FILE 292 CHURCH PROPERTY : PRESBYTERIANS VS UNITED CHURCH

DOCKET STARTS:

QUEBEC CHURCH PROPERTY COMMISSION

Lafleur, Mac Dougall. Macharlane & Barclay . Advocates Barristers Vc.

EUGENE LAFLEURKC GORDON W. MACDOUGALL.K.C. LAWRENCE MACFARLANE. K.C. GREGOR BARCLAY W. B. SCOTT HON. ADRIAN K-HUGESSEN MAURICE T. LAFLEUR W. F. MACKLAIFR

Royal Trust Building Montreal March 31st 1926.

Cable Address "FLEURAL"

Gregor Vaterclas

General Sir Arthur W. Currie, G.C.M.G., K.C.B., McGill University. Montreal

Dear Sir Arthur:-

RE: CHURCH COMMISSION -

I am in receipt of a letter from the Chairman of the Legal Committee of the Presbyterian Church in Canada asking me if I would get in touch with you, first, to find out if you have accepted the position as Chairman of the Church Commission, and secondly, in the event of your having accepted, which I personally hope you have, if we could get together with the Reverend Mr. Pigeon and arrange for Sessions of the Commission as soon as possible.

Our people are very anxious to have the cases presented with the least possible delay and I am given to understand that the Commission will not have a great deal of work and that there are not very many cases to be presented but that delay is causing serious inconvenience. Yours sincerely. GB-ER:

CAMPBELL, M^oMaster, Course, Kerry & Bruneau

0.

CABLE ADDRESS"CAMMACCO"

ADVOCATES & SOLICITORS

GEORGE A.CAMPBELL,K.C. ANDREW R.M®MASTER,K.C. G.C.PAPINEAU-COUTURE,K.C. JOHN KERRY, A.SYDNEY BRUNEAU, E.P. DALE HARRIS.

CANADA LIFE BUILDING, 189 ST. JAMES STREET,

MONTREAL April 12th. 1926.

Sir Arthur Currie, G. C. M. G., Principal, McGill University, Montreal.

Dear Sir Arthur:- Re: Quebec Church Property Commission

While I do not wish to hurry you in connection with the operations of the above Commission, may I venture to call to your attention the fact that a delay of two months is provided in the Act within which all applications to the Commission must be lodged.

I would, therefore, like to suggest that the Commission hold at least a preliminary meeting and appoint a Secretary, with whom applications for hearing could be lodged within the statutory delay.

While I have no definite news on the subject, I am hoping that you have seen your way clear to accept, and that is why I am venturing to send this reminder to you. Nearly one-half of the time appointed for the lodging of claims has now expired, and I do not wish the parties to be left with too short a time for the production of their claims after the Commission holds its first formal meeting. Yours faithfully,

MEMORANDUM RE QUEBEC CHURCH PROPERTY COMMISSION.

My fee for acting as a member of the Commission is fixed by Legislative Ordinance at \$1,500. I told Mr. Gregor Barclay that I would like to have an assurance that the parties in whose interest it was created would reimburse me for the income tax payable on the \$1,500.

On April 12th, 1926, he gave me this assurance verbally over the telephone. With that assurance, I have informed Mr. G. A. Campbell that I was willing to act.

Montreal, April 13th, 1926.

April 13th, 1926.

Geo. A. Campbell, Esc.,K.C., 189 St. James Street, Nontreal.

-

Dear Mr. Campbell :-

In reply to your letter of April 12th, let me say that I have decided to accede to the request to form one of the Cuebec Church Property Commission.

I shall see Rev. Pidgeon and Mr. Gregor Barclay some time this week and we ought to be able to make a start at once.

CABLEADDRESS "CAMMACCO."

CAMPBELL, M^c MASTER & COUTURE.

ADVOCATES & SOLICITORS.

GEORGE A.CAMPBELL,K.C. ANDREWRM®MASTER,K.C. G.C.PAPINEAU - COUTURE, K.C E.P. DALE HARRIS

CANADA LIFE BUILDING, 189 ST. JAMES STREET,

MONTREAL. April 15th. 1926.

Sir Arthur Currie, G. C. M. G., K. C. B. McGill University.

Montreal.

Dear Sir Arthur:-

RE: QUEBEC CHURCH PROPERTY COMMISSION

I have received and noted with pleasure your favour of April 13th. I am sure that both parties will be greatly pleased at your acceptance.

I will be glad if you will keep in mind my suggestion that a preliminary meeting of Commissioners be held and a Secretary appointed with whom claims could be lodged within the statutory delay.

Co Campball

ANALYSIS

OF

Votes on Church Union

and

Numerical Strength

OF

THE UNITED CHURCH OF CANADA

The purpose of this pamphlet is to give in brief form an accurate statement of the position of the Presbyterian Church in Canada after the completion of the vote on Church Union throughout Canada. An endeavor has been made to set out in brief and concise form the information that will make clear the situation in relation to the three Churches which entered the United Church of Canada on June 10, 1925. The information is set out as follows:

- I. Numerical strength by congregations of former Congregational, Methodist and Presbyterian Churches, non-concurring congregations in Congregational and Presbyterian Churches, and the strength of the United Church of Canada on June 10, 1925, with a condensed summary.
- II. Summary of Church Union vote in Congregations in the Presbyterian Church in Canada:
 - 1. Congregations and Charges in Presbyterian Church in Canada.
 - 2. Concurring and non-concurring congregations in self-sustaining charges.
 - 3. Concurring and non-concurring congregations in aid-receiving charges and missions.
 - 4. Percentage of the whole Presbyterian Church in Canada in non-concurring charges, congregations and membership.
 - 5. Charges and congregations in the United Church of Canada.
- III. Church Union vote in Congregations in the Presbyterian Church in Canada.

I.—NUMERICAL STRENGTH BY CONGREGATIONS OF FORMER CONGREGATIONAL, METHODIST Congregations in United Church AND PRESBYTERIAN CHURCHES

Non-concurring Strength of of Canada on Congregational and Presbyterian June 10, 1925

Churchas

				Chu	cnes	
Provinces	Congregational	Methodist (all entered Union)	Presbyterian	Congregational Non-concurring Congregations	Presbyterian Non-concurring Congregations	
Nova Scotia	18	343	358		83	636
New Brunswick	7	263	203		29	444
Prince Edward Island		68	73	1.1.1	24	117
Trinidad			115			115
Newfoundland		334				334
Quebec	26	458	211		52	643
Ontario	64	1,683	1,280	5	492	2,530
Manitoba	4	319	437		14	746
Saskatchewan	27	513	876		22	1,394
Alberta	24	550	572	3	40	1,103
British Columbia	4	266	387		28	629
	_					
1	174	4,797	4,512	8	784	8,691

Total number of congregations in three uniting	
Churches on June 10, 1925	9,483
Total number of congregations which entered the	
United Church of Canada on June 10, 1925	8,691
Total number of former Presbyterian congregations	
non-concurring	784
Total number of former Congregational congrega-	
tions non-concurring	8

II.—SUMMARY OF CHURCH UNION VOTE IN CONGREGATIONS IN THE PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH IN CANADA

In giving any statement of the exact situation of the congregations of the former Presbyterian Church in Canada one has to bear in mind the distinction between "congregations" and "pastoral charges." In the terms of The United Church of Canada Act a congregation means a worshipping unit, or single preaching station, and also a voting unit. Under the provision of the Act the vote on the question of union was taken by CONGREGATIONS and not by charges. In this statement, therefore, the figures dealing with the vote on Church Union must necessarily deal with congregations rather than charges. An attempt, however, has been made to arrange the figures in such a way as to show, both by congregations and charges, the actual situation in the three Churches at the date of the consummation of Union, June 10, 1925.

