Theological Advisers

WILLIAM ADAMS BROWN, Chairman Professor of Systematic Theology in the Union Theological Seminary, New York.

SHAILER MATHEWS Dean of the Divinity School of the University of Chicago.

D. L. RITCHIE Dean of the United Theological College, Montreal.

L. A. WEIGLE Dean of the Yale Divinity School.

RIGHT REV. C. A. SEAGER Bishop of Ontario. HOWARD P. WHIDDEN Chancellor of MacMaster University, Toronto.

A Study of Theological Education

IN THE UNITED STATES AND CANADA

Under the auspices of THE CONFERENCE OF THEOLOGICAL SEMINARIES In cooperation with THE INSTITUTE OF SOCIAL AND RELIGIOUS RESEARCH

Director MARK A. MAY 28 Hillhouse Avenue, Yale Station, New Haven, Conn. Theological Consultant WILLIAM ADAMS BROWN 3041 Broadway, New York City.

Educational Advisers

W. E. WICKENDEN, Chairman President of the Case School of Applied Science.

FREDERICK J. KELLY President of the University of Idaho.

FLOYD N. REEVES Professor of Education in the University of Chicago.

Field Representative R. B. MONTGOMERY 28 Hillhouse Avenue, Yale Station, New Haven, Conn.

August 6, 1930

The President McGill University Montreal, Quebec, Canada

Dear Sir:

We are seeking an answer to the question as to whether or not the ministry is attracting young men of an intellectual calibre equal to that of those who are attracted to other professions.

Would you be willing to cooperate with us in this enterprise by asking each of your Freshmen to fill in a copy of the enclosed occupational preference blank which would be circulated among them at the time when they take the American Council Intelligence Test, which we understand is given each year to the entering class? The intelligence scores could be copied on the occupational preference blanks at the time when the tests are scored. We are willing to pay for the clerical labor involved in the copying, and will furnish free the occupational blanks.

We recognize that occupational preferences of Freshmen may be unstable, yet we know from a study of theological students that a great majority of the decisions to enter the ministry are made before the student enters college. Furthermore our problem is not to compare the intelligence of ministers with that of men in other professions, but rather to compare the intelligence of Freshmen to whom the ministry looks attractive with that of other students who are attracted by other professions.

If you are willing to cooperate with us, will you tell us soon about how many of your entering class will be taking the American Council Test, so we will know how many occupational preference blanks to send?

Very sincerely yours,

Mark a. May

OCCUPATIONAL PREFERENCE BLANK

Name	Age Sex				
Name of this	College				
Name of high	school or preparatory school of which you are a graduate				
The occupatio	on of your father Please be very specific				
	read each of the three statements below and fill in the one that se best. Fill in only one of the three statements.				
A. For those	e who have definitely decided on a life's vocation.				
If you have definitely decided what vocation you are going into as a life's work, write the name of it here					
	Be as specific as you can who have not definitely decided but who have a strong preference as toward some vocation.				
If you l	If you belong to this class, write the name of your				
lst choice here					
2d choice here					
3d -	choice hero				
J. For those who have not decided and who have no strong preferences, but to whom one or more vocations look attractive.					
If you belong to this class write here the name of the vocations that look most attractive to you.					
lst	choice				
2d choice					
3d.	choice				
	Do not write in the spaces below this line				
Test Test I II	Score on Tests Test Test Test Test Total Score III IV V VI VII VIII				

2 1

MCGILL UNIVERSITY MONTREAL

FACULTY OF ARTS OFFICE OF THE DEAN

May 7, 1930.

Sir Arthur Currie, G.C.M.G., Principal, McGill University.

My dear Principal,

I am returning Principal Smyth's letter to you, dated April 30th, and the file you gave me. I do not think, however, that there is much which I can add to my letter to you dated April 23rd.

A half course in Biblical Literature is at present offered in the Department of English and open to all students in the College who wish to elect it. Church History is an immensely interesting and significant subject, and indeed my own opinion is that Church History is a necessary complement to European history for the full understanding of European civilization and its influence overseas. The Department of History, however, would need to be consulted carefully on this question. A course in the Philosophy of Religion is always welcomed in the Department of Philosophy if offered by a competent professor in a purely nonsectarian way. Curiously enough I am more doubtful about New Testament Greek. Every minister or clergyman ought to be able to read the New Testament in Greek freely, but in order to do so intelligently the student should have at least two or three years of Greek in his Arts course.

