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## TORI)NTO, 17TH TO 20th SEIPTEMBER, 1 SO4.

Shamoninia the Conyenthes.
On 1ith July. 1894, the following resolution was mopted by the City Conncil as follows:

Moved by Ald. Thompmon, neeonded by Ald. Sheppmad-
That whereas it is of the utmost importance to Tomonto and the whole of the North-west that the canals be deopenerl to adinit of sea-going vessels coming to Toronto, and that the grain of the North-weat be carried from the Upper Lakes to tide water without breaking bulk; he it resolved, that a comvention be invited to meet in Toronto during the lnst week of Angust next, to discuas the whole question of canal enlargements, and that the following be a Committee of this Council, viz. : Ald. Shaw, Lamb, Sheppard, Hewitt, Gruhan, Hullam, Lunn and the mover, to make the necessary arrangements and issue the invitations, and that the nse of the Pavilion in the Horticultural Gurlens be reserved during said week for the use of the Cunvention.

A number of citicens were subsequently added to the Connittee, and the date for holding the Convention was elauged to September 17th. The following circular invitation was issued :

> City Hali,
> Toroit!", 4th August, 1894.

Committer on Debip Waterways.
His Worship the Mnyor.
Ald. J. Euoch Thompson, Chairman.
" John Slaw, Chairman Executive Committee.
" Daniel Lamb, Chairman Board of Works.
"John Hallam, Chairman Parks and Gurdens Committee.
" Ed. Hewitt, Chairman Court of Revision.
" O. B. Sheppard, Ald. J. J. Graham, Ald. John Dunn.
For years throughout the West the question of improved water communication with the East has been ogitated.

Conventions to further this objeet have been held at Grand Forka, Detruit, Washington and St. Prul.

A $20 . \mathrm{ft}$. chunsel tu the sen would reince the frelght and increane the price of the prinluets of the suil thronghout the whole of the Weat mill the Nurth-Went.

The States of North nul South Dakotn, Minnesota, Wisemain, Michigan, Illinois, Indinam, Ohio, the North-Weat l'rovincen of Camala and 'Intario, parta of Kanmas, Nehraska amd Colormlo, are largely uterested in this question.

T'wenty-six millions of people live in the eight Staten that border on the (ireat Laker, bind six millions in adjecent territory lepending ons then. It is of immense impurtance to these people so have a 20 ft. channel clemr to the Atlantic.

Tho enlanced value of we yem's crop would pay the total cost. In a apeech delivered in the Cmanlian Hinne of Commons, 3oth April, 1894, by Mr. Cuckhurn, of Toronto, in favor of deepening the eanals, that gentleman estianted that deep waterways worlh mil sl20 to the value of a crop fron a 160 -acre farm. This is only allowing five cents per bushel, and is well within the mark.

With a : 0 oft. chamel, wean vessels will be seen in the harlores of Toronte, Det ruit, Butfaln, Clevelman, Chicago, Duluth, etc., bringing cheap eoal, and taking away the problucts of forest nal field, mul the maynificent Heet of steamers now land-locked in the C"pper Iaken will have nccens to the ports of the world.

This is not a lowal or sectional guestion; the people of the Western States and Chaadian I'rovinces are equally interested. The Great Lakea and St. Lawrence are free withont reatriction, and the remonrcus of our civilization will doubtless find some means of naking the conneeting links on an equitnble basis.

On 30th April lnst, a remolution was introduced in the Canadian Parliament on this subject, showing the lively interest taken in deepening the cumals by nome of our leading statesmen.

Without formulating any particular policy, a Convention will be held at Toronto, September 17th to $20 t h, 1894$, to discuss this great question and the best means of securing these objects.

It is desired that all business interesta, irreapective of nationality or politica, be repreeenterl.

The Burrl of Convention, authorized to spenk for them iserely invited to send delegates to said

A prompt reply will oblige, stating whether your Board approves of the objecta of the Conventiou, and how many delegntes you wish to send. A programme of the proposed proceedings will be forwarded later. The Toronto hotels will grant sjecial raten, and it is expected that the railways will make similar concessions.

J. Enoch Thompson,<br>Chrirman of Committee.

The following in a lint of acceptances ant delegations :
Ontahio.
Hon. N. C. Wallnce, M. P'., Controller of Custmins.
Kivas Tully, C.E., Ontario Guvermaent Eingineer.
I.ient. Blow, l'.S.N., representing the finvernment of the l'nited Staten.

Toronto City Conncil, reprenented by Warring Kemedy. Mayor; Aldermen
J. Enoch Thompsom, Johin Shaw, Duriel Lambl, Jahu Hallam, Eal. Hewitt.
O. B. Shepparil, J. J. Graham, John Domu: E. H. Keatlig. City Engineer.

Taronto Baard of Trade, repremented by Hugh Blain, President; J. H. Mason.
Members for the City in the Dominion Parlinment-(i, R. R. Cuckhurn, M.P.: Lieut.-Col, Denison, M.J.; E. Contsworth, M.P.
Members for the City in the Provincinl Legishature-(i, F. Marter, M.I'I'.: (i. S. Ryerson, M.D., M.P.I'; U. A. Howhand, M.P.I.; Ald. Crawforl, M.P.P.
Dmuinion Millers' Association-Robt. Noble.
Haltun Cumaty Patr us of Industry - H. W. Corok.
(ieneral Laborers' Union-John Dunlop.
Ontario Mining Iastitute-I'rof. A. P. Coleman, Judge J. J. Kingsmill, Archibald Blue, J. M. Clark, T. W. (iibsm.
Toronta Citizenn' Committeo-John Brown, R. C. Steele, J. H. Boyle, Whi. Hell, P. (G. Close, Peter Mchatyre.

Thorold Town Council-Jas. Battle, Willinm Williams, W. McCleary.
Thorold Buard of Trade-'T'. E. Simaon, L. MeMann.
Port Arthar Buard of Trade - W. C. Dobie, President ; H. A. McKibbon, Claron Squier.
Port Arthur City Council-J. L. Meikle, W. C. D. bie.
St. Certharines City Council-D. Rohertaon, May r.
Fort Willian City Council-Jas. Conmee, M.P.P.
Trentom Boarl of Trade-G. W. Ostram, Iresident; A. Urquhart.
Hamilton City Council-Ald. Colquhoun.
Toronto Ratepayers' Association-Dr. E. J. Barrick, President.
East Grey Agricultural Association-Dr. Sproule, M.P.; Goo. Stewart, R. J.
Sproule.
Canalian Institute-Alan McDougall, C.E.
Waterloo Comity Council-Geo. A. Clare, Warden.
Agricultural and Arts Association-N. Awrey, M.P.P.; Henry Wade.
Citizens' Deep-'Vater Committee, Toronto-Thos. McCracken, Geo. Faulkner, W. I. McKenzie, E. E. Sheppard.

Collingwood Board of Trade-A. J. Telfer, J. J. Long, B. Callary.
Guelph Brard of Trade-Jas, Goldie, J. E, McElderry.
London City Council-E. O. Essery, Mayor; Ald. Pritchard.

Ntayuer Town Conacil-W. IS. Saundera, Mayor.
Gonderich Town Conncil - The Mayor, thu Reove, the Depury Reave, Conncillor Snundern.
Gakville 'Town Cunncil-The Mayor, the IReave.
Patrons of Ibilustry-d. difliurd, I'rewident.
Collingwonal Town Council-Jno. Nettletom, Iteeve; II. V. 'Tulfer.
Incitationa were ala, extended to nud accopted by :
Frank Moberly, C.E., Collingwoul; David Bhinn, ex-M. P., Weat York; Mex. McKay, M.I.. Hamilton; C. C. Jamen, Toronto; Hи口. Jnu. Fergnen, Scmator, Toronto; Prof. Guhlwin Smith, Toronto; Roht. Winton, Beeton: W. E. Redway, Toronto: Wm. Muloek, M. P., North York; T. D. Ledyard, Iharlow Cumberimal, Toromto: O. R. Pateullo, Womintock.

## Manituba.

Hrandon City Conneil-I, C. Sinelnir.
Wimipeg loaral of Trade-W. 33. Searth, President.
Winnipey Grain and Pronluce Eixchange-N. A. MeGaw, I'reaident.
Winnipeg City Conncil-J. W. Thylor, Mayor.
W. C. Grahatn, Portuge In Prairic.

Hon. S. C. Biggs, Wimnipeg.
Richard R. Dobell, Quebec.
Quenec.
Alex. McFee, Muntrenl.
J. P. Cleghorn, Mantreal.

Henry Buimer, Montreal.
John Kennedy, C.E., Montrenl.
Pennaylvania.
National Buard of Stemm Navigution, Pittahurg-Cajt. L. K. Keck.
C. H. Cady, Port Henry, N. Y.

## New York.

Ohio.
Cleveland Clumber of Commercu-FI. D. (iomilder, L. E. Hoplden, C. E. Wheeler. Toledo Prodnce Exchange-Denism 13, Smith.
Cleveland City Council-II. D. (inulder, Cupt. C. F. Benham, C. E. Wheeler.
Cincinnati City Couneil-L. IR. Kecek.
Ashtabula City Conncil-W. S. Mckimmom, I. E. W. Jarvis, J. M. Townsend.
Michigan.
Sault Ste. Marie Chamber of Conmere - Hon. H. W. Seyniour.
Detroit Board of Trade-Hon. W. C. Maybury, Hon. W. Livingatone, jr., Jense
H. Farewell.

Ititinoin,
 Iowa.
Davenpart Bnaingex Mefís Ansuciatinh-A. I'. Mctinit'k.
Minnemitra.
 Alhert Scheffer, Ar. I'mul: W, H. Filstis, Mayor of Minmenpolin: S. A.

St. Paul Chumber of Comumere-.. F. Y. Sualley, dиж. Suydam.
Mimentolin 13aral of 'Trula- A. L. Crucker, Jresident: H. IB. Hulsun.

Winiongein.
W. Superior City ('onmeil-Julge F. W'insor, F.: C. Kemmedy, ex-Mayar ; II. I Wemynn, F. B. Cluke, NhI. J. H. Agen, Alex. Mch.ngatl, Kirly Thomms, Frunk A. Flowror, Capt. C. S. Barker.

Washinotons, D.C.
Hon. Thaw. J. Henilerwas.

## MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 17TH-AFTERNOON SESSION.

The Convention met informally in the Council Chamber at $3 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. , Monday, September 17th. The delegates presented their eredentinls and were introduced to Mayor Kennedy and Ald. J. E. Thompson, Chairman of the Joint City Council and Citizena' Committee on Deep Waterways.

The following Committee, designated the Committee on Permanent Organization, was appointed by the Convention :

James Suydum, St. Paul (Chairman).
L. R. Keck, Cincinnati.
A. P. MeGuirk, Davenport, Iowa.
L. E. Cooley, Chicago.

Ald. Thompson, Toronto.
O. A. Howland, M.P.P., Toronto.

James Conmee, M.P.P., Port Arthur,
D. $\therefore$ Smith, Toledo.

John Brown, Toronto.
Col. Davidson, Minneapolis.
R. R. Dobell, Quebee.

The Convention then adjourned.

## MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 17TH--EVENING SESSION.

The Convention assembled at 8 p.m., Ald. J. E. Thompson in the chair.
The Committee on Permanent Organization submitted their repcrt. The report, which was adopted, recommended the appointment of the following Officers and Committees:

## Officers of the Convention.

Chairman-J. Enoch Thompson, Toronto.
1st Vice-Chairman-E. V. Smalley, Minnesota.
2nd Vice-Chairman-R. R. Dobell, Quebec.
Secretaries-J. H. Boyle, Toronto ; Denison B. Smith, Toledo.
Committer on Order of Business.
James Suydami, St، 'Paul.
R. C. Steele, Toronto.

Ald. Graham, Toronto,

Ald. Dunn, Toronto.
Capt. J. S. Dunham, Chicago.
Chas. E. Wheeler, Cleveland.
deke watervayn convention.

## Comittee on Resolutions.

James Suydam (Chairman).
Frank A. Flower (Secretary). A. P. Mcguirk.

Lieut. Geo. P. Blow, U.S.N. (Honorary).
J. H. Dividson.

IR. R. Dobell.
T. W. Taylor.

James Conmee, M.P.I?

1. A. Howland, M.P.P.
L. E. Corley, C.E.
J. S. Dunham.
J. Brown.

Ald. Hewitt.
L. R. Keck.
H. W. Seymour.
A. L. Crocker.

## Committee on Prbmanest Orlanization.

A. P. McGuirk (Chairman).

Frank A. Flowem (Secretary). James Suydam.
IR. R. Dobell.
H. W. Seymour.
J. Brown.
A. L. Crocker.
T. W. Taylor.
L. R. $\boldsymbol{Y}$. $k$.
O. A. $\therefore$ land, M.P.I.

Junies Conmee, M.P.P.
L. L. Cooley, C.E.
H. P. Goulder.
J. H. Davidson.
J. S. Dmham.

Ald. Thompson-I thank you very much, gentlemen, for appointing me Chainuan of this Convention. I should have preferred to see some distinguished Amerienn vecupy that position, but the gentlemen from the United States on the Committer insisted otherwise. I shall now call on the Seeretary to read the circular calling the Convention.

Mr. Buyle read the circular, which appears on a previous page.
Mr. Thompison continued: We have welenmen many conventions to this City, but none of greater importance than the one now assended here. We recognize Sthat the interests of Toronto would be satistied if the eanals were deeprened on the St. Latwrence River only, but we take a wider view than this, and recugnize the fact that the prosperity of the whole comitry is our prosperity. We feel that the farmers of the North-west have been himiored by the high enst of cransportation and ly the competition of cheap labor iu cther lands. The eompetition we ennot help, but the cost of transportation it will be ohr husiness at this Convention to devise a method for reducing. The farmers of the prairies of the North-West, the ranchers, the miners, the artisans, are all deeply interested in the cheap transportation of the products of the West. For that reason we thought well to call this Convention in Toronto. The cost of exporting a bushel of wheat from Dakota to Liverpool will transport $2 \frac{1}{2}$ bushels from the Argentine tu Liverpool. The only vention has be Western farmer is the eheapening of transportation. This Conis only a means to the tho consider the question of deepening the canals; but that ship railways, I think they come prope other means, by building other camals, or and I would suggest that any schoriy within the scope of this Convention, freight shand be heard at this Conmes tending to the reduction of the rate of
public more or less farorably spoken of ; but there are eminent engineers at this Convention: there are bosiness men here, and they onay be relied upon tor promptly expone any utopian or foolish sehemes us quickly as they wonld endorse any that are garel and reasmable. This is a comvention of lonsiness men. We have among os representatives of our parlinment ind representatives of the American Cangress, and the Coitchl staties (iovemment has sent a representative from the Depirtment that doals with the Lakes. We have, therefore, $n$ Conven tion that is able to sjeak intelligently on every question that will come up here for rliseussion. I have much plensme in asking His Worship Mayor Kennedy in deliver His Aduress of Welcome

## ADIRESG OF WELCOME:

His Wowhip Mayor Kemmedy -Mr. Chairman and gentlemen composing this Conventim: 1 am conscions of the homor conferred upon me in being requested to tender this large gathering 4 welcome to the City of Toronto. In my capacity of Mayor I have heen called upon on several nceasions formally to tender addresses of welcome to comsentions visiting our City, but I regard nome that I have had the honor of addressing-viewing it from of physicnl stampoint--wn important as the convention mow assembled in this City Hall. (Applanse.)

A very large conventima nsseabled in the City some weoks ago, which was important inleed, and we could scarcely judge which was the more important. I vefer to the great concention which had for its object the moral well-being of the community, the Young People's Baptist Church Convention, which was some six thousand strong. Their object was of a moral nature, yours is of a physical and material nature. They hal one special object in view, and you have another ; but atill both have in view the weliare of hamanity, the promotion of trade and commerce, and the elevation of our mee, the doing good to our fellow men. The inportance of this Convention camot be over-estimated.

I gladly respomil to the call of the Committee of Management to address a few words of welcome. The subject that will be considered by you is very tempting indeed, but, Sir, I will tread very lightly on the domain you are about to traverse, and anything I may say must not be regarded as stepping-stones on the highway, or rather the waterways, you are about to incestignte. (Applause.)

Mr. Claimans, I congratulate you on the success of this Convention. I congratulate you, your nssocinte Aldermen and the members of the Buard of Trade, on the succens you have achieved. I know the great labors you have gone through, the anxiety and tuil you bave had, the vast amount of correspondence and all those necessary details. You luve passed through them all and you have done your tuty eheerfully.

Our Great Creator has given as a nohle heritage. Nature has dealt very bumnbifully with us, giving us noble streams and lakes. These vast waters are at our command, and Nature invite us to supplement the work she has done, so that we may control these extensive sheets of water and atilize them for the benefit of mankind in facilitating the transportation of the products of the West to the Atlantic sembard, in order that the millions on the eastern shores may share in
the products of the fertile fiehls of the West, hy having them transported at a very
The Anglo-Saxon race has heen entrusted with the destinies of this North American Continent. The womderfal energy displayell by that race is to be seen all round us, both in the Cuited States and Cansda. This duglo-Saxon ruce is destined to control the word. To-lay 120 millions of people speak the English tongue. To us, then, is committed this great trust, and I believe we shall prove ourselves equal to the energency. I believe a somad from this Convention will ring out in a why that cannot be mistaken, mid the interest of the people of the Dominion and the United States will be aroused to the importance of the great subject that will be debuted at this Convention.

It is clearly demonstrated that a Convention of this kind is necessary if we consider the denands that have heen made in the West and North-Went for cheaper tramsportation. Farming operations in the Nowth-west cannot be profitably carried on if transportation to the sealoard is wholly or partinlly limited to, conditions that are auture price of whent must inevitably rule low, except under It is considered by expers, such as the failure of crops or the existence of war. come. Wo in America have to conterice of wheat will rule low for all time to India. The farmers of the Camadian with the proflucts of Anstralia, Russia, economy in transportation lies at the pery American North-West realize that that the cost of transportation the very hasis of their prosperity, and we know incilental to farming are rieducted fry is such that when it and nther expenses the farmer. The average cost of tran the receipts very little indeed iy left to cost of transportation om the (ireat Lapration by rail is six times the average impossilhe to transuist the farus thes. We helieve that while it is physically bring the Ocem near the farms to the Ocean it is quite possible for us to Mohammet, Mohimmet raust go (Alplause.) If the momitain will not come to taken from one of the City papers showing the liffere is a little extrat I have and rail :

Freight from Brandon to Fort Willim, 60 miles, 12 cents.
The charge from Chicagos to Buffals hy vessel, 900 miles, is from 2 to 3 eents. Rail freight is consequently six times greater than by water.

From Duluth to Buffali, ly water, 1,000 miles, the freight rate is between 3 and 4 cents.

From Duluth $t_{0}$ Montreal ly wat(P, 1,400 miles, 6 to 7 cents.
From Winniper to Montrosal by ail, the distance being the same as from Duluth to Montreal, tho chare be lail is 97 cents.

These figures show the cacapuing of the oost of transportation that can be effected by the creation of a canal system that would practically transform the lakes into great oceans. We know the people of the North-West are pushing this question very strongly. The Americans are arging upon Congress that something must be done, that a great and fimal effort shomil he mode to remely the dificulties under which we labor:

Wr. are all agreed something oaght to be dome, and were we all of one mind in regard to whterways that whonld be decpened it wombldobletess be easily accomplished. hut untortunately there are geneval methomp proposed and ench one has its numpors arilent alvocates. Soveral sehemes have heen hrought before the attention of the public. There is the Otawa Valley Canal, necemding the lakes by way of the French River, and the Hurontario Ship Camal. The latter has been brfore the people of Camada for 40 or 50 yomrs, since the time it was first advocated by Mr. Caprool. This ronte possesses great advantages. I advocated this scheme myself yours ago. It is intemion vessels should come from fiergian Bay to Lake Ontario log this camal. Yon will observe that even this project, which is one I have alwsys fisored, involves the enlargement of the St. lawrence Camal. I imderstaml a compuny las sulseribed the necessary stock to proseente the work of the (ieorgian Bay Ship Camal. Then there is the Hurentaria Ship Railway, designed to carry vessels of 5,000 tons averland, along the same ronte.

Furthermore, an air-line from Collingwood to Toronto las been suggested, but all these schemes involve the enlargement of the St. Lawronce camals and waterways. We may say what wo will, bat here is the matumi course to the ocean. Nature invites as to use it. The olstruetions that exist there can be removed, but at a great cost, of conme. The national highway to the ocent is by the great St. Lawrence River. As yom have stated, Mr. Chairman, we cammot deal with this as a merely local question. We must deal with it in its broad intermational aspect. (Applause.) Lengthy disenssions have taken place in the llouse of Commons upho this question. Our Govermment has given us a $20-\mathrm{ft}$, waterway for 800 miles, but here we tiud we mo stopped by a 14 -ft. canal, so that vessels drawing 20 feet eamot proceed the whole way to the ocem. Transhipment is necessary. Some any yon are asking too much when you ask the Government to stop working on theif $14-\mathrm{ff}$. system and change it to 20 fect. Railway rates enst of Chicago have hecome reduced to a minimum. Still, the rates are entirely too ligh, and New York ean searcely hole to continue to be the seaport of the West when prices of products become lower and lower, muless she can provide transportation on a much larger seale than the tow-path affords. Numerous conventions have already been held to agitste this question. I remember some twenty years ago, nome was held at Des Moines; in 1891 one was held in Detroit, and in 1892 at Grand Forks. Again, there was a comvention last year at St. Paul. The deep water ontlet was considered hy far the most important of all matters at these conventions, but no definite camal route has yet been decided upon. A resolution was subuitted to the Coited States Congress in 1892 to authorize intemational negotiations on the sulsject. The ressolution reads as follows:

Reselved, That the Senate and House of Representatives of the Uuited States of America, in Congress assembled, that the President of the Inited States be and he is hereby requested to invite negotiations with the Giovermment of the Dommion of Canada to secure the speedy improvement of the Wellaud and St. Lawrence Canals and St. Lawrence River, so as to make them conform in depth and navigability, so far as practicable, to the standard adopted by the (oovernment of the United States for the improvements now in progress within the United States of the waters connecting the Great Lakes, and to that end the President is hereby authorized, if he deems experlient, to appoint three commissiomers to negotiate, on leehnlf of the Cnited States, with the representatives of the Government of the

Dominion of Camada, the terms and comditims of any agrement which may he entersi into hotween the two thovermments, in pursiance of any proposition submitted in that bohalf by the (iovernment of the bominion of Canada.

It is sonewhat encomaging to ns in the Duminion to know thit at least the Govermment of the Viniter! Stares sympathizes with this movement to make the waters of the St. Lawrence navignble for large versels all the wry to the sea. Our own foneriment has constructed the Welland and St. lawrence onnals, but these have proved tows small for the necessitien of the case. Then we have both fiovernments construeting each a large eamal at sumt Ste. Durie, each canal having omly one lock. These magnificent camals adnuit versele of heavy draft. lout we want to carry out the samo illea in regard to the whole system right to the sea. It may be said the Dominion (Government is not able to acemplish this itself. Fifty-four millions lave already been spent on cmals, and a very large sum is yet required to acemmplish what is aimed at. If the Diminion Goverument is not ahle to aceompliah this, and if this Convention nad the people of both conntries were to unite in one demand that the camis should be improved, then if onr (iovermment feels it conld not undertake the work, let it be admitted, and lot the United States be asked to take part in this matter. Some shy there can't lof joint eontrol. Still, there ean be eontrol by one of the powers. The Dominion (ioverument ean give the United States rights commensurate with the amoment they spend on the work. I have no doubt the Govermnents of the United States and Canada will eonsider the resulutions that will be earried at this Convention.

I tember you a very liearty welcomo on belalf of the City Council and the perple of Toronts, and I trust your meeting will be of a pleasant character, and that what yon do will benefit the perple of the Dominion and of the whole Continent. (Apphanse.)

## THANKS FROM THE UNITED STATES DELEGATES.

Mr. F. V. Smalley-I think I may take it upon myself, Mr. Chairman, to return, on behalf of the delegates from the United States, our very cordial appreciation and thanks for the warm welcome given us by your Mayor. I want to nay a very few words in muffinmal way-first, to explain the position of the North. West delegates, and secondly, to look ahead to the ation which will probably produce practical results. As your Mayor has informed yon, we have had two international conventions on the subjeet of deep waterways. With them was combined the iden of reciprocity, which is very dear to us on both sides of the border in the North-West. (Applanse.) You have perhaps done wisely in separating the two questions, and leave the future to bring them again into allinnce. At these conventions, the first of which was heid at Giand Forks, and the latter at St. Paul, we had large delegations from Munitoba. We had a few perople only from Ontario. We had not among us any gentlemen from your Eastern Provinces who could enlighten us upon the question of the waterway which you desire at their end of the long line, and therefore in our resolutions we were not able to take any definite ground as to what should be done, or how it should be done, from the end of the Welland Canal to the sea. We had at those conventions a gentleman whon 1 expect to see here, who is particularly well informed on all
matters of water transportation. I refer to Mr. Thompson, of Duluth. Mr. Thompson will natngonize the iden of deepening the St. Lawrence canals, mud be advocates with a gome deal of alility the construction of a ennal acrose the State of New York to the Iludson River, from Onwego. We in tho North. Weat were not informed as to the relative merits of mily of your projects. What we stond for was a $20 . \mathrm{ft}$. channel to the sen. We lookel outward to the ocean, nod we didn't discuss where our 20 -ft. channel should strike dep water. We ne here now for enlightemment. We want to learn more as this Convention goes along, and we want to go home ennvinced in our minds that a certain definite line to the sen is the best line, and the mont feasible and practicable and can be run at the eqrliest day. It is your task to enlighten us on this subject, and I cmn say to you, you will not find among our delegates from the North-West any national projudice that would stand in the why of onr joining you in what is unquestionaly the best and quickest way to get to the sea, because none of us can fail to recognize the fact that these two great Faglish-rpeaking peopples, that face each other along a line 4 , owo miles in length, are being drawn loy the cirenmstances of their own eondilion mad hy the foree of eivilization into nearer and nearer necorl. (Apllause.) Whatever it may be with the politicians, the people we fast seeing that it is for their interests to draw near together for the necomplishment of great ohjects which will henefit both countries. In saying for myself that I hope to see the Customs wall gradually grow lower and lower, I don't intend to commit this Convention to any declaration on this subject. I omly want you to understand that we of the North-West, with substantially the same great ugriculaum interests, separated ns we nre from each other by an imaginary line, du believe that the time will come when our statesmen at Ottawa and Washington will enable us to exchange freely the products of our soil and mines. (Applanse.)

In looking into your faces here, I camot imagine myself in any foreign country. Hearing the friendly tomes of your wices, I cannot imagine that I am not at home. I have attended conventions in nearly every State, and if brought into this rom blindfolded, if I could not see the portrait of your Queen on the wall there, it would be hard for me to fix my location. In conclusion, gentlemen, let me say you will kindly treat us somewhat as pupils going to your school. Give us all the facts and information, nend depend upon us nfter we have got home to mass our public sentiment in the West in favor of some measure that will give to our great cereal proxlucts a free and chear outlet to the markets of the world. (Applause.)

Col. J. H. Davidson, St. Panl-In response th, the Address of Weleome from the Mayor of Torento and to the other indiontions of wolcome that have been extenderl to us, het me say that we are slad tu be with you. We are here for business, and the dolegations for whis', I nowat are largely composed of business men. I have been requested to represent at this Convention the Commercial Club © St. Paul ard the Commercinl Cluh of Minneapolis, the two largest and most influential business organizations in the twin cities. Our object is tor secure such facilities for transpurting the products of the West to the markets of the world as will leave a larger margin of profit in the hands of the producer. In looking at this problem, we do not know the one Government from the other. We are
spenking fur a contiment mula race. We are here advoenting sumething not for the puny generations that nuw purtiaily cover this Coutinent, but we aro speaking for the millinus that are to eomes after ns. (Alplanse.) It matters but little whether the farmers on the prairies shall get ! 1,2 or 3 eents a bushel more for their prodnets in their lifetime, hut it does mater a great denl to the generntions that whall come after us whether or not we have all these inproved facilities ; whether wir have the "pprentunity of free exchange of that which we produce. Buth ennutree will probluce a vast surphus in the nemr furnere, which ought to reach the markets of the world by the cheareat route. Sur prigress has been so wonderful within the past few years that we have girilled the Continent with steel railwhys. There is a metwork of iron spun all over this vant expmene of territory on your side aud ours, mith and south, east and west, earrying vast hads of perple. millions of tons of freight. We are witnessing a development which is truly marrellons. It is but a few years back since the conuntry for which I speak was inhabited by the redman. Alowe the fulls of st. Anthony there was nothing heari but the cry of savage tribes. To-day, within a radius of ten miles up and down the Mississippi, there is guthered a pepulation of at least half a millimp prosperoms, handy people, with ax elegant homes and fine solowis as can be found in the same area anywhere else apon this Continent. We are emastantly developing. What a few yenrw ago were considered tha most womeleful irom mines of the world have been distancel and left in the shade by thase wonderful disenveries in the Merashiat range, north of Duluth, which sulpplied the raw material to the furnaces of ohin, Michigan and Pennsylvania. So you have upon your side of the line wonderful natural resonrees-mines that are inexhanstible, a timber belt which cannot be consumed by coming generations for hundreds of years. We want an 'pportunity to suldue the wilderness ; to open the waterways; to bring into closest connection, the citizens of every part of this great Continent. We are oll of the Angle-Suxon race-a wonderful race, that holds the reigns of power on this Continent and Europe. It is the dominating power, and, thank (iod, it is a Christian puwer (hear, hear), dictating peace between warring mutions, settling mighty disputes that a few slort years ago would have involved the moving of vast armies. We are rapidly seeing that state of things pass by, and we can now arbitrate all the great questions that arise between eivilized people. We may, I think, reasonubly hope that war has now almost ferever ceased among the most powerful nations of the globe. In our consultations together upin the subject matter of this Convention we are all novices. The matter bas unt been suf iently discussed ; the figures have not been carefully collected ; the facts have not uren su presented to the public that I can say my mind is settled, that you can say your mind is fixed upon some speeial plan of impmement. What we wat is to push the Atlantic Ocean to the feet of Duluth-to bring it inland-so that the ships from Antwerp, Liverpool, Berlin and from all the cities upon the sea shall be able to enter these Great Lakes, trade with our people, buy our produets, hecome better acquainted with us, and help to consummate what people desire to see $-a$ brotherhood of man. The wonderful development we have seen on every hand, which we are witneassing lay by day, is druwing into eloser comn:umion all the nations of the earth. We learn that we have the same sympathies, the same lives, the same emotions; and while you may love your gord queen, and while you may be atached to the stable government that
has done ao mueh for the world, we may be equally attached to another form of government. We raise no queation ms to the best. We are eneh in onr own way working ont the problem of eivil goveriment, of government for the people and by the people. The interents of the Enstern States, of the extreme Southern States, may he against the St. Lawrence project, but the Statea of the North-Went, of the Middle States, of ahmat the whole vast Continent, are dejendent largely upnithe chenpening of transportation to the ocean. Our plans must be broal, no that we will grasp not only the connection by way of the St. Lawrence, if that route ahafl be found the hest, but a connection sonthward, from the Great Lakes tu the Missiasippi, and then we ahall have a waterway from the mouth of the Misaisuipli through the centre of the Continent of North America.

As far as the experiment of deepening waterways ia concerned, we are finding Wonderful benefits from it in the West. Were it not for the great fueilities afforded by the Great Lakes for the tanaporation of fuel to the port of Duluth, we could hardly keep warm in the North-West in winter. And were it not that the products of the North-Wost can be transported so cheaply to Buffals, we would not receive anything like what we do for our prodnets.

