other political party of Lower Canada, telling as-". Here, we are
willing to yield you what you desire, only instead of conceding representation by population pure and simple, we believe a Confederation of the whole British Ainerican Provinces, with that principle recognised in the General Goverament, would be preferable; or, failing that, we are willing to have a Federation of the two provinces of Canada,"- when that was offered us, would we have been justified in rejecting it, simply becaùse in äccepting it we were compelled for the time to allow party feelings to remain in abeyance, or because we had to work in barmony for a time with the men to whom we had been" opposed politically, whom perhaps in time past we had strongly denounced? Should we, when offered that for which we, as a party and as a people, had worked añd agitated year after year, have refused it, simply because it was not offered by those with whom we had hitherto acted politically ? (Hear, hear.). I for one felt-what ever opinions any might entertain of my con-duct-I felt that, as an Upper Canadian and in justice to my country, I was bound to set aside party feeling and take that course which was for the best interests of our common country. (Ilear; hear.) The honorable mem-ber-for North Ontario has stated with refer ence to this Confederation-and similar language was held by the honorable member for Hochelaya-that commercially, politically and defeusively the union of these provinces, constituted in the way proposed,' would be a failure. It was also stated by the honorable member for North Ontario, that instead of our preparing ourselves for the contingency of difficulties arising with our neighbors, 'we should remain quiet; we should, in other words, lie down and allow them to ride over us and trample us in the dust. (Hear, hear.) Mr. Speaker, that was not the sentiment, those were not the feelings which actuated the noble veterans of 1812-(hear, hear)-who, though few in number, with a country sparsely settled and an immense extent of trontier, bravely did all that lay in their power to resist the foe; and they not only resisted but repelled him. (Hear, hear.) Though we are still couparatively few in unmber, we have nevertheless increased since that period in wealth and in population in an equal ratio with the United States. And though this war has developed great military resources on their part; I think I shall be able to show that with the resources we havewith the furce we can bring iuto the field of at le.st six hundred thousand armed men if needed - (hear, hear) - and with the aid

Great Britain will ulways extend to us, if wo show that we on our part are prepared to do our duty-I believe that we are in quite as good a position to hold our own as those who successfilly resisted the invader in the war of 1812. (Hear, hear.) On this point we can take an encouraging lesson from history: When the American colonies which now form the United States gebelled against Great Britain, their populatifn was mot over one or two hundred thousand in excess of the population of the five colonies that are to form our proposed Confederation. (Hear.' 'hear.) At that time they had certainly itwer resources iu every respect than the people of this country now possess, and yet they resisted, and successfully resisted, one of the greatest powers in the world, and wrested from it their independence. Here, in the event of an attack, we are placed in a precisely similar position. One man in this country is equal to three invaders. (Hear, hear:) It hats been demonstrated in the struggle now pending between the North and the South, that on account of the difficulties the eountry attacked presents to the enemy, and the advantages it gives to those defending it; one magh is cqual to three in resisting an invading army. The South-although they have ibeen blockaded. on the sea-cost-although they have had un immense extent of trontier to defend-although they have had the internal weaknes of four millions of slaves to contend withand although the white population is little more than that now pusiessed by the provin. ces which are to form this' Confederation: have nevertheless resisted for four years-1 may say successfully-all the power andinfluence and available resources which the United States have been able to bring rgainst thein. (Hear hear.) I sincerely trust and pray, and it strould be the desire of every true Canadian, that we may continut in peace, but to say that it is inyossible for us to cuntend uguinst a" force that may: be brought agaiust as, is to say that from which If for "ove must dissent. (Hear, hear.) Now, sir, I believé that in a commercial, agricultural, and defen. stre point of view, the union would be desirable. Placed as, we ure nuw, with the ibrogation of the Reciprocity treaty threaticned, does it not become our duty, 1 ask, to make some effort to change and improve our condidition? As I stated, sir, the subject has been so ably placed before this House by hon. orable geatlemen who have preceded me, anil who are so much more capable of dealing with it than I am, that I will not attempt to re-
peat the arguments in favor of this seheme, commcrially, 'financially, and politically', which have already been adduced. But there are one or two points as to the resources of the whole of British North America, to which I'would for a moment invite the attention of the House. "The union is desirable with a view to the development of our mineral resources. In British Columbia and Vancou'ver's Island the gold fields equal', if they do uot exceed in value, those of any other part of the world. ". Iron we have in that vast "extent of country lying between the Rocky Mountains and Lake Superior; a country equal if not superior for the parposes of "settlement and cultivation to any we have in Canada, and whose area is estimated at from eighty to one hundred million" acres. Then; again, we have magnificeat iron and copper mines in Canada, while the Lower Provinces possess vast minerad resources, estensiie coal telds, and valuable fisheries. We have all the natural wealth to make us a great people if we pursué a course to develope it. (Hear, hear.) To illustrate my argument, I will neation some of the tigures showing the resources of the different countries adjacent to and forming part of that great district, with an "identity of interest: (Hear, hear.) In Vevala, in 1860, the population was 6,837 , and in 1863, 60,000. About eleven millions of dollars have been invested in the spening up of roads and iu, other impr vements; and the resources of the conatry in 1863 amounted to $\$ 15,000,000$. Victoria, in Australia, in 1861, had a population of $240,32,2$, and they have constructed 350 miles of railway. The reveaue was $\$ 15,000,000$, and they have their magniticut cities and splendid homesteads, with every confort and luxury. In Etab, where perhups there are many difticulties to retard the growth of the country, we find that in 1860 the population was $41,000-$ an increase in ten years of eot per entht. : The value of property in 1800 was $\$ 986,000$, and ted yeurs atterwards, in 1sbio, it was tive and a half millions-an increase in this perive of tow per cent. Iron and eopper mines have been nore develuped in that territory, tha gold, although they possess gold as well. In 1stot the pupulation was entimated at 75,000 . Colorado hay a population of 60,000 , and the production af gold in - 18tiot, was titteen milhons wi dollars. Agriculture also: is betug rapidly developed. I wished to mention these faots a show what we may look forward to if we carry out this union hovestly. and fairly; as I believe the Gor
ernment intend to carry it out; not simply" a union with the Maritime Provinces, but a union of all the British colonies in America from the Atlantic to the Pacific coast. (Hear. hear.), If 'I felt that honorable gentlemen who have now the control of the public affairs of this country did not intend honestly and faithfully to carry out the union in this sense, and to take measures for the openingup of the great North-West territory, for the enlargenent of our canals, and for the general improvenent of our internal water communieations, I for one would not hesitate to give my voice, and whatever influence I possess, to oppose them. (Hear, hear.) I wish to be underitood that I mention these gold-bearing countries, and countries possessing mincral wealth, to illustrate that we have all that wealth in our own possession if we only develop it. The gold produced from Australia, British Columbia and California during the last six years has been estimated at nearly two thousand millions of dollars. The political divisions of British North America are as follows: Cpper Canada, Lower Canada, Nora Scotia, New Brunswick, Prince Edward Island, Cewfoundland, Vancouver's Island, British Colnmbia, Red River Settlement, and the Hudson Bay Territory.' The combined territory is equal to a square of 1,730 miles, or more than three millions "of square miles. This rast area is peoppled by about four milJins of inhabitants, of whom nearly three millions are contained in the Canadas. That, Mr. Speaker, is what 1 understand to be the contemplated union; that is the union which I understand the Government are pledged to thes Ifouse and to the country to carry out, and 1 s.sy that if I did not believe it was their hoaest intention to carry that union into effeet; I would "aot have the sllyhtest hesitation. in fiving thy vote aftiinst them. (Ilear; hear.) Now, sir, I would allude to British Columbia and its re-ources. British Columbia embraces an area of 213,300 sifuare miles. Its exports in $186^{\circ}$ ambunted to $\$ 9,257,575$, chiefly in gol 1 and furs; and its imports were valued at \$2,200,000: Vancouver's. Iland combraces an area of 16,000 syuare miles, with a population of 11,463 In 1802 its imports amount. ed to $\$ 3,555,000$. The IIudson Bay Territory eutbriee en area of $1,800,000$ square miles, with a population of 200,000 . Now we come to the Lake Superior region, which has been entirvly or aluost entirely neglected by the people of Canada, whilst our neighbers on the American side, more energetic and more enterprising I must confess than we have been
have built up an immense trade. In 1863 the amount of capital employed to work the mines on the American side was $\$ 6,000,000$. The amount of copper produced in 1863 was nine thousand tons, and of iron a hundred and eighty-five thousand tons. The total exports were $\$ 10,000,000$, and the imports $\$ 12,000,000$. But whilst this vast trade has been produced on the American side, little or no attention has been given by the people of Canada to the mineral section on our side, and I riention these figures to show what wealth' we possess still in an undeveloped state. (Hear, hear-) Mr. Speaker, I regret that $I$ am not able to place my views so clearIy before the House as other honorable gentlemen who have addressed it. I regret that on this occasion, not having intended to speak to-night, I have not been able to in terest the House more than I have done. (Cries of "Go on.") But. I think that what should occupy the attention of this House, and of the feople of the country, is the practical consideration of the question now under discussion. (Hear, hear.) Sir, the resources of Canada it is ungecessary for me to allude to. They are well known to every member of this House. But it has been said, in reference to those of the Lower Provinces, that the people will not bring into the union a reasonable proportion of wealth. Mr. Speaker, it has been stated that they have nothing to bring us but fish and coal. I beliete that their resources will compare favorably with those of this province or of the United States. (Hear, hear:) The revenue of Dew Brunswick in 1850 was $\$ 416,348$; in $1860,1, \$ 33,324$; and in $1862, \$ 692,2: 30$. Now, sir. I thiak that thencfigures will show that Nes Branswick was inereasing in an equal, if not: greater, ratio than this country. Boing isolated from this province, being al: nost entire strangers, and bavigy little or no nuturcourse with each other, we find that nearly all tho trade has gone to a foreign country The trade in 185 . whe with Cis-nath-impirts, $\$ 191,5 j 2 ;$ exports, 848.690. Nova Seotia-imports, $\$ 801,65$; ; exports, $83+1,02$ : Prince Edward Island-imports, $\$ 82 ; 2+0$; exports, $\$ 80.93: 2$. Newfoundlandexports, $\$ 11,8 \mathbf{N}_{5}:$ United Stater - imports, \$2,060,70:; er yorts; $\$ \mathbf{8 9 , 1 1 6 . ~ U u d e r ~ t h e ~}$ union, Canada might expect to get the trade of all these proviaces. The trade with Canada is aluost dintirely in flour, shipped through the United States to these proviuces the arricultural products of New Brunswick in 1851 and 1861 were as fol-
lows :-W Weat, 185 $\mid, 206.635 ; 1861,279$, 77.8 . Barley, 1851, 74,300; 1861, $94,679$. Oats, 18all, 1,411,164; 1861, 2,656,883. Bückwheat, 1851, 689, 004 ; 1861, 904,321 . Maize, 1851. 62,225; 1861; 17,420. Peas, 1851, 42,663; 1861, 5,2 ̌. . Hay, 1851, $2 \approx 5,083$ tons; 1861,$324 ; 160$ tons. Turnips, 1851, 539,$803 ;$ 1861, 634,360 . Potatoes, 1851, 2,792,394; 1861, $4,011,339$. Butter, 1851, $3,050,939$ lbs.; 156.1, $4.591,477$ lbs. Horses, 1851, 22, 444 ; 1861, 35,830 . Meat Cattle, 1851 1, 157,$218 ; 1861,92,025$. Sheep, 1851, 168,038 ; $1861.214,096$. Swipe, 1851 , 47,$932 ; 1861,74,057$. The area of New Brunswick is $27,710+$ square miles, or 17, , 600,000 acres, of which 14,000, ne 0 acres are Git for profitable cultivation. Prince Edward Island émbraces an area of 2,131 square miles, or $1,365.400$ acres. Its population has been increaining steadily". In 1798 it was 5,000 ; in $1833,32,292$, in 1841,47034 ; in 1851, 55,000 ; in 1861, $80.55 \%$. In 1860, its imports amounted to $\$ 1,150,270$; in 1861, $81,049,675$; and in $1862, \$ 1,056,200$. The exports in 18 til amounted to $\$ 1$ eing $\because 20 ; 1861 \$ 1,085,750 ; 1862, \$ 1 ; 162,215$. The agricultural products in 1860 wereWheat, $3+6,1 \geq 5$ miniot ; balley, $22 \cdot, 195$; oatm, 2,218,578; buckwheat, 50,127 ; potatues, 2,972,:35́; turnips, 34,784 ; hay. 31,100 tons; hor-es, 18,765; meat cathe, 60,015 ; sheep, $107, \therefore 42$; hogs, $71,5 \cdot 5:$ The area of Newfundland is to, 1 square miles, or 25 ,728 , who acres In 1857 thetotal number of iuhakitants was $119,30 t$. $14186^{\circ} 2$ iss trade - was as tolluws: With Catuada, imports, $\$ 50$,448 exports, $\$ 19,001$; Nuza Scotha, inplorts, ' $\$ 90,596$, expurts, $\$ 37,019$; New bruns: wick, imports, \$2,351-; Prince .Edwari Island, inpurts, $\$ 11,7: 0$, exports $\$ 1009$; United States imports, $34 \overline{2}, 797$, exports, $\$ 47,729$. The total imports in 1850
 862 ; in $1859, \cdots 1 ; 3+136 ;$ in 1860, £1,254,12S ; in 1861, $£ 1,152.837$; in 1812, fl,007,082. The total exports were, ic 1857, $£ 1,651,17.1$, in $: 1855$, "1,318 836 ; in 1859, $£ 1,357113$; in $1 \times 60, \boldsymbol{e}_{1,21,712 ;}^{2}$ in 1861, e1;090,55l; and in $1 \& f \because ?$ 723. The priacipal export is tish." Nova Scotia is 350 miles in leugth by 100 miles. in breadth. Its population in 1838 was - 199,025; in 1851, 276,117; and in' 1861, 330,857. The revenue in 1852 was 8483 ,"52s'; experditure, $8483,895{ }^{\circ}$; imports, \$5,970, 077 , exports, $84,853,903$. - In 1862, the revenue was $81,127,298$; expenditure, 81,009,701 ;-imports, 86,198,553; exports,
$\$ 5,646,961$. The agricultaral prodects of 1851 and 1861 were as follows :Wheat, 1851, ${ }^{-297,159 ; ~ 1861, ~ 312,081 . ~}$ Warley, 1851, 196,007; 1861, 269,578. Oats, 1851, 1,384,437; 1861, 1,978,137. Buckwheat, $1851,170,301 ; 1861 ; 195,340$. Naize, 1851, 37,475; 1861, 15,592." Peas, 1851, 21,638 ; 1861, 21,335 . Rye, 1861, 61,438; 1861, 59,706. Hay, 1851 ; 287,837 tons; 1861, 334,287. Turnips, 1851, 167,125; 1861, 554,318. Putatoes, 1851, 1,986,759; $1861,3,8.4,86 \frac{1}{t}_{t_{0}^{\prime}}$ Butter, $1851,3,618,890$ lbs. ; 1861, 4,532;711 C Cheese, 1851, $652,069 \mathrm{lbs} . ; 1861,901,296$. Horses, 1801 , 8,789 ; 1861, 41,927 . Meat càtle, 1851, $243 ; 713$; : 1861, 151,793. Sheep, $\because 1851$, 282,$180 ; 1861,332,653$. Swine, 1851, 51,533; 1861, 53,217. Coal, 1851, 83,421 tons ; 1861, 326,429. I merely allude to these figures to show hon. gentleaen that these colonies have other and very valuable resources besides those which have been stated by some members, namely, fish and coal. -(Hear, hear.) It was stated by the honorable member for North Ontario (Mr: M. G. Cameron)-and I thiuk ingeniously stated-thst this union would produce an enormous'increase of taxation on the people of Cabada; that the partnership would be a" very uoprofitablē "one to us. Now I think he failed to make a point op that. It has been shown that we enter into this union with a debt of twentytive dollars a Head, and that the Lower Provinces, instead of bringing a load uno us by coung into the partinership, occupya decidedly favurable position with regard to this country. (Hear, hear.) : The hon member for North Ontario also stated that the union of the provinces would involveithis eountry in a great local debt,' a statement which I think is also erroneous. $H_{e}$ is favorable to a union, but would prefer a legislative one. But does he pretend to say that such a unious would texd less to the swamping of Upper Canada, which he fears under the Coufederation? His, financial argument, that ưr "lebt and our taxation" would increase, has failed, except thus far; that the machinery of the Government may be too expensive. If the present: Governnect tail to discharge their duty and adopt an unduly expensive machinery, it is by that means alone that an increased expenditure can arise. It doess not depend on the fact of the. union; it rests entirely on this, whether this union is carried out fairly and proporly. (Hear, hear.) The neat point is the construg-
tion of the Intercolonial Railway, and to that the hon member for North Ontario is favoratle, except that he would rather see it built without the union than with it, because the union will add so much to the expenses of the 'country.' In reference to that, the increase of the expenditure will depend entirely ous the hon gentlemen who have now the chaxge of the government of the country. If they are"extratagant; if they have a governor - vith a retinue, aud for each of the provinces an expensive staff, and all the appliances of ofoyalty, then T'believe that the union would add greatly to the expenses of the country. 'But I do not understand that'such is their "ijinion. I' believe their desire is-and I am satisfied that it they have nut this desire the people will require it of them-that it Shali be conducted on principles of écononiy, and in such a manner that increased taxation will not necessarily be the result. (Hear, "hear.). Now, sir, in reference to this great country which I have briefly adserted to, I wish it to be distinctly understood by the members of the Goverument that If one support them on this understanding, and on this understanding poly-that the union of the provinces and the construction of the Intercolonial Railway; the opening up of the 'Sorth-West' and the entargement of our - canals, shall be considered part of this sebeme, with a view to developing our great 'natural resources and placing this country in' a prominent position; not only as a colony but as a community; that will command the: respect of natiogs. (Hear, hear) We must hare these promises respecting the NorthWest and the canals fairly carried out, and not be placed in such a position that after The lotercolonial lailway shall have been coustructed, there will be a combination of castera interests to prevent the accomplinhment of these wither works and swamp the "great North-Whest. It there is' to bo a doubt upin that point, I for one, without any "hesitation, fill state that I will not support a scheme that will iduit of it. (Hear, hear.). 1 am most decidedly opposed to the Intercolonial Hailway as a commercial undertaking. I beli, ve it never can be made a profitable commereial work. But this I do believe, that situated as we are, with the prubability of being shut out from the markets of the United States by the abrogation. of the Reciprocity treaty-or being restricted in our commercial intercourse with the world by the repeal of the bouding system-of being crippled by every step the Americans may
take with the view of forcing us into closer political relations with them, it is our duty for purposes of self-defence, and with a view of placing ourselves in an indepeudent posi-" tion and having our resources developed, fairly, properly and honestly to carry out this sechene with the construction of the 'Intercolonial Railwas as paṛt of it. Asa commercial work, I have looked into it in all its bearings, and have failed to see the advantages it will confer. The farmers of the grain-producing districts of Upper Canada have the sawe market to sell their surplus products as the tarmers of the States, that is, the English market: Now I think it is inpossible to show that the produce of Upper Cauada can be conveyed by this Intercolonial Railmay to the seaboard, and thence to Tiverpool, as profitably as the Americans can carry it to the seaboard at Ner York and thence to the English mar: ket.: 'If by the one route the grain canhot be carried as cheaply as by the other, it" is impossible for the Ganadian farmer or merchant to be placed in as god a position as the American : But if, having constructed the Intercolonial Railway, our Government says," We will compete with the Americans"; we ivill put the rates of trasportation so low as to offer our farmers as cheap a route by it as by the States,", then the cost of this will have to be borne by the peonle in another way, for the road failing to pay even expenses; the excess of expeuditure will become a charge upón the cunatry for years. View. it then in any light, and the proposed road cannot be made prúticable. But for purposes of defence, and as a means of commubication, if we desire tw be viled with the Lower Provinces and retain our connec: tion with Great Britain, the construction of the road is a Hecessity. (Hear, hear.). I desire, Mr. Spliaker, to state what in my opinion will be some of the commercial results of this union. If the North-West containg land, as I belieye it does, equal to. almost any on this contineat, it should be placed in precisely, the same position as regards Canada that the Western States occupy in relation to the Eastern. Helieve we should endearor to develope a great graim produciug district; for whatever may be said, there is not any appreciable quantity of grain-producing land in the nands of the Governineat not oive nader cultivation in Canada, for the benefit of our increasiag population. It is a melancholy fact that for the want of
such a country, our youth seek homes in a foreign land, who would rentinander the British flag if homes were open to them there (Hear, hear.) wif ive had that country open to them, sto nothing of the foreign immigration it would attract, it would affurd homes for a large popu'ation from amongst ourselves now absorbed in the Western States. $\therefore$ duain, tre shall have the trade of that country carried through our midst, and profit by the transportation t, the seaboard of the produce of a lind which I look upod as one of the greatest grainproduciug counthies,gn the continent. equal in this respect to any of the fortile stares of the west. (Hear; hear y If we look the the marvellous grow of those states, we may form soue idea of what our North Figest tertitory may beconue, if pruperly developed. "Ia $183^{\prime}$, the whole of that vast country was a wilderness: Now we find its exp ratation of erain, in sudation to the quantities consumed. athounting to 120.200:000 annially. . The population' with a short period has increased from 1,509;000 to upwarels of 9,$000 ; 100$. Whe find it notr, in fact, an empire or itell, posesting all the resourensot wealth that any country conda desire. What the may we hoo expect nur great Noth-West to keeme". If we had it opened uy, (canads woula bro the earriers of its proda e as the Midle sitate are the carriers of the Westera dates, nd the manufacturers of. it, goods as the Easurbsiates are now the manufacturet of the gools eomsumed by the rest. 'We wind occupy towards it precisely the same ponition as the Eastern Statev occupy toward the We mera; the produce of the North-W We wold tind a profitable market anungat us. . while on manufactories would increavesand proper. and we would be pliced entirply adeprodert of the Enited States in our oommeretal relations. (Hiar, hicar) ds we are nuw wi: taated, the C uited States aff rel us market. especially for our coars $r$ grains, which will not Gear the expense of the cransportation They have taken of our prosduce enenty tuillions annually since the Recipröety treaty" was nerotiated: "What trade mast necessarily seek other channoly If 'we can opea up the North-West ; if we enlarge and improve dur inlatit water compuncation-1f we can build up a. Hent of vessely ti ply on our inland waters and owned by this great empire of provinces, then, iustead of being dependeat upon the Cnited States, we would be in a position of
entire independence; we would then bave in oursolvés the substantial elements of progress; and we wull have the ad vantage of loading our vessels at any of our orn ports, and sending them direct to the Lower Provinces, the West Indies, and Europe. Then the Lower Provinces would have a profitable trade with ustin oil, fish and other products, and a large tleet of vessels which would be ceroployed in valuable commerce and increasc the common prosperity of the Whule country Hear, hear The union, if based on correct pripciples and carried out in honesty of purpose, will be for the advan: tage of all; and if oar statesmen approach and finally cunsummate the work as enlightened and patriotic statesmen shonld do, their names will bo handed down in the higtory of the Confederation with honor: (Hear, hear.) If, m the other hard, they fail to carry it out in thin pirit ; if by the union theg entail an enormously increased expen: diture, with extravagane and wild specula. tion, then they will do much to injure the country and check ity prosperity. . There is doubtless room for extravaganee and sprealation in connecti on with this selieme. "The history of vur ralimay shews" buyd a toubt, that a large portion of the ainmense sum expended whe speat in a yery unsatis factory manner- - heor. hear)-and that they mizht have bon ronstructed without cutailing stich a harre todebtedness upon the countriz: athlif, gutded be the experience of the part, the work ow proposed is carried out in "a proper wanner, they wilf deserve the inrititude of the people. (Hear, hear.) In lowing ever the ite of Fianiniin, I found this pasaze, which oecurs to me as Illustratiny a position very nimilar to that in which we are now placed :-
Susonur had itbecome dear to Fraveisy that the French meant war. than tis mind darted to the been meats of resising the attack. The Fruch pawer in Nüth Anerica was vieided by is ingle band, and all their measures were part of yne scheme. The purer oi Eupland, on the contrary, wi- dissipated among matag govern: ments, always imlependent iff one aniother, often a litte jealons, and never too' condial or neigh. bonty. "We: rast unite ur beeverchace:" said Fravklas, is May; 17it. Jast before leavià: home' to attend Congress at Albaiy, he pabished an article of this effect. and appended to it our of those alle gorical wood cuts, If was a picture of it suake cut into as many pieces las there were colonies ; each picce having ap,n it the first leter if the bame of a whon's and under the whole. in' harge letters-m "Join or die "
Mr. Speakea', I believe that our position
is similar at the present time." I believe that it is really the desire, the object and the aim of our veighbors ultimately, whether by force of arms or by the evurse they have recently adopted, to bribik us into the American union. By erippling our resources, by destroying our trade and by threatenitg us with invasion, they hope to brigg about, soonet or later, a feeling of dissatisfaction among the people of Canada and a desire for union. There is no question that, unless we take propersteps, the people of Caeida will become dissatisfied By union with the Lowier Provinces', it is exident that we will be enabled to increase our trade to the amount of five or sis millions of dollary which is of itself a yery strong inducement, aside from the other considerations that . I have alluded tu. I believe there are many members of this Hime in favor of the scheme, but who low upon it as so large aquestion that it nught. they' say, to be sulnuitied to a vote of the peiple. (Hear hear.) Et has been said by several members, and by the honorable gentloman who preceded me-"Shall we take away the rights of the people?" Shall we enter upn a scheme of this importance without atlowing them a voice? Have there been any petitions in favor of this scheme?", (Hear, heaz.) .That would certainly appear an argawent that had great force ; but if we. "take into consideration the effeet of the aritation of any question in this House upon which the people feel strongly, we have a right to ask Why has not a single petition been presentel arinist it?. We have the effect of this question Well illustrated in the introluction, by the honorable member for West Brat, of a railway bill. That question the people of Wetern I'anada have very strong feethuys upon, and I think they have giond reasons firr it. We searcely find that niesisure placed on the records of this House lefore we have petitions fromi- all sections of the west, denounciag the bill as an attact upum the liberties of the people. They fear the power that -it proposes to place tis the lymuls of the Grind Trunk Railway Company: Now, if the people of Canada object to thats great seheme-iind it hás been placed before them in almost every light-the resolutions have been printed in almost every paper in Cinadi-monthy have been given for their con-ideration, and the Thole subject has beetu placed before thein in au elopuent manner by several of the honorable members of the Government- wiby have they not petitioued against it?' The fact that they have not done so shows that they almost
unanimonsly acquiesce in what is being done. Since the Government plediged theriselves to bring down a scheme for Confederation, the subject has been brought before nearly fifty constituencies in Canada, either by elections or by its being subwitted to the consideration of the people by honorable members of this House, and the people of Upper Canada, at least, have in no instance roted disapproval of it. (Cries of "No, no:")

Mr. A: MACKENZIE-At a large and populiar meeting lield in Toronto, a few even-: ings ago, only one man could be found to vote against it,

HoN. Mr BROWN-Since the present Gavernment sas formed; and its policy añnounced, there has not been one election contest in which more or less importance was not attached by one candidate or another to this question: There hase been no fewer than tifty-one constitueucies, or portions of constituencies, appealed to since our policy was placed before the country. and in every instance that policy has beea sustained. " (Hear, hear, and cheers.)

Mr. McGIVERIN-I fiel that I am at perfect liberty to support this measure. Perhaps I was the first to agitate and to lay the yuestion before the people of the west in my own county. I statel to the people that I wais in faror of representation according to population as a pringiple of justice, but that 1 believed that that question could bo settled. and with it all our difficulties coutd be arranged by neans of the laryer project of the union of all the provinces. Many honorable genteruen who oppose' this scheme frecy admit the inportance of sowe change but they have not proposed any substitute that would inprove the scheme. 1 an satisfied that if the question sere: brought before the people of Canada, side issues polition and personal feeling and party questions would enter more largely into its consideration thanFeduation itselt, and that therefore a correct verdict might nut be obtained. I have ea deavored to intorn myself as to the precedents for submitting such a question to the people, and I have failed to find one precedent ga its favor, white I have found several in fasior of the method of dealing with it as proposed by the Governiment. The first I shall take the liberty of readiug is from "Hansard, volume 85, as fullows :-

At the ume sir i. Perl proposed the change mo the wewa! of the corn laws to a Hoase of Com. mons which irad been elected in the interresta of their muintenance, it nas urged that ho : :tould have
advised a dispolution of Parliament betore sub mitting this proposition and that oit was unprecedentedand dingerous for the existing House to deal whit the questiona. Sir R: Peel took hugb groands againh the doctrine. deelaring that, whatever may have beea the circumstances that nay hare taisen place it the eleation, he never would smetion the view that any House of Coms mons is incompatent to entertain a measure which in, metotary for the well-being of the country: He cital in prome of the sumdaess of this min
 tine was, propuocl"at the time of the unton of England and Irelumi; ast it had been at the time. of the univa with mothiad. This, vew had been mạintaind in lecani we:s vehemeatly, but it was not hed by Ir Fox. and only slaghtly hintedrat by Buennos, in rep to whum Mre Pitt de fended the erastationat syovem that Parlament, without ung previses appeal to the people; bad a right to alter the ano ston the thene, to dis: franchise its erestitueputs $0^{\prime}$ 'ssixe iste, others with
 "be a there datn groun exatiphe, a mora, rurely "democratic precedmat, it 1 nasy so say,..than that this Parlamean should be dasidued on the ground of the incumpetency th decole on aty quentron of this nuttere."
I think, sir, that that is" a very strong ariáu thent: and here is an ther, trom volume 35 . pace 85. , of the Parliamentery Nistory of Engliond -

 cotporated whit tarti the une aion os tor Iretind. - and then commene the tirnt sesmont of the Par. fiam nt of the thied Kinitumbeloctug a new Spookn: and bifetwor at she torfatities upual
 whont any prewnes, des, dutum"?
Next, Mr. speaker, I will take a quotation from an emfuent authurity, of we of the most demucratic conntrits in the miprld-a eountry whose peopite boast that nothius can be done without their maction. I refer to the Cnited States of Americe and the work I now cite is Sedownek on ("matititiontal Lier. Speakific of "cases where the Leriflature has sought to diasest itwelf of its real pepwers." he says.-

Effurt, have :wen made in agurall cases, by state leztsiature , to drvert therriselves of the responibity of the ir tonctipas: by submatume statutes, th the pecuple bat these proceediters
 uncongtutumat and invalid. The govermment of the state" in dembesatic, but it is is representatise dremocracy in the lerislature.
I whall make whther extract from the Constetutionnt Hestory" of England, page 316 , "on the anme subject - "-

- Upon this prevaleit disaffection, and the gea-
eral dangers of the established government, was tuand- that measure so frequently arraigned in lace tinienmial parawayts. The ministy deemed it ton perilous for their master, certainly for the unsetyes. to encounter a remeral electina in 1717; hut the arguments adduced tor the alteration," as it 'was. meant to be pequanent, were drawn from its per manent expediency. Nothing tan bo more ex.
 tended by the ituorant that the legislature ex eveded ats righto hy the matiment $;$ or, if that cannot be leraty adranted, that it at F*ast vo lated the trust of the people, and brohe in' upon
the anciont Constitution the anciont Constitution.
Sir/ I think that these are pretty strong precedents on the subject, especially as I find not yne pracedent inr submithime the question to the fople. I for think that we owe and ouglit to pay to the wishesiof the people every deforenee sud if I belfecied that any large portion of the people of Jearern Comada or of the constitncouy which I reprencht. wate in favor of having it submitied ee the cectors. 1 would feel it ay duty to bow to their will and rote for its subruissions. But I am safe in saying that I haye note conyursed with one prominent individual in my, coutry who was not'strongly in favor of the promesed union. I will admit that the political ties th.it bind men together are strong ties, and aproxich to a great exteat to the fecting of triendship, and perhap there is no one yatue the more thin 1 do, but when I mided, at the mectiog of the Liberal party aigedrazo, in brioging atout the present movement, I dillor keliesuge thitit "ras tor the bent iuserests of the countey, and if properly carrierlout maing, of us will tive to see this cuntry bewme pre of the greatest, happiest ad freest on earth, becasuse it possesses all the rewources and all the material for woilth aud prosperity that is find ia any country: Niture Fiss esmotituily given us all she ceuld well give towards ankiug us a great and prosperoas people. (Hear, hear.) Hon orable geaflemen must admit that it is time a change whould be broutht about by sume mevns, for it was a most melanchaty sight to see the two sider ot this Houst ses, eventy bat: anced against each other us they were during the two last ecesions, the meinbery spading: night after night "n useless diecussion on per3onal groundy iontrad of protimtime useful lerislation. . Mr. Speaketh, I far if this course were contimutd for any lencth of time it Would lead to serivus results. There are certain boutods and limits, both to individuals, communities and nations, beyond which they eannot go with safety. I believe we had al.
most arrived at that point in this country. Who would haye thought, a nonth before the attack on Fort Sumter, that a devastating civil war mould have resulted from the angry discussions which took place in the Congress of the Upited States? Up to that time everyone professed to belicve that the hard words bandied to and fro between the representatives of the North and South were were characteristics of the people. And who knows but that the fearful scourge which has overtaken them might not have befallon us, had our sectional discussions continued with increising bitterness and acrimony? These dreadful consequences are happily averted by' the scheme now before us fir reconciling our differences: (Hear, hear') I am one of those alltided to by the honorable member for Hochflay (Hon. Mr. Doniov) as being an Uppet Canada liberil who joined in supportiog. We Macdonald-Sicotre covernment, and Who, in so doing, gave up the demand tor represeatation by population, which had for years agitated the western section of the proVince. Eor my part the feeling I had at the time was this: the Macdonald-Cartier and the Cartier-Macdoyald Goveruments, which had tor years, in different forms, ruled the country; had refused togive us repreentation by population, Our nitural alliès also, the Liberal paity in Lower Cinadi-who, I believe, desired, asd honestly desired, to do the best they could to neet our wishes-in like manuer declared the impossibility of conceding to us thig "principle. Meaushile the Liberal party fruai Upper Conada felt that the country wis in as state of gadncial enbarrass ment, and that an amelioration of her condition aras urgently needed. i change was absolutely necessary. It iwas wisely thought that it was betier to have half a loaf than no bread. But I have filed to see, aụd I yet fill to see, that the Laberal party of Upper C'anada have evergiven up the advoc icy of representation by propulation. We found all porties in Lower Canada - both the Engilish-speabing population and French speakias pópulation $\rightarrow$ refusing to concede to us what we conceived tio be this just and proper principle; and when the opportuaity was ofter dod to us of relieving the country from its diffoulties, we fele that no party considerations or party ties should be allowed to interfery with what we concenved to bo our sacred duty to our constituents and our country. (Hear, hear.) Notwithstanding the high personal teeling I entertain tor the tiberal members from Lower Casad,I caniot hulp sayiag that I think it
was wrong of them to have refused us the concession of the principle for which we had so long contended, and I feel now that we have higher aims and motives than those of a mere partisan character, that we oree a duty to our constituents and the country which should carry greater weight with it than party ties and party feelings. (Hear, hear.) The honorable member for North Ontario (Mr. M. C. Caneron) has made an attack on the President of the Council for having hitherto denounced the construction of the Intercolonial Railway; aid there is po doubt, Mr: Speiker, that if honorable members uow in opposition were desirous of entertilining this House for a-few hours, they could do so with a good deal of effect by reading the past speeches of that honorable yentleman and the articles that have appeared from time to time in his influential paper, the Globe, not only upon this question, but upon many others which have engaged the nttention of the public mind.' But I believe there is no man who felt hore strongly than be did an account of the "difficulties with which the country was surrounded, and all hogorable gentleuce will arree with me when I say that Lam pelsuaded that the Hon. President of the Council did not feign the feeling he manifested in this Hoase wheo he arose and arowed his intention, for the goul of his country, of joiniug with the mea whon he had previously deagunced. (If car, hear.) But did he so act whinut a purpose, without receing arything in return? No. The principlec adeo gated by hint and his party for years was conceded ; and in addition to that, it my opinion, whatever may be the opinion of others-and it is an opinion I have held for years-by adopting the larger scheme we attain the same result 1 ask, then, should "the Hon. President of the Council be denounced now. for the position he has felt it his duty to take ; and, especiully, should he be denounced by the Liberaliparty-by those with whon he has worked all his political life-both in Upper Caniada and in Lover Canada, for taking the course he has taken in common with others, when by so doing he has. .ttained that for which the has been strugryling for years? (Hear, hear:): I belieec that no man can leave his political party,--?an leave that party with which all has political sympathies are identified and with which he has been working for years,-andstep acruss to the other side of the House without deep feeling. And I do believe that the President of the Council experienced
acutely the position he felt it his duty to take at that time. And I can safely say for myself that such is my own feeling in regard to the question now before the IInuse. If this were a question which enuld have been carried by the Liberal party of Upper and Lower Canada without their coalescing with the conservatives, I should feel more happy in my polition than $I$ do now. But to revire the old feeling and asscciations to return to the criminations and recriminations, to revet once more to the bitter attacks we have he:rit in this chamber, could not be justified. fir a moment, And the Liberal party wisely cme to the understanding that, pending the eetlleweut of this 'puestion, they would let by roves be by gones. I earnestly hope that this sheme will be carried out without politie, al acrimnoy or personal feeling: "Whatcier may be its result hereafter, time alone sill determine. But as a Canadian, I feel -and the views I have entertained for mony years ouly strengthen that feeling - that whitever my pertonal feelings may be, it is my duty to aid to the extent of my ability in the consumation of this": great project. (cheers.)" It has beer said that information nill be brought down relative to the constitution of the legal legislatures "Well, per'haps that may accord with the siews of this House. But it would have beed more satis factory to me could the scheme have been. . hrought duwn while we are diseussigh the resalutions now before the House. If, however, the Gorernment herve put matured that scheme, or if they' feel it iss to the public in terest that it whould not bresbmitted at this time, on them mast rest the responisibility In yoting for these resolutions, $\overline{\text { E }}$ am simply voting to affirm the principle of Cunfedernthim of the prosinces; and it the propositions which shall hereafter be brought dow for the formation of the local woyernments and Leesislatures are not satisfactory to tue: if I concewe them to be unjust in principle or op posed to public intereste and policy. I shall leel myself at pirfect liberty to vote apainst them. (Hear, hear:) I lowk upon the two abd distinct propositions:

Mon. Mr. BROWN-Mat, hear.
Mr: MdglvERIN - There are many things it these resolutions I would like to see eliminated, but where there were so many parties to the contract or partnenship, and where there were so many contending views to harmonise and interests to serve, I believe it. was utterly impossible for each province to get just what it wanted. We have the best.
evidence of this fact from the peculiar views taken by the non-content in the Lower Provinces at this time. "They say they are geing into this union with Canada, which is a bankrupt province, and that they sill be ruined by the connection.: And we heard only a day or tro ago the strange idea expressed that the Intercolonial Railway was opposed to the true interests' of Lower Canada, but from an Upper Canadian stand point it was just the thing that is wanted. (Laughter.) We find a section of the people in Lower Cinada opposing the work on, the ground that it will tend to destroy their lanvuage and nationality; and we find also the British element in Lower Canada complain that in the arrangement for the Local Liegislature their rights and privileges will be sirept, aray (Hear, hear.) On the other hand, Ipper Canadians are opposing the scheme as iujurious to their true interests. and asserting that the financial difficulties likely to arise under it will be detrimental to the welfare of the west ; so that where there is such idreat diversity of opinion, it was impossible to mature a scheme which should be in all respects perfect and satisfactory. "No doubt Upper Canada has some catase to complain. For instance, the eighty cents per head for carrying on the local governments appears unfair in principle to Cper Chnada, and as such they hate reason to feel dissatiosfied. This apportionment is on the present basis of population, and whatever may be the increase im numbers of the wgtarn section of the province, if even we increase during the nexte ten jears in the satie ratio that we have been increasios for the past ten years, , if we double our population we shall still ouly get the eighty eents per head for the present population. There is no doubt this is an object ionable feature.

Hos. Mr. BRUWN-Will my honorable frieni allow me to assure him that he is slightly in errior, and to show him how he 'is so? Supposing we incresse in population, the other provinces will increase also, and the only unfairness that could possibly exist in the cuse supposed would be in so tar as the puppulátion of Upper Canada was relatively greater than that of the other provinces.

Hon Ma. HOLTON-It is a mater of ratio.

How. Mr. BHOWN-Yes, it is simply a question of ratio. My honorable friend will see how the principle works. At the rate we are proceeding now, some $2 \frac{1}{2}, 3$, or $\&$ per cent., it would take a great many years before
any injustice to Upper Canada eould arise. And then my honorable friend will see how it is to be distributed afterwards in the way of population, so that although there might be a little loss in the first instance, there would be an immense gain in the end.
Mi. MeGIVERIN-I am glad "to hear all these' explanations. As 1 said before, İ wish for the fulleat and freeest discussion. I may not have made myself aequainted with all the details of the scheme, and a question, of this inportance ought to be discussed in all its bearings' . This is a point, however, which did ocecur to me as objectionable. Then the imposition of an export duty in regard to the productions of some of the proxinces, appears to me to be oontrary to the true principles of government. But it is said that this has been imposed simply in the way of a stumpaye. (Hear hear.) There are, no doubty, various objections' which may be brought against these resolations. There are grounds coough for honorable gentlementin the opposition to make excellent sperehes against them. But what I would wish to impress upon the House is this, that we should approach this subject in a spirit. of candor, honestly desiring to meet the question tuirly ia all its bearings. The suestion is simply this, Shall we vote for these resolu-. tions, notwithstanding their imperfections? I treely admit that, in my view, there are imperfections in the scheme. But shall we, on that account, take the responsibility of "throwing out the resolutions? That, I think, is the question we have to consider. Honorable gentlemen may differ from ne, but I teel that the pdvantages of the contemplated union are such, that notwithstanding the objectionable features in the scheme, I would not be doitig my duty to my constituents, I woald not be discharging the duty I owe to my country, were I to vote against it, and this lend iny influence to preveint the consammation of that uniou. (Hear, hear.) I thank the House tor the iadulgence accorded to me, and I only add this, in conclusion, that I would Ask' evury honorable gentleman, in considering this scheme, to look at it in all its possible bearings, free from personal or party prejudiees ; to look at the position, we occapy and have occupied for years' past in thís country ; to look at the wretehed spectacle we presented heres, night after night, when placed in antagonisu to each other by our sectional feelings and jealousies; and to say whether it is possible that. we can be placed in a worse or more bumiliating position than that which we have
occupied hitherto on account of those sectional antagonisms. Let honorable gentlemen eon: sider the matter in a proper spirit, desiring to take that course which is for the best interests of the country. If the principle of this union is wrong, the scheme should be rejected; if; on the other hand, it is right, it deserves ouv support.' And as yet I have not heard one honorable member of this Ilouse declare himself opposed to the principle of union, The objections have been only to details. ' And I do say that when honorable gentlemen oppose. a scheme of this sort, while admitting that they are favorable to a union of all the provinces, they ought to propose their own scheme, and submit it to the House for its. approval or rejection. (Cheers.)

MR. DUNKIN then maved that the debate be adjourned.

Hon. Mr. HOLISON in seconding the motion for the adjournment of the debate, ssil-I am sure the Ionse has listened with very great pleasure to the speech of my honorable friend the member fir Lincoln (Mr. Mc(iverin). I certainly adid. It is true that, towards its conclusion, he halted somewhat in his logic. Still, on the whole, it was an able and spirited speech.: (Hear, hear.) But there is one point to which:I desire to eall the attention of honorable gentlemen opprosite, issarising out of the speech of my honorable friend, and, as bearing on the future course of this debate, it is a matter of very great importinee. He "said that he should oppose this seheme-that he should vote agrainst this preposition-unless he had the distinct assurame of the Government that the enlargenent of our canals and the opening of the North-West territory should proced pari passis with the construction of the Intercolonial Railroad: I ask him whether I have stated his position correctly

Mr. McGIVERIN-I will éxplain-
Hon. Mr. HOLTON-I ivant no explana: tions., I want him merely to say whether I have reudered him correctly or not. If I have incorreatly represented him, lie will say so. I am quite sure I have not. While he vas makiag that statement I emphasized it itr the ustal parliamentary, way, and the P'resident of the Council (Hon. Mr. Brown) emphasized it also, giving his assent to it, as I understood. Now, I think it is of the last importance that we should understand distinctly whether the Government do really take that view of the matter; whether my honorable friend correctly stated the position of the Government in that respect; and whether the
"Hear, hear" of my honorable friend the President of the Council was to be understood as implying the assent of the Government to that proposition.
Mr. MoGIVERIN - If my hon friend will allow me monent to answer his question, it may save a grod deal of discussion. . What I said was this-that if I believed that the Government would not honestly and faithfully earry out their pledges' with regard to the opening of the North-West and the enlargement of the canals, the improvement of our internal and water communications; it I beliesed they did not honestly and sincerely intend tis carry out those measures, I would oppose them.

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-" Hand in hand" was the expression usied. (Cries of "No, no!!" "Yes, yes!")

Hos. Mr BROWV-I apprehend my hoarorabe ficio thern tive in perfectly underslood what hie was peaking about. What he said was this-that he understood the Government were Heds d, as portion of their policy, to the enlur zunent of the canals and the openiog ap of the North-West, as well as the construction of the Intercolonial Railway, and that he believed we were sincere in the earuest determination to go on with all those works at the earliast possible moment. He wats purfectly correcto in making that statement. The (rovernment are pledged to that. If my honor, ble triead has any doubt about it; he will find it there in the conditions of agreement cume ts by the Conference. ' And I apprehend it will be found that my honorable friead is not in the slightest degree more earnest in his desire to proumote those impruzements than are my colleggues: who sit beside me, from Lower as well as Upper Canada.(Hear, hear.)

Mon. Mix. Holton and Mr. Bellerose rising almost simultaneously,

Mr.sPEAKER stated that Mr BenleHose hid tirse caunht his eye.

Mr. BEMLEROSE-IT. Spaker, bofore I give my vote or the great question which nus euguges tho atteition of this huaorabie Inouse, 1 consider it a daty to my constiturents and aiso to myself that Irshould say a few words on this impothat measure, and reply to soure of the arguments put forth by the bouprathe hamers of oppositionargumenta specions in "中pesrance, but in reality futile an |. uarmethy of emsider tion.
 Which have threatened nor sone yeary phe to bring the wheels of governmeut to a dead-
lock, to relate the history of all the erises through which the various administrations which have succeeded each other have passed ${ }_{i}$ to recall to your minds the state of anarchy which has for some time threatened to render all legistation impossible, it would be a waste of time and troable, as on all sides there is but one opinion, acknowled ying the lamentable position of the province, and the urgent neces: sity of fuiding a remedy for the evils which beset the future of our country. "It was, Mr. Speaker, in obedience to the voice of a whole people ellining on the patriotism of their statesmen, conjuring thein to seek out some remedy for the cruel distemper which pervades the body politic and threatens it with dissolution, that the members of the adninistration forgetting the past, burying in oblivion all former disagrecuents, united together to search for the grand renuedy, the value of which me are now to discuss. Those hooorablo gentle. nen have deserved wel! of their country; and I am glad that 1 can avail myself of the present occasion to offer them my thanks and m'y congratulations for the adtuirable and noble seatiments of patriotism of which they have given proofs-proots well understood by the people, and certilin to be repaid by their ápplause. I have already taken occision, at the eommencement of the session, to express my views of the general scheme of Confeder ation which the Government has presented for the considerition of this Mouse. I declared, Mr. Spensen; that I felt not the least hesitation in declarios myselt farorable to the union, but that I could have wished, were it practicable; that certain of the resolutions might be aneaded. : It would bo uselés, therefore, to repeat what 1 said on this theafl. and I proceed to esamine the arguments of the oppinents of the plan: ' It has been sutid -the honorable nember for Hochelaisa hiss said; I believe-that: the people had had no oppartunity of expressing their opitions on this important incisure. "If we look b ach it the occurreuces of the last sis moath;, when wo look at all that has been sad aud done in that time, and fecollect all the fibechoeds and deceptions ustered-and attempted to. be inposed on the prople by the enemites of the measure, we motit arrive at a very different conclusion from that of the honorable urember for Hocheliga and his friends. The last session was hardly well concluded when the appsae: ts of the prescat Government took the thed, in to discuss in a frank and loyal gpinit the promise, miste by the Arminiseration that they intended to seek in the Federation of the

Canadas, or of all the provinces of British North America, a remedy for all our sectional difficulties, but, on the contrary, with a steadfast resolution to labor with all their might to crush' the Coalition'. 'Sueh was their design', and their works hive been consistent. What indeed bav̌e we since beheld? Men who for years pist have devoted their pen to the unliallowed work of undermining the Catholic religion and vilifying its ministers, who have long aimed at destroying in the minds of French-Canadians all love for their peculiar institations-the safegairds of our nationulity; men who more reecenty pronulgated disertitions "on rationalism which our prélates have condemped; these men we have seen, professing to be suddenly struck and animated with flowing zeal in favor of our institutions; our religion and our clergy, tuhe the field, and uninvited by any, canvass the country, descending to entreat all who lored their nation ility to join them in their crusade; an 1 representing to them that those who gave in to the plans of the Guvernineat ivould be aceessories to the anithilation of their religion, the raurder of their good pastors, and the ruin of the people themselves by the load of tares which would be laid on them. They conjured them to lose no time in protesting agunst this dreadrul scheime of Con federation, which was sure to ruin and destroy them. Have we not seen, moreoter, a press, conducted by a spirit of unbridled license, cutling itself the protector of the people, seattering insults and abuse on the heads of the menibers of the existing Government, calumniating some and holding up all as objects of conteonpt; representing the Lower Canadian uembers of it as ready to sell their country for tilthy luere, for the fruits of office, publishing violent diatribes condematory of Coufederation, falsely purportiog to be writton by nembers of the clergy, se., employing, in short, all means to escite the prejudices of the peopie agninist the sehcme of the Government; and what has been the result? The peoplo listened to them, but were so far from answering: to the appeal made to them, that up to this time hardiy any petitions have been presepted to this House ugainst the plan of Coufederation. Sow, if the Opposition have not been able to conviace the poople that these constitutional changes are prejudicial to Loveer Canada, when they discussed the subject without contradiction in their own way, will they find better success when the friends of the caunso are at hand to refute their arga'ments and to shevs up what kind of patriot-
ism is theirs? I think not. I may then safely assume that the people have had the opportunity of pronouncing against the project; but have refused to do so; and the honorable member for Hochelaga is : mistaken when he declares that an appeal to the country is necessary in order to ascertain the opinion of the public concerning it.-: Year by year that honorable gentleman complains that our election laws are defective; that money prevails to the prejudice of merit in our election con tests. How ean he then demand that so momentous a question as this of the union of the provinces should undergo the ordeal of a pop ular vote, without any other view than that of involving the country in trouble and expense to the extent of several hundred thousand dollars? I, for my part, Mr. Speaker; am "opposed to an appeal to the people. Every member has had time to consult the opinion of his constituents at leisure, and aloof from the turmoil and agitation incidental' to an election. In this way, when the project submitted by the Government shall have undergone the ordeal of a vote of this Honorable House, we shall have the satisfaction of saying with truth - "So would public opiuion have it to be.". It is true the honorable mem ber for Hochelaga tells us that in all the counties in which meetings häve been held, the people have given their voices againat Confederation. To this assertion I have no need to make any answer. All the honorable members of this House are well aware of the means uased by the opponents of Confederation to procure the passing of resolutions to ther liking at neetings gencrallys representing small, nay very small, minorities of the electors ; and to cite only one example, I shall take the case of the county of Hochelaga, in which the votes are about 2,400 in number. The friends of the honorable member for that county, without any previous notice, proceeded on a certuin Sunday in the mouth of January last to ovie of the pirishes of that county, being that of Sault-au-Mécollet, which contains about three hundred voters. Thoro they thundered out their anathemas against Contederation, as being subversive of religion, jutended to erush the cleryy, and ruin the people, tinishing with an appual to the patriótisun of their audience and entreaties that they would raise their voices against so objectionable a measure Nest day we räd in the opposition papers: "In the county of Hochelaga, Confederation was ansuinously condemned by both parties on Sunday last, at Sault-au-Kécollet." The honorable gen-
tleman (Hon. Mr. Dorion) has told us that the meeting of the county of Laval, which was held before the session, had been scarcely advertised, and that I had not ventured to put the question of Confederation on its trial. I beg to remark, sir, that the honorable member is not candid in making this assertion, and is ignorant of what did really occur. The meeting of the county of Laval was announced at the doors of the several churches in the county'; afterwards an influential person in each parish, after mass on the feast of the Epiphany, urged the electors, one and all, to attend the important meeting at which the question of Confederation was to be taken into consideration. The opponents of the measure were invited to meet me, as I can sufficiently prove in due time and place, but their hearts failed them-none came. At that meeting, composed of a majority of my constituents, I stated at great length all that the opponents of the project had to say against it, and the reasons which its friends and advocates had to advance in its favor. I then asked to be informed of the views of the electors. They desired me to give my own on the subject. I declared that unless the sense of the county was opposed to the measure. I was inclined togive it my support. This declaration was followed by an unani-mous vote; approving of my conduct in Parhiament, aid declaring that having full contidence in me, they left me at full liberty to vote according to my conscience on this great. méasure. let the hon. member deny this if he: cat. The hon member (Hon: Mr. Doidun) hag stated" that it wannot right to cliange the Constitution without an appeal to the decision of the people: $\because$ As a complete answer to that alasertion I shall quote the words spaken by the honorable gentleman on the \%nd February, 1859-." If he (IIon. Mr. Dorion) had remained in power, he would have proposed a me:sure for the seth ment of the representat tion question, and would have subuited it to the decision af the House," se., de. "Has not the honorable member changed his opiuions? When a member of the Government in $15 \overline{5} 8$, he did not admit that the people had the right to be consulted on the constitutional changes he wished to propose; but as a Leader of the Opposition, in 1865, he refuses to the Legis Luture the right of effecting such changes without an appeal to the people: Temperre mutututur et nos. muthimut in illis. What a coutridiction! Such is the effect of party spirit. The honorable nember for Hochelaga says, "that he had been accused of having
been in fivor of a Confederation of all the provinces of British North America, but he peremptorily denied the truth of that statement ; on the contrary, he had always opposed that union as a ineasure calculated to bring us into trouble and to .create èmbarrassment." Mr. Speakeb,' either the honorable gentleman's logic or else his' sincerity is at fault. Let us examine: On reading over the specehes cited by hiniself in support of his denial, what do I find ? ${ }^{68}$ A time will perhaps come when the Confederation of all the provinces will be necessary, but I am not in favor of it at this moment." Vurther on I find: "I trust" the time will come when it will be desirable for the Canadas to unite federatively with the Lower Provinces,' but the time has not yet arrived for such a mea-sure."-(Speech of 3rd May, 1860.) Now what is the conclusion, the only logical con clusion to be deduced from the honorible member 's words? Nune other than the following: that in all these instances he declared himself in tavor of a Confederation of all the provinces, sooner or later. The honorable meniber therefore deceived, his electors when he said to them in his manifesto of the 7 th Navenber last: $\because$ Every time I have had an opportunity I have invaribly expresied myself opposed to any union, whether Lecrislative or Federal, with the Matime Puyinces." He wished, thereture, to mislead thas House, when in hisspeech at the commence: meat of this debate he attempted to show that he had been rrongitully aceused on that point, and that the' expressions he had used had been tortured into every shapee in order to establish the attaeky miade upoin him.s. In the political- letter of the honarable nember to his constituents, to which I alluded a matnent ago, I tind the following words: " The proposed union appears to ue to be prema ture," If the words have any meaning at all, do they not prove that the honorable member admitted the necessity of sucli a union sooner or later? The honorable member was therefore not sincere when he wrote to his electors that he was always opposed to the Confederation of the provinces of Brit: ish North Americ... (Hear.) The homorable gentieman stated ${ }^{4}$ that the could not understand how Contederation could incriase our means of defence, ***** that if the union brought any advantage in that respect. the Maritime Provinces and not Canada would. reap the benetit." If the honorable member had taken the trouble to stiudy the question, I think he would have arrived at a ditierent
conclusione Suppose that peace were established amongst our neighbors, and that the qoverument of the United States decided to effect the conquest of the British colonies, does the houorable nember think it would be dificicalt for the armies of the great republic to enter the Provinee of New Brunswick and coniquer it, and to continue their triamphal tiaich through Nota Scotia; Prince Edward Itland and Newfoaralland? And what would the honorable , member think of our position ift. in order to find neans of communicating with the rest of the world, we were compelled to solicit the perinission of our powerful ukighbors? I Iakk lime whether, if these conyuests were made, Canada would not find herself in a more critical position than she is tinday? Our position would no longer be tenable, and despite our repugnance for a upion with the neighboring States, we should tind vurselves so placed that there would reIniin to us no alternative but union with the Tuited States. To defeid the Maritine Provinces, therefiore, is to defend Canada ; in protect them against invasion is, therefore, th protect Clanada, to increase our own power und" stength, and to augiment our means of defence, viewing things in this light, what misters it that in proportion to our population the greater share of the expenditure to be tudeliginge by the Federal Gonernuent for Enerd defence must 'be net by Cand?, iner ull that expenditure will benefit 2 s , sud since it is essentially necessary for our Adente. (Applanse:) The honorable meinhwi will, perhancs, reply that all the proviness might cyoue to an, understanding and bind, themeeves towards one anothor (tur these critien times, and that there would then by no necessity for the proposed muso. Mr. Spraker, the honorable member know, and every one aequainted I. I"do not ayy with the art of defenee, but with the nuere, elements of that art which coumon shase tself sugkests, kuows that the first priticipie, the tundamental principle of that art is unity of authority, unity of action; and if any humurable member doubt the uecesssity of this, tet hum peruse the history of the neigliboring rupublie and he wil there see the sad evils revulting, from want of unity. "'The proposed chaugets are not at all necessary," says the hon. meinber for Hochelyga. I adnat that it was with no little surprise I heard the honorable nember express himself thus, remembering as I did that in every instance he thad expressed the contrary opinion, as 1 shall now prove. In 1858, ou the ith July, he said :-

Ere long it will become impossible to resist the demand of Upper Canada; if representation by: population is not granted now, it will infallibly be carried hereafter, but then without guarantees. for the protection of the French Canadians. The repeat of the union, Federal union, representation by population, or some othery great change must absolutely be carried out, and for my partiI am prepared to examine the question of" representation by population, \&e". I am ready, in like manner, to take into consideration the project of a Confederation of the "provinces, which wouldleave "to each" section the administration "of its local affairs, \&c., Enand tot the General Government the administration of the public lands:
On the 10 th August, 18 ap 8 , addressing the citizens of Montreal; he said:" "We (the Brown-Dorion Government); found "that these difficulties might be smoothed away cither by adopting a Federal union or some other modification of our Constitution based upon representation ${ }^{2}$ by population." In his election address of the 13th August of the same year; he adds: "There was no room" for hesitation and the discussion sonn suggested that by means of constitutional changes, act companied by proper check sul sumrantees; \&e, or by the application of the Federal principle, it was possible to prepare a mieasure which would meet the approval of the major: ity of Upper and of Lower Canada, while adopting population as the basis of representation." On the 2nd February, 1899, in his spect on the diddress, \&e., the honorable gentleman said: That if he had remained in power he would have proposed a measure for the settlement of the representation question, \&c., admitting the principle of representation by. numbers." On the 3rd May, 1860, the honorable meniber declared in the House: - A year ago the whole Cabinet admitted that constitutional changes were absolutely necessary; \&c. But if Upper Canada desires representation by population, I am ready to grant it, for I am convinced that an everincreasing number of representatives of the people will come here to claim it after each election, as a nieasure of justice. I am convinced that there will be a collision between Upper and Lower Canada.", These extracts prove undeniably the truth of the statement I advanced a moment ago. How then is the condnct of the honorable gentleman to be explained? How can any one put faith in the sincerity of the opposition he now offers to the project under consideration? Clearly, Mr. Speaker, party spirit is the motive of his opposition to the measure. When a minis: ter, the Hon. Mr. Dorion admitted the diff-
culty of the position ; he acknowledged that a speedy remedy was required in order to prevent a collision between Upper and Lower Canada; he was prepared to seek out means of remedying thesc evils; but now that he is in opposition he no longer sees the difficulties; the position is a good one, the proposed changes are no longer necessary; and, in or der to oppose them, to what length is he not prepared to go?. The honorable member uses his influences over a respectable old man, who heretofore had remained apart from political struggles; he persuades him that his country is on the brink of an abyss; he tells him how necessary, and what an imperative duty it is for all good citizens' to unite for the defence of our institutions, our language, our usages, in fact our very national existence. And the grod old gentleman tears himself from his beloved retirement and becomes the will ing instrument of a factious opposition. I might have believed in the sincerity of the honorable gentleman (Hon. Mr. Doriow if I had heard him admit that be hau changed hisopinions aud say that he had formerly entertained certain views on the difficulty of our position and the necessity of providing a reme'dy. But no, he comes to us with the assurance to declare that he has never changed his opitions, and yet the journals and debates of the House are before him to convince him of the contrary. What a position. (Hear, hear.) The honorable gentleman added-i" "T.se peo"ple are satisfied with their present position." Since last session more than treenty counties have beerri called upon to elect new reprèsentatives, and they have all, one perhaps excepted, elected supporters of the Government and of the scheme which is now under discussion. And yet the honorable member tells as, with an appearance of good faith which I shall not animadvert ou now, that the people are satisfied with their position; and lastly, the houorable inember for Hochelaga says-" Confederation is direct taxation." The honorable: gentleman is the very last who ought to have raited this objection. Does he forget that, in 1863, one of the members of his Guvernment, the Honorable Minister of Finance, when he brought down his budget, declared to this House that the time had arrived when it had become necessary to accustom the people to direct taxation. What pussible effect, then, can this objection have in the motith of the bionorable gentleman, other than to afford a still further proof of the absence of good faith which he has displayed in the discussion of this important measure of the Federal union?

Besides, the present Honorable Minister of Finance, in his learned speech on this question, has given a inosit lucid explanation of the question of the finances, and has made it clear to us that the local governments will receive more than they will require to meet: their expenditure. Lower Canada; whose expenditure, including the interest on her share of the debt remaining' charged to Canada, willamount to $\$ 1,237,000$, will receive from the Central Governinent eighty cents a-head, making $\$ 900,000$, which, added to its other revenues, will make its annual receipts amount to $\$ 1,440,000$, shering an annual excess of revenue over expenditure amounting to $\$ 200$ 000 . The objcetion of the honorable member is only a pretest, which ought not to stake the confidence of the most timid. . The honorable gentleman denies the correctess of the calculations" of the thonorable member for Sherbrooke, it is true, but in a matter of such vast importauce, the House and the country have a right to sotnething more than a mere denial. Let honorable gentlemen on the other side of the House prove the error of the Honorable Minister of Finance, and then, and not-before, they may hope to bring conviction home to the friends of the scheme. I now come to the argument: of the honorable member for Lotbiniedre. Since I first took my seat in Parliament, I had learned to esteem that honorable gentleman; his conduct, almays so honorable, and the good faith which appeared to govern his whole conduct as a legisiator, had iospired we with the highest respect for him." But what was my surprise to see him condescend to the part which wo have seen him play on the uceasion of his spech on the great question now before the House! To act a comic p.rt, to make a buffoon of one's self, and, at the same time, discussing a sehene for a new Constitution which, it is alleged, will obliterate a whole people, and reciting from histury all the evils which denceratic doctrines have brought upon the human race. What acontrast! How courageous ! And the Montugne applauded the recital by the tonorable gentlethan of alt the scenes of horron, discord, revolutioñ and cevil war which demioratic prineiples had brought about in tall those parts of the world in which these notions had prevailed. What impudence?" May the people, Mr. Speaker, profit by the leasou. The honorable member for Lotbiniére has told us that the Federal system carred in itself a principle fatal to its existence, and that all confederations died of consumption. Then
opening the volume of history, the honorable gentleman has depicted to us all the republics of ancient and modern times gradually succumbing under the pressure of the disurd, civil wars and revolutions to which that form of government had given birth. The argument was specious. It is only to be regretted, as regards the honorable gentleman, that the honorable members of the Quebec Conference, convinced that, to make sure of the future, it wàs àdvisable to consult-and to study the past, adopted monarchical principles as the basis of the new Confederation, instead of founding it on those democratic doctrines which proved so fatal to all the confederacies referred to by the honorable gentleman. Confederation is the obliteration of Lower Canada, the honorable ménber for Lotbiniere has further told us. I am far froin being of that opinion. Lower Canada has since the union beheld, for a period of twenty-four years, her institutions at the mercy of a majority different in origin, 'in religion, and in language. ' Under Confed eration, on the other hand, Lover Canada will have the administration of all she holds most dear-her nationality, and I am rejoiced to tind in the speech of the honorable nember for Hochelaga, some few words which abundautly, prove my proposition. ""It will be impossible," says that honorable gentleman; "for the Federal Government ever to interfere in any legislation. relating to the institutions or laws of Lower Canada. If they attempted, the fifty or sixty members of French orisin;" uniting as one man," would veryos put a stop to any legishation, thus compelling the majority to afford them justice." (Hear, hear.) Lower Canada, it is true, will be in a winority in the Central Legislature, but we must not lose sight of the fact that the interests of the Lower Provinces are less identical with the interests of Upper Canada than they are with those of Lower Canada; and, moreover, our position in the centre of the state also adds to our influence. On the other hand, respoisible goverament is essentially a government of parties; the national FrenchCanadian representation will have all that influence which fifty or sixty votes given to one side of the House or the other can exercise; the oue party or the other wifl count upon the votes of the French-Canadian section, justiz as in England the Protestant majority A. Parliament is not made up withont the fotes of the Catholic minority. Thus the position of Lower Canada will be a strong one, and muich to be preferred to that which it holds under the existing union. Other
honorable members have assigued as reasons of their opposition "the increased expenditure entailed by the proposed union." To this objection I have only, Mr. Speaker, to make the same reply. which I have already given on another occasion. Will not Confederation, whilst remedying our sectional difficulties, contrifate to the progress and advancement of these colonies? Willit not increase our means of defence, securing at the same time to Lower Canada the exclusive control of its institutions, its laws and its nationality ? If to this proposition we are compelled, after careful consideration, to reply in the negative, then; undoubtedly, we ought to reject the scheme; but if, on the contrary, our answer is in the affirmative, we ought to accept it, even al though our expenditure should bé increased, for it becomes the means of safety-Salus populi suprema lex. Certain other members object " that the Legislative Council is tơ be subject to the nomination of the Crown.". For my part, I see no ground of objection in this; on the contrary, I look upon it, as an argument in favor of the scheme. I have always been opposed to the elective system in that branch of our Legislature. We have but one class in our society, we have no aristocracy. Why, then; sheuld we have two popu: lar chambers? : In üy opinion, it would have been wiser to abclish the Council than to make it elective. In the spirit of the English Constitution, the Legislative Council is a tribunal for purifying the legislation of the Commons, for weighing in the balance of. experience the probable consequences of, their legislation. Thoige adrantages, Mr. Speaker, "will soon disappear under the elective system, which will cause the members of that body tolose that perfect independence requisite for the proper fullilment of the hight mission entrusted to them by the Constitution. Ir addition to this, the trouble of elections, the expenses which they entail, and the other difficulties inseparable from those great struggles, will very often prevent the entrance into that honorable body of the most competent men, whom the disgust inspired by all the difficulties I have just referred to, will iuduce to avoid public life and to remain in private life', For these reasons and in the public interest, L. rejoice to see the return to the nominative principlo. (Hear, hear.). I should have liked to have replied to some of the other argumints urged by honorable members of the Opposition, but I perceive, Mr. Speiker, that I have already taken up a good deal of tiaie, and I consider that in view of the lateness of the
hour, it is my duty to conclude. In conclusion I may be permitted to add that I- am now more strongly in favor of the scheme of Confederation that we are now considering, than'I'was at the time of the debate on the resolutions in reply to the Speech from the Throne. ' Then I had some doubts, but the position taken by the opponents of the measure bas sufficed to dissipate them. A cause must indeed be a bad one, Mr. Speaker, when such men as those whom I see on the other side cannot find arguments to support their views which are worthy of being discasssed, and who, in order to maintain their position, are obliged to resort to such means as honorable gentleimen opposite, with their triends, have been compelled to have recourse to since it bas been under consideration to establish a Federal union of tho British North Amerioun Provinces. (Cheers.)

Un motion of Mr. Duvens, the debate was then adjourned.

## Monday, February 27, 1865.

Mr. DUNKINsaid-Mr.Speaker, almost every one who has "yet spokeu in"this debate hus begrin with sonie expression of his feeling of embarrassment. For my own part, I should be glad it 1 could begin in some other way, but I confess that I cannot. For I certainly uever did rise to addrésy this House, or any other public body, under a feeling of such oppressive embarrassment as I experience at this nument. "It is impossible for me;, occupying the position in which I now stand, not to teel tha: I am opposed to powertul odds, and that there is a sort of foregone conclusion, here; against the views I desire to impress upon the House. It is impossible for me not to feer that the consid rations to. which I have to ask the atteution of the Hoüse, are so many and so complex; that no sort of justice can possibly be done them within the limits of my capacity to speak, or of yours to listen. The interests at stake, too, are so large-io much larger than ever were at stake in any queestion which has yet been brought under the notice of this llouse, and the difficulties arising out of the question. aro so furmidable, owing in no small measure to what I must call the many reticences with which this scheme haf been laid before as, and the ambiguities of expregsion which
everywhere characterize it, as to tax seriously the courage of those who may attempt to discoss it. I feel, besides, that I am entirely cut off from that desoription of remark which most of all tends to make one's speech pleasant to listen to; for Tcan: not prophecy smooth things, or dilate on the marvels of progress to result from Copfederation in théfuture. There is a chăraotér of hurry; toa, impressed on that whole style of this debate; everybody teels ao iupatient, that one can' boarcely hope to express his views fully, as he vould wish and ought, on this vast scheme." I have even the feeling that my capacity for exertion is not up to its ordinary standard. I addresy the Honse in a state of health that rendera me léss' capable than usual of physical exertions. I must, therefore, beg honorablo members to make allowance for these circum. stances surrounding my position; believing me that what $I$ wish to do is to preseat aa briefly as I can, and as truthfully as I can; my own deep seated convictions on the ques. tion now betore the House. (Hear, hear.) So strongly, Mr. Speiamer, do I feel my: inability to discuss this scheme as I could wish, that I almost must throw myself on the forbearabce of lon menbers-that 1 härdly can help saying I should be in danger of shrinking from the duty of addressing you, but for the recollection that time and agaip, I have Enown, in cases of contest aluost or quite as discouraging as this, that "the race has not been to the swift nor the battle to the strong"- that time and again t. have known those who went into such con-. tests with the best hopes of suciess, disappointed in their expectations. I do kuow, and I know that orthers know-I belleve it to be the general conviction of those whom I address to-night, as regards this question, that whatever of popular feeling theremay secu to be in favor of the riems 1 have to combat; is anything but the deliberate result of a well-cobsidered examynation of the whole subject-is a feeling of mos: sudden growth, and of most passing wharacter. : (Hear, hear.) Before I go further, I may be permitted distinctly to socept the challenge which has been more than ono throwin out on the other: side as to the manner in which this question ought to be discussed. I frecoly admit and sincerely maintain that it ought nototo be discussed otherwise than as a great question, to bo considered entirely un a largo viem of ite
merits It in not a question of party, it is not a question of persons, it is not a question of merely local, or class, or passing interest, and it is not to be met by any of those passing appeals which are too often resorted to.: It is not"to be settled upon any ground of mere theory; or by any criticism of mere details. It requires indeed to be taken up at ouce as a question of principle, and also as a question of detail, involving a multistude of details ; and there must necessarily be a careful criticism of such details." The question really presented is this on the Whole; viewing them collectively, are the details involved in this great schewe such as to commend the scheme itself to our appros bation, or are they not? (Hear, heara). I pledge nuyelf that will discuss the question fronithat point of view. I will do my utinost to aroid mere passing or personal allusiong. I will try to tread the dangerous ground before me without arousing dangerous feelinge.: I do not know that I: can succeed, but at least I will make the effort. This, however, I am bound to ropeat at the outset, that no ore can do justice to a question like. this, and start with the idea of at all ignoring details. Here is a measuse proposed for our ecceptance, embodied in seventy twọ resolù tions and which resolu ions attirm a great many more than seventy two propositions, convected with almost every priucipie Knowni to baye reference to the theory aud practice of popular government. I say it is a scheme which is as complex aịd as yast as one can Well imagive, and deciamiation about first principles can be of no real use in its dis-cussion-can arail only to mislead in referevee to it: Wa have to deal with no mere abstract question of a natipuality, or of union or disunion, or of a Federal as opposed to a Legislative union. It is idle to talk raguely sbout the maintenance of British connection, or to go into magnificent speculatipas about the probable results of independence, or blindly to urge this seheme as a sure preventative of andexation to the United States. Theve cheap and easy generalities are thoroughly un ureliable. The only question is, how is this plan. in its entirety, going to work? And this question is oue which is not easy to answer; it is one requiring much patience, and a cloze examinafion of details. It is the question wheh, if the House will lend me its attention, 1 will-endeavor to "discuss to tho extent of my ability. (Hear, hear.) I may further
take leave to say at starting, that I do not approach this question from any new point of view whatever:" Always I have been, Gnd now $I$ am, a tnionist in the strietest and largest sense of the term. I desire to perpetuate the union between Upper and Lower Canada. I desire to see developed, the largest union that can possibly be developed (I. care not by what name you call it) between all the colonies, provinees, and dependencies of the British Crown. I desire to maintain that Intimate union which ought to subsist; butt which unfortunately does not subsist as it ought, between the Imperial Governinent and all thọe dspendenoies Inm a unionist, who especially does not desire to see the provinces of Upper and Lower Canada disunited co my mind; this s.cheme "does not at all present itself ad one of union $\boldsymbol{\prime}$, and if hon. gentlemen opposite will admit the truth, they, will acknowledge that, practically, it amounts, to a disunion between Upper and Lower Canada. (Hear, hear.) I confess that Iam irrecon: cileably opposed to that portion of the scheme. I repeat I do not care to see Upper añd Lower Canada more disseverad thas they are; on the contrary, I wish to see them brought into closer union; and far from regarding this scheme as cementing 'wore closely' the cunnection of these provinces with the British Eimpire; I luok upon it as tending rather towards a not distant disunion of these provinces from the British Empire. ${ }^{\prime}$ (Hear, hear.) My position as regards this secheme is that of one who desires to see 'this union perpetuated, and not of one who would contenplate a state of disunion between any of tho componèat parts of the British Empire. I hold that proper means ought to be taken to prevent our disution from the British Empire and absorption into the United States, and that this seheme by no youas tends that may: I have no faucy for democratic or republican forms or institutions, or indeed for revolutionary or political nove ties of any sort. The phrase of "political creation" is no phrase of tinine. "I hold that the power to create is ass much a higher attribute than belongs to man, in the political world, as in any other department of the uiverse. All we cau do is to attend to and develope the ordinary growth of our institutions; and this growth, if it is' to be healthy at all, unust be slow. There must be the saime slow, steady ohauge ia political matters, Which answars toathe growth visible in the
physical worla. "I do believe in this gradual development of our institutions, but I do not believe in any of those violentandsudden changes: which bave for thenr object the creation of something entirely new. I fear this scheme is just of the character to prevent that slow, gradual, healthy' development which I would wish to see steadily carried out. If I/ could be astonished at any: thing io politics, Mr. Speaker, I should be astonished at the attempt which has been mate by some honorable gentlemen on the Treanury benches to represent the state of the publicfeeling onthis subject as not having that mere sutden, sensational, unreliable character which I have ascribed to it. "Loag, forgotten expressions of individual opiaion : clau,es said to haye formed part of bills not to befound, and not known to have been even drawn; motions threatened but never: made, the small party fe cings of past times, from before the days of the Canada Trade at downwards, have been pressed into service to meat the exigencies of a hard case: Well, I shall not follow out that line of argumet e it is not worth while.' We all bnow thit, from the time of the union of fanada, at all eveuts, putil very lately indeel pothing like serious discussipn ot the fropriety or impropriety of a Federal union, or of any uation at all, of the argresate of these British American Provinces, has ever yi hitle occupied the publice mivil. I will here'go back merefy to 155x, when the sixth Parliament was electod, and fom that tiute bring under review, as ripidly as I cann, such few poitits of nur political history as are relevant to shew that this is the fact; although, inderil, argument to estabiish it is scai cely necensary: At the election of $185 \%-$ ' 58 ; what really were the issues before the country? They can be easily stated. I take the restome, in fact, from the aunouncements of the Gioly, the organ of the egreat popular party of Upper Canada at that time ; mentioniug not everything, but everyihing at all material. The great demand of the then Cpper Canada Opposition, which gave the key-rote to the whole political controversies of the time, was representation according to population, irrespectively of the dividing line between Upper and Lower Cauada. Ibat was urged as involving everything. It was urged for the sake of all the rest, and as sure co bring abont all the rest, that was demanded by the party. It was to enable themin to carry out
their opposition to what were called sectarian grants, their opposition to the holding of land in mortmain for sectarian uses, their copposition to separate sechools on a sectarian basis. It was urged tor the avowed purpose ot obtaining uniform legislation in the fature for the two sections of the province, and also twhat was spoken of as the assimilation of the existing institutions of the two sections of the province, but which was areant to be an ossimilation of those of Lowrer Canada to those of Ưpper Canada' much more than of those of Upper Canada to those of Lower Cazada. (Mear, hear.) It' was urged with the vietr of obtainigg what was called freetrade; that is, an antia Lower Canadiańá commercial pulicy. It was urged with the riex of obtaining the" setclement of the North-West; in other words, the relative aggrandizement of Upper Canada: It was urged, also, no doubt, "vith the view of obtaining what was called administrative reform-the drivini from power of a set of nien who wrye alleged, for various reasony, to be unvorthy of holding it. But the great questions of tineasures above allyded to came first; those as to the mere men, second. (Hear, hear.) The yrand dyect was declared to be to obtain an lippor Canadian preponderance of representation on the floor of this House, in order to put an end to everything like sectarian pranis, the holding of lands in murtmain and separate schools, ta render uniform our legislation. to assimilate our institutions, to carry out an antiLawer Cauadian commercial policy, and to secure the North- West for the aggrandizement of Upper Canada:" In this way the question of Upper_Canada ngainst Lowir Canaila was unmistakably raised. What inust have been', what could uot fail to be, the result of an appeal of that kind?. It was easy to foresee that there would be returned in Upper Canada a majority in fayor of these demands, and in Lower Canada an everrwhelwing majority against them. I do not go into this to raise the chost of past antuositics; I am merely showing what cannot be deaied-that no whe at that the spoke of or cared for this magnificent idea of the union of the proviaces. by Contederation or other inise. (Hear, hear.)' The sesgion commenced. Those who had the adrantage or disadvantage of sittivg in that Parliament that session will remember the tremendons contrast there was between all those debatea which had refer-
ence to this class of subjects, and the one single debate which was attempted, but could not be made to take place, on the question of the Confederation of the Provinces. With all his ability-and there are few abler men than the hon. gentleman tho undertook at that time to bring that question before the Housewith all his ability, and the most earneat effort on his part to press it on the attention of the House, he could scarcely obtain "a hearing. No one cared for the matter; and it was felt by every one that such was the sase. Soou affer a miaisterial crisis took place. A new government came in for a ferhours, and started a policy. But that policy, again, mas not this policy. It did not touch this question. (Hear, hear.) It was proposed, indeed, to deal nith that question of represcitation by population by:applying soue systein of checks or guarantees, doing or tring to do sonething that might lessen the abjection of homer Canada to a chiange urgud forward as that had been. But that mas all. That government fell-fell instant-y-and mbother was formed in its place. Aud the present Finance Minister, the bonurahle tivember for Sherbrooke, who, with all his ability, had not been able to obtain a serivus heariage for his proposal of Cunfederathon of the provinces, going into the new governineut, induced his colleagues to come before the Muase and the country, with that as a profesed portion of their policy: I may be pardoned, perhaps, for a single word here ofl personal reference, for saying, ent pessinit, that when that idea was thas bruached tas it was by a Government of which I was as firm a supporter as any man in the House), I did not fail to make. it knowi., that if ever it should be presented to the House as a practical' weasure by that hovernment, 1 should cease to be (so far as it wras concerned' one of such supporters.' (He r, trear.) That was not the first time I hud tuought of it. It had long before been anatter of stind with me; and all the anstwus reflection 1 have ever been able to , yise th, has unly had the result of strengtheuing my eunvictons against it every day. But how was this idea then brought forward?" Tentatively, and just to neutralize the scheme which the Brown- Domon Administration had hinted to the country. The oue fire was to burn out anther's burning. (Llear, hear.) The plan of that fovernment was to make propositions to the lmperial Goverument and to the gov-
ernments of the Lower Provinces. But. how? If yon want to gain an object, you put that object before those to thom you propose it in the way most likely to induce them to say yes. This scheme was suggested to the Imperial Government, and to the people and governments of the Lower Provinces, precisely in the way most calculated. to induce them to say no. We, went and cold them, "We" are in such a state of embarrassment, we have political questions which so trouble and bother us, that we do not know if we can get along at all, unless you will be so kin las to come into this union with us.". (Hear, hear.) It was just as though $[$ where in business, and went round, to half a dozen capitalists, telling them, "I liave got into debt; my business is gune to the dogs; I have no businẹss capacity; help me by going into fartrership with me, or I am ruined." (Hear, hear) If the object had been not to carry it, it does" appear to me that those gentlemen could vot have taket a better method of acconiplishing that object. And we sarw this-that just-su sova as it was found that the Lower Provinces did nut, as under the circumstances they could not, say yes to a proposal of this kind, and that the Imperial Government let the matter droy", our Administration let it drop too. We never heard apother word about it The despatches, were laid on our table in 1859, but nobody asked a question about themi. The child was still-bort, and no one troubled himself about' its' want of baptism. We went on with our old cques-tions-representation by population ; Upper Canada against Lower Canada; measures, to a great extent; men also, to a great and increasing estent. And we quarrelled and fought about almost eserything, but did not waste a thought or word upon this gigantic question of the Coafederation of these provinces. (Hear, hear.) In a little while we drifted into another erisis-ithat of 1862 . Ad from the time of that erisis, and the formation of the Macdonald-Sicotte Administration, down to the time when the present Administration was, last sumper, brought into its present shape, the one proniinent demand made upun political parties and political men everywhere was, to set aside the older questions of measures, and occupy ourselves very much more-not to say exelusively-with the question of men. (Hear, hear.) I am not blaming
honorable gentleméa; I am not raising the question whether they were right or wrong in taking that course. They may have been the purest patriots, the nost farseeing statesmen the world has known, for ought I care. What I say is merely this; that whether for good or evil, whether wisely or unwisely, the fact is, that the public mind was not occupied in the least with this Confederation question. After having fought a long time, mainly about measures, and secondarily about men, we were all suddenly calld upon, in 1862 , to consider nothing but the question of the men Who trere to do everything right, and to settle everything fairly and honestly, and so torth. Represuntation by population was anmistakably; for a time at least, laid upon the shellf, declared to be secondary, alminst unim. portant. It had been half shelved some time before; then, it was wholly shelved. It was hardly taken down from the shelf in 1s6:3, when the Macdonald-Domion Guyernment merely put it back to the same place, which it had long occuried to no purpose of a practical character under the ('artier-Macbonalio' Administration. (Hear, hear.) "Such, then; was the state of affiirs-nibody thinktus or caring alout this great question until last Nession of Parliameat, when the hon member for South Oxford, the present President of the ('ouncil, moved for and obtained a onmmit: the àr the subject of constitutional ohanges generally. Certainly that hon gentleman dill a very clever thing, in eubodying in his motion extracts from the unfortunate defunct dispateh of Messrs. 'lartier, Galt and Ross.

Hon Atry "Gen. CARTIER-It was a fortunate despateh-unfortunate for you, but fortunate for us.

Mr HONKIN-it is an old proverb that says "He laughs well who laughy last."
Hon. Arty (Gen CARTIER-L expeect to laugh the last.

Mr. DUNKIN-No doubt: But I do not care to juke in a matter which I think of a very serimus character ; and; seriously speaking, I think the hon. gentleman is very wromg. We have yet to see, in the first place, whether the thing is doue, and then, if it is dote," whether it succeeds

Hon. Ma 3elibe- If etwere done. 'twire well 'twere done quickly."

Mr. DUNKIN-The Miaister of Agriculture is too good Shalspearian to need to
be reminded that the thing to be done in that case was a something very bad. The hon. gentleman is welcoure to all he can make of his quotation,-" If 'twere done when 'tis done, then 'twere well it were done quickly." To return, howiever.' It was clever, ündoubtedly clever, in the hon. nember for South Oxford to quote from the despatch of these hon. gentlemen-then, by the way, in opposition to the then Goverament and to himself -an expression of opinion almost coinciding with his own,. He carried his committee: No one made any great objection to it. II have been told that I am guilty of some oort of inconsistency, after having roted for that comonittee, in. now opposing this measure. The soquitur is hard to see $\cdots$ I did certainly speak and vote for it but on the express ground that L believed it would do uo sort of harm, and that. on the contrary, it might have the good effect of leatiag other hon. gentlemen to the sober concluaion at which I had long befure arrived myself. I there' fire had no objection to the committeo, and I sat on it. I am uot going to reveal what have been called the secrets of the committee. As in many other like caves, there was mighty little in them. Owing to accidents, wholly asid. from this question of Confederation, the report of ths "coumiteo was pre: sented on the very night that vote happened to be given, indirectly aptrerse to the "TacheMacponald. Administration. The report itself was an accident. "All the allusion there was in it to Federation of any sort, found its way there at the last mement and unespect. edly. It is no violation of confidence to say that it was even roted against by the leader of this Huse, the Attorney" General for Upper Carada, the now leading adrocate of the present scheme. That tact is on the printed record. It was roted azainst, also, by the metribers for Cornivall and West El. gin. There were five other members, of whoin I an sigry I was one, who were absent; hat I been there, unquestionably wy rote would have been againet it (Hear, hear) And, Mr. Speaken, those who were in this House at the time that report was made; will rementer pretty well the more than owis indifference with which it way bere received, latte or nothing, after all, as it amounted to. Well, this vote in the House thas following; the opportunity saddonly offered oo honorabl! gentlemen opposite of starting on a tack which, up to that mowent, I believe no two men in the House had ever thought of as
possible. And from that day to this, a series of accideats, eanh one nore extraordinary than its predecessor, has led to a state of things about as extraordinary qe the accidents themselves viere. (Laughter.)

Hon Atty Gey. CARTIER-It is baid the world was made by a scries of accidents.

Mr. DUNKIN-I dare say some people think so ; and it may be so according to the theology of my hon. Iriend, but not according to mine. I repeat, what has happened aince has been tolerably unexpected, even by the actors in those occurrences. I do not believe they were espected by anybody; and none, I 倖y, haro, been. moio surprised at them than the very men who now take all the adrantage possible of them, and even the oredit of having brought them about: And hoir, Mr. Speaken. was this: scheme presented to the public? Piocemeal, and with reticences innumerable ; in a way that made it hardly powsible to oriticise it in any of its parts. When, after several members of the Government of this province and several other meinbers of the Conference had gone iuto long explanations of it publicly at Quebec, Moutreal and Tor ato the honorable menber for Hochelaga came out with a criticism upon and a dissent frow it. He was set upon with a clamor, to the effect that: he ought nut to have prouounced himself so sooni, as the whule scheme was not get dereluped! It was said he had m'srepresented the celheme, and ought to have waited untilits details were really knuwa before attacking it. - Bruaght this before the country, in piece. meal style, with some portions kept back, and others ambiguously and even contradicetorily stated, no one could seriously take hold of it.: After some time, it is triue, a printed paper, purporting to get forth the resolutions of the Contertace, was sent round to ment-
" "bers, but with the word "Private", written. on it, as much as to say that it was not officially communicated, and must be made no. public uye uf. That that private communication was not even perfectly acourate, is nuw perfectly well known; but that was of little cousequence, as it could not be made une of publicly: Such is the way in which this unatter was laid before the people. Every possible advantago was given to the people to praise it frum every puint of view, and. nobody got a fair opportunity of saying that he did not like it. The pfaise was carefully prepared and published, and overy thing that could possibly be done to prepare the public
-Tind for the schemo botore it final announce-
ment was skilfally done. And now what have we? Why, the ory that the whole thing must be passed, "now or never." It will never pass, we are told, if it does not pass now! (Hear, hear.) Was there ever a measure of this magnitude before, on which the heart of a country: was set; the whole ot which wais so wise and good as this scheme. is said to be-and yet, that had to be passed (the whole of it) at onee, or never? (Eear, hear.). We are even told that it is a positive treaty- made however, by the : way, by parties who were never authorized to make any treaty at all. I must say, far one that I cannot but see in' all this precipitancy the unmistakeable admission de facto, that the: Government themselves know and feel that the feeling they have got up in favor of this scheme is a passing feeling of momentary duration, that they cannot themeelves in the least rely upon: (Hear, hear.) Mr. Speaker, it is rather curious that hon. gentlemen, in recommending this scheme of theirs, seem never to be tired of speaking of its excellencies in general, and of modestly eulogizing the wisdom, and foresight, and statesmanship of those who got it up. I canuot wouder that their judgment in this behalf should be a little led astray by their surprise at the success which has so far attended their project. Their " officious" visit to. Prince Edward Island took but a very few days, and it resulted in the scheme of a legislative union fur the Lower Provinces being (as I think, unfortunately) laid aside; and then followed the Conferenceat Queboc, where these twelve honorable gentemen representing Canada, and twenty-one wther gentlemen representing the Lower Pro. rinces, sat together for the long period of nineteen days-seventeen working days and two Sundays-and as the result of these serenteen days" of but partial Fork by thè way, we have from these thirty-three gentlemen a scheme of a Constitution which they vaunt of as being altogether better than that of the model republic of the United States, and even than that of the model kithigloun of Great Britain. Neither the model republio nor yet the model kingdom of whose glorious traditions and associations we are all so proud, is for a moment to be compared with this work of theirs. (Hear, hear.) ' So perfect do they seem to regard their pet measure, that they tell us we must not take time to discuss it. Even though Her Majesty's Sècretary of State has told us that there are features of it that require further consideration and
must be revised,' yet they tell us that we must not change a letter or line of it. (Hear, hear:). And yet, we are at the same time told that the details of this scheme, if examined at all, must be examined and viewed as those of a compromise. It is not, they fieely, admit, as satisfactory in its details as any of us, would desire to have"; but it is all we can get, and must be accepted or rejected as' a whole, 'It must be examined in the very spirit of compromise, meaning that no serious fault shall be found with it; however unsatisfactory it may be 'I have heard of Paddy's motion of a reciprocity that was all on one side.
Hen: Mr. McGEE-Now let us have no national reflections. (Laughter:)
Mr. DUNKIV-Oh! I mean it as a national compliment. I would, however, ask Lon, gentlewen epposite not to throw across , the House these jokes; not that I object to an oceasional interruption by way at questiun, but mere jokes thrown into the discussion of a seriousis subject do not help any man who desites to present his honest, sincerem and serious views on a grave question. I must ask the two hon. members of the Government, who have several" times, by means of interraptions of that nature, tried to thruir me off the track, to desist from such course in future.

Hon Atry. Gen. ClRITPR-1amsure the hon gentleman dial not iutend todisconcert you ther han [ any suchpurposes at view

Mr. DLN: $1 N-I$ will not say it is done for that purpuis"; but I feel nsself:more than usually anaoged with interruptions tonight, because the șubject is of a nature to requice the closest attention : This messure, then, "tt is sad, must he examined in this spirit ofecmpromise, that is, to sts, not objectiog tolantof its provinoms Gue of the expressions used by the hon gentleman was-that i, ire should not reyuire in the soheme "an imposibible parfection"." Well; sir, [ dy not think there ispany dinger of our finding any impossible perfection in it. ur anything relatiog to it, unless, indeen, in one farticular direction; and in that direction I du not know but that there has been athined all possible perfection at least, if mit an impossible perfection. I allude to thist particular kind of wistom and foresight which marks the astute oflicial politician, as contradistinguished from the "far-seeing' statesman. (Hear, hear.) There has been exhibited, in this one respect, an all but impossible perfection. Every feeling, every
iuterest, every class, is bid for in the cleverest way imaginable. ' The seat of the Federal Government is to be at Ottawa, of course. The Governor General or other head of this magnificent future vice-royalty, or what not, will hold his court and parliamentat Otta buta handsome sop is thrown to Quebec and Toronto, also. They, toö, are each to have a 'provincial court and legislature and governmental departments. Everything for everybody! As to the state that is to be created, its style and rank are left in most delightfal ambignity: : We may be honored with the dignity of a kingdom or of a vice. royalty, or of we know not what. All we are assured of is, that it is to be a something better, higher and more grand than we now have. Perhaps the Sovercign herself will occasionally come over aud exercise her quthority in person; or, perhaps, $a^{\prime}$ throne will be created for some member of the rogal family; or, failing such dreams as these, we are told at the least, in reference to the character of the representative. who is to be sent here in place of the Sovereign-that is to say the representative who is to ad: minister the government during the ordidary absence of the Sovereiga from this pirt of the British doninions-we ar. told, I say, by the leider of this IIsuse, that, in viess of the functions to be entruted to hime, the high position he is to hold, the vice-rogal state he will have to kup, it is possible we shall, at least, five seut out here. in that capacity. hereatter; men of the elass cuiphatically called statesuinen.: I haveno taste for payiag what may be termed e, uritur complimouts tw the living ; but, looking Eack only to the dead, of whim one may speak freely, without such fear, I must say that there Who have been appointed to administer cur. government in the past are hardly ot the class to be looke idown upou-that the li it in which we find the nunes of bumom, Sydenhay, Mercalege and Flein is not precisely a list of men inferturto the higher chass of those whom we eall statesmen; and I am not quite sure that even after this great Confederacy shall have been established, men of much higher mark than those we have already had will be appointed to rulo over it. Be that as it may, hoverer, the bait is thrown out that we are to have mea mueh higher than we ever had belure; that in all manner of ways our state is to be better, finer, grander, in fact, than our magination can well conceive. (Liughter.) Wo are, it seoms, among other things, to
get a little more than we now have of what is called a feature of the British Constitution, in the composition of the Legislative Eouncil. It has been spoken of as an impropriety, almost treasonable, to hing at it as a bait throw out to geatlemen who have been elected to the Legislative, Council for a fixed period, that by voting for this scheme they may get themselves made legislative councillors for life: If in this seheme provision had beeñ made; in regard to the members of this brauch of the Legislature, that they should hold their seats, not for lite, but say, for a mere period of five years, I rather think there would :be tew found here very mealy-mouthed on the subjeet; and when it is offered to men who, like ourselves, will have to go before their constituents within a few months, or years, at most, that they are to be made legislitive couacillors for life, the bait, I think, is not a very sinall one. (Hear, hear.) We are told, too, on the face of this seheme, that the choice is to by made by the present governmeats of the several provinces; but of course with perfect fairness to the Opposition in each proviuce! Most sati-fuctory! Bach Opposithon is to be treated with perfect fairness - it it so numinated in the bond." We hear of a minister of the Grown in one plaee, ad dresilug his aeiphburs, atd tellay them they may depend on it, that when ller Majesty comes to make the sillection, the atmost respect will be pad tu the rightsand privileges of the elected members, so that their elected member will have the fairest chance of becotatis a life"member of the Confederate. Legishatite Coudeil In austher place, on the othar hand, we hear from another minisser of the Crown that those gentlemen who hold patente of appojntuent tor life míy feel quite as safe, for certainly their chim to be retaiued in their preseut prsition is sure tis have full weight Purther, it Lower Cabada, each louality ta tuld that it may $r$. st sativifid It inilf not be overluok ed, fur each is to be reptestruted in the Lési,htive Cuancil by ageateman residing or trolding property in it ; and both origios and buth creeds alike are thas to have represeataition and full protectiou. - Another pioiat upoi which there biay been a like plasant surt of ambiguity kept up, is as to who are to make the future nomioations to this Legislative Council. Viewng this part of the seheme as a matterol prituciple, one wut $d$ have thought that these future pominations husi bo made on the

Federal priaciple. It was not expressly so stated ; it is not (as we are at last here told) it is not so meant; but till we were so told, evergbody who thought one way said that the resolutions meant it to Ke that way, and all who thoustr the other way conveniently found the resolutions to justify their way of thinking. Well, turning then to matters which affect this House, the same sort of thing is still observable : Representation by population is given to meet the grand demand of Uprér Cavada; but the people of Lower Canada are assured. is the same breath that it will not hurt them'; that their institutions and privilcges are made perfectly safe; that they will even thave as many members in the Lower House as before, and that they will, in a variety of ways, be really better off than ever. Adelightful ambiguty" is found, too, upon the pointas to who wall make the fiture apportionments of the consticuencies. The leader of the Government, in explaining the scheme the other uight, ai'mitted that the decennial revisions of our representation districts are really not to be left to the local legislatures, but are to be dealt with alto-: trether biy the" Fideral Legislature. "Till then most people, I believe, had hold the contrary; but arl had admitted the text of the resolutions to be equivocal, and each party had of course interpreted them as it wished. The postponement of the lucal constitutions is of the sanie catafacter. Esergone is given to underitand that the thing will be made to wisk to the satisfaction of all ; "each"is promised that he shall have it as he wapts. Those who hold to the principle of responsible government, as commonly understiod, in the ducal administrathons are, of copirse, tuld to expéct a lieutcuant governor, with a cabiuet, and, presumably, two bianches of a local legislature. Those who whld hare two legistative bodiés, without, a responsitle ministry, are told that very well it a, ay be - so Whoever prefers one legrsative : body, hears that it is beyoud a duubt there very Well may ouly be oue; and those ajain who, even with one House, do tiot wish to see responsible governaient in the provinces, are assured that the machivery is likely to be very simple; that each province will probab'y have a lieutenant-goveruor, with a few hads of needed departmenta, and one llouse, aud that sú; no doubt, the allairs of", ach province can be managed mosit economically aud
to the entire satisfaction of all. The appointment of lieutenant-governors is again a bait, and perhaps not a small one for more than a few of our public men. The power of disallowance of local bills, and also that of reserving them for the sanction of the Gen: eral Government, are on the oue hand represented as realities-powers that will really be exercised by the Geacral Guvernment to restrain ipproper local legislationto make everything' safe for those who waut a Legislative rather than a Federal union; but on the uther hand, to those who do not trant a legislative union, it is represented that they mean unthing at all, and witl uercr be exereised. (Hear, hear.) lniturmity of laws again is to be given to all the provinces, if they desie it, exerpt Lower (anada; but by a peculiar proyision of the Constitution, although nothing can be duve by the Geueral Parliament to render the dats uniform, witheut the consent of the provinces concerned, it is stipulated that it shall be impossible'for Lower Canada, even though slee should desire it to have her laws uniform with those of the other provinces. So, too, with regard to education in Cpher and Lower Canada; the provision is to be made, no one knows how, fir everybody, and all are guaranted some surt of satisfaction It is true we are not tol 1 what the promised meapures on this head are to be; whether they really will give iatereased facilities to the miturities in the two sextions for the education of their youth in their own way or wot ; but: we are to take the promise an all right, aud evergbody is required to be content. Turning to the financial features of the scheme, we find at roundly stated that all the debts and liabilities of each provine ate to be assumed by the General Govern: ment; 'but if we look argia into detals wo find that-po, they are not there is a sonnethinghere; too, beyond what appears on the face ot thing: ©pper and bener Camata are cach to stay burchend with some unstated parts of the dete of Canda; and the other provinces are to have Lunusesof unstated and variant amounty, not casy to be come at The tipancial portion of the scheme, equally with every other, is preserited to everybody in whatever light he winald hke to view,t in. It will surely bring about economy, beeause the local governments, will have so litte to expend unless they resort to direct tasation; but jet, on the other hand, it is as surely to carry us through all surts of wild expendi. ture-to gire us now and oxhauatless credit
in England- to make possible vast defensive works throughout the country -to construct the Intercolonial Hailway-to colarge our canals westward-to create puo ouc kuows how vast a scheme of communication with the far North-West. Literally, it sounds at every turn as a promise of everything fir everybody; and yet; when each cumes to ask how nuch-it promises, and how, and where, and when, the whole is to be found ambiguous, unsubstantial and unceal. (Hear, hear.) I repeat, there is cverywhere throughout this scheme a most amazing anioutit of that sort of elererness which may characterize the astute politician, but which, I thiak, I hall be able to show is yet far frum heing the wis. doun and foresight characteristic of the farseeingstatesman. (Hear,hear). The game of all things to all men is gatio that cannus be played with success in the loug run. It can, under any circuinstances, be but temporary in its saecess. (llear, hear.) Surionsiy: then, Mr. Speakek, I piss oú to examing: this mork in a doustitutional puint of vew, ctearing a way; as best one way; these atpbyaities that surround it, dealing with it as it is, and comparing it primarily with the Covititation of the Lanted States, and secocdarily with the Constitution of tireat Britain.' 'I wish. i cuald cowpary it primarily with that of Great lititaig but it is" 0 mach urse hike that of the United States, that I catnat. In ports ouly has it any resemblance th the - British"Constitution, and for this resutiche order of comparison cantut be tevered 1 must say; before I go further, that I and by no mean an admirer of agras teal that, 1 tind in the Coustation of the lute 1 states; I have always preterred, decidedly preterred; and cojnow preter, vur uwn. British Gontt: tution.. But this, at least, fin one san duny: that the framen of the dueseathorentu: tion wero grest mea, wine men, farwectimen; that their work, wa a gront worh"; and that to coupare aty voty ehe"s witheperinty a work such at, this, of the fesw genterien, dubethos able guntemen, wh: Thmed this Consitutiva-with it is to
 test (Hear, hear:) "The framery of the Cenatitution of the lluited states vere inded, great men-liviag in, and "the product of a great age, who had pasised through a great ourdeal and been brouzht ap to the level of their work by great events in which they had bech leadiag acturs; and their work was a.great work, which eust much time and much
dis?ussion, and underwent long and painstaking revision of all sorts, in all quarters, before it was finally adopted. (Hear, hear.) Yet we are called upon to admit now, and to admit it without examination, that this work of thirty-three gentlemen, done in seventeen days, is a much better work than that; and not ouly' so, but that it is even better for our "poople and situation, than" the timehonured Constitution of our Mother Land; that it combines eszentially the adrantages of both, with the disadvatages of neither. Ido not think so. The Constitution of the Fited States, it must be bornc in mind, at least lasted, seventy years without fracture. It has stood a good deal of straining, from events beyond the possible foresight or control of "hose who framed it ; and it may yet stand many more yiars notwithstanding this late strain uponit If, indeed, Louisiana hid nat been purchased, if' the cotton-gin had not been invented-the two unforeseen events thich is encouraged the growth of cotton and therefore of slavery-if it had not been for these, what I may eall éstraneous events; which could not be expected to enter into the mimds of the framers of that Constitution, it probably 'wuld' not bure received the shock that it has receired; but we do not know yet that that snock will have a fital effect, "or that it will break up the won terful fabric which they ereated. Porhaps it may change that fabrie more or less in some of its parts; and after it shall have passed away, the tabrie itself may not improbably endure for a very ho ; time to come. But as to this proposed Civustitution of ours, should it becone the organic law of the land, how long willit last? How will it work, if it does last? "And to or towads what, while worsing, will it tend? To these questions. I have pow to call the Aarnest attention of this Honse. I begin, Mr. Speaker, with the future House of 'mmonens-falsely so called. I shall not take up the different resoltations one after another: aul criticize them in that manner; but I will take up the differeat leading features of the seheme consecutively, and endearor not to misrepresent them: If I should do so, or at all misstate their character or probable effects, I give honarable pentlemen opposite full leave, if only they will do so without throwing jukes across the floon of the House., to correet mo, and I will do my best to set miyself right. The House of Commons, then, incorrectly so called, to dixtinguish it
from the other House that corfesponds with, but is not named after the House of Iords, the Jiegislative Council, forms the leading feature of this project; and I take it op first, comparing it with the House of Representatives of the United States, and speaking hy re not so much of its porvers as of its composition. I cannot, in this view, compare it with the Imperial House of Commons, because the principle of its construction is so entirely different. In that respeet; it is simply eopied from what $I_{1}$ think the wrong model ; and the copied parts correspond most faithfully and exactly with what I venfure to call the least desirable features of the Constitution of the United States Mouse of Representatives. (ILear, hear.) The copy is not, I'repeat, of a thing absolutely grood, but only of a thinis us good as the framers of the Constitution of the bited States, circumstanced as they were, could make it ; but the peculiarity of their syatem thatr. I object to, was not at necessary to ours. I think it was absolutely unitemestary ; I think it even very much of an execescence, It can hardly be deaied, Mr. Speaker, that there is" $n^{\prime \prime}$ good deal of practical objection to the phan of shifting teprenentation districts, which is what this systrm adopts, and what the system of the United States adopted. Every ten years the representation from each province in the Hutse of Commons is to bo changed or readjusted by a rule which, for all practical purposes; if esseatially the same as that of the United states. Ot course we have not the littie addition of the alowiuce for the three fitths of the slave population which they have; but decennally we are to tahe the popalation of the sereral provinces, and by a rule in all ersentials common to the two systems, we are to deelare how many representation distriets are to bo allowed to each province. Noiv, the result of that system must be that we catr have no lasting constituenciea for the future Ilouse of Comnứns, These representation districts cannot be kept to coryespend with our runicipal, business or registration districts, or with our distriets for representation in our provincial. legistatures. We are to have a set of special. shifting districts for the mere purpose of electing our Federal House of Commons. I must say that this principle is not, from a British point of view, a sound one. (Hear, hear.) What we ought to do is, to try to establish in this country of ours a set of representation
districts as permanent and as elosely coinciding wirh our territorial divisionṣ̂ existing for other purposes, as circumstances will allow us to bave them; subdividing or otherwise altering them; or erectiug new ones, only as oceasion nay be fund to sequire.

Hon. Ar. y. Gen. (eARIIER-We will do that for the local parlmments.

Mr. DUNKIN-Yerhaps 'so, and perbaps pot. That distinctiou, huwever, is just what I complain eft. We are to change our districts for purposes of representation in the local parlamepts, if we like, but not unless We like. These subdivisions of our prorinces may thus, iur the main, be permanent $\because$ But for representation in the Federal Parliament we are, at each of the e decenalal periods; to have a general readjustment of the whole country, súas to divide each province anew juto its duce number of allquot parts. This is "an inguraton "or our usages, greatly for the wurse, Li goes to destroy that character of reality, conve: ience and stability whehif our'system, as a while, is to have suich character-had need be wantained to the utuost extent pranticable in respect of our constiturncies and of our minor territorial delimitations generally This changing. every ten years briags together electors who have tot been in the hatho of acting with each other. : In Eagdand they do nothing of this boit; they do not change their limits lightly. The everal bodies of mien who send representances to the Imperial House of Conumone hive the habit of so comiug together us bodies not likely to be brokea up ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{H}$ ouphe to keep this as an element of ur constnution, but it is carefully ehimi nated from it:

Mos' Mr. McDOLGALL-I am sure the honurable yentleman does nut wish to build $u_{p}$ an argutuent on a misconception of the res lutions tor the purpose of nistepresentation I am- aure that he must have observed this fact, that it nay, and probably wil often happen, that there will be no change ay to the number of members or electural distriets, and there, certainly will be nome if the increase of population in Lower Canada keeps pace with that in Upper Cumada, and therefore the evil he cow. plains of will not oecur unlesis there be some different rule of increase from thit which has prevailed heretatare

Mr"MNKN-It amy whe inaging that the pepulation of the diferent pavinces in going to netave upon anj thag like the same rule, then I differ from him, I believe
there will be a very much more rapid rate of increase in some provinces than in others; a divergence between them in this respect, of the same kind, aud perhaps, even to the same degree as in the case of the United States. There, in the old states, at every decennial revision, the nutuber of representatives lessens, and in the new states it inereases, and that rapidly. It is only in the comparatively ferv states which may be said to be neither old nor iew that it requibs about the same.". The rule is one of change, for the country every where. A ny escape from change is the exception. And with us, those provinces which shall be fuund to increase faster than Lower ('anada, as some certainly will be, will re-divide their whole territory every ten years in order to iacrease their number of districts; and those which increase slower will do the same in order to cut some off. Erat Jower Cabada, to meet the varying rates of inchase of its several parts, will be drawn juto doing the same sort of thing:- I shall be told, no doubt, that this need not be-that mere partial ebanges here and there may be made to answer the end; but I krom that in the anture of things it will be, that suct partial changes will not be made the rule: The sweoping rule is laid down, in the abstract, of busing representation un mere population $;$ and that rule is sure tu'be followed out-not obly as between the sereral provinces, but "also as within each; and here agaio, not only as for Federal, but also as for prorincial legislation. For all legis. lative purposes, we must look to have all our territorial divisigns open to frequent, one might eay perpetual, reconstruection; 'and this subject perpetually to the disturbius influences of the party warfare of the hourThe exigencies of that warfare, we may be sure, will tell ; and whatever tho party in the asceudaut, whether in the country at larice or locally, will find means in this part of our machinery for advancing itsends-meaus met quite of the sort to commend therasetres to one's approval. ' (Hear, hiear.) It is chaned, I know, as a merit of this scheme, that it allows a five years' term to our House ol Commons, in place of the tro years' tern fixed lor the House of H-presebtatives. A part from theso decenaial revi-ions, 1 would be glad of this. But five is the hat of ten, I think: and though ourl fouses of Commons may. often wot faxt their full term, thele will. yct sel dom er uever, ia all probablity; be more thai either tro or three general elections held between any twodecenuial revisions. A less
satisfactory "arrangenent, if one is to think of our House of Commons at all treading in the footsteps of its great nomesake, I confess I can hardly imagine: There everything favors that com! ined steadiness and variety of docal influences upon the representative machidery which is at once characteristic of, and essential to, the British system, and "without which neither public:" parties nor - public men can act or last as it requires' they should. Here everything is to be allowed to tend in precisely the oppesite direction. Nor is this all. At home, while the constitueneles are wisely bept as lasting ts they can be, the members they return are all held mewbers of the one House of Commons, as hittle distinguished by the English; Scotch, Irish"or Welsh location of their constituencies as they well can be. Here, again, this United States system which tre are asked to copy; is the reverse; and the reverse of sound judgwent. The House of Representatives is on aggregate of state delegations, and our - mock House of Commons is to be an aggregate of provincial delegations. Each man is to come to it ticketed as an Upper or Lower Canadian, á New Bruńswicker, a Nova 'Scotian, Newfoundlander, a P'Iriace Edward Istander, or what pot. "These distinctions, Which," if "we "are" to" be a united pouple, we had better try to sink, we are to keep up ind examgerate. "The systemi will do that; and but too well. There is, however, one marked contrast as to this, between the system of the United - States and that proposed here. In the Lnited States, for the House of Representatives, the system is at least sure to work, Whether for good or evil: Theirs is a true. Fideration. Its founders took' care, when, with the foresight of statesumen, they arranged the detals of their cougtitution, to frame it so as to work in all its'important parts, and with that end they lett it mainly to the sereral states to work out the arithmetical rule laid döwn for these décénnial revisions, giving them such powers as to make sure that the thing intended would be really and punctually doae. I thought. when 1 read these resolutions first, that it was, of course, the intection of their-framers to adopt that system here; but we are now authoritatively told that it is not so. The General Parlament is alone to do the whote work of these re-divisiuns of the constituencies throughout the provinces," But, suppose that for any caunse, such as readily may suggest itself-under pretext of alleged
incorrectness of a census; or without pretest "it should" fail to discharge this duty promptly, or should discharge it in a ques:tionable way, or not at all-what then? Is the Imperial Parliament to reserve a rightof interference in such case; or is the doctrine broched the other night by the Attorney General for Lower Canada, as "to: its power to revoke our constitutional charters, to be acted on ?" I should fancy not. Bat why then, pretend to ask the Imperial Parliament to do so weak a thing as to lay down for us a bad rule for all time to come, merely that we may follow it or not, as in our wisdom or univisdomi we may please? Well, then, Mr. SPEAKER, I turn next to our Legislative Council - to little like the House of Lords, to bear even a mowent's comparison in that direction. It must be coupared with the Senate of the United States; but the differences here are very, wide. The framers of this Constitution have here coutrived a system quite different from that; and when we are told (as it seems We are) that the Legislative Council is to represent especially the Federal element in our Constitution, I do not hesitate to affirin that there is not a particle of the Federat principle about it ; that it is the nierest sham that can be imagined (Hear, hear.) To show the conirast.. The Senate of the United States consists of just two senators, freely chosen by the Legislature of 'each State of the Cyiun.

HON "ITTY. GEN. CIATIER-And sometimes by the Governor.

Mr. DUNKIN-That does not in'the least touch what I af saying: 1 say that the Senate consists of just two senators from each state, who are freely selected by the legislature ot each state. It is trae that in case of any casual vacancy power is given to the Gaveruor of the state to fill up such vacancy untif the next meeting of the legislature of the state . But it is the legislatures of the several states who regulariy eleet these senators from each, for a stated térm of cix years, and subject to an arrangement for their retiring in such rotation as never to leave any state unrepresented. Well, sir, the Senate of the United Stateŝ, thus constitui: ted of tro picked men from each state, and presided over by the Viee-President or by one of themselves, freely chosen by theuselves, have devolved upor them the fimportant judicial function of impeachmert. Even the President of the United Siates may. be impeached before them for treason or
malversation in office. They have a large share of executive power also; sitting in secret session upon all treaties and upon most appointments to office, that is to say, upon all appointments of the more important kind. There are appoiatments which the President may make without their concurrence; but as a rule, there are no important appointments which he can so make. Every treaty and every importañt appointment must go before them, and may be disallowed by them. Thoy further exercise coordinate legislative functions, as to expenditure and taxation; with the House of Represeptatives. From all the ef eircumstances combinedy the Senate of the United States is, I believe, on the whole, the ablest deliberative body the world has ever known. 'As to men of third and faurth rate importance finding their way there, it is hardly possible. The "members of the Scnate, almost withuat excéption, are first or second-rate men. There are no small menoamong them. (Cries of "Yes" fes!") Well, Mr. Spaiker; there is certainly no proportion of suaill men, comparatively speakiag.

Hon. Attr. Gen. CARTIER-It is a question at this monent as to the relative arerages of the House of Representatives and of the Senate. I heard it disenssed when I was in Washingtoin.

HoN Ma. HOLTON-Hear! hear!! Lookine to Washington. (Laughter.)

Mr. DLCNKI-What I say is, I beliene, fully borne ous by' constitutional writers of the highest mari-by : De"Tocqueville, Gheralien, and others. They say that the peculiar constitution and attributes of the seratronf the I $n i t e d$ states" have made it a delibera. tive body of the very highestmark. And even were it doubttul whetherion not in this respeet it is all I have called it; at least of this there can be no doubt at ail. As intended for the Fed ral check in the system of the United States, it is a machine simply perfect.? It is 'a very able, "deliberative body, of moderate numbers, carefully chosen: on the strictest principle of federation, changing constantly, and having, on every matter of importance, a voice and veto of the most efficient kind. For stopping everything, for bringing about adeadnck-all parts of their machinery viewel together-it affords no tormidable facilities; whilst for preventing anything from being doue which it may be to the public interest, or to that of any number of the states, to prevent, it is as perfect as can be: Look now on the other hand. Mr. Speaker, at the Le-
gislative Council under the proposed Confedcration ; what is it? There is a sort of attempt to prevent its numbers from resting on a population basis $;$ and this is about the only principle I can find in it. (Hear, hear.) It would seem to have been thought, that as the branch of the legislature was to be shared bètween the provinces in the ratio of their popalation, there must be some other rale followed for the Upper Chamber. So we are to have twenty-four for Upper Canada, twenty-four for Lower Canada, twenty-four for the three Lower Provinces, and four for Newfoundland; simply, I suppose, because the populations of these equalized, sections are not equal, and because four is not in proportion to the popilation of Newfoundland." (Hear, hear.) And these legislative councillors, thas limited in number, are to hold their seats for life." They are not to be even freely chosen, in the first instance, at least. from the principal men in each section of the country. They are to be selected, as far as possible, from the small number of gentiemen hodding seats in the present Legis. datire Council, either by the accident of their haviag been noxinated to them some time ago, or by the chances of popular election siuce; and until that panel is exhausted, nin other person in any proviuce is to be taiken: and hereafter, Mr. Suraker, as yacancits occur, they are to be filled as we are now told -and this is the strangest thing of all- not by the provincial legislatures, nor by, any authority or under any ayowed influence of the local kind, but possibly by the General Government. ¿And forsooth, this is eallent the Federal feature of vur systein! (Hear, hear.) : The vacincies, to be sure, in Lower Capada are to be filled by selection of individuals having or bolding property in Lower Canada,-and more than that in particular territorial divisions of Lorrer Canada! But are thise individuals to be ever so little chosen by the people of such teritorial divisions, or even of Lower Cunada, or with any necessary reference to their wishes in that behalf? Bless you, no ! not at alls That would go towards making a Federal body of this House! (Hear, hear.) It might then be something of a Federal check upon the General Goversment, and that would never do. But suppose this should happen-and honorable gentlemen opposite must admit that it may,-that in the Federal Executive Council sous one province or other-Upper Canada, Lower Canada, or any other, no matter whicheither is not represented, or is represented
otherwise than as it would wish to be. While thus out in the cold, a vacancy arises in the Legislative Council, requiring to be filled as for such province. Where is the guarantee that it will be filled on any sort of Federal principle? (Hear, hear.) And yet, what. worse wrong or insult could be put upon a province, than would be involved in the kind of selection likely under such circumstances to be made for it? Surely, Mr Speaker, this Legislative Counsil constituted so differently from the Senate of the United States, presided over by a functionary to be nomin:ated by the General Government; having no sach functions of a judicial or esecutive ©haracter as attached to that body, and cut of from that minute oversight of the finances which attaches to the Senate of the United States; although it may be a firstrate deadlock ; although it may be able to interpose an absolute reto, for no one can say how long, ou all legislation,' would be no Federal check at all. . I believe it to be a very near approach to the worst sy"stem which could be devised in legislation.' While the Senate of the United Ntates is nearly perfect in tho one way, our Legislative Council is to be as nearly perfect in the other way: (Laughter.) The Hoñ. At-- wrmey General for Upper Camada. the other night, devised and stated just the cleverest defence he could, of this constitution of the LegisLitive Council: But what did it amount to? Nothing. Me undertook to tell us, that froin the ordinary conrse of events, the deaths to be counted on in a body numbering its certain - proportion of elderly neen, zud so forth, the [emenal composition of this Council would not chane so slowly as many feared. He also urged that those who thus found their way into it would be buthen ater all-perhaps politicians a little or more than a litile given bi complaisance-but at any rate men, who mold know they lad no great persoual hold on public confidence; qual so, that they might whetines even yedd to pressurc too easily, in Phe of resistimg it two much. Well, stry hupe heard it said that every government in the world is in a certain sense a constitutional novernmeat-a goverament, that is to say, tenipered by check of some kind. Tho despotisn of the Grand ITurk his, been said io have its coistitutional check in a salutary fear of the bur-string; and there may prove to bo something of the same sort here. 'But I confess I do not like the quasi-despotism of this Legislativa Conacil, cyea though so tempered. Reprasenting no publio opinion or real power of uny kind, it may hurt the less;
but it can never tend to good, and it can never last. Itis satisfactory for one to find that in this views I do not stand alone: This plan is condemned, not simply by the Colonial Secretary, but by the Imperial Government, as one which cannot be carried out. The Imperial authoritics cannot but see that a body appointed for life and limited in numbers, is just the worst body that could be contrived-ridiculously the worst.

Hon. Atty. Gen. CaRTIER-Do they say it is the worst ?

Mr. DUNKIN-I say it is the worst. They say it is bad. It is condemned by-Her Majesty's Government, in diplomatic terms it may be, but in sufficiently cmphatic terms. I believe Her Majesty's Goyernment regard it as I do-as pretty nonsensical. I know it may be said that Her Majesty's Govermment, perhaps, may apply a remedy by leaving out the provision about a linited number of members.

Hon ATyt. Gen. CARTIER-That is, our sceurity:

Mr. DUNKIN-Security it is none, but the very contrary. But, Mr. Speaker, even though this should be done, or though the Imperial Aet should even not state the restrictions by which it is proposed to limit the Crown in its first ehoice of Legislative Councillors, such remedy. would be the merest palliative imaginable. The restrictions on such choice would be maintained in practice all the same; and even the limitations as to uumber would remain as an understood rule, to be: set aside for no cause, much less grate than might suffice to sweep sway even ti clause of an act of the Imperial Yarliament. Before leaving this subject, Mr. Speaker, let me ask the attention of the House:for a fer moments to the past history of Canada in respect of our Legislative Council., (Hear, heăr:), Did it not happen, as matter of fact, that the first Lergistative Council of Genada, not being limited in point of numbers, being like the House of Lords in that respect-the Crown, I say, having the full choice of its members, and full control over their number-did it. not happen, I say, that its mombers were most of them, for some time, named from one side in politics? Tho gentlemen named by Lord Sydeinas and his immediato successors, were, undoubtedly, most respect/ble. There was nothing out of common coulse that I see about these appointments; thely were party, political aprointments of the ordinary kind. And under this proposed scheme the same kind of thing would naturally happen again,

But in 1848, with a change of government, it became necessary to carry through Parliament a measure or measures to which it was, well known that a large majority of this Upper House were decidedly opposed. There had to be some talking about a swamping of the House -a similar step to what was threatened once in the constitational history of Great Britain. It was not really done. ? It did not need to be done, or at least, it only needed to be done in part; the peculiarity of the position of honorable gentlemen, and the impossibuity of their standing aut beyond a certain point, made it unnecessary to carry out the threat to extremity. But it was carried far enough to destroy their selfrespect, and the respect of the pablie for them: It was felt that they had no sufficient status in the country;' they sank in publie pinion, and sank and sank until erery one quietly acquiesced in the change which" was afterwards made in the Coustitution of the Council. (Hear, hear.) I do not overstate the truth when I say that the legislatire Council so sank in public opinion, Fecause there was no machinery by which public opinion could act upon' it, except that of afurther creation of counciflors by the Crown, and there being no other way of averting a deadlock, they had to be made to feel that in case of extremity their power would not be found equal to their will:
Hoy, Artr. Gey oARTIER=That is because the number was unlimited.

Mr. DUNKIN-If the Crown had not been able to increqso the number, those honorable gentlemen might have stood out against the popular demand, watil a revolution had sirept them away, or they might have shrunk. befure the fear of it; as it was, they gave "way under a milder pressure. (Hear, hear.)

Hon. ATty Gen. CARTIER-There is a central power ua all things. There is a centrifugal force and a centripetal force. Too much of either is dangerous, and what true in the physical world, is true also ift the political world:

Mr. DUNKIN-Certanly. $\because$ But I do not see 'that that' has unch to do with the reuarks I an just nuw offering:" (Heaŕ; hear., I say the elective Legislative Council was rendered necessary, in the opinion of the country, by this unfortunate state of things, even though the system then in existence was nut so bad as the system now ofiered for our accept'ance. 'There wis, then the power constitutionaliy given to the Crown to auzment the nambers of the Legislatike Conacil, so that the
gentlemen constituting that body could recede before the determined expression of the public will, as gracefully as did the House of Lords on the mèmorable dccasion I have already alluded to." Had that House not yielded in those days of the Reform Bill, even the Crown of Great Britain might not haveescaped the consequences of a bloody revolution. That House might have becu constitutionally omnipotent, but its physical was not equal to its constitutional capacity." What is it that is proposed to be pivenio to us here? A body not at all weighty in the influence of its members, and which, it is said, will have to shirigk from the exerciso of its prerogatives :Ido not know whether it will ar not. - But I had rather not give to a body of men limitud in number-though even solittle weighty in the community-an absolute: reto on all legis lation, for so long as the Almighty may bo pleased to continue them in life. I think a much better', system" could be devised-nay, I an sure of it: At all events, here is this proposed body, whieh, we are told, is to be Federa, but whichisis not to be so. We are told it is to be a constitutional check; but it is not to be that either. It is rather, I take it, a cleverly devised piece of de:d -lock machinery, and the best excuse made for it is, that it will not be stroug enough to do vear all the harm it spens meant to do.' Her'Majesty's Government condemas it: It may not be necessary that we should say with very anarked emplasis how we join in that condemnation. (Hear, hear.) I have then shewn, I think, Mr. Speaker, asregards our House of Commons, that we have not reached perfection; and that, as regards our house of Lords, we have not come nequr: it. I puss. on to the Executive. Here, too, there is to be "a very wide differeuee betwen" our proposed system and that of the Uuited States: To begin, they have an elcetive president, closen for a short term; with all the evils, therefore, of frequent presideutial elections, aggravated by the president's allowed capacity for reclection. No doubt, we avoid these ; our Vicepoy, or Goveruor General, is not to bo elective/ Nobody proposes that do not think. paybody ever did propose it. And the guthors of this project have, therefore, no great right to take credit for this, any more than for their unasked offer to continue. Her Most Gracious Majesty upor the throne, or in other words, create her Queen of British North America, by the grate of the Queber Conference ! (Laughter.) This, however, Mr. Speaker, by the way.. What is more in-
portant to notice in this connexion, is the marked distinction on which I have already touched, between the United States system; which devolves in part upon the Senate-and our system, which devolves not at all upon the Legislative Council, but wholly on the Executive Council, . the duty of advising and aiding the head of the Governmeat in the discharge of his executive functions. As I have saia; in the United Statés the Senate has large executive functions.

Hon. Atty Gen. CARTIER-Without Jesponsiblilty for their advice. We have responsibility, and in that respect our system is better.
Mr. DUNKIN-My honorable friend says "without responsibility." I rather think not." Take the case of a senator from Massachusetts or New York. I rather think he-will feel himself very distinetly responsible to the state he represents: He is not responsible to the whole people of the United States, nor is the Senate, as a whole, collectively respousible. But each sénator is particalarly and penonally responsible to his own state, and acts under "a sense of that responsibility. (Hear, hear.) Take the case which occurred a number of years ago, when President JAckson named, as Minister to the British Court; Martin Van' Buiben, afterwards his suecessor in the presidential chair. A majority of the Senate disaliowed that nomination: Did not the seators who voted for or asainist that noimination, do so under a weighty, practical responsibility? Every man-ot them did. They voted in the view and under the sanction of that responsibility; and some of them had to pay for the exercise of it:. And so they do, all along (Hear, hear.) This, however, is a digression into which I haro been led by the remark of my honorable friend. I return to the line of argument I was pursuing: What I am jast now shewing is, that in respeet of the coustitation of the executive power, this scheme urged upon our acceptance diffors toto celo from the system in operation in the United States. I shall consider presenty the ques tion of its advantages or disadrantages. In the United States, as is admitted, the Semate does a certain part of what we undertake here to do by means of a Cabinet. The Federal cheok so exeroised by the Senate renders unnecessary, for any Federal purpose, the existence of a Cabinet. Indeed they do not want a Cabinet for any purpose at all. It is not of their system. But here, with our chiel magistrate not elected, we must have one. And
yet, how are we to make it work, engrafted on a system which, in its essentials, is after all more Ameriéan than. British ? This is what I have now come to: I have to ask honorable gentlemen opposite how they are going to organize their Cabinet, "for these provinces, according to this so-called Federal sichome ? (Hear, hear.) I think I may defy them to shew that the Cabinet can be formed on any other priciciple than that of a representation of the several provinces in that Cabinet. It is admitted that the provinces are not really represented to any Federal intent in the Legislative Council. "The Cabinet here must discharge all that kind of function, which in the United States is performed, in the Federal sense, by the Senate And precisely as in the United States, wherever a Federal check is needed, the Senate has to do Federal duty as an integral part of the Exeeutive Govermment. So here, when that check cannot be so got, we must seek such substitute for it as we may, in a Federal composition of the Executive Council; that "is' to say, by making it distinctly representative of the provinces. Well, I must say that this sort of thing is utterly variant from, and inconsistent. with British practice and British principle; whth the constitutional system which makes the whole Cabiuet responsible for every act of government. The British Cabinet is no Cabinet of gections,' but a unit.: In illustrathen of the view which I ain anxious to impress upon the judgment of the House, let me revert for a moment to our Canadian history. I can only low forward to the futurs by the lights given me "by': the past. The union of the Canadas, consummated in 1841, tras in legislative union. There was nothing in it savoring ever so faintly of Federalism; unless it were the elause which declared, and quite unnecessarily declared, that there should be an equal representation in the Legislative-Assem: bly of Upper and Lower Canada respectively. If the Union"Act had merely distributed the coństituencies in suoh a way as to give equality of representation to Upper and Lower Canada, it would have done for practical purposes all it did. But besides doing thils, it quite uselessly added in terms that the numbers were to be equal-subject always, however, by a strange anomaly, to our declared power thereafter by legislation of our own to disturb that equality, if wo pleased. Well, gir, when an Excoutive Government had to be first organized for Canada, Lord Sydenhas was obliged to call into his Cabinet certain officials whom he found in Upper and

Lower Canada respectively, and he did so without observing any rule of equality as to their numbers.' Indeed, until 1848, equality in: the representation of the two sections of the province in the Cabinet was never seriously aimed at. - In 1848, from considerations of is peculiar character-perhaps more personal than political-the usage was commenced, and it has since been persevered in, of having a Premier and a süb-Premier, and a Cabinet organized under them, respectively; in two sections-of course equal in numbers," or as nearly so as possible. And on this usage and in connection with it have developed themselves all those double majority and double ministry nations and practices whech again of late hate so constantly "been leading us into all manner of constitutional difficulties. ' (Hear, hear.) 'It has been found again and again impossible to constitute a satistactory winistry of two sections; because one or other of the two sections, if they came together on any basis of real political agreement, was so very iikely not to be able to comuand a majurity of its sectional representation in this House. It wis, practically, a division of the House, as well as of the Governiment, into two section-practically, all but a government by two miobstrie; and with two Houses. We did uot quite admit, to be sure, that there were two ministries; although," by the way, at one time--I refer to the time of" the first proposed vate of want of confidence in the Macdunald-Dobion ministry-a motion was on the point of being made-notice of it was given-whech positively did speak of a Lower Canadian ministry as contradistinguished from an Upper Canadiai mipistry. I go into this to shew that already, in, Canada, the fore of circumstances has been one too many tor as, aud has inflicted upon us a system more cumptex-less workable-than obtiins in England. $\therefore$ With us, as at home, the Constitution makes the whole Ministry, cullectively, repposible for all the acts it pertiorms; but it is well known that here, for all practical purposes, we have for years had our Ministry actiag by two sections - each section mith a chict of its own, to is lar'ge extent a policy of its own, and the responsibility of leading and governang a section of this House of its own. . Hear, hear.). We have been federalising our Constitution after a very new and anomalous fashion ever since 1848 , and by that more than by angthing else, have been gerting ourselver into chat sort of difficulty in which we have latterly found ourselves. (Hear, hear.) And now, Mr. Speakge, 1 just want to know
hew this proposed scheme is going to work in this respect?: "As we have seen, it starts with a principle, as to the election of the Honse of Commons, which must involve the arraying on the floor of that House, not of a set of members of Parliament coming there to judge and to act each for the whole of British North America, but of a certain fixed number of Upper Canadians, à certain fixed number of Lower Canádians, a certain Gixed number gij $_{j}$ Nova Scotians, of New Brunswickers, of Prince Edward Islanders, of Newfoundlanders, of Red Kiver men, of men from. Vanconver's Island, of British Columbia men, of Sas katchewan men-each to act there for his own province. (Hear, liear.) If we ever get all these territories laid out into provinces, we are to have just so many sections, numerically most unequal, upon the floor of this House, and the only abiding distinctions between members will be those represented by the territor ial líqes between their proviuces. The Legig Lative Council, we hare seen, will not be the check which these sections, will require. The Executive Council has got to be that check, and in the Executive Council these sections will hase to reproduce themselves.' Apart trom the provinces or vast territory to the west of us, we shall thas have our six such sections on the floor of the Commons Honse, with their six corresponding sections in the Executive Council, and six parliamentary nnajorities to be worked together, if posivible, while hitherto we have found our two sections and two wajurities one too many.. Our constitutional difficulties, 1 repeat, are referable to that very practice, and so it is propused that we should try a system three times-and wore than three times-more complex still. (Hear; hear.) That cleverest of politicians whi, fir two or three years rundidg, under such a system, shall have managed to carry on his Cabinet, leading six or more sections in our Commons House, six or niore sectiong in the Legislative Council, and, forsooth; sir or more lucal purliaments and litutenant-goreruors, and all the rast of it besides-that gitted nam who shall have done this for two or three years ruaning, had better be' seat home to teach Lóds Palmeiston and DebBy their political alphabet. $\therefore$ The task will be intinitely more difficult than the task these English statesmen find it none too easy to undertake. '(Hear, hear.)

Hon. Atty. Gey. Cartier-There will be no difficuley:
Mr. DUNKIN-The hon. gentleman ueves sees a dificulty in angthing he is going to do.

Hon Attr: Gen Cartier-And I have been generally pretty correct in that. I have been pretty successful. '(Hear; hear.)

Mr. DUNKIN-Pretty successful in some things-not so very successfal in some others. The hon. gentleman has beeu a good deal favored by accident. But I am not quite certain that I believe in the absolate omniscience of anybody. (Hear, hear.) But now, if this Executive Council is to have in "it, as I am sure it must have, in order to work at all; a representation of the different provinces, all or vearly all of them, let us look for a moment at what will have ts be its number. There are two ways of caloulating this-two sèts of data on which to go. Either we mast go upon what I may call the wants of the component parts, or we may start from the wants of the country as a whole. Suppose, then, we start from the wants a the different provinces. I take it that no section of the Cobfederation ean well have less thap one representative in the Cabinet. ${ }^{\circ}$ Prince Edward Island will want ope; Newfoundland, one. A difficulty pre-, sents itiself with regard to Lower Canada. On just the same principle upon which. Lower Canada wants, for Federal ends, to have a proper representation in the Executive Council, on that same principle the minority popalations in Lower Canada will: each want; and reasouably want, the same thing. We have three populations in Lower Canada--the French-Canadians, the Irish Catholics, and the British Protestants In other words, there are the Catholics, and the non-Catholics, and the English-speaking and the non English-speaking, and these tivo cross-lines of divisina cut our people into the thren divisions I have just indicated Well, if in - a goverament of this Federal kind the different populations of Lower Canada are to feel that justice is done them, none of the $m$ are to be there ignored. I he consequences of ignoring them might not be very con:fortable. Heretolore, according to general usage, the normal antount of representation for Lower Cauada in the Executive Council has been six seats out of twelve. Uf those, four may be said legitimately to belong to the FreachCanadians, one to the Irish Catholics, and oue to the British Protestant class. Everyoue is satisfied that that is about the fairest thing that can be dope. There have been times when these proportions have varied. There have been exceptional times when the British Protegtant popalation has had to put up with a Soliaitor-Goneral out of the Cabinet,
and has done so with no very loud complaint. There has vever been a time, I think, when there was not an Irish Catholic in the Cabinec. There have been titites when the number of French-Cauadians has been less than four, and there was then much complaint: Six members-four, oue and one-are just about. what you"must give to please each section of Lower Canada. W-ell,sir; if there are to be six-for Lower Canada, there must be six or seven for Upper Canada, and you cannot very well leave less than three each for Nova Scotia and New Brunswick, and, as I have said, ope each for Newfoundland and Prince Edward Island ; and thus you have an Executive Council of turenty or twenty-one members, besides all we might have to add for other provinces; and this.I rather think, is a little too many "The thing could not be done; for so large a Cabinet could never work. Suppose then, on the other hand, that we start with the idea of limiting the number of our Bxecutive Council to meet what I may call the exigencies of the country as a whole. Eieven, twelver thirteen-the latter, as an hon. mem"ber observes, is an unlucky number-will be" as many as we can possibly allow." "Of this number one, as before, will be wanted fur Newfoundland and one for Prince Edward Island. If one is wanted for each of the little provinces, New Brunswick and Nova Scotia will be sorely discontented unless they get, at least, two -apiece ; and - ieither Lower Canada nor Upper Canada. will be contented with the three left for each of the is. 'And for Lower Canada, in particular, how will anyone divide this intractable figure between : her French, Irish and British? Shall we give them one apiece, wad ask the French-Canadian elotinent to be content with one voice in a cabinet of a dozen?-0r, give that element two, without satistying it-so leaving out either the Irish. or the lritish, to its intensedisgast? -or;give the prepunderating element the whole, to the iutense dingust of both the others? It. will be none too easy a task, sir, I think, to form an Executive Conncil with its three members for lower Canada, and satisfy the somewhat pressing exigencies of her ereeds and races

Hon Atpy Gen. CaRTIER-Hear! hear!

Mr. DUNKIN - The Hon, Attorney General East probably thiuks he will be able to do it.

Hon Atry Gen Cartierr-I have no doubt I can. (Laughter.)

Mr."DUNKIN一Well, I will say this, that"if the hon: gentleman" can please all patties in Lower Canada with only thiee members in the Executive Council, he will prove himself the eleverest statesman in Canada.

Hon Atty Gen. CartikR-Fpon Whose authority does the hon gentleman say there will be only three?

Mr: DUNKIN-The hon", gedteman has evidently not been listening to my her of arguaient, and I do not think that, to enlighten him, I am called upun to purish the House by going over it all again. Hear, hear.) What L say is that if the number of the Executive Council is: fixed according to the wants of the country as a whole, and not to what I may call the local rants of the several provinces, there will be iv all some eleven: twelve or thirteen maber: and you will have a number so small in proportion to the various interests to be"satisfied, that it will be extremely difficult to avoid serious trouble in the matter of its local distribution. $\because$ On the other hatid, if you give all the localities the number they had need hate, on local grounds', the ('ouncil will be too large to work - It will be practically impossible to meet the needs of all the proyinces; and yet, none can be left out in the cold, on pain of consequences (Hear. hear.)

Hon.. Atry Gey CARTIFR-1 hen the matter is brought to a cest. the hon: 'gentleman will see that he has agpravated the difficulty:

Ma. DUNKLN-Stivey Smiti 'once said of" a leading Cabinet minister at boune, that he would be willing at the shortest notice either to undertake the duties of the Archbishop of Canterbury or to ussume command of the Chavnel fleet. ( laughter.) "We have some public" men in this conatry who, in their own judgacent." bave ample capacity tor assuming the responsibilisy aud diseharging the functions of these two high posts, and perhaps of a tield marshat or commander-in-ithief.. besides. '(henewed lauizhter.)

Hon Atty Gen. Cartier-I would say, that althongh I do not feel equal to the task of commanding the Channel fleet or tilling the uffice of Archbishop of Canterbiay y, 1 do feel equal to the work of forming an Ex. ecutive Council that vill be satisfactory to Upper and Lower Canada, as well as to the Lower Provinces. (Hear, hear, and laughter.)

MR. DUNKIN-Well, it will require, in my opinion; something more than bold asser.: tion, and capacity for a hearty laugh, to overcome the dificulty that will some day or other be presented. (Hear, hearv) And. now: sir, I come to speak of the relations to subsist between this. Federal power and the different provinces, as compared with thoses between the United States and the different states. Again, the comparison has to be* made much more with the Unit:d States system thair with that of Great Britain; although, unfortunately, perhaps, there-is in this part of the seheme some confusion of inconsistent features of the two systems. Gieat Britain has not yet, in any true sense of the term, federated herself with any of her' collonies. She justr retains a "nominal supremacy orer them.

Ma. Scoble It is a real mupremacy.
Mr: DCNKIN - No ; it is only nominal as regards its "esercise. It is not real in the rense of amounting to a substantial, practical exereise of poiver over the colonics.. For these nearly five and twenty years past: I call to mind po legislative act of nurs dis. allowed by the Home Governwent:
An Hov NEMBER-Yesorethere was un-Mr.Mincks' Currency Act."

Mn. DUNKIN-Well, I believe that was But in that caserwe got our own way in effect directly afterwards: I am referring uare particularly, of eourse, to what may be called the conduct of our own domestic affairs. There is no mistake but we have had given to us by Great Britain a control practically unlimited over our own affairs; she lets us do what we like, while profensing to retain a perfect nominal supremacy over. us. She appoints our Governor Geueral., but when he comes here, he does what we want, not what she may want. She can, if she likes, disallow all our statutes; but for all practical purposes she never does. Sthe, may if she chooes, atter or repeal the Charter of our liberties which she granted to us, but she never thinks of doing such a thing, and ke know she will not. Well, here in this proposed Constitution-looking to the relations which are to subsist between. the Federation and the provinces-in lieu of a real Federatiou, such as subsists between the Uuited States and the difforent states, we find an attempt to adopt to a considerable extent the British sys em of a stated supromacy, not meant to be in fact the half of what it passes for in theory. But, however such a system may wort as bebweon: Great

Britain and her colonies, it by no means follows that it admits of extension to this case: If the vaguely stated powers of our so-called. Federation are to be merely nominal, they will be insufficient, if not nominal, they will be excessive. Either way, the United States idea of ar attempted precise statement of the powers meant to be given and used, is the true one. What, then, is the system adopted in the United States, as regards these relations between the Federal power and the severil stattus" There are two leading principles, and very sound principles, that pervade it... In the first place the United States, by its Constitution, guarantees to every state in the union a republican form of government; by which is meantia Constitution in the main, analogous to that of the United States-an elective executiye, an elective second branch, an elective popular bratu-b-the whole without what we here call responsible government. This is what ecerybody understands as the republicain systew. Accordingly, just the same sort of thing in principle and in all its great outlines as the"Constitution of the Cnited States; is the Coustitution ot each separate state of the union. And in the second place, along with this uniformity in principle and outlines betrieen the Coastitution of the (nited States and chuse of the different states, there is establisheda very exact system of what 1 may call limited state autonomy. The state, whin its certain range of subjects, does what it likes, and is as free to act as the United States; it hàs its own functions, and within the liinits of those function's aubody coutrols it. The United States have their special functions also, and with ip the range of those functions can, in turn, control everything. The respective judiciary systems of the state and of the (inited States, are further so coutrived as to be the most perfect check that can well be inagined to secure the smooth and steady working of this, Federal national machinery:- It is a comples piece of nachinery, it you will ; there are many delicate parta in it, one depending aicely upion another; bus, upon the whole, it has worked pretty well for many years, and may go on working pretty well for many more:
Hon Atry. Gen. UARTIER-But the judges are electèd.

Ma DUNKLN-bues the hon, gentleman mean to tell this House that the priweiple of elective judges forms a part of the constitutioaal system of the Cnited States? Why, sip, an elective judiciary is a mere escrea-
cence of quite late growth, and has not fastened itself on the systen of the United States at all. It is not even as yet adopted by nearly all the individual states, but only by some of them. It is an excrescence which the founders of the United States system; never', I fancy, thought of, or in all human probalility they would have expressly provided against it.: (Hear; hear.) But now, sir, what is the system we are going to adopt-according to these resolutions ? : What are the relations to be established between our general and local governments?" We are told to take for granted that no elashing of interest or feeling need be feared; that the Federal union offered us in name will be a legislative ninion in reality, Yet, who ever dislikes the uotion of a legislative union is assured it will be nothing of the sort. Now, sir, I do not believe that you can have all the adr atages of these two systems combined in one. (Hear, hear.) A Legislative union is one thing; a Federal union is another. The same system cannot be both at once. You cannot devise a systeru that shall have all the advantages of the one and of the other; but it is quite possible that you may devise one that will combine the chief disadvantages of both, and that is; I fear, pretty mueh what this systent does. (Hear, hear.) Let the first take one leature of the scheme, or, 1 might say, one absence of a feature from the spheme-the nonprovision of anything like provincial constitutions. " We are not told about them; they are kept back completely in the dark; it is part of the seheme that we are not to know what it ineans them to be. (Laughter.) It is part of the scheme, too, from all appearanco, shat they may not be at all alike. For anything I can see, Nova Sootia will have a right under this scheme to dyrise a system of responsible government, with a cabinet and two braiches of the legislature. New Brunswick, if it pleases, may have only Cine legislative body, with or without responsible goverument: So may the Prince Edward Island people have anything they like; and the people of Newfoundland may do what they like, and so may we in Canada. Lower Canada miay even have a constitution of one tind, and Upper Cauada one of a totally different kind." There may be no two of uar six or more local constitutions framed on the same model. (Hear, hear.) It seems to be meant that these constitutions shall be as varied as the people of the differeat provinces may see fit to make them;
nay, there are even left to the people of the different provinces the same large powers for amending them afterwards. To be sure there is the grand power of disallowance by, the Federal Government; which we are told, in" one and the same breath, is to be pussessed by it, but never ex ercised.

Hon. Atty. Gen Cartier - The presumption is, it will be exercised in case of unjust ar unwise legislation.

Mr. DUNKIN-The hin. gentlenian's prosumption reminds me of one, perhaps as conclusive, but "which Dickens tells us faited to satisfy his Mr. Bumble. That henpecked beadle is said to have said, on hearing of the legal presumption that a man's wite acts under his control:-" If the law presames anything of the sort, the law's a fool -a natural fool!" (Laughter.) "If this permission of disallowance rests on a presumption that the legislation of our provinces is going to be unjust or un'wise, it may be neeced; but ander that idea, one night have done better either bot to allow, or else to" restrict within nairower limits, such legislation. If the promised non-exercise of the power to disallow rests on a presumption that "all will be done justly and wisely in the providical legislatures, the legislative power is well giveu ; but then there is no need, on the other hand, for the permission to disallow "(Hear, hear.) I repeat, this system, or no-system, aims at nothing like vuiformity between the general and local constitutions, or between the lucal constitutions themselves ; and in this respèct, it is essentially at variance with the much wiser system adopted in the Enited States: It further alluws of no real autonowy; in fact, the only trace of uniformity it can be said to have sbout it, consists in its disallowanee of all antonomy to the provinces:" (Hear, hear): Now, let me take up thos fer features that undoubtedly are given to us, as characterizingour provincial system. Wide as we have seen the lattude is which the provinces may take in framing their constitutions, there are a few matters as to which the syutem lays down an iron rule. There is the appointment of a lieutenant-suverbor which is to be ves ed in the General Govirument. It is not said in so many words that he is to be a colouist, but I think it may be taken for granted that he will be. It is avi very likely that we shall gei any right howis. rable geutlewan or emineot statesman, from home, to come out here for an appointment of that kind; and I take for granted, there.
fore that the General Government will always nominate Mr. Somebody or other, of local distinction, to this office of lieuterant governor. . An hon. gentleman opposite. (I beg his pardou for noticipg his gesture, sems never to bave had the thought cross his mind, that perhaps if he were named to it; there might be a doubt in some quarters as to his eutire fitness for it." (Hear; hear.) But: seriously these lientenant-governors thus selected, are all to hold office by a very peculiar'tenures They are not to be remorable except by the Federal power; nor by it within the term of five years, exeept: for cause, which cause must be stated in writiog, and laid before both branches of the Federal Parliament." For five years, therefore, they may be said to hold office during good behaviour. They are to be paid, too, by the Federal power. They are to exercise the reprieving and pardoning power, subject to such instructions as they may receive from the General Government from time to time:" And they are to have the initiation, by message, of all money bills, and the power to reserve bills for approval of the Federal Governuient. They are to have these leading functions of the. nominated lieutenant governors under our system, but with one most marked differ-eute- the attribute of non-removability, Beyond: these few points, the resolutions leare us all as sea, Save as to these, they leave roon', as we have seen, for the widest divergencies of constitution. To be sure, I gather one hint more, not from the resolu. tions theuselves, but from the dispate 'sent alonywith thens to the Colonial Secretary, by the' Governor General, and this is, that according to the view of our Canadian Gopernment, the provinciar legislatures had better be tramed on the one chamber principle. 1 presume this will hardly be gainsayed by the houyrable gentemen who have laid the disputeh before us, and which kupplies this feature thatiwe cannot find in the resolutions themselves. Says the dispateh :-

For the parpose of lural administration, it is proposed to have in each provinco an executive whiler, to be appointed by the Governor, and removable by him for cause to be assigned, asststed by a legrslative body, the conasitation of which it is propused to leave to the decision of the present locall le gislatures, subject to the approbation of the ․ mperial Government and Parlia. ment.
But, sir, whether qar loeal legislaturea are. so be of one house of tro, or bomover othero
wise sny of our provinces may experiment, in the way of variation, in framing their constitutions; at least there must every where be sime attempted approach, in principle. to oue or other of the two great divergent systems-the British on the one hand, with its responsible Cabinet-the American, on the other, with out. That you cannot work the problem on the former of these"two plans, I will show presentiy. For the latter; Mr. Speaker, in the States, it is always carried on with two elective houses, never with one, and with an electivo governor; and all' are chosen for terms that are not long. It could not be made to work otherwise. An appointed gévernor, holding independently, for a terc not short, and above gll, with only one House, is an experiment as new and unpromising as need ber.. For a moment, before going further; I revert to the principle on which the Federal Executive is to be constituted.. We are promised there a cabinet, respongible after the British model, and strangely ant anomalously as we have seen that it will have to be organized, in sections to represent our provinces, we must. understand that the Britiah principle of its joint responsibility is to be and will be carried out. But it is of the e.sence of responsible government, that with its, responsibility such government should have power:- No ministry can be auswerable tor the entire goverament of a country, unless it has the power to control in some way or other, and ta the requisite" extent, the course of affairs. "If we are going to build up or uffer in the country any power too strong for it to deal with, it "will cease to be' respon'" sible. It must' be ablo to overcome opposition, and that in a constitutional manaer. Yet, acoording to this scheme, independently of and besides all the ditficuities our sectionally-organized Federal Cabinet will. find in dealing with its sectionally-urganized Federal Legislature, it is to have these provincial goveraments also, to embarrass it. Let these last be what you will, responsible or republican, or gome of them the one and sowe the other, so soon as they begin to act for themselves, so soon you haves $s$ t powers. in aetion that cannot long move together without clashing, and yet neither of which can overcome the other, unless by practically deatroying it, or in other words, by revoluy tion. (Hear, hear.) Whether we adopt. one system or another, we must create the proper machinery for carrying out whatever aysiom wa adopt. And the plain truth is,
that the Federal system is simply inconsistent with the first principles that must prevail in a properly organized British responsible central government. (Hear, hear.) Indeed, aside even from Federalism, the British system and the republican are antagonist in principle; neither of them will work mixed up with the other 'You must be content with one or other, and must not commit the folly of atteupting any new, untried, mongrel system, or compound of the two - such as nokody can shew to be capable of being worked at all. And now; Mr. Speaker, let us jugt fol low out the course of our distinguished fel-low-colonist who is trying to govern some one of our proyinces under this proposed amalgamation of the two systems. We will stippose him a moss admirably fitted person for the post, the function of which he is called upon to exercise ; but" he must necessarily have one or two chuses of incapacitation, so to speak, for it. When Her Majesty appoints a governor to come out to Canada, or any other colony, she is presumed by every one here to have named somebody holding a good position at home, and somebody against whom no one in the colon'y can have any ground of dislike. He comes with a social rank and status presumedly higher than that of the people whom he is here to meet with and govern: Every one is disposed ta recogaise in him the representative of Her Majesty ; ; nd he has every chance of maintaining himself in that pleasant attitudethat of administering his government to the satisfaction, so far as such a thing is possible, of all parties. In adopting the views. of his constitutional advisers, he is not called upon to give up any views which he may himself be thought to entertain. He can expless to the people's representatives the vievs of his Cabinet, whether they be con:serfative or reform, or even though they be conservative this session and reform the ne $x$ t, without any sacrifice of position, no watter what hie own political views unay have been in the Mother Country. But suppose any of our politicians, whether of this province or of any other in the Confederacy, say Canada; Newfoundland or Nova Sobtia, to be assuming this role of lieuten-ant-governor in any of our provinces. He has this disadvantage to begin with; he has to that moment been passiag through that ordeal of abuse under which every prominent pablic man in this country must have sufcered bofore attaining any distinetion what:
ever (Hear, hear.) When a politician, Mr. Speaker, in the United States, who is obnoxions to the ill-will of any large body of the pecple, is there elected to be Governor of his state, the halo of his election : surrounds him with a something of political glory that throws into shade any stains on his political reputation. But if the governors. of the several states of the American Union: were appointed from Washington, do you think the people would put up with the results of such appointment, as they now do with those of their own choice; when they might feel that the man was even a despised, dishonest man, and his appointment as well an -insalt as a wrong? Who does not know that our chief public men of all parties have been so assailed, as to be heldat this múment at a paiofully loviv value by the larige section: of our people who have differed from their views? I do not say that they have deserved this fate, but the fact is undeniable that they have met it. Let any one of Jur dozen or twenty nost prominent Canadian politicians be named Lientenant Covernor of Upper or of Lower Canada would not alarge and poterful cliss of the commanity in either case to be governed, be very likely to resent the nomination as an insult?. Do not tell me that we are entering upon a new era, that all such things are pasued away, that we are to have a political uillenilum, by virtue wf this Confederation?' Come what may, we are going to have pretty sharp contests for place and power in the future as in the past. No matter uver what colony ap: pointed, or frow what colony, woming; a lieu-tenant-governor will have hard cards to play, and will have very much to pat up with frous. the people over whom he is satc on this mere score of his past political exploits And be will not tind it easy, either. sa get along without exciting a good deal of illfeeling, as he yoes. He has been known as a politucian, and will be held su be favorable or unfavorable to this or that party in the province te goveras. He will have stepped into po ition as, a statesman of the Confederation. No man so placed will be able to blot the record of his pist, or deny his partieipaition in this, that aud the other proceeding, which his opponent may choose to brind as perhaps next to criminal ; how then will $h$ ) be able to hold that position of squilibrium between political parties, which, if be is not to fall utterly in his roie of goveruor, he mustmaiotan? He will bex suspected, watehed, attaçed, vilified; must stick by frienda and
punish enemies; cannot win respect ${ }^{\text {esteem }}$ and sympathy; as a stranger might. Nor will he be free from another source of embarrass: ment: I incline to thiok there will be a sort of distinction between the two classes of politicians to grow up under our proposed Confederation: There will be those who will aim at and get seata in the Federal Legislature, and who may be denominated the senior or higher class of our politicians. It will be from this class that men will get into the Federal Executive Gouncil, into high-caste judgeships, lieutenant-governorships, "and other high places of the nem. system - "the chief seats in the "synagogue:" The lower seats with their less temptiag: prizes, will be left to the junior or lomer elass of our politicians... But if anything ever so little like résponsible government is to be carried out in the provinces, while the lientenant-governors must be taken from the former of these two classes, the members of any cabinets or quasi-cabinets that they may have (not to sat their provincial Premiérs evea, very likely), must be taken from the latier class. Do you mean to tell me that asgoveryor chosen from aunong our politiacians, of what I may call high caste, will put up with much of coutrol trota a lut of pultticians of low caste; sutting at his sham council board or forning his sham legislature? I fancy he will want to have-and will be held by his people to be rrantipg to have - a vast deal more of puwer than they will hke, or than any system ever so little free can allow of. And meantime, what of the power behind, and nominally above him -the Federal Executive-with its Premier, sections, and what not? Onee named, he is likely to feel every inch a gov arnor; might perhaps rua round to the, Premier and Ministry that had named him, and kell them in effect, though probably not in so may words :" I am here and you are thore. "I shall be caretul not to give you sufficient cause fur so beld a step as my dismissal, but there is a good deal t can do: il ain here for tive years ; and your tenure of office is less certain:" He may be drawn into this attitude by differences growing up"betweea himself and them. Or, the Federal Cabinet may so change its compositivn or poliey as to force such attitude apon him.' Why, Mr. Splaker, you may have a hieutegant-Governor-say of Luwer Canala-in open quarrel with the Premicer who named him, or with a successor of such Premier; the two, may be, not upeaking in the etrecte! He has
his seat for five years, and the unfortunate Federal Premier, his supposed master, Whose views do not agree with bis, may-".

A MENBER-Whistle! (Laughter:)
Mr. DUNKLN-Yes, may whistle-may find his Lieutenant-Governoricounter-working him in Parliament, in the Provincial Legislature; everywhere; and perhaps, in the encounter, may catch a very ugly fall. (Isaughter.) Mr. Speaker, let" me once again make reference to Canadian hiŝtory, Just betore the union of the Canadas, and after it, the late Lord Sypentiay, who was cettaibly not a fool, thought he would try a political experiment. I believe he made no secret of its being, to his oma mind, an experiment, nor yet of the fact that he did not suppess it would so far succeed as to last lovig. He was very anxions to introduce into Canada a municipal system. : Well: he tried first to get sisch a system embodied in the Voinn Aet; but he failed in that, He afterwards got his enactmext passed as the wished, for Lower Canadn", by the Special Gouncil', and for Upper Canada' by the ('apadian Parliament at its first session. That system had in it certain features of thiseheme now proposed firiour Gqufedration. Each municipal district was to have its warden appointel by the Goyernor (reneral, and to have its electel district council, or litte legiglature of one chatmber. "The powers of that little legislature, or large municipal bedy; were well stated. There was no mistake as to just how far it could go. The power of disallowing by-lawy passed by it, and ulso that of nominating the warden, were carefully réserved ta fioverument. And, mind gou, my Lord Syideniats did not make the blunder of leting his wardens hold otherwise than during pleasure.' He E! pr in his own hands all needed control over them ; and, by the way, he kupt, tio, what was me st material, the power of .'fs. bolviag any refractory council, in the hands of Government. The whole thing was -icely arranged, and was meant to work, and hord Syd nham probably thought it would work for some few years, and that then the dis; triets would outgross the system, and clect their own wardeus and pass their by-laws freely:- But, Mr. Sprakea, the plan never did work at all, neither in lotyer nor in Upper Canada; and the first thing done by the next Pariament was to sweep it all amay-nominated wardens nod power of disallowiug by-laws together Evorybody sam and felt that it was' a
real pomer and not a sham, that was so reserved to Government. And so it will be in this case. Your Lientenant-Governor will be felt to have' a real power, not a sham one." What your petty districts would not pat up with five and twenty years ago your provinces will not put up with now. Is a larger illustration wanted ? One comes readily to hand. The Imperial Government used ovice to try the experiment of sending out governors to colonies having representative institutions, without instructing them to pay due deference to those institutions, and it led to a most lamentable fallure " (Herr, hear) Are we going to try to work, in all these provinces, a worse system than that which,' when worked from the Colonial Office at home, resulted in what Lord Dubiany well called "constituted anarchy ?", If fe are, how long may we count on pusting off the conflict of authority that shall end in a complete crash of the entire fabric ? (Hear, hear.) Bat, Mr. Speiker. I have not eque to the crowning difficuites of this case, even yet. Not at all Between the states of the United States, as I hove already stated, while there is an essemtial identity of constitution, there is at the same time acarefully distinet wopration of povers tnd functions. I do not say that the dividing. line is drawn exaetly where it should be but that there is adistinct dividiag line, no ne cain gainsay: But hew do we staind here, Mr. Speaker, as to the atributes of our piva provincial legislatures ithd governiment, : ou the one hand, and the of the Felleral power on the other? " Wo we follow Amerien example and give so niuch to the union and the rest to the proviaces; or so much to them, and the rest to it? Either rule would be plain ; but this plan folluw"s neither: "It simsply gives us a súrt of special lint for each; making mucti common to both, and ay to much more, not shewing whats belonss' to cither. I cannot go now-it is impossible tor me at this hour of the nipht to go-into detail on this head. I can give an: unore than some fer specinens; and I take first the three. subjects of the fisi eries, agriculture, and immigration. These three subjects are equally assigned to the Gederal Lexislature on the one hand, and the Provincial Leqistature on the other. It is provided by the 4 th resolution, that in all such cases, wherever any statutes of the general and local parliaments clash, those of the General Parlianeat shall override those of the loeal. So that in these matters of the fisheries, agriculture and iumigration, either the local leyislatures must not legislate at all, or if they do
ts the General Legislature may at any time undo anything they may have done. One can easily" foresee any mount of clashing of authority in such cases. Fishery regulations of all sorts - boupties perhaps; the thousand questions affecting agricultire. Or to take just one that suggests itself as to im migration ${ }_{3}$ " one " province wishes, "perhaps, to encourage immigration of a certain kind, say, for instance, from the continent of Eu: rope. It is a legitinate wish ; but the Fed. eral Leeristature may, perhaps, in the rarying shifts of public opinion, adopt a different policy and reverse all that the provinee may haze done Ti, what end give powers to the locel parkaments which may thats be taken away at any moment by thatederal Legilature? (Hear, hear.) But, Mr. Avenk ER; there are a hundred other cuses ass to 'which I could satisfy the House, had I time for doing so, that more or less of this confu: sion arises. Take the subject of marriage and divoree for one-a subject on whicls there is a great deal of local prejudion "nd feeling. and into wheth even religious voinvictiouns larely enter. That matier is piven to the tieneral Lemindaturc.. Wut on the other haind the firger matter, civil riohts- of wheh this ont marriage and durorce from whe point in yitw, forms a micre patt-is piven to the lo cal hendatures 1 tura to amother nater, hap. laziril-tho subjects of ratway legishtion, of raikwy incorporation, and uf raitway amalga: mation. What herituture hat power in theme matters under this echeme - a minot nure that theri are not hore as nier a fot of prety little "pertions as mé would de-ire to see in a sum: mer's das. Dnd lan notane in the miter of this criticisth. Her Majesty's Colonial Serere tiry expreses an opinion, rather diphomatiablly, it is true but still an opinion on this Imint: and what doe the Colonid Secratiry say?-

The patat of phat ofapotance bo the prac:

 of the 'emben mothat wh the tra! beot hares


 mitht, (erlage, hatie beem drened with the sme plesty of the wistera: Bat, utat tho white, at


 lated 1- Whechare obviousty Enterded to secure to the $C+$ aral Guverstornt the meats if elfecive

 arise of any doubt were permitied to oxist as to
the respective limits of central and lacal authoritr.
It is perfectly plain from this that Her Ma jesty's' Government could sce that whatever may have been the intention, there has been. a yood deal of short-coming between it apd the cxecution. (Hear, hear.) A thing is not done by being merely intended. I Fill take now a criticism on the same point from the London Times, In an article most culogistic of these resolutions on the whole, the writer in the London Tinies says-" But the moist important clause in the whole resolutions and untortunately by no means the easiest to understand, is the one which defines the poisers of the Central Federal Legislature, He then quotes the worls of the resolutions; and goes on to say :

It is excedngly difficult to constrie these "piomions:' Fust veneral juwers of legestation are siven in the wilest terms tio she General Pati, ment: then a power isgiven especially to mation haw wa thry se vet subjects, one of those beina. all mathers of a zevieral character pot exelusivily reserved to the lecal legishaturey, Nothing: achainets irest red to the local hepishatures, and. it noud sem, the tefore, that she effect of tho clanse is the cut the power of cental, fezinhan down te maters of a general characte --a most
 if it be retained, to produre comella antiges fusign. In the same way, what are maters of a priyate and tocai patire not a-sismed to the Gen cral Pablamemt". We have failed, to "disconer any mathers of a private and loeal mature owhech afe syasipath, and therofure the finuer will b. hanited thy the wods "pris aty "amble layt.", No that the iff et of the ee chacis with thers be

 matrirs whetever they are, aty the local heqhlf ture tuer head matiery whaner thy are ; whe At in sithe hishegh derge dombeful what the comis

 dictese met privat and local matert orno:

The writer in the Topers bed on to sy and 1 have ereat respect for the opinime of these wribin when they eriticion what they guder. pamed. thatio thi have nom thaterer for them when thay tike it upon themelves to teth 10 what we how a cond deal better than they:-
 suecertho ot conpromises, and we can do in finther ste vice, te the foderative novement than dy hitis, carly puintiois them dut. The resolu thens hat for the eooperation of the loweil and

 they essume the furm of lav they will hive unde:
rime ronsideration and scruting fully commensu. iate to their importance.
I rather think this writer had little idea of what re were to be asked to do! He little thought that there was nut a word of alteration to be alluned ; that these resolutions were to be hid before Parliament, and that Marliment would be required to swallow then at once, defects and all. ( Moar, hear.) Well, Mr. Spenter, I haye stated shat in diphantic phase are the vietrs of Her Majesty's diovernment, and I have alko read thane of the leadiner journal ; and nory I desire to pute a fer expresions frim the last number of the Extiahirgh livieut: The Edinbuigh Reien is about ow an anthority as can bected on a question of this kind, for its articlos are never lishty written.

Hos J.S. MACDONALI-It is the organ of the Siberal-Whig party in Great Britain.

Mr. DUNKIN-Certainly, it is a most iasportint and intluential pubbication ; and there are a fow words that I desire to yuote from an artiele it contains on this subject. The articte is in the last or Janary namber of the Revier, and purports to be in commendation of this scheme. After giving the words of the resolations themselves on the "subject, and especially their residuary legacy, it I may so call it. to the General Legislature, of all mat. ters of angeneral character not specially and exclusively reserted for the local parlimments, this probably not undistinguished writer remark-‘Obitiousy very loosely expressed; thif what are anatters of a general character, and whe is to decide whether anatter is of a several character or not? "\% \% We should prefer to the foregoing enumeration of the piwers of the Federal Parlianient, a simple deslaratiun" that all powers are given to it except those expresaly reserved to the several ntiembers of t.e Cunfederation.' And in another part of the same article, reverting to the same subject, we liave these yordy-: And aithourh the distinction attempted to be drawn hetwren general and boal matiers is in some re-pects searcely traceable in the draft minutes of the Couference "- - 'es, sir, so this writer calls theu, their looseness of expression esidently teading him to take them for something far short of the solemaly drawn treaty they are now set up for, - though this distinetiov, ways he, is hardly traceable in these draft minutes, "the oljecte they had in viem is sufficiently clear and intelligible." Perhaps so" or purhaps that object was. little more than to give people to understand that somehow or other the General Government and

Parliament rere to have great power, and the provincial goverńments aud parliaments none too much. Any way, the idea is very like that of the Colonial Seeretary's despatch, and the tro run rather to the tune of the lefthanded compliment paid Sievider," "I think my cousin ment trill:

Hos. J. E. MACDONALD-Quote the coneluding part of the article:

Mr. DUNKIN-I shith do so before I sit down, if my strength allows me to complete my argument. I pans now to another. mater, to which further capacities for centlict are very well laid out or us. In the framing ut the linited states Constitution they did not turset to provide for a district of Columbia, for a territory withia which the poner of Congress and the Gencral (huvern: ment was to be perfectly and unmistakably supreme for all purposes. And they did not forget to declare that the powers; legislative and utherivise; of the Fedcrat muthority, were to be complete over all the vast territories Enfoming to the nation, and over all its simaller properties, such as forts; arsenals, diekyärds and the like.' We have nothing. of the kind here ; and, at least as regards the seat of Government, this is not a mere fotere. We find it stated that The seat of Covermuent of the Federated Pronin cos shall be Ottawa, subgect to the royal preagrative.". It is distinctly laid down as a parit of our systum that the royal pretosative. the hight to clianye the sent of the Federal Gorcrument at will, is to be manitained. But. I centure to say that the maintaninger of that vight is simply incoisistent inithothe practica! vorking out of a Federal system. And this is a matter involving a good deal of anomaly; as honorable gentemen will see when they bigin to think of it. The Governor General or Yieeray, the all but king of this Confederaty, with his all but Inperial Govermment, and ail but Iuperial Legishature, constituted no matter how, resident wishin the territorial jugis. diction of a subordinate protinced. The pow lice of the Federal copital, not Federal but provincian! That thimg won't do. The framers' of the Coustitution of the luited States beew it would not:do, and therefore they were particular to give power to dicir General Goven a $^{-}$ theat to aequire and hold and control and le gislate for, in all respects, as they liked, a territory within which they could reign and rule and have no dordinate authority over them. We have not got to Ottawa yet, but suppose the seat of Government were in Ottawa-perhaps, we may yet get it there-it uight so
happen that some Honorable Premier of the Federal Administration may not be on speaking terms with the Lieutenant-Governor of Upper Canada; or at least, there may be between. them the" most decided. thorough, unmistikably procliamed antaconism of riews and feling. It is easy to imagine that a Premier in thate position, and a lieutenant Governor in that position, could between them make a Viceroy very uncoufortable'; and that the result might be the bringing up of a great many ticklish questions. tor adjudication by the various authorities. It is clear there is a defeet here, which might lead to, plenty of trouble: $\because$ But it is said-"Oh! there won't be any trouble; men are in the main sensible: and won't try to make trouble" Sell, sir, if this is so if there is this general disposition a to be sensible, and make things work well, I just want to know how we come to have had: Hur crises in two "years: "(Hear, hear:) There is another matter, intimately connected with this, to which also I must pass, on. ' I said a little while ago, that the United States system was one of exceeding skill as regards the constitution of the judiciary. De Tocqueriche, and every other writer who has treated of the Cnited States, has awarded it this praise ; and they are right: Each state has itsown judiciary, and the Cnited States have theirs ; and the functions of the two are most carefully laid down, so that no serious trouble has ever arisen from their clashing. The judiciary of the United States is undoubtedly the most conservative and strongest bulwark of their whole system. (Hear, hear.) What then are"we going to do on this head? Jugt as we have forgutten all about difficulties where the seat of "yhvernment is cencerned, so" here. We are not quite sure whether we are going to have any distinctively federal judiciary or n't. "There is a power given to have one-there may be one; bat we are expressly told that perhaps there will not be. But what are we told on the other hand? Oh, there is no doubt whatever, according to the rèsolutions luid before us - no doubt what-ever-that whether we have a Federal judiciary or not, the provincial judiciaries are to be' a sort of joint institutions. And a very curious kind of co-partnership the Federas Government and the provincial governments -the Federal Legivature and the provincial legislatures-are thus to have in the jndicial institutions of the conntry, generally. All the courts, juidges, and other judieial officers of the provinces are to be, for all manner of
federal purposes, "servants of the Federal Government." There is an old saying, "No man can serye two masters." But all these unfortunate courts, and all their officers, and specially all their judges, mast serve two mas ters, "whether they can or not. All the Sn. perior Court judges-and, in Upper Canadā, the judges of the County Courts-are to be named and paid by the Federal authority, and are only to be removable by the Federal authority, on a joiut address of the tivo Houses of the Federal Parliament. But, on the other hand, the provinces are to constitute the courts- (hear, hear)-are to say what their functions shall be-what the number of the judges-how ther are to perform their func-tions-are to give then more work or lessto make their work pleasant or disagreeable, high work or dirty work, as they like. (Hear, hear.) In this way they can wrong a judge just :s much as they please; the only check on then being the power of the Federal Gov: ernment to disillow their legislation. The Federal Government, forsooth, names the judges, and pays them;, and alone can remove them. Does that taike away the power from the local parliaments and governments; the power to change the constitution of the court, to change it in the way most distasteful to those judges, to legislate away the court altogether, to legislate down its functious in such a manner as may drive the judge to design? And we are told there will be no clashing! (Hear.) I have no doubt the Hon. Attorney General East thinks he could manage courts on this system; could have one authority constitutiug the courts and another naining and removing the judges, and have the system work harmoniously. He may think so, I do not. I am satistied if ever the wehenie is tried, it' will be found that it will not trork. Humain nature is human nature; and here ist a first-rate lot of matters to quarrel over, and to quarrel over seriously. Why; there is even a special refinement of confusion as to criminal matters. Criminal procedure is to be federal; civil procedure, provincial; criminal legislation, proper, is to be federal; but with a most uncertain yuantity of what oud may call legislation about penalties, provincial; civil rights, in the main, provincial; but with no one can tell how much of federal interference and over-ruling, and all with courts provincial in constitution, but whose judges leold by federal tenure and under federal pay.: I pity the poor man who is at once a criminal judge and a civit judge. Be tween the clashing of his masters and the clash.
ing of his book authorities, he had better mind what he is about, with the painful doubt rising at every turn whether provinoial legislation may not be overridden by federal legislation. His province may well have legislated on what it holds a local matter, while the Federal Parliament may have legislated on it, thinking it a federal matter. Anywhere there may well be some bit of federal legislation contradictjing sométhing in a local statute. And do our resolutions say that the federal statute shall always override the local statute? No, ouly in cases where there is concurrent jurisdiction. And yet our judge who is to decide these nice questions is paid by one pöver and removable by that power, and may have his functions taken awiay and be persecuted to the death by the other. He will have a bad time of it. Well, Mr. Spsaker, I have so fap been dealing with matters, nearly all of which may be paid to be general to every part of this great Confederacy; but now I must ask the attention of the House for a feiv moments, to some sources of misunderstanding which may more particularly make trouble, unless hnman nature ceases to be human natare within this Canada of ours. There are in Canada, and especially in Lower Canada, the two differences of language and faith; und there is no doubt that the real reasous which have rendered, or are supposed to have rendered necessary' this plan of a sort of Federal Government, are referable to this fact. This machinery is devised, on purpose to meet a possible or probable clashing of races and creeds in Canada, aud particularly in Lower Canada. Now; in the United States; when their constitutional gystem was adopted, the framers of it must. have forescen, of course, that controversy would arise on the subjects of state rightsand slavery. There was a jealousy betwoen the small states and the large, and the commencement of a disent between the Northern and the Southern States of the republic. There was uudoubtedly a foreshadowing of trouble on the subject of slavery, though, by the way, slavery wás to all appearance dying out rapidly in the Northern States; not so rapidly in the Southera: How, then, did the framers of that Constitation un-- dertake to deal with these foreseen troubles, these questions of state rights and slavery? Sir, they did all they possibly could to keep both ontefisight-to bury them-that they might eot rise up in the future to give trouble, It is true that in so doing they but buried the dragon's teeth, and that these, all buried as they were, havo yet since sprung up, armed
men; but so far as they could, they kept them down, kept them from growing prevented recognition of them at that time and for long after. 'Well, how are we going to carry out this scheme of ours? Are we burying, or are we of set choice sowing, our dragon's teeth ? Are we trying to keep our dificulties out of the way; to bury them out of sight, that we may smooth our way for the future lessening of them? I think not. On the contrary, we are setting ourselves as deliberately as we well can to keep up thedistinctionsand the diferences which exist among us, to hold them constantly in everybody's sight-in the hope, I suppose, that while everybody is looking at them intently, somehoiv or other no one may see them at all. (Laughter.) In the United States, be it remembered, they started with their states sovereign and independent. From that they weat into their system of confederation, which was a great improvement; and from that they went on into their present federal-national constitution. At each step they were moving to limit state rights, and also, indirectly, the extent and influence of slavery. It is true they did not altogether succeed in this policy, but their want of success has been maunly owing to circumstances over 'which they could exercise no control. We in Canada, tor the last twenty fiye years, have been legislatively united, and we have worked that union in a federal spirit. :We complain that, as a result of this, the distinctions, which exist among us have become so prominent- the truth being, that it is rather this proposed change which is suddenly bringing , them into startling prominence we have worked that union, however, I say; in"a Pderal spirit, and it is said to have produced or aggravated a certain state of: fend amongst us ; and now, for the purpose of perpetuating this state of feud, we are going to effect a professedly Federal union which is even expressly recommended to us, or to many of us, as meant and calculated to be so worked as to amount, for all practical purposes, to disunion. Under it Lower. Canada has all sorts of special exceptions made, as the phrase runs, in her favor. The Legislative Council is to be named in apeculiar manner, so far as its menbers frum Lower Canada are concernèd. Tte other provinces may have theirtaws made uniform; but an exception in this respect is made for Lower Canada, and as if to make it apparent that Lower Canada is never to be like the rest of the Confederation, it is carefuily provided that the General Parliament may make
uniform the laws of the other provinces only-that is to say, provided those proxinces consent to it, but by inference it cannot extend this unifornity to Lower Canada, nôt even if she should wish it. Supposing, even, that the other provin"es were to desire to adopt our Lower Canadizn system, according to the letter of this (Conslitution, one would say they cannot do it." They maybecome uniform among themselves, but Lower Canada, etro though her peopl- Trere. to wish it, must not be uniform with them. Again, as to educati' in, exceptions of sonie sort are to be made in Lower Camda, nado indeed in Uper Camada too, though no ote can tell to what extent the ex exceptions are or are not to be earrifd. "Thus'; in one way and anothero lower chana is to be phecd on a separate and distinct footing from the other provinces, so that her interests "and "institutions may " vot be medulled with. I say this syitem, aso a whole, and ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ these peouliarities and exceptions" in regard to luwer Canada, are adopted with a special riew to remedy our Camadian difiteul. ties of race and creeds But, sir, thisisno way at all of avoiding or lessening treuble from this ease. It in ide to pretend that by this system collision is going to bo prevented. Under the ligistative union of the Chadas, even worked as it has bena. the tundeney of the mancrite in Wpper and bawer cama, respectirely, blas benturards the maintemance of the union-tunardse the avoinater of all intemperate hatg mage and prejuliced feeloge-tharards the pulhas down of the Seuds that before divided them and the respective "uajuritiss. And the result has bicen, that while just before the usian the fend between the races in lawer banda was at its highe-t-and hitterest point, it has -rece then all int disippeared. The compaint of Diper Candian poline ians has been that they could not'set the Britioh and Froch baces in Lower Canada by the ears, that they could not get the former, cither as British ur as Pr testants, to join with them din a cruade against the Lover Canadian - mejority.

Mr. N. MACKENZIF-W Wo made liat comphaint?
Mi. MNKLN-I do rot say that it has bern gaill in words, but it has been in spirit.

Mr. A. MACKLENEE-No; no. (Hear, hear)

Mr. DUEKIN--Yes; the complaint has been nade, perhaps not in that particular
forn, but certainly i: that spirit. The British of Loẁer Canada have been agraiu and again told they were worse than their French ueighburs, for not casting in their lug: With the people of Upper Canada: (Hear, hear.) Well, Mre Speaker, undowbicdy, before the union, Lower tinuda; as I thie said, was the phee where the war of ries was at its height; and ohat wht of" hecs"da not vearly cease for a number of yeats after. But the strife did very graduady $1 \cdot \mathrm{scon}$, ind a better and mori, fricudly feling has for some time peraifed, in both eamrs. . Thdeed, ther has beco a" hare tulumit state of "eed; ing in both canpis, than : ith auy other comminity "so divided as to race and ered. that ilngw of. But the nement ynu tol Lower Ganad that the laresestunding poivers of yur General (ingrneme abo going to be handed over to a Beitish. Anerican majority, decidedy net of the race and faith of lier majority, that wement you wake up the old jealousiss and hortility in thegr strongest: form. By the very provisions yuu talk of tor the putiction of the non-Frinch add nom-('atholic interests, you unfortunately countenance the idea thit the French are poing to be more uifair thay 1 believe they wish to be For that matta. what elfe can they well Le: 'I hoy whath themseires a minority in the Gen rul henglature, and their power in the Geactal Guvernment will depend upoti iher pown whin their own provisee and ove their provitial delegatiuns in the Federal Pariia. pent. They will thus be compilhut to be practically aggressive, to secure anot netahi that power. They may pot, perhaps, wish to ket they may not, perhaps, be agotestive in the worst sense of the term.-I du potsy that they certainly will be: but whether they are or tiot, there will certainy be in this system the very strongest tendeacies bo make them practicaliy uygressive upob the right of the minurity in language ant fith. and at the same tine to make ethe minority mest surpicious and resetiful of ageression. The same sort of alienation, as between the two faiths, will be going on in Ul per Casada. Note of warning is altready given by this seleene, to buth partes, that they prepare for fight; and the indications, I regret to say, are that such note of warnung is bof to bo given in va'n. (Hear, hear.) The prejudices of the two camps are oned niore stirred to their depths; and if this scheme goes into operation, they will separaite are
and more widely, and finally break out into open war; unless, iudeed, it shall work very diffyently, from what any one can now imagine If proviacial independence is to be crushed dorn by a General Government carcless of local majorities, then you will have this war, Or, if ou the other hand, the pulicy of the Federal Exeecutire should te to give effect to the aggregato will of the several local majorities, at whatever sacerifice of praciple. still then yon will have this war, The local miuorities-threatened with dmination, ill their alarm and jealousy, will be cimply desperate, ready for any outbreik it discontent it apy moinent. Take a macticat cale Suppose the rule adopted, on hat hatg un Executive Council inconremently, hare, Lower Canada, as we have reth, can then only have threc members of it, and if all these three are French-Cana-dras-as they aluost mast be, because the Prench cannot put up with less than thirec on of tirelve-how woll not the I rish Catholies and the British; lrotestants feel themwhes aggrieyed? You cannot help, it: They must in that case feel deeply agerrieved, ant no feelinz, they will cause trombles. The Irinta Gathotios will be toh 1 -uppose, " 1 h. sou wiol have an Irish Cathplie nember of the formanehit to look to from Newfoundfuds:" and it so, they "will have to guide themselves by some sort of Irish Catholic Nowt mintand rute of policy; and not by any rade ever so little saroriug of a regard for drger or higher principle. The British iruentuits, in their tura, wik be told: "You hate a maj bity of your orn fongue and hoth ?rom: lpper Cameda ant the lower Pavinces; ; witust be equteat with that, Mathot theit members of the Government the urly earo as ya may ned in the mater n, yhur affais.". '. Oh, we unust, must w? ". wit be the anwer; "then we will -gase our coadnet, not by any role for manh Amenta or even Lower Chamba, it lig the shitiog exigencies of projuNi.e 'ur phothen', whater they uny be, u
 (har, heor) These bievatented efencints it Lower Conadi, depend ypon it, will Tose nio städi confution; and among three thes driven into making trouble, there wad be mot a lew whose preferences will even to dunerican, abd who will appeal to ypeside influtaces for protection. Such will be the legithate effeet of this syste 1 ; aod if any cose the muthat it will be conducive to the
peace and good government of this country, I say he prophecies in a way that I cannot uiderstand: Thauk God, Mr. Speaker, I dọ not need, as I stand here, to defend myself from any charge of bigotry as against aby sect-or party. There was a time in Canada when it was: most difficult for any person who spoke my tongue to stand up and say that the French-Canadians ought not to be politically exterininated from thic face of the earth! I stood out stealfastly against that doctrine then. I remenber well the painful events of that sad tite. I foresee bitt too dietiactly "the" fearful probability there is of that time cuites arain, through thy adoption of these resolutions. And I do not shrink from the danger of being misunderstaod or misrepréseated. When I now stand up here and waru the evuntry of this danger. If trouble of this sort ever arises, it is une that will extend very rapidly over the whule Confederacy. ln all parts of, it, in every province, there are minorities that will bact apou by that kind of thing. In the Lower Provinecs, and in Newfoundland, then are but too ripe for the outburst of hostilitics of this description.. Talk; indect, in sucha state of things, of your founding here bje this means "a netr a a ionality"-of your creatiog such a thing-of your whole people here raltying round its new Government at Ottawi." "Mr. Speaker, is such a thiug powsible? We have a large class whóse uational feclings tarn tuwards London; whose very: heart is there; another large class whose: sy mpathies centre here at Quebec, or in a sentimeutal way may have some reference to laris; another large class whose memories are of the Emerald Isle; and yet another whose e inparisons"are rather with Washington'; but have we auy class of people who are attached; or whose feelinge are going to be directed with any earnestuess, to the city uf Otawa, the centre of the new $n$ tionality that is to be created? In the fimes to come, whou men shall begin to feel strongly on thuse questious that appol to bational pretereuces, prejulices and passions, all talk of your new natiomality will sound but strangely. Sume other older naticnality will then be found to hơld the first phace in most people's hearts; (Hear, hear.) Mr. Speaken, it is only right that I sliould state to the House that I have not reached within a long distance of the point which I bad hoped to reach befure sitting down; but

I feel compelled to ask the indulgence of the House, from my strength being insufficient to bear me through. (Cheers.)
The debate was then adjourned, Mr. Dunkin laving the floor again for tomorrow.


## LEGISLATINE COUNCIL.

Tuesday, February 28, 1865:
Hon Me UAMPBELL-Before the House rises, I desire to submit a statement of the revenue of the Island of Prince Ed. ward, which has come into my hands since the close of the debate on the proposed uniol of Canada and the Lower Proriaces. : The hour meuber for Niagara seemed to take exception to what I said in relation to that particulan point, and I au theretore happy to be able to satisfy the hor. member that what I then stated is fully sustained by the authentic return now before me. I huld in my haud a " Report of the Coniptioller of Customs and Suadry Statigtics" of that coluny for the gear 1863 , which shows that the total revenue of the island for that year was $£ 61,688$ 1ts 4d, islad curroncy, equal to $\leqslant 41,1 \geqslant 516 \mathrm{~s}$. 3 d ., sterling, an increase over the previdus year of nearly 35 per cent. The hon' member seemed to think it impossitle that such a sum as I had stated should have been rased, and suggested that a great part must have come from local sources. Well, the amount derived fron exvise and duties on imports for 1863 was $£ 46,0507$. 68 . Th, inland currency, from the post offe $\pm 1,900$, and from ceastom house office fees, $£ 71.9 \mathrm{~s}=9 \mathrm{~d}$; together $\pm 47,71816 \mathrm{~s} .4 \mathrm{~d}$ :, island currency, or about $£ 32,000$, sterling, equal to $\$ 156,000$ or thereabouts. There are a good many other items of revenue, but I hare selected these three, as those certain to come into the treasury of the General Government, and there may be others. But it will be seen that these aloue wuald make up the sum I gave as the revenue of the istand, which would be arailable for the peneral purposes of the Confederation.

Mon. $\therefore$ R CCRRIE-I did nut question the correctues of the figures of the Honorable Com nissioner of Crown Lands, but I telt and expressed some surprise at the sum, which. when compared with former jears, exhibited, as I thought, an almost incredible
increase. Will the Honorable Commissioner state what proportion of these imports was foreign, and what came from the provinces it is "proposed to unite, as after the union no revenue would of course be derived from the latter?

Hon. Mr. CAMPBELIL-There are ta bles shewing the imports and exports, and the imports from the following places were in 1863 :-

| 「nited Kingdom | $122,880 \quad 506$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Noya Seutia | 66,890 11.51 |
| New - Brunswick | 19,975 311. |
| Newfopndland | 1,865 15 |
| Bermuda and | 3,969 |
| Saint Pierre. | 29211 |
| Canade | 6,152 8 |
| Maudalene. | 302 |
| Cnited State | 11,103 |
| Total, sterlin | £293,431 |

Taking frum the ubre the imports from Nova Scotia, New Brunswick. Newfoundlatid and Canada, amounting to $\mathbf{£ 9 4 , 8 8 3} 18 \mathrm{~s}$. 10d., the balance of nearly $£ 200,0600$ was all foreign, aud such as would pay duty to the General Government." The exports were $E 09,47 \%$ gd, to which was to be added the value of 24,991 tons of shippiag built in the island, which at E. $^{5}$ sterling per ton, was equal to 2124.95 , and raised the eredt side of the sheet ta $\int 334.42-9 \mathrm{~s}$. 6 d , against £29:, 431 Hs $11 d$ to the debit side, thus sheiving a balance in favor of the colony in

[The hourable meniber here handed the report to the Hon. Mr. Ctrries, who, alter haring examined it, seemed to concur in the statement of the Hona." Commissivacr of Crown Lands.]

## LEGISLATIVEASSEMBLY.

## Tuesdar, Félruiry $28,1865$.

Ma. DONKIN, cintinuing his speech from yeaterday, said-Mr. Spraker, shea the kinduess of the House permitted me to resume wíy reat last evening, I wäs comparing the constitutional yystem of the proposed Confederacy with the Constitution of the United States primarily, und with that of Great Britaiu secondarily. I had gone over several leading points of comparison; and it will be in the recollection of the House, no doubt,
that I had compared the composition of our proposed House of Commons with that of the House of Representatives of the United States; and I endeavored to shew, and I think I had shewn, that we were departing altogether from the principles upon which the British House of Commons is constituted, and taking up mual a propos, an untor tunately, the least inviting features of the composition of the American House of Representatives.' Is.' is "proposed to adopt here a plan which has a direct teadency to place on the ficor of our House of Commons a number of provincialdelegations, âdd not a number of independent nembers of parliament. The teudency is therefore towards a syatem antagouistic to, and inconsistent with, those principles on which the British Constitution reposes. With provincial delegations, rather than memberi of parliament, on the floor of the Federal Legislature; wo 'are not likely to have that political longevity whether of men or parties, withwut which the British system of goverament cau hardly exist lurding then to the Legishative Council, and comparing its coustitution with that of the Senate of the Cnited states-the principles" governiog the former are diametrically oppusite to these wa which the latter is founded. The Senate of' the 'I aited Vtates forms an' excellent federat check upon the Huase of Represeat. athee, partly uwng to the way in which it is constateted, and partly on account of the powers given to it, and which are not pranposed to begiven to our Legislative Counal. All that cian. be said of it is, that it is propused to be consticuted upon almost the worst prigciples that could have been adopted: 'It seems a'" if it wero so sonstatuted for the mere purpose of leading to a dead-lock. the members ol it are not to represemt vur provaces at all, but are to be named by the Hederal povar itself, forlife, and in uumbers. to coustitute a preity uumerous body, but mithout any of the peculiar fuictions wely aisigned to the Senate of the United Scans:In tiact, the federial battle that: must be tought will have to be fought to the House. of Commons and ia the Executive Cunacil; very much more than in the Legislative Counch. Juruing then to the Executive Cuaten, I hat shown that it is a necessary consequence of the propessed system, that We are to have nut merely a: House of Commoas cut up into secthus, but talso an bisecutive Cunainl cat up in the same unfortunate way. You can get nuthing else in the
nature of a rèl lederal check. Your federal problem will have to be worked out around the table of the Executive Council: But this principle, which must enter into the formacion of the Executive Council, is clear-. ly inconsistent with the principle of the British Constitution, which holds the whole Cabinet jointly responsible for every act of the Government. In our present union of the Cautudas, wo have latterly gone upon the: plan of taving almost tru ministries: The plan urged upon our acceptance purposes the experiment of six or more sections in the Executive Coancil, instead of the two that we have fuund pre to many Among the difficulties that will grow out- of that plan is this, the absolute necessity of either Raving an Executive Conucil that will be ridi-. culously too numerous, or else one that will represent the different provinces in sections entirely too sinall. From this couparison of these thee leadiog features; 1 had passed on tw consider the relations of the Federal (Government with the several provinces, eomparing them with the relations subsistIng between the United States Government ind the governmeuts of the several states of the Imerican Uuibu. "The sevaral states" ol the neighboring republic commenced their existence as states with all their constitutiuus coustructed on the same general plan as that of the Cuited States, auct in fact the sause republican priaciples pnaerte all their governuiental institutions; municipal, state. and tederal. But it is trere propesed, that while wo are 'tio start' with a system of gen--ral governmeat, part British, part repabliz, cila, part neither, it is to bo at open quesfion, left to the decision of auch separate proviuce, what kiad of hucal constitution is to be conatructed for itself. Each proviace muiyt, of course, have an clective chamber, but as to a second chamber, that is to be as each local legislature may see fit:" Some, prubably, will have it clective; while uthers. siay dispen e with it eutirely. Thea, luoking to the appoiutement of the licuteaant-guvernors, and the teaure by which they are to holduffice, it becomes about as clear as day that : you canaut carry on reaponible goverament tu the provinets, but must bave in them all a syotem thint is nether British nor repubficall, and that, 1 beheve, will be fiund to be tetally unworkable. Turning to the assigameat of jowers to the Federal Goveramepit on the one band, and the looal or proviacial governments on the other, we : weet agrain with the unhappy contrast be
tween the wisdom displayed on that point in the Coustitution of the United States, and the lack of wisdom in the arrangement proposed for aloption here. There is, in the Liited States" system, a clear and distinct line drawn betweor the functions of the general and state gorerniments.: Some may not like the idea of state sovereignty. and many may' wish that metre power had been given to the General Government. Hut this mach is plain. that it is not propose! to allow anything approactivg to btate sovereigoty here." We havenut even an intelligitle statementias to what powers are to be exercised by the general; sud what by the local les statures and moreruments. Several subjects are srecifically given to both; many others are coufusedly left in doubt letween them; and there st the atrange andanomalous provision that pot only can the General Goverament disallow the acto of the proinaeilal legiatares and contrel and haper and fetter provinctial action: a mure sway-that one, hut that whereviray fiderallegiontion contr"venes or to any way clathes with provincial levistaton, as to any matter at all cominon between the wo such tederal lepialathes shall override it, and take its place: It is not too ungh to say that a coutinuance of such asysted for ay leazith of tine without seriout clahiner is absoritely"impossible: This is iu effectso dectared iu the despatéh of Her Majesty $x$ C', douill Secretary', and it is dearly, fintend out mo the Linden Times and in the Eilinburyh liteirio.-It seems as it our statespuen had sought to muluply points of colistin at every tura. Then as to the aun provisiou of a pertanaent beat of g vernment, and the arrangenent contemplated for the judecary, wee tind stild mole of the same simt uf thing; and as to the extrandinary $p$ insthatsecn whateb en taken to throw up a ment wall ir hedge ruind those" inistututumb of Lower C'anada which of late have been gining un ay trouble ty speak of-as tu che extruprdiuary pains, I ay; that seen to have been taben tu put a walh around those mastitutions, and to give every possible trairantee about them on this side atd on that; why, his very marhathy, provided for the neere purpose of aducing peaple to agree to the becheme, who would not wtherwise countenance it is calculated, at no very distant day; to canse the ery to resound throughout the land-" To your teats, $\mathrm{O}_{\text {, }}$ riraet:" (Hear, hear.) I had reached this point of my argument, when $I$ was com. pelled to throw myself on the indulgence of
the House. There is just one consideration convected with these matters to which 1 have been alluding, that I mish to revert to in' few words; because I believe it escaped mè, in part at least, last night. A marked. difference between the history of the Unitad States" just before they framed their constitution. and our late history, is thisz the adoption of the Constitution of the United States followed immediately upon their successful war of independence. The men who. adopted it "had just gone shoulder to shoulder through the "severest" trial that could have beco given to their patieuce and other higher qualities, Their entire comb munties had been, you may say, united as one man, in the great struggle through which they had passed, and were then "qually' united in their hopes as to the grand results which their new system was tu bring torth. They had tried the system. of were confederation, and were agreed that it was inadequate to meet the wants of their situation: 'They were all trying to remove' the evils that' they felt' and apprehended from it, and to buld up a greaf uationality that should endure in the future. That was the position they occupied. Our's is some thing very different indeed. "We have not gone through an ordeal such as that thruugh which they had so proudly passed: Un, the contrary, we have eaded, temporarily ended at any rate, a seties of 'strugges it is true, but struggles of a 'very different-kind's strugyles that have just pitted our public men one agaiast canother, and to souno extent, I amisorry to say, even our taiths and races against each wher. "(Hiar, hear.)' Foreue, I do believe that these struggles-of the latter class I mean-swere dyng out, but for these conternplated changes, whach are threatening to revige theia. But, however that may be, struggles there have been ainougst is, of which we have no canye to be proud; things have vecurred since the union of which we ounht to be ashamed, it we are not: (llear; hear.) Ot this kiad are the only struggles that we Lave had; and whea, from such a past and present, we are told to start with the idea, su to speak, of at unce creating and developing the character of a new and united astion, under institutions giviog us a sumething short of indepen-: dence, and int the same time any quantiy of matiers about which to dispute ani come to trouble, we may as well not shut our eyea to the fact, that wo start with but poor umens
of success. (Hear, hear.) But I have to turn now, Mr. Speaker, to another branch of my comparison-the financial ; and here, I may at once give the House an assurance, Which I am sure it will be glad to have, that I will not trouble it with more figures than are absolately decessary to my explanation of the views I have to present;, and that I will not give a single figure as to which there can te the possibility of a controversy. The contrast between the financial system as a whule, with which the framers of the United States Constitution started and the financial system with which it is proposed we shall start, is as salient as it is possible for the haman intellect to conceive; and further the contrast between this proposed financial sys. tem, and the financial system of England, is just as salieat too The framers of the United States Constitation started with the principle, that between the United States and the several states there should be no financial dealings at all. They wree to hàve separate financial systems, separate treasuries, separate debis -all absolutely dixinet. 'And ever since the time when the unhappy attempt on the part of Great. Britain to tax the colonies was aren up, almost"as absolute a line of deuxarcation betiween the Imperial tinaneas aud treasury and the colonial finances and treasurics, has been maintained. We have had our own sepirrate finances and sur own separate treasury, with which the Inperial Government has had nothing to do. , The !nperial Government may have gone, and. may still go, to some expense on provincial behalf; but the British principle is, that Lumerial finance is as distinct from the provincial, as in the Unitel States Federal finance is from that of any state. Now, the sys teup proposed here for our adoption is not this of entire and simpleysaration of the federal from the provincial treasuries, but a syytem: of the most entite and complex confusion between them One bas to think a good deal upon the subject, and to study it pretty clusely to see precisely how the confusion is roing to operate; but there it is, unmistalkably, at every turn. I do not mean to say that under all the circumstances of the cave sonething of this sort was not unaroidable. In the course of debate the other day, I remember a remark was thrown across the Hoor of the House upon this point and the Hoi Minister of Finance in effect said: - Yex, indeed, and it would have been a very pleasant thing for gentiemen opposed to the scheme, if it had thrown upon the provinces a
necessity of resorting to direct taxation." Of course, in the mere view of making the scheme palatable, it was clever to make the Foderal treasury pay for provincial expenditure; but the system that had need be established should bear testimony, not to cleverness', but to wisdon." "Is the system proposed for our acceptance as good, then, as statesulen ought to and would have made it? I think not; and the extraprdinary thing is, that it is brought out with a flourish of trumpets; on the ground that in some unde scribable way it is is work most economically! (Hear, hear, Well, to test it, I will take it up in three points of view-first, as to assets; next, as to debts and liathilitiess; aud, lastly, as to revenues: As to the asset part ot the question, the tale is 'soou tuld, The assets of these proyinces, speakiog generally, are of very little: conmercial value. They; are muct lake the assets of an insolvent trader, with lits of baddebts upon his books; it is of sinall consequence to whom or how they are assigned:- The general principle upon which the scheme proceeds is to give the Federal Goverament the bulk of these assets. The only expeptions of any consequence-I am not going into the details of the scheme, but still I tuust present to the House so much of detal as to show that I am making no rashstatement, not borne uut by facts - the only importaut exceptions, I say, to this rule. are those Iam about to notice. Certaia properties suchas penitentiaries, prisons, lunatic asylums and uther public charitable institutions, and other buildings aud properties of the kind, which, together with those I have just urentioned, may be characterized as excep-: tional properties, are to be assigned by the general to the provincial governments. A!so. with the exception of Newfoundland, the several provinces are to take the public lands, mines, miderals and royalties in each, and allassets connected with them-in commou parlance, their territorial revenues. The General Government is, however, to have the mines, minerals and public lands of Newfeundland, paying tor them of course. (Hear, hear.) 'Then, 4 pper "and Lower Sanada are severally to have those assets which are connected with the debts, reserved for payment by them respectively; but these will wot be worth much, and İ shall not take the truable of saying much about them. It is "nough to know that the proportion of the devte to be assumed by the two has not yet, for some reason;, been stated, and that the assets conneoted with them amount to very
little. Further, I am not quite sure that I am right, but Tunderstood the Hon. Attorney General for Lower Canada, the other night. to intimate that the seigniory of Sorel is to be somehow a provincial asset of Lower Canada: If that is not to be the case I will pass on ; but if it is, perhaps the honorable gentleman will say so.
How Atty Gen Cartier-I will speak on that subject at auther time.

Ma. DUNKIN-Then, $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ am to take it. for granted, I suppose, that it is not to be a provincial asset?

Hon Atty. Gey CARTLER-I will not'interrupt the hon gentleman nowr
 did suppose that I should. have had mu immediate answir as to whether this seigmory is to be a proxincial asset or not; but the hon: gentleman does not seem inclined to give any information upon the point. By these resolution it is provided, that all ordnance properties are to be taken by the General Governmeati, and I never heard but that the seigniory of Sorel iv an ordramec property:- Byt from the statement miode here the other day, it would seem that although this printed document purports, to be the scheme, it dues nof give us triae ini. formation on this point. The wording of the 55 th resolution is, that' the "property trancferred by the Imperial Government and known as orduance, property" is to belong to the General 'Givernment; if any part of it is really a provincial areet, it must become so by one if those explatations or glowes which we are nat allowed to insert in the instrument now; but are to take our chance of for some future timer (Hear, hear.) Passing over the mysten that seems to hang over the subject, I refer then to a matter about which there can be no mistrike.: There certainly cannot be a dnabe that the lands', mines, and minerals of Sewfüulland? are to be-a Federal asset ; and there an wot any doabt either that the Federal Government will have to pay sispo,00,4 ayear for them. It is porfectly certain that these lands will eost that money; and it is per fectly eertain, I think, that the adminisiration of them will also cost a certain amount of trouble and dispute, as to the manner in which it is to be carried on But if human nature remains human nature, we may reasonably and r robably surmise that they will not yield so great a revenue to the Genera! Governiment as is by some thought. Wo
shall hure Newfoundland delegations in the Commons House, and in the other House; and in order to keep them in anything like good humor, and to enable the LieutenantGoverom of Nemfoundland to carry on his government with anything likecase and comfort, their lands, mines and minerals, will have to be adininistered, nut with a view to Federal revenue-even though to that end they are costing the direct paymeat of S150,000 a sear- but with a viow to New. foundlaud popularity ${ }^{*}$ Io lact, I thiuk it will be fuoud that the mapagement of these properties will be carried vu more with a vir wo the derelupment and profit of Newfoundland, that for any proft of the people of Nuya Scotia, New Brunswick, and - pper and Lower Canada. Every man, woinan aud chili-from the Lieutenant(Governor downwards-counceted with" Newtwandhad, will retard it as as fit article of political fauth, that they uust be worked with a vpecial view to the great future of that great couutry: And the consequence whll beinany hittle passages between the provinee and th." Federal Guverument; not ithantageous to the latter, but illustrative of the $w$ iy in which wevernments tote of en have to deal with things for which they hive had to pay. .Welle sir, I pais to the matter of the debto $;$ and thesic, it'm.st be acknowledged are rather inore importaut than the assets. (Hear, hear) There is no mistake about that ; thouxh there might dern to be a mistake about the resolutions wh this subject, were you to take their l-teter only.: The sixterth resomitiou says that the Cencral Governiment shall assume all tho debis and labilities of each province; while the sixty firse has it, that purt of vor Cuadian'lebi is to be borne by Upper and Luwer Canada respectively la a sense, I whll presentiy explain. I think the sixrieth resolutiou about telly the truth, or rather, 1 "ought te say, falls short of' its' Bat it requires one to work the oracte out, to follow the calculation through, "in order to see that it dues so, that these debro will indeed all-and more than all-fall, directly or indirect $5_{9}$ ) wa the Federal Guycrament. Meantimis, on our way to that part of uy argument, I set it duwn that under the sixty-first resolation there is an anount of reserved debe which, in a certaits man. ner, is to fill un Upper and Luwer Canada respectively." Pretty much as it was just now in the ordaance property; so here,

Fe canot get an intelligible àiswer as to What these reserved debts are, as acainst either province, or what the assets are that"each is to take as an offset to them. But, for the purpose of constituting the stated debt of the future Confederation, Upper and Lomer Canada, we are told, are to throw inta it an amount of $\$ 62 ; 500,000$, the surplus of their debt being nominally left to be borne"by themselves, after they shall have become confederated; Xora Scotia, on the other hand, is to be allowed to increase her ilcbt to $\$ 8,000,000$; and Newfoundland and Prince Edward Island are to throw 'in theirs at the nominal figare they stand at now. But, by an iageaious contrivince, the aggregate real debt of the conutry is to be; in effect," a good deal more than the aggregation of these figures would give: Upper and Lower lianada to begin with, as we have seen, are, b sides, separately to pretend to bear the weitht of their coniderable isces of debt over the $\$ 52,500,000$, ars sob a hedd, allowed under this arrangesuent. Nova Scotia sad New Bruarwick;, should they not increase their debts to be asoumed up to this figure of $\$ 85$ a head, are fo be paid interest at fise per connt. on any amount of shortcomine in that behalf they way ber quilty of And Nemfoundland and Prinee Elward Inland are to be paid interest at the some rate, on the amount to Which their smaller debty tall short of this anie wormal 825 alluwaves: Fur practical purpone's, ther fore the debts of the four Lower Provincey are thus brought up to this standard level. The Federal finvernment is th pay interest on them to that tune-if ast the creditory ot those provinees, then to the proninces themselve And we are tostart with a clear practical debt of $\$ 25^{\circ}$ a head fire every umn, woman, and" child in the Coblederacy: Incurred or not, we start with it as due, and pay accordholy Aud there are besides, those atiounts of de be teft nowinally to the ebarge of Upper ' 'anada, av to which I shall have a word more to say shortly Meautime, I proced to bar third head-of revenues. And here, the tirst and most striking fact is, that the Fedral Government is to make yearly graute, payable, by the -way, semi-annually and indadrance, to each province, in propertion to its population as showa by the census of 1 stil., and at the rate of 80 cents a head. And the way in which this 80 cents a headapportionnent is comeat, is in ithelf somewhat edifying. ${ }^{\prime}$ Accordiug to the statements mado here by Ministers,
the Finance Maisters of the several proyinces were invited at the Conference to cowe forward with a statement of their respective wants. Of course their statements were to be framed with a due regard to economy:. Such things are always" to be done economically ${ }^{\circ}$. This is a diplomatic phrase, of which we understand here the fall meaning; and I was not at all surprised to hear, that however economically the statements were made out, they had to be cut down. Whether they are said to have been cut down once of twice; or oftener, I do not distinctly recohect. Butat last, after hàring been duly cut down, they were found to require this gratt or subvention, at the rate of $\varepsilon 0$ cents ai bead all round-subject always to deduction as against the Canadas, aud to, gdditions in favor of the four Luwer Provines, as we shall presently see:- With less, the proviners could not get on at the rate thought wee,ssary, unless by levying undexired taxes Well, besides these subveutions, the proviguces (all but. Newfoundland) are to have the proceeds of their lants, mines and minerala; and Now. foundland is to have, instead the forther grant from the Federal trasury of $\$ 150,000$ a year, for ever. They may all, futher, derive some more fadirect evenue from licenses of various sorts and Nova Scotia may add to these an exceptional, and exceptivable, export daty on coal and other minerals; and New Brunswick the like on lumber: Besides which ou the mere ground that she cannot do without it: New Branswiek is to bave a further tede ral grant of 8tis,000 a yea- for teu years ; unless, indeed, in the event of her not aummenting her debt to the fül amount, in which case ang payment made to her at interest on that se jre is to be deducted frum the 853,0 or -a shrewd hint, by the way, that she hall not best be tho economical-and, lastly, all-are to tave the preciuns right of dreet taxation, and the higher privilage of borrowing without limit. The Federal gower'is to have, of contse, the right to tas in all sorts of ways the special export duties made over to Niw Brunstick and Sora Scotia, alone excepted. Nuw, Mr. Speakers taking this whole arranagement together, I must repest that I see in it no principle but one. The provinces are to be able to carry on their operatiens aceording to their supposed probable future exigencies, without danger of direct, that is to say, oppressive or nem tasation. Well, sir, eagineers sey that the mea-
sure of strength of a fortified place is the strength of its "weakest part." Ind this principle is here applied to our province. in a finamial point of view. The need of the neediest is made the meature of thy aid give to all. The most embarrased is to have enough for its purposes, and the rest are to receive if not exactly in the smo ration. at least so nearly up to the mark as that they shall all be satistied ", while" on "the "inher hand, the debts of all the provinces are ti be, for all practical ends, raised to the full kered of the mest indebts $: ~ \because$ To fhow thive vir: another word or two as the thmunt wh the promised subyentions to Upper and bonvor。 Canada. This is to be, as we low aren. only the x 0 eents a head. less somed dedsethon. I care not what, for the purpate of uy present, argument; but there in mo doubt, I sto that they are to receive less than the coll ent. because the excest of their debt over. $3=2$ 500,000 , though thrown on them, will thes 0 be guaranteed, and the intermton will hati-
he paid by the Federal Gnvernment, nt that interest will be deducted by the Teder: Government from the subvention payber en, thẹm réspéctively.. "The Luwer I'roungona, wh the other hand, we wave athe remy tre really to get more. Well how suppor the th. noment the arraticement had bequ, fir th. Confederation to assumb at once the whan debt of Canada, and acomidindy to pay proportionably hater amounts of interct tu the. other provinces. - The two Conndis sumit then have neded: cxactly, moth the hes of nominal subventund ath the uther. ita vinces too. : The cost to the Foderal tin wiry in the whole cwould till hate becel axim what it is. Indirectly, theretoren I sy that for all practical purposes there on thrusa ufiti the General Goverament the wholinumbunt of the past debte of these privures. and bere: and the whole burden, two , it the carroite on of the machinery of govermacot Tooh Fometat
 proviaces should see tit hereatere to a that-rt akr what I' may call extraerditary expe'titume. and to defray it themsilver." If do wh thinh they will. It would inwolvedireit tasim, And I think they ean do better. Hution of thes part of the plan, str, tt is like the rest framed on the mere idea, of mabing than pleasant-the poltician idea of anylines, wata ning over metereador parties for tudy $\cdots$ nit on any statesmantike-thume we to its turure worbing and effects: Hear, hear !. Sow. Mr. Speaker, with this outline of the $y-$
tenn, I shoulil be glad to know where the prospect of enowony of administration is to be found. The Hombable Finane Minister of the futare Fodral temernment will have to do-what? The we with a balket, thet mersy th coner the withy of the Foderal buvermatathat in of conre bat with a
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 fir yond than many would wint tes hation the that the mathine of ritus wind bot be albonether drwen by the ment But there in mother revit, thant whoh there of an be no quatem. With mer acerd, whe ta Sevtondiand mervly - 1 was hauns a hathe white ago at what wodid bo the cese of Newtomdland, as to its haty nimen and mineraly-iet here ming but in all the pro. vaces-the provintal sovernmeat. will; -in a quiet way, wat mony; and the promacial kyinators and pertget wil wat if yet mure: granis for rueds atad bridger, fior choobs; for charities, tor adaries, for comtimgucies of the leginative body-hor all matior of ends they Fill be wauting money, and where is it $t$, come from? Whether the constitution of
the Provincial Executive sarors at all of respunible yovernment or hot, be sure it will not be anxiulus to bring itself more under the control ot the lexishature; or to make itself mure binous than: it can help, and the easiest wiy ler it to get moncy will be from the Gen: eral ( ioverument: I am not sure, either, bat that most members of the provincial legialatures will like it that way the best.. (Hear, horar-) It" will not" be "at "all unpopular, the getting of monsy so.. Quite the contrary. Gzatlemerg will io to their constituents with ane sy quncicace, tellinz them: "True, we had nut manth to do in the Provincial Legisluture, and yuas yed not ask very closely What che we did; but' I tell you what, we wh the Federal Gurmment to increase the abivention ow provinee by five cents a Wonl, wat wet whe thix gives you-S500 to thit read-z1000 to that charity-so mach atri; whuch theri. That we have done; "tad hive we nut dune well ?": (Hear hear.) I. an ar ad in many constituencies the answir would be; $\cdot$ Y's, you hyve done well;
 cit enotituemes, legrislatures and exesutives wil th niwis a most alflike appetite for the !utimp of chis, one most maydibeent governmation.

HQ L M MCDONALD-There will be more sunicipal toti funds.
ita DINkis-lest that is one of the aindas and there is another etto nearer. Ceas main, we in tanada sard we would forever hive a dertuitu dixel sam per annum for an edupation luid. It waz to be divided, in a cers tainirathe, breween Cpper and Lower Canada. Thit irm tince to the, as "the census shewed chanape of their relative population, the diviand was to be altered." In a little while thig air retion of ratio gave buwer Canada less many aid luper c'uada more. "Oh! but," s ubl the Admainstration, is we cannot do that with Lawer Canda. After haviag had distriboutel to hermenamy thonands a year, she could wer stamil having-ever so much less. No, no; wé eqnat do "that. What shall we do; then? ila vur estimates we will put ia a vote for Luwes ('ibudd, jumt to keep her tigure up to' the mark of what she has been receiving. Ahd what then? Why, of course, we must add a vole for Upfer Canada in the same pro-: porting, just to take her so much further beyoud her tirmer ficure." (Hear, hear.) To be sure, I'do tind, whth reforence to this subventha, a pleasant litile expression, which one wishes miy be carried vit. It is to be "in full." "Such aid shall be in full settlement.
of all future demands upon the General Gowernment for local purposes, and shall be paid half-yearly, in idsance, to each protipec." Yes, sir, so the test rans. But suppose our. selves in the time of our first, or second, or third Federal Cabinet, consisting of its sis or more sections, of course; and, tor the sathe of my argament. I will suppose' a great deal; that every one of these seetiuns controly comfortably the dxlegations from its own province an the two Houses of Parlianemt that the machine is working benutifully. that there is no lieutenans governor crusty, bo provincial admidistration kiekiag orer the traces, and an provincind tequilatuto diving: any other trouble than by its inxiety, th bu well paid. I will uppose even, that this haleyni state of things has gove in for some thme. But one ir twis or more of the pronine berin to feel that they cinnot du without hisimg more moncy: Auth the pressure will be sueli upon the Promacial Lamiblature andupan the Lieutenant-Gnernor and upon the deleghtions to the Geinerd Legislature ay upun the section of the Federal Esecutivermpreeating each such promence, that it ueser e on be lots resisted: 'there will be trouble if it 'iw, and thiag must be kepe pleataut. Hiar, bear.) One mude the theot obving, throgh the least sientatic-will be just to increne the sab. vention trim ouhty to, elphty hive or oven to eightyetwo of chety-one cenits a mead An additiouan cont a head tron the Foderal Exchequer Wurid be an obje - - tiow wetat a head would be as pougre ya' appre the demand took thes form suppree the phople - say of Cpar of Luwer Combu-nubly say, "Thove Nowtoundithder, dro facting $\$ 150,000$ a yuar tior ther had, mivo, anit miberals; "and the Fedral Guveriatat is positively adninisterng thue lands. mates, and minerals", wet tor Foder, pertit, but aime for the advomagh or that provime atho we tind we can didmminter oun gwn; the Giuural Government, therefore, thast tabe ciur imis,
 equivalent." "That is one why ut dinhe the: thag; and, hhen We tisue comes for astaniz that sort of domind, depend upon, it theis it will sound simularly rewsinable in the esro of the provinces whave represintutus shall arke it ; and if twior three provinues shith j jin in. the demind lay word fiur it, the thing will soon be done. "The nathe sort ot thing maty be looked fur in retirence to the New Brunsway timber expory duty and the Nova sytis. mineral expuri duly. fore is onie torn of the cry that may be raiof- Yua gite these
exceptional privileges to New Brunswick and Nova Scotia ; give them, or some equiva lent, to us ulso." With common ingenuity lots of" such eries may be nicely "rot up:' But for everything so given, much or little, to whatever province, you will have to do the like tor all the rest, and the figure will be alarning before you get to the end. And even this is not all. Vot only will you have these com paratively direct demand- yore or less iatenioúsly but always irresitibly-miade, but you will have demands made in a more indirect form which it will be yet easier to carry, trom their conseyuen ex not being so clearly seen. and which will therefore be still worse in their effects.. I speak of that tremendous catalogue of outhays which miy be gone into without the appearalee of a prat to any particular province-the eostly tivons which may be dine ia respect of interprovinciial ferries, Nteamship line betwen or from the provinces, rullways between or through the provinces, telesraph lines, odgriculture, immigration, quarantine, fisheries, and ye forth. "There whill be claims of every dencription under all thest headx, and besides the there will be the liug roll of interual iuproveaents of idll kinds, whether for the benetit of one or of more that one of the provincest For any locial work in which"it can be at all pretended that it is of getheral interest, presure maby be brought to bear apon the duseral Goveranent ind Leyislatare, and whonfer pae province suceceds in geting ay such ifrant, every other privinace mut t'be de.th with in the sume way: Coupenstinu mur be made alf round, and wo human" atallect "can estinate the degree of extraysabe that betiore loug mast Becume wimply meviuble. (Uear, hear:) Sir, with vur'lpper whd Lower Canada we hive had pretty ged prouf of this." Wo kuy that wheneser anything bas had to be done for one section of this proviace, it has cointently been found pecersary th do some thage of the sasine or of "some other kind for the wher: If either needed saything very budiy then the ingeunity "of the Minister of Finsucte had to be exerelsed to discuver somo thipr flye of like value tur ive the other. In yue yord uniless I an murs inistaken than I thity I a b be, hese luesl goverunients will bepprety gond daughters of the horseleleech, Mnd their cry will be found to be pretty often and pretty succesolully-" tive, "ive, zivo!" But, sir, there is very litele ueed for our dealing with cuasiderations ot chis kud as wa future abuet wheh ove may be thoughe to be ia danger of drawing more or less upon iunagio-
ation. We have in these resolutions a something that is to come upon us, one may say, at once; I allude to the expenditure for our defences-the Intercolonial Railway-the opening of communication with the North-West-and the "enlargement of our canals. There is no doubt that all these new sources of outlay are immediately contemplated. Their cost is not given us it could not be given with any safety to the scheme. I do not pretend to say, sir, but that some of these expenditures are necessary; " and this I am even prepared to say as to one of themthe outlay for defences-that every province of the enppire is: bound to do its full share towards its own defence. (Hear, hear.) I never gave a tote or expressed an opinion in any other sense. I was always ready with my vote for that purpose. (Hear, hear.) But looking at the great outlay; I may say the enormous outlay here understood to be contemplated, I confess I cannot approach the subject in this connection without a feeling of mixyiving. I can quite understand our going to the full limit of our means for all the expense that is necessary for the thörough inaintenance of our militia ou an efficient tooting as to instruction and otherwise; but when we hear of Imperial engineers; with Imperial ideas as to cost, laying out gradd perinanent works of defence, then I confess I atim much inclined to think that we had need try to practice what econony we can in that direc. tion. (Hear, hear.) Then, as regards the Intercolonial Railway, we have in these resulutions a very blind tale irdeed. "The Gexcral Government shall secure, without delay. the conpletion of the Intercolonial Lailway frow Riviere du Loup, through New Brurs wick, "to Truro in Nova Scotia"-and this, quite irrespectively of the expense. The vague pledge is, that the General Guvernment shall at any cost" secure the immediate com'pletion of this work." As to its comnéercial or military advantages, I have not" 4 great idea of them. I believe there has been wuch exagyer. ation as to both.: Unless with a strong foree to defend it, in a military poiut of view, "it" rould be of just no use at all. (Hear, hear.) For my own part, as I have often, said, I heartily wish to see the road built;' but unless we can get it done upon terms within our means, we had better do without it a little longer, and develope what other means of communication are at our command. While I want to see the thing done, I am not prepared for the declaration I find in these reasations, that, celte que colte, we will at once
have it. I donbt the policy of that way of dealing. (Hear, hear.) Viewed in its political aspects, the work is as much an Imperial as a provincial work; is one for which we have a right to look for aid from the Empire. I know it is said the Empire is going to aid us. "Well, for a' long time we held this: language: if the Imperial Goverument and the Lower Provinees betwe them will combine to do the rest; we sire ready with lands and subsidies. in a certain proportion and to a certain limited dmount. It is unfortunate, in my opirivu, that that proposal led to no result. I should have been glad to have obtained it ousuch terms, and even would have bid up the limit to the utimost extent of our means.

Hus I.s. MACDONALD-That offer is extant yet.

Mr. DUNKIN-I know it is, but those since made have left it out of sight. In 1862 the stirt was made to a larger and not limited outliay-fivatwelfths of an unstated wholeCireat Britain to reduce the cost by endorsing for us to a stated figure. I regretted that schene; but still it was better for us than What is now being forced upon us." "By this last scheme Canada will have to bear some nine tweliths- it has been said ten-twelfthsbut some nime-twelths, at any rate. In fact, the bulk of the burden is to lall on us ; and it is signiticant, though 1 dare say that the hyorable gentlemen who dee up this resolution dha not mean it, that it seeas to let the Impranl Government off frea its guarantee. This is no mere criticism of mine; my attention was drann to the poime by the article in the Eifintingh Revier from which I was quoting last hight. That writer-who is pot a nobody, you may depend upou it-remarks. in effect, that from the wording of this reso lution, the honorable gentlenien of the Conference do aivt seem to be holding to the Imperial guarantee. Should it not begiven, the cust to us will be frightifuliy increased. $\because$ And this, it had not ned be. For the honorable gentlemen who are runaing us into it might do well to remember the past. We had the Grand. Trunk railsuy offered us for what wäs called uest to unthing. The guarantee we were to give was not for much; and it "Was well secured; anil'we- were assured it was not meant to be made use of-was more a form. than a redity: Yet the guarantee was used und exterded; und made a gift of ; every éstimate failed, the cry ever sinte has been for more, more; and the whole concern is now in such a state ds to be threatening us day by day
with yet larger demands on the public purse than ever, to keep it going. Well, sir, I pass on from these hee vy outlays for permanent defences, and the Intercolonial Railway ; and I read in these resolutions that "t the communioations with the North-Western territory, and the improvements required for the development of the trade of the Great West with the seaboard, are regarded by this Conference as subjects of the lighest importance to the Federated Provihces, and shall be prosecuted "at" the earliest possible period that the state of the finances will permit." Well, sir, we are told that this lait phrase is synonymous. with those unqualified words;" "without delay," that are used as to the Intercolonial. I an reminded of a saying current in the days of Lord Sydeniam, who was a mod deal in the habit of wantiag work dune faster than the workers liked, and of whom it used to be said that all he ordered had to be done "immediately, "it and laughter.) I take it, the Interculonial Railway is to be done :- imrediately, if nut sooner, and these other iuprovements are to wait till "immediately, it not later.". They are to be prosecuted as soon as the state of the finances will permat. I know some hon. geutlea en think that will be very soun, but if , so, there, must be most exiratidiuary means taken to burrow' or otherwase ratse money. (Hear, heary) Nothog can be agouer than the intination giver as to what these works are to be, The comumuications with the Great North-Westery territury, where are they to begin; what ate they to be; and where are they to end? An.t the other improvenents to be carried out-the commuincations with the: seaboard-the enlargement of the canais-how much enlargement, sir, and uf how maty abd what canals? An tomorable triend nearn mee nays catal enlargement is or shalil be prodictive: No doubt, but at what rate? I remember reading ina Luwer Yrovnce paper the other day of a late speech of Hon, Mr. Tillay's, in:which he sud that at the Quebec Conferevce they went intio a calcuiation of the productive value of the enture outlay of these province upon productive pubtre. works, and fund them to he yieldiug average of one and an eighte of one per cent., or something like that,'of gearly return upun their cost. I 'admit there many be' in the - widening of these canals a sumething of productiveness; but to say that it will bè anythiag like proportionate to the outlay, is absurd.

But what I am coming back to if this-we are to goat once into the outlay of the Intercolonial Railway, and we are to go into this other, too; but yet, almost beyond the shadow of a doubt, these canals and other communi: cations with the west-which western politicians think they' are to get as their equivalent -are to be held back a bit.. I forgot to bring here an extract from a late speech of Hop. Mr. Thley's, in which he plainly said thatan immediate carrying on of these western works did not enter into the calculations of the Conference, that the Intercolonial wasynmistakably to be put through at once; but that the Lower Province delegates gave no promise of the like prosecution of these other works as the price of that. (Hear, hear.)

An Hon. NEVBER - Where do you find that?

Mr DUNKIN-It is quoted in a late number of the Touronto Leader; and if any. one will bring me the fyle of that paper from belew, I will read the words with pleasire. Now, Mr. Spea Ker, I am raieing no question of any one's sincerity upon this question. The politicians of the castern provinces, I have no doubt, are thoroughly in earnest in their demand for the construction of the Intercolon al road, and are quite willing to have the westera improvements begun about as soon as they can be ; and I am quite sure that the frieads of this scheine in the west want their western works instantly gove on with. I even bolieve they both think they will get what they want; but I am surprised at itheir credulity, for I do not see how they can' I believe thicy are deceiving themselves and their friends with the bright pictures their fancy has been painting, and that ing westerin friends, at any rate, are doomed io some disappointment. Whenever a Federal Parliament shall meet, I fancy it will become a question of grave icterest whether or not the state of the finances will admit of the construction of all these works; and if not, then what is to be dove first-and howaud when? And as I have shewn, volesib the sis majorities are pretty much agreed, there will be no great deal done in any hurry.

Hon: J S. MACDONALD-That t worse than the double majority:

Ma. DUNKIN-Yes, three times as bad, to say the least. Well, suppose the financiers of the Lower Provinces having before their eyes the fear of direct lanation by the Federal Parliameat, should come to tho
conclusion that it will not signify for a few years, whether these western works are begun at once or not ; and should propose to sit down first a little, and count the cost.

Hon: J. S. MACDONALD-Insisto having a survey made, for instance, first?

Mr. DUNKIN-Well yes, that would probably be insisted upon before they would consent to commit themselves further to the undertaking. : Suppose, then, Lower Canada to go with the Lower Provinces for staving off this commencement of these works, how will it fare with Upper Canada's demand for them? And what will not bethe indigeation of the people of Upper Canada at being tied to, and coutrolled by the non-progressive people of the east? Or, suppose that Upper and Lower Canada should sgree, and the Lower Provinces be seriously angry, at any over-caution eastward, or over-rashness westward; would not they too, so left out in the cold, be making thingsquite uapleasant? Or again, suppose the wore eastern and the western interests should continue to push on both plans, carcless of cost, and that Lower Canada, for fear of direct tazation, should hold back in carnest, would that make no trouble? Is not any one of these suppositions more probable thai the cool assumption, over which western gentlemen are so happy, that when the tinue comes all interests will instautly work to: gether, and by magic do everything, east and west, at once? 'But; be this as it may, sir, on all three accounts-defences, Iutercolonia: road and western works-we are sure of cost, as well as of disputes, in plency. And there is, besides, a fourth. I shall have occasion to shew presently that we are goinit to be called upou to spend money tor yot another kindred purposes, and a large anount too-aud this, as a part of this scheme. Our star of empire is to wing its way westward; and we are to confederate everything in its track, from Nowfoundland to Vancouver's Island, this last incluảed. But, between us and it, there lies the Hudson Bay territory, Sio, of cotrse, we must acquire that for coufederation purposes; and the plan is, that bafore we get it we shall have to pay for the elephant-though, after wo get him; we may find him costhy and hard to keep. It will not be difficult to prove that this is contemplated by the promotors of this scheme. Between railways and caualg, and western extenaiou, bofore we get the scheme carried out in all its contemplated amplitude, we shall have bled pretty well, and seen some
sights that we have hardly yet learnt to anticipate.' (Hear, hear.) Well, with this certain prospect before us of a gigantic outlay, what is the prospect for a gigantic pacone?

## ${ }^{\prime}$ A MEMBFR-Oh, never mind that.

Mr. DUNKIN-I quite understand that mauy hon: gentlemen take little thought of where money is to come tiom, if only it is to be spent as they wish" But, Mr. Spearer; before I go further, I am banded the fyle of the 'Toronto Leader; and; with the leave of the House, I will read from it che extracts" from Hon. IIr. 'Tileley's speech to which I was referriug some minutes ago. : This journal refers to it as follows :-
Mr. Tilley, we are sorry to say, does not give us much hope of the speedy enlargement of our carais. He laughs at the idea of his opponent quoting Mr. Brows as authority that thas work is to be undertaken at once: "The Conference," says Me. Thasy" "t in reed to build the railroad without delay; the carals as $-0^{\circ} n$ as the state of the finañess will pe mit." But he ridicules the idea that the tinances will be held at once to admit of this being done. "Canada," says.Mr. Thlef," "could not have been brought into the union on a promise to build her camals; for the railroad will cost $\$ 2,000,000$, whith added to the $\$ 22 ; 010,000$ for canats; would be an amount far above what they could have gained them. for without Confederation."
Such is Hon. Mr.TnLey's style of reuark, and I do nut think it is at all encouraging to the very sanguine view of the scheme taken by sone western politicians. It is presumable that he will take Nerfoundland, t'rince Edivard Island and Nova Scotia with him, and along with them he will get much of Lower Canada, İ I should have the hobor of a seat in the House, "they may depend upon it, I shall do what I can to get them fair play But I repeat, I do not expect to see them"satisficd with the result. Well, sir, however this may be, there is going to be, at any rate, an immense amount of moncy required, come frum whence it may. Where is it to coule froü? We cannot shut our eyes to the fant, that the customs tariff mast cume down. (Hear.) There are no two ways about that Our tariff is much higher than those of the Lower Provinces; and the advocates of Confederatian there have to assure people that their tariffs will not be materially raised, in order to get any sort of hearing for the schume. To tell them that the tariff of Canat. i. to be that of the Confederation, would, bo to ruin the chances of getting a favorable reception for it. (Hear, hear.)

Wee are marching fast and steadily to wards free trade. ..We must meet the views of the people of the Lower Proviaces, who are hos: tile to high tariffs, and the dequand of the Imperial authorities that we stould not tas their manufactures so hearily as-in their phrase-almost to deprive them of our market. It was disținctly and officially stated the other day, in Vewfouiidluid, that assurance had been given to the Governaent of Newfoundland that the oviews of the Cauadian Government are uumistakably in this direction. And I do not thiuk there is any mistake about that, either. To shew how people:at hame, too, expect our tariff to come down, I may refer to the sjeech of Mr. Hambury Tracy, in sequnding the Address in answer to the Speech froun the Throne, in the House of Commons the other day ' He could not stop, after saying geuerally that lie. was pleased with this Confederation mopement, without adding thit he trasted it wovuld result in a very considerable decrease 'ia the absurdly high apd hostile tariff at" present prevailing it Canada. 1 have not here the

- exact words, but that was their purport. Well, if the costoms taritf is to come down largely, we, must look tor a decrease of revenue. 'I ani fres to admit that a reduction of the tatiff on certain articles. or even söme meabuite of rudüction all round, wight be no material luss, or might even be a -aia, to the revenuc-in 'ordinary or prosperous times, that'is' to Bay. But when the object of reduelug the tarift is to meet other exigencies thai those of revenuce, one eda harily hope to get such"a taritf' as shall give us the largest! revenue attainable. And besides tho one cau deny that we are about enterigy up on $\circ \frac{a}{}$ time, ccmuercially speaking, that may be termed hard. We have had, for some time past; pretty heavy importations, and our best infuraied and shrewdest commervial -puen tell us that we are going to have, for some time to come, pretty light inportations. We are not to have a plethoric purse, even under ordiuary drafts apon it, for sotie years

Hon. Mr. Holton-The bard time is come now.

Ma.DUNKIN - Yes, it is comé or is closid on us, and it rather threatens to last. Aud in, with this state of things betiore ast, two volige the Imperial authorities and the Lower Provinces, under pressure of an inevitable state necessity, we are to reduce our customs rates, or any number of them, below what I may call their figure of largest productive-
ness, then surely it is little to say that we cannot look forward to an increase in the revenue, or even to a continuance of our present income, and it is rather strange thal we should be called upon,. withal, at th6 same time so to change our whole system as to involve ourselves in the enormions extra ragances here contemplated. No taxing scheme cau ever meet the case.. Nothing can be looked to, but a device of borrowing without limit-the incurring of an amount of debt that, in interest and sinking fand, must prove to be simply anendurable hereafter. (Hear, hear:) But, in fact, we cannot even botrow to any large amount unless under false pretences. : We cannot borrow, without telling tales of our condition, resources and espectations, that will in the end be found out to be lies. We. nust awaken hopes' in the minds of monicy tegnders abroad, that cannot but prove delusive-the memory of which mist work us hereafter an aggravation of panishmènt that we shall then scarcely need.: And when that tiupe of reckouing shall have come, then staggering. under the load, without credit at home or abroad, the ceuntry will have to choosese whether it will have heavy direet tax-ation-for heavy such tasation then must ; be "-or have recourse to more or less of re pudiation'; or evèn run some risk of both. Sir, if ever that time shall coine, the public men of that day and the people on whom the burthen will then press,", will not bless the memory of those who held out the fallse hopes and inducements noder which it is now sought to. decoy us into wild expenditure aud crushing debt. (Hear; hear.) "Well, Mr: Speakra; I now pass to another branch of my stubject altogether, There is a further salient contrast between the American system and the system proposed for our adoption. The people of the United States, when they adopted their Constitution, were one of the uations of the earth. They formed their whole system with a view to nationalferistence: They had fought for their independeace, and had trumphed; and still in the : llush of their triumph, they were laying the foundations of a system absolutely ná tional. Their Federal Governuient was to liave its relatious with other nations, and was sare to have plenty to do apon entering the great family of nations. But we-what'are we doing? Creating a new uationality, accordiag to the adrocates of this scheme. I hardly know whetlier we are to take the phrasedfor ironical, or not Is Is it a reminder
that in fact we have no sort of nationality about us, but are unpleasantly cut up into a lot of struggling nationalities, as between ourselves? Unlike the people of the United States, we are to have no foreign relations to look after, or national affairs of any kind; ind therefore our new nationality; if we could ereate it, could be nothing but a name. I mist say that according to my view of the change we ought to aim at, any idea of Federation that we may entertain had need take an Imperial direction. Whenever changing our institutions, we had need develope and strengthen--not merely maintain, but maintain, develope and strengthen-the tie, not yet Federal as it ought to be, between as and the parent state. (Hear, hear:) It is the entire Empire thăt should be federalized, and cemented together as one, and not any mere limited number of its dependencies here or there- A general, or so called federal goverument, such as we are here proposing to ereate, will tuodst certainly be in a false position. As I said just now, the Federil Goveryinent of the United States was to take: its place in the great family of the uations of the carth \% but what place in that family are we to occupy? Simply noue.- The Iniperial Goverument will be the head of the Empire as much as ever, and will alone have to attend to all foreign relations and national matters; while we shall be nothingt miore than we are now. Halla-dozen colonies federated are but a federated colony: atter all: Initead of being so many separate provinges with workuble iustitutions, we are to be one province uost cumbrously organised - nothing more. How many grades of government are we going to have under this system? The Imperial Governmient, the one great head of the Etipire ; then this Federal Coverament; then gur lot of provincial goveruments, below them again, our county: municipalities, and, still below these, our towaship and other local municipalities. (Hear, hear.) We have thins tive different sets of governmental machinery, and of these five there is just one too inany in my judgment.' You might as well make sis while you sre about it, and interpolate botween our provincial and county governments d district governmental machinery. If we did that we should be doing a thing not a whit more absurd than we propose to do now, inerecting a new piece of sugh machinery botween the Imperial and provincial goveraimeits. "We de not want a third municipal government, because there is nothing for it to
do ; and when we propose to create a Federal Government between the Imperial and Provincial, we are equally proposing to create a something which, having nothing of its own to do; must find work by encroaching on the functions of the Imperial and provincial gotiernments in turn, with no place among nations, no relations with other countries, no foreign policy ; it will stand in just the same position towards the Imperial Government as Canada now stands in, or as Upper or Lower Canada before the union used to oecupy. That intermediate work of governmeit. which is now: done by the Porince of Canada, the Province of New Brunswick, the Pruzince of Nova Scotia, the Province of Prince Edward Island and the Provinge of Newfoundland, is to be donne, "part by thê Federal Gorèrament and part by the provinces." The work is simply divided that is now done by the provincial legislatures and governments, and in my opinion there is no ase in this subdivision of work at all. 'Yeu are putting this fifth whèel to the coach, merely to find out that a mishit ting, odd wheel will not serve any usful par, pose, nor so much as. work sminothly with the other four. (Hear, hear.) Your Federal Goverament will occupy about as auomalous a position between the Imperial"and provincial governments as I stiowed, last dijpht, will be oceupied by your lieqtemant governars between the Federal authority and the provinces. Both will be out of plaee, and to tind themselves in work they must give trouble. I do not see how they can do good, but I do see how they can do any quantity of harm: (Hear, hear.) The real difticulty in our" position is one that is not met by the mathinery here proposed: What is that dificulty? In the larger proviaces' of the empire we have the system of responsible government thoroughly accorded by the lmperial Goverument. and thoroughly worked out ; , añd the dificulty of the system that is now pressing, or ought to ber, upon the attention of our statesmen is just this-that the tie cunnecting us with the Eupire, and which ought to be a federal tie of the strongest kind, is too slight, is not, properly speaking, so much as a federal tie at all." These provinces, with locil responsible goverament, are too nearly in the posituon of independent communities, there is not enough of connection between them and the parent state to make the relations between the two work well, ur give promise of lasting long. "There is in the machinery too much of what may be called the centrifugal ten-
dency. (Hear, hear.) All the great provinces are flying of too much; attending too exclusively to mere local considerations, to little to those of the general or Imperial tiond: 'And at home, as we seem to be flying off; they, too, are thinking of us and of the interests they and we have in common less and less. What is wanting if one is to look to the interest of the Empire, which is really that of all its "parts-what is wantiny, as 1 have said, is an effective federalization of the Empire as a whole, not a subordinate tederation here or there, made up out of parts of it. I have neither time nor strength to-night to go fairly into the question of how this thing should be done's but a feew words more'as'to that, I must be pardoned for. Until hatturly in Canada we have not had, and =ome collonies have not now, I believe, a Minister of Militia. Even we have not as yet, in our Cabinet, a minister to attend to what may be 'called Imperial affairs. It is' not the business of any minister, nor is it even distinctly recognized as that of the Ministry as a whole, in any of these provinces, to attend to what is really at the present juncture the must important part of our whole publie business-the regulation of affairs between them' and the Mother Country. I know it:maty be siad this is in the hauds of the Governor so are other things. But for them,' we see the need of his having advisers. And as to this, if a Cabinet leaves it wholly to him, that practically. amounts to its neglecting these affairs altogether. Let ne go back to a point or two in the bistory of affairs in Canada within the recollection of all hoourable gentlesuen. In 1862, when the then Militia Bill was before the House, it was asked over and over again by: gentlemen of the Upposition, what coumunict tions, if any, had been received from the Imperial Government in respect of the defence of this province; and the ausyer invariably was, that there had been nope, none kinwa to the Administration, as an administratisun. Now, if there had then been an offieer - -tioe $\mathrm{Pro-}$ nincial Secretary the Minister of Militia, or any , other member of the Governiment whose duty it had been and was to attend to that important branch of the puble service; if the relations between the Mother Country and this province had been known to be in his. charge, such an answer as that could never have been given, nor the second reading of that bill lost in consequence. The other night, when the Resid Prevention and Alien Bill-was betore the House, we did receive the intimation that the Mother Country desired legisla-
tion of that Kind at our hands; and it passed accordingly. But that intimation was then given us exceptionally. "There is a large class of questions spriaging up continually which affect Iuperial interests and Imperial views as well as our own, and we ought to have-and if our connection with the Empire is to last, we must have-this departaent of our public affars attended to by a regularly appointed Minister tot the Crown here, who, whenever ucosision requires, may explain them and who shall be responible to this House. Of course, nobudy denies that the Governor General is the channel of communication between üs amd the luperial Government He is the Quecu's representative:and servant, and his eommunientuns with the Home Gor. criment must be of the anst" confidential character, excertion so tar as he may see fit to inake them known. But fully admitting this, sull bevides those commanications of this character which he may. have and indeed at all' times must have unrestriviedly with the Imperial Government, there should be - and, it our Iuperiat relations are to be mantaned, there must be-a further class of communication bet ween the twô governments, as to which the Governor shouid be advised by a minister whove particular duty it should bo tio manage affiairs betwren the Mother Country and ourselves, and to be in effect a local ad. viser, as pos sucti maters of the Inperial advisery of the Crown in England. In one word; we have got to develupe the Imparial phase, so to speak, of our provincial system; to find the means of keeping aur policy and that of the Mother Country in harimony; and if wo do not, we canot long keep up our connection with the Eispire. If this were doneif we had in our several provincitad adminis trations some metaber charged with this dopartiment of the public service, as latterly we have cone to have one charged with the cog. nate subject of the wilitia und detence of the country-it these ministers of - Imperial relations made periodical visits home, so as there to meet one another atid sach members of t.e Imperial Giovernament or others as the Crown might charge to ineet and coufer with Fiem-if there were thus urganized, some sori of advisory colonial council upon the precedent (no far, of tourse, as the analogy mught bold) of the Cunacil for Eost Indian Affites lately created-it, I say, sumething in this way were done: then indeed we should be developing vur Luperial relations in the proper direction, taking at least a step-the first and hardesttowards the framing of that Imperial feder-
ation of which we so stand in need. But there is no provision of that $k$ ind in the system here proposed; there is no apparent contemplation of a step of that kind in connection with this step. On the contrary, this step is all in tho wrong direction. We are here proposing to create in this part of the Queen's dominions a mere sub-federation, so to speak, tending. so far as it tends to anything, towards the exclusion of this kind of provision.: This other machinery to which I have been alluding, Mr. Spenker," if we had had it a ten years ago, would have beeri of extreme usgitulness. Suppose we had had something of that kind wheu the Rebollion Losses Bill was passed, when so much excitement was thereby created in the country. Suppose that then when the indigation of a large class was concentrating itself against Lord Eldis for his supposed purpose of assenting to that bill, he could have said-"It is lide for you, as you must see, to require me to listen to you against. the adrice of my conistitutional advisers; "but you know there is a tribunal at home, to which you may appeal from that infice, where you will be heard and they, and from which you nimay be sure of justice if you have been aggrieved or injured here:'. Sir, if it had been possible for the Governur Geacral tw have given such an answer at that time to the angty remonstrances of those who opqosed that neasure, the Parliameat House would not have been burat, nor mould we haye had to dephore the long train of consequent disturbances and troubles which then and ever since have brought so much diseredit and uinechicf to the country. : Take auother case. If such machinery had existed when the tishery treaty with France wha entered into by the luperial. Government, conditioned upon the consent of Nemfondland, no such anomalous proceeding could have taken place. For the representa-: tives of Newfoundand and of the rest of these - provinces would at one have shown the Inaperial Gofernment that it would not meet ap. proval in that colony, nor indeed for that matter anypliere else in British America. Great Britain: would have been saved froin entering into a treaty that-as matters weathad to be disallowed, with some diseredit to the Empire, and some risk of a rupture of its friendly relations with a foreign power.

Ma. SCOBLE--Does not the Houso of Commons afford that magchivery ?"

Ma. DUNKIN-The House of Commons. 'knowis very little, and cares much lesis, about our local effairs. (Hear, hear.) I say, is there had then besa Colonial Council at
home, where representatives of the different provincial administrations might have met and advised with any of Her Majesty's ministérs, there would have been no difficulty: It rould have disposed of any number of other questions more satisfactorily than they have been disposed of. "The northeeastern boundary question with the States, for instance, would never have been settled in a way so little accordant with our views and interests; and the question of the western boundary would have been "settled sooner and better, also. Take unuther illustration: When the diffculty arose betiveen this country and England about our tariff, when the Sheffeld manahaturers nought to create a feeling at home agitinst us, because we, mainly to raise reveuue, placed duticas higher than they liked on in inportations of manufactured goods, if any such indichinery had been it operation, no such wide-spread and mischievous misapprehension as to our acts and purposes could have arisen; as ever since has been prevalent in Eugland, and even on the lloor of the House of Commons: In fact; I repeat that without sigace such system, t do not see how our relatious with the Eupire can be maintained on a sutisfactory footigh. -It'se just the want of it that is leadiug so many at home now to thiak us in a transition state towards separation and independence, when, in truth, we have such need to prove to them that we are in a iransition state towards a something very different indeed - the precise antipodes of separation. (Hear hetar.) Sir, 1 wis sayiug that th thas schene there is no such conservative tendency as this-nothing indicative of a set purpose to develope, strengthen and perpetuate our connection with the Empire.f That end we might indeed better gain without than with this extra machinery of lucal federation; for disguise it how you may, the idea that uuderlies this plan is this, and nothing else-that we are to create here a something-kingdon', viceroyalty, or princi-pality-something that will soon stand in the same position towards the British Urown that Scoiland and Irelaid-stood in before they were legislatively united with England ; a something having no other tie to the Empire than the one tie of fealiy to the British Crown-as tie which in the cases, first, of Scotland, and then of Ireland, was found, when the piach cane, to be no tie at all; which did not restrain either Scotland or Ireland from courses so tucousistent with that of England us to have made it necessary that their relations ahould be radically changed, and a legislative
union formed in place of a merely nominal union. Suppose you do cereate here a kingdom or a priiucipality, bound to the Empire by this shadow of a tie, the day of trial cannot be far distant, when this common fealty will be found of as little use in our case as it was in theirs; when, in consequence, the question will force itself on the Empire and on us between entire separation on the po band, and a legislative union on the other. But a legislative union of British Auerica with the Cnited Kingdom must be, in the opinion of, one may say, everybody at home and here a stiecr utter impossibility : aud when the question shall come to be whether we are so to be merged in the United Kingdom or are to ceparate entirely from it, the answer cat only be-'At whatever cost, wé separite" . Sir, I believe in my conscience that this step now proposed in one directly and ineviably tendiny to that other step; and for that reasin- - even if I believed, as 1 dg not thit it bid futr to answer ever so well in the "ther restext--because I am an Egglishman and hold to the evintection. with England I muat the arabit thi selemens Sup. pose now, on the other that this scheme were not to givinto operatigu, therè would be po earthly ditficulty in wiskinis out, with this Canada of uurs: the ather plai'l have been suggesting tor the placing of cur relationis with the Eupurie. in a better footing. Nor would tiere prubably be ang material diffeulty either in bramiga abint a teristative union of the Lover Provinces, or in dyelopiag a yery near approcicli tifree trade, or indeed absolute free trade betwern un" ind them. '1 know there are thore whorsay that this moek Federal union is incessary in order to our getting that free trade with thinse provinees. Well. sir, as to that, ill I care to say is this, that for a number of years pots we have had a near approach to free trude with the l'nited States-a borcign country; and I iñagine we can have it wrtit the Lower Provinces as well, without any very great difficulty. (Hear. bear.) I say again, we had tar better hold firmly to the policy of this maintaining and strengtheniug our uniun with the pareat stite, than let ourselves, under whatever preteit, be drawn int this other course, which wiust iaevitably lead to our separation from the Empire. (Hear, hear:) But, Mr. Specker, there is still mother point of view in which this seheme requires to be considered. The people of the luited states, when they framed their instiiutions, were not ouly starting as a nation-they were so starting with no dangerous neighbor-nation near them. If we are
to take the step now arged upon us, not only are we to be sométhing less than a nation, but we are to be this :with a very dangerois neighbor-nation indeed. In this connection I may be allowed to read ${ }^{a}$ few words. The thirtieth resolution says :-

The General Government aud Parlisment shall have all powers necessary or proper for performing the obligations of the Federated Provinces, as part of the British Empire, to foreign count tries, arising , uider treaties betrueen Great Brise tain and such countries.
It is quite right that the General Governinent should have such powers; but the very fact of our having to make a reservation of this kind, is an funpleasant recognition of the fact, in it if the reverse of encouraging, of the all darkening veighborhood of the United States. It is a most singular thing that we are required on the one hand to go into athis union on this very account-for downright dread of the United States-and yct that on the other, we are as confidently assured of our own inmense resiurces, are told that we are so wonderfuly great and wouderfully rich, that we are something like I don't know whether we are uot-the third or fourth power, or maritime power, oue or other, ia the world. Really, I would not undertake to say how ${ }^{6}$ great we are, or are not, according to honorable gentlemen. They startle one. I had no "idea how great' we were!" (Hear, hear) But yet, with all this wonderful magnificence and greatness, we are told we positively must not, for very fear if the United States for fear of their power-for fear of "their hostility; we mast not any longer stay disunited, but must instanty enter into this socalled union. Just as it either their power or their hostility towards us-taking that to be their feeling - would be lessened by our doing so. Just as if they would not be only the puore jealous of us and hostile to us, for our setting ourselves up ostentatiously as their rivals. (Hear, hear.) In this connection, it does seem to me that .we hàve more than one question to answer. Many honorable gentlemen appear to think they have done all that need be done, when they have answered to their own satisfaction the one question, What is the amount of our resources? Starting with the vastiness of our territory, they go into all kinds of statements as $\overline{\text { o }}$ our trade and so forth, maltiplying tonnage impossibly, adding together exports and importis-those of the Intercolonial trade and all. I only wonder they do not, on the same principle, calculate our inter-county and
our inter-township tradinge, or our dealings betryeen cities and country, adding exports and imports of course all round, and so proving that we have done more trade than all the rest of the world put together ; unless, indeed, they were to count up the trade of the pest" of the world by the same rule; and then"to be sure they would find out that after aill, the rest of the world do more business, are more populous, richer, and stronger, than we. "The question is not simply. What are our own resources? We must supplement it with a second-What are they comparatively's And especially, whato are they as compared with those of the United States? And while we are asking this question, we may as well not take if for granted as a fact, that the larger our country the stronger we must be. Suppose we are to be four millions of people in a country as large as Europe or larger. I wish to Heaven we were four millions of people-with all the adjacent unesposed territory you will-but in a country smaller thon Eugland:. Why, sir, Vew Eugland alone has more population and resources, all told, than the Lower Provinets and Lower Canada together; and with he: compactaeis and adrantaye of position, she could alone, presumably, beat both.

Hon Atty. Gen CaRTIER-New EogLad stronger than the Lower Provinces: and the two Canadas?

Mr. DUNKIN-I did not say that; I said Stronger than Lower Canada and the Lower Provinces.

Hus. Atry Gen CALTIER-It is about the same in population, two and a half millions, while 'we ; have more shipping than they.

Mr. DUNKIN-I fear that if we were to cous into collision, a good deal of shipping mught change hands, At any rate, at the best, we should have a protity tight time of 1t. (Hear, hear.)
AN HON. MEMBER-Better put a bold face on it.

Mr. DUNKIN-Yes, yes: "Brag is a good dog, but. Holdfast is a better." Then, thers is the State of New York, which would certainly be more than a mateh for Upper lanada-and New York is but one of several states" conterminous with Upper Canada. Who in his zenses, sir, thinks of these provinces as able, of themselves, to hold their own against Now Eugland, Now York and tha rest of the tier of states along our frontier? And get we are talked to. as if Confederation were about to make us the
third or fuurth power, or maritime power in the rorld! But what I was saying more particularly was, that too much of territory, and above all to much of exposed frontier, daes not increase our strength, but lessens it, Ours is the "long thin line of red," which is not soc well able to receive a charge as thè solid squäre.

Col. HAULTALN was understood to signify dissent to some of the propositions here advanced.

Mr. DUNKIN-If the hon member for Peterborough thinks tiat in a military point of view, the length and narrowness of our territory adds to our strength - if he thinks we are the stronger for our length of frontier, $I$ would respeetfully recommend him to attend. one of our military schools (Laüghter.) But seriously, sir, if we are to compare our resources with those of the United States; we shall find, as if have said, that theirs are unmistakably and beyond count, greater.

Coi. HAULTALN-Than , the British Empire?

Mr. DUNKIN-That is not the compatisin. We are continually hearing of what Confederation is to do for ourselves, how it is going to make us a great poser in the wirorld. It is going to do nothing of the kind. But grain-and here is a third questivn that in this connection we have got to answer-how is the temper of the United States going to be affected, ou the one hand, by the policy here urged on 14, of what. I may cali hosile independent effort-effort made on our part, with the avowed object of setting ourselves up as a formidable power against them ; or "u the other hand; by a. policy such as "I hive been urging, of un." ubtrusive developuent of our institutions in connection with the British Empire? "In which of the two cases are they likely to be the more amiable, or, (which is perhaps more to the point), the less aggressive or practically. unamiable, as our neighbors? Besides, there comes up still another question. What is to be the attitude of Great Britain under either of these tro suppositions?. A's I have said, the question is, first, as to our own resources; next, as to the comparative resources of the United States; then, as to their attitude and temper towards us, upon one or other of these two suppositions; then, as to the attitude and temper of Great Britain, in reference to each of these suppositions; and lastly, as to the reaction (so to speak) apon ourselves, of these respective attitudes of the two countries in either case.

If, sir, we are thinking to give other people the idea, that by uniting ourselves together in any such way as this, we are going to make ourselves able to take care of ourselves, we are merely humbugying umselves, and trying to humbuy others.' The people of the United States, are stronger, than we are, and are known so to be; andif we are to hold our own ayainst or beside them, it can only be by remaining strongly, avowedly, lastingly, attached to - Greit' Britati. This is' the firm cocelasiou I have come to; and I believe it is the contlusion to which any one who will give nis thoughtful attention to the subject must come also. And I must and do protest against the notion which seems to proval among the adrocates of this scheme" that somehow or other it is going so to increase our power, as to make us a" formidable neighbor of the "rnted states:' The danyor is, of its making that people more jealuys of us and more hostile towards us than before. And if, besides that, it is ruing to give them and the people of Huglatid. or either of them; the idea that a result of it we are to care less for the conuection with the Enpire than before-that under it we are before long to go alone. it is going to commit us to about the saddest fatal mistake that a prople ever made (Hear, bear, Mr. Speaker, I must apulogize for the leingth to which I hase wearied the House, (Cries of * trinn! !") I have gone through, as well as I eculd, the leading points of my arguments, so far ; and have fanicated a number of points of contrast between this syiti in and that of the Unired States. If trast I have not been too prolix in ny attempts to shew tha the Constitution now offered for our acceptance presents machinery entirely unike that of the United states, and entirely unlike that of the British Einpire - that it is inconsistent with either-ihat so far fromits proffering to us all the advantages of both and the disadvantages of neither:it rather presents to us the disadvañtâges of both and the advantages of veither ; that so far from its tending to improye our relations either with the Mother Country or with the Tnited States, it holds out to us very. little pronpect indeed for the future; in either of these respects. (Hear, hear.) I shall not attempt to review my argument on these treads, for I do not think that to anyone at a.l willing to reflect, what I have advanced can require to be proved more fully. If I am not eatirely wrong; the on!
way in which this proposed machinery cat be got to work at all, will be by an aggregation, so to speak, in the first Federal Cabinet, of the leading men of the different existing provincial administrations: The attempt must be made to combine the six majorities, so as to carry on an administration in har: wony with the understood wishes of the sir several provinces, irrespcetively of every consideration of principle, or of sound far: seeing policy : I do not see how, although this thing may be done at starting, it can be carried on-I was going to say, for any length of time-I might say, for any time, long or shori, unless by a system of the most enormous jobbery and corruption. Whenever any sore spot shall show itselfand we may rely on it, there will be more than one such show itself very soon-then feuts and divisions of the worst sort will follow, and the machinery will no longer wirk. Unfortuately, there are in it none of those tacilities for harmonious workings nope of those nice adaptations by, which the stronger power is so "tempered as not to fall too harshly on the weaker. Just so long as the majorities-in all the different provinces work cordially together, well and good. Rat they cannot possibly work harmouiously together long ; and so boon they come into collision, there coumes trouble, and with the trouble, the fabric is at an end. (Hear, hear.) For myself, I am decidedly of opinion that out true ipterest is to hold this machinery over, to consider it carefully, to see if something better cannot be devised. (Hear, hear.). I am sure there can. But instend of that, we are called upon emphatically and earnest's at once to throw aside al! considerations to the contrary, and to adopt the measure; and we are at the same time told, in unmistabable language, that we positively cannot-minst not-shall nut-change a single word of it. Various considerations are urged upou us for this unseemly haste; considerations connected with the attitude of the Cnited States, with Great Britain; with the Lower Provinces, and with our own domestic affairs. With the permission of the House; I will touch as briefly as I can on these four classes of considerations, and then cease longer to weary the House. I begia; then, with the conoiderations connected with the attitude of the United States, which are urged upunius as reasons why we should rash into this measure of Confederation. To some eztent I have already incidentally
touched on these in another connexion; but they call for some further notice,' and in giving it them; I will try not to repeat myself. Judging from much of the language which we have heard on the floor of this House, one would suppose we must be on the verge of a war with the United States. For my part, I believe nothing of the kind: But if we were, would it be at all the right thing for us to abstain from the more pressing questions of our defences and the organization of the militia, and to be instead discussing here these plans of a"Federal Union, Provincial Constitutions, and I know not what? . These we are called upon, I adnuit, to discuss in a tremendous hurry, to settle off-hand, in workable or un workable st ape; nobody seeming to know or to care which; everybody. professing to hope that all will come right in the end, whether be thinks it will or not. But, sir, I say amaiu, if war were imminent with the United States, the one question for us: would be the state of our defences, the örganization of our militia, how much England cán do for us, how much we can do for ourselves, bow much England and we, each of us, are to undertake to do together. That is not the question at the present time at all, and I therefore take it that the outcry raised in connection with this scheme, about our defences and the militia; is just so much butucombe $\therefore$ (Hear; hear) If honurable geutlemen opposite believed in it, I am certan that the pressing. question. would be taken up first: Purther, if such danger Were not even pretty far off, I for one would be disposed to thiunk that the taking up. now of this other class of ques. tions comes a little late in the day With any near, real danger of war with the Cuited Stater, it would be quite tio late for us to be sitting here, gravely discussing a political union, io be consummated months heuce, at soonest. and then only to lead to. the construction of railways which will take sears, and defences which cannot be put in öder for months or years, and to future developments of all kinds, which it will tako. years on years to carry out. If war, I say; is immineat, these ulterior undertakings, thongh began now, "would be begun all too" bate. Whenever there is such danger, our defence will not be found in the making of federal or other constitutions, or in paper display of any kind, bat must be found in. the strovig arms and determitied courage of our people, responding earnestly to the call
of the Mother Conntry; and backed with all the power she can bring to bear upon the conflict. 'Supposing that time come, we have plenty of governing machinery for that defence. We do not need, in order to it, a viceroy and court; an l lieutenantgovernors, and all the complicated politioal apparatus of this scheme: We could get along just as well under our present system, and I think better. Certainly, if modified as I have indicated it might be-if improved by the better development of our relations to the Empire-the system which would thence result would be as good as that here offered for our acceptabee-indeed; would be much better. But, sir, the real danger is not of war with the United States.: It is from what I may call their pacific hostility -from trouble to be wrought by them within this country-- tronble to arise out of refusal of reciprocity-repeal of the bonding system-custom-house annoyances-passport annoyauces; from their fomentiug difficulties here, and taking advantage of our local jealonsies; ; from the multiplied worries they may cause us by a judicious alternation: of bullying and coasing, the thousand incidents which naty easily be made to happen if things are not going on quite well in this country, and the people and government of the States are minded to make us feel the consequences of our not getting on quite so well as we might. Whether the union of the States is restored or not, this kind of thing cango on. The danger is, that either the whole United States, or those portions of the United States which are nẹar us, and which are really stronger than we are, and enterprising enough and ambitious enough, and not very fond of , us, and not at all fond of the Mother Couutry, not at all unwilling to strike a blow at her and to unake us subservient to their own interest and ambition-thedanger is, I say, that the United. states, or those portions of the Unitëd States. near us, may ayail themselves of every opportunity to perplex us, to embroil us in trouble, to make us come within the disturbiag inHlieaces of their strong local attraction.Now, to pretend to tell mo that the United States or the Northern stites, whichever you please, are going to bs frightened; from a policy of that biad, by our taking upon ourselves great airs, and forming ourselves into a grand Confederation, is to tell me that their people are, like the Chinese, a people to be frightened by loud noises and uply grimaces. (Laughter.) I do not believe they are. They
are not to be frightened by any union we can make here. They have among them politicians, to say the least, quite as bold, shrewd andastute as any we have here. The danger will just be that of our haring agitation. of our own going on here, and niternal treubles, while these annoyances on the part of our neighbors across the border are being multiplied upon us; and that England may at the same time be feeling that the tie between hicr "and us is more or less relazed; and that wrong and humiliation put upon us do not concern her so much as they would have done when our connection with her was practically more intimate. In and before 1840 alter the troubles which had been distracting Canada were put domn, it was declared, and perfectly :well underst od. that the Inperial Government was simply deternitied to hold on to the connection with this country. And the knowledge of that expressed determmation eda-- ranteed us a pretty long torm of comparative feedoui from annoyances and trouble o. the kind to which; I have been reterring. If. now, a different idea is to prevail-if the notion is to go abroad that we are, by cryatius ourselyes into a new nationality to be semewhit less connected with the Empire' than these provinices heretofore have keen, then I do apprehend that a very different future is before has; and that in all sorts of ways, by vexations of all kinds. by the fomentiog of every troyble within our own borders, whether origination from abread, or wily reated on Cfrou abroad, we shall be expened to datogers of the most zerious kind. Aud, therefore so far from seeing in our relations towards the C nited States, any reason why we should assume a position of semi-independenec, an attitude of seeming defiance towards them, Itind in them the strongest reason why, even while reyarding, or affecting to regard them as little as possible, we should endeavor to nake all the world see that we are trying to strengthen our union with the Mother Country F hat we care far less about a mere uniou fivith neighboring provinces, which will frighten no one in the least, but that we are determined to maintain at all hazards and draw cluser, that connection with the Mother Country, which alone, so long as it lasts, can aid will protect us froin all serious agegressiou. (Hear, hear.) But we are told that, on account of a variety of considerations conieeted with the state of - opinion at home, and out of deference to that opinion; we must positively carry out this scheme. Well, there are two or three ques tions to be answered here. What is that
opinion at home? : What is it worth? "And what sort of lesson does it teach us? There are some distinctions thich, in my judgment, must be drawn with reference to this. There are different phases of opinion prevailing at home, which must be taken into account. I have great respect for some home opiaions. Many things they know in England mucch. better than we do. Some things they do not kiom so well. They do not know so inuch about purselves as-we do ; and they do not occiupy their minds so much with that class of questions which relate mérely to our interests, as we at any rate ought to do ; and on these matters 1 im pot sure that we shall act wisely sift we yiẹld at once to the first ex. presnons of opinion at home., But now, sir. what is the opinion at home, or rather, what are the opininus entertatined at home, with reference to this measure?.. Of course, I do not intend to weary the House with a lone. detailed stetenient on this subject. But I inust say this-and I do not think that any one who knows anything at all about it will coutradiet; what I state-there is at home a cousiderably numerous; and wuch more loudspeaking than mumerous, class of politiciatus who do not hesitate to say that it is not fur the interest of Emylaid to keep her colones at all.

Mr. SCOBLE-Not numerous.
Mn. DCNKL-Well, I think they are rathernumerous and pretty induential, and they make a zood deal of stir; and sone of them being in pretty high places, there is danger that their views may exercise a goud deal of intluence upon public opinion at home. There are many intluences at wort at home, tending to the prevaleace of the idea that the gooner the colunies leave the Mother Couniry, the better-aud especially that the sooter these colonies leave the Nother Country, the better: There is a very exaggerated notion at home of danger to the peate of the Empire frou the maintenance of British supremacy in this part of the world. That isthe fate ; and thero is ao use in var shutting our eyes to it." We may just as well take it, uncomiortable and hard fact as it may be. If wo choose to tell ourselves it is not the fact, we are only hiumbugging vurselves. (Hear, hear.) That is vie prina," as regards public opinion in England. Another is, as to the appreciation, at home, of this particular scheme. I take it, that what we are told oun this head by thuse who uris: "this schenie "upon us, about opinion ti home, aruounts to thit -that it home this schetue it regarded
with very great favior, that we are ex pected to adopt it and that if we do not adopt it, it will be the better for as with reference to home public opinion.. Well, the questions for us are: What is the opinion at home about this scheme? What is the opinion entertained in high quarters as to its goodness or badness;' and if there is an opiñ"ion in favor of the scheme being adopted, from, what considerations does that opinion, to agreat extent, prevail? I am not going into these questions minutely, but $I$ must be allowed to anake a remark or two as to the opinion expresed by 'Her Majesty's Government with regard to this schenie. I have alrealy, to some extent, alluded to the dispatch if the Colonial Secretary ; but in this connection, I must allude to it a hittle further. (Hear, hear.)" It is "clear from that disfiteh that the Colonial Secretary wrote under these impressions: tirst of all, he was under the idea that this scheme had beea drawn up by the represichtatives of every province, chosen by the respective governors, without distinction of party. That was not yuite the case. There were representatives from the trio leading parties in each of the other provirices, but it was not so as regarded Lower ("anada: (Hear, hear.) The Colonial Seretary was. besides, evidently under the iupression that when these gentlemen came toether, they pare the inatters before them the most mature deliberation. He says:- They hiswe conducted their deliberations with patient manacity, and have arrived at unanimous conelusimis on questions involvige many difficul"tics:" The "patient sagacity", was exercised for serenteen or niucteen days, and the "un:unimus conc'usions" "were, after all, certainly nipt unanimous The Secretary goes on to say:-
Her Najesty"; Government have given to your Inspatch and to the resolutions of the Confer ace, the ir moss delberate consulderation. They "we regarded theme as a whole, and as having twen desigaed by those whe 'framed them, to "iabhith ascimplete and perfect a anion of the whle, fato one government, as the circumstances of the casse; and a due conaderation of existing me rests, waik admit. They accept them, theretorit, ay being in the deliberate judyment of those bent gualitited to decide upon the subjeet, the best framework of is measure to bo, passed by the luiperial larliameat fier atuining that must desiatable result.

Her Majesty ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Government thus take for aranted a "deliberate" examination, which most unquestionably never has been given to
this crude project, Now, with all "this, with the impression that men of all parties had here acted in combiation, when in trath they have done no such thing ; that patient sagacity had been expended on the framing of the scheme, when in truth there was nothing of the kind; that the conclnsions were unanimously arrived at, which again was not the fact; with all this, Her Majesty's Government have only come to the point of giving a very general, and, as any one who reads the dispatch can see, a very qualified approval of the scheme. First an objection is raised as to the want of accurate determination of the limits between the aththority of the Central and that of the local legislatares.'. I will not read the words, as I read them last night, but no one can read the dispatch without seeing that the language of the Colonial Secretary on that point is the language of diplomatic disapproval, (Hear, hear.) Though he gives a general approsal, he criticises and evidently does not apprave: He sees an intention, but cally attention to the fact that that intention is not clearly and explicitly expeoseel." He then goes on and makes another objection - the financial. His language is this:-

Her Majesty's Govemment cannot but express the earnest hape, that the arrangements which may be adopted in this respect may not be of such a nature as to inerease-at least in any con:siderable degree-the whole expenditure, or to make any material addrtion to the taxation, and thereby retard the internal indastry, or tend to impose new bardens on the comincree of the country.
The hope that it will not be is the diplomatic way of hinting a fear that it may be. When Her Majesty's Government is "driven to "hope". that these arrangements will not increase in any considerable degree the whole expenditure, or make any material addition to tasation, and thereby retard internal industry, or tend to impose uew burdens on the commerce of the country, it is perfectly clear that they see that in the scheme which makes thern tolerably sure it will: And then we. hage a third abjection:-
Her Majesty'z Givernment are anxious to lose to time in conveging to you their general approval of the proceedings of the Conference. There are, howeyer, two provisions of great importance which seem to require revision. The first of these is the provistion contained in the Hith resulution, with respect to the exercise of the presosative of pardon.
That is cinphatioally declared to be entirely
wrong. And then comies the fourth objection "'The second point which Her Majesty's Gor-' crament désire should be reconsidered"-and this phrase ì positively, so far as ivords "can' give it, a command on the part of Mer Majesty"s Government that it shall be: recon-sidered:-
The secund point which Her Majesty's Government desie should be reçonsidered is the evonstitutions of the Legislatise Councul: They apprechate the ernsiderations which have iutluenced the 'Conference ia' determining the mode in which' this body; so important to the constitation of the Le gislature, should be composed. But it appears to thean to require further consideration whe ther," at the menibers be appointed for life and their number be fised, there, will be any sufficient means of restoring tarmony between the Letis lative Council and the papular Assumby, if it shall ever unfurtunately happen that as deuph difference of upinion shall arise between" them. These two points, relating to the prerofative of the ' 'rowa and the Constitution of the Leper Chamber have appeared to remire distinct and aparate notice."

## Is not that a pretty emphatic disent?

Questions of minor consequence and matters of detailed arrangement may' properly be reserved sor a future time, when the proningus of the bill intended tu be submitted to the Imperial Parkiament shall come under consideration.
: So , sir, there are more objections still whoh the Colonial Secretary has not stated.. He erives" a general sanction, but specifies four matters, tiro of which he distinetly says mast be altered, and the other tiwo be does not ap prove of, and he says that other materstoo numerous, I suppose, to specify-must be reserved for remark at a future time. Well, just at the time that this despatch made its - appearance, there was an article in the London Times a passage from which 1 will read in this conection, though it nay seem to bear on a some what different branch of the quevtion from that with which I am just more parti. cularly dealing. The London Times, referring to this despateb, makes use of these expressions, and I beg the attention of the House to them, because they give the key note of a great deal of the public opinion at home with reference to this matter:-

It is true we are not actually giving ua the Ametican colanies, - ray, the despateh we are yuoting does not contain the slighteot hiat that such a persibitity ever crosesed the mind of the
 is no use in concealisy, the far--that the cure tederation movement cinsilerably diminabes the dificulty which would be fult by ihe colonies in
separating from the Mother Country. Even now the North imerican Confederation represents s state formidable from the numbers of its baidy and energetic population, and capable, if so united, of vigorously defending the territories it possesses. A few years will add greatly to that population, and place Canada, Hochelaga, Acadia, or whatever other name the Confederacy may think fit to call itself, quite out of the reach of invasion or conquest. Sucha state would not ouly be strowr aga nst the Mother Country under the imposisible supposition of our seekiag to coerce it by force, but it mirtht be separated from us without incurring the dis race of leaving a small and helpless conimunity at the merey of powerful and warlike neighbors.
Here; then is the comewhat less diplomatic utterance of the Tiates, on the ofcasion of the appearance off this derpatch:, It is perfectly true that no hint was given officially; when this echeme wss peut home, that it contemplated soparation: Perfeotly true, that in the answer there is ho hint that septration is contemplated. Wut it is perfectly true, also; that the leading jurual instantly sees in it, and seizes at, the possibility-first, of its greatly facilitating our going-and, secondly, of its greatly facilitating on the part of the Nother Country, the letting of us go.: I shall come back to this branch of the subject presently, after I shall have quoted from a much mure iopprant expresiot of public opinion than any article in the limes Meantime, I must refer to thellanguage of Her Majesty's Speech irum the Throne:- It has leea read daring this debate already, and has been read as if it contained the most emphatic approval possible of this rhole suheme-so emphatic an approral, that even to assume to discuss it now would sceii to amontalmost to treason. This linguige, of course, it is needless to say, is that of Her Majesty"s Imperial advisers, and is to be read in conuciction with what Her Mijesty's Givernumet have said ahout thir plan in the Colvnial Secretary's des-patch-that before it is passed into an cuactment, it will requre a good deal of revision. We may be tuld here that the ducameni before us is a reaty, on which not a line or fetter of amendment can be made. by us. But Her Majesty's Governtuent clearly understand that they are not beund by it, and that they are to alter it as much as they plyase. They won't give the pardon. ing power to these heutenatiogernors; they: wont constitute the Lemidative Council in thid way; they won't luw whth indifference th the incurriag of uabeard of expeases, and. the hampering of commeree which they
consider to be implied in this scheme. No, they are to look into this thing, to lowk into the details of What they evidently think to be a pretty crude scheme; while we, who are most interested, are requircd by our local rálers not to look into it at all, but just to 'accept 'it at their hands as a whole. The language addressed from the Throne to the Inperial Parliament is this:: "Her Majesty has had great satisfaction in giving Her sanction"-to what"? -"to the meeting of a conference of delegate from the several North American Prusinces, who, un invitation from Her Majesty's Góveruor General, assembled at Quetee:" Certainly "we koew that before; they assembled without Her Majesty's sanction, bat they got her sanction afterwards to their haring so assembled.. "Thiese dele: gates adopted resolutious haviug for their object a closer union of those provinces under a central governineat. If those resolations shall be approved by thie provincial legislatures, a bill will be laid before you for carrying this important measure into effeet" -not for giving full effect to the details of this scheme, but for carrying the measurethe closer union-in the shape the Imperial Government may give it, into effect. "That is all. (Hear, hear.) Take this along with the despateh of the Colonial Secretary.: If it is a declaration that this thing is a treaty, which may not be amended by us without Gying in the face of Her Majesty's Government, I do not understand the meaniug of words. : (Hear, hear.) © In connection with the Speech from the Throne, we had, the otber night, some notice taken, on the flow of this House, of language used in discussing the address in the Inpparial Parliament. Lords Clarenont, Hocuatosig Gbanville and Derny had something to say in respeet of this scheme in the House of Lords; as aloo, Mr. Manbury Thacy in the House of Commons.. I do not attach great weight to what was there said, because there really was little said auy way, and that little could not indicate any great amount of kuowledge upon the subject treated. However, I will: quote first what the mover of the address, the Earl of Clarevont; said. After referring to the war in New Zealand, he went on:-

My Lords, although these operations in India, New Zealand, and Jupan, are matters of more or leiss interest or concern to the nation, and, as such, "are fally deserving of notice, yet they are
small in comparison to the importance of the probable change in the constitation of our North American Colopies. Since the declaration of independence by the colonies, since known as the Cnited Statees of Aruerica, so great a scheme of "self-government," or one shadowing forth so many similar and possible changes, has not occurred,
Now, I cannot read this sentence without asking what analogy there is betriveen this project and the declaration' of indepeudence. Why should these resolutions suggest to any one's mind the declaration of indepondence? Did" the gentlemen who signed these resolutions in . order to authenticate them-pledge their lives and furtunes, and I don't know what besides, to anything; or risk anything, by appending their signatures to the document? Was it a great exercise of political heraism? Why; the men who signed the declaration of, independence qualified themselves in the eyes of the Tmperial Grovernment for the pleasant pperations of heading and hanging. They knew what they were about. They were issuing a rebel declaration of war. But this is a piece of machinery, on the face of it at least, to perpetuate our connection with the Mother Country ! Why then does it suggest the idea that su great a scheme of self govctament, or one shadowing forth so many similar and possible ehanges, "hardly ever before occurred ?" It is because there is, underlying the speaker's thought, just that idea of the anti-colonial school in England, that we are going to slip away from our conneetion with the Mother Country ; and in this respect, therefore, it seems to hin that it is like the declaration of independence. The remaining sentence indicates a curious misapprebension as to the present posture of this question. "If the delegates of these severai colonies finally agree to the ressolution: framed by their committee, and if these resulutions be approved by the several legis: latures of the several colonies, Parlianent will be asked to consider and complete this federation of our Northern American possessions." "The noble lord, the mover of the Address, seems to take tho resolutions for a mere report of a committee which (on their way here) had yet to be sabmitted to the consideration of the delegates! Next, I turn to the language of Lord Hovanton, the 'seconder of the Address ;' and from his lips too, we have an almost distinct utterance of the idea of our cotning independence. He says: -
$\frac{7 \cdots}{\text { Thatimpulse which inclines smallstates to bind }}$ themselves together for the purpose of mutaal protection and for the dignity of empire, has shewn itself in two remarkable examples, of which I may be permitted to say few words. In Europe it has manifested itself in the case of Italy, which is not, indeed, alluded to in any pait of Her Majestys speech, because it is an accom: plished fact of European history. A coniention has lately taken place between the Emperor of the French and the King of 'Italy; in which Eugland can take no other interest than to hope that it may redound to the prosperity of the one and the honor of the other. At any rate; one great adrantage has been accomplished. With his capital in the centre of ltaly it is no louger pos: sible to talk of Victor Emmanuel as King of Pledmont. He is King of Italy, or nothing. ©n the other side of the Atlantic: he same inpulse + [ that same impulse, which, in the caze of Italy, the speaker characterizes', as aiming at the dygnity of empire]-the same impulse had maiyifested itself iu the proposed amalyamativin of the noribern prutinces of British. America. I heartily concur in all-[the all being as we have just seen, not much]-that hala been said by my noible triend the inover of this address in his laudation of that, project.' It is, iny lords, a most interenting coutemplation that that project has artsen, aud has been approved by Her Majesty's Government. It is cetainly contrary to what might be considered the old machms of gevernment in cunuetion with the culonies, that we should here, expeess -and that the Crown iteelf shivuld expressatisfaction at a measure which tends wind together, in anost independent power, our colo mes in turth America. Wedus sull behese diat thoufh thus banded to etther, they uslil recogmae the value of Bitish emaretion, and that whe they will be safer'u tha amatgasationowe hand be as safe in therr teaty. The masure pill no doubt, my lords, requre inuefi pradent manderation and gient athethon to prontutal sus epti. bultiess:

1 repeat, Mr. Npenkeg, there is in this 4 rotation a sechad pretty-phalyexpresed anticipation of ver nearly aprioaching indepindence. $\because$ We are supposed, by wae of these noble lörds, to be taking a stop analo gous to that taken by the athors of the Declaration of Independence; and by the other. to be moved by the same imputso of empire that has been leading to the establinhent of the Kinglom of Italy.

Mr . SCOISLE-Lt is a case of want of itiformation.