This subject is entirely worthy of some further con-

sideration although the reception which it may receive from the Faculty may be doubtful.

Yours very truly,

Dean Near May



THE UNITED THEOLOGICAL COLLEGE

MONTREAL

APRUL 30th 1930.

Sir Arthur Currie, G.C.M.G., K.B.C., Principal, McGill University, M O N T R E A L.

Dear Sir Arthur Currie -

In reply to your letter of 24th instant regarding Religious Knowledge options in the Arts course - I find that in the University of Toronto such options include Biblical Literature, Church History, Philosophy of Religion and New Testament Greek, one subject in Religious Knowledge may be chosen in each of the four years in Arts.

With reference to the Professors who should give these courses, I had not any names definitely in mind and I think it would be for the University to say which of the courses in these subjects given by Professors in Theology would be recognized as options in Religious Knowledge. I should, of course, be glad to discuss this point with you.

Faithfully yours,

games Smith

JS/MR.

To Dean MacKay: Please note and return.

auturio

THE UNITED THEOLOGICAL COLLEGE

MONTREAL

PRINCIPAL REV. JAMES SMYTH, D.D., LL.D.

REV. D. L. RITCHIE, D.D. DIRECTOR OF GRADUATE STUDIES: REV. R. E. WELSH, M.A., D.D.

3506 UNIVERSITY STREET

APRIL 21st 1930.

Sir Arthur Currie, K.C.B., K.C.G.M., Principal, McGill University, M O N T R E A L.

Dear Sir Arthur Currie -

I enclose a letter from Dr. J.W. Graham, Secretary of the Board of Education of the United Church with a resolution recently adopted by the Board and should be pleased to have the opportunity of discussing with you the matter referred to at your convenience.

Faithfully yours,

James Smyth

JS/MR.

To Dean Ira MacKay. For comment please. In the near future I should like to grant Smyth the interview he seeks.

Rulearus

April 22, 1930.

The United Church of Canada

REV. JAMES LITTLE, D.D. CHAIRMAN Board of Education

REV. J. W. GRAHAM, D.D., LL.D. SECRETARY

> 299 QUEEN ST. WEST TORONTO 2

April 17th. 1930.

Rev. James Smyth, D.D., LL.D

Montreal, Que.

Dear Dr. Smyth:-

I enclose, herewith, a copy of the resolution passed by the Board of Education at its recent meeting:

If the Senate of the United Theological College, Montreal, desires to obtain from the McGill University the inclusion of these subjects in the Arts course and the recognition of our Professors as teachers of these subjects you can assure the University authorities that your Senate has the entire approval of the Board of Education as indicated in the enclosed resolution.

In the report of the Board of Education which I prepared for presentation to the General Council of 1928, I find this sentence:

" If this Board, through conference with the Senates of the various universities, could arrange for the inclusion of Religious Knowledge options in the Arts course of every institution and also could co-operate with the university authorities in providing competent instruction in these subjects, our Church would be giving stimulus and intelligent direction to a movement of the greatest potential value. That we have already made a good start is shown by the fact that our theological professors in Toronto this year, have given lectures in Religious Knowledge subjects to 341 Arts students as a regular part of their course."

Yours as eve: J. W. Traham,

The United Church of Canada

Board of Education

REV. JAMES LITTLE, D.D. , CHAIRMAN REV. J. W. GRAHAM, D.D., LL.D. SECRETARY

> 299 QUEEN ST. WEST TORONTO 2

At the Annual Meeting of the Board of Education, held on April 3rd, 1930, the following resolution was unanimously approved:

"Inasmuch as one of the most vital ways in which a Theological College can do its work in connection with a university is to provide Religious Knowledge options for all Arts students proceeding to a degree, and not merely for candidates for the ministry:

"And inasmuch as this privilege is accorded to our Theological Colleges at most centres, and inasmuch as this principle is recognized in connection with the following universities in the Dominion viz: Dalhousie, Mount Allison, Queen's, Toronto, McMaster, Western Ontario, Manitoba and Alberta; but the privilege is not yet permitted in the Universities of Saskatchewan, British Columbia and McGill;

"Therefore be it resolved that the Board of Education of the General Council of the United Church of Canada, encourage and instruct the Senates of St. Andrew's College, Union College of British Columbia, and the United Theological College, Montreal, to use their best endeavours with the Provincial Universities of Saskatchewan, British Columbia and McGill University to grant this privilege."