The following statement is based on the most accurate returns obtainable:

1.—CONGREGATIONS AND CHARGES IN THE PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH **IN CANADA**

Total number of congregations in the Presbyterian Church in	
Canada	4,512
Total number of charges	2,070
Total number of self-sustaining charges	1,128
Congregations in these self-sustaining charges	1,946
Total number of aid-receiving charges and missions	942
Congregations in aid-receiving charges and missions	2,566

2.—CONCURRING AND NON-CONCURRING CONGREGATIONS IN SELF-SUSTAINING CHARGES

Number of self-sustaining charges which in toto entered the United Church of Canada		705
Number of congregations in these charges		705
A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL		1,280
Number of self-sustaining charges which in toto non- concurred in union	333	
Number of congregations in these charges	438	
Number of self-sustaining charges, parts of which non- concurred in union	90	
Number of congregations in these which non- concurred	96	
Number of congregations in these which entered the United Church of Canada		132
Total number of congregations in self-sustaining charges which voted non-concurrence	534	
Total number of congregations in self-sustaining charges which entered the United Church		1,412
3.—CONCURRING AND NON-CONCURRING CONGREGATI AID-RECEIVING CHARGES AND MISSIONS	ONS I	IN
Number of aid-receiving charges and missions which in toto entered the United Church		767
Number of aid-receiving charges and missions which in toto entered the United Church Number of congregations in these		767 2,199
Number of aid-receiving charges and missions which in toto entered the United Church Number of congregations in these Number of aid-receiving charges and missions which in toto concurred	94	A THE R. L. LEWIS CO.
Number of aid-receiving charges and missions which in toto entered the United Church Number of congregations in these Number of aid-receiving charges and missions which in toto, concurred Number of congregations in these	94 149	A THE R. L. LEWIS CO.
Number of aid-receiving charges and missions which in toto entered the United Church Number of congregations in these Number of aid-receiving charges and missions which in toto congregations in these Number of congregations in these Number of aid-receiving charges and missions, part of	149	A THE R. L. LEWIS CO.
Number of aid-receiving charges and missions which in toto entered the United Church		A THE R. L. LEWIS CO.
Number of aid-receiving charges and missions which in toto entered the United Church Number of congregations in these Number of aid-receiving charges and missions which in toto congregations in these Number of congregations in these Number of aid-receiving charges and missions, part of	149	A THE R. L. LEWIS CO.
Number of aid-receiving charges and missions which in toto entered the United Church Number of congregations in these Number of aid-receiving charges and missions which in toto concurred Number of congregations in these Number of aid-receiving charges and missions, part of which non-concurred Number of congregations in these which non-concurred	149 81	A THE R. L. LEWIS CO.
Number of aid-receiving charges and missions which in toto entered the United Church Number of congregations in these Number of aid-receiving charges and missions which in toto concurred Number of congregations in these Number of aid-receiving charges and missions, part of which non-concurred Number of congregations in these which non-concurred Number of congregations in these which non-concurred Number of congregations in these which entered the United Church Total number of congregations in aid-receiving charges	149 81 101	2,199
Number of aid-receiving charges and missions which in toto entered the United Church Number of congregations in these Number of aid-receiving charges and missions which in toto concurred Number of congregations in these Number of aid-receiving charges and missions, part of which non-concurred Number of congregations in these which non-concurred Number of congregations in these which non-concurred Number of congregations in these which entered the United Church Total number of congregations in aid-receiving charges and missions which voted non-concurrence	149 81	2,199
Number of aid-receiving charges and missions which in toto entered the United Church Number of congregations in these Number of aid-receiving charges and missions which in toto/concurred Number of congregations in these Number of aid-receiving charges and missions, part of which non-concurred Number of congregations in these which entered the United Church Total number of congregations in aid-receiving charges and missions which voted non-concurrence Total number of congregations in aid-receiving charges and missions which entered the United Church	149 81 101	2,199
Number of aid-receiving charges and missions which in toto entered the United Church Number of congregations in these Number of aid-receiving charges and missions which in toto concurred Number of congregations in these Number of aid-receiving charges and missions, part of which non-concurred Number of congregations in these which non-concurred Number of congregations in these which non-concurred Number of congregations in these which entered the United Church Total number of congregations in aid-receiving charges and missions which voted non-concurrence Total number of congregations in aid-receiving charges	149 81 101	2,199
Number of aid-receiving charges and missions which in toto entered the United Church Number of congregations in these Number of aid-receiving charges and missions which in toto concurred Number of congregations in these Number of aid-receiving charges and missions, part of which non-concurred Number of congregations in these which entered the United Church Total number of congregations in aid-receiving charges and missions which voted non-concurrence Total number of congregations in aid-receiving charges and missions which entered the United Church Total number of congregations in aid-receiving charges and missions which entered the United Church	149 81 101 250	2,199

4.—PERCENTAGE OF THE WHOLE PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH IN CANADA IN NON-CONCURRING CHARGES, CONGREGATIONS AND MEMBERSHIP

Congregations in self-sustaining charges non-concurring	27.44%
Congregations in aid-receiving charges and missions non-	0.740/
concurring	9.74%
Congregations which voted non-concurrence	17.37%
Membership of the Presbyterian Church in Canada which	
voted non-concurrence	30.18%

5.—CHARGES AND CONGREGATIONS IN THE UNITED CHURCH OF CANADA

Total	number of self-sustaining charges in the United Church	
	of Canada on June 10, 1925	2,791
Total	number of aid-receiving charges and missions in the	-,
	United Church of Canada on June 10, 1925	1.494
Total	number of congregations in the United Church of	-,
	Canada on June 10, 1925	8,691

III.—CHURCH UNION VOTE IN CONGREGATIONS IN THE PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH IN CANADA

In the self-sustaining charges slightly over twenty-seven per cent. voted non-concurrence. In the aid-receiving charges less than ten per cent. voted non-concurrence.

Of the whole Presbyterian Church in Canada seventeen and onethird per cent. of the congregations voted non-concurrence.

There were 1,412 congregations in self-sustaining charges which remained in union, as against 534 congregations in self-sustaining charges which voted to withdraw as the Presbyterian Church in Canada entered the United Church of Canada. It is interesting also to note that only slightly over thirty per cent. of the whole membership of the Presbyterian Church in Canada voted against entering the United Church, while it is more interesting still to contemplate the vast numbers of those opposing union who accepted the verdict of their Church and loyally followed her into the United Church of Canada.

