




**IMAGE EVALUATION
TEST TARGET (MT-3)**



**Photographic
Sciences
Corporation**

23 WEST MAIN STREET
WEBSTER, N.Y. 14580
(716) 872-4503

1.5
1.8
2.5
3.2
3.6
4.5
5.0
6.3
8.0
10
12.5
15
20
25

**CIHM/ICMH
Microfiche
Series.**

**CIHM/ICMH
Collection de
microfiches.**



Canadian Institute for Historical Microproductions / Institut canadien de microreproductions historiques

10

© 1981

Technical and Bibliographic Notes/Notes techniques et bibliographiques

The Institute has attempted to obtain the best original copy available for filming. Features of this copy which may be bibliographically unique, which may alter any of the images in the reproduction, or which may significantly change the usual method of filming, are checked below.

L'Institut a microfilmé le meilleur exemplaire qu'il lui a été possible de se procurer. Les détails de cet exemplaire qui sont peut-être uniques du point de vue bibliographique, qui peuvent modifier une image reproduite, ou qui peuvent exiger une modification dans la méthode normale de filmage sont indiqués ci-dessous.

- Coloured covers/
Couverture de couleur
- Covers damaged/
Couverture endommagée
- Covers restored and/or laminated/
Couverture restaurée et/ou pelliculée
- Cover title missing/
Le titre de couverture manque
- Coloured maps/
Cartes géographiques en couleur
- Coloured ink (i.e. other than blue or black)/
Encre de couleur (i.e. autre que bleue ou noire)
- Coloured plates and/or illustrations/
Planches et/ou illustrations en couleur
- Bound with other material/
Relié avec d'autres documents
- Tight binding may cause shadows or distortion along interior margin/
La reliure serrée peut causer de l'ombre ou de la distorsion le long de la marge intérieure
- Blank leaves added during restoration may appear within the text. Whenever possible, these have been omitted from filming/
Il se peut que certaines pages blanches ajoutées lors d'une restauration apparaissent dans le texte, mais, lorsque cela était possible, ces pages n'ont pas été filmées.
- Additional comments:
Commentaires supplémentaires:

- Coloured pages/
Pages de couleur
- Pages damaged/
Pages endommagées
- Pages restored and/or laminated/
Pages restaurées et/ou pelliculées
- Pages discoloured, stained or foxed/
Pages décolorées, tachetées ou piquées
- Pages detached/
Pages détachées
- Showthrough/
Transparence
- Quality of print varies/
Qualité inégale de l'impression
- Includes supplementary material/
Comprend du matériel supplémentaire
- Only edition available/
Seule édition disponible
- Pages wholly or partially obscured by errata slips, tissues, etc., have been refilmed to ensure the best possible image/
Les pages totalement ou partiellement obscurcies par un feuillet d'errata, une pelure, etc., ont été filmées à nouveau de façon à obtenir la meilleure image possible.

This item is filmed at the reduction ratio checked below/
Ce document est filmé au taux de réduction indiqué ci-dessous.

10X	12X	14X	16X	18X	20X	22X	24X	26X	28X	30X	32X
				✓							

The copy filmed here has been reproduced thanks to the generosity of:




Bibliothèque nationale du Québec

The images appearing here are the best quality possible considering the condition and legibility of the original copy and in keeping with the filming contract specifications.

Original copies in printed paper covers are filmed beginning with the front cover and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression, or the back cover when appropriate. All other original copies are filmed beginning on the first page with a printed or illustrated impression, and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression.

The last recorded frame on each microfiche shall contain the symbol \rightarrow (meaning "CONTINUED"), or the symbol ∇ (meaning "END"), whichever applies.

Maps, plates, charts, etc., may be filmed at different reduction ratios. Those too large to be entirely included in one exposure are filmed beginning in the upper left hand corner, left to right and top to bottom, as many frames as required. The following diagrams illustrate the method:



L'exemplaire filmé fut reproduit grâce à la générosité de:

Bibliothèque nationale du Québec

Les images suivantes ont été reproduites avec le plus grand soin, compte tenu de la condition et de la netteté de l'exemplaire filmé, et en conformité avec les conditions du contrat de filmage.

Les exemplaires originaux dont la couverture en papier est imprimée sont filmés en commençant par le premier plat et en terminant soit par la dernière page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration, soit par le second plat, selon le cas. Tous les autres exemplaires originaux sont filmés en commençant par la première page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration et en terminant par la dernière page qui comporte une telle empreinte.

Un des symboles suivants apparaîtra sur la dernière image de chaque microfiche, selon le cas: le symbole \rightarrow signifie "A SUIVRE", le symbole ∇ signifie "FIN".

Les cartes, planches, tableaux, etc., peuvent être filmés à des taux de réduction différents. Lorsque le document est trop grand pour être reproduit en un seul cliché, il est filmé à partir de l'angle supérieur gauche, de gauche à droite, et de haut en bas, en prenant le nombre d'images nécessaire. Les diagrammes suivants illustrent la méthode.

rata

elure,
à

260.5

D182

I

T

260.51

D182cc

FATHER DAMEN'S
LECTURE.

Thursday Evening, 14th December.

“THE CATHOLIC CHURCH THE ONLY
TRUE CHURCH OF GOD.”

THE FALLACY OF PRIVATE INTERPRETATION CLEARLY PROVED:

THE ORTHODOXY OF THE CATHOLIC RELIGION

ESTABLISHED.

A VERBATIM REPORT,

BY

W. H. WALLER.

—
OTTAWA:

PRINTED BY I. B. TAYLOR, 29, 31 AND 33, RIDEAU STREET,

1871.

*J. C. Gagnon,
Ecol.*

P260.51

D 182 ec

L

“

T


FATHER DAMEN'S
LECTURE.

Thursday Evening, 14th December.

“THE CATHOLIC CHURCH THE ONLY
TRUE CHURCH OF GOD.”

THE FALLACY OF PRIVATE INTERPRETATION CLEARLY PROVED:
THE ORTHODOXY OF THE CATHOLIC RELIGION

ESTABLISHED.



A VERBATIM REPORT,

BY

W. H. WALLER.

OTTAWA:

PRINTED BY I. B. TAYLOR, 29, 31 AND 33, RIDEAU STREET,
1871.

ALBERTA
1914

B. Q. R.
NO. — *

not

ape
the
thu
Go
He
con
be
he
spe
or
fa
It
re
th
he
m
in
in
he
at
di
sc
G
re
sa
or
h
to
b
to

FATHER DAMEN'S LECTURE.

“ He that believeth and is baptized shall be saved, but he that believeth not shall be condemned.”—Mark XVI chap. and 16 Verse.