Gentlemen, we are on the right mad. We may differ as to some of the plane, but if we lowk each other in the face, if we talk as minn to man, each preanenting his reasons, we will ultimately arrive at the best plan and at something that is feasible and possible. I am glad to know that in Congress a resolution has already been introtuced looking to the appointment of Commissioners to confer with the Canadian Government ns to this matter. I trust there will he reciprocity in that matter at least, and that when Parliament assembles there will be a Commission appointed to eonsider this great question. I don't see that the delegates at this Convention will have power to bind the constituencies behind them. When we get an understanding nomong ourselves we ean go back to the clubs or citien we represent and make known what has been done at this Convention. We can any to them "this aeems the most feasible thing," and I think prior to our adjournment we want to make provision that this question will be agitated by the people of every city of the United States where it is likely to loe approved of, and in Canala too, wherever it will benefit your farmers or artizans. There is no question but we can ate tugether in harmony. There are eranks on our side of the line and there may be rome on the Cunadian side, but they can ensily be brushed aside.

Gentlemen, let me say in conclusion, we are here for work. We are ready to commence now, or to-morrow morning, and to sit till we have finished the job. (Applause.) We want to get it so thoroughly started that it will swell in power and volume like an avaluche that sweeps down the mountain amb carries everything before it. If you start it in Ontario we will earry it into Michigan and Ohio and Minmenota. We will see it sproad and destroy every fragment of opposition. It may mot come in 1894 or 1895, but it is as inevitahle as fate. As your Mayor has remarked, the Arehitect of the universe has ordained the St. Luwrence as the great natural highway to the sea. We cannot wipe that out even if we would. It was fixed when the continent was formed, something that eamot be obliterated,
that commat be ehnaged. And as Gom has printed out the way let us make haste and walk therein.

John Brown-Mr. Cowloy, of Chicago, has suggested that as most of the delegates are nmaware of the position in which we stand with regard to the trenty of Washington, min exphanation might loe given by some one as to that treaty.

Mr. W. Muluek, M.J. and Lient. Col. Denisem, M.J., stated that they were lurdly prepared to express an opinion on the treaty on such short motice.

Col. Donisom, continued- While 1 num on my feet I would like to take a few moments of yom time to give the reason why I moved a resolation as to the camals last session. I motieed the fiovermment had deeided to build n new canal at Soulanges. The reason of their building on the nowth side of the river was because it was considered cheaper to build n new canal than to deepen the old one at Beanarmois. That being the case, I wished to place hafor, the House my opinion that the liovermment shouhl not continue the ohd policy of a $14-\mathrm{ft}$. waterway, which had been decided on twenty or thirty yours ago. I felt that times hal ehanged in twenty yoars, that the vessels now on the Lakes were larger than before, and I thought we ought to inaugurate n new policy of $20-\mathrm{ft}$. camals. In the building of our cannls we have been acting likeat cmb-we are working backWards. My idea is that we should start at the sen and work towards the Upper Lakes. With that view I mlvocated the work being started at Soulanges, mad as been suggested permit it should re carried on as a Dominion enterprise. It has maided, and as a the way of reciprocity to quo the United States shombl give us some advantage in that suggestion. I hope this cop for it. I think that there may be something in

Conghatclations from St. Pacle.
Chairman Thompson read the following telegram from St. Panl :

$$
\text { St. Pall, 17th September, } 1894 .
$$

The Commercial Clubs of Mimeajolis and St. Paul send greetings to the Deep Whterways Convention, and trust that its deliberations will result in some praetieal phan which will secure the early construction of a 20 -ft. ehamel from Lake Superior to the sea. We faror joint netion betweon the United States and Canada in deepening the St. Lawrence and mationalization of its waters as per Treaty of Washington.

W. J. Footner,<br>President St. Purl Com. Club.<br>> J. F. Calderwoon, > Presideut Minheripolis Com. Clud.

## 



## (h"rawa, September 11th, Ikil4. <br> 

Drall Sill. . I I hog ta acknowlendere reciat of your Committue's printed invitn.
 of esth wht., raphenting me formal a puper befure the Convention on the enat of canal Ileremening.

1. have nent the mombers of your Comanitee a printed prper relating to the whject of your danvention, which was remu at Chiongu, in dugust of last year, Inefore the Water Commeree Congreas of the Colmmbinn Exhibition.
 betwear Lakes Firic on Imron und' Montreal mad New York -upon the weaie

 in the cont of existing works - by the Dipmortment of Camals, at Ottawa. An applieation to that depmotment nombl, no donbt, give you the lest extimate which ann now lie madi.

Whatever eonelasions the Comvention may reach as to deeper water, and langer and larger locks between the Upper Lakes mal tide water, I hopet it will give precedence to an manimums resolution in favor of the earliest possible completion of the enlargenent of the St. Denwrence Comals whieh is now in progress, noll upon which more than half of the estimated cost has heen expemded. In addition to alsut twenty millions of dollars estimate for the St. Lawrence Canals, more than half of which have been Already expended, some twenty milliens more have been expended upon the Welland and sanlt Ste. Marie, from which little value can be had for the through cemmercos until the St. Lawrence Canals are completed.

The estimated corst of the last miargentent of the Camalian Cumals, in progress since 1873, is seme forty millions of collars. This will give you something to go upon in estimating for another enlargment, which would be about as grent an alvanee upon the one get in progress as this one was in advance of ity immediate predecessor.

My owr view is that nuy further enlargement of the St. Lawrence routeLake Erie to (beenn-must be an international work, such as was carried out upen the Rhine and the Danime, and I believe that the St. Lawrence afforda the onlyNeep water ronte, whether the destination be Montreal or New Yos.l, via Lake Chumplaim.

1 vemain,.
Vary tiruly yours,
Thos. C. Kebera.

## TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 18Th-MURNING SESSION

## nvita.

- Ifrit

The Convention assembled at $10 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{m}$.
Vice-President Simulhy took the chair.
Mr. Smalley . We whall now hase the plensure of listemong to n paper hy Mr. J. Enoch 'Thompson, who has taken such a livoly and intelligent interest in this

## DEAP WATGRWAYS.

Mr. I. F. Thompsom--'Thin paper I am about tor read will contain many facts which have already appeared in the primer. Many of the facte mad tiguren given hore havo benn printed before, bint they were now to me and donbtless will bet to others in this Chamber who have not proviously been interested in the ennal

Most popple know in a general why that the commerce of the Upper Lakes has attained lagg dimonsions, but few are pepmed for the immense nray of figures which eonfront them in whatever direction thoy lome up ratatioties of Lake traftic. The freight earried an the Great Lakes exceeds in ohe season of weven the reilwimilions of toms, heing equal to one-fourth of the freight earried by all wing of the stares in twelve monthes.
The tratlic carried throngh the St. Mary's Falls Canal, the one connocting link of the 20 -ft., watorway that is eompleted, exceeds that carried through the Suez Canal, as will ho seen by the following statement:

St. Mary's Fall.s and suez Canal Thaffe.


The value of freight earvied on those Lakes exceeds in one season 342 millions of dollars ( $8342,522,000$.

The lowest rate charged by railways is $\mathbf{5 . 0 4}$ mills per ton per mile, clarged by one of the great eral lines. The arerage enst, aceording to the United states
 shipper hy lake atemmer as $1.0: 1$ mills per tons per mile. In whe year's hasinems which gisaen through the is. Mary's Falls Camal the wating to the whijpers, is agaisat milway rutes, equals bit millinim of dollars. It is only as thone thgures are known that the inmensw importance of deep waterways in malized.

## T'ue Canamin Canal, Sixten.

From the head of navigation in Jake Sunerior th the sen there are abme 70 miles of eamals requirend to make the comaecting links in this magnitiennt waterway furnished by Nature. These have beon wonstructed by Cnumia at her own expence, but the increased trattic and size of the vessels employed make them inarleguate for the present day. The following table gives the length, size and cost of the Canadian sabmals:

| Canala. | Depth, in Feet. | Length, is) Miles. | Const, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| II elland .. . . ....... | 14 | ${ }^{3}$ | 8 2,243,890 |
| Dialoprs, | 14 |  | 23,762,204 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { Rapid Plat, } \\ \text { Furrun's Point, } \\ \text { Coruwall.... } \end{array}\right\} \text { Willianshury Cemals }$ | 1 | $7{ }^{7}$ |  |
|  | 9 | 4 | \} 2,940,5is |
|  | 4 | 11. | 4, 649,574 |
| Benuharmis, $\boldsymbol{f}$ duplieate | 14 | 14 | 4,750,000 |
|  | $\stackrel{9}{4}$ | 11 | 1,611,660 |
| St. Lawrence | 14 | 8. | 9,686, 68.18 |
|  |  |  | 943,178 |
| Total |  | 850 | ¢0\% $4,587,8$ ºf |

The alove figures include the eatimated cost of the Soulanger Canal, not yet finished, which is a duplicate or alternative route to the Beauharnons Canal on the op presite side of the river. Here we have an expenditure by Cauada of $\mathbf{8 5 0}, 587,80 \mathrm{t}$, with not over three millions of her people directly interested, while the United States, having seventy-six millions of her people living in the States bordering on the Lakes, has spent only $\$ 7.931,190$ on the improvement of the waterways which are used on the same terms by the vessels of both nations. Whether we consider the question from the point of population benefited or the prolucts to be transported, we find the Unito! States interest largely prepondernte. By population the United Statey has $L^{\prime}$ nal Canada $10 \frac{1}{3}$ per cent. of those directly interested in canal deepening.

The percentage of, t. pe: es Po vary very much from this proportion, whether we take wheat, the at? : staple, as the andmad or include other ceren crops. The following tabims wone tre United Staces and Canadian official reports of 1892 show the immense importance of the fraffic nffected by the cost of transportntion:




| Stite. | Curn. | Whent. | Ohata. | Value, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N. Diknta | Bush. : | Bush. if, mas, (nat | Bush. |  |
| S. Dnkutn |  |  | 12,519,06M |  |
| Nimnesuta | 24,1112.1011 | 41.0110 ,(\%N) |  | 213, 212 s , 811 |
| Michigan | 27,34\%, (NM) | 8,814, (M) | -it, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 16, $30,46810,0.4$ |
| $1 \mathrm{limois}$. | 23, 21.501641 | 2:3,834,000 | $25,810,\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { 20) }\end{array}\right.$ | : $113,3115,480$ |
| Indiama | 106,327.049 | 25,2870,04\% | 75,043, 0 OK | \%2,31: 8 , |
| Ohin | 10,3,334,000 | :3,84i,040 | 29,155,000 |  |
| Total | $83,8053,100$ | $38.082 .2,0 \% 0$ | 24,3134, 0 , 614 | 70, 2901,630 |
|  | +4, 2146,060 | 244,880, 0100 | 28:3, 5939,1 (\% 1 |  |
| Gitario <br> Manitolatand North-Went Provinces | 11,24!,4!18 |  |  |  |
|  |  | $28,782,480$ | 64, $51.88,453$ | (6in, 14x, $2+0$ |
|  |  | 14,453, $8: 5$ | 11,(304, 06\% |  |
| Total | 11,229,49\% | 43, $2: 66,7: 7$ | 76,412,143 | 843, 5111,918 |
| states inhmbatly nexfriem. |  |  |  |  |
| Colorimbo <br> Kansas <br> Nebraska |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | -2,514,000 | 2, 430, (0n) | 8 3, 525,524 |
|  | 15\%,140,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 70,831,000 \\ & 15,670,060 \end{aligned}$ | $44,094,000$ $43,1: 1,000$ | 98,502,292 |
| Total |  |  | 45,1:3,000 | 60, 8\% 5 , 5\% 4 |
|  | 315, 743,0000 | 88,005,006 | 90,061,000 | 813, $48.3,37$ |

The wheat harvest for all Camada (1892) was $48,182,295$ bushels.
The total crops are :


It is not clamed that these immonse cropos or oven the greater jart of them, will find an outlet through the deepened canals, fout whatever increase in price is gained by improved transportation will apply to every bushel of grain raised in the West by whatever route it reaches the East.

Mr. Jas. J. Hilf; President of the Great Northern Railway, is on record as having made the following statement :
"The Guvermment engi:zeers propose to give us 20 feet of water. We shall accept the 20 feet and use it when we get it, but I promise you whenever they will gearantee me 18 feet 1 will build a line of bats that will carry $6,0 \mathrm{ot} 0$ tons instead of 3,000 , which is now the limit, and cut the present cost of lake transportations square in two."

There is another material advantage to be secured by the enlarging of our camals of special interest to the Americims. They own a magnificent Heet of over 300 linge steamers, which are land-locked and idle aluring half the year, having no employment duriog the winter months. Enlarged canals will enable the large lake vessels to reach the sen, where the ports of the world are open to them, so that their crews maty earn wages and the vessels engage in profitable trade during the season when lake trattic is closed. Among such vessels I might mention the


## Estimates of Cost.

Various estimates have been made of the cost of deepening the canals. They vary from in to 75 millions of dollars. The expenditure even of the larger sum would not be an unreasonable price to pay for the lienefits to be derived from it. Mr. E. L. Corthell, the celebrated Engineer, made an exhanstive report on this undertakiug about two years ago as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { To increase the present canals and rivers to } 14 \text { feet } \\
& \text { (part of this has since been lone) } \\
& 812,750,000 \\
& \text { To enlarge Welland Canal } 14 \text { to } 20 \text { feet, including } \\
& \text { greatly enlarging the locks, etc. } \\
& 20,000,000 \\
& \text { Tor deepen St. Lawrence Canals and River } 14 \text { to } 20 \text { feet } 27,000,000 \\
& \text { 864,750,000 }
\end{aligned}
$$

The sime Eugineer estinates the cost of enlarging the Erie Canal at $\$ 250,000,000$.

Whatever the cost maty be, the important point is where will the money eome from. If the financial anpect were viewod simply an a business transaction free from feelings of national sentiment it would not present any serions diffenties.

Few will contradict the statement that a twenty fort waterway to the head of the Great Lakes would prove of such undoubted advantage to so large a section of the Cnited Staten and Camada that its cost, distributed according to the territory and interests belteficially affected, would be it emaparatively small ?atter. Such a waterway would vitally affect the interests of the States that
record as We shall ver they 0 oc tons te translie large hem, so during tion the
are trihutary to Duluth, Chicago, Milwaukee, Detroit, Ashtabula, Cleveland, and a humdred other lake ports as well as the Camarlian North. West.

If the City of Mamehester, single-handed, can afford to spend $875,000,000$ on a canal, surely it would not be a stupendous undertaking for a dozen large cities, at the hend of which is the great City of Chicago, to divide between them and the territory behind them the enst of this undertaking. While the only practieal ronte is within Camadian territory, the business of that eountry is not sutficient to justify her undurtaking the work alone. We might take a hint from omr municipal methods on the question of finance: When a street requires paving the eost is assessed against the property on ench side of the street, mid every owner pays in proportion to his frontage. It would seem rasomable to apply this prineiple to canal deepening whieh is neither more nor less than improving the road in front of the respective properties interested.

Cinadia has already spent more than her proportion, and recenily there have been indicatims of a growing disposition on both sides of the line that the deepening of the camls should be $n$ joint and intermational affair. The St. Lawrence River, the greater part of it wholly within Camadian tercitory, amal the Great Lakes are free, without restriction, and it would seem to be a simple matter to declare the 70 miles or so of artificial waterways as part of the great water highway and governed by the same mavigation laws. Sueh seems to be the opinion of many prominent men in the United States and Canada.

On 8th February, 1892, the following resolution was submitted by the luterstate and Foreign Committee of Congress :

Resolved. That the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of Ameriea, in Congress assembled, that the President of the Inited States be mut he is herely requested to invite negotiations with the (rovernment of the Dominion of Canada to secure the speedy improvement of the Welland and St. Lawrence Canals and St. Lawrence River, so as to make them conform in depth and havigability, so far as practicable, to the standard adopted by the (iovernment of the United States for the improventents now in progress within the United States of authorized, if he deem the Great Lakes, and $t_{0}$ that end the President is hereby behalf of the United Stapedient, to appoint three commissioners to negotiate, on Dominion of Canada, the terms the representatives of the Government of the entered into between the two Governments, in of nuy agreement which may be mitted in that behalf by the Govermment of the Bominion of Canada.

## Manitoba and the Nohth-West Interested.

Similar views were expassed in the Camadian House of Commons on 30th of April hast, when Mr. J. Martin, Member for Winuipeg, said: "I may draw the attention of the House to this faet, that not only are' we in Manitobs and the Territories vitally interested in a question of this kind, but the people of the Northern States are vitally interested in this question ( $20-\mathrm{ft}$, cunals), and it appears to me that in view of the immense expenditure that will be necessary our hoverninent should endervor to obtain co-operation and a joint expenditure with owr

On 19th July last che Manitoha Central Farmers' Institute at Brandon passed the following resolution :
"That inasmuch as the works now in progress on the Tpper Lakes are calculated to furnish within the next two years a chamel of 20 feet in depth, from the head of the Lakes to Buffalo, it is most essontial, in the interests of the NorthWest as well as the country at large, that the depth of the Welland and St. Lawrence Canals should bo further increased so as to make a clannel of a uniform depth of 20 feet to thie seenn.
"That inasmuch as the eutire ronte is essentially an international one, and as the camals forming part thereof on either side of the line are by international treaty dedicated to the uso of the citizons of both countries on equil terms, the work and the expense of further deepening the Welland and st. Lawrence systenis ought to be undertaken and bome by both Governments, so that the two countries shall centribute to the entire cost of the undertaking in proportion to their respective interests therein.
"That the whole water route, from the head of the Lakes to the sea, should be put under contrel of a permanent joint eommission to be appointed by both comentries, and its protection should be gunranteed by international treaty.
"This Institute rejoices to learn that the Comeil of the Oity of Toronto are taking steps to hold an international conference at an early date, with a view to the adrocacy of such a scheme."

The celebrated engineer, L. E. Cooley, in an article on enlarged waterways, referring to a paper by Mr. Corthell, says: "The project is international. I can agree with Mr. Corthell that the problem cannet be hemmed in by artificial bomdary lines. Nature did not fashion the continent with a view to such limits, and the solution of the problem is a eontribution to Nature and an addition wo the resources of the continent. Among a kindred people drawing prosperity from the same commercial resources, statesmanship should be broad enough to make the most lugical interpretation of the physical and commercial conditions."

Mr. Bates, with a wide experience, is juoted by the well-known engineer, E. L. Corth"ll, as saying: "Such a waterway would be a blessing to both countries, and the direct and indirect alvantages which would aeerue to the citizens of ench, invite the cordial co-nperation of the respective Govermments. It should form a bond of l'nion between the two greatest nations, and is a step towards that time when all untions shall be at peace."

The River Dambe furnishes transportation from the what fields of our European competitors flowing through Anstria-Hungary, Servia, Bulgaria and Rommania. In 1892 their wheat erop was:

| Austrin-Hungary | Bushels. $185,347,206$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bulgaria....... | 40758 105 |
| Roumania | 59,828,160 |
| Servia | $4,000,000$ |
|  | 280,933,471 |

in passed
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Here is a crop of wheat equal to that of seven States and Camalian Provinces combined in active competition with us. The Danube, through which those erops reach the british market, was, in 1850 , declared free to all nations and is managed St two Commissions, one representing the European powers nud the other the States on the banks of the River. It would seem that the position of the States and Canala is somewhat similar to the Damube principalities and similar methods might be alopted. The farmers of the West require passage for their products to the Liast free from obstacles or restrictions. No tolls are now eharged on the Erie or St. Mary's Falls Cabals and every foot of mavigation from the heal of Lake Superior to the Sea should be free.

It is not creditable to our bonsted eivilization and resourees that no joint effort has been attempted to make the sevonty miles of canals available for the largest vessels in use on the lakes. The eomplotion of this work would give mimperns to the business of both comutries and it is necessary to enable the furmers of the West to eompeto with the eheap labor of the East and the fertile fields of Australia and the Argentine. There will doubtless be publie men on both sides of the line who, under a mistaken idea of patriotism, will find objections to any plan of joint control or construction, but I venture to say such opposition will not be fround amongst the tillers of the soil whose prosperity depends on eheap transportation. the common good.

## The Military Side of the Question.

Sometimes reference is made to the military side of the question. Should such an unfortunate contingency arise as hostilities it would make no difference whether the eamals were on American or Canadian soil. The strongest would take possession of them, therefore, that point need not be discussed further than to suggest that if owned jointly neither eonntry would wantonly injure them.

The millions of Western farmers are surely entitled to as mueh consideration Lss a few Gloneester and Massachusetts fishermen for whose lronetit the Cinited Canndian waters, or the citizens dollars to secure them fishing privileges in reported the United States will con the Pacifie Slope for whose benefit it is the Nicaragua Camal. The innerist of ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{G}$ millions towards the construction of Canada's, the volmme of her prest of the United States is so much greater than expect Canada to provide all the fumdets much larger, that it is mreasomble to likely to do it.

Both grovernments should be urged to appoint Commissioners to formulate some plan for providing funds in proportion to their respective interests to deepen the eanals to 20 feet and to arrange for their future management and contiol. It might be considered desirable for Canada to complete the canals and sell or lease the free use of them to American vessels, or debentures for the purpose might be issued and payment assumec by ench country according to their respective interests; or a plan similar to Linat by which the Canadian Government relieved themselves of building the C.P.R., after having spent 37 millions on it. The work already done was transfored to a private eompany, a subsidy of 25 millions
of dollars and a grant of 10 million acres of land were added, and subsequently the Government gnaranteed $3 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. on an issue of 106 million dollars' worth of bonds. With this assistarce the C.P.R., ensting 200 millions was successfully built, and has been a financial success and of great benefit to this country as well as to the States.

A private company, with a capital of 150 millions, might take over the existing camals; the money already spent might be considered a bonus, to be supplemented by a similar bonus from the United States, and the work of completing the $\mathbf{2 0}-\mathbf{f t}$. chanmel to the seas eould be carried out as the C.P.R. has been built, under such restrictions ind conditions as the two Goverments might agree upon.

Mr. Corthell, in his report previously referred to, says: "Direct trade with Europe should be the demand of the North-West and of all the people tributary to it. The direct pecminry advantages to the people should not be estimated at less than 200 millions der smum."

## The Freifit to Liverpool.

It costs more to raise whent in the Weatern States than in other countries; in aldition to this the Western farmer pays 206 and 300 per cent. more freight than his competitors to market his crop. Wheat costs in Dakota 35 cents per bushel to mise ; in California, 22 cents; in India and the Argentine, 13 cents.

The freight to Liverpool is :


At the present prices the Western furmer burely gets cost, while his rivals receive a fair profit. He cannot reduce the cost of production, but inproved waterways will reduce the coat of marketing probably 50 per cent. from Duluth. Srome expect even a greater reduction, and that is the object of this Convention to secure.

In conclasion it might be interesting to give the quantities of wheat protuced by the chief countries in active competition with the Western farmer which ship lirect to Liverquol :

| Danube | Bushels Wheat. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Russia | . 289,933,471 |
| British India (1893) | 241,578,934 |
| Argeutine | 268,000,000 |
| Australis |  |

Siberia is building a transcontinental railway, which will throw her surplus of 30 million bushels on the market; the opening of the Nicaragua Canal will bring
the Californin crops into sharp competition with us, so that no time is to be lost in providing the Western farmers with improved transportation to enable them to meet on equal terms the water-borne harvests of the world.

$$
\text { Toronto, September, } 1894 .
$$

J. Enoch Thompson.

## Discussion on Ald. Thomphon'h Paper.

Mr. Smalley-Discussion on Mr. Thompson's paper is now in order.
Mr. R. C. Steele-I feel somewhat diffident in undertaking any criticism on Ald. Thompson's paper, but there is one phase of it that I certainly cannot allow to pass without comment. The idea that this Convention would sanction the proposition to enlarge the canals as a local improvement is too narrow an idea altogether. It seems to me the enlargement of the canals is an improvement in the benefits of which the whole Continent is going to sharc. Then why should those wholive in close proximity to the waterway be the only ones to be taxed? A majority of the people of the United States are agriculturists. The prosperity of the country depends upon them. The whole country is going to be benefited, and we must view the matter before the Convention on the broadest lines.

Mr. Thompsen-When I referred to the local improvement plan I supposed Canada on one side of the street and the United States the other. The improvement would benefit the two nations as a whole.

Mr. Dobell-I think this paper is of such importance that it should be printed and distributed to all the members of this Convention.

Mr. Flower-This is the fifth convention I have attended, and this one contains the most brany men of them all. Mr. Thompson's paper is to me like the Ten Commandments. I don't see how you can leave any of them out without destroying the whole fabric. I don't see how that paper can be diseussed at all. It almost covers the whole ground. There are a few things, however, which don't appear in that paper aud to which I might refer. In the first place, the perople of Toronto are a little bit opposed to the Convention because they think it will concentrate trade in Montreal ; and seeondly, it is thought that the trunk railways, when the proper time comes, will concentrate themselres at Ottawa and Washington and defeat the ohject of this Convention. I think that is a mistake. Mr. Shaughessy, of the C.P.R., las told me that that railway would do whatever they could in belalf of deepening all the waterways to the ocean, his theory being broader than any I have heard, viz, that it would cheapen the freight of the products of the North-West. If it would make the farms more valuable in Dakota and Manitoba the railways could well afford to stand behind it, because it would develop the North-West and create a demand for the produce, and make a far greater business for the railways in winter and during the period of navigation, too. Have you taken notice of another thing? Mr. VanHorne and Mr. Hill, the one a Yankee in Canada, and the ether a Canadian in the States; what are they doingand they are two of the shrewdest railway managers in the world !-They are going into the stemmship business themselves. They have built the finest steamships
flouting in fresh water. What does that mean? Simply that water in cheqper thun land for transportation. These mon mro more vitally intorested than mybody else in deepening the waterways, becanse they have built harge ships, expecting that later on others will eome to their views and help to deepen the ennals. I can say positively that the railways of the North. West will not appose, but will rather suppoit the project. When it comes to a final amalysis I think there will be very little opposition inderd to it. What opposition we shall eneounter will be in the heads of the [,iiticians who will talk of future war and tariffs and artitieial barriers, ond so on. Mr. VimHorne runs a Canalian railway into American territory, and no one says a word. Why should there lie any more trouble about a waterway between the two countries than a railway?

On motion of Mr. Merinirk, Mr. Thompson's paper was referred to the Committee on Resolutions.

## THE WASHINGTON TREATY.

Mr. I. A. Ifowhand I was requested last night by the Committee on the Order of Business to fill the gip in reference to the question which has been raised as to the terms of the Washington Treaty, and how it refers to this matter.

To go a little further bnek than the Treaty of Washington, the Gulf of St. Lawrence was made free to the commerce of both nations by the Trenty of 1783 . When we come to the time of the Washington Treaty, another step was made in the direction of civilization. The Dominion of Canada, without equivalent or return, as we consider it, eonsented to make it an element in the Trenty that the navigation of the St. Lawrence in the first place, and the nse of the canals in the second place, should be open on épual terms to the subjeets of both countries. The exact clanses on these subjects are very brief, and it is perhapes as well we whoukl have them in mind.

## Artille 26 of the Washington Treaty.

"The navigation of the River St. Lawrence, ascending and descending from the 4 th parallel of north latitude where it ceases to form the boundary between the two countries, from, to and into the sea, shall for over remain free and open for the purposes of commerce to the citizens of the United States, subject to any laws and regulations of Great Britain or of the Dominion of Canada not incenvistent with such privilege of free navigution."

Then the elanse is to the canals is in part a mutunl clause, and reads as follown:

Clacsk 27.-"The Guvernment of her Brittanie Majesty engages to urge upom the diovernment of the Dominion of Canada to secure to the use of the citizens of the United States the use of the Welland, St. Lawrence and other canals in the Dominion on terms of equality with the inhabitants of the Dominion."

The return for that was: "And the Government of the United States engages that the subjects of Her Brittanic Majesty shall enjoy the use of the St. Clair Fhats Canal on terms of equality with the inhabitants of the United States, and
sherper nybody pecting I can rather very in the trriers, 'y, nnd terway
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Order as to
further engages to urge upom the Sitates' Governments to secure to the subjects of Her Brittanic Majesty the nse of the several Sitate canals connected with the navigation of the lakes or rivers traversed by or contignons to the boundary line between the possessions of the high eontracting parties on terms of equality with the inlabitants of the United States."

As to the historical facts arising ont of that Treaty, we know that the United States has performed that part of the contrict which was within its own power. 1 sily nothing as to performance by the States. The United States Government has always granted the use of the St. Chir Flats Camal (the title to which, it has been diseovered tately, lics really within Candian territory, although it was supposed to have been within the jarisdiction of the United States). Every portion of the soute wirhin United States control from Sault Ste. Marie has been freely granted to Canadian vessels on the sume terms as the vessels of the Uuited States, until a certain period of recent history when the Treaty was practically abrogated. The cause of the abrogntion was a dispute between the two countries on the application of those words: "on terms of equality with the inhabitants of the other conntry." The Canadian Government did not understand that the terms in this Treaty were use of its whole sere with nuy regulations it might make to encourage the emplete not enlarged puri pusur oanals. The St. Lawrence Camals were unfortunately elass of vessel began to be with the Welland Camal. The eonsequence was that a conld not be seugan to be used for navigation through the Welland Canal which burg, and the trade was being, by virtne of the they went ouly as far as OgdensSt. Lawrence Cinals, taken nway frome of the impossibility of going through the dian (Government had lation was applied for by the vesuol much money to complete the camals. A regudescended the St. Lawrence Canals miers of the smaller class that vessels which of the tolls paid on the Welland Cong be lecouped to some extent by a rebate tion and granted it in terms whichap. The Government considered that applicaequally to all vessels which should follow thot to the vessels of any one nation, but of using only nart of the system of en that route to the sea, to Montreal, instead Convention the legal question whether that was a core not going to debate in this it was just and equitable or not. It is sufticien fort interpretation, whether urge that a difference arose betw. It is sufficient for the purposes I anı going to opinions were held by the people of the two Governments, that radically different was excited over it, amd that the die two countries, that a good deal of feeling United States insisted that the dispute was settled by a kind of violence. The ments did not prevail, they mare was a hreach of the Treaty. Finding their arguby regulations in regard to the Sa a corresponding breach in the Treaty, namely, un Canadian vessels. From their point of Canal imposing a discriminating toll the Treaty. In the ease of the regulations view that was an admitted breach of St. Iawrence Canals, there was no question of ur the use of the Welland and route. The American vessel was $w$, was a question of Canadian vessel. Therefore thas aree to take advantnge of those terms as the an arguable question as to the right of at all events, I think it will be admitted, the United States Government met it by whatan Government to do this. But
terms of the Treaty. The trade of Canadian vesseln in the northern lakes was so large and important that the Canadian Government was compellerl to yield the peint in order that Canadian vessels might have the use of the Snult Ste. Marie Canal. Now we know that the Canadian Government has under completion at Sault Ste. Marie a canal of ita own, which will make the Canadian line of navigation complete ; so that should that question an my way arise again, the Camadian Government's position cannot be met in the aime peremptory manner as it was at that time. Thus we see that under any international treaty questions may arise from time to time, and that at the present time there is no means of settling these questions by some nutual nuthority which commands the contidence of both countries.

There is a moral for us in this history of the Washington Treaty. That Treaty was supposed to be a liberal one. It was to have a benefieial effect upon the relations of the twe countries. As a matter of fact it became the cause of difficulties which almost rose to a dangerous point.