Me. DCNKIN-Fes, I have no duabe it is a case of want of correct infurmation, and wot the only one of its kind. And now, sir, fur loud Derby's, remarks, which also have been quoted here. Certainly, they are in a differeat, and to my mind a more satis.
factory, tone; but they are suggestive; for all that, of an idea that is unwelcome. After remarking on certain passages indicative, in his view, of unfriendly feeling on the part of the United States towards Gireat Britain andtowards us-their threatened abrogation of the reciprocity treaty; arming on the lakes, and so forth-iLord Derby says :-
Under these circumstances I see with additional satisfaction-[Meaning of, course, though courtesy may have disallowed the phrase, "less dissatisfaction," for he certainly did not see those other matters' with any satisfactiou at all]I see with additional satisfaction the announcement of a contemplated im ortant step. I mean the proposed Federation of the BritishAmerican Profinces. (Hear, hear.) I hope I may regard that Federation as a measure tending tó constitute a power strong enougb, with the add of this country, which I trust may never be withdrawn from those provinces, to açuire an importance which, separately, they coud not obtain.: (Hear, hear.) If I suriv in this Federation a desire to separate from this country, T 'should think it a matier of much more doubtful policy and advantage; but I perceive with satisfaction, that no such wish is entertained. Perhaps it is premature to discuss, at present, resolutions not yet submitted to the different provincial legislatures, but I hope [ see in the terims of that Federation an earnest desire on the part of the provinces to maintaia for theure! ves the blesing of the connection with this country, and a determined and dehtierate preference for mouarchical oyer repablican insti. tutions.
(Hear, hear.) Now, what I have tu day is this, that while I think no main oughe to tiad fault with any of the sentiments hereutered, they are yet the utterances of a st tesiman who betrays in those utterances at least as they soutd to ine, a certain amount of sarcely-concealed apprehession. When a maa in the positiov of Lord Derny, master of the whole art of expression, speaks at once so hypothetically and so guardedly, falls back upun ‥ I hupe I may regard," "I trust may never be,"." / hope I see,"" and so forth, one fecls that there is an under-current of thought; not halt conecaled by such expresstuas, to the effect that there is too much danger of the very things so hoped and trusted againast conuing to pass at no very destant period.

Hon. Atry Gen. CARTIER-I see the reverse of that. (Hear, hear.)

Ma. DCNKLN-Well, the hon. geutle man sees differently from what I do. "If" there had been no doubt whatever in the mind of Lord Deray, as to our want of streagth, the growth of the anti-colonial party at home, and the tendency of thit
schere towards separation, his hope and trust to the contrary, rould either have been unpettered, or would have been uttered in another ${ }_{r}$ tone. I am well enough satisfied that Lord Derby himself has not the most remote idea of falling in with the views of the so called colonial peformers in England, who desire to see the culonies pay for every thing or be cast off; but he knows the hold that their views have gained at home, and he speaks accordingly. And therg is no doubt, sir, that this feeling has been got up in England to an extent very much to be regretted. In this connection I have yet to notice some passages-and I shall deal with them as briefly as I can-from the very important article I quoted last night, which is contained in the E rinburgh Review for January, and which, I am sorry to say, expresses this feeling in the strongest possible form. But before citingsthem, I am bound to say that I 'by po means believe the views' they express: are universally or even generally entertained at hume. I do believe, though, that they are, eptertained by many, and that there is much danger of their doing a vast deal of mischiêt. That they are loudly avowed, does not admit of doubt; and when we find them set forth. in the pages of so influential an organ of opipion as the Eliniburgh Review, the case assuues a vẹry serious aspect. There are other passages in the article to the same' eflect as those I am about to read, and which might, perhaps, be quoted with advautage, diditime allow. Well, here is one occurring carly in the article :-

Thère are problems of colonial policy the solution of which cannut, without peril, be indefinitely delayed; and though Imperia! England is doing: ber best to keep up appearances in the managemen: of her five and forty dependeacies, "the potitical links which once bound them to each: other and to their comimon centre are evidently wora'out. Misgivinaty hauit the public.mind as ta the stability of as editice which seems to be founded on a rectprocity of deception, and ouly to: be shored up. for the timo by obsolete. and meaniagless traditions.
When an utterance like this fiitds its way lato the pages of the Elinbirgh Review; a review which more than almost any other may be held to spenk in the navie of a large clasy of the ablest statesmen of Eagland, wo have reason to ask what it is all tending to. I never in wy life felt tuore pain in rea ling anythiag political, than I telt in reading this articl ; and I never discharged a more painful duty than I am endeavoring to dis-
charge at this moment, 'in commenting' on it. But truth is truth, and must be told. A little farther on; the same writer pro-ceeds:-
It is not unnatural that the desire to maintain a connection with the power and wealth of the Mother Country should be stronger on the side of. the colonies than it is on that of the Bitish public, for they owe almost everything to us, and we receive but little from them. Moreover, the existing system of colonial government enables them to combine all the advantages of local in. dependence with the strength and dignity of a great empire. But the Imperial Government in the meantime has to decide, not as of old, whether Great Britain is to tax the colonies, but to what extent the colonies are to be permitted to tax Great Brituin-a question which. is daily becoming more ürgent and less easy of solution.
Further on, the writer goes on to say:- :

- 'It might puzzle the wisest of our statesmen; if he were challenged to put his finger on any single item of material advantage resulting to ourselves from our dominions ii British North America, which cost ves at this moment about a million sterling a year.
They do no such thing ; but that is neither here nor there.: Then follow these sentences, more galling still:-
Ketaiuers who will neither give nor accept notice to quit our service, must, it is assumed, be kept for our service. "There are, nevertheless, ypeciat und exceptional difficulties which beset us in this portion of our vast field of empire.
Nearly a page folluws of description of what these difficulties are', being mainly those arising out of apprehended dangers from the United States, and thereon is based this observation :-

It is scarcely surprising that any project which may offer a prospect of escape from a political situation so undignified and unsatistactory should to Lated with' a cordial welcome by all parties concerned.
But one meaning can be put upon all this. In the opinion of the writer, England does not believe that these provinces are worth anything to her, while the connection with the Nother Country is worth all to us; and she would hail with satisfaction any way of escape from the obligations and dangers that we are said to cast upon her. I go on a littls further, aud I find what are his views as to the undertakings that, in connection with this project, we are expented to assause. What I am next quoting forms
a foot note; but a foot note is often, like a lady's postscript; more important than the text of the letier :-

A very important question, en which these papers afford no information, is, that relating to the future condition of those territories and dependencies of the Crown in North America, whick are not included within the present boundaries of the five provinces. We allude mure particularly to the territories now held by the Hudson's Bay Company, ander the Crown, by charter or lease. The Crown is doubtless bound to take care that the interest of its grantees- [it never "eems to have' occurred to our friend that we, 'too, are' granteesy-are not prejudiced by these elianges; but, on the other hand, arr Engh trading company is ill qualified to carry ún the puvernment and provide for the defence of a yast and inaccessible expanse of continental territory.

One would think so, seeire that it is just this territory which this writer has beevi telling us England shrinks herself from defending: -

Probably, the best and most equitable solution would be the cession of the whole region to the Northern Federation fur a fair indemnity-[probably enough,' from a point of view not ours(hear: hear)]-and this would lead to the execu: tion of the Great Northery Pacific Ralwas, under the auspices of the Federal power.
Would it? (Hear, hear, and laughter)
Hon Atry Gen CaRTtER-Hear: hear!

Hon Mr. HOLTON-Is that the policy?
Hon. Atty Gen CaRtTER-Hear: hear!

Mr. DUNKIN-A little further on, in the article, I find some amplification of this grand prograwme :-

The result of these proposals, if carried iuto effect, would be the creation of a news state in North Anerica, still retaining the name of a British dependency, comprising an area about equal to that of Europe $e_{2}$ a population of about four millions, with an aggregate revenue in ster. ling of about two millions and a half, and carryiny on a trade.'(including exports. imports and inter. colonial commerce) of about 'twentwedght millions sterling per annum. If we cousider ther relative positions of Canada and the Maritime. Provinces-the former possessing, good bairhors, but no back conntry, the foriner an ualimited supply of cereals, but few juiuerals ; the later: an untimited supply of iron and coal, but hittle agricultural produce. The commercial udvat. tages of union between states so circumstanced, are too obvious to need commerti. The completion of the Intercolonial Railway, and the probable annexation of the fertile portions of the NorthWestern territory to the new Confederation.
form a portion only of the probable consequences of its formation, bat in which Europe and the .no. world at large will eventually participate. When the

Eon. Mr. Mcrougall - The hon. gentle than should do justice to the reviewer. He leaves out an important passage.
Mr. DUNKIN - What is it?
Hon Mr. HeDOUGALL-After the word "formation," the following werds are given :-"The beviefits of which will not be limited to the colonies alone; but," \&e. Taken with the context, these words are important.:
Hon Mr McGEE-Hear: hear!
Mr. DUNKIN-An ironical cheer is an easy thing to raise; but I fancy my character hardly warrants the insinuation that I would dishonestly falsify a quotation. 'I wrote out these extracts harriedly, the one procurable copy: of the Revieno being sent for while'I was writing, and I had no opportuvity of comparing my manaseript. I am sorry if in ny haste I omitted a single word " After comparing the passage in the Reviev with bis manuscript, the hon member saiid] : I find I have omitted exactly one line"-certaiuly, by the mereat accident; iodeed, it any one can suppose I did it on purpose, be must take tue for a confounded tool. (Hear, hear.) But to continue iny quotation, reading again that last eentence, with its dropped line:-

The completion of the Intercolonial Railway, and the probable annexation of the fertile por. tions of the Great North.Western territory to the new. Cunfederation, form a portion only of the probable cousequences of its formation, the bevetits of which will not belimited to the colonies alune, but in which Europe and the world at large will eventually participare. When the Velley of the Saskatchewan shall have been rolonized, the comunuiications between the Red River Setplement and Lake Superior completed, anud the harborur of Halifax united by one continuous line of railway, with the shores of Lake Huron, the three missititg links betweent the Allantic and Pactic octan will bave been sup. plied.
Three pretty large links, by the way; and it would have been tur re correct if the writer had said "‘ ihree cut of fuar" - the trifle of the Rocky Mountains being still left for a fourth. (Hear, hear.)

Hos, Mr. McDOUGALL-That is very gurd.

Mr. DUNKIN-I don't think so; it's rather tou good. I have read these portions
of the article to show what we are expected by this writer to do. We 'are to bay the Hudson's Bay territory, and take care of it, and make a grand road all across the continent, which Great Britain shrinks from contemplating herself. And now I will read just two passages to show how little sanguine he is of any good to be done by the scheme as regards burselves, and in the conduct of our own affairs. Here is ane of them :-

What we have to fear, and if possible to guard against, is the constant peril of a three-fold conflict of authority implied in the tery existence of a federation of dependencies retaining, as now proposed, any coniderable share of intercolonial independence.
Rather a sugestive hint, and which, further on, is expanded and emphasized'thus:-
If, as has been alleged, a legislative union is unattainable, because inconsistent with due securuies for the rights guaranteed to the Frenith Canadians, by treaty or by the Quebec Act, and Federation is therefore the only alternative, the vital question for the framers of this Coustitution is bow the inherent weakness of all federations can in this iostance be cured, and the Central Government armed with a sovereigaty which may be morthy of the name. 'It' is the essence of all good governmenta to have some where a true sovereign power. A sovereignty; which ever elades your grasp, which has no local habitation, próviacial or imperial, is in faet no government at all. Sooner or later the shadow of authority which is reflected from an unsubstantial political idea thust cease to have power atuong men. It has been assumed by those tho take a sanguive new of this political experiment; that its authors have steered clear of the rock on wh ch the Wesuisibrox Confederacy has split.: But if the reaknessi: of the Central Governuent is the rock alloded to, we foar that unless in clear water and 3mooth seas, the pilot who is to steer this uew craft will need a more perfect chart than the resolations of the Quebec Conference atford, to secure him againat the risks of navigation,
So far, then, according to the writer of this article, we have three points settled. He considers, and those for whom he writes and spetaks consider, and the Edinburgh Revieto makes known that it considers-first, that the retention of these colonies is so manifestly disadrantageons to the parent state, that it would puzale any statesman to find any reason for reeping us; next, that a result of this measuit is to be the "early carrying through by. no of undertakings too vast now for England not to shrink from; and thirdly, that the measure itself; viewed as a machinery of
government for ourselves, is not going to work well." There is still a fourth point:The measure embodies a proffer of fealty to the British Crown-and with no hint but that such fealty, and the correlative duty of protection, are meant both of thèm to be perpetual. How does our writer treat of this'? He'says :-
If the Quebec project were to be regarded as in any sense a final arraingement, and the equivalent in honor or power to be derived by the Crown from the acceptance of so perilous an authority, were to be weighed in the balance with the commensurate risks, the safety and dignity of the proffered position might be very questionable but it is impossible to regard this proposed Federation in any other light than that of a transition staye to eventunl independence ; "and ini this view the presise form which Imperial sovereigaty mày for the time being assume, becomes a matter of comparatively secondary importance.
Aod, as ifthis was not warning plain enough, the article- closes thus :-
The people of Eagland have no desire to snap assinder abruptly "the slender links whiich still unite thein with their trans-A tlantic fellow-sabjetco or to shorten by a single hour the duration of their common citizenship.
We are led irresistibly to the inference that this stage has been well nigh reached in the history oft" our trans-Atiantic provinces. Hence it comes to pass that we accept, not with fear and trembling; but with unmixed joy and satisfaction, a voluntary proclamation, which, though couched in the accents of loyalty, and profferiug an enduring allegtance to our Queen, talls yet more welcome on our ears as the harbinger of the futare and complete didependence of. British North Anerica.
(Hear, car:) Well, Mr. Speaker, I can only siy that if these are the opinions which honorable gentlemen opposite are dispused to " hear, hear" appoovingly, they are not mine. $\therefore$ I find in them an unmistakable proof that there is an important party at home who take up this measure, and hope to see it-carried through with the mure view to its being astep to absolute iodependence on our part, and a cutting of the tie between these provinces and the pareut state. . (Hear, hear.) Sir, I look upon the early cutting of that tie as cortain result of this measure; and of that again, I hold the inevitable result to be our early absorption into the republic south of us-the United States, or the Nurthern States, be which it may. (Hear, hear.) It eannot be, that we can form here an independent state that shall have a prosperous history. I say
a ain, I am from believing that this idea of separation is by any means the dominant opinion at home; but I am sure it is entertained by a prominent school of English politicians: (Uries of "Namè, name?")" It is easy to call for namés; but there are too many; one can't go over the names" of whole schoul. I indicate them well enough when I give them the well-known name of the GoldwivSmizi scholl. There are infuential men enough, and too many, among them (Reniwed cries of "Name:") Well then, I rather thiuk Mr. Cobden, Mr. Bright, and any number more of the Liberal party, beloug to this school-in fact, most of what are known as the Manchester sehool: But, joking apart, it honorable gentlemen in their sinplicity believe that utterances of the kind I: hure been readimy appear in the Edinturgh Review without significance, their simplicity pases mine. I read these utter. ances, in connection with thyse of the Timps and of any quantity of other English journals'as representing the vicirs of an influeatial portion of the British public, views which have such weight with the Imperial Governinent as may go some way to acciunt for the acceptancethe qualified acceptance-which this scheme: has met, with at their hands. - It is recommended at home-strungly recommended, just on this account, by those who there most favor it-as a great step towards the independence of this country. Now, I am not desirous that our aceptance of the scheme should go home to bee cited (as it would be) to the people of Eughind as a proof that we so view it-a prouf that we wish to be separated from the Eimpire " I am quite satisfied separation, will never do. We"are simply sure to be overimhelmed the instant our neighborsiand ive deffer, unlest we have the whole fower of the Mother Cuintry to assist us.

Me. SCOBLE-We shall have it.
Mr. DUNKIN-F think we shall, if we maintaií and stredgthen our relations with the parent state; but I do yot think we shall, "if" we adopt a scheme like this, which nutut certainly wedken the tie between as and the Empire. Our language to England had better be the plain truth-that' we are no beg gars, and will shirk no duty ; that we do not want to go, and of oursilves will not go, that our feelings and our interests alike hold us ' $w$. her; that, even apari frow feeling, we are not atrong enough, and know our owi weakuess, and the strength of the power near us; and that the only means by which we can possibly
be kept from absorption by that power, is the maintaining now -and for all time that we can look forward to of our' connection with the Mother Liand. (Hear, hear.) :We are told, again, that there are considerations connected with the Lower Provinces which make it necessary for us to accept this measure, that it is a solemn treaty entered into with them. Well; a treaty, I suppose implies authority on the part of those who framed it to enter into it.

Hon: Atty. Gen. CARTIER-We are asking for that authority now, but you oppose it:

Hon Mr. McGEE-Her Majesty says in her Speech from the Throne at the opening of the Iruperial Parliament, that she aptroves of: the Couference that framed the treaty. Is not the royal sanction sufficient authority?

Mr DUNKIN-Her Majesty's approval of those gentlemea having met aind consulted together, is not even Her Majesty's approral -much léss is it provincial approval-of what they did at that meeting. At most, the resolutiens are not a treaty, but the mere draft of an agreemeat come to between those gentlemen.

Hon. Atty. Gex. CARTIER-0h, jes, it is a treaty, and we are now fighting to uphold it.'

Mis. DUNKIN-Well, it is a draft of a treaty if sou like, but it is not a treaty. Plenipotentiaries, who franie treaties, have full authority to act on behalf of their respective. countries.

Hon Atty Gen CARTIER-It is the same.as any other treaty entered into under the British system. The Government is responsible for it to Parliament, and if this does nut meet your approvial, you can dispossess us by a vote of want of confidence.

Ma. DUNKIN - The honorable gentlemian may have trouble yet before he is through with it.

Hun Atty.Gen. CaRTIER-Very well; we will be prepared for it.

Hos. J.S. MACDONALD-It is not so long sinee the honorable gentleivan was voted out, and it may not be luitig before he is served the same way again. (Hear, hear, and langhter.)

Mr. DUNKIN-Well, I was saying that this is uo treaty to which the people cither of Canada or of the Lower Provinces are at all bound; and it is very doubtful whether the people of the Lower Provinces will not rejeot it. I am quite satisfied that the people of - Canada ought not to accept it, and I am not
so very sure but that before the play is played out to the end, they will refuse to' accept it, especially the people of Lower Canada, where, if $i t$ is carried at al, it will be by a very small majority. (Hear, hear.) But the honorable gentleman (Hon. Mr. Gartier) has come over to my ground that it is not a treaty, but only the draft of a treaty, subject to the disapproyal of the House and country: Taking it, however, as a treaty merely between those who entered into it, I am disposed to make one admission, that it has one quality "such as often uttaches to treaties entered into by duly constituted planipotentiaries, and that is, that there seem to be some. secret articles connected with it. (Hear, hear.)

Hon Atty Gen CARTIER-The genthemen who entered into it represented their governments, and the governments of all the provinces were represented. It is therefore a treaty between ${ }^{\circ}$ these provinces, which will hold good unless the Government is ousted by $a$ vote of the House.

Mr. DUNKIN-The honorable gentleman does not I suppose, forget that when this Government was formed there was a distinct declaration made, that until the plan they might propose should have been completed in detail and laid before Purliament, Parliament was not to be held committed to it in any way: - (Hear, hear.) But I was going on to something else, and I cannot allow myself to be carried back. I was saying that, assimilating this to a treaty like some other treaties, it seems to haye secret articies in it. 'I find that one of the gentlemen who took part in the negotiations, the Hon Me Hapeawar, of New Brunswick-

Hon. Ma. McGee - Mr. Hathaway 'was not bere at all.

Ma. DUNKIN-I was under the impression he was; though I acknowledge I have not burdened my memory with an exact list of the thirty-chree distinguished gentlemen who took part io the Couference. At all events, he was a member of the Government of New Brunswick, which was a party represented at the Conference: Mr. Hathaway, af a public meeting lately, said that-:

He occupied a very unenviable positio.. He was under pecaliar cmbarrassinents, more so than any other speaker who would addrest them. It was well kuown to most of his audience that be had been one of the sworn advigers of His Escollency for the past three years. As such pe couldreveal no yecreta of conncil. If wastrue

H:s Excellency had given him permission to make public the correspondence that had taken place on the subject of his resignation, but whatever might'be the effect upon himself, there were secrets" connected with the scheme that he could not divulge.

There were secrets of the scheme that he was not free to speak of. "And we, too, find here that there are secrets; many matters as to which Twe may usk as much as we like, "and can get no information. But athe main point I was coming to is this. Call this thing, what you like-treaty or whatever you please-it is not dealt with in the Lower Provinces at all in the way in which it is proposed to deal with it here. The Lower Provinces, we think, are smaller political communities than ourselves. Their legislative conacils, their Houses of Assembly, we do not call quite so considerable as our own. . We are in the habit of thinking that among the legislative bodies in the British Empire, we stand number tivo ; certainly a great way behind the House of Coma:ons, but havingino other body between us and thein in point of importance. (Hear, hear:) The Lower Provinces, I say; are not so big as we are, and yet how differently has our Parliament been treated from the way in "which their smaller parliaments have been. And the apology, the reason as signed why we are treated as we are, is, that this thing is a binding treaty, if not yet between the provinces, at least between the governuents of the other provinces and the Government of Cinada." But how does the Lieutenant-Governor of Nova Scotia address his houses of parliament? "It is not my provinces,", says he," "and I have no mission to do more than afford you the amplest and freest scope for the consideration of a proposal": - ne does not call it a treaty - he calls it merely "a proposai, which seriously involves your own prospects." I suppose it does; "but; so far from calling it a treaty, he does not call it even an agreement:

Hon. Atty. Gens.CARTIER-But what he says implies that he so regards it.

Mr. DUNKIN-Does it? Let me read the whole passage:-

It is not my province, and I have no mission to do mote than afford you the amplest and freest scope for consideration of a proposal which seriously involves your own prospects, and in reference to which you should be competent to interpret countriy. I feel asmine the true interests of the be the result of your deliberations, you will de be the result of your deliberations, you will do-
precate attempts to treat in a narrow spirit, or otherwise thaty with dispassionate care and pros dence, a question so broad that it in reality covers the ground of all farties, and piecludes it from beconing the meäsure of merely one government or one party.
He gires his parliament perfect carte blanche to deal with it as they please.

Mri. WOOD- is a whole:
Mr. DCNKI-It is a pity the same language was not addressed to us. In that case, Mr. Speriker, I thiuk the motion put into your hands would have been, that you should now leave the chair, in order that we might
go into committee of the whole to give the go into committee of the whole to give the
mattir careful and becoming consideration mattir eareful and beconing consideration. It is not pressed on in Noca Scotia, as it is here, with undue haste. The LieutenantGovernor, ii the next puragraph of his speech, goes on to say

I ned onty oberve further, without in the least intendinz thereby to intluence your ultimate de. terminathon, thiti it is obvinusly cunvenieut, if not ess nituat: fir the legisiatures of all the pros
vinces concerined to ob
 of a question cummon to all. I have, therefore, desired to be Jaid befire you some correspondence between the Governor (ieneral and myseff on that
point.
That errespondence, too which is to be laid before the Parliment of Nora Scotia, has not been laid before us. (Hear, hear) I have given the landuagr addresed by this, Lieuten-ant-Governor to his Lexislature with refereuce
to this ". proposal: 1. In what laneware do to thas proposal: In what language do
the Comomens of Nora Soutia reply? How the Commons of Nora Soutia reply? How
will they deal with it?
The repoit from the drlyatis appointed to
 and the resoluthus of the Contrence held at
Quebee, proposin? a uniun of the dferent

 at our hatads the diflberate cind utternte consideration demadaded by a juestion of such mase nitude and impor tance, Aind fraught with conse: quences so mumentous to us and ur posterity. This, sir, is all that the Government of Vova Scotia' ask the Legiblature of that province to say. And I do' not think that this course of theirs exactly indicates that they think they have made a treaty by which they must stand or fall, and tw every letter and line of which they nust firce their Levislature to adhere. If they do regard it in that light, they have a very indirect way of expressing their ideas.
tia.: : In Prince Edward Island, every one knows the Government is not bringing this down as a treaty; in New Brunswick everybody knows that the Goveroment has been more or less changed since the Conference, that a general election is going on, and that a great deal will depend on the doubtful result of that election, $\because$ Erery one knows that the matter is in a very different position in every one of the Lower Provinces. from what it is in here, that there is none of this tall about a treaty anywhere but here. "I would like, however; by the way, to draw the at tention of the House for a moment to a case in which there undoubtedly was "a treaty, I speak of the proceedings. which eventuated in the "union betriven England"and Scotland. In the reign of Queen Anse, at the instance. of the two legislatures, then respectively independent - of England on the one hand, and of Scotland on the other-Her Majesty appointed commissioners to represent each of her two states, and they framed what were declared to be articles of a treaty. They took months to frame those articles; and twice in the course of their procedings Her Majesty came down to assit personally at their deliberations. Their meeting was authorized : by acts of Parliament; they were named by Her Majesty ; they deliberated for months, and the Queen attended their deliberations twice.: And after they had entered into this treaty-so called on the face of it-the Parliament of Scotland departed from it and insisted on changes which were approved of by the Parliament of England, and the treaty as thus changed went into op eration. In both parliaments the bills to give effect to it passed through every stage; originated in Committee of the Whole, and had their first; second and third readings. All was done with the utmost formality; and yett there was there unimistakably a treaty solemnly made beforehand. Here wo have an affiar got up in seventeen days by thirtg-three gentlenien who met without the sanction of the Crown, and only, got that sanction afterwards. The document they agreed upon is full of oversights, as the Colo. nial Secretary states, and as everyone knows who has read it. Fet our Government regard it as a sacred treaty-though no one but themselves so regards it-and want to give it a sacredness which was not claimed even for that treaty between Eugland and Scotland. (Hear, hear.) I, am at last very near the close of the remarks I have to offer to the House; but I must say a few words as to the
domestic consideration urged to force us into this scheme. "We are asked, "What are you going to do? You must do something. Are you going back to our old state of dead-lock? At the risk of falling into an unparliamentary expression, I cannot help saying that I am reminded of a paragraph I read the other day in a Lower Province paper, in which the editor was dealing with this same cry", which seents to be raised in Nova Scotia as well as hre-the cry that something must be done, that things cannot go on as they are. I have not his words here,' but their general effect was this-" Whenever," says be, "I hear this: cry raised, that something must be done, I suspect there is a plan on foot to get something very bad doue. Things are in a bad may-desperate, may be.. But the remedy proposed is sure to be desperate. I' am put in mind of a story of two boys who couldn't swim, but by ill luck had upset their canoe in deep water, and by good luck had got on the bottom of it.: Says the big boy to the little onë,', Tom, can you pray ? Tóm conféssed. be could not call to mind a prayer suited to the occasion. 'So, Bill, says he, 'I don't know how.' Bill's answer was earnest, but' not parliamentary: It contained a past participle passive which I won't repeat. It was;' 'Well, something must be done-and that-ioon!"" (Laughter.) 'Now, seriously, what do honorable gentlemen mean when they raise here this cry that ": something must be done?" Is it seriously meant that our past is so bad that positively, on pain of politi: cal annibilation, of utter and hopeless ruin, of the last, worst cousequences, we trust this instant adopt just precisely this scheme? If that is so, if really and truly those political institutions which we were in the habitiof saying we eunjoyed, which, at all events, "we have been living under and, for that matter; are living under now, if they have worked so ill as all that comes to, or rather if we have worked them so ill, I think wo hold out poor eacouragement to those shom we call upon to take purt with us in trying this new experimeat." We Canadians bave had a legislative uniup and worked it close upion five and twenty gears, and under it have got, it is suid, into such a position of embarrassurent aniong ourselves, are working our political institutions so very badiy, are in such a frightful fix, that, never mind what the prospecte of this partieular step may be, it mist positively be taken; we cannot help it, we cannot stay as we are, nor yet go back, nor yet go forward, in any course but just this one. (Hear, hear.) If
this thing is really this last desperate remedy for a disease past praying for, then indeed I am desperately afraid, sir, that it will not succeed: : The hot haste with which gentlemen are pressing it is of ill omen to the deceived Mother Country, to our deceived sister provinces, and to our most miserably deepived selves. But the truth is that we are in no such sad case ; there is no fear of our haviug to go back to this bugbear past; we could not do it if we trould. Things done cannot be undone. In a certain sense, whatever is past is irrevocable, and it is well it should be. True we are told by some of the honerable geintlemen on the Treasury benches that their present harmony is not peace, but only a sort of armed truce, that old party lines are not effaced, nor going to be. "Well, sir, if so, suppose that this scheme should be ever so well dropped, and then that some day soon after these gentlemen should set themselves to the job of finding out who is cuctoo and who hedyesparrow in the government nest that now shelters theui all in such warn quiet, suppose there should thus soon be every effort made to revive old cries and feuts-what then? Would it be the old grame over again, or a variation of it amounting to a new one? For a time at least, "sir, a breathing time that happily cannot be got over, those old cies and old feuds will not be found to be revivable as of old: Even representation by population will bo no such spell to conjure with - will tall on ears far less excitable. It has been adopted by any number of those who might otherwise be the likeliest to run it down. It will be found there might be a worse thing in the minds of many. Give it a new name and couple it with sufficient safeguard agaiust legislation of the local stamp being put through agrainst the vote of the local majority - the .principle tacitly held so, and tound to answer in the case of sestland -and parliamentary reform may bo found no such bug bear to opeak of atter all. Aud as for the bug-bears of the personal kind, why, sir, after seeing all we have seen of the extent to which gentlemen cäh set aside or overcome them whin occasion may refuire, it is too much to think they will for so iie little time go for so very much Like it or not, honorable gentleuen, for a time, will have to be to some extent busy with a game that shall be not.quite the old one: The friends of this project, Mr. Speak er, never seem to tire of prophesying to us suovth things, if only it is once first adopted. To every criticisu on its many and manifest defects, the ready an-
swer is, that we do not enough count upon men's good sense, good feeling; forbearance, and all that sort of thing. But, sir, if the adoption of this scheme is so to improve our position, is to make everything so smooth, to make all our public' men so wise, so prudent', and so conscientious, I should like to know why a something of the same kind may not by possibility be hoped for, even though this project should be set aside: "If we are to be capable of the far harder task of working out these projected unworkable politicatinstitutions, why is it that we must be incapable of the easier sask of going, on without them? I know well that in all time the temper of those who dọ not think has been to put faith rather in the great thing one caniot do, than in the smaller thing one cifn. "If the prophet had bid thee do somegreat thing; wouldest thou not have done it?" And here too, sir, as so often before, if the truth must be told, the one thing truly peeded is what one may call the smaller thing-not perhaps easy, but one must hope not impossible-the exercise by our public men and by our people of that amount of discretion, good temper and forbearance" which sees something larger and higher in public life than mere party struggles and erises without end ; of that political sagacity or capacity call it which you will, wrth which they will surely find the institutions. they have to be quite good enough for them to ase and quietly make better, without whïch they will as surely find any that may anyhow be given them, to be quite bad enough for them' to fight over and make werse. Mr. Speaker I teel that I have taken up a great deal of the tine of the House, and that I have presented but imperfectly the views I am anxious to impress, upon it as to this great question. But for -sheer want-of strength, I might have felt it necessary, at whatever risk of wearying the House, to so into some matters more thoroughly, and more especially into thas bratich of the sabject which relates to what $I$ may call the alternative policy I myself prefer to thus measure, and would wish to see adopted and carried out. As it is, I have but to saiy in conclusion, while warmly thanking the House for the attention and patience with which it bas for so many hours listened tis the, that I have said nothing but, what I firmly believe, and felt myself bound to say; and that I trust the sober good sense of the people of these provinces, after full reflection and diseussion, will decide rightly upou this the largést question by far that has ever been before them for deciaion. (Cheers.)

On motion of Hon. Mr. CAUCHON, the debate was then adjourned.

## Thersday, Marche 2,1865

Mr: ARCHAMBEAULT - In rising an this occasion, sir, my intention is not to occupy the attention of the "House for' a' lony time nor to discuss the nerits of the measure which is now before us.: I intend merely to explain wy own motives for the vote which I shall give, and this I'shall do as briefly as possible. I am bound to acknowledge at once that when I arrived in Quebec, at the commencement of the session, I was opposed to the plan of Confederation, and so struugly opposed to it, that 1 was fully determined to vote against it. But after a wore serious consideration of the question, and alter hearing the explanations which have been, affirded to us of the scheme of the Government. I have arrived. at the couviction that I had decided. if not wrongly, at least hastily, and that I ought not to aid in the rejection of the tieasure, nuerely because it did not quite coincide with all my opinions: After listeaing to the discussiou, and the explanations of the members of the Administration, I perceived "that the plan was one of compromise and could "not, therefore, be adapted to suit all views, nour shaped even to meet those of the men who framed it. I can understand that those pervons who are opposed to any degree. of Coufederation, and who would rather have representation based on population or the annexation of Catiada to the Cuited States, uay be opposed to the project of the Guvernasent, and reject it aseurdingly ; but thise who; like mysetf, are not oppuged to it. under any circuastances, and are capable of appreciating the necessity of it at the present conjuucture, tugether with the advantages it may produce to the country. ought not, cannot, I think, reject it, only because some of its details are uut exactly to their mind. It is our business first to enquire whether some constitutional changes are viot oecessary, atd none I think will dony that they are. The political leaders of the tyo parties into which this Huase is disided, have ackuowtedsed this as a necessity. It remains, therefure, only to consider what changes should bo made. The members of the Govisument have decided this question, and proposed a Confederation of all the Provincea.
of British North America. They hare come to an understanding with the sister provinces, and now lay before you their scheme of a Confederation. We are not mow to inquire whether all the details of the scheme perfectly agree in every point with our particular ideas, but whether the change is necessary, whether the proposed scheme is joad aud tit to be accepted as a whole; for a a the schene is a eouprimise betiveen different parties, whose iuterests are at variaute with each other, the Goverument who now move its adoption must be held to be respon-. sible cor alloits details... Any avaendment of the plan passed by this House would really be a yote of want of confidence in the Grovernment, and you must therefore either. adoptthe planaslaid before jou, or pass a yote of want of confiflence in the presert vilminis: tration. Nuw, Ifor my part am not prepared to vote a want of confidence in the men sow in power. To induce ine to do thiat, I must see ia their opfouents a better security fit good goverausat, and its adrantages to the cuantry, than they are able to how; I mast hope to tind in the latter sone thing better than what I find in those whore measures they withsrand. . So far, I do uot find that they have offered, nur do I find that they now offer, such securty w such hope. Har from it; if we are th judge them by their for:are acts, we mut, contess that we canaut give them our cujabulence, that they have displayed great wout of capacity tor the governmeat and mangemeat ot the affairs of the country. Whey they were in $p$ swer, they thad no deveded policy, they were incapable ot deatins with any important, quettion: they lived Irom hand to mouth. Their acte no the Aduinistratiou were stamped with a pirit of resenttenet and injustice towards their adrersaries. They instinuted commissions of iuquiry, tor instance, agaiast puble offecers, in order to get a pretext for dismissing themand makiag room for their hungry partisans. Again, bave they ay better pan to propose to us than that of the Goverament? No! They nuight offer us, perhaps, reprenentation biselt. popotation; or anuesation to the luited States; but 1 do not think such remuediss muld suit our taste. Ia these cirempstances, 1. haye no hesitation in deeluring that I shall vote for the sebeme of Confeder-
ation, as preseated to as by the Goperument ation, as preseated to us by the Goverument,
although it does not thect all suy views, and does afe promist at the guarantee; which sbould be'glat t., ind ia it, and although 1
do not consider it as likely, in its present form, to afford a sufficient safeguard for the interests of the different provinees, and to secure stability in the working of the pro posed union. As I am not in a position to intluence yublic opinion, so as to oblige the Government to modify their plan to suit wy viers, I take sides with the men who have alway had my confidence, and with whom I have alvays acted, because I have confidence in their honesty and their patriotism. I cherish : $:$ belief that in this all-important question, which affects our best interests and uar national existence and social iwelfare, they have been actuated by the same love fur their country which has ever guided thein in times past. (Cheers.)

Mar. BLANUHET said-Mr. Speaker, as, tu one is disposed to take the floor just now; and it woild seem as if all who intend tu dise iss this question dire bent on having a luree audience in the galleries, I shall take upor me to say a few words. Those who muved to hare the "speeches of this House priated in official form certainly did no rood serviee to the country; for all are trying which shall make the longest speeah; and 1 d, not think it is altogether just to the pibble parse: Each one would spesk at a particular humr, and to the cars of a certain audience; but the history of the Parlinuent of Fagland shews that her great staternan ant orators did ant conceria themtelves about' that' 'The" greatest and most inpurtant pose whe welivered in the House of (common at a very late hour of the night thus Fox detivered his great apecth on thi" Fatst Eudia Bill at two oclock in the momnan; Prrt his on the atolition of the slave trale at four oclock in the morn-: ing; and ive should lose nothing by speaking. Betiore hali-past seven in the evening. Büt as the honorable member for Monturorency (Hun. Mr. Civenov) ia to speak this evenitg, and [ wish to explaim my way of thinkitig. ou, the question," I rise to do so." This question of Confederation is not a new one. It has already 'aritated mon's a minds and been a subject of debate for a creat many years, Vuw public opianu is completely. tude up concerning it. I hate noooceasion to enter into detials respecting the seheme which we have before us. It has been dis. cussel with much soro of kaowledge and precision than I bring to the consideratiou of the subjevt, by the members of the Governament and the honorable membirs on the opposite side of the IIfouse. I need not say
that the territory intended to be incladed in the Confederucy is vearly as large as all Europe, that' it will contait four millions of souls and that baving confederation, we shall become the furth piper in the world in respect of merchantesppping. We have only to compare the statement of our present imports and exports with that of the Uuited States a few years ago, and we shall find that our puestion is as good as theirs was. I hold in my hand a work lately writted by Mri Bigeco y, at present chirgé d'affiores trom the American Guvermment to the Tuileries, contanuin valuable statistics ot the commerce, mantactures and resouroes cof the Cuited Silates, as well as" of the war at present ragine in that cuvintry. In the chapter devoted to comerge, he writes as follows :-
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 whit has in +xa is of the requictatuts of the

 that periul to 1830 , excepuing the year 1815 , the a arerag e amount of the imports did nut exceed 78
millions of dollars ( 390 millions of francs), ád the exports reached about the same amount.
Thus we find that the average amount of the imports and exports did not exced $\$ 78,0.00$, 000 at that time: We are only a few years behind the United States in th it respect. I said a moment ago that the question of "s Confederation of all the Provinces of British North America was not a new one, and in fact we fiud that it was mooted at a somewhat remote period of the histury of the country. In 1821, the leader of the Upper Canada Radicals, Mr. W. L. Mackenzie, deelared that be wished with his whole heart that there could be - Confederation of the British Provinces. Ten years later the scheme became a special question of debate, and the discussion established it as a pasitive fact, as it will soon be an historical one. "(Hear, bear.) Ohers besides the members on this side of the House are in favor of a feleral union; some inctine to a Cunfederation of all the Provinces, others to a Federal union of the two Canadas only-all are well disposed to a Federal union of one kiad ur other. At the time of the ertsis of 155 , the Brotrw-Domos Government were to settle: the dificulties then besetting us, and if I understoud the meating of one of the members of that Guvament, who weat to meet his constita. ents, in order that they might ratify his aceeptance of a portfotio in that. Adryiniotration, the remedy intended to be applied to the exsting evils by that Cabiuct was a Federal union of the two Cquadas; but ho said alse that; although the polcy of the Goverameate to which le belouged was nut yet elearly defined he thought they would take up, at some future day, the question o: a Contederation ot all the Provinces of Bratish Nurth America. That hon. member Was the Hun. E: Lemieex, and he was returned by the county of Lávis immediately after makiog theqe declarations. Nearly ditut the same tume Mr. J. C. Tacaé, a: present Seceretary of the Buard of Agricul. ture, wrote a work which was alenost pruphethe of the quastion of a Contedergion of the British Nurth Auscican Provinces. It is unnecessary to remark that that goteman hadacquired much experievee in his travels, and much information by hard stuly and presedering labor; and was therefore perfeely qualified to form a judgment on the quertiva: Mr. Tacee has written a work of som? leagth, ia which he roughly sketches thy scheme of a Confederation of the Provista,
of thich I trust the House will permit me to cite a few lines. These will show that his picdictions are speedily to be realized :-

What hopes may we not be allowed to indulge restucting the material future of the immense country which includes the two Canadas, NewBrunswick. Nova Scotia, Newfoundland, Prince, Edward Islandi the Hudson's Bay'Territory and Kanchuyer's Island, when'we reflect on the wealth of a sol whict is almust every where cemarkably fertule, (we escept the extreme north,) on the re surces which the forests have treasured up for the settler in the lapse of azes, on the immense fisheries in the Gulf, sufficient of themselves to feed the whole world with fiff of the tinest quality; when' we consider that the whole of this vast con: tinent offers to us, in its warious geological formations mineral wealth of the most precious kinds, and that nature has arran"ed for us channels of insercommunication of incredible grandeur. The fertile soil of these provinces intersected throurbout their entire lenzth by the rivers St. Lawrence and St John. bathed by the waters of the Gulf and thise of the Great Ladea, the superb forestè through which flow the immense Ottawa, the St. Maurice and the Sarienay, the mines" of copper borterinz on lakes Superior and Huron, the iron mines of Chnada, the coal measures of Nova Sentia and New Brunswick, the seaports of Quebec, Halifax and. St. Jahn, the ores of all kinds dispersed throughout the provinces-all those farm an ágaregate of means which, if 'wê suppose them to be turned to account by a competent Population, governed by a political system based on true principles of order and liberty, justifies the most extrivagant calculations of, frofit, the most extraordinary predictions of growth, as compared with the presentstate of things:
Thus spoke Mr. Tache at that period. Not Batisfied, moreover; with sketching with a rapid pencil the general working of this mighty orinanization, he entered, in a subsequegt part of his work, into details which, astonishing to say-although I have no doubt that the members of the Conference had read his work-exactly coincide with the plan now subuitted to us: Accordingly, in the partition of powers between the General Governuent and the local governments, the scheme of the Conference is nearly word or word Mr. Tacue's work.

Hon. Mr. DORION-The hon: member is mistaken, for Mr. Tacee assigns the ascendancy and the highest powers to the local governments; whereas the Government plen ass: gus then to the Central Government.
Mir. BLANCHET-This is what Mr. Tacue saya: -
These powers of the Federal Government are not, as we understand the mater, to be exercised,
except as regards the following subjects, viz, Commerce. comprising purely commercial laws, such as laws 'respectiny banks and other institutions of a general financial character, coinage, and weight and measuras; Customs, including the establishment of a uniform tariff, and the collection of the revenue resulting therefrom'; great Public Works and Navization, such as eqnals. rail wars, telegraph lines, great seanort works and the li hatinit of the cast; Post Offie arrangements, both in theis entirety and in their internal and external details; the Militia ün the entirety of ita organization' Criminal justice comprising all offences which do nol come under the jurisdiction of the police courts ard justices of the peace. Everything else connected with civil law. education, public charities, the settlement of public lands, as riculture - city and rural/f police, road works in fact, with all maters relating to the family life, so to sneak: of each"province, will temain under the exslusive eontrol of the espect: ive Local Government of each one if them, as by wherent right; the powers of the Federal Coovernment being looked upon as merelv a concession of rights, which a are specially designated.
I consider that under the present plan of Confederation the local legislatures are supreme in respect of the powers which are attributed to them, that is to syy, in respect of local matters. : In this respect it gres even further than the honorable member for Hochelaga hituvelf was prepared to go in 1859 , for he protosed to leave to the Fed ral Government the right of legilating apon the French civil laws, \&c., of Lower Canada; but, as his Government was not very long: lived, I knom that the honorable member for Hochelaga can deny all this. Very nearly at' the same time another ( $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { ancrument ad- }\end{aligned}$ dressed to the Imperial Government a memorial, in which it asked for the Confederation of the British North Ameitican Provinces; but the Imperial Goverament replied that it. was not prepared to give a decided reply; and as there had been no agreement between the provinces, the matter remained in abeyance for the time. Thenceforward no steps were taken in the matter until last gear-until the crisis, with the circumstances convected with which every one is perfectly well scquainted. Different governments bad been defeated, and the country was already weary of that state of affairs, when the honorable member for Hochelaga moved his vote of censure upon the Government in relation to the $\$ 11+0,000$ affair, and the Government then finding itself in a minority. was compelled to seek a remedy for the existing state of affairs, and the result was the Coalition, the Quebec Confer-
ence, and finally the plan of Contederation, although he does not now choose to acknowledge his offspring., (Hear, hear.) That conduct releases the latter from any debt of gratitude. (Hear.) It is not my intention: to discuss the questisn of Confederation in a cominercial point of view, nor in a financial point of view, nor in a political"poiat of wiews, for in these s veral aspects it has been ably 'discussed by those' who hare precedel me. I" shall confine myself to muking, a few remarks upon the question in respeet of", defence. Every one acknomlelges that in order to defend a country: effec ually there must be unity of action uniforminy ot syetems and a combination of the mos of hefence. Without uniformity, without units, it is im possible to make añy serious attompt ut defeuce in case of attack, and the fiviled country falls an easy prey to the enemy. sd general is this zule that history shews a that weak nations have always united together, have always coalesced is hen they were attacked or were in fear of tweing attacked by a powerful enemy The Forth American colonies did so in 1755 when they wished to offer resintance to the Mother Country, They organized themelves into a Confederation, and it wis in consequence of their so doing that they were able to resist what they consilered as an det of oppression on the part of Encland "..Hid those colonies, instead of organiziag themselves as they did, had each of them a different system of defence, and hiad there been no unifurmity in their tactics, Eurdad would have had an easy bargan of them. And in it to be supposed, if the $y^{\text {h }}$ had in, bands ed themselves together, so as to postess a certain amount of strength, that they would have. obtained the ailiance and the assintance of France? When a feeble power is inttacked by a porrerful enemy, it should weck to ally itself with other states which have iaterests in common with it, in order that they may defend themselves in common so far awe are concerned; if we are desirobs of assisting ihe Mother Country in offerimg an effectual resistance to invasions by the American people, we ought to hive unity of coumand, in order that we might be able to send the militia from the centre and canse them to extend towards the cireumference In case of war with our neighbors, we should, of necessity, be compelled, by the very foree of circumstances, to anite with the other provinces. That being the case, why not do so at once, in time of peace, while we
have time to devote to it that calm and deliberate" consideration which the importance 'o' the subject demands. • Confederation is the sole means of offering resistance to attempts at incasion by our enemies. The Federal system is the normal condition of Imerican populations; fur there are very few American nations. which have not' a political system of that nature. "The Federal system is ci state of trinsition which allows the different races iuhabiting the same part of the globe to unite, with the view of attaining satiomal unity and homogeneonsness Spain, Belgium, France, and several other European ecuntries were formerly: peopled by different races, who constituted sio many different cotamunities; but they becamo united, they entered"into confederatimen and in the course of ages all the commanities were" consolidated into thove which we now su-into everything that is held. to bee beautiful, nuble and great throughout the whol world. When the Federal system has been put in practice in an thlightened manner, it has alivass suffeed. for the requirenents of"those" who adopted it, The case of Greece has been cited by on hon. member of this Hoase, to show the Fatil nature of this system to the nations who idented it; but be ou the to know that the decadence of Grefce only began from the monent when she abandoned the Federal. bivtem. The hon meaber for Lotbinière sughtit to prove that confederations were the source of all spots of disturbances; and in 4upmort ot" what he said. he read out to us thit table of contents of the history of South America in which he found a long list of echenföcrés, movements, agitations, risings, civil wars and revolutions. It is uot iny wish to Cony the facts quoted by the honorable member, but I must say that his, conclunions. are not " correct, and that it is, bocuright to draw eonclusions adverse to a 4ystem trom merely perusitug the table of contents of any work whatsuever. . The history of all nations will afferd tables of. content's, which, if they were taken as indicating the uirmal and habitual condition of a people, would cause us to make strange raistakes and to draw etrange historical conela: sinay, " Even the present history of Eagland, the history of the ruign of Her Mijesty Queen Victoika, might, aford to a persón, who was desirous of furming a judgement restecting it from the table of cootents aluer, some facts which might induce him to belleve in the complete disorganization of
the British Empire; for in it he would find allusion made to the Chinese war, the severalinsurrections in India, the insurrectionato poovement in Ireland, the Russian war, the Sepoy rebellion; and a large number of other matters ; but all this would prove nothing against the prosperity of the empire under the rule of Her Majesty: (Hear, hear.) But, without losiug time over the reply which may be made to this style of reasoning; I say that it does not follow that the Federal system is impracticable, because it has not succeeded aniong certain people who were not in a spufficiently ad vanced coudition for the application of the system.." No constitution suits every people equally well; constitutions are made for the people, and not-the people for the constitution. When a people is sufficiently eulightened and sutficiently edücated and civilized a constitution ensuring their liberty may be given them ; but it io uecessary to wait until they are able to appreciate and enjoy it, before giving it to them A free constitution entrusted to an unctilightened people is lite an edged tool placed in the hands of a child ; it is a danterous instrument, with which it may chance to wound itself. Besides, certain forms of guvernment are better suited to certain people than othery. Thus, to cadeavor to give the Euglish Constitution to the French people would be to comurit a great mistake, tor the French people are uot adapted to the working of the political institutions of Englaud. Again, try to give the English people the French Cunstitution, and the English people will revolt Before giviag a consticution to a people, that people must be taught how to ase it:- It cannot be said that a table of coutents is not history, but certainly one would not seek in that part of the volune for the philosophy of history. Let us suppose that some one is desiruts of reading the history of the Celestial Kingdome, and that ou taking up the book he finds, in the table of contente, that at a certain period there was a terrible battle between the good and the wicked angels; if he shared the ideas of the hon member for' Lotbiniere, he would say to himself: "This country cannot have a pood government, and it is not alvisable to live in it." Wheu a person draws historical conclusions from a table of contents; it shews that he has not derived much benefit from his st. A . - $\quad . \quad$ ". who are now opposing Confederation are not agreed as to their mode of attack, any more than they are upon the means to be adopted to meet the difficul.
ties of the position in which we are now placed. The hon member for Hochelaga (Hon, Mr. Dution) is in favor of Confederation of the "two Canadas, and the hon. member for Lotbiuière (Mr: JCLY) is against any Confederation alat. They do nut even agree a- to their reasons for opposition. Some are opposed to Confederation because it grants too much to Lower Canada; and others because it grants to much to Upper Canada. Yet Confederation cannot be disadvantageous to everybody, and, for ny part, I am of opinion that everyone may find something good in it, it he is ouly reasonable in his expectations. If the hon: member for Ilochelaga "ere called upon to arrange the difficulties in which the country is at present situated, I am"satısfied that he would not bring forward any other plan than some scheme of Confederation or uther;', and if he did not succeed with the scheme for the Coufederation of the Canadas, he would try the more extended plan of a great Confederation of all the provinces. There is indeed, it is true another remedy which would be norre likely to meet the views of certain members-annexation to the United States; but I, for my part, am resolutely opposed to it; aud am prepared to fight against it by every possible means, and to take up arms, if necessary, to resist it. If we are ever invaded by the United States. I shall ever be ready to take $u_{p}$ arms to drive the invaders out of the country. (Hear, hear.) A yreat outcry which is raised against Contederation is that about direct taxation. For my part, reonsider that the honorable Minister of Finance (Hon: Mr. Galt) has proved clearly that we shall not require to have recourse to it. But even supposing that:such shoulditurn out to be the case, we should not be any worse off than we should be with the geatlemen on the other side of the House in power ; for it is perfectly well known that the hou. member for Chateauguay's plan is to estaplish direct taxation. With then, therefore, we should not have to wait for Confederation betore we got it. The honorable members on the other iide of the Hoase have also taken occasion to find faultwith the Speech from the Throne having contained an allusion to the peace anc general prosperity of the country. "Why," they say," the Speech from the Throne states that trade is prospering, that the people are happy and contented, that the harvests have bean magnificent, and that great contentment and great prosperity everywhere provail;
and yet constitutional changes are proposed in order to soothe the discontent of the people and the agitation of the country'". Well! let us suppose that the gentlemen are right-for it is true that the year has not been a good one in respect of business, and it is natural that such should be the case; in view of the position of the erists through which America is now passing, and but little else can be expected; the harvest has not beena very 'good one,-however, allowing tha. these geutlemen are right, it is not the less true that we are relatively in astate of quietude and great prosperity, and it is just at the present time, when we are in arstate of tranquillity and can do it'in perfect liberty; that we should adopt means to settle our internal difficulties. It is not during a time of trouble or a civil war that we can do it; and therefore we ought to profit by the opportunity which is now offered us. A c'onstitution will not last-unless it is elaborated with the care, the deliberation and the calin consideration which can be devoted to it only in time of peace Weare now at peace with our peighbors, our friends are in a large majority, the question is known to the country and has been considered for sèveral months past, and our duty is to do noiv in time of peace, what it is impossible to do in time of trouble. We ought also to labor to eulighten public opinion on the subject of this plan ot Confederation, not by appeals to its prejudices, but by free and open discus. sion, and by wise counsel baised on that truth which should always be our guiding star. I am, therefore, disposed to vote in favor of the resolutions which are submitted to us: When I became aware that the Guvernment were bringing forward this scheme of Confederation, I said to myself that we were about to be liberated from colonial leadingstrings, and that we were about to become a people, and I expected the Houye would approach the question with due regard to its greatness; some hon. members have un-: doubtedly done zo, but I regret that many uthers have not been able to raise themetelves. above the nárrow considerations of party: The quèstion has been discussed by statesmen on this side of the Honse at least ; but on the utherside it has been made a miser. ablo questiva of party and of taxation. With these few remarks, I shall conclude by stating that it is my determination to vote in favor of the scheme sabmitted to us. (Applaqse.)

Mr. BEAUBIEN-Mr. Speaker, I do not rise to make a long speech, for 1 freely ackuovledge that it is not'in my power to do so ; and bexides the question whech is subritted to us has been so well discassed by those who have preceded we; and who are in a better position than myself to judge of the coudition and-requirements of the country, that the subject in almost exhausted. I ouly wish, by "risury on this occasiong to record thy presence at the debates which are in progress on this question, and io state in a fers words what the reasous are which induce me to support this measure. The peculiar position of the British North American colonies and their prosimity to the Laited states, call "upos them to unite together in ord $r$ io form a stronger bathon, and une more able tio withetand the onslaught of an enewy; should it be necessary so to do, and toincrease their prusperity in a material point of view. $\because$ There ts whe fact which must uot be forgoten, and whicil I nust mention-it is that when France abondoned this country, and bugland touk pussenstona of it, from that moment French imaigraton entirely ceased and gave way to immigraton of persons of tureign origia-ot' British nrigia. Frow that period the Eoglish population increased from day to day in this coun'ry, and at the present time the FrenchCauadians are is a minurity in Uuited Gavada: Under these circumstances, I am of opinion that it would be at oge an act of iuprudence aud oie charasterised by a lack of generosity on ouf parts to wish to prevent the maj! rity of the population of the country frou displaying greater ispirations for our common country, and froin desiriug its ad. vancement and muro rapid progress in an unward direction, at the same unie drawing eloser the bonds which unite us to the Mother Country. I have refleet don these matters, and although $\perp$ aim no dispósed to submit to injustice to my evuntry or my wontrymen, get 1 am ready to enter into a compromise with persuns" of other onging. I consider, moreoyer, that sinco wo hire sutistied with our position as Einghish subjects, and with the Constitution which we are allowed freely to exercisise; we should do al in var puwer to inerease Eugland's interest in heer colosies; and for my part, I consider that the means of so doing is to aceept the Confederation which is proposed to us. Not long sines discoutent was manifested in England among a part of the com-
mercial class, in consequence of the liberty which we took of imposing high duties on English merchañize imported into this country; but :he English Government; did not: share that discontent, I am happy to say, and did not cho se to interfere. This fact, however, was of a nature to cast a chill upon the interest with which we were regarded in England; but when the news of Confederation reached England, that interest Was revived, and has over since continued to increase. - If "we desire to interest "England in our fate,". we must draw closer the bonds that uaite us to her, and we must do it by means of the Confederation now proposed ${ }^{\circ}$ to us, because that measure ouce carried out, sne will undoubtedly put forth her whole strength for our detence, if we should be attacked: Moreover, in viow of the events which have recently, occurred in the southern portion of this continent, if wè reflect that it seems to be the polioy of France and of England to establish a balance of power similar to What exists in Europe, if we consider that it is for this end that France has established an empire in Mexico, it is clear that England cancot but view with a favorable eye the movenent now in progress bere for the Confederation of all the British Yorth American Provinces. It is not at such a cime as this, therefore, that Eugland would be disposed to abandon ber colonies, as it has been pretended by some." "I stated, a moment ago, that we should not resist the just demands, of the British population of this" country, provided they do not ask anything involving iojustice towards French Canadians. If we Fere guiley of injustiee towards them, théy would complain, and propése a plan of constitution humiliating to the French Canadians, and they would no longer entertain sentiments of esteem and conderation for us. I do not refer to this matter for the purpose of disevaraging uiy own fellowcountryuien, but because I believe it is necessary that they should take this view of the matter into account in the position in whioh we nuw find ourselves placed. To-day our position igian excelleut one; we are strong as a party, we have staiesmen at the head of the affairs of our country who "ure devoded toits interest-they have proyect it ${ }^{\circ}$ again and ayain-and wasted together by the ties of iuterest and friendship; and above all, we have ever had confidence in those who propared the project of a Constitu-
tion now submitted for our consideration ; it is evident, then, that a more favorable opportunity could not possibly be found for effectixg constitutional changes than the present circuinstavees afford. These men, whbo are surely possésed of as much diplomatic skill as the representatives of the other proviuces can exhibit; will undoubtedly look. after the interests of Lower Canada; and their opinion, based upon justice, will prevail with those to whom the preparation of our new Constitution is to be entrüsted. Moreover,' what I have just stated is perfectly understood by every influential class in the country, by all men who help to form publio opinion, who are the guides of the people, and who have hitherto managed to lead them aright, and to bring them into a safe harbor at the last. To-day these men and these influences are in favor of the present plan, and al are convinced of its necessity. But, on the other hand; what are the influences opposed to, Confederation in Lower Canada ? They are con'ined to a party which has existed for the past fitteen years in Lower Canada, and which has always been remarkable for its opposition to all measures demanded and supported by the party representing in thitis House the vast majority of the people of Lower Canada: This persistent opposition to the measures of the Lower Canadian party savoured of revolution-for your revoLutionist is by nature incapable of submitting to the majority; it is the same party which in other countries forms secret societies, by means of which society is thrown ants disorder-and it is admitted that every: where, in Europe as well as in America; these secret societies are composed of man who are invariably opposed to everything calculated to secure the peace and happiness of the people. Is it not true that in 1856 or 1857 a place in the Administration was offered to one of the leaders of that party by the present Attorney Geaeral, and that at opening was repeatedly made for thom, because it was thought that they were acting in good faitit? Now, did they not invariably. rutuse the allianee offered them? And did they nst.even reluse to give a cordial support to the Macdonald Sicotre Adminisstration; which was composed of LiberalConseryatives? And the reason was, that that Administration was not exclusively composed of the democratic element.

Hon. Mr. DORION-Who voted against that Administration; gnd who deteated it?

Mr. BEAUBIEN-It is true that the actual vote by which that government was upset was given by us; because there was in that Government an excess of the element I have just referred to, and for other reasons; but it was that party that betrayed and spurned those who had enabled them to carry their elections, " (Hear, hear:) "Is that not the truth? Then, that persistent. and constant opposition to everything, shows that the members of that party were inspired by passions, not to be found in the generality ot men. The Couservative party has always opposed representation by pupulation under the present union, because under this union we are faee to face with the population of a country of which the products are different from ours, and of which the interests are not always identical with ours. This question was strougly ayitated. The whole people at Lower Guada resisted that demand, and the whole Conservative party firmly refused to consent to it, while the other party-the Opposition party-held out hopes to those who demanded that measure, and allied themselves with them. 'This is a statement, the trath' of which cannot be deuicd, 'for documents proving the totets exist, and have been laid betore chis House and the country. This cause of dissenston has alway's existed, and will always exist in Lpper Canada, nut because it is necessary tu the suppurt. if such or such a party, but because it is the result of a provision of the Coustitution, and because the interests of Upper Canda"are not the same as gurs: Aut if we do not effect. a settlement of this yutstion now, these dissedsions wilh, ere long,' be reaeped and the difficulties iducreased. Fere'is an opportunty of removing these dithiculties by uating ourselves with the Lotyer Potrinces; and 1 think Lower canda would to wen Lot to lose the upportuaity: Cuder tuafiederation, the polticaly partics, lutu wheth the proveaces wal be duvded will tiax it necessary to form alliances, and vur allatice will be cuvited by a dit, so that wo shall m reality hold the madanco vit power Mure* over, I aní quite conviated that we have no grounds tor fear in that respect 1 have always remarked that materna iuterests arg of great weifght the tormattua of parties, and the cunduet ot the French-Cza with reffereace to their reliyious institutions, never mingired auy uneasiness or distrist in our fellow-countryans of a diterent urigia trom jura, when they fund it their interest to form an allance witli us; and I am
certain that we shall find, under like circumstances, the same disposition among the inhabitants of the Lower Provinces," The plan proposed to us being based upon the principle of justice and equity to all, it is deserving of the support of all parties: It presints a remedy for the eviis of which Upper Canada complains, at the same time that it affords guarantees for the protection of the interrests of the other proviaces; and inasmuch as' it is founded on just bises, it will be found-more' especially among a people such as that of this country, who are peaceable and well-lisposed; who are, for the most part, owners of land, and have many interests to protect-it will be found, I say, that a sentiment of justice will pretall, and that every one will do his best $t$ promote the. working of the ner Constitation in sach a manner as to give rill satisfaction to all the parties iuterested. Notwithstanding what the hon member fur hiothiniere has said in the course of a peed, with whieh he him. self' seeuned to 'be so intensely amused, the sound sense and judgonent or the pouple of Lover Canada wilh satisfy them that they will tiad in the " project which has been sub. mitted to ds, guaratees for all their interents and for everything they told dear, and that the measure wilt meet all their wantor and on the other hand, the sound sease and judg. ment of tha puple of the other proviaces will preveat them- from commitung any excess or any act of injustice tomards, Lower Canada, it the latter stivald happen to be ia a minority, or if the allance I have referred to strould nut be made. And nortover, as: regards our boing it a minurity, are we not exposed to it under thepresent zystem? And I prefor facing the lariger mijority, since it will be less hostils to Luwer Camada: is matters auw staud, we should fint ourselves at the mercy of the Upper Canada majority, if they whished to cuminit any iqjusties cowards us; but, nudur the Coatederition,' 1 believe we shall have butter guaradees than we now possess argazast any attearpt at injus-: tiee on the part of the Federal Guverinuent, for the poliey of Eablaud is to aftord her colvaies every possibie reason for conteatmeat. The huh. nember for Richelhen has" spuken ot the eveatis which oceurrediprior to. L537, to opaviace tus that wo have overy reasoa to distrust the seatineots of the British population: Why relor to matters so tway forgotten?: The hou member oughi to kuow ihat the policy: whioh circumstancés have iaduced Eaglad to adope, is no longer
the policy which then prevailed. Dòes any one believe that England would now encou:age any section of the British population in doing an injustice to the inhabitants of Lhower Canada? It will be said that the national life of Lower Canada is so deeply rooted, that it is impossible to destroy it; but, if we desire to secure its safety, we must accept the present scheme of Confederation, ander which all the religious interests of "Lawer Canada, her educational' iustitutions, her publie lands, in faet every thing that constitutes a people's nationality, will find protection and safety.. With the control of opur public lands in our own hands, we can attract the tide of emigration, retain our own people in the country, and advance in prosperity as rapidy as the other pro. vinces: Andall this is secured to us under the plan of Contederation Every impartial :man will adnuit that great care has been taken, in the dranting up of this project of Confederation, to protect all our interests. It may be true that it is not quite free from defect, but every wae mint acknowledge that it is the most perfect syrem that enuld posibly be obtained, and the sysea best calculated to afford w security. :All the too geatlemen who have spoken on the Opposition side say that the "expenves will be estraordinary, and that the revenue will not be sufficient to support thy gyoraments of the Confederition. Bat they bise th-ir - calculations upon the revenue tis it"; now stands, and they do not redect that the pre: seat debt of the provine thas been contracted in earrying out the rast' pablio works we mow pusess, and that these publie works have not as yet produced a roveriue, but will hereafter do sis. These public works were essentially neceseary for the development of our resources ; and if at this momet the Minister of Finatuce is able to presont a badget shewing a surplus of revenue over expenditure, wo are justified in hoping that within a fow years our rovenue will be more than sufficieat to cuable us to meet all the expenses of the difierent goveraments, and to extiuguish our present dobt For my owa part, I do not think that our expeases will be greater under Confederation than they are at preseat. If the Federal Government works: well, our expenses will be less than they are atepresent, for wo shall be rid of Tactious sectional jealousies, and the systeme of equivaleats, which havo dune *o much injury to the country, and which have so greatly impeded the working of the

Goxernment in tiures past. It is ridiculous to fancy that the Government of Canada can continue to work and maintain itself with a majority of oné or two votes in this House, as we have witnessed for some years past; for a governmeatt so placed is at the mercy of every member who has a local interest to serve, or a particular favor to obtain; and it is thus forced to grant favors. which it would refuse if it were stronger. This was the cause of all the useless, expenditure; and almest every one of our governments has been in that position. (Hear, hear.) Bat under Confederation we may hope that the Federal Government will generally liave the support of a large majority, and will consequèntly not be compelled to yield to the deminds of a small number" of members. The resources at the disposal of the local governments being limited, they will practise a degree of economy which will serve as an example to the Federal Government itsflf. Lower Canada, when left to herself;', will become highly prosperous in a few years-and perhaps: Upper Canada also-provided her cxprises be kept within bounds; and I am eonvinced that her Local Government will be andel for the Federal Governinent; for men frame in the schoot of the Local Government, and who will be habituited to the practice of economy, wiil exert a saluiary influence on the members of the Federal Lagislature, to. whou they will impart, and on whom they will inpress, their ideas of economy and good govariment.; (Hear, hear.) It is well, that the means at the disposial of the local govarnments" should be limited, but at the same time anply sufficient, for they müst then feel that they cannot eater into too iarge expenditure, and they will adopt a perfect system of economy, (Hear, hear.) Before concluding I must pay a tribate of justice to the British population of Lower Canada. We have alvays gone along hand in hand like good friends, reknowledging each other's rights, and each party invariably ungking 'it a rule to accede to the just claims of the other. This will be our safety also under the Federal Guvernment. For my part Ishould be sorry to sec the present plan of Confederation fail, at all events through any action of ours, for that would justly dissatisfy the British pupulation of this country, who desire to see it carried out, and to whom we should not refuse it. We know that the British haye always done everything in their power to promote the material prosperity of the country; and it is our duty to respect them and to accede to their just demands. With these few
remarks, Mr. Speaker, I shall conclude by stating that. I am in favor of the present plan of Confederation, not because I trust solely to the eridence of my own judguent, but because I see at the head of the movement the most enlightened men in the country, and because all the men of influence, all the men of property in the country, are in favor of the project. (Hear, hear.) And I am convinced, notwithstanding all that may have been sajd, that the country is sufficiently familiar with the project; and that the people now know all they will ever know about it. In every parish there are men who are the leaders of public opinion; and we khow that these men are in fayor of this plan.. We have all these influ:ences with us, and for my part I attach but little importance to the opinion expressed at certain public meetings held to oppose Confederation, or to the petitions presented against the project, for it is alriays easy to obtain signatures to petitions. . .And, moreover, let any, one compare the signatures to these petitions with the poll-books kept at elections, and it will be found that they are the names of those who have always been opposed to everfthing proposed by the great national party, which has ever represented the interests of Lower Canada.: (Applause.)

Mr. DUfRESNE (of Montcalm)-Mr. Speaker, I do not rise to speak on the question now betore the House, but simply to express my surprise that after six weeks of discussion the Opposition pretend that we refuse them time to discuss the measure, and that nevertheless they refuse to discuss it during the afternoon sittingrs, and will only take it up in the evening. Formy part; I an prepared to vote at once upon this matter, and I believe that the question is perfectly dnastered and well understood by every mem ber of this. House. Why are the Opposition unwilling to speak during the afternoon sit. tings? Their object in speakitig is to kill: time, rather than to diseuss the merits of the question: And why is this ?' Ls it because they are waiting for a few more petitions, a few more names, in order to protest against Confederation? But we kuow the value of these petitions-we know what the Rouges are, and that they will sign any and every petition. provided it be agginst the Government and its policy. The Opposition is like a sulky child, if you refuse him a plaything he cries for it, and then if you offer it to him he refuses to take it. The Confeder: ation is in rrality the plan of those gentlemen themselves, and yet to day they will not hear
of it ; they reject it as something horrible. The country is watching them, and I hold the Opposition responsible for the loss of time we are now undergoing. If they 'have any reasons to adyance, let them do so, but let us come to à vote Their conduct will receive its due reward at the hands "of" the people. (Hear, hear.)

## After the recess, -

Hon. Mr. CAUCHON said-Mr. SpeakER, when so miany cloyuent voices have spoken on the great question which occupies us so seriously, which stands preeminent over all others in the present situation, which pre-occupies all minds, which agitates to its farthest limits all British Nọrth Amerioa, which includes within its immense scope two ocean. and nearly hall a continent, and which is pregnant with the destinies of a great people and a great country-when the whole of the motives which can be advanced for and against the project have been so luminously discussed; when I myedf have, elsewhere, at such con: "siderable length and' so completely developed, with the feeble abilities which Providence has conferred upon me, the considerations which militate for or against the entirety and the details of the work of the Quebec Conference; I might-perhips I sliould-haveremained a simple spectator of these solemn debates, while awaiting the hour"at which I should be per: mitted to record my vote in accordance with my convictions. I considered havever, that as one of the oldest representatives of the people, after haring spoken elsembere, I should speak again vithin the parliameatary precinct, in ofiter to accomplish to the letter my trust, and in order to obey that voice which has a right to command me. I hate therefore come this evening in order to binge my feeble tribute of ideas to the decisis ordeal which is being aecomplished. For my part I should have wished for the brimpty forward of fewer personal questions, fewe criminations and recriminations, fewer allusions to the past; in a word, I shouth have wished to see the debate rising at its yery outset to the dignity of the question itself, so as to place us in a position to judge of it on its own merits, ivithout considering the names or the antecedents of thoso who may defend or may oppose it; I should have wished to see the couscience of cour publie men in harmoay with the public couseieber and that under such grave circumstances, men had forgotten that they. were party men, in order to remember only their national character. ' (Hear, hear.) But ser.
eral of the speakers have not appreciated the situation in this manner; they have not be lieved that it was of such importance as to exaet the development of great virtues and great sacrifices. One honorible gentlemar amused himself by making jeux de mots of doubtful werit on the complexion of two pamphlets; another devoted a third of hisf long speech to the task of endeavoring to make his present position agree with his antecedents, and the uther tro-thirds almost entirely to an effort to make his opponents contradict themsolves, without any regard to the question under discusion, initating the 'Projan hero of whom Virgil sings, and of whon Rotsseat says:
"Pouraitelle micux attendre
De ce pieux voyageur,'
Qui, fuyant sa ville en cendre,
Eit le fer da Grec vengeur,
Quita les murs de Pergame
Tenant son fils parda main,
San̆s prendre garde àza femme.
Qus se perdit en chemin? ?**
(Hear, hear, and laughter.) I scorn tw de fend lieremy past opinions on Coufederation. I wrote from conviction in 1858 , just as I have written from conviction in 1865.. my swo morks are there-provoking discussion, Gnd throwing down the gauntlet to those who may desire to take it up. It will soon' be a third of a century since I commenced to write, and if I thad no other recgmendation to publie attention" than that of being the odest journalist in the country, it appears to me that people ought, if they could, not to have allowed me to pass without asking me the razan of my present doctrines. How It it then, that from the taidst of the democratic and opposition press not a single yoiee his been heard against the long conmentary of the Journal on the setheme of the Quebec Conference? (Hear, hear.) Is it inability? Is it that talent is wantiny amoug this phalanx which believes iteelf to have bevenpecially ushered into existence in order to eqlighten and govern the cotntry" Even' it I had not written under a. strong sense of duty; I should tiel sulfieiently streagthened by the high" and disinterested approbation which greeted my humble work, to bear un-

[^7]disturbed the scratches and pin pricks of the honorable member for Lotbinière, and, all un:worthy though it may be, I should not hesitate to place it in the balance against, I will not say the episode, but the speech by which he seems to hope to arriye at the position of a statesman, to which he aspires, It is to be regretted also that the honorable member for Hochelaga kept himself almost constantly, during three hours and a half in the lowest level of personal recriminations. Was he unable to raise himself to a more dignified ground, or is it the natural level of his talents and his habits? It seems to me that the occasion required more serious debate, larger views, wiser appreciation drawn from more profound thoughts, a truer idea of the situation, greater truth in the statement of facts, greater exaciness, more sequence, and more logic in the reasoning. But, instead of this, we have had a jumble of ideas and assertions, dates which gife each other the lie, and a history sadly made and sadly told. "The honorable gentleman challenged me, and I "must accept this challenge before entering upon the consideration of the question which is noti before us. The honorable gentleman ${ }^{( }$How. Mr. Dontos) said the other evening:-

This speech has been"tortured and twisted in every possible sense. "I have seen' it quoted in order io prove that I was in favor of rejresentation by population pure and simple; I hase seen it quoted in order to prove that 1 was in far or of the Confederation of the provincess, and, in fact, to prove many other thingis, accordng to the necessitios of the monicat cr of those who quoted it. (Ifear, heir, and laughter.) The first time the , question was put to a practical test was in 1858. On the decasion of the resignation of the Macdonaln-Catheb Government the Mrowx: Domos Government was formed, and it was agreed between the members that the constitutional question should be inet and settled, either by peans of a Confederation of Upper and Lower Canada, or by means of representation by population, with checks and guarantees ensuring the religious faith, thi laws, the layugage and the local institutions of each section of the country against ary attack frcma the other. Pretended extrates. fivm this document as of my speech bave been giveu and falsified, in the press and elsewhere, to prove every biud of doctrine as being my views; but'I can show clearly that the proposition which it contains is exactly the same as that which was made in 1808 , that is to say, Coufederation of the two provinces, with some joint authority for the management of athars common to both. My speeches have been lately paraded in the ministerial journals; they have been distoryed, ill transtated, nd even falsified, is order tor induce the public to believe that I
formerly held opinions different from those which I now hold. A French journal has said "that I loudly called for a Confederation of the provinces." But I shall say now, as I stated in 1856 , and as I stated in 1861 , that I bave always been and am still opposed to Confederation. I find by the Mirror of Parliament, which contains a report of my speech, although an exceedingly bad report, that I stated in 186.1-"A time may come when it will be necessary to have a:Cenfederation of all the provinces; •• but the time has not yet come for such a scheme.", This was the speech which was misrepresented as meaning that I was calling loudly fur Confedertion, aid that nothing would give me. greater pleasure: And yet I explicitly stated on that occasion that although a time might come whem C'onfederation would become necessary, it was not desirable under actual circumstances.
The honorable gentleman already admits two things with which be has been charged -re: presentation on the basis of population, with checks, guarantees and assurances, and the Confederation of Upper and Lower Canada. We shill now see if, in extending the field of uny investigations, I shall not find that the honorable member for Hochelaga has- to use a felicitous expression of the honorable member for Lotbiniere--occasionally enlarged the circle of his constitutional operations. .Here is what the honorable gentleman stated on the 6th July, 1858 ; the extract is from the rlobe, of which, at that period at least, he did nut question the veracity -

The honorable member tor Brockulle, the Honorabie Postmaster Geteral, the S.e chey, and other members representur,' Lower Canadian rounties in the present Pa hameht, hure yoted fir representation by populativa. Befive long: it will be impossible to resiat the des:ands of Upper Canada in this ressect. If representín thon by population is not granted now, it will in fallibly obtainit at a later rerivid, but then withyut any guarantees for the protection of the "fotach. Candiums. The repeal of the enion, a Federai union, representation based on population, wr some other great change, inuat in all nexerosity take plater, and for my part $l$ iam dosposel to esasder the pertiou of reperestation by perpor lation, in order to see ir it may not be cutieded with guarantees for the protection of thy religion, the language, und the laws of Lower Canadians. I am equally ready to take into en nideration the project,of a Coifederation- of the pravinces, leaving to each section the adminimetratipa of 12 s
 lating its own civil, municipal and edncational laws ; and to the Genetal Goverament the ad. ministration of the public works, the public lando, the post oftice department, and yunueres:
I now quote the Mirgor, the orthodoxy and
veracity of which are denied by the honorable member for Hochelaga and his organs. The date of the report in the Mirror is the 3rd May, 1860 -
I hope, nevertheless, that a day will come when it will be desirable for Canada to unite federally with the Lower Provinces; but the time is not yet ripe for sach a project: And even if Canada should be favorable, the Naritime Provinces would not like to enter into it on account of our great debt. 'As to the joint authority', it ought to have the least authority. But those who are in faror of the Federal umion of the provinces ought to see this Federation of Upper and Lower Canada is the best mode of creating a nucleus around which, at a later period, the Confederation of all the provinces misht be formed.
Thus the honorable member for Hochelaga had an sorts of wares, just as the keeper of a "general store" posessies all sorts of merchandise, great and small, on his shelves. To some he sells lace and to others cutlery: (Laughter.)

Hov. ATry Gevi CARTIER-It is ${ }^{3}$ pot pumati (Laughter.)
Hon Mr CAECHON - The honorable rentlenan calls it a pot pourri. I think my comparison of it as a general store is mud niore accurate and characteristic.
A MEMBEK - Music is sold there: (Laughter:)
HoN. Mr. CAUCHON-Yes, on his athelres boided vith all sorts of goods, even oh music is to be found. (Laughter.) Here there is a conflict of"authorities as there is in relation to dogmaty yuestions between Protestantand Catholic writers; and the Pays expressed itself as follows with respect to the Mirror by larliament.-

But here is the crowning of they edifece. "The editur of the Journal tinds strange things in the Mirror of Parliciment, a publicalipa whecis was never ciparsilled by any commitue of the Hume, and the zuthonty of which is wot th leas than that of a solidy founded newspaper suct is the cilube the Merutd, the Chromiche; or tho Jutinat de. Quebec itself. It is nowneus that the reporters for this Mirror were aut over ghatucular as to hen coracetass, and hat but tute bupurtace wht atiached to their reports; so "mueh' so that the shert in question hat ouly at ephemeral ex. istence.
Without admitting the truth of the pretensions of this organ of the honorable member for I fuelelaga, I did not hesitate to follow the bomorable genternan on the ground whieh he himself has chosen, and I foutid the folLowing in the Merting Citronicle of the th

May, 1860, to which he referred me for a more exact and veracions report-it being the same specch of the third May, a report of which I have read from the Mirror of Parliument. [Here the honorable gentleman read a French translation of the Chronicle's report.] And in order that there may be no doubt as to the exactuess of the translation, with the exception of a word which I shall explain after reading the extract, I shall now quote the English test as reported in the Chronicle, viz.:-

Mr: Dorton argued that when Lower Canada had the preponderance of population, complaints were of the inequality of the representation of that section. The union of Belgium and Hol land which was somewhat similar to that at ressont existing between Upper and Lower Canada was dissolved when it was found it did not work advantageously to both conntries. He tatanced a number of yuestions on which it wis imionssible for Upper and Lower Canada to agree ; problec te ling being quite dissimilar-subjects poputarit one section being the reverse in the other. He warned Lower Canada nembers, that when the tarie came that the whole of the representatues from the westera portion of the province would be banded together on the question, they would obtan representation by population, and "tecure the assistance of the Eastern Tovaship m- mbeis ia so doing. $\because$ He regarded a Federal taton of [ Pper ard Lower Canada as a nucleus of the igreat Confederation of the North American Prowitees to which all looked forward. He con-ci- ka by stying he would rote for the resolution, whe thenly mude by which the iwo sections of the jrovince ceuld got o-t of the difticulthes in whick they now are. He Hoaght the union ought a) be dissulted, and a Federal union of : be pro baces would adue time tullow.
The translation jato French says, ""que Juppolle de mes curux, and the original text ts *to which all looked forward:". Thus, instat of rendering the desire for a Confederation of the prórinces, as his own he made it entaral. Instend of speaking for hiuself, he spole for ath, and as the whole comprises the part ia expressing the general thought he had most naturilly expressed his own thought. (Hyar, hear.) I take this opportunity of carrecting this involuatary error"of translatwe, and of sayiog that the honorablo gentle-man-affirmed then that not only himself, but that all turned their eyes from tha mountain top towards the promised land of Confenteration of all the British North Ameriea Provlitees: Did not : the honorable meabor fire Inchelaga say in his famous tunilesto of the 7th Nov., 1864 :-

The union which is proposed appears to me premature, and if it is not altogether incompatible with our colonial state; it is at least without precedent.in the history of the colonies.

## And the other day, in this House he stated:-

Necessarily, I do not mean to say that I shall always be opposed to Confederation. The popülatien may extend itself, and cover the virgin forests which exist between Cahada and the Maritime Provinces, and commercial relations may increass in such a manser as to render Confedera. tion necessary.
It is, therefore, in every respect merely a question of time, and of expediency as between the majority of the House and the honorable member for Hochelaga. But he has not thought proper to tell us why Confederation of all the provincess of British North America is to-day a crime, an anti-national act, yet would have at one period, been good and acceptable to Lower Canada. In the samemanner he has also preserved silence on the character which Confederation should possess, in order to merit the sanction of his word and his vote. Always to condem, always to destroy, never to build up-this appears to be the motto of the honorable member for Hochelaga, and those who: follow his lead on the Hoor of this Ilouse. " (Hear, hear.) They always keep to the m selves the easiest share of sacrifiet and patriotisum-the task of casting blame and censure upon others. (Hear, hear:) 'The honorable gentlexian thinks that the union proposed to us, that is to say Confederation, is without precedent in Colonial history. He has, therefore, not read the Federal history, searecly accomplished, of the colonies of Australia. But if is be true that the Confederation of the six colonies is without precedent in Colonial history, will the honorable gentleman at least tell us where he found his precedent for the Coufederation of the two provinces? ( (Hear, hear, and laughter.) In order to get out of the difficulty again this, time, the honorable gentleman will hardly deay that which he affirmed, so categorically only the other evening. It is evident that logic and arecollection of facts are not among: the most promineat features of the honorable zueniber's eloguenice. Siñee he desires so much to establish that he was at all times in favor of a Confederation of the two Canadas as an alternative firr representation by popabation, it appears to me, and it ought to be evilent to the llouse and to the country which we represent, that he should, have stated the motives of such a deep and constant
conviction. "Why conceal from us the froits of so many and "such serious meditations? Why, setting aside the facile and convenient task of censor, does he not come forward as the architect of a political edifice capable of ,heltering and protecting against tempest from without; our nationality and the institutions of which it is composed. It is because " if criticism is casy, art is difficult.". "This truth euunciated by a poet, nearly two thousand years ago, evidently belong's to all ages, and it tinds, to day more particularly, its application in the person of the hoporable member for Hochelaga. (Hear, hear.) Temporemutionfur et nos mutumur in illis-and behold, the honorable gentlernan told us on the 16 th February, 1865 : -
Representation based unon populaton was one of the least causes of this project. [ And tuither $\dot{0} 1$ ]: But, is soon as the (iovemment tounid "tself;, after its" defeat, obliged either to tesugn or to appeal to the peonle, gentlemenion the other side of the House, without there being the slightest agitation on this question, prepared to embrace their most violent adversaries, and said to themselves: "We are going to forget our past differences, puvvided we can preservo our portrolios.:'
Hid the honorable gentleman, therefore, forgotten that which he stated with so much comphasis and apparently with ory much cönviction in 180. :-

The honorable member for Broctrille, the Postmaster General, the Speaker; and other nembers repr seating Lower Canadian counties, the the present Parliament, have arready voted for tepresentation' by population. 'Sefure Long, it "will becote " impossible to resist the demand of "Lper Canada. in this respect. If repre sentatuon by population be net pranted now, it will iufallibly obtain it later, but then with'out any guarantee fior the protection of the Erench Canadians.
Had he changed his opinion in 1859 when he wrote conjointly with Mon. Messrs: Daces. mond, Dessulles and MiGee:-
It is with the setted canvection that an inevit. able constitational crisis imposed upon the Litheral party of Lower chada duties proportionate with the gravity of the carcunstances in which the af inis of the country were, that your 'Cominittes' his undergaken the tuisk with which it is chargety. It has become evident to all those whos, fors several years back, have given their athention to daly eventio a and abaves all to those whe have had to mingle aturtly tith pubtio uituts, that
 will necessitate ta whications ia the retaliuns existing betwera Ctper and Lower Canydu; and is
search for the means most likely to meet the dif. ficulty; when it presents itself, has not failed to be the subject of the most serious consideration and frequent discussion in and out of Parliament:
The proposition for the formation of a Confederation of the tro Canadas is not a new one. It has frequently been agitated id Parliament and in the piess for several years past. The example of the ueighboring state?, in which the application of the Feleral sistecm has shewn us how titting it was to the roiernment of an immense territory, inhabited by pesple of different oripins, creeds, laws and custüns, has no doabt suggested the idea; but it was only in 1856 that this proposition was cuanciated belore the Legislature by the Lower Canadian Upposition,- as offering, in It opinion, the ouly effective remedy for the abuises produced by the present.system.

Lower Canada wishes to maintain intact the present union of the provinees. If she will not consent to a dissolution nor to Confederation, it is dificult to conceive what plasible reasons she can adiance for refusiog representation by population. Lp to the present time she has oppused it by alleging the danger which might restult to some of the institutions which are most dear to her;, but' this reason would be no lhager' sustanable if it resisted a proposition the effect of which would'be to leave to the inhabitants of Lower Canata the absolute control of those same institutions and to surround then' with the most efficient protection which it is pessible to' im-agime-that which would proctre for them the "formal dispositions" of a written comatitutuon, which could not be changed withoit their consent.

It appears therefore that the only alternative which now ofers itiestif to the dibabitanty af Lower Canada is a choice between dissotatiun pure and simple. or Contederation on one-tide. and reperestation- by population on the othatr.? Stad however opposed Lowre comada may be to representation by population, is there not imminent danger tatat it may the tasally ipposed upon it, if it resist ah medatites of retura, the object of which is to leave to the tocal andiorities of each section the control of ins owa interesta and institutions.

We shopld not forget that the same anthority which impted on uithe Act of Unioi, or which altered it without our consent, by repeating, the clause whith required the cencorrence of two. thirds of the members of how Housrs in order to change the inpremention ruspecting the tiro see tious, may atatis intervene to mpose tipon us this new chatro.

The custums, pestal matters, laws regulating currency, patents, copyrights, puhlic tands, and these public works which are of common interest ow wates of the country, should be the priach pa! it not the only subjects of whel tho Feleral fioverament wuth bave the coutrol, while all thatrelated to purety local iaprovements, to edu-
cation, to the administration of justice, to militia; to laws of property and internal policy, should be left to the local governments, the powers of which, in a word, should extend to all those sub. jeets which do not come within the domain of the General Government:
Your Committee believes that it is easy to prove that the expenses absolutely necessary for the support of the $F$ deral Covernment and the several local governments oufht not to exceed those of the present system, while the enormous indirect expenses occasioned by the latter system would be avoided by the new-both on account of the additional restrictions which the Constitutión would place upon all public expenditure and of the more immediate responsibility of the several officers of the Government towards the peuple who are interested in restraining them.
The Federal Legislature having only to occupy itself with a limited namber of aftairs, might, in a short time every jear perfiom all necessary le. islation; and, as the number of members would not be wery great, the expenses of the Federal Government would not therefore, be a fraction of the presentexpenses, which, added to the cost of the local governments, if they were on the plan of those of the United slates, which are the best and the most economically administered, cuulu not exceed the figire of the present 'budget.'
The system proposed could not in any way: dininish the importance of this colony, nor "damaye its credit, inasmuch as it. offers the great adrantage of being able to suit itself to any territoral extension which circumstances might, in fulure, reader desirable, without troublitg the oferal econouiy of the Confederation.

> A. A. Dorioy,
> L. Dreviox
> TA. Dessaiches,
> T. DCGEE:

Ma. PERREAULT-I rise to a question of order: We have listened with much pleasure to the excellent panphlet which the honorable member has been reading out to us for halt an hour past. I can understand that the honorable member having written a" pamphet in 1858 against Confederation, and another in 1865 in favor of Contederation, now feels the neecssity of writing a third pamphlee to wake the two others agree. Bat, as the honorable member for Montinorency possexses great powers of improvisation, tho House, I think, ought: not to be more indulgeat to him than to other members, who are compelled to speak under all the disadvantages of improvisation, which is ralways difficult. I have, therefore, to ask whether the honorable member for Montmorency is in order in readiag his magnificent speech from begin) ning to end?

Hon Atry Gey CaRTIER-I see
nothing extraordinary in this particular case. I see that my honorable friend the member for Montmorency has notes before him to which he refers, but I do not see any speech. The honorable member for Richelieu, with his eccentric genius, requires no notes when he inakes those splendid speeches "with which he regales us from, time to time. I can easily understand that for such lucubrations no very lengthy preparation is necessary, (Laughter.)

Hon. Mr. CAUCHON - Eyery one has not the genius of the honorable member for Richelieu...I know also that he is one of those who can talk a long time, because they do not always know what they are saying. (Laughter:) The honorable member may talk as long as he likes', without being 'afraid of my interrupting him, for his speeches can do no harmiexcept to the person who utters them. (Laughter.)

The SPEAKEI said it was not exactly in order for an honorable member to read a speech quite through; but he might-make use of notes.

Mon. Mr. CAUCHON-From all these extracts one must conclude that either the honorable member for. Hochelaga was ready to sacrifice everything in order to attain power in 1858 , or else that in 1858, as in 1859 ; he was deeply convinced that nothing but representation by population or a Federal union of the two Cauadas could prevent the storm then lowering on the horizon, We find therein; firstly, that we were rapidty reaching a state of things which would nccessitate modifisations in the relations between Upper and Lower Canada; secondly, that the proposal to form a Federation of the Canadas was not new ; thirdly, that the example of the neighboring States, where the application of the Fed. eral system shewed how suitable it was to the goverument of an immense territory, inhabited by poople of different origin, beliet, laws and custous, had suggested the idea; fourthly, that Lower Canada mouid not have any legitimate motive to resist representation bised upon population if it refused a written Constitution, under which it would have protection for and control of its institutions; [ifthly, that it would be in imminent danger of seeing imposed upon it representation bascd upon population, if the Confederation of the two Canadas were obstinately resisted, and that those who imposed the Union Act upon us, and atterwards altered it to our detriment, could oblige us to accept the former; sixthly, that customs, currency, patents copyrights, public lands, pablic works and things of common
interest should be among the attributes of the Federal Parliament; seventhly, that the expenses of the Fedéral and local governments should not exceed those of the present system. The following extract, taken trom the same document, must be added to those already cited :-

Your committee has therefore become convirced, that whether we consider tho present wants with regard to the future of this country, the substitution of a purely Federal Goverumeut
for the present legislative union, presents the true solution of our diticulties, atd that stach subste tution would fiee us frous the incónveniences, while at the same time securing to us all the advautages which the present untou may possess.
(Hear, hear, and laughter.) . At the same period the Pays, with a conviction as profound. as that of the honorable 'gentleman' whose organ it is, thought that if" we did not make some constitutional concessions we should not be able to resist the torreat of public cpinion of Upper Canada, which threatened to break through the feeble barrier opposed to it by the Union Act of 1840 . The honorable meniber for Hochelaga went on with his teirs and his convietions to the time when, by an aceident untortunate for the country: he ggain came into power (Hear, hear.). It is not then. merely the holding of a miusterial portfolio which is eat up to us today. The time -has ther arrifed when en mitutimal changes become necessary-the iquestion of Coufederation under any fimm is, therefore, not - new: (Henr, heat.) To take the loited 'Statesy as "he honor ble member for Hochebagidas done, for extmple, I will suy that the Rederal systeti is suitable for the governinent - of an imnense teritory, inhabited by peopte of different races, haws and reustoms, and eonSequently mure suitable to the Contederstion af the British North American Provinee than to the sminler une of Dprer and Lower"Canadia, Lower canda, "unkes she" wish re: presentation based upion pippulation, should not reject a written Constitution under which the has protection for and costrol of her peen: har institutions.", "(Hear, hear.) Finally, the expeuses of the tedural and local governments and legislatures wit not execed those of the present systen. Aceerding to the Montreal manitesto of 1859; the Eederal Gur: crument and Parlianent, haviag very litte to do, ourht to cost batilitle, so as to leave morre ta'be done by the local legislatures. According to the scheme of the Conference held at Quebee, the tables are turned, nad it' will be the lucal legislatures that, having but local
affairs to attend to, will have to practise econony for the benefit of the General Government. It is therefore evident that the honorable member for Hochelaga is not more of a conjuror than others: It is again still more evident that the honorable member would be less hostile to this project, had he becn the author of it, or if he had been sitting on the right instead of on the left side of the House, for after all it is but a question of expediency, at least as regards principle. The honorable member for Hochelaga also told us:-

I' would never have attempted to make a change in the Constitution of the country' without being convinced that population of that seotion of province which 1 represented was favorable to such a scheme.
(Hear.) I do not wish to doubt his siucerity, but has he not also said, "I know that the possession of power leads to despotisin ?" Did he not siay, before the events of $185 s$, that were he in power, never, no never, would he consent to govern Lodwer Canada with the help of an Upper Canada majority, and yet how did he act in $16^{6} 0^{2}$ ? How did he act in corning iuto power in 1863 , atter having ejected in such a loyal and syupathising manair his illustriqus predecessor aud chief, Hon. Mr. Sicorte? (Hear, hear.) It was not: despotism, but thirst for power, which made him adopt means to attain that end, whieh I shall not designate by their proper uname in this solemn debate. (Hear, hear.) How did hé act? Forgetting his declarations sit 155今, he governed Lower Cinada with a weak minority of its representatives, and "as. according to bis ideas, "power led tw' An" potism,": the ruled it with that rod of irua which the radicals alone know how to wied But happily those days of painful menories are passed, and the level of the political soii. which had sunk down, from some of thowe secret causes tuown to Providence alone, again suddenly rese up to escapes trou the overHowing torrents of demagogie principhes which threatened society at Iarge. What the Up position detest the most in the project of the Quebec Conferemee, is its monarchical oharicter, as also these words found at the com. ryencement of that remarkable work:-

The beid interests and present and future prosperity of Hrtish North Anerica ivill be promoted by a Federal uniun under the Cruvia uf Great Brituin, provided such unou can bee etteeted -in priaciples just to the several proxiaces.
th the tederation of the British North American Provincegis, the syatem of governadeat hest adapted, rander existing circuinstances, to pro tect the diversified interesta, of the several pios.
vinc̣es, and secure efficiency, harmony and permanency in the working of the union, would be is General Government, charged with matters of common interest to the whole country $;$ and Local Governments for each of the Canadas; and for the Provinces of Nowa Scotia, New Brunswick and Prince Edvard Island, charged, with the control. of local matters in "their respective sections, " Provision being made for the admission into the union, on equitable terms, of Newfoundland, the North-West Territory, British Columbia, and Vancouver.
We move in a different circle of ideas from that in which the Opposition moves. We wish in America, as elsewhere, for a monarchy tempered by parliamentary system and ministerfil responsibility, because, without interfering with liberty, it renders institutions more solid and secure: We have all seen British democracy holding its existence under the protectinn of the immutable revis of Royal Majesty, and exercising over the destinies of the country" that salutary control' which has made Great Britain so rich, so powerful and so free. Hear, hear.): We have also seen, not far frem our own hones, that same demorracy "wrapped in the mantle of republicanism, movin ut a rapid pace otowards demagogy, and tang demagozy to an intolerable despotism. (Morr, hear.) We have seen military rale extending over the entire face of the great acighboring republic, lately so proud of its popular institutions. And we have also seen that poople so proud of-their liberty, hưbily bind their necks to the sword of the soldier, How thir press to bi muzaled, after havine enderned the system of censorship legatized in Franie, and suffer their writers to be int prisoned without a protest. (Hear, hear.) I. ee Tocqueville has lived too long; his admable work on democracy in Ameriea Froduces upon our minds, at the present day, Mang the effect of an heroic poem; it is the Ifere Calypus, He aduirably sung by Pexe. Los, but which fades away when you have chmed Turmatheas. (Laughter.) Instead of those institutions, framed with such wathe mantal precision, and that mechanism so tiathet and so regular in its course, there is to out acea but violent and jerking motions, over: turuiugs, and the collision and smashing of the component parts of the disconnected machatry of state ; instead of peace and hartuoyy we tind civil war on a gigantic seale, yuiversal desolation, formidable battles, and the blyod-of brothers mingling in streains on tho soil it their common country. (Hear, hear.) What has becoue of that race of gianis who, after meven years of a glorious
struggle, laid the foundation, in 1783, of the American repablic? Disdaining to use the means employed by the smaller spirits of the age to grasp at the helm of the state; they have retired from the public arena; so as to live in an honorable and dignified manner in private retirement-for the genius of the American poople is not dead, and the country which still produces great judges and learned jurists could also, under another order of things, and in a different moral condition, give birth to new Washingtons, Franklins,', htamilons, Adins, and Madisons.: (Hear, hear.) They did not act wrongly then, those torty chosen men of British North America who came to Quebec to erect a new nation on the monarchicil basis, and as much as possible on the prineiples of the Parliament of Great Britain. It seems to us that that authority was imposing enough to merit the respect of men of much less experience, and much less ypred in the science of goverument:- (Hear, hear.) And yet when the honorable member for Joliette asked with much reason of the honorable member for Lotbinière why he did uot speik of Confederation based upon monarchical priuciples, the latter gentleman answered that he could not speak of what did mut exist, and of what was absurd. He was like the French savant who, in 1836, proved by arguments not to be refuted, that it was imposible to cross the ocean with steam as the motive power. But while he was thus tloundering through his powerful and learned arguments, the Sirius was steaming majestically across the Atlantic as if to mock the wistom of seiende. Facts are stubborn and positive things. (Hear, hear.) We are not here, like Cotcuaus; looking for an unknown world ; yet the honorable member who went as fur back as the heroic times of Grecee to tind argugents against all Confederations, who untolded poupmoly to our gaze Roman history to prove to us that what was strong and durable was formed picce by piece, and that even what is actually strong must also perish, as the Homan Empire had ended by succumbing under the weight of its own power"; who, bent on tindingout Confederations in confusion, and in the midst. of pronunciamentos, of movimentis and if échuaffourées, travelled through without seting them, those nôn-federative SpanishAmeriean republics, so irritable and so agitated; who, to be faithful to this system, at'ributed the five hundred years' existence of the Siwiss Contederation to every other causo tian to, the stability of its principle, and to the conservative and national charieter of its
inhabitants; and who, in his enthusiasm for his doctrines, did not see that the European equilibrium would have been secure just as well by the existence of one or more distinct. states as with a Confederition in the Hetvetic Mountains - he failed to see not far from' the native land of his ancesturs, the noble Helvetia which conquered and maintuined for five centuries its independence in the midst of the most terrible "contliets which shook the soil of Europe," which onverturned thrones and transformed nations-he has not seen, in flesh and blood, a Cualederation resting almost entircly on the mounchical prm-ciple-the Germanic Confederation- - of which Austria is the head, and for which this latter power and Prussia alone can decide cyuesiona of peace and war." (Hear, hear.) This was preceded by the Confederation of the Rhine, which had found like it its elements and its mode of being in the ancinnt empire tơnded by Charlemigne, "o the strongest hand that ever existed", to use the splendid expression of Ozasav, the Getimane Empire, a true confederation of princes, becoming reallyo indepentent in the course, of centuries, and kings in their respective states. under the Imperial suzerainty. The Goldent Bull promulgated by the Emperor Chamles IV., in 1556 , gives us some ueful information on this subject. I would refer the hunorable member for lutbuiere to it. (Hear, hear.) But why mould we ransack history to establish a fact which is as chat as day? Is it not sufficieut to open the tirst - dictionary at hand to know that the word "confederation"." means simply " leazue," union of states or sovereigus, of aations, gr even of armies for a commun object. (Hear, hear.) The houorable member has there: tore. ill-chosen his time to be witty at che expense of a man of sease. He teclared himaself by turas aganst the Federal pria: ciple and against legislathe unity. dypulige. alternately to every prejudice to attin his object, he said to the itreach-ganatran Catholies - " Resist Confederatuna, because it will leave you without protection io the FederalGovernment and Parliameat" Thea: turaing towards Eaglish Prutevtauts, a ad reading complacently to the wan extraet if m, Lord Dunham's report, he said :--.. Do nut - vote for Confederation; you would be at thin merey of a Prench and Catholic magority in the Local Governmeat and Parliment" (Hear, hear.) Although the direct revirise in every other respect of: the honvrable member for Hochelaga, his conduct proves
that he believes with : that honorable gentleman "that power engenders despotism" But, in his place, at the outset of any public career, full of youth and of the generous sentiments which it inspires; instead of setting the torch to such inflammable clements as national and religious prejudices, I should have imitated the example. of the hunurable member for Montreal Centre ; and in order to cahn mitual distrust, I shoult have eudeavored to fulfil my duty by recallitg the eminently honorable, christan and emilising history of the last guarter of a century (Cheers) But the hooorable rentleman was evidently incapable of so dibius. He had just emerged territied from amidst the pronuht , 伹mentos, the echaufiourées and the mocimentrs of the yery crvilized sranish Cunfederations of Central America, and tull of fevertsh agitation, he launched himelfo on preiblice piuiuns tuwards the ra'phow and the wan era burealis. (Laughtryant know what the rainbow is physically: it is compored of drops of water, wheh, placed at a certain angle facing the sun, refract and reflect its light withall the colurs of which it is compused 1.ughter; As to the aurora borealis, sptue atribute it to the reverbirations of solar light en the shows of the Nurth Pole, whither the hourrable genteman proceeded in order to tind the vast territiory with which ho wishers us to fonm the Confederation domana. But the opinion mist geacrally ace epted it that it is, in a manaer, sowethang imponderable and unsubstantial. - Laurhter) Our people, seeing them. moviag in all directuns with the most prodigutus rapidity, rising, talling doubling b.eckward and forwad wo each other with sthehemerivable rapidity, have given them the true and pieturesque name of dancing puppets morionnettes). Hear, and laughter: It is, therefore, eavily sew that if they hidd in ho ror the prejudius whith are productive ot so much evil, their mitud is at least
 wiere beheves, and it is at least nut recestary marous them in this manner" (Hear, hear..) II. know. what iuvanably hapiens to all :hese lumiususturteors Jack ot the Lanterns and Will ${ }^{\prime}$ ' the Wisps havsag complacently expanded themstlves on the coufiteso of the antime binzon, after having gambolled at. therrease, beenne serinas and sulemb-they are seized whth the ambition of aseending to the zemith. Bat as they have, "with the stature of a giant, but the strength of a
'hidd," they poon diminish and disappear, to dbe, in the words of Bossuet, "s $q u$ 'un je ne sais quoi qui n'a plus de nom dans aucune langue (a thing which has no name in any tongue)." (Hear, hear.) On clo e examination, however, it would be seen that the hon. member was not so sarcastic as might have been at first supposed, when he suggested the iris as the emblem of the new Confederation: The rainbow, from a figurative point of view, is the emblem of athiance. and consequently of strength and durabilityit is the symbol of peace and calm a fter a long day of storm and tempest-it is the pledge of promise that, in future, the flood-gates of demagogy will no longer be upened on the country, to leare upon its surface that morbid sediment, the fetid odors of which still offend the moral sense of the people after their unwholesome waters have retired (Cheers.) It is the unity of many colored rays which; combined, produces light and heat and fecundity. I should, therefore, adrise those who will be cllarged at a future day with our new destinies to, adopt the rainbow as oar national emblem, and to piye credit to the bun member for liotbiniere, who will doubtless be astonished to tial tiat he bas been so wonderfully inepired. (Hear, hear, and laughter.) If there were never th be any mutual confilence amoug men; if wer were for eyer destined to fearand su pece each other reciprocally, we would be ebliged to renuance all idea of government as well as all the relations of social life The very laws whichoprotect per-uns and property would be without value, because the y, are expounded by men. (Hear, hear! fortunately such is not the caser, ns... mur uwa history sufficiently proves. Bethore the uaion, the parhamentary majority in Lowe 'Canada' was Catholic, aud although it way loug involved in a strugele with power. was it ever guilty of an' injustice towaris the Protestant minority? (Hear, hear.) On the contrary, did it not emancipate th: latter, civilly and rehiously, and did it nut give that maority privileges which is had not hitherto puisessed? If our people are infexibly attached to our tath, it in, a'so fall of toleration, of good-will towatly. those who are not of the sime belitf. Since the union the parts have changed. Protes. cantism dumates in the guveronent and is the legislature, and yet has not Catholicity been better treated, and has it not been better developed, with more liberty and more prosperity tha:: under the regimeof the Con-
stitution of 1791. (Hear, hear.) Living and laboring togethor we have learned to know, to respect, to esteem each other, and to make mutual concessions for the common weal: We Catholics have therefore no fear of the ill-will of a Protestant majority in the Federal Govitnment and Legislature; and we are certaiu that the Protestants of Lower Cauada need not fear for themselves in the loc. 1 legislature.', (Hear,shear, and cheers.) The hou. member for Hochelaga bas. declared that he was willing to accord to the Protestauts the guarantees of protection which tiney rought for the education of their children; but in this he has beeu forestalled by the Quebec C'vifercnce and by the unanimous sentiarent of the Catholic popolation of Lawer lazada. If the present law be insufficient, let it be changed: Justice demands that the l'rotestat minority of Lower Canada shall be protected in the same manner as the Catholic minority of Upper Canada, and that the rights acquired by the onie and the otner shall not be assailed either by the Federal Parliment or the local legislatures. © (Hear, hear.) : This is all I teel called upon to say, on this oceasion, respecting a question which will again arise in the course of the debate. The hon, member fur Lotbiniere has attacked the sehene as being too federal, and the hon. ruember for Hochelaga has condemned it as wit being sufficiently tederal, and as tending tio much towards unity. Neither une nor the uther is stictly accurate-it is not absolute unity, nur the federal principle in the American stente. In the American Confedcration, supreme authoity proceeded at the outsei from the delequation of the states, wheh neverthelecs divested themselves of it toreve-at least aceording to the opinion of the Not thern juriseonsults, who hold that no state is free to break the compact of 1788 . In the seteme of the Quebec Conferace Shere wat do delegation of the supremes atherity, either from above or below, ians. much as the provinees, not being independent states, reerived, their political organizations from the Parliament of the Empire. There are andy distinct attributes for the one und the others. (Hear, hear) Unity does art ubraia in an absu'utesense, because local
 constitutions, gasrantees and protections which they feared they would not find in the united larhament and Government. But it is as completeas possible, inasmuch as anty gives to institutions ehances of duration, and an initiatory foree which is not given, which
cannot be given; by confederacies in which authority is scattered, and where it is seonsequently without, value and without real existence. Every constitútional mode of existence has its advantages ; but assuredly that state of existence which gives perwanence and stability to institutions should be preferred to others. Let us, beir in minal that the Constitution of the United States has been bat a comprome betreéo state sovereignty and the ntede of a supreme authority to ensure the working of the state machinery, and that it swas not perfect even in the opinion of ats authors In order to prove thiw statement, I shall call to my assistance words of greater weight than tuy ownthose of Josep.h Storey, probibly the greatest : constitutional authority of the United States $x^{\circ}-$

Any suryey, however'shght, ur the Vonteder: ation will 'impress the mind with' the inthuste dificultes which attended the tormatton on tophia. cipal features. It as weli knowa that upon thriee importaut ponts touchin! the commorrathis and interests of the severij, states, mach diveraty it opinion prevailed, and many damatied disurssiphy took' place. The first 'wase as $w$ the mode: of voting in Congress, whether it shoud be by
 second; as to the tule by wheh the experision it the Unon should be apportioned atains the states? And the third, as his beecruarialy seen, relative to the dispoal of the vactat and unapprey priated lands in the westera teratory. But hat
 jualousy and watchtuliesis every whece betraged In respect to the powers to be-cuilided to the General Government. Fior the sev.rial causes s mayy be assigned., The colonies had bertiliong engaged in struggles afainat tue suptriatendas anothority of the Crow, and had tractuedly te:t the inconveniences of the restricture bertiation of the parent country. These otrizsties had naturally led to a general tecing , it resip. tance of all exteraal aythorty, atid the en fin-

 their dumestic assembites litey hum, ya"yes, not felt the hoputanee of mestany of umo? nmoag themselves? hawng beeat himatin cons thected wich the Britsh suverelonty th al tuen
 separate and sidependert communaition, $h_{1}$ ou tar their interesta would comende or vary thom rath Oiker as such; what would be the effectio of the union upon thair domestic peace, thefr ternturat meteresta, their exteruat commerce, their póritical security, or their civil hberty, were points hatyeu a whully of a spegalative charater, is iogard h.. which various upinious aight be ensertanced, and various and even upposite cenjeatures iurated, upon groands apparently of equal plausibibity.

Nothwithstanding the declaration of the articles; that the union of the states was to be perpectual, an examination of the powers confided to the General Government would easily satisfy us that they looked principally to the existing revolutionary state of things. The principal powers respected the operations of war, and would be dormant in times of peace. In short, Congress in peace 'wis possessed of but a delusive and shadowy sovereignty, with little more than the empty pageantry of oftice. They were indeed cluthed with the authority of sending and receiving ambassadory; of "atering intu treaties and alhances; of appolnting courts for the trial of piraeies and felonite, on the hirigh seas ; of rerulating the public coin; uf tixur the standard of weights and measures; of reguating trade with Iudians, of eitablishin's post otfices ; uf borrow ing money nd emitung bills on the credit of the United States: of ascertaining and appropriating the sums neecssary for defraying the public expenses, and of disposing of the western ter ritory. And most of these powers required furtheif exercise the now not of nime states." Bu: they passessed not the power to rase any revenue, to levy any tax, to entorce any law, to secure any right, it regulate any tra ce, or even the prior prerogative of commanding menns to pay its own mimsters at a tureign court: They could contract debti, but they were without me ins to discharse thim. They cuald pledse she pubac fanth; bat thee wre meapable of relemmon. They could "pter inty treaties, but every state in the waton misht dusibey them. with mpunty.: They enuld contract alliances, but cuuld notermmand mèn or twayey to ne them vigor. Theg could insti-
 evas, biat they had nu means to pay ethartithe judges or the jururs.? In short, "all puvers whech dial nut excute thenselves were t the mery of the states, and might be tramplel upon at whil with impunity.
One of our leadiag writers addressed the tollowing strong language to the public:--
By th, molitical compart the United States io Cingiess have axcluste power for the following furpues, without belug able to execite one of thrin: they may make and contifledeteaties, but tan ondy recommend the obreseratsce of them. They masy appoist ambasadurs, bat caninut deo fra: coen the expenses of their tablet. They may therow momy in their uwa mame on tha tanthot the unim, but camot pay a dollar. They may con muney, but they cathot purchasis an whice of buthon They may make war, and determure what number of trtepy ary weesisary, but eannut, rase a stágle suidter. Ia shoift, they taay deckate eve: ychition, but du nothag.

Strofy a"s this lauguage may seem, it hat uo coloriag beyondwhat the naked truth would juntily. Wasuisuron himself, that patriot without stain or reproach, epeaks, in 1780,

With unusual significancy on the same subject: "In a word,", says he, "the Confederation appears to me to be little more than a shadow without the substance, and Cougress a nugatory body, their ordinances beiay little attended to.". The same seutiments may, be fuund in many publie ducaments. One of the most humilist.ing prouls of the aiter inability of Cungress to, entorè ven the exclusive powers' vested iu it." is to ve found iothe argumentative circular addressed by it to the several suates, in Aprily 1787 , entreatiug them in the most ouppiticating maneer to repeal such of their laws as interfered with the treaties mith "foreign nations. 4 If in theory," says the biourapher of Wasinington; " the treaties formed by Congress Were obligatory, yet it had been demonstrated that in practice that body ras absolutely unable to carry them into execution." -
In this state of things, the embarrassments of the conatry in its financual concerns, the general pecuniary distress among the people from the exbanstion operations of the war, the total prostration of comperee and the hatroushmys unthriftiness of agriculure, gave new impubee to the already marked political divisions in the le enistative Councils. Eforts were nade on "our sideto relieve the pressure of the public calamities. by a resort to the issue of paper moneg; to tender laws, and instalmunt and other laws, having' for their object the postponement of tho payment of private debt, and a dimintion of the publicitares. Oa the other side, pubtic as well as private creditors became alarmed from the increased dangers to property; ind the increased facility of perpuutrating frauds, to the destruction of all private faith, and credit! And they insisted strenuousty. upon the estabhisbment of a goverament, and sys: tem of laws which should preserve- the pubtic faith and redeem . he coustry from that ruiih which alwass follows appy the volation of the priaciples of justice and the morat oblizatiun of contracts. "At lenideth," we ave told, "twogreat, parties wefe furmed io every' state, which were' distinctly marked and which pursued destinet objects with systematic arrangement." The wonder indeed is, nut under sugh circumstinces, that the consititution shoula have sucotinfored the most ardent opposition; but that it thopld ever have been adopted at all by the majority ot the states. In the couvention atself fobuch frupaed it, there mas a great diversity of judjomeat, wnd upon some. vital subjects an inemste and irreconcilable hossulity of apiaion. It is undersrood that, at several periods the conveation were upon the point of breaking up without aceonglishing anything. On the other hand, if the votaries of the national governmeat are fewer in number, they are likely to enlist in its favor men of ardeut ainbition, comprehensive views and powerful genius:, A love of the union, a sense of its unportance-nay, of its necessity to secure pernaneace and safety
to dur political liberty; a conscionsness that the powers of the national constitution are eminently calculated to preberve peace at home and dignity abroad, and to give yalue to propertinand system and harmony to the great interests of agriculture, commerce and minufactures ; in conscionsness, too, that the restraints which it imposss upon the states are the only efficient méans to preserve public and, private justice, and tó ensure tranquillity amidst the conflicting iuterests and rivalries of the states-these will doubtless combiné many sober and reffecting minds in its support. If to this' number we are to add those whom the larger rewarls of fame or emolument or influeace connected with a wider sphere of getion may allure to the nutiunal councils, there is much reason to presuipe that the union will not be without resolute friends.:
The event now occurring in the United States suffieatly prove, 1 "think, that the feats of the illustrious founders of the Unionwere bot without some foundation. The scheme of Constitution which is submitted tu us is also a compromise, but a compromise in the best cunditions of existence, and in "thuse least dangerous to the stability and the streagth of the nation to which it is to give beicis. Unty moves more at ease, and the checks placed therein for the benefit of the sections are placed in such a manner as nut to obstruct the general action:", It is not so much agailst the Federal principle that the greater number of the arguments of the fion: metuber for Huchelaga are directed. For him it is a party question which he puts to himselfin thit mannert-'How shall we find ourselves, wy friends aud myself, in this Coutederation". Shall wo be stroug or weak? May we hope to regaiia power, or shall we be lost like so many drops of water in the ocean?": Ia order to conviuce the House That I have correctly appreciated the motive of the hoa. gentleman's (Hon. Mr: Dorion's) opposition, I shall quote from his speech of the 16th iost.:-

Hon. Mr. Dotion-But, asir, I may be asked adrunting all that-admitting that the sebeme now submitted to" us is not that which bas been promised us, what difference will the immediate aidmistion of the Provinces into the Confederation make? I will try to explain it When the ministers consented to the votes in the Cunfereace being taken by proyinces, they gave a great ad. vantage to the Garitime Provinces. This mode: of procedare had for its resuls the most conservative measure that was ever submitted to the House. The members of the Upper House are no longer to be elected; but nominated-and by whom? By a Tory or Conservative Goverament for Canada, by a Conservative Government in Nova Scotia, by a Conservative Government in Prince Edward Island, and by a Conservative

Government in Newfoundland, the only Liberal Government concerned in the nomination of the Opper House being that of New Brunswick, where there is a Liberal Aduinistration, whose fate depends on the result of the elections now taking place in that province. A similar scheme would never have been adopted, by the Liberal members from Upper Cqnada, the people of which section, to the numiber of $7,400,000$, with those in the Lower Province, matmg in all 2,500,000," have been coutrolled by the 900,000 feople of the Maritime Provinces Have'we not been told in set terms that it was the Lower Pravinces uhich did not wiant an electuve Le rislative Courcil? . If, instead of inviting to a C ifference the delegates of the Lower Provinces; our Government had done what it enyaged to do, uamely-hat itself prepared a Constiution, it would never have dared to Graiv up a proposal like this now laid before us; it would never have proposed ablegis: lative Council nominated for hits, with-4 limited membership, and which has to be named by four Tory Governneuts. Heckoning 15 to 20 years, as the averare of the time each Levisitative Councillor' will bold bis seat, à century would elapse before its compostion could be entirely changed! We will bure, thus, a Leqislative Councal lasting for èver-at least as regards this, and the next generation-controlled by the influence which-tiday preponderates in our Government and in those of the Maritime Provinces; and are we going to believe, as the present doctment promiges us, that, a goverument like that which we possess now, will randoy itself in getting the Uppustion represented inthe Le fislative Council? (Atear, hear, and launhter:) I thank the delegates tor ther sulicitude as regards the Oppositunatiut I rele but litte ontheir promises. Did we not hear the Hunorable Attorney General West say the other duy, turngig tow ards bis supporters:" "If I hatd the recommerding of the nominations, I would advise the choice of the most qualiled-but of comrse, of tuy own party. (Hear: bear.) It would be dime in this way, sir; and, if this precious scheme is pur into operation, we shall have a Le risianite Cuunci. dwided an the following matither: tor C'per Canda, we shall probably have Liberals in the propertien of 3 to 9, far I suppose that the tromirable member fion South Oxfurd (Hunorable Mr, Bruwy) has made
 sion, and as his figends constitute a furth of the Executive ( Council. : suppree ne shall have also one fourth of the Expeutive Cuancillurs for Cpper Canada, Liberals.

Hon: Attorner Generai Macdonald-Hear, hear.

Hon. Sr. Hostos-Exactly: 25 per cent.
Hon. Mr. Dontox-Yes ; precisely 25 petcent. Begides, we shall bave fur Jova Scotin tea Conservatives, from Prince Fdward Island four more; and four fiom Newtoundland. Thus we are to have eighteen Conservatives from the Lower Provinces, who, added to the thirty-gis from Canada, will make fiftstour Conservatives, againgt iwent-two Liberals, supposing that the ion

Legislative Councillors from New Brunswick will all be Liberals. Now, supposing that the average of deaths amownted to three per cent. in a jear, it would need a term of thirty years to bring about a change in the character of the majority of the Council, taking it for graited that the additions which mizht be made to it would be takep from the ranks of the Liberal party. Yet that would be scarcely posgible. In some of the Lower Provinces there would be froni time to time Conserratize Goveruments, and there might be also a Conservative Government in Canada. (Hear, hear, aud laughter.) And the present gen eratiod will have passed away before the opinions of the Liberal party will have any inHuence in the divisions of the Legisiative Council.
Mr. Mackexzis-That makes no difference.
Hon. "Mr. Dosios-The hon, member for 1 ambton says that makes no difference! The honorable member is ready to accept everythirg, but for those who are not so well disposed, the differeace would be that we would be bound by this constitution which will permit the Legislative Council to throw obstacles in the way of all measures of reform wished for by the Liberal party. If the bon. member for Lambton thinks that that makes no difterence, I will take the hberty of differing fromi him, and I think that the Liberal party generally will differ from him also. The Goverument rold us that they were abliged to consent to the introduction of certain measures in the project of Contederation shich dad nut altogether: please theur so as to come to an understandinz with the Lower Province dele gates, and that they bousd thenselves to cauize the scheme to be adopied by this House without ameudment. Djes the lion. gentleman not see a differente nuw' It the two Canadas were the onfy interested fiarites, thie majority would att as they pleased, would exampe minutely the Constitution, and erase cill meakures which did nut suit thero. and at proposition such as that relative to the Lexistative Council wpuld have do chante of being adopted-itit to too shor a time ago since this House voied, by a crushiay majority, the subfution wo an elective Counch for a Council nopyimated by the Crown. Infact, the Council maned ty the Crown had sa fallen in public estimution-lúdo aot say so on account of the then who composed' it, but still such was the tact, that it eserciacd no influence ; it was even dithicult to. asisexuble a quorum of members-a chaze had become absolutily necersaty, and up to the present time the electuve system has worked well -the elected members are equal in every respeet to those nominated by the "Crown.'. Well, it is just as public attention commenters to be bestowed upon the proce edings of the Upper Hou3e, that we are to change its constrution to give it the playe of the same orie we so short a time azo cyademned. I said same Constitution-I mis take, Mr. Speaner, we waut to substitute for the present Constitutivn one much worge than the old one, and one for which it is impossible to find a precedent.

Here, then, is the solution of the enigma; here, then, is the reason why Federal union is rorthless-without us there is no country -itis uolonger the doctrine: "Let the cointry perisk rather thơn a principle be alandoned," but " let the country perish rather than a politicul party should succumb." It is less absurd; but at the same time less noble, and if it be not cynical in words, it is so yndoubtedly in 'conception. (Hear, heir.) What ! must we resist in future all progress, all strength and national greatiess, solely because a party, which exhausted itself almost at its birth; thiuks it cannot discern in the new order of thing the stepping stones to puwer? But is it our fanle that the doctriues and the acts of that party are not in accordance with the feelings of the country, and that the country persists in discountenancing tiaem? The hon. member for flochelaga would hope more for his party in a Contederation of the two Canadas only; the ha said to himself, no doubt, "In this lase or :er of thing the increase of :hes Upper Canalian representation would augment the hadical majority of Epper Cauta, and that najority, added to the smail minority I command, would have placed me in a position to rule Lower Canada as I have ulready done, agaiust its will, and insuite of wy tormer declarations." Either he must think us rery blitid, or else he must expect that placing the question in a party point of view, he would rally around him only thote who, leavigg aside all national sentinents, follow him uevertheless. (Hear, hear.) But the extract which I have just now read brings us uaturally to the question of an elective Legislative council, wo which system the hotiorable nember for Hochelaga erants a great degree of saperiority oyer the nominuiter one. Just now he told us that the Coancil nominated by the Crown had fallen Tintes imbecility, and had lost public respect:" "Hear, hearr", Sow, to prove how logical he iss he tells us:

It is true that the House of Lords, Conserrative though it be, Ginds itsilf removed from all popular iatuence; but its numbers may be increased upon the recomuendation of the responable advisers' of the Crown; if such a measure Were to become necessary to obtain the concurrence of boht Houses, or to prevent a collision between them. The position which its menbers 'oecupy' in if establishes a surt of compromise between the Crown and the popular elemont: But this new House, alter Confederaton, will be àperfectly indepradeni body; ta' meinbers will be nominated for life, iand their number caniot bo: increased. How long will this system work
withoùt bringing abont a collision between the tivo branches of the Legislatare? Let us siappose the Lower House composed in a great part of Liberals, for how lony a tume woull it submit to an Upper House named by Government?
Be kind enough to observe, Mr. Speaker, that under the old system, the Legislative Council possessed the same elements of existence as the House of Lords, and that the Crown could increase its numbers at need; it augmented it in 18 19 as it threatened to augment the House of Lords in 1832. Observe, again, that it is precisely this control exeroised hy the Crown over the Upper House that the hon. geatleman tound so fatal to legislation previous to $185 \overline{6}$. But there is a more rational manner of appreciating the part sustained by the Honse of Lords in the British Coostitution. No one denies to the Suvereigo the abstract right of increasing at will the House of Lords; but such right has never been exercis ${ }^{2}$ but for the purpose of rewarding men distinguished for great national services : and when, in 183\%: Wilesam IV. granted Harl Gaey the treniendouy power to swamp the representative body of the grear landed nobility, it was berause the country was movirg with, rapid strides towards revoluthon, and because there remained to the Sovereign but two alterinatives, either to lessen the inural 'weight of the House of Lords, or to see his own throne knocked to pieces from-under his feet. ( (Ilear, hear.) To donvince the House that I do notexaggerate, I will rè̀d an extract frum"Llagard's" Mistory of England:- -
It is known that justice and common sense were wyounded by the electoral system of England, when such a rock such a building, such a bamet beloniging to noble famtiey sent represeritatives to Parliament; where cities of 100,000 inhabltants were not represented, where corporations of twenty or thirty inmuidua's bal a righit to elect members for large cities, and so forth. All this was the consequetice of a sucial uder, founded on privilege, und ta which property was the mistress of ull power. To reforin the electoral system was then to make an attentipt not only on the Constitution, but suchety. And thy Tories offexted a desperate resistance. Sich-way their attituge, that the Ministry prochimed Purliament dissolved ou the 11th May, 1831, a cuun:e which was juyfully welcumed by the people. Newelections were had, and resuited in a ministerial majority. The Reform Bill-w.s adopted by tho Commons, but the House of Lords threw it out by a majority of torty-one votes. The intel: hyence of this result was received throughout the three kingdoms with the most lively bgitation.

Petitions were sentinfrom all parts, praying for the upholding of the Ministry, and for a new creation of peers; reform associations were formed, and serious distarbances took place at London, Bristol; Nottingha . \&e. Parliament wás prorogued, and at its, re-aseembling the Reform Bill was ygain presented with some alterations." The Commorsäccepted it it possed a first and a second reading in the House of Lofds, but the third reading was adjourned, and Weltingtos iand seventy-four peers protested. Agitatión became almost univerial; "societies met, petitions took"a threatening character; eversthmy was teading towards armed insurrection::- England neter before presented such a speetacle. Meantime the Ministry had demanded of the kithe a new creation of peers to change the majority: of the Upper Chamber - It was refused, - they immediateIy resigned on the 9th May, 1832. The Duke of Weilngtos and his friends were then calledua to furm a Minist $y$; he tiied it $s \in$ veral daysin vain. : The mation was astir; nhole, an mies werte betng created; ruits'broke 'out e"erywliere; the lives of the principalaTories were threatened, aty the House of Commons seemed dispised to sippport a measure which would hase overfurned boih the Government and the aristoeracy. The King called back the Grey Mimistry, and th. Bill was presented to the Hu⿱se of Löds yfor a thind reading, on which the Tories, kp $\nu$ wing that the Cabinet had decided to create a: unlimited number ot geers, so as to obtain a whajority, absiained from attendin, the disctission, mad the Bill passéd by $100^{\prime}$ votes ayainst. :2. The Par lianent was fummediately dasolyed, und new el.ce tions took place according to the new electurallaw, and unthe 5th of February, 18/3, the tirst Reformed Parliament was opiened.
It must then have becin a real revolution, this nomination of one hundret new peers. a revolution as real of that which menased the Thrope; and do we not feel peruaided. that "if one day vur Feteral heqislative Council wert to plaee itself obstimately and eystematically in opposition to popular"will, matured and streagthened by ordeals. it would not be swept away by a revolintivany torrent such as threatened to eweep away the House of Lords in 1882?.? This Cunatil. himited as to unmbers because the proviners iusist on maintaining in it an ifluilibrium without which they would never haye conseated to a union, this Council, sprume *rom. the people-having the same wats, hopes and ven passions, would pesist less the prip, ular will in America, where it is so prompt and active, than cuald the House of Lordy in England, where the masses ure inerr because they have not political rights; reasun tells ut tius because they would bu a less' powertül. budy socially' or poltically. The honorable member for Hoohelaga has
spoken to us of the elected senate of Belginm, which he says works admirably." But let us examine the maniper of its constriction and the reasons of its cranization.. . We find in a note udder the $\bar{y}$ ard article of the Belgian Coustritution, section of the Senate in "Havakv"s Public and Administratite Laix, rol I :-
59. Elected b\% the Pecipte.-Three-principal opiniuns dinded the Gungress on the question of the sefate. Onè wanted no kind of senate. Aucther wished the senate named, with or with: out Conditiuns. hy the head of the state; and another "isheidfor the senate but elected by the people. "These trolatiopimons carried the exis. teace of the Chmber tu be sidinitted, but it was difticult tu for the majotity on the mode of nomAating the seativis. Among the members who desife a se mate, the greathr number sustained nomation by the kink, it bent more in karmony with the natine of the mintutign o but those who wished only mue Chamber diectly eleqted being in despair, ant iniurder op pophaticé an institution which they wectist of nut lecits owficiently sor juined with those favoring neniturs, elect named withote the interisenth is of the royal pouter, bu that this "ppinion" pepatied." The senate and its, minde of eaistence $w$ as put, there: fure, the resuit +ither of the same opinion of of the same majority, $\therefore$ The central section proposed, wuh a majoraty of sixtem agionst four, nomma Lion by the king without preesentativin gnd in un. Iimated bumber." 'The question' was discussed at the siting of the lith, ibeth and Th December: Nomination bit the kias : was. rejected by 90 akainst 7. Twi, lerdiag cupinons still divided the parthatis of eiretidu: Oue would contide it tu' the "urdinaty detetrat colleges, and uthers to the Provmeial Younciler Sistes., "We desire," said M. BLakgiss in propusmg the last mode ofelection "a intutral power which can ronist the dangers whath inight results from the preponderance of the head or the state or from an elective Chatahes.. It is theretire, necespary that this pewer should chanate ne ther from the same efements as the elective Clamber, aor trom the chiet of the state." To cuilide electiquatua partio cular clais, waw sad on the uther side, is to creato privilesed electorsw with a duruble vote, and to inirnduce into vur dountry ull the incondeniences of the duisioy of eicentors which has just been abolishedia Fration. Provincial Councists should, murnover, be befmanticthe bodies. - The syotem it articte 33 wat adupped by 136 votes againast 13 The upinion whin was in favor of only uge Chamber, and consequently unly one mode of yeteron, determsed the najuity.
This we tind that the constitution of this senate in a compromise similar $t$ that of the Fideral Government of the United States. But let us go ou a litele further:-

In order to be elected and to continue to ber senator, one qualification, among others, is to
pas, in Belgium, at least one thousand flotins of direct imposts; patents incladed.
Is not this last provision of the Belgian Constitution a hundred times more conservative than all the provisions of this sheme, which the honorable member condemins? What! no one cán be a senator in Belgium without paying $\$ 500$ direct taxes, orer and above indirect taxes, municipal and hocal impositions of all sorts. ad the honorable nember for Hochelaga calls that a popular House!. Who but men porierful and rich in titles and fortupe can enter it? (Hear, hear.)
Hos. Ma. DORION-What is the qualification of the electors of the Belgian House of Representatives? Is it not much higher than elsewhere?
Ho. M. Mr. Catellon - It is the same for beth Houses. And this is an argument aginst the hooorable member'; for if, in a zouftry like Belgium, in which every fourth iperson you meet is a beggar, it has been pund requisite to make the elective franchise. and the electoral qualification of the seuators so high, it is a proof that he has made a bad selection of examples ; itis a proof that the tẹndencies of Belgium are conservative. Why, then,'should we adopt ánother course io Cavada, where there is not one beggar in a thousand inhabitants?

Hon.: Mr. HVANTUKEL - Will the Ingorable member for Montmorenci allow me to interrapt "him' in his argament in relation to the qualifications and appointment of the legislative councillors. Lite him, I am quite of opinion that the conservative element ought, of necessity, to be the bisis of the Legislative Council, to counterbalance the popular element. This principle governed the constitution of the House of Lords in England, that of the Legislative Counchin Belgiom, and that of every wellorganized representative govirnment. It is - that element of conservatism which I desire. to see introduced into the Constitation of the Confederation now before us; but the hon--orable member for Montanorency will allow. me to remark that the whole of his argument applies only to the antagonisin which might arise between the two branches of the legislatare, in a moxarchical goverpment like that of Belgium, which is not based on a Federative systeni like that now subuitted to us by the Government. But wo have not only to avoid the differences which might arise between the conservative and the popular elementis;

We have also to protect the rights of the several provinces which are to form part of the proposed Confederation.: That is the all important question we have to consider. We have accorded the principle of representation based upon population in the House of Commons of the Federal Government, and that is without doubt a great sacrifice; but we ought only to make so important a concession on the condition that we shall have equality of representation in the Legislative Council, and the right reserved to ourselves to appoint our twenty-four legislative councillors, in order that they may be responsible to the public opinion of the province and indopendent of the Federal Government.Without this essential guarantee $I$ affirm that the rights of Lowcr Canada are in danger. For my part I am ready, on behalf of Lower Canada, to give up her right to elect directly her twenty-four legislative councillors, although the retention of the clective principle might perhaps be the surest means of preserving our institutions; but I am anxious that the new Constitution nory proposed shonld pive us adequate guaranteés that the legislative councillors to be appointed for life should, at all events, be selected by the Local Government of Lower Canada; which would be responsible to the people.: These not ill grounded sources of arxicty I should like tu see removed. I would bespeak the carnest attention of the houorable nember for Montinorency to this point; which is of the very highest. importance to ws Lower Canadians; and I hope that he will pardon me for having interrupted him, and that he will be in a position to give me such an answer as will dissipate the anxiety which I am aware has been orinced on this subject.

Hón. Mr. CAUCHON-The honcrable gentleman has not understood me ; my object has not been to attack the rèpresentative system of Belginm as being too conservative; on the contrary I use it as an argunent in my favor, because the qualification there is so high, that hardly one in six thousand can be found who can aspire to the poist of senator." Parties baving been unable to come to any understanding at the time of the revolution of 1830; and neither the hereditary peerage or the life peerage having been able to prevail, the most conservative principle next to these was adopted, viz., that of a large property qualification. All those who have drawn up constitutions, either theoretical or for practical purposes, have never omitted to provide counterpoiscs to prevent, on the one hand, too
precipitate and hasty legislation, and on the other hand the encroachment of the power of the executive. In our Constitution it is the duty of the Legislative Council to exercise the conservative influence, and to modify the legislation too energetic, and too full of outside effervescenec, which is sent for their consideration from the House of Commons. But when public' opinion gains vigor from the obstacles which it encounters, and the reforms demanded are rational and come before them in due course, there is "no danger that the legislation which enibodies them will be obstructed in its progress: for the people will rise in their majesty and in their sense of justiee, as did the people of England in 1832;: and the obstacles they might meet with on their way would be swept away as by a torrent. - (Hear, hear.)

Hov. Mr. DORION-That is exactly where the danger lies.

Hon Mr. CAUCHON-That is the danger which assailed the House of Lords in 1833, but no one would venture to confront to the last extremity a danger such as this. But the honorable member for Quebec tells us, if I understand him rightly; that we have not sufficient guarantees for Liower Canada in the appointiment of the legislative councillors. The selection of legislative councillors has no bearing whatever on the question we are now consideriag, viz., whether the appointment by the Crown is or is not preferable to the dective principle." But in answer: to him I will say, that the scheme be fore us yeme to be quite clear. According to this plan the candidates for the Legislative Cuuucil will be recommended by the beal govermients and appointed by the General Goverument, and it is by this very division of powers that the selections are sure to be good, and made in conlormity with the desire and sentiments of the provinces.

Hon. Mr.-DORION-Only the first nominations are to be made in this manner, not those which may be made afterwards.

Hon. Mr CAUCHON-The first nomin'ations will be made by the present Governments, 'and the federal councillors will be taken from the present legislative councillors to the number prescribed; ' 24 , provided so many can be found who will accept the post, and who possess the requisite property qualification. The Confereuce has engaged, by the terms of the schene, to respett the rights of the Upposition, and any guvernmeat wha should fail to carry out so solemn an ew gagement would well deserve to lose the pub-
lic confidence. (Hear, hear.) I repeat that the mode of appointing the councillors in no wise affects the conservative principle of nomination on which the constitution of the Legislative Council ought to be based.

Hon. Mr. DORION-In the course of my observations the other night, I did not examine the question from the point of view from which the honorable member from Quebec is now looking at it. That honorable member, if $I$ have understood him rightly, affirms that in the proposed constitution of the Federal Legislative Conncil there is no conservative principle to guaran: tee that the provinces will be represented in that Council; and he does so with justice. If the honorable member for Montmorenci will examine it attentively, he will see that the first nominations are to be made by the existing governments:- Thus the Government of $\mathbf{C a}$ oada, that of New Brunswick and that of Nova Scotia will appoint legislative counneilors, but afierwards the Federal "Goveroment will make the appointmients. The honorable member for Quebec can, with reason, draw the conclusion that there is no guarantec that the viers of the procinces will be respected. I for my part have investigated the matter, more in connection with the power that will be vested in the lenislative councillors. I asserted that by appopating them for life and limiting their numbet, an absolute authority would be created, which would be quite be yond the control of the people and even of the Executive; that the power ot this body will be so great, that they will always be in a position to prevent every feform if they thought proper, and that a collision between the two branches rould be inevitable and irremediable. The danger arising from the ereating of such a power is exactly that of being obliged to destroy if if they resist too obstinately the popu. lardemands. In England there is no necessity for preaking down the obstructions tometimes presented by thie House of Lurds, because tho Crown having it in its power to appoint new peers, can overcome the difficulty. Here thẹre will be no means of doing it; when the number of conncillors is fixed. Accord. ingly, I have looked at the question through the mediam of the powers assigned to the councillors, whereas the honorable member for the county of Quebec fears lest the Government should make choice of men whe would not represent public opinion in the provinces; that they might appeint members all of French origin or all of English origin to represent Lower Canada, or take them all from among
a class of men who would not represent the province for which they are/appointed, and who could give no pledge that they would maintain its institutions.

Hon. Atty. Gen, CaRTIER-It is evident that the honorable member for Hochelaga has nöt read the résolutions'; but I have read them.: Lower Canada is in a peculiar position. "We have trio races of people whose interests are distinct from each other in respect to origin, language and religion. In preparing the business of the Confederation at Quebec, we had to conciliate these two interests, and to give the country a Constitution which might reconcile the conservative with the democraticelement; for the weak point in democratic institutions is the leaving of all poiver in the hands of the popular element. The history of the past proves that this is an evil. In order that institutions hay be stable and nork härmöniously, there must bea power of resistrance to oppose to the democratic element: In the United States the power of resistance does not reside in the Senate, nor even in the Yresident. The honorable member for Hochelaga says that the objection of the honorable : member for the county of Quebec is well founded, becanse the Federal Goveruinent may appoint all English or all Preuch Canadians as legislative councillors for Lower Canada." It the honorable meinber had read the resolutions, he roould have found that the appointments of legislative councillors are to be thade so as' to aceord with the electoral divisions now existing in the provitice. . Well, I ask whether it is probable that the Execative of the Federal Government, which will have a chief or leader as it is now-I ask whether it is very probable thac he will recommend the appointuent of a Freneh-Canadian to represent divisions like Bedford or Wellington for instance?

Hon: J. S. MACDONALD-You will be in a minority in the Federal Goverament.

How. ATty. Gen CARTIER-AmI not in a minarity at present in appointing judges? And yet when I propose the appointment of a judee for Llower Canada, is ho not appointed? Did the hónorable member for Coruwall (Hon. J. S. Macuonald), when he was in the Government, ever attempt to interfere with the appointments recommended by the honorable suember for Hochelaga? And now, When achief justice or a puisne judge is to be appointed for Lower Camada, I find myself surfunaded by colleagueis, a majority of whour are Eaglish and Protestants; but do they presuad to interfere with my recommendations? Sio, no more than we Lower Canadians inter-
fere with the recommendations of my honorable friend the Attorney General for Upper Canada in making appointments to office in Upper Canada. There will be in the Federal Goverüment a leader for Lower Canada," and do you think that the other Ministers will presume to interfere and intermeddle with his recommendations? But I am told that I am in a minority. 'So I am now, so I have been for eight years-

Mr. GEOFFRION-You have equality between the two provinces.

Hon Atty Gen CARTIER-Yes, we have equality, but not as a race, nor in respect of religion. When the leader for Lower Canada shall have sixty-five members belonging to his section to support him; and command a majority of the French-Canadians and of the British from "Lower Canãda, will he not be able to upset the Government if his colleagues interfere with his recommendations to office? That is our security: At present, if I foupd un'reasonable opposition to my views, my remedy would be to break up the Government by retiring, and the same thingowill happen.in the Federal Government.

Hon Mr. DORION-The honorable member' will be allowed to retire from thie Government; as there will then be a sufficient number of English nembers to be able to do without him, he will be allowed to retire, and nobody will care.

Hon. Mr. CAUCHON - The diouorable member for Hoclelaga put a question to me relative to the constitution of the Legislative Council, and said that he had not looked at the question, while speaking the other evening; in the same light as the honorable member for the connty of Quebec.. He spoke of the consercatives as a party, and hisfear.was, not that the Upper House would not be conservative enough, but that it would be too much so.

Hon. Ma. DORION-I looked at it both ways, both as it involved the interests of parties, and in regard to the power which that House rould exercise from the nature of its constitution.

Hon. Ma. CAUCHON-I did not see the two ways of looking at it. I' savr but one. It is the same idea in'a different form. He said that even if the Lower House were altogether liberal, the U1 per House would remain composed of conservatives; this was his fear. He has been a long while trying to gain predominance for his democratic notions, but it is evident he will not succeed. 1 recur to the real medium through which the honorable member looks: at the question, namely, his tears
that his party will sink out of sight. In the present day, parties disappear and become fused with others, while others arise from passing events. In New Brunswick, conservatives join the liberal government to carry Confederation, and we see no parties there but the partisans and the opponents of the union, as in 1788, in the United States, tleere were no parties but the adherents of royalty and those of Federal Government. We sec the same thing in Nova Scotia. This is true patriotism and the real dignity of public men. It is unfortunate for us that we do not follow their example here.
Mr. GEOFFRION-Hear!
Hon. Mr. CAUCHON-The honorable niember from Verchères says ""Hear!", Is it not a fact that the Opposition vote as a party on the present question? If it is not so, will he name a single member of the Op position who does not vote against Confederation?
Hon J:S. MACDONALD-Hear! hear!
Hon. Mr. CAUCHON-The hanorable member: for Cornwall says, "Hear!' hear!" He nay well 'say so- he who never had a party. He catue into power, nobody expected he would. : He will never get it again; everybody expects that (Coutinued laughter.) I an bound to shew hinin respect because he is my senior in this House; my senior by three years.: It is true he has not always represented the same county, his brother having fraternally driven him out of Glengarry, and obliged him to take refuge in the rotten borough of Cornsvall. (Liughter.). Bat although we have almost always been unlucky enough to do duty in different caups, we have not on that account ceased to be good friends. (Luughter.) If will not look at' this question in a-party light, beciuse parties expire, and we dó not know whether in thirty years the present parties will exist. We ought to look at the guestion apart from party considerations, and on its own merits: that is to say, we ought to place in the Constitution a counterpoise to prevent any party legislatiou, and to moderate the precipitancy of any government which might be disposed to nove too fast and go too far, I mean a leypislative body able to protect the people against itself aud amaiast the encroachments of porrer. (Hear, hear.) In England, the Crown has never attempted to desrade the House of Peers by submerging it, because it knows well that the uobility are a bulwark against the aggressions of the democratic element. The House of Lords, by their power, their territorial posses-
sions, and their enormous wealth, are a great defence against democratic invasion, greater than anything we can oppose to it in Anerici. In Canada; as in the rest of North America, we have not the castes-classes of society-which are found in Europe, and the Federal Legislative Council, although immutable in respect of number, inasmuch as all the mem: bers belonging to it will come from the ranks of the people, without leaving them, as do the members of the House of Commons, will not be selected from a privileged elass" which bave no existence. Here all men are alike, and are all equal; if a difference is to be found, it arises exclusively (from the industry, the in telligence, and the superior education of those who have labored the most strenuously, or whom Providence bas gifted with the highest facülties. (Hear, hear.) Long ago the prit. vileges of caste disappeared in this country. Most of our ancient nobility left the country at the conquest, and the greater number of those who remained have sunk out of sight by inaction. Accordingly, whom do we see in the highest ofices of state ". The sons of the poor who have felt the necessity of study, and who have risen by the aid of their intel. lect and hard work. (Hear, hear.) Everything is democratic with us, because cueryone can attuin to everything by the efforts of a noble ambition. The legislative councillers appointed by the Grown will not be, therefore, socially speaking, persons superior to the: members of the House of Counions; they will owe their elevation ouly to their own merit. They will live as being of the peopla and atuong the people as we do:- How can it happen, then; that having no advan: tage orer us greater "than that of wot being elected, they will not be subject in : legitimate degree to the "influence of pabliv opiaion? There are some men who have enough patriotism to approve of everything done elsewhere, but to tind fault with every: thing done at home-it is a pitibul eretche: in the human mind. If there had been as much danger for the liberal party in this, union as you say there was, would Hoa, Mr. Tlusixy the leader of the Liberal government: of New Brunswick, a man of such foresight and judgrent ; would the honorable member for South Oxtord, your former leader, whose talent and experience you will not deny, have ase: cepted it? (Hear, hear.) But look rathet at what is now passing in. New Brunswite and Nova Scotia; what they have agreed to designate as the Federal electoral rieket is composed of six candidates for the town and
county of St. Johns, N.B.; and in Nova Scotia; Hon., Mr. Tupper, the leader of a Conservative government, and Messrs. Archibald. and McCeliex, two of the chiefs of the Liberal party, are working hand in hand for Confederation. (Hear, hear.) One must be short-sighted not to see that this new order of things will produce new combinations similar to those produced by the American Constitution of 1788, when the citizens and public men divided into two camps, the camp of the supporters of national union and that. of the friends of the state sovereignty: (Hear, hear.) Let us not then be anxious about the futare of parties. What does it matter to this country what position the hooorable member for Hochelaga or myself may occupy in "this new Constitation? (Laughter:) What matiers it to the country if we be aboveror below. the first or the last the victors or the vanquished, so long as it is happy under the neir rule, and finds happiness, greatness, power and prosperity in the free development of its resources and institutions? (Hear, hear.) The opponents of Confederation do not desire the union of the provinces for the purpose of military defence; two and two will always make four, say they, and in uniting the populations of the different provinces, you will not give us more stredgth to resist the common enemy, unless, as facetiously remarked the hunvrable member for Lotbinière, we make a treaty with the enemy, which would bind him to atzack us at but one place at a time; so as tu- allow us to oppose all our forces to the iavasion. Yes, lwo and two will always tinake four. Iqu are right. War between Eugland and the United States would expose us in our colonial position to the attacks of the cueny at all vulacrable points of the reapective proviaces. .But, tirstly, the union earries with it tie coustruction of the Interenduial Railway, and that railway which does me particularly please the two annexationist laders of the? Opposition, would allow Eughand and the proviuces to transpurt troops ropidly from the furthest linits of the country ts the threateued points of the national ter ritory. Without the aid of railyays how could Napoheos/III. have been able, in a fortuight, to throw two hutadred thousand mea on the plaius of Itily to defeat the dustrims at Majenta and Solferino, and to guin uae of the bioodiest and most ghorious victories of modern times? . But in the adyanced condition of our ciyilization, our coinmerce and our manufactures-with so many $e^{\text {lements of greatness, with so many prodi. }}$
gious sources of prosperity and wealth-with a population of nearly four millions already - should we have so little ambition as not to aspire to take our place one day in the rank of nations? : (Hear, hear.) Shall we forever remain colonists? Does the history of the world afford examples of eternal subjection? (Hear, hear.) "It is not, for my part, because I do not feel myself proud and happy under the glorious flag which protects and shelters in safety one hundred and fifty millions of souls. It istot because I do not feel myself free as the bird of air in the midst of space, under the mighty xgis of the British Einpire-a thousand times more free than I should be, with the name of citizen, in the grasp of the American Eagle. (Hear, hear," and cheers.) But we inust not conceal from ourselves the fact that we "are attracted by tivo centres of attraction-the opposing ideas which are developed and which make war upon each other, even within these walls, sufficiently attest the fact. Everything tells us that the day of national enancipation or of annexation to the United States is approaching, and while the stiteraen of all parties in the Empire warn us affectionately to prepare for the first, a few of our own public unen drive us incessantly towards the sècond, by propagating republican ideas, and by endeavoring by all possible means to assimilate our institutions to those of the neighboring republic. (Hear, hear:) If we remain isolated, what will happen at the moment of separation from the Mother Country; for that monent will come, whether wee wish it or wish it not? Each province would forim in independent state, and as to at: tack the one would no longer mean to attack all; inasmuch as we should bave, ceased to be the subjects of the same empire, the United States, if they covet them, would devour them one by one in their isolated position, following therein the able tactics of the Romanf in Asia, Europe and Africa, of the Buglish in" India, and of Napoleon, the greatest warrior of modern times, in Europe. 1 understand that the annexationists insist. on the slatus quo and on isolation; but others would be blind did they listen: to them; inasmuch as reason commands them to organize, so as to be ready when danger comes. If we are four millions to Gay, we shall probably be eightmillions and over then, with proportionate means of defence, and the alliances which we would find in the necessity on the part of the Eurupean powers to keep within bounds the too extensive development of that nation which
is now struggling in the horrors of civil war, (Hear, hear.) Honorable gentlemen do not desire Confederation; because there must be an outlay for its defence. But are those, who argue thus, logical ? "If two and two did not make more than four a moment ago, why would they make five now? If each province, standing in an isolated position, would be obliged to expend money to organize the defence of its territory, why would the combination of all these various outlays in Confederation amount to more than the total of these same expenses otherwise added up? Would this be the case because a single organization ought to be, necessarily, less expensive than six distinct commands? The honorable niember for Hochelaga has exaggerated the expenses of the Confederation, as he has everything else; as he exaggerated and perverted, the other day, the words of the Hou. President of the Cpuncil.

Mr: GEOFFRION-And besides this, the Maritime Provinces have to be paid to come into the Confederation.

Hon." Ma. CAUCHON-That question will naturally come up in its turn. . But it is not the less true that all the provinces come into the Confederation on an equal footing, as their debt is placed in equilibrium; and as, for the purposes of the union, the arrangement is strictly based one the total population of each of them. On a previous occasion, as I haye elsewhere quoted, the honorable member for Hochelaga stated that the Maritime Provinces did not choose our alliance, because our debt was too great:" Now he does not choose their alliance, beeause he is afraid we shall have to pay for them. Now that the debt is perfectly equal, in proportion to the total population, and the Conference has so equalized it in order to found Confederation on justice, the Atlantic Provinces consent to the union.

Hon. Mr. DORION-What provinces are those?

Hon. Mr: CAUCHON-rallade to Nèw Brunswick and Newfoundland, and I am convinced that the decision of thase two provinces will sufficiently influence Nova Scotia to cause her to resolve to come into the Confederation. The Nova Scotian newspapers, even those of thein which are most hostile to the scheme, acknowledge that that. province cannot-remain isolated; and accord. ingly sh? áw.its the result of the elections in New Brunswick before taking action . It the meantime the journals. in questiou are makiug incredible exertions to prevail upon

New Brunswick to refuse the great Confederation, because they wish for another and a smaller one, that of the Maritime Provinces alone. Another motive which will induce Nova Scotia to accept the scheme of the Quebec Conference, if New Brunswick should declare herself in favor of it, is that the terminus of the Intercblonial Railway would be fixed at St.. Johi instead of a at Halifax ; and what would become of Nova Scotia so isolated?' She would not consent toit; her writers and her statesmen positively assert it. For our part, we require an out:let upon the Atlantic' seaboard; and that we can only have by means of Confederation. (Hear, hear.) To those who cherish different ideas, I can conceive that this matter is not one of equal importance, for they wish to fix their terminus at another point on the Atlantic seaboard. (Hear, hear.) I feel that I have already spoken at length, and I have, yet some important points of the scheme to examine. I will not, then, enter into calculations of figures to prove the extravagance and absurdity of those of the hon. member for Hochelaga, preferring; moreover, to leave them in the more skilful. and powerful hands of the Han. Minister of Finance: I shall content myself with telling the hon. member for Hochelaga-and that will suffice for myself as well as fur the House and the coututry-that I prefer Confederation with its prospects of expense, to annexation to the United States with an actual debt of close upon three thousand millions, and with an annual tax of five hundred millions of dollars. "The 3tth paragraph of the 29 th clause of the scheme reads thus: "The establithment of a General Court of Appeal for the Federated Provinces." What is the object - what will be the oharacter of the tribunal? These two questions will naturally present thenselves to those who have given any attention to that part of the scheme which refers to the civil and criminal law, and the wirking of the judiciary. The whule of the clauses which refer to the latter are as cotaplete as the most ardent supporters of union could desire, tempered by the few exceptions-by means of which the provinces' have wished to shelter their local-institutions from attack. (Cheers.) To cotvinee the House of this, I need but read the fullowing:-

[^8]necessary or for the public advantage, in order to the due execution of the laws of Parliament.
32. All courts; judges 'and officers of the several provinces shall aid, assist and obey the General Government in the exercise of its rights and powers, and for such purposes shall be held to be courts, judges and officers of the General Government.
33. The General Government shall appoint and pay the judges of the Superior Courtis in each province, and of the County Ccurts in Upper Canada, and Parliament shall fix their salaries.
35. The judges of the courts of Lower Canada shatl' be selected from the Bar of Lower Canada.
37. The judges of the Superior Courts shall hold thei: ottices during good behaviour, and shall he remozable only on the address of both Houses of Parliament.
45 In regard to all sabjects over which jurisdiction belongs to both the General and Local Leinslatures, the laws of the General Parliament shall control and supersede those made by the local legislatare, and the latter shall be void so tar as they are repugnant to, or inconsistent with the former.
33. For each of the provinces there'shall be an executive officer, styled the lieutenant-governot, who shall be appointed by the Governor General' in Council, under the great seal of the Federated Provinces, during pleasure: 'such pleasure not to be exercised before the expiration of the first five years, except for caase: such cause to be communicnted in writing to the LieutenantLiovernor immediately after the exercise of the pleasure as afuresaid, und also by message to both Houses of Parliament, within the first week of the first session afterwards.
39. The lieutenant governor of each province shall be paid by the General Government:
50. Auy bill of the General Parliament may be reserved in the usual manner for Her Majesty's assent, and any bill of the local legislatures mas, nu like manner, be reserved for the consideration of the Governor General.
51. Any bill passed by the General Parliament shall be subject to disallowance by Her Majesty within two years, as in the case of bills passed by the legislatares of the said provinces hitherto, and, in like manuer, any bill passed by a local leytishature shall be subject to disallowance by the Guvernor Geutral within one year after the passag thereof.
The evident object of this organization is to reassure the Protestant mininority of Lowier Cauada against any apprehension for the future ; it is also perhaps in the interest of national unity, to prevent local parliaments and governinents from infringing the attributes of the Central Parliament. The nomination of judges, the veto, the reservation aụd even certain directions to be found in the project itself, tend to the same end, and must necessarily attain it. I see nothing
wrong in that, provided that this formidable engine in going out of its course does not crush the rights which we are bound to respect and maintain forever in their integrity. (Hear, hear.) I am not of the same opinion as the hon. member for Brome, who pretends to see in those clauses that the judges would be under two masters at the same time. If they could possibly be controlled at all, it would be by the Federal Government, which alone will appoint them, pay them, and have the power of dismissing them in certain cases. There is no anomaly here, because one thing follows another; all are linked together aind harmonize perfectly: If anything could possibly arise, it would be danger. However, so far as we can see, there will be no danger in the administration of justicethe question of veto, and reserve with regard to legislation, being a totally different thing; and suggesting considerations of a different nature. But here is the point to which I wish to drave the attention of this House. Among all the things. guaranteed to Lower Cakada in the Constitution, and in fact to all the provinces, we find their own civil laws. Lower Cánada has been so tenacions of its civil code, thatit is laid down in the project before us that the Federal Parliament shall not even be able to suggest legislation by which it may be affected, as it will have the right to do for the other provinces. The reason is obvious-the civil laws of the other provinces are nearly similar; they breathe the same spirit and the same pring ciples; they spring from the same source and the same ideas. But it is not so with regard to those of Lower Canada, Fith their origin from almost entirely Latin sources; and we hold to them as to a sacred legacy'; we love them because they suit our customs, and wo find under the protection for our property and our families. (Hear, hear.) The Conference has understood and respected our ideas on this point. However, if a Court of A ppeal should one day be placed over the judiciary tribunals of all the provinces, without excepting those of Lower Canada, the result would be that those same laws would be explained by men who would not understand them ${ }^{3}$ and who would, involuntarily perhaps, graft English jurispradence upon a French code of laws.(Hear, hear.) Such was the spectacle presented in Canada aifter the conquest; and no one, I an'sure, would wish to see a repetition of the scene. (Hear, hear.) We have, it
is true, Her Majesty's Privy Council ás a last resort; but we owe it to nceessity ; we have not asked for it ourselves. At any rate it is composed of ehosen men, all or nearly all of whom are well versed in Roman law-men who, when they have a doubt upon some point; avail the uselves of the counsets and advice of the most eminent jurists of France. Nor does the proposed Constitution speak of doing away with this tribunal, Which will dominate by its imperial character even over the Court : of Appeal which the Federal Government has the power of creating. Mere the Convention had national views; it foresaw evidently in the future the day of colonial emancipation. Nevertheless, whatever the intentions of the delegates, their project does not define the attributes of this Federal court; and as there is some apprehension on this point I would wish to put the following question to the Gorernment:-If this Court of Appeal be established, will it be a purely civil tribunal, or a constitutional one? Or will it be at the same time civil and con. stitutional ? If it be a cívil tribunal, will it have Jurisdiction over Lower Canada? (Hear, hear.)

Hon: Atty. " ien. C.IRTIER-The question put by ny hon. friend the member for Mnntiorency is one which it is uot easy for the Government to anzwer, imasrach as the power conferred by that article is only that of ereating a Court of Appeal at some future day, and the jurisdiction of that conrt will depeud of the causes which lead to its creation. Whe hon nember has very juṣtly remarked (hat it may becone necessary at a futire perived to constitute such a tribunal. At presept the several provinces which are to form part of the Conlederation have the sanie court cf fital appeal. As long a-we. keep up our eonnection with the Mother Country, we shall always have our court of fioal appeal in Her Majesty's Privy Council. But when the British Provinces on this continent are united by the bod of Confederation, we shall rare one uniform system, common to all, in regard to imports, bills of exchange and promissory notes, as. wéll as uùiversal jurispradeace. Accordingly, when we have lived some years, under the Federal rejigre, the urgent need of such a Conit of Appeal with jurisdiction ias such natters will be felt, and, if it is created, it will be fit that its jurisdiction should extend to civil causes which might arise in the several Confederate

Proviuces, because it will necessarily be composed of the most eminent judges in the different provinces, of the jurists whose reputation stands highest, of men, in short, profoundly skilled in the jurispradence of each of the provinces which they will respectively represent. Well, if this court is called upon, for instance, to give final judg: ment on a judgment rendered by a Lower Canada court, there will be among the judges on the bench men perfectly versed in the knowledge of the laws of that section of the Confederation, wh $\rho$ will be able to give the benefit of their lights to the other judges sitting with them. I must observe to my hon. friend the member for Montmorency, that he disparages the civil law of hower Canada in the estimate he makes of it ; but he need be under no uneasincss on that head:" He should not forget that if, at this day, the laws of Lower Canada are so remarkably well understood in Her Majesty's Prisy Council, it is because the code of equity, which is a subject of deep study and familiar knowledge among the members of the council, is based on Roman daw, as our own code is. "All the eminent judgos, , Whether in England, in the Maritime ProVinces or in Upper Canada, are protoundiy versed in those principles of equity", which are identical with those of our civil code. Now, as to mig own personal opiuion, respec.ing the creation of that tribunal, I think that it is important not to establish it until a certain number of years shall hare elapsed, from the establishment of Confederation, and to make it consist of juiges froug the several provinces ; for this court would have to give final judument in causes pronounced upon in the courts of all the sections: Neither can I tell what functions and powers might be assigned to it by the act establishing it Time alone cain tell us that ; but I do hold, and the spirit of the conference at Quebec indicated, that the appeal' to the judicial committite of Her Majesty's Privy Conncil must almays exist, even if the court in question is established.

Hon. Mr. EVANTUREL-I acknowlgdge the frankness which the Hon. Attornes General for Lower Canada has evinced in giving the explainations to the House which we have just heard; and I trust that the honorable minister will permit me to ask him one question. . Paragraph 32 gives the Federal Government the power of legislating on criminal law, except that of creating
courts of criminal jurisdiction, but including rules of procedure in criminal cases. If 1 am not mistaken, that paragraph signifies that the General Government may establish. judicial tribunals in the several Confederate Provinces. 1 should much like to be enlightened on thits head by the Hon. Attorney General for Lower Canada.

Hon. Mr. CARTIER-I am very glad that the houorable member for the County of Quebec has put this question; which I shall answer as frankly as that of the hon. mémber for Montmorenoy. My hou. friend will find, it he refers to the paragraph which he has cited; that it gives the Geveral Governinent simply the power of providing for the execution of the laws of the Federal Guvernment, not of those of the local governments.
Hon. Mr: CAUCHON-I have listened to the explanations of my hon. friend the Attorney General for Lower Canala, and I fiud them 'perfectly satisfgctory, as they regard criminal law; for that is the same or nearly the same in all the provinces. For my own part, I infinitely prefer the criminal law of England to that of ang other country. It atfords more protection to the party adcused, than, for instance the criminal code of Fratice does." The civil lavs of the latter, by the way, have my warm admiration, as have aloo the ir administrative talent and their aptness tor civilizing influences.. (Hear; hear.) If the Euglish criminal law gives the eriminal too great a chance of escaping, it at least sares society the stigma of condemaing the inoocent. The accused is tried for the single. aet for which he, is indieted, and is not questioned concerning his whole past life and conversation The laws of commerce aie nearly the same in all countries; and those which rule the trade of two continents way the said to be founded on an" ordinance of a king of Friance. Accordingly, there will: be no inecavenience in bringiug commercial causes, as wull as others, for adjadication before the Court of Appeals mentioned in the scheme of Confederation. I am convin. ced that if ever that tribonal comes into exsitence, it will be composed of the most euintat med in the several provinces, who will devole their whole energies to the ecanses broaght before then, but the majority of whow will have studied and practised a code different trom ours; although the laws of Upper Canada, for instance, bave a constant tendenoy to coincide with our civil code:

Blackstone, with his national common law which he aimed at establishing, being no longer the great authority which he was in former days, and England, like Germany; drawing rathey from the pure spring of Roman law, as the most pertectly rational code in existence. We have not, howwerer, yet come to this pisition of things in our provinces, and, up to the present hour, English. law consists rather of precedents and decisions of eminent' judges, like Lords Mansfied, Coke, and others; and as the scheme of a Constitution makes an exception in favor of our civil laws, it would be most prudent, in my opinino, to leave the decision of our causes to those judges who have studied and practised them. Nothing is as yet written in the Constitation concerning them, and nothing stands in the way of the desired exception: (Hear.) I am aware that it may be attended with some inconveniences and that in this behalf concessions may have been, perforee, sabmitted to in order to obtain others; but I think that on reflection it will be found best for all concerned to have the laws enforced rather by those who understand them than by those who do not. (Hear, hear.) I now come, Ir. Speaker, to the question of marriage and divorce. The word divorce has sounded strangely upon Catholic ears through the length and breadth of Lower Canada'; for the Catholic, whether he live in Kome, in London, Paris, New York, Halifax or Quebec, does not recognize any authority on earth with power to sanction or legalize divorce: Such is what the Catholie believes, whether he be the Sovercign Póntiff, ruling spiritually over $200,000,000$ souls, or the humblest or poorest of the faithful, with nuthing to shelter him from the tury of the elements but the thatched root of bis' cabiu. (Hear, hear.). That is what I believe, in conmon with all the: Catholics: of the world; but here, in this House, composed of Catholios and Protes-: taints; I feel that I need,-in order to be understood, to speak in another language, 'which' will. be understiod by' all, because it is based upoa principles anterior to Christianity and universally admitted." What is marriage, considered as a natural contract? It is the social formula ; it is, as I had occasion to write elsewhere, the natural mode of eransmitting property, which is the fundalidedtal base of society, and, to go farther, society itself in its constitution. (Hear,
hear.) If we cannot suppose a body without a form, so we cannot suppose society with: out its formula, and in destroying ity furmula "you destroy sodiety". That is the reason why the marringe tie shoutu be in dissoluble; iti is it which constitutes the family, and in breaking that tie you destroy the tamily, in breaking that tie you strike a nortal blow at society, be cause family ties are its only base, its ouly toundation. ths oly element of comporition ther.: It is from those furdamentay truthe that spriny the rights; duties aund whil hwo which prove their existenee and fit the apue time protect them. (Hiar \% I have heard i4 an ther place than iu this House men who. forgetting the natural law and the Jriniphes of society, berume affected ar the recital of the domestic miseries pringe ul their fellow beings, apd exea' huyke"the Divine word to justify them in grauting a divorce for canse of alualtery: Let us see if the language af the Saxiour of the world, whad tauyht here upon earth a social ductriue, by preserving the iuviolablity of domestec ties andsurrounding them with dutites thich rendered theur 'still more six.red,', justities such "an interpretation- 1 say unto you, that he who putteth away hi- wije, exeept for adultery and narrieth another, comimit reth aduliery: and he who marrecth her whis bath beei put amay also conamittech adul. tery." Are poc these words as cear as day, and do they not expres-ly forbid divurce, siuce they declare an adulterirthe mana whe shall marry the woman separated from hy husbatid. (Hearr; hear.) These words per: mit the sending away, the selparation of the body, but they eeppressly fyrbid ulvorecthat is, the rupture of family timy (Applause) I have said that those Divine words, had :a social object; in fact what other wbject eyuld they have but to preserve intact the rycial formula for the transmission of property; and if they surround that formula with a supernatural sanction, accompanied by a prospect of reward or punishment, it is' to protect it still more. It is for this reason that, in Catholicism, marriage, a a natural contract, is elevated to the dignity of a sacrament, but it was inviolable and indisoluble before that sanction. (Hear, hear.) Now, if we drup the considertion of these great philosuphical Chisistian ideas, we comé to the region of material facts, and we are forecibly led to distiaguish between force and right, between power "and daty.' The sovereign legislative authority,
as a superior power everywhere, in spite of right and duty, has ruiled with a high hand questions in the social order, among which may be found divorce, èverywhere, in ancient Kome, in France, in England, in the United States, and in Canada, has this authority acted, and the judiciary was bound to execute its commands (Hear, hearr!) This power is inhercent to Parliament; and is exercised without opposition. Our present Parliament pessessed that power; as did those of '74: and ' 91 , and sereral of us have had, at some time or ether, to give our vote on a bill of divorce. Catholics invariably voted against those bills, denying the right but unable to deny the power, of Parliament, thus reconciling their conscliences with their principles. (Hear, hear.) :This scheme of the Conference does not ask us to-day to proclaim a principle, but simply the transposition of the exercise of "a power which exists' in spite of us. 'Now, in weighing the advaiktages and inconveniences, I, for .my part, say-and I belieeve," in so speaking I express the general sentiment of Catholics-that, since the evil is a necessary one, and caniot be got rid of, I would rather see it where its consequences would be less, serious, because they would be more cramped in their developuent, and conse quently less denioralizing and less fatal in thêir tipfuence,. (Hear, hear.) Marriage presents itself to us here under another aspect -that is, marriage with regard to its civil effects. This project attributes the civil4aws and legisiation as to property to the local legislatures: Now, marriage, considered as a. civil contract, becomies necessarily a part of these laws, and, I night even say, it affects the eutire civill code, containing in its broadest sense 'all the márriuge' acits, all the qualities aidd conditions required to allow marriage to be contracted, all the formalities relative to its celchration, all its vallifying cuuses; all"ts ubliyations, its dissolution, the separation of the body, its cuubes and effects; in a word, all the ponsible evnsequences that can result from mistriage to the contracting parties, their childrea and their estates. (Hear, hear) If such bad been the intention of the delegates, we might as well say that the civillaws will not be oue of the attributes of our Local, Levislature, and that these words; "Property and civil rights," have been placed irouically in the fifteenth section of the forty-third clause of the soheine, But I was sure' befurehand that such could not be the cass, whien the Honorable Solicitor General for Lower Canada deelared the other day, in the
name of the Guvernment, that the word marriage, inserted in the project of Confederation, expresses the intention to give to the Federal Parliament the power to declare that marriages contracted in any one of the provinces, according to its laws, should be considered as valid in all the others.' Then am I to understand that that part of the Constitution relating to this question will be drafted in the sense expressed in the deciaration ot the Honorable Solicitor General, and will be restricted to the case mentioned?

Hon. Sot. Gen. LANGEVIN-I made, Mr. Splaker, the other day, in the name of the Government, the declaration now alluded to by the homorable member for Montuorency, relative to the question of marriage! The explanation then given by me exactly accords with that which was affired to it at the Quebec Conference. It is undoubted that the resolutions laid before this honorable House contain in all things only the princi: ples on which the bill or measure respecting Confederation will be based. 1 can assure the honorable member that the explanations I gare the other evening, relative to the question of marriage," are perfectly exact, and that the Imperial Act relating to it will be drawn up in accordance with the interpretation" $I$ pat apon it.

Hon. Ma DORION-I thought I nuderstood from some one, whom I had reison to consider well informed, that that article was intended to protect mixed marriages.

How Sol. Gen. LaNGEVIN-In order that I may be better understood by the how: member, I will read the writtea declaration which I communicated to the House the wther evening." This declaration read's as follows:

[^9]The hon nember for Hochelaga will please to remark that I have been careful in reading this declaration; and in order that no doubt may exist respecting it, I have given to the reporters the very text of the declara tion.

Hon: Ma. DORION-I may have been mistaten; but the question on which I wigh to be calightened by the Hon. Solicitor General fer Lower Canada is this: Will a Local Legialature have the right of declaring a mar-
riage between parties not professing the same religious belief invalid?

Hox Atty. Gen oGARTIER-Has not the Legislature of Canada now the powe of legislating on that matter, and yet has it ever thought of legislating in that way? (Hear, hear.)

Hov. Mr:CAUCHON-If I understand the explanation of the Hon. Solicitor Generral for Lower Canada correctly, it will be nothing but the application between the provinces" of public interiational law, namely, that a marriage lawfully contracted in one province should be equally binding in all the others. (IIear, heir.)

Hon. Mr DOLION-In that case, you hare no need of that clause.

Hon Tr CAUCHON-If the principle is just, I do not see what harm there can be in having it written in the Constitution, particularly as it is desired in the provinces, and we, for our part, are interested in knowing that marrizges contructed in Lower Canada are valid in all parts of the Confederation. That decharation is satisfactory and reassuring. Some of the spakers, imbued with de-mocratic-republican ideas, have gone so far as to deny oue of the most essential and fundamental: principles of the British Constitation -that ${ }^{\circ}$ is to s.ay, that the Parliament may change the Constitution without special appeals to the electoral body, and without recourse to popular convintions. " It is eviderst that they wish to lead us towards a social re public, eovernment and legislation in full force. The Romain armies in the days of the decaleace of the empire, made and unade enaperots; but it never occurred to them to make duss and administer "affairs of state This had to be reserved to our republicans, who are acgainst Gonfederation because they desire annexation to the United States, and who raise all kinds of obstacles in order to attain their end: (Hear, hear.) Here there are useless debates provuked in order to kill time; there, petitions corered with false singatures or names obtained under false pretences, and the formorn hope of democracy, Who in the streets threaten with riots and gibbets all who wish for the union of the provinces, and thereby, "in its time, constitutional monarchy and parliamentary government. (Hear; hear.) But for those who, like"nyself, move in mother circle of ideas, who have other aspirations, and who are unwilling to accept on any condition their share of a debt of three thousand millions, and of an annual burthen of five hundred mil-
lins of dnllars; for those the theory and practice of English constitutional law alone possess attractions. (Hear, hear.) These con-: rictions on my part are not of yesterday. When, in 1849 after a commercial crisis, which had everywhere caused discouragement, ruined merchants sighed for annexation. because they hoped to find in it a remedy for the ills and the fortune they had lost ; they supplicated Great Brit win to allow them to go over, arms and baggige to the Wayl ington Gorernment : to them became immediately allied the republicans by inclination and principle, amonr whom were the honorable niembers for Chateaugay and Hochelaya. (Hear, hear:). The prosperity which followed brought back the merchants to affection for British rule , but the nthers remained repablieans" and aunexationists. Thèir leaders are here before us. 'Their acts betray them. and were it permitted to as to hear them in their familiar counsels. I ain sure their words would also betray then. (Hear, hear.) 'The annexation movement had scarcely commenced in Montreal. when the twy similar clases of men beran to agitute in Quebec, and called an annesationist meeting, in the St Gearge's Fotel, now occupicd as the Exechtive Conncil Chamber. This meetinir was inauzurated under evil auspiece. It was presided over by a bankrupt merchant, It was evening and the meeting was held by gas-light." In oritor was chanting with steptorian lunge the praise - annesation and republicimam, from which we were to derive prosprity and happiness. Respectable., leading cetizens, indipuant at what they beheld. implored ne to speak, and by a spontaneous movenent I was borpe towards the platform. The anneratiouist orator, losing his balaned with the whek inorder to keep himself upright seized the gas burter above his head; but the trail support gave way. (Laughtre.) The flimes ascented in 'a threateninermenner towath the reiling, and the terrified hotel-keper inmediately ran to the cellar and put a stop $t$ the sutures of "llu-mination-and thus annexation wras yurached in utter darkness. : Cherers and continubus. laughter.). The repablican amueretionists, their hearts bursting with rage, in order to areege themselvos. proceeded to break my windows. This serurred nearly sixteen years ago, and time has only strengthened withu me the opirion whith gided wy action then. It is neither hatred mir prejudice which hat inspired pue siure 1 have been able to read and reflect. My. opinion is the result of matured conviction, It is, therefore, in the
parliamentary history of Great Britain, and not in that of American institutions, that I shall seek a rule of conduct to guide me under the circumstances: "In 1717 the British soil was invaded by the Pretender. The tories, who were not in power. but who wanted to rise to it precisely like the honorable members in opposition whom I sec before me, exclaim-' ed, like them, that the church and religion of ${ }^{6}$. the country were in danger.: Observe well the siuilarity, These tories wished to elevate a Catholic prince to the throne. (Laughter.) The Whigs; who held the Government, and who saw in the approaching election the cer"tainty of the downfall of the reigaing dynasty, determined to "prolong the existence of the Parliament for forr years nore ; without an appeal to the people. Their adyersaries exelaimed, as do ours to-day, about violation of the Constitution, and accused then of evading by violent ineans; an appeal to the people, to maintain themselves in power.

Mr.GEOFFRION -In proportion to their numbers, there are more Protestants than Catholics in favor of Confederation:

Hon Mr CAUCHON - In the first place. there are a great many more Protestants in the House than Catholico-Cpper Canada being entirely Protestant with the exieption of tivo votes, and the Opposition of huwer Canada pronouncing themselves, ats a party. against Confederation, it is not to be wondered at that there should be proportionably more Protestants than Catholics in favor of Cons: federation. - (Hear, hear, from the Opposition benches.). And this leads me to say that Catholic iústitutions have been much better maintained by Protestant votes than by certain Catholic votes in the Legislature. If Catholicisin has bean insutted, the insult has come frum the Opposition aewspapers.' (Hear.)

Ma: GEOFFRION-The Gilobe, the aryan of the Hunorable the President of the Conncil!

Hos Mr. CaUCHON- Yes, the Gilube -his made attacky on Catholie institutions and the Catholie clergy-it was wrong, there is no doubt, and so was its proprietor: But at that time, and more particularly whea the Honorable the President of the Council apcured Catholisism of demoralizing society. who was it who ruplied on the theor of this House, at great length, and it believe victorisusly, th disproof of that assertion? (Senea tion.) I am then justified in saying that the Honorable the President of the Council was, wroug in speaking and writing as he did. He was unjust, but he was a Protestant, and he adhered to hir opinions. "What, however, has
he written in comparison with what has been writton by certain aewspapers of the Catholic opposition, among which the Avenir takes the highest place? They have ransacked the history of the world from the beginning of the Christian' era in search of the calumnies of past ages. with the view of overwhelming, if it-were possible, our bishops and priests. They have even gone so far as to east their venom upon the august Pontiff who now rules over the Catholic Chirch; and what has not been done by the Institut Criurdien of Montreal, which is patronized by the leaders of the Opposition? (Cheers)

Hovi Mr CARTIER-And the Avenir, which asserted that the Pope ought to be a "schoolmaster.

Hon Ma. CACCHON-Ah! we now well boow those who preteud to be the defenders "of Catholicism, those former editors of the At nir," we know' what has been done by the -Aenir, and the Puy"s also, in certain circiamstances. (Hear hear.) But here is what we Gind in a creatt: constitutional authority, the salue of which honorable, gentlemen opposite will prubably uot contest- Hallamis Mistary at Englenel":-
tpon the prevalent disafertion and the general changes of the establesped goveroment. was tounded that "measure so frequently arraiosped in fater. thmes, the substutuqa of septermal for trieinial parkaments. 'The Minaistry deemed it too perilous to their master, certanuly tir themiselves, to entcounter a reaeral election on $171^{\circ} \%$ but the arguments adduced for the atteration, as if it was patant to to permanerit, wefe lrawn from its per: manent expedency:- Nothing, can be more exstavarant than what is sume umes "confidenty prebended by the tyarrant, that the legisliture uxceeded is riphts by this enactuent "or if that eanaot legaty be adranced, that it at least vio. lated the furt of the penple and broke in upon the ancient Conathation. The faw far triennial "parliaments was of lithe mere that twenty years" continuance. It was an experiment which, as was argued, had proved unsuccessfol; it way subjecti, like every other law, to be repealed enturety; or to be modatied at discretion. As a thestion of constitutional exprediency, the septenmal hill was dubblese open ai the me to one serious ubjection, Everyone admitted that a parhament subsisting indefintely dariay a king's liti, but exposid at all times to be dissolved at his pleasure, would become lar too little dependeat on the people, and tar too much sa on the Crown $\sim$ But if the period of its contiagance should this be extended from three to seven years, the natural course of encroachment of those in power, or some momentous circumastance like the present might leal to fresh prolongations, and gradually to an entire repeal of what had been thought so important a safeguard of iti
purity.'. Time has happily put an end to apprehensions, which ale not on that account to be reckoned unreasonable.
Against those who pretended that the Parliament of England eould not effect. without an appeal to the people, a legislative union "with Ireland, Willian Pitt that other great constitutional authority maintained that. Parliament had the right to alter even the succession to the Throne, to incorporate with itself another legislature, to deprixe of the franchise those who elected it, and to create for itself other electors. To be more exact I will quote from a speech suade by the illustrious Sir Robert Peel, on the 97 th March, 1846, on the Corn Law question: You will find there the opinion of Pitt, Fox and Peel himself, the most weighty English constitutional authority of this century. It is found in Haxsarus Purliamentery Debutes, third series, vol: 85, payes 224. 220 and 226, Sir Robert Peel said.-

But my honorable freend says he did nut object to it is impeding the formation of a pretection government, but as preventiag a disselution: and iny houorable friend and others have blamed ine for not adsising a dissibution of Parliament. In my opintion, it would haye been utterty fuconsist ent with the duty of a Mmenster to advise a dissoIution of l'arliament under the particular circum: stances in which this question 10 the Corp Law was placed.' Why shiould it be-sin,utierly impos. sible fir this Parliament to deal/with the present proposition? After its election in: $1 \times 1$, this Partiament passed the existing Corn Law, which diminished protection ; this Tarliament passed the zarif destroyniz altozether the system of prohibition with refpect to fowd ; this Parliament passed the Cauiada Corn Bill; why shuuld it exceed the functions of this Parinament to enter. tain the present propisition? But apon much higher proued 1 would nut consent to a dissolition: $\because$ That, indeed, 1 think would have been a "dangerous precedent" for a Minster to adint that the existing', Le gislature was incoupetent to. the entertainment of any question that is a precedent which 1 would not establish. Whatever may have been the circumstances that may have taken place at an election, I never would sunction the view that any House of Commons is incou' petent to entertain a mensure that is necessary for the well.being of the "rommunty. If you were to admit that duetrine, you would shake the foundations on which many of the best laws are placed. 气Why, that ductrine was propounded at the titue of the union between England and Ireland, as it had been previously at the time of the union between Eugland and Scotland: It; was maintained in Ireland very vehemently, but it was not maintained in this country by Mr: Fux. It was slightly adverted to by Mr. Sazridas at the time when the message with regard to the union
was delizered. Parliåment had been olected without the slightest $\because$ reason to bolieve it would resolve that its functions were to be fused and mixed with tbose of another Legislatare, namely, the Irish Parliament; and Mr. Saeridis slightly hinted it as an objection to the competency of Parliament. Mr. Yitr met that objection at the outset in the following manner: Mr. Pirt said:- "The first objection is what 1 heard alluded to by the honorable gentleman opposite to me, when His Mojesty's message was brought down, namely, that the Parliament of Ireland is incompetent to entertain and discuss the question or rather, to act upon the measure proposet without having previously obtained the consent uf the people of Ireland, their constituents. This point, sir, is of so much importance that I think I ought not to suffer the opportunity to piss without illustrationg more folly what I mean. "If this principle of the incompetency of Parliament to the decision of the measure be admitted, or if it $b 6$ contended that Parlhament bus no legitimate author: ity to discuss and decide upou th, you will be driven to the necessity of recognizing a principle the most dangerous that ever was adopted in any civilized state, I mean the principlo that Parlia-
 and of great importance, riithout appealing to the constituent and delegatinf authority fur direction. If that dictrine be true, look to what an extent it will carry jou. . If' such an' argument cuuld be set up and manintained, you acted withuut any logitimate authority whei you created the representation of the Prinepality of Wales or of sither of the curunties patatine of England. Every law that Parlisment ever made, without that appeal, either as to its own frame and constutuion, as is the qualuicatión of the electurs or the elected, as to the great and fundamental pount of the succesaion to the Cruwn, was a breach of treaty and au aet of usurpation.", Then, Mr, MIrt' asked, if they tuyned to -Iretand be seli, what irould they say to the Protestat Pi, hime 1 . that destruyed the excluave Prutestant framehise, and adunted the Roman Catholics to wie without any fresh appeal ? Mr' Pirt went on:-
"What must be: said by those whe have at any timie beeri friends to any plaa of parliamentary refirm, and particulanly sueth is has been most recemtly brousht forward, ether in Great Britanh or Ireland? Whatever anay dave been thought of the prop iety of the measure. I uever beard uny doubt of the competeacy of Parhament so consider and diseuss- it. Yet 1 defy any man to maintaia the principle of those plans without contendug that, as a tnember of Parliament, he possesses a right to concur in disfranchusug those who seat him to Parlianemt, and to select others, by whom he was not efected, is their stead. I am sure that no sulticient distiaction, in-point of principle, can be'successfully maintained for a single m.menent'; rior should I deem it neceasary. to dwoll on this point in the mànner that 1 do, were 1 not courvinced that it is connected ini part Fith oll those false and dangerous notions on the
subject of Government which bave lately become too prevalent in the world." Mr. Pitr contended, therefore, that Parliament had a right to alter the succession to the Throne, to incorporate with itself another legislature, tó disfranchise its con. sti:uents, or associate others with them. Why, is it possible for a Minister now to advise the Crowt to dissolve Parliament on the ground that it is incompetent to entertan the question what this country sball do with the Coris Law? There. could not be a more dangerous example, a more purely democratic precedent, if 1 may so sury: than that this Parliament should be dissolved, on ground of its incompetency to decide apy ques tion of this nature. I am open th the charge; therefore, if it be one, that I did adrise Her Majesty to permit this measure to be brought for, ward in the presen: Parliament.

The principle which I hold is so firmly established, that at the time of the fligh $t$ of James II. in 1688, the English Parliament, that is to say two braaches of it on'y declared the succession vacant and gave the Throne to a new dyasty.

How. Mr. DORION-Hear! heir!
Hon Mr: CAUCHON -I wish to be well understood. I do not cite this example as an authcrity, because the Parliament was incomplete without its third legislative branch, but only for the purpose of shewing to what length the Parliament of Great Britain has carried the exercise of its great prerogative. During the illaess of George IIl., as it had been impossible to foresee that such misfortune would bappen, and as withou the action of the Sovereign, neither the administration of the government, which is conducted in the name of the king, nor legsisation, which is only effectual after receiving the assent of the three branches of the legislature; were possible; under these unforeseen circumstances, the two. Houses, at the suggestion of the Ministers created a meechanism to act during the illness of the king, and all that was done under its operation became law, and was regarded as such bl the whole British nation and all. those charged with the execution of the laws of Parliament., 'But seting aside these ertraordinary circumstancef, which demanded extraordinary remedies, we assert that Parliamegt in its integrity has power to alter the Constitution" and even the succession to the Throue As to as, we do'not propose to go so far'; we simply ass the Imperial Parliament to give us a new Constitution, and even that Parliament will only with our consent make use of that power which it has a right to oxercise without our comsent. (Hear, hear.) Let it be ob-
served, Mr. Speaker, that I am only considering not the question of power' and right ; the question of what is fit and expedient is quite another matter. We might do well or we might do ill by taking this course, but as we act in our capacity of representatives of the people, it is for us to decide whether it is expedient or advantageous that an appeal should be had to the people under the circumstances. (Hear, hear.) A's regards the sentiments of Great Britain in relation to us, the events which have taken place since the union show that they are altogether chànged, $\operatorname{In} 1840$ we had a Constitution imposed upon us against our will, and by so doing Great Britain was guilty of injustice towards us. Now they a wait ourdecision before they act.. In past days England looked upon the colonies as her own special markets: and fortified them by prohibitory duties against foreign trade. Now they are open to the whule world. Formerly we were under a despotic and olligarchical government, and -since 1841 we hare had that British Parliamentary Gorerument which the great economist TurGOT, more than sixty years before, had adrised England to extend to her colonies. (Hear hear.) Thus the Parliament of Great Britain, which had just proclaimed the anion with Ireland, incorporated into its legislature the representation of the lattion and constituted itself, by ifo own authority, the first Parliament of the United Kingdom of Great Britain,: without recourse, to a dissolution and new elections. At the meeting of the Houses they proceeded to the election of a new Speaker for the Commons, precisely as after a general election, and all the other formalities were observed which, according to oustom, accompanied the opening of new parlianients. You will find those details in the Purliamentary Historiy, vol. 35, page 857. Here is another authority which the republican-antiexation adversaries of Confederation will hardly care to doubt. I find it in pages $164 ; 165$, and 166 of Sledawick on Statutory and Constitutiounal Láw :-
Nor are these merely speculative or abstract questions. We, shall find them presenting themselved in a larje class of cases which I am about tuexmmine. The diticulty, generatly, seemie to - have arisen from a want of accurate nutions as to the boundary line which, under cur system, divides the legislative und judiceal puwers. I' now tarn to a mure detailed consideration of the cases in "thus countey," where these questions have been cunsidered and which, so far as they go, toad to gise a practical detinition to the term law, and to define the boundarios which soparato the legiala-
tive from the judicial power. And first of canses -Where the legislature has soughit to divest itself of real powers. Efforts have been made, in several cases, by the state legislatares to relieve themselves of the responsibility of their functions, by submitting statutes to the will of the people, in their primary capacity. But these proceediugs have been held; and very rightly, to be entirely unconstitutional and invalid. The duties of legislation are not to be exercised by the people at large The majority governs, but only in the prescribed form ; the introduction of practices of this kind would remove all-checks on liasty and improvident legislation, and greatly dininish the beuefits of representative goveriment: 'So' where an act to establish free sctools was, by its terms, directed to be submitted to the electo s of the state, to become ai law only in case a majority of the votes were given in its favor, it' was held, "in New' York, that the whole proceeding was entirely void. The Legislature, "said the Court of Appeals, hate no power to make such submission, nor had the people the power to bind each other by acting upoin it. They voluntarily: surrendered that power when they adopted the constitution. The goverament of this state is demceratic ; but it is a representative democracy, and in passing general laws, the people act only through their representatives in the Le gislature. And in Pendisylvania, in the case of an excise statute, the satine stern and salutary dactrine has been applied. In some of the more recent state constututions this rule has been made a part of the fundamental law. So in Indiana, the pinciple is now framed into a coustitutional provison which vesis the legislative authority in a Senate and House of Repreventatives, and declares that oo act "shall be passed," the taking effect of which -shall be made to depend upon aniy authorits except as provided in the Constitation." and under these provisions th has been held that so much of an act as relates to its submission to the popular rote, was null and void.

Hos Me DORION-In England there are seven or eight acts of Parliament which were submitted to the popular vote betore becoming law.

Hon Mr. CaUCHON-In England it is admitted that Parliament may do apything and even change the sexes if necessary, aocording to the doctriue of the honorable member for Brome. (Laughter.) The honor able member for Hochelaga is an admirer" of written constitutions.; I am citing authorities to suit him, and which it is quite ianpossible for him to reject. (Hear, heur.) All these authorities establish, by incontestable evidence, the power of Parliament in regard to eveny question that may coue before it. There only remains now the question of convenience and expediency, and that question can only be considered by Parliament: In 1717, 1800,
and 1846 , the British Parliament decided it without appealing to the people, In 1832 it decided the question after an appeal to the poople, acting in all those circumstances under the oonstitutional responsibility of its trust. That is what we shall do in the present difficult conjuncture, awaiting in the approaching elections the approval or condemnation of our initiative. But let the opponents, of the scheme be well convinced that we understand; quite as well is themselves, the eatire inportance of the vote which we aire geing to give.". In closiary Mr. Speaker, I may be allowed to siy to the House, that in a dobate of such a solemp character, and when such sreat destinies ass regards the future of the whole of British North America are at stake within these walls, let us have' the courage to rise superior to passions, hatreds, personal enuities, and a miserable spirit of party, in order to allow our minds to soar more freely in the larger sphere of generous sentiments, and of great and noble national aspirations:- "We possess all that we wantall the uecessary clements of greitness and prosperity to found an empire in America. Let us boldly set to work, sheltered by the this and profected by the powerful xayis of the Eupire which leads us on to undertake the task. (Prolonged apphuse.)

Hove Mr, DUllo N-Mr. Speaker, the honorable member for Montmorener, who has - Just sat down, having given it as his opiniou that all those who are cpposed to Confederativn ire apinexationists and intidels, I must. congratulate him upon having at hast opened his eyes mid eseaped the danger of beling drawn into, the vortex of the American Cuion, and perhaps intó something worso- (kaughter) -as but a short time ago he was in the bad company of those who; are opposed to Confedérationi. He has even written a whole volume in opposition to the union of the British North American Provivices. (Hear, hear.) I suppose that at that time be did not louk upon himself as an annexationist, and still less as an intidel, for the simple reason that he combatted with all the power at his coumand, uot only Coafederation, but alio union of any kiud with the British American Provinces, (Hear, hear.) In that book," which I have just referred to; and which was written at the end of 185 S , the honorable nember, after having described the different sys"tems under which the union mitght be projected; saye.-"We do not desire it, becsuse we do not want unipn in any form, ianasmuch as the same object will always be attained, no
matter under what form the union may be es tablished" That object, according to the hon. member, was the depriving Lower Canada of the small infurence which she exercises on the legislation of the exiating union. It is true that the honorable gentleman has written another book lately. According to that book he no longer sees any other danger for Lower Canada than that of annesation, and invites evers: one to turn round as the thas done and to toll. low him with the view of avoiding these dangers. (Hear, hear, aud laughter.): Once more 1 congratulate him that he is now out of danger and I will endeavor to follow hia With his two books in this hand. As it is too late to-night, however, I will do it at the next sitting, and for that purpane I move that the debate be now adjourned.

Hon. Mi CAUUVON - The honorable member: for Hochelaga ntutes to the two pimphlets which I have written, one in 1505 and the other in 1e65; on the shby et of the Confederation of the provinees. The differ: ence between the honurable munuber and the is simply this, that I do not daty what I have written, whilst in order that he may erjoy qreater freedom of discussion; he his thoupht proper to deny his actious in the past. (Hear, hear.) There is another contradiction which it is of imporiance to remark. After having asserted, up to 1361, that there was duger for Luwer Canada in not granting to Upper Canada represcatation based upon population, or its subisutute, the Confederation of the two Canadas, and that the danger was so ruenacing that it was more prudent to give way than to allow it to be forcibly taken by her-to-day he comes down and maintans that the forizoun is quite serette, that there is ": no necessity for constitutional ehauges: Does he then so earily forget the flays of 1853, '59, '60 and:61? (Hear, hear.)' For my part, Mr. Speatien, I thiak we shouh be acting with more dignity, and would reader nuore service tio the esuntry, if we devoted ourselves exclusiveiy to the consideria. tion of the question, setting aside those accusations of coatradiction from which no our is ever oxempt. (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Ma. DORION noved the adjournment of the debate to the sitting to-mutrow uight at half past sevea.

Hon Attr. Gev. CAKTlER moved in Gmendment that it be adjourned till half.past three to-morrow, to be then the tirst order of the day after routine business.

After some discussion, the amendment was carried, and the Hease adjouraed.

## Friday, March 3, 1865.

Mr. PERRAULT-Mr. Speaker, it is not without a degree of hesitation easy to be/understood that I venture to give my redisons for my rote on the question of the Codfederation of the Provinces of British North America: I hesitate, because I' am conscious how much I fall short in respect of solid information and political experience to enable me to form a healthy and reliable judgment of the various reasons to be alleged on botb sides of that vast question, the decision of which is pregnant with such serious consequences to the future welfare of the couptry. A further cause of my hesitation, Mr. Spiaker, is that I see on the Ministerial benches meu grown old in political warfare-men who for many years have besn the leaders and guides of the majorities in the two Canadas-supporting the scheme now submitted to us, and assuring us that it is the only reinedy for all the dificulties of our present position. Still another canse of my hesitation is that Inam aware of the great severity with which" the Ministerial prëss gisits all the adversaries of the plan of Coufederation, and of the small measure of justice which it metes out in estimating the motives of those who oppose this constitutional schene, howeyer upright their oharacters or hovest the motives which actuate them. But I should consider myself wanting in my duty as a member if, swayed by these misgivings, $I$ did nut state my motives in this House for my opposition to the project of Confederation. Ou so importańt a question it is a duty to my constituents, it is a duty whioh I owe to myself that I should justify the responsibility which I take upon inyself in resisting a measure whieh is so strongly supported in this House, and I should think I failed in my duty and was unworthy of the seat which I fill in it, if I did not add force to my opposition by citing the history' of the past; by pourtraying the prosperity of the present; and by pointingout the dangers to be feared in the tuture Which is preparing for us. "I have been long studying the general question of a Con:featration, ard I am of opinion that the Provinces of British North America are destined to torm, at some futare time which - may be more or less remote, a vast Confederation; in which the two races of French and Enghsh origin will be seen struggling in the: career of progress for the common
prosperity of both; and for the better convenience of studying the question, I visited the Lower Prurinees in 1863,by way of the Gulf, and in 1864 by the Bay of Fundy. I am bound to say that I found the people everywhere in casy circumstances, and intelligent, and doing honor to that part of the courtry... I. was then enabled to appreciate the adrantages and the inconveniences attending on the decision of the question of Confederation generally. On niy return from my last journey, which I made in the month of August, 1864 , in company with a certain "u uber of the members" of both Houses, it was said by the press that I had in séreral companies declared myself favorable tu the plan of a Confederation of all the provinces. At that time the Conference at Charlottetorn' had not taken place, and pablic opiaion hal already busied itself with classifyiug the members of this House as favorers or opponents of Confederation. I had alrealy at that time, publicly expressed -my opinion on the question through the piress, in order that I might briag it under the notice of my constituents, and I must declare that the opinion which 1 then ex: pressed enineides with the line of conduct to which I still adheri, and that I have not tound it pecessary to alter ny position in any one point from what it then was." In order that I may show this in the clearest matuer, I shall read what I wrote in the mouth of Alugust last, as perfectly explanatory ut what I always ihought of the scheme of confederiting the Provices of British North America. Here is what I wrote :-
This question of serious import, cn which the ininds of all our political world are so busy, in the : present e. isis, is so dificult of solution, that 'it would be an act of pre umption in mé $t$, attetmpt even to disciuss it, whijle our public men of thir highest mark are still doubtrul whether to faver it ir not. As the Mineroe however, in its last numbery clainis me as oue of the new converts to the reat scheme of Confederation, I should think myself wanting to my duty and my convictionsit it tuiled to let the world know my im:ressiuns of the present position, as I understand if. Those who conside the inexhanstible resources of the Provinces of British North America bave no doubt that we possess all the elements of a great power. Ini, tertitory we have a tenth part of the habitable globe, capable of sufpiorting a'population of $100,000,000$ of persons: Bounded on the east by the Atlantic, on the west by the Pacific, our territory is further a-restable hy the navigation of the internal seas, which bound it on the south. Oar, rivers complete the incomparable net wort of communica-
tion by water, and, like vinifying artories, bear on their bosom to the ocean and the markets of the world the heary produce of the western plains, the lofty pines of our forests our ofes of sold and copper, our turs; collected in our humting rrounds, and the produce of our fishenies in the rrult." In this vast field of productiveuests, where "all' the materrals' of immense 'wealth exist, we need a moving power aud the inexbaistible coal tields of Nora scotia are at ha hd tu tumish it. Britist North America,' thelefore, Sovins in the future with gigantic propertents, and it depeads only on ourselves to deeide whether the Preach element shall have a large shate of the power which is to grow up withm its linits. Wuth
 have gained in a strusyle of a huiderd years. The past is a warianty ef suceess, th the future Yet must we not burry matters, nor vierrus the aatural progreso of cents. © White we are sull too fer to take the ufinsive." our policy shauld be one of resistance. Accondmaly, betore pheding. myself to the support of Contederation which is a total change of the basis ot our present Constitu: tion, I would be perfectly sure that we shall nui lose an inch of ground More that this I wohd. permit no shauge to be made in cur ir ieseat coan
 larger measure of prisperity for out country. - mure powerful protection for cur institutons and the thsolute inviolability of our rights. Fot I have not deviated in the simallest diarref foom the terns, of uy address to the olectirs of hicheifen, When T had he buqur tu sulicit theremore as their repnesentative in the legishatise dosembly: In
 cunct som whatever to liner Sanda. Accurd. myfy if it stiould ape ear that the nidnene of Gonfederatho, which is to be lad hetore the Pro-

 enjuy uader the preseitectormtution, 1 should as a thin of wurse, be in fagor of Cuntederation: But it it shoutd be otherwise: if a herweser small at dxyee, Cunfederation shound apmem to bee a concessim to Upper Camada, to the dotiment ot our anstitutious, our language or our lays, $b$ sinall to "the utmost extent of my power appos' any chauge whatever in the prese at C motutation. of course I am not one of those who weuld bound our joliteal horizon and place linits tupar fieatness es at people; on the contrary, nuthing would render mee happier than the creatun of as wast political organization; spread over an inmerese territury. The heat-burnings butwet localtijes and individuals would thencetorwa. at atase and the vut from mere insignticance, as coumpored with the great jnterests in hich would be coutudad to the watchful guardianship of our statesmen, and become the subject of their detineratiois in the councils of the nation. Ther' the laudable' whbi. tion uf achieving a great naine ing great comery would produce is race of great men, of whom in" might be justly proud. Bux if this glorivus futare is to be purchased only at the price of our absurp. tion, of our languages and all that is idear to
us as Frenchnen, I for one could not hesitate: betwen what we, mas hope for while still remaining what ive are, ved the bastardizing of oyr race paid as the price of adrantages to come. To sum up : Il, therefore, I dectare for the Consthtution. such as it is; which, io tar, has yielded us a greater smount of advantage thatiall the proposed changes would 5 - and suich. $I$ venture to sas, is the opinion of the majority of vur Legrislative Assi mbly. But if the projected scheme necures to us in the econyention all the privileges, which the French Canadians mow enjen in the prent Parliament; and if, in the whole and in every parts it secures to us greater arantages than those which are guaranteed to us by the Cunstitution, I shall profer Cunfederation to all ether changes.
T am bound tó deelare that this way of looking at the question, in the morith of August last, han underigone no change in my mind; since: theard the explanatiotis given by the members of the Administration. The skitl which they have evineed certainly does themefrat hovior but weither the argumento of Muipters, nir theoe of the members of the Howe who support the secheme, have convinced tue; and I rely ou being able to show in my remarky what are the grounds of as opponitimo, and to justity; aceording totiny way ofluoh ing at it, the respuncibility which I undertake in opposing ai proje of which has fuadsurh porfrial supporters in this House. I trust I shall be able to show firit, the snexpediedey of ${ }^{*}$ it constitutional change ; second. the hostule nbject of Confederation; third, the disastrous consequences of the aduption of the project pr confederation. The inexpediency of a vonstitutional chage muz be perfectly evident to any one who couniders for a moment che present prosperity. of Tauada, and who takes ue trouble to exatuine the progress made by linte: Camada sine loto. The Hop Aleorney Geueral bant says that io the union has dope its wurk," ". But is that quite certan? When we cumpare the part wit the present, have we mot reason to be proud of un growth since $6 \mathbf{t} 40$, and of t? fart that within the past twenty-five years; our progress; both nuecial and matirial. has kupt pace writh that of the tirst nations in the world? : Dorng the past tweuty five yours we have prugresed politically in a manoer anprecedented in columial histury y and Cauada has furnished a magnitient instance of the boed revilt of resporisible goveribment in an finglith colouy notwhet adiay diversity of races: and religions. In ispu, we had juxt terminated ar plorious struggle, during whieh, unfortunately, many lives bad been lost-a
struggle undertaken in order to secure responsible government, which had, up to that time, been refused, and which was then accorded us' as the reward of the straggle. At that period Lower Canada was united as one mani ; she had forwarded to England petitions, bearing 60,000 signatures, asking for responisible government. Wo then had in our ranks men who did not shrink from the struggle, men. accustomed to resist oppression, meñ who had grown up in the midst of a strife with an arrogant minority; Which sought to overrule the majajority ; and these" were the great men" who secured the triumph of ur nationality, and upheld the rights of Lower Canada, by securing respon'sible gorernment at the saune time that the anion was foreed apon us. Let us now see the result of thèr labors. $\backslash$ Is it true that we have: progressied both socially and suaterially sipee that period? . Lny one who reffectis ou what dinada was in 1840, and what it is in 1565, candot but almit that wr. have propressed in a degree alluust uupre, cedented in the history" of the prosperity or nations; that we have immensely extende i our territory, by elearing away'the torest; that our fupulation has increased in a wonderful wanner. that that poy ulition is perspersus and contented, and that we have promesed materially and smifally its a maner haretofree dinprecedented under the eulorial system.'. Lu the sweillorder, let us. examine, first, sar legislation avid system in muncipal matters, Can a more perfett Gystem be fuatid anywhere? ? Haw not every ficalitg wit the powers necessary tire effeeting alf improvements of real necessity? It is Wiuse the unim that we have portected this Gremi, and that we hame endowed our rural fistriets, with the man of effections all improvement they maydesire, and partieularly as recards roal matects and the making of nes reads, in cieder to faceititate the trampiort of farm produce to mirbet (Hear, hear) But I need unt dwell ou the progres we thaye made and th. reform, we have carried cut, ifs recarts tegishation:: That which had chietly esntributed, from the first estab:lishment it Dagitivh rule, to arrest our progeay in this respeet. fras the Legislative Councit of the thr uer legistature, and thai which existed from the univn up to 1 sints. Since that period have we not obtained an elective Legislative Council, and must not our greatest reforms be considored the consequence? With the union' and responsible goverument, did we not also secure the right.
of being represented by French-Canadian Fellow-cuuntrymen in the Executive Conncil? And since then have we rot enjoged all thrg advantage of a system of government under which the people can. not only expréss their wants, but enforce their wishes? These are reforms of the highest importance, but we have chtained yet mone. When, in. isto, the union of the Canadas took place, landed property ia Lower Canada was subjected to the feudal system, which had been introduced with all its features' derogatory to the dignity of man, with all its charges. upon propertys and all its rexations for the censitutire. Under that system no property whatever could change hands without being submitted to a heary eharge in the form of lonks. et enates for the benefit of the seignior, and to reres at rentes which coonsiderably reduect its value. With the political rights conterred n us by the unfion, the beigniorial system of necessity disappeared, giviag as property in freehold, the same as io the neighbiating States and in all" civilized mations. It is also since the muin that we have copmoldatert our laws; thit we bave ercatod a system of public instruction which iupharte the bessimgs of education tu the mist remote parts' if the province. At the present moment we have a sehool
 the intelligent: however. por they uay be, rimathant whont charge, acyuire :a education. Sus imeh villuce each equcession hiss itw echowl: and the child of the backwhum under dwerlitiy in the midgr of the forest,
 instraction sulibervit to mable him to enter
 his talente his, tudastry ant his energy fit him fir ghy ying a part it politices, in the serienies, in the arty or in the ratiky of the clersy in his country", It is 'a remarkble fact, Vre spakea, and whe which I deem it right to wenthon, that the majoricy of the nivisule wen whin have attined seats win the judicat beimed, 品 the Minstry and wen in the Episeqpal charir came forth feom our humber rountry homes, and qualified themtelyes in our thecatimal invticutions; where instruetion is alfirde it all butgratuitously, by diat of talent, peryererance, atindy zad induntry. It was the pressure of wint in the family homestead that in many cases cr:ated in this breasty of our tuost emineat public men, the Cager desire of attaining a high position by means of study and libor. Sinco. the union oar system and weans of public
instruction have made immense progress. Before the union we had no Catholic university in the country. foumg men intending to "enter the liberal professions" were compelled, instead of tollowing a regular conrse, to content themselves with what they could acquire in the office of otheir patrous: who were not in all cases competent for the task they uidertook; or else to go abroad at great expense for many yurs, in order to obtain in Eugland or Eratice a certificate of qualification: To day we have in Lowerand in Upper Canada universities rivalling European universities of the satue cla-w and we have also a' body of young students, who. fifteen or twenty years he ce, will wive proof of the excellence of uur university system, and of the high curriculum of studies these institutions have now remdered universal. Now, in face of the degree of progetey F hate: just referred to, in the gociai order, com it be truly said that the union has run, its day, when all these marvels are its creation? When we are stronger ani better educated than we were twenty years ano? when we bave nev politieal rinhts " when we have a free right to the soit, a did whe have oreated a system of puthe ingtuction su b as we now enjoy, ean it be said hatathe urion has dune its work, and that it mut be brokena
 pared to sapport that asmertim. The union has. been for us a preat ureat of prigetes, since it has enabled us to secure allthese results. irt the suetal order The Hun dturny gieneral East has told us that Confederation will procure u materal advantages sall greater. and that that is all we want: I 1 tyy, Mr Speaker, that matorial ingere to turt the sole ambition of the French t anatian popa: lation. We attach a far hither mpartauce to the preservation of gur uwa beqtitutions. But even as regards material intereds, apart. from the advantages, in "the" suchal order, derived from the uyn, wid have thll a vast field betore us as regard, ife proighede we hare made since 1840. In order to see what the union has dupe in this repoct, it is sufficient to look at our syst ua of ralloiads, and above all, at the grat ciand trunk line from Saras io Rivere du Loup, which has increased our comurerce tenfohi, op ned var dense forests ti colunization, and miltuph ed our respurces to an incalculable extent ; it is sufficient to look at our ports of Montreal and Quebec during the season of navigation, filled with vast forests of shipping, to see our trams-atlantic steamers beariug off veekly
the products of our country to the most distant European markets, in exchange for the articles of import we require. And if we assend our great River St. Lawrence, what do we see ? We fiud canals, which in their dimensions, the materials of which they are constructed, and in their extent; are: unsurpassed in any part of the worid. I maintain. Mr. Sppaker, that there is nothing to be found in Europe to compare with our artificial vater communications. In Eng pad, for instance, the canals are only miserable gutters, and the little boys, in rowiog their buats," can touch both sides at once with the ends of their oars. Here our canals pass through the whole country, and connect the most remote parts of it with the markets of Europe. 'Aud, in fact, a ship. of tour hundred toas burden can now. sail from Clicago, cross the ocean, and discharge her cargo in the docks at Liverpool. The univa which has given us such . cauals, such railways has not ran its day, has nut done its work', as the Hon.' IItorney General Last pretends. On the cuntrary. 'with such weans as these, we are justrfed in auticipatitig trou the union still greater results in the future . If we louk at our colonization, we behold the torist receniug before the axe of the cettler, theproducts of our land iocreased tenfold, and our settlers locating in advance of the surseyor ou our wild lands:: What the union has already done for os is certainly great, but the advantages it has tio storefor uy are still greater, if we know how to avail ourselves of the meabs it plames at our conmand. Thersfore it is that I do not think the union has doue its work, but that, on the evotrary, it will yext secure our ${ }^{\circ}$ prosperity. And hence it is that' I wish to preserve the union and remain under. allegiance to Her Most Graciưs Majesty the Queen of Eugland, and refuse twaecept constitutional chatiges which must of necessity imperil our future as antion. (Hear; hear, "It has often been said that Lover. Gabda wat a dray on Upper Canada, retard. ang her adraquement in the march of pro. gres, and that a mey Constitution was necessary.: I deay the justice of the accusa: tion, and I maintain that such a charge. could only emanate from Upper Canadian fanaticisas. True, the Frevelh Canadian rase has beun characterized at Toronto by a Governor Geumral as an "inferior race." bat the insult thus offered to Lower Canada has not a single fact to bear it out. Moreover,

I am happy to bring forward the testimony of the Hon: Finance Minister (Hon. Mr. GALT) to refute these assertions, to answer theseinsults, and to prove that the prosperity of Canada is due to the active cooperiation of the French-Canadians-not only in the Execitive, but in the Legislative Assembly. In 8 letter written from London in 1860 , the Hon. Minister of Finance: says:-
From 1849 up to this day, the French Canadian pajority has been fuirly represented in the Ministry, and it is with id powerful co-operation and the part it bas taken in initiating every measure, and the support of its yotes in Parliament, that ill great reforms have been realized.
Well, if it be true that the French-Canadian members of the Governmenc, since 1849, have, by their unceasing effurts, obtained the realization of these "reforms, why is it now sought to destroy the Consticution under Which they were obtaioed; and to create a new state of things, which will diminish that influence whish we now eujoy? It is bas cause, notwithstanding our material prosperity, the old aggresston of race against race, the former state of antugonisio and ill-will, has not disappeared. The end proposed to be attinucd by the Government in making these chauges is a vast and noble end, I admit. It is the creation of an in-mense Empire, which will redpund to our glory and to that of Eugland "But it seens to me that this will not be the necesidary result of the means which are being taken to attain it. . (Hear, hear.) Whenever the great measures of refurs to which I have already referred have been submitted to Parliament, we have seep public men devote themselves exclusively: to these measures, and labor for their realization. We have seen parties orrayed for or against the ed great questions-the abolition of the Seigniorial Tenure, the election of the inembers of the Legislative Council, the construction of our railways and canals, XCe. In view of these great questions, there was no room for the contemptible personal cousiderations, and the miserable wrangling of the church door; but as soon as these great reforms were obtained, therer was au longer any ground for opposition to the Guvernment uathese subjects; yet subjects for the exhibition of discontent and opposition had to be devised, with the view of attaining power, and of satisfying individual ambition:. They then addressed themselves to the prejudices of race and religion. A ery was raised in Up:
per Ganada that French-Canadian domination could no longer be endured, and that an end. must be put to it. No heed was taken of the progress that had yet to be made, but it seemed as though nothing required to be done in order to attain succese, but to destroy the national character of a large sèction of Canada. : They complained of French domination, the influence of the clergy; and of the great number of religious iestitutions in Canada; and what was the remedy proposed to put an end to all these evils which Upper Canada could no longer tolerate? The hon. member for South. Oxford (Hon. Mr. Brown) was imported, and brought out here from Scotland, to cast the flaming torch of discord between the two populations, and to inflame them one against the other. I enagine that since that time the Hon. Mr. Buchanan must have more than once regretted this importation, which was not quite in the regular line of his commercial operations. And when this gentleman had beenimported, who has been the cause of all our dissensions up to the present tme, parties were? organized under his command as they are this day. To diminish or destroy the influence of the French.Ganadians in Parliament, the hon member for South Oxford raised a clawour for representation based upon population, which was reeechoed from one end of Upper Caiada to the other. These cries, the offapriug of fanaticism, were rejected by Lower Canada with unanimity on the part of our public men. The hon. member fur South "Oxford, finding that this ery for represcutation based on population. was a magnificent war-horse, made use of it to form a party. Since that period he has allowed cothing to stand in his way:" He has calumaiated every public min and all the institutions which were held in respect by the inhabitants of Lower Canada; he has, attacked, with the greatest fury, all that wás dear to ins as Frenchmen and Catholics; and by this ueans he gained his object ; and we have seen all the western farmers; all the inhabitants of Canada. West, ory out that here we were all under the domination of the elergy, and that the English and Protestant population ought not to submit to so heavy a yoke. He knew that the English element' was fanatic and aggressive, and by means of this cry the then leader of the Opposition in Upper: Cauada succeeded in forming a phialanx so strong, that Lower Canada has been compolled to yield some portion of, the
ground which she had conquered in her striggles of former days. "T"do not believe that there is "a single member for Lower Canada thio would wish to change our present Constitution in the manner now proposed, were he not forced to it by Upper Can'ada.s We are, then, about to give up some of our franchises and our rights in this new struggle against the spirit of encroachment and domination manifested by the English race. Hon. members" who support the measure will tell you that they are giving up a part of tour rights, in order that what remains nuay be sayed from destruction, and that they may not lose all they now enjoy, before any lengthened period shall have elapsed. But-was this clamor in favor of representation based upon population sincere on the part of those who used it as a means of attacking us? 'Was it in reality a remedy for the evils of which they complained? No, Mrí Speiker, I do not think it was. It was simply an olectioral platform, by which to attain power and consummate the encroachment upon our rightsontemplated by the leaderis of the moyement. Ido not deem it necessury to repeat here all the arguments brought to bear aganst the demand for representation by population, o, in eighty sperches delivered in 18b0. during the diar usion of that excitimg juestion: but I remember that d bate with all the more pleasture, that the Freuch Chamdians shewed that they rettiped -ome vertuse of firmbess in the day at batele, and of perseverance in the maintenatue in mur riphts. which our ththers had so often matifested. On that occasion the Hons. Attorm y (reneral Bast (flon Mr. (amter) deverved the approbatioriof his muntry for the resistance he made to that unjurt demand on the part of Upper (anada, with that energy and tenacity he is so well kniwn to display; he was the champion of our rights. Why, then, does he today come duwn and propose a compromise with his opponenth of those days? Is it just at the moment when the leaders of the Upper Camadian Opposition had, by entering the Macdovilo-sheote: Iovernment, absolutely rejected the prineple of tepresentation baed upon popalation, that he should abandon the struggle? Iq it at the noment the Macdonabo-Sinotre Government had obtained separate schools for the Catholics of Upper Cainada, that the party led by the honurable member lor South Oxford was to be dreaded. In it at the moment when the law providing separate'
schools for the Catholics of Upper Canada was' the subject of a triumph, which the Hon. Attorney General had never sac. ceeded in obtaining during the whole time he has been in power, that the Hon. Attorney General should cease from further efforts; throw down his arms, and declare as a, French:Canadian that we conld no. longer hold the breach, and that we must make concessions to Uper Canada? Did not the Macdonaln-Sicotite Adminietration make a close question of representation by popalation? Were not all the members of that Göverniment bound to oppose it? Yes, Mr. Speaker, the Hons Attcraey: General Last was guilty of a grievous wrong, when he defeated that Goverument-by a hostile majority composed of Freuch-Canadians. It was after thiat hostile vote that Upper Canada insisted on her right to renew her claims to representation based on population, and that we are compelled to-day to make concessions. . For my part, Mr. Speaker, I have never been convinced of the sincerity of those who made use of the cry for representation based on population, for I have never seen any other means employed to obtain the uid of the western farmers in securing more easily the reins of power. Has the principle of representation based on population ever served as the basis of a government having monarchical ideas, like those which actuate the existing (jovernment? Now we are sicking for a Confederation for which there is no precedent-not a Confederation like those to be found in other countries which have adopied that form of government, but a muarchical Confederation. (Hear, hear.) It is suught to retain the Knglish Constitution; and yet it.is assert. ed that repiesentation by population is a just principle, and that it mast be oxtended to Upper Canada: Dives not the Honorable Attorney General Fast (Hon. Mr. Cabtien) remsmber the arguments he urged in 1860 asainst this principle? Did he niot then deolare with the view of showing that the principle was neither a just one nor one recognized in the British Constitution, that if it were applied to the British Parliameat the city of Loudon alone would have thirty members: instead of sixteen, and that Seotland would send many more members to Parliament than she does now.'" Did he not assert that rotten boroughs, containing only a few handred inhabitants, had one representative, and that connties containing 100,000 inhabitants had no more?. Have these arga-
ments, then so full of power, lost all their force and value to-day? Have they become futile since the alliance of the Honorable Attorney General East and the hon. mernber for South Oxford? Can they no lọnger-be used to save our Constitution and our liberties? How can the party which has so long been kept together by its opposition to the principle of representation by population; say to-day that it is a just principle,' and that it must be conceded?" confess, Mr. Speaker, that I canuot understand Why we should concede to-day what we -refused in 1860. It is true that ${ }^{\circ} I$ do not possess the experience of the hoil: gentlemen who now occupy the Ministerial benches, and that, perhaps, it may be wiser to bend today than to be broken to-morrow; but wheu L study the history of the past, when I look at things as they are, and look for-: ward to the future which is now proposed tor us, I only see in the scheme of Con-- Pederation a remedy"which is more violent than the disease, and whiche instead of removing the difticulties it is proposed to eralicate, will only have the effect of pro-- dueing results the nost unfavorable to the peace and prosperity of our country. I state! then, Mr. Speaker, that the question of representation by population; which has been the principal cause of the Confreteration scheme, was excluded from the politieal programme of the MacdonaldSicotte Government, and that the Upper Canada majority, the leaders of which, (hroughout their whole political career, had io Juadly demanded this concession in facor of Upper Canada, had bound itself not to raise thase exciting question withia the talls of the Legislature; at least during the existence of the MacionaldSicott Ministry. (Hear; hear.) Istated that, thanks to the patriotio firmness of that Adminstration, Lawer Canada was enabled for two yèars to Tive in peace and znjoy the fruits of a tranquillity unknown for ten years previous, and daring two sessious the question of representation based on numbers ceased to be a subject of strife and fanatical attack on the part of Upper Canada. (Hear; hear.). It was at that period that the honorable member for South Oxford asked for a committee to enguire as to the means of settling the sec. tional difficulties, by effecting a change in the basis of the presênt Cunstitution. (IMear, hear.) Well, Mr, Speaker, what took , place then'? We saw that able speaker, that indefatigable and poweriul advocate of the
claims of Upper Canada against the Lower Canada séction, unable to find in this ${ }^{\text {H }}$ House more than forty men prepared to support him in his unjust demand for a constitutional change which the present Administration are about to grant." (Hear, liear:). We esaw that powerful politician humbled, and giving up $i_{2}$ despair all hopes of succeeding with the House-and, for my part, Mr. Speaken, I must say that I felt pained at his position -asking a leave of absence in order to avoid a humiliating defeat, and returving to his home to lament his fall and the loss of an influence based solely on fanaticism and prejudice. ${ }^{\text {(Hear, hear.) Subseciuently, }}$ Mr. Speaker, the House witnessed an act which I do not desire to characterize now; we saw the Administration which had the courage to chain down the monster of representation by population,-overthrown by a French-Canadian majority! (Hear, hear.) Yes, Mr.Speaker, that Liberal government, which had afforded so mueh security to our institutions by maintaining intact our present Constitution, was defeated by a French-Cana: dian majority of this House. I do not intend, when I say this, to attack my fellowcountrymen, fur from it; but I twish to traca: the parliamentary history of our country, and I do eot hesitate to assert that that vote gave"a tatal blow to our influence as French-Cauddians, and that posterity will record that vote, which is now a matter of history, as a fatal act" by which our public men sacrificed to party spirit the dearest of our interests." (Hearr, hear.) I fearlesily assert, Mr. Speaker,' that for fifteen years our affairs had not bean administëred by men more sincerely dovoted to our interests and better able to protect the political liberties, the interests and the institutions of Lower Canada. What have we seen during the past tifteen years in this House? We have witnessed party appeals to prejudices and the most insulting personalities; and, in fact, the lowering of the moral stutus of our national representatives, as the natural result. We have seen the men best qualitied to enforce', on the floor of this House, the rigtits of the peopio, refusing to come forwar. at elections, be catse they saw that the position of a membif of Parliament no longer eoinferred that degree of dignity and position which malls it au object of ambition in better timés. We have seen mea of eminence, who had labored in behalif of the interests of their constituents for many long yeare, abandoning their political
career in disgast, and retiring to the seclusion of their homes. Then it was that nte saw a French-Candian majority voting down a Ministry whóse political programme afforded more effectual guaràntees for Lowier Canada interests thain that of any previous government. (Hear", hear.) "But a "blind and paltry party spirit induced them to sacrifice' for a momentary triumph, the genergal interests of their country ; and the majority, by its yote, decreed our national downfall. (Hear, heair.) Wett, Mr. SEEAKER, under the new Government we found repres sentation by population again made a subject of discussion in our Legishture; and now, there is no denying if that unfortunate concession, which places las at the mercy of Upper Canada, has become an accomplished faet. (Hear, hear) I stated.just yows Mr. Splaker, that the hon. meuber for South Oxford was unable to obtain his committee under the Macionald-Sicotte Adminis:tration, an essentially liberal one. (Hear, hear:) On reference to the Journals of this House of that period, what do we find?' The Ministry "which succeeded that Govern: ment had haydly takea possession of the Treasury benches, when the Hon. Mr Brown again came before the House asking for accoumittee, and in that instance with more suceess. I had the honor to propuse an amendment to his motion, but my amendment was rejeited, and amougst the members who figure in that anfortunate division, I find the dames of the Hon. Miniter of Public Works, the Hon. Provincial Secretary, and the Hon. Attorney General East. Mr. Spbeiter, this is a very siguificant fact, and one extrencly deserving of atténtion at the present momext. In pressing that notion upon the House, Imaintaited that our policy was to act on the offensive, instead of merely defending ourselves, we had apito that time done; that we vught to unite as one man to ubtain the re enactment of the proviso to the 26 th clause of the Act of Union, which had been shamefully struck out in 1856, :when we obtained an elechive Legislateve Council (Hear, hear.) \$ow, on this point, which was perfectly clear, we found theser - same Hinisters votiag dor the rejection of the amendmeat, which asserted a righi sacred to Freach-Canadians: "Did not this vote imply that thuse who made this cowardly concession were prepared to yield agaia in the prupused constitutioual changes? hes, Mr. Speaker, I do not hesitate to assert, that
from that moment, Upper Canada understood that our political leaders, who; up to that time, had showa' an unyielding front, were about to give rray: - And when the Hon. Mr. Brown subuitted his proposition to the House, all the Enclish members united in an overwhelning majurity, and he carried his point suecessfully, notwithstanding that all the French-Ganadian metubers voted against it, except the hon. member for Rouville (Mr. Poulin), who displayed the questionable courage of thus evmuitting an act I shall not attempt to qualify. (Hear, hear.) I need not dwell upon the consequences of that vote, for they are now patent to the Whole country, and the hon. member for South "Osford himself has" told us in this House that the scheme of Confederatiof was the creation of his constitutional commpttee; that the appointuent of that corinmittee was the first step in the direction of the object for which te had struygled during his whole political career, and that the seheme of © Confederation now-before the House was an ample reward for his upremitting etforts and a complete justification of the principles be has supported in the struggle be tween Upper Camala and Lower Canada. Subsequeutly, Mr.' Speaker, the Tacat. Macdonald Government succumbed on a question of finance, and, finding that they could not sustain themsilves without the assistance of the Opposition, that same Gor. ernment called into the Cabinet the man who had proved ipost hostile, to Lower Canadian futerests, and with whom they had ever lived in , unexampled antagonistu. From that alliance resulted the schene of Confederation which is now submitued to us, and which coucedes the principle of repressentation based on pupulation. Oughe the Lower Cawadian party to have ande so important a ouncessiod ti) Upper Canada? I am prepared to esesbhith by tigures that that question contained withu iteelfits own remedy; and those who roted in favor of its cuncession are in no way justitiable, looking at the question in any point of view whatsouver. The futare hold out to pas a positive assurance that the grounds of this demand would no longer exist at a perige which is clowe at hand: When mo look into the question of the respective populations of the two Canadas. we shall Goserve at a plance that that of Upper 'Canada is iu'great part Englistín and Protestant, and, by refference to the last ceasus, wo shall find that a very large proportion of the
there can be 8,000 more of less than a year old than were born during the preceding twelve month's. (Hear, hear, and laughter.) When I-observe such results accruing from our official census, I am compelled to believe that it is inaccurate, and that it may be quite as erroneous-in respect:ot the gencral population. "But if in "the census "the population of Upper Canada was exaggerated, in the case of Lower Cauada, on the contrary, it has been considerably diminished. Here our farmers have always stoodin dread of the census, because they have a suspicion that it is taken with the sole object of imposing some tas, or of making some draft of men for the defence of the country. Under theve circunistances, of consider that the differeace between the totals of the population of Upper and Lower Canada is not so well proved as it is wished to have us believo that it is... I maintain that it is less in reality than it is in appearance, and that the figures of the census are not suffieiently accurate to allow of oar taking them as the basis of a demand for coastitutional changes of so impartant a ébaracter. But if we study the increase of the Vrench-Canadian population in . Ninerica, we shall find the increase of the Fredech Clanadians to have been $1,700,000$ between the years 1760 and 1860 , the total having increased tenfold two and a half times in that period, and this is equivaleat to 8.40 per cent." per anuum," or a doubling of the population in twenty-one years; otherwise an increase of twenty five times their num² ber in oie hundred years. The increase, since 1560, having beea 3.61 per cent. in Laver Canada; these figtires shew that the natural inerease in the Lover Canadian population is greater than it is anywhere else. In Upper Canada the average of births has been 3.40 per cent. per annum, and in Lower Canada it has been 4.10 pér cent: per annum; this is equivalent to a greater relative increase of 20 per cent: in favor of Lower Canada over Upper Canada. It a calculation is made of the progrissive inerease of the French population in Lower Canada; from 175t, to 1851, the following results will be arrived

Per ct, per ann.
From $18+4$ to 1831 the increase was egual to 260 do, $1 \times 31$ to lsit' do : do: to 3.20 do. 1844 to 1sil. do. . . do. to 4.25
But the growth of population that would have resulted from this inerease has been hepulation of a less age than one ycar; but even then there is some difficulty in understanding how it is that in trielve munths some of them do not die, and how
diminished by emigration to the United States. : The difficulties between the sections of the proviace have during long years, driven our youth to foreign countries, and that is "winy that consiuerable increase does not appear, by the census, so great as in reality it has been. Thus the total number of French-Canadian emigrants to the United States anounted, in 1814 , to $\$ 1,000$; from $1 \times+4$ to 1850 the total amounted to 30,000 ; making, in $1-50$, a graud total of 64,000 of our countrymen who had passed into foreign lands. With sach an emigration going on, it is clear that our population could not inclease with rapidity; but now, fortunately, the movement of vur population has assumed" a contrary direction. Many families have already returifed to us, whilst many others are only araitiog a favorable opportunity to retarn to the country, which they ought uever to have left. " The French-Canadian population iu the Cuited States is stilt very considerable, as the following figures will show: in the State of Vermont there are 14,000 French-Canadians ; in the State of New York 00,000 ; in Ohio and Pennśslvania; 6,000 ; in Michigan, 30,600 in llinois, 20,000 ; 'ia Wisconsin, 12,000 ; in Indiana, $\overline{5}, 000$; in Minnesota, $15,000-$ without taking into consideration the fact that nearly 35,000 of our young tneti, besides, are eorolled in the army of the United States. What took place in Canada also took place in Acadia, where the Freach population also increased in a mañer "which was" truly astonishing. From 1707 to 1737 this increase amunted to a proportion of 6 per cent. per annums; in thirts years the total had increased tivefold. 'It' continued to increase in nearly a like propotión up to 1755, the menorable date of the deportation of the Acedians. From 1855 to 10850 the Acadians increased tenfold by themselves, and now the Freach-Acadian population in the Maritime Provinces and in the State at Maine is distributed as follows:-


Let us now enquire, Mr. Speaker, what the annual increase has been in Upper Canada. This consideration is an important
one, for it goes to prove that in ten years the total population of Upper and Lower Cavada will be equal, and that, consequently; the constitational changes resulting from the question of represegtation based on population are uot called for:-

In 1830 that increase wes 10 per ct. per annum.


This amounts to saying that in thirty years the proportion of increase has diminished by more than 50 per cent, and that diminution of annual increasse has been consequent upon the diminution of immigration. The following figures, which shew the -number of inmigrants who have cone into E'poper Canada since she - year 1820 , shew this cleaty:-


In 18 y 4 we had no railways as we have to: day, and consequently the European emigration which was direeted to the United States did not pass through Caniada, as it does now, towards the Western States. In 1854 the immigration was 53,000 , and all who landed in Canada settled there at once ; but in 1864 the immigration fell to 19,000 ; of whom not more than one half remained in the country; the remainder went on-to the Western States. Thus it may be said that the immigration, which numbered toore than 53,000 souls in

1854, has fallen in ten years to 8,000 only for Upper Canada, whilst in Lower Canada we have increased, by natural progress, in the proportion of from 2.20 per cent. to 9.60 per cent. during the same period. And it is just at the time that our population is increasing in this proportion that it is proposed to grant to Upper Canada representation based oa popalation. Why do we not still resist? We are told that if se wait longer the disproportion will. be increased. 'I maintain, according to the above calculations, and in view of other consideratious that $I$ shall by and by hare the honor to subinit to this House, that we can only be the gainers in this matter, beenuse the proportion of our natural increase is increasing, while that of inmigration is diminishing In thirty" years from 1829 to 1860, 242,735 immigrants landed or our shores, nearty all of whom settled in Upper Canada: And there is noother fact to which I beg to call the attention of the Ilouse, and that is, that the Irigh entigrition, which amounted in 1851 to 20,381 , diminished during the ten following years to 376 in 1861 , and it is a well known, fact that it was this wholesalle deportation from the Eucrald Iste which has made the population.: of Upper Canada what it is to day . But it is not necessary to consult the census to arrive at the conclusion that the proportionate difference in the increase of the populations of the two sections of the proviace is only due to the arrival in the country" of this million of immigrants. - If we study the proportion of births, or of the natural increase, we shall see that Lower Canada has ipereased its population nore rapidly than Epper Canada, and that there are more births in proportion in our section of the province. Is these artificial wurues of inercase diminish in Upper Canada, we may be'certain that the equilibrium will be established between the two populations. There is yet another cause which must contribute to reêstabish this equilibrium, and I find it in an official report written by the present IItorable Proviucial Secretary (Hon. Mr. McDotualle) when he was Commissioner of C'rown Lands. The cause of colonization hasy attracted, for several years past, the special attention of our clergy and of the influcatial iuhabitants of the country, so soon"as it became generally known that tha increaso of the population in Upper Canada would lead very soon to constitutional changes, having for their object representation based upon population, with all its disastrous results for the minority. Since that periud new colonization
roads have been opened for the surplus population of the old connties, and our youth. instead of expatriating themselves, plunge into the forests to clear the land, and thas to increase the strength of the French element. The cause of the diminution in the increase of Upper Canada, of which I havejust spoken, may be found in the important fact that the best disposable lands are nearly exhausted-I do not mean to say that they have lost their fertility, but that they are nearly all oceupied: We require no better proof of my assertion than the report of the Honorable Commis sioner of Crown Lands for 1862, from which I ask permission to cite the following para-graph:-

It will be observed that the whole quantity of land sold during the past year tis less by 252;471 actes than in 1561. The falling off is equal to about 3 joper cent. The tact is siguificant. and suggests enquiry as to the cause. It may, I think, be attributed to the commecial and monetary derangements resulting from' the civit war in the neighboring country; to the retirding influence of that war apon immigration, and to the dunin, ished means of purchasers within the country by reasoin of the generally deficient harvest of $1 \times 62$. Another cause may be mentioned, which, in an othecial view, is more important thin either of these, because its intlueace is not accidental or temporary, It is the fact that the: best lands of the Crown in both sections of the province have already been sold. The quantity of really good land now open for sale is, notwithstaidiaig recent survers, much less thai formerty, end is rapidy diminishing-The new surveys in Upper Canada thave added, duriug the last five years, no less than $2,80,172$ acres to the land roll of the department. 'The addition during the same period, in Lower Canada, was 1,968,16> aeres. Yet it nay be doubted if there are to day as many acrés of wild land of the first quality at the disposal of the dopartinent as there were in 1857 . : The clergy, sehool and Crown lands of the western peninsula, the most desirable, both as to quality and situation, of all the public lands of the proviace: are mosily sold; the few lots that remainare generally of inforior quality." The nèw townships betiven the Ultawa and Lake Huron contain much youd land, but they are separated from the settied townships on the st. Lawrence and north shore of Lake Ontaric by a rocky, barren tract, which waries in width froui ten to twenty miles, and presents a setrious obstruction to the iaflux of settlers: Müreover, the good land in these new townships is composed of small tracts, here and there, separated from each other by rocky ridges, swamps and lakes, which render difficult the construction of roads, and interrupt the continuity of settlement.' These uifavorable circumstances have induced the better class of settlers iă Upper Canada to seek, at the haids of private owners, for lauds of a better quality and more desirable
location, thoush the price and terms of sale are more onerous than for the lands of the Crown:
I think that this official repory contains" a statement of great importancefo Lower Canada, and which it is' desirable should' be clearly demonstrated before we decide whether we ouglit to change the present Coustitution. As the population of Upper Canada is no liager seasibly increased by immigration. and as the nitural increase of the population of Lower Canida is more rapid. than that of Lpper © c'anda : ay the emigration of our chutrymen to the Cnited States is ceasing, and as the best lauds in Upper Canada are accupied. whilst the territory of Lower Cananla is only just burinaing to be opened up time settlenent. I see ne reason why we should "make such hante to give up the strugnle we live so sucessfully mantined up to the preent tipue, and, without any, just reavon. grant represcutatic of by population. This is what is suid in the same report by the pre sent Hen. Provinctul secretary and his word. arree exactly with miy statements.", ?
 little inore thati double the quatity sold io lo pre caoald. The diwery of wiper and ather


 that pat of Liver Canad, ind a correspominis incerente in the demand fors unsodi pablic lands. Pie new survers on thic southern sterpe of the High land which buder the si Lanteme be.
 vely cunsuderable quantity, ut qood hand whith is thatig raphdy tikeyup.
And what is the equsequence of thiy fuet pointed out by the Hon. Commissioner of Crown linds?. It is that if the publie hands are opld only to setters, so soon as it is established that the quantity of lands suld in Lower Canad. is double that sold in Ipper Canada, I dm justified in concluding that the extent chared it aloo dinable and as a necessary consequene, that the popalatien must be ingreasing the the aume proportion. Thence I conelude that the question of representation bised upwh populathin tends every day to its "pwâ solution: Thus we have a man, who eertainly, camnet be accused of partiality to Lover Canada, and whose extensive knowledge no one will deng, declaring offieidly that we are increasing in a much greater proportion thán Upper Canada. And it is at the very moinent that we are on the poiut of turning the scale of vietory, that we are about to give way and cease from further effiort. Uur rising generations were cui-
grating to the Unitel States a fer years ago, because we had no celonization roads togive them access to the forests of Lower Canada, as we have now, and why had we them not? Because until quite recently, the Hon. Minis. ter of the Department'of the Crown Lands, as well as the Hon. Minister of the Department of Agriculture and Emigration, were alvays Upper Cmadinus.' Lpper Canada always understood the iupportance of those departments as regards the material development of that section of the provinee. Aecordiagly, all the ineasures of inprovenent were iu favor of the western section, and all the immigration was carefully directed thither Now that we have found out the resultis of that cleverly delied policy, the Lower ciandian party are mare attentive to the colonizition of our wild lands, and we find the cergy and all our politigal and intuentin men seemding their efforts. We have colunization socilties in esery quarter, and the result of the ir labers is the settement and ocepuptisun of gur public lands as soin as they we vurveyed. F tequently we even see the settlers zettiag aheal of the parties cuployed in opening the rouls through the forests. These fiets oure himportint emugh to de erve our serioun convideratinu "more espectally as the report of the Hon. Provincial s"ymary em tirmis my statements in every particular. The Cinadian fanilisis now in the linited Station are ghad to retarnamong ux to aid in develoy. huir the resureces of our country, and it the burernment, instead of making changes in the Constitution, were to establish a vo.st Systerm of colonization, to draw hither our follow-countrymen from the laited States, and an immizration from Europe of those who urn'a cimanin origin with ourselves, wo should the no need fo trouble ourselo. about the phlitich chatges now proporid the us; of which the object is evidently to destres. aur inthane: in Aneriga (Hear hemr: The intention of the Confederation whene. we are thid by the Minitry, is the formutigh of a vast Eupire bumded by the Pacitio acea on one side, on the other by the Athatie ocean, and on the south by the Americsu Vaine, white on the north it would extead to the Pole, deaving Russian America on the west. No doubt the seheme is a graid ont. magaiticent in conception, and likely to tolbo with the ambitious minds of the most aspiriu: men in British Forth Americs. The Opposition pertectly understands the noble obyect of the promotery of the Confelerstion, which it is propoed to estibiish un a munarchical basis, in opposition to the Aherican Union,
based on the democratic and republican principle ; but the Opposition is also aware that this creation of an Empire presents difficulties of an important character, not only because it is starting into existence in opposition to the neighboring powerful repablic, which is essentiailly opposed to monarchicalinstitutions, but also because the differences of nationality, religionand sectional interests are so many stumbling blocks with which the principal provisions of the sheme of Confederation will come in contact. It nust not be beliered that the Opposition 'mily oppoze the scheme' because they do not understand it- import. On the contrary ther do understand it; and see in it nothing but provisions of a nature hostile to them. At the present day, with sectional cyuality, Cundi constituters but a single peoplé sho have tendencies and aspirations in conmon; hut under Concederation such will no longer b" the cuse?' we shall have a minority oppred to amajority, the agrasesive tendencicis of which have always manifested themiselves whinever the power of numbers wat in their invir. If the populations of all the prowitevere homogeneous; if their interests, their ducio their belief and their nationality were intenticall, we might perhaps be mure disposed luatept the by tio means judicious pruvisions Wh the schente which is submitted to os. But as une of these are identical "we consider thit : we should be in danger it we did aceept. then: Formerly France possessed all this prits of the comatinent ; the setters of that pritiod, the farmers, tishermen, hunters and trippers traveled over the whole extent of thene itimanse posiessions which were Kuw in by the name of New Frabee. At thes motent what remaius to her of a terrimery that was cifal in extent to Europe Insft: A wretched little istand at the enerase of the Gulf, a foothold for her fistieries", and a few acres of beach on the coast of Neminmidaud: When we consider that fiet, whon: we sie Freach power completely des. twod on this, coutimat, are we not justifed 14 limking chasely into ithe proyect of Constiation soy submitied to as, which has tor its wbect, I repent, simply to complete the destruction of the intuence of the French race ua this continent?' Has not the past taüght ut to driad the futire? . Tes, Mr. Speniker, the policy of Englayd has ever been aggressive, and its ubject has always been to annihilate unasa people. And this scheme of Confederation is but the continued application of that policy on this continent; its real object is nuthing but the annihilation of Freach influ-
ence in Canada: If we examine history in order to ascertain" whether a precedent is to be found for the course of, action adopted to-day, we shall derive a valuable lesson from the experience of the past. There was a period, after the conquest of England by the Normans, wher the French language was the general and official language of that country, but subsequently the conquerors were compelled to adoptt the language of the vanquished. The history of the Parliament of England sherrs that up to 1425 , every bill introduced in the Legislature, without a single exception, was in the French language. But at that date the first English bill was presented to Parlianent; and twenty-fice years later, in $1450 \%$ the last French bill was presented in the English Parliament. After that date we no louger find a trace of the French language in Parliament; twenty five years had safficed to do avay with it completely: There is another historical fact coanected with the politi. cal existence of a pedple, which it is right to recall. We know hoyr long Scotland and Ireiand resisted the encro celiments of Eagland The strugglo pas protracted and obstinate. But these two nations were compelled to suc: cunb to political encroachment, under the pressare of the powerfal assimilating teadencies of the Einglist nation: But let us see' what mesus England used to attain her ends." Impartial history tells us, as it will tell of the means cmployed to-day to aunihilate our race on this continent. Mistory records, in letters of cold, the names of those who have bravely strugyled for the lives and liberties of nations, but it also holds up to execration the memory of those who barter those, liberties and those rights for titles, honor, power, or gold.' 'We' now enjoy responsible government, dearly earned by a century of heroic struggles, and before yieding an inch of the ground we have conquered, we should see what we are likely to ghin by the proposed constitutional changes. Let us profit by the experience of the cotuntries we now see lamenting the luss of their political rights resulting from constitutional changes similar to those now proposed (t) Luwer Canada. I find the following with reference to the union of Scotland with Eng. laudin 1706:-

Quen Asve carried out, in 170t; a project vainly tittempted by Whatay IIL, the union of Eagland and Scotland nito a single kimpdom, under the domimon of Great Britam. The uneon: trultabie character of the scotet, the mutual atitipathy of tho two people, and the constantly recurring dilficulties resulting from these priaciples,
rendered the measưre highly useful at the same time that ther ihcreased the obstaceles.
Thus, it is clear that the antipathics between the tro races produced many obstacles to the Euglish project; and, in order to remote these obstacles, England hand recourse to neans precisely similar to those adupted here as a preparation for Confederation, namely, the appointment of a conference of compissioners charyed with the preparation of the Act of Union. Fays M. Emilene Boxneohose:
These commissiuners arreed on the general question but differences arone as negards the inamer in whieh the Eaglish proposed tu consti, tute the new Parliament of the J'mited King dom, atid whte the population of Sootiand amounted to a. sixh of the fropulation of Engram, they al loned that kigedum büt forty oix membeto in the Coumons, or athirtenth of the tutal tepresest. tima., Sixteen pets on!y, out of the wholo peerate of Scothind wore uil be chioten by ele Hon, to sitin the Euplish Mone ne Lords. The strimen'y of these latter chatses, by which the peuple of Scothand felt themolves arrtieved $+x$. cited universal discontent ; at was to be expected, yarticularly at the putect, froma treaty of uinon thetween the two nations, that there wuald be a bianhis of material interests prejudietal to the weltare of iery mainy pertsons, as necurs at the mutat a every iaportant pohtical cormection. The wemdeng of ther nathanal eff fore would Int thelf have teren zutheient to reader the people.
 of the compat, and all partes-Whiss and Toęces, Juéothics and Williamites, Prestyterians, Epistomaliats and Camerimians, copbined to der.at it.
Thus, we hase nearly the whole people unitine to oppose the univn it was souzht to impues upon them, and yet in fate of the all but unanimous opposition of the people of Scotland, England suceceded in forcing them into the union by the use of means she never hesitates to adopt"-

The commissioners of the fobernacat/ sete hisulted by the populace who destroyyd the divellipigs if many state otheishat fur cable tu the utrina, white they were luad in proase of the Dahoo of Hamtetox one of th chet opponeatso of the

 and Matranast strove ia vais to allay by arement and reasumet, the explesion of partiotic feeling and thationd lury, and what the best arguments could not obtain was cartid by cor. ruption. A furteur of the pold promited by the English Cummestowrs as a compensation for the fresh burden abput to be imposed upon the sister kingdom. Was duaded anomgst their Scotch col. leagues and tang intuental members of the Purlidiment situng. in Edinburgh; thenceforwatd
all obstacles were remored ; the treaty of union, which the Scoten people looked upon as an act of suicide, and which the purest and best men would not have-sanctioned. received the assent of a venal majority. In fine, that famous eumpact, which nas denounced as a dishonor to Scotland; whech that country looked u ont as the yielding of of her interests and her fory, aud which wis destited to open for her, in stidnequent times, an eia of unparalleled peace and proserity, was sioned on the ist May, 1007 and was considered a mieat triumph by the people of Endand; alteady at that tine intoxicated with juy at the success of their arms un the cuntinent.

There. Mr. Spenken, is an iustance of the mamer in which the policy of England can overcome ewen the most justifiable resistance. supported by the unamimess, whes ot a people. Scotland troked ugem a uion with Fingland as an act' of suicide, and yet the: union was "arried by a majerity" in, the Iarliament of Edinburyh: I ueed not dwell at length upun these facts; they speak eloquently fir themstles. (ILear, hear.) There is another fact in the parliatmentary history of Rugland, of which it is well to remind the llonse-I man the abolition of the Irish Parliament. The Howorable Minister of Agricultuic (Hun. Mr. McGee) has told us, iu that flowery laguage whin charactenises the children of his pative soll, that he himself, when scare iwenty years of age struygled to cinamipate his cutantry from the tyratuy of Eubtand, and not sueecediug in his nuble undertakiag, preferred to exite himself to 1 merican soil rather than remaia to be a daily spectator of the minafortunesand sufferings of his native latid. Aud yet, what is he tow doing? He ts trying, with the help of a houstile uajotity; to thrust upen L,öwer Camada, his adopted country, a union which is repuganat to her', and to revive here the syatem of oppression uver which he wept in'Ireland. " (Hear, hear.) Let us see what the means were whieh were employed to impoe upon Ireland that union which was destined to catail the wholesale exodity of her population :-
In the cave of Irelisul, the contest was a lonere one, but Euphand wis ultimately triumphast. After the crins of 1 Fss; (says M. Gctasse be Beacmost.) England, holding duwn rebellives and vaniquished Ireland, chastised her unveleating: ly and pitilessly. Tiventy years previously Ire land ngain came into posstesios of her political liberties : England preserved a bitter recolle tionof this suceress of I reland, and took advantage of the depression of the later to replace her under unabsolute yoke. The Irish Parlianient, after recovering its independeace, tecame troublesomy
to England; it was necessary, in order to master it, to take great pains in corruption, in spite of which great resistance on the pant of the Irish Parliament was met with; the opportunity was favorable to suppress it, and in consequence the Eniglisin Government abolished it.

On the reception of this news. poor Ireland 'was in' an' instant in agitation, just as a body which has just been deprived of life stirs again "under the steel. which mutilates and rends it:" of thirty two counties, tsentrone loudly exclaimed against the destrustion of the Irish Parliament. That Parliament, frcm whom an act of suicide had, necessanty to be asked, itfused to corsummate it, and by its yote mqiniamed its, constitu: bual exitence.
Inclithant at the nervility which it was dared to "aht tortrom the budy of which he formed part, (isartas vehemenily opposed the Ministerial scheme: But all this resistance was in vain. The only resistance whech definitively opposed a serfious obstacle to the veiws of England." was that of the Irish Parfiament, which would mot" rote its own abolition. Hitherto its acts had. ben boughi, and nomits death was in like manner purchased. Corruption was at once made cee of on an enormous scate: places, pensions andefaturs of all kinds were lavished in every direction, and the same men who, in 1799; rejected - the seliene of union, adopted it on the OOth May, 1eno, by a majority of a hundred and eighteen wetes against seventy three, and that majority consisted of, either state pensioners or public functionaries." Amd she through tinlence, nided by eorruption, was accomplished the destructive act of the Irish Parliament, not without stirring upin Ireland all that remained of national pas sion and feelings of patriotism.'
Mr. Speaker', when tre have such acts as these from which to form an opinion of the politics of Eugland, it is reasonable that: those who have not the same reasons for desiring constitutional changes as the hon. members who sit on the Ninisterial benches, should, at least, have an opportunity of carefuily studying all the details of the measure which is submitted to as. For my part, 1 am satisfied with the present Constitu-tion, and am realy to defend it agaiust every evemy whioh may come formard to attaek our territory: Bui I am bound to declase that if that Constitution is changed despite the will of the people; we shall no looger Gind among the Lowe: Canadians that impalse for which they have always been distinguished in days gone by, and which enabled thèm to ranquisha hostile force of double their number. (Hear, hear:) There would appear to have been no reason. Why the antagonism betiveen the English and French races, to which I alluded as existing in Europe, should have been carried into

America; and yet the strife was continued in the New World, after it had arisen in the old hemisphere. At the present day that strile continues, and despite the protèstations of sincere friendship interchavged betreen Paris and London, we see France and Eugland contipually facing each other, sword in havd, feeling for each other that respect which mutual fear alone can inspire. And could it be expected that those féelings of risalry and antagonism which have always existed, and "which still exist' at the present day, betrreen the tro races, would be effaced from amoug their Candidian descendants, that we may be fused into one nation? It is an impossibility: Do what you may, the same feeliugs will always exist." They are blanieable, perhaps, but the fact remains-they exist, and form part of the very nature of the trio races The language, the religion, the institutions and the customs of a people are so many obstacles to its union with another people; whose language, religion, institutious and customs are different from theirs. And is it supposed that these feelings of -rivalry and these causes of estrangement will be removed on the adoption of the scheme of Confederation which is proposed to us? For my part, I would wish in Canada to see the two nationalities rival eaeh other in progress in the useful worts of peace. Ihis rivalry, not of strife hand to hand but a rivalry iñ the laudable ambition which has for its object the realizingof the greatest prosperity known, the attaining of the highest excellence in the scicuces, and of the most profound secrets of art, would confer upon our country a degree of power equal to what has resulted from the combined strength of Englandand France, which has, up to the present, becu employed to impel the world towards' the prodigies which have been realized in the ninctecth contury. With equality of numbers, and of sectional representation, the tero nationalitics cannot fall foul weach other ; but with Confederation as we'stiall be in a great minority in the General Larliament, which has all the impurtant powers in relation to legislation, we shall have to carry on a constant contest for the defence and preservation of our political rights and of our liberty. Under the union the French Canadians are divided in this House into two camps, opposed the one to the other, because they have nothing to fear in regard to their national interests; but under Confederation, as we shall havo but forty-eight

French members against one hundred and forty-six in the Federal Legislature, "those members will have 10 go together like one man to maintain their influence, and the simple fact of that union of the French. Canadians into a solid phalan" will cause the English element to unite on its side to crush and vanquish it. It is because I fear such a strife that I cannot approve of a Constitation which does not secure our political rights, and the norking of which will necessarils entail disastrous consequences to our race. (Hear, hear.) The strife of nationalities which has been teo long maintained in Europe appered to have no cause of existence in America. It appeared that there was on this continent roon enough and prospects enough to allow everybody, of all principles and of all nationalities, to live in peace upon it, without jostling and falling foul ot each other. It appeared that those who had emigrated from the old world should have at heart the formation of powerful nations on this" continent, without introducing the reigious and natignal hatred which had for so lonit a time divided Europe, and deluged her in bluod.: And yet what do we see here ?" We have seen Franee who first of all "despatched the aportes of Christianity into the vast solituder of Worh America-Emance who tirst plantei her noble flay on the Istand of Montreal and the heights of Uuebec-we have seen france deprivel of the Jasinch of the wait wheh whe had concieredon the continest, bedpeath: ing to her chidren, abiandoned in Catrow, but a future of strugelés and eontest, aramut the enersaching sprit of her puverful rival, (Hear, hear.) From the commencment of the French domination in America, we have seen repruiluced hete the strifes which divided the Huropean chatineot Towns and rillagis' were destroyed thoug there welw not roomenough in this new worlfer the fer handsfuls of men who came this in: habit it. The first scene of this inexcusable deseription occurred in Acadia, in 1613. Garnene mikes the fullowing. remarks on this sabject -

In hate Lisacssixt began; on the left bark of the Penobscot river, a settlement which he a niled St. Satuveur. . All went rell at fiest, and Hatterng hopes were entertained at once of suc-N- bervid ill expectation, when an unlooked fors storm butst uver the colony and stifled it in its cradle.

Eugland claimed the country as far as the t5ith. degree of north latitude- that is to say, all the
continent to the northward as far as the heart of Acidia France, on the other hand, maintained that ber boundary ran southward as far as the 40th degree. From this dispute it resulted that, white La Sarssirie thought himself within the Houndary of Ner France at St. Sanvear, the English declared that he was deep in their territory. To maintain the claim, Captain Akeaile of Vrrib. aia resolved to go and dislodge lim, incited by the hope of obtaining a 4ich booty, and by his preyat dices against: Catholics, who had been the cansed of the ruin of Portrincourt.
Thus in 1612, in other words only two or thiree years after the founding of Quebec, we already find religious and uational strife beginning their work of exclusiveness on our continent, and that strife we shall again have to engrage in, disagreealle as it may be, 1 proceed:-

In appeared suddenly before : 1 - Sauveur whit a $z$ esisel thounting 11 guns, and spread dismay among the defenceless Bhabitunts, who took him at first for a pirate. Father Gifieme de Tat sainly eadeavored to offer a slight resistance: lie was killed, ard the settlement given up to pilldig. Everything was earried off or sawhed, Awisit. himiseli setung the example:

To legaliae this tict of piracgy (for such it was), he stote La Sarssare $s$ compussion, and pretendey to took tipon hien and his people as unaceredited adventurers. " Gradually; however, the sermed :", sutien, and proposed tu these whe hat tradrs t: follow ham to danestown, frum whence, alits havia's worked for one jear, they sthupld te semi back to theis natuveland. The offer was ace thend by a duzed ut thma. The remainder, with la Sat-sive and father Misk, preffred (B), int thernselves in a frall senel with the obliont of reachisug Li Hove, where they tound a's cessel ot St. Malo, which cosure the to to frame.

Thise who trustry 4 , Amasti, woud wehe gheatly saptised, on there arrivalat Jamedtown. (is) tifld that theg, were thrown inte prion and treated as pirates.' In vaim they claineed the tut: tilment of the treaty which they had made with hime they w re condemied to death. Nrasth who had not suppose d that the abstraction of Lat
 results, did not think thrt he ought te carry dis samulation any fur ther, and gave np the cormissiout
 all. That docungent, and information which was chtained 'in' the course of the enquiry intiv the matier, caused the goverament of Vitginia to resolve to drive the French trom aill the places vecupied by them to the south of the lite tj. 1 squadrua of threo vessels was placed under the cummand of the sime man, Ahtial t, 'in order: 1 . put that resolution ta execution.

The tleet hegan by destroping all that remaned of the old habrtation of ste. Croix-a useless setrgeance, as it had beea abandoned for seceral jears ; it course wes then directed towards Port

Rogal, where nobody was found (all the people being in the fields, two leagues away), and in less than two hours all the houses, wogether with the fort, wore reduced to ashes.
Well, Mr. Speaker, this seene of devastaand vapdalism on our continent, which at that period contained hardly a thousand white inhabitants, gires the clue to all the evertop which fullowed from that date up to the conquest of Canada: by the English. This fact is a corroboration of the prinoiple that provides that the stronger nation shall. oppress the weaker, unless by special circum. stances the onie is protected against the other. This is the proof that the sectional equality secured by the system of government which we now possess has alone beeu effective in Cinada to enable different nationalities to live together on terms "of equality, and to' habur succesisfilly for the adrancement of the counwon prosperity. (Hear, hear.) But the strife " which begay in lel3, between" Frate and Englad, became more deadly atter a century and a halt of oceupation; it spreal alone the whole frontior of "New Frace At the iustigation of the rival race. Tadian tribes foll upon all the Fpach settlements in the country, aud an incessant and viadictive war was kept up with the sole. Cbject of droviag the French off the eintianat $\cdot$ We know at the present day. what the result of what eontest was. ' We are told Thit is have no reanon to complain of the syten of government which we now have. That is true. Butif we babe that governuent it is bechuse ever sinice the conquest, the femuant of the French nation whith rewainie. in" the dand have striven bravely to vitaimat: Had it boo been for the American revolution; we too would have bud our large share of sufforing ad hamiliation, similar. to that, which the Acadians were made to undorgo." The treatuent to which they were subjected by Eaylasd is au example of what might have happened to as, but for our nuniber, aud, subsequently, bat fir the vicinity to us of the American Republic. There was in Acadian aucleus of Frouch people, who lived peaceably and tappily, and who bid submitted to Engish dumination with. vut' a murmur; and yet, because they were "woak and had no louger the arm of France to protect thetir, they were transportod, like negroes on tho coast of Africa, by philanthrupic Engigland. This is an important historical fact which must uut be forgoten, and the details of which it is well to set before the eyes of cur population, at a time when the

English elementis pursaiag, witha persistence worthy of a better cause; the aggressive and eucroaching poliey concealed under the wheme of Confederation which is submitted to us.: The hon, member for South Lanark (Mr. Muskes) told us the other day that we ought to thank England, and be most grateful to her for the system of government irhich we received from her. But to whom do tre owe that system? Do we owe it to the liberality of Eugland ? Did we not obtain our political rights only at the time Wheu she could no longer refuse' them to us with satety: No, Mr, Speaken, our gratitude and our thanks are only due to those fellow-conatrymen of ours who at all times bravely strove to obtain them. When we see French colonies which still groan under the Euplish colouial system, and which complain to Europe of the treatment to which they are subjected, the conclusion: must be come to that we owe nothing. to Eugland, but that oin the contrary we owe all "w those who after an age of strife, intained for us that golgramental reform when we cijey. In order that vur piople misy form a eurrect upinion of that liberality which is so highly vanoted to ux, atlow me. here, Mr. Sisaker, we guote a few pages of the bistory of the deadian people:-

The wat of 1724 heran the ir mistortunes ; that of the sexen years completed its total ruin. For some time the Eaytish arems acted with the preatert sheverity; the courts, by the moot flagramt rolation of the law, by ssistentacic denal of justive; had tweoter to the poor inhabrtants an whjest at wine of terror and of hatreds. The mosit subu dinate githent mesisted on obedience to his aitl. $\because$ If you de met supply woud to my troops,". sad a certan Captain Mfribar,." I will tear duwa your biomess and uve them for fuel 2 " "a If you will not take the uath of fidelity," added Governor Lupsos, " 1 will turn diy camon asainat your wrllages." "Nothing could induce these holiorable ment to dy an act against which then cunsciences exelaimed, and which, in the opanion of anany people, Raglayd had no right to dephand frum them., "The Acadiatis," ch orves Mr. Haciaurros, : $\because$ were not British subjects, as they had niot taken the oath of allyriance, and they could not therefore, be e considered rebels;" not wero they to be looked upon as prisulers of war. nor to bé senato Franee, as for nearly half a century they had been allowed to retuin their posssessions, on the siasple condition of revaining neutral." 'But many schemers and adventurers looked at ther fine tiarms with an envious ege. What tive inheritanices, and, consequently, what a bait! It was not diticult for them to tind political reasons to jusuty the expulsion of the Acadiana. By far the
greater utumber had comminted no dict whatever mennintent wh ne nettany: but. mi the great casatruphe whel was impendag the tmocent wetcis beymed in the sume caterory with the
 mercy. Thar tate 'was idathol in Governor Lxwasese Counch, at whech were present Ad minats busimbs and Hostre, whese thets were erpasing yo the cyast. It war reoulved to dis-
 of this unturabate fegple; and morder that
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 bo-n chan...s were alturef, and it wis the only
 hou to vath marthy of tem, then tamates, and to gaze fur the liast thay ua that country which was vace so calm and happs, m which they were
born, and which they were never to see again. The 10th-was the day lixed for their embarkation, A calm resignation had succeeded to their first des. paif. But when the time came for them to bid a last adieu to their country, to go und live dispersed in the midst of a people.foeeign in language; in customs, in manners and in religion, the courage of these unfurtunate people gave wasy, and they gave themselves up to the most profound grief. In violation of the promise which had been made thern, and by ani undxampled retineneat of bar: barity, fautilies were separated and dispersed throughont different' vessela. ' In order to put then on board, the: primoners sere arranged in sises, with the "young people in front." These having retused to mareh, ant having clamed the fulfilment of the promiso made them, that they should be put on board with their relatives, they We: $\theta$ replied to by the arduance of soldiers with theirbuyoneto crossed. The roid from the Griad. Pre chapel to the river Gaspereaux was a mile an len th': it was lined on both oides by women and childien, "whio. wn their kneas and bathe 1 in tears, nucouraged them by calling down blessings on their beade. The and procession moved slowly alon f. praying, and singing bymans. The beads of ' fumilies, walked aftor the youth; at last the procession reacbed the shore, the unen were put into some vessels and the womer aud children mio vihers, pell-mell, without any regard what eyer for their comfort. Goveraments baveadum. mitied acts of crueliy under the impulse of unreflecting anger, but they ma 1 peen provoked and irrilited by agisression and epeated atitacks. There is no example' in modyrn dayy of chastse that inticted un a peacable and inofferisivo people with so much premeditation, barbarity and coul. hesi as that to whel shlusiot is now beinas made.
Oin the same day anid at the same hour, all the other Acadian settlemenis preserited the satme spectacle of desolation. The vessèts, ladra with the "numerous victims, salied for the dhterest provinces where they were to be dispersed. They. were thruit inshore on the coast berween Boston and Carolina, withuut bread aud withen ut preteothar, arid were left to the charity of the inhabituats of the country in which they might happent to be.
For many days after their departure, their eathe inight be seen collecting around the ruins oi their dyelliays, and their dogs pissed the nights. Il pritiul howhais at the absence of their mastera. Happy eren in the r grest, they did inot krow to what extremes avarice and ambition cha impel tankind.
Well, Mr, Speakea, these are facts which it is imp,rtuat tu remenber. Here is a Freach colong, situaned a tres hutidred leajues from Canada, depost d in a budy, and the remnant of "which loug atter returned to the saine territury. Still more; itia with the descendents of a sinall part of these exiles that it is nuw proposed to unite us. But a few months ago, I went anong those people, and when 'I asw' the magnificent
properties of which they had been so bratally despoiled, in order that they might be conferred upon their executioners, in spite of myself, I remembered their moving history, and that sight, I must say, did not tend to induce me to accept the scheme of Confederation without carefully considering all its details. I repeat, Mr. Speake; these are facts which must not be forgotten. (Laughter, and whispering on the right.). To see the wañoer, Mr. Speaker, in which certain members of this Hou-e receive the account contained in one of the saddest pages of the history of New France, oue would really believe that the facts which I have cited never occurred, and do dot consey any instruction for the future: However, I ap not surprised at such conduct on their: part, when they can approve of a plan of a Constitution' which contains a' clause by which the Imperial Government is enabled: ereu to chage our nome of Canadians to give us any one they may think proper.' The recullection of our struggles canpot be very virid in their memorys and the love of their $\therefore$ astionality must be pery weakly rooted in their hearts, to allow of their consenting to lose, with the name of Canadians, the menory of an heroic past: (Hear, bear.) Cider Coüfederation, Canada will be nolonger a country possessing a distinct individuality, and her own history and customs, butshe rill be a state in the Confederacy, the general pame of which will cause the special pane of each province of which it is com ${ }^{2}$ posed to disappear. Look at the statés of the Averinai Cnion; the name of the Euited States does away with that of the iodividual states. So with Canada; the vane of the Confederacy will be that hy which we shall be kiown in forvign lands. For my part, I am proud of our history and of my desiguation of Canadian, and I wish io kerp it: I am not one of those who can listen without interest to the recital of the beroie struggles of the French race in America, as the hon member for Rouville (Mr. Youils) can do ; for I am of opinion that considerations of nationality, of tamily, of language, and of origin ought to be most dear to as peuple, although they woulil appear to possess nu timportance or interest whatever in the ejes of the hon member. (Hear, bear.)
[It being sis o'clock, the House rose, to resume at i. alf-pase seven, P. M. At that hour Mr. Perraut tontinued.]
Mr. Speaker, at the time when I broke
off in my observations in consequence of the adjournment at six o'clock, I was engaged in shewing what was the spirit of antagonism and strife which prevailed on the" American contineut up to 17505 . We saw Acadia: made a prey to the attacks of New England, and lastly we waw her population dispersed over the inhospitable shores of this continent which border on the Atlantic ocean. Niw France had thus loit the greater part of her territory in America: The seven years' war adranced with the strides of a giant; and every day saw the Freach element confined within narrower boundaries: *After a prolonged contest; during which handfuls" of men struggled with armies of ten times their number, when they were without bread; with-: out nunitions of war and almost without hope, the battle of the Plains of Abraham struck the last bliww to the Freach power in America. In the following year the battle of Ste; Foye. (which took place on the 28 th April, 1760 , soon compelled the Canadians to capitulate; although they were the victors in that battle, and the Hoclish were compelled to take shelter behind the walls of Quebec. 'In the treaty of capitulation, Eayland guaranteed to the Fronch-Canadiaris the free exercise of their form of worship, the preservation of their institutions, the use of their language and the maintenance of their laws. After this struggle on the field of honor, which called down upon the "French-Canadians a must magnificent tribute of praise from their Goveroot, we shall find them engaged in a new struggle, ia political straggle, yet more glurious than that which had preceded the cession of Canada to England. But permit me here, Mr. Speaker, to quote the pulogum pronounced on the Canadians by Gorernor Vaudecuis in a letter which he wrote to the ministers of Louls XIY. :-"With this beautiful and exteusive country France lises 70,000 souls. who are of a nature so seldom found, that nevsr yet were people so docile, so brave, and so attached to their prince:" These qualities, for which the lirench-Canadians were distinguished at that period, still exist in the hearts of the popalation at the present day. At the present day still they aré loyal, brave and attached to monarchical institutions; they love firmaly-established iostitutions, and the guarantees of peace accorded by a great power, and the struggles thruugh which they have had to pass noder Enplete it: cination have been the best proofs of their lugatty: If we study the history of our struggles since the cession of Canada, we
shall find that our public men were always attached to the Crown of England up to the time when they were compelled by the arbitrary and unjust conduct of the Imperial Government to have ecourse to arms to $(b-$ tain respect for our politica! rights and our liberties; and it was thus in 1835, that we gaided responsible government. Hear. hear.) But in order to hold up to view the spirit of aggression and encroachnient which has always characterised the English pepulation in America, I shal "give an historical sketch of the straggles through which ive had to pass, in the conarse of aceutury; to attain at last our present Gonstitution. which it is "my wish to preserve, but which our Ministers : wish to destroy in ord re to sabstitute for it the scheme of Confederatign: This historical sketch will demonstrate was that we owe no gratitude to ' H gland for: thone politiad reforms which were ubtained for us only through the unyielding patriotism of ur great men, who, with intelligence. - encrgy and perseverance, valiautly strove for the censtant defence of our rights We shall also see that. if they obtained the system of government and the pulitizal liberty for which they struggled, it, was because we had for our neiy bors thy states of the Aurerican Coinn, and that yde by oide with the evil wis its remedy. We shall see that whenever England stood in need of us to defend her power, she made concessinins to us ; but that when the danger was onnce ove-. colonial tanaticism alway? attempted towithdraw those coneessis as and to destroy the influence and the libertisis if the Prench race. Each page of he parliametutary his: tory ot our country offers a fresh prot of this. But we thei had men who knew how to strugige for a noble cause, and who did not shrink from the danger which that struggle entailed. I hope Mr. Speiken that we have still some of those then without fear and without reproach in Lower Canada; I hope the present Ministry are sinere at the moment when they are giving up the guaratees of the existing Constitution. IE , they can arrive at a happy conclusion with their scheme of Confederation, I shall be the first to congratulate them, and posterity will thank them for having had the hardi. hood to propose so vast a scheme. : But I must say that there are men as iutelligent and as devoted to the dearest iuterests of our country as the hon ppotlerien who are sitting on the Ministeria! (henches, who are
convinced that this scheme, far from being. a remedy for existing difficulties, is but a new cogine prepared by our natural adversaries more easily to destroy the influence of the French race in Anerica; an iofluence for the presercation of which we have had to tight step by step ever since the comwencen. nt of English domination in Canada. (Héar, hear.). The first political struggle between the ${ }^{\text {Fronch }}$ and the Euglish elements in the country occurred ouly a few years atter the treaty of capitulation had been signed. The general then commanding in Canada established a system of military government.: There may have been ground for such a system after so long and bloody a war as that which was just oger, and which had left bebiud it so mach legitimate animosity in the hearts of the gonipueror and the couquered: However, the traty of eapitulation declared that the Cunadiass should be "subjects" of the king" atd as such they wre entitled to representative governucnt:" The faith of treaty "सa; therefore violated from the commencement of the Eaglish dumination in Cana la, and as I shall have the honor of shewing, this was but the first link in the long chain of arbi. trary actu to which w', ave lieen sabjceted siuce that ${ }^{\text {o }}$ period. The followinge Mr. Sphaker, is the first aggressive act that I shall ctte in support of ny statement :-
In 1764 Genecal Merbay, in accordance with his instructions; formed anow council, uniting the executive, le insitive and judicial power; and composed. of the lientenantgovernors of Moitreal aid Three Rivers, the chief justice, the inipector of customs, and eight iufluential persons: But one obscure man of the country was taken to, make, up the number.
This was the first act that trad to be complained of -

It was proposed to take possession of the bushopric of Quebec, together with the property attached to it, ad to coifer it on the Bistop oi Loudon, and whgran to the Catholics only limitt toleration, to exact from them the cath of allogiance, and to declare them inctapable, as Catholics, if holdin; any public oüice. Justice was admiaistered by nen ignurant of the lawa of the country and in a language with which the Canidians were unacquainted.
It is unnecessary to make any leagthened conments on the eatirely unjost manner in which the Cauadians were thus treared, and wa the flagrant violations of the cunditions - of the treaty of capitulation of Montreal.

But we shall soon see that the fear of im. pending danger was alone effective to obtain for us political liberty, for at that time the French element alone could sustain the Evglish power in America:-
The English partisans assembled at Quèbec in October, 1773, to prepare an address with the view of obtaining a House of Assembly.
And this was the reply made to them by the Imperial Government through one of the Ministry :-
As to an Assembly of Protestants only, I see no objection to the establishment of one; but the danger of disobliging the Catholics of the Pro: vince, who are so much superior in number:
This was the sole consideration whet was effective to prevent the carrying out of the proposition of 1773, to establish a Canadian. -House of Assembly composed of Protestants oaly, and yet out of a population of 80,000 souls, 500 familiess culy were at the time Saglish and Protestants. What greater injustice could be done us? But the English element made yet, other propositions to the Imperial Government:-
Six different suggestions were made in relation to the new forms of government which to was wished to introdace: "tst-The establishment' of a. House of Assembly composed exclusively of Protestants, as the Enolish understood the proelsmation of the nonsth of October, 1873, to provide, was asked for. Ind-An Assembly composed of equal numbers of Cathotics and Protestiants. . 3 rd - An Assembly composed alirost entirely of Protestants, witha limited number of Catholics. 4th-To delegate to the Governor and his council sufficieit power to control the province by increasing the number of the members who should be all: Protestants; or, 3th-Protestants and Catholics. Gth-Or avain, Protestaits with a restricted and limited number of Catholics. Thas, from the very first attempt made to give to French Canada a political organization, we find the most shameless exclusiveness forming the basis of the propositions suggested. There were hardly 3,000 English colonists against 75,000 French, aud already we were denied any represen antou: Fin the Governor's Coancil; there to set forth the requiremeuts of the country and to watch ovir the defonce of our rights. - :
The Cunsitor Baron (Maseses) prepared a bill by which he suggested the raising of the number of the nembers of the Council to thirty-ane; that the latter should be indopendent of the governor, instead of being subject to suspension; that the yuoram should be fixid at seventeen; and further that it thould not heve the power of imposing
taxes; that it should. be" appointed for seven years and should be composed of Protestants ; provisions which were calculated to exclude from the management of affairs and from office the French and Catholic element.