Dearly beloved Christians !—When our Divine Saviour sent his apostles and his disciples throughout the whole universe to preach the gospel to every creature, He laid down the conditions of salvation thus :—“ He that believeth and is baptized,” said the son of the living God, “ shall be saved, but he that believeth not shall be condemned.” Here, then, our blessed Lord laid down the conditions of salvation : two conditions—faith and baptism. He that believeth and is baptized shall be saved ; but he that believeth not shall be condemned, or is damned, hence then two conditions of salvation—faith and baptism. I will speak this evening on the condition of faith. We must have faith in order to be saved, and must have divine faith not human faith, human faith will not save a man, but divine faith. What is DIVINE FAITH ? It is to believe upon the authority of God, the truths that God has revealed, that is divine faith. To believe all that God has taught upon the authority of God, and to believe without doubting, without hesitating ; for the moment you commence to doubt or hesitate that moment you commence to mistrust the authority of God, and, therefore, insult God by doubting his word,—divine faith, therefore, is to believe in what God has taught, but to believe without doubting, without hesitating. Human faith is when we believe a thing upon the authority of men—on human authority, that is human faith ; but divine faith is to believe without doubting, without hesitating whatsoever God has revealed upon the authority of God, upon the word of God ; therefore, my dear people, it is not a matter of indifference what religion a man professes providing he be a good man. You hear it said now-a-days in this nineteenth century of little faith—you hear it on all sides, that it matters not what religion a man professes providing he be a good man. That is heresy my dear people, and I will prove it to you to be such. If it be a matter of indifference what a man believes, providing he be a good man, why then it is useless for God to make any revelation whatever. If a man is at liberty to reject

what God revealeth, what use for God to make revelation, what use for Christ to send out his apostles and disciples to teach all nations if those nations are at liberty to believe or reject the teaching of the apostles or disciples? You see at once that this would be insulting God. If God reveals a thing or teaches a thing he means to be believed, he wants to be believed whenever he teaches or reveals a thing. Man is bound to believe whatsoever God has revealed, for, my dear people, we are bound to worship God, both with our reason and intellect as well as with our heart and will. God is master of the whole of man and he claims the whole of man, he claims his will, his heart, his reason, and his intellect. Where is the man in his reason, no matter what denomination, church or religion he belongs to, that will deny we are bound to believe what God has taught? I am sure there is not a Christian will deny we are bound to believe whatsoever God has revealed, therefore it is not a matter of indifference what religion a man professes; he must profess the true religion if he would be saved. But what is the true religion? To believe all that God has taught. I am sure even my Protestant friends will admit this is right; for, if they do not I would say they are no Christians at all. But what is the true faith? "The true faith," say my Protestant friends, "is to believe in the Lord Jesus." Agreed, Catholics believe in that. Tell me, what do you mean by believing in the Lord Jesus? "Why," says my Protestant friend, "you must believe that he is the Son of the living God." Agreed again—thanks be to God we can agree on something! We believe that Jesus Christ is the Son of the living God, that he is God. To this we all agree, excepting the Unitarian and Socinian, but we will leave them alone to-night. If Christ be God, then we must believe all he teaches. Is not this so my dearly beloved Protestant brethren and sisters? And that the right faith aint it? "Well, yes," says my Protestant friends, "I guess that is the right faith, to believe that Jesus is the Son of the living God we must believe all that Christ has taught." We Catholics say the same, and here we agree again. Christ then we must believe, and that is the true faith, must believe all that Christ has taught, that God has revealed, and without that faith there is no salvation, without that faith there is no hope of heaven, without that faith there is eternal damnation! We have the words of Christ for it: "He that believeth not shall be condemned," says Christ; but if Christ my dearly beloved people, commands me under pain of eternal damnation to believe all that he has taught, he must give me the means to know what he has taught, for Christ could not condemn me for believing a thing I do not know. Christ is a good and just God, loves us and desires our salvation, and will not condemn us for not doing a thing we do not know to be his will—for not believing a thing we do not know to be his teaching or revelation. If, therefore, Christ commands me upon pain of eternal damnation he is bound to give me the means of knowing what he has taught; and the means Christ gives us of knowing this must have been at all times within the reach of all

people; for, as all people have a right to salvation, so have they a right to the means of learning what God has taught, and believe it to save their souls. Secondly, the means that God gives us to know what He has taught must be a means adapted to the capacities of all intellects—even the dullest. For even those with the dullest of understandings, have a right to salvation, and, consequently, they have a right to the means whereby they shall learn the truths that God has taught, that they may believe them and be saved. The means that God gives us to know what he has taught must be an infallible means, an infallible means, for if it be a means that can lead us astray it can be no means at all. It must be an infallible means so that if a man makes use of that means he will infallibly, without fear of mistake or error, be brought to a knowledge of all the truths that God has taught. I don't think there can be anyone present here—I care not what he is, a Christian or an unbeliever—can object to my premises; and these premises are the ground-work of my discourse and of all my reasoning and, therefore, I want you to bear it in mind. I will repeat it, for on these premises rest all the strength of my discourse and reasoning. If God commands me under pain of eternal damnation to believe all that he has taught, he is bound to give me the means to know what He has taught and the means that God gives me to know what he has taught, that must have been at all times within the reach of all people, must be adapted to the capacities of all intellects, must be an infallible means to us so that if a man makes use of it he will be brought to a knowledge of all the truths God has taught.

Has God given us such means? "Yes," says my Protestant friends, "He has." And so says the Catholic, God has given us such means. What is the means God has given us whereby we shall learn the truth God has revealed? "The Bible," says my Protestant friends. "the bible, the whole of the Bible and nothing but the bible." But, we Catholics say "No; not the Bible and its private interpretation, but the Church of God." I will prove the facts and I defy all my separated brethren and all the preachers into the bargain to improve what I will say to-night. I say, then, it is not the private interpretation of the Bible that has been appointed by God to be the teacher of man, but the Church of the living God; for my dear people, if God had intended that man should learn his religion from a book—the Bible—surely God would have given that book to man; Christ would have given that book to man. Did he do it? He did not. Christ sent his apostles throughout the whole universe, and said, "Go ye, therefore, and teach all nations, baptizing them in the name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Ghost; teaching them to observe all things whatsoever I have commanded you." Christ did not say "Sit down and write Bibles and scatter them over the earth, and let every man read his Bible and judge for himself." If Christ had said that, there never would have been a Christianity on the earth at all, but a Babylon and confusion instead, and never one church