Jeberaham.

MCGILL UNIVERSITY MONTREAL

FACULTY OF ARTS

April 23, 1930.

Sir Arthur Currie, G.C.M.G., Principal, McGill University.

My dear Principal,

I am returning to you herewith the letter from Principal Smyth to you dated the 21st instant, the letter from Rev. J. W. Graham to Principal Smyth dated the 17th instant, and the copy of the resolution of the Board of Education of the United Church of Canada which you gave me this morning and I now wish to offer the following comment for your information.

Three years ago we carefully designed a special course of study of five years duration intended for the education and training of graduates of McGill who might wish to become Y.M.C.A. secretaries or to follow other forms of religious work after graduation. The resolution, however, to approve this course was almost unanimously defeated by the Graduate Faculty in full session, indeed I was personally the only member who voted in favour of approval. Very little substantial objection was taken to the subjects covered by the course except that New Testament Greek was offered in the second year for the B.A. degree and that the fifth or M.A. year was a composition of religious education and semi-religious knowledge. Some of the objections made were, I admit, distinctly childish and painful to hear in the University Faculty. The theme of these objections seemed to be, however, about as follows: - "This is a non-sectarian University." "We do not teach religion in this College." "We will have no parley with obscurantism at McGill, Jeave that to the Theological Colleges." I felt, however, at the time that some of these objections were probably directed against the Y.M.C.A. as an institution, and that some of them were distinctly personal. I do not think,

Sir Arthur Currie, 2.

therefore, at present that there is much chance that this College will include religious knowledge in the course of study leading to the B.A. degree at any time in the early future. There is always, however, room for one or two scholarly courses in the Philosophy of Religion if we only had a competent man to offer them. In any case it will be impossible for us to do enything in this matter until we have full particulars of the nature of the studies grouped together under the head of Religious Knowledge, and until we know pretty definitely who is to offer this instruction.

If there is any other information which I can give you on this subject I shall be pleased to do so at any time convenient to you.

Yours very truly,

In A. Maellay

Dean

April 24th, 1930.

Rev. James Smyth, The United Theological College, Nontreal.

Dear Principal Smyth,

• • • •

I have your letter of the 21st, to which was attached a letter to you from the Rev. J. W. Graham, Secretary of the Board of Education of the United Church of Canada.

I shall be pleased to discuss the matters referred to in this correspondence, but before doing so I would like to have full particulars of the nature of the studies grouped together under the head of "Religious Knowledge", and I would like to know fairly definitely whom you have in mind to give the courses.

Yours faithfully,

"To inspire the educational forces of our country with the religious ideal; to inspire the religious forces of our country with the educational ideal; and to keep before the public mind the ideal of Religious Education, and the sense of its need and value."

THE RELIGIOUS EDUCATION ASSOCIATION

DONALD J. COWLING, Chairman of the Board President, Carleton College

DAVID R. FORGAN, Treasurer Vice-President, The National Bank of the Republic, Chicago

Wm. D. Schermerhorn, Recording Secretary Professor, Garrett Biblical Institute EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE ASSOCIATION 308 NORTH MICHIGAN AVENUE

CHICAGO

J. M. ARTMAN, General Secretary

LAIRD T. HITES, Editorial Secretary

RUTH SHONLE CAVAN, Assistant to the General Secretary SIR ROBERT A. FALCONER, President President, University of Toronto

LYNN HAROLD HOUGH, Vice-President Pastor, Central M. E. Church, Detroit

February 24 1928

Sir Arthur W. Currie, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, C A N A D A

My dear Sir Arthur:

R

SC ·· W

In Mr. Artman's absence from the city I am replying to your letter of February 20th.

