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## SOME PROGNOSTICATIONS AND A PREFACE, WITH AN APOLOGIA FOR NOT BEING PRO-GERMAN


#### Abstract

"This war is in reality a life and death atruggle between two forms of State-ore retrograde and no longer capable, the other far adranced and capable of the most powerful activities. Either Germany with its organiention and ideas will be destroyed in this war, or England, if it is to live at all, must rebuild its institutions and introduce that Continental form of State of which Germany is the most shining example."-Profreoon Eduand Mexme. "Because these (German aims and methods) have a loathly side, and because these endanger our commerce, our institutions, our very existence, we must not, in our perfectly legitimate anger, ignore the fact that they could not have given Germany her present strength without mucl good being mixed with the evil."-"Morning Poor," February 8th, 1916. "Fight the Germans like the Germans."-Mr. Aubinn Hanamon.


## 1.

In these dark and unbalanced days, when mass-psychology can ill support any contradiction of the prevailing temper, it is necessary, I am aware, for an obdurate Anti-German like myself to walk somewhat gingerly. But if I am unable to surrender myself to the current idolatry of German State institutions and the contagion of Prussian militarism; if the enthusiasm for ferman organization leaves me cold, and the scrapping of Magna Charta hot; if I have shown in so mush of my work-as a writer in a popular Labour organ, the Herald, complains-too great a bias against Germany, and ignored the cultural and socialistic sides of her State-concept, something must be allowed in extenuation to the force of early impressions. For it so happened that my very first experience of Germany was one calculated to quicken my instinctive loathing for the Bismarckised State, and to crystallize my vague intuitions of the coming clash between British and German State-concepts in a War for the World.

## the war for the world

## II.

I was returning to England from Italy with a through ticket vid the Netherlands when suddenly from the corridor of the train appeared a new conductor, demanding my Fahrkarle. With a weary sigh-for I had shown it so often and would have to show it so often again before reaching London-I produced the be-clipped and mutilated pass that had begun life as a beautiful Biglietto. Alas I its conductor-crushing career seemed over. For my official was atill aggressive. Ensued a duologue in German.
"But where is your seat-ticket ?"
"This is it."
"No ! You have no right to be sitting here without a seatticket!"
"I have been sitting here since Rome."
"You are not in Italy now; you are in Germany." (I began to feel it was indeed so.) "You must pay two marks for your place."
"But my ticket shows I have paid all the way to London."
"Nevertheless in Germany you must pay for your seat."
" But I must sit somewhere."
"And every seat must be paid for."
I resented his manner.)
" Very well then-I will stand."
"Es iot verboten-the seats must be sat on."
"Then I will stand in the corridor." And I walked haughtily without. He was unimpressed.
"You cannot stand in the corridor. Es ist verboten. Wither you pay for your seat or jou leave the train."
"That is nonsense; on arriving at Munich I will pay, if I am assured the charge is correct."
"You will not get to Munich; I shall put you out at the next station."
"You cannot do that. Es ist verboten."
He glowered. "I will put you out at the next station."
"But my luggage is in the van."
"That is your look-out."
And deliberately placing in his wallet my elaborate and expensive ticket, which he had been holding in his hand, he closed the bag with the snap of a steel trap.
I felt caught in it ! To be put down at a wayside German station, without ticket, luggage, or adequate funds, with no
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remedy but an action for recovery against the railway company, which would at the best detain me weeks in Germany-it was not an alluring prospect. Suddenly over the window of the carriage I perceived the painted words, sinister as the inscription over the gate of Dante's Hell : "For Eight Officers."
So the railway company was then either the German Government, or already part of its war organization I I paid the two marks.

## III.

Even Switzerland, I thought, during a melodramatic episode at Basle station in the small hours, was beginning to be infected with Berlin Bumbledom. It was an August night, unbearably sultry, and a crowd of passei.gers, changing their train, were stuffed into a little waiting-room, there to pass an hour or so. I left it and strolled into the spacious station, drawing a breath of relief.
"Where are you going ? " A dread being in uniform blocked my wey.
"To wait on the platform for my train," I replied in my best Swiss-German.
"You cannot wait on the platform. Es ist verboten."
"Why?"
"Because if you did, others would go there."
"And why should they not 8 "
"Because then those who were there would get into the train first."
"And why not ? First come, first served."
"Es ist Derboten! There would be a crowd on the platform."
"Better than a crowd in that stifling room. I cannot stay there."
"You must."
"I will not. The railway company is my servant. I am not its servant."

Sensation. He went away and returned with a still more ornamented official, who, however, equally failed to move me-at least by his words. The plot thickened. A file of soldiers arrived with fired bayonets and clockwork attitudes. But other passengers gathered round and endorsed my view of the Black Hole of Basle. Before my free-born defiance officialdom was paralysedstation.

But it scemed to me intolerable that Switzerland should go the
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way of Pruasia. There was a deadlock, as in the trenches of Flanders. At this moment a third official came up-in a somewhat difierent style of decoration and also of a more gentlemanly cast. He inquired into the cause of the disturbance, and, having heard both sides, hc turned to me and said politely: "I should strongly advise you, mein Hor, not to resist, or there will be very considerable trouble."

I was disappointed and outraged: "What I" I cried in wilfully dramatic accents. "In Switzerland, which we in England have always looked upon as the land par excellence of Freedom !"
"This is not my land," explained the gentlemanly Swiss. "This is the German part of the station."
I understood.
But this was not the end, for as I refused to return to the room, even though it was Prussian, porters appeared with a long rope, with which a space was roped off in the station immediately outside the asphyxiating little room, and here, penned like cattle at market, we stood in the dead of night till our Prussian train, punctual to the second, rolled obediently into its appointed platform.

## IV.

Our treatment enabled me to appreciate more vividly the callous handling of the thousands of poor Jews whom for many years it was a function of an organization, over which I presided, to emigrate oid Germany. Constant and perennial were the complaints of cruelty both at the German frontier stations and on board the German steamers. Once the brutality was so palpable that I actually succeeded in getting a couple ci naval officers dismissed. But as a rule it was less acts of c ranny than a pervasive atmosphere of harshness and contempt, difficult to cope with, but embittering the lot of the steerage passengers, already suffering sufficiently from exile, poverty, and sea-sickness. To dispense with the German lines in favour, for example, of Dutch was impossible, because Germany simply forbade emigrants to pass through her territory unless provided with sailing-tickets for her vessels. And this is the Germany that prates of the freedom of the seas ! The outbreak of hostilities between our respective countries served to suspend them between my organization and a great German shipping company which was vainly demanding an apology from our Russian representative for his outspoken statements concerning the treatme it of emigrants. The chairman
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 someemanly having should be very viltully d have " Swiss.with whom I had been in controversial correspondence blossomed out into a colonel of the famous Prusien Guard. That reemed to throw a beck-light on the whole business.

## V.

Even as an author I have suffered from the Germans, for one of the greatest tortures of my life was reading the proofs of my novels in German. When I reflect that my translator was a popular novelist who has since become famous by his vigorous verse against England, I cannot help suspecting that his translation was a premature act of war. His rendering of a nursery reference to "Baby Bunting" I have never forgotten. It was turned into "Baby's Flagge." Such is the insidious effect of Militarismus. Socially, too, my Teutonic experiences have not been captivating. The beer-regurgitating, face-slashed student of the Kneipe and the duelling ground has always seemed to me a barbarian type of young man: my esurient and lip-smacking neighbours at Teutonic tables dhhote have never impressed me as the latest models of refinement; nor have I been overcome by the Kultur of the tourists who, with opera glasses slung across their portly bosoms, ejaculate their monotonous "Wunderschön !" before every mountain or miniature. I have loved the old towns and the life at Munich and Dresden, but I have never been at ease in the Zion of the German salon, with its heavy spirit-constricting furniture. And one of the greatest shocks I ever received in a drawing-room was when Wagner's step-daughter (the Countess Gravina) imparted to me that Jesus was not born into my race but was a pure Aryan. I was not then aware of the copious literature on the subject with its humourless demonstration that the founder of Christianity was a German. Of course the Countess was merely echoing her relative, Houston Stewart Chamberlain, who remarks urbanely : "Whoever maintains that Christ was a Jew is either ignorant or a liar." She may even have agreed with Herr Max Bewer that Jesus was of Rhenish-Westphalian origin. ${ }^{1}$
So if the readers of the Morning Post find me in as imperfect affinity with the Germans as Charles Lamb was with the Jews, they will know it is not from mere eccentricity or conservatism, but from a reasonable antipathy to spiritual swagger and medieva, militarism, accompanied by bumptiousness and cruelty. Repug-
${ }^{2}$ A new book, "Die Firde und Unsere Ahnen," prover that Mosen was born in Solomon's Tomplo stood on the Brockon Mountain! Gocmen town of Goalar, anc
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asace to Prussianism is too inracinated in my breast to be uprooted merely because the German machine has ground out a few victories. Rather do I feel like Herbert Spencer at the Athenceum Club when, having inadvertently challenged a young billiasd champion, he remarked solemnly after his astonishing licking: "Young man! To play billiards as I do shows a sensible care for recreatiun, but to play billiard. as you do argues a great deal of wasted time." The German machine, according to Dr, Sadler, who seems not far from admiring it, cannot be imitated in parts : it works only as a whole. And I must firmily refuse to have Prussia at any price, even at the risk of being considered an early Edwardian.

## VI.

Early in the 'nineties, even before Edward VII, had contracted his apprehension of Germany and while Nietzsche lay hidden in the decent obscurity of the German language, unknown and unmentioned in England-0 halcyon fabular period l-I was couching the lance of levity at this inspired misleader of modern thought, and throwing of irreverent impressions of the Kaiser who had come in a cocked hat to Venice to visit Umberto I.-even at that delirious moment of music and pageantry I see that I wondered how long the Italian alliance would last-and had inconsiderately moored his great white yacht, the Hohensollerin, exactly opposite my window.
"This young man," I wrote, " from all I have observed since he became my neighbour, lives a highly coloured dramatic existence, in which there are sixty minutes to every hour, and sixty seconds to every minute, the sort of life that should have pleased Walter Pater. He must be a disciple of Nietzsche, a lover of the strong and splendid, this German gentleman who is just off to Vienna to prance at the head of fifteen hundred horsemen. While he lived opposite me it was all excursions and alarums. As a neighbour an Emperor is distinctly noisy."
I proceeded to point out-while admitting his exceptional virtues for a king-the danger which a monarch, with such a nursery passion for playing at soldiers, was to a semi-constitutional country

[^0]
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rooted vic neum illiard king : 50 for eal of adler, d in se to ed an civilized in action," and a propos of Nietzeche's teaching I wrote: "Human nature is like Venice or Holland - province alowly wrested from the seen, and secured by dams or dykes. Woe to him who makes a bresch in the sea

For here is the true Wan roir miz Wozko-this perpetual struggle of land and see, this tenacious beating of the waves of barbarism against the dykes of civilisation, to regain the ground won from the waste of waters ; this tireless labour of the forces of Good to conserve their gains and reclaim marshes yet undrained.
VII.

It is not only the Dutch who have "With mad lebour fiched the land to shoze." Marvell's lines apply to many another territory netted from the ocean :

> "How did they rivet with gigantic piles, Thorough the contre thoir now- petched milee, And to the otake a strugling country bound Where barking wavee still beat the forcedd ground."

Those who are familiar with our cozy eastern coast are aware how much soil there is which is half-way or at every other stage between land and water. We have, for example, saltinge which may be grazed over at certain times, but not, say, during the high apring tides, or which, reclaimed by a sea-wall, rise to the status of marrihes; we have sands now impassable, now high and dry; we have pasture land which gradually improves into arable land, and responds regularly to the plough. What is "fleet" or creek at noon is causeway at sunset, and where the cowman strode at sunrise eels may gambol at twilight. The battle between sea and land, with man as ally or negligent neutral, goes on pauselessly all along the line, with here a retreat and there an advance, and with, on the whole, a measurable shrinkage of land or a defnite repulse of sea.
This is precisely the battle of Ormuzd and Ahriman in the spiritual war zone. But, carried on obscurely and continuously at points innumerable in periods of superfcial peace, it is not often that it ranges itself so visibly and picturesquely as in the rival battalions of Great Britain and Germany, nor that a war for the

## THE WAR FOR THE WCRLD

world between two Great Powers coincides so clowely with the elemental clash of Good and Evil. Were the contest limited to thove Powers, with no complications of Allies-black, white, or yellow-and could we be sure that the victory of Tagland would mean the defeat of Germany and not its spiritual dominanee, then, despite England's iniquities and shortcomings in other directions, we might almost say that the coincidence is absolute. For what is Pruseian militariom but a re-swamping of the territory dyked and cultivated by the painful labour of generations ?

## VIII.

Unfortunately the eflort to " Aght the Germans like the Germans "only begets more Germanism. I am reminded of the police olicial who tried to arrest some Dukhobors for going about stark naked. In the heat of the chase-for they fled before him-he threw ofl his coast, and then his waistcoast, and then his trousers, and by the time he had come up with them you could not tell him from Adam or a Lukhobor. Even so the method of military reaistunce to militarism, which is like the defensive opening of the sluices in the Low Countries, merely co-operates with the oncoming ocean in ruining the territory defended. A deluge-W atersnood, as they say in Holland-is now upon us, racing and foaming toward our islet of civilization irom every quarter of the compass. Let me give one little example-the book "The Way of the Red Cross," with a touching preface by Queen Alexandra, though is marvellous a record of human kindness as The Times' Fund is : journalistic achievement, yet blurs over the fact that the Red Cross is not a mere medical branch of the British Army-il it were, the War Offee should pay for it-nor even a voluntary addition to the British Army, but a Christ-like body working "above the battle," and bound to devote equal care to the wounded enemy. It is only, as it were, through a slip of the pen that we learn from one passage of this book that there are German wounded under the care of our Red Cross corps. It seems to be feared that subscriptions would fall off if Britons remembered too clearly that this work of mercy was international work. Here then is a distinct loss of spiritual territory once reclaimed from barbarism-the sea is back again amid the ruins of our groins and embankments. Mr. Bertrand Russell even asserts :
"On the Western front, at least, both sides have long
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hoard an innocent-leced young scotaman bonating to a fellow-soldier, amid roans of laughter, that he had bayoneted a disarmed German who knelt before him imploring mercy."
What the Germans on their side have done we know from Lord Bryce's Report. But if thore is any truth in the appeal of the Anti-Slavery and Aborigines Protection Society, our officials during a riot in Ceylon have behaved like the Germans in Belgium, if happily only on a amall scale. As for their doinge in Ire-

## IX.

The Dutch, when a flood is impending, appoint in all threatened areas a local dijkgraaf, or dyke-reeve, with full military rights over the polder-land, to take whatever measures are necessary for its salvation. Where are our dyke-reeves before the Watermood now fast reducing Europe to a spiritual swamp ? They are not to be found in the Cabinets, for the statesman-Lord Haldane has told us frankly, though I cannot and it in Hegel-must follow, not lead, public opinion. The politician and the public can in fact only advance, like two drunken men, by leaning on each other. Nor does the Press-that refiex of the advertiser and the readerafford an escape from this vicious circle. The Stage is even more swiftly at the mercy of the mob, drawing still more costly breath. The Church-well, after all, vox populi vax dei is a theological proposition.
There indeed remain a few personalities-in the Lords, the Commons, the Press, even the Church-that have not bowed the knee to Bail. But even journalists who do not pander to the public and its idols have been so disequilibrated by the war that I have, on entering Fleet Street by what remains of Ternple Bar, sometimes looked up expecting to see the inscription "Abundon scnee all ye who enter here." Followed perhape bu "For three years or the duration of the war."
In this general neglect of the dykes at a time when the danger from their neglect is at a maximum, I am impelled to present myself at the post of national duty as a dyke-custodian, a trustee of civilization-self-appointed.

## X.

But even a self-appointed functionary may tender his credentials and I respectfully beg to offer, in proof of my qualifications for

## THE WAR FOR THE WORLD

the place, a record of many years of vigilance as a constguand on the shore of the German Ocean. It is this record, indeed, which makes it so difficult for me to pose suddenly as a pro-German. My parlourmaid said to her mistress the day Armageddon broke out: "The German? ie on our side, aren't they, mum i" On being corrected, she i sy proceeded to hate them. But I uniortumately have a miso-Gothic pest. That would not matter if I were i politician, for a politician has only a future. But litera seripta manet-if only in the British Museum-and my uncomfortable prevision of the menace to modern civilization implicit in a race of Funs, not coming from without like the shatterers of the Roman Empire, but begotten at the very centre of that civilization, committed me d la Cassandra to a series of fulminations and predictions ihat cannot well be explained away.

## XI.

At the end of 1007, for example, when the waves of Gothic barbarism threatened to submerge Prussian Poland, whose four million $P$. '3s the Reichstag $\frac{1}{\text { i at the instigation of the " Hakatists," }}$ with their policy of "Ausrotten"-proposed to expropriate and replace by Prussians proper, the illustrious Polish novelist, Sinkiewicz, made an appeal to "the conscience of the world."

In the polyglot volume he published at Paris, entitled "Prusse et Pologne," I find myself protesting as follow, under date January 1st, ${ }^{2} 1908$ :-
"I feel honoured that my opinion should be sought by so illustrious a writer as yourself, but I fear it will give you scanty comfort. As a Jew, I cannot agree with you that the proposed outrage upon German Poles is ' the greatest iniquity and infamy in the history of the twentieth century'; that abominable title has already been earned by the massacres of the Jews in Russia, carried out with official connivance and under circumstances of atrocity which have no parallel even in medieval times.
I cannot believe that the twentieth century reserves for us ${ }^{2}$ It in odd that Sinkiowios, though ho appoeled to 250 porrons throughous the But then I not apparently regard any eocleniastic as incarnating ite coneofonco. dangere that threatered the boginning of the twentioth contury diagnoaing the Who roplied, like the Mad Hatter, that he "1thed the then Arahbiahop of Canterbury,
 Polich politios. Ho had zot then epent hin tamonemion, diticolitming kowledgo of
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 a deeper horror. But this is almost the only hope I can permit myvelf of a century that has seen this occur with no effective protest. Might is recognized as the rule of life, Christianity has been deposed even from the lips of Governments. It sarely was anywhere else; but our. century has grown too self-conscious to be able to leave it even this last resting-place."In this degeneration of the human conscience Germany has played perhaps the leading role. After the brutal. Germanification of the French provinces, I cannot see why you should be so astonished at the same treatment being extended to the Polish districts. Europe oflered no protest against the iron hand remoulding Alsace and Lorraine as a sculptor remodels his wax faces; why should you expect Europe to interfere on behalif of the Poles? Whence, cher mattre, come your optimism, your generous belief in the power of ' the pillars of civilization and intellectual culture ?' You and I should know that a people that has lost its power of military resistance is the doomed prey of the nations with teeth and claws ; though by another law of nature teeth and claws never suffice to destroy it utterly. It develops cunning to match the claws, and finds ways of lying low. The only force that can utterly dissolve a people is love. The wax face, which, however moulded, will retain some trace of its original lineaments, can be entirely melted by the heat of love-by liberty, equality and fraternity. ${ }^{2}$ But this recipe for assimilating races is rarely tried, and even when it is begun, mankind is rarely patient enough to carry it through. This new persecution of the Poles will therefore only serve to accentuate the Polish nationality.
"Throughout the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, under the inspiration of the generous policy of the Sigismunds, Poland was the chief land of refuge for the Jews, and it is a thousand pities that hosts and guests should now alike be swamped by the forces of barbarism. The Germany $0^{\circ}$ " oethe and Schiller, of Kant and Beethoven, to which humanity turned in reverence, has
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which, as the Hague Conference proved, burdens all Europe with an ever-increasing tax for armaments and is ready to sow the waters of the world with submarine mines : a Germany from which we turn shuddering. It only needs the dispossession of the Poles for Germany to lose her last lingering hold upon those whose respect is not for might but for civilization. Let her true patriots look to it, let them learn from the case of Hungary that even from a material point of view it does not pay to defy humanity's slowly-evolved ideals of right and justice. Each of us can see the mote in his brother's eye, and justice has thus still a certain almost universal support among those unconcerned in the particular issuenaturally always the majority of mankind.
"Writing on the first of the year, I can but wish for you and your brother Poles, that the new year will witness the collapse of this lamentable and impolitic policy."

## XII.

At the May meeting of a peace society, some six months before Sirkiewicz issued his appeal, I find myself rebuking the shallow optimism of the late Mr. Stead, with whom I had already crossed the crowned he a prior peace meeting, when after a tour of all the millennium was almurope he reported enthusiastically that
"I take the opportunity," I wrote, " of reminding. Mr. Stead that more good will be done by facing the brutal facts of life and the European situation thi - by allowing the wish that war shall cease to be father to the thought that it is ceasing. When Mr. Stead and I were last together on a peace platform he maintained that I was unduly pessimistic in the face of a most glorious prospect of universal peace and disarmament. I said it was very doubtful if disarmament would be brought at all nearer by this Conference, and that be brought at all nearer Mr. Stead insisted that the Germany was the enemy. lover in Europe, and the Kaiser was the greatest peace uniforms for amusement. apparently only wore so many who preach against war venture to repeat that those glamour, and particularly must never under-rate its depend upon its continy the great vested interests which
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by creating the glamour of peace, to offeet the giamour of war, that any real amelioration can be eflected. I need not say I am in the greatest sympathy with the objects of your meeting; but your peace crusade will need an enormously greater organization to make any dints upon the mailed battalions of war."
To add to the difficulty of my turning pro-German now, 1 actually placed the responsibility for the coming war on Germany's shoulders years before she had written Austria's ultimatum to Serbia, and, like Mrs. Partington trying to keep back the Atlantic with her broom, I tried to keep back the German Ocean with my pen. Through my blank-verse tragedy, "The War God," produced at His Maje $e^{-4} \because$ Theatre by Sir Herbert Tree in 1911 ( $1 d$ written several yt. arlier), humarity was invited to consider the rival issues raised for it by Bismarck and Tolstoy, the two giant protagonists of the century, the War mor the Worid, beside which the material struggle between Alba and Gotha for the mastery of the planet was a triviality. The mysterious assassination of this play in the heart of London in broad daylight may perhaps be counted, like the infinitely more deplorable murder of Jaures, among the earliest casualties in the cosmic combat. It was followed-soon after the outburst of war -by the Foreign Office prohibition of my play "The. Melting Pot" at the request of Russia. A third play of mine, "The Next Religion,' دad already been prohibited by the Lord Chamberlain. But these evidences of England's growing passion for Prussianism were hardly calculated to increase my liking for it.

## XIII.

Not that censorship of the stage is new-it was, in fact, the one piece of Prussianism left like a fly in amber in the British Constitution. An historian remarks that in Tudor days the dramatist was practically outside Magna Charta, "liable to instant imprisonment without bail, trial, or appeal at the hands of the stage censor." It may even be admitted that the institution was primarily designed, not to protect morals, but politicians and princes, and that it was the politico-satirical plays of Fielding that called forth the more constitutional Licensing Act of 1787. A dramatist might be well content to be quashed in company with the author of "Rule Britannia," whose historic tragedy "Edward and Eleonara " was prohibited, not to mention Shakespeare (a whole
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ect of whove " Bichand III." Revels), Middleton, Massinger, Bearmout by the Master of the Dryden, Gay, and the blameless Miss Mit and Fletcher, Steele, the business, however, is the reinforcemitford. What is new in lain by the Foreign Office: an incoment of the Lord Chamberbegun when "The Mikado" innovation which seems to have please Jepan, and another was so ridiculously interdicted to was modified to appease comic opera, "Morocco Bound," Turikey. That becanse P Foreign Office to keep her alliance with us it is the duty of the contention. But on exrmination it ied may seem a plausible ference in the internal affairs of Encmounts not only to internotions of liberty-and that he cannot and with our British affairs of Russia is Sir Edward Greynot interfere in the internal identifies the State with any and evy's pet shibboleth-but it also State theatre-I wish there was, every theatre. Now there is no represent the views of the Foreign Office the risk of its having to drawbacks of a State theatre and Onice. But to suffer from the of its existence is an intolerable situjoy none of the advantages would be so simple for the Foreign Otion for the dramatist. It Ambassador: "England, youreign Office to say to the Russian liberty, and the theatres are may not have noticed, is a land of State has no responsibility." private enterprises, for which the even see the advantage of a medium astute Foreign Office wrould tions to foreign countries through nor conveying hints or suggesfar, however, from recognixing and non-committal channels. So instrument, the Government has everploiting this democratic to newspapers, thus staking Engeven extended the censorship wisdom of the official view. England's entire fortunes on the Newspapers, like theatres, have a certain public character, but when, as I understand from high quarters, the Defence of the pages I have been compelled in a parlous condition, and the ominous reminder of the dister suppress in this very book are an doctrine of Milton's Areopagitice we have travelled from the
They are, moreover, an
that the German Staff would epsen of the worat lowees of the war. The notion
Bherlook Holmes etray yiteme spend its days and nighte in piecing together don
Whethitity that would then arise of nowapapern is ohildiah-not to mention the
Whothor commorcial or consuciantions, in aurely bedly. The editorial contion the
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interesting illustration of the central thesis of this book that there is neither truce nor atandatill in the WAR FOR, THE WORld, that no liberty is so old-established as to be safe, and that what our ancestors won for us we shall not necessarily bequeath to our children.
"Now we can only wait for the day, wait and apportion our ahame, Thewe are the dykee our fathors left, but we would not look to the same, Time and again we were warned of the dykes, time and again we dolajed; Now, it may fall, we have alain our cons as our fathern we have betrayed."