## A Common High Court Sugeested.

Thim Convention will want to recommend means that will make sure that ne sueh resulta will follow from the measures it is going to recommend. (Applause.) We know that in every great agreement where there are two contracting parties, looking forward to the interpretation of that agreement in the future, it is usual to provide for possible difficulties being settled by some system agreed upon. A very common clause is an arbitration elause : it is very often used in regard to private matters. Arbitration has been used very largely in international matters. But there are very great odjections to a provision of that kind, supposing it were practienble to insert one in an international arrangement. Arbitration nlways involves a great many preliminary difficulties and obstacles. A dispute arises and has to be carried to a certain length before there is a cause for arbitration. Then there comes the appointment of arbitrators, which in international matters often chuses very unpleasant diseussions. We remember under the Fisheries elause of the Treaty how much feeling was caused on the question of the appointment of the arbitrators. That kind of thing should not be possible. There are two wings to the movement we have in hand ; one is the commercinl and financial, the other the pelitieal. It is easy for us to advance on gne wing to convince the people of this Continent that it would be a great economical advantage to them to have these waterways enlarged on a grand and perfect scale. But that would be useless, unless we aceompanied it with work on the pulitical line, work that will make it certain that we will not be met with complications arising out of international feeling and interuational suspieion. In my opinion we should reeommend, contemporaneously with what we recommend in an ceonomical sense, the consideration of the question of the formation between these two nations of a common High Court for the settlement of all kinds of difficulties of an international character between them which may arise from any eause whatever, (Applause.) I eonsider that a mere arbitration elause in an agreement relating to this particular matter would not effect the ohject in view. It is to be remembered that all agrecments are set aside in the event of the breach of the great agreement of peace between different coun-
en was so yield the te. Marie letion at f navigaCanadian it was at nay arise ug these of both fficulties is usual pon. A egard to matters. it were always ises and
Then rs often lnuse of ment of o wings te other of this e these useless, make it national ill, emeration h Court etween r that a uld not t aside t coun-
tries. I agree with one of your sipeakers that the question of war seems to us a notion perfectly abhorrent and verging on the impossible. We may think so in our hearts, and our wish may be father to the thought. Remember that the (i,svermments of countries are bounl to look forward to contingencies, which they must regard without being influenced by hopes or philanthropies. We must expect that the liberal attitude which onght to be brought to this whole question by both conntries camost be brought to it unless the possibility of war is shown to be removed by institutions so adapted to aecure that result that every rensonable man will sny that war between the omutries is as impossible a contingeney as war between the various Provinces of the Dominion, or between the States of the American Union. (Hear, hear.) Therefore it impressen me-and I hope thia Cunvention will agree with me-that there is a necessity of urging simultaneonsly upon ti, different Governments the cousideration of the formation of an International Court of a permanent character with an ascertained juriscliction, with powers to execute its findinga, so that it may appear that every posaible question that may arise in the future has been provided for, and a way out has been made, by mesns that commend themselves to the set: se of justice and equity of the whule people. Unless we do that we shall not be making the progress wo ought towards the end we wish to attain,

I shall not detain you with any further remarks. I shall ask permission to introduce a resolution on the subject.

## THE STATE CANALS.

Mr. Dobell-I wish to atate a fact which Mr. Howland has omitted in his valuable remarks on the trenty of 1869. He staied that the most liberal construction was expected to he given to that Treaty. The Treaty provided that we should give the use of our rivers and canals to the Americans on the same terma as we were to enjoy. Under that Treaty American vessels leave New York and eome via the Ri -helieu River and Carillon Canal to Ottawa where they load lumber back for New York. You would naturally think our boats would be entitled to the same privilege ; but such is not the case. I brought this matter before the Foreign Relations Committee at Washington, and they contradicted me'and said what I stated was impossible. I challenged thens to disprove my statement. Mr. Blaine sent for the treaty and read it. He found I was correct. A amall canal about 15 miles long in New York would not allow Canadian boats to go through. I asked the Committee if they were using their best endeavora to obtain from the Sovereign State of New York a reciprocal privilege for us in regard to that canal. If they had used their best endeavor to effeet this purpuas I don't think there would have been any difficulty.

On motion of Mr. Suydam, Messrs. Howland, Dobell and McGuirk were asked to prepare resolutions to cover these questions.

Mr. A. McFee-Mr. Howland referred to a rebate on business going to Montreal. It was a condition that this rebate applied merely on cargoes that were transhipped in Canadian waters. For instance, a shipment from Chicage to Montreal would receive the rebate, but not if it were transhipped at Ogdenaburg.

Mr．Howland－－As to that detail I anbmit to the superior informatom of the kentleman from Montreal．The main point I was endenvoring turnke was that a difference arose on the construction of the treaty and it was found there was no means of removing that dilliculty and we should contemplate better arrangementa for the future．

Mr．Brown－In seconding the resolution appointing this Committee，I would like to say that this matter of the so－called diserimination of eharges would be entirely wiped out if the purpose for which this body has met should eventment． I hope we will take such aetion at this Convention that a misunderstanding in the course of trade and trattio will never again be possible by either Government．We will have 20 fees of water and no transhipment．

Mr．Winton．of Beeton，thought we were serionsly handienpped $t, \rho$ the opera－ tion of the Imperinl Navigntion Lawn，which allowed free entry into British ports of foreign shipping moder charters from other British ports．No sueh privilege was granted our freight enrriers in United States ports．While an American vensel may take cargo at Montreal or Halifax and diseharge at liverpool，or any other British port，we were not allowed to take eargo from New York or Boston to Sminanciseo，eres around Cape Hon．

## CANAL CONSTRUCTION．

Mr．L．F．Cooley－I am sumewhat enbarrassed in being called on to give a paper on Camal Construction．This piper has not been prepareal．I aun obliged in a measure to apeak my thoughts as they have been suggested to me during the last day on two．ln inviting the to read n paper your elaiman referred particu－ larly to the question of the various routes and of the cost of a deep waterway to the ocem．We have two tiovermmonts，eneh with an engineering corps for the purpose of preparims estimates of this kind，and it is their work to prepare esti－ mates of the work in question when we convince them of the neeussity of its com－ struction．I have been engnged for some time looking into this problem of a deep， waterway to the sea． 1 gave it nuch consideration in 1888 aml 1891．I have been engaged for some time gathering the various maps and profiles in regard to all the routes between Chicago and the seaboarl．I haven＇t mastered them all yet，and I think it will be a matter of six months before all that material can be digested， so that any opinion whieh I may state to－day will be in the mature of a lawyer＇s curlstone（口⿰亻⿱丶万⿱⿰㇒一乂心，

In the past ten yenrs great progress has been made in the construction of ship camals．We have the Manchester Ship Canal，the North Sea Canal，and one at Chienge that is higger than any of them．The sesult of this experience was to throw an entirely new light upon the whole question of camal building，and it will convince the public in regard to the cheapness of the proposed work and its possi－ bilities．I hare in my poeket an index of the facts I wisli to present．I prejared it principally because of a remark I once heard made in Chicago in regard to a man who was recognized as extremely nhle．＂Yes，＂said the commentator，＂that is so ；but he hasn＇t got his brains indexed．＂

Taking this Continent as a whole, looking at it geographienlly and from the engineer's standpoint, it is simply one design, one thenry, from the lathnas of Pamana to the North Dole. 'There is but one problem in it, mind it has no relation whatever to intermationat bomadary lines. If jou look at the topergraphy of the country yon will diseover throughont the Continent a trongh ruming from the Gulf of St. Lawrenee to the (inlf of Dexien, a distance of 3,800 miles, and in that trongh, and on the two slopes that extend from the Alleghany and Rocky Manntains down to the bottom of the trough, and from the Nowth Pole down to the (iulf of Mexico is to be found 80 per cent. of all the material resonrees of the Continent. (Appanes.) From the Chicago standpoint the central idea is that there is only one waterway possible on the Continent ns a whole, and that is a whterway from the Athatic by way of the Great Lakes to the Gulf of Mevieo. We at Chicago are on the summit of that trough, and wo are able impartially to look down both ways, $1,8(k)$ miles to the Gulf of St. Lawrence and 1, dige to the Gulf of Mexico. Within the environs of Chicago a raindrop could split on a bate of grass and part run each way. The divide bet ween the Great Lakes and Mississippi system is ouly 8 or 10 feet above the level of Lake Miehignn, and Chicago is to-day eutting a chanmel through it. The bottom of it is on the exnct level of the Niagara Eiver at Buffab, gho miles away, so you can see hy what a narrow margin the geological formution of the Continent favored the St. Lawrence route rather than that by the (iulf of Mexico. The idea which I wish to impress
on you is the iden of

## A Trunk Waterway,

establishing a circuit through the heart of the Continent. Chicage is eutting the summit of the divide in order to establish this circuit. We are building a channel 26 fect deep. Chicago is spending 25 millions on the work, and in the next 20 years she will spend as much more on this enterprise. She is moving more material than was moved in the building of the Marchester ('anal. If we hat to build the Suez Canal we could do it at half the enst we are paying on this work. We could eomplete the Germar. Sanal for much less money than it is costing them. Our excanations at Chicago are being done for less than twothirds of what they were able to do similar work in (ireat Britain. These facts have un important bearing on the matter we are disenssing. The rock work on the Clicago Canal is being dome for less than one-half of the eontract price. The actual cost to the eontractor is not over one-third of what it was estimated it would cost by cour Government engineers. That is an illustration of how chenjly canal constrnetion can be carried on with the most approved machinery for doing the work.

When Capt. Dunham attended the Detroit Convention, three years ago, we were mot able to urge any plan for its consideration. Chicag, then had only 16 feet, while it has a eommere of 11 million tons, which is equal to the commerce of Liverpool. It is one-seventh of the total entrances and elearances of all the ports of the (irent Lakes, and yet the harbor is in a miserable little creek. Now that we are bailding this channel, a very little expenditure will give us the best harbor in the Lakes. So that

## Our Attitude has Changed

since the Convention of Detroit, three years ngo. We don't want anything less than 26 feet deep to the sea. I want to say right here, that in my opinion, when
we make an effirt to got to the sea, we can get a stronger sentiment in the Weat and in the Mismissippi Valley for $2 \boldsymbol{l}$ feet that we can for 20 feet, and we can get it quicker. There is not a city west of the meridian of Detroit that will not nee every endenvor und overy argument aud every resoureo to urge a plan that will give them necens to the wen without being tributary to maborly on the route. They would prefer to have the time postponed a little longer, if necemsary, ruther than hure anmething done which might for a time, nal prosibly for a genemation, puatpone the comammation of that iden. I think it would be well to give yon some little dea of what ship, emmals mean, and I would not he a loynd Chicugan unless I pointed to this diagran and whened you that we are ont-dong hy a little anything that anylooly else has done. Our canal line the mame depth he that of Manchester and suez. It is 40 feet wider in the rock cotting than at Manchester. When that channel nt Chicngu is completed we will have bronght the Missisкipui mavigatimond that of the lakes within 6 miles of ench other. They are now 320 miles apart. We will turn enongh water from the Lake to ruise the Mississilpli one foot at St. Lonis, and ald 225 miles of navignhle water to the Illinois River. We have pht onrvelven in a position to have 14 feet of whter th the Gulf of Mexico and 21 to the Atlantic. The channel will make tributary to us the Mississippi Valley, the future bread-lmaket of thas Continent. We will be ahle to use that route 9 months in the year, or 2 monthe longer than the Canadian ronte. We don't expect to do a large furcign trade in that direction. We do, however, expect to extend our commerce in the Sonth, a region where wo have not yet a stronghold. We expect to reach the Carribean, Mexican and South American consts, very fow harbors in which have more than 14 feet of water. This route is in no sense a rival to the lake route to the ocean; it is supplementary thereto.

I wish to puint ont another thing to you. We me building a channel right past Chicage, atarting at Chicago and sending Lake conmerce right through, and dloing it with our own money. We had a goed many people in our town who thought that was extremely unwise, thinking the City of Chicago would bo transferred to the other end of this channel. We had people in Chicngo who, when Wm. Ogden started the Galena road, the first railway that went west of Chicago, opposed the enterprise becmase they thought Chicago would be transferred to the ethl of that ruad. The State of New York, when it chartered the Lake Shore road out of Baffalo, would not permit it to connect with the Now York Central because it threatened to destroy the tenming and transfer business of the City of Buffalo.

I don't think, and no one in Chicago thinks, that the prosperity of that city is based on the ilea of levying tolls on farmers. Our supromacy will be maintained by reason of what facilities we con afford for collecting and distributing the products of the country. I don't think any city can mantain prosperity on any different plan for a long time or much longer than the rest of the people can combine against it.

## Thaftic Statistics.

There are some traffic statisticn that have an important bearing on the question of route. The census returns for 1890 showed that the Lakes were carrying 20 per cent. of the ton mileage of the United States. If you allow that the balance of the shipping of the United States is equally well employed then it
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 h. and n who transwhen icay", to the erond ecause uffalo. city is tained a pron my com-follows that umore tons of freight ane to-day tranymirtex by witer than by rail. That in one faet. Then take the Detroir River. Twenty-six and a-half million tons of freignt passerl throngh there last year. Leess than 4 million tons crosed the river by the railsays. Take the City of Chicagn. There is not a week in any summer suanom, there is not a year in the hast five yoars that mone freight has int gone uast by watur than hy rail. Of the entire commerce of that port, over onethird is dune hy water. These are facts you want to lay close to your mind in

Another m,int: Take the census of population of eities in the United States of over $10,($ (OK), over (4) per cent. of that population is loeated on uaviguhle waters. That is why people gather there. If you draw a line from Sandy Howk round by the Girent Lakes, down the Mississippi to the (iulf of Mexice and buck along the senbonard you liuve bis per cent. of the city peppulation of the United Stutem. What are cities for? To trusuct emmeree. That's what makes them, and some. times I chink that it is extremely fortunate that Providence has phaced navigaile watera alongsile the hig towns. I don't know how they get there unless it was apeeinlly dome. (Luughter.)

## Rallway Oppontton to Watenways.

Another point: There is "gonsl deal of talk ubout railway oppusition to waterways. I den't believe it exists. I Aon't believe any intelligent railway manager is fighting waterways. If there is leo ought to be retired. Some time ago a gentleuan who was then the controlling apirit in the largest trunk line running Nurth and South mule this remark: he said, "if you are hilding a little ronte to cut rates we are against you, but if you are building a big route which will divert commeree in this directim, we will get our ahare of it and we will promote such a seheme in cwory way we can."

I have the same opinion from different railway men in Chicano, whose linea compete with us in the South. The milways whieh are paying dividends are ruming in competition with water in every case, Railway managers have, during the past 15 yeary, been discovering that fact.

## The question of Rocte.

There are certnin general considerations ns to the question of ronte. In looking at the question of route 1 consider it as nn engineer would eonsider the location of a railway from the seaboard to Chicngo. In building sueh a road engineering questions are not leading questions. The object of the road is to get trattic, and I would run my first line through all the traffic points I could reach, in order to get the support of everything possible along that line. In a case of this kind I would also like to get the penple's momal support. Later, when that railway had developed a large traftic, and we found there was a great movement to the seaboand, and the road was overtaxed, I would begin to build a loop-line. I would shortent the route wherever I eould and relieve the congestion of traffic. The saine theory ought to prevail in regurd to a cannl route from the Upper Lakes to the Atlantic, modified to seme exteat by the question wiost and what we can afford to pay.

In considering the question of origin we have two points, Chicago and Duluth, which we recognize have a place on the map, ton. These are the starting-points in any waterway: The Atlantie Coast, New Yonk, Philadelphia, Baltimore, etc., receives several tinies the traffic from the West that goes to foreign ports. New Fingland alone receives more than is exported. Furthermore, the movement of domestic freight is steady mi constant. The foreign movement is heavy some reasons and dull others.

## Domentie and Yoreion Transportation.

If you consult tho history of canals and railways you will find that the line of domestic transportation determines the line of foreign shipment. You have your Welland Camal. Ihere never was a tine when that was not a better route than the lirie Caull ; and yet there never has been a time that the Erie didn't carry five times as much as the Welland. These are facts we have to take into consideration in determining the best route. From the waterway standpoint there is another important fact which the engineer considers, and that is the question of free water. I was once told by an eminent ship builder that one of the great Comard liners canoot make its best speed unless it is ruming in a thomsand feet of water. I believe it is true. We all know the effect of steaming in a restricted chamel. In regard to camals, it woold be an extratorlinary one that would allow a vessel to make 5 miles an bour. Then as to lockage. I think great improvemente are about to be male in locks, hy which the evils of loekage will be greatly diminished. You have to allow ten miles for every lock. The question of length of route is not the point in regard to a camal. It is the length of time to make the trip through it. The distance from Chicago to Toledo is $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ miles. A canal between these points would cost 8750,000 per mile. We could start a lake propeller at Chicagy and run her quicker round Michigan than by any canal that money can build. What is the use talking of it ?

## The Americtan Standpoint.

Now let us get at the application of some of these ideas on the practical side. Take the question from the American standpoint. We are obliged to come into Lake Ontario on any theory that I can formulate. We can't escape that. Then we are divided as to the Mohawk and Champlain routes. All routes that are possible from the Lakes to the American seaboard go through the Hudson valley. We are obliged to comstruct it in the vicinity of Albany, either by the Mohawk or Champlain woute. To preperly build the Mohawk route so that boats can go throngh it with any degree of speed and satisfaction, will, in my opinion, cost three-quarters of a millint dollars per mile. The distanee is $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ miles.

## Tue Cihamplan Route

Is down-hill all the way. It would afford a wider and deeper route. In the Nehawk route there might be a difficulty ahout the water supply ; but that, I believe, can be overcome. Looking at the conntry as a continent, without reference to houndary lines, I am entirely clear on the point that the first deep water developmont should be to the Athantic seaboard by the Lake Champlain route,
with an incidental section by way of Montreal for foreign commerce. If I owned the eomutry as a Caar I would start and make 20 feet through all that line as fuickly as it could be done. (tpplanse.) I would put all permanent structures down to 26 feet. I would construct the work in such a way that there would be no more diffienlty in adding 2 feet to the depth from time to time than now exists in deepening the shallows of the Lakes. That is the poliey upon which I should work. At the same time, there will come up the question of shortening the reute, and it will be a shorter time in the future thin most of you will imagine When that time does arrive you can spend money more profitably in deepening than in shortening. Jeeper and harger hoats will carry freight cheaper than smaller hoats on shorter rontes. Ultimately the eongestion at the locks will have to be relieved by short cut-off routes.

I wish to give you some facts in regard to the Sault Ste. Marie Canal luck. Last year the actmal working time of hoats going throngh the locks was lio days out of 230 duys of navigation. There are times when bats are delayed there tor a considerable length of time. For all practical purposes the limit of the old look of 1881 is now reached. So there is a limitation in regard to what a canal will do.

As far as we represent Chicago we are not here io agitate any particular route. If anyone has a route, be it long or short, by which he is going to get deci inater to the sea, we will bil him God-speed. We simply want to get there, and get there quickly. I belicve that in 8 or 10 years any canal you might build to the American sealoard would develop a commerce that would practically owertax it. I am prepared to believe that 20 yenrs will see a commerce of 00 millions through any route which may be luilt. There will be room for all the projected canals, Ottawa, Hurontario, Mohawk. They will all come in their proper order, according to the efforts and ability of the men who are pushing them,

## The International Àspect.

I Snink I have now covered the leading points from an ongineering point of viev, The other side of the question, the international aspect, lends a gravity to the matter. Thore ought to have been no boundary in the first place. (Laughter.) The commissioners who laid it out didn't lay it out with regard to water routes, or they would have included the sweep around by Rouse's Point in the United States, the territory where this Champlain route ought to be made.

Mr. Brown - What is the matter with taking the rest of Canada? (Laughter.) Mr. Couley- Well, that is a matter for yous. I know there are a good many Canadians in the United States. I min not sure but a large lordy of the people of the Cuited States would be willing to trade a good part of Maine for the land we need to make the Champlain Canal. (Laughter.) In comsidering this question we must, to a certain extent, ignore these boundary lines, or subvert or provide for them in some way, so that when we attack this work we virtually go at it for all commercial and practical purposes as one poople. How that is to be brought about I don't know. I hope some one will ho here to point that out, and that there are statosmen big enough to solve it. Just as surely as this Continent is lad eut on ene grand plan, independent of boundary lines, just so surely will the
people be bound together by commereial links that will ignore all questions of internationality.

Mr. Brown-Our people have been nsking concerning the feasibility of the construction of a 20 -fort chanmel down the St Lawrence. I moderstand Mr. Cooley. in conjunction with other engineers, has the engincering facts and surveys in conneetion with that matter.

## The Eitimatei, Cost.

Mr. Cooley-You have Mr. Corthell's estimate of 27 millions for a 20 -foot waterway down the sit. Lawrence. I have no doubt it cat be done for that sumthat is, from Lake Ontario to the sea. Then we have an estimate of $823,000,000$ for the propused canal on the American side, between the Niagara Kiver and Lake Ontario, by way of Tonawanda. These estimates are official. In looking the matter over I have no doubt we can build the canal proposed in a far more elaborate style than contemplated for $\$ 23,000,000$. So the estimate for making a 20 -foot channel from Lake Erie to the sea is 50 millions, that is on the supposition that the Welland would not be utilized on the new system. If the Welland were used, the locks would have to be lengthened. We have boats 130 feet larger than the Welland Canal locks. It would be Tretter to build a new canal altogether. The Welland Canal has about three times as many locks as it should have. To project the work in such a way that you could obtain a 26 -foot channel in the future need not add a grent deal to the cost. I should say that could be provided for by adding 30 or 35 per cent. ; but I would not want to present that figure with confidence. I only judge from what I know of the Chicago enterprise. The route giving 20 feet of water from Lake Champlain to New York would cost $\$ 50,000,000$. If we omly had the necessary territory, we could get to the American seaboard for one hundred millions.

A Delegate--Are there any natural advantages in the proposed Tonawanda Canal orer the Welland?

Mr. Cooley-No, except we get a little better system of locks. There is a fall of 326 feet between the two lakes. In building the Chicago Drainage Canal, we were advised to nse the old canal across the divide, but we found it absolutely cheaper to build an entirely now channel, rather than revise the old one, and maintain the traffic while we were doing the work. I have the lake and river charts down to the boundary line, and I find that down the St. Lawrence there is a genemil arerage depth of 50 feet. It is ohstructed in places by shoals and here and there by rock and ruef. . My limitation in regard to the depth of the canal is 26 fect. I shonld not be smrprised, in 3 or 4 years, to become convinced that 30 feet would be mure desimble, but it must be remembered that when you get beyond 26 feet, the proportion of shallow water becomes very large, and the liability of injuring deep draft is ao great that it is doubtful if it would pay to go deeper than 26 feet.

Mr. Faulkner-What would he the cost of deepening the St. Lawrence so that vessels could make the down trip?

Mr. Cooley-I do not know. I have not considered that question. I should say, up to 20 feet it eould he done. There is a good doal of uncertainty in moving rock in a heavy current.
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Mr. Faulkner-I understmid Capt. Harbottle says for a million or so he conld make a channel for a 20 -fore vessel.

Mr. Cooley--I would sonser his bot would make the trip than mine.
A Delegate- What ronte would youi have to take to keep altogether in American territony?

Mr. Cooley - The Mohawk is an altogether Anserican route.
Mr. Battle-(On the Welland Canal there are $2 \overline{0}$ locks in a fill of 326 feet How many of these locks could be done away with ?

Mr. Cooley-The French. build little locks, 21 feet wide, 130 feet long and 10 metres or 39 feet high. There is no reason why the Welland should not have locks of 40 feet, and I don't know but you eould exceed that. The locks in the Welland are 15 feet. When we reach Mantreal with our commeree, if wo have no Champlain route, we are still further from the Atlantic coast than if we remained in Chicago, because Montreal, by the sea route, is 2,000 miles from New York or Boston. The deepening of the canals to Montreal would only provide for our surplus products. My belief is, you would be disappointed in a purely St. Lawrence route. But if the Champlain route were built iu comnection with the St. Lawrence system. Canadian vessels would save a thousamd miles groing to the West Indies. The proprition of shallow water in the Hudson is not very large. There is less shallow water to dredge in the Holdson than the St. Lawrenee. Montreal and 1,440 to tide watiago tis New York and Montreal- 1,265 miles to mot have more than 10 miles of in the Hudson-you could get a route that will

Mr, Goulder-How for cialal, not more than 100 miles of shallow water.
Mr. Cobley-From St. Jroy from the St. Lawrence by the Champlain rente:
Mr. McFee-Can gou give us Tray dam is 200 mikes.
my idea of possible rates ?
New York for one dollar per ten.
Mr. McFee-As against the present rate of how much?
Mr. Cooley - 84.80 by rail from Duluth.
Mr. MeFee-How does the Champlain route compare in length with the Erre Canal route?

Mr. Cooley -It is 200 miles longer.
Mr. MeFee-Don't you think the railways will meet any competition that improved waterways may bring on?

Mr. Cooley-No ; I do not. Improvements in steam navigation during the last 00 years has kept ahead of the improvements in railway transportation.

Mr. MeFee-Is not the return cargo a very important factor in wates in the Tpper Lakes?

Mr. Cooley-Yes; a return cargo cheapens the rate.

## Mr. N ario?

Br. Cooley- Yes,

Mr. Nettleton-If your could get into Lake Ontario by the Georgian Bay Camal o by a ship milway, wouldn't that be the best route? It would save 300 miles.

Mr. Cowley-We eammot afford to side-track Lake Frie, which is our most important lake from a commercial standpint.

Mr. Smalley-Is it worth uhife eonsidering the use of lake ressels for ocean tratic ? Is it not a fact that lake vessels are not strong enough for the ocean !

Mr. Cooley - I think if you had connection with the high seas yon could have boats that would sail in both waters. I think our present fleet wonld do it all right.

Mr. Smalley - Ou: experience in sending whalebacks to the Pacifie was not satisfactory.

Mr. Cumberland - What is the depth of water between New York and Albasy !

Wr. Cooley-Hrey are asking to get 14 ; they have 9 . Up to within 30 miles of Alhany there is 20 feet of water.

Mr. Wheeler- What effeet would the building of a 20 -foot cinal have on the mean level of the Iakes?

Mr. Cooley-I don't thimk it would amount to a pratical question.
The Convention then adjourned till 3 p.m.

## TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 18TH-AFTERNOON SESSION.

The Convention resumed its sitting at 3 p.m., Ald. J. Es Thompson in the chair.

The following letter was read from the Chamber of Commeree, Duluth :
"Owing to the terrible alamity in the shipe of forest fires, our city within the last two weeks has become a veritable city of refuge as well as hospital. We have had as many as twelve hundred to feed, and to some extent, clothe. Uuder these eircumstances almost every active member of the Chamber of Commerce has been engaged in relief work, and your invitation and the matter of appointing a delegation has beem lost sight of. I ant instructed on hehalf of the Chamber of Commerce to express profound regret, and to assure you that this hody has earnest sympathy with the great oliject of your convention, and it is to be hoped that your deliberations will be attended with ahundant suecess."
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## THE ECONOMY OF THE 20-FOOT CHANNEL.

## Mr. Peter McIntyre then read the following papor:

In dealing with the subject of eheap transportation, a retrospective glance at the history of the earrying trade of the St. Lawrence River and direat Lakes will

My own experience in steambat and transportation business goes back to the year 1867, when the various Provinces were welded into what is now our great Dominion. At that time the Welland Camal loeks admittel vessels of 1.44 feet vessels 180 feet long, 45 feet bean and 0 fore the St. Latwrence locks arlmitted the wisdom of having this differenew in feet draft. Thave never been ahle to see (iovernment commenced the work of size of the canals; and when, in 1874, our 45 feet and 14 feet deep, and left the $S t$. Laing the Welland Canal to 270 feet by see the wisdom of commencing the im. Lawrence system mimproved, I failed to instead of at the natural starting print.

It seems to me that it would be well for us to-day, in recommending any improvements in our waterways, to keep this fact fully in wiew, and see that the error of the past is not repeated, but that the future improvements start at tidewater and work upwards. Those of you who were in the vessel husiness of those early days will remember the fleet of Welland Canal propellers trading from Mon. treal to Chieago, and the large fleet of N. T. Line propellers ruming from Ogdensburg to the West. The moment the Welland Canal was enlaryed these small that were built thpossible to live in eompetition with the larger class of vessels were either lengthened to 180 the new canal, and one by one they disappeared, fleet in the Montreal trade is reduced to on other routes. To-day the Canadian which tranship their eargoes at Kingstom into 10 or 12 steamers, the majority of burg fleet cousists of large steamers like into light-draft barges, while the Ogdens-


The rapid increase in size of the $w$ what to their flestination. within the past five or six years is remarkessels on the Chicugo and Buffalo ronte a steel steamship of the most recent Chicago on a draft of 18 feet, the other clay, earrying 5,130 tons eargo into South steamers is here to stay.

It must pay to run such large craft, or the shrewd Western vesselmen would ont continue building them. Another fact it is well to remember is that while there is no doubt if a 20 -foot chaunel was in existonce from the Great Lakes to the ocem a great many of the ocean freight steamers would find their way to our order tre get the maximam of economy in carrying.

In order to show the economy of running the large vessel as compared with the small ene, I have estimated the earnings and expenses of a whaleback steel stenmer, full size of the present Welland loeks, of the model made by Mr. W. E. Kedway, Marine Avohitect, of this city, who has given considerable attention to
designing of such eraft, and a sinilar steamer 350 feet long, 42 feet beam and 20 feet Araft water, both of a 12 -mile speed. Supposing they could run through to Montreal without breaking bulk, taking full cargoes of wheat down at fire cents, and bringing back half their capueity of freight at $\$ 1$ per ton, the result is that the large vessel can earn a 20 per cent. dividend, while the smaller one, under precisely similar cunditions, ean earn only 14 per cent. In other words, the large steamers could carry grain from Chicago to Montreal for four cents per bushel, and earn as good a dividend as the smaller vessel getting five cents. This represents a saving which runs up into the millions when it is applied to the vast grain crops of the West and to the freight aoving from east to west.

## Statement via Welland.

Estimate of earmings and expenses of a steel steamship of the Redway model, 255 feet long, 42 feet beam and 14 feet draft water, on the route from Chicago to Montreal, via the Welland Canal, 1,266 miles :
 Estimated Earnings.

Estimated Expenses.
Wages and board 21 inen, 210 days, at $\$ 35 \ldots . . . . . .$.
Conl, 230 tons per trip, 3,000 tuns, at $\$ 3$
$\$ 63,375$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Engine expense, oil, etc. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } & \mathbf{9 , 0 0 0} \\ & 500\end{array}$
Elevating 975,000 bush., at $\frac{1}{4}$ cent $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . . .$.

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Shortage } 975,000 \text { bush., at } \$ 2 \text { jer } 1,000 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ & 1,950\end{array}$
Canal tclls on 29,350 tons . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2,925
Canal tolle on 14,62 ó tons up . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\quad \mathbf{1 , 4 6 2}$
Customs fees, ete . . . . . ..................................... . . 500


2,000
General expenses. 2,000

Depreciations, ò per cent. on $\$ 115,400 \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . .$| 841,499 |
| ---: |
| 5,750 |.

Net profit, 14 per cent., ur.
816,126

Stathment via Enlahoen System.
Estimate of earnings and exprenses of a steel stemushil, of the Redway model, 350 feet long, 42 feet beam, 20 feet draught water, on the route from Chicago to Montreal, via the Welland Canal, 1,2g6 miles:
Cost of vessel

| Speed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consumption of conl .......... ................. 12 miles per hour. |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

101,000 bush. wheat, or 4, 530 tons,

## Estimated Earnimys.



## Estimated Experses.

Wages and board 23 men, 210 days, at $\$ 37$................ \$7,770


Elevating 1,963.0f0 bush., at $\frac{1}{4}$ cent $\ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . .$.
Shovelling $1,963,000$ bush., at $\$ 4$ per $1,000 \ldots . . . . . . . . \begin{array}{r}\mathbf{7}, 907 \\ \mathbf{7}, 8 \mathbf{5} 2\end{array}$
Shortage $1,963,000$ bush., at $\$ 2$ per $1,000 \ldots \ldots . . . . . .$.