Some weeks ago a letter, regarding the convention, was sent out to all of the Universities and Colleges in Canada and the United States. This letter was signed by Sir Robert Falconer and by President Cowling of Carleton College. If you did not receive one it was either through an oversight or because the letter was lost in the mail.

We surely hope it is not too late for McGill to have a representative at the convention.

Sincerely yours,

Rith Shoule Cavan

February 20th, 1928.

J. M. Artman, Esq., General Secretary, The Religious Education Association, 308 North Michigan Avenue, Chicago, Ill.

Dear Sir:-

I am in receipt of your letter of the 17th of February and in reply let me say that this is the first I have heard of the convention in Philadelphia. I saw Sir Robert last week and he told me that he was going to Philadelphia in the near future, but did not give me the reason.

Not knowing when the convention will be held I think it is wise not to expect any one from McGill this year.

Yours faithfully,

Principal.

"To inspire the educational forces of our country with the religious ideal; to inspire the religious forces of our country with the educational ideal; and to keep before the public mind the ideal of Religious Education, and the sense of its need and value."

THE RELIGIOUS EDUCATION ASSOCIATION

DONALD J. COWLING, Chairman of the Board President, Carleton College

DAVID R. FORGAN, Treasurer Vice-President, The National Bank of the Republic, Chicago

WM. D. SCHERMERHORN, Recording Secretary Professor, Garrett Biblical Institute EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE ASSOCIATION 308 NORTH MICHIGAN AVENUE CHICAGO

J. M. ARTMAN, General Secretary

LAIRD T. HITES, Editorial Secretary

RUTH SHONLE, Assistant to the General Secretary

February 17 1928

Sir Arthur Currie, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, CANADA

My dear Sir Arthur:

I believe you have received an invitation from President Falconer to attend or send a representative to our convention in Philadelphia.

Replies from other colleges and universities indicate that there will be a general representation from these institution. I very much hope that McGill may have a representative there; perhaps someone from the department of Philosophy or Education?

Sincerely yours,

JMA ·· W

Juleitman

SIR ROBERT A. FALCONER, President President, University of Toronto

LYNN HAROLD HOUGH, Vice-President Pastor, Central M. E. Church, Detroit "To inspire the educational forces of our country with the religious ideal; to inspire the religious forces of our country with the educational ideal; and to keep before the public mind the ideal of Religious Education, and the sense of its need and value."

THE RELIGIOUS EDUCATION ASSOCIATION

DONALD J. COWLING, Chairman of the Board President, Carleton College

DAVID R. FORGAN, *Treasurer* Vice-President, The National Bank of the Republic, Chicago

WM. D. SCHERMERHORN, Recording Secretary Professor, Garrett Biblical Institute

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE ASSOCIATION 308 NORTH MICHIGAN AVENUE CHICAGO

J. M. ARTMAN, General Secretary

LAIRD T. HITES, Editorial Secretary

RUTH SHONLE. Assistant to the General Secretary

SIR ROBERT A. FALCONER, President President, University of Toronto

LYNN HAROLD HOUGH, Vice-President Pastor, Central M. E. Church, Detroit

January 11, 1928

Sir Arthur W. Currie, McGill University, Montreal, Canada.

My dear Sir Arthur:

The Annual Meeting of the Religious Education Association will be held in the city of Philadelphia March 6-9, 1928. Will you allow us to call your attention to this meeting, in the hope that either you or some members of your staff may be able to interest yourselves in the conference?

The subject that has been chosen by the committee for general discussion is "Education in Religion in an Age of Science." We are sure that you will agree with us that such a theme is very timely, and if wisely dealt with should be of great value to those concerned in the religious and moral welfare of the youth of the country. The small folder enclosed shows how the problem will be studied.

The Religious Education Association can fulfill its great purpose only as it enlists ever widening sympathy for its aims on the part of leaders in the university and college life of this continent.

Sincerely yours.

President Donald J. Couly

Heligious Stucation

March 14th 1924.

Dean Edward P.St.John, Auburn Theological Seminary, 104 North Street, Auburn, N.Y.

Dear Dr.St.John.

Sir Arthur Currie has duly received your letter of the 1st inst., and has asked me to send you a calender of our Theological Colleges. You will see from this that these Colleges are very closely affiliated to the University and we have very considerable and important courses on and bearing upon religious education.