Always exclusion of Catholics, and consequently of the French element. But what resulted? Did the French remain unmoved: in view of the danger which was impending over them? No! On the receipt of the news they signed petitions, and obtained from England the justice which wäs refused tn them herre :-
Our unfortunate ancestors, however, did not remain idle under the threats aud injustice of their adversaries-the colonies were possessed of men capable of judging and of foreseéing events. Petitions were prepared and signed, in the month of December, 1773, of which the tenor was as follows: "In the - year 1764 Your Hajesty was pleased to terminate the milhtary rovernment in this colony and to introdace civil government into it, sud from the date of those changes wo began to be aware of the inconveniences resulting from the British laws, which up to that time had bèen unknown to us. Oar ofd citizens who had, without cost, settled our dificulties, were thanked; that militia, which considered it glorious to bear that wreat name, was suppressed. We were, indeed; allowed the right of being jaror's, but at the same time we were shewa that there were obstacles to our holdin's oftice.. The introduction of the laws of Enyhand was tallked of-laws which are infinitely wise and uspful for the Mother Country, but which could not be fade to coincide with our customs without. overturning our fortunes and entirely destrojiny our possessions:
Deign, illustrious and 'gracious Sovereign, to remove these fears bÿgrantíng us our ancient laws, privileges and castoms, with the limits of Gamada such as they sised to be.
Deign to distribute equally your benefits to all your subjects, without distinction-
And to graut us in common with the rest, the rghts and privileges of English citizens : then - . "we shall bi always ready to sacrifice them for the glory of our prince and the well. being of cur country:"

And such has always been the sentiment of the French population in America; it has always been loyal to authority, from the moment of obtaining that protection to which it was evititles. In viess of the diffieult position in which England was placed, the requests of the Canadians, having been favorably rectived, constituted the basis of the Aet of 1744. Cirummstances were indeed difficult. - The pilicy of the Mother Conntry had alienated her subjects in New England. The idea of taxing the colonies to provide
for the requirements of the Imperial Treasury had given rise to deep indignation on this side of the Atlantic. And that illadvised colonial policy it was that lost to England her American colonies. . Taught by this revolt, England perceived that she must grant greater political liberties to her French colonists in Canada. They would vot withdraw themselves from English domination; on the contrary, they wished to remain under her flac, for they feared being draxn into the neighboring republie, the future greatness of which was not at the time"foresecn. Impelled by the dread of losing what possessipus remained to her in Anerica, Eigland bad to yield the concessions which Canada asked für from her at a time when the war of independeuse called' for the cor peration of the "French element Garnead says :-

When war with the English colonies in A merica was' apprehended, prejudice was overcome in order:to make the Canadidus favorably disposed, by granting them the Agt of 1774, known is the "Act of Quebec.". This imperial statute, establishing a Legislative Council, entrusted; together with the Governor, with the daty of making daws, again guaranteed to us the free exercise of our relizion, anatained our laws and our customs, and released the Catholics from the necessity, in order to become members of the Council, of taking an oath contrary to the re religion.
This was what the war of the independence of the Cnited States*was worth to us. Euigland saw that if she dissatisfied the Canadians there would be and end th her power in America, and then only did she grant to French Canada the Quebec Act, which was a step towards the obtaining of greater liberties. The other day, the Hon. Attorney 'General for Lower Canada read" us" several passages from our history, to prove to as that French-Canadian hands had ulone prevented the annihilation of English domipi"ation on this continent. But he did not draw all the conctusions which he uight have derived frum the premises which he adduced, and the factis which hecitel. He ought to have told us whether, in the face of those services valiantly renderd, it: is just that the tinglish element, supported by its number, should to day impose upon us -representation based on population; ought the English elemeat, 'by this aggressive measure, to shake our loyalty to England by creating a system of government which is repugnant to us, and in which the French
element will lose its just share of influence in the administration of the affairs of our country? At this period it was that an address was sent to the Canadians by the American Cungreess, calling upon them to unite with them in the insurrection against the Mother (Country:- - Seize," "said the Congress, "seize the opportunity which Providence itself affords you ; if, you aet in such way as to preserve your liberty, you will be effectually free.". Mr. Speaker, everyone knows the reply made by the Canadians to this appeal. Armies invaded our territory, and took possession of a part of the couutry. Quebse alone held outy thanks to a garrison composed in part of Freuch-Canadians. Aud if we are now sheftered beneait the folds if the British flag, it: is to Freach:Canadians that we owe it, and it is them that Eaglaud ought to thank. Bat if it is proposed now the thrust upon us a political systeui, the sole object of which is to submerve usin a hostile majority, we hare to thank the English for it-ilie Einglish for whom opr fathers saved the country in 17.75. After the defeat of the Aucricaus before Quebec, Conyrest did not lose cuarage: A second manifesto wisy despatched to Canada, prumising fresh reinforcements; eminent men even came into the country; Fhanklis, Chase and Carboll iu vaiu solicited the Cañadianstoounite with them: Dr Cabrole, who died in $1 \times 15$ Bishop of Baltimore; was sent among the Cauadian clergy with no better success, and all hope of obtaining possession of this important colony bad at last to be relinquished. These facts. necessarily tended to enlightén public opinion, and Eingland perceived that it would be better for her to comply with the just demands of the Canadian' people, in order that reliance might be placed upon them in the day of danger, and that they might be used as a rampart againgt the U'vited States: , Then it was that a thore liberal Constitation was granted to us, that of 1791:-

[^10]said: "To attempt to unite people who differ in language, in laws and in manners, is very absord. To do so is to sow the seeds of discord, a thing. moxt undoubtedly fatal to the establishment of $\%$ new governmest. "Let' their Constitution be adapted to their nature, the only solid basis of every, goverument." The no less celebrated leader of the Whis party, Fox, opposed to the division of the provinces, zpoke to obtain an elective Liexislative Council for Canada. "With such' a colony as this." observed that orator, "which" is susceptible of progress, it is important that no ground-qhould be giveu her to envy her neighbors. Canada ought to remain attached to Great Britain by the choieg of her inhabitants; it cannot be preserved in any other waj!. But that this thay be so, the inhabitsnts must feel that their situation is not ivorse than that of the Americaus."
This Constitation of 1791 was a great evocession to Lower Canada: At last it had an elective chamber, in which the people wight. express their views, and through which they could convey their wishes tu the foot of the Throne... And also at once was seen a gene"ration of eminent men, of whom history will honorably preserve the sainted names, represeñting the interests which were eotrusied. to them: with wonderful skill and most uncommon súccess :-

The elections, were fixed for the month of July, and the meeting of the Howsey for the mouth ot Desember. Of the fity members olected sixteen' 'were Enylish, notwithstandia ' the constant oppo. sition "thich these latter hisd displayed to BreachCanadiusin interesta:
Thas on the organizing of the first elective chanber, and ia spite of all the oppositioa which the Frenoh- Wanadian party had met with from : he English party, we hiad sisteen Eaglish members elected ia great part by the votes ot iudividuals of our nationality. In this Hoúse, some days siace, we hearid Upper Canadian members, praising our liberality, and acknumledging that never had national or religious fauaticisui beeu displayed by us. That is true; wọ are esseatially liberal and tolerant, and a suticient proof of it, is given in the most striking manner, by the number of qembers of this Hünse who, although of religion aud urigin differiug from' ours, yet represent conaties in great part or exclusively French and, Catholic. This is a subject of pride for us. Uafortunately we have no retura in kind made to us, and we do not meet with the like liberality from tiae English population. Whenever it is ia a majority; it oloses to us the dour of houors and of office; it excludes us everywhere, where it is powerful enough to do so, From
the very first Parliament of Lower Canada, the English, although in an insignificant mincrity, endeavored to proseribe the use of the French language, and from that day began between the two races the same contestș of which we are torday witnesses. We are told that times have changed; it is true, but if the attempts at oppression are less barefaced, if they are concealed under an exterior better calculated to deceive us, it is dnly because we are more numeröns now than we were then, and that greater: dread than ever is entertained of the vicinity of the Anerican Union, in which, how more than ever, it would be easy for our population to find a powerful reuedy for the evils of which it might have to compliain. But let us see, Mr SPEAker, what oceurred at the opening of our first House of Assembly. I quote aq author w o has always supported the party of the Houorable Attoriey General
East:-
Partiament opened on the $1 / 7$ th December, in the Episcopal Palace, which had been occupied by the Goverament since the conquest. A Speaker. had to be chosen, aud Mr. J. Paver was proposed. Then it was that' the English members: were tound to reuew their attenpts to obtain the supre'macy, and to slight the interests of those by' whom they had been elected. Without the least delicacy and in spite of their being in a minority, they proposed in opposition to Mr. Paver, Messrs. Grant, MeGinl and Jorday Mr. Panets electiow was carried by a majority of 28 to 18 ; two Canadians haviog voted agrainst him. The hatred which the English party bore to the name of Canadun mauifested itself again when e proposition was made that the minutes of the proceedings of the House shonld be prepared in both languajes. A lively and animated debate arose between the two opposite parcies, and this very reasonable demand was' treated as a spegies of rebellion against the Mother Country. The French inembers were accused of insubordination; the motives which iaduced the act seemed to be misunderatood, and attempts were even made to natimidate them; but it was in vain The unassailable arguments upou which the Canadians rested their claim, and their words. like their eloquence, bearing the stamip of dignity, finally triumphed over the attacks of their fanatical opponents.
Thus the French element demanded the preparation of the procerdings of the Huase in its own language, but we find that the Eaglish element opposed it with all the puwer at its command. This was regarded as rebellion against the Mother Country ! It cau hardly be believed. Here was a legislátive body almost entirely Freach in its com-
position, and at the very first sitting the feve English members which it contained, atter having aittempted to force vi the very great majority a Speaker of their own origin, subsequently retused to nine-tenths of the population of the country the imprescriptible right to their language as the official language. But they were countiog without takitig into consideration the resolute firmness of which the Canadiaus of old so often gave proot in the defence of their rights; and I can convey to the huourable nembers of this Hưpase no higher opinion of the lofty" sentime "its "of these great patriots of the olden tume, than by quting the remarks made by one of the meabers, Mr. Delotbiniere, durins the debate io ques:" tion:-
The second rètson, which is 0 assimmlate and attach more prompty the Camadian raue th the Muther Cuuntry, oughe to 'set aside' erery ullher cousideration it we werenut certan of the tidelity of the people of thas prosnce: but let us di justice to their conduet at all times, and especially let us remember the year 1070. These Caindians, "who spuke nothiay but fench," "huwed their at: tachment to their sovereigho ia a maditer which admited of no poubt beirg cast ugot qu. They assisted on the detedice of the pavince This wity these walls. qus wry Luse mond f bate the honor to rass my yic\%, were; in part, sated If thenr zeal und thitituraze'. We satw them nuite with the fathtul suifegts of H! Majerty nud rephse the attachy made fy pophe who spoke very guvid Enthid, upon tha tuwi. It in not untiontuty of taiguan, thenetuse, Mr.



 peat, it is oot uningormity of lanciat that main'. tains and ensures the tidenty of a a ford , it is the certantyot its prosent stived furtune and of this our peoplenderat present pertecthy conanoed. They know that thery hatera nuod kut-sher best of kints. They have that they are under: a just and hberal "yorement: and hasty; they

 prepared to "oppose any"ouch proexedu? with vigur and courage.

Ma LCFRESSE ( Uataln) Mr. Speaker, I hupe the thantabie nember for Richelieu will excuse, my interrupting him for a mowent. 1 wish to ask a smple yuer. tion. Will the thon, member:ansimp me what dfference there is between a meaber who reads his specth and another whe reads, the history of Canad. to the House?

Mr. PLRRAC!T-1 reply to the hoti. member for Moutcalin, that the speech read
to us by the hon. member for Montmorency, the other evening, was written out from the first liue to the last. Not only did he read to us the passages which he took from tistory or the quotations which he made from the speeches of other members of this House; but als' his own remarks on those: ex: tracts. I only read hese quotations from authors, which serve as vuchers upon which to base my arguments. If I did not read them, it might be supposed that I only expressed my own private opinions; whereas they are those of a friend of the present Goverument:. Although I colincide in the ideas and "opinion' which I quote, yet I du" not choose to a pproprite them as my own, but-wish to leave all the werit and the responsibility of them to the author of them

Me. DUERESNE (Montcalm) - The only difference I can discover between the thon member for Montmorency and the hon. meniber for Bichelieu, is that the former read his own work, and that the latter is readering hiaself guilty of plagiarism. (Hear, hear, and laughter).
'Mr: PERLAILLT - Evorgouc anows, Mr. Speaker, that the hon nember for Tunteatio bas au reason to fear as similar aceusation, for the excellent reason that his writiugs and his speeches are nowhere to b? found. At the tiue when the member for Montcalm interrupted me so very inolensively, Mr. Speaker, I was quiting a padage from: M: De Lorbmicae's speech on the subject of the opposition offered to the publication of the proceedings of the House of As: seably in 1691 in Freuch, in order to demonstrate the spirit of exelusiveness which animated the Euglish dement from the comanence unent of our parlimmentary system, notwithstunding the insignificant mitarity in which they were at the tithe.. But that barefaced attempt was unsuccessful, and the amendment proposed, having for its object the pros cription of the Ereach language, was refused by two thirds of the House. It was finally resolved that the minutes of the proceedings of the House should be, in both languages, and that the English or the French version should be the tert of the Lenistative acts according as they rulated to the English or the French Lairs. Thas opposition to the French element manifested itself from the commencenent of our parliamentary system in this country, by the refusal to adupt the French as the oficial. lauguage. But, thanks to our stardy resistance, the use of that language has always been one of our privileges, a privilege whioh has
'almays been preserved in all its integrity until its introduction into the scheme of Confederation which is proposed to us. Had it not been for the colarage and energy displayed by the men of those days; the French element would have" lost ground, and its importance would have diminished, $s 0$ that at last it would have been assimilated by the English element. At that time, our public men already wished for responsible government, snd we shall see that the struggle which they carried on for half a century in order to obtain it, was productive of na important. result', until they had recourse to rebellion; and it is since that gloomy period of our history that we have our present Constitution and responsible goveriment: - Now that we have obtained our most sacred political rights' after passing through a centiry of persecution and through rivers of blood, shed on honorable fields of battle and on the scaffold, are we going to relinquish: them in order to accept a new Constitution, the evident object of which is to do away: "ith our influence as a race in this country? Has not the French majority, for fiften years, always carried its point in the Executive and in the Legislatare, thanks to sectional equality in the representation? Why should ive then relinquish the adran:tages conferred upon us by our present Constitution, for a scheme of Confederation in which we shall be inia minority, and which is fraught with danger to us and to our institutions?'The responsibility assumed by the Freach seetion of the Ministry in uniting the whole of Upper Canada with the English minority of Lower Canada is enormous. And now, at this very tiue, should that section wish to withdraw from the: straggle, perceiviog the danger for the future, it could not do so it would be carried away by the torrent ot the Eaglish element. It is to shew the danger that exists for the future, Mr, Speaken, that I am now presenting a ssetch of the struggles of 8 the past.'. The circunistances which gave rise to them still exist, and will entail the same attecupts at aggression; I must say this to stay my countrymen, while there is yet time, on the verge of the abyss towards which they are "allowing themselves to be drawn.: From 1809 Le Canadien disoussed, in an animated manner, the question of responsible government, and took to heart the interests of its fellow-countrymen: A ory of violence und treason was raised. But, gays Garneag the historian :-
Wo have carefolly perused the journal in ques.
tion; page by page, up to the time of its seizure by the authorities, sad we foand combined with a demand for tights "which were perfectly constitutional, an ever-recurring expression of the most unbounded loyalty and attachment to the Englişh monarchy.
The important question of the voting of the supplies was also the subject of the most violent debates ${ }^{5}$, Mr. Bédard insisted on this imprescriptible xight of every legislative body under the Crown of England. But it was constantly refused by the English minority in. the House and by the Mother Country. Led with greater strength by Mr. Bedard, the House by a large majority declared itself in favor of the voting of the supplies by the representatives of the people: In the division which was taken, we find the English element on one side," aud the French element on the other. Iask you, Mr. Speakez, what rights are left to the British subject if that of voting the supplies is taken from him; if he has not the control of the funds levied from the people for the administration of the affairs of state,-if he is thus deprived of the most inmportant of the privileges which are secured by constitutional goverament? Is this great injustice to be" consummated? shall the most precious of their rights be refused to the representatives of the people? Yes, Mr', Speaker, there will be no shrinking from this intamous proceeding. Our most eminent patriots, those whose eloquent voice on every aceasion temanded our threatened liberties, were the first to be accused of treason for baving made such id demand, and then. contined for fourteen months in the gloomy cells of a prisun, regardless of the articles of the capitulation of Moitreal, which guaranteed to us the rights and liberties of British subjects: That próposial to vote our public expeuditure which now appears to us so simple, then raised throughout the country a violent tempest, which was uever entirely allayed until the annihilatuon of the existing Constitution. In spite of the rage and calumny which wat unplayed, Mr. Bedario's proposition was carried, and the following is the divi-inn upon it :-

In Favös.-Mcsirs. Bédard, Durocher, T. L. Papilyau, Lee; Bor,ia; Meunier, Taschereau, $V_{1}$ for, Drapeana, Bernier, St. Julien, Hëbert, Du. eluas, Robitaille, Huot, Caron, C. Panet, Le Roi, Blanchet, Debartzeh, and Beauchatnp-21.

Agatsst. - Messry Mecord, Bowen, Mure, Bell,' Desechacd, Jones of Bedford, Blackwood, Gugy, and Ross Cuthbert-2.
A single English name, that of Mr. Len,
appears among the French-Canadian phalanx, but in compensation wro, find a French-Canadian name in the list of those who voted for that inexcusable denial of a right which we were to purchase so dearly. $\therefore$ It is not my desire, Mr. Speäker, to make any comments on this division, but cannot refrain from observing that it demonstrates that on every occasion we have had to struggle against the encroichucnts and antugodisin of the English element in Canada. Iet there was nu cessation in the demand for the votiun of the supplies so loug as it was not obtained, and it is a remarkable fuct thet during the whole time that the French-Cameditus were in a uajority in our country , Dinglimd sytematically refused us our most just demands and the control of the geveral adumintration. Still. nore, the mint arthirary acts were thrust upon usby the Mother Cinintry aided in every way, moreover, by colomn English fanticiem, which lost mopphtunty of thromge its well known exd lanivenes to our di-adrantage. But so soon as theme countryma exceded us in number, "u suad as thr big isth denent obs. tained a prepondersme. in the Howse of Assindy biy miento of the ninitio of 1840 , the Engish authoritues yranted us all the political rights, for which we hadasked m tan for's century. Ther perfectly well kuew that those roghes would be controlled, and in case. of need undined, fimato us iy an eserentidly hosthe reprevat tive majorty. But, thathks to the pitriotism" "in zut then of that day, we succered in buthy, the sehemes of the Britith Ginematert. Op to the union thove men find had to kerp.up a entasat struggle, marked by a durere of hesimin wirthy of the cause wheh they servel, asanst the Eng Liske autacracy. whela was banded together agsingt our count ymeth: We, their descendants, ine resdy to recomanice the samestruggle with the same eneryo a manain cur rights so dearly purctaced, ant to preme the inheritante wheh wh hate received and which it is cur wish ton thame intaget to the children of the wil. ' He ro bie or ) Let us now swe what was the cinhition ot chat liberty of the pere and of the literty of the subject at this ghang prtiod of our parlhanentary history. The (Coteulion having dared to ask for respaibsible government and Mr. Bepaat baving obtanced in the llonse a majority of twenty-tue against uine in fiivor of the votiog of the supplies, the Executive Council resolved at :uny cust to injure the intluence of the Cuncidien, and to paralyze the efforta
of the Canadian leaders: It kept a watch on the Canadien to find grounds of accasation, and "on the" deposition of troo in: dividuals, caused the printing office to be seized by a squad of soldiers, its contents to be convered to the raults of the court, and Mr. Bedard to be "imprisoned on a charge of treasonable practices. And this act of tyranoy was grounded on the fact that these political martyrs had had the courgge to demand for Canada the right of voting the supplies! The Canadien gave an account of this atrocious imprisonment in the following paragraph:-
"The intamous conduct of the Council did not end bere. The latter, with the siew of striking torror into the great uational party, eaused Messrs. Laforcé, Papiseay (of Chanbly), Curbein, Tas. chereat and Bianceiet to be imprisoned.
Thus, Mr. Speiked, at this period a repre sentative of the people was cast into prison for having asked for the grantiug of a right which was unjustly withheld, and to crown the act of tyrany, he was left to rut in his cell for fourteen months. and was refused "a trial before the courts in which he could have easily justified himself, and proved that be had aeted in a constitutionial manner. I e anonot pass over this page of our parliament. 'ary history without quoting it:-

The leaders, however, who had been basely inprisoned did not stoop before the storm. Mr: Beoman, from the depths of his cell, brated the tury of the enemies of: his coutatry; his great soul remained calen and undisturbed, and he dad not give way to despair. 'Proud of this rights and coufident of the justice of his cause, he in "vain demanded from his persecutors a justitication of their conduct The ears of his juilers were deaf to his demand, and retusing the liberty which they wished to grant him, he even iusisted on being brought to trial: The new elections cauged nu dhange ju the natunal representation. The Govtrint, in his speech, made an allusion to the se vere unasures which he bad taken with respect to Mr. Bedard and hat companions, and the sesniss ing beun liberated. It was not until after a capuvity of thirteen months, and after having contracted a mortal disease; that this great man left tho prision to go and rejuia a beloved lamily, who were déprived of their all and who were indebted for their meass of existence to the honorable generosity of the citizenis of Queboc.
Notwithstanding these crying iijuastices, Mr. Bedard did not complain; he considerad that. it was not too high a price to pay for the liberties of the people, and that a few moaths' imprisonment was a mero nothing in viow of
the great liberties for which he struggled and suffered. Listen to the noble utterances of that great patriot, in presence of his electors, after regaining his liberty :-
The past must not discourage us, or diminish our veneration for our Constitution. .Any other form of government would be subject to the same drawbick, and in fact to drawbacks far greater; the peculiarity of our present system is, that it. furnishes the means of remedying its own defects. [And be added]: We must, moreover, be prepared to make some sacrifices for the securing of these great adrantagés."
Such was the language of that great patriot; not a word of bitterness, complaint, or re crimination, but dignity of expression and $a$ sincere conviction of the advantages of the Constitution. What:a contrast, alasi between these days of devotedness and civic courage, and the egotise and frigid indifference of our own in which self-interest overrides every: thing and patriotism has ceased to exist. The page of our history I hare just read, is one which certainly should not remain unnoticed; it' is à page which cour legislators would do well to consult. They would there. tind an example of patriotism well deserving of initation. It is well to contemplate pnd study the great struggles of our forefathers, to see how vietory crowned the efforts of those noble patriots-a victory dearly purchased, and of which we have up to our "own day: preserved the precious fruits. (Hear, hear.) But the war of 1812 broke ont, and England - who has never granted us any liberties or privileges except when she needed us for her own defence on this continent-changed her tactics. She trembled for her supremacy in these British provinces, and immediately she deened it pradent to secure our good-will, and cosperation in the struggle then about to commence-in the first place, by calling Mr . Bedard to a seat on the judicial bench. She understood clearly that she could do nothing against the United States without the assistance of the French-Canadian element. And the Iuperial Government also hoped to recover the control of the influence and the services of the-race it had treated so tyrannically: - This it was that the man who had been east into prison, and whom the Government had accused of treason, becamie the judge of the bighest court in the country.: The adoption of every base means of gaining adherents constituted the tactics of the Goverament at that period. They hoped that by thus giving a place te the man who had been the most valiant defender of our righta and of our
nationality, they would secure the adherence of the children of the'soil, and they were not mistaken. In adopting that means, Mr. Speaker, the Imperial Government showed that they understood the character. of the nation they thus sought to gain over to their cause. For it must be admitted-and it is perhaps our misfortune - that it is the peculiar characteristic of the French element: that they very often too soon forget the persecutions of which they have been the victims, and which ought to inspire them with an honest indignation when they reflect on the past. Over-confident of the sincere good will of our adversaries, we are always tuken unawarcs at each new attempt at apgression.' And even now, a few years of prosperity has been enough to dazzle us and make us antieipate a brilliant prospect in a measure which in rolves nothing short of the annihilation of our influence as a race, which is in fact decreed in the scheme of Confederation now sotught to be forced upon the people. (Hear; hear.) But the Anerican army threatened the frontier, and it was necessary to think of defence. With a view of being prepared for an attack, the Governor assembled Parliament twice in 1812 , and measures were taken for arming the militia and rotipg the sums required for the organization' and defence of the province. Sir G Eonge Peevost, at the opening of Parliament in 1813, complimented the people for their courage and energy; and the proceedings were less storny than usual ; fresh supplies were yoted for the wars: and a good understanding subisted between the Government and the tivo Houseg during the session. At that heroic period of our history, we find our Freach-Canadian fellow-country-: men, to. whom fresh concessions had been made, obedient to the voice of their chiefs, rushing to the frontier and driving back the invader. But in $181 \%$, as in 1775 , the devotedness and patriotism of our people were deaticed soon to be forgotten. The moment of danger had scarcely passed away when those who had saved the power of England in America, at the price of their blood, were once more made the object of incessant attacks on the part of the Linglish oligarchy, as I bhall thortly shew. Garneač gives the following graphic sketch of the conduct of his countrymen at that critical period of our history:-

A second time was Canada preserved for Englatid by the very people whom it was soaght to anuluitut; by thar bravery the colong was pro-
servè from the jievitable woes of a frightful war. "For a moment', the hatred entertained towards the Canadian name was stifled; the Colonial Office, sensible of the difficulties of the moment, silenced the fanatical yells of its trans-athantic minions ; but once the danger over and Canada safe, the old antipdthies were soon again to burst forth;, the war upon our languade. our institutions and ourlaws to reconmence, and ingratitude to take the place of gratitude in the hearts of the childrén of Albion.

Forbearance, it was evident." had been thus used solely because circuustances rendered it impossible to give ground of discontent to so important "a portion of the population, by whom alone the" country could be saved. England, has never been liberal except in presence of danger." At this moment she is endearoring to attain the sante ond by attempting to destroy our nationality by means of the Federation scheme submitted to us: But she finds at her back now an element of strength which she did not then possess, to aid her in the takk-the support of a FrenchCanadian, majority: " Hear, lear, In the following year accurred the glorious battle of Chateauguay. On that niemiorable day a handful of brave men, cominanded by DESA: labrbry, confronted an enemy thirty times superior in number to themelves, arrested the advance of the invader, and by their devotedness and bravery saved this rich prorince for the Crown of England. Now, Mr. Speaker, what the Freach Canadians did in the war of 1812 , that they are onee more prepared to do under the Constitution as it is at this moment. It was because they felt at that time that they had something more precivus to defend than a Confederation which can afford no better protection to their material interests than to their institutions, their language, their laws, and their nationality, that hey wok no acconnt of the numbers of the enemy, but fought valiantly, when they were outnumbered it the proportion of ten to one. And now again, in defending the Constitution as it is, with the rights and privilegess it guarantees to us, the Canadians will not hesitate a noment to sacrifice themselves for the safety of the precious deposit entrusted to their Eeeping. Surely, Mr. Speakier, it is not necessary to go far back into our history for an instance of this. In-1862, at the time of the affirir of the Trent, when a rupturs with our neighbors seemed imminent, the French-Canadians rushed to arms with the eagerness and irresistible in pulse of the heroes of New France. It is not, Mr. Spriakser, that tho French-Canailian
desires war, but he loves to nerve his arm by calling to mind the battle-fields of former days; and if the present generation were called upon to meet the enemy, they would show the whole world that their blood has not degenerated, and that they are worthy in every respect of their heroic ancestors. (Hear, kear.) After the war of 1812 , which had so greatly imperilled the possessious of England on this. continent, the same attempts at' aggression were renewed without delay; so so true is it that danger alone could interrupt them, $\because$ The troops having gone into winter quarters, the Governor, Sir G. Prevost "went down to Quebec to open Parliament, and the disagreements between the popular branch and the Legislative Council soon broke forth again fittle by little: Stuart again brought: up the question of the rules of practice, and made the most serious accusations againat Judge Sevelil-charging hin, for instance, with haviag attempted to enforce his rules of practice withont the authority of Parlianent; with having disuissed the Solicitor Geveral from his place in order to instal therein his own brother, E. Sewely; with having vio lated the liberty of the press, by causing the Ciandien to be seized, without any plausiblo grounds; and the liberty of Parliament, by iuprisoning several of its members." These accusations, some of which were true, were trausmitted to England, but Sticart having been unable to cross the sea in order to follow. them up, Seweil got rid of the charges. The same occurred as regards Judge Monk, who was accused at the same time of sundry malversations; and, as Mr. F. X. Garneat rëmarks, Judge Sewell determined that the best revenge he could take for the accusations brought against him was to suggest to the Prince Regent the union of all the British provinces, with a view to compass the destruetion of Freuch Canadian nationality. Such, Mr. Spraiker, were the circumstances, under which the scheme of Confederation was first proposed: 'And it must be admitted that, bearing in anind the recommendation of Mr. Sewell: it ought to excite many fears ou the part of every true French-Canadian. Whe was the first man to pronounce the word "Confeder. ation"? A man who violated the liberty of the press and the liberty of Parliament! A man who had for years longed for the destruction of the French-Canadian race! At a subsequent period, after the revolution of 1837, Lord Deriam proposed Confederation as the political organization best adapted for our annihilation. And at this moment our
fellow-countrymen in office submit, nay, propose, to the people this scheme of annihilation, specially prepared for our destruction, and whioh must destroy us, Mr. Speakie, if the people outside this House do not protest in every constitutional way against the political staicide of the French race in Canada. At the prorogation of Parliament in 1814, the Speaker, L'J. Papineati, addressed the Goveraor, Sir George Prevost, in thẹ following words:-
The events of the late war have drawn cloger the bonds of connection between Great Britain and Canada. These provinces have been preserved for Eagland under circumstances of great dificalty.
'These words are, in many respects, deserving of serious consideration; and I call the attenton of honorable members of this Honse to this remarkable passage:-
When the war broke out-continued Irr. PA. pisest-this country had neither troops nor money, and Your Excelleacy commanded a people in Whom, it was said, the habits acyuired during toore than half a century of peace had destroyed all military spirit. Despite these predictions, you succeeded in deriving fr-m the devotedness. of a brave and faithful, thougt calumntated people, sufficient resources to defeat the plans of con: quest of an enemy great in numbers and full of contidence in his own strengit. The blood of the ctildren of Caniada was shed, mungled with that of the brave men sent here to assist in our defence. The repeated proois of the poserful protection of England and of the invinlable fidelity of her colonies, coustitute for the latter fresh tites," in virtue of which they clain to enpoy the free exercise, of all the rights and udvantages goaranteed to them by the Constitution and the laws.
The Speaker of the Legislative Assembly, then twenty-sir years of age, who struggled so 'heroically to secure our' political rights. and liberties, is the same whose name; during a recent sitting of this House, was igoouiniously drayged forward by the hon. member for Montmorenoy and the Howorable Attorney General East (Hon. Mr. Cartien). His name, venerated by the entire country as that of .its liberator, has been cast as an insult in the teeth of houorable members of this House, who decm it an honor tonga his leadership, and who still continue to carry on his workthe protection of our polition rights against the underhand plots of a hostile majority. Bat. Mr. Speaker, that venerable old man, who has growa grey in the service of his conntry, is sheltored from base insinuations, which can as littlo ponoirato his pescoful retirement
as they cin the hearts of the sincere friends of our country. In that quict retreat the great patriot of our evil days; after having nobly fulfilled his task, enjoys in peace and with pride the esteen of those he successfully defended with his powerful voice in the darkest hour of our political history. Gross insults, shameless calurinies, when uttered against such a man, redound with double weight upon those who thus basely vilify a citizen justly admitted to be an honor to our country. The name of the Hon. L. J. Papineat is surrounded with a luminous thalo which malignant calumny can pever suo ceed in tarnishing: His nemory is safe from these envious assaults, for it is under the protection of the people :whom he rescued from the systematic colonial oppression whioh I am attempting to describe.' "Reilly, Mr. Speaker, the cause of the Honorable Attorney General East must be in very great straits when ho is compelled to resort to such means in order to suve it ${ }^{-}$The"Honorable Attorney General East must have very little confidenoe in the success of that cause, when he codeavors to excite the prejudices of his supporters by heaping insults on one of the greatest names in our history. Such language on the part of the Honorable Attorney General East is the more culpable in that he hin'self was one of the rebels of 1837-8, and one of the most zealous partisans of that great pat riot whon he now insults. " Did he not himself vote in fayor of the ninety-two reso lutions-that itpperishible monument of Canadian : rights? Yes, Mr. Speaker, the man upon whose head a price was set, the man who was compelled to fly from his country and to seek from' a neighboring country that right of asylum, which he refuses to-day to tho Southern refujee, has the audacity, now that he is Attorney Gener.l, to ofll that great statesmaia "Old Mr. Papineav," and the oppossition in this House, "Old Mr. Paprineat's tail. ${ }^{\prime}$ : I do not hesitate to assert, Mr. SpesitER, that such expresisions are unworthy of this House, and unworthy of the position occupied by the Honorable Attorney General E.st, who has had the questionable courage to pronounce them. (Hear, heir.) Such expressions, if they are to be tolerited anywhere; find their proper place in the common streets, and the standard of this House must have fal: len very: low, when such language is permitted here. All sease of dignity must be lost, when the Hon. Attorney General is permitted to insult, on the floor of this House, the name of a man whom every true French

Canadian holds in veneration. Let the honorable gentleman not deceive himself-opinions and ideas tending to promote the happiness of the people, and the men who sustain and struggle for their interests, will ever be victorious over the assault of calumny and enyy. And what has been the aim of the Hon. At. torney General and the honorable member for Montmorency, in their attack upon the Hon. Mr. Papineat? Their object; in the first place, was to injure the Opposition, who represent him; and next, to elevate themselves, by dragging down to their own level one of the great men of our history, beside whom they are but pigmies. For there are two ways of being great: the first is by rendering to one's country eminent services, and by ex. hibiting undeniablè superiority ; but inasmuch as the Hon: Attorney General and the honor: able member for Montmorency possess neither the material nor the superiority that go to make great men, they adopt the second mode of attaining greatness. It consists in depreciating and crushing all those who are superior to one's self., Thus they hope to rise - over the ruined reputation of those they enviously calumniate and unceasingly attack. They recklessly carry on their work of demont. tion ; they are not arrested in their course cive by the names that persinify a whole epuch in our history, and whei one of the great tiyures of the past confronts them in all itt dignity, Jike a statue of clory, their wacrileghous hands are eagerly raised to mutilate it then, stapding alone upon its scattered fragmente, they conteimplate with pride the priostrate vietim of their yandal labors!" Such. Mr. Speaker, are the mo:ives which explain' the efforts made by those who thus attempt to injure oad of the greatest men of our race; (Hear, hear, and cheers.) But we have not yet reached the termination of our struggles. At the opening of Parliament in 1816, a message was communicated to the House stating that the charges brought arainst Judpes Sewell and Mosk had been dismissed "The bitter words in which the message was cuuched greatly inceñed the House, and a proper answer was just about to be adopted, when a dissolution was resorted to in order to prevent a manifestation of the feetings of the House. And what was the position taken by the Imperial Goverument with reference to those difficulties? We find it stated in the letter written by Lord Bathlrarto Governor Sherbsooke, who pointed yut to them the false step taken by the Colonial Uffice in thuis oppressing our ruce:-

Hitherto the Government has found, on all ordinary oceasions, an abiding resource in the firmness and disposition of the Legislative Council, and there is no reason" to doubt that the Council will continue to counteract the most injudicious and riolent measures of the Legislative Assembly:
In truth, the measures of the Legislative Assembly of that day were very injudicious, very violent! They demanded that the people stiould have a voice in the disposal of the moneys contributed by themselves! And hence it was that the Legislative Council counteracted all the measures demanded by the people. I continue the quotation:-

It is.therefore in every way dexirable"that you should avail yourse! fof its assintance to counteract any mensures uf the Axembly you may dean objec. tionatie, insitead of placing your own autherity or that of the Government in direct opposition to that of the House, nind thus aftioding them'a pretext for relinstes the suphthen necestary for the service of the coundy.
Yes. Mr. SPEAKER, the nominative Legislative Council was always the stumbling-block in the way of the French-Canadians whenever they eudeavored to carry may measure of reform, The elective House invariably met, on the part of that body a systematio oppo sition toevery measure desired by the peoplean opposition it was mpossible to overcome. It was in 1850 that we succeeded, after a constant struggle of fifty years, in introduoing the elective frinciple into the Upper House At this mouent, despite the lessons of the past, recorded unfortunately in letters of blood, an attempt is rinde to return to the old system, we are about basely to abandon a privilege a political right, which was the reward of no many struggles and so many wues. Yes, Mr. SPEAKER; such is the soleme of the preseat Government, they intend that in the Confederation the members of the Lo gislative Coungil shall be appointed by the Crown, as in the darkest period of our his: tury Happily, the people thoroughly under: stiad the value -and bearing of life nominations. They know that the great majority of the "men so appointed by a. General Govern. ment, inumerically hostile to our race, would ever, be ready to reject measures the most fiavorable to our interests as a nation. The Legislative Council under Confederation will bo what it was it the days of oppression, when Loord Bathinest, in pursuance of the instructions of the Imperial Government, said to Governor Suebbrooke-" Be care ful to make use of the Legisiative Couneil to connteract the measures of the dective
body." "That is jusit it-they shield themselves behind a Legislative Coüncil: composed of their own creatures brought back to life, and then while lauding to the okies the colonial liberality of England; they pull the strings and make their puppets play the part of oppressors.' It is precisely the same political organization that is proposed in the scheme of Confederation. In a Legislative Council composed of life-members, we shall have men prepared invariably to refuse the people the measures they require, if such measures in any, way affect the privileges of the aristocratic olasses. However eager may be the efforts of the nembers of the elective body, it will be constitutionally impossible for us to obtain such measures. Moreover, these councillors, of whom the majority will be hogtile to us, will do every. thing in their power to gratify the Imperial Government, by whom they are to be ap-pointed-a Government which has ever liberally subsidised its creatures © Such, Mr. 'Speaken', are the dangers in our path if we return to the old systea of life-appointments proposed by the Government in the Con-: federation scheme. (Hear, hear.) But the first instructions given by Lord Batiriast to Goverinor Sherurooke were not sufficiently explicit, apparently ; for shortly afterwards he transmitted the tollowing - "I strougly recommend you to see that the Legislative Aisembly does not dispose of public moneys without the consent of the Legislative Council,"- thus unscrupulously violating the very essence of the Constitution, evidently under the impulse of rabid national feelings. It is a principle of the Constitution of Ensland that the popular House, which represents the opinions of the people, has alone the right of voting supplies for the administration of the goverament, and that moneys levied for that pur: pose from the people can be expetided only with the consent of that flouse and not otherwiso. Well, Mr. Spenker, that do we find in this instatice? We find the Imperial Goverminent expressly instructing Her Ma. jesty's representative in Camadn' not to allow the supplies to be yoted without the consent of the Legislative Council, appointed for life by the Crown, and whose constant efforts wore directed to resisting the just deruands or. the French Canadians. This question of the supplies, the chief cause of all the diffculties by which wo have been beset, both previous to and since that period, was not to be thas disposed of. We then hed mea who were not to be baflled by
difficulties or rebuffic. And thus it is that we find those noble champions of our rights and liberties coming forward, year after year, with the same demands; never disheartened by de feat, and struggling on' until at last their legitimate claims were acceded to. In Janu: ary, 1819, the Houses were opened, and the first question which brought on an animated debate was, once more, the question of the finànces:". A discussion arose as to whether the Lowar House, after having obtained the annual vote of supply, could moreover obtain a detailed civil list and vote' on each item separately. $\because$ The majority desired this in order to assure themselves of the integrity of the public officials, and to hold in chect the mempers of the Executive Council,' over whom they had no control. Others opposed it strongly as a new principle and violating the rights of the Crown. A committee, appointed to eximine into the question, reported infarior of a reduction of the expenditurewhich they declared to be far too great in proportion to the revenue and the abolition of pensions, which tended to grave abuses: Adopting a middie course between the two extremes, some wished to vote the supplies undecertain licads, giving a gross sum for each "departuent."But the supporters of a detailed vote carried the day: "The bill was passed, sent up to the Councii, and, as was anticipated, rejected by that body in tho following termes:-

That the mide adopted fur the granting of the civil list was unconstitutional, unprecedented, and involved a direct siolation of the rights and prerogatives of the Crown; that if the bill became taw, it' would not onily five the Commons the privilege of rotin's gapplies, but also of prescrib. ing to the Crown the unimber and character of its servanies, byregulating and rewarding their services as they thought proper, which would render them independent of their electors" and might lead to their rejecting the authority of the Crown, wheth their oath of allegiance bound them to sustain.
Thus, Mr. Speaker, the Council nominated for life rejected that eminently just measure -the voting, item by item, of the supplies by the Lower House; that is to say, the distribution of the moneys levied from the peo-plo-and even went the length of declaring the measure unconstitutional. Is it possible at this time to understand how servility could be carried to such an excess? At that period the population of Upper Canada had increased to a proportionately considerable estent, and the British population of Lower

Canada was sufficiently numerous to suggest the scheme of uniting the two Canadas unvider one government, and in 1823 the proposal was made in Eugland. It was, therefore, at that period of trouble and agitation, and rivaley between the Houses, that a plotwas entered into in England to aunihilate at one blow French-Canadian nationality. The war only postponed. the scheme for the union of the two procinces; for the- assistance of the French-Cinadiun penple was needed. Peace having been established, it ras resolved to carry out the measure, and a bill for the purpose was presonted to the Imperial Legisiature, unknown to the parties whose fute was being decided, and without their being consulted, for it was known that they were opposed to that act of oppressinn. Yes, without consulting the people of Lower Canada, it was sought to force upon them a Constitution urder trhich they were to have a sinaller representation than Upper Canada; moreover, Lower Canada was to be charged with the debt of the other province; which was a considerable debt, and the dariguage of Lower Canada was to be banished frou the Legislature:' Happily, the scheme found orponeat in the Lmperial Parliament, and, d spite"ath the intrigues and efforts of our enemies', the till was thrown out at the second reading. Thetic an at the present day; those who ainat at our destruction were loud in tivor of $p$ shing the bill, it any price. before the people hid an opportunity of protesting. "At the precent nim ment, these who desire to force us into Coutederation. in the face of the petitions ag tinst the schemes, tell use that we liunt aceph, the geve Constitution before the people are made aware of "ts mon: strous details. "I beas of you to pass this bill at once;" said Mra Whmote, " if you -wait until next year you will recebeso many petitions protesting againt the memsure, that it" will be very difficult tio adopt it, however useful it may be to thone whoppune it throunh quorance or through prijudice ${ }^{\text {a }}$, moreaver, it is essential to the remora of the diffeculties existung between the Executie and the Ay sembly: When the news of those unjust. but buppily abortive atteupts reached canada, the greatest anitation wis produced, and the whole Camadian people felt iodiguant at such procedings, several unetings were held at Montreal und Que be to protest mainst the bill, and petitions to the Eughish Guvernment were siqned by 60 ,0f0 persons. At that period, us in this justance, the union was to be carried without ensulting' the people, and the Imperial Parliameat submitted to the

Legislature a measura againgt which 60,000 French-Canadians protested. $\therefore$ Mr. Speakisb, I have no hesitation in asserting it, the scheme of Confederation which it is now attempted to force upon the people is destived to be rejected, not by 60,000 French-Canadian signatures merely, but by 100,000 . Yes, our people are wating up, and in this united and general protest we shall not lag. behind those who shomed us the example of an effective protest whenever it was sought to inflict injustice upon them. We will send to England thousands of signatures to protest against the Constitution we do not desire, and if justice is then refused, well ""fiat justitur riat collum," "we shall have emploged every constitutional means; and the responsibility for the consequences of that refusal of justice will fall on the heads of those who labor to bring about such a state of things. The Hon. Devis Benjainn Viger, one of the boldest champions of our, rights, said of the bringing formard of the scheme of union in the Imperial Parliament, without consulting the people :-

After fifty ycars of peace and prospority, when the geutration that mithessed the conquest hay pasged away; wheu thyre remains hardiy a living witness of that event amang the preseat geuer: ation; when the mesiory and the irapressony of it has died out in thi breast of Fronch Canadians; when, su fine, there no longer remains in the Pro vince any but British born subjects, "eñjoying"all their ri, his in that cupacty aloue- now it is that a scheme is erneveted under which we are to be treated-i wil not say as a couquered people, for : ihe p p-blic lawd of civilized uatious no longer per: mit the vanuuished to be rubibed of their inntitutious and laws, aty more than of their propertybut likëa a barbarois race to whism the enlighteninn in and the arts, the priaciples und the dutice. of sucial hfe, are unknown.
And in truth, Mr. Speaker, those words were not too strong to qualify justly the conduct of the, lmperial Governaient at that peried Blood had to be shed at St. Denis and St. Charles, and heads to tall by the axe of the executioner, before justice could be obtained. It was only thex, when it wastound that the people did not hesitate to sacrifice the lives of their aoblest children, in order to secure their political rights and liberties, that we tro ceived responsible goverament as we now enjoy it and as we desire to preservo it "At the opening of the eusuing Session it was expected that the debate on the finatices would be resumed; but the Governar having separated, in the estimates, the civil list from the othes expenses, the supplies were voted.

Thus it was that whenever the struggle for rights was persevered in, the result was, success; and why is it, I ask, that our states men who have struggled since the union to preserve the Constitution as it is; with such signal success, now give way to the demands of Upper Canada? Let us, thed, maintain our present position, the most fruitfui ini aidvantages to French-Canadians. The question of finance had been for some time looked upon as dispósed of, but on Dalhócse's re turn the question arose again in a more threatening form than ever; and the supplies were refused (1827). The Governor on the following day prorogued Pariament, insulting the dignity of the Commons and ealogizing the Legislative Council:. This net of tyranoy caused great excitement amongst the pecple. The press attacked the Government, and in order to show the exasperation of men's minds at the time, I quote an extract from: one of the newspapers of that period :-"
Canadiaus, chains are being forged to bind You: it would seềm that we are to be antihilated or ruled with a rod of iron. Our liberties are in rided, wur rights violated, our privileges abol'. thed, out complaints despised, our political ex. istence menaced with utter and complete ruin. The time has now come to put forth all gour re sources and to display all your'energy, so as to cuivinuce the Jother Country and the horde who for half"a ceatury have ty ramizized over you in your own homes, that if you are subjects you are ant slayes.
The eleetious resulted favorably for the popular party. At the nueeting of Pariament, Mr. Papingat was elected Speaker, but the Goreroor refused to sanction the choice, and told the Legislative Assembly to elect another. What was the propor course for the House of Assembly to pursue in the face of such conduct? - To give way ? No, Mr. Speiker; there were at that time men in our House of Assembly, men who did not shirink from their duty, nor from the responsibility of their just opposition. On motion of Mr, Ctinlieh, it' was "resolved that the election of the Speaker must bo made freely and iadependently of the Governur; that Mr. Papineac bad been so elected, that under the layu, no contirsation was needed, the latter being, tike the presentation, a simplematter of form and usage. Mr. Papineat having been reinstated in the chair, the Governor/rofused cy approve the selection made, and the same sreaing Parliaineat was dissolved. Thus, Mr. Speaker, Parliament existed but one day. beemuse the Speaker was a man who valued his independence too highly to sub-
mit to the dictates of an ill-advised govern: ment. In truth, if these are the liberties We owe to the colonial system, I need not stop to prove their utter hollowness. The people understood the position in which it was sought to place them, and took steps to repel these fresh attempts at aggression : $\because$ The question created increased agitation ; public meetings were/held in city, town and country; the gpeeches made betokened the disturbed state of the public mind ; proceedings' vere taken against the press, and Mr. Waller, editor of the Spectateir, of Mont real, was arrested" for the second time. "Addresses, bearing over 80,000 signatures, were forwarded to England in the hands of Messrs. Nelison, Ccyillier and D. B. Viger. Mr. Gale took the petition of the partisans of the oligarchy. A great meeting of the inhabitants of the cuunties of Vercheres, Chambly, Rouville and St. Hyacinthe was held at St. Charles; the people protested energetically. against the existing state of things, and in taet it was broadly declared that the natural consequences must be expected to follow apon so thasran a violation of the most sacred rights of the French-Canadians. Mr. Spear. er, the canadian people, in the person of their leaders, at that period traversed the ocean ia order to obtain justice from the British Government, and laid at the foot of the Throne the protest of 80,000 of our fellowcountrymen, a people who, in the trying days of our history, had not hesitated to sacrifice their/lives to maiutain British power on this continent and orice more, in this instance, when an attempt is niade to force upon us a Constitution we have never asked for and Which the people of Lower Canada energeticaly condeuni, the same reans of protesting is open to us, and the Goveriment may rely upon it that we shall be as firm in defence of our political rights and liberties is' were the representatives of the people in former days: Our protest will be, if any thing; still more energetic against the proposed scheme of Confederation which it is sought to impose on us:
The Iouses met in 153.31, and the Governor, in the coarse of the seswion, commumcated to Parfiament the reply frim ringland relative to the question of the supphes. The Imperial Goverin: uneng gave to the representatives of the people the control ot the revenue, with the exception of the casual and territurial uems consisting of the Jesuits' Estates, "the King's. Posts, the droit du quint, tise lids et centes, woods aud torests, \&c., tor a civilliss of $£ 19,000$ voted for the lifetime of the king.
In 1831 power was granted for voting, iteon by
item, a part only of the supplies. The restriction was not censented to by those who represented the people in the Legislitive Assembly. Süch a state of things could not continue, without leading to a collision; and the events of 1837 justified the apprehension of those who had all 'along warned the Gocernment that it was impossibic for the people any longer to endure so flagrant a violation of their rights, and that there was imuifinent danger of exhausting their patieno3. Events followed each other rapidly, and the elergy then, as at this time; were opposed to any energetic denionstrations. Monseigneur Lártigce, Bishop of Montreal, publinhed a pastoral letter, in which he said "Who will dare assert that the whole people of this country desire the destruction of the Governmant? Mr. Speaker, no we desired it; but the minority at that period, like the minority at pres ent, coniplained of the injustice they suffered, and the clergy were oppused to them. $\therefore$ The minority of that day struggled for the political rights of the people ds they are struyoling now, and they found arrayed ypdinst then every powerful infueace aud all stablished authorities. This contrast points to a tiot deserving of notice. To-day the Government constantly insuit us by crying out: You represent nothing in this House, public opinion is ag ungt you! Well, Mr. Speak ex, I ask the Non. Attorney General tor Lower Catads whether be hime af und his honorable colleague the Prime Minister, had the majurity of the Lower Cansda prople: aud clergy with them when, in 1,3it, they protested energetically agamst the mijustice done to their telluw countrymen? No, Mr. Speaker, at that time they formed part of the little phalaux who weat su far as to raise the standard of rebelliou on the phans of St. Denis and St. Charles! "How tiates are changed! At the present moment the sume men, the revolutionists of heruer dyy, strain every perve to deprive the people of the rigit of pronouncing for or ay mast the coustita. tional changes sought to be firced upon thetii So complete a forgetfuluess of thaticowa past is extremely - deplorable." Mr. Splakea, fur weighty reasuas, I do not desire w divell one the events of 1837. In 1336 there remainet to be brought on the trials ot those who had bren amplicisted in the troubles. " Lord Dcruas found himselt placed in an emburrassiag position, for it is: always difticult for a yovernment to carry on political prosecutions; by such a course it frequently loses its streugth and its popularity.

To escape from the difficulties of the moment, the Governor resolved to adopt a great measure. On the day of the coronation of Queen Victoria he proclaimed a general amnesty, and granted pardon to all the Canadians, exeept twenty-four of the most earnest of the revalutionary party. It is important, Mr. Speaker, to know who were the twenty-four daring revolutionists against whom the British Government displayed so much severity, and against whom the clergy had pronounced so strongly. These men were Messrs. Wolfred Nelson, R. S. M. Bocchette, Bonaventcre Viger, Siméo Mabchessielt, H. A. Gauvin, T. H: Godin, Rod. DesRf Fieres, L. H. Masson, Lodis J. Papiseae, C. H. Coté, Jullen Gagnon, Robert Nelson, E. B. O'Callagitan, Ed. Et. Ródiea, T. S. Brown, Lcdier Duvernay, Ed. Chabtier, Ptre., G. Et. Cartier, J. Ryan, Jr. Ls. Perraclat, P. L. Demaray, J. F: Davignon, and Lé Gactuier: $\because$ Thus Mr. SPEAKER; among those sanguinary men I find the Honorable Attorney General for Lower Canada (Hon. Mr. Cartier). (Hear, hear.) Far be frou ne the thought of reproaching bim with his couduct at hat period.
I have always looked upon it as that of a patriot and of a true friend of his country. Besides, that honorable memiber has declared to us on uiany occasions that he did not regret the struggles which he had formerly main. tained in order to clain the political liberties of his counsry, and I can perfeely understand that he does not waver in those sentiments. for it iy now an bistorical fact that all those who took part in those strugyles' wobly staked their lives for their convictions, and the ninority then, like the present minority, could expect nothing but misinterpretation of their eppenition to power. It is nut for ane to decide hör far this insurectionary movement was excited by the deplorable cireumstances of the time, but I amperfectly satiotied that ebose who were at the biedd of it were impelld by setitiments of patriotism, by the geaerous desire of obtaining for theif fellow country. men the political liberties which were refused them. They have therefore laid their country under a great debt of gratitude for the sacrifices which they made. Nuw see, Mr. Splaker ; the men who, twenty years ago, constituted a revolutionary minority, braved. the cleryy and raised the standard of revolt against Great Britian, are today in a majority and supported by the powerful influence of England and of the clergy, whose eutire contidenco they posesss. They have their
little entries to Windsor, they fill the highest and most luerative offices in our country, and are even decorated with the titles with which Her Majesty is used to reward Her most Loral subjects: To-day, as in 1837 , the minority do not wish to have recourse to the means furnished by revolutions; after having exhausted those which the Constitution af fords, but they bave an inward confliction that in twenty years, when the people have succeeded in appiecinting what that minority is doing for them to-day, they will feel for the opposition to which it is devoting itself, a sentiment of gratitude, the result of which will be, that on it they will confer their entire confidence, after having refused it in the day of trial. Yes, Mr. Speareri, as the minority of 1837 constitutes the majority of to-day, so will the present minority constitute the majority at some day which is more or less near. I will not; Mr. Speaker, follow the victims of that melancholy period of our history to the scaffuld." With their lives they paid the price of their devotion to the causid of their country, and if, to make a people deserving of the rights of existeuce, life's blood and devotion are uecessary, we have theirs to show that Erench Canada freely and nobly sacriticed her woblest descendants to the genius of Liberty. (Hear, hear:) Bul belore coinclading this sketch of our struggles, from the conquest to the melancholy occurrences of 1837-38, it is inportant "o show that it is to our heroic resistauce in the Parliament and to force of arms that we oive the political liberties which are secared" to uis by the present Constitution. I aus unwilligg to leave this review of the colonial system of Eugland in Canada withuat destroyagg the false impression which exists, that that colonial system was seasibly improved by the liberality of the views of the statesmen of Great Britain, that the struygles through which we passed were owing to the ideas of other days, and that now the liberties which we enjoy extend to all the Euglish colonies, to "which the colonial system of our day secures the adrantages and the benefits of reaponsible government: I believe, Mr. Speaker, that I shall be able easily to controvert these erroneous arguments, and to do so I have ouly to ponsider the colouill systen of England at the Mauritius. That Freach culony, which is not of such old standing as ours, and which became a conquest of Eng-. land, fell under the yoke of Great Britain in 1810. It was then the Isle of France ; since the conquest its name has been changed to the Island of Mauritius. It contains a pop-
ulation which is almost entirely French, but unfortunately for their political rights it has not, as we have, the advantage of living in the immediate vicinity of a great republic, like the United States, serving, so to say; as a guarantee for the protection of its liberties. The Isle of France,' in consequence of its iso lated position, is precisely in circumstances which allow of our forming an opinion of what the pretended liberties of the colonial system are worth when there is nothing to fear from the weakuess of the colonisis or the intervertion of a neighboring power in favor of the oppressed. Thus, Mr. Speaker, we have a. spleidid opportuuity of judging whether the colonial systent, applied under such circurnstauces, possesses that liberal character which is attributed to it. Well, I say it with regret; we see there, as we saw in Canada, the same aggressive and tyrainnical policy against which we had to strive for a whole century. The colonial system gave rise here to deep dissatisfiaction. I shall enumerate the grievances which are complained of, grievances for which there is but too great foundation. When the Isle of France was ceded to England; it' was stipulated, as in the case of Canada, that the French population should retain the "use" of their language and their religious institutions, together with the lave under which they had up to that time been governed-three liberties of great value to the descendants of old France. Well, Mr. Speaker, we shall now see whether Eagland re-pected these, three articles of the treaty. I hold in my hand a correspondence of no older date than the 6th May, $1862 . \%$ It is written by a Freach colonist in the Mauritius, and contains an ac. count of the colouial system under which his countrymen are governed. Before reáding this correspondence, I must premise that the population of the island consists of two hundred thousand sonils; that popalation is goveraed by an Executive Council and a Legislative Council appointed for lite, consisting of eighteen members, eight of whom are public officers appointed and paid by the Government of the colony. The other ten are nearly all of English origin. Thus the French element in the Legislative Council of the Manitius is in: the proportion of about one to tive, although the population is nearly entirely French :-

## Tu the Editor of the Economiste Frangais.

- Yau promise to the ancient colonies of France aid and protection in ycur columns; it is therefure natural, that reliying on that promise, I ohuad wing to bold up to the view of your reuders, and to lay before an intelligent public,
betore impartial judges, the acts of a government which, since 1810, has exercised the most absolute despotism over us, concealed under the great name of liberty. We have indeed the liberty of the press,' but it is not listened to. Vain are all cries; the Government "stop their ears and let us cry." : Then they tell us that we shall nevel have a more wise, a more paternal, a more liberal government. "What would gou have more than the liberty of thinking and writing !': ther ask. What we would bave is, that the liberty of the press should be of some use to us' that the Government should listery to the mouthepieces of public "ppinion; that they should net waste our funds an spite of the protestations of the preess ; that thiey should cause the laws, as they were uade, to "be observed; añd by- all alre; - that cmong oiher laws, that of quarantine should be faithfully: observed, and that no exception should be made" in fayor of H. B. Y., s ships of war and transports with troops ; that morre attention should be paid to the subjeet of commanication with the ships arriving from India; that we should be more effectually protected from the epidemics which decimate our population; that the cholera should be prevented from becomiag endemic in the country, so that the French and Creole population of the Mauritius may be presenved that enquiry should be made as to the cinuses which may have brought the cholera upon思; that insufficient laws may be rovised; that our reserves should be kept at hume instead of being lent to the Mother Country or'to other colonies; that our treaty of capitulation should be respected ; that no attempt shisuld be made to utuduce here English Jatio, when it is agreed that by the French codes unly ate we to be goveried ; that the use of the French language, of which we have been deprived in detiance of sworn fath, should be restored to as; that no flagrant injusticie should be coinmitted in favor of the English and to the detriment of the Lretiles; that the latter may be appeinted to the different offiees, and that these should not be conferred on inçapable favorites; we would have thè Legislative Council and self-government; \&c.; se. This is what we would have. 'You see that'we wish for a great many things. But are they not all' just and reasonable? Let us now proceed to the enumeration of some of them, and, it chronologi'cal order, let us hegin with the French language. The deed of capitulation, signed in 1810 by the representatives of. Frauce and England, contained the following articles, which we, the conquared people, imposed on our conquerors :-
lst. Respect for our religion.
2nd. The maintenance of our laws:
3rd. The guarantee that we should be alluwed to speak French.

Well, of these three principal articles (inseribed

- This demand is in fact perfectly just, us of the five papers published in the Manritius, four are Freñich; but the single English journal on the island was always right, in opprisition to the four French journals.
in large characters in our deed of capitulation, accepted and promised under the faith of an oath, signed and appróved by England), one hass been alreads violated, and the work of undermining another is going' on!' Setting at naught all seruples, the English Government first robbed us of the use of the French language betore thie high courts cf justice: : We have expressed our claims, but a deaf ear has been turned to them. 'This first stop taken, what buands will be' set to this neat work of destruction of all that we hold from France? Ou the application of a few English, the revisal of our code is already being consideret; and when the whole population apply to the Mother Country for the resocation of an order which renders the transaetion of, basiness impos. sible, without the very costly intervention of legal men and translators, and which, moreover, inflicts a deep wound on the Creole heart, they are told. to hold their tougnes!. When they loudly call for the revision of insufficient lawsg' which facilitate the propagation of "mephitic miasmata they are not liatened to 1 When they demend an enquiry into the circumstances which have caused the introduction into their mids\% of the cruel epidemic, which for more than four months has carried death into their rauks, they are told that they ara indulging in idle fancies! At the same time, and as though to turn the public mind from this gxed idea, there is a semblance of bringing ap a quebtion already decided upion and voted-that relating to ruilways! Another grievance. Whilst the epidemic is raying among us, rad whilst. our mouncipality stands in need of money for the relief of the poor classes, the Government has none to lend, because the tinancial reserves of the colony are lent to the Cape, to India, to Ceylon, and to the Muther Conintry itielf.
Thus, Mr. Speaker the Mauritius, which, by the terms of her treaty of capitulation, was to have preserved to her the use of her language, $h$ r peculiar institutoons and her laws, has soon found herself deprived of the use of ther language; her laws have beea changed, and her institutions have beeu sabjected to oppression., This, Mr. Speaker, is the sort of liberty which a French colony may enjoy under the colonial system of England, when the colony is weak and is not situated, as Canada is, in the vieinity of a powerful republic zuch as the United States. I. think, Mr Sprakie, that I have now shewn what hase ever been the spirit of oan: tagonism between the two races of English and French origin, on the two centineuts, and what has been the spirit of aggression of the English element against our population, from the founding of the colony up ${ }^{\circ}$ to our inva time; wu have seeu colonial fanaticism attacking our institutions, our language and our laws, and we have seen that our annihilation as a race has been the evident
object of those constant efforts. Can we to-day believe that the case is otherwise; and ought not the unanimity of the English element in favor of Coufederation to fill us with terror? Is not our loss concealed under this' ontward semblance of conciliation? Yes, let us, consult the history of our country before effecting so radical a change in our Constitution. Let us remember with terror the strifeand antagonism which prevailed in days gone by, and let us endeavor to judge with certainty what will be the necessary consequénces of a constitutional change of such serious importance as that which is proposed to us. - Let us now consider, Mr. Speaken; the disastrous consequences of the adoption of the scheme of Confederation. The members of the Government have told us that Confederation would constitute us a military power of the first class, and would enable us to resist the aggressions of the American Union. The defeice of our frontier is certainly a question of the highest importance, for no one is niamare that our relations with our neighbors are in a position of èstreme tension:. They have established a pưssport system, the sole object of which is to hamper our trade: A resolution has been adopted by Congress, almost unapimously, for the repeal of the treaty of reciprocity which exists between the two countries. In a few mouths the waters of our lakes will be ploughed by vessels of war, the armaments of which can ouly bedirected against Canada. Such; Mr. Speak ER, is; the position of the United States with respect to us, and to meet this danger the Government proposes io forma Confederation which will, they tell us, constituta' a first class power, able "o' maintain on this continent the supremacy of Great Britain: But will the objeat propposed be attained"? Shall we be stronger under Confederation than we are now? Caniot the Governor Geacral of the Provinces of British North America laise troops throagh. out the whole extent of the provinces placed under his jurisdiction? Is not the militia of all those provinces under his immediate command? We are told, Mr. Speakea, that Confederation will give us a more uniform military organization than that which we noif possess. But there is nothing to prevent the formation of that organization uader the present Constitution, and. I have uo hesitation in saying that under that (Goustitution the several provinces will defeud themselves to better advantige than under

Confederation. Is it not precisely by areating here a military power, hostile to the adjoining powerial republic, that we shall bring on war and its attendant calamities? The monent the United States perceive in this Confederation an organization, the object of which is the establishing of the balance of power in America, they will not wait until our fortifications are constructed, or until the Intercolonial railway is built, but they will attack us at once. On apother hand, we offer defiance to the Anerican republic by creating here a politicual crganization which is contrary to the principles of the democratic govern-ment which prevails there, and contrary to the famous Monroe doctrine, which, as is well Krown, is upposed to the establishment of monarchical governments ou this continent. The plan of the present Government is, therefore, to establish here a political system which is esseutially hostile to the Urited States, as it will be essentially monarehical. and instcad of proving to us a means of defence, it can eutail nothing but war and the disastrous consequences âtendatit upouit. To promote the securisy and prosperity of our couptry, the Government, instead of bleeding the people as they propose to do, to erect here and there ruinously expensive, and after all insefficient fortifications,"ought. to apply the reveuugs of the treasury to the establishment of new induttries, the tonprovement of our public highways, aud the colonization of our srild lands. The se ineshaustible souices of wealth, if swisely nanaged, would double our numbers, war reverue and our power, and would in that wiy coufer upon us means of defence ninch mure effective than those ' which we should regeive fom Confederation, which would crush the people under taxes imposed to meet the expense of imperfeotly defending our frontier. Aud is it supposed for a mument that when we have in so urgent a mapuer decreed the fortification of our frontier, the arming of our militianen; and the establishment of a fleet on uur inland seas, that the United States will do the same and that they will follow the example set them of such ruinons folly? Is it supposed that the American statesinen will not iumediately perceive, as we are desirous of raising ourselves up as au enemy ou their frontier, and of entailing upor them an enornious outlay in order to hold us in check, that it will be for them mere question of economy to attacts us now and to take possession of the country, before it is in our power to oblige them to keep up that
ruinously expensive war footing? And what could wę-do aygainst an invading army of two or three hundred thousaud men, with our treasury exhausted by the fortifications, and with hardly any assistance from England, whose policy at this moment is anti-colonial? I cannot understand how, in face of the danger which is impending over us; and for which we are so little prepared, the Government can - thus cast defiance int the teeth of the powerful nation who are adjacent to us, and whose 'armies now' in the field could set at naught any resistance to immediate invasion I assert it positively, Mr. Speaker, the United States have nit. the least intention of attackiog us, so loug as we remain peaceable spectators of their fratricidal struggle, and so long as we continite to contine ourselves to peaceful cecupations. But if, on the contcary, we create here a hostile military puwer, if we establish here the throne of a viceroy or of a foreign monarch, in defiance of the principles which form the groundwork upon which rests the political syatemi ot the United States, we may then rest assured that the peighbouring republic will sweep away that monarchical organization, established in rivalry to its own dem.. cratic system. (Hear, hear.)' Such, Mr Speaker, is the question iu its most seri ay aspect. I shall not eularge upon the detail; of the schene of Contederation, which have been so ably eriticised by the hon. toenbers who have preceded mé; ${ }^{\prime}$ and besides I shall bave an opportunity of discussing them when the amendments to the seheme are submitted to the House. But I may now say that those details cannot be accepted by the people. We have already received numerous pexitions praying tor the rejection of the measure, and those pettions conti:at to reach us every day. $\because$ Now', I ask you. Mr SPEAK FR, what the sentimeots of the people will be if that scheme is adopted, and if is
the the course of two months it is returved to us from England, after having receiv.d the sanction of the Im perial Parliament, without its having been possible for us to alter the most tritling of itsodetails?. Is it supposed, after a Coustitution shall have b. a a forced \%ou the French.Canadians, which they have opposed to the utmost, that they will be very enthusiastic in the defence of that Constitution which shall have deprived theis of a part of the pulitical rights which they ofjoyed" Aud. it canuot be denied, by adup:ing the proposed Confederation, we yield up some of the privileges whioh we now onjoy;
have not our Ministers themselves told us that und r the pressure of the demands of Upper Cazaida it was necessary to make con. cessions at the Quebac Conference, in order to ensure the adoption of the present scheme? The hostile majority of Upper l'anada have abtained representation based on population, against which Lower Cauada has so energetically struggled" for afteen cears, because she saw in that concessiou the annihilation of our itfluence as a race. Under these circuinstances, Mr. Speaker, is it supposed that reliance" is to be placed on the assistance of the French-Canadians, who were formerly so terrible in the attack, "and who fought, without hesitution, one dgainst ten, a pruportion in which we shall again find ourselves opposed to the Americans in the probable event of 'a war? To hope that they will fight with the same iupulse now; 'when they tare being deprived of the surest guaraintes of their natural existence and of their most sacred political rights, is greatly to deceive purselves, and to betray ignorance of what has alway been the cause of their heruism in the conflict.', "nder the Constitution as it is, they would arain 'ghth with similar courage regardlew of wuubars. because they love that Coastitution which secures to them all that they hell tomst dgar, avd because they wish to preserve it. Cuder Confederation on the contrary, we have nothing left to detend; our infuence as a race is gone, and noouer than be aboorbed in a Confederation, the existence of which will prove a soure of constant surife without bringing with it compensatiog afivantages. the people dissatistied will seck other and more adyantugeous political and comuercial alliatuces, and for this reason "it is that I consider that the seheme of C'ontesqration will lead us directly to annexation to the Iuited States: When the commissioners frow the North and the South recently had an interview in crider to decide the possible innditions of ain honorable peace, one of the three propositious subuitred by the North was to the effeet that the two armies should not be distanited after the cessation of hovetilities, but shoulit be united for the purpuse of carryng ou a tureigu mar. . Now, Mr Spraker, what duen the expression, " foreigu war;* when used by the United States; mean, except par upon Canada? And what could the fifty battalions which Enytand could sem us da against the com bined armies of the North and the South, the gerength of which amounts tos million of
men? Sitnated at a distance of a thousand leagues from us, Great Britain, with all her material of war and our inilitia, could not defend Canada agaînst so powertul an enemy, escept at the cost of the greatest sacrifices. It is not, therefore, at a time when we are placed in such great straits, that we should, exclaim loudty hat we do not fear the struggle, and that we are ready to measure ourstreagth 'against that of the States of the American Union. It is equally absurd to give umbrage to their institutions by creating beside them a political orgáazation to which they are fundameatally upposed: Is it believed that our monarchical pretensons and our threats are of a nature to titimidate the American statesmen? In their cyes we are but pigmits burling threats at giants:-" Let the war come with the Constitution as it ig, and We shall ind a hupdred thousaad volunteers read's to detend our fruntier. Bat if the Gosernment inpsse on the French-Cana dians the echeme of confederation, from Which they have so mueh fear, and which may prove so be productive of the most disastrous consequeuces to their institutions, their language and their lavs, ten, I aur bound to say, there will be hesitation in our ranks at the time when every man will be marching tuwards almost certain' death for the detevice of a flay which will uo lonjer coufer upou our race the guarantees of pritec tion which it to-day secures to uy. I say, then, that the time is ill elosen to make such serions changes, and to lay the foundation of an Empire the existence of which; threqiened both from the interior and from the. exterior, will be of but a fer days. durativa: For with dissatisfaction among the French. Canadians, deprived of their rights and. privileges, it - is smpossitle fior ELagland to nasintain her powar here against three bundred thousand men invading ou: terri. tory at ten: dizferent points aloogg our froutier. 'The wisest policy which 'we can pursiae, at this critical moment, is therefore remain apeaceable; spectators of the struggle betwen our neighburs", to" open our foreste to colonization, to turn to acconnt our mines and water-powers; to clear our wild lauds, and to labor without ceasiag to to recall our unfortunate countrymen who are now scattered over American soil 'Lef us construct rai ways, let us double our manafiscturing industry, let as enlarge our canals, let us extead vur network of railways to the Maritime Provinces; and when we bave attained great proportions as a peo-
ple, when our prosperity shall have increased fivefold, "and, above all, when the terrible hurricaue which threatens to destroy everything in North America shall have terminated its work of ruin and finally when we shall be stroug enough to protect ourselves from exterial attacks, and the French-Caiadians especially shall have obtained sufficient power to have nearly equality of representation in the General Parliament, it will be time enough to lay the foundation of a great Confederation of the British North Americain Provinces, based on the protective principle of the sovereignty of the states:- Under these circumstances Confederation will produce 'abuadatat' fruits, and will, be welcomed by the prople of this country, and especially by the Fruach Cauadiaus, who, having duabled in number in the interval, will be in a position to detwand iufioiti!y more advantaigeous conditions than those which are furced upon they $\omega$-day. We shall not then have our preeceat political rights, which were so dealy obtained by the struggles of a century, replaced by lacal governments, which will be nothing micre than municipal cuuncils; vested with small and absurd powers, uawortiy of a free people, which alluw us at most the control of our roads, our schools and our lands ; but we whall then oftain local governuents based on the qovereignty of states, as is the case under the Constitution of the Uuited States. "The fact is not to be denied: the American Coostitation was created by great men, in fuce of a crowd of considerable and opposite local interests, and it cost them several years of deep study to recuncile those varinas interests, and finally to build up that admirable Cunstitution which, as the tron. metuber for Broune has so well said, dufies the most severe criticisoí in relation to its most iunportaint bases. "With a Constitution life that of the Uuited states, buyed upon state sovereignty, . Lower Canada wonld elect her owa governor and her reprosentatives in the Federal Parliament and Legislative Cunócil, and also atl the Executive Ministers.

Mr.: DUFRESNE (Montgalw) - We Bhould also appoint the judges

Mr. PERKAULT-lit the hon. member for Montealm had listenéd atteutively to the reinarkable speech of the hon. member for Brome, he would have learied that in the majority of the states cumposing the American Union, the judgea are not ap-
pointed by the people, bat by the Executive brainch of the local governuient," in precisely the same wày as in Canada, and that they are in every respect as upright and as distinguished as ourr own judges. If our French-Canadian Ministers had not been it su-piowerless a minority in the Quebee ('onference (four to thity:two), they would ertainly not have accepted a scheme of Confederation so fraught with danger to the French race as that whith has been submitted to us. They would have obtinged more favotable corditions than those which are quposed upon us among : which is the appointment for life of the legislative councillers by the laxpentive branch of the Geueral Legishare: For my part. Mr. Speake s, fam not in favor of the appoint. went tor life of men taken from the crowd to be cinverted into the instruinents of oppressinti, ared tou often to setre to cash impedimentsiti the way of the most important liberties and rights of the people. \&The appointment for life of the le lisfative "puncillire by a majority which is hostile to our rice is as, dangeroux to thay as it waytr the a ost evil days of our history, and tu ace.pt it is to place un noot preci us liber. ties it the mercyon the enemies of our race. With "sich orgvinion' in the Constitution which it is proposed to force upon us, it is 'imposible that the French element should be protected ia the levisbitive council. It is "equatly mponitle that the agressive tendencies: at which 1 gave an historical sketch in the first part of iny remarks, will unt prodace thetr effect in the Federal Executive, when the "question of the sppointwent of thise memberv is being settled. We have been tolf, "The Pronch Caman section will resign it the Federal Executive atterpt to patace injustice to the derriment of their felluw countryume.". Well; Mr. Speaker I would williugly brlieve that they would resign, and that nu suceessors could be fuusid for them, which is still more improbable, ind. I should like to know to - what such a resiguation would lead, and what sort of a remedy it would provide tor our humiliating position. We shall have furtyenght members in the Federal Parlia: ment araiust, ofe liundred and forty of English origin ; in other words, we whall be in the proportion of oute to four. What could so weak a minority do to obtain justice? Evidently the resignation of the Freuch section would make it still more powerless, and it would bave to accept the tyranaical
dictates of its opponents. The French members of the present. Government themselves give as the ground of the necessity of the " proposed changes, the fact that the existing Constitution does not afford us sufficient guarantees. But then, what sort of guarantees shall we have under the Confederation which it is proposed to force upon us and under which we shall be in a minority twice as great? Let us suppose the very probable contingency of a collision between our Local Legislature and the Federal Government in consequence of the rejection of a measure passed by the lrovince of Lower Camada and thrown out by the General Parliament; in what position shall we be? Let us remember that the Federal Executive appoints the Legislative, Coyncil; presides over the criminal legislation of the country, and appoints. the judges who administer it ; in a word, that in the Federal Government: are-vested all sovereign powers, to the exclusion of the local goyerngents. Welly Mr. Speakea, I say withou hesitation that in the case of a collision, we shall find ourselves completely at the mercy of the hostile Federal majority, and that it may oppress as, assimilate our laws,", suspend our judges, ar the militia against us, and send us to the scaffold or into exile in any way they may think proper, notwithstanding our protestations and those of the French Canadian mpority in the Federal Parliament. Such has already been found to oceur; the past is there to prove the fact, and everythingleads us to believe that the same attempts at fanatical aggression will be renewed in our day, it the seheme of Confederation is adopted. (Hear, hear.) The hion: nember for Brome, whose logalty will cer tainly not be called in question, himself declared iit this Honse that this soheme would give rise to difficulties aud entail deplorable collisions. "Sapposing, Mr. Speak. se, that thöse collisions and difficulties arise, what ghall we do? Will not all power be in the hands of the Federal Government and of a hostile majority? Is it not because the people understand it that they reject this measure with threats on their lips and in their eyes; that every: day they send us numerous petitions in which they prophess the mosi serious dissatisfaction? How long. will the eyes and the ears of the members of this House remain closed, that thoy may not be cognisant of this protest of their alarmed fellow conatrymen? The Hon. Atty. Gen. East himself refuses to communicate to us a single one of the details of the soheme of

Confederation, and he would have us give up all the rights which the existing Constitution confers upon us, by voting in favor of a Local - Legislature of which the powers will be naught, and of a General Parliamentin which We shall be in the proportion of one to tour. Mr. SPEREER, it is not surprising that the French-Canadian population of Lower Cahada is unanimous in rejecting a Copfederation which presents to us sogloomy a future(hear; hear)-and I do not fear to declare that our Ministers are committing an act of very great impradence in forcing upon the people constitutiopal changes of so serious a character, and so loudly denounced as an attack on their rights and tieir privileges. Never, at any period of our history, have there been seen such chauges of constitution undersách ex̌traordinary circumstancés. "And exactly at the monent when we are preparing to resist the invadiag army of a powerful neighbor,' we are deprived uf the liberties which we enjog after having secured them by a century of struggles, But it. seems to me that new guarautees of security ought ratier to be given us, in order to in duce us to fight with warlike autagonists ten times more numerons than ourselves, and whose political organization is perhaps less
hostile to our ráce than the proposed Conhostile to our ráce that the proposed Confederation. Have mot the present Ministry taught us to look upon the semblance of local governtnent, which they propose to us, as à sufficient protection sur all that we hold most dear, and so accept the position of a powerless minority in the General. Govern: meat," because commercial interests, only will be brought in question there! If this proposition is a jast une, the Constitution of the Uuited States; with the recognazed sovereignty of Lower Cauada, atfords much greater security for oup institutions, our han. guage and our laws. Fur the sovereignty of the state implies their preservation th the state, which yields up nothing to the Gencral Government except a very restricted number of powers. Yes, Mr. Speakier, in proposing a obange of Constitution the Minestry have committed a serious fault, aud they have no right ta endeavor to prevent the people of this province from examining the question of possible changes in all its bearings. Scarcely six months ago the Freneh Canadians, lived happi's, relying upon the secarity given them by the existing Constitution. Now such cay hardly be the caso, when the proposed changes threaten their existence as a race. Impose these
changes upon them, and then let danger come, and England will find out, but too late, that her most loyal subjects are lost tor her. Our people will have learsed that of two evils they must choose tho least, and that on a comparison betiveen Confederation and annexation, the least evil will pot, unfortunately, be found to be Confederation. Before marching on to certain slaughter, the soldier *will ask himself for What he is going to fight, aud whether the Coristitution which he is going to defend is worth the sacrifice of his life's blood. The day upon which the French-Cauadian soldier puts this quostion to himself, will be the last day of the English power iu America. I hope I may be mistaken, Mr: Speaker, and I would wish to believe that the views of the Government are sounder, than mine, at a time when they propose a measuri so full of dan er as that which is subuitted to us. I would wish to believe, above all, that they have no intertion of skilfully leadiug us into a collision with our deighburs " which would tend to carry us directly int $>$ aiuexation, and would strike a mortal blow at English domination on this continent.. I shail conclude, Mr' Speaket, b; summiug up niy remarks - The nuion of the tivo Gauadas has not get dope all its work. There is still room for progress under it; aud it must be continued. The Hon. Attoruey General for Lower Canada' (Hon." Mr. Cantike) maintains on the contrary that it has po louger any groinds of existeaco, and that we must häve a new political organization. Well, Mr: Speaker, I venture to hold au opinion different from that of the hon. neeuber for Montreal East, and I have no hesitation in saying that under the union we cau yet double. our prosperity and our ícubers, 'if' we iutroduce into the administration of affairs a litile less party spirit and a little more patriotism. (Hear, hear:) I say, further, that the demand tor represeutation based ou population has no cause of existence, that it was repudiated by Upper Canada, at first by the Conservative party, and afterwards by the Liberal party under the Macbonald-Sicotrie Ad-
ministration. When we ministration. When we have seen the most energetic and most siàcere partisans of representatios bosed on population abandon that principal basis of their pulitics, and make of it, in their goverpuent, a question agaizist which they engaged to vote, I say that it is very wroug to use it as one of the reasocs to cumpel us to accept the scheme of Confederation. That ory, raised loy fan-
aticismin the west, will naturally be stifled by the more rapid incredse of the population of Lower Canada and the annual diminution of immisration. With the assistance of these two canses our population will, in ten years, equal that of Upper Canada. I say, Mr. Speaker, that the scheme of Confederation is riot expedient. But even if the scheme of Confederation was expedient, I maintain that the cbject of it is hostile: I gave an bistorical sketch of the encroaching spirit of the English race on the two continents. I pointed out the incessant antagonism existing between it and the French race. Our past recalled to us the constant struggle which te had to kecp up in order to resist the argression and the exclesireness of the English eleuent in Canadi. It was only through heroice resistance and a hapry combination of circumstances that we succeeded in ubtainiug the political rights which are secured to us by the present Constitution. "The scheme of Confederation has no other object than to deprive us of the most precious of those rights by substitutiog for them a political organization which is eminently hostile to us.' The hostility of the seheme of Confederatiou being admittedy mantain that its adoption will entail the most didastrons consequences: To impoe urou the TretichCanadians this new Curtitution, which they: do note want, is to tempt their anger and to expose ourselves to deplorable collisions. (Hear, hear.) It must necessarily be submitted to them betore it is adopted. 'if they necept it, then will be the time to send it to England to be sanctioned. But the Government, and especially the Ion. Attorney General East, cannot ignore the petition which are presented to us against the seheme, and especially so imposing a petition as that from the city of Montreal, which coutains 4,1000 Fronch Canadian signatures, and which is the most numerously signed petition which has ever been presented to our degislature by a city. I say, further, that these who vote for the scheme of Confederation take the shortes: way to lead us into annexation to the lyised States. 1 am not the first to express this opinion; several hon. members from Upper Canada have expressed it before me within the precincts of this House, and it is becanse those members from Upper Canada desire annexation to the Cnited States that they vote in favor of the scheme of Confederation. The hon members from the west, whose
words are so loyal, will be the first to pass over to the enemy with" arins and baggage, should an 'invading army ever appear on the frontier. Such, Mr. Speaker, is the position as it is: If His Excellency the Governor General thinks he ought to follow the advice of those who lock to Washington, let him even do so; but I think it îs high time to speak plainly here, an to warn him of the dauger. (Hear, hear.) Mn Speaker, Fam not an old man with one foot already in the graye; and ou the verge of eternity, and I adopt ny course in view of the future. Our Ministers, who, in the course of a loug carcer, have ex hausted the supply of honor and of dinuity in uar country, are perhaps tempted wrik the fuyure of their country for titles, honers aud larger salaries under Confederation, perhaps Tor the sake of beiug yuverior of ope of the Federated Provineds. Wre know that liaglond nobly and royally rewarts these who serve herivithout seruple. Besides, the prosecet of foundiag a vast eapire is well worth the sacritice of womo mouth of a worn out career, at the risk of not succeding entirely in sil gigantie a project, (Hear, hear') Bat for my part, Mr Speaken, I who belong to the coming generation, and who have twenty years of fature before 'une, cannot'upprove, by py vote, of aschene of Constitution which yresents itiself to in inquch a gloony perspective as regards our wationality, and all that wo: hold nost dear as firenchneni. If I am thus severe in my remark, Mr Spacher, I hope it will he underatod that they prueed from profouad conviction'; and it is well known that these who have honey on their lips are not-alway the mostsinetre at hart: ' 1 know atso that sometimes those who stat: boldy what thefy think pay very dearly int their bulideese and independence, bat not dread of this, Mr. Speaketr, stall ewor cause me to shrink from expressing my convictions, when I consider that my doton oo may bo of any une to ney country: " (Hear, hear, and prolunged Opposition cheers.)

Criss ot "Adjourn, ndjourn!" from the Opposition.

Hos: Mr Cartiph - No, no! Coll in the nembers.

Hos. A. A. DORION wid he hed moved the adjournment of the dinge trat evening to have an opportutity of ry y.ns to the honorable member for Montimen (Hon. Mr. Cuvenony. But as that humable geateman was not in his place in the afternou, the
had yielded the floor to the honorable member for Richelieu (Mr. Perrault). The honorable member for Montmorency, he observed, was still out of the House, and he should like to defer his remarks till the honorable gentleman should be in his seat. (Cries of "Adjourn." and "Go on.")

Col. HAULTAIN then rose to address the House. He said - If the House will permit me, I shall relieve the honorable mémber for Hochelaga (Hon. Mr. Doriov). It is not surprising to me, Mr. Speaker, that there should be this hanging back on the part of honorable members with regard ta expressing their views on this subject, as so much has been said about it, that it is now, I won't say thoroughly, but very nearly worn out. And for my own part, in common, I suppose, with all who will have to speak at this stage of the debate I feel reluctant to trespass on the time of the Honse. At the same time; I cannot properly call it a trespass, but wust rather. consider it a duty. On a matter of this very great importance, involving the interesta of so large a portion of this gontinent, I think it behores most of us to express our opinions with the best ability that We cin bring to the subject. (Hear, licar.) We have had this question discussed from so many points of wiew, and, I presume, by the ablest nen who occupy public positious in Canada that a humble nadividual like niyself must feel great diffidence in sayioy another word on the subject. But it is no sinill enforagement to kuow - at any rate I feel it to bo an encouragenent in speaking in advocacy of the scheme-that I an in such goge company, that the leading meu in this province, the leading men in the British Provinces generally, ard I may even say the leading nien in the British Eimpire, are all agreed as to the desirableness of what is now proposed, and as to the wistom which has becn displayed in the framing of the scheme now submitted for our adoption. I do not expect to"say anything new, and the fear of repeating what has already beea said makes mo reluctant to say anything: at ally and were I to consult my own feelings, I. have no doubt I should be silent, and would rise only when you call on us, Mr. Stekaker! to give our-votes either for or against the resolutions in your hand. I think every hoior-able nember who has spoken in this debate has expressed his sease of the responsibility. resting upou him', when addressing the House and the country on a matter of such vasi importance to us all. I feel equally with others how great is this responsibility, and have en-
deavoured to bring the best powers of my mind to the consideration of the question. The more re consider it; the more we look into the future in copnection with our present. movement; the larger the importance, I believe, it must assume in our minds. It not only afficts the interests of Canada, but of all the British Provinces of this continent. Its probable results will materially affect the future, both of the British Empire and of the neighbouring republic, and, therefore, more or less the future of the world at large. I do not; think that I am using languge at all exaggerated. From the best consideration I have been "able to give to this subject, I believe there are under-lying the question now before us principles of the greatest importance to the world. I believe there are principles involved in our present action that must very mych determine the character of the instituHouns that will generally prevail. The im pression upon my own mind is, that if suctcessful, we shall give greater stability and a more permanent foothold to the principles that. obtain in the British Constitution; but that failing in our opresent object, we shall see the decadence of these pripciples on this continent, and the adrance of those principles which obtain in the neighbouring republic. (Hear, heir.) The more I cousider it, the stronger am I of the opinion, that at the present time. the principles of democricy and of monarch-inm-if I may so express it-are at stake; and considering it in this vier, I look upon the scheme before us us calliug for the most cordial aid earnest support of every man who has dearned to value the stability, the no: deration, and the justice which have characterized the British nation as compared with any other nation that exists on the fiace of the glube. The great question betore us is that: of union $-a$ practicable. and attainable union-a union of provinces owning allegiance to the same Crown; possessing, generally, similar institutions, similar systems of goverument, the same language, the same latws; the same dangers, the same enemies. Our institutions are generally similar, although, no doubt, from having been isolated for so great a length of tiine, and haring had no intercourse one with the other to speak of, there is an jidiosyncracy attached to each of the provinces as they now exist, and the louger we remain separate the greater the divergence must be, and the more difficult union between us will be of accomplishment. The advocates of this scheme propose the union of all these provinces, It is a trite
proverb that " union is strength, and division is weakness." "So unisersally accepted" is this statement, that no man can venture to deny its correctnests. And I feel, as an advocate of union that our position is one which is unassailable, and the arguments must indeed be strong which. would convince me that we are not going in the right direction when moving towards union and consolidation. (Hear, hear.) Apart from the intrinsic force and power of union, which would be in itself sufficient to call us in that direction, Canada has special reasons for desiring that the British provinces should draw together more closely than they have yet done. By such a step we may remone oue great cause of our own political difficultiess. I Io not think that this is at all a necessary part of the argument for our unting together But it so happens that by our union we hope to rewove these difficulties, and that is an additiopal argument for union althinyt ont at all nécessary to induce the adoption of the scheme: I believe that if we had no difficulties "whatever in Canadi, if we were pertectly satisfied with our political position," union would still be desirable on the broad ground of the advantages we would derive from it. But, in addí tion to those advantages, and the force and strength which union will give us, it will assist us in surniounting and removing" those great difficulties under which we labor; and it is a mose happy circumstance that, while we are carrying uut a principle so excellent in itself; we are at the same tine enabled to remove diffieulties which might provèmost disastrous to our prospects: And, in addition to these reasons, we have evidently the wishes of the Mother Country for the success of this schemie. (Hear. hear.)" Nô one can with reason question the reception which the scheme has wet With from the press and from mea of all shades of political opinion in the Mother Country. It has met with universal approbation there. (Hear, hear.) There has been no jealousy of it that 1 kuow of. There has not proceeded from any quarter one word of disapprobation or of doubt as to the prudence and the wisdum which have dietated our advances towards union: The good wishes of Great Britain are thoroughly with us.. (Hear, hear.) An additional reasou, I may say necessity, for union exists in the hostility of the United States so palpably manitested during the pist "few months. In fact, sir, looking "at all our interests-our interests soocially and commercially-our, interests of defence-our interual harmony-our very
existence as an independent people-all bid us go forward in the direction of union. I shall allude but briefly to the political difficulties of Canada; as this part of the subject has been most ably handled by honorable gentlemen who have preceded me. Our difficulties, I had fancied, were palpable to all, and yet we have heard honorable gen. tlemen who are opposed to the scheme, almost ignoring their existence, or treating them as though they did not weigh in the scale of the arguments on this question at all. I am sorry my hon. friend from Brome (Mr. Dinserin) is not here, as I will have to refer to some of his remarks: That honorable gentleman, as well as others', iptimated to the House that our difficulties had disappeared; that since $180^{\circ} 2$ Coper Canada had been satisfied with her position; that agitation had been laid aside; that there was no more mention of any sense of injustice or the part of Upper Canada. Thit line of reuark only shews me how ignorant' thuse honorable gentlemen were of the subject on: which they were speaking"; how entirely they had remained in the dark as to the feelings which existed in the minds of the people of: Upper Canada; manifesting a degree of ignorance on onie very important feature of our: position, that rendered them to a great degree incompetent to deal with this question. From wuch that I have heard relative to the cause of the dissatisfaction knowur to prevail in Upper Canada, I think it well not to be altogether silent about it:" We must look deeper: than the displeasure felt and manifested at the passing of certain measures obnoxious to the, majority of that section, or at the unjost principle of an equal distribution of the publie. revenues between the two sections. : It inctrue that these teuded to drair attention to, and make more prominent the real cause in discoptent. It lay deep in the chating of the minds of men whose national charactarit tic is impatience of intolerance and injastice. It dwelt in the abiding sense of the unfair position that the terms of the union of. 1840 now imposed upon them, and obeying their national. instinets; they could never cease to insist upon a' representative reform. (Hear, hear.) I suppose there are no people on the earth who. feel more strougly or who will resist more determinedly the perpetration and continaance of any injustice. It was that sense of injustice, weighing heavily on the minds of the people of Upper Canada, that rendered our position one of difficulty and of danger 50 long as relief was denied them. I have been surprised, therefore; to hear tho statemens
which has beén made by some hon. gentlemen in this House, that the feelings of dissatisfaction which existed in Upper Canada have disappeared. The formation of the Mac-donald-Siootre Government has been .mentioned as a proof that we have become indif. ferent to the question of representation by population, which had been so repeatedly and so strongly urged, and that the people of Upper Canada wete quite willing, for the sake of some small material advantages, to cast aside that for which they had been agitating for so man'y years. In opposition to this, I must state that there was the strongest disapprobation felt and expressed throughout Upper Canada at the formation of that Govcrninent. The only excuse made for it was, that it was aimply a. provisional government, and that its formation was nothiug more than a temporary measure. I would not hesitate or fear to appeal to any constituency in Upper Canada, where the question of representation by population had been agitated, and ask them to suy whether they did not cherish the strongest feelings of disapprobation that that question should have been ignored at the time of the formation of that Government.

Mr. M.C.CAMERON - North Ontario elected a member of that Government:

Hon. Ma. HOLTUN-They were all elected.

Mr. M. C. CaNERON-But in North Uatario a member of the Government came who had not been the nember for that constituency before, and defeated one who was in. favor of representation by population:

CoL. HAULTAN-In alluding to this master, I would wish to guard myself against rousing anything like party questions or jparty feelings. (Hear, hear.) I desira; in dealing with the important subject now under debate, to remember that the question before us now is not who was right or who was wrong in $186 \%$ or 1863 . The question is , are weright in advancing towards union, or are we making a great mistake; but where it is necessary for tuc to allude to the courso pursued by either party, it is for the parpose of argament alone, and not in any way to raise the question who was right or who to blame: I stated, sir, that there was the sitrongest disapprobation-I might more correctly say disappointmentfelt in Upper Canada that the question of representation by population should have been land asida by the Macdonald-Sicotte Government. I folt as strongly as any man could have done the unfortunate poss-
tion : in which we were then placed; but ${ }^{\circ}$ giving it the best consideration I could, and believing: thit a change of government was desirable uuder almost any circumstances, I most unwillingly consented. I believed nothing else, could have been done at the time. It was the opinion of most, though not of all, with whom I then acted-we might have been wrong, that is not the question: Believing, therefore, that we could not then secure the success of the measure for which we had been agitating and which we had been seeking, we thought it necessary to form and acknowledge and support a provisional govemment; for Ido say that the Government then formed was in my estimation, and in the eatimation of Upper Canada generally, a provisional government-mothing more; a Gopernment which was simply tolerated, and Which could not possibly exist for any length of time. It was a government formed for a certain parpose, and Upper Canada sánctioned it only beoause of that purpose, which was regarded at the time as of primary importance. He knows little of the mind of Upper Canada who sees in it any indifference to the question of parliamentary reform. It was a position that neither party has anything to boast of "the apparent inconsistency of the one resulted from the felt misgovernment of the other. It is no small pleasure to be able cordially and consisteutly to act with honora: ble gentlemen whom I strongly opposed before, and I so acted because I thought it my duty under the circumstances so to. do.(Hear, hear.) Well, sir, how long did this provisional goverument last? Withia one year it was defeated, and before it could shew itself to Upper Canada, there was an eatire reconstriction of the Cabinet-and why? Because the principal measure irhieh Upper Canada had demanded was lost sight of

How. Mr. BROWN-Hear! hear!
Col HAULTAIN-There can be no stronger evidence of this fact, than that it was necessary to bring into the Cabinet men who truly represented the views and wishes of Upper Canada, and men also in Lower Can-ada-who were thought to be more friendly' to Upper Canada demands, Had that goyern-meat,-without reconstruction, gone to Upper Canada, where would they have been? Liad they gone to Upper Canada as they were, and without"admitting other, elements into the Cabinet, they would have met with a very general hostility. The Premier himself was madefully aware of this, and be wisely bowed
to the wishes of Upper Canada. There cannot; therefore, be a stronger evidence than this of the fact that the question of reform in the representation was not laid aside, neither had it lost one iots of its importance, in the minds of the great majority of the western section.: The Government that had ventured to lay it aside was virtually swept away, and another formed who made it an open question. This, sir, lies at the very foundation of our difficulties. It has been the source of our difficulties, and nodoubt would have continued to be, had no remedy been provided. I have said before on another occasion, and I repeat it, that the minds of men in Upper Canada were filled with foreboding as to the future. They feared that Lower Canada would resist their demands; they feared that Lower Canada would continue to deny to them what appeared to them to be palpably just and right, and what the end of it all would be they did not know: I confess that I shared this feeling in common with others; and it Was a matter of common conrersation that things could not continue as they were; that it was impossible for Upper Canada, with her superiority in numbers and in wealth, to consent to remain in the anited Legislature in the inferior position she then occupied. If the attempt had been persisted in to deny to that section what was so reasonable and just, yo man could have foretold the serions difficulties which might have followed: Hon. gentle". med from Lower Canada, who have expressed an opinion that this question had ceased to be considered as of iruportance in the rest, manifest a very great ignorance of the character, the feeling and the intentions of the men they had to deal with. My hon friend from Brome was one of those who wished to make light of our present dilficulties. He said, tuwards the close of his speech, that it only veeded a litule patience, that very litule was wanted to make everything quito smooth. But, sir, even he was obliged to admat that as slight measure of parliamentary retorm was necessary in order to remove the diffi: culties by which we were surrounded, and he evidently intimated his willinguess to concede it. And there have been hints thrown out by certain Liberal members' from Lower Canada that it would not be such an impossible thing, if we would give up this seheme of union, for Upper Cunada to obtain her right position, and what she has so justly claimed." But if this be their feeling, I ask them why they did not come boldy
out before and ayow it ? I would aske my hon. friend from Brome-and I regret es: tremely that he is not in his placo-why did he not, in 1862 , speak of cuncessions to Upper Canada, instead of, by rote and by argument, do his best to convince us that we conld espect no relief from him and from those acting with him, froin the same section. "Very different language is now used by Lover Canada members of all shades of opinion' to that we have been accostomed to hear. Those who now admit the justice of the demands of Upper Canada, and yet in time past have resisted thew, ought to be the last to oppose this scheme, Which settles the difficulty on a basis aecepted by all:- The hotor ble member for Brome and the British members from Lower Canadis, Who resisted the reform asked for, ought to be foremost' in supporting the scheme before us; and I am sorry to find that wiy hon. friend appears to me to occupy a very inconsistent position. Had he alvay advocated parliamentary: reform, he night mith consistency have opposied the propused union. In some such position, and eren in a stronger point of view, do the French Liberal members appear to be They were the professed allies of the Reform party in Upper Clanada, and were, of conrse, a armare that no reform goverament could stamd that did not deal with the representation gupstion: Now, it appears to me, sir, that the liberal Freach party have been singulaily uatrie to their Upper Canada allies

Mon: Mr. HOLTON (ironically)- Het hear:

Con HAULTAIN-I repeat, wir, that the Liberal French menbers have purvued a course that if coutinued in; could only bave terminated as it has dove. I speak of what has come under my own obseryation since 186\%. A new Parliament had been concened. The question of representative reformi had attained great prominence. The Heform party had spoken distinctly on that questiou. Had their Lower Canada allies contemplated a continuance of the alliance, ve miglit suppose that they would hare forbirne raising unaecessary difficulties. But, sir, what was the course pursued? It will be remembered that an amendment to the address was moved, asserting that the principle of equal representation was essential to the union. This was a gratuitous though most significant expression of the divergence that was inevitable. This was made nore palpa-
ble still; when, at the formation of the Macdonatid-Sicotte Government, the Reform party were obliged to pay, as a price ;or their alliance, the surrender of the principle múst prominent in their political creed. tualliance based upon such terms could not possibly last. "And what must we think when we hear hon. gentlemen intimating that this primeiple might now be conceded? Had the same principles been then ennoiatud, had a bold, ;straightforward course been adopted by the Liberal members of Lower Canada, they might now be occupying the position of settling our very serious difficulties. I have alluded, sir, to the wishes of the Mother Country relative to the movement upun which we have entered, and I assert that the feeling there is one of universal approbation:. Still; so much has beén said relative, to the opinions sexisting in the Hipther . Country as to the contection with her colonial dependencies, and especially with those in British America, that I think it right to renark on this brauch of the subjeet rather more fully than I should otherwise have done, for I feet the great imporrinuce of it- I know of nothing that would , much tidath discourage the people of thescountry as that an improssion should go divad that the Juther Country was intend. uig to cast us adritt-to sever the cocnection. I have ni doubt myself, sir, that did such an "inion really exist in the Mother Country, aud were it to be carried into effect at the present time, or within any short period of thae, the suly alternative-I fear, the only alturnative-would be our annexation to the United States. (Hear, begar.) Therẹfore, Ifeel it to be of great importance that no dunbt thould exist in the minds of the people of this country relative to the feelings enterthined towards us at home. My hon. friend the veenber for Brome divelt at considerable Hagth on the subject: Me expressed, and I sin quite sure he entertains the strongest desitu fur the pretuation of this connecLim; ; yet it did secin to me that he dwelt whit peculiar satistaction upisti evers word be could extract from speeches and pamphlets, which appeared to him to point to a desire to sever thitt conaection, and I cannot but remenber that he was frequently cheered with "Hear, hears" corresponding with the seatimeats he expressen.' The remarks made by the hon: member from liome were, to my mind, most extraordizary. The deductions he drew from the speeches of certain noble-
mer and gentlemen in the Imperial Parliarent; were so directly opposite to what appeared to me the design and tendency of those speeches, that I cannot account for it in any other way, than by presuming that my hon. friend was not in his usual health, and that his mind did not possess that degree of elearness which he generally brings to bear on every subject he investigates. (Hear, hear.) It seemed to me that he looked at everything relating to this question through a distorted medium. I listened with the greatest pleasura to the dissection the hon. gentleman made of these. resolutions, and to the microscopic analysis to which he subjected the smallest part of their provisions. It sheived the great acuteness of his observation, as well as the large and extended information of his mind. . But I could not help feetiog that he was looking at this subject through the discoloured lens of a powerfully microscopic mind. (laughter.) I have no doubt whatever that this also was the impressiun made hy his speech on other hon. gentlemen.: $\because$, His: talents: and his ability I fully recognize, and I have no doúbt that every hon gentleman listened, equally with myself; with pleasure to what I may call the excruciating dissection to which he subiatted these important resolutions. (Hear, hear, and laughter.) But 1 must at the same time say that the: result of all his analysis, and the summing up of all his observations, only proved to me that the gronod on which the advocates of this scheme stand is well nigh immovable and unassailable; and convinced me of the sumallness of the objections which have yet been urged against it. - Of course my hon. friend from Brome, considering the tempera-" ment of his mind, dwelt at leugth and with much force upon the article which lately appeared in the EDdinburgh Revieio." I must acknowledgo that in that article there are passages of extreme offensiveness, such as I regret to see in any British publication, and. which were uncalled for and imprudent: If I thought that the artiele reflected the views of either of the parties now dividing the political world in Great Britain, I should indeed say that our connection - with the Mother Coantry was precarious, and that it behoved us to ask with pertinacity what really was the iatention of the statesmea and the people at home with regard to us. But, sir, wo have bappily the most conelu. sive evidence that could be afforded, tha
hat article does not represent the views of either of the great parties in the British Parliament.o. It may be the mind of a few isolated individuals; it may represent what is called the Manchester School; and I am not surprised at all that they should utter sentiments of that character. I believe that the Manchester School, being in a measure republican in their political tendencies, would not be sorry to see us joining the great republic to the south, and that it would them to see us forsaling our allegiance to the British Crown," and joining our fortunes with those of our neighbors. It behoves us to see if there are not some grounds of complaint-if there is not some rason why the Manchester School should wish to get rid of us." It has been wellobserved that: the remarks made upon us by our enemies are gencrally more valuable than those emanating, from our friends." "We cannot very well afford to despise the opinions. of our enemies, and we would do well to consider, if we desire.. to perpetuate the connection with the Mother Country, whether we cannot consistently with our interest and honor conciliate every party: in Great Britain. . . Beliering as I do that our independence and prosperity depend upon preserving the connection with the Mother Guuntry, I would be willing to remove every just cause of complaint. which may bet fund to exist. I believé, farther, that no man should take part in the government of these provinces who is not alive to the importance of this question. And what is the ground of complaint made by those who bold loosely the connection of the colviies with the Crown? The complaint is that they are taxed with our defence, while we tux the industry of the Mother Cunutry, and go directly in opposition to the pulicy adopted by that country; and surely there is some force and truth in this complaint. There is no doubt that, as we. are growing in wealth and numbers, these men feel it as an-oppression that they should continue to be tased as heavily in order to provide means for our defeaçe, and especially as, in times past, we have done so litule ourselves in that direction. As from year to year, or decade to decade, we grow in nuunbers and wealth, we ought to consider, if we value the conaection; in what manaer wo can relieve the Mother Country of the expeases entated upon her for our defence.

I also hold that, in so far as our financial position admits of it, we should seek to adapt and assimiate our financial policy to that of Great Britain. If we would continue an integral part of that country, we ought not to have high" tariffs intervening as so many barriers to that commercial intercourse which should exist between the tifo countries, for these must be proviocative of soreness and dissatisfaction: I' am, however, well aware that there are circumstances Which, at the present time, do not admit of such a commercial policy with the Mother Country. I merely say we ought constant!y to keep the matter in view, and that those Who desire to maintain the connection should consider it their duty to decrease the tariff as much as it can be done with justice to our own position, and thus remove the great cause of complaint on the part of the people at home. (Hear, hear.) I hare alluded, sir, to the Edinburgh Revieqe and to the extreme offensiveness of some of its passages referring to the colonies. But at the same time; there are sentiments enunciated in the very sause article, which seem to me to contradiot the drift of the article itself. As we have heard so much of this article, and as it has been made the ground on "which to base the supposition that there is a growing desire in Eugland to bring to an end her conneotion with the colonies, I beg to call the attention of hon. gentlemen to this suggestive paragraph, as If find it in the same article :-

The people of England have no desire to snap asunder abruptly the slender links which still unite them with their truissatiantic follow-sabjects, or to shorten by a single hour the daration of thair common citizenship. Ou the contrary, by strenghtening the ties which still remain, they would convert into a diguitied alliance an undigniticd, because unreal, subserviency.
This is a reniarkable passage to find in such an article, because, asy I said before, the whole dritt of the article seems to imply a desire on the part of the writer to see tho connection severed; and yet, while expressing this sentiment; the says there is no desire to shorten by a single hour the duration of our common citizenship! Why, this artiole which has been wade so much of, which has been direlt upon so forcibly, and which has been sent forth to the conntry as - indicative of the future policy of EuglavdI say this very article has strong language.
manifesting a desire for the maintenance of the connection:
Hon. J. S. MACDONALD-What does the concluding part of the article say?
CoL. HAULTAIN - That a stronger alliance is desired.
Hon. J. S. MACDONALD-I mean the concluding part of the article altogether.
CoL HAULTAIN-I do not mean to say that there is nothing in the latter part which contradicts the former. But the article points to a position the writer would desire to see us occupy.

Hon. J. S. MACDONALD-A position of independence.

Coì. HÁULTAIN-Of alliance, not independence.

Hon. J. S. MACDONALD-No; the latter part of the article expresses the satisfaction felt by the writer at the prospect of our becoming independent.

Col:HAULTAIN-I have not the Revieio by me, aud it may be as my honorable friend. says. But the general drift of the article its as. I have stated it to be. I do not mean to say that there are not apparently contradictory sentimeuts therein expressed-sentiments. which are absolutely and altogether contradictory. To resume my argument, it seeme to me that if we evinced a desire to remove tho existing causes of complaint, even the Manchester School, even such men as Goldwin Smith, would oot be unwilling to see the connection between these provinces and the Mother Country continue. My honorable friend" the member for Brome, not only alluded to this article in the Eliñ: burgh Revien, but he thought there were speeches uttered by certain noblemen and. geotlemen in their places in the British Parliament, from which, looking at them through his discolored lens, he could extract sentiments of a similar character. The hom: genileman would admit nothing whatever in favor of this scheme, and seemed determined that Eugland. whether she liked it or not, should cut the connection: He said the Mother Country culogised the soheme, butthat Jord Gran viliey approved, but-that Lurd Derby spoke in favor of the eonnection, but-All the virtue to his mind was in the "buts." Nothing would satisfy him, and nothing would satisfy England whatever was done, and the sooner she got rid of us as a bad bargain, the better she would be pleased.: "(Laughter.). But'what was really the tone of the speeches from which the hoin. gentleman quoted? Lord Hovearos;
in seconding the moticn for the Address in the House of Lords, on the 7th of February, said, "He hoped and believed that these colonies would still recognize the value of the British connection, and that their amalgamation would render them more safe, without in any way weakening their fealty. (Cheers.)". What language, I ask, could more clearly express the feelings of the person speaking than this, and, as the seconder of the Address, the desire also of the party connected with him, that "our fealty to the British Crown should in no manner be weakened." "And yet my honorable friend from Brome thought, with that discolored "view he took of it, that he detected some uncertainty-some "but." (Laughter) Lord Derby was even more sţrong and emphatic in his language :-

If I saw in this Confederation a desire to separate from this country, I should consider that a matter of so much more doubtful policy; but I see/ it with satisfaction-perhaps, however, it is too soon to dissuss resolutions. which have not yet been fiually adopted-but I hope I see, in the terms of this proposed Gonfederation, an earnest desire to retain the blessings of the connection with this country-an earnest feeling of loyalty; and a determined and deliberate preference for a monarchical form of government over republican institutions, and a desire to maintain, as long ass. it can be maintained peaceably-and no human beiniz csan wish to see it maintained longer-the amicable connection which at present exists between this country and the colonies. ( (Cheers.)
I notice that on both occasions when Lord Derbey and Lord Hovarton expressed these sentiments of attachment to the colonies, cheers were given in the House of Lords; and yet the hon. member for Brome, laboring under some extraordinary mental hallucina-(ion-(laughter)-thought he could deteet evidences of a dcsire to abandon us to our fate-a willingness on the part of the two great parties represented in the House of Loods by Earl Granville and Earl Derby, that this coninection should cease ! When we ansider the position Lord Derby occupies; when we consider that he spoke from his seatin Parliament-and we all know the significance attached to the utterances of even the men of least note, when they speak from their places in the Legislature, how their words will be noted down and beconie a matter of record to be referred to five or ten years hence perhaps, as I dare say has móre than once been found to be the ease with regard to honorable gentlemen
ocoupying seats on the floor of this Hoose when Lord Derby, I say, the leader of the greatest political party in Great"Britainand I do not hegitate to assert that it stands to day the most : numerons party-gives utterance in the strongest terms to his desire to see perpetuated the connection with the Mother Country, I hope we see in that an evidence, that so long we discharge the duties properly devolving upon üs, England with never "fail as'in our hour of need. (Cheers). Lord Granville said :-
It was gratifying to see the good feeling which existed between this country and the North American colonies- which, while they strove to carry out their own wishes desired to continue the connection with England.
Why, sir, if my bọn friend from Brome (Mri Dunkin) wá right, Earl Grayvilies so far from soying that he desired to see this connection perpetuated, should haie expressed his regret that me were desiring to maintain this connection. Notwithstanding the strength of the language I haye quited, my hon friend from Brome was determined to see in' it sone de.ire in the minds of these noble lords that the convecs tion should cease-some desire on the part of the people of Englan that they should no longer hold; as appendages of the British Grown, thrse valuable Provivecs' of British Anerica . He said even, with reference to the languagen of Lord Derby; that kis lordship "hoped" and "trusted" that so and so would be the case-and that the very fact of Lord Denry's expressidg : a hope that we were not going to serer the coinection, was in his mind tantamount to siying that a sepiration was inevitable. (Laghter) What would happen, sir, if my hon. friend were to carry vut thene extraordinary views in the common intercourse of life? It siruck me, while he was speakiog, that in his stais. of mind, there might be dauger in the interohange of the cascual civivilites of sucial intercourse. He is ninfortunately laboring under a severe cold. Suppoe I were to imeet him to-morrow morning, and th the exercise of that friendly regard that I cordially feel for my hon friend, I were to express a hope that his maiady"was decereasing: If he were to interpret my "hope" in the same strange manner in which he has taken the thope" of Lord Deresy and others. he would very likely tell me that be was not so near his dissolution as $I$ imagined, and that he had no intention yet of ordering his grave to be
dug.". For it must be evident, that acting under the mental delusion that has charactefized his remarks on this subject, he would interpret my." hope" that he was better, to a persuasion on my part that he had but a precarious tenure of his lifé. (Hear, hear; and laŭghter, And to illastrate farther how incipable his mind had become of dealing impartially and correctly with the important subject before us, I would call the attention of "the House to the fat that "when Lord' Dersy expressed ""a hope,", he was not speakiog at all of the feeling in England, but he was speaking of the feeliog in this couptry. He baid he hoped see should gontinue the connection. But whan he spote of the feeling in the old conntry; he did not even use the word "hope," bat spoke positively and with assurance, saying: "I am sure" that the aid of Great Britain will never fuil them when they require it. (Hear, hear.) We have had his remarks quoted to as before, but I wake no apology at all for estending the discuesion: upun it, for I feel strongly how important it is that this country should understand what the feeling in England is 'with regard to us. We bave also had queted to us the words used in" Her Majesty's Speech, at the time that Columbia was formed into a : British proyince. I will read it again :-
Her'Vajesty hopes that this new colony on the Pacific may be but one step in the career of steady progress by which Her Majesty's dominions in North America may ultimately be peopled in an unbroken chain from the Atlantic to the PaciGic, by a loyal and industrious population of subjects of the British Crown.
(Hear, hear.) These utterances from high official quarters, which arre geverally rery reticent, are reuarlable for their force; and for the unmistakable language in which they are conched: But, if there was any doubt as to the feeling which existed aumong the leading men of the political parties of the Empire, ought not that doubt to be removed by. the visit of His Royal Highness the Pinser or Walus to this conotry? : Was that a anere sham, a ake-belieres of the part of England and the English Government, that Her Majesty desired to retain, and Her Government aind the people of England desired to retain, the allegianc and the homage of Her people in the west? I do not believe it for a single instant. Ihave had recalled to ag mind the language used by the Pance or Walea, whioh I rememiber surack ma very forcibly
attife time. It occurred in his address to the Canadian regiment in the year 1858, or the beginning of 1859. Atter its arrival in England, colors were presented to that regiment by H:R. Highness. It was his first public act, after he had been appointed to a commission in the British army. I will read the words Which fell from the lips of His Royal Highness on that oceasion, and which made a most gratifying impression on my mind having spent, as a British officer, previous to that time, many years of my life in these pro. ninces. His Royal Higbness, in presenting the colors to the regiment, used these words :-

[^11]While on this subject, I may refer to one or tro of the answers which His Royal Highness made to the varions addresses presented. to him in passing through this country. One of the most gratifying to my own mind, and to the mind of every man who desires to see our connection with the Mother Country perpetaated, is his answer to the Address from the Legislative Council, in which he'said-"Most heartily do I respond to your desire that the ties whieh bind together the Sovereign and the Canadian people may be strong and endaring." (Hear, hear.) But it is not neces sary for me to quote further from the ansivers made by His Royal Highness. The whole aspect of his visit to this country-the utterances of the leaderg of the two great parties in the British Empire-the well-known wishes of our Sovereign and of the Heir-Apparent to the Throne-all these intimate, so far as actis and language ena intimate any thing, that there is still an unanimous desire on the part of the British people for the continuance of the connection of these provinces, with the British Empire. And I-believe it rests with us-altogether rests with us-whether that connection shall be perpetuated. (Hear, hear.) I have no doubt that this prevailing desire for the perpetuation of the connection. is one main ground of the satisfaction with which the people of England view our movement towards union. .They are well aware -not looking at it from the view of our sectional jealousies and party conlliets, but looking at it from soroder point of view-that
our union must tend to the consolidation of our power and our strength, and to the developinent of our resources. $\therefore$ I see no abso lute necessity why, as we grow in strength, we should think, for many long years to come, of severing the connection; but as we increase in wealth and in numbers; we ought gradually, in the time of peace, to relieve the Mother Country of the expense to which we now put her for our defence. (Hear, hear.) Anather reason why we should earnestly desire a anion of the British provinces, in order to develope our mationality, in order that we stiould become better acquainted, in order that netw channels of commerce should be opened up, is because of the hostility of the United States, evidently manifested to this country during the past few months. What has beerothe policy of the United States towards Canada during that time? We have seen adopted the passport systema reinant of despotism which even tho-despotic governments of the old world have abolished: We have seen that democratic. people embarrassing and restricting the inter-: course befreen as, they have "given' notice of the termination of the convention limiting the lake naval foree; they have, I believe, given notice of the abrogation of the Reviprocity treaty; we have seen the committee of ways and means reporting a bill for patting the frontier deferices in order; and recommending the expenditure of upwards of a million of dollars on those defences: They have given notice, or propose to give notice, of the abrogation of the Extradition treaty. They have proposed the construction of a ship canal around the Niagara Falls for gunboats and vessels of war." This is the polieg of the United States towards Canada. (Hear, hear.) And it makes us consider what steps they will take next. It must make every man consider the position of this country, should she be cut off from a communieation with the ocean through the United States by the bonding system being suddenly terminated. It makes us feel the humiliating position we occupy, that our very national existence at the present time is in a great measure dependent-most humiliatingly de-pendent-upon a foreign and an unfrieadly power: "(Hear, hear.) The people of the United States have recently manifested no good-will towards us, and the steps that have been taken to exhibit their ill-will are perhaps only a foretaste of what we may expect before long. But whether they take extreme measures or not at the present time, does our
present position offer any guarantee for independence, or for the continuance of our connection with England ? "Rather, do not the condition of this continent and the earnest advice of British statesmen call aloud upon us to be prepared, unless we intend to form part and parcel of the great republic? I can readily understand how men with annexation tendencies, and who are inclined towards republican institutions, would rejoice at our present position. I can understand how men who wish to see the whole continent converted into one great republic, are pleased at difficulties being created between the Empire and the provinces. ${ }^{-}$But those who entertain different views see plainly that some steps must be taken, that we must go to work earnestly to build up a nationality iudependent of the United States, though not in hostility to it, to counteract the teadency soevident on cvery hand to drive us into their arms." We know very well what must be the result of the steps which they are now taking-inless we curselves take measures in another directioñ-unlesy we find another outlet to the vecan-unless we find some other channels for our tride and commerce, they know that we must inevitably fall into their arms. That is another reason why I wish to see no delay in the union and in the amalgamation of the British provinces, in order that we may at once consolidate oursel ves into one people, and at once endeavor to abolish those barriers which now exist between us, and develope thie feeling that we havec common interests, and that we are dependent the one upon the other, which can never be the case so long as division walls exist. It seems really astonishing to my mind that any man who really desires to see built up on this continent a nationality indepedident of the Uuited States, should offer any opposition to the proposal now before us. (Hear, hear.) So much has been said with regard to our financial and commercial position and prospeets, that I think it is quite unnecessary for me to say anythiug further on the subject.: I am quite sure that I could not place the matter before you as well as it has been submitted by those who have preceded me: But it is natural that each speaker should dwell upon that which most impresses his own mind. I am persuaded that in every point of view-in view of our dependence upon, and precarious relations with the United States; in view of a desired union with the British provinces; in view of our connection with the Empir-weshould be culpably lacking in our duty, did we any longer delay to aeetr and to
create new channels for our trade and commerce." It is well known that at the present time our productions are aatually passing through the hands of the New York merohants before they reach the Maritime Provinces. These merchants are deriving all the benefits of that trade, which,' with all our disadvantaizes, does exist to a considerable extent, and is evidently capable' of an enormous extension. It is only necessary to refer to the position and characteristics of the different provinces, to see at once how exactly they supply the wants and deficiencies of each other. Suffice it to say that we are agricul. tural and manufacturing, whilst they are, and must remain, pripcipally a maritime population, requiring for consumption that with which we can supply them. I koow it is said that these channels of commercial intercourse may be opened up without union. ${ }^{\prime}$ But we need to feel ourselves to be one people, with identical interests, dependent upon each other: and what can do this as well as a political union, bringing us together into oue legisla ture and under one government?, Perhaps it is not too much to say that our commereial intereste would be furthered more in ten years under a political union, than it would be in thirty years without it. (Hear, hear.) In connection with this subject, I am natarally reminded of the Intercolonial Railway. Now, sir, it appears to me, although the Interco-- lonial Railway has been dragged into this ques-tion-although the expense of that undertaking has been dwelt upon by the opponents of this scheme as if it were part of the scheme and of this scheme alone- I be'ieve that whatever the event, whether there be a Confedgration of the provinces or not; the Intercolonial Raib way is an indispensable necessity. The expense of that railway is, therefore, a question altogether apart from this scheme, and cannok be allowed to enter into the arguments pro or con.' I do not look upon the Intercolonial Railway, at the present time, in the light of a protitable commercial undertaking, neither; to any great oxtent, as a valuable military undertaking. (Opposition cries of "Hear,hear.") There is not the least doubt that when we are not actually engaged in hostilities, it wôuld be of the greatest advantage in furnishing us with an outlet at all seasons of the year. Before actual hogtilities, as in the Trent affair, we need it to sceure our indopendence of the United States in bringing rapidly troops and munitions of war "into the provinces. When actually at war, we are aware that railmays are easily deshrojed, and
rendered of little use, unless we have the means of protecting them. But as a great social and political engine, it seems to mo absolutely necessary, if ever we' are to have a union; and if a union does not come to-day, but is looked forward to ten years hence, I still hold that we ought at the present time, and without unnecessary delay, to commenceits construction. Union, sir, is desirable, because undoubtedly it will add to our means of defence. It is true we shall not have any territory added to us which will increase our strength ; neither shall we add to the number of battalions in the provinces generally. ' But it does not, I apprehend, require a military man; or a man with militiry experience or military education, to be aware that there is no combination which so much needs one head and one guiding mind as the management of military corganizations, and the guidance of military operations. What, I ask, would be our position in the event of war, should there be no union? "Wo have at present five digtinct provinces, with as many independent gorernments. The people are but little known the one to the other, and consequently have but the slightest possible interest in each other: In the event of war, circumstances might frequently occur where concerted action. on the part of two or more of the provinces might be required: Immediate cooperation might be essential to the success of the proposed project. Should we not have the most serious difficulties thrown in the way of the undertaking, simply from the fact that so many independent goveruments must be consulted, each jealous of its own ights, and cọncerned ouly about its own safety. (Hear, hear.) Such a state of things demands a change, were there no other argument in favor of it: If we are to remain independent of the United States, we must unite, in the most effective manner possible, our available means of defence. We must: becone acquainted with one another, and do all we can to call into existence a feeling of oneness, and of interest not only in one section or province, but in British America geperally. Canadians stiould cease to think that they are interested alone in the defence of Canada, and Nova Scotians must teara to look beyond the limits of Nova Scotia. If we are: to offer anything like a united resistance, we must have a common interest in the whole country. And how can we so surely effect this, how effect it at all, without naion?: But let us carry out the soheme that is proposed for our adoption, and is course of time wo shall
all learn to feel interested in the integrity of every part of the Confederation. If we are united we shall find the people of the Maritime Provinces admirably suited for the work required to be done on the lakes-the key to the defence of Upper Canada. If, therefore, we can be anited as one people, if we are brought under one heäd and one mind, we shall have Nova Scotians assisting in our defence, and very likely we shall assist in the defence of Nova Scegtia." (Hear, hear.) I cannot too strongly impress on the minds of those who hear me the strong convictions of my own mind with reference to the importance of immediate and thorough union. Our own interests demand it, the interests of the Eupire require it, that we may be able to hold our own agãinst the strong and energetic power to the south of us. For these important objects we must learn to throiv aside all our sectional disputes; and to place ourselves: in the thands of men who would have to guide us when the time of difficulty may arrive. No one more earnestly desires the continuance of the blessings of peace; butt should the reverse come, we must all learn to obey orders with zeal and promptitude, to stand in readiness for service in any part of British America where our presence may be required. This "an never be done so long as Nova Scotia is building up a nationality for herself, and New Brunswick; Newfoundland and Prince Edward Island are each remainiog in a state of isolation, and Upper and Lower Canada are far removed in sentiment and feeling from either: : So long as this is the case, we are diffusing our strength and are weakening ourselves. From no point of view can union be more strongly urged as a necessity than in the case of our defence." The defence of Canada, although we have such an extended frontier, is not so difficult as might at first sight appear. There is fer prominent points which must be defended, and which we must make up our minds to ho!d. It is true we have an extensive frontier, but" the frontier of the United States is not the less so.. It is true also that we have many towns on the frontier, but they are not to be compared to the wealth and importance of those of the United States, and therefore we are not placed at so great a disidvantage in that respect. : There are certain points which are the key or the gates to Canada, and which, if properly defended, we may reasonably hope to hold the country, without fear of any number that may be brought against us, and it is of the first importance that the people of Canàda ahould
awake to the necessity of having these posts defended. If we are to remain independent, if we really desire a nationality apart from that of the United States, it is necessary that we should think of these things, and look them fully in the fuce-to :consider it well, and to see the absoluta necessity of coming to some arrangement with the Imperial Government as to the proportion we are mutually to bear. If we are really in earnest in our professed desire to maintain our independence, $I$ believe we shall be willing to tax ourselves and submit to the necessary sacrifices." The very, fact that there is an uncertainty existing in the minds of niany whether Canada will consent to be taxed fur her defence, is one of the strongest grounds to iny mind, why we should lose no tiure in completing the union of the British American Provinces. I teel that solong as Canada is separated from the rest of British Anerica, so long will she be without any fecing of nationahty." She cannot exist here aloné. We need to feel that there is a nationality on this continent to which we are attached, and I know of nothing inore likely to extend our ideas and views, so as to embrace the whole of British America, than the present project: Weare likely to view a couptry such as the Contederation would include, as sumething worth struggling. for and deteoding: All other countries of the world ane satiffied to tax themselves for their defeite, and we find countries not. so numerous in population, and with revenués and commencerntenor to ourselves, maintaining conparatively large studugg armies. And yet whea we talk of uür detelces-when we speak ot the tuxation which wall be neeessary in order to erect and defeid these works and to 1 astruct the innatia, we hear doubts ex'pressed, uncertantics duating about, whether Canada, will really consent to bear her share of it. It shews to me that there is apiong some a watt of adeepseated feeling of nathouality, and that that necesoary sentiment has yet tu be called uut and developed. - Where this does exist the people do not hesitate to make any sacritice necessary for the maintenance of their zondependence. Other countries have manfested their attachment to their nationality and their flag by the gacrifice of almost everything they possessed. Sometimes, however, it is urged that when the time arrives Canada will show to the world that she is witiag to spend her last drop of blood in defence of the suil. Tluis is a very proper sentiment, and souvds exceedingly well, bu I cannut help thinking that if those
who give expression to it wish to shew that it can stand the test of trial; they would now urge the expenditure necessary to give effect to it: They would then be doing some real practical good, and not be so liable to be regarded as " mere sentimeutalists,: The question is an eminently practical one, and the sentiment that has uo practical issue may be regarded as spurious and useless. We may be sure of this, that if we are not willing to spond the money that is necessary for our defence, when the time comes there will be a great unwillingness to "spend the blood:" (Hear, hear.) We ought to consider that it is not sufficient that we should be willing to pend our lives, for these alone: canuot defend us. If' we make"no prepar. ation, what will the destruction of life avail us? "It is unre:sonable and foolish to say that we will leave everything undone"-the training of our men, and the strengthening of our positions-uatil the very time when our only chance must depend upon our. having trained men and fortified positions ready to our hand. It would be as reasonable for a man to eay," "I will learn to s"wim" when' I am drowning." Every reasonable man. exposed to drowning wuuld certainly take every means to learn to swim beforehand, so that when exposed to the danger he "would be able to extricate hime If. It seems to ${ }^{\circ}$ me quite as reasorable for us to say that when the time conts we will; spend our lives in defence of the country, and neglect all precaulinazy measures beforehand. I have no syapathy with such à sentimect, and -very litele contisence in it. I should like rather to see a little practical sease manifested in a question of such vital importance. I have read with attention the report of Col. Jra vors, who was sent out by the Imperial Goverument, and, I presume, must other hon members of this House have also seen it. That officer points out certain places which must be "defended, and he aloses his report with this remark: "That unless these works are coistructed, it is worse than useless to coutinue any British force in Chinada." Me. PLKRAUST-Hear! hear!
Col. HAULTAIN-The hoí. gealleuian says "Hear t hear"" Of course, sir, I cannot prouounce abiolutely what may be passing in bis unind, but. I have noticed thisthe hon. gentleinan will know. whether it justly applies to himself or no-that when the expenses of our defence were mentiovied by my họ. friead the member from North

Ontario (Mr. M. C. Cambron), in a manner depreoating the expenditure, there was a very significant "Hear! hear !" intimating a. hearty concurrence in such sentiments. But, sir, when my hon efriend in his asual. forcible manner, expressed his willingness, when the time arrived, to spend the last drop of his blood in the defence of this land, we heard no more of the responding and concurring " Hear ! hear !". I alluded to. (Laughter-) My hon. friend, if I understood him rightly, deprecated the idea that apy expense should be entailed apon us for defensive works. But, sir, he spoke like a true Briton, and I am quite sure that he was in carnest, and did not atter a mere barren sentiment, when he said that he would spend his last drop of blood in the defence of his country: Aod I am sure he would do so. But I wquld pat it to thy hoa. ffiend if it is more reasonable that he should. spend this blood, or spend a few pounds? Who can tell the thonsands, ay; the hundreds of thousands of human lives that may be spared by the judicious and timely expenditure now of a few hindreds of thouss ads of pounds? I wish to impress upon my hou. friend what is the elear conviction of my own mind, that in every point of $v \in \mathbb{W}$ it is economy-economy of treasure, and economy of useful lives, to spend some noney now to place our country in a state of defence.: I thiuk a great change has taken place within the last few years in reference to this subject. The ventilation of the sabject has drawn men's minds towards it, and we are beginning to feel that here we are a people cousid. erable in unmbers and considerable in wealth, and it is incumbent apon us to do more than we have heen doing in times past. I would call attention to a very important work which can scarcely be overestimated. I allude to the Ottawa canal. I regret that the state of our finances will not permit as to think of its construction at the present time, but I refer to it that wo may think of it; that the representatives of the people may think of it'; that the statesmen of the country may think of it. In order to secure the fature defence of the conatry, and especially the western section of it, and to maintain its independence, the Ottana canal must be built. The Ottawa canal would be worth 50,000 men to us. With that canal, and the aid of the Mother Conatry, which we are assured will never be wanting when we require it, we will be eble
to maintain and hold our own on the lakes, and thus rake our own territong secure, and threaten our opponents at many important points. At the present time we are in a sad condition as regards our canal communication, looked at from a detenosible point of view. Our St. Lawrence canals are almost entirely aseless. I am glad to see that the American Goverbment have given notice of their intention to terminate the convention for not keeping armed vessels on the lakes. I am glad to see that this is to be put an end to, fir it was decidedly prejadicial to our interesto, and I have nodoubtwe shall have guaboats on our lakes betore the end of the present year. Had it contioned otherwise; we migh have been very much at the mercy of the Uuited States: There is no question that, should they determine upon going to war with us befofre the opining of navigation, we might not be uble to get a British gun-boat on our waters by the St. Lawrence caials, as they are so easily accessible to our onponents, and; without much difficulty. could be rendered useless for navigation. As regards the Rideau canal, how are we to get guatbouts through it? There is a certain cliss of gun-boats that might pass through it.

Ma. H. MACK GNZEE was understood to exprés doubt on this point.

Col. HAULTALN-Yes; the lecks of the Rideau canal are, I believe, $1: 30$ feet long, and wrould adinit acertain class of ganboats: Bat, as my hont triend seems to remark, the Ridean canal would, nevertheleas, be useless, because the only way by which we can reach it is thruagh the Grenville canal, and the locks of the Greaville canal are only 70 rect पong. "Therefore; we should be entirely at the mercy of the Unifed States, becauve, uriless we held Lake Oatario, the Upper Province vould be goevitably gene. Well, sir, it appears to the that all our inter-ests-commercial, poltucal and defensıve and the peculiar circamstandes in which we are placed, argeutly call for the uinion of the British Provinces. The reasons are of that force and the ioterests of that magnitade, that it is surprising to me that any hon. gentleman, who really desir $s$ that these proviaces should be independent of the United States, should hesitate for a single moment about adopting the scheme, not that it is perfect, bue bucause it is the only one within: our reach. (Hear, hear.)

I have now to make a fev remarks on the character of Her Majesty's loyal Opposition. The composition of that Opposition strikes me as somewhat remarkable. It is certainly heterogeneous. The great difference between the Opposition and the Government seems to me to be this, that "while the Government are saxious to build up, to consolidate, to strengthen, the only object of the Opposition, the only object whioh keeps them together, appears to be to pull down, to weaken, to divide'. (Hear, hear.) Many of the remarks which which bave fallen from the variois members of the Opposition, they might have made with equal force against each other as against the Government. To use a military phrase, they seem to have been firing at one another, tut as it is only a war of words and arguments; they may still fire away, although logically hors de cembat. One says it is necessary; we should have a change. Another says he desires an change, but wishes us to remain as we are. A third is. against Confederation, because he thinks the Federal principle is one which in all time past has been proved to be weak and powerless : Another member of the Opposition bases his hopes of the world's future on the principles of Federalism. Another says he will have nothing but's legislative union; while, I believe; there are not a few of those with whom he acts who would threaten fire and sword if a legislative union were attempted to be carried. We "have surely here an" extraordinary display of anything bat unanimity. As I said before, they present the spectacle of a most heterogeneous company, with power only to destroy.

Mr. T. C WALLBRIDGE-What sort of a spectacle do the Government preserit in that respect?

Col HAULTAIN-The members of the Government havea common object The häre come together, not to assail on $y$ another witín their opposite principles and views and opinions; but they have come together to combine -they have come together, like reasonable men, for the accomplishment of a great courmon object-and they have considered how best they can weet one another's viewis by mutual concession, which is the law that binds society together, without which society would be at an end. They have united in this way and in this spirit to streagthen the position of these provinces, and the position of the Eimpire to which they belong. Bait I
do not hear one word of this, with regard to the boin. members forming the "Opposition. I do not hear that they havo met together: and are prepared to propose to the country some scheme that will be better than the one that is "now offered for our adoption. I do not hear a word of anything of the kind, and this I do most seriously complain of. I maintain that the importance of this matter is sueph; that it is their duty not to arvail themselves "of what is ordinarily called the latitude of parliamentary opposition.The circumstances of this country are too grave for us to trifle with such a question. If we present to the House and to the country something to :neet the dificulties of our position, then I say that bonorable geatleusen who oppose that scheme are wanting in their duty to their country, and are wanting in the appriciation they ought to have of those difficulties, if they do not on their part present something to us, and ask us to accept from them what they suppose better than is offerid to them by us. I cannot but express my regret at the coarse they have pursued (Hear) I will now allude, sir, to an upposition to thi- scherae, which has been very decidedly expressed by a certain section of the Protestant minority of Lower Canada: I am atware, from personal intercourse with many yen. themen belunging to that section of the community, that they do feel very strong aversion to this schenve, because, as they say, it will place them at the merey of the French-Canadians. On this point I desire to assure my homorable frieids from Lower Canada, that whilst I consider that our present circumstances require us all to speak openly and honestly one to the rther. it is and : hall be my earuest desire tin speak with all trindligess of feeling towards them. I feel compelled to syy that there is io part of this scheme that I feel more duabt about, than the effect it will have opon the educs: tion and political interest of the Protestants of Lower Canada. It hat been said that. there is and always has been a spirit of tuleration and generosity on the part of the Frevich-Canadians towards their Protestant fellow conotrymen. I have heard it said that they have on every oceasion farthered" to the utmost of their ability, and in the fairest and most just manner, the educational iaterests of the Protestant minority,., But on the other hand, gentlemen who have paid a great deal of ateention to the subject, have
also said that, in time past, although there has not been an open hostility to the education of the Protestan't minotity; there has been a very decided under-hand obstructivepess. This is stated by gentlemen who have. taken a particular interest in the matter, and who, $I$ am confident, would not make such a statement if they did not think it to Ge the caser : And I must say, for my own part, ${ }^{\text {that }}$ I do think the Protestant minority bave some grounds for this fear And this is my reason": the religious faith of the majority in Lower Canada is, as we know, Roman Catholic, and they receive from the head of the Romish Church their inspiration ; they are guided by the princeples that are laid down, and that are from time to time publicly promulgated by the head of that Church. Now, I do not think that my Roman Catholic fellow-countrymen can be surprised-and I would ask their attention to what I am saying, I desire to speak honestly, but, of course, courtēouslyI do not think thicy can be surprised at these. suspicions and fears of their Protestant brethren. And why? Because they urust themselves be aware what are the priuciples of the Roman Catholic hierarchy.
Hon. Mr ALLEYN - What are they?
CoL. IIAULTAIN-They are not tolerat. (Nurmurs of disapprobation from various parts of the House.)

Hon. Me. a LLEY - -Aro Presbyterians moré toleradt? The hon. gentleman has stated that the principles of the Roman Catholie hierarchy are not tol trat: Will he explain whether he means that they are not tulerant with regard to ciril liberty, or with regard to religious liberty. We wish to understand precisely what the honorable gentleman means.

Cos HAULTAN - And that is precisely my object. I believe that civil and religtous liberty are so bound up that you caunot separate them.
Hon Ma. ALLEYN-You beifura they are intolerant on both points?
Mr ROBITAILLE-It is not well to discuss such matters here,
Col. MAULTAIN-I think I have only to refer to the letter recently issued from Rome, to find a complete and absolute answer to the question which the hon. member for Quebee has put to me. I see in that letter, which is invested with all the gravity and authority that necessarily surround a message from the head of the Roman Catholic Church.
-I see, amongst other things, that it is there stated as an error to be condemned, "that emigrants to" Catholic countries should have freedom of worship:" (Hear, heär.) I do not think thère can be any one more ansious than myself to avoid anything like religious discussion in this House, or to avoid rousing anything like religious animosity. But when we are discussing a scheme of the greatest importance, involving the interests of various sections of the community, I do think it behoves every mau to speak honestly. "( IIear, hear.) I have said that the Pratestant minority in Lower Canada fear lest they should not have full justicee done to them. They know the great- power of: the Romish hierarchy in Lower Canada. They know how much everything is shaped according to the wishes of that body. : They know that that hierarchy reeives its inspiration from Rome, and within the last few weeks we find what is the character of that inspiration. (Renewed inurmurs of disspprobation:) Now. I ask my Roman Catholic friends to consider this candidly.. When there comes from the Pope, 'the head of the Roman Catholio Church, a letter clothed with all the authority that we know the French Canadians attribute to that source, and when we have it. declared here that it is an error to say that in some countries called Catholic, emigrants: should enjoy the free excraise of their own worship-(Hear! hear !)-I hear some of my honorable triends say " Ilear, hear," in rather a jeering tone. . But I ask you to think honestly about it. Suppose it were possible for the Protestants of Canada to apeak in a manner similur to that in which the head of the Romish Church has spoken, and that we were to declare it to be a principle that should guide us, that we ought not give to those who differed from us the freedom of religious worship, would not the Raman Catholics in Upper Canada have good reason to be alarmed? Now, I ask you to do me the justice, my hon. friends, to think of it in a just light, and not in the light of an attack upon your religion. : I ask you to think of it fairly, especidlly at such a tiune as this, when the Protestants of Lower Canada are called to put themselves into the power of the Roman Catholic hierarch'y ; for I believe it is simply tantamount to that. I ask you to think what must be their feelings. When they read, as emanating from the head and ruler of the Romish hierarchy, buch a
sentiment as that contained in the passage $I$ have quoted.

Hon. Atty. Gen. CARTIER-Will the hon gentleman allow me to say a word'? The Protestant minority of Lower Canada have alivays lived in harmony, not ouly with the Catholics, but with the Catholic clergy of Lower Canada.: And I may say also, on behalf of the Protestants of Lower Canadiathe majority of them at all events-that they are so convinced that there is true liberality in the hierarchy, in the Catholic clergy of Lower Canada, as well as in the great majority of the Roman Catholics of Lower Canada, that they have no such fears as the hou. gentleman catertains. (Heaf hear.)

Coc. HAULTAIN-Of course, it must be perfectly obvious, that in a matter of this kind, what emanates from my hón. friend the Hon Attorney General East will have very litte weight, in comparison with what emanates from the head of the Roinan. Catholic Chureh. Now, I do not accuse my French-Capadian fellowsubjects of anything like intolerance.... But what: I say is this, that there is ground for suspicion on the part of the Protestants of Lower Canada, knowing what is the position in which they will be placed, with regard to the Roman Catholic hierarchy, when they tind emanating from the hẹad, the very inspiration and fount of that hierarchy, the intolerant sentiments I have alloded to. Why do I mention this? Is it with the viev of raising any diffioulty about the scheme now betore us? Quite the reverse. I speak in time-I speak to assure ay coreligionists in Lower Canada - to elicit the: declaration of tolerant and generous seatiments on the part of Roman Catholic members; I speak qu earnest warning now, that there may be no necessity for it hereafter. I need searcely declare what are my own sentiments-those of every British Protestant; we grant checrfully to our Roman Catholic fellow-countryunen that ${ }^{*}$ which pe also demand; the freest liberty of conscience, the freest exercise of every pulitical right. (Hear; hear.)

HoN. J. S. MACDONAKD-The Hon. Attorney General Easit rose and spoke for the Protestants of Lower Canada. "Mi' hon. friend from Peterborough (Col. Haulitain) also speaks for them. How shall we decide between the two?

Hon. Mr. McGEE-The hon. gentleman from Cornvall is like the blank leaf between the Old and New Teataments, belonging
neither to the one nor to the other (Laughter.)

Hon."J. S MACDONAl D-I really think this is a very inportant matter. The Kion. meinber for Peterborough speaks for the Protestants of Lower Canada, and the Hon. Attorney General East also says he speaks the feelings of the same class. What shall we say between them?

Hon Atry Gen. CARTIER-I can say this. I have seen, as the hon. menber is. aware, a considerible amount of political life, and during all t' at time I have always stood by the cause, when it was attacked, of the Catholic bierarehy of Lower Canada; but at the same time I have always stood up on bebalf of the rights of the Protestant minority, and it has been wy tot alrays to have the confidence of that body.

Hon. J. S. MACDONALD-Nut as a body.

Ion. Irs ALLEYY-I proposo that this patt of the discussion be postponed rill Sunday. (laughter:)

Hon. J. S. MACDONALD-And sing the doxology before we begin.

CoL. MAULTALN-I think, sir, this is a matter too serious to be made the occasion of unmeaniog jokes. I speak what I know when I say there is a feeliag of distrust on the part of a great many of the Protestants of Lower Canada: And I speak what I know, when I say that what I have guoted as emanating from the head of the Roman Catholic Church, has tended to iucreage that distrust. It alast be civident, that if we are in the future to progress amicably and will; it is better we should speak honestly before we enter into this compact, and that we should all strive to guard against any system being carried out, or any course pursued, that would tend to create diticulties in the future. What do my hon. friends froun Lower Canada say with regard to what I have quoted? Une hou geatleman rises with a jeer about deferring this diseussiontill Sunday. (Hear, hear.) I should like to know what the hon. gentlemin thinks of the passaige i have read. Dues ho agree with it?

Hon. Mn. AlLiEYN-U'pon my word. I have not read the whole letter.

CoL HAULTIN-Does he agree with the portion I have read?

Hon. Ma. ALLEYY-I am iu fayor of liberty of conscience to the futyon extent.
Col. HAULTAIN-I tisiak, in justice to themselves; hon. geatlemen of the Roman

Catholio faith should make themselves acquainted with what has emanated from Rome: I feel there is ground for the remarks I have made, and that I would have been failing in my duty to the Protestants of Lover Canada, had I not made them - had I not stated on their behalf the grounds of their fears for the future. I hope hon. gentlemen:: will make themselves acquainted with what I have alluded to. I do not know whether the long list of errors was read out in the Roman Catholic churches, but I do know that the Encyclical letter which accompanied it." was communicated to those who attend church. I do not know whether my hon. friend is in the habit of going to church.
Hon: Mr. ALLEYN-Oi ! yes.
Hon, J. S. MACDONALD-I would like to know how mon, friend from Peterborough will satisty those for whom be speaks, if he votes for this Confederation scheme.
Coh HAULTAIN-I have sufficient confidence that my honorable friend the Attoruey General East would oppose anything like an,oppression of the Protestant populative of Lower Cauada, ' I am quite satisfied he will faithfully carry out the assurances he has given from his seat in Parliament with reference to the amendnents to the Education Act of Lower Canada.

Hon Atry Gen. CARTIER-And Imay say that my fulfilmevt of those pledges will be casily perfirmed, because it has dever entered the minds of the Catholie clergy in Lower Canada, or of the majority of the Catholics of Lower Canada, to oppress their fenlowsubjects the Protestants: (Hear, hear.)

Mr. J. DUFRESNE-What happened before the union should be proof of that.

Col havlitalN-Well, after all that has been said to toe, I ask honorable gentlemen of the Roman Catholic persuasion to book at what the head of their Church has written and published to the world, and then to say either the one thing or the othereither that they have no confidence in what the head of their Church says, or that they have confideuce in it, and will act accordingly.
Hon. Ma. McGED-I hope the honorable geatlemas will be found willing to extend to the Roman Catholic minority of Upper Canada the sanio privileges which we are ready to extend to the Protestant minority of Lower Canada.

How. J. S. MACDONALD-The hon-' orable member for Peterborough admits that the intentions of the Hon. Attorney Gencral

East are sincere, and sàys he relies on them. But, on the other hand, he reads to this House an ediet which supersedes any promises which the Hon. Attorney General can make. That is the difficulty in which the honorable gentleman is placed.

Hon. Atty. Gen. CARTIER-I recommend the honorable member for Curnwall to read the Encyclical letter.

HoN:J.S. MACDONALD-I have read every word of it.

Mr. BELLEROSE-Then you didn't anderstand it.

HoN. J: S. MACDONALD-AllI wished. to say was, that I think the honorable member for Peterborough has put the case very fairly.

CoL. HAULTAIN-Whether 1 put it fairly or not, or whether honorable gentlemen approve of what I have said or not, matters not in the least to me. I have simply discharged what I conceived a duty tomy fellow-religionists in Lower Canada. I bring to the knowledge of honorable gentleneen of the Roman Catholic persuasion what many of them seem to have been ignorant of. And it is all nonsense to endeavor to ignore the fact that I have brought before them. We know that in some Roman Catholic countriess absolute intolerance prevails. In Spain, for instance, not a Protestant church is allowed to be erected throughout the whole length and breadth of that country. It is of. no use, thercfore, for hoaorable gentlemen to jecr at what I say; and when an edict of intolerance is again promulgated and sent out to the world, emanating from the very head of the Romish Charch, is it surprising, when the Protestants of Lower Canada are in a small minority, and know that they will be at the mercy of the hierarchy entertaining those views, that they shonld feel some reluctance to be left in that position. I know this very avell, that the generality of Roman Cat:olies in this country would avow, as they have done, their opposition to the sentiment $I$ have quoted. I call upon them practically to disavow it, and I have contidence that they will do so. Whether they like the dilemma in which they are placed, or not, is another matter. (Hear, hear.) Composed, as our society is, of those different elements, when we have to discuss matters similar to that before us, When, we have to adopt a scheme involving the interests of minorities and sections, it is right thiat we should do so frankly and honestly one to the other, and face to face. I have spoken, with every desire to avoid being
offensive, uncourteons and unkind, and I have done it, I trust, in a manner befitting the occasion and iny own character.
Mr. DENIS-Will the honorable member allow me to put to him a question?: Since the honorable ueinber has referred to this letter from the head of the Church, does he entertain the opinion that any honorable member has a right to come here and criticise in a siniliar way the mode of procedure of Protest:ant clergymen?. 'It so, how are we' to get along at all? The hônorable member may have his own opinions in regard to this letter, but he ought mot to state them on the floor of. the House, for if le does so any other honorable nember has the right to come here and critise the conduct of respectable elergymen of the Free Church, of the Episcopal Church, or of any other Protestant Church, and make such comiments as he thinks fit. . This ought nut to be. Therr, the honorable member said the letter ought to be looked upon nitio suspicion. Well, all I can say is, that it we go into a chapter on suxpicions, every man ought: to be suspicious./ We might bring suspicions to bear upun everything, however respectable it may be, and in this way it would be impose sible with frankoess to deal with anything. My hon. friend uses the word "hierarchy.". Well, a word even does damage sometimes. My honorable friend thay have his opinion upon these things, and thiat opiniou ought to be respected, because I believe it to be an hunest opiniou; but if he has a right to speak of "Romish" and all that sort of thing in connection with our Church, we will have a right to speak in a disrespectful manuer of ministers of the Free Church, of the High Church, of the Low Church, and of all the other kinds of churches, and bad feeling will be created to no purpose.

Col. MAULTAIN-Mr. Speaker, when: ever"any one who has the right or authority to speak for Protestants enunciates such a doctrine as that which has emanated from the Pope of Rome, I am quite willing it should be thrown' in my teeth on the floor of this House. I will tell my honorablefriend who has just addressed me, what he ought to have been aware of, that there is no analogy whateverno similarity, whatever-between the Pope of the Church of Rome and any minister of any other body of Christians. I would dismiss this subject, sir; by simply stating that-I have uged terms ordinarily employed, and have been ansious to do so in no offensive manner. Sunic of the reasons given for the opposition which has been offered to the scheme now.
before the House are, that it is not perfect, and that it embraces principles which would endanger the working of the projected Constitution. Now, of course, sir, the sclieme in one sense is not perfect.

## Hon Mr. HOLTON-Hear, hear.

CoL. HAULTAIN - Any Constitution drawn up to meet the cirçmstances under "Which the five, I may' say the six, provinces were situated must necessarily present apparent inconsistencies. Concessions and mutual compromise must inevitably be consènted to if we are to have union at all. It does not. manifest: any extraordinary degree of acuteness in order to be able to discover the possible difficulties thiat may arise fromit. Hon: orable gentlemen who have spoken against it have magnitied the dangers of collision, and especially has the honorable member for Brome done so. I am of opinion, sir, that ilt' the same rigid nud hostile analysis were made of any form of government or of any constitution, monarchical or republican, originated for oniting separate and distinet peoples together, it would not be difficult to foresee dangers of collision as likely to flow therefrem. Were the British Constitution itself subjected to the same kind of dissection, flaws and compromises might bo detected, and possible dangers be foretold: . In the Constitution proposed for our adoption, as with all others, the successful working of it must mainly depend. upon the characters and principles of the men who have to work'it. The honorable menber for Brome certainly attempted to make the worst of these resolutions, and endeavored io point out, in almost every feature, defects which he thought might endanger the intercsts. of the people. He dwelt particularly upon the apparent facilities for the developuent of what is called "in this' country "log-rolling." He said we might find the Maritime Provinces working with each other, and with Lower Canada against Upper Canada, and vice.versic. Well, it must be obvious, sir, that the henorable gentleman's objections in this respect applied with as much force to a Legislative union as to a Federal union, and yet my honorable friend is hiuself in tavor of: a legislative union.

Hov. Mr: HOLTON-I muist set my họ. friend right. My honorable friend from Brome-who is now absent-said he was opposed to any other union than that at present existing $b$ :tween the provinces; and his whole aryument went to show that he was opposed ty any other tie than that now existing.
Hon. Ma. McGEE-If the honorable
gentleman will permit me, I may say thata followed the honorable member for Brome very closely, and that according to my understanding he expressed himself in favor of Federation, but without a union such as that now proposed. His argument was that we should federate with the Imperial Governinent, and that there should be a Council in London.
HoN. Mr. HOLTON-That was another point.

Hon. Mr. MoGEE-No, it was this point: His proposal was-and he is the only member on the other side who has ventured to put forth a counter-proposition to that now before the House--that we should have a Council similar to that for the East Indies. I intend to reply to this proposition when the proper time somes. 'But my honorable friend from Peterborough is quite right in what he has stated.
Con. HAULTAIN-I am of opinion that the honorable momber for Brome, if he did not desire it at the present time, at any rate expressed hinself in favor of union at some future time:

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-A legislative union, if a union at all. But he really did not want any other than that now existing.

CoL. IAULTAIN-That is precisely what I said, and I maintain that the very same arguments which I have alluded to us uded against a Federal union, might likewise be urged against a Legislative union-that there woulit be the same amount of " logrulling." in tho latter as in the former.

Hon. Mr. BROWN-And a great deal niore.

Col. HAULTAIN-Certainly as much. I think my honorable friend from North Ontario (Mr. M. C. Camision) used the wane argument, and yet I believe he is in fayor of a legislative union.

Ma. M. C. Cameron-l-am.
Col. HACLIAIN-Bat my honorable friend must ses that this argument against the Federal univa might be unged with equal cogency against any union at all.

Mr. M. C. CAMERON-I may; perhaps, be allowed to say that my position is just this, that a legislative union would be preferable; because the people would enter into it with the design of working for the harmony and advantage of the people; whereas, if a Federal union were entered into; the local interests of each province would predominate over the interests of the whole.

Col. HAULTAIN-I think in this point of view the argument is rather in favor of
the Federal principle, which does remove some of the eauses of the difficulty, in so far as local matters are removed from the jurisdiction of the General Government, and are left to that of the local governments. But looking at it in every point of view; considering the greater expense, the danger of colligion between the governments, and the comparative division of sovereignty under the Federal system, I am decidedly in favor of the closer and more simple form of government secured by a legislative uniọn. "(Hear, hear.) But I would remark to those who oppose the former because of their professed desire to see the adoption of the latter, that in attacking the Federal scheme in the manner alluded to, they are only putting arguments into the mouths of those who are opposed to any union at all. They should also take into consideration, that it is admitted on all sides that a legislative union is unattainable, and therefore, practically, we need not now discuss their comparative merits." It appears to me bŭt a useless waste of time to advocate a certain system of union with others, and to make such advocacy the ground for opposing a practicable union, when those with whom we are to unite, and who are free to make their own choice, pronounce against it. (Hear.) We have to consult the wishes of sis independent provinces; and if tive of them oppose a legislative union, what sense or justice is there in making our , preference for it an argument against the only uniou that all will consent to, unless indeed it is urged that no union is better than a Federal one.: In agnin referring to the remarks of the: honorable member for Brome, I feel bound to saly that I listened with. great pleasuro to the miscroscopic analysis to which he subjected the proposed echeme. He was, however, only satisfied with pioturing all the possiblo dangers to which we might be exposed in the working of it. He dwelt with a oertain kind of satisfaction on the succession of knaves and fools to whow might be committed our future destiny under it; the possibility that its very adoption would call into existence a race of public men devoid of all moral worth and ordinary intelligence. But $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{f}}$ sir, I wish to take a practical, common sense 'view of this quastion, and I think the country will be inclined to do the same. Were a similar dissection made of the provisions or institutions regulating human society in any of its diversified combinations, dangers and difficulties might be magnified, and all patriotism, virtue and justice consigned to the grave of the past; this would apply
equally to all associations, whether of a commercial, political or national character. Apply it to our own position at this moment. We meet here to conduct the affairs of the country; the forms and rules laid down for our guidance are the result of the wisdom 'and experience of centuries, and yet half a dozen unprincipled men, if so determined, might obstruct all business and prevent the working of our system of government: The only practical conclusion I can draw from such an analysis would be to abolish all government aud abandon all association. My -honorable friend went too far; he strengthened the position of those it was his avowed object to assail. It was obvious to my own mind that every day esperience, under approximately similar circumstances, swept away the - array of dangers and disasters he conjured up, and happily gave us hope that men might. arise equal to the occasion that in the future might arise.' Our own political difficulties may be pointed to as the opposite to this experience. The essential difference lies in , this:', Felt injustice creates our present difficulties, whereas, with all the supposed defects of the scleme before us, palpable injustice to any section cannot be charged against it; and in our dilemmia have we not liad the men equal to the ocoasion? If we have men at the head of our affiirs, desirous of acting justly and uprightly, there is nothing that I have heard from the honorable nember for Brome; the chicf opponent of the measure, to create apprehension tor the future: It certainly is incumbent upon the Upposition, if they ape dissatiotied with this scheme, considering all the circumstances of our position, to lay before the House and country some proposition in lieu of it.

Hon. Mr. HOLTON - What do you say 'to the maintenance of the stutus quo $\%$.

Col. HAULTAIN-I need bardly remind my honorable friend, who is now oue of the leaders of the Opposition, of his own admissions that it is peither just nor possible to remain in staiu, quo. He has before said that the union, as at present constituted betiveea Upper and Lower Canada, could not continue: And he is quite right.. We cannot remain as we are. So said also my honorable friend the member for Hochelaga (Hon. A. A: Donion), the present leader of the Opposition.: He has expressly stated that some change was necessary. No far we are agreed. A new political combination has been accordingly devised, and the advocites of it say to the

Opposition that if they do not like the scheme, then they are bound on their own admission, as patriotic men, to submit something else. Then only will they thave a sufficient excuse for rejecting what is proposed as a solution of our difficulties.' (Hear, hear.) The only honorable gentleman who has ofered any: thing in substitution for Federal union is the honorable member for Brome. I confess, sii, that it was with surprise and something akin to disappointment, that $I$ heard the conclusion, the summing up; of my honorable friend's very able speech. No one can deny to him acuteness of intellect and great analytic powers of mind, and it was without doubt an intellectual repast to which he for some hours treated us. But, sir, what a waste of mental energy, how fruitless his intellectual toil! What has his country profited by his exertions? Hias, he proposed something Worthy the elaborate dissection we had listened to? Did he address himself to the dificulties in which his country is placed, and propound a Constitution harmonious and faultiess? What did he, sir, propose for drawing together these isolated fragments of the British Binpire, consolidating them into one, and thereby adding to their future strength and prosperity? To meet all those urgent wanta and diversified. interests, he proposes, to appoint $\because$ a Colonial Council in Londou, something like the Indian Council, to which our Ministers from the various colonies might be seint to consult with Her Majesty on aff irs conecruiug those prov: inces." - (Hear, hear.)." And what is this Indian Council that ny honorable friend would prefer to the broad union we propose in order to bring those protinees together, which have beea too long separated? What is the position ol India, and what the object, and composition of the Council of Ludia? That vast country is a conquered appanage of tha British Crown. It is governed by a Goveraor in Council, who acts under the orders of the Secretary of State, the president of the Indian Council in Londou. The revenue and expenditure of the Indian Empire ars subjected to tho control of the Secretary in Council, aud mo grant of such revenue can ba made witheut the concurrence of a majority of the Council. Such, sir, is the Council that my honorable friund proposed for our sonsideration, and in the adoption of which " we would be taking the best meana of developing our relations in a proper cónnection with the Mcther Coun. try." He further bays that " in the present
scheme there was no step of the kind contemplated." And who, sir, in his sober senses would venture to propose such a step? It is difficult to conceive-that my honorable friend was serious when reoommending it for "our adoption. A more cride and ill-digested scheme (using his own words) could scarcely have emänated from his mind: What had become of all the acuteness and microscopic power he brought to bear upon the resolutions of the Quebec Conference? "A Colonial' Council in London, something like the Indian Council!" Does he mean that we ought to have a Council in London which is to direct us as to our proceedings; which is to send out gopernors general to this province from tivie to time to dictate the course of ourlegislation, and iustruct us in regard to the expenditure of our uioney?-because the Indian Council, under the presidency of a Secretary of State, has coutrol of the whole expenditure of the ineans of the East Iudia Company, and the Goveruor :General of India acts under their direet supervision and command. $\bullet$ I mention this to shew what position the opponents of the resolutious now before us are in, what they are reduced to in order to provide something as a substitute for what is proposed for their racesptance.
Hov. Mre HOLTON-Surely my honorable friend does not wish to misrepresent the honorable member for Brome-to say that he purposed to substitute for our present governmental matchinery a council similar to the Indiaa Ćsuacil. My honorable friend surely: thes not want to itiupute to the honorable nember for Brome, in his absẹcice, such an idea ayt that.
Col. HAULTAIN-I find it diffealt to impute anythiug atall. (Laughter.) I have given his oisu words and their legitimate ineasuing. I cuuld not understand: what was passiag in wy honorable friend's mind, whieh certanimly appars to have been in a most estraophinary state. (Renewed laughter:) From beginuing to ead my houorable friend seenied to be tabouring under some hallicipiation: ( Laugher.) And I cannot help thinking that my limiorable friend from Chateauguay (Hon. Mr. Holton) is also labouring under tho s.me hallucination, "(Laugher.)

Hov. Mr. HoLTON-I contess I cannot see the point of the joke.
Coh HAULTAIN-In naking these remarks I do not seriously wish to impute to the houorable member Lor Brome a desire that We should put ourselves into the hands of a Seeretary of State and a council at home. I
do not suppose that his mind had quite deserted him. Bat applying something of the same kind of analysis to the remarks of that honorable member, which he applied to the scheme now before the House, it would be quite legitimate and fair to conclude that such was his meaniug. I do not think my honorable friend from Brome or the Opposition have any reason to pride themselves on the scheme he has suggested for our guidance: And it is most extraordinary that a man of his acuteuess of mind, and of his extended information; should so far forget himself as seriously to propose for our acceptance, in his place in Parliament, after a labored,--lengthened and able analysis of these resolations, this animalcule which he announced as the result of his protracted incubation of eight hours' duration. (Laughter.) I am sorry miy honorable friend is not here to listen to what I have thought proper to reply. I need not say that I have inade these remarks in the most friendly spirit, befiting the friendliness and respeet that I cordially entertain towards him.." When, Mr. Speaker, I thiuk of the smalliness of the objections and of the greatness of the sabjects involved, I cannot help seeing that it is much to the interest of the British Empire; as "certainly it is alltogether to our interest, that the scheme now before us should go fortrard to fruition: I should have liked, had time perinitted, to have said a fer words as to the remarkable ooncurrence of circumstances which has taken place in counection with the present movement, and to the no less remarkable unanimity which on the whole prevailed the Conference. At the time of the assembling of that body, we hieard from all quarters of the extreme difficulty-the almost impossibility of getting so many men of widely different opinions, and representing so many diverse iuterests, to come to" a mutual understanding. It could only have been accomplished by the unanimous desire that seemed to prevail to accomplish the object that brought them together. And now that we have secured a scheme, to which the leading men of all the provinces have assented, are we to throw it on one side, and adopt some such wiserable thing in its stead as that proposed by my honorable friend the member for Brome? We have yet to learn what other mexibersiof the Opposition may be able to prodice; ; but I hope, for their own credit's sake, they will submit something more suited to the gravity of our position. As between the two schemes yet suggested; I can have no dificulty in making my selection.

Much has' been said, and I' believe felt: also, about the uncertainty of our future. We are forcibly reminded that the future is not in our own hands; neither byany prodence or wisdom of our own, can we determine it. We are from day to day debating upon our present position, devising new arrangements for the future, and discussing the probabilities of their success or failure. It proclaims our own impatence aurd our absclute dependence upon a higher Power. I feel deeply, sir-and I make tio apology for expressing it-that we ought to look above for Divine guidance; and $I$ regret that our religious, differences should so operate as to prevent our performing together a public act of invoking God's blessing on our proceedings, without which all oar deliberations willfuil of success. (Cheers.)

Hon. Mr ALLEYN moved that the debate be adjourined.

Hons. Atry Gen. CARTIER moved in amendunent. that the debate be adjourned, and be resumed immediately after routine business on Monday:.

Afterdiscussion, the amendment was carried on a division.

The Ilouse then adjourned.
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How Art hes macdonaloBefore the debate on the resolutions in your hadus, Mr, Speakea,"is continúed, I wish to say a few words. The Government is Wr Il aware that tide Ifouse must naturally feel ausious and desirous of ioformation-and
"that no doubt' questions will bs asked -as to the course. which the Governinent will pursue in consequence of the news that has been received trom the Province of New Brunswick, with reference to the result of the elections in that province. "(Hear, hear.) The Government are quite prepared to state their poliey on"the gucstion before the llouse, ingrew of that information. Although We have no ufficial information as to the yesult of those elections, and would not be justifid, constitutionally, in making up our minus as to that result, until the Iecislature of New Brupswick has deelared itself either for "ur againet the Cunfederation scheme; get wo know, as a matter of fact-and we cannot shit our eyes to the fact-that the Premicr and several of his colleagues in the Government of New Brunswick
have been defeated, and that so far there has been a declaration againist the policy of Federation. Of coourse, in a general election, it is not to be supposed that the question of Confederation is the only one discussed at the polls. Being a general election, there was the usual fight between the ins and the outs, the Ministerialists and the Opposition ; and; of course, a lot of other influences were at work, such as questions betrieen the Intercolonial Railway on the one hand, and lines of railway to connect with the U'vited States on the other. Still, we should not be triatiag the House with cander if we did not state that ne must. consider the resuli of thove elections as a check upon the Confederation project. The Canadian Government however, I may say at once, do not consider that the result of these elections shơuld in" any way alter their policy or their course upon this question, (Hear, hear.) They wish it to be most decidedly understood, that instead of thinking it a reason For altering their course, they regard it as an additional reasion for prompt and vigorous action. (Hear, hear.) We do not consider that in these events towhich I tave alluded, there is any cau?o whaterer for the abandonment of the project, or for its postponement. "In fact, the only reason why we should consider them to be a matter of grave import is, that 'ihey forn' the first check that the project has received since the question was submitted to the people of these provinces, at the time of the formation of the present Government of Candida. If we only look back to Jane last, and then regard the present condition of the question, We cannot but feel surprise at the adrange which has been mate, In Jnoe last we would have been satistied if we could have contemplated that so soon as this the question wrould even have been favorably entertained by the governments of the dif:tereni provisces. But, within thooshort period which has aince clapsed, a conference has been held, and the measure framed by that conference has received the sanetion of the governments of all the provinees, and each of the governments of the five colonies is pledged to submit, not onls the guestion of Confederation, but the scheme pred pared by the Conference, to the legislature of each of those provinces. ind we have gained more than this. Not only has every government of every colony been pledged to the scheme, and pledged also to usis all its legitimate influence as a Government to
obtain the endorsation of the project by their respective legislatures, but we have also obtained the sanction and approval of the Government of the Mother Country. (Hear, heari) That approval has been conveyed to us by a formal dispatch from the Colonial Office, and in addition; we have had, subsequent:y, the approval of the British Government as expressed in Her Majesty's own words in the Speech from the Throne in opening the Parliament of Great Britain. : And not only this, but we know that it has met, or will meet, with the unmistakable approbation and saniction of the Parliament, the press and the people of England (Hear, hear. "Therefore, instead of Deing at all surprised that the whole scheme should not have been begun, carried on, and ended without one check, we should be well satisfied that we have only received one such check froin the commencement. "The obligations under $\because$ which the Canadian Gorerninent entered at the time that the Conference was concluded, and those resolutions linally agreed to, still remain in full force, and we feel that force. We feelit our duty to call upon the Legislature of Caniada, and to use all the legitimate influence of the Government to obtain from the Legislature of Canada a favorable opinion upon the resolutions that have been submitted for its consideration.: (Hear, hear.) Aud, sir, in view of the intelligence that has reached us from Nem Brunswick, we think it of more importance than ever that the scheme should be carried out ay a whole-that it should be dealt with as a treaty, to be endorsed without one single awendnent or alteration. (Hear, hear.) As eviry hon. unember of the House who is desirous of carrying Confederation must see, it is now more especially necessary that that course should be taken; so that no other province stall have the opportanity of saying; "Why, even the Province of Canadia itself, through its Legislature, does not approve of the seheme as settled by the Confereace". We must give no excuse to any "ne of the colonies to say, "It is open to us to deal with the question as we like; for even the Province of Canada, which pressed the subject apon us of the Lower Provinces, did not express its approval of the soheme, but propunded a new one of its own, which it is open to us either to accept or reject," (Hear, hear.) Sir, not only do we feel that the obligation aind expediency of pressjog this ueasure upon the attention of the

Legislature remain"as before, but we feel: it all the more necessary now to call for prompt and impediate action. "The "Government will, therefore at once state, that it is our design to press, by all proper and parliamentary modes of procedure within our power, for an early decision of the House -yes or no-whother they approve of this scheme or do not. (Hear, hear.) One great reason,' amoúg others, calling for promptness, is to provide as much as possible against the reaction which will take place in England from the disappointment that will pervade the minds of the people of Eugland, if they get the impression that the project of the union of the provinces is abandoned. (Hear, hear.) I believe that if one thing more than another has raised British America, or the Provinces of Canada, its chief component part, in the estimation of the people and Government of England; it is that by this scheme there was offered to the Mother Country a means by which these colonies should cease to be a source of embarrassment; and become, in fact, a source of strength. This feeling pervades the public mind of Eugland: Every writer and speaker of note in the United Kingdom, who has treated of the subjeet, says a new era of colonial existence has been inaugurated, and that if these colonies, feeble while disunited, were a source of 'weakuess,' they will, by torming this friendly alliance, become a strong support to Bingland. . The disappointment of the corres. ponding reactive would be great in the Mother Country, if they got the idea that $t$. o project was to be given up; and we appeal to honorable gentlèmen not to tall away from the position we have obtaiued 'by the uiere submission' of the scheme to the Government and the people of Eugland, and not to allow Oanada and the whole of British Anerien to lose all its vantage ground by "showing any signs of weakuess, any signs of receding on this question. (Hear, hear.) Another reason why this question must be dealt with promptly and an early decisiou obtained, is, that in is more or less intimately connected with the question of defence, and that is a guestion of the most imminent necessity. (Hear, hear.) No one can exaggerate the necessity which exists for the Legislature of this country considerigy at once the defences that are called for in the present position of affairs on this continent. I need not say
that this subject has engaged our anxious attention as a Government. The Provincial Goverrnment has been in continued correspondence with the Home Government as to the best means of organizing av efficient defence against every hostile pressure, from whatever source it may come. And; as this House knows, the resolutions themselves speak of the defence question as one that must immediately engage the attention of the Confederation: We had hoped that the Confederation "scheme would have assumed such an aspect that the question could have been adjudged of as a whole, and that one organized system of defencé could have been arranged betwcen the Federal Government aid the Imperial Government at an early day. But we cannot disguise, nor can we close our eyes to the fact that the course of events in New. Branswick will prevent an carly united action among the provinces on the sabject of defence; and, therefore, that quéstion comes up as between Canavia and England, and we feel that it cannot be post: poned: (Hear, heari:) In fact the subject has already been postponed quite too long. (Hear, hear.) It is time, high time, that ity was taken up and dealt with in a rigorous manner. (Hear, hear.) These are two of the reasons which, the Government feel; press for a prompt decision of the Hoase upon thé resolutions before it , (Hear, hear.) Then there is a thira reason, which is found in the state d the commercial relations existing between Canada and the United States: The threatened repeal of the Reciprocity ureaty, the hazard of the United States doing away with the system of bonding goods in transitu, and the unsatisfactory position generally of our comimercial relations with the neighboring cuantry-all this calls for immediate action. And the fact of the union of these proviuces being postponed, and of ${ }^{4}$ the construction, therefore, of the Intercolo nial Railway being put off indefinitely, renders this all the more imperative. It is, therefore, the intention of the Governmentand they seek the support of this Honse and of the ceuntry to the policy which I now anuounce-first, to bring this debate to an end with all convenient speed; with a view. to having a declaration of the House upors the question of Confederation. The Gor: ornment, to this end, will press for a vote by every means' which they can properly use. Then, secondly; as soon as that is obta!ued, it is the intention of the Government to est
the Legislature for a vote of credit, and pro: rogue Parliament at the earlicst possible date. (Hear, hear.) It is their intention to provide that all the unfinished business of the present session shall be so arranged, that it can be proceeded with next session, from the point where it is dropped at the close of this session. Upon the prorogation of Parliament, the Government-will send a mission to England at once; for the parpose of dis. cussing and arranging these important points to which I have alluded-the question of Confederation, ander its present aspect-the question of defence-and all matters bearing upon our commercial relations with the neighboring country; with instructions to press their work forward with the least pos. sible delay, with the vier of enabling the. Government to gabmit the result of the mis. sion-which we hope will be satisfactory-to this House at an early summer session. (Loud cheers.)

Hoي̃ J. S. MACDONALI said-The manner and spirit in which the Government have made the announcement of their decision is so far satisfactory. They have, however, adopted a new polioy and announced a change of tactics, and oue which this Honse is to be called upon to enforce. They bave departed widely from the polioy that they decided upon not long since. I beg leave to call the attention of the Howse to. the words ased by the Hon. Premier of the Giovernment himself, at the opening of the session. He says :-
"They had assomed the charge of affain with an understanding that they would have a right to appeal to the country ; and while they were consulting about it, ihey received an intimation from the real chief of the Opposition, through bue of their own friends, to the effect that he was desirous' of making overtures to them, with the view of seeking to accommodate the difficulties. The höng genileman and some if his friends then came into contact with the lowaders of the Goversment, and it was agreod between them to try to devise a sclieme which would put an end to the mizunderstandings, and at the same time secure: for Canada and the other provinces a position which would onare their future atifety, and procued for thom tho respect and contidence of other: nations. They arragged a large mebeme atol a. sinaller ono.
And now, Mr. Speaker, I wigh to call the attention of the House to this point. "If the larger fsiled, then they werk to fall back upou the minor, which provided for a Federation of the two sectione of the proviges."

The laryer scheme, Mr: Speaker, is evidently a failure;' (Hear, hear.) "And I will tell you why I think it a failure. This scheme was to be agreed to by all the provinces, and the different Governments were to bring it down for the consideration of their several Houses of Parliament. The leaders of the Opposition in New Brungwick, as well as the Government of that province agreed to a treaty, as it is called, aid went back to submit that treaty to their Legislature for approval But being defeated in New Branswies, it is not possible for the arrangement to be carried out. What reason has the Government for believing that those who have been just eleoted iñ New Brungfict as opponents of the soheme will allow it to be brought down for the consideration of their Legislature? How can it be expected that a free people will agree to a scheme, from the terms of which they entirely disseat?. It seeme to be the jdea of honorable gentlemen opposite, that if this Legislature adhères to the schome, it will be forced upon the unwilling people of New Brunswickthat some process will be found by which the Government of that province will be induced to submit it to their Legislature: They seem to imagine that the rejection of the Tuisy Government, and, consequently, of their Confederation scheme, by the peo ple, is a matter that can be traced only to the annexation proclivities of a large section. of the people of New Brunswict. If that is so, we ought immediately to appoint a day of geveral thanksgiving in this appropriate time of Lent, for the blessing of being relieved from any danger of union with such a people." (Hear, hear, and laughter.). It wonld be one of the greatest misfortunes. that cöuld happen our province to be connected with those annexiationists.
Hon Mr. HOLTON-But it is ñot true that the annexation feeling was the cause of the defeat.

Hon:J. S. MAODONALLD-I do not say it is so, but I am referring to what members of the Governuent have said aboat this defeat being caused by the disloyal and annẹzation proclivities of the people of Now. Brunswiek:
Hon Atte Gen. MACDONALD-Who did?

Hon J. S. MACDUNALD-WeW, I find their organ of this morning attiributing it to that cause. And what did the Minister of Agriculture (Hon: Mr. MeGzi) say on Friday night, on the reception of the news ? Ho
said there were many in that portion of the province who were influenced by a desire for connection with the United States, and that there were capitalists from Boston and from Maine whose interests lay in having New Brunswick more closely coupled with the destiny of the United States. If these are the feelings that induced the gentlemen who have been elected to repudiate the proceedings of the Convention, then, I say again. they are a people with whose views we of Canada should have no sympathy... If the gentlemen on the Treasury benches suppose that by passing these resolutions they will compel the gentlemen, "who have been returned to that Parliament on the express condition that they shall oppose the treaty or Convention scheme, to turn round and support it,"then what shall we say of such men? What shall we say of men who, after having obtained the suffrages of the people as opponents of the soheme, shall turn round immediately after they have got into office, and in effeot perjure themselves? (Hear, hear.) We have, unfortunately, enough of that class of legislators in Candada, without linking our destinies with like persons from New Brunswick: If that is the character of the people to whom we are to be united, then all I can say is, that they are not a dosirable class to have added to Canada: If it is contemplated that they are going to compel those gèntlemen to "vote approval of the scheme, who have been eleeted specially to oppose it, it would be very interesting to know by what process it is to be done. Are they to be bribed into acquiescence; or torced into submission? If the latter, then we must presime that they are not of the race of British freemen who, elsewhere, would resent with indignationay, rebel-betore yielding up their independence, and in that view, they are again unworthy of association with us. There is no doubt that the gentlemen who have been elected in New Brunswick have deliberately considered their position, and whether it is attempted to bribe them or coerce them, they will manfully resent it. I do not believe it is. dësirable to have a Confederation adopted by either course. What are we to gain by compelling such a commanity to come in with us? Will they not, for all time to come, cast upon us the reflection that they becaue part and parcel of the Ginfederany withont their consent? Is it degirable to have to do with noighboring
colonists, who have been either forced or bribed to accept what is repugnant to them? Will they not always' be a source of discord by endeavoring to make the scheme work badly? "Hear, hear.) But, Mr. Speáaker, wr have before us an instance of the danger of men undertaking to make treaties without authority. This is the kind of peualty which they pay, and I think we have an iustalment of the punishiment that is justly due to them, and which they, will recenve. Sir, we find that in New Brunswick, Nova Scotia and Prince Edward Island, a uniün took place between the Governwent and the Opposition for the purpose of arranaing a plan by; which those provinces should be joined together. They had the nuthority of their respective governments and legislatares before entering into that Conference, They met together by deliberate pre-arrangsment, with full consent, unlike the matiner in which the gentlemen opposite precipitated themselves into a union tever, growigg out of a political contingency. When the delegates went to Charlottetown, from their respective provivess, to treat of matters of great importance to the people of those provinces, and considered it to be a desirable object to obtain the union of the Maritime Provinces, they were interrupted in their deliberations by the members of the Canadian Goverument Greater icducements were then offer ihem, and they were filled with higher hopes and expectationsiof the good thiogs to be derived froin the Coufederation of all the provinces. Lieutenant-goveruorships, chief-jasticeships, and life-memberships of the Legisiative Council were all held out in the prospective by the Canadian Ministers. My these means, they inveigled these men from the ubject for which they met, and undermined the purpose they were assembled to promote. The Canadian Ministers said:-"Never mind your. union of these provinces. "Come a a way from Charlottetown with us, and we will show you" plans by which your ambition may be bett $r$ ' gratified, although you may thereby betray the trust of the people who seat you bere They mas not be satisfied, but never' wind them-they con be managed in some way afterwards. We will show you the way." This, in effect, was the language ueed to wards. the delegates. They took the bait offered them, and the next thing we heard of was. the adjournment of the Convention to Halifax, where the delogates enjoyed the "feast of reason and the flow of soul" for a
week. They then sped off to St. John, where convivialities were renewed, and finally they all agreed to come to Quebeo, and we all recollect the subsequent feastings in Montreal,' Ottawa, Kingston, Toronto and Hamilton. I will not allude to the meeting that took place here, because it is well known what the result of the Conference was; but I will speak of the sequel to these proceedings -the events that subsequently happened in the Lower Provinces. Hon. Mr. Tilley knew he could have submitted the scheme of the Quebec Conference to the people of New Brunswick-that he could have summoned the Parliament of that province and ascertained what its wishes were-as early as the Canadian Government could. "But he did nothing of the kind. He knew he had violated the trust reposed in him, and that he had given reason for a withdrawal of the people's confidence; but he thought that by bringing on an election in the country, he could gain his own ends by the unsparing use of all the influence a government can employ on such occasions, and by employing all the arts of cajolery lor the parpose of deceiving the people and winning them over to his own selfish purposes. Well, what is the result? Hon. Mr, Thley and his followers are roated borse and foot by the, honest people of the province, scouted by those whose intereats he bad betrayed and whose behests he had - neglected; and I think his fate ought to be a warning to those who adopted this scheme without authority, and who ask the House to. ratify it en, bloc, without having sought or seeking to obtain the saninction of the people. (Hear, hear.) I come now, sir, to a matter personal perhaps miore to myself than to any one else. I would ask the House who was it that assailed the Government of Canada more by his speeches and letters than this same Hon. Mr. Tiliey? Who was it that eharged the Government of this country with a breadh of fiith towards the Lower Provinces in reterence to the construction of the Interculonial Ralway; and whose staienent was it that was reiehoed on the floor of this House uyer and over again, that Canada had lowered its charaeter and dignity by failing to go on with that undertaking? Was it noit the Hon. Mr. Tuley who 'made these fulse accusations, and were they not, on his authority, repeated here by an honorable geutleman now in the Government, at the head of the Buresa of Agriculture (Hon: Mr. Mc(Ges)? Heculleat ing thest things, sir, I have a pleasure-a mischievous pleasure- (hear, hear, and laugh-
ter)-I have a mischievous pleastire, I say, in knowing that the Hon: Mr. Tuley has been defated. (Ironical cheers.) I repeat that I have experienced to-day a considerable degree of happiness in announcing that the man who, at the head of the Government af Nem Branwick, betrayed the trust of the people, who failed to carry out their wishes in respect to the union of the Maritime Provinces, who exceeded the authority with which he was entrasted, , who betrayed the interesis of his proyince and abandoned everything that he was seat to Charlottetown to obtain-the man who went throughout the length and breadth of his province erying out against the good faith of the then Canadian Government-I say I have happiness in announcing that he has been disposed of by the people.' (IUear, hearr) Hon. Mr. Tilley came to Quebec in 1863, with Hon. Mr. Tupper, and although he made the charge of bad faith zgainst the Candian: Government, he knew as well as Hon Mr. Ttupre that the agreement of 1862 reapecting the Intercolonial Railway was: to be abandoued, except so far as the survey of the lipe was concerraed.
Hoy. Mb. McGEE-Hear, hear.
Hov: J. S. MACDONALD - The honorable gentleman cries "Heatr, hear," but can he say that, while a member of the Government, he did not write a letter to a gentleman in this provinee, in whiob he suid that the scheme of 186": was abandoned by the Canadian Gorerament.
Hon. Mr. MoGEE-The lionorable genteeman has made that charge once before pubhiely, and I denied it publicly. If he can get any such letter of mine, he is fully authorized by tee to make it public. Hou. Mr. Thlery, so far from believing the solieme abandoned, went buck to New Brunswick with a very dif fereat impression ;and I aisk the honorable gen. deman whether he did not say to hime while here:-:- I declare to God, Tilley, if I thaught by resiguing my offiee we could get the "Intercolonial Railway, I would do iti." Thé honourabie geantleman is out of office now, and perhaps he will say whether he made this declaration or not." (Hear, hear:)
Hon. J. s. Macdonalid-1 do not deng, that. "I was then, and always have been, in tavor of the Intercolonial Railmay, and aus desirous that it should be built. I think that an outlet to the ogean on British soil, at all sestans of the year, is a very dosirable thing to be obtained, and upon that point I have never changed my opiaion. But I do aay that Hon. Mr. Tưprez and Hon.

Mr, Tiller understood that it was'not to be proceeded with at that time, and a menor mdum was drawn up by Dr. Tupper at the tutue (I am now speaking in the presence ut niy late colleagues, who are a a are of all the tacts); embodying the deoision at which the Gnverdment arrived, but which wasnot signed, bec yuse Hon. Mr. Tileey asked that; Mr. Fleming might be considered as engaged to proweed with the survey; and wished to reserve it for the formal ratification of his colleigues when he went back to New Brunswick. When he did go back, his colleagues dissented from the siews he had formed, and, in order to get himself out of the awkward position in which he was placed, he took the ground tyat the abandonment of the projeot was owins tr the bad faith of the Canadian Government; Noir I' say it is a matter of great satisfiction .tc me that the honorable gentleman who circulated this charge, and gave, ground for honorable gentlemen now on the Treasury benches to attuck the Government of which I was a member, and accuse it of bad faith to the sister provinces, has for these bold and audacions statements met his just deserts. Ha has bieen sconted and rejected by his own people. He has lost their confidence, and with inat loss of confidence this great schieme of Confederation has come to woeful griéf. I say punishment hias overtiken him. It was a long time coming, but it has come at list nit' terrible effect. (Hear, hear.) Thu' Honn. Attorney General West says that the Government will ask for a vote of credit, but he has not told us how long this vote -will extend. He does not teel what they will do it he Confederation scheme fails, as it is pretty sure to fail. "He does not say that it is going to garry, nor does he say that it will b- succeeded by any other: Where I would like t $t$ know, is the smaller scheme - the pet seheme of the meuber for South $0 x f o r d-$ of a Fenter. ation of Canada "firist, to be followerls it need be, by a Federation of all the provinces ? What is the honorable gentlem tiu to do with this sotheme? Is it to the brought down to the House, or, the larger one having failed, is it tio be kept in hand for use at some future time? Have we not a rught to know what this, schieme is and what the Goverament proposes to do in regard to it? (Hear, hear.) Are the people of the evuatiy to be left in a feverish state of excitument, because the Governiment has no definite porič, until the mission spoken of, goes to Eresuud, in the hope that the people of the Lowar Provinces will in the meantime repent cy the
action they have taken? Why, sir, not only have the minds of the people of Canada been unhinged by. the proceedings of the past year, not only have they been made dissatified with the institutions under which they havelived and prospered for a number of years, but political parties have also been demoralized: (Hear, hear.) Yes, the Reform party has become so disorganized by this Confederation scheme, that there is scarcely a vestige of its greatness left-hardly a vestige of that great party that demanded reform for a number of years, but which unfortunately, in 1864 as in 1854, went overto the other side when its leaders could no longer endure to remain in the cold shades of opposition. (Hear, hear.) Is it too muich to ask honorable gentlemen on the Treasury benches to tell us something of the scheme for federating these two provincesto give us an inkling of what is to be done, now that the other scheme has failed, and of the liabilities to be assumed by the respective sections of Canada? Are we to be kept in ignorance on these subjects? Are the affairs of the country to continue in the unsettled state in which they now are? Is all legislation to remain at a stand-still until the more and more doubtful prospect of Confederation is realized? (Hear, hear.) What umpunt of money is required by the Government to meet the danger that is said to have suddenly threatened us? Are the people not to know what preparations are to be made and what sums are to be expended in our defence? I am not opposed to any proper neasures being taken to defend the country, but at the same time prudence dictates that we should know what they are to cost before we blindly vote for them. If Confederation is not to tale place ${ }_{\text {; }}$ what is the use of guing on with measures of defeuce that depended upon Confederation being carried? Why not come down now with a scheme that will apply to Canada alone, and let as know precisely what burdens the people, will have to bear for their defence, what additional tasation will be required, and all other information conneeted with the subject? (Hear, hear.) I do say that it is anything but satisfactory to be told that wo are to poatpone the prouised scheme for our defenve at this time, to adjourn over till sumner, and in the meantime to send conmissioners home to treat with the Imperial Government. If the danger is so imminent as it is said to be, why this long delay? (Hear, hear:). Sir, I never was myself an advocito of any olhange in our Constitution; I believed it was capable of being well worked to the sativfaction of the
people, if we were free from demagogues and designing persons who sought to create strife between the sections. (Hear, hear.) I am not disposed to extend my remarks further at: present. All I can say is, that the Hoporable Attorney General West has done the House justice if he has given us all the information in his possession with regard to the present aspect of the Confederation question'; and yet it appears to me somewhat absurd to proceed. with the debate, when even the Government itself admits the measure to be a failure. (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Mr. DORION I think the announcement made by the Hon. Attorney General West must have taken the House a little by surprise " (Hear, hear.) The policy agreed on by the Government in June, 1864, was certainly not the one carried out at the opening of this session, and still less that which has just been announced. . The policy, as we find it in a memorindum then communicated. to the House, was that" a measure for the Confederation of the two Canadas, with provisions for the admission of the other provinces, 'should be brought before the House this session. I will give the tenns of the memorandam, in order that there may be no doubt about it. When explanations were given in June last, by the present Goverament, tivo memoranda were communicated to the House. : Oae was a niemorandum that had been commiunicated to the Hon. the President of the Council, and marked "Confidential." It was in these words :-

The Govarament are prepared to state, that im: mediately after the prorugasion, they inill addrems. themelves, in the most earnest namuer, to the ne-" gotiation for a Copifoteration of all the Britist North Amorican Poviaces.

That, failing a"succorisfublisuo to such negotitions, they ate propiared to pledge themsedrea to legislation duriug the next semstun of Parliament for the purpose of remedying shatexistiag dificul. titu, by intruducing the Fudersil principle for Canaila alone, couplat wish such provisions as will parmit the Mrritime Provinces and the NorthWeatern Territory wo be hereater iucorporated into tho Canadisun srotem.

That, for the purpuse of carryias on the nego tiatiums and untilims the dittails of the promised Hugislation, a Royal Condinission ghall bas issued, composed of threes nutimbers of the Goverument and thires membuts of the Oppositicis, of whomMr. Brown thall be one, and the Governyent pledge the cisstives to give all he intueuce of the Administration to secure to the said Comuission the arears of autvaning tho zreat object in view.
This, the first memorandum that was
communicated to the Honorable President of the Council. It was a proposition on behalf of the members of the then Government to the Honorable President of the Council, to the effect that the Government would be prepared, immediately after that session, to take measures for obtaining a Confederation of all the provinces, and; failing in that scheme, to bring into the House at the next sessionthat is the present session-a scheme for the Confederation of the two Canadas, with a provision that the Maritime Provinces might come into the union when they saw fit. But this proposition was not accepted, and another memorandum was submitted to the Honorable President of the Council in the following terms:-
The Governuent are prepared to pledge themselves to bring in a measure next segsion for the purpose of removing existing difficulties, by in troducing the Federal principle into Canada, coupled with such provisions as will permit the
Maritime Provinces and the North-West Territory to be incorporated into the samie system of gorernment.
This, then, is what the Government pledged itself: to do. The first memorandum to open negotiations for a Confederation with: the Lower Provinces was rejected by the Honorable President of the Council, and he agreed to go into the Goverument on this pledge, that it would be prepared to bring in a measure, this session; for the purpose of removing oxisting difficulties, by introducing the Federal principle into the Government of Canada, coupled with such provisions as would enable. the Lower Provincea to come in at any subsequent time. This is the measure that was promised by the Government; thist is the measure that honorable gentlemen on the other side, at the end of last session, said they would be prepared to introduce to the Legislatare this session. But instead of that the whole scheme has been altered. (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Mr GALT-Read the balance of the statement.

Hon. Mr. DORION - Thére is nothing in the remainder of it to yualify the pledge then made by the Government. (Hear, hear.) It is a distinet and positive pledge given by hon. gentlemen in their places on the Treasury benches, that at this session of Parliament they would bring in measure for the Coafederation of the two Canadag, leaving it to the other provinces to come in if they pleased. (Hear, hear.) Certainly there is this addition at the end of the memorandum:-

And the Government will seek, by sending. representatives to the Lower: Provinces and: to England, to secure the assent of those interests which are beyond the control of our own legisla-: tion, to such a msasure as will enable all British North Annerica. to be united under a General Legislature based upon the Federal system.
We find, from these explanations, that a measure for the Confederation of the whole of the provinces did not suit the Hon. President of the Council and the Liberal party in Upper Canada, that it was rejected by him and his party as not the proper remedy for our difficulties; and that another measure was accepted by him, applying the principle of Federation to the two Camadas; and in order to secure to that measure the acquiescence of those interests which were beyond the control of the Government of this country, delegates were to be sent to confer with the Lower Provinces with the view of bringing them into this union. Well, sir, I must say th. if the hoobrable gentlemen opposite had not been untrud to their pledge -if" they had brought to this House "the measure they then promised - we in this country would, at all events, have been saved the humiliation of seeing the Government going on its knees and begging the little island of Prince Edrard to come into this union, and then going to Nova Scotia and New Brunswick and supplicating then to relieve us of our difficulties; and saved the humiliation of seeing these supplications and the bribes in every direction with which they were accompanied, in the shapa of subsidies to New Brunswick and Newfoundland, and of the Intercolonial Railway, rejected by those to whom they were offered. Canada would, at all events, have held a dignified position, and not suffered the humiliation of seeing all the offers of our Government indignantly rejected by the people of the Lower Provinces. The Hon. Attorney General West says that the scheme of Confederation has obtained the consent of the governments of all the provinces; but where are those governments now? Where is the Government of New Brunswick ? Where is the Government of Prince Edsward Island ? (Hear, hear:) As for the Goverament of Nova Scotia, it pledged itself to bring the scheme before the Legislature; but it is well known that it dare not press it, and still less appeal to the people upon it. The menibers of that Government were wiser than the Government of New Brunswick, and would not appeat to the people: And here I must say that I
compliunent the Government upon the wisdom it show in not appealing to the people of Cucidila. Honorablégentlemen have shown far mure toresight in this matter than the Governaunt of New Brunswick, in refusing to let the people have an opportunity of pronouncing upon this scheme, for the petitions co uing down daily agaiust it show conclusively that the people, of Lower Canada at all cvents; are almost unanimously against it, and that 'an appeal to them would meet, as reqards the members of "the Lower "Canadd Administration, with the same fate which kefel the members of the New. Brunswick ! iovernment. (Hear.) I do not wish, sir, to prolong this debate more than necessary, out I inust say that I an surprised to hea the Hon. Attoruey General West say that the delences of the country require such inimediate attention that the matter canonot be delayed for a moment. If I mistake not, the Goverument have had in their hands a report fron Col. Jervols upon the defences, since the 12 th of October Last, and yet since that time not a single thing has been done towards defence: We are now told with startling euphasis that the country is about to be inyaded; or is in most imminent danger ; and all at s ace, now that the great weheme of Contederation is defeated, we learn that not au hour's delay can be allowed; and that we caniot even wait to vote the supplies, so ulpest is the necessity of sending a mission to" L. . Aand about this matter.: Betwéen Friday lannad this morning the Governuent has dicovered that this immineat danger threatens un; and so anxious is it about it that we cannot' even stop it yote the ordinary sup:phes, but must pass at once a vote of credit. (lear, hear.) "And; sir," while I an on the subject of the defenees, I minst say it is most astonishing that athough we have repeatedly asked for information on the subjett, in connection with this great acheme, we can not get it. (Hear, heiar.) At the earliest moment after the connencement of the session, the howorable mewber for Drummond and Arthabaska (Mr. J. B.. E. Dosous) made à mution tor any despatches, reports, or communcations, or for extructs therevt, which might be in the posiescion of the Giverament on the question of the defeaces of the country, and the Hon. Attorney' General West rose and replied that to give this information would endunger the safery of the province. The Minisury of Caniada cherefore refused ue that which we now find in the report which comes from England.

Hon. Atry Gen. MACDONALD-Not the report.
Hon. Mr. DORION-If not the report, at all events the substance of it. There they do not find that it will endanger the safety of the country by giving the House of Commons such information as will enable Parliament to take the necessary steps to provide for the defences of any part of the British Empire. I moved another Address at a later period, asking for such information on the subject of our defences as the Government might deem it proper to give; and although that Address was voted a full tortnight ago, I have been unable to ottain an answer to it up to the present tinie. Nor can we get information in regard to the finances-in fact every kind of information which is necéssary to enable us to form proper and correct judgments is refused. But, gir, I must say that at the present moment I am unaware of any reason which could be arged for our being called upon to act with such precipitate baste as to graat a vote of credit to hon.' gentlemen. (Hear, hear.) The session has been called at the usual time-rather carlier than the usual time for holding our neetings of Par-liament-and I say it is a most. extriordinary thing that we should be asked by the honorsble gentlemen on the other side to give them a vote of credit. (Hear, hear.) Why, sir, is the whole business of the country to be thrown into a condition of derangement in order to allow the honorable gentlewen to get themselves out of a dififulty-not to get the country, but themselves; out of the dificulty which they have acknowledged to have overtaken' them? "(Hear; hear.) Are all the affairs of the province to be thrownover, for such a reason, until next session, which may not be held for six months or nine mionthy, or. until the bonorable gentlemen chosse tơ call us ugain together?" Because "an early summer session "may be the month of August or the month of September, or it may mean even a later period than that. Do they expect a vote of creditof six millions of dollars to enable then to construct these defences which are. spoken of by Col. Jeavors?

Hon. Ma. GALT-No, no.
Hon. Mr. DORION-Then, if wo do not pass a vote for that purpose, what is to be come of the country in the meantime? (Hear, hear.) We are told that there is urgent neceisity for expending money on our defences, and that the danger is immineat. Well, sir, I apprehend if there is imminent danger, we ought to be: Lept sitting bere until provision
is made to meet that danger, or at all events, affairs ought to be placed in such a position that, at any moment, we can be called to gether to provide for the dangei, (Hear, hear.).

Hon: Ma, GALT-We want to avert it.:
HoN. Me. HOLTON-What is the dan-- ger?

Hon. Mr. DORION-It puzzles the honorable gentlemen to rèply. I think that they themselves never discovered there was any cause for alarmu until Friday last; when there was imminent danger of the defeat of their scheme, and imuineat danger also of the loss of their position. "(Laughter.) This, sir, is the real danger the hon gentemen want to avert, and they proceed to do so by asking us, in lieu of granting the ordinary supplies, to pass a vote of credit.- We will then be sent away, with, the prospect before their friends and supporters of another session this summer, when the idditional sessional allowance will of course be weloume to all. "(Hear, hear, and laugh-ter.) I simply rose, sir, to protest against the continuance of this scheme by the honorable gentlemen opposite. I thiak they are bound to proceed in some other way, seeing that this seheme cannot be carried, as it ceertainly cannot. It has been rejected not ouly by New Brunswick but by Prince Edward Island, one of whose delegates to Quebec, Mr. Whelan, has been holding meetings, and all that he has been able to accomplish is the pasting of resolutions of confidence in himself, and the assertion that no such scheme should begiven effect to without buing first submitted to the people. That is the most favorable expression of opinion that can ba obtained in Prince Edward Istand. It is, well known, too, that the Legislature of Nova Scotia is against the seheme by a large majority: And now we find that New Brunswick has prononnced ayaiost it also. Will bon. gentlemen go to England and press on the scheme under such circumstanedes ?. Will they argue that because we are 2,500,000 and they ouly 900 000 ; wo ought to swallow thein up by press ing them into. Confederation against their "wishes? (Hear, hear:) I do not suppose hooorable gentlemen on the other side purpose atfermpting to coerve, by means of their influence with the Imprial Goverument, the Lower Provinces to come into this Confederation. Therefifore it is that I say that thiss scheme is killed. (Hear, hear, and derisive Opposition oheors.) I repeat that it is killed. I claim that it is the duty of hoo genthemen opposits, and particularly is it the duty of the Hon. Praident of the Council, to insist upon their
colleagues keeping to the pledges thoy have made. It is the duty of the Liberal members generally to insist on these pledges being redeemed, without which they would have refused to sanction the taking of office by the three Liberal members of the Government, and in accordance with which alone they could justify that step before their constituents. It was only the knowledge that, failing the suceess of this measure, they would carry out a scheme which was within the power of the Government to edarry, that the Liberal party of Upper Canada apprơved of their three friends making part of the Govcrnment. The Administration could not give a pledge that they would carry the Confederation of all the provinces, "but they could pledge ${ }_{r}$ and did pledge themselves to bring in, in the eyent of the failure of that scheme, a measure for the federation of Upper and Lower Canada, And, sir, not only was this. promise made at that time, but we have since seen, this session, the head of the Government, Hon. Sir E.P. Taceie, renewing the pledge then given in these words: "They arranged a large scheme and a smaller one. If the larger failed, then they would fall back upon the minor, which provided for a federation of the two sections of the province." And it was expressly stated that during his session, if the present scheme failed, they should bring in " a measure to federate the two provinces. (Hear, hear:) That tras the promise given to the Honorable: President of the Council, and, if it is not redeemed, I fear his position will be a most unenviable one iu the country. (Hear, hear.)

Mr.: T: C. WALLBRIDGE-There is another point, Mr; Speaker, upon which I desire to see an understanding come to before we proceed further with this discussion. Honorable gentlemen opposite have attempted by their professions to manufacture a little cheap poeket loyalty, and to that end I find the most atricious sentiments expressed in this moruing's editorial of their organ, the Quebee Chronicle. I will read the paragraph.

Hon Mr. GALT-You need not: we have all read it.

Ma. WALLBRIDGE-It will bear reading again for the information of the Howse. It is as follows:-

A telegram from Now Brunswick on Saturday night says Tilisy and Watiens are defeatedmajority 250. These gentlemen were the Con, federate candidates for the sity of St. John. Knowing the influences at work, we are not greatly surprised at the resalt ; but our coaviction in the.
alternative of confederation or annexation is more than ever conifirmed when we see how completely American infuence can control elections of the provinces.
These sentiments are calculated to introduce into political discussion in this country a dangerous elèment, a mischievous cry. I would like to ask the Hon. Attorney General West, who has to some extent endorsed this sentiment, whether I' was right in uaderstanding him to say that it was the influence exerted by American railway men on the -elections which led to the defeat of the Confederation candidates?

Hon Atty Gen MACDONALD"-What: is that?

Mr. WLALBRIDGE-I naderstood the Hon."Attorney Geveral West to state that the American rallway influence had had seme effect upon the St. John's elections.

Hon Atty Gen MACDONALD-I will repeat to the honorable gentleman what $I$ did say. It was this: that Ihad uo doubt the question of Contederatiou was one of the sabjects which influenced the people of St. John. But I did not pretend that that was the only one. There were other loval questions whiọh, - I have no doubt, had their due treight of influence. There was, for instance, the usual struggle between the ins and the outs; and I presume there was the influence to be contended against' of those who were in favor of the railways to the American frontier-the Coast Line or Western Extenstou Ralway--as opposed to the Intercolonial Kanlway intercst.

Ma: Wa LLBKIDGE-I wish to nail this forgery to the cuanter befure it goes further, and to that end I desire to be permitted to read a lew extracts froin one of the leading papers in the Lover Provinces (the Noua (Scotian), and which are as tollow:-

But not quite so fust, good-fre hds: Thas is "not the tirst we hate heard of this " mititary,", - rail way. Last summer, a cummithe of Congressi, composed mustly ot shrewd New Eugtanders, came from Washington to examinge and report as io the expediancy of constructing a " milliary" road to the frontier of "New Brunswick." They, were not allowed, huwever, to stop at the frontier, for when they arrived there they tound an invitation juviting them to go on to St. Joha. They went, aud Sx. Sohn was in a perfect furore of interesting excitement. A puiblic meting was. called; we are not sure whetherMr. Phley was preseat or not-we thank be was aecidentally abseat trum soue inevitable cause, but seat on message with his coraphomentis and sy mpathies. The mayor occupied the chair ; the viands were oxcelleat ; the champagno "lo wed "d la Ottarea;"
the apeeches were eloquent; and although St. John had but recently been all in a blaze. with sympathy with the poor suffering Southernera; somehow it happened-under what gevial influences we cannot say-that they managed to create a mostagreeable impression, not only upon the stomachs, but upon the losal hearts, of the committee of Congress.

But this was not all. The provincial railway was placed at their disposal free of expense, and they were chaperoned over it by leading men, to Shediac and back to St. John. Mr. Tilley, we think, was on this trip. and after all was over, they went back with a wondering appreciation of the "good lord, good devil" yersatility of our New Brunswick triends.

## Again the same paper remarks :-

The Now Branswickers anderstand this, and with Mr. Thier at their head, co-operating with the shrewdest men of New England, are bidding in a spirit of commercial enterprise for the great stream of passenger traffic across, the Atlantic, which they (the Americans) desire to turn into our good city (Halifax). Apart from all its cther advantages, ther, propose, it appears, to puarchase our railroads, and thus release, for our disposal in other railways, the capital emploged in its construction.
In another artisle; the same athority places this story about the American interference in the St. Joha elections in a stronger light:" I will read it for the benefit of the èredulous :-
Strange to say; we find Mr. Tinisr, not only investing the public funds of New brunswick in the construction of a military road from Portland to St. John (of course only the Yankee end of the line is military), but the delegates themselyes have actually mude special arrangements with that gentieman to enable hin, "in eveit of the presenabchewe of Coafederation being consummated, to construct the New Brutswick portion of this proposed railway; Now, we wuald like the delegates to explain this littie matter to the sacisfiction of the old ladies whocu they have been frightening with hourible stories of Yankey devastations, amouldering homesteads, and blazing charched.

In the face of these extracts is it not idle to say that Hon. Mr. Tinher was defeated by American railway influences? the presumption would be the contrary. Looking to their interest thoseshrevd New Eaglanders spoken of would have supported the candidate who is willing to invest the funds of New Brunswick in a railmay connectung with their line: How. Mr. Tilcery, the leader of the New Biunswick Governmeati, was defeated, not through Ameriead influence, but because of the unpopularity of the Eoderation' : bome,
as presented to the people of his province; and it is wrong to introduce this new ory into our politics. Canada has been cursed with party cries, and it is time for us to clear the political areoa of such false issues and dangerous contests. To introduce this new. element of discord can only gain for its promoters a temporary relief, whilst the damage it will inflict upon the best interests of the country are positive. Our critical relations, at this moment, with the American people are maiuly traceable to cries of this kind. By rendering the people suspicious of such iufluence, the prombters of the cry are bastening the accomplishment of what they pretend to oppose: Once render the people of this country dissatisfied with the working of their system of government, and there will be danger of their continuing what will then seem inevitable. "If there ${ }^{\text {" }}$ be any who desire annexation, they fould not better forward their views than by ratising the false cry of American interference in our political contests. Once destroy public confidence in ourinstitutions, and it is impossible to prediet what extremes may not be resorted to. If the Ministry have information of the Kind alleged, of an interference by foreigaers in the political contest now going on in New Brunswick, they are bound to lay it before the Housio. Such an interference could not be tolerated, and the country should know the truth of the all gation at the earliest possible moment." It the vote of credit asked for is for military purposes, for fortifications, the Governiment will find their hands strengthened by the support of every hon. member of this House. It is not necessary to cry logalty to obtain the vote, no more than it is necessary to ory anpexation to secure the passinge of an act to unite the provinces: I bave been surprised at the alternative that has so often been put by hon members; -Federation, or Annexation:, Yes, and by hon. meinburs who, in 1858, helped to laugh out of the House the resolations of the present Hoa. Finance Minister, on the ground that if they were carried and confederation follow, there would be a movement in the direction of annexation. (Hear; hear.) I ask where is the consistency of the two positions-in. 1858 federation was a move towards anneration, in 1865 it is the - only measure that will prevent annezation? The language of Her Majesty and of some "noble lords" has been referred to as a reason why this solieme shonld be accepted without eaquiry. Bat it should be remem.
bered that this is not the first time that language has been put in an Address from the Throne; to palliate the sacrifice of the true interests of Canada. "We are as capable of judging here, on the floor of this House, what is for the true interests of the country, as any of the noble lords of the realm. If their speeches contain the sum of wisdom in regard to our affairs, pray how is it that our frontier has been in times past so extensively sacrificed ?: Every one who has given any attention to the subject will see that under the Ashburton treaty our frontier was shamefully surrendered to the Americans, and that it received the sanction of noble lords at home; and now we have to build our railway over the rocks of New Brunswick and Nova Scotia, to the seaboard. (Hear, hear.) This question of Federation isa question which concerns our country; which concerns our allegiance, which concerns our connection with the Home Government and the future of this country ; and when our interests are at stake, we are the proper parties to judge of what is best. .(Hear, hear.) Therefore, to raise a false cry to enable hon. gentlemen op the opposite side to carry out their measure without amendment and without consulting the people of this province, is unjust in practice and wrong in principle It is a daggèrous experiment. Had hon. nembers been aware of the whole circumstances of the New Brunswick elections, they would perhaps have reflected before placing Hon. Mr. Triley in a false position.

Hon. Mr. McGEE-It is all a mistake.
Mr. WALLBRIGE-The extracts read are confirmatory of this view. I know something of the railways of New Brunswick, and 1 am aware that a scheme was favored by the people of St. John to extend taeir railways to the American frontier, as Canada has done in several instances. 'It was their interest to evonect with the Portland road, juist as it was the interest of Canada to connect the Grand Trunk with the road from Montreal to Portland. And with Hon. Mr. Trlley as the advocate of such extension, is it reasobable to infer that the American railway men opposed his elcetion? The scheme before us is fraught with a job of greater. proportions than the Now Branswick people ever thought of, The lurking influences of the Grand Trunk Railway, or of the wellknown contractors, who are uppermost whenever this union is spoken of, are at work. (Ministerial laughter:): Ministers may laugh, but it is patent to all that the rail-
way, by the longest route it will be possible to find, is the pivot on which the scheme revolves:- If it be the desire to get to the seaboard, and not to give certain contracting firms a job, why is not the shortest, cheapest and best route, from every point of view, selected? Why climb. over the mountains of the centre of New Brunswick, or along the seacoast, when a road can be constructed by a better but shorter route, for much less money, by the valley of the St. John? I contend that the route this road is to run should be nade. known to this Honse. It, is a question involving the expenditure of millions, and if the cheaper route be built, the saving to Canada will also $0^{\circ}$ he many millions of dollars. I know that certain honorable gentlenen are prepared to vote on this question phlegmatically: (Laughter:)

Mr. H. MACKENZIE-What is it to vote phlegmatically?

Mr. WALLBRIDGE-An hon.: gentleman asks me what a phlegmatic vote is? I would inform him it is to yote on this question; which so deeply concerns our future interests, without inquiry. It will cause some: honorable gentlemen to give the lie to their whole polizical lives. It is to yote away, without enquiry, our rights to the North-West territory. It is to seal up that sountry hermetically for all time to come. That is what I call giving a phlegmatic vote. (Hear, hear:) We find that the represeptatives at the Conference from Nova Scotia and New Brunswick made it a poine of the proposed Constitution to construct the Interculonial Railway, also took good care to make the opening of the North. West contingent upon the state of the finances, and the Confederation will comtinence life with a debt of $\$ 150,000,000 \ldots$ It is evident, therefore, that the North West is hermetically sealed, as far as Canada is concerned. What shall we gain by this particular eheme of Confederation? We have been runaing with railway speed into bankruptoy, and this scheme is one which will add immense ly to our debt, and especially to our debt on account of unproductive and uselesa railways, and of which we do not even know the route, althongh, now that the elections in New Branswick are over, it caunut affect the position in that province to give the information we are seeking. (Hear, hear.) I am in fayor of a union of the Brition North American Provinces. But the nuion that is desirable is a union in fact, not an
organized system of discord, with a number of petty legislatures that will only serve to create strife and prevent our moving forward in the career of civilization and improvement. The scheme of the hon. gentlemen, to some extent - will give us the advantages of a legislative union, but it is incumbered with objectionable details-details which, in their importance, amount to principles, and to secure their rejection or amendment I shall employ what energy I can bring to bear. The scheme has been submitted to the people in New Brunswick, and it has there been admitted, as well as in Nora Scotia, that. it was subject to amendment: Why should Canada not have the same right aceorded? Why should we take the scheme in its entirety, when its authors cannot justify certain provisions which specially relate to this coun: try? It is treating Canada with contempt, and hon. gentlemen will be held responsible. I have very great confidence in several of the hou. gentlemen opposite. I have very great confidence in the Hon. President of the Council and the two other hon.. gentlemeen whom he took into the Ministry with him. But, when the Hon, the President of the Conncil consented to go into the Administration without getting a fair represent. ation Tu it of the party with which he was actiog, both in Upper and in Lower Canada, he miscarried. (Laughter.) That may acconnt for some of the objectionable features of this mensure. It may account for Canada consenting, and for the Hon. President of the Council giving his consent, that "lhe roting at the Conference should be by provinces, instead of by numbers. They toek very good care to arrange that we should pay according to population. (Hear, hair.) But they voted by provinces, and in that way hampered the scheme with inany oljectionable details. And I think, therefore, it is now eompetent for this House to critioise those details; and to take such steps as will onsure their exdusion from the-Inperial Aot: (Hear, hear.)
Hon. Ma. MOLTON-Betore thege"explanations are over-and I have no desire to prolong them further than is necessary-I would like to ask the Hob. Minister of Fitanco as to the courae to be pursiued with referene to the Lower Canada Sọhool Law, which was promised to be introduced this session. We are now told a prorogation is to take place. and I would like to know whether the pledge. given by the honorabla gentleman at Sherbrooke, on bobalf of himself and his cols.
leagues, and renewed several times in the House , since the session commenced, is intended to be carried oat, or whether it is. to be modified-because it must be obvious' that that matter has an important bearing on the question of Confederation, "With which it has been connected by honorable gentlemen opposite:

How. Mn, GALT-I think the statement made this afternoon by the Hon. Attorney General West is perfectly explicit. The Government intend to ass for a vote on the resolutions now in the hands of the Speaker: With remard to the School question, the Government are under the same pledge as they hare always been : it will be legislated upon by this Hoase.

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-This session?
Hon. Mr. GALT-It will not be legislated upon this'session, because; as the Hon. Attorney General West has stated, it is the intention of the Government to prorogue the House at the earliest date. But all the conditions connected with the resolutions will be legislated upan as a matter of course.

Hos In. HOLTON-I understand, then, that the pledge to bring down that question this sessiou is withdrawn-the policy of the Government on that point having been modified by the result of the elecifuse in Séw Brunswick.

- Hon. Vi.. GALT-There is no change in the policy of the Governtacat or the sub: ject of Confederation, or any of the other measures connected with it:
HoN Ma. HOLTON-But the honorabla gentleman must pernit me to recall the nature of the pledge given by himself and his colleagues "at "Sherbrouke "and in this Hoase-that there would be a bill brought down by the Government during this session of Tarliameut, for the amendment of the Lower Canada School laws. This was repeated by the Honurable Solicitor General Eist, on behalf of the Covernment, in the course of certain interpotlations made on this subject in the sbsénce of my bon, friend the Finance Minister. And the conclusion of the whole fuatter now is, that the hon. gentleman states emphatically that this is not to be done. The people of Now Brunswick, therefore, among the other mis: chicis they have wrought by the free exercise of their franohise in the rejection of the Government which undertook, without legislative or other authority, to enter into arrangements for revolutionizing the countryamong other mischief they have wrought
has been this, that the Minister of Finance and his colleagues conceive themselves to be relieved thereby of the obligations they undertook to the country and to the HoaseHon. Mre GALT-No! no!
Hon. Mr- COLTON $\div$ The obligations they undertook to the country and to the House to bring in an amendment to the Lower Canada School laws during this session of Parliament: The hon gentleman knows full well-none better than he-the point of these remarks: It may not be appreciated by the Hodse generally, especially by the members from Upper Canada, but the hon. gentleman knows well theimportance of it,and that the English Protestants of Lower Canadadesire to know whatis to be done in this matter on education; before the final voice of the people of this country is pronounced on the question of Coffederation. The assurances given by the hon gentleman Led them to believe- and in point of tact they do generally believe-that that measure is to be brought down befove the final vote of this House is taken on the ques ion of Confederation. That is the point of the whole matter. And the honorable gentleman now tells us, through his leader, that the Confederation resolutions are to be put through this session immediately, and that commissioners are going to England to press legislation founded on those resolutions, while on the other hand he himself, the great Protestant champion of Lower Canada, who clain's the confidence of Lover Sanala Protestants in an especial manner, now tells them that this promised legislation is not to be had until next session of Pariament, when itowill be too late perhaps to petition this House, or even to send popular petitions to the Imperial Parliament agaiast this measure: Theretore it is, I repeat, that among the mauy curious results of the free exercige of their franchise by the people of New 'Brunswick, "we have this, that the . Yrotestant champion of Lower Canada is "not going to do that which he undertook to do on behalf of his fellowcountrymen and correligionists-that whioh he promised this session, but now postpunes till another session, when all the circumstances may be changed.' (Hear, hear.)

Hos Dia. GALI:-I think the interest eviriced by the hui: member for Chateauguay in this mutter is somewhat remarkable. I feel gratelul inded to him for the kind solicitude he expresses on my behalf, that I should cause no disappointment to the class which to a certain extent lookg to ne. Still

I think he is guilty of rather a paltry quibble in the statement he has just made. Th.e position of the Government was most distinctly stated by the Attorney General West, and no misunderstanding can exist with regard to it: It is admitted frankly that the events in New Brunswick call for some special action by this Government, and the action which they propose to take was stated in the most distinct terms by the Government. As regards the education question, statements have been made already as to the nature of the amendments which are to be propused to the existing School law. The Government will unquestionably take care that that law shall be amended in the sense of those statements before the Confederation scheme finally becomes law in Canada. I think no further statement is necessary. I can add nothing to the assurances which have already been given on that subject. (Hear, hear.)

Hon. J. H: CAMERON-There is one point on which I" should like an explaination from the Hon. Attorney General West: He says there. will be a y yte of credit asked from the House, until the nextmeeting of the Legislature. That, I suppose, will not be until Julyor August, but the appropriation for the services of the volunteer, force on the frontier expires in May: Will that vote of credit include the amount necessary to continue the volanteerson their present service, if the Government find that they require it to be continued up to a súbsequent period, say the first of August? I should like an answer to this question, if the Goverument have made up their minds on this part of the subject. I may remark, also, that one cannot help feel. ing it to be a matter of regret: that the public business of the country could not go on? Of course, if the Government determine that the question of Confederation shall be pressed to a speedy decision by the House, and the Hon. Atty. Gen. West and other members of the Government proced immediately thereafter to the other side of the Atlantic, it will be necessary that the Ho se should rise, without getting through the ordinary business of the country. At the same time, a few weeks mure would enable the House to get through .all that business, aud when we met again in July or August, we woild be able to devote our whole time to the measures which the Go vernment may submit to us, as the resalt of the nission to England. If this debate is
to be pressed as rapidly as the Hon. Attorney General indicates, I have no doubt we would be able to dispose of it, and also to get rid of the whole of the public and private business on the Orders, so as to allow the prorogation to take place before the first of April: (Hear; hear:)
Hon. Mr. GALT-I will answer the question put by my honarable friend to the Hon Attorney General West. The intention of the Government is to ask such a vote of credit from this House ${ }_{j}$ as in their opinion the necessities of the country will demand, until the period when Parliament may again be called together. With reference to that, I would remind the House that the ordinary supplies have been roted up to the 30 th June, and this will have to be borne in mind in considering the sum the House will be asked to vote. The Government will unquestion. ably have in viev the continuance of the protection of the fronticer. (Hear, hear.) As the Hon. Attorney General lias stated the intention of the Governmedt is to meet Parliament again, so srov as they are in a position to state to thom frankly the views of the Imperial Government; and that of course, to a certain extent, depends on the time duriag which they miy be delayed in London in getting a final answer: But the jatention of the Governament is to lose no the io meeting Parliniment again: (Hear, hear:)

Hon J. S. MACDONALD-I have: a word or two to say. The Government have changed their policy so quickly, that we can now place no reliance on the statements of Ministers of che Crown. I Lhave not the slightest doubt that hou. gentleyen on the Treasury benches at this momeat contem-plate-and I ask the attention of the House to what I am-saying, because it is a bold statement ram to make-I say it is my deliberate upinion, that if we pass these resolutions, the gentlemen on the Ireasury benched will go home and tind a justification in Eugland for manufacturigg a bill of perhaps an entirely different character, that will coverall points, and that they will come back and force that on the people of this country at all hazards, having umbodied in it what: ever regulations they please as to schools, and whether there shall be one House or two Houses in the Local Parliament, and all other such matters. I am satisfied that that is their plan. They know well they cannot go to an unwilling poople
with this scheme-they dare not submit it to the country-and they propose, therefore, to steal a march on the people, and will come back with a bill manufactured in London, as was done in 1840, and press it on the people of Canada: We know how it was in 1852 or 1853, when an act came over to us, making an alteration in our Constitution, with respect to the increase of representation in Parliament, of which no one to this day has been able to trace the origin. . What was done on that occasion may be done again. They will be met in England by gentlemen from New Branswick and Nova Scoila, and they will manufacture there a Constitution for the people of Ca -nada-which the people of Canada' will be compelled to take, or else expose thsmselves to be called traitors and rebels. They will come out with the authority of the Government; and invoke the name of the Queen, and will attempt to impose the Constitution thus manafactured on all the colonies, stigmatising as traitors all who oppose them. This is not the first time that that game has been played. Honorable gentlemen, failing to obtain the assent of an uuwilling people here, will take that course-especially when, as is well known, the people and Government of Eugland are only too anxious to throw upon us a large burden for the defence of this country. Influenced by the attentions and blandishments they will receive in Eugland, Ministers will sacrifice our interests, and, as the price of it; will perhaps; come back with high-sounding titles. (Laughter)

HoN: Mr- HOLTON-That has been doño already.

Hon J. S. MaCDONALD-And what has been done betore may be done again. Thes will go to Eugland as if armed, as they suppose, with a carte blanche from the people ot this country, because of the adoption. of the seheme ly this House to obtain a Constitation, such as is shadowed forth in these resolations-imperfectly as they themselves admit-for Upper and Lower Canada and the provinces gencrally. The English Parliament will say, "Wo have here the best intellects of the provinces, the leaders of both. parties, the men who have played their part before the country for the last eight or tea - jears, with the confidence of their respective parties." But, if they, were to read at the same time what these leading men have in that period said of one another, they might
well question whether the men who had branded each other with infamy and disgrace, were the men lest fitted to unite in framing a bill to secure the peace and quietness of "this country-a measure, "in " the language of the hon. member for South Oxford (Hon. Mr. Brown), forever to settle the difficulties between Upper and Lower Canada." (Hear, hear.) I protest vehemently against these attacks on our rights. I protest against our being asked thus blindly to vote away our rights and liberties. However cleṽer these gentlemen may be, we know to our cost what our cleverest financiers have done and will do again when they get out of the reach of public opinion, for the moment. - When the country got tired of them; they entered into this Calition to strengthen themselves. These are the men who will give us a new Constitution made in England. I do not pretend to be a prophet; but I ask you, Mr. Speaker, to remember, that I have declared now what is my deliberate conviction as to the game that will be played by hon. gentlemen on the Treasury benches. (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Mr. BHOWN-It does astonish me that an hon. gentleman in the position which the hon. member for Cornwall has occupied for so many years, should deliberately rise and make such statements as we have heard from him; after the grave announcement made from the Treasury benches with the assent of the Goversor General of this province. The hon. gentleman has been told that the Government intend, if the House sanction this measure, to carry it home with the honest : intention of giving effect to it, and of having arrangements made with reference to the other grave matters. which have to be cunsidered there.

Hun. J. S. MACDONALD-What are they?

HoN. Mr. BROWN-The question of defence, and the question of the commercial relations between these prorinces and tho United States. He has been told that it is the intention that members of the Governuent should go to England; that on their return, at the earliest possible moment, Parliament shall be called together and have subwitted to it the result of the negotiations. And after all this, the honorable gentleman has the rashness-E shall not use a harsher word-to get up here an impute to the whole meubers of the Government, and to the head of the Government, who hes
sanctioned the making of this announcement to the House-

Hon. Mr. DORION-I rise to a point of order. I ask if it is in order to bring before the House the authority and "pane of the Governor Gexeral.

Mr. SPEAKER-The name of the Sorereign cannot be introduced in this way, but I do not know that the rule extends further.

Hun. Mr. BROWN-I am quite in order. I apprehend it is quite iupossible that we could have made to the House the statement with regard to the prorogation, and the intention of sending members of the Government to Eogland, in the way we prupose, uniess we had the direct/sanction of His Excellency.

Hon J. S. MacDONALD-You advined him of course.

Hon. Ma BROWN-OO course. With the duty we owed to His" Exelleucy, it was imposisiole we could uake such a statement, writcout first obtainiog His Excellency's sanction. The hon. gentleman knuws it well, aud when he ventured to get up and make the rash charge that the whole thing. is a trick, to get some schenue entirely different from this earried through the Imperial Yarliament, he assumes a liberty that is entirely utworthy of a member of this House. (Hear, bear.) And Ian tel the honorable gentleuan and my hưororable frieud frum Hocthelaga, who: are so anxiuns about the pusition Which has" been tuke"n un this side by myself aid by my hou: trieuds the Poustmaster Gegeral and the Probnatal Secretary-I ean tell them that we are quite alter to the pusition whe when ve are placed, and that we have uotear with resard to the course we have 'taken, are now takiug, and shall continue to take, till this measure is bruaght co a satisfactory conclusiou, but we whll be able to justity oursíives iñ tha eyes uf thüse in ho placed us here. (Cheers.)

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-The statement just made by the'. Hon. President ot the Council is one, I conceive, of very oreat importance, as it puts a meaniyg on the declaration made by the Hut. Attorney General West, which some of us, at all events -myself aniong the rest-did nut catch When the hoo. geatlewan made his state:ment. We are to understand nuw, by the declaration of the Hon: President of the Council, that the Goverament do not intend to have anything concluded in this matter
of Confederation till the mext meeting of the House.

Hon. Mr.. BROWN-I did not say anything of the sort.

Hon. "Mr: HOLTON-Then' what was the point of attack on the hon. member for Cornwall? That hon, member indicated his fear and his belief that a Constitution would be framed in England, at the instance and, perhaps, under the supervision of certain of the hon. gentlemen on the Treasury benches, which would prove to be utterly distasteful and unpalatable to the people of this country And the Hon President of the Coun. cil get up and repels that with the greatest possible indignation. It appears to me that, If'there is any puint in his indignation, it must be here-that some further action is to be sought from this House before any effect is given to the question of Coufederation. I take it, that is the fair inference from the statement now made by the Hon. President of the Couveily I ask whether that is the inference to be deduced - whether that is what the hour geutleuan meant? ( $\mathbb{A}$ pause.) The honorable gentlemain declines. to answer.

HoN Mr. BROWN-Go on, and finish your speech.

How: Mr: HOLTON-I mould like an answer now.

Hon.. Mr. GALT-No, no. Finish your speech.

HuN: MOR HOLOS-The honorable genteman knows well that this is not part of the regular debiste. . I did not rise to make a speech. The Hon. Attorney Geveral West did not rise to make a speech. No one has done so. The Hon: Attorney General, on behalf of the Governiment, made a statement. That statement has led to some observations, and some enquiries, that the House might understand its full purport. The regular debate is to be resuned by my honorable friepd from Quebec (Hou Mir. Alleys), whó, baving moved its adjourament, is entitled to the floor, and I should be sorry to keep is from him, by makiug a speech. But I want those puints to be clearly undergtood, for it is in the interest of all parties that they shouid be. Though I do not 'go yuite so far as' my honorable friend from Cornwall in his observations-

Hon Arty Gen MaCDONALD-Hear, hear.

Hon Ma. HOLTUN-Though I do not go so far as he has done, yet I thought there might be some danger, bat I look apon the statement made by the Hon. President of the

Council,' and the indignation writh which he repelled the charge of my honorable friend from Cornwall, as calculated to reassure the House: And I merely rose for the purpose of asking honorable gentlemen. whether we lare really to understand from the supplementary statement nuade on behalf of the Government by the HIOn. President of the Council, hat the further consideration of this House is to be invited to all these measures-to the new Constitution for the conntry, wis was the arrangements that may be come to with respect to our defences, and with respect to our commercial relations.

Hon. Attr. Gen: MACDONALD-If I supposed for a noment that the honorable member for Chateauguay really required an answer; he shonld get it. I have no doubt the Hon. President of the Cowncil would gladly give an answer, if he really thought he had any information to give to the honorable gentleman. But no one understands better than the honorable member for Chateauguay the way in "which the oase was put." The honorable member for Cornwall (Hon. J. S. Macdonalib) rose, and in rather, an unparliamentary way - after a statement had been formally made to inform the House and the country what was tho policy of the Gov: erument-upon his honor declared his belicf that the Governinent were not sincere in the explanations they had made, and that their design was to get a bill passed by the Impe. rial Parliament; contrary to the feelings of this country and of the Lower Provinces, and tin-force that upon the people. That was the declaration of the honorable gentleuan., I do not know if he was sincere in making it. He secued to be sincere, and pledged his honor and bis conscience to it. (Laughter.) But his doing so only convinces. me, that; if he had been in office hiniself, that is the course he would have adopted; no such suggestion would have risen to any man's mind, unless he had thought it a feasible one. (Hear, heär.) Foroour part we do not consider such a course to be in accordance with our position in this House, or in accordance with our. priaciples as men of honor; and the Hon. President of the Council rose to repel the dishouoring insianation with that just indignation which was felt by every man who heard it, and to declare that the belief of the honorable gentleman was utterly untrue, unfounded, and unwarranted. But I' shall repeat the anouncement in a way that it may be understood by the hon. member for Cornwall -in language that will be plain to the meanest
capacity- (laughter) - so that no man can mistake it. Our intention is to get the sanction of this House to the Address I have moyed, and this having been done, the tro branches of the Legislature will have given their votes in favor of the Confederation scheme, and there is the end to that, so fur as Canada is concerned. We will then go over to England with that in our hands; and will say to the Imperial Government:"Canada has agreed to this, New Brunswick has not agreed to it, and we wish to take counsel with the Imperial Government as to our position. "This is the unmistakable voice of the people of Canada through their representatives, and we, as representing the Gorernment of Canada, which has three-fourths of the whole population of the provinces, come to consult with the authorities of the Mother Country what is best for the interests of these provinces." "(Hear, hear.) ". We shall also discuss the question of defence, and, I have no doubt, ve shall be met in a most large hearted and liberal spirit by the English Goy: erament, and that England will now, in justice to Canada; pledge herself to her utmest resources in men and money for our defuce. (Hear, hear.) Then there is a third ques-tion-that of the Reciprocity treaty; and we will also take counsel with the Brityh Govermment as to the best means of treating that subject. And the honorable gentleman knoirs -at least he oughit to know, for I cannot answer for the limits of his understanding- that we can only discuss that thrpugh Imperial arenues, that we can have no direct communication in such matters with the American Government. Having taken counsel with the Imperial Government on those three points, wo shall call the House together at the earliest period, I hope long before the current half-year terminates, that is, before the 30th Jave. We will submit the result of our mis.sion, and it will then be before the House for diseussion: Though anothed session, it will bo in effect a conimuation ôt this session, añ when we have debated and disposed of the most pressing subjects, we will then take, up what remains of the Confederation scheruesuch as the constitution of the local governments and the school question, with regard to which, as the Hon. Minister of Finance has stated, we ehall propose to carry out to the latter the pledges we gave at the Conference, and which we ask the House to endorse, and hope it will. (Hear, hear.) We will also submit the result of our negotiations on the question of defence, and on all those matters
connected with the relations between Great Britain and the United States, so far as British America is concerned, and on which we are authorized to take action by the Imperial authorities. $\therefore$ We cannot know at what stage the negotiations between the Imperial Gorernuent and the United States Govcrnment may have arrived when the House meets aguin ; but the result of the mission of those members of the Canadian Government Who go home, will be submitted to the 'House: We shall liay betore the House all that the British Government resolve upon, after beariinge what we have to say as to the guestion of Confederation in its general aspect, and in its relation to the position it "may have asuimed" in the other provinces. We shall then lay befure the House the scheme of the locar goyernments for the two Canadas. We shall lay beiore then the action uecessary to be taken with reference to the schonlquestion. the tater ol deferice and the Reciprocity treaty. The honorable mens. ber for Cornwall gets up, and, because he finds? the tovermment are resolved to take a tirm and priper course in this matter, he chooses" to throw improper and iasulting remarks icroses the floor. "But the House has learned what " alue is to be attached to the houorable "gentlenian's" statements, when a little while ago it heard trim-an houorabie, gentle pan who profeses to be such a patriot -stating, with reference to this scheme, in favor of which a large majority of the people of Canuda had declared, that he had a "mischierous "atisfaction" in seeing it checked. It was in the spme spirit of catiseless, senseless mischief that he got up to prophecy all sorts of ipuproper conduct on the part of the Government:- (Hear, hear.)
"HoN J. S. MACDONALD-Whaterer views may be: entertained by the Honorable Attorney General West of my capacity, Isuppose I have got along in my own way as be has got atonid in liis way. But I think the House may thank ma'for havine obtained at last-uotwithistanding the castigation the honorable yentleman has dealt qut to me, and which I hope I shall be able to survive, as I have borue up heretofore yder similar avalanches of hard words aboge my want of judg. ment, want of capacity, ald so forth-I think the House may thank me for haviag obtained at last from the Honorable Attorney General the explicit statement he has made, thät the scheme is to come back again for the consideration of this House.

Hon. Mr. DORION-The Honorable

Attorney General West did not say that.
Hon. J. S. MACDONALD-He said-if not "the scheme itself-that all the arrange: ments connected with it; as to the local governiments, the proportions we are to assume of: the defence of the country, and the School question-which the Honorable Finance Minister told us, but for this untoward affair in New Brunswick, would have been submitted before this session closed - that all these things will be brought back and be submitted next session, before the Confederation scheme is finally concluded. This was not so explicitly stated in the lionorable gentleman's first speech. I have been accused of being so unpatriotic as to take a mischierous pleasure in any check ppon the scheme What I said was, that I had mischievous pleasure in secing that the honorable "gentleman who had charged the Canadian Governiment with bad faith had beei defeated and ousted from his place. " And I say that if this sheme were likely to prove If the sdrantage of the people of this provinee, no one would rejoice more than I in seeing it earried. But I have alrays felt, and do now feel, that the Constitution of this country can be well worked out. I have never given a rote for Federation, 1 have never given a vote for a lesislative union:
dN Mon MENBER-Or for andexation. $\therefore$ Hos. J. S. MACDONALD-Nu'; I did not sign the annexation manifesto: (Hear, hear, and laughter.) I have nút assented or given countenance to any schene for changing our present Coustitution, and it is not' right for the gronorable gentleman, because I do not chonse to assent to this scheme without knowing all the details, to taunt me with being unpatriotic." (Hear, hear.) The honorable gentleman would have the House: to understand that. I was ignorant of the fiet that this Goverament could not deal directly with the Imerican Government with regird to the Reciprocity treaty. And yet in the face of this charge, he must have known that the only record which an Address of this House brought domn the other day was ar Minute'of Conicil addressed to the Secretary of State by myself and colleagties, on the subject of reciprocity.

Hos. Ma. HOLTUN-And what have honorable gentlemen opposite done since?

Hon.J. S. MACDONALD-They have donenothing since, of course. We were attacked by the Hon. President of the Council because we did nothing with regard to the Reciprocity. Sréats.

Hon. Mr. BROWN-The honorable gen:
tleman is entirely mistaken. He is thinking of the time when I privately urged unpon him, as Prime Minister, the necessity of taking. steps, and prompt steps, for ascertaining what Was the mind of the Washington Government, and whether or not a new treaty coald be negotiated. He explained to me the obstacle that stood in his ray; and though I considered the difficulties in his way ought to have been overcome, yet the circumstances were such that I never blamed him.

Hov: J. S. MACDONALD-We did all we could in the way of making representations to the Imperial Government. And what have, honorable gentlemen opposite done since?

Hon. Mr. BROWN-We have been acting in the same direction ever since, and I think it would have been well for the interests of this country if we had not been fettered as we have been.

Hon. J.S. MACDONALD-Well, I say that this explanation of the Honorable Attorney General is more explicit and much more elaborate than the explanation we'had from hitu in the first instance. In commenting upon "that first explanation, T hope I did not make use of unparliamentary language. But I an eatitled surely to draw deductions from the announcements made to us from the Treasary benches, and I ma' not bound to mince matters if I feel alarmed at the consequences which may result from the giving of this dreadfal blow the Constitution we have so long lived under it is surely not unseemy that I should feel keenly on this subject; and that, before the Constitution to which I an-sincerely ättached is stvept avay, I should express that indignation which I may liaye expressed sonnewhat warmly this afternoon. (Hear, hear.) Much stronger laǹguaye has been expressed on the floor of this House, when the motives of the honorable gentlemen on the Treasury benches have been yuestioned by houorable geatlemen whose intellect perhaps as far transcends mine as day outthines tight. (Laughter.) Büt I think the country and the House will yet thank me for stating, evea in the earnest manner I did, uy alarm in connection with this matter. At all events, I have a sincere belief in the truth of what I stated. (Heär, hear.)

Hos. Ma. DORION-The explanations given to-day by the Honorable Attorney Gencral West are fuller than those at first given; yet I am afraid that there is still some misunderstanding." "The Honorable Attorney Gineral West stated that the solieme for the
constitution of the local governments would be submitted to the House next session. Is it the intention of the Government, or the delegation" when in England, to press the scheme upon the Imperial Government without the concurrence of the Lower Provinces? If the Lower Provinces do not come in, will the Government press the adoption of the scheme so as to apply it to the two provinces of Canada ?. For, if I understood the Honorable Attorney General West; he said that next session they will bring in the constitutions of the local legislatures. Now, if they are not to press the scheme at all, there would be no necessity for local legistatures. (Hear, hèar.)

Hon: Atty, Gen. MACDONALD-I desire simply to state, as I have said before, that after these resolutions are carried, those who go to confer with the Imperial Goverument will doubtless adopt such steps as they, think are best suited to us. (Hear, hear, and daughter,)

Mr. RANKIN- I feel obliged to the honorable member for Cornwall if he elicited the explanations just given, though I canncit approve of what he said otherwise. To me the intelligence is most acceptable." (Hear, hear.) I leara that it is the intention of the (Govern ment to go on without regard to the action of the Lower Provinces, and to press this meas. ure through without being influenced by the action of New Brunswick. I hold that it is common sease for us to remember that we are considering the interests of the people at large, and this scheme, if acceptable to the peoplo of Canada, is acceptable to forr ifths. of the people of British North Amprica.-(Hear, hear.) It must be evident to the meancst capacity-to make use of the words of the Honorable Attorney General West a few minutes ago-that one of two destinies awaits us: either we must extend and strengthen British influence and British power on this continent, or these provinces must, one by one, be absorbed by the ueigtiboring republic. (Hear, herer.) That has been my opinion for years, and it is my opinion still. However, Mr. Speaker, I simply rose for the purpose of soliciting more distinct information upon one point on which I have heard nothing said, although the explanations may have been given before I came into the House. I wish to know what is the intention of the Govermment with refercuce to the yolunteers now on the frontier,-whether they have provided the means to maintain this force, if required, beyond the 1st of May next?

AN HoN MEMBER-That question has already been answered.

MR. RANKIN-I ouly hope the Government will ask the House for means to keep up whatever force may be thought necessary, not only till June, but till October if requisite. (Hear, hear.)
Mr. GIBBS-I think that the policy of the Government, as announced to day by the Hon. Attorney Gencral West, is bold, manly, and straightforward, and such as will entitle them to the confidence of this House and of the country. (Hear, hear.) It shows that they, at least, are in earnest on this great question of Confederation which they have introduced, and whatever may have been the opinion of the Opposition as to the motive which induced them to lay this measure before the House at the opening of the ses sion, I think it must be utterly dispelled by the announcement just made to the House. (Hear, hear.) If the scheme was worth anythiug when the Government, in the opening Speech this sesssion, declared its intention of asking the consideration of the House for it, the same scheme' nust be worth as much nuw, and I trust that none of the difficuttics which may for a moment interpose, will 'prewat the Adninistration from carrying it through: (Hear, hear.)" It has been said that the measure which they should have brought down was the smaller one, whilst They have introduced the laryer. Now, sir, I hold that the greateralways includes the less'; aud that the Government, instead of being blamed for the course they have taken, are entitled to the thanks of this House for bringing down the more important one at the outset. (Ilear, hear.) It is not often that questions of the importance of that now before the House are carried without considerable oppositioñ. I need ouly refer, as an exauple, to that of the Clergy Reserves, during the discusion of which there were fights, fierce and uumerous, lastiby for many years, until the measure was carried at last. And now, as we are abrut to obtain what Upper Canada has
 ton-we find, unfortunately, diffculties interponing; but I hope that notwithstanding these, the Govemnent will not falter, but will carry out the wish of the majority of the menbers of this House, and of the people of the country, and consummate the scheme of uniting, the British North American Provinces. (Hear, hear.) I am very happy to find that the Goverument have taken into consideration the negotiations on reciprocal
trade with the United States. That is a most inportant question, and I should have been glad, for that alone, if the Confederation scheme had been carried out successfully; because it would have been much easier to discuss the matter through the British Govment by means of representatives from the General Confederacy, than by representatives from the various disunited provinces. Now I say, Mr. Speaker, that the course the Gorernment have parsued must inspire confidence in them on the part of their supporters, and I believe that the conntry will approve of it too. (Hear, hear.) I hope they will relas no effort to see the scheme carried to completion. (Haar, hear.)

Dr. PAKKER-If I understand correctly the statement just made by the Government, they propose to send a delcgation to Eagland for the parpose of discussiug the three questions of the Reciprocity treaty, the defences, and the scheme of Confederation now before the House. : The Hon. Attorney Geueral says that the question of the defences iss very pressing, and that inmediate action should also be taken with regard to the Reciprocity treaty. If thesio subjects are so pressing, they should be deal! with at once, irrespective of whether this schenie is carried or not: " (Hear, hear.). A period of constitutional changes is most unfavorable for the proper coasideration ot these questions; and if the necessity is as urgent as represented, they should be taken up and considered at once, even in advauce of Confederation.' Earl Russexl.; then Lord John Rusiell, wasseverely ridicaled by the British press because he introduced a heform bill during thè, Crimean war.: I deprecate most strongiy, the attemipt made to coerce: constitutional changes upoo this. House and the country under the pressure of dauger and coming war. (Hear, hear.) He is no friend of Uanada who is constantly creating alarmi and raising the euction ery of logalty. (Hear, hear.). This Government was tormed tor the express purpose of discovering a remedy for our" coustitutional difficulties, and I hold ttem to that engagement. This schene is to unite the whole of the British North A merican Colonies; and if the treaty is adopted by the Imperial Govornment, if an loperial Act is passed on the basis of these resolution, and the Maritime Provinces' persist in their present refusal to come in, in what position are wo then placed? Is this plain of Federation to be applied to the two Canadas? "Sir, this is
not the constitutional remedy we desired and sought? And I ask the House if it is prepared to accept this union for'ourselves? (Hear, hear.) I think that thie Government should have confined themselves simply to the constitutional question, and should not have tacked on to it our commercial and defensive relations; for the purpose of obtcining a little prestige: They have not put the question beforc Parliament fairly, or as it has been placed before the legislatures of any of the other provinces. I thiuk the House should look at the question in this way - is an Imperial Act to be passed, establishing a Confederation of the two Canadas on the basis of these resolutious:' - I am not prepared to accept that as the constitutional remedy. I do not want it in that torm. (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Mr. McGEE-The hoh. gentleman who has just sat down says that we have put this question before the House as it has not been put in any of the other provinces. Now, iny information, which perhaps is as correct as his, leads me to believe that the saine course has been pursued here as has been or will be adopted in three of the other provites-Newfoundland, Prince Edward Istand, and Nosa Scotia. The last iaformation received shows that there is, as I am ratoresed, a fair chance of the resolutions betug adopted in Newtoundland. "In Nova Scotha tha resolutions' were brought dowa by the Provineial Sceretary; and it was thea stated that the adoption of the resolutions would be moved, on a future day. So Dr. Tupper, the Provincial Secretary, stated.

1R: L'ARKER-Kead His Excellency's Speech.

Hun Ma. MogEE-Well, it vas a very proper oue . Hut the hon. geatleman will see that uat of the four privinces he is wrong ia regard to three of then. "Then, ws hon. friend the member for Nurth Hastings (Mr. I. U. Wallibande) repudiated the idea that Anerican intluence had auything to do with the resule of the clections in New Brusivick. Nuw, 1 may say to my hún. Irend that oue of the succestitul candidates is the agent of the American line of steamers -the International liue-which doess all the carrying trade to New brunswick ; and there is not, 1 am told, a punud of the stock of that company teld in Nav Bruaswick. (Hear, hear.) Ducs any one suppose that the influence of that coumpany was not used for his election?. Both steamboat, and rail-
way, and mining and fishery influences were brought to bear; and I think it will not be saying too much-and I have no hesitation in saying, for my part-that in that portion of the country, as"well as in others, that the fight was between parties pro-Yankee and pro-British. It was a fair stand-up fight of Yankee interests on the one side and British interests on the "other ; and those who are here ungenerousily and unwisely rejoicing over the defeat of Hon. Mr. Tilley are in reality rejoicing in the triumph of Yankeointerests. I state this from the knowledge. I have obtained from ten different visits to that country, and I am quite sure, if my hon: friend had been there all the times that I have been, and had the same opportunities for observation, that he would understand that there are influences there quite apart from the real merits of Contederation. (Hear, hear.) Among other cries, Hon. Mr. Tilley was assailed because it was said that Hon. Mr. Macdonald had stated the Intercolonial Railway could not be made-as of-course a railway could not be made-a purt of the Constitution : That is a sample of the cries against Hon. Mr. Truley. In fact'; it was"a. contest between prejudice and patriotis.u; be tween ignorance and intelligence; between: Yankee influence and the broad principles of British North American: poliey. (Hear, hear.) Those who rejoice over that state of things may congratulate themselves if they:choose, but it is for us to stand by the true pullip opinion of the country; it is for us to show an example of firmness and good faith in carrying out this scheme; it is for in to sliow the rest of the Empire that we are determined to adhere to our original resolution, and that we are not a people who do not know our own minds for three weeks; and make proposals one day or one week to breathe them down the next. (Hear, hear.) I am sure if my honorable friend fiom North Hastings only knew that country as Well as I do, that he would come to the same conclusions:

After the receiss,
Hon.Mis:ALLEYN said-Mr. Speaker; those whöse fortune it has been to sit since 1851 in the reformed Legislature of Canada, have had to deal with and setale matters of the highest importance to the province. Questions which in other and older lands have loo qued the bonds of society, have caused bloodshed and almost led to anarchy, such as our Seigniorial Tenure and Clergy

Reserve Acts, have been finally and peaceably disposed of, not possibly without injas: tice to a ferv, but certainly to the satisfaction 'ff the community'at large, Yet all those thiugs, though of the greatest importance to us in Canada, sink into insignificance in comparison with that now before this House. While they related to our own affairs' only, and were desigoed to promote the peaceful working of our own proviace, the question which we have now to pronounce upon concern's and relates to a Constitution for all the provinces of British North America, and for a country which may eventually comprise half a continent, and extend in one unbróken chain from the Atlantic to the Pacific Ocean. (Cheers.) But although the consideration of this great question has consumed a goot deal of the time of this House, and though it is, one of such great importance, and so wide in its exteut that it does not excite those strong 'personal and party teelings in the minds of honorable mèmbers which much less importait questions, of a more local nature, generally excite, still, sir, I think there is no one who looks at the future of this country for which we are called upon to act, who can avoid coming to the conclusion that the question is one deserviug of so much deliberate consideration at our hands, that no amount of time can be considered wasted in debatiog and decidug upon it. Yot Mr: Speakete, this is $n$, new question. It has been brouight. up several thmes in Parliament, and before the people, and has oceupied the attecution of uur ablest men, more or less, for the past forty or fifty years. It has been presented theoretically, to the minds of the public of every province in British North America. in articles and painphlets that have been written apon it; but now for the first time, by an : extraordinary combination of events such as may never occur again, it presents itself to those empowered to deal with it practically and to give it life and vitality. (Hear, hear.) We have a great re"sponsibility resting upon us with refereace it the decision we shall eve to on this iuportant question: When I say that there has been an extraordinary counbination of events, I think not the least extriordinary was the coming together of the leadion men from all parts of the provineen, entertininiag widely differen' and hostile riews, yet determining to keep those views in aboyance while they
derised a scheme for the benefit of our com. mon country: When before has the spectacle been witnessed of the leaders of adverse political camps surrendering that adyantage Which a resistance to any great change must always give in party politics, and meeting together to settle upon a omum grouid of action? This we saw last summer in the meeting of the delcgates from all the provinces. Many of these gentlemen must have known that they risked their political positions, and we now know it in a practical tray. But far better for a pablic man to be defeated in a great cause than to succeed in a bad one. (Hear, hear.) We caunot look upon the action of those meu without conceding to itcem, first of ally a great amount of credit for the honorable and patriotie spirit which they eviuced. Whatever views we may hold of theirjudgment, it must be cooceded on all hands that their conduct deserves a high meed of praise. (Hear, hear.) But when We see this question tiken up in the provinces, and receiving so much atteotion in Eagland, and even in other portions of Europie, in so short a period of time, I think we must feel that there must be some great overruliug cause at work to induce so vast an amunt of attention to be given to the subject. I have examined the questi" $n$ odrefully in this aspect, and I ventiare : to express an-opinion respecting : the canse. by reference to the history of nations. I recollect in a speech from Lord Macaulay, in addressing the University of Aberdeen I think it was, speakiug of the events of 1818, the remark uccurs that sine the invasion of the lluns civilization anver ran such ristas as in that gear. (ilear, hear.) lis dangers passed away, but the results remain. The wave which threatened to suburge, obeying a natural law, retired by yond low. water mark, and has left exposed more that oro coast: Small nations seem not to be connidered, the faith of treation laughed att, and in this boarted age of civilization the doctrine that uight is right prevails as strongly as ii the seventecith centurg. (Hear, hear.) The Danes, a brive and rirtuous people, have been exposed to a hopeless war with Austria and Prussia, chiefs of the Teutouic race, while England and France remoastrated, by word's aud prutu eds, but acted not. The iron hee! of Russis has eru*hed wt the last ymarks of freedn in Rolad -Doseoffering Poland, for whon so huch sentiment has been expended, and free En-
gland and generous France stood silent lookers on. (Hear, hear.) From the Caucasus We have had the exodus of a nation from the land they defended for centuries, in bitter pilgrimage, losing thousands and tens of thousands on the way, to seek in the wilds of Asia for subsistence and freedom. On this contjnent the great nation which adjoins us has resorted to the bitter arbiirament of the sword; and an internecine and deplorable combat iṣ being waged on a scale unknown since the Russian, campaign and the great Napoleonic wars. These things, according to the sterin rules of statecraft, may be right, and natious possibly cannot break the hard law of nowintervention; but when we see such erents passing around us, must we not come to the conclusion that porrer must of necessity increase and encroaeh, or that it is as unreasonable now as it ever has been, and that pure jastice and abstract right, withont armedbattalions to support the in, will neither preserve iutegrity of territory tor secure prolection of person. Igais, in the discoperiesin the arts and seicuces, we can perceive how much the power of great states have become increased as compared with the smaller ones. The telegraph has annihilated time, railroads. and stearers have devoured space. Warcan ouly be waged by nations possessiog vast resources in money, warlike cogines and materials,. One irou-clad man-of-war, with her couplement of Armstrong guns, would cost the year's revenue of a province. (Hear, hear:) And it we look around us we see this principle of territorial aggrandizement, this gathering logether of the disjecta membria of" nations ; this girding up of the loins of em: pires for coming events is steadily carried out. The priniciple of centralization is rapidly goingoin, is pressing together the great. nations; and reudering it necessary for smaller nations and provinces to unite, and centralize for their common defence. (Hear, hear.) The subject is not one of theory, but of fuct. Look at Italy, such a short time ago a weak and scattered congeries of states; now united into one powerful government. -Vicron Emananuel is King of some twentyt -ive millions of people; France has Nied and savoy and possibly a porion of Central America; Prussia and Austria have robbed Denmarl'; Russia has absorbed the Caucasus and is advancing into Central Asia; Mexito is springing into a powerful empire; the Cuited States are, in men and the materials of war, showing a power which the world has seldom seen excelled.: Such things passing
round us, it would ill become us not seriously to consider our position, and, if possible, profit by the occasion. (Cheers) What I have already said applies to all the provinces and to all small powers; but we in Canada have had peculiar difficulties of our own. Usually great questions" strengthen governments. Aaron's rod swallows up the rods of the magicians; but, though we have settled great questions, our governments have fallen like houses of cards. Coalition and party governments alike have met the same fate, and it had become seriously to be considered as to whether responsible government was not a: failure in Canada. Before the cry for' an increased representation for Upper Canada, several of our best public men were driven from political life ; and it must have become clear to those who watched events that there must soon haye been a readjustment of the representation based partly, at least, on numbers, or a dissolution of the union. I think; sir, that those who have read and profited by the events of the past, and have considered what is likely to occur in the future, must be satisfied that a repeal of the union between Upper and Iower Canada would be a very great misfortune, And as to representation according to population, the appeals to prejudices and passions, and possibly well grounded fears which must resuit frow granting that to Upper Canada, would be must? disastrous. (Hear, hear.) We should have liad, in Lower Canadat a very large amount of discontent and ereu disaffection; auid, therefore, I con-: sider it a great advantage" to Canada that the acoption of Confederation will meet these difficulties without causing the discontent and disaffection which either of the above measures would inevitably arouse.. (Hear.) But, sir, I wiay be asked, will these provinces, if united, become a great power? Sir, I shall frankly answer that 1 think not at present, nor will I venture to predict what the future has in store for us ; but I think thereby we obtain a greater chance of obviating the evils to which I have referred, and we in Canada shall also overcome our peculiar difficulties - and this I say, that. united, we shall possess advantages which separate, though portions of the same empire, we cannot realize. (Cheers.) We shall be one to deliberate, to decide and to act. We shall have but oue tariff; trade will be unshackled, our intercommunication will be unbroken, the Lower Provinces will give us, a seaboard; while the manufacturing capa*
cities of Lower Canada and the agricultural wealth of Upper Canada will be theirs. A worthy field fill be opecied for the ambition of our young men, and our politicians : will have a future before inem, and may fairly aspire to the standing and rewards of statemen. (Cheers.) I therefore think it cannot but be a very great advantage to all the provinces to be united together, and I'think that we in Canada especially have peculiar reasons for desiring Coufederation. If united, with the assistance of Great Britain, and true to ourselves, not calling ồ Jupiter without putting our stioulders to the wheel, we need fear no foe, and if the day should come when it shall be necessary for us to stand among the nations of the earth, we shall do so under far more farorable circumstanees than should we remain till then separate provinces. (Hear, hèar:) I forbear to criticize the details of the scheme; in the pature of things one portion or and ther must be displeasing to each of us; but I am ready to accept the lesser evil for the geater good. I know; too, when worked out the united Parliament will alter and animen as the evils become serious. Holding these opinions, it is needless for me to say that I shall vote for the Address and the resolutions unchanged. 'On Friday night I heard an hon member (Col. Haulta!s) declafe that the Protestant minority of Lower Canada entertained apprehensionswith regard to there: religious liberty, and that hon. member expressed grare doubts as to the toleration of Catholics in matters of religion. While I give the hon. gentleman full credit for hissincerity and the temperate manner in which he expressed himself, 1 think it rould have been far better had that portion of his speech been omitted. It would certainly have had much greater weight with the country without that portion than with it. I do not belicre the Protestants of Lower Canada fear persecution, and there are those in this House, their natural representatives, yielding to none here in talent and koowledge, well able to speak for them. But, sir, had the hon. gentleman read history as carefully as he seems to have studied polemics and theology, he would not have falen into the error into which he has. He would have found that all sects of Christiars have had reason to blush for the persecutions of their fellow-men, and that the best course we can pursue is to allow the veil to fall over the errors of the past.

Hear, hear.) But, sir, he would have learned this; also, that those who laid the foundations of the British Constitution were Roman Catholics ; that the barons Fho rrung the magna charta from King Joun were Catholics. (Héar; hear.) : It was a Catholic Parliament, the Diét of Hungary, that alone granted full, free, uorestricted and unqualified emancipation to 'Protestants, and Catholic Bavaria has followed the example In America, the Catholic State of Maryland first adopted, without limit, religious toleration, Had the hon. member visited Rome he might have seen a Protestant Church, and have attended service every Sunday in the year under the eyes of the Pope.

MR. T.C. WALLBRIDGE-Thcre is no Protestant Church in Rome. I have been there, and speak from personal knowledge.

Hon Ma. ALLEYN - It is not in a central place, but it is in Rome as properis understood.

Mr. T. C. WA!LBRIDGE-It is not in the cify proper. It is outside the gates, in a garret:

Hos. Mr. ALLEYN-Not in a garret; though the church is not attractive, but there is full tolerance in respect to the. service. But this is only a litile iacident growing out of the remarks of the hon. member for Peterborough, In making the observations. I have, I trust be will not thiak I have intended to say anything tinat might prove persoually disagreeable to him or to any hon, member, becauset he manuer in which he stated his propositions to the Houre was all that could be expected or desired from an lion. gentleman of his position, and I should be very sorry to say anything that would be cousidered offin: sive. $\mathbf{M y}$ hon friend asked ue if 1 ever went to church. In seply I would say that I only go when I "can be sure the preacher is a properly admitted clergy man. Had the hon. gentleman travelled in Fraince, he might have found the Protestant clergyman received from the state an'allowance of one-fift more than his Catholic brother, on the ground that he may have a family to support. In Lower Canada a Catholic Legislature gave equal rights to Jews a generation betore collightened England emancipated Catholics. (Hear, hear.) And; sir, the history of the Jews gave a terrible waruing to all who perbecute for belief's sake. They, God's owin people, set
that bad exemple. For belief they crưcified, and during a thousand years for belief they were oppressed and wronged as no nation ever suffered. Sir, it has not been by persecution that while all other denominations of Christians scarcely number $120,000,000$, the members of the Roman Catholic Church are at least 150,000,000. : Had her's been a rule of intolerance and persêcution, by an inevitable law they would long ere this have caused the destruction of that which used them, and Micavlay would not have been obliged to write ith regret, as he admits, that the Church of Rome,-

As she saw the commencement of all the governmènts and of all tho ecclesiastical establishments that now exist in the world, there-is no assurance that she is not destined to see the end of them all. She was great and respected before the Saxon had set foot in Britain, before the Frank had passed the Rhinfe, when Grecian eloquence still flourished in Antioch, when idols were still worshipped in the temples of Mecca. And she may still exist in undiminished vigour whea some traveller from New Zealand shall in the midst of a vast solitude take his stand on a broken arch of Loadon Bridge and sketch the ruins of St. Paul.

In reading this extract and bringing it to bear in this connection, I hope my hon. friend will not think I intended to shock his feelings by alluding to an early fall of London Bridge, or a speedy decay of the cathedral of St. Paul. (Laughter.) I quote this passage alike forits novelty as knowing it will be particularly agréeable to ny hon: friend the member for Peterborough. I ean assure my hon. friend the feeling pervading the Catholics of Lower Canada is a dispusition to give the utmost tolerance to all religious. sects." For my part, Mr. Speaker, persecution for religious belief I know to be a crime against humanity and I therefore believe it to be a sin againgt the Creator. I have to say, however, once more, in conclasion, that I" shall vote for the resolution now before the House: (Cheers.)

Ma. HOPE MACKENZIE said-As there seems to be a lull in the debate, Mr. Speaker, I will embrace the opportunity of briefly stating what I have to say in reference to this schame. And to begin, I congratulate the Governuent upon the stand they have taken on this inatier. There was a degree of anxiety, a feeling of uncertainty amongst the friends' and supporters of the Administration, as to the mode of dealing with this question after the reception of
unfavorable news from the Lower Provinces. For my own part I have not shared in that feeling, but continued to have confidence that the Government would pursue the only proper course, and ask the House to pronounce upon the scheme on its merits: If the result of the first elections held in New Brunswick is a true indication of the state of feeling in that province, then it is plain that defeat awaits the present proposition for union in that quarter ; but as yet. no proyince has pronounced upon it, either for it or against it; and the intelligence received that the union party have met with unlooked for reverses at the New Brunswick elections, however dampening to the prospects of early. success, is no sufficient reason why we, the originators of the scheme, should set the bad example of súmmarily giving it up. We have a plain duty to discharge in regard to the pronosition laid before Parliament by the Government, and that is, either: to aceept or reject it as a whole. (Hear, hear.) Sir,- I will not ocepupy the time of the House so long as I probably would have dorfe, had. I spoken at an earlier stage of the debate, and that for two reasons, because the ground has been all gone over by those who have spoken already, and because I think the Governmeñt liave good ground for urging upon the House the propriety of bringing the debate to a close as soon as possible: I can easily understand that it is a matter of parainount importance to have the views of the Canadian Parliament laid before the Imperial Governfent at the earliest possible moment." 'I cannot, however, feel it to be consistent with a propatr discharge of my duty to give a silent vote. Having spent some time amongst my eonstituents prior to the opening of this session, and had conversations with the people ir reference to this scheme, at my meetings with them I gave expression to eẹrtain objections which I felt in my own mind to certain details of the scheme, if I did not express those objections on the floor of the House (Hear, hear.) But, Mr. SpeakEn, while I discossed freely and candidly what appeared to me the objectionable features of the scheme, I stated most distinctly to my constituents that in the event of no alteration being agreed to by thegovernments of the several ptovinces, the scheme as a whole, just as it stood, ought to be accepted ; and that in the event of the alternative being öffered to Parliament of aecepting or rojeoting the scheme as it stood, I should feel it my
duty to vote for it. (Hear, hear.). And I may say here in regard to the question of an appeal to the people upon this subject, that I atiany rate can vote freely againstany proposition of that kind." I stated "to the people of" North Oxford that in my opinion an appeal to the people upon this scheme was entirely uncalled for, and they agreed with me. I may, perhars, take the liberty of saying to those honorable members who clamour for a dissolution, imerely for the niake of ascertaining the mipd of the people upon the measure, and who do not taike to the untenable, ground of deaying the right of this Parliament to legislate on the subject. that if they did not consult their constituents with a vjew to obtaining an" expression of public opinion,' they ought to have done so. They had the scheme before them in all its details for months, and I think they ought to be in a position; when they came here, to know whether their constituents were in favor of the scheme or ayainst it. In the meeting which were held in my county, I met' with only two jadividuals - who' were: prepared to go the len th of denouncing the scheme in toto, although many would prefer to see it, in some respects, different. frem what it is: So' well disposed diduthe people show themselves to be towards the uniou scheme that in the town of Woodstock; where a very large and infuential meeting was held, the editor of a newspaper that had been, up to that night, urging the necessity for a dissolution of Parliament before the adoption of the scheme, was the first to rise to move résolution approytag of the scherne in all its features, and aeither in his speech nor in his pesclution did he eveu hiat ato an appeal to the people; and that meeting voted for the secheme without a single dissentient voice (Hear, hear.)

Me. RYMAL-The circular hud been 'sent to that editor, perhaps. (Laughter.)"

Mr: H. MACKENZ[E-Well, if sio, Lam, not aware thatit has done him any gond or produced any change in his political ecourse. I am quite satisfied, Mr: Speaker, that the perple are perfectly willing that thin. Barliament should deat with this Confederation

- scheme. I will now, sir, state briefly what I think of the general features or uiderlying principles of the scheme. The bonorable member for Brome the other aight ontertained the House by a very elaborate examination of the scheme, and, among other things, he proposed to show that the proposed Constitution was an entire departure
from the British model, and had in it so: large an infusion: of the republican system of the Unitpd States as to render it obnox: ious to Britons; but, in opposition to his own premises, he succeeded in proving to a demonstration, if he proved anything, that in scarcely a single particular is it uodelled. after the patterin of the republic. "He even denounced this scheme because it is so very different from and, in his opinion, inferior to the United States Constitution. Well, sir, I accept of it because of its British and monarchical features,-I accept of it because of its monarehical character: (Hear, hear.) I look upon it as a scheme more national than federal in its eharacter-as louking more to a uational union of the people thin a union of seetions, and it is chietly becanse of this feature of it that it comiuends itself to my judginent. (Hear, hear) The hovorable member for Lotbiniere dissented from this view the other night, and argued that unless the supreme power was placed in the hands of the separate provinces, it could not be acceptable to Lower Canada; as otherwise theirinstitutions would be endangered ; aud yet oddly enough, he "elaborated ana argument to prove the fleeting and unstable character of federation's established upon the only priuciple that he seems disposed to aceept for this coputry. In the course of his remarks on this head, be said:-
The Hon. Minister of Agricature sad of Federatsur; that it was on accountof the wieakness of the ceatral power coufederations had failed, and it was argued in our case; that there would not so nueh weakness in the central poiver. This was precisely why the French Canadfans-' bis fellow-cuuntrywen-looked with suspicion on the proposition to establish a Confederatioy with a ceatrat pavery power so strong that the local parilianeuts wuld possess, so to speatis; no power: at all. (Hear, hear.) All the contederations he had roferred to had at least this excuse, they were sovereign states, sad, when meageed by wher puwers, 保gued themstive together tor the common interest.
Now, sir, while the honorable member will have nuthing to do with it, because of the siupreme central power that is providet in the wheme, I take it just because of that coutroling central power 1. stand as an advocate of rational unity, and I woild not accede to the principle of state sovereignty in this Confederation, the provinces delegatioe certain posers to the General Governménc and reserviag the residuan of power to themselves. (Hear, hear.) We
peed not go to the history of the South American republics, as the member for Lotbiniere did, to find an illustration of the working of the principle of Confederation as applicable to our case: Being not only repubficau in their character, lut based upon the principle of divided sovereigaty, and inhabited by a people who had no aptitude for working democratic institutions, they can bear no comparison with this proposed Constitution. But if the hon. gentleman desired to travel to South America to find somethivg approaching a parallel to this scheme of "union, he could find it in the constitutional monarchy of Brazil, where the widespreading provinces of the empire have their local parliaments for their local affairs; and à central parliament and executive over all-elected and choseu pretty wuch as our Central Parliament and Executiye will be, and exercising similar powers;
Fand he would find that. while the republics founded upon the doctrine of state sovereignty were in a state of perpetual turmoin, and whose daily bread was, according to the hon member, anarchy and revolution, the Empire of Brazil was flourishing and shewed signs of 'siability' that predicated its future greatness : (Hear, hear.) But to come uearer houe, sir, we have abundant evi dence of the dangerons character of the doctriue of state supremacy in a confederatiou. I would remind the House of the early ruin that threatened the United States under their first Coustitution, which was an cmbodiment of this vicions principle; and how clearly the great men of the Girst year of the republic foresaw the ruin it threateued to bring upon them. Wasirnotion, pereeiving the rapid decline of the Confederation, was incessant in his correspondence with the leading patriots of the day to obtain their opinions upon a pew Cobstitution, and Madisos replies ás follows:

[^12]What poivers should be graated to the Govern.
ment so constitutad, is a question which deserves much thought. I think the moxe the better, the states retaining only so much as may be necessary for domestic purposes:
HAmLton, likewise, speaking of Federation such as men had hitherto been familiar with, and such as then existed in America, and equally anxious with his co-patriots to save his country from the anarchy and ruin that he saw approaching as the inevitable result of a partitioned sovercignty, thus addressed the head of the repurblic:-
All Federal governments are weak and distracted. In oder to avoid the evils incident to that form, the Gevernment of the Americar Union must bé a national representative system. But no süch'system'can, be successful in the actual situation of this country, inless it is endorsed with alt the principles and means of influence and power which are the proper supports of government. It must, therefore, be made completely soveretra, and state power, as a separate legislative power, must be amihilated.
I read these extracts to show how rapidly the Central Government of the United States was falling into coutempt because of its subordination to the separate states, and to show that the leading minds of America, while the republic was yet in its infancy; felt that the doctrine of state supremacy was one calculated to toster anarchy, and that was sure to bring the early destraction of the fabric they had reared, and also to show how earnestly they latored to remove. the evil and transfer the sovereignt to the Ceatral Goverument, as their only hope of maintaining permane tit peace abd order, and of " froparting stability to their system, I think, ir ir, it becoues us infframing a Constitution for these provinces to profit, not only by the early but by the later experience of our neighbor:-to enquire how far they succeeded in eradicating the evil from theirnew Constitution, and to what extent their present troubles are chargeable to what is left in their system of the daugerous principle referred to, Let us profit by the wisdom of the framers of the American Constitution, and by the experiences of that country under it-not to copy their work, but to help us when framing a Constitution for ourselves to steer clear of evils that they have felt. Believing that the Quebec Conference has doue so and have presented to us the framework of a Constitution, the leading features of which are in unison with the constitutional principles of the British monarehy, and consistent with that allegiance
which we all owe and cheerfully yield to the Throne of Britain, I cheerfully endorse the scheme. (Hear, hear:) I will nuw, Mr. Speaker, look at the scheme in its sectional aspect; and, in my judgment, it is in this respect a fair one: The apportionment of the debt and other fioancial arrangements is a theme upon which many remarks andenplanations have been made in this' as' well as in the other branch of the Leginlature; and charges are nade of having bribed the lower Provinces into the seleme, and that the Canadian Delegates in the Conierence sacrificed the interests of Canada in their eagerness to consumute a scheme that had its orign in their politieal necessities. One hon. gentleman complains that poputation is: not the proper basis,upon which to distribute the burden of the pubhe, debt, and that by: adopting it Canada has been saduled with many hillhous more than hershire. . He: "renue," it is eut teaded, "is the true test of ability to pay, therefure res eune is the basis upon which the appurtonment should be made.". Were the taxation alde in all the provinces, there would, at leat, be the appearance of justice no the argunent ; but with revenue ralsed unde: the uperation of different tarift, in the several provinces, I think population is 'a juster basis, than revenue. . Taking, however, the revennes as we find them under expong tariffs, and adjusung the deti By that standurd, we find that it will deffer but hitte them the appor: thonment' that has been arsed upen'; and wece the tartfo of the Maritume Provnees somewhat bigher than they are nuw, I appreheod, sirf, that the couniming ability of these provinces would demonstrate aut only, their abilty to pay accurdnge to this test, but abou that lauada' is in mo "way noposed upon an regati to the ancunt of debte with "which these proviuces are to be permitted to enter the unnua. 1 believe that every one of the five provinces has had its interests well cousulted ta this scheme, and that it is so well bulanced thruaghout in reference to those interests, that there is very litile to complain of: (Hear, hear.) But spraking trom an Upper Canadian point of viewwhich 1 deem it hiy duty to du, as one of the representatives of that section-1 will ghace at one or two of the objections urged by the honorable member for Nurth Uutario, very brietly. That honorable geatleuan accuses Upper Canadians of disregarding and torgetting their former professious on the representation question, and broadly asserts that the

Honorable President of the Council, as the leader in the agitation for represcotation by population, has agreed to a measure that is a mere delusion, that in point of fact puts Upper Canada in a worse position than she now occupies. He says that instead of occupying a position of equality in the legislature, as now, she will be found in the new union with a majority of thirty arrayed against her. The honorable gentleman builds his argument uponfalse and erroneous premises, when he' says that Upper Canada does not get by this scheme what its people have long sought, representation according to its population; and whea he points out that all the other provinces, unitedly, will outvoce her in the General Legislature by thirty votes, I subinit, sir that his argument is exceedingly unfair, and is founded on the assumption that Upper Cunda asked for an increase of representation tor the purpose of obtaining supremacy in the Govenment. Now, I deuy that most einphatically on behalf, not only of myself, but of every man from Upper Canada who demanded a change in the representitiou.' . We did not advocate that change for the purpose of gaining the supremacy, but simply and solety as a measure of justice to the people of Upper Canada, and to place them ou an equal' touting, man for man, with the people ot Lower Canada. We had certain grievances and wrougs which we complailued of and which the granting of representation would not of itself redress; we couplained that a larger proportion of the publec revenues, to which we coatributed seventy per ceat, was. spertit in Lower Cunada than tu Lipper Canadda; we complained also of legishative acts pussed by majorities from Lower Canada and which concerned Upper Cunadas clicielly ; we did not ask represcitation by population becauso we believed it, of itselt, would sweep away ill this injustice, but because it would give uis this advantage, that wo would a this House have our due proportion of the reprisentation, every man tu Lipper lianada having ain equal, and ma more than equad, vuice in the Legistature with every, man in Lower Canada. This was all we soked; we never demanded more than what was just; we asked but fiair play-British fair play-an equal. representation, man lor man, and we would be willing to take our chance in the political struggle tor the redress of the evals we complained of. We nevor sought or wished tor nupremacy, but only our just and tair in: fluence according to our numbers and the public burdens we bore, and haying obtained
this ${ }^{\text {w }}$ we were willing to take our chance Whether that influence, employed in a legitimate and constitutional way, succeeded in remozing our grievances or not. (Hear, hear.) To say now that wite do not obtain what we have contended for-to say that we do not get representation by population because the will har Provinces, including Lower Canada, Legislature, is sime sotes in the General an injustice and a wrong; aud the history of the British parliamentary system and our own experience in Canada, warrant the conclusion that in the General Legislature' we shall not hare, as alleged by honorable geotlemein opposed to the scheme, parties divided against oue another because of the provinces which they represeat.' Uader our preseat Constitutiou te are not divided sectionally, but as political parties', for "we find gentemen from buth sections taking sides accurdiag to their political "predilectioas, irrespective of "sectioual considerations; and so it. will be under the proposed Coufederation. We have conservatives and radicals, and alvays will have them. Du we not tind uen of both races in the province roting on both sides pulitically ? It is true the demand for constitutional changes has to some extent, but valy to some extent, divided us as' the representatives of seetions ia this House ; but on all other questionssưp as commerce, banking, customs tarifts, axcise, and other questions-we find gentlemea voting atcordiug to their political views, and not as represeptang sectivens. So it will be under the Coufederativar. People will be divided into parties by their political opinions and leauiags, and not. by sectional cousiderations. (Hear, hear.) In claiming, then, that under it there will, on all guestions, be a :majority against Cyper Canuda, is to assume that Upper Cinadia will be at war with all the other proviaces, and that they will, be continually at war with it. Well, what right has any man to assume that this will be the case - that Uppeir Canada wall be the Ishmael ot the Confederution?. I think he has youe whatever. (Hear, hear.) The addition of seventeen members to Upper Camida in the outset, with the proposed arrangenent for rewaljustmegat every ten years according to the increase or decrease of populativa in each of the provinces, is substantial justice to all, aud is all that Upper Canada ever asked for or espected. But, Mr. Spaker, the honorable nember for Northi Ontario not ouly accuses the Upper Canadians who support this scheme of an
abandonment' of their principles on this point, and of offering to the people of Upper Canada the very opposite of what they asked for, butt charges that we have sacrificed our cash as welk as our prinẹiples. An honorable member of the other House has taken similar ground, and charges in effect that the Lower Provinces have been bribed into this scheme at the expense of Upper Canada, apd that as regards Lower Canada, we undertake to pay her in perpetuity a subsidy of $\$ 167,000$ a year; and the honorable gentleman asks if ever Lower Canada asked for anything like that under our present systen? He tells us; too, that for eactio of the seventeen additional members we get in the Federal Government, we pay at the rate of $\$ 16,000$ each. As regards the Lower Provinces, I submit that it cannut be shewn that their union with us will be to our detriment in monety matters. They will coutribute as large an anount per head to the general revenue as we do in Upper Canada, and if any financtal effect will be felt by Upper Canada in consequence of the union of these provinces with us, I think it must be in the direction of lessening her burdens; such, at all events, is the conclusiou 1 have arrived att, and such, I think, is the conclusion any man will arrive at who will take the/rouble to inform himself of the position of these proviuces as regards the finaticial questions between. Upper and Lower Canadu. I do not know where the honorable member gets his figures, nor can I very well understand them, but in regard to the subsidy of $\$ 167,000$ a year that he speaks of, what are the facis of the case? Let it be borne in mind, sir, thatà Upper Canadians we claimed that we were paying an cuormous price for the present uniop with Lower Canada, and that we urged this as one reasona why we were entilled to the coucession of representation by popalation as an act of justice, that we might have our due sthare of intluence in controlling the expenditure of the revenues of the country to which we contributed so largely. We complained, and it was advancedin this Assembly uver and uver again, us one of the reasons for demardiag representation by population, that our money was given away to sections whichcontributed little or nothing to the generat revenue ; that while we paid seventy per cent. of the revenue and Lower Canada only thirty per cent., an equal proportion of the expenditure was enjoyed by Lower Canada; and that under this bystem Upper Canada was paying not only for its own local improvements
and sustaining not only the cost of carrying on its own local affairs, but contributing largely as well to the local-wants of Lower Canada. (Hear, hear.) Now, it was in "ré ference to thess local matters that the evil was chiefly felt and that complaints were louder than with reference to general expenditure, for they were tangible grievances, things that were fasily understood, and that presented themselyes as an injustice every year in the estimates presented to this House. There "was a stem of two millions, or more voted every year for the support of local interests and to promote iocal works or mproyements, including such itenis as thé support of education, hospitals and charities, and the opening up of colonization roads; and of this sum one-half was applied to local parposes in Lower Canada.: Now our argument was, that of this woney taken out of the public chest, Upper Canada contributed seventy per cent., and Lower ' Canada the remainder: If this was true-and I think it

- clear incontrovertibly so-then it was perfectly clear that we in Upper Canada had to pas not only the appropriations made for local purposes in' that section, but allso nearly "we half' of the ippropriations for local" purposes in Lower C'anada. Let me remark here that I do not think any man wifl complain that we in Upper Canada are paying this large portion of the pablic revenue. Coder unr system of indirect tadition, or indeed under any system, th must be that the richest part of the eom-: munity shall bear the largey share of the publice burdens, and they have a rightto do so. I' do not complain that' the people of Upper Camada pay a lareer amunt of the revenue of the country than thene of Lower Canada, because if: they choose to consune the imported articles upon which duties are levied, they do su beciuse they are able to pay for them, They are not required to consume them, but if they do, and a, e made to pay indirectly to the public exchequer, thes have no right to complain that the people of Lower Canada, more frajal and economical, eotsume less dutiable goods and thereture contribute less to the revenue. We' in Epper Canada dy not conplain of this, but we pive it as a reason why we should have our just share of influence in the legistature and roverameat of the country. We do not argue that bechuse we contribute more we ought to have a larger representation than Lower Canada; but we say that if we really do pay nore to the publio exchequer, it is an additional reason-our popalation being greater-that we should have
an equal voice with Lower Canada, in proportion to our numbers, in controlling the expenditure of the country. (Hear, hear.) Well this being the case that Upper Canada contribites the largest share of the revenue, it is perfectly clear to my mind-and I think it will be to that of any map who examines the subject intelligently-that Upper Canada pays to Lower Canada, under our present systemi, a considerable sum of money, amounting to half a million of dollars yearly, for the sap. port of its local interests and institations; and if the honorable member for North $0 n$ tario will balance the proportion that Upper Canada pays of the eighty cents per head proposed to be paid to Lower Canada with the amount nos paid to it by Upper Canada, he will find that a large saving will be effected by the plan now proposed for our acceptance. (Hear, hear.) We have thus, I think, gained by this scheme, not"only representation by population, saviug us from the imputation of having sacrificed this principle in order to. obtain Conifederation, but wre have also, by the same meäsure, gained a substantial redress of the grievances to remove which representation by population was demanded. (Hear, hear) Not only has a saving of money been effected; but also a removal frour this Legislature of those sabject upon which angry, intemperate, and painful discussions have takea place in times past. For these reason's, I think it is, a most desirable thing that the scheme should be carried out: (Hear, hear) It is inarrel lous how inconsistent some honorable sentlemen show themselves to be in their desire to oppose this measure: The honorable nimber for Lutbiniere, speaking of it from a sectional point of view. hias also, I think, exposed himself to this charge. He charges the Honor: able Attorney General East with incousist ency, if not something wore, in oceupying tho position he datr does as affecting the interests of Lower Canada, forgetful of his own relative position. He said':-
It the member for South Usford had earned his pupularity by attackiing the instututions of Luwer Canala throti;h the agitation for representation by population, it might be said of the Hon. Atterney General East that he bad riserr to popularity by defendng or by affecting to defend those institutions. (Hear, hear.) He had so well succeeded th obtainia, the good graces of the people of this section of the province, and in securing their coatidence, that it was extremiely difficult for any of thuse who were politically opposed to him to attempt to speak in the interests of their fellowicountrymen. (Hear, hear.)
The hon. member for South Oxford (Hon.

Mr. Brown) is here represented as having earned his popularity by attacking the institutions of Lower Canada, and the honorable member for Montreal East' (Hon. Mr. CarTIER) as having earned his by defending these same institutiofs, and the insinuation is that he has now abandoned the defence of these institutions and handed them over to the tender mercies of the Honorable President of the Council. Let messk the honorable member for Lotbiniere, if being in company with the honqrable member for South-Oxford be evidence of hostility to the institations of Lower Canada, how he explains' his own position, and that of his party; when they cast in their lot with the honorable member for South Oxford, while earning his popularity by, as he says, attacking the institutions of Lower Canada, and abandoned the Honorable Attorney General East when doing "battle in defence of those institutions? "(Hear, hear.) I think the question is one not easily anavered. ${ }^{\text {The }}$ Thonorable gentleman mast either have been politically dishonest before, or po litically dishonest now, and he can take either hora of the dilemma he pleases:

Ma.JOLF-I never supported the Honor 'able Attorney General East; and if I have been foreed upon the same side as the honororable member for South Oxford, it was because we were united together in opposition to that honorable gentleman. That was the only bond of union that connected us together. On the question of representation by popalation "we were always divided.' ' What I meant "in "the "observation I made, that has been alluded to by the honorable - uember, is: this, that the Houorable President of the Council had gained the position he occupies now by attacking Lower Canada, and the Honorable Attorney Geveral East his, by assuming to defend it', and when at length they found that the gane would no longer answer, when the Honorable President of the Council saw himself excluded torever from a seat in the Ministry if he continued to play it, they banded together, and we now see the result. (Hear, hear, and laughter.)

ME. H. MACKENZIE-Atallevents, Mr, Spliaken, the hon. wiember makes it clear that he has changed sides. For when the Hon Attorney General Hast was defending the iastitutions of Lower Canada, he opposed hia, and now be opposes him because the says he has adopted the contrary poliey.

Ma. JOLY-I opposed him for othor reasons-nut for that reasun.