The total numerical strength of the United Church of Canada is impressive. Into the Church have entered 4,797 congregations of the Methodist Church, 166 congregations of the Congregational Churches, and 3,728 congregations of the Presbyterian Church in Canada, bringing the total on the 10th of June, 1925, to 8,691, as compared with 784 congregations which remained out. As a plain matter of fact a union of three great denominations in Canada has been effected with a loss of less than eight and one-half per cent. of the total congregations, and with a loss of about twelve per cent. of the self-sustaining charges.

Still more striking has been the attitude of the overseas foreign missions fields of the three Churches. Of the 655 missionaries of the uniting Churches on the foreign field on June 10, 1925, there are but seventeen (including men, wives and single women) who are no longer on the staff of the United Church of Canada. In addition to these there are six non-concurring missionaries with their wives, and two single women, who are continuing their work at present under the United Church of Canada.

At the same time the loss to the United Church of Canada of 784 congregations is in every way regrettable. Doubtless other congregations of non-concurrents have been formed, as a corresponding number of congregations have been formed by Unionist minorities, and time alone will reveal their ultimate strength. Nevertheless the United Church of Canada has started on its way with a great strength, a great heritage, a great vision and a great task.

Issued by

THE BUREAU OF LITERATURE AND INFORMATION

of THE JOINT COMMITTEE ON CHURCH UNION

TORONTO, ONTARIO

414 Wesley Building, 299 Queen Street West

April 21st, 1926.

George A. Campbell, Esq., K.C., 189 St. James Street, Montreal.

Dear Mr. Campbell :-

On Monday I telephoned the Rev. Mr. Pidgeon for the purpose of having a meeting of the Commission, but found that he was leaving that night on a trip which would take him away from Montreal for ten days or a fortnight. In view of these circumstances I suggested to him, and it was agreed to by Mr. Barclay, that the interested parties should send in their claims to me as Chairman of the Commission. I think I can receive them as properly as a Secretary.

Will you notify them or shall I, and if so, whom should I address or should a notice be inserted in the press?

March 17th, 1926.

W. M. Birks, Esc., Phillips Square, Montreal.

My dear Mr. Birks :-

I have given very earnest consideration to the subject of our conversation last evening.

Mr. Beatty was of the opinion that there was no reason, either from the standpoint of the University or on personal grounds, why I should not meet your wishes. Nevertheless, I am going to decline. While appreciating very much this evidence of confidence on the part of those concerned, my best judgment leads me to decide as I have done. I am sorry to disappoint you personally, but I am sure you will understand.

Sclephone MAIN 4997.4998



Foster, Mann, Place, Mackinnen Hackett and Malvena Advocates, Burristers, etc.

GEORGE G.FOSTER, K.C. J.A.MANN,K.C. EDSON G. PLACE, K.C. C.G. MACKINNON, K.C. JOHN T. HACKETT, K.C. H.R.MULVENA F. WINFIELD HACKETT GEORGE B.FOSTER F. RAYMOND HANNEN

Royal Insurance Building 2 Place d'Armes

Montreal March 23rd, 1926.

The Honorable Sir Arthur Currie, K.C., M.G., Principal's Office, McGill College, Montreal.

Dear Sir:

As arranged by Mr. Campbell with you, I enclose herewith a copy of the United Church Bill as finally adopted by the Legislative Council.

The printed copies of this Bill have not yet been prepared so that I am sending you a typewritten copy, duly corrected.

Yours sincerely,

E.G. Place

X/AM

March 24th, 1926,

E. G. Place, Esq.K.C., Royal Insurance Building, 2 Place d'Armes, Montreal.

Dear Sir:-

Let me thank you for your letter of the 23rd of March enclosing copy of the United Church Bill as finally adopted by the Legislative Council.

I do not wish to appear too meticulous, but as this is a Government Bill I think it might just as well be correct. In it I am designated as "Lientenant-General Sir Arthur Currie of McGill, K.C., N.G. of Montreel". I think the designation should be "General Sir Arthur Currie, G.C.M.G., K.C.B., Principal and Vice-Chancellor of McGill University, Montreel".

TELEPHONES MAIN 5776-5777



CABLEADDRESS "CAMMACCO."

CAMPBELL, M^c MASTER & COUTURE. Advocates & solicitors.

GEORGE A.CAMPBELL,K.C.

ANDREW R.M. MASTER, K.C. G.C. PAPINEAU - COUTURE, K.C E.P. DALE HARRIS CANADA LIFE BUILDING, 189 ST. JAMES STREET,

MONTREAL March 26th 1926.

Sir Arthur Currie, G.C.M.G.,

Principal, McGill University,

Sherbrooke St. West,

Montreal.

Dear Sir Arthur:- RE: UNITED CHURCH OF CANADA BILL.

I understand that Mr. Place, K. C., Attorney for the United Church of Canada has sent you at my request copy of the Legislation passed at the recent Session of the Legislature at Quebec.

My clients, the so-called "Continuing Presbyterians", join with the representatives of the United Church in very earnestly hoping that you can see your way clear to accepting nomination as a member of the Quebec Church Property Commission.

VA Campbell

CAMPBELL, MCMASTER, COUSTE, KERRY & BRUNEAU



ADVOCATES & SOLICITORS

GEORGE A.CAMPBELL,K.C. ANDREW R.MYMASTER,K.C. G.C PAPINEAU-COUTURE,K.C. JOHN KERRY, A.SYDNEY BRUNEAU, E.P.DALE HARRIS.

CANADA LIFE BUILDING,

189 ST. JAMES STREET,

MONTREAL May 22, 1926.

PERSONAL

Sir Arthur W. Currie, G.C.M.G., K.C.B. Chairman, Quebec Church Property Commission McGill University MONTREAL.

Dear Sir Arthur:

In connection with the file of applications to the Quebec Church Property Commission which I handed you yesterday, I feel that I ought to give you a word of explanation, and re-assurance.

These claims were only handed to me by my clients at six o'clock the previous evening and I had no opportunity to investigate them at all before lodging them, as yesterday was my last convenient day for filing. Except in respect of four or five of the more important of them I had never been consulted and so far have had no opportunity to reach a conclusion as to whether, even in my own judgment, they are proper applications or not.

From a casual examination, however, I saw at once that comparatively few of them are applications under Section 4-6 of the Act, in which cases alone your commission will be called upon to make specific orders. I do not think there are more than half of the dozen I anticipated of such claims.

All the others are applications in cases of alleged "extreme hardship" under Section 5; but in these cases your commission is only authorized to make recommendations which will only be binding in the good faith of the parties.

Even in respect of these they will be materially narrowed down by a few rulings in typical cases. I think you can, therefore, still feel that

Sir Arthur W. Currie, G.C.M.G., K.C.B. - 2

while we have lodged far more applications than I personally ever contemplated the number of those which have to be discussed at any length will not substantially exceed our expectations.

The form of application which has been used is a general form which I prepared in advance for formal use in all cases. I, therefore, made it double-barrelled so that it could apply either for applications under Section 4 or under Section 5.

At the first public meeting of your commission I will make the necessary public explanation of the situation.