## XIV.

Inter arma silont leges. Rome in war-time surrendered herself to a dictator. It is disconcerting-but it may be a grim necessitythat our war for the freedom of the world shall mean, it only pro tom., the enslavement of England, the swerning away by the old waste of waters of all her secular landmarks. Burke thought that the politician was a wary beast, and that, knowing that most people see, and see only, what happened before they were born, he would not, when attempting a new arbitrary imposition, stamp upon its forehead such a name as Ship-Money. But shipmoney could be imposed to-day-nay is imposed-as easily as anything else. "The Defence of the Realm Act, which ran through a Radical House of Commons in a few hours," says the Westminster Gazette, " made an end of Magna Charta, and scrapped whole centuries of our history. We have neither liberty of the person, nor liberty of the Press, ${ }^{2}$ nor liberty of trade." A coil of passports, regulations, ordinances, and measures impedes life and ties labour. Our privacy is slit open by the postal censor.
Under the plea Salus reipublicae suprema leax, even Habeas Corpus is gone-for a British-born subject may be imprisoned without reason given or without trial. We have lived to see military and iadustrial conscription, accompanied by a "petty Prussianism" which has disgusted even conscriptionist organs, secret trials before illegally minded officials, executions of unnamed persons for unknown offences, including soldier lads under twenty, internment of thousands of able-bodied aliens (some of them even seized with a high hand upon the high seas when they
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were deserting their fatherlandsh, winding up of enemy companies, and ruthless sentences for purely technical offencen pronounced by panic-stricken magistrates, whow obiter dicta occasionally reveal a childishness beyond words.

Thus a commercial traveller, a British subject, was not allowed to return to his American home on the ground that the goods be represented ought to have been made in England. A Germanspeaking witness was told to learm a language worth speaking.
This Prussianism pro tom. has only been made possible by the device of a Coalition Government, for this is not, as it pretends, a union of all the talento-thsi, as Herbert Spencer pointed out half a century ago, could be better secured by utilizing the best business men-but a shield against criticism and a cover for blunders. As Lord Loreburn said so excellently in the House of Lords, a parliamentary danger relieved is not a national danger relieved. The Defence of the Realm Act is in fact a Defence of the Cabinet Act. The rapidity with which war reverse. generations of history is only another proof of its degenerative character -war is perhaps really the test of a people, not of their brute strength, but of whether their constitution is a reality alive in their spirit or a mere dead heritage. Of course all the other belligerents have slid back as swiftly as Britain, but correptio optimi pessima. It does not seem to occur to anybody that a great nation must take a little risk for a great principle.

## $\mathbf{X V}$.

Nor can the Government be accused of not representing the people, for the mob has bettered the Government oblawas (or alien drives) by pogroms (attacks on property, though happily free from murder), and it clamours for still more internments (regardless of the expense, and of the waste of labour-force), still more highhanded hampering of neutrals, and for non-recognition of naturalization or scraps of paper. Lloyd's and the Baltic Exchange suspend members, there is a Stock Exchange "purge," shipping companies refuse to embark emigrants. Town councillors remove the name of the German maker from the dial of the parish clock, and-with a still more comical desire to put back the clock of civilization-a Mr. Herbert Stephen writes to The Times that it would be "exceedingly disagreeable to have the same time here as in Germany." How truly observes Rolland, "Un grand peuple assailli par la guerre n'a pas seulement ses frontieres à défendre,
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il a ausai sa raison.": Even echolare rush to ruin down the German science they have always profted by and learned bodies to remove their German members. Anti-German Leagues break up Quaker meetings, disturbing the imunemorial Elian quiet. Evien in Parliament a military member back from the trenches was allowed to declare without rebuke from the Speaker that if he had had another honourable member in his battalion at the front, that gentleman would have been strung up by the thumbs before he had been there half an hour! There could not be a more salutary illustration of Burke's axiom that "the civil power, like every other that calls in the aid of an ally stronger than itsell, perishes by the assistance it receives."
Such things at home do not tend to put an allegation like the Baralong episode at sea beyond the need of formal disproof by the Admiralty.
Undoubtedly the pitilessness of Prussianism is responsible for much of this debdele of Britishism-that is how evil engenders evil. But unless these phenomens prove-as we must hope they will prove-the mere mania of war-feve-, to be dispelled by the first cool touch of peace, Germany-even if we pu!verize her-will have destroyed the Britain we knew-

> "A land of settled government, A land of old and just renown, Where Freedom broadens alowly down From precedeat to precedent."

One wonders, indeed, whether Tennyson would have carried out his threat to leave such an England-

> "Should bended unions persecute Opinion, and indnce a time When aingle thonght is civil erime And individual freedom mute."

How odd that it is from a member of Mr. Asquith's constricted House of Lords that comes the stately reminder of Britain's real greatness as the pioneer of freedom. ${ }^{1}$ And how pathetically reads the letter ${ }^{2}$ of the veteran Liberal, Sir Edward Fry, on the murder of Magna Chartal "The shock that I have received from the judgment of Sir Edward Halliday has made some words of the ancient dosument resound continually in my ears."

The late Emil Reich, whose clairvoyance of the coming war was
${ }_{2}^{2}$ Lord Parmor's lottor to The Times, March Let, 1910. w.w.
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00 marvellous, neems yet to have been mistaken in thinking that in the hour of erisis tree England would reveal great pernomalities es opposed to the mechanical mediocrities of "the model monster." We have had as yet only the mediocrities without even the mechanjcal perfection. The cry "Nothing matters, unless we win the war "reveals rather the temper of a lady throwing her bonnet over the mills than of a great historic nation with its thousand years of heroic vicissitude.

The pity is all the more because of the greatness Britain at wirr has shown in so many directions-in the boundlessness of har effort and her sacrifice, the nobility of her young men, her gene. ronity towards Belgium, and the spiritual gravitation she has exercised upon her remotest colonies and dependencies. Mr. G. K. Chesterton has written a characteristic book, called more swo "The Crimes of England," the point of which is, I gather, that this is the first war in which England has been in the right. That is further than even Coleridge (who once cursed his country), or Cowper (who bade her ceace to "grind India "), or Wordsworth (on whom the "freight " of her offences lay heavy) has ever gone. are many-it is certainis correct-and the crimes of Chesterton has been in the right should the the first war in which England temporarily ceased to exist. be the one war in which she has
"Who lives if England dies ? " asked Kipling finely. But England does not live if her mere geographical semblance survives. One is reminded of the words Tacitus putinto the mouth of Otho:
"Quid 8 vos pulcherrimam hanc urbem domibus et tectis et congestu lapidum stare creditis? Muta ista et inanima intercidere ac reparari promiscua sunt aeternitas rerum et pax gentium et mea cum vestra salus incolumitate senatus firmatur. Hunc auspicato a parente et conditore urbis nostrae institutum, et a regibus usque ad principes continuum et immortalem, sicut a majoribus accepimus, sic posteris tradamus. Nam ut vobis senatores, ita ex senatoribus principes nascuntur." ${ }^{2}$
"No more speeches !" cried Lord Glenconner, and spoke England's mood of the moment. That the first duty of Parliament is to parler, and not to fight in the trenches, that action cannot supersede counsel, and that a brusque soldierly "let us get on with the war " does not help us to win it, and that the dignity i "Hintorion," Book I., cap. 84.
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of a great nation requires it to go its way with imperturbeble majesty, was an opinion I whes at Arst alone in expreasing. My speech, "Wake up, Parliament," republished in this volume, was regarded by some as scandalous, it not indeed treasonable. But I 100 n lived to see its point of view adopted by The Times, which had welcomed the dumbness of Wentminster as a symptom of national unity, but which speedily perceived that Parliament is never more necessary than in a great war whose duration is uncertain, nay, which found ibelf compelled to be the miscing voice of the nation-a eervice I recognize as beyond price, much as I may disagree with particular things eaid by the voice. Parliament itself has never recovered its potency. Paralywed by the device of a non-party Ministry, devoid constitutionally of the power over foreign affairs possessed by many other Parliaments, which form comrnittees er itled to call for papers and crossexamine Ministers, hectored over by the Zabernian rhetoric of M.P.'s from the front, mensced by the hysteria of the constituencies as well as hypnotized by its own, flinging away money by the thousand millions without question or criticism, ${ }^{2}$ abandoning the control of the purse for which it was resently waging war with the House of Lords, the House of Commons has presented a pitiable spectacle, ironically enhanced by the armets sported by some of the members. The degradation reached its climax in the conscription comedy, preluded by the farcical fraud of the National Register. A hireling army is no ideal of mine. "Despicable," I wrote years ago, "is the nation which sends mercenaries to do its fighting." : A citizen army is the only militarism the future can tolerate, and the rough-and-ready methods of voluntary enlistment, in a nation without the tradition of national service, indubitably worked injustice, as by the patriotic rush of "only sons " whom conscription would have paseed by.
But for a great nation to swop its national aystem in the middle of a war, to introduce conscription on the basis of a wager whether a certain number of single men would volunt er or not, and then not even to take the number of the single $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{in}$ as they enlisted, but to proceed entirely upon guesswork-an ethic that would have scandalized Crockford's gaming club-and subsequently to try to justify the guess by hustling into the army "everything on two
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lege " (even on cork legs) and " unstarring " the " starred " young men already supposed to be allowed for in the "statiotios "-all this is more like Mexico or a lunatic ward than Tennyton's atately old island. The notion that it is quantity, quantity, quantity that matters has long amased all of us who play chess and are eceustomed to wee kinge benten in the very thick of their meni. No wonder the Southwark Tribunal exempted pro tem. the one man in all England able to fit padded rooms in esylums.
My sympathy with Sir John Simon is, however, diminished by the fact that he let pass without a word-such is the slecknels of even the best of parliamentarians nowadays-the real introduction of conscription. That occurred when the time-expired soldiers and marines had their term of service compulsorily extended. But that the London clubs should have considered Sir John Simon's noble secrifice of his political position a symptom of dubious sanity throwe a significant light upon the spirit of our fight for Liberty.
Beholding thus how

## Freedom narrocos awinly ${ }^{1}$ down From precedeat to precedent,

I auk myself whether the vaunted resolution of Britons never to be slaves is only an old song. If so over the British Empire may be written Ichabod. For its greatness is inseparably bound up with its freedom. The attempt to run the British Empire without Britishism is suicidal.

An Australian (M. F. W. Eggleston, of Melbourne) put the : ruth strongly in a recent number of the Nation when he wrote :
"But above all material ties, above all ties based upon common danger or common interest, the factor which plays the greatest part in holding the Empire together is the spiritual leaderahip of the world by Great Britain. It is Britain-the cradle of freedom and modern democracy, the mother of Parliaments, the most successful exponent of the principles of responsible representative government-who attracts the imagination and secures the passionate devotion of a young democracy like Australia. If weak and trembling hands let fall this sceptre, then the days of the Empire as a powerful, united, positive forge in the world are numbered."
${ }^{2}$ Switht, not alowly. Freiliedenconere.
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## Sharing his advantage of reeing the Implre at a non-parochial

 angle, I have often otriven to briag home the eame truth to $m y$ fellow-citizens. Spenking when Edward VII. succeeded to itexsectly a thousand years after Edward the Elder-1 said 1:"If you were as much in contact with foreign opinion as I am, if you knew how the thought of England lives and glows in the hearts of the oppressed-as the sun of liberty, the ark of refuge-then you would be more careful than you are to keep this great vision, this splendid ideal, untarnished, even by foreign misconceptions and alien misuaderstandings. Cessar's Empire, as well as Cessar's wife, must be above suspicion."
But why cite Mr. Eggleston or myeelf, when there is Plato ? "Now there is a voice from each form of polity, as it were from certain animals; one from a democracy, another from an oligarchy, and another from a monarehy. . . . Whichever then of these polities apenks with its own voice, both to gods and men, and produces actions, correspondent to its voice, it flourishes eves, and is preserved; but when it imitates anothe " voice, it is destroyed."

For which reason, if for no other, I trust that alier the war, despite our pro-German Press, the British Constitution will be thoroughly repaired and repainted.

## xVI.

Much of this obscurantist activity on the part of our Press Bureau and our Press hac been devoted to maintaining the mirage of "Rosy Russia," and our men of letters, with whom I had co-operated in signing a manifesto against Germany, declaring that we in Great Britain were "conscious of a destiny and a duty . . . to maintain the free and law-abiding ideals of Western Europe," signed behind my back another manifesto-to Russiacalculated to give fresh rosiness to the myth. I was glad to note that the author of "The Truce of the Bear" was not among the signatories.
Russia, across whose vast steppes the War for the World now rages both spiritually and physically, and which is fighting
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with equal berolam and noblility in both sones, is unquestionably a oplendid potentilitity in which lis latent one of the great countries and peoples of the future, destined to enrich humanity in every department. But at present it is only a giant embryo, whose very calendar lages aymbolically behind. According to its best sriends it is at prevent a continent of analphabetic ${ }^{2}$ is lovable moujik- 110 to 160 million spreed over three Europes-who although piously Christian are proctically pagan in their super. stitions and primeval earth-rites. They are environed by a torpid and degraded Church ' Which has not yet reached the stage of relating religion to life, but is a Church of prayer and praise. So ignorant are the remoter members of this vast peasantry that according to an Englishwoman well sequainted with Rusaia " some of our allies in the present war had never heard of the English at all, or at best confused them with the French. Nay, they did not even know all their own forty-eight races, for another recent writer on Russia tells us that a group from lar Siberia arriving at Warsaw after daya in the train, and seeing people of other traits and vestures, asked of their officers, "Can we begin killing now i". Vestures, akked of their ofllcers, This backbone of Russia is supplemented (ecoording to these same friendly authorities) by a miseducated, loose-living, and misleading minority of doctrinaire revolutionaries out of touch with the real Russian people, which its shallowness wishes to endow with Western representative institutions, and by a growing industrial element which, to believe Stephen Graham, is the worst type of humanity that has ever afficted the planet, "crass, heavy, ugly, unfaithful, unclean, impure, " 6 and which is the only element in which political unrest really exists.
There is also in the Baltic provinces a considerable Germanspeaking population that combines with the bureaucratic ruling elements, which are in sympathy with Prussian rigidity, to constitute a large pro-German factor. Nor are Germans the only exotic stock. Of the forty-eight races in Russia only two-thirds, roughly speaking, are Russians proper, or orthodox Christians.
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No less thun 80 per cent., inoluding nearly twenty milion Mabommedans, ave of other ceets or fasths. Englend, taced in India with a aimilar problem, hac boldly solved it by a policy of equal justice for all taithe and reoce, and the loyalty of the Incian troops is ber reward. Russia-and the ideal of Pobledonostreeflis applanded by Mr. Stephen Graham-seeke rather the unity of the strait waistcoast and the Procruatean bed. These motley races and creeds are to be adjusted to a 8lavophil syitem of which the thrse principles are - Samoderjavis (nutocracy), Pravoslacie (orthodoxy), Narodnor (nationality). ${ }^{2}$ And the reactionary organa inspired by the Stephen Graham propaganda tell us that for the Rusian Jews to demand rights from "a system created by a Christian State for its own protection " O is " to treat with contempt the realities of an empire whose political institutions and intelligence are still in embryo." " To which I can imagine the shade of a Russian Jew replying :

> "Perhape it was right to mako lifo sech a holl, But why did you merder mo, too?"

## XVII.

An allinace with an empire of such rudimentary "institutions and intelligence "-in which Franco hrd the dishonour of leading the way-could not fail, however neceseary to salety, to radiate maleficent influences even when it was an entonte. $\mathbf{M}$. Kulmaxin, President of the Council of the Empire, calls it "a humanitarian alliance," a description on which more than one page of this book is a sufficient commentary, not to mention the many documents in my possession which must remain unpublished till the censorship is relaxed. It is only fair to say, however, that come of these documents themedves demonstrate how powerless is even the civil bureoucracy before the military, so that, by analogy and comparatively, Ruasia may not be so much more under the mailed fist than ourselves. Indeed I have arrived at a most comfortable conclusion. In the frat place, now that we are on a level with Russia, knowing exactly what it means not to enter or leave our own country without a coil of pasesports and delays:
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and police inquisitions, ${ }^{2}$ and to be hampered day and night by military regulations-for of course our war regime is Russia's peace regtme-the union seems much less unnatural. And in the second place my former fear that, like a matrimonial misalliance, it would drag us down, that the British bureaucratic tiger, having tastrd blood, would have no relish to return to his pre-war menu, has been dispelled. For if we are old and tired, disappointed of democracy and blase in freedom, Russia comes to the eternal quest of liberty with a young hope, an unjaded enthusiasm, a burning thirst and an idyllic inexperience. Thus it is Russia that will drag Parliament will renew its youth.:

## XVIII.

In $s 0$ far as it deals with Russia, "The Melting Pot" is on historic ground. The pogrom at Kishineff in 1904 has already a whole literature devoted to it, and the notion that foreign history can be hushed up in any particular country when the political


#### Abstract

${ }^{2}$ A Rusainn friend domiciled in England tells ne, however, that our police are too gentlemanly to be efficient. Certainly the notorious Patherland of Now York, huriod at me every week from New York in an envelope, is almont the only American hed, however, a foretate of चred. In the Dallas scandal at the Home Office we really cottle down to Russianimm hoppens in a bureaucratic country, and if wo journalists and the pablio generally doubt a double language will be invented by wishing to make me seqnainted with bhat the censorahip. Thus a Russian lady bohind the official vell wrote me a long allogorical happoning to the Jows of Rnesia my "poor relatives" while motherg allogorical lotter about the misfortunes of texty on which she deaired my riner informed me she whe stndying certain Bible Iea. III. 3, Jer. xiii. 16-17, Ehther is, via. : Jer. xiv. 17, Gen. iv. 14, Jer. xiii. 10, 20, firet four pnt together as whe deaigned. 14, Lev. 工ix. 17, Amos i. 8. I give here the Jowioh Frotor in the War." Fomigned. For confirmation eee article herein on "The


 Jor. xiv. 17. - "And thon down with tears night and day, and lay this word unto them; lot mine oyes run my peoplo is broken with a groet bret them not ceece : for the virgin daughter ofGon. iv. 14.-"Bohold, thon hast dripen we very griovous wound." oarth, and from thy face shall I be hid; and I ont this day from the face of the in the earth; and it thall come to pase that I shall be a fugitive and a wanderer me."

Jer. xiii. 19-20, -" The oition of the them: Judah is oarriod a Lift up your eyes and bohold them that coll of it, it is wholly carried away coptive.

Ima. lii. 3-"For thus saith the Lor money ahall ye be redeomed."
I had hardly writton thew words whon I read an interviow with M. Rodrianko, the President of the Dume, in which ho-C Conservative-is reported as saying: winter floods when apring comen. Yet, after thiseoh than a dam could hold the epring " (Daily Chronicle, Debruary 29th, 1016).
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conditions demand, opens up a geographical conception of history which transcends even Pascal's famous "Verité au deça des Pyrentes, erreur au dela." But the moral of the play is not antiRussian at all, as was excellently pointed out by my brother novelist (and novelist brother) Mr. Louis Zangwill in a letter to the Daily Chronicle, whose interviewer had misrepresented his views :-
"Although the dramatic sction of the play was besed on a Russian pogrom against the Jewn, it yet raiced the question: 'Could Jew and Russian, though separated by the wident gap conceivable, nevertheless come together upiritually through the healing power of a higher ideal of humanity?' And the play answers, distinctly, emphatically, 'Yes!' As I pointed out to your representative, the play in thus symbolic, and foreahadows the future rapprochement between the Rusaian and the Jewish peoples. The contrast between the narrow fanaticism of the bureaucratic old Russia and the idealistic aspirations of the new young Russis is clearly and sharply drawn, but it is obviously impossible to draw such a contrast without dwelling equally on the two factors to it, though one of these, never meant to be viewed alone, may have displeased the Foreign Office. It is, therefore, open to question whether the Forsign Office has really exercised a wise judgment in the matter. Personally, if I may express an opinion of my own, I am certain that the whole Jewish people, especially in view of the Russian alliance with England, would gladly wipe the past out of their minds in the appreciation of the significance of a new, free, and regenerated Russia."
Nor, though incidentally offensive to the "Black Hundreds," is the play concerned with Russia except as a place to escape from. Its theme is America; with its fusion of races under a new human ideal, an ideal whose illumination was never more necessary than at this Cimmerian moment, and this makes the subservience of the Foreign Office to the Russian bureaucracy a double treason against humanity. For what had prompted me to write the play was the consciousness that the War for the Worid had shifted to a new battle-zone, and that in America-to use the great words of Abraham Lincoln-" we shall nobly save or meanly lose the last great hope of earth."

Mr. G. K. Chesterton, for whom "the last great hope of earth" lies in the rear, in criticizing the " Melting Pot's" ideal of looking forward and of accentuating " the God of our Children " rather than "the God of our Freiners," remarked that this is "Nonsense, nonsense, nonsense "-an iterf.i on that lacks only the damnablebecause the past is unchangeably fixed and known and the future unknown and unknowable. (I regret I cannot remember his exact words, always excepting his triple "nonsense.") But the
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past is not really known, ${ }^{2}$ nor is the past unchangeable. This paradox, I am sure, will commend me to Mr. Chesterton's heart, but it rests on the simple fact that you can alter your relation to the past and therefore alter the proportion the past bears in the totality of your history. For instance, 1914 will be either the blackest year in human history, or the beginning of a new and happier era, according to what we make of it. The past, in fact, remains as a series of half-dead sceds, any of which may be revived by a changed relation to it. Nor does the unknowability of the future-which is at worst merely partial-prevent our trying to mould it to an ideal pattern conceived in our consciousness. This is in fact what every reformer is doing all the time.

Lest the superior person, lifting an eyebrow at my admiration for America, dismiss me as a belated doctrinaire democrat, let me remark that I have always defined myself as "a democrat with a profound mistrust of the people." The treanny of majorities is worse than the majority of tyrannies. Democracy, like so many human arrangements, 15 stmoly the-least bad of all the alternatives, and it contains within itself-as no other form of government does-its own antidote.' Sully has observed-and Burke has endorsed the observation-that the people never rises from any instinct of rebellion, but from mere impatience of its sufferings. And democracy, tempered by Tammany, is better than autocracy tempered by assassination. Even so great, a thinker as Kant, groping for a philosophy of history, looked upon the American Constitution as a forward step in human history, and John Bright said in one of his eloquent perorations :-
"It may be a vision, but I will cherish it. I see one vast confederation stretching from the frozen North in unbroken line to the glowing South, and from the wild billows of the Atlantic westward to the calmer waters

## 1 An Fant pute it :

"Mein Freand, die Zoiten der Vergangenhelt
Sind uns oin Buoh mit aieben Siegoln.
Weas ihs den Goinat der Zolton haiagt
Das ithtim Grund der Herron oigner Geint,
In dom die Zoiton ajoh berpiogoln."

In the aimpler language of Voltaire, ancient history in only "dee fablen con. venues." Soo his tall "Jeanoot ot Colin."
Ab Italian book by a profoesor of politioal coconomy at Bealo hen been publinhed protending to expose democraoy on the ground that the londers alweyn aristocracy"-ouly it is an alected and remavolong ago andid thet it it "dinguiced the difierence.
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This leart, on to a the the and fact, ived the $g$ to This
of the Pacific main-and I see one people, and one language and one law, and one faith, and over all that wide continent the home of freedom, and a refuge for the oppressed of every race and of every clime."
The vision, like so many poetic visions, failed to take account of all the facts, notably of the blaok problem that literally darkens the picture, and of the financial magnates living like mediaval robber-barons, each in his turreted Trust. But even Bryce, the sober student of "The American Commonwealth," writes of it in the closing chapter of his classical work as " the latest experiment which mankind have tried and the last which they can ever hope to try under equally favourable conditions."

In fact the War for the Worid-that eternal duel of Ormurd and Ahriman, of Good and Evil-stands in America at one of its most critical moments since our planet was launched upon its mystic adventure. Here is the forefront of the battle, the first line of trenches, always in danger of being retaken.

## XIX.

Long before the " Melting Pot " tried to bring home to America by a vivid image of her manifest mission that she carried humanity and its fortunes, I had published in the closing days of the nineteenth century-at the invitation of a great American organ-a forecast of the forces of reaction against which she would have to struggle in the new century.
"The twentieth century," I wrote, " will be America's critical century. Will she develop on the clear lines laid down by her great founders, or will she survive, like most human institutions, as a caricature and contradiction of the ideals of her creators? Will she fall back into outworn feudalisms, accepting second-hand ideals from the Europe she has outgrown? Small as is the significance of aristocracy in the modern world of Europe, it is at least the petrifaction of what was once living and significant. The original adoration of nobility was not snobbery but respect for real superiority. But the modern American love of a lord is the worship of a withered leaf. That all men are created free and equal is a nobler proposition, it 'free' be interpreted as having a right to one's own body and soul, and 'equal '
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 as having a right to develop one's own body and soul to their highest. America became the exponent of these ideals; every other conception has been tried and fornd wanting. And for America to hash up again hereditary aristocracy and militarism would be a ridiculous anti-climax. If America breaks away from her ideals, humanity's last chance will be gone-at least for the white races; for nerhaps-who knows i-deciny would seek its next instrument among the despised coloured races. $O$ if America wrere less conscious of her more conscious of the greatness of her orm preatness, and "The eighteenth century ideals of the Brotherhood saw the dawn of generous prophets had dreamed twentyon. What the Jewish became the dream of the twenty-five centuries before The nineteenth century, noblest spirits of Europe. has brought the nations, which, by its electric links, than ever before, yet nearer to one another physically spiritual separation-each on the tableau of their fearfully watching the others, armed to the teeth and not in greatness, but in bigness andious to outstrip them, tury has set aside the ideals of the nineteenth cendare to hope it has not destroye eighteenth, but I return-but purified of whatevroyed them. They will was in them, and more equer dross of false idealismBut $s=i$ it be remembered to the facts of life. Fraternity, do not belong to that Liberty, Equality; the world of ideals. They are the world of facts, but to shapes the facts, as man's are the way man's aspiration dumb mountains and lays cables cuts tunnels through the "The nineteenth century's cabseath the blind seas. so worshipful as it imagined own idols have not proved it can also as Bismarck disco If the Press diffuses light; If Science as a maid-ot-all-discovered-diffuse darkness. interpreter of the mystery of is a success, Science as an 'silure. Even her immense prac universe is a dismal to amplify our senses and practical boons anly serve cannot increase our happiness incase our speed: they as dwarfs, and the soul masy. Giants suffer as well rounded by mechanical miracles lonely and sad, sur"As ever, the soul is thiracles.America remembers thise true centre of things, and if
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the immense spiritual perils of the coming century towards her old goal of a noble democracy, and may yet point the true path of civilization to the feudal nations and exhibit the divine element in the long procession of the centuries."