the union of one body ; hence, Christ never said to his Apostles, go and write Bibles and distribute them and let everyone judge for themselves. That injunction was reserved for the 16th century, and we have seen the result of it. Ever since the 16th century there have been springing up religion upon religion, and churches upon churches, all fighting and quarrelling with one another, and all because of the private interpretation of the Bible. Christ sent his Apostles with authority to teach all nations, and never gave them any command of writing the Bible ; and the Apostles went forth and preached everywhere and planted the church of God throughout the earth, but never thought of writing. The first word written was by Saint Matthew, and he wrote for the benefit of a few individuals. He wrote his gospel about seven years after Christ left this earth, so that the Church of God established by Christ existed seven years before a line was written of the New Testament. St. Mark wrote about ten years after Christ left this earth ; St. Luke about twenty-five years, and St. John about sixty-three years after Christ had established the Church of God. St. John wrote the last portion of the Bible—the Book of Revelations—about sixty-five years after Christ had left this earth, and the Church of God had been established. The Catholic religion had existed sixty-five years before the bible was completed, before it was written. Now, I ask you, my dearly beloved separated brethern, were those Christian people who lived between the finishing of the Bible and the establishment of the Church of Jesus, were they really Christians, good Christians, enlightened Christians ? Did they know the religion of Jesus ? Where is the man that will dare to say that those that lived from the time that Christ went up to heaven to the time the Bible was completed, were not Christians ? It is admitted on all sides, by all denominations, that they were the very best of Christians, the most perfect of Christians, the first fruit of the blood of Jesus Christ. But how did they know what they had to do to save their souls ? Was it from the Bible they learned it ? No, because the bible was not written. And would our Divine Saviour have left his church for sixty-five years without a teacher, if the Bible is the teacher of man ? Most assuredly not. Were the Apostles Christians, I ask you my dear Protestant friends ? You say “yes sir,” they were the very founders of Christianity.” Now, my dear friends, none of the Apostles ever read the Bible, not one of them except, perhaps, St. John, for all of them had died martyrs for the faith of Jesus Christ, and never saw the cover of a Bible ; for every one of them died martyrs and heroes for the church of Jesus before the Bible was completed. How, then, did those Christians that lived in the first sixty-five years after Christ had left this earth—how did they know what they had to do to save their souls ?

They know it precisely in the same way that you know it, my dear Catholic friends. You know it from the teaching of the Church of God, and so did the primitive Christians know it. Not only sixty-five years did Christ leave the church he had established without a

Bib
lish
Bib
did
the
Sim
Gos
am
insp
wer
Sim
Gos
the
reg
and
wh
the
wh
cer
sor
cou
Cy
Sim
and
at
Go
Re
Up
kn
the
ou
bo
th
th
dr
Be
B
re
m
ce
te
bo
l
co
co
B
p
s

Bible, but over three hundred years. The Church of God was established, and went on spreading itself over the whole globe without the Bible for more than three hundred years. In all that time the people did not know what constituted the Bible. In the days of the Apostles there were written many false gospels. There was the Gospel of Simon, the Gospel of Nicodemus, of Mary, of Barnabas, and the Gospel of the Infancy of Jesus; and all of these gospels were spread among the people, and the people did not know which of these was inspired, and which false and spurious. Even the learned themselves were disputing whether preference should be given to the Gospel of Simon or that of Matthew, to the Gospel of Nicodemus or the Gospel of Mark, the Gospel of Mary or that of Luke, the Gospel of the Infancy of Jesus or the Gospel of St. John. And so it was in regard to the epistles: there were many spurious epistles written, and the people were at a loss for over three hundred years to know which gospel was false or spurious, or which inspired; and, therefore, they could not take the Bible for their guide for they did not know what constituted the books of the Bible. It was not until the fourth century that the Pope of Rome, the Head of the Church, the successor of St. Peter, assembled together the bishops of the world in a council, and there in that council it was decided that the Bible, as we Catholics have it now, is the Word of God, and that the Gospels of Simon, Nicodemus, Mary, The Infancy of Jesus, and Barnabas, and all these other epistles were spurious, or, at least, unauthentic; at least, that there was no evidence of their inspiration, and that the Gospels of St. Luke, Matthew, Mark, and John, and the Book of Revelations, were inspired by God and the spirit of the Holy Ghost. Up to that time the whole world, for three hundred years, did not know what was the Bible; hence they could not take the Bible for their guide, for they did not know what constituted the Bible. Would our Divine Saviour, if he intended man to learn his religion from a book, have left the Christian world for three hundred years without that book? Most assuredly not. Not only for three hundred years the world was left without the bible, but for one thousand four hundred years the christian world was left without that sacred book. Before the art of printing was invented, Bibles were rare things; Bibles were costly things. Now, you must all be aware, if you have read history at all, that the art of printing was invented only a little more than four hundred years ago, about the middle of the 15th century, and about one hundred years before there was a Protestant in the world. As I have said, before printing was invented books were rare and costly things. Historians tell us that in the 11th century—eight hundred years ago—bibles were so rare and costly that it took a fortune, a considerable fortune, to buy oneself a copy; it took the lifetime of a man to make oneself a copy of the Bible! Before the art of printing everything had to be done with the pen upon parchment or sheep-skin. It was, therefore, a tedious and slow operation—a costly operation. Now, in order to arrive at the

probable cost of a Bible at that time, let us suppose that a man should work ten years to make a copy of the Bible, and earn a dollar a day ; well, then, the cost of that bible would be \$3,650 ! Now, let us suppose that a man should work at the copying of the Bible for twenty years, as historians say it would have taken him at that time, not having the convenience and improvements to aid him that we have now ; then, at a dollar a day, for twenty years, the cost of a Bible would be nearly \$8,000. Suppose I came to Ottawa and said to you my dear people save your souls, for if you lose your soul all is lost. You would say, "sure enough, that is true ;" You would ask, what are we to do to save our souls ? The Protestant preacher would say to you, "you must get a Bible ; you can get one on Sussex Street, at such a shop, so many doors beyond Murray Street." You would ask the cost and be told it was \$8,000. You would be likely to exclaim, "the Lord save us ! and cannot we go to heaven without that book ?" The answer would be, "No ; you must have the Bible and read it." You murmur at the price, but are asked, is not your soul worth \$8,000. Yes, of course it is, but you say you have not the money, and if you cannot get a Bible, and that salvation depends upon it, evidently you would have to remain outside the Kingdom of Heaven. This would be a hopeless condition, indeed. For 1,400 years the world was left without a Bible ; not one in ten thousand, not one in twenty thousand, before the art of printing was invented, had the Bible ; and would our Divine Lord have left the world without that book if it was necessary to man's salvation ? Most assuredly not. But, let us suppose for a moment that all had Bibles, that Bibles were written from the beginning, and that every man, woman, and child had a copy, what good will that book be to people who do not know how to read ? It is a blind thing to such persons. Even now, one half the inhabitants of the earth cannot read. Moreover, as the Bible was written in the Greek and Hebrew, it would be necessary to know these languages to be able to read it. But, it is said we have it translated now in French, English, and other languages of the day. Yes, but are you sure you have a faithful translation ? If not, you have not the word of God. If you have a false translation, it is the work of man. How shall you ascertain that ? How find out that you have a faithful translation from the Greek and Hebrew ? I do not know Greek or Hebrew says my separated friend ; for my translation I must depend upon the opinion of the learned—upon their decision. Well, then, my dear friends suppose the learned should be divided in their opinions, and some of them should say it is good and some false, then your faith is gone ; you must commence doubting and hesitating, because you do not know the translation is good. Now with regard to the Protestant translation of the Bible, allow me to tell you my respected brethren that the most learned among Protestants tell you that your translation—King James' edition—is a very faulty translation and full of errors. Your own learned divines, preachers and bishops, have