Insurance, 4 per cent. on $\$ 220,000$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 5,625
Management. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\quad \mathbf{8 , 0 0 0}$

2,010

Depreciations, 5 per cent. os $\$ 225,000$
$\$ 70,183$
11,250

Net profit, $20 \frac{B 1}{800}$ per cent., or
846,162
I estimate that a steamer of the large size ( 350 feet) could make twe trips per month during a season of seven months, but I sllow the time of one trip for unforeseen circumstances, detention, fog, etc. I expect, of course, that all the artificial or improved channels will be made as easy to navigate as science and money can

The river navigation between Montreal and Quebec is somewhat aifficult, but the excellent system of buoys and beacons for day, and range lights at night at almost every bend in the river, makes navigation of this river to-day a far easier
task than it was thirty yonrs aro. With our canals with the latest improvements in locks, draw instend of swing bridges, the crooked phees made straight, proper appliances for the safe handling of the large eraft in the locks, I feel eonfident that as good time can be made navigating our canals and rivers with the steamer carrying 150,060 bushels as with the steamer carrying 50,000 bushols.

The inmense strides made dhring the past fow years in the size and npeed of the Atlantic passenger stemmess and the improvements in mariue boilers and engines oll $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}$, to show that improvements can be made on our lake craft, and althongh the speed of the Atlantic liner is not neerled for our lake transportation, yet the conomy derived from large size and improvements in machinery must he taken alvantage of if we wish to keep pace with the times and holl our own in the great race.

I have taken 12 niles per hour as being the most econmmienl spred, but I ant confirlent that the improvements that are being made in the most modern marine engines and boilers will warrant a speed of 10 miles per hour. At tinis increased speed two more trips could be made during the season at the enst of coal and canal tolls, which would add to the dividends very materially.

# Peter Mcintyre, <br> Steamberat Agent. 

## THE ST. LAWRENOE ROUTE:

Mr. Blain-Mr. Chairman and Gentlemen : The subject on which I am to address you is one that has oecupied my attention for a great many years. I need hardly deal with that portion of the river that is below the City of Montreal. The Dominion Government has, at very large expense, afforded facilities for vessels drawing $27 \frac{1}{2}$ feet of water from tidewnter to the port of Montreal. Bat, in ascending the river from Montreal, we find several obstructions. In the first place, from Montreal to the head of what is now the Lachine Canal, a distance of $8 \frac{1}{2}$ miles, we have two systems at present in existence that apply to these portions of the river as well as other portions of the river. The vessels coming duwn with heavy cargoes run the river from the City of Kingston to Montreal without touching the locks. As a matter of course, in ascending the river it is essential that there should be lifts tor overcome the rapids that are now overoome by the focks. So I have always had in my mind the desirability of affording the vessels ample accommodation to pass from the City of Kingston to Montreal, whether they draw 7 feet, as they do now, or whether they draw 14 feet, as they will do when the contracts that are now let by the Canmlian Government for these portions of the navigation are completed, or whether, as may be in the futnre, we may be able to get 20 feet of water from the head of the Lakes to tidewater. Going down they will have a running speed of not less than 15 miles an hour, and in some places more, because, as you oun understind, the boat must go faster than the current of the river in order that it may have headway and so be governable by the helm. The nature of the stream forces the vessel to the deepest channel, and, except for the danger of turning the vessel and striking on the rocks, they are perfeetly safe in running the rapids.

The question ariving is a praction onu-what will be the expense of getting 20 feet of water on this river from Kingston tor Montreal, and what will be the ablitional expense that will give us lockage to mable ua lo nseend the river? I have hore charts of only a portion of this river. The distance fron Montreal to Kingstom is 175 miles. From Kingston to the heal of the Ginlops Rapide probably an expenditure of a few thousand dollars might be wated to improve the chamel. But there is now 30 feet of whter mul practically not the slightest difticulty in passing down this distance, being 110 of the 175 miles from kingstom to Montreal. From the head of the dialops Rapids down to Comwall but little exponditure will he needed in order to secure 14 feet of water. There are three small camals known as the Williamshurg canals between the Cornwall cinal and the hem of the rapids. These, like the othor camals, are under contraet to be deepened, and the whole work will be finished, as the Minister of Public Works expects, inside of three years. When that is done we shall have 14 feet of water from the head of the Lakes to tidewater. From the point I have indicated to Cornwall the expenditure would not be very great. When wa erme down the river a little to the first point mhown on these charts, we find that comsiderable oxpense will be required to afford the neeessary facilities for uavigation. But when you go still furthor down aud reach that portion of the river whose difficulties ars now overome by the Buaharmis Canal, and will be better overome by the construction of the Soulanges Canal on the north side of the river, very considerable outhay will be neeessury. But here again the ontlay for 14 feet of water will be comparatively little. But in the entire stretch of water from Kingaton to the head of the Lachino Rapids the portions I have referred to are the only. porticas where there are serions ohstructions to a $\mathbf{1 4 - f o o t}$ chamel. But you can very well understand, that, as Mr. Cooley said this morning, the deeper you go down into the river the more obstructions you will finl, and in going from the head of the (faleps Rapids to the head of the Lachine Crnal it might be quite safe to say that in a great many places there would be a few of the hard heads in the bottom of the river that would have to be blown off, but in some wher places there would have to be a good deal of work done. I think probably I might safely say that 17 fect of water could easily be had all the way down to the head of the Lachine Ripids and there would be no insuperable difficulty in getting 20 feet of water from Kingston to Montreal. It will be a matter of expense of course, but it will not involve anything like what the people have calculated on in the past. I was very glad to find from the instructive disconrse that we had from Mr. Cooley to-day that he gave such an estimate as he did of this work. Let me say, that in Parlinment they have estinated the whole work from the City of Montreal to the west end of the Welland Cinal to cost something like $\$ 120,000,000$.

Mr. Cooley today gives you the idea that the work ean be done for 830,000 , 000 , and T am glad to be able to say, after carefully examining the suljject, that so fir as the $\$ 27,000,000$ estimated by Mr. Corthell for the St. Lawrence River is concerned, I very strongly believe that that would he ample. I had the impressien that the authorities in the United States had calculated the expense of the canal on the other side of the Niagara River at a much higher figure tban Mr. Cooley'sthat they had put it at $\$ 39,000,060$.

Mr. Cooley-Not in recent years.

Mr. Blain-I wis not quite sure of it, and 1 lave no doubt Mr. Cooley's figures are correct. So that this would give us facilities for vesseis drawing 20 fent from Like Erie -and that means from the hend of mavigation, for the works are virtually eompleted - to tidewater at a very moderate figure indeed.

I noticed that while Mr. Cooley was apeaking a great many gentleuan in the convention were anxious to see what the effect of these works wonld be. I do not profess to deal with that question fully, lut. I may say that the people of the Eastern States require $300,000,000$ bushels of grain to sustain their population. I made enguiries of the largest shipper in Toronto what he would earry grain for from Port Arthur to Montrenl, and his answer was six cents a bushci, What could we carry it for with a 20 -foot system of navigation? Three cents. The ealeulation is easily made. On the grain required for the Eastern Statea ulone, you have a saviog of 8 th, 000,000 , or a saving of 12 per cent. upon the entire outlay Mr. Cooley has spoken of.

And, gentlemen, that was but one item. As the gentleman representing 'se United States last night said, I lave been considered a crank on this subject. . I am not at all displeased at that, for the crank is a very important part of the machine. I did intend to draw your attention to the river below the Lachine Rapils, but my friend Mr. Conmee, who will second my reaolution, has examined that portions and is prepared with a plan showing 20 feet of water and only one lift between the foot of Lake St. Louis and the City of Montreal, and when he comes to second my resolution or move one himself, he will give an explanation of how that can be done. Reaching the head of the Lachine Canal, we have a long streteh through Lake St. Lonis the depth of which will be 50 or 60 feet. There will probably be a little spot at the second lighthouse where some small dredging would bo required, but that is a mere triffe. We ascend until we come to the entrince to the Beauharuois Canal. That is alout $11 \frac{1}{2}$ miles in length and has nine locks. The intention is to build a new camal and contracts are let for a canal 13 miles in length to otercome both the shallow water and the rapids on the river. That canal, it is expected, will be finished in about three years. Above this we reach Lake St. Francis. The accomodations for shipping on that lake are so great that although the United Siates authorities have made the most minute inquiry as to the whole bed of the river-and these are the charts from the United States Department amended last year, the most approved charts of this river that We have-they found that it would be simply a waste of time 20 record the soundings of that lake, and therefore the lake is passed over without a single depth being given. What may be the depth of the lake I cannot tell, but I know that the recurd shows that in some places it is 120 feet deep. The point where the 45 th degree of north latitude intersects the river is the point where the homndary line between the United States and Canada romning westward traverses the middle of the river. Please remember that, becuse my resolution is intended to deal with this great scheme in two divisions, so that Canada maty take the lower purt and manage it, and the United States take the Tpper part and manage it. This is in order to get rid of the political influences on both sider of the line. Going beyond the point I last referred to we come to the Cornwall Canal. I assure you, as to the rest, there will be no difficulty in getting 20 feet of water down through

Cooley's wing 20 works in the I do not of the :ion. I rain $f_{0}{ }^{r}$ What The nlone, ire outng '’e ect. . I of the whine mined ly one ren he nation have a feet. small come $h$ and for a in the Uove ce are inute nited - that undlepth that $=$ the idary iddle deal part This oing you, wigh
the river itself, and if we have sufficient capital invested in the lake trade we slanll have no iliftienty in overcoming the rapids. For the few miles above Cornwall to the head of the fialoper Rapilis I an not able to give yon a clemar explanation. There is but one elart in this eity that gives the informantion and that was old Cafthin Bayfiel's chart of (60 or 7o years age, when the facilitien for making the sumvey were not equal to what they are at present. But I do mot hesitate to say, and I should be soury to say it, if $I$ was mot sure of my facts, there camut be the slightest difficulty in getting 2 ) feet of water from the head of the (ialopiw down to the priat I have spoken of.

When we come to the head of the Gialops, ascending the river, we can linve 30 feet of water if we want it ; und, indeed, I do not thing there would bo any difticulty in getting 40 feet. But there is $n=$ nse in talking of that at present, seeing sea-going vessels require unly $27 \underline{2}$ feet. But we ought to luve the waterways deeper than the draught of the boats. I want to print out clearly that it is absolntely necessary that we shonld go down this river from Kingston with our lake bonts without the neeessity of using the loeks.

I have gone through the Suez Canal, nud I suppose it is the best-built canal in the vorld, or it might have been. It is simply a straight eut through a sandbank. Yet I find that, notwithstanding the fact that that ennotry is no well suited to eamal building, it is not possible for vessels to go fastor than from three to four miles an hour. If it is passible for us to run down the rapids at 20 miles an hour, it is most important that we should not be confined to a rate of four miles.. I have gone over the ground, every foot of it, several times. There is no serioun difticulty except above the City of Montreal, at the Lachine Rapids. There the hoat takes a plunge, and, as a matter of course, when the centre of gruvity is suldenly transferred to the front part of the boat, she goes down and strikes heavily upon the water, giving a considerable shock. But in no case is there half the shock that I have experienced on board an Atlantic vessel when the versel has leaped, as it were, from one wave to another. We can evercome that piteh' without any difficulty, as I dare say Mr. Corley, as a practical engineer, knows. We might improve the river at that point a little. The very nature of the river forces the boat down through the deepent water. I have it upon the very best authority, upon the authority of those who have actually navigated this river, that with suitable facilities, easily afforded, there is not the slightest difficulty in passing a loaded vessel drawing $2 \hat{0}$ feet of water, and having nat less than $\mathbf{0}, 000$ tone displacement, from Kingston to Montreal.

While I am on my feet perhaps yorr will permit nre to say-as it is information that ought to he at the disposal of the convention, though I am not going to deal with it at length-that we have also surveys of that portion of the route which is known as the Georgian Bay connection with the City of Toronto. I may give a few facts in relation to that in order that you may consider the question. In the first place, we have a survey from Gevernor's Inland, New York, and according to my recollection, Lal:3 Ointario is 247 feet above tidewater; When we come up,' through Lake Ontario we find that the elevation of Lake Simeoe nbove Lake: Ontario is 47 ? feet. The elevation of Lake Simene above Ceorgisat Biay is inf feet, If that is so, yon will see that the altitude of fieorgian Bay above Lake

Ontario is :44:3 fret. These elevntions must be considered in any phan for a camal that is made. The ent through the ridges for a 20 -fout cannl, if fed by the waters of Lake Sincoe, would be 220 feet deep. The propmition, if 1 molerwtand rightly. is that this cand is to come directly from (ieorgim Bay and to the ford from ( forgian Bay. In that case, the cat at the apox of the ridges will be 350 foet deep. And a cut will areruge so mach that I will be almost afraid to mention it, sering that we have not had minstrmmental sarveg. But I need havily may that this would be at enommonly expensive camal. It is proposed, I malorstam, to une the hylmalie principhe in seooping ont the momatain. Bat I do not see how wo could use the Waters of Lake Ontario to somp ont a monntain ano feet above it. In Californin where they have an inmense fall they cm bring down the water in their pipes and turn the moralo an the brow of the hill man seompl it out withont ditticulty. But that principle cannot he applied here.

It has been proposed that other mems than deop waterwas may be nsed. One is the ship railway, nlembly ineorperated, instend of the canal. Anotherwhich is proposed hy uy friem Mr. Ketehun of the Chigneeto Marine Raibny, which it is expected will be finished before a very hing time, nad whieh when finishod wall enry vessels across the peminsula as vessels were curried 300 years hefore Chist's time, where the Corinth Camal now is-is to tuise the ressels on pontoons, and thus carry them down withont the necessity of making any changes in the eanal. So that you will see we have three propositions to whinee the miserable system of eamals wo lave ut present. A eanal is like a chain, its st relugth is in ite weakest link. The sumallent liok upman canal fixes the enpreity of the canal and of the system. At low water a vessel can seancely go throngh on camal systell drawing F feet of water. As I have said, spenking in the House of Comaums, the engineer who ilosigned this system mast have surposed that n vessel was like a rubler ball, eapable of being syutered to smaller slimeasions without injury. I do not know of anything in which so mach money has boen lost nes in our eanal system. We are going to get rid of our present system and wo are going to have 14 feet of water. That is alremly under contract. This work should have been finished long ago. When the various provinces agred to confederate and form the Dominion of Canma this was one of the terms of minn-that the Federal Government should improve these waterways so as to mect the comvenicnce of the people of the grent North. West. Amilet me say, gentlemen, that I have met sume men sufficiently shart-sighted to say that wo want these waterways for ourselves. We want these waterwas for the human family, mod, for my part, I du not care whether the benefit is to this side or the other: it is in the interests of the producor, it is in the interests of the consumer, that we should huse deep waterways, that we shombl have enlarged faeilities for corrying from the head of the great Lakes that will enable us to reach tidewater and so to phace onr products in the markets of the world.

Mr. Smalley-I would like to nsk whether if the deep waterway by way of the river is made, it would lessen the cost of hoats returning from Montreal, or whether it would merely reluce the time of transit down the river.

Mr. Blain-I may say that I whs very much struck with one remark of Mr. Cooley to-diay, that we are consta ly, itgrowing our fiacilities. The gentleman
who has anked the question will nee that by facilitating transport down the river we more than double the capacity of our camals, we cun do moro than double the work we could do by going lown the camul. And aluther moint that might bear upon the case is, as generslly recugizel, the retarn freighta, are uanally not as great as the freighta fron the west to the enst.

Mr. Flower - I wonla like Mr. Cbuley to may whether there is any wher way benide the St. Lawrence that wimlid afforil two channils tos the nea, one currying the cargoes ly the guicker ronte and the other enking them throngh the lineks.

Mr. Comley - All things are posmble in engineering, but there is nu route that compares in chenmens with the St. Las runce. I do not seo any difticulty in the way of onaking two channols and that might be a asefal thing. In fact, I believe they have alreaily aplied that at the fialopm.

Mr. Buin-While Mr. Cooley is on his feet, I would like him to answer a question. We have heen debnting very nerinasly here whether we conh not resort to the hydranlic lift and disjense with locks. Such a system, if practicable, Wond greatly retuce the expense mecessary to give us the required facility for

Mr. Coolog-Sofar as the St, lawrence is concerned, you hase no rise on any cannl over fifty feet excepit on the Bemuharmis Canal, whore there is a rine of 80 feet. I do not see may difficalty from ath anging ting point of view.

Mr. Alan Machougall--We line he wabout this engineering question, and, as an engincer, 1 would like tor lave the benefit of the opinion of some practical shipmanter as to what would be the whinct of puting a shij) upon one of these hydranlic lifts. When a paper way read before the Canadian Society of Civil Eugineers on the guestion we men nuw liscussing, it was lmonght out in the lebate on the pager that many of the shig owners would not be disposed to have such large vessels as would carry $b, 000$ tons sulajected th the strain likely to be carased by one of these hydraulie lifts. Every ship owner, I understand, dislikem to have his ship go into a lock, the groum that it receives more or less danage every time it does so. If that is the case under the present system of loching, what would be the probalility if, as engincers, we were to strive to replace the present system with hydranio lifts? It has heen suggesten that in connection with some sohemes it might be practicable to make a lift from 45 to 50 feet in height. That would be prossible from ath engineering point of view. The question is, even if this is ncomplished, would it be of any adsantage from an economical point of view. Woulh any ship owner allow his ship to be put into the lift and enrried to that height? We have here many gentlemen who are interested in the shipping trade, and I would like, as an engineer, to have their opinion.

The Chairmat called upon Mr. Suydan for an address.
Mr. Suydam, before begiming his address, made announcements with respect to future meetings.

Mr. Blain--I would like to mention to Mr. Suydam, as Chairman of the Committee on lesulutions, I handed in two resolutions last night, dealing with the prejcet whele is lu be the auliject of Mr. Moterly's address.

Mr. Suydam--All resolutinus handed in are in the hands of Mr. Flower, who is the Secretary of the Committee on resolutions.

Mr. Flower--Even though we adopted a resolution not to reeeive resolutions after three o'elock, I am willing to tiake the responsibility of receiving any resolutions that may be sent in.

The Chairman-Unless ,hjection is taken, it will be assumed that that resolution is suspended in the case of any resolutions handed in.

Mr. Suydam-Mr. Chairman and Fellow-Continentals : I like Mr. Cooley's thought this morning that he wished there had been no boundary, that we had been fellow-citizens. But we are glad to greet yon here this afternoon as fellow-Continentals-not the "old Continentals" that we of the United States used to hear about. who went about in three-cornered hats and knee-breeches shooting the Britishers, but the new Continentals, who are here to make the waterways, the means of continued peace and improved trade. I am here to represent the $t$ win cities of the North-West.

We claim, Continentals, that we are at the summit of water transportation, and we are just one hundred feet in our eleviation higher than the City of Chicago. I think that justifies our claim. Mr. Cooley made another statement, in which I can go him one better, and I do not know but more than one. He said that if a drop of water fell at the centre of Illinois, part of it would flow to the Gulf of St. Lawrence and part to the Mississippi. But if a drop should fall at one point in Minnesuta, parts of it would run in three different ways-one part to Lake Winnipeg, and so to Hudscn's Bay ; another part to the Mississippi, and so south, and the third part would go into Lake Superior, aid so to the sea by the Gulf of St. Lawrence.

Now, we are here to ask to be placed not in the geographical centre alone, but in the hydrographical centre. We are very glad to-day that there has not been a shovelful of earth or a pound of rock taken out of the route of the Lake Superior and Mississippi Canal. That is the name by which our scheme has been christened. We are at the head of the Father of Waters. And we expect to hring there the mother of waters, and make such a union as will make our section the home of a great commerce.

When I lived in Chicago I thought a 12 -foot canal was a wonderful thing, but since I have lived in St. Paul, and particularly since I have come to Torouto, I have learned that what we want is not a 10 or a 15 -foot canal, but one of 20 or 26 feet. I um here to present to you air adopted child of ny own.
[The speaker then outlined a project for constructing a canal from Lake Superior to the Mississippi River.]

Mr. Mclntyre I would like to ask what is the length of this canal?
Mr. Suydam--That depends on the route you take. From 150 to 250 miles. When I find that men are talking about constrncting eanals with locks of 80 or 90 feet and providing for a fall of 347 feet in 66 miles I think I can go back to Minnesota and tell them there is nothing for us to fear in overcoming an incline of 400 feet in 125 or i:n miles. Let me refer to another matter in Mr. Cooley's
address. He drew you a line around from the Lakes to the Mississippi River, but he did not take it far enough north. This project that I have teld you of covers a larger part of the United States than any other that has ever been presented connected either with the Great Lakes or with the Mississippi River. I say that with all deference to the Chicugo Camal, the wonderful waterway that is now being built. This Chieago work is well enough for the Southern portion, but it leaves the northem part of the country still at the mercy of the railroads and we expect to ask and urge that feature upon the convention and we believe that we are in good shape for progress.

Mr. McIntyre-What depth of water have you in the Mississippi at St. Paul?
Mr. Suydam-Only 4 feet at low water and at high water as much as 15 feet.
Mr. McIntyre-If yon get the eanal built to the Mississippi do you expeet then to make a 20 -foot chamel down the Mississippi?

Mr. Suydam-Yes, ultimately. Then we will have the system which Mr. Cooley was describing from the Gulf of St. Lawrence by the Mississippi River to the Gulf of Mexico, taking in a larger part of the North American Continent prossibly than any other system of canal construction that could be demonstrated on the

Mr. John Brown-I would like Mr. Suydam to say in what respect he thinks this proposed work in international one. He says that it will affect the Province of Manitoba. If so, it is news to many of us Camadians.

Mr. Suydam- It is very simple. It would bring the products of Manitoba that much nearer transportation by water nod so lower the rate. In this relation I think this is as important a feature of the deep waterways project as any that we have discussed. I am not ready to go into details with you, but I helieve in the deep water system that will commeet the Mississippi River with the St.

Mr. Flower-There are two other cual projects that have not been mentioned. One is to improve the Red River of the North, so the slipping may move up and down, and then have a canal from Lake Superior by Rainy Lake and River to Wimnipeg, A good many wonder why this canal and the one to St. Paul and a great many others of the same kind are mentioned in this convention. It is true the railroads do not build their side tracks and feeders first, before they construct their trunk line. But before a railroad man builds a line he considers this matter of side tracks and feeders and where they are to be built. All these little canals brought forward by their special projectors have a relation to the main subject before this convention, because we are asking for a deep channel to the sen and the better feeders we can have for it, the more successful that chamel will be.

Mayor Taylor, Winuipeg-I do not wish to reflect on any great international scheme, but Mr. Brown has brought up the question, and it seems to me that his point was well taken. It has been stated by one of the speakers that it was contemplated to have a waterway to Winnipeg, by the Red River into Lake Winnipeg, and, I premise, on into Hudson's Bay. That would give the whole of Maniteba, the North-West Torritories, Minnesota and Dakota an ceean port of their own,
without rugard to the lake route; and if the other scheme were carried out, of having a route by Wimipeg River and Lake Winnipeg and the Lake of the Woods, across the Height of Land, it would give ns access tor the St. Lawrence system of navigation. I cannot see how this proposed cinal is an international scheme, unless it is a feeder to bring the freight from Minnessota and the Dakotas through Canadian territory to Hudson's Bay, snd thas by the shortest route to Liverpool.

Mr. Suydam-Even if this camal from Lake Wimipeg is built-and that iden has not met me before - it seems to me that it will only increase the value of this canal, but for a reasm that may not strike you all favorably, that is, that we may not sent our freights by way of the Lakes, but by way of the Mississippi River. I think that we ought to ehoose the best waterways route. without regard to province or eountry.

Mayor Taylor -I agree with you perfectly in that.
The Convention theu adjourzed till 8 p.in.

## TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 18TH--EVENING SESSION.

The Convention resumed its sittings at 8 orclock, Ald. J. Enoch Thoarpson its the chair.

The Chairman -I will now call apon Mr. E. A. Maedonald for an address on the sabject of the Georgian Bay Canal.

## THE GEORGIAN BAY CANAL.

Mr. E. A. Macdonald-The suliject upon which I shall briefly address you this evening is one which has agitated many minds, beginning with the time before I saw the light. And it pleases and inspires me to see one of the early movers in this project before me. I refer to the Chief Engineer of the old Huron and Ontarios Ship Canal Company, Mr. Kivas Tully, who made all the sarveys and colleeted all the data whill have been of any ose to our Company or ter the public up to date. In the fifties or early sixties the traffie was so small that the company then incorporated could not hope to carry out their project as a commercial work unendowed by the (iovernment, so they sought a grant of ten millions of acres of land, and I believe that negotiations were nearly completed, but the work was never carried ont. But though interest in the work declined, it lind never entirely subsided, and has heen reviveal at different periorls. Two yeary ago the matter Was taken up ngain, several new features being added to the project. One feature, the power alumbluct feature, dies urt interest you an a convention. I may explain,
out, of Woods, stem of scheme, through 'erpowl. jat idea of this we inay River. gard to
however, that there are two distinct features of the work in hand, one the power aqnaduet, by which the Company ean develop half a million horse-power fron Lake Sine ene and the waters flowing inso it. This one feature alone more than eounterhalanees the disadvintage of mot having the old bonus of ten millons of aeres of land. The productive power of the aquaduct makes Government nid wholly munceessary. In Mr. Tully's work we find there were borings made to the depth of the buttom of the then propesed camal. That camal was to have as its feeder and summit level Lake Simeoe, which is 180 feet higher than Georgian Bay. The plan was to loek up to the level of Lake Simeoe, and down a eorresponaling distmee. We proponse to cut the canal through on the Geirgim Bay level. In those days that would have been an unchinkahle work. 'In the Lake Simene level the work was estimated to cost from $\$ 22,500,000$ to $\$ 40,000,000$, nnd on the Georgian Bay level, five times as much as that, or possibly even noore. Such a stupendurs undertaking was of course at that time simply out of the question. The promoters of thas $v$ wat dud not realize that they had easily within control a power that would dig , $\quad$ : :- $\|$ in a comparatively cheap and siuple way. I rofer to the hydrualie met of : acavation, which has only lately eome into vogue, by menns of which a canal can be made almost as cheaply on one scale as on anuther, that is, if the formation of the ground is suitable. Of ecrurse we cannot apply the hydraulie method in the excavation of roek, but for suil of the mature of that between here and Georgian Buy it will apply very well. I have here some plans which will give the Convention an idea of the work to be done.

## [The plans were exhibited and explained by Mr. Macdonald.]

In the course of his explanation he said : The Nottawasaga River has slack water 15 to 30 feet in depth for a distance of 10 or 12 miles. Then the fall is gradual to a point within 48 miles of Toronto, where we propose that our tunnel shall commence. We know accurately the nature of the soil, except here beneath the summit and through the heavy cutting. The plan is to construct a 12 -foot conduit, or larger if necessary, from a point 12 niles from Toronto to the point where dredging would be impracticable. The water of the Nottawasaga River and Georgian Bay is available in illimitable quantities. Gates would be constructed and means of controlling the water at will provided. Imagine this conduit made, and the water flowing into the tunuel. The tunnel is luned with wood-a temporary lining. 1 would like that elearly understoon, beenuse some attempt has bean made to ridieule the idea on the supposition that this lining was to be permanent. The water coming down will, of eeurse, destroy the end section of this lining -the foree will be enough to split it into match-wood. That will lenve the next section exposed, when a similar result would follow, and so on. The water would wash the earth away. Of course the only thing that keeps the tunnel from falling in is the pressure of the earth around it, and as that is washed away the earth will fall in and will be washed away in its turn. The question is askel, How if it should not fall? All we have to deal with is the earth at the end of the tunnel, as the lining gives way seetion by section. If any part does not fall in in its turn, a dynamite cartridge will easily bring it down, when it will be washed away by the water. The novelty of the ilea has caused $n$ good doal of comment, and even of ridicule ; but if you stop for a moment and consider the power of
water, and the illimitable supply we have at command, you will see that the taking away of an obstruction of any size is only a question of the size of the tumel. Some engineering gentlemen have asked, Suppose you strike boulders? We expect to strike them. But ordinary boulders will be curried away by the force of the water. Even the stiff, indurated clay will be broken up and washed away. The whole tunnel can be made at an intinitessimal portion of the cost of building the Canal by the old method.

Mr. Conmee-Have you had borings made ?
Mr. Macdonald-We have had borings made below the level of Lake Sincoe, hut we have had no syatematic borings made by our Company down to the level of Georginn Bay. While we are eonstructing the aqueduct this Fall we will proeeed with the borings and test-pits all along the way. It is recommended that the borings be a quarter of a mile and the test-pits a mile apart. Those who have heard of this method of construction for the first time will regard it as new. But, while it is modern, it is not entirely new. It has often been used in Colorado and other Western States for irrigation purposes. They bore a hole through a mountain, nad, if it is drife or earth formation, it is easily cut out by the flow of water running through the timnel. If the method can be applied for 20 or 30 iniles, you can easily see that it is only a question of power to apply it for a longer distance, and we have the power.

Mr. Conmee - What will be the effect of this earth flowing into Lake Ontario?
Mr. Macdonald - Why, we will sinuply he taking earth from districts where land is worth $\$ 20$ to $\$ 50$ an acre, and using it to make land where it is worth $\$ 1,000$ an aere.

Now. Mr. Chairman, 1 have been reading a a great deal ahout this Convention, and I have studied the statistics showing the siving in the enst of carrying freight by improved waterways. The eireular issued hy the Committee states that carriage by water is seven times eheaper than carriage by rail. That may be so on lung distances. But so far us the Lakes are concerned, 1 find by examination of the returns that the saving by water earriage as against rail is just about half. That is the actual eost reported on Chieago freight by water as compared with land. Of course there is no through waterway, hint the combined rail and water route costs just about half that of the all-rail route.

We say that this Georgian Bay Camal will save a distance of 304 miles from the Upper Lakes to any Lake Ontario port, or 608 miles the round trip. In addition we propose to bave, instend of a large number of loeks as heretofere, just four very large locks to overeome the height of 347 feet from Georgian Bay to Lake Ontario. Another advantage is, that by using the hydraulie method of excavation we can make this canal as wide as may be deemed desirable. The great drawbaek in canals has been the slow rate at which vessels must go. I was over at Rochester, and saw the barges on the Erie Canal there going at a rate of 2 miles per hour, and I believe that 4 mides an hour is a very respectable rate even for the best cauals. Upon this camal vessels will be nble to go at the same rate as upon an open river. We intend to make this not only the deepest, but also the quiekest artificial waterway in connection with the Great Lakes. It would be the most
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direct and cheapest route for freight destined for the far East. Of course we never hope to divert the trade destined for Lake Erie ports.

Mr. Suydam - When do you expect to have this route rendy for operation?
Mr. Macr.anald-That wi!! depend upon what we learn by further investigation. If the ground is such as we expect, we shall be shle to baild through on the Georginn bay level; but if not, we will have to take the Lake Sirucoe level. We know we can construet it on the Lake Simece level, but we have not the information to speak with certainty about the canal on the Georgian Bay level. We expect to have the work empleted in 1898.

Mr. Suydam - What is the estimated cost?
Mr. Maedonald-From $\$ 30,060,000$ to $\$ 40,000,000$.
Mr. Suydam - Who niade that estimate ?
Mr. Maedonald-Several of our engineers.
Mr. Flower-How many days do you think would be sived in the transport from Lake Superior to Montreal?

Mr. Macdonald--I have never considered the question in that light. The Canal will save one-third the distance from Chicago ar Doluth to Lake Ontario ports. You can easily make the calculation.

Mr. Flower-Is it tu be an air-line camal?
Mr. Macdonald-Just about as the crow flies,
The Chairman - General diseussion is now in orter.
Mr. Tully-I have listened with a great deal of pleasure to the speeches made at this Convention. I was particulurly struck with the statement made by His Worship the Mayor, at the opening of the proceedings, that the Congress of the United States had passed a resolution that three commissioners should be appointed to eonfer with the commissioners from the Dominion of Canada for the purpose of establishing a system of deep water navigation for the commerce of the Great West. Now, I have some little consolation to give you in this matter, and I think the point has not been previously referred to. While the great war was going on in the United States we were building up the Canadian Provinces. In 1864 there was a conference of the Provinces at, Quebee, and at that conference the following was passed as the 69th Resolution, and was afterwards confirned byothe Act of Confederation, the Constitution of the Dominion of Cansda :
"The communication with the North-Western Territories, and the improvements required for the devolopment of the trade of the Great $W$ est to the seahoard, are regarded by this Conference as subjects of the highest importance to the federated Provinces, and shall be prosecuted at the carliest possible poriod the state of the finances will permit."