Yours faithfully

Vo Campbale

22/5/26

H. P. R. TEMPLE





W. M. TEMPLE SECRETARY

The Canadian Review Company

Timited

The Canadian Annual Review of Public Affairs 1901-1925

FOUNDED BY J. CASTELL HOPKINS, F.S.S., F.R.G.S., F.R.S.L. Editorial Offices 121 FARNHAM AVENUE TORONTO, 5

May 18, 1926.

Sir Arthur W. Currie, G.C.M.G., K.C.B., LL.D., Principal, McGill University, Montreal.

Dear Sir :-

We obtained a summary of the work done by the Ontario Church Property Commission from the Chairman, Mr. John D. Falconbridge of Toronto. We should like to obtain a similar Report of that of the Quebec Church Property Commission to go into the Church Union Section of <u>The Canadian Annual Review</u>. It would be necessary to have this in the course of a week as this Section is now being written. Might we ask if it is possible to oblige us in the matter. The date of the appointment by the Quebec Government and mention of the Chairman of the Commission might be given.

Yours faithfully,

THE CANADIAN REVIEW COMPANY Ltd.

U. Castell Hopkun

30/5/26-

The Women's League⁻ Presbyterian Church Association

MRS. E.B. BUSTEED, PRES. MISS. KATE M. CAMPBELL, VICE-PRES. MRS. GEORGE E. ROSS, VICE-PRES. MRS. J.L. SMARDON, TREAS, MRS. W.K. LOWDEN, SEC'Y. MRS. J.J. MC CASKILL, COR. SEC'Y. 21 THEODORE ST. MONTREAL,

To the Housenable for Arthur Currie Fincipal M. Gill Uninsity Montreal

Lear Sir Arthur May I m a word on two Efflain The presence of myself and other ladies at the meeting- Last Wednesday (May 26th) of the Commission appointed by the Quebee Cogistative to decide questions concering Church property in the Trestylerian Hurch in Canada. As a deeply prterested body of toomen having had much to do Tout the preservation of our Church toe toese present on the personal moitation of the Rev Q= George Ross Moderator of the Freebytry of Montreal & Minister of the Rushyterian Church at montreal West . We were not present from any motions of talle curiosity but on moitation Sam personally acquainted with Mr. Green Barclay + Me George Campbell both of tohom Same Sure would testify as to the beach of my statement! I hope this splanation will be satisfactory. Think it is due the ladies to ho were present? your Very Truly SliotBusted 4035 Jorchester Street - Montreal Trisident

May 31st, 1926.

Mrs. E. B. Busteed. 4035 Dorchester Street, Nontreal.

Dear Mrs. Busteed :-

雪

Let me acknowledge with thanks your letter with reference to the presence of certain ladies at the meeting of the Church Commission last Wednesday.

I assure you that the Commission were not in the least put out at your presence, knowing that it arose from your keen interest in the matters to be dealt with by the Commission.

I cannot tell you how earnestly I pray that we may be able to make decisions agreeable to the best judgment of all parties concerned.

Howick, Love, Nov, 11th, 1926. Sir Arthur Gurie, Bincipal Ma Gill College. Montreat. Dear Sir, Was deeply interested in reading in yesterdays issue of the Daily Star, the recommendations of the Church property commission in several cases, but was more particularly interested in our own case at Howick. It amaged me to read your recommendation that we accept the offer of the continuing Presbyterians, of the use of Knox Church, Howeich, for a period of two years, for the morning service only, by paying a provata share of the running expenses. As you must know, Knot Church is a branch of Riverfield, and serves a few Jamilies and adherents who live in this Village, and who dont want to go the 3 Miles to Reverfield. We are only 1 Mile from Georgetour, and if these families wished to remain Tresbyterian, they could seven their connection with Reverfield, and go there, over a first class Macadam road. If course they would think it a great sacrifice, but not to be compared with the sacrifice

we Unionists are moting, as we have whurch of any kind, and have to hold our services in the Jemperance Hall. according to my judgement, we had every right to get Knot Church outright, as we have a membership of about 150 or 60, The Board could have recommended Drs. Ballantyne & Whillans to resume the evening service in their respective Churches, which was discontinued long ago. I dont like to critisize a man of your standing, Cespecially on armisted day), who has done so much for, Canada & the Empire, but I feel confident that justice has not been meled out to the minority there. If you were living here, and knew the whole situation as we know it, you would have thought differently. Today, The comment of the man on the street, who has been quietly watching this affair for a long time, is that it has ended in a complete farce, and roundly condemns the action of the Lettlement Board as being wholly unworthy of consideration. Respectfully yours, D. C. Mac Donald. Boy 107 - Howick, Low.

P.S. I may describe myself as a private soldier, in the rear rank of the United Church in Canada. D. C. Mac. D.

November 13th, 1926.

D. C. MacDonald, Esq., P. O. Box 107, Howick, Que.

Dear Sir:-

This will acknowledge receipt of your letter of the 11th of November.

You will, I know, not expect me to offer an explanation of the decision of the Commission. The reasons inducing them to come to the conclusions they did are all set forth in the judgment.

Let me tell you that I am heartily sorry that I ever consented to have anything to do with the Church Property Commission. If they favour one side they are received with much acclaim by that side, while the opposition resorts to many methods of showing their disagreement.

Howich, Lyne, Nov. 22nd, 1926. To Sir arthur Currie, Principal Mª Gill University, Montreal. Dear Sin, your reply to my letter of the 11th. received a few days ago. although in that letter I criticized the Church Property Commission for their decision regarding Howick, after thearing the inside story, told by our Minister, Mr. D. Ma Leod, I think I can say that our people are satisfied with your decision. It seems you laid general principles upon which you based your decisions, and to give us a Church, would have meant a sacrifice of principle. We aught to be broad minded enough, and big enough to look at it from your viewpoint, and never to forget the stupendous task laid upon you. I don't think you will hear any more complaints from Howick. Yours respectfully, D. C. Mac Donald. Box 107 - Howereck, Love.

EWING & MCFADDEN

Advocates, Barristers, &c.

J. ARMITAGE EWING, K C. George S. M°Fadden, K.C. JAE/EAR • 308-310 BANK OF MONTREAL BUILDING 205 ST. JAMES STREET

CABLE ADDRESS: "LAWMAC" PHONE MAIN 4238

Montreal, November 23rd., 1926.

General Sir Arthur Currie, C.G.M.G., Principal, McGill University, Montreal.

My dear Sir Arthur :-

I stepped outside the office where I was talking to you this afternoon when you started to speak on the 'phone, and before your conversation was over, Colonel Bovey came along and as he had asked me to step in and sign a paper I went with him. When I returned, you were no longer where I had seen you.

You spoke of the disfavour your judgments as Chairman of the Church Property Commission were getting you into and expressed the belief that they would do harm to both the College and your reputation. May I be permitted, Sir, to disagree with you on this point. The feeling of all persons with whom I have spoken, without exception, is that your attitude as Chairman has been fair and impartial, and evinced a desire on your part to do what was right. Your rulings were based upon broad principles, enunciated in the early judgments of the Commission, which being unanimous must be held to be sound, and the later cases, in so far as the principles laid down could be applied, followed along these lines.