Mr. H. MACKBNZIE-At allevents the'
hon member has contributed his mite to the influence the hon. member for Sonth Ozford had in this House, by attacking, as he declares, the institutions of Lower Canada. I have already said that all parties are not satisfied with this scheme; and while on this point, I wish to allude for a pioment to the constitution of the Legislative Council. It is the only reference I shall make on this branch of the subject. When addressing miy constituents, I took exception to this portion of the resolutions. 1 did so, not because I cared very much whether we had in this country a Legislative Council nominated by the Crown or elected by the people, but, the nominative systein baving been superseded by the elective, I preferred to have it as it was. It was in these terms that I spoke to the people. After haring addressed one ur two meetings, I' saw the despatch of the Colotial Secretary, and I woticed that this matter of the constitution of the Council was pointed out as one which required revision; and I took it for granted that communications would be opeied between the several Colonial Governments'such as vould possibly lead to a chdoge: Doubtless there are sufficient reasons whyt this has not been done. But, although I would have liked it to háve been so, aíd although it would have concurred more closely with the views of Upper Canada, I do not think it of sufficient importance to warrant me in rejecting the scheme on that account. (Hear, hear.) It it involves the rejection of the whole seheme, I do pot feel myself warranted in pressing tor an amendment on the point, (Hear.) lu framing aconstitution of thiskind, everybody must be aivare that an agreement could never have been arrived at except on the principle of compromise and concession. It is perfectly useless-it is worse than useless - to suppose that any of the several sectious of a wide-spread te rritory could come cogether with a view to the formation of a union among themselves, unless each one of these sections was prepared to sacrifice and give up something. What right, 1 would ask, had we to expeet that all the other colonies would agred to the views of Upper Canada, tr to the views of Canada as a whole'? What right had we to expect that the Prurince of Nova Scotia wuuld agree with in in our views with reference to every particular matter? What right had we in Upper Canada to expect that in framing this scheme we would be able to expunge the separate school clanses from the School Act? If that could
be done. it would no doubt be agreeable to the people of Upper Canada, because we think that in our Common Schocl system there should be io element of sectarianism. As a people, we are desirous of having our School law without any provision for separate scliools: It is perhaps a bold statement to make, but il believe the people of Upper $\therefore$ Canada as a whole, Roman Catholicg as wellas Protesfants, would be content with our school system without a particle of sectarian. ism in it. We could searcely expect that if we were to succeed in framing a basis of union under a new Constitution, we could get the sectarian clauses of the School Act. remored, if they were insisted apon as sine. qua non by the Roman Catholics in Lower: Canada in conjupctien with the adherents of the same faith in Upper Cañada. But not withstanding this, although it is a sensitive point in Upper Canada, and particularly among my own constituents, I venture to say that the people of the west generally, in their willingness at all times to listen to reason, will be quite content to accept the scheme as a whole, as it has been presented to us." (Hear, hear.) "I hope that no attempt will be made to incrcase the privileges of the advocates of separate sehools, but that the question will be left where we now find it. (idear, hear.), It is worth while, perhaps, to read a single passage, written by a distinguished man, in refereuce to this principle of concession. , I have already instanced the views of framers of the American Constitution when they set to work to do away with the first Ftderation scheme and to adupt a new Constitution. When they had frame the new Constitution, we find Wasuingrox accompanying the document with a Jetter, in which this passage occurs:-

It is obviously impracticable in the Federal Government of these states to secure all rights of independent sovereiyuty to each, and yet provide for the interest and safety of all. Individuals entering into society, must give up a share of liberty to pieserve the rest. The magnitude of 'the sacrifice must depend as well on situation aud circumstances as on the object to be attainedi. It is at all times difficult to draw with precisiun the line between those rights which mist of surrendered and those which may be reserced.
Doubtless, "ir, the members of the Quebec Conference encountered the same difficulties as the framers of the American Constitution did. They must have found it ${ }^{\circ}$ difificult to
draw the line exactly where it should be drawn. I" presume it could not be done; and that each one felt it incumbent upon him to make certain concessionsiad that all they could hope to: do was to hase some broad margin, sume neutral "gruoud, on which to draw the line, so as to be able to say they did the best they could to unite the sectional interests of the provinecs and to futther something like a nationality for the country. (Hear, hear.): I do not desire to trespass upon the House; I have purposely passed over much that Ifotended to have said; had the Government desired to encourt ge discussion at greater leagth; and i pass on rapidly to a conclusion. (Cries of "Gu on "X) I think the unton desirable, not only as a benefit to ourselves;' but ass a means for consolidating the British Empire on this continent, and to save us from ato degradity dependency ou the Uaited States, especially as we have tho means nithin purschos of nating them to a certain extent dependent uptr us. Look at the map of this country, look at the position we uce upy geographically ; see the outlet we possess tu the ocean; look at the magnifient St. Lawrence, "with the vast grain growing country beyond it. Is it not in our power to draw the trade of the Great. West thruigh this its natural outlet to the ocean? In it not possible to 50 improve this clyannel as tobring the produce of the great Westera States to market through our territory ? Is it not possible, by means of a hittle judicieus outlay, to make the people of the United States dependent on us, instead of us being dependent on theni? (Hear, hear.): There is much that could be said on this; subject, and the means that might be resorted to for securing to us these benefits of trade and commerce. : It is not so much to the enlargement of the W"ellad and St. Lavirence canals, although that "s necessary, as to the construction of a ship canal to Lake Huron. through the Utiawa country, that in ay opinion we must look for the ultimate compmercial greatness of this country, as furnish: ing the shortest and safest route for the conveyance of the contents of the great yramaries of the west to foreign markets. The proposed Ottawa canal may not rua through a country as fertile'as the valley of the St. Lawrence ; it is of a different geolo gical formation; neverthelesis, I believe it to be a country of great riches, whose resources are as yet undeveloped: I think that
a ship canal from Georgian Bay in that direction would not only furnish a satisfactory outlet for the produce of the west, but would lead to a splendid market for the lumber trade, and find employment for a class of vessels to which we cannot at present give profitable occupation; and, besides, it would open a channel forsuch vessels and implements of "var as may be necessary for the defunce of the country. (Hear, near.) I would conclude by saying that I think union desirable, not only because of its present advantages, but on account of our future prospects. Looking at the future, I do not think it desirable that one government shouldiexercise sway over the whole of the North American continent. (Hear, hear.) Nor do I think it desirable that such a government should be a républican goverpment. (Hear, bear.) Taking this view of the case; looking back to the history of the past; reflecting upon the evils which have followed hasty constitution-making, and the troubles that have oceurred in consequence of blundering $\rightarrow$ at the outset', it becomes us to consider whether the scheme which has now been laid before us has in it the elements of stability. I think it has, so far as human furesight can détermine. (Hear, hear.) Geopraphically this country covers a vast extent ot territory: We can lean our backs on the stiows of the north, and from that quarter bo exien' asti attack us, and if we have no great breadth frum north to sonth, we have a largo ex anse westwards. Although, too, we are in a northern clime', although our latitude is higher thin that of our sonthern neighbor, yet this is ou obstacle to the growth of pupulation or to the increase of prosperiv: (Hear, hear.) Teeming millions will in future inhabit shis land; and we are called upon now to lay deep and broid the foundations of a great empire. Let us thew that we value the free instititions of Britain : transplanted to this soil; institutions funded apon principles of freedom and universal toleration; institutions that have made the parent land great, and that mark it out as the one bright spot in the old world to which the eyes of the nations turn when their libertics are imperilled, and as the city of refuge to which erowned heads, as well as the viotims of their misrute, can alike flee for safety in the hour of their misfortune. (Hear, hear.) I have no hesitation, Mr. Speaker, in endorsing the scheme before us. I'do.so
because I believe its leading principles are in harmony with the principles upon which the British constitutional system is founded, and because $I$ think it is a fair arrangement betweenyall the proviaces; and; as an Upper Canadian, I accept it because I think it concedes to us the status we are entitled to occupy. "I accept it, farther, because of the prospect it holds out to us of building up a great nationality here, and of handing down to our children "institations which our fathers have bought with their blood. (Loud cheers.)

Mr M.U. CAMERON-I wish to shew the honorable tinember for Norti Oxford the figures upou which I have based my calculation." I find that under the scheme-

| The Federal aid to Lower Canada' is $\$ 888,531$ <br> $\therefore$ do. $\because$ do Uper Conada, $1,117,590$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| Of the ad to Lower Canada- |  |
| The Maritime Provinces contribute; say 1 -5th $\ldots . . \therefore \therefore . \quad \therefore \therefore . . . \therefore$. 177,706 |  |
| Upper Canada c <br> the balauce, or | $473,884$ |
|  | \$888,531 |

Of the aid to Upper Canada-intibute,
The Jaritime Provinces contion
say 1.5 th
223,514
Lower Canadar it ru of balance. . .o. .298,025
r'pper Canada, srds do.
596,051
\$1,117,590
Coniributtun by U.C. to L.C....... $\$ 473,884$
do by,L.C. to U.C. . . . . '
$\$ 175,859$
Expenses of General Government. $\$ 8,553,379$
Contribution by Mar. Pro.
accurding to لIr. Gat. $\$ 5929,272$
Contribusion by L. C.; at
$\frac{1}{3}$ rd of balance $\ldots \ldots .2,203,035$
Contribution by, C.C., at

| Contribution by U..C., ards of balance. ... | $\xrightarrow{4,416,072}+\$ N, 553,379$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| U. C. in exeres of Mar. | Tov . . . . $\$$ \$,486,800 |
| U. C. in excess of L. C | 2,208,035 |
| U. S. in excess of both | . $\$$ - 278,765 |

This sum divided by 17 ; the additional representatives to U'pper Canada, makes the cost of each \$16,397 anuually.

Hon Mr DORION-Mr. Speaker, the intelligence received from New Brunswick since the last sitting has caused the question of Confederation now under discussion, to lose mush of its interest. Lvery one is now convinced that it is a question which no longer has any real existeuce, and which may safely be shelved for some time to come at alt events. I deem it, however, to be ing duty to make a few obserrations in reply to the hon. member for Hontworency, and to allude in passing to the speech of the Hon. Solicitor General East (Honorable Mr. Langevin). The honorable member for Montmorency began his speech by saying that the nembers of. "this House ought to raise their views above all paltry consid erations of a personal or party obaracter, and discuss, the question of Confederation upon its own mierits, that thereby its advatutages or' disadvantages might be made ap: parent. And yet the hoairable member has devoted at least onc-thord of his speech to. calling to mind and discussing what I may. or may not have said ti past times. II have already said, and I repeat it, that I defy any - member of this House to cite a siugte pusaing from any oue of my peeches, or one siugle. - line of auything 1 may have ever written, to "prove that I have ever been iu faver u"t a Confederation of the British North Auerican Provinces. In order to produce ásemblance of proof; aud with the wew withag the contradict wigselt, ate has beca neceseary to torture my words, to talsity wy speerhes, iu. make talse trindidanous of "theis; aud ever thea with all the skill that has been used, the attempt tas been unsuccesstul. The speech. Which has boin quoted with ithe greate, complacency, to shiw that I was in tayor ot the condederation of all the provinces, is that ' which I delivered wn the urd Mays tobu. This specoth, which vocupted ueariy two hours in its delluery, was ieported it about "tweuty tive haes of the Mirraing C'hromicle, and only uccuped a coluanu ia the Mirrur of Purlident." These two reports are completely at vatiance one with elve other, and ycither of them tsexact; but they are suthicent, neverilietess, to entat. lish the coutrary of what it has been tried to prove Wheu a was destied to shew that I was in tavor'of represelation based upou population, a part ut the repost in the Ehirror has beca cattidjgud whea it is soughit to establish that 11 was in favor of Contederation, the report of the Chironitic
is triumphantly brought forward, But the portion of the Mirror report; which is cited in relation to representation; is so absurd that it-suffices to read it to be coayinced that I could never have made use of the expressions which it contains, For instunce, on the oseasion of a discussion which has but an incidental relation to representation based on population, but which relates to a Confederation of the two provinces, I'am mado to say that I have always been opposed to representation by population, but that if Upper Ganada desired to have it, that I was ready to concede it. This' is nearly the contrary of what I said on that occasion for Iinvariably make my speeches coincide with :ny sotes; and as I have invariably voted against every propusition tending to the concession" of representation "based" upon "population, so I have never declared that I was in favor of that measure, but on the contrary, I have always declared that Lower Canada could never consent to such e proposition, because it offered no guarantee for her institutions:" (Hear, hear) . But now that the question of Confederation is under discussion, the Mirrur report is. set asidend that of the Chronicle is quoted. This report made me say, in substavee, that I looked upon the Federal uniou of Upper and. Lower Canada as the nucleus of the great Confederation of the British North American Provinces, that every one furssaw must sooner or later be effected. Tha expression used in the report is "to which all looked forward." The hon: nember for Muntmurency, who has brought this teport to light, although he could wot be i-norant that an entirely differentione was contaived in the Mirrar of Parlinment, has given the text of it by sabstitutiog the word "he". for the word ", all!" and has translated it so as to 'make me say, in speaking of the Confederation of all the provicuces. " $q$ we $j e$ l'oppelinis de fous mes cosux," and in trataslatug this last expression into. Eophish, in the pauphlet written by him iu 1865 , be makes me" say, "whioh (Coufederation) I strougly desire to see." It is etiouph to read the "report in the Mirror, iuperfect though it be; to dhew that 1 never said auything of the kind. This is what I said in speakiag of Confederation:-
He urged that the priviple of the dauble ma. jority cuuld oaly be applied by giving to each section of the province the coutrul. of ifis lucal affairs, and that when populations diffored to
mach as did those of Oppar and Lower Canada, it was the only way to govern them in a satisfactory manner... He hoped, however; that a time mizht come when it would be desirable to effect a'Confederation with the Lower Provinces, but the time had not yet arrived for a measure of this tind.

But those who were in favor of a Federal anion of all the provinces ought to bear in mind that a Federal union between Upper and Luser:Cuatia was the best means of establishing a. inecteus arsund which the great Confederation mi gat bi formed whan the proper time arrived.
"If in this citation the word "believed". were subitituied for the word "hoped," my idea would be correctly given, in very ncarly the ta guxge 1 made uise of in May; 1860 Als is quite clear, there is a great difference between what I said and the report given by the Chromicle, which the hou. member for Monituorency has been obliged to disguise in citing it, and which he has translated in the noost absurd" manner, and all to make it appear that I had expressed myself in a manner favorable to Confederation, and thereby shew that I have contradicted myself. That I way have declared that at some future period, when the population of the different provincesshould bave so increased as turender the settlements contiguous, when the means of communication should have been improved, and, whea, by commercial intercourse," "our interests should have become "Meatical', and the differents populations should constitute, so to speak, one united peple, it anight be of advantage to have a Coufederation of all the provinces, this I am gute williag to admit ; but there is a groat ditferince between this auticipation and the expression of a desire fur a Confederation to Whict I have always been opposed, because I did aot consider it advisable uader preseot circumstances. If find no change in the circuustances of the country to lead me now to desira what 1 expressed my disapproral of in 186y' I again assert that I no more pronounced myself in fávor of Cootederation then than I have since; only sperking of a proposition for establishiag i Coutederation of the two Canadas, and after several members had spoken in favor of a Coifederation of all the provinces, I mite ane of the very natural argument, "That for thoge who desired" the great Coufederation; there could bo no objection to th: prop ssition then under cojosideration, b:cause that Cunfederation would be tho nuoleus around which the other provinces
might gather when the proper time arrived." The hon. member for Montmorency has spoken of the contradiutions which he has imagined to exist between the opinions which I expressed in 1856,1858 and 1860 , and those which I entertain at the present time on the subject of the Confederation of the provinces. But these contradictions do not really exist. I have never expressed an opinion in favor of a Confederation of all the proviaces, but of the two Canadas july, and that Coiffederation to which I would have agreed as a remedy for the difficulties created by the question of the representation, had no resemblance whatever to that which is now proposed to ns. By that plan Lower Canada would have had complete contrul of all hèr local affairs; under the present schease her control is surrounded by so many restrictions, that in fact it is the central governuent which has the control, oot on y of what relates to all the provinces, but also of what may telate to one of the proviaces ouly. (Hear, hear.) Before speaking of contradictions, the hon. meniber for Hontmorency, ought to bear in mind that Ife is more vuluerable on this head than any one else. He ought to remember his two pamphlets-one published in 1858 , and the other in 1865 ; one going to prove the absurdity of a Confederation of all the Brtish North American Provinces, and the other pointing out the advantages we should derive trom such a Confederation. In the first of these pamphlets the hon: membér, after having proposed 27 questions witha view to examine under all its different aspeots the question of a Felleral union of the two Canadas and that of a Federal or Legislative union of all the proviuces, rej jects alike both these projects, because he only saw in them the aininifilation of Lowencianada. The hon. niewber was su thoroughly convinced of that, that of ahi the propositions he gave the preterence to a legislative union, beoause it. would come to an end all the sooner. He found it mora logica!, looking at the immediate results of the union.' "In. faot, if we must have a union of some kind of all the prorioces, and if Lower c'anada is destined to lose the little influence, which she yet exercises on legislation under the existing union, $i$ Woutd bo better to attain our object by a machinery more simple, less coupticated. aud less costly.". Aud a little further on he adds; "As far as we are conceraed, we are opjosed to it. We mant no union under nuy form, as it is certain to attain the asmo
end, no matter under what form it may be imposed upon us." That is the conclusion at which the hon member arived in 1858 , after a careful examination of the whole question. In 1865 , matters are completely changed, and the bon: meniber has discovered that the only possible salety for Lower Canada is to be found in that very Confederation of all the provinees which he rejected with all his might in 185. This is the conclusion at which he arrived in his latest pamphlet. After havint earefully considered the various schemies of ubinu with their various eouditions of exitencerne, have proved that Confederation was, in cur present circumstances, the system best calculated for our protection and tor securing our prosperity in the future." The hon member for Montmorency explains this complete" change in his views since 1858. as folluws :-

Until lately we admit we were more in favir of a Confederation of the two Canadas than of the grander scleme, becau: then we had no national aspirations, and we beliered that we should find in it more protection for the interegts of Lower Canada. Wee acted as though we had to deal with present ir probable enemies, and like a good tactician ret desired to have as few enemies arrayed against us as yossible; but sirce our coistant commonication's during the sittings of the Convention with the eminent statesmen of the Atlantic Provinces, many of these apprehensiuns: and indeed the motives of oppissition, have been dispelled from our mind.
So that the mere contact which the hon: nember enjoyed with the politial men of the Maritime Prorinces, duriog the fiftern days they were here, has heen sufficient to dispel all his apprehemsions for the fate of the institutions of Lower Canada in the Confederation of all the provinces:" It is the confidence with which these yentlemen have inspired him, and not the guaranteve offered by the plan of Confederation, which have changed his opinions of $1 \times 58$. I find in the Journal de Qutitec, a newspaper vdited by the honorible member for Montuorency, a few very amusing passages upon the question of the confidence which ought to be teposed in political friends. These articles alsin date from 1858. The honorable nember was then in opposition. Itin true that he did not look at the hovorable member tor south Oxford and myself in such an uifavorable lisht as he has since done. At that tiuse he ras laying the whip pretty severely upos the shoulders of his present friends. But the doctrinês he then held appear: to be still
applicable: On the 26th of August, 1858, the honorable member wrote an article under the heading "Les Amis les Ennemis," in which he said: :-
The friends, the ministerial supporiers from Upper Canada, have endeavored. during the present session, to impose upon us representation based ipon population, and the abolition of separate schools: A minister, Mr. Surfu, even voted for representation based; on population 1 The enemies- the nembers of the Opposition have left the initiative of these odious matters to be taken by our friends the ministerialists; and ${ }^{\circ}$ moreover, to prove that though they were enemies, thes would treat us better than our friends the ministerialists, ther were willing to pay the seigniors all the casual rights due by the ceysitaires ( $£ 500,(00)$. After that we do not ask too much when we ask that our enemies' may have justice

## And a little further on he adds:-

Mr. Catrier 'galvanises a corpse, 'which starts up in its hideousiness only to fall back never to rise again. The lamp it woint out cauts some tew pale and feeble rays, and soon we shall have the darhness of mitht. The days of the vety wirst government whicp his ever' weifhed down the drstinies of Canada arr numbered. There are not many of them, and all the seconstructions that and pussible will not add one to their number
On the $\because 8 i l i$ Angust, in an article on representation based on population, the hon. mepher for Moutworeucy espressed himseff as follows:-

Bus triends may do anighing ther fike : whatever thes do is woll done Mr faxersos. i: maniserralist, will demaid the abulition of separate schools: he is a friend t one nust have conatideace th him anid kiss the Orange hand which strikes the blow. Mr. Malcony Cixieros wit ath for representation by population: he is ani, ther frusid, nat Mr. Hiows is the criminal, Mr. Brows is the enemy. The Administration, for the tirst uman our parliamentary annals, grakes the question of
the reme the representation an open iquestion. The Ministry is companed of ten of our most ardent and loyal- friends ; will they deceive and betray us Mr. Suita, the first amout ihem, rotey in the face of astonished Lower Canada for representation by population. He is " an Orangeman, one of cur kindest friends, and of course in his extrette fitiendship it as his duty so to vole. The mennbers frem Lower Canada ought to accept all this, and they have accepted it with gratitude! But for a rouge an enemy-to seek even the tenth part of all this; is odiuns, th is immoral, it:is thes.ap the foundation of the country, it is to deverive the shame and death of Calvary. And would you believe it ?-all this indignation is expended for the bouetit of a power which has soiled, blemish-
ed and corrapted everything in the order of morality and political integrity.
The hon. member for Montmorency then proceeded to speak of his present friends, and of the excuses offered by the Ministerial supporters for blindly roting for and approving whatever their friends desired them to vote for. .Did an Orańgeman domand anything at which their (atholic consciences might take alarm, thèir consciences Were soon quieted by the fact that "it was a trieud," "and the Orangeman obtained at ouce what he sought; and the hon. member for Montmorency declared that all this had been done by' a power which had soiled and corrupted every thing in the order of morality and political integrity. Now, he heartily approves of all that he then held to be abomin. able and atrocious, so long às it was proposed by his friends. Then he was opposed "te Confederation of any kind; because it was a certain mgans of obliterating the influence of Livor Canada, and he preferred a legislative uuion to a Confederation But now his rriends propose a Confederation of all the prorinces, and be heartily approves of it. Iquote agaia from what he gaid on the M3th Augást, $1858:-$
During this sessión Confederation was found to be sis unpopular, that Mr. Gatr did not dare to ask a tote; on his informal resolutions, ... But hardyly had be obtained power and his views were triamphant, and Canada is to bow her head to a new order of things which an instant beore had been considered replete with danger and ruin. The policy of the Government as regards Confederation is vos more defined or tangible than that of Mr. Gast on the same subjects and yet the uen why two days before, furiensly demanded that Mesans. Brows and Dopros should give explicit explanations, accept it with cundidence and with closed oyes, doutidess because it ćame from their freiends and friend Gans: Frrendship has the power of transforminy, principles aud thangs, good into ovil and evil into good im. norality into moratity, injustice into jusuce, and ewseqiences into inert machats, bendiug to the movement given to it by the firm hand of friends.

1 puote from the paper of the hou. wember for Moaithorency.-I do : not say this myself:-r
More than this, the, Ministry take upon themsetves to make à Constitution tor the people, and to chanse the condition of Catiada without consalting them, without taking the trouble even of telling them what they aro going $\mathbf{t o}$ do for then. Siot less than fuar members of the Goverament, they bay, are going to negotiate.
our destinies either in Downing-street or in Lom-bard-street, but most probably in the latier. It Confederation suits the ideas of the Grand Trunk, depend upon it:we shall have it, even though the whole of Canada should reject it. The Journal asks what will become of the French element in the Conifederation. "Eh! grand Dieu, you may see its fate already in the fact that out of four Ministers sent to negotiate the transformation, not a single one is French', the happy individuals being Messrs. Galit, Ross, Macponald and Rosé:
At that time the enemies, that is to say the present friends of the hon member, were desirous of changing the Constitution without consulting the people, and he considered that an atroc.ty; but now they propose to effect ar revolution in our political institutions without giving the people an opportunity of pronouncing on their scheme, and the hon', member for Moutmorency warmly approves. It seems, when the other day I asserted that this scheme of Confederation was planned by the Grand Trunk Company, that I lid but express the opinion of the hon. member for Moptmorency: [twas he who first made this assertion, ac not I "If the Grand Truik," said hè "wants Confederation, we are sure to have it." In those"days his friends the enemies "lesired to sell the country; now he seeks to save it'by exactly the same means that they took to rain it: Now he no longer seeks to ascertaiu whether the plan of. Confederation is good or bad i he only looks to see that it comes from his friends,and that is sufficieut to secure for it his hearty approval. This schene being proposed by the frients and supporters of good principles, it cannot contain ariything that may endanger the institutions of Lower Canada. ( llear, bear, and laughter.) But formerly it was quite a different matter, when the sane scheune was proposed by-enemies, the present friends of the honorable member for Montuorency. What constitutes the excel. lence of this scheme in the cyes of the honor able member, is that it ois not submitted by rouges or annexationists, but by the represen "tatives of good principles, the guardians of the interests of Lower Canada. (IHear, hear, and langhter.) Besides, the delegates from the Lower Provinces, whom he had looked upon as enemies to Lower Canada, inspired him with. such confidence during the dinners ana balls of the Conference, as to have removed any approhensions under which the honorable menber might before have labored. He told us so himself. .. For my part I do not believe, that the communication which the honorable member enjoyed with the delegates, from the Lower

Provinces during their 'sojourn here had the effect of changing his opinion on this question. He looked to see from what side the proposition coime, and seeing that it came from the side on which his fritends sat, he was sat once convinced that it contained nothing that could eudinger the institutions of Lower Canada. It is evident that hie votes for it with certain${ }^{\text {th }}$ ty. - In " 185 S . he reproached those members who, like the lionorable meuber for Montcallu.(Mr: Jos. Dufresse), look quietly to see from which side mèasures' come before pronouncing upon them, with only thinking and actug according to word of command given by the present Ministers. Has not he also been "obliged to write a pamphlet of 150 piges in 1865 to refute the one of forty piges' which he then wrote? Then he held to be absurd all that was conacected, either nearlyor remotely, with Confederation; now he holds. everything to bed right and perfect $;$; he is quite satistied, and gets the promise of all his members to vote for the scheme before us without amenducot. He throws his hat in the air and excluims-" Let us vote for Coufederation and for our friends." (Hear, hear, and laughter.) That honor.ble menter may be able to discorer contradictionsi in my conduct. 'He sees a mote in his' neighbor's eyes and seeth not the beam in his own." But let us continue our examination of that paiuph. let of $1 \searrow \bar{y} 8$. It contains most preeious information. At page 15 I find the fullowing pas sage: :-
-The best possible condation' under which Con federation could exist, wuuld be that in which the two chambers would be elected and would buth have population ass the bagis of thear number, for no other systern excepting that of having but one chamber moly with the numbet of its members bazed on populatas, would give us absolutelig one vute in three in the Federal Legistừre.
So in 185 he found that the bëst we could hope for, under Confederation, was that we might have two elective chimbers, with a number of members proportiuned to the populat tion in each provinee, which irould have given us one yote in three. It was the elective system, with representation based on papalation in each ehamber. - In vieiew of the Confederation of all the provinces, that plan was decidedly better than the one now proposed to us, iti which Lower C.anada is only to have 65 out of 194 in the Lower House, and 24 out of 76 in the Legislitive Council, less than the proportion which wet should have had under the elective Bystem, without taking
into account, that os the legislative councilors are to be appointed by the General Gorernment, Lower Canada :will exercise but little influence as regards the appointment of her councillors. But let us see what the honorable member for Montmoréncy now thinks of the elective system. After having, in 1856, himself brought in the bill to render the Legistative Counc̀il eleetive, and having thus. done more" than anyone else to effect the change which then took place in the constitution of that body, and ufter having, in 1858, declared in writing that " the best possible terms that could be obtained in Confed: eration would be the mukiog of the two chambers elective," in 1865 ho says, at pase 65 of his second puniphlet:-
It' was in obedience to the general sentiment, and nut by conviction, that he who now writes grave up. m $18{ }^{2}$, an opinun which he had alwags hef, and hmself drafted the present constitution of the Le fisiotive. Counch, and it is with geluing satistaction, and a conviction strenghetied by expesitnce, that we greet the revival of the nitheiple of Crown nomination to the Legislative Council under conditious saperior to those of formertanes.
It would seem, then, that in 1850 the honorable member atiered the Constitution, not as the result of conviction, and becatse he considered it was defective, but in obedience to the general sentinent; that is to siy, that being a Minister, Lhe did not wish to displease his Iriends; who demanded that this cbange should be made, and that, rather than sacritico his portfotio as a $\mathrm{Hininster}$, suerifice his principlesgand convictions. (Hear, hear, and taughiter.) Nör, the konorable meuber has ng other sacrifice to make thanthit of his perional dignity; this is but a trilling one ; and he returus to his old opinions; so as unt to diaplesse his preseat friegds. He clung to power in 1836 ; today he pays hotiage io it, thit is the whole difference. Whea the widud blew in the direction of reform, thic honourable uen ber was a Reforther, not from conviction but frou iuterest ; and when it blows in the directivn of absolutism, the hourabie member becoures by instinct a Conservative and a Tory. So he; who, in 1556 , oftained the pissing of an act to reader the Council reiective; who, in $185 \%$, agaio pronounced himeal in taver of the elective primciple as applied to the Cuuncil, tells us in 1865 that he greets with genuine satisfaction the revival of the priceiple of Crown nomiation of the Legisiative Councillors. (Hear, hear.) Ministers went on their kneg to the Lower

Provinces beseeching them to come to an understanding as regarded a change of the Constitution," and with respect to a scheme of Confederation. Explanations were the result, which have ouly been given on'a few important points; the delegates of the Lower Profinces; after having obtained the most favorable tinancial stipulations for those whom they represented, have still further imposed their views and have modified the scheme of Confederation in a manner at variance with the views of our Ministers; and yet; after the Maritime Provinces have repudiated the action of their delegates, the Government still obstinatelf persists in obtaining the adoption of the scheme without any amendment whatsoerer. If that resolation passes, we shall ask Eagland to change our Coustitution, and to give us one whichowill not be in accord unce with the views of our ministers, and still less with those of the people of this province. But let us see What the honorable member for Montunorency said in 1858 on this subject. II cite from page 12:-

To ast Eagland to chanze the Constitution is to give her an opportunity of chanyms it th. suit her owtimiews or thase ot our enemies.: Nay, more, to ast that we should take the tirst step is to claim it tior all the prowinees, it is to call ipon. them too to say upon what couditions they will accept the Federal union.
But in the conflict of all the e voices one only will aever be beard from the Impertal Thron: because it would be ia the Frenich langraje. It is no prejulice, it is bat the history of our tifty. jeara of tras adad sorrow.
Have circumstances so greatly changed since 1858? What has occurred zince that period to give the honorablemember fur Montinorency more confidence now in the jugtice of England, or in the effieacy of our petitions than ine the bad? Is pot the history of vir fifty years of sufferings vivid in the memories of all? When: We asked the Iuperial Government to change the constitution of the Legistative Council.: did they not ungecessurily, and without our. having sought it, repeal the clause which readered necessary a tivochirds vote to chaoge the basis of the representation? That safegaard of the interests of Lower Canade was Raten avaty from us without our knowing. and at the prisent moment we do not know at whose inistunce that elanse of the Union Aet was expunged. Have we not similar reason to foar thit they may imposa on Luwer Canads a new Constitution, with conditions whioh will encroach upon the rights solemnly guaranteed to us by treaty? And this is the more
probable from the fact that, this scheme having been rejected by the Lower Provinces, England will not be desirous of enforcing it upon them, and that if it is adopted by the Imperial Parliament, it can only be so adopted with: such modifications as will mike it applicable to Canada alone, leaving to the Lower Provinces the right of accepting it hereafter; and Heaven aloue knows what these modifications will be, and how they may, affect our institutions. (Hear hear.) If the Imperial Parliament thinks proper to take up this Constitution without the acceptance of it by the Maritime Provinces, it will come back to us, as did the answer to the Address in relation to the Legislative Council, entirely different from the Address we are about to vote.

Hon. Mr. EVANTUREL-I thought-I understood, when explanations were given to-day by the Hon. Atty. Gen. West that the Governinent intended to lay before Her Majesty the Address to be. passed by this House, then to ask the advice of the Imperial Government as to what they had better do under the circumstances, and then return and recport to the House.

Hov: Mr DORION-I enquired, in language as explicit as "it was possible to use, of the IIon. Atty, Gen WVest; whether the Goverament mould submit a nèv Constitution formatification by the Legislature, and he only replied that the Government would submit the whole matter to the Imperial Govern-ment, that is to say, the Address to be passed by this House, and an explanation of the present state of matters in view of the defeat of the scheme of Confederation in the Lower Provinces. He refused to say that the Gov: erniment would come back to the House with the measure.

Hon Atty Gen: CARTIER-The honorable member for Huchelaga would like to make the House believe that it is the intentiou of the Goverament to eave a measurs to be passed by the Imperial Government tagainst the wishes of this-ILouse; but no such cunclusion can be drawn from the explana. tions given by my honorable friend the Hon. Atty: Gen. West. He stated that a deputation would go to Engl/and, and that they would submit to the Imptrial Government the ad:dresses of the two Houses, containing the plan of Confederation allopted by the delegates of all the provinces, fad that they would urge upon the I Iuperial Governuent to bring down a measure that should apply to all the provinces.

Hon. Ma. L/AFRAMBOISE-That is
not saying however, that the nerw Constitution will be submitted to the House on the return of the deputation. (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Atty. Gen. CARTIER-Nor is it saying, either, that it is without the consent of the House.

Hon. Mr. DORION-What I wish to say is, that it is perfectly clear that the House will net be" called upon to pronounce upon the uew Constitution which is to be given to us, no matter what changes may be introduced into the "resolutions" on which we are now called uppon to vote. (Hear, hear.) The Hon. Atty Gen East cannot say that the Government "will "submit to the House the result of the adrico which they may receive from the Imperial Governuent. (Hear, hear.) All that ive can understand from the Goverument is, that they will press the adoption of the meaviare by this. Loure, and that, if they can pass it, they will ask the Imperial Government to give us a Constitution based on these resolutions, and that this Constitution will be imposed on the country without either the House or the people being called upon to ratify it, even although it be altogether different from the resolutions now submitted to us. (Hear, hear.) As in 1856 we saw the clause of the Uniou Act, which required the concurrence of two-thirds of the nembers of the House to authorize a change in the basis of the representation, repealed without any application on our part for its repeal, so we shall perhups see in this new Constitution which is to be given to us, that the principle of. Confederation w.12 have been sacriticed ito order that a legisla tive union, pure and simple may be imposed upon us. (Hear, hear.) And this is the more probable now, that it is well known that the Marifime Provinces have repudiated the plan of Cunfederation in its present shape.

Hon Atry: Gen. CARTIER-We shall make a small Coutederation by dividing Can: ada into four parts. (Luaghter) That is what the honorable member for Hoohelaga promised the honorable member for Sodth Oxford when he formed his Governngent. There should be little men, little provifoes, and a little Confederition. (Laughter.)
A. VOICE-Now-udays tho Government has only great projects.

Hove Atry Gex CaRtIER-Yes; we propose great neasures, and what is more, we carry them.

Hon. Mr DORION-Yet the Honorable Attorney General has undertaken to grant a little Coofederation, and to divide us into
little provinces if the grander scheme does not pass, and he has a very fair chance to come back to little matters. (Hear, hear.) The honorable menber for Montmorency after having express? his opinion with respect to the constitution which ought to be provided for the Legislative Council, in order to the protection of our interests, said in that pamphlet of 18.58 , on the subject of Con-federation:-
The object of Confederation is external protèction; it can defend itgetf from ènemies from without, but it could nok defend ftself against itself. It was not with a view to social improvement, not to attain a more perfect and complete internal political organization, that the American colonies and the small states of Germany, who wished to remain independent/ had recourse to Confederation: ; it was for mutual protection against enemies from without, and for that ouly. Now we have England to protect us, the political Confederation of the provinces is therefore absurd. But if it be at once absurd and fatal, why should we persist in demanding it?
These are the opinions of the honorable member fọr Montmorency:-
Were we to have a Confederation of the provinces, thes, would soon range themselves wito two distinct camps 1 and if we are to judge of the past by the preselt, it is needless to say to what dangers Lower Cinada would be exposed. [And a hatle further on, he adds] When, once we have admitted a principle, not only we have to admit the consequences, but even to sulfer them to our ruil. The consequences of Confederation wald be the ruin of Lower Caxada.
The honorable meuber for Montmorency was conrinced that the Confederation of the provinces could not be effected without having recourse to direct taxation, which loomed up constantly before his eyes-(hear, hear):-

- Direct taxation for the maintenance and to carry out the objects of the local legislatures, are a necessity of the Federal system; and if Lowet Canada was to refuse to tax herself to pay the expeases of, its Government and Legralature, it wuuld be forced into doing it; bearing in mind ine refugal in days past of its House of Assembly to vote the supplies, they would treat her as they did in 1840.
Thus the great Confederation; so fatal and absurd, would be the ruin of Lower Canada. Now for a little description of our new friends in the Maritime Provinces:-

What advantage can Canada hope to obtain in the consulidation of the revenues of all the povinces?
Whilst the united revenues of the four Atlantic provinces hardly reach the sum of four hundred

thousand pounds, and whilst not one of these provinces has mach in the future with the exception of New Bruaswick, Newfoundland with its cold climate, its barren soil, like that of the north shore of our Lower St. Lawrence, will never be more than a fishing station, to which, besides, we have access in conmon with all the other nations of the world. Nova: Scotia is anothe: fishing station, to which also we have access in common with everyone else. It has no soil fit for caltivation. Iis revenue remains stationary, or diminishes like the population of its capita, Halifax (although situated at the extremity of one of the most mag uificent harbors in the world), which, in 1840, had 25,000 inhäbitants ia its wooten houses, and which now affords shelter to fifteen thousand buman beings only.
They are poor, and seek an alliance with the rich. They have good reason; were we in their place, we would do the same.
That is his account of the new allies he now proposes to give us.: . (Hear, hear, and laughter.) And now passing to the question of religion', this is what we find:-
In the existing union the Protestants are shghity the most numergos, at least according to the ceasus of 1850 . The proposed union would iucrease the Protestant strength; for the 'very' great majority of the populations of Nova Scutia and Nem Brunswizk is Protestant, and Newfoudtand, in whied Catholicism presuils is too poor, both ai present and'in prospective, with jts btrea soil, to give any strength, or evea hope, to Catholicisun. Prutegtantism would thas be more powerful in a union of all the provinces than it Is now in the existing union of the Canadas.
I think I need say no more. I think that the reasons adduced by the honorable member for Montmorency from the French-Canadian point of view, against the union of the provinces in 1858, exist at the present day, and that they have greater force now than they had then; and this is the more evident. When we see all the members from Upper Canada declare that Confederation is not What they want, bat that they would prefer a legislative union. This fact ought to add toour alarm, and convince us of the danger to Which'we should be exposed by this union. The honorable member for Montmoreneg now encourages his friends to proceed to England and obtain its adoption by the Imperial Govermment, and ite imposition on the Maritime Provinces as well as upon Canada. It is an appeal to Great Britain to pass a measure upon the application of the Canadian Government, and to impose it upon the Lower Prorinces, after making such modifications to it as trould satisif them. The hooorable mem:ber for Montmorenoy, animadverting upon a
letter which $I$ wrote last autumn to my con stituents, in which I asserted that no precedent existed for a Federal union between mere colonies, has cited, in refutation of my statement, the case of New Zealand. ${ }^{\text {N }}$ New Zealand is composed of three islands, divided into eleven províces, each of which possesses a sort of municipal council which is called a government, just as the municipalities are called provinces. Each province has a head or executive officer, elected by the people; and charged with the carrying out of the laws. The municipal councils have the power of legislating, but their powers are restricted ${ }^{\circ}$ within very narrow limits. They cannot interfere even with the laws relating to wills and successions, whilst, on the other hand, the Central Government has the right to legislate. on all matters affecting the colony.". The political system of New Zealand is exactly like our county and parish municipal system. Our county manicipalities represent the central power, and our parish municipalities represent the local governments: Had the hon. member for Montmorency examined the Constitution of Belgium, he would have seen that there, there are provinces which each have a Governor and a Local Parliament; and these parliaments have much greater powers than ${ }^{-}$ the local, councils in New Zealand, and are muich more important; yet no one has ever ventured to assert that Belgium was a Confederation although it was divided into provinces. Neither is the Frepch Empire a Confederation, although its departments are goterned by Préfets. (Hear, hear.) The hon. member for Montmorency has told us that our interests would be perfectly protected by the proposed Constitution, I find that the powers assigned to the Gederal Parliament enablo it to legialate on all subjects whatsoever. - It is an error to imagine that these. powers are defined and limited by the 29th clause of the resolations. Were it desirous. of legislating on subjects placed under the jurisdiction of the local legislatures, there is not a word in these resolutions which can be construed to provent it, and if the local legislatures complain, Parliament may tarn away and refuse to hear their complaints; because all the sovereignty is vested in the General Goverament, and there is no authority to de: fine its functions and attributes and those of the local governments.

Hon, Atty. Gen. Cartier-What do you understand by sovereign power-please explain?

Hon. MR. DORION-I will tell you in a
moment. I say that the Federal Parliament will exercise sovercign power, inasmuch as it c.an always trespass upon the rights of the ducal goveruments without there being, any authority to prevent it. What authority have you constituted which ean come forward and siay to the Federal Parliament - "You shall not do srich and sueh a thing; you shall nut leinilate upon such and such a subject, beemene these unters are reservef to the local governments." "There vill beno such authority, and consequently it will have sovereign porrer, and cindo all that it pleases, and may cneroach upon all the rights and attributes of the local governments whenever it may think proper: We slath be-I spak as a Lower Chantian-we shall be at its mercy, becanse it may exercise its right of veto on all the legislatiou of the locil parliaments, and there again we shisll hwe no remedy Io case of differenee betiven the Federal potier and the lpeal gnernumbe what authority will iatercerio for its setlement?

Hos ATTY GEN. GARTIER-It will bo the Tuperial Government

FON: Ma DORION-In effect there will be no other athority than that of the Imperial Government. and we know- too well the value is inguel to the complaints of Lower Camatians by the Imperial Government.

Huv ATty. Gen CARTIER-The delegites understo d the manter better than that. Seither the Imperial Government nor the General (iuseriment willinterfere, but the courtsof juties rill decide all questions in relation to which there may be differences between the two powers.

A VOICE -The Commissionery' courts (Hesr, her.)

Hus Mr DORFON-Undoubtedy One magitrate nill decide that a law passed by the Federth Lepislature is not law, whilst another will decide that it is law, and thas the diffurence, instead of being between the legislatures, will be betreen the several ceurts of justice.

Hon. Attr. Gen. Cartien-Should the General legislature pass a law beyond the limits of its functions, it will be nall and void pleno jures

HoN. Mr. DORION-Yes, I understand that, and it is doubtless to decide questions of this kind that it is proposed to establish Federal courts.

Hón ATty. Gen. CAR'TIER-No, no! They will be established solely to apply and adjudicate upun the Federal laws.
Hon. Mr. DORIUN-In Great Britain,

Parliament is all-powerful, every one admits it-and I would like to know whether it is proposed to give to the Federal Parliament the omnipotence enjoyed by the Imperial Parliament. $\because$ Without that, the system proposed to. be established is no longer a political monarchical system, but rather a vast municipality. If all the courts of justice are to have the right of deciding as to the legality of the laws, the Federal Parliament will not be able to make them without a justice of the peace or commissioner of smäll causes setting them aside, under the pretext that they are not within the jurisdiction of the central power, as is now done in the case of a processeverbal of road work. "That is not the imonarchical system; it is the republicanssystem. In Eng. land as it is here at the present soment, the Legistature is all-powerful, and I believe that that wis the principle which it was sought to adopt:- If the differences between the Fed. eral and the Local Parliaments are not to be subuif ted to the decision of a Supreme Fed eral Court, I do not see who can possibis decide them. (Hear, hear.) We are told that the Fedcral Court of Apreals will not be charged with the decision of matters in dis. pute between the legistatures, but they mill only hare to give final judyments in cases decided by the local inferior courts. Well, for my pari I cannot approve of the creation of this court. The great ineonjeniences of it to us Lower Canadians may easily be seea. Thus, when a chuse shall have been argued and decided in all our courts, we shall still have to go before a Federal Court of Appeal composed of judges of all the prorinces, and in Thich' we shall probably have ouly one judge, who may be selected out of the Eng. lish populatione " And this is the protection afforded to us. I repeat that I see no protec tion whatever for our interests, as Lower Canadians, in the constitation of the political and judicial powers, for the Federal Parliament can encroach upon our rights without any authority having the power to interfere, and then we shall have a Federal Court of Appeal. in which we shall only be represented by one' judge agaibst six or seven of other origius: (Hear, hear.) There is another and very important question to be considered, and that is as to what is meant by parygraph 30 of the 29th resolution, in relation to marritage and divorce I see, not without apprehension, that it is left to the General Parliament to legislate on all matecrs relating to marriage and divorce. . The question of marriage is intimately connected with a large portion of our
code and civil rights, for upon marriage depends the settlement of family interests and skcessions, and the civil condition of the population. If the right of legislating on all witters cónected with marriage is left to the 'Federal Parliament, it will have the right to declare that a marriage contracted elsewhere will be ralid in the Confed acy, provided it has been contracted in accordance with the laws of the country in which it took place, ;us stated by the Honorable Solicitor General East,' for it is a principle of anternational hayy perfectly understood in every country of the eivilized w. rld; and whieh it would be -impossible to alter, and it was of no use whatever to insert it in the Constitution: - I say, then, that not ouly will the Federal Goverument have this power, but they will also be able to change the civil conditions of marriage which now constitute sa part of our code. But it it is sought to remove from the lowil legislatures the right of legislating respecting the conditions unter which a marriage nayy be contrueted, the age at whith marriage is to be allowed, the degree of relationship which shall bo an impediment to marriage, the consent of the relations, and the repuisitie dispensations which are now required to be obtained from the 'ecelesiastical authorities, then I can understand why this artiele hias teen inserted in the resolutions, and that the right to do :ll this is to b wested in the Federal Parliament. If it is desired that a minor should be allowed to marry, ns he can in countries in whigh the laws of Eogland prevail, witbout the coisent of his relations, Iesa conceive the reason for placiug the tight to legislate respecting marriage in the hands of the Federal poover;'; but if that was uit the, otjeet in view, I ste no rea-on why the right to lefistate on this' subje thas not been lett to the local goverriments. (Hear, hear.) I shiud see with considerable appreteexisiou and aurm this power siven tit the Genetal Pariait ment, because it will be composed of uen who have- ideas enirely at valianee with ours in relation we mariage. As regar is the question of divorce, wo have thad every kind of explanation as to the meaning of the resolation of the Conference: The Honorablo Solieitor. General of Lower Canda (Hon, Mr: Lanaeviv), who last year made so great a tuss beciuse a divorce sutt eane before the Hous:, and who even-moved the rejection of the bill at its first reading, hass been brought to torme on the subject, and has dibeov red that it wuld be ag good thing to have an authority for stie settement of this matter. Last year
he said that it was impossible for a Ca: Lolic to sanntion eren the first reading of a divorce till, and he made us a long specech on thè subject, but he has found out his 'uistake, and he is unwilling that the local legisliturc should legislate on ditg ce, but hie vests th:s right in the Federal Yarlianent $t_{r}$, ind authogzizes it to do só: He cannot himself legislate, but he allows another to do $s o$ for him.'" Well, I do not think that this is any improvement ou the existing state of thives, and I think that divorce is more likely t; be freveuted by learing the subject anoung the tupetions of the loca. legislatures; at all events as far as Lower Canada is conceried, than by leaving it to the Fedral Rarlianient. $\because$ Bit I go further, 'and I say that the leayiag of this question to the Feder. 1 Logislature is to introduce divoree a ops, the Cathoics." It is certuin that at present no Cithinlice could obtain a divopec either in the present Honse or :rom the Locual Legislature if Lower Can ada under Conifederaition.' But supiose that the Fideral Parliaiment were to cunat that there shall be divoree courts in eich sedtion of the province, the Catholics will hate, the, same ace ss to thea ay the Protestants. And who is to prevent the Fede: al Legi Luture from establishing a tribunal of this kind in Lower Canada, it $t$ es are stablided che where.' In that 'cast-it tribaual. of this kiad ar established-will not the Honatrable Solicior Gequral. it h: vutis for this r.s liu tion, have whed tir the establishiment of dive:ce courth: over the whole country to whish Catholics and Protestants cart have reccurse for obtaining a divorce? "That is the only eouclusion it is posisible to areive ar, and the legitimate consequence of the votes of those Catholics who will vote to vest this poiver in the Fe eral Parlianient. (hear, hear.) It is evident that a Catiolic ; who thinks that he cannot vote for a Disuree bill ought not to vote indirectly for the establish-- inent of Divoree ceyrty, uny more than to vote direety tor it. The Houor ble solicitor (ieneral East told us the other day that he had recently obtained the aninlument of a a sarriage, be ause the parties, being yelitions, had married writhout dispensation.

How: Sol. Gex LaNGEVIN-I never pretended that that wis a dyvire". I siid that if the es se of analment of marrize to which 1 ericreel had arisen iutipure C.anada, the Eedestastioal courts might hate cestarad the marriage null as far as the cis on law was concerued, but nut as regarded the civil tams, tor the law of Upper Cainada does not recog-
nize the impediments to marriage provided by the Canon law, ard that the husband and wife mould have been obliged to apply to Parliament to obtain their separation. And Istated that this separation could nit be looked supon as a diporce from a Catholic point of view, although the Act of Parliament night be called a Divorce bill.

Mr. GEOFERION-Would Parliament grant a divorce on the ground of relationship?

Hon. Sol " Gen. LANGEVIN-I can cite other uases, as, for instance, that of a Catholic married to an infidel who had not been baptized, without being aware at the time of the marriage thit this impediment existed, If he discovers the fact afterwards, he is not married as far as the Canon law is conecrued. If the wife is not willing to consent to the obtain.ing" of the necessary dispensations to reader her marriage valid, she may, in 'Lower Ganada, apply to the Eeclesiastical court to hive it annulled," but in Tpper Canada: she would also have to apply to Yarlianeent.

Mr. GEOFFRION - Could a divorce be obtained from Parliament on the ground of relationship?

Hon Atry Gev CARTIER-It would be proved before Parliament that the marninge contracted under these circunistanies is null as regards the Canon law and the law of Lower Cansida. . Thereare ecelesiastical aus thorities in Upper Canada just as there are in Lower Caiada, but the Civil law there is not the same as it is here, the couple whose marriage would be void under the Canon law. but not under the Civil law-for in the eyes of the liw the marriage would be valid and binding, and teither husband nor wife could remarry without having obtained a divorcethe couple, I say, would have the right of applying to Parliament; who might legaly declare that marriage oull which had been so dechared by the ecelesiastical authorities: But the nullity of the marriage must tirst be proved to the satisfaction of the ecclesiastical authorities and under the Canon lawriand - then Parliamentsmight annul it on that evi-: dence, for it would be omaipotent.

Hos. Mr: DORION-Then the Federal Parliament will be omnipotent?

Hon. Atty, Gen. CaRtIER- Ies, in that respect.

Hosi Mr. DURION-But even supposing that the Federal Parliment would interfere in such a cise, which is a matter of doubt. the Local Goverument would also have had the right to intertere if the power" so to do had been given to it.: Moreover, this would
not be a case of divorce ; it would simply be the declaration that no marriage had ever taken place which is quite a different matter, In Lower Canada the Canon land forms part of our Civil law but in Upper Canada it is not so; and the law there does pot recogoize the right of the ecelesiastical authorities to declare a marriage null. (Hear, hear.) I think, then, that the explanation of the Hon. Solicitor General is not of more value than that which be gave us on the subject of marriage, for it does not in the least prove that the Federal Parliament have not the power to éstablish Divorce courts in all the prorinces, and the resolution do s not admit of the construction that the Federal Parliament will only bave the right of declaring void marriages declared to be so by the Catholic ecelesiastical authorities. (Hear, hear.) I perceire that the subject of imuingration is left to the General Goverument concurrently with the lecal governmento - I thisink that danger lies in the provision that the General Geverwient is to appoint all our judges. It is said; as the Honorable Attorney General. East stated the other day, that there will be French-Canadising in the Executive of the Federal Government, but their number will be limited, and if the Executive is composed of fifteen membery for instance', there will only be one or two French-Canadians at the most. Well, suppese the French Canadian Ministers: recommend the appointment of a person as judge, and that all their colleagues oppose it, the tormer will have the risht to protest, but the majority will earry the day, and all that the minority can do will be to retire from the Government. But in that case they will be replaced, and things will g口: on as betore. That is all. The same areat mient applies to the appointrinent of levidative councillors: and when I call to mind all the iojustices cotminitted by the Legislative Council of Lower Canada, which was nominated by the Crown, and in a spirit hovtile to the great mass of the population, I cannot couceive that French-Canadians wan be funud who are willing to return to that syatear. Will they not remember that it was that system which closed our common sehools, by re fasiog to vote the supplies granted by the Legislative Assembly, and thereby delayed, for years and years, the progress of education in Lower Canada. The honorable member for Montmorency says that we must have a conservative chamber and the our Legislative Council, under Confederation, will be less conservative than the Belgian Sepate, bo-
cause the elective qualification of the Belgian senatofs is higher than that of our legislative councillors. The Belgian Senate is elected for eight years, and is, renewed by one-fourth at a time.

Hon. Mr. CAUCHON-Eyery four years; by one half:
Hon. Mr. DORION-Yes ; the honorable member is right.. The term for which each senator is elected is eight years, and the elections take place for one-half of them every four years, and another change in the composition of the Senatecan also take place, because it may be dissolved like the Lower House. Sow, under these circumstances, there can be no clashing of any duration bet ween the two Belgian Cbambers, and the Senate cannot obstruct, for an indefinite periods the action of the Lower House. . If a difference should arise between the two bodies, the Government cun renedy it by new elections, by which enators"would be returned favorable to the viers of the people. Thus the Senate is not conservative, frum the sole fict of the electoral qualifieation of the senators being very high. What I consider execssive and of atoo conservative eharacter in the constituthon' of the Lexislative Counel on the Conthe ration, is that no poreresests, which can fhunge its compesition in the case, of a collisina betreen it and the House of Commons, The councillors will be appointed for "life, sad their number is ffixed." By what neans shall we be able to prevent the LegisLutive Council trom stuppiag the progress of buninews it a diference should arise with the Lower House?. The howorable member for Montmorency says that the obstude will to brukendowa' but if no other remedy than that is provided I say that the principle is faulty. It does not do, when we frame a Corstitation, to open the door to cobstacles which eun only be surmounted by breaking thent dowr. '(Hear, bear.)' In England, where the House of Lords is very conservaHive, the Crown has puiver to name new peers, and it is precisedy the possession of that power of creating new peers which has pre vented the broghing dovng of the obstaclewhich prevented a revolution in 1832 . The homorable menuber for Monturency huself aduits, thit at that period England was on the cre of a revointion, and that it would thave happened it the Hoowe hat any louger refused to sanction the messures of reforn :passed by the llouse of Cummons and deuaded by the people; and that revolution 'was only avoided because the King, having
declared that he would ereate new peers, a certain number of the lords, to escape this danger, absented themselves, and permitted the passing of the Parliamentary Reform Bill. (Hear, hear.) There are two or threo other matters which are left to the joint jurisdietion of the Federal and Local Legislatures, such as agriculture emigration, and the fisheries; but the Juws of the Federal Parliament will always prevail in these matters over those of the lical parliaments; thus, for instance, a Local Legislature may pass a law in relation to agriculture, but it imay be overridden the iest day by a law of the Federal Legislature: - (Hear, bear.) I slall not touct upon the quastion of the finances, but I must say that the figures given by the Hon. Sulicitor Geaeral.East do not rgrce with those in the Public Accounts. I do not know where he obtained them, but for my part I have been unable to find them. When, I enquired whether Lower, Canada was to pay the Municipal Lonan Fund debt, he did not "think proper to answer. When 1 asked the Hon. Ninister of Fin.mee whether Lower Canada wooud be charged with the debt contracted for the redemption of the Seigniorial dues, with the: Cominón School Fund, the Municipal Loan Fund, and the indeinaity payable to the townships, amounting in the whole to $84,500,000$, he requed that he would bring dowa a proposition at some future period for the settlement of these questions, but he has not thought proper to give ay explatations. Well, I have stated that besides the debt of $\$ 16,000,000$ due by the province, there are suore than $\$ 3,000000$ due to Upper Canada as compensation for the Seignorial indemity, and that in fixing at $\$ 62,500,000$ the debt to be assumed by the Federal Government, there will remaia about $\$ 9,000,000$ to divide between Upper and Lower Canada. With the amount of the Municipal Loin Feind dubt and of the other items which I have menticaed, Lower Ganadit will tind herself: charged with a local debt of $\$ 4,500,000$. (Hear, hear.) When wo entered tho union We had a debt of $\mathbf{\$ 5 0 0}, 000$; we have expenddd since the union, on pablic works in Lower Canada, about $\$ 13,000,000$, and we go out of the union with a debt of $\$ 27,500,000$ is our proportion of the Federal debt, besides our own special debt of $3,5,000,000$, whalst Upper Cayela will go out of it without any lucal duthan rivog up the indemuity to which she fis entided under the Seigniorial Act of 1840. Well, I assert that it is an unjust:
treaty, and that it is also unfair that the Ministry should refuse us all explanations on this point, before we are called upon to give our votes on the resolutious. (Hear.) The IIou. Solicitor General East told us the other diy that in the plan of Confederation which I hid proposed for the tro Canadas, I intended to leare the administration and ownerstip of the cruwn lands to the General Govern. ment and he said that under Confederation the Chum lands wouid belong to the local governments, and this, in his opinion, was a reat haprovement on the plare which'I prós pood. Well, it must be observed that a yery large unount is due ou sales of Crown lands; there is about $\$ 1,000,000$ due in Lower Canada, and $\$ 5,000,000$ or $\$ 6,000,000$ in lepper Cumbi.- It these hads bad remaned in the uniou there would have been about one million from Lower Canada, and five or sis millions trom Cpper Canada towards the payment of the gencral debt. We sliould have benefited to that amount by the extinction of so much of the puble debt; instead of that, under the plan of the Goverament, Epper Cunada is to have the benetit of the five or six millions Hue on the lands sold in Upper Canada, whilst Laver Canada will ouly have one nillion of dollars at the vutside. If it were ouly the public liuds, there would be no injustice in $\therefore$ lesving them to the local governmente, but the difference in the amounts dute or the lands sold gives a consder ble adrantage to Clpper Cuada There is another very serious objection to the Coustitution of the Lecrislative Lounch. The honorable member for Montmorency said that the Leyislative Council would serre as a protection and safeguatd to the interests of the Freach-Canadians, because in it we would have an equality of members with the other provinces:" 1 curious equality that will be! Th t of which the houorable member tor Montmoreacy spoke when he pronounced himself in tavor ot two elective chatubers, because in that: case we should have one ruember in three, was intintely preterable. In the Lower House we shall not hatre oue member in three, nor shall we in the Upper House either, for we shall only have tweuty-four cuuncillors jut of sev-ency-stx. Thus we shall have equality neither in the Lowser. House nor ia the Council." (Hear hear.) But then the General Goverameat wil nominate the cougeillors, and we shall be in a grate manry in the Ex ccutive Council. Inother objection is that the nowination of the legishative councillors on the recommendation of the Eisecutive Coun-
cil of the General Government; and this offers no guarantee for the institutions of "Lower Canada; because the predomiñating influence in that Council will not be that of the majority of Eower Cazada. To offer an effectual guarantee, it would be necessary that they should be olected by the people; or, at all centis, ouly appointed on the recommendation of the local goverumeats. These resolutions, we are told, are only as it were the headings to the chapters of the nesf Constitation, and the uew" Constitution may be anything else than what is now under consideration. It will cotide back to us in the form of an Imperial Aet, to whieh we shail have nolentes roleates to submit. (IIear, hear.) Supposing even that the scheme should not be moditied, I could not apprové it. . I canoot with a joyful heart give up the inpreseriptible rights of the people who have sent me here to represent them:. I caninot cousent to a change which is neither more nor less than a revolutho, a political revolutiou it is truc, but wheh does not the less, on that: account, affect the rights and interests of a million of inhabitants, the descendants of the first settlers' in Anericti, of those whe have given their uames to the tast regiuns which they discovered, and whose carecrs haye been rendered famous by so many heruic traits. (Hear, hear:) I'am opposed to this Confed: eration in which the militia, the appointuent of the judges, the administration of justice and our most important civil rights, will bo under the control of a Giencral Government the majurity of which will be hostile to Lower Canada, of a General Governmeat invested with the nost anple powers, whilst tho powers of the local goveruticats will be restricted, "irst, by the limitation of the powers dolegated to it, by the veto reserved to the eentral authority, and further, by the concurrent jurisdietion of the general author: ity or orembent. Petitions, with more than 20,000 siguaturis attached to them, have al. ready been presented to this Houser"against the scheme of Confederation. Numerous public weetions have heen held in nineteca conntes in Lower Canad, and one in the city of Montreal. Esery where this scheme has been protested araiust, and an appeal to the people demanded; and yet, in delianco of the expressed opinions of var cunstitucats, we are about to give thean a Coustitution, the effect of which will be to suath from them the little influence which they seill ecinjoy under the existing uniou. We are about, on their behalf, to surrender all the rights and
privileges which are dearest to them, and that without consulting them. It would be mad-ness-it would be more, it would be a crivie. Ou these grounds. I shall oppose this scheme with all the porer at my command, and insist that under any circuinistances it shall be submitted to the people before its final adoption. Cheers.)

Hon. Mr. CAECHOX-Mr. Speaker, I receiva inteltigence this evening that the Hon. member for Hochelaga was about to reply to my speech of the 2ad of March, and that is why I came here Otherwise, as I have not get quite recovered, I stoould have remuined at home; but I trankly acknowledge that if I had foreseen that is should have had to listen to such a speech as that which we have just hèard, I should not have put mself"out of the way for solittle. "Any one hearing him speak must have said: "UEither he, is not a very powerful reasoner, or this hop. member has but a poor idea of the intelligence of this House and but little respect for his colleagucs.", But fur my trio pamphlets and for the speech of the Hon: Solicitor General, which he read and com mented upon as he knows how to do, ad sould very speedily hate found thimself aground ; bat by deriving assistance in the way I have mentioned, he contrived to find the "means" of speaking for three hours. (Hear, hear:) Is it, necessary for me to repeat that I bate never denied the opinions which I held in former days? Nor will I deny them to-night. I acknowledge freely that my opinions on certain matters have changed. Of what adrantage; then, can it be to him to spend his time in repeating what I dumit myself? If I proved to hiu that ho hal changed several times himiself, I did not do so to lay blame upon him, but to reproach him with denying his past career, in urder that he might be more at his ease iu that which he is at present iollowing. (llear, hear.) © But, for that matter, what dues it signify to the country that he or I Leld one opinion yesterday and that we hold another today? What the country requires to know is whether the scheme of Confederation which is submitted to us by the Goverament is good or bad. (Hear, hear.). The man who declares that he has never changed his opinion on any subjcet whatever is, to my thinking, a simpleton: The public roquiremènts change with cirçumstances, and necessarily bring with them other ideas. (Hear, hear.) We do not eat when we are
no longer hungry, nor drink when our thirst is satisfied, Did the hòn, member, for in-' stance, put iu practice, when in power; the doctrine which he enunciated respecting the double majority; when he was seated on the Oppositiou benches ?." When the House was engaged in debating a resolution, the object of which was to affirm the prisciple of the double majority, the present Hon. President of the Council having got up to say that he rould never have goverved Upper Canada by means of a Lo wer Canada majority, the hon. member for Hochelaga rose in his turn to declare that he also would never consent. to gorern in "upposition to the will of Liower Canada. And yet, in 1858, did he not enter a Cabinet which was refused by nearly all the pembers from Lower Canada?

Ilon: Mr: DORION-I said that at the time of the formation of the Brown-Dorion Ministry. I told the Hon. President of the Council (Hon. Mr. Brown) that I would not undertake to carry through the Legislature the four great measures which were then in question, without the consent of the: hajority of the representatives from Lower Canada.

Hon. Mr. CAUCHON-Ah, yes! An excellent reaton can always be found for retainitig power when we have it, in spite of our own declarations. In 1860, did he not form part of a Government situated in thie same position?. And from 1863 to $186 t$ did he not govern Lover Canada with a rod of iron, supported only by a weak Lower Canadian minority?

Hon Mr DORION-The only measure passed in 1863 , that relatiog to Separate Schools in Upper Canadn, was carried by a majority iu both provinceṣ.

Mon. Mr. CAUCHUN-That is not so, as the Upper Canadian majority voted against that bill, which owed its safety to Lower Canadians only. But it is the principle which is in question herre, and the hon. member cannot divert the attention of the House from that fact. If the double majority was good in one case, it must be so in all cases, in legislation as in administration, but more especially in administration, which capnot and ought not to be based on anything except public opinion. Now, the hou. member for Hochelaga certainly governed his country despite the majority of its representatives.' (Hear, hear.) He has spoken to us of the petitions presented to this House against the scheme of Confeder-
ation, but what do those petitions amount to ? The way in which they were covered with signatures is well known. (Hear, hear.) I shall here cite an aneedote relating to the parliamentary history of Upper Cañada, at a period shortly before the Cnion. A member was talking a great deal about petitions in a debate upon a bill. "Petitions!" .said his opponent, "I will uñdertake within a furtnight to present a petition to this House praying that you may be hinged, and which shali be covered with good and valid signaztures !". The challenge was accepte i, und at the end of three weeks the petition arrised; praying for the hanging of the man who had so much faith in the virtue of petitions! How had it bech obtained?' By posting at a' ta ern situated à four cross-roads a skilful and knowing: agent, who incessautly said to The trequenters of the tavera-"Do you like good roads ?", 'Ske" :". Well, then, sign this petition." All signed, without reding it.- (Hear, hear, and laughter.) lexacty in this manner were obtained most of the signatures against Confederation, At Mont'resl, agents vent from tavern to taveru aud "uduced all who were there" to siga, or signed fur those who resided in the vichity without. even cubsultiag them. (Hear, hear, Have wenot aboosecu petitions coming Iruin counties iu wheh the Oppusition were uti a ves able to that cuaduates? They nay arity - abtain stgastures of this deserigtion, aud by ths meatis ; Lut that dees not consmate an exprestion of the opitho of Lumer cianada, aud thuse petuions, will not carry elections: The hon member cughe to know sonethag about it, he who wes in power at the the of the lase konest eechon: (liear, hear.) He eadnarored to explaitanay his contraUhetions ty saying trat he had nerer been in tuyor of the Confederation of all the provances. I did out state that he was in fivir of this Goutederation ot all the provinect ; I only said that he was withag; is a member of 'Le Be Bow-Duntoy Goverament; in 185 s, to have representation based on pupulatius, with cheeks, gaarantees and assurancey; that then, ia $1 \times 59$, he proposed as au aiterbative to that measure, in his Montreal man:testo, Contederation ot the two Canadas; "aisu thea, in 1 sibutbl ho was ready to ucëtpt any pos̀siblê change; ëven Confederation ot ail Brotish Noith Awerica. (Hear, hear.) To prove that he was in favor of Cuatederation of all the provinces, I quoted one of his speeches, in which he said, on the 6ith July, 1858 :-

The repeal of the union, a Federal union, representation based on population, or some other yreat change, unust of necessity take place and for my part I am disposed to examine the question of replesentation based on population, with the view of ascertaining whether it might not be conceded with guarantees for the protection of the religion, the language and the laws of the Lower Canadians: I am likewise prepared to tabet into consideration the scheme for a Confederation of the provinces, \&c., \&e.
Then another, of the 3rd May, 1800 of Which I gave truy versions-the first from the Mirror of Pirliament, and the second from the Morming Cironicle, to which I was referred as being moro authentic and more othodox by the organ of the hon. membar for Hochelaga:-

I hope, hovvever, that the day will come in which it will be desirable for ${ }^{\circ}$ Canada to federate wilh the Lower Provinces, \&c.
Those in favir of a Federal union of the pro. vincos must see that this proposed Federation of Upper and Lower Canada is the best means to firm a nuclous around which the great Confedera: tity ut, all the provinces, could be formed in the course of time--Mirror of Parliament.
I look upen the Federal untua of l'pper aid Luwer Canady as the theleus of the great C in federation of the Pravinces of Sorth America to which all look forward: I helieve, that tine will bring ahout the uxion of all the proviactMarning. Chronicle.
Cuuld ang thing be roore explicit?
IIas Mr. DORION-The word 'athe: is not ia the report.
 rected that error the other night ; but ! maintained with reason that the words it 4 which all look fornard" ineant that all persions directed their attention towardy Covifederation. Now, if all persons expect Cunfedetation, il at persona direet their attention tuwards it as towards the promised lasd, the hon. member for Huchelaga must be included to a small exteut in this tera " all perions:" (Hear, hatry " Did he"not, moreover declare that the Coufederation of the two Cibadas, which he proposed, was to be but the nucleus of the great Costederation, the necessary nueleas for the Con: federativa of all the American Provinees; which we are considering at present?

How. Mn. DORION-I did not say the necessary nucleas.

Hov. Mr. CAUCHON - The hon. nember : always seeks loop-holes by which to escape from his speeches and to evade the consequences of his past opinions; bat as I
did not interrupt him, I hope that he will not interrupt, me either... Did he not- say the other day :-
Of course I do not say that I shall be opposed to their Confederation for all time to come. Po pulation may extend over the Fiiderness that now lies between the Maritime Provinces and purselves, and commercial intercourse may increase sutticieatly to render Confederation desirable.
Is not this admitting everything? Is it not saying that there is nothing between us but a question of time and of expediency? Why then should he make the opinions of us, the majority, such a crime, when he himself arrives, at the end of a four hours' speech; at the conclasion that Coufederation will be good or neeessary at a time which is more orless near? In his manifesto against the scheme of Confederation the adheres so far to his previous opinions asito consider the schenie which is submitted to us as metely premature. There again, then, it was only a question of time, and in declaring himself to-day opposed to Confederation the therefore changes his opinion as to the very basis of the question. I do not cast it up to tim as a reproach; for, is I said but a ininute ago, he who maintaius that he has nerer changed, convegs but a poor opinion of his judgment and of his aphtade for public affairs, Events, in changing, absolutely compel men to change also. (Hear.) A general was once boasting to the great. Cunenne that he had never committed an' error of strategy. "IIe who boasts that he has never been mistakou," returned Turenive, "proves thereby fhat he" hiows nothing of the art of war:". These words, which are full of wisdem, may be applied to the hoo. meuiber for Hochelaga, who, by his persistence in maintaining that Fe has never contradicted himself nop been mistaken, proves that he is no statesinan. (Hear, hear.) But, I say it aganin, it would. bare been better tor him to lay aside personal questions. (Hèar, hear.) On the Gth July, 1858, he said :-

Before long it will bécome impossible to resist the demand of Upper Canada. If represeretation based on population is not granted to her yow, she will infillibly obtain it-hereafler, bat then sithout aiy guarante for the protection of the - Freach Canadians.

But to day he changes his opinion. Then he was willing to grant representation by population, or Confederation based on the same principle. I had to be conceded in
order that we might not be carried away by the tempest. "But to-day,", according to his shewing, the storm no longer impends ; the whole aky is calm and serene; pablicopinion in Upper Canada no longer threatens to break asunder the frail bands of the union, and changes are useless: Ah! and yet we have had as many as three ministerial crises in one year. (Hear, hear.) He mistakes then; the diffcultics have but increased, and it is better to-day to provide against the storm, than to be carried away by it at a later period. The greatest wisdom directs its efforts, not to cure the disease, but to prevent it this truth is as applicable to politics as it is to medicine. (Hear, hear.) The hon. member for Hochelaga talked to us of conflicts between the Fedenal Parliament and the local Houses, and of the sovereign poiver of the Central Government over the legislatures of the provinces:. But what, then, is this sovereing power over the attribates of the provincial legislatares?. If it exists it must bein the Constitution. If it is not to be foubd there, it is because it does not exist. He says that the Federal Legislature U. why? Wh: then will decide between the one and thothothers? -the judicial tribunals being smore to respect the laws and the Constitutio iń their entirety, and charged by the very nature of their functions to declare whether such a law of the Federal Yarliament or of the local legislatures does or does not affect the Constitunion. (Hear, $h$ ar.) There will be no absolute sovereign power, each legislature having its distinct and indepondent at tributes, not proceeding from one or the other by delegation,-, either from above or from below. The Tederal Parliament will have legislative sovereign power in all questions submitted to its control in the Constitution. So also the local legislatures will be sovereign in all matters which are specifically assigned to them. How is the question of a conflict now settled in the United States, when it arises between the legislation of Congress and that of iodividual states?' I do not speak of the present time when pearly the whole of the territory of that great country is uader military rule, and overrun in everydirection by an army of 500,000 spoldiers. . I allude to what occars in their normal condition. (Hear.) The sovereign power is vested in the Federal Governiment with respect to all Federal matters, and in the states with respect to atl matters connected with their special attributes."By
reading Sronsy, or rather the Constitution, the hon member will oscertsin that the states are not paramount: with respect to questions of war and peaces the tariff, trade, treaties and all relations with foreign countries. Their authority is void so far as relates to those questions, and the sovereign power is vested exclusively in the Federal Cfuvernment. If apy conflict arises between the Federal Legislature and that of the states, it is decided by the judicial tribarals. I am not aware that any dificulty of this uature has ever arisen, and so far as relates tan the legislative attributes of the states, that Federal legislation has ever predomitua: ted uver local legislation ${ }_{n}$. (Hear, bear.) Why then should the ca e be otherwise so 'far as re are concerned?' Is it because we are differently constituted, and because our nature is subservient to other laws? These are wretebed: argunents, and he has even. been reduced to splitting hairs since he has attended the seliool of the member for Hrume, whuse place he almost fills since the has been ill. "(Laughter.) The honorable member for Hochelaga considered "my first. pamphet much beiter written than my last, dubbless for the same reason that he considered my peeches of 1558 greatiy superior tin that which I delivered here the other day. He thinks now as I thought in 1858, he has therefore receded by six yoars: Alludiag to my speech of the eid March, he appears to impute it to me as a crime, that I yielded tothe influence of my relations mith the delegacis from the Maritime Provinces, aud that under the action of that influence, I changed my ppinions reepecting Confeder ${ }^{2}$ ation. I adnit the fact of that influence leyitimately excreised. We lose nothing by coming in contact with intelligent men. The meabers of this House; who last autumn visited those provioces; returbed amazed at what they had seen." They were convinced that those provinces were possessed of great resurces." Cuntact with the most eminent mea of those countries could be productive of no evil, and the hon. member would have gatued by it... Perhapss if the hat expericuced that contact, he would aot to-day have recourse to the means which he is etoploying to cast dinuredit on the seheme of Confederation, and to cause it to be rejected. (Hear, hear.) A mong those men there are eone who are eudowed with nagniticent abilitics, and at whose side I should be bappy and proud to sit in a deliberative assembly: (Hear, hear.) Yes, wo were gainers by coming in contact
with them, and I venture to belieye that, on their parts, they were divested of many prejudices which they may possibly hare entertained against us, just as we had some such agaiust. them. "The hou, member guoted certain artieles from the Journal vle Quebec of 1856 and 1858 to prove that. I said that then the Government was the worst I had cver seen. Perhars I was right at the time, but I could not say the saind thing sinec it has been my lot to look upon the hon. member's Gaverntaent :- (Hear, and laughter.) If there was ever a tyrannieal and dishonest Government, it. was certaialy that of 1863 , and accordiogly, it succumbed befor: the attacks of all honest men. Ks: eept for some aceident, such as that which occurred in tso', who ventures to hope to see the hon , member return to power? (Hear, hear) : He told us that it was not expedient to change the Constitution without Girst having recourse to an appeal to the people.: But the first guestion to be decided is the e nstitational question, and the question of expediency and conveniepce comes after. He talks to us without ceasing of cousulting the electors.' His doing so may be easily understood; un the clections rest bis only hopes." Nivays deceised in every election, the hopes, but hopes in rain, that the next will give bin the sictory. He oughít to know, however, that our Constitation is constructed upon the inodel of the British Constitution, and that metuliers do hot and cannot receivera imperative order from their: electors Bach tepresentative, althouph elected by one particular" cututy, represans the whole cuantry, and his legislative re sponsibility cxtends to the whole of it. If, therefore, I am convinced that any legislatixa measure presented by the Goverament or by a member of this Hivuse, isgof a nature to save Lower Canada, I must vote for that measure, even though my constituents are opposed to it: My electurs might puraish meafterwards, but they cunld not impesis upon me duties which l conisider to be eas: tirely beyond their jurisidicion, and to relate. to the very Constitution of the country. (Hear, hear.) If there aro any members who consider that the scheme of Confederation is a bad one atid opposed to the iuterests of Lower Canada, even if the majority of our people think uthervise, it is their duty to oppose it on precisely the cane pridiciple They may also, if they choore, temand an appeal to the peophes But would they be justified in so doing; and oughe this House
to demand it simply in order to compensate for that absence of opposition whioh gives incessant trouble to the hon. member for Hochelaga? (Hear; hear.) The honorable member for Hocheluga spoke of public meetings held in certain counties in the district of" Moutreat ; but "those meetiogs are far from possessing the importance which he ansigas to them. We all know how they cau be got up overywhere; and what they amuat to However the case may be there, there have been none such in the district of Quebee, and even in the district of Three Kivers, against Confederation, and it cannot be said that the members who represent those districts, and who vote for this measare, are aeting in opposition to the vishes, of their consutuents. Such meetings are only found to ocecur in the district of Montreal, where the parit of the honorable member is most strungly represented; but an upinion may be formed as to those meetings trou whit is going on at Quebec at this moment. While the whole body of citizens ate calling for the suspenuion of the present mumicipal conncil, some individuals inter: ested in keeping it in authority are calling public meetnes in the wooks and corners of the suburbs. (Hear, hear.) The honarable member made cremendus efforts to prove that the interests of our religion, our inationality aid our institutions mould be in a position of much greater safety in his hands than they wauld be in those af the majority. Fur ing part, I am trilling to leave to public ofiniow the care of deciding that question; and as he declares himself to hold that opinion it great respect; I must suppose that he will agree with we on this point. (Hear, hear.) I would not assert that the homorable nember is hiniself personally hostule to the religion and the institutions of Lowar Canalla; but I may say that all the tendencies of the party which be represents gre adrerse to those same institations. (Hleas, hear.) There is sufficient proof of this in the "writings and the acta of that party. As to my opinion respecting Confederation, I toay repeat here what I have already said on a former occasiop, and that is, that no one knew what that opinion was, how. I should writo, and on what side I should write, when I began my work: I kept silence that I might not be annoyed cither by triends or by opponents, and in urder that I might be able to judge of the question in the fulness of my liberty.
(Hear.) Mention has been made of the dangers of Confederation. "I Koow that every question has its dangers, and it is probable that this one presents some such in the same way as all others do; but the greatest danger that we could incur would be the bringing on of a conflict between the Catholics and Protestants; by appeals like those which certain members on the left have made to the religious passions of our population. (Hear, hear.) In what position should we find ourselves," we Catholics, if we provoked such a conflict? Th: 258,000 Catholics of Upper Canada are represented in this House by but two members, those for Cornwall and Glengarry (IIon J. S. and Mr. D. A. Macbonald), whilst the Protestants of Lower Canada are represented by fifteen or sisteen members; and in case of a conflict betreen the Catholies and the Protestants, what would become of us ?" (Hear, hear.). From the justice; the wisdom and the liberality of our acts alone have we hitherto found our strength and our protection to procced, and from then shiall we again' find them to proceed under Confederation. (Hear.) The honorable member för Hochelaga quoted a garbled portion of my first pamphlet, to give it: a meauing which it does not convey; he then accuses nie of having changed my opinion as to the Constitution of the Legislative Council," Buti can tell him that I have never changed my opinion on that question; I have never been in favor of the elective principlo being applied to the Liegislative Council ; and if in 1855 I prepared and introduced the daw which changed the constitution of that body, it was only that I might gratify the universal opinion which desired an elective Legislative Council. But, the houorable member for Hochelaga will reply, did you not write in 1858 :-
The best possible condition ander which Con federation could exist would be that in which the two chambers would be elective, and would both have population as the basia of their number; for no other system, excepting that ot having but one chamber only, with the number of its members based on population, would give as absolitely one vote in three in the Federal Legislature.
Was the question then "Whether the elective principle was preferable to that of appointment? No; we were discussing a question of much greater importance, that of ascertaining in what condition of constitutioual existence we should find the greatest protec-
tion, and having to select from two alternatives, numbers or the State, I preferred numbers, because it would have conferred upon us a larger share of representation and of influence. The words which follow, and which I will give, clearly prove my thought at that time :- -

The Constitution of the United States, on which, perhaps. ours would be modeled, would not give to us Lower: Canadians the same protection and the same guarante of safety, as by it we should in reality enjoy a little pro: tection only in the House of Representatives, in which we should he wane to three.

Thus the protection would have been vested in the Legi-lative Conncil itself, if ite had been created on the principle of the State and not of numbers. To shew that my mind was then filled with kut one idea-that of obtainiog the greatest share of influerce in the Federal Legislature for Lower Canada, by any constitutional system whatever, I also wrote in the saine paimphlet:-

Under the Federal principle, small and great provinces, will carry equat weight in the single (general) legislature; the little island of Priace Edxard as much as the twelve hundred and fifty thousand souls of lower Canada.

Hasing no iuformatiou to go upon, I then thought that the American system would be adopted, which gives in the Federal Senate to the lititle states of Rhode Island, Jersey, Maine, Vermönt and Condecticut the same representation as it gives to the large statos of New York; Penusylrania and Ohio.. But the scheme that we have belore us proves that I way mistaken, as Prince Edward's Island, instead of having as many representatives in the t.egislative Council as we shall have, will ouly hare one-sisth of the number. For the purpose of representation in the Legislative Council, the three Atlantic Pro. vinces are grouped tozether, and are to be represented together by but twenty-foar votes, just the same as Lower Canada (Hear, hear.) As the question was as to ${ }^{\circ}$ the establishment of equilibrium between the provinces, if the scheme of the Quebec Conference gives me the same result as an elective Legislative Council; what cóntradiction is there in my returaing to the nominative principle, which I always preferred to the elective principle?, The conditions of equilibrium being the' same, I give the preference to the principle which confers on legislation the best guarantee of wisdom and
mature judgment. (Hear, hear.) Bat sup: posing-what is not the case-that I had contradicted myself, in what way coudd my contradictions have affected the merits of the question under discussion? If it can be proved that my opinions of to day are not based on reasonable grounds, let it be proved. If it cannot be proved, do not let anyone imagine that he has ansiswered me by saying: "Fou thought differently six jears ago." Because I reasoned in 1858 on hypotheses Which are controverted by facts to day, must It then, in order to appear consistent, adhere to those suppositions which . substantive truths so completely": contradict? (Hear, hear.) The hon. member for Hochelaga told us that the Constitution of the Belgian Senate is less couservative than that of the Legislative" Council which we propose to establish under the Confederation, because the menibers of the Belgian Senate are in part changed every four years. ${ }^{\text {To this I }}$ reply, that the conservative principle may be found elsewhere than in the manner of selecting the councillors or the senators, and that in Belgium it is found in the excessively high standard of qualification which is required of cañdidates for the Senateés so much so that only" men of large fortune, who are everswhere few in nember, can aspire to enter it. In Belgium the Constitution requires that there shall be one man qualified in every sis thousand souls of population, and that man must pas one thousand florins: of direct taxes. $\because$. Will it be said that the Belgian Seruate, so constituted; is not more conservative than our Legislative Council will be-the Belgian Senate, in which none can sit but very rich men and large laved proprictórs? (Hear, hear.) I am answered that one-half this Senate is renewed èvery four years, and that the Cromn may dissolve it at pleasure. But can the Crown prevent men of large fortune and large lauded proprietors from entering it? It is proved that it is with difficulty that there can bo found in the House of Lords any scions, of the great families who flourished there under Charies II.; but that House is congtanily recruited from among the territorial nobility and from among men who render great political or military servies to the state. By renewing it thas with the same elements, does the Crown take away its conservative character? (Hear, hear.) The hon. member stands in perpetual dread oi contlicts and disagreements. Supposing that the Honse of Lords had persisted in its opposition to
the Reform Bill in 1832, what would have happened if Wrletiam IV, had refused to overvhelm it by numerous nominations to the peerage? Does any one believe that it would have persisted to the last? No; after having long resisted, it would have bent before the storm which threatened to sweep it away: (Hear, hear.) In 1832 the struggle was between the great proprietors and the middle classes, who wished to make their way; for the English people, properly termed the populace, tave no. political privileges ; they are of no account in the Constitution, they hold no politcal position, and have no energy for the straggle, which, moreorer, would not be productive of any benefit to them. It resembles in no respect the populations of the great towns in France, which make and. unmake governments by insurréctions or revolutions. In Eingland it is the middele classes who make revolations or who threaten to make them. Growing richer daily, they advanae slowls but surcly. towards the securing of political privileges and ismmunities. .'The Radical sohool of Manchester at bottom wishes for nothing more, although it asserts that it is desirous of obtaining privileges for the people. If the great nobility, in 1832, offered such dotermined opposition to the Reform Bill; it was because thes feared that it would andihilate their inflaence and place them at the mercy of the will of the masses. But we have no caste here, and fortune, like political honors, is thie property of every man who labors to attain it. "Here every one, if he"chooses, sana almost without an effirt beecome a proprietor and posyoss the right of haring a deliberative voice in the discussion of national questions of the highest import aqces. 'To be a legislative conncillor it will bis sufficient to poisess real esiate of the value of four thousand dollars. The legislative councillors will furm part of the people, will live with the people and by their opinions, and will know and appreciate their wants; the only difference that there will be between them and the members of the House of Commons will be, that being appointed for life, they will not be as directly brought under esternal influence; that they will have more freedom of action and of thought; and that they will be able to jadge with greater calmness of the legislation which will be submitted to them. For what reason then would they provoke contests which woold neither be conducive to their interests: nor in accordanee with their feelings; they
will not, like the House of Lords, have privileges to save from destruction. In the Constitution they will hàve but one part to play; that of maturing legislation in the interests of the people. The hon. member for Hochelaga said in his last manifesto, and repeated here, that if we applied to England to amend our Constitution, we should expose ourselves to having alterations, for which we do not ask, made by some mischievous hand: The thing is possible I admit. It is possible, as it is also possible for the Imperial Parliament to change our Constitution with. out even waiting for us to take the initiative, as it did in 1840 , buit if there is any harm now in asking Great Britain for the Confederation of $\mathfrak{y}$ all the provinces, because she may subject us to something which is not contained in the scheme, why did the member for Hochelaga wish for constitutional changes in $1858^{\text {? }}$. Did he hope to change the Constitutional Act of 1840 without the concurrence of the Imperial Parliament? And will he be good enoygh to tell us by what superiatural proceeding he hoped to succeed in doing so? If there is danger in 1865, there must also have been danger in 1858. Why then should he, to day; imputexto others, as a crine that which he wished to do him. self then? Has ho forgotion all that? Dues he wish to deny it? Differing slightly from the Bourbons, he has learned nothing. and has forgotten everytbing. (Hear, and langhter.) To frighten us, he also spoke of dirent taxation, to which wo should have to submit, if we had Confederation. Now, in his constitutional scheme of 1858 , with which we are all acquainted, he gave to the Federal Goveriment the castoms revenue. We should, therofore, have had to have recourse to direct taxation to meet the expenditure of the local governments. The plan of Constitution which is submitted to us treats us better than that, for it gives us enough, and more than we require, to ensure the easy working of the local organizations:"

Hon. Ma. HOLTON-Hear! Hear!
Hon. Atty. Gen.: CARTIER-- Yes, hear! hear! just so!

Hos. Ma. CAUCHON-The hon. meinber for Ohateauguay, 矛ho cries "Mear, hear," ought to be satisfied if he thinks himself in the right ; for when he was Minister of Einance he told us that in order to fill up the deficit left by his predecessurs, he must necessarily have recourse to direct tazation. (Hear, hear.) The hon momber for Hochelaga has long wept over the mis-
fortunes of his country. He has long lamented; like Jeremiah, over the thought of the disasters which were overwhelming it. And at last; in 1858, enlightened by the intelligence of his luminons friend the member for Chateauguay, he thought he had discovered in direct taxation the remedy for the evils which were "bringing it to its grave, (Hear, hear.) But tọ-day ho rejects a scheme which may save the country without its being necessary to have recourse to this extreme and objectionáble remedy, (IIear, hear.). If the scheme becomes law, not only shall we have a sufficient reveñue to meet $t^{-}$our local expenditure, bat we shall also have a surplus with which, if We practise wise economy, to pay off by degrees the residue of the debt which will remain to us. The hon member for Hochelaga tells us that Lotver Canads will be burthened with a local debt of more than $84,500,000 ;$ but we have clear and palpable proof that the debt of Canada, deducting the part of the Sinking Fund which has been paid, amounts: to only $\$ 67,500,000$. Now our share of the Federal debt is established at $\$ 62,500,000$. There will consequently remain less than $\$ 5,000,000$ to be divided between the two Canadas, ard all the arguments of the hoa member will not change so incontestable a fact as this. (Hear, hear.) We do not get these figures from the Hon. Minister of Finauce. They are given to ns by a man who is porfectiy independent of all Ministers and of :1l parties-a man whom I m.yse!! formerly reproached with being too muca so.; Tallude to Mr. Langton, the Adatitor of Accounts. (Hear, hear.). We do pot yet know, it is true, how this debt of four million and some hundred thousand dollars will be divided between the stwo Canadas, but we do know, without any pussibility of doubt, thet the local revenues wilt belong to the local governments, and that they will amply suffice for all their requirements. "(Hear, hear.) The honorable member for Hochelaga complains that Upper Canada retains her public lands and what is owing to Goverament on those lands, and he maintains that Lower Canada ought to have her share of what those lánds produce. But did those lands beleig to us before the ution, and hạve we not our own public lands, together with the revenue acerning from them? Have we not more lands to seftle than Upper Canada? Sinces the discovery of our gold and copper mines the amount produced by the sale of our pablic
lands has increased fivefold, whilst Upper Canada has hardly any land left to sell: Let our mines be opened, and we shall find that We have no reason to envy Upper Canada. (Hear, hear.) Ererything is well adjusted ; for if we have a less considerable revenue than Upper Canada; our:population is also leas. numerous: "Upper Canada possesses a more considerable revenue, bat one which must diminish with the decrease of the quantity of land to be sold, whilst we have a revenue Which is "gradually inereasing. (Hear, hear.) The hon member would no doubt hand over the public lands to the Confederation so as to be in accordance with his plan of 185:, as set forth in the Montreal manifesto; but I am certain that Lower Canada docs not share his opinion. He talked to us also of marriage and divorce. He said "Now, you will not vote directly for divoree; but you vote to establigh divoree courts." Well: no one condemins divorce more than I do niyself, and I am convinced that the hou. member tor Hochelaga would accept if soouer thay 1 would. But if no mention was made of divores in the Constitution. if it was not assigned to the Federal Parliament, it would of aecessity belong the the local parliaments as it belongs to our hegislature now, although there is mut ond word reppecting it in the Unios Aet. For my part, I rould rather see thit power removed to a distance from us, since it muine exist somewhere in spite oi us." (Hear, hear.) These reasonings on the question of marriage are extriordinary to a degree, coming from a man holding a position at the bar, Thoy are so extraordinary, and so inconsistent with all logie and all law, thit I shall not take the tronble of controverting them. The explanations of the Governuent hive satis. fied me on that point:. The legislative power of the Federal Parliament in relation to marriage will only be that which is conferred by the Constitution, notwithztatiding the singular assertions of the honorable wember: (Hear, hear, and cheeps:)

On motion of Dr. Parker, the debate was thea adjourned.
. "
Tuesidy, 7 /h March, 1865.
The Order of the Day being read for resuming the adjourned debate on Confederation, -

Hon Atty. Gen. MACDONALD saidBefore the debate is resumed; I wish to say a Jew words. I would call the attention of the House to the telegram received to-day-which is rather confused in its terms-with reference to a debate in the House of Lords on the subject of the defences. of Canada. According to this telegram, "Earl DE GaEr, Secretary of State for War, admitted the importance of the question, bat regretted that any doubt should be expressed of the conciliatory intentions of the Americans. The Government would ask a yote of $£ 50,000$ for the Quebec defences, shile the Cariadians would undertake the defenees of Montreal and westward:". The aimuout, ${ }^{\prime}$ according to another statement, , is $\pm 30,900$. The figures are apparently a mistake for $£ 300,000$. My object in rising: was to state that so far as we could gather from this confused summary of the debate, the Inperial Goverument were about to aisk a a certain amionto for the defences of Quebece, while the Canadians 'would undertake the deftecee of Montreal and the country westward. I may state- it is quite true that the Iuperial Government made a proposition sone time ago to the effect that they were wiliing sud prepared to reconmend to Parinurent a vote tor the defence of Quebec, as is there stated, provided this province undertook tite defeuce of Montreal and points westward. Xezotiatious have begen going on on this question between thê lmperial Goverament and the Canadian Givernment ever sisce, and I think that there is every reasun to believe that these nefotiations will result wiost tavorably, and that arrangenents will be made in a maner such as to secure the defonce of Can.uda, both cast and west-in a guminer such as to eussiru the fullest protection to the country, and us at the same time will not press uaduly on the energies of the people: (Hear.) Sir, thase nedgotiations aru still proceeding -they have not yet coucluded-and it must b) obvious to every houorable nicunber who lis read this short synopsis of the debate in the Imperial Partianent, that it is of the . yrestest possible importance that Canada Slutid not be unrepresented in England at the jpresent tinie.? (Cheers.) It mast be efideat to all that some of the deading membery of the Administration should be in Luglaud at this juneture, for the purpose of attendian to Canadian interests, and of conclading these nerotiations without any loss of tiene whatever. (Heir, hear.) It is destrable, as I stated yesterday, that the trio questions. of Federation and Doleicice should be discoussed
at the same moment, and that the opportunity should be taken of exaetly ascertaining the position of British North America wilh respect to her degree of reliance on the Imperial Government in a political sensée, as well as with regard to the question of defence. Therefore, there should not be any loss of tiune whatever, and with that, view the Government would ask this HousQ-as the discussion has already yone on to a considerable length, and a great: many houorable gentlemen have speken on the subject-that it will offer no uddué delay in coming to a conclusion in this malter." Of course the Government would not attempt to shat down the floodgates against all uiscussion ; but they would merely ask and invite the House to consider the imporiance of as early a vote as the House can' propery y allow to be taken upou this question: It is for the Hoase to deteruine whether the Federation scheine which has been proposed by the Government and laid before the House is one which; with all its faults, should be allepted; or whether we shall be thrown upon an uncertain fature., In order that the Houso may at once coniv to an understanding in the matter; I shall,' as I stated yesterday, taki every possible step known to parliameutary usage to get a rote as soon as it:can con veniently be got, and I have thirefore now to move the previous question. (Ironical Opposition cheurs and counter clecering.) I move, sir, that the main question be now put. ( More checring.) Honorable gentlemen opposite know very well that my making this motion does not in any way stop the debite. (Hear, hear.) The House will be gratified to hear, ${ }_{3}$ and will still have an opportunity of heariny, from the honorable member from Chateanguay (Hon. Mr. Holyon), who cries "Hear, 'hear," an expression of opinion whether this scheme is so objcctionable that the House would be wise in rejecting it, with nothing now offered as a substitute, and no future to look to. "It will afford us all great ploasure to hear the honorable gentleman say whether we should adopt this sibeme. There is an inilependent motion on the paper of my honorablo friend trixin Peel (Hon. J. H. Caiserow). My motion does not interfere with that. :But if the House should consider that this scheme ought to be adopted, miy honorable friend will then have an opportunity of preposing his motion. (Hear, hear.)
The SPEAKER-If honorable gentlemen desire it, I will read the rule of the House as to the previous question: The 35th rule of the House is as follows:-" The previous
question, until it is decided, shall preclude all amendments to the main question"-(ironical Opposition "cheers)-" and shall be in the following word:-That this question be now put.' If the previous question be resolved in the adifmative, the original question is put torthwith, without amendment or debate." (Hear, tear.)

Hon. Mr. Cartier - Mr. Speaker, I second the motion: (Derisive Opposition cheers.)
$\because$ THE SPEAKER - The motion is that this question be now put.

- HoN Mr. HOLTON-I shall not on this oceasion, sir, make any remarks as to the mode of proceeding adopted by the Honorable Attorney General West; further than this, that a friend, an honorgble member of this House, intimated to me yesterday that this course was likely to be pursued by the Gove erament in order to crowd this measure through the House. I scouted the idea.: I thought it was impossible that a goverament, numbering in its ranks public men who have played a prominent part in the parliamentary history of this country for some years, could resoit tò so base a trick-(cheers and counter cheers)-after having introduced this measure in the manner they have done-after liaviag introduced it in a most uńparliamentary and unconstitutional manner-and seeing that amendments would be made to several of the propositions contained in the resolutions adopted by the Conference which assembled in Quebec; they shut off all opportunity to ainerd the measure by moving the previons question! (Hear, hear.) Why was it not stated by the Honorable Attorney, General West himself that we would be able to get at the senise of the House upon-every one of the propositions, by moving amendments? (Hear, hear:) In full confidence that that pledge would be kept, when my honorable friend who sits near me told me the had reason to believe that this very course was in contemplation, I repeat $I$ scouted the idea. (Hear, hear.) I shall not offer any further ubservations on this puint at the present tuoment, beyond remarking that if the objeet be to curtail debate, as the honorablè gentleman says it is-if his real motive be in truth to arruve at an early vote upon this question-his own statement shows how utterly futile his notica is to accomplish that cad. $\because$ It was nut at all. necessary that the honurable geatleman should have told us that wo may discuss the previous question. Wo are now, by a compact which I presume will not be
violated-although I do not know what attempt will be made next-we are practically in Committee of the Whole, with liberty to speak as often as we please on this question. Therefore, the object stated by the honorable gentleman cannot be attained, but another. object can be and will be attained-they will take their followers, whom they have already led on to do things of which they will bitterly repent when they come face tace with their constituents, and drag them still further through the mire- (cheers and counter cheers)-by depriving them of the opportunity of putting on record their views, even in the inconvenient form of amendments, upon the varions propositions which are proposed to be embodied in this Address to the Crown. (Hear, hear.) Sir, the honorable gentleman says that the information received by tele graph in reference to the defences renders it necessary that an early decision should be come to in the matter of Federation. "But what has been the course of the honorable gentlemen opposite, throughout this debate, when the subject of the defences has been referred to? When we have said-" Putus in possession of the necessary information' to consider the subject of the defences; which must be discussed in connction with the sclieme of Confetderation, ", what has been the reply ? Why; that there was no natural or necessary connection betweed the two subjects. (Hear, hear:) : Thus, when the honorable gentlemen were asked to bring down the information in regard to the defences, they have maintained that there is wo connection between the twa questions, but when they have a purpose to serve by so doing, they re: yerse their position and gay, "By all means rush this thing through with all possible speed, in order that the country may be placed in a position of defence." I think, sir, we are entited at this stage of the debate, and under these circumstances, to demand that all the information in possession of the Government in regard to the defences, should be taid before the House. . I believe there is no better recognized parliameatary rule than this, that When a Minister of the Crown rises in his place in Parliament and refers to despatches on matters of public importance, these der patches mast be laid before the House. It is founded on the same rule which prevails in our courts, which tequires that any paper referred to in evidence or argument; in order to be of use, mast be in the possession of the coart. I should like to agk the Hon. Attoracy General West the question-and I pause for
an answer-whether it is the intention of the Government, before pressing this resolation to a vote, to place the House in possession of the information for which I am now seeking?

Hon. Atty. Gen MACDONALD-It certainly" is not, and for reasons of the best kind.
Hon. Mr. HOLTON - The honorable gentleman" says it certainly is not. And yet he asks us to give a vote, in view of information which he "withholds; not merely' on the question of the defences, but of Confederation as well. $\because$ If "the honorable gentleman had used the arguments for srithholding - information which he has put forth, it the proposition were simply a money rote to place the country in a state of defence, there might be some reason in it, but he is usiag them to induce us' to sote for a politicat scheme embracing all gitts of things other than the question of Hefence The position the hon. gentleman uow assumes is ugconstitutional; bat being unconstitutional, it is in perfect ket ping with the whole course of this Administration siuc - its formation in June last; when it mitiated its existenc: by pleding the Crown, itreiwritten document, uot to exercise the pretogative" of dissolution until another sestion of this Parliament should have been held: (liear, trear.) I say that their courne in the iustance is in keeping with every step they have taken aince their formatiou Well, sir, I have put one question tot the Hoti. Cturneg Geaeral West, aud.I propose uow, with the leave of my bon. frend the quentier for Sorth Wellington, who: is catitied to the flow, to put another question. He may answer it or nots as he thinks proper; but the conatry will draw, ite own anference frotia his reply. Yesterday, he stated that in consequence of the result of he Nere Brunswick elections, it had become tolerably apparent that this meheme had teceived its tirst check. In other words, he sumitied plainly that the result of the Nens Brunswick elections was adverse to the scheme." I may add, that he knows very well a majority of the present Parliament of Novis scotia is adverse to it.

Hon Ma. BROWN-No! no!
Hon. Mar HULTON-I say, yos; and in the Istand of Prince Edward, there is no probability whatever of the scheme being accepted. Well, notwithstauding this, he says that he shall press this measure to a vote. A question way put yesterday, which
was answered; but there is some misap: prehension as to the purport of the answer, and I think it will be admitted to be a question in regard to which there should not be any misapprehension whatever.

HoN. J. S: MACDONALD-You could not understand it.

Hon. Mr: HOLTON-Well, I admit the obtuseness of my understanding. The question $I$ desire to ask the leader of the Government is this-Is it the intention of the Góvernment to press for Imperial legislation, under the Address which they are now inviting the Husse to adopt, affecting the Lower Provinces, or any of them, with. out the concurrence of those provinces? That is the question I desitre to ask the hon. gentleman."
HoN. ATrY Gen. MAODONALD-It is not the inteation of the Couadian Government to press the Iniperial' Government to pass any act whateyer.

Hon: Mr. HOLTON-Theu clearly the hon. gentleuan was misunderstood yesterday. He then stated that it was of the higheat possible ' importance this meavare should pass without delay, in order that the Ministry might go houne and consult with the Imperial Government in respect to the bill to be introduced to give effect to this Addréss.

HoN. Mai. BROWN-And that is correet.
Ma RANKLN-The Guverniment do not intend to. "press" for Imperial legislation.

Hon. Ma. HOLTON-L do mot want to quiblle about mere wiords. What I want to kaw $\mathrm{i}-$-whether, "in pursuance of this Addres, houn. geutiomen intend to usk, or have auy reasun to expect that the Imperial "Luvernacat- Mon. Mr. Brown-:Oh!' oh ".")-or haye any reason to expect that the Imperial Guyerument will legislate without the conourrence of the Lowor Provinces? Whecher, in point of fact, it the concurreace of the Lower. Yrovinees be wichheld from the sebeme of tho Conterence; lie has reason to belicive that legislation can be had thereon? ${ }^{\text {P }}$ I desire to know, first, whether he inteads to ask for such legislation; and, second, whether be chinke it can be had?
hon. Atty. Gen MACDONALD-1 think the House, and even the hon. gentleman hiniself, must see the unceasodableness of the questiou be uask, which is, whether I have any expectation that the British Government will euact sime compulsory law againgt the will of the Lower Pro-
vincess on the question of Federation. All that I can say is. that I have no better means of forming an opinion on the subject than the bon. gentleuran himself. $\therefore$ Whàt I stated yesterday I repeat to-day that the Canadian Government, kowing that the opinion of the people ôf New Brünswick has been expressed against Federation, would eubrace the "earliest opportunity of discussing with the Imperim Goveriment the position of British North Auerica, especially with reference to the present state of affars in Canada, eontaining a population of fourfifths of the people of Britinh Nooth America, in intavor of Federation, as ngainst New Branswick, with a population of two hundied odd thousand against it. In diseussing the questiou with Mer Majerty's Iuperial advisers, we shall probably enter into the consideration of the "whole matter; but what the nature of these discussions may be. or what they will lead to or will not lead to. I canuot possibly say They may lead to conclusious, $k$ ut what those er nelúsinta may be no mertal man ean tell. We camion say to what conclusions the limperial tioverbmentimay eome (Ifear, har.)

Hon. Ma. MolTON-1 am obliged to the honorable genteman for his cuurteous answer.' I think it in, on' the whole, a satistactory answerbecause the anower planly unplies this, that without the concurrence of the Lower Provines the menare cannat go on." That is the phain implicatho. We: Euow well that we shalt not thave the con"currence of the bower Provinces, and there. tore it $i$ absurd to ask this House to gite a measare which the honorabile gentemen themelves as they have riber one after avother in the course of tho debate, have decliared to be an impertect mesure-a measurfe of a gipromine-norsuch a meanire as they, m many respecte, dersred and alluorated, but a nieasure whech they had concurred in for the purpese of inducing the Lower Provinces to becos parter to it Why, I ask; should this Heware be colled upon to vote for the objectionable cituren of a scheme, when there 'ta no tunger aby reasón tor such a vote-when it is adnitted that the Lower Provinces, tor whone sake
 'will nut consent and canuot be cocred nute it: (Hear; hear.) The Hoi President of the council told the people of Turento, at the banquet recently held there that the was entirely opposed to the new coustatution of the Legrslative Council, and that be op-
posed it in the Conference. We khowalso that that feature of the scheme is very objectionable to the whole of what might one have been called the Liberal party, but the Hon, President of the Council has destroyed that party, and it is not, perhaps, right to speak of it as the Liberal party any longerthey are only now to te known as those who once ranged themselves together, in Upper and Lower Canada, under the Liberal banner, The Hon President of the Council stated that as representing in the Conference the Liberal: party of Upper Ganada-the Liberal party of Lower Canada having no representation in the Conference at all-as arepresenting the Liberal party of Epper Canada, the party frum that section which is in a large majority in this House, the honorable gentle:" man stated that he was oppnsed to this feature of the scheme-a feature" which is known to be as unpalatable to a large majorits of this House as it is to the hon. gentleman "himself. "I merely mention this to illiastrate my argunent. Why should hen gentlemen. who were disposed to accept this scheme as a whole, notwithstanding these objectionable features-who were diw posied to accept it, on the grounds set forth by their leaders, as a measure of conpromise -why, I ask, she uld they now be called upon ta vote in opposition to their convictoobs merely to gratify the aminoryporer of the hon gentlemen on the Treasury buches, whose disire it is to carry through the llouse an dddress which. by their own "admission made to this" House n nust be of uoneffect? (Hear, hear.)

Hon Atry Gen MACDONALD-Bus that it will be the coontitution of this couifry, I am satintied.

How Ma HOLTOX-The ton gentle man botats that it will be the Constitution of this cuntry:
 What 1 meant was, of all Britist North Auèrica.

Hon Mh HULTOX-The hiop gente. man said " of this country." the hons gentleman, therefore, admits that if he fails of procuring the concarrence of the Lower Proviaces to the measur-that if they cunnot be brought into the soheme for teconstructiag their Goveraments-th y ary gong to ask the Iuperial Government $\omega$ ivund a Constitution for the two Canadas upua these resolations.

Hun Atty. Gen MACDONALI-The
hon. gentleman has drawn erroneous inferences from what I stated. : When I said I had no doubt that the resolutions now before the House would be the Constitution of this country, I meant to say I had no more doubt than that I stand here that it would be adopted not only by̆ Canada, but. by the other provinces.

HoN Mr. HOLTON-Ah! The hon. -spatleman has "no doubt:"

Hon. atry Gen. MACDONALD-You' ane trying to twist my words; but go on.

How Me. HOLTON-I have not had the same traiaing as the honorable gentleman in the way of word twisting I take his rords in their plain and literal seuse. He says he has no doubt that these resolutions Imill form the Constitution of this country. Then, sir, why do not hotiorable geatlemeu keep faith? Why does not the Hon. President of the Council, in an especial manner, keep tuth with his party, by giving as the soheme. which he pledged himself, in the evert of such a contingency as this, should " be bruyitht down during this session of Parliawent? "The houorable gentleman does not find it convenient to answer. I confess I did not expect an answer; but pevertheless. I thought it destrable to put the question to him. I' eyually with other menbers of the Hiuse, can drave my inferedes from his silence. He knows very well it is a violativu of the programaie under which be entered the Government; aud well he knows. that it is a departure from the avowal which constituted, I will not say his justification; but his sole excùse for occupying the e, seat which he now fills. The question is now asked whether it is intended by the Governmeut to go to England and ask the Imperial Parliament to establish a Coustitution for this country, the principles of which have aever been cousidered, because we are conmilerion now the scheme of Federation for the whole country?

Hon. Atty Gen Macdonald-I stated that the first thing to be done by the fivernment; ia the summor session, would be to'submit a measure for fully carryiug out the programme:- First, carry Confederation, and when we wet again we would bring in a scheme ; tor the local governmeats of Upper and Lower Canada.

Hon Mr HOLTON-Yes, lucal governuents. I am obliged to the honorable gentloman for reminding me of the local governments; but I was speaking of the

General Government of Canada. (Hear' hear:) 1 think it tollows irresistibly from the admission of the hon. gentleman to-day, that it is their intention to seck a general Constitution for Canada under these resolutions, without ever having submitted that question to the House. Well, sir, there is another reason perhaps for the course taken by hon. gentlemen yesterday and pursued to-day. It had always been a theory of $m$ own-perhisp it has not'yet been demon-' strated by facts-

Hen. Atty Gen MACDONALD-: Hear, hear:-

How. Mr. HOLTON-I say it has always been a theory of my own, and facts are rapidly demonstrating the truth of that theory, that this Government was formed in consequetice of the emergencies of certain gentlenein whö were in office, and desired to retain office, and of certain other gentlemen who were out of office and who desired to come in. I believe that the whole constitu-tional difficulties, or alleged constitational dificulties, of this couatry arose trom the persoual ir rather the political emergencies futa which certain hon. gentlemen found thenselves. from causes to which I shall not row advert. (Itear, hear.) Well, sir, feeling that this scheme has failed - feeling that the pretest upon which they have held office for six or nine montls is abjut to fail them, they devise other means, is a sort of lure to the country, whereby office may be kept for a further period.: I admit the dexterity with which the thing is done-a dexterity for wheh the Hen. Attorney General West has long been famous in this country. His theory is: " Take care of to-day-when to-morrow comes we will see what can be dune"-and by adhering to this maxim he has managed to leagthen out the term of his political existence. That, I believe, will be ackuowledged to be the theory upon whieh the hon. gentlewan aets.

Hon: Atty Gen. MACDONALD-And a very sensible theory it is. (Laughter.)
"Hon: Mr. HOLTON-A sensible theory no doabt it is. I am glad to hear that the hon. gentleman does not deny the fact ; but while admitting that he has achieved a considerable measure of success in this: way, whether, after all that success, he has earned the highest kind of reward of a public life"whether there is anybody who speaks" or thinks of the hon. gentleman as a statesuran. may perhaps be doubted. It is admitted
hat he is an adroit manager-his management being based on the theory of doing to-day what must be done to-day, and of leaving till to-morrow whatever can be deferred. I doubt, however, after all; whether, when the hon. gentleman comes to review his career, he will be satisfied that that sort of policy brings with it the highest rewards of put lic life.

Hen Atty. Gen MACDONALD-I shall be quite satisfied to allow the hon. member for Chateauguay to be my biographer (Laughter.)

HoN. Mr. HOLTON-But while that has been his theory and his practice, and a certain de $y$ rec of $s$ :ceess has attended it: I would like to ask the Hon. President of the Council whether he has heretofore acted upon that theory, and whether he can quite afford to: act upon it now? Most of as remeniberthose of us who the been fur a few years in public life in this country, must remember a very striking speeeh delivered by the hon. member for South Ox ford \% Honurable Mr . Brown), in Toronto in the session of 1850 or 1857-he has delivered many striking. , speceches in his time, but this was one of the unost striking-in which he described the path of the Hon. Attorney General West as being studded all along by the grave stones of his slaughtered colleagues." (Hear, hear.) Well, there are not wancing thuse who think they desery in the not pery remote distance. a yawning grave waiting for the noblest victim of them all. (Laughter.) And I very much fear, that unless the hon. gentleman has the courage to assert his own original strength-and he has great strengthand to discard the blandishmeitis and the sweets of office, and to plant himself where he stood formerly, in the affections and confidence of the people of this country, as कhe foremost defender of the rights of the teople, as the foremost champion of the privileges of a free Parliament-unless he hastens $t$, do that, I very much fear that he too may tall a victim-as I have sail, the noblest victim of them all-to the arts, if not the arms, of the fell destrojer. (Laughter.) I desire, as I am on my feet-and ain not at all certain that I shall, under the new phase of thiags, trouble the House with any length. ened observations-I desire to say a dew. Words on the merits of this question of defence. Of course I hold, as I presume every man in this country holds, that the people that will not defend themselves are unworthy of free institutions." I hold
that we must defend ourselves against all aggressors, in the best way we can.. I think the policy we have been pursuing for some years past, of enrolling our people and training them to the use of arms and in-military exercise, and in the ir'structing of officers who might lead them, should necessity re-quire-I think all that is sound policy I would even go somewhat' further in that direction than we have gope heretofore. But if honorable gentlemen propose thar we should establish a standing army-that we should" equip a navy-that, "we should go into a costily system of permanent fortifica: tions, they are proposing what' is beyond the strength of the country-they are proposing what will speedily bring financial ruin on the country-and by bringitig financial ruin on the country, and by creating thereby dissatisfaction among the people, they will prepare the way to that very eveat which they profess so strongly to deprecate. I telieve, if it has not that effect, it will certainy result in depopulatiog our country. Already the work of depopulation is going on.

HoN: Mr: BROWN-Oh! oh!
Hon Mr. HOLTON-Throughont the whole of the western counties of Canada; at the present moment, there is a greater amount of fancial distress and of méleise: than I have known for twenty five years.' I challenye the honorablegentlemen aroundme to contradiet the statement. Aed I say we are not in a pusition to stand very great additional burdens on our resources.' (Hear!. hear.) Then what is the condition of our finances? The honotable gentleman who presides over our finances did uot venture the other day to dispute the statement I made, that every branch of the revenue was falling off, and that we had an inevitable deficit for this currevt year staring us in the face. Is it not so?

Hon Ma GALT-The hon. gentleman may repeat his owna stat ment, but be must not pat it in my wouth.

Hon Mi. HOLTON-The hun gentleman did not vetture to deny it, and I thought the gravity of the statement "was such that he would have denied it, if the cuuld.

Hon. Mr. Galt-Make your statement on your own responsibility, not mine

Hon. Ma. HOLTON-I'hea, I say, on my own responsibility, that every branch of the revenue has been falling of since the ${ }^{\circ}$
beginning of this year, except the comparatively small amount from bill stamps.
Hon: Mr. GALT - Do you say every brancn of the revenue, with the exception you mention?
Hon: Mr HOLTON-Yes.
Hon. Mr. GALA-Then - you will be shewn that it is not so, when you sit down. (Hear, hear.)

HoN. Mr. HOLTON-Of course I shall be glad to hear it.. That is the sort of information we want before we give hon. gentlenied a vote of credit, and allow them to go to England to do ast ey please for the nextsix months. It may be that the revenue has been brought up within the last few weeks from accidental causes: A rumor got abroad that the Hon Finance Minister intended to make "a change in the duties, and in two or three of our large cities a rush was made to the bouding warehouses, "in order to save the additional amount that would be exacted by the change in duties. This, no doubt, increaced the receipts for the time being, and it is juyt pussible that from that cause the revenue may have regained something of what it had lost daring the earlier weets of the present year. Then, too, the state of our securities in England-which was so much improsed, according to the statement of the Hon. Presilent of the Comanil, by the action of the Quebec Conference,-is now auything indeed but satisfactory. I beliere that with th exception of the point they twuched at one time in October, or early in November, and which they touched then for a very brief space-they are lower now and haye been lower for a longer time than they have been at any period befure since the union I think, therefore, we are not in a position to impose heavy and unmeasured burdens upon our people, for the purpose of establishing a standiag army, or for the purpose of construeting permanent fortifications. (llear, hear.) But'I haye said more than I tutended when I rose, and shall no longer deprive my hon. friend from North Welling. ton (Dr. Pabker) of the floor.

Hung Dr, BROWN-I shall detain the House bue a very fer minutes in replying to the hoi. geatleman who his just taken bis seat. As regards his statement that the revenue has fallen of to the extent of which he speaks, in every branch, it is entirely erroneous. It will be shewn when the proper cime comes, when the House is asked to grant supplies, that the revenue is very far from being in the hopeless position which
the hon gentleman has stated. And I apprehend his assertion with regard to the condition of the province is as greatly exaggerated as his other statement. It is very true that many portions of our country unfortunately labor at this moment under considerable depresaion; but no intelligent person, who considers the circumstances, will think that this is at all extraordinary. We are alongside a country engaged in a fearful war. Our commercial relations with that country; with which we usually have immense transactions, are very greatly distarbed. "Then we have had short erops for several years, and our banks are all very properly under close-reefed topsails. These and other causes tave contributed to produce the stagnation that now exists, and general disposition to curtail business operations. (Hear hear:) But with all this-notwithstanding the scarcity of money, and a yrood deal of dmbarrassment and suffering from its scarcity-I yenture to affirm that the great branches of cur patignal industry wure never on a suander basia; that busioess, men have not for jears owed les's debs than at this moment; and when a better state of things sets in, the evils of which the hon. gentleman speaks will not be fousd to have been very deepseated: (Hear, hear.) The hon gentleman is exceedingly anxious that 1 should fulal the pro uises I made to the country at the time I entered this Administration. The hon. gentleman', I think, woud show a little more discretion if he allowed we to judge for nyself of the best way in which I should falfil those promises." When, in the short space of six months, the Giryernment have come down with a matured scheme, involving such impertant changes, aud placed it before Parliament in the, erndid way in which they have submitted it, I think the country has no good cause to complain, cither of time having been tost in the fulfiment of my promises, or in the manner of fulfilling them (Hear. hear.) And I think it iil-becomes the hon. gentleman-when he has heard it declared that, notwithstanding, what has occurred in New Brunswick, we still adhere to the basis on which the Goverument was formed-that all we ask is time to ascertain how our scheme "can best be carried into effect-and that in the brief perio 1 of a very few weeks we will be prepared to meet Parliament again; aud declare the result of our enquiries-I do say it ill becomes an honorable gentleman; professing to be in favor of constitational changes, to get up
here and endeavior to create an unfounded prejudice againgt those who are thus shewing in every way their determination to discharge fully and promptly their duty to the country. The hozorable gentleman says Ihave broken up the Liberal party: He says there was a Liberal party in Upper Canada and a Liberal party in Lower Canada, who were acting cordially together, and that I have destroyed the harmony which existed between them. I shill not enter icto that discussion nowt. The time will conte when it can be fully gone into without danger to public interests, and I promise the honorablo geatlema to give him his answer: But I have this to state in the meantime to the honorable gentleman, that I think it is not for 'him at least to throw such taunts across the table, when he recollects that in a speech he made in this House only last session, on the anouncement of this Coalition, he stated that he could make no complaint as to the course I had taken ${ }_{j}$ that under the circumstances I'could only actas I bad done. (Hear, hear.) If he can find any act of mine in contradiction of the coulse I took then," he Has a right to blame me.. But so long as I an carrying out in good faith the pledges I gave to the country, to my'supporters, and to this House, it is not from that hooorable gentleman at all eventes that any charte against wie shquld come. (Hear, hear.) The thonorable gentleman says that the proposal for a union of all the colonies has tailed." I totally den, it. (Hear, hear.) I am not prepared to admit-I do not believe-that the represecitatives of New Brunswick, when the sub'Ject is tiariy discussed in Pauliaueat, and the proposition has been presented in all its lights, will reject it. When they do so, it will be time enough for the honorable gentleinan to assert that the scheme has failed. "Sirange. indeed would it have been had so lange a scheme suffered no check in its progress-but stranger still would it be were the promoters of the ueasure to abandon it from such a cheek às this. (Cheers.). The honorable uiember for Chateauguay is mistaken also when he asserts that the majority of the uembers of the Nova Scotia Legislature are against this measure of Confederation.

Hon. Mr. HULTON-I believe so.
Hon. Mar BROWN-Having heard that the honurable member for Hoohelaga hail miade such a statement to this House--

Hon. Ma. DORION - On the best authority.
Mr. A. MACKENZIE-Give us your authority.

Hon. Mr. BROWN-I think it better not to ask for the houprable gentleman's authurity; or to use ary names in such a mattor as this. But I wish to say that the moment I heard: that the statenient had been made, I telegraphed to a friend in the Nova Scotia Le gislature, and received an answer entirely contradicting the statemènt which had been made.

Hon. Mn. HOLTON-Why then don't they go on with the question?

Hos. Mr. BROWN-I apprehend it is for them to decide when they shall go onwhat is the right moment for them to goonand not for the honorable member for Chatenuguay; who is entirely opposed to this measure.

HoN Me DORION-There is strong presumptive evidence in favor of my authority against yours'

Hon, Ma BROW -That I must leave to the House to judge. The hanorable mem. ber for Chateauguay says the motioumade by the Hon. Atwruey General West dues not neet the point at which it is aimed, nately, to bring this debate to a speedy conclusiun. He says it may cut off anneadments, but that"it will not stop debate. But that is an entire wistake. It is the only mode by which the debate can speedily be brought to an end.

Hon. Mr. DORION-Honorable gentlemene opposite want to stop the debate, besides stupping the amendments. That is the object.

How. Ma. BROWN-If the hunorable member for Hochelaga had waited till he had heard me out, he would have found I had no such meaning. With regard to the main proposition, honorable gentlemen may speak as long as they like. so long as the House does not come to the conclusion that the time has arrived for getting a vote upon that, they can talk.

How J. S. MACDONALI-Thank jou!
Hos Mr BRUWN-Of course, no one can prevent them.. And, so tar ay $I$ am concerned, I can assure the hotiorable menber for Cornwall that I have no desire to prevent: hini or any one dise from being heard to the fullest extent they desire. But; since the beginning of this debate, we háve constantly seen incidental yuestions raised and the same members getting up night after night to make long speeches upon them and kill time, to a degree never witnessed before, I venture to assert, in this or in auy other legislative body. And it is evident that if this motion were not put, we should have these debates continued on a variety of amendments, and
that this discussion would be kept up to an extent which would utterly frustrate the prompt accomplishment of those great purposes for which this Government was formed. (Hear, hear)

Hon. Mr. EVANTUREL As one of the friends of the present Administration, I must say that I am surprised by the conduct of the Government and the extreme position in which they choose to place themselves. For iny part, I am in favour of the principle of Confederation, and one of those who maintain that by means of that principle the rights and liberties of each of the contracting parties may be preserved; but, on the other hand, I am of opiaion, and I do not disguise it from myself, that it may be so applied as to endanger and even destroy, or nearly so, the rights and privileges of a state which is a party to this. C'oufederation. Everything, therefore, depends on the conditions of the contract. As a friend, "of the Administration' I can undorstand, as' well as any one, that any Confederation and particularly such a oue as this which is no laid betore us, can only be brought about by uevany of a compromise ; and, on this account, Mr. Speaker-and it is probably needless to proclain it here-I am ready and dPosed to go to as great a length as it is possible for any man to go, I am also one of those who, when we are called upon to unite, ander the agyis of a strong government, the different proviaces of British North Anerica, and when I see that the general interest calls for such a union; , will give my cordial support to all who seck to estiablish such a government. I shall always be prepared to meet them halfway; but when the question assumes a differeat stiape, ay it now does, and when, in con-: sequence' of the erents, announced to this House yesterday, the Constitution proposed to us seems to concern none but the provinces of Upper and Lower Clanada, I say, Mr. Speaksh, that the compromise between the differcat provinces no louger existing, we are no louger called apon to be so generous. I say that if we admit that Now Brunswick, by itw receut repudiation, and Nova Scotia and Prince. Edward Inland are no longer parties to the cuatraote agreed on between the provinces, and we have now to aste of Eagland to modily the Constitution only" in relation to the two Canadas, I say that: the conditions are no loager the same as they concern us-- (hear, hear)-and that I am on that noco unt much less disposed to allow the Government w proceed to prosert in Englaind, as the basis of our fueare Constitation, tise resolutions.
which we have been compelled to accept in very unfavorable ciroumstances. I do not hesitate in saying that the position assumed by the Goverament' is a very dangerous one for themselves, and for those who would gladly assist them to pass a good scheme of Coafederation. If 1 understand aright; the intention of the Goverament, in moving the previous question, is to place their friends in the awkward position of not heing able to move any modification of the plan. In our altered position we are going, therefore, to Sgy to England that we were obliged to submit to such and such concessions in order to come to an understanding; ; that the other provinces have backed out of the bargain, notwithstandiag these onerous concessions and the compromise which we were obliged to make, and which have not been accepted by the other parties; and that; in the face of all this, we come to pray that our Constitation may be altered, go as to accord with those very same onerous conditions which we had accepted at the Quëbec Conference. Why tie us down so strictly now? Why should we not avail ourselves of the retrogression of the provinces to make alterations in the soheme which "will be less onerous for us? I think it my duty to deolare that the Government, in acting as they have done, place their friends in a very awhward pósition. For my part', Mr. Speaker, I ain strongly in favor of Confederation and am ready to support the Government in their efforts to. release the chariot of the state trome the position in which it now lies; but I wish, on the other hand-and I think it is but bare justice to say. it-I wish that Ministers should place us in such a position before the country, that: I and all others may be able to say that. we have done our best to improye the situation. This is why I so deeply regret that the Government have thought fit to take their present arbitrary attitude. (Hear, hear:) I icknowledge; with the Aduninistration, that time is precious; but we ought wot,' in aveiding one danger, to risk falling into another. I' acknowledge. also that the course of events which has taken place within a few days gives reason to apprehend that British rule in the provinces of ${ }^{4}$ British North Americia may cease altogether in a few years. I admit all these dangers, Mr: Speaker; but on the other hand I do not conceal from myself that the oxtreme position in which we are placed does not tend to diminish them: On the contrary, I am greatly afraid that if public opinion be too deoply stisred by the imposition of now

Constitution, without liberty on our part to amead it, the danger will be increased rather than diminished. So fur, Lower Canada has "sufficiently showed, by the voice of her leaders, that she to prepared to mate all possible concessions; bit atter that, would it, be prudent to reuder her dissativfled by denying us the right of maditying the proposed plin in souse degree. We have beea obliged, in order to satisfy the public mind. to allege and truly, that the Ministry had be"en eompelled to make some eoncesions to the protine tor the general satistaction; but now that the "contracting parties to the plan af Cunfederation retreat from their cogageinents, after having imposed on us compronises and exveted coucessions, why should we, at a critical time like the present, proced to submit our poition to the Inperial Parliathent, exactiy as if the Pro vinces had beenitrue'to their pledees? I am of opinion, Mr. Speaker, that this is asking "too much of us, and that as the hoiver provinces ar: evidently no louger: ia the mind to be united with us, we French Canadians should be grealy in the wrong at we preseated our case with the same eodadituns as we were led to accept, in emuphave with the requirenonte of the sister collonfes. I. think that both Epper and Lower Canda are now. entitled to present themselves much inore favorably beture the Luperial Parlia: ment, and that" they uay siy-..These are concessions mheth we had anade, it as frue, for the sake of the conurg good, but the Maritime Provinets harepar'gone bicte trom their engagenetats and therr prestut desine is ember to remain tudefendent or to enter the dmericau Republe! Whe havedbae varduty, and we are still ready sio remain thithful to our eugagenemts, which we hitud entered "into with the contenctiag partixs", But as chey gave us up, and the cuacesions whith we made are unt teem held by thein to be sufficieat, we are cume to piedid our owa cause betore you, and to tell j vu that the interests. - of Lower Canada now reguire better zuaraptees than we had beea obliged to aceept from the Marinue Proviuces, for the wate of coming to an amicable coticlanivn. $\therefore$. Te nots conie to request chat Enghand will be more favour: able whs and relleve is frum our diticultes by makiag constitutioual changes less disad. vantageous to us.". In such a case; 1 believe that the Imperial Guyernmeat would not venture to impuse a Coistrtution an us without our consent, but would be livourable to our wishes. That the Freach Canadians are all loyal subjects of Her Britaanic Majcsty,
no one will doubt; but it would be an act of folly on the part of English statesmen to impose on them a Constitution which they would reject or very strongly resist. I say this out of a feeling of loyalty, for I know that there aro statesmen in Eugland tho understand that the loyalty of Upper and Lower Canadians most depend on their being satisfied with their new Constitution. How would it benefit England to give us a Constitution which might suit her, as tending to perpetuate her rule in Lower Canada, but which would out be at the same time satisfactory io the inyjority in both Cpper and Lower Canada? A spirit of discontent would be soon aroused which would cool our zeal in defending our country: This is a selfevident truth intelligible to all the world. I trust, therefore, Mr. SPEAKER , that if the measure of Confedération is passed, it will not be forced upon us, without the present House having an opportunity of weighing its "merite, and ameading it. I am pros pared, I confess, to go us far as any man, and to make the greatest concesions, to extricate the country from its dificulties, and come to good understanding that we tray. make sure of a Confederation with the iningense advantages which it might bring with it; but I an bound to confess; when I am told, in preveice of the eveats which have juit passed. that ve mast submit to the conditions iapised in ' ut by' the contracting partios; who have, so soon ufter making tit refused to ratify it-1 say that 1 think it wrong to te down Lower Cinida absolutely to the first conditions. I wish the extreme pasition which the Government have taken up in the face of the country may be productive of the greatest anount of good to it, but, for my part, Mr. Speaker, cannot help thinking and confessing that 1 have rery, strong fears "ou that subject." It seems to me that in the present circumstances, the tiovernment' onght to have granted the fullest opportunity, both to. Upper and w Lower Canada, to make such sughestions as they might think fit, and not to insist on the adoption of the scheme in its present form. By such a proceeding they would have atiorded nexubers who have amesidu'ents to move a fair and constitutional way of setting them: selves right in the opiaion of their fellows countrymen, by recording them at least on the Juiurnals of the House. The position in which we aro placed is tantamount in its effects to the ery of "all or nothing". But, Mr. Speakes, I have always been averse to such s gystem; and if we: look back to our past
history, we shall find that it has never produced aught but lamentable dissension: (Hear, hear.) "What is the present cry of the Opposition as regards the scheme of Confederation? It is this :' you refuse an appeal to the people;'; you'most unjustly hiurry on the debate; you deny us all opprertunity of moving aniendments to the plan, or recording them on the journals of the House ; and you are bent on imposing on us, without our consent, a Constitution no detaii of which is made known ito us, pod of the general tenor of which our Knowledge is also yery imperfect. Now; Mr. Speaker, I beg to ask Ministers whether it would not be intinitely better for them to quiet all these appreheusious, and silence all com-phants?-Why should they hurry on the debate, I do not say unconstitutionally, but I do say with dangerous precipitancy? Why should they bur the noving of any aneodmient to the sebeme, paiticultrly as there is nothing pressing in the occasion, and its the aspect of the question is in many respectsoltered from what it wis previous to these late evints?" I shatll probably bee told that I anm wrong in saying there is nothing presoing in the occ.sion; that, on the contrary, events reader the inumediate passing of the measure absolutely necessary ; that the detince of our fronter is a yuistion which must be settled it once-that there is not a moment to be lost.
 prt, that it l wote in favor of the scheme of Coufederition, it is not out of a leelitys of the necesisty of settiag about our detence; for hitherio I buve never gad a thought that the Cootederation of the provinces utfiorded any better means of defendiag the fronter than that which we haveat prisent-(hear, hear)hassunch as we have already uill epporturity of combined action to the tullest extent under the protecting arm of Eupland; but this seevis' not to have enterd the minds of the duthors of the scheme. - But I go further than this, and assert" hat the disetssion which is ditity guing on on the subject of the proponed coustitutuoul changes is uitating the pubuc uitid very stropely. As at a former epuch of our hatory, such chiages necessarily lend ter dis. turb the minds of the many; and this very natural afitution is attended with its dugiers, and atheds anotlier jriot that consthtutionsare not the work of a day-that tine ${ }^{\circ}$ and even a gratedeal ut thas, is nceessary tobethe the fuadsthan of the sociat and oonstitution.al editice of the best disposed of the nations. The present Constridion of Great Britain is a prouf ot this. That is certanuly, well established,
but it has taken ages to bring it to what it now is:- I say, then, that we shouid not be in too great a hurry; so as to raise discontent among the people, but that we onght to proceed with the more care and deliberation now that, as the Mini ters themselves acknowledge, we arc-iii imminent danger of war: If we are so liable to have war, I say that we are not in the best condition to undergo a sudden change of our Constitation," and that far from placing ourselves in a good attitude of d fence to meet the inminent danger, we are perhaps weaken: ing our position, by acting too strongly or prematurely on public opinion. I say then again, that those who would force our $r$ presentatives to accept the neasure* without amendments, for the bare reason that we mu $t$ prepare to defen ourselves in armis without loss of time, are acting without justibiable or suffieient reason. I regret deefly that the previous question has been woved, so as to reduce the friends of the Goveroment to the necessity of voting on the measure before us without being .able to move any anenduent, and that in the face of a total ch uge of circumstances I pray for the torgiveness of the House for having spoken ou the subject, but I cousidered it a duty to protest at one against the proceeding of the Governnent which I bud uot foreseen. I shall vote therofore against the motion before us, bicuuse I am in favor of ameudiug the scheme of the Constitution, laying ou the Goventiment the whole respousibility for their conduct it they persist in deny ing us din opportunity of uaking some moditications in the piesent play of Confederation.

HuN. AtTx Gen CaRTIER-I aniglad that the hua uember for the county of Quebee has, with his customary candor, comimusicated to as bis apprehenslatas. I have histene to him with great atheution, and I an certain that there as uo diftereace hetween his views and ours. We "re pertictly agreed. (Hear, hear, and laughter.) t kuew perfecty well, Mr Speak en, be:ure I huse tw eive explauations to the hourusember tor the county of Queb ef and to Whe Huise, that the tew worus 1 have just utered would excite the tuagtiter of the Uppositiva; for the mumert these hov. geucler en see a member who is usurtly a suppurter of the Coverament, riso dir thas. House and speak wath some derre of anima: nou on any measure of the diucriment, they are rady to conclude, rom hat annisatiou, that the hon. member is nuposed to the measuré. I say again, Mr. Sl'minek,
the Government is, in the present case, perfectly of the same mind as the hon. nember for the county of Quebec. If they now request that the House would hasten their decision on the grand question of a Confederation of all the British Provinces of this continent (not of the two Canadas, as the hon member tor the county of Quebec terms it), it is because they are desirous, as the Ho.. Attorney General for Upper Canada observed yesterday, to despatch delegates to England. to lay before the Imperial Parliament the resolutions adopted at the Conference. 'The Government wish to give $\sim$ flect to the compromise entered into between the Maritime Provinces and Canada; to enable the Imperial Government to offer their coursel to the governments of the provinces, Who thave backed out from their agreement," and show them that the document to which they would have their sanction is a compromise: They would prove to Great Britain that if oue of the Maritime Provinces, or all of them, refuse to carry out the terms of the compromise after their solemn engagement with the Eanadian Government to observe it-if, in fhert, they have failed to fultil the terms of the treaty-Canada has been true to them; and desires its fultiluent." The Constitution oriyed for is not a Coustitution for the two Canadas only, as the hon. member for Chat cauguay said it was, putting a false vinstruction on the explanations of my hon. colleague the Attorney Geacral for Upper Capada, but; on the contrary, a lonstitution for all British North $\therefore$ merica. (Hear, kear.) If the Guvernment now press the House for a deeision it is not to enable them to go to England and ask for a Constitution for the Canadas, under a pretext that the other contracting provinces have failed to fulfil the treaty into which they had entered. By no means, Mr. Speaker. I have always had the interests of Lower Canada at heart, and have guarted them more sedulously than the hon member for Hochelaga and his partisans heve ever done.

A MESBEK-A proof of that is your seading the seat of government to Uttawa!

Hon. ATry Gen. CARTIER-Well, Mr. Speaker, 1 do net hesetata to maintain that that question of the seat of government was decided favorably for Lower Canada. I I hare always maintuined this, aud I will naintain it always and against all couers. I now come to the observations of the hon. menaber for the county of Quebec. This is
what the Government propose to do: We shall represent to the 1 mperial Government that Canada consented to compromises and sacrifices, and that the Lower Proviuces failed in the fulfinent of their part of the treaty at the last moment: We shall entreat the Imperial Government to offer their ad vice to the governments of those provinces, and we entertain a hope that the influence' which England necessarily exercises over those colonies will kave the effect of inducing them to reflect on their proceeding with refereace to us. I pray the honorable member for the cunty of Quebec to lay aside his fears. I assure him that nut a single member of the Government has the stightest intention of asking Great Bitain to legislate' on the Adress wheh we are to preseat, and to pars a Constitution for the two Canadas. Our whole atention is to lay before the Governuent of the Mother Country our position, as it now is, 7 m consequace of the ${ }^{2}$ breaking of the treaty by the Maritime Provine es in order that they may bring sume pressure to Lear un them to bring about the Federal union which was desigued. Even thögh the legislatures of thuse provinces should rae the part they took in the plan of coufederation, the adoption of ic would be only a question of time ; tor probably witha: twelve uronths they will amend their decision and accept the cumpronise. We say that as far as we are coucerned, we can do neither "hore nor less than carry out the compromise ; that we are desirous of acquitting ourselves of the duty we owe to the Imperial Governineat, as they thought fit of sanction it in the despatch laid before this Hoys, as well as by the honorable mentiva made of it in Her Most. Gracious Majesty's Speech from the Thruve. It is of consequence, I say, that wo should show the lmperial Governmeat that Canuda, which contains moru chan three-fourthy of the population of all the provinces on this coutinent, has not failed to fultil her part in the coupromise, but that the Maritime Provinces it is whish have broken their swora engagement; and that if the compromise is not to be carried into effect, Engligh supremacy over the American colonies may at no distant day be endaugered. We trast that all these culusterations way have-a salutary eifect, that they will dussipate the unfounded apprehensioas of the Yaritime Provinces, and that hereafter the Constitution, based on the compromise which we
shall submit to the Imperial Government, will bear sway over the several English provinces on this continent, united in one great Confederation. (Hear, hear.) I can assure the hon. member for the county of Quebec, therefore, that the only purpose of the Government of which I am a member, in urging forward the adoption of the scheme submitted to the House, is to despatch it to England in order that the Imperial Parliament may merely sanction the letter of the measure. The Government never had a thought of takiug the House and the people by surprise. If we were to go to England and pray for $a$ Constitution different from that which is mentioned in the Address, we should be branded with disgrace, and deservedly 30 , and should render ourselves unworthy of the position which we now fill. These reasons are sufficient, I think, to shew that there is not so mach difference between the opinion of the Goverument and that of the hon. member for the county of Quebec, as that hon. gentleman supposes. We are agreed on the point to which he takes exception; and as he has declared that he would vote in farur of the new Constitation in the Maritime Provinces continued to be parties to it, I have reason to trust that he will do so, as the Government will bein no way bound to abide by that Constitution, unless the other contractiog parties shall accept it.

Mr. POWLLLL-1 must-express my deep regret, Mr. Speaker, that the leader of the llouse should liave been induced to subuit to the llonse a motion of the cuaracter of that which you bold in your hauds. (IIear, hear.) I distinetly avow myself a friend of the Administration, and as one anxious to assist them in carrying out the importantscheme they have undertaken; and while according to them the fullest confidence, I must express miy regret that their course in relation to this question, ip this House, has certainly not been what I would have advised or beon inclined to support. They selected their own mode, in the first placs, as regards the mapner in which this debate should be conducted, and from that modo they have departed. I did feel that when, as between the Opposition and the Government, there was something in the nature of a coappact, that compact should be carried out." (Hear, hear.) I think the Opposition has its rights and privileges, and is especially entitled to have these resgected by the Government, who have
so powerful a majority at their back. (Hear, hear.) When the Government departed from the understanding originally come to, as to the way in which the debate should be conducted, I believed that that departure was in the interests of the House and in the interests of the public. I do not hesitate to say it had my approbation; as far as my individual opinion was concerned. But, notwithstanding that it had my approbation, as tending to the convenience of the House and the advantage of the public, I did not feel that the Government were justified, so long as the Opposition were dissenting parties, in departing from the original understanding. That was my first ground of objection ; and I think, in the present instance, the Government are taking a still more extraon dinary course. I do not know whether a, case can be found in the records of our own House, or of the English House of Commons, Where the leader of the House has availed himself of technical rules: to prevent a question being fairly presented.

Hon. Mr. DORLON-To move the prévious question to his own motion!
MIR. POWELL-I do not know if süch a ohing is usual, or if a precedent can be cited for it. All.I can say is, that if a precedent can be oited, I regret extremely that suoh a course should be adopted on the present occasion." We are here engaged in the disenssion of a great constitutional question, with regard to which the Administration have submitted to us the resolutions of the Conference- - I do not say of self-constituted delegates, or that they acted without the sanction of the ${ }^{\circ}$ people - but certainly they have taken upon themselves a. great responsibility, which I readily admit they have woll fulllled, and I am'quite prepared to endorse their course, in the framing of this scheme, from beginning to end: They first of all 'adopt those resolutions at the Conference, and they then come down to this Hoüso and say : "Accept them in theil entirety, without amendment," without variation, or the scheme falls to the ground." That may be all very well. It may be all yery well to deng the right of an appeal to to the people. "It may be all very well for us as a Legislature to arrogate to ourselves the right to change our whole constitutional system: : That may be all very wells: But, by this motion of the Hon. Attorney General West, they stop any gentlewen who dissent from their views from putting their
cpinions on tecord. (Hear, hear.) I think that is going a little too far, and it is as a fricnd of the Administration that I express that opinion.

Hon "Atry Gen MACDONALD-We do vot-reguire vóur advice.

Mr. POWELL゙-The hoo. gentleman may accept it or not, as he pleases.

Hon Arty Gen. MACDOnyLD-I do not accep: it.

Ma: POWELL-Then he may take the other alternative. I think the House and ihe conptry have ex:cnded an enoruious degree of consic'eratios to this Government, but It ill the hou. gentlemen that if they continnelthe course they are now pursuing. a raction will take place in the llouse and the cunutry. (Hear, hear.)" I hope that thi; Huse is nor to drop down into being the uers ech of the Executive-so that we shal nut thate opiaitons of our own at all, or be alowed to, offer any advice whatecer to the Executive. : If the hon. geptlemá accepis these remarks in a hostile spirit; he may do s $\because$.: All I can sáag is that I do not mear them to be so received.' Bat I con; sider the cuarse taken by the Government thifafteruoon is a most extraordinary one. Tlie reas a ussigned is, that hon gentlenien opponite haye been offering a tactious oppositien, and that they intend to continue it by Mouring motion alter mation. Bat even it the m do, I ack, esn that iuvolve above a cuplot weeks wure of discussion? Ahd I'say that it is nut for the credit or the charueter of the Governainent, thit to shorten toe discusius they should take such a coirse us this. I believe they have undertaken the great work thety have in hand in a musi pa riotic spirit. I believe that my hou. triend-though he rejects my adviceis auim ted in the course the is taking by a p rety patriotic spirit. But, whila I believe that, - think he ought to accord to me the right of expressing my opinion as to the mode in whuch this debate should be conducted I du not know whether the friends of the Atministration are to be gagged as well as its oppocients- (laughter)-whether it is intended that we shall all be prevented from ex pressiag our views. Lut I dotrust the leader of the Government will withdraw this motion-(hear, hear)-which is unvorthy of him, wher he has in hand this grand aivd magnificeut project: He has all the advantages' he can wish on his side, and I would advise him to avail himself of thase advan-- tages, and not to give-by pursaing a course
that is certainly unusual, extraordinary, and unprecedented-the enemies of this great scheme the opporturity of saving that it was forced down the throats of this Legis'ature and of the people of this country. (Hear, hear.) I believe that he has the people at his back-that they endorse his sohemethat they are fully with him -and that the large majority of this House truly represent the feelings and 'wishes' of the people in endorsing the scheme. (Hear, hear.). I say, therefore, that he can well afford to be maguanimous and liberal to the Oppusitionwho are feeble in numbers, though energetic in the staud they take-and that be can carry out this scheme withuat having to call to his and the technical rules of the Huase. (Hear, hear.)

Hon. J. H. CaMERON-I desire to inquire whether the motion for the "previous question:" made by the Government, if carried; wif throw any impedinuent in the way of the resolution of which I have given notice? Of course I kuow that it can be uoved; but if a discussion arises upon it, I am afruid we shall not reach a rute upon it until the session is closed.: I hope the word of promiso is not to be lept to the ear and bruken to the hope.

Hov. ATtY. GEv. MACDUNALD - I have no desire tochoke off the houor ble gentleman's resolution in any way. He will have an opportunity of moving and pressing his inotion after the resolutions have beet adopted.

Hon. J. S. MaCDONALD-But it is quite clear that the tuvoing of the previous question shuts off all amendments.

Hon: J. H. CAMERUN-My motion is not proposed as an ameudinent. I propóse to move it after a deeision has been come wo. on the question now belore the House. "It is for the purpose of hayiig an expression of the 'people's will upon the Address, belore it is sent to the Imperial authorities.

Hoi. J.S. MACDONALD-Well, hat is an amendment, bưi I will not argue the point just now.

Ma. M. C. CAMERON-I do not know that I caa olain', like my honorable triend from Carleton (Mr; Powncl), to be a frieud of tare Government, and so any advice that I may offer. will not be considered as coming from a marm friend of theira; but I appre hend that I do entertain that kind of friendly feeling for the Hon. Attorney Gencral West that would induce me to advise him most strongly dgainst the course he has been induced to adopt, had my advice been asked. I can scarcely think that that homorable
geptleman would have adopted the policy which he has become a party to, unless he had been urged on to it by his colleagues in the Government: I am very well aware that thuse who are in the habit of talking most loudly of the right's and liberties of the people, when they find themselves in places of posi-: tion and power, may frequently forget those rights. (Hear, hearr) I am quite satisfied that if the Honorable Presiaent of the Council had been in opposition just now, we would have heard the course that is now adopted by the Government called the grossest tyranny and worst kind of outrage that could have been perpetrated upon a free Parliament such as ours. (Hear, hear.) And not only woutd we have heard such language on the floor of this House, but through that engine in Toronto which he moves with so much power; we should have had it sent throughout the Whole country: There would not have been a man who soted for it who would not have been held up as the greatest foe to the rights and liberties of the people that could be imagined. (Hear, hear.) And noin we find. that hon. gentleman endeavoring to stiffe, not exactly the discussion of the question, for we cannot be deprived of the right of speech, but to stulle the espression of the opinion of the House with refereace to the merits of this scheme in the only way it could be effective and valuable, and in a propers parliamentary mañer. The motion now made prevents our. thising the sense of the House as to whether some modification of the scheme might not be adopted, or some other plan of union agreed. apoa that wou'd prove more advantageous. I have given notice of na amenduent that I inteaded proposing in favor of a legislative nifion of the provinces, with provisions that the lars, the language, and the religion of Lower Canada should not be interfered with; that no legistation should take place for that section, unless that legistation was originated by a member frour Lower Canada, and should noi become law unless carried by a majority of the representatives from that section of the couatry: I propose those provisions in order that the rights of Lower Canadians might be fully protected and that their institu. tions should not be in danger of destruction, and that they might have no opportunity of saying that a change of this kind was desired for their injury rather than for their benefit, as well as for the best interesta of the provinces at large. I had intended to take the sease of the House upon this proposition, mainly: or the reason that a legislative union
would be more economical and more stable. The commissioners who were sent out to Ganada by the Imperial Government to ascertain what defences were required, and what they would $\mathrm{c}: \mathrm{st}$, reported that $£ 1,300$,000 sterling would be sufficient for the purpose. I find the-local governiments to be created under this Federal scheme are to receive for their working expenses no less a sum than $83,981: 914$; so that in tiro years, if the expenses of these local governments were aaved to tlie country, they would amount to a sufficient sum to construct all the defesces that are said to be necessury for the protection of the country against attack from any quarter. But we are not to have the oppor: tunity, it seems' of tuking the sense of this House as to whether that would be bettcr than the scheme submitted for our adoption: And we are also prevented from ascertuiving whether the people of Canada approve of the scheme or mot. It wiond seem that the Honorable Attorney General West, for whose ability I entertain a very high degrce of respect, has forgotien the conservative character that he has heretofore 80 nobly maintained upon the floor of this House, and in. forgetung that character, that be has also forgotten the rights and liberties of the people. I dim not surprised that those rights and liberties should have been firgotten and trampled upon by the Honorible President of the Council and the Honorable Províucial Secretary. "They have been too loud-wouthed. in their pretended championship of those rights in times past to render them above suspiciou of forsuking them nol; ;" but I am. surprised that the Honorable Attorney General West should go with them in stfling the voice of the people. (Hear, hear.) And 1 am very sorry to mear it stated that nembers of the Government are to go to Eugland, there to appear carrying as it were from, the people of this country to the Imperial Goveroment, opinions favorable to Confederation. Now in truth they will not do so . They cannot do so in point of fact, because they have not taken the sense of the people, and have refused even to allow Parlimuent to say wheiher or not the seheme shall be referred to the people, or whether some other scheme Trould not be more acceptable; and nuch better in uvery way; than the one now under consideration. They find that the people of the Lower Proviuces are strongly opposed to the scheme, and yet they propose to go hone and ast the Imperial Governmentito carry out the measure, though they well. know it cannot
be enforced upon the people of the Lower Prosinces. If the great urgency which they, profess to see for the carrying out of this scheme arises from a desire to have the defences made secure, why do not they ask Parliament for power to place the country in'a proper position of defence?. Why do not theyask for that if it is so urgently demanded, and leave this great Confederation question in abeyance until the people in all parts of the country have had fair opportunity of understanding it in every point of vierr: They have not yet had that opportunity, and I think the honorable gentlemen on the Treasury benches, in depriving them of that opportunity, and especially in doing it in the manuer in "which they are now doing have taken'a course which will redound to their own and to the country's disadvantage. The people only require to be awakened to the course that is being pursued, to understand that these opinions and views are to be disregarded, or are of poconsequence, to call forth that senteuce of condemnation which will hurt honorable gentlemen on the Treasury benches from place 'and power, and eause names honored in the past, to sink into dishonored oblivion". If the proper steps had been taken, gentlemen frou lower Canada would never have been able to say that representation by pinpulation could not be safely given to Cpper Canada, and would have no groundsfor fearing that their rights would pot be protected, and that therefore they must reject it. If they refused to grant representation according io population when fall provision is offered them for the protection of their institutions, it would be without other reason "thin that ot the sulky wiman or the spoiled child, dud I do not bedieve that the representatives ot the people of Lover Cauada are in the up of that kind of stuff. They only wish to be assured that their rights are not to be interfered with if they desired more, let them - regect that the bon. member for Montmorency (Hon. Mr. Cauchon) in addressing the Honse the ofther evening, instanced the position in which the English House of Lords stood when the country was in danger of being plunged into a revolution by their resistance to a just popular demand. Ho gave us to understand that that body night have been swept away beture the indignation of the people, it it had not yielded to the pressure and allowed the - Tieform Bill to puss.. If that was the case in relerence to so strong and highly respected a body as the English House of Lords, let them reflect upon what might be the result of
resisting a legislative union and forcing a scheme so expensive as the present one, so fall of elements of contention and dissolution, upon the people of Canada. If the people of Lower Canada, comparatively few in numbers, with the Government to aid them, continue to persist in refusing to give the people of Upper Canada that which isotheirf right; and which can do no wrong to any other portion of the icountry, perhaps they will find that the people of these provinces will take the same stand that endangered the House of Lords, in Eagland, and the same results follow, and then it will be too late to ask of ofer terms. The Honorable Attorney General West ought not to have allowed a free expression of the views of the members of this House to be stifled in the way that it is now being done. The Government ought to have allowed the amendment to be put respecting which I háre given notice. and also that providing for taking the sense of the people, Perhaps it was thought that the inotion to be made by the honorable nember for Peel (Hon. Mr. Cameron) would answer the parpose as well; but it cannot do so, becanse it is not to be proposed until after this scheme has been carricd. That antendment, to be of any service to the purpose I had in vien, ought to be made betoie these resolntious are voted upon. After the House has expressed 'itself in faver' of the "resolutionty, the representatives become leadurs to the people. They should lead us, but we showd then be leading them by seeming to pro. nounce our opinion on the subjecs beforehand in favor of Federal union; although I am satisfied that a majority, or at all esent. a very respectable minurity of this House; is not in favor of the scheme now presented, and most of the honorable gentlecter who have spoken have declared a preference for legislative union. If the scheme is fored through the House under this nuotion for the previous question, no ameadmeats being allowed to be plated on record, it will not appear to the Im: perial authorities that there is that great amount of dissatisfaction with the seheme which is well known to exist, nor will it appear to thean that any other scheme might have proved more satistactory to the people, giving, in their opinion, greater stability of government, economy in maningement, and a means of maintaining our connection with the British Crown by better and stronger bonds, than is Likely to be the edse with a FederalGovernment. For these reasons Mr. Speaken, I repeat that1 sincerely regret that the Honorable Attorney General West has been led to malte the motion
which has been placed in your hands. (Cheers.) Hon. Mr McDOUGALL-I am not surprised, Mr. Speaker; that honorable gentlemen who are opposed to the policy of the Government on this question, and cossirous of overthrowing it, should feel a little disappointment at the course that has been announced to-day. But I cannot understand how honorable gentlemen who are friendly to that policy, and desire that it shonld prevail, should, at this stage of the discussion, find fualt with the course of proceeding, which we have felt it our duty to propose. Sir, we have becn dis cuassing this question yow for nearly four weeks, and 1 an sure no hoonotable nember will yenture to deny that the discussion has, tier the last ten days, dragged very heavily; that shere has been a marked disinelination un the pirt of honurable, geatlenear opposite to no on with ix.

Hos Mr. DORIOX-Nu, nö.
How. Mr. McDOUGALL - The houora be grateman say* "No;" but the fict is that - "djournuents have beea moved several tímes "de wrly as halt past nine oclock, because no In morabie geatleman was ready or inelined to - Fak asainst the measure.

HoN. Mar Doklon- Only pace, and that nu aecenat of the illacss of the honorable - hienber fior Bruaic.

How Mr. MeDOUGALL - The honorabe gentlem;a is mistaken. Un another vecaivu the honorable member for Hochelara thimetr"moved the adjournifent at an early hour, because his friends were not ready to go on with the discussion, and hon uembers who were in favior of the scheme have several times becr obliged to spoak, when they were not disposed to do so, in order to till up the sifie and drag the discuassion along. . Well, sir, the Honorable Attoruey General West at ated to the Humse yesterday, in such terais F Fiat : fo nue could have misuaderstood him, that the Guvernment felt it to be their duty to avial"themselves of every parliamentary expedicat the the purpose of aseertaning the ophion of this Illouse upoi" the iuestion as prompty as fossible, Today the aunounce - ment has been repeated, and good and suificieat redsons giveta for the adoption of this potiey: The hon. wembers for Ctirleton and tior Sorth Untario coumplain that thero has been a departure from the usual practice of this thouse in uraking this nothon, and charge us with stithey diseusaion; but these honarable geatlemen surily do inut need to be in: formed that this motiver does not stop the debate. The House can discuiss the "pre-
vious question" to any extent. Strictly", perhaps, honorable members are limited to giving reasons why the question should not now be put, but atnong those reasons are all the arguments yet to be adduced, pro and con, on the main inotion.

Ma. POWELL-Then what good will it do?

Hon. Mr McDOUGALL-The good it will do is this: it will prevent faotious and irrelevant amendments, and enable us to get a decisive expression of the opinion of the Ilouse ufon the real question "before it. (Hear, hear:)' It is all very well for the hưorable member for Nortw Ontario to tell us that he wishes to propose his scheme of a legislative union with local legislatiou controlled by the members of each province; but sir, it happens that be occupies a seat on that side of the House, and not on this. It is the duty of the Government, who are respousible to Parliament and to the people, to propose their measures, and it the honorable gentlemarran convince the House that those measures are not idapted to the circumstances and interests of the country, we shall bo obliged to leave this side of the House, and then the honorable gentleman from North Untario can come over here and submit lis scheme to Parliament: (Hear, hear.) © But as we are here; and have taken it upon us to subinit these resolutions, we are determined to obtain as early as possible ( without, how: ěver, preventing any hoiorable menber from expressing his views upon them) a vote of this House. The outcry raised by gentlemen opposite against the propositions of the Goverament to facilitate the discussion by giving the whole time of the House to it, proves that delay is their real object. If they have any arguments to offer ugaiast the scheue, they have had auple opportunity to prevent then. They have thought proper to talk of dverything but the merits or demierits of the scheme itself, until the patience of thas House, and I think also of the country, is cstiausted. I uin happy to believe thist a very cousiderable majurtiy of the nuembers of this House are ready and willing to vote gea on the yuestion, aad they ought not to be any loager dethined from doing so, expecially in vietw of circumstances that have arisen on this as well as on the other side of the Atlantic, to which my colleague the Hou. Attorney Gencral has already directed the attention of the House.

Hon: Mr. EV ANTUREL-I uaderstoud that the Guvermment had stated that the question of Contederation was an open one.

I never understood that they had stated that amendments could not be proposed. It was to be treated not as a party question, but the fullest latitude was to be allowed, as if in committee of the whole; but now the Governmeut shuts down upon friends" as well as opponents. I think their course most illog. ical; and I would like to have the Hon. Provincial Secretary explain it.

How Mr. McDOUGALL - I apprehend there are few houorable gentlemen in the House whose impressions on the subject are similar to those of the honorable gentleman. (Hear, hear:).. It was fully understood by the House that the scheme was brought before Parliament as the result of the Conference of all the colonial gineroments, and as a Govcroment neisure. - I think, sir, it was fasther distinctly stated that being in the nature of a treaty, it tras absurd to suppose that it would be competent for any of the legislatures to amend the scheme," because the mptet the door is thrownopen to ainendsonts ithone legimature, the stme privilege woutd be claimed byeuch of the others. " Dequat kind of a belieme rould. it be after each legisfature had tinkered it to suit its own views, ard what leneth of time dues tife honoruble gentleman think it would tathe to aruve at a comuon agreement if that coullse wera pursued?. In the yery nature of things, whether this is the best or the worst scheme that curd hive been devised, we cinpot get around the fuet that it is ot the nature of is treaty, a id, therefore, nusisbe voted upou by a stuple yed und nay.. (Harar, hear.): It is th that view that the Goveraneat hayesub. mitted it to this llouse, and it is upoo that view that the verdict of this House nust be prouvancul. As I bave already stated, the determination to which the Guverament his coner is to press the main motion, pure and sinuple, "ip, a the attention of the House, and to use every legitimate parlinuentary weans to get a decision, and by that dection we are prepared to staud or till. ' I hope there will Se no uilisuderitandiug on the part ot houorable uiembers. It is nut the intention of the Goverament in any uiduner, to deprive houorable geatlemea of the opportunity - the fullest opportiutity-iof expreswing their views. on this seneue. But what we do intend to preveat, it we can, is the attempt wivert the attention of the House from the resolutions of the Couteretee to propositions like that of the hoarrabie meniber for North Oatais, who desires to subant another and a totally differeat selfeue, which be knows well must be rejected by eivery nember of the proposed Con-
federation.". This proposition mast be discussed; if discussed at all, in some other way than as an amendment to, or substitute for, the scheme of the Quebee Confercnce.

Hon.WT. S. MACDONALD-I think, sir; that the large majority of the members of this House will agree with me that the proposition made by the leader of the Gov: erament to prevent amendments beters submitted by moviug " the previous question." has taken us all by surprise in thate this House should hold this step to be a gross breach of the understauding which was entered into at the time it was agried that the House should be considéred "us in coinmittee of the whole, with you, sir, in "the chasit. For it was theu fully understood that though no aniendment would be allowed to be adopted, if the Goverumecre could prevent it, yet there would be no objection to their being moved in the ordinary way." It" was therefore ucderstood that thas House was, for all practical parposes, iu Cunimitte of the Whole, and ay "the previous question". equid nut bee moved ia Coumittee vt the Whole, it was consequently uut of order to giove it nuw.: I Fuald ask hon gentemen on the Treasury beaches, at they did nut solémuly enter into a cumpact of that nature with -thas House? If they committed an errorin de ciding to retain the Speak -a in the clair on the conditions reterred to, they are new tatiog advariage of their own wrong: Sir, the $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{p}}$. position proper have abstaitued tromplacing on the paper any notice of amenduients. They found that several aumenduents which embracea their views were to be moved by gentlemen who were trieudly to the Aduinistration. Those geotleuca could not sip. puse for a monent flat ther mutions were to be choked off, whatever nathe bo the intention of the Gioverameat in refation to siailar andenduents it proposed from this side of the Howse." But "the previnas question," thus uived, applis:s ruthtessly to triends and fues. To quote the lioguager of the huadrable member tur Ciarieton :- It is now yuite elear that they (the Goverumeat) are going to put the sa e gag oo their friends that they devised for their upponents" (Hear, hear.) Let us enquire aho are thoso who compose the Admiustration, and who, atter violatiog their solewn agrecmets, thow veoture to trample upin the rights aind privil:ges of the represcatatives of the peoph: in this Huase? I need voily restark that nine members of this liovernuent, and who were in the Adminastration betore the Cuali-
tion was formed, had a vote of want of confidence tecnrded against them by this same House, for acts of malfeasance, which must be fresh in the memory of honorable members, since which time they have eraded an appeal' to the country in order to test whether their neiv and strange combination would be ratified by the people: And these gentlemen who have hatehed up a coudition, by inriting three members of the then Opposition to join theu on the most monstrous terus ever known in any country, are at this monient proposing to ask this Honse for a sote of credit, and forsplenipotentiary pomers to authorize them in England to speak for the people of Canada. My hon.friend from West York (Hon. Mr. Howlañó) stands in a different position frow his two reform colleagues. He came generously to the aid of his friends who first joined the Coalition, but he stipulated that he must first go to his constituents. On a reference to his speech at the hustings, it will be found he said in effect, that the soiceme of Confederation was now bef,re the country-that he kuew no more about it than they did themselves, and that he inust say there were features in the scheme, which he did not like. I acquit hion of being in the same category with hoin. gentlemen who have beén voted down by this House, Jecause he has obtaiued by his election a quasi authority to deal with this grave sulject. But what have the others atteupted to do, Mr Sreaker? How different is thair conduct and their practice today from what they promised would be their conduct towards the House at the couilmracement of the debate: "And how widely have they strajed from the prograwme fuid down at the time the Coalition was formed: I shall read for the information of the House what were the riews of the hon, member for South Usford in 1864; , when he stampeded himself, and took with him a large portion of the reform party to the enemy's camp so upespeetedly; and upon so short a notice :Mr. Browx asked what the Government "proposed as a reacedy for the injustice complained of by Upper Canada; and as a settlement of the sectúnal trouble. Mr. Vacdosald and Mr. GAit. replied that their remedy was a Feder 4 union of all the British North American Provinces, local matters being committed to local bodies, and matters comoson to all, to a general legislatare constituted on che vell-understod principles of Releral Government. Mr. Brown rejoined that this would not be acceptable to the people of Upper Canada as a remedy for existing evils; that to believed that Federation of all the Prov-
inces ought to come, and would come about.ere long, but it had not yet been thoroughly considered by the people - (hear, hear)-and even were this otherwise, there were so many parties to be consulted, that its adoption was uncertign and remote. (Hear, hear.)

HoN Ma. BROWN-What is the date of that?
FoN. J. S. MACDONALD-Th hon. gentleman knows very well that it is found in the ministerial explanations at the clcse of last session, little more than six monthe ago. Mark the words, Mr. Sfeaker. Mr. Brown then stated that Federation had not been cönsidered by the people, and that its adoption was therefore uncertain and reciote. Is it because he found a good opportunity of getting into power, and because he visited the Lower Provinces, and negotiated, and got explanations from them, that the period sy remote six months ago must now be considered immediate? He substitutes the word "immediate"-for "rempte" a most extraordi ary perversion of words:-
Mr. Browry was then asked what his remedy was, when he stated that the nieasure acceptable to Upper Canada would be parliamentary reform based on population, without regard to a separating line between Upper and Lower Canada: To this both Mr. Macdonald and Mr. Galit stated that it was unpossible for them to accede, or for any Governmeat to carry such a weasure, and that unless a basis could be found on the Federation principle suaggested thy the report of Mr . Brown's committee, it did nöt appear to them likely that any thing could be settled.

## Further on I read:--

Mr. Brows accordingly waited oa the Governor General, and on hils return the memorandum approved by Council and by the Governor General was thanded to him, and another interview appointed for: 6 P. M., Mr. Brows atating that he did not feel at liberty either to accept or reject the proposal without consulting with his friends.
In that memorandum I find the followtag paisages :-

The Government are prepared to state that immediately after the prorogation, they will address themselves, in the unost earnest manuer, to the negotiatioy for a Contederation of all the British North American Proviaces.

That failing a successful issue to such negotiations, they are prepared to pledge themselves to logislation during the next session of Parliament (hear, hear)-for the parpose of remedying exint. ing dificalties by introducing the Federal principle for Canada alone, coupled with such provisions 碍 will permit the Maritipe Proviaces and
the North Western Territory to be herealter incorporated into the Canadian system:

## Tben the record proceeds:-

Shortly after six o'clock the parties met at the same place, when Mr. Brows stated, that withuat communicating the contents of the contidential paper entrusted to bim, he had seen a sutlicient nümber of his friends to warrart bim ini expressing the belief that the bulk of his, friends would, as a compromise, accept a measure for the Fedryative Union of Canada with provision for the future admission of the Maritime Colonies and the North West Territory. To this it was replied that the Administration could not consert to waive the larger question ; but after considerable discossion, an amend anent to the original propasal was ayreed to in the tollowing terms, subject to the appr ral, on Monday, of the Cabinet zund of His Excellency:-
"The Government are prepared to pledge them-: selves to bring in a measure, next session, for the purpose of removing existity difficulties, his introducing the Federal principleinto Canada, cu ujled with such provision as nill permit the Marame Provinces and the North West Territory to be in: corporated into the same system of Government."'
The language of these quotations cannot be misunderstood; for nothing can be cleari $r$. thas that the smaller schene, that is, the scheme for the Federation of typer" aud Lower Canada, was then. promised aud con: templated as the one which was to precede: that now under consideratign. A yain. I quote from a spech of the Premiter wade in the other House on the introduction of the resolutions now befure us:-

The honorable member (Hon Sit E: P. Txas here gave a hast ry of the several changes unul the: Macdonald- Vorion ademiatration ded; as be stated. of absulute weak heos, tafitiot under the weight they were unable to carty, Their successora (the Tacbe Macdosaid © (ivernment) were not more successful, and bem: defteated, were thioking of appealing to the country, which they might have dune with more or le'ss aucuess, gaming a constituency here and yei haps liang anwhei elsewhere. They had assumed the charge ot aflates with an understaisding that they wouid hateut right to this appeal, and whate they "ere cousulting. abont it, they received an tumatum trem, the
 one of their owa triends, to the eftect that the wan desirous of making overtures to them with the , view of seeking to accomuidate the ditficultest The honorable gentleman and sume of bos inticads then came intu contisct with the leaders of the Government, and it was agreed betweea them tio try to devise a si heme which nould put an end to the misunderstandings, and at the same time secure for Canada and the other provinces a position which would ensure their fusure safety, and procure them the respect and contidence of other na.
tions. They arranged a large scheme and a smaller one. If the larger failed, then they were to fall back upon the minor, which provided for a Federation of the two sections of the province.
Here is a recent declaration by the Premier that they had arranged a large scheme and a smaller one Is it not important to us in UpJer Canada to know what the nature of the latter scheme is? Assuredly, it is not too much to ask that the littee scheme should be left with us, while they run away to Dow-ning-street with the large one. We miuht be profitably empluye 1 in the meantime in digesting the various details which promise so much solace and contentment, and which tor ever is to settle all sectional diffenties between Upper and Lower Canada. I hope the supporters of the Administration will insist at once upon the smaller bantling being lett with us--this House agrecing to pay all expense of its care and protection during thac absence.: (IIear, hear, and langhter.) Instead, therefore : of fulfilling their prouise they boldly propose to their reform folluwers the scheme which the hon member for South Oxford had declared to be prema. ture, and which six months ago he insisted muit be postponed to a remote period. It is scarcely. possible to find wôds sufficiently strong to characterize in proper terms so fla: grant a breach of a compact as the one which I have been describing.' It was of course well knowin. last summer, that the several kyislatúres of New Brunswick, Nova Scotia and Prince Edward Island had contemplated a legislatire uniove of their provinces, and a resolution was passed by each body authorizing delegates to be appointed from their respective governments to meet for that obyect. ('harlottetown havirg been seleoted ar the place of meeting the several delegates asserembled there, Instead of per sitting that Cinventiong quictly to arrange a scheme such as was contemplated by their legisl tures, and prernit redionable time for its proisulgation, or a decllaration of its failure to be made, the qutlemeti on the Treasury benches bethoughe themselves of a plan by which to seatrer the Charlittetown delegates, caring nuthag for the disappointment which such an at tack must have aceessurily created among the people of the sister proyineest I blush to think shat a fearful responsibility attaches to this, Government for their interference with an arrangement which was to make the Maritime Provinces one people. But not satisfied with their visit to Charlottetown and breaking up the scheme which wies
being discussed there, they now coolly ask us to give them authority to proceed to Dow-ning-street to report the atter failure of their own grand scheme, which, as I remarked in a former debate, they yet hope to manufacture into a live constitution for these distracted provinces, through Downing-street'influence. (Hear, hear.) It is well known that our financial conditionis truly alarming, and instead of proceeding with the legislation of the several measures now before the House, and submit. ting, according to custom, the Budget, so that the real condition of our affairs may be fully: exhibited to the people, the gentlemer on the Treasury benches have suddenly come to the conclusion, not only to with hold this iniportant information, but, forsooth, we are asked to pass a vote of credit to beaccounted for at the next session:" A prorogation is shortly to follow, and the country will be lef: in a state of uncertainty as to its future, unul it shall please these gentlemen to return from their mission.. When we consider the effect which the blandishments of the Trea-sury benches but too frequently produce upon members sent to this House to carry out certain avowed principles and measures ; when we see the class to which I allude vio. latiug the promises made to their coonstituents and going over "body and bones" to a Government they were elected specially to oppose. we need not be astonished shortly to learn that influences and blandisbiments in bigher quarters' will have the like effect on the gentlemen opposite wheu abroad who will ever be ready to find a plausible excuse for any gross botrajal of the trusts reposed in themi by pliant and subservient fullowers. Thie avowed objeot for the immediate proro gation of the session is the imuineut danger which threatens this pruvince, and yet we are kept in the dark as to the real cause for alarm.. We are told, however, that a large outlay, but the amount is not stated, is to be devoted to fortifying certain portious of Canada by the Home Government ; and that. we are to be asked to contribute an unknown sum of money towards the amme object. Bus then we ask for more definite information,' we are met by the assurance that it woulu not be for the public interest to afferd further information just now. We are told to wait patiently and to be content with the fact that certain gentlemen on the Treasury benches , are to proceed to Eingland with the view of arragging the amouit to be appropriated by Canada for its defence, and towards the maintenance of a more effective militia or-
ganization then we have heretofore been called upon to makè I maintain, sir, that the understanding in respect to such contributions could be as well arrived at by means of dispatches and correspondence between this Government and the Colonial Office. (Hear, hear.) I prot st agaiast the transference of the negotiations on these matters to Downing'street, before we obtain some more satisfactory replies to the questions, we have addressed to the gentlemen on the Treasary benches. The repiesentatives of a people overburdened with heavy' taxem, have a right to insist on knowing the limit beyond which the geutlomen on the Treasury benches should not consent to make this province liable. We know that it is a diffcult matter to obtain money in England at present and we are not even informed of the terms on' which the Finance Minister is now borrowing We have had no information upon this question. We are kept in ignó rance of the position, in which we are to be placed. Now, I thiuk that the policy of the people of this country should be to vote what they think they can bear, and no more. There is no inember of this House, there is no man in this country, I'velieve, who is unwilling. to give his quota of taxes for the work of defence; but there uust be a limit to everything. "(Hear, hear.) . The principle laid down by three of the honorable gentlemen un the Treasury Beaches whom I now see on the other side of the House, when with myself they were uembers of a former admipistration, is as sound now as it was then; and if the force of the American army two ycars ago was not such as to induce as to recomue od, by way of guarding against danger from that quarter, large outlays for defence, I do uot see why my old celleagues shouli now consent to entertain a proposal iuvolving an ecormous sum of money at the present time Now, I shall read ertracts From a Minute of Council, dated 28th October 1862, in reply to the Duke of Newcastle's suggestion that we should raise fifty thousand voluntiens:-

The proposal of His" Grace to organize and drill not less than $50,000 \mathrm{men}$ is not now for the tirst time presented to the province. The measure prepared by the late Government and rejected by the Legislature, contemplated the formation of a firce to that extent, and Your Excellency 's adwisers cantot disguise their opinion that the province is averse to the maintenance of a force which would serivasly derange industry and tas ita resources to a degree justifiable only in periods of imminent danger or actual war." The peoplo
of Cañads doing nothing to produce a rapture with the United States, and having no knowledge of any intention on the part of Her Majesty's Government to pursue a policy from which so dire a calamity would proceed, are unwilling to impose upon themselves extraordinary burthens. They feel that, should war occur, it will be produced by no act of theirs; and they bave no inclination to do anything that may seem to foreshadow, perhaps to provoke a state of things which would be disastrous to every interest of thie pravince.
This was the opinion of the honorable gentle men only two years ago. (Hear, hear.)

How Ma. HOLTON-How many of them are on the Treasury benctee now?

Hon. J. S. MaCDONALD-I have already said that there are three of those gentlemen there. (Hear, hear.) Well, to go on a little further, His Gruce recommended direct taxation; to which we replied:-
Without entering into adiscussion of the rela: tive merits of direct or indirect caxation, Your Excellency's advisers feel that it would not be prudent suddenly or to any large estent, to impose direct taxation for military purposes:. This is not the occasion for adopting a principle hitherto unknown in the fiscal polticy of the province, and assuredly this is not the time for plung:ing into an experiment for which the people of the province are unprepared. No more serious mistake can be committed than to conduct an argument upon the supposition that the ability: of the Canadian people to sustain taxation is greater than bas hitherto been acknowled ged in the fiscal arrangements of the Government.
An'l I may remark that the condition of the country at this moment is much more calamitous than "when this report was made: When the hon. member for South Oxford (Hon: Mr.: Brown) was on his feet a few minutesago, he spoke of the prosperity of the merchants in Uppe: Canada, and said the condition of the conitry was not such as to justify the remarks of the hon. member for Chateanguay (Hon. Mr. Holron). Sir, he forgot to speak of thesituation of the farmers, of whioh I shall speak presently niore at leugth.: This report goes on further to say:-
The wealth of the country' is in its lauds. If the people are in the enjoyment of comparatiye wealich; it is so invested as to be not readily available for the production of a large money income. Your Exicellency's advisers believe that no gov. ernment could exist that wonld attempt to carry out the suggestion of His Grace for the purpose designed:
That was the language of our Government when asked to train fifty thousand men and
to familiarize them to the use of arns. (Hear, hear.) I feel that the pressure which has been brought to bear upon the Imperial Government by the Gocidwin Sinita politi-cians-by the Manchester School-to get rid of the colonies, is having its effect.: The telegram received to-day indicates that the burden of the defences is to be borne by the colonies, us the telegrain now before me states:-

Earl Ressectu regretted the discussion, and stated that the Government declined to make any move ment while, the Canadianis declined to take mes: sures themselves'; "but as they now showed a different disposition, the Government comes for ward to assint them.
Mr. Speaker, I ask this' House, if the hoperable gentlemen on the Treasury benches have made any proposals to the Home Gor: crament, whether we are not entitled to know whit they are? I say that we ought not to leave this House tilt we bave advised them in this mater-till the opinion of this House, reprenenting the people of this country, has been elicited. (Hëar, hear.) We are the persons : who ought to advise them in this matter; and wi hout seeking that advice, they are taking a step in ad. vance of their legitimate duty (Hear, hear.) The Duke of New Astleasked us in the same despatch to place the money required for increased military organization in Canada beyond the domain of Parliament! Such a pruposal was met in fitting terms, becoming a people enjoying Britisia recdom. We could uot subuit it to l'arliament; and we did not It was said in the samedespatch that the credit of the country was endangered in the markets of Europe, and that it we were willing to show that we were prepared to detend ourselves, if we weat to this vast outlay, we would materially assist in the maintenance of our credit abroad. Our reply to that was, that-
The maintenance of the privincial credit abroad is undoubtedly an object which the administrators of the affairs of the province should at any cest accoimplish. 'Your Excellency's adviser' subuit that their various measores demonstrate the sitrcerity with which they are striving to preserve the public eredit unimpaired. They contend, however, that nut the least important of the ageucies to be employed to this end is the exhibition of a due regird to the weans at the command of the province. They buld that they are more likely to retain the confidence of Earopean capi talista by carefolly adjusting expenditure to in come, than by embarking in schemes, howevor
landable in themselves, beyond the available resoncces of the Canadian people.

CIt being six o'clock, the SPEAKER left the chair before the honorable gentleman concluded his remarks.]

## After the recess,

HoN Mr. HOLTON said-With the consent of uy hon. friend from Cornwall, I desire; before the debate is renewed; to call the attention of the Hon. Attorney General West to the matter of the previous question which he has moved-to recall to his recollection the statements that were made when the agreement "was come to that this debate should be conducted in all respects as if the House were in Committee of the Whule, and to appeal to his sense of justice to adhere to the letter and spirit of that ygreement. It will be remembered that, on behall of hon. gentlemen sitting on this side of the House, I objected very strongly to the proposition to consider these resolutions as a single re: solution, and insisted that they were of a natuie that required them to be considered in Coumittee of the Whole Honse, The hon: the lealer of the Government objected to that on this ground. He said that the resolations were a treaty-I do not think the position sound but I am not combating that 'just now-and that the Government were' bound to bring all their influence to bear to $p$ ss them in their entirety; aud in reply to some objection made by myself, he said hon. gentlemen would have ng dificulty iu putting their views upon record by amendments moved to the scheme. I thought at the time that that was placing us at a very great disadvantage, and that:we were entitled to have the propositions considered separately and a vote taken, yea or nay, on the several resolations; but I was overruled and the agreement was come $t o_{\text {, }}$ which you, sir, deelared, risiog in your place, to be that the debate should be cooducted in all respects as in Comuittee of the Whole. Well, I hive two things to urge-first, that in Conimittee of the Whole the previous question cannot be moved ;and second, that a distinet assurance was given by the Government that amendments could be moved to the resolution. These are the very words of the hon. gepeleman as given in the official report, which has been this mument put into my hands:-

[^13]that a bill should be passed based on these resolutions. Allamendments might be moved to that one resolution. It would be the same thing, in fact, as to move them upon each resolution separately.
Now, the hon. gentleman says that we may not move amendments, and none can be moved if ie succeeds ingetting the previous question affirmed by the House.. I stateand I am'sure $I$ have only to state it to him to convince him of the justice of it-that a persistence in maving the previous question will be simply a violation of the assurance the hon: gentleman gave to the Hi use, and of the distinct uuderstanding arri ed at by the House at the opening of the delate, and stated by you, sir, from the chair. (Hear, hear.)" An I'to understand that the hon: gentleman adheres to his motion?

Hon Atty Gen: MaCDONALD-I certaioly do adhere to it.

HoN. HR. HOLTON-And has the hon. gentleman nothing to syy to my objections?

Hon. Atty, Gen MaCDONALD-To what?

Hon Mr HOLTON-In reference to cuttiog offamendments by this moticn.

Hon Atty. Gen. MACDONALD-Why did not the bon. gentleman put them?

Hon. Mr, HOLTON-We relied upon the assurance given by the hon. gentleman that there wuald be no attempt to cut short ai-cussion, no attempt to prevent a full and free expression of the apinion of the House upon every feature of the scheme. I ask him now again if he iutends to adhere to that declaration? (Hear, hear')

Hon. Atty. GA, MAEDONALD-I will. Mr: Speaker, on reflection, make a few retiarks in answer to the hov gentleman He speaks as if it was a great concession to the majority, of this House and to the Goverament that the arrangement was made at the opening of the debate. Why, sir, it was no concession whatever to the Government or to the majority of the House (Hear, hear.), Actitg on behalf of the Government, and with the full approbation of my collengues, I made a notion that an Address should be presented to Her Majesty, praying Her sanction to the resolations adoptei at the Quebed Conference. That motion was quite parliamentary in its character; and there way no parliamentary reason whatever why it should be considered in Committee of the Whole. The hon. gentleman could not, by any rule known to
parliamentary practice, force us to go into committee or require us to discuss any one of these resolutions by itself. It was then quite open to me, according to the usaye of the House. to make a mution : for an Address to Her Majesty for the purpuse stated, and it was not as a favor to the: Governmeat "that the arrangement was made to discuss it as if the House were in Committee of the Whole: On the contrary, it was a concessiou of the Governuent to the minority in the Housc'; for I stated of my own mere motiou, that although I had a right to proceed in the ordinary manner with the Speaker iu the chair, and to restrict houorable gentlémen to a siugle speechin accordance with the rules that govern debate-that although this, way my undoubted right according to parlitimentary practice, yet, for the purpose of alluwing the fullest and freest discussion, 1 suggested that the same rule should obtain asitif the House were in Committee of the Whole, when every member could speak twenty times if he telt so disposed, and preseut his views fully on all the points of the scheme. Thist was the proposition made by the Government; it was a fair, liberal, even generous one. : But huw were we met by hunorable gentlemen opposte? We were ready to proceed with the discussinn at once, and to present the subject to the House without delay. But it was stated that that would be uafair-that the members of the Govera" ment should first make a statement, and allow it, to go to the House and country, so that neither should be taken by surprise in a matter of so much importance, and that honorable gentlemen might have the fullest information upon which to make up theír minds $\therefore$ We did make our statement, and when asked for a week's delay in order that these speeches taipht be fully considered, we consented' to it, Suppusing that after this postponewent the debate would go on at once, we gave hon. gentlemen opposed to the scheme a whole week to cousider our remarks, to prepare themselves for debate, to work out objections to our arguments, and pick out al the taws they could find in the scheme itself. We did this because we thought it fair, and because we believed hon: "gentlemen were sin cere in their rroftessed desire to have the fullest information upod the subject. Well, the debate began, it hàs gone un now for three weeks since that postponement, and
as my hon. calleague the Hon. Provincial Secretary has sili, it has dragged on wearily, with no prospect of an early ter: mination." And how have we been met by hon gentlemen "opposite ? Has it been in the sadue spirit that actuated the Governuent throughout the debate?. We asked thein to come forward, and. honestly and fairly, in the presence of the House and country to discuss the scheme-; but instead of so doingi they have deliberately trifled with the question and wasted the time of the Holise (Hear hear)'

Hon, Mr moiton- $\mathrm{No}_{\mathrm{i}}$ no:
Hon attr Gen MaCrofald-The hon gentleman a men of honor canuot deny it, as a man of camdur he cannoi deny st and if he should deoy ite his character as a man of hotror and candor woicild sint in the est mation of this Housc (Hear, hear.) I say it mistoctly that thas was the plat- of hon gentlemen oppesite, to delay the consideration of this subject. Their pomicy was to wait, Like Micawber, fir" womething to turn up." tô see what would happen favorable to them in New Brunswick, to learn what would be dune in Nova' scotis, and to embrace every pretext of delay that preseated itself:" The hon: gentleman was playug, deliberatey playine a trick. He talked about a base trick having been played upon the Uppusition, but was "it" not a base trick in him not to discuss this question, but to put it off upon every possible eacase, to in. tei rupt bon gentletare when they diseussed it, making inueadoes, suggesting motives for delay, trying to disparaze the weheme and ourselves in the estimation of the Honse and country; and getting others to say what he would not dare to say himself" (llear, hear.) That was the plan of the hory gentleman. He complatits of not being athe to nore an ameudment, but the Opposition attempted to move uene. It was friendy of the Government who offered the only amendmupts yet presented. The pulicy of the Oppasition was just this-chey wished to spend the whole of Harct and the best part of April in the generál discussion upon my motion; and then. when they could do nothing more to nauseate the llouse and dixgost the country with the subjeet, when they had wearied the members and made the reporters siok with their talk-(laughter)-they were to spend the remainder of April, all May and June, and rui the debate well into sumucr, upon the amendments they intended to propose
one after another. (Hear, hear; and laughter.) It is becuuse these bonorable gentlemen have not endearored honestly and candidly to discass the question,, but have played the game of prolonging the debate to midsummer and preventing the House coming to a final decision upon it, that the Gövernment have taken the stêp now proposed; and have said to these hon. gentlemen: uHere, you have hada month to move amendments and make speeches., You have been allowed to sit here discussing the question every night duriny that time, and sometiwes till one or twa, ocluck in the morting. You have not fairly discused the scheme, nor mored any amevduents to it. You appear, an the contrary, determinied to obstruct the measure by every means in your power. You have deliberately laid a plot to throw it back with the riew of defeatiog it in this underband manner. FWe are not guing to alluw ibat, nor should be wrorthy of the position we hold as a Governuent if we did allow it;" and, sir. I shivuld be unwarihy of the character the horigenteman (Hom. Mr. Holuon) gives me of being a good parliamentary strategist, if I allowed this plot of preventing the House coming to a rote to suiceed. (Hear, hear') Now, in resorting to mear sures to prevent the succeess of this game played by the Opposition, we have jut takea hua: gentlemea opposite or the House by surprise. We gave them from the middle of water aluost to the begmaiug of spting, and the openiag of wavigation, to disenss thequestion and propose amendiuents'; and when we sant they were deternined tu waste the time of the House and country indefinitely, I came dowá yesterday and, on béhalf of the Goverament and with the full approbation of wy colleagues, stated fairly and frankly that it was of the greatest cousequeuce, the utmust consequener; to the best interests of this country, that this question sthould not be alluwed to drag ou befure Parliausent, but chat a vote should be tukeu without delay, in order that we might be able to tell the sister' provinces and tuform Her Majesty that the cuntratt we made with them, the arrangement' we entered ioto pith the goveruments of those provinces; bat met the fall approtation and cousent of the Parliaucnt and pooplo of Canada. (Hear, bear.) And I gave fair uotice that the Guveroment considered the recent political events in Ner" Bruuswick, and the state of allairs in that province, called not
ouly for action, but prompt action by this House ; and that every proper and legitimate means known" to parliamentary practice would be taken by the Goverument for the purpose of getting this llouse to comé to a full and final decision upon the question. (Hear, hear:) Ve have neter taken hon. gentlemen by surprise.: On the contrary, we have allowed the $m$ every latitude in this debate, and have given them fair notice all through of what we intended to do." "But how have we been miet by them? Have we been met in the same spirit of frankness and sincerity? No-and I say it without hesita:tion, we bave been met throughout in a spirit of obstruction and hostility ; and, instuad of discussing the question fairly on its merits, bon. gentiemen opposite are draggiug' on the "debate slowly for months, in order to tire out the patience of the House and country. (Hear, hear.) I ask the House whether they will permit such a, shabby, such à miserable, gatme to be played successfully'? Will they allow a question so closely identified with the best interests of Canada to be thrown across the flior of the House like a battledore betiven the hon members tho Cornwali and Chateauguay? Will they dito these hon. gentlemen to trife with it, not so much because they are opposed to the zeheme itself or disapprove of its general principles; as because of those by whom it is presented tor the adoption of the House (Hear, hear.).: Sir, there has been some little misapprehension as to the eff et of the motion I have proposed to the House, which it is as well should be removed. It has simply and only this effect-that it does not prevent hou. memibers expressing their viems fally and frecly upon the subject; but calls upon every hon geutleman to give- if I máy use an Americanism-*a straight and square vote upon the question, and to state plainly whether or not be approves of the schectue of Confederation as a whole. (Hear, hear.) As I stated when I opened this debate upon uy motion, and as has been over and overr again stated by several of miy colleagues, we ag̣reed with the governments of the sister provicices upon a future Constitution for the whole of British North America, and we ask this House to approve or disapprove of that Constitution. We teld the House that we had made this treaty with the sanction of Hér Majesty and of the Imperial Government.

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-With some qualifications.

Hon Atty. Gen MACDONALD-No; we told the House that we had the previous sanction of Her Majesty and of HerMajesty's representative to our meeting. . The Conference met and sat under this authority, and we worked out a scheme for the Constitution of the provinces. That scheme may be a good or it may be a bad one; but whether it be good or bad, we have a right to ask this House to approve or disapprove of it, toaccept or reject it. We had the sanction of ller Majesty and the Iniperial Government to our meeting-because this House knows that the union of these colonies is a matter. of great Imperial as well is of great local interestand "under that sanction we have worked out a Constitution and made a bargain with the other provinces. We have pledged ourselves as a Government to come down to the Canadian Parliament and say-" Here is a Constitution which we have agreed upon for the future" governiment of "these proviuces. - We have agreed to submit it to this House, just as" the governments of the other provinies have agreed to subinit, it to their respective legislatures.: We have a right to ask the members of this House whether in their judigment it is a scheme that, with all the faults and iaperfections it may have, ought to be entered 1uto by the Parhament of this country. We exercise this right; and ask you to declare by your votes, yes or no, whether we were riaht iu .framiug this measure, and whether it is such an oue as ought to be adopted by this House:" (Hear, heai.). This, Mr. Speaker, is the position of the Goveriment ; and what though amendments should be carried -what though the aniendiuent of which the honorable member for Nortion Ontario has given notiee should succeed, and the House should declare ta favor of a Legislative instead of a Federal union (suppposing the "honorable gentleman did present anid carry such a motion)-what good could it posisibly do? The contract that we entered tuto with the other provinces would be bruken, this Legislature would be violating the solemn engagement under which we are to the other colonies, and we would have a Constitution drawn up which none of the other provinces would adopt. We know that they would reject it-we know that Lower Canada would go as one man aguinst it. (Hear, hear.)

How. Mr. Holtun-Well, the other provinces go against this.

Hon Atty Gen. Macdonald-at
all events the governments of the other provinces will submit the question to their legis latures and take their opinion upon it, and we have a right to ask this House-"Do you or do you not approve of it? If you disap: prove of the scheme aftogether because of its general principles, why vote it outt. " If you: think that it ought to be a Legislative and not a Federal union, why vote it out. If you think it wrong to create a life peerage instead of an elective Legislative Council; , why vote it ont. Vote it out for any or all of these reasons if you like; but give us at once an honest, candid and fair vote one way or the other, and let the sister colonies know without delay whether you approve of the arrangement or not." (Hear, hear.) And, sir, amendments are a mere matter of folly and absurdity. (Hear, hear, and ironical cheers from the Opposition.) Honorable gentlemen opposite cry "Hear, hear." I do not of course speak of the urerits of any proposition in aunendment for a legislative union, or an elective Legislative Council, or for any other change in the provisions of the scheme; but 1 state this in all earnestness, that for all practical purposes the carrying of any amendment to this scheme is merely to lose the only chance of union we can ever hope to have with the Lower Provinces for the sake of some fancied superior Constitution , which we cannot get any of the colonies to agres to. (Hear, hear:) All we ask this House to do is what the other branch of the Legislature has already candidly done, to discuss the matter fairly and honestly upon its merits, and then to come to a rote upon it. Those. who think the Constitution likely to place the country in a worse position than it now occupies, will vote against it. Those who think, on the other hand, that it is an ap. proximation at any rate to what is right, that it will bring the colenies together into closer communication, that it will form the basis of a powerful and endurt gallianise with England, will vote for it with all its faulte. (Hear, hear.) Now, as to the consequences. of this motion which I have proposed, this House ought to know that not a single speech can be cut off or shorn of ita dimensions by it, and that every honorable gentleman can dis. cuss the question of Confederation, giving, as fully ats he desires, the reasons why he will vote for or against the seheme proposed. All the motion' will do, all the Goverament wish to do, is to keep the question belore tho House; and the honorable member for North. Ontario can speak as well to it as is he had
his amendmentin his hand, and can, as the usually does, make as able a speech as if there were half-a-dozen ámendments proposed to it: The whole scheme, in fact, is as much in the hands of the House, and as fully before tit and open to discustion, as it was on the day I mored its adoption. All this motion will do is to prevent honorable gentlemen opposite playing the trick which I have spoken of draving the discussion away from the main question before the House, getting up debates upon the powers of the General Government. and of the local governments, upon an dective or an appointed Legislative Council, and upon all sorts of side issues upon which the changes would ber rung night after night and week after week, through the spring and summer, till the House became weary with the surfeit of talk, and the country disgusted. (Hear, hear.) That, sir, is the aim and object of houorable gentlewen opposité, bat I hope this House will not' be so foolish as to fall into the trap they have laid, and I bnow honorable nembers are fully aware of the designs of these honorable gentlenien. They canoot complain that they havé not had an opportunity of moving amendments. $\because$ They have had three weeks to do it, and they have not yet moved one or given notice of one. Then, sir, what will be the consequences, on the other hand, if the previous question is not carried?" If oit is rejected, aud the main yuestion is not put, Conlederation is defeated. Aad I' will at once inform the Ilouse that to vote that the main question be not put, will throw Confederation over forever, and furever destroy the last hopes of a friendly junction between the colonies of British North Amerića. (Hear, hear:)

Hon. Ma. HOLTON - Why the ly hopes?

Hon Attr Gen. MaCDoNaLD-Because it we reject now the agreement come to by all the governments of all the proviaces; wa can never expect to get them to meet agaid to mako another.

Hon. Mr. HOLTON - But die of these Governmeuta has ceased to exist.

Hon ATtY. GEN MACDONALD-The hoo. geatleman knows perfectly well that the goveraments of all the provinces ard pledged to the scheur, but that the legislatures have not yet expressed themselves upon it. If any of them appear now to bo hostile to it, that eveling may disappear when it is fully explained to them. Even the Hon. Attoraey Gen-- eral Palaker, of Pripeo Edward Idand, may. himell become convinced of its desirability,
and vote forit. We cannot say how those legislatures will vote, but what wo propose to do is to lay our action before the Imperial Gover nment, and ask it to exercise its influence with the other colonies in securing the passage of the scheme. And I have no doubt that if the Mother Couptry gives friendly advice to the sister colonies in that kindly spirit in which she always gives it, if she points out that in her view this scheme is" calculated to serve, not only our interests, but the general interests, welfare and prosperity of the Empire, I am quite satisfied that the people of those colonies, whatever may be their local feelings, will listen at all events with respect, and perhaps"with conviction, to the advice so given by the Imperial Government: I have no doubt, indeed $I^{\circ}$ an satisfied, that if the Imperial Government gives that advice, it will be in the spirit of kindness and maternal love and forbearance, and that if England points out what is due to ourselves as well as to the Empire, and shows what, she, in her experience and wisdom, believes to be best for the future interests of British North America, her advice vill be accepted in the spirit in which it is offered, and soouer or later with conviction:- (Cheers.) For all these reasons I think the members of the Governmen: would be wanting in their duty in this great strait; this great emergency in our affars, if they did not press for the decision of this House as quickly as possible. (Hear, hear.) Why, there is the question of detence, which the honorable nember for Cornwall admita to be of the most pressing importance, that re; quires immediate attention and demands that Turther delay in dealing with this scheme. should not be allowed.

Hon. Ma. HOLTON - What has defence to do with this scheme of Coufederation? The honorable gentleman has stated, over and over again, that it has nothing to do with it. (Hear, hear.)
-Hos. Atty Gen MACDONALD-The honorable gentleman is mistaken. Tto two questions are intimately connected.

Mon Ma. HOLTON-Why, when we anked for information the other day as to what it is proposed to do in the matter of defeace, the honorable gentleman said thatothat was a: different subject from this altogether: (Hear, hear.)

Hon Atty. Gen MACDONALD-The honorable menber for Hochelaga certainly did move a series of resolutions asking for information upon this subject, which we refused, because they were offered for the purpose of
obstructing and delaying the debate on this soheme. (Hear, hear.) When I say" that there is an intimate connection between these twe questions of defence and Confederation. I mean this: that the progress of recent events -events which haye occurred since the commancement of this debate-has increased the necessity of inmediate action, both with regard to defence and to this scheme. Hororatble gentlemen opposite hàve been in the Govi-ernmeat-they have been behind the scenesand they know that the question of the defence of British North America is of great and pressing importance, and they know that the question oft the defence of Canada cannot be separated from it. And honorable gentlemen have been informed, and will find by the scheme itself, that the subject was considered by the Conference, and that it was arranged that there should be one organized system of defence for the whole of the provinces and at the cost of the whole., Well, it is now of the greatest importance that some members of the Governuent should go home inme diately, in order that England may know what the opinion of Canada is upon this question of Confederation, as wellous upon the yuestion of deteuce. (Hear, hear.)

Hov: S. MACDONALD Is that what you want them to go for? Hon Atty Gen MaCDONALD-Yes. The season is fast approaching when it will be necessary to commence these works-the only season during which they can be carried out at all; and that man is not true to his country. that man is not a true patriot, who, fur the sake of a petty parliamentary triumph; for the sake of a fittle party anoyance-for the conduct of the Opposition amounts to nothing more - would endeavor to postpone some definite arrangement on this important question of defence. (Hear, hear.) Yes, Mr: Speakpa, this opposition is either one or the other of two thatg-it is cither for the sake of party annoyance; or it is a deliberate desire to prevent anything being done to defend ourselves, in order that we may easily fall a prey to annexation." (Cheers.) I do not like to believe that honorable gentlemen opposite entertain any wish to become connected with the neighboring republic, and therefore I am forced to the conviction that they are actuated by the miserable motive of gaining a little parliamentary or party success. : Thete are only two alternatives of belicf, and one or the other of them must be correct. (Hear, hear.) I believe the honorable member for Chateauguay is in his heart strongly in favor of a

Federal union of these colonies; but because it is proposed by honorable gentlemen on this side of the House, he cannot and will not support it. (Hear, hear.) So long as my honorable friend the Hon. Finance Minister sits here on the benches, so lorig as Mordecar sits at the King's'gate-(laughter)-and so Jong as the honorable gentleman sits on the opposite instead of this side of the House, so loug will he find fualt and object.. . Hit high or hit low, like the floged soldier, nothing will please him. (Rennewed laughter.) But I believe the House will not sanction such pitiful conduct as honorable gentlemen opposite exhibit. I belieye we will hâve a large, an overwhelming majority, to sustain us in the course we have adopted; and that. we should be highly blameable were we to exhaust the patience not only of ourselves, but of our supporters, by allowing this conduct to be pursued muct longer unchecked. These, sir afe niy answers to the questions of the honorable member fir Chateauguay. (Cheers.)

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-1 have the gatis. faction of having provoked from the hon. gentleman altogether the best' speech he bas delivered during this debate. "So mach I freely admit, and I think his own folluwers will confess that this is the first tine he has sposen with anything like his usual spirit and force during the whole debate: $:$ This was perhaps incvitable, because in his other speech, and notably in his introductory speech, he labored under the consciuusass that the scheme was at rariauce with his own antecedents, and was not approved ot bs anybody. We had, therefore, "at that tiut none of that vivacity, noue of that strength of declathation, noue of that humor' Fith which his brief speect this evening has overfiown. But, sir, to return to the point to which I called your attention when you resumed the chair. To that point the hot:gentleman has not beeu pleased to speat. He has gone off on all sorfs of subjects He has said he will not hold himself bound by the arrangements which he himaelf eutered into at the upening of the debate, He says he does not consider hituself so bound; and I must be allowed to say a wourd or two in reference to his excuse for his departure from that agreement. He says that 1 and other hon, gentlemen on this side have been instrumental in wasting the time of the House". Emphatically I deny that statement. (Hear, hear.) That wo ded eesist the unfair attempts ot the cther side of the House to change the order of the debate
which was deliberately establisked; whereby the debate was to be resunsed every evening at half-past seven, I do not deny: I frankly admit it, and clatim that we were justified in so doing ; at all events' I am prepared to take the respousibility of having contributed my share to that result. But as to the debate on the main mation, I dर्fy the Hon. Attorney Ggneral to indicate one hon. gentleman on this side who has wasted a single moment of the time of theHouse-who has spoken beside the question - and who has spoken: in order to postpone the question and to protract the debatese. And for proof of this assertion, Lreature to say that when we get the extended reports of this debate, it will be found that the space occupied by the speeches of hon orable gentlemen who support this measure is at last twice that which is occupied by the specches of hon. gentlemen. on this side of the House. (Hear, hear.)
Hon: Atry: Gen. CARTIER - That's just, the complaint made on this side, that you will not speak (Laughter)

Ho Ma. HOLTON-Oh; we are masting time by dot speaking-that's the charge? (Laughter.). It is quite obvious that the hoorable genterman's leader would never have made a blunder of that kind, We have wasted the time of tha llunse by not speaking! Well, sir, it is a very novel way of talking agtinst time, by holdiog our tonguger (Laughter.) But, Mr. SHEAKER; I am not going into the goneral debate. I shall ugt $p$ bocetw with this matter further. I ruse for the purpose of appealing to the sease of justice and common fairness of hon. gentlemen: That appeal has been distegardel: They adhere to that unfair step of theirs, and of course we must meet it as we can (Hear, hear').

Hon. ATry Gess CARTIER - Mr. Speaker, tho hoar gantieman found fault With what I stated just now: Büt what I . ouid was quite correct ; and that is, that we wated to give as free scope to the debate as "could be afforded on both sides of the House. $\therefore$ When, however, hon. gentlemen on the other side had their opportanity to spak, they were never ready; and we all remember that on two occasions they actually mured the adjouroment of the House, one aight at nine o'clock, and again, when the hon. member for Bromie (Mr. Dunkin) was unable to continue his speech; at ten o'clock. Some hon. geatlemen on this side had promised to speak, and I well recollect that
the hon. member for Lincoln (Mr. McGiverin) bad to come to their relief, and Gilled up the space in the debate, in order to give the opportunity to the Opposition of being ready on the following day. . (Hear, hear.)

HON Mr: DORION-I cannot allow the Hon. Attorney General West to run a amay from the question by one of the ""artful dudges', for which tie is so well known' in this House and the country. (Hear, hear.) The question put to him by my honorable friend the member for Chateauguay (Hon. Mr: HoLton) was, whether he did not agree to the debate being continued on certain terms, and in suct a say as that full opportunity should be given to hon. members to move their amendments. It is very well for the Attorney General West to say that that arrangament was made, not lor the benefit of the House, not for the adzantage of the public, not for the convenicace of honorable members, but out of mere courtesy by the Government. Sir the proposition was his own:' The hon. gentleman himself cane to the House and stated the manaer in which the debate should be conducted, actually proposing that the rule which prevented honorable members speaking more that once on the same question, with the Speaker ia the chair, should be suspended, in order that every member should have the savie frecdom of discussion as though we were in Conimittee of the Whole." Thit was the"proposition of the Hon Attorney Geacral West himself, thinking it the most proper way to conduct the course of the debate. He sent further, and stated it as his opinion. that after the debate comenced, it should go on each day after half- past seven, leaving the afternoon sitting for the other basiness of the House. This was another of the hon. gentleman's voluntary statements. Then; going on, what do we find? We find the Hon. Attorney General. West, immediately alter, in auswer to my hon. triend on my right (Hon. J.. S. Macdonald), saying :-

His idea was that after the debate commenced, dit should go on each day after half-past seyen, leaviag the afternoon witting for other business.

## And again-

The suspension of the rules he proposed was for the protection of the minority, by allowing each member to speak and state his objections as often as he pléased.
ROS's proposition He egreed that Mr, Camis. RON'd proposition was a reasonable one. The

Government would, in the first instance, lay their case before the House, and through the press betore the country, and then allow a reasonable time for the country to judge of the case as presented by the Governuent. a
The Hon President of the Council also said :-
Althoagh the Hon, Atty. Geain had proposed that the diecusionshould coutinue day after day, he had not sugyested for a moment that the sote shnuld be hurried on; the dehate at any period might be adjourned if deemed necestary, to allow time for the expression of public opinion. There were 130 members, and alinust every member wuild desire to speak onthequètron ; and he thought clearly the proter course was to devote every day, after balf path seven, to the discussion', to allow all muinvers on hoth sides to state their views, that they might gex to the country nide be fully considered:
This, then, was: the manuer in which the Governmeno bromut the proposition before the Huse-the matter way to ve disusad without hurry, and the whole of the 130 meubirs wa the floor of the House were to be allowed to exprest their opiniuns fully, and their, iesw wre to go to the country to be fully weigted and considered. After that we leard the Ilon. Atty Gen. West saying: -
Of course it mas cumpetent to the IHouso ta vote afinint the Alteres at a whote, or to adopt ameudnenso w it fout, it they did so, it would thon be for the Grverumint, whensider whether they would iness the scheme turther on the atten. thon of the LI uns.
Still furdier, the Hou. Itty. Gen - West said:-

All amendments might be moved to that one resolution. It would be the same thing', in fact, as to move them uponexch resolution separately. This, Mr. Speaker, occurred during the prelimiuary discussion.

Hon. Arty Gen. MACDONALD-That is right:
HuN: Mr. HOLTON-But you bâck out of it now.
Hon Atry Gen. CARTIER-Why did you not muve?

Hon : Ir DORION-I was saying that this'occurred in the preliminary discus: sion which took place on the floor of the House wheu the Hon. Atty. Gen. West himself brought in the resolation upon whech the diveussion of this measure should ba based. We proposed that, as the befst protection fige the minarity, we should go into Committe of the Whole; but the Hon.

Atty. Gen. West said that we should have all the adrantages, and more, too, than if we went into committee. He promised that we should be allowed to express oar views as often as we pleased, while we would have the bencfit of greater order being kept, with the Speaker in the ehair; than would be possible in Cummittee of the Whole. We relied upon this agreement being kept, and believed that not only rould nembers be allowed to express their viens without check", but that the public would have time to hold meetings and petition. We therefore couscoted at once to the eight days' adjournument, which mas suggested by the lonorable member for Peel (Hon. J.. H. Chereós), sud which way considered by all a must reasonable proposition. Well, the Government tuok eight days to send their speeches to the country, and four days after the debate was resumed, we find the honorm!e mediber for Montreal Centre (! ! in. Mr, Koos putting a notice on the paper to do a way with the sulemn agreement which was cotered into on the flow of Parliament betiven the honorable members on the ministerial side apd the minority in opposition. (Hear, hear.). The hooorable gedtlenem on the Treasury beaches closed the exposition of their case on the sth of Eebruary. On the 16 th the debate was resumed, and on the elst-Saturday and Suaday iutervenior -juipt tro pights debatiug having takén place in the neantime-the honorable men. ber for Montrial Centre weat to every member to get a round robbia sigued for the pur puse of braking a soleurp agreement; which had been eutered into in good faith, betwet the Guvernment aud the micority. (Hear, thear.) Having failed, after two hightis' dis. cussion, to carry the resolation of which he had givea notice-after, I say, the tonorable mexiber for Moatreal Centre had beenfoiled in his attempt to carry that motiunthe Hon. Atty Gen. West put a notice at the paper to the same effect, thereby assum: ing the responsibility of all that had been done in this respect by the honorable meniber for Montreal Ceatre. And in the absence of the INen. Atty. Gen. West, the Hon. Atty. Gen. Eat muyed that resolution Por breaking the agreement which ho aud his coileagues had solemnly entered into." (Hear, hear.) And, sir, not only did they attempt to break this agreement, so as to prevent discussion ca the part of the minurity, and to stifle the expression of public opinion, which was
manifesting itself at public mectings, which were being held everywhere throughout the country, and making itself know to this House through the right of petition; but we now find the hou. gentlemen taking advantage of ever, rule and technicality known to parliamentary practice to accomplish the same object. (Hear, hear) And, forsooth, the hon gentlemun rises in his place and attempts to justify himelf by calling the Opposition a factious opposition, and by charging it Fith wasting the time of the "Housc. They are ansious to strangle the discussion after five or six days delate, Then mure the had been employed by hon. menbers on that side thau by hon. members on our side, having already succeeded in forcing on the discussion at balf-past thre in the afternoon, instead of halt-past seren; according to the agrement. : And now, sir, we are witnessing thee extraotdinary spectacle of a Goyernment moviagthe "previous question" to their urn motion. ... (Cherrs.), Well, indeed; uight the hon a member for Carleton Mr Powelc) ask if there could be found a precedent for such a course! "Hon gintlemen who can aceomplish such a thing as the ". double shume" can never be uuch embarrased for the want of a precelent. "They who hare so long, by meaus of priliamentary tricks, sueceeded it maintainiog their posithon, are uow in venting a new dodge in order to chake off discusion on this question. Already, sir; have we sien, ou: one celebrated occasion-in the Corrigau case-the Hon. Attorney General West riving in his place and moving a resolution, and atterivards gaviting his own followets wo vote against it. (Cheers.) Aud now, following a similar course, he is proposing the " previous question,". The ubject of which is, in ordinary parliamentary practice, to prevert a rote beige taken ou tho main proposition. Whenever an hou. gentleman dues not want to vote in favor of. the question beture the House, and dares not, vote against it, he moves or gets a friend to - wove the " previoas question," which is that the question be now : put, and votes against it (Hear, hear:) Such is the invariable practice ia England, where parlianentary usage is better known than in this country, and we here find a governmeat resoring to a similar dodge in reference to a measure of their own, and the most important measure that was ever brought before the conutry.

Hon. J.' S. MACDONALD-And a strong government, too.

How. Ma. DORION-Yes, and a strong government, as my honorable friend saysa government which boasts of having an immense majority, and of having the power to oarry such measures as it pleases. It is such a government as this, I suy, which is dragging its supporters still deeper through the mire-mehich is saying to them ": You shall vote for the scheme without putting your Miews on record, and without giving the peoplean opportanity of expressing their opinion in the usual constitutional manaer." (Hear, bear.) But mhat do they gain by such a-course? They acknowledge it will not stop discussion.: And thus they will not gain a single hour or a single minute in point of time. But this they will gain-if their supporters are blind enough to follow them -those who are pledged to their coustituents not to vote for the scheme without first submitting it to the people, will be forced into eating up all the promises that they have made while a the presence of their constituents. It may be possible that they. will find sone who will thas, following the example shown them by the Government. gire the dental to their solemu promises, and turn their breks on the pledges they have given-they may fud, I say, a few of their followers doing this ; but I shall be much mistaken if the majority of the menbers of this Howse who haye gone to publio meetings in the country-who have met their constituents face to face, and who hare faithfully pledged themselves to" vote for an appeal to the people, will bo dragged, as the honorable gentemep on the other side attew pt to drag them, into doing that which their ovincousciences and theit promises to their constituents alike forbid. (liear, bear.). It will be discreditable to this House,' should honotablo members be found in such a position-if, by a were dodge of this kind, Ministers themsclies can not only break their own promises, but coimpel their supporters to break their promises as well. I hope, for the hovior of this House aud the cunntry, there will not be found one of those who have promised to vote for an appeal to the people, recording his vote for the question now before the Chair: Let it be clearly understoud, that every honorable member who zotes for the previous question declares against any amendment being moved to the main motion,
against any expression of opinion on the part of the members of this House being placed on record. In voting, too, "for the "previous question," he also votes to coadone the breach of faith of which honorable gentlemen have been guilty towards this House. And, sir, tonorable gentlemen must have sunk very low in the estimation of their own friends, when two or three of their warmest supporters hate to rise, one after the other, to charge them, as was done this afternoon, with a breach of faith, and with not having kept their promises to this House and to the country." (Hear, hear:) In my opinion, the honorable gentlemen would have shown a little more dignity and self-respect had they not thus exposed themselves to the taunts of their own friends. But I cannot believe that the House will consent to be led away by the desterous. management of the Hon. Attorney Gencral West -by the fictitious indigation which he is always ready to summon to his assistance, and with which he has burst upon the House today. In respect to . the factiousness of the Opposition, I repeat that I never witnessed in this House such "a spectacte as that which has just been displayed by hon. qentlemen on the other side. Vever, in my hife, did I heir a strong government rising In its place, and upon a gucstion of this mads nitude, iuvuling the deares interests of the country, exclaiming-" You shll accept the scheme as a whole; you shall not even have the "opportunity of maving a" singlo autudanent" The bouorable gentleman; sir, treated ay an absurd proposition that of the hourable member for North Ontario -which is also the desire of the Lower Provinces-for a legislative... union, with guaratites for the laws, languge and religion of the inhabitants of Lower Canada, zustead of a Federal uniua. But, sir, is it not the cane that a great many members of this II, usë, nay, some in the Administration; wuuld prefer that to the proposed scheme of Federation? Is it not also the case that in Nuya Scotia, Mon: Mr Howe has set his face agairist Federation, and is a strong advocate uf legislative union, whith the honorable grotlemen opposite treat as an absurdity. Will, sir, whether it is an absurdity or not, every houorable member of this House ought to bave'an opportanity to' put his viess on$y, \therefore$ record, and of saying-il want a legislative union, and not a Federation; I want an elective, and not a nominative Council:".
(Hear, Hear.) Sir, the honorable gentlemen say that a legislative union is an absurdity, that an appeal to the people on this question is also an"absurdity; but this is only in keeping with theirwhole course of conduct, which is to treat the people of this country with contempt, and altogether to disregard the wishes of their representatives in Parliament. (Hear, hear:) Not only do they treat this side with contempt, bat they treat with even greater contempt their own friends, whom they are trying to coerce into approval of their unconstitutional course of condact. (Cbeers)
$\therefore$ Hoñ. J. S MACDȮALD resumed his speech, which was interrapted at the diuner recess, by saying:-

His Grace proceeds to point out a course. which, if followed, would most assuredly secure the accomplishmént of the object he had in riew: He says:-
Whatever other stepo may be taken for the improved organization/of the nilitia, it a ppears to Her Majesty's Government to bo 'of essential importance hat ite administration and the supply of funds for its support, should be exeinpt froti the distarbing acticr of ordinary politics. Cnlees this be done, there can be no contidence that in the appointment of oflicers and in other matien of a purely military character, no other object than the efliciency of the furce is Keph in view. Were it ant that it might faily be considered too great an interfereace with the privinges of the representatives of the people, I stiodid be inclium to suggest that the charige for ithe malitis, oriz 3 cortan fixed porticn of it, should be deffrayed from the Consolidated Fund of Canada, or voted for a perivi of thrive or tive years.
I trust the House will bear with me while I read the opinion of the Canadian Government on this extraordinary proposition :-
Another suggeston" etabraced in His Grace"'s despatch is weil calculated to excite surpicise. Your Excellency's advisers allude to that portion of the despatch in which His Grace proposes th remove the control of funds required for mititia purposes from the domaiy of lariamont. His Grace is evadenty aware that the proposition is wears the aspect of ' an interference with the privileges of the representativiss of the people," and is is certain that any measure liable to this construetion never will be, and ought not to "be"entortaned by a people inhenting the freedimguaranteed by British instatutions. The Imperial Parliament guards will jealous care this means of maintaning the military and naval foress of the Erapire. Its appropriations are annually voted, and not the most powerful minister has dared to propose to the House of Commons the abandonmeat of itis controlling power for in period of five
years:- If the disturbing action: "of ordinary politics" is a reason for remoring the final direc tion of military preparatiuns from Parliament, it is in every sense as applicable in England as in Canadd. What the House of Commons would not under any circumstances of danger entertàin, is not likely to be eatertained by the Legislature of Canada. Whatever evils are iucident to representitive institutions, the peopile of a British province will not forget that they are trivial in comparison with those which are inseparable from arbitrary authority. Popalar liberties are only safe when the action of the people retains and guides: the policy of those who are invested with the power of directing the affairs of the country. They are safe against military despotism; wielded by a corrupt government; ouly when they have in their bands the means of controlling the supplies required for the maintenance of a military organzation
I will now quate one more extract from the same report, which will exhibit the opinion enteriained at that time by us in relation to the political union of the provinces. What I au now about to read was written in answer to a propusition made from the Colonial of fice that a fund should be raised by the Bratish North American Culonies, and which shoull be expended under the direction of the secretary of State for the commun defence of the whole country. The extract here cited wh place the House ta a position to under: tyod what was then intended to be done :-

A "union fer defence is proposed by His Grace the Secretary of Siate for the Colonies-a union withe British North American Pronnces, for the formation and unamtenance of one uniform system of mitary organizution and training, having a common detensive tund, and approved by fifer Majesty's Guvernment-x union whose detalls would emanate from the Secretary of State, and whase management would be entircly independent of the several local lesislatures. Your Excel. lency's ailvisers liave tho hesitation in expressing the opintuth that any alliance of this character canms at prosent be eatêtrained. Aa Interco lutal Builway seepus to be the dirst step towards any more intimate relations between the British Surth Aneritan Provinces than those which now ex.st. The constructionterven of thas work is by no "meatis certain; although this Government, luoking at it mainlyas a means of defence, has entertained the preliminariet, in eommon with deisgates from the provinces of Nova Scotia and Sitw Brunswick. It is premature, just now, to quevalate upon the piossible poltical consequences which way uever be consumnated. Certain it is, however, that there can be no closer intercolonial union of aris kiad until incrased fectities tor itstercommumication ary provided; and equally certain that the provinees, supposiag them to be hereatier unted, will nevor consribute to an expensive system of defence, unless it be subject
to their own control. Speaking for Canada, Your Excellency's advisers are sure that this pro: vince will continue to claim the exclusive right of directing the expenditure of the public moneys.
Sir, these were the replies to the various propositions submitted by His Grace in relation to our contributions towards the defence of this country, and to the means for supplying the same. If different ground is now taken by honorable gentlemen on the Trea: sury benches, it seems to me that they abandon the rights which belong to a free people-the right of controlling the expenditure of our own money, the denial of which caused the revolt of the A merican colonies in 1776. In the observations I have made on the question of defence, and the willing: ness of the people of this country to contribute their share, I wish to be understood that the proportion asked of us shall be according to our ability. : What I say is that in the condition in which the country is at this moment. it would be idle for u's to undertake an outlay which would hopeless! $y$ embarrass ourr exchequer. Tu organize a large force in connection with the outlay for fortifications, would require is large number of men, who would be withdrawu from the indusiry of the country-and, that industry being heavily taxed; without any retura beiug expected;-and the soil refusing perhaps to be as prolific as in other years, most serious embarrassiments would overtake us' in the attempt to defend ourselves in a war which we had done nothing to provoke. And, having no knowledge of the Imperial poling which might bring about such a war, [ say it becomes the people of this country, before they undertake a large outlay for defence or military organisation, to consider what por tion we can bear of the burdens sought to be imposed upun us. (Hear, hear.) I say nothing of the sensational style of speaking which the Attorney General, West geis up about other topics, in order to get away fom the point raised by my honorable friend from. Chateanguay, who stated the case in a way that'any one who desired might have met it fairly. When a plain answeer is wanted to a pointed question, hooorable gentlewen apposite invariably fly off to something else. I I will not allude to the debate which incidentally followed after the recess this évening, and before I resumed my observations a litile while ago, farther thán to make a remark on the statemsnt of the Attorney General West, that I sneered at the question
of defence. The hororable gentlemanstopped there, and I do not kiow what he inteuded to add. I suppose it was to be the same courteaus and elegant language which he addressed to my hodorable friend the meinter for Chateau uay-languzge which, 'se regards 'its' audacity and vituperative character, no other member of this House wuld coudescend to use. Complaints from this side of the conduct of the Government "generally, the honorable gentleman meets by getting up in a dreadful fury, and singhing out honorable gentlemen on this side for personal attack. Such conduct, I think is unworthy of the leader of this House. (Hear, hear.) I deny that I have ever suecred at the detence question. During my life, it has been more than a sentiment with ue-it has been a-principle that this country shoula be detended. I know it is a duty: we uwe to the Empire, as a self goveriong colony, to cuntribute a fair proportion of our meatus for defence:- And I am sure I speak the srotiments of every honorable member on this side, when I say that we are prepared, to the extent of our resources, to contribute all wé can for that object. But it to uot unly that-we are called upon to contilbute means for our defence; we shall be called upoy also, in the time of dayger, to coutibute men, to shed the best blood of the countiy, to: sce our fields devastated, our towns destroyed, our trade and commere rutoed. Ill these are consequences of a state of war, which wust necessarily fall upon us, in the erent of that calamity arising. We hate all that to consider, and we have the consciousness also that, without a' very large anount of Imperial aid, it would be impossible for us for a long time to resist án iuvasion of this country. But, while taking this ground, let us not be led away by this buncoube talk of loyalty-by the dragging in of the name ot the Sovereigu and the name of the Governor General by hou. gentlemen opposite. To over-awe and whip in their supporters, they say to them that they must do what they bid them, because the Queen Las said this, and the Governor Geveral has said that, and they constantly refer to " loyalty." For my own part, 1 never iavoke the aid of that term-for I always take if for gracted that men are loyal, unthl they prove by word or deed that they are disloyal: (Hear; hear.) The imputations cast on our loyaley are a gratuitous insult offered to true briturs, who have proved th times past, and are ready to prove again,
their loyalty and their valour-men, whose aftachaicnt to the soil on which they were boru makes theni still more ansious to keep' their hearths and firesides free from the pollution of the invaler "Those' whe have come here oniy yesterday cannot feel the strength of the ties which bind $u$. to our native land ; and yet they have the audacity to charge us with being annexationists.. Sv far from sulmituing to this imputation, $I$ charge the gentlemea on the Treasury benches, by the course of levislation they hare introdued-by the sudden manuer in which they have changed their tactics, and proceeded to orsanise a clonstitu tion" which faniliarises the people of this country more to American instututions than anything ever dote bure betore-I charge them with having dowe nuch, to hasten annẹation. I put it to hunorable gentleneen whether the outside talk of annesation is not assuming a very alarming aspect. .. (Ironical crics of " Hear "'hear' from the Ministeriat benches!: Yes, an I I charge henorablegen tlemen with the fatah entisequence of placing the issue befure the Eughesth public, the people of this country, and the people of the Euited States-that eitherthis sellmade, unauthorised Cunstitution pust be supported, or else the rejection of it wil be ${ }^{*}$ tantamount to annesation, and consequently that we are annesationists at heart who do vot apptove of this measure. We, who rase our vuices houestly aganst the setheme, butug desirous rally to perpetuate gur condection with the Mother Country, and to defend this proviuce with the meates we hase, are to be stigmatised as annexationiste hy tho Manster of Agriculture; who sends it furth to the world, that there are annexabubsts nut ouly here but dowa in the Lower Pr,vinces.a Hf . forsooth, is the mian of all-viliers to talk abous. loyalty : I have listened with discust-oh: oh ") -with disgute, at the assumption with which the honurable qentletwan passes judgmeat on thuse who will be fuad standiag by the British thig when he will he nowhere. (Hear, hear.) les; 1 con nearcely resteain wy anger whea 1 hear that honorable gentleman reading us a tecture on loyalty. It is "Satan reproviag sin," Whep be gets into a goverament with a number of superlogal gendeman, he forsooth must stigmatise as disloyal every one who will not go jas: his qua way.

Hon. Ma. McGEE-I had staid all these things you refer to, $b$ sfore you tout me "into your governament. (Laughtero)s.

Hov. J. S. MACDONALD - Whilst the hoorrable gentleman was with us, we kept him'as close as we could, and it: was a hard tazk (Lughter.). We managed, however, to keep him right, and he took his part in settling the principles which were laid dewn in the answer we gave to the Duke of Neqgasie.

Hiv. Ma. YcGEE-Some of the views hid dryan in that document are very good.
Hov. J. S", MACDONALD-And no duobt when he disigrees vith the gentlenen "inth wh ta he is now associated, and teaves them as he lut us, he wit have different rigiss agina:
 ta your.
Hov. J. S. MADDONALD-The bonordela g ntheman was gha to come to us. It Wasthe first lift he fot in Canadx

IIOY. Ma. McGEE-I Inier sught you.
Huv J. A. MACDONALD-I was ted (ins) this digression in cunsequence of the cauts aud imputations cant upún us this aycuins by the ledder of the House. We were beliged to ha fir saying a this shesh at $t$ or opening of this debate, that we se allogal iu this cuntry; and yethe AtLentey Gearal Finst in his spoech made on the thinaday, sat therew re aunexationfots here-there were the Jugn Buegall pary and the extreme demoeratio party. It is mat for ime to reconcile the spatements of the tuy hoiorable suathenca. Ofe stys there
 Gre How Attoney Cemaral Ent apuke of a duapatuon sutment in Montreal. Whether he 解right or net, "we ktow that that chy becane dutorious firr.. 墦 annesation predreties at a former "thme. With resard. to the prongetity of the e untry, and its conditw at this presut time, I have some Wherer tons, to mate and will leave the Hinue th deduce ther from how far the Diminatratiou will be justifable in asking fote this House Authority ti) mater the outhy, which they may propoe for purpuses of detence. I have said that the cry of whexativen has arisen frum the attempt ande by hourable geatienea opposite to shape onar Censtitulina after the Aperican wadel. Aud there is nothing mote natural that, whin ti.e commere of the country is a a stamboll, when iodebledness presses hard and heary upon the tarmera and mechancs as : Well ws merchants, and all hranches of trade ars depressed - puthing is
more natural than that people should look sumewhere for relief. This leads me to state that the desire for change-which it is said this proposed scheme is intended to mecthas not been produced so much by any sectional difficulties, as by the enibarrassments which have overtaken the country: Make. the institutions of this country analogous, except ia some very trifling instances of difference, to those of the United States, and let us feel that our commerce is too limited, and embarrassments have overtaken us -and the result will be that the policy of honorable gentlemen opposite with regard to this question will make people look to the States, in spite of themselves. I wish to shew that the state of the country tep years ago was much more prosperous than it is nows. The concition in which we found ourselves in $185 \%$ "and 1853 justified"us to great estent in going intó a large indebtedoess for the Grand Truak. And probably the healthy condition of the farminginterest and of every branch of trade at that time, justified to some extent the enactment at the Manicipal Loan Fund Act, which epabled municipalities 'to borrow uioney for all sorts of improvenients. Having referred to the state of prosperity which then prevailed,' I shall nest allude to the cause, which, in my judgrent', moro than ayything else contributed to produce - the divastrous difficulties which have since uvertakeu the country. I first quote from the despatch of Lord Elans in 1852, to show what was our condition about that period, when transmiting to the Colouial Olfice the Ca nadian Blue Book for the previous year:-
I had the bonor, with my despatch, No. 2, on the $9 t h$ September, to transmit two copies of - Tables of the Trade and Navigation of the Province of Canada for 185 t,'" and I nowenclose the Blueg Book, tugether with a printed. copy of the "Accounts of the Province," and of a Report by the Commissioner of Public. Works for the same yeare These ducaments furnish much gratifying uvidence of the progress and prosperity of the colony, and justay the anticipations on this head expressed in my despatch, No. 94 , of the list August, 1851, which accompanied the Bliue Book of 1850.
That is thic official statement made by the then Governur Gener-l to the Mother Country: And what dues he say in the following year? In 1853 , after groing over a number of taets, shewing the advancement of trade and commerce, and the general progreis of the country, he says, in the last sentence but one of his despatsh:-

I euclose the supplement of a local newspaper, which coutains copies of the addresses that were presented to me at yarrious points in mr progress up the Ottawa. Your Grace will observe with satisfaction ike uaiform testimony which thes bear to the prosperity of the country and the content. mènt"of the inhabitants. Reports which reach me from other parts of the province speak on this point the same language. Canada bas enjoged seasersis of prosperity before, but, it is deubtful whether any previous period in the history of the culony can be cited at which there was so entire an absence of thone butter persenal and party animostries whech divert attention from materiad interefts, and prevent "o-cperation for the public suad.
I"could quote aly from the essays "written at that time by the matuber for South Lanark (Mr. Montris), the 'Solicitor General East (Hun. Mr. Livaevis), and the late Joyn Snmidas Hogas, to shew the unprecedeuted progress which was being made by C'anadaiat that time. And what was the first thing to mar that prosperity" II wish to call the attention of honora le gentlemen to the fiet that the first step in brioging about the embarrasment we are now laboring urder, was the repealof the loury laws. In the first place, the bill of the honorable member for 'outh Oxford (Hua" Mr. Brown in 1 ain, took away the penalty attached to leudme nency at usurious rates Money was then got treely-tarmers and othrery borrowed hearry-and we commenced cur dowaward corecr. Afterwards all restrictions on the lemune of money were taken off. At first pepple could get money at six per ceat, but 'afterwards capital came in from abroad, and therccuntry was floded with umoey, but at unlinited interest: "I appeal to honorable gentemen, who represent the farming portions of Upper Cauada-I appeal to humor: able members for Lower Cabab, if thicy gan rise in their places and say that the coudition of this country at preenat is not deplorable, that there is aut an anount of private indebteduess which is frighteul to contumplite? And why is thes: It is berause $\because$ ianay are borrywing money on aceunt of the tacility of obtaining it at hish rates, then, getting embarrassed, they brrow for three or tour years more at 15 or 20 per $\cdots$; liext they have to burfow at 80 or 40 per exat, and linally are stripped of ther property and ruined.

Mr. A. MCKEXZIL- rives the hotorable gentleman want in auswer tu the appeal he mide a moment ago?

Hon. J. S. MACDONALD-Certainly.

Mr: A. MACKENZ!E-Well, I have to say for one, that while the e is a considerable amount of money borrowed in the part of the country which $I$ represent mysolf; there is. an amount of accumulated wealth there tenfold what it was at the time the honorable gentleman has referred to ; and there is not anythiug like that amount borrowed now that therewas at that time (Hear, heary)

MR: STIRTON.-I have no hesitation in endorsing the $t$ stateinent, as applicable also to the part of the country which I repescut,

Mr. A. MACKENZIE-And I should have added that money can be borrowed at tower rates tow than at the time referred to.

Hos J. S. MACDONALI-TVell, it appears that I hare the testimony of two hunurable gentenien against me. As regards the statement of my honorable friend who comes from the Oil spriug, we can casily understand why money has dowed in there, where the self a hundred acres for a million of dullar-and why, at the tiuse his section of country has becotue rich, other parts of the c untry may remain poor. (llear, hear.) At the time the uniry haws were repealed, I had the ho:or, Mr."Spaken, to be in the seat which you now oceupy, and I hat therefore no opportunity of urgng my opposition to the bill theti brousht betore the House by the houorable, member for South Oxford (Hon. Mr. Buown) with all that eneryy and carnestuess which eliaracterise that hourable genteman. . But whenere the atterupt was subsequently made to reatere the usury haws or to reitag ose the restre tions on the rate of interest, my vote will always be found to have bees with those who were opposed to what is called free trade in money, aud to day I teel more satistied than ever that it "te the repeal of the usury lass. Which las brught about a large anount of the depression and the dificultes under which the country now suffers it is true that for two ur three years after the repeal of the usury haws, the cutatry was pres: perous. I'ropesty wis vilued at epprmous rates; lared amoants were borrowed from the Municipal: luan Fund; and were speat ou local improvements geaerally, yielding no return whatever. Thea there were large sums berrowed from the different moneyed corporations ifat came into the countrysach as the (anada lanan and tasi Cour-paty-the Trust and low. Con pay'mand the various insuranco sompanics that are daily investing their surplus fauls in valu:
able property in this country. Where does that noney go.? It does not remain here." It is drained off in the dividends of the banks, and of the various companies that are lending at usurious rates of interest, " It is 'going out of the country: And what do we get in return?. Möre facilities' for borrowing. And I a $k$ honorable gentlemen frou Upper Canada-I do not know how far this is applicable to Lower Canada-whether it is not true that an immense number of our youth, now in the armies of the United States, have gone away because the properties held by their fathers are so heavily mortgaged that they had no hope of retrieving thems Speaking for my uwn : section, I can say that there is scarcely a young man who, can now look forward, as was the rule ten or twelre years ago, to succeeding his father in the Tamily homestead. I Iy theu that this gencrally depressed state of the country, without any prospect of relief, causes a darge amount ot uneasimess in the public mind. And there is no doubt that a goud deal of the feeling. in favor of the scheme which honorable gentenisu take errdit for, is influenced by the deste to look for some change," as; a relie! from the depression under which we labour. Tud I am not without authority for the etatement I am yow making. I shall read from an article published ouly a day or two ago by vere, whose tiame I am sure is well knowi to the commercial community generally-who has contributed more that any one else to the statistics of uur trade and comraerce by his habors' in Toronto, and subsequently in Moutreal-1 allude to the Editor of the Trate Leview. I shall read from that article, and shall then ask the House to say whether I have been exaggerating. I am now spaking more of the condition of our farmers, and those who have been induced to borros on account of the facilities afforded for getting money; I shall come presently to speak of the trade and commerce of the country; and shall prove from the same source that the statistics of our trade shew both to be in a Jeplorable coodition:" I de this to show that we should aot bliadly incur an innmense liability in the matter of defence, when we have no means of meeting the outlay that imay ve imposed upon us. When the Hon. Solhcitor General (Hon. Mr. Lanarvin) and the member for St. Johu's (Mr. Bourrassa) had in race every year to see who would be toremost in briaging in his bill to reduce the rate ofinterest, the member for South Osford (Hon. Mr. Brown) of course insisted on the
maintenance of his pet scheme, which, in my opinion, kas done more harm to the country than anything else.: I regret that the House should have agreed so far with the honorable gentleman in maintaining that policy. As I said before, in a country like this, where our wealth is in our lands, where we own but little money-when our crops fail, how can we meet the extravagant demands made upon us by those from whom we borrow? But I will proceed to rcad what the Trade Revieco of February last says of our present laws on the subject of usury :-

The framers of these laws evidently intended them, we think, to protect the trader and the farmer from the extortions of money lenders; and, as such, they may have been suited for the time, when banking was solely in the hands of one or two corporations, which, of course, were monopolists: But competition has now fairly effaced all; possibility of oppression from such a source. These laws, in fact, instead of guarding the interests they were intended to protect; only servo to drise their representatives into the enemy's quarters, and leave them int the mercy of the oppressor. Mercantile paper, which our bauks ate not willing to di, count at seven per cent., is handed by the needy trader-who is'm want of money to meet the pressing demands of some creditor, or to retire sume notes falling due-to a brokert, by whom, perthaps, after getting a bond over pate of the trader's property, the paper is discounted at ar rate more nearly assimilated to that at which respectable bankers ate selling . "eurrent lund" dratis upoin Nesy York" (say tifty per sent daseount) than a far rate for commercial paeer. This is the kind of protection our usury laws affori. Rather a rude nurste, we should call. them, for ont undeveloped "resources, and our nifinnt manufactires."
That is the language of the reviewer, une Whose business it is to review, not only the monetary coudition and the commerce of the country, but every branch of our indastry and trade, and he seals" with his julgment the statemients, which have been madeas tu the deplorable condition into which the existing usury laws, in this and in former yeary, have brought the country, That is one of the consequences of free trade in money. The honorable neuber for South Osford in answer to a remark from this side, said this, afternoon that the commercial interests of Upper Canada were in a most prosperous condition.

ILun. Mr. BROWN-I did not say "a most prosperous condition." What 1 said. wis this-that the houorable nember for Chateauguay had exaggerated the difficulties now existing in Upper Canada; that the
troubles in the United States, short crops and other couses had cauised a depression in Upper Canada; but that this, I considered, was merely temporary, and that with one or two good crops all this would disappear.

HoN. J. S. MACDÓNALD-But these are hard traths which I have been reading. Aud I thithe it is better to tell frankly our condition, than to base our estimates on a condition which we do not really' enjoy. 'Let us not sund"out extravagaut stateanents about our situation which will not Etand the test of an impartial scrutiny, Let us rather make knowa here and in the Mother Cuautry our real resources, than make false representdtions of a state of prosperity which does not exist. Then this is our prospect, as stated by the editor of the Triude Reviex, and honorable gentlemen must remember that our present prospects have a great deal to do with the comers thay shold take in latis. latiog on the tuture coustriution of our $\because$. ernment:-
A viargyeneral derree of ancinty is apparent amoni mercantife men as to: the prospects of a healting trade dutin? the coming season. There are so many untivurable curcumstances combi: ning to aftect our commerce, that this auxiety is be no tre the without a cause. Excessive impritafions last vear, mplynn la de internal and foreisn ind beteless ; "decreased exports, equally imply ing math ity (1) radily reduce this medebteduesis. are facts that on thempelses are sufficient to ureate a marked ilatise the the mediate condition of trade. Thete cen be no doubt but that the grain crop thrusidut Wesiern Cacada falls short of even dimmshedexpectation, the fine sloi hing of the past two monath having failed to induce deli veries to art, large extent by farmers. Putiris into aceiunt, howerer, that throufhsut the auturun the debreties were insigniticant, it, was general $y$ anticpated that durint the winter hes amount if prudice to be brought out: would be very late. But unfortunately potwithstandin? a connamatice of excellent rivads, a very great pressure for monegy and a fair demand at madelate ratrs, at no puint in all the provinge hate the receipts yet reached those of prevous years. The only meterence is, that the crop is not ouly a short ine, but that the money being realised for it fals far shyrt if general expectation: The result mast be to materially lessen the debe-payng power of the people, and render them less likely to make new purchases. Not ouly will this be: the internal effect, but when it is understood that one section of the province will require for cons: sumption rety nearly anl the surplus produce of the othifr, the difficulty to discharge foreign indebtedness is intensified."

[^14]bable 'excess" in Upper Canada will be more than straljowed up in Lower Canada. "The article goes ou to say :-

Another cande for anxiety is the general condition in which the retait trade of the country is found. The inmerous failures that are daily. occurring, and the wretched dividends which real estates are likely to pay, indicate a condition of things not at all desirable. Notionly is there constantly apparent a mantegt lack of capacify, but, as ne reinaked last waek. a degree of ras cality" is being developed, which cannot fail to be highig injurious to general cubudence. We donot now, prosose to cuamerate the canses for the se frequent easuslties, of pioint but the polteg of trade that has moduced them: at is sutheient to say, that recent events make it more than usuilly lucumbeht upos inporters to scan their credis, very dosely; to deven darge amoutis in lew
 satery tather than prosit. We are hardy another canso to ace uont tor the anxicty of merchanti, as t" the future liut anmter cause wo haver ming anitried mice wheh the banks will of itcesegty he commeded to purshe. All that we have been

 small movenent of produce imphes an equaly small carculation of bills a my lack of cungidene in the retal tral. will hasten the pohcy whets
 traction-nto laree cups of the mran3. of the leadag. matitutions. Eben the odiamy en dumb ot affars, the baths would yit do other than contrat in a year of ghore crops and lum prices. But another cause tor cuntraction will te
 now in depoth. The passane of the then Bo may have oige of two effera-het, it may ciso

 peparationtior acheha whdrawal; theuht it eved aever take place. Eaher cunsequence mathot is converstan anto bulhos of anme propary nat as


 hons. 'I his propurtion will dubutlems bu" mai" caned and any ropsiderable draftes the inements: will be met by bill, of exchange on Enethmet, the banths enther using their (Tedix the'e, whithithey can do with intereat at tive arer cent., or they can Bril the securtiges on which their treigh degusho are inves ed.
Sir, there is the duture, drawa only last: month, of the condition of Lipper Casadashort crops and nothide to export; and nuhting staring us in lare face but actual distress and actud mant. They, if that is itumideat does it not belsvive us"to regard closely the condugt of the gentlemen on the Treasury benches? We ought to adnonish them not to go heedlesty and needlessly
into extraragance which this country canot bear." (Hear, hear.) "The effect" of this legrsation, the unhinging of the public. miul, and the high expectations formed of the mantage, which are to result from the aloption nt thit scheme of a new Constituthe -all they things have contributed to. make the perple unhmpy and to drive the populatima cout of the cotutry. (Heary hear I put it to the, Monse, whether the honorable zentlemea on the Treasury benehes have not given, as the inait excuse for pressing the 'Confedention sotieme, the immiuent hugerowhich surrundy us. Does-the puigtagt ehonse, thit country, where the canat-proflably invest his capital; where he, chmot fixy protitable cuiployment on his arrivel; bor fands in convenient situations, which he can ountry to immediate wee. where extratasance has ben" induced by the fuctitites afforded for borrowing and for 'wid speciulations:' aml above all, where he expecte ted be abde upon to perform militay dities in the face of a porefful enemy "mandatys on' the borders "ut his. new home. I thuk that if, in tho face of all trea ciredultatices, the gintlenen on the Tramary thenches pledwe the avelves to an uremare authe, we aght to be told now what ate the prapect in store tor the
 hay pe shast on that point. We know hiy, hye ver, from part axperience-we has, that it will be impossible for us to. : zuate the empluetof the homable gentio. ma on the Trianiry benchess when they get (t, " 1 , inmestrect, surrounded by the minnoue which will meet them there . Nir, -w. hawe weafun fir alarm, We remember that when If on. Mr. Hacks went to Eagland ta 150 t, yotwithatanding we had voted
 tor the Granil. Truak, he returned to 'amala jast in tithe to call Parliament Wexia a day or the preseribed period apputated for at, mertinge and proposed, as the . qupurtant' meanare for that "session. \&oundoustr: aditival; and this vote was tored taruighiparliament duriag the folbiwno semim, when it tratispired, for the tirst tixue, that the agrement to adrance this sum out of the public exchequer had. been caterellinto, by Mr Mrncks and Lord Elole whilst in London: We are now called upon to give these genilemen a vote of credit ; to give them the control of "a large sum of money, to spend as they think
proper; to allow them to betake themselves to Eughnd to bind us to an agreement for time to come: (Heag hear.) We see, sir, day after day, as have said before, how gentlemen come to this House and disregard the pledges they have made their constituents. Once in their places here, they forget the vows by which they obtained them. I could give a long list, in my experience of a quarter of a century in this House, of members who have betrayed the confidence reposed in them by the people who elected them. (Hear, hear.) Is it vain to appeal to members now to contrown the power the Government are asking from us, after we have protested against this sort. of thing year after year; when wo are refused those explanations which should be given to this House; when the country is deeply embarrassed, I fear, beyond redemption? (Ifear, hear.) I have to apologise to the House for the length of time during which I have occupied "its attentiou. But I hope the House will believe this, that $I$ am not actuated by any factious motives in this matter. (Hear; hear.) I stand here as one who has no vote of his to recall; as one who has alwass maintained that, nader our Constitution, as it is, prosperity and eojoyment might begecuren, with all their conconintants, were to free from demagogueism, which has produced a very large proportion of the difficalcies by which we arte suiprounded. (Hear, hear) I think I have demoustrated that there is sufficient cause for alarin to make us antious for the future. For all we know; we may find ourselves in a ${ }^{2}$ very awkward predicament when the question turtis upon Confederation or annexation." I sincerely resret to notice the prevalence of: this tone of anuexation, and I say that, since the honorable gentlemen opposite got on the Treasury benches; this toue lat been minch more decided on this question than ever before. (Hear, hear) Sir I need only refer to the dectaration of the honorable Premier in the other llouse; who stated the other day that we were on an inclined plane towards annesatiow, but which the Coviederation scheme was' calculated to arrest. I regret also, as much as any one, the position in which we are placed; and that, with such a large population, we are, like mendicants, knockiag at the door of the Lower Provinces, imploriag them against their will to step in to save un, forsoothi, from destruction. (Hear.) It is no wonder that the
people there refuse to cast their lot with ours, after hearirg the opinion the honorable gentlemen on the Treasury benches have so frequently expressed of each other. And what will be the consequence if an attempt is made to coerce them? Why, they will-be like the damsel who is forced to marry against her will, and who will, in the end; be most, likely to elope with some one clse. (Hear, hear, and laughter.) With the tricks which the gentlemen on the Treasury benches know so well to play, we will only hasten the day when the lower Provinces will perbaps endeavor to withdraw from the Mother Country and seek another alliance. I resume my seat, sir, regretting the manuer ia which the Government have tried to stifle the full and free discussion of this yreat question. (Cheers")

Mr. COWAN-I canotagree altogether, Mr. Speakei; with the houorable menber for Curnwall as to the causes which led to. the prosperity of this conntry from $185 t^{\text {to }}$ to 1858, nor yet with the pieture lio draws of our present circunstances. That hon: gentheman attributes our prosperity to the repeal of the Esury lawis.: 1 do not doubt but that the repcal of the Lsury laws had some effect. but there were other cautes which had mach more tu do maproducing that prosperity than the epeal of the laury lais: In the first pace we imported money by the milliun tó buth ver rahways, abd in the sécond phace, nit vily hat wo abundant, harvests, Lut onhert er fo in wher enumies gaye us fabulhus prees foreverythits we rased. histead of epinty or nuery conts, wheat was wuith two dollars a bushel and upwards, with milers scouring hé country with teatus toe enry it trou the barn to the mall. Such a tide of prosperity Mr" Spenkhk, never set in on ary country; the risult way that unhinged the sober calculation of atmost everybody and we rau nuto debt individually, numelipally, and provincially, as it pay day had never been tie come. Whell to do farmrs, whe perhaps a thousand dellars or two is their pocket; thought they might puichave an adjuniug farm, but it was well if they eiped with the lows of the money paid downo ras sacriticed ere the vew tarm way paid for, "Whte houses planued and built then' have wot jet received their furniture. But, Mr. Sheaker, if our prosprity was upprecedeated, so were our reverses. ? The commercial crisis of 1858 came on us when we were
almost without a crop. The disastrous frost of the 11th of June destroyed the one-half, if not three-fourths, of the fall wheat.Spring wheat-all except the Fife sort, then but sowu-was so blighted. as in many instances not to be worth the cutting. And many "a farmer was not only destitute of potatoes to eat; but had eren to purchase his next year's seed. The ouly article from which numerous farmers got any retura-wes surplus stock, which that seasou brought fair prices-lean as well as fat-in the American markets. But these peverses were not without a salutary effect. All speculation was instantly stopped. Farmers began to practice anew frugality and cconomy, and turned their attention to rearing stock as well as cereals. The consequence is that the country has in a great measure recovered from the shock of 1858 , and, notwithstanding rather short crops, and comparatively low prices, I caunot help thinking that the hon. member for Cornwall takes altogether too gloomy a view of the state of the country: But though I eannot coincide with the gloomy riews of the inember for Corawall, neither cail accept the brght proanect of the member for South Wellingtonas being desciptive of the agrentural interest, generally, throughout the proynte: It is all very iwell fur my hon: frund, who reoides in one of the most terthecountics ind'rama and whose farmers duvote gher attentighto reariug stock-stock secunt to tone hathe. province $\frac{2}{\text { to talf ot agricultural prosperity. }}$ But in less farofed sections at chinut"be demied that there is much "individnal suffering chused by the milye"and the unprecedented drought of " lant onamer. (Hear, hear )"

Hon Ma LAFRAMBOLSE maid-Mr. Speaker when a few nights ago, I had the honor to aspert in this House that the liovernment would adopte every, means 'to gause their scheme of Contederition to be passed withont anemdment, and would have recourse to motions of the nature of that which is. engacing our atention at the present time, certainly did not expect that mry predietion would be "so soon accouplished, "and L"me: knowledge that I did not believe that di was so weyll founded as it has proved to be. What du we see Mr. Splakla? We de an exanple of the most deplorably restrictive netion. Which can possibly be displayed by a government: "After delivering, to satiety, speeches "lasting several hours," speeches to
which we have listened with the greatest pos: sible attêntion; the Administration, alarmed at the agitation which is arising everywhere throughout Lower Canada; and dreading reaction, takes every means to prevent discussion, and to cause the House to vote without allowing it an opportunity of proposing amendments to the informal seheme which it is desirous of imposing upon the country." (Hear, hear.) Among those who were witnesses of the unworthy behavior" of some of the honorable Ministers, who now sit on the opposite side of the House, at the time of the celebrated "Double Shuffe", of 1858; among those who sis those men record an oath at ten veloock it night which they violated the very next day-among those, I say, the breach of taith, of which the Hon. Attorney General West has just given so sad an cisample to this House, will excite no sarprise, Yor those geatlemen have long aceustomed us to such unworthy actions on the part of a ohinistry which has lost all sense of honor and of the respect which they owe to the House. (Hear, hear.). It is evident, Mr. Speaken, that the Tovernment is afraid of amendments which might be proposed by the Opposition to theirescherue, and ot' the vote which would be then on those amenduents; disctisston hurms them, and the IIon. Attorney General for Lower Cand dreads nothing so mach at un appeal to the people, notwithstanding that he frould appear to hold in contempt the protets which come to us th the shape of解itivastrom, all te counties in thedistrict of Montral: (Hear, hear, I'es, Mro SpeakEn: these namerous petitions prove to as that sererat honorable members of this house do not reprent here the opinion of their con: stherats in respect of the new Constitution which it is wished to inposo upon us: There are wpresettatives here who are ready to vote an ther of the scheme of tionfederation in spite we earnest protestations tro the counththit whef they wered ded. I shall contegtuybet with uentioniog at single one- I Huse the the barable nember for Ste Ilyadinthe - Woll, Mr.sinakeir, that henorable utriber hasdechured that he will-sote ay inst thapast the theople, and in favor of Conteleration motwithesading that sut of two thusum thanhinuts whai berepresents, or rither does nist represent; in this House, weaten thatrea liave formally engoined hiu, by aceition sued with ther uathes, to Hhet the contráy ware (Hear, hear.)

- VOICE-Mow many of thuseare eleo tors?

Hon. Mr. LAFRAMBOISE-They are all electors; and if you like, you may convince yourself of the truth of what I' state by examining the signatures, which are those of duly qualified electors who voted at the elcction of the honorable member for St. Hyaciuthe. I'say then, Mr. Speaker, that the imposing and significant movement which is now going on in Lotwer Cinada alarms the Ministry, fand that if the Lower Canadian. representatives obey the popular voice; and do not dikregard it as some of them appear dis posed to do, they: will vote against the motion proposed by the Honorable Attorney General tor Upper Canaday for if those. honorable members support this motion, they will simply declare that they do not wish for amendments to the scheme," that they are opposed to an appeal to the people and to any alteration whatever of the scheme. The other night the 'honorable menber for Montmorency declared in this House that this signified nothing; that a representative was not bound to respect the wishes of his constituents, and that we were at perfect liberty to vote is we might think fit on any measure whatsoever, and especially on the scheme of Confederation, At all events, Mr. Speaker, I shall venture to hold a different opinion from that of the honorable ancmber, and I say that every man who sheys a proper respect for his position in this House cannot vote contrary to the expressed wishes of hisconstituents; it is adoctrine which was never called in question until the huorable meniber for Montmoreney cousidered that he might east a doubt upon the correctacss of it. Well, afuet that none will ventureste deny is, that several members promised their coonstithents that they wouid yote in flavor of an appeal to the people and, by compelliag them to-day to accupt the notion of the Honorable Attormey Geaeral for Upper Canada, every chance of their doing so is taken away. Placed us they are in this difemma, the nembers who made that promise, aud who at the same time are in favor of the Government, ought not to hesitate, as, to the coursid to be pursued ; they ought to throw out this motion, for, if it should be adopted, Contederation will at epee become an accomplished thet, and the appeal to the people will have to be given up. (Hear, hear.) The Honorable Attorney General for Lower Cauda has reproached the Opposition with pressing the adjurnment of the House at ten, and half-past ten oclock at night ${ }^{2}$ but let him remember that he himself pressed an adjourament at the same hour, in order to give his colleague, the honorable
member for Dorchester, an opportunity of speaking on the following evening

Hon. Aṫty. Gen. CARTIER-I moved the adjournment at a later hour of the evening; the elock on your side marked a later hour than half past ten:

Hon. Mr. LAFRAMBOISE-Well, I can say that the Ministerial clock shewed the hour which I have mentioned, and the two clocks geverally dgree, better than we agree ourselves. (Hear, hear, and laughter.) In conclusiop, Mr. SPEAKER, I have no hesitation in saviog that our paliamentary history shews no precedent tor so unworthy a proceeding as the present. I say that it is the intention of the Goverument to sead their measure to England to reccive the Imperial sanction before the people of this country have had time to judge of it, and before their represedatives Shave had in opportunity of auending it ia any "way whatever. This measure, or" this new Coustitution, ofter it shall have so received the sanction of the Inperial Government, will have to be acepted by Lower Canada, whether it suits her or- not (Hear, hear.) Mir. Speaker, I yenture to hope that greaterindependence itill be exhibited by our lower Caitadian representatives than our Ministers are willing to belicive will be exhibited, and that our Lower Cayd dian nembers will yot consent to allow themedres to be so led by the nuse by their leaders. We mere promised, att the commencement of this debate, that all the nembers shouth bave an oppoitunity of: expressing their tews on the scheme, and of making anendueients to it, should they think proper to do sog and now, treading all their prompes mutes fuot, the "Ministry thus lays its ultimatum before us: you mustadupt the scheme which we subuite to y yu, withent attemptin' to change a single iota.. For my part, Mr. Speakei, I consider that I should be fuiling in the performance of my duty ara represent.nive if 1 did not record ny protest against such vorduct, and such sendatoms netiget of all the priaciples of repponible government. (Applause.):

MA. M. C. CAMERON-I very mudi re grot that I hind it metessary tu detain the Hiuse, even for ar few moments, for a second time onthe same day, wa the same subject; but I desire to repel, in the strougeot namar, the inimution that the llion. Atwruey Cineral Weist has cat on those hour. gentemen' who ate opposed to the Conteder tion schethe $\rightarrow$ the charge that we ate ethereatuat by fethogs tending towards the autexation of Canada to the neighboting repubic, or elas
that we desire to offer factious opposition, and that we have no good motise in seeking for delay with reference to the consideration of this question: Now, speaking for myself, I must say that $I$ do not believe that there" is an tonorable gentleman on the floor of this Hoase, or evea within the length and breadth of British North Ameriea; who would less do. sire to see any chang in thee custitutional relations existing between the proviness and the Mother Country than ms evit. (Hear, hear.) In my opposition to the sehente I am actuated by a feeling, that adypthg it in the manner in whieh it is wow propused to be done will tend more to drive us thrards that annex, tion, which is held up ts such a bug bear, than anything that c, uhd be done by honirable gentlenen of the Treveny bemelhes in haf a century, if cur Comstunga wereat. lowed to reman is it is. (Heare bear) Then, as to our being catted obstruetionist, 1 would call youratention, Mr. Speakea, to the dir. cumstances surromathy this uthte In the finst instubet, as hatore rifesmath several honoribt menbers, it wat Mrew in that the matter should he comperdeds it in
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Geeneral East claimed the right to reply at once to every speech delivered on this side of the House. (Hear, hear) Then again a motion was made by the Hon. Attorney General West that until disposed of, the consideration of this question slould be taken up every evening at half past seven o'clock, and that was at once concurred in on our part. In a very short time afterwards it was proposed, and the proposition was, endorsed and pressed by the Government, that this solema agreenent stould be broken up, and the whole business of the country on the floor: of this Houise saspended until the debate should be brought to a close. "In reference to that, Idid oppose the course pursued, because I did not think it was for the interest of the country, or that it would facilitate the business" of this House. We find that several days were occupied in discussing whether that resolution should be adopted fromi day to day or not. Who is responsible for that discussion and delay? Was it hon gentlewen ou this side of the House, who desired to carry; out the arrangement proposed by the Gov:erament themselves, or was it the hon.gentlemen on the Treasury beneles, who sought to break up the arreenent that had been entered into, of which they themselvese were the authors? (Hear, hear:) Have also, Mr. Speiker, if this condection, to make my acknowlelgrients to the Hon. Attomey Geueral West tor the very elegant compliment he paid the houorable member for Peel and my yelf; in characterising us as the " shanghais' from their, the Ministerial side of the House (bear, hear and laughter) -but though he did cive us the credit of being the ouly gues thatidat lid eggs that amounted to any thag, the others being all added, he might have reHected in litile; ańi in doing so thave found that the exggs that these "shaughais" had laid will prodoce birds that in all probability will eat the combs of honorableg gentlemen on that side of the House (Laughter.)' The bet baste with which those honorable gentle uiep are procedling with this measure is fos tering and providing that heat that will bring into vitality aud life those very eggs that theg referred to $;^{\circ}$ and when the country uaderstands the charater of the brood which cis produced by those égos, honorable gentlemen will had that they havobeen counting without thoir host in hatuhing them. (Hear, hear.)

Hos. Mr GART Caniting theirchickens before they aro hatched. (Latughter.)

Ma. M.C.CAMERON-Exactly; count
ing the chickens before they are hatched. Honorable gentlemen paradè before this Honse an indefinable something that-they are careful to keep in the background, which they seem to intimate, if they were only to divulge, would bring almost every member of the House around to their view of the question at once. Mr. Speaker, if there is any information of that kinde in their possession, we should know what it is. (Hear, hear.) If we have a herculean labor before us" to meet some approaching difficulty, this House should know what that labor and that difficulty is, that we may prepare to meet it as speedily and as bravely as possible. (Hear, hear.) I do -not find that the honorable gentlemen are making any preparations for meeting the lack of defence under which they say the country exists, betiveen the present time and the assembling of this House in the summer. And yet they bring the matter up to frighten the House into submission to their viers. They have a puppet from which, by keeping it sufticiently behind the screens, they throw a distorted shadow upon the wall and tell us. to look at the giant ; but when the shadow is traced to its origin, it will be found; $I$ apprehend, to be nothing but a puppet after all. If they were to come out boldly and give this. House all the information of which they boast the possession, I' an very much uistaken if the mystery would not turn out to be a mere soarecrow. . They make a great cackling about the hawk, adrd then when the whole, brood of chickens is gathered under theirowings, it turns. out that the source of their pretended fright is nothige but a harmiless dove after all (Lughter) Honorable gentlemen on the Truasury benches are constantly endeavoring to lead us to suppose that there is imminent danger of a war with the United Stătes, and yet each honorable members as he rises, declares that for thimself he has no appreheasion of anything of that kind. They ${ }^{\circ}$ ought to consider that if there is any ground for approhension," tithere is any danger of the United States attacking Camada aid gettiog into a war with Enghand, $\%$ unch a war will be upon as anostimimedately. When the nation emerges from the strife in which it is at present eugaged, they will haye learned a costly lesson of the horrors of war and the financial burdens it in poses; and I am satisfied that so intelligent a people as they are uaiversally adinitted to be, will not rísh into a contest with apder like that of England, unless they do so while smarting under wrongs they im: agine "they have suffered at the hands or Eng.
land in connectipn with the war in which they are now engaged. 'After they have had time to reflect and to sit down and count the cost of the strife through which they will have passed, in treasure and blood and intellect, and their national wounds hàve had time to stiffen, there will be little danger of their again rushing into another similarly disastrous contest. I' heard' a gentleman describing this matter a short time ago, by an illustration which I will here repeat. His position was that the respective probabilities of a war with the United States, ati an early or a remote period, might be learned from what is often' seen when two men have been engaged in a round of fisticuffs. They pumpuell and bruise each other in the most shocking manner'; and while the wounds they have received at each other's hands are fresh, while their blood is up, and while they are smarting under their iujuries, if a bystander interferes with either of them, even sometimes by a little wholesone, wellmeant advice, the wounded man will be ready to pitch into him at once, almost without thought of the odds that may exist against him.: But after such an individual cools off and his woundy become stuff and sore, and he gets time for reflection, he thas no desire whatever to enter intó" a contest. And so, I appre" hend, will it be with our neighbors on the other side of the line. When they get cooled down after the present contest, returnto their almost desolated homes again, and see the vacancied that "have been caused, and when their leaders count up the millions apon millions of dollars that their present war will have cost them, and the claims that will be made upon them for compensation, war losises, and numerous other matters, they will feel a very great aversion to eatering upon hostilities which will briag down upon them the whole power of Eingland. Therefore I hold that if we are going to expend money in defences, it ought to be done without a day:s unnecessary delay." "Audyet hoa. gentlemen propose to delay submitting a measure tor the consideration of the House until arother session. They will prorogue this session without makiug any apprupriation for defence, and yo howie to. England ito push through a scheme which there is now no object in hurrying forward. (Hear, hear.) Hon. gentlemen on this side of the House are not actuated in. theic opposition te the scheme by any desire to occupy the place of any one of the hon. gentloinen ou the Treasury benohes, but their object is to protect the interests of the people, on whose betialf
they have been sent to this House, and on their behalf to see that: we have a government carried on upon economical principles, so that the people may be led to respect and sustain it. (Hear, hear.) But if we have a government that is extravagant in their ideais, how can we expect the people to respect that government? And what is there so well cal culated to place this country on the inclined plane to slide into the American Union-so graphically described by the head of the Government in the Upper House"-as extrar: agance on the part of our Government? If we have to spend the sumi that the commis sion has recomimended in erecting works of. defence, and theu provide corresponding forces: of men and equipuents, the expense will be "monstrous.' And yet,' forsooth, because we ask for information, and object to the cuercion they have attempted, they charge us with being obstructionists. Do they mean to say that it is factious condact for the representatives of the people to demand that they be cousulted before their very Constitution is trampled upon and anuther forced upon theat? Canada is by far the most numerously popu-. lated, most wealthy a d most important of all the colonies to be affected by the change, and yet the people of this province are the only people that are to have no opportunity of saying whethor the change is acceptable or not, nor are their representatives in Parliament to have even the opportanity of moving a single amendment to it. (Hear, hear.) If opposition to that kind of thing entites me tw the epithet of obstructionist; then I ghory in the name of an obstructionist. (Apptause.) I shall rote against the ninetion that has been made by my hon. friend the Hou. Atty. Gea. West, and lygain express my sincere regret that he should have been induced to bring in such a motion, calculated, as it is to siffe the proper and ordinary expression $v$ this Hiuse. To tell us that we may diseuss the question as mach as we please is most gratuitons, and is nothing but a sham, alongride of the fact that the motion shuts' is 'of from bringing, forward any anendments, or placing. our nuws upon the subject upon the records of the House. How often have hon. geate men ou that side of the Honse told us that if we were not prepared to accept the mesare, we ought to be prepared to propose a better one? But no sooner do we give notice of what we consider a bether one than wo are virtually gagged; and told that we shall not" have the opportunity of even proposing them to the House. If that is the way that a treo
people is to be treated, hon. gentlemen will soon find out that they are on the wrong track; and when Parliament is again summoned, they will be met by a voice from the people that will show them that they have adopted a course that will consign names that have heretafore been honorable, to political oblivion; on account of this outrage upon the rights and liberties of a free people, and it will be an oblivion that will be richly meritec.. "(Loud cheers.)

Mn. SUATCHERD said :-The resoldtions under debate, in volving as they do an entire ehange in the Constitution of this country, I regard as of greater importance than-any-question that has been debated before this. House since the union. So sweeping a change seldom takes place except after war or insurrection. (Héar, hear.) But we have had neither war"nor insurrec-tion-(hear)-we have enjoyed a very long season of peace and quietness, and at no time has there been an agitation among the people for such a change as that now pröposed: I believe this scheme to have been undertaken mainly because the leaders of the iwo political parties saw that they had no hope left of continuing in office on the oue hand, or getting into office on the other, whilfe they tought against each other. I have hềrd it asserted in this House and out of this House, that so grave had become the position of public affairs, that all goverument had becoure impossible, and that the gravity of the accasion required that men of all parties should unite to find"a solution of existiang difficulties: I hope this was not a mere pretence, put forward by mentin office to continue in offico, and by men out of oftice to get into ofice. It is a fact well known, that so long as either party conld govern pithout the assistance of the -other, to advance was nade toward a union between the leaders.. The changing of two or three votes in this House would have indetinitely postponed the secheme now under congideration. "That there was no necessity oceasioned by a dead-loek in carrying on the Goveriment must be apparent; when we consider that political parties, by a little forbearance, would have avoided the dead-lock. Surely; it parties could unite as they did in Juac last; they could have unitel to prévent the difficulty complaiued of, and have put off the evil day perhaps forever, without entering upon a schene to subvert the Coustitution. If a dead-look existed, it ought
to be attributed rather to the contention of parties than to any defect in our form of government. (Hear, hear:) The union between the Canadas took place in 1840 ; for some time afterwards each section was represented in the united Legislature by forty'two members. Upper Canada at the time of the union had a pupulation of 486,000 , and Lower Canada 661,000 . After the union took place, from 1814 to 1848, the majority of the Government:was a very: narrow one.: The Government was kept in power by two or three votes; yet during these years there was not a suggestion in favor of a change of Constitution for the purpose of increasing the majority. (Hear, hear.) The same namber of members continued " to reprèsent. each section of the province until 1854, when the number from eaç section was increased to sixty five, and has continued so to the present time. From the year 1804 until the present time, there has existed among the people of Upper Canada a strong agitation in favor of representation according to population. $\because$ That principle was agitated by the Reform party at every election. It was the principal political topio, and members were required to pledge themselves to maintain it under all. circumstances upon the floor of this Ilouse. And not only was the Reform party committed to that principle, but many Conservatives were forced to declare themselves in favor of it. In 1858 some of the members of the Government sent- an official letter to England, in $^{\circ}$ which the difficulties of the country were graphically referred to, and the agitation was characterized as being frgught with great danger to the peacaful and harmonious working of our constitutional system and consequently detrimental to the progress of the province. This document was laid before : Parliament in 'February, 1859, and in November of the same year the Toronto Convention met, where the Keform party was represented by about 570 promiaent gentlemen from all parts of Upper Canada. At that meeting the griey: onces of which Upper Canada complained were discussed in au able manner by gentiomen fally acquainted with them, and eapable of seting them forth: Although the project of a Federal union of the provinces had been brought before Parliaiuent and the country in Febraary, and the Convention met in Nimenter, and ample time was given for its agitation, we find that the Convention did
not consider that it afforded a proper remedy for the evils that existed in Upper Canada: The resolutions passed by that Courention with respect to the grievances of Canada, anc the proper remedy for them, wereas follow:-
No. l.-Resolved, That the existing Learisla. tive union of Opper and Lower Canada has failed to realize the anticipations of its. promoters, has resulted in a heavy public debt, burdensome taxation. great political abuses. and universal dissatis. faction through Upper Canada, and it is the matured conviotion of this assembly, from the antaronism developed: from difference of drigin, local interests, and other causes, that the urion in its present form can no lonjer be continued with advantage to the people.
So much for the grierances.
No. 5 ,-Resolred, That in the opinion of this, assembly the best praetical remedy for the evils now enciuntered in the government of Camada, is to be "found in the fermation of two or more local governments, to which shall be committed the control of all matters of a tocal aud sectional character, and some joint anthority charged with suck matter as are necessary, common th both sections of the province.
Such was the remedy. . The 4th resolution shows that the Federation of the provinces was not entertained a remedy for the evils complained of by the "Convention, for it resolved :-

That without entering on the discussion of other "thiections, this. assembly is of opinion that the dilar which muat neiur in ob taining the sametion of the Lowert Provinees to a Feleral unmon of the British North Amerit can Colonits, phares that masure buyond consideration as airemedy for prèsent evils.
Now. if it had been the opinion of the people of lipper Canada, as represented in that Convention, that a Federal union with the Yaritime Provinces would prove a remed for the grienaces they were laboring under, thev would have taken it into cousideration. Either it did not suit the leaders of the Re. form party at that time to take up that plan as'it was bronght forward by mien opposed to them, or else they did not helieve it the true remedy. If they had believed it the proper remedy, there was nothing to prevent them uniting with the Convernment to carry it nut. with the cooperation of the other provinces. The only drawback to the adoption of the sebeme was the faet that its proposers were in office and likely to remain there. That to my mind is the only reawon which can now be alleged for aot takiog it it ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ p at "that time. One ef the reasths assigned for calling that Convention together Was, that although the population of C pper

Canada was much larger than that of Lower Canada, and was constantly increasing, yet Upper Canada found itself without power in the alluinistration of the affairs of the provisce. $\therefore$ (Hear, hear.) Another principal grievance under which Upper Canada labored was the unjust levying and distribution of the publie inoneys. It was contended that serenty per cent of the annual taxation was collected frum Cpper Canada, and only thirty per cent. from Lower Canada; on the other hand, when the money came to be expended, for every dollar that ivas exponded in Upper Canada, a dollar was also expended in Lower Canada And thatappears to have been the opinion of prowitient members of both politheal parties; repreyentation by population was denanded by the peoplo of the western section ay a care for that state of things. They considered that if they were represented it this Houso according to numbers, they would be able to prevent the unjust distribution of the publice revenues of the province. Now; the great measure before this Hüuse has been considered by some as desigued to create a biation, by others as a means of increaing largely the material and commercial interests of the country. I cannot see that the Federation of the proviaces has unything of a national phase in it. For thuse whopere dissatistied with remaining as chonists of Great Britain, it may be very well to lowk forward to the creation of a nationality or state of national existevice. When you speak of national existence, you spieak of independence; and so long as we are colonists ift ( $r$ rat Britain we ean have no national existence. ( Hear, hear.) In New Brunswick this questioun has been treat ed purely at a question of material interest to the people (Hetar, hear-). In a work revently publinhed by the Hon: Mr. Catchuy, 1 fine th: following statecoent of the way in which the questiou is treated in Now Bruaswick. The honorable gentlenan says, Mage 20 :-

The outy point for them to donsider in making a antectur wuind be the matirnal questiva of urgitit ur lusis; misee ge lext of trade, mare or less of tax-as. . The truth of thas is clearly shawn by the project of Conteleration itxott, is whish it will be serin that the teceptio as affert octy Lower Cthada, and tui the specher mado by Mr. Thelex, 14 A'ew trunawek, it wheti hestates frankly and une filixocully, that with that provithes there can be but one paratavast yuestion in the lisedissua uf the scheme, namety that of pecuniary intereat. Will New Brunswick; under the union, pay mure or lesa, receive more or less ; will the tases im-
posed,-under the union, be more or less than they now gre? The question has been thus received by: the press and public men of that province, and they have sodiscussed it, with $\boldsymbol{a}$. view to accept or reject it.
To my mind, that is the way in which the question ought to be treated in this propince: As a national matter it ought not to be considered at all. The true question is, whether the people of this province will be called upon to pay inore or less taxes, and enjoy. more or less prosperity. (Hear, hear.) The agitation in connection with representation by popu!ation has continued during the past ten years. Going back to the time of the defeat of the Cartier-Macdonatid Administration, we find that thac Administration had cousidered it an open question: The Macdonald-Sicotte Administration, which sueceeded, resolved to treat it as a close question. They agreed to leave it in abeyauce, but I never onderstood that their supporters from Upper Canada agreed to abaudonit. It was stated distinctly at the time of the furmation of that Government, that any abaudminent of the question was a matter altugether with the Government; and was nut binding upon their supporters: (Hear, hear) That gorernuent adopted what was called the duable-minjority principle; but 'I never understood that in majority of their supporters frou Lipper Cahada agreed to aceept it as a bavis, or a meany of securing the settlement of the grievakees of Upper Canada. What the Upper Canada Heformarty agreed to was, that as chere was great" corruption and Extravigance in the administuation of the hanaces of this coantry, for the sake of securing administrative reform' they would allow the question of representation by popu latiou to remaia in abeyane for a time. However, the deúble majority principle would not work: (Hear, hear:) the MAC-bonald-Stcotre Goverament were defeated, and the Macbonab-Dozign Goverument was formed. They treated the quegtion in the same way ar the CauteriMacdonabo did-lett it ah upen question. White that government continued ta offee, there was no specin agitatiou for representation aveording to pepulation; although in the House it mas very inenerally supported by members from Upper Canada: That'goverumeat resigned, a new govermment was tormed, and, during the period of that new goverument's exist. ence, the hon. member for South Oxford had has committee appointed to take into consid-
eration the representation question. That committee, it appears, had the matter under consideration for a long time. They made a report the same day the Government was defeated, but came to no conclusion whatever, except in the general statement that most of its members looked in the direction of a Federal Government. (Hear, hear.) This government was defeated on the question of the $\$ 100,000$ paid to the city of Montreal. That vote took place on the 14 th of June, the latter part of the resolution being as follows:-
And in riew of the facts above recited, this House would be failing in its duty if it did not express its disapprobation of an unauthoti/ed advance of a large a mount of public money; and of the subsequent departure from the conditions of the Order'in Council under which the "advance was made.
There was never a vote aimed more distinctly than that at the Honorable the Minister of Finance; it was deelared by a majority of this House that he was the means of the loss of this $\$ 100,000$ to the country. The majority voted in that way; and affirned that resulutiou. The moment it was passed a: Ministerial crisis eccurred, and it was understood that the Ministry had the sanction of the Governor General to dissolve the House ; within is few days, some of the yery tuen who condemned the Minister of Finance were willing to peirlook his offeoce, to theat the vote of the House as of no consequence whatever, and to become colleagues of that houorable gevtleman' in the Goveroment. (Hear.) Thus the present Coalition was formed with its policy of Confederation: 1 believe that the agitation for represeatation by population had been less active for three years preceding the forn ation of that government than at ay time during the last ten years; but the mere fact of the foverament beiog defeated seemed to be a suificient exca se for these honorable gentlewen to join men to whom they had been opposed tor ycars, and to cone down to this House with a proposal: for a cunf deratiou of the provinces: For my own part, I a: not upposed to a Cunfed cration of these pruviuces, on a proper basis, although I would rather have yeril a legislative union of them preferred. I have no sympatiy with those members in their oppositun to the scheme, who, while opposing it, are equally opposed to legislative union and representation by population. I thinks, from the inerease of population in

Upper Canada, that some change is necessary; and I cannot understand how hon. members, who are opposed to this scheme and also to a legislative union, and to any change in representation, can expect sympathy from Upper Canadian members. It is not the principle of the scheme that I object to... My objections.I will state. Part ot the new Constitution proposes the construction "of the Intercolonial Raillyay, Now, when that question was first brought up in 1862, I was opposed to it. When it was first announced as the intention of the Macdonald-Sicotte fovernment to undertake the building of that road, I expressed myself as decidedly in opposition to it, on the very first opportuaity that offered; and I have never since seen any reason to change the position I then took. In connection with this subject, I beg leave to eite the opinions of the hon. member for South Oxford, as then expressed. I do not do so in order to show that he has changed his mind with regard to this road, for I believe he does not conceal the fact himself. I make this citation to show not only what his views were, but what were the views, I believe, of the majority of the people of Upper Canada at that time, views which in my opinion they still entertiin: It is stated that the road ought to be built becaunse it is necessary for the mulitary dofence of the country. It is s.tated that it pught to follow the: longest route, because the shorter one awill bring it too near the boundary line of the State of Maine. (Hear, hear.) When it is considered that this road will unite with the Grand Truak at Riviere da Loup, and that the ciraid Trunk is at places within twenty-six miles of the boundary of Maine, 1 think that the amount it will eontribute to the military defence is of very little value it is ridiculous to suppose that the Americans would nut bo able to cat a railway only twenty-six untes frum their territory. If we are not stroug enough to hold and protect the road which runs throagh Maine, the Iutercolvial would be of very little importatice or user. The opinion expressed in the Glohe about thes tailway as a work of military defence was this-I quote from the issue of the 18th September, 1 $\times 5^{\circ}:-$

But as 'ür opinion upoa milttary matters may not be worth mach, we, wre prepased to adduce corrobutative testimuay in its support.
And then the cites the following from Blachwood's Magazine :-

On the whole we are inclined to think that until our military frontier is rectified, the canstruction of a railway between St. John and the St. Lawrence would, as far as military operations are concerned, be money thrown away: If the Intercolonial Railivay is to be built, let its friends justify it upon bona fide grounds, and not upon the bogus plea that it is necessary for the military detence of the province:
That was the opinion, I believe, of the majority of the people of Upper Canada at that time, that as a military defence this road would be completely useless.". But we find that the proposition to build the road is insigted in one of these resolutions, the G8th, in the following terms:-

The Genitral Goyernment shall secure, without delay; the completion of the Intercolouial Rail way from Riviere duvLeup through New Bruns: wick to Truro, in Nora Scotia.
The next resolution refers to the NorthWestern Territury, and is as follows :-
69. The compunication with the Nurth Western Territory and the improvements reipured tor the development of the trade of the Great West with the setaboard are regarded by this Conference as subjects, of the highest umportance to the Feder. ated Provinces, and shall be prosecuted at the earliest possible perind that 'the state of the finances will pernit:-
According to these resolutions the construction of the Intercolonial Kailway is made a part of the Constitution of the country; and the road will have to be built. On the other hand the enlargement of the enamals and the opening op of the North: West will dopend upon the contingency whether the finances of the country will permit of the performance of these works. Now, the opening up of the North. Weat is a subject that has engaged the sertous atteation of many people in Upper "anada. "By a large unjurity of the populativa it is considered as inost inportant for the interest of of thy country that that territory should bo opened up to setth meat. 1 find thoblreat North.West is thus referred to by the Hon. Mr. catcaion ia his pamphtet ou the Cuijus of the Provinces of British North Aturrica, page $5 x-1 /$

And what is Canala in extent compared to the Westeru praties, the tarea and tertifty of wheh sum setarcely bes afprechakd or judyed èven' with neturta beture us turnished by Mr. Dallas, Govenor ut the Hudson's Bay. Compasy, and Dr. Kak; un ofld factor, well known fromi his reptitation as an astitunumer, and ta haviag diseovered the remains of Feavcils and his unfortunate compations. The latter instructed to attempt the discosery, of
a passage through the Rocky Mountains for the Transcontinental Telegraph Compaay, states that the river Saskatchewan is a great public highway, flowing through immense fertile valleys, in which wheat and barley might be grown in abundance.
Mr. Dallas alludes to it in the folloiving words:-
The whole country is more or less adapted to colocization. $\therefore$ Two years ago. I rode on horseback in the month of August over the greater part of that country. We had to wade as it were knee deep through tares and fitch. I saw there horses and oxen as fat as any $I$ "ever found on the best pasturage grounds in Eagland. Those animals had passed the winter in the open air, without a mouthful of hay; this will give a better idea of the climate here, than if I were to furuish the variations of the thermometer.