Yours faithfully,

Wilfrid Bovey.

THE JOINT BOARD OF THE THEOLOGICAL COLLEGES AFFILIATED TO Mc GILL UNIVERSITY MONTREAL.

DEPARTMENT OF RELIGIOUS EDUCATION

DIVINITY HALL 740 UNIVERSITY STREET

Dear Dis arthur. Prof. M. John's enthuman for building up this Department is loudoble but should be not be told that - the leasting Theological Colleges affiliated to McFill have a Department pheligion Iducation and offer every facilly for the training of students for the mark. We have about forty- students registered in this Dept. this year. I would suggest that you and org. A. John a copy of the Theological Calcudor which will no doubtexplain to him the close relationship the Colleges to the Chronoity and the calent of the apportion ten for training in Aligrons Education here

yours sweenely

Su Best

SCHOOL OF RELIGIOUS EDUCATION OF AUBURN THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY AUBURN, NEW YORK

OFFICE OF THE DEAN 104 NORTH ST

March 1, 1924

President Arthur W. Currie. McGill University, Montreal, Canada My dear President Currie :-

We are receiving many calls for men and women to take positions in the field of religious education with the offer of salaries ranging from \$1500 to \$3500. The demand is far beyond the number of our graduates. We would be glad to bring these attractive opportunities, for which our School gives post-graduate preparation, to the attention of your students.

Last year you provided us with a list of those who were likely to be interested in religious education as a voca-If you will again be so kind as to request the proper tion. person to furnish us such a list, or check the names in your catalogue, we will gladly send information in regard to these new vocational opportunities, the courses of training which we offer, and information in regard to scholarships, etc.

We do not wish to impose upon your kindness but will be very grateful indeed if you can cooperate with us in our attempt to serve the Church and the young people themselves.

We will be glad to send you any detailed information concerning our School which may be of interest to you.

Mydear Best. Mydear Best. Please suggest wer, Jelase suggest wer, dwilwerth dwilwerth Very sincerely yours, Edward P. D. John

MEMORANDUM

WITH REFERENCE TO E. M. BEST ESQ. 400 Convent Ave., New York.

January 27th 1920.

Major Best was born in Ontario, and after a somewhat extended experience in business, entered the Springfield College where he followed a course of study extending over three years and graduated in 1911 with the Degree of B.H. (Bachelor of Humanics). I understand that before entering the Springfield College he qualified for and obtained a Teacher's Certificate in the Province of Manitoba. Having graduated from Springfield, he then went to New York University, where he studied two and one half years and obtained the Degree of M.P.D. (Master of Pedagogy).

He then enlisted with the Canadian Y.M.C.A. and went to the front, and eventually had the direction of the entire work of the Canadian Y.M.C.A. in France. Upon the conclusion of the work of the Y.M.C.A. Overseas, Major Best returned to New York University and resumed his studies. He will graduate with the Degree of Doctor of Pedagogy at the conclusion of the session 1919-1920.

While following his course at New York University, he also took courses of study at the Union Theological Seminary of New York. These courses of study comprised Religious Education, etc.

After graduating at Springfield, he was appointed to a position on the Teaching staff there as Professor of Religious Education, and taught for some time. He is still attached to Springfield College with this title and the authorities of Springfield College hope to induce him to return and resume active teaching work when he obtains his Doctor's Degree, at the close of the present session.

Major Best has given me the names of the following gentlemen, as persons who are well acquainted with his studies and attainments, and who would be in a position to give me further information with reference to him, if I desire to write to them: Dr. Coll, Union Theological Seminary Dr. H.H. Horn, New York University. Major Best would be prepared to teach the subjects of Psychology of Religion, Principles of Education, Psychological Education and allied subjects.

- 2 -

January Twenty-seventh 1920.

Major E. M. Best, 400 Convent Ave., New York City.

Dear Major Best :-

I must apologize for not having before this forwarded to you the Calendar of McGill University, which I promised to send you when I had the pleasure of meeting you in Toronto. So many things presented themselves, which had to be looked after immediately upon my return that this matter escaped me.