After all, the man who wins out in the esteem of the public is the man who performs his duty as he sees it no matter how unpleasant that duty may be. He who strives to please everybody pleases nobody, and I feel quite sure that the best elements in the country will recognize that you were impelled by a high sense of duty in accepting the Chairmanship of the Commission in the first place and in rendering the judgments which you have given.

(2)

To show you that all letters written concerning you are not like the one you read to me, I enclose one I received a few days ago from Lachute. The writer is a daughter of the Rev. Dr. Mac-Leed, late Moderator of the Quebec Presbytery, who was examined a couple of times before the Commission, and is the wife of T. H. Christie, late Federal member for the County of Argenteuil. I have underlined the portion of the letter that you will be interested in. When read will you please put it in the enclosed stamped envelope and mail it.

I hope you will excuse my presumption in thus writing you, but as you opened the subject I thought it not out of place that I should express what I would have told you had our conversation not been interrupted.

Yours very truly,

November 24th, 1926.

J. A. Ewing, Esq., K.C., 205 St. James Street, Montreal.

Dear Mr. Ewing :-

Thank you very much for your letter of yesterday received this morning. The letter which was enclosed in it is returned to you by this mail.

My only fear was that the University might suffer from criticisms which people would direct at me because of the findings of the Commission. The replenishment of our exchequer depends entirely on the good will of benefactors, the great majority of whom live in Montreal.

For myself, I do not much care, but I am human enough to like to feel that I have, at least, the respect of people. For popularity as such I do not care. The main thing is always to feel that you have done nothing with which you can reproach yourself.

I assure you I appreciate very much your writing this letter and also what you said last evening.

Lindun furie , whited Ran Lun, Samit hund you realize what puper you ty int produced the unage fyrin ene Elyn attitudi Enverde Chen Pursbyling ve Ele church propulis Commune.

yn han entainly Int protige in El syn for great many que did out initate to state premaly sharpy appropriate husping in the con Julille church yn the chapping med and for you Walder young Jyrun Brand what haps presen was bright to bear by ilat sam Brand. he any cas when mand planting why did yout accept

Emapprilingent ! you and when image balich Loyun conding. you curedan yourd a cryby hand Einst und your home with some commity that you had fine caree a finier adume

Montreal, Novemb 30th 1926

Quebec Church Property Commission.

Dr. to McGILL UNIVERSITY

Cheques to be made payable to the order of McGILL UNIVERSITY, and forwarded to A. P. S. GLASSCO, Bursar

1926. June Oct. Nov.	6 18 30 25 25	To one week's work in Col.W.Bovey's office by Miss J.Longworth To five day's work in Col.W.Bovey's office by Miss J.Longworth To Col.W.Bovey- Expenses """""""	25.00 22.50 4.50 60 1.58	
		Lelepans Rudon	afe -	54.18 100 5518 290 8423



•

To His Honour The Lieutenant Governor of the Province of Guebec, Quebec City, P.Q.

Sir:-

We have the honour to report that the Quebec Church Property Commission, appointed by the Act 16 George V. (Quebec) Chapter 97, has now completed its sessions.

The following cases were heard :-

No. Ol.- Application from the non-concurring Minority of Montreal West.

Respondents made an offer, the acceptance of which was recommended by the Commission.

No. 02.- Application from the non-concurring Minority of Cote St. George.

Respondents filed an offer. Order that the Church at Cote St. George and half the Glebe land be handed over to the Minority.

No. 03. Application from the non-concurring Minority of St. Cuthbert's Church, St. Lambert, asking for a money compensation or for the Nethodist Church.

Respondents filed an offer, acceptance of which was recommend by the Commission.

No. 04.- Application from the non-concurring Minority of Melville, Westmount.

Dr. Pidgeon did not consider the Commission to have jurisdiction. Mr. Barclay recommended turning over Melville Church to the Minority. The Chairman considered the Commission had jurisdiction, but did not consider that any remedy was possible.

No. 05.- Application from the non-concurring Minority of Chalmers Church, Vordun, for part of property

An offer was filed by the Respondents, acceptance of which was recommended by the Commission.

No. 06.- Application by the non-concurring Minority of St. Andrew's Church, Lachine, for an Equity.

Application was refused.



No. 06.- St. Andrew's, Lachine. An application by the Minority for an Equity. Refused.

- 2 -

- No. 07.- Rosemount. An application by the Minority for an Equity. Refused.
- No. 08.- Livingstone. An application by the Minority for an Equity. Refused.
- No. 09.- Lachute. An application by the Minority of Lachute, Brownsburg and East Settlement. The Chairman recommended the handing over of the Methodist Church at Lachute, but if this should prove impossible, recommended that the offer of the Majority to hand over said church under certain conditions be accepted. Mr. Barclay recommended handing over the Methodist Church at Lachute. Both recommended acceptance of the offer regarding Upper Lachute and Brownsburg. Dr. Pidgeon recommendedacceptance of the offer.
- No. 10.- Brownsburg. Included with No. 09.
- No. 11.- Montreal East. Application from the non-concurring Minority for financial assistance. Refused.
- No. 12.- St. Paul's, Ormstown. Application from the nonconcurring Minority, asking for part of the property. Majority filed an offer, acceptance of which was recommended by the Commission.
- No. 13.- Valleyfield. Application from the non-concurring Minority of Valleyfield. Mr. Barclay recommends a new vote. Dr. Fidgeon does not consider the Commission has jurisdiction. The Chairman considers that the roll was not properly made up and that there should be a new vote. He does not, however, consider that the Commission has jurisdiction to pass on the roll and recommends to the parties that steps be taken to determine what was the legal roll of the Church on July 19th, 1924, and if such determination should result in the necessity for a new vote that such vote be taken.
- No. 14.- Dundee. An application from the non-concurring Minority The Majority filed an offer, acceptance of which was recommended by the Commission.
- No. 15.- St. Andrew's, Scotstown. An application from the nonconcurring Minority. The Commission recommended that the Minority be allowed the use of the church at certain times to be agreed upon.
- No. 16.- Chalmers Church, Lingwick. An application from the non-concurring Minority. The Commission recommended that the Minority be allowed the use of the church at certain times to be agreed upon.
- No. 17.- Kinnear's Mills. An application for facilities for worship. Settled.
- No. 18.- Lake Megantic. An application by the non-concurring portion of the congregation who claim that they are a Majority. Refused.