1 look upon this country as well adapted to settlement, and extruordinardy healthy. Every thing seems to thrive here; the wheat crop is of course rahber uicertain, but all other cereals änd vegetables obtain the same perfection that they do in England. . Towards the north ive tind an area of timber land, and undulating prairies; which extend over the whole country, The lakes and rivers abound in tishi, and the prairies with every species of game, \&c.
Now, sir, that is a deseription of the country held forth to the people of Upper Canada as a kind of set-ufi dgaiust the Intercolonial Railway; to be opened up whenever the state of the trinances will permit. I object to the scheme; for the reason that it makes the opeaing up of" such a country a mere contingency; and to show the interest taken by the people of Upper Canada gemerally, I will refer to an article that appeared in the Gtube about the time the MacponaliSicutre Government proposed to build the latercolonial Railway, on theolyth of September, 1862. It maid:-

We observe that Mr. Folier has the good sense to reject the suggestion of Mr. Hown that the Quebee and Halifar road is in fact. an timportant Hink in the great Pacitic Railway through Britiyh. territory. Not a pound of freigat nor a passenger which may come over the iracitic Railway, when it is built, will ever seek the pott of Halifax. It is an absotate injury to the Pacific Raillroad to represent that it is necessary to construct four huadred miles of an atterly auproductive line before cominencing the greater work with onefifth of the sum per annum which is to be devoted By the ministertul scheine to the Intercolonial Railroad:- We can opea a practicable communi: cation across the continent and antex to Canada ball a continent "of the richest land yet novecupied by civilized niau. Noi a penny are we. to receive for this purpose, but $£ 50,006$ por annuim thrown away apon the rocks of Riviere du Loup.

That, sir, was the opinion expressed by the Globè newspaper so late as September, 1862, and I call the attention of the House to the fact that as a very large proportion of the expense of building this railioad is to be borne by Upper Canada, wonld not the same sum, if so applièd, open up this magnificent country? Are we not, in fact, deferring the opening of it up by spending a large sum of money in the opposite direction?

Hon. Mr. BROWN-Oh, no; quite ancorrect.

Mr. SCATCHERD-Then änother complaint that has long been made in this country is, that we have a very large public debt; that the people are very highly taxed for the necessaries of life, and that in fact the chief articles consumed by the people can bear yo more taxation. I think there can be no doubt that" this couplaint is true to quite as great an extent as has ever been urged: Let us look back and see what duties were paid upon the principal articles of consumption ten years ago, compared witn the duties that they now bear. $I^{4}$ hold in my hand a statement showing the rates of duty from 1855 to 1865, and also the values of the chief articles for consumption im ported into this province for the halfyemr ended 30th Jane, 1864 :-


Well, sir, -we find that some of these articles have been taxed to an amount equal to onehalf their value.: The 'person who buys and pays 50 per cent. duty, gets in fäct in vilue only one-half of the money paid. With the duty derived from these articles it is proposed by this schenie to do, what? Why to spend. $\$ 20,000,000$ on this railway, and that money will have to be raised snme way or other out of the carnings of the people. I will cite another extiract from the Globe with respect to the paying or supposed paying qualities of this road. On the 23 rd of September, 1862, it said: -
The scheme of the Government- for the coustruction of the Intercolonial Rail way opens an account that never will be closed ; every storin of gnow in the inhospitahle revions below Riviere du Loup will lay a new burden on the people of Upper Canada. The tax payers will watch the passenger travel and freipht caffic, with the liveliest interest, as indicating the exteụt of the demands upon them for the year. The road will be run with a perfect. consciousuess that there is a prompt paymater be hind. With all the care that public companies can employ, the expenditutes apon the small items coniecied with the rumpy of a railroad is abuve all things ditticult to ciontrol; but what-sunis will be spent when it is the Government that will manage and the people of the province that will pay? It was bad enough whea ther cousented that Canada"should pay five. twelfthis of the expenditure, when in fact it will not receive one-twelfth of the benetit. Whio can fail to see the hand of the Grand Truuk in this'? It is the dreain of many persons in Nova Scotia that this Halifax and Uuebee Ratway will draw to their harbur the trade of the West, but at is a dreami and nothing truere. No passitnyer, iu shipper of freight, will ever think of going or sending to Hahtiax whrn he cap tind shipping at Quebec or Fortlatd. He will nut add the cost of seven humdred mules of railtriad to the expeuses of transit to benelit the people of thalitixy at ot to freight, the thing is not to be spoben oi: Neither freight nor passengers would buch ut line diaw from ang pout higher than Ruviere du luap, There is.a refreshing cooluess tu the demand thai Canada shall pay for the coustructun of a road which is prutessedly desigued tu draw away trado from its great estuary.
$1 s$ that not equally the case nuw as then? "Who can fail to see the hand of the Grand Trunk in this Confcderation scheme? (Hear, hear, and laughter.) Again, with respect to this Intercolonial Railway, 1 find the following lanyuage used in the Globe un the \%eth September, $1868:-$

With Upper Cinada decidedly opposed to the scheme, and Lower Canadidivided, we are happy to say ehat we do not see any great danger of basty action. We are only astonistêd that the

Ministry should bave committed themselves to a scheme, which finds so little support in any part of the province. The Loner Province delegates humbugged them beautifully. If is evident that Blue Nose is a sharp fellow: He is rubbed bright on his rocks. We shall bave to be careful in our dealings, with him:" If Lower Canada is afraid of him because he is Dritish, we must learn to watch filim-because he is nut very rich but very keen and shrewd:
Well, it "was supposeid when the members of the Macdonald-Sicotte Government were dealing with these men in 1862, that they were humbugged beautifully, but when we got the biot men in the country; the ablest and mest talented mein, to deal with theu; what kind of bargain did they make "with these shrewd blue noses? (Hear, hear.) Why instead of Canada paying what was proposed by the Macdonald-Sicotte Gorervment, the Lower Provinces made a" much more fayorable bargain with the eleverest men we have. (Hear, hear') Icoutend, sir, that this scheme, at one jump, proposes to increase the public debe twenty millions of dollary.' 'Aud another thing stated is, that a sum necessary for the purpuse will be expended for the defence ot the country; and if we are to place any relisuce upon the report of Cul. Jervors, the sum of about six millions of dollars will have to be expended upon the defences. From the reports, which reached $u_{+}$today by telegraph, it appears that the Imperial Guverument will expend for our defence vily the sum of $£ 50,000$.

How Ma. BRUWN-The hon geatleman is mistaken. The Hon. Attorney General West distinctly stated to-day that there was an errur in the telegraphite ieport of the debate in the Iuperial Parliament, and it is highly indecoroustor the hon. geatleman to repeat these statements after they havebeen shuwn to be erroneour. Aud Lam now ia a position to state. that we have had an answer to a telergequ hent specially to Now Ferk to ascertain the fact frotin the lotion papers, that the sumasked for by the Imperial liwerrutiont-for the defeaces of Quebe was 2200,000 , not 250,000 as stated by the hua nember.

Ma. SCATCHERD-Before the hon. mumber makes charges, he ought to have ascertained that this telegram had beta brought to my notice. I twok the statement as it appeared in the pablished telegraptio reporta." He has au right to charge me with repeating an incorreot statement. (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Mr. BROWN-It was not to that that I particularly referred; but the honi. gentleman all through his speech bas repeated things which my colleagues as well as myself have repeatedly declared, from personal knowledge, to be incorrect:

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-If the papers were brought down there would be no mis. apprehension.

Mr.SCATCHERD-W hat is the anount to be contributed by the Imperial Government altogether for our defence? 'Is it only £200,000?

HoN. Ir BROWN-The hon gentleman will see from the reports that that amount is intended sinuply for works at Quebec. The proportion to be cuntributed for the defences at Montreal and west ward is not stated, nor yet settled.

Ma:SCATCHERD-I have been tuld for the first time that the Imperial Government will covitribute anything towards the western defonces; for the telegraphic reports say. that, if they undertake to fortify Quebec, the Catuadian Guverument will have to undertake the works at Moutreal and west ward. Nuw, we are told that this scheme has refereace both to local goverument and local dafecice, and as the cost of defensive works is, stated by Cul. Jeavots to be six millions, I suppose. we will have to pay that too.

Hon. Mr. BROWX-The cust may be a great deal more than six milliuns, possibly. We can say nothing at present as to the cost.
Ma. SUATCIERD-A great deal more: Tiren itmense sums of money are to melt away like saiw upon these works, and, in fact, there will be no linity to the expenditure. (Hear, hear.) However, pasisiog ou frum this poiot, I woulu likéto ask, if Confederation is carried, in what position will the counatry stand in respect to the public. debt? Itappears that the population of the various proviuces, in 1861, was as follows:-


If Confederation takes place, these proviees will be indebted as fullows' the pubtie debi of Canada, accurdiog to the Publio Áccouints, amounts to $867,563,000$; Nova Scotia in to be allowed to inerease its debt to $88,000,000$; New Bruaswick will be
allowed to increase its debt to $\$ 7,000,000$; the debt of Priuce Edward Island is $\$ 240$,000 ; and the debt of Newfoundland; 8946 ,000 , making, if the provinces are united, a grand total of $\$ 83,000,000$ as the debt of the Federal Government. It may be said with respect to Canada, that she is going into the Confederation with a debt of only 862,500,000; although that may be true, she will nevertheless owe the whole amount I have stated, which, if not paid by the Federal Government; will have to be paid by the Goveriments: of Upper and Lower Canàda:

How. Mr. BROWN-My hon. friend will see that the debt of $\$ 5,000,000$ that make up the $867,263,000$ is due to ourselves, and that there are assets: to meet it, which assets will be made over to the local governweats. The reason it was taken from the $867,263,000$ was because it was due upon local account, and becausé there were local fuids to mee $i$ its payment. It was altogether apart and distinct from the general debt of the proviace.

Mr. SCATCEERD-What are the ansets P Are they'sufficient to pay the interest upon the amount?

HoN. Mr. BROWN-Yes, quite enough.
M . SCATCHERD-This $\$ 5,000,000$ is part of the debt of the proviace, which I have put down at $867,263,000$.

HoN. Mr. BROWN-Yes ; bat ay hoa. friend must see that there are local funds to meet it, just in the same way as we deduct the Sioking Fund from the amount of the general debt.

Mr. RYMAL-Two years ago the hon: nentleman taught us to believe, and I heard him say that the debt of the country was 878.000,000. (Laughter.)

HoN Mr. HOLTON-Was the amount of the Sinkiog Fuad always deducted by the: hon. member?

Hon. Ma. BROWN-Yes̀ ; I always de ducted it from the debt; but I did "not doluct thege lucal funds that are now placed against the sum of $\$ 5,000,000$ to be borne. by the local goveruments.
Mi. SCATCHERD-At the time Confederation takes place, there will be a debe weighing upon the provinces of $\$ 83,000,000$, upon which interest will liave to be paid; aud the following additional debts; so far as We know, will be immediately coutracted by the new Governmeat : Intercolouial Ruilway, $820,000,000$.

Hon. Mr. BROW N-No! no! My hon. friend mast "surely see how wrong it is to make such a statement. . It is quite uncertain what amount will be thrown upon the Federal Government for the construction of that road; but, if it is built in the way which has been suggested by the Lower Provinces, it.will cost no such sum, nor anything like the sum, mentioned by the hou meniber for West Midulesex. Of course, no une can at present tell in what way the Federal Government may decide that it shall be done; but if it is done in the way of a bouns to be paid on the completion of the road, and on security being given that the road shall be kept open for a certair term of years, it will cost nothing like the sum mentioned by my bö̀n friend.

Hon. Mr. HOLTON - We have po such proposition beforre, us.

Hon. Mr. BROW N-But II believe th: Lower Provinces have such a propusitiviil before them for a large section of the road -a proposition fur a bonus of $\$ 10,000$ per. mile, which would complete the whole road for a sum iufinitely less than my hon fricad has mentioned. Therefore, my hon frieud leads the House quite astray "when he dogmatically püts down the cost of the Intercolonial Ratilway at $\$ 20,000,000$

Hon Mr. HOLTON-Hua. Mr Tieley - says it will cost $812 ; 000,000$.

Hon. Me. BROWN-Perhaps Hoa Mr. Thlesy thinks that it may"co-t that sum, but there are other hoo "geutlemen who aro quite as will able to judge of the matter as my hon. friend, Mr: Tileey, who place it at $\$ 5,000,000$; and the noney that will be necessary for the purpose will be borrowed under the Imperial guarantee, at a rate; I presumé, pot exceedhy $3 \pm$ per cent.

Ma. S'CATCHERD-1 wuld ank my hon. frievd the President of the Counctit he has not stated that the Intercolonial Rail way wuald cost $\$ 16,000,000$ or $818,000,900$ : (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Ma. BROWiN-Itivquite probable; my impression at one time was that it would cost \$15,000,000; but theu this was always based un the idea of its being built by the Goverament, and it was one of my strubgest. objections th the scheme that the honurable geatemen who now conatitute the $0_{p}$ position intended to, build it at the public cost, and ruu it at the public cost.

How Ma HOLTON-Hon: gentlemen who now furm the Opposition?

HoN. Ma. BROWN-I am nut apeaking
of the hon. member for Chateauguay, but of his leaders.

Hon. Mr. EOLTON-Will the honorable gentleman please refer to those he means more specifically?

HoN: Mr BROWN-The hon. gentleman who sits at his side is one of them.

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-Sarely the hon. gentleunan does not refer to my hon. friend the member for Hochelaga. (IIon. Mr Dorion)?

Hon. Mr. BROWN-The hon. member for Bagot. (Hod. Mr. Jaframboise).

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-No; the hon. meinber for Bagot only joined the Goverament in 1863 .

HoN, Mr. BROWN =The hon. member for Cornwall (Hon. J. S. Macdonald), is at any rate fully responsible.

Hon. Mr. DORION-The goverament of my houorable friend (Hon J. S. NaodonAld) had a proposition before it yome. what similar to this, and which was to build a railway; but it mas not said by what meaus You, however, have bound yourself to build a railway, and if you do not fiud a compang to constract it, you will have to baild it and keep it open at your own cost.

Hon. Me. BROWN-Not exactly; ind there is already a proposal to build a large portion of the line:

Na. SCATCHERD-I think the course which the debate has taken shows the absolute necessity that the Governtnent should have brought down a statepent of the expense of this road, so that menatars might have beven able to form some opiuion in regard to its cost . They might have called upon the engiaeer who surveyed the oute to make stme approximation "ot the probable outlay. $\because$ Whea; in the absence of such information, I rise in my place and say that aceording to the best data at my command, it will cost 820,0 , 5 , 000, I am met by the Hon. President of tho Councell protestiag agaiust my makion such a statemeat. But when I ask my houorable friend if he has not stated that it will cost $\$ 10,000,000$ or $\$ 18,600,000$, he replies that he mightit have said it would cost $\$ 15,000,000$. So that, aceordiag to my hon. friend himself, it is safe wassume that for the Intereolonial Railway, the debt will be iacreased : by 815,000,000: : This, then, is one of the new debis the new Goveriment will be called uponimmediately to contract. Then another debt will bo required for the defences of the country. I pat this sum down at $86,000,000$.

But the IIon. President of the Conncil says it is impossible to say what the defences will cost, and they may cost a great deal more.
Hon. Mr: BROWN-The hon sentleman should state more carefully what I said: I did not speak of this country simply, but of the whole defences-those to be uodertaken by the Imperial Governinent as well.

Mb. SUATCHERD-I refer to the fortifications required for Quebec, Montreal; Kingston, Torouto and Hamilton. It is impossible for us to form any estimate of What defences may be required in St. John and Halifax, and other portions of the Lower Provinces. But certainly the 'sum which will be required for the defences and for the armament of those defences in Canada will not be léss than $\$ 6,000,000$. Ad 1 this and the sum required for the Intercolonial Railway to the debt already existing, and It will be found that, almost at the outset of its career, the Federation would labor under pressure of a debt amounting to about $\$ 110,000,000$.

HoN: Mr. BROWN-Oh ! ano, no.
Mr. SCATCHERD-The fact is undeniable. Alwost from the first day of its existence, the new Government will be called upon to pay interest. on accoant of public, debt, to the amount of $\$ 3,809,668$ for Canada; $\$ 750,000$ for Nova Scotia and New Brunswick, and 159;333 for Newfundlaud and Priace Edward Istand; then there is, over and above the subsidy of eighty cents. per head, the sum of $\$ 115,200$ to be paid gearly to Newtoundland, and 888,900 to bo paid annually to Prince Edward Island. To: this must be added the interest ou the outlay tor the Intercolouial Railway. It has been stated that money for this purpose catu be borrowed at three and a half per cent, but there is nothing to show that the arraugsment proposed to be entervd into by the Macdonald-Stcotta Government, some two or three years ago, in reference to the borrowing of money at three and a half per ceat., can now be carried out. We have no reasou to believe that the proposed Federal Goverament will be abla to borrow money on the same lavorab e terms; and, if the interest charged is at the rate of five per eat., there will be nearly $81,000,000$ to bo paid annually as interest on the Interedouial Railway debt alone.

How Ma. BBOWN-A million of dollars! Five per cent. interest on modey borrowed on the oredis of the Imporial Governuent!

Mr. SCATCHERD-What has been shown us to the contrary?

Hôn. Mr. BROWN-My hon. friend must have heard the statement of an arrange: ment beitg made with the Imperial Government for borrowing the necessary funds:

Mr. SCATCHERD-I read in the pamphlet recently published by the hon. member for Montmorency (Hon. Mr. CaUCHoN), who is a warm supporter of the Government, and is supposed to bo an authority on this subject, that :-

The population of Newfoundland being 130,000 , $\$ 25$ per head would establish its debt at $\$ 3,250$, 000 , and it would thus be placed on a level with thé population of Canada, Nova Scotia, and New Brunswick with regard to their respective figures of population.
But as that province owes $\$ 946,000$, we must deduct this amount from the $\$ 3,250,000$ - this would give a result of $\$ 2,314,000$, on which the Federal Government will have to pay to Newfoundland an annual interest of five per centum, yiz: $\$ 115,200$.
But it the money can te obtained at three and a half per cent., why is it proposed that the Foderal Governuent shall pay interest at the grate of five per cent. to the Provinces "if Newfoundland and Prince Edward Is; land?

Hon. Mr. BROWN-Does not my hon. friend see how this is, and how unfair his. conclusions are? The reason why we are to pay these provinces five per cent. is, that we are about to throw upon them a large share of the burden of our pullic debt, upon which five per cent laterest is paid, if the people of Newfoundland and Prince Edward Island, who have little or no debt, assume debis of the other provinces, for which they have to pay tive per cent. interest, it is only fair and just that they should get their five per cent. back again.

In:SCATCHERD-The Hon. President of the Council says that at present we pay five por cent. on our indebtedoess, but that in futare we shall not pay so high a rate.

How Mr. BROWN-No one said so, What I said was that the Imperial Governmeat would guarantee the interest, on the money to build the Intercolonial Railway, and that wo should have to pay interest accordiag to the terms on which the Imperial Government, would be able to borrow, which will be about 32 . per cent.

M: SCATCHEKD-And supposing the muney is obtained on these favorable terms,
the interest for the Intercolonial Railway debt will be half a nillion of dollars.

Hon. Mr. BROWN- $\$ 350,000$.
Mr SCATCHERD-At'the reduced rate of interest, the Federal Government will start with an annual burden, in the shape of interest, of at least $\$ 5.000,000$. I had put the sum down at $\$ 6,158,851$.

Hon. Mr: BROWN-How much docs my hon, friend wake the difference in the interést- $\$ 1,1 \$ 8 ; 851$ ?

Mr: SCATCHERD-Yes.
HON. Mr. BROWN-My hon. friend io entirely wrong in his calculations. "But will my hon friend answer this question: How much additional moncy shall we receive into the treasury in the shape of customs duties from the Lower Provinces?

Mr: SCATCHERD 4 Bur we are given to ubderstád that tne castoms duties, instead of being increased, will be decreased. $\therefore$ If, however, the Lower Provinces, which now pay on an average, we will say 5 per cant., shall be called upon to pay at least 20 per cent.,.and up to 40 per cent "théy will ùever agree to Confederation:

Hon. Mr. BROWN-My hon.f friend is all wrong in his figures, but that is really not theppoint. When he says that the interest will be iṇcreased, he should also state what we are to get back in the shape of customs luties from the Lower Provinces. What is the use of giving oue side aud not the other?

Mr. SUATCHERD-I think that any person who will seriously contemplate this proposition of the Government, mast come to the conclusion that this Confederation scheri e is nothing more or less than a scheme to consiruct the Intercolonial Raikyay. (Hear, hear.) If it was not necessary for soune parties that that road should be construeted, we should have had no. Confederation seheme. "Another objectiou, to my mind, on the face of these resolutions, has reference to the subsidy of 80 cents-per trad. "The 64th resolution provides that the General Government shall pay $80^{\circ}$ cents. per head of the population of $1-61$ to the several provinces for local purposes :-

祭

| Upper Canada | 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lower Canada | 8884631 |
| Nova Seotia | 214,685 |
| New Brunswick | 201,637. |
| Newfoundland | 104,000 |
| Prince Edward Island | 64,305 |

I think it will bẹ admitted by overy member
from Upper Canada, that if the pebple of Upper Canada had representation by popa-: lation, they would have no desire to change the present system of government. : (Hear, hear.) We in Upper Canada contend that we pay seventy per cent. of the taxation, while Lower Canada pays only thirity per cent. Now, what will be the effect of the 64th resolution? Vader that resolution, Upper Canada will receive a subsidy of \$1,116;000, and on the principle which has always been contended for in Upper Canada, the proportion of that sam. which Luwer, Canada will pay, as menber of the Confederation, will be thifty per cent:; or say \$335,000, while Upper Canada will pay seventy per cent, or \$781,000. We have been paying the larger proportion of the tazation, and lower Canada the smaller proportion, aud the object of going into this Confederation is, that the local governments should have the management of their own local affirirs, and that we should raise the money decessary for our own local purposes, while Lower Canada should raise the money necessary for her local purp ses: © But in this instance, the General Goverument will collect that money in Upper Cajada in the large proportion whioh 1 have just stated; on the other hand, Lower Canadawill get a subuidy of $\$ 888 ; 000$. Upper Cauada, as a ueniber of the Confederation, will pay $\$ 6.1,1000$ of that sum, according to the admitted ratio in which she contributes to the public exchequer, and Lower Ganada. will pay 80 per ceut. or $\$ 263,000$.

MR HOPE MAEKENZIL-The hon. gentleraan is entirely mistaken in his argument.

Me. SCATCHERD - By this arrange ment, then. Upper Canada, in comparison with Lower Cama, will pay to the General Governmint yearly, for all time to come, in excess of Lower Canida, $\% 86,000$ more than she would pay were these subs:dits colleeted directirom each province.:

Hon. Mr BROWN-The caleulation of my hou friend is entirely incorrect. Hut I do not wish to interrapt hia, unless he do sires it.

Ma. SCATOHERD-I haveno objection. In not the prineiple on whioh I have uade the caleulation cortect?

How Ma. BROWN-No, it is not cor-: rect. The hoo geotleman should remember that the relationa between Upper and Lower Cauada will bo entirely changed when all these provinces are broughtr together.

Mr. SCATCHERD - If thene is no change, the principle is gorrect.
HoN. MR. BROWN-Of course, so far as Upper Canadi and Lower Canada are con, ceroid. But the hon. gentleman mast see that: by" the introduction of the Maritime Provinces into the ution, an entire change is made in the relationsi between Upper and Lower Canada. There will not only be a change in the way in which the taxes contributed by the people reach the treasury, but an immense change also in the way in which those moneys - will be distributed, and by both Upper Canada will profit.
Mr. SCATCIIERD-The hon, gentleman admits that the principle is correct, and, uniless as affected by altered circumstances, it will bring out the resalt I have stated.
HoN. Mr, BROWN-But we know what the circumstances will be. The honorable gentewian should take up the whole of the financial arrangeinents of the scheme. It is not fair to take up a mere portion of them. If he had looked at the commercial tables of all the provinces, he would have seen that his calculations were" entirely erroneous.
Ma SCATCHERD-What I say is this, that if, instesd of paying all the local goveroments this subidy of 80 cents per head, Upper Canada had been fert to collect from her own people her $\$ 81,116,000$, and Lower Canada to colliect from her people the $\$ 888,000$ which she is to receiye, that would have been what we have bèen conténdiug for in Upper Canada.

Hov: Mre BROWN - No doubt:
Me.SCATCHERD-Well, we have almays contended that we were willing to collect the moneys required for our own local purposes in Upper Canada, and that Lower Canuda should do the samo. We are enititled, according to that principlo; to 8286,000 more than we shall receive ; and the proposed arrangement, therefore, I say is unjust; otherwise wei have been contending for what was incorreet for the last ton years. "It should have beea made part of the scheme, that whatever Upper Canada required for her loeal expenditure should ba intained by taxes levied on her people, and that whatever Lower Canada required for the like purposes should be levied in the same way. But that is not the soheme, so that we gain nothing with regard to our paying more than we receive, whick has been our cauplaint hitherto.
HoN. Mr. BROWN-I am surprised that my honorabla: Iriend abould go so fari. I agrea with him an far as my ormo judgment is
concerned, that it would have been a deiriable arrangenent if we could hare got each pro vince to collect, by direct taxation, the moneys it: required to meet its own locale expenditure. But the bonorable gentleman must not say that because we have not got that length; wo leave the mater exactly as it was. : There is a very great change, and the proposed system is much more just than that existing hitherto. (Hear, hear:)
Mr SCATCHERD-But will the hon. geitleman "not say that it would have been desirable that these sums, instiad of being collected by the General Governument, should have been collected by each province?
HoN Mr BROWN-Certainly; that was what I contended for:' But we had not the making of the whole of the bargaia ; and surely the honorable gentleman cannot contend that because me did nat get everything our own way, we should therefore give up the wholo scheme. I apprehend, however, it will be found, if this scheme goes into operation, that the burdens ion the people of Upper Canada will be very different from what they have been in times past.
Mr. SCATCMERD-Well, the hoorable gentleman adimits thit Upper Cunada will not get in this. scheme all the contended for, and I say that if this scheme goés into operation, the position of Upper Canada will bo no better than it wa before. I give this as a glaring instan e-there are others which cannot so readily be detected-of the way in which the just clainss and interests of Upper Canida have been overlooked. I do not see huw honorable gentlemen will be uble to answer the charges brought against thei by their constituents, that they biave deliberately agreed, that for all "tinie to come" there shall be that advantage of one section oyer the other. If Upper Canada is to get no more benefit from the Confederation/than I cain find in these resolutions, I um a a loss to see how she is benefited by them, The expense of àn. Intercolonial Railmay is to be gaddled on her farmers and her people generallythey are to pay the larger portion of that expense, and that, so far as I ca i bee, is to be the grand effect.of this scheme. (Hear, hear.) Another objection I have to the pro ject relates to the proposition with reference to the Constitution of the Legislative Conn--iil: I say it is a retrograde step to do amay with the elective principle in the Legislative Council-( hear, hear)-and a step that will be very unpalatable to the people of Upper Canadar I do not seo why the large provinco
of Canada; containing a population of two and a half millions, should have been obliged at the Conference to give up a point involving so important a pripciple, to the small provinces containiág a population of only 800,000. (Hear, hear.) I say take those resolutions from first to last-there are seven-ty-two of them-let any man read them, and he cannot fail - to come to the conclusion that from the first to the seventy-second, it is concession after concession on the part of Upper Canada to those Lower Provinces.

Col HAULTAIN - What does New Brunswick say?

Mr. SCATCHERD-What I say is, that I cannot see why this large province should have been overruled at that Conference with referrence to this question of the Legislative Council. What did it matter to New Bruns"wick" if "the people of Upper Canada desire to have their. legislative councillors elected? If New:Brunswick desires to have hers nominated by the Crown, let it be so ; but why prevent. Upper Canada from having hers elected by the people ? $\because$ (Hear, hear.) Then the 43 rd resolution I consider objectionable. "The first clause of that resolution authorizes New Brunswick to impose' duties on the export of timber, logs, masts; spars, deals, and saved lumber. If this Intercolonial Railway is constructed, it will have very little passenget traffic during a large portion of the year, and I suppose it will do a large business in freight. "Like other railways, it will be the means of conveying a large quantity of timber to the seaboard. It appears to me that any one interested in the timber business of this country must see that every stick of timber that will go on the Intercolonial Railway from Canada into New Brunswick will be liable to this export duty. I ask the Honorable President of the Council if that will not be the fact?

Hon Mr. BROWN-I think the honorable gentleman could not have been present when the Hongrable Finnace Minister explained this matter. This expert duty is the same os is paid on timber in this country in the shape of stumpage.

Mr. SCATCHERD-That is not the point; no timber can go out of Vew Bruuswick without paying an export duty. Is not that the law at the present time?

Hon. Mr. BROWN-No timber can go from our torests vithout paying a duty of ezactly the same kind.

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-Exactly the same?

Hon. Mr. BROWN-What is the difference?

HoN. Mr.HOLTON-Does the honorable gentleman "say that this" export duty and stampage are exactly the same in their nature?

Hon. Mr. BROWN-I say exactly the same; with reference tolthe lumber from which the Government of New Brunswick now' derives a revenue. There will be some instances in which it will not work in exactly the same way:

HoN Mr: HOLTON-Sunh as timber cut on private lands?

Hon. Mr. BRQWN-There will be a difference as regards that.'. This was the way in which this arrangement arose. For myself, I regret it should be put in that shape, for I' am opposed to all export duties. (Hear, hear.) Of course it was arranged that the Loval Governments should have the laods uines, minerals and Crown timber of their respective provinces. From our Crown timber here we receive a large revenue in the shape of stumpage, which is to go to Upper and Lower Canada respectively for their local purposes. But the New Brunswick delegates said-" We do not levy a stumpage duty on our Crown timber ias you do; we find it better to levy it in the shape of an export duty "- and we complied with their desire that they should have their local revenue in that shape as an offset to our stuinpage duty.

Me. McKELLAR-I think the question raised on this puint by the honorable nember for West Middlesex is hardly worth discuss ing, because tiuber from Canada will never be carried over the Intercolonial road. It does not pay to carry it over our own roads, and it would certainly never be carried by railway all that distance. (Hear, hear:)

MR. T. C. WALLBKIDGE-It is carried from Canada to Portand over the Grand Trunk' for shipbuilding parposes. '(Cries of "No, no.")

MR. SCATCHERD-My honorable friend from South Oxford has not come to the point, which is this, that it is not right for the people of New Brunswick to charge this duty on timber. What right have they to levy an export duty on our timber? Yet this resolution, it appears to me, would give them that right.

How. Ma. BROWN-My houorable friend will recolloct that these resolutions are to be embodied in a statate, and the intention will be much more clearly stated in it. It was not by ony meanis the intention that one pro-
vince should have the right to impose an export duty on the products of another.
Mi. SCATCBERD-It seems to me however, that the meaning of that resolution is clearly as I have stated it. This scheme is objectionable on the face of it, because it will largely increase the public debt for the erection of defences and the construction of the Intercol nial Railwiay.

Hon. Mr. BROWN-What return will we get for that?

MR. SCATCHERD-Why, according to the extracts I have just read, we will get nothing at all.

Hon. Mr. BROWN - The hon. gentleman says that the construction of this railway to the Maritinie Provinces will involve us in increased debt. Now, should he not let us, in "all candor, know how much we are to get in the shape of revenue from those provinces, as an offset.

Ma. SCATHERD-It is generally admitted that we will receive no adrantage from the construction of the Intercolonial Railway: $\therefore$ Hon. Mr: BROWN-Who admits it?

MR. SCATCHERD-I say that this road will have to be ran at the expense of this province, and not only that, but it will be a piece of corruption from the time of the tarning of the first shovelful of earth. All the offieers of the rond will be appointed by the Government, und it' will be an everlasting. expense. It could not have been better expressed than it was by the hon. member for South Oxford in his own paper, that every storm of snow would be watched with the livelitst anxiety by the people of Upper Canada. (Hear, hear) 1. know it is said that the Governuent will open up the North-West when the state of the finates permit; but How much better would it be to have the money taken, which is to be appropriated for: this unprotitable railway, and expended at once in opening up that territory? It is doubtial wheither there is any land in that part of the country through which the railway is to pass, fit for cultuvation. Then, according to the ciew taken by my honorable friend from South Oxford, the ouly products shipped on it vill be those grown east of Riviere du Loup. (Hear, hear.) The pay. ment of subsidies frown the General to the Looal Governments, the doing away with the elective principle in the Legislative Council, and the constrution of the Intercolonial Railway, are to my mind grave objections to the Confederation. I consider that suich a measure ought not to become law until it has
been submitted to and pronounced upon by the people.' (Hear, hear.) Yet it is the declared intention of the Government not to submit it to the people for their opinion. Now, I think the Government are not keeping faith with the people in this respect. At a dinner in Toronto, in November last, the honorable member for South Oxford is reported, by the Globe of Nov. 4th, to have said:-
Hon. Mr. Brown-- A friend asks if the scheme is to go into operation without beíng submitted to the people.. That is a matter for the different Parliaments to consider-whether it shall be done, or whether it shall not be done. It is not, I apprehenid, for the Administration of this province, or any other province; to say that this measure shall or shall not be sent especially to the people. We are in the hands of the represeutatives of the people; and by their decision we are ready to abide.
How different is that declaration from the conduct of the Government now, when they come down and say they are going to use every means to carry the scheme through without submitting it to the people! (Hear, hear.) At the same dinner there was another honorable member of the Government présent, the Hon. Minister of Finance, and I will read to the House what he said on the question of tappealing to the people :-
"They would have desired to see a Central Gov. ernment, extending its wgis over all interests. But there were deticuities which rendered this impossible, and in meetung these diticulties he trusted that the measure which would be submitted to the people, to the Imperial Parliament, aud to the Provincial Parliaments, would be found to be one which protected local interests, while national interests had been reserved for the central power, which he hoped would manage them in a way to do honor to the race trom which we had sprung. (Cheera.)
There is the express declaration of two Ministers of the Crown that this meagure, before it would become law, should be submitted to the people. (Hear, hear.) Now, is the course indicated that which has been adopted? Is the scheme to be submitted to the people? No; they bring down the scheme and say that it minst be passed in its entirety, and su'far from submitting it to the people, they move the previous question to prevent the possibility of an amendment to that effeot being put. Some nembers who have preceded we contended that it would be unconstitulional to submit it to the people, and they cited cases in support of their argument. But in those cases; Parliament had full power to dispose of the question then before
it; this Parliament has no power to dispose finally of this question. The British Parliament can act with or without the consent of th is Parlianent, therefore, it appears to me that the cases cited are not applicable to our case, and 1 maintain that submitting the resolutions to the people would prevent irritation hereafter. (Hear.) How can it be pretended that if the teeasure is not passed now, the time will néver occur again? "So far as Upper Canada is concerned, I think she might hope to obtrin such a scheme as this at any time-(hear, hear) -and I am willing to take the respousibility of voting against this Confederation sel eme.' (Hear, hear.)

MR. JUHN MACDONALD (Toronto) said-Mr: Speaker, before recording my vot? on this question, I desire plainly to state the positioui which I occupy in regard to it. I desire to say that $I$ am in favor of Confederation. (Hear, hear.) The frst resolution which was proposed at the Quebec Confereuce and agreed upon, namely, that a Confederation of all the British North America Provióces, on principies just to all, was desirable, I have vo hesitation in saying, meets with my entire approval. $\vdots$ We hate been told that the Conference at Quebec exhibited one of the grandest spectucles Which the world ever beheld. (Hear, bear.) I may be wroug; but I fail to it in that olght." "I am prepared to award to honorable gentlemen all the sincerity in meeting tugether to settle the sectional difficulties of this country to : which. they can possibiy lay claim, and it is a matter of grede regret to me that I find miyself to-ngbt compelled to recurd toy yute against bon. gencleaen wht whom it has been my pleasure to be associated ever since I entëred political life. But, sir, it is with me a matter of coñscientious conviation, and I am boand. whatever the consequeuces may be, to Yollow those convictuous. (Hear, hear.) Now, Mr. Sprakek, I think that hour. gentlemen, in briagiug this scheme down and saying that we must take it just as it is without makiag any dueuduent to it whatever, are asking too much. (Hear, hear.) That is assuming the doouneut is perfect in every partieular; or as nearly so ay possible. If ware to undertake the diacussicin of this question, and - yet not be allowed to alter it in any singlo particular so as to adafit is to the circubstances of the provines, I really canat concuive for what purpuse this House has been called rogutber. (Hear, bear.) Wo
have heard a good deal said ahout the leading Opposition members in all the provinces having received invitations to enter the Conferebee for the free discussion of the question, but $I$ would ask, sir, on what occasion the Opposition of Lower Cauda were invited by the Governments to take part in that Conference?. (Hear; hear.) I understood/the hon. member for Montreal Centre (Hon. Mr. Rose to suy, that although he did not agrie with some of the minute details, yet rather than jeopardize the adoption of the whole scheme, he was prepared to vote' for it just as it stands. Now, I would usk if the quagtion of our School law is a minute detail? I would ask if the appropriation of the debt between Upper and Lówer Canada is a minute detail? I would ask if the question of the defences off the country is a minute detail? And yet We are asked to yote for this measure without having these particulars laid before us tor our consideration:' (Hear, hear.) It is better, the hon. gentleman says, that we should voto upon it in ignorance of these things, and leave the result, if wrong, to be righted by future legislators.' Well, the member was vote in ignoraunce if he prefers to do things in that way but as $I$ aw constituted (it may be a fault of mine), I cannot do that. I will pever record as vote in this House unless I know; or have tried my utuost to kuow what I am doing (Hear, hear.) The Hon: Midister of Fluance, in the very able speech which be delivered at Sherbrooke, alluded to the great difficulties Which surrounded the School question. Heintimated that the question was one of such magnitude, that a great deal of time way required for its consideration, and then inyited the coöper: ation of all intelligent men to the sulatius. of that difficulty. If then the smaller quest tion is of so much importange, why should the larger one be forced upou this House with such haste? Does if require less time for consideration than the swaller one to which I have alluded? It seems to me very much like building a house first, and after it is built proceeding to examipe the founda.' tions. The hoo. gentleman spuke of the impruvement which this acheme had already socured in tho value of our securities in En. gland. Now, it does uot require uuch thought to discover that it is an casy matter to affecs the atwis exchange either fiavorably or aniavorably. Sequritios go up to-day nad dovia to-morrow. 4 man in business may get an.
endorser which may for s short time improve his credit; so we seek to improve our credit by an alliance with the Maritime Provinces: Mr. Speaker, there are other and far better ways of improving our credit, the very best of which is living within our means, bringing our expenditure within our income, and establishing our financial operations" on a sound and healthy basis. Rest assured, the monied men of England will attach, much greater importance to such a course than any alliance tre can possibly make with other provinces, for the purpose of improving our credit. (Hear, hear.) Welli sir, we are 'told that this great' scheme is' to settle 'all our sectional difficulties.. 1 may perhaps be very dill of comprehension, but I must confess that I cannot see that, We have difficulties among odirselves, as scenes that have transpired on the floor of this House have fully proyed, and we seek to settle those difficulties by forming a union with provinces that are at loggertheady amoag themselves. (Hear, hear.) Now; sir, we have loug contended in Epper Canada for a just representation in Parliament, and we are told that", because ive are going to get seventecr more members than Lower Canada; in the Mederal Legislatare, all the difficulties for the "settlement of which representation according to population was sought, are to be thereby remedied. I cannot see that that result will follow, because in the Upper House there is still to be an equality of rotes', and 1 quote now from the pamplet written by the Mon: Mr. Caucuon to show that he is of opinion that any adrantage which we gain in the Lower House will be completely paralyzed in the Upper Chamber. He says:-
The Constitation of ! 1840 oaly stipulated for tquality in the Lower House. Let us suppose that the majority of the be givelative Council had chusen to adopt a project of law whictio would have been histile so the iuterests of Lower Cumala; as. Upper and Lower Caunda were equally represented in the Lower House, the bit alloged by the Upper: Huase would have been certaialy thrown out and it is by the Luwier House alone that we have, up to this time, been able to protect and save our institutious, taking into accuint also the goud will shown to us by Lower Canadian representatives of English desrent.' Why has the Legistative Adsembly always been the batlefield with respect to the strugglo that has been going on for the last tourteen years between Upper and Lowér Canada on the question of representation by population? It is because there alone equality hus existed, and there aloue could be found the means of solving
the constitutional problem. If then, instead of the present Constitution; we substitute local logilatures, and over them the Federal' Parlianent, we shall see in that case precisely the inverse of that which we have always observed iu our present legislature, that is to say, that on the occurrence of any local misunderstanding, the struggle will be carried from the Lower House to the Legslative Coancill, and precisely fo the reasons that we have adduced.
Mr. Speaker, we have here, in the language of one of the most determined opponents of the principle of representation according to population, very good reasons given for ooming to the conclusion that the granting of increased representation in the Lower Legislature will amount to nothing, while the same just priiciple is denied in the constitution of the Legislative Council. 1 hope I may be incorrect, but I am of opinion that if this sehẹe goes into operation, we shall witness the difficuty alluded to on the floor of the Confederate liegislature in Jess than six months after its organization. (Hear, hear.) And the unfair representation which Upper Canada will have in the Upper Chamber must exist throughout all time. Nor will she be able to add even one -mewber, no matter how great may be the preponderance of her population over other parts of the Confederacy Ind this, equality of votes between Upper Canada and Lower Canada will act, as Mr. Caccmon tells his Lower Canadián friends, as a perfect counterpoise to the legislation of the Lower House In donuection with this subject; there is another feature of the schieme which is paintul to contemplate, in which we are, I think, about to advance backwards. The qualitication of a Legislative Councillor is now $\delta 8,000$; but it is proposed to reduce it to $\$ 4 ; 000$; which I regard as retrogressive. And in the case of Prince Edward Island and Newfoundland, the qualification may be personal property as well as real estate-in other words, the legislative co:ncillors from those provinces may be peddlers of jewelry or any other commodity, whose stock in trade may be burued up while they are attending a session, readering them unable longer to qualify. (Hear, hear.) But there is a much worse feature than that : it will have the effect of introducing into the Upper Chamber a class of needy adventurers, who in a crisis" may be approached without very much difficulty, and who might plead th if own circumstances as an auple apology in quieting their consciences for the votes they
might give . Now, Mr. Speaker,' I object further'to this scheme on the ground of the cumbrous and expensive machinery' of the local governments. I know it has been asserted that it will not cost the country any more than under the present system, and I will entirely give up my position if any hon. gentloman can prove to me that a maa will not go behind who doubles or even increases the number of his employés without at the same time increasing the capital and extent of his business: "I see it this scheme the introduction and increase-the rapid increase - of a large number of consumers, without correspoudingly inereasing the producers of the country. If I err in this I err in tood company, for I quate the words of the Secretary of State for the Colonies, Mr. Camberil, who says on this point:-

A very important part of this subject is the expense which pay attend the working of the Central and the Local Governments. Her Majesty's "Government cannot but cxpress the earoest hope that the arrangements which nay be adopted in this respect' may not be of such' a nature as to increase', at leasi in any cönsiderable deyree, the whole expenditure, or to make any material addition tw the taxation and thereby retard the thiternal industry or tend to impose new burdens on the comuerce of the country:

Now; sir, I object as a western man (and I will be pardoned if I allude to the sectional question) to the great injustace which will be done to the people of Upper Canada in the heay burdens which she will have to bear in the carrying on of the General Guverament. . In the able speech delivered by the Hon. the Minister of Finance at Sherbrooke, he said that when: the population of Canada should reach five millions (a larger population than that of the proposed Confederation at present), the revenue which woud be derised for public purpuses would not be a farthing more than now. Uue hön geotleman has said in this House that it is as cheap to govern ihree millions as tive millions of people. That may be true, but one million of money will not go as far as tive millions in making those iocal improvements' which 'Pper Camada would require, and to which the peopte of Epper Canada would be justly and tairly enutled. Thea I object further to the ycheme, because while Upper Canada-mill courribute the largest amount to the generay revenue, she will also have to bear the heavy share of defersive and other public works in the Maritime Provinces and Lower Canada.
(Hear, hear.) I object further to the indefinite postpouement of the opening up of the North-West, the settlement of the valleys of the Saskatchewan and the improvement of our canal system. (Hear, hear.)" There is a very marked difference in the phraseo. logy of two of the clauses of this scheme which must strike any one reading them as extraordinary.". The one declares that the Intercolonial Railway shall be built.' There can be no mistake about that, nor is there any possibility of doubt. The language is definite-it is to" be built immedately. (Hear, hear, ) The other clause (69) reads thus :-
The comunication with the Jorth-Western territory and the improvements required for the development of the trade of the Great West with the seaboard are regarded by this Conference ais subjects of the highest impurtance to the Feder. ated Provinces, and shiall be prosecuted"at the earliest" possitrle. o periud that the state, of the finances will permit.
(IIear, hear.) Ihis certainly is the most ambiguous latiguage that could well be emploged in reference to this great and desirable work. Huwever, we are told that this is a mistake, and that the opening up of the North-West will go on" sinultaneously with the construction of the Iutercolonial Raila way; but we tioul Hon Mr. Thlacy asserting in the Lower Previnces that there was no serious intention of going on with this work at present, and that a large sum was to be apent at once in New Bruaswick ja iaprop. ing its defences. If I may be allowed to give an illustration of the uneertain and cvasive character of this provision of the seheme; I will quite from a cartoon in "Hunch, which I have hive before me. It refers :0 a Rusvian State papor ou Polish: aflairs. Hagtan. France and Austria examing it, thus explain it :-


Ausera, -. I suspeet it means-Eb? Ho!"
Churtus, "And we d, in't hauw what it means."
Hox̆. Mn MeGEL-Thit appears to be quite correct in your case.
Ma. JOHS MACDONADD-Well, my iguorance is pardonable when there is so much ingurince of the seheme even among members of the Ministy. (Hear, hear.) I can fancy the ftestion of tho opeuing ap of the Furth-W Som coming up in the first session of the Feleral Legislature and the maner in which it will be received.

New-Brunswick will say : "cr Oh we cannot go. on With this work until the Intercolonial Kailway is' completed, and New Brunswick is pat in a complete state of defence.". Nova Scotia will say : " When the finances permit we will proceed with it ;"and all the provinces will unite in saying, when this provision of the Constitution is pointed out to them, "Oh, we don't know what it means.". (Laughter.) Iobjeet to this sckeme, sir, on. account of the burdens it proposes to place. on this country in the shape of defence. (Hear, hear.) We haye hadd glowing accounts trom the Hon. Minister of Agricalsure and others about the territory that will belong to this Confederation. "We are told that it will estend for four thousand miles from ocean 'to ocean; and will it be believed that we in Lipper and Lower Canada, with a population less than that of the city of London', will be called upon to defend such a frontier-a territory, we are told as great as the continent of Europe". (Hear, hear.) The thing, is an anomaly that no country" in the world presents except our own. I regard this, addition of territory by Confederation as a sonace of wenkness instead of strength ; and to my unind the ceastiug of the burden of defence upon this country is like investing a sorereign with" all the outiward semblance of - rogalty; and givins him a"dollar per day to deep up the dignty of his ceurt," or like especting the engine of one of the small fiery steamers which ply on the river here to Hoint Levis, to propel the direat Eastern. aeross the Atlantic. (Hear, hear.) Sir, I am not uninindful of the fostering care of the British Island over all its colonies. I am not unmindful of all that England has done to guard and protect her colonies throughwit the world, and "to develope their resources. But when we see by the telegraphic reports of to-day that the Imperial Government is about to expend $£ 50,000$-or if you accept the corroction of the Government, as atated this crening, $£ 00,000-$ upon the defences of this country, I"ask in all seriousDess what is that anount for the protection of an exposed frontier such as our's:

Hos. Mr. BROWN-I do not wish to iaterrupt my hon. friend ; but I mast say that when he has heard it stated that this $\sum 200,060$ is to be granted by the Imperial Government simply for the defence of the city of Quebec, 1 am amazed how he can yet up here and charge the Imperial Government with the intention of giving only that
amount for the defence of the whole country.
Hon. Mr. DORION-It is distinctly stated in the report of the debate in the House of Lords that that is all the Imperial Government intend to appropriate.

Hon." Mr. BROWN-I beg the hon. gentleman's pardon, but it is not so stated. I'think the hon gentleman will find that there are now large works going on at Halifax and St: John.; and that besides the appropriation for works at Quebec, the question of the amount to be contributed for the defence of Canada elsewhere is still under the consideration of the Imperial Government.

Hon. Mr. DORION-Oh, no ; only the question of the naval defence.

HoN. Mr. BROWN-Well, the hon. gentleman may not accept the statement I make, bat I am quite sure the hoú. nember for Toronto will; that the question of the defence of this province at Montreal and west ward is still under the consideration of the Imperial Government, and at this moment is undecided.

Mip. JOHN MACDONALD-Of course, I was aware that the $£ 200,000$ propesed to be appropriated were for works at Quebec.

How. Mr. BROW N-The hon. gentle: man shouli not have stated, then, that they were for the defence of the whole of the pruvince.

Mr. JOMN MACDONALD-I am free to admit that this was a mistake, and that the amount was for the defences of, Quebee.

Hov. Mr. BROWN-Well; it was very wrong to repeat it.

Mr. JOHN, MHODONALD-Wel, I ask that if the Imperial Government will appropriate only this sum, where, at such a period of imininent danger as the present is said to be, and with every point of the frontier perfectly defenceless, "is the money to come from to place all parts of the province in a position to resist aggression,' and who is to provide it". The hon. member for Lambton, the other night, in alluding to the ability of this country to raise and maintain a standing army for our protection, iastanced the case of Denmark, which he said was able to support an army of 20,000 men. I certainIy thought the allusion a most unhappy one; and one would have inagincd that the recent. history of that country would have prevented its being made. (Hear, hear.) But in regard to all the features in this scheme objectionable to Upper Canada, and adverse to
its interest, Upper Canadian members in this House' say," Oh, let us have Confederation; and we will wake all these things, right" by subsequent legislation." Well, I say to every Upper Canadisn that if he goes into this treaty with a view of violating its letter and spirit subsequently, he is unfaithful to the duty he owes to Cpper Canada as well as to Lower Canada aid the sister provinces. (Hear, hear)' I' dónot desise tu enter into a treaty with the object of escaping its obligations at some future tine ; and it is because I wish to do what is right, that I point out those things in the scheme that I believe to be wrong, and which, unlessthey are nodified, I canu"ot support by my vote. (Hear, hear:) It would be a breach of faith on the part of Uper Camadin a few years after this' to say, ". We want an iụcreased representation; we want a larger amount for our local purpues," when with their eyes open, her representatives accepted the document now before the llouse, and with a clear apprehension of what they were doing, made thementues parties to this treaty. Why, Mr. Speaker, is it that Lower Canada has so long resisted the ery for an increased representatiou to the western section of the province" simply because the treaty of 1840 granted to both sections equality on the floor of this House? "(Hear, hear.) l regret exceedingly that the Government intend to force, this, me asure upon the people without appenling tu them ou the question, and kowing whether it mexets with their approval or not. (Hear, hear.) In that samespeech of the Ifon Minister of Fiance to which I have already made allusion; one of his strongest
 was furced ou the people of Lower Canada without their consent' (Hear.) Yet, Mr. Speaker, what do we find? We find the intelligent and enterprising people of NewBruaswick have rejected this measure, and that it is not farured either by the people of Pripee Edward Island or Nova Scotia. We find, turther, petitions coming in every day against the messure from all parts' of Lower Canada. (Hear, hear.) And yet, in the face of alf this opposition, the Government presume to force the measure apon the country. But then we are told that the rejection of the schewe by New Brunswick, Nova Scotia: and Prince Edward Island wilf make no differeuce, although they were treated with here on equal terms, Prince Edward Island having the same vote in the

Conference as Upper or Lower Canada; they assisted in framing these articles, and it was to conciliate them that all these concessivns were made. We are told that this is a document of concessions; but I declare that I have failed to see any osncessions whatever that have been made to Upper Canada; they; were all made to the Maritime Provinces. I repeat that the delegates who met in Quebee as the represcatatives of provinces, and who had equal weight in the Conterenc with Canada, are now to be treated as if, they were of no account; that if the people of Canada, representing three-fourths of the whole population, decide apon it, it will be corried through. (Hear, hear.) Then we are told that the danger of war is very imminent." I fail to see that. "The Government brought in an Alien Bill, and a large majority in the House voted for it, because they believed it necessary, at the time, to secure the peace of the cuuntry; and in like manner they will be supported by this House - in ang measure which may be required for the purpose of adding to our security: But I ask, sir, if these resolutions' were carried to-night, how much they would add to our peace and security? What increased facilities of com. muncation would they give us with the Lower Provinces, until it was possible to build the Intercolonial Railway? Very many years mist uecessarity elapss before that work could be completed ; meanwhile, the Whyle question of union could be discussed; objections could be considered, and the people could be consulted. Thus, without hastily pressingona measure which mighteventonte in disappointinent and misery; is sound and judicious measure night be devised, which wöld meet with the approval of the country; and whose principles might be perpetuates with the happiest results.

Hon Atry Gen CARTER-That's fact! (Laughter.)

Mr: JOHN MACDONALD-Perhaps 1 differ with many in regard to the subject of the Intercolonial Railway. I am willing that the Intercolonial Railway should be buitt, and I aبp willing that it should be built at once. I will go farther than that, and say I am willing that this Parliament should grant as the share of this country an amount sufficient to justify scuad commercial men in takiog up that work, which I look upon in the light of a great commercial undertaking. That is the idea that I hold
in regard to the Intercolonial Mailway. We should then know how much the road would cost, and how much money we had to spend, and by placing it under the management of sound, judicious commercial men, the best possible guarantee would be afforded us of its being properly worked. (Hear, hear:) I do not, for my part, underrate the difficalties which beset the hon. gentlemen who now occupy the Treasury benches. However much others may be ready to charge hon. gentlemen with having lost confidence in them, I an free to admit that my confidence in hon. gentlemen with whom I have hitherto worked, is as strong as ever it was. But sir, no matter whether that confidence were strong or feeble, I must vote on this question as I conscientiously believe it is my duty to vate: That course I have ever followed since I have had the honor of a seat in this House, and that caurse I intend to pursue so long as I continue in public life. Har be it from me to withhold from honorable gentlemen that full measure of cr alit to which they are justly entitled. I believe that they were perfectly sincere in thus coming together to endeavour to bring àbout a solution of our constitutional dificulties, and I hope they may be succesful in their efforts in that direction. And if in the end they shall accomplish that great object-if they shall succeed in banishing strife and discord from the thoor of this House, and in bringing to our shores an increased mesure of commercial prosperity, no wan will be more willing to acknowledge his error than I shall, and no one will be more ready to join-in giving them that full measure of a nation's gratitude to which under those circutustances they will be so fully and fairly entitied. (Cbeers):

Mr. McKLLLAKㅇ́-It is very late in the evening, and I do not intend to speak at any: great lea th. However, I think it is proper, in the interests of a considerable portion of the people of C'pper Canada, that I should call the attention of the House to this fact, that a fev weeks ago a very large and influential mevting of the citizens of Toronto was held in that cits; most of them,' I believe, being the constituents of the honorable gentleman who has just addressed us, and to which meeting that honorable gentleman was invited for the purpose of discussing that very measure. He did not, hovever, think proper to attend; but I myself was there; and I think he has treated his constituents not wiih that courtesy and attention which they had a right
to expect at his hands. (Hear, hear:) Why, sir, did he not attend that meeting, and throw on it that flood of light which he lias shed abroad amongst us this evening? (Laughter.) Well, in the metropolis of Upper Canada, where many of the most influential men of that section of the province were assembled, on a motion being made for what the honorable gentleman now contends, an appeal to the people-that this measure should be sub. mitted to the popular oote before being dis. posed of by this House--at a public meeting, I say, in the metropolis of Upper Canada, where there were hundreds of the leading men assembled, not a seconder could be found. (Hear, hear.) I say wo must hold that honorable gentleman responsible for not going to that meeting and enlightening his constituents upon this very important subject.

An Hon. MEMBER-Did you do so with your constitúents?

Mr: McKELLAR-Yes, the question was fully discussed by them. The honorable gentleman who sits in the Upper House as the representative of the two counties of Essex anil Kent was elected by acclamation. And why? Because "this Coalition had taken 'place, and this scheme of Federation was in progress, and that honorable gentleman came out, openly and above board, and declared in his speeches and, in his address that he was prepared to do what he did the other day in the Upper House, vote for every paragraph of these resolutions. (Hear, hear.) The honorable memb i for Toronto (Mr. Joirn Macdonald), however, did not ventare to go near his constiucnts, although: they: were asseimbled within some two hundred yards of where he resides; and in the face of that he coues hereand tells us we must have an appeal to the people. If ever a subject was brought. under the attention of this House, which met the almost unanimous approval of the people of the country, it is the scheme now under discussion. (Cheers and counter cheers.) We have been told that because the press of the country support the soheme nearly without exception, the press has been subsidized, and yet, up to this moment, they have not been able : 0 point to a aingle case in proof of their assertica. It is paying the conductors of the press of Canada ayery poor compliment to say that they could be bought, even were such a thing to be attempted. (Hear, hear.) The press of this country-the unbought press of the country-from one end to the other, are in favor of the scheme. We have
had, too, elections for thirty or forty constituencies in both sections since the scheme was brought forward.

Hon. J. S. MACDONALD - Does the honorable gentleman speak of municipal elec: tions?

Mr. MoKELLAR-The honorable nember alludes to ${ }^{\circ}$ those elections as being municipal elections, but'I spoke not of the little municipality of Cornwall, and the hon. gentleman need not therefore be in' any way alarmed: (Laughter.) Almost without exception, the elections which have since taken place have been in favor of this scheme of Federation. (Hear, hear.) It was my intention to have spoken att some length on the merits of this scheme.

Dr. PARKER - Move the adjournment.
Hon. Attr. ${ }^{\text {Gen. CARTIER-No, no, }}$ no.

Mr. McKELLAR-I am quite willing to drop the subject in the meantime. "I may state that if it is thought desirable to proceed to a vote without discussion, for my part $-::$

Hon 'Atty. Gen. CARTIER-I munt beg the honorable genteman to undertand what is our position "gn this subject. He stated just now that he had merely risen to answer some objections which were made by the honorable meniber for 'Toronto, and he appears indisposed to speak this evening. Well, the honorable genteman may speak at another time. It as only hult-past twelve, and we may very well sit thll tivo-(oh, wh-so there is plenty of time. And us we know very wel that the hogerable yentlenen belonging to the Opposition are desirous of discussing this: question at greater leughts we: aie willing to listen to what they have to say.

HoN J. MACDONALD-I am willing to-stand ats much night work as any hoviorable niember of this House, but it is a little two much to ask us to sit here after twolve, night after night. - It nu time have I ever seen' any success atuending legislation aiter mildnight.

Mr. McKELLAR-I simply rose at the preseut time to point out the extraordinary conduct of the honorable member for Toronto. I may or may not desire to trespass upon the attentivi-ot the House tomorrow. But il I do uot then speak, it is because of the imminent danger which I believe we are in, that the debate should be brought to a speedy cluse. "In case I do not address the House again, I desire to take this opportunity of saying that I am entirely in favor of the resolutions, and that I shall support them cor-
dially, and oppose any amendments which may be offered to them; and, in taking that course, $I$ am confident that $I$ am doing that which will be endorsed almost unanimously by my constituents, and which will commend itself to at least three-fourths of the people of Upper Canada. If I believed that this measure was opposed to the wishes of the people of Canada, I would be the last man to press for a vote upon it until it had been submitted to them; but believing, from the clearest evidence, that the scheme meets with the almost unanimous approval of the country, I think the sooner' we bring it into operation the better. (Hear, hear.)

MR: JOHN MACDONAJD-I may per. haps be allowed to state in explanation that the good people of Kent are doubtless favored with a representative of much clearer views and sounder judgment than he who represents the unfortunate people of Toronto. But I would just say to that hon. gentleman, that if he will ouly look after the interests of his own constituents, I will try to look after the interests of mine. There is this difference betireen the hon. gentleman and myself, that when the scheme was first announeed, he took the whole thing duwn at once, whist I thought it too geighty to be thas hastily disposed of, and required time for reflection. And the débates which have taken place in this House-the diversity of opinion anoogst Ministers themselves as to sevieral points of the scheme-convince me that so far frour its being understood by every man, wowan and child "in Upper Canada, as the heu: member for Kent stated, and as he would fain have us believe; it is far from being understood in the country. "I am persuaded that the course I took was right. : I can. only say that, if the honorable gentlematn leaves this Huuse with skirts as clean as 1 intend mine shall be when 5 retire from Harhament, he will have no cause to reproach himself for anything he bas done during his political career. (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Mr. HoWLaND-I desire tu say a few words in reply to what fell from my honorable friend the niember for Cornwall (Hon. J. S. Macdonald), so that no misconception should exist on the part of the members of this House in regard to the course I thought proper to pursue when I went before my constituents, after having accepted the office which I have now the honor to hold in the Governiment. From the honorable gentleman's remarks, I thuk it would be inferred that. I had accepted office
subject to conditions, and had left it to be understood that ameadments would ; be made to the scheme now before the House. At least such is my impression from what fell fromi my honorable friend. I feel extremely obliged to the honorable gentleman for the kind manner in which he has spoken of me, and I can assure him in return that I value his opinion and friendship most highly; ; at the same time, it is proper that I should say á:word or two in reference to what he has stated, in order that no misconception may possibly exist on the subject. I placed before my constituents, fairly and fully, my views on this important question." I indicated to them that there were some parts of the scheme wtich, if I had been a delegate to the Convention,..I should have opposed and endeavored to modify. At the same time; I stated that we had to accept it as it was, it being in the nature of a treaty, or reject it.

Hon. J. S. MACDONALD-I am sure my honorable friend will not accuse me of a desire wilfully to misrepresent his position in reference to this matter.. What I meant to. say, if I did not say it, was this, that the scheme, as a whole; is not such as the Hon. Postmaster General desires-that hie bimself told his constituerts that he entertained objections to it; and on that I argued that if the scheme was so bad as to be unsatis. factory to the members of the Government themselves, it was not fair to deny to the Opposition, to whom it was still more distasteful, the opportunity of placing on record their objections to it. (Hear; hear.)

Ma. GEOFFRION moved the adjourn"ment of the debate.

Hon. Atry. Gen. Cartier moved in amendmett that the debate be resumed at the next sitting of the House to-morrow, as the tirst Order of the Day after routipe busi: moss.

Hos: Mr. HOLTON moved in amend-ment:-
That the debate be adjourned till Monday next; and that an humble Address be presented to His Excellency the Governor General, praying that be will cause to be laid before'this House, in the meantime, allinformation submited to the Conference, as well as any that may have since come into the possession if the Government, relating to the various imput tartsisubjectes referred to in the resolutions of the Conference; and particularly all infurmation respecting the reate and cost of the propojed litercolenial Railway, the proposed distribution of the public property and hablities among the several goverauments which are intendod to replace the present Government of this Pro-
vince, the nature, extent and cost of the contem: plated improvements of our inland water communications, the rights of Canada in the NoithWestTerritory, and the cost ${ }^{\circ}$ of opening up that 'territory for settlement, the amount required to be contributed by the provinces towards the pub. lic defence, and ihe extent and value of the pablic lands' of Newfoundland; in order that this House may be better enabled to consider the effect of the proposed constitutional changes on the material interests and the futuré: political condition of the country.
The honorable gentleman said-Mr. SpeakEr, I I shall simply say, with respect to this motion, that we are asked to adopt conclusions come to by the Conference of delegates Which met in Québec in October last. It is only right and proper-it is only fair and reason-. able-that we/should be placed in possession of the data upon which these conclusions are founded. If we are a free British Parliament, worthy of our position as the representatives of British freemen, we vill insíst on being placed in possession of all the information upon which these resolutions were founded. Ithink there can be no reasonable answer to oppose to this request, and I feel that I should be doing injustice to the House if I detained it for one moment longer with any prgument upon the subject.' (Hear, hear.)
Ma. A. MACKENZIE-The tiare mentioned is too short. It would be necessary to adjourn the debate for itwo months at least, in order to get the information here sought. But there are serious omissions in the resolution: Tho honorible gentleman ought to have asked for the number of engiaes ond ears priposed to be employed on the railway, and the amount of traffic which is expected to be carried backwards and forwards in the course of a year. (Laughter.) The whole thing to my mind is ridiculous. (Hear, htar.)

Hon. Atty. Gen. CARTIER-I am surprised, sir, that the honorable menter for Chateauguay should have proposed such a nition in amendment as this-- a motion which has no affinity whatever to the question under consideration. In my opinion things should be, called by their right names, and I have not the least hesitation in saying that this motion, from the irpelevant matter it contains, is en-* tisely irregular-that it is, in fact, an absurdity. (Lear haar.)

Hon. Mr. DORION-This is the only way in which tre can make a motion for getting information frem the Government. The amendment proposes that the debate shall be adjourued until Monday next, for the purpose: of affording an opportunity to the Government
to bring down the information which they had before them during the Conference at which the resolutions in favor of Confederation were originated.: It cannot be denied that when the Hon. Finance Minister and his colleagues agreed that $\$ 150,000$ should be paid for the unoccapied lands in Newfoundland, they must have had some information before them as, to the value of those lands, and whet her they consisted of one acre or a million. There is no doubt that when they agreed upon what part of the public debt of l'anada should form pirt of the debt of the Contederation; they had a statement laid before them upou which that agreement was based. If I re collect aright, $L$ saw in the newspapers a state ment that the Conference had adjourned for a day or two in order to allow the Finance Ministers of the several provinces to make up and bring before the Conference a statement respecting the debts and financial po sitions of the several provinces. Well, this is all we want to obtain. We want the same. information that the honomable gentlemen had before them when they agreed to those resolutions in conference. We do not suppose that they went into the consideration of these matters without any information before them: We do not suppose that they inerely guessed that the debt of Cana da was $\$ 62$, 500,000 , and guessed in the same way at the debts of the other provinces. We want the same opportunity of underistanding these resolutions ${ }^{2}$. nd of coming to a correct decision upon th m, that the honorable gentlemen themselves enjoged. $:$ We do not want an hour's delay more than is absolately necessary to bring down the infirmation and enable us to apply it in judging of the merits of the scheme. (Hear.) Honor able gentlemen say it will require months to get the information. Th hoiorable member for-Lambton (Mr. A. Mackevaie) seems to be very much afraid to have the information brought down, lest it would result in the seheme not being carried. He ought to remetaber that we have not the contidence in the Honorable Attorney General East, nor yet in the Houorable 'Finance Minister, that he bas. (Laughter:) He has known those gentlemen for a long time, and the House has had frequent opporlunities, during past sessions, of observing the amount of coufidence he has always reposed in theu. He had a wonderful amount of contidence in the Honorable Finance Minister at the close of last session, when be voted for the moion respecting the 8100.000 handed over to the dity of Montreal for
the payment of a Grand Trunk railway liability, But he will pardon us and exercise a little patience with us if we, who have never had that confidence in the honorable meinber for Sherbrooke since he has been Finance Minister, desire to have a little information before we vote for the extravagant scheme which he has brought before as. We want information mainly respecting the finances, the Intercolo nial Railway, and the Crown lands of Nefrfoundland, and we have no other way of placing our demand in a shape to be recorded, since the previous question hàs been moved, than by moving for it in amendment to tho motion for adjourning the debate.
-Hov. Mr. GILT-The honorable gentleman is going into the merits of a resolution about which a point of order has been raised.

Hon. Mr: DORLON-I was not aware that ia point of order had been raised. What is the point od order? I understood the Honorable .ttorney General East to have been arguing ayainst bringing down the in formation called for:

Hov: Me. CARTLER-No, no, not at all. The speaker will decide whether the resolution is in order or not.

THB SPEAKER-It is'a well understood rule that no amendment to a mótion for an adjournuent can be proposed, unless it relates to the time to which the adjournment is propused to be made.. The tirst portion of the motiva is in order, or would be in order if it were separated from what follows, und proposed by itself; but I canuot compel the heoorable mover of it to alter it." According to the best of $m y$ judgment, the motion is outyof order:

Hon. Mr. HÖLTON-Then, Mr. Speaken, I desire to have an opportunity of placing an appeal from the decinion of the Chair on the resolution I have offered, upons the Jetrnals of the House.

Tte nembers having been called in, the decision of the Honorable Speaker was sustained on the following division -

Yeass-Messrs. Alleyo, dult, Beaubien, Bellos rose, Bliggar, Blanthet, Bowman, Bown, Brousseau, Brown, Cuptin, Alty. Gen. Cartier, Cart. wright, Cauchon, Chapais, Cuckburn, Cornelluet, Cowan, Currier, De Boúcherville, De Firutville, Diclson, Datresne (Montealm) - Dunstiord; Evapturel, Galt, Gaucher, Ciaudet, Gibbs, Haultain, Hgginson, Howtand, Jones (Sourh Leeds), Lan. gevin, Hetsoatillier, Mackeazie (Lambton), Macfenzie (Norh Uxford). Magill, MeConkey, MeDiugall, McGee, Mekellar, Horris, Murrison, Pinsuneault, Poulin, Yowell, Itobitaillé,' Huss (Prince Edward), Scuble, Smith ('Torouto East),

Stirton, Street, Sylvain, Thompson, Walsh; Wells, Will son, and Wight. (East York) -59 .
Nars. - Messieurs Cameron (North Ontario), Coupal, Dorion (Drummonu and Artbabaska), Doriua (Hochèlaga), Dufjesne (Iberville), Fortier, Qeoficion, Hulton, Houde, Labreche,Viger, Lafiambuise,' Lajoie, Macdoriald '(Cornwall), O'Halloran, Paquet, Purkér, Perrault, Rsmal Scuitcherd, and Thibaudeau.-20:
The question being again put on Hon Mr. Attorncy Geveral Cartigr's motion,
Hon. Mr. DORIƯN said-Mr. Speaker, Lhold in wy hand an auendment which will exactly suit the ruling of the Chair, as it relates only to the tiaise to which the odebate shali be adjourned. The very unfair and ar bitrary course which the Government has unfortunately seen fit to pursue, has prevented the honorable members of this House from moving any amenduents to the scheme proposed for its adoption; but I for one am most desirous, in accordunce with the almost universal wish of the people of the district of Montreal, to have the question tested whether the opinion of "the people shall be allowed to be heard before a fioal decision is come to by this House.. I fiud that in nineteen French Candhan counties in that district, resolutions bave been passed in favor of that course, ind petitions hyve been signed by from filteen oo inaty thousand inhabitants, asking that no such schene be adopted without submitting it to a vote of the people. (Hear, beary). Sir, I think it would buye been far more dignitied on the part of the Government; and wore repectifl towards the country, to bare alluwed the seheme-which, in their opiaion," will ctate such prosperity that everybody will be in ecstacies over it, but Which, in our opinion, will bring ou' this conatry such a state of dissatistaction as will perthaps engender some other feeling than that of union with the Lower Provinces-to be voted upon by those who are must deeply intercited in it, the peoplec of Canda." But they havecthosen to gag us, insounch that wè buve no other course letit butto move amendmeats to the intion for adjourning the debate, and that we are determined to avail ourpetres of. My mation in amendinent is:-
That the debate on this resolution, involving as it does ${ }_{a}$ fundsyiental changes in the political instituthons and in the poltical relations of thas. province, changes which were not in the contem: plation ut the prople at the tast general electuon, ought in the opiniton of this House, to be adjourned for one munth, or until such time as the people of this proviace shall have an opportanity of con-
stitutionally pronouncing their opinions thereon, by an appeal to them.
I do not fix the time arbitrarily in which the appeal to the people shall be made. If hon. rentlemen are anxious to bave the scheme carried at an early day, they can bring co an election at once, or they may take therr own tinge: . Let them dissolve the House to-morroiv. We are ready for it at any time. The conduct of the Government in reference to the procedure of the House upos the great question they submitted to it, is as disgracetul as it is derogatory to the dignity of this House. After couling to a solemn agreement with the House that.the discussion should go on as if in. Committee of the Whole, und that consequently amendments misht be moved, they now distrust the fivorable fecling which they told us at the outs t existed amond the people, and now they will not uliow us to place amenduents to the scheme in the Speaker's hands. "They fear to have the question discussed and understood among the people. They are wise in their veuerution- They have just beheld the Hon. Mr. Tlleey-for ten years pist at the head of the Goverument of New Brunswick; and a most deservedly popular geatleman-though unitia : with his own strength that of the leaders of the Opposition, swept away by the people, (llear, hear.) Well may they tremble for the fate of their scheme anong the people of Canad. But they do not coutent thenselves. with simply refusing. an appeal to the people. They go further and reftise the members of this House the oppartunty of placiag their views before the House and country. We are ready to go to our constituents at ante upon the question, and if they say that the scheme is a desirablo due, I for one am propared to bow to the will of the majority. But, sir, to bow to a self constituted dilegationan assockation of honorable gentemen who were never authorised, either by, the Par liament or people of this province, to meer tugether ajöng with gentlenien from other provinces, and concoct ${ }^{\circ}$ u hew Constitution for the governmint of the people, and then to come to this House und say to it, "You'must. accept this aew Constitutiop in all its details, makiag no change or amendment, nor even having the privilece of proporing any amend-- ments so as to have theu placed on the. Journuls of this House "-1 say the demand that we should bow in metk and humble submission to that sort of treatment at the hands of
the gentlemen on the Treasury benches, is most monstrous. (Hear, hear.) I cannot say that under other circumstanees, such an appeal as has just been-made from the decision of the Chair would have been taken, but in this instance there was no other course left to the minority to show that they had demanded most important information in reference to the scheme under discussion. Whether it be until a direet appeal can be had to the people by a general election, or by petitions, I say the gravity of the question calls for delay: Never has such extraordinäry action been taken by any yovernment, whether weak or strong, as has been taken by honorable gentlemen opposite.

Mr. M:CAMERON-The Government havipg endeavored to checkurate the Opposition to their scheme in the tyrannical way in which they have doue, I think it is' only fair to defeat their object and to stalemate them, because in point of fact it will amount to that if we succeed in this motion:, I think honorable gentlemen will admit that in this great and "momentous change which is going to take place, the people who sent us here are as deeply interested as we are.: They sent us here to make laws under the Constitution as established, nof to overturn the Constitution; and before such a violent change of Constitution is made as will, undoubtedly, plunge us into most serious expenses, there ought to be given them an opportunity of saying whether or not they concur in the change propused. It is for this reason I second the resulution in ameńdmènt, and I hope we shatl have for it the support" of those honorable" geatlemen who, though supporters of the Governuent, have expressed suca marked dissent from the policy of shutting off ameadments by mov ing the previous question.
Hon Atry. Gen UARTIER said-With reference to this motivin I have to raise the same point of order that I brought against the other one. I beg to say at the out set that the statement that there is to be no other opportunity of bringing forward a miotion in favor of subuiting the scheme to the people, is all clap trap. The honorable member for Peel has given a notice of a motion on that súbject, as a substantial proposition on which every honorable gentleman will have an opportunity of recording his vote in a regular way:
[The honorable gentleman then went on to discuss the point of order, giving several reasons for considering it irregular. The dis-
cussion of the points raised was also taken part in by Hon. Messrs. Gale, Holton, Dorion, J. S. Macdonaid, and Mr. Mobari,]

THE'SPEAKER ruled the. motion out of order. He said that the practice in such cases appeared to be for the Speaker to eliminate "from such motions" all that was irregular, and if the honorable member who prepared the motion consented to that, to put it to the House as it then stood. If the honorable member would not consent, why the notion fell to the ground: If the honorable"member for Hoobelaga would consent, therefore, to his eliminatiog from the motion all but that which referred to the adjournment, he (the Speaker) would put it to the House If not, he would be obliged to rule it out of order.

HoN Mr DORION having declined to allow his motion to be interfered with, it was accordingly ruled out of order, the amendment of the Honorable Attorney General Cakier was agreed to, and the debate was adjourned antil three ooclock the next day:

Wednesmay March s, 1865
Me. CHAMBERS said - The position of the speaker who comes towards the lasi in a debate is, if disadvantageoons in somu respects, at least advantageons in others... If from the ability of gentlemen who have preceded hiin, and from their logical aud argumentative powers, most that could have beeu said has been said-ifr, from the ample store of knowledge they "possess, unuervas ideds have been advanced and logiest conclusions drawa therefrom, there is at least this advautage to their exuccessors in the debate, that they have the benefit of those conclusfutis, the adrantage of those ideas and of that knowledge. And although a subsequent - speaterer may be unable to advance new. theories, or even dudued new arguturents; he can at least compare then opinions and the views of those whiu have preceded him, I may state in the out, et that 1 had hoped, "ut the commencement of this debate, to have heard it announced that this Legiglature would bo allowed the priviloge of amending such of the resolations submitted as they might, upon earnest and careful examination, have deemed necessary. Ihad hoped, Mr. Speaken, that some latitude would be allowed to this Legislature in suggestiag unproverments and
amendments to the resolutions, which do appear to me to have been resolved upon with some degree of. haste for matters fraught with 'such, vital interest' and importance to these provinces. If indeed, sir, the hon. gentlemen who so recently held their deliberations iñ" this city had been composed of men perfect in intellect; and possessing intelligence unalloy ed by the haser ingredient of ordinary humauity-liability to err, then it would, I say, have seemed more consistent to ask this or any other legislature to adopt the Coustitution which they had framed for us and for posterity without amendments, to ask : us to receire, as we would or as we do, the articles of our religious faith-to ask us to have faith, and to believe that these delevatés had embodied in these resolutions all the requisites and necessaries for a perfect Constitution. I had hoped, sir, we should be able to apply ourselves to the calm, deliberate, impartial consideration of these important resolations, and, being divested of all party spirit, endeavor to arrive at such conclusions as would be adrantageous to all the provinces. Eut, sir, notwithstanding all this; however much it may have been desired, and whatever alterations we may bave wished for; whatever further benefits. and advantages Upper Canada may have de-ired to secure in this great national copartnership; and although I should myself: have preferred alterations in some ot the resolations, as well as in some of the-details, yet I am not, after having listened patiently and an xiously to the able arguments in favor of Confederation, as well as against it-I atn not, I repeat, prepared to state that I will take upon myself to say that Confederation, as a soheme, should be rejected-that I will state that I shall vote against the creation of a new uationality: (Hear, hear.) I will state some reasons why $I$ am not prepared to do so. In the first place, when I look abroad and see the neirhboring American Republic angaged in one of the mosu terrible and disa-trous wirs that has ever racked this continent;' when I read in almost every journal insuing from the press of that country anathemas against the British Empire ; when I dee that press teeming with threats against this" country; when. I know that that nation has by sea a inavy prepared to cope with the stroug powers of the old world, and a force on land, in point of numbers at least, astonishing the generals of the most advanced of warlike tations-when, I say, I see that nation in a marlibe; and not only in a mar:
like, but in a threatening attitude towards us, $I$ am led to consider, as paramount to every other consideration, what ought to be done for the safety of this country. "To preserve its territory from ínvasion, to protect the lives and property of its subjects, is, I conceive, the first important duty towards which the attention of every govern ment should be directed. (Hear.): Then, sir; upon the well-understood maxim that union is strength, $I^{\prime \prime}$ am inclined to believe that the union of the British North American Provinces would give strength to as all. (Hear; hear.) I confess I fail to see" a source of weakness in this union, as is very: ingeniously arguied by some hon. gentlemen opposed to this scheme "in toto. It does appear to me that the very political and gational status given to these provinces by a union, would become immediately a source of strength ; that the very new name to be given to the "new nationality would be an immense fortification of defence in itself. (Llear; hear.) When, sir, I consider the interest evinced by the people: of England, the pegple of France, and, I may say; of all Europe the very apprehension that seems to exist with regard to this Oonfederation of the British North American Provinces, it appears to me that the very announcement - of the creation of this new nationality has. given us already a position and a strength which in the palmiest days of the old refime wo might never have hoped for. (Hear, hear.) When I remember, sir, that great Constitutions in the old world have been founded in the blood of contending nations; that in the Mother Country the heirs of contending houses, at times. through various centuries, straggled for supremacy ; and that authority, power and good government have been established only after being wrenched from opposing factions by the sword-wh nit remember, sir, that history records the revolution which terminated the long struggle between the sovereigns in England and their parliaments-how, from union, order and freedom, established only by the sword, sprung a prosperity hitherto nuknown in the annals of human affairs; when I trace their history from the days of feùdalism down to the present, I am led to believe that if we have the apportunity of socuring greatness, prosperity, and an established and well-regulated freedom, comparing favorably with all that is enjoyed by the Nother (lountry, and without the cont of a bingle drop of blood, and, if the innancial
statements are corre t, with litt'e lose", if any, of treasure, we would not cet wiely in letring pass the opportunity, (Hear", hear) I thiok on the first proposition that our defensive position woult be surensthenet by this union... First, becuse were we to remain as we at present staid, separate prorinces, there would be reater temptation to the adjoining republit te aeqnare yooses. sion of our territory beliecturg as they undoubtedly would, that this couid be dite with advantaje and litile e st to themsetwis; wheres the mignitade ot dur nutionai position, under the Cintederatina. wuld be tise means, I am satificd: of deterrige theme from such an enterprise. Aud I ain sutisied. too that the people of Eugland wuild be more alive to our interest, more wilhng to spend their livec and their treasure sa astri"ing 'in our detence, composing a struzs. unsed, new natimality oniths contareut, that. they would if we were tio reunin isplates: edfoial depetdeucies (Hzrer) I believe the very iutimatiou of this Confederation has awakeod the world to the greatness, the vastesess of the revurees ot dii country. (llear, hear) That these ver : are shared io by emin ot stat smen in"Eu rope is alsin a siginicient tict. Lord Hocgh rox on secunding the Adtress on the late: Speech tram the Throne very si phaticaly declared io rey red to that purtion itw which allusion is made to Contederathos, "that h. Wat chad of thas movenemt, becalue be confespd that be belliesed the luture of the wolld restid ado in isotated mumelplitie: bur in great empires." A ad the tarl ut Dekber too, in his remarke oif that ocensiuns also saud :-

Cinder the circumstances, ITw with the ut most sutifaction that mort important stop io which Her Mastety's Spe it retris-the Confed eration of the (anadian Prububes I. hipe tie see in that Cosfodratagu of the Camazan Prow
 poner strong encugh, with he wid o thecountry (which I am sue will never lee withtide trum thein) to defend themselyes asatist all asores: sion.
(Hear, hear.) Now I a-k, what whali have. been the consequeuces if the piolitical com "bination that has taken place, for purposes well understood and declured, had not been made? We "ave seecu the poltical party strifes that agitated thas country; we hive - seen the bitteriess with which opposing partics contended for office; we have seeu the business of the country neglicted, and
its legislation brought to a stand-still; while parties assaded each other in our legislative halls oa some personal, individual gromend of malice; we have seen. Lever. Cabada refustng to Coper Canada her farr representatuo in Parliament; we, have seen sectional and religious dufficulties"and disensionis growing more añ more complicated, and portending strongly a dissolution of the unton, because we of Cpyer Canada could not have much longer subriited to whe our tar aud equitable right to be represent accordiay to vur pripulation upon the Hoor ot this House. (Hear thar) Louking, then, at the matter from this point of vem, I deem the circumsanes opportane that have opened a way for a solution of the dificulties that surrounded us, and at the sum time afford a wider and more extended and ample scope to the penple for their dutegee, for the ir conmerctal, manufactur. ing and miniog ioterests, and for their social Intercourse. Beaicving, then, thit in respect tw the solution of the political differesces so receuty existing, the Coufederatum, of the iro:tuees is exceedingly desirable; belier. liug that in order to matutan an hatorable" existence, the union has become expedier $t$; 'as affording a' means of detince again-t auresson, I have, I think; at least two exceedngly strong grounds upon which. 1 may favor the scheme in a general point of vew: (Hyar, hear): Admittes that con. tederatiou un goberal priaciples is a propositton ithat adait's of being strongly euterthined; that I frel couvine do my own mad that sonething requires to be cove; "that necessuty demaids strong and vigorous actuo on the part of the Government to relieve us trom the difficulties in'o which phtical dafferences have thriwnous, to z̈ard aod detend us aganst diffecultio not only pultical at toue but warlike abroadI aus, buvertheless, wi ote ot tiose who are whinge to accept, whe he ne nestigation and carelul evquiry, a Constituton cut and mainlactured whithut the measure of the perple it is proposed to tit havisg been takeo. Hear, hear.) 1 desire that the garment if the cuostitution should be made to fit the people and at their request. (Hear, hear.) II I had any apprehensiou that this sehewe was distastetul-was not acquiesced iti-was nut eadorsed by the people, I should be the last man io this House to cadorse thise reso lutions; and I' should like every inf. rmation affurded to this House that can be rosibly given. I will not, however, pretend to dic-
tate to the Government of the day what amount of information they shall furnish and ly before ins. I shall not charge them with dereliction of duty in not giving mare information. I do not pretend to say that they should at this stage give further. iotimation of the line of policy proposed to be pursued and adopted by them with regard to the local goviernments They, in their 'wigdom, no duabt, havetaid down a course they deem juricious and advisable to parsue, and which may be so. But at the same time I rescrve to nyself the right to be -satisfied or dissatistied with the reasons given, and with the iaformation laid before us, and I conceive no blaue can be attached to the men fon Cepper Canada who is ansibut to know, before be votes for Confeceration, what the results will be to that section of the country. (Hear, hear.) Geatlemen will, I hape, tate it in oo wrong spinit when I say that upon others than themselve-upon the young wen of this House and thís country-will fall the con-semin-aces of this scheme, if carried into effect, whether boneficial or disastrous; and apon us who now cast our votes in its faror will fall the respousibility, if, aftr its adoption, the working of its machioery shall prove disas'rous and injarious to Uppar Canada. I maintain that the merit for the tine beling of framitg a me w nati, pality will: attach to the few who have conceived and. accouplished it; and they will no doubt be removed to places of honor, trust zod emolument beyond the reach of the people, while we shall beleft to see that the cog-wheels and straps and appurtenances of this gigantic in rention are made to adhere to their respec tive and destiond positions." (Hear, hear) Aid woe to us if a wheel becomes displaced, or a siugle accidnot happens in its futare. working. Is it then, sir, inproper to desire to see the fullest prigramme before we enter upon the p'ay? Thouigh fuvorable to Confetciration, we might be unwilling to swallow soine of its indigestible ingredients, if any such ut should, upon examination, be found to contain. Hear, hear.) Now, upon eximuination ot these resolutions, I find the first one contain I think oothing but that which would ba acceptable and be gladly received by every truly loyal British subject-a Federal annu uoder the Crown of Great Britain. No one has attempted to address this House but has givén the fullest expression of his desire to see the connection with the Mother

Conntry maintained and preserved-to see the great arm of the British Tmpire. which we all so much esteem, respect, and admire, strengthened (Hear hear.) It has been argued here tiact the British connection will be endangered by this sciene, that yrowing in strength, we shali by and by becomatio to. pendent, throw off our allegian"e, become coveted, and fioally-swallowed up by the neighboring republic $I$ believithe interest now exhibited in England in our welfare, in our prosperity, in the formation of our ceiw nationality-the-affection shown for us in the hearts of many English statesmen, ex. hibited in their declarations of their: belief in our logalty, is sivecre. (Hear) Tcannot believe that as we grow areat, prosper iusyand valuable, their interest in us wilt grow less or be in the slightest degree dininished." The contrary is the reasonable deduction. If that nation bas been in times past so solicitous. with regard to us; if wheu poor; small. and unkn!wn comparatively, she has sent her best blocid and her richest treasures: for our defence and support, it is unjust to her now and unceasionable to assume that she will ever, unless at our own reguet, abandon, neglect or forget (us. (llear her). The recollections of our chidfiod and the ansions caro extended tuwird us will be ever: fresh, I trant, in the miod and heart and mèmory of our lislaod Parent, and when maturity overtakes $u$ y ain sure she will not forget the child she has so loved I trinst not. $\therefore$ I sec no occasion for apprehension on this uocount in this airection: (Hear hear): I see, 1 l r . Speaker, embodied in this second resolu-tion-if we are to have a uain of the pro: vincer-the only inethod which I thuk could be at all satistactory to the variuus sections: It is alleged ty some that a legisLative uaion would be devirible. For my own part, I see may diffeculties that would inevitably arise out of a lignsiativo union, which it appears to me whold be iosi"mouot able. . I do not befieve that a general government would be as capable, even if it were as willing-which I doubt if it would be-to deal with the lical affaits of tue differentsections as the local govern inents would be. I bulieve a general goveroment, charyed with matters of common iu'erest to ${ }^{\circ}$ the whole country, and lucal givernments for the province, as propused by this resolution, is best adspted to secure efficiency. harmong and permaneacy in the working of
this union. The second resolution too, opens up a mighty page on our historic future., It points a significant finger to the day when millions of inhabitants shall people the verdant valley of the Naskatchewan, when railways and telegraphs shatl thread the aluost boundless territory of the NorthWest, where the war-hoop of the savage alone is heard It points to the vast commercial enterprises yet to be engaged in upon the Pacific shores, to the rich gold fields of Columbia and the fertile shores of Vancouver' (Hear, hear.) We rise, Mr Speaker. in this resulution from she smplicity of small colonial dependencies to a vastaess io extent of territory to which the little islands: that compose the mighty. Empire to which. -we belong are insignificant. '. We may look forward, even with hope and pride, withour, I think too great a stretch of icacyination, to some distant day, when in the rocking of European thrones, pertaps, we shall be able to seep out our fleets and our armies, gathered from the Atlaine to the Pacific, to afford aid and assistance to that "Very Empire to which we pow, in our weakpess, appeal for support and streugth and aid. (Applause) Who will say that the conception of this scheme has not a granden about it commending itself to the minds of those who rise :supcrior to the eries of party strifecoumending itself to the favorable consider"ation of thoset who desire to move onward with gigantic strides to greataess, to wealth. - to a more perléct civilization-to break out from the narrow grooves of prejudice and selfishness, and bigotry; and destre to take to the broad gauge of an enlighteded and expansive policy? (Hear, beary. Resola. tions three, four and five 1. may pass over? They all have for their tend nocy the planting of the notes of the Constitution of this uew. nationality in the firm soil of the Brtish motel; of coupling to the firm car of British. Ireedom this new nationalisy the wisdom, and expediency and policy of which course is not atcempted $u$ ba denied by a slagle vutee in this House I pass to the cousideration of the eleventh resolution, which bay beea the sabject of moch diseassion amoun, the people ouiside of this House and has beera referred to as one very stroug ground for .the rejection of the achease. Those of the .old Retorin party who contended-and 1 am sure conscieotiously cipatended-for the: elective priseiple in the (pper House, ever jeslous as they bive' a right to be of those
rights and privileges, for which they have long and ardently contended, see in. this resolution a retrograde rather than a progressive principle-a backward rather than a formard movement - instead of a salutary reform. a retarn to the old-fogyism of the past, if I uay be allowed the expression. (Hear, bear.) Well, sir, I in some measure agree with those who entertain these opinions. I would, for my own part, very nach prefer to see the elective priaciple retaiped in the Legistative Council, and I very much desire, if this scheme is to be adopted at all, that in pursuance of the inimation given in the despatch of tho 3rd Deceuiber, 1864 , from the Imperial Governwent; deknowledging the despatch of this Goverament of the 7 th of November, 1864: the proviaces should enter again upon the consideration of the resola. tion respectiug the appointuent by the Crovin of the members of the Legislative Cónacil. As this suggention is vie thatsurnes not from either of the proviacesarises from an sectional on provincial'preja-dices-none of the proviaces can well refase to entertain it if they are really petusted by a desire to arrived at a form of Coustitutional Gosernment based upou principles just to the several provipees, as is declared to be their desire in the very first of these resolutions. (Hear, I will not, sir, enter into further details upon this subject ; I will not disouss the alvantages of an Intercolonial ruad, ur its disadrantages; buti will simpls say, that in the hour of ewergency; when onr position ts such that we cantot; we must not stand still-when we are hurried along by the resistless power of eircuinstanees-when daugers threaten, oo the one hand, aod bright prospects "of greatoess lie in inumedate unity of action op the other, we should nut descend to the penaftua position of beiug uñwllinig to speod a dellar tos accous plisha great aniis unghty próeet that will live in the enemory of all tuture ages-of fonat-. ing a nationalicy that will. th may be; exist, as the loaroed his mos an quoted by my hoa Ariend früm Quebee has said: "W Wen mone traveller trom Now Lealand dhall stand upon a broken arch of Loodon Bridge to sketeh the ruitis of St Rials.'. (Hear.) I would uot, sir, on' the other band, be willing' to adupt a selheme which would, in a tinancial point of view, endanger the beat inceresta of Upper Camada; Liut 1 am assured by the facts and figares intro-
duced by my honorable friends from South Oxford and from Sherbrooke, who, I am sure do not wísh to be taken in in respect to this scheme any more than I. do, or than any other man from Upper Can: ada-I am assured, I say, by them, that our financial position will be benefited by the Confederation." I have compared thosé facts and those figures, and I must confess I have confidence in their conclusiops. (Hear, hear.) I have heard' it urged, sir, that because some connties in New. Brunswick bave rejected the men who "haye adopted Confederatiou as a policy, we ought therefore to abandon the schewe.: Well, sir, we are either bound in good taith to carry out the engagement entered into at Qacbec or not, and I say with my frieud the Honorable Atworney General West, we are bound in all couscience aud họnor; and is every principle of law or equity, to adhere to the agreement cutered into (Hear, hear.) The tu guoque argument is not a good defence to such a breach of goud faith. What a sorry Ggure should we cat, sir, 'before the Imperial' Governuent with this argameõt our muths:The Province of New Brungwick, Nova Scotia and Prince Edward Itland broke fuith, riolated their pledges, were untrue to their soyagements' aud ", we followed their example." I think, sir, such a position Fould be pitiable, and would tend to lower us in the eyes of the Imperal Goverument. (Hear , hear) I maintuin that the principle enanciated by my friend the Honorable Attoriey General West is correct; we mast adopt 'hese resilutions, and we mast take them betore the Iuperial Government, in order to mantain the respect of that Gorernment,' in order to maiutain the respect of the Empire, in order to maintain èren our "wn self-respect." (Hear, hear.) When that is accomplisted, our duty will be etided: If the Maritime Provinces will pot udhere to the arrangement; we shall have done yur duty, and shill have secured the good-will and respect of the Mother Conntry.: (Hear, hear.). Before takiog my seat, I. will say, sir, with regard to the puttiog of the previous question, I am sorry that has been done. I am one who is desirous ot giving to every man, of : every party, of every shade of political opinion, the most estensive acope for the expression of his opinions, the fairest opportanty of giviug them atterance and of recording his voies; so that they may appear upon the Journals, ready to be reforred
to, in order both to protect himself and to benefit others: This, sir, is, however, a technicality ; and however muech I may regret that the question bas been put in that form, $I$ cannot on thateaccount reject the whole scheme of Confederation. a: (II ear, hear.)

Mr. GEOFFRION said-Mr. Speaker, when I'moved the adjournment last night, it was not my intention to offer to-day a general review of the schene which is under discusion ; for I am ot opinion that it has been sufficiently discussed to enable the conatry to judge of its weerits and of its disadvantages. . My intention was rather to confine myself to eertain pointe in the plan which, in wy opiniod, have not: been held up in a sufficiently salient point of view, and to trake a few remarks on what has been said, both in this House and in the Legislative Council, in relation to the protection of the Jastitutions of Lower Cinada:' In' the Upier Hóuse the Mon: the Prime Minister (Hon. Sir Etienne Pascal Tacee), in his speech of the 3rd February last, sand :-

If we obtain a Federal union, "it" will be equivalent to a disunion of the provinces, and thereby Lower Canada will préserve her áutonomy; together with all the institutions which are so dear to her, and over. which she may exercise all the surveillance which is necessary to preserve them from danger.
And the Hon. Solicitor General (Hon Mr. Langevin), after having explainet, in his way; the resolations respecting marriage and divaree, expressed himelt as follows; in hís speech of tut 21st February last :-

This is an important point, and the FrenchCanidian members ought to congratulate themselves on objerving that their feltow country men did not fail in the pertormance of their duty in relation to a question of such importance.. It is needless to say that on muny other points some of thein will not admit that we performed our duty well ; but on the point in question, there can be no differéce of opiniou, for we have all a common rule, aid; I repeat, they should be satistied that théir co-religionists in the Con. ferepce were not forgetful of their duty on that uccasion.
It theu behoves this houorable House, Mr. Speaker, to see that our national institutions are really protected by the resolutions which are submitted to us. In: order that this end may be fully attained, it is necessary to defive the peculiar features of our positiou as a people. 1 can say, with the utmost sincerity, that for my part I have

Mnver found any other points of difference between the Eustish and the French Cumadians riho iuhabit this country, bat thise arising fiotu'their religion, their language, andtheir laws; for we have the same attachment that they have to the Brit.sh Empire, and I am conirited that no bon. meuber of this House ivill express a euntrary opinion. (llear, hear.) The beido admitted, Mr. Speaker. I beg to call the attention of the Liouse to the 'wenty ninch resolution'. It reads us tollurss :-

The General Parliament shall have power to make lans tor the peice, weltare and good gore nmert ot the Frderated Provinces (yaving the Soveremy of Enhaid) and especially laws respectulg the folluwing subjects:-
Then, after a long conumeration of subjects on which the Gerieral Guvernment is to have power to lewslare. we cume to the 3 lst faragraph, when re lites to marrige and divoree: Ou the Eud Jusfotite trime Minister, (Hou Sir Erm (vie Yascal Tacad), in the course it an eloy entspepeh delivered on the secuud readiug of the benaiug Divorce Bill, spokeas tullows in the Legostative Council:-

I'oppose the secand reading of the bill, and I do' so ut the puncrple that divurce is antuchristuan and untithativial. : [ud after having coled various parsafesthen the bipice; he continued:] Divorce is unimual mins curequences, and, worse still, it desingy sucety tiy destion mot the family. [And a anh: I should be sury to wound the feelings of ang vie, tou: we hase to protect seciety myeneral, and ace haye certuna duthes to "discharge Fur my pate, I shoudibe actuad a janst ury con science. my reingion and my cutatryy If did not oppose the bill. Death alune can dissolve marriat e-that is the teachinz of the A posides, and it us also the ductrime uf all the Fathers and Councils.
On the 9ia July of the same year, the dion. Sulicitor ' $G^{\prime}$ ueral fur Lower Cunada, in" Li spectiode.ivered in this $\mathbf{H o}$ oo oo the same sübject, ex pressed cimself as tollo xs:-
If Ioppo the bill now before the House, it is not becriuse. I do not beltere that the person peltiving for ith has just gtounds of complaint, bu: becalse we are atked to do that which is diaméncall! cpposed to my principies in this mater ; and hecinuse, morepver. I cor.gider that the House bas mit the right to dissilue the marriage coniracted betweeti thie parties interested, and to perint theme wodry again.
This opition of the Hou. Solicitor Geaeral fur lower cuadia was supported by the whole of the Frohcle Canadian and Catholic nembeis: who deciared, ou that cccasion, by votiog even aganst the first reading of the
bill, that they were opposed to the principlo of divorce; and their opiniun was concurred in and supported by the e reater part of the newspapersin Lower Canada. The Canadien said, on that occasion :-
The Divorce Bill was, we regret t' say, read a first time gesterday evening. The divisinn was 61 votes againist 42. There is, theretore, no hope of this antisocial measure being defeated. The duty of reflecting men, nevertheless, is to warn society of the danger in which "it is placed; to protest strongly arainst the deadly assaults made upon it: Messrs. Langevis, McGee and Caktier discharged, yesterday evening; that high ald im Qportant duty, sud, as representatives of Liower Cunada society, they add ressed in eloquent cerms warnings to society in Upper Canada.

## The Courrier du. Canada, with reference to the same question; said:-

If any:one says that the Charch is in error when, for various reasons, she decides that a separation between inarried persons, iu so far as refords the marrinje bed or cuhabitation, may lake place tor a detinte or an indefinite perrud, let bim bo anathema. That is the docrine of the Cathulic Church as to marriage, and in this instance as in. every other, it is in accordance with the laws of nature, which themselves repel' divorce as yoma: thing monstrous.
The Journal de Quelec of the gth June, 1864, says:
The question of divorce recurs periodically to occupy the attention of the House and affice the consciences of 'Catholics. Divorce' is the most powerfal a a ent for uffectiog the dissolution of society, for marriaze is the sociad formula ; once you open the tood gates of divorce, ho matter under what pretext, how are you to dam up the tide and prevent it from submergiag the whule of society?
Now; Mr. Speaker, as I said a moment ago, these were the opinions of all Fre chCanadians, and, with reference to this question, I canoot imagive anything to justify. the change of opiniou which has manitested itself amougst a certain uuinber of Freach C'anadian wembers and our Catholic"muastery it it be true that a Catholic canout adupt the pribciple of divorce, and if we are in conscieace bound to oppose it in our capacity às legislators, by voting against every weasuro tending to sauction it, I ask how we can pote for a resolution purporting to vest in the Federal Legislature the power of legislating en the subject? The hoo. member for Moutaorency, in the course of his speecth in this House the day befure yesterday, told us that ist it bad not beea recorded is the resolutions
that the Federal Parliament would have the right of legislating on divorce, that power would have been exercised not only by the latter, but by the local legislatures also: The 43rd resolution, article 15 , tells ns that property and civil rights, excepting those portigns thereof assigned to the General Parliament, are to be left to the local governayents:' [t is evident, therefore; that if it. had not been stated in the resolutions that the Federal Goveroment was to have the right of lecishiting on marriage and divorce, that power would have remained vested in the focal legislatures.

Hov. II. CAUCHON-And if that resolution "had not been "inserted" in the schome, what would have been the effect?

Ma GEOFFRION-The insertion of shat elause places as precisely in the positimn"we should have occupied under a legislative union. By one section of that clage: the Federal Legislature 15 vested with the power of legislating aot only on the question of marriage and divorce, but also on the civil rights of the French, Canadians. It can, whenever, it chooses, attack our civil Maws. The hon. member for Montmorency admits that the 43 rd clause, and paragraph 15, assure the protection of our eivil rights, and syys that if that portion of the resolution had not bedn inserted, the local legislatures would alone have had the right to deal with the unater. Mr. Speaker, a single glanee at our civil code is sufficient to conrince any one of this. Under article 74 of title 5 ; I find the following:-"Marriage is dissolved solely by the natural death ot one ut the parties; so long as they both live, it is "indissoluble." $\therefore$ If "it be true that our French civil law declares that marriage cannot be dissolved by any means whatsoever, nor by any authority; 'if the right of legislating un marriage and divorce had not been left: to the lieacral Legislature, no person could have obtained a divorce and leave to marry again.
Hos. Sul. Gey. LANGEVIN-What happeas at the prevent moment?

Ma, GEOPFRION-What happens? It is true that the Legislature furnishes us with precedents, but every time that adivoree has been asked from the Legislature, the Catholic mambers have voted against it. A's the resolutions stand, the Federal Legislature may graut bills of divorce, thanks to the insertion of this clause in the scheme. We are told that this has been done in
order to remove a danger which already existed in the locat legislatures; but a great error has been committed; for; under the new system, any one can make application to the Gencral Legislature and obtain a bill of divorce: And if that right had not been given to the Federal Legislature, it would have been impossible to obtain a divorce in Lower Canada, inasmuch as the majority in the Local Legislature will be Frenchicanadian and Catholic, and marriage and divorce would be nnder the control of that legislature.: (Hear, hear.) The Honorable Solicitor General Lanaevin "said in his speech-and I fancied that he had much difficulty in explaining the article relative to divoree, that the Catholic members of the Conference were not opposed to that article, and that, though they were "opposed to the principle of divorce, he admitted that there wère cases in which Catholics were allowed to separate. I cannot help saying, Mr. Speaker, that this was a very poor argument for granting to the General Government the power of legislating in the matter of divorce. The same resolution says that the Federal Governioent is to have the right of legislating on marriage, and the Honorable Solicitor General, in his speech, explains that article as follows:-
The word "marriage" has been placed in the draft of the proposed Constitution to invest the Federal Legislature with the right of declaring what marriages shall fo held and deemed to be valid throughout the whole extent of the Confed-: eracy, without, howeyer, interfering in any partucular with the dectrines or rites of the religious crueds to which the parties may belong.
I must acknowledge that the atatoment is very skilfully made, añd to persons who accept it without close examination, I admit that it " is calculated to convey the idea that the Government hold that the Federal Legisla:ture uannot decree that a civil marriage is obligatory, and that a marriage muist be celebrated under the Catholic or the Protestant Church in order to be valid. But any one who olosely examines that portion of the clause will easily see that it cannot possibly be interpreted in any suoh sense, and that the existence of that clause in the Constitation will enable the Federal Government to enact that civil marriage alone shall be valid, so that children the issue of marriages contracted in the Churoh and not ratified by a civil magistrate, will be illegitimate. I maintain that the clause is susceptible of no other intrepretation, and I defy the Honorable Solicitor General for

Lower Canada (Hon. Mr. Lavgeviv) to interpret it correctly in any other sense. (Hear hear.). He has really given us a magnificent explanation of the clave, but it seems to me that as the House is called upon to deal with written resolutions; we must interpret them as they are laid before us; the House cannot sorutinise the hidden intentions of the Government in the matter, : If the resolutions have any, other meaning than that expressed on the face of them, the House is entitled to call upon the Government to explain and correct them. The motion now before the House is' as follows:-
That an humble Address be presented to Her Majesty, praying that She tuay be graciuusty pleased to cause a measure to be submitted to the Impetial Pariameit, Tor unting the Coloties ot Canada, Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, Newfoundland, and Prince Edward Island in one government, with provisions based on cèrtiai resolutions which were adoptid at a Contereme of Delegates from the said Colones, held at the city ot Qüebec, ou the 10th Octuber, 1 sist.
I assert, then, that if we rote this Audress, we canuot complain if the Imperial Goverument should declare that the : Federal Legislature: shall have the right to legivilte on all matters relatiog to marriage aid aivorce.

Hox. Mr CaUCHON-Who is to drav up the Constitution?

Mr: GEUFFRIOS - The Imperial Government.

Hov. Mr CACCHON-Not at all. It will be drawn up here and submitted the Laperial Governwent.

Ma' GEOFERIUN - If' I am not mis taken as to the ruearing of the motion, the Atidress asky Her Majesty to cause a mexure to be submited to the Imperial Parliament for the purpoise of uniting the Colonies of Canada, Siva Scotia, New Brunswick, Néwfoundland, and Prince Ediward Ishad in ópe government, with provisious based on cartaia "resolutions whish were adopted at" a Conference of delegates trour the said culonies. Nuw, if the lmperial Governmeat is to adopt the measure, they can do as wess done in 1850 , with refereace to the Legislative Council. and we cannot complain if they should amend it in a sease dista-teful to us, since our recolutions dectas'e thast the Lucal Goperninent siall have the right to legislate on property and civil rights, extept such portions thereof ${ }^{\text {as a }}$ shill bo vested in the Federal Govornment-and amougst the subjeots left to the latiter are marriago aud
divorce (Hear, hear.) I knew the answer that will be uiade to me on this point. It will be said that it is through party spirit I am standing up to defend religion, and that 1 desire to lead this Honorable House to believe that by voting for these resolutions we endanger our religious institutions. But it appears to me, Mr. SPEAKER, that for all of us Catholics, the indissolubility of marriage is an article of religion, and that if the resolutions do $n, t$ admit that doctrine of the Church, they uust be rejected by every on: of "us. But it will perhaps be asked-"How does it happen that' our' Catholic clergy reunain passive whilst one of the dogmas of our relivion is thus being undermined?" I deny, Mr. Speakiz, that the Canadian clergy are in fayor of the Minsterial scheme, and I aum supported in this by the tact that the "petitions sent hero against the scheme were signed by several priests.

Hon Mr: CALCHON-By how many?
Ma. GEUFFRION-Several of them have signed the petitions, I cian fancy that some menibers of the clerigy, are in favor of the project, but Ideny that the clergy in general profess the same sentiments. We have apt received a siagle petition in tavor of Confeder: ation, and every day large numbers of them reach us, praying for the abandonisent of the scheme.

Hon. Ma. CACCHON-Do not drag the eleryy into the debate : we have not done 80 .

Ma. GEOFFRION-Yes, you have done it. The Honorable duorney General for Lower Canada said in this House that the olergy were in favor of the scheme." Now, I maintain that a great many priests are opposed to C', nfederation. (Hear, hear.) I Gind in the Cunadien of this day a letter written by a member of the clergy, who expresses himself in the following terms ou the subjeot of Con-federation-

Mr. HUBITAILLE-Is the letter really written by a priest?
Ma. GEOFFRION-If the honorable ueminer had any doubt on that point, he can welve it by applying to the honorable member tor the county of Quebec, who is the proprietor of the paper. This is what the reverend gentleman says:-" The elergy are not in favor of your Confederation as it is proposed ${ }^{3}$ : a great many of them, it is true,' have faith in it, and trust in our public men; but a good many of them also dread it, and would like to seo it ameaded." It is quite elasy for any one who taked the trouble to reflect on this matter,
to understand that among the clergy", as among the people, there may be a great many persons who having always had confidence in the Lower Canada Ministers, and having been accustomed to look apon them as the natural protectors of religion and of our national in-stitutions-are ready to acce, t the declarations and explanations made in this House by our Ministers. Now, these explanations simply stated that the legislation of the Federal Government would merely go the length of declaring the validity of marriages contracted in any one of the provinces of the Confederation when the parties entered Lower Canada; but it is evident that if they accept such explanations, those members of the clergy who have always had confidence in the present Ministers are not easily susceptible of alarus. But if we take, the trouble of interpreting that clause of the resolution in its true sense, it must be admitted that the legislation of the Federal Government' on marriage and divorce may in many ways ran counter to our sentiments as Catholics, since it may declare that marriage is nothing more than a civil contract, and that religious marriages contracted either by Protestants or Catholics, and not ratified by a magistrate; shall not be valid. Let us now see what will be the effeet of these provisions as regards our laws. The Honorable Attorney General for Lower Canada gave us a poimpous eulogy of our civil code; he weat so far as to state that it was infinitels superior to the French code; and to any code he was adquainted with. We are told that our institutions and our civil laws will be fully protected, and that the Federal Legislature can only legislate on the laws of: the other provinces, our civil laws being phaced beyond its reach. If this provision relating to marriage and divorce be adopted, what will be the etfect on our civil laws? The Hon. Solicitor General for Lower Canada told us that the object of that resiolution was to render valid throughout the Confederation a niarriage contracted in any one of the provinces. It seems to me Very extraordinary, Mr. Spleaker, that a gentleman in the position of the hon. nemberfor Dorchester, and who, in virtue of that position, may aspire to a seat on the beneli, and who already enjogs precedence over the Hajority of the Bar of Lower Canada, shguld erince such deplorable ignorance of our Civil law. In article 19, title 5 of the Coivil Cöde, relative to marriage, I find the following:-"A marriage celebrated out of Lower Canada between two persoas, either or both of whom are subject to its laws, is valid, if celebrated.
according to the formalities of the place of celebration, provided that the parties did not go there with the intention of evading the law." Thus, Mr. Speaker, since the marriage of a: Lower Canadian contracted in another country in accordance with its laws, is valid in this country, the explanation and interpretation given by the Honorable the Solicitor General, of the clause relating to marriage and divorce; has no force whatsoever, and the clause may as well be struck out of the resolutions. (Hear, hear.') If 'I rightly understand that clause, the legislature will have power to deal with a host of matters relating to marriage; thus it may change that part of the civil code, which defines the age at which a child may marry without the consent of parents; it may alter the mode of contracting marriage, change the mutual rights and duties of married persons; it will also have power to thodify our eivil code in the matter of our obligations arising frow marriage, in the matier of tutorship, paternal authority, se.; \&ic., in fact in a multitude of its provisions: If that be the great protection afforded by the new Constitution to. our laws, to our religious and civil institutions, there is every reason to fear that they may one day receive a fatal blow. I will now call tho attention of the House, and particularly of the ${ }^{0}$ Frepch-Canddian members, to the forty-sixth resulution, which relates to the use of the French lauguage in the Federal Legislature It is as follows:-"The English "and French languages may: be used simultaneously in the proceedingy of the Federal Legislature as well as in the Legislature of Lower Canada, and also in the Federal courts and in the courts of Lower Canada." A close examination of this resolution shews at once that it does not declare that the French language is to be on the same footing as the English language in the Federal and Local Legislatures; in place of the word " shall,", which ouglit to have been inserted in the resolution, the word used is ": unay," so that if the British majority decide that the Fotes and Próceedings and Bills' of the House shall be printed only in Eaglish, nothing can prevent the enactment tikigg effect. Of course we shall be allowed to use the French language in debate, but on the other hand, it is evident that the majority may, whenever they choose, enact that the bills and proceedings of the House shall not be printed in French, and consequently the clause affords no seourity whatever to us Fromẹh-Canadians. II take it for granted that as regards all the bills rr res lationy of this House, the meaning to
be given to words is that given to them by the law of the country, and I am therefore justified, when explaining the resolutions be fore us, in holding to the very letter of their resolutions, and it needs no effort of the imagination to discover the intention of those who prepared them. The provincial statute 22 Victoria; chap. 29, relative to the interpretation of the statutes, says:-"Whenever by any act it is provided that a thing shall be done the obligation to do it is to be inferred; but when it is said that a thing may be done, the power of doing it is permissive.'. In the resolutions submitted us, the word used in the English version is " may," which is translated into French by the word "pourront," and it "is said that the English ard French languages may be used simultaneously in the proceedings of the Federal Parlianent as well as in the Legislature of Lower Cana-. da, and also in the Federal courts and the courts of Lower Canada. It is easy to see, then, that the use of the French language is rendered extremely precarious, and that the majority may proseribe it in our Votes and Proceedings, and in our Legislature. The Lower Canada members who have always supported the Ministry ought to urge them to insert a clause in the resolutions deelaring that the Fronch language shall be on the same footing as the Euglish languaye; the guarantee afforded us by the resolutiong, as they now stand, amounts to nothing. I am not the first to point out the danger to our institutions and our laws, the Comudien of this city thas enumerated them over and over again, and the honorable uember for Montmoreacy himself, who quite recently admitted in this House that he was the editor in chief of the Journal ik Quebec, wrote as follow's in that paper on the 18th January, 1865 :- After having spoken of the past conduct of the Upper Canadians, and more particularly of the Honorable President of the Councis (Hon. Mr. Brown); he says :-

For I ower Canada there are other questions still besides the question of money: there are the relingious, social and national questions. Here it is that the greatest duticulties exist in the way ot the suiccess of the scheme, for a few alight changes in the letter of the scheme-changes which will in no way affect the interests ot the other provincea-will cause the project to be accepted by the immense majority of the population of the country: We do net hesitate to say that it is astounding that the Couference should have approximated so closely tu equiry, after a few days only of work, and ir the midst of innumerable obstacles.

It seems to me, Mr. Speaker, that if the honorable member for Montmorency was right in teling the Ministry that our nationality and our institutions were in danger, and that changes were required, we Freuch-Canadian members are bound to see that the resolutions submitted to us afford sufficient protection tothese institutions, and that the resolutions are not written in such a way as to be susceptible "ff two interpretations. How has the discussion of the scheme of Confederation been, conducted in Lower Canada? fí this way : in the first place, all the Ministerial iournals begged ánd prayed the peopl not to condemin the scheme before being made ace quainted with it: they proclaimed stoutly: that the Government rinust be allowed to elaborate its weasures in preace, and then, when the scheme was made public, the same journals declared that certainly the selseme must be amended in certain particulars before being adopted by the mountry, and that they would be the first to call for these changes. winch, moreover: could be obtained without dificuly from the Administration; if not, they would oppose the scheme as daugerous. to Lower Canada. Even the Mereary made. that statement. It was also said: "The Gurernment will not make a Ministerial question. of the adoption of the scheme as it is, the. project may be discussed, and it it is tound to involve anything dangervus toi cur religious and national iastitutions, that danger can be obviated by amending the resolutions." More than that, at the opening of the dis: cassion of the s.heme, the Hon. Attorney General for Cpper Canada himself declared in this House that members mitht propose amendments, and that the House would dit pose of them. Now what have we seet since? . We bave seen the same Illin. Minister declare that the schetine nust be weeptied as it was, and that the Giovernment would not tolerate any amenduent. Is such conduet calculated to inspire confidence in the soheme, and in the Administration who brimg it forward? I appeal to thinorable "membery frou Lower Canada, and 1 ask them if they are prepared to ratify by their verdiet the - injustifiable course ad pted by che Governmant, and whether it is not their daty to. ingist on the dovernment affirding us better seicurity for our religious and mational institutions: (Hear hear:) I trust that the Lowè Canadu members will abt shirk their duty, and that they will iusist on the ciov. ernment declaring, in their resolutions, thatall these things we hold so dear shall be pro-
tected from the attacks of our adversaries. Every danger of false interpretation ought to be removed from these resolutions. If, as it is stated; our language is to be fully protected under the new system, I do not see why it is not"so stated clearly in the Constitation. The explanations of the Honorable Solicitor General for Lower Canada (Hon: Mr. LaNGEviN) are all very well, but they are not sufficient, and I should much prefer a written statement in the Constitution itself, formally setting forth that these matters shall not be affected by any legislation of the Federal Government. (Hear, hear.) "I trust the English members of this House will not take offence at my insisting on more ample guarantees for our religious and national institations, and that they will see that it is not through a spirit of hostility to their institutions, and that the same motives that induce thém to demand more ample guarantees for their national minority in Lower Canadaguarantees which were claimed the other Nevening by the lionorable member for' Montreal Centre (Hon. Mr. Rose)-make me ask for the same guarantees for my fellow-countryurea:

Hos. Sol Gen LANGEVLN-Will my honorable friend allow me to say a few words in explanation? He said he hoped the Gorerniment and members on this side of the Honse would admit that his desire was to defend the religious and national interests of Lower Canada. The honorable member for Verchères need not be uneasy on that point. For it nust always be taken for granted-and every meniber on chis side of the House will agree "with me in this-that every seatiment ex: pressed on the floor of this House by honorable geatlenen opposite, relative to those ques, tions touching our nationality and our religion, is frank and sincere, and we, therefore, feel that in expressing himself as he has done, the honorable uember for Vercheres is per-: feetly frank and sincere: However, I take th liberty of answering tiu on two points: The Gint guestion is that of ruarriage. The honorable member did not quute the whole of that portion of my speech which relates is marriage; he simply quoted the filst part, but he ought to have given the second, which is as follows:-

The fact is that the whole matter amecunts to this- the Ceatral Government may decide that any marriaus contracted in Epper Canada or in any of the Contederated provinces, in accordance with the laivs of the country in which it was contracted, althought thas law might be diferent from ours, should be deemed valid in Lower Canada;
in case the parties should come to reside there, and vice versa.
This was merely a development of what I said. I stated before that the interpretation I had given of the word " marriage" was that of the Goverament and of the Conference of Quebec, and that we wished the Constitution to be drafted in that sense. The honorable member for Verchères quoted that part of the draft of the civil code which states that onew the articles provides that a marriage contracted in any country whatever, acording to the laws of the country in which it. shall have been contracted; shall be valid, and he argues from that, that since it was declared by the civil code, there was no necessity for inserting it in the resolutions. But the honorable member must be aware that that part of the code may be repealed at any time, and that if this occurred, parties married under the circumstances referred to would no longer enjoy the protection they now have and which we desire to secure for them under the Constitution. I maintain, then, that it was absolutely necessary to insert the word" "marriage" as it has been inserted, in the resoliut tions, and that it has no other meaning than the meaning I attributed to it in the name of the Government and of the lonference. Thus the honorable member for Verchères had no grounds for asserting that the Federal Legislature might change that part of the civil code which determines the age at which marriage can be contracted without the consent of parents. Another point on which the honorable member for Vercheres insisted, no doubt with the view of obtzining information, which I shall be delighted to afford if it should induce him to vote for the resolutions-and I am perféctly certain it. vught to be sufficient $\frac{-1}{q}$ is the point as to the use of the French language under Confederation: The forty-sixth resolution is as follows :-
The Enylish and French languages may be used simutraneously in the proceediags of the $\vec{F}$ ederal Parliament as well as in the the Legislature of Lower Canada and in the Federal courta and in the courts of Lower Caiada.
The honorable memiber for Verchères says"It is true that the French language may be used in the Federal Parliament and in the Legialature of Lower Canada, as well as in the courts of justice of the Con tederation, but the resolutions do not affirm that that languaye may be used in: the drafting of laws and in the Votes and Proceedings of the Federal and Local Legislatures." Wall,

Mr. Speaker, I am quite sure the honorable member for Vercheres will be delighted to learn that it was perfectly well understood at the Conference of Quebec that the Freach language should not only be spoken in the courts of justice, in the Federal Parliament and in the Legislature of Lower Canada, but that, precisely as is now the case, the Votes and Proceedings of the Legislature, as well as all the Federal laws and those of the Legislature of Lower Cañada, should beprinted in both languages. And what is still more, under Confederation the French language will be spoken before the Federal tribunala, an adrantage which we do not possess at present when "we apply to the Court of Appeals of Great Britain. So that the honorable meniber for Vercherres and this honorable House will gladly admit that its representatives at the Conference of Quebecidid not fail in their duty on that point. These are the principles upon which the new Constitution will be based, and I feel justified in going so far as to say that it was impossible to secure more effectually this essential privilege of our nationality, and at the saine time our civil and religious institutions. I was anxious to offer these explanations to the honorable mem. ber for Vercheres and to the House, and I trust they will completely satitisfy the country.

Mr. GEOFFRION-The honorable mem: ber for Dorchester (Hon, sol. Gen. Lavgeviv) has expluined to us that the intention of the members of the Conference of Quebec was pot anly that the French language should be used in the Federal Legislature and the Local Goveruinent of Lower Canada, as wellas betort the tribunals of the country, but that it was to be a right guaranteed to the French population by the Constitution ander Counderation. The honorable gentleman has also told us that the word " marriage". inserted in the resolutions does not signify anything olse but. what he explained to the House in hits speech., and that we ought to he happy to see that the representatives of the French populatiou at: the Confereuce had thus secured the safety of their civil and religious institutions: For my part, Mr. Speaker, I must say that I cannot bring myself, like the homorable member, to see the splendid protection he vauuts so highly. If the resolutions new before this House have any meaning, that meaning is only to be derived from the strict : letter of the resolutions themselves. It will always be optional with the British majority to avail themelves of the letter of the Constitution,
and they may at any time say to us: " You cannot have it, we oppose it, and the Consti. tution does nöt confer on you the rights you claim under it." And it will be the more easy for them to do so from the fact that the resolution does ${ }^{1}$ not affirm that thèse matters cannot be disturbed. If the Conference had any other intention than what appears in the resolutions, the House should be made aware of it before being called upon to vote on these resolutions. For if the intention of the Conference was as stated by the Honorable" Solicitor General for Lower Canàda, and if that intention be carried, into effect, the House will run the risk of discovering that on all the other resolutions the intention is differeat. from thas letter, and will be in like manner carried out, for the resolutions must be interpreted as they stand, without reference to thre intention of the nembers of the Conference. . And for that"reason I cannot help declaring that we French Canadians would be yuilty of an act of unpardonable imprudence in adopting a resolution which deelares that the Federal Legislature is to have the right of legislating on marriage and divorce, and which merely declares thut the Freuch lañguage may be used in the Federal Legislature: We French-Canadiản members. I repeat it, ought to insist that the "word "shall" be sub stituted for the word "may". in the resolution relating to this matter, with reference to the publication of the proceedings of the Legislature:. If this is not doue, and if we do not take e:ery possible precaution, sociner or later the Euglish speaking majority in the Federal Legisiature will unite against us on this point, and enact that the laws shall be printed in the Euglish language only. And if we rest satis bed with the understanding reterred to by the Honorable Solicitor General for Lower Canada, we shall be told when we exchaim against that injustice: © You should have obtained : inore full aind complete guarantees, and you should have seen thit the Constitution. was tuade more explicit and more precise on this point." An: we shall have no answer to make. We unust perforce be resigned, and put up with all the restrictinns the majority may impose upon us. I maintain, therefore, that it is the duty of the Freneh-Camadian wembers of this Huse to induce the hovernment to embody the understanding arrived it amougst the nembers of the Conference in the Constitution, and "to require that the guaranters said to be afforded to us by the Constitution shall be more clearly expressed than they tre in the resolutious; If we vote
these resolations as they are, we shall vote without knowing exactly the nature of the guarantees they afford us. (Cheers.)

Mr. RÉ MiLLARD said-Mr. Speaker, the question of a Federal union of the British North American Provinces is one of such importance, that at the present time it is engagigg thë, attention, not only of this honorable House, but also of the whole political wôrld I consider, therefore, that it is the Juty of those to whom it is submitted to express, each in his own way, the reasons which induce them to adopt or reject the union in "question." When for the first time, in the year 1861, the countyof Bellechasse did me the honor to send me here as its representative, I had not the slightest idea that I should be called upon, in the beginning of 1865 , to take part in the discussion of such a measure, upon Which, in my opinion, our whole future depends: So rapid, however, is the growth of events 'in this age of progress of every "bind, that there is no reason to be surprised that we are today called upon to grapple with the subject of the pulitical position of our youthful country. I am prepared at once to acknowledge, Mr. Speakee, that that position has not for several yeirs past appeared to: me to be an enviable one ; and in fact what has the political aspect been? Within the precincts of this House we have looked upon seenes that are to be regretted and that were of frequent occurrence: We have looked upor bitter and incessant strite between our public meu on the subject of eertain sectional difficulties, which should be settled in a friendly way, if it is our wish. at a later period to avoid serions troubles. We have seen Ministries succeed each other at istervals of hardly vix mouths-Ministries - which were daily accused, and ia may cases with good reason, of having been gulty of acts of corruption in order to prolong their feeble existënce. Without these precinets we have'seeu public journals filled with personal atiackis and insults of every kind, general electious every year, carried in many cunaties by means ot fraud, and the fomenting of Wretched prejudices. (Hear, hèar.) To such a dogree had this beau carried, that the people had cond to consider it a highly uneritorious aetron to calumsiate a member or a candidate, and to deprive him of that good charieter which he had, in some cases, acguired by many aud great sacrifices. (Hear, hear.) Hunest men can experience
no feeling other thán disgust at such a political course, which is inimical to every feeling of patriotism, and is fraught with danger to our institutions. . The Canadian people, by uature brave', intelligent and courageous, are called upon to play a more noble and a more worthy part than that. Upon our statesmen, let them belong to what party they may; it devolves to propide them with a career which is suitable to them, without taking into consideration either prejudices or opinions expressed at another period and under other circumistances. (Hear, hear.) We FrenchCauadians especially, if we are desirocis of continuing to enjoy, in the midst of the various races who iuhabit this vast continent of America, the institations which have been so carefully preserved for us, and which are more precious to us than life itself, require to seek an alliance with the 'inhabitants of the other British Amierican Provinces, with which we have interests in common, which will have, in case of invasion, the same enemies as ourselves to repulse," and which, like ourselves; enjoy the advantage of living. urder the protection of Great Britain. At a tiue when we are, "so' to speak, threatened by the United States, ought we to be so toolish as to disregard the advice which comes to us from Great Britain, without whom we could do nothing for our defence, and to pretend serivusly that we can vithout danger overthrow the Federal unlon which we are discussing, in the preparation of which our statesmen them: selies prescribed the conditions ${ }^{\circ}$ which they considered to be most equitable and the best calculated to preserve the interests which are most dear to all? Should we uct in this way, we sh juld be forming a very incorrect estimate of our position in relation to England, and our formidable neighbours the United States. The distioguished men who took pabtin the Conference, held at Queber in the mouth of October last, unanimously declared that "the best interests and present and future prosperity of British North America will be promoted by a Federal aniton under the Crown of Great Britaia, provided such unioa can be effeeted on principles just to the several provinces." The mựt emusent men in Englind have repeaied the same thing, and have approved of the scheme of the Conference. I do fot propose, Mr. Speaker, to discuss the several urticles cuntained in the plan of ? union; the honorable members who have pryceded me
in this debate have, in my opinion, said all thatican be'said on each of the articles. Moreover, the erudite and carefully-weighed papers on the subject which have been published in this city in the Journal de Québee and the Courrier du. Canada have contributed to diffusing a knowledge of the soheme in "no less degree thair the numeroug speeches which have been delivered in this Honse. "Despite the good opinion which' I , have of some of the honorable members who have endeavored to prove to this House and to the country that the proposed anion would be more disastrous than advantageons in its results to the several provinces affected by it, I must acknowledge that their arguments have not convinced me-I will even say dide not appear to me to be convincing. '(Hear, hear:) The hon member for Lotbinitore for exan, ple, in whom, as he is aware, I have conidence, and from whom I geeatly re gret to differ in opinion on a measure of such "importance, is "opposed to any alteration in our present Constitution." Ha? finds that everything has been for the best: The followity is what be said in his eloquent speech :-
Let us not be dazzled by the ambition of becoming; all at ouce, a great people. The United States are a greut people, but wat people, how: ever smal: i: may be is there which now envies their greatness? Let us be satisfed with our lot; few people have a bettôr.
I agree with uiy honorable friend to a certain. extent. Like him, I do not enyy the lot of the United 'States, but I disagree with him as to the means to be taken to protect us against cur adversaries,' even ayaiust the United States, and to preserve our astionality: "The honurable member, to prove that the union proposed would be an evil quoted to as the following extract fromi Lord Brovanim's work on Political Philosophy:

The Federal union, by keeging up a line of separation between its mexibers, gives the freest scoppe to these pernicious prejudices, feelings which it is the highest daty of all governments of eradicate, because they lead directly to confusion

## and war.

I may mistake, but it appears to me that this extractofromi Lord Brovgía m's work is not so much opposed to a Federal union, such as that which is proposed to us, as it is. to the existiay situation of the Freach: Candians - In fact there is a strong line of denareation in this province between the inhabitants of Upper Canada and those of Lower Canada; it io "hat very line of
demarcation which has given rise to the sectional difficulties which our statesmen have undertaken to settle in a friendly way. The leaders of the Opposition themselves undertook to settle these difficulties in a manner much less advantageous to Lower Canada. If then the opinion of Lord: Brougham is to be an authority in this case, it would be the duty of the Govern. ment of this province to remove the line of demarcation to which I have alluded as existing between the inhabitants of UpperCanada and those of Lower Canada. This, I am satisfied, is not what" my honorable friend desires." (Hear, hear.)"When speak. ing of the seven' United Provinces now Holland and Belginm, the hon. member for Lotbiniere read the following extract from the first volume of Lord Macaulay's His? tory of England:-

The union of Utrecht, rudely formed amidst the agonies of a revelution, for the purpose of meeting immediste exigencies, had never been deliberately revised and perfected in a time of tranquillity. Every ono of the geven conimonwealtihs which that unfon had bound together. retained almost all the rigtits of suvereigaty, and asserted those rights punctiliously atainat' the Central Goverument.
This is all that the honorable riember quoted. from Lord Macaulay , As may be seen, Mr: Speaker, this author is not opposed to a Federal union; he simply points out the defects of the union of Utrechi. :That unioin had been rudely formed, in athe midst of a revolution, fur the purpose of meeting immediate exigencies. : But our plan of union. was weighed with deliberativa, in a time of tranquillity, and this tranquility is certainly the result of the formation of the preserite Coalition Government. Therefore, the author who has been quoted urerely demonstrates ove thing, and that is, that we should be wrong to a await the convulsions of a revoletion, or of an invasion, in order to discuss the bases of a Federal union. (Hear, hear:) The honorable member for Lotbiniere gave us to understand that the onost certain method of obtaining the frieudship of the Maritine Provinces, and of securing their sympathy and zeal in case of attack, was, so to speak, to have nothing in common witif thoye provinces. 'I believe, on the contrary, that Lower Canada would gair by causing berself to be better known, and by causing the spirit of justice and of liberality which prevails among her inhabitants and her institutions, as they at present exist, to bo
better known. Does not the best understanding exist between the people of different origins in all classes of society? We every day perceive with pleasure, and I am happy to say it, that Lower Canada has risen greatly in the estimation of hon. members from Upper Ganada, sincè it has been their lot to reside in our midst, and to see for themselves what our institutions are; and what we are ourselves. (Hear:) I hope that my honorable friend the member for Lotbiniere will forgive me if I take the liberty of discassing, for a few seconds longer," certain portions of his speech; but I am very anxious to convince him that I listened to him with great attention, and that if he did not succeed in convincing ime, it was from no fault of mipe. To set us on our guard against the proposed union; the bon. member laid before us a hasty sketch of the history of Ancient Greece, in order to shew us the hatred which the Athenisns bore to the Spartans. No doubt he fears that that hatred, should the union be consumsuated, owill manifest itself betweẹ the inhabitants of Lower Canada and the inhabitants of Newfoundland and "Prince Edward Island." He also took us a long journey through various countries, in which be pointed out to us frequent insurrections; echauifsurées and troubles of all kiads among people living under a system of Vederal union, and therefrom he drew the conclusion. that Federal unions are bad and pernicious. but did the honorable member ghew us, that the political condition of those nations, previous to their Federative naion, was analogous. to ours? : Did he 'pew us that the basis of thove Federal unious was similar to the pasis of that which we propose to establish? Did those unions cause those nations to pass from a state of prosperity, tranquillity, and happiness, to the state in. Which they have been held up to our view? Were theys situ. ated as we are? Had they the same prochvities, the same tastes, and the same antece. dents as we have? "Did they, as we do, trace their descent from the two wisest, the two greatest nations in the world? Lastly, had they, as we have, the Crown of Eugland to protect them? No! they wero not possessed of any of the advantages of which we are possessed, añ d no comparison between the swo cases was possiblen (Heay hear.) Besides, Mr. Speaker, is it not suff cient to cast a glance at the history of all countries, to perceive that everywhere, under * all possible institutions, there have arisen, not
only échauffourées, but eyen frequent wars and sanguinary revolutions, characterized by the greatest horrors? Have not the: institutions of England and France been consecrated in rivers of blood? All these argiments and reasonings adduced by the honorable member for Lotbinidre are there fore not applicable to the question which is submitted to us, and are not of a nature to change the opinions of those who are in favor of a Federal union of all the British Nort American Provinces. (Hear, hear.) I nod return tó certain cbjections offered by other honorable members of the Opposition to the present scheme of the Government. Thus, they spose to us of divorce, and tried to show us that great inconvenience would result from learing to the Federal Parlia. ment the right of legislating on that subject. But they do not remark that by this means the members from Lower Canada, that is to say, in the Local Legislature, will be exonerated from taking those questions into consideration. " At the present day, all the Catholic members from Lower Canada afe opposed to divorce as a matter of expediency aud of conscience, and yet, even, in the existing Legislature, they cannot preventit. Why; therefore, blame the Government for not having prevented in the Federal Parliameot that which they cannot even prevent here?

Mon. Mr. LAERAMBOISE-They could prevent divorces in Lower Canada.

Mis. REMLLLARD-Has it ever been very easy to impose in Lower Cañada lates upon the Euglish inhabitants of that province, and to prevent them from obtaining what they consider as a right? No; it would have been an act of injustice to endeavor to force our opinions on this subject on the English and Protestant population of Lower Canaday, and if an attempt had been made to do so,' Confederation would probably have failed; because the majority of the members of the Conference would have inaintained their claims, and this would have been sufficient to prevent Confederation. (Hear, hears) It is not to be urged as a crime against the Government that they have permitted the Federal Legislatare to have the power of legislating upon subjects upon which we ourselves may legislate. For my part, Mr. Spexiker, I did not enter upon this question in order to judge the scheme of Coufederation. I have sufficient confidence in the clergy to admit that on this question they
are the best judges, and it is they who ought to decide whether there is danger or not; and there can be no doubt" but that the bishops and the clergy have consulted together respecting this article, and that they came to the conclusion that it is en evil which there are no means of preventing. The honorable member for Vercheres (Mr. Geofirion- maintained that it was necessary to state clearly in the resolutions what were the intentions of the members of the Conference in relation to marriage and disorce; in order that the Inperial Government may not impose upon us a Constitution other than that for which we ask. Now. I have more confidence than he has in the word of our poblic men, and in the sense of justice of the Imperial Government. Our public men having made a compromise, and asked a Constitution for the British North American Provinces, which is to do away with the difficulties which cexist in the province, are we for a single instant to believe that when this scheme, which is framed to reëstablish that peace, harmony and concord of which we stand in need, is carried to England that a clause will be inserted which would raise the Lower-Canadians like one man? In such a case we should see petitions pour inta the House headed with the signatures of the principal inembers of the clergy, exclaiming. agaiost such iujušticé ; iá such a case we should see real petitions agninst this attack upon our religious rights. If our institutions should be so menaced, the Lower Canadian people would do themselves justice, if it was refused to them, and we should no longer eujoy that peace which now prevails in Canada between populations of different origins and belief, in consequence of the absence of disquietude among the people(hear, hear)- I have codidence enough in the clergy and bishops of Lawer Canada to believe that if that clause, on which so much stress is laid, was of a nature to do any inj ry to our religious interests, they would londly exclaim against it and háve. justice done us. Our bishops are not in the habit of standing in fear of the civil authorities, when their duty calls them to defend the interests which are enirusted to them: (Hear, hear.) it is stated also that the clergy are not in favor of the scheme of Confederation, because two or three of its members have writien in newapapers. and have sigued petitions opposed to the scheme. But is that a manifestation of the opinion of
the clergy? No; for they do not write in the name of the clergy, but simply in their individual capaoity as citizens; for they sign their writings under their title as citizens. Certain members of the clergy may differ widely in opinion from the remainder of their brethren'; as citizens they may believe that the scheme of Confederation is a bad one, but those who hold that opinion are certainly a minority, just as in the House it. is the minority of the members who are opposed to Confederation. (Hear, hear.) Mention is also made of the use of the French language, it is said that it cannot: be used in the Federal Parliament. But; for my part, I am of opinion that if the scheme is adopted, the French language will be more used and will be held in higher estimation in the Federal Parliament, than it has been in this Legislature for same. years. It is feared that the laws; the documents and the proceediags of the Federal larliament are not to be printed in the French language. - But what does the 46th clause of the resolutions say? It says:-
Both the English and French languages may be employed in the General. Parliament, and in its proceedings, and in the Local Legislature of Lower Canada, and also in tho Federal courts, and in the courts of Lower Cañads.
Thus, if the use of the French language can be excluded, so also may tho use of the Eaglish language be excluded, for both are. on an equal footing. Bectuse it is not stated that the laws and the proceedings of the Federal Parliament shall be pritted in the French language, the conclusion is. drawn that they will be so in Eng.ish, but the same thing might be said of the English langtage, as it is not sta 1 that they will be priated in that language. The hou member for Verchores (Mr: Georfrion: would have something more; instead ot the resolutions setting forth that the lireneli: language may be used, he would have them declare that it shall be ased; in that case the members from Lower Canada might be compelled to speak Freach; but are the Upper Canadian members also to be forced to apeak that languinge, they, who do aot understand a word of it? I should bee with the hon. member for Vercheres if we could compel Lower Capadian members to apeak Erench, and Upper Canadian members su sreat Eoglish, ay io that caso each would learu the language of the other. Iam really of opinton that if the Hon. Attorney Geacral.
for Lower Canada had never spoken anything but French in this House; the members from Upper Canada would have learned that language in order to understand him ; but as he wishes to nake them understand him without putting them to that trouble, he most frequently speaks English.. (Hear, hear,) It is said that in the resolutions the guarantees which we seek to have for our language, our laws and our institutions are not clearly enough expressed, and that the Imperial Government might, consequently. "orter apon as something other than that for which we'ask:. But could not the Imperial Government impose-Confederation upon us as it did the union? And as it does not do so, but is merely desirous of beiog consulted, we ought not to believe that it will impose upon us conditions which are opposed to our interests.

Hon. Me, LAFRAMBOISE-It is proposed to in pose it on the Lower Provinces, who do not wish for it.
MR RÉVILLARD-Certain hon. members cousider our present position an excellent one, and say they do not wish it altered. Bat that is not the opinion of the greater number, and nearly all the hon. members. of the Opposition have declared that changes are indispens ble and necessary... The hon. merober for Hochelaga has ackuowledged it, aud has expressed his opinion on the subject. When I was a supporter of the Mactonald. Dofion Admimistration, I understood that the members of that Government; were of opinion that changes were necessary, and that we could at very long remain in our present position. The hon member for Hochelaga has admitted that the opinion of Upper Canada must be respected; and that to it would have to be graated represeatation bised on population ; 'and the intlueace of IFper Canda made itself felt by the Mac-donald-johion Adminstration; it made thelf felt especially when, just before the last general olections, it becrune aceessary wio oust the Honorable Mr. Sicorre from the Ministry tc satiofy Upper Canada. By means of Mr. Sicotte, elections had beea 'secured sufficiently advantageous in their results to overthruw the Cartier-MacdonAld Administration, to which I was opposed, because I did not wish to see a cualition between the parties, and because I considered that that Government had made tov iree a use of the public nioney, But I foresaw that suoner or later I should retarn to the

Conservative party, from which I had detached myself in consequence of the extravagant conduct of two or three of its leaders, and in consequence I was then elected without the assistance of any party: Alone I strove with the Conservative party in my county. I was faithful to the friends with whom I went at the time, and I do not regret that I went with them; so long as they stood in need of me, I supported them in order that they might avail themselves of circumstances to bring about a change in the Gnancial affairs of the country. I would not change my party then, but matters and circumstances having changed, I consulted my friends in the connty which I represent, and I was then ablo to go with the men whom I consider able to protect and preserve our institutions and the interests of the country in general. For this reason I am prepared to accept the scheme of Confedera-tion-propared by them, for I have more confidence, as regards: the preservation of our rights and our institutions; in the men who are now in power than in those with winom. I formerly worked. (Hear, hear.) I cadnot do otherwise than declare it. It is not my wish to insult any one ; merely state the reasons which have decided me to go with them; and as I find that it is always necessary to be in favor of one party or the other in this House, that is to say, for that one which is cousidered to be the best, I do not hesitate to state my opinion and to declare myself in favor of the Conservative party. (Hear, hear:) It was my intention to reply to the speech of the hon. member for Richelieu (Mr Perrault), bat I perceive that my ideas do not flow rapidly, and moreover, I do noi wish longer to tatigue the House.

SEVERAL VOICES-Go on! go on!
Mr RÉMLLLARD-Well; I listened with paia to the language used oy the hon. nember for Richeliẹu. Should what he said in French be repeated by some one in - English, I should greatly fear that it would give rise to prejudice against us among the Einglish memkers. (Hear, hear.) Last yiar he said to the members from Upper Canada,-"The French Canadians are learn iug the use of arms, and if you insist ajon having representation based upon population, they willebe taried against you ;" and this year he says that gne Lower Canadiancan stand against' ten Upper Canadians. He considers himself fortunate in being under
the protection of the English flag, and yet his whole speech was ons insult to the Eaglish Goverament. (Heär, hear.) Does he forget, then, that the French-Canadians are in a minority? He talked a great deal about the great men who saved our nationality; but if those men had made use of such language as the hon. nember has done, they would not have obtained that which they did obtain. (Hear, hear.) Ouf nationality mould long since have passed away ; for, I repeat it, his whole speech was जne insult to Eogland and Englishmen: Fortunately his speech was not understood by the Ligglish members of this House, and conséquently it could produce no effect upon thew; and those who did understand him, moreover, are aware that he spoke for himself alone, and that he does not represent the opinions of the Lower Canadian menters or of the Lower Canadian people:I ann therefore convinced that they will bear no ill-will to the Freuch-Canadians in consequence "of that speech. (Hear hear.) It has been said that the scheme of Confederation would entail the imposition of enormous tases, and that we should have to provide tur the defence of the country: "And yet most of the hon. members who oppose this scheme acknowledge that the defence of the country must be provided for, or at least that we müst contribute our share to it. lader the present reyimc, the (Voverament has the right"of presentiug a bill respecting the militia or the defences, and the members may aceept it or may reject it it they eonsider it too burdensome for us; and will tho cise be differeat in the Federal Parliament? We shall lose nothing, under Coufederation; ia respect of defence. tor we shall have allies Who will assint us in economising aud in preventing the adoption of any measure which wuuld be beyond the strength of the country, tor the people of the uther provinces are no fonder of cusation than are those of Lower Canada. It is perfectly well known that any change in uur position would be ouly to our advantage, under Confedera: tion, in relation to defence; forif the Caited States should attack the Euglish provinces, they would attack all the provinces together; they would probably begin by attacking Canada, because they think more of Canada than of the hower Provinces. In case of dificulcies arising between Eagland and the United states, the burthen of war, would fall upou us, for we bhould be first attacked.

It is, therefore, our interest to be able to receive aid from the Maritime Provinces; and to be able to convey the reinforcements which they would send us, and which England mould send us, by railway. As regards defence, I am of opinion that Lower Canada' would be found to occupy the most adran. tageous position in the Confederacy, being situated in the centre of all the provinces. (Hear, hear:) In a material point of view, we could not bat grow and adrance. The annexationists of the district of Montreal only are afraid of Confederation. Indeed, all the commercial transactions of the dis trict of Montreal are with the linited States: But if we are not desitous of being annexed to the lnited, States, and if we are desirous of preserving the institutions which are so dear to us, I maintain that we must construct a Cunfederacy which shall be eưmpetent to protect us from the United States. It we will du nothing to show England that we are disposed to improre our position in relation to the defence of the British North American Pruvinees, we ex pose ourselves to re England withdraw her furces and abandun us, because she canoot. unaided, carry on the strife with the Enited States. With our help, she mould be certain of nictiry. (Hear, heary) We"ught, therefure, to build up a Coustitution which will establish such relations between all the proviaces as shall make of theni a single state, and a sivigle people, who will unite in case of war. We may change our Constrtution without alies: ing our institutions, and I maintain that the more manarchical our governainet is, the safer vill our institations be, for in those. institutions the monarchical pribciple especially preduminates. It is in consequenee of our haviog always beet at peace that thwo institutious have grown and prospered. [if Ragland should abandon her colunits, the United States' would taky porsesyives of dy, and we should soon disappear, for the Aue: rican Constitution is not sufficient to prafees our institutivas. The citizens of the Uoited. States wuuld show but hate respect for those iastitutions, aud the law would not be powerful euough to prevent the masges from apreading themselves in our midst, and from depticing ut of what we hold most dear. (Hear, hear.) In conclusion', I say that I unite with pleasure with the men who are nuw proposing a wheme which I consider to be of a nistare to preserve our institutions, our language, our lams and our.
religion with that great party which possesses the confidenci of $a$ large majority of the inhabitants of this country: (Cheers.)
Dr. PAQUET - Mr. Speaker, although I am not in the habit of addressing the House, and although the question now under consideration has already beca discussed at great length, I cannot allow so important an occasion to pass without making known the reasons which induce iree to protest against the constitutional changes which are now pro: posed, and which tend to nothing less than the complete orerthrowing of the Constitu tion under which we have been governed since the union of Tpper and Lower Canada: Sincte the prorogation of Parliament in June last, , have endeavored in vaiin to explain to myself the adrantages which we, Lower Conadians, would derive from Confederation, and I had lost myself in the niotives and the objeet of a union of this kind, when I had the opportuaity of reading in the speceh of the howorable member for Sherbbrooke that " the scheme of Confederation had not been a new question since the days of Lord Dcriam, that only the question of carrying it into eft. feet was wanting." After having read this signiticant passage, $I_{t}$ set myself to work to study and asceirtaic what were the tendencies. and spirit which actiated Lord Mcmans, and nore especially, what object he had in view. I did uot take long to convinue msself, as any Lower Canadian member may do on readiug bis celebrated report, that everything he had in view was alculated to secure our anuililation as French Capadians, and that he desired neither more nor less that to subjeet us to a ruling power exclusively Euylish: When we see, Mr:'Speakei, the hon memibers from Cpper Canadz rejoicing over such a scheneine. and declaring themselves so much the mure satisted trom the tact that they would obtain; by this tine stroke of policy, more than they had at tirst hoped for, when the honourable member for Lambtion (Mr. A. Mackevzies, whilst avowing, as the has at. ways done, that his views are but incomplete ly exprested in the language which 1 am about to read, there is reason tor: somé little alariu. This is what that hooorable gentleman said in the House the other aightit:-

I believe thry, sir, in the first place, that Con. federistion is destirable; in the seerend, that it is attainable; and athe third place, that it is the "best thato we san zel, and thot last is perhap" the strongest reason of all fur acsepting it. It is quite clear lhat we must have a setilement of our dificulties in some way, and I think the scheme
proposed is a very favorable settlement of them. Ithink it is more than, perhaps, some of as expected, at the time when the present Government wias formed, to bring about a settlement; and I do think, sir, it would be the greatest act: of mad. ness that western members of this House could. perpetrate, to vote against it. (Hear, hear,) I am not, however, afraid that it will be voted against by them. I believe that under it we have obtained representation by population, that we have obtained what we have long contended was justly due to us, that we have obtained our logi. timate influence in framing the financial policy of the country, and that beyond this "we have obtained the prospect of building up a great British. union or this continent. We should therefore, I thinht, in view of these great adrantages, overlook those objections which may be regarded as antecedent to the scheme, and, endearor heartily to carry out the work success fully. I shall willingly yield min support to the scheme, and I believe it will be acceptable to the people I represent-not: only to the people of the locality, bit to those who surround me in Upper Canada.
If, Mr. Spraker, honorable gentlemen from Upper Canada "are permitted to give utter ance to such opinions as these; I hope that my fellow countrymen from Lower Canada will. permit ine to ripdicate their rights. (Hear hear.) Bat let us proceed to exanine this Confederation, to which the practical question is alone wanting. I read frim tho report of Lord Deriass:-

I citertain no doubte as to the national character which must be given to Lower Canada; it must be that of the British Empire; that of the majority of the population of British America; that of the great race which must, in the lapse of us long period of time, be predominant over the whole North American continent. Without effecting the change so rapidly or roughly as to shock the feelings and trample on the welfare of the existing generation, it must henceforth be the first and steady purpues of the British Government to establish an Eaglish population, with Englist laws and language, th this province, and to trast its government to pone but a decidedy Euglish len'stature.
A little further on in the same report, I read dis follows:-
It the popalation of Upper Canada is rightly estimated at 400,000 . the English inhabitumta of Lower Canada at 150,000 and the French at 450,000 , the anior of the two provinces will not only give a clear Enighish majority, but one which woutd be increased every year by the intluence of Engtish emigration ; and 1 bave no doabt that the Freach, when once placed; by the legitimate course of events and the working of natural causes, in a minorits; would àbandon theír vain hopes of nationality. (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Mr. CAUCHON-He was in error. That all related to the Union Act and to nothing else.

Mr. PAQUET - Yes; it had reference to the beginning of the end. (Hear, hear.) A little further on. I read as follows:-
A gereral Legislative union would eleva te and gratify the bopes of able and aspirino men. They would no longer look with "enve and wonder at the great arena of the bordering Federation, but see the means of satisfying every legitimate ambition in the high offices of the judicature and executive government of their opm union.
Again I find the following passage:-
But even in the administration of justice, su' union wauld immediately sapply a remedy for one of the most serious wabts under which the provice s labor, by facilitaing the furmation of a general appellate tribunal for all the North American colonies.

## And again:-

The completion of any satisfactory comautu: nication between Halitax and Quebec would, in fact, produce relations between these pruisices that would render a general uuion absolutely Hecessary $\because$ Several surveys bave proved that a railroud would be pe:fectiy practicable the whole way.

- Lad thus we come to the Intercolonial Rail May, and it is easy to perceive that Lord DCRHas. frim the besinging to the end of his report, preaches in thaor of the very Coniederation which we are about to hà ${ }^{\text {; }}$; $\mathbf{i m -}$ pised upon us. : Eien before Lord Dcriasi, Judge Sewell, in: 1814, had expresed upinbous nearly similar to those of the nubie lord and in 1083 the whole of the present plan of Coutederation was traced out. The houorable nember for Montmorency pretends that Lord Dufhas was mistaken; but for miy pert I tind in addition to the other causes of reproach Which have been accumulated against the members of the Conference, we may urge this: that they did not give Lord Deailay credit for the work he had already dine, and that they did not eodorse upon the scheme of Confederation now laid before us the words "True copy of the scheme of Lord Debhay as set forth in his report to the British (iov: erament.": (Hear, hear:) French-Canadian nationality has been talked about. Lord Dcrhas speaks of it in his report in the following terius: "The error of Lower Canada consist especially in that vain attempt to preserve a Freach-Canadian nationality in the midst of Anglo-American states and coloniess." When is the impusition of a new nationality
spoken of, if not at the time when it is sought to snatch from a people that which it already possesses? ? There will be opposition', I trüst'; for otherwise, Mr. Speaker, I cannot comprehend the logic of honorable members who eniphatically declare that they will stand by it at any risk. I am well aware that the nationality of a people cannot be changed by a mere act of the Legislature; but why should obstacles be placed in our path, why should we submit to the guke of the oppressor, when there is no legitimate ground tor imposing it upon us? Another reason which gives me good ground for choping that the work of lestruction will not be accomplished in a hurry, as desired by the honorable members of the Administration, is that it is a difficult matter to ostracise a pople which numbers more than a million. The example of Bel. gium suffices to prove it to us, and also that of Greece, which, after three centuries of ty ranuy and oppression, stood up manfully and exclaimed, "We are "still Greeks." I ain contident, then that following their example, in defianice of all the constitutions thatimay be framed for us; and of all the vexations to which we may have to submit, we also shall come ont triumphant froun our trials, exclaiming, "We are still Freach-Canadians." (Hear, bear.) The honorable nembers of the Gorernment, and especially those frou lomer Cabada, ought pot to torget, either in out interest or in their own, that a generation which detachese itself from the generations which preceded it runs the rikt of being repudiated by the generations which come after; that social existence is not concentrated in a single period, that it influences the future. These houorable geatlenten routd do well to reflect on "this before impesing upon us the pracucal questhon of Lord Derinas. Passing. now, Mr. Spenker, tio the tinancial questim, I regret that I cannot agtee in the views expressed by the houorable member for "Dorchester (the Honorable Solicitor General for Lower Cadada), who clams to have expresged in official opinion on this head. Athougth he has affirmed that he drew them from authentic sources the resultss which he has obtained from his calculations difter from those which I have obtained, founded upon the figures which he has made ase of to establish his proposition. He has devtared that we shall have a surplas of $\$ 200,000$.

Ma: Ellie dorion-ind ho added that we should be in a pusition to lend the amouns.

Mr. PAQUET-I shall now submit to this honorable House a statementt of the espenditure which: will be incurred by the Government of Lower Canada:-



Scientific institutions. ................... $\overline{5,900}$
Hospitals and chàrities. .: . . . . . ........ 124,949
Board of Arts and Manufactures: :...: $\quad 3,5110$

Tolomzzation nad roads :..........113,000
Otice and other contingencies ....... 77,000

Slides ................................... 150000

Court houses and gails.............. 10,500
Rent of site of Parliament house $\cdots, \quad 4,444$

Brecutire Goverument . . . . . . ........... 100,000
Pubtic departueats
Public lands
37,000
Publication of the laws...... $\because, \therefore, \quad 20,000$

Enforeserni exfendiure ............................ 10,000
Interest on the vederal debt, share of
Lower Capada.
300,000
Total expenditure. .......... \$1,885,078
Local revenue estimated at about.
1,400,000
Deticit
\$455,073
These figures are taken frou the Public Accounts for last year. Subtracting from that sum the estimated amount of the revenue of the Local Government, instead of a surplus there will be a deficit of 8485,485 ; "and I ask you, Mr. Spanker, how are Fe' wimeet it otherwise than by direct taxation; ur by diminishing the public appropriations, Thich are by no means excessive now? (llear, hear.) If we du not adopt the latter alterative; there "will remain: I say, no other means than direet tasation. Tho Hon. Sinister of Fipance, moreover, told us so expressly, in these words :-
The Federal Legislature will have power to impose any system of duties which they may thiak proper to met the expeistes of its administration, whilst the local legislaturess will be obliged to haye recourse to direct taxation for the same purpose, if their revenues prove insufficient.
For my part, Mr. Speaker, I affirm that
the country is not ready to subbmit to such a state of things, and in this matter, as also upon thè seheme itself, I am quite certain that I express the opinion of my county. (Hear, hear.) A third point, which I would humbly submit for the consideration of the House, is the expediency of pressing for the adoption of this measure before an appeal has been had to the people. I believe and I hope that the, House will have too much respect for itself and for the people to vote at once upon the resolutions now submitted to us. If, however, public opinion is not to be regarded; I flatter myself that at all eveats precedents will not be treated with contempt. We find in the History of Canada, by Cumstie, that in $18 \%$, when a proposition was made in the Lower Canadian Parliament ty effect changes in the Constitution, the following decision was come to by the Government of Lower Caoada; and the paragraph if am about to read formed part of the Speech from the Throne:-
I am, commanded to inform you that His Majesty's Ministers proposed to Parliament certain alterations in the act thirty-first George the Third, fchapter thirty one, pracipally with a view to unite into one the two legislatures of Upper and Lower Canada'; but the meauure was withdrawn and posiponed to the nest session, in order to afford au opportunty of ascertaining the seatiments of the people of those provinces uponit.
(Hear, hear): $\because$ In the same history we find another example, "which will, I' believe, strougly suppurt me in the position I have taken:-
In 1939 Lord Jons Ressetit gave notice in the Hous: of Commons, on the 3rd June, of certain resolutions which he izteuded to submit relating to the projected union of the Canadis. He was, however, induced, on the suggestion of Sir Robiet Pezl, to waive them, and at once to. introduce his bill for the purpose. In doing which he stated it to be his intention to carry fot unly through a secoud reading, in order that it might undergo discussiun, but. that having. received a stroig protesth on the part of CPpor Canada, againat the intented union, he did not deem it advissble to legiglate that session fiailly on the subject.
Here wie have another fact which proves that in. "Eughad, in 1839, the measure was opposed at its secoid reading, and that a year was given to the Canadian people to reflect upon the merits of the proposed union of the two Caoadas. (Hear, hear.) I trunt then, Mr. Speaker, that what was done in 1839 will again be done in relation to the
project of Confederation. For these reasons I am of opinion that the Government ought not, in the first place, to homiliate us. by taking from us the privileges to which we are entitled, then ruin us by a scheme which must triple the expenditure, and lastly, fail in the respect which they owe to the people, by refusing to consult them before changing the Constitution. If I am not greatly mistaken, the party which is seeking these constitutional changes is the very party which calls itself Conservative, who obtained their elections to preserve and guard the Constitution, and which has always opposed us bec use, it exclaimed, we were the allies of the hon. member for Suath Oxford (Hon. Mr. Brows), to whom, said they, we were ready to coneede rerresentation by popalation, the powerful lever which was to endanger all our civil and religious institutions: Well. what do these hon gentlemen do to day? Instesd of preserving the Constitution, they change it and indeed destroy it, by grantiug to Upper Canada preponderance in the representation: I prove this by citing the fullowing extract from the speecti of the. Hon Minister of Finance (Hou: Mr: Gair):
Nuw it became hecessary to introduct into the comstation of the Lower House the priacipte of representation proporunted 10 pophiation : for without that, Cpiter. Canads, who has so long demanded this refism, would neler hisw consented tu enter ato the Conitederation.
If Ypper Canda would never have cousent. ed to gater into dhe (confederation without representation by population, then she has obtained it, as she has consented to enter the Confederation and ivhy say that that measure has nut been conceded? In conclusion, I affirm that the proposed Confederation of the proviaces is only a lenestative union in disruise, and I will cite the language niade use of a shurt tione ago by a manell known throuphout the country for hits talents and his eloquence, at a meeting, held in the city of Montreal, to condemin the Ministerial scheme, that the present Confederation is but the chrysalis of a Legislative union, and that the -butterfy would not be long in mahiug its appearance: (Cheers.)

Ma OHALLOKAN-Before proceding, Mr. Speaker, to offer a few observations on the rexolutions' in your hands, I may say that if I had any hesitation in pronouncing on the merits of this seheme, i might have taken a preliminary exception to the jurisdiction of this House to pass this measure. You,
sir, and I were sent here to make laws, not legislatures. (Hear, hear.) We were sent here to work out the Constitution of this country-not to undermine and destroy it. There is not an elector from Gaspe to Sarnia, however humble he may be, who has not just as much right to pronounce upon this question as you and I have. Therefore, if it were my wish to shirk this question, which it is not,'I could justify myself by saying it was no part of my mandate, or of the compact between me and those who sent me here. When we assume the power to deal with this question, to change the whole system of Gov: ernment, to effect a revolution, peacefal though it be, without reference to the will of the people of this country, we arrogate to ourselyes a right never conferred upon us, and our act is' a usurpation.: But I rise not for the purpose of discussing this scheme in detail; as it has already been discussed so fully-and I caunot possibly say much which may not already in sobstance have been said, and much, better said than I could expect to say, it - but I rise to reeord my protest against the usurpation which this House, in my humble opinion, is guilty of in updertaking to pass this measure, or, so far as in its power lies, to impose upon the people of this country a Constitution contrary to their wishes-a Coustitution which they will nuser, have an apportunity of seeting, until they arequalled upun to subunt to it and obey its. I rise to protest also aggansi this pariamentary gag by which the at. tempt is made to suppress frec discustion in this House and to compel it to adopt aganst its will, or againgt its reasou and judgment, is measure whith which, perhaps, a very large number of the honorable members of this House have no real sympathy. It is no answer to me to say that I may express my vievs fredy-that I may fully disousa this yuestion. It is no answer to say that. I have the privilege of pointing out the defecta of this measure, if 1 tum denied the privilege of obtaining the sease of this House, and of pusting ou record what I may consider its objectionable reatures-if I aum denied the right of submitting to the House substantive motions and resolutions, which might perthapo meet the sense of the majority of this House, and which at all evente would afford to the people of this country the opportanity of knowing the views of the honorabte meubers of this House upon possible amenduents -which might be proposed to this measure. At an early period of this zession, I gavo notice
of substantive resolutions which, however little they might hare nuet the sense of the mar jority of this House, express the vieris of a large mujority of my constituents. It Hould interest them to see how far those riens met the ipprobation of the representatives of the people bere; it would interest them to kuow how far honorable gentlemen from Upper Caneda are prepared to go to insure to the English speaking minority of Lower Canuda those rights and liberties which they clainu for theurelves, it would afford us sume criterion by which "we might measure the degree is protection we should find in the Federal Purliament trom posible oppression in our Local Parliament. For if hourable gentleneo from Ypper Canada on the flow of this Hedis, wih not hear us tit dity, if they mandert an indifference to the injustice about to be intlicted upon the Earlish speditigg inhabitants of Lower Cantads by the propesed Cinintitution, what guarantee hise we thit similar xeflitimeses may out mark their conduct atier we shatl be pheretese to rebuhe it $\%$ I will read theer resolutions wheth Ihid designed thprupere, thr the purpose of obtanime the opinion of the Hopace on a modiachinn of this measare, which, if it pust be adopted might prosibly have bech sp amend s as to rempe miny serious wbeetions"m, enterained tw it by a large portion if the peophe we lotwer Canada. They are in the we worda.--

Reoolted, That anounterg the Federat sytem


 auts the ditrence of ance, lanstare and reat phos ot the mhabtats or the respecture sutes or

 ut ran such state or tertitury, the ratriatement of their swa becal amber, in aceurdante with

 gosernimen, or th the prace and tranguthity of those tor whata is is tizatad.
This resaluan L put forth simply tor the sake of shewing the idea which I had in my mind, whyout, I am tree'to coufess, may expectasinat that the prticular audification which 1 was about to promose woid meet the serise of the majn, rity of thit Huase. but as giviug an indiuation of the direction in which the Engetist spacking iuhabitants of Lower Canada yould consider that their iuterests mighe be brote preserved. The second reso lasou I designed to propose is as follows;-

Resoleced, That with arview to secure io chat
portion of the inhabitants of Lower Canada speak ing the English language, the free exercise and eijayment of their own- deas, ivstitutions and inghs, in any proposed Confederation of the provinces, Canada should be divided into three civil divisions, to wit: Western, Central, and Eastern Canada.

Why is it that objection is made to a legislative union? The reason why so largea portiou of the peopie of Lower Canada of French origin will not consent to a legislative union, is the very reason that makes it desirable to the Euglish speaking population of Lower Canada: We are in favor of a legislative union. We desire that Canada should be a united people, thooting sectionalism, and bas inir our institutions upon oue broad principle of Canadian tistionality, "which shall blend all taces, and in time obliterate all accidental distinctions of language, religion, or origin. Our French-Canidian fellow-subjects will nọt consent to this. If they will not hear our aryuments, let them listen to their own. If Federdism is necessiny for the protection of, therr rights, it is aecessaxy in a tenfold degree tir itie protection of the rights of the English speaking minority. They tell us we may rely upan their well-known liberality and toleration: We cinnot consent to hold our liberties by mere sufferance, when we are entitled to hold them by right. It would be unwarthy of us to submit to stuch humiliation. In these remarks which are foreed from ne, and which I ain compelfed to make in d fence of the rights and liberties of those who seut ine here, I mean tur disterpect to those of aputher origin -to the Freacle-Catadian tionorable gentlemen whom I see around me (Hear, hear.) In unty respects, I sympathise with them, and have always symputhised with them. I desire to live among my French-Canadian fellow subjects in peate. I dexire to minatain those animable relations which have diways subsisted between the Eaglishoppeaking and the Freach.C'anadian populations' of Lower Canada. As I sad befure, I sympathise with my French Chandian fellow-subjects in many respects: "I respect their charecter, I admire theirlaws. But this antwgonim is not courted by ine. It is forced upun me:. Let me call the attention of howorable gentlemen, more especially of thase from Cpper Camada, to the pisition in which this proposed Constitutiva nov befure the Huse would place the Euglish-speatiag people of Lower Cinada. I mais say at the outser, that although they namber ouly oue-fourth of the population, they possess at least one-third of the property,
and pay one-half of the taves. The FrenchCadadian differs lery inaterially in many respects from the Englishman, or the AngloSaxon. "He is urore smiple in his habits more frugal in his mode of hife, and less disposed to noselty. . He is coutent to ride in a carriage of the sa e fashion as that ot hisgrand$f_{\text {ather.: }}$ He is wedied to his institutions, his old eustońs, and old laws. It is dafferent with the English-spaking porplé. They are. as a people. more estravagant, more eager for novelty, and in many other respects widely different trom the Fruch-Cunadits in the tastes" and habis": Of cenase a comporisor would be insidrous and 1 du not desire to Institute one But 1 ma pot at hberty to igmore the tacts. Lere us see how, under this proper d Comentution, the Finghinhapent ing people winh be pheced it reference to
 ideas. In the tiret place I winht deare un direct your attentina the the 14 thi rempthes by whet it is pronded hion, enpecially ather
 gishathe cound of the (icherai Govername nt
 apponated by the Fiderat Cincmineat on the



 certifity bernure th tif puitith in number. Ltider the ee antenat ame and und the



 dithon of the fortal govirnments, and in the case of Lower E.and h, when the Lationern: ment wifi be four tithe French-Cims ian and only "oue-ith" of Eushoth origu, think you how many Eughth menters then hower (ei. nada would eser titid thear nos. to the beyisIstive Copuncia? How would th be promes. when the Reqiplative Cound ts tis to ap ponited on the resomucth stina of the Loces Guiernment and that hiend instriment
 eleneat to abtan tair reperemataten wo the

 ada ever riverve such a remmandition, utless. he approved hanath more French than Eughth : (Hear, heir. A Again, by the E3rd resoluinou, it "is"provided thint, $\because$ the Le: gitature of each proviace shall dinide such province into the proper anaber of constituen: cres, and detine the boundaties of each of
them.' How easy would it be, under the provisions of that clause, for the Local Legislature to snuff out one-balf of the English constituencies in Lower Canada: They might arrange t eir bounds in such a manner that the Eaglish-speaking element wonld be confined within very narrow hanits. There, would be a few constituencies left entirely English; but the English population wrould thus be deprived of the influence whitijtheir numbers zind wealth should give thempin the Lived Legislature. (Heax, heary Again, the Led Lengislature will have paver to alter or amend their Constitution from time to time. We today may trame a Constitution-the Englisti-sprating mijority in this House may frame a constitution which would give proper protection to the Enghas apeskng pupulation of Lower Canaday. But. by ths sehépe it will be-in the power of the local legislatures to ehanipe that, and te modify it so as to suit it to the wifhes or prejudices of the Freneh wajority. We would be powerless, alter we leate these halls, ange lunger to cunterve ur rights, and the privileges whith this Parliament minht pive us may be tahen away at the very first sentifn of the Lacal Leginature. The lowk at the powers which, under this Constitution,"sre conterred on the Lacal Görernifent. . The tirst 1 tipd is the pawer of direct taxation. In the cane of all goveryinents, the power of tuxatanis the ment impiriat power they can peosess. It is that wheth entecrens all portions and all clames of the comanaty; and which'pives rise to the greatent controyery, and the greatest atmont of dificulty. It in the maot jemportant of all lezondatace powers, and this power ts to be cowferred on the Local Legislature of a provace, where one nationality bas four-tithas of the oumbers, and the other nationality contr butes one half of the taxes. Then the Leceil Legndature is to have the control of inimigration-a very ingpartatit subject, which odecply Thteriats the Englioh-getating phepulanen of Lower Canadi-but they woula thave an vonce in traming the uieasures wheth :uight be adopted tor directug and countrolling that amportant uatter. Then the Luctal Leegridature is to have the conatrol ut education. And what subject can there be of greater importance ? : Aud what subject is there which mughe be a source of greater strife betweea the two nationalities, whech by this provision wuld be brought tato antageasm? Evea under our present system, wi.h sixty iive Cepper Cunadisu king-hat-speatriug members, who rould naturally
be expected to sympathise with the Englishspeaking peonle of Lower Canada, it is a irying grievance with the latter that they cannot get such legislation on the subject oij education as they desire: What, then, would they hare to expect if they weat into a Legislature whete four-fifths of the representatives were of a different nationality and a different religion, and whose prejudices and interests were in opposition to the claims of the one-fith minority?' (Hear, hear.) Then the Local Levisluture is to have control of " the ee eablishment, maintenance and management of hoyptals, asylums, charities, and eleemosynary institutions." Now it is a positive fect, as thave stated before, that the Eourlinh -p :aking population of Lower Gan* ada, on acesunt of their wealth and expensive mode of liring their extravagant habits. their desire for ch nge and progress, their differentidegas venerally from the FrenchCanadans, consume mere than one-half of the dutiable queds that are brought into this country, and pay our balf of the tases; and yet the money which they would pay into the publie chest would be distributed by a majority orer whot they had no contril-a majority who mpuld not in any manner sympathise with them; and their taxes would be appified to objectis which they might not deem desira. le-whech they mitht, perhaps, consider detrimental to their interests. And they would be completely wittout remedy, should this propased Constitution antorennately be nuposed upou thein. (Hear, hear) It it paraful to me to be compelled to refer to these waters. It is not with pleasure that I briag before the House the antazonisun which wuald meritibly arise betweea the two natioualities, should they be broucht togeber int one lowshature, with such a vast disproportion betwern their tqeapt of takiog there gwa part We are toll, and told very truly-I rejoice that it is ethe fact -that hitherte the two races in Lower Can: ada have lived in peace. But it would be imposible that they could any longer live in peace; it iveuld be iarporsible that with such a disparity of numbers, and with sugh antagonistic nater wts they should not coure into conflict. It would be a constant warfare and this aew Constitution, instead of settiong the sectional difficalties in this country, iastead of bringing peace to this conntry, iastead of remiviag jealousies and heartburaingt: would have the very upposite effect. From the fact that the
fied of conflict would te smaller, that the arfna would be more circumscribed, the erife would be all the fiercer: You are not bringiug peace, but a sword (Hears hear:)

Mr, PUWE L. L-Does the leader of the Opposition in Lower Cabada assent to that? (Hear, hear.)

Mr O'HALLORAN-It is not niy province to inquire what any hon. gentleman assents tu or dissents frome What I have to "do is to see that the interests of those who sent me, bere are not put in jeopardy. And it will be for the leader of the Opposition to see that he too, on his part, faithrully discharges. his duy to thase he represents. But, sir the Euglish speaking people of Lower Canada are to be aunsed, and their attention is to be diverted from a full exam. ination of those seriuus matters, Which press thems lyes" upon our consideratiun, by eleverly drawn abstractions aud sophistries, such as new- nativnalties-unon isstrength -a great empire-and the other plausible pret $x$ te that are attempted to be imposed upnn them.: It would be pusy to refu'e aidd show how basplest are all these chemes of g-eathess with, which the prople of this country are sought to be misled. We are travely axkedy "What man would remain poir, whei he could at oace berom? rich? What maii would remain weak when he could at once becume pwertul? Who would be dimiuutive when by merely taking thoushe he euuld add cubits to his stature? What peoplio would continue to be a mere. volony, when by thi strolke of a pen they could at once become an empire, under a new naţinality?" Str, these sophistres will not mpuse upon the people of this country. Where is the demonstration furuished us that by this scheme you would add one dollar ta, the wealth of this country, or one human being to its inh ibitanes, or one inch to its territ ry". Wé do not find it afforded during the course of this debate. I have listened attontively to the arguments in farit of the seheme, but no "atiempt has been made to demonstrate these things. Io has been repeatedly stated that we are about to consoli date the strengeth of this country, in order to resist invasion; but I should like: to know in what manner such an end is prownted by this measure. "Are we not already united under one Goverument? Are we ait already" living under the contriol of the name executive power? Do we not fight corder the wame flag, and pay allegiance to
the same Sovereign? : İs not evory man in Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, New foundland. and Priace Elward Island just as much under the control of the head of pur Govern: ment as the iohabitants of this province? It is all sophistry this ide that we are" going to increase the strength of this country by the proposed uniou with the Lower Provinces. An attement is made to alarin us by sensational rumurs about inv, ision, and it. is stated that wo must pat forth every possible strength to save ourselves" from being swallowed, up by the neighboritig republic ; and we are, gravely told that through the action ot a number of sedfconstituted dergates assemblel around a green table, and adopting certaia resolutioos. the whole of the plyysical laws relating toi our country are to b changed. Newtoundland and Prince Edward Island are to be brought up into Late Ontario, and the whule of our territory is to be compacted, consolidated sand strengthened: Our extended trontier is no longer ta be exposed to wittack, and if attacked, will be much more. easily detended Is not this the mosto absuril sophistry? Ca ${ }^{\text {? }}$ paper resolutions change the laws of nature, or modify the physical gengraphy of the esuitry. Will not. Newfoundland be as isolated from this peuvince after consederation shall have beea adopted, as it is to- day? I think, sir, it is generally admitted that Canada is unequal to the detence of its own froutier against invaston frow the only quarter frum which it is appretended It is also admited that the Maritume Lrovinces are alike uncqual to the defeace of their own' frentier. By what process then will you demoustrate to me, that by adding the Irontier of the Lower Prosin es tiotbat of Canada, and by addung the force of those provinces to our uwn, there will not be the same defencelessoess as at present? Will there not be the satue dispruportion between the defeasive poiser and the object to be defended? (Hear, hear) Mr: Speaker;in the first place I perenive -in imuediate necessity for those consurational changes. I think that our presede Constitution is ample for the wants of the people of this couutry, and that all the dith:cutties, eit her real or imagioary, under which we labor, might be solved within the limits of vur preseat Constitution. I consider . 11 our dificalties to be merely sectinaal, atising nether from differences of roligion, of origus, of lagogage, or of lans. On examination it
will be found that they are merely fiscal difficulties, and that they arise from the sact that our General Government does not contive.itsclf to the true ead and obect if its existence. Do awny with your lucaligrants, and your absurd system of compenating for one improper expendirure by the creation of awother Let there be no expenditure for merely local purposestror for parposes that do not properly enme within the tutactions of the General (Goveroment. (Heary hear.) By what rule of tight, for instance, are the iuhabitants of Cpper Canada called upon to pay for the redeuption of the seigaiorial tenure "of lower Canada; and What right has Lower lianda to be called upon to t meet the extravagant municipal iudebtedness of "pper canada". It our difticulties arise trum differeuces of tinguage and races how comes it that the Euglish: speakiog people of Lower Canada have so long harmonizel and sytapathized with the extreme EItramontan party of 4 , ower Canada? (Hear, hear.) I think yuu camot fipd any reasou for it, except in the supposition that they remain united fir the purpose of matutaining their sectional power and in-: fluence, moder a asem by which the common exchequer is deetiod a legitimate óbject of public pluader Fach section seems to have always regarded the publio chest as fair game; and it is nadniable that Luwer (ianada has generaliy and the best. of it, These thing catised dissati,faction in the migds ot ipeaple trisu other sections of the wastry ad they undertake to form: combinations for the purpose of obtaining fr me the publice chat simitar undue advan-tagey "The remedy for this state it things is to deprive the Legishiture of , the power ti) mate grants for logal ofjeces, Let there be "no revenut collected' more than is. abifutely aecessaty tor the nineral expenses of the cunutry and let it be distributed thir thuse heneral pur. poses with due ecoumg, and we shall hear nuthing ture of sectignal ditio ultiess. (Hear, hear.) Mr. SPeaker, in' condection with this same dea, I bud in my owa mind another very iaportant eonsderation cons. aceted with the adn.inistraton of the government of our conaurs. It hav'in aw, I Thelivre, cased to be a crime to : louk to. Washingtun." Not hoy ago, the term - luoking to Wa-binipton" was wne of reproach. But that teme has passed alvay, and our frionds oa the other side of the

House have not only looked to Washington, but absolutely gone there; and imported the worst features of the republican system for incorporation in our new Constitution. - While they were doing this, I regret very much that they did not import from Washington, or, from some other parts of the United States,' their idsas of economy in the administration of the fiscal affairs of the country. (Hear; hear.) I regret they did not import from that country a very important principle prevailing there, to the effect that the Government of the day shall itnpose as few burdens upon the people as possible. To-day, sir, we are paying the mar who stands at that door to admit you to this chamber a greater anoual salary than is paid to the Governor of the State of Vermónt. We are paying the man who stands in that corner with his paste brush to wrap up our papers, more than the indemity allowed to a United. States senator. We pay the Governor General a greater. allowance than is received by the President of the United States of Auerica. We are the nost heavily taxed people, and pay larger salaries for the work performed, in proporsion to our resources, than any other people in the world.

Hon Atry Gen. MaCDONALD-We pay ourselves well too. (Laughter.)

Min O'HALIORAN-It has been said, and it seetas to be thoughe a strong argument in favor of this sehéme, that we must do something ; that our affairs cannot with adrantage so on in the same channel in which they have been doing; and that ihere is a necespity for some change. It is made a complaint that legislation is obstructed by party strife, and that the country suffers for the want of new laws. Sir, if there is oue vuigar error in political ecouomy more false and unsound thay another, it is that the prosperity of any" country depeads on the amount of its legislation We have, as a general thing, too much legislation. If I way use the termi, we are legis:lated to death. And when I have seen bills pouring into this House y the hindred at. eyery sessioun," I have said to myself:-- What, in Hecrea's name, will become of this owantry if ali these bills should, by any pussibility, ever become law?". (Laughter.) The idea seems to prevail, that in this country eveu the graxy canuje grow unless ita griwth is regulated by an Act of Parliament. No cha ge in the Constitution of thin conntry will remedy the difioalties of which you
complain, for they have their source within ourselves: It is honest, economical adminis. tration you require, not legislation, or acnange in our form of Government.
"'Bout forms of government let fools contest, That which is best administered is liest.?
You may remore you: seat of government to Ottawa, and increase your Legislature from 130 to 194 members, but you will find the same difficulties under any system of government which you may adupt, so long as you continue extravagant sectional expenditure. Those difficulties will still met you in the face, so long as the legislature or legislatures of the country are permitted to exercise functions that do not properly belong to a general government; so long as, you refuse to compel localities to meet their own local expenditure by local means, you will find the same causes producing the same effects in Ottawa ás"in Quebec. "Colum non animam mutant" gui iraıs mure rürrunt. (You but change your skies by the proposed constitutiowal changes.) I remarked; at the cutset. that I must deny to this Huse the right to iupose on this cjuntry this or auy other Constitution, without first obtaining the consent of the people. Who sent you here to frame a Constitution? You were sent here to administer the "Oonstitution as you find it Throughout the length and breadth of British North Aiwerica, there is not one other government chat has dared to irrogate to itsolf the right of changing the Constitution of their poople without crinsulting them; except ours. Cam surprised, sir, that eventhis strong Government of ours have dared to assume this powis, when, sooner or later, they must go before the peoplè of the couritry. (Hear, hear.) There comes to my hand, this evening, a $r$ solution proposed by the Honorable Altoriney Geveral of Newfoudland in the Legislature of that colony. It is instructive as shewing that there was ony uniffora seotiment," throughout all the LowerProvinces, in favor of subaitting the question the the people : It was so submitted in $N e w$. Bruaswick -it met its fate. It is now about to be submited to the pegple of Nuva Scotia. The Administration ot this provines: have been wiser in their generation than thuse of the "Lower" Provinces. They did oot"dare to subinit it for the consideration of the people-a course which, if not exht'iting wisdom ou their part, shows, at the least, that skill and craft in public matters for
which most of them have become famous. (Hear, hear.): The resolution I have referred to, and which embodies the policy of the Government of Newtoundland on this question, is as follows:-
Resoloed, - That having had under their most serious and deliberate consideration the proposal for the formation of a Federal union of the British Nurth American Proviuces; upon thèterms contained in the rep rr of the Conténion of delegates, held at Quebec, on the 10 ch of Oetober lasi-the despateh of the Rught. Honorable the Secretary of State for the Colones, dated December 3rt, 186 the observations of His Excellency the Governor ih relatuon to this seyb jeet in his opening Speech of the present sestion $\rightarrow$ and the report of the Newfoundand delegatesthis committee are sopinion," that having regard to the comvarative noyelty aid very great jinportance of this project, it is desirable that betore a vote of the Legislature is taken upin it, $n$ should be submutued to the consideration of the people at large, partucularly as' the actuon of the other provinces does dot appear to require that it should be hastaly disposed of and as (the present being the last session of this Assembly) no unreasonable detay can be occastioned bi this course; and they, therefore, recommend that a fanal determination upon this important subject be deferred ti) the next, meating oft the Legisla ture.
(Hear, hear.)
AN HoN. MEIBER-That is the report of a committeé.
Me. OHALLORAX-Yes, it is the report of a comuittere ; but it was subinited to the Legislature by the Hon. Altorney Geiteral as the policy of the Government Of course, it the resolution is not carried in the Legislature ${ }_{t}$ then the scheme is doubly defeated: In this alitele, petty province, whose interests, as coupared with ours, aro of trifling importance in relation to the scheme, the Government considers that the question is one of sufficient moment. to demaod that before the slightest action is taken upon it by the Legislature, the peuple should be cotisulted ; but in this larpe proviafe, with its couparatively large pupulation, and with inportant interests to be affected, the scheme is to be hurried through without allowing the people to have a vice in the mater, or even to have time for its convideration.. (Hear hear.) - They are to have no voice in determiniug what kind of government they and their chiddren are to live under for years to come. Mr Speakér, I know very well ihat it is a bold déclaration for me to make, that this Parliament has no right to deal with thil question; but, sir, I
make it not hastily nor nadvisedly, becaunse I defy honorable gentlemen to find a precedent for their proposed action in any fiee country under similar circumstances. We are pot living today in a time of revolution or of great emergency; but, even if 'our circumstances 'were different, I doubt very thueh if any of the precedents that have beepareferred to as having occurred many gears aqo and in troublous times. could wain be practised or adopted, even in England, from which country we draw all bur precedents - The precedentswhich have been involed in approval of the course that has been adont d by the liovernment prove too much". "It they form a justification for the course we are pursuing then you might prove by the same meany that thit House had the power to perpectuate its existence beyond the limut fixed for the termation of the present. Parhament, or vote varselves quembers tor life. We might just as well conissitute ourselves life menters a the Fideral 3 egiskature of the proposed bonfederacy; as to taky the action that is conten: plated. I know that it is represented as very importint that the wreaure shald be carried itto immeme cperation; but that is amatter of mere' expediency, ayd has nothing to do with constifutional principles:. (Heary hear.). The Irish union has been triumphantly referred to as a precedeut for this measare. To mat mind it is a most unfor: tuate one, and little deserving of our imitathon. "' Let mie show you how this mater has been regarle l- by oue, "whese authority will pat be disputed. I read from. Mir's Contstimionnal Histary of Englenil, page 005 of the Eud volumer Speaking of the nuion of Irelaud with bingland, he saya:-
i great end was cutapasoed by meang the miogs bue and thangess.' Chatrix. Lord
 patriots, conninard to protest a a cinast the sale of the libergies and iree Conatitutiona' of "Ireland. Theit elupuetae and public verur conmatid tho respect of posteruy but the setched history of their country denate them its sympathy.
This, sir, is the juigtuent of the impartial Eaglish histortau upon the means by which that great tathoual crime way consummated, sand it is the juit ene nuinu" on the noble few whose patriote effirts failed to prevent it. I read it, in anticipation, as the future history of the wroug now, about to be perpetrated on the people of this country; and while it implies, on the oue hasid, in no doubtful terms, the well-merited praise of
the small band who stand here to-night for the rights of the people, in opposition to this scheme; it pronouvces, ou the other, the just condemation of those who trample on those rights, and who forget, in the pride of their brief authority, who it was that raised. them to the positions they occupy, not that they might coerce', but carry out the will of the people, the ouly rightiul source of all political puwer. (Cheers.)

Mr. J. S. ROSS-I will not attempt to address the House at any great length at this late hour of the evening, as I thiuk it very desirable that this debate should be brought to a close at as early a day as possiblea; and believing that that is the prevailing opmion io this "Uuse, I shall endeavor to be as briet as 1 cau. The hou: gentleman who has juat taken hi, seat has referred to one matter ou which $\frac{1}{\text { shall not }}$ at present say auything on which I shall not commate hyselt. I suppose that it will oe very, well understood what I refer to, without my alludnag to it more particularly. But there way aupther statament which be made-that there was no uecessity for any change- on which I shall dwell shortly; an: sndeasor to show that bere was a becessity for a change.. It mu-t be in the réellectuo of every hon, member in thia House, that one-year ugo affairs' were in such a statesuch difficulties presented chotuselves, that Iegislatiou was becomiugalunot impracticable. So better proot of chas cualis bé degred than that the Guvernment of the day found themselves so surrounded with dithealties in the Houser that they declared themselves unatle to carry on the administration of the aflairs of the country to a satafaciory manner. Now, "Why should a Goverumeat possessiag so much talcat and abitity is. that Guverument dhd, make that declaration, it there was no uecessaty tor st? (Hear, hear)

Hon. J. S. Macdonald-If you had voted with us, it would have beea all right. (Hear and laughter.)

Mr. ROSS-M Hhuas L always entertained a very bigh opuliva of the houor ble gentieman who wast Premier of that Giverameat, I differed trotu him poltically. Then; Mr. Speakea, there is athother matter to whinh I shall refor, to shov that this House did ackaumledge that there were cutinculties in the way. A mutiou was made by the - byurable menber tor South Uxford tor the
 chaeges. That committee reported to shis

House, and I will just read the last paragraph of that report in support of what I have said :-
A strong feeling was found to exist among the members of the committee in favor of changes in the direction of a Federative system, applied either to Canada alone, or to the whole British North American Provinces, and such progress has been made as-to warrant the committee in recommending that the subject be agaiñ referred to a committee at the next session of Parliament.
Now this was signed by twelve gentlemen, and among them 1 find the hoorable member for Chateauguay, who then declared that there was a pecessity for some change. I think, Mr- Speaker, that this clearly shows that the matter was not brupght upon us in a hurry, that the scteme now before us is a sübject which has been looked forward to for some time." When we refer to that period, we tiud that the Governmens of the day placed therr resignations in His. Excellence's hands, a new Goverament "Was formed which met the Husse on the 3rd of May, and on the 1 the of Jute they were defeated " At that time, I believe, ${ }^{\circ}$ they trad obtained from His Excellency permission to dissolve the House. An effort was made, however, to effect a change in the Admaistration, in order thag it might command a majority, of this House, and be enabled to carry ou the busiuess of the country. After some time, a reconstructionwas effected, and in the programme which the present Government ad.pted, they did annuun團 that they would take up this queston, and that whea they met the House the uext sessiou, they would be prepared to lay before the House a measure for the purpose of remuring existing diffeulties, by introducing the Fe eral principle into Canada, coupled with such provistou as will permit the Maritime Provinces and the North-West. territory to be incorporated with the same system of goverument. . If, there were wbjections to a change, why were they not made at that time? Did not the House commit itself, then, by receiving it without anyubjection? Heace I thiuk that the Goveo ernaient pursued a manly, straightorward course in coming down and announcing what their scheme was.; and whether its scheme is a good or a bad oue, they bave redeemed their pledges; they have met" this House with a secheme for the Confederadion of the British North American Provinces. (Hear.) Whether this sechente is all that we could desire or aut, is perhapa a matter on which
we shall not be unanimous. I for nae, ever sivee I have thought anything abuut politics, have always louked frward to the time when such a scbeme as thos might be carried vut. I have been aun advocate ot a legislative uaion. I thiuk thatis the correct principle, but I am not ashaued to say that I am open to conviction, aud in deahce with a great question hake this we must not expect to have everythog to meet our ving vicws'; me must be prepared to make coucession, and take the best we" can get. (Hear, hear.) We kuow the besiatiun with whieh the Cunstilution of the luited States" was accepted; that Wasungun-the fother ut that great tountry-capressed himself, as well as may uther eminent persums, agaust it, but iccepted it as the beet that cund be had" We timathe same expresons talling
 prepare 4 is wede Theybere that it was the wery best that e uld he had uader the circumstuces. "(Hear.) Now, if we look tion one moment as the work of the Conference which met here a Quebee. whether: the echene is shat we all could desire tor the benctit in the ci,untry on nut we musi admit thist tie -andemen who composed that" Conthene were mon of ability mon of mind, meen whe have for yeate been the griding piritu pabiac affurs (Hear; hear: A Ad the hou rabie gentlemer tron the Liwer Proringen tath in therer respetiye protinces equally high with thase whe reprereuted Canada, and 1 con regdy to belteve that othe delegates: who emmaneth this Conference approachel the yuevion-ta in wirit of the tru est $p$ a mistu, wath the henest endeavor to setite the difficution of the enuntry, and in the fop c , that the soleme would be aeceptable to the popple, and be the mens of brino tury us ragether, and consothdations and build. my up in the firt of the ghrieus fin ire. it givernuput that would be hastiug and stable. (Hear, Hoary and cheren. Aud, Mr. Spetkik, I thak there han beea nothing that ha proved nore acceptable, or chat has beda better receined by the people of the conutry (llear, hear) So tar at 1 ame con. cerad, 1 ton the opportunity of briazing the subject b foremy con-tituents, and when I read the tirst clase of the retolutions"The best inturesto and present and future prosperity ot Briti-h North Anerica will be primoted by a Federal union under the Crown of Great Britain, provided such union can be effected ou pritiples just to the serseral proviuces," 'eir, it met with their hearty
cheers. (Hear, lear.) Although T Have not the honor to represent one of the largest constituencies in Upper Canada, yet I re preseut one that I am proud of - the people of the good old county of Dundas are sound at the core ; they do glory in British con. nection, and nothing would induce them to support we or any other representative who rould give an uncertain. answer to the question of whether we shuuld retain that connee tion or not " Cheers.) Sir, I believe that the time is upon us, when we look at the surrounding difficulti:s, for us to make some change, and there is an uncertainty in the ninds of Ganadians at present that we ought to get rid ift., and the suouer we approach the subject the béter." The sooner we find out that we have a great future to establish, that we have a country here of whieh we may feel proud and rijoice in, I think, sir, the sooner that state of things is brought about the betterr. (Cheers) Not ouly in a Camdian point of view is this desirable, bat also for the sake of cur position alongside "of our neighbors, with whon, I am sure,' we all desire to remain at peace, if they will onily lease us in quiet amongt ourselyen. That is all. that we desire, but at the same time it is well that these people should understand that we have an desire whatever, not the most remote intention, of enunceting our destinies with theirs. (Cheers.) Now, Mr. Speaker, it in said that this matter is new, and that it is tored ypon us. I recollect reading, some. years afo; uove able letters writtea by Ilou. Mr. Howe of Suva Scotia, addressed to Lord John Kescile, to show how necessary this unton was.

DR. PARKER-I would like to ark the honorable geatleman if those letiers were autt in favor of a l gislative unsu?

Ha. RUSS-I think they were, but I belive that if he-the writer of them-hiad found himselt at Quebee as one of the dele gates, he would have doace just as they did. Again, I find that at mother time in our country, in 1849, in the city of Kingston, one haudred and forty gentemen, chosen by she peo-ple-the ablest and furemost men of the councry, and presided over by a gentleman who has since left this state of action - a gentleuan of high mind, and univerally respected -I wean the late. Hon. Geodge Mopratithat organizationi, the British League, acknowledeed that to lay a basis for the future of this country, a union of the British North Anericau Provinces was usiential. (Cheers.) If I had time, sir, I could show that at eoveral
periods in the House of Commons, the union of these provinces has been spoken of as what must eventually take place. And since the subject has been under discussion in this country; I have read with the greatest satis faction, in the press of the United States, arti ales showing the advantages of this union, and in particular one very able article in the Chicago Times, in which the writer pays the people of this country a high compliment for the foresight with which they are setking to protect their interests in the future. (Hear. hear.) There are other authorities to whech 1 could refer to show the advantages of a union of these provinces. Whether Leqish thye or Federal unimportant-inion is strungth, and union is desirable if we ex cet future growth and greatiess. I think the aroumentes are an favor of a legistative union. When we approach the subject fairly, we must acknowledge that it is not reasonable to suppose that the peaple of the Lower Provinces should prefer a Federal to a Legislative uniont: I cam quite understand why they appreciate the advantase of the local parliaments; to ask then toyit up their whole machinery of government, and to place themselves, in the hatuh and at the tender mercies of a people who would haye the commanding influeuces in the legislation of the countryond with whon they are coms paratively, litte aciquainted, wiould be whing rather too much. There is ilso, some rearen to tiear why a legislative untion woula be too cumbersome. Nany think that tor muth of the time of the Lexistature of the country would be taken ap with the loeal busimess of the diffirent sectionas of the in rataice. I believe, after this uachinery thas beion well in operation, nad after we hawe become better acyuaiated with edch othe , that we shall thind we can work-together," and that this has been anovement ia the rightdirectma. by briaging together the people from all parts of the country. We shall bitd that our interests "are better ounderstood indeed that they are one; it will be the more eny to do
 then all into one. (Hear, hear : Thein, sir, there will be this advantage from the preseitr scheme-wo shall have the machinety for governing the whole country in existence; and it will be casy for those who desire it - if in the wisdum of the people who will be living - under the institutions of the country at that time, it is thought desirable-the necessary machinery will be in existence for cousolidation, and the ohange will nat be of that radical nature 'that it would be at the present moment. Take another view of tho case, which I beliove will
be borne out by the facts; if we are unitedif we shew to the world at large that we have resolved upon a more enlarged sphere of exist: ence for the fature-the population of this enuntry will increase to such an extent, that there will be work enough for the local governments as well as tor the General Gevernmont: I think, alo, that the system will have the effect of juducing, on the part of the local administrations, a spirit of emulation in the way of conductiog their respeetive novernments as cheaply and as economically as possible. "I have no doubt, too, that when the treat parlidinents' are one 'established, the people will ste the advantage of material changes in the municipal institutions of the enuntry; these institutions being to a greater derree subordinated to the local govern-ments:- At all :events, these are all matters for future wonsideration, and possibly for future action. (Hear, hear,) : I shall now, Mr, Stanker, refer briefly to the question of Confederation in a commercial point of view. It is stated that in this respect no beaelit "ill aceruf to the cuuntry-that thete will be now inerea of tr ide betwern the provinces. Bat I wro this House to look at the matter in thilis light-aud I am sorry to say that we hisge ged reasuy for so vinwing it-ihere eta be noe dotht of the fact. The Lioited States have given notice of the abrogation of the Reciprocity trenty, anis there is wo much cause for the apprethension that the bonding syment wall alsi be diue ansy witho" Well, if we ure cut off from all then factiities and ad. vantaces, "what in our phation? We are cut af troun the wean tor menthe of the year. and in this respest sur pensition of dependency on a forelgn power is a mont humilating one. (llearshear. ${ }^{\text {w }}$. The construction of the Intercolonial Ralway has beca insisted upot as a commareial neesssity, and although it may be an expen-ite" work, I think the time has cone when it unust be built. I may brietly rate thy own position in regard to that undertabuig. When the appropriation $\therefore$ w brought up for the Intereolonial thailway survey, si stremigy avas I apposed to that seheme at the time that I voted ayanst it. But, as I'ausodre nly stated, I'nownee the uecesity fire it. I believe the the hite cone when this raitway should be conteructed. (Hear, hear.) I hope it will be coostructed in a proper and econmacel manaer, and: when it is built, I believe that iniacomucreial point, sur position will be greatly limproved. (Hear, hear.) It is amprssible for any hoourable qentletinan to shew that by means of that railivay mo increasy of trade will spring up between tue
diffirent provinces. 'Wherru Cunada' is decide sy andericutarnle mitry; it has a hage rurplus of grain, und it naut time qu cuthet for it. Shat out frum the Thied States. ad deprised of winter comnuineatin, where are we to go ?. Tu store ne fruate in thoughout the winter months would be a gasticamon loss: Itisstil that the expet on arsindamer the winter is not pretite ele. But, homat the:
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of the people of the neighboring States. It was said that the great cause ot difficulty in the Ginited States was now remored, and that there wis no obstacle now in the way of their mituterial and social'p.ogress. Well, sir, I acknowledge that they are a great. people, and that their advancement has been great; but I fial to pervecive that, if true to ourselves; we hive not the same adyantages. (Hear, hear.) It ill erents, if our advatages are not so aritu they are sufficied tor all our purposes, and we outht to be satistied: There is one - ther cimideration to whieh I desire to allide Whea we look at the people who in. hiot thee prominces, and consider from whine they enne and what are their charace terstuce, that they are a progressive, enterprising ind to-a-head people, is it reasonable wisurpe that : we are always going to re minin th this state of ancertanty? Is it resmonable t.: suppose that we are ulways to be dulded into different proginees, withan husghary line? "Have we do desire or wish the xphad and"yrow And, I ask, is it pos ribee thist we can hope: to attuia national yreatness in a separate state of existence"? I Gink that the inerests of the several pro siuces thould be cons liduted. There is as th-iubits the resoures of the country: sifar is turnory is esucurned. $\therefore$ Stretehing from the finnthe wo the $P_{a}$ cific, it it an ple tur the -uppre and su tequace of a great people.- - I ance "ereis herd it said, by persous who are wond authority on the subyct, that they t. H. Fre .he child is nuw buru who will see Bnobh Noth Anerica intiabited by a papaa thonert $00,000,000$. Thas may be gonimg too dir, but 1 thisk there cau ba no doube a ryen therese to our numbers will take place virn.ine whall have siven effect to the schemu rair in enmomphition. (Hcar, and cheers:) lue is ourabe tueuber for Hiechelaza (Hoa. Ve Dublon hitedin the course of life reanans that $i:$ would be' a dark day firr Ca "iiit whald these resolutinas bee adopted. Ar". sifentera, that unay be that honorable
 - AE f trom-han entirely. "On the contrary, I wethere it will be a duric day for the whole enantryit we cand syree uposa some plan rir sucturima our pyedy uolva. (Cherr.) Pate sumbthe geatemata ubo stated that the - chene wa tar toe conservative to its charcter Well, I cin understand why the honralie wenteman should tind foule with it on rit acenumt, but 1 couless that that does we truablo wie in tho elightest degree. (Checrs.) He declares thes it will-destroy
the qreat Liberal party. I should be sorry to see such a calmity. I have always po: feised to be a liberal-a moderate me in in politics. (Hear, hear.). White I would be sorry to see any great pirty destroyed by this scheme, I would particularly regret to witness the destruction of the great Liberal party. (He ry hear.) I believe, however. that that party will oot be destroyed in any such" manner, " After this great scheme is: perfected, we will have parties the same as before. The è may be some changes a $4 \rightarrow$ regards individuals, but I trust that at atl events there will still be a great Liberal party. (Cheers and lauthter.)" Priry is necessury for the good government of the country; but I trust thitt party feeliag will not be maniteted for the sake of creatimg divisions" and diseords: but that "all partios will unite to build up a power here whic: will be felt and respected throumbinut the world. (Hear, hear, and cheers.) The ho:orable unsber for Brome Mr. Drakn) made some remarks which it struck tue were very singular in their ch racter, but whieh are 4 tair instance of the uianner he adupts w.illustrate and substantiate his views, Me: quoted rom Eaglish statesmer and English publications all that' could posibly be cited to throw doubt upon the scheme but the, moment Enghsh opimon was invaked in it. Suvir, he turied round and declared that, bughish views on Canadian afferirs were enrirely uareliablé. (Hear, hear, and hughter.) As, hiswever, that hoarable gentienim ts not present, 1 shall not refer further to hiv statements: The homorable wember her Cornmill (Hon. J. S. Macdosale) tor whom I bave always eritertaned the haghest reaprot. suid thas "the ery of anaexation had been" raised in order to push this scheme throxizh Wel, sir, it I am notuintaken, the homorabl member for Hochelara Hon. Mr. Dosiow said that this was the very measure to briog abcut sanex stion. (Lawihter.)

IIon. J. S: MACDUAiAD-The Pre. mier stuted that we wero being driven townals anuesation, aud that this scheme would stop it.

Ma. ROSS-I thiak I have detaned the House too lone already; and if opportunity presentes itself I will elsim the indalgsene of the House while I r-fer to o e or two uther points hervatier. (Crus of "Go on!") As l said befigre, I beheve the gentiemen who met in Queber approsched the aratter in ss spirit. "and with adesire to adupt a Constitution which bould be for the good of the whole country.

And althous I do mot entirely enneur in the reshuma- - Itiumbh thre are some things ibwat them whieh I woud decire to see chmed, I hall-give them anport as a irhule. Thk the Constitution of the Upper House Sor intance-二would prefer rather to see the present 'system' retailued; but' as the deleg as thanght fit tre chinge it, I would not ted jutition in roing arinst the whole scheme on accunt ui my ohyetion to one or two items of diftik: (Heir; hear.) We must expet w wisu up a certrin extent our opiain.-ino der to the atrament of gerater benefits tive we at presertenjoy. I, at any rate fol it hing duty tio act in this muner, ain I I tuel sho that' the hanor ble gentemen wh herre the $b$ et thenks of the comutry if, the seme" whath they have brought drwa stion be corried into effect I do
 whterercheck thoschus miy meet with. - it will evenualy be succerstul, and that Addomes whe pased by the respective

 this Cimitiatmon shat have been pertected and ratitest-w fen there shall no longer be aiv rabuts. Thyt is contaning the principles man wh the govment it the country is
 dha in ith hare enue to monee thit his lot has bon' ont in such a, highiy-falored land. Uners.)
MA BUWMAY-As the discussion on thst areat quatan appears to be rapidly dramy to shelio i desire to pfor a few rear rh, mina the shane of Cutederation be. ine verhatior it: The question of constituamal foomas int a new vie in Conad a. It sadparonit wind has nceupied the attentun of therstitesin $n$, the press and the pooWh of has comity for a number of years; oud whindent hac been the den ads tor returia mathepre ot the prople af lipper Can(1) that it has hern found impossible to form - Gioneramoat under our present system, for -everg yensmper whela copld conmand a wion ity in the Mouse sufficiently large to arry un the busmess if the country with sueess The prophe of Wertern Canada have, Oir a nabiber of y yars, aritated strongly for her-4 itplementition in Parliament, the juities, it whath few will pretend to deny: (1) the to the diap atity in the pupulation of the ras ruthors of tho province, and the
 Conaith, ol tha sati-tied chat some, change must be uude soon, with a view of establish-
desirs for a change is as strong now as ever, and the people of Western Canada will never be satisfied until their just demands are concedei in some shape or other.' (Hear, hear.) We . re not the only people who have found it necessary to alter their Constitution: There Hyardys a nation in the civilized world which has not. from time to time, found it itself compelled to chang its form of government in-rider in keep pace with the ordinary pro-reo- of events:and we geverally find that the equat political changes which result in the consolidation or distuption of empires, are "bruaght about by rinlent civil commotions invilving the sucrifice of thousands of rua,ble lives and the expenditure ocmillions of minuer, Of this fact we have a melanclooly examber the present condition of the Lited that s. The Constitution of that country sot laid down by sorse of the wisest and ablest statesmen, yet is less than a cencury after tte formation, the people who hive hitherto lioked upon it as being the wist perfect comstitution in the world, tind themmelies in the undat of a most disinermi" wir, trying to remove a constitathenal diticulty wheh has given them a vas dou it trubite Now, if we shall succeed ia haye down a permanent bisis for the conshthtion of these provinces-if, we shall sa a ed in thming a union which will result in the parpetuation of Britioh institutions on this eqnitiont, and thus check the absorbing ithatencer the neighboring republic - we hatil emter a yreat bom upon posterity, and pieient ach bitter strike among ourselves. Hear hear.) While denberating upon this rebeme, we hould divest our minds as much . 15 prosible of did political assweiations, in moder thit we may, iive it that calm and de Hberpte cousderatho which its great iapor: tonice demands. When we consider the sectomal difievities to be adjusted, the conflicting interests which are-to be recouciled, and the pritudies whith are to be overcome, it is widrut thit we must consider this scheme in: the spirte of eoppromise. Mutual conces. simat linurt be made, so as to respeot the rights . qud feclinges of all, so tior as it can be dune. without doing an injustice to any: "In referyuce to the seheme now before the Houst, allow me to say that although there are some of its details to which I am opposed, yet, taking it iss a whole, I believe it is the best that cean be obtained under our peeuliar circumstances, and therefote I feel it to be my duty to styport it. That part of the scheme which provides for a nonimated Legislativa

Council I believe to be contrary to the wishes of a majority of the people of Western Canada, and particularly of my own constituents. I think it will be wimitted that the elective system has qiven us a class of representatives in that body which would do honor to any country in the world, and I should prefer to see that system continued. But while I would be prepared to vote for an amendment which would have for its object the perpetuation of the present system, provided it could be doue without interfering with the success of Confederation, yet I do not believe that my constituents are prepared to. reject the whole scheue, simply because there are a few features in it which are not esactly in accordance with their views. And I' can assúre you; Mr. Speaker, that I have no desire to do"so. "The opponeats of the scheme appeal to the Frenct population, teling thein that their nationality it in daưger that they will be cntirely aborbed in the Central Lexithtures and that their rights and liberties will be interfered with Then the same parties tell the English of Lower Cauada that their natiosality and their seliouls "wil be entirely at the merey of the French in the Local Legisfature And, with a view of obtaining the defeat of the sehene in the west, they appeal to the pocketn of the people of Upper Canada, asserting that they will. have to bear the preater propirtion of the taxation under the new system. Sow, I think it has been clearly-shown that the Maritime Proviaces will contribute their fall share towards the pablic revenue-that they will pay as iutel: per head as Upper Conada. and mach more than Lower Ganada, so that the financial argument ayainst Coutederation cannot be substantiated. Those honorable gentlenen who are trying to defeat the wheme by appealing to the pri judices and sectinal animosities of the "people of" Lover Canada; should bear in mind that they are pursuing'a course which is calculated to mar the harmonious working of any system ot govern"ment, and that if they should succeed in defeating the scherne, it would go very far to convince the people of Upper Canada that Lower" Canada is determined not to consent to any measure of justice to Upper Canada.: (Hear, hear.) It is alse hiserted that this schieme will bring about a separation from the Mother Country. Now, I Delicve that the advocates of union ure as desirous to perpetuate our connection with Great Britain as its opponénts, and that it is desirable to maintain that connection as long as possible. But
assuming that we are laying the foundation of a British North American Empire, which is destined to become independent of the Mother Country, after our resuurces have become sufficiently developed, and our vast territory has been filled up with an industrinus, intelligent and thrifty population, I do not think such an anticipation should iuduce us to vote against it. Another objection which is raised against this scheme is the supposition that the Maritime Provinces will oppose the opening up of the North-West territury, which is an unisarrantable assumption on the part of the opponeuts of Coufederition; for I think it' will be found that even the people of those provinces will see that it is for their interest to have that portiou of our dominions opeined up for sétlement. Sucha course wouhd extend their field for trale and commerce;" in which: the Maritime. Proinces are extensirely engaced, so that the advantages would be of a mutual character. A great deal has been said about submitting the recheme to the people beiore it is tinally alopted, and I must say that I could hever make up my nind to vote for it without tirst having an expression of popular opiniup upon it in some way or other'; unless I were perfectly satisfied that a Large majority of my constituents are in favor of it. I took the precaution to hold a number of publice meetings in the constitueney whieh I represent, in order to witain the views of the people upon it, and, ia aimost every instance, a large majority present at tho e meetings; nut only expressed thenselves in favor of the general features ot the scheme, hat also expressed"a desire that it should do" de ilt "with and slopted by this Yarliuncut without first holding a general election. I shall take much - Heanure ior voting tor the seheme now betore. the House, believing that by so doing I shall best disctiarge my duty to my constituents and w the country at large. "Cheers.')

Nr, Whish said-It was my intention. during the earlier stages ot thiv debate, to. have asked the House to beart with me while 1 wide rome leagthened renarks on the mapurtant súbject embraced ta the resolutions now ia your hands. It was my intention to review the "urcumstances which unue it necessary that the scheme now subuitted stiould be placed before the mhatitants of Britinh North America - to trace fully the conrse of the sectional agitation with reference to the difficulics between Upper and 'lower Canada-and to show how it had gradually grown in importatice, until the time had arrived when we had to aceept
one of two alternatives-a dissulution of the existivg naion between Upper and Lower Canda. or some farger sctreme such an that now e citemplated by the people of these propioces. I should also have stated at some lengt my reasuns, it me had to decide between these ilternatives fur opposing the former. I believe-and I think hon menthemen almo t unauimousy in thes House ggree with we - that the union existing betweea' these provinces it the present time has: 'n all its imfortant bearings, mose than rabized the unot sar guine auticiputions of tos who were csacrued is bringiug it abicut: We"hare senen since the union auncreade in the pipulation, revenue and resources of these prurluces eldom "whtuessed in the histury ot any e cunty ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ We have sern twin
 and anttutums-haviar nothing on comash but ther juint all, giine to the same trown - -we hate séca thate tivo priplos rapidy bcoming ore pecput-one in varne, ove in abject, one un ading And I beluve tha io eyery'repect the union under which we new iiveraybeen a ust happyinder resulis. It had Goine t? erefire, int's thinsuliject, as I mixivally interided, I who have stated
 And I huilu have stated, us Iow ntate. that if I had had to give ang vote whather the cuntretion hetw en these two provinces shouth $r$ main. or whe ther st shuald be dis sulved, and we should gaback to the state forparare ceistrace in wheh we were be tore the uam, I , hould have beno tond fir one urge hostife to a disertution of that union or' (Hear, hear B But circunstinees. over some of whict this Huse has not com; trol," while othera are within our coutrol, tave les to a probable termantion of this debate at an equller period than I had an'. riespated and 1 will not traspas on the patiende of the Hoise, at this late hour. by detaining hon rable mesubers whth any denthened remartis In the fow fonet vationg I shall offer, I wilt confine mysell to a puference to some of the teding: tidurey of the scheme an before us il shall uyt us mainy honorable gentlemes. have diae, wo iste lengthy quatations if other men's opmiuns or comeseat an the effect of differpht system of governuent in - ather countios: I will confine wyelf to what I con-ider, from the bect means of in formation I can obtain, the probable ettect and bearing of this proposed scheme upon. ourselves. (Hear, hear:) I agree with
nany honorable gentlem who have preceded me, when f say that ince I first gave atteution to pubiic buitters, I have howed formard to the time when a mige iotimate coundectinn between these Britisth American Provinces weld not only be desirable, bat would become atsolutely necoss ry. I louk upon it as desirable in a moltary popt of view: and iña coma reial ponto of vievs. It. must be evilegt to anv honirible gonterian
 for the thort: petyd thit: I hive had tha hooir of a seat here- that the inpuino of the Huave of hat", ycats"has very waterally changed with refore er the defteres of the e untry.' I 'am 'athed that we haspe, irrepecthe of party. beeme mare allve to tur tury in that ropecizand the the feop'e
 sit here ar the represtation are phepared th take a an themelowther jat share of
 vince: (II ar, betr') And lame upoa thes selfore of yukn ana aug in pontant
 wedorely to en great extent, an the axistance of the homr Gacribment the the
 of us that the prevituses achaz in comert Wh ach suther, ad all acthoz $10^{\prime}$ coucert wh the home foserumet, cat or onize a ture off chice sutes of definer, thata wo could do it we revidicel mparate nod sulated Hear, hour : I b lieve the pustion should bic conoun d chatly ire a a cumen remal pint


 tethetited wif "osult truto the conctumion of the Itactophial Rutway A'rewarket ior our cememiriet wil be "peoded up by the reminat ut the harseres to trade which

 privices shmuld be treatat un evtreted, ! an bearily a tavire of the coontraction of this rulway" Att ination that upion these peocral prociphs lan in avor of the buion it there prowences 1 miag aborstate that had I wy chace and were my wote to decide the fucstion, I would say "g ye uwa"tenglativo uniun.' becau-e I b here wh whit have, for 'irseffect, the brineing of all the colonists tince immediately into entret and contic tion, whth ach ohther, revilerna wur interests tuach more idoptical ifan by the Federal plan- But I isfer, from the speeches made odi the fluor of thes House at the opeaing of
this debate, by honorable gevtlemen who were delegates to the Conterence held in this city, that the tw' sctemes were dis. cussed in that Conference-the Leyislative and the Federal-aind that the former was found to be impracticable. ${ }^{\prime}$ It is, therefore, not pussible for us bow to decide the question in tasur of a legislifive union, We have evidence betore us that is satistactory to my mind, that mobebly all of the other provinces would reluse to take part in a legistative union. The He nerable AttorgeyGeneral, West, in his sperch at the opraine of the debate, jave us 'ufficietit iutiorination od th: point to couvince the House that this question bad bera fully decnsed in the Coufereace, and the lepislative plat rejected, on account of its being mpracticible ". For thas reasum, sir, believiog that the chuice belore us is either to accept Federal union or seject the proposal eutireis, I give 45 asseat to the present scheme whout hesitation. (Hiear, hear.) It is brought as one wi the strongest argudents, azamst this union that the Federal ' Coreroment will be far more expensice than our prexat sys-" tem... That unay be truc to some cixtent; but my impressiua that it will not be fuad true to the ristent represouted. We must bear in imind that we bave in encli' proviace a Gurirniment fully constitutid. with all the machinety oecesary for carry-
 the new mechucis repuired would be viry litele, and wriult unume mofly to the local lefistatures for Ipper, and Lorfer Canada: Cpan thess geteral pituepter thon, I must say that I shall guve my adbesion to the
 W. 11 requarked by the hun un niber tor Dus: das (Mr. J is. Ross) the languge 4 Which the veheme has been laid betore us must prove sety ane prable to all who are io favor of a uniuu nuct à that propesed. The geutiemen conpisint the c"stiference could not have ased lasouge asori atceptable to ne that that to which the tirst resolution is: conched, exept in the use of tae word "Federill", iustead" of "Legistative," The resolution reads -

The best interests and present and future pros: peaty of Britutí South Ame wa withe promuled by a Fuderal umbon uider the Crowa of Gieas. Brtantit provided uch a union can be effected on praciples jast to the seve.al proviaces.
Nom, sir, I am pre ared to say bere, and I chint I but echo the vuice of every hon,
gentleman present, that all the people ack is that the union be based upon prideciples just to the several provinces. (Hear, heur.) We ask iothing more Again, sir, the language cmployed io the third resolation is most satisfactory :-

In framing a "Constitution for the General. Government, the Conference, with a view to the perpetuation of the connection with the Mother Country: \&c, to the promotion of the best interests of the people of these provinces, dedire to follow the mudel of the British Constitution, so far as our circumstances will perait.
Surely, sir, we all agree that no better model cain be found, wrabetter systemiof gaverament followed, than that of the British Constitatigii: (Hcar, hear:) Oue of the features of this schenue that comuend itself yerongly to uy approbation is te marked distioction betwein the system that is submitted to us, and that which is in existence in the neighboring republic. $1^{\circ}$ beliese that to a great extent we mag trace the unfurtunate dificulties that exist in that countryto the absurd ductrine of stite rights.. In Itead of their Cental Covernment having, in the frest instauco suprewe power, and delegating certan powera to the local or state goverawents, the reverse is the principle on which their Constitution is foupued. Their local. governmeats posses's the principal power; atid have delegated certain powers to the General Guversmétst. In the scheme submitted to us, I au happy to ubserve that tho prinepal auid supreme' power is placed in The hands of the Geueral Guverunicnt, and that the powers deputed to the luca! government, are of a fimited character. Hear; hear.) I am glad alse to alserve that in the propused organzatigo of the General Legis. "fature of the unter providees, that jueation Which has bo lang ayitated the prople of Canda-represcotation by populition-is in-n-fairmay of being sati-factorily solred. It is proposed that in the General Legislature, or House" of Comumas as it it to be callea, exach protivee shall be represciated in-accordauce wuth ite population, thereby removing that mich $h$ is been so long a strurce of agitation in Epper Canada, and of rexition to Lower Canada, and which has led'tu the discussivo of the scheme now betore the Huase. Io refereuce to the orgnazation of the Legislative Council, I may say that I have always been tound among those who upposed the introduction of the elective prunople into the constitation of that body.
in this province and $I$, therefore,' find no difficulty in giving my hearty alssent to the chan we now proposed. I have always beliered, and I still belere that we could not expect tuo branches of the Legislature, owiog their aristence to the same suree and being flected by the sime elisy of voters, th Work in baraony ior auy length of tivie (Hear, hear , It may bexalled a rutrograde nowetient. yet I can heartily yseat to it. becanee, in zoy finum. is plades thinge where they stould bate been left. In pressing upa this House the adoption or rejection if these resolutions as a whole I believe the hovernareat as "actuated by the best motherstad thatet it them duty to doso.. But whilst 1 am prepare ! tu pive my sote the that direc tion, I a.e alse quite whlling to adnit the foreegt the nbjections arged bs the Colonial Secretary in thas despateth to che Goverar General if the 3rty Deceniber la the on relation to the con tituten of the F pper House, so far as the limiting of the number of ment. bers is coneerned. If for one athuyy' there Is no doubt that these resolutions ivill br pasised by this House precerly in the form in which they have been subturttad io us, ani quati- couteat that the Interal Parhas
 or ans ither reppet, as they cousider amessary, arl 1 ,hall bus with bery preat actis: factigu as such mendmenta, flear, hear:" There are two or three pu shiu- as enturethon wh the- reolution- upow which $t$ desire u. itfer a teivemark.. One of them, is that of eduratio. W, bave alredy had,
 said on thes subject: I wull sminh ay, as one ot these why gave effect by ny vote to the prexent law or Cpict Canada for the estabhishiciet of separate schundo that in'
 the mintrity ut onc section ot the privituce what I concerved the uinorigy ot tho other sective were entitled w, thus divita juntice to. all. It gives me therifure, griat sutiofaction to oboerve the reechinnau in theore res. lutious of the priacpule thate the noften the the minorities, th each section; with respect fo
 I confers that if I were hviog fa Lower Canada, I shivild ayt feel that I was beagg justly treated in betag rilled upon to contribute by taxation to the support of secho ls to which 1 could not: cou: scienthunly send my childreon. (Hear hear.) I have the sathetaction of buowiog that, after givaug my vote upon the last Sequarate Sehonl.

Bill, and goiny back to my constituents; they were fully satisfied with the explanation [ gare them, and my action was eudorsed by theu. Another quastion that I look upop as of very great comportance to these colonies, is not dealt with vi thesi resolutions in that usurer to which its importuce entitles it. I reter to the managevent and sale of our Crown lauls.' I am very sorny to abserve that they are to be wutided to the cootrol of the lueai legastares. I. beliere that if, In uny whe quatini more than athetter, the Governuent ot this province have failed in their duty iu tiank past, it is in the manayewent of the triwn latily The complaiot 1 have to make is that they have not made nae of those laud a entablishing a wise and hiberal syteui of iminigratiun, by offring theta free to all whi, would cone and sétle upon them - It catinat bat be bumiliating to eviry persun havins a ptike in this prönce to observe the torrents of manigration that pour trom the rinther country: math tice testhburng repubhe, abd espece ally so whey they es them posoity through the whele leisish of Caudia by multitudes to they Westerin stutes. "Mear, hest, We have, iu timen pasta taled to hold out sued induce: nents ass whe wop that tide if inimgrat tion trum flinwag, pher un I tear that by foasing thow hanis ra the handr of the local hegislature, the tmanitation questiva will, bredeate with, dituture, wa the sade marryw: sporio in wheh it hat beed trented in times Pot. I'wu'd have becu very highiy pleased it I mind houl torward tu the future with the hope that inar Gether il hereghature would adopt alarge, ethehterned. and liberal setheme of amaigration, seqding their ageats to all the European ports frum which the Jargent tade of igmarrathen setis in, tor the purpose of explatioug to the people the advanduges thry could derive trour sethlias in these prip yuces. 1 lam , thenfore, very surry to seo that the dilegates were ,obliged to make the arraig guictit they have made with reference to thit impertant y'estion. (Hear, hear.) Nuw, sir, iu, referever 'the andther of the yuestions chbraced it these resolutions, theugh not fortunga part is the proposed Coustitution, I ym prepared to admit here that uy upiniona have undergone as very waterial change since 1 first catue into this House I refer to the eoustructon of the Intercolouial, Railvay. I cinie here, itu
 purtion of the expense of wous:ructiag that roud. I belseved, at that time, that it
construction would be of no adrantage to Canada; but the course of events has convinced me that the time has now arrived when we should take upon outselves our fair share of the expense of constructing tbis important work. It cannot be satisfactory to any Canadian, on going to Netv Bruuswick or Nova Scotia, to find that he is a stranger in a strange country, and a mong a people who, though living so close to Canada, bare no commercial intercourse with $\rightarrow$ us. Athough they are neighbors of ours', in ope respect, yet they are neighbors with whom we have no intercourse. It is very desirable that the barriers to our intercourse should be remored, and the construction of the Intereolonial Railtray is, in my opinion, the only effectial means of removing them. Mr. Spenker, it has been argued by a great many of those who have taken part in debating this subject that this House is assumiag for itseli' a power that it does $\ddagger o t$, or ought not to possess, in disposing of the question without subaitting it to the popular will. . It is said that before these resolutions take effect an expression of putic opinion should be hat thruigh a general election. Some of those hoporable gentepen who have taken this, pesition have stated as a reason fur alruearing that course that the public mind wis nut jet properly informed as to the efect of the pruposed change, and that, therefore, time. should be given uatil publie opinionts pre pared to deede uponit. But with a strange inconsistèney those same geatemen are tlouding this House with petitions, frum the electors, nut auking for delay, nut asking furfurther time to consider the matied, but asking that the sehome be not adopted.: They in effect shuw by their petitions that they have cousidered the subject-that they kuw ail about it-that their opiaists are tully form: edi-and that the measure ought not to be adopted. Either the public mint is tully ripe for the adoptiun or rejection of the sebeme, or else those clecturs are sighing petitions without havíng sufficient in:ora ation ou which to base the opininas they es: prese. But, sir, there is such a thiug as sbitainitg public opiaion on alinost nay guestion, and very carrectly too, without gining to the pulls; and, for wy part, I tiad elections very inconvenient. (Hear, hear, and laughter.). I believe the majority of the electors of Upper Canada hare real those recolutions, and understand them about as Weil as many members of this House ; and,
sir, we have crery reason to believe that the sentiment of Upper Canada" at least is largely in favor of the adoption of this scheme, (Hear, hear.) I took occasion "to consult my constitucats before coming to this House on the present occasion. I held meetings in the various municipalities in the sounty, and I believe, sir, according to the best of my ability, I did submit this schene to the electers of that portion of the provinec. :I have hcard a grod deal said here about the importance of having the question subnitted to a vote of the peple.
Mn: M. C. CAMEROA-I would like to ask the gentlenian whether he laid any figures belore his peopie to shew the difference betreen the cost of a Legislative and a Rederal union. Culess he dia, that, the people were not iu a position to espress'an opinion as to what way best fur their interests.

Mr. IVALSIL- $i$ did not submit figures to shem the difference in cunt between a Federal or Leqislative union, for the simple reason that until the organizativn of the local governuents is decided upon, it is nut pussible to gine reliable firures, and I chertior think the fuple ass comptent to make calculations on this subject as myself or cy hon. friend, lawyer though he be. (Hear, hear.) 1 may say, however, in answer to the bon. gentlema, that I did state to my toustituents that the resolutions now under consideration phace in the habds of the present Lestature the preparation of the ecostitutions and the organization and composition of the local governments of lpper and Lorer ciniday and that as the Federal, tovernment is to pay an annual subsidy of ciglity ceuts per, head of its pupulation to the respective provinices, furcarrying su their local goveruments and the constructiva of loc, 1 works, any sum required overand abuve that subsidy must necessarily be raised by direct taxation, and in that fust wè have the strongest posible guarante that in the arragements made by this Lepislature, and in the subequest matagement: of their dumestic affiars by the local goyernments, the strictest simplicity und ecunomy will be observed. (Hear, hear.) So much, Mr. Spesken, in answer to the question of the hou: gentieman, The difference between us on this question being that whilst we are both adrueates of a legislative union, he will accept none uther, I, believing that unattainable at present, am prepared to accept
the system now proposed, hoping that the experience of the people will soon induce themi to agree to the abolition of the lucal governments, and the adoption of the legislative system. (Hear, hëar.) I may addand I do so with great personal satisfactionthat the meetings which were" held in my own county were largely in favor of the scheme, and that resolutions apprcving of it were moved and seconded, in almost every instance, by persons" of different political opinions. (Hear, hear.) . I was going on to remark, that it is said by many members of this House that the scheme could not be submitited to the people, because the Government in sending the resolutions to the mem; bers of the Legislature marked them "Prirate:" Now, sir, I managed to get orer that dificulty without trcuble, A copy or the resolutions was sent to me, and as I was precluded from makiog use of them in that form withoot riolating the confidence reposed in ne, I turned to the newspaper version of the same resolutions, and finding it to be a verbatim copy of the original, When I attended my metings I read tro the newspaper and not from the pricate document itself (Hear hear, aud langhter.) I think other hon. geatlemêd might have takeut the same course whth safety to themselves and protit to their constituents: (Hear, hear.) Without wishing to detain the House longer, I shall conient myself by simply espressing my regret that on a ques. tion of such paramount importance- a ques. tion wheh towers in magontude above all others that have ever come before this House -a question which not simpty affects Cavada; but the whole British North A merican Pro-vinces-a' question which dues note only interest us, but will be felt in its influence. upon future generations-I have, I say, to express my deep regret that such a question sthould not have been treated apart from party feeling, party prejudices, and a uestre for party triumph. (Cheers) Our object in cunsidering thiso subject should not beto pat one party out of office and another party in; but to determine what will most conduce to the present and future prosperity of the British North American Provinces. (Hear, hear.) It is a matter of indiffereace to me, so far as it affect this question, who occupy the seats on the Treasury beuchrs. I look upon this question irrespective of payty feelings. From the present pasitinio of these provinces, I think it is our duty and our interest alike to give effect to these resolu-
tions so far as we can do so. If they fail through the action of the Lower Provinces; we shall not be respunsible. If we beliere that the resolutions will be conducive to our interests, we are bound to sustain the hon. gentlemen who agreed to them as a busis of union. Believing this to be the proper course to be pursuicd, I shall, as I hare already said, have great pleasure in giving them my support. (Hear, hear.). There is just one other remark that I may perhaps be permitted to refer to, which fell from the hon. niember for North Waterloo (Mr. Bowman), that I decidedly dissent from. The hon. gentlemen spoke of this scheme as one which, if adopted, would conduce to independence, I must object to that vier beirig taken of it If I thought that the adoption of the scheme now before us could in any respect have the effect of severing these colonics from the Mother Country, whatever the consequences "might be, I should have no hesitation in giving my vots against it. I believe there is nothing more ardently to be dësired-no greater glory attainable than for these colonies remaining for all time to come, as we are now, dependencies of Great Britain.

Hon. Mr. COCKBURN-The honorable meniber for North Waterloo referred to it as a means of maintaining or independeace against the CVited States.

Mr. WALSH-I do yot desire to mis. represent the hon. gentleman, and am oflad to hear that I have misconceived the tean of his remarks. Mr Speaker; I have detained the House longer than I purposed doing whei I rose I have touched very briefy on some of the general features of the scheme; ; but I have not occupied valuable time in quoting authorities, or in reading passages illustrative of the pust politicat histury of hon. geatlemen on either side, : It tuatters very little to me, in consideriag this question, what ecertain hon: geatiemen thought twelve months ago about representation by pipulation or any other subject. This is a question to be decided by itself and upon its own merits; and believing that the adoption of this scheme, so far as we in Capada are concerned, will be franghe with great benefits to ourselves: as well as to those who may come after us, I repeat that it will afford me great pleasure in' giving my support to the resilutions. (Cheers.)

Mr.GIBBS said-MrSpeaker, in rising at this late hous, I feel, in commun with
many hon. members who have preceded me, that the debate has been sufficiently protracted, and should be brought to a close as speedily as possible. Nevertheless, as a member lately elected to represent a wealithy and popuıous constituency, largely engaged in commercial, manufacturing, and agricul: tural pursuits, $I$ deem $i$ : my duty to state my views on the proposed univa of the British North American Provinces, now under the consid ration of this House. In my opinion, sir, the gent'emen who occupy the Treasury banches deserve credit for the earnest and energetic manner in which they have applied themelves to carry out the pledges which they gave the country during the course of layt summer. (Hear, hear.) I look upon it that the vote about to be taken is a foregone conclusion, and, for all practical purposes, might as well have been taken as soon as the resolutions had been : read and spoken to by the Hon. Altorney General

- "West." I have remarked, sir, that almo $\%$, every hon, member that has spoken has expressed hiouself as fovorable to a union of yome kind or other with the Maritime Provinces.' When the delegates from the eastern provinces met at Charlötctown, Prince Edward Island, they contemplated alegislative uaion among themselves; but when invited to visit Quebec for the purpose of holding a confurence with a riew to a union of the whole of the colisites, the Federal principle was sustituted for the Legislative, Lower Canada and the eastern provinces votiog as a unit for it, while the members represeatiog Canada West.were divided, the Hon. Attorney General Weast preferring a Legislative union, and the Hon. President of the Council a Federal one. The subject of "Union of the Provinces". has been dooked apon with favor, not only by our own stateswen, who have of late years regarded it as a measare calculated to remove the difficulties which have surrounded the legislation of the couutry, but by leading statesmen of Eagland ns well, who view the proposal favorably, as being the measas of building up, a great natiun, aud also of " preserving unoparchical iastitutions on this continent. (Hear, hear.) Mr. Speaker, whatever may have been the points of difference which gave rise to the lengthened cliseussions of the Conference, there was one upon which, judging by the speeches of the delegates, and also from the resolutions thenselves, there was perfect unanimits-ithat of loyalty
and attachment to the Throne of Great Britain. (Hear, hear.) One would have thought it unnecessary to incorporate such a sentiment in the resolutions, yet the first of the series gives utterance to it and is thus expressed :-

> The best interests and present and future pros: perity of Br'tish North America will be promoted by a Federal union under the Crown of Great Britain.

With regard to the future of this proposed union, it is curious to note what is said and written in reference to it, some urging that its inevitable result will be a separation from our present happy connection with the Mother Country, and ultimate independence: while another class, equally confident, declares that it will lead to annexation with the United States, (Hear.). Whatever the ultimate fate of such a union may be, it is conceded by all parties-that there esists a necessity for a change of some kind in the political relations existing between Upper and Lower Canada, and it is gratifying to reflect that an expedient has been devised for allaying the rancourous party spirit that has been too frequently exhibited on the floor of this House. (Hear, hear.) We may congratulate ourselves, sir, that while our republican neighbors are eugaged in bloody strife, one portion spilligg its best blood in order to obtain a new Conititution. we can discuss the propriety of making a change in our own, which has not heen iuaptly termed a." bloodless revolution,", without let or hindrance, but on the contrary with the full consent and authority of the power to which we owe alhegiance, (Il ear.) The provisions of this new Constitation have been. videly disseminated, and in some sections thorofighly discussed. In the riding which 1 have the honor to represent, public attention was drawn to Confederation during the recent election, and I am fully jusstified in statiag, that with a few exceptions here and there, there were nat to be found many disšentients to it. (Hear, hear.) It is true that upon oue or two oceasions there were found leading men who took the ground that they did not think it desirable to enter into this union, but such iustances were rare. One of these gentlemen, the reeve of one of the most important townships in the riding, attended a meetiag, where be met a large number of the electors; but after he had delivered his address, he could not find one to
respond to the sentiments he had expressed. (Hear, hear.): Another gentleman ${ }_{2}$ an ex reeve and an ex-niember or Parliamentalthough lie never had the honor of taking his seat in this House-also addressed a large meetine, but with the same result as in the previous case. The only oppasition which was unnifested throughuat the contest was not to the "scheme itself", but to points of detail Hear, hearo The Constitution the Legislative Council was the principal one refirred to, any opponent contending that the Upper House should continue an elective body, as at prosent, iostead of being a nominated chamber, as it is proposed to make it. I can sustain the view taken by thè Hon, lrasident of the Council in his openixg sddress the other evening, when hesaid he rould sot hesitate to go into hiny liberal eotstitueney in Western Canada and obtam then sanctiva to the principle. (Hear; hear.) Such at all events. was the result in South Optario. I am free to admit that a change was not asked for in the constitution of the Legislative Council; but although the resolutions make the change; thiore is a fecling abroad in the country that on this acount the scheme as a whole shouli fiut be rejected. (Hear, hear.) Whenevir a point was attemrted to be made aysinne nie that I was endeavoring: by my advecacy of the nominative prixeiple, to build up an aristocracy in this country, and that the result would be the locking up of the lands of the province in the hands of a priviliged ciass 1 replied that such had not been the cave in the past, and that in a country like ours such conld. never be its results; and 1 further stated that the leader of the Reform party, the Hon. President of the Council, had himselt stood almost alone on his side of he House in 1850, in resisting the change, from the nowiaative to the elective priuciple. My desire, sir, is tol see the unien cerried out oully on a fair and equitable bais, and this, 1 think, is likely to be atinimed in the manuer proposed for the assumption by the Central Coverument (at $\$=5$ yer head) of the debes or portion of debts for whict each province is now liable. 1 regret, however, that so high a figure as 80c. per head has been fixed upou as the subsidy to the local legispatures, for I fear the revenue will her so large that taken in connection تith th. rev nuts derivable from local sourees, the surplus, after deiraying the expenses of zuvermaent, may induce that extravagance which has beef so frequently
deprecated in the past, and which by this arrangement may be continued in the future: I have taken some pains, sir $_{i}$ to, ascertain what will be the probable position of Upper Canada under the arrangement as proposed, and 1 find that its revenue and probable ex penditure will be abou' as follows:-


In this statement I have wot included the Municipalify tund, as the receipts are diss tributed the tullowing year amonyo: the municipalities:" Estimatiog the expense of the Local Government at $\$ 150,000$, we have a balance of 8475000 per annum for locat purposes. I regard the sabsidy ins altogether too large, and shall hope to see it very materially reduced. (Hear, hear:), My hen. friend from Yorth Outario, upon the hypithesis that the Maritime Provinces euntribate one-fith of the revenue of the proposed Confederacy, and the balance by the Canadas, in the relative proportivia of tive dollars by Upper Canada to vede dullar by Lower Canada, foands an argament thereon, shewing that each additional representative gained for Upper Canada will cost $\$ 17,000$. Now, Mor. Spraker, Lapprehend that when the union is accomplished and the duties equalized; this seeming objection will; to some extent at least, be removed, for it is well known that the Maritime Provinces consume much more largely of imported
goods, per head, than we do. (Hear.) But let this principle be extended to county and township matters, add it-would necessitate appropriations to the wealthier townships, in the proportion each contributed to the revenue of the county-a principle which has never been contended for, and facts will go to show that it is seldom done even upon population, 'as is proposed by this scheme. But as it was necessary to establish some basis for contributing to the expenses of the local governments, without compelling them to resort to direct taxation, I think the principle adopted, "that of population, is not uajust. (Hear, hear.) Again, it is argued that as Canada West contributes in the proportion already alluded to, that in the payment of subsidies she will contribute miore than her fair proportion in the proposed Confederacy. To this I reply, if the hypothests that the proportion which Upper and Lower Canada respectively contribute to the general revenue be correct, and that the subsidy should be based upou revenue and not population, then undoubtedly the arizumeat is a good one. Bat, sir, let us see if the propused arringement is not a great improvement on the present method of distributiag the publie fuuds. It is well known, sir, that the complaint which Upper Canada has made in the past was that the appropriations were made, not upon revenue, nor even according to pupulation, but in utter disregird of both. Under the system which bay hitherto prevailed for dividing money graiuts, of the proposed subsidy to the twa Canadas ( $\$ 2,045,403$, or 80 cts. per head),

Upper Casiada would have re
ceived one-half $\qquad$
Whereas, according to popu:
lation
$1,116,572$

- Differences in favor of the proposed system over tho old om . 514,171 As the moneys have been distributed equally in the past between Upper and Lower Canada, I maintain that the balance of the public debt, say $85,000,000$, to be apportioned between them, should be divided in the same way, and not, as proposed by the Hon. Finảnce Minister; on population. But it is said the sicheme will lead to extravagance. I had hoped, Mr. Spraker; that an alliance with the fragal and thrifty population of the eastern provinces would in= duce the very opposite, and lead to greater economy in the publio expenditure "than sve
have had in the past. (Hear, hear.) With reference, sir', to the cost of the local governmenta, that subject has been left in the hands of the local legislatures entirely, the resolutions shewing whence their income shall be derived, and what the subsidy shall be without compelling a resort to direct tazation. I claim for this scheme, Mr. Spegaker, that it will give us national inportance. (Hear.) But here again it is objected that to obtain this we must have a vast population.' When the colonies now forming a portion of the American union severed the connection from the parentstate, their population was set down at $2,540,400$, and although an impression has very generally obtained that they have increased in population faster than we have, an examination tinto the facts slows that such is not the "case-for" in 1860 their population reached $30,000,000$, an increase of 1,200 per ecnt; while ours in the same period had increased from 145,000 in 1784 , to $3,000,000$ in 1861, or verer 2,500 per ceat. (Hear.) Confederation, sir, vould give us nationality -F speak of British nationality-a nation created from the fraguentary portions of the provinces of Brikain on this continent, but still retaining its allegiance to the British Crown.' Thea, sir, 't is claimed that the commercial" advantages "which' may arise from Confederation of the provinces can as readily be obiained by a Legisiative as a Fcleral union: This is admitted; but as that is not obtainable, and as a union would remove the barriers to commercial intercourse and foster the trade between the colonies (cach of which now effects more exchanges with the United tates than with all the rest of the proviaces), it is desirable that the uniou should take place. (Ilear.) This leads mes, sir, to reuark upon theprobable abrogation of the Reciprocity treaty. The conatry will be glad to know, from the annonacement made to the House on Monday last; that the Ministry is alive to the importance of enteriag into immediate ne gotiations, through the English Goycrument, with that of the United States, for tho renewal of this treaty: (Hear, hear.)' I an not of the number who believe that the advantages aceruing from this treaty have been all ou the side of Canada; for, from the statements lately published, it appears that the whole trade of 1854 was... $9.4,400,001$ And in 1863
$43,000,000$
Ain increase in ten years of
nearly 180 per cent
$\$ 19,000,000^{\circ}$
The exports from Canada to the
United States amounted in
ten years to
$\$ 10,000,000$
Imports into Canada in do ... $195,000,000$
$\$ 45,000,000$
The difference in favor of the United States being paid in gold.
In 1804 free goods imported
into Canada from the United
States amounted tó
$8-000,000$
And in 1863 . ............... $19,000,600$
Increase ín ten years 850 per ct $\$ 17,000,000$
I do not fear, sir, but that the treaty will be renewed; exilightened counsels will prerail, and, with the better teeling existiag betreca the two countries, the subject will be taken up in a proper spirit, and legishated upon accordingly. (Hear:) The construction cf the Intercolonial Railway is said to kie a necessity of the proposed union, and without it there can be no union except in name. Calculationtis have been made which show that this road cannut be used for earrying heavy merchandise at remunerative rates, mote eqpectally firsur, which it has been shiva woud co $t^{\prime} \$ 2=5$ per barrel from Torunto to Halifay, at two ceots per tims per mile. The Grand Truat Railiw y now carries fluar from Tomnio to Montreal for $\because 5$ cevits per barrel duridg winter, atort at the same rate ubarrel of thont muld cont $\$ 1: 2$. If this could be dove, the differeace in cost between wititer rates and shipping cäthest. Lawrence in summer, aṭ $\$ 5$ ceuts, per bagrel, would be made up in a suvine ut starane. interest, and insurance: Then there is the mintary aspect ot the subject, which hay already been thoroughly diseussed I conitend sir. that union with the Maritime Proviaces not only allies us more closely to them and to each other, but also to that poxer which alove could roader uns aid whenever subjected to attack ; and, regardid foum this point of view, this railroad is said to be a necessity. Lord Dumam, in his reportosaid:-

[^15]more obviousls than between the whole of these colonies:
(Hear, hear.) In conclu ion ; sir, if we rejeet the proposed union, what is offered as a substitute?: In the absence :of anything better which will settie our existiog dificulties, shall we reject the opportianity now presented and that may never recur? Kather let us, as members of the same family, unite for weal or for woe. By it we secure enlarged commercial intercourse, areater security in case of ättack, a remedy for the existing dif. ficulties between Upper and Lower Canada, and alio render more lasting the conneotion now existing with the Moiber Country. (Hear.) While in favor of this measure, but believing that it should be subimitted for the approval of othose who are to be affected by the contemplated change, I shall feel it to be my duty in the first instance to vote against the " previous question," in order that such an amend anent may be put, resers: ing the right to sote for the ameadment of the hon. "uember for Peel, when that shail coue up for diecussion, its object beiog to suba it the question for popular sanction. (Cheers.) It this, bowever, whall fail, shall vote, Mr. Speaker, fur the resolution noiv in your hatids.

The uebate wias then audjourned.

Thursday, March $9,1895$.
Ma D. FORB JUNES regumed the mijpurned debaten Ee said-1 rise, N: PEAKER, to address the House of the resolutions which you hold in your haud in faver of a Cuafedriation of all the Proviaces of Brition Nurth Am-rica. I feel that the question is one involving auch very great meterests "involving a change in the whule Constitution of the country and involring consequences iwhich may pluage us into great difficulties, of whoh nuay have the very opposito effect - that 1 feel preat diffidence and embarrasstuent in approaching it. But 1 feel it is'a duty 1 owe to myself and to those who sent mu here, that I should express iny opiuions on this proposed union, befure I record my vote on the resolutions now befure the llouse. I desire to do this, betause I caunut give my approval to the whole scheque, some of its details teiay auth that I cannot support them.

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-Hear, hear.
Ma:JONES-The way in which I' look at this question doss not at all depend on whether this hon.., gentleman or that hon: gentleman may be at the head of affairs in this country; or whether we may have $\mathfrak{b}$ Coalition" Government or a purely party Government; but I consider we shifould look at the sheme on its ewn merits, and deal with it as a whole, giving a fair and square vote on the resolutions as a whole. (Hear, hear.) I thiak therefore, that the cuurse which has been taken by the Governmentit to obtain such a vote is the wise and houest course. (Hcar hearc) I think they decefve "redit for the step they have taken with a view to briogitig this debate to a close. We . have been debating this question diy ufter day for a number of weetey, ana I must say that the opposition given by hon gentemen on the other side has been of a very fistious character; time ofter time they have rifen to makio motions on this that, and the other thing, keeping the House trom addressing itself to the matter roally noder debate, aud profractiagnumecesamy the decision of the yiu stion. Only the bight b fore last, when an hisn geotleman had nien fur "the purpose of addresuing the House, they gried put that it way too lite, a dealled for ain adjourmant of the debate; aud yet, when that was agrect to, they wasted two our three hours" in movian addi. than to that motion for adjurnment." This waydone, wo by hon pentlenaro who were well conversant with the rules ar this House. and who mut have bnown that thesentions were not in order. At midnight they wre $t 00$ tired to allow the debate to gio on, and yet they kept the Ilouse sitting after that till three in the inarnings, diseassing taere poines of order (Hear, hear.). Th t has beea the courve purutied by hon. fenthe "mea uppoitites Aat what, on the other hand, ha* beea the eourne puraded by the Didmin.

 of liug. genthemen appratie provented frona beine put to the vote- -4 give further the for the dise cusion of that ynestion, by resolving that instead of its being taken-up at halt-past sevea, it ahould betaken up at three, the whole tine of the House being devoted io it? W"e have been debating the guestisn for wecks, and though hon gentemea opposite havo ba ni in their plaves they have not propused a single amendment. And yet, after this had gove ou for such a leagti
of time, so soon as the "previous question" is noved those hong gentlemen get up and cry out that they are gagged. Even after the House began to discuss the question at. three o'clock, these hon gentlemen day after day wasted the time by getting in one side. wind after another, in order to create delay, to see if something might not turn up against the schenue. Nuw, at last; they have got momething: Something has turned up in New Bruasmick, and I suppose they will now permit us to come to a rote. (llear, hear.) In discussing this question, I do not se? any necessity for going bakk eight or ten years to the speeches "of hon" nembers:" 1- do not see why leagthy extracts should be read to shew that the hon. member for Montmorency opposed the union of the provinces ia 18 , or that the hom member for Hochelaga, at that time, was in favor of it: I do not see what that has to do with the question before us. It is now submitted in a practical form for our decision, and what we have to do is tu give a square vote, y ya or nay, that we are intavor of this Confeteration, or that wo are agamet it, Our cireatances have chansed within the last fow years ; but it is now on thataccount merely that I now support this uniou. I have almays, upon every oceaslou, on the huitingt, at public weetings and elyewhere, isdrocated a union ot the British Nurth American. Provisces : and wete our relations with the tinted states in the same favorable form that exist d some tive or "ir "y cars siace," I would still give ing support to a union , It is, therefore, sir, not beeause I thint there' is a great present necesyity for the schecue being brought to a speredy conclusion that. I now support it. That preseut necessity, however, now ezists, and I do noit see why other hon. genslemen, after a lupse of five or six years, when tinies have chagged, and a greater urgency has ariseo fur such a mion, should not be allowed so chauge their minds. "Wise men ehange their minds: fools have no mituds to cha ge". (Hear; hear.) Shortly before the meeting of this House, I advertised that I wiuld hold a series of meetiags in the riding of South leeds, for the purposo of placing my views upha this question befure my constituents, and to see whether their views aecorded with. my own; min of all shades of polities wire requested to atcend these mectinis; and they were very namerounly and re-pentably attended, not only by those tho supported tue, but also by those who were my most bitter opponents at the last
election. And at all of these meetings, some six or seven, not a single roice was raised against the union of these provinces. with the "Provinces of Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, Yewfoundland, and Yrince Edward Hand. "All appeared to think such a. union advisable and necessary; not only fir commercial purposes. but "hecause it. would tend to streoythen the ties that bound an the the Mother Country. It has been said that this union has never bed before the foph, that it haw never been a test question at the polls;" Nur, sir, so long aqo as the yar 1826 , this union was adrocated ty Sir Iony Bryerizy Robixoos, une of the mast abl han thit cuntry has cyep producel; subsequently on differentoccaunas, it was adverted toby Lord Derna win his celebrated repert-akabe the Mritish Amernan leantue: fresided over ty the fate lamented Hon: Cifo. Moffart of Montreal, and latterly in that despate to the home Gevernment in Ocember, $1 \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{x}$, wer the sishatures of the Hon: Mesers "Catribi, lisit and Ross Whymetion was net tahen apon that derpate 1. manot say ; leave this matter ia we hund of then what that time aingenisterad the aftirn of this country, ade she are reven-iby for the conte the phond in athwine to to be droppede sir, the manh of thoe prusinces wonld, in my hamble Qunime lo uf the very preatotadantame
 cand bith weaken, ha he ba wad by its oppsinems, the the that bind us the the
 in the eyso of the wordd Inteat of beto Noretalsmall. digjonted and fracmentary pres vinces as wayso ally expresed in the Specech from the Throne'ne whuld form one wreat nationalty, with a population to bergin with of mosly tu00,014 penple, which would place ny among the llat of the tirst countrisy of the world. Mear, hear) It would terill to sirenuthen our securitio both prese and in the Morher counery: Intead if otemen $k$. and wor bond bente quotedan if by sebilent wo the Stwek Exchange in lonion, they woyte be lowhed for daily, and soughe after It whuld give us an: ine reaged market fir our produce and our manitactures, and it would teod more that angthing eloe to
 thores ( Hfar, hear.) Now the emiprant in coming to Americs is proplex d tu hunw to whin of the difentut provinces he shall go, and when her qeas wot wing th Americs, the ouly place he thats of is New Yurh.

It would create a daily line of steamships from the different points of Europe to Halifax, the nearest point and shortest sea rogage to this country-and with the Intercolo-: nial Kailway to bring the emigrant directly through to Canada, who will say that we shall not have a tide of emigration to our shores such as we can scarcely imagine? The only cmigration we now have is that. induced to cone by friends who have made this country a home and have prospered: These, sir, are the reasons from a political point of view, why I support the resolutions now in your hand. Apd, sir, in speaking in a commercial sense and as' a commercial inan, they shall also have my full añd hearty support:, (Hear, hear.) 'Dones ans one pretentto say fat bif the addition of acerly a million of inhabitants to these poriaces, a thitty ani intelligont people, that thus coumity whil not be made more prospetans ? ones any, one pretend to ar that by taking away the barriers that

 Fill not be adianced? Will we nothare largely increased anarkets for our manufe. tur's when those houtile toriffor diat nows meet us af essery prot in the Maritine Pruvinces, restrieting our trade with them, are remured St Vill we un have an in. remed market for wur prouce when tre are:linked topether by the Interentomal Maitraid, an! when an Pue interchange of af uar enmmatios exint? Can we reman, an at present, without any highway of car iwn tw the dilantic, for trgress of curea, fir tive monthy of the yoar? "llear. heat.) When we see the huptility existing
 by the preas, the peoptr, and the Govern: tweat of the United stats:, by the enforcement of the obboxi as ianpert iydeta, by the notioe of the abrigstiog of the Reciprocity traty. 2, the anoulling of the bonding -ystim, by the note given the Govern ment of Great lintain that the treaty regarding ariaet bisety on vor takes is ow bedone away with.-when wur farmers cannot send their produce tir tive muaths of the year to a aiarket; when our merchant, for the same period, cannot get their stuch of uerchandise for the supply of the wants If the country; when we are dependent on the zenerosity of a fureign cuuntry "yen for the passage of our mails to OH Fugland-when that is our pocition, shalit it be said that this union with the lower

Provinces is, not desirable, and that we shall not, as stoun as possible, have a railroad across our territory to the Atlantic seaboard, to Halifax, one of the best harbors in the world? Shall we be indebted, be subservient to, be at the mercyeof a toreign country for vur very existence? (Hear, hear.) Sir, shall we remain dependent upon that country for al these things, or shall we not rather put our own stioulders to the "wheel, throwing off oar supiaeness and inertia, and by building the Intercolonial. Ralway,: provide au outlet for ourselves?: (Hear, hear:) And simultancously with the construction of that great work; I hold that for the benefit of the commercial interests of the country wo ought to enlarge and deepen our canals. (Hear, hear.) I desire now to read a Minute of the Excentive Council, insuted by. the Sindpield Magdonald-Dorion Government, under date 19th Fébruary, $1: 61$. It is as foblow's:-
Aharouth no formal action," indicative of the oftrenth of the partg histile to the continuance ouf thy Reciprority treaty; has yet taken place, initiraratoo of an authentic character, as to the upinimy and purposes of influential public men i.u the Uaited states, has forced upon the committee the contiction that there is $a$. minent danere of its a;ieedy abrogation, unless prorapt and Bigorous depas be taken by Her Majesty's In purial advisers', to a aiert what would be generally coinded hy the peouplo of Canada us a great calsuity.

## Aud in another place it is stated:-

Under the benefiennt oppration of the bystem of mithor vo nment, which the later poticy of the Hether Conatry bay accurded to Canada, in commas, wath the other cotumies possessing representatike insututions, combined wath the advantiges secared by the Hecipricizy treaty of an unrestricted commerce with our nearest neighibors in the natural productions of the two countries all a gitution fur organic changes : has ceused, all disgatisfaction with the existing political relogions of the proviace has wholly disappeared.

Frour this Minute it appears thy have been the opinion of the Sandereld Macdon-ald- Doaron Government that the abrogation of the Reciprocity treaty would probably bo a great calauity to this country. But I am not of that opinion, and 1 helieve that the people of this country will nevor be so reduced as to go on their tuees to pray the Government of the Uuited States to cuatinue the treaty. (Hear, bear.) Indee 1, for the past. year or two, it cunsequence of the difiereace in the
currency between the two countries, we have falt alinost' as though that treaty had been put an end to already. In consequence of the state of the currency, many of the best interests of this ceuntry have been injured, the mining interest of the province' has been rut a stop to, and the lumbering interest, one of the most important of our many important interests, crippled and paralysed. (Hêar.) What much greater injury can befall us, by the abrogation of the Reciprocity treaty, than that we now' suffer through the derangement of sthe currency? Instead of the repeal of the Reciprocity treaty being a grest calamity, it will lead to an agitation for organic changes, which cannot fail to be of the greatest adrantages to the fuyure prosperity of the country. For my part I do not at all like the idea of a document of that kind, enariating from our Canadian Góverament: falling iutu the hands of the American people, and leading them to believe that in our estimation the repeal by them of the Reciprocity sreaty would be calamituds to this country (Hear, hear) I repeat that I do not believe that the abrogation of that treaty will eventually be"detriumental to our interests. It is true that we may suffer for four or five years'; and suffer greatly, but wee will be thrown upon our own resousces, and ultimately become strong and self-reliant. Ourmerchants will no longer be denied an outlet to the ocean dipring five or six months in the year; except by the lavor or forbearance of aur Yanke neighbors. Let us put our hauds into our pockits to build this Intercolonial Railway and we will be opening a way to the occan to our merchants and our farmers for shipping their produas over their own territory: And when we are in that position, we shall be able to say to the people of the Uuited States-"You shall no longer be alluived to participate in the benetits of our fisheries-we will close the naviga-tion-of our camals against you-oñd we will cease to permit; without the payment of a heavy duty, the importation into this country of your coarse grailus for the supply of our distillers and brewers.' And, sir, when it is stated that the iuportations of these grains have amounted to nearly two millions of bushels anaually, it will be seen that aftor all the reciprocity is not altogether on one side. (Hear, hear.) I think that they will then acknuwledge it will be better for them to be on more friendly terms with this proviace, seeing that we control the navigation of the Welland and St. Lawrence canals, the
natural outlet for the products of the Westera Siates, wheh in 18 ti3 amounted to the enormous quantity of five hundred atd twenty milliou bushels of grain-they will be dependeut upon us, iustead of our relying upun thew. Compared with the St. Lawrence navigation the Ere canal is, but a ditch; and it "is elosed by the frust carlier in the season than our lake and river navigaticn.: When all these advantages which we evjoy are considered, the people of the Luited States will seè haw much better it is to live un terms of friendship and amity with us, instead of, to ase a vulyar but furcible phrase," " cutting off their nose to spite the face." (Hear, hear.) . With regard to the proposed resolu: thons. I stated ut whe cuset that there were portions of the sel me to which I objected, and I may nuw, gir, be allowed briefly to aivert to them. 1 wuald preter that the whole power was concentrated under une head by a legistitive uúiun, taherimato a federal union. I tear that the machine y will be couplex, and that we will tind, utider the proposed system, that the expensen of the diupernwent will be much greater that if we had one Lieneral lioversment without these additions of lucal legstaturestor each ot the proviaces. (Hear, hear.)' 'Mut I ain happy to say that the propesed Fedural syetem as not a reflex of the oud Federal union of the Cuted States Nutw: hatatidig sume honorable genternen have präised the federal ystem in the States as worthy of tmitatuon, Etilt I thituk our proposed systeui mucia to be preferred. It difters tu this the Eutted States Bederal system was tiormed trume a aumber of soueregg states; with nuverenga puwers, delegathug to a dentral power joist as much or as litule of their puwer as they chase ; thefeby the doctrige of state rights obtaiued; and, as we have seen withia the last four yeary, has been thé cause of blovidstied and civil war, it may be to the probable destructiovi ot that Federal univa. Uur case to exacily the reverse lastead of the Leatral Guvernment receiviag tis power from the dittereat provinees, ty gives to those povinexes just as mucti or as litele as it chenses. Hear what the toth resulutuin says-- In gegard to all subjeces in which jursdiction belongs wo buth the general and lucal, legolatures, the laws of the Geaeral Harliameat stiall coutrol and supersede thase made by the loval togistatures, and the latter shall be vuld so far as incunsistent with the turner.". This places the whole cuntrol in the hands of the

General Governments making the union as nearly legislative as the sircumstances of the various provinces would adnit. So much is this the case that the hon. member for Hochelaga fears that it would eventually result in a legislative union-a rexult to my mind most devoutly to be desircd. (Hear, hear ) There are two or three more of the points of the rexolutions to which I have ubjection. The public lands are placed at the disposal of the local legislatures; immigration also is in the hapds of the lycal le. gislatures, and the seacoast fisheres are ia the liauds of the local legislatures. These are matters common to the whole, and should, tor many reasons, be under the control of the General Governiwent The è various interests, howeser, are all covered by the $t 5$ th resolution of the Conference which I have just read, and which declares that when cun--istent with the welfare of thy lemeral (ioverument, their control will be takeu trow the local legislatures. (Hear, hear,). I have,: as briefly as possible, shewn that in ung epiaino. in uur political and our conmercial relations we wuuld be beaefited by the univin of Canada iwth the Maritume Provinces: 1 have also adverted brictiy to the objections whel "! bold to the proposed mode of earrying out the univin. I shafl uow endravor to shaw that as a means of defence it is highly desirable : $\because$ If there is ute thing more desable that another, it is to have the whule forees of the country under oue governing power. How might it fare with us, "in case of war or invarion with the provinces disunited? Objectious could now be made agatiat the withdrawal of a porticio of the mutia from vue province "ow the whers, mathut the conseat of the government of that province; and before they could be brought inter the field, valuable thme would be lost, red tapeism sould stand in the way, and the delay mithi be dangerous (Hear, hear.) By beiug uifited and coutrolled under one head, truiprocould be thrown upo any point attacked, at a momeut'a notice. Ubjection' have been made by bua. gentlemen to any expenditare: for the purpose of building forcifications, at proper punses, for the defence of the country: but lam satistied there is no reasonable sutin that may be required that will begradged by the people of Canada; for it the re is auy. purpose for which they will coutribute cheerfully, it is for the defence of their conutry, and to continuo the cuntection and cement the tie that binds us to the Mother Country.
(Hear, hear.) It has been also stated that we could not defend ourselves against an overwhelning power such as the United States. Time was when we did defend ourtselves, and that suceessfully; and if the time should ever come again, the people of Canada and of the Maritiue Provinces will not be found backward to defend everything they a ld sacred and most dear. (Hear, hear.) It has also been said that we should keep a strict ineatrality; in fact that our neutrality should be guaranteed by England, France and the Enited Siates, in case war should unfortunately take place bitween them. But such an idea is too absurd to be considered for a moment. Would the people of this country subatit to such an arrangement even if attempted to be carried out? Would we allow England, it foreed to go to war with the I nited States, want the assistance of her ('anadian subjects? Cuuld we restrain the perete of elanala frum doing their duty; when they saw the Mother Country batting with. her foos? If I thought such would be the case, I should deny my country, for we should be hell up to the scorn and derision of the writ. (Hear, hear.) On the question of our. defences. I desire to read an extract from the repart of Col. Jeavors, the abl engineer vent out to repurt upotithe practicability of defending Canada against attack:-
The question appears to be whe iher the British torce nuw in Cainada ahall be withdrawn in order it aveid the rist of its defeat, or whether the u-cessary theasure shall be taken to earible that fores to be of ure fur the defe cefeo the coutitry". The sum requited fur the congtruction of the proposed works and armaments at M intreal and Qutbec would only be ahout one sear's expense of the reqular force we now maintain in Canada, It is a deluston to suppose that furce can be of - anyuse for the defeace of the cauntry, without firstificutions to equmpeosatie for the comparative thatluess of its numbers: Even when aided by: the whole of the local militia that could at pre: seat be made arnilab'e, it would, in the event of was, be obliged to retreat betore the superior uumbers by which it would be attacked, and it Wouid be fortunate if it succeeded in embarking at Quebec, and putting fustea without serious defeat. $O_{a}$ the other haut, if the works now recom. menteld be cennstructed, the vital points of the coumitry could be defer: ted, and the regalar arcay ;ubld hecome a nuclens and support; round which the people of Canada would rally to resist aygression, and to preserve that connection with the Mother Country whech their loyalty, their interesta, and their love of true freedom alike. make thern desíruus to maintain.
Such is the report of Col. Jervois, one of
the ablest men on those subjects in the English service, and I think it can with greater reason be relied upon than all the mere assertions of hon. members, who are not supposed to know much, if anything at all, upon a subject which they have pever mafe a study; and upon which they have had no experience whatever. (Hear, hear.) Sir J. Walsh also, a few days since, in a speech apon an Address to Her Majesty for papers and cor responsence with the American Government in relation to the Reciprocity treaty, and the notice for a finality of the treaty restricting. the uumber of armed steaners upon our inland waters, spoke thus :-
There might be some hoa. gentlemen who would contemplate, , without shame or regret, the tutal and entire severance of the conuection between England and Canada; and who would say that this country would get rid thereby of a source of much embarrassment, expense and trouble. He would, however, tell those hon. gentlemen that. Great Britain could not; if she would, cut Canada adritt. is long as Canada retained her desire to be connected with this country-as long as Conada preserved her spirit and her resolution to be independeut of America, so loag would Eagland be bound by her honor, by her interesta, and by every motive that could instigate a gene gos or patriotic nation, to 'sustain, protect and vindicate the rights of Canada, and to guard her, whether as an ally or a dependency, ajainst the agyressions of the United States ; it was inposibibe for England to shrink frum the obligation... The day might come when the Chancellor of the Exchequer would come down, andin happy phase and vith melliftious eloquence, conirratulate the House upon having emancipated itself from a source of military expenditure. He tuight felicitate the House that Birmingham was sendiug adimirably tinished Armatrong: and-Whitworth ginns to arm the tiew naval forcees of America on the Canadian lakes. He might congratulate the House that Biriningham was sending out a plentiful supply of fetters and handcuffs to be used in cuercin' the refiactory Americans. The right bon. geatleman misht, 'at the same time, be able to congratulate the House upon a vast amount of commercial prosperity, and announce that he was able to reduce the income tax a penny or tivo, pence on the pound. i But if ever that day should come, and if ever that speech were made, the whole world would observe that the old English oak was not only withered in its limbs, but was rotten at its heart. There was, in fact, no escape from the obligation which bound Great. Britain, oy every tie of national honor and interest, to imaintain and defend Canadia. The question was hot une merely between England and Cauada, but was one between Englaid and the Cuited States. It appeared to him that the notice. given by the :American Government was an act of such unmistakable hostility, that it almost
amounted to a declaration of war, and at a much eárlier period of our history; it would have been so regarded.
When such views are held in England, when sơ strong a devire is manifésted in Canads to maintaiu our connection with England, and to remain under the sheltering firlds of that flay we love sö well, shall it be said that we bave not the spirit left to defeod vursel ees? 1 kuow, sir, that the people of Canada will not be backward, shoull ever that time arrive I feel that there is some of the spirit of 1812 still left amonge I am convinced that the blow of those men who left the lited States when they gained their ndependence, and who are up all in orderto live under the protection of the Laws of Old England- the blood of those old IT. K. loyalists. I say, still coưtesthruygh ouryeitus, (Hear.) Sir, I trust that this union was be eonsumuated, in order that British power on this continent may be cousolidated, our conneetion with the Mother Cuantry cemented and strengthened, and that under this union this conntry may be made a happy home for hundreds of thousands of emigrants from the Mother Country-a happy and contented houet for all now hiving heres. and for our children and chi'drea's chidren tor geverations to come: (Hear, hear, and chetre)

Ma eakTVRIGHT, said-Mr. Sieak. ER , the curn which this debate has asyumed of late is somewhat ramarkable. Ep ta a very tecent period; hoan: geatlemen opposite have divelt chiefly on the extreme-r think they uven said the iudecent-haste with which this project has been pushed forward: They have asserted that this scheme was the sole, the only bond of union between the memiers of the present Mini-try, and further, that so rash, so incousiderate was their eagervess to effect thei cud at any cost, that they have seriously compromised our interests, yy andue concessivis to the remaiaing provinces, and notably to Nowfoundland and New Brunswick. Latterly, however, the question has assumed a new and different phase. It has been dise jvered that so far from heing a bond' of union, the project of Confederation is a mere pretext, a blind to cover their predetermination to maintain their position at all hazards. Now, sir, pass-ing orer the obvious jncousistevicy of these contradictory aceusationy, passing uver the absurdity of calling the Confeleration th: sole bond of union, and yet a sham to cuver that union, I shall have a few worda to say as to the reasons which induced me, in com-
mon with a great majority of this House, and I believe with a great majority of the people of this country, to, suppurt honorable gentlenea on the Treasury benches, not only as regards the project we are now diseuss: ing, but as to their general policy in effecting the extraordinary fusiou of parties which took place last summer. Sir, it is inle to talk of that "step as if those tronorable gentlemen were alone responsible for their conduct on that occasion. What they did was done with the full, know. ledge and consent of their supporters, and reffects on "our honor, it wroug, quite as much as on theirs, But, sir. I am very tar indeed from admitting that we were wroag. I think the reasons which infuenced us then were strong exough to jusify ity fully; those reasons are tenfold strupger now. To undicstand them, Mr. Speaker; we need only glance at the parliamentary bistury of the last few years, and then ask ourselves whether any languaje is two stroug, any sacrifice too great, to put an end to the state of things which prevailed throughont that periud. But first, sir, let me pause to deal with the charge of nodue haste: Doubleas the rapidity with which these negotiatigh have udvance $\int_{\text {, was as a remarkable as of was }}$ unexpected. I belieye there is hardly an instance in which a political project of sueds magnitude and delicacy: has made sueb astonishing "progress in 80 short a time ; and so far frow holding it an objection, so. far frumallowing that this is auy evidence that the country has beén taken by surprisecin asseating to this scheme, I bold that it is, on the coutrary, the best possible omen of its ultimate suceess, no mater what teaporary checty it may encounter, because it shows conclunively not ouly how zealously and houestly Minis. tery have devuted themselves to the tavk of carring it into effeet, but, which I thints of even more iuporiance becaise it proves. huw powerfilly the events of the last few. years have cootributed to mature wea's views of this subject, and shows that, no far as this proviuce is concerned, iny houorable friends are but aiding to carry out a foregone conclusion-a conclusion long since arrivid at by every man smong us who desires in maintaid uur iddependeace or our conuection with the British Crown; that in this, or some such seheme as this, ties our best, if nut our ouly hope of escaping absorption ioto the great republio which adjoius us Sir, this is an argument whioh perbaps has more weight with me than with some hon:
gentlemen before me., It may be that there are some even here who are secretly dazzled by the magnificent vision, so deair to Ameri: can statesmen. of an empire which shall spread from sea to sea, and unite every scattered state and province from the Gulf of Mexico to Hudson's Bay under one law and one rule. Sir, I can understand the fascination which such an idea can exercise; I cun even sympathise with it to some exteut; and ic is just because $I$ do under: stand it that I ani prepared to ppose it to the utmost, believing that in the ling run the establishment of a power: so phat,tic could not fail to be fraugbt with the greatest misfurtunes to thuse who inight live us der it, if not to the whole hman rare And now, sir; to return to my eulject, I would like to take a rapid yla- ee at the perils from, which we have but latise esaped; and in so doing, I shall speak only of those of which t have myself been cognizant in wy own parliamentary carter, briet though it is'; and I appeal asain to the consciousness of every honorable gentleman, whether there is anything in the events of the past two sessious of which we have much reason to feel proud, sute, perhaps, their closiug scene? What wast our position, Mr. Speaker; what was that position which some honorable gentlemet have the hardihood to affect to regret? Two divsulutions' granted (though in the latter ease the Royal prerogative was not' exercised); three ohanges of Ministry within. the upace of a single twelvemonth; the fate of cabiate dependant on the vote ot a single capricious or unprincipled individual, in a House of 130 nembers, a deficient revenue and a sinking oredit ; all useful legislation at a taud-still-these, 'sir, wère circamastances which mighe well have fill-d us with apprebeasion, had they oceurred in a time of profunod peace; but which, sire, cowing, as they did, at a periud when we are menaced with the gravest danger which can bofall a free people, would have argued us deaf and blind to every. lesson which the wistoriunes of our neighbors ought to teach us, had we not embraced the very earliest upportunity to extricate ourselves from such a paxition; and the wonder to me is not that. our statesmen should have showa themselves willing to bury their privato grudges and piltry personal aniususities, but rather that we could have been infatinated enough to permit buch a state of thinge to continue
at such a orisis for twö whole years. It is not for me to say, who has been mnet to blame in the past.. l judre no one; stili less do I undertake to defexd them; but I spak of acts patent and, lnown to all, when I say that the position of parties in this province, the bitterness and virulence of party feeling, and the narrowness and acrimony to which those feelitgs gave rise, were degrading and demoralizinis us all to a desree which it is not pleasunt to look back upon even now. And so fir frour regarding the union of parties which thas tak place as a political mistortune in itself, or as tading to deprive the people of any safegutad, way that it was of the greatest impurtat, ce to our people that they should be relieved, if ouly for a brief periud from the desperite party: struggles in which they have beet engated -that a lall of some sead should be afforded; that they stiould have some cippurtuaity of considering the grave daugers whish cucompass them, some chance of escapioy from the state of aractical anarchy into which they. had been drifting. It is to heir credt, Mr. Speaker; and to the credit of those why control the press of this country, that ever siace this project has been fairls before ns a very marked improvewent has taken place in the whole tone and temper of publid discussiva. . Of the press, in partieular, I. must say: that the mument they weri relieved from the necessity of sapporti, gaty mancurres-the aroment a subject of suff. cient iuportatice was subuitted for consideration, they seem to have risen at once to the level of the subject, and to have abandoned all those unhappy and rancourous persinalities which, in times past, were tho, apt to disfigure their pages.. Sir, I belite the people of Canada have learued a lesson which they will not easi'y forget. I believe that heaceforward it will not be fuand so. easy to array citizen ag inst citizetio race agaiust race, ns it has been herethtiore. I believe our people have dseovared that méa. Who rise to be the heads of great parties are not of necessity villaius and scoundire s-that both sides may havegreat politicil principles to maintain-that the words Refi,smer and Revolutionist, Conservatize and Corruptionist, are not absoluic!y converuble terms, and that mea who have givea upithe best part of their lives, and sacriticed too often, the best part of their furtunes in the service of their oountry, have had some better and bigher reasocis than mero love of
jobbery and intrigue for doing so. To me, sir, this appears a matter of great moment, It is only too notorious how much of the misery and misfortune which has befallen the United States ${ }_{2}$ is to be traced to the sys. tematic degradation of their public men." 'It is well for us that the matter is still in our own power. It is well for as that' we have still the choice whether we will have statesment or stunip orators to rule aver uswhether this House shall maintain its honorable position as the representatives of a. free people, or whether it shall siak into a mere nob of delegates, the nominess of caucuses and of wire-pullers. It is stillin our power to decile whether we shall secure a fair share of the best talent we possess to carry on the affairs of the country, or whet her we will ostracine from our councils cevery man of superior ability, education or intelli-gence-with what practical results we need not look far abroad to see; and l think; sir: it is fast becoming apparent that in this, as in other matters, the people of Canada are well disposed to adhere to the traditions of their British ancestry. 'There is one objection, Mr. Speakein, which has been adyanced perpectually throughout this dubate by some hou. gentlemen who, while utable ur unwilling to show any valid reainn agaitiot Confederation in itself, frofess the wiselves bitterly scaudalised at the political combiuation by which it is likely to be brought about : Now, sir, I admit at once that there is a prejudice, a just and wholesome prejudice; against all coalitious in the abstract. I admit that that prejudice is especially strong in the minds Eughishmen. and that, in point of fact, a coalition is always an extreme measure, only to be had revort to it cases of exticme emergency: A coalition, Mr. Speaker, way be a very baje aet, but it may also be a very nobl- one. It. may be a mere conspiracy, for purposes of revenge or pluader, on the part of men bating and detesting each other to the uttermost or it tuay be"un honorable sacrifite of private personal enuitybefore the pressure of overwheliwing public necessities, to escape from great danger or to carry a great object Sir, I shall not insult the intelligence of the House by enquiring whether this preseat existing C'oalition has proposed to itself an - object of sufficient importance to warrant its formation Liven those who censure the details of this scheme most strougly are fain to do homage to the graudeur of the project, and
are compelled to admit that a union which should raise tais country from the position of a mere province to that of a distinct nation, is a project well torthy of the utmost efforts of our statesmen. To determine the remaining question whether the position of our affairs were so critical as to require the utmost energy of all cur leaders, and to justify any union which gave' a reasonable hope of extricating ourselves frôm our diff: culties, I must again revert to the cordition in which we found ourselses during the last few years, and I ask every hun. imember to answer for himself whether it was one which it gives him any pleasure to look back upon? Was it pleasant for us, Mr. Spenker, a young country without oue peniny of debit which bas iut been incurred for purposes of public utility-was it pleasatut fur us, lank, to find our revenue gearly outrunting our ex-penditure in the ratio of 20,30 or even 40 per cente per annum". Wass it pleanant for as to kuow that some of var whe busiest and most prosperons cities we being depos pulated under the presure of exurbitant. taxation? Was it pleasant for us, imhabiting a conntry able to sustain ten times ita prosent population,tofod capiralandimingrantsalike fleving fromiour shores, even it they had to take refuge' in a land desolated by civil war? Was it , leasant for us, sir, the only coluny of England which has ever viadicated its attachment to the Empire in fair fight, to know that our apathy aud uegligence in taking steps fur our ow defecee was fas' making us the byword to buth friend and fie? Aud lestly, Mr Sprakita, I ask was it pleasant for $\mathbf{n}$, needing aod knowing that we needed a strong Government above all thiogs, dae which should maintain a firm and steady policy, and passess the god-will and support of at least a large majority of our peoplo-I say," sir, was it pleasaat for us at sueh a crivis to find ournelves the vietime of a mote political see-sato-to be sure only of this one fact, that whatever course of po ioy was adopted, the dircumstance that it emanated from one party wuth cause it to be viewed with joalousy and suspiciun by the whole remainiug moiety of the nativa? I would not have it thought, Mr. Spraker, that io saying this, I am blind to the difient. ties with which our atatesuen have had to giraggle. So far from this I betieve that it has been quite too much the fashion to underrate thean in times past. We have spuken of them as if it were the ceasiest tagk in the

Forld to blend together, in less than one generation, two distinct peoples-peoples differing from one another in race, in language, in laiws, customs and religion - in one word, in almost every point in which it is possible for men of European origin, and protessing one coumon Christianity, to differ from each other. Sir, this could never have been an easy task. It is one which has again and again baflled the ablest statesiuen of the most powerful monarchies of Europe; and I will not undertake to say. whether it is over capable of complete accomplishment. $\quad \mathbf{B e}$ that as it may; know thatio every eupire which has ever existed, frow the English to the Roman, which has held different races under its'may, it has always been found necessary to make large allowances for uis"tinctive uational traits-has, in fact, beep found necessary to introduce in some measure the Federal element, though 'it is equally true that in every state which descrved the atiame of an empire; the supreme authority of the central power in all that coucerns the 'general welfare has been acknowledged unreservedly. And, sir, it is just because this - seems to bave been effectual in all essential points in the scheme neiw before us-becanse, while res rringe tu the Geaeral Government the power if the purse and the sword, it aceords the amplest defensive powers to the variuus Iocal bodics-because, even where there may besome conflice of jurisdiction on minor matters, every reasonable precaution secms to have been taken against leaving behind as any reversionary legacies of sovereign state rights to stir up strife and discord amorg our childrea. For all these reasons, I day; I am di-posed to give my hearty sup. port to the socheme as a whole, without criticising too narrowly the innumerable. details waich it must inevitably preseat to attack. All I hope is that in adjustiog our ney constitutions, local and gencral, we whall not allow our minds to be warped by' antiquated notions of the dangers which threaten our liberty. No fear here, Mr. Splaker, for many a day to come at least, of perils which await us from the tyranny of hereditary rulers, or the ambition of aristocratic oligarehies: No, sir, no; and while it is true that here as elsewhere, there are always dangers enough to rotard our progress, I think that every true reformer, every real frien l of liberty will agree with me in saying that if me must erect aufoguards, they should be rather for the security
of the individual than of the mass, and that our chiefest care, must be to train the majority to respect the rights of the minority, to prevent the claims of the few from being trampled under foot by the caprice or passiou of the many. For myself, sir, I own frankly I' prefer British liberty to American equality. I had rathêr uphold the majesty of the law than the majesty of Judge Lynch. I had rather be the subject of an hereditary monarch, who "dare" iot enter the hut of the poorest peasant without leave had and obtained, than be the free and sovereign elector of an autocratic Presideat, whose very Minister can boast the power of imprisoniug one man in New York and another in St. Lnuis by the touchiag of a bell-wire! I said, sir, that there were many, reasulus why we should all unite in furthering this project. "It is not merely because of the barriers to material progress which it will remove - though I an far from undervaluing their iupportance; it is not merely because of the higher prizes which it 'will throw upeu to individall ambitionthough I do not affect to despise this either; but it is chiefly after all, because I believe it will be found to have the most beneficial results; in elevatiag our polities and in iuspiring our people with those feelings of dignity and self-respect which lie at the bottom of all real nativall geatuess. Sir, I manly: liken cur position for some time past to that of "a youth who has been allowed to take possessien of his inheritauce at an age when he is not yet legally respousible for bis actions. I do uot believe that such a position is good either tor a pation or an individual, and I for one rejoice that it is about being brought to a uluse. There were several other subjects, Mr. Spatier, which "I had inteaded to rllude to ; but I find my voice is still tóo weak to allow more than a few renarks. Still, sir, I do not wish to sit down without saying briefly that. I am grad"to find one lesson at leart, which the British Constitution ought to teach us, is beginuing to be impressed upou our peoplo. That Constitation, Mr. Speaker-though we have not always been sufficiently ahve to the factwhile it dues not require the possession of these lofty, impracticable virtues which. most republican iustitutions demand fiom their votaries, does bevertheless presuppose a reasouable amount of discretion at the hands of those who are intrusted with the
carrying out of its details. And, sir, though it is true that it does recognise the calm, deliberate, just decision of the majority-and the calur and deliberate decision is almost always just-as final in the last resott' it does still so abound with safeguards-with latent checks ot all kinds-checks "established, many of then, more by custom and usage than by positive law as to make it all but "impossible for any majority, however strong, to perpetrate any gross act of injustice on a minority, so long as that minitity could command but one or two resolute representatives on the floor of Parliament: Sir, it is impossible not to feel that it is in a very grat degree to this fact, io the instinctive seuse of the inherent powers of selfidefence, which our customs give to the wak dyaint the strong-to the convituon that to drive any party to despair would criate an inevitable dead-lock-that Englatures it that she has contrived to admituster her affairs for near two hundred Sears witheut any overt acts of tyranoy or one dirèt cuilision or irregular intérference with the erdian course of lave Sir, I rojuce io see that we will coutinue to adhere to a system which hay borne sucti good frait, as a, whole; in the parent land ; aud I think the refleciou how diffeult, if rot how danyerous, it is to oppesess a determined minority under suc a a -ystem, may serve to calm the fuars of thine thon rable enetlemen who dreal the hoss of toal rightis and privileges at the hands. of the stronger race. Fur the rest, Mr Speaker, though: I will veuture u' wh, n̨ predictous-though I know we munt expeet many aificulties, many cheoks before we can hipe to bring so great an enterperneto a successful issue-I trust I tay be pirduned for expressing my conveciot batt the fryalty and fidelity of the early setcters of thes country-and I speak here nithout regard to any special nation-- ulity-iy destineed to be rewarded in the way in wheh they wonld most have desired to vee it rewarded it they hid hived to sce. this day, by the establishament of a kingdom on he bataks of th. St. Laswrence, which, wihiut hindiog itself down to a slavish adhrreace to the customes of the old world, would yet cherish aud preserve those timehouured a ssuctati nis our Atuerican neighbors have seen fit sio recklessly to cast away. Sir, our forefuthers' may have had their faults ; but stili, iu spite of all, I dare affirm that the brave, self-eacrificing spirit they die
played-their manful struggle against heary odds-and last, but not least; the patient, law-abiding spirit which has ever induced them to prefer reform to revolution, even when engaged in sweeping away the last vestiges of worn-out fendal systems in Church ard State from their midst - I say, sir, that these afford us ample proof that the men to whum, I hope, we shall soon look back as the founders of a new nation, were ancestors of whom any people might be proud; an I trüst that we, their deseendants, inay prove ouriselves but half as capable of administerivg and: developing the vast inheritance which awaits us. Sir; 1 believe that even we ourselves are but just beginaing to grow aware of the immense resources, whether in field or forest; in mine or in minerals, in seas or in fisheries, with which it abounds; that we are but just beginning to appreciate the advantages which surroutd us-our all but unparalled internal navigation ; a healthy and far from over rigorous climate, and a country which, even if it does not present the same facilities for accumulating enornious fortun's in the hands of a few individuals which some other lands may afford, still promises, and, I think, will continue for many a day to promise, comfort and competence twe every man who is willing to work for it. Older nations;' Mr. Speaker, are wurking for us even now. Older nations are accumulating the skill and the cap tal which will yet be transferred to our shores, if our own folly do not prevent it. Older uatious are even now busied in solving those problems which advanced civilization iss sure to bring to us in our turn'; and we, if we are mive, may leara and profit by their esample. A little patieuce, a little forbearance, a little timely e veession to mutual prejudices, a litile timely preparation against pussible dangers, and we may well bope to establish a state which, in all essential attributes of power and happinesi, need not fear comparison with niny other on this continent. Let us not be daunted by any aecidental checks-we must lay our account to meet such in matters of not onetenth its importance-this is the time and this the hour; never again can we kope to onter on our task under circumatances better fitted to remove the natural, the inevitable prejudices which must exist between. so many different provinces-never again can we bope to receive a warmer and more energetio support from the Imperial autho-pitieg-bever agais can we hope to see a.