## XX.

Of these ideas "The Melting Pot " was but a dramatic expansion. "A fig for your feuds and vendettas! Germans and Frenchmen, Irishmen and Englishmen, Jews and Russiansinto the crucible with you all. God is making the American !" ${ }^{1}$ And David Quixano, the young musician, whose family had been swallowed up in the Kishineff pogrom, told the comfortable cultured Europe-apers :-

> "You look back on Europe as a pleasure-ground, a palace of art. But I know it is sodden with blood, red with bestial massacres !"
(" Romantic claptrap this," according to Mr. Walkley, the dramatic critic; there are signs he knows better now.) But it was in vain that my young idealist, raising hands of benediction towards the western sky, and his yet more glamorous vision, prayed :"Peace, peace to all ye unborn millions, fated to fill this giant continent-the God of our children give you Peace!"
Under the slogan of "preparedness " America is now seething with incipient Prussianism, and announcing with the first fine careless rapture of discovery that "to ensure peace you must prepare for war!" Para bellum, forsooth. Para cerebellum ! Poor simple souls! So this fallacy, like the confidence trick, is perennial, needing only a constant renewal of fools.
"I know that maxim-it was made in hell.
This wealth of shipe and guns inflemees the vulgar,
And makes the very war it guardes againat.
How often, as the Master eaid, the sight
Of means to do ill deeds makes ill deeds done." ${ }^{2}$

[^7]
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And so the most peace-loving country in the world is to have the second largest navy, and in time no doubt "the largest on earth." I agree with Lord Rosebery in lamentine this victory of Ahriman

## XXI.

En pascant I may remark on the shallowness of the contention that the emergence of the "hyphenated" American during the war has destroyed the "Melting Pot " thesis. It is true Americans from the Fatherland have suddenly resumed the German, even to the point of abetting criminal plots against the Allies. But this is no more a disproof of the fusing process than-if I may use a vulgar image-sea-sickness is a disproof of digestion. An abnormal condition has simply counteracted the process. Sympathy with their country in its hour of trial has given these American-Germans a violent centrifugal pull which counteracts the centripetal pull of America. But their reassertion of race has only made the majority of Americans more conscious than ever of their Americanism, more determined than ever to be a nonEuropean and politically homogeneous people. I say politically homogeneous, because the actual physical fusion is a long process and is not even necessary, any more than it is necessary in Britain for Welshmen to marry Highland women or countesses to wed costermongers.
That Americans have forgotten "that their chief and only allegiance was to the great Government under which they live " is, said President Wilson, "the only thing within our borders that has given us grave concern in recent months." The attempt dis yet happily defeated-to bring back America to the :rretched divisions of the world it has left behind, to call in the Old World to upset the balance of the New, is only another of the proofs of the unrelaxing persistence of the sea of evil to dash itself against the dykes of good in that ceaseless WAR for this Worid which constitutes the great cosmic drama. ${ }^{2}$
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## XXII.

The "War Devil," which opens this volume, and which, unlike all the other war-piecen, was written before the war (having appeared, indeed, in such Continental organs as the Neve Freie Presse of Vienne simultaneously with its appearance in the Daild, Chronicle in April, 1918), does not, unfortunately, need the faintest modification now that its outlook has been so wretchedly vindicated. That neither Hague Palaces of Peace nor ever-mounting armaments would suffice to keep the world's peace unless Reason and Love set to work to untie the world's knots was a conclusion that sentimentalists did not like to face, believing as they do in short cuts to the millennium. I differ, further, from Mr. Carnegie in holding that a mechanical millennium is not only not possible but also not desirable. There are worse devils than even the War Devil, and I said so piainly both in this article and in the lyric "Lament" which was published in the first number of the Daily News and Leader, and had the enthusiastic endorsement of the late Watts-Dunton. Your modern thinker-he goes so fast nowadays he is a futurist rather than a contemporary-has always failed to allow for the element of truth or necessity in ancient institutions-from capitalism to Christiar_cy, from war to sex-segregation. The attack insufficiently prepared by a superficial analysis naturally fails-is, indeed, justly baffled by the immortal residuum. In "The Next War "-provoked by the shower of premature prophecies that this would be the last, that it was "a war to end war"-an attempt is made at a more exhaustive analysis of the causes of war than pacifists ${ }^{1}$ (who, according to Dr. Melamed's learned "Theorie, Ursprung, und Geschichte der Friedensidee," have declaimed against it for 2,500 years) have ever troubled to make.

As wind and fire and water have shaped the lands, so war has shaped their distribution among the peoples. As the rain-gauge records rain, so history records blood. Yet Canon Gore finds the cause of the present war in Europe's materialism and selfishness (as if the Kaiser's inspiration was not theological) ; for Dr. R. A. :hram it is due to our inability to build Gothic cathedrals (as it the cathedral ages were bloodless !); and Professor Hobhouse traces it to the modern cult of lawlessness in art and life, even to
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Bergeon's rehahilitation of instinct against reacon (as if Pruasian militarism was not precisely the giorification of law and order, of reason made mechanical). The analysis of war and politices ir continued in the articles on "The Levity of War-Politics," "The Abourd Side of Alliances," "The Military Pacifists," "The Ruined Romantics," "Some Apologista for Germany," and "The War for the Words," all of which articles grew out of the att jmpt to write this preface to a much amaller book, and had finally to be given their own way as reparate entities. "Paradise Loot," on the other hand, was my firit thought when the war broke out: it has been already published in aeveral places-notably in "King Albert'c Book." "Patriotism and Percentage" is in a lighter vein; an old satire of mine, dating from 1904, and more than once republished. Its object was to show the absurd tangle that had resulted from the separate evolutions of internationalism and nationalism, and, since we are now again talling tarifts, I have reprinted its companion satire of Protectioniam in the Stater. In pursuance of the same line of satiric suggestion I wrote to The Times in 1900 suggesting that as the new German Dreadnoughts, which were supposed to be aimed against us, could not be built without the new German loan of forty millions, it was treasonable for any British subject, banker or stockbroker, to take part in it. This was of course a hit at our British policy of muddling along intellectually, but in Germany it was received as a piece of disgusting Chauvinism-a reception recalling in a humble way the fury in France over Gilbert's lines in whicha certain gallant British akipper explains why he sailed away before a French frigate :-
> "For to fight a Fronch fillal Is like hitting of a gal, And a labberly thing for to da."

## XXIII.

Reviewing my "War Devil " with handsome compliments, Mr. William Archer, in an articie called "Love, Reason, and War," nevertheless boggled at my formula of "Reason and Love," and confessed himself "disappointed at the inert pessimism of the conclusion." For, he urged, it "Love," or a "passionate sense of brotherhood, must possess us before we can exorcise the War Devil, there is no ray of hope on the horizon . . . for the present state of tension must certainly snap long before 'a passionate zense of brotherhood ' can ripen to relax it; and a world war would
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effectually arush and ruin whatever tender ahoots of world traternity may now be germinating here $r$ ad there." He thought, therefore, that the war could and should $b$, ataved our by some more rational means than Love.
It will be seen that the "inert pessimism" must now belong to Mr. Archer, for, deapite the world war, I do not believe "the pessionate sense of brotherhood " so remote ; indeed, it not seldom reaches across the opposing trenches. I am not thinking merely of the Christmas truce. One hears on all sides of the friendly relations set up between English and Turks, between English and Prussians even. At Souchez, so an officer back from the trenches told a friend of mine, the Prussians actually utter a warning shout to our men when their Minenroerfer menaces. The artillery, being of course remote and impersonal, share the apathy or hatred of the civilian, but the men who are brought into living touch (strange words) with one another have the comradeship, easily passing into affection, that comes to all those who go through common danger. Were the animosity between the French and Germans real-as real as between, say, Balkan neighbours-how could we possibly explain that astonishing episode recorded by Lord Northcliffe in his vivid narrative of Verdun, when through a rapid thaw "the parapets melted and subsided and two long lines of men stood up naked as it were before each other," and "the French and German offlcers turned their backs" while " the men on each side rebuilt their parapets without firing a single shot ? " Supposing to fire voould have meant "wholesale murder," what else were they out for? Who has ever heard of two rival doge that when their chains broke waited to be fastened up again i?

Mr. Archer thinks that Reason is enough. But Reason may tell us what should be done; it supplies no motive-power for doing it. If Love without Reason is fruitful in folly, Reason without Love is altogether sterile. Only by Reason and Love united can we untie our knots. What comes of trying to run the world by Unreason and Hate my lines on. "The Place of Peace" sufficiently indicate.

I was startled to find that Tolstoy in his secret "Diary," published in Russia this January, uses the same formula as was laid down in my article of 1918. In "Reason and Love" he too finds the only practicable alternative to the present governance of
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cocietys. The coinaidence is the more peouliar aince Tolatoy unfortumately preferred to baco his teaching upon Biblical texts. "Reacon and Love" in a better bacia. Not only can the devil quote ecripture for his own purposee, but large sections of people are sepelled by quotations profeming a supernatural authorltativenema. Moreover, elacticity in lont. Tolotoy, for example, finding the text "Thou shalt not hill," leapt to annex it as an immovable bade for pacifism. "Reason and Love," however, might very well say cometimes: "Thou shall kill." Not to mention that a ecore of Biblical texts say so likewise. Mr. Archer, in shying at the word "Iove," was only a child of the age before the war. The dry distrust of emotion was perhapes due to the supposed Shavian philowophy, though a quarter of a century ago I remember Shaw telling a Fabian audience how he cried, when he first came up to London, to think of all the misery and was persuaded he would establish Socialism in a fortnight. But I remain unregenerate; I am quite aware that the word "Love" is fly-blown and, like the grand old name of gentleman, "soiled with all ignoble use."

> "Love in and was my Lord and King;"
> and I abide by my formula.

## XXIV.

Cecil Rhodes thought that if there was a God, which was doubtful, He would want the world to be all English-that was certain. This is always how the overflowing energy of a great people manifests itself. Bulwer Iytton said every man was a patriot for the best of all reasons-his country had produced him. The true patriot cannot imagine the world-spirit desiring to produce any other types. The late Max $O^{\prime}$ Rell told me that to a Parisian it reemed comic that anybody should not be a Frenchman. PanGermanism is therefore no abnormal dream. Austria's old motto ran: "Auctrices ent imperare orbl unioerco." But it is not more magniloquent than our own "Rule Britannia,"

> "All thine ahall be the arbject main, And every thore it circles, thine."

2" Our world ie govarned by violenoo-thatin, by hatred. Therefore the majority of thote who vonotifutt socioty, ita dopendont, weal hy members-women, ahildrens the world were governed by Reared by malignity and jotn tho rankro of hatred. Butif ha Love and would foin itt rankes. To this Lore, thea this majority would be roand amert their extatance " (Tolitoy', Diary).
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And this "Alom ofeal" for Empire is sophisticated by the poets and orators as Virgil moralized the Roman clutch for the world:

> "Tu recers imperio populos, Romase, memento: Hee tibl arunt arten, Pacieque imponere morvm, Parcare subjectis of dobellare supporboe."

But the God of Reason and Love desires the world to be neither British nor German.

## XXV.

The Reform Club of New York has presented to President Wilson a memorandum as to the needs of the various countries for ports or markets, which needs constitute the main driving-forces towards war. Not one but could be equitably supplied by mutual arrangement to the advantage of the world at large. Across these commercial needs cut, however, the racial yearnings and national ideals, but even these could be adjusted by Reason and Love, which could at least remove all inequalities and oppressions everywhere; in which case much fanatical and purposeless patriotism would be peacefully absorbed by auperior Kulturen, and the nerve of nationality would be dulled. Those who suppose an acute sense of nationality could continue to co-exist with "world peace" want to have their cake and eat it too. There would be just such differences as subsist between Italian towns to-day, no two alike, yet the civic conaciousness purged (or despoiled) of the wild flavour of the days when Pisa fought Genoa, Siena Florence, or Pavia Ravenna.

## XXVI.

Although in "Militarism, British and Prussian," I defend the British sub-cionscious and defensive variety of militarism againat Mr. Bernard Shaw's identification of it with the true or Prussian variety, I had already suggested in "The War Devil " that there is still too much thaki in our cosmos. Our civilization, though pacific and industrial and free from military swagger, still revolves round a Court conceived on the old military models, and atavistic in its pageantry and its sympathies. Hence the disrespect for science and letters and education, which revenges itself ironically when in a war of chemists the chemist is displaced or ruled by the colonel. The Kaiser was made an Admiral of the Fleet, just as the Tsar has now been made a Field Marshal. Science will not come to its own till a foreign monarch is made F.R.S., which, when you
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come to think of it, is the oaly appropriate title for him. A pendon list like ours for literature and ecience-"To Mism. T. K. Creyne in consideration of the cervices of her husband, the late circumstances, 800 "응 Biblical criticiom, and of her atraitened San Marino than the ergeste rather the Republic of Andorra or There is neither a Minister ofest Pmpise the world has ever seen. nos is there a National Theetrine Arts, as there is even in Belgium, rescue the drama from under the exalt the antional temper of to Even in the Lord Chambertain' unclean thumb of the syndicate. and when "The Next Religion" Office one stumbles on colonels, theology with colonels, of the was prohibited I had to discues corrected Gilbert's "chambers fit verbally uninspired kind that for a beaven," it being an office in a lond "into "chambers fit theological term. As the horicon instruction that "lord "was a when a certain lady appeared, clouded according to Sydney Smith was, of the British Government clauded with majors, so is the horizon honest as Colonel Newcome, but arked with colonele, gentle and colonels even in the War Otfice. also as inefficient. There are

## Xexvir.

 business men, that it is socuse they are there, instead of plain very outbreak of the war I indicult to help one's country. At the acquaintance a serious defect in one to a Cabinet Minister of my had been specially informed-and my our munitions-of which I When the War Office was clamel may letter was neatly docketed. the raising of a Jewish Formi. ring for men I asked it to canction Corps, which was doing so brilli, egion, similar to the Zion Mule commander of which was cabling at the Dardanelles, and the Trist, and again the request was me in the spirit of Olives attention in the mont authoritatis acknowledged. Finally I drew new invention, which might not quarter to a mont important difference between defeat and vietorypossibly make the whole offered to the Government withory and which was patriotically time, so dire was the need, it without a fasthing of royalty. This and the thing was peed, it looked as if something would be done influences. Fet sies pushed in many directions and by done, I then made at monthe passed without mente I by many everything would be dome eflort. A Cabinet Minister Outraged, that it was I be done to help me. I rister assured me that it was I who was trying to heip I replied indignantly
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now invited to the War Offec, and courteonaly received by colonato. Things happened-and then again sillence 1 The inventor's nerves broke down at last, and at the sick bed we both abandoned the hope of helping such a country. Four monthe later, and with practically nr further elfort from outoide, the invention was to some extent taken up 1 Now of course either it is a useless invention and should not have been taken up even now, or it is supremely important, and the delay was criminal. The inventor, instead of being prostrated and almost killed ofl, should have been imprisoned by an anxious country in a palace, with black shaves to gratify every wish, and attendant mechanicians waiting with motor-cars to bear off the perfected pattern as acon as it was Anally tested. Imagine the Germans having the chance of suich an invention ! "Almost," I say to the War Office, "almost thou persuadest me to be pro-German." .The Government offloces have now abandoned red tape for white, which is more economical. Let us hope it is also symbolical. ${ }^{2}$ But it would be unfair not to admit that with a War Office adapted for a "Contemptible Little Army " called on suddenly to cater for millions, it has done better than could have been expected. Not to mention that in this instance professional scientific jealousies may have subconsciously impeded; for, as Haxlitt says," The unavoidaille aim of all corporate bodies of learning is not to grow wise, of to teach others wisdom, but to prevent anyone else from being or seeming wiser than themselves."

## XXVIII.

If we are to get away from the colonels it must not be merely by calling in acience is help organize war as Professor Armstrong demands-indirectly as intellect would thus proft in the scale of recognition once it was mobilized for war. There must be a complete "trans-valuation of values." Sir William Tilden demands more honours for science and that the presidents of scientific bodies should be ex-offeio members of the Privy Council. Even this suggestion, excellent as it is, does not go far enough. What we have to do is to recognize the emergence of a modern pacifc, industrial civilization from the outworn militarist State, by a new set of social symbols and a transference of honours to the leaders of the new organism. Otherwise Prussias remain ${ }^{2}$ Compare the amuaing chapter on "Olympoes" in "Tho Birut Eundrad
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potential in the germ everywhere. This is a reform I have often advocated. Here, for example, is a speech made by me in the last century to a business club; the date is not noted, but admirete of Lord Rosebery may truce it from the allusion to him, which shows him more in-seeing than most politicians :-
" When I find Lord Rosebery pointing out to his nation that the silent campaigns of commerce are at least as decisive of the fate of nations as the noisy operations of the battlefield, I feel that here is a point of view which mere politicians rarely occupy. When I read that since we conquered Egypt and the Soudan our exports to those places have gone down by a half, and that Germany and Americs are already preparing to munch the chestnuts we have pulled out of the fire in South Africa, I suspect that possibly life is not all blood and khaki. Perhaps we are really at death grips with Germany, for example, though nominally at peace. And Germany, we are told, conducts her commercial campaign as scientifically as a military campaign, while England conducts her military campaign as unscientillically as a commercial campaign. Lord Rosebery deduces that both campaigns should be conducted with equal science. My more ignorant literary imagination takes a wilder flight and deduces that both campaigns should be put on an equal footing of honour and dignity : if indeed the victories of peace are not superior in glory to the victories of war. For, if we lookfacts in the face, we must see that the modern world is not the ancient world nor the medieval world. We must not be deluded and enslaved by the phrases and the ideals. that belonged to a primitive world minus ateam and electricity. In applying the old military methods to the solution of modern political problems, we may be as antiquated and out-of-date as we should be in using the tactics or weapons of Wellington in a modern battle. We may come to recognize that even as the spasms and convulsions of Nature, though she works through them, are less important than the slow silent everyday forces, so history is now made less by the fire and sword of the fighter than by the humble prosaic activities of the stay-at-homes. Even if we regard the fighters as the beat means of expressing the national force in a crisis, let
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no remember that it is the national force that they express: for, since they themselves are in every sense a destructive not a productive element, the very possibility of an eflective fighting force rests upon the commercial prosperity of the country. The commercial army thus not only fights on its own account with the commercial armies of other nations, but it sustains and feeds its own military army. Not upon the playing fields of Eton are our victories won, but in the factories of Manchester, and the mines of Newcastle, and the shipyards of the Clyde. Nay more. My literary misunderstanding of English history convinces me that not by soldiers has our great Empire been built up, but by trading companies-India by the East India Company, Canada by the Eudson Bay Fur Company, South Atrica by mining companies. And this is why it seems to my foolish literary simplicity that at least as much glory and prestige skould attach to the commercial branch of the Army as to the military branch of the Army, and that the portraits of the captains of industry should be in every shop window. But when, gentlemen, I see Parliament voting for the rival branch of the service a hundred millions vithout turning a hair, while it becomes apoplectic at a request for a million or two for your side-for technical education, let us saythen I despair of ever understanding anything about politics. I am afraid nothing will be done till you manage to invest your branch with something of the glamour of your rivals.
"You, too, must take the popular imagination/with splendid symbole. You, too, must have flage and banners, uniforms and bands, and patriotic processions. Already mafficking rhymes with trafficling. Our poets have missed their opportunity. Commerce must get a Laureate: not the cort of bard whose panegyrics of dog biscuits and cherry brandy may be found on the hoardinge, but one who will perceive the pulse of true greatnens in the throb of the machine-room. For my prosaic part, much as I admire the soldier who plods uncomplainingly the dusty road of duty and death, I cannot see that the humble factory hand does less for England and the Empire. He, too, may be mutilated by machinery, but though he may be compensated by a little pension,
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he has not the compensating consciousness of honourable scarc, of wounds gained in his country's cause. Why not? Is duty heroic only when it is clad in Thaki and accompanied by a band 8 Why have the fighting claspes the monopoly of the motto that "England expects every man to do his duty $i$ " Why is it not hung up in workshops to counteract the teaching of the trade unions that it is wrong to do an honest day's
work?
"And, developing this thought of the commercial army in my ignorant literary way; I ask why under the guise of strikes and lock-outs are our commercial battalions allowed to fire at one another, to the destruction of England and the Empire? We have heard much of Little Englanders, but how about the Little Imperialisty, those who look only on the big drum side of the Empire and diaregard the commercial side, to say nothing of the artistic and intellectual sides, which also form part of the greatness of a nation, as distinguished from the greatness of a pack of wolves or a hive of bees ! Gentlemen, let us educate our schoolboys in true Imperialismto feel that whichever army they enter they are equally serving their country, and that the medals won at exhibitions are as glorious as those won on the battlefie!d. As every line drawn from the centre of a circle to the circumference is equal, so within the circle of the community is every faithful service alike honourable."

## XXIX.

If Resson and Love had only been applied to the woman question, that sex WAR FOR THE WORLD with which one section of this book is occupied ! In that case how much suffering and folly would have beun avoided. Reason would have shown that social and economic changes had altered the status of woman, and Iocial would have hastened to register the new ofatus by, and Love But the army of the East, with its new status by the vote, has insistod thiat the army of the West mustection for the harem, This is much more "a war to end war" hack its way through. Germany, for when co-partnership war than the war against it is questionable if the female p replaces the male hegemony, principle-will to liohtly principle-which is the creative
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unnatural introduction of the male principle of militancy into the campaign for female rights is studied in two of my chaptets, the frut of which appeared in the Fortnighty Review and the second in the English Reodew, and they are followed by three papers (from the Daily Chronicle) on "The War and the Women" and concluded by a speech of mine before the United Suffragists at Kingsway Hall, demanding the instant concession of votes for women. It is but one of many speeches I have made in this ungrateful cause, and after all that its advocates have gone through and after having myself for years passed in the London clubs as a pitifully disordered intellect, once so promising, I read with annoyance rather than with satisfaction the fulsomely honeyed language of The Times, hailing woman as "the primum mobile of a world in the making," or the bland suggestion of the Daily Mail that our affairs would really go far better, were half the Cabinet women. They seem preparing not merely to turn their coats but to turn them into bodices. For my part I feel that anti-suffrage journalists should not make such statements save with bell and candle, and wrapped in their own sheets.

## XXX.

In "The War Devil" I recalled the theory of Jean de Bloch that modern war must end in stalemate. His theory was perhaps imprinted on my mind by the accident of my having made his ecquaintance. The late Dr. Heral, the founder of Zionism, it was who brought us together, and I remember an evening with both of them in a box at a London theatre, where a beautiful actress played in a popular play, to the bewilderment of Bloch, who could not understand why the actress was celebrated or the play popular. It was his frrot introduction to our wonderful stage. "Fille n'est pas fine," he said, and refused to be introduced to the beautiful one, leat he should have to pay her a compliment, which he felt was beyond his means, millionaire though he was. Anything but a visionary, you see, this little Polish-Jewish Banker, railway constructor and administrator, and writer on finance and economics, surely the mildest-mannered man that ever took a trench, even on paper. That Tear Nicholas II. should have established the Hague Conference under his inspiration seemed much more natural than that he should be the most learned authority on modern warfare. But so far he has proved-as Mr. Philip Snowden said in the House the other day-"uncannily right."

## THE WAR FOR THE WORLD

And when within three monthe of its inception the war began to show unmistakable signs of going his way, I tried to remind nuy fellow-citizens of the contents of his great work, "The War of the Future," which, published in aix volumes in Russia in 1898, and at that time stirring considerable interest everywhere, seemed already to have been forgotten. The occasion arose through a Timen misrepresentation of the activities of my friend Mr. Jacob Schiff, of New York, a noble figure, whose loathing of the carnage and whose yearning for "perpetual peace" was attributed to his being a "German agent "and holding "a brief for Germany."