I am to-day forwarding you a copy of the last Calender of McGill University, and will send to you within the next day or two a copy of the Calendar of the Co-operating Theological Colleges, which is not included in the regular University Calendar. In this will be set forth the Theological work which is taken up in connection with the University, and which would be available for any course which is drawn up for the training of Y. M. C. A. Secretaries.

In the University Calendar, which you will receive first, I have turned down the initial pages of certain sections in which you will be particularly interested. I think, after looking through the Calendar, you will see that practically all subjects which would be required in the proposed course are already being given at the University, and would thus be available.

Hoping to hear from you before long with reference to the content of such course as you think should be given.

I remain.

Yours very sincerely,

78.20

Acting Principal.

COPY.

N.Y. March 1,1920.

Mr. Birks;-

I wish to acknowledge your letter of Feb.27th. extending an invitation to the Chair of Religious Education of the United Theological Colleges at a salary of \$4000. I also note that I will be given the right to choose which staff I am to join.

Permit me to thank you and the Joint Board for the honor of the invitation and to assure you that I am giving it my most earnest consideration.

In my conversation and correspondence with Col. Birks and Dr. Adams it has always been assumed that the position was to be in or to lead to a definite relation to the problem of training laymen for Christian Social Leadership in the Y.M.C.A. and allied organizations. As you know my experience lies almost wholly within this field and if I did not know the point of view which the laymen on the Joint Board represent, I would hesitate about considering a position in a Seminary, even in such a progressive and liberal institution as Montreal is reputed to be.

A recent letter from Mr. Bishop made it clear that ne progress had been made by the National Council on the problem of training and that no further negotiations had been carried on with Montreal.

I would be greatly obliged if you would write me again, saying in what way you anticipate relating the work to this chair to the National Y.M.C.A. and its very pressing problem of leadership.

The Y.M.C.A. and its task has been the major interest of my life for twenty years, and I had hoped that a definite arrangement would have been made with the N.C. whereby this connection would have been unbroken. Am I right in assuming that you have not abandoned this proposed relationship, but desire to proceed with it as rapidly as conditions permit?

Again thanking you for your interest and confidence in my ability to help, I am,

Yours sincerely,

Ernest M.Best.

RELIGIOUS EDUCATION III. Long Course

Four Years.

This course aims to provide a thorough training for professional workers in Religious Education and Social Service. It seeks to give a bread cultural background in Literature and History, and from the Social and Biological Sciences. On this foundation the Course seeks to provide through the study of Philosophy and Theology, a Christian interpretation of life. In the third place, the course offers a scientific training in the theory and practice of Education as the method by which the Christian ideal is to be attained. While the Course is planned specifically for the training of leadership for Church Schook, and Christian Associations, it can be easily adapted to suit the needs of students desiring advanced training for General Education, or other types of Social Service. The curriculum of study will be correlated with a curriculum of observation and normal practice particularly 'n the third and fourth years.

SURJECT	FIRST YEA FACULTY	R. COURSE	HOURS	PROFESSORS
English (Composition) " (Literature) History (Great men & great mov Geology (General) Biology (Elementary) English Bible. C.T. " " N.T. (Systematic Theology) (Encyclope Religious Education (Psychology Physical Education.	n P Theology N	English 1 " 2 History 1 Geology 1 Zoology 2 S.T.4 Rel. Ed.IA-I pt.	1 2 3 & 4 hours lab. 2 & 4 "" " 1 1 3 3 8. 4 hours lab. 1 1 3 8. 4 hours lab. 1 1 1 2 8. 4 hours lab. 1 1 1 2 8. 4 hours lab. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Latham & Scott. Macmillan. Adams. Willey' Eakin & Rose. """" Ritchie. Rexford & Best. Lamb.

134/2 hours Class Room, 6 hours Lab. 6 hours Practice.

(2)

EM. Best.

SCDUBUT	SECOND	YEAR. FACULTY	COURSE	HOURS	PROFESSORS.
Emplish (Composition) " (Literature) Prose 3 hrs. Biclogy (Organic Evolution) Physiology & Anatomy Psychology (Elementary) Church History (Ancient) English Bible. O.T. " " N.T. Religious Education (Materials) Physical Education (Play leadership		* " " " Theology " "	English 3 " 4 or 9 Bot any 5 Phy.Ed. Phil. 1 C.H 1 R.E.IC, 1-4	in ward	Macmillan Lafbur & Macmillan. Derick. Simpson. Tait. Gifford & Howard. Eakin & Rose. """" Rexford, Graham, Best. L amb.