written whole volumes to point out all the errors there are in King James' translation, and Protestants of various denominations acknowledge it. Some years ago, when I lived in St. Louis, there was held in that city a convention of ministers; all denominations were invited to that convention, the object being to arrange for a new translation of the Bible, and give it to the world. The proceedings of the convention were published daily in the *Missouri Republican*. A learned, a very learned Presbyterian, I think it was, stood up, and urging the necessity of giving a new translation of the Bible, said that in the present Protestant translation of the Bible there were no less than thirty thousand errors! And you say, my dear Protestant friends, the Bible is your guide and teacher. What a teacher, with 30,000 errors! The Lord save us from such a teacher! One error is bad enough, but 30,000 is a little too much. Another preacher stood up in that convention, I think he was a Baptist, and urging the necessity of giving a new translation of the Bible, said for thirty years past the world is without the word of God, for the Bible we have is not the word of God at all. Here are your own preachers for you. You all read the newspapers, no doubt, my friends, and must know what is going on in England at present. Some time ago they sent in a petition to parliament for an allowance of a few thousand pounds sterling for the purpose of getting up a new translation of the Bible, and that movement is being headed and carried on by Protestant Bishops and Clergymen. I guess the new Bible will be a little worse than the old one. But my dear people, how can you be sure of your faith? You say the Bible is your guide, but you do not know if you have it. Let us suppose for a moment that all should have a Bible; should all read it and have a faithful translation, even then it cannot be the guide of man, because the private interpretation of the Bible is not infallible, but on the contrary, most fallible; the source and fountain of all kinds of errors and heresies, and all kinds of blasphemous doctrines! Do not be shocked my dear friends, just only keep calm and listen to my arguments. There are now 350 different Protestant denominations or churches—I make a mistake, I should have said 352, for about eighteen months ago, in Chicago, they made two new Protestant churches, and in New York, at present, there is a woman trying to establish another Protestant church. She preaches the doctrine of free love, and attempts to prove from the Bible that every woman is entitled to send her husband afloat and take another when she likes, and that every husband could do the same thing—send his wife away and take another, and next day if she did not suit him take another, and so on, and from the Bible attempts to prove that every day in the year he could have a new wife. Now, then, I say there are 352 different Protestant denominations or churches, and all of them say the Bible is their guide and teacher, and I suppose they are all sincere. Are all of them true churches? This is an impossibility. Truth is one as God is one, and there can be no contradiction. Every

man in his senses sees everyone of them cannot be true, for they differ and contradict one another, and cannot, therefore, be all true. The Protestant says the man that reads the Bible right and prayerfully has truth, and they all say they read it right. Let us suppose here is an Episcopalian Minister, he is a sincere, an honest, a well meaning and prayerful man. He reads his Bible in a prayerful spirit, and from the word of the Bible he says, it is clear and evident there must be bishops, for without bishops there can be no priests, without priests no sacraments, and without sacraments no church. The Presbyters is a sincere and well meaning man; he reads the Bible also, and deduces from it, that there should be no bishops but only Presbyters. Here is the Bible, says the Episcopalian, and here is the Bible to give you the lie says the Presbyterian, yet both are prayerful and well meaning men. Then the Baptist comes in: he is a well meaning, honest man, and prayerful also. Well, says the Baptist, have you ever been baptized? I was, says the Episcopalian, when I was a baby; and so was I, says the Presbyterian when I was a baby; but, says the Baptist, it was done by sprinkling, and that is no baptism at all. Unless you go down into the river like Christ, says the Baptist, it is no baptism at all, and he gives the Bible for it. Unless you are baptised over again, says the Baptist, you are going to hell as sure as you live. Next comes in the Unitarian, well meaning, honest, and sincere. Well, says the Unitarian, allow me to tell you that you are a pack of idolators, says he; you worship a man for a God, who is no God at all, and he gives several texts from the Bible to prove it, while the others are stopping their ears that they may not hear the blasphemies of the Unitarian; and they all contend they have the true meaning of the Bible. Next comes the Methodist, and he says, "my friends, have you got any religion at all" says he, "of course we have" say they, "Did you ever feel religion" says the Methodist, "the spirit of God moving within you;" "Nonsense" says the Protestant, "we are guided by our reason and judgment." "Well," says the Methodist, "if you never felt religion, you never had it, and will go to hell for all eternity." The Universalist next comes in, and hears them talking and threatening one another with eternal hell fire. "Why," says he, "you are a strange set of people; do not you understand the Word of God; there is no hell at all, says he, "that idea is good enough to scare old women and children," and he proves it from the Bible. Next comes in the Quaker; he recommends them not to be quarelling, and advises that they do not baptize at all. He is the sincerest of men, and gives the Bible for his faith. Another comes in, and says, baptize the men and let the women alone; for the Bible says, unless a man be born again of water and the Holy Ghost, he cannot enter into the Kingdom of Heaven; "So," says he, "the women are all right, but baptize the men." Next comes in the Shaker, and says he, "you are a presumptuous people" says he "a presumptuous set of people; do not you know, he says, "that the