## XXXI.

Cursed be the peace-makers, for they shall be called pro-Germans. This new reading of the Beatitude had already begun, and it has since been applied with an absence of humour that is amaxing even in war-time. A Nobel peace-prizeman who protented against the destruction of Europe has actually been represented (and by a Socialist paper) as a puppet, timed by the Kaiser. One would have thought the devil out of hell would have been softened by all the slaughter to consider whether the same results might not now be achieved without any more of it. As the Paris correspondent of The Times wrote the other day, "Any man, be he private citizen or Minister, with power to hasten, even by a day, the suocessful end of this necessary but awtul carnage, and who does not bend his every thought and effort to that end, is unworthy of his birthright as a civilized being." Happening to be the child of two great civilizations, and beginning to fear that the most awful part of the carnage might be its futility, I took the opportunity (while suggesting that, since peace was inevitable some day, Europe had better try to reach it at once by the Conference Mr. Schitt was proposing) to add in the same letter to The Times a synopsis of Bloch's book. The date of my letter was November 20th, 1914. I do not know whether the Germans would at so early a date have accepted a Conference, but, when one recalls what agonies and calamities the world has suffered since, one wonders more than ever why the arbitrament of reason is universally commended, while the aword is yet undrawn, but becomes almost a treasonable suggestion after the mischief of the sword is hideously visible. Particularly doen it seem a part of that levity of war-politics to which I have devoted a couple of chapters that The Times should have omitted from my letter the Bloch synoprei,
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though it was profeswedly taken from "Harmasworth's Encycloperia." As if not as much light as possible, but as little as poudible, should be thrown upon the transcendently tragic situation. The synopsis of Bloch ran as follows :-
> "War between the Great Powern, such, for example, as between the Dual and Triple Alliance, is no longer possible as the arbiter of international disputes. Bloch points out-(1) that the two great alliances are hearly equal in combined numbers, wealth, discipline, and moral qualities ; (2) that modern weapons and tactical methods have so developed that the defensive force has gained an immense increment of strength which enables amall bodies of men to defend a widely-extended front against suporior numbers of the enemy for a protracted period; and (8) that the frontiers are now fortifled on a most complete scale, and behind them are vast plains which the spade and magaxine rifle can turn into impregnable fortresses. From these considerations he deduces that modern wars will be long wars, and must necessarily result in economic exhaustion, entailing starvation and the dislocation of the social fabric. At bent they will result in a 'kind of stalemate,' with no decisive issue."

Deapite The Times' preference for darkness, that Rosa Dartle curiosity of the minor Press which is usually such a curse became a bleasing, furnishing me with opportunities of pointing the Bloch moral. Thus to the inquisitiveness of the Weekly Dispatch, as to what had most struck me during the war, I was able to reply (it was now the spring of 1915) that it was the continued exemplification of Bloch's theories. (What most struck me about the other replies was that Lord Derby, of the immortal recruiting crusade, could see nothing more striking in the most gigantic phenomenon in human history than "the mutual devotion of officers and men.")
At the end of the first year of war the same newspaper habit provided another opportunity of summing up the situation and the prospect. Under date of August 4th, 1915, I wrote in the Eoening Standard, and was again alone in the view :-
> "I know nothing of military matters, but if one may go by Bloch-instead of Belloc-that great military writer proclaimed that owing to the possibilities of trench war-
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tare, th which one man can hold back aix, a war between two:. in Powern, equally organized and equipped, can only result in atalemate.
"This deadlock actually exists on the West front, where Germany's only gains have been those of the firut rush against an unprepared foe. It does not exist on the East front, because there Germany meets inferior organisation, insuficient money and munitions, and internal discontent. "But onless Germany builds sufficient submarines to destroy our food supply, we can ultimately wear her out, though at a cont so terrible that I should personally prefer negotiating her out of France and Belgium.""
Finally in 1016 came the bold and brilliant tri and the speech in Parliament of Mr Snow tribute of Mr. Wells caid to have amived. Bloch may be

## When his Xoot 1

 was issued in England und published, a translation of one volume And this of course became"the title "Is War Now Impossible"? supposed-and Mr. G. K. Chestertend of Bloch, who was also other day-to be a moonshiny pan fell into the error only the was not that war was impossible, but.th. What Bloch did say and trench methods a decisive war wet that with modern munitions military operations went. In this was impossible, so far as mere to adapt Swinburne :- in this cense it may already be said,> Like a god relf-shain on his own etreage altar, War liea dead.

In another sense no doubt Bloch did suggest that war was impossible-in the sense that we aay this man or that woman is "impossible." In literal truth they are, alas ! only too possible. That a people which had never ceased to chafe at paying its resourceless septuagenarians five shillings a week should carry day and a colossal lose the cost of four or five million pounds a turning a hair-this he of life, property and shipping, without Even I who have lived to see it lee well thought impossible. impossibile est." But there is feel like saying "Credo quia insanity of the human race to is nothing in the imperturbable be impossible, but there is to refute Bloch. A decisive war may
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 going on till there are only a few scraps of fue left-" "a fight to a flish."Another factor, however, comes in-on the Bloch ayatem-to modity the military stalemate. It is the economical attrition by which one or the other will be worn out firat. This is why the Hums rage. They have won the military part of the land battlesforty years of gigantic preparation and two years of heroic sacrifices merited no leas-and they are infuriated at our taking no notice of their score. They want war to be a game with the definite old-fashioned rules, but it is we who are teaching them that the mere military Kriegopiel is out of date. And it is because they feel that though they can go no farther, they may fare worse, that they have long been anxious for a peace. This has even been categorically admitted-and that in a Press under censorahipby the greatest organs of Germany. The Prankfurler Zeitung said at the end of this February: "We have declared before all the world our readiness for peace." "We showed our eriemies our will for peace," said the Cologne Gaxette about the same period. Even as long ago as last Christmas we had Herr Ballin lamenting the destruction of Europe. And in the leading Austrian newspaper, the Neue Freie Presse, Count Julius Andrassy, the former Hungarian Minister, said at the same sacred season :-

> "The proceedings of the German as well as of the Hungarian Parliament show clearly that Central Europe is ready to make an honourable peace on the strength of the present military situation. But our enemies hold quite a different position."

## XXXIII

To hold a different position is quite legitimate, and if Germany cannot now get out of the trap she laid for others, nobody can deny it is a righteous Nemesis. But I am not at all sure that even England understands the transformed conditions of modern warfare and the full strength of her position, and how in the economic factor of war Germany stands as much beaten as Belgium does in the military aspect. England, too, has not entirely given up the German romantic idea of Kriegspiel; she wants before making peace also to have an old-fashioned victory, if only because, she says, Germany would understand no other. But Germany understands well enough. Think of the picture given us in the Matin of March 15th by Senhor Paee, the Portuguese Minister who had
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just been recalled from Berlia, and who, if anyone, should be in a ponition to gauge the facts :-
"I have been witnewing for come months the profound change which has been taking place in certain circles which I have been called upon to trequent. The enthusiacm was great at the beginning of the war. The war was regarded se a sacred enterprise, a cort of emancipation of the civilized world. For come months the tone has been growing depressed. To-day in the same circles Where the bellicose spirit formerly reigned one sees only weariness and regrets. The idea what Germany is the nation predertined to regenerite humanity has also disappeared. Everywhere the Kniser, when he visits horpitals, has but one phrase, always the same, in response to cries and complaints, 'Ich habe das nicht gewollt ' ('I did not wish it'). Now only peace is spoken of, and the necessity of concluding peace.
"Note that these are circlen which boast before the foreigner. If even among people who deliberately wanted war one hears such talk, you can judge what is thought in the rest of the Empire and in the lower clasces of

Consider; too, the message recently sent from Berlin to Stockholm as to the plight of the poorer classes. "Hunger:- generally epeaking, the mort powerful of the enemies of Berlin and Germany" (Daily Chronicle, March 25th). A neutral, Hjalmer Branting, tells Mr. Harold Begbie in the same organ that the nation is beginning to see that Force is not so supreme as it thought. "Everybody in the German Empire wants peace," asid the Vollsblath simply, last December. And now comes the German Chancellor and tells us categorically he expressed his readiness on December oth to enter into peace negotiations, while the German answer to President Wilson's ultimatum goes out of ite way to ingeminate peace.

## XXXIV.

I am not unaware of the new forces we expect to be able to bring into play soon, both native and allied; nevertheless I wish I could feel sure that even a military, victory-naval victories are
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serely decisive-will bring us better terms than our economic victory already ensures us. Verdun has illostrated the Bloch theory afreah. With the most coloneal artillery ever concentrated on one spot, and with super-human secriftess and valour, Germany has at the moment of writing achieved little or nothing. People are able to gracp how this verifies Bloch, but they do not seem to see that what is sauce for the goone is sauce for the gander. How is the French "Grand OPlensive" to break through, any more than the German? The French author of "The Epic of Dixmude" tells us how "the French marines held beck the German advance at the beginning of the war, when the odds were six to one." Why should not the Germans in their turn do the same $\%$ "At the firat battle of Yprea," Mr. Buchan tells us, "the thin Allied line, stretched to the last limits of endurance, beat back five times its weight of men and ten times its weight of guns." And again I ask, What guarantee is there the Germans will not do as much ? Mr. Buchan sayy, indeed, that the Germans under such circumstances would soon lose their moral, but as this would mean the complete destruction of Germany, I do not feel so persuaded that the beast at bay would be less dangerous than on the rampage.

## XXXV.

Again, when I apeak of an economic victory, I mean by intelligent anticipation. With equal taps turned on in two barrels, a frkin and a hogahead, one need not wait for the result to know which will be depleted first, and the Germans are clever enough not to desire vulgar demonstration. But if we force them to go on to the last drop, then even our own tun will not be so gloriously overfowing.
Adam Smith, when it was pointed out to him that on his theories Fngland ought to have been ruined years ago, replied that a nation takes a deal of ruining. No less an authority than the chairman of the Merchants' Trust har warned us that Germany will take a deal of ruining; after all, its barrel is the Great Tun of Heidelberg :-
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and not lese than, consumption by the army, ples consumption by the civil population. 80 Germany might seed, clothe, and menition her coldiers, and struggio on loag after financial eapertes, reaconing from the deprecietion of the mart, had decreed her collapee, especially now that the is in poseavion of the induatrial district of the Sambre in Belcium and France, and of Lods in Poland, which has raised the proportion of producers to soldiess."
It should be added that Kitchener's original calculation that the Allies could increase their men while Germany's quantum was stationary has been falsified by the sccestion of Bulgaria and Turkey-Which are much more edditions to the German army than Italy is to the Allied. A carreful table of wastages goes to show that the man-power of the enemy is relatively is per cent. more than in last June (U. D. C., January, 1016).
Mr. Buchan himsell does not believe "that the war can end by mere attrition, by merely starving Germany into surrender." He thinks Germany will makg a great naval dach. But if this failsas fail it must-and Germany's informal peace overtures are still neglected, and she sees bankruptcy and dismemberment facing her, then I cannot help fearing that we shall see worve devils raised than Germany has yet called from the vasty deep. Tecitus tells usand he is corroborated by St. Ambrose-that the ancient Germans had such a passion for dicing that when everything elve was gone they set their liberties and perrons on the lant throwe was gone novissimo jactu de libertate ace de conpore amat throw (eatromo ac tell to what desperate recoure de corpore contendank). Who can They may prefer to go down fichering descendants may be driven ? Kaiser picturesquely threatenghting to the death. Long ago the the Empire," last December tho "arm every dog and cat in imposing a year of service on the Berlin Lokalanterger proposed Herr Rudolph Keller, a mem on all girls at eighteen, and recently published a book called "W of the Austrian House of Deputies, starving of all the conquenar against Civilians !" urging the and interned would anquered territories. The British prisoners And could we complain aniow be the first to be deprived of food. ceasing to try for military Could we complain even if the Zeppelins, done hitherto, should eatablish as I am convinced they have city-quarter and rain down themselves above a crowded British imperturbability mightorveless death ? The marvellous bull-dog grip is not withought not indeed be shaken, and the
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menaure of juatification in the prior "trightuulnem" of Cerman polioy. But the question is, can wo-even though unalarmedallow such horrors and holocuusts when we have only to move a finger to ensure-in co-operation of course with our Allieseatinfectory and honourable peace ?
As the Swedish Foreign Minister, Mr. Knut Wollenberg, said to Mr. Begbie, there is Europe to be considered, there is civilization. I would add that it is not our business to exterminate even German militarism, much less Germany. If Germany prefers that soul-crushing system, it is her aftair. To impose ous Kultur on her would be to do exactly what we accuse her of desiring to do with other nations. Our business is simply to see that she does not impose her Kullur on us, whether by eonquest or infection. And this business it is by no means certain we are altogether minding.

## XXXVI.

When at the end of 1914 I wrote to The Times to endorse Mr. Schiff's proposition of a Conference, a mysterious and menacing "Member of the Vigilance Committee" hastened to point out in that organ how "curious " peace talk was "just now when the Germans have failed to take Warsam." Was he more content, I wonder, when my next ensay at "pence-talk" was made after the Germans had succeeded in taking Waranw? But perhape this vigilant gentleman is still unaware of the message I sent to a Conference held at Caxton Hall on "The Pacifist Philosophy of Life" on July 8th, 1015, in a week when we were suffering 20,000 caevalties, and I was still credulous enough to think that Christian nations might not be altogether deaf to the voice of Reason and Love:-
"As you know," I wrote, "I was among the first to stipulate that this Conference should not be a ' stop-the-war-meeting, and therefore I feel myself all the more entitled to protest against the stop-the-peace party.
"From various German quarters peace voices seem to be raised with increasing frequency and the game of the stop-the-peace party is to pretend that to give ear to these voices is pro-German. That is poison-gas tactics. So far goes this disregand of the decencies of discussion that it is probable this very Conference, founded on the utter loathing and detestation of the Prussian ideal, will also be beclouded as pro-German. m.m.
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"A rabbi in Now York said the other day, 'Nobody could read his morning paper withort feeling as if his beart would breats' This from a neutral.
"What must we feel, who, in addition to the spectecle of half the world murdering and impoverishing itsell, we the flower of Eniflaid macowered and mutilated at the rate of 90,000 a week, and the whole economic future of generations to come mortgaged and imperilled, not to mention the tranaformation of our free modern civilize. tion into a ldiling machine on the Prusilas model.
"Yet when we express the faintert deaire to meet any overtures that may arrest this spiritual and material disintegration, our conduct is so unintelligglble forsooth t上, the only powsible explanation can lie in our being pro-German.
"When King Solomon wished to cut a child in two, it was the true mother that sursendered the child intact to the false mother; whether those who prefer the slaughter of their fellow-citizens to negotiation are the truer patriots is a question that may be illumined by this ancient searchlight.
"The reacon alleged by the stop-the-peace party for ignoring overtures through German channels is that they ave veiled indications of Germany's weakness and distress. But what better moment for dealing with the devil than when be is siek? Surely it is not proposed to take up pence negotiations at the moment when Germany is carrying all before her. We should have done better, indeed, to encourage these overtures while Russia was still in Galicia, but even now the threads of negotiation could probably be picked up, and the Germans got out of Belgium and France by diplomacy, at least as quickly and effectively as by dynamite.
"I base this belief on the German gospel of Real Politics. The Germans are not out for glory, but for solidities. They do not even profess to Aght like England for the abstract sanctity of treaties and rights of nationalities. "It is very significant, that saying reported of Herr Behrens, director of the Dresden Bank, that Germany would lose even it she won. The shrewd business men who built up Prussia's marvellous prosperity and now see their mercantile marine eliminated, their oversea com-
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#### Abstract

merce deed, their coloaies captured, and vast maricets for German products in England, France, Ruoole, and Itely dentroyed, will not indefinitely endurs this argie of millitariam. "They me, even if we do not, that Jean de Bloch was a trwe prophet, and that modern warlave between two scientifically equipped powers can yield no decisive military result. "Theee Real Politicians understand, moreoves, that no war indemnity, even in ultimate and improbable victory, could poadibly compeneate them for the widespread boycott that every month of war makes more certain and bitterer. "Surely we already hold enough of Germany's colonies, merchantmen, and invented moncys not to come of second best in any negotiations. "I hope, therefore, we shall not lightly reject any reasonable parley, and that a way to peace may yet be found before we enter on the second year of the most murderous, the moot gigantic, and the most barbaroualy conducted war in all history."


## XXXVII.

Nearly a year has paseed since this was written; but is there anything in the purely military situation calculated to give us better terms than we could then have secured, or so much better as to be worth the immense human agony and material destruction? For this is the real question. Our "Grand Offensive " is coming -it is nearly eighteen months since Frenchmen fresh from the trenches told me it was "just going to begin." "II n'east plus question," they said, "de notre entrite a Berlin mais seulement des termes de paix que nous y dicterons." So far our Grand Advance has been only in taxes and prices. But, even if their cocksureness is about to be tardily justified, this question is not answered. Shall we indeed ever know whether the final terms will be so much better than we could exact this very day by negotiation with a superficially successful but commercially paralyzed, food-depleted, colony-despoiled and peace-clamouring Germany, as to have been worth the additional sacrifices ? Those who have the responsibility for this gigantic gamble are not to be envied. But they ought to bear in mind the sinister currents
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of "patriotic" opinion that may be set going by the hundreds of lactories both here and in Canada which our indefatigable Minister. of Munitions has called into being. ${ }^{2}$ And surely "negotiation" must after all play a large part in the settlement. Have we indeed done our duty by Belgium in not long ago negotiating the enemy out of her territory? "The cessation of the war," writes M. Eenri Lambert, the famous Belgium economist, who is also a manufacturer, "is amon'g Belgium's primary interests," and he views with horror the prospect, so pleasing to Englishmen, of the evacuation of Belgium by force of arms and not by negotiation. "Every town, village, and field ia Belgium "would, this Belgian says, "be transformed into a scene of indescribable destruction and horrible slaughter." And as to starving out the Germans, he consent to be starved and to pick up the crumbs dropped from the table of the Belgians, themselves fed from other sources \&" As for the common cry that South Africa would never give back this or Australia that, it may be true; but if so there is an end to the British Empire, in any sense such as Mr. Hughes tried to drive home to us. It would simply be a set of allied peoples, without inner unity, and were even the Imperial Council established that Adam Smith already proposed in "The Wealth of Nations," there would still be no Imperial organism.

## XXXVIII.

This is a matter in which outsiders can see more clearly than the Cabinet, just as it was left for the late Emil Reich to warn England in 1007 of the war which our stateamen did not provide against even in 1914. So, too, the present Cabinet, engrossed with a the twigs. Whetty details, can perhaps no longer see the tree for along, Lord Rosebery Breer War was dragging its weary length that peace might grow out of " a cation by suggesting in a speech Is there no way of starting acasual conversation in an inn." ourselves? Does the levity negotiations without committing no instrument or devivity of war-politics go so far as to provide
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One suggestion, made by me, in the New Staterman of November 11th, 1015, and of course wholly neglected, may perhapt be usefully reprinted here. The subject arose through a controversy on "Commemorating Miss Cavell."
"With all deforence to Mir. Shaw, and every devire that the car Mim Carell cdorsed ahall be inatantly onfranchiced. I cannot feel sure that bere lien the moot appropriate 'way in which wo can pay our dobet to her and teat the alacerity of her loudert championa.' Tho lewon of her life-and death-in surely larger than the vote, is nothing lem than 'Patriotimm in not enough.' She dedred to die at peace even with har exceutionen, and therefore wemust propare to live at pence with them.
"Evan from to-dey's Daily Exaprwe I gather that Germany-ringed round by our victorious Fleet-is sick of the war, and rewembles 'a war-manino whoo blood han been drained.' In the current number of War and Pcace I find a German manifento circulated lant June by the Buad Nown Faterlamd, urging that the Allien cannot be crushed, and that, oven if Bolgiam could bo annered, it would only create an appalling ore of anti-German militarimen. Monthe ago I read another maniferto, aigned by a handred and fitty of tho greatent namee in Germany, repudiating Bernhardi and dealaring: 'We Germana have never grudged our Anglo-Saxon blood relations their worldencircling power,' and that the dread of Germany's designe was a 'delualon,' - 'disantroun misunderstanding.'
"As one who shared this 'delunion,' and oven incorporated it in a play, I feel I cannot better honour Mis Cavoll's memory than by londing ear, howover incredulous, to the hundred and fitty German thinkern and creatorn, for oven more disentrous than the original misunderotanding would be to continue it at the cost of incalculable suffering per minute. (In to-day's Timon there are nearly two clowely-printed pages in tiny type of British casualtien alone.) But if one suggents opening peace negotiations when Germany in wianing one is a coward and a traitor, and if one suggents it when Gormany is not winning one is otill more clearly 'pro-Germann.' By theoe blackguardly twetict-repented in overy war-overy approach to maity is blocked with barbed wire. The trouble is that a dimalvantage does lis with the aide that begine the peece-talk.
"It appears, therefore, that what is wanted in future wars in a monthly mooting, or oven a continuons intercourse, of the rival diplomatiate, to discoma, quite without projudice, the ever-ehanging militnry dimation. Thue at any and overy meeting they could alide into discuming pence conditions without olther side being compromised by having called the confivence. Lot Min Cavoll's countrymen create even now this miming machinery. Let the rival diplomatinta begin meeting-not to talk peace, but to prepare the atmouphere in which it may become negotiable as the military situation dovelopa. In attondant thoy can discuses such subjectas the axchange and trentment of prisoners. To end an I began-with a quotation from Mr. Shaw - If this propomil is received in dead silence I shall know that Blith Cavell's. macelfico has been rejected by har country.' "
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## XXXD.

Nobody is more conscious than I what large areas of the epiritual war-zone are left untouched here. There is for example a chapter to be written on the results of the war upon the relations between the white and coloured races, but the fog of war lien too thichly sbout this war-zone for any real survey at present. I have contented myrell with reproducing my old speech in honour of Mr. Morel, made in the days when his services in the Congo were acknowledged as a national glory, and Lord Cromer, Sir Arthur Conan Doyle, and others partook in a public presentation to him. I could not re-read this speech without thinking of Old Testament dooms, though at the same time I rejoiced that King Albert has overlaid the black record of King Leopold and his henchmen by a nobly-illuminated page, and that while Germany has sunk into a Great Scourge, Belgium, purged by pity and terror, has risan into a Great Power, of which even Fingland can eay, in the words of Thomson's "Britain":

> "In spite of raging univermal oway, And regiag soen reprem'd, the Belgic Statee, My bulwart on the Continent arow."

Another chapter (or book) would deal with the effects on labour, apart from the woman question. Such a study has indeed been begun by Mr. G. D. H. Cole under the title "Labour in War Time"" but it does not go beyond the passage of the Munitions Act, where the real excitement begins, I am not surprised to find him eaying, "It is scandalous that a measure vitally affecting the whole poaition of Labour should have been hurried through at a moment's and the Isbour pail more a scandal that the trade union leaders what I have formd all should have acquiesced in it." Exactly in the Briton, though plenty of finhtino real backbone of liberty Mr. Cole ends his book with a grave problecsone. I note that of peace between nations means throphecy that "the coming clacees." This I amnot in m poans the coming of war between this subject it is because I position to refute, for if I have avoided of the war-zone in which I have limited myself to those regions movements for the emancipetion perconally a fighter. On the redintegration of the Jews forion of woman, for the rights and the freedom of emigration and the amelioration of our drama, for ideal, for the clarification of the maintenance of the American
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pesce, I can contribute first-hand impressions of the combat, but in the war-zone of labour I am a mere spectator to whom the trade unionists and the capitalists appear equal sinners against a true humen relation. I incline nevertheless to the more optimistic view of Mr. Buchan, that
" If we can carry that great brotherhood of the trenohes into the years of peace, and make a cleaner and a better and a juster England, where class hatred will abate because class selfishness has gone, then, with the grace of God, this war may yet rank as one of the happiest events in our history."

I trust that Mr. Lloyd George, now the risen hope of the stern and unbending Tories, will use his new prestige with the dukes to rob hen-roosts with renewed vigour. The war has simply stripped the propertied classes of their last rag of mendacity-we who are able to pay twelve million pounds every two and a half days of war never ceased to storm and whine alternately at paying twelve millions every 865 days for the old age pensions. The new war pensions will increase the beneficent circulation of other people's money through the country, yide. Mr. Hughes ${ }^{2}$ तit son fait a l'Angleterve when he said:
> "The men of Britain must face the facts. You cannot have a great nation when the base is rotten. . . when twelve millions of people are on the verge of starvation . . . What must Britain do to be saved ? I say she must be born again. There can be no peace until we have purged the world of the monstrous cancer which is eating out the vitals of civilization."