- No.19- New Carlisle. Application from the non-concurring portion of the congregation of New Carlisle, claiming that a vote had been illegally refused.Recommendation that the matter be referred to the Hon. John Hall Kelly, or other person chosen, and if it be shewn that the vote was illegally refused that a new vote be arranged limited to the New Carlisle congregation.
- No.20.-St. Andrew's, Hemmingford. Application from the nonconcurring Minority. Respondents filed an offer, acceptance of which was recommended by the Commission. Mr. Barclay dissented and filed another judgment.
- No.21- Knox Church, Hemmingford. Heard with No.20 and covered by the same judgment.
- No.22- Chalmers Church, Richmond. An application from the non-concurring Minority. The Commission recommended that facilities be allowed in Richmond.
- No.23- Calvin-Westminster, Westmount. An application from the non-concurring Minority. The Commission recommended that \$5,041. be allowed to the Minority. Dr.Pidgeon dissented on the ground that he did not consider there was any hardship.
- No.24- Application from the congregation of Flodden for an Equity in the Manse at Kingsbury. The Commission ordered that they be granted \$400. Mr. Barclay dissented and recommended that they be granted \$666.67.
- No.25- Application from the congregation of Reid's Church for an Equity in the Manse at Kinnear's Mills. Order that they be granted \$850.00.
- No.25a-Application from the Unionist Minority of Reid's Church for facilities for worship. Settled.
- No.26- Application from the congregation of Grande Fresniere for an Equity in the Manse at Ste Therese. Order that they be granted \$666.66.
- No.27- Application from the Minority at Buckingham for facilities for worship. Recommendation accordingly.
- No.28- Application from the non-concurring Minority of Kingsbury. Withdrawn.
- No.29- Application from the congregation of Cote St.Gabriel, claiming that vote was illegally withheld. Application refused, recommendation for facilities for worship.
- No.30- Application from the Unionist Minority at Athelstan. Recommendation that Minority be given certain facilities.
- No.31- Application from the Unionist congregation at Dundee, heard with No. 14.
- No.32- Application from congregation of Gore for Equity in the Manse at Rockburn. Order that they should be granted \$700.00.
- No.33- Application from Unionist Minority at Howick and Riverfield for possession of Howick Church. Application refused. Majority filed an offer, acceptance of which was recommended by the Commission.



- No. 34- Application from the Unionist Minority of Cote des Neiges. Withdrawn.
- No. 35- Application from the Unionist Minority of MacVicar Memorial Church for financial assitance. Refused.
- No. 36- Application from the Unionist Minority of St.Andrew's East for financial assistance. Refused.
- No. 37- Application from the Unionist Minority of Asbestos and Danville. Left to the parties for settlement.
- No. 38- Application from the Presbyterians of Beech Grove, claiming to be a subsisting congregation and asking to be granted the Presbyterian Church. The Commission by a Majority refused the application, it being considered that there was no jurisdiction. Mr. Barclay dissented and recommended a new vote.
- No.39- Application from the Presbytery of non-concurring Presbyterians in Montreal for an Equity in the fund of the Finance Committee. The Commission held that it had no jurisdiction.
- No. 40- Application from the congregation of St. Andrew's and St. Paul's asking to be confirmed in the ownership of Victoria Church, Point St. Charles. The Commission held that it had no jurisdiction.
- No. 41a- Application from the United Church of Canada, Stark's Corners, for an Equity in the Presbyterian Manse at Shawville. Ordered that they should be granted \$600.
- No. 41b- Application from the Unionist congregation of Lochaber Bay and Gore for an Equity in the Presbyterian Manse at Thurso. Order fixing their interest at 900, and that of the Presbyterian congregation at Thurso at \$600., the Presbyterian congregation to have the option whether it would buy or sell.

Forty-three applications thus came before the Commission for disposal, and all except the two withdrawn were heard and adjudicated upon.

The Commission held the following sessions :-

Public	19
For Counsel only	3
Private	2

Total

The U

T

The parties were represented as follows:

24

The Church formed of the non-concurring congregations of the Presbyterian Church in Canada - MR. G.A. CAMPBELL, K.C.

nit	ted C	hurch	of	Canad	a -	MR.	J.A. EWING. K.C.
						MR.	E. G. PLACE
						MR.	R.B. WHITEHEAD
he	last	named	of	the the	Ontario	Bar.	•


- 5 -

A large number of witnesses were heard and an endeavour was made to give an opportunity to speak to all who desired to do so. Although no witnesses were sworn, the Commission feels assured that the facts in all cases were elicited as fully as possible.

We enclose herewith the following documents:

- (1) A docket containing the names of cases, dates of hearings and judgments and an index.
- (2) Minutes of proceedings of the Commission.
- (3) A series of files numbered Ol to 41, containing all documents and exhibits filed by the parties.

Section 4, Sub-section 6 of the Act under which the Commission was constituted, provides that its jurisdiction ceases in the matter of orders on November 23rd, 1926, but no other provision is made for the termination of the Commission, and we have the honour therefore to ask that it be now dissolved.

We have the honour to be, Sir,

Your obedient servants,

A. W. CURRIE

E. Leslie Pidgeon

Gregor Barclay

8/12/12.





ADDRESS YOUR LET-TER TO "THE HONO-RABLE PROVINCIAL SECRETARY" NO MATTER WHO SIGNS THE ONE SENT YOU IN REPLY, PLEASE MENTION 2589/26.

Quebec,29th December,1926.

Art.Currie, Esq., Chairman,

"The University McGill", Montreal.

Dear Sir:-

I have the honour, by command of the Honourable the Provincial-Secretary, to acknowledge the receipt of a Report of the Québec Church Property Commission and documents.

Yours truly,

mu

Asst-Provincial-Secretary.

BETWEEN DRUMMOND AND MOUNTAIN STS.

BURTON'S LIMITEP Booksellers & Stationers 597 St. Catherine St. West Montreal, P.Q.

June 30th 1

1926

SOLD TO The Province of Quebec Church

ADDRESS Protection Association

DATE	DESCRIPTION	PRICE	AMOUN	٩T	TOTAL		
Jun 1	.0, 3 transfer cases	1.00	3	00 .	3.	00	
May	To Account Rendered			-	29. 32.	<u>10</u> 10	
	Retd. 3 Foolscap Binding Cases				<u>4.</u> 28.	05	
	RECEIPT WILL NOT BESENT UNLESS RECOREST OF						

December 8th, 1926.

G. A. Campbell, Esc., K. C., 189 St. James Street, Montreal.

Dear Sir :-

-

I enclose you herewith account for expenses and honorariums in connection with the Quebec Church Property Commission. The total, you will observe, amounts to \$3,100.53.

Will you be good enough to forward your cheque for one-half this amount, namely \$1,550.26, to Wilfrid Bovey, Secretary of the Commission.

Yours faithfully,

Chairman QUEBEC CHURCH PROPERTY COMMISSION.

Also to: Robert Laird, Esq., B.D., Wesley Bldg., Queen Street, Toronto.Ont.

Pay out Mrs Chesley 253.55 Miss Harvey 10.80 86.18 M°GillU. 8000 Sir Arthur C 1500 5500 W.B. 1250

3980.53

Pay out Sir Arthur C 800 300 W. B. 86.18 M 'Sill 1586.18

Montreal, Novemar 30.th 1926

Quebec Church Property Commission.

Dr. to McGILL UNIVERSITY

Cheques to be made payable to the order of McGILL UNIVERSITY, and forwarded to A. P. S. GLASSCO, Bursar

1926.					
June Oct. Nov.	6 18 30 25 25	To one week's work in Col.W.Bovey's office by Miss J.Longworth To five day's work in Col.W.Bovey's office by Miss J.Longworth To Col.W.Bovey- Expenses " " " " " Montreal Stencil Works- 1 Rubber Stamp	-	25.00 22.50 4.50 60 1.58	54.18

QUEBEC CHURCH PROPERTY COMMISSION.

1926

Montreal, Que.