Bible tells you, you must work out your salvation in fear and trembling, and you do not tremble at all. My brethren, if you want to go to Heaven, Shake, my brethren, Shake." I have here brought together seven or eight denominations, differing from one another, or understanding the Bible in different ways, illustrative of the fruits of private interpretation; what then, if I brought together the 352 different denominations, all taking the Bible for their guide and teaching, and all differing from one another. Are they all right? One says, there is a hell, and another says there is no hell. Are both right? One says Christ is God, another says he is not. One says Bishops are necessary, another says they are unessential. One says, baptism is requisite, and another says it is not; are both true? This is an impossibility, my friends, all cannot be true. Who then is true? He that has the true meaning of the Bible you say, but the Bible does not tell us who that is—the Bible never settles the quarrel. The Bible is not the teacher. The Bible, my dear people, is a good book; we Catholics allow that the Bible is the Word of God, the language of inspiration, and every Catholic is exhorted to read the Bible, but good as it is the Bible, my dear friends, does not explain itself; it is a good book, the Word of God, the language of inspiration, but your explanation of the Bible is not the language of inspiration; your understanding of the Bible is not inspired—for surely you do not pretend to be inspired! Now then, what is the teaching of the Church upon the subject? The Catholic Church says the Bible is the Book of God, and that God has appointed an authority to give as the true meaning. It is with the Bible as it is with the constitution of the United States. When George Washington and his associates wrote the constitution and the supreme law of the United States, they did not say to the people of the States, let every man read the constitution and make a government to himself, let every man make his own explanation of the constitution. If Washington had done that, there never would have been a United States. The people would all have been divided among themselves, and the country would have been cut up into a thousand different divisions or governments. What did Washington do? He gave them the constitution and the Supreme Law, and appointed his Supreme Court and Supreme Judge of the constitution; and that Supreme Court and Supreme Judge is to give the true explanation of the constitution to all the citizens of the United States—all without exception from the President to the beggar. All are bound to go by the decisions of this Supreme Court and it is this and this alone that can keep the people together and preserve the union of the United States. The moment the people take the interpretation of the Constitution in their own minds, that moment there is an end of union. And so it is in every government, so it is here and in England and everywhere, there is a constitution, a supreme court, or law, a supreme judge of that constitution, and and that supreme Court or Church is to give us the meaning of the

Constitution and the Law. In every well ruled country there must be such a thing as this—a supreme Law, supreme Court, supreme Judge that all the people are bound to abide by. There is in every Country a supreme Law, supreme Court, supreme Judge, and all are bound by its decisions and without that no government could stand. Even among the Indian tribes such a condition of affairs exists. How are they kept together? By their Chief who is their dictator. So our divine Saviour also has established his supreme Court, his supreme Judge, that is to give us the true meaning of the Scriptures and that is to give us the true revelation and doctrines of the word of Jesus. The Son of the living God has pledged his word that that supreme Court is infallable, and therefore the true Catholic never doubts. “I believe” says the Catholic, “because the church teaches me so, I believe the church because God has commanded me to believe the church; He said ‘hear the church, and he that does not hear the church let him be to thee as a heathen and a publican.’ He that believeth you believeth me said Christ, and he that despiseth you despiseth me. Therefore the Catholic believes because God has spoken and upon the authority of a God. But our Protestant friends say “we believe in the Bible.” Very well, how do you understand the Bible? “Well,” says the Protestant, to the best of my opinion and judgement that is the meaning of the text: he is not sure of it but to the best of his opinion and judgement. This my friends is only the testimony of a man—it is only human faith not divine faith. It is divine faith alone by which we give honor and glory to God, by which we adore his infinite wisdom and veracity, and that adoration and worship is necessary for salvation. I have now proved to you that the private interpretation of the Scriptures cannot be the guide or teacher of man. On Saturday evening I shall prove that the Catholic Church is the only true Church of God and that there is no other.

MONDAY EVENING.

“He that believeth and is baptized shall be saved, but he that believeth not shall be condemned.” St. Mark, 17th ch., 16th verse.

My dearly beloved christians, on Thursday evening last, from these words of our Divine Saviour I proved to you that faith is necessary for salvation, and without faith there is no salvation, without faith there is eternal damnation. Read your own Protestant bible, 16th chapter and 16th verse of St. Mark, and you will find it stronger there than in the Catholic bible. Now, then, what kind of faith must a man have to be saved? Will any faith do? Why, if any faith will do the devil himself will be saved, for the bible says the devils believe and tremble.

It is, therefore, not a matter of indifference what religion a man professes ; he must profess the right and true religion, and without that there is no hope of salvation, for it stands to reason, my dear people, that if God reveals a thing or teaches a thing he wants to be believed. Not to believe God is to insult God. Doubting his word, or to believe even with doubting and hesitating, is an insult to God, because it is doubting his sacred word. We must, therefore, believe without doubting, without hesitating. I have said, out of the Catholic Church there is no divine faith—can be no divine faith out of that Church. Some of my Protestant friends will be shocked at this, to hear me say that out of the Catholic Church there is no divine faith, and that without faith there is no salvation, but damnation. I will prove all I have said. I have said that out of the Catholic Church there can be no divine faith. What is divine faith? When we believe a thing upon the authority of God, and believe it without doubting, without hesitating. Now, all our separated brethren outside of the Catholic Church take the private interpretation of the bible for their guide ; but the private interpretation of the bible can never give them divine faith. Let me for instance, suppose for a moment here is a Presbyterian ; he reads his bible, from the reading of his bible he comes to the conclusion that Jesus Christ is God. Now, you know this is the most essential of all christian doctrines—the foundation of all christianity. From the reading of his bible he comes to the conclusion that Jesus Christ is God ; and he is a sensible man, an intelligent man, and not a presumptuous man. And he says, here is my Unitarian neighbor, who is just as reasonable and intelligent as I am, as honest, as learned, and as prayerful a man as I am, and from the reading of the bible he comes to the conclusion that Christ is not God at all ! Now, says he, to the best of my opinion and judgment I am right, and my Unitarian neighbor is wrong ; but after all says he, I may be mistaken ! perhaps I have not the right meaning of the text, and if I am wrong, perhaps he is right after all ; but, to the best of my opinion and judgment, I am right and he is wrong. On what does he believe ? On what authority ? On his own opinion and judgment. And what is that ? A human opinion—human testimony, and, therefore, a human faith. He cannot say positively “I am sure, positively sure, as sure as there is a God in heaven—that this is the meaning of the text, therefore, he has no other authority but his own opinion and judgment, and what his preacher tells him ; but the preacher is a smart man. There are many smart Unitarian preachers also, but that proves nothing—it is only human authority, and nothing else, and therefore only human faith. What is human faith ? Believing a thing upon the testimony of man, and Divine faith, believing a thing on the testimony of God. The Catholic has Divine faith, and why ? Because the Catholic says I believe in such and such a thing. Why ? Because the Church teaches me so. And why do I