But it is a pity that the man from Australis-who would have scarcely found a carcer if he had atayed in England-ahould have added words to this which imply that the "cancer " is not poverty, as one would imagine, but Germany, and that the moral of the memage should end in the bathos of national security: -
"A community which by its very system breeds sexual immorality, which spreads poverty in ever-widening circles, and which degrades masses of its population to a level lower than that of the animale, I am quite sure that such a community is destined to be wiped out, to
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die, to be swept out of existence. There is no room in Nature for such a community." ${ }^{1}$ This is ru.te rhetoric. There is plenty of room in Nature for a community which by degrading its masses to the level of animals enriches itself with beasts of burden. The greatness of Ingland has long been built upon its docks, where it is a convenience to have a surplusage of unskilled labour to increase competition and drive down its price. The Nemesis comes, indeed, when the "number" reiuses suddenly to rise to a man and a patriot, and your ships are left unloaded or undischarged in the greatest crisis of your destinies. But with a cornucopia of savings you may muddle through almost anything. No, the question is not of the nation's wafety, but of the reality of its greatness. Let us not mix up the rebirth, the reorganization, of England with the question of crushing Germany. A fig for "the cancer of German trade "-it is the cancer of English poverty that must be cut out. Cutting out the other cancer, indeed, will only increase your own, as Mr. J. M. Robertson has convincingly shown.2 This commercial isolation of Germany is not'even possible. Even at the height of the combat Ingland and Russia are compelled to buy from Germany-business men tell me-each closing a politic eye. It may be well enough to asy that such necessities of lifo-or death thinest never again be unproduced at home, but the Rhadamanreminds me of the of boycotting a hundred million customers. man the harm he can do himsele that ten enemies cannot do a Even amid the ghatlin himself. a shudder for the strange seafaring war we have been able to apare was "picked up derelict with ten story of the Dutch lugger that stated that they had killed their shipmen board all mad, who into the ses because the men were pomates and tossed the bodies one aspect this vescel seems an ere possessed of devils." If from belligerent whose record is wholly epitome of Europe, if there is no of dishonours divided-there is oly rational-if at best it is a tale horror which comes over men only one way of escaping from the done in their madness ; it is by insiating realize what they have deed virtue shall spring. We must see to from their very misdung a finer civilization shall flower. terrible machinery of war, twisted. Humanity, caught in this
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itself full of glorious qualitier-incredibly brave, beautifully kind, angelically patient, heroically devoted, magnificently bountiful. Could all these sweet bells be only jangled into the savage discord of war-can they not be accorded into the music of a noble civilization? This war has proved that there is no height or depth of vision but human nature is adequate to make it real. It is only because evil is so energetically organized against what Wordsworth called, "the vacillating, inconsistent good" that it is $s 0$ monotonously-and so properly-victorious. If only this inefficiency of the good could be exchanged for the efficiency which Germany has displayed for evil. "Debout les morts!" cried the wounded French sergeant in one of the greatest stories that have come to us from the trenches, as he rallied his dead and dying to repulse an assault. We, too, have long been dead, we sons of the cities-deat to their groanings and blind to their tears-but we too can rise ot the call and make another fight for civilization in a renewed Was for the World.

$$
\mathbf{X L} .
$$

No survey, however cursory, of the spiritual war-zone would be complete without a mention of the atruggle of the Jew to get or preserve his civil rights. This struggle is important less for the Jew's sake than the world's sake, inasmuch as the position of minorities is the high-water mark of civilization. Hence the space given in this book to Rusia, which happens to hold six million Jew, or half the existing race. Their sufferings in the phytsical war are but adumbrated here, nor, though greater than those of any other race except the Armenians, would they be mentioned at all in such a period of universal suffering were it not that most of the misery is not the dread necessity of war, but a literal luxury of woe which Russian Militariomus has permitted itself to enjoy.
Even in England we have a miniature anti-Semitic campaign, and the ground won by Reason and Love is again being sapped by the tireless tides of Unreason and Hate. In his history of "The Rise and Influence of Rationalism" Lecky devoted a chapter of 180 pages to "The Secularization of Politics," treating it correctly as an enhancement, and not an impairment, of the essential principles of Christianity. But for the anti-Semites-after Treituchlse-all this is to be undone. It is not only in Germany that, as Heine told the French, the Middle Age fails to lie mouldering ("liegt nicht vermodert im Grabe"). "Ever and anon it is
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revived by an evil spirit and comes out among us in clear broad daylight and sucks the red life from our breast."

The reactionary movement here, as everywhere, gathers round a Catholic and ultra-nationaliat nucleus. It may ceem odd when 0 many Jew are giving high public ervice or cealing their loyalty with their blood that anti-Semitiam should be able to persist, but there is alwaye enough stupidity, rancour, ignornnce, envy, and medireval projudice surviving to provide a moderate career for a limited band of Jew-baiters. So far they are to be congratulated that the illogic of the armohair has not tranalated itself into the crude criminality of the market-place. The organ of the movement styles itself The Now Wimese ${ }^{1}$; its conductors, who are understood to be Roman Catholice, would do better to confessional.
On the intellectual side the movement is not strong except in names. Mr. G. K. Chesterton has tried to give it some rational basis by the allegation that the Jew's intellect is 80 disruptive and sceptical. The Jew is even capable, he says, of urging that in some other planet two and two thay perhape make five. One always understood that the crime of the Jew lay precisely in the dogmatism of his arithmetic in the realm of theology, but as a matter of fact the scepticism in question was mont destructively displayed by Mr. Chesterton's own semi-yympathizer, Mr. H. G. Wells, in his famous discourse on "Scepticism of the Instrument," which now figures as an appendix to his "Modern Utopia." Not to mention Pyrrho.

A minor fatuity of this school is to refuse the name "European" to the Jew, as if the overwhelming bull of the British Empire did not lie outside Europe, or as if all its religions had not been made in Asia or Atrica.
I remember Sir Charles Waldatein writing to The Times to protest against the Jews being thus classified as mon-Diuropean, but as he himself was born in New York it seemed a somewhat Irish indignation, especially as he went on to say that the Jews had
${ }^{2}$ This organ is supplomented by the Cellolic $E$ Hevelt, and Andeis sabtio mpport in The Timeo, which atitributce to Jowe or Jowith influence overy enomy mapporvis
 read hoedineen: Americancon. In tio have of Yaroh 17th, 1016, for oxample, wo
 appoare from the nammen, to bo in tho hande of Joww. Tho Rand and in tho Statoce "Jowith Finance and Turtey" containe nothing bat theontra a column hoeded
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co-operated with the Greeke to build up the European mind. For, if so, then the European mind is semi-Asiatia.
The British Empire, the greatent motley of creede, racea, and colours, that has ever been brought under one ottandard of justicoa phenomenon in itrelf as majestio as the papal blenaing wobl at orbt -lives by the harmonization of its measureless diversity, and the attempt of a little Catholio clique-till Iately itself under oppression to monopolize Britigh patriotism and represent itself as the sole true-born-Englishmanry could only be dealt with adequately by the flail of a Defoe. This clique understands neither Christianity, which it crucifies, nor the British Empire, which it caricatures.
In so far as its members have any real religion, they are prePauline Jewn-too narrow even in their nationalism to remember the Mosaic commandment that there is one lav for the homeborn and the stranger. But the pre-Pauline Jews possessed only a toy kingdom, and that mostly under alien suzerainty; they did not straggle over a fifth of the globe, and set up pleasure or trading quarters in the other four-ifths. For members of this all-conquering people to resent the immigration of a race devoid of even a single square inch of national soil is an insolence describable only as i $\beta$ pess and challenging like it a divine Nemesis.

So far goes the arrogance of this little group that it still boasts of its "hospitality" even to British-born Jews. And even other Englishmen, free from anti-Semitism, are still so caddishly conscious of their legishative magnanimity that they appear to expect the enfranchised Jew to endorse every passing mood of the majority, and to go abroad, to the third and fourth generation, exuding gratitude, like a Uriah Heep,

## "With batod breath and whispering homblences."

That were indeed to have sold one's birthright for a mess of pottage. The Jew cannot surrender even his right to criticize Christianity -indeed to criticize it is the sole ratson d'tetre of his separateness. And heis not less qualified for criticizing it, as the Christian curiously imagines, but more qualified by the fact of his racial affinity with its group of founders. For my own part I hold that the highest patriotic service a writer can render to the country of his birth is to offer it his truent thinking and his deepent race-heritage, and to try to make it worthier of his love. I take my definition of patriotism not from those who illustrate Dr. Johnson's, ${ }^{1}$ but from
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those who say with Jaures: "La vraie formule du patriotisme ceat le droit egal de toutes les patries a la liberte et il ia justice, c'est le devoir pour tout citoyen d'uccroltre en sa patrie les forces de liberte et de justice."
Accusations of anti-Britishism would leave my withers less unwrung, did I not obecrve that Cabinet Ministers, models of propriety, patriotiam and all-Britioh ideals, fare no better at the hands of the anti-Semites than my unchastened Semitic self.

## XII.

One word more and I have begun. Some years ago "Max" publishod a caricature of our men of letters, all engaged in tubthumping, instead, presumably, of cultivating literature proper in reclusive Italian villas. The notion that literature is a hothouse flower seems to belong entirely to our own generation and our own island, and was perhaps fostered by the fact that the two greatent poets of the Victorian era had the air of being unable to write in prove. On the Continent literature has never been divorced from politica. Nor was it in the virile ages of English literature. Defoe's pasaion for liberty led him to gaol and the pillory; Swift's. pamphlet "On the Conduct of the Allies "produced the Peace of Utrecht (the preliminaries of which were moreover neeptited of the poet Prior). It was to silence Fieldineover negotiated by Walpole instituted our dramatic cerelding's pasquinedes that instances when the greatest academic censorip. But why multiply (Milton) was also the most remic artist in Euglish literature liberty, some of whose books passionate champion of English were publicly burnt by the hangman?

 moonthe mpitionment and ordered to pount 225 The prifioner was eontanodd to throe tion " (The Trimes, Decomber orded 1915).

## Susare,

Mid-May, 1916.

# THE WAR DEVIL 

A PREVISION (From The Daily Chronicle, April 21st, 1018.) "First Molooh, horrid thing, boumear'd with blood Of human escrifice, and parento' terare, Though for the noise of drums and timbrole loud Their children's crive poheard, that pamod through fire To his grim idol."-" Paradies Love," Book I.

## 1.

Mr. Winsion Crurcamis has more than once, in phrasea stamped with genius, expressed his sense of the folly and futility of the armaments which he is doomed to organize and amplifyagainst a practically equal counter-weight on the opponition side. ${ }^{2}$ Nor is the other side backward in handsome acknowledgments of futility and folly. And yet, as in a chastly trance, conscious of everything, but unable to stir hand or foot, the peoples of Europe see themselves alowly crushed under masses of iron and steel annually growing more monstrous and gigantic. When the twentieth century opened, England's naval expenditure was some thirty millions; it is now approaching fifty millions. Our education budget is just about one-fourth of our fighting budget. Civilization, like Lacco on, is strangling in the coils of serpents, but of cerpents it has itself hatched from the precious eggs of pedigree cockatrices. Hitherto, these serpents, as in the Trojan legend, were two-a land-serpent and a sea-cerpent. But we have now generated an air-merpent, flescer than the fabled gryphon, direr than the chimaera whose breath was fire. And while Leocoon strove to throttle his serpente, we are fatally compelled to fatten ours, to atrengthen the sinister muscles that enfold us, to inject into the fangs the venom that beslavers us. Once a year, in a
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degperate effort to dicentwine himeell, Mis. Churchill ofiers a truce, some reduction of armaments, a Sabbatical year. But it is a forlorn hope. (Vermany can no more direntangle herself than Bagiand. The workonen are engaged, the dockyards must be fed. Nations are made for navies, not mavies for nations. Would you throw out of gear the great industry of Death-that staple of Life $I$. Even as he waves the white flag, Mr. Churchill is constrained to cry, in the spirit of another fool of Fate:
And daran'd bo ho who fint aries 'Ho blate ! eaough I'"

Were our drams alive, this mysterions modern Fate, impalpable and ineluctable, against whose invisible mesh our up-to-date Winston feels himself vainly beating, would have replaced the unreal movement of destiny in the Greek tragedies or the obsolete oupermatural men' rery of the Shakespearean theatre. Imaginatively incanated, this subtly-pervasive Necessity would appear as a sort of War Devil, chuclling with grim humour as he watches the writhings of his minions and marionettes-statermen primed pesce, yet condemedristianity, their lipe chanting the praiees of brick to the Temple of by their mocking master to add briok after on Barth but the Devil's.

## II.

Blessed ase the pace-makers, runs the War Devil's Beatitude. But even his minions and marionettes must observe that the race is not to the swift, nor the battie to the strong. Size is not salety. The nation whose 9,000 sea-dogs, aided by the elements, scattered. the 28,000 Spmaiards of the Armada, should least of all put its faith in automatic arithmetic. One would imagine that Germany could deal a could play the war-game like cards, that Mr. Churchill von Tirpits could lay and be trumped by a Zeppelin, that Admiral knot aruieer, or that Enoland has onot cruiser to be taken by a 80 -cuper-Dreadinoughts for Germeny only to show a sufficient hand of may equal a doren Dreadnonght to cry, "I pass I" One Nelson necessity of Nelsons, or of the iet I am not aware that the either the Admiralty or the the brain-power standard, exercises boy who killed himself the other dey. That poor little schooladmission to the Navy on the mround hecause he was refused eye-how he reminds us that the Neleon was short-sighted in one 62 .
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had onty ooe ase allogrither I Noves, said the principal of his college, hat to civen a boy "suoh a 600 , ammately admirable charutare in Pomibly an embryo Neloon-yot lost to the nation an the same automatic ayctem. And where is this ever-volving programme to stop? In a century we shall have at this rate come 500 wavhipe-the majority, I suppose, Hyper-Ultre-Trane-Super Dreadnoughts. Who is to man them ? Will Englishmen be all at cem, severted to a race of seabsovers, like their Danish forefathers ? But more poodbly water-ahipa will be as obsolete as stage-conchen. Armageddon will be in the sir (whese, indeed, it has been since my childhood).

## III.

In this nightmare of civilization two comforting theories have found eager ears. M. Bloch taught that war is now impowible, rinco it can only result in stalemate. Mr. Norman Angell teaches that war is economically unsound, that it cannot pay. But it would aow seem that it is peace which is imponaible, that it is peace which does not pay. Mr. Winston Churchill has just told us there is no finality even in super-Dreadnoughts, that each invention has barely the duration of a Lond Mayor, that every year the perfections of last year must be scrapped, that there is not an item of equipment but has to be constantly revieed, be it dockyard machinery or telegraphic apparatus, be it searchlights or torpedo-tubes, rangeAnders or gyro-compames, or this new plague of airahipa. For the devil is a good paymaster and the cumningest brains of the globe are at work in his amithies and laboratories ever destroying the instruments of destruction by bettering them. Mr. Churchill did not mention the cost of carualties, or cite the chapter of accidents. Let some member of Parliament extrect otatistics of the damages of the last decade-the lights that failed, the engines that exploded, the deatroyers that destroyed themselven, the cruisers that collided, the airshipe that foundered, the balloons that burst. So far from feeling that safety lies in numbers, I have horrid visions of congested shipe, under real war, jamming and ramming, the more the mazier. ${ }^{2}$ Add the cost of the dress-rehearsals of war, not merely
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the pagrants and demonatrations, but the every-day practice. Every gun that goes of blows into amithereens the uplicep of: tamily. What we call Peace is thue really a cost of Pankhurst-war, writ large, in which property is destroyed oa a colomenal sociles If not ifie. Wese we therefore to follow the economic argument, I am not sure it would not load us to wipe out the German Navy at once, while it is still vinolble, rather than tace the annual dentruotion of ceores of millions of money which Germany imposes upon us. Which conclusion being clearly a ouggention of the War Devil, it ensues that the Angel of Peace is not Norman. And verily the Angel of Peace is Hebrew, and Hebrew only. It is Isaiah with his great vision of a brotherhood of toiless, it is Jesus with his quite scientific doctrine that whoso takes the oword shall perish by it. "And they shall beat their swords into ploughshares." This is the only scrapping that will be effective in the end-not of aword into super-aword, Dreadnought into super-D. minought.

The War Devil has yet another device. For the price of Peace is paid not only in hard cash, but in honour. The fear of the Lord is the beginning of Wisdom, but the fear of the War Devil is the beginning of Medness. Worse than war is the death of the soul of a people. For if there is a peace of God which passeth all underatanding, there is a peace of the devil which passeth all endurance. It is a peace purchased by sterificing to security every high national ideal, every generous instinct. Such a peace we enjoy today. The baleful shadow of Bismarck looms like a Brockenspectre over Europe, and in her terror England has thrown herwelf into the arms of Russia, sinking perforce to the level of her barbarian swain. And the more massive her armaments, the more mouse-like her action, the larger her Dreadnoughts, the greater her dread. We have all the cont of greatness, only no greatness. And the same spiritual blight has opread over the bulk of Europe. Hampered by their conts of mail, the nations can scarcely move a finger. The Balkan States rush in where the Great Powers fear to tread, and, when at last United Europe nerves herself to demonstrate, it is against-Montenegro ! Here is the War Devil's and now that more than a huadred millions a weok aro betag speat by the world on warlarg, a coloceal automatio mochanimm has been cet at wort to fmpedo the
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opportunity to whisper, is Pesce worth the price ? What profits it to guard the huak of a people? It is in such moments that Christendom pants for Cruendes, that Islam proclaims Jehads. Only by remembering there is no "Holy "War can we be on our guard against the War Devil in this, his subtlest mood. For who believes to-day that history's Holy Wars were indeed holy ? The most righteous war may only end in blood-lust and earth-hunger, as the latent war of Cross versus Crescent is ending. No, let us turn a deaf ear to the devil, though he speak with the tongue of angels. Though blood and iron paralyze and demoralize Europe, let us find some other remedy than iron and blood.

## $\nabla$.

The favourite alternative to Armaments is Asbitration. But, even at the Hague, let us beware lest the War Devil be not lulling and gulling us. Since the Hague Conference was entablished, come of the bloodiest wars in history have been fought. Outbuilt st sea, Germany takes to the air. France calls on her citizens for Napoleonic sacrifices. Nay, British colonies, long as languorous abodes of Peace as Thomson's "Castle of Indolence," are now singing his "Rule Britannia" in rag time; they have embraced conscription, and are building battleships. Pleasant as it is to recall the successes of the Hague, the ubiquitous Peace bodies, the Peace agreements and Peace conventions, the Peace congresses and the Peace celebrations, and the hundred and three economists now preparing erudite international essays out of the interest on Mr. Carnegie's two millions, let us not forget that four armament firms in Britain alone have a capital of twenty-three millions, on which interest must be carned. And over the thin and intermittent pipings of Peace crash the imperturbable hammers of the WarDevil, tashioning his ships; the great furnaces roar, forging his cannone, the war-drum heats, the trumpet blares, the kings go to their thrones to the sound of tramping soldiers, the great captains of industry, the chiefs of art and learning, thrust into the background, hidden away like poor relations. So long as the War Devil dictates the very symbols of our civilization, he will remain its master. So long as our conceptions remain radically unchanged, so long as no new world-religion flames into being with a new passionate eense of brotherhood and a new scale of human values, so long we shall ery, Peace, Peace, where there is no Peace. Arbitration may be a palliative, the thought that the proft of war is " a great
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illusion " may dive men phase, but neither of thece conceptions goes to the root of the matter, and wherever men feel greatly or desire greatly, they will acoept no arbitrament but the aword's. And it is Nationality, not gold, that is the prive of war-the enhanoed common consciousaess of a group, with all its rioh-dyed contributions to the web of human existence-and if Natlomality is not worth the cost; or can be secured by more civilized frictions, or epringe sufficiently from heredity and enviroament, lot Nationality or its dependence on war be denounced as "the great illusion " $\rightarrow$ not the eatimate of war's profitm, which is not war's mainspring.

## Vi.

If the Peace-prophets cannot bring the millennium, it is beciuse they are usually purblind. Hence the laughter of the angodly. Hell is peved with the colutions of the myopic. The true eeer muik first of all see. An analynis of Arbitration shows that it leavin the facts of life out. It deals with the past. Life preves to the future. Lifeis unstable equilibrium. There is no recion on earth why England chould, and Germany chocild not, enjoy the hegemony of the world- except that co it it. Bit there is equally no reacon why it should remain 20. By labour and teacifice, by luck or cunning, it is always opea to Germanay to push Bngland from her pride of plece. And everywhere in the New World new nations are being born, old breeds mingling, treahi life-foreses curging. But Arbitration mppocess a closed world, a fired world, the life-iood frosen suddenly when the frot Iiague Conference was founded. Its experts are engaged with musty documente, with faded mape, with forgotten records. Moot of its problems are actually conneoted with boundaries. If Arbitration of this sort is to replace war, then the map of the world must remain eternally as it happened to.be at the moment Arbitration was invented. But endilew ancient enmities roathe, endress ingpirations and carth-hungers demand eatisfaction, and if the woirld is not to be reoarvod by the sword it must be readjuated by Reacon and Love. The learned lavyise cennot help ns. Their arbitretions take us no further. Their precedents becload the isave. The love of Low must yield to the law of Love. If Germany dentres of our terxitory, she munt have it. There is no reason other than the aword why Britain should poseces nearly a fourth of the globe. No law of Sinai or Calvary laid it down that Australis of Ey gyt abould be Britich. An all-red route means a route of blood. In her tura,
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Germany must give up Aksce and Lorraine, entablish "the opia door " in all her pompemions. And so all round-over the whole seld of politios. Thove who cannot endure the notion of freely currendering territory or tarithe at the bidding of Reacon and Love must cease to prate of Peace. Between Love and the Sword there is no true third way. Sir Harry Johnston has recently published a precious little volume, indicating from his rich concrete experience of men and cities, of civilizations and cavageries, many historic grievances which the Powers could set right as simply as they could have removed the Balkan grievances without the Belkan bloodshed. Such a book is a prim of true Arbitration, a Arst aid to statesmen. Without such an inner spirit the Palace of Pence is a whitewashed repulchre. The War Devil can oaly be conquered by the God of Love.

## LAMENT ${ }^{1}$

[Ever and berone trie War.]
(Published in May, 1912, in the first number of the Daily Nows and Leader.)

They blind the linnet and it singe
More ripplingly its inner glee,
Giving the noul a sense of winge-
I cannot sing because I see.
Time was my voice as lightsome rangIn childish derkness lapped secure,
Self-shut in innocence I sang, The world was purf as I whe pure:
A world whose seas yearned to its akien, That made a music as it span, Quiring in holy harmonies The growing godliness of man :
A world whose head was Ingland, crowned With freedom, chivalry and love,
The bondsman of the wronged and bound, The ark to every fainting dove.
But now my England I behold A Sancho Panzs land, supreme In naught save land and ships and cold, Security her highest dream.
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Let Finland fell, let Pervia end, So Ruasia help her still to be, She in her turn will aid her friend To bloodier autocracy.
That spheral music childhoodjenught Is mute, and for that angel-speech
I hear the jungle-gospel taught In tiger-roar and parrot-screech.
For man, that vilder beast of, brain, Whose jawe spit fire, whose claws are swords,
Bellows the brute's old creed again Earth's fiercest are her lawful lords.
And through the grassy flowered crust That veils her burning ball, I mark The inner hell of greed jand lust, The amouldering forces of the dark.
I see the sun-lands where the flow Of black men's blood is harvest-rain ;
Congo, San Thomé, Mexico, And many a secret place of pain.
And worse I the white slaves shipped by guile, The women-freights that tawdry-bright
Walk alien streets with tragic smile And mar the majesty of night.
I see what drives the wheels of State, How nations hide their blood-stained loot, Creatness that comes by murder's gate, And glory by the all-red route.
Give back my days of faith and flame, The magic mists of life at spring,
Blind me to Earth's and England's shame, Put out my eyes and let me sing.

## PARADISE LOST

> "Do you know what I marvel at mont in the world ? It is the powerlees. new of materinal force. sooner or liter the aword is conquesed by the filem." - Narozmow.

Occamowarry for me the fog in the North Sea lifte, and through the letters of a young officer on a battleship I get a glimpree of how Britannis is ruling the waves. The precise position of her trident remains scrupulously shrouded-at flrat even the name was removed from the ship's letter-paper-but the glimpee is enough to reveal the greatness and madness of mankind. It is life at its acme of strain and cxaltation: life joyously ready to pass on the instint into death, as some unseen mine is struck or some crafty torpedo strikes. Everybody sleeps in his clothes, and half the night not at all. The great ship is bared of all save neceasities: my young friend's spare wardrobe, with all his miscellany of superfivous ponsessions, the queer garnered treasure of the yeare, comes economically home. Why, indeed, sink more capital with the ship than is absolutely inevitable ?
Now and again the tension of this terrible vigilance is relieved, if only by a change in tension. One seeks death instead of waiting for it. There is a grapple with a German cruiser, and those not at the guns crowd cheerfully on deck to watch the maich with that wonderful British love of aport. They compare the cannonading, note with lively intereat the scores made by the rival ahells. Once the rift in the fog shows the return of a raiding flotilla, scarred with glorious battle, and the other vessels of tihe Fleet are dressed to salute its triumph, the bands are playing "Rule, Britannia," the crews are cheering and singing.

But none of these peeps has left on me so ineffaceable an impression as the picture of my young friend reading-reading at every break in his grim watches-and reading, not the detective stories that unbent Bismarck, but-"Paradise Lost" I For the first time he has had leisure to read that sonorous epic straight through, and, unlike Dr. Johnson, who questioned if anyone ever wished it longer, he revels ineatiably in the Miltonic splendourn, and he

## PARADISE LOST

quotes Addizon and the Spoctevor in indomerment of his enthuciemm. Deopite the Adiniralty diecrec, yous see, he has been unable to ssymard hie books as diopeneable : they must sinks or flout with him. And so, in the midat of this waste of white waters upapouted by bombahells, he has found for himooli a quiet Paradise of bowatiful words and visionary magnificence, and it exists for him out of reletion to the temse and tragic attol. And yet what could be apter reading than this cqie-
"Of men's inst dimolelinace exit tin fruit
Of that fackidec tue whow mounl tuto
Bengit doth into the waill and all aur wo "?

The very fint incilent, indend, recorded after Pemdise was lont is a murder, and this tratricidal atrite of Cain and Abel has repented itrell in every generation, and given to the phrase "the brotherhood of man" a sinister sigmificunce. But never in all the long history of blood-luart have so many millions of brothers stood embettled, ready to spike one anotherrs bowels with steel, or shatter their faces with deyilish explosives, as in this twentieth centruy of the Christimen era.