This work the Dominion of Canada has been carrying ont. The contracts for deepening the waterways to 14 feet have been let, and it is promised that this work will he finished in three years. If this Convention deelares that it is neccssary whave 21 foot or 26 -foot navigation, the Dominion of Cannda, under the
resolutions that I have read, will be bound to carry that out, for there appears the express will of the confederated Provinces, that whatever is necessary for this trade from the West shall be properly carried out. I may mention that I helieve myself to be the sole survivor of the convention that met here in 1855. I was not a delegate, hut I was apposinted by the convention to make a survey of the camal hetween Toronto and Georgian Bay. I have worked steadily for the eompletion of that project ever since, and uothing has grati jed me more than to see this great Convention met here to work out this great seheme of deep waterways, which was originated in 1855. To a certain extent I have modified my views with regard to the Georgian Bay Ship Canal. The great expenae involvel has been the cause of that modification of view. ror the last few years I have advoented the construct' $n$ of a ship, railway. I was converted to that project by the late Captaia Eads. He projected a ship railway hetween the Atlantic to the Pacific, across the Isthmus of Tehaantepce ; and if he had lived that project, no doubt, would have been carried out, and the Panma or the Niearagua Canal would not have been heard of as practical works.

Mr. Corthell was Capituin Ead's assistant, and most of you have rend his panphlet in the subject of the ship railway, and on the water route by Montreal, and the cost of deepening the canals to the Atlantic. He estimates the cost of seouring a depth of 21 feet at $\$ 27,000,000$. Captain Eads wrote a letter to the effect :inat this was the most favorable route for a ship railway that he new. The ship railway will only cost half what the canal will cost. There is no reason why large vessels may not be lifted out of the water and carried across the land at the rate of 10 miles an hour without damage. But it does not matter to me whether we have a ship railway or a slip eanal ; I want to see either one or the other, so that the traffic of the Great West can be accommondated. I believe that the momerce of the Great West will require all the improvements that can be made either by the cansl across the Niagara Peninsula or from Georgian Bay to Lake Ontario, or by the Ottawa Ship Canal, or any other way. I am favorable to all these projects and I believe that the Great West can make good use of them all. I may mention, without detaining you too long, that the first canal projected in Canada was the Lachine Canal, which was mentioned in the Constitutional Act of 1791. The work was begun in 1821 and finished in 1825, the very year that the Erie Canal was completed. If the Hon. Willian Hamilton Merritt had lived till the present day he would have been surprised at the demand of 21 -foot mavigation from the Great West to the Ocean, he having been satisfied with the Welland Canal for vessels of from 40 to 66 tons. When the Welland Canal wats projectod it was estimated to eost $£ 800,000$. It eost $86,500,0010$ before it was finished.

The question has been mooted here whether the Americaus would assist us in deepening our canals. Why, Hon. Jolm Henry Dunn, who was Receiver-(ieneral of the Province, was sent to New York when the Welland Canal was projeeted, and he got nearly $£ 70,000$ in New York to eonstruct the Wellaud Canal, this being at the time when it was to cust $£ 800,000$. You need not be afraid; if commerec demands it, we shall be mited in giving it. I do not see how it is possible for governments to interfero with the people's will. The Ridenu Canal was commenced in 1829, and the late Sir Johm Franklin, who lost his life in exploring the

Arctic Regions, laid the corner-stone at Bytown, now the City of Ottawa. The
Rideau was built as a military canal. Ridean was built as a military camal,

The construetion of the Erio Canal took away the trade from the St. Lawrence route. The book I hold ia my hand is a work on the Canadian camals by the celeWrated Dr. Kingsford, who is now writing a history of Canada, a very able work. When he was preparing his work on camals, in 1865, he ayked me to put my "pinions on record with regard to the Georgian Bay Canal. I will make n quots-
tion fro:2 what I then wrote:
"Will the enlargement of the Welland and St. Lawrence Canals ensure the division of the Western trade from the Srie Canal to the St. Lawrence? I think not, for the following reasons : Judging by past experience it appears reasonable to suppose that the bulk of the Weatern trade haviug passed the St. Clair River and reached the eastern end of Lake Srie will find its way to New York by the ation, apart from the question of low which is after all the most important considermiles nearer to Buffalo than ou lockage, it will he foond that New York is 48 following figures demonstrate the distances:

| Buffals to Troy by the Erie Canal ..... Troy to New York by the Hudson River | 350 100 | miles <br> ، |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total. | - |  |
| Buffalo or Port Coll | 500 | " |
| Port Dathousie to Kingston. . . . . . | 28 | " |
| Kingston to Montreal....... | 190 | " |
| Montreal to Quebec. | 170 | ، |
|  | 160 | , |
| Total. | - |  |

"At New York the produce of the $W_{\text {est }}$ has urrived at the Atlantio Ocean, distance of about 800 Lower St. Lawrence and the Gulf have to be traversed, a from Quebec to Liverpool is, howe $\begin{gathered}\text { en, } 478 \text { intie ocean is renched. The distance }\end{gathered}$ respective distances being 2 bow mile miles shorter than from New York, the 478 miles, the difference in distures and 2,980 miles. Deducting 48 miles from would be 430 miles ; but the high oceut fienstern eml uf Lake Erie to Liverpool dangerous navigation of the Lower St. Lawreghts consequent on the supposed trude at New Y.,!.!, and as the St. Lawrenwrence, still concentrates the Western been filled to their utmost capucity, while and the Welland Canals have never the recent enlargement, the infer, whe ist the Erie Canal is crowded, even after Lawrence Canals would not divert the West that the endarged Welland an! St. slightest probability of such a result the Starn trade. And should there be the enlarge the Erie Canal in the sme proportion.
"By the construction of the Geongian! Pay Canal 428 miles muditional wobld be saved in distance besides the monual losses on the St. Clair flats, which average about one million of dollurs. I eunsider these advantages would alone divert the
trade, which it is useless to expect enn he actomplished under any other circumstances."

1 hope to live to see the work commenced, as I have worked in the interests of the project som miny years. Whoever lives to see it completed will see the results I have apoken of here. But if the trade once gets into Lake Erie it will go by the Erie Canal to New York. I should not be surprised if the State of New York made a ship camal yet from Buffalo to Albany. Mr. Cooley stated that he wanted to get into Lake Ontario, but afterwards, when he was aross-exa aned, he naid that he wanted to get into, Lake brie. I suppose it dises not matter to the Western trade whether the route is by Lake Erie or hy Lake Ontario, provided it is the cheapest and best. I believe that the cheapest and best route possibla is across Ontarin into Lake Ontario. Mr. Corthell, the eminent engireer, of the United States, has proved that clearly, Having such authority, I can only say, that as dong as I have breath I will support this line.

Mr. David Blain-Mr. Corthell's paper has been referred to, and I have no hesitation in saying that it is the ablest paper on the question of leep waterways, from the head of the Lakes to tide-water, that has ever been w.itten, either on this side or the other side of the line. I have a copy of it, and I think it would be well, if the Concention approved, to have it pinted in the report of our procecdings, as it will give information which members of the Convention would find valuable.

Mr. Nettleton- If Mr. Blain moves that Mr. Corthell's paper be printed, I will secund the motion.

Mr. Alan Macluugall-I may say that Mr. Corthell's paper, having been read before the Society of Civil Engineers, the right of publication lies with the Society. No doubt the Society would be gha to give authority for its jublication, but I merely state the fact in order that the necessary application may be made.

Mr. Blain-l move that the Suciety of Engineers be asked to give authority to publish Mr. Corthell's paper.

Ms. Gifford, of the Patrons of Inu.stry, was called upon. He said -1 am here simply to watch the acts of the Convention, in order that I might report the same to my constituents.

Reference has frequently been mado here to the fact that agriculture is at the hasis of all industry in this country, and it has been inferred that by chenpening the cost of transporting agricultural products all classes would be benefited. One consideration seems to jredominate, and possibly the idea may strike me differently from the generality of people. The great object seems to be to provide facilities for transporting grain.

Farmers now regard grain as the raw product of their profession. The great object of the more advanced farmers is to concontrate with a view to putting their products in more valnalle shape. As all are aware the grain produced in Canada and the adjoining States must face conditions different from those in years gone by. We have to face the competition of all comitries in our common market, Great Britain. In view of this, it seems to me that we would have to bave $\boldsymbol{n}$
chonge in the system of exchanging commoditien as it were, not only within our "wn Dominon, but with the United States, in order to realize the full advantages the waterways. We have earrigge such as would bo brought about hy deepening products letween this country practical inastration of the effect of exchange of Hpliced to the shippers in Montreal toreat Britain, which is our market. We Britain, a matter in which wo are mueh ins a reluction on the rate om cattle to conld so adjust affairs between this country arested. The answer was that if we would be secured, one-lanlf of the expry and (Freat Britain that teturl eargoes existing conditions, they could not expent rates inight be thrown off, but under Although grain ean be prodnced moury more cheaply than they were doing. this is true also of the Dakotas, atio cheaply in Mantoba than in Ontmio-- mad there will find that they must e, ean compete with other countries. The most progressive furmer form before they fecding all their groin. I can realize about progressive farmers in Ontario are which is very much in advance of whit can be get a bushel by feoding pork, Our firmers are finding this out und the guestiot for the grain in the market. trule to justify us-and this is a question I wostion is whether there will be sutfieient a large amomat of money to carry on impoud like to see dealt with - in spending be to earry raw proxluets. Once convince us fanes the object of which scems to ity elough to go heartily for any project that iners of that and we lave liberalUndoubtedly some things have been buat is for the advantage of the country. beyond what I expected. For instauce, If ont in these diseussions that are canal from Duluth or West Superior to St Paul it propesed to have a deep water to Winnipeg, and deepening the Mississippi sued Dhmeapolis and thence north vessels drawing 20 feet of water. It seome passage of the projeet it will he tow large a matter for this Duminiout is to be included in can be restricted to a moro simple selemer this Dominion to think of. But if it more readily. I shall report to my constituons eommend itself to the farmers disenssion and perhas they may sec fit to a my ideas out more elearly in that whe pablath the able to bring for your kind hearing, I trust you way than in these few remarks, I thank you closing, I assure you again that the fay come tor satisfatory eonclusions and, in support anything whish they believe will of the Dominion are liberal enough to this country as a whole. -

Mr. John Brown-I an sure it is a pleavare for as to hear sunething definite from a representative of those who, more than any other class, moke the wealth of the Province of Ontario. What Mr. Mifforl says abont the necessity of our farmers going out of the basiness of furnishing raw material for the world's market is quite true. But that does not settle the question. The prineiple recogized to-day in fixing freight rates is that the rate shall be charged according to the state of manufature in which the anticle is. 1 have here a eomplete report of everything grown and consumed in the Province of Onturio last year. I could give my friend, Mr. Giffird, the figures showing the saving that womld have been effected by eheaper freight rates in his own locality. Outario is king in oue thing -cheese. This Province produces over 93,001,ome pando of cheose, all or nearly all
of which goes for export. Suppose a line of vensels was atarten to carry only theese, and suppose the Ontario pronhet were shipped from Toronto, its natumb outport. You will see thar wrow woep one freight line carrying checse from the City of Toronto for a whole yenr.

Improved ficilities for transportation would mean a saving of $\$ 3,000,000$ on the prohucts of the farm exported from the Province of Uuturio alone. I-base these figures wot up in th proluct of any one year, but taking an average of eleven years. If that is true with regard to this I'rovince alone, onr friends will have a standpoint from which to entimate the importance of this question to the immense area in the $W_{\text {est }}$ which this project will bonetit. The saving upon cattle alone for this Province would nut be less than S $4(0), 000$; on hogs inere would be a saving of $\$ 200,000$.

Let me draw attention to mother matter which has not yet been considered here. We in this Province have been getting nur eon from our friends in the United States. Withont going into polities, I may say that it is a well-known fact that we, like the people of the Cuited States, have had to pay juste exactly what the coal producers on the other side of the line have chosen to charge. One of the greatest benefits of this schenve for tho Gutarin farmer and the North-West farmer, as well ins to us perple in the City. would be its effect in lowering the priee of coal. We have inmense coal fields in the Maritine Provinces, and, with e? facilities, there can be no doult that it would be a eold day for the coal ring.

The question has been raised-and a reasonable one it is-have we sufficient incoming freight to induce these steamers to eome to our port, as they would not be willing to take a cargo out and return light. Last year the City of Toronto received over $\$ 21,000,000$ of goods, dutiable and frec, as shown by our Customs returns. That is nearly one-sixtl of the entire import of the Dominion. I can assure you, Mr. Chairman and our friends, that the distribution of that trade will come here, and it will not be a matter of the far future. We have had many men in Cansda who are anxious to serve posterity. I shall be ghd of any benefit to posterity that comes from our work, but I am one of those who wish to get their share now.

Mayor Taylor, of Winnipeg, read the following paper' prepared by Mr. James Fisher, M.P.P., a delegate for the Farmers' Institute of Manitobn.

## OUR INTERNATIONAL WATERIWAYS AS THEY AFFECT THE NORTHWEST.

The Central Firmers' Institute of Manitoba having dene me the honor of appointing me as one of their delegates to attend this Convention. It has been a matter of the deepest regret to me that I have been unable to be present to take part in its deliberations on the very momentous questions that are to be brought under consideration. Taking advantage, however, of the invitation of the Committee that I should prepare a paper to be read at the meeting, I have ventured to suggest a few thoughts touching the interest of the people of Manitoba and the North-West, and specially of the farmers who delegated me, in the deepening of the waterways lending from the head of the Lakes to the Atlantic.
heene, itport. City of
 transpratation, for is it whe of less importmee to the settlers of the Canasian North-West 'Jerritorios and to thope of Mimesota and the Dakotas, Sitnated in the very centre of the vast continent, far removed from the great markets of supply and distribution, the prairie region of tho North-West is at a striking disamunatage. in respect of comvenience of necess th these markets, as comparod with othor comntries and districts that compere with them fur the supply of food problacts. In the eqso of the Camalian $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{L}}$ - West the diffeulty is intensified by the circumstance that between it and the seamard to the east there "ies a vast stretch over a thousand miles in width of at provent practically muproluctive tercitory, Rave at a few points. This entire district contributes little to the maintgnance of the one line of rail that comects ut dircetly with the Camadim East, The farmers of Manitoba and the Territories have to fay motes, both on the outgoing amb incoming freighte, sufficient to make up, not only the cost of maintemance and a dividend on the eost of cons ruction, in respect of the portion of the line within their own country, but $n$, in respect of the larger miloge in the mpprolnctive area. And the burden ! as laid upon their shoulders is mate all the more grievons by renson of the enormons cost of that very portion of the roal traversing the comitry that fails to contribute to either comstruction or maintennce. Spaking for the farmers of Manitoha it is not too much to say that the public in the Eastern part of the Dominion have searcely realized the hardship of their position in this respect. The settlers on the prainies of the North-West occupy a comitry uver which a line of rail can be and has boen constructed at a eost very much smaller than the cost in any other part of the Dominion. With more favorable grodes and with a much lighter snow fall than in most, of the othor parts of the Dominion it wonld seem to the ordinary observer that the rates of freight in ruch a country ought to be much lower than in tistricts that are less favorably situnted in those respects. In other words the conditions of the prairie region are, in themselves, such as shumb ensuro to the settlers rail transportation at unusually low rates. Unhappily for the settlers of the North-West the reverse is really the case; and the rates charged to them are in fact higher than in districts where the greater eost of construction and operation would naturally make it otherwise. This is due, not only to the great distance of the prairie region from the outer markets, but to the circumstance also that they have to boar the burden, in part, of construction and operation in the expensive hat unproductive districts. Upon the shoulders of the prairie settlers has thus been placed a double burdn. It is noi proposed in this paper to contribute one word to a discussion of the question whether the rates eharged to the settlers of the North-West are reasonable or otherwise from the standpoint of the railway company. Whether reasomable or not, the settlers feel at all events that they are a most grievous burdon upon them, nor have they any hope that in the near future the conditions will be so changet as to bring abont a material lessening of the load.

As a result of tho position I have described, more than half the price obtained for the grain of the jrairie region, in the ultimate market, is taken to pay the one item of transportation; out of the romainder of the price has to be paid the extra eost of incoming freight, so the settler's candle is in these respects made co burn mont fereely at both ends. When grain fetched a good price in the markets the
burden was not ar keculy felt, but now that it han renched the prorent low priees the pusition is, to say the least, dishenrtenitg.

Under these ciromotances the farmors of Manitoln aro looking almut for some monos of deli cerance from the burden of the rates, and they have been turnIng their eyes to the grent stretchen of water that exteml from the head of the Lakea tos the Athantie. They are legiming tor realize more mod more the wonderful extent of those great bulion of water stretching from Bolte lsle, at the entrance of the fiulf of st. Lawrence, to the zenith City of Minnesota, it the heml of the Lakes, a distance of mearly 2,400 miloa, mud at lenst 150 miles longer than the distance acruss the Athatic from Belle Iste to liverpoul. They have been taking note of the fact $\sqrt{\text { ane }}$ fir this entire distnnee, with the exception of whout 73 miles, we have continuons, mimperded movigation for seven months out of the twelve, over a highway reeoived ns a gift from lleaven itself an joint mud comaon herituge by the two grentest antinus on the globe-Grent Britnin and the United Stater, a bighway which has cust mot one dollar for construction, and which never goes out of repair. The farmers of Manitom lave heon giving their earnest attention to the thparalleled mivantiges offered by this great highway as a menns of transporting the commeree of the comntry. They bave been recalling with interest the wonderful devolopment of the trathe carried over these waters in late yencs. They have been reffeeting on the wise counsels that lave led louth of the great nations interested in them to overcome the obstruetions that impeded mavigation in the 70 and ohd miles referred to, and on the equally wise policy, worthy of such nations. that has ensured by solomin international trenty the use of these improvements on abolutely equal terms to the aitizens of looth countrics, no matter at whose expense they were made. The history of the cumal enterprise along this route, and of the development of the traflic thereon, js indeed an interesting one, and its consideration just now by the farmers of Manitoba, in connection with a proposal to further improve the chamels, is timely. It was just 60 years age this summer that the first lake vessel reached the then mpretentions little port of Chiengo ; it was some yenms later that the lake traftic extended to the waters of Lake Superior, and it was in the early years of the development of that trade that Henry Clay spoke of the proposal to expend public money on such un enterprise na the Siult Caual as like spenting it in construeting a highway to the monn. Yet the little traffic of Lake Superior, that for a munber of yearw was carried over the portage where the canal has since been built by one old horse and a cart, has awelled into the 11 or 12 millions of tons that are now carricd yently through the Sault Chmnel, without costing one cent to the shipper in the way of canal dues. It seenas like a chestnut to remind such a meating as this that the traflic carriod over the (ireat Lakes, in the seven months they are open, excecds the outbound and inhomin ocem trafice of all the ocean ports of the United states for the whole year, and that it exceelds also the foreign tratfic of the great proris of Lomidon and Liverpool combined. But the consilevation of just wheh facts an these is leading the famers of Manitoba to give more eamest thought to the possibility of a further developmont of the lake and canal trattic.

Our Western farners lave been considering, too, in commeetion with thas question, the remarkable difference between freight rates by water and those charged for rail carringe. To carry their wheat from Brandun to Fert willion,
w prices
loult for ent tirn1 of the miderful rance of of the hin the taking 3 miles, twelve, beritage tates, -feen out Ition to msjurtrest the
They nations in the of such aproveatter at ng this ig one, with $n$ go this jert of tters of de that erprise 1. Yet ver the rt, has gh the 1 dues. carricd thomend whole on and leaching ty of a illiom,

Eth milen, they pay 11.0 conte per lmosbel, and this is a mecial rate allowed only for thet shippling senson Tho stamdarl rate by rail from Wimijeg to Montrend.

 from Daluth to Mant real, abont the mame distance. They contant it alse with the water rate of 2 to 3 centen por lmand from Chicnges to lsullalo, woo miles, reduced



 River, which take about a month for one ic ar, trip. ' or urlath population in
 Arther to Wimipog, 4 bi milos, and the rate of ; per tom the the same promet
 per ton from Duhnth to Builalo, 1,400 miles, reduced one year to $2: 6$ centy, mad reaching at one timo an low a rute ns 11 cents per ton.

The Weaterif farmern nee planly how it comes that the rates ly water are we mach lower than thowe by mil. They recomize that nature's highwny is not ensily controlleal by niy monopoly. but that it is apen and free to overy permon who has capital and enterprise cuough to place a vessel upm the waters. Indeed, it is a remarkable fact that it has been fomm utterly impossible for the varions eompanter that own the great lines of vessels plying on the Lakes to form any combination for fixing raten, or oven definitely tosette any rates whatever. Fspecindy is this the case in regard to rates on grain. This arises, doubeless, from the fact that more than half the grain cariced wer the Lakes is taken hy "tramp" vessels. whose owners make their own rates and render it utterly impossible to organize a

There are amongst us some who are lonking forward hopefully to the day when, moder proper regulations and restrictions directel by some high authority, more than one railway company-nye, half-n-iluzen companies, if convenient-may run their rolling stock over one line of railway. A sturdy fight was once made in our Province of Manitoba, though withont snccess, $t_{1}$ ) secure such an arrangement over the line eoming in foom the United States, since uperated by the Northern Pacific Company. What an advantage it would be to Enstern Canada, for instance, if all the lines of railway in that country, inchuding the Intercolonial, could be nsed alike and on equal terms by the two great trenk lines that occupy the country. But we have no hope that in the near fature such an advantuge ean be secured for the public. In the case of the waterways, however, we have it already. The moss unpretentious craft that can carry a load of grain is as fee to use the highway as the greatest of the noted liners that flowt on the waters, and in that respect the waterway is hetter than half-a-dozen lines of railway, even though they were in netive competition with each other.

One need scarcely refor to the fact that an important factor leading to the immense roduction in freight rates in recent years on the Lakes has lieen the increased size of the lake vessels and the consequently larger luads that they carry. In the history of the development of the lake traftic, nothing is more remarkable
indeed, than the revolution that has quietly taken phee through the increased size in vessels. Some of those now on the Lakes carry in one load as much as 125,000 bushels of grain to Buffilo. It costs but little more to carry 125,000 lushels, in a vessel of that capacity, than it does to take 50,010 bushels in a vessel built to carry that quantity. I underatand that a chrlond of wheat takes nbout 650 bushels ; it would take about 16 trains of 20 cars each to carry the load taken by one such vessel. When our St. Lawrence Camals shall have been deepened even to 14 feet one of the "whafelnck" steanern with two barges in tow, will, I an told, tuke in one lomd from Port Arthur to Montreal, abont a quarter of a million bushels without breaking bulk. To take this quantity by ail would require neirly 20 triains of 20 cars each. One of these steamers, with three barges in tow, as I have read somewhere, has alrealy carried about 312,000 bushels in one luad to Buffilo. That means a load equal to the capacity of 24 such trains. Miny trains, of course, take much more than 20 cars of grain, but often they are less. A writer in a recent magazine says that the average load carried by freight trains in the United States is leas than 182 tons. Some of the new vessels on the Cpper Lakes with a draught of only 16 feet take in one cargo over 3700 tons, equal to more than 20 such average trains, while the whaleback steamer with her three consorts in tow will in one load, with one staff of hands, with one outfit of steam power, with one set of machinery, with no wear and tear of the track, and with little wear and tear to the vessol, carry through the 14 -foot channel to Montreal, when empleted, nearly 9500 tons-or more than is carried by 50 of such average freight trinins.

The result of this increase of capracity in the vessels, in respect of freight rates, is seen in figures such as these: In 1887 the avernge rate per ton per mile on the Lakes was 2.3 mills; in 1891 it was 1.3 mills. In 1871 the average rate on wheat by lake and canal from Chicago to New York was over if cents a bushel ; in 1880 it was 13.13 cents; and in 1891 it was less than 6 cents. In 1880 the averuge rate by lake from Chicago to Buffialo on wheat was 5.7 cents per bushel : in 1840 it was 1.9 cents. In 1887 the average rate on wheat from Dulath to Buffilo was 6.6 cents per bushel ; in 1890 it was 3 cents. In 1887 the average rate on coal from Duluth to Chicugo was $\$ 1.05$ per ton ; in 1891 was 56 cents. In 1887 the average rate on coml from Buffillo to Duluth was 70 cents; in 1891 it was 29 cents.

All this is the result of securing a continuous waterway of a rlepth of 16 or 17 feet, from the head of the Lakes to Buffalo, within recent years, ind of the eonsequent increase in the capacity of the vessels carrying the truflic. What further reduction in rates to Buffalo may we not expect, when, in two years mome, we shall lave that waterway deepened to 20 feet, and when one of the great wessels that will then be on the Lakes will carry a cargo of 6,000 or 7,000 tons?

But the farmers of Manitobat are especially interested in the proceedings of this Convention because it is called with a view to discuss the feasibility of improving the chamel right through to the ocean, so as to give a 20 -foot waterway throughout. Tu the people whose inte: wots I seek to present hefore the Convention sueh a scheme would be one of momentous importance. The mivantage of the lake and river route can never be fully enjoyed by the settlers in the North. West until we shall have such a channel, so that the largest cargoes on the Upper
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Lakes ean go through to the seaboard, or if need be to Liverpool, without breaking bulk. But the farmers of Manitoba will, I am sure, make no unreasonable demands upon the Canadian public. I believe they will not expeet that Canada, after spending $850,000,400$ on these works, will, for the present, at all events, ont of her own purse undertake the deepening of the Wellind and St. Lawrence Canals to the increased depth suggested. The farmers of the North-West will, I believe, ask no more of the Dominion at present in respeet of these ehannels than that the work of deepening them to 14 feet shall be pushed forward with the utmost possible vigor. If this Convention, by any action it may take, shall be the means of having this work hastened, so as to insure its completion at the very carliest possible moment, it will have done a great deal to help the farmers of Manitoba. I have seen a recent statement from the Department, intimating that it is expected to eomplete the work by the Spring of 1897. If I mistake not, it was said by the Minister, less than two years ago, that its eompletion was confidently expected in the Spring of 1896. Let us hope that even the Spring of 1897 will not find it still uncompleted. And is it not possible by a speeial effort, sueh as was put forth in Expectations Canadian Sault Canal, to complete it at even an earlier date? rerluction of are held out to the people of the West that a very considerable And if, as we understand, the whate the eompletion of even the 14 -foot channel. $8^{5}, 000$ bushels of grain, making a quarter of million for loads of from $\mathbf{7 5 , 0 0 0}$ to barges, such expectations ought surely to be realized.

If I interpret aright, the views of the farmers of Mamitoba on the question of the deepeuing of the Welland and St. Lawrence channels to 20 feet-and I hive been at some pains to enquire into their views, -1 believe they will be found favorable to its heing done as an International work. Assuming that the aceomunderstand why a work would be an advantage to both countries, it is diffienlt to For Canada alone to spend another $\$ 50,000$ 000 at the expense of both nutions. which the people of the Northern and North.W, or pessibly more, on a work in interested, would seem most. une and North-Western States are at least equally under present conditions Canada conable. It may be frankly said at onee that is evidently a very strong public opinion in afford to bear the whole cost. There is 20 -foot waterway from Buffalu to the seabue United States in favor of seeuring $\eta_{p}$ their minds to have sueh a highway thord, and if our good neighbors make they have to open a new chamel enwity they will proceed to eonstruct it even if however, much evidence that there is, amongst the eitizens of the United States in fight be expected, a strong opinion St. Lawrence route in preference to any in favor of improving the Welland and first place, that the St. Lawrence tomy other. It is recuguzed, of eourse, in the channel leading from the Lakes to the is the natural ehannel, and the only natural deepening of the Erie Canal to such a depatic. It seems to be conceded that the it is nlso the shortest and most eonvenient is an engineering impossibility. Then of directuess of route, the statement made by Mr be had. Upon this question of years ago, is so apt, that thoueh made by Mr. T. C. Keefer, C.E., a number upon this oecasion. Said Mr. Keefer:
" If $n$ thread be stretched upon e globe from any point in the British Channel to Toledo, Ohio, and arrunged so as to be upon the shortest line, it will be found that the St. Lawrence does not deviate at any point more than 30 miles from it, connecting in the shortest possible distrance with the most capacions, steady and economic mode of communication, the greatest food-consunning country with the greatest food-producing country in the world, inhabited by the parent and offspring of the most favored race of men."

Again, I find Mr. Keefer giving the following figures as to distances: From Chicage to Montreal, via the Welland and St. Lawrence, is 1,261 miles, of which 71 are canal, 185 river, and 1,005 lake-the canals having 54 locks, with a lockage lift of 013 feet. From Chicago to New York, via the Erie Canal, is $\mathbf{1 , 4 1 9}$ miles, of which 352 are canal, 202 river, and 865 lake-the canals having 72 loeks, with a lockage lift of 6 '́ó feet.

The idvantage of the St. Lawrence ronte from an engineering point of view is well put by Col. Orlando E. Poe, Chief Engineer of the American Sault Camal, and his statement on this question, though frequently published, is also well worthy of being repeated here. A resolution laving been introduced into Congress in 1892 unthorizing the President of the United States " to invite negotiatious with the Government of Canada to socure the speedy improvement of the Welland and St. Lawrence Canals, so as to make them eonform in depth and navigability to the standard adopted by the United States for the waters connecting the Great Lakes," that is 20 feet, the resolution was submitted to Col. Poc for his report. His answer was in these terms: "The Welland and St. Lawrence Canals undoubtedly occupy the most favorable and therefore the best line of water communication between the Lakes aud the Ocean. A deep waterway can be opened by their ruute at less cost than by any other, and there can be no question as to its advantage in an engineering point of view. So far as communication between the Lakes and the countries beyond the Atlantic is concerned every argument favors the proposition of this Bill."

It is interesting to note in connection with this resolution that the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce of Congress made its report declaring that "the Great Lakes furnish a highway for conmerce that has no parallel in any other country," and that "the impracticability of deepening or improving the Erie Canal, so as to admit the passage of ocean-yoing vessels seems to be admitted on all sides."

Is it in any sense an unreasonable proposition that the two nations should unite in the improvement of the water channels that are the joint and common property of both? Certainly the idea of a joint expenditure upon them has been the subject of serious consideration in the past by statesmen on bath sides of the line. In the negotiations carried on in 1874 by Sir Edward Thornton and George Brown with the American Government, one of the proposals submitted was "that a joint committee be formed and continued charged with deepening and maintaining in efficient condition the navigation of the St. Clair and Detroit Rivers and Lake St. Clair." It is true the Treaty then propersed fell to tho ground, but 1 have never seen it suggested that any objection was made to the proposition that
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the improvement of the waters named should be made by both nations, and it is impossible to conceive that such a proposal would be objected to. On the contrary, if I mistake not, the two nations did actually abont that time make an expenditure to impreve the navigation on the Detroit River. Were it possible by rock cutting or otherwise to remove the obstruction in the Niagara River, that stands there in the shape of the great eataract, would it be considered resomable that one alone of the two eountries should undertake the entite work? Surely no one would argue for a moment in that way. And if, bectuse of the character of the obstruction, it has become necessay to divert the joint and common watercourse through the land upon one side of it, is it less reasonable that the twe nations should join in doing it?