believe the Church? Because God has commanded me to believe the teaching of the Church; and God has threatened me with damnation if I do not believe the Church; and, we are taught by St. Peter, in his epistle, that there is no private prophecy or interpretation of the scriptures: for the unlearned and unstable, wrest the very scriptures—the bible—to their own damnation. That is strong language, my dear people, but that is the language of St. Peter, the head of the apostles. The unlearned and unstable wrest the bible to their own damnation! And yet after all the bible is the book of God, the language of inspiration—at least when we have a true bible as we Catholics have, and you Protestants have not. But, my dearly beloved Protestant friends, do not be offended at me for saying that. Your own most learned preachers and bishops tell you that, and some have written whole volumes in order to prove that the English translation, which you have is a very faulty and false translation. Now, therefore, I say the true bible is as the Catholics have it,—the latin vulgate—and the most learned among the Protestants themselves have agreed that the Latin vulgate bible which the Catholic Church always makes use of, is the best in existence; and, therefore, it is, as you may have perceived, that when I preach, I give the text in Latin, because the Latin text of the vulgate is the best extant. Now, they may say that Catholics acknowledge that the bible is the word of God—that it is the language of inspiration; and that, therefore, we are sure that we have the word of God; but, my dear people, the very best thing may be abused—the very best thing, and therefore our Divine Saviour has given us a living teacher, that is to give us true meaning of the bible. And he has provided a teacher with infallibility; and this was absolutely necessary, for without this—without infallibility—we could never be sure of our faith. There must be an infallibility; and we see that in every well ordered government, in every government—in England, in this dominion, in the United States, and in every country and empire, and every republic there is a constitution and there is a supreme law. But you are not at liberty to explain that constitution and supreme law as you think proper, for, then, there would be no more law if every man was allowed to explain the law and constitution as he should think proper. Therefore, in all governments there is a supreme judge, and a supreme court, and to the supreme judge is referred all different understandings of the law and of the constitution. By the decisions of the supreme judge all have to abide, and if they did not abide by that decision why, my dear people, there would be no law any more, but anarchy, disorder, and confusion.

Again, suppose for a moment that the Blessed Saviour has been less wise than human governments, and that he has not provided for the understanding of his constitution, and of his law of the Church of God. If he had not, my dear people, it would never have stood as it has stood for the last 1838 years. He has then established a supreme court, a supreme judge in the church of the living God. It is admitted on all sides, by Protestants and Catholics alike

acknowledged, that Christ has established a church; and, strange to say, all our Protestant friends acknowledge, too, that He has established but one church—but one church—for whenever Christ speaks of His church it is always in the singular. Bible readers remember that, my Protestant friends pay attention. He says: "Hear the Church,"—not hear the churches—"I have built my church upon a rock"—not my churches. Whenever he speaks, whether in figures or parables of his Church, he always conveys to the mind a oneness, a union, a unity. He speaks of his Church as a sheep fold, in which there is but one shepherd—that is, at the head of all, and the sheep are made to follow his voice; other sheep I have who are not of this fold. One fold you see, He speaks of his Church as of a kingdom, in which there is but one king to rule all, speaks of his Church as a family in which there is but one father at the head, speaks of his church as a tree, and all the branches of that tree are connected with the trunk, and the trunk with the root; and Christ is the root, and the trunk is Peter and the Popes, and the large branches are the bishops, and the smaller branches the priests, and the fruit upon that tree are the faithful throughout the whole world; and the branch says, he that is cut off from that tree shall wither away, produce no fruit, and is only fit to be cast into the fire—that is, into damnation. This is plain speaking my dear people: but there is no use in covering the truth: I want to speak the truth to you, as the apostles preached it in their time—no salvation out of the Church of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ. Now which is that Church? There are now 352 different Protestant churches in existence, and almost every year one or two more are added; and besides this number there is the Catholic church. Now which of all these various churches is the one Church of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ? All claim to be the church of Jesus. But, my dear beloved people, it is evident no church can be the church of Jesus except the one that was established by Jesus; and when did Jesus establish his church? When? When he was here upon earth. And how long ago is it that Christ was upon earth? Eighteen hundred and thirty-eight years ago. Christ was born 1871 years ago. That is an historical fact admitted by all; He lived on earth 33 years. Take 33 from 1871, and you have 1838 years over. That is the time Christ established his church on earth. Any church, then, that has not existed 1838 years is not the church of Jesus Christ, but is the institution or invention of some man or other; not of God, not of Christ, but of man. Now where is the church and which is the church that has existed 1838 years? All history informs you that it is the Catholic Church; She, and she only among all Christian denominations on the face of the earth has existed 1838 years. All history, I say, bears testimony to this: not only Catholic history, but Pagan history, Jewish history, and Protestant history, indirectly. The history, then, of all nations, of all people, bears testimony that the Catholic Church is the oldest;

the first ; is the one established by our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ. If there be any preacher in Ottawa, who can prove that the Catholic Church has come into existence since that time, let him come to see me to-morrow, and I will give him a thousand dollars. My dear preachers, here is a chance of making money—a thousand dollars for you. Not only all history, but all the monuments of antiquity bear testimony to this fact, and all the nations of the earth proclaim it. Call on one of your preachers and ask him which was the first church,—the first Christian Church Was it the Presbyterian ? The Episcopalian, the Church of England, the Methodist, the Unaversalist or the Unitarian ? And they will answer you it was the Catholic Church. But my dear friend if you admit that the Catholic Church is the first and oldest—the church established by Christ—why are not you a Catholic ? To this they answer that the Catholic Church has become corrupted ; has fallen into error, and that therefore it was necessary to establish a new church. A new church a new religion ! And to this we answer : that if the Catholic Church has been once the true church, then she is true yet, and shall be the true church of God to the end of time or Jesus Christ has deceived us. Hear me Jesus, hear what I say ! I say that if the Catholic Church now, in the nineteenth century, is not the true church of God, as she was 1838 years ago, then I say Jesus thou hast deceived us and thou art an impostor ! And if I do not speak the truth Jesus strike me dead in this pulpit—let me fall dead in this pulpit,—for I do not want to be a preacher of a false religion ! I will prove what I have asserted. If the Catholic Church have been once the true church of God, as is admitted by all, then she is the true church yet and shall be the true church of God until the end of time, for Christ has promised that the gates of hell shall not prevail against this church. He says that he has built it upon a rock, and that the gates of hell shall never prevail against it. Now my dear people if the Catholic Church has fallen into error, then the gates of hell have prevailed against her ; and if the gates of hell have prevailed against her then Christ has not kept his promise, then he has deceived us, and if he has deceived us then he is an impostor ! If he be an impostor, then he is not God, and if he be not God then all christianity is a cheat and an imposition. Again in St. Matthew 28th chap. and XIX and XX verses our Divine Saviour says to his Apostles : “ Go ye therefore and teach all nations baptizing them in the name of the Father and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost, teaching them to observe whatsoever I have commanded you,” “ lo” says He “ I Jesus, the Son of the living God, I the infinite wisdom, the eternal Truth, am with you all days, even until the end of the world.” Christ then solemnly swears that He shall be with His church all days to the end of time, to the consummation of the world. But Christ cannot remain with the church that teaches error, or falsehood, or corruption. If, therefore, the Catholic Church, has fallen into error and corruption, as our Protestant friends say, she has, then Christ must have abandoned her ;