Now, whatever be the rights or wroage of war, one thing seems clear. The weapons are wrong. My young friend, with his finespun brain and his spiritual delight in Milton's harmonics, ought not to be annihilated by a piece of raw matter. One does not fight a Sidves vase with astome. Bring up your Chinese vase an you will and let the battle be of beauty. There is a horrible exprewion, "food for powder"-you will find it in all languages that are really civilined. It implien that the mames are so conrse in texture, are cascases so grome and sub-human, that their bent use, is to be thrown to the gume-a providential fre-screen for the finer claceen. Democracy will in due time take note of this conception. Butin its rude way the phrase chadows forth a truth-the truth that, for all who have pasaed beyond the animal stage, the war of tooth and claw is antiquated. Our war, if war there be, must be conducted with weapons suitable to the dignity of the super-beast who has been so laboriously evolved, suitable to the spisit which through innumerable zeons has been winning its way through the welter of brute impulses. Not for man the slaver of the serpent, the fangs of the tiger. And ahelling is only the ejection of a deadlier slaver, the bayonet only a fiercer fang. It eeems futile to have evolved from the brute if our brain power only makes us bigger brutes.

## THE WAR FOR THE WORLD

"The man behind the gun " 4 16-inch gun that huris a ton of metal for twelve miles-is a wilder and more monstrous beant than ever appeared even in the antediluvi - epoch, and that hel chould not be kept safely stufied in a museum is an intolerable anschronism. A world in which with one movement of his paw he can kill of a'whole congregation of Milton-worshippers is a world which should have been nipped in the nebula. -No, if, fighting there sust be, let'my young friend Aght against Nietrsche: worshippers-let the lucid lines of the Puritan poet confound the formaless squadrons of the Pagan dithyrambist. Brain against brain, soul against coul, thought against thought, art against art, man, in short, against man-there lies the fight of the future. If my young friend were a man of science he would be kept awake not by the German torpedoes, but by the German treatises; were he only a tailor, he should never throw awoy his yaristicls for a lance, but with his good old scissors cut out the Teutonic

After such civilized fashion, indeed, the Anglo-German contest has long been raging, and the German has been winning all along the line. His patience, his industry, his nice study of his customery, has everywhere swept the Einglishman aside. Before his music the Briton fell-in worship; his drama invaded us triumphantly. Why was Germany not content with this victorious campaign, Wh this campaign worthy of human beings? German influence, German Kultur-it is spread by peace, not by the sword. To German universities shoals of Russian students flocked as to shrines, humble feudatories of German scholarship, German thoroughness. To the barbarous regions, where an Ovid might atill lament his exile, they carried back German methods, the cult of German science. And to me, on my illiterate island, little German cities, a Munich, a Drescen, where the theatre was classic and inexpensive, and the opera a form of ast and not a social display, loomed like models of civilization. Why must Germany challenge the world on the lower plane of brute matter ? It is only the inferior peoples that need the sword. The Turks have had to rule with a rod of iron-they had no right but might, no gift for the world. Such races must assert themselves in fire and write their edicts in blood. But fire burns down and blood dries up and fades, and the only durable influence is the power of the
Fatal perversity of Germany-to have misunderstood her own greatuess ! Proud in her pseudo-philosophy, she has repeated
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" man's first disobedience" -she has ignored the Divine voice, she has listened to the lower promptings of the serpent. There will never be a Paradise again for man till he bends his ear to a truer philosopher than Treitschke, to a prince of peace-
"Till one greater man
Reatore us and regain the blimfol mat."

## THE SHADOWS OF SOCIETY

As rage are but the ahadows of our ziohes And prostituter the chadow of our luct, And glooming alume are cast by shining manaions, And round our churcher lies a dark distrust,
So in this Ware where love and pity caneo Behold the obverse imege of our Pecce.

## THE NEXT WAR



 owa fitioy boiy to that of ho cocompooling comrades; beiry, boyctued,
 - I fit within mymir tho mytionl mege of ino moved of gant canmoa; 1
 Mcelvanitic View of Wer and Pcuce").

A murdmad years ago the Congreas of Vienna met with dancing and revelry to put the Peace of Europe upoa a permanent foundetion. Even the Jeww, represented by depputien, looked forwardas the reward of their fratricidal otrife in every camp-to equal rights everywhere. While the pundits and diplomatists wers still talling, Napoleon escaped from Elibe ; but after the little hitch of Waterloo, the Eight Powers proceeded with the partition of their world, and the Trar of Ruasia, the Emperor of Austria, and the King of Prussia entered into a Holy Alliance so that the Peace of Europe and the principles of Christianity should be henceforth unbroken.
At more than one peace-gathering in London; informed by even more than the Viennese enthusiasm for humanity, it has boen my ungrateful role to try to bring home to my fellow-members the magnitude of our task, the pettinese of our equipment, and the insumfiency of our mecrifices. But there is nothing more blinding than the white light of anideal. On one of these occasions the late Mr. Stead rebuked me hotly for my "unbelief": he had just mide a tour of the crowned heads of Burope and they were Princes of Peace, one and all-war was practicilly ruled out. Another time it was the chairman, Lord Shaw, who was stung into reproof, the shrewd Scotch Lord of Appeal rhapoodising like a Shelley. But the moot vivid and recent of my recollections-it is scarcely older than the War-is of seeing Sir Edward Grey and Mr. Carnegie tide by side at a public pence-dinner, the fine upatanding English squire and the shrivelled Scotch-Amerionn ironmaster, each buoying up the other's dreames, and the little octogenarian
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declariag with chinini eyes that he, Carnegie, would yet live to coe the end of war. ${ }^{1}$

The Congress of Vienna had at least the fall of Napoleon for an asset of hope. But in 1014 the very outbreak of war was the aignal for the outbreak of optimiam. "The War That Will End War" was the title of a precipitate pamphlet by Mr. Wells, and his hail to the coming Peace on Earth Sound a hundred echoes. But it is characteristic of Utopians that in the very bankruptey of their visions they find a fresh ground of hope, since a crash is at least a change, and as yet stagnation has been the limit of their achievement. The roughest examination of the facts reveals, however, that the seeds of war ave scattered over the planet as profusely as the seeds of life, and, as it needs only the fructification of a single seed to engender war, the notion that we can escape war by some process other than the eradication of these seeds from human nature-by some diplomatic dexterity, international tribunal, or Anancial demonstration-is a pathetic illusion. Nost of the germs of war lie indeed in the spheres of consciousness below reason, and to eliminate them needs a transformation of our deepest being. Men need not become supermen, but they must complete their evolution from the brute. The widely diffused ardour for world-peace is a welcome sign that this evolution is atill in process, but this moral ardour is not accompanied by an adequate intellectual grasp of realities, nor is it even moral enough to he willing to pay the price of peace; no, not even though we have now learnt the price of war-the colossal, staggering, sickening price of modern war. Until the conquering nations are willing to pool their winnings and divide them among the losers, it is idle to expect the millennium. Let us rather analyse the causes of war so that in the "war againat war" we may know what we are up against, and Where to apply our counter-pressure.
The first cause of war is the combative and aporting element in man, relic of his primeval barbarism. The higher ape we call Homo is the bravest and the flercest of the beasts. But he is angel as well as beast, and the fighting instinct is imblent with his noblest impulses of love and self-sacrifice. He alone is capable of fighting for a vision. It is this heroic side of war which tis; Utopians ignore. The military manual instructs you to twist ${ }^{2}$ The dato was June 17th, 1014, oleven daye before Austria doolared wer. On my menu I find pencilled by Mr. Carnegie the name of "Count Karnebuet" of the Palace of Peace at the Hague, to whom he referred me in a certain pecifint matter. en expresion of the admiration of peece. Carnegie presented a tribute to tho Eraiver as
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your bayonet in the enemy's bowels, since mere tranefxing may not be fatal. What can be more revolting ? Yet to overlook that the twister is offering his own entrails to the steel-to dismise him as a mere murderous brute-what can be more unjust? Tennynon tells us that it is not so difficult to overthrow a lie, but that

> "A lie which is part a truth fo a harder mattor to Aght".

War is a lie which is half a truth and hence its invincibility. And it is this truthful half which supplies a sound bacis for all the poetry and romance of war, though these in their turn hide away the other half-the dirt and the disease, the dullness and the ghastliness, and the fact that the warrior is butcher as well as martyr. At the front or in the hospitals, the verminous, gangrenous appects of "the sport of kings " cannot indeed be obscured, but these ugly renlities are the secret of a small minority, their descriptions are often euphemistic, and even when realistic are not realized by the vast majority of the nation, dominated as it is by the romantic vision of war ; and after a time, under the hypnotic obseasion of the public romanticism, and the transitoriness of physical impressione, the horrors fade even from the minds of the witnesses. The wounded who recover are pleased, and dead men tell no tales. As over the torn and blackened fields of blood the green grass comes back to cover and purify, so poetry gathers over the ghastliest realities, illumining them with the old glamour.
Mothers who have lost their sons cannot afford not to feel their death was necessary and sublime. The vested interests of love and grief are solid for war. And so the great national storehouse of war-lyrics and battle-pictures finds itself enriched by new treasures, beauty blossoming like roses from the manure of carnage, and the next generation is born into an even more compelling atmosphere of combat. War breeds war as money begets money. Its infection is with us from the nursery. It is significant that Mr. Wells himself has not only found his chief literary inspiration in war, but has actually placed on the market a new war game. After Armageddon, fought as it has been on land and see, in air and under water, the novel combinations of adventure will engender a series of books for boys which will enthral the young generation and bind it fast to the war chariot. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Of course some of these booke will atonaibly be writton for adalte, and for these childidh minds this war will be a storohouce for ages. Villatins asn be killed by Zoppoline, or torpodood on the hifh seas, intrigues can bo covered by alloged insornmonte, hedion' limbe oan be blown in to foetrive lunch. teblen, as ocourrod to Paris. Thrille ta ahort ad infmitum. The cinematograph will of cource wize on all
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Moseover, just ae war is a lie which is halt a truth, so peace mas be a truth which is hall a lie. To quote Tennyson again :
"Peace in her vioegard- ye ! --but a company forgee the wine." When peace in her turn becomes the breeding-ground of sordidness, when life sinks to the cult of comiort and Mammon, then the spirit of man turns with tragic impatience to the other half-truth, and the same poet to whom we owe the exquisite picture of an earth robed in univeral harvest,
"Univermal ocean coflly wehhing all hor warlem inlen," is found call: for
": In. . lood-red blomom of war with a heart of fire."
The seconct ciuse of war is the existence of the Army and Nary with all their historic tradition, their ritual and pageantry, their atmosphere of music and bravery, and the sub-conscious desire which they beget in their members for professional experience, and in the nation at large for utilization of these vast assets. Who can believe that any nation is ripe for the disbandment of historic regiments, the scrapping of warships ? War in fact has had a fillip by the invention of airships and vabmarines, for curiosity as to the practical working of all our novel engines of war is added to the itch for action.
The third cause of war is Nationality, with Its struggle first for breathing-space and then for places in the sun, and its semi-false conceptions of national glory. The price of Nationality is war, and so long as Nationality is believed to be worth the price, war there will be. The boundaries of nations are drawn in blood. Ther stard by their military strength or their strategic alliance with military strength. To relieve them from the pressure of enemies would be to sap the nerve of Nationality.
There are those who urge that everything at bottom is economic. But if I have not given the economic factors the first place, a high place they must surely have. The vented interests of war are gigantic. An expert, addressing the Royal Statistical Society of London, calculated that the total cost of the firat year of this war would be nearly ten thousand million pounds. Even in peace the trade of death is the livelihood of millions, and any attempts to cut down armaments will be resisted insidiously or openly by forces imponderable but almost invincible. And besides the that in appealing and aliminato all that if rovolting. Did ocr Prove Buroenc mapply as carthquation piotarse of war, the noxt grocration would no mose want a war obing
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interests already vested there are the interests sought-the trade monopolies and markets, the exploitation of mines and oil-wells and food-supply areas.
Dynastic and holy wars are diminishing but far from extinct, and the clergy, by never failing to bless the war-banners, keep up the notion that every war is holy. Colour and race atill maintain that dislike for the unlike which is a fruitful source of strife.

The modern groupings of Alliances and Powers make for war by increasing the war risks of every member. The new importance of time and the attack in modern strategics gives no breathing space for delay. Negotiations are conducted at a fever heat not conducive to pacific settlement.
Autocracy makes for war through the temptation to cover up tailures at home by a "spirited foreign policy," and Democracy makes for war becaule the masses are casily inflamed.
So far from this being the last war the cult of war-glory has spread-not without cause-to the hitherto almost bloodless regions of Australia and New Zealand, as Kant feared it would when the masses were no longer the mere pawns of monarchies. "We have painted the Southern Pacific pink," writes an Australian proudly. "The deathless story of the Gallipoli Campaign," said Mr. Hughes, "will be sung in immortal verse, inspiring us and generations of Australians and New Zealanders yet unborm."
Contiguity makes for war-two schools will always fight; so will town and gown. It looks as if every atom has both an attractive and a repulsive force towards every other. ${ }^{2}$
Add to these war-factors the personal quarrels of monarchs and ctatesmen (or their womenkind) and the chapter of sccidents, and you will see against what titanic forces Mr. Carnegie arrays his posse of professors and pamphleteers. Even if there were no other causes of war, the great historic and romantic tradition would suffice to kindle it. No generation likes to die without secing this famous thing-war-with its own eyes. Every generation must have its war, and so the lateat date for " the next war " is fixed by the life of the generation now being born.

[^20]
## ARMS AND THE MAN

"If we consider gunpowder as an instrument of human destruction, incalculably more powerful than any that skill has devised or accident presented before, acquiring, as experience shows us, a more eanguinary dominion in every succeeding age, and borrowing all the progressive resources of science and civilization for the extermination of mankind, we chall be appalled at the future prospects of the species, and feel perhaps in no other instance so much difficulty in reconciling the mysterious dispensation with the benevolent order of Providence."-Haluam ("Europe during the Middle Ages ").

My little children lie sleeping in their beautiful home by the sea, lovely little heads haloed in curls, gentle little souls in dreamless innocence. And at any moment through the starry silence of the night may come shrieking and crashing a shell that will rend and shatter home and babes in one fell fury. Blindly it may hurtle, from an invisible telescope-eyed metal monster twenty miles at sea, along a curve rising higher than Mont Blanc, and I am helpless against it-more helpless than was the lonely farmer of the prairie against the Red Indian. But as a citizen I am responsible for the belchings of similar monsters against alien babes in opposite seaplaces, and my little ones will grow up to wield the same, or still more devilish, gun-power; nay even now-in all their fragile beauty-could send the electric spark to explode the mass of cordite that hurls the ton of alatter through the air at three thousand feet a second. It is surely time for humanity to take stock of its situation. ${ }^{1}$

[^21]
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When the three Brobdingnagian eavants examined Gulliver they could not believe him produced according to Nature, since he had no visible means of self-defence, not even swiftness in fleeing, and even though his teeth proved him carnivorous, science could scarcely find any creature that did not over-match him. And indeed before man discovared arms he was as poorly off among his fellow-beasts as Gulliver in Giantland. Samson may have rent a lion as he would have rent a kid, and Hercui is may have strangled enakes with his baby fingers, but man's normal sinews of war, even though magnifled by a primitive ju-jttou, would have left him still up a tree. When Herbert Spencer and Huxley saw a man bathing they marvelled-so Spencer tells us in his Autobiography-that this creature should have secured the hegemony of the planet. But of course it was not man naked and natural that became the lord of creation, but man armed and unashamed. Brain triumphed over brawn, and the hand that tore off the branch had grasped the rod of empire. The anthropoid apes merely bite and scratch. Altred Russell Wallace, indeed, was kept at bay by a female Orang-Outang that threw from her tree a shower of branches and heavy spined fruits, and the chimpanzee can snatch the hunter's spear and break it, even turn it against him. But that is the limit in the animal world, just as the size of a baby's brain is the limit of the gorilla's.
The proof of the advent of man is found not in his bones but in his stones-the rude flint choppers and borers of the River-drift Men. He that was greatest among anthropoids threw the first stone. Slings and arrows were the sources of his outrageous fortune. From the sling to the 17 -inch gun is a mere orderly progress through the ballista and the matchlock, and the first savage who tipped his reed with poison was a Prussian war lord in embryo. Stone gave way to bronze, bronze to steel. The club begot the spear and the sword.
By weapons thus clapped on, and not part of his organism, like his teeth and nails, the cunning brute obtained an immense extension of militant power. But this separation of arms from the man has had other consequences that our race has not yet realized. For all these adjustable artifices of offence and defence have dispensed with Time. To evolve, say, a spear as an integral part of the
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organism like the tusk of the boar would have cost a million years. But a detachable spear needs only to be invented to be at once transmissible to the next generation. And in dodging Time a monster has been created more uncanny than Frankenstein's.
For when a fighting apparatus is naturally developed from within it bears a reasonable proportion to the rest of the creature. It is in living relation with the whole organism, and to evolve it some portion of the total vital energy must be subtracted and specialized. An artificial weapon is not only in no necessary relation or proportion to the wielder, but being indefinitely variable gives him an infinite range of deadliness. Of the multiform organs of militancy developed by Nature in the struggle for existence, and distributed among the different species, mar adopted all-the dagger-claws of the tiger, the bayoret-horns of the bull, the poison-fangs of the cobra, the mail-plate of the crocodile. He became less an animal than an armoury. By traps he borrowed the sinister passivity of the spider, by saps and mines he copied the mole, by barbed wire he simulated the spines of the porcupine. The fox was out-rivalled by his tricks, the skunk out-stunk by his gases, the cuttle-fish troubled the waters less foully. And now this crown of creation has taken on a new amphibious existence as a bird of prey in the air and a fire-spitting dragon of the deep. 1

If self-preservation is the first law of Nature, and if, as Spinoza taught, the effort to preserve our being according to its essence is virtue, we cannot find combat immoral. Every creature must secure its food and its mate and protect its young, and, in so far as its fighting is conditioned by its necessities and corresponds to its feelings, the creature is within the moral order. So long, therefore, as man relied on his thews and his teeth, the ethical situation was simple. But the supplanting of thews and teeth by artificial weapons complicated the position. ${ }^{2}$ For one thing, it divided the species, creating almost a new sex of non-combatants who in time became the majority even of the males. These having never
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handled stool of war, nor cultivated even their natural lethal powers, became as helplens as lambs or nestlinga, and distracted the social system by a double standard of ethics, one code crying that homicide was murder and the other that when nationalized it was a glory. And what made confusion worse confounded was that it was the civilians who were apt to idealize war and to flatter their protectors with poems and titles, while the soldiers tended to value most the civilization which they defended.
So long, however, as man confined himself to simple weapons, fighting remained human and natural. Weapons that do not leave the hand are merely an extension of it. The sword and the swordsman, arms and the man, are one. For by the psychological "law of eccentricity" our sense of our personality extends to the tip of whatever we hold. Even arrows and bullets that found their billet within a visible range of yards left some sense of corporeal participation. If the effect was greater than the effort, it was at least humanly measurable; the enemy could be ceen and hated. But with the coming of cannon all the human side of war vanished. The elephant's trunk, as every schoolboy knows, can pick up a pin and aproot a tree. But it does not uproot the tree without a living stritining sense of the reality of the operation. The firer of the latest 24 -inch Austrian mortar, by an effort no greater than the picicing up of a pin, uprooted a tower eleven miles off with his first skot. The cataclysm evoked by a gunner uttexiy transcends his own muscles, perceptions or emotions. He is an unfeeling and therciore immoral agent of destruction. He has sunk from a man to a mechanism. Such a fury of maleficence as would wear out a tiger in an instant-it actually wears out a 12 -inch gun in three seconds-leaves the gunner coolly renewing his inner tube. Had this colossal killing-power been developed inside and not outside his own organism, man-unless he became a mere appendix on his own hypertrophied lethal e.gan-would have had to wax proportionately in bulk, in feeling and in brain. Not even Swift's Brobdingnagians, whose swords were 40 feet long, would have sufficed to embody a duct that at one discharge can kill off thirty horses miles away and scoop a hole huge enough for their sepulture. To dare serve a Krupp or Armstrong gun one should be as tall as an Alp, as good as an angel, as wise as a God. A man lives up to the extreme height of his moral and physical nature when he dares to loose an arrow from the bow-string. ${ }^{2}$
2 It may be urged that the hand that serves the gun is really but one of the hands of the race, Which is Briarean manually and aleo-by the nive of itn united logn-
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But Time will not be cheated and Nature has not gone unavenged. If the forces man rets in action transcend his sensorium, they also surpase its endurance. Throughout Naturo-which is perpetual war-the forces to be resisted are to every creature constant and familiar. But man's war, which is apasmodic and discontinuous, is an Inferno beyond the wornt dreams of Dante, to which our nervous syatem is quite unequated. Men trained in peace, or even for it, are suddenly swathed in lyddite fumes from ahelle, or asphydiating gases from cylinders, bespattered with flying brains and bowels and limbs, tortured by the groans of their comrades agonizing helplessly between the rival trenches, and deafened by the screech and thunder of great guns roaring for their prey. What wonder if in such a hellish hurly-burly the higher nervecentres are disintegrated, and men revert to a primitive somnambulistio sub-consciousness, deaf, dumb, and blind ; or if, as Professor Gaupp tells us, the stoutest Prussian soldiers break down in madness, paralysis, convulsions, aphasis, and delirium $\rho^{2}$ For it is an environment out of all relation to our nervous system, more dreadful than Mother Nature has set any creature to face. Had we at least evolved our own shelling apparatus or poison-gland, the rest of our organism would have evolved pari passu and our cells and ganglions would have accommodaird themselves in the course of the reons to our lethal organ. But in our fatal haste to grasp at results, in our severance of arms and the man, we have entirely outreached and outgone ourselves. Even those who can habituate their nerves to this man-made hell cannot bequeath their equilibrium.
Is it not high time that, with the exception of a few rifles against the animals we have atill left our superiors, we humans should use up our bombs to blow up all our other armaments, and if we must needs quarrel among ourrelvea, return to fisticuffis ? :

[^24]
## THE RUINED ROMANTICS

"Clear-ainging, clean-alicing;<br>Sweot-ppokon, cott-finishing : Making death beantiful. . .<br>I am the Will of God:<br>I am the Sword."<br>Hemby's "Bons of the 8word."

## I.

That is what the poet used to sing. "I am the gas-bag" would be nearer the mark to-day. And for the protagonist of the defence: "I am the sand-bag." The sword is obsolescent. Some Italian troops use the heavy four-foot daga, but the British officer mostly finds his sword an encumbrance, and its chief use now in England is as an ornament for civilians at Court receptions. "The Will of God " is now the Zeppelin bomb, the asphyxiating gas, the torpedo, or the liquid fire of the German squirters, the results of which at Ypres, according to a British officer interviewed by the Liverpool Daily Post, was to burn our soldiers' faces "out of all recognition to the human form." And the picture of our troops before the advancing flames is a grim transformation of our traditional war-pictures-this "line of men as far along as one could see, mopping their brows, from which sweat was streaming ": this combination of hell and the Turkish bath, relieved only by the irrepressible humour of the private, who opined that so much sweat would put out the fires by the time they reached the ranks.
The romantic Ruskin, writing in 1864, warned our soldiers that they "were never meant to be blown out of engines nor to fight by chemistry," and predicted that at the rate they were going they would soon come to poisoned bullets. Civilised nations, he laid it down, " should settle their quarrels as civilised men do, on terms, and with choice of weapons." Modern warfare was unchivalrous-as if duellists should throw vitriol in each other's faces. The logical Junker, to whom war was not a theatrical tournament, but a scientific ruthlessness, answered, like the man on London Bridge in "One of our Conquerors," " none of
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your dam punctilio." And in the end humanity may be grateful to him for having stripped war of its last veil of chivalry and cast upon its crude nakedness the searchlight of hell. Now we can say of War, as Dryden said of Vice, that it is

> "A monater of nuch fearful mien As to behated needs but to be men."

But more corrocive to the war-ideal than even the vitriol Ruskin thought ungentlemanly, is the tedium of the trenches. "War has become stupid, war is dull," complains The Times military correspondent, and the men yawn with him. War, in fact, is as dull as the ditchwater in which the men stand, and Romance has been driven literally to her last ditch. In the words of Punch,
" Don't picture battle-pieces by the lurid Prom adored, But miles and miles of Britiohera in burrows bedly bored."
Here then lies a new hope for humanity. War is worse than a crime, it is a bore. ${ }^{1}$

## II.

And-as if in symbolic harmony-the colours of war are faded too. The prosaic necessity of invisibility has ousted the peacock vainglory and the rainbow pride. The tartan-our last symbol of the joy of battle -will srivcely enliven another war. Khaki, like a yellow fog, swathes everything-it is for romance "The Pellow Peril." True, the Germans still keep touches of the old palc'te. Mr. Powell's unforgetable picture of the German entry nto Antwerp gave us glimpses of burnished steel, befrogged jackets and fur busbies, and silver-grey and bottle-green uniforms ; and some of the French, too, are in the key of blue. But a day in the clay and ooze of the trenches sadly tarnishes this bravery. And even the cavalry-sesquipedalian, flamboyant -must crouch as mere bipeds.
Indeed, M. Georges Scott, the artist, laments that " modern wartare has absolutely nothing to do with colours. It is a
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symphony in sound. . . . The war is the end of the bettlepainter, since, apart from curiously lucky circumstances, there is absolutely nothing to paint." "This war," eays The Times correspondent briefly, "is anonymous and invisible . . . the butchery of the unknown by the unseen."