Ministry in office which shall command more completely the confidence of the great mass of our people, and which shalli possess the sque or equal facilities for adjusting those sectional difficalties which have disturbed us so long' and I trûst that in this most important crisis, this House will show itself not altogether unworthy to be intrusted with the destinies of three millions of their countrymen.: My' own years are not ${ }^{\text {© }}$ very many, Mr. Speaker, but yet cren I can remember when Cansda was but a petty pro. vince, an obscure dependency; scarce able to make its voice heard on the other side of the Atlantic without a rebellion; forgotten' or ignored, as if, as the Frengh Minister said when he sigied the treaty tor its surrender; "it mattered not what jecame of a few. barren acres of soow !"' Aad yet, sir, in less than thirty years I have lived to see Cavada expand into a state equal in numbers, in resources and power of self-government to many an independent Earopean kingdou -lacking only tho will to step at once from. the position of a dependency to thet of aud ally-a farored ally of the great country to which we belong, and to take that rank asong the commonirealth of nations which is granted to those people, and to those ouly, who have proved that they possess the power as well as the wish to defend their liberties. This, sir, is what I'think Canada can do; this is what I think Canada ought to do; and it; as 1 believe, this project of Confederation would contribute most poverfully to enable us to do so, there are few sacritices which I would refuse to "make for such an object-anuch more, forgive ong honorable frieuds yonder for haviug in time part sposèn sumewhat over harshly aud hastily of eacti other. Let them only persevere, let them only go on and complete the task which. I will say they hare so nubly begua, and they will have made good their claim-I do not say to the forgiveness-but to the regard, the affection, the estecm of every man who shall hereafter bear the name of Canadisn. (cheers)

Mr. HARWOOD said-Mr. Speaker, the importance of the proposed measure ; the fatal consequenecs which would result to the country if the plan of Confederation were rejected by this House; the sources of social, political and commercial prosperity with which the measure of Confederation is preg. nant, if is is adopted with a firm determination on the part of all to contribute their part towards its perfect working, are such,
that nogwithstanding the eloquent speeches deli pered on the subject on both sides, and which seem to have completely exhausted it, I consider it my duty to make known to the country the reasons which iufluence me to assist'in passing it. Called, as we all are, to record our votes either for or againsi this great constitutiosal change; it is no more than right that every one should in his own way account for the part which ho may take in a measure "which will naturally inayourate a new era in the parliamentary aunals of Canada. (Hear, hear:) I have iistened attentively to the opponents of the measure, and-read their speeches again and again, and truly the only effect they have had on my mind is a stronger convietion that in the avomalous position of the evountry, a Federal union of adl the Próvinces of British Nurth America is the ooly remedy for all tho innumerable diffuulties which are shadowed forth oi our political horizon. (Cheers.) The opponents of the measure, nut being able positively to deny the advantages of Confederation to all the five pro vinces of British Amer ca, endeavor to get up a cry that this union would involve the los "to us French Canadians, and Catholics, of uar nationality, our language, our laves and institutions: I, for my part, cannot look upon it in sy terrible a light-haviug all history before ine, I cannot come to that cancluyioa: I shill soin shew clearly that thire existy throughont the world confederations in which are ineluded different nationalities, differenc religiong sects, and in which, nevertheless, the most thorough equilibrium prevails of the political, civil and religious rights pertainiug to the diferent clases of which they consist. Do we find any other mean's of setiflag our difficulties of all kinds besides this of confederation? No, I find none; and none is poposed to us by the opponents of the plan now before the House! Mr. Sreaker, the country is coure to a political dead-lock; we haye arrived at a crisis; ambition, the thirst of power, political passions worked upen in all ways and on all sides; have so clogged the wheels of the maehiine of goveromeut, that it has been brought to a staud-still; and thase who guided its morements have liad to rack their brains to find some way of continuiag the tranaction of publicbusiness -- a way by which we may arrive at a solution of the difficulty, and escape from the slough of status quo in Which the wheels of government are stuck fast, and by which wo may return to the
high read of progress and improvemenit. Truly Mr SPEAEER if the bitterest eneuny of Canada had had it in bis power to invent an inclined plane on which the might place us to hurfy us to ruin, he could not have done it better than the different political parties have done it within the last firw years- Elections on elections, one Ministry succecding another; one erying out extraragance, the other issuing commissions of inquiry to try to make places for its friends -what, in short, has been the course of events for the last few years?. Since the 21st May, 1562, have we not had four or five goveroments who have managed the affairs of the country? One we had which seemed to be "the darling of the nations," the paragon goveriment of economy and retrenchment, the Macbonald-Dobion Governuent: What did it do lor the country? Nothing absolutely nothing; is had not even the moral courge to stand by its own measures., In the beginning of February, 1864, it brought in" a bill (that respective sherifts). Well; what did it do in the circumstances? Afraid of its own wcrk', it;stood aghast at the remonstrances of some of its own partisans, who were contumaci us-despair fell upon the leadersthe camp was a scene of confustion; and lo? one fine day this Ministry, which was to bring back the golden age of happiness and prosperity, sank placidly to rest-became a thing of the past; and left " not a wreck bebind", to inartits aceession to power. $\because$ In as word, that pattern Administration died in its virginity, died with the famousischeme of retrenchueut in its hand, and a still born "budget" "on tit conscierce! (Continued laughter and cheers.). I ask every man of sense how many such governments as that we should require to take the ship of tas country's welfare into port-to redeem us from our unhappy condition - to calm the strife of parties-to settle the many questions, oftén "irrecoucilibly incompatible with each other; which had so long agitated the different seckions of the country-a strite which threatened to become perpetual? What would have become of us it a providential piece of good fortume: had not brought together the men who compose the present Administration? Every cine can conceive that the Coalition Government, the only possible one in such circumstances, came in jast in the uick of time; and, as a proof of its fitness for its mission, it "took fortune by
the forelons," as the proverb siays, and cleverly wade use of opportunity: In faet, three mooths after the present Ministry was formed, three of the Lower Provinces, comprehending the ntility of a union among themselves, conceired the idea of forming one from which might flow strength and prosperity to all; being convinced that a state of disuinon such às theirs had alway's beentheir commerce paralyzed by hostile tariffswas a political suicide. They therefore sent delegates fo Charlottetom, to derise'a plan axoog the mselves for the purpose of solving; in some profitable manner the difficulties which beset them, the three provinces. What course did our Gorernment then take? The members' of the Cabinet-too wise to disregard the inportance of the movementtoo statesmanlike to neglect its advantagesfound means to take part in the proceedings at Charluttetown; and being convinced that a Federalunion of all the Prosinces of British North Ainerica would be the feal sallation of the country, laid befure the delegates ai Charlottetowa a large, well-digested seheme based on a regard for justice añd equality in respect of the rights and privileges of all ; a scheme by which each origin and each belief will enjoy full and complete protection ; scheme of Federal union, ia a mord, havingfor its apex the powerful zegis of England, for its foundation, social, political and commercial prosperity ; and for its cornerstone, coustitutional liberty in all its amplitude and strength. (Cheers.) This idea of a Confederation of the provinces is pot a new one. "All who are in the syightest degree acquainted with the parliamentary hiscory of the country, are aware that a plan for the Contederation of the British North" Aiperican Provisces, was one. of the base upon which the programme of the Cartier: Macbonale Administration rested in 1858. It may be ayked -" Why should we have Confederation?': "Why should we not remutn as weare?" It is impossible, and its impossibility is proved by the past. Let those who do not see the seasonableness of the Confederation look at what is going on on the other side of the line-what do they see there? The threatened abrogation of the Reciprocity treaty. The abrogation of the transit system is threatened. A passport system, which throws the greatest possible obstaclea in the way of our free travel through the States, and does serious imjury to the developmeat of our trade, has been it augurated. We have no means of cou-
munioating during the winter with the Mother Country, except by passing over A merican soil, and our papssage over, that soil is merrely toler. ated; we may at any moment be deprived of this privilege, and in that case we should find ourselves all at once, during the long winter season, without any possible means of communication with Europe "These reazons are more than safficient to cause us to seek to im. prove our position, and the only possible means by which to effect that object, "is a commercial," social "and political nanion with our sister colonies, the Maritime Proviaces. I bear honorable members" say - "Why not rather have the repeal of the naion?" "Why not leave Upper and Lower Canada separate as they were previous to 1840 ?", Sucha weasure miould probably put an end to the reiterated demands of Upper Canada for representation based upon population, and the fears eatertained by Lower Canada, the fear of seeing her institutions endangered, should that system of representation be conceded; but that measure would be rather a retrograde one, which would throw the counitry bick, and would place it in the posis tion which it occupied previous to the union. That-measure would abrogate an agreement which has, loog existed - a union which lias pro eed to the country a well-spring of progress, riches and prosperity. $:$ Such a dissolution would only tend to weaken us still more, and we should be but two weak and insigniti-: cant proviaces, whercas our union has converted us into one provinca: comparatively strong. We can' realize the gigautic works: which have been carried" out when wè look upou our canals and our railways. Is there any oue man endowed with ordinary fairreess -any one man who has not complecely tuken leave of bis senses, who will renture tysay that Upper and Lower Canada would have been as far advanced, each of theur, as they now are, if they had remained separate, with tariffy inimical the one to the other?" "Soon-: er thain have Confederation," will exclaim an opponent, root aịd branet, of tho scheme proposed, "let us coocede to Upper Canada represeatation adjusted on the basisig of population whilly and entirely, as tha hounorable meember tor Hocticlaga would appear in his celebrated manifesto of 1865 to desiro;" but this is positively absurd-it is a violation of the spirit and the letter of the Union Aet of 1S40; it is the priacipal source of all the difficult es of a sectioual nature which have proved the source of dificulty, both in this House
and throughquat the country, for several years past. It would be asking for the anter ruin of the civil and religious rights of the FrenchCanadians.: Under such melancholy circumstances, Mr. Spéak̈er, what is then left for us? There is left for us the Confederation of all the British Provinces in North America. That is the only possible remedy under existing circumstancees. Of two alteratives we must select one:. Either we shall 'form part of a Confederation of the British North American Provinces, or we sliall fall into the unfathomable gulf of the Confederation of the neighboring States, formerly the United States. (Hear, heari.) How absurd are they whio believe that the United States do not want us, with our mineral wealth and our fisheries, which- latter are of themselves an ineshaustible source of riches to the country ! The United-Statess did not, in 1776, number more than four millions of inhabitants; there were then only thirteen states ; now there are thirty one states and seyen territories-at least that was the number before the warand a population of more than thirty millions. We know that the prodigious growth of the United States is owing to their purchases, their treaties and their conquests. . They want tus, and would stir leaven and carth to have us in their grasp. (Hear, hear.) Let us beware! We stand on the brink of the yawning gulf of the American Confederation, falling into which we encoounter, first, our -share of liability to pay'a national debt of three thousind millions of dollars, and an annual expenditure of five hundred millions; and next, a share of their nationul quartcls and civil wars.. Exposed to persecution by the conquer,r, and loaded with the heary barthei of enormious debis incurred in the prosecution of a cruel and fratricidal war-a: war of which, be it suid, every body kiows the berinning, but of which nobody Enows the end - the uncalculatiog opponents of the measure before us will regret their obstinacy and their disregard of 'their country's weal. Then they will see the nuyed features of thos democratic institutions which are in. reality inconsisteut with true liberty-of those boasted institutions, under whose influence the last vestiges of liberty have faded away, as does the light at the close of a bright day. Under them the liberty of the press is unknown ; under them, liberty is but a name;'a dream, an illusion, a mockery, often a snare; under them no man can venture to speak frankly what he thinks, and muste take care
that what he says is in unison with the opinions of the majority of bis audience; under them the rights of the minority are unacknowledged, ignored, as if they had no existcuice: the will of the majority is law. For my part, Mr. Speaker, dèmucratio institutions have no charms for met. Liberty, Equality, Fraternity! How many sad and mournful memories are connected with those three "words in France ?! "In the name of Liberty, Equality, Fraterpity, in the year 1793, that conutry saw the best of kings led to the gullotine, provinces laid waste, blood Howing like water ; the standard of rebellion and insubordination raised and borae triumph: antly ; the pillaze of churches and monasteries, the desecration of the altar ; priests, nuns, uld men, women, a nd even children, murdered! Those three magic words wère the signal and vindieation of the "drownings at Nantes," sometimes called by the five sounding natue of "republican marriages." Yey, Mr:Speaker, cinil war mages amony our neighbors; but let us hope thit Divine Providence mill guard these new countries from-the disasters and the horrid crimes which, to the eternal shame of civilization, stuin the history of certain portions of $\mathbf{E u}$ rup at the close of the lase century: It wes after a civil war that the terrible proscriptuns of Mames and sichex commenced Let peace once be made botweur the Fed. eral and Conlederate States; then we shall ace the harvest of ruchrou - hatred cover the earth. the tires of revenge burst furth; then woe to those who have given offace to men of the type add character of the famons Gederal Butlea. What is iocumbent on us, then' it we would escape shariug the horror of the situation?" What but to unite, one and all-ts combine all our means, our resources, our en ryics, and to have couldence in vurelves gud in one another - to show Eugland that we intend to curge from the state of isolation to which each several provine has lain as regards the othero ; that we intend to organize a bystem, so as to be prepared to do our pert in the hour of danger ? We have every assuraice that Eagland will epend her last masii, her hat phillige in de fendang and protecting us. Having a Fed eval anion, all the wedith which abounds in the tive provinces will be miost highly devel. oped; our minetal riches, our timber, our fisheries, our chmuerce, internal an lexternal, our industrial ats atid manuhactures, will all receive a fresh impulse; capital will fow in, and with it the neans of defence of every
description I do not pretend to say that the mere fact of an "Cufederation" will render us in invincible. No, far from it, especiatly when opposed to so formidable, so varlike a foe as the neighboring Confederation has now become; but I do venture to say that if we do our best, England will never desert us, and if the armies of the neighboring Confederacy should occupy our country, it would not be hers to keep it long. It is not essentilly a neecssity, Mr Speaker, that"a small Confederation canat exist by the side of a large one without being swallowed up and absorbed. If all great. nations are bound to subject to their yoke all the little: ones, why are there so many suall states in Europe? (Hear, hear.) It may be that the mutual jealousies of the great poriers are the cause; then who shall say that FranceFrance which tought side by side with England in the Crimea- France rahich, look ing at Mexico, is so deeply interested in the atfurs of this continent - would not join with Fnghad ia a war between that pover and the beighboring States, if the latter should undertake to drive the English from the banks of the St. Lawreuce? When a nation, strong in its rights, is' determined to preserve them, it is ofteu inviacible. When Xerxes, with a million of men, sell upon Grecee, was he not drisen back with the total loss of his' imuicase arny'?. When war was declared aguiust the South, was not the North; with its population of twenty millions, going to anifitate the Suuth in three montbs? It is now more "than four gears that the war has been raging; and the South, without friends, withuut alties, is not yet conquered and made to pass under the yote. The biatory of Prussia affords a proot of what bravery can achieve, even when opposed to an enemy infinitely superior in numbers'. In 1740, the youthful Priner Finebeac asecuded the Throne of Prasias. The country contained no more than 48,000 bilare miles, and had a population of only two mittions and a half, less than the populativn of Canida aloue, as it now iss.' Her fron ter borthward was a wall of jee, all the seaports were clused during the winter season; hetr ouly ally was lukewarm; to the east, west and wouth; she was bounded by powerful em. pires, the population of each of which alone lat esceeded that which she could boast. The country was long and narrow ; it was tat and well adapeed at all points for the movemevis of troops; no country could be more exfosed to an invasion; nevertheless the Prince, unchalleoged, Ilirew himsell headlong
into a bloody war-as the aggressor-with all his neighbors. Alone, and simultancously, he had on his hands"Austria, France' and Russia. Yet he left to his saccessor a kingdom of 74,000 equare miles, and a people numbering nearly six millions." The small and heroic republic of Holland did not hesitate to enter into a war with the mighty monarchy of Spain, then mistress of the wealth of the lidies. At this day her vessels are found in every sea. Java and Sumatra belong to her:- Yet her population is smaller than that of the Provinces ot British:North America.: Siagle-hànded in 1848, Piedmont dared to enter on a struggle with Austria. The King of Piedinont had then four millions of sübjects; he now reigns over twenty-two millions. Even poor Jittle Grecce, with a million of inhabitants, must have its stare in revolutions, choose a king rand talk of its. rights its preteusions, and its aspirations. No, Mr. Speaker, the one, the only means of safety for us, in the cre umstances, is to have a Federal union of all our provinces-a social, political, commercial and military union." Happen what may, when tre have done all that imen of courage and energy cata be expected to do to mend our pasition; our futare will not be so dark as the friends and advocates of the status quo mould have in beliere. Dus these wondertul patriots really believe in their hearts, that continuing to be. isolated as they are from each other, haviug uo cordial alliance, almost no relations or intercourse, the Provinces of British North Ainerica would be either stronger or less ex-: pised to the attacks of the Northern States than they would he tif utited? Are those persous not original in their ideas who allege that the endeavar of the Provinces of British North Ameries to form a Coufederition is a Siad of provecativitand deliance to the Northern States?" If the Northern States made "this allegation, the inost that could be said of it would be, that it wonld be a vain pretex!, sis futio as it would be absurd. Not less ridienlu"us and. minjudging are those "persons - who prefend the the Coutederation of the Prucinces of British North Atmerica would be dstep towards, amexition ai) the Northern States. Truly, there are sume minds which have an odd way of looking at things: If, iadee?, the oppouents of Confederation mould oaly preseribe some wher remedy to obviate the evils, Which threaten us as an effect of Confederation, we should have at least the beatit of a choice; but no-nothing of the sort-they attact, criticise, but suggest
nothing. On the other hand, the principa jouraals of Europe and several respectible journals in the nei hboring States have recorded their approbation of the scheme of Condederation submitted by the Government, and predict a brilliant future for the new 'empire which is about to arise on this side of the frontier line. (Hear, hear.) Referriog to history, we find that confederations have been forimed in nearly all ages, and that the principal cause of their formation has been, not only the purpuse of mutual protection, but a military object. These two motives comined with a shird, that of com. mercial advantares suggested the project which now occupies our attention. Among the ancient Greeks there were several Federal unions, the twe principal being the Etolian and the Achoman the former, dating from a period lung antecedent to that of AcexayDEI, was broked up by the subjection of the states composing the league to Rome, about 180 years B. C.; the second, which was formed about 280 years B. C., was̀ destroyed by the Romans about 150 years before the vulgar eraso The Etolian Contedration comprised all the northern parts of Greece ou the coutities of Thessaly and Epirus; a portion of Cestral Grece, and several of the isinds of the EJe in sea. This was a ubion rather of providees than of cities. It liad a "Constitution," "States General," a chief magistrate, a coumander-in-chief, and different public offeers, with different functious or powers; the power of declaring war and that of makMat peace, of tevying taxes coining money curreat at that time-al! were intrusted to the Central Goverament. The Aehocia league, on the contrary, was a union, not of provinces, but of cities or towns-not less than seventy in number. "There was a Federal capital, a "C assitatioc," ditfereat public ufficers, each invested ivith privileges and certain powers and datirs, too inany to be enamerated in this place. Who has uot read the life of Abates and that of Hulopgemen, the later one of the greatest stitesmen, the öther the greatest cuptain of the Achoan union? In reading the history of these nations we shall find that it was their unin $n$ which saved theni so long from the inrouds of their enemies, and which, for ages, preserved their autonomy.' We next cone to the Ital. ian Confederation of the middle ages Like those of Grece, they derived thei: origin from military necessity. The League of Lombardy, and that of the Tuzcuis, were projected principally as a mutual protection
against the emperors; who were greedy of conquest, and among them against Frederic Barbibossa. In that of the Tuscains; there was even an ecclesiastical element of a decided character, inspired by Pope Invocevir III", its priacipal author. The famous Romin Tribune Rieszi tried to form a Confederation of all the Italian States, but perished without realizing this drean of his existence. $\therefore$ Rome was to be the Federal Capital. Rrevzi died in" 1352. The Swiss or IIcluetic Confederacy pxisted from the twelfth ceatury: In 1784 Locis XI. of France 'eudeavored to subdue it,' but lost his truable. In 1477 Charies the Bold of Burgundy lost his kingdom and life while foolishly assailing this Confederate powor. In 1488, the Emperor Masmillan tried also in sain to subjugate the country. Spain likewise endearored on miany occasions to subdue the Contederate "States, but failed. In 1793." the Cantons of Switzerland became the Helvetian Republic. In 1803 they fell under the protection of Napoleon I., and in 1813 the ullies oserran them. In virtue of the Federal Act signed at ${ }^{\prime}$ Zurich in 1815, 'importaut "amendmeats - were made in their Conistitution. The parpose of the Helvetian Coulederation is the prötection of the country against foreigacrs, the muintenate of peate and trinquility at houme, the preservation of publicliberty in the Confederation, und the increase of its seneral prosperity. - This Confederation has survived itwo Eurupran revolutions, without mentioning anternal troubles, and it is now fifty years old: We must bear in mind that is populatiou the most various, the urst mixed in point of origia; language and religion, lives under thit Cunstitution. The people number about two millisons and a half ${ }^{5}$ about oue and two thirds of 'a million' speak German; halt oa million speat Frethech, and the remainder Italisu and uther languages. Une half of the pupulation is Cuthotic, the other Protestant. Theiz interests arising from locality, race and futh; are as complicated and as various as are their manners, language and customs, and yet they alt ure free, all heres carely, respceted, happy and prosperous. They all eajoy the greatest aod the purest liberty. The:e are tweity two Cantous, and what is astonisbing is that the chief of the Canton of Neulchate is a king, the King of Prussia. (Hear, hear.) loshall not speale of the Confederation of the Cuited States of the Netherlands, which had their day, their glory and theif use; but I shat ${ }^{\circ}$ gay a word of the great Germanic Coufederation. Thes is compused
of forty states of very different size, and contains thirty-four millions of inhabitants. There belong to it kingdoms, grand duchies, duchies, principalities and free cittes. In this vast association are (latholics, Ptotestants, Jews, in short different religions and nationalities, and yet none tyrannise over others; all live happily under the sanie Federal union and under the protectorate of the Emperor of Austria. Of these states, Aus tria is, properly speaking, the first in importance; her army in time of peace is 280,000 men, in time of war she can bring into the field 800,000 . Prussia is the second, with an army of 200,000 men, and a national militi of 400,000 men. There are, as L have said, in these states various nationalities and different sects of religion, and, nefertheless, the rights of eaeh ars preserved in all their integrity. Why"then should cot we, French. Canadians and Catholics, become a component part of the Confederation of the Proviaces of British North America, without any apprehensioa of seeing our language, our lans; our religiou and our institutions endangered? It seems to me that we could find no perfect and complete protection otherwise than by a Confederation of this nature, inasmuch iss it is a unuion based on equity towards the inhabi: tants of the five provicess as its most vital and fundamental principle: Ay to the Confederation of the United States, I thall merely name them. "Every'one knows that in 1775 , When the thirteen colouies revolted against Eugland, they helieved that the only means of securiug internal piosperity and of di teadiag themelves agoiust the common euemy, was to wnite :ogether for their" muthal protection; clearly perceiving that if they remained separate, and without any bond uf union, as the uncalualating oppouents of the present plan of Confederation would wish the Provinces of British North Aimerica to remain, their defeat ivas certain, and instead of cumiog vietoriously out of the struygle, they would be easily couyuered. .I shall now, MI Spkaker, ayk to bo allowed to say a few words on the other confedurations which have existed on the continnt of Anerica:: In the turst place I shall mestion that of Contral America, or Guatimala. That Confederation was bituated on the shores of the Pacific Oceap and the Gulf of Dexico. It consisted of five states-Guatiphald, Honduras, San Salvadofi Niçaragua, and Costa Rica. These states were peopled by Creoles, Mestizos, Indians and Negrues. Until the year 1821 this Confederation was rich and
prosperous. Guatimala, then, imitating the ill-advised example of other Spanish colonies, declared is independence, and thought fit to set up as a Federal republic; but in 1839 an insurrection ،ietached the state of Honduras from the Confederation, and shortly after the other states also declared themselves to be independent (1847) ; and what are they now? They have fallea into complete insignificance, a prey to the ambition of numerous dictators, without any common bond, disunited, and therefore without vitality or strength.' (Hear, hear.). We next come to the united provindes of Rio do "Ia Plata, now constituting the Argentine republic. The Confederation of La Plata comprised fourteen states, the greater part of which formed at one time a portion of the immense Viceroyalty of Pern. In 1778; being united to the present province of Bolivia, to Paraguay and Uruguay, they formed a particular Viceroyalty, that of Rio do la Plata. In' 1810 they took part in the important insurrectionary movement which shook all the transatantic dependencies of Spain; "from that time everything tended to republicauisu'; ; separate and independent states became republics. - They are now a prey to anarchy sad the confusion which at tends such institutions. The indusisial arte are unliceded, and the commerce limited. "If, sir, that Confederation had proved to be faithful to the canse which gave it lift, it union had prevailed instead of disunion; strongth, power; prosperity and wealth would hive fallea to the lot of the asisociation, in place of poverty, misery, and decay, which seen now to be their inevitable fate. (Hear; hear.) Bui some of the honorable nembers of this House have maintained that the union' would be beneficial to none but the Maritime Provinces, that they alone would derive advantage from it, as they are comparatively poor; while Canada is sich by meaus of its trade, through its industrial parsuits, its manufactures and its agriculture. I maintain for my part that we are us much in need of them as they are of us-(hear; hear)-both in regard to industry; to trade, and to military pewer. In the firat place, let us consider the various resources of the several Maritine Provinces. Nova Scotia is not, certaialy, altogether an agricultural country, bat it contains valleys in which the soil is as deep, aso rich, and as well suited for farniing as the best lands of the West. A large portion of the population are devoted to fishing, and skilled in drawing from the bosom of the deep the inexhaustible. treasures which will be a pereanial source of
wealth and prosperity to that country; moreover, such a life tends to form men to brave the odangers of the sea, and, in case of need; those hardy seamen would be ready and will. ing to lond their aid and do their part in the defence of the country. Nor is this all; the country exports prodigious quantities of timber of all kinds, which will not be exhausted for ages to come. Every year they build a great number of ships; and, in proportion to its population, Nova Scotia has a larger amount of "tonnage". than any other country in the whole world. (Hear, hear.) Another source of wealth is possessed by that country, ever abounding, never failing. One would say that nature has especially favored it and endowed it with the most bountiful of her gifts -Imean the rich mines of coal which superabound in that country, which the hand of Providence has placed; as if by express design, not in the interior of the country, but along the sea side. Everybody knows that coal at the present day; when steam does so much that the hand of iman formerly did, is ove of the principal aliments which nourish the industry of mankind through out the civilized world. Situated on the Shores of the Athantic, these mines can be worked viry cheaply; and are easily accessible to ships of all nations. The charges of loading are suall indeed, there is scarcely any land carriage required to convey it to the bays and ports to which the different trading ships resort for their lading. Geotogists celebrated for their kpowledge have explored these regions, and declare that there are thousañds of square nites of coal; and in some places seventy-six beds or layers of coal one above the other: What a fertile source of tevenue, of wealth ! And when we reflect that the main source of the prosperity of England has been and still is her mines of coal, small in comparisor: with those of Nova Scotia, we shali find that no change of circumstances, no political ties or relations could ever prevent that province from possessing in it. coal measures, a source, an element of wealth, incomparably greater than the famous gold and silver mines of Peru. Thousanids of years must pass a way, no doubt, before they will be exhausted. - I say nothing of the mines of gold, silver and copper, with which the conntry seems to be covered. And now, am I to be told that Canada, having the benefit of free trade with sach as country, is to be no better for it? Doeg not everybody know that firewood is beginning to run short in the district of Montreal and elsewhere in Lower

Canada, and that if we have no coal to take its place, the country people will in thirty years' time bee obliged to abandon their farms for want of means to enable them to bear the cold of our long wioters? "We shall obtain wood from a distauce, soine will tell you;" but thinking. men know very well that firewood is not to be carried. far without great expense, which must raise the price so ay to put it beyond the reach of the great majority of consumiers. "Perhaps": we shail find coal in Canada. No, says Sir Ws. Leqny, our learned geologist-impossible; science tulls us that it does not exist. (Hear, hear.) Now every main who bas the lesst idea of public order, of political economy; must be wella aware that a mere comatercial union, a union for the leysing of customs- $x_{3}$ "Zollerein," in id woid-iruuld not suffice to create the wellbeing and geveral prosperity of the five provinces. The Maritime Provinecs are immensely importaut to uis in a suciul, industrial, commercial, politizal, and eppecially a military poiut of yew. New Brunnwick has also cousiderabbe resources." Loobing at the seasonablciess, und the uther points' nuakin? Eir the union of the provinces, we nust yot omit to consider it in itr relation to our medas ofidefene $\because$ la this print of wirw, Xewfound. land is of paramourt mportance Crting is glance at it on the charr," we find it lying acroes the Guit of St Lantence, comumadnthe two stritis by whicti the trade of the countries surrumadia. the gult and the river
 into the hands of freiger o he trade of chasada weuld in war time be is conipletely stopped as if the ice of wioter had erected its perimanen: domieile in the middle of the gutf: (Hear, hear.). Thest are the re bosse which have led our statesuen to secure, by all posible meang, the alliance of that provinee is they well understood thit, that wantiing', the Confederation "would tose the benctit of all other advantages and would be iti continual dainger. . The seciboard of Nerffoundlaud is 1,210 miles ia length, and it possestse' the tioest harbours in the world, roadsteads whith might sheiter whole flects. The maín soürce of her wealth is her fistheries, ia which nore than 30,000 men are anuually engaged-men utcustomed to brave the waves of a tempestaous sea:- Her trade in tish with foreign nations brings her in contate with nearly all the maritime countricy of Eurupe, and with the Linited Stutes, aud yet she has at present. scarcely any such conacetion with Canada. What is her position with relation to us at
this moment? Her merohants are forced to resort to the States to transact their bosiness, for, in order to reach Montreal, they must puss through Halifax and Boston... The es tablishment of a line of steamers betyeen that island and Canada would be a great advantage to both provinces; for Newfoundland possesses what we want and requires what we havie.: It appears that the Island buys from the United States to the amount of sereral millions of dollars jearly, and exactly those articles which we are able to farnish; and that the current of trade having taken its present direction, is owing to certain fiscal impediments to trade between the two provinces. With free trade, Newfoundland Hould buy from Canada woollen stuffs, catlery and hardware-everything; it short, which she requires. Under Confideration, the town of St. Johns, in Newfoundland, would be the most easterly sea-port of the union, and by making it it port of call for our trangathantic steamers, it would bring us within six days of the Mother Country: "As to Prince Edward Island, that aloo has its importance: Its revenue is well mandged; it is in' a properous state, and has no debt; ou the contrary; it has a considerable resetve fuid: Accordiagly, now is the time to take a step in the right direction. This union of the provinces is a polititil uecessity, zad any delay would eutail the danger of losing the opportunity alto: gether, which might never oceur again. Canadx, with her iminense, commeree, ss indebed for' her access 'to the seaboard duriog six month so the year to the tolet ant good will of a a aeighboring nation: If that permission ẃere withdrawn, our merchants must inport durimy the summer all the goods which they require in the year. This would, in the toig rung, be the lass of the consumier, because everything must, of course, be paid for at a higher rate: Fiually -and this is the most important consideration of all for every one, and one which would of ittelf be sufficient to make us desire the union of the provinces- it would be the most effectual means of procuring the buidding of the Intercolunial Railmay-a road which woutd open an uninterrupted line of communication betreen Sarnia and Halifar, thus: connecting the troo extremities of the Confederation. Three things are necessary, nasy, iadispensable, to the prosperity of a great eupire--the personal element, the territorial, and the maritime elcment. In Canada we have the persional and the territorial elements; the maritime element alone is Fayting, and this we may obtain by the union of the provinces.
(Hear, hear.) Ass to us, Firench-Canadians and Catholics, what have we to fear from Confederation? Our language; our rights and our privileges are guaranteed to ns. Look at the Cnited Kingdom of Great Britain and Ire'and ; does it not consist of three distinct nations, holding several-religious creeds? Those three nations have fought side by side" on sea and land for ages, against the enemies of their country. What glorious victories, what"noble deeds in arms have they achieved! thid the most perfect harmony exists among thein. ' In England, are the Jews persecuted, deprived of their rights and privileges? Are the Roman Catholies? Is there not residing in the very capital of England a prince of the Romish Church-Cardinal Wiseman? And, Mr. Speaker, who would have believed the fact? the lasi census shows that the city of London contains 100,000 Catholics more than Rome itself-Honie the seat of the Cathoice Church! A Ad a greater number of Jems that there are in Judea or all Palestine! (Hear, hear.) Aud yet all these people enjoy their respective rights and priviletes, and worship their Creator according to the traditions of their forefathers, unmolest ed, undistarbed by any. (Cheers.) I now cotue to the plan of Confederation considered intrinsically. I shall not enter into a diseus-" sion of its details; four members of the Ad: ministration have given us explanations of it which were so clear and lucid, that it is useless to enter on the sabject anew. There are, no doubt, certain points which are not all that we could degire ; there are certain articles which I should be disposed to reject it I were not awrare that we are to look at the question from five different points of Yieir, and not from one sectional' point of view. I esn conceive that the Conference considered the plan as a compromise-a treaty in which the five provinces were the contracting parties.; that miany concessions were found to. Le necessary, to satisfy the interests of indi; viduals or of localities ; that great conciliation was an important element, wit : a strong whi, by great concessions on all sides, to "carry forvard an important negotiation, which in their absence would have utterly failed! 1 am , choreover, convinced that the Ministers of Canada did everything in their power to promote and guard our general and local interests; that their only aim was to make us a great and strong nation; that the dominant idea in their minds was that a Federal union;" uader the protection of Eingland, mould be for Canada a harbor of
refuge from all storms, particularly that which now assails us, as well as conducive to advance the best interests and the pros: perity of all the provinces; that this anion would secare to us the continued enjoyment of our laws an institutions, of our liberties and our relations with the Mother Country, while it would facilitate the development of our national; social, commercial and political prosperity: If we do not adopt it as a whole, if we meddle with its clanses to make radical changes in it, the other contracting parties, justly offended, will reject it wholly, as they understand that we have no right to depart from its provisious without their consent; or if, following our example, the Maritime Provinces should also makechanges in it the whole plan would be so-mutilated and disfigured, that it would become a: mark for aniversal disapprobation, and all the labors of the Conference would be rendered useless and abortive. Moreover, if in the meantime the Maritime Provinoes, taking " up again their old scheme of a union among themselves, should refuse to listen to any overtures we might make, we should, like madmen, have lost the golden opportunity: Nothing would remain for us but annexation to the United Statesan" idea most abhorren to my feelings, but one which is, perhaps, in reality, the cherished desire of the unreasoning opponents of the present measure. (Hear.) As a-British subject, I find most pleasure in that article of the scheme which declares the Sovereign of Great Britain to be the head of the Execative. The monarohical element will predominate in the Constitution, and we shall thas escape that weakness which is tuherent in the Constitution of the neighboring States. Their President, Mr. Splak in, is no more than the fortunate. chief of a party; he can never be regarded as the father of his people; his reign is but temporary ; te is, for four years, a kind of despot, with unlimited power and it: mense patronage; his favors fall ou those only who have elected him, and who can elect him anew at the expiration of four years; none feet the refreshing dews of his favors, save his party: Woe to the nulucky ones who have voted against hinm at his election!. For them there is no smite, no gracious acceptance, no favors. Uuder the working of our Constitution, on the contrary, as the sovereign is permanent (" the King is dead-God save the King!") we have at all times in him a father, whose interest and whose
inclination it is to extend his protection equally over the cottage of the poor and over the palace of the rich, and to dispense equal justice to both: "(Cheers) Our Ministcrs will still be responsible to the praple: In the States, the President is under no obligation to consult his Cabinet, which is compcsed merely of the beads of departments. In the scheme which now engages our attention, all natetes of geveral interest, which are not left to be dispostd of by the: local legislatures, will be settled by the General or Central Governinent, and the dispisaliof local matters will belong to the local goveruments. Accordingly all incesssary power has bee assigned to the general. as to the local legislatures; and that source: of wrakness tras beunavoided which has ben'so frequent a cause of trotbliz in the neighboring States the contict of furisifice: tion hud authority between single states and the Federal or Central authority: It is really astoninhing to see the diffirent meeans enupleged by the journals in the interest of the unriasoning opponents of the plan of Coutederation They utter cries of distress, amidst which the beil of party is easily seen through: According to their vitws, no food can cone gut of the oysten for ether party in the coummencalti. "Think twice of what you are duing you Englith I'rotestants of Luwr Catada! The Locil Goverrment will \&wallow you up," crie: the Nontreil Withess. - Take care of yourselves. you French-Cana diaus of the Cathoitc Church ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ bellows the Montreal Tru"Witness; " it the plan of Cou:federation is satictioned by the Lapislature, you will disappear tike a dream : the hydra of the Central Government will poison you with its pestiterous breath" "(Hear, hear.) And the other jcurnals of the same party, inspired by the same spirit: open full ery on the plan of Cinfederation, a nutbing less than a "political suicide!" Others there are-and some in the interest of the present Goverument - who have some miseivings: some doubts, touching the clauses relating to marriage and divorce. With redpect to the provisions ot the instrumest which bears on these wo important questions, they seen at first sight, I coufess, a little alarning to Cathulics-to us who have learned from the Church the indissolubility of the marriage bond, who look apon tharriage not only as a civil contract, but "a eacrament." With reference to this subject, I answer that the system on which the new Constitation will be based is to be considered in the aspert
which it bears to all the provinces. We are not all Cathulies and the majirity are Protest uts. Again, if the control of matters. connected with marriage and divorce had been assigned to the loc:l poverumenti, what woild have been the fate of our co-rengionists in Upper Canada, whoare in a minority in that province? 'Add to this, we have not in Cabada at present any diverce aw, and we ned not apprehend that the Federal Governuient will impose one uppo as. Vothing indicates that the proportion of Cathuific members in the Federal Le gislature will not be about eyual to what it is in the Par lianime of Ipted Canada Moreover, evëry. body is aware that it was by the belp of the Frotestants, who think as me do on this vubject that we have hitherte escaped the pasing of dore law. Divores is nut lonkerl uphn: with a favorable eye by all Protestants; far from it, and we must hope that at"no distant time that'surce of disorder and seandal of every spectes will ber traced from the "parliameutary records of every ("hristan community. (Hear, hear.), We must bear in nind. also, that there are Cathutiesco where besidesio Loweriand Upfer Canada; they are to be found in all the Lower P'ovitices, and what would be their postion if these questions were deft to the Wocal legestatures? The Catholics, thireTore, of hith Upper and Lower ('anada, as well as those of the Lower Provin en, are directly merented in the removal" of these questhits from the local legislatures it sreuis to ure that every man who stidies this question in a Caltiolio point of view. as it stavds in the five provitices, will fud that the lounference was perfectly right in nut leaving the question of divoret to the control af the heal goverameats 1 , shall noc enter hute all the details of the plan of "oontederation, inasmuch" as hercafker each of its craxes will be discusocd. I shall rearrve, hywever the rythe of adding a fow wordy: I thak therufore; Mr Spaskt, that evely wat who bas tho iateresta of his country at beirt-e ery man who will take. the pian to read histery, the groat teacher of kings and uations, will be cuqviaced that. siluated as are the tive proviacts of British North I merica, geparated, disunited, with ao sociat, political or commercial ties to bind them together, but having tariffs caleuilated to injure each other, but no free interchange of commoditios--without railmayy by which they might hold comuupication dryang the long winters, when tio rivere areobstrupted vith
ice, and taking into consideration the exceptional position of Canadain respect of its near neighborhood to the Uaited States, and the political troables which have so long wounded it in its bosom-a Federal union of all the provinces is ouronly harbor of reluge, and the only means of securing to the Frovinces of British North America sure and durable prosperity. (Hear, and cheers.) Now, Mr. SpedkER, we have seen that in ancient days, in the middle ages, and in modern times, states, proviaces and kingdons desiruus of growing in streugth, wèalth and prosperity-desirous of acquiring power internally, and makiog themselyes formidable to rivals-abroaddesirous of means to repeal anbitious masail. ants and enterprising neighbors-combined together--formed confederations with"a view to inctease the gencral prosperity; and the means of a commondefence and mutual pro. tective. We havis seen that it was the surest, the most rational, and the most generally adopted plan in all ages; and why should not. we, protitiag by the ixperience of others do the same? How long has union bean a cause of weakness? Is nut Eingland, anited uader oue ruler, infinitely uore powerfuil than in the days of the Heptaroly or Seven Kingduas? Are not the furty states which compose the Germanio Confed eration struager, tuore powerful, united, than they would be if isolated and separate? Wuald each individual state, if alone, left to its own resources, "without free trade with its neighbors, without social, political or combmercial reiations, be richer, more pro-perous than it is now, joined, united and alled to the rest? Aud in the United Kidigdoni of Great Britain, where a kind of Federal niniva is fouit, is uut cach outionality, every sect and every religion tully and entirely protected and guarded from the attack, of bigotry and of pulitical and religoous iutulerance?. After the States had separated from England in 1775 , would ti oy have diae better to reinaid in the position of thirteen celonites detachod from each other, without soetal, coinmereial, or political relations, as tho culouies of British North America now are, than to furui a cumpact us thoy did? Is it nut from that union that their strength has grown, that they have become so powerful; so rioh, so iadependert of the rest of the World, and the almirativa of modern tinats? So woald thay have contioued to adrauce too, with grapit gtrides, in the path of progresis and imprusemerat, if. the demon of civil war bal not arisín to break ap a
union but lately so happy and so pros. perous!. Let us avail ourselves of the examplo of others, and of the auspicions circumstances whieh seem to have occurred expressly and opportanely for our benefit, and let us resolve to become a great euppire. Is it not asserted that, if a union of the provinces should be effected, 'we' should be, at the least, the fourth maritime power in the world:? Are there not kivgdoms-confeder-ations-in Europe"which would be numerically inferior to us? Belgium has no more than $4,500,000$ of inhabitants; Denuark, 'ineluding the Duchies', no : more" than 2,530,000; the Kingdom of Bavaria, $4,500,-$ 000 ; the Kingdoni of Greece, 1,$000 ; 000$ the States of the Church, $\mathbf{8 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$; Portugal, $3,500,000$; Sweden, 3,500;000; Norvay,: $1,500,000$; the Helvctic Confeder: ation, $2,500,000$; while the proposed Confederation will soon contain $5,000,000$; and yct these provinces are but in their infancy, we may say. Any one who has the slightest knowledge of the nataral riches and the resouirces of the five provinges, and of the energy and love of labor which characterise the different races which people"them; may safely prediet a brilliant future for our new Cunfederacy. ( Hear, hear,) Is there a single' Cauadian who does not kuow that Caviada will ativays hold the first aud most exalted position in the (fonfederacy? Lower Canala, especially, will be the centre of the tadustrial arts and commerce, the point towards which all the rich produce of the west, and the oil, fish and coal of the east, will vaturally be brought ; Lover Capara, especially, which is so rich in mines, ores, and nitierals. " Do we nut know that-certain great capitalists. haye receutly formed companies on a vast scale, to work th. rich gold and silver tinines of the district of Beauce? ; Do nut the geologists, why have explored that region, tell us that it coutains copper, silver and gold, scattered in rich abuindauce over hundreds of equare miles. (Cheers.) Carada possesses a territory of about 360 ,000 square miles- $160,000,000$ of acres of. land, of when $40,000,000$ are conceded; 11,000,000 are under cultivation. Catiada possesses above 2,009 miles of railway, which intersect the province in all directions ; it has 4,500 miles of telegraph line ; it possesses, moreover, 200 miles of cabal, which carried, in 1863, $3,000,010$ tous of freight; and gave a revenue to the Provincial Guvernment of noarly $\$ 400,000$. (Ilear, hear.) There are hundreds of
rivers in Canada, three of which, with their tributaries, water a surface of 150,000 square miles. Five or aix of the lakes coser a surface of 84,000 square miles. The mails are carried over 15,000 miles of road, in which distan e there are 2,000 post-offices, which annually distribute $11,000,000$ of letters, besides uewspapers. (Hear, hear.) The mineral wealth of Canada is almost fabuluus, and áwaits only thé intröduction of English and American capital to astonish the world. (Hear, hear) The Acton cepper mine. in Lower Canada; is perhaps the richest existing.: The copper mines of Lake Superior are already famous for their extent and the richness of the ore"; and the iron mines of St Maurice and Lake Superior are supposed to be inexhaustible According to Sir Witiram Loany, yar learned geologist, there are iron mines of great value in the seignicry of Vaudreuil and on the out: skitts of the parish of St. Martha, in the connty of Vaudreuil. The diggings in the auriferous river of the Chadiere and the Gilbert, in the Fastern Townshipu, have been very productive duriag the last two years A new company has just beeu formed at New York, with a capital of five millions of dollars, to work on the Chaudiere: The capital stuck of the companits and private persuns now engaged in this pursuit is reckoned by millious The Trade Returns show that the produce of the mine exported from Canala has been nearly nine huadred thousand dollars. The manufactures of Canadia are extensive. Those of lumber occupy upwards of two thousand sawmills, which curn cut aunually nearly eight million feet of timber There are more than two hundred distalluries and breweries, which produced last year more than nine million gallons of spiritaous or ferniented liquors, yielding an excise duty of more than $\$ 700,000$ : (Hear hear.) These dis. tilleries and breweries consume urore than $1,500,000$ bushels of grain and malt. The country cuntains at. least 1,000 grist mills for the grioding of wheat and uats; 250 carriage factories, nearly eno fundries, 200 carding uills, 130 eloth mills, and 500 tanneries. Other establishwents of deas account are innumerable. Canada produces anna $: 1$ ly between $25,000,000$ and $30,000,000$. buishels of wheat, $12,000,000$ busticls of peas, $40,000,000$ bushels of 'uats', more than $1,500,000$ tons of hay, $13,000,000$ bushels of buckwheat, $28,000,000$ bushels of potatoes,
and $10,000,000$ bushels of turnips. Canada consumes $30,000,000$ pounds of beef, shears $5,500,000$ pounds of wool, and makes from $42,000,000$ to $45,000,000$ pounds of butter. The cattle, milch cows; horses, sheep and pigs owned in Canada are above two milliocs in number. The fisheries yield to the value of twa million dollars annually. It appears that Lower Canada alone owns 2,500 fisting vessels. The Magdalen Islands, which belong to Canada, send out to the fistreries 270 boats. The capital stock of the banks in Canada, which have a charter, amounts to $833,000,000$. Here is real wealth, and yet our conntry is still in its infancy, if 1 may be allowed to use the expressiva; and the third part of this beautiful country is still uninhabited; what will it be wher inhabited, cleared and settled in every direction? From all quarters men will come-some to obtain a nook of land which they can" really call their own; others to escape from the horrors of civil war and the rumions tases which bow theni down to the earth: Here we have peace and trafquillity - good air-room enougha superabundance of land-and the virgin forest wooing the axe of the wooduan, to by converted into fertile farms; here, above all, we have the "birthi-right of man," liberty in all its parity.' (Hear, hear) It is titne, Canadians, that we should withdraw frou the political dilemma in which we are ifivolved. If we reject the plan of Confederation, we fall back into a species of status que; now, for a new country like ours, to remain stationary is. to retrogade! Let us not forget that British North America contains other pruvinces besides these of ours, namely, British Columbia, Vansouver, \&e, which will hereater form a part of the Coufederation ; the thuse vast conntries are in extent as large as all Europe; that the soil in many places is of marvellous fertility; that the day will come when the greater part of all those countries and provinces will be iphabited; that there will be a net-work of railway connecting the extremities of all those possessions, and lives of steamboats connecting as, not with the Mother Country only, bpt with the whole of L Europe, and that at all seasens of. the year.: When we all, without exception, animated by the same spirit, siruggling atter the good, after the prosperity of our coumon country, shall sée rising around us a vast empire under the proteforate of Englandy. we shall then understand the political sagaeity of those who, now steering the vissel
of State, have brought before us and carried through the scheme of Confederation proposed. There may be certain faults of detail in the system : I grant that there are: But does not every work of man bear the impress of imperfection? Is the celebrated Code Napoleon perfect? The most cele brated French lawyers do not think it so; and yet this production is a master-piece of legislation in many respects. Does not the Constitution of the United States contain faults? and yet it is said to be model work of its kind. I am of opinion that the plan of Confederation,"taken as a whole, is the best we could desire or holpe for, adapted, as it had to be, to the well-understood interests of the five provinces. To consider it. from a purely sectional point of view; frould be to misunderstand the position which a statesman should occupy: : If however, Mr. Speakia, the aureasoning opponents of the proposed measure were able to suggest any mean's of tneeting eventualities, and point out a way by which, while rejecting the scheme proposed, we might find some practical mode of escape from our difficulties, I should then be disposed to listen to them, and to compare their scheme with that which is now before us ; but those gentlemen think it sufficient to blame and criticise. The celebrated Mr: Rameau even. (the author of Lat France aux Colonies), from his' retirement in distant France, sends forth a ery of alarm at the daugers with whioh he thinks Contederation is pregnant; but not a word of good counsel or of a betior remedy of his owin. Others cry aloud from the house-tops that this schemie' is nut a "Federal union," but à Legislative one in every point! If it were so; Mr. Speaker, I should be the first-and I proclaim it nere before the whole conitry-I should be the first to scout and reject the sohecue with all the power which Providence has given me; bat as it is, on the coutrary, a Federal naion, in the full force of the term; having a Central Government invested with all the power necessary to obviate and remedy the weakaess which charaterises Federal Government in the American unition, giving, in a special wanner; to each province tha management of its own local affairs, and to its inhabitants full and unrestricted power to make its own laws, f eannot, for the interest of my constitufats, for my conatry's interest, help approving of a measure which, while it respeets the sights and
privileges of all, will have the effect of increasing the individual and collective strength of the five provinces," will secure to us. the confidence of the "Mother Country, and make of this section of British North America, under the powerful regis of England, another imperium in imperio. (Cheers.) I return to those, whose cry is, "But our nationality will be lost!. Our language, our civil and religions institutions will disappear." O ye who cry so loudly, and who find such charms in the neighboring republic, do you think that if we fell into that whirl of divers nations and different religions composing the Aluerican Confederacy, which have no common traditions nor common history with us, French-Canadian natiouality would long enjoy a separate existence, or that in. would not speedily be lost amidst so many others? Answer if you cau, and I will believe you. "(Cheers.) Consider the fate of Louisiania, inhabited chiefly by Freach! Is not the laglish element in a majority in the Parliament of United Canada? And have I not, nevertheless, the hovir to address you at this moment in French? in that beantiful language of our ancestors in which Jacques Cartier, in 1535, extolled the glories of our imajestic. St. Lawrence!' (Cheors.) Would you know one of the reasons assigned against General Frgmont when he was a caudidate for the Presidency of the United States a few years ago? "Do not vote for Framont," was the ery on the hustings aud in the papers of the day; "Epiciont is a Freuchman"-" FaEmont is a Catholic":and Faemont lost his election accordingly. However, Premont was not a Catholie!' but they said he was, and it was a erime sufficient in their eyes to disqualify hi:a in his candidateship for their contidence, notwithstanding that they proclaim. "liberty of conscience"'" "(Hear, hear-)" Do they reject a man in Eugland berause he is a Catholic? Does that fact debar him from enjoying the confidence of his Sovèreigitand his fellowcitizens? Certainly it does not, and there are instances to prove it. Have we not often seen, in Canada, Catholics representing counties essentially Protestant? Was not the coubty of Vandreuil, a county in which Catholios are a majority, lately represented by an Euglish Protestant? Why should the English, under the Confederatiou, seek to destroy French Canadian nationality? What interest could they serve in doing so ?: In

1775, and in 812 , the French-Canadians, at the call (f their clergy, rose as one man to defend the Crown of ELglind." (Hear, hear:) What interest have the English to "induce them to sweep away our religious institutions? In what sctiool or college are youth educated with greater talent or greater success-where do they; receive a more thorough classical education-than in our colleges?: Where des a juang man learn his duty to Gud, to himself, to his country and to his Sovereiga better than in our Catholic colleges? .(Cheers) I passed tel yenrs of my life. Mr. Speaker, in a Catholic college, that of Montreal, and if I did not proft, by the instruction I received, mine is the failt, in that thouse, I heard nun but the counsels of wisdom, saw only esauples of virtise "in' the venerable prients who were intrusted with the care of my youth. (Cheers.) Where is better instruction in agriculture to be bad-agriculture, the soarce ot the prosperity of country - than in two or three Catholic colly ges in Lower Canada? Who has betterappreciated the force of the maxim, "The soil is the" country," than the Catholic elergy? What are the mordel fa: ms founded by the Govern: ment compared with the model farws of two or threer of our colleges? (Hear, hear.) Is it the Catholic clergy themelves who wound be endatgered by the liontederation? There is not a sugle ruyhtethokiog Englishmaí in the land who wal not stated upard testify to the sirtues ot our ceerg and their usetul. ness in the countiy! Wherever there inan asyluas: is be built, or a house of retuge fior the poor, the insane, the ayd of ghe orphan. then and there you stee the elergy foremmosi in the work, first to set the exatuple, and often detrayiag all the cost': (Hear, hear.) If he Quetn ot Englam! desires to see a faithful subject, on thas side of 㭠A Alatio She will assuredly find hine in the ranks ut the clery: "It the country calls ior a zeal. ous citizen a animated by the uoblest patriotism; the call will first be abswered us unistakably. by apriex-t $y$ one of thoe nied who seek no otber reward tor their actious than the approbation of their uwn cunscience-by ane of those who prifectly coniprehead the maxim that "the pertry of hfe is the fuitilment of duty"-by oue of thuse wiss but modest men, ay humble as they ara pious, who, standingever constant at the post which Providetce hus assigued to thim; instruet the joung, encourage the good, seek to briag
back the sidner into the paths of virtue, obey the laws and teach that obedience to others, pray daily for the happiness and prosperity of "Our Gracious Sovereign" and of the Mother Country, visit the poor in garret and cellar; soothe the sufferings, moral and physical, of the sick and dying, and finally. point out the road to hearen-they themselves leading the waly! (Prolonged chèrs) What have such men to fear from Coufederation? Nothnge No, Mr. Speaker, such men have nothing to fear! Eugland loves and reveres our clergy; and sees in them loyal and faithful subjects of the Queen. (Cheers.) Would you see an instance of what the Catholic elergy can do when the country wants a man of courage? All koom that the cuuntry is in a political dilemma, that the machnee of goverument is at a stand, that the sound of a mighty tempest. is heard from afar; that the fate of the country is truced wut in feeble and wavering lines in'an uncertain future, over shaduwed with threatening clouds filliug a void of conjecture and duubt; that the noment is come for the true friendy of hieir country-for men of education-to dectare their views on the course to be caken to guve the country frum the danger inperding and the perils of aetual everts. Wenthere tod we have a member' of the Catolic ctereg boldly standing turth to mive his opiatou on the subjed, and vouasel an in this melancholy crisis … I will red to you an extract of the letter of the Catholic Archbishop Convilly of Halifax, ou the subject of Conlederation:- -

Instead of carsing, like tho boys in the up. tarned boat and holding on until we are taifly: on the brink of the catariact, we mast at odice begin to pray and strike out for the shore by all. ameans, befure we geil too far down on the cyrrent. We mast, at hio most critucal momeat, invoke tha Arbitoe of uations for wisdom, aud abandeniug in tima our prethous pessition, we must strike out
 nearest shore-mome resting place of reatce security. A cavalry raid visit from our Fenian friends through the plains of Canada ind the ferute valleys of Now broaswiek and Nora Scutia, may coat more in a angle week thän Contederation for the aext fitye years; and if we are to believe you, where is the esecuiky, even at'the present moment, agansul such a disas ter?. Withous the whole power of the Mubier Country by land and sea; and the concentiation ia a dinglo hand of all the strength of British Ame rica, our condition is seed at a glance. Whenever the preseat dificiculties wall terminato- acd whocan
tell the momgnt? -we shall be at the mercy of our nei_hbols; and victorious or otherwise, they will be eminenly a mifit try people, and with all their apparent indifference about annexing this: country, and all the friendly feelings that may be talked'of, they will have the power to strike when they please. and this is precisely the kernel and the only touch, point of the whole question. No Dation ever had the power of conquest that did not use it, or abuse it, at the very first favorable opportunity: All that is said of the magnanimits and forbearance of mighty nations can be ezplained on the principle of stheer expediency, as the world knows. The whole face of Europe has been changed, and the dynnsties of many hundred yeary have heen swept away within our own time, on the principle of mizht alone-she oldest. the stronjest, and as some wiould bave it, the most sacied of titles. The thirteen original states. of tmerica, with all their professions of self © denial, have been all the time, by money power and by war, and by nezotiation, extending their fronter until they more than quadrupled their terfrimy within sixty years; and believe it who may, are they now of their own nceord to come to a fullytup? Nors as long as ther have the power, they must go onvard tor it is the very nature of powes to grip whate ver is within its reach. It is not their hostide teetings, therefore, but it is their power, and only their power, I dread; and I nuw state it as ay solemn conviction, that it "becumes the duty of every British sabject in these protinges to econtrol that power, not by the insane pulicy of atacking or weakening them, but bs stiens bening ourse'ves-rising, with the whole of Britain at obur back, to their level, and so be prepared for any emergency. There is no senisiblo or anprejudiced man in the community (who dios not see that vicorons and timely preparation is the ynly possiblet means of saving us from the horrors of a war such as the worid thas never seen. To be fully prepared is the only prasicieal argument that can have weight with a powiertil enemy; and make him panse beforehand atid count the cust. A:d as the sort of preparation I speak of is ntterly hopeless, withot the uniou of the provinces, no at a moment when pablic opinion is, being formed on this vital noint, - an one deeply concerned, I feel it a duty to declare myself untequivocally in tavor of Confederation as - ebeaply and as bonorably ubtained as possibiebut Confederatioiv at all hazards and at alt reasonable sacrifices. Ater the most mature esuaderation, and all the arguments I have beard on boith sitipes fir the last month, these are my inmont couvintions on the uecessit, and merits of a meatures which aloge, under Providence; can. secture sito zuy rocialforder, peace, and rational hiterty ind all the blessiags we now enjoy under the midedes Government aod the hallowed iastutu: tions of the freest and happitast counury in the wrild.
This letter is dated in January, 1805. The Catholif Bisho, of the Island of Nowfound: land, Monseignear Mulcoer, has also written
a magnificent letter in favor of Confederation.Moreover,' Mr. Speaker, when the time comes, our Catholic cleryy-our Ganadian clergy - will wake their voices heard in $f_{c}$ vor of the prop osed measure and will show the whole world that now; as formerly; they can keep pace with the times-that they can distinguish the true from the false, and that their paternal eyes wateh with the tenderest solicitude over the destinies of their children. (Loud cheers') Now, Mr Spenkfic. let us enst a glauce over the. E"glish colonies in Australia. They, like us, are devirins of taking: steps to form a Confederition, to. break from their state of isplation, stretching forth their arms to each other as beloved sisters, and making efforts to lay the foundation of a great empire ou the distant stio es of Oceania. (Hear, hear.) As to ourselves,: Jet hs show Evolapd that our hearts yearn to maintain o ar conqection with hèr, and she will spend her last soldier and last shilling to kerp and defend us against all the world, and to assist. us to becone a great and powerful nation sack ! back! thise who think that Englantl will rast us off, and leave us to our hard fite. Back! -all those who, like Bright, Cobden, Go.dwin Smiri, and others of that school, weary the ear with orying that. Englatid luses more than she gains by har culonies! Thy are caufrouted by the lugic of tacts.- Englad, without her colnies, would be a power of the second clasis. Let us hear what Mr Laing,"late - Minister of Finauce for India, said, in auswer to Goldwin Smitisand others:-

I would have you ohserve, said he; that our for"eign possessions ape by fur our"beat customers. taken together, thut make up neatly a thit of our import trade, and a half of cur export tr de. British India holds the firut place on the list, and ives as nearly $\sum^{5} 50.020000$ sterling of imperts, takiug in return $\pm 20,000,000$ of exports. "In the prestent year these figures will be great'y exceeded, and the rate of propress is more distinetly. uarkedi: the imports bisving been, 10 rears ayo, £ $10,6 / 2,000$ only, and the exports $£ 9,9 \div 0,000$. We find an Anstralia still mote astonishing resalts, if we consider the recent date of her estiab: lishment as a colony, and ber limited population. Besides gold, she sends "abont $£ 7,000,090$ of impoets, and takes from us $£ 13,000$, 00 of exports. The North Ameriesin colonies, with a popatation also Britiuh, "give us $£ \$, 00,000$ at imports, and take from ns nearly" £5,000,00: of "xports. The small island of the Mitaritius, which enjoys British Government and thrives with British capital, sends as nearly $£ 2,000,00$ whrth per year, and takes in return $£ 5,000,000$. These figures elearly show the advantages derived to "ommerce.
from colonies, and confate the false theories of those men who would persuade us to abandon our distant possessions as useless.

Observe, Mr. Speaker, that these enormons amounts are not in dollars ; but: pónnds sterling : each pound being worth nearly five dollars of our money. This is information for those who think that colonies are of no importance to England; that they add nothing to her grandeur, her power, or her commerce! Those who know anything at all of England, kuut perfectly well that she is an essentially commercial nation-perbaps the most commercial pation in the worldthat "that nation of shop-keepers," as it in as called by Napoleon I.; has always found in its commerce the chief element of its strength; for with commerce comes money, trum money men to carry on its wars. $\because$. The ancient Romans knev how to conquer povinces, countries, kingdoms, because their genius was essentially warlike; but they did not know how to keep them; because they had not what chiefly distiaguishes England-a genius for commerce. Accurdingly; when the English make themselves masters of any territory, you imme diately see a crowd of traders rush into it, build steres; find out the resources of the country, and next come a body of soldiers. to second the authority of justice, and enforce respect for law and order." In a short space of tiue you see a nation, but lately barbarian, buried in sluth and inactiou, shake off the slough of infaxicy, assume a different aspect, gruw rich and prosperous; and in turi cocperate in adding to the greataess of the Mother Cuntrẏ.. (Hear, hear.) Yes, Mr Spraker, England is bound to keep us. Losing us, she would at a future day, luse her West Iudian possessions; and would enter on the first phase of an eclipse" which she is too far:seeting not to anticipato and avoid. (Hear, hear.) : England sees with pleasure the efforts which our Government is making to carry out the union of all the provinces, and tooks upon nur future nation a a step in the right odirection-the ouly practical means of increasing our resources and strengthening our pawor. One word, Mr. Speaker, on the appeal to the people. There are three classes of men in bociety: those who deceive, thouse who are deceived, and those who are teither deceivers nor deceived. I bake my place advisedly amiong the last. I will nut rank as a deceiver; and as I have promised my constitienta that I
would lay before them, and explain the scheme of Confederation, with all its details; before giving my vote finally, I am at all times ready to do so: For the present, I shall vote purely and simply for the "reso lutions," becanse "I am in favor of the principle of. Confederation, and because, hereafter, when the Ministry shall have laid before us the plan for the local governments with its details, then will be the time to demand an appeal to the people, if my county requires it of me:" To ask for it only with reference to the principle of Confederation, and to ask for it again when we shall have the plan and all the details relating to the local governments, would be an absurdity; for it would be a double appeal to the peop le on two parts of the same scheme of Coufed. eration, and consequently two elections on the back of each other--a needless excess of expense and trouble, both for the country and the inemibers., We mant bear in mind that after the two elections coustituting tho double appeal to the peuples we must have still more general elections to inangurate the new Parliament, for the present session is the third of this Parliament. I would not be que of the deceived; and I should be so in a striking degree if L allowed myseft to be cajoled by the gentle purrings of tho Opposition, who make's show of agitation: for the appeal to the people, only that thes may have an opportunity, at any cost, of defeating the scheme of Confederation. I maintain, Mr. Speaker; that the, Opposition have not the "slightert wish to go to the conntry; and why? because if the Opposition had realls and truly wished for an appeal to the people, they would atiany time; within this last fortnight at least, have made a-motion in this House exprespive of their desire-as a preliminary - for such an appeal! The House has been debatiag this measure chree of fur weeks, but the Oppor sition have bot stiown the least/disposition to move for an appeal to the peopte; and, when it is too late, they will cone formid with such a nokion-(hear", hear)-andthei, when they do not carry it, they would go erging throughout thit land, in town and conntyy, that if theg. people have had no voice in the tùsimess, it is no fault of theirs; that they moved heaven and earth -but wuch was the bull-headed obstinacy of the Ministry, it was not to be obtaitied; and the people will believo them; and we, who are the real, the beat friends of the people, we shall be pointed at the real
criminals! Poor people! Why do you allow yourselves to be deceived? If the Ministers are desirous of pushing on the measure it is because of the cheek which the Ministry of New. Brunswict have just had, and because it is for us to use all diligence to show the Mother Country that we do not hang fire, but are ready to do our part to carry out the treaty or compromise agreed on by the delegates at the Conference held at Quebee. It is time should do something to improve our position ; for the intended revocation of the treaty of reciprocity, the probable abolition of the "tramsit", system, and other tokens of illfeelime with Which President Livcolv's Message of the pr sent year is filled, are enough to warn us to prepare to meet the storm which is blowing up on the politioal horizon, that we ought inmediately to Jook out for betior shetter thatu we have at present." (Heär.) If, hereaner, an appeal to the people; relative th the play and details of the local governmentr, becouies nécessary: I am eouvinced that majority of the esunties of both Canadas will understand their true interests, will be able to distinguish thoir real friends tron hate whis aim at deceiring them by thatiering theit preludices, and that wo shall be sent back to ibis place with full powers to rute the tial aduptiva of the scheme of Pontederation. (theers.) But if 1 , for. wis, and divilly told that I must stay at houe, I shall have the satisfaction of saying that Thate fallev lite a man who preferred his dity to a deeting popularity $;$ and althouig it may be an eany matter for the fair and intelligeat conaty of Vadrebil to sead to, this Housti, as its representative, a member mose competent in many respects, than I am, I venture to afirin thaticimill be diffecult to find any one who has more at heart than I have the interests, the happiness and the prosperity of his cooniry? (Conkinued checrs.) I have abundint reason to believe tilat the prople will compreheod the position of the country, will see that a neauture of this kind is decessary-asy; indispensable, and that wheng gace the union of the five provices or British North America has beeu pertecily setside, wo shall euter on a new era, an ara of progress in all things-industrial, manafacturing and commerciali, and shall begin to take a promiacut place among the nations of this vaat continent; the people will undetstand. inally, titat tho pessel of the state. has falled into the hands of able pilots, well qualified to take it into port, notwithstanding
the storms and rocks with which its courge is beset. (Chérs.) I for one, Mr. Spexak Er, have full confidence in our future in the bosom of Confederation. The day is, I think, not far distant when the "Good Genius" who rules over the future destiny of the new Empire of British North America will ery aloud, with unt foot on the shores of the Pacific while the other rests on that of the Atlautic- "All this is ours", This wealth, these fair fields, those pretty hamlets, those vast cities, in which thousands of people enjoy the fruits of their toil, and live without fear uader the Enylish flag, belong to us? See those factories, those works of all. Kinds, those canals and railways crossing each other iuevery direction, fostering trade througbout, the length aud breadth of this vast domain! Weare now a nomerous and a nighty peopleour population has grown - Europe has coivtributed its contiogent of brave and courageous hearts, who hare beed atiricted hither by the hope of an amount of happiness and prosperity which their native country had dented them.". Then too, this "Guod Genius," turning his eyes in the direction ot: Great Britain, will say with truth-"Yother, behold your eluest-born, "worthy of such a parent!" (पheers.) And posterity, glorying in their. aucestors, will exclain"-" behold the fruits of the conocientious and patriutic labors of that chosea band of thirty-three, who sat in high couferance at ${ }^{\circ}$ Quebec, in Oetuber, 184t;" (Luad cheers)

Hove Atary Gen GaRTER-After heariog the cloquent and talented speceth which the hiva, urember for - Vaudreă has just delivered, 1 have one smution of regreti? It is, that the wherable ancestor of that gentheman (the Hoñ. Alaine Chartieh de Lotminizas), who wás one of the first Speakery calledto the Cbair of the Leyoshative Assembly of Lower Canada, whose portrait adoris this House, has not, from the toubb, heard the acconts-t he well-cousidered, loyal and heart-felt expressiuiss of hita degcendant.: How justly would he have been proud othim! (Cheers.)

Hon Mr. LafRaMBOISE-Mr.Speakese, the honorable, nember, for Vandreuil asked, a monent ayg, what we French Canadiaus hay to fear under Coatederation? Well, I will tell him at pute, or rather when his fritods huve done congratulating him: The h norable genteman read as a couple of letters from bighops of the Lower Provinces ii order to convinee us that ailf matst be tor the best under Confedoration for vur Catholic
population ; with the permission of this honorable House, I will res: fur his benefit the letter of a Lower Cunadian priest, who, havivg the advantage of a somenhat closer view of things than the bishops of the Maritiue Pro vinces, is in a better position to judge whether our special institutions and our nationality will be sufficiently guarantced under the Federal system now about to be ia posed upon us. . (Hear hear.) This letter appeared in the Canadien:-

## To the Editor of the Cunadien:

Sif.- It the Confederation of the provinces may be considerid a thisy deeded upun, there is neventhelesy hoden mghe' lact that he minds of the people are filted with a lear and anixety which nohbing can remuse: I have read the speeches - of our repinse tatives; 1 have heaid their explan-
 unedsy than ever. The necessity of Confederation' bas-indeed been demunstrated, but bay there bega any attempt to expraifi cellain ciauses of a dangerves character in a French-Canadian and Caiholic pinhat of view $2 \cdot$ Prouises, eulogies, dazzing pictures of cur futue bjispects, figures more or less successfully wouped 11 thesene have haid ad nuuseam; but what 1 have looked Eir in van is á andisfact ary explanatyon as to our thare liberty of a tion under Cindederatua. Wha youriermisions str, 1 will siate as bretly as pusstife my objections to the achenae of ConfederCation, and tie foctures whoti came it to be dreadd su mich by atursat call, thiser who have studied it. 1 leate aside the questan of divorce; the ecciesiastalal authortie; heme siten upon the matter. I dos nitppered to be mure catholac than the Pu!e: "Lel eierg; one tear his own. respunibilly: When, at sume tifure day, Camhancower Canada will be distunered. by the piesence of a diviare courti. etery pte' will, an duabe hastern to wash bis halds of the maller, and repudate all respursinhty tur $\bullet$ • the citeumstanes hi whet we a.e placed. My objections to Cuntedeation as proposed, aretinst, the dutiget vis cet: pratizatiou it establigher ; "second, the emmiows expetse $s$ sentais. © Cet: tralization! $\because$ behnad the gteit daeger ot modery
 on each of un phainera the fextert mensure of
 wivel fan y that eur Mhastaty kad tone thetr best to leive us bit the weity suaterst measate
 Gower vested the the states of the Aurehatikear. federatite taey have given is a suthetre wiferabig

 caus d the war betwell the Nurth and he South, and hry es phere ur'to a new horde buad with all its diasiers. Teliqs seo what afe the powerg of the Cetifal Government, and the giobts of the proviners, and ut Luwer canada ia parucular, under our Coniederation. The Central Govera.
ment will be composed of-first, an elective Chamber, based on population; second, a Senite; third, an Execative Cousul, aud liespousible Ministers, and a Governor. The Lower House will he composed of 194 inembers. Of hese 194 sixty-five will be Lower Camadiars, a:d fitly French-Canadians.' In the House of Replest matives we shall therefore be one to thre $e_{p}$ or, if we count as French-Canadians; 1, 10 4.- How many Lower Canadiuns or Freach Canadians are we to have in the Executive Council? Une; Yeharn ; two at most. Such is the measude of our hifuence in the Central Govern mient. Aid' this is the Government that is to appoimt our seualuyafter the first selection: is made. It will appuiit or rather inupose upou us; a guyernor. It whilhavo the power of veto over all our local mensures. It will also enjoy that power thtough we goverior, its crealure! Was there teer a note dingerous centralizatiun? What hiterty of action, then, is theie eth to ur ir bsiature Aa . Uraigeman s will pertaizs be seit to yosern is ; and what can we saj? O. Onr sebiztors will bo. selected, if it should prense the central fower, from the ranks of our eneaves'; to whem thall w's apply for edress? "All our most bershed teical mieasure, our acts of "ucurporation, wall be reserved or veloed," and "who will" redresy war grevances? But all these are mere imaspary dangers! Ianginary, forsowihl Heave granto that they niay bel but do we not fnow he Oiazge inent? fen no the examplo of I reland beto o gur eyes? Bui the Sobderburd wat he quint; we ate thid; auen so well tried, so hutrabte as our leaders, would never propuse the meabure tor cur adopaina it at could posithty be ut a latal chas: 0 .
 stanesmen or to question their mioute. But ba:o ourstetesnieia always ayodd d coatradictoa- yatigerous méasures? is is prudent tu trixat sesely to ruen, without scrutmazy there methoudes -What of the experience of the past? What of
 weare told again, "poue of thedanifer a joy har cant arise ; the thin is tumonsibie: " lupuastict Why, then, feive a porsibithy of danger dis tha law? Why so mutch haste with a fitioust of sueb inpiotlanee? The authue of the Consurt : Hon ul the Cuited Stares labivied tor mombis and years at the dratt of hame Coumederation, and
 statep nien efaborate Constitution'in atew duy,
 andiwe are toid that colshathen ts perters! - You must not touch at; you "bhalk matiomend.






 the peopte for Confrderation? As to the ciersy, nion they are notiall tor giur Coutederat wh as it is proposed. A great coniny of the wh, it is inue, feel no uateriness, aid trust all to ous suatesmen;
,but many of them, also, dread it, añd would wish to see it anmped. As to the people they know nothing ab ut jour" scheme, and uutil the time cones when they; shall uudirgo the' ordeal of tuxes and imposts, they will, I fancy, exhibst the ntm st indifference, But let the Confederation be carited out. let the fabulons expenses be commenced comimected with the defence of the countrg, the support of a militia. the creation of a marine, the constraction of the Intercolonial Rui way and other public works, and, as the prcveb bas sp "Time will tell" Yes, we shall then percrive ithe diststrudis results of this measure, but it will be a hitue too late: I now come to my gecond objectom to the sehene of Confedera. tion. With your permission I shall treat it on-a future oceandina.

A Citize.
Quebec, March ôtb, 1865́:
Well Mr. Spetiker, if I an not mistaken, that reveren's gentlemin' a metuber of our doryy. sems to be sonewhat less convinced thin our Ministe, sud the tonorable member for Vandreuil of the safety of our religious iateresta, ant of our nationaliy. Are not his expressions suthyeatly cuergetic and signia citit. Butlet us now see whether the rever. endiventlenan has gronds for his alarm, and whether he is not somewhat carried away by his zeal and putriotic anxiety for the welfare of his fellow-wuntrymen. L Let us see whether, on the contrary, he does not appreciate more corvectly than our Lower Canada Ministers tho position in which we shall be placed by Confeter tion,: think we shall be enabled to juage trom an article which appeared in a Hee natuber of the organ of the Honorable Prestident of the Council. The Toronto Globe of the 6 th Mareh inat., - a paper which is now one of the pritucipat organs of the present Guvermagut pubis es an article, written pertapa by the Hounrable President of the Council himself, in which find the fotlowiug kindly expression applied to our honored clergy-
We trust that shose wethmearing but mistaken. fremt, of the Common Schoot system of Upper Canades wito have brea cegsuring the educational ajocemeat in the Quebec resolutions, will now see sodethus, of its value.: Bishop. Lyxen's buid letter shzuld bie a wirriing to us all how utien ly unsure our sehools ture uider the present Cgothution. The Homish Church is ever ag. - "frestrive" yetung' today concetaiolis with which it pratisese to be emiretly sotistied winly to come back and dyanai d nem souea at the Grai appor-tunty-: (lider our prexant parliamentary system, it is never safe to gay that the hominh Sistiops in Canada cantet, with a hitlé labor, wet all they may ant. . Ubder Confederation, while gladiy "crying quity" and leaving them what thoy new baye and can keep in spite of as, we should be
placed in a position to refuse them anything more: But let cur present Constitutiun "Ja,t five years longer, and the chances are that the sew dematids of the bieraichy wall be conced d.).
If the honorable gentleman is not satisficd now that the fears of the cleruy are well founded, I really cannot see how he can pons sibly be convinced. (Hear; hear.) That honorable member gave us a splendid and perfeotly just eulogium of the adimirable merits and devotedness of our Lower Canadian clergy -an culogium which expresses the thought of every man who has any feelingot admiration for deserving merit, wherever it may be found, and whatever may be his own nationality or religion-an eulogiun which I endorse, with my whole heart. (Hear, heir.) But, Mr. Speakbr, I am not the less convinced that evërything foreshadowed by the extract Lhave just read from the Glube is destined to occur one day, if we adopt the measure now before us. And what is the meaning of the petitions: pouring in every day by thousibds; why all these crosses affixed to these energetie and patriotic "protests-crisses formed by ruce' hands gaided by noble hearts? (Hear, hear.) I will tell you, Mr. Speaker; why there (re so many crosses; it is because, previous to. the union of the Canadas, othe Legislative Council was composed of enemies of the Lower Canadiuns, who refused, for a great number of years, to make even the mest paltry grants for our Lower Canada schools. Thanks to this. tyrannical proseription, the schools were closed by huadreds, "and the childrea of our people were un ble to obtain the bencfits of education; of which they would nost cortainly have availed themselves $\because$ Hepee it is that- the petitions pouring in upon us from all quarters, to protest against the oppression about to be establi-hed, "are in great part sigued "with crosses-croises ecrtainly of equal value with the magnificent signatures of certain hoporable nembers of this Huase, who have attotupted to tura into ridieule the signatures of these petitions. At that period, Mr. Speak\&R, the Canadian olergy were as they are to day, the leaders of the education movenreat; and the British oligarehy did tall in its power to contruet the linits of their noble work-the eduation of the children of the soil. IHear, hear.) Bat thanks tơ the constant and energetio protests of patriotio men-thanks to the struggles they maintained for many a long. year-struggles which culutinated at last in op $n$ rebellion against the authority of Great Britain- we gained the liberties we now enjoy. And with referance to the rebellion; I think
the Honorable Attorney General East must remember that he himself was one of those who raised the flag of freedom at St. Charles, and donned the cap of liberty:" At that period, Mr. Speaker the Honorable Attorney General East did not shrink from open rebellion against the Crown, in order to secure what he considered the legitimate liberties of his fellow-citizens; to-day he does not shrink from a baronetcy, the reward of the treason he is prepared to consummate against his same fellow-citizens. (Hear, hear.)" "I said a moment ago that Freach-Canadians had every reason to fear for the safety of their institutions under Confederation, and I will prove it by quotinga few passages from the celebrated report of Lord Durbay- - a report which has been used as a model by the Government in preparing their scheme of Confederation - in fact the latter is copied almost word for word from that able summary of the means to be adopted for the utter annibilation of French nationality" in this country. (Hear, hear.) To those who miny feel inclined to consider my fears unfounded, I have but one thing to say : you may rest assured that the English members will not allow themselves to be led by the few French-Canadian members of the Federal Goveroment, and that they will strive conscientiousiy, and in some sort naturally, to carry out the work initiated by Lord DenHas, and carried on up to this day with a degree of akill and ability which; though de feated in some instances, was none the less calculated to produce the results foreseen and desired by Great Britain. I will read to the House an extract from the report in question; for it is good to remind the representa: tives of Lower Canada of these facts-

Sever again will the British popalation boter ate 'the authority of a House 'of Asumbly in which the Erench thall possess, or even appruxt: mate to a majority.
Such, Mr. Speaker, are the expreasions used by Lord Duriay in his despatch to the Eug: lish Goverament ${ }^{2}$ and 1 will show how taith. Pully, the plan has been carried out... It was betrun by a union of the two Canadas, and it is to be continued by a Confederation of all the Provinees of British North Awerica, and consumimated at last by a levislative union, under which the French race will be absorbicd and annihilated for ever. (Hear, hear. x An honorable meimber who addressed the House during yesterday's sitting told ua that Coufederation would be the begining of the

## end, and the destruction of the Lower Cana-

 dians. It would have been impossible to describe more truly the position in which we shall find ourselves placed under Confederation. (Hear, hear.). The honorable member for Vaudreuil (Mr.: Hariwood) said there Were as many Catholics in London as there were in Rome itself, the centre of Catholicity. Well; what is the value of that assertion? Does it prove anything in fayor of his argument? How many members are there in the English Parliamont to: represent the Ca: tholics of Great Britain? If I am not mistaken, I think there are but two or three. Now I ask what influence ean the Catholic population have in that Parliament, and what power have they to protect their institutions and their libertics.' If the honorable metuber for Vaudrevil thinks he bas brought furward an unanswerable argunent, he is very much mistiken, for the argument turas eatirely aganat him. (Hear, hears) The honorable nember for Vavereuil atiso brought forward, in fasor of Confederation: an argunient which bears a cer tsin appearance of plausibleness and weight: He said that if we adopt Confederation, Lower Canada will enjoy the rich coal mines of New Bumawiok. Does the honorable meinber fancy that the coal is to. be delivered to us free of all cost and chargen, and withouk our having to give anything in ex ohange for it? (Hear, hear:) Really, Mr. SPEAKEA; it seems to me that when only such arguments as these are avalable in support of a case, it would be quite as well to siay nothing about it. It may be that the praises profusely bestowed by the Hundrable Aviorioy Gencral East on the honurable mumber tor Vqudreuil are well deserved. It may be that the Houorable Attorncy tienoral thiciks so; but for my part-I nay it in all siacerity - I coasider that the atyle of elo. guence dipplayed here by the boo wienber tor Vaudreail was better caleulated to win the applanse of it parish meethag; the hollows tindel of that style of eloquence may take with a certain clasis of men, but Idwnot has. ifatie to assert that it is hardly the tind ot spreces suited to this Houss. What is to quired here is a specel calculated to bring conviction to the minds of those who linten. No doabt the hoin member for Vaudreat turued wany pretty and, elegant phrames, bat for'all that, I cannot help thinking that the Honorable Attorney Ginaeral's conpliments were somewhat extravagant, and that he ouly apolie as hẹ did io arder to remove the ini-pression of the contempt he affects" to entertains for his féllow-countrymen holding seats in this House, who hold opinions different from his, and for all the French speeches delivered on this side of the Honse siace he brought down his Confederation scheme. After all, the Honorable Attorney General has a perfect right to pay coupliinents to any one he likes, aud whenever he likes; and in making these remarts I do not complain of his having forined that opinion of the honorable mecuber for Vaudreuil. The honorable member also told us that the Government had done everything in their power, and that they lid examined the question of Confederation from the stand point of the five parties to the copitract. I think so too, and 1 do not hesitate th say that if our French-Canadian Minsters present at the Conference had examined the yuestion from a Lower Canadian puint of vies-since they were charged with the protection of our interesto-it is highly probable that many things unfavorable to those interests, which the scheme now preepts, would have been removed." Bat the hnorable member for Vaudreuil must know that the Lower Canadian Ministers at the Comfertice onght to have gone there to re present the interests of ther fellow-countryhinte, and to defend those interests if necessary it the same way that the representatives I' the cther untionalities went there to represut thoo of their fellow-countrymen; and the event shoms but two clearly bow. strenuously the tatter worked for their own interests, The cheneme of Confederation shows clearly that the English race have in this, as in every other instances, been favored, wo the detriwent of the Frevich elenent. They obtained evers thing ar hearly everything, they desired.
It being dix o'clooks, the Speaker left the chair.

## After the recens,

Huv. Me LAFRABIBOISE resumed his trequrls as follówo-Mr. Speaker, as a pre lude to the remarks I proposed making against Cputederation during the first part of this githing, I answered some of the arguments brughe florward by the honorable member Ger' Vandrenal; in support of the scheme as poposed for the consideration of this Hense. I" nhall now proced to examine cortuin privas of the seherue, and show the absurdity of the arganente brought forward io suppiott of it. It has been atated by honorable genthmen opg site that Confederation is a conpromise. WrH, Mr. Speakea, what is the zeaning of the word "compromise"?

It means an understanding arrived at by means of mutual concessions; and in the case now before us, I find concessions made only on one side and none whatever on the other. I find that the concessions hive all been made by Lower Canada to Upper Canadá' the concession of representation based upon popula-. tion, the concession to the Federal Parliamient of the right to legislate on marriage and divorce. Not a siugle concession to Lower Candda. All the Lower Canadian members of the Administration have, in their turn, told us that Upper Canada has made wọncessions to Lower Canada, but' not one of those honorable gentlemen lave pointed out single instance of the kind. In looking over is pamphlet which has becoue celebrated for many reasons which I need a to enumerateI mean the pamphlet of the honorable member for Muntmoreacy-I find that Upper Canada has mady one concessiton to Lower Cavada. . The honorable gentlepan says, with reference to the concesision of representation based upon population :-

Every confederation is a compromise, and where would be the compromise if nothing wele conceded by both sides? The compromise mide by Lower Canada is representation bised upon population in the Lower House, and the comprón mase on the part of Upper Canada is the concession of equality in the typer Housem exchange for represeniation hased upon pupulation in the Assembly: The same cumpromite uccur's between the two Canadas and the Maritime Pro inces; and it is bajed upon the same priucrpie.
Thus, Mr Speaker, the only concession the honorable niember tor Montmorency has succeeded in shewing in favor of Lower Cauada, notwithstanding the eminent talents we all admit he pessesses, and his'well-khown zeal for the Ministerial scheme, is that which I have just mentioned, and in ny opintion it is no concession at all, sitice hower canada had and still has the right to clam an equal representation in both Houses of the Legislature, Let us now see what is the nature if the concessions made by Lower Canada to Upper Canada. In the lirst place; I tind this, the nost inportant of all, and which by itself is worth all the rest-I wean the concesstua of representation based apon. population. No one has furgottea the muimated discussions which oecurred, both in this House and elsewhere, relative to this question:' What means wert not euployed and what efforts were not mado by the Cutiservative party irr order to make political capital out of that question, and What success have not this same party,
who now concede representation based upon population, obtained in Lover Canada by loudly proc aiming that the Liberal party, or rather the "Ruaye party," as they were pleased to style us, were ready to grant to the Honorable President of the Council representation bised upon population? Well, Mr. Speaker, the accusation ntade agaidet the Liberal party, of being prepared to grant to" the Honerable. Presideut of the Cuaticil his "clerished measure, I shall leare to that hon. gentleman hmelf the task of answering: We heard him declare in this House that he had offered the hoo member for Huchelaga to continue to wort whih him if he was willis to concede the principle of representation básed upon population, nud that that gentlonan having refased tii couply with the demand, he had accipted the ulliance of the Hin. Attornev Gencral East, who gave him- all he arked," (Meat, hear.): But Mr Speaker, there is som. thing still more inportant than that. A fow days ajo, the Hou President of the Council, addressing the bon: nembers for Huchélaga aud Chatcauguay; said; "I had long considered that yi u"were, the beit fiiends of Upper Camada, but I ean see to do ${ }^{\prime}$ that your are not, and that our real friendis are the Uon: Attoracy Germal for Lower Cabada and bis Lower (Canda colleayues:" (Ilear, hear.). Alter having granted the fiverite measure of the great Clear (o' it chief, the Lower lianada delegates duabrless eonsider.d that that wos not sutficient, ripe they alo made another important concession to lipper Canada diad to the Protestants of Lower Canal: by vesting in the Fideral Govera. inent the power of legistating on marriage and divore- (bear, hear) two questions. upon which the Freuch flandians were united by the bonds of a common fuith, and on which they conld not tolerate any discus: sion; and the Ministers, therefore, ought not to have made thoss curcespigus, whiten are utterly opposed to the religions doenines they theaselves protess I' sey that ruwer has been given to the Federal Govertiment to legislate on divorce and to legnt $x$ it, and I am not mistaken in saying at, for the principle is adopted by the fact of givite to the Federil Legislarne the right of legisiat ing on this question. This power onght is have been pranted to the i cetl legislasures, and not to the. Federal lecginature, as bas Leen done; and 1 shal prove it in this way: the other day, the Hon. Solicitor General
for Lower Canada (Hon. Mr. Lanoevin) told us, that as regards Lover Canada, there was no necessity tur grauting to its legislature the pormen of lexi lating on disorce, because, said he, "the religious authorities are recopnize $i$; bui it was necessary and proper togrant that power to UpperClanada" t Hear, hear.) Now, I ank, if nower Canada did not require that power of legishuting; why has it bederven to the $F$ veral Legislature, wheh will be composed in great majority of Protestatse, whe do not huld the saue ppinion that we do ci these yuesti no, When it is evilent that hat Legilature will pröbably grant bills of div ree to all persous who apply for the"u, wishout cousidering whether the patiss are Caholits or Protevtants? If divorectin condmand by the Cath he relisinn I mantain that it is wroug to grame that power to a Legishare whech whl le compose"! iz" preat pait of Pratestant members, ready whentite on ditore, and to grate diverces tio these who bring finivart what they, taty consider
 to whenin diviste. whent emindering whether the relgigns taith of the partes promits of ioes uot pertaic diverice. It divorer be cosdenine I by the lathuide Ohyreb -and ati the world knows that in is \$o condentied in the tust. Firmal manner-the power the Legitature in this matter ought tolume been restricted, a nu mas mate gencall, as it is proposed to hasher it in the seltecte of Confucratin ushmitedous Mr.Speckea, I have showne I thioks, that lown Cagada has gatued nothug, but the the deas coun ceded ivesything ta this conpromise; aree, io order the cover these gully equcessiopy, we are ted, "But the proto fion of cur ustitution a and the mataterance of war law are tully and amply cuaranted to usby the bew Gonsticuina:" In the dist place: under

 demonatrate "they will; bur, even' though it were the cese; dues not the Constumion under white we wow bive afford us tuftuitely better gharanices horalinur dearesi liberties? Let ps cuathes, for a bene at, what speted of guaratre we hase uider tise persent - ysteme aud what guaranges. we thall bave under the Foteral oy whe. Tho guarate wheh the Frearh ( anaditas have under the
 of 65 nuinlet, hiy couri at least 01 of their win orgin atid lumb, abd that they
possess in the country and in the Legislature so powerful in influence, that the existence of any and every government depends on their good-will, aud that co legislation can be carried on without their con'sept; whereas, under the new Constitution, the General Legislature will be compused of $19 t$ members, Lower Canada having 65, of whom If at least wili be Englisn and Protestauts, leaving thus 51 French-Canadian or Catholic meabers. Now, even if these 51 members act rogether ais one man, they will have to struggle against lis members of a different origin and a dif. terent faith from themselves Thus, Mr. Spbiker, 1 am convined, that the guarantees wa enjoy under our present Constitu-tion-ctarantees which are assured to us as long as we do not chango our sytem of government-are infinitely superior to those offered to us by the new Constitution which it in seught to turce upori tho people.: But we" are told that the Federal Guvernmeit will have the Cathote minority to deal with, and that the assissanes if the lutter will be abiolutily pecensary to carryiug it un. Well; I ask, Mr. Speaker. what can minority composed of 51 mombers do against a niajorty of 143; and what protection can it affer to our laws, our institutions and cur language ? No; it is evideat that will these thines which we hild so dear may, under the Federal system, disap pear and be anni. hilated at any moment; they, will be congtantly at the merey of our ivatural cocanins, Io urder to secure Contederation, sou have graited'to Upper Cabada representation based on pupulation-a prineiply agniusit which the poople of Lower Canadu have always voted a oue min, and yen havo alio grated everything that the $\mathrm{U}_{\text {I }}$ per Catadiao drfegates desiret to bbitain for themedves aded theor coreligionisis. Itis quite natural that the Eughth" metwbers is Lutwer ("gnada shoule be netarly all in faver of the schewe, since they have a sure guarsuree in the veto power of the Fedeal Leginature Thas the local Legisfature of Lover Carada canoot pass a siggte law without submitting it the thaction ot the Eederal Legislature; Which can, by its veto, amand, chacge or conpletely anuul, if it thake proper, any lam or any measureso subuitued to it". But what guarantee will the Federal Legistature offer to the Erobehthatadian majority of Lownt Cabada, and to the Cathulie minority of Upper Canada? None whatever. How: can the greas Conservative party whioh
boazts so loudly of representing the interests of the Catholics of Lower Canada, which takes its stand as the natural protector of the religion and the fath of Catholics-(hear, hear.-very absindly I mustadmit-how can that great party, I say, have forgotten, as it evidently bas furgotten, that there are Catholies in Upper Canada who expected and are entilled to its protection? How will the Catholic minority in Upper Canada be protected in the Local Legislature, of Upper Canada; composed of Englishmen and Protestants? Shall I tell you how, Mr. Speakey? Wel, they will be protected by two members only, the hon. members for Cornwatland Giengarry (Hob, Mr. J.S. Macdonalid and Mr. DONald A. MCDonald). Tho great Conservative party, which styles itself the protector of Catholici-m; has simply handed oves the Cathelic minority of Upper Canada ta the tender mercies of their encmies: And to give an idea of the kidd of protectiou they ivill edjog under the nem system, it is sufficient to state that a fes days ago, Bishop Lisce, of Toronto, was forced to address himself publicly, through the ptess, to the citizens of Toronto, to protest agaiuse the insults offered in broad daylight, in the public streets of that city and elsewhere, to revered. Sisters of Charity, and to ask protection for the venerable ladies of that community; and then look at the fanatical and intolerant writiogs, such as tho e I read th, thir Honorable llouse buture the reces, froin an article in the Clide of the 6ith March-a' paper "which ripresents the opinions of the preernt Government, and Which is the organ and property of the Hon. Presiduat of the Excecutive Conutil (Hon. Mr. ${ }^{\circ}$ Brown). Can it be said that we bave nothing of fear, that the religious insitutions of Upper Canada wili le perfectly safe under the system spught to be introduced into the country? Does not the hon. member for Nontmorency admit, in his famous pamphlet of 1865 , that our religious i stitutions have many a time been insalted'in this House? And has not the Binhop of Toronto just complained that sisters of Charity have been insulted in the atreets of the capital of Upper Canada, und that they have been turaed into ridiculs at manquerades and masked balla, frequented by the best society of that locality? "And in order that every one mang be convinced of the faet, I take. the liberty of readiug his letter, whigh is as follows:-

## TO TEE CITIZEMS OF TORONTV.

The Sisters of Charity have been from time to time gross!y insulted in this city. Men have rudely serzed hold of then in the public streets whilst going on their errand of charity; they have been pelted , with stones and snow balls. They have been called the must oprrobrious and insulting nanes; their costume has been contumeliously ex hibited in masquerades on a shating riuk. We, contiding in the hunor and justice of the gentlemen of l'oronto, must respectfully ask protection in the premises.

Your obedirat servant,

> Juns Josisph Lysce, Bishop of Toronto.

But even though many hon members of this? House doubted the truth of the statements made in that letter, is not the: danger we shall incur, as Catholics, once we are placed at the nerciy of our cuefiles, exemplified by facts which they cannot bave furgoted ? I mean the bumberless injuries and insults offered by an houorable member of this House to everything Cathulics hold dèar. Have we furgotten the infativus oharges utiered by, one of the friends and warm supporters of the Hon President of the Council (Hoa. Mr. Beows) out the floor of this House? Well, I ask you now-you, the great Conservative party, the nutural protectors of vur riligion and uf ats adetirable ingtitutions- what have you dune ie secure protection tor the Cathultes of Cipper Canada in the new Contederation? Nothing whitever.'. '(Hear, bear) But if Lower Canada has ubtained no new concessione and if her position ts bo biter under the new gystem than under the presina wae, why are we to have Cuntederation? I ean answer the "question, and, in fact, the answer is patent to every one : our Miaisters had recourse to Cyufederation simply becanace it presented a pretext fur clingtag to office, and erijuytug the sweets of puwer fior a tew. gears louger. That is the reason, and the one only reason, for their alliance with a man who despises them in his lieart, and who joined them onty because they aưrance his plane and ambicious desigos. The Hon. Solicitor trenéral tur Lower Canada ex: plained to us the other eveniag the iutentions of the Goverabient. It sounded very well, no duabt; but ofery one kuows that the intentions of a government are not unchangable, that they may change them, and that they have in fuet, already dune so. At the time of the torsation of the proaed Ministry, did not the Lower Unada Mingeters tell their friends in this House, and

Was it not repeated in every shape by their newspapers, "Don't be uneasy,"Confederation will not be carried out." The Hon. Commissioner of Public Works (Hon. Mr. Capapais) did not deny having stated to a priest of this district, "that he must be guiet ; that there was nothing to fear; that Confederation: wo ld mit be carried out; that the whole thing was done in order to. entrap the great Clear Grit leader and to get rid of him for ever, and of the Lower Canada Liberal party"." (Hear, hear.) It seems that our lower Canadian Miuisters did not take into acconnt the pressure of the Upper Canada memibers, nor that of the delegates from the Maritime Provincess who. by combining together, obtained all the concessions they desired from the infinitesi. mal Lower Canadian minority representing us at the Conference of Quebec: They wre told that Confederation must be carred yat "under such and sueh conditions; and these brave patriots, in order to avoid losing their oherished ministerial places. did not traitate to sacrifice their fellow-countrynem. They accepted all the coaditions of the Protestant delegates, nand now they are striving to induce the House, and partenlarly the Lower Canadian menbers of it, to ratify their shameful concessions Unhappily for Lower Canada, I tear the Hlouse will vote tor the desiruction of French. Canadian nationality in this province There is one important point which wust not be lost sight of, namely, that ihe great majority of the Upper Cainadian members are is laver of Confederation, because everything in it is entirely to their gdvantage; but I cannot conceive how a majority of Lower Candian uembers can be in favor of the measure True, many of these meabers are repudiated by their connties, aud do not represeat the opinions of the majority of their cunstituento on this question, ahd it is certain that many of those who will rote for this eveleme will never have an opportunity of poting for tho project, if an appeal be made to the people (Hear, hear.) "With reference to divoree, I say that if the doetrines of the Cathults religion tell us that it is wrong and ertan. inal to grant it, and that latholics exanis aceept it, it was the duty of our Minimeters at the Conierence to do all in their power to restrict it. True, it was not posisibie to pre: veat it in Upper Canada and in the Maritime Iroviuees, but it might bave beed done as regards Lower Canada; cud if it was deemed right to grant the power of
legislating on this question, it ought to have been given to the local governmęuts. But divorce was granted in this way because , England had established aspecial tribunal for this matter, and England desired that divorce shüuld be granted in Lower Capada as well as in every other proviuce of British North America. Our Lower Canadian Ministers have simply Fielded to the British influence which has beeno omnipoteut in the Convention. (Hear; hear.). They say "It is very true that the Catholic religion prohibits divorce, but rote in fayor of its establishment; for if you do not, the Rouye party will return to power aud destroy all your religious institutious, if jou give them the control of the goverament of the country." Well, geitlemen upholders of religion; ought you not to use every means to prevene these dreadful Rouges from makitig inse of the latw which you yourselves are about to estrablish, which will enable them to obtaiin divorce whenever they please, and thus to insult the dogmas and doctrites of the Catholio Church?: The Hon. Sol. Gen:. Eist (Hon. Mr. Lanaevin) gave as, the other biight; what he pretended were satisfactury explanations-satisfactory to him; perhaps-on the law of divorce: Well, Mr. Speake ; let us examine these wonderful. explanations. That hon gentleman told us. that it was simplya law authorizing the de: clarotion that a marriage contracted in any of the confederated provinces, in accordance with the laws of the province in which it was coutracted, should be deemed to be valid in lower Caviada in case the husband and wile came to revide thero. Well, I ask yuu, Mr Skitikn, it there was any neceasity lor mining this provision in the new Constitutiou? Would not a marriage, under the present Cobstitution, contracted uader the circumstances reterred to by the Hon. Solicitor Geceral for Lower Canada, be as vulid as it would beundar the Confederation? Certaiuly it Tuuld! Ithen what do the Goverament fuean? I am well aware that the Catholie. members from Lower Canada will not admitit,' and I kiow that they refused to believe me wten I miade the assertion, bat I do not: bestatio to repeat it here," tha it is the inteation of the Couvention te legalize civil marrages, TLe Lower Canadian section of the Ministry has goe ventured to admit it, because they well knew that they would draw dowa upes themselves the disapprobatiou of tiot clergy of the country, and of all their fellow-couutrymen. : It the power con-
ferred on the Federal Legislature in relation to this matter means anything at all, it is that and nothing else, and all the explavations given by the Hon. Solicitor General for Lower Canada and his colleagues are utterly valueless, aad cannot be accepted by the Catholic members. Why say that divorce will be permitted? It the existing law authorizes divorce now, it was quite unnecessary to" make"a new law on the subject, and to make it an article of the new Constitution: The Government takes every means in its power to conceal the real intentions of the Conference on this important point of the scheme, but I am firmly convinced that their object is perfectly understood, and the future will prove whether or not I am mistaken when $I$ assert that it is intended to nake civil marriages legal in this country. Ode of the reasons-and the only one which I have been able to discover-for which the present Government has granted power to the Federal Legislature to decree divoree, is that the Protestants of Lower Canada would. never; but tor that provision; have given. their support to the Confederation measure proposed by our Ministers. I am well aware that there are zertain Protestant denomina-: tions whose doctrines forbid divorce, bat I do not hesitate to say that the ouly reason of the concession is the une I have just stated. Bevides; in the pamphlet of the hon. member for Montmorency, I find a very strong admission:-

Catholic opinion urged thit a question of such social importance should be left to the local goverameits, but let it be anderstood that in leaving it as regards Lower Canadat to a Protest. ant majority, ye only maintain the present couditiva of that importmat questiou. By so referring it to the Federal Government, we avoid many cauzes of contention and wany vioten: coimplaints whith might eventually be listined to by the Hother Couutry, where divorce is lagalized and operates as a social institution.

Who can say that the Protestants-who are in great majority in our present Parliameni, and who will constitute the two-thirds of the Confederation -would ever bare conseated to loculize legishation on the subject of livorce?

The hon member for Muntiüorsog knows just as well as I do that the Protestants of Lower Cauada" would nut have liked it, and that to ubtain their support, it has beeu said to them, "Oh yes, let us coucede that tou; we bave yielded representatiou by population, let us also give them divorce and any. thing else they like."

Hò. Sol Griv. LANGEVIN-Hear! hear!

Hon: Mr. LAFRAMBOISE-The hod. member may exclaiu "Hear, hear,". as loudly and "is often as he likes, but those who heard him deliver the ( I will not say eloquent, because that would not be true) speech which he made in opposition to the first reading of the Benning Divorce Bill, and "who now behold him imposing on Catholies, whodo not desire it, the consequences of a principle which we then refused to apply to Protestants who sought for it-those 1 say are justified in believinar and in saying that thi Hen. Solicitor General for Lower Canada bas either renounced his tormer opinious on divorce inasnuch as he authorizes the Federal Levislature to legislate on this subject, and to grar t divorese either to Protestauts or Cathilics, and either to Upper or Lower Cauda, or he could not have been very sincere in his upposition to the Beuning Bill. (Hear. hear) There is one certain fact, and that is that the Protestanits of Lower Canada have sand torthe Goveriment, ©r Pass a measure whict shall guaratitee to us the stability atrd protection of our educational systen and of our reli"ibus institutions, and we will support your seheme of Cubfederation; unless you'do, we will neversupport you, because we do not" wish to place ourselves at the mercy of a Local Legistature the three fourthe of the members of which will be 'Gathilies." They were perfectly justitiable in actiog as they did, although it is generally aduitted that we Cath.lice have much more liberality than the I'rotestantsand this is to a certain extent proved by the fact that several of cur Lower (Canadian counties are represented by Protestants. I du not, huwever, Mr. Speaker, I do not wish to reproach the Protestant minority of Lower Canada for havipg pro-s tected its owii interests. 1 admit that in duitig this they have only done their duty; tor who can say atter, all, what'ten years may bring forth? Ten yeary hence fotas may be changed apon this question, and it it be true, asstated by the Torwito Ciliok-and the Ministy cansot say that thie journal duer not speak the truth, as it is the organ of the present Governacen-if it be true that the Cath lio elergy are an enervathing body, that they are never satisfith, and that thiry seek to the poseswion of all they seeif that be true, Mr. Speakga, who will sày that in a few years the Lower Caiadians will oct' be disposed io-say to the Protestant
minority, "We insist that all the schools should be Catholic," as the niajority in Upper Cavada has said to the Catholie minority there, many and many a time, and as it will before long say again if ${ }^{\circ}$ Confeder-: ation takes place. (Hear, hear.) I need not say that I do not believe that the Catholies of this section will ever push intolerance to that extent; but on the other hand, I cannot butt approve of the determiaation of the Protestant minority to protect themselves from all eventualities of this nature ; and for the same reason, I say that iwe also ought to take every precaution, and that we ought not to suffer ohr dearest interests to be at the meros of a Protestatit majority in the Federal Legislaturè: (Hear, hear.) We are not justified in asking for any concessions which we are not curselves. prepared to yield. (Hear, hear.): Before the Honse rose at six o'clock, I stated, Mr. Spejiker, that the plan of Confederation was, so to speak, traced word for word open the famous report of Lord Duruisi. With the permission of the House, I will take the liberty of reading a few extracts from that report, in which the author, after having asserted a number of falsehoods in relation to our race, which I will not trouble the House with reading, declares that we cught. to be merged into the English natiouality. Observe how similar the ideas of the nuble lord are to those which are expressed in the plan of Coniederation. I-cite for the second time the following paragraph:-

Never again will the British population tolerate the authority of a House of Assembly in which the Freach shall possess:- or even approxiautio to a majority.

Here, Mr: Spanka, we have a mentiment whieh shews that Englatd has followed, step by step, the advice of Lurd Dearam. The hon member for South Leeds said the other night that he hoped hat wes should soon attain to a legrshative union. Well, a legislative union was also one of Lutd DuaHAM's dreams I proceed to read mother extraet from his reppori:-
It will be ackiowiledged by evigry wat whe bas observed the progyess of Anglo-saxua colenizar. tion in Anerica, that guonef or later the Eightioh race was guze to prodoninate soren numericalty in Lower Canada, as they predorninate abrendy by thetr superior knowitedge; encorgy, eaterprise and we dith. The error, cherefore, to which the present caatest must be attiributed, is the vain eudeavor to preserva a French-Canadian nation-
ality in the midst of Anglo-American colonies and states.

A little further, Mr. Speaker, I read as follows:-

These general principles:apply, however, only to those chianges in the system of government which are reyuired in order to rectify disorders common to thl the North American colonies; "but they do not, in any degree, go to remove those evils in the present state of Lower Canada, which requise the most innediate remedy. The fatal feud of origin, which is the cause of the most extensive mischief, would be aggravated at the present mẹnent by any change which should give the majority more power than they have hitherto possessed. A plain, by which it is proposed to insare the tranyuit government of tower Canada, must fuclude in atself the means of putting an end to the agtation of national disputes in the Legis. latuee by settling, at once and for ever, the national character of the province. I entertain no doubts tus to the national character which must be giten to Lower Camada-it muyt be that of the Brtish Enopire-thas of the majority of the population of British America-lhat of the great race which mast, in no long period of time; be predumiant over the whole North American contiacnt. Without effecting the chiange. so rignily or so ruaghty as to shock the teelinges and trample un the welfare of the existing generation, It must henceforth be the tirstiand steady parpose of the Briush Guvernment to eatablish aii Eag lish population, with Euglish laivs and linguage, in this province, and to trust its governmentito none but a derdedly Eaglish Legislature.

## Aud turther un it find what follows:-

It may be said that this is a hard mevasure 10 conquered people; that the French were utifitally the whole, and still ane the buik, of the population of Layer Canada; that the: Eryitsh are new cotuers, who huve no rizht we detanad the extiaction of the tiationality of a peopie, among whum conumercial enterprise has drawn them. "It may be yaid that if the Wrench nre not so civilized, so energetic, or so muarg-making a race at that by which they are sarrounded, they are an amiable, a virtuons aud a contented people, poessessing all the"essentheis of materisl cesumfiort, and not to be despised ur ill a wed because they seek to eqjog what they have without emulating the sparif of accumulative, which inttumetes their neighbors, Their nationality is, ather afil, an inheritance, and thay must mos bey tou severely punished becange they Have dreatiued of mastatining an the distant banks of the Sti. Lawrence, and teansmitting to their praterity the taraguse, the unanters and the instiwhinaj of thay great nation that, for twis centuries, gave the tone of thought to the European contiaent. If the dinputes of the two races are irreconcilabie, it may bo urged that justice demands that the minority should by compelled
to acquiesce in the supremacy of the ancient and most numerous occapants of the province, and not pretend to force their own institutions and eustoms on the majority.

But before deciding which of the two races is now to be placed in the ascendinat, it: is but prudent to enquire which of them nust ultumately prevail; for it is not wise to establish to day that which must, after a hard strugyle, be reversed tomorrow. The pretensions of the French-Canadians to the exclusive p ssession of Lower Canada would debar the yet larger English population of Upper Cauada and the towuships from access to the great natural channel of that trade which they alone have created and now carry on. The possession of the mouth of the St. Law rence concerns not only those who happen to have made their settlements along, the narrow line which borders it; but all who now dwell, on will hereafter dwell in the great basin of that river. For we must not look to the present alone, The 'question is, by what race is it, likely that the wilderuess which now covers the rich "and umple regions" surrounding the comparatively small and contracted districts in Which the French-Canadians are located, is eventually to be converted into a settled and flourishing country? If this is to be done in the British dominions as in the rest of North America, by some speedier process than the ordinary growth of population, it must be by inmigration from the English Isles or from the United States-the countries which supply the only' settlers that have entered; or will enter, the Canadas in any large numbers. This immigration can nether be debarred from a passayise through Lower Canada, nor even be prevented from settling in that province. The whole interior of the British dominions mast, ere lony, be filled with an Enylish population, every year rapidly increasing its numerical superiority over the Fiench. Is il just that the prosperity of this great majority, and of this vase tract of country, should be forever, or even for as white, impeded by the artificial bar which the backward laws and civilization of a part, and is pairt only, of Lower Canada, would place between them aind the ocean? Is it to be supposed that such an Enilish population will ever submit to such a sacrifice of its interests?
The French-Canudians, on the other hanid, are but the remains of an ancient colonization, and are and ever must be, isolated in the midst of an AngloSaxoi world.
And is "thè French Canadian nationality one which, tur thegood merely of that people, we ought to strive to perpetuate, even if it were possible? I know of no natioual distinctions marking and continuing a nupe hopeless interiority. The language, the laws, the character of the-North American continent are Euglish, and every race But the English (I apply this to all who speak the Englsh language appears there in a condition of interibrity. It is to elevate them from that int sioritg that I desire to give to the Ca nadians our English character.
There can hardly be colnceived a nationality
more destitute of all that can invigorate and elevate a people than that which is exhibited by, the descendants of the French in Lower Canada, owing to their retaining their peculiar language aud manners.' They are a people with no history and no literature... The literature of England is written in a language which is not theirs, and the only literature which their langunge renders familiar to them is that of a nation from which they have been separated by eighty years of a foreign rule, and still more by those changes which the revolution and its consequences have wrought in the whole political, tmoral and social state of France.
Well, Mr. Speaker, Sir Edmund Head, when he called us an inferior race, "without our Freneh-Canadian Ministers' protestiag in any way against this gross and foolish insult -drew his inspiration from the report from Which I have juist cited an extract, and which, from its first to its last page, breathes the most bitter hatred of all that bears the French name or stanip.: A little further on Lord Durilim continues as fullows:-
In' these circumstances I shonld be indeed sur. prised if the more refecting part of the FrenchCanadians entertained at present any hope of continuing tó preserve their nationality.
Probably, Mr. Speaker, Lörd Dunham was desirous of alluding to the members of the present Aduinistration who to-day evince a dispusition to sacrifice their dationality for the honors and titles which loord Duraina connselled the Imperial Govermenment to bestow on those of our reflecting FreúchCandians who would rot refuse to take the gilded, bait which Great Britain might dangle before their eyes. I continne my eitations:-

Lower Carrada mist be governed now, as it inust be hereafter, by "an "English population; and thus the policy which the necessities of the minment fore upon us, is in accordaue with that saggested by a comprehensive view of the future and permianeat improvement of the province.
A little further on Lord Duriam pro. ceeds as follows :-

It is proposed either to place the legislative anthority in a povernor, with a council tormed of the heads of the British party; or to contrive some scheme of representation by which a minority, with the forms of represeniation, is to deprive a majority of all voice in the managemeat of ite own affairs.
The plan of Confederation now submitted for our adoption is exactly that dreamt of by Lord doubeam. Our ministers have
copiet it, so to speak, word for word. Lord Du ham jodicates all its essential points; and if I cite his report, it is with the vien of proving that the real author of the Confederation, which it is sought to impose upon us, is, in fact, Lord Duriam himself. (Hear, hear:) I quote ragain from his report :-
The only power that can be effectual at once in. conercing the present disaffection and hereaffer obliterating the nationality of the French Cana. dians, is that of the numerical majority of alfryal aid English population ; and the only stable zovernment will be one more prnular' then any. that has hitherto existed in the North American colonies. The influence of perfectiy equal and popular institurions in effacing distinctions of race without disorder or oppression. and with litte more than the ordinary animo-ities of party in a free country, is memorably exemplified in the history of the State of Louisiana, the laws and popalation of which were Freneh as the timo of its cession to the American union. And the eminent success of the policy adopitd with regard to that state points oat to us the means by which a similar renalt can be effected in Lower Canads.
Lord Dorinim was perfectly correct in sug. geating the adoption of this policy. " IIe did not wish to put his foot oú our necks, but he advised that we shoula be made to disappear tittle by litule under Eughish infa. ence, and when we should be weik epough to be no longer dangerous, then that we should have the cout de grate As in Louisiana, our nationality was to disappear under the influence of foreign elements.

Mr. SCOBLE-Will the hon, genteman permit me to observe to him, that it is only justice to the nemory of that great tates. man to say; that he wrote his report having noly in view a legislative union. and that circumstances lisve chauged sifce that day? Now we are faly disenssing a Coniederation, and consequendy Lord Denaam's views do not appiy to je.

Hon. Mr. La FRAMBOISE-1 thiak that the plon conceived by Lord Duaram was that of a legislative union and a Condfederation of all the British North Alacrican Provinces. We are about to benin with Confederation; but we shall tintsh with a legislative union. Confederation, as has been well observed by that eminent: statesman, is the first step to a legiglative nuion. "Act with prudence" he sisgith his fatr ous report to the British Govercment ; we must not ernsh the French race too sudicnly
in these colonies; they might resist and give fruuble, but inake use of diplomacy, lavish honors and titles on their leating men, acd perhaps you will succeed ", Iam convinoed that we shall have a legislative union in a very fétr years if the plan of Confederation is adopted, and I am not the only one who says so, for the other night the hon. member for South Leeds stated in this House that in ' stort tine we should have is legislative unizn and all its consequeices. Well, Mr Speicker, if we tre threatened in thisaway, the hun. meimber if South Leeds ought not. tu be surprised that las Lower Canadian I bayesomething to say agairst the opinions espressed by Lord Duaisam in his report. I can perfeesty understand that he could nut possibly have the feelings' of a Lower Canadian, and that he coufld not conséqueotly feet as I can feel, the affroat and the wrong which that statesman inflicted on my. fellowcunitrywen. (Hear, hear.) But neither, oa the wther hand; doess he feel as I do that the plan of Contederation will bring the Herech-Canadian race to the soelial condition conceived aad predioted by the noble lord whose report I have just cited. That hon. upeabernias an Engishtrwan anda Protestant, is, in furor of a legisfative anion, in pretiecace to any other system of goverameac. Me wouli bshold with pleasure but one race-and that the British race-inhibthiug these colonies of Great Britain: , I Ho met blame him. for these sentiments, which are perfeetly justifiable when held by an Eaglishumas, but on the other havd, I am tharoughly convinced that he will not deeti is a strange thing that a FreaghCuuadian shuuld eatertaia entirety different vicws in these puiuts.: (Hear, hear.) Thus: Mr. Spaken, that great sticesman, Lord Durnaty, the rsost dangerous enemy of Frubut nationality, makes use of the folluw. iag hagaigo in his famous report:-

If you are deairous of yaininy over the politi: call texiders of the Lower Canadians, act as follows; - Begin by giving shem offices, titles and boqus of every sind je tater their vanity, give them a vatt fivid in which to satisfy their ambitioa.
Lord Durham came into this country after the rebellioni, and perceived that his predecessors ia the governineat liad been guilty. or political errors' which had alienated the Frenolicandianas froin Great Rritain, and le thought that he ought to leave behind him, to seerve as a guide to his succeassora,
that famous report in $\mathrm{n}_{\text {eryhich }}$ he has collected together all the means thit diplomaey could furaish him with, to crush out a nationality which he saw' with"regret livitu happily and contentedly on the soil of its birth, and from which it drev its sustenancer, Lord Duriam, like the hon. member for South Leeds, would have preferred a legislat've union of all the British Proviness to the naion of the two'Canadas; but the British Government considered it more prudent to bagin with this partal union knowing well that later it would carily tiod che means of accomplisbing a legislative union. Eagland reasoved in this way : if we give the Egolish race time to ceevelope itself, we cean easily, at sonie future period. impose a logialative union on the French Camadians. Today the Cunadian Government, nceepting the views of Lord Durinay, come down and ask us to take this first step towards antibihiation, by accepting Confederation, "which they present to us in the most brillant and tempting guise. (IIear, hear) For want of argument, they say such things as these: to excuse the culpable step which they are ready to take-"What is the use of resisting? We must have sooner or later the Confederation now proposed to your, and a'timately a legislative union?", Well, Mrespakerit.I thiuk, for my part, that we might easily eseape this last danger to our mathoality, if all the Catholios ana French-Canadians in this House were to leque "themselves together to defeat the measure before us, which denies to the later that legitimate iufuence which they ought to have in the Federal Governiment. Why ndt concede to a the guaratees and concessigns which we have given to bur fellow countrymen of other origins" Phe Luver Canadian Mhinisters, who have not insisted apon obtailiuge for us that protection, have reudered thembelves highly calpable towards their follow countrymen. (Hear, hear.) Uader tho Fedral yniou, Lowor Canada can neyer have" ioiore than sisty five nembers in the Tederal Legisla. ture, notwithetanding the explationas. to the contrary wade on this heal by the पlon. Solicitor Geseral East. All whe have dis: cassed the questiou in bis Himptr conld nor do othervise thau aduit it. Well, notivith standing this injutice, and notwithstodiag any inerease that our population may at ain under the Federal regime, yur rep eesentatien will always remain at the same tigure, and we shall pay our share of the public debt in the ratio of the nuabber of our population.

Well; Mr. SPEAKER; is there not injustice in this provision? 'We have been told that we shall have the management of our public lands. I admit that this would be of great benefit to us, if we were in a position to assure those who might settle in our midst that they would have a voice in the councils of the nation. But no, Mr. Speciker; immigration to this country ' will always be impossible under the Confederation perparing for us, and itwill be diverted towards the territories of Upper Canada, where the settlers can be represented in the Proivacial Legislature, where the climate is more fatorable and the soil more fertiie. . But from another point of riew, can we consider advantageous to Low'er Canada the possession and adruinistration of is public dowain under the circumstances in which we shall be placed by Confederation? Assuredly not, and for this reason : each province is to assume its pablic lands, with the debts due upon the lands. On the publie lands situated in Upper" Canada, and which she is to ussume, there is a debt of six millions of dollars duac to the province, whilst on those in Lower Canada there is only a debt of one willion, consequently, Upper Canada mill obtain from Lower Canada a claim for five million of dollars in excessof of that whieh she yields to Lower Canada: Here we have one of the few great advantages which have been pointed out to us since the beginaing of the discussion ; and I ask your Mr. Speaker,. whether it is adrautageous, to. Lower Canada': On the conatrary, while highly advantageous to Upper Canada, it is grossly unjust to Lewer Canada. Is, it not evident that the Confederation is entirely for the bedefit of, Upper Canada? And is not a suffeient proof of it to be found in the fact that we find to this Ilouse but two or three members from that section of the province who are oppored to the scheme? If all the member's from Upper "Qanada, to what party yover they nay be: long, unite to day to support the schame of the Government, it- is because they perfectly understand that everything ha. been conceded to them, and that they tave ubtained all that they wished for-mall the coucesstous that they sugight for, and for which they labored and struggled so euergetically ayd so long. (Hear, hear) That is pertectly well understoget: But if influences hostile to Lower Canada, which worked against us during the preparation in England of the law respeoting the change in the constitation
of the Legislative Council, had not caused the remoral froa the Union Act of the clause requiring the assent of two thirds of the members of the Legislature to effect a change in the basis of our representation if those influeuces had not workd to remove that safeguard of our interesis. Upper Camada would never have been so persistent in striving to obtain representation based on population. Shewould bave seen the inpossibility of obtaining it, and the inurdity of asking for it, and would; in consequence, have abiandoued it. But from the moment when that clase was removed from the Cnion Act, it ras competent to the Legislature to enatet change in the Constitution by a mere majority; and it may eonsequently be satd that through that infueuce which worked against as, Uper Shata uow obtain* regreantation based on population. (Hear, hear ; The nembers from Eppet Canada will pberve that 'f An me maintann that the prituciple of representation based upon' popafation is in itscif an u-jute principle; but 1 maintain that as they refused us the application of it whea the pupulation of Lower Canada was in a majority, it is unjust of them, to demand it now because they are in a majority, and I cannót see by what right they wish' to obtain" it ouve "I say that if the application of that priuciple was unjust civenty years ago, it is also unjast today; and that if it is just to day, it was equally just twenty yearm ago. (Hear, hear.) Emember ehnidered it very extraordinary that the Rouge party-let us eull it by that name, since it is the oue by which the Liberal farty it destgated in this epantry, and tie heve un reason to take expeption to it-siace the Rouge party in Cotata have washed awy from that mime all the stains with which the henter party in Erauce had covervel it, and chat bere th: banoer of that party is spotes:- heir, heary - a uembur. I say, eroseleted it extraordiuary, and ridiculed the itea that the Rorage party should have "eonstituted" themselves "the protectiors and detenders of the retienion, the antioualty und the institations of Lower Cauida, duriag the dincuasion of the seheme of Coatedericha that when weste at the head of the moverseat; hontile to that Contederation, a inin like Mr. Cinmateri of Hontreal, whowill certainty very faverably bar eomparison with all the metabery of the Conservative party of lower Canada in respect of levotion, houor, natioual feding and ability-when we see, I repeat, a man
like Mr: Cherrier at the head of the movement hostile to Confederation, I say that it is rriong to cast ridicule on that moverneat, and to make a pritedice of believing that the members of the Liberal party, or of the Rouge party, have no religioous, national or patriotic feelings. I say that the Conservative party were greatly in the wrong in endeavoring to ridicule Mr. Cufrrier, because he is a man who js' too well known as a man of probity and of religious sentiments-and the same cannot be said of several of those who have attacked him; and I am convineed that that: gentleman sincerely believes that the nationality the institutions and the religion of Lower Canada are in danger. (Hear; hear.) Besides, admittiug, as the Ministeria! party pretend, that the Rouge party were not authorized to syeak for the cfergy and to detend our religious and national rights; it does not follow that all that te members on this side of the House stated ou this subject is not strictly true; and if it had been possible to reply to it, it would have been better to méet it $y$ arguments of a serions character than by personal attacks, the later means being only employed as a blind. And those Who excluim so loudy y diy against the Liberal party, and who pretend to see ia that party nothing but disloyalty and treason, did put always hold the monarchical and logal - ideas "whieh they profess to day ; they we re not always such ardent supporters of monarchical government as they are now. Hear, hear.) 'Thuy all the world knows right well that the Hond Aitorney General tor Lower Cuada (Hon. Mr Cestren) was at the head of the party which stirred up, the troubles of $1857-38$.

Ma.J.B.E. DORION-No, not he was at the tail of it! (laughter)

Hon: Sa. Laftamboise- 1 do nut know whether he was at thic head or at the tail of it; bat at any rate, he wras in it "Fle was at St. Denis a few minutes belore the battle. (Laughter.) I do not know whether he remained there; but I know that it is reported that he was deputed by the rebel camp te goond fetch provisions, although they could not then have been in any' great need of provisions, for the mument at leazt.(Laughter.) At any rate, he was in the rebel camp." But hit has now corrected all his democratio efrors; be bas renounced all ideas of that mature, and has substituted monarchical ideas for them; he is now in favor of a great munarehical power on this continent, zad would be prepared to accept
the position of Royal Prinee if it should be - offered to hiin. (Hear, thear, and laughter.) The Hon. Solicitor General for Lover Canada (Hon. Mr. Lángevin) explained to us why he had so assumed monarchical ideas, : When he trid us that be would receive his reward. (Hear, hear) After having assumed monarchical ideas, he it ready to assume their livery. (Hear.) But why should he be rewarded, as the Hon. Solicitor Grneral has said he will be? He will be so, that gentleman says, because the Hon. Attorney General brought about the passing of a measure for the abolition of the seigniorial tenure-because the censitaires and the scignior: brought their fitle-deels to him, and he returned them a measure which was satisfactory both to the seigniors and to the censituires: Now," I am really surprised that the Ilon. Solicitor Geqeral, who, in the position which he occupies, ought to be acquainted with the histery of the laws of this country, is not aware that: it was the Honorable Mr Justice Drom. sond who prepared and brought about the passing of the law for the abolition of the. seigniurial' tenure, and not the Hon. Attorrey General for Lower Canada at all. (Hear, thear.) That is, therefore, uo reason why te sthonld deserve a reward: The Hon. Solicitor General also said that the Hon. Atorney General was entitled to the gratitude of his country, because he had brought about the passing of the law for judiciary decentralisation, and had thereby conduced to the interests of suitors, advocates, judges, and every one in geacral. The Honorable Solicitor General is free to admire the laws of hisichitf, the Hon. Attorney Geveral; but I may say, that if ever an Attorney General made crude, incomprehensible and imprac. ticable laws, it was undoubtedly the present Hon. Attorney General for Lower Canada. He has uever been able to make a single liw which it has not been absolutely necessury to amend and touch up eqery session, und the worst in this respect is his judicature haw. "But;", says the Hon. Solicitor General, "he has paysed a registration law.". Well, his registration law contains similar defects, and proves his complete inability to prepare a passable lawy ${ }^{\circ}$ And to so great a degree is this the case, that it has been impossible to put it in practice, and it has been necessary to amend it during five consecutive sessions, without that course having very greatly improved it. (Hear, hear.-) Those two laws, then, do not entitle him to
a reward. The IIon. Sulicitor General oalsó says that the Hou. Attorney General deserves a rumare for having iutroduced the French law of Lower Cauda into the tornships. But her reagain he awads him praise and reward whith are not his due, for it was Hou. Judge Lonaingen who made that law, and inad it passed aud enacted by the House: For thio Liw, theta, also be is not" deserving of rewaru (H) The ${ }^{\text {d }}$ are the three reasous fur whit the INon Solicitur General says that the How. Attorney General is entithed to arruand; but i constder that be hardly deserved ay, as it mas not he who brought about the pas ing of the firet ad the last of those Lurs, aul line pther twơ are so ill made that be diserves angthige but a reward for having couterred hem upy the couptry "(llear, hear.) Y, Y"I mast say that he deserves, semard, but trom whom, and why* Ah: he deourves a reward from Euplavd fur haviog done exactly what Lord Durinaniaduacd the ding of ha relation to thẹ Cagasiaus, ia tus tamous repurt on the meats to be taked to cause us to disup. pear; he duetres a reward for haviay caused the settitg aside of the Freach laws and the subitutution for them of Eug. lista laws; he deserver a reward for haviag douether mil ut Fugland in every respect; dud, luety, he cexcorves a reward fur having deiseal the preseat sche we of Uonfederation, aud cuusen it to be acerepted by a majority of this Huase. (Htar, har.) While, on this subject, ind sumphw hur has deserved uid receried tewards, th will be well to read a passag - truin Lutd DCamas's report, ia wheth he pointe out the neansto be adopted to coricutithe leadtrs and toget the mastery of the Lutycr Euyutiati peope. - The tullus. ing is the quastge tu which lallude -
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direct their ambition into the legitimate chance of furthering, and not of thwarting theirgovernment.: By creating high prizes in a general and responsible government, we shall immediately afoid the means of pacifying the turbulent ambitious, and of emploging in wurthy and noble occupations the talents which are now only exerted to foment disorder.
Lord Duriam well suew hat he" was about when he recommended the bestuwal of places and homors ou the aunbitious individuals who made a disturbance, and the Hon. Attorney General for Lower Cauada made a great disturbance and stir in 18,6 and 1837 ; he was present at the meeting of the five counties, when te donaed the cap of liberty. (Hear, :heary) Lord Duniam says," "Gincplaces to the principal trietr, and you will see how they will sacratice their countryinei and subuit to Bughad.". And indeed it is that course which has met with the greatest-suecess/and it has been seen that all those who /rapeded the movement in Lower Ganida agaust the union, and al! ghose who exclained, "Hold your tongues; The union has saved us! have beat rerarded. Sume have been knighted; on others, honors, places and forer have been conferred and the Hon Atiornes Getheral tor Lower Canada, will receive has reward, as they did, and will be made a baroke, if be can succed in cartying his me, sure of Confederatina-a measure which Eaglad $5_{0}^{\circ}$ ardently desires. (llear hear.) Fur my part, I do not enyy him bis' reward; but [ cannot wititess. with satisfaction the effurts he makes to obtainat by means of a meagure of Codfederation which I beslevee to ba fatal to the iaterests of Lower Cavada. I am determined, thertorie, is du everything in my power to prevent the realizatiou of his hopes. (Cheers)

Mr.J. B. E: DOR1ON-Before I proceed to examine the question which engages vur attention, Mr. Speaker, I wish to preaioe that in any expression of my seatiments, I speak on behalf of no political party, but tor myself ooly. In discussing a proposition whith so intimately affects the destiny ot Canada, and al that we vilue most, I would rise above personil and party considerationis, in order that I may look at it frum a vantage point removed from party influataces. Why, Mr. Spenker, are wo cageged this eveaiag in discussing a Confyderation of the Prorinees. of British North America" Because we had, last year, a Ministerial erisis, frou whech arose a propusal for the uniua of the tyo political parties who divided public opiaion.

The Macdonaid-Tache Ministry, who represented the Conservative party in the country, had jast been defeated in the Legislative Assembly; they were obliged to resign. . It will be recollected that the Government were beaten on a question of mal-administration of the public business. I allade to the adrance of $\$ 100,000$ inade to the Grand Trunk Railway without authority of Parliament, for which act several members of the Cabinet were responsible. Could you inform me, Mr. SpEAKER, what has become of the $\$ 100,000$ question? Alas ! it disuppeared in the Ministerial crisis; and left us the extraordinary Coalition which nnw governs us, composed of men who for ten years treated each other as men deroid of political principle! (Hear, heaf.)- The Conservative party clung so tenaciously to power, that they were not appalled by the position to which they had brought the country. Any union or coalition between two political parties; of opposite principles, proves ap abandoniment of principle by one or the Other. All coalitions'are vicious in their very foundation: they have almays been hidd as proof's of political profigacy, in England as everywhere else; and they are the more dangerous that they ara gencrilly. strong. To the present Coalition we are indebted for the scheme an the Confederation of the Britisl Provincess in a angible form. Had that Coalition never be fin formed, we should never have heard of the Quebec Conlerence, nor of the resolutions adoptel at that meeting in Oetober last, and now subsuited to our cootisideration. Nour, who authorised the holding of that Couferetice? What right had that body to arrogate to itself the porver of proposing a radical change in our political Cundition? . How was Caibadi represented thete? 'Threefouthth of the Canadian delegates were men under the ban of parliamentary o ndemnation. How was the yotinit carried on at that Consention? Was it not by provinces? Have not the fout little 'prosicices below' had twice as unany votes' on each question as the itwo large proviuces of: Cinada? These questions all oceur naturally. If $w$ each of them a categorical apswer were readered, we should be ablo to throw scme light on the way to which the interests of the couitry have been ueglected, overridden, and sacriticed. If we only think that to the last yuestion no other answer could be reglered that an affirmntive, there is no room Sr , wonder that the Lower Provinces had all the advantage in the arrangements concluded at the Conference. Nutrithatanding that the coupromise was in
their faxor, the great number of the proyinces concerned now repadiate its procisions, according to information which reaches us every day. They seem to be afraid of us, and notwithstanding the offers' of money made io them;'they will hare nothing to do with a union. "Our reptation" for extravagarice must be yery bad to frighten them to that degree ; and, no doubt, when they saw us spen $s$ in the cuurse of a month or two, for receptions, in traveling apd in feasting, sums equilling in-amount the whole of revenue of Prince Edward Island, , hey must have gone back with a sorry idea of our way of managing pablic business. (Hear, hear.) Id not mean to repeat what has been said during the debate ; but before proceeding, I may be allowed to dram a contrast between our manner of acting and that of our neighbors in the United States when constitutional changes are in question. In the United States-'that country which people take so much pains to represent as the hotbed of all politioa,', social, moral and physical horrors - they do not play with the writtin constitutions of the several states, any more than with that of the American 'union. There, whenever a constitution is to be amended, generally, it requires a vote of two-thirds of each of the two Houses. . If it is the Constitution of the United States which is to be amended, the measure must also be sanctioned by a majority ia dach of the legislatures of the severul states. . If the amienduent relates to a local :onstitution; besides a two-thirds vote of the tyo Houses, the amiendment must be ratified by a conveytion of delegates from the different parties in the state; selected specially for the uceasion: The United States are now occupied with the conaideration of an arrendment of their Constitution, the object of which is the abolition of slavery. The amendment has been adopted by the congress and by the Senate of the American inion, and must' be ratified by a majority of the local legislatures, before it formis a part of the Constituition: It will even be necessary to take into the account the states which are now in rebellion. We see at once the guarintees they are provided with, that no radical change shall be udopted without the cousent of the people, who are allowed sufficient time to weigh all the considerations which may operate in favor of any projected chage. This is the method of proceeding aurong our sagacious neighbors in matters. of importance; ;and, as a thing of course, they have established a politicial startus which leaves far behind it all that human
wisdom had previonsly devised to secure the peace and prosperity of the nations of the New World: But in our dear Canada, with all the Eaglish precedents of which so mach account is made, we do not require such precantions. It is "quite enough that men' should have beer found guilty of misapplication of the publio money, that they shall tave abused each other as political robbers for ten years, to bring about a coalition of the combatants, to make them hug each other till all feeling of personal dignity is lost, and all reyard for principle is forgotten. It is enough, I say, that we have a scandalous union"-a state of political pro-Higacy-like that perpetrated in 1864, to believe in our right to do what we please. (Hear, hear.) With a majority of thirty or forty votes, we hesitate at nothing, The Constitution, which hampers the curvetings, and prancings of our leading chiefs too much, and rather curbs their personal ambitionwhich eircumiscribes in short the range of their speculative operations, is found to be inconvenient. It is assailed with relentless blows; it is to be thrown down without asking the leave of those most concerned; and in its place is to be set up a new order of things under which there is to be no more regard for political principles than for the rights and wants of the people., A simple parliamentary majority of one will be sutticient with us po. overthrow the entire political order of things, and we have un appeal from so important a decision, save an appeal to an authority three thousand uniles off, which may add something to the schemeto make it less aceeptahle to us than it already is. (Hear, hear.) The peoplemay hereafter condemn their representatives, but the mischief' will be done! This is all the consulaton ire shall have: Is not the contrast between our stupid method of doing' things, and the prudent rational proceeding of our neighbors; : a very striking one? And truly they are var superiors in all political respects. Now, let me justify my' opposition to the projected change. I am opposed to she scheme of Contederation, because the first resolution is nonsense and repugant to truth, it is not a Federal union which is offered to us, but a Legislative union in diaguist: Federalism is completely eliminated from this solieme, which centres everything in the General Goverament. Federalism means the union of certain states, which retain their full sovercignty in eversthing that imniediately concerns them, but submitting to the General Governirent questions of peace, of war; of foreign relations, forign trado, cus:
toms and postal service Is that what is proposed to us? Not at all. In the scheme we are now examining, all is strength and power, in the Federal Government: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ all is weakness, insignificance, annihilation in the Local Government ! I am opposed to the scheme of Confederation, because, far from removing the difficulties complained of between Upper Canada and Lower Canada, it must, if adopted, simply multiply them tenfold. . There will be a constant contlict of, authorities, particularly as to questiens submitted to the double action of the local and general legislatures. I am" opposed to the scheme of Confederation, because the Constitution in which it is to be embodied will be faulty in its very basis. We are told that the representation is to be based upon population in one House, and that the principe of equality is to prevail in the other, and today that principle is violated as regards Newfoundiand, as it wilk be, no doubt, to morrow in favor of British Columbia and Varcouver. Island, should those colonies think proper to enter into our proposed Confederation. What is to prevent the smaller provinces formisig a league together, and thus getting the upper hand of the larger but less numerous provinces, ou purely local questions? That is one of the great defects of the Ministerial scheme, in my opinion. But, moreover, the autonamy of Lower Canada is menaced and placed at the mercy of a parliament of one hundred and ninety-four members, of whou forty-seven, or at most fortyerght only, will represcat the views of the majority of its people." I añ opposed to the schene of Contederation, be: cause it takes-away from the people of this country politieal rights which they have wou by many years of struggles; among others that of electing its representatiyes tha the $1 e$ gislative Council, as it does ite represent.:tives in the Assembly. Since 1806, we have enjoyed an elective council. For more than half a century/that reform had been asked for." Our claims were urged in the press, in publis meetings, in petitions to Parliament and to the home Government, and in the form of direct motions in the Hoase. The Legislative Conncil, as owstituted previous to the Act of 1836 , had beqome highly unpopular ; it had also fallen into a state of utter iasignificance. By infusing into it the popular element by means of periodical olections, it was galranised into lifo and becaxe quite another body in the estim. ation of the people: The electoral systemr completely restored its prestige, entitled it' te.
the respect of the people, and gave it an importance it did not previously possess. Since the Council has been made elective, not a single complaint has appeared against its" new constitation, in the press, or in the form of public meetings, petitions or motions in the House. Has it produced any evil effects in the administration of the affairs of the country? Has the Gorerninent suffered from it? Has the Mother Conitry found any bad results from it? Has the country beend the worse for it? And in what respect? Answer,' you who desire to deprive the people of the right to elect that House, though they bave not asked you to do so, and though you yourselves hold your seats by their will? The elective Legislative Council represeats better tho character, the wants and the aspirations of our Canadian society, than the Council appointed for lide ever did: With regird to the talent of the country, it has represented it as well as it was represented under the old system. With regard to its moderation and its conservative spirit, experience has shewn that it possessed these tivo qualities to a degree surpassinge the expectation of all parties. I do not hesitate, therefore, to say that the change was a change for the better- in evely respect; that it satisfied and tranquillized public opinion, and that it secured to the conntiy a more direct control uyer publio affairs." Lower Cabada has tested both eystemis of nomination; that by the Crown anit that by the people, and it does not ank to retum to the firmer. We biad a life-nominated Coubcil for half a century in Cunada. Every one knoivs that' the acts of that rery Council drove the people of Lower Canada into rebellion in 18s7! One of the triat: argugents advanced in support of the proposed sehene is that the electoral divisions are very exteosive"; and "that the rich alone, by means of their wealith, can prtain a seat in that Holise. It costs 'so much now-adays, it is said, to eisry an election! If that argument were of any value as regards the Legislative Council, it should hatro equal' weight aty regards the House of Assembly. To to consistent, you you should bave usked also for the appoint. ment of the memtiers of the Assembly, in place of having theur clected by the people! But that is uot the true reason. Adod besides, let thuse who do not wish to spend timoey remain at home, if the people refluse to elect theus withont being paid. Let corruption cease ; adupt vote by ballat, which will des troy cortuption, and there will be no need of
inventing imaginary grievances in order to restriet the liberties of the people. This Tory scheme will throw us back fifty yeare: It is nothing else than a plot! (Hear, hear.) I am opposed to this scheme of Confederation, because we are offered local partiaments which will be simply nonentities, with a mere semblance of power. on questions of minor importance. When we shall have seen the Local Parliament in operation with its restricted powers (restrieted except à regards expenditure, extraragance, and the power of taxing real property) it will soon be found, as it is in fact destioed to become; a mere taxing machine". Nothing more, nothing less! The expenditure of Lower Canada for justice, education, asylums, hospitalls, courts, prisons, interest on the debt; \&c., \&c., added to the expense of a Local Govern-: ment and Parliament, will exceed $\$ 2,000,000$. The revenue will fall far short of that amount. Direct taxation would be a neeessary consequence of the establishmient of the new sys. tem, without any compensation. for the fresh burthen which the people must bear. I have said enough to shew the difference between the American federal system and that proposcid for our adoption. $:$ In the American union each state is soyereign oyer all that impediateIy concerns it. Here, everything would be submitted to the General Parliament. Lower Canada is opposed to free trade in money, and desires to limit the rate of interest ; "and yet this she could not do, inásmuch as that very ordinary question would be under the control of the General Parliament. Whether the primiple be a sound one or not, it is admitted that nine-tenths of our people desire that the rate of interest should be fixed. Each state of the American union regulates questions of this kind as it chooses, without the intervention of neighboring states, or of the Washiagton Governiment. Thus, the rate of interest varies in a great many of the states, and in others it is: not fixed. In Vermont the rate is six per cent.; in New York, seven per cont.; in Obio, ten per cent.; in Miniois, thirty per cent.; and in the other states, trade in money is free. These, gre facts which prove that the real Federal system resembles in no senso that which we ure asked to adopt. (Hear, hear.) I might give a host of facts of this kind in support of my position; but 1 shall confine ungeeff to one. It is well known that the people of lower Canada are almost unanimous in répudiating the principle of divorce. Nevertheless, under Confederation the Parliament of Lower Canada is not to have the right of regulating
that question according to its wishes; but the Federal Parlinment, sitting at Ottawa, will be empowered to force upon us principles utterly opposed to our own, and even to establish as Court of Divorce at Quebec. Under the Federal system, nothing so unjust, nothing so revoling to the feelings of the people could. occur. In the American union there are some states in which divorce is permitted, and others in which it is not-another proof that sovereignty may be vested in each state, without detriment to the anion. (Hear, hear.) I am opposed to the scheme of Confederation, because the courts of justice of Lower Canada will be under the coutrol of the Gencral Governisent." We should have courts of justice in Lower Canada, but the judges who would sit in them would be appointed by the Government of the $C$, nfederation. It would be the same in the other provinces; but Lower Canada, with ber laws, which are peculiar to her, ought especialy to resist the interference of the General Government in the administra:tion of justice. : It will be said that the Conferen e endeavored to cause their intentions to be suspected; and, it has already been urged that this arangement is a stroke of the lawyers, who would prefer to see the nomination of the judges vested in the General Government, because ; they ${ }^{\circ}$ whuld receive raigher salaries, rather than see them appointed by the local governuents, who would be obliged to hove recourse to direct taxation in order te: pay their salaries. But settion aside this idea, I assert that the appointment of the judges in each prosince by the General Govs ernaent appears to me an uncalled-for interference, an nomaly which cantot be too strongly opposed. (Hear, hear-) L amopposed to the seheme of Confederation, becsuse the local governors would only be tools in the hands of the lieneral lioverament, who would interfere in the local matters by the contipual pressure they would bring to bear un them whenever they desired to charge the opinions of the lucal parliaments, elected by the people in each proviuce, on any question which they might have to discuss. Why have the loest goveruments, with the insigniticant powers which it is propised to conter upon themwhy, I say, have they not, been allowed to e'ect their respective governors? Wuald there be any more harm in this thin results from the tlections of mayors in our large towns? There was once a time when even the wardens were appointed by the Government. Has the election of mayors and wardeas been produ:-
tive of evil or discontent throughont the country ? ${ }^{\text {I }}$ am opposed to the scheme of Confederation, because by means of the right of veto. vested in the Governor by the 51 st resolntion, local legislation will be nothing but a farce. They may try to make us believe that this power wuuld be but rarely exercised, and that it differs in nowise from that exercised by the present Governor when he reserves bills for the Royal assent ; but all the country knows that it would not be so. From the moment that you bring the exercise of the right of vetn more nearly within the reach of interisted parties, you increase the number of oppor: tunities for the exercise of the right-you open the door to iutrigues. As; for instance, a party will oppose the passing of a law, and not succeeding in his opposition in Parliament, he will approach the Miaisters and the Governor General, intriguing to obtain as a favor that the law may be disallowed: Take an example. I suppose your Confederation to be established"; that a bill is passed for the protection of settlers, such qs we have seen pass the House six times in ten years without becoming law, on account of the opposition to it in the Legislative Council by the council.. lors from Upper Canada; what would happen? The fuw interested parties who were opposed to the measure would rush to the Goveruor: Geveral to induce him to disallow the taw. By an appeal to the right of property, to the respect due to acquired rights, and to other sophistries, thes would override the will of the people on a measure which is just in itself, and which is soughe for and approved of by all legal men of Lower Canada in the presecte House. The people of Lower Canada will, be prevented from obtaining a lain similar to. those now existing in thirteen differeat states: of the American union and which would in go why affect the priveiples of the existims law in Lower Canada. (Hear, hear:) This is woe instance out of a thousand, and will serve to illustrate the effeet of this right of veto. I am opposed to the seliene of Confederation, because I cannot see why, on the one $h$ nd, it his been agred to gise all the.. publio lands to the Goveroment of ead previtice, and on the other hand that the Govert. went should purchase the lands in the Ishan! of Newfoundiand. The Geaeral Goverament inives up the fortile landy of Upper "and Lower Canada, but it pureligeses the barfora lands of Nowfondignd at th: wemenous pise of $\$ 150,000$ per andum, a son reprementing a capital of $\$ 2,500,000$. Is wit this a grand
speculation for the conntry? The Government at Ottara will not possess a singleinch of land in Canada, New Brupswick or Nova Scotia; but they will have a Land Department for the managemeat of their superb possessions in Newfoundland? Is it imacined that if the public lands of that island had been of any value, they would have been given up to the General Government for any "mount? No, the fact is that these lands are utterly useless for cultivation, that the whole island does not produce hay enough for the town of St. Johns, and that every year large quadntities of it are im ported. I snow a farmer in Three Rivers who has sent cargoes of bay to Newfoundland, and who is now only waiting for the uavigatiou to open to send more-and these are the lands which it is proposed to buy for a fabulous price, in order to induce that prorince to cone into the Confederation. ${ }^{\prime}$ (Hear.) But there is also another inatter for consideration with respect to this arraugement regarding the public lands. I am of opiuton that it is mere adrantageous to the progress of colonization of our wild lands that they shonld renuin in the hands of the present Government, rather than come into the possession of a lucal government, which might, perhaps, be , Biliged to maintain itself by direct taxation.; for m that case the very uttermost farthing due on these lands will have to be collected. In a country like Lower Canada, with its rigorous ciimate, colonization must be aided and encouraged if reasonable progress is demanded. In that view the Goverament have mule free gramts, and have remitted many chims for iaterest on the public lands. Had they ant done so; the population in certain sections wutd tiave bee forced to leave the country. Remissions and free grants will disappear with the appentance of direet taxation. 1 am opposed to the scheme of Confederation, becausu is is mose unjustiy proposed to enrich the Lower Proviaces with annuities atod donatimes, to perrounde and induce then to enter tito a uina which will bo injurious to ail the contraction parties. I ann opposed to the sehane of Cuntederation, bee use the division. of the pablue debto of the several provinces hiss beed made in an unjust way, and because thy portion of these debts ought to have been iupered ou the looal, governments, which, in the eveat of the union, ought to have begua anew yichout being burthened with debt. Iam opposed to the Cintederation, beenu el foresec dificulties withont number in relation to the encarrent powers oa several points conferred on the general and lucal goverameats. Col-
lisions on these points will always be settled in favor of the stronger party, to the advantage of the General Government, and to the detriment of the often just claims of the different provinces. I am opposed to Confederation, because the premium offered to New Brunswick is of a most extraordinary chiracter. It has been agreed to pay her $\$ 63,000$ per annum for tes years: The sum to pay this will have to be borrowed every year. - Interest will have to be paid upon it, so that at the expiration of ten years the Confederation will have paid to New Brunswick:
Capital
Interest on capital
$\because \quad \because \quad$

And what will it hate received in exchange ? Nothing whaterer! For the sum agreed to be pidd to Newfoundland there is at least a semblance of direct compeusation in/the cession which it nukes of its barren lands. "But in the case of New Brunswick, there is nothing to be got from her for these $\$ 735,000$, on which interest will have to be paid long after the ten years have cxpired. (Hear, hear.). And that is not all ; we are to pay interest to New Brunswick, at the rate of tive per cent., or $\$ 1,250,000$, for the difference between her debt and that of Canada in proportion to their respective popilations. (llear, hear.) 'I am opposed to the scheme of Confederation, because it has been agreed to construct the Hatitix Railway without a-notion of what it will cost, and at a time when we have already as much to pay as our resources can bear, without plunging into ruinous and unproductive enterprises of this sind. $\therefore$ There is ho exageration in the stateinent that at least $\$ 20,000,000$ will ba required for the execution of that ente prise. Ut what use will it be". "Doubly useless ia a military and in a commercial point of view. We ure not in a position to undertake it for the mere pleasure ot having road which will place us in direct communieation with the sea over Euglish terkitory. What would the Intercolonial Railway be worth i ra commercial point of view? In sum, wer have the St. Lawrence, which affords means of communteation "inuch meres economical in their nature than my railwis. In winter, without taking mio aceount the difficulties catased by the vast quatity of snow which talls between Quebce and Halifix, is it supposed that there will be in iny travellers who will adopt that route, six hundred miles in leugth, to reach" the seaboard at

Halifax, when they may reach Portland by a railway not more than oue-third as long as the proposed road? $\cdots$ Does any suppose that a person having flour to export will send it to Halifax, "when he can despatch it by Portland? There is no sentiment in trade ; it takes the road which it finds to be the shortest and the most profitable, and all your Confederation will not change this immutable law of trade in all countries: (Hear, hear.) But, it is said, this road will be of great use in time of war as military route. Have those who talk in this way ever thought of the trifling distance that separates that road from American territory in certain places? Have they ever thought how easy it would be, in a siagle night, to destroy enough of it to make it unserviceable for monthis together? Mave they ever thought how many soldiers would be requiled to protect it and keep it in operation? The experience of the yresent American war teaches us that to keep a railway in operation, nearly as many suiders are required as there are lineal fect to protect. "(Mear. hear.) I am opposed to the scheme of Confederation, because it is proposed to ensure, to guarantee the tulfilmeat of all engagements which shall have been entered into with the Imperial Governuent by all the proviites up to the there of union on the sukject of the detence of the country; without the nuture: and extent of those engagements being kyown. There is perhaps no question in all the resolutions of the Confereace of higher importance that this: Yet itis whed to make us ratity all these engagements with our eyes shut. What do ne kuow abour the engagements which the Goverpments of Noya Scotia, New Brunswick, Newfondland and Prince kdward I land may have eatered into on the subject of their respective definces? What do we know even of the engayements entered into by ur own Government with the Euglish Governument in relation to the same question? Nothing; we can kuow nuthing of them. We are told that the correspondence on the subject of the defences camiot be súbinitted to Parliament under - xisting citcumstances. Why thea sheuld we bliailly vute un questions of such frave iniportaice? I am opposed to Conatederation, because it. in wished to make us enter into a tinamial arragement which it is frightal to consider, and oue which is mest diametrically oppesel the the interests of Catmad. Let us sec what is propsed in this respeet. Thee Confederaticn would have us to pay-

For land in the Island of Newfound.

Indemnity to New Brunswick ...... 735,840
For the Halifax Railway $. . . . . . . .20,000,000$
Difference in the debt ot the provinces:


New Brunswick. .................... $1,2 \pm 0,000$
Prince Edward I.land:". ......... $\cdot 1$ 1,810,000
For fortifications' in the six pro-

For the North West ruad. . . $\therefore .$. . 5,$000 ; 000$
For military expenditure $\therefore \therefore \therefore \therefore \quad 5,000,000$
$\$ 66,625,840$
Add the public debt of
Canada $\because \therefore=0,0000,000$
Other uniliquidated lia.
bilities of Canada..." $5,000,000$
Debt of Nou Scotia, $, 004,000$
Debt of New Brunswick $\quad 7,000,000$
Deht of Prince Edward
Island.
24,6䋨:
Debt of Newiutadand
-946,000
94,190,6\}3
$\$ 160,516,513{ }^{\circ}$
Here we hatre a jretty biblance-sheet, "not one item of which is exaggerated, and which is offered to us by Contederation." "All this is exclusive of the enormous expense of the general and local governments. Some of the sums just mentioned will not by payable at ince, but nearly all of them will befo before tive tears have elapsed sutis as considerable will be payableat once, it matay bes sad, if we egter in the account the expenses of the Confederation and its naforeseen enterprises. At tho last census, all the provinces only contained 3,294,056 souls:, Supposing then to contain $8.500,000$ at the titie of the union; the debr, "with the foregoing liabilities, would ainount to 8.5 . for cach man, wowan and child, and of that debt we should liave to pay the interest. (Hear, hear) I am opposed to Contederation, because lemunt see the use or the necestity of it in'a commercial puint of view. Countries yieldiug different profuets may gain embitderably by unitigig. What do the lower Colorizes produce? Do they met Tive ina climate similst to curs? Do they tost produce siuilar grain to that growa ia Canada? What trade could there be betwee: two farmers who produced nothing but oats? Veitior one nor the other wiold want for them. They might stand and stare at eath other with their wats betore then, without ever beiag able so trade together; they would require a third person- - 1 purchaser. In such a pusition are we, with regard to the adjacent
colonies. Should we go for ice to the Lower Colonies? I think there is enough of it in Canada, especially at Quebec, and will be so loug as sufficient spirit of enterprise is not displayed to export it to hot elimates. They talk to us of fish-buia those we hase in our. own waters-and of coal à̀ a very great affair.
Mr. T. C. WALLBRIDGE - The Lower Provinces have reserved the right of placing in export duty on their coal.
Mr. J. B. E. DORION-MIy honorable friend reminds me that we shall not be able to obtain coal from the provinces shich will firm part of the Confederation, without paying then a tax. Is not that adiuirable? We are aeonstitute a single people, a siogle country, but there will be taxes to pay for trading with eash other in certaiia articless. (Hear, hear.) I should understand the commercial adrantheres which ive should gain if the Englisty Proxinces were situated in different elimates, yielding every kjad of produce, which should - be freely exchanged. That which buit up the commercial prosperity of the Uuited States is their geographical position-their immense werritory; in which is to be found every elimate in yinable, fromi the north produeng ice, to the synth producing the most delicious fruits. Ia intabitant of Maine masy load a vessel with ice, proced to Nerry Orleans and barter his curgo of ice for rice, sugar, tobace $\alpha$ de., - with which hie nay returr home without puying a single farthing of customs daties. It i+ this free and continual eschange of their Wrivat products from Maine to Califurnia which has placed the United States in the Afist rank of commerecial nations in so short : i time. (Hear, hear:) Let us not, therefore, be lalled with tancies of the great commercial adraitages we stall derive from a Confederation of the provincest i We have wood, they produeg it ; we produce potash, and so do they. All that they would regiuire would be a hete thour, and hat Cupper Canada can supPy to them now without paying any tas tor dosiag so. Again, our trade with them cannot be very considerable, because there are natural obstactes in the way to provent its being so. Situted in thesame dertee as oursel ves in respect of climate, they produce what we produce, and what wo want they, want-a foreign market wherein to dispose of their, sarplus products. Besides, the comruercial advaitages may all bo obtained by a mere commercial anion, apart from a political union. England concluded. a cainmercial treaty with the United States, by means of which we trade freely with them in all produets of the soil and of the fisheries.

What objection could thero be to the establishmient of a system of tree trade between the colonies, which are all subject to the same authority? They would then enjoy all the advantages that could result, without entering into a political union; the depths of which we are not able to fathom. (Hear, hear:) I am opposed to Confederation because instead of giving us strength to defend ourselves, it will prove to be a source of inealculable weaknest. How can 'it bé believed that by adding 700 miles to our long frontier; we shall strengthen ourselves againgst the enemy, when the territory to be added does not yet cyatain inhabitants enough to defend it ? Is it'supposed that if we had a war with the Americans, they would not attack the Eaglish Provinces at all points? They would attack Newfoundland, Prince Edward Filand, Nova Scotia and New Brunswiek, as well as the two Canadas: A country; without depth, like that "which it is proposed to form here, has not its like under the sum. It sirould be vulnerable-at all points along its frontier of 1,600 to 1,800 miles. In geograptical form it would resemble an cel. Its length would be every thing, its breath nothing. Nothing would be easier than to cut it into little pieces, and nonie of the pirts so slied off could sead belp to the others.. The more of sum conntry as the provinces which it is: wished to unite to us , that we have the weaker shall we be, and the greater will be our dilfieulties in relation to military defene". (Hear, hear.) I anin opposed to the scheme of Confederation, becauss I consider that it is. the result of a conspiracy against popular rights in Canada; and that the hope is to impel the people into a course fatal to their real unterests, by "causing to 'shaine before their eyes all sorts of. wonders which would bo accomplished in the end to the prosperity of the country, if that eountry would only aceept the new form 'of' Government' which it is proposed to torre upon it. (Hear.) I atu opposed to the scheme of Cupfederation, because it is pruposed to perpetuate, ou a still greater seale, a state of things which is, not-suitable to the populations of smerica when they attain to years of diseretion-: state of things which evidently was not intended for a country ia which there are no castes, no privileged clastes and no hereditary aristocray-in which all are equal, socially and politically, by furco of circum--stapees. I um opposed to the scheme of Confederation, because IF am desirous that we should be as untrammelled as possible in
the selection of the future form of Government for Canada,' when wo shall emerge from the colonial condition.' I an free to admit that I do not participate in the illusions of certain persons in respect to the magnificent destinies of the empire to be founced by us in North America, and that I am far from believing that it would be to our advautage. I am opposed to the scheme of cionfederation, because l deng thit this House has power to charge the political constitution of the country, it is now proposed to do, without appealing to the people aud obtaining: their views on a matter of such inportance. Fhese are the principal reasons which induce me to oppose the scheme brought down by the Government. But these are not all; I have yet many other ecnsiderations to urge. The gate of the future destinies of the country was opened when thris scheme was laid betore us, and I too an. desirous of penetrating within its portals "I have said that the new organization which it is wished to establish here dees not suit either our ressurces or our wants. It would appear that we cannot attain in Canada a reasonable limit as regarits the admibistration of puiflic affars. Our system is not found ta be exthavagant enough, atd it is Wisled to substizute tor it one still minre costly. Our neirhbors have established an ecouomical "political system, which somath more adranaceous to them than ours would be wang country fire ray here much mere than is pat the United states althoush that foople is intainely recher than we are. If weprepare a list of the sabanes. pid to the 'evariors of the states in the tuion, with a vew of comparing to with the list of salaris, which we pay, here to nur priacipal pabre émpluge, we shali be sarprised at the differcuce which will be found
 "table of the salaries of the governors, to. gether with the population of each state:-

| St | Population. Sat |
| :---: | :---: |
| Maite |  |
| 2. New Hatuphire | 2226,073 . . . ${ }^{\text {a }} 1000$ |
| 2. Vermont | 315,094 . . . 1000 |
| 4. Mrsarehusetis | $1,231.066$. . . 3500 |
| 3. Hhode lohat | 17t,620゙… 1000 |
| i. Cumbecticut | $4100.147 \times 1100$ |
| 7. Noin York | 3,800,735 . . . $4: 00$. |
| 8. Nrw Jersey | 672,035 . . . 3010 |
| 9. Pennay /vania | 2,906, 113 , . . 4000 |
| 10. Dehaware | 112216 .... $1334 \frac{1}{3}$ |
| 11 Mirytand | $6 \times 7.049$.... 3600 |
| 12. West Virg | 393,244 ... 2000 |
| 13. East Virginia | 1,261,397 |


| States. | Population. Sala |
| :---: | :---: |
| 14. Kentacky | 1,155,684 .... $2 \pm 00$ |
| 15. Ohio | 2,339,502 $\ldots \ldots$ 1800 |
| 16. Michigan | 749,113 |
| 17. Indiana. | 1,350,428 $\because 3000$ |
| 18. Illinois | 1,711,951 $\because 1500$ |
| 19. Missouri | 1,182,012 $\ldots . .3000$ |
| 20. Iowa | 674,942 $\because$. 2000 |
| 21. Wisco |  |
| 22. Mianeso | 173,855... 1500 |
| 23. Kansas | 107.206 _... 20011 |
| 24. Californi | 379,994 $\because . . .7000$ |
| $22^{5}$. Oregou. | 152,465 |

Therf" are also' ten other states which aver in rebellion at the beginning of the year 1F64, the date of the table which I have given. It will be seen that. Vermont pays only, $\$ 1,000$ a year to an elec. tive governor. That is less than we pay here to the mayors of our great. cities. The State of New York, which is by itself more rich and populous than, the whole of Canada, only: pays $\$ 4,000$ a year to her Gnvernor. I will not compare this salary with that of our Goveraor, amounting to $\$ \$ 2,000$; but by cow paring it with that of our judges of the secuod class, it will be found that the latter receive higher salaries than the Governor of the State of Sew Hork. (Hear; har). The State of Ohin, more rich and more populous than Canalla. mony pays $\$ 1,800$ to her Goreraor site the falaries are comparatively suallin the United States, it is because it was underntodi there that good administration of public affire might be obtained by the practice of a wise econoiny, whith ut that display : of lixury which is ruiniag us here. Another comparisoni, on a smaller scale, nit he be tuate between the State of New York and Cauada, in respect of avother matter. It is this:The State of New York possesses unagnit: cent canals, which cost her an enormbus, price; but the revenue produced by theta has paid their cost, milst here our canals; which also cost us refy dear do not eveir pay the interest of the debt which was contructed for their construction, and that is a point of difference by no means of small magnitude. The State of Ner York contracted a futher debt for the ealargenent al her cavals after the revenue preduced by they had paid off that which had beet contragted for their construction; and the revenue which they yield is sufficient not only to pay the interest of that debr, but alau to create a siaking fund which will allow of its liquidation in five years from the present day: Last year the State of

New York received from her canals the sam of $55,118,501.35$; the expenses of managemenit amointed to $\$ 111,503.78$; and those of repairs to $8659,378.74$, forming a total of $\$ 770,882.52$; which left a net revenue of $\$ 4,347,618.83$, after paying all expenses of manageinent and eosts of majntenance. (Hear, hear.) Do you know what was done with that surplus? It was applied as fol-lows:-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { s: Siuking Fand-inder 1 Art: 7 } \therefore \text { \$1,70f,000 } \\
& \text { do do " } 2 \text { Art. } 72 . .350,000 \\
& \text { do do } 3 \text { Art. 2... 1,116,242 } \\
& \text { To the Treasury towards paying } \\
& \text { the expenses of the state:... } \quad \mathbf{2 0 0 0 0 0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Leaving a balance of $8981,376.17$ after having met all engagements in relation to the Sinking Fund; and paid a sum of 8200,000 towards the cost of the goverament of the state.' Hore, when a schoul or sinking fund is ureated; it is expended, or borrowing has to "be had recourse' to in order to meet it - Let un then compare the mauagement of our tumals with that of the ennals of New York. Hert the tolls on certain of our canals are abolished with the view of favoring trade, insteupl of a reasonable revenue being levied trou those great works! (Hear, hear.) The total debt of the State of New York on the 30th September, 1803, was as follows :-'


In the course of the same year, $83,116,242$ was paid into the siniking fund, and there remained still five and a half millions in hand proluced by the canals, so that in less than ten gears the eanal debt and the special debt of the state will be eutirely paid off. Shall we be able to say as much of our own debt in tea gears time" (Hear, hear.) I rẹpeat then, that the financial system of our neighbours is greatly superior to ours, alled that they pay peasonable galaries to their public officers, whilo such payuients bere are on an. extraragant scale. If I speak of all this, it is because I am opposed to the soheme, and beciase it is wished to establish a monarchy, a new kinglom on this continent, and because a desire is manifested to have a court, a nobility, a viceroy; tiusel, and so on. [amafarmed at the position in which it is wished to place us, for from extravagance it
is proposed, with all these absurd and ridiculous schemes, to pass to folly. .(Hear,hear.) The commercial crisis through which we passed in 1846, when England repealed the import duty on foreign grain brought to her markets, will be remembered. Before that period our, grain and other produce were protected on the English warkets in being admitted free of duty, while fhat from the Black Sea and the United States was subject to a duty which was higb enough to afford great protection in favor of ours. This uew policy in relation' to the colonies was productive of disastrous consequences to Canadian trade. The exportation of grain to England was completely put astop to. There was no longer an outlet for that produce. To get to the United States markets twenty per cent. had to be paid. Well, the long and terrible crisis which followed the abolition of this protsction of our produce, and which raged during the years 1847, '48, '49, may be remembered.' Beyinning in 1847 there was a disastrous oommercial erisis in Canada. Failures followed each other with rapidity, and, dificulty was everywhere feli. : Matters had not greathj fmproved in 1848. It was evident that a fresh outlet for the agricultural produce of Uanada must be found in order to ensure to her satisfactory relief. Discontent manifested itself, and agitation became apparent. Arguments and negotiations were had with the political hen of England, but without any satisfactory result being attained. It way then thought that a solution of the commercial difficulties of the conntry was to be found in political changes. Hence foilowed the annexation movement of 1849 . The abtention of a political change of this character would at once open to Cabada all the matrets of the United States; and would, without any doubt "have ensured the material prosperity of the country. The annexation' movement met with considerabla sympathy in the Northern States of the Americau union, but in the South it excited alarm. Fear was entertained of the influsuce which would have been conferred upon the North, by the aceession of tarritory of suish considerable extent as the two Canadas, at first, and subsequently of all the English Provinces. The Government of the United States was in the hands of political men from the South. To avert the danger which threatened their influevee, Lhat Guverament shewed themselves favorable to a commercial agreement with thie

English Government. Both were interested in a commercial connection which left us nothing to envy'in the lot of our neighbois. In the Canadian Parliament the question of commercial reciprocity with the United States was taken up. The Imperial Gorernment approved of the steps taken by the Canadian Goverament, which tended to place their agriculturists on a footing of equality With the Americans on their markets, Ou the 19 th March, 1855, the Reciprocity treaty entered into by the United Statis and England, came into force after haring been ratified by the Canadiau Parliament. Jengthy debates trok place in the american Congress upon the question, but southern influence carried the measure through: The Reciprocity treaty was to continue for ten years; from the 16 th March; 1850 , wihthout its being possible to repeal it but if oue or the other of the contracting parties should think fit, atter the expiration of the "ten years, they might demand the abrogation of the treaty, by giving the other party one year's potice. The guestion of the repeal of that treaty has; therefore, for two or three years, been agitated in the Anderican Cungress with some warinth, by thowe who found the ir interests, to suffer by it. The opponents of ${ }^{2}$ the Reciprocity trenty succeeded in Congress for two reasons : Girst, on ace ceunt of a feeling of indignation raised up agaiust Canada, by a part of our press, which displayed hiostility to the Northern States; and seeond, because the; rebellivus Suuthern States were not represented in the American Goverament: $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ the: 16 th March next, the President is to give that potice, and on the IGth March, 1869, the markets of the United States will be chued to us" (Hear, hear.) We hare seen that at the time the A merican. Goverfinent, which wäs then in the hands of politiciapy from the Southern States, was not faverable to the andexation of Canada to the C nited States, beciase those statesmen dreaded the influence which two new free states in the $u$ : iod would bring to bear in relation to wlayery The ten years of the tretty consequently terminate on the : 6 th Mareh igithe present year, and thanks to the behavior of a very large portion of the Canidian press in re: lation to the Goverament of the Enited States, since the beginoing of the war which now desolatea the Ainerican Republic, the no. tice of the final abrogation of that treaty within a year is to bo given to us. It will haye
existed for eleven years, and its abrogation will certainly be a great misfortune to onr country. It may be said that the treaty is, ay advantageons to the United Stutes atitit is to qurselves, and that its abrogation will do as much harm to them as to "us; but the ill they will undergo ia' consequence will not remedy our evil, and will not prevent the, Uatted States martsts from being closed to us, "and our being subsequently compelled to pay a considerable duty for the privilege of canting our produce thither, such as. our oats, our horses, our horied catt'e, our - hecp, our wroll' our butter, \&e'. The 16th March, 1865, will be a day of mouruiny for Canada, but the loth March, 1:6 ; will be a day of much deeper miourning, for it will mark the commencement of's commeryial crixis such as "we thate never perthaps undergone, and the disastrons results of which to the futare of the country are beyond calculation. \&Hear, hear.): In order to understand the whole importance of this treaty to the prosperity of the country, it is necessary to know wllat passes in the country parts, as I myself ath in a position to know through my cynstant relations with those partif:- All the o ts pris. duced in the country from Trois Pistoles to the upper extreaity of the provinco are exported to the Cuited Statex, where they tiad a ready market, because they are winted there. This year persoos went-as far as Three Rivery tire them by way of the Arthabaska Railway: This branch of tride is any very cousider. able; but the very tuoment we have to pay au export dufy of eá per cent upon our produge on entering the Chited states,' we shail have a commercial crisis which will Nerange all business operatious througthàt thi land. When the Reciprocity treaty is dectared at an end, our outs will be woth tho. wore than 1s, or 1s. 3d., as in fortuer times, insteal of lys $s$ d or $\%$, as at perentis and it is elearto all that the farmer can derive op protit fromingroving them at that price Armerly, before the treaty was mister the farmer could make something ty selliay his oats at that price, because fuod was, cheaper and taxes lest than they row are. The latter were no more than wo per cetc und a per ceut, wheress they are now so per cent., and will be inereated rather than dimiaished, under Contederation, as ertain members of this House hase itheged. (He re. hear.) I ami thoroughly acquainted with all ttat passes in the country parts; and when I think of the consequeniocs of the repeal
of the Reciprocity treaty, I say agaix, I am alarmed. What is going on at this present moment? We all know that for several years past there hàve been bad harrests; that of list year was not good, not in lioner Canada only, but also in Upper Canada; and since New Year's day, half the country people in Lower Canada have been buying the flour neeessary for their subsistence. All they spend in the purchase of flour, from this time till the harvest is gathered in, is cupital which ought to be applied to the payment of their numerous debts. It is capital withdrawn from the working and improvement of their lands. Trade already feels the effects of it. The imports are more limited;"a good deal of last year's stock of goods to the citieg remains unsold. The publie revénue will be considerably affected by it and the surplus of 1864 will in 1865 become a deficit. It is not degestary to be a prophet to nugur so much. (Hear; hear.) I say; then, that we aro on the brink of a commereial erisis, and it is not such a scheme 2s. that betore us that will enable us to aruid it, when we need rather to practise the strictest economy in our public expenditure: Therelis a great movernent in prokress from Lower Canada to the United States, notwithstanding the wary; that is to Bay, people aro obliged to leave Canada for the United States in order to earn money to paydebts which they have been compelled tu' contract for the necessaries of life. In many country places people are shatting up their houses and seting off to the States, it thy proaf of this assertion is neeessary", visit Actor-ideton which has become a small city since the discovery of the copper mines now rooked there © Well, Mr. Speaken; half the houses in Acton are now shut up, although as lately as last year the viliage presented every appearance of the highest prospurity. "This year the inhabitants are driven to leave home and country to support their families. (Hear, hear,)" I say that a movement of self-banishment liko that whish is now going on Ti the winter season, Haiarming for when half the country people - are obliged to buy their. thour as they bow arop it proves that they must continue to, buy it until uext autuma, atier the harvest is gathered im; and as many of them have not the meaus of waiting till then, they must leave the country to ery' to supply' the wants of their famines, by applying for vork to our neighbors. (Hear, hear.) This movemeat is it progress among tho rual popula.
tion as well as among the mechanics, in the new townships as well as in the old. After the commencement of the war; a considerable number of Canadians, who had returned home to escape from its evils, brought with then a small capital; but seeing the situation of affairs in this country, and having spent What they had; they are going back to the United States, preferring rather to take their chance of the cinscription for the army than to eke out a miserable existence here. "I repeat, then, Mr. Speaker, that a great many houses are shut up in the begm settlements. I can specify them by the numbers of the range and lot in the eounties which I represent. An unseen but very extensive influence is at work in all the ceuntry south of the St. Lawrence, above Nicolet and as far as the frontier. I shall explain it to you, In all that part of the country, a great many young men go to the United States to look for employwent. These children of the people find there a wider field for their enterprising minds; in fact, they are forced to leave Canda in order to earin. money. When once they are established in the United States, they correspond with their relatives whom they have left behind them. In all their letters they describe the treatment they receive, and boast of their position, the footing they are on in their social relations with the Amerieans, the good Wages which they receive, and the state of prosperity at which they soon arrive. Not ouly do they correspond, they visit Canada to see their families from time to time. On these "ocoayion', Mr. Speakeri; their com: munioations are made with greater freedour; they relate all that they have seen, and heard, and all they have learned. Be sure of this, Mr. Speaker, these communications, these intimacies between Canadians establinhed in the States and their home friends, have greater effect to produce favorable feelings towards the Americans in our country than all the newspapers in the world: It is a portion of the heart of the country rewoved iato a strange land by the force of oircumstances. The accounts they hear from their friends prove to them that the Americans are not such horrible monsters as they are said to be in certain quarters, and that their political institutions are far superior to durs; that every man is on a footing of equality wht his neighbor, and that he pussedses political rightis of which he cannot be deprived. This influence of which I am speakiog is very great, and certainly it is
not to be counteracted, nor the feeling of sympathy for the people and the institutions of the United States to be repressed in the minds of those who confess it, by such changes as those now proposed to be made.: (Hear, hear.) I say that the people of Lower Canada are alarmed at the schene of 'Cunfederation, and the unkuown changes which are on foot., I do nut say that this feeling prevails in the district of Quebec, for in that locality everybody seems to be fast asleep; bat it exists, beyond doubt, and very ararmly, in that of Montreal; and even as far as Three Rusers on both nide of the river. Nothing tends more to aliepate the people from their govern-: ment," and render them disaffectel to Enizland, than the attemptsonow wade to itupose on them a new Constitution without counuling them; for we mast recollect that We are uo longer in the same sucial state as in 1812; we wo longer think in the same maniner, and people would be gratly in error who shouid believe that the same feeliugs prevail which then aprevailed. . Hear, hear.) 'I will not say that the people are disloyal ; far be it from ne to express such an "dea:- they are as loyal as those orbo aceuse the en distoyality, but they are inchat d to form tree opinions on the acts of their $n$ vermment and their own interests, and there in a great difference brotween boing logal to areat Britan and fighting for a systemegoyernuent and a prificiple taposed on us and acoepted repretiully. I matutain, thée, that the people are affryhted at the kopuse propused" th' bo made to orgauize what to called the detences of the country, and naturally ask each other whether it is right to call-upon them, to bear a share of the burthen of such defeaces, in the event of is war between our neighbors ani Eugland, a war io which they coutd uether say anyching to arod it, nor in ats progresy tako any uther part than that of shedring cheir blood and paying ither money. They ask, taveoper, whether it would not be better to remaid ta our prusent coudtion-whether it woild not be better, even, tu be smaller than to seck qeatuessto try to coupeto with our uesghbors in order that we may be the sowner erushed. They say, wer cover; that a struggle between us and ine Cuted States would be a straygle betweed al dwart and a giant for no man in his seares will say that we could stauid out agdinst theiu. It is ;retended that it case of a war with them, we should
have assistance from England. That is very well; but to any body who recollnets the Crimean war, it will be very évident, that when England shall have sent us 30,000 soldiers, she will hav given to the extreus limits of her power, and that she must resort to Spain", and France, and Germany and the whole continent of Europe to find soluiers. When we have 1,600 miles of fruatier to defend; where should we be with our $\$ 30,000$ English troops? It would not be nineteen men to a mile. (Heary, hear.) No, we are not to inagiue that a war with the United States now would be lite that of 1812 and that a company of 60 men would put the Amorican army to fight, as in the palmy days of Chateauguay:" (Hear, heary At this time, the army sid nayy of the Uuted States are the strongest in the vorld, and the resources of the country inexhaustible. lu four gears they have built bllo vessels of war'; and the number of their soldiurs is told by hundreds of thousands. Nowr peace will be rade between the North and Nouth, although it may happen not to please our politioians, who are triends to slavery; and have always despised and depreciated the Government of the United States; for the Suth cannot hold out long now that it has lost all the towng and cities through which it could receive assistance from abroad. The American Constatation will come vut trinaphant trom the trial which it to now under going. It will come out puritied aind refiaed, and stronger than ever in the affections of the people who live under it: It was not against the form of Republican Goverament that the rebellion was undertaken in the Cinted States, seeing that the Bebel States adopted exactly the same systen when they declared their indepeadence: They too have a. Prosident, a Sénate, Represéutatives, a governmént and a Legistature for every state, jost the same as under thy American Republic. (Hear, hear.) When pgace 13 uiade between the North and South, should we be able to resist the combined furcess of both sectiong of tha United states of America? Should we bs able to make a stand agaiass their uluipe of war, whioh would overspread the occan und the lakes- their guns which throw balls :of several huodred puand. wivight a distance of eighe or ten milt:from one ead of a parish to the other? The State of New York, with it four millions of souls, can tura out ware soldiers than all the colonies of England rogether ; and there are still thirty.four rioh and popalous atates
besides, to help in case of mar. (Hear, hear.) No, we are not to imagine that a war at this time would be a war of 1812 , and the people know it perfectly well. If a Contederation like this which is now proposed is imposed upon the people without cousulting them, and evè against their will-if they are forced to bear a burthen much heavier than they now bear-and if the treaty of reciprocity is not continuedif a commercial crisis should ensue, and if war shoold break out between England and the Cuited States, you must not suppose that the people will tight as they furght in 1812, When you have driven them to discontent, and rendered their position harder than it now is "Yo may toll of the population iato regiments, and they will not rebel, because they are loyal and submissive, but their hearts will not be in the cause, and they will assuredly but fight with the same spirit as thes would shew if they were defeuding a coastitution and a state of things of their otrn oboosing: They, will not fight with the same courage the suthera robels have sheiva, tor they were fighting to delend asputions-bad ones it is true, but whioh they were attached to, and which they were destruas of preserviag. Hear, hear.) In the event af a war with the United States, and being under a Confederation, the people would be called upoa to detend a state of things which they distiko-a Constitution tinposed upon them, to which they would not" be attached-a Constitation in which they would have no interest. The war 'imght result from' a dificulty originating In China: : They would un competled to aytit against a" people whoin they luok upun not ad eacmics, but as frietids, with Whom they keep- up daily relations; and, I repeat it, it muatd not be possible for them to bight as they dud in the last war. (Hear, hear:) Bui I return to the Reviproetty treaty, and I say that we shall feel itis great value once it has been repealed. It is like a bridge over a river between. two parishes'; solong as the bridge stands, every vae takes udyantage of it without a chought of tes utinty, but lat the bridge be carried away ur destroged, and every oue feels what an advantage it was, and the people realize the lose they have sutfored, when they are once more compelled to resortist the old system of thats and buats every tine they requite to cross the river. (Hear, hear.) And if the Reciprocity treaty be repealed, it will bedue to the conductor speral mom-
bers of the Ministry, and to the papers that support them, and which they support in return; ; it will be due to the conduct of Tory politicians and journalists in Canada, who, since the begiñing of the war, have constantly done everything in their power to irritate our neighbors and to embroil us with them, by displaying misplaced sympathy. (Hear, hear.) For my part, Mr: Speaker, I know that the people of Canada do not ask for annexation to the United States, for they are in the enjoyment of peace and contentment as things now stand. The people do not desire any change; but if you wish to establis $\mathbf{a}$ new order of things, if you desire to ofeate a new nationality, 1 fancy we have the right to say what we consider suited to us' and if you desire to establish anew kingdum on this continent; we surely are entitled to examine what it is $t)$ be, and the basis npon which it is to be erected. I say it woild be a misfortune for us if we altempted to establish a system founded upon"a political principle contrary to that of the United States - on the monarchical principle. If we mustinaugurate a polies, let it not be a poliey caloulated to give umbrage, a policy of distrast and pro rocation: Let it rather be a policy of conciliation and peace. Idet it not be a policy of armies, of useless walls and fortificationsa policy of rain and desolation!' What would be the use of all these fortifications, all these valls, if they load us with an uabearable burthen, of taxation, restrict our commerce, paralyse our industry; shat us up within our own narruw limits, with our vast producta cut off from a profitabte market? (Hear, bear.) Do you fanoy that "the poople would then care much whether the flag flouting over then bore a cross or a stripe? The people are satisfied to remain as they are; they do not wish for anything better now; but if you desire to change their politieal relations; they have the right to egamine your scheme in all its phase. They bare the right to ask themselves whether what you offer them is not a permanent state of war tor themselves and their children. (Hear, hear.) The Constitution of the United States is certainly far superior to that proposed to us, and far better suited to our habits and the state of society amongst us, . This seheme of Confederation, this scheme of an independent, monarchy, can lead but to extravagance, ruin and anarchy! You may deary as much as: you choose the demooratio syatem, and laud the
monarobical system-the people will ever estimate them both at their proper value, and will ever know that: which will suit them best. And when the farmers of Upper Canada are compelled ty sell their wheat, after sending it to Monisea, ten cents a. bushel lower, than they wow sell it at home, in consequence of the repeal of the Recipro. city treaty, there will be a geveral demand throughout the whole of Cper Canada, as well as ut Lower Canada, for a chage other than Contederation Aud as to this point, here is what was pard by a gentlemian who, but a few' muath ago, held' a seat on the Miniterial bencheri- refor to the Hon. Mr. Beceanan. ${ }^{\text {Hessid:- }}$

The continustion of the Receprocity treaty with the Unied States is farorible; not orily to the farmers of Canada at d to alat oiber ciasses through them, but also th the Euglst Guvernment; for, whithot the eaisence of that treaty, the Canadians are in a positwn to begrenty benefited, mani rodustrial and commercial seise, by the annexation of Catiada, to the l'mited States, unless other mastrial or intercotymal arrangements shouid take place:
Anuexation is tar preferable, in an industraal point of view, to our $\cdot$ treetrade 12 raw produets, which is wraccurpanied by protectionfor, hume industery.
-Those who speak the truth to the people in time of ceises the the present, are really the "must loyal men;" adds Mua. Mr. Becbavax; and he is right, therefore, it to that I take it upon wetf to spath thas tiankly and to tell" the truth to the puple". (Hear, hear.) $\because$ But, 't wh be . cand, "amexation is national sucide, and the peuple will uerer consent to it!" Lowkit Loulstana, which has lost itaelf in the Amertas unout", The people of Lower couda will reply, that Lonisyan contaiged but 30,909 whites When it was/sold to the Luived States, tor $14,000,0 y, 0$ and that Lower Caciala counts more than i, ive, vor un pububtants; that there is, therefuré, to empipiswatetwen the position of Lonistanat at chat time and that we now oceupy Besites, thosen 30,000 whites in Lomisisua were uut all Freach; for chirty gars previous to leug, Louisiana had belouged to the spatario, Nóo oue can deay that It was in 1 pu3 that it was ceded by l'rance to the Luited Statco, and yet its French pupulation has aist been absorbed and has nut disappeared. " Hear, hear.) Susce it whas eeded to the United States, Louisiana has alyays governed itwelf as it liked, und ia its own way. It is trua that the official use of the French latiguage
has been abolished in its Legislature, but why and by whou? It Was abolished by the people of the country theniselygs, to mart their dissatisfaction at having beem sold by France, lut not withstandiug that fact,', and the great intlux of foreign popula: tion, the original population have rem:ined French, their laws are published in French, the judges speak French, pleading is earried on before the tribunals in Freach, numerotis. journals are published in Freach; in a mord, the country has remained as thoroughly French as it was ifnder the domination of France. (Hear, hear) : To those who tell our'people that auresation would anuihilate them as a people, add destroy their mationality and their religion, they will reply that there is no danger of sheir beiag transported like the inhabitants of Acadia, aud that Lower Canada wrold be as independent as any of the other states of the unian; that they would, therefore, manage their own affairs, and protect their poterests as they thought proper, withuui fear of interveution on the part of the General Coverngent of of the other states; fur they tould powey, like all the other states, full a uid entite sovereignty in all matters pecially relathoz to their own interests, They would bo abliged to submit to the Foderal hoverameut ouly as regards matters of gederal enterest, such as pistal matters, the tarif, foreiga relationy, deferce agane cacmied, deso. de. With regard to lued maters, they wouid be perfectly sorereign in their owa eruary, and they could make alt the lawa they: thought proper; provided sucti laws were nut. hostile to the other states. Thus as regards the yuestina of dovace, they might legrslate. sa that divoree could aot be effected within their litutes. At present sonie ot the states have divure laws, while others have aut; divurce is not permitedeterywhere. (Hear; hear.) In the sutue way as regards the milita ; the people will tell you that they might do hise Vermout; which has torued part of the American union since its faundation, and which never dulupted a militia law until Jasuary, 15nt, because the pulitical orginization of the lated States never pendered it necessary for the Anerican people to maintain armies is each state in timo of peace, and exch state is perfectly free as regards the urganization of its mulitia, prorided it furnishes the number of soldiers assigned to its population, in time of war. (Hear; hear.) They do not rain thetuselves in time of peace to organize the militia. A
great obstacle to the political progress of our country arises from the vast number of persons tho arrive amongst us each year from the Briti>h Islands; they are here, bodily, it is true, but their minds wander over the sea betw en the two hemispheres, and they act as though they, were in England, in Scotland, or in Ireland, without considering our pisition, our social and political relations;
and they think they need only cry out and they think they need only cry out "Layalty, logilty "" to wake the people rush to arms but I repeat again, that if it be attempted to force the people into a change such ay is now proposed, the people of the raral districts witl become hostile to those who force it apon them, and they will not fishe in defence of such a Constitution, as ther wold firth in defence of a principle th y approvel of, and of a political position with rhich they were yatisted (Hear, hear) I have biat one word more to say on this sulject, and it is this : "it is "ill very well to yy thit she debt of the United States is courginas that will not frighten the people, fir. sorwithstanding the war between the Nirth and the South, if we consider the wanth and reaprees of the United States, thic debe will not be by any weans so formidike a mutter to ded with as we have beeu. wh In January last, the receipts of Thin Unitide states Treasury "amounted to sifopowon-oue millionaday; and notwithvtmatiog that fict dexpite the heavy taxes paid, and pail willingly by the American fople, comburcial prusperity is far greater ts that eountry than it is here, as those who man viat the country cannot fail to notice. Wa the first of December last, the close of the fivel year, the lebe of the United States \%24 $51740,600,480$. With a popuiation of B2, 1mon, yue this debe does not " therefore, ixe ed $\$ 50$ per head. 1 hite already Ahwo that ualer Contederation, our debe Wheld be sto per: head in Canada. Comparing out remuress with those of the Aturican union, we were much more deeply indebted than they were at the period of the Late andial report of the Treasury. It is risicr for the to collect tivo dullars than fur us to collect one. But with their imwedee renources, their boundless commerce, tiate uver-inereasiag panatactures;, the the wse' were to cend to murruw, the Coited Staciswould pay of their debt in a few yury, it tho goverument continued to levy she same anuace of tases that they now do. A roverune of a million a day', $8365,000,000$ por annum, $83,050,000,000$ in ten years !-
double the amount of the national debt at the beginning of the year, votwithistanding the terribie four years' war ! If the Government were to reduce the presen't imposts by one-half, the debt would be paid off in ten years; whereas in ten years from now our orn debt, which is proportionably considerable, will have doubled: itself, or, it' may be, increased in a much greater ratio, if we aree to judge by presentappearances. (Hear, hear.) I repeat, I do n"t ask for the annexation of Cazada to : the United Etates, nor do the people desire it; buo I assert that changes such as those proposed in our social and political condition, are the surest means of briuging it about because they are of a nature to create serions discontent, and a constant eonflict between us and our neighbors; and the people, far frow being satistied with that, will be but ill-inelined to defend such a state of things. I beg, io conclusion, to call the attention of hon - members to the fact, that while "it is proposed to change our Coustitution the Government refuse to give us any details or explanations as to the proposed changes, and I assert that it is our daty not to gote for these changes blindly. With reference to what I have sald, I have not said it with: out well weighing the beariag of my words ; I am ready to abite the couscqueners that may follow. "I am in a position to speak frankls, and I have dono so ; for I am not here to represent my own personal interests, nor the interests of any individual. I have speken the latoguize of facts, I have spoken as the people wiould speak throughuit all the rural distriets on the suuth side of the St. Lawrence, if they were frankly told hoiv matters stand, and if the consequences of the vialent chatges sought to be effected in our political coudition were explained to then. (Cherse)

Mr. DENIS-Mr. Speaker, for a fow days pist we have beard very extraordinary speeches from the honorable members of the Opposition, occupying seats on the other side of the House. Those houvrable gentlemen have taken the interests of the country in hand and undermkien to set them right" by such speeches as we have just hard from the hoiorable mémber for Drummonl ad Arthabaska (Mr. J. B. E. Dorion).

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-Don't crash him.
:Ha: DENIS-I dónot wish tocerush any one; but I must say conscientiously what I think of the extraordinary speech wh ich he ham just delivered. The honorable members of the Opposition have, since the comnencement
of this debate, held one course-they have constantly appealed to the prejudices of a class Who, for the protection of their interests, uniformly depend on those who represent them here, and who, in order to make sure of their allegiance and perpetuate it, work secredtly and in the dark to obtain the signatures of unsuspecting parties to petitions which they send round the conatry, and use afterwards to ensnare the confidence of members of this House. (Hear, hear.) Fortunately; they have hitherto had but little success in their undertakig'g, and have made but small progress in their attempts to injure us. These gentlemen make a loud outery agaiust the resolutions introduced by the Governtaent; but if they ure as bad as they say they are, why do they not themselves prepare some remedy for the troubles and difficulties of the conntry, instead of limiting their exertions to cries and reproaches? But no. It is always the same thing with them. Great ory, aud little "wool." (Hear, hear.) The Opposition have always had but one objeet is view, and that was, not the good of the country, but the attainment of porrer. This has been the aim of all their"actions, and when they did actually, by an accident, acquire power, their conduct was far worse than that of which they aceused their predecessors in office. Their intention is to frightea the people, as they did on the militia question by enlisting prejudices of all kinds against the measure now under diseussion-trying every petty subterfage and shabby artitice to bring back the honorable nieraber for Hochelaga (Hon. Mr. A. A: Doron) to power: But it will not worktheir little game will have no luck.: To be sure, we cannot deny the honorable member for Drummond and Arthabaska, for his part, the credit of knowing how to work upou the people, or rather how to agitate then, while they, good souls, trust blindly to the integrity of the men' who 'represent them here. It was in this spirit of truth that he stated in his strictures" on the Militia. Bill introduced by the Cabtien-Maceovili Governonent that it was a measure which would entail a tax of $8 \% 0$ a head on every habitant and $i t$ is in the same spirit that the now tells them. Confederation will eatail one of $840 a$ head. One assertion is as true as the other-neither of them is worth much. How can the honorable member vevture ot such asertions; since he knows nothing of the details of the measure-that is, the measur: of detail which are to come atter? He cau only talk on supposition, and his hypothesis
is false and unfounded: He declares, for instance, that the intention of the Goverament, in moving for Confederation, is to iatroduce monarchy into America, and to create princes, viceroys, and an aristocracy; and make the Hogorable Attorney General for Lower Canada (Hon. Mr. Cartier) Governor of Lower Canada. Such ideas could uever enter any head but those of men who are incapable of self-governueat; aud who are goud for nothing but to become demagogues. In good trath. they" mean nothing but to ayitate-to make trouble and sow discontent throughout the land, with relation to the great question Which has for inouths bern the subject of discussion: For this ead, they get up litie petitions, to bee sigued in the concessions, sayinis to the whene. $\because$ If you would not lose your husbind, sign. He is sure to be drafted for the Confuderation. siga, if you would nut hate your children deprived of their religho: :" (lleary and laughter.) It by such means that theygun thir fitte ad vantages. I" have just Bexa "utirned that these men, who have clway crided out that the elergy ought not to intertire fin pelitie. are domb all they dan to culise the cherig and swell the cry agatust Codifederation, by proelainiay that the Chiurch is in dangri. But the clergy how them tow well, and will det them shont. When I tear these toin.gentemen of the Oppontion pretend that tho clerey are on their side, becaued two priests have written against Coufederation in the new papersí: I cannot help latoging They are bow, forsoth, the saviours of religion and of the clergy; lowing and rempeting therin above all thingy. They spoke another hagayg when they insuited relbion and the clery in their journats; when they declared, in their fastitut Cthaidien, that prif ste uteghe to be forbidea to talk politics, and not to be allowed to vote at electivas. Let them revolleet the tainous parody ma excomanicativa, piblished in the Fhys, which uever existed sive in the marrow and diaboliesl mind whith rules the siecte. But now all this in to be forgoten in nuw they say, - - Gire up your leaders- the tratores whe intend to sell the country, betray your rell. giva, and dray your nationality through the thire-and cone, bllew us !", You sthile, because you know that all these protestations which you are making ti favor of religiont of the clergy, and our nationality, are a the piece of acting: The proped khow this, and will not believe gou; they will veuain true to their leaders and to those tried friends who have always served them well and faith
fully, Those who are now in power have on their side the people and the ecclesiastical authorities, whom you would use as a stalking horse in your campaign against Confederation: All your efforts, all yous tricks, will not succeed in shaking the confidence of the people in their representatives, You talk of public mectings, of the people's opinion, petitions, \&e., bat why did you not call theso - meetings when the members were at home in their counties, when they might have met you fuce to face? You waited, like cowards, till they had come here to attend their duties in this House, and set hireling agents to work to get up those meetings, expecting an easy vietory "We know perfectly well, for we hare proofs, that agents pell paid by a political committee at Nentreal, were sent to all the parishes to get up meetings against Confederation, at which they made use of the most contradictory arganents, varied as oceasion required, to suit their object, which' was to "induce the people to declare against the scheine, and siga petitions accordingly (Hear, hear.) These petitions bear the names of children, and, in fact, of sueklings, as ras proved the other day by the honorable member for: Boucherville. (Hear, hear, and laughter.) Ind if that inuch is certain, we are justified in thinking that those agents must have toad sowething still worse, with which tre are not aequainted, for the purpose of prejudicing the people against the Government scheme. Sow, I say that in view of all this in view of all this underhand trickery and hypocrisy of the Opposition - all French-Canadians should unite together in supportiof a just, frank and straiphetorward measure, such as that now submitted to this House. Was it not stated, loog before tho meetiog of Parliaineat, that the intasure should receive a calm and fair consideration? And yet since the begianing of the discussion we he had nothing but appeals to prejudice made by the advervaries of the measure, in place of discusoing it on its merits, as they ought to have adoae: The thonorable member for Richelieu (Mr. Peraaizt) has distinguished himself in the way of appealing to nativalal and relifitus prejudices, and in order to attaia his ofject he cited fuets long past-drawn, in faet, fonitaicient histery. We all know the fact bie mentiuned; but why cite them as he did in such a body as, this?. It is neither politic nor right. Our duty as members or this House is to make laws for the well being and prosperity ot the country and of all
classes of the population, and not to excite the hatred and prejudices of one section of the community against atother section. (Hear, hear.) Then, again, what was the gist of the speech just made by the honorable member for Drummond and Arthabaska (Mr. J. B. E. Dorion)-who certainly, I must admit, possesses oratorical abilicy, as well as other gifts? It was just simply a comparison between our Goverment and that of the United States, and of course he gave the preference to the latter. The honorable member is never weary of looking to Washington with one eye. (Hear, hear.) "Why does not the honorable memler tell us frankly at once that he desires the annexation of Canada to the United States? For, if we are to believe his statements, the American Government is an extraordinary government, a model gavernment, a government ünequalled in the world! But no instead of giving us the benefit of his real thoughts, Re stops short at insinuations, and comparisons of tice expenditure attending the tivo forms of government," in order to leave an impression an the minds' of the people. (Hear, hear.)'An other hon. nember of this House, who is not in the babit of appealing to the religious or national prejudices of the people- the hon. nember for Bagot (Hon.Mr.Lafiamborsé) has thought proper, this evening, to join in the outcry of the Opposition on this subject. He cited an event which has just occurred'at Toronto, and which everybody regrets, and used it as an argument arinist the scheme ol Coufederation submitted to us by the Gorernment. Why drag fhat fact into the discussion of a great question; and at a solemn moment like this? I do think that it was hardly becoming in an honorable ex-minister. of the Crown to say to this" House, -"Two sisters of charity have been insulted in the streets of Toronto; ;ergo, sisters of charity will not be tolerated under Confederation; tha clorgy will-be persecut id, and religion annibilated." "But this style of argument is resorted to somewhat too tardily. These protestations of devoteduess to religion and to the clergy come too late to be believed by the people of Lower Canada, or to make any inpression on them. (Hear, hear.) The lion. member for Richelieu also indulged in insinuations against: the Ilonorable President of the Council (Hon.Mr. Brows), and stated that he was still as great a fanatio as ever agaiast our religion and our clergy. Certainly, the Honorable President of the Council was wrong in speaking as he furmerly did,
when he was in the ranks of the Opposition; but how much more culpable was it not in the Rouges to support him at that very time? The members of the Opposition reproach us to-day with supporting the I: on. President of the Council; and blame us for things we have not done. We blamed the Hon. President of the Council for attacking our clergy and is sulting what we respect most... We opposed him with all our strength, but at that very time the Opposition supported him, and approved of everything he said. The people know that perfectly well; they know and appreciate thoroughly the differsice between our motives and yours, ia opposing the hon. member for South Oxford, and you cannotdeceive theiu. The people will say to you, "Give us a proof of what you can do ; and if you are better than thicse you attack, we will aceept your leadership." What erime are we charged with to day by the Opposition? After numberless fierce struggles, and two general elëctions, it had becoume' impossible for auy party to govern the country. The people were weany of the whole thing, and wished for a change. It was then that a codition took place betirecu the two parties who furmed the majority in either section of the province. The Opposition should not condemn thate ale linne, wa the coutrary, they ought to contudue to give their support to the howorable nember for Suath Oxtord (Hun. Mr. Brows), since he has formed an alliznee with the Hop. Atturney General for Lower Canads, in order. to find some means of carryiog on the touerninent, and of rewoving the difficulties by which we are surrounded. It has been stated that the delegates to the Quebec Confereace were not empowerd to prepars a scheme such as that now before us but can it be axid that the Government had not the right to do so?. The Ministry have prepared a scheme which they now submit to us, and the question is not as to whether they were or were not empowered to pripare it, but whether the scheme is a good oue, whether it is deserving of the approval of the people, and for the best. interests of the proviuce. Ihis it is for ins to say, and it is all we have to say, but it is not right to accuse hon. Miaisters, who have.endeavored to discharge their duty and to roLieve the country from ita dificulties-it is not right to reproach them, after they have labored day and night at their task, and to tell them they had no right to do what they, have doue. We had n right to expect a serious discussion of the Government seheme ;
but no; we have had nothing of the kind; we: have had nothing but personal âttacks, appeals to prejudice, and underhand attempts out of doots against the scheme. We have had a crop of suppositions and insinuations against this man and that man. It is, " supposed " that the Honorable Attorney General for Lower Canada desires to become n gorer. nor ; another is accused of desiring to be made, a judge of a Federal court, and every hon. menber of this House farorable to the -Governmeñt schemie is accused of aiming at making money, obtaining a place or honors, by betraying and selling the canse of the people. This is certainly most unjust, and every one of thése suppositions is utterly unwarrinted. Those who indulge in them have not a shadow of proot to bring forward in support of their assertions, and they would, therefore, be much better comployed in a calm and deliberate discasoion of the measure itself. (Hear, hear.) Other hou. members, with a riew of opposing the Government scheme and depreciating it in the opinion of the people, have made use of the name of ana: honored citizen, now living in the retiremeat of private life. The bonorable meuber tor Bugot. (Hun Mt. Laframboise) told us that Mr C. S. Cuermea, of Montreal, was: strougly opposed to the scheme of Confolerdtion, and that his opinion should have grest weight, because he is a" devout" man. Now, I should like to know, Mr. Spenker, what connection there can possibly exist betrice religinus devution aind a discussion such as this? I wasi really sorry to hear such lanouge fall from the hotiorable naember for Bagot, for te is not iu the babit of makiog use of arguments of that' kind.' 'It' is uterly "astounding to see the party who wanted to shut up the priests in their vestries. and deny then the right to hold any political. opiuiuas using Mr. Cueramer's devolionaga wepoon wherewith to combat Confederation. (Hear, hear.) . But what is the origin of the great agitation promoted by the hou: member for Huchelaga (Hon' Mr. Donton), since the alliance of the Couservative party with the Hon. President of the Council? Has be torgotien that he himself carried oat iny plicitly the behests of that hon. gentleman all the time they worked topether? Andif not, how can be possobly make it a crime in others to work with him: Was he not aware tha. his own Government- the Govenmatit of the hon member for Cornwall (Hon J. s Mac. donald-existed only at his will, that the

Hon. President of the Conncil chastised that Goverament for its most trifing backslidings; and that whenever he threatened, the Government quickly mended its ways? To-day you speak of the vast expenditure of the province ; but you formed part of a Ministry which promised wonders to the country, and what did it do after all? Tho facts are there; and surely it ill-becomes you to speak of extraragant expenditure. Hon:gentlemen exclaim"\$40 per head !" They do not, it is true, tell ius that the high price of molasses is due to Cabtier and J. A. Macdonald- (laugh-: ter)-but they everywhere assert that "these' gentlemen want to ruin the people, increase the tases, and plange the country into an ocean of debt. And yet honorable gentlemen opposite have themselves been in power, and notwithstanding all their previous denunciations of taxation and extravagant expenditure; they were forced to admit the necessity of customs duties; and to work out responsible governuent; they found it necessary to retract all they had said in former speeches, when they themselves held the reins of power. But they did not remain in office long enough to get rid of the old leaven completely, and now that they are aut of power once inore, we tind them taking up their former cries. We hive the honorable members, tor Chateauguay and Hoekelaga, who ouce had a Confederation soleme of their own, opposing the' scheme of the Guvernment, simply because it. Sid not origiaate with themselves, and opposing the adoption of any measure for the defence of the country". T"iese honorable geatlewien stated, through their: organ Le Puys, that, if England desires: to retaia: Canada, sho should pay forits defences. This is not said so openly now, but the great wealith of the Cuited States, the immense number of their guas, ships of war and armies, are used as arguments to ahew the uselessaness of any attempt on our part to defend ourselves in cise of attack, and alsc to lead the people' to the conclusion that it is better for the country. not to expend anything for defence. When tho Carticr-Macdonald Government was. defeated on a question of loyalty towards the Inperial Government, the wholo Opposition voted agaiost the principle of organizing the militia for our defeace. The loaders of the Opposition then voted unfinohingly against a Militia lam ; but three or four days after, When they had succeeded in taking the place of thöse whom they had defeated, they themselvea voted, without seruplo or hesitation, 8600,000 for the organization of the militia.

They appointed instructors throughout the Whole country, for they had learned that as British subjects they had duties towards the Imperial Government. To-day they are acting as they then acted; and they desire once more to play a double game." They do not want Confederation, but they admit that there is need of a remedy for our sectional difficulties, of the existence of which there can be no question. "Yet they refuse to"say what remedy they propose for our difficulties. They keep it all to themselves, shut up in their own minds; as they did with the celebrated" budget of the honorable member for Chateauguay, which was to be the cure for all our financial difficulties, but which never sadv the light.- Eighteen months of incubation did not suffice to bring forth the bantling. (Hear, hear, and laughter.) If the Government should not succeed in inducing all the provinces to accept the scheuie, they, at all events, will hare kept their word and kept the faith which is due to a treaty solemnly contracted between the Provinces of British North America. The hon. member for Chatemiguay (Hon. Mr. Holtoy) has told us that he had received a telegraphic despatch, by which he had positive information that the people of the Lower Provinces had rejected Coufederation, and that they had pronounced against, it in Neir Brunswick: But, what does all that amount to ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ Ought we on that account also to reject the seheme of the Government? Are we not bound to this scheme by the word of our Ministers? No, we hold to this great scheme of Confederition, and we want no little schemes such as are proposed by the honorable geatlemen on the other side of the House-schenes by which they would appoint litule judges and divide Canada into little districts. The Opposition, it is true," have created a certain amount of distrust in this scheme among the peopla, by harping on direct taxation, and declaring that Cavada will be oblized to tax herself in order to pur chaso and defend the territory of the Lower Provinces. They "hope ry these means" to. gain the confidence of the people, and to return to power; but even if they sacceeded, they would be obliged to do later, what they have already donc, what they now condemin, and what the men now in powise are desirous of doing in the interest of the people; they would be obliged toorganize the defences of the country; as the Government propose to do, and as the Imperial Government desire. At the present nowedt we have to choose one of two alternatives-either we must annex
ourselves to the United States, or we must respect the wishes of England and accept Confederation with the Maritime Provinces. If we do not desire either Confederation or annexation, we must remain as we are and continue to struggle with Upper Canada; and in the meantime the people will remain behind their plough, business will be at a stand-still; and the debt will be increased by millions. (Hear, hear.) For several days past, Mr. Speaker, we have listened to pompous speeches nade by honorable menibers of the Opposition, appealing incessantly to the religious and nat onal prejudices of the population of Lower Cunada, with the vievif of de fealing the Guverument scheme. These honorable gentlemen "draw pictures which are really hearirending: They tell the Protes; tants that under Confederation they will lose all their rights in Lower Canada in respect of the edueatiun of atheir children; and, on the other hand; they tell the Catholics that their religion is in danger, because the Federal Goverument will have the right of veto in respect of all the measures of the Local Government. But this right of teto must of necesoity exist sowewhere, in order that the ninority may be protected from any injustice which the majority might atteinpt to do them. We cannut hope to have the majority in the Eaderar Parliametit: when we Freach Lower Canadians and Catholics bave never had it under the existing union. ${ }^{\circ}$ And yet we cannot but eingratulate vurselves upon the relations which have always existed between us and our fellow countrymen of other origing and reLigions. "The Beaning Divorce Bill affords a proyt that we are in a midority in the present Legishtute, fur the Protestants all yoted in faver of that measure, and the Catholics against 'it," and the bill way passed. The Cathulics, then, are wrong when they exclaim that we wught to unite and carry out our oivn religious. viewes and secure the triamph of Freach Cauadiay nationality ; doing so will only, have the effect of exciting the Protestants and the British-Canadians to do the same thing, and then we should fall into a state of atharclyy. (jne night last week, about miduight, an honorable member of this House, un exilluister, the honorable member for Cornwall, (Houorable J. S. Macdonalid) forgot his position so far as to seek to excite religious jealuusies' and hatreds; but I ans happy to see that he has not succeeded in his atteript, and that Catholics and Protestants have treated his fanatical appeals with contempt, and have made po response. After
having heard this, can any one believe in the reality of all these anticipations of danger paraded in the newspapers, in the House, and throughout the couditry? No, it is impossible to believe in it, and not to perceive that it is all hypocrisy, with the view of exciting the prejudices of the people." (Hear, hear.) It hai been also said that the use of our language was in danger, and that the French laws would disappear when Confederation was accomplished. But is it not a well-known fact that we owe the protection of our Erench laws to the Hon. Attoraey General for Lower Canada (Hoñ. Mr. Cartier), and is not the Cole Civil, which he has just laid before us, a sufficieat answer to all that can be asserted on this head?. The French laws will be maintained and respected in Lower Canada, and this we owe to the Hon. Atorney Gene ral (Hon. Mr Cartier). We shall have a statute to assimilate the law of evideace in coumercial matters in Lower Canada; but the Frepuch laws will not be abolished. If: there is a man in the whole country who pos sesses real legal judgrent, and who is per fectly acquainted with the laws and statutes of Lower Canada, it' is certuinly the Hon. Attơney General for Lower Canada, Mr. Georoe. Etienne: Cartier. No one will deny this, and there is not a man who can compete with him in this respect. $\therefore$ Why come here and tell us that our language is about to disappear, and that its use is to be abolisted in the Federal Legislature? Is it becaune lies must be told in order to oppose the scheme of the Government, and real res sous for "opposition cañot bo found? . . drowning man catches at a straw, and that is: what the Opposition are doing to day Bu: they ought to be just, and to adnail that we shall have our code, which will guarantee tij us the manateange of our laws in Luwer Canada, just as the Imperial Ace will ghar. antee to us the use of our language. Why; tuo, should personal reerimination be indulged in in this discussion? "Cabtier," licy say, "does this because he wants to be Gorernor.

Ma. GEOFERION-Hear, hear.
Ma. DENIS-The honorable member for Vercheres, who cries "Hear, hear," is a man of too much talent and good sease to approve of such language, and especially to make use of such arguments: Ho ought to loave that to the hourable member for Richelien (Mr. Peabaclit), who opealy tells us in this House that the majority is venal and servito. Such languye as this ought not to be made
use of here, out of respect for ourselves and for the French-Canadians in this House. It is a great mistake on the part of a beardless youth, with no more experience than the hon:orable member for Richeliex, particularly when he is addressing men of the ex perieace and capacity of the Honorable Attorney General for Lower Canada. All parties agree in sisyng that the Hon. Attorney General Last is capable, honest, and of the highest integrity; but all do not approve of his poliey, and that is perfectly legitimate But that is no reason for attacking his private character, and putting in his mooth opinions which he has never uttered. They say he is honest and upright, and yet we read in the newspapers that he is willing to sell his country, his religion and his nationality for a tite or an appointuent as Governor . This is rery unfair. (Hear, hear.) The members of the Opposition demand an appeal to the people upon the question of Contederation. But if it were granted, you would see, Mr. Speaker, to what lengths they would go. These demands for an appeal to the people are only made with the view of serving the purioses of a clique, isho would say to those who desired to discuss frankly the question betore the country-" Hold your tongues and sote against the Governanent!". This is what they haye already attempted to do by means of meotings. which they have caused to be held in different counties; but I must :say that in mine they did not succeed in their designs. They sent three agents there, under difficeat pretests, who tried by every possible raeasy to iaduce the people to prodiounce against the Ministerial schene ; but they did not succeed; and yet I am the humblest nember of this honorable Hoase. But as I happeaed juist at that time to beattending to the daties of my profession at the court of the district of Beaubarnois, I observed that these ageuts had been sent by the Montreal committee, and I wis enabled to deleat their little plans and their little games. They tried to make little speeches, and hold tittle meetings, bat as II wat on the spot they gained nothing by it. But all this serves to indicate the means that have been employed by the par: tissans of the Opposition to excite the people against the measuro of Confederation. 'II do not mant to bo too hard upor them, because they naturally were desirous of obtaining a triumph for their party, and they cupployed these means as they might have emplojed others, alchough they do not care a rush for the hols cause of nationality or religion.
(Hear, hear.) I remember very well what used to be said and what used to be done in the Institut Canadien of Montreal, and I observe with satisfaction that the present conduct of the honorable members of the other side of the House who belonged to that Institut is a direct protest against what they did in the Institut, in which we have had Sutisses: coming and preaching religious toleration. Then it used to be said-". We must advance/ with the times," and they ased to read the Pucelle. (Hear, hear.) Now, the Government does not propose to establish the annual parliaments, that the hon. member for Hochelagia used to cry out for, but they are engaged in settling the difficulties of the country. They call upon every man of talent to aid them in this task, or to invent a better remedy for these difficulties, and to submit it to the country. But if those who oppose the Guvernment measure are contented with mere opposition, without proposing any better measure in its stead, what will the people say to them if they present theinselves to their constituents, to ask thein to pronounce between them and the Goverameat? They will say-"What havo you done; what have you to offer to compare with what the Ministers have done and offered to us ?" They will ask them for their measure, but they will keep it hidden away with that famous budget of the bonorable niember for Chateauguay, which has not yet been hatehed after eighteen months incubation. (llear, hear.) , We know perfectly well that the Government measure is not perfeet; and that it has defects; as all plans made by men must have. For my part I admit it most willingly; but it must be remembered that it is a coupromise, and this the gentlemen of the Oppusition take good care not to allow for or to state:. In public they say that the French-Canadians are going to be overwhelmed by the Euglish element in the Contederation, and that they will lose their language. But do they not know that in Upper Canada the French language has been preserved as pure and unalloyed as in Lower Canada, wherever there is the smallest nucleus of Freach inhabitants? The members on the other side propose giving us lessons in the art of preserviug our language and our nation-ality-they, aunexationists at heart and in their actions, who are always looking to Washington. I do not say that it is a crime to bean angesatioaist, but at least let them friokly admit what they are. Thus the honorable męuber for Chateanguay (Hon, Mr. Holton) is more of a Yankce than any onis. He told us
to-day he did not like great undertakings, but it seems to me that certain great undertakings in which he has had a hand, have ngt had the effect of emptying his purse. (He筑, hear.). Why should the country be prevented from advancing in the way of progress; why prevent "the construction of means of communication, which will have the effect of keeping our French-Canadiansin the country? You seem to forget your words and deeds of yesterday: When he occupied a seat on the Treasury benches, the honorable inember for Chateauguay was constantly rising to tell us that we were a factious Opposition, a dreadin! Opposition, bccause we did not allow the Goverament to do just . What they liked: Bat he does not think his own opposition to day factious, he who has risen fifty-five tinios in the course of this debate, and who cuts up every question like fresh butter. He says to day that the Goverament wishes to choke off discussion and to prevent the members of the Opposition from speabing, and yet he has spoken fifty-five times! The hon. member for Lotbiniere (Mr.JoLI) told us, the other day, that the people are in a condition of torpor, and that'they mugt be awakened. If they arein a condition of torpor anywhere, they are certainly not so in Lower Canada; but if they were, they would undoubtedly be awakeaed by all the fine speeches delivered by honor: able members on the other side of the House, and on observing the great resistance which they offer to divoree aud their fervent energy in maintaining family ties uabroken. Those gentlemen loudly proclaim to usthat we ought not to vote for divorce ; but it is quite annecessary for them to tell us so-all Catholics are perfeetly well aware that it is their duty to vow against divorce. We know that the laws of Parliament canat prevail over those of the Church. And we are not voling for divore in yoting for the scheine of Cuntederation; and the declamations of hont. members on the other side of the House, ou this subject, cannot earry convietiou into the minds of any one. Nobody asks us to enact a law to allow civil magistrates to celebrate mar: riges, and all that is raid by the Uppsition in relation to this question ouly amountes to a tempest in a tea-pot. At any rate we may congratulate ourselves apon the conversion of hon. members, and now they need only tell the truth for the fature, and their past sins will be forgiven thea. However, although: they constitute themselves the protectors of our religion and nationality, it is erident that the people do not yet very firmly believe in
their conversion, and that they have not yet attained the confidence of the country ; for otherwise the plan of the Government is sufficiently new and sufficiently little uaderstood to allow of their having a chance of returning to power. (Hear.). The people, in view of all their fine declarations, will probably think that they are going to ally themselves with our friends; ; but if they do not do so, it will then be perceived that they are not sincere, and then so much the worso for them. In the meantime the people will consider the scheme which is submitted to us, and will judge it upon its merits, without allowing themselves to be led -away by appeails to prejudices ànd insinuations made by honorible members on the other side of the House. . I shall, at a later period, speak upon the question itself, but I will not follow the example of the honorable member for Richeliet, who gave us a lopg speech with the help of Garneau's History of Canada, which he read out nearly from one end to the other. Nor will. I utter threats either, and no one of us will say, "If matters do not go on in this way, or in that, you will see." In a country like ours, we do not say "you will see !" To do so is to try to create uscless excitement among the people, and all bonest "en shoould reprove such conduct. Besides, who is the man who his influence enuugh to raise the people at the present monent? Certainly not our vorthy fellow-citizen, Mr. Cherrier, for he is too peaceable, too devout, and too grod a Catholic to tell the Cana: dian people to rise and fight against the scheme of the Government by force of arms. No, ho will rather tell them to respect authority, and claim their rights if they consider theuselves injured, because, he is aware that it is better to respeet oueds father than to fighe against him. As to Hos. Mr. Papinkiv,"that distinguished man has uadergune morlitication eaough in his publio life, and teel, enough regret for his fruends and fellow countrymen who perished at St. Denis and elsewhere, to pteveat his wishing to recommente playing that game. The honorable member for Bagot repruached the Hua. Attorney General for Lower Canadis with haviog been present at St., Denis, and with having returued from thenete. Would he have preferred to have seen hiu lying amid the dead and mingliag this ashes with those of the viotims who porished there?

Hon. Ma LafRAMBUISE-Oh! there.
was no danger.
Ma. DENIS - Yor reproach him with
having done this when he was young, and yet you say that you would do the same if you were powerful enough to undertake it. . That is no argument; and that is not what we ought. to do. We ought to say to England that it is our wish to remain under the shadow of her noble flag; that we stand in fear, of our neighbors, and are desirous of knowing what she can do to help us. $:$ It is in thispway that our Ministers should approach the Imperial Government, and if the negotiations do not terminate in a satisfactory manner, then it will be time to separate and to seek arother state of existence. . The debate has taken too personal a turn, and we have listened to accusations and insinuations agaiust this person and ihat person; but as the Opposition has nothing better to saggest to us than what is proposed to us by the present Government, they cannot hope that members on this side of the House will support them with the sole object of defeating the Administration. Geatemen on the Opposition benches call for the details, but their leaders may be called upon to say what they. saggest to bring the country forth from the diticulties in which it is pluaged: What they desire is the stetus quo. But let them propuse sousething practical to ua ; let them say what they waut and what they can do: Iastead of this we hear from them nothing but recriminàtions and perpetual fault fiading. They ask why the Gjoverument does not new state how the local goveruments are to be organised ; but the refply to this question made ty tho Hon., Attorney Geaeral for Lower Lanada; was very just, whè he told them that the Goverapacat wished first to know whether we were invorable to Confederation, and that then they mould bring formard the details. This is rettetly fair, and ne must nut mix up theards. (Hear, hoar.) I do not wish "to speak at greater lengeth at present; bue I- tuist allude, however, to the esutiaval assertiou of the hourable necuber tor Huchetaga (Hon. Mr Do. now) with respece to the cuormuls uativnal debe which Confederation will entail. Why uoes he not take aecount of the reason's which tuduce the lower Proviaces to refuse Contederation? 'Is it because those reasons are fatal to his aygoments? thi fact the Lower Provinces declate that our Miaisters wished to abtain tos mueh fur Canada, that. the burtheus to be laid upou them are tov heary, and that an allinace with us would ruin them: whilst honorablo wembers on
the other side of the House declare that they will ${ }^{\text {none }}$ of this allianee, because we grant too much to the Lower Provinces. Those provinces say that Confederation will not be advaitageous to them, because they will be compelled to pay for the carald, the railways and other improvements in Canada, and because they would derive no advantage from an alliance with us. Besides, those provinces are now in the hands of agents of the United States, whose great object is to prevent the success of Confederation; because it would be fatal to their trade with the provinces. That is why they labored, and labored successfully, to prevent the clection of the partisans of Confederation in New Mrunswick, just ás they would do all in their power to prevent our elections here, if an appeal to the people should be had on the question, for they would work in the interest of the United States. (Opposition laughter) - I sec the honorable nember for Drummond and Arthabaska laughing -

Mr. J. B. H: DURION-I ann laughing at the silly stuff you have been tilking to us for the last hour.

Mia. DENIS-If there is a man in this House who has talked silly stuff and holds narrow ideas, that man is undoubtedly the houcrable member for Drummond and Ar-thabaska-he who has never done anything but stir up and foment the prejudices of race-he who writes litle letters to get pe: titions against Confederation signed in his county by all the women and children in it. Although I have not, like the honorable member, at my command a little nowspape: like the lNefrichëur, which never oleared (dejrithe) anything exeept when the honorable menber fur Hochelags" was. Attorney General for Lower Canadi, and then the thonorable member knew very well how to make clearings among Governacnt jobs and advertsements-I am quite able to reply to the honorable member. It is eruly laughable to hear a man like him talk of the "silly stuft". of others; when" we think of his newspaper articles in whioh ho said :-"May!"wretched people - molasses and tea are dear"-and what he said about the Seigniorial bill and the Munteipal bill -two measures which have called forth the admiration of the whole world-and about the Reciprucity treaty, which was, by his shewing, to do all surts of harm to the country, but which bas done all sorts of
good. ...Ah! it is the same school all over: The instant a man bolds a different opinioń from those gentlemen, he is good formothing, oand all that he says is silly stuff. Truly, these are the foolish virgips who have no oil-in their lamps.

Ms: J. B. E. DORION-You are charm ing!

Mr. DENIS - The honorable nember told us, a short time since, that we were passing from extravagance to folly; "fith one struke of the pen he sweeps away all the apices of the country, aud declares that they are merely heaps of fools and simpletous; but I formive hin, for I believe that he is not compos pentis. As to those who set themselves up here as the defenders of religion, we shall, before believing them, wait for an expression of opinion on the part of those to whom is intrusted the duty ot speaking on the sabject; and as to the protection of our mationality, we shall thearisen to the men to whom the people bave delegated the duty of watching over and protecting it, and we shall not follow the leadiag of men like those who are opposed to the plair of Confederation : : (olinisterial cheers, and ironical Opposition laughter)

Mer HOULIOT said - Mr. Speakép, it "was my intennon", befó e recordiag my Fote on the resolutions which are num betore the House, to mak some remarks respecting them at moch greater length than 1 shall bow do; for nuw we fipd that this new being, which was to be brought furth' in order to save the cuinotry, has already perished while still in embryo, from the violent blow which it has receired in Neiv bruaswick:; and of we still tura our átention to it, our doing so is certaioly only is order to relieve the ${ }^{\text {owonb }}$ of its kucther, whom it greaty inconveuicaces, and who would ultumately have been destroyed by it." "There is, theref,re, nothing lett for us to du, Mr. Speaker, but to join ia the libera and to chant-requitscit io. pace-(laughter)-and t'at, I' think, thei, whole of Lower Canada will sing with a great dial of pleasure, giving, at the same these, thaths to that Providence which, we love to think, wateles with special care over our beloved Canala, for baviag preserved us from being plunged into the abyss, on the ver be of which ne were staditis', and to charge the houvrable gentemen who sit on the wher side of this Huse to go to. Eughad aul dehver its faneral oration (II ar, hear) Yet, thuugh such is the case, Mr. Spegtera, the exep-
tional position in which the county which I have the honor to represent here; and the position whick an effort has been made to describe me as occupying in this House, by the assertion that I do not represent the opinions of my constituents in relation to this great giestion, cowipel me, before foting, to hold up to view the special situation of wis county and to shew that in voting as I propose to do, I shall be doing no more than carrying out and executing the wishes of the electors whom I represent. I should wish that several of the metmbers who are going to vote on the opposite side may be able to shew as good grounds in support of their sotes: (Hear, hear:) It is true that a meetag, called by uyself in my duable capacity as warden of the sunty and mem. ber representing it, was held in my county, aud that at that meting there was some disturbance : which prevented an' expression of opiniot in relation to, Cuafederation; but, Mr. Spegker, it is, well to know that that meetiog was helt only two days before the butloting for the militia, amil that in consequence great agitation had been got up among the young men, who are not even olectors," in order to divert the "attention of the meting trom the subject, to discuss which it had been called together ; and it is actuowledged, Mr. Spesken, that it is alvays easy to tind a certain number of peuple, ia any county whatever, who will be ever ready to create a disturbance it only ihey are provided with what is beedful, and such is what took place on the occasion in question. Siace thea, however, several of the" priseipal parishes bave prouounced upon Confederation, as will be seen by the tollowing resolutions, which I shall take the liberty of readiag to the llouse:-
At a special meetiag of the turaictpal coman
 Témiscouata, duly calhad by spexial mad public unger, and tweld in the snd parish of St. Arsene, in the publuc tall, wa Motay the theterith day of the misth of Februaty, the year of out Lord one thousind eis the hendrel and sixty-five, fa contormity wath the provisisias of the yancipal Aet of Lower canada of 10ce, and at ivhigh
 Mayur, and Messieurs Fissevis Dciáa, J. Bra:
 Jisupa Hor and Ctovis Bee theesabid councol and constitutiog a prorum ; the anidJ. Pase Roy, tisuré, presitity as Bayor; and at wheh mettiag was also pressant a lurfe
 of tho said parish, Cout ellor Fleanculs Dibi nouved, seeonded by Councillor Hecron Roy:-

That it be resolved that this Council being of opinion that the scheme of Confederation of the British North American Provinces now before the Législatare; would be C disadvantageons to Lower Canada, considers it their duty to request J. Bite. Puelrot, Esquire, meember for the county; to do all in his power to prevent the adoption of the scheme in question, or at Yeeast to obtain the postponement of that'adoption until after'an appeal to the people shall have been had, in such, way as the Legislature shall think most expe-dient.-Unanimously adopted.
Mr. Cloris Rof moved, seconded by Mr. Jos. Ror:-
That a copp of the foregoing resolution be at once trangmitted to the said J., Bre. Potinot, Esquire. - Cuanimously adopted.

- (Signed) $\quad$ J. Prime Rox, Mayor

1 have also other resolutions, ideatical in character, adopted in several other parishes in the county; but I shall abstain from read: ing them. (Hear, hear.) Now, Mr. Speak. Ea, in order to explain elcarly to hooorable. members the peculiar position in which the couaty which I have the honor to represent is placed, I" have to inform them that Whatever line is'adopted for the Intercolonial Railway, it it should be built-and I tope thatt it will be built without Confederationit cuast; in ary case, pass through the whole of the county-an extent of more that fifty miles-and subsequently be carried through. Igreat extent of yirgin forest, to which the in habitants of my county are the most vearly situated. The advanta res reaped by the localities, Mr. Speaker, in which worky of such magaitude are being carried out; buth as, regards their construction and their subse-queat minutcaance, and the other advantiges accruing to botteicents from the building of a railway, are well knowa. "All this has been perfectly well anderstood by the iababitants of uy county; that is to say, that in respect of materialititerests, Confeleration might be bencificial to ns-an opinion which I aiso hold myself; but they have also, however, understood that as it is with individ. nals; so it-is with nations-that the riehest ate not always the happiest:- And beliering that the Freneh Canadian nationality "rould be cadaggered" if Confederation should be carried out, they did not hesitate - foraniostant to prohoonciagainst the scheme, and charged mes, as their representative, to oppose it hare in their name'; so that in acting as I ami doing, Mr. Spbakeia, I am, merely carryiug out their fishes. (Hear,
hear. I must say, Mr. Spraker, that I/ greatly regret that several of the gentlemen with whom I have worked and with whom I still werk, should have so strongly based their objections to Confederation on the constructiou of the Intercolonial Railway...To listen to those gentlemeu, one would really believe that Canada ouds kere at Quebec, or that the part which is situated below is not: worth occupation. I invite those gentlemen to examine with a little more" attention the map of the province as far as its lower ex-: tremity-the Bay of Chaleurs and Gaspe, and they will perceive that it eontains a tolerably vast territory and good land adapted tor colonization-a fact of which they may also convince themselves by glanoing at the colunization reports. They will'per: ceive, I say, that if the Intercolonial Railway were made by the line called Major Robrisson's line, butidot by New Brunswick, as recummended by the resolations sabmitted to us, we should, before many years had elapsed, see an immense population'settled on that teritory, which is capable of containing more than 100,050 souls; and sereral of the gentlemen who oppose the construction of that road, and who reside in: counties in which there is no room for the surplus population, might induce that surplus population to go and settle on the territory io question, aud would thave no reason"to regret having done so. (Hear, hear.) And, Mr. Speaker, besides the advantages which that row would briag to the trade of Canada in general, it would, if made to communcate with the Gralf of 'St. Lawrence by' way of Mistigouche, have the immediate effect of itupartiog an iñpulse to the workitog of our hisheries, which are capable of yiviag employueat to several chousand more persons than are now engaged in them." The effect of this would be to keep our young men at home, aud even to bring them back trom the luited States, where many of them now are. I, therefore, invite the gentlemen whu are opposed to the railway in question to join with ur in hurrying the construation of it,' for 'it-will be one of the best means of restoring equality of population between the two proviuces, and of, stifling the cry which is so deafening to us Lower Canadians -the ory for representation by population: I willingls admit, Mr. Speaker, that public opiniso boluw Quebee appeared at first to be favorable to Confederation, or at least that there was'a disposition to submit to it, be-
cause the publie had been made to believe that goverrnment was no lònger possible, and that Contederation was the ouly nieaus of setting our difficulties : but I believe that that opinion has greatly changed siuce the Ministerial explanations have been made public; for every one expected, and it was everywhere asserted, that amendments would be, made, and that we should be infurmed as to the nature of the local governments; and as to the debt of Luwer Canada: "Hear, hear.): With thene few remarks, Mit Speaker, I shall, conelude by saying that I shatl vote against the resolutions it order to carry out and to comply with the wishes of my constituents. (Cheers.)

Mr. J. J. RUSS-I propose, Mr.Speak: En, that the speech of the honorable member should be priated in pauphlet form, apart frum the offistal debates, and that erveral thousand capies should berstrusk off to be distrobuted freely throughout the eountery (Hear, hear and laughter)

Mr. BIGGAK-As the reaphutions on the Cobfederation of the Pronitees' are fooked to with a yeryprest deai of interest by the country, [ think a necessary to make a few remarks un exphastuon of the yote which' 1
 "it necesvary for me to state, tho briefly as posibie, the pintion that I hold towarti the present Goverument, as also the two governments that have preceded thern. In my cabuass :a taril: I must dhenctly and waherntatiagly stated to wh wéastituents that I had no eontidence in the Canmer- MaïDovild Goverument, who were thea in power, as I considered that they hal managed the thances of the coututry yery badly, and hal, by their extrasarance, brought us to the eve of taukruptey, and that if I were elected to the thouse as their representuive, I should feelite my duty to vote want of confidene in that Guverument, if such a vote was prof ect la troje the Mintia Bull was tatroduced by that Adnintotration. Melievog that sume leghation was necessary' in 'that direction, and admittiog the priacipte of the bill, I voted woth the Goverameat on it. "Some of inv pohtigal friends, with whon I was then acting, feund fault with the for the course 1 thet tuak and the sote I then fave? but I am happy to say that they have sutue been indued to take the same view of the matter that 1 did at that time, and they"would now be willing togo a little farther in the same direction than i would perhaps feel it prudent to go with jur
great public debt. It is gratifying to me , howener, to find that the course I took on that odeasion has been approved of now by them:- That Governinent was defeated on that vote and when the new Goveriment was formed, kpown as the MacdonaldSrcorte Administration, I was not satisfied "with their policy. I had promised my constituents that I would support representation by population, and vote against separate schools; and as that Government proposed to make representation by population a close question, and to bring in a Separate Schos! Bill, I telt that I should have to vote against then when representation by population would be moved as an amendment to the Address. I accordingly roted tor the amend. thent' and when Mr "Scott"s Separate School Bill was introduced; lelt it my duty to vote ayinst it, in aceordanee with the pledges I had made to my constituents That Government was defeated, and a new Gyy. ernm'nt; was formed, in which I alvised you. Mr. Speaker, and my friend the late Hon. Postmaster General; to take office. Il stated. to you, Mr. Sreaken, and to the Mon. Mf Mowar, that I would not adrise you ás: y friends, to take office, unless if wopld feel it to be iny duty to support you's and that if the queation of representation by pepulation was agatin moved ay an amendmete to tha Speech from the Throne I would vote against the amendaent, and that I mould wis befor my constituents, as a general election waz approaching, and state what I had dune and if they did not suistain me in what I had done, 1 was quite wiling to' remala it ${ }^{2}$ home. I believe that Cioverament did right in resiguing, when they" found they could " not carry ou the business of the country in a satisfactory manner; and when the Tacue: Macponalo Adeninistration was formed, I deeided to give them a text-rote, but I was williog that they should proceed whoutany opponition from me, if ther could. cosutrul a majority of the House; but when the recoustruction thol place, I telt that I eould nut be a party to a goveranent of that bind - that the demorallaint intluence of a ed alition such as that Goverument ewntaiiod would counteract all the good they could ever do, and that the allianee wais an un. happy one. (Heas, hear.) I' was not wil. ling, after haviug voted a want of confidence in them on the Itth of June last, for having misappropriated oúe huadred thousaid du!: lars of the funds of the province, to come down to the House eight days after and say
that I would support them, now that they had promised to give the Hon. George Brows, on behalf of himself and two other nuembers of the Liberal party, the selection of three seats in the Cabinet, when they had done no act to merit my confidence, but siurply state that they would grant constitutiotal changes, which they might or might never do. I was not prepared, however, to give them any factions opposition, bat was willing to support any good measures that they might bring forward. That Govrament met delegates from the Maritime Provinces, at a Conference in this eity, and dereed upon the resolutions that are now qubuitted to this House. In them I find principles which do not harmonize with my phedges to the people and without an appeal to the people F cannot support the measure wow betore the House: (Hear, hear.) I will not here say anything of the merits of the resolutions, but situply state that they cworace pricecigh which I cannot support on pecount of the promises that I have made to my coastituents. The people of my county hwe bees led by the Glibe to believe that the Intercolonial Railway would be' a very hageruvis affair tor the country, and that it would not be useful either as a military or cuntuercial undertaking. Looking at it frum a military point of view, it is well know that part of the proposed line would rua within tweatysic miles of the American it duter, and tha- communication could be cut off at auy tuouent by an American army; and that as a commercial undertaking it e uld never compete with the water route during the seassn of navigation; and in the winter it would be comparatively useless on account of the depth of show. They hatue been tod that it would never pay for the grease that would go on the axles (Hear, heary). Whea I went before them and pated that I would napport the Macponatod. Durion juvernuent, they said that Govern: ment should be lioked upon with suspicion, ${ }^{24}$ they had graited ten thousand dollars for the survey of the Intercolonial Railway; but I told them that the best guarantee that they entd have that that work would not be procteded with, was that the Hom. Mr. Douion was in the Cabinet, and that he had previously resigned his seat as Provincial Secretary in the Macdonald-Sicorte Admidistrition, rather than agree to the construction of that patway. Another question that I found a little embarrasising was that of separate schools. The present Hon. Solicitor General
for Canada West came inta my riding and very ingenious!y told the people that I was responsible for the Separate School Bill having been forced upon them, ibasmuch as I had supported the general policy of the Government that had carried the bill, although I had voted with the hon gentleman against the bill in all its staves from the begining to the end. The'swere satisfied, however, when I told thein that I was prepared to vote to rescind the amenduents to the Separate School Bill as introduced by Mr. Scotr. Now, as these resolucions propose to perpetuate separate schools in Upper Canada for all time to come, I teel that they would conflict with the pledges that I have made to the people, and that I cannot support then. (Hear, hear) I was a litile surprised to find the Honorable President of the Council get up and say that he did not fear any of the evil results that might proceed from the preseat Separat : School Bill. Was that the language of the hon. gentleman in 1862? Was that the way the subject had been treated in the columus of the Glold when the bill was being discussed in 1862 and 1863 ? Every wember of this House will remember hor the thirtecen members, even spo'sen of in the Globe in 1 dibe, for having had the courage "to vote aganst the second reading of Mr. Scort's \$eparate Schoul Bill-when 93 members of the huise were willing to vute for the second reading-aud in 1863 when the bill was being passed iuto law by the : Macdonaldsicorte Governmenthow the members were warned to be true to their pledges, nu matter what might become of the Government. Even Dr. Rycison, the Superintedent of Edacation for Upper 'anada;' who hat dev ted tweoty gears of the best of his life in perfecting a system of education, was denotuced in the columos of the Globe as a deserter of the best interests of education in Upper Canada, for having consented to the amendments as proposed in Mr. Scurt's Separate School Bill: I cannot help refeifring to another remark made by the Hon. President of the Council. He said- "Let auy oue vote against these resolutions and dare to go beffiore the people." Is he not prepared to alluw others the same treedoun of thought which he enjoys himself? (Hear, hear.), I can only say that I for oue will not be soerced into anything of that kind. (Hear, hear.): I am ndt responsible to t!e IDa. President of the Council for my votes.. I am responsible to the people that sent me here, and to a higher power, and I
am not going to be coorced into giving a rote which I cannot apprờe (Hear, bear.) I cannot say whether I will ever be called upon aqain to represent the county that I pow haven the thonor to represent; whether 1 do or do not, it is a matter of no consequence to me; but I do say that $T$ will nut, under any circumstances, be ruerced by the honorable geatliman. He shoild not Torgt, however, that his influeg ce in Sorthưimberland is not what he mish: have anticipated, and that when tee thoupht proper to come down from Turonto in Aprimet th oppoise the Hon. Sulicitor Genirul; wheu he was eontesting the West Ridiae with a rerg respectatle farmer. that not with-tanding the very powerful speeches of the How Prei. dent of the Council, the llina Solictor Genoral was returued for that rillige by a very targe majonity. I suipose that, hal the Hon.: President of the coucell antielpated that he way, with in two pronths: $t$, hare had a seat in the same Cabiute with the Hon. Soliciter Geaneral he would have acted fifferently. I mydelf had a wiry strong invitation to wo to the West Ritling to appose the Hon.: Solicitor General but 1 was willige to aet uph the principle ont returaing gend for evil. I wasquite willing to alow the decton uf Weet Nurthuber: land the choise fir themetlese whom they whuld elect for their repreentative in. Par: hament, and in regard to the Hon Sulientro Qen ral, I grust way that; ay tar as I can leàrn, he has dischargel the dutie of his oflyo wity satisfaction to the fuvernuent and the people that he represents, and with credit to himself:" It is aot my intention the give the Governm nit any factious upposition.' I will cheerfully suppote any good measures for the bencitit of the conutry which they may bring forward for our adhetion: but 1 with the Goverameat io anderitand as I do "nut wish tis becupy any dublefal position in this Honee, $I$ am as supporter of theirs; and if a vote of want of conflege is at any time proposed, 7 atu prepare⿻ to vote igainst them " (Hear, hear)
Mr Jackson-I think it rybt to say a few words on this questi, mefore the vote is teken ; but at this late hour, I will not detain the Housa, very long. The subject. hay been diseassed from : rarious puists of view. In the early pate of the debate, whe geateman, the hou.. member tor Hocheliga (Hou. Mr. Donion), whjected to the scheme mainly on the ground that it approximated too closely so a legislative union, and that it
would interfere with the privileges whieh the parties to the uaion exercise in their respective localities; and if ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{I}$ remember rightlys he said that the plan of the Governmeat would have the effect of juterfering with the lauguage and religion' of Lower C'anadians. It occurred to me at the time he: was makitg his speech, that he was taking untenable groand; and I felt grateful then, and I do so now, that that bon gentleman is not in a position to exercise mpee power, at "this" crisis, than an ordiaary member of the Legislature :I admire the ability of that hoporable gentleman, and I consider it unfortusate that at this im portant juncture hr did niot rise 'above narruè and thuited sectional wiews, and take more statesmanfíke monad: (Hear, hear) Then the homniember for North Oatario (Mry M. C ("s viesos) objeeved ti Confeleration from a different poiut of tiem, bat he arrived 'at the couclusiona from areaments of an entirely diffrent charicter. Strange to say, he did not regard this with satisfaction, while $s$ Jegistative union would moet with his approval: He protessed to believe that the Mari: time lroviuces would combine with Lower. ('unata, and forma a uion detrimental to the futerests of Uppet Catada, placing the people the e in $u$ worse position than that whith they at present uecapy with an equality of representation: Is the made that remark, I asked him what difference, it e) uld make then, whether we had a Federal we a L gistitive unibi, which the professes to atuire, as it wonld havechargio of all the tuphorunt general iuterests."His answer whenced me that there was nothing to sapport his argament. It seem do to ue thit he woik too wiuch for grated in assum: Thy that there would be a union between Lower" anada and the Maritime Prorinces as agaust Yper Canida. It is hardly toteoon. cived that geat imea called together for the pertionitice of ceftan bigh parpoes woutd atteript ti do an injury to one part of the country wever amither. (Hear, hear.) If such a seetioual alliance was possiblo, it wutd be much more likely thit the uaive would be formuld with t'pper Canada, inaswuch as thit part of the proposed Confederacy hay a unuch largar aggregate buaipesis thati any or either of the uther separate seetions., Bat I will not dwell lupon this, as it apperse to me tocarry with it its own re:atis. tion. Thisprincipal reason fur opposiag this sutheze is, I thiuk, founded on the fact that the thon. geatlemen now united together in
the Government do not possess his confidence. He referred to their antecedents, and spoke of their being opposed to each other before, and said that it was jompossible for them to unite now for any good object. I think, sit, it will scarcely be dinied that in lookius back upon the antecedents of our public men, there is hardly one of any note who has not, daring some portion of his life. fumd himself in such a position as to render it decessary for himeto abandơn views which he had previously mantained, and that no governuent has bren guccessfulsthieh hos not beca founded upan mutual coneestions It is peccasary that public men on both sides , hould unite in great emprencies in order to ronste the genernl welfare. We know very well that thow whe are open to onvionion very irequenly change their course", and it is an dis rave to any one that under the inflime wof increased hoowleder he has shapel his emmat in aecordare with the degree nt hathe which has surroundeal him.". The hworabte gentheman hucirs: very well that we mat juipe the actiogs of individual not merely thy the motives-for these we cannot ofteti penctrate-but by the daracter and hosules of their actions."And we wat fork upen the schemy now hefore th it rently fos
 lass wo tee chear envenuce to the pomtrary, we ought to five ita protatera ctedit fin honessy and siucerity. I have ne nympithy. with those who willingly attribute the actions it publie mea to the influace of unwortiy motives, when they may tairly claim, ti orignate in the higher puatition of the wind and heart. It is the duty. l'think. of all riphe-minded mon to niva thingorm: meat thecredit of acting foom hym-minded inotives But supposiag, tor the sake of argureat, that these hoborable pentletuen had united for dividiag amons thenselves ufice of protit and emolument. It in fortu. nate that the germs of evilsel om attain to Lheir complete desclophent. Probespinas of patriotisu do not always betoken the almonete. of sellishness: He has real historg te litue purgues who has not discovered that political dinhonesty has frequendy been not ualy barmios, but thas been practically, the minisecr of public good. The hoti. member hor Surth Outario (Mr. M. C. Camenon) tated the uther doy, that under Confederation 'Ppper' Cahada would contribute an unequally large propurtoo of the amoant anecesary to gubtain the mashiaery of the

Confederacy. He had a large array of figures before him; but as I took no notes of these figures, I am not prepared to dispute their correctness. . But he forgot this, which is a matter of great importance to bo convidered; that under Confederation there will be a uniformity in the tarkffs of the several provinces, and if the tariff of Canada is reluced so as to bring it into conformity with these of the Maritime Provinces, the' dispropertion will dissppear. An hon whitleman who afterwards addressed. the Hinuse mad who. I regret, is absent from the House by reasm of indisposition-the hon, maberfir Brume (Mr: Dunisin)-I understond to vay that mations and constitution and governments owed their origin to that er ative powerto which all are indebted for existetco and the meatis of perpetuating it The ulea is well exprosoed in the words of a celebratein writer: $\because$ There is a Divinity that shapes our ends, riugh hes thom as we may:" He" Mr. Deskin) then went on to question the hone ty of the puirpoe of those smement, Mow Messer. Röss, Galt and Cakier, who signel the despateh of 1858 , which resulted in the couference of last Septanbers. II dencribed all the intermediate stared us aremputs," and then found解位, with every item of the cot ferential arrangement. the ling. gentenian, on his own prin iphage"should not criticise too severefy the action of the Guvernment. They míeht be onlj instrument's on the hands of the supremb Architect: The reasonable nnithet would be to examinc the arrangemints or agreements of the Coufereuce, and If the wheme is quad to be based upon just and iquitable prineiples, it sust recomaend itwelf tis tavorahle consideration, and the inevitable comilusion the that it ought to be adapted. I' ronfers admire the arrangement, which "han no doubt been arired at after anoh eareaind teliberation:" The commurcial and tinaneial parts of the scheme neem to tre to be as justau, under the circen. stanees, che poomibly could be "It is a very ordinary acemplishment to be- abli- to find fault. It is urch (axierti) destroy than to build up dra know that those sodisposed ritght take up the best sebemes ever devised ty human. ingenuity, aud draw juproper conclusions therefrom In fant, there is no forin of governkent in the vorld but what, if bally administered, wo th be prosuctive of evil. Wa the other hand, a scheme somowhat defective in itself, if placed it the hands of good and parriotic men, might be made to
conduce to the adraitage of the country"That whieh is best administered is best." Mr: Speaker, no scheme dan be eutirely perfect. Indeed, it is scarcely desirable it shoullt be' so. There should be roona for the esercise of political virta, and scope for the exercise of that executire responsibility which attaches, to our system of government There is a groat deal of discretion left to our fubiic wen, and they are expected to use their powers for the general weal and welfaro. I am disposed to place cuntideace in the Government, and betiere that they will, se far as their ability goes, roik sut this sclieme to a desirable result, and in this I hope and trust they will succeed The hon. nember for Lennos and Addiugton
 Which, like all bis other speeches, was oit
the nost "admirable kiud, wade ssine protuind abservatpons. He had thu sugh deeply, upon the subject of which he Was treating IIE remarked that the Goverpuent were merely giving, etfect to "a forezone conclusion. He, wo duabl, recognized that the public sentimient and public upinion had attained a gertain statohad arriyed at such a point, thut the Government were compelled to. qo writh the strem, and endearor to cousitm wate that which the people had arrealy brouxht into such a con dition of forwardnes Aad I thought, sir, that this was the proper and philusephical siem to take of the matter it is true to my wifudat ill events-and I thiuk that those whu haye made themelves acguainted with political hittory, and the pulitical histury of Euyland in particular., mast come to the conclusion that those goveruweuts avt mast wisely who take advantaye of existioy cir. cumstances, and adapt legisfatiôn to the real wants and exigencies of the countrys The question is not at all tiviex what is best is the wöstract, but what is uust uss ful and adrantageotus to the people $\mathbf{M y}$ iden of a stagseman is that he should be influe peed to a larye estent by motites of expediency Abstract propositions can seldoum be reduced u) practice. It is foulish for prateraciet placed in the position of the Guveruberat zo Jo "yaust the popular streant, and they test mantest their prudence, their abibty, and ,their adaptation to the disebarge of their im. portant duties, why make use of passing events for directing the vessel of state into - is secure harbuur: The hooprable mentiter for Misbisquoi (Mr. O'Hallotan) said the
other night that there was too much legis-lation-that the country' Was governed to death, and I admit that to a certain eztent there is some propriety in his remarks ; but they did not apply: to the present subject. I prcsume we are nut here for the parpose of discussing the past acts of the Government, but forctie purpose of consideriag the scheme now befote us, and it will be an evidence of our zud sense and wisdom-it will show, too, our seriousness-if we give it our calmand inpartial consideration withotat referuace to extrabeous matects. (Hear, hear, " I think, sir, weare now pasing out of the seasob ot political chitusood, and that weare beitg called upon, in the course of eventar turter uparthedutisand responibititieg incilin al the theriod of youth: We are regaired to pactios and inure war. elves to the disehara, ut ih portant dutues, which repuire discretion and self reliauce
 ches-there drequriuns atagen proseses throughwhich we must pas uetore we can araveat the pantion of matured. Tatere are ouly two tidudy ot abimals that attain to eminence-itriogs that of and thiture that: ctect Things which fily are never seeure -they are freyu+atify brought duspia; whilse things which critep proced frmby and vantiously, it miniy and by dexerees arrife at the torimont puint A ad supeople who pass. at a bound from a state of political chiluhood to a state of political manhood, violate the frder and arringement observed in macure: : Wo have suad iastances where people have daregarded the varbs stages of politioal "xintence, but iń so dofag they have deprivid themselves of the ad. vantages of that experlinnce whoth is no essary to is vigurusat manhond, and which provisus traithing abone can secure, " I 8rast We shall nut make this mistak but bhat wo shall cubacte " Hes order athd gradations of nature, and pass through the mariogitititical stages of berite, frisu childhoed upwards, in wach a way that We may learo to diseharge the duties of our puation tri a spirit of self: reliance: that we thall have been taught hisw to makw tho bese of our eircmatances, and "prova that the training wie have received during our pupilage has been suoh fat tu fis us for a "visoruas and prospervus futare. (Huar.) I. thitk that finis viow of the subjeat to one dof yome inportaneo-no curuch su, that it hos been baid the lugieal conclusion of it would bo one independence.

Well, I do not think there is anything disloyal, that there is anything improper, in supposing that the time may come when this British North American territory shall be the abode of a greatiand independent people. I do not wish to live to see it. But I kuow yery well that when the time comes, there will be no interference on the part of Great Britin with that which seems to be 3 condition of the inevitable order of things; that the country with which we are now connected ánd allied-ardivis not only a political alliance, but a social alliance, an attachment ol' affection and csterem-would not at all feel jealous if ia the course of events the penple inhabiting British North America shouid be prosperaus enough and numerous enoughi to arpire to independence". (Hear, hear ) Mr SPEAKER, the circumstances which have brought abent the contemplatel measureand I trust it will be a successful one-are wuch or have furced themselves on the consideration of the Government: I have already alluded to one of these circumstances, and that is the lact that we are passing from the stage of childhood to $\mathfrak{a}$ higher and more responsible position-that the Governuent of this conatry has for some time been in a state of transition, and that this is the unly relief which the cirenomstances present to " us, the"obly way in whech an amelioration cean be found. Daribg number (f yevers, and especially sinee "I have aker an active part in pohties-it the course of my various election contesty-I have invariably stated, that while I looked upon representation by population ay a remedy for the political inequalities which existed as between the two sections of the provituce, a Federal anion of the British North American Provinces seemed to me to be the only proper and Hgitimate conelawion to bs últimately arrived at. Therefore, is atrocating this scheme and ingiving miy tote for it, as beshall do whe the watter is brousht to that stage which will enablo a vote to be turen, 1 ara enly doing that which 1 have fur a uumber of years louked formard to, and which I believe the exigencieg of the country uecerssitate. (Hear.) There are other circumatances besides that to which I have alluded, which reader me favorable to the adoption of the resolutions now before the Hoase. The war in the United States, and the, at one time, apparently imminent disintegration of that republic, strungly directed our attention to the necessity and desirability of uniting
with our neighbors for defensive purposes. I do not say that the desire for a union of the provinces grew out of the war in the United States, nor am I going to give any opiphon in reference to that war." We all regretits existence, and will all be'grateful When it is brought to a close; and the blessings of peace shall again vigit our continent. I hope that the commercial relations as between us and the United States will be continued; that we shall have the freest intercourse with that people, and that the passport system being removed, the time is oot far distant when onr relation's with them shall be as friendly and as oodial as they have heretofore been. (Hear, hear.) The threatened repeal of the Reciprocity treaty. is another thing tbat lias led to the strong feeling that has been aroused in favor of this seheme. $\because$ We bope by this union to oblain a large number of customers for our products, intercourse with whom will not be subject to those iuterruptions that characterise trade with foreign nations. .We shall have a large "territery under our own gaverament, trade with which and through which will secure to us mutual advantages. Having made thése rewarks, I would pass on to observethat the expressed desire on the part of the leading men, both of the Goverument and of the Opposition, in all the provinces, for a close condection, is another strong reason why whe should at once take the necessary steps for enabling the union to be carried out. $\because$ It is a most remarkable and most favorable circumstance that the best men, the ablest men, the wrisest mén and the most patrític: men in all the provinces-men whowe integrity and-abilities haveraised them to the highest places in the regards of the people, and whose wisdom in the management of publio affuirs has suitained them for a long period in those high and honorable positions-mettogether and agreed upon a scheme of union without any tissension. . This agreement in forming a basis of a Constitution, and a fuandation to what may become a great nation, I look upou as a "most favorable ounen indeed. I look upon' this union of seitiment as another stroug reason for our taking the necessary steps to carry out the unionso happily inaugurated, as also a strong evidence of the propriety and wisdom which characterised the cuarse of the hon. geatlemen who composed the respective delegations. The gentlemen representing the Lower Provinces gave
evidence of ability of a very high order, and $I$ am sure the country will regret that any of the gentlemen who so nell adorned the Confereace, and who occupied such honorable positions in the governmeat of their provinoes, should have lost those positions through attachnent to the schenie, for I had learned to luok upe to those bueu with a great deal of interest and hope for the fuiure (Hear, hear.) Ithysyre men of such a superior order, that they wouh grace any legislature in which they nilight be called upon to take part, aud I trust they: may be suon again pacced in the pusitions of power and trut from which they hase beed so unhappily ejected (Hear, hear.) There are other reasous to whichi 1 wight refer, that are presting the subject upou par. attention, "I will tirst, huwevir. Lrimh refer to one imporfant puint gotinected inith. the subject, about which a goud heal has been said by those wh bave spokn agaitast the resulutuves, and it of a wate rothat will be made the utmust of annorg the e coturt yf Epper Cahada. I micau the qu-tiou ut refers sig the soheme to a voie of the poople, ate a general election or some uther way; to ascerthin what their vicws are upun it betore taking final action in thig hlube Provinus
 oecasion to visit seterali tow aships in the eounty il bave the hotur tuecepresent 'I lad the whole matier fas fuly beture the do
 single indivadual who dididuét racu-uizemtas
 ment to carry the mexnure atw "fle ct ato speedily is posoble, su far as in was in, the power of vur Lechinturé abd di nemanat
 were voluatarily propotad by indiviluain in' Che audience, dustructucg ne top cuppoint the reasure, and further stataz ithat they
 elechoa were resorted tur fir themete purpose of detaiture that porine of the per ple on the subject, nitericethy wh whe
 stituents of the fancuens of the wethenten, in the whate and of tho japmergace inf having it go iutu uparation with the leato delay possible, that I feel that 1 shall be sustained in the vote 1 am abust to give, by the seatimeat of those what 1 represent in this Husse. fur these peamoíns, then, I sm prepaiked io vote tor the pruposed union of all the Brtish A uicrican Pruvinces, as provided for in tho resolutions now before
the House. (Hear, hfar.) Mr Speaker, I truste the House will not regard me as desirous of assuming the office of a censor, if I express my belief that many of the speeches that hare bee made upon this question have contained a rast quantity isf matter quite irrelerant to the juestion under discussin. There may be parts of the arrauge, ment propised that are unsatisfactory to may hou, geralumen, but it is atterly impossible to lesise a welicme that will be acceptable to ererybody, or that will not be open to the riticism of seeming to bear harder on wne sctition of the coantry than on anuther. But it should not be judged ia that mancur, but by if general fairness and by its belng calculated to promote the welfare of the entire country embraed and to te enbiaced in the Contederacy: It would tof absurd to suppese that a soheme could be devisod vor the purpise that would please and atisf every sectima The scheme under consid rativa shuld nut be treated nod a ritcopod mathis marrow, contracted yex. Fone porilotas of the country may hare to make roxten ions and sacrifies for the publie gowd, but thequ stould he cheor-
 nature If Cuper Camada bsiessed whath huore wedith thut my of the vther prorinces, it ought unt to be toranten that its accountahinty atd its repposhbility are greater-
 whint the pergie ot that mportant section of the 'Tonf dieracy masy be colled upon to concole some thags that he have valurd yery hingidy for the general weltate, yot it is not tura mutient to be sapposed-and nu one whi, dippasiotrately "sainiture the whole gibJect cani cuade thet concluma - Thar Upper Cajpda whe not receive very mportant adeautang in retarn, th oher respects. There ande be eonchathon and compromise behwen the seceral oonfleting interests fuaduly' oo large and to varid a territury,
 mextiag and accomboditiang "ourselves to this ifidiculty: (Hetr, hear.) Tho question of war Hefences is anuthor impurtant comsid. eratiua ia euthection with the sabject; but I sum aut going to dischas that, beciuse I am aut a military mana I cannot, fowever, see
 stan 1 upand express as his cadid conviction, that the prupused union whe mut ia any mataner ineresne vúr defoashye power. Io me, such statemeats seem mist estraurdiuary. Bat this purtiou of the question has already
been quite fully discussed; 'and not being, as I before remarked, a military man, I do not think anything I could say upon it would add much tr the enlightenment of the House at this late stage of the debate. "I will; therefore, Mr. Speaken, simply say that I look forward to the union with great hope for the future of our land. In the first place, the union will vastly eplarge our ideas of the greatness and ultimate destiny of these prorinces, and give senpe for higher aspirations. It will make the young men of this country feel that they have a better iaheritance than they now feel to be theirs, and an opportunity of rising ta higher points of distinction in this the land of their birth or adoptioh. The same opportunities will also be opea to the young men of the Lower Provinces, and in this con:nection I have no hesitation in saying, from "what I know of them, that the in babitants of, the Lower Provinces, for enterprise, industry and qeeneral intelligence, will compare favorably with any otber portion of the territory that will be enibraced io the union. It will be an adrantage to us to hare their cooperation in workiug out the future of this couptry, aud our connection with "thew will give birth and life to those ideas that lie at the foundation of a nation's prosperit : apd happiness.. (Hear, hear.) Aod now, Mr. Speaken, having thus rapidly glanerd at socue of those important particulars that to my mind reader the projosal under con - sideration a wise and desirable one for our 'adoption, I stall conclude, because I'do not' desire to protract the debate, by stating, - thăt for thereasons I have biiefly addaced, and from the process of reasoning I have been led to adopt, it is my inteatiou to support the motions fur the adoption of the resolutióing respecting Confederation, proposed by moy friead the Hon. Attorney General West. (Cheers.)

- Ma. McCONKEX gaid-Mr. Spfaken, at this late hour of the night I rise to addrew you with yory great relortance, but I feel that I would not be doing justice to myself and the people who sent, ing here, did I allow she vote on this mumentons yaeation to be taken without expressing ay opinion upon it, hawever briefly. In doing so, Mr. Spraiser, I ghall not invoke the aid of history, or eshume old newspaper tilea to give the opinions of other men, but shall simply confine myself to statiog a fev' of the ideas which have suggested iheroselves to my orna mind in considering the subject.

The task is the more difficult at this stage of the debate, as the arguments for apd against the measure have been already so ably and lengthily elaborated by members of this honorable Honse. Mr. Speaker, we have had eventful' times 'in Canada. The union of the Canadss was an important event in this conntry; and, sir, although latterly it has cot worked satisfactorily, I am not one of those who are prepared to say that ander that uaion wedid not prosper. From a very simall population, we have grown, under the union, to be a very consid. erable people, comprising a population of two millions and a-half; We have also grown in wealth, intelligence, and everything elie that tends to national greatoess," But diffculties "betmeen" the provioces have sprung up; Upper Canada rapidly increased in population and wealth over Lower Canada; and has for the Jast ten or twelye years demanded an increased representation on the floor of this House: She argned, and very properly, that her position was a degraded one-that with a population in excess of that of hower Canada by 400,000 people, and contrihuting: about three fourths of the revenue of this country, she was entitled to such a constitutional arrapgemént as would plate her on a perfect equality with the sister province, and that she would not be sutistied uutil that was conceded, as the demand mas a juist and honorable one:- Sir, just although this was, Lower Canada; with, I trave no duabr, just as mutheh houesty and quite as much determination, resisted their demand:" Heace the terrific struggles which cusued between the sections for the last few years." Whin the past thre years we have had no fewer thạn three Ministerial "crises. Vejiber the one party nor the other could govere, so evenly were parties balanced in This House and the country. The machinery of government was almost entirely stopped, nad ad chronic crisis had set in.' Sir, it was apparent to every discerning mind thut sone solution of existing diffculties must be sought. The present state of things could nut continué Mr. Speaker, I well recullect the announceinent of the Honorable Attorney General Wist. After the defeat of $\cdot$ his Government, in June Jast. that honorable gentleman mánfully acknow: ledged the politieal difficulty in which this country wis placed. He informed the House that His Excelleasy the Governor General had granted the Government carte blanche,
involving a dissolation of Parliament, if they chosc , but that hery nopertheless, hesitated to exercise the power, that while individual changes might: be made in the' constituencies, the tro great parties would come back nearly the same; and added, that he had had an interTiew with the hon: member for South Oxford (Hon: Mr. Brown. of a most satisfactory nature, frou which be thought hee saw a solation of our difficulties, and asked an. adjournment of the House. Subsequently, interviews were had betwicen the members of the Goveriment and the member for South Oxford, which resulted in the present Coalition' Government. Sir, after a full consideration of the subject in all its bearitgs, I decided to give the new Government my support, trastiuch they would be able, as I belicred, Hrey desired, to pat the affairs of this country on a more satisfactory and cuduring basis: hut, mile I support this Government, I must not be understood as approving of coalitions generally. II hold that io a country enjoying representative institutions and responsible goverament; is is indeed a matter of very little consequence which of the political parties are in power, so long as thete is a strong party to serutinise their acts, and exercison a general survellance over them. When, however the two preat partiés coalesce, and there is no strong party ia the country to watch them, there is more or less danger of abuses and corruption creeping in. I do not, however, devire that the gentlemen on the Treasury benches should understand that I apply this remark to themi. They sir, I believe, are nut only pure, but, like Ceisar's wife, above suspiciun. And, if even a necessity existed in any country to justify a coalition, it was in Canada; and I rejoice to Enow that we had statesmen among us who could rise above the petty political and personal squabbles, in which they had been unfortuately too long engaged, to grapple with a great aational difficulty: (Cheers.) I think, too, it was most fortunate-providential, I might say-that this country had a strong, vigorous Government during the past season, when complications between us and the U'nited States wero gathering. To the streñeth of the Government we owe the promipt masocer in which raiders and others, desirtius of creating a difficalty between Eugland and America, were put down. (Hear, hear.) Mr Speakén, I have rē̃d the resulutions of the Conference, nom in
your hands, carefully; and while, in'my opinion, many of the details are objectionable, from an Upper Canadian point of view, I have, nevertheless, no donbt they were framed with a desire to do justice to all the provinces. No person can read those resolations withont coming to the conclusion that matual concessions must have been made all round. They clearly bear the impress of compro. mise. No doubt; sir, much diffculty was experienced by the gentlamen composirg the Conference, in fitting and dovetailing the heterogeneous parts or provinces into a homogeneous whole. 1 have listened at. tentively to the speeches of the Opposition, and have so far failed to hear of a bétter proposition thay the one before us; and, fodeed I am not surprised that a bettirt proposition should not haye been presented to us, considering that this scheme was compiled by the master minds in British Ameriea: (Hear; hear.) I stated, sir, that some of the details wete objectionable, and 1 now repeat that had the Goverument permitted amendments to the resointions, $I$ cortainly: would have supported them; but in view of the rery critical position in which this country stands, I will pot assume the re. sponsibility of opposing this scheme as a whole (Hear, hear.) Although I admit. the building of the Intercolonial Railroat to be just as necessary to the proposed Con.federation as the spinal column to the human. irame ; nevertheless, in view of the jobbing. and extravagance commitied with the Grand Trunk, I have a dread of the amount its construction and working ":ill cose the country. Sir; I amn not as sanguioe as somé honorable "gentlemen in this Houso in" reference to this road. I have no fiath tion it'as' a commencial enterprise; I look upon it as a military necessity, and e bond of union between the Confederated Provinces. Siry: we have been told that the Imperial Goverament has been notified of the intencion of the Goverament of the United Statey: to abrogato the Reciprocity treaty. To my mind this will: be most nufortanate for Canada, and I sincerely trast that the mem. bers of the Goverament who will shortly visit Eugland will urge the Imperial Goverpment to secure a renewal of it, if it eata be obtained on honorable termis. While hoping this treaty may be renewed, I du not participate in the feeling that its abrogation will drive us iato the United States. (Hear, hear.) Sir, I regret to hear.
gentlemen speat eo glibly of annexation. One tolls us that if Confederation is not consummated, annesation is the other alternative - that we are already on an "inclined plane":-and that the abrcgation of the treaty and refusal to adopt the resolations in your hands will certainly. "grease the ways." Sir, I believe nothing of the kind. The assertion is a libel on the/people of Canada, who, I believe, are truly loyal to the British Crown, and have no desire to: change the state of their political existence: (Hear; hear.). But while provision is made in these resolations for the construction of the Intercolonial Railroad, I am soriy to see that uo decisive provision is made for the western extension. And I would not be at all satisfied myself with the resoluticus as they stand, were it not for the positive assurances of the Government that that matter would be attended to simultaneously. with the construction of that road. For I hold it to be of essential importanen that we should proced, ias soon as possible, with the opening up of the North. West country and the extensión of our canal system. (Hear, hear.) And while on this subject, I may bo permitted to say that I hope, that in going on with the canals, the Government will nut averlook the necessity which exists for the constraction of the great Georgian Bay Canal.: (Heary, hear.) I reside on the shores of the Georgian Bay, and am satisfied that that is the best feasible route by which we can hope to bring the trade of the Great West thropgh this country. (Hear; hear.) I do hope the Governmeat, will seriously consider this when they are framing their canal scheme I amglad to see the Hona Attoriey General West listening clusely to what I am sayiog on this subject, and I trust he will nct overlook it.

Hon. Attr. Gen. MaCDONALDHear! hearl

Mr. McCONKEY-I have no hesitation in expressing tag desire that these resolutiuns as a whole may be carried iuto effect, and that the whole of the other provinces will come into the arrangement. I hope they will. I would be sorry to see the British Government attempt to coerce them agaiust their sill-but I trust that before many month they will sce the propriety of comivis in-and that before this time twelve months we shall have been tormed into one great British American Confederation. (Hear, bear.) I have no doubt that tho
consummation of this union will give peace and contentment to the whole counfry. I have no hesitation in stating my own conviction that it will give peace and contentment to Upper Canada; by giving us the management of our own local affairs without let or hindrance, while Lower Canada in like manner will have the management of her own local affairs. $\therefore$ It will also give Upper Canada, at least in the House of Commons, what we have so long contended forrepresentation according to our population. I am happy to find that this is fully conceded to us in the popular branch of the Legislature. (Hear, hear.) I cannot do otherwise than approve of the proceedings of the Government the other day, on the intelligence reaching of of the result of the elections in one of the eastern provinces. When I heard that many of those elections in New. Brunswick had gone against the scheme, I was at a loss to decide what would be the proper course-whether the scheme should still be pressed, or whether we should turn our attention to some other scheme. On full consideration of the subject, I havearrived at the conclusion that the Government have acted properly, and that they deserve every credit for the prompt aetion they have taken to get a speedy decision ou this question. It is clear that the question of our detences, and that of our cominercial. relations with the United States, must" be immediately looked to. . Some steps must, as soon as possible, be taken to put the country in a proper state of defence. The season is approaching when we would be in a very unsatisfactory condition for meetiog a hostile force, and th is the duts of the Gornerment to take prompt action; that we may be prepared, should the hour of need arise. (Hear; hear.) A good deal has been said during this discussion about the propriety of an appeal to the people. I hold shat great revolutions of this kind ought to reeefive the sanction of the people. But, in view of the fact that it is well known that nipety. out of every hundred, in Upper Canada at least, are in favor of the scheme, I do not complain that it has not been considered advisable to submit it to a direct vote of the people. For my own part, being fully alive to the great responsibility I had to assume in voting upon these resolutions, I felt it my daty, before coming here, to hold meetings through my county, in order to consult my constituents. Those meetings were held all through the
riding, and at every one of them the people were unanimous in supporting the scheme: (Hear, hear.) Some of the details were objected to, but the schenie as a' whole was approved of. Those meetings were attended by men of all parties, and the resolutions were unoved and seconded in many cases by un political opponeñts. I did nut fiad more than three gentlemen at al of those meetiugs, who gave opposition to the measure. lud I may say further that, wheo an appeal to the people was mentioved, the expression of opinion was, tha: it was oot at all desirable or necessary, as it was known that the measure Was so geverally approved, of. The result was, that miy constituents instructed me to support these resolution, giving me authority at the same time to propose amendmeuts to such detaits as I might disapprove of, if the Government "would allow any amenduedts to be made. (Hear; hear.) I find, from conversation with several hou: members from the west, that I differ from them with reference to the composition of the Legistative Council. I bardly approped of the proposition of the Goveraweut when av inaoration was made on the cunstitution of the Legiglative Council in $1855^{\circ}$. I fele it way a wroog step, aki fuly" sympathized with the oppositivn given to it at that time by the present Hon. President of the Cunacil (Hon Mr Brown) aud the honorable member for Peel (HunorableJ Ha. Cancrós) Had I: then been in a position to give effect to my views, I should have-jriaed those honorable gent'emen ix protentiog againse that encroachment upon the Coastitation I approve eutirely of the proposition containedita the resolutions now before the House. with refereace to this matter. "If a necessity -x xists at all four a check upon hasty an 1 ill-digested legsiation of the pupular branoth, that check should not derive its power Ir-m the same source; and in the sime manner: 1 have, however. for sume thpe 10 clined th the opinion that the Legisiative Cunail mipht; with safery, be abolished altugrther, and that thereby there would be effected an immense saving to the conotry In carryng out this scheme, very much; of course will depeed upon the character of the loial constitutions. If such a syatem can be adopted as w!ll reoder the working. of the beal govervin ots sumple and uespeosire, it whl comduce very much to the prosiarity of ths whote doutederation I must say, sir, that if lam permitted to have a voice an the framiag of a Constitution fur

Upper Canada, I shall insist upon it being of the most inexpensive kind, dispensing with a great deal of the paraphernalia that we see so mach of here. (Hear, hesr.) In bringing the new systen into operation, and laying the foundations of the new nationality of British -North America on a permanent anul enduring basis, a weighty responsibility indeed devolves on the governments of these provinces; and the most rigid economy consistent with propriety ought to be; and I trust will be, a leading feature in their arrangements. (Hear, hear.) Mr. Speaiser, I am no alarmist, but disguise it as we may, this country is at the present moment deepIy dépressed. I entirely dissent' from sentiments enuaciated by honorable gentlemen of the floor of this House as to the general prosperity of Canada; the aotual state of uatters is not as they represeat it. Through a faiture of erops for a number of years back in Upper Cabada, that section of the province is in a state of agrioultural and commetcial prostration; farmers and others are unable to meet their engagements to the merchant, who, in consequence, is uable to meet his liabilities to the wholesale dealers; and the result is that scores, I may say bundred $*$, are obliged to collapse and go into liquidhtion ; bank agencies are being withdrawn from the conatry districts, and bankug accommudation very much eurtailed. Mr. Speaker, these are fuots that cannos be gainsayed. ${ }^{\text {E }}$. Every branch of industry is almost paralyzed at the present mouneqt, and a geueral gloom hangs lite a pall over the Land Uuder these circamstances, it behoves the Guvernment to do everything in their power to revive alad toster industry in the country. Sir, I will not say that this Gor: erument does so, but goverumots have be a too much in the habit of burrowing frou the baths thase capital which ought to go into circuation for the beactit of the trade of tho cuuntry. Ihald that it is the daty of ail geveramentr to refraia fom leing anything that will bear upon the peoplo's industry; and 1 implure this Government to curn their attention to the nosition of this conutry jusi nuw, and do all thay ean to better the conditign of the people. While, sir, there are featares in the propesition betore you which, if they stood alone on their waerits, I should certainty oppose, yret, as 1 stated betore, I do not : ink hem of suflicient importanco, to just:y me in rejecting the scheme, which i. certainly calculated to devate us from the prsition of
mere colonistsito that of citizens of a great British American nation, covering as it will balf a continent, stretching from the mighty Atlantio on the east, to the golden shores of the Pacific on the west, bounded on the south by the great American Republic, and on the north by-sir, I was going to say the North Pole - with, not an intercolonial railroad merely, but an interoceanio communication, stretching from sea to sea. Mr. Speaker; I deeply feel the great responsibility that attaches to the vote I will shortly be called upon to give. I have weighed well this matter, and taking all thinge into account, I can arrive at no other conclusion than that it is my duty to vote tor the resolations in your hands, and I am now prepared to do so, believing that I am carrying out the wiews of the great bulk of my constituents. (Cheers:)

On motion of Mr. Taschereaú, the debate was then adjourned:

Fainaiy, March 10, 1865.
Osi the Order, for resuming the debate upo a the motion That the question be nuw pue" upon the Resolutions relating to Contederation, being called -

Hon. Mr. Huliton rose to a point of order, objecting that the." previous question" was in the uature of an amendment, an ! that ao member could move an ameadment to his Tafrímotion.