Dr. to McGILL UNIVERSITY

Cheques to be made payable to the order of McGILL UNIVERSITY, and forwarded to A. P. S. GLASSCO, Bursar

Nov.	23	To 200 pages of Minutes @ \$1.35 per page 31 " of Judgments " "	\$ 270. 41.	00 85	\$ 311. 8	Б
June Oct.	25	" " " Montreal Stencil Works - 1 Rubber Stamp Burton's Limited Telegrams to Richmond Expenses re Kingsbury	4. 29. 1. 1.	50 .60 .58 .05 .00 .30		
Dec.	8	Telephone to Richmond		• 65	<u>38. 68</u> \$ 350. 53	
		Note:- The above rate of \$1.35 is based on ordinary charges for Court steno- graphic work, and takes no account of drafts nor copies.				

The United Church of Canada

THE OFFICE OF THE TREASURER

REV'D ROBERT LAIRD, D.D.,

MR. H. W. BARKER, DEPUTY TREASURER

407 WESLEY BUILDINGS TORONTO 2

December 9th.1926.

Sir Arthur W. Currie, McGill University, Montreal, Que.

Dear Sir Arthur: -

I acknowledge with thanks yours of December 8th, enclosing accounts in connection with the Quebec Church Property Commission, duly approved by yourself, as Chairman.

The Committee on Law and Legislation of the United Church is called to meet on Monday, December 13th, and these accounts will be then submitted for action. You will hear from me promptly thereafter.

Very sincerely yours,

an

RL/M.

December 6th, 1926.

Rev. E. Leslie Pidgeon; 5 Ontario Avenue, Montreal.

Dear Dr. Pidgeon :-

RE CUEBEC CHURCH PROPERTY COMMISSION.

I am enclosing herewith Judgment of the Chairman in the Valleyfield Application.

Will you be good enough to communicate this to Mr. Ewing.

Yours faithfully,

Secretary.

December 6th, 1926.

Gregor Barclay, Esc., K.C., 107 St. James Street, Montreal.

Dear Mr. Barclay :-

RE QUEBEC CHURCH PROPERTY COMMISSION.

I am enclosing herewith Judgment of the Chairman in the Valleyfield Application.

Will you be good enough to communicate this to Mr. Campbell.

Yours faithfully,

Secretary.

JAE/EAR.

SUN LIFE ASSURANCE COMPANY OF CANADA

CHIEF OFFICE: MONTREAL

LAW DEPARTMENT J. ARMITAGE EWING, K. C. LEGAL ADVISER

MONTREAL December 9th., 1926.

Wilfred Bovey, Esq., K. C., McGill University, Montreal.

Dear Mr. Bovey: -

Re. Valleyfield.

Will you please send me the following documents which were filed with you as Secretary of the Church Property Commission in this case :-

- The book containing the Church Roll 1.
- 2. The old Methodist Roll.
- List of voters. 3.
- 4. Treasurer's figures.

Any other original documents which we filed, meludue 5. the annual reports

I suppose it is not desired to keep such documents

among your Archives now that the cases are disposed of.

Yours very truly,

Ja lun

December 15th, 1926.

J. A. Ewing, Esc., K.C., 205 St. James Street, Montreal.

Dear Mr. Ewing :-

I forward you herewith Exhibits filed by you in the Valleyfield case, as per attached list.

Will you please sign and return

one copy.

Yours faithfully,

Secretary QUEBEC CHURCH PROPERTY COMMISSION.

CAMPBELL, MC MASTER, COUTURE, KERRY & BRUNEAU

ABLE ADDRESS"CAMMACCO"

ADVOCATES & SOLICITORS

GEORGE A.CAMPBELL, K.C. ANDREW R.M.MASTER,K.C. G.C.PAPINEAU-COUTURE, K.C. JOHN KERRY, A.SYDNEY BRUNEAU, E.P.DALE HARRIS.

CANADA LIFE BUILDING.

189 ST. JAMES STREET.

MONTREAL Dec. 9th. 1926.

Sir Arthur W. Currie, G.C.M.G., K.C.B. Chairman, Quebec Church Property Commission, Principal's Office, McGill University, Montreal.

Dear Sir Arthur:

Re: Quebec Church Property Commission.

I have your favor of December 8th with enclosed accounts herein which I have initialled for approval and sent on to my clients for their immediate attention.

Yours faithfully

1. Campbell



THE OFFICE OF THE TREASURER

REV'D ROBERT LAIRD, D.D., TREASURER MR. H. W. BARKER, DEPUTY TREASURER

407 WESLEY BUILDINGS TORONTO 2

December 16th, 1926.

Lieut-Colonel Wilfred Bovey, McGill University, Montreal, Que.

Dear Colonel Bovey: -

At the request of Sir Arthur Currie, and on the authorization of the Law and Legislation Committee of the United Church of Canada, I have pleasure in enclosing our cheque for \$1,550.27, the amount of the Honorariums and expenses in connection with the Quebec Church Property Commission which is payable by the United Church of Canada. Kindly acknowledge receipt.

May I, at the same time, express our warm appreciation for the great services rendered by this Commission in delicate and perplexing situations.

Very sincerely yours,

RL/M.

December 21, 1926.

Rev. Robert Laird, D.D., United Church of Canada, 407 Wesley Buildings, Toronto 2.

Dear Dr. Laird:-

Thank you for your letter of December 16th and for the enclosed cheque. I am notifying the commissioners of your kind remarks.

Yours faithfully,

Wilfrid Bovey.

December 21, 1926.

Dr. Leslie Pidgeon, Erskine Church, Ontario Avenue.

Dear Dr. Pidgeon :-

I have pleasure in transmitting you the following expression from Dr. Robert Laird of the United Church of Canada:-

"May I, at the same time, express our warm appreciation for the great services rendered by this Commission in delicate and perplexing situations."

Yours faithfully,

Wilfrid Bovoy.

December 21, 1926.

Gregor Barclay, Esq., 107, St. James Street, Montreal.

Dear Mr. Barcley:-

I have pleasure in transmitting you the following expression from Dr. Robert Laird of the United Church of Canada:-

"May I, at the same time, express our warm appreciation for the great services rendered by this Commission in delicate and perplexing situations."

Y urs faithfully,

Wilfrid Bovey.

CAMPBELL, MCMASTER, COSURE, KERRY & BRUNEAU



CABLE ADDRESS"CAMMACCO"

ADVOCATES & SOLICITORS

GEQRGE A.CAMPBELL, K.C. ANDREW R.M.MASTER,K.C. G.C. PAPINEAU - COUTURE, K.C. JOHN KERRY. A.SYDNEY BRUNEAU, E.P.DALE HARRIS.

CANADA LIFE BUILDING,

189 ST. JAMES STREET,

MONTREAL Jan. 4th. 1927.

Lieutenant Colonel Wilfrid Bovey. Secretary, Quebec Church Property Commission, Principal's Office. McGill University, Montreal.

Dear Sir:-

On my return to the office after an absence of some days I find the enclosed cheques which I now beg to send you :-

> Sir Arthur Currie \$ 750.00 Lieutenant Colonel W. Bovey . . . 625.00 McGill University 175.26

Attached to each cheque you will find vouchers which my clients would like to get back for the purposes of their audit. Will you kindly have these signed and returned to me.