if so, He has broken His oath; if He has broken His oath He is a perjurer, and there is no Christianity at all. Again, our Divine Saviour, St. John, 14th chapter, has promised that He would send to His church the Spirit of Truth to abide with her for ever. If, then, the Holy Ghost, the Spirit of Truth, teaches the church all truth and teaches her all truth for ever, then there never has been and never can be one single error in the church of God, for where there is all truth there is no error whatsoever. Christ has solemnly promised that He will send to the church the Spirit of Truth who shall teach all truth for ever, therefore, there never has been a single error in the church of God or Christ has failed in His promises, if there has. Again, Christ commands us to hear and believe the teaching of the church in all things; at all times and in all places. He does not say hear the church for a thousand years or for 1,500, but hear the church, without any limitation, without any reservation, or any restriction of time whatsoever. That is, at all times; in all things until the end of time, and he that does not hear the church let him be unto thee, says Christ, as a heathen and as a publican. Therefore, Christ says, that those who refuse to hear the church must be looked upon as heathens; and what is a heathen? One that does not worship the true God; and a publican is a public sinner. This is strong language. Could Christ command me to believe the church if the church could have led me astray-- could lead me into error? If the teaching of the church be corruption, could He, the God of truth command me without any restriction or limitation to hear and believe the teaching of the church which he had established? Again: Our Divine Saviour commands me to hear and believe the teaching of the church in the same manner as if He himself were to speak to us. "He that heareth you" says He in his charge to the Apostles "heareth me, and he that despiseth you despiseth me." So then, when I believe what the church teaches I believe what God teaches. If I refuse what the church teaches I refuse what God teaches. So that Christ has made the church the organ by which he speaks to man, and tells us positively that we must believe the teaching of the church as if He himself were to speak to us. Therefore, says St. Paul in his Epistle to Timothy "the church is the ground—that is the strong foundation—and the pillar of the truth. Take the ground or foundation of this edifice away, and it crumbles down; so with regard to these pillars upon which the roof rests, take them away and the roof will fall in; so Paul says the church is the ground and the pillar of the truth, and the moment you take away the authority of the Church of God you induce all kinds of errors and blasphemous doctrines. Do we not see it? In the sixteenth century Protestantism did away with the authority of the church and constituted every man his own judge of the Bible, and what was the consequence? Religion upon religion, church upon church, sprung up into existence, and has never stopped springing up new religions and churches to this day. When I gave

my mission in Flint, Michigan, I invited, as I have done here, my Protestant friends to come and see me. A good and intelligent man came to me and said "I avail myself of this opportunity to converse with you." What church do you belong to my friend? said I. To the church of the twelve Apostles said he. Ha! Ha! said I, I belong to that church too. But tell me, my friend, where was your church started? In Terre Haute, Indiana, say she. Who started the church, and who were the twelve Apostles my friend said I. They were twelve farmers says he: we all belonged to the same church—the Presbyterian—but we quarrelled with our preacher, separated from him, and started a church of our own. And that, says I, is the twelve Apostles you belong to—the twelve farmers of Indiana! That church came into existence about fifteen years ago. A few years ago, when I was in Terre Haute, I asked to be shewn the church of the twelve Apostles. I was taken to a window and it was pointed out to me, but it is not in existence any more, said my informant, it is used as a waggon maker's shop now! Again: St. Paul in his Epistle to the Galatians says: "though we apostles, or even an angel from heaven were to come and preach to you a different gospel from what we have preached let them be anathema," says St. Paul. What is the meaning of that? Let them be damned, says St. Paul. If any one shall dare to preach a different doctrine from what we have preached, let them be damned. That is the language of St. Paul, because my dearly beloved people religion must come from God, not from man. No man has a right to establish a religion; no man has a right to dictate to his fellow man what he shall believe and what he shall do to save his soul. Religion must come from God, and any religion that is not established by God is a false religion, a human institution, and not an institution of God; and therefore did St. Paul say in his Epistle to the Galatians "though we apostles or even an angel from heaven were to come and preach to you a new gospel, a new religion, let them be anathema." You see then my dearly beloved people, from the texts of the scripture I have quoted that if the Catholic Church has been once the true church, then she is yet the true church. You have also seen from what I have said that the Catholic Church is the institution of God, and not of man, and this is a fact—a fact of history, and no fact of history so well supported, so well proved as that the Catholic Church is the first, the church established by Jesus Christ. So, in like manner, it is a historical fact that all the Protestant churches are the institutions of man—every one of them. And I will give you their date, and the name of their founders or institutors. In the year 1520—351 years ago—the first Protestant came into the world. Before that one there was not a Protestant in the world, not one on the face of the whole earth; and that one, as all history tells us, was Martin Luther, who was a Catholic Priest who fell away from the church through pride, and married a Nun. He was excommunicated from the church, cut off,

banished, and he made a new religion of his own. Before Martin Luther there was not a Protestant in the world; he was the first to raise the standard of rebellion and revolt against the Church of God. He said to his disciples that they should take the Bible for their guide, and they did so, but they soon quarrelled with him. Zuinglus, and a number of others, and every one of them started a new religion of his own. After the disciples of Martin Luther came John Calvin, who in Geneva established the Presbyterian religion, and hence almost all of those religions go by the name of their founder. I ask the Protestant "Why are you a Lutheran, my friend?" "Well," says he, "because I believe in the doctrine of good Martin Luther; hence not of Christ, but of man—Martin Luther. And what kind of a man was he? A man who had broken the solemn oath he had made at the altar of God, at his ordination, ever to lead a pure, single, and virginal life. He broke that solemn oath, and married a Sister Catharine, who had also taken the same oath of chastity and virtue. And this is the first founder of Protestantism in the world. The very name by which they are known tells you they came from Martin Luther. So the Presbyterians are sometimes called Calvinists because they came from, or profess to believe as John Calvin. After them came Henry the VIII. He was a Catholic, and defended the Catholic religion; he wrote a book against Martin Luther in defense of the Catholic Doctrine. That book I have myself seen in the library of the Vatican at Rome a few years ago. Henry the VIII defended the religion, and for so doing was titled by the Pope, "Defender of the faith." It came down with his successors, and the good Queen Victoria inherits it to-day. He was married to Catherine of Aragon; but there was at his court a maid of honor to the Queen named Ann Boleyn, who was a beautiful woman, and captivating in appearance. Henry was determined to have her. But he was a married man. He put in a petition to the Pope to be allowed to marry her—and a foolish petition it was for the Pope had no power to grant the prayer of it. The pope and all the bishops in the world cannot go against the will of God. Christ says: if a man putteth away his wife, and marrieth another, he committeth adultery, and he that marrieth her who is put away committeth adultery also. As the pope would not grant the prayer of Henry's petition, he took Ann Boleyn anyhow, and was excommunicated from the church. After a while there was another maid of honor, prettier than the first, more beautiful and charming in the eyes of Henry, and he said he must have her, too. He took the third wife, and a fourth, fifth, and sixth followed. Now this is the founder of the Anglican Church, the Church of England: and therefore it is that it goes by the name of the Church of England. Our Episcopalian friends are making great efforts nowadays to call themselves Catholics, but they shall never come it. They own the name Catholic is a Glorious one and would like to possess it. The apostles said "I believe in the Holy Ghost the holy Catholic Church"—they never said in the Anglican church. The Anglicans deny their religion, for they say they