Is the fact that the canal has to be made through the territory of one country a reason why the other should not juin in its construction? I cinnot understand why it should be so, so long as the canal is hut a diverting of the joint and com. mon highway to avoid a natural ohstrucuion that stands in its path. If such a reason bo indeed a good one, it would be conclusive against Great Britain or the United States sponding money unon any canal in a foreign country, uo matter how advantageous to either or both the countries. But sucl cousiderations have not prevented both these antions from interesting themselves in the Nacaragua Canal. If I mistake wot the United States, ont of the Federal purse, has paid the cost of a survey. If that canal is to he completed, it is well understood that it must be done by either British or American capital. The Governments of both countries, recognizing this, have taken care to protect that capital as woll as the undertaking itself. It is interesting at this time to recall sume of the provisions of the ClaytonBulwer Treaty of 1850 entered into between Great Britain and the United States, in respect of this canal. That treaty in terms declared that its design was the "constructing and maintaining of said canal as a ship communication for the benefit of mankind on equal terms to all, and of protecting the same." It was provided that "ressels of the United States or Great Britain traversing the canal shall in the case of war between the contracting parties be exempted from blockade, detention or capture by either of the belligerents," and this protection was extended for a distance beyond both ends of the camal. The two nations further undertook by this treaty to "guarantee the neutrility of the canal so that it may forever be open and free and the capital invested therein secure." They further contracted that they engaged "to invite every other state with which either of them had friendly intercourse to enter into similar relations to the end that all such states might share in the honor and advantage of having contributed to such a work," And the trenty wont on to dechre that they " not only desired to accomplish a particular object, but also to establish a principle, and thoy hereby agree to.extend their protection by treaty stipulations to any other practicable communication whether by rail or eanal across the isthmus."

Is it reasomable to imagine that these two nations can unite in a scheme for constructing, maintaining and protecting, even in the event of a war between themselves, such it work as the Nicaragua Canal in a foreign land, and that they cannot euter into a friendly arramgement for impreving the channels lying between the two countries themselves, over which so much of the commerce of looth countries
is carried? Can Great Britain and the United States, in short, enter into such an arrangement to provide a ship canal "for the benefit of mankind" on-a forcign soil, and yet be preventerl from joining in providing sueh a channel on the bomaliary-line between thoir own lands?

Surely there can be lut one answer to the whole question, and that is, that the two nations ought to join in this great work, if it be indeed of such advantage to them buth, as we all believe it to be. Surely it may be left to the good sense of the two Governments to make such provision for the inaintenance, eontrol and operation of the joint work as would secure its being always free to carry the commerce of both nations.

The farmers of Manitoba will, I believe, rejoice if this Convention can theow its intluence in faror of such a work heing undertaken as an international one. But the prople of the West are still a long distance beyond the bead of the Lakes, and one of the unost serious phases of the question of transportation for them is the cost of carrying their produce over that distance. They have a hope that in the near future it may be found possible to open water communication letween the Red River and Lake Superior. The same question is now a living one in Minnesota and the Dakotas, and the people of those States are enquiring into the feasibility of opening t channel from the head waters of the Mississippi tu Duluth. The people of the Canadian West do not now call upon the Government io open such a channel. Sufficient information has not yet been ubtained to justify us in saying that such a scheme is feasible. It is known, however, that some of the conditions are extremely favorable. There is practically water communication now, though of very little depth, from the Lake of the Woods to the Red River, aeross the prairie region. The alluvial character of the prairie soil is such as to make the opening of a ditch a matter of comparatively small cost. Between the Lake of the Woods and Lake Superior there is almost a continuous waterway. The opening of a complete channel in that district would no doubt be attended with a very great cost. While it would be unreasonable, with the information in our hands, to advocate at this time the opening of such a channel either easterly or: westerly froms the Laie of the Woods, the farmers of Manitoba having intimated, by a resolution of the Farmers' Institute, that they think the Govermment of Canada should at all events go to the expense of having a survey made with a view to considering the feasibility and cost of constructing such a channel. It will be a great help to them should this Convention join them in urging this much at least upon the attention of the Government.

> James Fisier, M.P.P., Maniteba.

Mayor Taylor-At this hour I shall not detain you with any lengthened remarks of my own. It wonld seam that since this Convention was opened one of the great difficulties in the way of this scheme of deep waterways has been removed. The statement has been freely circulated in Manitoba, and has been given on the authority of a leading article in one of our newspapers, that this work would cost somewhere in the neighborhood of $\$ 150,000,000$. But when I had a conversation with Mr. Fisher I found that he had reached the eomelusion that it would cost $\$ 50,000,000$, and it was with that idea that I came here. There is no
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doubt that it will be an advantage to have the canals deepened te 14 feet, but it wonld he much better to have 20 feet or even more, as it has been elearly shown that the larger the vessel the chomper the freight. Even if this groat project goes on it neod not intarfere with any other seheme for reaching the markets of the - world. I believe that Minitobia, Mimesota, the Dakotas and the whole Western wountry will, in the cousse of time, and that no very distint time, produce more than you will boable to bring down through the Lakes. It will be nocessary for that conntry to reseh its natural harbor and that harbor l eonsider to be Hudson's Bay. I have heard some strange remarks, with reference to the IIndson's Bay route since coaing bere. Still you will hardly find a resident of Manitobia to-day who has not the grentect faith in the Hacizon's Bay route as the menas of reaching the consuming market.

The Chirman-We have here two members of the Dominion Legishature, whe ure sworn friends of deep waterways, I refer to the Hon. John Fergusen, Dominion Senator ; and Mr. Finerson Contsworth, jr., M.P. for East Toronco. Wo should be glad to hear from these gentlemen.

Hon. John Fergason-It would he inexcusable to detain you with a long speech at this late hour, but there are one or two observations I should like to make. First, there is mo difference of epinion, so far as as I ean learn, as to the desirability of deep waterways from the Upper Lakes to the Atlantic. The only difficulty is to convince the public as tor the right wity to accomplish the object in view. We in Camada have been pregreasing rapidly and doing so nuch in the way of building eamals within the last two decades that it would be impossible fer us as a people, and through sur Govermment, to undertake so large a work or with our present revenue, even to contribute any considerable sum towards it. But, if the ways and means can be provided-and I think the attention of the Convention ought to be drawn more to that subject-we could prehably agree upon a plan to be followed. I was much edified by Mr. Cooley's address. But in that address he silid that when you got to Montreal you were nowhere. So far as the produce of the firm is cencerned-and to cheapen the freight on that produce is the man ,bject in deepening the camals-when you get to Montreal you are in exactly the right spot, far hetter off than if you were at New York, and I will tell you uy reasens for thinking so. You know that the difficulty in transporting meats, cags, eheese and nearly everything the farm produces, is the warm water of the Gulf Strean. The water through which you have to travel mest of the way from New York to Liverpool is 70 t, 70 degrees, and runs as high as 80 and even 5 年 degrees. From Montreal yongothrough waterat 40 degrees. Your produce of every kind reaches the markets of Europe in better condition and therefore conmands a higher price. Navigation from Montral is upen seven months in the year. The difficulties of navigation in the St. Lawrence are sometimes spoken of, but we need hardly diseuss them. Now, that we have our lighthouses and buoys everywhere, a vessel can speed as rapidly from Quebee or Montreal as from New York.

You are as near the market of Europe at Montreal as at New York, and inuch nearer the Western eonntry from which the bolk of the products come. When my friand Mayer Taylor spoke of the Hudson's Isay route I was glad to hear him,
for 1 entirely sympathize with him. The future of the whole North. West is unquestionable by Hudson's Bay. I have given this suljeet a great leal of study, and have called attention to it throagh the Semate. For 274 years that route has been navigated by small and very inferior craft, and that practically withont loss. We have at Fort Churehill one of the fincsit harbors on the Athintic cogat ; and let me tell you Hudsori's Biny never freezes, Hudson's Strait never freezes.

It is the opinion of the best navigators that this route is navignble the whole year round, bat unquestionably it is navigable from four to six munths. The only time when it is dangerous is when the iee from Fux's Chmnel floats down in April, May and June. With proper veasily constructiol for the trade, the products of the great North-West will ultimatab en to Hudwn's Strait. I believe that the products of Minnesota and the Dakota will hiporgodown that way. Fort Churehill lies three degrees west of St. Paul, it i. withio ion miles of Regina, within 950 miles of Calgary, within less than 900 meftre ${ }^{*}$ Ethannon, at the base of the Rocky Mountains. At Fort Churchill yon wre as car Liverpool as at New York. The produets of that great country will not in the future be brought to New York for shipment, when they have an ocran port so near. But there is plenty of territory to be benefited by the deep waterway. Therefore, I say, Go on ; the werk you bave undertaken is necessary and will be of incalculable benefit. It may be thought that I am in the wrong place to talk in favor of the Hudson's Bay route, but a public man's constituency is as broad as his country; and if he does not think so, In is not fit to sit in the Prarliament of his country.

This is mot a local question, and I am not addressing a local convention, but a eonvention representing the whole Continent. I am entirely in sympathy with the project of decp waterways, and so far as I can assist in urging the project forward, consistently with due consideration for the finances of the country I live in, 1 shall be glad to lead my aid.

Mr. Coatsworth, M.P. - Without detaining you at this late hour, I may say that in the diseussion of this subject in Parliament last session, the difticulties spoken of as standing in the way of earrying out the scheme were, in the first place, the great expense involved in dredging and blasting out the channel of the St. Lawrence, and deepening and lengthening and widening the canals; and in the secoul phace-a point that I have not heard raised here, -that even if the channel were deepened and widened, the class of vessels used in lake mavigation are not sueh as eross the ocean, and therefore there would have to be transhipifent, as there is to-day, in order to cross the ocean. I have no doubt that that would remedy itself, and, so for as I am eoneorned, I am entirely in favor of deep waterways for this eountry, so as to give ensy access from Upjer Lake ports to Montreal ind Quelvec and so to European ports. We must look to the future as well as to the present. The policy of deepening the canals and the St. Lawrence to 14 feet is now 20 years old. Twenty years ago this country issued is commission, which entmmission reported in favor of deepeniz sise canals to 12 feet. But shortiy after it was found that this was not sufficient, sati ، depth of 14 feet was deeided upon. That has not yet been eompleted, and nuw whe Government has praetically committed itself to a 20 -foet chamel by making that depth in the Sibult Canal. And se the probability is that if we are too modest in our ideas to-day we shall find
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Chase ideas behind the age before they are carried intis effect. I have every confrlence in the develoment of the country, ami I feel that that development can be greatly assisted by carrying out the deep waterways project. Whatever may be the result of this Convention inmediately, the ultimate result cannot but le favorable. As a citizen of Torontu, I think that we are deeply indebted to Aldernan Thompson, who has done so muels is bringing this Convention together, and in bringing before the public this deep waterways project. I feel sure that at no distant date wo shall see the poliey of this eomutry favorable, not to a 14 -frot canal system, but to one of 20 or 2 E feet.

Mr. McIntyre-There are two points in Mr. Fisher's paper which should not be lost sight of - first, the information he brought out in regard to pressing forward tho deepening of the canals $t i) 14$ feet; and second, the able manner in which he handled the international phase of the question. It seems to me that there is material in the payer which the Committee on Resolutions should take huld of, and I would move that that pape be referred to the Committee on Resolutions.

The motion was seconded, and carried unanimously.
The Convention adjourned until 10 o'clock the following morning.

## WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 19TH-MORNING SESSION.

The Convention reassembled at 10 o'clock.
Mr. John Brown read the following paper

## ON ORGANIZATION.

Mr. President and Gentlemen : Whon the Chairman of the Deep Whterway Commites alloted to me the tash of presenting a seheme for the organization of the territory to be beneficinlly affeeted by the proposed improvement he certainly did not npprecinte the magnitule of the undertaking nor the lack of capacity and experience of the one chosen to perform it.

In order to have an effective organization it is necesmary that we should take into consideration the difticnities and the opposition to be overcome before suceess can reward our efforts. For over 50 yems the City of New York has taken tribute from the West, which annually has sent millions of dollars to swell the coffers of the Vanderbilts, the Goulds and the other multi-millionaires who control all the milways which, centreing from the Bast at Boffalo and Chicago, have earried the great bulk of the produce of Westerm toil and enterprise to Now York for distribution. That these gentlemen, lacked up by an army of the lesser magnates in the shape of the brokers and midllemen, will offer the bitterest kind of opposition is assured by the recent action of the New York Produce Exchange. That they will apend millions to retain their control over the Weat there can be mor reason to doubt, for it is certain that the making of Chicago, Duluth, Detroit, Cleveland, Toronto, Rochester and in fact every city on the Lakes practically seaboard ports will not in the lenst assist the trabe monopoly of New York.

On the Canadian side the railway companjes, forgetting the experience of the roads in the United States which are parilleled by waterways, seem to have determined to offer what opposition they can. Why they should do so is a puzale, for in every case where, by reason of the improved facilities for the transporation of heavy freight by water, trade has been stimulated and built up, the railways have heen benefited in proportion. No better example of the fact offers than in the case of the Erie Canal and the railways which parallel it for :\%o miles and which are the most prosperous on the continent to-day. Fortunately for the future, the people of this country are begiming to realize the evils of mallway domination and are prepared to shake off the yoke and take advintage of their Godegiven opportunities. Probably that which will offer the most diftienlty to overeome will be the vast territory which it will be necessary to cover if we nim at a thorough organization. Few even of those who have been actively promoting our object for years have any vary definite conception of the ground to be eovered by our Association. Sented where yeu are, Mr. President, you are less than 50 miles further from Liverpool than is the City of New Yerk, and if you were to
draw a straight line from here to New Orleans you would find it 200 miles shorter than a dimilar one drawn from New York, and hence we may safely any that all that district morth and west of a lime from here to New Orlans is nearer to the world's marker by way of the Great Lakes than by any other known ronte. It is on but it is nat it is intended co attempt to organize two-thirds of the Conthe Govermment of the United we interest the twenty-six millions of pople which and the three and a-half millions of Ceclares mee directly tributary to the Lakea order to remeh the people it is necissary thans who will be directly benefited. In in each Sitate and Province which shall huve Association whould have a branch cause The grentest olstacle in our way is the charge of the advancement of our the wealthy and influential classea of the the indifference of a large portion of puts it, "enclosed in their own fat," but it isple who bave become, as the Psulmist themselves when financial troulile meets them arising how this class will bestir will no doubt aet as a powerful ally and assist and the recent financinl depression overconing the indifference of the people is the in our aims. The hest means of on all vantage points by our friends.

But by all means the greatest bar to our success has been that hitherto we Wave been absolutely without any form of thorough und systematic organization. behind a harrier of pong a sort of guerila warfare againat an enimy entronched muat be as wide as its object and be establishod indifierence. Our Assuciation enough to ullow the Nova Seation established upen an International busis wide community of interest with the Duk and New Brunswicker to meet in umity and

Before this Convention closes wond even the wild men from Minnesota. the balf-million of business men to shall have a platform broad enough to hold whose labor produces the wealth whice with the twenty-five millions of toilers shortest, hrondest and cheapest ronds to in the form of $m$.ethmdise, secks the facturers and the artizans of the Eastern and markets of the world. The manuvast anount of the Western produce which ew Eugland States, who consume the market, must be made to understand theh annually finds its way to the domestic not imperilled by the improved transpertation rests are to he conserved and our Association, no doubt, will be to effect of our proposals upon the industrial a plain and distinct statement as to vincial assueiations should he formed at elasses in the Eastern States. and Proevery Bomrd of Trude, Chmber of Conce, whose duty it should be to see that Assuciation, Labor Union and Guild is inerce, Business Men's Club, Agricultural absolutely necessary to success that every Gosempathy with our object. It is Memher of larlianent should be seen persomemor, Senator, Congressman, and bear as will make him willingly or unwillingly, and such pressure bronght to cases the officers of the State and orming freat work. In all their capaeity, knowledge and willingness to advancement of the cause. Homorary officers muy time and energy to the anything can be attained by doing so, but it should appointed if it is thought stood that the executive oftices must not bhould always be thoroughly underWhat I have said with regard th, the offieer of given as an homor, hit as a trust.
nhle to the subction mal duties of the Eixcentive Board of the International Ansociation. It is bot desimble that this Romed nlonh be very large, as it will be necensury that they be called tugether from time to time an neeensity may arise, and it womld cmail great expense unon the Aswerintion without, I think. manene aurate hencfit to the canse. Whare there are fuw to elect there c. nut the sughteat doubt that they will be olusen with the greater eare, ani the gentlomen upon whim your choice will fall will undonbtedly have a greater appreciation of the trust. No organization ean be strong or affective where the members are mit abso Jutely layal to their when phatform. All of us camot lave a front sent in the wagon, we cmanot all get our particular pet fads given the prominence which we want, sur wo mast therefore determine that the only rond to altimate anccess lies nlong the line of eomphete nniom to forward the great main aim of this Conrention. Chousing aflicers toes mot relieve a single nember of this Associntions of the responsibility which rests upen him to do nll in his power persomally to continue the agitation which ham received new life ami vigor at our meting here. Friends, your wo here from alaowt every section of the wonth half of the Continent, and it is doulaful if a thorough canvass would tind a aingle delegate who in not comected with some busincess assuciution whose influence would not be of value to this cause. Let us all remember that a year or two of organized work erowned with success is better than ending in failure.

It has been aad that a resolution is of no service unless jou put legs to it. We want our resolutions to travel and for that purpose a strong nod eflicient organization is neecssary to successfully crown our efforts, nat in ten years, but within the next five.

Mr. E. V. Sumalley-One thing occurs to me in connection with the matter of organization and that is that the Committee should bake an early provision for the holding of another Convention in the American North-Went. The members of this Convention are of comas fully alive to the fact that the tirst step in the carrying out of this movement must be to man a stratig demonstration upon the Congress of our United States and that demonstration must be organized primurily in the American North-West. If we ean establish there a strong and growing organization it will som spreal beyonel the whea let't to the ourn helt and su the peuple of our corn-growing States when they get nearer to our water syatem than they are, will participate in the great movement for securing eheapel trathic and for getting to the sea. We shanl in this way bring in Iowa, Nebrask: wad Kansas. When we got $t 0$ Washngtem with the whole of the Mississipni Valiey solid fur a 20 -forot chanel to the sea we shall be ina pusition of such. manding strength that in spite of the jenluasies that will grow up on the Atla se ard, ame in spite of the indifference of the South we shall be able tol ke such conditions with other interents as will give us a substantial start towards extending our 20-fout channel leyand Lake Erie in the direction of the sea. When it comes to a practical movement and we are prepared to construct a 20 -foot channel between Lake Erie and Ontario I think we shall then enlist their strong sentiment in favor of aiding the Canadian Government to deepen the St. Lawrence Channel to 20 feet. National feelings mast be carefully dealt with. It in our duty in equity as well as in self-interest to contribute towards the deepening of the elannel down the St. Lawrence River. riends, und it mected chuse. ceess is
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Mr. D, Bhain-I wonlal like to ask what is to be the axtent of the powers of this Convention. I du not think it shonld be limitud to the waterways, but should take into consideration the bost, quickest, safeat made of trimsferring freighty from the cuntre of thia Continunt to the sembanarl.

Mr. Thumpson-I can harilly monwer that question. I may say the matter has heol referred th the Committeo on Rewhlatinns, and whatever resolution they int roduce will intimate the seopn they propme to give th the permanent organization.

Mr. Smalluy - 1 an sumew hat in toneh with railway matters, and I can siffely aly that we hare got to the low wabronark in ${ }^{\circ}$ ight rates. We fave gone beyond the anfety-line in the intense competition of onr romeds, nad we must lout lowk for relief to any further reduction in general railway freights on lonig-distance hials. Wo hove to lowk nitugether to relief by betler water systit sin the future. Mr. Steele-I nitw in one of the morning pipers what I thonght was a good suggestion to us, mamely, that before these camals are built, the minsly of a great Suny people will have to be brompenel. I think that is the keynote for us, Senatar Ferguson has stated that the matter has been pretty well thashed ont, that we wrere nil untrimously in favor of going on with the work, and that now we undertatige the finmees. Well, the finmeing of such a work is a sery hewy Jits $n$ majorny of tho meon, of the comntry will only mewe when it knows it somewhat too manaw. At an eulier. I thank we bave male a mistake in being slonit the chan F of the interner stage of the meeting I made a fow remarks the while people of this intry. involved. The interests involvel are those of

Competition in the is London World I see a stamument the world is going to beeone fiercer. In the London Corn Excharge from Nuntint samples of whent had been khown on the land hager than the whole of the were similar to cour hard Manitobas and Dind of Noth America. Theseramplea vessel said for the past sixteen years Amesotn what. The eapitan of one the Jiver Yenisei inte this northery ing hated from Lomenden to Siberin, down Siberia could te oprened up for upw egion. By a limifed expenditure Northern to the borders of Turkentan. There is of a couple of thousitud nriles, extending which will sent proluce jutot the market hreat deal of country not yet heard from
 whon represents the fammeng our ideas, wo had at capital adiless from Mr. Oifford, so firr as it affects the Pro.. Thmek his addrexs gave us the key to their ith as in that instead of shipping whent, they wro. Gifforl whe us that their ideat was such as live stock, dairy produce, were gong to shif it in a condensed form, doing. Anstralia is sending butter and just as other nations of the enrth were are honusing every prand of butter and cheese (1) London. 'Their tiow rmments price.

I do no think anything hits been made of the ellormous volume of traftic that is going inwards as well as ontwards on this Continent. The Wimipeg rommercial hat all article on "What is the matter with the trade of the country?" The writer of this article took tho staple articles of this enofiry that are inmorted.

In Brandon granuhted sugne sold at 14 pounds for the dollar; in Wimmineg it was 18 promis. St tho same time in Thronto 22 pound were sold for the dellar. It tow from 4 to 6 pounds to convey a dullar's worth of ningar from Turonto to these points. That is millustration of very many things they use in everyday life. Harlware, wire fencing, and everything of that kind. There in no interent you can mame that doen not depeal upon agriculture ns a lasia for its prowperity,

I am surprised that the people of Montrenl eannot see that their interests are to be served by furthering the objeets of this Convention, and namisting in the work uf getting a deep waterway. I enn understand why the people of Butfalo might resist it; but I am at a lows to see why Nuw York aud the Now England States refure to aid this movement. On the New England const they have an induntrin] $\mathrm{p}^{m} \mathrm{~m}$ mation of about li million people who have to he fed. Their manuacturers have to eompete with the manufncturers of the world, If they are to compete successfully, surely their policy ought to be to make such arrangementa as will bring food as cherply as nossille to their working people. It is compctition right atraight nlong the line, and the penple who do not recognize this are those whe are going to he left behind in the ruce. I hupe the voice of this Convention will reach the whole Continent of North Amerien, that there will be no dass in the community that will feel they have mo interest in it. A prominent forwarder of the Wisst has been quoted as saying that if you gave him a certain depth of water, he would cut the rates from Buffalo to Duluth in two. He has more than fulfilled his promise, although he has not had that depth of water. The name thing will hyppen with 420 -foot ehannel through to the Ocean. Taking the average water rate from Duluth or Port Arthur to Liverpool, it costs about 10 cents per bushel. Now, I feel confident that with a 20 -foot channel we could cut that rate in two, and be able to send to Liverpool for just half the money. I amsure it is no exaggeration to say that with these rates cut in two within the next ten years, the saving effected to the people of the United States and Camala would be equal to the national debt of both countries, and leave a handsome surphus in the treasury besides. (Applaune.)

## THE NECESSITY FOR A DEEP WATERWAY.

Hon. Deuison B. Smith, Secretary Toledo Board of Trade,-The tupic is one that from the beginning I have taken a very great interest in, and that interest inercases as the years fly past me. My paper will be brief; it is in a mensure a statement showing the absolute necessity for this grent waterway to the aea, and in a measure a presentation of reasons of a financinl character showing why it will be profitable to undertake this work for those who are engaged in commeree.

Toledo is the point of concentration for the winter wheat from the South-West and South. We have recoived at Toledo 48 millions of grain in the past year. Toleds is interested in this great question, and her interest in it is signalized by the fact that any increase or decrease in the cost of trmsportation to the great markets of the world increases or decreases her commerce. We belicve, of he completion, ${ }^{c}$ this work, the reduction in freights will have an inpurtant bearing in the transpurtation of the surplus produce of the country tributary to Toledo.
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An froight rates decrons, sol will the commerce of our city inerense, find therufore Thledor his been conspricuons in her advoency of thia measure.

I an a born Now Englander ; of the strieteat nect, a Puritnu. But a life of nearly bio year in the State of Ohio hiow suftened, if mot obliternted, the lines of comflict and intollorance that narkel the chameter of my progenitors. A strong welf-assertion wan a living trait of thone people, but that in a great mornl force, and wherever the Yankes has pitched his tent in the wild and wooley Went his coming ham been marked by order, eduention, frugality, enterprise, promperity, mid hright mal haply homes. Thesm traits, ituclading, as I maid, a high order of self enteem, Were homestly derived. They were all inheritnnee from a race devoted to the hese abl highest purwits of life. I ann addressing a people today who are participanas in tho same gloious legncy. In North America, shys Mr. Strong, now for the first time in the record of history, the grentest rneo oecupies the grentest lome. This future home of this great Anglo-Saxom mice is trife as large as all Europeand is capmble of sustaining the present population of the globe. Such a cuuntry, with its future owerwhelming numbers, homogeneous in their civilization, its resources fally developed, are thrice fitted to eontrol the world's future. It in the representatives of such a perple who have called this Convention.

If we are wot brethren, we ought to be. A common interest, unrestricted trale and a common destiny onght to mark and control our politics. I an in favor of free trimle relations between the two comotrios. I do not know, and never did know, why Ohioshomld not trade with Canada and Canada with Ohio, an we do witl Pemasylvania and New York. I have ntemily uaintained thia powition since the Enion Commereial Convention at Detwit in the sixties. It is commonly respunded that Canala would whain the adrantage in open trade rolations more than corresponding to the gain in the States, hut under the influence of this English, Canadian, and New England spirit of self-esteem, I an prompted to say I whould be ashamed of my people if thoy eould not hoe a row with yon all day, and all the yours. Of course recipucal trade letweel Canada and the United States must be hased on a parity of trade relations with other countries. I am happy to bay that we have recently uppronched a closer apmoximation to these friendly trade conditions and they are good indieations of the fruition of my hopes. I greet this Convention as a possible harbinger of closer commercinl ties.

But there are sune puints bearing upon the ohjects of this Convention which demand attention mad should be discussed at the threshold of our proceedings. Let us be fronk. The prevailing sentiment in the States is that Canada has not treated their eommeree fairly. You know all alrut it as well as I. That is a narruw and unfriendly policy in the management of great public works like yours that discriminates against vessels of ather nationalities. If this unloving feature is to be continued as a regulating poliey in the future, you will not only defeat the full success of your great enterprise, but finally incite the commercial people of the States to the construction of a competing route. If your proposition is to open a water route for large vessels from the Lakes to the sea, to include only Canadian vessels the invitation to the Exchanges on the other side to send delegates here was a nistake. If, on the other hand, you propose to adopt a broad and comprehensive policy, that will include and incite the greatest internal com-
merce on earth to seek anoutlet to the ocean through your Dominion, on terms corresponding in all respects to the alvantages of your own ships, sueh a policy, while it may not aid you in building your great work, will certainly aid you in supporting it.

I am a loynal citizen of the United States. Of couse I shmold prefer to see my own country engage in construoting a deep waterway from, lake Erie to the Hudson Jiver. It is most matural that I desire to strongly emphasize this point. I have attended comventions, the olject of which was to induce our Govermment to survey the two routes that hare been under discusion. I see nuindication of immediate action, nad perhaps our Government will be quite satisfied to see the completion of the great canal system yon so loug since began. I hope we are discussing the completion of a great Canadian work that shall be International in its charncter and mamgement, inviting the commerce of the world to a participation of its facilities, on terms liberal and uniform. Except in pride of ownership and financial results, commerce would be indifferent of ownership. The ships of all the world pissing through the Suez Canal do not stop to challenge its ownership.

The necessity for a chanmel of communieation between the Great Lakes and the oceans of the world is growing with the years, and it is inexpressibly interesting to a veteran who once knew the Lakes as almost a waste of waters, whose limited traflic was confined to Lake Erfe, and was represented by the emigrants' furniture, and supplies for a few Wevtern merehants; when Ohio was a frontier Western State; when the maximum sail vessel tomage was 100 tons. To compare all these conditions with the present rosults of a matchless growth is, I say, a neverending source of gratificition. No man, living or dead, has ever withessed so great an expansion of commerce in such a period. The waters of all the Lakes are now plowed by the finest fright steamers. The evolution is from 100 to 5,500 tons; and what can more fitly illustrate a far-reaching commereinl statesmanship than un outlet to the Sea of suoh a commerce? We have no time to-day for expressions of the fancy, but as no haman vision of sixty years since could have penetrated and measured this great growth in population, agriculture, mining and commeree, so whone prophetic vision can forecast the future of even twenty years?

I have spoken of the necessity of such a work as we are considering to-day, by either the United States or of this Dominion. Every year sharpens the contest between this Continent ind other exporting States for supplying the inporting States with brad and meat. The cost to the consmmer is the key to the victory. As railway facilities for reaching the sea cosst abroad are increased, in like matio is the growth of the cereals and their movement thence for exportation also inereased. In the year ending August 1st, 1894, Russia exported a little more than 100, , M0,000 bushels of wheat, besides eorn, oats and barley. It does not require a very diligent student of lkussan affiairs to give the impression of her great plans of develepment, and that but few years will pass before this export movement will he greatly multiplied. Under twenty-five per cent. alvance in price lndia exported be, cono, 000 hushels of wheat in 1891, and with better markets than at present she could now incrense it.

Nothing is inore interesting or remarkable in this line than the rapid increase in the production of wheat and corn in the Argentine Repulicic in the past two
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years. Liverpool authoritios extimate her power of exportation from the next winter harvest at $80,000,000$ bushels of wheat alome. I quote these three countries as furare lending expurting competitors in grain, and the latter in meat supplies. They certanly present formidible future possibilities.

The agricultural resourecs of the United Stites and Canada are well-nigh unlinited. A recent compilation gives to the former $535,000,000$ aeres of land that may become productive by irrigation. On your side the produetive aren is equally vast, or more so. To reduce the cost of reaching the markets of the Old Worli is mot only to add to the value of our present producing domain, but every one cent per loushel thus sibed expands this prodneing area into now fields, and where agricultime is supported, there follows the merehant, and trade, and manufacturing, and commerce. T'o aid in perfecting free water communieations, cheaproning the east of transport, and enhancing the value of ingicultural production linds, etc., is the exoreise of the highest furction of a Govermment. What maty we expect in thin line from a 20 -foot Waterway from the Liskes to the Athantic Ocean! We have steanships that could carry $\overline{0}, 000$ tons in such a depth, which equals 106,000 bushels of wheat. Such stemmers nee now tramsporting coal from Buflialo and Toledo to the Uluetr Lakes at 00 cents a net ton and transporting iron ore from Upper Lake points at an average, from all joints, of fis cents a tons. The Hverage romed trip ncopies two weeks and the earnings are $\$ 1.15$ cents per ton. Taking a lower tomage of 4000 tous and a ship earns in two weeks $\$ 4,600$, Four thousinud toms of wheat equals a little more than 133,000 bushels. A trip to Liverponl, giving the ship two weeks, with 133,000 bushels of wheat at a correeponding freight and the earnings would equal a littlo less than $3 \frac{1}{2}$ eents a bushel. At the greatly reduced cost of delivery of heivy merchandise at the lake ports by saving the inland transportation by rail or eanal from the sea coast, the homeward bound trip might be as gainful is the out ward bound.

The peint is sometimes urged against a direct exportntion from the Lakes to the old marikets abroad, that our ships are not stautchly built and uquipped for a sea voyage, and that a transfer at Montreal or New York is better. I can see no force in the position. When tho time arrives that an outlet to the Ocean can be counted on with surety, our ships will be built with the necessary feature of strength and the additional fuel capacity required for the trip. Again, ufter allowing for coal the carges of these vessels would equal the average shipments out of New York or Montreal. If my freight extinates are considered too rosecolored for the commencemont of the trafie, I am sure time will effect a close approximation to them.

In yeurs of largu excess in exportation, as in 1891, the ocean freight on wheat from New York to Livetpool advanced to 12 cents per bushel, while thc Lake and Erie danal freight was $8 \frac{3}{4}$ cents, including Buffalo transfer charges. The year 1894 has been it year of low freights to September 1st, with present advancing tendency.