After sóme dissussion,
Mr. SPEAK 1 R decided as folliws :"The original motion, made by the Hon. Artorney Guesral for Upper Canada, is that the House should concur in certain resolathons relating to a Federal union of the pruvinces. Debaite ha:ing aris on thereón, The ILa. Atorney Geineral thr Upper Ua-
 ' that hat question be now put.' The sub. stance of au ameduent is to alter tha origiad questign. Does this motion alter the uryinal questioa? So far from that; it is a proposal to bring that question before the House for imurediatedecision. The autho: nties cited to show that this notivn is an amendment sustain the contrary view ii. my + judgurent, because they outy state that the perteas qiestia is in the natute of an ameadment." If it were really an amend-
ment, or were to be used as an amendment it would be stated that it was in fust an amendment. The motion to adjourn is also spoken of as being in the nature of án amendment, but it is not an amendment, and like 'The previous question,' does not displace the original proposition, if carried. Hence I conclude that 'The previous question' is not an amendment, The objection that the Hon. Attorney General for Upper Canada cannot move it, on account of having proposed the original motion, in my opinion is not valid."

Mr. TASUHEREAU said -It is not without hesitation, Mr. Speaker', that I rise at this late period of the debate to offer a few observations on the measure before us-the plan of Confederation of the British North American Provinces; and my hesitation is the greater that I am under the necessity, not only of speaking on a question which has been so long and skilfully dis. cussed, that it would appear almost inpossible to say anything which mayinterest hon. members, but aiso an 1 more especially that after long and deliberatéconsideration-after carefully weighing the gist and tendency of these resolutions. and tracing out the effects which cannot fail, I believe, to result from the measura of which they are the exponentsI feel myself bonad, Mr SPEAKER, to abandon, on this question, those with whom I hav always acted hitherto, to difier in opinion from those whose taleats and judgment I have never ceased to admire, and to record hy vote against the new Constitution which is roposed to as in those resolutions. (Hear ! hear ! from the left.) It could not fail to be to me a partisular cause of regret that I felt compelled to come to this conclusion. I could not understand that this measure was a simple, party matter-one of thore questions on which those parcy feelings whieh have prevailed in Cauada so many years oughe to intluence any budy. "I could not eonceive how, ia consileriaga quesalou which, in my opinion, iuperils all that we hold most dea:, aud opeas to us, if it is carried, the prospect of a foture, dark with olouds, porteading evil-nöt only to us Lower Canadians, but perhaps no less to all British North A merica-I could not cooceive, I say, how I could be an windial of my convirtions, and lay aside my feats and the sease, of uty which binds me here, to yield bliid ubedesuce and suburission to the isflueace of pulitical party. I thought myself at liberty to thuk
for myself, even on'so important a question; and I' am persuaded that if there are members of this House who consider themselves authorized to doubt the sanity of those who do not always think as they: do, they "are not in a majority here. For my owin part, Mr. Speaker, I respect every man's opinion.: I am willing to allow all who are so disposed to think differently from me, and do not, on that account, hold them to be either prejudiced or dishonest; on the contrary, I am willing to believe that they act according to their convictions, and with perfect good fuith. I desire that others will judge me in the same manner, and that those from whom I am now dissentient on the subject of the resolations in your hand, Mr. Speaker, will beliere, at least, that I too am acting in this matter according to my honest convictions and with good faith; shat I, too, am animated by love for miy country and my nation. ality ; that I, too, have at heart the preservation of that nationality and those institutions which have been trapsmitted to us by our fathers, as the reward of so many struggles and sacrifices. (Hear, hear.) At this advanced stage of the debate, it is not my intention to combat or discoss all the argumeuts which baye been urged it favor. of Confederation - 1 must, howevèr, observe that I have not been convinced by the hon. geatlenen who have spoken before mie, that the Constitution offered to us embodies guarantees sufficient to pritect our righto: 1 am of opinion, therefore, that the vote which I shall give agaiust Coufederation would be given by a large majority of uy constituents, and a large majority of the prople of Lower Canada; and my opimioui on this subjeet is so firmly grounded, thati I shuald despise myeolf if, tor the sak: of not separating trom my parity, I were to vote for Confederation, my convictiuns being so strong and so sincere: (Hear, hear.). We were tauight to believe; till within the last iwo or three days, that the miost ample disecission of the question would beallowed; but, by the moving of the previous question, the face of thiness has uudergone a change. This House, and all Lower Canada, supposed that before being called apon to vote on the main question, we should have had an opportunty sfobtaining an expression of the opiaion of the people. I amt persuaded, that if, after a full and complete discassiun of the measure in thas House, the peopte were called upon for their
opinion, they would be more decoidedly opposed to Confederation than they ever were: to any measure. (Hear.) Unfortunately, as the previous question has been moved, we must vote on the resolations as they stand, without being able even to move amendments which might render them less objectionable to the country. I now come to the appeal to the people. Well, I maintain that in toting to change the constitution of the Government, without consulting the people on the sabject; the members of this House are exceeding their powers ; and that even if the people werc in favor of Confederation; they ought not to poss it, as they are now abont to $\rho$, without special authority. (Hear, hear.) The hon. member for South Lanark (Mr. Morgis) las told as that this is no nety question-that it has been for a long tivine a subject of discussion - that the people anderstood it thoroughly, and that the members of this House were privileged to vole on it without referring it to their constituents. I am quite aware that mach has been written on tho subject of the Confederation of the provinces; ; but has the question erer been discuised before the people at clections? Ir am fully convinced and "perfectly, certaia this question was never" brought ap at any election, nor the question of any Confederation at all. It has never been laid before the people, and ihe people have neser expressed an opition on the subject: '(Hear, hear.) It appears to me that the amendment which is to be moved by the hon:- member for Peel (Hon. "J. H. Cameron), eafter the present. resolations have been' roted by the House, will be in a singular positiou. (Hear, hear) I hare uiderstood the explanations gisen by the Honotable Attorney. Gisueral for Upper Canada (Honi. J. A. Macloovizb), ro: dative to the resolution of the honorablo neember for Peel-that the resolutious before the Howe would be passed first, and that. alterwards, when the House went into coin. mittec, the hon meniber for Potl would move his amendment, nawely; "cthas the House will vote the Address to Her Мajesty this eveaing, in ürder that the Governureat may despatech it. to E.England to-morrom, it they please, and that on Monday afternoun the hisa. uember for Peel will come and more an Address to His Exrellongy, prayiag that he will refer these resolutions to tho people.": (Hear; hear.). I coufess that I dv nut understand how the menters of this

House, who are in favor of the appeal to the people, can vote for Confederation after the previous question has been decided, any more than I can anderstand how the hon. member for Peel can move the appeal to the people after the resolutions have been passed: The hon nember has said that he wonld ondeacor to move his resolntions before the Address is presented to His Excellency, or before it is referred to a committee of the whole House, but I think I anderstood like-: wise that the Hon. Attorney General for Upper Canada will not allow this; and has said that the hon: member for Peel is not entitled to do so. (Hear, hear.) I am not alone in feeling the apprehensions which I have expressed relative to the new Consti-- tution intended for us. A member of this Hoise, who wrote, now a long time since, on the aubject of Confederation, has allowed us to see indistinotly that the resolutions as presented to us did not afford sufficient guarantees to settle all our sectional difficulties at once. The honorable member for Montinorency could not, in his pamphlet written in 1865, avoid saying as follows:-

But, nevertheless, it is cleatly evident that coarcurrent lexislation is fall of danger for the future; that is plainis laid down even in the clanse that Wo are now discossing, since to obviate it, eentral legistation has inyariably been made ta predominate over local legislation. Will it be posuble to avoid the points of contact Jikely to be produced by concurrent legislation, or to define them with such procision that these conficts would" be impossible, or nearly 30 ? Without barmony the syitem would be worth nothing." and would boon destroy itself; and tho harmony of the system cannot be found exclasively in the predominant power of the Government and of the Federat Parliament.' It is necessary that this harroony should also exist in the inferior, machinery, aud be felt throughont the whole system:
And afterwards, in the game chapter, he 'adds:-

In fact, will not the elements upon: whict the local iostitutions will be based, be reproduced in all their ifvacity in the Governmeat and in the Fuderal Parliament? And this local power which it bas been their object to compress will react dangeroualy on the whole system. At one time it may be Lower Canada that will be ponighing its Miniatry and its members'for having, wounded Lower Canadian feelings and striking at its interesta ; and another time it may be Upper Canada, or perhapa the Atlantic Provinces, that-may make sicilar complaiak. This should not be, and to aroíd it our eminont statesmen must put their heads bgetter to find a better solunion to the problem.

While the hon. member for Montmorency was writing that article, he naturally saw that Confederation would have some very complicated parts in its machinery, and thatt the difficulties which might occur woald not be easily surmounted-that the resolutions would need to be amended. That was; no doubt; the opinion of the hon. member for Montmorency when he wrote those articles, but since he has found that the Ministry are resolved not to allow any amendment of the resolutions," the honorable member has thought it better to take them as thev are; with all their imperfections, than to risk losing Confederation altogether (Hear, hear.) I believe, Mr Speaker, that we needed a remedy for the constitutional difficalties in which wee were iavolved, but I believe also that the remedy proposed would be worse than the disease sought to be cured. (Hear, hear.) I believe that the country has suffered from those difficulties, but on the other hand I see in Coàfederation internal strife in the local legislatures, not to speak of that atrife which will infallibly spriug up at an early day between the federal and the local legislatures. (Hear, hear.) It is evident that the federal will never be able tosatisfy the local legislatures. In Lọver Canada, for instance, we shall have a pretty strong party-the English party, Protestants; who will carry their'complaints to the Federal Government, just as, in UPper Canada, they made complaints relative to representation based on population, and that party being a minority in Lower Canada, will seek a remedy for their evils, real or imaginary, at the hands of the Federal Goverament. Móreover, we shall have constant contests and sectional heart-burnings between the local legislatures themselves, on all those subjects on which their interests may come into collision. (Hear, hear.) Jet us suppose, for instance, that the Legislature of Lower Canada should make some perfectly just demand, something to which that propince is clearly entitled, and that the representatives of Upper Canada and the Maritime Provinces should conbiue to hinder it from obtaining its demand-would the Lower Canadians be well satisfied with such treatment ? And this might easily happen. The hon member for Vandreuil (Mr. Hatwood) has spolen in pompous. language of the prosperous future which awaits us under Confederation. To hear him we are not only to have coal mines,
but lakes of gold at our disposal. I think the honorable member's fiyures of rhetoric have carried him rather too far $;$, and I sincerely believe that instead of that prosperous and happy future foreseen by him, we are preparing for ourselves a state of things which will cause us to repent in ten years of What we are now doing. I velieve that we are commencing Confederation ten years too soon. (Hear, hear) We should have an - Intercolonial Railway at least five or sis jears before thinking of Confederation. At present we are as much strangers to New Branswick and Nova Scotia as we were previons to last autuan. We miyy perhaps know them a little better than we did before we began to discuss Confederation; and we ought, in the first place, to establish easy methods of comnunication between those provinces and ourselves, as a means of bringiag about Confederation at some future day, if it be practicatke. I say that the Intercolonial Rallway ought first to be built, and that Coufederation might be put off eren several years atter that: (Hear, hear.) Article 41 . of the resolutions before us stiys as follows:-
The Local Goyemment and Legishature of each - province'shall be conistructed in sucli manner as the existing Legisiature of each such province shal! provide.
If I understand that article right, the local c:nstitution of Lower Canada will be settled by the piesen Leginfature ; just as in New Brupswics. Nora Scotia, de., the present legisfatures will decide on ibe consititution of their legistatures under Confederation. Very well; but in that case Upper Canada mill give us a constitution, as rio may give her one. The effect of that clause will be, that in order to the organizition of its lucal con: stitution, hower Cuada will stand with 47 French-Cariadian rotes, against 83 votes of members of other origins: We shall therefore nut stand on the same fuoting as New Brunswick or Novi Scotia in this respect; the difference will be very great. (bear, hear.) "We have only ti Freuch-Canadian rotes out of 180 , and "we could not cocint on Upper Canadian nembers for the safety of our interests-either local or religious-whereas they would have the support of all the English and. Yrotestant members from Lower Canada. (Hear.) And in Confederation the Eiphlish minority of Lover Caunda will nut make cummon cause with the French Canadian party, but, on the contrary, with the Upper Canadian party ;
for they will look to Upper Cansida for protection. (Hear, hear.) We are told that all our interests, and institutions are protected, and that the elergy are in favor of Coufederation. I, for my own part, have, seen no proof of the truth of that assertion; I believe that the clergy have not made any display of their opinions on this question. I am moreover convinced that those of that body who have considered the question, have looked upon it as fraught with danger for us-as pregnant with evils, the development of which may be grievous to us as a nation hereafter. Another part of the reso: lations which we should not adopt without consideration, is that contained in the 3tith article of clause 29. It reade as follows :-

The General Parliament shall have power to make laws for the establighment of a Gentral Court of Appeal for the Federated Provinces:
We have a guarantee that we are to hare or own local tribunals, that our judges will be taken from the bar of Lower Canada, and that our civil laws will be mantained. ${ }^{\prime}$ Why then establisth a Federal Coart of Appeala, in which appeals will lio from the decisions. of all our judges? We are told, it is true, by the Hoa. Minister of Finance, that the resolutions did not create a Court of A ppeals, but ouly gavo the Federat Parliamept the gower to create it. But what diference " is there between creating the court furthivith aud granting a right to create it bereafter? The pribeiple is the same. If the Govern. ment masy lavitully create such a court, no voo can prevent the Federal Giverament from establishing it whenever they think fik. Woild this tribunal be an advantage to u's Fronch. Chuadians, who aro so attached to our cevil code? It will be composed of juagen from all the provinces-from Ner Braaswiek, Nuva Scotia, Ueper Canada, de.; and not withstanding the talents and the learoing of all those judges, we Lower Canadiang canoos hope to tind the same justice from' suchs a tribunal as tro should receive from oue cunsisting of judges from Lower Canada; for our lavis being differtat from the laws of those provinces, they will not be able to understand and appreciato them as Lower Canadians mould. (Hear, bear) And, moneover, when this nev Court of Apfeals is instituted, the appeal to Eogland will not be abolished, so that we shall have one more means of producing delay and inereasing the costs of suitors. Lower Caugdians will.
assuredly be less satisfied with the decisions of a Federal Court of Appeals than with those of Her Majesty's Priyy Council. . In good trath, I do not see why this clanse was iuposed upon our delegates. I do not suppose that the delegates of the other provinces can have very strongly insisted on it; but even if they had, II do not see why ours sabmitted to it: Of course our laws would not be understood in such a court, and nost of the judges, would render their decisions according to principles of jurispradence unktiown to Lower Canada. I am convinced that those Lowter Canadian members who are in faror of Confederation are not in favor of a legislative unions, but have they not read the speech made at Toronto by the Hon. President of the Council (Hon. Mr. Buows)? Aad did they not hear that of the honorable menaber for South Leeds (Mr: Foun Jonss), and the speeches of the nembers from Cpper Canada generally, who nearly all spoke in faror of a legislative uaion, deolaring that they accept Confederation as an instalment-a first step--towards a leyislative union, which we shall have in a few years?. It is not necessary for me to diseuss, on this occasion, the advantages or disadrantages of a legishativonaion, for all the members are perfectly well aequainted with the question; but I aus well convinced that the Coufederation will be converted into a legislative uniso oin a ferm years. I believe that the IIon Minister of Finance and the hon member for South Leeds were sincere in saying that, and that. they were perfectly convinced of its truth. (Hear, hear) It has been suic, 侖 a reason tor harrying on the passing of the measure, that if we wish for Confedetation, now is the time to obtain it ; that if we mait anothere year it' will be ton late; that the lower Provinces are ready for Confederation, and that, Bogland is disposed to grant us a new Constitution. I believe that the Lower Provinces have proved to be a bitte slack in fulfiling their engagemeats, and that the policy of the thoverament might therefore, with great salety, undergo some modifioation. (Hear; hear.). But if wo mist absolutely liave Copfederation, if there is no getting ou without it, why was not an appeal made to the people last autuma, when the scheme was quite prepared?: (Hear, hear.) For my part, I think that the watt of the mea. sure of Contederation is not so urgent as it is said to be, aud that tine should be taken to mature the plau Dees maybody believe
that the question of Confederation would have been thought of if the Tacue-Macponald Ministry had not been overthrown last summer? No; we should not" have: heard a word about it. (Hear, hear) So that Confederation was not so very pressing at that time! : And if the want of it was so little felt in the Constitutional Committee appointed last jear at the instance of the hon. member for South Uxford (Hon. Mr. Brawn); that many members, who this day vote themselves; and induce others to vote for Confederation; thought themselves authorized to" oppose "it then," and to "vote g gainst any proposition of the kind, I think that it"is not so ncedful to unite us by Confederation as we are told it is, I beliove that if the aloption of the measure is urged forward so anxiously, it is suly because there is fear of public opinion being roused to examine it, and fear especially of its not beiag seccepted hereafter, * St the people have pronounced upon it. (Hear, hear.) And, I repeat; I beleve in my heart; if the Goyernment had not been overthrown on the 14 th June last, we should never have heard a rord about Confederation this year. (Hear, hear.) As I said when I cemmenced speaking, I will not discass every question eonnected with this scheme, becan e the IIouse must be tired of such is long discussion. I am bound, rownever, to declare again, that all the reasons hitherto alleged in favor of Confederation, and all the magnificent pietures presented to our view of the prosperity we are to enjoy under its auspices, have entirely tailed to conviace me that it is our bounden duty to adopt the resolutigns laid before us; and notwithstanding the eloquent speech made to us yesterday by the hon meniber for Yaudreail (Mr. Harwoud), I cannot sày, as ho does, that our posterity will be grateful to us for having opened the way for them to become meanbers of the great empire of the Provinçes of British North Auerica. ${ }^{2}$ I shall say, on the contrary, what will be soon found out, that this Confederation is the ruin of our nationality in Lover Oanadathat on the day when Confederation is voted, a death-blow will have been dealt on our nationa'ity, which was beginning to take root in tho soil of British North America. (IIear, hear.) Our children, far from feel. ing grateful for what we are now doing, will say that. we made a great mistake wher wo imposed Confederation on them. (Cheers.) Mr A. M. SMITH-Mr. Speaker, I
cannot permit the rote to be taken on this important measure, withoüt placing on re cord some of the reasons which induce me togive it my support; and to show why, to some extent, 1 have changed my views on a fer of the leading details of the scheme When, sir, the people of the first conmercial city in Western Canada elected me to reprèrent them 'on the floor of this: House, I publicly stated that by cevery legitiouate ineaus I would oppose the coustruction of a railroad between Canada and the Lower Proviaces-then, as i do now, believing that - in a commercial point of view, that Intercolunial road would never pay, nor be even beucfuial to Pper Canda. But at dee saindime: sir; I pledged myself to urge upon the Ministers of the Crown and this Heuse the vast importance to the country of an entargement of our canals and the exten: Sun wit our canal system. Since theb, Mr. Sresker, our political and comaercial posiMigus are very much ehauged. (Ifear, hear:) Threatened with the abrugation of the Reviprocity treaty, a very serious loss will be chtalled on Cauada-if the threit be carried Ittio rectation-without any ialvantage acrruing'to the laited sthes "Inded, from ine mature of our commercial relatrots with the Tated 'Sates-the natural roult tion trade fustered atal carrid wa betwea the Cuited States and Canada for year- -the abrugstion of the Reciprocity comy canast be otherwse than atteoded Whatgreat distres and serions luse to the busigess ma of this eunaty Ia adition to this, sir, we are threas enel with the abroation of the bonding system. Surcly this is much to be deplared roevery thinkiag mind a resort to such neturures musi seem absurd, and what could idduce a people so thoroughly commercial as the people of the Cuited States, to desire the abrugatiou of a treaty which, wite it buefits us by per: mithur the tranyit of goods through their terntury, aloo benetits them dargely by increasing their earryitg trate, and fusters an immense trade in the purdhase uf pouds of all deseriptions in bued-I must devare thy "inability" thoroughly to uader tand. But, however strange, Mr Spakeá alletrix may seem to us, angry wen, it must be admitted, frequently do indule in stratige ankice, and It need nut surprise us that a tiativa pluanged in all the burrory of civil war stivath, under the escitemeat of some' real or finced wrong, du the same hing; as has beca exemplified in the adoption of the deaputic gystem
of passports, the abrogation of the Reciprocity treaty, and the annulling of the treaty for the extradition of crinilinals. Yet, Mr. Spearem; I cannot believe that the United States will abrogate either the one or the other, and I do not beheve that the great and high-minded and honorable men who control the moneyed institutions of the United States will permit it. But, sir, it is only right on our part to. do the bext best, and only thing we car, to urisect ourselves from the loss and inconvenience to gur trade in winter, and that is; to build the : Intereolonial Railroad-for we must have a highway to the ocean at all veasons for our mails and our merchandise. But; Mr: Speaker, while I admit that I have chagged my miod with regard to the Intercolonial Railroad sin voting for the soheme in sthich it is a promiacat piedsure, I am mure and mure convineed of the paranount necessity ymmediatel settir gabout the calargement of our canals. We hear of sehemes to conoect the Georgian Bay with Ota wa by way of the Freceh River route and the Trent route, and sir, perhaps the only practicatle and shortest route via Turuntu and Like Simeoe; but all these oaly divert attention from "what really can and ought to be done, at a very tritfing cost in co tharison with any other scheme-I mean the eotargement of the cauals we now have (Hear, hear). We have now nioe feet of water in the St . Laivrence canals, and ten feet in the Wellasd, and the cost of iticreasing. the depth of those cansls to twelve feet, I am tulliy wea eorapetent to juige, wati be trifing indeed-probably bot over irs if threcemillouis of dollars. But if it eost as many puads, I coutend that it would ant realy enst the conntry one cent. If the toll uf une seat"per bushel on graiu outwaid and a propstionate rate on in rard meretandes were cazeted, the cabals would "not ouly be seifsunthiniag but would become a source of revenue to the proviaces Take for instanee, what I believe a suall estimate, one hundred mallions of bushels otutrard, and an equal atmount ioward for other merchapdise, and you ir ald have a revesue of two millions of dollars -2 sum more than suflicient to pay iuterest and working expeuses. Then, Mr. Spraket, sed the impetay it would give to our inland shipping trade, if we could-and we could then du so-attraet to the St . Lavretiee route the imatesie grain orops of the ir reat Weat. I mightalsorefer, Mr. St Eaken, to the ship building suited to the wante of vur coustry, and the immense adrantage
shippers of grain would have if their vessels proceeded to sea without the ruinous delay of transhipment, and the mising and destroying of property round the wharres and storehouses at the different points at which, grain, uinder the present system, has to be transhipped. $\therefore$ I only wish I had the el quence of the Hon. Attorney General West.; with the little practical knowlelge I have of those things, I think I would be able to interest both western and eastern members alike on the necessity of improving, and at once; this great and vital arenue to our futurs prosperity. . (Hear. hear ) Now, sir, with regard to our defences: while I do not cbject to some expenditure to please the English people if you choose; I am of opipion our best d.fence is to cultivate with the United States friendly comwerciat and political relatious, and then, sir; I do not fear that if we do what is right, they will do aq any wrong. Sound anc honorable conduct on our part is of inore strength than all tho forts of masonry or earthwork that we shall ever see. - (Hear, hear.) Mr. Speaker. the promp: and màny course that our Goverament hás pursued with regard to the Alien Bill, and call: iug out a portion of our volunteres to repress raidiag and piracy, will eatitle them to the gratitude of evory right-minded mau in this conotry: Sir, had they commenced to build forts and arm ships, instead of the mauly and bonorable course which they did parsue, they would, in my opinion, hare found uss for their volunteers and their forts too; while I hope that in a very short time they will not require either: (Hear, hear.) I Wish now so day a few fords about this great Confederation, from which so much is ex pected, commercinlly and politically. I am of opipion that the adrantages will be very evenly divided-they takiog our grain an 1 dour, while wo buy their fish and oil. We will have an open market for our manufactures. with thea, and they will have the same for theirs with us, so that it is a mere mat. ter of who gives mist. But at present the Maritime Pruvinces import from the United States four and grain, if I am correct, to the amount of three or foar millions of dolt larg' worth per annum, which our political and more igtimate relationg would in a more or less degree attract to Canada; and I'havé no doabt uar merchanis would know how to turo those advantages to account. Mr. SpEakEr, these are some of the reasons
why I gave, this Confederation scheme my hearty support, believing that the honorable gentlemen: Who have brought this treaty before this IIouse have no other motive, and can have no other motive, but the promotion of the best interests of this our adopted land. (Hear, hear.) I think the scheme as proposed is, as near as it can be, fair to all the provinces : Before I close, I would just say a word with reference to the coarse parsued by my respected and honora:ble colleague from Toronto West (Mr. J. Macdonald). I have no hesitation in saying that I am canfident that be is sincere in his opposition, and he may be right; but I am not so sure that he represents the wishes of his constituents. I attended a large and influential meeting of the citizeus of the city of Toronto before the meeting of this House, and a gentleman there proposed that the scheme should not be carried into effect uutil it was referred to the people, but he could not get eren a seconder to his resolution. Fur myself, I feel justifice by the pesult of that meatiog in supporting this scheme throughout. .The meeting was extensirely advertised-all had an opportunity to atterd, and both sides of the question were ably argucd. "Imathel record my vote for the scheme", and shatl be happy to see it carried into early consuntuation. (Cheers.)
Mr. SII ANLX Maid-Ín rising to address the House on the great question under debate, it is not my intention to go minutely into the suoject; for after all that has been. said, and the great leagth to "which the debate has dragiged on, I, esnnot expect to be able to fix the attention of my hearers for very long, even were the subject one to which I' could speak authoritatively, instead of being, as it. is, one that the ablest and most statesmanilike aniong us must in a great measure accept upon faith-trusting to the future to derelope the exeellencies claimed for it on the one hand, or to establish the faults thatiare charged on it on the other. But though I do not pretend to ba able to say anythingenew ou the subject, or to throw. any light on the uncertain future that lies before us, still I would be unwilling that in, perhap4, the möst important divisiou ever taken in a Colonial Legislature, my vote should be recorded, without my first stating some, at all events, of the reasons that actuate me in roting as I intend to vote. Oue feature has been strikingly observable in the debate, and that is, that from first to
last, as far as it has yet gone, no new thing has :been offered or suggested The programme of Confederation stands now exactly as it was presented in a quasi private form to the representatives of the people of this country some four months ago. $\because$ The promoters of the scheme have added nothing to, taken nothing from the original bill of fare, and they have as good as told us, frankly and squarely, that they nould add nothing to, take nothiog from it it they could. The opponents of the project on the other hand, while giving it a sweeping condemnation, iffer nothing, suggest nothing to replace that which they so sumuarily reject. Nothing is easier than to find fault with other men's work; it is a talent that we all possess, and that few of us ever think to hide under a bushel. For myself, though in tavor of the schem?, being equally at a loss with other honorable members to say anything new upon it, I, too; will have to turn to my faultinding instincts in the first instance. The honorable member for Mcntreal Ceatre (Hon. Mr. Rose) has said in his able speech that if ree could not improve on the project, we. should forbear to find fault with it. I do not agree withohim. On the contrary, I conteive that esten though ap proving of the resolutions as a whole, it is the duty of members spaking to the question to puint eut and place on recotd the faults that strike them as likely to require correction by and by. And firt of all-coming to discuss Confederation from my onn stand-point-I"would say that I have long looked furivard to the time when the whole of the British North American Próvincés would be united under one stable government ; believing, as I always hare believed ever since I came to know this country well, that we possess all the elements; in natural resources and endownents, and in distinctive geographical position, to form the grouad work of a porer on this continent. I feared, nevertheless, when the project was foreshadowed here laye year, that the time was not yet full for bringing about the desired combination. "I feared that the almost total separation, poiitical and social, which had heretofore existed between ourselves and the provinces belom, might possibly cause a premature union to result in permanent estrangement. It appeared to me that wo should first have cultivated social and conmercial relations with our kindred on the seaboard before uniting, for better for worse, in'á political alliance. These were the views which I took
of the Confederation project when it was so suddenly sprung upon us at the close of last session ; and I confess that I still entertain grave apprehensions that we may be about to come tugether upon too short an acquaintance, before we have an opportunity of knowing one another, and leapning to adapt ourselves the one to the other. In this consists my broad and general objection, not toे the principle of Confederation, but to the hastiness with which it is sought to be carried out-threatening, as 1 fear, to mar our. destiny in striving to overtake it. To the details of the scheune itself I hold one strong and marked objection, which 1 desire to record, though I know that this is not the time or place for remedying defective details. I allude to the Federal feature of the project. I own to a rooted dislike, if not to the Federal principle or Federal theory, at all erents to the practical results of the rorking of the system; and peither the warm eulogium which the Mon. President of the Council (Hou. Mr. Brown) hay passed upon the system as illastrated by its working in the United States, por the milder defence of the system pronounced by my hon. friend the Hon. "Minister of Agricul: ture (Hon. Mr. McGien), has served to clothe it in other than most distasteful colory in uy sight. However the Federal system of government may have tended to promote the material growth of the United States-and it would not be safe to assert that such a country, with such a people, would have failed to attain to early greatoesy under auy fory of free government-how. erer, I repeat, the Federal form of government may have promoted the material progress of the पuited States, it djes nut seem to me to have elevated, pulitically speaking at all events, the moral standari of the people of the Cuited States. Sae most marked and evil result of the syttein has been to produce politicians rather than staiesmen-swarms of the former to a very limited proportion of the latter; and I would much fear, if we are to see Canada redivided, that the petty parliamenta of the separated provinees will prove to be but preparatory schools for that class of politicians who take to polities as to a trade, and whose after-presenee in the greater Assembly- 10 which they would all aspire-would serse to depress the standard of political worth, to lower the tone of poli. tical morality, which we might hope to seo prevail in a C'unfederated Papliament of British Xorth America under a purely legis-
lative union, which is the description of union into which I trust to see the present imperfect Consticution, or proposed Constitution, eventually merge. For the reasons stated I have looked upon this Federal scheme of union with dislike and distrust. But the promoters of the scheme, most' of whom, it must be admitted, have appeared here rather as its apologists" than as its upholders, tell us that it is a necessity of circumstances, an unavoidable consequence of difference in language, laws and local interests between Upper and Lower Canada on the oue part, and an absence of commaiits of local interests between us here in Canada and the Maritime Provinces on the other hand." The latter part of the argument is undoubtedly correct; but, admitting the Whole of the premises; for argumentisake, the other question naturally suggests itself: Is Coofederation, even in the fanlty form $n \mathbf{n}$ which it is laid before us, to beaccepted is a likely remedy for the evils under which we now labor in Canada, and as a possible antidote against the greater evils which threaten us in the near future? I would auswer that question in my own way, and from iny owa point of "view by and by ; meanwhile I would ask to be permitted to sy a word in respect of the financial phase of the Confederation project; and upon that point I feel it difficult to agree with my han". friend the Hon' Finance Minister, in assumtug that the joint expenses of the two local goveruments tere in Canada may be kept so much below what we are now paying for our single form of government; as to leave a wide margio towards defraying, if not"wholly t cover, our proportion of the expenses of the 'General Government.' I can hardly veatare to take such a couleur-de-gose view of our positiou as that. I will not weary the House with estimates and figures, which, after all, caic be but problematical and conjectural; but I would venture to predict that under our new condition of existence, with its" "quasi national obligations, our expenditure must increaso largely beyond "the present limits that' we have hitherto been aecustomed to:" I believe that to bo an inevitable result of the Confederation; but I also believe that there is a futare looming upon no-Confederation or po Con: federation-which' will involve us in duties and responsibilities which we must not shirk-which, in fact, we cannot shirk if we would. The sigas of the times are not to be
mistaken, and I fear we have an expensive future before us for some time to come. But if, in bringing about a union of all these provinces, we were in reality laying the sure foundation of social, commercial, and political prosperity-if we felt that in reality we were laying the ground-work; as it were, of a new nation on this continent-we might justly, along with the great benefits we bequeath to posterity-benefits which we, in our generation, oannot hope to enjoy in their fulness-bequeath to them also the financial burden which would seem to be the ordained and inevitable accompaniment of progressive nationality.' And if I felt assured in my own mind that this measure of Confederation, faulty as it is, promised even a fair chance for successfully solving agreat political difficulty, I for one would not fear to take my share of the responsibility of increasing the expenses of government and adding to the debt of the country. I have alluded to the expenses attendant on Confederation as being, to a certain extent, conjectural and problematical; but there is one item of its cost which is not of that character. The Intercolonial Railway is a vital part of the Confederation project-the latter could have no useful, practical existence without the former. As a commercial undertaking; the Intercolonial Railway presents no attractions, it offers ao material for fatering prospectus; we could not invite te it the attention of European capitalists as presenting an eligible investment for their surplas funds But for the establishing of those intimate social and commercial relations indispensable to political unity between ourselves and the sister provinces, the railway is a necessity.. It will, therefore, have to be undertaken abd paid for purely as a national worls; and it is right that the people of Canada.should know and understand in the outset, what the probable addition to our public debt would be in connection with the 68th resolution. I do not think the proportion of the costef the railway fatling to the share of Canada cau be much short of what we have already given towards the construction of the Grand Trunk Railway-at all events from twelve to fourteen millions of dollars. If it shall come about that the sense of the people is to be ataken on the Confederation question, the Insercolonial Railway feature in the plan will prove the most diffioult to reconcile the people to, and espeoially the people of Upper Canada. In my own constituency-and I
may venture to assert that there are not many honorable members in the House stronget in their constituencies than I am -if I were to come before my electors parely on the Confederation issue, aṇd as the advocate of Confederacy, I know that denunciation of the 68it resolution would be tower of strength in the hatds of ayy anti-Confederate $\supset$ ppoaent who might choose to measure swords with me in the electoral Geld; but I would be, prepared to face that difficulty, and in the fullest coonfidence that I could do so successfulty and triumphantly; if satisfied that I could-and I thiak I could - show to my people that the scheme of Confuderation, even with the In tercolonial Railmay inseparately interworen: in its web, is essential to our existence as a British people," (Hear, hear.)" Rererting to the objectionable features I have alluded to in the resolutions befure us, 1 have asked myself this question-Is Confederation; as offered to us, faulty; as the plani may be likely to work well for the future of the country? Is it likely to prove a satisfactory solution of the very crave political cilficulthes that beset us? To would be in wain to attempt to conceal from uurserves. that. Cabida is "at this moneat apprisching the - move crithail perio of her hatherto exatence. Threataced with ageromin tre mithout, we are wit fa in gratuyng equition of pros: Merty' whinh, lot buc books and census" returns wiy what they will to the contrary. firéq and muturation cients are traispiriagy just bryond var frontier"-vent's wheth have already seriou-ly and injuriöully atected ut commereinily. abd when must inevitably, it some way or wher, allect us politically. A revple untat receatly devoted valy ariadus-- trial pursuirs and the develop thent ot their conatry, hate suddenly expanded into a
 ixpression, the Aurricaws ure ""making histury very hant," ind it is impossible that that eventiul history can be manutactured in at terrifory separated from our own by letto nifure than an inagnary hae, without our having eveotually some part in its pages, for gend ur for eril. In fact we cannot - cunceal trom ourselves that some great change is bupendiag over the destinies of our ovantry-a chanre that will present itself to us in sotne form or other, and that before lung, withuat its beitg in our power to avert, thuugh it may be in our power to shape it. There is fast growing up in England a feeling of want of confidence in Canada:. We
see it in the tone of the press, in the perliamentary debates and elsewhere...We are told that we are giving more trouble to the Mother Country than we are worth. A simi. lar feeling of want of confidence, amounting almost to contempt, has always prevailed towards us in the United States." The ignorance of everything relating to Canada -of our political and social conditionof our resources and our commerce-our growth and our progress-that exists among our kindred across the border, cannot fail to have"-surprised those who have mingledmuch among them, and if not altogether creditable to them is certaioly very huiniliating to us; butt, great as the ignorance is there, it is fully equalled by that which exists with respect to Canada, and all pertaining to Canada, among our nearer and Qearerkindred in the old world. Witiat can we do to remedy this unfortunate and humiliating state of things? What can wre do. to inspire confidence in us abroad; to command respect ; to defy contempt? These appear to nue to be the practical questions with which we have to deal; Weareplatioly told by Bugland that we must rely more upon our own resourees in the future than whave done in the past, snd in is right and just we shoulddo so." It appears to methat there are just thre states of political existeuce pussible for ux here, whin:we enecrge from the chrysalis-form in which w: bate hitherto existed:. First, there is the atteupt to stand alone as a separate nationality on this continent-that is ore alternative. Secondy, there is the prospeot held but to us in the resolutions- mamely, a union of all the British. North Americau Colonies, under the flag of Eingland, becoming more atd more every year a homogeneotis British people, and buiding ap a consolidated British power on this continent?" The last and ineritable alternative, if wo reject the other twio, is exactly that stated by wy honorable tricid frotu South Lanark (Mr. Moaris)absorption into the Cnited States., It is in vain to shut our eyes to that fact, or that the tioue is at hand when wo will have to make: our selection.: I boow that the latter alternative-and I san ppeat from: as thorough an acquaintance with the wauts; foclings and wisties of the peopie uf Canada as any honorablo gentleman in this Uouse passesses-would be mist distasteful to the great mais of the people of this country. (Loud ọbeers.) To myself personally, it wuald be so distasteful that it
would amount to a sentence of expatriation, rupturing the tics and associations of a quarter of a century. (Hear, hear.) When my honorable friend the Hon Attorney General for Upper Cianada introduced the resolutions to the House, he gave us to anderstand that ihe question, or the details of the question, were scarcels to be considered as open for debate. He told us plainly and squarely that the project must be viewed as a treaty already sealed and signed between the contracting parties, and would have to be accepted as a whole or rejected as a whole: I felt the force of the situation then, and when the sume honorable geutleman came down here a few days since, and, in reference to the new phase of dificulty resultiog from the turn takea by the elections in New Branswick, anuounced that prompt and vigorous action was nece:sary, in a somewhat different direction from that originally contemplated, I felt the force of the situation even more fully than at first." (Hear, hear.) And I would here ask to be allowed to digress' a moment trom the thain question. I wish to take this "pportunity of sayiog that I nerer had more than a sort of a half-confidence in the Gorernment as now constituted: When the leaders of the Cunservative party, with whom I have always acted, save fe last year to make critan political combicatious which, even 'they muat 'adant, antonished and startled the country-combinations resultitge in the preent Coalition Ministry-I claimed that I and cyery member of the Conservative party, in this House or out of it, who chose to disseat from: the cuarse adopted by our ledderw, had a rightio hold ourselves absilved frumall party ties and obligations what ver. I claimed thes as 1 claim now, that from thenestor mard I owe no political allegiance, po party tralty, be any man or any body of men on the floor of this Houser. In electing to adopt fior myself the aromalous and hybril positionofan "- independent nember," Iknew foll well that it wan to " burn tay thip "-to cast umay from me all chaneces of putitical advanement but' 1 : never had political aspirationy that warred with woy own nothoas of polttical homor and coonistency, or mith ay luve of personalindependeace. Vut when great changes in our political relatious "re takiug place" when all feed, as I believe all do fe- 1 ; that a great and monertous creat is inpending whea, urder such circunistances, my hon. friend the Huarable Storacy General for Gpy Canads announ.
ces, as he has done, in a frank, bold, manly' and "statesmanlike manner, prompt and vigorous policy on the part of the Government in dealing with an unlooked-for difficulty allude to the difficulty growing out of the New Brunswick elections-I will tell that hon. gentleman that he and his colleagues may now-and always when boldly grappling. with the political emergencies of the conatry - counton a cordial; earnest and admiripg support fromme. (Hear, hear) Without further diseussionior debate, I cast my vote for and my lot with the Confederation, and this I do in the fulleat confidence and belief that, however faulty may be certain of the detais of the scheme, and however awk ward it may bo to wurk out sonse of its provisions suc: cessfully, the resources of the people of these provinces, their innate adaptation for self: goverument, will be tound fully equal to overcoming all the difficulties aud obstacles that may beset their path: I fully believe that the faulis which I now object to in the plan of Confederation wall, like the diseases incident "to childhood, grow out" of our system as we advauce in political strength and stature, and that when duvilit decade has passed over us we will be fuund a strong, united British people, ready and able, an peace or in war, to hold oge own upon this continent. (Cheers)

It being sir ocluck, the Speaker lolt the chair.

After the recess,
Mn. SHANLY, revuning hes remarke, said-Befire the House ruse, I had expiesxed uy belied that the people, of this country would be found ejual to any emergeacy that faght arise in working qut the Convtitution. cubraced in "the resolutions, and would prove thernselves capable of altering or auending it antil it rorked effectually and well for the besuctit of the whole country. And in makiag the chole which I knuw the peopla of this suntry will make-as between aunexation to the Uinited States aud csanection with tireat Britaiu-as between refublicanism and mbiarcty-as between Canada our conutry, or Catiada our'state -I believe they will be choosing that which will best advance the material prospects; and best ensure. th: future happiness and greatoess of the country. . If we were to be absorbed inte the republic, an I become astate of the union, that would is no way reliceio us of the great undertakings that are betore ua for the improvement and de-
velopment of our resources. We would still have a large debt on our hands, of which, unaided, we would have' to bear the burdeu, our canals and other public works would 'be treated, not as national, but as state enterprises, and the expense of enlarying or extending them would have to be tharged upon a diminished revenue; for uearly the whole of the reverue we now raise from customs and excise would go, not to the improvement of this state of Canada, but would be poured into the coffers of the Geueral Government at Wahingtou. I can. not understand how any patriotic Canadian, evea of those who regard political matters from a material point of viem unly, can ad: vocate annexation to the C nited States . I believe there are many persons in Canada who, though'eutertaining feelings of true loyalty to the Crown of England, imagine that in some way or other - they cannat exactly tell howantiesation would bringabountan extraordinary and suduen state of prosperity I differ eatirely, even in the material and practical puints of vien, from the theorists and visionaries who entertain yo falie a convicwoth. How, I would ask, is this country, with diminished means at itsoommand, to be conabled to carry out those great works thriugh which atone it could hope to become 'Lreat, bit the ways and means for construct. uge ur mproviny which still puzzle our finauciers? I have always beet of upinion: sipee I first came to ponder carefully the future of Canala, that that future does not depend so much uput our lands as upoú cur waters The laud-the terin firmo-of Cauada to not laviting, to those who hage tilled the soit of Great Britain or exploned the vast fertile plaius to the west of Late Michisan. Uar country is jast on a par with the northern pate of the Stata of New Yorb, and with the States of Vermont and New Hampshire in respeot of climatic conditivas and eonditions of soll. But we possesss ove inmense adrautage over those countries, au adrantage which givess us a distinctive position on this contiment- the possessen of the noble river which tlows at our feet, If is through that river and our great chain of miland waters that the destiny of this country is to be worked ont. But we cannot fulfil our destiny-or the desting of this country rather-ty standing idle in the market place; by, as one honorable member has sumgested. duing nothiog to improve our natural highways or cenate artificial ontes, trusting to fortane or to I'ruvideace for the
development of our resources." I beliere that we have a high and honorable destiny before us, but that it has to be morked out by hard toil and large expenditure; and we certainly would not be in a better condition to work it out were we to be united to a country that would at once abserb four fifths. of the revenue on which we now depend for our very existence. The improvenent of our internal; navigation is the first great undertaking we should coosider, whether fur commercial parposes or for purposes of d: fence.: And as regards the promoting of our commercial interest in the improvenent of our navigation, what advantage; I would ask, could we expect to gain by becoming a state of the American union? There is not onc of the seaboard states but would be in every way interested in divertin: froin cur into..their own chanels, and in eadearoring to obstruct the improvements calculated to attract that trade to the St. Lawreuce The Western States, doubtleds, would have interests in common with us, but they are not in a position to render us material aid for the construction of our works, being thenselves borrowers for the meing of carrying out their onn interual improve. ments. I believe, then, that even from a material point of viexterery unprejadiced thinker must admit that vur future pros: perity and importance lie in preserving our tadivaduality, and in making the most of our heritage for our own special advane ment. (Hear, hear:) Ifeel quite certain that nine tenthe of the people of Canads mould not be deterred from takiog their chance an a nation throtigh the fear that they may some day have to strike a blow in detence of their country; and of all else, whether of renlity of of sentiment; that sthould be dear to a brave and loyal people. Wio stand here the envied posecssors of, take it all in all, tho greatest river in the world; the keepers of voe of the great portals to the Atlantic; and I trust allat Canadians will never be fuand to yith possession of their heritage till wrested from thean by fores: And that must be a force, they may rest assured, not meroly suf. fienent os ore mateh the pople of these provinees, but all the power of the Empire besides. (Hear, hear.) Now, though I have said I would not enter inte details, I must. claim the attention of the House for a few moments longer, while I touch upon ons very ituportant point. I refer to the 69th resolution, foreshadowing the colonizisis by Canala, and as the expense of Canada,
of the North-West territory, There is not in this House one hon. member who appreciates more fully than I do the great patural resources and great future value of that territory; but I am not of that clasio of sanguine and visionary politicians who would risk losing all by grasping too much, and in the vast dominion extending from Lake Superior to the shores of Nemfoundland, the Confederacy will have ample scope for the nnergy and epterprise of her people for a long time to come. The North-West territery, from its geographical position as regards us, is very difficult of access. A broad tract of barren' and inhospitable country interveues between Lake Superior and the fertile plains of the Red River and the Saskatchewat, which for seven moniths out of the twelve are, in fact, wholly inaccessible to is save through a foreiga country, rendering it next to impossible for us alone to effect: close connection with and colonization of that country. We cinanot jump all at once frum the position of colonists to that of colunizers. That great territory can only be developed, colonized and preserved to us by the exercise of that fustering care which the Eupire has ever bescowed upon her colonies in their infaucy. The Hon. President of the Uouncil (Hon Mr. Briuws), in the course of the debate, said be hoped to see the day when our young men would go forth from aniong us to settle the North-West territory: Tharbor no such wish. On the contrary, one of the fondest hopes I cherish as a result of Cupfederation is, that ite: will 30 attract capital and enterprise to the prib. nineses, so tend to develope our interaal resourcess as to offer to the youth of the country a field tor the exercise of thit latidable energy and anbition which nuw cause so many of them to leave their own hearths anal cast their lot with strangers. One of thegreatest ills that Canada now suffers. frou it, that the young tree born and brought up it her midst look abroad for their future, and bentow their energits and talents on anuther fand; and although an immigradt myself, $I$ know and adenit that a mau born and brou, hi up here is worth any two immi. grants for tho ardurus task of clearing and settiag what remains to is of the public dumair. I hope and trust that the Confederation of the Provinces will ercate sufficient inducements to krep the young men of the country ai home. (Etear, hear.) It is in that hop that I support the measure. I trust at the same time that the great North.

West territory will be preserved to our flag, and that, fostered by the Mother Country, it will in time become great and populous, and finally extend the British Ainerican nation to the shòres of the Pacific. "It would be untair, at this lite stage of the debate, to enter further into details. I promised that I would not do so. With details, indeed", it has all along appeared to me we had little to do notr. If the project as a whole be geod, surely means will be found, as we go on, to remedy objectionablè oiletails. With all its defect-and I admit there are many defects -there never vas a writter Constitution but had its defects-I feel confident that the general design set forth in the resolutions: meets with the approval of a large majority of the people of Canda at all events; and it would be an insult to the sound common sense of a people that have so long proved themselves capable of judging for themsetves aud of goveruing themselves, to suppose thiem incapable uf adjusting, from time, to tinue, as ocersion arises, the minor details or defects of a. system' of government to which they haveresulved on accurding a fair trial. "(Hear,' hearis.) And now, Mr: Speaker, what I had to say on this important subject of Confederation I have said I promised that I would not weary the IIouse" by eutering into details; I trust that I have nut done so; but I may be permitted to express a bope-a hope funded in a deep and abiding belief-that the people of these provinces are and will prove themselves equal to the great undertakiag that is before them; that aided by all the commercial puwer of Britain in time of peace, by all her military and caritione power in war, should war unhappily come about, we will show to the world that we are not untworthy seions of the noble races of which we cone, but that we are competent to suceessfully work out to a great end the task that is intrusted to us-the noblest and worthiest task that can be intrusted to an iutelligeat and calightened people-that of making, fie themselves a ame and a place anoug tha nations of the earth; that of building up-to borrow a yuotation aptly introduced into his able speech by my hon: friend trum South Lanark - a quotatiou from the speech of a renotrued British statesman, when speaking on a great colonial question -that of bulding tup ane of those great monauestits with which England marks: the records of her deeds- not'pyramids and ubelisks, but states and commonwealths,
whose history shall be written in her language." (Cheers.)
Mr. SCOBLE-If I were to consult my own feelings or my convenience, Mr. Speaker; I should certainly not rise at this advanced period of the debate, to offer any observations on the great question which has now been so long under discussion; büt having sömeWhat altered the opinions that I entertained of the scheme submitted to the House by the Government, I feel it necessiary to make a few remarks in explanation and vindieation, of the vote I intend to give. "In approaching the consideration of the ques tion. I shall divest niyself, as far as posssible; of all party predilections, of all personal preferences, and of all sectional jealousies, and shall endeavor to discuss it upon its merits, fairly and impartially - first; with reference to the great difficulties which unhappily exist between "pper and Lower Canada ; and, secondy, in relation to the proposed union of the British North Imeriean Provinces for purposes and objects common to them all. These branches of the maia question, or rather these two questions, are not necessarily connceted, and miay, tharefore, be discussed separately; for it is possible , we may not be able immediately to secure the ution of the provinces, and io that case We shall still have to deal with the dificul. tits of our own position, and iry, if possible, to tiod a satisfactory solution for them (Hear, hear.l And first, sir, with reference to the dificulties which have so long dis. tracted and disturbed us, and which hitherto we have in vain attempted to remove. If we may believe the hon: nember for Brome (Mr. Dunkin), whom I regret to see is not in his place, the difficulties to which 1 have referred are imaginary, not real He told us, in his elaborate and exhaustive speech, that in Lower Canada the Catholic and non-Catholic, the Kioglish and French-speaking populations, were liv: ing in the mosst entire harmony with each other; and this statement was eonfirme by the honorable and learned geotleman the Hon Atty. Gen. Gas: (Hon. Mr. (cantent), who declared that so great was that harmony, that he eajoyed the confidence aut only of the Catholie, but the Protestant seetion of the community, and in fact repre sented them both." Now, sir, I am not disposed to question the faet proclaimed by these honorable gentlemen; on the contrary, I fully believe it ${ }_{i}$ and asoribe the circam-
stance to their having common objects to pursuc, and: gommon interests to maintaio. (Hear, hear.) But the hon. "member for Brome went further He affected to believe that no. difficulties of any moment existed between. Upper and Lower Canada; and that any dissatistaction that had been manifested by the upper section of the province, might abe easily removed without resorting to an organic change in our present Constitution. At least, so I uaderstopd the han. gentlewan: Ot this point I am at issue with him, for I believe those dificulties to be of a most formidable character, and that they threaten at no distant day, unless, they be adjusted, the peace and the prosperity of the pro-vince-perhaps" its disintegration-perhaps its annexation to the Uuited States. Every lover of his country must deprecate such results, and ought to strive to prevent them, or either of them... The House and the country will sustain me in the riew I take of the danger of our position, and conses, quently of the importaice of the measure now under consideration, ast one means of removing it. (IIear, hear.) If, sir, we cabascertan the true cause of our difficulies, we shall not have to seek far or long for their remedy. In what dothey orminate? Some tall us in differeace of nationality, of religious crued of cívil institutionsí and of language. I an not disposed to ignore these, or to deny that they may be made to play a conspicuous part in he non-settlement of sectional ques. tions; but I utterly deny that they are the cause of our difticulties. Take the question of nationality, for instance. Those among us whö are of French extraction may be justiy preud of their ancestry of their traditions, and of their"history: They can byayt of the mighty cmpire whieh thow of kiadred bo with thenselves have tounded in Europe, and of the vast influene which it exerts over the civilization and politice of the world; but as they are no longer subject to France, but are within the allegiance of the British Cruwn, and enjoy all the fran. chises of British freemen, it appears tu me that the question of Frocich nathuriality disappears, whilst that of origin ouly remains; and that new the only nationality that can be recognized ahing us is a Britioh nationality, ualess indeed we are prepird to sever our conaection with tho parènt staté, c̈omuence a nuw uationality of our own, or merge our political esistence in the 'neightoring republic." Bat who, sir,
among us is prepared for either of these alternatives? Am I to suppose that the people of this province of French origin are less logal to the British Crown than those of Anglo-Sazon descent? Am It to believe that were the opportunity afforded them, they would reunite thewselves with Frañce? These questions, I am assured, they will answer indigoantly in the negative. At all events, of this I am satisfied, and I believe they are satisfied, that under no government in the world can they enjoy so large an amount of civil, political and religious liberty as uoder British sway: The Scoteh have their history and their traditions as well as the French, but where is the Scotchman now that is not proud of his alliance with England, or that would wish to dissever the connection, though thereby he might regain his parliament or his kiug? I believe that exery enlightened Freach-Canadian is of the same opinion, whatever hot-blooded aud här-brained demagogues may assert to the contrary. (Hear, hear.) Take the question of religious creeds: These are said to present an insurmountable obstacle in the way of the settlement of our sectional difficulty. If, sir, we had-established in this province a non-Catholic or Protestant creed, to which all wuld be required to su: scribe, or if sot io subscribe, at least to support by compul. sory kaxation", then, sir, I- could conceive that difference. of religious opinion might uperate in the way alleged; but as amoug us the most complete religious liberty is en-joyed-yes, a. larger amourit of religious liberty than Catholic. Uliristians are allowed is Frauce-I can see no valid ground for the supposition that they frould suffer in this respect, or that they eyer had the shadow of a reason to fear that in doing an act of jus-: tice to Upper Canadians they would be doing injustice to themiselves. (Hear, hear:) We are, all of uis, too much and too deeply interested in the question of religious liberty, to trespasis on the rights of conseience, or to allow ot state interference in matters of such transeendent importance as "our relations to the Divine Being, and the service and worship we owe to Him. Difering as we do ing our creed and modes of worehip, religious equality is uecessary to the peace and good order of government, as well as to the life of religion itself "añong the people. We thus become the guardians of the most precious of all liberties; the right to worship God according to the dictates of our conscience,
without let or hindrance from each other or the state. (Hear, hear.): But it is said that the eivil institutions of Lower Cabada would suffer, were Upper Canada allowed a repre. sentation in the Legislature and the Govertment in proportion to its population. I marvel, sir, much that such a difficulty as this should ever have been started. It is well known that the policy of Great Britain has ever been of the fuost liberal and comprehensive cbaracter in relation to matters of this kind. Trace her history in connection with her conquest in any part of the world; and when, except with the consent of the people, has she imposed upon them the body of her sta ute lawis?. Her Constitution and ber counmon law of right belong to the peoples subjected to her sway, and these are the guardians of personal and publio liberty; but beyond these she allows the largest freedom in respect of customs, the peculiar institutions, and the administration of eivil justice throughout the length and breadth of her dominiocis. - However desiruble the assiumilation of the laws between Upper and Lower Cauada may be, uniformity would be purchased at too dear a rate, if it led to dissatisfaction among any considerable elass of the people.. Time may accomplish what furce might destroy. As an Englishman, whilst I believe our laws, in the main, as, well as our whole judicial system; are the best in the world, I do not believe either the ono or the uther to be perfect. To improve thein by importing into them : whatever is': more excelleat in other systems, is the dictate of common sciase, and will always have my hearty concurrence. The institu: tions of Lower. Canada are perfectly safe in the keeping of Lower Canadians, for practic. ally nothing could be gained by Upper Canadiatis in changlig thepi, supposing they had the puwer to do so, which they neither have nor desire to have. ${ }^{(H e a r,}$, hear.) And then, sir, with respect to language, I can hardly suppose Lower Cànadians serious when they imagine that any desire exists to destroy the ase among then of their mothertongue. It may do well enough to excite a prejudice aming igoorant people to say so, but surely among those that are intelligent it can bave no effect. It remains with Freach Canadians themselves to determine whether they will abandon the use of their native tougue, and adopt ours, or not.: They are free to use either, or both, at pleasure. If, sir, in Lower Canada the English are
compelled to learn the French language for business purposes and for social intercourse, and in Upper Canada the French are compelled to learn English for similar purposes, surely that need not be a suliject for regret to the one or to the other, inasmuch as both will gain by it. And this further adyantage will accrue to those skilled in both languages: they will have access to the literature the philosophy and the science of the two foremost nations of the world. No attempt will be made to ignore the French, language antong us, so long as those who prefer it to all others shall deem it warthy of preserration. (Hear, hear ) Give the people of Upper and Lower Camada a common ohject to pursue, and common interests to sustain, and all questions of oricin, and creed; and institutions, and language will varish in the saperior end to be attained oy theri closer union among ournelves, of by their wituer uuion with other colonists under the proposed scheme of Confederation, ' (Hear. hear.) The great dificulty under which we lator. acid which we seek to overomer is a poltieal and not a social oner It has its $r$ ot in the Constitution impersed upon the province in $1 s 41$ by the Emperial Goverument and legislature: That Const買ution was founded on mijustice to Lower chmada, and its fruit; as was then foresen, hay yrodered the grossest inju-tice tuḷ pper Canala. Had the principle of representation based on popula: then béen then adopted, and che line which separated lyper trom Lower Canadabeen obliterated, except for juicieial purposes, we should now be working harnoutously together, instead of seeking urganic changes in the Constitution, in order to preserve ourselves from" revolution and anarehy. (Hear, hear) The honorable member for Bagot: (Hon. Mr Lafrimegtse;, in his speech, quated largely fistar the report of Lord Duainm, to show that that dinticguished nobleman was prejudief againte Lower Canadiains, and was indispened to do them Justice. "By selecting, hereand the wo passages from that able dienment, the hon. gentleman gave a colorable apparance to his aceasation, but bothibg tores "I decm it an act of justice to Lopd. Dunham to supplement the extracts rade by the hon. member, by further extracte whete will shew that His Lordship was governed by exatet and impartial justice in the measures which he recommended to heal the divisions which then existed in Cinada. With' the prescient sagacity of a true statesman, he said: :

As the mere amalgamation of the two Houses of Assembly of the two provinges would not be advisable, or give a due share of representation to each, a parliamentary commission should be appointed for the purpose of forming the electoral divisions, and determining the number of members to be retarned on the principle of giving representation, as near as may be, in proportion to popalation.
Where, I ask, is the injustice of this recommendation? Lower Canada had then the larger population, and was entitled to the larger representation in the united Legislature. But the Imperial authorities based the Constitution which they gave to Canada; not on representation according to numbers, but on equality or equal numbers of representatives for the two sections of the pro: vince, and the result we have to deplore this day. His Lordship goes on to say:-

I am averse to every plan that has been proposed tor giving an equal number of members to the tiwo proviaces, in order to athain the temporary end in outnumbering the French, because I thuk the same otject will be attained without siolating the primciples of representation, and without uny such appearance of injustice in the schene as would ses public opinion, both in Eng land and A muerica, strongly againstit; and bo cuase, when emigration shall have increased the English population in Upper Canada, the adoptun of such a priuciple would operate to defeat the very purpose it is intended to serve: It appears to nue that dny such electoral manayemenk found. ed on presert proviacial divisions, would tend to deteat the purposes of union, aud perpetuate the idea of disunion.
These are words of wisdom, but they were not listened to at home, and the conse quenues have been lamentable. We find Eepper and Lower Canada in a: state of antagonism, and collision inuinent." We tind the Legislature brought to a dead-lock, "and uur pablic men driven to their. wit's end: All this was foreseen by Lard Dea: fin and provided for in his aduirable sug gestions for the future government of this important proviace. Aud then, tha reference to- the peculiar institutions of Lower Canada, its religion and its laws, ho said :-

I certainly should not like to subject the French-Canadians to the rule of the identical Engith minorty with whith they have been os -lung contending; bitt fromia majurity emanating from so machis nore extended a sautres, I do not think they would bave any oppressiva or injustice to fear; and in this cane the far'greater part of tio majority never having been broaght into collision, would regard them with no animosity that would warp ibeir natural sonse of equity. Th. dow.
ments of the Catholic Church in Lower Canada, and the existence of all its present laws, uptil altered by the united legislature," might be secured by stipalations similar to those adopted in the union between England and Scotlaind. I do not think that the subsequent history of British legislation need incline ay to believe that the nation which has the majority in a popular legislature is Wikely to use its power to tamper sery hastily with the laws of the people to which it is united.
Such were the opinions and such the basis of that great scheme of anion which Lord DurHay contemplated, and which he aimed to secure to Upper and Lower Canada: It consisted of two parts : representation based on population in the legislature ; and guarantees that the peculiar institutions of Lower Canada should be protected, and her rights respected. But His Lerdship had larger riews before him" than the union of 'Upper and Lawer Canada. He was anxious that all the British colonies in North America should be consolidated under one governmeat. When His Lordship received bis commision trom the British Crown, he was strongly in favor of the Federal pripciple in its application to che then state of Upper and Lover Canada: buta more profouad study of the question when in this country, and from consultation with the leading puen in the several Americat Colonies, he arrived at the conclusion that a Legislative would "be preferable- to" a Federal union of those colonies." The change in his opinion is thus stated in the extracts from his report, with which I shall now trouble the House By a legislative union tie means "a complete incorporation of the provinces incladed in it under one Legislature exercising universal and sole legisdative nuthority over all of them, exactly in the same manner as the Parlianent legislates alone tor the whole of the British Inles." After a vareful reciew of the whole subject, Lord Dericiss says:-

1 had still tavere stongly impressed upon me the great advantages of a unired government; and 1 wat gratified bs thidutg the leading minds of the variuw colunies stron'sly and generally faclined to a deheme that would elevate their couatrits into sonething like a national oxist. ence. I thought that it would be the tendency of a Federation, sanctioned and consolidated by a monarchical government, gradually to become a complety Legistative uniou; and that thas' white conciliatug the 'reench of Lower Canadiv, by leaving theat the govarnmat of their own province, and their own intercal legistation, 1 might provilu for the protection of British interests by the Geatral Guvernment, and the gradnal tran. sition of the provinces iato an united and homo
geneous community: But, [His Lordship adds,] the period of gradual transition is past in Lower Canada, [and therefore he says,] that the only efficacious government, would be that formed by a Leggislative ution.
Having: thus dealt with the question in its application to Upper and Lower Canada; hè extends the range of his observations to the whole of the British possessions in North Anerica, and remarks:-

But while I convince myself that such desirable ends would he secured by a legislative union of the two provinces. I am inelined to go further and enquire whether all these oojects would not be more surely obtained by extending this legis. lative union over all the British possessions in North America; pnd whether the adrantages which I anticipate for two of them might not, and should not in justice be extended over all. Such an union would at once decisively settle the yuestion of races; it would enable the provinces to co-operate for all common purposes; and; above all, it would form a great and powerful people, " possessing the meacs of securing good and responsible goternment for itself, and which, under the protectiou of the British Empire, might in some measure counterbalance the preponderant and increasing intluence of the Cinited States on the American continent.
His Lordship had no fears that such an union would lead to sciparation from the Mother Country...He rather looked upon it as a meany of strengthening the bonds which: united them, and of its proving an adpantage to both. On this point he says:-
I do not anticipate that a coloinial legislature thus struag and thus self governing would desire to abaindon the connection with-Great Britain. On the contrary, I believe that the practical relief from undue interference which would be the result of such a change would strengthen the present bond of feelings and interests, and that the connection would only become more durable and advantageous by having more of equality, of freedum, and of local indepeudences. Bat, at any rate, our first duty is to secure the well-being of dur colouial countrymen; and if in the hidden decrees of that Wistiom by which this world is raled, it is writtea that these countries are not for ever to remain portions of the Lmpire, we owe it to our honor to take good care that when they separate from us they should nut be the only countries on the American continent in which the Angle-Saxon race shall be found unfir to govera themselves. I/ am, [says His Lordship,] in truth;, so far from believing that the increased power and weight "given to these colonies by union would endaitger their connection with the Empire, that I look to it as the means of fogtering such a national feeling throughout them as would effectually counterbalance whatever tendencies may now exist towards separation.

His Lordship then strongly recommends the union of the two Canadas under one Legislature, and of reconstituting them as one provincé; and "the bill," he says, "should contain provisions by which any or all of the North American colonies may on the application of the Legislature, be, with the consent of the tixo Canadas, or their united Legislature, admitted "into the union on such terins as may be agreed on between them." These remarkable pusages drawn from Lord Dcrian's report; appear to me to embody the very spirit of the scheme submitted to our consideration by the Government, and coming to us recommended by so high an authority, merit our best attention; "and if realized, though nit iu the precise form many of us might desire, we may hope it will heal our intestine divitions", and open to us a glorious future. , Representation'. based on population is denied to Cpper Canada, unless coupled with the Confederation of all the British Notth American colvaies, the separation of 'pper. Canada, pure and simple, is not to be thought of; to return to the pusiticn we occupied only a year ago, woyld be to plunge ouce more into political contests, with feclings embittered by disappointunent; sad therefore, with reservations atfecting, details only, I shall feel it to be my duty to give the motion before the House my best suppurt.' (Hear, hear.) And now, sir, I propose to consider the scheme submitted to us in relativa to the harger gues tivn ot the univo of all the British Forth American Prowinces under one government, for puriesese cummon to them all. 'I needed not the arguments or the elemuence of honorable gentiemen on the Treasury beuches to convince tue of the inmease importance of such a junctive as shall lead to the development of a new nationality, and secure to geuerations zet. unburu the advantayes of unity ind power. With the permission of the House, I will read an ixtenct frona a letter which 1 addresed to the Duke of Newchstle in 185y, when that nobleman vidited this country th the mite of His Royal. Highness the FBivice of Wanes, bearing directly on this point. Having brielly stated the grounds wfitict induced me write to His Grace, I sade:

The pidsessiuns of Great Britan in Nurth America ary tot on'y nast in extext:and marvel. lousim resuirces, but fur facility of internal com. munication by lakes and rivers, are nanivalled; and their geodraplacal position is sueh as to make thetn of the very last importance to the political and commercial greateesa of the British

Empire. ${ }^{\text {P }}$ Possessing the control of this magnificeni part of the American continent, with com. paratively easy access through it from the Allantic to the Pacific shores, Great Britain need not fear the rivalry nor dread the preponderance; of the United States. But in order that she may derive from it all the adrantages it is so well'ciar: culated to afford, she must have a tixed and determinate policy, wisely conceived, practical in its details, and perseveringly carried out in the planting of future colonies in British North America, care should be taken to make the in ay few as passible. I regret, therefore, that it appears to have been determined to give the Red Kiver settlement a distinct political existence. Canada should have beem allowed to expand westwards to the Hocky Mountains, instead of being cooped up within her present Lumits. She would then have been able wo absorb more easily the outlying colouies of Newfoundiand, Noya Scotia, New Bruiswick and Prince Edward Island on the Atlantic, and British' Culumbia and Vancouver's Island on the Pacifici. . Consolidated ulimately under one government, after the model of the Mothe! Country, wath such miodificatons as the circumstances of the case maghr require, an empre - might be formed over which, hereafter, some one braich of the Koyn Family might: reign a constitutional monarch, over a free and united people. In the meantiaie there is tothitig' to hinder the appointment of a Priace of the blood ruyal to be Viceroy over all the possensiony of Great Britain in North" America, and under him, lieutenant-governors to judminister the affairs of the separate dependencies; until they could be gradually and permanently taited. Yuur Grace will perceive frumi this statement ihat I object to she American system of lederation, and would uppose to it the unitication of the Briush colonies in this part of the ., world. One goversment, one legislature, une judiciary, instead of many with thout cunlicting imstitu. tions, interests, and jurisdictions, is what I would respectulliy ventare to recommend as the. true policy of the Melther Country on this side of the Atlanuic, as it has beevi' with the most apleadidfesults on the athers A Federad Guvera--mer ${ }^{2}$, osuch as chat of the taited States, for instance, is and must be weak in itself, froca the duscordant elementes of which it is cosaposed, and will be lound to contain within itelf the seeds of disorganization and dissolution, The crultuplica. fion of colonies in a new country like this is tantamount to the coultiplication of "petty sovertherties and the creation of rivalries and autag foisms which, sooner or laters, will manifent themolven, and prevent the development of that greauless, puwer" and proopperity which sin opiosite policy, wisely administered, would, in ny, judgments, eflectually promoke and wecure. By unitucation, however, I do not mean centralization. I am no friend to the bureaucratic system of France; Auztria, and Prosia A government, to be atrong and respected, musa leavo to the people the largeat amount of liberty consisteat with the safety and edrantage of the whole, in the manage-
ment of their local affairs." Such a monicipal system as we have in Canada is all that is necessary to secure that end. With the political franchise extended to all classes of the community, whether native-born or naturalized, the national life could not fail to develope itself in forms that would give permanence to its institations, content ment to its peeple, and strength to its government:

The opinion which I entertained in 1859 I entertain now . Now, as then, I am in favor of the unification of the British American Provinces. Now, as then, I am opposed to th. Federal principle, as exemplified in the formation and practical working of the Government of the Thited States, The greatest statestinen, the wisest men, who became conspicuous during the Ainerican revolution were clearly of opinioh thatt a government to be strourg must be a unit, and must possess within itself, and in all its organs, supreme power and a comuanding influence. To diffuse those powers, or even to share them with state or lucal governments, they felt would weaken it in its most vital parts. They would therefore, have stripped the States of every attribute of sovereigaty, and confined their action ti) matters of a purely local or municipal charaeter; but they had not the power, and the consequences are risible in the fratricidal war now raging among them, duvastating their tairest provinces and filling the land with ruourring and woe. The lessons of history and the experience of other peoples should not be lost upon us; and for myself, I hesitate not to say that if, in the proposed Federatiun of the British Anderican colonies, wo were to follow the example of the framers of the Governuent of the United States, or to copy its Conistitution, it would have my mosi determined opposition. The sohemo before us, however, is formed after a different model, and in its essential features is in perfect contrast to that on which the Constitution of the United States is based. It is trae it creates local goveraments with large legislative and esecutive powers; it is true it gives those governments concurrent powers with the Gen eral Government; it is true it gives theni poos session of the public lands within their sevaral jurisdictions; it is true it allows two of those goveraments to levy export daties on lamber, coal and ather minerals,- end looked at in the light of an advanced political seience, ethis is to be lamented; but looked at in the light of possible and praeticable statesmanghip, it was unavoidable. I am, therefore, propared to accopt it as a whole, as in frots the best that
could have been produced under the circum stances in which it was framed. (Hear, hear.) A careful analysis of the scheme convinces me that the powers conferred on the General or Central Government secures it all the' attributes of sovereignty, and the veto power which its exeontive will possess, and to which all local legislation will be subject; will prevent a conflict of laws and jurisdiotions in all matters of importance, so that I believe in its working it will be found, if not in form yet in fact and practically, a legislative union. (Hear, hear.) Taking this general ind, as.I believe, correct view of the case, I shall abstain from all criticism of its minor details, in the hope that what is found hereaftur immatiure or unworkable, will be abandoned by geieral consent. The Imperial Grveriment will take care, no doubt, that that part of the scheme which conflicts with the prerogatives of the Crown will be removed, or, at all events, be brought into harmony with them. On one or two points brought out very fully the Catholic members of the House in oppo sition to the scheme, I shall veiture to ofer a few remarks. They take exception to the power conferred on the General Govern-ment in the matter of marriage and divoree.: I think, sir; the power is very properly placed there. I respect their religious convictions; as a Prötestant,. I ask them to respt ct mibe. We owe each other mutual toleration. If the Protestant section of this House and this province do not regard marriage as a sacrament, and therefore, inviolable and indissolable, I believe they will be found to have as high an opinion of the sacred obligations involved in it, and admit it to be as binding upon the conscience of all who enter upon that holy and honorable state; as their Catholic. fellowsubjects. But quod the state or the civil government of the conntry, Protestants at lange, regard marriage as a civil contract only, and conséquently dissoluble on cause shown. This view-ought not to be offensive to the judgment or the conscience of our Catholio friends, for it will not and vannot interfere in the slightest degree, either with the form or the contiauity of their marriages; and surely: they wall grant to us, the nonCatholic sectiou of the province, that liberty of conscience in this matter wich they olaim and enjoy themselves. (Hear, hear.) Another point touched upoa by my honorable. friend the member for Peterborough (Col: Haultain) demands from me a passing remart. I beliove that my honorable friend correctly interpreted the feelings of Protestants in Lower

Canada, when he referred to the probable effect of the Pope's encyelical on the Catholic mind of the country. They think that if the principles inculcated in that letter were acted upon, their religious liberties and privileges would be in peril. :Bur it would appear that ay honorable friend had not the true key to the interpretation of that famous document. Catholic commentators find it to be perfectly innocuous when pruperly understoot." Be that as it may, I rely rather on the good sense and good feeliag of Catholics themselves, and above all. en the religious liberty: secured to us in this province, than on the Pope's èncyclical, for the protection of vur tibertics, whether civil or religious. . Let us be united in object and in interest as a people, and I have no fear, however diversitied our "opinions may' be on matter perminal to ourselves, but that we shall yrow up to be a. great nation, and that a gloriuus future awaits us. (Hear, hear.) As there are yet sever honorable gentlemen to address the House, I shall not trespass on its attention much longer, as I am anxivur the debate should be brought to a close as soon as pos sible, in order that the Goverument may be able, by its representatives in England, to pertiorm those important duties which are so urgent and so necesiary at the present moment. (Hear, hear.) Before sitting down, however. I wish to make oud or two remartat on the conflicting: opinions catertaiaed by honorable gentlenen on the permanency of our relations to the Mother Country. I do not believe there is any large party there who devire to separate themselves from us. On the coutrary, I believe the great bulk of the British people are proud of the connection, and are prepared to maintain itif we do our part in cultivating that conocetion by metting their just and reasonable demands. There can be no doubt that one cause of dissatistiaction ex: pressed in England towards us has resulted from our tiscal poliey. I shall venture "no opinion on that policy just now, whether it was wise or otherwise, but it strikes ine very forcibly that we have it now in our power. to set ourselvés right on that point, and to it - I would respectfully invitef the atteution of the Government. The question of our deftioces is very carneestly pressed on our atcention by the authorities it houe, but that is undoubtedly an Imperial as well as a provincial quers tion, and might be dealt. with in this way. It the British Government and people really desire to maintain their connection with the Camadas, they are ander the obligation, both
moral and political, to afford them adequate defence in money, material and men, in case of necessity; for it is clear that without these our position, escept at one or tuo points, is clearly indefensible: : On the other hand, if we are anxious to continue our relations with the Mother Country, then we are bound by the highest considerations of policy to adjust our tariff on imports in such a mander as to give no real cause of complaint to the people at home. I am persuaded that if we do this it will smooth the way for the removal of any hostility that may have been showa towards us by any class of politicians in England. Privileges and duties are reciprocal, and should be uet io a cordial spirit; and let it be remembered that material interests are, of all others, the tubst bindagy upor utaonsorm amity with each other and are the best cal. culated to maintain our celations candisturbed with the parent stater, (Hear hear. ja With me, sir, it is a matter of extivene importance that our relations with the Mothr + Uountry should be setthed on a firm and purmainent bashe - (Hear, hear s l-therefiore yuite ayree with the bon. gojegngan Mr. Smavir, why has just shanlown on the neecssity of pressing this point of the atention of the laperial. Goverfaneat. Mr: spaker, my band ear. nexadesire and prayer in that by wetionsidered seliente of union-a ${ }^{2}$ uniou that shall cpubruce the whote of the British poissessions in North Auerica, from dre Atlantic to the Pacific oceans: under one governuent-me sults may follow of the nost beneticial eharacter both to the colcuies and the Mother Country; and that Providence may so guide the conavels and iffuence the acts. of those who now direct our affairs, as to secure to the people of this country, and 60 succecdiag. gen. erations, the blensiagy of a well-ordared governaent and a wise adininistration of pablic affairs. (Chevers)

Col. RANKIS-Mr speakeĩ, uever' has there been an vecavion, suce I have had the bonor of eqeupying a sesat in this House, when I have been so" deeply iupressed with the impurtance of the subject under consideration, as I an to-nizht. Every honorable. gentlecasin who has aldreised twe Houso during the course of this debate has told you, oury that he ruse under some degree of ombarrasumet, I, too, night givi you the same a assurange, but I hahali not divell upon it ; suffice it to say, I valy speak because I think it thy daty so explain the reasons which iaduce me to take the view I eatertain of the subject before the Iouse. $\because$ I have
listened, sir, with great attention to the speeches which have fallen from honorable gentlemen on both sides ; and it is to me a matter of congratulation to observe, that at last, something has arisen which has given a higher tone to the debates of this House, and to the utterances of our pablic men. Hear, hear.) I attribute this mprovement in a great measure to the fage that we are diveussing a question of greater importance than has ever betore been brought under "ur consideratiou"; that we are at" length turning far attention to something worthy of the consideration of gentlemen who aspire to: establish for themselves the reputation of statesmen, while it has unfortunately happened heretofore that too much of our time has been spent in dacusing questions which ought properly to be left to the consideration of a muicipal, rather than of a legislative body. SHear, hear.) Hasuuch, sir, as L have reasons, which perhaps are somewhat peculiar to whyelf, for entertataing the views which. I: hod dipon this question, I trast I may be pardoned if I refer to "some of the most prithineut events comaected with the progress if affairs io Canada for some years past. And here I niay remark, that though the o, unty has become more important, though yur population has iactensed, and our prosjerity advanced, in perhaps as "rapid is dugree as any reasonable person could have ispected, there are still some respects in which we have not adranced, but rather retrugraded thath utherwise: I mean that the tone of feeling among the prominent mrth of the country has rather deteriorated than improveds siace the introduction of responsible goverameat: I, sir, am oldfinhiound enough to believes, that although there may have been some objections to the uinde of goverumeat which existed prior to the unitu, there was a bigher tone among yur public uru in those days than has prevailed for some years past. Still, no doubt, therewas much eanse of complaint ou the part of those who uriginated the agitation, which resulted in the rebellion of 1837. And speaktug tiw in the light of the experiened, many uf uy wuuld probably be propared to admit those geatewen who took a prominent part in bringing about that rebellion, and whom we thea considered it a duty to put duwn; were in realite-irue bencfactors of the country. (Hear, hear.) The result has proved that they differed only from those who
thought it their duty to oppose them, in that they were in advance of the men and the sentiment of that day. They foresaw, indeed, earlier thatr their neighbors", that the state of things which then existed could not long continue-they appreciated grierances sooner than others. (Hear, hear.) And thence arose the political struggles, which resulted; unfortunately, in a resort to armso 'That insurrection was happily suppressed ; and the statesmen of the great nation of which We are proud to be subjects, after the rebellion was ended, immediately applied themselves to the consideration of the best means of remoring the just causes of complaint which had led to the revolt. The fint-step was to bring dubut a union of the two prorinces. That union ras distastetul to many, who were forced reluctantly to accede to it. There were at that time gentlemen worthy in every way of the respect of their fellowconntrymen who denounced the union, and predicted evil' results from it." But is there an intelligent man in this ceuntry who will now say that those predictions have been realized. I do not think there is an hon. member of this House; on either side, who would expect anybody to believe he spoke sincerely if he asserted that the union had been attended by dieastrous results. The tiuce has passed for hostile féliags t.) exist between the people of the tro sections of this conntry-I say the two seetiung, for I have never allowed myself to speak of Upper and Lower Catada as separate and distinet provinces or countries, as has been too much the practice. Frow the moment the union was cotisummated, If felt that we should look upua unrselves as luhabitants of one country, and not os the people of two distinct proviaces. "In some instances legislation might operate with greater advantage to one section, while in others it would be moore bencidial to the other section:. But whatever was for the benefit of one was for the igood of the whole, inasmuch as it added to the importance, the wealth and the influence of the whole. (Hear, hear.) But there were many people who, for maay years after the gonsummation of the urion, writhed under the state of things thereby brought about, and were disposed to sneer at respotisible government, and to speak of it as a misfortune rather that as a boon. Sir; we have had some severe lessons, such as all individuals passing through the period intervening between childhood and manhood must to
some extent be subjected to, and to which communities rapidly erowing from insignificance to importauce must aloo submit. The tirst lesson' we were taught under the' system of responsible government was lin the passage of the bill for the fodemnitication of losses suitained during the rebellion in Lower Canada: I sir, happened to belong to a class in Upper Canada, at that titue, who would have considered it alrant if tuot guite, justifiable to resiort to armi in orderto resist the evforcement of that law. But, as thme thiw rolled on, I have become more eapable of appreciating the course then taken, and I am now prepared to admit that it was but juit and reasousble that that liw should be "enacted "(Hear, hear)" Ithen'sympathised with those who burnelthe Parliament house in Montreal, and an williug to almit, that If I had been there, I wouh probably have been one of the first to apply the torch to that building, while uader the influence of the feelinigy which inspired me at that time. Bat experience and reflection have since tangh we to regard thian from a very Chtierent point of visw We we then taught'practically to feel that we really did equern varsolves We were made to taste the consequences of self givariament. We 'wete tauybit that questions libe these' inust be thended by the will of the ungority of the people, as anade kuowa through their representates in Parliatuan (llear, hear) There wis no "tihotake in that case as, to What the will of that majority wat; and I an tree to adtuit that the rebelliwas apirie then muluged in, on accouat of the passage of that bill, 'was in some "respects' more' worthy of coudemiation than the coodact if those who resorted $z i$ arins to redress the real grievances which caused the rebellion; and, in course of time, many of those who were nost incensed at the parssuge this tueasure, began to realize the taet that it was ouly one of the natural conserguences of the 'new state of thiags'; and, step.by step, the" people of Canads have come to understand and appreciate the advantares' of 'self. fuvernmeat: They have comye oow to understand that whatever is deliberately expressed as the will of the majority of the people, wught to be subunitted to ky the mucrity. (Hear, hear!) And I hope we have arrived ate that strige in our political education, that there is no man in lamada who would now juntify a resort to violence to resist any enactment by this Legislatare,
no matter how unpalatable it might be to the minority, and no matter how important that" minority might be. Mr. Speakeb, we are now invited to direet our'attention to another union of a different kind, and on a larger scale. Of that union I have long been an adrocate. I have looked forward to it for years, as a desirable event, and in proof that I have done so, I may be permitted to read two or three lines from the Wots and Procperlings of this Moase, so far back as the year 1456. I do not desire to claiti for myself any special credit in the matter, but merely wish to establish my cuasistency, in beins: now, as I am, the uncompromising advocate of this measure iu being prepared to go so tar, as I' declared "Way my intention' the other day; as to vote for the motion submitted by the Hon Atzorvey General West for the previous question, which, under.. ordinary circumstances, I Thotld book apon as a very high handed and objectionable proposal Sir, in 1956 I called the a teation of the Hon Attorney deneral West-who, if in hisplace, would readily tecollect the fret-to a scheme such a that now ander consideration. I urged it upont bim, and prayed him to bring hisgreat abilities to bear upon the attainment of an ond of suftieient importadee to be worthy of his continued exertions 1 endeavórod to coavince him that, by identityiag his name with the attainment of sonie great and inportant end, hë would estahlitp for hiuself a reputation worthy of his talente" I"failed howeror, to enlist the symathers of that hon.geatleman with my views. His idea was, that it was prematuro to entertainany such project-thatit might bo well coough, perhaps, at some future period, but that it was then quite out of the question; I severthetese proceded to draft a series of iesolu. cinus, and gave notice of them twy or three weeks in advance if the day I imtended to mave them. Durian the intervening period, I addressed mysulf, to honorable .inetabers of the House, bui, I regret to aly, ruet with no encouragement frocm any y yarter, with one sidgle exception- the late Hon. Mr Mearit cordially approviag of tho idea" Fiading that sufficient suppore could out be obtaind in the Howse to cummend the idea to the country, Ifelt it to be pru: devi-as evigh leaders of parties sometitnes do under similar cireumstances-not to mate an exhibition of ary owa weakuess; I came to the conclusion that the resolutions would not
receive favorable consideration from any considerable number of hon. members, and that to move them would only be to attract atten:tion to what might be looked upon as my own eccentricities. I accordingly abandoned the idea of pressing them at that time. But, with the leave of the House, I will now read the motion, which is as follows :-

Mr. Ravisis-On Wednesday next (30th of April, 1856)-Committee of the Whole on the general state of the province, for the parpose of cousidering the subject of a union of the British North American Culonies, with a view to an address to Her Majesty to recommend the same to the consideration of the Imperial Parhaneat.
This, sir, I "am happy to say, is the proposal which the Goyernment are now earrying out, (Hear, hear.) This was what I proposed nive years ago, and I shall have the greatest pleasure now in giviag them my hearty süpport while they endeavor to carry it into effect; and I congratulate them on having, thuugh so loug after myself, arrived at the saine couclusion. (Hear, hear.)

Hos: J. S. MACDONALD-It was adrocated long ago by Bishop Stracean and other gentlemen.

Me. IANKIN-Far be it from we to dony that these gentlemen are entitled to the eredit of having saggested the idea, long before I was of an age to think of auything of the kind. . But I may coagratulate myself that I had conceived the same iden-without burrowing it from them- which had been previousls adrocated by men so distinguished and illustrious. (Hear, hear.) The result shows, however, that in looking upon the movement as then prematare, the IIon. Atroriney Genoral was right, and that hed corréetly understood the feeliags of the country; for I am willing to admit that the course of eveats has proved that it wos promature. But, had it not been for certain occurrences which I shall not comaneat upon (siace to do so might saror of a spirit unbecoming on' this ocea-siou)-had it not been for the extraurdinary state of thinge brought about before the furmation of this Cualition, I am not propared to acknowledge that it would have been thought of, as a practical soheme, tor twenty years to come. But now honorable gentlemen have taken it up, and if ouly remains for me to cöngratalate them on having dune so. Whèn this Coalition was proposed, after the vote which resulted in the defeat of the Cartiei-Macdonalid Ministry, the honurable member for South Oxford, the Hun. Presiden't of the Council,
and then recognized leader of the Opposition, did me the honor to invite me to a meeting of his supporters. Though I never was one of his followers-having been all my life; in the proper sense of the word, a conservative-still [ was associated, for the time, with the gentlemen forming the party of "which he was chief; and I think they will do nee the justice to admit, that while allied with them, I acted in good faith, and they "all knew that, though I was with them, I was not of theni. (Hear, hear.) At the meeting of the Opposition, called . by the Hon. President of the Council, the project now under consideration tras-submitted; and in justice to that gentleman, I am bound to say, he made a frank, clear and intelligible explanation of the terms which bad been ayreed upon between himself and the other section of the Guvernment: He informed us fully of all that had taken place betwean the negotiating parties, and submitted to us the question whether we would support him in the step he had taken, and support the Government which was to be organized for the purposie of carrying out this project: Nuch was said after those explanations, and to the best of my recollection of what oecurred-tor I bave notsince refreshed niy meuory by reading the report of the proceeding-there was a general asvent to the project. "Though sowe hon. gentlemen did entertaia views peculiar to themselves, and expressed opinions that perhaps did not convey a hearty or cordial assent, yet there was a unanituons consent that this Government shotild be formed. (Hear, hear. f. I thiak every one assented to that proposal. I; at alleventes, fully afisented to it iu good faith-(hear, hear)-and in doing so, my meaniag was to allow the fullest latitude to those hua. gentleanen to concuet the best scheme they could, atid to sustain themi in working it out: I had no triek in my mind: I did nut mean, as some honorable gentlemea seem to have meaut, to place them in a false positiou, and afterwards assail them. (Hear, hear.). I honestiy meaut to empows them to conteir with delegates from the other proviaces, and to endeavor to bring about an understanding by which a uníon of some sort migit be accouptished. (Hear, hear.) It is true that there was one feature in the explanations given by the Hop. President of the Council which was not acceptable to me, but it was not of a character which rendered it decessary for me to mako suy remartic at the time. Tu prêvent misapprehension, I
however, will explain what I mean: One idea suggestod was, that failing the Confederation of all British North America, the Federation sihould be carried out with reference to Canada aloive.

HoN. Ma. BROWN-With provision for its extension, si is to embrace the other protinces, whenever they were prepared to cowe in.

CuL, RANKIN-E゙Craiply ; but though I did not approve of a Fecieration of Camada alune, 1 did not teed that it was part of my duty to rise and protest against any sach: projeet. 1 . felt it was right to empower these hon. gentiomen to trane that scueme, Whatin they finund to bo the best and moat procticable-although il certainly had a mentai resersation with reterence to the porint have mentived; and did nut then, hur will I at any huture day, asoent to a Federation ut the Capadas alope, whe a lucill goverument for eaci section. Rather than aceept such constutution 11 would prefer" to reunalu an we are tor I bever can bo a constatog party to the making ot tiva or thece paitry proritices out ot Cuada. But 1 abseprepared to give uy bearty support to the project bow under ousinderathy-anot becaupe 1 coisider it pateet, fur it 1 weröro disposed, 1 wight ratge many valifilyectuino to the scheme; Güt 1 am mot $=0$, 4itpusd.' I really beheve the gentimea who have taten it wh hand hare applted thèmsetveg to the task com: mitted to licem an aspirit ot patriotism and "tathtume's, tọ their trust, and" 1 shall not perinit ipyselt to induge in any reinark with refertace to the penatun they wecupied turards each yiher previgus tu the Coahtion now estabinhed.: Whate wh this subject, I may rematk that the Hon President of the Cunachl seenid mose tavorabie to the shea of a dederathat of the two proviaces of Cabiada aluace and 1 atur buth to sagy when he made has explamatioha, he appeared deepIy arferesed inth a de gravity but the step be Was abtut to take, and perfictig well aware that he was ixposing hinedt th be thated by puthes", untrendiy to him, ou points where he who perhaps opento attaik. 1 do nat say the is nut valuetabie, bait 1 , at all eveats, sthall uot assail bim now. If 1 have any atcans' the make upion: hitu; I shall suspead then all seme tuture nme; and if he sucueds ia carrywa' wut this project, he will thad in uic uou who will alwaye be readj to aceord to hiin the highest meed
of praise, and, for the good he will do in bringing this about, I, sir, wiłł be prepared to forgive him for all the evil he has heretofore done. (Hear, hear, and laughter.)

Hon. J. S. MACDONALD-You bave yreat faith

Mr. RANKIN-We ought all to proti: by the lessons of experience. In the culuse of this diseussion, it has been a pleasure to me to observe the general spirit of loyalty which has been displayed by hon. gentlemen who have;addressed the House. Even those who are adverse to the sebeme have uot been behind its greatest adrocales in their declarations of atuechmeat to British institutions and British rule on this continent (Heara, hear.) And I am lut disposed to insinuate that there is a solitary tueniber of this House who entertains sentiments of disluyalty to G'reat Britaia: We all have a right to express our vicus, and in fact it is our duty to do so, since we are sent here to cousider what is beat for the interests of Canada first ; tor though we owe allegianice to England, Canada is ofier country, aud has the struagest and best clanms To our" disotign. " (Hear, hear.) I; sir, am not one of those Canadaas who place the interests of England first, and hold those of Canada in secondary esticia. tion. It would be better if we sould regard the interestis of both with the same degree ut concern-aid I trust they" aluay: may be united; but we ought not to permit ourselves to lose sight of the fact, that frith atious as with individuals; the time does arrive whet it becomes each person to bo respousible for himself, and when he can no longer louk to hat pareats to give hitur a standing in the worlu: Sir, the time wust cotine, sooner or later, whem this country must ceaye to be a coloay depuadant on lireat Britain; and whatever we do; whatever sirrangements for the luture we may. make; we oughit always to leep the fact plainly before our eyes, that passing eveata are calling upon us, dither to commence the establishuent of g cuationality for ourselves, or make up our minds to be absurted in the republic lying aloag our authera lorders. 1; sir, do not desire to see the latter state of chiugs brought about. Nothing could be more distartefal to me chan to become what is called a citizen of the Uuited States, though I adurs che enterprise and intelligence which oharacterise the people of that country. Mr. Speaker, it is within the rucollection
of every honorable member of this 'House,' that some fitteen years since a movement was set on foot in Montreal, which had as its avowed object the severance of Canada' from its connection with England, and its annexation to the United States. The gentlenen who inaugurated that movement were men of influeuce and high standing in the country, and some of them, as' we all know, now occupy prominent positions in this House ; they claimed thea, as they do now, to be good and loyal British subjects, and yet they deliberately, tramed a document tu which they attached their sigaatures, in which they prayed their sovereign to allow this provinceto wichdraw from its connection with Eughapd, and attach itwelt to the United States.' Sir, the framers of the document to wheli $1 \cdot$ refer-the Annexietion Manijesto-were- not animated by a reluellous ieeling against the Hother Cuuntry, but by feelings of loyalty to the aterests of this country ; thetr argamedtes were lugical, and founded upon those matertal cunsaderations which, after all, do exercise, and must continue to exert a more powirful intluedee over the miads of satelligent mea in the ninetecath "entury, than any mere sentiment, or prefereace tur any parucular turm of guvernment; and sir, we all know that but a short time atter the publication of the anoexation manifesto, a yew era dawied upon the country. The Grand Trunt hawway and other impurtant puble works were 'inaugurated. British capital tlowed into the pruvince in copious etreams, the prekees of tho anneiatuatsts were replenisthed, and their logalty recstablished, upon'a bats whech has lasted over since. The recsprocity, too, contributed largely to the remuval of the depression wheh engendered the anyexatuon movement; and undur the uperation of that treaty, the material iaterestis of the country have prospered to a degree that will only be fully appreeated when wo have been deprived of its advautages:" Sir, no cunceivable state of things wruld have induced ne to becume a party to that muveraene in tavor of annexathua, but I ame free to coutese that the arguments advanced by the framers of the docament to whoh 1 have rolerred vere sound and togical-regadiag them from a material point of view ; and at they wore so , ac that nue, why should they not be equally su nuw? Hur the last ten yoarly, wo have enjuyed all the advantages of tree inlarcourse and tree trade with uur powertul neighbors
of the United States. We are now in danger of being deprived of both-and if we are, what will be the condition of this country three years hence? Shall we not be reduced to a state more disastrous to our agricultural and other important interests, than; we have yet experienced? And am I wrong, sir, in assuming that similar causes would once more produce the same effects? It is all vory well tor hon. gentlemen to say "No, no," but I maintain that I am right; and; Mr. SPEAKER, it is our duty to look the existiug state of things in the fáce. The impulses of mankind have been the same in all ages. We cannot change human nature, nor make men honest or disinter. ested, "by act of Parlimment. But, sir, I have only feferred to the past io the hope that the recollection of the events and the state of things to which I have alluded, may :have some iatluence upon the minds of hou. geatlemen - nayy, perhaps, induce some muditication in the course of even a single nember, who tias hitherto been prejudiced aganast the seheme of union brought down by the ciovernment. That we have arrived at a oritical period in the history of this contineut, is universaliy admitted. Events of the most momentous character are trangpiring upoa our borders, and L regret to say there usists towards is among our republi. can ueighbors a deep-rooted feeling of hosthity. Uocurrences have taken place during the progress of the war which have tended, stop by step; to intensity that feeling; which bas displayed icuelf in the stoppage of unestricted intercourse, and the threarened abrogation of the treaty of reciprocal free trade. In view ut this state of thing, Mr. Speaker, if we wish either to continue our connection with bingland or to maintain a separate natoval exastence of our own, it is our daty to devise some ineans by which we shall bo ungbled at all seasoas to obtain access to the scaboard thruugh our own territory; to strengthen ourselves numerically; to increase var realth materially, and to add to our importance territorially. All these results, Mr. Speakka, may, in my opiniou, be ubtained by the univa now proposed. sirt, it is because I entertain this opinionthat I am propared to accept the proposition under consideration without oriticising its details. If I were disposed to enter into details, 1 would most caruestly object to that part of the project which relates to tho development of the North-West, and the
uncertain period of the introduction of that. territory into the Confederation; indeed I should object to the Federal principle altogether-for what $I$ would prefer, Mr. Speaker would be a Legislative, rather than a Federal union; but, sir, I am willing to award the highest credit to the Govern:ment for having acconplished as much as they have done. If we are nut to have a legislative union in viame, we shall have something very closely resembling it $\ldots$ in fact, to have expected that any body of delegates, representing a number of different provinces and a great variety or cunflutiag interests, could concuet a-scheme which would prove aceptable to everyoue, would have been must uareasonable; and 1 think it ought to be admitted that the Adminntra tion are entitled to the gratitude of the couitry, for the great pains and patient labor they have evrdently deroted to the counsideration of this project: It must be borae in mind, sir, that the sehene of Federation agreed upunitby the delegates in ast tinal; and we should remeruber that the llouee of Commons; or Parhament of Britsh America, will have power to uake such mudtications. and changes as the intereste of the country may render advesable: If it it fund that the worhing of the Federal systew is objectionatle, that the peopie wauld rather - have their lood aftara manased by muat$\therefore$ cipal counciss than by hecal lenshares. they can make their wishes kriwititu the Federal Hariament in is cobsthutional manner, aud tha: boudy can, and duubeleso will, tiud tieans of abolthotitus the petty. providecal purlamento pruvided tor by the plan nuw bofure the House, and replacing them by extendiag the munchpal system: throughout the whole of British Amertea: ladeed, orr, the Federal Parlament will poseess the same power to change, alter or amede for the whole "cuatry, asy we now possess for Canada alome, and theretore it is that I so willugly accept the present suchene, believing it to be the best we can auw obtan, and leaving to the who are trenamy enugh to hold' seats io the British Amentan Parliament to detect and remedy its deteets. And, sir, we have seen that the opponeats of the union between Cpper asdlouwor Canada were unstaken in ther predictions of the disasters whech they susisted would flow from that univu. . May we nut venture to tell the opponente uif the harger and mure topportant fange which we are now diseusting, thai
their predictions will provestill more unsound, their apprehensions still more groundless? Mr. Speaker, our destinies are in our own hands'; by the consummation of this union, we shall lay the foundation of a great and important mationality; while on the other hand, if we reject this scheme, even if we are permitted to remain unmolested as we are. what is there in our present condition that we can reflect upon with pride or satisfaction, We are but a province, dependency at best ; the reputation of our statesmen is but local; their lame is confined to the limits of the colony ; our Mintrers of the Crown, as it is the practice to dall them, are but the advisers of a deputy sbvereign, ufon subjects purely provincial, wholly unknown to the rest of the world. and attracting no attention "beyond our own borders,- While the public meti ot the most insignificant European poiver would take precedence of them in auy other country-even Mexico, with its mongrel and semi-barbaroús population, enjoys the stand ing of a nation, "and has its diplomatic representatives, and its foreign rolatious-and shall we be content to, stand still, while all the rest of the world is, uoving on? Sir, the must experienced, the most distinguished statesmen the Mother Country appreciate the inportance of the proposed change, and regard'the movement as deserving of the highest commendation; and a writer in a recent number of the Lödon Times remarks, that the Parliament of British America will exercitise sway over a larger portion of the earth's surface than any other legivative body in tho world. Some bou. members have objected to this project ou the score of expense ; they have argued that some of the conditious were too favorable to tho Maritime Provinces; while, on the other haud, tho people of those provinces complaid that wo are gettiog the best of the bargain. I, how. ever, shall not detain the House by discuassing che question, of whether we have or have not uidertaken to pay a fow thousands noore than any of the other provinces, than some may think they were fairly entitled to; for I hold that the advantage to be derived from this uniou would be cheaply boughe at a eust far greater than any liability we sha! incur in cearrying it uut. Mr. Speakeis, the extent of the l'ritish possessions which it is proposed to unite under this seheme iacludes some four millioas square milesmure than the whole of the United States, North aad South together, and
equal to onetenth of the surface of the whole world ; the resources of the Lower Provinces are of incalculable value, while the boundless prairies of the North-West, with the fettile soil and genial climate of the Saskatchewan and Red River may be nade the home of millions upon millions of our fellow being. Our population, toduding the Maritime Provinces, is at least requal in numbers, and far superior in intelligencie and enlighteniment, to that of the United States when they asserted their independence ; and under the rule of the proposed Federal Government we may growin in strength and importance as rapidly as our republician ueighbors; for though in some respects they are more favorably situated thạn we are, thére are others, and important ones too, in which we hate greatly the advantage over theur-for instance, a far more advantageous line of communication from the Atlantic to the Pacitic can be established through our ruuutry than through thëirs; indeed so great is the superiority of our route, that they never could compete with us for the through eraftic froui Asia to Europe, which, within a fey years I trost, will pour in a continuous stream through British ter: ritury frum one ocean to the other:. Sir, in sapport of thesc views, I trust I may be permitted to read an extract from an interest 10: and instruciive pamphlet bs an hoonmember on my left (Mr. Mousis), in which he quotes from the words of a distinguished Auérican statesman as follows :-
The route through Britition America is in some respecis preverible to that through our own ter. rthry, By the former, the distance from Eurape to Asia is soue thoossand miles shartert thain- by the latter. Paasing close to Lako Saperior, travrsing the waterabeed which divides the streams thowing towards the Arctic sea, frum those which haye their exits gouthward, and crossing the Rocky Mountains at an eleration of sone three thousand feet less than at the zoouth past, the road could be here consiructed with comparative cheapness, and would open ap a region abunuad: tag sa valuable timber and other natural pro: duces, and admirably suited to the growth of graií and grazing. Haring its Atlantic semport ar. Halifax anditus Pacitic deport near Yancouver's Insland, it mould inevitably draw to it the commerce of 'Earcpe, Asia and' tho United States. Thus British America, frumi a mere colonial dependeney, would assume a controlling rank in the world. To ber ulber nations would bo cributary: and in vain mould the United States attemppt to hie her tival;, for we coald never dispute with her the possession of the. Asiatic commerce, nor the power which that commorce confers.

Sir, this is not the language of an enthusiast or a visionary, but the opinion of one perfecetly aciquainted with the subject, and eninently capable of discussing it-one, too, whose judgment was certainly not biased by national prejudice And again, Mr. Speakee, on a more recent oceasion we find the Premier of the United States, the Hon Mr. Seward, using the following language :--
Hitherio, in common with most of my countrymen, as I suppose, I have thought Canada-or to speak/more accirately. British Americs-to be ${ }^{\circ}$ mero strip, IVing north of the United States, easily detachable from the parent state, bat incapable of sustainin' itself, and therefore ulti-mately-nay, right bon-to be taken on by the Federal union, without materially changing or affecting its own it condition or develupment. I have dropt that orinion is a national conceit. I. see in British Jorth America, stretching as it does neross the continent from the shores of Labrador and Newfuyadtund to the Pacific, and iccupying al considerable belt of the temperate zons-traversed, equally with the United States, bo the lafes, and enjoging the magnificent shoreśs of the St. Lawrencee; with its thousandy of istands. In the river and gulf, a rergion grand enough for the:seat of a reat empite.
Mr. Speaker, the great consideration with me is how can we best preserve for ourselves and for our children the essence of British institutions; by what means can we best prolong the connection which now so happily exists between England and curselves, with mutual advantage; and with equal satisfactinn to both parties: and hoix can we best prepare. when the time comes, as in the natural course of events it most assuredly will, to assume the responsibility of a separate and independent: nationality? Sir, by uniting the seattered and now ininig. nifgeant British Provinces under one general government, we shall, in the first place. consolidate and strengthen British fee'ing and British influence on this continent. By the adoption, on the part of the proposed Federal Goverùment and Parliainent, of a bold: enlightened and prorressive policy, British America may be pushed forward in material wealth, in the nuxibern of her population and in general iwportance, to a point. which will qualify her to take her placo amon the nations of the earth, in a manner and with a standinis alike honorable to ourselves and oreditable to the great country under whose ylorivus tlay we have been *heltered, and by whose cesamplo we have been stimulated; while prosecuting that course of political stadies which must in
time qualify us to commence a national career of our own-as I would fain hope, under the sway of a constitutional monarch descended from the illastrions Sovereign who tion so worthily fills the British Throne. But, sir, some honorable meḿbers object to this union tron the apprehension that it will subject us to serious financial embarrassments. It the only effects of the union were to be the increased ostent of our territory, and the addition which the inhabitants of the other provinces wonld make to the number of our population, I should be inrined to admit the force of their reasoning; but surely no one canjanticipate that the Federal Parliament will be composed of men incapable of appreciating their responsibiiities, or without the capacity to deal with the important interests committed to their eharge. Mr. Speaker, no one thing has done so much to attract emigration to the United. States as the great public works that have been constantly going of in that cuantry for the last five and twenty years. We hear much said about the superiority of their climate and the other advautages which; it is alleged, they enjoy in a greater degree than we do; but I can assure the House "that those adrantages have been greatly overestimated, and that such considerations have bad bat little weight in the miads of enigrants, compared with the knowledge of the mure iaportant fact, that in that coviutry the demand for labor was always greater than the supply, and that the enigrant arriviary without a whilling in his pocket ueed be under no appreheasiun about the maintenauce of his lamily, knowing that he could always tind employment at rates of conpensation suffeciently liberal to enable him sà a few years not only to secure a bume of his own, but to surround himself "with comforts which would have beea; far beyoud his reach in his owi country Sir, the construction of the Intercolonial Railway will afford emplogment to thousands gf laburers, it will open up vast tracts for nettlement, and render accessible an extenave region abboundiag in mineral wealeh and other natural resourees of incalculable value. Then, Mr. Speaker, the aest great public work that should be undertaken is the usprovewent of the navigation of the Ottawa, so as to render that maguificent river the shortest, safest and most advantageous outlet to the decan for the producted of the fertile and boundless weat, with its rapidly increasing millions. Mr. Speafer,
the expenditure which it would be necessary to incur to reader the Ottawa navigable for seagoingships, great as it would be positively, would belnsignificant when compared with the extraordinary advantages which it would coofer upon the country by the thousadnds whom it would attract during the progress. of the work, in the first place; and, secondly, by the immense manufaturing power which it would place at our a disposal, thereby affordiag profitable emptuyment for a dense population, thruashout a line of some three hudred miles of country, the greater part of "which is now b it a comparative wilderness; for, considering the univalled water power which would thus be secured along the main line of communcation between the west and the comnieree of" Europe, it is not too much to expect-that that puwer would attract the atfention of ". then of capital and enterprise, aud that a suceession of mills and facturies of every conceivable description would soon grow up, aloge the whole line, which would afford cmployment for a numerous; industriwus and valuable. population. - And then, sir, there is that still more inportant and nasuificent project, the Athantic and Yactice Raniway: All the best authorities agree that a far better, whurter, and cheaper lime con be coustructed through British than through Coited States territery. Mr. Speaken; it would be inupossible "to overestimate the advantages which any cunatry must derive from beiag posyessed of a dine of communication destiaed to become the highway froin Europe to AsiaSir; the acquivition of this advantage alone would be sumaieqt to justify us it hilvocating this measure; but when'we retlect uput the almont boundess extent of tertile agricul. tural territory through which this line must pass, the qullious upon milliuns of human beingy which that territory is capable of supportioy-thea we bear io miad that by means of this anion we galli not only secure the coptrol of a larger portion'of the world than in now undar/ the sway of any power on earth, but that, by the adoption of: such a polley as l have suggested, our pospulation may be more than doubled withn ing years, and that though our liabilhtes. will thave inereasod, thuse liabilities will fall apor the shoulders of so greatly aug wented a popalation, that the burden to bo burae by each individual will be more likely to be deninish. ed than ivereased-when we remember, sir, that it will be in our power so to shape the
destinies of British America, that even the censuis of 1871 may show that sve possess a popratation of from eight to ten millions. - I must confess, Mr. Speaker, that I cannot understand how any hon. gentleman can stand up here, and labor to perpetuate our present insignificance, by interposing obstacles to the earrying out of the only wreally great or statesmanlike idea which has ever been brought under the consideration of a Canadian Parliament. Andnow, sir, though I have already trespassed too long upon the patience of lion., members, I must crave their indulgence a moment longer, while touching briefly upon the subject of defence. Mr. Splaken, without discussing the question of how much or how little we ought to contribute towards the defence of the Empire, in a war with any other nation than the United States, I assume that every true Canadian, whether of Freach or British origin, will be prepared to resist the invasiou of his native soil';, and if I am right in this, I take it, all we he to do is to iuform the home Government that we are determined -not to contribate so much io men, and so much in money, to the defence of Canada, but that wo are resolved-that every man and every farthing we can coutrol shall be sacrificed before we subinite to the power of our republian neightiors, and that all we ask of Eugland is to pursue a courie becou. iog the glory of het assient renowna. That she will do this, sir, wo have ao reason to doubt; but I regret to observe that Colovel Juavors, in his report upoia the subject of forifications, seems altogether to have ig. pored the Western Peninsula, for he makes no mention of any point west of Hamitou as eapable of being firtified, from which 1 infer he must have come to the o nelusion that in the eveat of war with the Unted States, it would be impossible for us to hold the country above the head of Lake Ontario. Sir, this may be the opiuiva of that gallant officer, and it may be correct ; but, as the representative of the must exposed portion of the western froutier, 1 am bound at least, to say that the people of that part of the country would be most nowilling to admit that they are less able now to hold their awn than their fathers were in 1512, Mr Sptaker, our chiet danger ties in the pos. gibility of reunion with the North and South, upon the basis of the Monroe dictrine; for unbapp, ly the course pursusd by Logland, so tar from conciliating either
party, has only engendered feelings of hostility in the minds of the people of buth sections; and for the belligerents to combine their united forces against a common enemy, and that enemy one whom they both hate as intensely; as they do England, would be. an event which could excite no surprise in the minds of any one acquainted rith the feeling which prevails among the masses of republican America. Sir, talking of fortifications and defence, no force we can bring into the field, no line of forts we can build, nor, indeed, any course that could be adopted, would so effectanlly protect us, so absolutely guarantee the inviolability of our soil as the recognition of the independence of the Southern States by Great Britain ; and when the proposed deputation from this Government reaches England, I trust they will feel it to be their duty strongly to urge the consideration of this fact upon Her Majesty's Governmicut; for with a powerful British fleet upon their coasis, a formidable, warlike and bitterly hostile pation bordering them upon the South, and some half million well armed and resolute Canadians in their froat, depend. upon it, Mr. Speaker, we need be under: no apprehension of war's atarms. And uow, sir, it only remains for me to thank hougiable members for the patient hearing they have accorded me, and to express a hope that the deputation to England will ntot swerve from the cou-se they have iuformeil us they intended to pursue, ia consequeace of anything that has transpired in any of the other provinces, but that they will impress upon the home Government the fact that fourfifthe" of the people of British America are represented by this Houye, which sustitius the scheme of aniou by an verwhelming majority; that they will urge the Iuperia! M! aistry to exert all the infuence they can coumand in a constitutional minner, to induce the people of the Lower Provinces to reconsider their recent course, and to arquiesce in the project agred upon by the Quebee Cjnfer: ence as the basis of an arrangement by which a balance of power may be established on this continent; the spread of republieanism checked, and our own inmediate prosperity and furcure influence insured to such an extent as to sècure for us a higher degree of consideration while wo retain a colonial position, and quality us hereafter to take our place among the family of nations, still animated by sentiments of revertne for the great people under whose fostering
care we have attained our majonity, and with whom, I trust, we shall always continae to maintain the closest alliazee. (Cheers.).

Mr. DUFRESNE (Montcalm) - Mr: Spraker, in rising at this moment to express my humble opinion on the merits of the resolations pow under discesssion by this House ${ }_{6}$ I do not intend to follow the formuia or preamble hitherto invariably adopted, by-saying that I approach the subjects with fear and trembling (Hear, hear, and laughter.) But though I do not approach the question with anxiety and hesitation, it is not that I feel myself more competent than others for the treatment of it; it is simply for the good reason that I rely upon the indulgence of this House. We"all know how difficult it is for a person who is not an habitual public speaker, or a member of the legal profession, to ex© : press himiself with facility before a distingaished and highly educated body of men such as I now have the honor to address. I look upon the resolations submitted to us as expressing the sentiments of the people, through their constitutional organ the Legislature. We aisk our Sovereign and the Imperial authorities to unite, by means of a Pederal union, all these Provinees of British Torth Ameriea. In examining this question, nat in order to express more clearly and lully my opinion of these resolutions; I may say "that"I accept theui for many: reasons, but chiefly as a means of obtaining the repeal of the present legislative union of Canada, and securing a peaceable settlement of our sextional differulties. I aceept them, in the second place, as a meains of obtaining. for Lower Canada the absolute and exclusire control of her own affairs. I necept them, thirdly, as a means of perpetuating PrepchCanadian nationality in this country I accept them; fourthly, as a more effectual means of cementing our connection with the Mother Country, and avoiding annexation to the United States I accept them, fifthly and lastly, as a means of adininisteriag the affiairs of the country with greater economy. Such are my reasons tor aceepting the Confederation scheme submitted to us by the Government. (Hear, hear.) I shall not undertake to discass the merits of all the resolutions, for the honorable gentiomen who have already spoken have ably and fully developed the merits of the whole question; and, besides, if I may dare say it without being thought ridiculous, I have undergone a heavy loss-I have in fact , been'plundered. The honoratle member
for Yadudreail (Mr. HARWOOD) is the offender - (laughter) -but I caunot complain much of this, for the theft has, turued to the advantage of the House. What he has stolen from me is the history of the Helvetio and Germanic Confederations; but inasmuch as he has set forth the facts in a far more able maner than I myself could have done it, and as the House has been a gainer thereby, I nust éideavor to pactise a proper degree of resignation under my awn heavy affiction. (Hear, heair.). I intended to haye said something on the Helretic and Germanic Confederations, but as I have been thus despoiled, and as the honorable member for Vaudreuil has treated the subject so powerfully, I shall. refrain from entering into the matter: And here again the House will be the gainer. (Laughter.) A's the question of Confederation itself has already been fully treated, with far more ability than niy own feeble powers would enable nie to bring to bear upou the disenssion, Jwill confine myself to answering certain statenients made by hongable niembers of the Liberal party par excellence, Contrary to the opinions of the Church, or rather of the Head of the Chareh, "who declares that the name Liberal cannot be allied with the doctrine of the Charch, we have seen the extreme Liberals coming forward in this House as the champions of the Chưrch and of its ministers. (Hear; hear, and laughter.) (The honorable member for Nichelieu gave us in pompous: termas a sketeh of the bendifts derived from the union of che Canadas. I must say that I listened to him with no lithe astonishment, for it was the first time I ever heard a demo-crat--a demagoguc-lauding the union and the public men whom the country has, since. the union, placed at the head of affairs: (Hear, hear.) He told us that we had had statesimen who succeeded in securigg a triunuph for the rights of Lower Cauada-ween who protected our intereses and caused us 80 ad. vance in the path of progress. 3 .We sec then in their worts," he saya-". see the progress the country has made under the union; luok at our primary echool syatom aud our university system; look at the establishment of our cecan line of steanema, beating our prodicte to Hirope, and returning to us ireighted with the wealth of every fonaign country ! See that magnificent work, tho Grand Trunk Railway, which is withont a parallel in the world See our splendid camals, the finest works of the kind if exitence.". Really, Mr. Sreaker, I am utherly
astounded at these laudations falling from the lips of the honorable member for Richelieu, and more especially at his praise of the Grand Trank Railway; and I feel certain that every honorable member Who heard his speech must have been delighted with that portion of it: (Hear, hear, and laughter.). And while it will probably be admitted that other portions of that speech might well have been omitted, it is sarely a good thing that the honorable member should have discovered at last that the statesmen of his country in his own day had done their daty. (Hear, hear.)

Mr. PERRAULT-Yes, bat they might have done better still.

Mr. DUFRESNE - The honorable member says that they might have done better still; but that was not what he said in his speceli, since he declared that they were men of the very highest order of merit, and deserved the greatest possible praise for the works and improvements they had carried out. Now this is indeed peonliarly gratifying to one in my position, after contending for years with the party of the thonorable member for Richelien, and oppasing them because thefy constantly struve to excite popular prejudice against all improvement and every great undertaking. I shall have oceasion to eshibit to the House the means resorted to by that party, in order to prejadice the people againgt every man wholabored in behalf of real proftess, and I shall endeavor to contrast the prejudices they appealed to ten years' ago with those they are naw striving to excite: (Hear hear.) The honorable member for Richeliequalso stated that since the union we had advanged the settlement of our townships, and that this is why he wishes us to remain as we are at prosent. He says the union has not completed its work. Ho is right, ouly it is unfortunate that he and his party should not have succeeded in making that discovery a few years ago; it is unfortanate that they should only make that dis. covery now, when thay themselves und the whole people are coavinced that a change in the Conititution is unavoidabls necessaryfor we Frenel-Canadians, a minority in the country canaot dictate to the majority. (Hear, hear.) I shall not endeavor to excite. popalar prejidices, as the honorable member tor Riehelien has done. I do not desire to be too revere with the hororable member, or to condemn him too strongly; for his mode of treating this question may be simply the result of some peculiarity of mental organiza. tion; I meroly wish to show that his views
as to the dangers of the future are not a whit more sound than the views upon which he must have acted during the past. He has exhansted the library of Parliament in order to show, in black and white, that the people of England are the greatest oppressors on the face of the earth-(hear, hear, and laughter) -in order to demonstrate a fact which is rot true, for he has cited to us nothing beyond the mere views of certain historians, whose opinions only go for what they are, worth. (Hear, hear.) It is not my purpose to undertake the defence of a people who have no need of me to defend them, nor to avenge the insults offered them by the honorable member; butt I must say that I repudiate all he hins said against the English people and against England; against the institutions and goverument of that country, and against her system of colonial administration. (Hear, hear.) What good can result from thus ransacking history in order to hold up a single page, the record of an evil deed ? What - was the condition of public manners among nations at the period of the events he has spoken of connected with. Acadia? Why bring up that matter now? What good can it do? "Does the honorable member desire to provoke the prejudices of a sensitive and powerful nation against us? Doés he "want to bring about the ruin" of this conintry? The honorable member, in his youth and inexperience, has rendered us a yery questionable, service. (Hear, hear.) He rakes up. an event which occurred one hundred years ago, and taunts a conquering nation with ber mode of dealing with the vanquished! Surely this is a strange way of serving his fellow-countrymen-of laboring to promote their welfare and interests! Am I not right in saying that the honorable mem: ber has displayed an utter want of tact and experience? I trust, for the honorable mem: ber's'own sake, that the charge of inexperience is the heaviest charge to which he may. be held amenable; for I cunnot think it posnible that ho was in any way actuated by malicious motives (Hear, hear.) . But, Mr. Spraker, the houorable member tells us that "t the union has not yet done its work." Is he not avare that the population of Upper Canada-that the British population vastly outnumber our own population in the pro vince? What then does he mean? Can it be that he really thinks because the union has not finished its work, that it ought to be preserved, and that we ought' to remain as we are? I cannot be convinced that he is so
completely devoid of information and jodgment as really to desire that we should remain as we are. (Hear, and laughter.) Does he not perceive that if the present uaion be continued; the Upper Canada members will unite together as one man, ip order to carry representation based upon population in the Legislature? Notwithstanding the facts we have witnessed during the past few years; notwithstanding that he is aware that three fourths of the Upper Camadian members were sént heire by their electors in order to sccurérepresentation based upon population, heriays the union has not done its wort, and we must remain as we arel No, $I$ cannot, 1 repeat, believe him to be sincere iu that. He knows that re cannot remian as weate. We are in favor of Confederation, not because we believe it to be the very best posisible remedy for our erils, but because we are convioced of the necessity of providing a ranedy for our sectional diffculties. The bouorabie menber for Richelieu may play the alarmist as loully as he likes: I can assure hiu that the vast majorits of his fellow-countrya eu are too intelligent to be de ceived. They know fall well that the minority cunnint ientrol the majority. The duts of the minority" is to better their position is far 'as possible, but they cannot pretend to dictate to the wajcrity--more especially when that majority in cemposed, if we are to believe the honorable néenter for Richelien, of men who delight" iu uppresing others. (Hear, hear.) The spech of the honorable member for Richelieu is the specech of a mere youth, and is devoid of weight and importance; but it is a. speech which would have been extremely injurious to the best interests of Lower Canadu, lad it emanated from a mian possessing a wider reputation or greater iniportance than that huorable genteman enjoge. (Hear, hear, and luughter:) He also stated that "t the cry of representation based upon population had been used in Upper Canada merely fur the parpose of securing the success of party leaders, of enabling them to get :into power:" But wé know that comminders are leaders of men; that commanders are to be dreaded when they have followers at their back; ;and the Cpper Canada Jeaders surely do not lack followers. Thi honorable member for Richelieu went on to say:-" But we arô in a good poition! The liberals passed the Separate school Bill!" I believe he was in the Honse when the Separate School Bill was passed; but if he was not preeent, he may be somerhat escusable forir that statement.
ask the honorable member how many liberals -how many supporters of the Governinent of the day voted for the Separate School Bill? If he did not know, when he:spoke, it would have been better for him to have lept silent. on that point, and not to have referred to the matter at all.
Mr. PERRAULT-It was the Macdon-atio-Dorion Government that pasied the measure.
Mr: DUFRESNE-No. It was not the Governmient that introduced the measure, and carried it in the Honse; it was an indepen. dent member of this Hoase-Mr. Scorr, of Ottawa-who introduced the bill. Thie Gorerninent of the day supported the measure, but ouly two of their Upper Canada supporters roted for $i$ it, and one of the two the honorable: member for South Wentroth (Mr. Rymil), did not do so until I had called upon bim to give his vote, and foced hin to record it: (Hear, hear.) These are the facto as they occuired, and they are proof positive that liberalisí is no better here than" "clserthere. Hear, hear.) The bonorable member for Richelieu loodly pecuses the pajority of servility and venality. There was a time when he spoke ị a different tone, when be himself formed part of the majority, and when he availed himself of that position to make a little trip to the Saguenay at the expense of the Government, and to write a little romance afterwards. (Hear, hear, and laughter.) For my part, Mr. Splaker: as one of the majority at present, I have jet to learn when and in what I bave been servile towarus my friends in the Government'; nor am I aware how or when the majority have erinced renality, as the honorable menter asserts. (Hear, hear.) The honorable mew ber for Richeliou has himself exporienced the wiode in which a majority evineced venolity;, and the lesson has evidently not been kat upon him. (Hear, hear.) The honorable member says-"We hare a magnificent public domiain in Lower Canada; we have aut iu. mense quantity of land, whilo Upper Canada has nope left; wo can establish magnifieeni setulements, and increase our population: Let us remain as we are under the union." Now, for wiy part; I assert that for that very reasou we auglt to accept Confederation in order that we may get the complete control of that noble domain, imstend of holding if only in common with Upper Canada. He gives us a grand outline of all we could do with that splendid domain, and then says he does not
care to have possession of it Well, for my part I do desire to have possession of it. The henorable member also said that we are to have direct taxation under Confederations, and that the looal governments are to be mere municipal councils. I shall refer presently to the question of direct tases ; but I must say that municipalities having at their disposal millions of acres of land, will be something new in the way of " mere municipalities.". I rather think the honorable member does not quite do djustice to the importance of the functions of the local govermentis. (Hear, hear.) The honorable member compares the local governments to municipalities. Now, I Gind that the Local Government of. Lower Canada will have a tolerably wide ranye of matiers to deal rith; for besides the public lands, it is also to have control of the follow-ing:-

Direct taxation, and in New Branswick the im: position of duties on the export of timber, logs, masts, spars, duals añd sawn lamber; and'in Nova Scotia; of ceala and oiher minerals.
I call the attention of honorable members of this Honse to these provisions, and I will say a few words with reference to each provision in its tarn. If some do not understand their importance, others will." Direct taxation."I Kinow that even your altra demiocrat will ory jut-"Bat, for my part, I prefer having the right to tax myself to leaving the porver in the hands of others, for I never will use the right, and others might perhaps caforce it. I quivte again :-
Borrowiag money on the credit of the province. The estabishmentand tenure of local offices, and the appoiutmeat and paymeat of local officers.

## Agricultare.

## Inmigration.

Edacation; saving the rights and privileges whith the Protertiant or Catholic minority ia bosh Canadas may postose as to their denominational schools, at that time when the union goes into operation.
As to cducation, the honorable member for Hichelien has eulogised onrysystem of cducation; bat do those honorable members who cry out so loudly against Confederation take a very deep interest in the education of our youth? Are they really anxious that that education should be in accordance with our principles, and the principlea they themselves Chave advoeated since choy have constituted themselves the defenders of the altar and the throne?: (Laughter.). We, are to have the control of the public laws and of edacation,
and yet are to be a merè municipality! Emigration and colonization are mere trifles-the functions of a mere municipality! (Laughter.)' Be it so, but hereafter we shall be very glad to enjoy all this :-

The sale and management of public lands, ex cepting lands belonging to the General Goveriment.
Sea coast and inland fisheries.
The eatablishment, maintenance and management of penitentiaries, and of pablic and reformatory prisons.
The establishment, maintenance and management of hospitals, asylums, charities and eleemosynary institutions.
Munieipal institutions.
Shop, saloon, tavera, auctioneer and othèr. ${ }^{\text {骨 }}$ censes.

Local works.
The incorporation of private or local companies, except such as relate to matters assigned to the General Parliament.

Property and civil rights, excepting those portions thereol assigned to the General Parliament.
Inflicting punishment by line, peallies, im prisonment or otherwise, for the breach of laws pased in relation to any subject within their jurisdiction.

The administration of justice, including the colatitution, maintenance and organization of the courts, both of civil and criminal jurisdiction, and including also the procedure in civil matters.
And generatly all matters of a private or local. nature, not assigned to the General Parliament.
Now, I call the attention of hon. members of this House to the powers here granted to the local governments, and which would consequently be granted to di 'in "Lower Canada. When we opposed representation based upon population, was it because we feared that the majority would pasis a tariff weighing nnequally on the two rections of the province? Was it because we feared they would erect no more light-houses in the Gulf or elsewhere: Was it because we feared that Upper Canada,by means of its majority, would establish a greator number of post-0ffices, or increase the rates of postage ó letters? No, Mr. Speaker, it was not for any of these reasons; but it was because we properly and rightly feared that when Upper Canada obtained a larger nu:nber of representatives in the Legislature than Lower Canada, they would invade our rights and endanger all that we hold most dear. That is what wa feared. (Hear, hear.) And at the very moment when the Government presents a measure seguring the safety of all our rights and institutions, with guarantees for the minority, honorable members deelare that the union must be maintained, even vith
representation based upon population. No, they are not sincere in this; it is a mere subterfuge on their part, for they cannot propose anything to the country in place of the Goverment project. (Hear, hear.) The Opposition attempt to shew that a Federal union and a Legislative union are the same thing; but the whole world knows that the two kinds. of union are not in any way alike., In a. Federal union the Legislature cannot go beyond the rights and powers assigned to it , whereas in a Legislative union it is rested with all power-it is sovereign. And is it to be supposed that under a legislative union, with representation based upon population; the majority would refrain from encroaching on our rights, our institutions, and all that we value as important for our well being?

Me. PERRAULT-Hear, hear.
Mr. DUFRESNE-The hon. member distinctly sees the mote in his neighbor's eye, but he cannot in any way discover the beam in his own! He forgets that he wearied this House for five or six hours, reading passages from history calculated to excite prejudice against a nation which is in a majority both here and elsewhere. I can only account for his having forgotten his own speech so soon, by taking it for granted that the honorable member did not himself make the research required in order to swell it up to its rast dimensions, for it was nothing but a mass of scraps with which he wearied the Mouse during five long hours: (Hear, hear, and laughter:) I do not wish to be severe; bat I trust the hon. member will pay attertion to the reurarks I now desire to make?, He asserted, on the floor of this Honse, that the liberals 'had struggled to obtain responsible government: If he said that of the men wiso really did do sojit would be all very well; but it he asserts it of those who form his own party, he is greatly in error ; for we all know that that party has always protested ngaiust the union and against respousible gevern ment. (Hear, hear.) That party declared, at elections and elsemhere; on every occasion, that responsible governuent was a deception and asmare-an insult cast in our teeth hy England. (Hear, hear.) That has been the ery of his political party ever since we obtanied responsible goverument. Haw, then; can he have the hardihood to assert that We owe it to them? (Hear, hear.) The hon. member for Richetien also suid that the olergy vere wrong in 1837, and that they are wrong. now in supporting the Government.

Mr. PERRAOLT-I did not say that.

MR DUFRESNE-I made a note of itat the time, as I did of his remark, that "even in the episcopacy there were men of talent." (Hear, hear, and laughter.). He thought that "the bishops themselves might possess talent.":

Mr. PERRAULT-No; no.
Mi DUFRESNE-Let the honorable member retract his words, and I shall be quite satisfied.

Mr. PERRAULT-You have completely distorted the meaning of what I said.

MR. DUFRESNE-The honorable member stated that the clergy were wrong in 1837; that they are wrong now; and that there were men of talent even among the bishops.

Mr. PERRAULT-Will the hoorable gentleman allow me to say a word in cxplanation, and in order to set him right?

Mr. DUFRESNE-With pleasure. I do not wish to talke adrantage of the honorable member's blunder, and his words certsinly require explanation:

Mr. PERRAULT-I Mé often' heaid rords spoten in this House misquoted, but I. most say I have never heard that species of tactics carried to such excess as it has been in this instance, with regard to myself, by the honorable mémber for Montcalu: (IIear; hear, from the Opposition.) What I said with reference to the episcopacy and the men of talent who adorn it, was this-I stated that with our present system of public ini struction in our rural districts, every child is enabled to peecive such an education as will fit him to aspire to the higheat position in the country, and to the highest rank in social life. I Ihen added, in proof of my assertion; that we now see in the highest rauks in socicily men belonging to hamble country families, whose parents possersed neither the fortune nor the influence plecessary to push their children forward, and that they had sueeveded only through their own talents; their industry, and the adrantages afforded by our gystem of cducation. I also maid in proof of my assortion, that the children of the rural population had attained seata on the judicial and ministerial benoless, and oyen among the episeopacy. Now, any one who understands the obvious maaniug of vords will admit that it is impossible to interpret that sentence as an oxpression of amtunishment that there should be men of talent in the epirn copacy, as the honorable member makes a pretence of doing $O n$ the contrary, by reserving the most forcible expression to the
last, when I said that even in the ranks of the episcopacy are to be found the sons of farmers who advanced themselves by their own talents, I wished to shew that even the episcopal chair, the first and most elevated position in our country, wis within the reach of our men of talent, thanks to our system of education, which enables all to compete for the highest dignities. And I defy any one capable of understanding the sense and use of words, to deduce any other meaning from my remarks, unless it be done with the set parpose of foisting upon me words I never used. (Hear, hear:)

Mr. DUFRESNE-I liave allowed the honorable member for Richelien to explain What he said, or wished to say, but he had no right to conclude with an unjust insinuation. However, I am not greatly surprised, for I ain aysure that it is the habit of his party, and that those honorable gentlemen never lose an opportunity of insulting those who differ from them in their opinions. (Hear, hear.) A few days ago, when I begged leave to interrupt the honorable member for Richelieu, he consented courteously, and in replying to my renark-which was not of an insulting nature -he told me that he was not like me, for my speeches and my works wiere as yet things of the future. It was quite true, though it is not alrajs well to speak the whole truth, nor, in fact, to hear it. (Laughter.) But 1 l inust tell him that in uy hamble position, not being fally informed of all that takes place in tho world, I have neither the means nar the leisure to bring forth works of such vast iuportance as those of the honorable nember. I content wyself with coming here to discharge my duty towarder my constituents, and I do it miyselt. I do not employ an offi. cial in making researches in tho library io caible ne to make long speeches. (Hear, licar, and laughter.) I do not require a paid emplaye of the Government to prepare my speeches; and, moreover, I have not as yet found weaus to live as the paxpeuse of the Goverament.: And if my speeches and works are as yet things of the future, I am not; at all events, in the habit of supportiag myself, like the honorable neaber for Richeligu, by drawing upon the publio chest, with or without any just clain or right. (Hear; hear.) I have nor dove with the honorable membor for Richelieu. Ihave a word to say to the honorable member for the county of Bagot. Though his specch was not an excessively brilliant one, yct he did not weary the House bike the honorable member for Richeliei. He
told us that we did not represent the sentiments of our electors, but that there was no danger of our voting for an appeal to the people on the question of Confederation, because the people are so strongly opposed to the project that the Government dare not submit it for their approval. He was not the first to make the assertion, and I shall refer to the point presently: He then told the Government "that it never was their intention to have the question of Confederation seriously discussed; and that they did not desire a discussion of their secheme. But how did the honorable member expect to be believed? Was not the Government plan laid before the House at the commencement of the seesionseven weeks ago? Have not the Government and their fricnds done eyerything in their power to promote the discussion of the question, while honorable gentlemen opposite were unvilling to do so, and constantly strove to pruvent its discussion? What was their motive in so acting? The honorable member for Bagot was, therefore, wrong in stating that the Government did not desire a discus. sion; and that they stifled discussion; for it is perfectly clear that the Opposition did not desire it, and persistently refused it. (Hear, hear.) The honorable member for Bagot is not in his seat; but when he returns I shall have a few words to say in reply to certain points in his speech. The honorable member, for Drummond and Arthabaska' (Mri. J.B.E. Dcaton) also told us that the movement throughout the country is so strong that it cannot be resisted; that the people are discontented, and that the consequences of that discontent will be highly disastrous.' He spoke of the vastinumber of petitions presented to the House against Confederation, in order to shew that the people are opposed to it. Well, if all the honorable members of this House who seat patitions to their counties for siguature have followed the same course as the honorable member himself, it is not surprising that they should be numerously signed, for we all rumember the honorable member's letter, which was read in this House a few days ago by the Houorable Attorney General for Lower Canada (Hion. Mr. Cantiwa). There can be, no-two opinions as to the character of that dociment. (Hear, hear, and laughter.) The Honse will bear in mind that he wrote to the wardens of his county, directing them to get the petitions he forwarded signed by the men, the women, and the children! (Laughter.) And when his letter was read in this House; instead of blushing with shame and confusion,
the honorable member said he gloried in having written it" "It was an energetic step," said he," "and I' am not ashamed of it." (Laughter.) I do not desire to make"any insulting remarks, nor to indulge in painful comparisons; but it must be remembered that it is not the hardened criminal that blushes for his evil deeds; the rogue that blushes may still mend his ways;; but those who have lost the power of blushing are in final impenitence. (Laughter.) The honorable member told us of the astonishing proyress of the United States, in spite of the war and the enormous expenses it has entailed; and he told us that in five years from the present time New York will have paid off its debt; then why not unite ourselves with the State of New. York?. He did not say call that, but nearly all; it is the natural conclusion to be drawn from his speech. He tells us that the people are discontented, and that they will rise up in rebellion if we force Confederation on theen. But what means does he employ in order to excite the prejudices of the people? We may judge of the means he resosts to in this instance by those he employed in former days to prifudice the people against à measure farorable to their own interests, but ajjust in some of its provisions, involving the spoliation of a particular class in stociety - I spenk of the abolition of the Scipniorial Tuaure Were it not for the abolition of the Seigniorial Tenure, the seigniors would now be extremely wealehy. The effect, then, of that law was to despoil the seigniors for the benefit of the peoplewhom the honorable member for Drummond and Arthabaska pretends to represent. But, Mr. Speaker, how did the honorable member act at the time? How did he atempt to deceive the people, and excite prefudics aggainst that measure" I have endeavored to find the pamphlet : written by the houorable member at the time, but it is not to be. found in the library of Parliament-it has disappeared. However, the demoeratic journals of that period are still fortheoning, and iss they pablished a portion of the honorable member's pamphlet, 1 will read a few passages, in order to shew what a pot pourri it was. The means then usiod suceeeded so well with the people, that an attempt will probably be made to resort to similar expedients now against Confederation. The people, convinced of the truth of what the hon. nembere wrote against the seigniors and against the Government, were incensed azanst the "traitors," and in the county of Lotbinitre they
prevented the commissioners charged with the preparation of the schedules from proceeding with their duties daring a certain period. It is well to bear in mind the existence of these docunients, now that our adversaries are loading us with abase; and it is. time the people should know who are their friends and who are the "traitors." (Hear, hear.)

Ma. J. B. E. DORION-Yón will awaken the House!

Mr. DUFRESNE-I trust I may be pardoned if I hare spoken too strongly, but I feel so strongly on these maters that 1 inust reply to the statements made. (Hear, hear.) Well, here is the treatment awarded at the time to the men who introduced the measure for the ubolition of the Seigniorial Tenure-a measure exclasively in the interist of the people:-
Selgyjaiai Tenere.-Pay, wastchad prioque! Par! The people will learn properly topappreciate the tendency of our political nustitutions only by the evil effects that must result from them, and the day will come when the disesse will work its own cure.
This is a dark day, but the bour is coming whet light shall zucceed to darkness.
Such were the "rritings then distributed amongst the people.

ME. J. B. E. DORION Go on.
Mr DLFRESNE - Of course, I do not expect to see the honorable meinber exhibit any sense of shame; he has got beyond that. He wouldfind it as diffcult to blush ass it would be for a a agro to turn pale. (Laughter.) I quote again:-
To tile Casadan Prople.- Peaple! I am one of your sons, Jas Baprister, I am one of your brothers. When a brother duey you a wroun', 1 feel that wrons; when you pay; I pay ; when you are struck. I feel he blow; whea you ase brought low, I foel myself abased; when you auffer, I sulfer; when you mban, I moan; when you weep, I weep. [flaughtior.] When ainthing good be tides you, I rejoice at it; when you prosper, I am happy; when you laugh, I laugh; when you sing; I sing. [Laughter.]

Prople! Here I am; look at me from head to foot. - A stimple rustic, living in the midst of you: I deaire to rouder you a survice. I ast bat one favor-that you will read the following pages. I thek no reward, for if I can onty maks you unde:. stand your position and fuduce you to claian the restoration of your violated rights, to bless what is good and carse what in evil, 1 shall deem my self fally rewarded.' [Prolonged eheers and laughter.]
${ }^{4}$ Yes, take thic cup and drink the poison to the very dregs,". were the words of a democrat
and demagogue. (Hear, hear, and laughter.)
In these evil days, when political prostitation holds the place of civic virtue, when feableness and sluggishness hold the place of courage and action, when a flood of demoralization rashes forth froy the very fountaii head of power-put on the armor of patience; be of good heart, be vigilant and doubly vigilant, so thait you may escape far soorse evils. Your son,

Le Fieire de Jean Baptiste.
Ma. J. B. E. DORION-That is as true now as it was ten years ago. (Hear, hear, from the Opposition:)

Mr. DUFRESNE-I shall not read the whole of it, for it is too long ; but 1 will read another short extract:-
Pay' for gour most sacred rights are of no weight against the privileges, extortions and brigandaje of which you have so long been mude tie roctims by the seigniors. Payf for yigar is Rigar, and juistice ceased to prevail in Parliament on the 15th December, 1454.
Then we shall have the rehearsal of the leigna farce which is to pe played, with a view of convincing Jean Baptiste that he is to get justice done him. 'The fourteen high judges of Lower Canada mill form a special court to decide question's in dispute between the seignior and the cen. situire. If they du not agree, an appeal may be had to England: Th dissent of a situtie judge will suifice to cause the matter so be referred to Eogland. Is not this also an admirable arrangecueat, more especially when it is borne in mind that the judgea, who are, in some cisses themselves seigniors, may act as judges in their owi cause? What a mockery!
The whole pamphlet is in the same style.: I do not desire to occupy the House any longer with it, for I have quoted enough to show hew the demagogues acted ton years ago with reference to a molasure of suoh importane to the country. : When the Government presented a measure for the despoiling of the seigniors, and voted an enormous sum for she redemption of the Seigniorial dues, that was the incendiary and dishonest language in which the peuple were addressed. And it is by the use of similar language that an atterapt is pow juado to excite popular projusico agaiast the Government, when they present a measuri giving to Lower Canada the fall sand complete control of her insititutions, of her publio lands and of edueation. (Hear, hêar.) It is by means of similar incendiary pamphlets that the attempt is now made to exaite the feelinge of the people againgt those who are working in belialf of the interests of their fellow-eomatrymen. (Hear, hetar.)

Ma. J. B. E. DORION-Will the honorable member for Montealm allow me to say a few words? I merely desire to state that I am not ashamed of what I wrote at that time, and that so defective was his great Seigniorial law when I wrote that document, that it took five years to amend it into anything like proper shape.

Mr. DUFRESNE-It is true, nevertheless, that the first law took the burthen of the Selgaiorial Tenure off the shoulders of the censitcires, and from that moment the seigniors were despoiled of their rights for the be of the censititires. I admit that the bill was defective; and in fact I voted against the Aet of 1854 ; but 1 did not aet like the honorable member, and niy only object was to compel the Goverament to do better. The honorable member may say what he likes-I maintain that the demagogues did everything in their power to ruin us, in connection with that question', and they are doing the very same thing now as regards Confederation. (Hear, hear.) : We Erench-Canadians form to-day but one third of the population, and despite the progress we have made nader the union, any mian of sense who reflects on the position we now occupy, must admit that we ought to be delighted to accept the scheme of Confederation, since it will give us the control of our system of eduoation, our institutions, and all the interests of Lower Canada. (Hear, hear.) I have made a note with referevee to the speech of one of my friends in this House - the hon member for Beauce (Mr. Tásocieneav). I was really surprised to hear him express hiniself as he did with reference to this question of Confederation. I am quite sure he was sineere; but I must say I think he might have expressed his own opinions and refrained from adopting the false arguments. in vogue on the other side of this House. (Hear, hear:) I feel that with a friend one puast not be severe. Between the honorable members for Druinmond and Arthabaska and Richeliea, and myself, there need be no such reticence of expression; but with the hon, member for Beauce it is quite a different matcer. He told us that Confederation would give the death-blow to our nationality; but how can he possibly think so ? I ean easily understand such arguments being used by honorable geutlemen opposite, because they are in the habit of distorting facts; but I am pained to see the honorable memiber for Beauce resort to such tactics, tor I am convinsed that the legislative soparation about to take place under Confederation, cannot fail
to have the effect of restoring. French-Canadian nationality to the position it occupied previous to the union, coupled, moreover, with all the improvements since effected. (Hear, hear.) I do not desire to occury the time of the House ady louger ; but as 1 have till a brief extract or two to readn I trust I may be permitted to say an few words more. (Uries of "Goon," "go on,") The honorable uembers opposite reverence ay their special apostles and patrons, Locis Blave. Considerant, Blaneut, de. se. Now, as to Beanqui, I shall quote has owu words to shew what his principled, are His sentiments are not very edifying, but it is becessary to read then m order that we may be enabled to jüdee of the disciples frum the teaching of their patisters.' I quote:-
The people planted the red flay on the barrieades "d lists). Let no whe aeek to sewut it down. It was red solely wath the generous blowd shed ty the pe ple and by the nativnal puards ; is thoasis wide spread oner Paris; ft must be uphuld. The victurivis peop will not remove their fag.
1 shat not quote anything from Locts: Blave, who is, well knowa to the Democrats; the fullowing pasuage is from Consionant :-

Duty, says this stugular abostie, comes trom mem, and aftraction" coines" trow God. Now, athatiun is the free teaderay y our passions. Ever attraction is a thitio matural legtimate,
 oney attra tions is true wisdum, for the passions are liph a it xed cumpass" which (iud has placed within. us.
A free run then to your passions! The iuspulse comes frön (iod! (Laughter.) Such are the duetrines of the demoerats, the great leaders of our demagogues. I now quote Fothrer :-

All the passouns of our nature are holy. and. geod : they are the the notes in music, eachi one has its special value.
Thie passions, then, are to be man's guides Good, or bad, it is all one. (Laughter.) These are the principles of the men who have taken religion under their protection. . Laughter.) I would beg of them niut to degrade. the secred name of religion', by using it as a pollitical eagine; not to dras the ministers of the gospet through the mire. The other days your cry was,"" Lete them remain in the vestry $;^{\prime \prime}$. Why, then, do guu drag them forth? They know our opinions, and thay do not need you to defand or protect them. (Hocar, hear.) I say, moreover, to the honorable membera opposite-ahow yourselves French-

Canaulians in carnest, and as your country requires your assistance and that of all its children to rescue it from its difficulties, give a helping hand to those who are working in the good cause. The ship is in danger; join hand in hand with the parcy which desires to savo our nationality and our institntions; unite with us for the safety of our language; our laws, and all that we hold dear. I am aware that a famous demagogue, next to VoLtalre, the chief promoter of the French Revolution, used these words at a public meeting:-

When the last of the draccui was expiring, be cast a thandful of duast towauds heayen, and from that dust was botn Mariss-Maries who' earned his greatuess lesis by defeating the Cimbri, than by driving the aristocracy out of home.
Thut tras the language of a great demagogue, a great orator, a great citizen-of a man who uight have been great in cvery way, but who brought his country to a sad position. Atteupts have often bien made to blacken the reputation of the Hon. Attorney General for Lower Canada, and to depréciate the fruits uf his labory; for my part' I cannot entertain a doubt but that posterity will get say that the Hon.- Autorney General for Lower Canada was great by his morts, great by the codification of the laws, great by the abolition of the Seigniorial Tenure, and great, above all," in that he overcame and roited the demagogues. (Cheens)

Ma. J. B: EORION-Ohi
Ma DUFRESNE--Is I now zee the honorable memiber tor Bagot in his place, I dosire to make a fev reunarke in English, with reference to his speech. ". [Mr. Buvresse having tithierto spoken in Wrenchi] The hoviorable metinber for Bagot stated to us in this House : -
You are robbing Lower Canada of $\$ 300,000$, and lor what? To give it to Upper Canada. Upper Canada will rote almost unaninously fir this achemof Coniederation, because you zob Lower Canaida of this amount for its benefit. And how so? Eecause there are ouly $\$ 100,000$ due for public lands in Lawer Canadia, whillo there are $\$ 300,000$ due in Upper Canada; and yua in Lhwar Caniada will recoive only 100,000 , while yougive to Upper Cauada 8500,000 . You ane thus conmitting a spoliation of Liower Canads ror che benefit of Upper Canada.
The propesition of the honorable member for Bagot is then, if I understand it aright-and I took down his language at he time-to
take from Upper Canada one-half of the dues on public lands and apply it for the benefit of the Local Government of Lower Canada. (Hear, hear.)

Mr. J. B. E. DORION-He never used such language.

Ma. WEBB said-Mr, Speaker, in the consideration of the scheme presented by the Government for the Confederation of the Prorinces of British North America, I must' say that I find a great deal of difficulty in dealing with it. It appears to me that before asking for a vote, the Government should have com: down to the House with a more full and explicit statement of the ueasure in its entirety, so that honorable nembers might be able, to arrive at a reasonable and just conclusion as to t e merits of the case. (Hear, hear.) And I think, sir, that taking into consideration the position in which the greater part of the population are placed who live in the seetion of country which other honorable gentlemen as well as myself have the honor of representing in this Honse, this lide of argument is of much greater force coming from us than if it had been advanced by the people of any other pautt of the proposed Confederation. "We all know that if this soheme is adopted, the Eaglistispeaking part of the population of Lover Canada will be in a very small minority in the Local Legislature; "we all know that those who first opened ap and settled the country which I allude to spoke the Koplish language, and that the great inajority of the people now living there are Eaglish-speaking Protestants; and, therefore, when their represeatatives are called apon to vote for a measure of thiskind, which so deeply and intimstely affects, their future position and prosperity, I believe that all the details of it, all parts of it, should be fully and clearly placed before themi, in order that they may know exactly in what position they stand with regard, and how it is to affect the interests thoy represent. (Hear, hear:) The honorable gentiemen on the Treasury benches, in introducing this soheme and anking our assent to it, have thought proper to take a different course; they, merely/bring down the resolutions which consent to Confederation, reserving the all-important details for future consideration:. It may be the right course, but I doubt it very much. (Hear, hear.) Although the Goverament has uot given all the information which I would desire, I do not, however, think that the people of the section of which I am one of the representatives would bo justified in opposing a soheme that may prove beneficial geaerally,
merely because some of their interests may possibly be affected by it. I ' shall, therefore, vote far the resolutions in your hands, reserving to myself the right of voting for or againet the details of the scheme for the local constitution as in my judgment may seem advisable. (Llear, hear.). I consider that by voting for this measure I do not pledge myself to anything more than the general principle of a nolon of the Provinces of British North America. I admit, sir, that last summer the political affairs of this country were in a state of extrene difficulty, and I admit, too, that it was necessary something should be done to get rid of that difficultys. I would have thought, however; that the Conference which met here in October last, to consider a subject that has been before the people of this country since 1538 , would have proposed, for the cansideration of the respective legislatures, a legislative union of the British North Aumericin Provinces' It appears to me that $\%$ legislative union would be far more effective in biudiag the provinces together, and far more coonomical than the Federal union proposed. (Hear, hear.) I admit, however, that there may be very great difficulties in : bring ing/about a legislative union, that may ${ }_{0}$ not be ini the way of a Federal union; and under all the circumstances of the case; the scheme proposed way: have becu the best that could have been devised," The grentest objection I now haye to it is that rany of the people do not understand-that its details are uot yet: fully eomprehended by the country. I believe that if hon geinlemen had come down with the scheune in its eatirety-presenting all ite details, apd the results expected to flow from them-that there would be far less opposition to it than there is sa the country and in this House: (Hear, hear.) But it is now, they call upon the representatives of the people to give their consent to a measure that neither they nor the people thoroughly understand. These objections have been made to the scheme, and in my opinion they havegreat woight, more particularly in the part of the country which I have the honor to represent.' It is "not to be wondered at that the Englishspeaking part: of the population of Lower Cauda riew it with apprehension, or rather have fears in their muds as to the working of it; when gentlemen like the honorable member tor Peterborough, who are far removed from any of the dificulties that surround our position, have entertained the same feeling of apprehension. They have thought pruper to express doubts and fears as to the
result, and it cannot,"therefore, be surprising that we should have our doubts and apprehensions about it." (Hear, hear.) I take it that the Protestants of Lower Canada have no cause of counplaint" against our FrenchCanadian neighbors. We have lived together since the union on good terms; and all our intercourse has been founded on" equity" and justice. (Hear, hear.) But there is a feeling amongst our community that they should be removed beyoud the possibility of danger from any aygression by the FrenchCanadian population, and it is difficult to satisfy them that the scheme before the House and country will perinit them to indulge in that feeling of security. (Hear, hear.) It is not aecessary for we, sir, to cuter into any leangthy remarks upon this subject' nor to follow those honorable genticimen who have gone into the natter thoroughly." I have no doubt that if a union of all the British North American Provinces can be brought about on terms that shall be just and equitable to all sections and interests, it . would be very advantageous to all of them. (Hear, hear.)", Ishan not, sir, detain the House any longer, but ghall conclude by expressing my sincere hope that when we are again called upon to legiglate upon this sabject, we shall tind that the details of this important change of our Constitutión will be tounded on justice aud equity to all, and that we shall abo lind that honorable gentlemen who hare now in a great mesasure the future destinies of Canada on their hands, may ko found equal to their tiask, and that Canada, in connection with the other provinees, may become the lard tit in etery respect for the home of the free. (Cheery)

HoN Atty Gen CARTIER-I have list. ened. Mr. Speakers, with great interest to the observations of the honorable menber for Richuiond and Wolfe, who has juse sat down. There is not the least doubt that the houorable gentleman represents a constituenoy and population, the najority of which is Protes tant in its relighuas belief; and we know very well that great effortis have been made by those opposed to this schene to ureate apprehensiou and distrust in the minds of the Protest: tant minority in Lower Cauda in regard to it. Buit I now reiterate what I have already stated to this House, as a Catholic and as a member of the Gagadian Governuent, that when the measure for the setileanent of the Local Governmeat of Lower Canada comes before this Hunse for diseassion, it will be such as to satisty the Protestant minority in Lover Canada. (Cheers.)

Mr. RYMAL said-Mr.SpeAKER, relying upou the pledge given by the Hon. Attoraey General: West, that the members of this House wuald have a fair opportunity of expressing their views upon all the details of this measure, I had proposed reserving what little 1 had to say till such time as amendments embodying my views were before the House. But the pledge which I expected would be carried ont in good faith has been violated by that hon. gentleman, and I am compelled now to raise my voice, and in my weak way; to assert what I would much rather have recorded by my vote. You are well aware, sir, and every member of the House is aware, of the circumstances that called into existenee the present Goverament, and the avowed object for which it was formed; and all they asked; so far as I ani aware, was that a certain dogree of forbearance should be shown to them, in order that they twight form à schetue that would remore the difficulties existing between Upper and Lioper Canada, and, perhapis, tend to bring about a union of all tho pro. vinces, As I understood the poliey of the Government, the Federation of the Canadas was the first object aimed at, arranging it in such a manner as to allow the Lower Yruvinces to come in when they desired to do. soi. Mr. Speaken, that has by some been. denied; but reading the memorandua drawn up and read by the Government at the time explanatious were given to this Hoase, and: understanding as I do the purport of it, I think there is no loop-tiole of escape from the obligation the Goverument were under to carry out the Federation of the Canadas first, leaving it to the other provinces to come in afterryards if they saw til... (hear, hear. ) I bring, then, two charges against the divernwent-one againgt the Hơn. Artorney Gearral West, and the other against those hon. friends: in the Gorernmene with whem 1 have so long actod. "The first is, that the Hon. Attorney General We ent broke faith with the Howse in proventing amendmeats being moved; aud the second is, that the Reform members of the Guverament broke faith in not bringing dowa a measure for the Federation of the Canadas. (Hear, hear.) I had hoped, sir, that the infusion of some pare blood into the Govern-ment-the addition of two or chiree men who had denounced all sorts of wiokediness and corruption so loudly as the hon. gentlemen who vent into the Government last eam-mer-would at least havo brought abont nome
improvement in the other members of the Administration- (laughter)-and although I have been deceired and disappointed in ny expectations, had the scheme propounded to this House been such as to commend itself to my judgment, and convince me it would remove the sectional difficulties long complained of, it would have received my approval. I had hoped, too, and fully believed, that when it came to be pronounced upon by the Legislature, it would, before final adoption, be submitted to the people for their approval (Hear, hear.) That this was the opinion of a large majority of the people of Upper Canada, in November and December last, is, I think, beyond doubt. The local papers: in all sections of Upper Canada asserted that the Government could not take upon itself the fearful responsibility of forcing such a measure upon the people, without asking whether they consented to it or not. Allow me, sir, to read an extract from one that has accidentally fallen into my hands, in order to show the feeling of the people of Upper Canada upon this point. I am not in the habit of addressing the House very often, and when I speak I fear I do not aequit myself very oreditably; and feeling on this occasion an unusual sense of responsibility, I am afraid I shall be worse than usual, which at all times is very indifferent. But I am ind pelled by a sense of daty to give my views upon the subject, and the House, I am sure, will overlook any shortcomings that I may exhibit. (Hear, hear.) The extract to which I have alluded reads as follows :-
Whatever mode may be tinally chosen to bring the matter before the public, we feel certain that the people of this province, and of either of the Maritime Provinces, will tolerate no proceeding on the part of any one that has a texdency to despatisch. The Canadians have battled for a long saries of yeais for the liberties now enjoyed by them, and we greatly, mistake if they allow the present or any other Government to make such oweoping alterations in the Constitution of the country without consulting them. The members of the pespective goveruments were not appainted yo frame a new Constitution; neither were the members of the various legislatures chosen for that purpose.
Mr. Speaker, I feel that in my own case in its fullest force. (Hear, bear.)
The question, as we have already said, was not even hinted at duriag the last election.--
I never, sir, heard it meoted. (Hear; hear.)

Nor was the vaice of public opinion in its favor so atrong, that it was forced upon the Government or Legislature. So far as Canada is concerned, it was the conception of the Government itself, and was taken up by its members to serve a necessity. This being the case, we contend that the people hare a right to be asked to say yea or nay on the subject.'

AN HON: MEMBER What is the rame of the paper?

Mr. RYMAL-It is the Norfoll Reformer, a paper the several issues of which, for the months of November and December last, were full of sentiments like those I have quated ; bat, looking over the numbers that have appeared since that magnetic or mesmerie circular was sent out from the Provincial Secretary's Offie, I see that it has sung dumb. (Laughter.) I fearlessly assert that the Confederation of the British North American Provinces has taken no strong hold of the public mind of Canada: -It never was demanded, and I believe"as certainly as that I am now speaking, that if this matter were submitted to the people, and fuily understood by them, they would roject it. (Hear; hear.) I have endeavored to obtain from the leading men in the riding which I have the honor to represent, an eexpression of their opinions with reference to this scheme. At the time the resolutions were printed here, I secured from twenty to twenty-five copies, and mailed them to my constitueney, asking an expression of opinion as to the propriety of adopting them. Dnly two sent anything like a favorable verdict, and all they were able to say in their favor was, that they thought the soheme might ${ }^{\circ}$ be 'advantageous in a national point of view, but they feared the expense of carrying it out . vould more than connterbalance the adrantiages. These are the most favorable expressions of opinion I have got, while in other instances they are denounced in coto Allow me to read an extract from a letter I have received from one of the most influential geptlemen residing in South Wentworth, and who is withal a strong practical reformer, having received a part of his political education from the Globe.

## (Hear, hear.) He sajs:-

I did at one time allow myelf to fancy that Coniederation was deatined to afford a means of escape from most of the evils which sarronnd our political fabric. When I glanced over the printed resolutions now before the Legialature, I thought that we, the strongest member of the proposed Confederation had, in some respects decidedly the
worst of the bargain. I now feel satisfied that this is the case.
Mr. Speaker, I am glad to find that I am not the only man resident in the South Riding of Wentworth who questions in a very slight degree the honesty of purpose of some members of the Goverament in bringing down a scheme of this kind; while, at the same time, refusing to give the House that information by which it ought to be accompanied. My correspondent goes on to say :-
I do not believe there is so much patriotism as is pretended among the idvocates, or at least the parents of the scherex: I feare they' see in it a nice arrangement by which they can extend their term of otice, either in the Geaeral Government or in the préseat one. Their departure from the plan proposed by theatelves last session ; their hurrying the resolutions through the House with: vat givith the country time to consider thern; their great reluctance to give information on the subject, and some other things, lead tue to doubt whether they are actuated aulely by patrotic mouves. I should yot have beea so uncharitable as to doubt their sincerity, had not their condurt on former occastons been characterized by a lack of that quality.
And I must yay, Mr. Speaker, that to a certaia egtent l eatertina the same opinion. 'I do not propuse to go uver the whole ground in dischising this scheme. "I do not"feel competeit to that trsik. But siuce this. debate cumenenced, 1 have listeped carefully to aluust every speech that has been made, with tho view of receiving that tight whith would yuainfy me to giva a vote satisfactory to myseif and to my vonstituents And I have comes to the conclusion phat taking this -scheme all in. all, I am not in a pusition to approve of it. (Hear, hear) The refusal on the part of the Goverpment to subuit it to the people of this country, who have the deepest interest in it, proves conclusively to me that there is somethiag in it which they do not Wish the people'to know. Their refusal, also, to give the tullest faformatiou in a matter of such iaportance, tuparta to me a suspieion, that io ues a hoady but expressive phrase, "there's a nigiser in the fence". (Laughter.) It has been contended that with a view to our security, it was necessary to combine our strength. Now the strength, in my humblo judgueat, which we would obtain by consummating this union, is just that kind of strengta which a fishing rod would votsia by tasteriag to it some ad.
by some convulsion of nature, bring Nova Scotia, New Bruaswick, Prince Edward Island and Newfoandland, and place them Where the uninhabitable mountains, fifteen or tventy miles north of this place, now are, or leave a couple of therg in the bosom of Lake Ontario, we might have additional strength. But, under vur actual circumstances, you propose merely fo add to us several hundred miles more of length, without any additional. hands to defend them (Hear, hear.) I must allude to one matter, which is to bring upon us almost" unlimited and unkoorvo expenses, if this union is consummated. To undertake the coastruction of the Intercolonial Kailroad is, in iny judg. ment, to start upon a career of estravagance which will swamp this young eruntry. As one of the agriculturists of Canada, and speaking in their name, I beg to assure the House-if it needs any assurance on a point so palpable-that the agriculturists of Canada are not in a very tourishing condition. The failure of the orops, with low prices, and the heavy burdens they have hitherto borne, have left them ia a bad position to bear increased burdens: : (Hear, hear:) Thy balance-sheet of our public financial operations; I think, should be a warning to every one of is, that no uncalled-for or unaecessary expense shoall be entered upoñ, but that our means shoald be economised, and that a balauce should, if possible, be shown ia our favor for the first time in tea years. Wo also see many of pur business men ar presiont rushing into the baukrupt courts. II find no fewer thin 905 insulvent notices in the Camala Guastar, from the Ist Septerinber to the 2th December lavt. (Hesar, hear:)

Ma. A. MACKENZIE - But did all these become bankrupts daring th.a year?
MER RYMAL-I cannot say. They ai all eveats gave the notice during the year. And İ bétieve the misfortunes which have befal. lea these men will, in each case, affeet at lease half a douen; making'an agigregate of 5,000 . (Hear, hear.) I aw safivtind, therefore, that this is not. the proper time for these increased burdeng being thrown upoo the people of Canada I think hoi. gentlemen mutt agree with ino, that wo have lived as it were too fast, that we have gone begund our means, and that we are reaping now the bitter fruits of this in the heavy debt which we at present bear. Without ealirging apoa the reasons why I feel it my duty so opposie this measure, I inay meation some half
dozen which to my mind justify me in opposing it. In the first place, I oppose it because this is not the scheme which the Government pledged themselves to submit to the House this session, nor the one which has been considered by the people of Upper. Canada: I oppose it also, because I was not sent here to change the Constitution; or to enter into partnerships, without those who seut me here having an opportunity of pronouncing their opinion concerning them. I oppose it, because of the arbitrary conduct of the promoters of the scheme in endeavoring to wrest from the people privileges which they have enjoyed without abusing, and which they do not wish to give up.: I refer here to the proposed mode of appointing the Legislative Conacil. I oppose it, because the expenditure which this seheme involves, in my opinion, far outweighs the adrantages to be derived from it. Further, I oppose it, because I do not believe it will setile the sectional dificultie's we have complained of, but,on the contrary, will multiply them to the same extent as we take ia neve partners, and will leave llpper Canada the victim, not of one, bat of several smaller propinices. (Hear, hear.): In couclosion, I think honorable gentlemen will agree with mee, that in 1850 Canada was the admiration and the envy of most of the people who were acquainted with our position. I would compare the position of Caqada at that time-and I think I may withjut impropriety-to that of a young man of eighteen or twenty, handsome in figure; with a good constitution, of robust strength, and under the care of a tender and loving parent (as I presume Bagland is to Canada), and this parent has committed the health of this ethild of histhis lovely youth-to the care of a family "physician, who, however; has transferred him from time to time to the care of other physicians of different schools. Some of - hem were allopaths, some were homeopaths, nome wiere hydropaths-but they all bled(langhter) - they all blistered-they alk: weated. (Continued laughter.) Under. puch treatment this lovaly youth becane pale and sickly. The ruldy hue of health passed from his countenance, and instead of his step being firm and boundiug, he began to stagger in hisgait. Then the parent began to call tho physicians to aceount, for they were aeting or pretending to act under responsibility for the result of their treat.
ment: And what answer did they make? Each one of them protested that his own nostrum was sufficient to cure the malady, although it was evident that he was sinking under the treatment. But in order that he might have the benefit of the craft, and themselves not be dismissed for want of skill; they agreed to join, and, making an admixture of their several nostrums, to administer that to the patient. (Great laughter) Under this treatment, however, the kind parent began to think that his: son had but a poor chance. He, remonstrated-as I presume our párent (England) has done-and declared that this could not be allowed, that the patient would die, and that the neightors were wondering. at the amount of the patient's endurance, and the parent's folly in permittung this bleeding, blistering, sweating process to go on sollong. And what do you suppose the quacks, in order to satisfy the parent, proposed to do? After acknowledging, as they could not help but acknowledge, that they hal brought the lovely youth to the brink of the grave, they proposed now to the parent that he should hand over three or four other members of the family, that they might experiment upon them also (Laughter.): But, Mr. Speaker, 1 am glad to say, that when they heard of this proposition, the other children said ©f We will have none of it-no quack doctors for us from Canada-we will manage our own affairs and select our own physidians for ourselves.". (Hear, hear, and laughter.) I have spoken in a figurative manner, but I trist my language has corvivyed the sum and substance of our present position to the minds of hon. gentlemen:: (Hear, hear") It conveys exactly, at all events, the opinion I eatertain of the treatuent which Canada has received at the hands of her rulers for a number of years past. They have been playing their parts, oñe arguing "I am right," and the other, "You're wrong".-each party arrogating to itself the greatest amount of yisdom-antil Canada has been reduced to a state of porerty-I woa't say how low; I do not like to describe it-but to a position in which fvery one admits we cannot remain. And now the men who have brought her to that pusition, who have been instrumental in ereating the sectional difficulties and religious strifes that have embroiled the people of Canida, are to bo the doctors who are to cure this malady If they can do it, I shall be happy to assist
in my humble way. But believing the nostrum they are about to administer will aggravate the evil rather than cure or alleviate it, 1 feel it to be a duty $I$ owe to my constituents and to my own conscience to vote against the scheme, be the consequences what they may. (Cheers.)

Dr:" PARKER-Mr' SpEAKER, before the debate closes, I desire to make a few observations in explanation of the vote it is my intention to record on this question. I shall not trespass on the indulgence of the House, fot will compress in a few sentences the explanations I desire to make. It is pretty well within the knowledge of the House that I entertain strong objections to the Address on the table - not ouly objections of principle, but detail-objections not ouly as an Upper Canadian', but as a British North American, and objections as to the time and manner in which it is sought to give to these resolntions the force of law. If it was possible to propose or secure cartain changes, I would make them or warmly support them. The motion of the "previons question" by the leader of the Govercment precludes all amendments; for it I am not responsible, but by it I am forced to give a yea or nay vote on the Address as it now stands. I have no choice bat to accept or reject these resolutions as a whole. If I could take the responsibility of the latter, I would state my objections to the basis of Confederation. fully, perhaps strongly: I refrain from this expression, because, under the circumstances. to which I have, alluded, it would serve no good end or purpose. It has been persiatontly urged during this debate that the opponents of this mequese should propound a better. A sufficient answer to that argument is, that they are not allowed to do so. But aside from this, the opponents of a public messure are not always called upon to subbit an altornative proposition, but may stanid on their strict logical and parliamentary rimht of proposing nothing and aonceding nothing, not even attempting to prove the particular measure to which they are opposed bad, but that its sapporters have not proved it to be grod. Upoin all questions of ordipary magnitude and importance from whidh 1 dissented, I would feel justified by that answer. But, gir, this is not 4 question of ordinary magaitude and importance; onr domestio and external dificultios arè pressing and importunate, and I feel in rejecting this measure, I ami bouad
morally and in duty to the country and the people I represent, to see my wày to something better. On this part of the issue Iamentirely with the Government. I believe the period has arrived when it is necessary to remodel our institutions, even for the purpose of conduoting the civil government of the country. . The tiue has come when it is necessary to carry some measure of constitational reform: .. The public opinion of the conatry-all the events of the last year-the reconstruction of the present Administration" expressly to settle this question, places us in a position whence we can neither recede nor stand still. The statis. quo is impossible. Under these circumstances, the practical question isCan a better measure than that now before as be secured?' Better tueasares cuould, perbaps, haye been devised, but it is donbtful if they would have secured general concurrence or be carried. The only question, however, I have to determine it, that under the necesities of the time and the restrietion from alt choice-for weither of which I am io any way responsible-I can see my way to nothing better, and I have therefore detormined to record my voio for these resolitipas (Hear, hear') Cuceding, as I honestly do, the necessity of coastitutional changes, I accept this as the only practical measure at the present time. If I could nee a reasonable probability of secaring anything better, I would vote otherwise. But from some of the remarks made by leading members in opposition to this Address, the ohanges which they would probably propose I could nuder no cireamstances support ; because then, siry, circumstances, over which I have no eontrol, make this the ouly pratioghte onaxy paysiblo; and; as the neetmity is urgeat, I aceept these resolations an a necessity of the time aud situation. In voting for this Address. however, I reserve to myself the right of judg ueat on every question in these resolutions, which may horealler become the subjeet of deliberation in Parliainent, shepild I have the hooor to hold a seat in this Houie. In yotiag for these zesolutions, I huld miyseff in no ray cornmited to any propsod improvement; and will vote oa them; and par: tievilatly the latereolonital Railway, as though they were in no way meationed in these remolutions. Should this measupf faih wither in the Honse of Commons or by the peraistent refusal of the Maritime Provinces to
make good their contract, I shall consider the Government still bound to find some other solution for our difficulties. Reasonable time and allowanee being made for the diffeulties of their task, I will continue to hold them responsible for some satisfactory measure of settlement. Should British North America become united on the basis of these resolutions, a serious responsibility will rest on those public men who will be called in the first days to administer these several governments. Should they fall into prodigal hands, the most serious injury, even ruin, may be entailed on the conntry. These dangers may be averted by prudence and economy in one future legislators, by which happier results may be achieved. But, eir, ugder the most favorable auspices, I beliere difficulties and embarrassments will grow up under this new. Constitutipn. I hope it will not then be considered a falality; but capable of amendment as time goes on. I sincerely trust that so far as its future defects may have their origin in matters of law, they will be redressed by wise, legal and calighteacd means; and; so far as they may have their foundation in matters of sentiment or opinion, that they will be redressed by the cullivation of better and more fraternalfeelung between the people of tha different provinces. I trust and believe that by suel happy means, although it is not now. such a Constitution as we can all approve, that it may in the fatare be so modififed and adoninistered as to meot the requirements and expectations of the country and that under it all the residents of these six provinces may become one united, firna; prosperous and happy people " (Hear, hear.)
Hos. Mri. HOLTON said-Ma. Speak. un, I endeavored to catch: your cye in the carly part of the evening, with the view of offeriog a fem observations, both upg the merits of the subject referred to in the motipn in your hands, and of replying to some of the arguments adduced" by the frievids of the measure; bui, knowing the extreme anxiety that existed on the part of many others to speak to the resolutions before the vote is taten, and foeling that theie would "be another opportunity to address the House, when the motion; of which notice has been given ly my honorable friend from Peel, comes up, I have determined not to claim the attention of the House for any lengthconed ramarks at tha preqent time. There are, horever, just one or two points to which I feel nhat I oughe briefly to refer,
before a division is taken: My honorable friend from Granville (Mr. SHaNLY), in the course of his very interesting speech -a speech to which I listened with a great deal of attention took occasion to remark upon what he characterised as the bold and manly course adopted by the Govermment, on learning of the rejection of this scheme'by the people of New, Brunswick. Sir, on that point, I join issue with that gentleman. The course of the Government ought to be bold and manly, to entitle: it to the support of a bold and manly mind like his, that was so much in doubt as to what course to pursue before this bold and manly policy was adopted. But, sir, instead of its being a bold and manly course, I hold that it was a mere running away from the difficulty which the defeat of those resolutions by the people of New Brupswiek presented. What was the position at that moment? We were discussing the desirability and feasibility of having a union of all these colonies, funded upon resolutions adopted by a conference of delegates from the various colonies, which met in this city in October last. These resolutions were to be concurred in by all the provinces, and were represented to us as being in tha nature of a treaty. Suddenly we hear that the Province of New Brunswick, the only one whose territory adjoins ours, had; in effect, refused to ratify that creaty, and hence the treaty falls to the ground, and the refusal of that province to join the uivion renders a union fupossible. My hon. friend says it was a bold and manly course to insist on going on with that which it had beoome impossible to carry ont; bat, sir, I maintain, as I said before, that their course was miverely ac uethod-cunning and adroit, perhaps, but neither bold nor mantywhich they adopted of running aysay fromy their duty. (Hear, hear.). The refusal of Now "Brunswick to join the nuion, or to ratify the treaty, haviog destroyed it, a new duty then devolved upon our Government-a daty growing directly out of the obligation under which those gentlomen placed themselves in the reformation of their Government in June last. That obligation was to settle the Canadian difficulty this gession, either by a Confedaration of all the provinces, or by: a Canadian Federation. Tho one now únder consideration for the former object being dead, they wero bound to deal with the Canadian question apart altogether from that relating to the Federation of all the British North American

Colonies. Instead of dealing with it, however; I say that they have run away from it. And that is what is oalled a bold and manly course. (Hear, hear.) Instead of that it was, in my opinion, a most cowardly course to parsue. (Hear, hear.) It was a stratagetic course, the effect of which was to avoid the difficulty, and hold their places in the Government; but was anything but a manly one. The honorable gentleman spoke of this as a treaty. I am surprised that a gentleman for., whose astutaness I had learned to entartaina very high estimation, should be carried away by such a fallacy as that. I maintain, sir, what no treaty has been submitted to us. It is not found in the resolations, nor yet in the despatoh of the Governor General transmitting them to this Hoase. Neithel the resolutions nor the despatch contain any intimation of there having been a treaty between the respective provinces, and certainly we have had no correspondence laid before us purporting to relato to a treaty between this and the other provinces. (Hear, hear.)

Ma. SHANLY-The treaty was constructed in Conference, and therefore no correspcndence was necessary.

Hon. Me HOLTON-Well; we know that there was correspondence between the colonial governments' which has not been submitted to us. It was referred to in the resolations submitted to the Legislature of Nova Scotia.". That correspondence, thoagh maved for in this House on the first day of the presens session by my hon. friend from. Hocheloga, has never to this day been brought down; and yet, sir, it has beep pretendod that it is: a treaty. If it is a treaty, why did not the Government submit the treaty or the corres pondence which proved the existence of a treaty? The seventeenth clanse, sir, is the only one that can be quoted having any bearing whatever on the guestion of a treaty. It reads as follows:-
17. The basis of representation in the Houre of Cummons shall be population; an datermined by the official censas every ten years ; and the number of members at first aball be 104, dis tribated as follown-Upper Cacada, 82; Lower Canidar 65; Nova Scotis, 19; Now Brueswiek, 15; Nowfoundland, 8;", sad Rrince Edward Islanid, 5.
Of course, sir, the honorable gentlemen undertook to bring before their respective parliaments the propositions which they had agreed upon in conforence, and which, if aoeeptable to all the legislatares, wen to serve as a basis of a Constitation for the contem-
plated union.. But there is nothing in that clause to show that the governments, or the provinces which they represented, were to be bound to regard this whole scheme as a treaty, and to lay it before their respective legislatures as such. On the contrary, we find Ministers in the Lower Provinces stating that the whole of the seheme might be modified. (Hear, hear.) And, sir, if it is a tre ty, and the governments were bound as by that treaty to staid or fall by it, that treaty has been grossly violated by the other parties thereto: What, sir, was the course pargued in Newfoundland ? Why; the leader of the Government himself moves a resolution in the Legislature, to the effect that the consideration of the whole question be postponed until next segsion, with a general election intervening. If there was a treaty binding on all partics-and there cannot be a treaty unless it is binding on all parties-that is the yery nature and cssence of a treaty. If họnorable gentlemen are justified in their statement that it is a treaty, do they ngt, by necessary implication; thereby chargo the governments of all the other provinces with a brench of faith? (Hear, hear.) But, sir, there was no treaty, and it was nover intended to consider these resolutions as being in the natiore of a treaty It was simply in tended that these heads of agreement-for they are hardly worthy of the name of resola: tions, so clumsily are they strung to gethershould be brought before cach Legislature ins the shape of propositions, to be considered and roted apon separately, at the same tiuk keeping in view the importance and expe diency of adhering to the agreement arrived at in the Conference. Any other agreement in a conference composed of members of the Opposition, as well as of the goverinments of the Lower Provinces, would bave heen simply absurd; but our Government wero shrawd enough to see tho difficultien that were litely to arise in considering the resolutions separately; and chat it would be imposaible to obtain the asseat of this Honse to all of the selfcontradietory; and, in some cases, abisurdt propositiona, confained in this seheme; and, therefore, they hit ipoan this expedient of proelaiming it to be in the nature of a treaty, of using their streagth as a Government in its favor, and of asting tho honorable mombers of this Honse to vote for it es masae- to vote in staltifieation of all their antecedents upon every quastion that has engaged the attention of this Fiegislature, or that has been the subjeet of discossion in our Provincial Parlísment during tho last quarter of a cen-
tary. (Hear, hear.) Sir, up to a recent period there might possibly be said to have been some Ittle life in this debate ; but during the last week it has been to me not withonit its ludicrous aspects. When I have heard honorable members get up day after day and argue gravely for union with a people who we now know will have no union with us, and arguing that that union will be a means by which we could emerge from our sectional dificulties here in Canada, it has presented to my mind a most ludicrous aspect. I cannot conceive why hon. gentlemen, in the face of the intelligence we have had from New Brunswick and Newfoundland; and for what wo know is likely to be the action of Prince Edward Island and Nova Scotia, should go on gravely argaing in favor of this as a live socheme, from which anything else could come than the parpetuation of the official life of a fow hon. gantlemen, brought together by means that I shall not now allude to more particularly, but which'I shall take another oceasion to characterise in such terms as" I think are appropriate. Their Confederation scheme is dead, sir, and they know it is dead ; and yet they go on and ask their supporters here to vole for this string of seventy-two propositions. The hon. genteman who has just tahen'lis seat, said he was going to vote for the motion but wished it to be distinetly understood that he was not in favor of any one of the propositions which the motion emibraces. I cell my hanorable friend that he is going to record his vote in favor of every one of these propositions. I tell him that the Governmear will not give to him, or to this House of Parliament, the privilega of recording a vote on ane proposition alone, suless it is recorded is favor of the whole solheme.
Da. PARKER - What I said was thisthat I reserved to myself the right of voting as I pleased on every resolution which might become the subject of parliamentary action on another day.

Hon. Ma HOLTON-I understood mp honorable friend perfectly; but notwithstanding :any declaration that he may make in refarence to the subject, the fatt still holds geod that by his vote te will place himself on record as being in favor of those seventy-tivo resoluticiog. That is the inevitable resale of the modo in which the nesolutions are put to this House-i very unsatisfactory mode, on very unparliacnentary mode, and a mode which I maintain is an insult to this Howso as a Irec Parliament, representing British fremen-and I trust that before the final
passing of the resolutions and Addresg, this House will resist this endeavor to tamper with its freedom, and condemn with it the men who have been the authors of the attempt. Well, siir, the soheme is dead, and yet it is to keep the men alive (Laughter.) That is the whole object of this discussion. The honorable gentlemen know very well that the soheme is dead. (Hear, hear.) They know perfectly well that I am uttering the simple truth when I say that when thoy came down with their new programme, they wer in the greatest possible difficulty; and it was to $10 \%$ tire from this difficulty, and not to force it, that they hit upon the expedient we saw them resorting to-proclaiming the refusal of the Lower Provinces to come into the union as the strongest reason why they declared in favor of the union. (Hear, hear.) These are the few observations I proposed making to-pight, reserving any further remarks I may have to make for the debate which will probably arise on the motion of the honorable member for Peal (Hon. Mr. Camieron). But While I am up, I desire to eall the attention of the House to a somewhat startling statoment which appears in the English nowspapers that arrived today. I hold in my hands the Times of February 21st, "ontaining the eztended report of the debate in the House of Lords, of whioh'we received a bummary by tolegraph a fow days ago, and in respeet to which some information was recently conveyed to the House by a member of the Government, on the anthority of a telegram which had been received from New York. It will be remembered that the first telegraphic report we had of the conversation in the House of Lords represented an appropriftion of $£ 50,000$ as having been made towards the defencer of Queber. Although we had applied for this' information, it was refused us, but it was given nuhesitatingly by Lond De Gaer, the Sceretary at War, in the House of Lords, convected with some other statements respecting the share in the dafences of the eountry to be undertaken by the people of this conntry The honorable gentlemen, however, improved the opportanity which the nema afforded them in thieir owa way. They made it the basis of a new. lank movement. It served as an ozcellent excuse for moving the provious question, in order that they might alose this debate at the carliest possiblo moment, and start for England with the ureategh haste, in order to save the country from impending invasion. The telegraphio roport created a good deal of excitement in the

House. ' It will be remembered that when wy honorable friend from West Middlesez (Mr. Scatcherd) was making some remarks in reference to this subject, the Hon. President of the Council rose in his place and told the House that either he himself or some other party had telegraphed to Nem Fork to learn the precise facts' as to the alleged appropriation by the Imperial Government of 550,000 . The honorable gentleman stated be had learned that the sum was not $£ 50,000$.

Hon. Atry Gen. MACDONALD Thirty thousand pounds.

Hon Mr. HOLTON- $£ 30,000$, or $£ 50,-$ $000-\mathrm{it}$ was rariously stated-bat $£ 200,000$. Well, sir, we have the estended report at length, and it appears that $£ 50,000$ is the sum to be placed in the estimates this year. They look to the expenditure of $£ 200,000$ in the course of four years, beginning this year with ap appropriation of $\mathbf{£} 50,000$. It appears frum this that they do not consider the case as so very urgent-not, at all events, so urgent as to tequire the busivesp of the I'arliament of this country to be suspended, in order that Ministers may hasten thither to make provision for the defence of the conntry. (Hear, thear.)". So much would follow from the fact of their spreadiag the $£ 200,000$ over four years. Bat that is not all. Very starting statenents un the subject of the defence of Canida were made in this debate in the House of Lords.: We know how persiatently aur own' Government have refused us the necessary intormation to guide us ou the subjeat-seoking, in the absel⿻en of that information, unfaitly to use the subject of defence as a means of persuading honoratlo gentlemen to support their measure of Confederation. (Hear, hear.) Now I hold this doctrine, that quocid Canadian affairs, our Ministers are bound to farnish us with the same amplo information as the Imperial Government are bound to farnish the Imperial Parliament, quoced lopperial affairs, when it is not incongisterat with the public interest so to do. (Hear, hear.) Well, we tind that weeks ago this dehate. came up in an incidental manner in the House of Lords, on armotion of a noblo lord (Lord 'Lrveden), for information on the subject; and that the Government at once entered into the fullest explanations $x_{x}$ in the course of which they made some rather startling statemeats as to their negotiations on the defente gacs tion with this Government, and in respect to which all information has been withneld rem us. In answer to Lord Lrryedes, Kart di Gaey eaid :-

The Government undertook to provide for the necessary improvements in the defence af Quebec, which had always been cońsidered as an Imperial fortress, and which, thogh formerly of great strength, like other fortications, required improvements to meet the altered circumstances of warfare. They had proposed to the Canadian Government to undertake the fortification of Montreal and the western points. The Canadian Government was ell aware of the obligations which rested on them, aud when they had received the necessary answer fiom the Canadian Pirliament, were ready to undertake these works.
Merk this, that the Canadian Government are ready to undertake the fortification of Montreal and the western points (Hear, hear.) Such is the intormation which we: get from Earl de Grier, that our Ministers have entered into this anderstanding, provided that they can get the assent and authority of the Canadian Parliament to incur the whole expense of permanent defensive works westward of Quebee. (Hear, hear.) And yet, sir, although infornation on this subjeet has been sotugh for at almost evory stage of tha debate -almost daily-they have persistently withheld it from us. . But now fortunately before this debate is closed; we leam from the lips of the Secretary at War that in so far as ip them lay, they pledged the resourcas of this conatry to an untold amount for the construetion of fortifications throughout the province, "with the axception of Quebee: They havo' agreed to this, I say, subject to the approval of Parliament, and which approval they dare not ask until this selpetane, tho whole of the seventy-two resoletions, with all their clamsy contrivances, is adopted by this House-in ordor that thoir official exis-: teace nay be leugthemed ont for a form monthw longer. (Hear, hear.) The whole amount whioh will be required for pormanent foptifications; as stated in : leading apticle in tho tha "Tintes, is E1,200,000 aterling - abous $87,000,000$; of whieh the Imperial Goverament propose to expend $£ 200,000$, of abiant $81,000,000$. We thercfore leara that our Government have really hargained for the expenditure by Canada of $85,000,000$ to $86,000,000$ towards. the perunanent defev: ces of the country, in respect of whioh we have had no information whatever. (Hear, bear.) : There can he no doubt that they have mado this hargain, becanso" I have quoted tho words of Harl DaGamer stating in precisa terrns that the Canadian Government had agreed to it, suljeat to tho approtal of oar Parliament. I my that thia is a tianting fait, and I hope that the hovorallo geintlemen

Who intend voting for these seventy-two resolutions, which in reality sanction this arrangement-because the Government have insisted upon it and unged it throughout this debate-will pause before they add other $\$ 6,000,000$ to the untold millions to which we shall be pledged by the adoption of the scheme now before the Kouse: (Hear, hear.)

Mr. FORTIER-In rising to speak at such an advanced stage of the debate, it is not niy intention to occupy the attention of the House for any lengthensd period, espe. cially as the topic Uf Confederation which has been under conisideration for several days past, has been pretty thoroughly exhausted: I do not; however, consider that I should be doing toy duty were I to allow this question to pass without remark; and without statiog to the House and to the coun-. try the reasons which have brought me to the determination to vote as I have resolved to vote on this great question of Confederation. What I would ask, Mr. Spraker, do Ministers call upon us to do on this oceasion? To pass an Address to Her Majesty, praying Her by a single stroke of the pen to cancel our preseat Conatitution, and to substitate another based on the seventy two resolutions adopted by the Conference at Quebec, held ou the LOLh October lask and which resola. tiness are now hefore the Honse: I am eonriaced that the Quebea Conference, when they framed the basis of our new Constitution, far from being actuated by any sentithent of disinterestedness, were on the contrary intlacaced by the desire of personal adyancoment. I may be deceived, Mr. Spenke, and I sisicerely hope that I am. I hope that the clecturs of New Brunawick, tho have just rejected the wehom of the Quebec Conference, and at the same time pased a direct vote of censure against the nose illutrious men in that province, for havingangeed to thissoheme, and, by so doing, compronised the interests of their country1 hoper, I say; that these clectors have also bexil wistakon, knowing, as I do, that obedieace mast be yielded to the majority and that, in wite of their triumphant opposition, Confederation will be impased apon us as
 droke of the pen to abrogate our Constitu. tional Att and to sulstitite for it a Constitution of the detalat of which we are altogether iguorant, of "which, indecd; "yery effort is made te kegp as in igzorance. We ard urged to exglange what we now have: or momathing that they propose to give us.

Frankuri" has told us that "a bird in the hand is worth two in the bush." I am one of those who would prefer the bird in the hand, and for that reason I am not prepared, without further guarantee, to change the Constitation of the country. (Hear, hear.) I hold to the Constitution of 1840, because it consecrates a great principle in favor of Lower Canada, that of equality of representation in the Legislature; and I adhere the more firmly to it, Mr. SPEAKER, when. I bear in mind that it is one of the express conditions of my presence in this House as the representative of the county of Yamaska, and I do not intend to betray the confiderce reposed in ine. In relation to this subject, I will take the liberty of reading to the House extracts from two letters which have been addressed to me by two electors of great influence in my county:-

St. Micuel d’Yàmaska, $29 t h$ Jani, 2865. My pean Frinnd,-From the litle information I have been able to obtain in relation to what has taken place in the Huase since the beginning of the session, I observe that the true patriots, far from being able to avert, will not even have the satisfaction of delaying, the storm. which threatens our untiappy country. The French-Canadian egotists are, as usual, in the majority, especially in this nineteenth cantury - the age of progress it inay be, but the age of seltishness, of haziardous speculations, io which conscienca (noiv; alas! unly a by-word) takes no part-the age of usurious loans, to the great detrinent of the poor people, whom, not content with pillaging and ruining, it is now propozed, with the viey of sechring a few years of power and position, deprive of their nationality, their laws and their religion. - "* What ought we to do under these circumtances, when we see our country threatened by its own children, allied with its bitterest foes? Treat the traitors with disdain, and maintaia with firmaess (no níptter how few in numbers we nay be) an energetic and constifutional opposition. It may be that at last the Cafhalic clergy will awake from their dream, and will manfully aid the Oppositian, whose sole objectis the preservation of its most cherished rights.
Mr. Speaker, I read such language with pride, and I now proceed to read the views of another of my clectors, no less patriotic than the one whose letter I have just read:-

## Rivima David, 2lat Feb., 1865:

Deab Sta, - Thave received a copy of the resolations in relation to the projected anion of the Proviaces of Britigh North America, and after having examined and atndied them, and havinio read with "eare all that the papers on either side bave to say for and against them; I beg to state os my opinion, that they are very far from meeting with my approval. Even were they better
than they are, I should be very sorry to see them adopted before an opportunity has been afforded to the electors to pronounce upon them, and to authorize their representatives to vote in favor of them. I shall abstain, in view of the want of space in a simple letter like this, from discussing the reasons which have led me to form this opinion. Suffice it that I ninite my voice with that of the best triends of our coantry in telling you that you were not elected to destroy, but rather to promote, the working of our Constitation.

These remarks,' Mr. Speaker, are so true and to reasonable, that I should be ashamed did I not agree with them; yetifI had reason to anticipate that our country would be endangered by the refusal of this House to pass the scheme of Cunfederation now proposed to us, I would not hesitate to vote in favor of it.: But I am very far from believing that our Constitation cannot be made tu work with benefit to the cosntify for many years to come. If the TacheMacponald Government had not been defeated last year, and if it conld have retained a majority of one or (wo votes only, as has been 80 well observed by the hon member for Beauce, Confederation would still be in the elcuds, and the hon member for South Oxford would still be at a great. distance from his longssought haven... It is, howeter, to be hoped that the offspring of the present Administration-composed, as it is, of such heterogencous eleinents-will not bo the victim of premature birth; and that the Government will have something else topresent to the corntry than a still born child. (Hear, hear.) Mr. Speqker, that great principle of sectional equality was consecrated anew by the Legislative Council Aet of 1856. And by whom was it conseerated? By the men who are now in power. On the 14th March, 1856, the hon. member for Moutmorency, seconded by the Hon. Mr. Srence, moved the adoption of a law essablishing equality in the Legislative Couneil between Upper and Lower Ganada, and readering that branch of the Legislature elective. The principlo of that law was assented to by eighty three vates againit six." I read frow the Journals of this House as tollows:-

The: order of the day for the second peading of the bill to change the constitution of the Legistative Coưacil, by pendering tho same elective, being read, the Hon. Mr. Cuecray moved, secoided by the Hon. Mr. Srasce, and the question being pas, that the bill ton poaz read a eecood tuate the House divided, and the namea being called for, they were taken duwn as follow:-

Yeas.-Messra, Atikist, Allego, Bell, Bolling
ham, Biggar, Bourassa, Brodeur, Bureaiu, Cartier, Casanlt; Cauchon, Capley, Chapais, Chisholm, Christie, Conger, Couse, Cook, Chas. Daoust, Jean B. Daoust, Darche. Delong, Dessalniers, DeWitt, Dionne, J. B. E. Dorion, A. A. Dorion, Dostaler, Atty. Gen. Drummond, Dufresne, Fel ton, Ferrie, Foley, O. C. Fortier, Fournier, Frazer, Freeman, Gamble, Gould, Guबvremont, Hartman, Holton, Jobin, Labelle, Laporte, Le Boutillier, Lemieux, Loranger, Lamsden, Lyen, John S. Macdonald, Atty. Gen. Macdonald, Mackenzie, McCann, Marchildon, Masson, Mat. tice, Meagher, A. Morrison, Muaro, Papin, Patrick, Poulin, Pouliot, Powell; Provost, Price, Rhodes, Sol: Gen. Ross, J. Ross, Sanborn, Shaw, Sol. Gen: Sinith, S. Smith, James Smith, Somerville, Sonthwick, Spence, Stevenson, Thibaidean, Turcotte, Valois, and Wright. $-83$.
Nays.- Mesars Bows, Brow, Cameron, Craw, ford, Robinson, and Yeilding.-6:
So it was resolved in the affirmative.
Thus, on this exciting question of representation by population, eighty-nine members from Upper and Lower Canada voted and took part in the discossion, fofty-four from Ufper Canada, of whom only siz demanded represeatation by population" (the Hon. Mr. Brows being ove of them), and fortyfifo Lower Canadians, ten of Einglist and thirtyfive of Freveh.Canadian origia, eonstituting eighty three votes againgi siz. Observe the immense majority who voted upon the constitution of the Upper Honse, and ratified the Constitutional A ot of 1840 to which I have just referred. Not only was this priaciple consecrated by a large majority in boch branches of the Legislature ; as I havo just shewn, it was also confirmed by the Government of tho Mother Conatry, for whose sainction this lat was reserved, at most eight years ago. And, Mr. Spanke, these two Constitutional Acts have been the means of establishing the peave, happiness and prosperity of the country sinee the troubles of 1857 and 1838 ; behind theso two aets the Erenoh-Canadiaps have yheltered themselvea as behind an improguable rampart, and yot these two aets the present Aduinis tration, suatained by a majority of PrenohCanadiana in this House, are ready to seatter to the four winds " (Hear, hear.) Porthe last quarter'of a century, Canada has eojozed reponsiblo government and the advantage of equality ju the represeatation. What then is thore to complain of, and by whan are complaiats made? Who have complained duriag the last tes ycars-have the Fremet. Canadigni, tave the Upper-Cabadian? No. air, it is the hon. member for South Offord
(Hon. Mr. BRown), and on what ground? On the question of representation "based upon numbers.' Why has that hon. gentleman created such a turmoil in Upper Canada, and why has he tried to tread under foot that whioh the Fren'oh-Canadians hold most dear-their religion? It was to attain power, to reach the seat which he now occupies on the other side of the House, supported by the honorable members for Kamouraska and Dórchester," like altar posts on each side of a statue. (Hear, hear, and langhter.) Who are those who bave epposed that hon. member in this House? All the members for Lower Cauada, both French and kinglish without distinction. Never have the members from Lower Canada been divided on this vital question: 'Bleus and Rouges, Mr. Speaker, have united as oue man to proserve that which guaranteed to them their fature as descendants of old France. And What was the cause of this union of FrenchCanadians against the hon. meniber for Sonth Oxford? To refuse him that which the prescant Administration hasiconceded to him, by the Quebiec Conferince. What was the reason given by the Hoa, Attorney Gencral for Upper Canada, during the session of 1803; to the member for South Oxford, who 'reproached him for having governed Upper: Canada by a Lower Canadian majority? He replied-and his words are still ringing in ny ears-" Never," said he, "Las Upper Canada had to complain of anything which my Government has imposed on Upper Canada by means of a Lower Canadisn majority. You have no grounds of complaint, and you will never obtain your extreme demands:" This was the language used at that time Rat things are changed, and unfortonately autre temps antre chose. O tempora : O inores? And afterwards, the houorablo nymber for Montreal East added expressions more or lase ironical, more or leas founded, comparing the Grits of Upper Camada"to so many codfish in the Gulf of Sm. Lawrence.: It was then, Mr. Spengeri, that the honorablo member for South Ozford: took an detive pars in the digension. (Hear, hear:) You, go doubt, remember the occasion, for then you yourself, Mr. Spraker, were, in the oyes of the hon. member for Montreal, only eodish eager or the bait. Mr. Spáasce, I have always admined the energy diaplayed by the hou. member for Monereal last in resisting the hom. membar for Sonth Ozford; his cqer: age and boldnesia were boundlens, and chere

Was such a vast difference of principles, and so much animosity existed between those two hon. gentleman and their respective supporters, that you could never for one moment have imagined that they could endure each other as neighbors on the Treasury benches: This mntual reconciliation, Mr. Speaker, reminds me of the effect produced on my mind by the happy family, which I had an opportunity of seeing at New York a few years ago, when the rat was to be seen between the paws of the cat, the monkey. running after the rabbit, and the sparrow coquetting with the owl. (Hear, and laughter.) How long have the men to whom I have just roferred paid any attention to the claims of the hon momber for South Uxford? How long have they listened to him? It is only since those hon. gentlemen have found themselves in a minority in this Hoase, since the Taobe-mádonald Go vernment have resolved per fas aut nefas to retain office-never before. Now, all this has not tended to inspire me with any conaidence in the plan of Confederation, and has indeed made me resolve to vote against the Whole, because this soheme is to be accepted in toto or not at all: (Hear, hear.) The Government tells us, Mr. Speake w, that these resolutions cannot be amended in any particular; the seventy-two resolutions, they say, must be vated all together, so as to give no gronad for complaint on the part of the Maritime Provinces. It is a treaty from Which no deviation can be allowed. But how is it that the Honorable Mr. Ticuey, of New Bruaswick, offered to allow the Opposition in that province to amend this treaty?: And did not the Government declare, ut the end of the last session; that they inteaded to propose an amendment of some kind to the Constitational Act, and that they would submit it to- the people for their consideration before seeking its adoption? And how they refuse to do this. Ab: I repesat, all this is very far from inspiring mo with the least confidence in the scheme of Confederation, and in the present Administration. You must swallow the whole soheme without hesitation, without power to offer a siagle amendinent. Let those who please vota for such a measure, the humble member for Yamaska assuredly will not. I therefore declare. that I aim prepared to vote against the measure now naler consideration: (Applanse.)

Hon. Ma. LVANTURRLL said - Mr. Speakera, in return for the iedulgenco
extended by the Houss, I have to say that I do not rise to make a long speech, but that I shall content myself with giving a silent rote. However, before recording my vote upon the measure which is submitted to us, I wish to put aquestion to the Government. I acknowledge that if I confined myself to consulting my own ideas, I should not put this question; but I do so in order to meet the wishes of several of my friends, both within this House and beyond its precincts. Those friends have expressed alarm in relation to one of the clauses of the resolutions", and have requested me to ask an explanation from the Hon. Attorney General for Upper Canada, as to the interpretation of that clause. I have therefore to ask him whether article 46 of the resolutions which states that "both the Eaglish' and Freach' langnages may be employed in the General Parliameat and in its proceedings, and in the Local Legislatare of Lower Canada,' is to be interpreted as placing the yese of the two languages on an equal footing in the Federal Yarliament", In statiog tho approhensions entertained by certain persons on this subject-and I consider that it is a a "mark of patriotism on their part, ind that their appreheasions may be lestitimate-I hope the Government will not impute to the any hostife intention, and will perceive that the course I mopt is in their interest, as it whl give them an opportuaity of disipating. the apprehensions in question (tlear, hear.)

Hun stry Gen. Macdunald- 1 have very greaspleasure in answering the quention put two by my hous friend from the county of Quebec.: 1 may state that the meaning of one of the resolutions adopted by the Conference is this, that the righta of the" French-Canadian members as to the statas of their language in the Federal Legislature slaill bo precisely the same as they now are in the present Legishatare of Canada in every possible reapert. I have still further pleasure in staliag that the momeat this was mentioned in Confurence, the nembers of the deputasion from the Lower Provinces naanimusly statod that it was right and just, and withoat wae dis - reatient voice gave their adhesiun to the reasouableness of the proposition that the status of the Freneh language, as regards the procedure in Parliament, the printing of measures, and everything of chathind, ahould be precisely tho ame as it is in this Legis: latare. : (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Ma. DORIUN-I do net rist to
offer any lengthened remarks, bat to draw for a moment the attention of the members of the Administration, with a view to obtain some information' in connection with this scheme; but before doing so, I would say a word in reply to the explanatior given by the Hon. Attorney General West to the question put by the hon. member for the county of Quebec (Hon. Mr. Evanturien), with regard to the use of the French language.. The Hon. Attorney General West stated that the intention of delegates at the Quebec Conference was to give the same guaraptees for the. use of the French language in the Federal Legislature, as now existed under the present union. I conceive, sir, that this is no guarante Whatsoever, for in the Union Act it was pro: vided that the Einglish language alone should be used in Parlinment, and the French language was entirely prohibited ; but this provision was subsequently repealed by the 11 th and 12th Victoria, and the matter loft so thi discretion of the Legislature. So that if, to-morrom, this Iisgislature choose to vote that no othar but the English language should ba used in our proceedings, it might do so, and thereby forbid the use of the French langusge. There is, therefore, no gatantee tor the continaince of the use of the ianguage of the majority of the people of Lower Canada, bat the will and the forbearance of the majority: And as the number of French members in the General Legislature, under the proposed Coniedera. tion, will be proportionately mach maller than it is in the present Legislature, this ought to make hon. members conaider what lithe chance there is for the continued use of their language in the Federal Letgislature. This is the only olservation I have to make on this subject, and it was suggested to ma by the answer of the Hon. Attorney Geacral West.

Hos. ATfr Gem MaCDONALD-I desire to say that I agzee with my hon. fricud that an it stands just now the majority goveras ; but: in order to cure this, it was agreed at the Conference to etabody the provision in the luperial Aet. - (Hear, hear) This was proposed by the Canadiaia Government, tor fear an acoidont might arise subyequantly, and it mas assonted tioby thie depatation crom each provimee that the use of thio Freneli language should form one of the principles an upon whigh the Confederation ghould becatabliahed, and that its use, as at presont, ahould be gumanteed by the Imperial Act: (Hear hear.)

Hon. Atry. Gen CaRTIER-1 will add to what has been stated by the Hua. Attorney General for Upper Canada, in reply to the hon member for the county of Que: bec and the hon. member for Hoshelaga, that it was ulso necessary to protect the Euglish minorities in Lower Canada with respect to the use of their language, because ia the Local Parliament of Lower Canada the majority will be composed of French. Canadians. The members of the Coiference were desirous that it should not be in the power of that majority to decree the abolition of the use of the English language in the Local Leegislature of Lower Canada, any more than it will be in the power of the Federal Legislature to do so with respect ti the French language. I will also add that the use of both languages will be secured in the Imperial Act to be based on these resolutions: (Hear, hear.)
Hos. Mr DORION-I am vecy glad to hear ithis statement; but I fail to gee anything in the resolations themelves which gives such an assarance, in proof of which we have the honorable member for Quebee county asking how the matter really stauds. But it is not simply for the use of the French Lagguage in the Legislature that protection is ueeded-that is not of su great importance as is the publicution of the laws and proceedings of Parliauent: The speaches de $i$ vered in this House are only addressed to a few, but the laws and proceedings of the Howie are addreesed to the whole people,' a million or nearly a million of whom speak thy Freach tanguage I now beg to address one or two observations ou a different subjeet. When the question was first brought betiore as, I drew the attention of the Government to the diserepaney between the prixited resolutious which are now submitted to iss, and the resiolations which were despatehed to the members of the Leginature, during the roeese, by the Hon. Pruviacial Secretary: The discrepancy consists in the wording of the third section of the 29th resolution. In the resolutions mhich were seat us by the Hon. Provincial Seerutary, the 20th read as follows :-
TheoGeweral Goverument shatl lave power" to mathe law for the peace, wellare and goced yov ornimeat of the Felorated Provinges. (gaviig the Soveratgaty af Eagland) and tspecially laws xupectiog tha followitg gibjectia. - The subjects, 37 in number, follow, tha 3rd reading thux):3. Tho impoition or regulation of duties of castums on iniports med exports, except on oxporta of timher, logs, masts, spara, deculis,
and sawn lumber, and of coal and other minerals. [The 43rd of the same resolations states]: The local legislatures shall have power to make laws respecting the following subjects:Direct taxation and the imposition of duties on the export of timber," loge, masts, spars, dealle, and sawn lumber, and of coals and ather minerals.

So that the General Government areforbidden to place export duties on luniber, coals, and other minerals found in any of the several provinces, such right being reserved to the local lenislatures. But in the resolutions submitted to the House in English, there is a most important and invidious distinction, and I drew the attention of the Hon. Finance Minister to it early in the debate. It states:-

The General Parliament'shall have power to make laws, etcetera, respecting the following subjects:-3. The imposition or regalation of duties of customs on imports and exports-except on exports of timber; logs, matts, spars, deals, and sawn lumber from New Brunswick; and of coals and other minerals from Nova scotia.
By the first of these series of resolutions the Genoral Government was deprived of the right of imposing export duties on lumber, coals, and other minerals in regard to all the provinces; whilst by the resolutions now before the Huase, the Geaeral Goverument is allowed tó impose such duties except on lumber exported from New Bruoswiet, and coals and other minerals exported from Nova Scotia. Then the $\pm 3$ rdresolution now before the House says:-
The loeal legistaturas shall have power to make laws rezpecting the following: subjects:-1. Direct taxatisa, and ia Ne $\vee$ Brunswick the impo sition $3 f$ duties on the export of timber, logs, masat', "spąrs, deals, aud sawn lomber; and in Nova Seotia, of coals and other migerals.
That is to say in New Brunswick and Nova Scotia they have a right to impose duyies, tor local purposes, wa the export of luuber, coals, and other minerals, whilst in Canada and the other provinces that power is withheld; and while the timber and minerals from Canada can be taxed by the General Government for general purposes, the timber if New Brunswiok, and the cool and minerals of Nova Scotia, can only be taxed by the local governments of these provinces, and for local purposata puly. This is a most unjast arrange ment for both Upper and Lower Canada. Now, gir, I find in an official document, pubHished in Novi Scotia under the sanction of
the Government of that province, and submitted to parliament now sitting, that the powers of the General Government andof the local governments in respect to the export duties upon lumber, coals, and other minerals, are exactly the same, word fer word, as are set forth in the printed copysent to the members during the "reeess." (Hear," hear.). It has been asserted that this was in treaty entered iuto by the delegates of the zereral provinces; but it stems to be a treaty' in which alterations have been mude. (Herr; hear.) I celled the atteation of the honorable geatlemen opposite to this discreprocy, aud asked which was the true and eorreet copy uf the resolutions, and I was told that it was the copy which had been subinitted to: the House, There has been an alterating boucwhere; andin a matter. of this ifripus inportance the Government ought to tell us huw and where it uccurredthey ought to iuform us if is is not the case that the treaty was changed after the Cootereace had eened to macet, aud dy whote requent and by whim the change was made. It is erident that we are edied to vote for a sehe de, here, different from that submitted to the Legisfature of Niva.Sertia, and one more unfarurable to us than that which the delegates trom Yoviscan have requrted to their Gowerament." White on this wabjet, I will also
 the Wrenchand the Eaghat versing of the respluthon subritted to the Hoase, the Freacheversu belme the sutas the one communcated to the metukery by the Hoa. Proviachat Serceary, and alo to thene subinited to the Nova Sunth Legertitare: This would indieate that the chasere has beta made in these replutions submited to this Humes, and it is well that wa stumh have semer iuformathon, and hogim what has takea, plue about this pretended treaty siace the separation of
 uther itu portast martete which dematuds theas-
 that the whule of the dyewatis hat agreed to the resulutions of the U"merenes. - flear, heary) The date if Mr. Palman was hees infed as being au exumptin, and to that the hourcible geationed apowite deotared that
 tious. It aut hase what was.mtated :

How, Ater, "Lex. Mat DONALD-Keso.
Hos. Ms. DoBtux-But 1 hind that besides Mro. Malyens, who assefted pubicely that he hat sigued the reselutions of the Couference to auhenticate thens, and that he had not agreed to thesio resolutions, there is
also Mr. Drckey, another delegate, who has taken the samo course. Mr. Dickery even went-so far as to address a letter to the Lien-tenant-Governor of Nova Scotia, Sir R. Graves McDonnect,' in whioh he says:-

The Honorable Provincial Secretary has submitted for my inspection a report to Your Excellency, dated 5 th December last, and signed by himself, the Honorable Atiorney General, the Honorable J. McCuler, and A. G. Anchibaid, Esq, of the resull of a mission with which we were charged by. Your Excellency, to attend a Conference at Quebec upon the sabject of Intercolonial 'union. In that report I am happy to be able cordially to concur, except as to that portion of it which would seem to itimply the unanimous action of members of the Conierence. Is l bad the misfortune to difier from my colleagues in several important details of the scheme submitted to Your Excellency, I feel myself constrained to withhold my lignasare from the report, unaccompanied by this explanation. My regret at this circumstance is greatly diminished by the reflection that the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for the Colonies, in his despatch of 3rd peceinber last, gustains, ny view that the scheme is susceptible of modification and improve aieat.
(Huar, heary) These are two points which I think are very important, and the hotorable gentiemea opposite ought to offor some ex-planation-on the first point áat events. In the retarn of correspondence presented to the Nova Scotia Legislature, I find also a very important letter which was addressed on the 9 h of January last by the Lieutenant Govornor of Nova scotia to the Governor General of Cinada: That letter has nover been communicated to us, although an Address for all the correspondence in relerence to thit Confed eration seheme was ; propwsed and carried ser. eral week ago. Thie letter of Sir R. Guavas HCDONSELL was in answer to a despatah from Lord Monch of the ,23rd Deecmber, 184t, and the third paragraph. reads as fot. bows:-

It is cridecis from tha conmanication of tho Hight Eiouturable the Secretury of Stato, that Hor Majesty Goverament expects to ban, aided is the preparation of a bill acibibodying tho stasyembion of the Queber Coulioreace, by Weputations frym
 and the menbuers of my Guvernanest, that to avoid the probable mulbiphiod divergenes of opia-

 sar prartiameata, despite of a general as zeigemat is the main objection and principles of the gexaral selume, it it bemer for thess groviaces to avail thecuselves of the trexady drbitument of tho

Queen's Government and send delegates to consolt with the latter during the preparation of the proposed Tmperial Bill. The pecaliar "views"and this is the point-of each legislature might, if necessary, find expression in instructions to the delegates fiom each.
(Hear, hear.) So we find in this letter, which has been withheld from us, a suggestion that amendments can be made to the scheme in the form of instructions to the delegates from each of the several legislatures ; and yet honorable gentlemen have stated that these resolutions were, in point of fact, a treaty, which this Legislature could not alter or amend in the least important particular, but that hanorable members mast say " aye" or nay" upon them precisely as they stapd! (Hear, hear:) There are three material circunstances here cited-first, the discrepancies in rogard to the export duties on lamber, coals and other minerals; second, the discretion whioh is reserved to the Lower Provinces, by their legislatures, to alter and amend the resulations; and third, the dissent by two of the delegates to the so-called treaty, althongh we have been informed by our own Government that the Coaference was unanimoualy in favor of it. (Hear, hear:) I de sire explanations from the Ministry on' these important points: (Hear, hear.)

Hos. MR. GALT.-As to the first point, I can only say that full explanations have already" becu given on several oceasions; with reference to the second point, the Canadian Goveranent is nit responsible for the opinions of the delegrates after they left this country; and as regards the third point, His Ex: celleacy the Governor Gencral seat down the forrespondeace to thit House as fully as he yought proper, and I presume the lieutenant. goveraors of the other provinces did the same.

Hos. Ma: DORION-I will remiad the housrable gentleman that there is another disurepaniey. The French eopy of the resolutions before the House is oxactly in accordances with the printed document seat from: Nova Scotta, and with the copy sent to nembers by the Hon. Provincial Secretary, while the English copy aom before the House is differtat. Now, of hesie different versions which is the corvect one, and where has the aluration been made? The importance of the $q$ utation is, I think, very great; for it the vermion' given in this 'Bhut-Book from Nova Scotia and in the Frencli copy; be corveet, wa in Lovier Canada will have a right to inpose, hur local purposies, an export dusy
on all timber, either from Upper or Lower Canada. (Hear. hear.) The resolution is in plain terms, and declares that the General Government shall have no yitht to impose an export duty on timber, but that the local governmeñits shall.

Hon. Mr. BROWN - The right copy is that in the Speaker's hands, of course.

Hon. Mr. DORION-But there are two versions of it-the one in English differing from that in French:

Hon. Atty. Gen. MACDONALD-I moved the resulutions in English; and if there is any difference in the French copy, it is an error in the translation.

Hon. Mr. DORIO N-Well, if the English copy is the right one, the General Government will have the right to impose an export duty; on all timber except that exported from. New Branswick; and on all coals and minerals except from Nova Scotia:

Several MEMBERS-That is the right one.

Mr. De NIVERYILLE-Mr. Speaker, as the junior member of this honorable House, it' was proper that I should be the last to speak on the question which now engages our mention. A very few days betore the commencenent of the present session, I did not know that I should fill the seat which I now on to vote in this chamber, and should be called and take part in the debate upon it. Accordingly, part in the not had time, as most Achonorable meubery who have' spoken of the seheme submitted to the House have had to prepare myself to treat it in apolitical and diplomatic sense, and to examine the basison which it rests: - If, on the other hand; I had had the time ncessary to make myself thoroughly acguninted with it in all its beariuys, ol should have acted not otherwise than I shall now act. I should have left, as now, to other members of this House better qualitied than $I$ amin respect of knowledger, and the diecussion and vonsideration of great political questions, which are the fruit of a long service in Par-liament-I should have left to such honorable micimbers the office of viewing the question in the various aspects which distinguish. it. (Hear; hear.) as me bber for the chiof plate of the district of Three Hivers, and a French Cundian, 1 ought to speak in explanation of nyy views The difficult pustion of che' cunatry for the last fow years, arising Trom the equal strength of the two parties in th poltioll areia, and rendering tho admin:intration of pable affars arduous to the va-
rious ministries whish had, one after another, come into power-that position, I say, necesitated a change which might put an end to such a deplorable state of affairs. Our situation was like that of the Roman Empire when near its fall. The 'union, as the Hon. Attorney. General for Lower Canada (Hon. Mr. Cartier) so trell said, had lavted its time $;$ it now became necessary to try something else. It was necessary that the nation whicl; of all the different races, which inhabit the British Provinces in North America; is foremost in duration, energy and prosperity, should take the lead and initiate that measure which was to deliver the country from its diff. culties. Well, Mr. Speaker, the miost natural remedy which occurred was the scheme for the Copfederation of the English Provinces on this continent, and as the opponents of the metasure -men who have thrown all their powerst cour: age aud perseverance into their oppositionhave never mbred any other, it seemed to be the only one which found acceptance. This scheme has not had the effect of producing fear in my mind ${ }^{\text {x }}$ as it has in several members who have spoken before me. After careful examination, I have arrived at the conelasion. that it is practicable and that it ought to be adopted. I am well aware that it is not perfect, for there is nothing perfect in this nether world.- It 'was' not possible to take every adtantage for Lower Canda, and to leave nothing for Upper Canada and the Maritime Provitices. Some conctessions were necesisary to be made in common justice,' as we were obtaining great material advantages, together with the preservation and pt tection of our dearest interests." In ghort; it was necessary that we and they should make what is eqenerally termed a compromise, and that: conipromise was such as to be in onr favor in every respect. I do not profess to be a religions man, bup I'may venture to asgert," without transgressing the bounds of modesty, that I love sand revere my religion as muth as any other man in this House. Before, therufgre, I could form any decided opinion on the question that is now before the Heuse , and give my vote in favor of it, I did not omit to consult oar priests. I have always blawed the conduct of those prieste who inturfered in elections and maters of polioy; actings the parts of canvasaers and ultra-partisans, listead of endeavoring from the pulpit- the very ahode of truth-to calm the animosities of parties, and to aid the peoplo in making anhonest, free, independent and judipious ohoice.

itself into a political tribune, from which they promulgate principles whioh might be tormed. seditious. Such conduct I have always condemned. I love to find in the members of the clergy those virtues which ought to characterise them; and now the business in hand is not the clection of a member of Parliansent, but a complete change in the Constitution of the country it is my opinion that they ought to be considered citizens, and to enioy as fully and completely as any other class the endowuents and privileges which belong to others, and that as others have, so should they have a right to examine the new Constitution' which we are to receive, and to givéthẹir"opinion on its merits and imperfec tions. Relying on the judgment and the irtelligence of certain of this order, I thought it right to consult them. I had recourse to two nembers of the elergy of the district of Three Rivers-men of grcat learning, and eminently gualified to give an opinion on the scheme of Confederation-min who were perfectly free from the spirit of party, without political bias or personal ambition to be gratified in prefer: ence to the inturests of the country, and whose opinions were enfitled to respect as being the frait of a life of stady and labor constantly employed to increase the happiness and pros perity of their fellow-citizens and their country and to protect our religions institutions. (Hear, hear) - I have no intention to name those two venerable men, who are kuown thruughout the coantry as two of the most distinguished "members of our Canadias clergy and most ominent citizens. Well, Mr. Sprakit, I consulted thofo two men, and both agreed in making answer that thoy were favorable to the project of Confoderation of the British North American Procinces on this contincat. Resting, then, on my own conrictivas that Confederation is the best means we have at hand of escaping from the present difficult position of the country, and on: the authority of members of the clengy -an authority wich I take pleasure in mentioning, beanse the opponerts of the Ministerial plan have affocted to belipve that all the olergy in the country are opposed to the measure-thus supported, Mr.SPEAcEe, I hold it to be my duty, sind I do not hesilste to yiva the vote in favor of the principle and the project of Confederation. Cortain approfowatons hiace arison in the public nitid rela. tive to the project tio question; these fears, necd not Hay. have heen cxeited by the apponeats of the measure, who maka themdresh hadre with orying that Bromob-Capadian
nationality would be swallowed up by Confederation, and that in twenty-five or thirty yearg' time there would not be a $i n g$ gle French. Canadian left in Lower Canada. Well, Mr. Sprakia, I appeal, to prove the falsehood of these declarations, to the men who in 1840 -the time of the union of the two provinceslabored with so much zeal and energy to guard the natural depository of our social and religions rights from danger-I appeal, to prove it, to those men who applied all their energy, their abilities, and zheir patriotism to prevent the union; to those then who, endowed with a singleness of mind at least equal to that which animates the opponents of Confederation, procured numerous petitidedas to be signed "against the union of Upper and Lower Canada ; to those men, in short, who predicted that in ten years' time thero would sot be 'a single French-Canadian lef- these men I summon to the bar of publio, opinion, and I ass them-" Gentemen, did you predict truyl? What has become of that Hrench. Canadian pationality which was to be swallowed ap by the union? "Has it disappeared; as you said it Yould? See and judge for yourselves." That dation; which was doomed to be annihilated, has built up Montreal, the first commercial city in the two CanadasMontreal, on which the honorabie member for Richolien (Mr: Pzaratly) pronozinced'suoh a pompous enlogy" in his speech the other epening-an eutogy that he extended to the country gencrally-praising its immienge resources and grownis prosperity: It was under the uniun and through the union that the splendid Vietoria Bridge was erected, the moost magnificent work of the kind in the forld: Under its auspices, also, we contatructed those iummense canals which have received honorablo mention from the lips of the hoonorable member for Richielien; ;and every body knows that that honorabile member is aminently qualified to pronounce a judyment on such matters, having seen and esiamicied the canale constructed in Europe, Accordingly we are justitied in saying that our eanals aro immeasurably guperior to the canalis of Europe; as he tells an in respeect to: several of our canals, that a boy in the emmallest of stififs coald tonch tho rextement walls with his two tioy oars. I must say that I do not aceept the interpretation pat on that part of tho hooporable mecmber's appech by the honiorable member for Montoalm (Mirn Josiepa Duraissibl, in which be naid that there mere men'on the bench of bishops as mell informed mad as eminent as eay that were to bo forimed
in any ministry. This. is the interpretation I put on that phrase of the honorable member for Richelien, and I do not think I mistake in saying that it curns against those who, at the time of the union of the two Canadas, did everything they could to prevent it. In 1840 those men; those good and zealous. patriots, told the people, by way of serving their cause, that in twenty-five years there would not be a single French-Canadian left in Lower Canada ; and now the honorable inember for Richelieu comes out and gives them the lie direct by saying that. at this present time, the Roman Catholic bench of bishops numbers among its members men-of coirse. French-Canadians-who are as eminent for their talents and acquirements as the most distingaished members of oar politicol world ; and that religion is amply protected by the present Constitution, which was nevertheless deatined, according to those great patriots, to swallow us up and sweep us from the face of the contineat.

## Me. DENIS-That is very true:

Mis. De NIVERVILLE-One word to comfort those Erènch-CaLadians who are afraid fof suffering wrong in the Federal Farliament, being as they say an ingignificant minority of that body:- Ever since nations began to comprehend their true interests; a cortain equilibrium lias beén established which it will always be their aim to maintain. This constintes the protection which the union of two weak parties affords against a strong one, which would aggran: dize itself at their expeuse: This law of equilbrium is: reproduced in all times and places-among ratious and among individuals: it is found even among ainimals. For what purpose did the two first nations in the world, France and Englaid, unite together to resist the invading forces of the powerful despot of the north-the Emperor of Russia and what was the object of the canipaign in the Crimea? Was it to reap the barren glory of shouting that the French soldiers rushed to the assault with the impeinous speed of the thunderbolt; that the Engligh aoldiers received the 'enemy's fire without yielding a foot; that they marchied with the cool determination of a wedge of iron against the enemy's squares, and that nothing could regist their onward movement? By no means. Those two powers vere perfectly acquainted with the qualities which distioguiahed their réspective armies, and did not need to put them to the proof. Their intention was eimply to prevent the

Emperor of Russia from extending the frontiers of his statesindetinitely, to the detryment of the surrounding nations. Why did the present Euperor of the French go to war with his cousin the Emperor of Austria:? For exactly the same reason. If will go even further, and ask why the beas grazing in a pisture driyes anay the first strange animal which enters it? It is a mere instinct of self-preservation.' (Hear, heari) Well, Mr. Speaker, as that instinct of self-preservation prevails among all created beiugs on the earth, why should it not be produced among the different provinces of the Confederation? If Cpper Canada should ever seek to a act unjustly towaris Lower Canads and the Lower Lrovinces, the latter would naturally and instinctively strik up an alliance to resist the eacroachments and injustice of the sister province. I am eertain, therefore, that in this respect we have nothing to fear: As ä French: Canadian," it is my business to speak of what concerds us moist nearly: our religiun, our langaye; our institutions and our laws Well, then, wit hit respect to our language, 1 ask whether there is the least danger of pur losing it in the Confederation? Far from being in danger, I believe it will be more io vigue under the new regime, as it can be spoken and made ase of not only in the Federal Parliament and local legislatures, but also in the supreme courts which will be hereafter instituted in the conntry. sy that when that tilue arrives- that is to say, when the Confederation is estabiyshed. we shall have a fuller use of our language. Fór what liberty have we in its use in this chamber? That liberty which the liberals have vannted so highly, which caunot be touched without destroying 4t, in what way have we it here? Has it been couceded to us in "the full acceptation of the word? By no means, Mr. Sreaken; we have it. but it is as Tantalus had the water-ho was thirsty, but he drank not; though the water bubbled to his lips; the water receded as soon as he attempted to receive it. :(Hear, hear.). In truth, what kind of liberty have we, who do not understand the hivelish language ! S We are at liberty to hold our tongues, to listen, and to uaderstand in we can. (Hear, hear, and contipued laughter.) Under the Confederation, the Epper Canadians will speak their language, and the Lower Canadians theirs, just is we do duw; with this difference, that they who count a large majority of their countrymen in the

House, may hope to hear their language spoken the oftenest, as new members will use the language of the majority.' I intend no reproval to the honorable nembers who have spoken iu Euglish on the question nuw betore the House, thus deprivitig us of the pleasure of understanding them, and, therefore, of enjoying their eloguence, and being conviped by their logic. What they have done on the present occasion is o simple uet of justice due to the majority of this Honse, and one with the French: Capadians haveal ways rendered with pleasara. But if we follow the example of most French: Cauadians in days gene by, we shall not keep onr latguage long - How often do we find iu the towns, nay, even in the country parts, Canadians who have no buoner caught up two words of English that they run of with delight to repeat them to their nuiphbors Euigration to the United States, which till cease under Coufederation (forme shall hare the managetuent of our publie lands), has been a priticipal canse of that stupid mania with which all seem to to seized who have lived some timia among ouP neighbors and returaed to Canada. 10 giye you an idea of that lamentalle mania, I stiall relate a circomistance in Which. I was una of the actors. Nut two monthe since, I was ou the platform at the station where the branch from Arthabasks to Three Rivers leaves the Grand Truak; whei'two young men, dresued in the A meriean fastion, came to the hotel One, as he caue in, called out in a luad vicice, "Where is the ostler?". the man, - who was a stout 'Canadian, sồn made his roppearance, and as soon as he est yes on the genteman, called out in bis tura, "What! Joo, tit you?" (Fizso: cest ion, Joh t) Ot course our pret zadad Alacrican was taken aback; and tor the unouent duaib. foutuded. Sreing his embarrassmeist, and willug, in pity to the poor victim of affectatien, to relieve hom trom' it; aithough it had its comic side, I cailed to the otablemmu and said, "Go and take the gentlemun"s horses; dus't you see they are Aincricans, sud that they doa't understaud you."... Well, Mr. Sreaken, such, scene frequently acear; may, those who aiove now and then from bumy may see them every dayg So if we do nut wish our beautiful language to lose its influcaco, we mast not lail to discuunteoasce the nffectation' of Canadians talting Euglish when they hardly know a word of it. Otherwise we must take to talking Buglish, and let our ovi language
sink into disuse and oblivion. For our religion I have no fears. The experience of the past is a guarantee for the future. $\therefore$ We live no longer in those times when Paradise was the promised reward of all whoill-treated those of a religion different from their own. These are not the days in which wars and troubles between nations were begotten of religious hatred. The world is too civilized: to renew tir scenes which were then constantly exhibited. Every man is free to practise his religion as he pleases, and this tolerant spirit is especially to be noted as chardeterising the English nation. True; we fipd some fanatics both among the English pand the Freach popalation: anfortauately we had two instances of the working of this spiritin one evening in this Housethe one from a Catholic, the other from a Protestant. The former eried out loady that Contederation would be a miortal blow to the Catholic religion, white the other cried as loudly that it would be the ruin of the Protestants. I must contess, Mr. Speaker, that I am not one of those who live id fear and diatrast of British domination. As long as wo live under the sway of free England, I hare not the least doubt that our langasge will bo. fully protected, and that in filty years from this present time, good Catholies will be allowed the exercise of their religion as frely, as safely and as piously as this day, and that the wicked will. not be cowpelled to be more religions than: they now are. (Hear, hear.) The hon. member for Bagot told us that there are a greati number ot Catholies in England, and that thoy are perfectly at liberty to exercise their religion at their pleasure, but that they are not representedia the Eaglish Parlianent. This, far from buing a proof of intoler"ace, I take to be a proot of their tolerans charater, siace, although able to uppress the Catholios, theyleave theyin at full liberty to fulfil the pious exeroises of their religion. Irepeat it, Mr: Spakera, there are gation in all roligions; happily for humanity, they are but a suall miaority, and men of good sease bold thein ia conteapt. (Hzar, hear.) Our lastitutions are secured to us by our treaties with Ureal Britaia; our taws by the articles of Confederation. What esercion, what restraiat or opposition have wo to apprehend from the Mother Country, whea the subject of the Bribish Guvernment is acknowledged throughoat the whola world to be of all men the most free? Most free in the
exercise of his rights as a citizen; as free in speech and action as be is secure in his person, wherever he may find occasion to assert his rights, to uphold them and defend them. I say": wherever," becunse the English people cab, with as perfect freedom and perfect conidence, state their grievances before any tribuail and all authorities, from the highesi to the lowest, as they can in the bosom of their families or in a circle of intimate friends, We, tuoreover, possess one infallible means-based on the laws of Nature, berself-of preserving to the French-Canadians in all their purity theirlanguage, their religion, their institutions and their laws; and that means is education-the education which we receive first from the authors of our existence in our childhood, and which is afterwards continued in our elementary schools and our seminaries; that educationChristian, ploral and religious-which is so carefully, wisely aud anxiously instilled into ns in our youthful days by the masters and tators of our colleges; that practical education which we aequire in the course of our dealings and trangactions with men of business. That education it is, Mr. Speaker, Which renders pations prosperons, rioh and great, which elevates them to the rank of which they are worthy, and maintains them in it: It never fades from the mind on Which it has been impressed-it remains fixed on the memory, like the characters which we engrave on the bark of a young tree, and" which are lonnd tong. years after, when it falls under the woodman's axe, As the representative of the city of Three Rivers, I may be allowed to say a few words relative to the advantages which Confederation will bring to that distriet. Every one knows that it possesses tommense tracta of land not yet opened out to the settler; magnificent forests of timber of all kinds, and mines of inestimable value. It is beyond question that Three Rivers yields the best iton in the conatry. This was proved at the Great Exhibition at London, where the first medals were awarded to the Radnor Ironmorks Company for the best iron-wheels, in respect of durability; elegance and quality." The St. Maurice has been grossly negleeted by the various Administrations: whieh, during the last ten yearis have held office, although the distriat yielded a revenue of $\$ 30,000$ or $\$ 40,000$ to the public shest, which might have entitled it to some compeagation. Nevertheless, the
district of Three Rivers is not bëhind other districts in the country, either in induatrial success or in the energy and enterprise of its 'inhabitinits." The Arthabaska Remlvay, which it was said would not pay runaing expenses, is at present more productive than any part of the Grand Tiunk Railwày. We need cilonization roads and railways, and I "am convinced that under the Confederation, when we shall have the management of our own funds whithout the interfereace of Upper Canada, we shall build railways in all parts where the requirements of trade and industrial pursuits call for them. We shall then uffer to the settler well-made and wellKept roads, and the diatrica of Three Rivers will derive precionas results from them, as well as other districts of the country. (Hear; Hear.) We bave a proof of the rapidity With which the district of Three Rivers would grov, it it were encuaraged. This is found in the parishes of St: Maurice, St: Etiende, Stee. Flore and Shawinigan. It is nearly twenty five years since St. Maurice was a "mere forest; now' it is a large; rioh, and beautiful parish, of which the district of Three Rivers has reason to be proud., It nombers upwards of five hundred yoters with the parish of Moat Carayel, which is an offset fromity Tho extensive trade in timber which is carried on in the valley of the St. Maorive, and whict euploys thousads of laborers, is an important element in the commercial busiaess of the country exporting to a great amount the lamber which is taken from the exteasive terti-tory-if I may be allowed the espressionbeloagiag to the district of Three Rivers; and these vast tracts which await the setder, those iron mines so rich and sow well knowa, those mines of other minerals still hidden in the monatains and valleja of tha. St, Maurice, these riokes of all kinds which abound there await ouly the hiud of maia to renuer the district and city. of Thiree Rivers an imporiant part of Lower Canada. (Hear, hear.) The Ministers of the Urown ia Canaida have been accused of bringing ap the question of Confeleration only as a means of retaining power and increasing it. The Hon. Attorney Geaeral for Lower Canada has been aecused of anofing that ineasure only that he may beevane LientenantGovernur of Lower Canada. Well, Mr. Speakia; I am thuroughls courioved that that houvable Minister hay too much energy, is too laborious, to seck or to accept an office
in "which he would have nothing to do. (Hear, hear.) For my part, make a present to the Opposition of all the profit I am likely to derive from places or dignities under Confederation, when we have it: I repel the idea that Canadian statesimen allowed themselves to be ioflinepced by palury notions of personal interest, when they set about devising means to ex tricato us from the difficulties in which we were involved. They had in view only the interests of the nation; and never had a thought, as some have insinuated, of delivering the country up to ruin and desolatioa I conclade, Mr. SPBAKER, by declaring that I/am in favor of CJnfederation, and opposed to the appeal to the people, because I believe it to be perfectly "usoless. An hons member who spoke yesterday told us that the/clergy are not qualified to form is judgment on the project of Confederation. Now, I ask you, if the clergy are not qualified to form a judgment on such a ques tion, how the people can form one who have not the necessary education? How can they comprohend the aggregate and the details of tha scheme, and adoertain whether it would be beneficial to them or not? I repeat that I am in favor of the project now under consideration-tirst, because I declar. ed myself favorable to the measure when I presented uyself to my constitueats; and, securully, because I thiat it necessary and even indispensable, and colculated moreover to promote the interesta of the country in general, and those of Lower Canada and the district of Three Ripers in particular. (Cheors.)

Mr. GagNON - Mr. Speakla, the scheme of a Confederation of the proviaces now befure this. Hoase is one too deeply interesting to be receivod in sileace. Il I rise to speak on this useasion, it is for the simple purpose of justifying, my, opinion oa the aubjoct, by atatiog my reasons for entertaining it; and as I am not in the babit of waking speeches, I crave the indalgence of the House. It is the opinion of pacmbers on the other side of the House that the country will deriva great advantages from this uvion; bat those adivantages depend, as most people think, on the contingencies of an unknown fature, and by othars, are looked upon as the doubsfal results of a hazardons and dangerous speculation, which will involve the raia of our credit. Nut only, Mr. Speakea, do wo risk our capital; which will be lost in the ezecution of this great scheme;
not only do we ruin, by this new union, the eredit of our country, but we hower Ganadians risk everything that is dear to us, even our nationality, while knowing that we can gain nothing by the change: As an inducement to Lower Canada to accept this scheme, wa are promised a railway to open up an intercourse of commerce with the Lower Provinces, and we are given to believe that this great commerce to be opened up by the grand line of communication will be a vast benefit to us ; but those who will take time to reflect may coine to a different conclasion, without auy donger of being mis. taken, fir those provinces have bothing to exchange with ns. We have the same productions as they have, and in greater abundance than any of them. They have nothing hat coal which we do not possess, and that is not transported by railway. This railway will, as a matter of course, lead to the expenditure of eaormons sums for building it, apd will afterivards cost us a great denl in repairs and working expeuses, aud after all, willouly be of use as a sabstitute for a few schooners which carry down our produce to the Gulf Proviaces every season. This, Mr. Sprakike, will be a dear price to pay for the complete destruction of our little inland navigation, which ought rather to bo protected. The amount of expenditare luvolved in the building of this railway, if wisely applied to the opeaing of colonization roads, to the impravemént of roads and bridges, and the clearing of the public lauds, wuid he minch more beneficial to the people of this proviuce, who would find in thesa things. a degree of satisfaction and happiness which would enable them to do withont Confederation, which rould be no cura for our palitioal traubles. A lithe more good-will and caluaess in discussing the queation, would have stified the cry for representation based on population, and uur country would have beeb able to gu on uader the actual union, which is luss daugerous and less èxpensive than that which is now proposed by the Governimeat. I should have had other fix marks to offor, Mr. SPRAKEa, but"' I aur obliged to pestpone them, ais hua. members must be worn ous with their long sittings, and the lateness of the honr. (Oheers.)
Hon. Ma, HUNTINGTON said-I do. dot intend, Mr. Spgasma, to occupy the time of the Honse in any leagthened remarks; and yot as a mecuber reprosenting a constituency of this comntry; I do not feel
disposed to give a vote on this question, without saying at least a word upon it. And it occurs to me-and I say it in the best spirit, and with no intention to cast a rellection upon honorable gentlemen opposite -that if there is so great a desire as appears to exist to-night on the part of honorable members to express thenselves upon this question-many of them who are favorable to the scheme as well as opposed to it-and if it is found that the epportunity is curtailed, the responsibility does not at any rate rest upon this side of the House. (Hear, hear.) I do not. as I have said, propose at this late houn to enter at any considerable levigth into discussion of this measure; but there are puints that present themselves to we as possessing considerable importance, that lave ndt, I believe, been brought out during the progress of the debate'; and if an opportuitity is subsequeutly given for remarking upou them, I may avail myself of it. $\because$ But I cannct forbear reuarking naw, in reference the announcement made by the Government the other night, that in a certain sense I consider it wias a step in the right direction- I believe it was then stated that a mission would be sent to England to consult with the Imperial Government with a view of arranging definitely the question of the deTence of this country, anil the proportion of the cost of defence to be borne by the res: pective countrics. Now, without desiring or intending to occupy the time of the House by raiving a debate upon this point; I canad help observing that it was desirable, before this sebeme of Confederation was adopted at all by the Conference, that this arrangement should have taken place with the Imperial Government-that. it should bavo been proliminary to the plan of union. proposed, and that the Conference should have taken apon itself to arrange with the Imperial Government the proportionate expense which is to be borne by the two countries in rolation to the defence of these provipecs ; for, let it be borue in mind that shis question has been forced upon ins in Canada as the only means of preparing the country argainst the aggression of our yeifhbors ; and yet wo are ansed in adopting this soheme, to go to a great extent in the dark. We are asked to adopt it, and at the same time it is known that the result must be a chaige in respect to the proportion of dofence we in this country will be called upon
to bear. It has been said that the disposition in Eugland to take part in our defence was "owing to the fact that Canada had manifested a disposition also to make provision tor defence. Notr, sapposing we should fail at any time hereafter to bear what may be cousidered in England a fair proportion of this cost, what would be the conse. quence? Why, we might be plaeed again in precisely the same position in which we find ourselves to day: England might withdraw her troops from this province, and refuse to engage in any defensive works, unless we uudertuok more than in the opin-: ion of the people of this country we are able to bear; ; and hence it is ny opinion that if it was desinable that this question of Confederation stovild be submitted to the people at all for their adoption, the first and indispeasable step to have taken Was to arrange with the Iupertat Goverament the terms and couditiuns as regards the question of defence upon which we are to euter this bew state of polticill existence: In this sense 1 do not regret that the scheme, as far is the Lower Promuces are conceraed - judg. ing trum recent eyerts in New Brunswick and the uteraucts of putio men in the other colunies-is likely tu be delayed in its aceumplistiment; and 1 am not sorry that He Canadian Guverament, by this action of the Lower Provincés, will te compelled to consult wh the thiperial authoritics and arrange with theda the proportion we are to bear of the cust of mantapusy the defence of the counthy: (Hear, hear.) It anay be alwost providential that we are expapetled, by the force ot cireumstances to the hower Yruvituces to take thos step aow; and I miast ray that heretoture there data beea a dispoai. Lhou manfested ou the part of the Govertsweat to ket pthe peapie in ignorance upon this subject; but 1 truat that whea these negotationg shall have taken plate with the Impertal Govertacact, we shall- Enow pre: cisely what the liuvernuent has done aud what th has agreed to do, and that the exact propurtion ot expense chat we are expeeted to bear will be land betore thes House and submitied to the optaton the people of this cuantry, (Hear, hear.) I make these remarks $y_{2}$ sir, merely becausef to me the potat appears to be a very important vae; aus becutuse 1 believe the fullest infuruation will be indispensable to this Hunse is the future desensions that may take place apon this subject. (Hear, hear.) There is another poiat that has suggested
itself, to which, perhaps, I may be permitted to allade in a few words. I wish to do so without reflecting upoi any hon. miember of this House; but I cannot help feeling and expressing extreme regret, as a Canadian and a British subject, at the spirit that bas characterized this discussion upon Confederation and defence on the part of those hon. gentlemen who support this scheme. Sir, in a British Legislature, where it is propused to build up a great monarchical constitution on this continent, on the model which has flourished in England, I regret that any houorable gentleman should have found it necessary to charge a seditious gnd dislogal intention upon all those who cannot agree. with them in supporting this, scheme. (Hear, hear.) For myself-I say it'sincérely and earnestly, though I have boasted less of my logalty and attachment to the Britist Crown and Constitution than some hon. mear. bers of this Honse-I think I uiay say there is no one who lone more than I love the British constitutional system, "no man who desires more than 1 deste to see copied hero that British constitutional-monarchical system, and no man who believes more firuly than I believe that it would give to the people of these colonies that greatacas, prosperity and freetom that have distin. guished the people from whoas we have sprang on the other side of the water. (Hear; hear.). But if this debate is considered to be of sufficient importance to have a place suong the records of the country-to go down to posterity us the serious utserances of our public men, I thisk it is a cause of deep regret that hou. gentlemen opposite, in view of that great patriotism of which they have boasted so much, and which they affirm bas induced them to sink minor considerations of party and porsonal antagonism for the sake of carrying a priaciple of whioh they profess to bo the disinterested and self-deaying exponents, have not seen : fit, in the diseussion of this question, to discuss it like atalesmen, and not brand as infamons, traitorous and robllious those who differ from them in their view of it. (Hear, hear.) I think the people of this conntry, whether belonging to the Couservative or the Reform party, will feel a deep regret at this; and if there is one thing more than another that indicates that the present like past coalitions is going to resule in advantage to the Conservative at the expense of the Reform party-if there is one thing more than axiother that makes me tar that
the Reform members of the Government, for whom I have a strong political as well as personal sympathy, will be overwhelued by their conservative colleagues- it is this cry, this bugbear, this bête nơre of añezation raised by hoo. geutlemen on the other side of the Honse Why, sir, it is only a few monthis since we had the great Constitutional party orgavized in this country, and baptized with an amonnt of eloquenceand parade such as neyer attended the birth of a party in any other country. We were told by the leaders of this great Constitutional party that the British Constitation in this country must be defended; that the conntry was divided into two parties-the Annexation party and the Constitutional party-and that the reformers composed tha former, while the conservatives desired to perpetnate British connection. Ny hon. friend the member for Lambton was siogled out for attack, and told that he and those with whom he acted desired to band the country over to the Americans; that he was unfaithful and untrue to his Allegiance; that he, carried the sign of demoeracy on his face; and the whole Reform party, was branded last summer by the Conservative leaders as annexationista, who desired to uproot and overthrow the Britigh institutions of this conntry. Well, sir, what do we find now? We find the some uharges burled at the minurity in this House-my hon: friends who sit around me-by the leaders of this same great Constitutional party ; and we find the Honorable Provincial Secretary, the Ilonorable Postmaster Genexral, and the Honorable President of the Conncil for all of mhom, In confess, I yet feel a strong political sympathy-sitting silently by, while their old friends and former colleagues are suffering the same abuse that was dealt out to them by their presebit colleague only a fow stort months' ago: Have thex suddenly turned to view these charges as just, or do they still think, as they thought last summer, that they are unfair and unfounded? If thoy wero unfair then, is it right now that, without a word of oxpostulation, they should allow them to be hurled at us without a word of espositalation from the great Liberal party of Upper Canada, that has suffered with us from these diggraceful, fonl and slanderous imputations? (Hear, hear.) Is it liberal, is it jush, is it fair, is it manly, sir; that they should now' sit silentiy by and see the handfal who compose the minority in this Houso-honorable gentemen with whom, but as short vime
since, they acted and in whom they had confidence-branded, as they themselves were branded six months ago, with the name of annexationist and democrat? (Hear, hear.) I have thought, as I gave heard these charges reiterated, that they might have interposed; 1 have thought they might have pitied us a little for the sake of former associations.: I have thought that the great Liberal party of Upper Canada might have come a little to the rescue of their furmer colleagaes, and said to those who uttered these false accusations-: Don't hound down these men too much; we know and have acted with them : they-are not annexationists, they are not rebellious, and we know that your accusations against them are un fair and ungenerous.". But, sir, throughout this debate these members of the Government have listened to attacks of this kind-the great Reform party of Upper Canada, which only yesterdsy, as it were," was' smarting under the lash that is now eracked over our heads, which ouly yesterday writhed under the odium of these falye representations-sat silently by, without a vord' of expostalation, without is word in deferice of their old frieuds, whom they know to be unjustiy and slanderoasly accused. (Hear, hear.) Now, why I speak of this natter is because. I fear that these gentlemen, who have long been the exponents of that great Liberal party, which has gained for as responsiblo government and everything worth living for under the political system we now enjoy, will be overwhelped by, the preponderance of conservative feeling in the Government and conservative inflicence in the country. I know that they do not teel comfortable under the presecut state of affairs. I Enow how the Mokerlars, the Mackenziea, who have beek so long the victims of couservative speers, and others who have long fought the battle of retorm; must "feel;' but I fear that the conservative leaven is about to leaven the whole lump.

Me. RYMAL-EXcept me:
Hon: Mr: HUNTINGTON-My hon. friend, in the able speech he made upon this sabject; excepted himself, and there is no need for mie to except ${ }^{\text {him. I I say, sir, } \text { it is buif }}$ yeaterday siace the organs of hon. gentlemen opposite, who lead the Constitutional party of this conatry, denounced us all as Americans und annexationists; and I warn the hon. members of the Liberal party, who sit quietly by while these charges are still mado ayainst the minority, that the measure which
is meted out to us now, and to them last sunmer, may again be dealt out to them without mercy. (Hear, hear.) I repeat, sir, I have, since this Coalition took place, seen no sign so perfect and so convinciugto me, that the conservatives have had the best of the bargain; as this-to see those hon gentlenien sitting tamely and silently in their seats, and not riving to say a word while the old cry under which they had writhed for so many years is fulminated against their former allies, and we have no indication from them that they are not the party wich they once were. With these remarks, and reserving to myself the right of speaking urore at length on the scheme, which I would be glad to diseuss somewhat fally if tiupe biad been allowed, I have siuply to say that che constituency which I represunt is not disposed to permit me tu vote for this scheriue. I say this from knowledge, having been compelled to be a good deal among them while attending to my busincss. In the Eastern Townships, both awong the French and English, the general opinion is strongly against this scheme. I have had such opportunities of learning the rivws and wishes of my constituents with referetice to this matter, as few other hou. gentlemen "have enjoyel for learning tho opinion of those whom they represent, and I come back to this House very mach streugthened in thir conviction that in the Lastern Townships, and lespecially in the constituency which 1 represent-

## Ma POPB-Hear, hear

Hon. Mr HUNTINITON-The fion ur-mber says " Hear. hear," but I thiuk I may speak in behalf of a large nuinber of petitionery in the county of Comptoo(bear, hear)-there is a large majority of the people opposed to this sehenie I have felt it to be any duty, as no one had risen to speak from the point of view I have taken, that 1 should say a word for those wher wret upposed to the-scheme, and that as there was no oue here to speak for the kasteri Townhips; where so strong a feeling pervaded the unasses against the scheme, I would but discharge my duty' in rising to state what I found to be the feeling it those cownships. (Hear, hear:) I have no doube that the Conservative party have large following in the Eastery Townships I have no doubt that a great many of those who follow the hom member for Sherbruote are disposed to follow biu in supporting the scheme'; but I spoak fop the Liberal party
of those townships, with whose opinions I have had an excellent opportunity of becoming aequainted. I do not say that the hon. member for Compton is not supported by that party in the position which he has taken, but $I$ do say that those people in the Eastern Townships, as a general thing, who sympathize with the Liberal party, are opposed to the scheme in the . circumstances under which it has been presented to the people. I was not a little surprised by a remark, which fell from my hon. friend the member for Richmond and Wolfe (Mr. WenB) I know perfectly well the lion gentleman's sincerity and therefore I was siogularly struck with the position he took. While he seemed to aduit the general feeling of appretension which prevailed, and tho unpopularity of the scheare in the Townships; yet he would vote for the whole scheme, reserving to himsell the right to deal with details. The scheme having been adopted by this Honse a whole, there is nu probatility whateser of the hotiorable gentleman getting a eliauce to vote upor tho detaily a second time. ?

If: WEBB-The "reolations have not been adopted, nor yet concurred in by the other provinces.

Hos Ma. HUNTINGTON-Well, I am speakitig of thent as if they had been adopted. 'It' makes do differevee whether they are adopted or not in the other pravinces for some time to come.. So far tus Canaida is concerned, the scheme will be carried before this Huuse rises; aud shere will be na further "opportunity of dealing with its details. But suppose we shoula yet the opportanity hereafter of voting on thosbdetails, in that position would be my hon. friend from Riehmond and Wolte, or my hon friend fromi North Wellington (Dr, Yarksa)-in what position would they btand when they rave to tuove ameinduents to resolutions which they had unly ses shors a time progiously vated for? Would they not be reminded by honorabla gentlenen-opposito that they had swalluyed tho whole bait, hook and line; bob and ginker! (Langhter) They say they will oppose the doails in fuctre; but if the detaily are incorreet, and they believe mo, and they say they do, why tuti oppese them now? It was said by the honorable nuember tor South Greuville (Mr:- Sinanly) that we uaght to earry the wholo scheme, let the details be over suanatisfactofy; trusting to the Federal Legislotury to detect and remedy them bercafter: : Now I think that Conadia
has had some experience in that way of doing things. Those details become vested right, and the sections benefited by them claim them suseh, and tenaciously cling. to them. (Hear, hear.) Mr. Speakek, I have not time to go into this subject at this point, and show how objectionable are' many of the details; but I maintain the position that it is most absurd and illogical to ask us to accept the scheme as a whole, and leave such objactionable details to be regulated hereafter: When the union of these provinces took place in 1841; the discussion in relation to it in the British. Houses of Parliament showed that the framers of the Union Actexpected that any difficulties that might grow out of it" woald be easily regulated by the united Parliament of Canadathat such questions as representation by population could be dealt with at any time: But what happened? Why! Lower Canada treated equal representation as a vested right, and stood firmly on that right. This being the case, there was no process provided by which the aril could be regulated. The result was that a great struggle came on, the difficulties arising out of which, honorable gentlemen upposite tell ns: have proved our Constitution to be a failure. Frotn this experience of the past, we onght to learn that it is very bad poliay to deliberatety put errors in our Constitution and trust to the futare to retnedy them. If you speak of a anion of all Brit. ish North America, nobody objects. Everybody is in favor of a union, provided the details are satisfactory; bat providing imperfeet details aud trasting to the future for cendering then what they ought to have been made at the putset, remiuds me of an incident a friead rolated the other day A carter was about to take a friend of mine with his baggage to the railway station, when my friend observed that one of the tugs was nothing but a piece of rofe. Says my friend, Y You aro not going to tako me through these twenty miles of woods with that strivg, are you?" "Oh! never mind," says he, "I have more striags in my pooker with which I can regulate that on the rexad." So hon. gentlemen on the Treasury beaches ask a* to follow them in their riokety concern, ansuriay ys that they have a poeketful of strings with which they can regulate things on the way. (Laughter.). But, sir, they will find no litte dificulty in bringing their posketful of strings into operation. They will find alaost insurnometable dificulties in the may of removiag the visted rights that will grow up
under any system that may be established. I believe that a number of circumstances connected with this scheme, a discussion of which I cannot now go into, render it the general opinion of those whom it is my busiress to represent on this floor, that in its present shape this scheme ought not to be carried into effect. First of all, so sweeping n measure as this-one for siveeping away our entire Constitution and substituting a new one unknown to the British flag-ought not to be carried out until it is submitted to the people; and, secondly, the multitude of details which it embraces ought to be calmly and aritieally considered, with a view to their amendment; where lonad defective, before being incorporated in our Coistitution. I do not say that this is the feeling of the Conservative party of mv own constituency or of the Eastern Tornships; but I do say that even among that party there are gravi apprehensions of difficulty growing out of such a jamble as is presented tor our adoption, when to time is to be allowed even for their consideration; to say nothing of their amendmenty. And many of that party have to hesitation in giving expression to those feelings. I have not met with a man, conservative or reformer, during my absence from this session of the House, who has not been ready to contend that it was the first duty of the Government to provide for esnsulting the people, and aseertaining from them, in a definite manner, whether they disired the change propöred or not. (Heir, hear:) Haring thas briefly expressed ny views, Mr. "St eaker, in order not to veary the Huuse at this late hour of the night-or rather of the morning; for it is now der three öclock-I will conclude by stating that I feel it my. duty, as a true representative, to record my yote against the resolutions: (Cheors.)

Mr. COWAN-Mr. Speakba, the tonorable member for Shefford says that he and hisfriends sympathised with the Reform party of Upper Canada when they were branded as rebels and writhing under the charge of disloyalty, and blames us for not extonding the sayue sympathy to him and his fricnds when laboring under a similar accusation. - I don't deny, sir, thiat the reformees of Upper Canada have oftea been branded as releels, but I' clo mosit emphatically deny that they ever utrified under the false accusation: Conseious of their fealty to both their Queen and country, they treated with the most sovareign con-
tempt every such foul, unfounded imputation against their loyalty. And I would adrise the hon member for Shefford to keep equally cool under similar provocation. If he and his friends are really loyal-and I have no doubt they are-all such aspersions of their loyalty, instead of afieting them, will only reeoi on the heads of their accusers.

Mr. T: R. FERGUSON said-Feeling and knowing as I do, Mr. Speaker, the great desire that is felt by the honorable gentlemen on the Treasury benches, and also, I am ready to admit, "by the large majority of the honorable members of this House, that discussion should not be coutinued unnecessarily, and that no obstructions should be thrown in the way of an inmediate decision on the question before us, I beg to state that it is not from choice but from necessity, that it is not from any desire of self-gratification, but from a deep sense of duty, that I rise to say a single word upon this occasion, particularly as so much has been said, and as the night is now so far advanced. I hope, therefore, that as I have remained silent during the debate up to the present moment, the House will hear with me while I briefly express my vieiss on this allimportant matter and assign a few of the reasons that induce me to record my vote in the manner which I design. Sir, I would say that I stand here in a different position from that of many honorable members who submitted the resolutions embodying the Confederation scheme to "their constituents, and who beld public meetings on the subject, and who received from them positive instruetions as to hor their vote should be recorded. I received a circular from the Governanent, marked "Private," but took no action to ascertain public opinion on its contents, so that'I ain, I regret to say, without a single word of adricy as to how I sheuld act in the matter. I may say, sir, that after reading the resolutions over again and again, Ifound many things in them that I could pot endorse. I felt that they were not that which we had expected from the Government, when wie gave our assent to the Coalition that yas formed for the purpose of briaging down some proposition for the, settement of our seetictial difficulties. I had expeoted that if a union of the colonizs took place at all, and a change of our Constitution in that direction was prou posed, we would not have had a Federal but a Legislative form of Government. It has been stated, sinee we had the pleasure of meeting tonether w the present session, that the howerable geatlemen who went to the

Oonference to represent Canadian views; and engage in preparing a scheme of union, could not obtain that union without its being based upon the Federal system. Sir I feel that this is very much to be deplored, ns I believe that "with a union based on the Federal system, we shall have constant dissension, and before very many years, if this scheme goes into operation, we shall again. have agitations for constitutional changes of various kinds, and that the ultimate result mast be a perfect unior under one Legislative Government for the wholn enuntry, and that now was the best time to settle the matter finally. If in the end, however, that should be the result of the long discossion we have had upon this Constitution, then I shall feel that though no amendinents have been allowed on the floor of this House, yet the discussion has been productive of some good. putpose.: (Hear; hear.) I had resolved upon offering amendments upon various points in the scheme before us, but the notion for the "previous question" has shat them out, which I very much regret. It is too late now to enter into an explanation of these several amendments. I was about to move, or to state what I contemplated accouplishing by proposing them. It is sufficient for me to nay that the previous question having been proposed, I feel that there has not beea that opportunity for the full cousideration of the scheme in all its parts that was expected, or that ought to have beep given to this House, in view of the fact that the people are not to be consulted in any other manner than by a vote of their representatives." Hear, hear.) Being one of those, air, who earnessly sought for a constitational change, and who joinedin the very just complaint of Upper Casadathat she was coupulled to labur under great grievances - the lack of eyual riphta with. Lower Canada on this floor, man for man, while she aloo contributed much the larger. proportion of the revenu- it is needless for me to say that I earnestly desired nome change, that I skmpachized with the prevailing sentiment of Upper Canada, "and usad my best equleavors, in the Huase and out of it, "to assist in bringing about a retiedy for our political and sectignal ills. But, sir, we found we could not get reprosentatiop according to population ; and since the present schature mas announced, and boow. ing the stroug teeling which exists againat it on the part of many of the Lower Canada members, I endeavored to ascertain, va coming down here, the opinion of hop. gentlemen
from Lower- Canada, and I found that, notwithstanding their opposition to the scheme of Confederation; which they were willing to do almost anything to defeat, they were still persistent in denying to Upper Canada a single shadow of a hope that her grievances would be redressed, if this scheme were rejected, by the granting of representation according to population. (Hear, hear.) Before coming here, too. I entertained the opinion that those hon. orable gentlemen from Upper Canada, who had fought so long and so uselessly for representation according to population, would join with me in endeavoring to get an amendment to the soheme before us adopted, giving us a Legislative instend of a Fedoral union. I soon feund out, however, that there was little hope of gating such an amendment carried, because nineitenths of them Were deterntined to accept the scheme as it stood, simply be cause their leaders were in the Government. (Hear, hear.) My hon. friend the member tor Shefford (Hon. Mr. Huntivaton), counplains of this measure being forced upon the country; but if there is one hon. gentleman more than another chargeable with bringing about this state of affairs, it is that honorable gentleuain. He once hell a high and bonorable position in"the Government of this counury. He is possessed of great ability, and being highly popular with his constituents, could well have afforded to have lent a help. ing hand to those who were desirous of having the union as it was work satisfacturily. I am satisfied that when he hold the reins of power, if he was so anxious for the good-will; as well as for the reputation of the great Protestant and Reform party of Upper Canada, and so desirous of maiataining and protecting the rights which he now desires to have given to his friends, he would have used his power in the Government and his eloquence in the House to obrain oven-handed justice for Upper Canada, and to relieve his friends'in that portion of the proviace trom the dificulties under which they Tabored. But, instead of doing that, he joined a Government that deuied its members the privilege of voting tor representation aecording to population - a Government that made it a close question, and which, instead of dealing with it as they ought to have done, or even giving ous reason to hope well of the fatury, took such a course in relation to that great question as loft a dark and dismal future before those. Who had been stroggling for their rights on that ques tion. As regards the position of that Government, after it gus reconstructed, I believe I
am right in saying it was thoroughly understood that iks members were not to vote for it.

Hon. J. S. MAGDONALD-No; they were to vote as they liked.

Mr. FERGUSON-Well, whatever may have been their privileges, we all know that there was nothing done in the matter, although they might have seen that it would be better to come out honestly and say that even-hañded justice should be done to both Upper and Lower Canada. As this was ay impression; Mr. Speakier, and seeing that no change could be made in the Constitution that would benefit. Upper Canada; I felt I had a duty to perform-I felt that if they would not give us a change in the mode we desired, it was not for me to say that I would play the part of the dog in the manger. I feel it would be better to have alinost any scheme, than to endure the difficulties we had labored under for so many years past; and I told the honorable gentemen from Lower Canada that if that were the course they' were to pursue, they would uhange my mind to is considcrable extents Another thing whioh had a peculiar effect on my mind, was the report of Col. Jeavois on the defences of our country. It is impossible for me to deay that the speectres which have been made in the English Parlimineat, expressive of a want of sympathy with the Canadian people, and of a desire to get rid of Canada have not been pleasant to ne; and although I think I have a loyal heart, and am bound by poverful obligations to maintain. British supremacy, I find it hard that Eoglish statesmen yhould express a willingness to shate us off and leave us in the power of a foreign nation. (Hear, hear.) But Col. Jeavos was sent ont to ascertain what defences were necessary, and what could be dooe to defend this country if at an unfortuate moment a difficuliy might arise. When I see that his report deolares that we bave a difficult codatry to defend that it would take a, large number of men to put us into a condition to defend ourelves-and when $I$ see that the British Govarument, true-to its real instinuts, is resolved to aid us in our do-fence-this, I say, has a great offect upon uity mind, and unakes me think it would not be my duty, under the circumstances, to refuso ansent to the Goverument measure at a momeat when I feel that the lives and property of my constituents, 30,000 in number, are open to an attack at any time from the powertul armies a foreiga people might choose to bring up against them.. (Hear.) The scheme seems to me to be an expensives and trouble-
some one ; but $I$ do uot think it would be right for me to reject any measure calculated to ensure to us that assistance of which we stand so much in need: The United States are, perbaps, more willing to injure their neighbors than other countries are, owing to the universal idea that they must carry out the "Munrue doctrine of complete domination over at least the American continent. They are at this momeut a war-making and a warloving people. Hor four years they have been practising the art of war upon their own tlesh and blood, and have shown little sympathy with those who have been' in congress with them and jointly ooncerued in every great enterprise-who yrew with their growth, and strengthened with their strength; and I feel that they would have very litte sympathy indeed with us in the eventiof any trouble arising either between us and theni, or betweca them and the Mother CountryWe are in a very difficult position. The Anericans have done a great deal to provokè the wrath of England and to insuli Canada. At this uoment they wait to abrogate the Reciprocity treaty, and tall of doing away with the bonding system. They lately imposed a passport system, which has ond just been cemoved. Well, seeing that there was uo redrese tor the grievances of Upper Canda, oue Ministry falling after another withsui doing auything, and viewing our condition relatively to the United States, I feel it my duty to forego opposition to this new arrangement. When I saw thê ulegraphic deso patch, too, relating to thi debate in the House of Lords a few days ago; when I saw that there people were so interested in C'anadian uthairs, thit on the question beity put in the House of Lords it was deetared by the leader of the House that no steps should be taken respecting the Hudson's Bay Conpany until some infurnitition should be rexeived from Canada respueting the Confederation system; When I tound that they were villing to be with ns in peace and with us ia war: when they said - Help yourself and we will help you"; when they said, in lauruage stronger than words can convey, "Nut a hair of your heal shall be toudhed vithont returning the iajury tenfold," I fot that we must support these new resolutions. (Hear.) I do not think, with my honorable triend who spoke to day, that in three yeara there will be a cry for annexation. I thiak that in three gears 'w. shall be a stablo people-minat' in three geita we shall have sufficieat defeaces to resist aggresion-that in three year
we shall have risen in the estimation of England and the world at large-that our boundaries will eextend from Chaada to the Red River and the Saskatehewan: I agree with another honiorable gentleman who hat spoken to-day, and do not desire to see the young men of this country sent away into another countty, when we have spacions limits of our own. I desire to keep our young nien among us, and our old nien too, as long as they live. (Hear, tiear.) When I think that England is going to do much for us-in other respects, I think she will be willing to open up that country; she will not be an unkind mother to us, and dewand from her children that; when she has placed us in a position of dificulty, we should bear all the burdens. I believe, however, that wo ought to pat our shoulders to the wheel and do soinething for ourselves. That is the true spirit of Britons; for if we did nop, we should be open to insult -and insult is worse than injury.' Rather than have to bear with it, I am willing to rish the consequences of oven a langer debt than we yot have-to give some of the means that 1 possess ; and in saying and acting thus for myself, I am speaking and auting for my constituevits too, who sent ne bere without any other pledge or bortd than that I should do for them the best I could. I have made this the ladd of my adoption, and it is evident that any' injury I irupose on their children I tuppose on my owno too. (Hear.). Whatever may be the result of the schemo-and I trust it will not turn out so badly as souk hon: gentlomen scen to expeot, and whioh I much dreaded myseli-I trust wo shall have such arraugennats mado with the Maritime Mro viaces, if arrangements are made with thum, that we shall have a real union-not union ninixed with disunion, (Hear, hear.) I be" lieve that in the course of the summer wo shall seat taillions of British oapital ppeat here tor oar delence, and I secolearly, that we shall have to contract deb: for this purpose oursolves. But we have another duty to peistorm: we have to prepare the stroug-hearted yeomen of this country to man our fortifiot tions.- Bogland caunot supply wn with all the men and money neewary to defend tha provinco-that is the duly of our young men, and out middle aged men too. If we do not portoru this daty, we shall not be worthy ot the nams of a peoplo, not be vorthy of the rights, liberties and privilege we" enjoy. I will not detain the Hoame muoh longer; but 1 must say that one roumark I heardidedreased to this Chamber to-day, seoned to me very
uncharitable. This House : will believe me when I say that I was as much astonished as others to see a Government formed, composed of men of different parties; but; sir, I came to the conolusion that the state of parties at that time, and the conduct of some so-called friends of the Ministry then in power, led to 'such an event: and I do not blame the Conservatives who were in that Goverament for taking in other gentlemen, if by that course they could adrance the interests of the counitry. Ihare heard it said that some members of the present Government were actuated in entering that Government by the greed of office, its cmolument and its power; but I will not be so uncharitable as to make such ar accusation against them: I beliere they saffered afood deal personally in making the arrangement, and I shoukd be sorry to say they lid it for anyother object thm to satisfy the obligations of their conscience. Their object, sir, was, no donbt, the good of the country, not the small gain or the temporary pleasure of holding for a few years the posithon of Ministers. (Hear.) I trust they will discharge their duty as Ministers in suoh 3 way as to enable the people of the country to regard their advent to power with satisfac-tion-not to condemn them tor wrong doing. as traitors to their conntry. (Hear, hear.) I think, sir, there are Ministers in the Cabinet who could make far more money in. the parsuit of their various avocations than in eoverning the country, and I trast they will be as ceondmical as they can in all their expenditure, while not losing sight of one great aim That the peoplo of this country mast be prepired to defend themselves, so as not to be afraid of the threats and alarms that reach us. every day. If in the cid we arrive at a nuion. of the colonies, good results will flow fron it. I think we have no evil resulta to fear, though I would at' this mouent, if I could, remedy some of the faults in these seventy-two resolations. I am sorry, for instance, for ône thites-that the clause relating to the general dideation of the people of this country wais ingerted in its present shape into the resolo tions. "I an sorry the separate school system. is: to be retained for Upper Canada. I am a argy that bone of contention is to be incor: porated into tho pormanent Constitution of this country: Though 52,000 Roman Cax tholio childrea in Upper Canada attended school in 1863, $n \mathrm{n}$, more than 15,000 of them ever arailed themsolves of the soparate sechools.
A YOICE - You are wrong.
Mr. FERGUSON-No, I beg the honor-
able gentleman's pardon, I am not wrong. I take the figures of the Superintendent of Edacation, Dr. Ryerson. And of my own knowledge, in places where separate schools have been established and are still existing, the Homan Catholies have grown weary of them, and I am satisfied they would now be willing that their children should get their education along with the children of the rest of the community, without any fear that their respect for their own religion would be interfered with, or their consciencesinjured. (Hear, hear.) I trust the day will come when they will all take the right view of it, and the question with them with reference to education: may be-not what church they belong tobut how their children may receive the best education, and grow up with other youth in peace and harmony. I regret thiat the subjeet is mentioned in these resolutions. I had a resolution prepared on that matter, as well as another with regard to our canals," and I am sorry 1 have not had an opportunity of moving. thom: I trust, however, that the assurances given by the Honorable President of the Council will be carried out, and that a canal and a direct and unbroken communication from Lake Huron, to Quebee "will be an accomplished fact at no distant day. The people of Western Canada will be dissatisfied and bitterly disappointed if the honorable gentlemen on the Treasury benches neglect this; and if they do, they may rest assured that another ory will come, by and by, from Upper Canada which will remove them from their seats, as others havo been removed from those seats before. (Hear, heat.) While money contributed by the west is apent in the east, we insigst that the improvements necessary for the prosperity of Upper Canada should also * receive the attention of the Government, and there is not a man in Upper Canada who does not see the necessity of having our narigation improved and a sufficient chapael for seagoing vessels made to the seaboard. If this is attended to, there will not be so much to complain of aboat the Intercolonial Railroad being built, although we should lise to have it built at a cheaper rate. In conolusion, I would say, that notwithstanding all the objections I may have to details-yet, in vient of the relations in which we stand to the neightoriug country-the urgency of the defence question; and the threatening aspect generally of our present position, I take apon myself, though with great reluctance, the responsibility of voting for this scheme. (Cheers.)

The House then divided on the motion for the previous question, "That that question be now put," which was agreed to on the following division :-

Yeas-Messieurs:Alleya, Archambeault, Aults, Beaubien, Bell, Bellerose, Blanchet, Bowman, Bown, Brousseau, Brown, Burwell, Cameron (Peel), Carling Attorney General Cartier, Cartwrigtht, Cauchon, Chambers, Chapais, Cockburn, Cornellier, Cowan," Carrier, De Boncherville; Denis, Dé Niverville, Dickson. Dufresne (Moatcalm). Dunstord, Ferguson (Frontenac), Fergason (Șouth Simc je), Galf, Gancher, Harwood, Haultain, Hirginsou, Howland, Irvine, Jackson, Jones (North Leeds and Grenville), Jones (South Leeds); Kright, Langevia, LeBoutillier, Attor: ney Gereral IMacdonaid, MacFarlane, Mackenzie (Lambion), Mackeizie (North Oxford), Magill, MeConkey, MeDougall, Meliee, McGiverin, McIntyre, MoKellar, Morris, Morrison, Pope, Pou'hn, Poupore, Rankia, Kanmond; Kumillard, Hobitailte, Hose, Ross (Champlain), Ross (Duadas), Rosis (Prfnce Edward), Scoble, Shanly, Sunith Elest Durham), Smith (Toronto Eass), Somerville Stirton, Street Sylvain. Thompsou, Walsh; Webt, Wells, White, Wittson, Wod; Wright (Ottawa County), and Wright (East York)-
Nays-Messieurs Bigyar, Bourassa, Camerón (Nurth Ontario), Caroio, Coapal, Dorion (Drummond and Arthabaskaf; Doriou (Hophelaga), Duckets, Dufresse (Iberville), Evauturel, Forier, Gaazoon, Gaudet, Geoffion, Gibbs,' Holton, Houde, Hiotugw, Hüt, Joly, Labreche. Vizer, Liframbuise; Lajote, Macdonald (Corawall), -ry Macdonald (Gleagarty), Maedonald (Toronto West), U'Hullorain, 'iquet, Parker, Perrault, Pinsonvieaust, Puuliot, Powell, Rymal, Scatcherd, Taschereau; Thibaudeau, Tremblay, and Wall: bridge (Nurth Hastingy)-39.
The question being put on the main motion (of Hent. Mr Attorney General Macbonald), it was agreed to on the following division:-
Yeas-Messiears Alteya, Archambesulh, Ault, Beaubien; Bell, Bellersse, Blanchet, Bowman, Bown, Brousseasu, Browa, Barwell, Cauneron (Peel), Carling Attorney General Cartier, Cartwright, Cauchon, Chambera, Chapaia, Cockburn, Coriutlier, Covan, Currier, De Boucherville, Deais, De Niverville, Diclsson, Dufresne (mont. calm), Dunsford, Evanturel, Fergaion (Frontenac), Feryusón (South Símeoe), Galt.Gaucher, Gaudet, Gibbis, Harvood, Haultain, Higminson, Huwhand, Hiot, Irvine, Jackson, Jones (S. Leedx and Greaville), Jones (\$oath Leeds), Knight, Langevin, Le Butillier, Aut Gen. Maedonald, Macfarlane, Mackenzio (Lambton), Macketrais (North Oxford), Magill, MeConkey, MeDaugall, McGee, MeGivorin, Mefatyre, Mekellar, Morris, Morrison, Parker, Pupe, Poulin, Puapare, Pow. ell, Hankin, Raymond, Remillard, Robitailt, Eose, Rosy (Champlain), Lioss (Dundas), Hoss.
(Prince Edmard), Scoble, Shanly, Smith (East Durham), Smith (Toronto East); Somerville, Stirton: Street, Sylvain, Thompson, Walsh, Webb, Wells, White; Willson, Wood, Wright (Oitawa County), and Wright (East York). - Il.

Nays-M essieurs Biggar, Bourassa, Cameron (North Ontario), Caron, Coupal, Doriont (Drum: mond and Arthabaska), Dorion (Hochelaga), Dicketh Dufresne (lberville), Förtier, Gagnon, Geofficion, Holton, Houde, Huntington, Joly, Labreche-Viger, Laframboise, Lajoie, Macdonald (Cornwall), Macdotald "(Glengarry), Macdonald (Toronto West), O'Halloran, Paquet, Perrauli, Pinsonneanit, Poulict; Rymal, Scatcherd, Tas cherean. Thibandean, Tremblay, and Wallbridge (North Hastings).-33.

The House then adjourned.

## Monday, March 13, 1865.

Hov Atry Gey MaCDONALD-When the Hoase wis about to adjourn on Friday night, it was arranged that tre should finist to day the procedings oonvected with the Address. I therefore now move:-
That a select committeo, consiating of Hoz Mesarss Attorneys General Ifacdomath and Caz. merb, and Gair and Brows, and Messes. Rubr. railie and Hactians, be appoipted to draft ai Address to Her Majesty on the resolution ayreed to on Friday lase, the Doth instant, on the subjeet of the union of the colonies of British Norst America.

Hon. J. II. CAMEHON-Before that motion is carrict, t propene to hore-a 1 thint this is the proper tifine-the resolution of which I gare putive sotue days syo. I therefore now desire to patinto your hands. Mr. Speaknh, seconded by Mr. M. L: Caskron, that resolution, which iss at to lows :-

That all the words after "That " be letit out, and the following inserted instead thereat: "an humble Addreas be presented to His. Excelfeney the Goverpor General, prayimg that His Excellevey, in yiew of the magnitude of the interest, involved in the resolationa for the union of "be coloniea of Britioh North Amerira, and the entite change of the Cosstitution of, this provigce, will be pleased so direct that a constitutional appeat shall be mado to the people, before thete respotia tions are submitted for final action thereont to the consideration of the laperial Parliamenti."
I understood the other day that it was the intention of the Hon. Attorncy General West to raise a question as to the propriety
of moving. this resolution at the present stage of the proceedings. I desire to know whether it is still his intention to raise that objection, becanse, if it is, I should confine myself in the first place to arguing that puint.

HoN ATTY GEN MACDONALD I do object. But I do not mean to enter into any argument, but merely to ask the decision of the Speaker on the point of order.