believe in the Holy Ghost, the holy Catholic church. Ask them are they Catholics, and they say yes, but not Roman Catholics. We are English Catholics. What is the meaning of the word Catholic? It comes from the Greek word *Catholicus*—universal—spread all over the earth, and everywhere the same. Now, first of all, the Anglican Church is not spread all over the earth; it only exists in a few countries, and chiefly only where the English language is spoken. Secondly, they are not the same all over the earth, for there are now four different Anglican Churches: The Low Church, the High Church, the Ritualistic Church and the Puseyite Church. *Catholicus* means more than this, not only spread all over the earth and everywhere the same, but it means, moreover, at all times, the same, from Christ up to the present day. Now, then, they have not been in existence from the time of Christ. There never was an Episcopal Church or an Anglican Church before Henry VIII. The Catholic Church had already existed 1,500 years before the Episcopal Church came into the world. After Episcopalianism different other Churches sprang up. Next came the Methodist, about 150 years ago. It was started by John Wesley, who was at first a member of the Episcopalian Church, subsequently joined the Moravian brethren, but not liking them he made a religion of his own—the Methodist Church. After John Wesley several others sprang up; and finally came the Campbellites, about forty-five years ago. This church was established by Alexander Campbell, a Scotchman. Well, now, my dear beloved people, you may think that the act of the twelve apostles of *Indiannia* was a ridiculous one, but they had as much right to establish a Church, as had Henry the VIII or Martin Luther or John Calvin. They had no right at all, and neither had Henry the VIII or the rest of them any right whatsoever. Christ had established His Church and given His solemn oath that His Church should stand to the end of time: promised that He had built it upon a rock, and that the gates of hell should never prevail against it—hence, my dear people, all those different denominations or religions are the inventions of man, and I ask you, can man save the soul of his fellow man by any institution he can make? Must not religion come from God? And, therefore, my dearly beloved separated brethren, think over it seriously. You have a soul to save, and that soul of yours must be saved or damned; either one or the other, either dwell with God in heaven or with the Devil in hell; therefore seriously meditate upon it. When I gave my missions in Brooklyn, New York, several Protestants became Catholics. Among them there was a very highly educated and intelligent Virginian. He was a Presbyterian. After he had listened to my lectures, he went to see the minister, and he asked him to be kind enough to explain a text of the bible. The minister gave him the meaning. "Well, now," said the gentleman, "are you positive and sure that that is the meaning of the text, for several other Protestants explain it differently?" "Why, my dear young

man," says the preacher, "we never can be certain of our faith." "Well, then," says the young man, "good bye to you; if I cannot be sure of my faith in the Protestant Church, I will go to where I can," and he became a Catholic. We are sure of our faith in the Catholic Church, and if our faith is not true Christ has deceived us. I would therefore beg of you, my separated brethren, to procure yourselves Catholic books. You have read a great deal against the Catholic Church, now read something in favor of it. You can never pass an impartial sentence if you do not hear both sides of the question. What would you think of a judge before whom a policeman would bring a poor offender, and who on the charge of the policeman, without hearing the prisoner, would order him to be hung? "Give me a hearing," says the poor man, "and I will prove my innocence: I am not guilty," says he. The policeman says he is guilty. "Well, hang him any how," says the judge. What would you say of that judge? Criminal judge! unfair man; you are guilty of the blood of the innocent. Would not you say that? Of course you would. Well now, my dearly beloved Protestant friends, that is what you have been doing all along; you have been hearing one side of the question and condemning us Catholics as a superstitious lot of people, poor ignorant people, idolatrous people, nonsensical people going and telling their sins to the priest, and what, after all, is the priest more than any other man. My dear friends have you examined the other side of the question. No, you do not think it worth your while, but this is the way the Jews dealt with our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ: and this is the way the Pagans and Jews dealt with the apostles, the ministers of the church, and with the primitive Christians. Allow me to tell you my friends that you have been treating us precisely in the same way the Jews and Pagans treated Jesus Christ and his apostles. I have said this evening hard things, but if St. Paul were here to-night, in this pulpit, he would have said harder things: and if Christ himself had been here he would have said harder things still. I have said them, however, not through a spirit of unkindness, but through a spirit of love, and a spirit of charity, in the hope of opening your eyes that your souls may be saved. It is love for your salvation my dearly beloved Protestant Brethren,—for which I would gladly give my heart's blood—my love for your salvation that has made me preach to you as I have done. Well say my Protestant friends, "if a man thinks he is right would not he be right? Let us suppose now a man in Ottawa, who wants to go to Chicago but takes a car for New York, the conductor asks for his ticket, and at once says, your are in the wrong car, your ticket is for Chicago, but your are going to New York, well, what of that says the passenger, I mean well, your meaning will not go well with you in the end, says the conductor, "for you will come out at New York instead of Chicago." You say you mean well, my dear friends, but let me tell you that meaning well will not take you to heaven; you must do well also. "He that doeth

the will of my father" says Jesus, "he alone shall be saved." There are millions in hell that meant well. You must do well, and be sure you are doing well, to be saved. Therefore, my dearly beloved separated brethren, I would advise you to procure, at the Mission store, on Sussex street, a book called "Points of Controversy." Read it attentively, and you won't read it without being thoroughly convinced that the Catholic Church is the only true Church of God. Then, I would advise you to add the "Catholic Christian Instructat," which explains all the ceremonies of the Catholic religion; and all of you, my dear Catholics, should have these books in your families. You should read them yourselves, and lend them to your neighbors, and thus be instrumental to their salvation. Living among so many separated brethren you ought to be all well posted in your religion, so that you may be able to give a reason for the faith that is within you. I thank my separated brethren for their kindness in coming to these controversial lectures. I hope I have said nothing to offend them. Of course I have given some hard replies, but the truth should be spoken, and it would be nonsense for me, as a Catholic Priest, not to preach the Catholic doctrines.

here
sure
oved
ssion
ssy."
ghly
God.
tat,"
ll of
You
and
g so
your
at is
ss in
ng to
truth
holic