18 hours Class

6 hours practice.

THIRD YEAR

Philosophy (Introduction) Economics " " (Industrial History) Psychology (Social) History of Religion	Arts " Sccial Servi Arts	Phil.12	2 2 1 2	Caldwell. Leacock. Hankin. Tait.
Church History (Fetormation)	Theblogy	C.H. 3	2 2	Welsh. Howard & Gifford.
Education (History Ancient, mediaeval, m " (Psychology) Rel.Ed.(Organization & administration) " " (History)	Ed. or Theol	Ed.2 /(A2 Phil., R.E. R.E. II R.E. II C	il,2 2 & Lab. 4	Tait, Best.

18 hours Class Room 4 hours practice.

(3)

Boonomics (Economic Factors in Evolution Arts Econ. 8 4 (lterm) Hemeon of Society) Olitical Science (Political & Social theories of to-day) " 9 4 " Leacoch theories of to-day)	SORS
Political Science (Political & Social " 9 4 Leacoch theories of to-day)	•
	٤,
Aducation (Frinciples) Social Service S.S.10 & H,2 Reid & Bieler Social Service S.S.10 & H,2 Bieler Christian Ethics (Social) Theology Ethics 1 1 Bieler Psychology of Religion " Apol. 3 2 Welsh. Reid & Bieler Bieler Bieler Psychology of Religion " Apol. 3 2 Welsh. Religios Education (Supervision) " R.E.II B 2 1 & Lab. 4 Best. Electives. (One course in each of following Social Service S.S.10 & H,2 Bieler	
Systematic Tleology O.T. Theology N.T. " 1, 2 or 3 2 A or C 1 A,B,C or D 1	

15 Hours Class

.

.

4 hours practice.

Montreal, Que.

April 28th, 1921.

Sir A. W. Currie,

Principal, McGill University.

Montreal.

Dear Sir Arthur;-

I enclose herewith a copy of the circular about to be published by the Department of Religious Education of the Montreal Theological Colleges.

You will notice that about two thirds of this curriculum falls within the faculties and departments of the University other than Theology.

In working out this four years course, I was struck by the similarity of the problem facing my department and those of the Schools and Departments already existing in the University, which deal with other aspects of Education. I refer to the School of Physical Education, the Department of Social Service, School of Graduate Nurses and the Department of Education for High Schools and Academies. It appeared to me that many of the difficulties which might prove insoluble for any one of us, might be adequately met if we were organized as a School of Education.

Such a School would enable us to reduce administrative and teaching duplication, to standardize curricula, to multiply our capacity 5 recruit high grade students, and to merit and secure a University degree in Education. It would enable us to offer a sound professional training in a variety of educational fields within the limits of a four years course.

I believe that it would protect the University against the temptation to recruit uncertified students for the narrow and limited vocational training possible in a one or two years course. On the other hand, it would avoid the practical, economic, and educational difficulties that arise from an attempt to postpone vocational training until after the completion of four years of unspecialized study. Sir A. W. Currie,

I have consulted Dr.Lamb, Miss Shaw, Mr.Falk and Dean Laird, and with their approval I beg to request that a University Commission be appointed to consider the feasibility of such a School of Education.

In conclusion may I suggest that in addition to the University interests involved that the Protestant Board of School Commissioners of Montreal and the Protestant Committee of Instruction for the Province would also be deeply interested in this problem.

Yours very sincerely,

En Best.

-2-

June First 1921.

Professor E. M. Best, 9 Vendome Ave., Montreal.

Dear Professor Dest:-

With reference to your letter of April 28th and the circular published by the Department of Religious Education of Montreal Theological Colleges I wish to say that I am very much interested in the formation of the Department of Education in connection with this University.

I believe such a Department would have all the advantages to which you make reference in your letter and I believe it would serve a useful purpose. Before it could be established it would have to be endorsed by the Faculties and Departments concerned and approved by Corporation. I do not believe that it is possible to get such approval in time to organize the Department for the session beginning next October.