TuES SPEAKER-Having learned that the point of order was to be raised, I have looked into the matter, and decido that the resolution is in order.
Hon. Me. CAMERON-Then, I will proceed to dffer to the House the obserrations which Lthink it necegsary to make, as well on the getarat subject as on the particular matier embraced in this motion. Ind the House is aware that I very narely trouble it with a speech on any matter, ualess I consider it to be one of imporrance, and that when $I$ do $I$ seldom detain hou. members at ayy considerable leingth, I trust they will bear with ue in those observations. Considering tho length of timue that the subject has been-diseussed, and the great desire that exists in the mind of every one to Eave this subject brought to a condusion as rapidly as passible; $\frac{1}{\text { a }}$ promise on this oceasion to be brief. I hare already, so flar as my olra individual vote in this House is coneenged, doue exactly what I would bave doue if had only been au elector called upon tor his vote. We have pronounced upon the resolutions submitted to the House, and I have shema nuy own conviction of their proprifty by baving vated in their favor; and if I were to exercise wy franchise as an eiector, I would do outside the House what I have done inside the House, and declare in favor of those resolutions, though not satisfied that the scheme for the Confederstion of the provincas would be so adrantageous as the larger bchunie of a legislative union. But I havo always filt that if you desire to obtain something which you Lelieve for the benefit of the cunatry; you should. out iagist upon, that which is impossiblechat which cainot be carrid, bat should endeavor to obtain that whioh you can fuiply reach, and by and by you may get chat which, at a far distance, feems impossible. (Hear, hear.) I believe the Confederation of the colonies will lead hereafter to ia legislative union. The only difficulty I have folt ta, that I beliere it would bave been infin:-
itely better if all the povers given to local go yerrments should also be given to the Gderal Government, so that when the time came-when all those smaller stars should fall from the firmament-h the General Gove ernment would possess all those porvers, and there would be no necessity then for framing a dew Constitution." This subject, I think, may be fairly considered under three aspects. First, as regards the necessity of a change in the Constitution at all.: Secondly, as regards. the nature of the change proposed, and how it will affect the interests involved in it. And, thirdly, as regards the propriety of the measure being submitted to the peaple, before it is finally enaoted by the In perial Legislature -' As to the first point-the uecessity of a change-I believe there are very few people in the country; in whatever part of it they may be found, who will be prepared to say that some change in the Constitution of the conntry has not become pecessary: I believe we are all satisfied that things cannot go on as they are now. - I believe we areall satisfied that the people are looking out for some alteration, by which they hape a greater amount of prosperity may come to the country, than that which has been around it aud about it for some years past. I ani firmly convinced in ing own mind-against the opitions of one or two hon. gentlemen, who stood up here the other might-that there has not been, siúce the union of these provinces, a gleater amount of depression. a greater want of feeling of prosperity throughout the whole western portion of Canada, than exists there at this moment. I believe that into whatever part of the country you go, you will find that a succession of bad crops, and the dificulties which have arisen from large sums of money having been borrowed at high interest, and the necessity of large remittances to England-that all these have pressed heavily on the energies of the people, and tended to paralyse them; and
direction, with the best hopes they can coujure up, fon some change or alteration, such as they believe will rlace them on a better footing than that. which they have hitherto occupied. (Hear, hear.) The Hon. President of the Council for many years past, with a great number/ of those, who have alvays been in the habit of acting with bim, has believed that if we obtained, in the western portion of Canada, represen-
tation by popalation, it would have great influence in stimulating the energies of the people, and placing them on a. much more satisfactory footing than that on which they now stand. I am satisfied, with that hon. gentleman, that it would have had this effect to a vèry great extent. But we know very well the antagonisms which existed between the two sections, and that that measure, while pressed by Upper Canada, was resisted by Lower Cauida: We have feltavd no doubt many in Lower Canada have felt-that this Confederation of the British North American Colonies would probably vot have reached the point it has reacied, had the demand the jast demands-of Upper Canada been conceded by Lower Canada; had we been placed in that positioy on the floor of this Honse, which we thought the interests of the western portion of Canade required at the hands of the Legislature (Hear, hear:) Bat we have not found that that mas doie. Lower Canada felt that if representation by pupulation: were conceded, there would have been dangers incurred to her oun institutions, which she was not willing to place in the hauds of the increased namber of representatives from Upper Canaga.' I think the people of Lower Canda were mistaken io that feeling: I do not beliere that her institutions would hare been dealt with in a way unsatisfactory to her people. The peoteo of Upper Canda, I thint, haye always been prepared to do what was fair and just iowarda the people of Lower Canada. (Hear, hear.) I have no doubt, however, that the: people of Lower Canada would be much more ready to take up. sueh : scheme as this, which would give them a Local Legislature to manage their own local affairs, rather than adopt a measure which would place them in what they might conceive to be an inferior position in point of their numbers on the floor of this House, and an inferior position in respect of power-supposing representation by population in the united Legislature of Canada were earried There can be no dubbt that the idea that there is a necessity for change has not only grown up from the teeling to which I have referred, but from the eircumstances connected withrour rela. tions to the nei; hboring republic during the last thiee or four jears. The Reciprocity treaty was passed ten years ago, at a time when the value placed upon the Canadas by the neighboring cuuntry was very
different from that now placed upon themwhen the statesmen of the United States believed the effect of that measure would be gradually to ripen the pear of this counitry, until it would be prepared to fall into their hands. And, unquestionably, the views of many of those who consented to the Reciprgcity treaty; at the tiine of their consenting to it, were that they expected that its effect would be gradually to facilitate the passage of these colonies into the arms of the United States-to create a feeling in favor of annexation, and to check the feel. ing which was springing op of an entirely: opposite character But now there is no duabt that the disposition to abrogate the Reciprocity treaty has rot arisen alone from angry feelings against England by the people of the Coited States, and in consequence of the fancied raids from this country-but also trom the fact that there bas been a great presiure of taxation upon the:ngelves, and the necessits of raising the tariff, and from the belief that if a tax were placed upon the produce coming in to thein from Canada; an increased revenue would ré salt. All these circumstanees have given rise to the desire on the part of the poople and the Goverpment of the Uaited States to place this question on a difficent footing froni that on which it has stood for ten years, and to. repeal that treaty which they represest to be entirely in favor of Canada, though in point of fact it is very largely in favor of the United States. (Hear, hear.) Another reason why ta change is necessary, is-as wo cannot conceal from - ourselves-that our position as a colony has been greatly altered by the events whioh have taken place in the United States. We cannot nofy expeot that we cean sit mith our arms folded, prayioz that Providence may be good to us, though we do not prepare to defend ourselves. We. eannot expeet that Eugland will be prepared to take on her shoulders almost the whiole of the barden, and that we are to be neitheritho hemers of wood nor the drawers of water:.Wo misst be both. And if wo obtain; as I topos, through the nusolutions whieh have bset passed; when the proper time comes, we will obtain-if we'get the natuie and status of a nation, we should not le affaid also to take the responsibilities of a nation; and the course most likely to save us from attack is that we shuld learu in the time of peace to be prepared for the exigeney of war, and to put ourselves-a people of four:millions, as we will be wher united together-in a posit:
tion to defend our liberties from whatever quarter they may be attacked. (Cheers.) We cannot thercfore help seeing that a ne: cessity exists for this change, a necegsity urged upon us, both by ur political position, with reference to the state of the representation in Parliament, and by the position in which, in common with the colonies below, we stand with reference to the probabilities of hostilities from the United States, and the placing of the conntry in a proper state of defence: The necessity of change theu being admitted-and I believe there is scarcely one honorable geutleman on either side of the House who does not admit it-some think that change should be brought about in one way, some think that it should be brought about in another way. Some think-and the Hon. President of the Conacil at one time appareutly was of that opiaion-that the most desirable change would be the smaller echeme of the Federation of Canada, divided mato tiro or three provinces-that that would be the best way of averting the evils which Threaten us. Sowe believo tre can go on as tre are now.

HoN: S. MACDONALD Hear: hear:
FIos. Ma CAMERON-Aud others think that the only way by which we can get into a satisfactory position, would be by a union of the colonies, either in aceordance with this scheme, or by a legislative najun. I would like to know how many there are who believe that we can go ca as we are notr.

Hon. Atty. Gen. SHCDONALDHear! hear!

Hon Ma. CAMERON-I betieve there are not a half a dozen members of this House who believe that, with the difficulties of our position, wo can work the union on present terns: If ve cannot, then we have the alternatives of the dissolution of the union-going back to the old position we ocenpied betore the union, which no man would estertain for a moment-or a Federation of the Caunday-or this larger selheme of a union of all the British North Azerican Colonies, If any one fur a moment will consider all those projects in the true view in which they ought to be considered, he will see that with ref revec to the secoud branoti of my subject-the nature of the change, and the magnitude of the interests iavolved in itthis scheme is the one to which the Legisla. ture and the peopic of this country must
necessarily come. (Hear, hear.) We are desirous of assuming a position on this continent, which will place the whole of these feeble colonjes under one unitéd government. And when that united government is formed, When that union does take place, we shall then stand in a position which, according to the facts and figures that have been used from time to time in this debate, will establish us as a power on this continent, and enable us to asgist in working out the three problems presented by the three governments - the despotic government of Mexico, the republican government of the Uaited States, and the constitutional government of these colonies. (Heary hear.) I trust the result would be, that we should see the government of these colonies standing longer than any of the others, inasmuch as we believe it is based on the more free exercise of the true will of the people, and carries ont institutions which in the Mother Country have stood the test of time, toil and wear, until they have become more firmly cemented now than at any former period of their existence. "(Hear, hear.) And I cannot help feeling that if there is that necessity for a change, the nature of the change proposed must commend itself to every one who is a trude lover of his country on this side of the Atlantic. (Hear, hear.) We are five colonies with a population of $4,000,000$, and we shall have a debt of about $\$ 80,000,000$, or about $\$ 20$ for each inhabitant. In the neighboring republic, from $a$ statement made at the close of last year, we learn that the debt in that country; on the first of July next, will be no less than about $\$ 150$ on the head of every inhabitant. Hence our young nation, with a debt of only $\$ 20$ upon each inhlabitant, will stand in a position, in reference to debt; far different from what the people of that country will stand. Let as take a glance over the whole of the Britich Colonial Empire." England has thirty-eight colonins, containing ten millions of people. Six millions of these are white and four millions are black. Of the six millions of white people, four millions are inhabitants of these British Americsin Colonies. We have forCanada, Noya Scotia and New Brunswick, no less thian five millions of ton' capacity of sea-going veasels, and on the lakes seven millions, making a total tonnage of twelve millions, which, in point of tonnage, places us as the third power in the world. No other nations but England and the United States possess a larger tonnage than that.

Nova Scotia itself has a larger tonnage than the great empire of Austria:. If this is to be our position in relation to our population, our debt and our tonnage; one cahnot well help seeing that wive must strengthen ourselives by coming together in a political and commercial union. We have nows five independent; and I may say hostile tariffs-a differént one in each of the colonies; ;and we have five different governments. We will then have oné strong independent government, and one system of customs taxation. Although we shall not have the same concentrated pover that we would have in a legislative union, still we shall have a power that will hold orer this country that great forte that must be possessed to enable it to bring the whole military force of the country to bear in case its defence beconies necessary, and whieh will place is in a mach better position than ever before. Look at the whole of the colonies" of England, and let us inquire whether, in point of the magnitude of the trade they' bring to England and the amount of Euylish goods they consume, compared with the expenditure that Eigland is called upou to matke, there is really any valid foundation for the position taken by those political econowists of England, of the Manchester and Birmingham school. Take the whole ot the esports of England to the colouics, and her imports from those colonies, and what do we find? The exports of Eugland last year amounted to nearly $\mathcal{E 1 0 0 , 0 0 0 ; 0 0 0}$ sterling, while the exporte from the colonits. to Great Britain amounted to $£(0,000,000$ steriing: Place the colonists, man for main, with fureiga counitries, and you will find the trade of the colonies is of much more adyaitage to England than that of foreign nations, independeatly of all thosc other greatintéresta which are involved in the reteation by Eng. land of her colonial possessions. Take the taet that the whole of England's expenditure id $£ 40,000,000$ sterling, exclusive of the iuterest of the national debt, while hér ex. pense for colonial purposes anibually, exclusive of India and of the casualexpeuses arisingfryun. seading troops to eslonies whero hostilities are tabiog place, was only some $£ 2,000,000$ sterling, of which axount Canada ouly had bit little more than $£ 500,000$ sterling. When these things are taken into consideration, I say it will be found that the colonies are of much more valuo to the Mother Country than is generally supptsed, apd much more that the sethool of politicians to which. I have referred wuold have
people beliere. If what the Mother Country obtains from our conpection with them is of to little importance as to give currency to the doctrines of that sehool, I do not think it would be hard to shew that what we get from our conaction with Great Britain is of no very great importance to us, escept in the matter of defence. If we desire to live under: the glorious old flag, and to maintain the honored name of British subjects, is it right for our brethrea in Englad, who are ifree from touch of spoil,"' to say that unless we provide for our owa defence, we shall be cast off? We should be looked upon as dislogal if ree topok the same stand, and dec'ared that we would choose cur em a conncetion if we protided the whole expense of our defence. (Hear, hear.) Sir, I think we should be able to tell ihe Mother Country that we are prepared to do all in vur power for self.defeace. When I have stated that the debt of Canada is only $\$ 20$ per Liead, and that that of the United Siates will soon be, if it is not now, 8150 per head of the nupulation, 1 am ready to say that: I would most unhesitatingly be williag, for the pur: pose of completing our cuancetiva widh tho seabioard, of buildiag the Iatersolouial Rail. way, and arviding the liability: we now labor under, of haviug our cunnectuan with Great Britain cut off. I say I would be willing to place $\$ 10$ additional upon avery ishabitaut of the country, in order that we uighe be placed on the true feotiag on which we ought to stand in the extimation of the people of England and of the morld-that of: a people who do nut consider the mere sacrifice of moncy as anything to be compared to the daty of defending themselves. (Hear, hear.) Sirs, I think that wheo a del legation of vur Goverument gies to Eagland, those who coatupose it vught to be able to say what Wu are prepared to do for uar defence. They woght to bo able to tay" tw the Euglish Government that althongh ye were a young and a cemparatively poor ceunatry ; though we have a rigorous climate and are shut wot from the sea for a great portion of the year, yet wo are a people that have shown more than once that war libertice dould not be taken avas troui us by linge of armes, and we are not prepared that they siall bo taken in say other way, but that we are ready to take our just share in any seliemo that the Mother Country way adept; but wäa are not prepared, and cancot: bo expected, to take the whote bardea of defeiling this exposed portion of tie British Eupira upon
ourselves. Look at our bonds in the English market. The British public are under the apprehension that we may at any moment be invaded by the United States, or that the views of the Manchester school may prevail, and outr 5 per cents stand at 80. The position of the United "States along our lopg exposed border is such that in their presint excited and ready-armed condition we might be plunged into hostilities at any moment, and therefore our Government ought to say to the Imperial Government that it was absolutely necessary to make arrangements for defence on a large sealo- that we areprepared to do that which we ought to do, but you cannot expeet us to got tothe whole of the expense which would be cootailed by the depreciation of our bonds in the market. If we are to do $\$ 0$, or even to go to any large expense, you must gaarantee var debentures. With the knowledge that you ede ont security, wo need not care whether the United States is poing to cross our border with hustile intent or not. If our neighbors know that any requisite amount will" ke given "us either upon yotr loan or upon ecidorsement, so "that our bonds will stad on the market at par, they will hare reassa to think twice before attack iug us. "When the linghishigovernment are propared to back ois in that way, then I say we oughe to go forward and coüporate with thetu in carrying ont an extended system" of detensive worky, bearing at least the principal portion of the barden. We to not care for their spouding $£ 50,200$ a year in dribbling up a few fortifieations at Uacbec, while Wo put another small sumi out in patching up earth works in the -ricst, just to invite the A mericans over when the works are half built, forming a trap for oursolves in which wo may be unere effectually caught. I am sure every metaber of this Honse, and every citizui of Canada muxt have been surprined at the position taken" by English statesmen in reference to Canadian defences, and at their speaking of there being only a fow days in the year in which men could work, in this olimate, in building fortifications. I read the other day, that it had been statedin Eagland that there was vuly a mosth of the year that men conid work out of doors to advantage. Although it is true that for about lialf the jear our communication with the sea is cut off by the formation of ice, yet men can. work out of doors in Western Canada all the year round, and during the other half in Eastern Canada, and with the excoption of
a few very stormy days, at one or another branch of the work required in erecting fortifications. But so far as guarding against attack from the United States is concerned, the great thing is to let them know that; whether we spend the money im-mediately-this summer-or not; we have' it to spend. It should be known that both the Imperial Parliament and the Pro vincial Parliament have voted the money, and that it would be put into the most approved fortifications as rapidly as it could be, The people of the 'South soom built fortifications; behind which to fight for their liberties, and we too should be prepared to fight for our liberties. It is to the moncy they spent in fortifications that they owe their existence as a formidable power at the present time. The idea should not go abroad that we are about to spend a little matter of fifty or a hundred thousand pounds in doirg a little plastering here and a little mason work there, but we should proceed as rapidy as possible to show that we are prepared to expend in effective works all the money that may be necessary to put ourselves in"a condition to resist invasion; even with: a "handful of troops; until more can be sent us. As we are at present; the Gorermment of the United States feel that ire are at their mercy, and that they can deal with us as they please. Today they impose an obnoxious passport system upon us, and to-morfow they, relieve us from that source of annoyance. To-day they threaten us with a repeal of the Reciprocity treaty, and to-morrow will, perhaps, be prepared, if we are good children, to continue its operation. To-day the bonding systeni is to bo repealed; to-morrow we hear no more of it. Next we hear of their intention of placing a force of ganboats on the lakes, and then we hear that the intention has beeu abandoned. What are all these fair promises they indulge in; and good feelings they endeavor to call up, but blinds of their real parpose? Does anybody believe that it is not in their hearts to do all those things with which they threaten us, and is it not our duty to be prepared to meet the cousequences of their threats being tarried into execution? They dow see that we are being aroused in this conntry, and they begin tio treat us more mildily, until thes coure to mome settlement with the South. They begin to see that they have anted aggressively against this paw of the British lion a little too soon-that the British lion is in danger
of being waked up. (Hear, hear.) And, Mr. Speaker, I think it woald be a good thing if we were a little more aroused in this country by the events that are transpiring about us, and that the people of England should become a little more in earnest, so that the "people of the United States should not fall into the habit of regarding the British lion, as the Paris Charivari called it, as a stuffed lion. I sometimes wish the British lion would roar - (laughter)-as it has roared in times pasts: and as it roared when it made the limperor of all the Russias tremble in his shoes. (Hear, hear.) I am afraid our neighbors are getting into the very false notion that it is only the skin of the animal that we have now-(langhter)-and that if the voice were heard, it would not be a roar, but a bray. But they musy not trust too mucb to this idea, or they will be rudely amakened some day by finding the bones, and the blood; and the mascle of the mighty old animal of yore. I feel, sir, that we cannot do our duty to the Imporial anthorities, nor they to us, unless we become united into one Confeder. ation; instead of remaining in the scattered pusition io which ©we nors stand. What rould be" our position if we were thus united? The opponenta of Confederation say we shoull only ret a more extended frontier to defend, and have no more men to detend it with; that the frontier we shouid acquire. would be mere dificals to defend with the addition of men we would acquire, than our present truntier woud be to defend with our own furce; that Caynda might be called apon to send troops to the Lower Provinces, thas leaving our uwa frontier expoded, or thes would have to send their militia forde up here, leaving their borders open to attack. But, in reply to that reasoning; I would say that it is nat likely we should be attacked at all puintat ance. We might bo compelle to withilraw entirely from one "portion of the tetritory in order to defend mors inportan: purtions, or to obtain more defensible posiHious; but no man can hesitate to agree that it is infaitely better; for all purposies of defensire action, that the whole militia force of the country should be under the control of wne execative head, who could grasp the whule force in one hand, than thas they should be scattered over a wide domain of expoicd territory, under the command of diferent executives, all of whom would have to be communicated with before any coneentration could take place. The true position
in which we should stand before the world is, that the whole militia force should be understood to be under the control of one Central Government; for in that way, common sense ought to tell everybody, they would be of far more value in defense than they could possibly be if divided, and the moral effect produced upon a foreign power contemplating attack would be very greatly enhanced, were it understood we were one united people, instead of being a divided comunnity. Our entise population would be fur millions of people, which, at the ordinary rate of computation, would give us an available militia foree of five hundred thoussind y̆ce." If we believe that our people are really and truly a loyal people, warmly attached to the Constitution of the good old land; becausa believing that the engranting of the institutions of that country upon the soil of this contineat. offers the best and greatest security for every man who desires to enjoy the blessings of a frice couptry and free institutions, then we would, if united, have not ouly this sentiment of attachment to the English Thrones, but would have the machinery, which this great Constitution provides, in our hands by which we could carry ont and defend our liberties and our people in the enjoyment of their free consatitutional governuent. (Hear, hear.) Our opponents say we are harily sipe, hardly or age fit to unter upon a new nationality: Why, sir, thers ary none of the lesser powers of Eturope, except Belgium and Baparia, that have a population of four millions. If we cannot establisti a nation when we have four millions of people, what shall we say of Grece with its population of only one mil. lion'? It wo are ever to form ourselves into a nationality-and few will deay that it is our destiny to be united at some time-what better time will ever be likely to present itself for handing dowa to posterity, ho boop of a united and free nation-the greatest houn thet a gotrenmont and poople sin transmit-than the opportunity which the present favorable state of affairs presionts io tus? It is offered to us freely and openly in the face of the world, and we bope to convince the world hereaftier that of the three. systems of goperament now in exiskence ea this contineat, ours is the best. We bave the despotic throne of the Montequmas filled by a foreigu prince, and propped up by foreiga bayoneta; wo have the repulhican government of the United States, based on the principle that all men are free ond equal
and that the will of the majority must govern and be right; and we have the responsible government provided by the British Constitution, under ". which the English nation has existed so long, and beneath the protection of which her colonies have spread out, until upon their wide expanse the suin never goes down. (Cheers.) This latter form of government we believe to be the best we can adopt for present purposes, and for the purpose of transmission to cur descendants upon this continent: `Mr. Speaker, if we have institutions, popula tion, wealth and territory of such extent and of such immense value to protect, and Gave the opportunity of uniting for their protection so freely given us, then is the end sought to be accomplished by the change that cannot but commend itself mont clearly and distinctly to the mind of every one who desires to see a naited and happy people "inhabiting the territory' of British Noth America, and stretching from ocean to ocean, under the protecting eggis of the British Constitution, the British form of goveriment, and the British Crown. We have, in my own hamble opinion; bat two fature gtates of existence to choose for ourselves. We have, on the one sido, the opportunity to make ourselves a nation, able and willing to protect ourselves, with the aid of the Mother Conitry, and to grow wealthy and prosperous under that form of existence: On the other hand, we have the certain prospect of absorption, at no distant period, into the Uni-, ted States. There is no alternative. (Hear, hear, ironically) We must either adopt the one or make up our minds to submit to the other, I have no doubt but that an immeuse number of the penple wrould not be willigg to remain and submit to the later alternative but like the cld U. E. loyalists, would even abandon all they posisessed rathar than cease to have the protection of the British llag and bear the name of British men-men in whom logalty is not a mere lip sentiment, but in Whom it forms as much $a^{\prime}$ constituent olement of the blood as the principle of vitality itself. (Hear, hear.) Irmsatisfied, oir, that there is no other alternative-no doice for us between the endeaver ond our part to concentrate British power'and British feeling on this cońtinéat;, aud falling into the open arms of tho republican government of the United Statea. (Hear, hear.) And, Mr. Speakent, when we ezamine the estent of the domain open to us, when
we reflect that we would rest with one foot upon the broad Atlantio and the other upon the Pacifio, and remember the vast, fertile and salubrious territory that lies between us and. the Rocky Mountains-those rich valleys of the Saskatchersan and the Assini. boine, the fertility of which are said to be far:superior, and are certainly equal to any portion of this country-when we think of them and of the vast number of people that could be poured into them from the old world to develope their resources and bring their treasures down the lakes to our marts I say when we see all these things, we see a future arising for us which is to me, and ought to be to others, so bright that no man should hesitate to accept that rather than the only other alter-native-driftiag in small provinces into the United States, where we canot but be bortie down by their barden of taxation. (Hear, hear.) But some people say we will escape taration by going over to the Americans; that they would take us in to-morrow; and agree to put mo taxation upon us for their war debt ; but is not that idea chimerical, when they entertain no doubt that they can overrun and conquer us at any time, and force us to share in their debt, as well as discharge our own? With regard to our prospects in the way of settlement and the extending of our population and wealth, look at what we could do towards attracting emigrants from the old country to our lands. But here I must reter to poe geature of the scheme that has been adopted by this House that I hope to see changed. I believe it is a fatal error to place the wild lands in the hands of the local governuents; who may thereby enter into regulations for immigration that will be nntagonistic, and that will tend to retard rather than promote the settlement of this conntry 'All those lands ought to have been placed in the hands of the General Government, in order that one comprehen. sive system of immigration might be adopted. When we look at Upper Canada, and ascertain that of her eighty millions of acres there are only thirteen and's half millions in the hands of proprietors-an average ot nite neres to each inhabitant-when we see the vast quantity of land in this country avail. ably for cultivation, not yet turned to account, we cannot help coning to the conclusion that we have a vast field for immigration to fill up, and which ought to have been plaeed. under control of the General Government-
not left to be speculated upon by the local governments. Now, sir, when one sees all that, and feels and knows that the great change which will be brought about by this union will give us so many things that are desirable, I say the magnitude of the interests that are involved ought to recommend to us, in the strongest manner, a change of the character of which I have been speaking-a change that mould tend to place this country on such a footing that none can fail to see that we wojld even. tually become the members of a great community, and that in a much shorter space of time than many people imagipe. (Hear, hear.) Allow me for a moment, sir, to allude tothe history of the United States, to see the position io which that country once stood. In 1792, the United States, with a population, at that time; of nine millions of people, had a revenue of a little o ofer four sund a-half millions of dullars, while in Canada, in 1863, with a propulation of two and a-half willions, we had a revenue of tourteen millions of dollars : In 18\%1, when their population had greatly increased, the whole of their exports and imports amounted to ninety eighi millions of dollars, while onrs, in 1863 , with a popalation of ouly two and a-half cillions, was no less than eighty-uine millions of dollars ; that is; within uine millions of the entire impurts and exports of the United States in 1821. It ise true that since that time all those facilities which have made the United States a great power on this continent-the construction of railways and telegraphs, the application of steam power to all kinds of machinery, and other inveations of the past two or three decades - have sprung into exstence, and they have reached forward to greatness with railroad speed: But, still, it is nuthing against the argument to say that as we have, within the memory of man, risen so rapidly not only is popula. tion, but in everything that tends to place Cauada on a footing that ought io be satisfactory to overy well-misher of his country; there is any reason to imagine, for one noment, that all the changes have been made that will be made, and that with the enterprise and exertions of a common and enlightened people, we will not be in a position to continue the prosperity that has aprung up within so ahort in time, and which has increased antil within the las three or four yeass, whon, from natural causes and tho Fras in the Unitod Seates, it recoived m
serious a check. We shall find ourselves, in my opipion; so soon on the highroad to prosperity, by means of the anion now contemplated, that we shall not care to envy the progress of any nation whatever. (Hear, hear.) Now, sir, when I have stated my reasons for believing that there, is a necessity for such a change, and having endeavored to show the nature of the change proposed, I shall now proceed to show why the resolution which $I$ hold in my hand; and Which I offer for the adoption of this House, is one that ought to be accepted. I have said, sir, that $I$, as an individual memier of the Leegislature of Canada, had not hesitated so take upon myself the responibility of voting in favor of the resolutions respecting Confederation, although they had not been accepted by the people of this country in any constituticnal manuer. I said thai I did so npon the same principle as I would have done if I had been voting topon them ontside of the House instead of inside- I would bave voted for them as an elector, because I believe they form a just basis for the contem plated anion; and, sir, I desire to offer exactly the same opportunity to every elector to pars ie the same course that If would puraue, and I make the same claim on their behalf that I would make, to this Honse on my own: I think that they are entitled to have this matter submitted for their consideration before the resolutions that have passed this House are finally acted upon by the Imperial Legislatara. (Hear, hear.) Now, nir, it has been said that the effect will be to postpona the accomplishment of the union for an indefinite period, whereas the pressure of circumstances are such that no time should be lost in placing, ourselves in such poaition of defence that we should be able to meet and hold baek any foree that might be sept against us. Woll, sir, there is pothing is the resolation I have proposed that would, is my judgnent, interfere with the immediate carrying out of the project. The Gorarpment have told us that they proposo to prorogzie Parliament in a fom days, and they have also told us that we aro to be called togethar again in the summer. What is to provent us from considering tho mubject at the summer emaion? It is to be presumed that the Imperial Government mill endeavor to come to nome conolusion upon the resola. tions which have been framed by the Confareuce, and which have been laid on the tables of both Hones of the Einglish Pasia: manti and I neo nothing to greveat ties Im.
perial Government from declaring their views ppon the subject. I think there would be nothing whatever to prevent our Government from going to Eagland, and offoring these resolutions for the consideration of the Imperial Legislatare, allowing that Legislature to aot upon them as thoy: might think proper; but, at the "Qame time deolaring that the law to be passed ought not to come in force in the differentcolonies uptil it had been accepted by tho legislatures of those colonies. There would be no time lost: It would he as easy for this Parliament to be dissolved and to meat together again io time to take up the consideration of the measure, which Great Britain had in the meantime passed, as it would be to meet again in the summerf, and go through the same process. Why' is Canada to be treated upon an entigely difterent rule from that which has been adopted in the other provinces? The Legiolature of New Brungwick was dissolved in order that the people might be appealed to on this question. The Hon Attorney General of Newfoundland has deelared that it is the intention of the Government of that colony to appeal to itio peopie upon it, and that nothing will be done until their opiaion is obtained. (Hear; bear.) In Nova Scotia, too, the Gor erpment do noty as 1 understand, make it a governuient question. It is not to be put in that position, ànd if \& difficulty arises in haring it adopted by the Legislature, the Goveroment of Nore Seotia are prepared to dissolve their Legialatare too. I do not say anything about Pripce Edward Island - its acceptance or rejection of the scheme would be of very small ancount. Bat their Legislature will, no doube, also be dissolved, in' urder that the peoplo may have an opporlanity of expressing thair opinions upon it, if their Honse of Assemibly is found foistilo, -a step which, no doabt, our Goverinment would have taken if this House had shown itsell hostile to the meassure. Because this Hoaso is not hostilo, and becanse Ministors found themselves stroag enough to barity it by a large majority, thioy deolared they would take the course they have adopted, although in the other provincees the case has been pat"on: an entirely different footiog. (Hear, hear.) Now, sir, let us congider why we should be piscod in the amme position in whieh the legialatares and peopla of the Lower Provirces are placed. We hear it stated ox all sides of this Honso that the Whole country is in favor of chis mensure:

If so, why should there be any hesitation about asking the country to confirm by an velection that which is so clearly advaítageous and which is so sare to be carried? Bat, sir, I hear it said, inside of this House and oatside of this House, that the people of Lower Canada are opposed to this measure. If that be so then-if they are so strongly opposed to it as has been represented - is it a wise step for us to force it upon them against their will' (Hear, hear.) We are arranging to adopt an entirely neiv state of governmental existence, and are proposing to embrace a large area of country under this new form of government. We are claiming for it, and desire that it shall have its best and safest foundation in the hearts of the people. And, sir, will you not find it stronger in the hearts and more deeply rooted in the estimation of the people, if you appeal to them and obtain their sanotion to it and their support in cearrying it out? (Hear, hear.) In proposing that it shall have the sanction of the people, I do not contemplate the absurdity, unkpown to our form of goyernment, of asking them for a direct yea or nay ppon it. No such thing as that hasiever been entertained in my mind. I propose to bave it done in a constitutional manner. My whole political history would have shown any map acquainted with it, that there could have been no such detnocratic idea harbored by ue as to go without the walls of the Constitution in order to do an act which could be better done within it. Therefore, any one who had for :a moment the belief, that while I was endeavoring to build up, I was at the saime time puting forth what mas be called a sacrilegious hand to pull down, wis very much mistaken as to the course I was to pursa--the onaly proper and safe course that oquld be pursued. If gou wish to ereat this monament of a new nationality on the traé feelings and hearts of the people, you past ereet it upon an appeal to them. You ehould not be afraid of it. You may say that difficulties will arise -that other questions will be raised-that the electiens will not always turn on the direot issue-for Coáfederation or against Confederation. Bat I toll you that it will, if the people are no muoh in favor of this project as you say. (Hear, hear.) The merits or demerits of the candidates will be passed to one side, and the vote will be taken on the true merits of Confedera: tion-otherwiso the people are not worthy of
having that appeal made to them. An appeal has been made to history, and it has said that appeals to the people on questions of this kind are unknown under the British Constitution. The cases of the union between England and Scotland, of the union between Great Britain and Ireland, and of the union of the Canadas" themselves; hare been "referred to; and it has been asked if in any of those cases an appeal, was made" to the people, and as answer given in the negative. I am not prepared to accept that answer as altogether, corfect in point of tact. In the first of those cases, where the resistance mas per haps the greatest, an appeal was made to the people. It was nut until long after the matter was first mouted that the puion betmeen England and Seotland was brought about. It ras quentioned ay that the-just as afterwards, in 1799, with referedice to the union of Ireland - whether the Scottish Parliament had power to deliver ap the franchise of the people into the hands of the English Parliameat. Whib refereace to the union of Scutland with finghand, the matter was brought befure the people-tiot in one, or in two, but in mavy ways. There were commissioners appoitited, and couventious: and varivus attempis to brigg about that union betore it was fully consummated. It was attempted in J/aves the Erst's'time, in Caiales the - irst's the in Chonwelie's time, and again is the retgo of Kıg. Wrfo LanM, and foally carried out in the reign of Queen Anse. The proclamation sanmoning the Scottish Parliatent of 1742 declared that among other things, it whs to ireat of the union of Seotland with England. e Hear, hear.). We"have still extant in the books the very vords of that proclamatton, which declared that that Parlinuent was summoned in Scothand for the very purpose of treating of this question That Pribment did not/finally decide upon the matter. but: the following Parliament did, and the unton was consummated...And that Parliament was in eractly the positiva of that of 1702 , haying been called together by precisely the :samo kind of proclamistion. (Hear bear,) That mater of the unisu betweet Fhighand and Scotiand was; 1 bettere, the ondy sutijeot thai way discussed: And. although subsequently the greatest hostility was aroused, and troops bad to be sent trom the uurth of Southand, it mas not untal atter that Parliament had been for som- time assembled that petitions came in from any of the burghs. sgainat it. (Hear, hear.) Wo biave been
told in this debate that there is now the satisfaction of content all over the provinca in reference to this measure. Allow me to tell you that in many localities, it is the: deadness of apathy and not the satisfaction of content. This has arisen, not because the people do not feel an interest in the question, but because there has been a pressure upon them from many causes, and that they lave had to contend with a great number of difficalties of one kind or another, resulting in an unexampled want of pros perity. (Hear, hear.) They are, therefore, looking out apparently for anything-they are not particular what-which they believe would tend to relieve them from the diff. culties of their present position. I say this, although I should be glad that it was not apathy, or deadaess, or death, but contentment, throughout the length and breadth of the land, which was leading to the general accepiance of this measure. I believe that in the westera part of the country-I cannot speak for the castern part, unless in so far is it is shewn by the petitions which have been sent in, and the opinions which have been expressed in this House by honorable members from Lower Canoda-but in the western portion of the country, 1 am satisfied, from my own personal knowledge of tho feeling existing there, that a large majority, equal to if not greater than that which voted the other night on the floor of this House, would be retarned at another clection in Gavor of this measure "(Hear, hear.) And it is because I believe that, and would not leave it for any one to say that tho people had' not had an opportunity of expressing themselves, through an election, on a matter of such vital fuportance-that I clain that it should be sabmitted to them, in order that they shall declare by their rotes whether they are in fevor of this measare or not. (Hear, hear.) In speaking of che union of Seothand, of the union of Ire: land, and the union of the Cauadag, we mast recolleet that the bame circumstances to a great exteat uxisted. In the case of the Seatish union, there were those desolating wars betweou Eogland and Scotaina in Which the best blood of bith landis, had bean shed, and there had long existed a perpotanal fevid and hostility which had left the border conatry-now a spiling and fortile terrtary-a barrea and deaolate maste. Then again, when the anion of Ireland with. Great Britain took place, thers was a rebellion just quenched-there nero

40,000 troops in the country-there were one handred and sizteen placemen in the House -and there may have been good reasons for the fact that a majority of some sis or seven in the Irish Parliament agaiust the union had dwindled down and disappeared next segsion, being swallowed up in a majority of thirty-fire. In this country the same thing had occurred. The uniou was consummated when the embers of the rebellion of 1837-38 were still supposed to be slumbering in varions parts of the land-and there were, therefore, strong reasons why, in the midst of disquiet and distarbancewhen there might be a difficulty about elections being condacted with purity and freedom from Exeoutive control-such a question should not be submitted to the people. But now we have no such canse. We are told "thas the people are happy; contented and prosperous, though desirous of some change-and there is, therefore, no exoiting cause to prevent a free and full expression of the mind of the people by a general election-bothing to prevent the whole :natter being placed on a proper footing before the peoplo, and men'being chosen with refarence to their viewsi on the subject of Confederation, and that aloné.: Yóu have nothing to prevent this new system being inangurated on the trae and proper basis on Which it oughe to be inagarated, tamely, on the popular will, and receiving therefore, from the outsel, that surength which the popular will alone could give to it, in its endorsement by those who have a right to send representatives to this House. (Hear, hear.) It has been said that a motion of this bind takey away, in point of fact, from the Legislatare, the power which the Legislature has. by deaying the right of the Legislatare to make any such chauge. I do not eay anything againut the puwer of the Legislature. The Legistature has, within the limits that are assigned to its all the rights which its charter gives it. But 1 cannot help feeling that when we are dealing with this question, wo are dealitig with it very dififereatly from the minner in which it was dealt with, either by the independeat ParLiament of Scoldatid, or by the independent Parliament of Ireland. Wo are acting under a limited chaiter and cougtitution having no right ourselveen to deal with this matter finally by any adt of our own-haring only the right to deal with it by thene resolutions, and not to enact it with the autho-
rity of law. (Hear, hear.) We, therefore, stand limited in our powers at the outsetso limited that it has been decided in Newfoundland, that the privileges which belong to the House of Commons and theHouse of Lords in England do notbelong entirely to our legislative bodies-that these have grown with time, until they have become incorporated with the very existence of the Imperial Parlia-ment-while we hold our privileges in a very different way, not having the same comprehensive grasp of them, as in the case of the House of Commons. It is clear that we have not the same power as the 'Iuperial' Parlia: ment-otherwise we should not be obliged to go to that body for its sanction of these resolutions:- And there are limitations of the power of the Imperial Parliament itself, to which we also are subject. We cannot make any act of ours permanent, any more than we can make ourselves permanent, becanse another Parliament has the right to repeal what we have done. We cannot of ourselves enact this measure into a law. We can offer these resolutions-we have the power to do that-and the Imperial Government and Parliament have, no doubt, the power to act upor them as they choose. But the question is-is it wise to give these resolutions the force of law; is it proper to do so is it the most just course to take towards the people of this country, "to declare that in a matter of this importance we will legislate for them, to the extent of introducing au entire change of the Consti-tatiou-of providing that the U pper Chamber, which they have declared to be elective, shall cease to be elective, and shall be nominated by the Crown, without consulting them? I do not mean to say, with regard to that change, that it is not a beueficial change. I was one of the few whe stood on the floor of this Legislature battling against the ehange from nomination by the Crova to election by the people in the Upper House. 1 was one of those also who contended for resolations, the effect of which would be to place the power of the people in the Lower House, by reproseatation accordiag to numbers in that body, with equal representation for the two sections of the province in the Upper House. And recollect that some Lon. gentlemen now on the Treasury benches -the Hon. President of the Conncil among others, thrgugh the columins of the influential paper he controls-declared that the idea embodied in those resolutions was absurd, and
could not be acted upon, although the very idea which the Government have now incorporated in this scheme, from a different point of view. (Hear, hear.) And the Hon. President of the Council roted, as I did, against the introduction of the elective prinoiple, and in favor of the retention of the nominative pfinciple-not; however, for the reasons I did, but for different reasons altogether, as he explained at the ting. But the view he entertains now, in favor of a nominated Legislative Council, was the view he entertained then, and the change is one whieh I will be glad to see brought about. But it is a change of which the people at the last election had no idea. And the alteration in the minds of public men has been so great, within a short space of time, that I say we have a right to think and pause and reflect:" (Hear; hear.) Look at the programme which was brought down on this subject by the constitutional committee moved for by the Hon. President of the Council. ${ }^{\text {O }}$ Tho part of Nhe report of that cominittee which was most opposed was the Confederation of British . North Americi. And it is well known that what the Goverument offered, at the time of its formation, was that the lesser schemeotia Federation of the Canadas should go first, and thé larger scheme of a Federation of all the colonies alterwards. They ivere tirst of ail to try to have a Federal Goverument for Canada llone, and then to extend that, as circumstauces permitted, to the whole of the British North American Colouies. Well, in the shart space of little over three months, mea's minds, were so changed that the Federation of the Canadas ceased to be talked uf, and the Confedertion of the , whole privinets came up in its stead. That scheme for the Confederation of British North America, which a short time ago seemed to tiave but very few supporters, was bruaght suddealy before us with a lurfe number of supporters. How do we know that there way not be a change again it a short pace of time-that the whole systen with which we are now dealing, and ony which hon. gentlemen have placed their views before the eunatry, may not be changed again, without the people, who are said to be so satiofied with this scheme, having had the matter placed before them for coasideration, or the apportuaity of voting tor or ayainst the seheme? Therefore I beliese it would be wiser, better, and more for the intereste of the whole of this countey
-and that it would greatly strangthen the power which this Confederate Government would have-were it voted upon by the people in the constitutional mode of a general election, before it is fioally resolved upon as the Constitution of these colonies. I believe that if you wish to root it in the minds of the people, you will remove the objection which may at any time spring ap, and be mado the means, in the hands of designing men; of oreating dissatisfaction hereaftor. And I say that for the sake of carrying this scheme in the Lower Provinces, it is desirable to take this course. What is the reason. of the suspicion entertained with regard to this scheme by the Lower Proyinces? They say that the Government of Canada is urging it so rapidity, that there must be some arriére pense--that there is something in it which will place har in a better position-that they are therefore pressing it apon the paople of Canada dud of the Lower Provinces, without giving them an opportunity of cousidering it fairly: One of the things made use of in the Lower Provinces is that our interest in the matter is so great, and we are entaingled in so many difficulties, that we wish the other provinces nuited with in order shat, on their cridit united with ours, we may be able. to incur greater liabitities, mad carry out our views as to public works, the benefit of which we will gain exeluaively, although the Loves Provinces assume their share of the liability. For the present, therefore, unless by a great. streteb of power on the part of the Imperial Parliament, it will be impossible to force it on the Lower Provinces: They say that Canada, pressiog it in that way, mast have some ulterior object in view, which does not distinctly appear. Can we suppose for a moment that she position of the gentlemen going to liggland will be, that thes will press the Imperial Parliament to pass this measure, colle gue contle, whether the Lower Provinces like it or not, urging uthat as Canada possesses the larger population-two-thirds of the whole-if she desirea to have it the others must have it, whether they will or not. If that policy ware pursued, it mould be aecessary, first of all, to deprive them of their constitutions, and thei to declare that they mut uitat with usthat the will of the majority should overrule the wishes of the minority, esactly: as in the United States, where evergthing dependa on the will of the inajority, and the minority are presumed to have but few
rights, if any at all. But if you show that not only the majority of this Parliament, but the majority of the people also in Canada, are in favor of this scheme; and if you can show what the majorities in the Lower Provinces, are at the same time-if you can in this way show what is the will of the majority of the whole of the people of these provincess, you will have your hands much strengthened, when you go to England, if you wigh to say that because Canada-asks it, and the majority of the whole people of these provinces asks it, the Lower Provinces must be compelled to come in. The passage of this resnlution, in my judgment, would not imperil the passage of the schome at all. It would not prevent the gentlemen who no home from taking such steps before the Imperial Parliament as they :would think it desirable to take, or as they might be instructed here to take by the Government of which they are members: Let the Imperial Parliament pass the-measure, according to the views of the delegates, confirnied by the action of this Parliamentbut let them say that the measure shall not come into force in all these colonies antil each Parliament has voted apon it. And lat each Parliament be elected by the peopie, with special instructions to declare whether this new Constitution shall be the Constitation of these colonies or not. Every one who is a well-wisher of his country-who desires to see it go on and prosper-who believes that the concentration of power in one Executive over all these colonies will place us in a position to assumic the name and statius of a nation upon the earth-will be glad to finid our power in that way consolidated." And if "we base the structare, as it ought to be. based, on the expressed will of the people themsolves, then I think we will be offoring to those: who come alter ns, as mell aq to oursolves, a heritage which erery man should be proud of-and which will bring to our ahorea, from Great Britain andsother parta, people who will be desirous to obtain here; along with all the favorable circumstances attendsot upon tha settlement ef our lands, the advantages of the free Congtitution which wo have mado, as nearly és possible, facsimile of that of the mother-land. "Hut though I am myself in favor of the Confedevation resolutiona, and amzions to see them carried out, I an' desirous that they shall be carried ont in a manner whioh will be con-
ducive to the best interests of the country, based on a heartfelt expression of opinion by the people, by means of a general election. I promised I would not detain the, House, and having presented such arguments as seem to me to require the passage of this resolution, I resume my seat. (Cheers.)

Mr. M. ©.CAMERON said-I have great pleasure, sir, in seconding the resolution which is now before the House, because I think it is very desirable that before any such a change as that which is proposed should go into effect, the people, who are to be affected by the change, should have an opportunity of pronouncing upon it in a more decisive way than they can through their "representatives in this. House, who have been sent here for an entirely different purpose than that of making a change in the Constitation: It would not signify to me if the circumstances attendiag the union of Scotland with Eugland, or of Ireland with England, had furnished vo reason for contending that an appeal to the people was proper. They were entirely different from those nuder which ve are existing. If there bad been no precedents whatever, I say that at this enlightened day, when tho people interfere and have a right to interfere in the management of their own affirs, no such a ohange as this should take place without their having a roice in it $\cdots$ I do not feel; with the proposer of this resolution, that it is absolutely necessary that a dissolution of this House should take place for the purpose of obtaining an expression of the popalar will. I do not see why the iaking of a direct vote-yea and naywould be an unconstitutional proceediag. Hhear, hear.; I kave found that by the legislation of this country a precedeñt has been established that the people whe are to be affeeted should have the right of yoting úpon"certain mersures relating to their Gnancial affairs. We tind that in those bodies which the people are empovered to. create, and which are as much representa: tiva bodies as 'we are, the township and county councils-whenever a debt is to be ereated over a certain imount, afferting the communities over which those coungils exercise control, the question inust firft be left to the people to pronounce appn it before it can "become law. Tho people send their representatives to those boodies to legiulate for them in a similar man-
ner to that in which we are sent here to legislate for the countryat large.: The only difference is the difference between a smal! legislative body and a large one. They are just as much representatives of the people as we are. When we provide that they shall submit bylaws to a direct vote of the people, can it be said that it is a violation of the Constitution, or even an anjust or improper course to take a vote in a similar way, when so much larger matters are at stake? I do say, sir, that if there were no example for it-if our Legislature had not shown that it was the people's right to have a voice on all matters affecting them largely--we should now proceed to make that precedent. "(Hear, hear.) I do nor mean to say however; in speak. irig thus, that I amadyerse in the slightest degree to there being a dissolution of the House; but it strikes me that we will have a great many side-issues in a contast of that kind, and cannot, therefore, arrive at the direct sense of the people so closely as we should be able to do by a square vote of yea or uay. Nevertheless, it is of very great iumportance that before advancing to the end of the proceedings that we have contemplated by passing these resotryions, they should first "be submitted to the country in some way: I am in favor of the resolutions going to the people in apy way rather than the scheme should be carried out without such expression ; and I am also desirous that the public sh uld be awakened from that apathy which has been alluded to by the hün. member for Peel, and which I am also satisfiel exists among the people upon this question. $\therefore$ The honorable member for Peel has assyrted that there could not we half a dizen gentlemen found on the floor of this House who would say that this conntry could go on and prosper-but I say it could prosper for the next decade as it has prospered during the past, without any ohange whatever, I believe I a in one of those, few thiough they may be, who so think; but I ani also doe of those who thought ithat it would be very desirable to have a change in the rupresentation of the different siections of the province, on-th - foor of this House. I thought thist Upper Canada contributed so much more towards the revenue of this country than Lower Canada, that she ought to have a larger voice in the disposal of that revenue. Theretore a change of the Cunstitution, in this respect, has been agitated by men entertaining that opinion, but I nover did
feel that the people of Lower Oanada con: sidered themselves on thip eve of a revolation, or that the people of Upper Canada had arrived at that point, that they felt it absolutely paccessary to resort to revolutionary meásures to obtain justice. While I feel that wis have now arrived at that stage that we can have a union with the Lower ProVinces that would give as a a strength and a stability that we cannot acquire by the resolutions we have just passed, yet I do not derire that any change should take place vithout the people of this conntry having the fallest and freest opportuaity of expressing, themselves upon its-desirability I desire that the people should have this geheme presented to them, side by side with the proposal for legislative nuion, that we may ascertain whether or not they would adopt a Federal union; in pre: ference to a legislative union. I hare been eharged with haviug adynoced arguments in disenssing the resolutions, which were as applicible and favorabla to a Federal as to a Legislative union, and that I said nothing more farorable to the one than to the other:- Well I admit that if you take three or four isolated points of a man'sarga. ment, you can make them support exactly the opposite of that which his whole course of argument way calculated to aphola; and - When you pat all of my remarks together, you will find that they bear strongly in favor. of the legislative form of union: This mach will be found, that every argument whieh I advanced shat could be said to favor Federstion; was also an argument tu favor of a Legislative naion; and, in addition, I brought promineatly to view the grvater economy connected with the working of the latter: I consider that argumat a atrong one in view of the cirenussiances at preseat exiating in this country-the suffering in conise quence of the failare of crops, and the dopression of trade owitig to the var in the United States, the position of this country is such that it is not-able to bear additional heavy burdens; and if we are yoing to make a change in our Constitution, chat cliange should be of a character that would lessen thoge burdens instead of in. ereasing them, if porsible. And if we must ineur a heary buydea fondefonsive purposes, let us have as mutionsing, in othor respectis, as we can effect, so that there will bo as little expenditure as may bo for the meve machiaery of goverament, and then we uhall have a
form of union that will meet the approval of the people, and be perpetuated. Looking - at this change that is considered so necessary, let us enquire what events have brought it about., Let honorable gentlemen on the Treasury beaches answer for me, and we will find that it has been the cry that retrenchment was absolutely becessary-that if we did not liave retrenchment, or give to Upper t anada that fair control over the expenditure of our income that she ought to have, is consequence if her contribating so much bure to the revenue, there would be a revolution: That was the way in which the Hon. President of the Council, for partz and pulitieal purposes, chose to disenss this matter while be was in opposition.: Not that there Whs really any danger of revolution, but because there whs jastied in the ery, they uged atrong language to give effect to their agoment. Now, I rould like to understand and I hare not yet been able to anderstand trum ange uecuber of this House who has "heen atrocating representation by population -w wether there was any reason for believing that we could not have got that coustitu. tonal change just the well as we can get this whe. It was party feeliug that kept the peoplo apart, yet that party foeling was strapeif ia moneat whe the leaders of the parties brought huir heads together, and deckared that it should nos louger exist. Thay anited tir the ayured garpose oi remedying the dificulties funder. which the proquee laboed, and for the purpose of oiving the perpe of Upper Cazada cheir rights; and they cay this is to be aecomplished by a Federal naion. They might a, well have brmed a untou tor a more econousieal object - for the parprise of forming a lugiglative uaf betweot the provinees. Ly may be asid that hon. geqflemen of Frenehextraction from howe lapala were so determined 4f rexist the demauds of Upper Canada, that thit rights, of that mation of the provinee culd never have beer obtained, unkess by a Felerid union of the colonicy. I think, howerr, chat they are mea of intelligence, and that if they fonad Uppar Canadians were trae tu their determinahion to contend for theirrightis qutil they should bo obtained, the result woull have beer rery difierent from what it has been.. Hou. geutlenen from Luwer Canada, of French origin, mu4t have seen that they were liable to be swept. away at any momeit that there should be a union between the Britiof of Lower and of Cpper Cacada. II the isene had been
placed fairly and equally before them; if they had been brought to see that matters had arrived at such a state thit it was absolutely necessary that some change should take place-the people of the Eastern Townships and of the eastern part of Upper Canada staitiding ready to be banded together against them-they would have seen that the elements on the floor of this House were such"as would foree upon them either a legislative union with the Lower Provinees, or representation by popalation in Canada alone: Whether a legislative union, with representation by population as a basis, could bave been obtained fram the Lower Provinces or oot I am ant prepared to say; but I am given to understand that the people of the Lower Provinces ndvocated a legislative union as strongly as those of Upper Canada, and that they were about to carry out at legislative union among themselves. Now, if Prupe Edward Island and Newfoundland were willing to go into a legislative union with Noya Scotia and New Bruaswick, I do not see why the proposal to bring ia an additional province should have effected so complete a change in their views. I am, satisfied that if the genLemen who represented the interests of Upper Canala had set themselyes about trying to get that which wuuld have been best for their poople, and had oudeavored to enfore the tights of Upper Canada, they soond have acoomphagd that which wuald have ben of more service to the people of the united provinees, atad, whioh would have pheed beyout chave or piossibility those contentions phich will uecessarily result so soon ay you have individaalizel those provineen by giving each a Lowal Legislature." By this rehene you will have increased the berdens of the people; and in so doino will Thave incereased their opportunity for discontent.: You have given to the general and loual legitlatures coerdinate jurisdietion, or at least tave given them the right to legistate on the same questions, and, in thas placing in their handy an element of contention. have whewn that yeu are not bnilding. up a frm and stable governuent. (Ifear, hear.) Now it is said that one of the strongest reatons for union is found in the necessity of placing our common country in a position of defence, but I do not see why we cashot make arraggement for a defonee under our present government. Let us be told what it is that is required of us, and sce whether the difierent legislatures will not vote the
money necessary. If we can get the money through the Imperial Government, then let us show them that we are prepared to make. it good; that we are prepared to raise the money upon our ofn papier, if they will guarantee it for as: If they will do that, they will find that these provinces are willing and ready to make all due provision for their defence. This prorince is only one of a number which are equally bound to defend theniselves with us, as I believe they hare the spirit and inclination to do: I fancy you will find as muct logalty in the Lower Provinees as in Carada and sou will find that when we are ready to aid the Mother Cuntry in "defence" of this portion of her territury; they will bed ready too; and as they are now united to the Mother country as well as oursel fes, we could be in no better position for" defence through the contemplated union. "The ưiop cannot make us strouger, when' we have to bok to the luperial poweras the bond that kereps us together under any eircumstanees it is to them we shall louk for orders when the time comes to act. 1 aim not one of these whi like to look. at the wides of the securame of the bond between this and the Mother Country but yet I teel that if Ypper Canada is burdened more heavily than she has been by the additioual burden's which: the Federal univn must mpose to sustaio its costly machínery. there wht be preat langer of the athe state of thans being brought abut whech rendered some chatge uecessary at the present time. It candot buit be fettethat this subeme has not beert brought furward with a yiew to more ecouminel guverument, or with a view to providige the bert means of union that could be ohtaned, but that it had its origin in expedenty aud cotapromise. The people of Cabala filt compelled to seen some chinge, and the people at. the hower Hrovinces, it they alopt the measure, will in a sease have it fored upo thon by the people of camada A selteme lias beea adopted which it is theight will prove the most expedient for the tume bstagr, bat - Which must be changed in the curse of a tew years, atter leading. us into conteations and strife, such as we have had tur the past ten years: Why not pause and discuss the measure me re thoroughy and have it weeded of its defects; so that we may acconplish at once the constraction of a Coastitution that shall be a lasting une, and not riak the formation of a union on a Constitutiou that will tumble to pieces, and drive a large por-
tion of the people to look for relief in a union with the neighboring republic-a union that for one should regard as the greatest curse that conld befall this Capada of ours. (Hear, har) Now, Mr. Speakek, that the measure should be submitted to the country before it is carried out, is "a matter that is rendered the more necessary by an article that I will read from the Gilobe newspaper, which paper is the organ of the Governnent at the present tine, and has always been understcod to express the views of the honorable member for South Oxford (Hon. Mr: Baowa), now the Hon. President of the Council It is in teference to the Intercolonial Railway, which so many people now think may be buitit without detriment to the interetts of the country; if:we are to have all the bepefits supposed to be derivable from this anion. Here is what was said by that newspaper When the former negotiations for building ihe Intercolonial Railway were in prigress:-

Opper Canaila has not. it appears gulleged eoough in the estimation of Dpper Canadiai meximery of the Cabiat, trom being tied to one poor tastera province - is must have three thore added to its already heary burtent. One leyis: lature is not a saftiently combruast unswidy and exponsive body, bus we wust add to it the representatives of three other communities, each' section with yarying local interests, aed all palling at the gane purye. And to show what we may louk tor in the future, we are to pay foursweltites of the cust of a railway to untite us to thesed bew allies, and to kerp tite road runtitag Eesides. Truly a charming sebeme wh be propexsed by a Tretrenchmeat governiment- (hedif, heary-whous sule aim was to be the reduction of experadiuro and the eorrection of ubuses in antmibustration ${ }^{\text {- }}$ Now, burdens of an enormous amantht are ter bo imposed apan the prople of Vyper Casada, a ridiway jub to bo podertaken liky! to be as disastrous and dis gracetill as the framel Trank, and an already anwied y politeal watien bo be vintunbered threetold; atl that Mesert. Stevers and Sasibrect Matoosaty tays get rid of the diticalies with which their: (Guvernimetat is sut: rounded.

An Hon MBMBER-What paper is that in?
Mi. M. CEAMEROX-The Turoato atobe.

Hos. Ma. BRUW N-What is the dute?
Ma. M. C.CAMERON-I do tiot know the exuet date; I find it as ain extract in. ancther paper. It way written about two years ago.

Hos Ja: BROWN-Oh : that is out of
date ; it does not apply to the altered circumstances of the case.

HoN Mr HOLTON: The extract speaky of that scheme having been proposed by a retrenchment governmeut. "It should "be remembered that this Government is not a retrenchment government:

MR. M. C. CAMERON-I will read apther extract from the stme paper, of a siailar date :-
There is a refreshing coolness in the demand that Canada shall pay for the constructiou of a tuat, which is professedfy désigned io draw away rijde from it great estuary. We have been buding up the navination of the St. Lawrence at maneque expecase, midd thave had yery hard work to wate:c with the Hudron and Erie canals. AccordHátor the views of the late Hon. Mr. Marairt, Anathep haes were alone needed to secare the ubete wes desire The Ministry propose, thowever, to michltraw the steamaships from the St. Law 'race' If this could bedone, it would be an act do shitude in Canada to take part in the scheme. As at cranot be done, it is simply an absurdity.
It ang be diticall to escapa from pledese given th the reporatatatives of the Lower Provinces, but the ribers of the Cabinet may rely upon it, that they what have their reward for the abandonment of pestoponment of the measufe in the approban tion of their constitugats and of the provinece at las ze.
(llear, hear.) Now, Mr. Speaker, look. ing at chat article, and assumingethat the writer of it really was a man who fiat the interesta of the conatry at heart; and axsumitig that it expressed the sentiarnts of the Heaorable Presideut of the Coancil, I woald like to know what really has taken place within thoes two years which renders it so absulately necessary that this roxd that would have been wo disastruas to the interests of Canada, it built at that time, thould be commenced now? Is the ouly oliage that has taken place the elevation of the editur to a seat at she Executive Condoil Byard? He fuand that it would not do for him to coalesee with that very respectable old eorruptienịst who sits along side of him; and Whiv compared the Upper Canadians to so iuny codtish in Gaspe Bay, unless he had souething as a basis of exease for the Coal. ition that would make it look plausible and seand well; and so they got op the idea of a "new natiouality," which was to crush out all furmer ories and hide from the peuple of Canada their tiue interests, by declaring that no matter how entravag. ant a goverament may be, if you can get.
a union of this kind, you can afford to spend your millions annually in excess of your income in the construction and maintenance of a road calculated to injure our trade; and all for the sake of adding to "our population some 800,000 inhabitants: (Hear, hear.) Under these circumstances, it strikes methat before a change sogreat as this is made -a change that has been condernned and its leading feature, the Intercolonial Railway; so strongly denounced by the Reforar press generally of Upper Genada-before such a change as this is made; the people s! ould be consulted It may be that the feasoning then adopted and fiven expression to through the columns of the $O l o b e$ has takeo a deep hold upon the ginds of the people, and that they have pot, like the Hon. President of the Council, obtained the new light which scems to have broken in" upon his mind. We are now told-no railway, mo union; but if this railway was so cumplete a curse that it was not to be built when we had only to contribute five-tweltha of the expense, we ought to have some "greater reason given. than hay yet been furnished; why this union should take place, involving as it does the construction of that Intercolonial Railway, at a cost to us, of tentwelths of the work. What great differeace is there in the circumstances of the country now from what there was then, to make up for the great mischief that the railway was to do if constructed two years ago? The Honorable Presilent of the Conncil does not choose to answer these questions. He tinds that he has gote an exotllent body of followera in this House at the preseat time, who are carried away with the ide that siume change is necessary, and they are prepared to run into anything where the Honorable Prestident leads, for the sake: of the novelty; tor it is saida that if you get a little uovity to tickle the people tor'a season, they may be kept quiet, little heeding the storm that will come after the caln. When this Huuse first met, I observed a great many opponents to this schewe; but sumuhow or other the opposition of a great many of thefn very soon subsided. Some people say that several hon members had ares to grind, and they were only holding on to the handle until they were sure the edge was sharp. (Langhter ) Suon after the meeting of the. House, it was observed that the Hoa. President of the Conneil had taken a trip around among them, and the next thing we knev thoy had wheeled right abont. Mr. Speaike,
the breath of the Hon. President of the Council must have been exceedingly pestilential, for hon. gentenen tho had pré pared thearselves with speeches of two hours duration, on standiog up to deliver them; found themelves so weak in the knecs. that they were only able to staind for a few moments, aul what they uttered wat totally different from what they had prepared, and all in conaceluace of the breath of the Ilon President if the 'suncil. (Laughter) From the exeenitugly detri meutal character ot thas berath? 1 iwuld strubely andise by hone friemis to keep at
 President of the lomped tu comene ar the m. for fear of a muilar ahoust tatal renth (Hear, hear )

It being oix uphek the Sperter Totithe Chair until haltens veren

Jiter the reves.
 said-When the-Huse rose atiny uchek. I had remarked upa the sugular etfere the breatis of the Hon. Presileat of the cuacil bad apon may hon me mbersof has llouse: and in connectiou wath this matter 1 would have asir to ubaerye that there, neems bo be a prathee grywing iate twor wheits acered. ang to my jodgracal is deservan of every conderatation - It is, fir hon menibery of the Goverment to adres thementes prixately topartacher members, and we theng reabons for their activar which reason a are not wand. knowa watrdy to the hona neinbery of ethiflluse - Now, t unterstion that untry honorible memont gn the thor of thin Howe represinta - is pirthen of the puple of the cuatry sod the portion of the geaphe which oue reprements is as wach entherd to consideration and to informati on in puresson of the doverument as the rest of the peoptepor the constituencies of every peffer honat member of the II us. It the fiverument gives to sone that fufor: mation whech in certain cates it has nét communimated the the bostile to certain measures, is places thase hon membere in a tabse pusition ${ }_{x}$ uakes thedin ave contrary to what they would, perhaps, if all the faets were in their posecossion, and is a proverdiug that is oppusied to the best interestes $0^{t}$ the country Hear, hear.) It reworis were privately given uy a Minister to ure which he witheld from veher had "members. of the Housy, 1 would thats he was vadearor ing to Tleceive me; for, acordiag wo his oath of office, he is boand to preserve the
secrets of the Cabinet, and if he betrayed them to me, I rould conctude that he had some siuister end in view, and mas endeavor. ing to make ne proced in a course contrary to. that which would be dictated by my honest convictions. (Hear hear.) Notr, in reference to the Hon, the "President' of the Couseil, I had hoped thet whea he had cried atruce and buried the party hatchet, brished off his war pait and smoked the pipe of pute with bit poltical enemies-(fanghter) tion would have no uyre misrepresenta tions gung to the country through the theLuans of the "aewraper oftgen which' he inelds. I did not expert, therefore, to bind that that organ would have devated a whote column to a humble indindazl like myself. who happeas to expresi views contrary th tho wuw bofd by that bon. gentleman That paper, in referriug to the rocent debue in this House on's the Contederation resplu. tions make an attack out mes by epresent: tage that I have betrayd my gotastruenota by actug. as it ways, contrary to the pledey I gave them previout to my clection, Now I: presume my enstituents know whit pledeges I did make to them, and it to net acceatyry that any comanuications shonald be made through the chaunels of the Gilnbe to bet the a kow that I base betrayd
 jadge as chat paper whether 1 viowed my promises or not. If any arzutamt 1 ase. to juttify the pumition I tink ia regarit to that quastua was hat, it unghe hare been potuted out; bue ri war yuter uaveceanasy w tell them that I had brok+in my pledgen. when they were ta competent to judis upno that point as any ony dele. Yet that in what the "organ of the bon penteman is doing showing that the ofld party lewora beareme she hun. genteman still; and that all the eloments of party dixeord and strit: are jant as rampant wew" in this llouse is they were befor: the present C.altitio was formed. (Hear) Sow che Hua. Atorney General Wrat, in that muning and taterme ing seeae he had with the hoa member fer Carleton the other day; made a devaration whact, aieanding tu wy goase of pulties unsality, is not exacily wte that dheuth hive been shads by a Matater of the irnwa hothiog the pextion of the bot genticisen He declared that it was the bunadon duty of the qumbers of his party to follow their Lealer ia all thiogs-ast exorcisiag their owa judgasept in reference to any matter that may come before the House, but sub-
mitting themselves to him, in the belief that when he made any proposition, no matter of what character, he had some good object in riews.

HoN ATTY GEN MACDONALD-No, nó.

Mn M $\dot{G}$ CAMERON- When the notion for the previous question was sprang upon the House, the Hon. Attorney General Ifest rather rated the hoporable member for Carleton, because that honorable gentleman chose to espress an independent view on the matier, and then he stated that the follimer ought almays to obey the leader, becunge it wras to be supposed the latter nould not take any particular action withont haring good reasons for it. (llear, bear.) Now, it seems to me that we haye not been och to this IIouse by the people to follow the leaders of party but to represent the sontituencies according to the best judg: mat we pasors; and we are not: 1 think, required to give ap that right of judgment upon all quetions that como before this Honse, to the leader of a party or ingbody Clursbut to exercise it propery ontselves. (Hear: hear:) The leader of the Goverawent, in intruducing any measure, oughe to be able tu satisfy honorable numbers of this House of the goodness and wistom of that measury, and he ghould not ask or receive support any furtherthon his ability to give such satisfaction. (Hear, hear). The doetray haid dawa by the Hon. Attorney Gen orst is, the refore in tuy opinion-besause it faks away the righe of privatajud ment of hourable members of this Honse--perni: cous and injurions to the best interests of the conutry

HoN. Atr. Gen. MaCDONADD-In what respet'?
Ma If C. CAMERON-In inducing honoyablo nexpbers of this Hoase to set in rany matter contrasy to their own judgmeat, because the leader of the pary to which they belong tells them to do so.

Hon Atiy. Gus MACDONALD-No, to I Ind not gay that.
Mar C CAMEROX - understood the honorablo $g$ uteman 30 , when the honorable member firt Carloton and himadif had that pleasavit altercation the other day; and if that was nut his iveaning, I ain worry that I ciaputed it to him. (Hear, hear.) Now, I hisere conbended that this measare. of Confederation ought to be subaitted to the people before ita adoption. I have already
given from the Globe newspaper reasons why it should: I do not, sir, bring forward these extracts from that paper for the mere: parpose of placing the Hon. President of the Conncil in, an awkward and nupleasant position ; but my design in doing so is to lead honorable gentlemen to reflect and think upon the probable effect of the votes they may give. If the Glube newspaper advocated measures formerly which met with the approbation of the people, and if its influence was so wide spread as is asserted, and as it undeniably is, the leaven with which it leavened the country by those articles advocating certain doctrines remains there still, and has not beea removed by any new argu* ments it may now advance It monld be Well for honorable gentlemes, therefore; to reflect sell before making up their minds that the old leaven of the Globe has gope abroad-has still a hold of the public mind -and may affect them very seriously when they next present themselves at the polls. Now, I rill read another extract showing. what was the opiniou held by the Glibe; on a subject which is viewed quite diffrently now by the Hon. Presideat of the Council and the Goverameat :-
Wo" have a debt of servaty millions, ant adeliciency of three or four millions, created by andertakuty wofks which have falled to pay any retura tir the evert of construction.: But no ea terprise, the burden of which we have ansumed, coums auything, natr the Intercolonial in the poviry of its promised results: It will apt ses care the profitable getlement of an acre of land; it will not help our trade; itewill dot pay its own running expenses.
The few barren acres at the east are to get $\$ 50,000$ a gear; of our money, while half a continent to the west is to pet a lew words addressed to the Colonial Minister.
Now, hare is the dioctrine, in reference to this matter, held by the $d$ ligbe and the Hon. President of the Council only two year' ago; and if these views were correct then they ought to be conrect now, and the people should have an opportunity of prosontacing upon them, and saying whother that railway. should be buite, edpecially. when, und ir this Confederation schene, we are to $p$ sy upwarls of three millions annually for the thatitenance of the beal governmens. If the railway was objeotionable then, surely it is more abjectionabie now, when the annual expenditure in connection with it will be at least double what it woald have been had it
been carried out at that time. (Hear, hear.) Well, perhaps the people will see that this great scheme of Confederation, which has made the lion and the lamb lie down together, as the Honorable President of the Council has said; is something that has made them forget that prudent economy that had a large place io the feelings of the people of Upper Canad - a place created perhaps, chiefly by the Hon: President of the Counvil himself; and if it is true, as that honorable gentleman urged in his paper; it is still more true and essential now that the people shọuld have an opportunity of pronouncing upor it: And, sir, I adopt the view of the. hou. auember for Peel-although startiag fromit, he arrives at somewhat differevternclasions from myself-if you force this scheme upon the people without ating for their consent and if they wake from the apathy which they teel now; to find that they are saddled with burden's which they never contenplated, you make then opponents of the union, and worse opponents than if you anked the now whether they approved of it or nöt, and so you will have a dissatisfied people labering under-burdens which. I tear will aventually create serious discontent throughout the leagth and breadth of the land (Ilear; hear.) And there is this additional reason for reternop the ques: tion the the people, now that the pher pro vinces have rejected the schecte, and I presuine their groverpmedis will not dare to press it furrard in ypposition to , the withes of the people. Now, honi gentlemen opposite, whea they introduced this seheme, and said they could suffer no amendments ho be uade in it; piut it on the plea that it was absolute. Iy necessary, to keep faith with the Lower F'ruvinoes, that, they should carry it' in itss eutirety. I am ghad to find that the llon. Presilent of the Council it not so willing and anxious to break fith with thase provinces, as he was two years ago in refereace to one of the esseatial ingreuifints bt this schemo-the Intercolonial Railway. (Hear, hear.) I aduire, sir, the principle of keeping faith in any ergagement; but I do aot think it was necessary, in urder to scep taith in this matter, thit it should be treated as the Goverument propases that this !ouse shall deal with it. All the Government had to do to carry out what it underteok with the goveram"tets of the Lower Proviaces, was to bring the scheme before Parliament, as those governmenta have done, and allow Parlimment to deal with it as it saw fit.

There mas no necessity for saying to the House that it must reject it or adopt it in its entirety: "All the Gorerament h. h to do-its members not being dolegates to the Conference chosen by the people at large. no- avep appointed. Gy Rarliament for that purpose, but going there, as it were, with the tacit understanding ion the part of the representatives of the people in this Honse, to "ee whether any, arringement of anion might be made that would work beneficially for the interests, of the whole proviucesall it had to do was to settlu upou some plan which it would report to, shis House ter aetion'; but it had no power whatever to bind this Leigistatire absolutelyand irresoo ably th the seheque adoped hy the Conferebes, so that it cuuld not diesent from or ater it (Hear, hear, Well, we fint hopbrable gentemen who adromethis sheme suy that it is uot a perfect modere, that ih Is nut what any one ot the prumber wou! desire or aceept of itsolf, but thate it is nescessary to have this pateh wort of a put stitution, because there are"ubaculees whieh it is neceswary to get over and $r$ unw ; and yet, while it is shinited to besuperteet, to tell w that'we should not have zh upges tunty of sayiag' whether its provithos ape right or wronge if, to my mind to trisult the iatelligence of this lluase, and to conimit a Wrong which t think handrable geatlemea will have cause to remet hereafter. (Hyar, mar): Mayy huturable geathemen have a trucated this measure with great garmeth, with a texligg of arnestness and oruthfulnes, and with what 1. belieye to be a a inerere ly patriotic.dente to accomplift suatethig that will work tor the best intereats be thits exuntry. There is, for instance the hou. member for Soath Lanark Mr: Moaris), "Who years ago, in ober af thote daydteana, it youth which most off, usexperieace, toncived the idea that antori of these prosvinces would ber encestiguly beretiotal to to people of all of them-; atd haviag got that idea somewhat in alvasee of his fellowmen, he thougtit that it was abonlaterly ue veswiajy for our prooperity that it should be aremed isut ; but he forente-that in order to secure sts smooth workity it if hetersary tw have perteet jotuts to the cuachinory, and in tead of getting that whieh wit work well for the ptople, he gets that which has the name only of union, and few indeed of tto advantages It that huoorabls geatleman had his choiee, he would no duubt have ohosen something stroag and stable, and $n$ ot
something delusive and perishable; for, as the honorable member for Peel and other honorable members who advocate this measure say, it is ooly a temporary expedient to tide ut over our difficulties-a scheme of ynion to serve for the present, and not interided tó endure for all time. (Hear, hear.) We are not, in fact, building up the frame work of a Constitution that is to stand for ever, but something that we with have to tiuker up from time to timé, till we at length succeed dither in destroying it altogether or waking it a passably fair erection. (Hear, hear.) It appears to me, sir, that when this country mas given responsible goverament- When the people of Canada were taught the lesson that they were heaceforth to exercise the right of thinking for themselves-it is a sort of rude interference, with that right whea a certan number of geachemen from Canada eater into a contrack with eertain other geatlemen from the Lower. Proviaces -thirty-three in uamber altogether-sina that contract; and then declare that the represeutatives of the people in these pronuces shall tirst le bound by it, that the poople themselves shall acxt be bound by it, that deither representatives nor peoples shatl have the power to slece or amead it, and then that if wo do tasint upoa our right to alter it, we shall be thrown baik iuto that state of diffeculty which has been held Ap by sowe hoarable geatlemea at a bugbear to frigtiten un into subainsion, the sonatry being represeated as haviog been burdering on revulation, into which it would assuredy be chrowa if this measure were not aceopted in its entirety (Hest, hear) -I think that thit prophal will pot ge lexemthat it will not ueet with that fult aceept ance which hourable geatemen língines The people have too much intelligeace to jatruse tho arrangemeat of so taportant a yubject as this, whieh so totimately affecta their futare prosperity and happiness; th the hands of any set of ruea, bowever able and taleated they may bo; and if this scheme is carried without giviug the people a chance of - pronounciag thetr optaiom, hourablegentlewea will both, when they go back to their conatituents, that the people have rights to be respected, that they life to be coposulted. about the character of the Cunstitution under which they are to live, and that beture it is adopted mally, thoy like to hava a word to say in regard to it; as well as thuse who assume to apeak for theres.

How. Ma, McGEE-They have word
to say. They say ditto to our action: (Hear, hear.)

Mr: M. CAMERON-Well, I should like them'to have a full cpportunity of saying ditto or dot as they pleased, and I fancy if they had, the ditto weuld n't be so strong as honorable gentlemen opposite seem to think. (Hear, hear.) I have beeu told that I have violated my pledges in opposing this scheme, and that my constituente sent me here because they thought me to be in favor of, it: Well, I hare that yet to learnfrom them.; for I have beard no complaint: from them against my action, and have had one letter fully approviog of it, and it so happ:ns that it was written by a warm friend of the Honorable President of the Connil in the old time. (Hear, hear.) I am not aware that the people anywhere approve of the eheme and say ditto to $i t$, at the Honorablo Minister of Agriculture telly us"; and when the bext election takes place, 1 pre. sume we will have the ditt in sume shape. Now, the Honorable: Rresident of the Council max think that I have some personal feeling against himself:

HoN Ma. BRUWN-Not at all.
Ma. M, C.CAMERON - If the honorable gevitleman dow ithink that' I an actuated by persual motives in my strictures upon him, he is cery much mistaken." "1 have not the slightest persoual feeliag against him; and as far a 1 personally atio concerned, he may remain in the glinistry and work through with hix colleagues just'as long as he can, sud 1 promise he will find no factions oppositiou frum ne. (Ilear, hear.) If 1 understand myself at all, I desire to promote the tuterests and alvance the prosperity of my country; but 1 do not believe' those intorests or that prosperity advanced by the aduption of this scheme... (Hear, hear.). I bulieve a seheme of union could be dovised which would be sarviceable to all of these pruvinces, but I do not believe that Confederation is that sobecme. I do not think it is desirable to adopt this, and then trust to the chate of ubtainiog a ohange afterwards. Honorable gentlemen from Lower Canada are ouly postponing the time when they will stand like other men in the community, having voice for voice with the rest, and nothing moren. But if we change the Con-* stitution now, is it wise or pradent to make the change only of such: a character as to require future amendmeat, and give rise to future agitation? and is it not better that We should eadeavor to make the Constitution
right in the first instance? (Hear, hear.) And as there"are elements by which a union of the provinces could be formed that would be lasting, and that would serve the best interests of this country, honorable gentlemen on the Treasury benches would: have better shown their patriotism by waiting a little logger to accompliohit.

Hon: Atty. Gen. Macdonald-Accomplish what?

Mr. M. C. CAMCRON-A legislative union of these provinces.

Hon Atty Gen MACDONALD-I thought my hon friend knet that every man in Lower Canada was ayainst' it. 'every nam in New Bruaswick, every man it Nova Scotia; every man in Newfoundind, and every man in Prince Edward Intaun, How. then, is it to be accomplished?

Me. M.U゙ U.VERON-I did not understapl apything of the kind but I dia understiod that it was aseerted here that that feclinge existed in the Lemer: Provinces: I do understand, noreover, that there are enough mernbers on the four of thits Hotese -in the larliment now as armbled-who "are ready and willing to nive as "repryentation by population.

Hon Mr MrDede lLI-Why have they no sid wo?

Mr M (C'CHERON-Betauge an op
 And I think I can show that the "pople of the Lover? Provinces are in favit of a Levislative utipu', pather "than a" Fuderal union-hemr, hear-itar they apmintad delegates to meet at iharloftensw for the purpose at extabliwhige a L.rivgitive uninn among theinselves.

Hon Ma: BROWS-The hoa quele man is, no doubt; stocere in the upinion be expresses. It is trie there was a union of the Maritime ïrovine got stat d what kind of undon it war to be

Mr. Y, C CDYEROX-Wrll, aht the sentiments and feeltion I haverseta expessed on the subject teath: the to the comelavion that it was a legislative union they propused; and wh a :he delegaten to the Quetece Cubference poke to their con cituedes, they put forward the idea that a legistative univa would have been better than the bue proposed At any rate this setuetse hay been rejected in one of the provinces, and if earried into operation, it will haye so be fored apon the people if une or uther of the provibees. Well, it yud are goige to torce a Coustitution apon any part of the prople, it
would be better to force upon them that which would, accordigg to yout uwn expressed opinion, be better and of greater service to the country thais the scheme under consideratiou. (Hear, hear.) The people of Lower Canada prescated the appearinuce of beins against representation by population; they, thought that it would be the annihilation of their pecaliar institu-tions-that by its adoption their lows would be interfered with, their language extinguished, and their religion destroyed; and yet how readiy did they concedo the principle in thit (vanfederation scheme.. They grated tepresentation by population in the Lower Honse of the Federal Legisharare: (Hear, hear) And why hed they doue so? Because, they say, under the Lecal Governwent bur localaffaiss and iuterets will be safe in onr'own keeping-our liws will be safe; our language will be safe, gur religion will be safe: : Now, if they ware assured that "all these interesta wouh be equally as, safe and well protected onder suy form of government that ruight be chusem for the good of the whole cyuntry, can ang hoo. geatleman asyume to tay that they would be opposid to shat tiom of govera-: inem: (Hear, hear) It is well to under: stand that hou goutenem ropresenting Lower tanada wostinuencies and the people of Lower (ana a bity been educated to fear aderexsion an bed part of Cpper Catinda, apectally it reprectation by population wire ratated; and they have been so elueated ia sonseftume of the mabier ja which the Hon Prevideat ul the Centecit, his organ. and the organy of his patty. haty ayitated that yuestion in times: patt ; but when the people of Loswer Canala nacerstand that there is an opportanity of conceding that which they have hitherto refused, and at the stine time of securing the eojoytuent of thous rights and privileges whieh they all bold dear, I canave believe that they will tooger oppose that which all of them cantiot fait to see mast colue swoner or later. '(Hear, hear) They mast'ect that it is better for them to make terms mow when they may ; for I apprehend that they would aut carry their resistance to a just priucipla to the leagth of a rovolution'; tor it is quite dear that they could uot be succesabil in any such moveraent, or set thersulves up as an independent pown in this coantry, while. Upper Canada and the other provincea remain is part of the British passessions nor cuald they become a part of the United

States, for under that government their laws, their language and their religion would be far less secure than thiey would be if the government were catirely iff the hands of Upper Canada. I feel satisiied, therefore, - trat hon. gentlemen from Lower Canada would have yielded representation by population, if it bad been shown to them by the representatives of Upper Canada that they could accept of no change which would increase our difficulties and add to the burdens we have to bear, as this scheme does; for thate was the charge mado over and over again at the polls in Upper Canada, that we were laboring under heary burdens which had been cast upon us by successive governmeats, owing to she influence of the Lower Proviaces (Hear, hear.) Sir. I cannot conceivo it to be possible that any body of men sent here by the people nader the Constitution will make changes in that Constitu: tion which were ato contemplated by those. who sent then liere, without subaitting those changes first to the people. They have not been agitated at the polls, and the people semen to think that they shinuld be considered, and there is an apathy amougst them that does not prove that they aro well disposed towards the seheme. It is true that the buast is made that they are all in faror of it, and a recent meeting at Toronto is poiated to as showing what their feeling is upon the subjeot. Well, of course, the hon. uember for liatobtou and the hon nember for Kent were at that meeting, and they said, and no doubt believed that those who composed that meeting were very distingnished individualy: ... (Laughter.) And because these distioguished individuals were present, and resolations mare carred in favor of Confederation, then it wes at once assumed that the whole cuantry was in faror of the scheme: But it ought to be recollected that that meeting was got up by a number of joung men-talented and able young men, no doubt, but still young and enthusizatioassociated together to form or veurganize a Heform ansociation and that it was attended ouly by them and those friendly to them and their views, and not by the citizens of Toronto, assembled for the purpose of deter. miniag whether tho soheme should bo adopted or not. It cantiot bo assumed, therefore, ilhat a meetiag of that tind traly rellected the opinion of the people of Toronto; not would this conelusion be orrived at when it is remembered that whea a gentlemin got up and moved thas tho solame of Confeder-
ation should be submitted to the people; he was laughed ati. Is it likely that if the meeting was not composed entirely of those in favor of Confederation, a proposition of that kind would be received with a laugh? (Hear, hear.) ․ And if you read the reports of the speeches deiivered at that meeting, you will find that hardly anything was said at all in reference to the true merits of the scheme, but that there was a great deal of that "highfalnting", talk which you hear in this House about the great and glorious results that this scheme is to bring forth. (Hear, hear.) : As to its probable actual Working and the benefits it vill confer upon the people, or as to its dissdrantages, there was not a word spoken in sober language, and in this Hoase you find hov. gentlemen debating in just the same extravagant style as was exhibited at that mecting. (Hear; hear:)

Hon. Mr. McDOLEGALL-I haveunder: stood from the several speeches delivered by the hon. gentleman upon this subject, that be fecls quite convinced that a legislative unina would be better, and that tre would vote for it:

Ma. O. CAMERON-Yes.
Hos. Mr. MoDOLGABL-Well, then, I should like to know what authority he would have to yoito for that rather chan a Federal union, and from whiat he drams the inference that the people are in favor of it?" (Hear, licar.)

Mn. M. C.CAMERON-When I said I would vote for a legistative union, I did nut say. I was ready to adopt it without subinit ting it to the people (Hear, hear.) Now, it has been" said that the Lowar Provinces. on are not in favor oh and would not accept a legislative union. Au hon. friend has just put in my hand a report of a speech deliv. cred by Dr. Tupera of Nora. Scotia; in which that gequleman expresses himself io tavor of a legislative union.

Hon: Ma B1BOWN-I suppose it is exceedingly likely that at mecting held in Halifax to consider a nion of the Maritime Provinces, the people of that city were in favor of a legislative union, because Halifax was to be the capital, the ceatral place of the proposed union, the other provinces giving up their individuality. 1 bave no doubt that there may be some in all the provinces who aro in fayor of a hegtslative rather than a Federal uaion.

Ma. M. C. CAMERON-Nom, in the correspondence laid before shis Houso on
the subject of a union of the Maritime Prorinces, the words used, as I recollect them: dere a "Legislative union," and you do not find that the poople of those prorinces desired a ${ }^{*}$ Federal rather than a Legislative union. In the correspondence laid betro the IIouse on the subject, I think that the words ased are those of a Legislative union. "Hou do not find the words "Federal union" in it, and think that is the kind of union which those geatlemen who desired the best interestes of this country oughe to have striven to have had. But becanse some ifteen: ar sixteen gentlemen, who patched up this Cotstitution with so many discordant elements in it, did not chouse to gite it to us, is no reason why we "hould not haye it. All that we desired was that we thouli bave a strong government, ant they should hare been satisfied to have gote on with the anygnament of the couniry authout any ehange. (Hear, hear.) But hon. geatlemen who wère brought togethor in this Coalition had said so many hard thinity of pach other, that it was found necessary to timke people forget these by putting beture thon sumy great scheme, and I hope Awill be a lespa to the How. President of it te tount not to say such hard things at made in futare Allear, hear, and langhter.

Hiz. Mr. DORION゙ーMr. Speaker, I do not intend ty disenss the motion proposed by the honurable member for Peel; I merely desire to explain to Lower Canadian members that the object of that motion is to ask that my mensure posed by the Imperial Govern"ment may not be put in force in Canada without beine subuitted to the people of this proi vince. Thuse who are of opinion that the Lemishature ought not to pass a mesasure of such importance-which is nothing short of a revolution in our Constitution-those Who consider the pacasure of sufficient importance to induce them not to ask England to carry out that revolation without consulting . the prouple, must rote in fayor of this motion: (Hear, hear.) Even supposing that the peo ple were in favur of Confederation, it would still be of great adrantage to submit the question to the electors. The question is not yet understiod. The nerspapers have said, on the one himd, that it was a good measure, and on the other that it was a bad one; but in reality there has been joo serisus Oliseessionn. and it is perfectis eleas that the people are not yet acyusinted with it., (Hear, hear.) Membors of this Houso undertake a very
serious responsibility in voting for this measuro without consulting the people and the advantage of an appeal to their electorscven supposing the result to be favorable to the scheme-would be to relieve them of that responsibility. (Hear, hear.) And if the measare be neither anderstood nor approved of by the people, you ran the risk, by voting it in that position, of ereating prejadices which would perhaps be removed by discussion. Therefore in the interest of the mea sure itself, as well as of honorable members of this House, it ought to be submitted to the people before it is finally roted, and for my part I shall vote for the amendment of the hon. member for Peel, (Hear, hear.)

HoN MR EVANTUREL I Bhould like to ask my friend the honorable member for Hochelaga, and all the tionorable members of the Opposition who constantly repeat that Confederation is now defncte, and that we have notiling more to do but to bury it, why they desire to submit it to the peoplo'? I fail to see the nevessity, if it be true that thi scheme is already defunct. (Hear, hear, and laughter.)

Hon. Ma. DORION-My answer is, that we desire that it.should be sobinitted to the people; in order to shew that it is anpopular. The hon member thought to place me in a dilemun, but he was mistaken. He think the measurewill be passed in England as it stands at present, and it is to ayoid that con tingency that "we destre an appeal to the people.

Hon. Ma. ESANTUREL-No, (wion
HoN Ma. DORION - The hon, member. for Quebec is then in hopes that it may bet amended?

Hos. Ma. EVASTUREL-I do not be lieve it will be passed in Englamd as it now stands.

Hos. Mr. DORION-Well, if it can be amended in England to our audraatage, it may also be moditied in a contrary sense. Bus that is not the question. I say that we ought to sabmit it to the people, in order that it the verdiet should be favorable to the mear sure, it may go to the Imperial Government with the sanction of the peoplo and of Purliament; and if the people are opposed to the seheme, the delegates must not be left in st position to say that public opinion in Canad is favorable to the measure. (Hear, hear.)

Ma. DENIS-1 believe Mr. Speakra, that the pears of the harmorable membar for Hochelaga are entirely unfounded. In order that an appeal to, the prople may bo of ase,
the scheme should be Enown as a whole, for o. 0 w could the people form a sound judgment if we were to lay before them only a vague plan of Confederation, that is to say; the resolations as they stand at present unless
they were also pat in possessin of in stitution of the local goveraments, and all the other details of the measure which most deepIf interest them, and with whioh they are entited to bo made acquainted? Let us wait until the plan is known, and the people will be consulted when the proper time comes. (Hear, hear.)
Mr. J. B. E. DORION-Really, Mr. SpEAKER, if the honorable member for Beanharnois meant what he said, he has giten us something neew. Bat I da not believe he is really serious when he cells us that we should. wait until the constitutions of the local goveruments are submitted to us before jadging of the merits of the resolutions nom before us. However, supposing him to be perfectly in carnest, and that be had no intention of trifing, as he sometimes does, lues he faney for one moment that we aro going to aceept the reasons be brings forvard to induce us to vote against an appoal to the people? If so, he is very mach uistaken. (IIear, hear.) I can eacily uiderstand that he is embarrassed, and that ho should shield hinusel" even ander weak arguments in voting against the motion in ancudment, for he promised at two electwral mectings to vote for an appeal to the prople. (Hear, hear:) He says he will not vote for an appead to the people, because he is not aequainted with the details of the mea sure; but why, then, dues ho vote on the main motion without knowing these details? He knows that the Government have told us that we must vote Confederation before they bring domn the constitution of the local governments, and that they intended going to Eugland to secure the new Constitution, rithout subnittivg to as the plan of the looal coastitutions:" It will be tuo late when the delegatey neturn, and after England has given us a nev Corstitution, to subait the present resolutions to tho prople. (Hear, hear.) And if we ean judge here of this grand scheme of Coufederation-as the hon. member saysrithant haviag before us the details of the organiation of the local goveraments,' why should not the peaple, in like manner, be af. furded an opportunity of recording their opinton of the scheme? Tho reasons advanced by the honorable member are atterly futile. (Hear, hasar.)
on this motion, I feel it to be my duty to make a few remarks explanatory of the rote I intend to give. When I addressed the House the other evening, I stated that I had given a pledge to my constituents that when the motion came up in this House for submitting the scheme of a union of the Prorinces of British. North America to the people of this province, I would feel it to be my duty to vote for it. The language I used was something like this, that although I was in favor of the Federation of the British North American Provinces, yet that as the measure was to affect not only those now inhabiting these provinces, but others to follow as, I would insist upon it that the question should be subuitted to the people before going into effect, while upon the canvass in my riding, I stated that I looked apon the resolutions submitted in the light of the basis of an agreement that might have been entered into by a number of individuals: desirous of going into a partnership, which uo one could aleer without the consent of the others." I-was, therefore, not at all surprised to hear the Honorable Aitorney General West, at the opening of the discussion, say that we could not change that treaty, that we must vote on it in this way-either accept or reject it as a whole. It was said that if the people sent me to the House as their representative, 1. would be found voting for theif resolutions as they were, without seeking to atuend them in any respect. "Although I beliesed that the resolutions would be presented and pressed as they stood, I did not believe that no amendalthough would ever be made: to them; and told that it Parliament of Canada has been without hope that when the delegation proceeds to Ergland, certain necessary ainendment will be introduced by the Government of Great Britaid. Now, sir, I consider that to is certain extent tho mambers opposed to the details of the scheme, but who are disposed to favor the gencral principle, have put their political conseicaecs in the hands of the bonorable geatlemien on the Treasury benches; therefore I am in hopes that che resolutions will not pass into law exactly in the shape in which they have been presented to this, Hoase. Being sent here to represent the people, and feeling the matter to be a very important one, affecting vory materially the Constitution under which they live, I consider it my duty to vote for such an amendment as that which has been submitted by the honorable metnber for Peel. I mas strenghtened in that viev of the case by the vords of Lord Deariay on the subject o.
the union of Upper and Lower Canada:- The noble lord had recommended the adoption of a general legislative union of all the British North Ameican Prorinces, and also the submission of the question to the people of those provinces for their approval. He said, "But the state of the lower province, though it justifies the proposal of an union, would not, I think, render it gracions or even just on the part of Parliament, to carry it into effect without referring it to the ample deliberation and. consent of the people of those colonies." Now, sir, I take it that what is alluded to here is the consent of the legislatures of those provinces. If this House should be dissolved, and the measure passed in England be of a permissive charactor, it would, when returned, either be accepted or rejected by the House, and in the meantime the constituencies could be consulted in reference to it. . (Hear, hear.) The necessity for this has been proved by some of the remarks which have beeu made by honorable gentlemen who have addressed the House on the subject." Several houorable members, who advocated the measure, stated that they had already placed it before their constituents, and that they had their endorsement in voting for it as they intended to do. That is right as far asoit零oes, but it only shows that these hagorable gentlemen deemed it uccessary to take that course and consult their constituents, thas fortifying themselves by securing beforeband their approval: (Hear, hear.)' It is sald that doctors disagree, but I think the sane may be said of lavyers'; for we find the honor. able member for Peel saying that it would be unconistitutional to take the course adrocated by the honorable member for North Ontario. But I concur rather in the node of appeal to the people proposed by the honorable meinber for North Ontario," yea " or "nay," than in that of the honorable member for Peel. The prin. ciple has been acknowledyed by the Parliament of Canada, and it has been introduced into our county counćils, so far that any sum exceeding $\$ 20,000$ cannot be levied without the consent of the people having been first ubtained. I believe that we should support Federation, or the fears cutertained by many may be realized, that'its rejection may have a tendency towards annexation. I did not hesitate to give my adhesion to the resolutions of the Conference, believing as I do that their adoption is calculated to benefit these provinces, and also to strengthen the conneetion between this country and Great Britain. My attachment to British institutions is not
mere sentiments, but a principle which ha grown with my growth and strengthened with my strength. (Hear, hear.) I fear if this scheme be not adopted, and matters continue as at present-let the just rights of Topper Canada be denied her-let the Reciproeity treaty be abrogated-we may hear a cry throughout the province that will alarm if not astonish us. One thing has strick me as rather singular in passing through the coun-try-that not one individual whose proclivities were supposed to be in favor of American institutions had expressed himself as in favor of the scheme now befiore the House. I look upon that as a strong argument in its faror. As I have already stated, sir; although I am in favor of the measure, I think it but right that it should be submitted to the people, for their approval, before being carried into effect, and therefore' I deem it nuy duty to vote for the amendment of the honorable member for Peel: (Hear, hèar.)

Mr.JACKSON-I have only a word or two to say, Mr. Speaker, before the vote is taken on this motion. I eanot reconcile, the conduct of the honorable wember for Peel in votiog that an Address should bo presented to Her Majesty in favor of the scheme, and then move to have it subvirtted to the people. The honorable member for I'ed has made out a case for the resolutions which have been adopted by this Hoase- He stated that the people of Upper Canada were in favor of it; he has no objection to it hinuelf; it meets with his hearty concurrence: I can easily conceive how my honorible friend from North Ontario can vote for this resolutioñ; but-I canuot uaderstand how an honorablo igentletleman can vote that an Address shall bo presented to the Quicen, asking Her Majesty to subnit the seheme to the Imperial Legislature; and; after that has been voted, turn round and vote that the scheme shenld be submitted to the people. I eannot understand that. If I voted for the motion nom before the House, I should thiok I was aeting in opposition to the vote-I gave before. (Hear, hear.) I stated the oblier evening that my constituents were in lavor of the Honse adepting this measure, and that they did not consider an appeal to the proplo necestary. In aecordance with their decisiou I gave my vote, and I shall now vote in opposition to the motion of the honorablo niemher for Peel. If it was necessary, I think I could show to the Mouse that if it wers subuited to the people, side issuea would be ruised, irrelevant altogether to the main 'question, in order to
promote some local object or interest, and we would have no united expression of opinion. I think that every honorable gèntleman who supported the resolutions must vote in opposition to the motion of the honorable membe for Peél. (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Ma. BROWN-I do not rise to detain the House from the division beycnd a. pery few minntes. But I think it would not be desirable that the debate should close without a few words from this part of the House. And first, a word with reference to the speech of the honorable member for North Oritario (Mr. M. C. Casieron). That honorable gentleman; in the course of his remarks, said he had no personal feeling toward ayself. I quite believe that, and I am eatirely villing that the honorable gentleman should enjos all the litile relief he evidently obtains from his fierce assaults on myself and the Hon. Provincial Seeretary (Hon. Mr. NeDotgall.). I do not think that any of the other reunarks of the honorable gentleman require notice - (langhter) - as they were only a repetition of what had frecuently come from other honiorable members in the previous part of this debate. But as re: gards the hooorable member for Peel (Hon: 3. Hinexamb (laserion), I do say that any. thing more extraordinary than the line of arguiueat hé took up lere to-night, I never heard from any hon mensber of this House. What was the position taken by the hoo. youtleman from Peel? Me commenced by saying that jastice to :Upper Camada required the grant: ung of parliamentary reform, and that this seheme gave that measure of justice to Upper Camada. Ile sad the province must bo defended; that the questionn of the do. fenco of this province was the moot urgent and the nowe injrortant question we had to consider at this mouent; and that this meare sure provided the best way of meeting that question of defence. He said that the threatened abolition of resiprocily with the United states reguired to be met-that the best interegts of this proviace would be imperilled by the reptal of tho Reciprecity treaty and that he coaceired that fhis measure gup plied the very best way of neeting that difticulty. Me said also that we cannot go on as we are-that it was quite finesossible that the state of things which has existed in Canada could continue-that chere mast be a chango-and-ho conecived that hat was proposed by this measure was a most desirable change. He said we had bat one of two al ternativer-a dissolution of the union, or the
adoption of the Federal principle-and that for his part he considered that a dissolution of the union was the làst thing to be adopted; and that tho Federal system is the best remedy that can be applied under our particular circiomstances.

Hon. J. H. CAMERON-I said I'preferred the legislative union.

HoN. Mr. BROWN - At any rate, the honorable gentleman voted in the constitutional committee for a Federal union, and signed the report in favor of it, as the only measure that could be carried, and as one dosirable to be carried.

HoN. J. H. CAMERON-After having voted first for the legislative union.

Hon. Ma. BROWN-I cannot speak as to that. Then tho honorable gentleman vent on to say that on all these grounds this neasure commended itself" to every true lover of his country.": Yet, after having passed this high oulogium on the measure, what does he say? Why, that he won't have it nowthat he won't have it tuntil it bas been sent to the country, and the opinion of the electors has been obtained upon it! : He says there is danger of annexation to the United States if these dificialties are not met-that annexation is hanging over us-that this measure will deliver us from that dire fati-and yet he is not prepared to apply the remedy now! And what are the reasons of the honorable gentleman for refusing to give effeet to a measure of which he professes to be so enamoured? Daes he, like the honorable member for North Ontario, deny the power of Parliament to pass such a measure? Not at all; he admits we have full power to pass it. Does he personally entertain ary doubt as to the benefit from passing it nuiv? On the contrary, he is enthusiastically for the measure, and declares that he would vote for it, just as it stands, as an elcetor at the polls. Does he want delay?. On the contrary, he demands that the nieasure shall be urged on with all speed. (Hear; hear.) He says the sooner the members of Government are in lingland the better for the people of Canadathas this question of Federation, and the question of defence, and the question of American reciprocity; should be urged on the British Government without one hour's unnecessary delay. He protests that on the fate of this measure some of the most xital interesta of the province depend, and yet he will not have it until months of valuable time have been lost, until the country has been forced to pass through all the turmoil and
confusion and uncertainty of a general election, and until a new Parliament has been summoned and given its sanction to the measure. And the most curious part of it is, the honorable gentleman does not want the appeal now-he will take it by and by.
Hon. J. H. CAMERON-My argument was entirely the contrary. I said there should not be a day's delay in appealing to the country; that as the Government had told us they were to meet Parliament in July, there would be no reason to prevent this Parliament being dissolved, and a new Parliament. being summoned by that time.

Hon. Me. BROWN-Yes; kut thè honOrable gentleman most inconsistently told us ia the same breath that the deputation of Ministers must go at once to England. Does he fancy a general election would be brought on during the absence from the country of the leading members of the Administration? If he does, I tell him he is mistaken. Bat. does the honorable gentleman pretend there is any doubt as to the feeling of the people of Canads on this measure? Not at all. On the contrary, he is quite confident that. if submitted to the people there would be a vast tajority in its favor-a complete sweep over the country. " Nay, strange enough, he gives this very fact of the certainty of approval as the chief argument in favor of an election: He says, "Sead it to the people; there is no. fear of the result. The very men who "now" 'sit here to-day; or others who think as they do, will come back and adopt it!?" Could anything more absurd than this be imagined? Is not the argument clearly in the opposite direction? Should not the honorable gentleman have said- "The people approve of this measure ; their representatives approve of it if you had an election, tho same mes. would be sent back, or others like them; a vast sum would be uselessly expended; much valuable time would be lost; partisan broils might be revived; don't, then, lose a moment; but put it through at once." (Cheers:) But I confess the honorable gentleman did sugjest one argument in favor of an appeal to the people, and a very strange one it certainly was coming from such a guarter. The hon. inember for Peel says he has not a doubt as to the feelings of the people of Cppet Canada; he is quite certain that an almost unanimous vordict would be rendered by them in favor: of this measure. But he says he has some doubts as to what the people of Lowrer Canada might think about it; they might posisibly like to give the measure a death-blow,
and he is in favor of giving them a chance to do it! Nowr, sir, I did think that a very peculiar style of argument from one so enamoured of this measure, and from one, too, who has been supposed not to be very closely dlifed with the majority of Lower Canadians on matters of public policy, and especially on this particular question. (Hear, hear.) Who could have expected to find the hoo. member for Peel assuming the rôle of an exponentiof' popular feeling in Laver Canada, and constituting himself the "guardian of the rights of the French-Cauadians? (Hear, hear.) It did strike me that the honorable gentleman misht very propery have left the Lower Ca. nadians to speak for themselves. (Hear, hear.) In view of the vote recorded on this measure at our last sitting-considering the fact that a majority of twelve on the Lower Canada yote was then recorded in favor of the measurs, I do think the hon. member for Peel might have been well conteat to accept the votes of Lower Canadian representatives as the best index to Lower Canadian feeling.' (Hear, hear.) "There were threo Lower Canada members absent, "on Saturday morning, from the division;; but had thicy been here, there would have been a majority of thirteen on the Lower Canada rote in favor of the mean sure:

Hon Ma DORION-No; Messrs. Dex. king Abbott and Datetst would have veted against it.

Hos. Ma BROWX-I believe Mr: Daotst and the Hon. Mr. Abeotr have declared in favor of Confederation. Mr. Dexkis. would probably have voted against it, A* for the honorabie member for Argenteail (Ifon. Mr. Ablictr), I see he is now in his place, and can answer tor himself. Wieh Mr: Dryin voting arainst the measures there would have been a Lower Canada majority of thirteen in its favor. (Hear, hear.)

HoN. Mr HOLTUN-The hoa. Euember for Argentenil would havé voted againge it.

Cries of "Ask himelf!" and liughter.
Hos. Ma. BLOW X-The hoparable gea. tleman is of ago, and can speak for himself: I could not pay lim such a poor complinezit: as to fancy fur a moment that hecould vete against this measure. I dave no doubt he would have voted on the right side. (Hear, -hear:

How Ma HOLTON-I am sure he would, but not with you. (Langhter.)

Hos. Ma. BROWN-Ah! I repatat that in the face of that Lower Canadar majority ot thirteen, and a French Canadian najority of
five, the one argument of the honorable member for Peel in demanding the tarmoil and delay and expense of a general clection was his tenderness as to the feelings of the L.ower Canadians. (Hear, hear.) And yet, sir, the hooorable member for Peel has seen the sort of agitation that is being. carried on against this measure in Lower Canada; he has heard the way in which petitions againgt it hare been concooted in this charaoter, and sent broadeast ôver this country with urgent entreaties to have them signed by men, women and children; be has seen theso petitions come back here with hardly a genuine signatare appended to them ; he has heard the arguments and the cries on which thie agitation has becn based. (Hear, hear.) I ask him if it is to aid and strengthen such an agitation against this measure that he demands a gen' eral election? I ask him if there has been one : argument against the scheme which, in his opinion, supplied any reasonable foundation for the agitation sought to bo exacited in Lower Canada; if there has been one ery attempted to be raised against it that honestly went to the true merits of the guestion? If there has been, I have yet to hear it. (Hear, heari) Bat, Mr: Spsikkr, the möst curious part of the froposal of tho hotiorablo nember for Peel is sthe atiitude he would have nis oecupy in addressing the Quece. We have already adopted au Addréss praying Her Majesty to pass an Imperial Statate giving effegt to the resolutions of the Quebee Confer. enee; and the hon: member for Peel now asks that we shall pass a second Address praying that the said Tmperial Aot shall be subject to the approval, and shall not be law aptil it obtains the approval, of their high mightinesses the: one hundred and thirty gentlemen who miay happen to git on the House of Assembly of the nest Canadian Parliament. (Hear, heare) He would hare us approach the Throne soying-"May it please Your Majesty -Hore is the Constitution which has been adopted ty the Governments of the five Britist American Provinces; wo declare to you chat this is the new Constitution wo want for British Atieriea ; we pray Your Majesty to give effect to it; we pray that the Imperial Partiament maj pass an act enforcing this new Constitation on all these provinces, and that Your Majesty will assent to it. But at the same time we ask Your Majesty to do this ooly on one condition; uamely, that the Legiglatire of Canada-not the present one, but the next legiolature that may be chosen-shall latare of Canidg-not the present onef; but the
nest legialature that may be chasen - -hall
bave the opportinity of criticising :and dis ment, and of kicking ${ }^{7}$ Your Majesty's Bill out of the chamber on the first day it meets." (Hear, hear, and great laughter.) The hon. member for Peel will permit me to tell him that if he fancies this would be a decorous mode of approaching the Sovereign, he has a strange idea of the respect due from loyal subjects to the chief magistrate of the Empire which it is their happiness to form a part: (Hear, hear.) And farther tall this honorable gentleman and any other honorable menber who may think with him, that if they expect honorable gentlemen to go to the Imperial Government and say-"We:ask you to take all the trouble of preparing this mea-sure-to assume it as your own-and to carry it through both Hosses of Parliament against all opposition ; bat at the same time we ask yon to put in a clanse that the Legislatare of Canada shall be above the Imperial Parliamient, shall bo above the Sovereign, and shall deal with your Act just as it pleases,"-then, I tell the House that parties must be fonnd to convey that messaga, who are destitute of self-respect, and who have not a proper sense of the respect due to those holding the highest dignities of the realm. (Cheers.) I do say that a more direot insult to the Crown could not be offered than that now proposed "by the honorable member for Peel., But another miost singular part of the proposal of the honorable, member for Peel, is that while he is trying to pass this rote of want of confidence in the Goverament-for if it is not that, it rould, if carried, be at least a direct defeat of the policy of the Governmont-he professes at , the same moment an' immense desire to strengthen the hands of the Administration. (Hoar, hear.) He fancies, or professes to fancy, that if this motion of his were carried, the Government. Fould take their dose placidly, and go meekly to Eagland with the record of their defeat in their hands: He tells is 'in effect,-" I don't want' you"; notwithstanding this vote, to hesitate about going to England-not at all. Your presence is wanted in Erigland as quickly as possible.: You ought to go immediately; you ought to talk stringly to the Imperial Governient; you ought to tell them how they are to settle the defence question, how the reciprocity question, and so on. Yoa nust spealk for the people of Canada in à bold and firm tone, that will do jastice to the people of this country." It is the honorable genterman'e idea that we should go very strong to Eagland, and his
our policy a direct vote of censure the hour before we start．（Hear，hear．）He wants us to go home strong－with an Address to the Sovereign in one hand，and a defeat by the people＇s representatives in the other．（Hear， hear）．If the hon gentleman thinks he is sustaining the Administration by his present motion，I can only say that I for one do not thank him for his support．＂（Hear，hear：） The hon．gentleman may carry his resolution if he can，but I tell him that in that case no mission will go to England with any such in－ sulting message from this Goverament as now constituted．（Hear，hear．）The House may perfectly comprehend that if any Address is to be carried to the Queen by the present Government；it must be the Address we have submitted to Parliament．The hon．meniber for North Ontario（Mr．H．C．CAseron） says that．we are attempting to dictate to the House－that we are endeavoring to take away from them the xightful powers of the mew－ bers of this Legislature：We do nothing of the kind．The mempers of the Legislatare may act as they deen right ；they may reject our Address，or amend it，or couple it with any． thing they please－all we say is，that we cai－ not be the bearers of a message shaped in the way the hon．number for Peel propunes．But if this IIouse says there shall be an appeal to the people，it will get an appeal to the people at one－to morrow－（hear，hear）－anit that without the mochery of going hotie to the Imperial Government withan Address asking in oue breath that the bill may be pasted into Lav，and in the next that it may not be passed into lisw．（Hear，hear．）The hon meniber for Heel has saty that the legiblatues of the other provinces have gone，or are going，to the people－and why not wo？The hoogenteman ought to know that the other leyithatures were in a different position from that which we occupied．As regard the Now Brunswick Legislature，its term expirel，I think，in May －they had not been tor tour years béfure their coustituents－they were going soon at any rate，and they came to the conclasion that it was well tog go at once．And so also it was in Newfoundland－the period when a dissolutive 1 nast tako place was rapidly ap－ proaching，and they took the same course． The bouprible geaterean says that if the Leginduture of Nopa Scotia do nat approve of the resolutions，the Government will advise a dissolution：＇So probably would we under such circumstances．If this legishature had not approved of the seheme，we woald un－ doubtedly，with His Eseellency＇s assent，have
appealed to the country against the decision of this House．And otherwise what necessity is there for a direct appeal to the people？ Here we have been discussing the question for

## years－

Hon．J．S．MACDONALD－Oh！oh！
HoN，Ma．BROWN－The honorable gen． tleman may cry＂Oh！oh ！＂but I tell him that the people throughout the country gener－ ally understand this question just as well as the members of the Legislature．：Those who are most difficult to be made to understand are those who don＇t want to understand： Even the hooorable member for Coramall once understood this question，and if he does not now it is becanse he has forgotien it （Laughter，）．If there were any doubt about public feeling there might be propriety in going to the people．But is there any doubt about it？I am not opposing the honorable gentleman＇s resolation on constitutional grounds．I am not denying the rights of the people，if I had any doubt whatever about what would be the verdict of the people，I should be the first to say that ve ought to go to the people．＂But it is simply because I am satisfied there would be a sweeping verdict of the people in favor of the neasure，that I shink it unnecessary to take it to the country What would be thie verdict of the people my be judged from what has＂been the vote yf their representatives here，who are respponsibto to them．$\therefore$ Never has thero been such a ver： dict in this Parliament on any matter of gray importance as we have had in fivor of thes measure－in the Upper House a majority，of three to ond，and ia the hower House also 3 tuajority as nearly as possible of thrice to whe： And of the six honorable members who were absent froú the rote－the Sphaker，and the five hogorable nembers tho mere absent－tive would have gone for it and only oacogaiast it－the Hause being divided， 94 for 1030 ayginst．Aud as regards those 36，more thin onehalf of them have risen in this House and deelared＂themselves in favor of the general priaciple，and only oppesed to some of the details．I say there never bisa been such a unanimous vordict from any Parliament in favor of any great eonstitutional change．And sinee the poliey of the Goverrituent has been announced，no fiver than 50 out of our 130 constitueacies have been appealed to by elcetions，for 坞路法e House or the ather －and in the whole of＂these；oaly four caa didates offered themselves in opposition to this policy，ond bat two got clected－and I thint one of those two did actually vote
in favor of the measure. (Hear, hear.) I am told that the hon member for South Wentworth (Mr. Rymal) made a statement to which I would call his attention-as:I certainly did not notice that he made itwhile addressing the House, I was remarkiuig that I had not heard coe member from. Upper Canada declare that a large majority of his cunstituents were not in favor of this weasure, and I was told that the hon. member had stated so with reference to his constituents.

Ma. RYMAL—1 did not say whether they were or were not in favor of the measure. I believe there is a great diversity of opinion among them.

Hos Ma Bhown-Ah! Well, Mr: Speaker, we have two hon. gembemen, one in this House"and one in the other, who have just come from their elections-one from the city of Hanilloon, situated in the county (South Wentworth) represented by the hon genileman (Mr. Rymat), and the other from the Legislative Council district; which includes the coustituengy of the hon. qenteman (Mr RxuAbj-and both of then Jeelare that they have not the sligheyt Jutbs as the feeling of their conatituents -shat it it strongly in favor of the weasure: I repeas, then, that I have not get yet heard Une hon. member declare that his constituexicy was opposed to this scheme:

Ma.: HrMAL-With reference to the testituon of the bou nember for Barlingtha dividiot (Hon. Mr. Bolh) and the hoa. metuber for Hauilion (Mra Mavizl). peritit me we nay that I know more of the South hidiug of W eatworth than aither one of those hou. genteusen. Neither of them has ever tad the enfindegee of the electors of South Weatwort One of thete was in a minority there, last fall, of 300. . The other tried it some years ago, and had not the shadow of a chance.

HoN. Ma. BROIVN-That may be true: Hut the hoo. gentleman should havo stated this a ko, that Dr. Siarsa, the opponent of Hon. Mr. Beik. declared ${ }^{\circ}$ he was in favor of the freaeral principles of this mensure, and that if the datailk were satisfactory, he would go for ix. So that in fact both the candidates for that constituency, including the whole of Wentrorth and the city of Mamilton, declared in favor of the policy of the Governmenti.

Mu. KTMNLL-I beg to aty that $I$ have heard Dr. Surtisay-not once, or twice, but
on different occasions- that he did not believe this scheme would work well.

Ma., A. MACKENZIE-That was the reason of his defeat, I suppose: (Hear, hear:)

How. Mr. BROWN-It is quite possible that he may have said so since his defeat, but I ean only say that I conversed with Dr. Smitr myself while h3 was a candidate, and heard a very different opinion from him. But I think it ill became the honorable gentleman to speak so disparagingly of the testimony of geutlemen as to the feeling of the connty, simply because they were not stroug in a particular coutest. When he remembers how hard a fight he himself had at last election, and that he was only elected by a very small majority, he was hardly in a position to throw discredit on such a score va the statement ot hon. gevitlemen who have just come from the people, and, after putting the imeasure fairly and squarely before the electors, have got an almost fanaimous verdict in its favor.. The hon. member for Hamiltón polled an immense majority, and it was not right for my hovorable friend to indulge in a sneer because he may have been in a minority on a previaus uccasion (Hear, hear.) Mr. Speaker, I might detain the House much longer in replying to what fell from honorable members swo have spoken; daring this disedssioa:' But I do not desire to beep the Hopse from the yote I woild simply appent to the membery of. this House, that if ever there could be a" case made out for action-immediate actín-it has been made out with referencu to thin measure.:

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-After'its rejection below?

Hos. Ms. BROWN-That dees not affect us.

Hon. Mr. HOLTON-It affects the pos: sibility of immediate action.

HoN Ma. BHOW N-The hon gentleuan will soou know whether or not we can'get immediate action $\therefore$ Ho must recollect that. although the New Branarick elections have apparently gone against Confederation, there is still - considerable number of members returned there in favor of Confederition, and that the..", is another large party who are not spposed to union, but only object to sotie of the details. And there is this to be considered also." It was presented there in a very different light from that in which it comes before us. We have been considering this question for many years. There

- is not a point that can be taken against it Which has not been thorougthly sifted before the cuuntry: We arë, thereture, in a different positiou, and there is this hanging over us bestes-as stated by the hou member tor Peel-we canint go báck, we must go forward-we must bave soure decision on this question-we cannat let thangs rest as they are." It is at ay uee for the hon member for
 teil us that mattery caia gon an they have been doing for many years pest.. That was -not the "piaivu of the kon gentleman when he came trete m 1062.- (Hear, hear:) He came as a supporter of the conservative Guverameto then in existence, and yet the first vote, he gave sus in condenin: ation of he owa triends, because they did not bring in a Mitastertal measure to settle thas !ucerion. If: he luoks at his own specth wa that vecasion, de monaciog the Hou. Alurucy Gencral Weat aut hat colleagnes, bueatise ther would not give representation by puphathou, and because the teelhaswason stroug that put a monent shoud be hot to deatifg wath he question - he wuth dina here and absever to his ar: gumeus nuw, when the teth us has thing may be shoved ande, atod matters gy on as vetore. : llear, heari,

Mr. H. L C'AMERON-1 did uot state at the time reterred to that there was any duyger of revtuhum, "of "anythag ot that kima. Yuryent the questumas a measure ot justice for 1 pper Canada aranast my hon. treads whowere not su tavor of th

Hos Hz BROWN-Me urged ap apu his Irtenis wh that occaution to tura chem out, because thes would nult move; "and aiow he urges it in the very ofponte directivenamely, to tura dicm war because they dú wrove. Mear, hear')

Hovi Mn. HOLTUN-If che boti, qeatleman woult allow me tw make a remark, I would say it atpears to ne the fallacy he bat
 Adenteal with the measure of repremethathen by population, for which he has : p agitat. that the country for sume yeat past. It is noi the same yucstion. . .ife question of Federatua ar Cuntederaioul has ayt beeii betore the cuntry. It was not beture tho country at the last general election. Ho Enuws tull well that the party, we wheh he is a dobugguathed member, has gruwanced over and uver agaia, and through his own mouth, against thas cheme of Contederation.

He kiows that the Reform Convention of 1859 did so.

Hon. Mr BROWN-No, it did not.
Hon. Mb. HOLTON-I Eay it did.
Mr. A. MACKENZIE-Get the resolutions, and prove it if sou cain.

HoN. MR: HOLTON-What were the facts? : The Government of that day tad proposed a Confederation of all the proviaces as their remedy for the Canadian dificulty. Jhe Liberal party did not acoept that if they had done so, the probability is that you would have had Confederation long ago, with the consent of the Lower Prurinces. Bat the Reform Convention declared it was no remedy: It is true they pat in a saving clause, that at some future day, in some remote contingency, after the seblement of the Cadiadtan dificalty, but not as a means of setting it, the Federation of all the prowide minhe be then up. But i merely ruse to point out to thy hon. friend the fallacy into which he has fallen-and it is's very cluse one-in assuming that this measure is ideutical with the measure ton which he agitated the country, so louge, and which the hou. meuber for North Outario advocated on the occasion to which ha refers:

Hon. Me BiOWW-I think the hen gentleuan has risen tor a very poor parpost. And in place of aceepting his ixplatation as correct, 1 dissent frow it tutu cextos. The position of the uster is quite the opponite of what he states: He ways this is a dilfereat thing altogether. 1 totally Aeny that it te. I suy this is simply that we asked bor, only in another form. The measure we inked for was representation by population. We got that. (Hear, hear.) And the hou geoth. man is the lave man to object to this, which is the wery dasis on which be agreed to go finto the Beows-Dorios Admiaistrationrepreseatation by popalatioubeiug the basis, acompanied by sueh checess and guaragtion as might be shown ta be aevensary. 'Mear, hear.) The hon. gentletian has stated that I have spoken against Confederation of the provinces. He will earh to mospeech of mine since I eptered "Harliament in which, when I made any alfasien to the matter; I did not take care-expressiy to state that I regarded to union of all the provinces as the grad future destiay: of these provinces. . Bat to these, who ofited us Custederation of the provinces "4 a prei seat remedy for all the evils me sidured, I said I would not aecept that. Dat I tools care to asy nothing directly gainst it, what.
ever others may have said or written. In the first place, I doubted whether we had stringh enough to assume the burdens it inuuld throw upon an. In the next place, $E$ kaew little about the sentiments of the Lower Provinces, how they would regard it. Ind I thought it likely that it would take years to accomplish. I would not consent, therefore, that any party should wake this 3 stalbing horse, and waste time in keeping as negotiatigy between the Imperial and the Provincial Governments, so as to stave off the pravticable remedy which we sought.
Hon Ha: HOLTON-That is what you are doing bow.

Hovi Sh BHOVN-The hou gentleman is entirely mistaken. He will find there is nu member of this Government who has any ulea of shirkigy this question for an hour. Illear, hear:) And he will see that that is. the yey ban of onr preseat policy, In the wivinal negotiations for this Caalition, White I autnitted that it was a good thing sud a desirablo thing that we should look for the tutare of these provinces in the direction es provincial nion, yet I contended there way a present remedy which we should have and could have of sur own untion, until the wher was: obtained The hon. gentleman will adeat that we have been wouderfully, unexpectedly suceessful in the policy we initinted in July last; and I am prepared to tay; ay I have always been prepared to say, that it practicable, this measure is a better poe than the sualler scheme. But no far from its being a different renedy from oury, I say it is but an oxtension of our planThat we wh have contended for tepresentstion by poputlation for to many years, are keting all that we asked and something yore. Hear, hear.) It is true that our Lower Cauda friends have obtained deearity for ther focal institutivas. "For my part, I ain glad they have got it. (Hear, hear.). I bave always been willing they should have ts: I cisa appeal to wy hov. friend from Kumpurska (Hín. Mr. Vitirais), whether I have not altrase yuarly, for thirtewn years past, said to himi that fan willing to coin" infer the position of Lower Canada with reterace to her looal institutions, and to pive any protection for them which wight be choughe to tie reasoable. (Hear, hear.) cad I *ay this is an adairable compromise uuder the circumatanes-aud I bay it will be a sad day tor the people of Canada if anything should happen to defeat this nea. sure. I do say that the man who looks.
back apon the last twelve or fifteen years, and the agitation we have gone through, and who would risk throwing as back into that state again, is not-to ase the lanery guage of the hon. member for Peel-a true lover of his country. (Cheers.) After such an immense vote-three-fourths of both branches of the liegislature-in favor of the measure', I look upon it as a most wanton atiempt on the part of the minority to endeavor to have it brought into jeopardy by the sectional issues, and the various side issues which might be raised in different parts of the country, so as to make the result of a general election falsely appear to be a disapproval ot the measure on the part of the country. Had any one risen in this House at the beginning of last session, and proppsed this neasure-and it it bad been found that all the Leper Canadian members of this Chamber were in tavor of it but cighty white the llawer Canadian nenibers vere in faror of it by a majority of thirteen, and that three-foniths of the Upper House were in its tovor-I ask hon gentlemen if the matwould not hare been regarded as insone "who vould have proposed that it should not be put through at once, but deferred for a g general election?' (Hear, hear.): And when the House gave its sametion last sestion to the Government griag on with this project, and aubmitting a scheme worthy of adoption- $I$ ask hon. members if they ever expected we could present a measture which would carry a vote ci threcfivarthas of buth branches of the legislature? (Hear, hear.) We have been uaexpectedly sucerssful. And as to conyulting the poople, I tell hon. geatlemen that the peopls will lanth to scorn their preteaded zeal for gopular rights. The prople want the keruel and not the shell. They want not for the sake of constitutional form, torisk the succes's of this measure-to risk the breaking up of the combination formed to carry it-and ta risk the bringing. baek of all those diseords and dificalties trom which, by the maturing of this soheme, thay thought we had happily escaped. (Cheers.)

HuN, J. II. CAMERON - The honorable gentleman has misrepresented my position in this matter. I have voted for the resolutiuns oa whictlan id lesswis to be based, and ehis resulution is timply in amendment to the motion for tho appointment of a committee to draft that Address, and conveys no insult to any one. It does not interfere in
any way with Hinisters going home with those resointions-a copy ot which is already before the Imperial Parliament-in their hadds. The hou, gentleman must have misunderstood what I stated. I ask only that the people bavean opportunity of doing that whick I have done myself-ithat is, to vote tor the meagure. (Hear, hear.) The hon. gentleman says he does not thank me for my sapport. Sir, that hon gentleman personally has uever had ny support. It is not to him I give my support, bat to the Government as a whole, and to the cause which has called it into existéneé. The hou. genteman knows well my political views have been so little in aceordance with his, that nothiog but the importance of this muvenent would have put it in triy puwer to make me such's taunt and that he tas no acceston whatever to thank me for uy support, which is given "not kecause he is in the Minitry, but in spite of his berag in that position. (Hlear, hear. ,

Han Mne HOLTON-1 prispose confiniag my observations to the aution now in your hands, Mr. Speakeí First, as to the point on which the hons member for South Oxford allowed me to interrupt him ${ }^{\circ}$ 1 charged the hon gentleman with arsums mag that the question nut before the House whes specitically the one oo which heariated the cunatry for several yeart: and upon Which the by. member for North Qutario voted in 1862 - I happen to have placed in ung land the report of what took place when the subject or a Hederal union was theture the Hoase, in IYO. Hon. Mr: Gatr haviug put the resolution before the Mouse, respecting the Federation of the Britinh North American Pruvinces, Hon. Mr. Brown moved his standiag hution re-precting reptesentathon aciording to popalatimi, as un antevdment to it. There he pat the two propocitions in distiact juxtaposition, and yet tonight he condeavoris to couvince the House that this measure is substantially the measure which he was then coutending for-endeavors to show insiacerity un the part it the hooorable member for North Owtario, because he roted against his own triends, in 1862, on representation by populacion, and now vites against this measure

Hon Mr. BROWN - I aun sure uny hon: fritad does not wish to mispepreseat; but I think he will tind that that motion was proposed, and that there were two other amendments which were voted down. I
recollect that at the close of my speceh I sid I'ranted representation by populationI am willing to take it alone; I am willing to take it with a Federal union; 1 am willing to take it any way so that werget it.

Hon. Ma. HOLTON - The hoaorable genteman is conforindiag what occurred in 1856 with what oceurred in 1858 . In 1856 he did signify his williugoess to accept the proposition of a Canadian Federation, if it was concurred in by any coasiderable num: ber of the representatives from luwer (canada: But what 1 now allude to is what took place in 1838 , when, instead of secepi: ing Hon Mr. GAtr's proposition to Federate all the provinces he put a tuotion in amend. went to it, showing that fa 1858, , 9 in 1859 , he was not willing to consider that questiou as a means of enetifing rie Comadian diticuly But I think the honorable gentleman, though be was inore or less successful in answer. ing the honorable member for Peel, utterly fafled to meet the very eogent reasoning: mis honorable friend from North Ontaris. I hold as strongly as auy member of this House to the doctrine of represeatation ds contradistinguished from the doctriae of delegation. We are here conmissioned by our coostituents to do all that may be doas under the Constitution under which we are seut here to legislate. But I hold that the change of the Coustitution is somethits: beyond our functions that the ropreseat: ative elected to administer the existigh Constitution has no right to vote for the subverson of that Constitation: (Hear. hear.) That is the dociritue which, I hold, and I think honurable gentlemeti mill tud it excedingly difinult to contropest it Then it has been said that there can be no possible oreastom of appealing to the people. for they have already been appeoted to and expresmed their approval of the scherue. I do not know how many cousti. cuencies have been appealed to since Jube last.

As Hon MEMBER-Fifty or aixty:
Hon Ma. HOLTON-Wen, fity oreixty But the Honirable Premident of the Council Las rgferred to the Hoa. Mr. Bés. kwaig dected to support this turastire, and a litter further on ho pole of his upponeat; Dr. SuITE, and said that he too mas lis tavor of the scheme generally, but that there might te some of the detaila of which, when it cane out, he could not approve, thas leitiag out that she detailg of the scheme were not
before the people at all. When my hou. fricad from South Oxford went to his own constituency for reälection, were any of the details before the people? (Hear, hear:) The gencral project of Federation was before the people, though prominence was then given chiefty to the lesser scheme of a Canadian Federation, bat none of the details were koown. He surely will not argue from the resalt of that election or of any of the elections, including those for the Legislative Council, oxeept perhaps that for: the city of Hamiltounand that of the fon. Postmaster ceneral, which ocearred after the publication of the resolutions, that the people have yoted with a knowledge of the detals of the measure. These elections, therefore, prove no more that the people, itre in favor of the shome, than the election of the Macoosacid. Sirutre Government in $186^{2}$-a Governmete formed upon the priaciple of retrench. ment, pledged to the double majority gysteu, and who inade opposition to representation by population a close question, proved that the people were in faror of that system, or of makithe opposition to representation by popalation a clode question. Then, sir, chere is one other point to which I wish to reter. The Honorable Attoraey General West in the course of his conversation, with the honorable nember for North Optario, said that the people uf all the provinces were giguinst a legislative unina.

Hon ATty Uen. MACDONALD-I did out say so. The hou. geatleman was speaking of the different provinces as represented in tho Quebec Conturence. The delegates vere all opposed to it.

Hon Ma. HOLTON -The hun geateuan, at all events, said this, that a legistas. tive univa could not be carrised. I should liketo ask him what positive it places him in as to political sagacity; to coutes ro night that he bas beed wrong for the last twonty years. Ho hay declared orer and over again that he was in favor of a legislative union. ft the very last meeting of the constitu: tional committee, or of the Brown' Committee, as it has been called-a committee to which great importance has been attached, but which really posiester very tittle signini cance-last gessiou, upon a motion for the alaption of the report, that hun. gentlemain. voted against Pederation ia vevery firta. (Hear, hear.)

- Hon Atry Gen Macdonald-How dnes the hon. gentleman know that?

HoN. Mr. HOLTON-It was reported to the House, on the very day of the crisis which eventuated in'the formation of "this Coalition; that honorable gentleman roted in committee against the Federal principle, whether as applied to Canada or to all the provinces, he being in favor of a legislative union. "He, the leader of this House, who sets himself up as the most sagacious politician of the conntry, who claims to be a leader of them, now admits that down to the 14 th of June last, he himself was mistaken as to the possible mode. ia which a chaoge of government could be effected in this prorince. (Hear, hear.) He was opposed to a Federal union, yet he now comes down' as the leader of the Goverament, and says that it is absurd to talk of a legisla: Tive union; that he has been altogether wrong, and that it is utterly inpracticable to carry out the riews be held down to the 14 th of Juae last ind affirined down to that very day. Well, sir, that is all that I rose to say -to say that the Honorable Presideat of the Council has not really met the poiat raised by this motion; that there had beea , uo appeal to the people in these elections on the details of this seheme; that it was pot in the coutemplation of the electors at the last geacral election; that the whole Liberal party were opposed tio it ay a moans of setting the Canadiain difficulty; that it was never brought forward until the crisis of June last; that the prople have consequently had no opportunity of prononneing uponit ; and that we have no right to dispose of it finally without an appeal to the peoplo, intolving, as it does, a subversion of the Coustitution. (Hear, hear.)
Mr. MAGLLL - I had no intention of speaking on this subject, had my hame not been mentioned to-night by nome of the houi gentlemea who have addressed the House. I have orly to say that when the subject was brought before the electors of the city of Hamilton, there appeared to be but one opinion eoneerningo it-thoy all seemed to be in favor of carryiag out a Bederal union: (Hear; hear.) I believe that the people were in tavor of any change, and I think I would not be disuharging my duty to miy constituwaks if I did not otand up in this House and state my opimious as I expressod them a short time ayo to the electors. I think that the prople of Camada were highly satistied with
the coutuct of the pablio men of this country; that they were proud of the counstraighttorward and suld denying spirit manly, by-them in showing their willinguess to set
aside personal or party interests; and unite as one man for the common good of this country.. (Hear, hear.) They were millitig to burgs all past differences for the welfare and pros: perity of the country at large. (Hear.) $\mathrm{M} y$ honorable friend from South Wentwarth (Mr: Rymal) has spoken of the feeling among the people there. : That honorable gentlemsn miay pethaps know more of the people in that county than I do; but from what I kuow of them ${ }_{1}$ I can say without any hesitationa that the people there are strongly in fivor of a union of all these provinces. (Hear; hear.) Then, as to the election of Hon. Mr. Bull, I think that nothing so much helped woseune his retarn as his promise to support the Goverament in this seheme. And I think that it ill becones the honorable member for Nouth Wentworth, one of the cight Upper Canadians who oppose this scheme, to ret up in this House and speak as he has done" to-day I believe that this sebeme will be attepded by the very best and most beneficial reaults. My. hooprable friend from South Wentworth © Me Rymal), in turaishing an illuatration to prove the impropriety of the scheme of union, compared it to adding joints to a fishing red, but the comparison did not bear him out in his conclusions, as the people of Canada have at all times, and in every emergency; shown themselves to be possessed of that indomitable spirit which: will neyer quail before a fox:and the unios of such inaterial cannot fail to give theni increased puwer ti rexist agyression, und to maintain and hand down to pooterity the rights and privileges wfich we to happily enjoy. " (Hear, bear.). The fact of uniting strong men together is not going to make them any weaker. What is it that has given rise to the name kogland possesser all the world over?. Why, it is unsun. That the the glory of the Britihh Constitatiog. "Enion to strength" the old maxim says, and I believe that it will prove soo coy restards the united Provinces of British North Auerica. (Hear, hear.)

Ma. JOLY said-Mr. Speakiba, I megret that this resolution was not brought up swouer; however, latu glad that it is brouigh: up now, for it will explain to outsiders the sanner in which this Confederation ; sehetue has been carried through this House. Whea the people of the Lower Provine and of England observe the reluctance which thit Government has to allowing the peopse ans opportunity to express thewselves, by tavatus of geaeral elcetions, it will let thern into ike whole eecret of the manner in which the

Government hare obtained sọ large a vote for their soheme in the present Parliament, (Hear, hear.) . Now, this is all the more important, beczuse pcople who do not live in Canada cannot be expected to understand our affairs any better than we uaderstand theirs. As an instance of how a people may be misunderstiod abroad, we heard the Honorable Minister of Agricultura (Hon. Mr. McGee), who professes to be well sequainted with the Lower Provinces, prophesying that the result of the elections in Sew Brunswick would be largely in taisor of Confederation ; but when he found his trae predictina dotroyed, we then hard him trying to exphin the revult as being due to amexation teodenctes and Atuericanizing influcaces.
Mov. Mi. MeGEE-Not all, but a good deal of it.

Mr. Jol. who -ppuge the ('onfederstion scheiune are xcued wf hating the same minextion feel. ing as the gropte of New Brum-ivict ary said to be tainted wib. It. in extraurdiary how differeat yeppe, pa the sume side of pilibise
 the theity Vers of this city, a tes the of a rather xtarthoy character... [Hatus reat s panage from the Nets of the toth of March, with reference te, the caloplition of the fassport system. Mr "Jon.x. procerded] Thece are two ways of louking at this: Mere as a newo. paper supporting the Coverniment, whith suys that if the Lower Provinues have hot beea relieved trom the paxyport system, as Cauada has beea, it es certainly because their pelations are not so frictully with the Cuited Sitates as murs. It is only sulue our redations became friendly with the luited states-bince wo pasged that Alien Bill, atod went that money stodea by she St Alban's raiders-it is ouly viuct we have hived down hefore them that we have obtained reliof from the obointiong syxtem. The Lowier Provinedes having taked a tirecer stand, the I'nited States Government have refustd be make the same uncession to them an has been unale w as ' think, theretore it is a mixiale to say that it is American influinde or antexation prodivitios that have caused the defeat of the fricads of Cunfederatien in Nem Brunatifick. The only transactions that be have caken upoo ourselvest to make, afiteting any forcign mate; have been the pasinge of the Hiea Bill and the granting uf that thoney for tha Nt. Alban's bankso Ia this instaice, it appears most clear that this province etands in a better, disis.? and muire friendly relation with the United States,
through such action, than the Lower Pro: vinces. Therefore it seems to me more reasonable to suppose that the American sympathizers in New Brunswick have been defeated at the polls, instead of triumphant. The Hoa: President of the Council explains the difference between Canada and New Brunswick, as to the desirability of having new elections, by saying that there was a new election there because the tern of the Legislature was about to expire. Welly this would have been oar last session too, if the Confederation schene had passed, and therefere supposing the Confederation scheme to have gone into operation as soon as the Government anticipated it would, we should have been exactly in the same position as Now Bronswick in relation to a new election. The sazue reasons for hariag a new election there exist here, 'and there is no better reason so be assigned for refusing to allow the peopl- of Canada to express themselves on this project, than there was for the Governuent of Xew Branswick to refuse a dissolution of the" legislature of that province. Jut white we see the Government of that proviuce villing and axionas to give the people in opportunty of expresing their will how differenty are the people of Canadda treated! (Hear, hear:) The Hon. President of the Council has alluded to a majority of the French Cunadians heing ta favor of the Conafederation scheme. I find by the vote that twenty-sir voted in fayor of it, and treatytivo against. Among the tyenty six were three membery of the Administration who propouaded the scheme; and were so interested in: the resule of the vote, that in- all firness they ought not to be counted. Deducting these, the tyrures would stand twentythree to trenty two:

Hon Attroden ChHIEL-Wel, if you deduet the permbers of the Gorernment, you ought alro to deduct the leaders of the Uppootions. (Langhtor.)

Me. JULI-L chink not because they were not nuwe deeply interested in the vole than other membern on the tloor of the Houses. Out of the sweptyois, thete are at least half a dosen whose esmduet: has theen condenned in the mond direet manater by their contituente, in public ansemblicis duly held for the putpose uf cumidering the Coufederation yues. tion. I can instanes ene counties of Joliette, Houville; Clambiy, LAssomption, Ne: so if We take the FrencliCanadians add phace the naitter io a fair and equitable footing, we will lind that they are about equally divided in this. Honse, und thas it is hard to tell whather the
majority of the people are for or against it by their representatives in Parliament. It is impossible to kn$\lrcorner \mathrm{w}$ what the opinions of the French-Canadians are at this moment, or to find out, except by giving them an opportunity to record their votes by meanit of the elcetions. The French-Canadians are nearly a million of people, and I think they are entitled to be heard on this scheme as much as any of the Lower Prorinces; and if for no other purpose than to give them an opportuaity of expressing themiselves, there ought to be'a general election. I say that we have been taken by surprise through the rapid mander in which this scheme has been introduced and carried through this House: We have beea told that because our leaders would zot agree to any arrangement by which the demands "of. Upper Canada could be met, either in. whole or in part, the scheme has been imposed upon us. If that alternative biad been presented to us at an eariier day; I think it would have been possible for us to have met our Upper Canadian friends in a spheme of conciliation, agreving upon a measure which, if not satisfactory to all, ;would, at all events, draw us more closely to one another. For/tastance, the principal coul: oplaint is that/pper Cainda pays two-thirds of the taxcos and is allowed to have control of tures. I will not money contributed by those presentation by popil that I woild graur re forced to aceept population rather than be but I can say that I fiud the claim of Upper Canada, in reference to the finances, perfectly fair and just; and I only rise to express my opinion, as one of the members of this House, to that effect. I would be quite willing to enter into some arrangeinent that would give to Upper Canada a greater proportion of the espenditure, 'in' proportion to its popalation, and stake my chances of reellection upon that declaration. (Hiaar, hear.) I dare say that many in Upper Canada would nut be quite satistied with that ; bat for my part, I do not feel that 1 have a right to offer more, I mercly winh to show that Lower Canadians are nut so lost to all sense of justice as not to recognise the correctucss of that principle; and are not mo mach opposed to the making of scme concessions as many of the people of Upper Clanada seem to imugine. If wo had bect informed as to what was coming, $I$ think. we certainly mould have gone into some arrangement towards suiting the views of Upper Canada, by increasing her share of control on the revenue, rather than bo compelled
to secept, this Confederation schence The most pressing reason given for passing the measure now is, that the relations between Leper and Lower C'anada have reached such astage, that the Goverument of the country canoot, yo on in peace and quietness any longer. I do not think anything of the kind is the case. I do not think any honorable gentleman from Tpper Canada is ready to rusti into civil' war. I' do not think any number of people in Ipper Canada has given up the "hope of obtaining by constitutional means, what they think is tair, $I$, do not think any of them would thith of cominy domn here and ob:aining the rights of Upper Canada by nuirder, bloodshed and civil watr. I think it is most unfurtuante that the Hod. Presideat of the Counel should have at tempted to frighten us by: the use of such terins:
Hon. Mr. BROWS'-The hon: ". entleman must be mistiken. I never used such words in connection with the adrocucy of lpper Canadian riyhts.

Mr. JoLT-I beg the" hou dentheman's pardon, but I have heard him use those turus several times on the floor of this Mouse.

HoN Mn BROWS-Tben it muat have been of steaking of the war in the Cnited states.
 told us in hi' sieceh at the openitur of this debate that war country way in dager of being plunged into cisil war.

Hus Mr BROWN-It cetcainly was not nie, the hon gentheman mistak the the persun. It was the homorable member for Richelieu who ased words of that charater. I have never used such languge in this House in relation tion wor constitutional difficulies.

Mr. PERKAtLT- What I said wan that the Cuastitution, as it stimel, wiss, sulf: cient to enable ws live under it for cea turies ts come" without - civil war. (laughter.)

HoN Mar" HROWN-Nw"ho hou. mewber ought to withdraw that stateotent with recard to myself, until h. ean prowe it It was hot the Hon. Jremiti of the Guverament, but myself whom the chargeid with using the word "eivil war" in relation tu Canada

Mri folfoll understand whit is due
 an ther, and 1 very weerfully withdraw tho
 ju: t this moment a che report of the hon. gentlemanis speech; but I will call his, at-
tention to the matter again, so soon as I am in a position to prove the statement I have made. But I certainly was alvays under the impression that he had used those vords. (Hear, hear) Well now, having withdrawn those words with reference to oue member of the Adminitration. I have to make the same charge ayainat another nember, oc cupying even a higher position' in the Cabinet. : Here are the very words employed by the leader of the Administration, inad. dressing the Upper House- Oa page 9 of the Parlamenitary Debates on Confederation; I tiod this passarge in the speech of the hon and gallant knight at the head of the Governiment:-At the thue these meaeures were resolved upon, the' country was bordering on civil strife, and he would asik if it was not the duty of both sides to do all they conld to preveat the unfortunate reallo which rould have tollowed." Well. I see it is the term 6 cisil strife"t that is used insteal of "ecisil war." . Laughter.) But it is uwd in the satme sense as the term " civil war: The Freach verina of our olfieial report has "ifuctre ricite." I think if is most unfortungte to hear hon nembers of ti:e Gov. ernment, who bave io their keeping the fats fame of the country; letting it go to the world that Casada, which was always looked ufon stach a happy, free avd prosperous coubry . watun che eve of civil serife.: It is all the urore unfortunate that I was mistaken it reference to the persoo who attered thowe words, for find now that it the Prime Miniter of limadia ingtead of the Honorable Heresideat if the Council. If that honorable gentlemat had said anything approaebing to what 1 charged him with, I amsure he mould atot havededied it in the manact, he lias, loo I think be would have been wilhag so have: considered the spirit of the eharge more than the were letter.: I will not Bow take up tho titue of the House any loager. I simply with to thow the unfair means that hadbeen used by the Goverament in corrying they Confederation sehemie through this Hsase. (Cherrs)

Hon: Arty (iex. MACDUNALD-1 think, sir, that my tron. friend wito spoke laxt madera mistake in the construction of the Enghish language, when he changed my hot. friend the Mon. I'resident of thi Council with alluding to civil war, and that his vemarts: vere rather nugatiamentary in so tar as thoy alluded to the debste in the other branch of the legislaturo. (Hear, hiuw.) He charged my honorable friend with stating that the
country was on the eve of revolution and warfare; but on trying to hunt up the proof, be finds that the words on which he based the oharge were used in a apeech delivered by somebody else in the other branch of the Legislature. The passage he quotes alludes to the country being in a state of oivil strife. Well, Mr: SPEAKER, that is quite true. Sir, we have been in a state of strife for a great many years. An election is a civil strife, and a lawsuit is a civil strife, but warfare is a most uncivil strife:
Hon. J. S. MaCDONALD-Does my toon frieud mean to class lawsuits under the head of civil strife?
Hon.Atty. Gen Macdonald-Well, perthaps they are a little nucivil at times, but my hon. friend knows"all about it, for be fattens on thát kind of strife.' (Laughter.)
Ma: JOLY-Well, 1 would like to ask what the hon gentleman calls the war in the United Stateg"; is that not civil strife? (Laighter.)
How. Mr. BROWN-NO, no, no; that is civil war, becaise it is a war among the people themselves.

Ma. JOLY - Well, I do not think there in anything wrong in calling it civil strife, and 1 consider the terms synouymous.
Hon A-ry Gex. MACDONALD-The wat in the United States is a most diyautrous, and even barbarous civil war; bat tho word civil strife is not applicable to it. 1 base already explained the neaning of tho term, and I hope now that miy hon. friend sees the evil of this ways, he will abandou: Lis opposition to the Governuent: (Hear, hear.) Mr. Spraker, for the sake ut the etharacter of this Honse, and for the sake of the public purse, I mast protest against the current of the debate which has arisen frowi the notion of the hoo. member for Peel. I thought we had got through with the discussion, and that as every hon. metaber had had the opportanity of speaklagg not only oice or twice, bat three or foar tiues, wo had fioished tho debate, and taken a vote which was rather eatigifictory to the Government, by which the question had been introdueed into the House, and that it was geneirally understood that the discussive of the propriety of the Confeduration of the provincees was to ond there (Hear, hear.) But I tiad in the remarka or huu. gentlemen oppasite a tendeney to reopeu the whole question, after it has been decided by this House, upon a mution made by myself for the appointment of a committee to draft an

Address in which the resolutions should be embodied. I say, sir, that this is an abuse of parliamentary privilege, a waste of the time of this House and of the public money, while it serves no good parpose, and I am sure that the good feeling and common sense of this House will not allow anything of this kind to go on. One thing connected with this subject I greatly regret. I very much regret that although the debate has been so long protracted, adidalthough we have had an expression from almost every member of this Housi, we have hitherto failed in getting the arguments promised in the speech of my hou. friend from Chateauggay (llear, hear) For some reason or other we cannot get that speech out. Juatt as Moses went up to Piogat's top and viewed the promised land in: the distance, just so the ho . member gives us do occasional glimpse of the promised speech, but we have thus far been disappointed in our expectations of hearing it delitered. We have been promised it triou or three times duriog the past monith. The honorable member ought to remember that " hope deferred maketh the heart sick." I am sure I desire to have the pleasure and satistaction of hearing from the hoiorable gentlëman, and having the adviatage of the information which the honorable geatleman is well knowa to be able of giving this House ; for thougt young in years, hie is old in political wisdum and tia that political sagaeity of which be denies me the possession I Isay I aum sorry, sud this House must be sorry, aud the country must be sorry, that the hou genteuna fat practised so mugh selfdenial as to retuse to allow his ridiance to shine forth upon this great question. The thing which so utterly destruys the hoo. gentleman's utility is' his extreme mudesty (Laighter:) Why, when he bad to rusth to the rescuit of the disordered finances of this count:y, at great persoual sacrifice, for the sake of saviug the evuntry from the ruin that hung over it through the lavish extravaganco of my hon. friend the present Hou. Finauce Minister, he luoked; with the exercise of his great linancial ability, down iño the receases of the public chest and speedily discovered the asouree of all the evily that had fallen upon the country, and yet the modesty of the hon. genteman pre vented him from making known the remedy. (Laughter.) Ade so it is uven now. He bas been prouising to give us his views upon this great question; but four weeks have passed, and his speech get hangs fire. And
tóday he told us, after drawing himself up with that righteous indignation which he can so. well affect, that the Honorable Attóruey General West had tried to stop the pubicication of the debates." and that he "himself had yet to fire his speech on this great subject, because it was too late to do so on Saturday morising; and yet, Wheu the honorable geatleman gets up, he says he will contiue himself to this resolution. He dad so, and contined hiuself very narruwly to it: (Hear, hear.) The hon. gentleman has somehow or other become the guardian of my political reputativa. : He has, on two of three occasions, warned me that althunch the course I touk wis, perhaps, that of a practical man-that of one who desired nucrely to keep othce and bécoune famous, for political acutevess-yet it would pever: secure for ine the fane of beinga great "statesmati. Well, sir;' am satighed to confine uyself to prachical things- to the securing of such practical measures as the country really wants. 1 am satisfied not to have a ref utátou for indaligitg in imaginary schemes and harboring vistouary ideas that may end simethere, m an anuexation movemedt, somenmestu Federation and sometimes ia as hegistative inama, but almays atopian atad never practical 1 am satisfied to leave the inugtuary, the poetio and the imprasible to the hoon member for Chateauguay. The other diay the tonorable gentleman paused ty. asy, in the course of one of this hithe, numerous; by the by speeches, that in tuking the course I have done on thes yunation-that of advocating a Pederal instesd of a Legislative unionI violated all the priaciples of my former life haviag a bearng on this subjeet. Mr Ephakeq, it is quite true that atter a careful exampaten of the Constitution of the Cuited = Sictes, in conglection with its practical woghiug, aud the civid war that has gruwn ut of th, I saw miany weaknesses in conatection with the Ficueral system, as uperated to that country, and was as dearous as any wou could be an taking part in the Ciontereace relatiag to uaion between the Pioviaces of British Nurth America; that as uuch as the Legistative form of goverumeat ay possible, and an fevr of the weakuesses which experiduce had shown to exist to the Atiserican Constitation, should be aicurpurated sur ours. I do not like to refer to any retarks of mine in times past ; but as this charge has beei brought
against me, I will read, by permission of the House, a passage from a speech of mine, in relation to representation by population And I might here say that it is the only speech I ever delivered in my life, which I have ever taken guy particular trouble to revise. The hon. gentleman will see, from this pasóage, what my sentiments were, in 1561; on the subject; while taking part in a debate ou representation by population. I was replsing to a speech made by my present col. league, the Hoa. Minister of Agriculture: I said :-

The only feasible scheme which presented -itelif to his (my) mind, is a reniedy tor the evils complained of was a Confederausus of all the prorinces (Hear, hear.) But in speaking of a Coufederation he must not be whderstival as alluding to it in the sense of the one an the other aide of the line. For that liad net beed successfal. But then bo did not say so froun any feeling of satisfaction at such o sesurts. Far from him be any such idea. He beartily agreed with the "jumor mentier for Montreyl (Gon. Mr. McGeg) in every werd of regres which he had expressed at the unhappy, and lamentablo atato of thinga which they now witnessed in the States, for he remembeited that they were of the same blood as ourselven He still looked hopefully to the futare of the United States. He beliteved there was a rigurt a vitality, in the Anglo-Saxon character cind the Anglo: Saxou ingututivas of the Cuited States, that would sarry them through this yseas convalaion, as they had carried through vus Yother Country in days of old. (Loud cheery from bioth sides of the Howse:) Hu boped with that banorable gentleman (Elon. Mr. MeGEy) that if they wore to be yevored in twom weved in two be believed they, waild brtwo great, "wo noble, two. lree "nations would exist in place of osie. (Henm, hear.) Bis while ho thua ay mpathized with thesai, to wosata say, let it beg a warning to ourselves chat wo do not aptit on tho eanio poel which they beal dougt: Tho latal orror which they had commited-avid it wasy pertapa, unavoidable froin the atato if the collugits at the time of the revolation-whe wa making each atate a distinct sovercigaty, and giviug to each a distinct sovertigu pouer, exerep in those inatandes whery they wery apecially rix served by the Coostitation and cootitered upour te Geveral Goverament. The true griaciplo of a Confederation lay ia giving to the General Gut erament all the priacigles mad powens of gover. eigoty, and that the subordintate or individeat atated thould have no powers hit thous expresaty bestowed on them.. Wo should thum have a pow. erfal Central Governiment, a powerful Central Dingiatature, and a decentralized systers of murby toginfatares for local parpososs.
These, eir, were the opinions I attere in a
speech delivered in 1861; and I say that the Cunstitution which this House, by a majority of three to one, has carried out as far as it is concerned, is, in spirit and letter, that which I then pointed out; and that was not the result of my experience, my thought and uiy opinion alone, but of the experieace, thought and opinion of every man who had studied and taken into considoration the character of the Constitation of the United States." I know that in making that quotation I ap committing the error which I have charged upon other hon. mombers of the House of going back in the debate; but I thought that it vas due to myself to read it to the: House, becanse the hon: member for Chateanguay-not in that blunt, plainspoken style which characterises some hon. geutlemen, but with that soothing sof lanbuage that is so grateful to ono's feolings-(haughere)- stated that in proposing a Federal union of, these prorinces I belied the wholf of my politicallife, and that it was for this reason I made so feeble and ineffectual aspeech when I offered these reso ${ }^{\text {axtions }}$ to the House: As to the feebleness and ineffectireness of ay speech, that, sir; I admit; but as 'to my sentiments on Confederation, they were the sentiments of my Hife,my vontineats in Parliament years ago, my sentimetatsia the Couforence, and my sentiments now. (Hear, hear.) Mr, Speak en; Y/submit, with all due deference to your decision, that tho uution proposed by the hon. member for Poel is in ondor ; and it is a point, I am itev to admit, of such donbt that I ought axt to set up my opinion against that impartially wiven by yourself, for one is very apt to dectde in bis oura favor in a doubtful cate." It would have been very convenient tie the Goverument to have it declared out order, and our. feelings may therefore have caused us to take a lest impartial view than that tasen by yourself; and it became our duty co subiuit to your raling, nuless wo believed If our consaience that beyond all doubt you wero irrang. Having been declared to be properly botore the House, I must say that the motion of the hon. member is altogether incoasistent with his votes uipon the guestion of Confederation:' (Hear, hear.) I cannot understand how an hon. member who gave the: two votes he did last weet upon that quention, could nake the mution in amend. went now under eonsideration. (Hear, hear.). Indeed I understood him to siy that he did aot design this as an amendment, but ace sopurate and indopendent motion;
and I think it is to be regratted that having made up his mind to support the resolutions I proposed, he did not also support the formal machinery necessary to give them effect; that he did not accept my invitation to propose his views in a separate and distinct motion; instead of in the shape of an amendment to an Address for which he himself voted.' (Hear, hear ) When I say that I regret that my hon. friend has taken this course, I must at the same time congratulate him upon the sonnd doctrine he has laid down in his speech ; for if I wanten, if the House wanted, an argument' in favor of the measure which the Government has laid before the House; we could not have had it in more eloquent and conviâcing language than that contained in the speech of my tion. friend. My ton. friend is alpays eloguent and always convincing, but he coald not have been more eloquent or more convincing than When he spoke on this question of Coutederation.

Hon: J. S. MACDONALD-What a complimeut!

Hón. Atty: Gen MaCDONALD-It may be a compliment, but it is not flattery. A compliment is the statement of an agreeable truth; fattery is the statement of an agreeable antruth. Now, were I to state that the hon. meuber for Cornwall delivered an eloquent and convincing, speech, that. would be flattery-(great laughter)-but when I state, in all sineerity, that the speech of the hon. member for Peel was" an eloquent and convincing one, I may compliment but I do not flatter. (Hear, hear.) But what strack me as strange was that while my hon. friend stated to the House that he was not a man to make an uncons itutional motiou, or to make a motion committing in any way a breech of constitutional usage, or to propose anything less than a a constitutional appéal to the people, he should oppose the motion before the Hoase; for I know that my hon friend is wot the man to commit a fundamental error against constitational and free institutions. He knew well, and it is manh to the credit of my hon. friend as a sound constitutional lawyer, that altheagh he drew his notice of tuotion hurriedly; it was necessary, when he presented it to the Housc, to guard against mistake ; and he took eare that the appeal he proposed to make to the people on this question should be a constitutional appeal by the members of this House going to the polls. (Hear, hear.) But my han friend the secoader of
the resolution, who called upon the Hode to support it, says he does not believe a word of it: "(Laughter.) The very first. sentence that he uttered was that he did not beliere in the resolution"; for he said that he was in faror of submitting yes or no to theypeople, but, not in the mode proposed by the resolution", the only mode known to our Constitution.

Ms. M. © CAMEROS-I do putiwish my language to be nisrepresented by my hon. friend: What I stated.was that $I$ did not consider that to be the only way of ascertining the riews of the people, and did nut think it wrung to take a rote, yea or nay; upan the questina
\& Hon Atry Men MACDOXALD-Wen, wiy hon. friend from Peel submitted that the appeal should be mate in one way, the constitutional way and that was the way my hon friend from North Ootario did not like. How could my hon friend suppose that a vote hese that culd be takeo in a conatry whote Constitution is modelled on the Constitution of Eagland? By what contrivance known to our Constitution could we take such a vote? There is nowe nach There is "or' means, no system, by which we could nak an appal of that kind and in order tu do it we should have to subvert the principles of the Britigh Constitution.' ${ }^{〔}$ The hou. gentemat knows thise is no means of doing it. We might. inded, pass a law declaring that the people shall ve yes or no on this question -but suct a law would in irself be a change in our Constitution, and I would like to see any cuan representiog Her Majesty in this, muntry pipe his sane tiou to a measure of that kind, whioh would be a' sulversiot of the first priaciples of British constitational goveroment. Sir, we in. this House are represertatives of the people, and nut mere delegates; and to pass such a law roula be robbing aurselyes of tha character of representatives, and be a prodeding which even the honorable mewber for Ohateauguay hifmelt donounces in. language, alchuysh he supports it in cunotenaice when pressed by others. That hon. gentleman is too tamiliar with the principles of British constitutional government. to support such a proceeding himself, but still he encourages others to do it, and to say that which he would not alvanees himself (llear, heary) Sir, a reference to the peoplea direat reference to the people-af a question of this kind may be the means by which
a despot, an sbsolute monarch, may get that popular confirmation and approval which he desires for the laws necessary to the support and continuation of his usserpation.. It may be the means by which a despot, at the point of the bayouet, may ask the people to vote yea or pay on the measure he proposes; but in every free country whers there is a Cou; stitution at all, the rote must be taken "by. the constituted authorities; the representa tives of the people, and not become a mera form and cover to byraniny, but a measure which accords with the calm'and deliberate judgwients of the people, as expressed through their representatives," (Hear hear.) I was rather alarmed when I first read the notice given by my hon." friend from Peel, and feared that he was going to tale the course adrised by the hon. mamber for Nurth Ontario, because the language of his notice was nadefined upon the subject. "I however, had every confudence in the constitutional priaciples-the consersative pribeiples-of the hon. gentleman; but as the language of the notice was not olear, I was exceedingly relieved when ho read the motion to the House in its present complete shape: , I adonit hat it was quite opec to any uestreer of this Parliament to more either that the House be dissolved or not dissolved. I admit that the hona memker had a constita. tional right to move that the House be dis solved, with a view of referring this question to the people, and therufore it was that Ifelt relieved when I fonud that this was the course he proposed, and regret, on the other hand, that the hon. member fur Noth Ontario haw Bo far fallea away frou his old consurvative principles as to tike the other ground. Sow, what is the opinion eatertaines apwa this subject in England? I was execediagy pleased to read lately the report ot in speech. telivered to his eonastituenta, at Haddersfiold. by Mr: Lentaisi, a member of the Imperial Parliament. He is, I believe, a Grother-iaIsw of Mr. Joas Batoat; and beloys to the adraneed Liberal sohool of Euglish politicians; known as the Manchester sehool; and although edncated in the politicial doctrines of that sehool, he yet had the courage to get up before the people of Huddersiielh, as Radieal a constitueney any ia all England, and spote id stiong laogage against the Permissive Bill, a temperance measura which resembles that passed through this Ingislature by tho hop member for Brome, beeanse ho held that it was uneos-
stitutional to hand over to the people the power of voting direetly upon a lav before it came into effiect He contended that the rest upon to voting for a measure must could not refer this responsibility to the people. When you find an advanced liberal like Mr. Leathain taking that ground, and going to the length he did in support of it, yon can well understand the principles that actunte the great majority of the people of Eagland. Allow me to read to the House the language employed by Mr. Leatiam on this point. It is not long, and it seems to me exceedingly instruotive. The Times, in an article on the speech, says:-
Mr Leatrin's argament on this subject is well worthy of attention, not only for its bearing on the question of compulsory temperance, but from the much wider range of subjects, to which is is applicable". "t It is," he says, "the essence of representative government that the electing clask, which is analbgons to the class paying rates, shall possess no direct logislative power; and the principlo of parliamentary representation is that not oven the representative principle shall alone legislate. We have taken the precaution to protect the rights and property of Englishmen by the prerogatives of the Crown, the privileges of the Lords, and the anchority of a representative Assemibly. All these constitute the three fold and invaluable shelter which we have naised orep the rights and property of the meanest subject in the realm. But here is a proposition which, with nakod and revolutionary simplicity, proposes to intruat the property and maintenance of the rights of a large class of persons to dimi. native, bomogeneous, democratic, and irresponsible partiaments set yip all over the country, in place of a central, responsible, compound, and constitutional one. It seoms to me that this strikes at the root of a constitutional and represeatative syatem."
These, sir; are the worda used by an adranced reformer, a member of one of the most adranced schools of politicians in Eagland. They are words of wisdom, and ought to pest with weight on the mind of every adimirer of representative institutions, who does not wish to eee those instititions degraded in this eanatry, and representation be comie mere delegation. (Hear, hear:) Why, sir, for what do we cone to this House, if it is cot becanse me are supposed to be convinced by argument, if it is not that we are to sit domn together and compare notes and disouss the queations that may como before us, and to be convinced according to the foree of the reasons thite may be advanced for or against
them? And if we are honest, conscientious men, we change our opinions as we become convinced that that which we held before was wrong and the opposite right. But if the other doctrine obtains, that we are not representatives but delegates, we might as well meet here and pass measures without any discussion whatever, every man voting according to the instructions of the commission which he holds in his pocket from his constituents. (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Mr HOLTON - What was the previous question?

Hon. Astr. Gen " MACDOVALDWell, that was not voted upon without argument ; for full opportunity was given to discuss it before hon. members vere required to vote.. I was sayiug, sir, that the hon. member for Poel committed an act of inconsistency in voling for these resolutions, and then proposing this amendment " What did the resolutions amount to? The honorable gentleman voted for an Address to the Queen, praying that she may be pleased to lay before the Imperial Parliment a measure for the union of these colonies, on the basis of the reolutions of the Quebec Conference. He voted for it because he approved of the proposition; and if we had followed the practice of the Imperial Parliament, the Address would have been alopted by the vote which he and a majority of hon meabers gave, and probably would be on its way to Eagland now for presentation to Her Majesty. It is a practice lately allopted to refer the Address formally to a committee, to" report it back again to the Howes. WVell, my hon. friend, by his vote, affirmed that this Address should be seat to Her Majesty ; but what does this motion proposed by him declare? Why that the Address which he declared by his vote shonld be presented to the Queen, should not be sent. That is the plain meaning of it, and -I was going to say that it gives the lie to his former action, but-is the very opposite to the previous vote of the hon. gentleman. (Hear, hear.). That is the course whioh my hon. friend has taken, and I must say that it is an estraordinary and inconsistent one.

HoN. J. H. CAMERON-It is strictly parliauentary.

Hon Atry Gen MaCDONALD-It is parliamentary because the Speaker ruled it so; ; but I maintain that the motion of my hon. friend is entirely inconsistent with his vote on my resolution.

Hov J. H. CAMERON-My hon. friend says that it is merely a matter of form to refer an Address adopted by the House to a committee, and is 'so regarded in England. But I. wish to point ont to my hon. friend that in the Imperial Parlianent ${ }^{2}$ on the 7 th of February last, the Address in reply to the Speech from the Throne was moved and unanimously assented to by the Honse; that it was then referred to a committee of the House, Whiah copmittee reported it back, and that on the Address coming upfor a second reading, Mt. Scưlly zinoved an. amecidment in reference to the state of Ireland; in opposition to the Address for which he had himself before volyd. (Hear, hear.)

Hon: ATty GEN MACDONALD-I quite agree with hon gentleuan as to the fact stated, but in the first place there was no vote of the House upon the Address."

Hon 'J. H. CASERON-Yes, the vote was ananimons. I can yive another case if my han friend deaires it.
Hon Atty Gev MaCDONALD-Well; if Mr. Sculcy was present when the Address was first voted, he would no doubt have voted aj-ainst it. : But this is the first caso I have yet heard of an honorable nember roting to carry a certain motion, and then propusing an amendment to apset it'; and when he did propose it, $I$ myself thought it was noparlia. mentary, but the Speaker ruled it in order, and to his decision I botr. Now, if the hon: gentleman thought that from the circumstances of the conntry or for any other caune: no Iddress of the kind should go to the Queen, he should have said"so by votiug. against it. But he did not say that; on the contrary, be said that there should be an Address to the Queen, praging Her to lay ia measure betore the Imperial Parliamehtthat measure to contain a Constitution for these colonies, and that Constitution to em. brace all the resolutions adopted by the Quebec Conference; and the very nexit noment the hon geatleman gets ap, and like the boy who builds ap anif then tnecks: down a house of cards, moves atadiress to the Governor Geaeral praying him oot to Oend that Address to the Queen, an lthus deteats the very motion for which he voted. (Hear, hear.) He voted first that this House should adiress the Guect, and thes by his motionn says that it shall not address the Queen at all, but that this House shall be dissolved, and that there shall be an election, and then that anothor House shal!
address the Queen. (Hear, hear) After voting that this House shall address the Queen, it seems to me to be little less than an insult to Her Majesty to say that the House shall be deprived of all possibility of passing the Address, and that a fature, not this Par-: liament, shall do so. It seems to me that my hon friend's inconsisteney is clear, palpable, and beyond all doubt. (Hear, hear.) Sir, I shall not eater inte the question as to the. reference of this subject to the peopla.: The small paragraph I have rèd frocu Mr. Leatgian's speech contains very stortly the wisdom of ages, sud I might appeal, if further testimony were required, to all the great men Tho have afted on the pollitical stage of Eng. Pand. Mr. Pirt sconted at the idea; and it was never ceuntenaneed by ing of the great publie men of Eighand. My hos \& friend says that at the time of the uniun of Eagland and Scothand, there was: distingt reference to the people of Bcotland. It is prue that proclamations were iaxued, calling upon the peopleto edectreprestativet frous the boroughe on the yndstion of univn with England; but the hoas peotesian Enows very well that Scotiand had no iree reproseatative insticutions at that time - The knuws that until the passage of the Retorm Bill, elective institutions were only a muckery in Scotland. The boroughs were in the handy of close corporation, who elected whara they pleased, aud it was quite impossible to odiaia, by sueh misans as an elegrtion aflorded, agrae expression of the opinion of the people of that eountry.

How Ma. BROWN-The counties werd. the same:

Hon Atry Gen MacDonah There: was no country-althouith the people had. a. frre and manly spirit-that had a more rom stricted constitation-than Sedtatol till the year [82]. But the hon genteman ought to have looked upo the "ther side, of the yuestion, and tuld the House whether there was an electióa in Konland ou the guestion of the union with Séotland. There was not, sir, and the idea would have been scouted by the leadiag minds of Eagland had it been propased. (flear, hear.) Sty, thea the. Imperial. Parliamont passed the Septenuial. Bill to save Enghad from the disautroius consequences of the reign of the Stuanter
 were disaistrous-when the members who were elected for three yeans declared thear-s. selyes elected for seven, withaut goimg to the people; and whea the naion with Ireland
was accomplished without a reference to the people, did any cone say that these things were unconstitutional? Has my hon. friend not shown that Sir Robert Peer, whot was the great protector of the liberties of Parliament, quoted these proceedings with approbation, as showing what the House of Commons could do if it chose: And so the honorable member for Montmorency quoted the languaye of Wilsíais. Pity, Who although his name in late days was con: nected with some things which did not meet the approbation of his party, was the leader of the Conservative party, and carried throagh to his deathbed the principles of his father the karl of Castaan. Ho mas supported -by his party and by all the leading whigs When the rade his speech on the Irish anion, in which ne alleged that the Irish. Parliament had full power to vote atiay those rights Which it was elected tu preserve. His lapguage was quoted by tho honorable member, and did tiue permit, I nould read it again tw tha Hoase, for it is the lanyyage of wisdom and truth: My honorible friend from Peed says"- Oh, that is all very well, but this is quite a difurent shing from the Irish union, because wo hiare ouly a himited Constitation under our Constitutional Act." That isquite truey but Irelaid as well as this conatery had ouly a Lunited Coastitution, under which not even a measure olfapply could bolaid before che-lrish Parliameatuolese it had previonaly. beea seat to the Eagtish Goverumeat, ap: proved, and thea seat back for the approval and tanction of the Inish Parliauent and it wab not cill 1782 chat this was changed, and the geference to Eugtand of sach measures lone amay with. Iy bonórable friend refars us to the language of the Coastitutional Aot to show how limited our Constitution is : but by that act wo are cmporered; in the widest language that could be employed, to make laws lur the peace, wolfaro and good goveramentiof the people of Camaia. There could be no larger powers conlerred upon us, and "alchacigh it is quite grue that our political existence is ouly statutory, that constitationally our judges have no right to commit for centempt, and that we have no prescriptive rights such as these Thich the Imporial Harhament possesses, yot thim is equally truer thas we stand, with regard, to the people of Canada, precisely in the samio position as the House of Commons in Gighland stapds: with regard to ihe peoplo of Bagland. (Hear, hear:) And no nuan who vaiues regresentative government mould consent to sit'hera under
a less extensivecommission-no man will get up and disclaim the possession of suich powers. But my honorable friend says we can oaly pass resolutions, and cannot change our Constitution except by addressing the Sovereign, praying Her to give them effect through the Imperial Parlia. ment; and he argues from this that we ought to go to the people and have a new Parliament to do it. A new Parliament can, however, do nothing more than we can do. Sir, I believe in my conscionce, that this House, more than any House since. 1841, represents truly and faithfully the people of Canada: If the members of this House do not represent the country-all its interests, chasses, and communities-it never has "been represented." (Hear, hear.) I believe that all classes and interests are represented here; but if the Honse votes for this wotion, it declares that it does not represeat them. (Hear, hear.) If we represent the people of Canada, then," in the words of the Constitutional Act, we are here to pass laws for the peace, welfare and good goverament of the country:- But if we do not represent the people of Canada-if we declare so by passing this resolution-then What great criminals have we been in the past ! If we do not represent them, if we have uo right to represent them, then wo have no power to pass one single bill and declare it to be law, even alithisugh it be a bill to establish a saw-mill. If we do not represent the people of Canada, we have no right to pe here:. But if we do represent. "them, we have a right to see for ohem, to think for them, to act for them; we have a right to go to the foot of the Throne and deelare that we believe it to be for the pence, welfape and good government of the people of Canada to form of these provinces oue empire, presenting an anbroken and undaunted front: to every foe; and if wo do wot think we have this right, we are unworthy of the commission we have received from the people of Canada.' (Hear; hear, and ohcers.)

Ma. M. C. CAMERON-I would ask tho Hon. Atty. Gen. West, did he support Mr. Dunkin's Temperance Bill?

Hon. ATry Gen haOdonahd-I doa't "remember. "I don't generally go for temperance bills. (Langhter.).

Ma. M. C. OAMERON - If the honorable geatleman did support that bill, he sapported ${ }^{\circ}$ what, according to the rule he has laid down, is a violation of tho Constitution:

Hos: AtTy Gen MACDONALD-I am afraid I did.

Mr. M. C. CAMERON-Then he has been guilty of a violation of his own rule. (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Mr: HOLTON-I thinE I owe the Hon Atty. Gen. West a word of explanation. I was not se fortunate as to be in Parliament in 1861, and I have never happeued to read the speech from which he quoted. I shuald be very sorry to misrepresent him, and perhaps 1 vonld have misrepresented him in making the statement I did, if I had read that speech: But I thiak he will bear me out in this, that at the British American League, some difteen years ago, he did vote and speak in. favar of a logislative naion.

Hon ATty Gex MACDONALD-My hon frienil is mistaken.

Hon. Mb HOLTON-That hody, at all events, did pass certain resolutions in favor of a legislative union. The hou. gentleman was a meruber of that body, and either voted for or ayaiust those resolutiens -he can say Which My impression is that he supported them. At all events, be will pot deny that last session, in the debate on the Address, or ou the motion of the hof orable uevuber for South Oxford, ho idid express an opinion in favor of a Lexistatizo union, as ilistinguished from a Federfal union. It was stortly before the chapge of Govern. ment, and there was some difference between the two humorable geatecuen-the Hon. Attorney Geocral East ahd the Hop. Attorney General West-who were then sitting "pu this side. . And in the committee, formed on the notion of the honvorable member for South Oxfurd the Hon. Aitoraey Gegeral West voted against the Federative syatem, and deelared hixiselt in favor of the Legislative system to contradistinction to the other, and my inpression' was that he had uniturnily held that ground. It uow appears that in his speech of 1961 he shews that'at that dime he contemplated the possibility of a moditied sort of Federation-a Federation very ditierent, however, from the juint authority of the hooorable mexuber for South Oxtord, who angues that this ir the very measure of the Convention of 1859 .

HoN. Ma BROWN-It is on the same $0^{\circ}$ bssis.

Hos. Ma. HOLTON-It is the same basis; but in the oue, the federal anthority has the preponderance-ia tha other the local authority.

HoN: Mr BROWN-This includes the best features of both systems.

HoN. Mn:HOLTON-I will not enter farthar into that. I only rose to make the remark I did with reference to the speeoh of the Hon. Attorney General West in 1861. (Cries of "Go on !") Hon. gentlemen opposite are rather difficult to please. Not long since, when the Hon. President of the Council was not in such good hamor as he is just now, he complained that I inflicted myself too often ou the Hionse. Now they ingist that I shall speak. (Laugh. ter.) I had intended to speat at some length on the general question.: I came down to. this House this afternoon, intending to speak at some length, but I confess that the view sug gested by the Hon. Attorney General West had occurred to me; that it was not desirsble on this motion to reopen the whole debate. Aid when my hon. friend-aind leader beside me (Hon. Mr. Doanow) got up, after I had intimated uy intention to speak, apd stated, on behaff of those who taot with him-and I am a good party man; I follow my leaderthat we dad no desire to reopea the debate $y_{3}$ ) but wished this matter to be got through tonigh I decided to waive my speech, believitg theteny views on all points of this solicme are. sufficiently well known. (Luughter.) But I beg to assure hon. genulemen that if on any point of the schecue they have any doubt as to what my ricwis are, I shall answer any questions they may ohopse to puit, as dixtinetly and as concisely as I can. " (Hear, hear.)

Hos. J. S. MACDONALD-My honorable friend has eorrectly stated the intention arrived at by this side of the House;. It was nos our intention to make any lengthened observations on the mution before the House. But hotiorable gentlonen opposite have not fullowed the rule they laid down with reapect to thia.

Hos. Ma BROWN-I jid.
Hon. J.S. MACDONALD-Well, I think the Honorable President of the Conneil made: a considerablo speech to-night, and impressed - his conolusions mo mengly on the House as almost to drive a away any ideas we may have had as to what we ahould basy. (laughter.) I was rather struck by the manuer in which the Honomble Attorney General West took credit to himself for having refrained from insisting on objections on the ground of order to the motion of the honorablo member for Peel, after he had deolared that be would avail himself of all parliamentary usigges to provent that motion bofing pac. But the Lovorablo genoleman forgots Man Englinh
authority, as well as former decisions in this House, sustain the motion of the honorable member for Peel. In 1843 , when an Address was passed ia this House, sustaining the stand whiet Hon. Mr. Baldwin had taken, in Sir Cuarles Metcalfés'stime-after it had passed, an améndment to the Address was moved, bat the Speater who occupied your place raled themotion to be oat of order, and an appeal being nuade to the Hoase, the House 'sustained 'the appeal, and the Address was amiended b/ the passing of an amendment fioced by Mr. Bocleon. I say, then that the Honorable Attorney General West need not have taken credit to himself for not having appealed against the decision of the Chair, becauso he must have known that tho authoritios were against hini. (Hear, hear.) The hoporable geatleman is no doabt pos. seysed of astuteness No one can manilest greater sstuteness than he displays in addaptiag himsolf to any nga position in which he may find himself" There is not a pablic man in the country who has maintained his ground so. logige in oppustion to so many pablic questions ou which ho has at last subunitted w change his opinions, and which he has finilly carried in some shape or other, with the aid of his opponents. (Hear, hear.) Was not the secularization of the Clergy Reserves.opposed by that honorable gentleman from the time ho caye into Partiament in 154, until 185i-a period of ten years? Did he not dechurg it was a spoliation of church property? Did ho not oppose the deanad to have the soigniors deprived of their rights? Did ho not call that a spolia: tion alse? Did he not oppose the introductima of the elective principle into the Lexistativg Couacil?' Did bee not,' by his speceches and by his votes, deelare it was a. rupablican movement, and that we might as well give up the Constitation of this conntry and adopt that of the United States, as have an clevted Lexgislative Council? Bat ather hasiog batted for ten years ayainst these questions- the abolition of the Stiguiorial tenare, and the elective Legidative Council-questious which cansed the rebellion in Lower Canada-mad that of the Clergy Beserves, which Lord syperimas declared to to the cause of the rebellion in Upper Canada-questions which shook the foundations of society, and brought, not only civil strift; but war-the thoiorablo gentlaman gave up the opposition he had risiontained for ten years, and in order to get a seat on the Treasury benches, and to

Leep niss party in power, tamely submitted, and sabjected himself to the himiliation of carrying out those measures: Yet he claims to have been consistent! Those three great questions-and others which had occupied the attention of the country, and had caused the greatest: political antagonism between parties-those questions were carried by the honorable gentleman, by acting on that side of the House with the very parties to whom he had been opposed in those questions; and with the aid of renegade reformers, he was permitted for nearly ten years to keep posséssion of the Treasury benches. : (Hear, hear.) I am sorry to see that the same course. has been pursued in the formation of this Government. What was done in 18504 was repented in 1864. (Hear, hear.)

Mr. A. MACKENZIE-Who moved that the honorable geitlemen, representing the Liberal party, should go into the Government?
Hon. J. S MACDONALD-I found they were going-with the engine at full speed-and that nothing could restrain them. (Laughter.) I found that all the drags that could be put upon the : wheels could not stop them from going there. I saw it was impos. sible to stop them, and I said therefore- In the name of Goodness, go: True, only those places are made for you, and three may as well gio in, although 1 would prefer that there were three more, and then we might look to get somo justice."

Mr. A. MackenZIE - You voted against the motion, that the proposition for three membeis of the Opposition entering tho Cabinet be rejected.
HoN. J. S. MACDONALD-The Honorable gentleman is mistaten.

Me. A. Mackenzie-I am not mistaken. I moved the resolution myself.
Hon. J. S. MACDONALD-1 voted first against the basis.

How. Mr. BROWN-No, no.
How J. S. MaCDONALD-I stated that I would not commit myself to the explanations which hid been made.
Ma. H. F. MACKENZIE.-If the honorable gentlemai will permit me, I will read from the published proceedings of the meeting. The hooorable genteman did not vote against the basis :-
It was moved by Mr. Hopre F. Maceeszic, secouded by Mr. McGregus, That we approve of the course which has been pursued bs Mr. Hnowx in the negotiations with the Government; aid that we approre of wo project of a. Foderal union of the Canadar, with provision for.
its extension to the Maritime Provinces and the North-Westera territory, as the basis on which the constitational dificalties now existing could be settled.
There were thirty-four who voted for this motion. Five deelined to vote either yea or nay, and among these is the nanfe of the honorable member for Cornwall. (Hear, hear.)

Hon. J. S. MACDONALD-It is laid down that "he that is not with you is against you." (Hear, hear.) I will tell you why I did not vote: I did not charge my honorable friend from South Oxford with deceiving us in anything. He said he had a paper in his hand which contained the basis of the arrangement. ISe may have told as the whold of it, nod I did nöt say it was bis iotention to mís lead us: But I was not satisfied, notwith. standiug the excellence of his memory that lee should come with 3 docunent in his hand, tud, instead of reading it the the mecting, undertake to give us varbally the substance of it. I did not lite it att all, and when I refased to vite, it is cloar I was not ia favor of it:

Hov. Ma. BRUWZ-Did you saj anything agaiust it:?

Hov. J.S. MACDONALD-I expressed my opinions to my friends aronnd me,

Hon. Ma BROWN-Did jou address the metting arainst it?

HoN, J. S. MACDONAL.D"-There was n; une in adiressing the meeting-there was such a rust ib carry it. (Laughter) Now Mr. sereska, my huozable ficed the Hon. Attoreyy lieneral West, in his usual style of alta' hiter the House, after cyading the real point ja diseussim-that of the propriaty of fotpriws thit mater to the peoplo-weat off as anther task, aud on seceral tacks. II neper witaksod a more axeraciating lashing then he cultuinixtered to the honorablumeniber fir Her. "He ridiculed the whole of the therether geateman's mothon. But ho adHiturtered one consolation whioli, no doubt, the honarabe gecteraan found to be palatable. He suil $\rightarrow$
There to moe thing after all-though my hon. friend cion Peet is mistugen in every particular -thourh, nowithstandine his constitutional lore, and ubity, ind cloquence, and everything which conathugate a statestarai, he hats done evorything wrong- yet there is one thing ho has dune righe -he hay inserted in tris motion the words "ceuratitutional nuole."
These words have ia then a peonliar charm in the estination of the Hon. Athorney General

West, who ought to shoty us where he has found, in the practica of the English Parliament, a seheme of this kind introduced, then he might say that the honorable member for Peel is wrong, but when he brings in a measure that is at viriance with English principles and peactice then. I think we are at liberty to try to finl wass and menas for submitting it forthappraval of the people. If it is parliamentry usgo for the Government to come down to this house, and, with the assistane of their pulitical. supporters, suddenly to chang ot Cunstitation, and take avay our liferthot, thers, fotsioth, areiwe not to take our omir curreets to blether or not Fe shall ast that ther meature shall be referred th time who wat as hare? The Hon. Attorney Gesera, Yat souts the idea of our being delvatedinty to root under the Constitution that we bive. He forgets that when we nita laws under our Constitution, we can equethem otrolves at any dime; but when me uake ia Comatitution, and lave it ratified by the Impran thoserament, it does not lie in, our jumer th change it by a simple resolution of thit Honse. Plo daete strongly on his beher that we were the repro tative men of cuif constutuctest abe that through us the poople hat a wige in this House. Well, it we, weren legislating for oarselves; and for odr wera peonle, under our Constitution as is "standa, then I aitmit that we would be fully juttifel is earying out any scheare that we might derm essential for the wellare or the profine at hage, or for any portion of 1 , Mut when tho exrries that
 vote for fantos maturo of hit hindwhich wit aflect whe qrevition as well a

 ablo kight." (Her, bexry. What can he

 Why, they trabsond contitutiones law io Sova Scoti, or onght to andertand it as wed as we de. But what we print to Sous Sotis; Ministers tell us that that proviges dos not make laws for ut.

Hos. Ma. Mctife-They dynk kuw hatf as much.

Hon. J. S. Macpoxalb-Well, the Honorable Miatster of Agricultate has been down there, and it is a pity that hat heturesand
 insernetion, (Lanetiber) At ull evata they seem to apprectate the peaition in which the

the people of New Brunsirick; sir, are they so far behind the age as not to understand how to manage their orn affirs? We went down to ask them to assist us to get out of our difficulties, though this object was, at first, soniowhat disguised. When they bergn to realize that it was to swe us that the Conferepee took place, and wis not organized for the parpose of benetiting them, the people of that province, if not the Government, refused to recognise and support the procedings of the Conference. Now; if we had not the fact of the Lower Provinces havingesereised their rights and privileges, we stould have no chance whaterer to appeal to precedents. And if the loyal poople and goveraments of the Lower Provinces-peoplo inho areone day declared to be loyal, and the next apuexationists and under Aneriean intluencery according to their being for or asaing this schemie-if they do not know what ye their rights; or in what waner to deal with this project, 1 think we bad beter theh then. I cannot. help, however, terhith the contietion, after the sharacter given (f then by the How. Minister of Agrtumater chat it is just ns Well we havént rink thint (haghter) Hut if, on the roithary they are an intel. ligent pooples, und puador an intelligent Government, and bat bovernment ians adopted the coumsion rutring that measure to the peopte, how can it be inproper tor us to ddvocate the smo thing is Canada? Who are the hon. genthement that arrogate to themselves tho right of belling in that We cannot exereist war privilegres in this House, in roting in meh a manner as we think best and post eatiucive to the interesta of the peot, whera wo rumtent? Those honomaby qealeman tell ins that tho motion of the hoy. etemher for Peel is a mane entronditary isee, in the face of the fact that the majurity un the eme samentiomen were voded put if onish by thit Huaso only a short timen ago, and that sivec then no appeal has been wade wo the peoples There would be wothieg pery strange if they were voted dut again: (hary hear) The Hoo. Attorney General told the how menter for Pech, thouget toot in mo many words, that he did not know what he west hout $\hat{a}$ ated in the course of the same wyecel remarked that if he wanted an argumientative. clear-headed, methodical and tbte steweh, he could not have chosen a better wne than that delivered by the hon. member for Pest. Now, I think that that is owe of the coolest recuarla 1 have ever heard from an attoriey general in this

Hoase. He rejoiced that the speeeh bore so strongly in opposition to the views of this side of the House, and then states that the hon. gentleman did not really know the effect of his own motion, "If the hon. member for Peel thinks that is flattering, then, I must say that he is easily satisfied. Thie hon. gentleman, lowever, I think, made out a very good ease in favor of his amendment. His language may not be such as we have frequently heard in this House, during the past fow days; but it is such as we geuerally hear on the hustings. When honorable gentlemen appear there previous to their election, they have no hevitation in saying that, if elocted, they will go to Yarliament for the purpose of carrying out the wishes of their constituents." I am sure my hon: friend from Peel has often, and warmly denounced the invasiou of the rights of the Church of Eogland; the Clergy Reserves were being secularized, and I well rèmember that a motion was made in this House to the effect that before that measure should become law, it ought to be referred to the people; though that was a measure that only concerned our own internal affirs, we thil not hear, at that time; a word about the unconstitutionality of refarrigg it to the people. The Homorable President of the Couneil has often declared that no government should be supported which did not pledge itself to bring in a measure for grantivg reprasentation according to populatioa; but it is infinitely worse to support a governmen't which proposes to take away our Constitution, and at the esame time deprive the people of having a voice in referrence to it. My view of one of the duties of a representative is this: When'a man goes voluntarily before the peuple, and tells them that he will vote againist such and smoh measires; and then comes here and votes in the contrary direction, it is his duty to resign ; for no man of spirit rould stand up in this House after violating the promises he had made to the people. My hon. friend the Hon. Attorney General West says it is not constitutional to take a vote in the way propiosed by the honorable member for North Ooianto: Sir, 1 am as anxious to maintain the Constitution of this country as any one can be; bat when a motion of this nature coues ap, I dare not how the vote is to be taken, it shall have my eupport. The hon. sentleman has violated the British Constitution in bringing in this measure, and as the has
done so, I am prepared to vote-in any manner in which the expression of the people can be had uponit, before we legislate away their rights and their Constitution. I am astonished to find that there is such a desire on the part of the members of this House to oppose the motion for submitting the questiun to the country. It is said that there is something behind the scenes to account fur such singular conduct: Df these we get entiopses from day' to day. Some of those reasons are patent to every one. One of those is that the Coalition which has been formed out of "the most incongroous materials, is supported by the greatest medley of politicians anybody ever saw. Of course, it is to be supposed that we will bave añ election before another year is out. Members now supporting, the Treastry benches, with some of whom 1 have worked for nany years, have suffered very much from expensive. elections through which they have been called upóa to pass in that period. 1.know it is very unpalatable that thoy should have to go back again for reellection, after rotin' here agaiust the express wishes of their constitueats.: Vnder this Coalition arrangement they evidently expect that they will be able to go to their constitucncies aud be returned by acchantion, becanse the two extrumesabandoniag their old priaciples-coalesced. But it is a vain hopersie. . Let the elections. for North Ontario and the toma of Niagara tell how uafuuded is theexpectation.: The failure of the Hon Provincial Secretary was the first failure, and I may here say that I was sorry to see the breach: of faith committed towards him on the part of tha conservatives of that riding; but 1 am happy to see that he has gat over it very counfortably by obtaining another county, which I hope: he may long be sanbled to. Keep. Notwithstanding this, however, they yet cling to the vain hope of a triumph when they next go before the people; but I am very much mistaken if the indigiant voics of several constituencies will not urge romestroing candidate against each of them, nor have I a doubt that the fact that these geatlemen endiavor to securo themselves from going to the poople tow by viting away the Constitution and the rights of the people, will furnish many of theni an opportunty to find their political graves. (Hear, hear.) Sir, it has been my misfortune to have been pearly nineteen years of my political life in the cold shades of opposition, but I am stisfisd to stay an infinitely logger
period on this side of the House, if that shall be the effect of my contending for the views thich I bare just expressed. I have always believed that 1 was herg for the parpose of representing the constituency which sent me, and not for the purpose of misrepresenting them. If $L$ were satisficd that I did not properly represent my constitnency on any leading question coming before this House, I would scorn to sit here a moment longer than was absolutely pecessary, until I could do so by their approval. But, sir, are there not mémbers here who know full well that their conduct has been condemned by their constituents in the most unmistak. able manner? And yet these hon. members rise up and express their virtuous indiguation at our contending that the people should have a voiee in reference to the adoption of this new Constitution.

Mr, SCUBLE-Do you mean suy honor. able gentleman from Upper Cavada?

HoN. J. S. MACDONALD-Well, if the honorable gentleman will tell mo that there are none from that section of the country when the cap will fit, then I will say I do not mean any sueh.

Ma. SCOBLF-I do not myself know' of any.

Hon d. S. MadDONaLD-Win, Mr. Speakera," I do not dedite to be personal. and, therefore, I shall mako no pointed references; but I see a number of gentlemen betore me whow, I think, the cap will fit admirably. Sir, I think it is most monstrous that this lluase shouid refase the peoplo an opportunity of expressicg themselves before their Constitution is taken avay from them. I an delighted that I have the opportuatity of votiag for this mation. I vote for it because it is in accordanee with the expres. gion of deration to the ioterests of the people, hich every honorable quember feels when standing before bis equstituents. He has no hesitation, thes, in declaring that the will seek to represcat their views, intead of seeking to accomplish other objects than those which ho has been delegated to protrote. If there has been ove queation miory than andither before this llouse, for the las: quarter of a ceatury, upon which the views. of the people aughe to be clearly and dit. tingtly asceftained, it is upon this proposa! to dextroy our Constitution ; and if gentle men will vote against it, khori Ihope that at the nest general elcetion, 110 people will pass such judgtuent of ser them as will prevent any such seheme civer beigg pro-
posed in any British Colonial Legislature, without the sanction of the people, daring all future time. (Cheers.)
Ma. T. R. EERGUSON said-I have listeded very attentively to the discussion on this question, and it is certainly most singalaf, as well as amusing, to hear the different riems that have been expressed upon it hy the advocates of the amendment. The hou: member for Peel, I am cettain, felt that his resolution was the most consistent and reasonable one that could have been well introduced on the subject: The hon. member for North Ontario, who seconded the motion, also, no doubt, thought it a véry seasible one. I listened carefully to the argaments of both, and I find that they supported the motion from very different points ot siew. The hou. wember for Peel made a stron; speech in favor of the scheme of Coufederation which has been adopted by this House, and : he said he introduced his "auctian" for the purpose of having the people vote upon it, and with the expectation tbat they would carry it by a very large majority. Tho hoa member for North Ontario took a very difereat view of the effect of the resolation, stating that he seconded it because he belience 'it : rould result in defeating the Cuatederativin seheme:

Mr. M. C. CAMERON-I think the hon number is quite nixtaken. All Isaid was that I wished the people to have an apportunity of expressing. themselves; so that we might ascertain whether or not they would prefer it so a legistative union.
Ha. T. R. PERGUSON-I beg wy hon. fricua's pardon; but that was not the object and aim the hon. gentleman had in viev in secouding tha motion. If his objeck" was not to have the achemo rujected, then. I catanot underitand his language at all. I selfom agree trith the wiens of tho Hon. Yresidene of tho Conacil; bat on this uecasion, I thiak ho poiated out the inconsistcucita of the hon. nomber for Peel ia án excelleut mander. Bat thero are a Eew mure lef untouched; to somo of which I will brielly allude. Tho hone member for I'vel declared that his motion was deaigned to secure the approval of tho people, and that it mould result in their appsoval of the iofrmation of is mew mationality. The secouder af the metion supported at becanse it was designed to necure tho coadetunation of the selicue, and prevent as from obtain. iog that new mationdity. "The hoo member for Peel stated that he was in favor o

Riaving a dissolution of Parliament, so that a constitutional expression could be had through a general elcetion. Now, for my own part, I doubt whether, if there were a dissolution of this House to-morrow, we would get a full, true and fair expression of opinion from the people at all. I believe that side-issues would creep in in every case-that the Conservative party: would hang together in most instances, and the Reform party do the same, and that numerous local questions would interfere with the results" sought to be obtained. My kon. friend from North Ontario declares himself in favor of having a direct vote of the people. Were it not that that is declared an unconstitutional method, I should say it was the only true course to be adopted, because it is the only way of properly testing public opinion on any one measure: (Hear, hear.) Now, sir, the hon. meniber for Peel is one of the cleverest.men in the pruvince, but I must say that riy experience with regard to his movemetts in Parliament is that he does not extribit pimsolf in anything like so clever or suceessfal a manner as he does when pleading at the bar. I have never seen him takiog a prominent position in this House, and playing his part in that position in a suecessful manner. If he had moved bis ameidedment before the resolutions were adopted, I would have been able to have given him eredit for sincerity, if not for ability, in adrocating it; but after the resolutions lave been passed, be brings up an" amendment to 'another motion that is evidently hostile to the resoJutions. Well now, let us take a look at the assertions of the hon. nembgr for Coravall; and I think we will be able,fo see the inconsistency still more clearly. The position he takes is, that if these resolutions are referred: to the people, and are by then yoted down, every honorable meniber who voted for them in this House must immediately resign his seat. Now, sir, what would be the result of that prineiple as affeeting the hou. member Who moved and the hon. member who seconded the amendinent now in your hands? Why, sir, instead of haviag them both on the floor of this House to carry out the views of the people, one of them certainly must leave, if the views of the hovorable nember for Cornvall are carried out. I think every houorable gentleman must seo clearly that whatever way you view the positions taken by the mover and secouder of this amendment, their course bears a contradiction on
he very face of itw. And what wonld be the rasult of a general election? Those tro bonorable gentlemen, holding such dissimilar views with regard to the motion upon which they have agreed, would go to the country pulling different vires. The honorable member for Peel will use his best endeavors to iofluence public opinion iu such a manoer that it will ratify the resolutions in tarior of a Federal union, while the hon. gentleman who seconded the motion will ga to the people with the very reverse iden. So you willind these two hon. gentlemen, who have joined so cordially to bring this "motion betore the House, will disagrec' on every point the moment after it would be carried, and cause the utmost confusion anong the people: I cazinot understand the matter at all. I do not see how they can defind their consistencies, either before this Houso. or betore the country. $\therefore$ There was not a single word said by the Hon. President of the Council or the Hon. Attorney General West with reference to the inconsistency of those two hon gentlemen, to which every ooe in this House will not cordially assent. We voted by a large majority, the other night, ta favor of thove resolutions. I was in favor; when l came here, of having the question reterred to the people; and I only wish that such could be yet done; but when I came to uaderstand the emergeacies by which we were surrounded, and sam that we were threatened with the thess of the Reciprocity treaty and the bouded system, in addition to the cuatinuation of the pasport system, and wers also threatened with the putting of American gunboats on the lakes, and without access to the seaboard except upon and by sutferance of the United States Govornament, I came to the conclusion that it was impor. tant tor as to take such seeps as would procure, in the shortest manner possible, the assistance of English money, English soldiers and Eaglish gamboats for our defence, and that, therefore, there was the most urgeat necessity for sending sume members of the Toverament hume to England, to bring those resolutions before the Imperial Parliament during the present session, and making sucti arrangements for our defence as it seems we must make. These were the reasons why 1 voted for a set of resolutions which, I am free to confess, I would not otherwise have supported. Hariug voted for them on Yriday night, along with a large majority of the members of this House, with the full ozpece naembers of this House, with the bul ezpech
ation that everythiag was to be hurried
through, and the session brought immediately to a close, so that the leading members of the Goverument could go on an important mission to the Mother Country, I anderstcod the hon: member for Peel to have voted with. the same understanding. And what are we told now? Why, that there is no neeessity for haste in the matter at all; that there ought to be a neve election, occupying two months at least, before a return could be made. But is it serionsly proposed that daring all this time ric are to remain in a defenceless state, and without any prospect of having any for another ycar? Why, the honorable gentleman must seo that the proposal bears such a contradiction on the very face of it, that he ought to withdraw it: These resolutiops liave been passed by this House, or they have not been passed at all. If they havo been adopted by the Parliament of Canada in a constitutional; way, then is roting for this motion: we would be only stultifying ourselves, focking our congtitu: ents, and insulfing Her Majesty, for we would be putting eurselves in the most false. and inconsistent position in which the representatives of any people ever placed them. selres, on this continent. (Hear, hear.) I know the honorable nember for Peel laid down the doctrine before he voted for the rejolutions, that they ought to go to tho people before their final consummation, and to that doctrine every one must issent ; bit When he voted for the resolations, is we all did, on the ground that there ras a necessity for their immediate adoption, I say it is clearly cantradictory for hiu to bring op this motion atter the resolation has beea carried by so large a majority: I am sorry that he has thought fit to briug furward this motion at this stage of the proceedings, and 1 must say- and ann sorry to have to say it too-that I think he has aecotnplished very litele good for his party or for his constituents, sidee he has been in Parliachent. (Hear; hear.) I voted for the resolations because I saw thero was a necessity for doing so, and after having thus voted ca Friday night, I am not going to pulify that vote on Monday night, by supporting the smendurens Which the honorablo me mber hais proposed, more to gratily his own notions, If fear, thad to do the country good: It has been saidand very correetly said, I think-that if'a new House mould be elected, the members of that. House would have to diseuss the matter over again, and take another tote upon it. The honorable nember for Corn
wall seems to degire that the question should be referred to the people, not by means of a general election, but in such $a$ manner as to haye a direct yea or nay apon it. Well, sir, if that mode were adopted, and the schene were not sustained, most of the meinbers of this. House ought to go home at once and resign their seats. And what then? W6y, sir, new elections vould have to take place to fill the vacant seats, and the summer would be nearly gone before, we should have' ret taras. Wo should theu havo to explain mations to the newly-elected members in urder to convince them that the measure is all right, and in all probability möre than sis months would transpire before we could record our vates upon it. (Hear, hear.) I thiak it would be wost neadrisable to allow the motion now before tha House to be applied in either way. But, gir, I 'must gay that noless the arrangements ia respect to the local goveraments are mado satisfactory to the peopte of Upper Canada, I shall rote to cast thiom overboard, But when I' look at. the fact that the honorable gentlemen who compose the Goverument are the ablest which both political parties could furnish, and went together with tho approval of the large unajority of their political followers, I think it is ade our place to relieve thear from the responsibility now resting upoa them, of carrying pour this measure in a manner that I hope will prove sacisfactery to the people. If we took it out of their hands, we would be assuming a responsibility that properly pertains to them; and for my part, I am willing to leave the rexponsibility on thair shoulders at the present time. If they will not do what is right for $u$, I shall take the liberty of recording any vote against them, and thus give thear a practical expression of my opinion." (Cheers.)
Hon. Ma. HUNTINGTON said-l do not propose to oceupy the attention of the Ilouso by any leagthened remarlis. I think it is most singular that mo many honorable geatlemen on the floor of this Houso should teel so deeply and be so anxious to discuss the subject upon which sueg great unanimity is said to prevall. It is strange that hon: gentiemen should ba so fall of the fire of speaking, that half a dozen are jumping to their feet at onco to catch the Speater's eye. (Laughter.) At a provious stage of the debate, I noted a number of pointaion which I desired to make soine remarks, but I forbore. There are a few of them; bow-
ever, that relate to the question before us and that, I think, ought to be brought under the notice of this House, I did not think it surprising, sir, that the Hon. President of the Council should be the first to put in his oar this eveniag, on behalf of the Government: He is supposed to belong to a party that is deeply sensitive to public opinion, and the honorable gentleman himself has had some intimate relations with public opinion in Upper Canada for several years past; while the Ionorable Attorney General East, who sits beside him, so far from caring about consulting the views of the country, is reported to have said at the dejianer at Montreal that be did not consult anybody in making up his mind with regard to anything.

HoN ATty Gen. CABTIER-That is quite correct. I do not consult anybody in making up my mind:

HoN Mr. HUNTLNGTON-I say that the Hon. Attorney General East can afford to sit there and-I woa't say despise-but disregard the viers of the people, and arrogate to himself the right to know what is. better for the people than they can possibly know themselves. Nat the hoi. member for Sonth Oxford, when he came to put in his oar fairly, surprised me. I filt that he was catirely too severe upon uny honorable friend the member for Peel. It was easy to see that the stroug feeling of friendship for the Governament which he entertained prevented him from making his argument tell in tavor of his position as strongly as he might have done. I admired the cloquence which ren-: dered bis speech so acceptable to the nembers of this House, but I felt that he was atraid of offending his friends in the Government. Ho seemed to select those hammers that would give the lighteat blows, He ad. mitted that if an appieal to the people were taken, the honorable geatlemen on the Treasury benches would conyoback to their seats with, perhaps, even a more numerous following than now. Thete was oue point of his argument that has romained unanswered. He gaid that in view of the position which the Confederation scheme oceupied in the Lewer Provinces, and in view of the strong expression in its favor given by this House, there was no further need of haste - no neeessity for pressing the resolutions further until the people should be consulted. 'Tuat position has not been met, and cannot be met. (Hear, hear.) Sir, it is absurd to stand up, now, and declate that there is a panting, and a
hungring and thirsting among the people for haring this scheme' put into immediate operation: I do not mean to say that the scheme has not been talked of among the poople, but the hon. member for Peel, who has been extensively abroad among the people, has told us that there is the greatest apathy in the publie mind; but; sir, that apathy does not exist among the people alone. I state it fearlessly, sir, before honorable gentlemen, without any fear of contradiction, that the greatest apathy exists in this House itelf. I have seen the rotes of honorable gentlemen counted in favor of the scheme, whom we all know have no faith in it, but who have been drawn into easting their vote for it by former party lean-ings- (Hear, hear.) Having come to the cooclusion that something must be done, and this being the only thiag they had an opportunity of doing, they pecorded their votes for it.- The faithlessuess of the people has been well represented. Mr. Speakei, while the great leader of the Reform party tinds it necessary to stand up here and throw dust in our eges, by trying to make it appear that the people, to whose touch he has been so seasitive in times past, ned not now be consulted, the Honorable Attorney Gencral touk a differeat coorse. He was asked for precedents, and told us that when viohint oonstitutional changes had been made in England, the precedents for the course proposed by this motion were founded. CH talked to us about tio noion of I reland in 'ouncetion with wheh the career of Pirt -a career that was distinguished in Britain, but which ' was of' sueh a character that, though signalized throughout Europe, it yet produced a reaction that caused Eugland to lall back in the race of national progress for many years. The result of his cuurse was such Lat some of the brightess names on Euylish history left the returme principles to which they had been attactied, and coaneeted them: selves with the Pitt party, and the tame will be the result here of the gane now being. played by honorable gentlemen oppaite. This is the precedent. which, in a British einastitutional country of the ninetcenth ceatury, is brought up and used as a whip held wer our backs. Why, sir. we have no French revolutions at this day. But they - Ity we have an American revolution. We are tuld by Ministers themgelves, and by specakers, under their cheers, that we have-to choose between this soleme of Confederation and anneration to a neighboriays republic, and they talk to us as it there was no time to lose -that one or other will be accomplished
impuediately. - How do we küow bus it may happen while our Ministers are gone to Eng. land, and that when they return they will find the flag of the United States floating over their conntry: Sir, there is nomore danger of any: thing of that kind happening now than there was "when this Government was formed last spring. When the honorable and gallant taight at the head of the Government wis called upon to form an Administration, snd brought his Goverament before the House, he did not then hold up to is the danger of invasion, uuless tre "supported his Governmeat. The Government did not then inform uis that if we did not form a Federal union we would be annexed to the United States. All thess threats on their part have grown out of aceidents that hase happened to their policy since last June.. But, Mr. Speaker, the game that is being played now is one that cannot but provoke a conservative reaction in this. country.. Do honorablo gentiemen believe that' it is really for the best interests of thicountry that so many hooorable gentlemen, who entertained reform views, are found voling to do away with the elective principle in the constitution of the Legislative Council-a principle that has been held saerel. in the cyes of so vast a number of the people of Upper Canada, that to accouplist it has been the battle-ery of many honorable men in titues past, ab tope disce ownica if The Honorable Attorney General, wis the leader of his party, -may look with favor upon the conservative reaction which seems to await us. Wo cai afford to go back to that dark period of Eay lish constitutional history, whea Toryism, profiting by the ustable polities of Vrance, ruled England for fifty years, crated the pablie debt, and stitied the progness of free opinion. It is from this period that the Hou. Atiorney General guotes prevedents, against an appeal to the peoplo-a dark period, in which the righte of the people vere sacritioed to a want of taith in them. Stall we copy such examples? Shall we attempt to hold up the terrors of the Ayerican war-the dragded ingtability of Auerican institutions - to frighten oursetves into dread of our own people? Shall we copy the reactionary athesers of the timestif PITs, to the extent that we refuse to consult the people upon the great revolution propused here? (Hoar, hear.) The peoplo were surprised by the political tarthquake which took place here last apriug. They mere astonighed by whiat took place, but they were told that there vas wo risk for them; that it was necestary for the defence of the country that theso neen should come
together. And now, when the proposition is brought before this House to place the matter before the people, we are told that there are certain precedents against such a conrse, such as the union of Scotlond and the unionof Ireland, which 1 am sure nust be particularly stroug in the view of my friend the Honorable Minister of Agriculture. We are told that we minst accept this scheme at once rithout a moment's delay, or it would slip through our fingers. I feel, sir, that this is a point which ought to be made- that the grourd on which this motion is opposed; that the people having elected their representatives they have a right to look to then. And if chis country was annezed to the United States, if this Parliament is sapreme, if it is able to upset one Constitution, why not another? The doctrine is a neve one. It may bo fortified by strong precedents, but it is not-fortified by constitational practice in this eountry-it is not fortified by the opinion "of the people of this conintry', which is, that - the ex presentative is not elected to frame its: Constitution. It is said by the honorable member for South Oxford that a number of dections have taken place, and that the people are in favor of the scheme. But what elections have'taker place? There have been a few for the Upper Ilouse; buteven the hon. mem-: ber for Sothontario, a gentleman whe comes in Cor a Ministerial constituency represented fornierly by the present Vice-Chancellor of Upper Canada, even that honorable gentieman is defeated and anothor gentleman is elected in his place. That honorable gentloman is the first fruits of the elections, and he comes hiere and tells us that he pledged himself to his constituents that this subject should be appealed to the people-and more than that, te tells us that they were afraid he would support the fovenitient on the details. It is an unhappy' day for this country when it is found necessary to quote preeedents from the most unpopular period in European history in support of the course that is being pursued. (Hear, hear)
Ma JOSEPH DUFBESNE-I did nồ intend to say anything dyon this matter, and I should abstain from doing so, ware it not for as statement made by the thonorable member for Lotbiniere. He stated that partios in Canads upon this question mere about equally divided. I deny thate. 1 know too well sentimetat in Lower Canada: I say that the bulk of the people in Lower Canada look upou an clection as an oath-they whant to use it ouly as"a matcer of vecessity-they look upon it as
an immorality. I know that there are certain parties in Lower Canada in favor of an election, but the buik of the people are opposed to it. There are also a few honorable gentlemen in this House who may he in favor of it, but in my opinion they are wrong. I can class those who are in favor of an election in Lower Canada-these are the Rouges. Under the present circumstances, they say:-"We are only about fifteen or sixtcen; what difference will it make if we loose five or six of our number; and who knows that the States will not come "here 'in our country with a few thousand greenbacks and help us?" (Laughter.) Ionly say this as a supposition. Then the second is the class who sell liquor in the day; - and those who sell without a ligensewho are almost looked upon as public robbers: The third class are the drupkards-those who go on a spree for several days and pay nothing. for it. (Hear, hear, and laughter.) Every one knows that the accounts are sent in and are paid: $\therefore$ Of course these words are neyt applicable to any one in this House; but those are the only ones who are in favor of an election: Tho bulk of the people are opposed to it; they consider it as au inmorality; and if any one doubts that, I wish they would go out into my part of the country and inquire for themselyes. (Hear, hear.)

Mir. A. MACKENZIE-The language used by the hon. member for North Ontario? oa one or two points, requires some notice. Ho boldly asserted that he did not believe that there was any serious ayitation in Upper Canada for constitutional changes. He boldly asserted that un-arrangement could have been made, and that till it was made the people could get on. Now, here is the language he ased some years ago. In his. speech on the Address in 1862, the following occurs :-

I mean to say that the refusal of righteons demands will lead to unplessant and unproftabfe quarrols. The time has come when 300,000 Upper Canadians will be hequrd on the floor of the Honse, and if this is not allowed; the resulis: that will follow will be awfit!

That is the language of the gentleman who has charged us with making" "highfaluting". speeches. I did address the meeting held in Toronto, and a more unanimous meeting, perhaps, never was held in Toronto. I comtined myself eatirely to the matter under discussion, and made no aqpieals of any kind. I. leave that to the hoporable nember for North Ontario himself. Then he states again-in
the debate of 1862 on representation by popa-lation-"No mañ with Anglo-Saxon blood in his veins will submit to the present "state of affairs" "(Hear, Hear:) And yet be" accuses us now of taking hasty action in this matter. "When the Macdon-ALD-Sicotte Administration was formed, he devoted himself to demouncing the Hon. Provincial Secretiary', who was then Commissioner of Cromn Lands. He used all his power in ibusing that gentleman, and he prophesied what the resuit would be when that gentleman'appeared again before the people of Upper Caudar I gave my opinion plainly at the time', and I do not believe that any person' used such stroug language as the honorable gentleman himself: The question had come to be one: that must be settled in oie way or the other. It was quite elear to me fur oue, and to the people of Uppar Caunda, that the tiederation project was the ouly thing we could hate to retedy the state of affairs in which they were placed. (Hear, hear.) But it had forgotien vae point in regard to the Codiuin arrangements being mado to, uarry ou the publicalifurs. When the liber: at nemibers held a meetiog to consider the propusitions male by their Governumet, the hinorable member tor Cornwall attonded and touk an achue part in the basiness of the mettig, sud hedrity approved of the projeet. He deelined, ite is trues, to vote yea or nay on the first resolution, approviag the policy propesed, but that was meroly because the re sulution was se wurded as to expressily approve if Mr. Bnown's share in the perfecting of the arrangeneits made "It is is proved by the terims of the secuad resilfution, which was moved by the hoporable member tor Corpwall himself. (Hear, hear.) The motion was in the followiur termu-". That the proposition for at least threce members of the Opposition eaticrigy the diderameas be aceepted. ${ }^{\text {." }}$. Mr. Macsevite: ot Ladablon, moved in amend-uent-" That the propuaitian for three members of the Opporitiou watering the Cabinet be rejected, ana that the propestion for the settemeat of our sectional difiealtios receiva an outade support." The anendment only received eleven voles; the eloquenee of the nunerable member for Cornwall carried the meteting gainat my resolution. That hourable geatlemat alse moved the third resolathou, is fullows- That it is allinipurtant that Mr. Bhows shouli be oue of the party to enter "the Cabinit." Ouly three geatidmenyik, Hun. Mr. Brown and Meskrs. Berawhu apd Scatcacra-voted against this, Now
sir, if the honorable member was sincere then, how are we to account for his course now? "(Hear, hear.) Was he deceiving us then, or is he speaking against himself now? (Hear, hear.) Considering the part that honorable gentleman had in organizing the Government, it did seem very extraordinary that he should have repeatedly reproached honorable gentlomen opposite with haviug formed a coalition.

Hon. Me BROWN-Héar, hèar.
Mr. A. MACKENZIE-Was it possible that he urged the formation of, the liovernment with a view to destroy the liberaty who had entered it? Felieved then that the best course to pursue was to give the Conservativa Goverment a thorough outcide support. I have always had a strong objection to party coalitions. I felt theu, as I do now, that they may lead to later resultes not fortseen at the time, and all that 1 wished was that the Liberal party should give their support to the Administration for the parpose for which it was formed, that support to cease when the object should be aecomplished. Tho houor. able member for Cornwall thought difterevity, and I do not think it besomes that hon ggatle man to ett up now and denounce there tron: gentemen for the course they have taken: He is not able to say that ho did not vote. He voted as here recorded and with the desire that I havo alrays had to have everything of this kind recorded to prevent future misunderstanding, I took the trouble to have a certitied copy of the proceedingy, trom which I have just quoted. "When auy luon orable member took that course in the cancus, I think he was olearly bound to adhtre to the sama counse: (Hear, hear.)

Da: PaHKYR-Mr. Sphakga, I had some intention of voting for the rewhotuiou in your hauds, sir, until 1 heard the spench of the mover, the hon. nomber for Peol; but that gentloman has quite convinced me that I ought not to do no '(Hear and laughter.) Ho proved most evnclasively that the prom posed Confederation was in itself a tuost satisfactory and desirablo nueasure, and chat the internal state of thete provinees, as wellas the threateniog aspect of froign affics, were conclasive reasons for ita immediateadopition. Defences, ho cold us, were iumediately vo quired, and that they could only be suade effective by enrat uniting the provinces. Acceptiog thiargumeptand otheradvancol hy that hom member, the conclution is that the neeassity for Contederation is imporative and immodiate. But eir, how dews this
agree with the terms of his resolation, if we should adopt it, and this House be dissolved, and members sent to the country for election before the new Honse could adopt the measure? The House of Commons would be dissolved, and the Imperial Parliament could not legislate on it for a year or more-(hear, hear) - so that by the course proposed, the country would still remain, for a year or two longer, exposed to the dangers and difficulties so eloquently deseribed by the hon. member for Peel. (Hear.) If that hon. member had voted against the previons question, and thereby asserted the right to ament or refer the Address before adoption, he could pow very properly ask and claim ons support to this resolation: Bat he not only voted for the previons question, ${ }^{4}$ bat for tho Address, and deliberately ghose the pregent time to make this motion. - Under these cirsamstances, he at least has no claim upon the support of the House (Hear.) Haviag made a solewn contraet with this Honse on the main question, he now tarns round and seeky to upset the arrangemient oof his own making, His course is best explained by a simple illastration. Suppose. Gur or five gentlemen had entered into an amainuous agreement, when one turns round and says," I was and am in favor of all that hay bech done ; but anless you dow: attach this condition, 1 draw back and retire from the arraingement.", (Hiear.) That was conduet which could not be approved either in public or private affairs The position of the seconder of the resolution-the hon. member for North Ontario-is' entirely differeat, Llecause hé, like myself, asserted by his rote on the previons question, the desire to have the resolutions amended. The honorabio nember for Peel told us, veren to-day; that this the consideried the proper tume to place his mation before the House. The resolution itself is highly proper and one for which I would have yoted, had it been made before the adoption of the Address. (Hear.) Nor it is entirely put of place. The hon. member for Norih Outario remarked juat now, in tho coursie or his speech, that this Constitation, if adopted, will soon have to be amended, and therefore, he said, we ought noe to aceept it I intirely dissent from that opiulion. Why, sir, the British Constitution is but a seriess of amiendmentit made from time to time-a growth by suceessiye amend:menta. "the objection of my ton. fiend is. one of the main reasonis why I amp willing to
accept this scheme. I believe it will admit of amendment as time goes on, so that it may be made to meet the changing wants and requirements of the people, My honi. friend from North Ontario referred to the seductive influence of the breath of the Hon. President of the Council, when breathed into the ears of members; and its magical effects in relaxing the knees, and then, sir, he looked, by way, I presume,' of application, "at the hon: member for West Elgin. (Louad laughter.) Now I have alivays regarded the hon. member for West Elgin as one of the most reliable members of this House. (Laughter.) Well, if my honorable friend looked more particularly in this direction,' have nothing to add to the reasons already given in explanation of my vote. The question before me was- "Should we adopt or reject the resolutions?"-and agreeing with the hon. member for Peel that something should be done immediately, I voted for them. I think it would be most outrageons if, after they have been sabetioned by a yote of this Hoase; we wére to nullify them by any subsequent proceedings. If the resolations were to be referred to the people at all, it should have been before they received the sanotion of this Honse." Are "we to turn round to-day and reverse what we did on Saturday last? $I$ repeat, sir, that Ithink the resolutions should have gone to the conntry-and if my opinion had prevailed, they would have been" referred-but not now, after their deliberate sanction by this House; to do so would stultify the Legislature. Our duty is now, in my opinion, to carry them into effeet in good faith, and not stand shilly-shallying - blowing hot and cold with the same breath. I, sir, stand by what' I have done, and by: what this Honse has done, and shall vote against the amendnient of the hoa. member-for Peel-(hear)-who; 1 think, occupies a most inconsistent position. (Hear, hear.) There is anither point, sir, to which I desire to allude: The hon. member for Peel stated that he voted for the Address as a private individual-as he would have voted on the question if out of this House. Now, sir, no member can shield himself under such a subterfuge. No member can separate his private from his legistative eharacter in this Honse. If the esplanation of the honorable member for Peel be the general doctrine and practice of Parliament, I should like to have it uuderstood, becanse there are occasions when it would be very convenient to
avail one's self of it. (Hear.) I look on this motion-I refer now to the hon. member for Peel, and escept the hon member for North Outario-as an attempt to make a little: capital andze expense of nembers who will save its stoporters by voting it down. The hou nember for Peel drew a fearful picture of ur exponed and delenceless state- of the-dark and threatening elud gathering over us-of the nucessity of settipg our house in order by a union of these prosinces. Now, sir, if I half agreed with bim, I should rever think of bringing in an amendment causiog delay and contunged exposure to increasing danger, bu: would gis ia strongly for the adoption of meatures diginst such a state of thigg, the very tirst hur it was possible: This resous tion, from the time at whieh it is moved, sit, shiph be voted dowa tat once. The House awes it ti itself to give it no countenance at this stage of the proceedings. Had it been unved betore the previous question, I would have voted tor it; but as it comes up Where the ad ption of the uaia resulutions, I 'willistaid by the soleman and deliberate action wr have thken-1 will stand the reaponsibility of the House and rote agaiost it (Hear.)

Mh. KYMAL-Bing one of the eight ménbery from Epper Canada Who voted aquitiat the resulutions of the Quebec Tontureace; and whese names, I expect, will toug bo eherished by the peopte. of that section, I présume, Mr. Sprakea, that I'may vite for the ameadncot now in ywur bands, without beiog eharged with mevisintency, as sumé hovorable gentlemen have beed duriox this discussiou. I should not, however, haye risen to aldress you, sir," but for a persutal matter that was drawn intu this debate, in relerence to myself and uy counection, ivish the cuastitanacy I have the honor of represeat-iur enisropreseat, as some people may - Haughter, nad hear, bear ). "Aecordius to the doctrines held by the Hon, Attorney Gearral Weat, it would appear that after I had boen elected to chis Huase, I bave uto buyiness to refer ang marter for decision to or coosult the teelingas of the people who sént mes, but to act I thuaght beat for their interesta. allow me "to disent from, this doctrine; but I have bern iblized to exertise my own judguent, and I have done no houesty, independnatly, and tearlessly, irrespective of the conasequenies that may result to ue, or of the half-uttered threats held vut over me.' These things, sir, have nu influenee orer mes I.
shall pursue the course I think best for ${ }^{\text {e }}$ he interests of my country and of those who sent me hera. (Hear, hear.) It matters little whether I enter Parliament again or not ; but while I retain my pusition as one of the representatives of the people, I shall act fearlessly: (Hear, hear:) I regret that the Hon. President of the Council; when speaking of my constituency and myself, should have seen fit to tant me with and sneer at the narrow majorities by which I hare apon occasions been returaed to this. House.

Hon. Mr. BROWN-Sot at ally quite the contrary.

Me. RYMAL-Bnt it say yes ; for, Mr. SPEAKER, I can distinctly recollect whea an honorable gentleman, hờldtag a high positioth in this Government, was twice actually de-feated-(hear, and laughier)-and I dare say that the support I have given that hotio gentlemana has on some occasions contributed to the parruwness of my majorities, (Heat, hear, and laughter.)

The question was thou put on Hoà. Mr. Casenon's amendwent, which was negatived ou the following division :-

Yeas-Mmssieurs Biggar, Rourassa, Cameron (North Ontario), Cazneron (Porl), Caron, Cuu pat, De Boacherville. Duriou (Drummond and Arihabaska), Durion (Hochelaga), Dutresine (lbiter: yelle), Fortier, Gagnoa, Gaudet, Geodifica, Gitbes, flolron, Hoode, Huatingion, Joly, Labrecher Vizer, Lairamboise Lajoie, Maedonald (Cornwall, Macdonald (Toronto West), Murrison, 0 Halloran;-Patuet, Perrauls, Poutiot Row (Prince Edward), Rymal, Seatcherd, Thibandeaid, Wallbridge (North Hastinge); and Webt- 35 .

Narm-Messieurs Abboth, Alleym, Archamo beault, Ault, Beaubice, Belloroser. Blaucher, Buwmat, Howa, Broqudeau, Browt, Borwell, Cartiog, Aitorney Genotral Cátion, Cartwright, Cauchon, Chapais, Cockbura, Comelliser, Cowrin, Currier, Deais, De Niverville, Dichisen, Duckets,
 (Huson (Fruatenac), Fergusois (South Sime Galh Gaucher, Harwuod, Haultain, Higzingong Homland, Havt, Irvine; Jaclisoa, Joneat (South Leeday, Kright, Langevio, Le Bocuthlier, Attor: ang Genmal Macdonald, Maetrarlane, Maclienaio (Limbton), Mackemaie (North Usford), Magell, Yctunkey, McDoagall, Mofee, HeCiverine Me
 Papo, Poulia, Roapore, Powell, Raymsud, Bémill Lard, Robitaille, Row, Rosa (Champlainh, Aus (Tutudas), Seobte, Sbanty, Smith (Rast Duithamin Staith (Caronta East), Somervilte; Stirtoin, Street, Sylvin, Thompata, Tremblay, Walzh "Wulla, White, Willan, Wood, Writh (Ontawa County),


Hon Mr fiOLTON I have an amendment to offer, sir, which I trust will not be found open to some of the objections taken to thet last one by the Hon. Attorney General West. . I will read the motion, sir, and offer but a few temarks upon it, for it is not my purpose to detain the House myself, or to provoke a lengthy debite. I move, sir:-
That all the words after "That" be left out, and the following inserted instead thereof:-"the said resolation be referred to a committee of the whole :House, in order so to amend it as to express the earnest hope of this Honse; that any Act founded on the resolutions of the Conference of Delegates held ai Quicbec in October last, which may be passed by the Imperial Parliạment, will not go into operation until the Parliament of Caniada shall have had the opportanity of con: sidering the provisions thereof, and shall, after the next general election, pray Hor Majesty to issue Her Royal Proclamation' to give effect to the same."
SEvERAL HON. MMBBEHS-That is the saine thing as the last amendment.
Hon: Ma: HOLTON-Hon. gentlemen state it is the same thing, but they will see that it is not at all the same. This resolution does aot propose to contradiet or go back upon the previous action of the House, bat to supplement it by asking that any act passed by the Imperial Parliament, founded on the resolations of the Conference, nay be submitted to the House and to the country previons to its adoption. (Hear.) Mr. Spraker, this is a matter of great importance, bet I can now only state the purport of the resolution; for, as I maid before, I am not about to provote a debate. This whole uster proceeds apon the theory that the" people of Canada desire a change in their Constitution. Of coume we know that the supreme sovereignty resta with the Imperial Parliament-of courso wo tnow that the power to change our Constitution and remodel it in any way resta there-but we are proceeding on the assumption that the Imperial Parliament will aequiesce in our desire for a ohange, and in the nature of the change desired. Well, sir, the gentlemea on the Treasury benches, having the comfidence of the majority of this House, and presumably the confidence of the najority of the people also, are going to approach Mor Majesty's Government in Eagland and ask them to mubmit to the Ituperial Parliameat a change in the Constitation of this country; but, sir, these geptlemen have adt oxplained to us precisely how these gesolu: tions are to bo tranglated into an Aot of

Parliament-they have not explained to us which of these resolations are to form past of our new Constitution, and which of them are to be carried out in some other way. But, Mr. Spraker, it, will be of the last importance to the people of this country to know what their Constitution really is to be before its fiñal eractment: (Hear, hear.)
I would recall, sir, especially to hon. gentle men from Lower. Canada, the experience of the past in reference to this matter. In 1852 Mr. Hinok's Govcroment earried through this House an Address in favor of a change in the constitution of the Legislative Council. They sought, by that Address, g change in the Union Act; operating : $a^{\circ}$ change in the constitution of the Legislative Council only. But insteade such a change in the Constitptional Act as was desired oy the House, power was given to the Legislature to effect such a change, and along with that, the two-thirds clause of the Union Act was repealed-nobody to this day knows how. (Hear:). What assurance have wo thenwhat assurance can we have-that a similar ovent will not occur now? Hon. yentlemea from Lower Canada mast have a yivid recollection of our own very recent experience in constitutional changes.: The change actually made in the Uoion Act in 1802 was one which was deprecated by all the re presentitives from Lower Canada-by the entire people of Lower Canada-and was brought about in a way which has never yet been satisfactorily explained. Well, sir, presuming that the people of this conntry are making for themselves a new Constitutionrecoguising the power of the Imperial Goverament to effect any such change as they may deem fit-but also recognising the wellknown desire of the Imperial Parliament to meet our views in the matter-1 propose that this House ahall pray, in this Address, that any act founded on the resolutions of the Conference which met in Quebec in October last, may ouly be patin force on the prayer of both branches of the Leigislature of Canada. With these few words explanatory of ny resolution, I place it in your hands. (Hear, hear.)

Hon. Mr. DORION-I desire, as I did with reference to the amenduent of the hod. member for Peel, not to discuss at leagth tho motion of my hoi. friend the member for Chateauguay, but simpl ${ }^{\circ}$ to explain the object of that motion in a few words, The Hoase has juat rejected an maendment; asking that an appeal should be made to tho people of
this province before the Imperial Government is asked to legislate on the Address of this House. Now, the object of the amendment proposed by the hon. member for Chateauguay is to ask that the Constitution adopted in. England may not go into force until it shall have been submitted to the Legislature of this province; after the next general election and until an Address shall have been adopted, asking that $i t^{\circ}$ be put in force. We ask the Imperial Government to-day, by the Address which has just been adopted, to submit to the Imperial Legislature an act for the Confederation of the British North American Provinces. If, in place of Confederation, the Imperial Parliament were to establish a legislative union of the provinces, I ask those honorable members of this House who protest against a legislative union how they are to present themselves before their clectors-after having refused to consult them-if they also refuse: to declare that they deaire to consider the measure again when it is brought back to us, after passing the Imperial Parliament? All we ask by this motion is that the act which is to be passed may be submitted to our Legistature, and ratitied and approved by: this House, before it is definitely put in force -in.short, we ask to be allowed to refuse the new Constitution it it should pot guit us. We must not forget what occarred in 1850 , when we asked the Imperial Parliament to change the constitution of the Lexislative Council; and to render it elective: Let it not be forgotten that they gave us a measure dif. ferent from that we had asked for. We were, it is true, empowered to render the Lexisia: tive Council eleotive, but, at the same time, a clause was struck out of the Aet of Vion, which clause declared that the basis of the re presentation ${ }^{\text {in }}$ the Lequislative Asembly could not be changed without the conearrence of 'twothirds of the nembers of the Legiethe. turé. And with that favt staring as in the face, what assurance have we to-day that the Iniperial Government will not give us a lo. gislative uvion, with representation based upon population, ia phace of a Confederation? What is to prevent them frome changige the Fighuse relative to the Lesegislative Connoil, and applying to it also the principle of rejresertan tion based upou population'f (Hear, hear.) Well, it is with a view of avoidiog the passi. bility of any change of that nature that. we now propoce this amendment. There are maty hon. mecubors of this House who fear thatin view of the refiasal of the Maritime Provinces to ansent to this seheme, Eugland may
give us a Confederation of the two Canadas. And I ask-when we find the Ministry telling us, över apd over again, that it is absolutely. necessary to effect a constitutional change, that the matter is urgent, that even one week's delay cannot be given, nor the time to discuss the amendments we desire to propose to the scheme; that they must have a measure at once, otherwise the mpat dreadfol evils must ensue-I ask, is it to be fancied. for one moment, that the Iuperial Govern. ment will consent to force the Lower Pro vinces into Confederation. And what is to prevent that Government from changing the scheme so as to make it applicable to the two Canadas alone? (Hear', hear.) Here is what will happen, or at all events what may very well happen; when our Ministers reach Eng: land, and urge upon the Imperial Governineat the necessity for a chagge in the Constitution as regards: Canada, that Goremment, seeing that the Lower Provinces do not desire Confederation, will pass a ueasure for the Com. federation of the two provinces, leaving to the Marinime Provinces the right to coter that Confederation whenever they thiak proper. That is very possiblo, and the only way to provide against such a contingeney is to ad. dreser Mer Majeaty, praying thatiany Lhiperial mensure, relatity so coustututional changer, may not take effect until it shall have beea submited to, and thall have received the ratification of the Leginatare of Comada. (Hear, hear.)

Hov: Atty Gen (IARTLE - Mr. Sreakes, in reply to what the honorable meniber for Hochelaga has jusi said, I shall merely teil honotrablo meabers of this Hoase that they peed not take alarm at the appre heasions and predictions of that honorable geatlemañ. I have already declarod in my own name, and ou behalf of the Loverument, that the delegates who go to Eughand will accept from the Ituperial Guvernment no aet but ona based on tha resolutions adopted by this House, and they will not briay batk any: - other. (Hear, hear.), I have pledged my word of hodior and that of the Governueat to that effect, and I trust that my word of hoous will have at least us much weight with this Hausand the country the appreheasiges of" the hogorablut mexnber for Hochuinga. (Cheers.)
 had intended giving the reanob for the course I way taking prior te the last roter, wand as I still dosire to explain, the homorablo membar for Chateauguay has given mis an opportunity
of doing so now. (Hear, hear.) "It certainly did require some courage to undertake to vote against the last amendnient- $\mathbf{a}$ resolution which seems fair enough on the face of it. It first I was almost disposed to acceept it, and it was yot until I discovered its real bearing that I deternined to vote against it: Honorable gentlemen will remember that, before the adoption of the resolutions, 1 was desirous that an appeal should be had to the people prior to the consummation of the vast scheme which they announce; and with that object in view, my vote is recorded against your raling, Mr. Speaker, on the appeal from yous decifion at the time an amendment was oflered prior to their passage. This House baving sustained you in the opinion you pronounced, nothing was then left for me, is one of the representatives of the people, but to decide whether we should adope the policy of Coafederation or ignore it. (Hear, hear.) The latter I was not disposed to do, and the Coverament received my support on the final vote taken; declaring a uniou of the British Auerican Provincess to be advisuble. These resolutious haviag beca passed; we are now called upon to pass an Address to Her Ma'josty founded upan them. - To this Address, - ant not to the resolutions, the honorable nemi-- ber for Feel offered his amendunat, and to support that wiuld have been the ignoring of uy tormer vote, the declaring an Address dififerent from the very resolations upon which that Address is to be tounded, tha nacrifieing of a great political metheme for the support of what might unexplained bo consighed a popular and legitinate motion, but which was in lace a motiou subversive of the resolutions, sad valuelens, save as a incans unfairly to be used as a weapen on a hustiugs. (Hear, hear.) If the honarable membur fur Peel desired this acuenduent, and huviorable members of this Howe, myenti" anongat she number, under gtuod ho whas intrusted with the carse of it, why did he nut, an old nember of this Hyuse, as one coúversamat with its rules and its usages, bubuil it four our consideration prior to the Honorablo Attorney Geaeral moving the "previons question,": and at a time when, by your ruling, Mr. Speakeia, it weuld have heen admisible. (Hear, hear.) Had he daue so then, mumbers coull have voted upon the merits of the amendicat. Now that the nesclations aro passed, that privilege has ceased." After ull the difingulties whiot. have arisen in tho management of the public afiaiss of this country; which have existed so long, and which, I may bay, have brought
about the present Coalition, it was necessary that some alteration and some amendment should be made. We could not longer procead amidst the conflicting sentiments which pervaded this House; in the government of a people whose feelings were becoming as hostile as their representatives were antagonistic. And I ask what other solution acceptable to the two Canadas was to bo had; what better scheme was to be adopted than that here submitted? (Hear, hear.). The question of Confederation is no new theory, so far as I am concerned it is a plan whioh, during the short time I have taken an interest in public affairs, I have always felt disposed tw support, as tending to our development as a country, and our indeperideuce and influence as a people; and after the declaration we made by our votes a few minutes ago, namely, that these resoluticns should be adopted-after hearing too from members of the Government that they are not now prepared to submit to apy alterations, and finding that the effect of not passing this Address, founded upon them, wonld virtually be to throw us baict into the state of political chaos from which we have just emerged, I find another reason why I cannot support this or any other amendment. (Hear, hear.) Looking at the matter apart from these amendments, 1 am, with a reference to the past and a regard for the future, obliged to copnsider this question in a milizary as well as in a political and national point of yew, and cannot but deem its consideration in this respect a necessity-placed as we are on the borders of a nation whose citizens are versed in the use of the arms with which their legions are now dealing death in the feld-isolated as we are from the nation to which we owe our allegiance and which guards our- rights, but whose acts might occasipn our invision, and subject as onr territory is to be the battleground in the avent of a difficulty between Hugland and the States-it behoves us to combine our individual strength, give weight gnd coneeneration to our isolated influences, and thus eandle us to join effectively with the Mother Country and repel with vigor any acts of hoatility that thight be taken against us. It is not by the continuation of things as they were, or by the renewal of the econflicting felings which have existed betiveen Upper and Lower Camadu, that we are to addstrength to our arms or lustre tó our name; it is not thus we are to develope our resources and give us the revenues: requisite for our defence; it is not thus wo are to become a people capable of elfgoverinment and welf-defence, should

England ever leave us to our own resources, and sever us from her list of colonies; but by the cementing of our local relations; by the coucentration with us, under one government, of the vast territories of the North-West and the peopled provinces of the east, with one community of interest and one object of design, we will be enabled" to place ourselves in a position in" which we could maintain our independence of̂́ a foreign power, perpetuate our connection with Great Britain, and preserve our allegiance to its Sovereign; and should the time come when a severance of these relations should be requisite, the British people of Aneriea will not be a crippled chain of powerless and defenceless colonies, but a rast nation, with its sturdy farmers tilling the soil of the vast west, and its daring seamen gathering the wealth of its seaboard fisheries in the east, the one ready to defend our hearths at hone, while the other protectis our rights at sea, and both ever willing and able to stand by Eugtand in her hour of trouble and in her work of good. (Cheers.) I feel that now is the time for taking such steps if ever they are to be tiken. If ever there was an occanion when it was necessary to remove the hostility existing: between Ipper and Lower Canada, and cement their friendships -if ever there was time when it was prudert to streagtheg ourselves by union with the o her provinces, and place ourselyes in a positionisof defence, it is the present, and I speak; I think, the seatiments of all Upper Cauada, certainly of all that section of it where I reside, whem I say that there is but one feeling there in reference to this matter, and it is fisorable to this proposed arrangement, ixvorable to this plan for the union of the provinees.' (Hear, bear.) Besides; Mr. Speakes, though mome of the details are objectionable; I aia not prepared to risk the low of the prineiple which is admitted in these resolutions, which is one that gives to Upper Canada what she has dernanded for years, and gives it whether the other provinces. accede to it or not; it is the recognition by Lower Canada of Upper Canadats riyhtejto in : incrased representation; it is the acceding of that whieb Lower Canada has ever heretofore relused to grant, and I cannot, in cavelling at these details, which may hereafter be modified, jeopardise the attiriment of the greater object and sacrifice that which is here insured to as. (Hear, hear.) Agaia, notwithstanding tho deciara: tion of my honorable friend from South Has tings; that this measure seals up the North.

West, I think, on the contrary, that it adds to the prospect of opening ap that vast terirtory. Before long we shall gee population extending over these vast plains, across the basin of the Winnepeg and the ralley of the Saskatchewan, and thence to Vancouver, and all the sooner if this measure be adopted, supplying as it will a.government for the encouragement of its settlenient and the protection of its' settlers; for the country is as fertile and productive as our own province, and its domain as wide. To the north-west there lies beneath Rritish sway, bat as yet all unreclaimed, a vast and varied territory, the mineral and agricultural wealth of which no man olan estimate, and the future producte of which none can conceive-a territory offoring the emigrant the choice of its fertile plains, and the miner the wealth of its hidden riches. Here, then, is the poliog which teads to the settlement of this vast territory, the dovelop: ment of its immense resources, the opening up of its ineshaustible mines, and with it the creation of a new people, the establishment of increased revenues, and the extension of British infuences and British power on this continent. "And while developing our resources in the west, it gives an additional outlet for the products of that living miae of teeming wealth in the east-our fisherien" the proteotion and encouragement of whith is as necessiry as their wealth to us is inestim. able. (Hear, hear.) Believing, too, that this scheme mill tend alike to our internal reforia and improvement as Capadians, nand thequieting of our political hostilities; that is will give as a larger tield for our labon and an additional market for our productar that the conncetions in trade whieh it will procare will effect for as onhanced revenuen and in: ereased commerce, I, as a Canadian, amp willing to adopt it even in this local and selfigh sense; but I also see ina it a broader polios with a wider field open for our coergien and ciar capital-it is the firit step towands ea tablishing on British torritory a highway from the Pacifio to tho Alantie, and thus procuring for as the carrying trade of Asia and the Yast with all the enriching revenues which it vill insure and the labor it will employ. In short, Mr. Spriakme, it is tho poliey of a great colonial cosubination, effeetive alider in civil purnuits and military detence, adding strength to the Bmpire and influenoing the destinies of this groas coutinemb. For these reasong I voted agraiast the last amendment, and for the same rersonas 1 am prepared to vote ogainat this one also. (Hiear.)

The House then divided on Hon. Mr. Holton's amendment, which wa3 negatived on the following division:-

Ysas.- Illessieurs Biggar, Bourassa, Cameron (North Ontarios, Caron, Curnellier, Coupal, De Boucherville, Dorion (Drummond and Arthabaska), Dorion (Hochelaga), Dufresne ilberville), Fortier, Gaidet, Geoffrion, Gibbs, Holton, Houde, Huntingtou, Labreche Vieer, Laframboise, La juie, Macdonald (Cornwall), Macdonald (Toronto West, Morrison, O'Halloran, Paquet, Perrault, Braual, Scatcherd, Thibaudenu, Tremblay, and Wallbrideg (North Hastings).-31.
Naris. - Messieurs Abboth, Alleyn, Archam. beath Autt. Beaubien, Bellerose; Blanchet. Bowman, Bown, Broussedu, Brown, Burwell, Carling Atty. Gea. Cartier, Cartwright, Cauchon, Chapais, Corkburn, Cowan, Currier, Denis, De Niverville, Dickson, Duckett, Dairespe (Montcalm), DungGird Evanturel, Feryason (Frontenac), Galt, Gaucher, Harwod, Esultain, Higgnson, Howcand Jackstoni, Joues (South Leeds), Knight, Langevit, Le Routilter, Atiy Gen. Macdonald, Mactrarlane, Mackenzie (Lamibeon), Mackenzie ( Marth Oxford), Maill. YeConkey, McDouzal, MeGeo, Yelatye, MeKellar, Morris, Parker, Pisomneault, Popre, Poulin, Poupore, Powell, Raymond, Romillard, Robitaillo, Rose, Rosis (Chaxpliain), lloss (Dundas); Ross Prince Falward), Scoble, Shanly. Smith (East Dirthän), Smilh Torurto Eath) Somerville, Stirton, Street, Bytrain, Thompson, Walsh, Webb, Wells, Whiter WIllon, Woot, and Wright (East York).-99.

How S. SACDONALD- 1 rise, sir, to propose another amidndmeut. (Sigas of impatience: I I assure the House that I never. kaew a ueasurv of auything tike this import:ineo go: through with so fow atteupts to amendit. Nor do I rise for the mere purpose of putting my aunendmént on record, for I do feel that the vioms. I am about to express, atd which I havy ever hold since I have been a member of this Hozse, may not comaend thenselves to any cunsiderable vumber of tron. wembers. I have no desire that tho righte of the Roman Catholic minority of Upper Canada shall be abridged, nor that the rights and privileged of any other denowi. nation shall be interfered with in any respect. But I wish hon. members to bear in mind that the experience we have had in this, country-not to allude to that of the neigh. boring States proves that a denial of the right of the majority to legislate on any given matter has alvays led to grave conv, sequences. I need only mention the Clersy Reserve question. This, it mask be recol. lected, was forbidden to bor aegislated upon by the Union Aos; yet if was the cause of fieree strife and legislarion for many years.

The original Constitution of the United Statcs prohibited the question of slavery from being interfered with by Congress; yet an agitation for its suppression was early commenced," and has at last terminated in civil war. (Hear.) The agitation of the Clergy Reserve question produced a rebellidn in ' pper Canada. I say, sir, that by making a constitutional restrietion io respect to the schools of the minority, "we are sowing the seeds from which will in the end arise a serions cjuflict, unless the Constitu. tion be ameqded. The minority will be quite safe on a question relating to their taith and their educativin in a colony under the sway of the British Crown; but if you expressly withdraw that question from the control of the majority the rights of the ninority will not be safe iti either section of the province, it you distrast the action of the majority. It is our duty, sir, to see that a question which affects us so deatly as the education of vur ehildren-a question which has before now orented up little exēitement io Upper Canada - hall not be withdrawn from the tanagement of the Local Legistature: We vaglit not to deprive them of a power which: they will want to exeroise, just beaause they are deprived of it and provoke a desire on their part to alter the system. You may rely upon it other roligions bodies will be sure to protest agaiust any particular creed having special rights, or an exclasive monopoly of certain privileges, whatever they may be. I should be astonished if any one in this House would say, either te thie Protestant minority in Lower Canada or to the Roman Catholic minority in Upper Canada-"Youare not to trust to the justice wi the majority." Have they ever known a country whero the majority did not control affins, and whore the minurity had not to submit? Does not the majority rale and the miuority submit in Englant and in France? I have never heard of any state where this was not the case.. The minority is safe against undue encroachment on its rights, and I am willing to trast to the sease of justice of the majority in Upper Canada to preserve the religious and educational liberties of the Roman Catholios of Upper Canada. I am now getting somewhat advaneed in years, and I am the more ausious to put my opinions on record, because before long I shall have the satisfaction of saying, though perhaps not on the floor of this House, that I protested against resolutions hatended to prevent the free expres. sion of opibion by the majority of the people
of Upper Canada, and the exercise of a power which ought to be intrusted to them. My amendment is :-
That the following words be added to the original motion :-"And that it be an instruction to the said Committee to consider whether any constitational' restriction which shall exclude from the Local Legislatire of Upper Canada the entire control and direction of edncation, subject only to the approval or disapproval of the General Parliament, is not calculated to create wide-spread dissatisfaction; and tend to foster and create jealousy and strife between the various religious nodies in that section of the province.?
It hon. gentlemen think they are going rontencethe bitter feelings which have been engenderd in Upper Canada in consequence of the attempt to wakj permanent a certain pistem of education, they are much mistakn; and I desire to hase the expression of the opinion of the members of this Honse on the subject, whether the think that the restriction in the propoved Constitution I have mentioned is calculated to bring about harmony, and whether it is not better to tet the Catholics of Upper Canada and the Protes: tants of Lover Canada protect themselves, ot rath er trust for protection to the sense of juitice of their filluw-bubjects. (Ilear.)

Mr: A. MACKENZIE-Sir, haviat alrialy veited for the whole of these rexolutipusay part of the seheme, I cannot have any hesitation in votigg against the ataendmeat, but in doing so I desite to explain uy position. (Hear, hear.) If the bon "menibe: fir Connall (Hio. J. S. Macbonalid) had show the sake zeal ajgaiast the separate sehoil system when he had the power to prevent legislation ou that subject, he would hate sived himelf und the party which kept him ia power son troable. ' It secmas corious that he who was so ansious to proerote the separate school system then should now be anxious if quite anothar dirretioio. (Hear; hear.) This ean only be done for the purpose of party strife, to put as auany of us Upper Canadians be bean in a faleo poeition; but I an uply tell hit that f, having strugeted as much as any one to prevent legislation send. ing to breale up our comaneo sehool syatems: and having tonad ay efforta utterly in: effectual, do not see that pur puition woald be any worse if the pemplutions are carried into law. (Hear) I formerly stated that I thought the separitu sehool system would not prove tery disastrous if it went no forther. I do not now think thay
will do mach harm, if they remain in the same position as at present, and therefore. though I am against the separate school systom, I am willing to accept this Confederation, even though it perpetuates a sumall number of separate sehools. (Hear, hear.) Uuder the present legislative union we are powerless in any mivement for the abrogation of the separate system; it is even very doubtful if we could resist the demands thr ite exteusion." (Hear, hear ) We will not be in any worse position under the new system, and in one respeet we, will have a decided ad vantage, in that no further change can be niade by the separate school adrocates. We will thas substitute certainty for uncertainty: I deeply regret that the honorable usember sheuld have thought it necessary for any parpore to move this resolution.

Hon. J. S. Macbonalis's amendment was then uegatived on the following dixi-sion:-

Yex́s-Mestieurs Mizgar, Burwell, Macdonald (Cornwali), Macdonald (Tofonto West) Rows (Prince Edeard). Bymal, Scateberd, and :Wall: bride (North Hastings) - -

Nax-Messicurs Abboth Alleya, Archambeisul, Ault - Beavbien, Hellerose, Blanethet. Bourasias. $\cdot$ Howman, Down, Broessyau, Brown, Cameron (North Ontaris), Cartion, Caron, At umaer. General Cartier, Cartwrixht, Cauetop; Chapaia, Cockbura, Corraollier, Coupal, Cowan, Curfier, De Buwherville, Depis, De Niverville, Dielson, Durioa (Drummand and Arthabaskaj, loasion (Hochelagn), Dackett, Dafreso (ibervillog, Duifresie (sionticalm), Dausford, Evanturel, Fegru on (Frontenact), Forier; Galt, Gaucher, Gaudei, Geofriou; Gibbs, Harmood, Gesultain, Higeinson, Holtom, Haude; Howland, JJine (South Leeds), Kaight, Labreche Viger, Lafrain: boise; Lajibit, Langevia, Lo Boutillier, Ayy. Gea. Maedonald. Mackeazio (Lambtób), Mackerizio (North Uxford), Magill, MeCunkey, Melosugall, Mcice, MeGiverio, Melatyre, Mckellar, Morris, Morrison, Plqueh Parkor, Portault, Pionouneant, Poulit, Poupore Puwell, Raymoad, Roduillard, Robitaille, Rose, Rose Chauphia), Ross (Dundas), Scobse, Shanly, Snith (Eart Darhamn, Sazith (Toranto- Eiarty, Somevillt, Ssirtion, Syivain, Thompucu; Tremblas, Walah, Webb; Wells, White, Willion, and Wood- 95 :

Mr. Boubabra then moved in amend uent :-

That the following werds be alded to the original mution:-" Rud that it he an mastruetion to the maid Comanittee to provide that the Romain Catholie minority of Upper Canada hé placed on the same footing as the Protestant minnsity of Laver Canada, ander the loceal gowemnentut. of the Confederation of the Provinee of tyetish North Amorica."

This was negatived on the following divi: sion :--

Yess-Messieurs Bourassa, Caron, Coupal. Dorion (Drummond and Arthabaska), Dorion (Hochelagn), Dufresne (Iberville). Fortier, Geof: frion,' Holton, Honde, Labreche-Viger, LaframLeise, Lajoie, Mncdonald (Cornwall), O'Halloran, Paquet, Perrault, Pinsonneault, Rymal, and Syl-vain.-20.
Nars:-Méssieurs Abooth, Alleya, Archana. beailt, Ault, Beaubien, Bellerode, Biggar, Blanchet, Bowman, Bown, Broussean, Brown, Burwell; Cameron (North Ontario), Carling: Alty. Gen. Cartier, Cartwright, Canchon, Chapais, Cockhura, Conellier, Cowan, Currier, De Boacherville, Denis, De Niverville, Dickson, Duckett, Dufresue (Montcaluy), Dansford, Evanturel, Ferguson (Frontenac), Fergason (South simeve), Gult, Gaacher, Gaudet, Gibls. Harwood, Haultain, Higginson, Howland, Junes (South Leods), Knight, Laqgevin, Le Boutillier, Atty. Gen. Macdonald, Mucdonald (Toronto. West), Mackenzie (Lambron), Mackenzie (Morth Oxford), Magill, McConkey, MeDouzall, MeGee, McGiverin, Melatyre, MeKellar, Morris, Morrison, Parker; Pow tin, Poupore, Powell. Raymond, Rémillard, Ro hitaille, thise, Ross (Champlain), Ross (Dundas), Russ (Prince Edward), Scatcherd, Scoble, Shany; Smith (East Durham), Smith (Toronto East), Sumervilte, Stirtor, Thompson, Tremblay, Walf beitge (North Hastinus), Walsh; Wells, White, Wilsoa, Wrood, and Wright (East York).-83:

The main motion was theu agreed to on a division, and a select committev appointed aecordingly.

Hon. Atty. General Macdonald from the said coumittee, reported the draft of an Address, whigh is as fallowa :-

## 

## Mose tinatequ Sormantos;

We, Yoar Majesty's most datiful and loyal subjects, the Commons of Canada, in Partiament assembled, bumbly approach Xour Majesty for the purposse of pruying that Your Majesty may bo zracionsly plensed to eanse a measure to bo sab. mitted to the Imperial Partiament for the jurpose of uniting the Colonion of Canada, Nova Scotia ${ }_{5}$ Ner Branawick, Newfonndland, anid Prince Bdeaxd Island in one Government, with provisions liased on the accompanying vezalations, which weie alopted at a Conference of Delegates from the waid Colonies, beld at the city of Quebee, on the tenth of Octaler, 1864: All which we, eher Comumaria of Canada, Lumbly priy Your Majesty to take into Your quaciotis and favorable considerpation.

1. The hess interenta and present and future prusperity of British North America will be pronoted by a Federal Union under the Crowa of Great Britain, provided such union cani bee effected on principles. just to the several Proviaces.
2.' In the Federation of the British North American Provinces, the system of Government bestr adapted under existíng circumstances to protect the diversitied interests of the seseral Provinces, and secure efficiency, harmony and permanency in the working of the Union, would be a General Government charged with matters of common inierest to the whole country; and Local Governmeuts for each of the Canadas, and for the Provinces of Nova Scotia, New Brunssyick and Prince Edward Island, charged with the cuntrol of local matters in their respective sec-tions,-provision being made for the admission into the Union, on equitable terms; of Newfound: land, the North West Territory, British Columbia and Vancouver.
2. In framing a Constitution for the General Government, the Conference, with a view to the perpetuation of our coingection with the Mother Country, and the promotion of the best interests of the people of these Provinces, desire to follow the madel of the British Constitutiou, so far as our circamatances will permit.
3. The Execotive Authority or Governurent shall be vested is the Sovereign of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, and be adminititered according to the well-understood principles of the British Coustitution, by the Sovereina personally, or by the Representative of the Sourregan duly authorized.
4. The Sovereign or Representative of the Sovereign shall be Cumimander-in-Chief of the Land and Naval Militia Forces.
5. There shall be a General Legislatare or Parlianient foe the Federated Provinces, conposed of a Legistative Council and a Howse of Commons.
6. For the purpose of forming the Levislative Councii, the Federated Provinces shall be cöntidered as consisting of three divisions: 1st, Upper Canada; 3nd, Lower Canadá; 3rd Nova Scotia, New Brunswiek and Prince Edward Inland; bach division with an equal representatiou in the I egislative Council.

* Upper Canada shall be represented in the Lagislative Council by 24 mentibers, Lower Canada by 24 members, and the three Maritime Pro: vinces by 44 members, of which Nova Scotia shall have 10, New Brunswick 10, and Prinee Edward Island t members.

9. The Colony of Newfouadland shall be entitied to enter the propised Union with a repre:sentation in the Lagislative. Council of four members.
10. The North West Territory, British Columbia and Vancuaver shall be admitted into the Union on such termis and couditions as the Par-livment-iof the Foderated Provinces shall deem equitable, and as shall receive the assent of Her Majesty; and in the case of the Proviace of Ari. tish Culumbia or Vancouver, as shall be agreed to by the Legislature of such Province:
11. The Members of the Legistative Council whall be appointed by the Crown under the Great Seal of the General Government; and shall hold ollice during life; if any Legislative Councillor
shall, for two consecutive sessions of Parliament, fail to give his attendance in the said Council, his, seat shall thereby become vacant.
12. The Members of the Legrslative Council shall be British subjects by birth or naturalization, of the full age of thirty years, shall possess a continuous real property qualitication of tour thousand dollars over and above all incumbrances, and shall be and continue wiorth that sum over and above their debus and liabilities; but in the case of Newfoundland and Prince Edward Lsland, the property may be either real or personal.
13. If any question shall arise as to the qualifeation of a Legislative Councillur, the same shall be determined by the Council.
14. The tirst selectivn of the Me:nbers, of the Legilative Cuancil shall be madr, except as re gards Prince Edward Island, froin the Legisatatie Cuancils of the various Provinces so far as a sulticient number bet fougd qualified ind milling to serve; such Members shall be appuinted by the Cruwn at , the recommendation of the General Executive Government, apon the nomiation of tho respective Local Governments, and in "such nocuination due regard shall be bad to the clams of the Members of the Legistative Council of the opposition in exach $t^{1}$ ruvitue, so that all polticel parties may, as nearly ys pusable, bo firity repro sented.'

15: The Speaker of the Leyishative Council (uuless otherwise provided by Parliament) shall be appointed by the Cruwn frum amonst the Meur. bers of the Legislative Council, and shall buld office during pleasure, and shalt only be entitited to a casting vote on an equality of votes.
${ }^{16}$. Each of the tuenty four Leyistative CounChuri represeang Lower Canala ta the Leyintio tive Counct of the Genekal Lexistature stall be appointed to represeat uaye of the twhaty iour Electoral Divisions metitioned in Selhedule $A$ of Chapter fist of the Cunsolidaied Statutes of Cis. nada, and such Councillor shall regide or pooseses tus qualification in the Diviston be ts "appuinted to represemt.
17. The batio of Keprementation tin the Hatse of Commona shall be Populatina, as dezertained by the Ufticial Cousan every ton years; and the
 mad as follows:

.B. Until the Offictal Conian of lisil has berni made up," theiere shall be du change the the wumber of Repressentative fram the several sethivas.
19. limmediately tifter the cutoplativa of the Crusum of 1871 , and impediately after every decomuial ceisum thereafter, the Repromentation from each section in the Houst or Coanious shall be readjuated on the basia of Popalation.
20.' For the parpose of such readjustumats,

Lower Canada shall always be assigued sixty. Give Nembers, and each of the other sections shall, at eaich readjustment, receive, for the ten jears then: next succeeding, the number of Members to which it will be entitedon the same ratio of Representation to Population as Lower Canada whil enjoy according to the Census last taken, by hasing'sixty five Members.
21. "No reduction shall be made in the number of Members returned by any section, unlesis its populatiou shall bave decreased, relatively to the population of the whole Unitu, so the extent of tiva per centum.
2.2. It computing at each deconimial period the uncaber at Members to which each section is entilled, no fractiotral parts shall! be consideed. unless when exceediog one-hail the number antiling to a Member, fa which-case a Metalier shall be given for euch such fractional pait.
23. The Legialature of rach Province shatl divide auch Province iato the pruper number of constituencies, sita defiue the boundaries of cach of thea.
34. The Local Legistatare of each Provine uny, from time to tiat, ulter the Hectoral Dis tricts for the purpises of ' leppresentation it suich Local Lugistature, ard distribute the Represent. atives to which the Province ' extitled in sucti Lacal Logishatury, it any manner suefi Legtala. ture nay see fit.
23. The uxaber of Members may at any time be inereased by the General liarlianawh,-regard being had to the propartionate rybly then ex. idting.
26. Until provisius are mado by the Generat Paftianent, all the lawy whech, ai the date, ot the Proclamation coonstitutheg the Untot, ary no furce in the Pruvine reppetavoly, velating to
 son to be' electev, or to sit' or vote an a Heqaber
 Iy, and relating to the qualitication or diaqualit cation of voters, ainf to she vathas to be taken by veters, and to heruynag Olievers and their pow. ers"and dusits,-and relatarig to the proceeditrys at Eliectivam, and to the perind dutivg whath ouch elections may bo caphinued,-and relatity to thic Trial of Conatoverted blections, and ino aproceediags incilect thitseto,-and relatiteg to the
 and exceution of new Writis, in case of any reat being vacated otherwice than by o diselluiua-: abill erapectively apply io ulechivis in: Mombere to merve in tho House of Comemoris, for plates aticiate in thos I'ruvincers respectively.
27. Every Howne of Comacias ahint continus Toe five years troit the day of the returin of the write chicoaiag the katuc, and we feazes; subject,
 by the Governior.
Ex. There thall be a simation of the General

 tervente betwern the basi miting of the Cichatial
 thereurt in the -utat Semion.
29. The General Parliament shall have power to make Laws for the peace, welfare and gond government of the Lederated Provinces (saving the Sovereigats of England), and especially laws respecting the following subjects :-

1. The Public Debt and Property.
2. The regulation of Trade and Commerce.
3. 'The imposition or regulation of Daties of Custonis on Imports und Exports;except on Exports of Timber, Logy, Masts, Spars, Deala and Saiwn Lumber frum New Brunswick, and of Coal and other Minerals from Nova Scotia.
4. The imposition or regulation of Excise Daties.
5. The raising of money by all or any other modes or systens of Tuxation.
6. The borroring of money ou the Public Credit.
7. Ppatal Service.
8. Lined of Steam or other Ships, Railways, Cemals and other works, coanecting any two or nijore of the Provinces together, or extending beyond the lititus of any Rrovince.
9: Liaes of Steamships tietween the Federated Provinces and oiher Countries.
9. Telograph Cotamunication and the Incorporation of Telegraph Companies.
10. All such works as shall, although lying wholly within any Province, be specially declared by the Acto authorizing them to be for the general ad-- vantage.
11. The Censua

13 Militia-Mititary and Naval Service and Defence.
14. Beacons, Booys and Light Houses.
13. Narigation and Shipptay.
16. Quarantine.
17. Sca Coast and Intainel Fishurius.
18. Ferrias bermean any Proviaces and a Foroiga country, or between any !wo Provinces.
19. Carrency and Coinage.
20. Banking-lacorparatiou of Banke, and the issue of Paper Money.
21. Savinga Banks.
22. Weights and Meaurea
23. Bills of Excthatige and Yrominary Notes.
44. Interest.

25: Logat Tender.
26. Banliruptey and Insolvency.
27. Patents of Lavention and Discovery.
23. Copy Bizhts.

29 Indtans and Lands reserved for the Iodians.
30. Naturalization and Afrems.
31. Marriage and Divorce.
32. The Criminal Lam, excepting the Comm: tution of Courts of Céminal Juriadiction, bat inchuding the procedure in Crimimal matiers.
33. Benidering buifoiru all or any of the laws relative to propertz and civil rights in Upper Caviadan Nova Scotia, New

Brunswick, Newfoundland and Prince Edward Island, and rendering uniform the procedure of all or any of the Courts in these Proviuces, but eny statute for this purpose ŝhall have no force or authority in any Province until sanctioned by the Legislature thereof.
34. T'he establishment of General Court of Appeal for the Federated Provinces.
35. Inmigration.
36. Agriculture.
37. And generally respecting all matters of a general character, not specially and exclasively reserved for the Local Goveraments and Legislatares.
30. The General Göverument and Parliament shall have all powers nécessary or proper for performity the oblifations of the Federated Proviaces, as part of the British Empire, to foreign countries, arisiog under Treaties between Greai Britaiu and such countries.
31. The General Parliament may also, frou time to time, establish additional Courts, and the General Government may appoint Judges and oficers thereof, when tho same shall appear necessary or for the public advantage, in order to the due execution of the laws of Parliament.
32. All Courts; Judges, and officers of the several Proviuces shall aid, assist and obey the General Government in the exercise of its righis and powers', and for such purposes shall be held to be Courts, Jadges and oficers of the General Gor ernment.
33. The General Government shall appoint and pay the Judges of the Superior Courts in each Province, and of the Gounty Courts in Upper Camata, and Parliament shill fix their salaries.
-34. Until the consolidation of the Laws of Upper Canada, New Brunswick, Nova Scotia,
Nowfoundland and Prince Edertrd Island; the
Judges of these Provinces, appointed by the General Goverument, shall be selected from their ruspective Bars.
33. The Judges of the Courts: of Lower Canads shall be selected. from the Bar of Lower Canada.
36. The Judges of the Court of Admiraliy now receiving salaries, shall be paid by the General Government.
37. The Judges of the Superiur Courts shall hôld their offees duriag good belaviour, and. shall be removable only on the Address of both: Houstes of Paxliarnent.
35. For each of the Provinces there stiall be an Executive Officer, styled tho Lieutenant-Governor, who shall be appointed by the Governor General ini Council, under the Great Seial of the Federated Proviaces, during pleasure: such pleasure not to be exercised before the expiration of the tirit five years, except for cause: such cause' to be commonicated in writiong to the Lieatenant-Governor immediately after the exercise of the pleasure as aforesad, and alao by Message to both Houses of Parliament, within the first weele of the firse session afterwards.
39. The Lieutenant-Governor of each Province shall be paid by the General Government.

40 . In tudertaking to pay the salaries of the Lieutenant-Governors, the Conference does not desire to prejudice the claim of Prince Edward Island upon the Imperial Government for the amount now paid for the salary of the LieutenantGovernor thereof.
41. The Local Government and Legislature of each Province shall be constructed in such manner as the existing Legislatare of each such Province shall provide:
42. The Local Legislature shall have power to alter or amend their Constitution from time to time.
43. The Local Legislatures shall have pover to inake laws respecting the following subjects:

1. Direct taxation, and in New Branswick the tmpesition of duties on the export of Timber, Logs, Masti, Spars, Deads and Sawn Lamber; and in Nova Scotia, of Coals nud other Minerals.
2 Borrowing money on the credt of the Province.
2. The establishment and teture: of tocal offices, and the appoiatiment and payment of focal officers.
3. Agriculture.
4. Jauingration.
E. Edacation; saving the righto and privi. leges which the Protestamt or Catholic minority in both Canindas may posisesa us to their denominational schoolt, at. the time when the union goe's into operation.
5. The sale and managenent of Public Lands, excepting lands belonging to the Geveral Government.
6. Seet Cuavt and hand bishemies.
7. The establishinent, mainternuce and managenent of Pententiaries, and - Public and Reformatory Prisons.
8. Tho "establishonent, maintenance aud management of Itospitals, Ayytuas, Charities and Eleemosyniry Institu: tions.
9. Manicipal Lastututionas.
10. Shop, Saloon, Tavers, Ruetunerer aid other Licenses:
11. Lucal Wurks.
12. The incorporation of Private or Local Compantes, "xcept such at setate to maters astiged to the General Par. hameat.
13. Property andCivil Hights, expepting thowe portiotis thereat assiftued to the Gea eral Pupliament.
14. Tultcting pariahmens by titue penaliles, imprisoument or otherwisut, for the breach of laws passed m relation to axiy subject within their jurtadiction.
15. The Adroiastration of Justice, ind lading the constitation, maiatenance and urganization of the Courta, both of Civil and Criminal jutidiction, and
including alao the procedure in civil matters.
16. And generally all matters of a private or local nature, not assigned to the General Parliament.
17. The power of respiting, reprieving, and pardoning prisoners convicted of crimes, and of commating and reminting of sentences in whole or in part, which belougs of right to the Crown, shall be administered by the Lieutenant-Governor of each Province in Council, subject to any instructions he may, frou time to time, receive from the General Governient, and subjeet to any provisioins shat mas be made in this behalf by the General Parliauent.
18. In regard i a all subjects over which juris. diction bolongs to both the General and Local Legishatures, the laws of the General Parliament shall controt and supersede those made by the Lowal Legislature, and the latter shall be void soo far as they are repuguant to, or inconsistent with, the former.
46: Both the English and French languagea may be employed in the General Parliament and in its proceedings, and in' the Local Legislature of Lower Canda, and also in the Eederal Courts and in the Courts of Lower Camadis:
19. No lands or property belonging to the (ieneral or Local Govertuments shall be liably to taxation.
20. An Bills for appropiatiug any part of the Public Revenne, or for iupposing nny nave Tax or Irapost, shall originate ia she Hevejo of Comunotas or. House of Assembly, as the cuag may be.
21. The House of Commenis or Honse of As. sembly shatl not origirate or piss any : Vote, Resolution, Address or Bill for the apprejpriation of angy pait of the Public Hevertite, or of auy tax or Lapost to any purpose, trot first tecom. mended by Mussage of the Gavernor Cenern! or. the Litutenank Governor, as the case /may be, during the Session in which saeh Yoter Resolution, Adderess or Bill is passed.
22. Any Bill of the Geemal Parlanaemt may ber reverved in the usial nuanmer for Her Majesty's ansent, and any. Bill of the Local Legislatures miay, in like manner, be zeserved tor the, con qideration of the Governior Genemal.
23. Any bill passed by the General Parlia: meat shaill be authect to diallluwance by Her" Hajesty within two yeary, as in the case of Pills paxsed by the Logiointures of the yaide of rocincem bithertó; and, in tike gusanor, any bill pasted by a Loeal Legighture shall bo subject to disallow. ance by the Governor Clemeral within one yes after the pasaing thereof.
24. The Seat of Government of the Fedorated Provisces shall be Ottama, subject to the Hoyal Prezogative.
25. Subject to any fiture aetion of the respect Live Lacal Governmenter whe Siat of the Lieal Govertment in Upper Canada bhall be Töronito: of Lower Canada, Quebee; and the seats of the Local Governments in the other Provinces shall ba as at preseat.
26. All Stocks, Cash, Bankers' Balances and Securities for money belonging to each Proviace at the time of the Union, except as hereinafter mentioned, shall belong to the General Government.
27. The following Public:Works and Property of each Psovince shall belong to the General Government, to wit :-
28. Canals.
29. Public Harbours.
30. Light Houses and Piers.
31. Steamboats, Dredges and Public Vessels.
32. River aid Lake Improvements.
33. Railway and Railivay Stocks, Mortgages and other debes due by Railway Com: panies.
34. Military Roads.
35. Costom Houses, Post Oitices and other Public Buiddings, execept such as may . be set aside by the General Govern: ment for the use of the Local Leris latures and Covernments.
36. Property transferred by the Imperial Government and known as OrénanceProperts.
37. Armories, Drill Sheds, Military Clathing and Munitions of War; and
38. Lavids mat apart for pablic purposes.

調. All Lands, Mines, Minerals and Eoyalies geted in Her Majenty in the Provinces of Upper Canaila, Lower Cauada, Noya Scotia," New Brunswiek and Prince Edwara Ialand, for the "ge of such Provinces, shall belong"to the liocal thovernment of the territory in which the same are 'so mithatef; subject to any trusts that may exist in ressuet to any of such lands of to auy interest of other persions in respect of the same.
37. All suma due fromp purchasers or lessees of iuch lends," mines or miperals at the "time of the "Uaton, shall allo beloag to the Local"Govern" ments.
38. All Assuts conpected with such portions of the Pablic Debt of any Province assare assumed by the Loed Coverumenta, shall also belong to base Governinents respectively:
39. The several Proviaces shall retainall other Public Property therein, subject to the right or the Geacral Governmeat to assume any Latds or Public Property kequired for Fortifations or the Dotence of the Coaitry.
80. The Genaral Governcient shall assume all thas Dobte ard Liabilities of bach Province.

- 61. The Debr of Canada, not specially assumed by Upper and Lomer Canada 'eeapectiyely, shall notexceed, at the timo of the Union, $862,500,009$; Sova Scotia shail eater the Union with 5 debi not exyeding \$, 000,000; and Now Bruanswiek with 4 debi not exceeding $\$ 7,000,000$.
sis. In cade Nova Scotia or New Brunsvick do ookincur liabilities beyond those for which their Governments's are now bound. atid which stiall "make their dobus, at the date of Onton, less than $\$ \$ 000,000$ and $\$ 7,000,000$ respeetively, they shall be entitiled to intereat at tive per cent. on the gmount not mo inctrored, in like, mamer as is hereinalter provided for Nowfoundiand and Prince

Edward Island; the foregoing resolation being in no respect intended to limit thie povers given to the respective Governments of. those Provinces by Legislative authority, but only to limit the maximum amount of charge to be assumed by the Geueral Gove nment ; provided always, that the powers so conferred by the respective Legislatares shall be exercised within five years from this date, or the same shall then elapse:
63. Newfoundland and Prince Edwaed Island, not having incurred debts equal to those of the other Provinces;'shall be entitled to receive, by halfyearly payments, in advance, from the General Government, the interest at five per cent. on the difference between the actual amount of their respective debis at the time of the union, and the average amount of indebtedness por head of the population of Canada, Nova Scotia and New. Brunswick.
61. In evisideration of the trauster to the General Parlianent of the powers of taxation, an annaal grant' in aid of each Province shall be made, equal to eighty cents per head of the pop ulation, as established by the Census of 1861; the population of Newfoundland being estumated at 130,000. Suech aid shall be in fall settlement of ull future demands upon the General Government for local purposes, and shall be paid halfyearly in advance to each Province:

65 . The position of New Branswick beiag such as to entail large immediate charges upon her local revenues, it is agreed that for the period of ten Years from the time when the Union takes effegt, an additional allowance of $\$ 63000$ per annum shall be made to that Province. But that so loug as the liability of that Province remains under $\$ 7,000,004$, a deduction equal to the interest on such deficiency shall bo taide from the $\$ 63,000$.
-66. In congideration of the surrender to the General Government, by Newfoundland, of all its rights in Mines and Minerals, and of all the ungranted and unoccupied Lands of the Crown, "it is agreed that the sum of $\$ 150,000$ shall each year be paid to that Province by semi-annual paythents; provided that that Colony shall retain the right of opening, constructing and controlling roadr and bridges through any of the said lands, subject to any laws which the General Par: liament may pass in respect of the same.
67. All engagements that may, before the Uniont, be entered into with the Imperial Govern: ment for the idtofoce of the country, shall be as sumed by the Generul Governmeat.
68. The Geueral Governfane shall secure, without deling, the completion of the Intercolonial. Railway from Riviere da Loup, through Now Branswick, to Truro in Nova Scotia.
69. The communications with the North. Western Territory, and the improvements reguired for the development of the trade: of the Grent West with the seaboard, are regarded by this Conference as aubjects of the highest inaportance to the Federated Provinces, and shall be prosecated at the earliest possable period that the state of the finances will permit.
70. The sanction of the Imperial and Local Parliaments shall be sought for the Union of the Provinces, on the principles adopted by the Conference.

71: That Her Majesty the Queen be solicited to determine the rank and name of the Federated Próvinces.
72. The proceedin of of the Conference shall be authenticated by the signatures of the Dele: gates, and submitted by each Delegation to its own Government, and the Chairman is author. ized to submit a;copy to the Governor General for transmission to the Secretary of State for the Colonies.

The said Address, being read a second time, was agreed to on a division.

Hon. Atty. General Macdonald moved, that the said Address. be engrossed, which was agreed to on a division.

On motion of Hon. Atty. General MacDosild, an humble Address was voted to His Excellency, praying that he will be pleased to transmit the foregoing Address to Her Majesty's Principal Secretary of State for the Colonies, to be laid at the foot of the Throne.

On motion of Hon. Atty. General Macbosald; the foregoing Address was orderel to be engrossed.

Ordered, That the said Address be preseated to His Excellency by the whole Houses

Orderd, That such Members of the Kixo cutive, Council:as are Mcmbers of this House, do wait upon His Excellency to know what tine he will please to appoint to be attended with the said Address?.

Hon. Atty. General Macdonaid then informed the Honse, that His Excellency will be pleased to receive the House withits Address, to morrow, at 3,30 P.M.

The House then adjourned.

Tuesdar, Murch 14, 1865.
At the hour appointed, Mr. Sreakere and the House atcended upon His Excellency with the Address to Her Majosty on the subject of the Union of the British North American Proviaces.

And being returiod, Mr. Speakere ro ported that the House had waited on His Excellency with the Address to Her Majesty on the subjeet of the Univi of the British North Auerican I'rovinees to whech His Excelleacy hid been phased to take the fullowiag anywer :-
Mh. Spbakenasy/Genthenks
1 learn with much satisfation that you hava adopted an Addiess tô Mer Majeaty, praying that She will be:pleater to cause a measure to be subuitited to the Imperiat Parliament for sho Union of the British North Aucriean Provinces I whall have much pleasure in transaitting it to the Sceretary of State for the Colonies, io order that it uay be pretepted to the Qoesta:



[^0]:    $\because$ The names of the Electoral Divisions representod by elocted membera are given in Hatica. Lifo members are distinguished by [L] following the name.

[^1]:    That by a courte of legislation alike inoderate, prident aud upright, it will yet be the lot of some present to live and seé the day whe a Canada will be the centre of a noble British North American Confederacy extending from the Atlantio to the

[^2]:    Ef you tako a moand an' diszor is. An go atiok a fellor thru,
    Gup'mónt won't abazer for it,
    God'll send the bill to you'

[^3]:    Under ordinary circumstances he would agree with the honorable member as to striking out the $\$ 12,000$ extra grant for the militiaa; but considering the large sumi about to be expended on this service by New Brunswick, the enormotis ex. penditure of the Home Governmient for our protection, and what they expected of us, he iconsidered the appropriation necessary. Hs, He would be ashamed of the Government if they had not proposed this vote, and be was prepared to stand or fall by it, whe felt that the honor of the country sas at stake.'

[^4]:    That without entering on the deseassion of orture objections, this assembly is of opinion that the delay which moist occur in obtaining the sancAn af the Lower Provinces to a Federal union of all the Bratish North American Colouies, placrs that nemasire beyond coisideration" as a reuedy for present ovils.

[^5]:    - Over and above all these advaintares, we get for ten years ä subsidy of 863,000 per annám. Uur local expenditures summed up amount tis $\$ 320,630$; and we get from the Genieral Govern incht, without incerensed taxation. $\$ 90,010$, in lieu of onr import duty and casual lerritorial revenue, 80 cents "per head on the population. making $\$ 201,637$, and in special sulsidy of
     $\$ 354,637$, being $\$ 34,000$ uner ard abouse oưt. I resent becessitics.

[^6]:    If we look' at the resolts of the free interchange. of produce between Canadaand the United States, we shall find that our trade with them incrensed, in ten years, from less than two millions to twenty millions of dollars. If. free trade has produced such resalta in that case, what may we nut expect when the artificial obstacles which hamper free trade between us and the provinces of the Gulf shall baye disappeared?
    But this fine resuit was not obtained by means of a Confederation with the United States.: What hinders us from having free

[^7]:    * What cokduet could sho hope fess Gagrant From this goid, holy, pious vagraut, Who, 形iug trou his eity's zack,
     Aad leaditis by him hased bla bey, Fhed from the wallit of harntog trey? Ty kiolghtia vifo ho wrualud notetay.;
    

[^8]:    31. The General Pariiameat may also, from time to ume, establish addicional cuurts, and the General Government mus appoins judyes and officers thereof, when the same shall appear
[^9]:    The word marriage has been placed in the draft of the proposed Constitution to invest the Federal Parliament with the right of declaring what marriages shall be hald and dèmed to be valid throughout the whole extent of the Confederacy, without, however, interfeming, in, uny particular with ibe docirines or rites of the religibus creeds to which the contracting parties may belong.

[^10]:    Prrt, taught by the former faults of England in the administration of the United States, and by the zreat example of his father, Lord Chathas, presented to the Hyuse of Commions a bill for granting to Canada a new Constitution, sanctivat: ing the elective pripciple and dividiag the coluny into two distinct provinces, Cpper and Lower Canada. The bill, after undergoing sume ainead. ments (one of which was to increase the repre smataion trom thirty to fifty members). passed on a division in both Houses. The celebrated statest mau Brese, when giving in bje assent to the bill,

[^11]:    The ceremonial on which we are now engaged possesses a peculiar significance and solemnity, because in confiding to you for the first time this emblem of military fidelity and valor, I wut only recognize empliatically your enrollment into vur national force, but celebrate an act which proclaims and strengthens the unity of the varions parts of this vast empire under the "sway of our common Sorereign.

[^12]:    Conceiving that an individual independence of the states totally irreconcilable with their aggregate sovereignty, and thät a consolidation of the whole into one simple republic would be as inexpedient as it is unattainable, I have sought for some middle ground which may at once sup? port a due supremacy of the mational authority, and bot exclude the local authorities wherein they can be subordinately useful.

    Mr. Jay's convictions in favor of central supreme authority are equally strong:' He' says:-

[^13]:    Hon. Auty. Gen. Macdonaly baid "no" The proposition submitied to this House is-That an Address be submitted to Her Majesty, praying

[^14]:    Mr. Speaker, I again guate from the Trade Revies: It tells us that the pro-

[^15]:    An union for common detence against forourn enemies is the natiunal bond of connection that holds whether the great communities af the world, and between no parts of any king tom or state did the necessity exist of such a union