But perhape the subtlest force that is eapping what James Grant called "The Romance of War" is the belated recognition that the soldier is only one of its factors. General Pétain himself, the heroic defender of Verdun, says it is "a war of wrorkshops." And it is by an irony of history that on the very day conscription entered the British calendar, our war lond, our grim English Odin, Kitchener, was forced to preach economy to the nation in the civic Guildhall. "We have two great armies now,' he said, "not only the army in the field, but the other army, consisting of the whole of the civil population at home ; "and "the army in the field," he confessed bathetically, "could not last a single day without the efforts of the civilian population behind it." Poor Romance I Economy, the most bourgoois of the virtues, is then as martial as daredeviltry. Even the urchin who refuses to have his face washed is saving soap, which seems, like everything else, including milk, to be convertible into explosives by our chemical devils, the milk of human kindness curdled indeed I
The humour of these appeals for economy is fit to make the angels weep. "The cost of the shells fired at Souchez," says the official report, "would suffice to build it up again fifty or a hundred times." This is not to consider the cost of keeping the armies there to fire them. And Mr. Pollen tells us that a light craft like the sentinel Arethusa uses up ten times the horse-power that keeps going a great northern factory with two to three thousand hands.

## III.

It is a pity that, just when the steed and the sword were vanishing, airships and submarines should come to restore the lost picturesqueness of war. But, even at its most spectacular, war is for most civilized people a mere savage survival. The very labourers in my village remark that they thought we had outgrown it: "Oi did think us had grown past that at this toime $0^{\prime}$ day." 1 They do not know Dr. Keith's demonstration that
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man is at least a million years old. But they feel instinotively that he is old enough to know better. 1
"I call it "orve-play," eadd the indignant soldier in Punch when he wac soppled over by a shell and covered with earth. That is one of Punch's immortal words. "Orse-play" is exactly what war is tragically gigantic gambolling, a supershoving and hustling, a lubberly Cyclopean aky-larking, a Brobdingnagian snowballing. The larrikins of literature, the hooligan Bernhardis, the Peter Pane of poetry, may imagine war vitally important, but in essence it is a titanic tomfoolery that is noisy without being funny. And withal so irrelevant to the real War fon tire World. I never felt this so strongly as when, turning from the newapapers, I read Henry James's novel "The Ambascadors"; whereof I wrote:
"It makes the war-booke ridisulous. A world which has ams. I at such fineness of impression and such depths of spiritual beauty as are evidenced in this masterpiece has no more to do with crude cannonballs and silly shells than wolves and tigers have to do with the Ninth Symphony or the differential calculus."

## IV.

No, for those who have "the joy of battle" war may be natural enough.

> "Lot doge dolight to bark and bites, For thes their natare to."

But let us leave it to the Serbians, any of whom would gladly die if he could spit two Bulgarians on one spear,' to the Montene grins, or the Senegalese, who collect ears and noses as the Red Indians collected scalpe; to the Turcos, 200 of whom, according to the Gauloi, slid seeretly on their stomachs towards a German
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trench of 800 Cermans, and then, "uthuinc terzifying cries," bayoneted 700 Boches in ten minutes.
For these and their likes-r.f, the British cavalry officer who wrote bome, "We had an amusing time chaang Uhlans "war is a giorious romp; and for them it may be, as Kipling says, "The londliest life on earth." Par otherwive is it with the likes of the poor professor of Latin at the Univemity of Bonn, whose diary, published in The Times, revealed the pitiful slavery of the private's life under jackboot junkerdom. A typical entry (September 27th) says: "One gets stunted intellectually. One has no longer a single idea except to keep going physically. Always the same longing for peace, and before my eyes the spectre of the French front close at hand, with the horrors of its artillery fire."
There is a German proverb about not chopping up the piann to light the fire. Imagine uning aniversity professor for Eanonenfuttor.

## V.

"Glory of war," writes a colonial from the Dardanelles, " is - thing of the pest." And, indeed, nearly every one of my own acquaintances at the Dardanelles was down with dysentery, which does not reem to be even counted in the casualties, unless death lends it a little dignity.
Early in the war-through my perilous habit of "walking in war time "-I was captured by a British officer and made to address his men. The khaki congregation, young recruits in all the pride of life and limb, squatted in a meadow, and I stood, like Abraham of old, in the door of a tent. It was a picturesque scene, growing more somantic as the light faded and my discourse soared to the stars that came out to listen. I spoke of national righteousness, of duty, and glory, and how they must shame the Goths by chivalry to their women and children. "Thank you, thank you," cried the captain, fervently grasping my hand, when my heroic accents died on the perfumed daricness of the summer night. "You have saved me my evening exhortation. I was about to address them on lice!" How many of these young knight-errants have since been infected with typhus by these unromantic insects I know not, but it is the pediculi more than the Germans that have devastated Serbia. "They have practically taken possession of Serbia," wrote a doctor to

## THE WAR FOR THE WORLD

The Times. "Rats and lice enjoy this warm weather," writes
a British soldier from a front trench in Flanders.
"The lordliest life on earth "-or the lousiest-appears also to lead to insanity-whether the madness of melancholia or of terror. The Austrian asylum of Steinhof has had to be enlarged to receive the patients from the front. And this lordly life has begotten new diseases-now a novel form of neuritis, anon a trench fever credited to the bites of body parasites, the real lordly livers. The old diseases of course flourish more vigorously than ever; the list reads like one of the passages Zola penned so unctuously in "Lourcies": "Typhoic, tetanus, paratyphoid A and B, jaundice, dysentery, spotted fever."
The marvel is that madness does not overtake whole battalions. For not in Dante's "Inferno," nor in Poe at his most gruesome, nor in all the literature of horror, nor in the wildest pictures of Wierta, can anything be found even to equal the simple statements of the war reports. In the Artois, says Mr. Buchan, "the French parapets are practically composed of dead Germans." We read of valleys turning into volcanos, of "heads and limbs flying in all directions," of men wading through a sunlit blue sea that turns red, of chips of Alpine granite blinding 70,000 Austrians in six months, of ravines solidified with standing corpses. "There were bunches of corpses caught upon our barbed wire defences," says a French war report. There are all manner of wounds, writes Mr. Alfred Stead in the Daily Eappress -" men without the bottom of their faces, men who have lost noses, eyes and ears. . . . The smell of blood was heavy in the church, the incense of the world to the God of War-that sickening smell which affects even the surgeons more than the most horrible wounds. . . . In the space before the altar were the worst cases. When I went in, there were four dying in agony, the cries, despite injections of morphia, being frightful, and the writhing limbs and convulsed features unforgetable. They all died in the night."
"Then hell broke loose," writes the London News Agency of the fight at Neuve Chapelle, ". . . in some places the troops were smothered in earth and dust, or even spattered with blood from the hideous fragments of human bodies that went hurtling through the air. At one point the upper half of a German officer, his cap crammed on his head, was blown into one of our trenches. ...The slaughter was sickening. In front of one of the brigades the Bavarians, coming along at the ambling trot adopted by the
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German infantry at the assault, and bawling 'Hourral' in the approved fashion, blundered into the fire of no fewer than twentyone machine-guns. The flles of men did not recede or stagger. They were just swept away. One moment one had the shouting, ambling crowd before one's eyes; the next moment, where it had been lay a writhing, convulsed pile of bodies heaped up on the brown earth." Karl von Wiegand writes from Isonzo to the New York World: "The south-western knob of San Michele is known as the 'Mountain of Corpses,' from the heaps of Italian dead there in front of the Austro-Iungarian trenches, into which is flung a veritable hail of shells, at times rending, tearing, and throwing fragments of the long dead in all directions, a picture declared to be beyond imagination in ghastliness and stench." Even the cemeteries are shelled, according to Lord Northcliffe, and one sees open coffins, shrouded corpses, and grinning skulls.

The explosion of a mine underground, writes a Petrograd correspondent, "leaves no sign above ground of the awful catastrophe that has occurred below. The horrors of such fighting defy the imagination and cannot be described by those who have survived." It is mechanical murder. Similar unspeakable horrors, I remember, with no sporting chance of romantic defence, were recorded by The Times of the bombardment of the Blucher, as the effects of our shells exploding in confined space, dreadful blastings and hurlings, and bodies cut in two by closing hatches. ${ }^{3}$ A French soldier presses a button and explodes a mine as a German division is going through the Bois des Caures-and the division disappears. I know nothing in literature surpassing the simple words of the Paris journalist: "A tremendous 'boum.' Trees mixed with strange shapes sprang into the air. Terrible cries were .eard and then the silence of death." Another version of a similar pressing of a button (reported by the Petit Journal) says the effect was "like an infernal water-spout amid this human
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cea. And through the whirl-wind of smoke, iron, and fire, I see faces horribly distorted, arms, legs, and trunks of men hurled high in the air as though cast into the sky from a diabolic volcano. The wave of Germans advancing like a great avalanche singing the 'Wacht am Rhein' is broken, and a vast crater is fllled with German corpses." "Day and night," says the diary of a German officer, "our hands and our feet were, at every moment, coming in contact with unnameable things that had once been human bodies. When you stand behind a barrier, four men deep, of these horrible things-". But enough !

The notion of distributing V.C.'s or iron crosses under such conditions is an anachronism, a relic of old romance. The heroism of humanity simply takes my breath away. Every man in the trenches is a hero, braver than Agamemnon. Or perhaps the truth is that no man is a hero. Courage can be acquired by practice, it can be taught, writes a British officer. Who does not remember Turenne saying to his body: "Tremblest thou? Thou shalt tremble still more before I have done with thee I" According to a German psychologist, the soldiers in the trenches revert to sub-humanity. Caught between their officers and overlords behind, and the vomiting iron jaws in front, they develop a sombre sense of fatality, and move like somnambulists towards their appointed doom.

## V1.

Nor are the effects of war outside the camps altogether as ennobling as the romantics pretend. Mixed with a great uplifting of the nation in sacrifice and good-will, and a renewed sense of nationality, and a healthy transvaluation of values, is also a sordid greed on the part of a large commercial minority thatincredible as it counds-would rather see profit than peace. ${ }^{2}$ The moral consciousness and political sense of the nation disintegrate and everything is sacrificed to the elemental passion for victory. To hear of hecatombs of our enemies and the ohip wreck of their argosies gives us a lively enemies and the shipof reality is dulled, catastrophe lively satisfaction. The sense and presidents cabling condoles that would have set emperors thrill of sympathy. In Findences no longer stir the faintest a "heavy toll on child life indirere is, according to The Times,
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"dangerous wastage." Both in Germany and England a serious degeneration of school-children is noted, alleged to be due to the absence of the fathers. The Cologne Garette says that crimes of violence have increased alarmingly in young people of both sexes between sixteen and twenty-six, and the Governor of Cologne draws the attention of the municipality to the outbreak of pickpocketing by boys of from five to ten, while boys of from eight to twelve are becoming skilled cracksmen. The Berliner Tageblatt cays "the German people are in danger of being wholly submerged beneath the extraondinary wave of laxity and immorality that is breaking over the country." The Deutoche Tageszeitung describes the "appalling amount of open and flagrant immorality," and ascribes it to the high wages young people are getting in factories. But "in the rural districts also all sense of decency is being swept away." Drastic sumptuary laws for children under seventeen-covering tobacco, snuff, books and films-are being everywhere enacted. Cigars and alcohol are forbidden to children under twelve. Eugenically, of course, war combines a lowered birth-rate with an increased death-rate among the most virile elements.
VII.
"Shall we never shed blood $\%$ " wistfully wailed that incurable romantic, Stevenson, comrade in letters of the author of "The Song of the Sword," bedridden both. They dreamed of being soldiers because they were invalids, and of being seamen because they were not able-bodied. ${ }^{1}$ It is to be hoped the manes of these "literary gents" are satisfied now. It would be no unfitting hell for these frivolous romantics to be compelled to witness the measureless agony of this war ; the suffering of mules and horses, as well as of men, women, and children; the illimitable carnage and bestiality, the insanities, suicides, hangings, shootings, crucifixions, buryings or burnings alive; the diseases, exiles, and anguishes; to hear the innumerable moans of milkless infants, and see every gate to death open and besieged by agonizing queues. The only excuse one can find for Henley and Stevenson (and the school they created) is that they had no imagination. They lived remote from Mars and could see only its ruddy splendour.
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In the presence of the war itself our poets are dumb, or if they speak it is of its spiritual inspirations, its intellectual ironies, or its poychological incongruities. Of the old joy of battle there is not a trace. The poor ruined romantics I Even Kipling, who but for the grace of God might have been Poet Laureate of Prussia, has not egged on the slaughter. Indeed, with the clove of the South African war and the publication of his great pacifcist poem "The Settler," his career as a Tyrtieus seems to have ended. That wonderful poem-of an Old Testament greatness -is Kipling's real "Recessional." And his vilification of the "senseless bullet" and the "barren shrapnel" and his glorification of the "holy wars" of united mankind against the evils of Nature mark the gublic bankruptcy of the ruined romantics.

## ON THE COAST

"Lot me fall now into the hand of the Lord . . . . but let me not fall into the hand of man."-Kive Davio's Pmayea.

Black within and without, Save a lamp-circle falling
On the page that at midnight
I sit peacefully scrawling.
Crash and boom, from afar I
Life seems suddenly dearer!
I must warn all the household.
Boom and crash-it is nearer.
Then a zigzagging flash
Splits my terror asunder.
Thank God, it is only
His lightning and thunder !

## THE GODS OF GERMANY


#### Abstract

"Die germaniechen G8ttergeatalten, woran frillich kein besonderer Kunstainn gemodelt hatte, und die schon vorhes so mivamultif und tribe waren wio dor Norden nelbet."-Hemer.


## 1.-The Old Heathen Gods.

Hans Rrcerer, the great conductor, once told me in the days when the deranging of London's dinner hour by "The Ring "was our idea of a sensational event, that in reading or conducting Wagner's music he felt himself in mystic union with the old gcds of his race. But who were these old gods? Tacitus, who has left us a valuable study of the Germany of the year 98, and who records incredulously the rumour that there were German tribes with human faces but the bodies and limbs of wild beasts, reports that the chief god was Mercury, and that the Germans propitiated him even with human victims. Now Mercury, from whom comes the French for Wednesday, was merely the Roman name for the Teutonic Odin or Woden, who survives in our Wednesday. Mercury was the malevolent god of commerce and gain, and Woden comes from a root meaning the Furious One; so that the worship of this grim old German god seems accurately to foreshadow the contemporary combination of Realpolitic with Militarism.

But how could such a god appeal to a musician ? Richter's Odin was probably the Wagnerised Wotan of "The Ring." And the Norse and Icelandic mythology which Wagner sophisticated had been already modified by radiations from Christianity. The old Teutonic races knew nothing of Valhalls or the Valkyriesthese were the creatiuns of poets of the Viking period working on a microscopic basis of folk-myth. But whatever the intellectual falsifications and fallacies of Wagner, he did undoubtedly set out to transcribe the German's "own indigenous national world of feelings and tones," and this his sub-conscious genius effected so truly, so far as the mere music was concerned, as to send the old racial memories vibrating through his fellow-Teuton's soul, deep calling unto deep. I imagine, however, that what Richter felt was not so much the presence of definite old gods as the absence
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of the Christian. Heine pictured the old gods as going into exile underground at the triumph of Christ. Where they really went was under sonsciousness. As they had never had any life outside man's mind, so now they became not subterranean but subconscious. And it was these submerged strata of pre-Christian feeling that Wegner atirred up in Richter.
It is these pa-Christian strats that, under the inspiration of German philosophy, now threaten to rise to the top again-not sublimated as art, but in all their crude reality-and to resume their sway over the mind of the West, nay, to drive it to those extremes of barbariam of which only logic is capable, and from which the heathen, in his simple blindness, would have shrunk in horror. That it was the old German gods-" those abortions of blood and mist "-who would lead this assault upon Christian civilization was prophesied by Heine in that marvellous chapter of his "Germany," in which he figured the German philosopher evoking the demoniac energies of old Germanic pantheism, wakening the ancient Teutonic battle-madness, and rousing Thor from his thousand-year sleep to shatter the Gothic cathedrals with his giant hammer, and to send the old German thunder -" der deutsche Donner "-crashing as naught ever crashed before in the whole history of the world. Felix Dahn and the German novelists of the 'seventies had begun coquetting with the old gods and warriors, but by an irony of history it was Nietzsche who by shaking what he called "the Semitic slave-morality " of Christianity paved the way not for the super-morality he preached, but for the ancient barbarism.
Christianity was not, indeed, diffleult to shake. A late and exotic importation, it had never harmonized with the Western temperament, and in the nomadic warriors of the Northern forests it was a mere veneer. Peculiarly did its universalism clash with European tribalism. It was vain for Paul to declare that there should be neither Jew nor Greek, neither Scythian nor Barbarian. Nature, says the Roman poet, will return even if driven out with a pitchfork. Still more if driven out with a dogma.
By dint of the Roman Empire, and through its spiritual afterglow, the Holy Roman Empire, Christianity did indeed achieve an uneasy universalism. But it is significant that Germany through Luther was the first to break such European unity as had been attained by the martyrs and thinkers of Christendom. For whatever be the merits or necesisity of Protestanism, the Reformation was as much a reaction of nationalisms as a protest against the ....
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corruptions of the Church Universal. The treatises of Luther mingled criticisms of the Papaoy with appeals to German patriotiam againat the jurisdiction of a foreign Power. In Switecrland Zwingli likewise combined spiritual reform with a political protest against the Pope's claim to raise a Swiss levy. Iven the countries that remained loyal to Riome could only be handled on a loose rein. As for England, the jealous national spirit not only shook off the Pope but all possibility of communion with the Reformed Churches of the Continent. How deep goes the British inatinct against alien domination may be seen from the shock Thackeray received when on his Irish journey he read in the newspaper that the local bishop had just been consecrated by the Pope. "Such an announcement," he wrote in the "Irish Sketch Book," "sounds quite strange in English, and in your own country, as it were; or isn't it your own country $?$ " There could not be a clearer or more unconscious identification of religion and country. National boundaries are felt to be natural boundaries. Had these boundaries been really crossed by, Christianity, it is impossible we should witness Christians fighting Christians, still less Catholics fighting Catholics, or Protestants Protestants. Everywhere the old national religion has remained latent beneath Christianity, and in moments of peril it is not the angels that appear, but the old guds of the race upon their war-horses.

So long as this atavistic reversion to the tribal theology is unconscious, it is comparatively innocuous. It leaves the road open for the return of Christianity when the war ends. But the wifful German backsliding to heathenism is a dangerous as it is ridiculous. Idolatry is catching. Already we have George Moore crawling underground-as if Heine's fantasy was a geographical reality-in quest of old Lrish gods. One hears too geographical shippers and disbolists. Will gods. One hears too of fireworthe cult of Astarte or some intelle sophisticated Syrian revive Dionysiac festivals? Are intellectually intoxicated Greek the These galvanizations of the dead even from the Egyptian cat? "Primitive" Art which chisels past are as grotesque as that crude wooden dolls that wers with a swaggering simplicity the plexity. To religion, as to are the savage's stride towards complexity. To religion, as to art, self-consciousness is fatal.
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not the modern spirit be as creative as the ancient? This is essentially Nietreche's question, as it is the Loitmodfo of that voluminous work by Houston Chamberlain which the Kaiser distributed so lavishly. Why be beholden for your religion to Jewre, it indeed Jesus was not a German? But just as Nietzsche's effort at construction only achieved destruction, 20 his apotheonis of aristocratic individualism has been answered by the deepest sbasement of the individual and the greatest glorification of the herd known to history since the days of Sparta. Well may Nietrache denounce the State as the coldest of all cold monsters; the liar that sayj "I am the People"; the piece of hellish machinery, the " horse of death, rattling in the attire of godlize honours."

Yet it is in this "hellish machinery" that Dr. Stanton Coit, of our own Ethical Church, has found such edification that in his profound book, "The Soul of America," he adjured every country to found similar State religions. We are to worahip each our own national spirit, to the exclusion even of whatever God transcends humanity. For every institution has its spirit-Eton, Cambridge, the Carlton Club-we even apeak of espolt de corps and the genives lock-and the spirit of the nation should be the real and sufficing centre of religion. It is a notion to be found also in the disciples of Durkheim. ${ }^{1}$ But this religion shatters itself like neo-paganism upon the rock of sell-consciousness. You can sink yourself in worahip of a God believed infinite and ineffable, but hardly in one whom you know to be merely the Spirit of your tribe, mutable and fallible. And how if it is an evil spirit, a narrow puffed-up spirit ? French patriotism, according to Heine, expands the man, warms him towards all civilization, whereas "the patriotism of the German is shown by his heart becoming narrower and shrinking up and drawing in like leather in a frost." And how well Heine knew his countrymen we have aiready seen. Doutschland ueber alleo-that Germany's citizens shall put her before all their private interests-is a creed which may be better for them than none at all, but Prussian patriotism is, if not the last refuge of a scoundrel,
${ }^{1}$ IVmile Duritheim introducod the atudy of nociolocy into the French univeraitribelinm, whych is en of a rabbi wa probably inspired by his experionce of Ghetto that the notion of group rion from Judainm proper. It in perhapo fr im Darkhoim into French literature throde, even of villago, atroet, family, and town gode, comeos a First Book of Pravers to tho the poetryy of Julos Romains, who has oven written will. In Rumian ilterataro Doenodertht transcond and tranform the indilvidual procedod thees now-fancled thecev: though preachmont of "The Ruminn God" "The Soul of a Poople, han become almoat a cant phra popular book on Burmab, over, Mr. Iowe Diolinson facleme into the opposito phrico in Mngland, where, how. vidualimm is the sole rational beath of nociety.
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the last refuge of an atheist. Immune from any standard outaide itcell, it cacily slides from the ideal of a Germany above all its ditizens to the ideal of a Germany above all the world-a mistranslation of its motto into setion which justifies the current mistranalation into Eaglish.

When Fichte, the preacher of the national patriotic education which the Kaiser has fostered, delivered his tamoun "Addresses to the German Nation " in 1808, the destiny he put before the young generation was "to found an Empire of Mind and Reason-to destroy the dominion of mere physical power." But Fichte was speaking after Jens, when Prussia lay powerless at the feet of Napoleon. The grapes were sour. As soon as Moltke provided the sword for a more material empire, the inherent vicioumess of State-idolatry became manifest. Communal egoism is no more worshipful than individual. Not by worshipping themselves, but by sacrificing themselves to something conceived as larger than themselves, have nations or institutions become saturated with the spirit of greatness. And as the individual requires the State, 00 the State requires the world and the great international ideals. If Dr. Coit overlooked this fatal defect in State religion, Pruscie has probably enlightened him by now. ${ }^{1}$

## III.-The Gumun Jerovar.

But there is still another German theology, and that the mort popular of all, with the Kaiser as High Priest. There is a German God-der deutsche Gott-who has often been compared to the old "tribal" Hebrew God, with Germany in the rofe of Israel, and the Hohenzollern as the patriarchs.' Were there truth in this comparison, Germany would not stand alone in commandeering Irael's God. Did not Kipling annex Him in his "Song of the English ? "

> "For the Lord our God mort High, lie hath made the deop an dry And amote for uas pathway to the ends of all the earth."

And the liturgy of the Established Church anticipated Kipling.
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The Romans merely took Palestine. The English have taken the whole of its history and literature. ${ }^{2}$ But they have taken it becanso-despite all the aberrations and iniquities of Imperialism -It represents their own ideal of justice for all reces. And they have tikien it with its shadows as well as its lights. For lereel had not only a sense of miscion, but also a sense of sin. Germany has only a sense of miscion : no German Kipling has arisen to write her "Receadonal." "It is really because we are pure," Pastor Frits Phillipi told his Wiesbeden flock, "that we have been chosen by the Almighty es Fis inctrumente to purify the world." "Not for thy righteousnese or for the uprightnese of thy heart," eayis Deuteronomy, "dost thou go to possess their land : but for the wickedness of these nations the Lord thy God doth drive them out from before thee." The Bible is, in fect, one long indictment of the Hebrew race. But outside Nietesche we look in vain for any ceatigation of the Prusalan.: The Kainer's God is a mere caricature of Jehovah, for it is a gross if popular error that the God of the
${ }^{1}$ A wise Enylishwoman writee: "It is quite trua, but that only shows how mach dopper in cur humanity than our notlomality. It is fotercting, though, that to our lithe villege I can rufor with more coptainty of reoponmo to the Book of Num. bere or the Epithto to tho Hocrowe than to Shakeopeare or the Hilitory of England."
i "Wo ans morally and intolicotually saperior to all mea. Wo are pperlese. So, too, are our orgniteations and inatitutions " (Rrolcuor Invon, of Ber in).
Horr Beccerman oven applioe to Treltionkloo the vary vorde applied by Jowiah tradition to Mows: "A divinoly dited narrator, the man divinaly appointed to
 but-" of the Gorman notion !" In the same vain Deputy Oertol deolered that "tho German aim of the war is the falilimant and attainmont of the world-historioal goul whith a Higher Betag has placod bolore Duntectioumi" Dr. Erant Dryander,
 1914) to a Fronch pactor gloritying God for tho porfeotion of the "Gorman and all
 facor Rheinold Beoby, who treohos theology In Berlin Univeraity, wrote in a maguaine articlo that Gormany loves othar notione, and vhen ahe poniches thom \#t for their own good. Pastor Vorwitk hae rewrittea the Lord's Prayer ending with: "Thine in the EInglom, tho Gemin had: may we, by hotp of Thy mailed fist, win the Power and the Glory."