Wishing you the Compliments of the Season,

I am.

Yours faithfull

ENCLS

Hampbell

January 6, 1927.

George A. Campbell, Esq., K.C., 189 St. James Street, Montreal.

Dear Sir:-

At Col nel Bovey's request I acknowledge receipt of your letter of January 4th together with the cheques enclosed therewith. Please find signed vouchers enclosed. Yours very truly,

Secretary.



February 21, 1927.

Honourable L.A. Taschoreau, Frime Minister of the Province of Mebec.

Dear Mr. Frime Minister:-

Confirming my conversation in Tuebec, may I direct your stantion to the mistakes in copying in the schedules to the Tuebec Church Property Commission Bill. I enclose a statement of these and also a copy of the Act in which the corrections are marked.

Yours faithfully,

Wilfrid Bovey.



AN ACT RESPECTING THE OUTSEC CHURCH INOPERTY COMMISSION.

Mistakes in Schedules.

Schedule -. Page 4, Paragraph 4, last line.

"votes" should read "voted".

Schedule 4. Page 5, Paragraph 5.

After the words "six miles from Brownsburg" insert "is in the same community as one living at "ast Settlement sixteen miles away. The person living at Brownsburg "

Schedule 1. Page 7, Paragraph 5 , last line but five. for "acceptable " read "unacceptable."

Schedule A. Page 9, first line. for "votes" read "voted".

Schedule A. rage 10, signature, for "George" read "Gregor".

Schedule C. Page 25, Paragraph 3 for McGardy" read "McGardy".

"HARDE MERINE THE THE DESIGN GARDEN HOLES OF THE CONTRACTOR

*spinbono al severelein

conif deal .* Mergeral .* agel . labelo

"botor" bnor bluois "sedo"

.d nigerset id eget it ethedo?

.evil and enli test , 8 dergers . elettenes . elettenes

.enil rari ,0 03ai .. olubedo: . best "zesov" ro?

for "Genera" read "Greeke".

* Thursday inter 25, inter working 5

Cash Can						
T. ACCOUNT	29		- 14	a	20	
Ju	1	8	1	2	600	费
No. of Concession, Name		100				

The Honourable Athanase David, 1496 Cresdent Street, Montreal.

Dear Mr. David:-

You will remember that I was Secretary for the Quebec Church Property Commission during the winter of 1926-1927.

I have been asked about the beturn of some exhibits in the case of Atholstan. These consist of some original documents. I suggest that the owners be allowed to replace these by copies.

If you will be good enough to have the file returned to me. I shall see that this is done.

Yours faithfully,

Director.



EXECUTIVE COUNCIL QUEBEC.

June 23rd, 1931.

Sir Arthur Currie, G.C.M.G., K.C.B., LL.D., D.C.L., Principal, McGill University, Montreal, P.Q.

Dear Sir Arthur:-

I enclose herewith letters addressed me by Mr. Henry Wilson of Athelstan, Quebec, in connection with documents which he filed with the Church Property Commission of 1926.

I have been unable to trace anything here, and as I understand you were Chairman of that Commission, I would ask your kindly offices in the matter.

If you can give me the name of the gentleman who was Secretary of the Commission at that time, I shall be pleased to communicate with him myself and save you any trouble in the matter.

June 24, 1931

Yours very truly,

To Colonel Bovey: Please answer

auturns

BW.

June 24, 1931.

Honourable Gordon Scott, Executive Council, Quebec, P. Q.

Dear Mr. Scott,

I have your letter of yesterday, with enclosures re Henry Wilson of Athelstan, Que. Colonel Bovey of my staff acted as Secretary of the Church Commission, and I have referred the correspondence to him. He will be away until after the first of July, but I have no doubt he will answer it immediately he returns.

Ever yours faithfully,

Principal

July 16th, 1931.

Hon. Gordon Scott, Executive Council, QUESEC, P.Q.

Dear Brr:Scott :-

Referring to your inquiry addressed to Sir Arthur ^Currie as to one of the files of the Quebec Church Property Commission, may I inform you that I was secretary of that Commission and that all these papers were duly deposited in the office of the Provincial Secretary under whose charge they now are.

For your guidance I say that the papers concerning each case submitted to the Commission were placed in a file labelled with the name of the case. These files were then placed in transfer cases which were then deposited as above mentioned. There should be no difficulty whatever in finding the required document if it was actually in the possession of the Commission.

Yours faithfully.

Wilfrid Bovey, Director.

· Dalhousie Sta Que Gen Sin Arthur Blinie montreal Dear Sin Arthur Curries I have no doubt but you will be Surprised to hear from me, I would have written you some time ugo but I Knew you were Kell- bring ever Since you got back to montreal and then fore I did not wish give you any getia work or houbles now bir Arthur Currie we are in trouble with the cole Ste George church Roperty that is getting the lifeter Toperty divided and getting our Share of the rent for and the people of the United the glebe rented for some years back, now last ganuary my Brother trudet and my self gut our Sile. They to write to the see of the Whited church and his reply fam enclosing you That you may be for your bell what thank leagle think of the works of the dainly of the church property notee & am bending you bigned get The Hay was not composed or written by him, But as I think the Same was done by this Dawyer m. Ouing now Sir Arthur Currie, I Should like to hear from and day net well's and I Should be pleased to

meit you at any part of the City that you might wish, I could not get to montreal before Twelve O Clock, and Then & Should lik A have Dinner & could meet me about Sioo O clock I may be asking you to creat a havour, But do you werk the Chairman of The commission, and that you were the only mon That signed the order paper or should regel ita Deed; I must thinghe come to you for an advier, We were very greatful to you for giving United church, It will be a sad day in me. Trusting that you will been man clean It will me in The nech Juliere, With Kind regards yours faithfully 7. 9 mc buaig Sta Bue Po 78 # 2. Box 167

June 27, 1929

K.J. McCuaig, Esq., Dalhousie Station, P.Q.

Dear Sir:-

I beg to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of June 25th concerning the church property at Cote St. George, Dalhousie Mills.

I must inform you that the Commission, having completed its work and made its report to the Provincial Government, has now no further duty or authority in the matter.

If it is impossible for you to come to an amicable arrangement with the other party, it will be necessary for you to consult your lawyers and take such action as they may advise.

Yours faithfully.

DOCKET ENDS:

QUÉBEC CHURCH PROPERTY COMMISSION

Letter to J.A.Ewing, K.C. Nov. 24th, 1926 re Quebec Church Property Commission headed by Sir Arthur Currie on the division of property between Presbyterians continuing and those joining the union.

"My only fear was that the University might suffer from criticisms which people would direct at me because of the findings of the Commission. The replenishment of our exchequer depends entirely on the good will of benefactors, the great majority of whom live in Montreal.

"For myself, I do not much care, but I am human enough to like to feel that I have, at least the respect of people. For popularity as such I do not care. The main thing is always to feel that you have done nothing with which you can reproach yourself.

"I assure you I appreciate very much your writing this letter and also what you said this evening."

How much more then, would he care for the good name and goodwill of the University suffering by the Cobourg trial if it went against him. This was the real worry of that trial.

His motto was, he said, "Thine own reproach alone do fear."