I assure you that I shall take all necessary steps to have this matter considered by all concerned and will personally support the provision in the University curriculum of some such course as you suggest. I thank you for bringing the matter to my attention.

Yours faithfully,

Principal.

January Fourth 1922.

Rev. P.R. Hayward, Ph.D., 87 King Street East, Toronto, Ont.

Dear Dr. Hayward :-

I have your letter of December 24th and would have acknowledged it sooner but I was away from Montreal last week.

92

The subject matter of your letter is one which I have often considered and at times discussed with Professor E.M.Best. I may say that we are contemplating making changes in the very near future in the Department of Education. I have only been waiting until the arrival of the new Dean of the Faculty of Arts, Dr. Gordon Laing. In my discussions with him I shall remember what you have said and shall call in Dr. Best.

with your views.

Yours faithfully,

Principal.

The Religious Education Council of Canada

COMMITTEES CHILDREN'S WORK MISS BERTHA LAINE BOYS' WORK: MR. E. B. REYNOLDS, CHAIRMAN MR. TAYLOR STATTEN, SECRETARY GIRLS' WORK MISS JEAN MACDONALD, CHAIRMAN MISS WINNIFRED THOMAS, SECRETARY YOUNG PEOPLE'S WORK: REV. C. A. MYERS HOME RELIGION & ADULT WORK REV. J. C. ROBERTSON, D.D. TRAINING FOR LEADERSHIP: PROFESSOR E. M. BEST, PH.D. COLLEGES: REV. FRANK LANGFORD, B.A. WEEK DAY RELIGIOUS INSTRUCTION: REV. MANSON DOYLE IFE SERVICE: METHODS AND STANDARDS: PROFESSOR F. W. LANGFORD PUBLISHING: E. J. MOORE STATISTICS: REV. B. W. MERRILL FINANCE: DR. GEO. T. WEBB.

THE RELIGIOUS EDUCATION COUNCIL OF CANADA IS MADE UP OF REPRESENTATIVES FROM DENOMINATIONAL BOARDS OF RELIGIOUS EDUCATION, PROVINCIAL RELIGIOUS EDUCATION COUNCILS, THE Y.M.C.A., AND THE Y.W.C.A.

> OFFICE OF EXECUTIVE SECRETARY 87 KING STREET EAST TORONTO, CANADA

OFFICERS

REV. E. I. REXFORD, L.L.D., MONTREAL PRESIDENT OF COUNCIL

REV. FRANK J. DAY, D.D., TORONTO SECRETARY OF COUNCIL

REV. J. C. ROBERTSON, D.D., TORONTO CHAIRMAN OF EXECUTIVE REV. GEO. T. WEBB, D.D., TORONTO VICE-CHAIRMAN OF EXECUTIVE

VICE-CHAIRMAN OF EXECUTIVE MR. WM. HAMILTON, TORONTO TREASURED

REV. P. R. HAYWARD, PH.D., TORONTO EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

December 24th, 1921.

Sir. Arthur Currie, President, McGill University, Montreal, Que.

My Dear Sir, Arthur:

In promoting religious education throughout Canada, we are very frequently in touch with men and women who desire to fit themselves for this type of service as a Fife work and in order to do so, they desire to take a course of study that would be on a par, as to time and effort given to;, with the present Arts course in our Universities, that would lead to a degree in education, or some other suitable degree, and that would at the same time, be somewhat defferent, in the content of its courses, from the work given at present for the degree in Arts.

I understand that other organizations, particularly the Young Men's Christian Association, are facing a somewhat similar need and are considering making representations to you along the same line. It is difficult to state fully in one letter, all that we have in mind in connection with this matter, but would gladly arrange to have representatives from the Religious Education Council of Canada wait upon you at your convenience and go into the matter in detail; perhaps such an interview could be arranged in cooperation with other organizations interested in a similar form of college work.

Professor E. M. Best is chairman of our committee on Training for Leadership which is looking into this matter and we would be pleased to have him represent us in making arrangements for any interview that may seem desirable.

Yours very sincerely,

yward

Executive Secretary.

PRH/L.