I feel justified in my estimates by the fact that the average freight rate on wheat from San Frarcisco to London is 26 cents per bushel. The average cargo is under 70,000 bushels. The average trip out, is four months, which is eight limes greater than I have given steamers from the rakes. Fight in 20 gives $3 \frac{1}{4}$ centa
per bushel, as a parity with our ocean trip. If the fuel is an added enst, the additional eargo is more thatn a compersation. But even at an increase on my figures, it is too obvious for dispute that the great supplies of food on both sides of the line must reach the consuming markets of the world $b_{j}$ means of such direct exportation. That will be the solution of the problem. I am quite as sure, that en this low hasis of freight, especially when the basiness is systemized and foreign freights can be secured for the return voyage, that the commerce will prove profitable to those engaged in it.

With only quiet nad sober expectations of the growth of the great West on bot's sides of the border, this project presents the grandest possibilities, but with one inore touch uron its far reaching effects, 1 must close. The tea aud other commerce of the Orient will reach at Toledo rapid steam translortation to London at a saving of 750 miles of rail transportation fron Toledo to New York.

The great achievement of opening the commerce of the Laken to the ocenns of the world, is in the direct line of developing the resources of this contment. It is an era of great conceptions and unequalled energy in exceution, and in my judgement, this project is the leading cuminating enterprise of the age.

I hope we are all discussing the completion of a great work that shall be Interuational in its character and mangement, inviting the commerce of the world to " participation of its facilities on terms liberal and uniform. Except in pride of ownership and financial results commerce wowld be indifferent of ownership.

Mr: MoIntyre- We have all listened with great pleasure to Mr. Smith's paper. Speaking as a Canadian, I think that the Canadians will be ready to adopt the comprehensive policy outlined by Mr. Smith, provided they will not be called upon to bear more than their fair share of the expense. I think we are all mited as to the economy of the deep waterway. Our friends are willing to assist us in the work of deepening the St. Lawrence Canal, and for that reason some privilege should he granted them. If we have our waterway deepened to Montreal, they should have theirs deepened to New York. It seems to me there will be no diliticulty in that. The management of the camal system must he Intermational in its character. I have had experience in sailing fom Duluth to Halifax ; J commenced in 1867, in a lake steamer. We experienced ditficulty in changing from fresh water to salt whter. Now there is so much improvement in the modern engine, that there is no difficulty in the way of a fake ateamer going from Dulute to any phace in the world. Vessels that are able to stand the storms of Superior and Huron are able to cross the Atlantic. A question that will arise under the new condition is, How are we to get freight for the return voyage from Liverpool to the Lakes? In my opinion the tariff will have to be lowered. If we desire to ger the full benefit of our enlarged water facilities, we must have freer trade, not only between ourselves, but with the whole world.

Mr. W. I. Mackenzio-I had very much pleasure in being asked to participate In the preliminury work of the Citizens' Committee, out of which the Convention has grown. I take upon myself to represent the working people of this City, in the first place, and of the whole of Canada, in the next place. I should like this movement to start with the working people and the laboring classes of the United

States and Canada together. It is their question; it is the question of the man with the pick and shovel in his hand. I do not intend to make a speech turther than to say this, that if we have the co-operation of the working people of Canada, and of the small traders and farmers, the question will be settled in a very short time. It need not take a quarter of a lifetime to get this thing acomplished. The greatest project that has been brought forward before any conntry during recent times is the one under contemplation hy this Convention, that is to say, the construction of a waterway from all the ports of the world right inter the bosom of North America.

## COL. DAVIDSON'S PAPER.

Col. James H. Davicison, St. Paul-I ferr, sirs, that some of us are taking toos narrow and circumscribed a view of the objects and purposes of this Conrention and its results. If it means anything, it means something greater and grimder than benefits to be contained within the boundaries of a few petiy States or Prov. inces. At St. Paul, in 1883, we discussed or considered the effects of reciprocity and nore liberal trade relations, and we talk here of deeper waterways, chiefly, as they may promote the interests of the communities which we temporarily represent. We have permitted somewhat the closeness of the view to limit our vision and circumscribe the field of our discussion. We have been swayed by the predominating influences of the localities from which we come.

The measeres contemplated and easily within the scope of this Convention are $t_{1}$ benefit, not the citizens withm the radius of a few hundred miles of the bomelary line separating the Dominion of Canadia and the United States, but the races that inhabit a continent and the word. If the real design of this great gathering of representative freemen is ever carried into practical effect, it will benefit and hless all the millions who now live, or who may hereafter dwell between the far southern capes of Florida and Cape Prince of Wales, at the extreme western end of Alaska, from the Gulf of Mexico to Hudson's Bay, from the Sea of Kamchatka to the Banks of Newfoundland. Man may limit and circumscribe provinces, states and mations by establishing boundary lines; he may say the Dominion of Canada has jurisdiction on one side and the United States of America on the other of an imaginary line somewhere in or near the centre of the Great Lakes and extending overlaud through the wildernoss to either ocean, but Grod has made the Continent and the inland seas, and has irrevocably fixed the destiny of the peoples who dwell upon this high tablelind of the world. Nature's laws are unchatgeable and eternal. While some of us are good, byal subjects of Great Britain, "whose drum beat girdles the earth, and on whose dominions the sum mever sets," others are devoted citizens of the young American Republic, whose achievements on land and sea have won the respect and admiration of all civilized mations, yet we are all off-shoots or descondants of the hardy AngloSixon race. In our veins there may course Scotch or Irish blood; we may be Teuton or Celt, or French-Canadian; we may be in religion Catholic or Protestant; or, on one sile of the line we may have decended from Pilgrim or Puritan, but in our work to-day we are inspired by the past centuries of progress and a hope for bctoter things for our race for all time to come. We have the inspiration of every forment where advance has been the thome and of every field where blood has flowed in
defence of human right from Runnymede to Waterlos, from Trafalgar to Gettysharg, from Thermopylae to Appomattox, but our way now, thank God, lies along petceful linos of commercial progress.

The establishment of closer trade relations and more intimate and fraternal eommereial iatercourse between Camadit and the United States will come somer or later as inevitably as harvest follows scedtime, as the fruitage of summer follows the blootn of the spring. Snow and ice may fetter the rivers and close the Grat Lakes in winter, but when summer sumshine kisses the inland seas and the warm Chinook winds ereep through the passes of the Rockies, and spleed from the sumy areas of the broad Pacific swifter than the great Northem or Canadian Pateific trains that fly over steel highways, led on by flying moguls, the soft bosom of the Great Lakes and the singing melorly of the swift-flowing rivers invite to commercial interenurse and interchange hetween the mations that dwell in amity and peace along their borders. Political muchinations and state craft for a time may freeze the warm impulses of a genctous people; l ut the sunshine of gentle courtship and the Chinook winds that come warmly from the Valley of the Saskatehewan and the shores of sweet Athabaska will melt the barrier of an American Protective Tariff and a Canalian Ruprisal Aet. We shall see, despite all temprary friction and estrangement, peoples whose common interests impel to equitable schedules and reciprocal mutual advantages and harmoninus trade relations dwelling together in neighborly peace and loving harmony with less and less of race or political, or national prejudice, and more and more of brotherly love.

If, as ar result of this and other like eonventions, we can finally agree upon an equitable basis of trade and commerce, and an international deep waterway to the sea, we may hope that within the lifetime of the present good Queen of Enghand, the Empress of India, we may hear her say to her stalwart son and her beautiful daughter, if they two agree, viewing their harmonious trade relations and the wonderful prosperity that would come to both, "What Goxl hath joined together [eomanercially] let no man put asunder." My country wants no more territorynot another foot, and yours does not, I ami quite sure ; but we both want trade. We want commerce, we wat nanufaturers, we want our forests felled, our mines opened, our natural resources developed, our fertile lands tilled, we want population, we want prosperity.

If we can, within a reasonable period of time, open deej, waterways by a magnifient Camalian camal and the St. Lawrence River; by the Erie Cabal or Lake Champlain and the Hudson River, from the Great Lakes to the sea; and by n camal eonnect Lake Superion and the Missisippi River, and thence by that great natural twough reach the Gulf of Mexico, South a Contral America and seuthern seas, then the blessings to result to the human race will not he beunded by the shores of this Continent, but will reach to the renotest ends of the earth, and to the islands of the swit.

This work has been well begun; let us see that it does not lag for want of arnest support and zealou's championship. The men who, like James J. Hill and Sir Donald Sinith, and their associates, have opened the Rocky Mountains and cleft the Caseade Range bisunder with lines of gleaming steel, who have knitted tugether the numatims and the prairies and linked them to the lakes; mad men
was this: When the grain went there we could not tell whether it was to be used for home or export eonsamption. We could not follow the grain. That is the true explanation of the affair. I speak as a representative Canadian, and I say we desire to be as fricurdly as possible to the people of the United States. I have gone through the trials and difficulties of polities in Camada, and I desire to say this, for the parpose of removing any impression that may have been made on the minds of our friends aeross the border. I think I may fairly say to my friend, Mr. Suith, that any unfrieurliness that has existed has been on the other side. Amoug the people of buth sides I don't beliere there is any unfriendliness at all. We have the sume kind of hearts that you hare, and we desire to see the eommerep of this Continent alvance in every way, and I can assure you that the whole strength of the Canadian people will be put forward to advance the interests of the cause
you are now disussing.

Mr. Faulkuer--i)ur efforts to obtain reciprocity were in the line of natural products only. The Americans were willing to have free trade in manufactnres. We have mo objection to an interehange of natural products, but they wish top extend it to manufactures. I think you will find this is the eanse of the trouble with regnrd to reeiprocity.

Mr. Suydam-I think there is no American representative present better qualified than you, Mr. Vice-President, to respond to these sentiments, and I ask you to say to the Convention what, in your opinion, is the feeling of the American people, and esprecially the people of the North-West, towards Canada in reference to tride relations.

Senator Ferguson-One word in explanation. I would just refer my friend to the reeords of Washington to bear out everything I have said. He will tind that the treaty that was prepared for submission to the Govermanent at Washington by Mr. George Brown did not evelr receive the coortesy of mention in the President's conrmunication to the Senate.

A Delegate-The gentleman has spoken of the past. What is the present attitude of the Goverument?

Semator Ferguson--The present attiturde of the Government is fisvorable towards reeiprocal trade relations with the United States, and it has always been so.

Mr. Smith-I am unt here the enlarge on this matter. When I referred to it I merely did so in an incidental way. The point, as I understand it, is simply this, that an Anerican vessel paying tolls through the Welland CamaI, and geving to an Ambrican port, had to pay a higher rate of toll than if the cargo went to a Canadian port. There are some exceptions to that, but they are intricate and complex, and it is difficult for us to follow them all, and therefore I hope and trust that in the future that sort of thing will be brushed away and the pathway made entirely clear.

Mr. Barlow Ouinberland-On the subjeet of these so-ealled discriminating rates, there was no discrimination whatever on the pario of the Canalian Government; they followed and upheld all the terins of the Washington Treaty. They
They
sive freely to the whole United States fleets the same use of the Welland Canal and upon the same terms as it was used by Canalian citizens.

Mr. Boyle-Mr. Chairman: I rise to a puint of order. This Convention is taking on the character of a political meeting by this extrmeous matter. I persomally ohjeet to it as a member of this Convention. The matter has uothing to do with the question of deep waterways.

Mr. Smalley-The ehair is competled to overrule the point of order, beanse the question is as to tolls upon the cimal which would come under the purview of this Convention.

Mr. Smith-What was the basis of the controversy betwern Washingtom and the Dominion that led to the discrimination of the Sault Sainte Marie Canal?

Mr. Cumberland-It was a miseonception of what the Canadian people were doing. The American people, in order that they might aid the transport of their intermal products to the sen-board, tonk the tolls off the Erie Cunal mad made it free for all products of the West which were passing throngh that highway. We, in Camada, eould not afford to go th the same extent that you did, and we made the tolls upon our Canadian canals free upon whatever was going out for export; there was mo rebate on business within our own borders. We admitted American vessels to the same privieges as our vessels enjoyed in this respec. The American vessel men asked for something more. They asked to be allowed freedom of tolls when transateting trade in which the Canadian vessels could not he engaged. A Canadian vessel carrying grain form Chicago eo Kingston and Montreal for export paid un toll; an American vessel doing the same likewise paid no toll. The Americans clamed that a vessel going from Chieago to Ogdensburg should be grauted the use of the Welland Canal free. That was a business in which the Canadian people and the Canadian vessel men eould not be engaged, and therefore our Camalian Goverment said, "That is not upm similar terms, as the camal is used by the Canadian people." There was no answer to that question; they simply stid, "We do not agree with yon, and in consequence of our non-agreement we will deliberately break the terms of the Washington Treaty and charge your ressels gosing through the Sault Sainte Marie Cinal." What was Canada's reply to that? We ohjected to it in as homorable a way as one country ean to another, and finally our Govermment paid the charges that the Canadian vessels had heen subjeeted to going through the Sault Sainte Marie Canal. The answer of the Cansulian people to that was that they would pay all that, even under a misconception, was demanded of them. We would not eavil with the decision to which you had eome and we spent two and one-half millions of dollars in building a canal for ourselves to which we to-day invite the whole eontinent of Ameriea to use on the same terms as Canada. I hope we shall never more hear of this question of reprisals in navigation matters. They are based upon misconeeptions and they have been in the past a source of irritation between the two peoples, We are ready to join with you in developing the internal communications of this Coutiment. Let me take the opportunity of saying that one of the prime objects that this Convention should seek is to enforee the immediate completion of the work the Canadian people now have in hand. It is now 23 years since we first commenced
to enlarge our Camals to 14 feet. It has thken 23 years to go from 9 to 14 feet. To-ing we stand in sight of the time when vessels can go withont transhipment from the North-West to the sea. It was promisel ins in 1890. Afterwarls it was again promisel to be completed in 1893. This ngitation of alditional drepening has lost us three years, but the day is past for agitation of that kind hecanse all the contracts have now heen let and nothing an prevent the empletion of our canals in 1895. What has rednced the rate from the North-West to New York 7 Your canal is no harger than it was when the rates were 25 cents. Your rates are now between 0 aul 7. It has been the gradual increase of the size of vessels hetween Chicago nud Buffalo. Wer have before us in the immediate future a perfect revolution. At the present time a vessel which brings 10 t 0,000 bushels to Buffalo is unlonded into canal boats of 8,000 bushels capacity. It takes one of these large lowats and 12 eanal boats to reach cidewater. At the present time we can liring down vessels of 14 fect to Kingstom, but there we have to tranship. As som an the St. Lawrence system is eompleted to 14 fect a vessel with two tows of 50,000 bushels each will he used instead of one vessel and 12 barges. The opening of the completed emals will bring about a revolution in trafie by allowing these vessels to tranship' alongsite tho ocem versels at Montreal. I hope therefore you will urge the immedinte completion of the present inomplete system and this completion will then bring the fruition of 23 years long work and be a step in the direction in which you all desire to go, mamely : the securing of deeper communication between the interior of the Continent and the sea.
E. V. Smalley - I have bit a few words to say in reply to Senator Fergison, He has told as of the efforts of the Duminion of Canald to secure a Reciprocity Treaty with the United States and left the impression on the Convention that the fanlt was with the United States that the two countries have not a larger measure of reeiprocel trade. Senator Ferguson failed to tell you that the effort of Canada has always been tu secure freer trude in natural products ouly. You are very shrewd basiness men in Cunada and we are pretty smart in the United States, and we suw that what you wanted to dor was tosell us those things which you hat to sell and which we wished to buy without giving as in return the right to sell you the things which we have to sell and which your would bny if there was no tariff. Now we don't want to be misunderstood in this respeet. We have nothing in the way of raw material to sell you except our coal, and you are bound to buy thant whether we put $a$ tamiff on it or not. What we did wint to do was tosell you in return for your barley amb whent and lumber and cattle was certain of our manufactured prolncts and that is exactly what your statesmeu didn't want to let us have. In our late twiff bill we have mate free trade in lumber. I think that Was a mistake. I think we ought to have got something back, as frce trade in agicultural implements.

Mr. Nettleton-You lave got to have the lumber any way.
Mr. Smmiley-No; we can get our own lurnber, but it may cost us a little more for the Eastern Siates.

Mr. Wheeler-I desire to taise a point of order. I understand this discussion is wholly foreign to the Convention. It is not a wise policy to bring up these prolitical questions here.

14 feet. ipment sit Wns jening tuse all of our York 1 tes are vessels ture a hels to ne of me wo nship. otows The owing therem and tep in ' com-

Mr. Thompson-Strictly nqueaking Mr. Smalley is nat in order, but since Sunator Fergison was allowed to speak on the subject I think it is only fair to allow the Vice-President to reply,

Mr. Sualley-That is all I have to say. We were perhips a little too smart as traders and business men on both sides mon we were not able th get together as we should hate done and unite upon some basis of exchange of products.

## COLLINGWOOD-TORONTO AIR LINE.

## Mr. Frank Muberley read the following mper :

Mr. Chairman and Gentlemen : The Toronto and Collingwood Air-Line Railway, nithough perhus not of the imperial magnitude of other matters brought before yon, yet will heneticially uffect so large a section of the community as to be worthy of your earnest consideration. This railway it is propesed to build from Collingwool, which is at the extreme southerly end of Georgian Bay, to Toronto, on as nearly mair-line as possible. The distance is thout 70 miles, and the comutry passed over is particularly favorable for railwaty construction, and (one of the prineipal features in a railway intended for eheap earriage) the grades will be light.

At Collingwood there is an excellent harbor, approachable in all wenthers, and which can he deepened to any extent necessary at a rensonable eost. The appronch frem the land side is good. It is moposed to make the Toronto terminus at Ashbridge's Bay; the trade being priacipally with the Eist, that wond be the nost convenient place for handling a heavy tratfic.

This railway would place Toronto within two hours' hanl for freight of the trade of the Upper Lakes, and being furnished with mest approved nppliances for the landling and transport of grain, it is intended the cost of carriuge shall bo reduced to a minimum, the through rates being such as to offer a material inprovement on those now in vogue. This will be principally effected by the saving in time and distance.

Taking a point outside of the Straits of Maekinaw which is common to all shipping either from Lakes Superior or Michigan, the distance to Toronto vin the Air-Line is 300 miles, which makes it 340 miles shorter than the all-water route; it phees Toronto that much nearer the sea by the St. Lawrence route, and it also places freight by this route 290 miles nearer Boston and New York than by way of Buffulo, besides which shipping would avoid the domgerous navigation of Lakes Huron and Erie. Grain would be placed in Tormber with less time and cost than it could bo phaced in an equally favorable position by any other route, and Toronto has, as a distributing point, advantages held by no other place in regiud to the number of competing routes thit would receive the grain here. That for export, having the choice of the St, Liswrence, Boston or New York, while that required for the great centres of population to the south of us would reach its destination by way of Oswego and Ruchester.

The amount of grain and its products travelling by northern routes from west
to east amounts to about $400,000,000$ bushels per season, less than one-balf of which is for foreign markets, the lulance being consumed in the Fantern States and Muritime Provinees. Some thirty or forty million of bushels of this reaches its destination by routes enst of Toronto and the badance by routes west, but principally by way of Buffalo.

The port of Buffinh, received by way of water alune last season $190,000,000$ bushels of grain, and it alsu) received $10,000,000$ harrels of flour ; tuad Toronto, although the most favorably situated to handle this traffic, did not receive 16 pound. That now empetitors are entering the field for this tralo is iustanced by the construction of the Ottawa \& Parry Sound, the Ottawa, Irondale © Orillin Railways, buth of which will ron to the Georgim Bay, and neither of which will holp Toronto trade.

With Toronto as a distributing point a trade would be opened up by way of the St. Lawrence with the Maritime Provinces, the transfer of grain being made to ocesu veasels, say at Picton, where a return cargo of conl, or the wean veasels' cargo for the West, wonld he obtained. The Maritine Provinces import their breadstuffs, sud import largely in excess of their local requirements to meet a trade domand of their own. The ports of Tiver Du Loup and Rinouski would be favoratile prints to open a trade with N a camaswick.

The hulk of all the trade, hown vat. arnald always be with Oswego and Rochester, where the grain is required fus hashe consumption by the country sonth of those ports. Oswego and Rochester ais, smovide the prineiphl supply of hard coal ; and it is hoped arrangements can be made to handle this so cheaply as to furniah return eargoes west of Collingwood.

As a commercial enterprise the possibilities of the Air-Line can be readily ârived at. Having the shortest and chenpest route, we are pretly sure of a shase of the traffic, knowing the amount of that traffic open for competition, and being' able to accurately estimate the cost of building and maintaining the road. We have data by which we can form a goorl iden of the results.

Toronto has expended enomous amounts on improvements. It is necessary She should lose no opportunity to increase her trade and revenue to be able to maintain her works ins efficiency.
a

Mayor Telfer, Collingwood-An my name appears to a resolution' that was handed into the Committee on Resolutions, advocating this project, I presume a word or two in support of it will be in order from me. While I an in the fullest sympathy with the great scheme of canal enlargement of our waterways, yet I believe, as time is the essence of the contract in this as in other things, that the mest direct route will be adopted, and that is certainly via Georgian Bay and through the Province of Ontario into Lake Ontario. As Mr. Moberley has told you, this is the most direct route, and the work can be completed in a shirt time. It shortens the distance some 330 miles, and can be completed at an estimated cost of $2 \frac{1}{2}$ millions. If we as Canadians put our shoulders to the wheel I think we have the golden opportunity of capturing the trade of the West, inasmuch as the enlargement of the cansla is not within the ability of this country to cope with in
the immerliate future. I think as Canalians wo shomb think twiee before inviting intermational effint to complate our waterways.

Mr. Nettleton-There is one phase of this disenssion that has beene entively lost sight of. What cthect will the deepenin of the waterways have on the lowering of the Great Lakes? Is you wre no lowbt uware, the water has going down on the "pper lakem, and it is now $t$ wo fectower, nearly all ab going than it was some years ago. It is said the deepening of the Chane-h irnssing las been the canso of that. In building the air-line proposed by Ma. Monerley we would get awer that difloulty. This rolite will save the deeponing of the Welland Canal. The Sow Canal is to be 20 feet. We lave 16 feet in Collingwond, and it can ensily be pened to 20 feet. It is propesed to have thating elevators at Collingworl, and to run the grain by large cars throngh to Tomato. Nhe extimated cost is 1 cent pre bushel. By this Air-hine route we save awor :ut) miles of lake navigation. 'Jhe projeet involves elevatinn, but 1 materstand this proce of jeet hy which we con when. Ithink this is tho only immediate and practieal profarmers of the North-West.

Mr. D. Blain-J think the Association ought to reeognize as within its scope such a project as this. Tiat was the opinion of the Committee that arranged the business of this Convention, I think we ought to consider the transportation of freight by my ronte, and the seope of the Aswociation anglit to lue widened to inelude projects such as Mr. Moberley bas prevented for our eomsideration.

Mr. Steele-I think cheap tranispritation of produce is ficirly within the seope of this Association, int matter by what method that cheapening may be effected. Mr. Moberley is a gentleman of wid experience. He has leen in the railway business since 186ib. By his scheme Mr. Moherley will he able to carry grain frmy Collingwoml to Tormato at one eent per hashel. He proposes to hind cars of one hundred tons eapreity. If he can do, what he says, the whole matter is brought withen the bounds of feasibility. While it is my camest desire to see n 20 -font channel, at the same time I reeogaize the fact that the const ruction of such a channel is going to bo the work of yoars. If Mr. Moberley can carry grain between the two point.s. for one cent a boshel, and save wor foon miles on the round trip, he is going to assist very largely in solving this problem. I think his sehene is wonthy of the endorsation of this Convention. We might fairly go to the Local Gowerment and ask a bonus for it, and the Dominion Government, too, might be asked to aid it.

The Convention then adjouned for lunch.
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## WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 197 H-AFTERNOON SESSION

The Combention resimmed its sitting at 3 belock, Ald. Thompson presiding.
The Chairman-The first lusiness is Mr. Commee's priper on the St. Lawrence ponte,

## AN ENLARGBD WATEREAY TO THE ATLANTIC SEABOARD.

Mr. damen Commee, Pont Arthur -What I have to say is not altogether ons the St. Lawrenee, thongh 1 may touch on that guestion before sitting down. 1 desire to disenss this camal almost entively from n Camalian standjuint. I do not Hgree with those who siy that the deeprobing and enlarging of these waterways is not within the resources of the Dominion of Camalit. I sce no reason why these canals conld not be under joint control, but lentemd that the completion of the work is puite within the menns of the Dominion.

Tosatisfy the public mind that, it should be undertaken by our (iovernment it is nocessary to show :

1. That the advantages to the general public will be commensurate with the expenditure.
2. That there is an urgent and public necessity for the demand made.
3. That the ronte proposed is the best that ean be selected.
4. That it is fensible.

万. That its accomplishment is within our menns.
If it cun be shown that the sum of money that may be required to carry ont the undertakin; in view ca:anot be otherwise employed to so great an advontage to the general public, the chams of the mertaking to pablic attention is at once establisherl.

I'hat the undertaking, if carried out, would be of great public advantage may be seen by a comparison of the present cont of transportation from Western Camala to the seaborid as compared with what woold be available if the contemplated works were completed, and by a consideration of the ndmantages that would otherwise acerne by reason of the changed condition.

The Canadian lacifie Railway lake and rail rate from Fort William to Montrenl is at present 15 cents.per 100 pounds, or 9 conts per hishel, on wheat; the avernge rate at present by the all-water ronate from Fort William or Port Arthur to Montrem (and liresmme nbout tho same mos are arailable from Duluth), is $6 \frac{1}{2}$ cents pur hubel, chance cargoes being carried ns low in $5 \frac{1}{2}$ cents, thas ranging as
high an $7 \frac{1}{2}$. There are but a few vessels engaged in the tratic on the Lakes thint take their cargoes, or even a portion of their eargoes, thromethe St. Lawrence Camals to Montreal, and hat a small percentage of our grain is enrried that way.

The value to the public of water carringe as eompareal with mail earriage, and the advantages of canal constrnetion for inerease the meope of mavigation, is forcille shown ly Mr. E. L. Corthell, an eminent Amerion rugineer, in an able and instructive paper written hy him in 1800 , in which ho astimates the cost of enlarying the St. Lawrence Camals to 91 feet dopth, and to the devimid dimensions, at 827,001, 100 , assuming cur camals to have bow in dejth of 14 fort thomghout.

The average tomage of eargo through the Sit. Lan rence Camals to Montreal is aheut (50) tons. With the waterways completen to a miform depth of 90 fect the


Statistics slow elenly that reductions in freight rates have, gencrally sjeak. ing, been foreed umin the railwns by water competition male possible ly eanal construction; und that as the watorwas have been enlarged, the empeity of vessels has inereased and freight rates have been therehy corvepumbingly chenpenerl. Railways, too, itt wrder to compete, have heen tureed wimerase their

 mile to $\frac{1}{2}$ cent per ton per mile.

In 18.0 a a report was signed by the superintendent of the form trink lines in the State of New York, and presented in Congress, in which it was clamed that the lowest mates at which ordinary freight combl be earried by mail and pay interest and expenses was an average of 2 ? cents per ton per mile for agricultural pralucts, 3 cents for groceries, and 4 eents for ilry ganls.

The arerage enst per bushel for the carriage of whent from Chieng, to New
 mile. The lake and rail rate was ti, bit mills iner tom per mile, and the mi-rail rate 12.6 mills per ton per mile. The present all-rail rate is but 5 milis mal under per mile.

Sessel owners whare taking eargoes through to Montreal state that with a uniform deprh of 211 feet they eould emplay lager vessels, and conll make as much protit on a mite of $2 \sqrt{2}$ eents per bushel from Lake superior perts to Montreal as they unw make with the present chass of vessel, and at the present tates.

From statisties submitterl to Congress in 1891 hy the engineer of the sault Camal, it is shown that the average enst of lake tranapitation for the season of 1860 was $1 \frac{1}{2}$ mills per ton per mile. Ami by the statistician of the Tuterstate Commerece Commission it was stuwn that tho merage all-mil tate was ! 22 mills
 for 2 i enter per ton, or a rate slightly less tham I cent per bushel on what.

With such a channel completed aur grain abl ather exports would seek tidewater hy the st. Lawrence ronte, and a meh increased volune of the impont trade wonld be drawn to it as well, while loeal tralic and interchange betwenn the east mad the west wonld mpidly increase. To be in the pathway of sueh :s enti-
merce wondd be of great mbantage to our river mud lake ports. Assmuing that the total cost of enlarging the waterways from take Superior would aggregate
 would be ample, as I whall prearntly show, to ping interest and sinking fund charges on that sum.

Of the : B, fist miles, from Furt Willinm or Port Arthur to Liverpool (and whish is over 700 miles slarter than via Buffilu, and New York) there is but 71 miles that is restrieted hy lathra! whatacles, which here mul there impede commerce. Is it to he emombed that the malem resonrees, skill and energy of the Westorn half of this eontinent, or even of this natiom, are mot camble of overcoming, these barriers that at present ofstriset our progress, and not only handicap our penple in their enaperition with the promacts of Ladis, Russia, and Argentina, but permit ammicious grail specuhators to extort undue profits from our preducers?

It is not unly Ghtario, Quebec and the Western Provinces, thant will be bencfited, but the Msritime Provinces as well, as a menns for their coml, iron, and uther products to reach the West will be male available. With the Chignecto Ship Railway eompleted their shipping conld participate in the lake tratfic without difliculty. The benefits to be derived from the undertaking are not vasily estimated, but some idea my be gathered from the fact that grain has been lately carvied from New York to Liverpon, wer 3,000 miles, for $2 \frac{2}{2}$ cents per bushel and

It is a fact well hnown that the major part of our grain is now shipped to Amerian Lake Ports, west of the Welland Canal. Why is this, the case? e unswer is to. be found in the fact that wer 400 vossels now plying on th, it Laken, mostly American, draw tor much water when laden to pass througis she Welland Canal. The great majority of vessels, both Camadian and Americm, are shut out from the St. lawrence Camals by reason of their slort locknge and shallow water. The necesmity for moreasing the capacity of our waterways is forcibly emphasized by the fact that while the tratic through the Sault Canal, has incroased to $10,000,600$ tons annually, the tomage of the St. Lawrence Canals has remained sbout the same as in former years, being for the year 1892 but 518.373 tons both ways, the in tomage being hint 31,908 tons. It is quite evident that if Canadian vessels are to compete wiolt American, or, in othor worls, if they are to handle our own grains, they must be equal in capacity.

The Welland Cmal has 14 feet of water, but already the vessels that cannot pass through it when loaded have an aggregate tomange of over 500,600 tons, while those that do pass through are olbliged to reduce their cargoes by ovar 50,000 tona snnually in inder to get throngh, and at or below Kingston further transhipments are made as as enable the few vessels that engage in the St. Law ronce trade to get through to Montreal.

The question is to be considered, however, from another point of view, viz., what does the producer under present conditions realize for his product, and what would he realize were the channel enlarged? The Manitobn farmer, the producer of the best whent in the world, gets from 38 to 30 conts per bushel, when it is selling in Liverpool for 71 cents, a difference of 32 to 33 cents. This is not all
ming that ggregnte unluetion ng fund 71 miles ree. Is Vescern 4: thene - peeple out per. ll, irm, ignecto without ly estilately hel and
ecensionel hy frejght charges, for with the present rail rate from Wimnipeg to Fort Willinn, $10!$ cente per bushel, and the rate from Fort Willim to New York
 appear), and the revin rate, 4 cents, and allowing 3 cents for elevator mad embmisaitin charges, wo have $n$ total of 10 cents for freight and other charges from
 cents per bushel, or 10 to 11 cents over the present price, and would probably do so were it not that he is handicapped by New York control. This view of the situation was forcibly put by Mr. Dames 13. Camplell, of Montreal, in a letter published by him in April hast. Mr. Camphell shows clearly that prices are based on New lork griden, which are much inferior to our own, and that New York enpital tokes adsantage of our want of facilities and is emabled to make abmormai profits at the expense of our furmers. The reason for such a state of affaion is the imatility of our vessels und camals to hundle the trade. Our producers camot hold wer their grais, and to compete anceessfully they must have facilities to market the yielal each year, letween the harveat nom the elose of navigution, at the lowest prossible cost. It is argued that we should go more into mixed farming, sos as to comense the praslucts. The adviee is goom, bat it does not whiate the neeessity for this endurged channel. Wer-prosluction may, nod donbeless will, canse $n$ fall in the price of meats, eheese mal buter, just as it hat in whent, besides the product in whatewer form, must be maketed, and in muy ease the cost of transpentation is the important question and point of diflienlty, so far as western Canala is concerned. If the country is to sustain a large population, cheap and rapid transportation must be atforded. The distance from Fort Wil. liam, or Dort Arthur, to Montron, hy the all-water route, is 1,025 miles; from Chicago to Buffilo the distance is nomewhat less, but for whter rates it may be considered practically the same. Wheat has been carried from Chicage to Buffalo for 2 cents per bushol. Vessels are taking cargoes of three thousand tons and upwards because of the depp waterwny that now exists on that route. Were sur waterways improved we eould get is cheap rates, or nearly so, from Fort William to Montreal as we now obtain from. Chicagy to Buffalo, which may he put at $2 \frac{1}{2}$ cents per hushel, and having regard to the downward tendency of freiglits and to the competition that would arise, it is not too much to assume that with the ocean rate at 4 cents and under Manitoba whent could be put in Liverpool from Fort Willinm for $6 \frac{1}{2}$ eents, making, eren with the present rail rate of $10 \frac{1}{2}$ centa from Winnipeg to Fort Willinm, a total of 17 cents per bushel freight charges to Liverpmol.

But further rail eompetition between Fort William, Port Arthur and Manitoba, which the carrying out of the enlarged chamel would greatly stimulate, it is reasonable to assume, would bring the inland freight rates down sufficiently to reduce the figure to 15 cents, and even to 12 cents. Grain is now carried by rail from Buffilo to New York, 440 miles, the same distance as from Winnipeg to Fort Willinm, for $\frac{5}{2}$ cents per bushel. Assuming our waterway completed as proposed, and taking the lake and ocean rate at $6 \frac{1}{2}$, and the rail rate between Manitoba and Fort Willima at $5 \frac{1}{2}$, we have 12 cents freight charges from Winnipeg to Liverpool. But even assuming that the rail rate from Winnipeg to Fort William will not fall below if or $6 \frac{1}{2}$ cents, and allowing also 3 cents for elevator and commission charges,
 churges, and taking the present Liverpeol prices at 71 rents the Manitola farmet should receive ion or fif cents for his whent, or from lit to lifents over the price he at present reevives. That this is not mat over chtimate of whint may the expereted is shown by the monal repurt of the bipartment of lailways mil canals,
 freight rates for the seman of 18 ges, from whieh 1 take the following: 'lhis reprort shows that the rate on whent from Wulath to Buffilo duriug the semsen of 1 site ranged from $2 f$ to 4 conts per bushel, the average lieing it conts. The canal mates
 per hashel; the average for the keison was iss reates. So that if we take the two nveruge rates we have bif conts total freight charges from Whath to New Sork. The average monthly mate during the season from Font William to Montreal is not
 cents, the averuge being if conts per bushel, so that the sontreal route was in
 cents mer bushel (amd 1 assmme proportionately no on other freight) notwithatanding that vin that ronte there are over don miles of conal to traverse, while by the St. Lawronce ronte there are $\overline{\mathrm{T}} 1$ miles.

The facts and considerations here presented establich the allirmative of the propmitions under review, aul fully wartat the emelusion that the mbint:ges anply justify the propnesed expenditure.

The greatest problem before the Camalian people to-thy is the guestion of low best and quickest to reduce to a minimmon the differenee between the price


In uddition to the furegoing there is the Ilarostarios Ship Railway, or C'aml, as the cuse may be, which is incilenta! In this modertaking, but does mot neces-
 miles, and would doubtless affind a further saving in freight rates.

What I have said in regard to Canadian tratlic and the interchange of commoxities between the bistem nod Western populations applies with eqpal fore to the United States. They, ton, will be greatly betefited by the deepening of this great waterway - which should be free,-and will share in the prosperity wheh it
 by the Great Lakes.

Est imating the present export of western Camin (that will be affected) including grain, thur. live stock, ete., to be equal to lit, 010,000 busheis of what, a figure which I am assured is well within the mark, the slifect hemetit twar producers would, as aiready shown, be from in eches to 16 cents per hat hel. ar a saving of $t w o$ million twa handred and fifty thonsma dollate ammolly. Camada

 our amual saring on exports only the sam of 8500,000 , which, if investend ammally as it aecrues, will, with the neemmated interest, liquidate the elelat for

 the cosi moll hignidate the same in tifty yans. It will take some yeare to exeeute the work, and interest womld have to be paid on the sum expended meh yomer for


 compunate' for the charge, to say hothing of the pronproty that it will tring to the people. Jbsides, the country is mot going the stand still. If onr expontes from
 Lus aname that tell years hence, on by the time the chamel is completed, it will be
 all prosihle contingencies. The conchasion, therefore, is inevitahle that in our own
 ceed with the work

It may be argoed tha, although the I niterd states has spent vast sums of monney on the immonement of the Mississippi River, trathe on it has mot ineressed to the extent anticipated, hat it mast be berne in mind that the case with which we we deating is quite disuimilan then of the Mississippi. What ean be
 fnllacy to assmone that hemuse the volmme of tratice onf the Mississippi has not increased to the propertima anticipted, therefore the benetits are not emmens. surnte with the expenditure. Mr. Conthell in his most excellent peper states that the improvements on the Missisijplig give a direct alvantige tor the produrers of
 reason that it but only affinde greater shipping facilities, but, if for any cause the freight rates ly rail tise, the river ates as a rugnlator for bring them down ngain.

Howevor the work may be acemplishlerl, whether jointly or separately, it is a work of the firsi milportance in the interests of tooth Camada and the United States. If comstitutional questions, or mational sentiment, or other reasons prevent a joint eontral, yot wach nation can complete those partions lying within its own borders. The st. Lawrence and its ammections form a long bonndry line and each nation cim inpmen stretches of chanmel (nits own side. Alrealy we reap great advantages from the many chamels conmecting this great system, which have been deepened at the expense of the Cuited states, and in like manner they have received adrantages by expenditures that were made by the Dominion of Canada. Nusumil reason exists why l'nited netion shonld not be faken. Surely those two great nations, with kindred peoples and a common language, are not long to be buthed by barrions to their commeree which are inferior in point of difficulty and magnitude to works that have heen already aceomplished by eaeh.

Conadia has already expended in rumal numbers $\$ 100,000,000$ on her great national mailway from ocean to ocean, which has been of grent advantage to the nation, but no one can diapute but that the enlargement of our waterways to the senlourd can he acomplished for n much less expenditure and that ic will be of much greater public adrantuge.

The history of the St. Lawrence Canal system. viewed from the light of today and with our preseat ideas of the future, present a lamentable want of foresight.

No duubt much of our hackwardnens in this renject is to be necounted for by the Colonial systen of Govermment that existed prior to 1791, and that which exinted down to Confederation, and hy fimaneinl considemions and other exigencies that had to le luet in thowe early days. But making allowanees fur all these and eonsidering that we bave had a National (invernment foi over a quarter of a century, it is quitu evident that what has most retarded our ennul improvemont is the want of a comprehensive and systematie seheme of eonstruction. We are not slone in this rempeet, our Ameriem neighlworn have hame the name mistakes, and are less excusable as their means were more ample. In their haste to traversu every section of their great conntry with railway lines they have overdosked the chenper and roadier menns of transportntion which a proper system of enmula would have atforiled them. We may chaim to have followed their example, but whatever excuse we may assign for the mistaken of the past, there ean be no excuse for further delay in jutting our eanal nystem ob a proper basis.

Our hest enorgies and our first attention should be directed to the ome means by which our producers can be put in a position to compute with those of other countries. The alvancemont of the materinl interests of our own people should have parmmount consideration over such novelties na a "Fant Atlantic Line," and "Oriental Trade." Theso latter are well enongh in their way, but they will do very little if anything to enlance the priee of our jroducts.

It was a inilitary necessity that at first bronght about the construction of the St. Lawronce Cabal system, mad the people now have it in their peover to make it * political necessity to put them on 4 proper basis, and to have them eompleted with despatch.

Withont gring into a minute history of the St. Lawrence nystem of enuals it may be pointed out that the total mileage of the canals, and their artificial connecting clamels, is 71 miles, with about 50 locks and a total rise of $\mathbf{5 0 3}$ feet.

## The Lachine Canal.

A short sketch of this connl, which overomes the St. Lonis rapids nt Montreal, may be interesting. Altheugh the construction of the canal wis strongly urged at varions times nothing was accomplished until after the passing of the Constitutional Act of 1791 . The first legislation regarding it was in 1815, when the Legishature, on the recommendation of Sir George Irevost, passed a Bill uppropriating $£ 2 \mathrm{Q}, 000$ in aid of the work, Its importance, from a military point of view, wis obvions to the military authorities. But peace being deedared soon ufter the pasange of the Bill, nothing further was dome until 1819, when a Bill was passed refealing the former Act and ineorporating a Joint Stock Compmay, with a eapital of $8 \mathbf{8 0 0 , 0 1 0 0}$ to construct the camal, hut the seheme proved uhortive and in 1821 a bill was passed cancelling the charter of the Company and authorizing the Government to mudertake the construetion of the camal us $n$ (iovermment work. Commissioners were appointed to carry out the projeet and ground was finally broken in July of that year. The British Govermment contributell $\$ 50,000$ towards the work on condition that all military supplies shomld pass free.

The canal was opened in 1825 . It was 28 feet at bottom, 48 feet at the water line, with $4 \frac{1}{2}$ feet deptl of whter, and had seven locks $100 \times 20$ feet built with
atone. The total length was $8 f$ miles. It was no womer luilt than it was fonmel


 many other sehomes were sulvented, and it was mot motil after many vicissitumen that the whe leceation was atherod to and $n$ weheome for ernlareing the loseks to $2(6) \times 45$ feet, with ${ }^{2}$ feet depth of water oll the sills, and with a lireadth of go feet

 1844, eluring the progress of the work, it was shevided to derpern lowise 1 and 2 to

 locks in 1848 and the wonk finally exmpleted in 18 sits.

## The Imenent Cinal.

Is on a new line and is of the following dimensions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Leugth ... }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Depths at :i locks. .................................. is } \\
& \text { " " . ................................. If feet. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Dimensions of locks .... .............................. .280 x } 45 \text { feet }
\end{aligned}
$$

So that there are two lines of eamal. The history of this cmal is the hist ary vof all the ther Sit. Lawrence canals, with lont slight difference. Military evers. sity and private companies combined timally interested the fowermm, he Imperial (inwomment aiding in sevoral instances on the same comalitions at at Lachine. The history of the Welland was ahnost mentien with that of the Lachine, exeep that the Joint Stoek Compmay fimally sureveded in completing
 tho there are cwo lines of camal. Aud the same is the ease at beanharman and sewral uther paints. Hal a comprehensive mad systematic seheme leon eonecived
 thronghour.

It any selene for the ingrovemont of the St. Lawrence River :und its Camas to neemmonlate the eommere of the future, the ideal should lee not only to reduce the lift-lucks to the lowest pussilhe mumber, but to secme n eapacity ihonoghom


In eanging into eflect the endargel system pomened, it will doubters be foumd advisable in the majority of easen tormont new lines. This deven mot matan that the expenatiture on the ohd lines will he a lons to the conntry, as in ease they emb be utilizei as peracr ampelucts, and will with proper mangement yiehl a revenue equal tw interest on the cost of their construction.

With new and improver aplinnees the cont of executing sueh works will be grently reducel as compared with past expenditure.









 bresent aleph of from 12 to is feet of water matil the head of that islame is
 that the haty rating to wach the desired point would probally mot exered 2 !





 light, except at one ar two points, for shast distanere only. The eflient of the
 mavigate the biver without ditliculty. A glance at the chates abld an examination of the gromil will show that the combitions ame favorahle for this plan. I man mot giving my uwn "pinion only, but that of several engineers who lave examined intes
 as the dan in itself would athod a great water prwer, which combl he ntilized in the city, and which womld, 1 halieve, prevent wey hagely the pertiondieal hack-water Hoouls at that jrint.

Similar comblitions exiat at mose of the other points. The total rise of the new Soulanges Camal at bemblarmois is $82!$ feet. Of this rise ill feet is mate in the first three-quarters of a mite ly fome lifte of $10!$ feet each, whowing that combitions exist by which the lockage combl be reluced to one or two lifts. biat we are not called upan to define the seheme by which the work is to be carried ous. We will Inve dune our duty when we have estahlished the nevessity for its executim, and shown that the benetits to the gemeral pmblic warant the expenditme. The Govermment of the day are charged with the administ mation of the public revennew and the comene of public works. C'pon them devolses the duty to determine the scheme ly which this great work shall be nemplished.

The Charman-Diseussion is now in orter.
Mr. MeIntyru-While 1 an glad to hear such a rose-colured view, I would like to get Mr. Commee to explain how he can run a vessel, even of the largest size, from Fort William to Montrenl at a $2 \sqrt{2}$ cent rate?

Mr. Commee-The distance is only 1,000 miles and they are carrying from Duluth to Buthino and from Chicago to Bulfalo for two cents.

The Chairman-For one cent.

## Hellurinis

 ilize the Bhing whlil lue ing that. ing-1atm nk or a te dam. I has at. shant is firt, ses ceer 21 mill the" Heron h. So, lins, nll lue prosmill be of the remuld ination III nut allo "prest, zed in -water le new in the litions re mut o will (1, and Gins. is mald te the would rgest

 rate I have glouted.
 pate in a canal.
















 tion or will they reper the resalution than han beell malmittell to them 1
 Whaterer conforme to the spitit of the Conseations will he sulmitted $t$, the Conventiall.
 The Cheirman-I combl not say that. Gut I should think that they wosh take " browler viow than that, I think we whall ath be ghat then from Mr. Harwey ont the sulajeet hefore the Conlrettin.


 the edge af the Dairie Combtry, but at the and of the fomblan and the Ameriean
 ext msion of the waterwny system a project of tamsurertion on laml, hy means of milways rin by pawer developmed ly abetricity. I think that hefore half the members of this Conrention gos owr to the great majorily we shall see the water



 tmin hatuln, we whall probably get the interion rates resheed to balf the prosent ligurem.


 of At. liall.

Mr. Flaner Mr. Chainman and Fiellow fonstinentals, I will nuw real the





 isinterthet were minnitand.

## 

Gientlemen of the Comrention : Yonr Committer, tor whom way refervel all motioen, pragera athe resulutions, uganizad by mhanting the motion of Frank $A$.




We have preporeal amil unanimmaly mboted and present an our rejort the fullowing:

## Pi,atpokis.

"Whoreas, This Comvention has asmemblenl for the parpone of promuting the union of the lakes mal the ligh some by waterways of the grentent practicable capacity mad usefnheres: amb, recugaizing the napmome utility of sach waterway develepminers,

- Itesolved, That the depth of all ehanmels thongh the lakew and their seathand ennoctinas be bot less than twenty-the feet, and that all permanent struetures twe dexigned on a lawis of not lexs than twenty-six fert, in orider that the greater depth may be quiekly mol eheaply whemed whenever demmated by the fullire secersities of commeree.
" Liemolval, That thix Convention recongizen the utility of the matural route to the mea ly thi Si. Sawrence River me mest quickly and cheaply impowable, and is also impressed with the commercinl necessity of the route reaching the Athatic thenn via the Hudson River.
"Ifosolved. That wo recommend that the Governmente of Canaila and the Cuited Staten appoint a joint commission to comsider and report fully unon the mivimatility of the two countrien oniting to establish deep ship chansels from the
 If wo 19n mhel prosont muly to imulley nt the - much I linay e, deal issurel sh the remall.



 vhmateler.





 luitedn Niater.



 intemberl to he suphlemental the the genval phat form:
 Mary's Palls Cimals shomht be seepened tot wenty-xix feet oner their miter sills.
"Roselvel, That it having entme tor the kbowledge of this Constontion that

 Qnelose tus New link, while American bats have the freedom of the Camadian
 ment of the linited Nates is raspect fully urged to take stepon at their endient con-

 Canalliat waters.
"Revolved, That it would be dexirable for min Interumtional Commission of Eusinerers ta thetormine the nathow of the several firent bakes and the practicahility
 mixing and controlling thoir levels, and thas deepening the waters no alight expense in the reveral harlore theredf, as well as the waters wer the dangerous shallows at the month of Dutroit liver and nt the fort of latke lirie."

Fhank A. Flineti,
Sepitember 1:th, 18:4,
Secrlary.
After nome dixenssion the rephent was unamimonly moptend by the Convention.
The Conventim then moljourned till avening.

## WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 19TH-EVENING SESSION.

Mr. Flower read the

## REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON IPERMANENT ORGANIZATION.

Gentlemen of the Convention : Your Committee, to whom was referred the matter of Permuent Organization of this Convention, ber leave to report as follows:

Name.
International Deep Waterways Association.
Officers.
Intermetionel President-Oliver A. Howland, M.I.P.', Toronto.
Internationed Vire-Presitent (U.N.) -L. E. Cooley, C.L., Chiengo.
Intermutional Virp-Presilent (Ctounda)-James Fisher, M.P.P., Wimipeg.
State ant Provincial Piesibents.
Gulorut, -Senator E. O. Woleott, Denver.
Iome-A. P. Metruirk, Davenport.
Illimois-Captain .J. S. Dunham, Chieqgo.
Indiam-T. W. Vememan, Evaņsville.
Michium- H. W. Seymour, Sault Ste. Marie.
Montema-senator Thomas C. Power, Helema.
Minnesote-IV. C. Sherwood, Duluth.
Mussuch hsetts-Eilwin H. Abbot, Boston.
Velmeskit-R. Rosewater, Gmaha.
New York-F. S. Witherbee, Port Henry.
South Dhdota-fieo. B. Clitiord, Grand Forks.
oheo-Luther Allen, Cleveland.
Promsidinnin -.Jomes H. Mentersom, Pittsburgh.
South Inkofa- Nemator R. T. Pettigrew, Siomx Falls.
Wixrensin $\rightarrow$ Frank A. Flower, Superior.
Wymuin!-S ©nator Joseph M. Corey, Cheyenne.
Insintimum - Davidan, M.P.P., Indian Head.
Manilntu-T. W. Tibylor, Winnjeg.
Ner Bromsurick- Robertson, St. John.

Ontario- I, Brown, Tormento.
Quteber-JR. R. Dole ll. Quebee.
Susketehenen- Captain D. H. Mebowell, Prince Abbert.

## Exvertive bonim.

E.rofticin-Oliver A. Howland, Teronto; L. F. Cooley, Chicago; Jnmes Fisher, Wimeipeg.

Etected-Fimk A. Flower, Superion, Wis. ; A. L. Crocker, Mimneapolis, Minn. : James Camphell. Montreal: Edwin H. Abhot, Bustom; J. S. Bumbim, Chicager ; Jimes Commee, Port Arthur ; dames Suydim, St. Piml ; H. W. Scymour, Sinlt Gte. Marie; R. R. Dubell, Queber . . Witlorl, Meaford; L. R. Keek,

Fecommended, -That in erse the Convention shall mopht this report, the Executive Board herein provided be and is herely charged with the duty of drafting a Constitution and By-laws, formulating an ddress or Momorial to the people of both Canadn and the Cuited states, and providing generally to carry into effeet the objects of the permanent Associntion, with full power to act finally in all sueh matters.

Respeetfulle sulnaitted,
Frank 1. Flower,
September 19th, 1804.

Mr. MeGuirk-I move the adoption of the report as read.
Mr. Brown--I have much pleasure in secomding the motion.
Mr. D. Blain-The object of this Convention ats ontlined by the Citizens' Committee held in the hall was to endenvon to aseertain what would be the quiekest, safest and cheapest way to earry freights from the head of the lakes to tidewater. I intend to move that the repont be amended bofone it is ndopted. I intend to move that the basis of this Assoeintion be widened.

Mr. Smalley - The only amendment to the report would he as to the name of the organzation or of the names on the eonmittee.

Mr. McGuirk-I rise to a point of mader. I insist upen the ruling of the $^{\text {on }}$
Mr. Smalley-Mr. Blain is not speaking to the fuestion of the appointment of the Committee of this organization.

Mr. Thompen-This Convention was summoned for disenssing the deepening of existing waterways. Other projects have been divenssed as a mater of conrtesy, but it is not necessary wh should endorse them. I don't think it advis. able now to take up the time of the meeting with any further diseussion on this point.

The report of the Comuittee wats adopted by a unanimous vote.

The President and Vice-Prewitent clect were then ealled upon.
Mr. Howhad- Mr. Chaiman and Genthmen, I have to express my deep sense of the honor that has been eonferred on mo, not I believe as persomal considemanas, bat becanse it was felt that Toronto and Camala were a ntitled to some special recognition in comnection with the work which this Convention is the inerptim. The numination of myself to this high oflice was an entire supprise to me. I frel it is a weight of responsibility that 1 mm assuming mather than anything which would create exultation. I shall dor the ntmost in my power to forwal the intcrests of the undertaking, relying upon the ansistance of the far more experienced gentlemen both from my own country and from the eomotry which has so generously come forwaril to thow in its influeneo in this natter. I may he permitteri to eongratulate the Convention on the suceessful result of its labors. I think it was not expected by any of us in Toronto that we should proceed with soch practical harmony aml with such hopeful steps towarls the consummation of the ohject we have in view. I think, sir. we may see the future already oproing. Nature provided these great waterways as the outlet to the Great Lakes.

The practical results we look forwiod to are of a kind that must engage the intereste of the great masses of the people of both comntries. One mutter gives me special pleasure in comection with this Convention, and that is, the enthusiastic manner in which it has taken up the resolution on the subject of an International Court, as a means of preparing the minds of the people of both eommtries to receive our projects nad to eary them out in a liberal and broad spirit. On other oceasions I shall no doubt be privileged to present further reasons why such an institution as an International Court should be formed. I can only sity at present that I look forward to the success of that movement, not immediately, hut in a reasemable time, and its effeet will be to constitute a feleration of the English race. It will be a step towaris the poet's dream of the ferloration of mankind. It is a practical step towards that Parliament of Man of which our great and now hamented poet has sumg. Such conventions as these are in themselves a kind of Parliament of Man. Similar comventions me being held for varions purposes, religions, momal, scientific. They may, at all events, be called Committees of the Padiament of Man, and we know that the best work of farlimments is that done in committec. This International Court will be a means of preventing the possibility of Internationa! quarels and differences belween different banches of the mace culminating in violonce. The United States Sopreme Court was formed as a court to decide questions between the different states of the Republic. There is nothing t" prevent the extension of that principle to all Inanches of the English nace. I have nevor hal any faith in those dreans which look to political amalganation. The temency is rather towaris leeal individuality and independence. There is, in my opinion, only one practicable and substantial buad of uoion, and that is, judicial confederation. In conclasion, I wish to expross once more my thanks for the honor you have comferred on me, and my earnest and enthusiastic hopes for the ultimate success of this great movement. (Applanse.)

Mr. Cooley-It would be affectation on my part not to express the feeling which I have of the honor which has been comferred on me. I had great doubt in
atual-
lence.
my mind as to whether I slould aceept the position. I thonght perhaps it womld he wiser to do honor to a larger constitneney to which we must look for support, but after reflection I considered ane of the greatest foreas of this whole movement that is now organized in a way, is the City of Chicago ; and in necepting this honor I have accepted it for the city which has sent mo here as their representative. I have bad some experience in promoting agitations. I know this project yon have so mach at heart will pass through many vicissitudes. If this Exueutive Cun. mittee will prepare the proper matter and the proper arguments and will entist on both sides of the line a doren apostles, who will walk up and down the land with the faith that is in them, you can carry this projeet through. (Applanese.) 1 think we have necomplished a harder task at Chicago, not enlisting two mations canal and a capital, but a single city, which is spending its substance on its wisely and enlist the support at our of the whole people. As surely as we act to the Gulf of Mexieo in a boat drawing 14 feet and the to live long enough to go 26 feet. The whole idea of this Cong feet and to the Athatic in one drawing
 been devoted to the subject of waterwas. In fact 15 years of my lifetime has enginecr's standpoint that I prefer to thays. The need is so apprent from an be avercome. As I look at this questionk there is no resistance which may mot It is feasible from an enginerin pestion, the first proposition is, is it fessible? eal to eonstruct it? There is athermint. The next question is, is it comomiconsilering the resources of the question about it. The project is justifiable entirety is more justifiable than either the Suere is no doubt this project in its are satisfied of these two propositions, nothing or the Nicaragur Canal. If you oplosition exeept prejudice and ignoramee ang else remains. There will be no

Mr. Smalley-I move we now proceed to the question of the holding of the next Convention.

Mr. Connee - I move that the next Convention be held in Duluth.
Mr. Coolev - Wouldn't it be better to let the Executive Committee determine this question? Let them work at it and eall the Convention at their discretion. I will make a motion to that effect.

Mr. Brown-It is usual on these oecnsions for the city or town that would like to have the Convention to invite it. I think if the matter was left for five minntes we would get balf-a-dozen invitations.

Mr. Cooley - In about two years our 2 fofoot elannel at Chicago will be opened. We might be able to offer some inducements for the holding of a couvention in Chicago at that time.

Mr. Smalley-I think the mater of the next convention, the time and phace of it, is a very important matter. I don't think we can allow this movement to sleep for two years, as Mr. Cooley suggests, and I don't think we ought to go very far away from the great centres of population. I was disposed at first to recommend Minneapolis, but I am more dismosed to thiuk we ought to have the next convention at some convenient point bytwean the East and West. I think if the

City of Toledo or Cleveland should invite us, either would be a very gool place. I think this matter onght to be left with the Execntive Committee. I second Mr. Cooley's motion.

Mr. Flower-1 would like to invite the members of this Association to come to Superior urext September. I don't think that is any too early. When we first orgaized in Superior there was only a dowen people in fawor of the deep waterway movement. The next Convention was held at the soo, and they have been held at Grumd Fi ks, St. Piml and Detroit. I would like to invite you to Superior. Up there is where the wind blows a thonsand miles. It is what Brother Conley ealls the breat-basket of the North American Continent. It is the end of the greatest inland waterways of the wond. It is the place where is coneentrated as a temporary market more of the bread and food that supports hanan life than at any other point. Superior is easily aceessible to the Camadians. The trip will do them good. We are all in favor of 21 and 26 feet, or of 40 feet, if that is the size any one will build vessels. We will give you a royal, good weleome and a good time, and to all delegates whe come properly aceredited there shall be no expense after they got there exeept, perhaps, if they want to drink whiskey. (Laughter.) All ordinary hotel bills will be paid, and we will treat yon well. The people of Winniperg think the people down here don't cire much about Maniteba, and they are thinking of exporting their propluce by way of Hudson's Bay. If yon come up in that direction you will secure a large number of delegates from the north-western part of the Dominion, and so help the cause generally. If Brother Cooley doesn't mind, I would like him to withdraw his motion. We have six fine hotels in Superior, two larger than any I have seen in Toronto. Your bills will cost you nothing. (Applause.)

Mr. Wheeler-I take very much pleasure on behalf of the people of Cleveland and of the Chamber of Commerce in inviting the Convention to that city next year. In 1892 eleven million tons of freight were handled there. The figures up to the 1st uf September show that we are 500,000 tons ahead of the freight locked through the Soo. We would like you to come down to that district to see what we are doing. We want you to see a shipyard that is unexcelled on this Continent. Come to the city that has the largest tonnage of any city on the lakes, that makes the most ships of any city in the United States. We are within 18 hours of St. Paul, but a short distance from our Canadian friends, and in close communication with the South, whose help we must have. We hope you will eome to Cleveland and we will make your visit as agreeable as we can.

Mr. Smalley - I feel very much like accepting the invitation of Cleveland. We are all loyal up in the North. West and there is not so much need of a Convention there. If we can get the great eity of Ohio to take up this movement we will secure an imnense force right in the centre where we need it.

Mr. Brown-I have mueh pleasure in seconding Mr. Flower's motion to hold the next Convention in Superior.

Mr. MeGuirk-I think Cleveland would be an excellent place to go to. We want the support of Ohio. Pennsylvania, Michigan. You must have the support of the Southern and Middle States as well as those bordering on the Lakes. You
must have the Athatic sitates as well, and it seems to me no more empeniont point can be salected than Cleveland.

Mr. Melatyre-A year is a long time to wait for a Convention. I think a Convention should be held in dune or July. If we are determined to get a 21 -foot chamel the sooner we get to work the better.

Mr. Boyle - lt seems to me that what we want behind this movement is influence. There is no eity on the Lakes that has harger influence than the City of Chicag'. I would move the next Convention be held in Chicugn not later than the first of July.

Mr. W. I. Maekenzie secomeld this mution.
Mr. Cooley - In prosecnting this movement a great deal of work will have to be done by individuals selected for the purpose. That is the provinee of the Executive Committee. 1 believe the greatest work of legislation has been dane by individuals. Public sentiment has to be created. That ean be created in a measure by Conventions, but if you attempt to hold Conventions every six months they will soon peter out, and this subject has to be kept up for a series of years. The Mississippi Valley movement was started in 1874. They held meetings every two years. In the mem while they hat an aetive organization in every city of of the Mississippi Valley and a General Committee to bring together all the results of that organization and distribute literature in all directions. I believe our Executive Committee is the proper organization to attend to the holding of the Convention. For some reason it might be advisable to hold it at Ottawa or Washington and the Committee should be free to take advantage of conditions which may arise favorable to the project. I think therefore the original motion should prevail.

Mr. Smalley-I think it would be in order to refer all these invitations to the Executive Committee. I will move that the invitations already made be referred to the Executive.

Mr. Suydam-I second the motion.
Carried nnanimously.
Mr. Mçiurk - I move we tender the thanks of this Convention to His Worship the Mayor and the citizens of Toronto for the hospitality they have hecorded to us.

Mr. Smalley-I second the motion.
Carried.
Mr. Howland - I think the thanks of this Convention art due the President and two Vice-Presidents, the Seeretaries, and the Chairman and Secretary of Committees who have brought the labor of the Convention to such a suceessful issue. I make a motion to this effect.

## Seconded by Mr. Conmee and carried

Mr. Thompson-On behalf of myself and associates I thank you very kindly for the passing of this resolution. I my saty on miy part the work has beell a
matter of love. I don't expect this will be my last eonnection with the deep waterways novement. I ammot on the Committee, but that is not a slight to me. I have been offered a position on it, but I doelined, knowing that others are able to fill it better than myself. I hope to attend other Cobrentions and to lend what assistance I can tu further the whjects for which we have been called together.

Mr. Sinalley-I thank the Convention for the resolution just pmssed. I would just like to say that we have hud here n remarkably gend working body of men.

Mr. Suydam thanked the Convention for the resolution.
Mr. Meduirk - I arcept your hearty thanks and I desire to say I am not a complete stranger in Canadia, becanse it is the lant of my birth. I know your ways and the thorough business training and hospitality of the people of Canada and I came with great pleasure to the Queen City of Toronto to aceept your hospitality. I trust that the goon work born here will spread to other parts of this Dominion which onght to have a population of twenty-five million people. I thank the Canadian members for their kindness and generosity and the breadth of thomght they have manifested throughout the entire Cunvention. When the next Convention is held 1 hope we will have delegates representing from the mouth of the St. Lawrence Gulf to the great North-West.

The Convention then adjeurned.

## THURSDAY. SEPTEMBER 20TH.

On Thurshay morning the delegates were driven around the City and enterbained at luncheon at the Rossin Houst

nter-