Theee quotatione, Thowe aratheatiofty in boyond queation, mako it unimportant whotber other premahers have mid litwrally, what in attributod to theer. There is an modoubtod etremm of tundinaey In thit direotion, nor is any boligerent country free from th. Harbert Spuacor told uat long asp of the Britiah cee-dog who, baling parsed by a Dutoh trigate, folt eure that tho wind would ohange in hin forour, for, widh ho, "God will nover dowert a follow-countryman." And a porvorvoly bounti. ful poom in The Times (March 22nd, 1016), callod "To the Faintheurtod," onded
vith the linee:

> "Slay on, that eo our brother be Not doed, but living to the Lord."

Compere on the other hand Milton's modifontion of the Brition olaim: "What doen Fio then bat reveal Eimoolf to Hin cervants, and as Eis manner in, ifirat to His Rnglinh-mon; I say es Eia manser in, firm to un, though wo mati not the methode of Eis counsols and are unworthy " (Areopagition).
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Old Teatament was a tribal delty with a pot peopic. The very Anst line of Cenceis is universel. "In the beginning God arcated the heaven and the carth." The genealogy of all reces and colours from Adam strites the same broed note, while Abraham, the Sounder of Judaism, metually aeks God, in what I have alwase considered the epoch-making sentence in the Bible, "Shall not thie Judge of all the carth do right $q$ " A righteous God is not a tribel Cod because a tribe is the Arret to worship Fim. Browning was not a oliquey poet because be was at first the poet of a diligue. The God of Abraham could no more be kept tribal than eleotricity coukl be kept Englieh becouse Faradey was. Elijah did not rail against Bail as an alien rival god, but as an abominable idol.
The sense of a mistion is indeed common to all great nations. For Vietor Hugo Paris was the city of light s to Mazaini Italy wes the Mesaiah. And in the sense of Lesaing's fable of the three ringe the world has only to gain by this compotition in spirtiual greatneve. A chocen people is merely a chooving peuple, a selfconsecrated people, just as every poet, artist, or prophet feels that he has a call. ${ }^{3}$ But though Ieracl may have been a choren people Jehovah was not a Hebrew patriot. He was much more what would nowadays be called a "pro-Roman." And to think of Him as a Prussian patriot is precisely the Kaiser's bleophemy. The Old Testament does indeed show aimilar back-idinge into tribalism, but this is juat what the Hebrew propheta were always fighting against. The Germans must rejoico, Pastor Laible declared at Leipsig, when submarines drown the "non-elect." "How can ye rejoice 9 " God aske Irrael in the Talmudical legend that rebukes the cong of Miriam over the drowning of the Egyptians in the Red Sea. "How can ye ulag when my children are perishing o" There apeaks the Jewish God. But the German God is not a God at all. He is only a German, 1

[^33]
## MILITARISM, BRITISH AND PRUSSIAN

"Io non eredo, dicova . . . is mazana . . . che ais coce al mondo viva, is
 "The plliar on which the Emplese reste io the Asmy."-Tres Kamer

Swas Swift published his tract on "The Conduct of the Allies" in 1711, no such patriotic pamphleteering has been done in England as by Mr. Bernard Shaw in his "Common Sense about the War." It is all the more regrettable, therefore, that he should weaken his cace and ours by blurring over the common-cence distinction between British militariam and Prussian-the one sub-conscious and defenaive, the other over-conscious and aggresaive. The "Junker," he tells us, is merely (in the dictionary) "a country gentlemana," and aince England indubitably powesses country gentlemen, she is as cursed with junkers as Prussia. On the zame principle, "Taube " means "a dove," and since there are doves in my garden, there are also death-dealing acroplanes-and of the preciee Prusian pattern. The plain fact is, that aince the young Pomeranian squire, Bismarck, fought his twenty-seven duels, the Pruscian "country gentleman " in the course of caricaturing that man of genius has developed so odious a type of militarism that the German name for his class etinks in the nostrils of civilization. Mr. Shaw begine, indeed, by allotting separate outegories to the junker and the militarist, but practically runs the two as synonymous. The sober and ornithophilous Sir Edward Grey and the dramatio and drill-demented Kaiser are pilloried as a pair.
Mr. Shaw's pretext for beclouding a distinction which is ac clear to his uncommon as to my common sense, is that in practice British militarism and Prussian work out much the same. But then, they are not always in practice, and it is not for a writer to put together what a merciful heaven has put asunder. There are the times of peace, and in these lucid intervals we in England have peace from the soldier. His swagger is limited to the parks,'his
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fascination for the female sex to the nymphs of the perambulator. When Kipling wrote his ballad of "Tommy Atkins" to correct our national - 'Iness toward our defenders, the soldier's uniform, instead of s', 'ing awe, was a badge of exclusion from the theatre and other respectable resorts. In Germany the lieutenant is the unquestioned Adonis even of the drawing-room, the prostration of the civilian is a by-word. During the Boer War we had an eruption of generals' photographs, almost ousting the actor from the shop Windows. But the moment the war ended, the actor resumed the
centre of the stage. centre of the stage.
Nor is it only the Prussian army that is military. The same mechanical brutality has infected every department of the State, and I have already related how as the President of an emigration bureau with ramifications in Germany, I have wrestled in vain against the barbarity of railway-porters, sailors, and frontierofflials.

Professor von Mach, of Harvard, makes fun of the claim that we are -shting to put down militarism, since England, he alleges, spends 00 per cent. per oapita more for armaments than Germany. This is a familiar ignoratio elenchi, or missing of the point. As if the veriest Quaker would not get a watch-dog when burglars were about I It is not the size of the watch-dog, but the worship of the watch-dog, that makes militarism. Britain, with possessions scattered all over the world, must nece karily have more watch-dogs than Germany. Gilbert has observed of the British burglar that when he is not a-burgling "he loves to lie a-basking in the sum." But the German burglar never basks. He reads Bernhardi on burgling, attends scientific courses on crib-cracking, proves philosophically that larceny is the law of the universe, and sings "Alsatia ueber Alles." Why, Professor von Mach need only consult our marching-songs to see with what gay aloofness the Briton marches to war. From Armageddon it is a long, long way to Tipperary; yet "Tipperary" is onty typical of all our marching songs. In a list of nearly eighty, traditionally attached to different regiments, "Rule, Britannia," occurs only once, and the majority of our warriors advance on the enemy to the irrelevant strains of "Come, Lasses and Lads," "The Lincolnshire Poacher," and suchlike rustic melodies. The self-conscious anti-German war songs provided by a Times correspondent fell still-born. "Rule, Britannia "itself dates only from 1750, occurring in a Masque of Alfred by a poet whose real achievement was his rustic description of "The Seasons," and whose most famous line, "To teach the
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young idea how to shoot," has nothing to do with riftes. 1 And even "Rule, Britannia" is more concesmed that see-power shall save the islanders from enslavement than that they whall build up an Empire by it. It was not till 1689 that Parliament consented to legalize a standing army at all, and to this day the army created by the Bill of Rights has-like the measure of autonomy conceded to it in 1881-to be legelized annually in the Bouse of Conmons. If that is not a sufficient refutation of the Harvard Professor, let it be recalled that while the Prussian principle of uriversal con. scription had been adopted all over the Continent, not even the impassioned crusade of the veteran Lord Roberts, foretelling the war, could woo Fingland to even the semblance of conscription. The political genius of England has always understood that civilization is-as its name implies-an affair of civilians, and hence even the War Office must be run by a civilian! If it is now run by Lord Kitchener, that is the exception which proves not only the rule, but the utter unpreparedness of England. At the greatest crisis in her history Mr. Asquith was doubling the parts of Prime Minister and War Minister, and our two greatest naval expertsLord Fisher and Sir Percy Scott-were lying on the shelf as too old for the burdens of peace! And, despite the crisis, and even despite the temporary triumph of conseription, Lord Kitchener is far from being a military dictator. Even the militarist Times resents the efforts of the Ministry to take shelter under his name and points out sternly that the responsibility of the Cabinet remains one and indivisible.
And while the Prussian officer is saturated with the Treitschke philosophy of force, and while, as Heine so wonderfully prophesied in 1884, the ideas of the German philosophers find issue in Berserker blood-rages that stagger Christian humanity, the British officer is an amiable Christian gentleman, only too occupied with Jerusalem and the mysteries of the Beast. Who can imagine a German General Gordon? A British Bernhardi is equally inconceivable. Kitchener himself spent five years in the Palestine Survey, and excavations for trenches probably interest him less than excavations fo: ${ }^{2}$ sy archseology. Even that grim sea-dog Lord Fisher would wot subscribe to the creed of Bernhardi, though he might practise it. As the peacock's tail achieves its splendours without pigment, $s 0$ Britain has achieved her Empire without imperialism. Absent-mindedly she has acquired a fifth of the it ceeme to heve been taken too literally by poor Peane, the cohoolsmanter-
Premideat of the Irinh Ropublio.
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globe, blundering, as Joseph Chamberiain pointed out, into some of the best parts of the earth, and impeaching her Empire-builders as often as she has rewarded them. Clive, Warren Elastings, Rhodes, were all censured in the Fouse of Commons. It took an outsider, Dismeli, even to discover the Empire, and all Chamberlain's exhortations to think imperially broke themselves against an invincible insularity. Only yenterday a powerful section panted to cut away our colonics, thowe colonies for which Germany would bathe the world in blood. It may be urged that subconsciousness so deep amounts to stupidity. But I prefer brainless Britain to godless Germany.

This is not to deny that Britain possesses a conscious militarist minority-especially in the shape of poets phyrically disqualified, like the lame schoolmaster Tyrtsus, from military service. But the Machiavellian foreign policy imagined by the Continent is a myth. It was not even continuous till Hardinge came to the Foreign Office to carry out the Edwardian plan of isolating Germany, and this policy was merely defensive and apprehensive. It is Germany that has refused Mr. Churchill's reiterated overtures to reduce armaments. Her responsibility for the present war is as clear to everybody-except Mr. Shaw-as her surprise at Fingland's taking a hand in it. Irritated by the attempt to paint Germany as a woil and Fingland as a lamb, Mr. Shaw paints England as a lion, with Germany, apparently, as the lamb. In truth England is a gorged lion and Germany a hungry wolf. The one wants repose, the other blood. Subconsciously as John Bull aequired his Empire, he is morbidly conscious $o^{2}$ any attempt to rob him of a single sterile square inch, and, like the old squire whose ancestors have annezed common land, he regards any examination of his titie-deeds as blasphemous. The Prussian junker appears to him as a land-grabbing parvenu. But it must be admitted that the satisfaction of his tenantry-their readiness to die for him-is almost a retrospective justification for his proprietorial paternalism. That Germany might well be conceded some of his uninhabited land is a proposition the Daily Chsomicle allowed me to make in London in 1918, and the Neue Preic Presse in Vienna. But the Berliner Tageblatt would not print it because of the corollary that Germany in her turn must give back Alsace and Lorraine. If force is thus nakedly proclaimed as the sole arbiter-if the Germans endorse Herr Harden's dithyrambs on "the will to power" -then no theoretical justification, no titanic grandeurs of effort or sacrifice, "can" cleanse Germany from the guilt of high treason

## MTLITARISM BRIMSE AND PRUSSIAN

against manlind. For Germany can catch up with Britain only by rolling back the planet. And that involves rolling it back to a barbarism that combines the era of the cave-men with the latest deviries of science. Vain for Germany to ery that it is Russia which is the enemy of civilization. The Cossack is only a wild beast, the German is a wilful beast. The Briton is a beast neither by nature nor by design.

## ARMS AND THE BAND

(Speech at the Mansion House, January 27th, 1918.)
> "Beat! beat! drums! Blow ! buglen! blow! Make no parloy-Stop for no expostulation."

Wats Whitian.
"I rawe it a peculiar privilege to be allowed to speak this afternoon in support of Mr. Kipling's resolution on behalf of so national a cause, inasmuch as we literary men stand at this moment-unless we are young enough to stand in the trenchesin a somewhat humiliating position. As I have complained before, it's a long, long way to literary. Indeed, a friend of mine who does stand in the trenches tells me that literary men should be absolutely silent unless they can say something that will contribute to our country's victory. Without altogether agreeing with him, I am yet sure he would permit me to break silence this afternoon, for it is certain that the movement for which I have the honour to plead, and which I feel sure you will help to create, will contribute in no small measure to our country's victory.
"Patriotism makes us acquainted with strange platform fellows, but I do not think that Lord Denman, who has just spoken, should have utilized this opportunity to preach conscription. Since he has done so, I must also go outside our theme proper and say a word for those who, like myself, oppose conscription, not because it is not the duty of every citizen to serve his country, bit hecause under the present military system he loses all his civil nghts. The bullying in consequence in the Prussian army is a by-word. But even in England soldiers have the same feeling that the army is above the law. Even the late revered Lord Roberts, when called before a civil tribunal in some case involving the army, disdainfully refused to give evidence. But the cause we are pleading this afternoon is independent of the vexed question imported by Lord Denman.
"There was a king who once offered a reward to anybody
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who would invent a new pleasure. One would have thought, such is the multiplicity of appeals to-day in connection with the war, that it would be imponsible to invent a new need. And yet our appeal for martial music is 20 obvious, so simple, that the only wonder is how it escaped being invented at the very start of the war. But then we had so much to think of $-\infty$ much indeed that the only consolation I can find in our utter unpreparedness for war is the proof it affords that at least we did not plan this war, and that the responsibility for this monstrous blood-guilt does not rest upon the soul of Britain.
"A measure of responsibility will rest upon us, however, if by neglecting to bring to bear every force at our disposal we delay, even by a day, the end of the war. And for quickening the pace of progress and precipitating ${ }^{4 \prime}$ : march of victory, there is no fresh power that we can call to our aid so potent as the power of music. Music is an ally worth at least another Balkan State. Music to an army or a nation in war time is not a luxury, it is a necessity. It is not something that can come after gum-boots or waterproof overcoats, it is something that in $s$ crisis may be more efficacious than either. As the old proverb says-

> 'A merry heert goee all the way; A sad one tiree in a millo-oh!'

Music hath charms not only to soothe the savage breast but to lift the tired foot.
"But no less important than its effect on the recruiting and on the route marches are its effects on the outside public. The Times told us yesterday of a French cartoon in which two soldiers are seen under shell-fire in the trenches, as stout-hearted as they are mud-stained, but wistfully remarking 'If on' $y$ the civilians will hold out 1' There is, you see, a reciprocal relation of mutual rupport between the soldiers at the front and the civilians behind them, and one reacts on the other. Indeed, M. Delcasse has given the name of 'internal defence' to those measures which are necessary to keep up the moral of the nation. And for keeping up a nation's moral it is necessary to call in the muses -the spirits of peetry and song. One often sees quoted the sentiment of the utilitarian philosopher Bentham that the game of push-pin is more useful than poetry. Well, we have forgotten, what the game of push pin is, but the poetry of Bentham's contemporary, Wordsworth, was found usefu! in The Time- $y$ the other day to hearten us up with the sense of
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the greatness of our country. So I imagine, too, that the poetry of Mr. Rudyard Kipling may outlast even the game of golf. This uscless thing-poetry, this apparent literary luxury-has become, you see, a daily necensity of the newspaper. Just as above a certain temperature water turns to steam, so at a certain point of national exaltation the prosaic newspaper article munt needs give place to rhyme and metre. Man cannot live by bread alone : the soul in these high moments demands nutrition. And eo, too, the national spirit at this supreme crisis demands to be uplifted by the ubiquitous strains of martial music.
"I remember drawing attention some twenty years ago to the importance of music even in the more humdrum affairs of civil life. If our sense of citizenship too often fails, may it not be, I asked, because too little appeal is made to our sense of poetry and colour. Our Lond Mayors thrill the imagination with their robes and gilded state, and there is never any lack of civic pride and consciousness among aldermen or even the Mansion House footmen. But for the bulk of citizens there is nothing to remind them that they are citizens of no mean city. In the pictures of medieval processions you will see that each art and craft had its costume of honour-even the butcher, the baker, and the candlestick maker. In Sicily to this day the very dustcart is glorified by gay paintings all around it. I trace the reluctance to pay taxes to the absence of any dramatic appeal to our sense of citizenship, or to the national uses to which these taxes are put. If the tax-collector instead of coming in the shape of a grey piece of paper came at the head of a band playing national airs, we should have a much truer sense of what taxes mean, and we should pay them far more cheerfully. The proverb talks of payiag the piper; but where is the piper to pay 9 How gladly would we pay tribute to his skirling tunes and fluttering tartans! But we can only pay the paper, and it is a drab and joyless thing to do.
"In this fading out of life and colour from our national life, only the soldier retained his brave apparel and his joy of music, and I pleaded, therefore, that for the better understanding of national values something of this military gaiety should be infused into civil life. Alas I what do we find to-day? Why even military life has lost its gaiety-it has been infused with our civil dullness. This week there was revived in London a play two centuries old, 'The Recruiting Sergeant' of Farquhar, and to me the only stirring moment of this dreary old classic was
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when the rearuiting band marched along with its fifen and kettiedrums. I longed to jump on the atage and to fight for Queen Anne-though I understand that she is dead. To-day, confronted by an infinitely greater crisis than Queen Anne's Bngland had to fice, we go about our recruiting in solemn silence. It is the more depressing because of the darkness of our streets at night. Berlin is blasing with light. The Germans have doubled their normal atandard-they have the two-power standard in lamps if not in ships. No doubt it is not the light of truth. Still less is it sweetness and light. But it does keep up the spirits of the Germans. Now I do not complain sbout our darkness, especially if it is a military necessity. I should even approve of it, were it only as a fine piece of symbolism. It is right that we should be so constantly reminded of our heroes agonizing in alien trenches-it is fitting that we should have hanging over our land this shadow of the wings of the Angel of Death. This is a blackness which can, and should, be foll. It is a darkness which says-' lest we forget 1' But if we thus share, however faintly and symbolically, the gloom and darkness of the battlefield, so have we a sight to shave its ardours and its ecstasies.
"The blind man said that scarlet was like the sound of the trumpet. Like the sound of the trumpet, too, is that heroic uplift of the civilian's soul as he offers himself for his country, and we demand to be reminded of this likewise in our daily comings and goings, to feel not only the bodily miseries of our soldiers translated into darkness, but also their spiritual exaltations translated into music. Music helps us to remember that war with all its inevitable evil and ugliness has also its soul of nobleness and beauty, and that this war in particular is the war of the spirit against the spirit of war.
"But though it is wrong that we should havc been left so long without this symbolism and this inspiriting of music, I cannot repret it when I think what a wonderful wealth of heroic service we have tapped-without a single tap of the drum. It is sometimes said that war-music is a mere intoxication to lure off the thoughtless. It is said that the gay clothes of the soldiers are equally alluring, especially to females. Well, we have seen tens of thousands of young men throwing over their careers, enlisting and marching in silence at the mere plain call of duty, drilling in the wintry streets without even the mitigated gaiety of lhala to stimulate them. It is a spectacle that will ever be remembered
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among the moblect opicoiles in Inglici hidtory. But now that Pinghad has stood this supame text of her moral fibre, there is no need to prolong it. Let the etreets of London now resound to the musio it has so nobly decarved, let the musio kindle the ardour of merifice in thoee who have till now held beck, and let it accompany and quicken our march to viotory."

## THE MODEL MONSTER

> "The State in called the coldent of all cold monstare", $-N$ nameries on "The Now Idal."

## 1.

Two triends of mino-lamous dramatists both-went to Germany together some months before the war and came back ecotatio over the tidy towns, the absence of poverty, the spacious workshops with their insurance and pension aystems, the artistic milway otations, the high level of technioal and general education and of literary, and especially musical, taste-that gave our own composers their first hearing-and the general sense of organization and efflciency, and they declared with a unanimity rare in two men of letters that our slipshod English waye must be instantly replaced by a paternal protectionism. Now nobody is more painfully aware than I of our British deficiencies and the ludicrousmess of London as a liturary or musical capital; and I have long considered that the most ironic spectacle in the world is our semi-sober, semi-unemployed street-lounger as the representative of an imperial rece holding one-fifth of the globe and ruling one-fourth of living humanity. What's Empire to him or he to Empire \& Nevertheless my friends' raptures struck but a frint reaponsive chord in my incorrigibly Victorian breast:

> Wanting is-what? Daty redundant, Beanty abundant, Where is the blot?

## II.

I remember, long before the war, going one sultry August day into the princely offices of a Jewish financier of German origin, and finding him, to my surprise, enthroned as usual before his table, with cables and telegrams coming in six-deep and tape-machines ticking out their implacable information. I must have expressed my astonishment at finding him at work when almost everybody else was at play, perhaps surmised it was the secret of his
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success, for I recall that, being in one of his genial moods, the creat Anancier went on to trace Anti-Semitimm to the recentrment felt for efficiency. The Christian banker, he said, expected to come down to his office at 11, and to leave at 4 , to have a long week-end and to hunt twice a week in the seacon; and then when he saw he was losing business, resentment against his successful rivals began to rankla. I could not cecape a smeaking sympathy with poor John Bull, thus disconcerted in his debonair way of living. I am perfectly aware thut the efficiency of its bankers makes for the prosperity of the Empire, and (in these times of attrition) for its cafety even, and if a safe prosperity is the test of greatness, then the Jewish financier was more patriotic than his easy-going rivals. But is the game worth the candle? Is not the Jewish ideal of a leisurely study of holy lore a more dearirable way of life?

Another acquaintance of mine, a professor of chemistry at a great provincial university, announced a lecture (during the war) on "How to Capture the German Dye Trade I" Charlie Chaplin himself could not have drawn a more numerous or enger audience. "First of all," he began-and every ear was pricked up, and every eye glistened-"No week-ends 1 " The faces fell. A dim presentiment that German trade was capturing them chilled the ardent assembly. In point of fact, what did it mean, that Germany was "dumping" goods on England? That in her cousinly devotion to the interests of our masses she was toiling day and night to supply them with commodities as cheaply as possible. Poor patient, drudging Teuton 1 Pitiful helot, bearing our British burdens ! We did not want to be a nest of ants with a slave-colony. But it Germans ever, ever, ever, will be slaves, what is to be done?

## III.

It is because Germany has thus speeded up everything that her commercialism is as much a menace to the human race as her militarism. True, she only copied British industrialism, but by surpassing her model she made it still uglier. Aristotle rightly places virtue in the mean, but the Germans seem to have borrowed from Oscar Wilde, one of their favourite philosophers, the maxim that nothing succeeds like excess. My mind goes back wistuully -democrat though I am-to those sleepy old Courts that Napoleon crashed into and Bismarck absorbed, to those petty
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principalities and grand duchies so delightially described by Heine, where the little peoples alumbered at the feet of their princes, Waling up to say "Guten Morgen, Vater," whereat the princes answered "Cuten Morgen, meine Kinder." It was not only the princes who were not seplagiten in those days. The terrible grind of modern life began only when giant machines arose to take captive and enalave the little breed of man, so that their uncanny paation for warmth and whirling might be gratified without stint. It is not $e 0$ much the long hours that are to be execrated-nobody works longer hours than myvell-as the monotony of the labour to which these iron masters constrain man. One might even condone the monotony if the products were satiffactorily divided. But the poor remain poor, and life becomes ugly even for the rich. Dusseldorf, the birthplace of Heine, from his description of which I have just been quoting, once celebrated for its school of art, and boasting of acholare and philosophers, is now famous for its iron factorics and its manufactures of explosives $-a$ literal conversion to blood and iron. ${ }^{1}$

## IV.

The ery to "organize," the slogan of "Effcie $y$," comes from every quarter of the horizon; we are ignorant d self-satisfled, says Sir Oliver Lodge-our governing classes, ill classes. Our commercial men have neglected the expert, zays the Royal Society ; and the War Office has neglected him even more fatally. The Empire is disorganized, disgraced by preventable poverty, says Mr. Hughes, the man from Australia. We need to borrow "the national self-discipline which lies behind the German armies," says the Archbishop of York. It is all true-nastra culpa-abominably true; Lord Rosebery preached it long ago, even before Germany had in every sense shocked the three corne s of the world in arms. Admiration for her (as Sir Max Wächter pointed out in the Forlnightly Revievo for May, 1918) "was clearly apparent in Great Britain's desire to shape its administration, its education, and its social legislation on Germany's model." No wonder "Efficiency" now meets us everywhere like a patent medicine and "Organization" is replacing "Mesopotamia " as a blessed word.

[^34]
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Neverthelese I conatinue to hold that we muat fy from Germany's Eftelency and Organization as Mr. Poultacy Bigelow telle us the cinnamon-coloured children of her colonies fy from German education, chinning up the tallest trees, God would indeed "strefe" Eagland it this is to be the outcome of our gigantic struggie for liberty, if we are to eccept the ideal of making ourcelves efficient fighting-cock-whether the fight be militiary or commesclal-or of turning our State into that perfeotly-worling Diesel mechine which Mr. Lloyd George so magnibicently denouncod at the beginning of the was. To any true civilizativn Prowianimm is as deadly as prumic acid. Abolish Greek in our universities if you will ; nay, replace it by chemiatry. But by chemistry as an intellectual interest, not as an aid to commercial competition. We cannot dispense with Tityrus,

> "Petalo recubans erb togmine Angl,"
even it he is meditating the ohemistry of his oaten reed instend of playing upon it. Germany is full of aldilled technical experts with a univeratty training. And they are ground down to the wages of clerks. In sbort-Airst catch your hare. Before you babble of "Organization" and "Eficiency" see that you have a civilization worth organizing, and an ideal that efficiency will not make atill more monstrous.

## V.

Efficiency is but a means to an end, and if the end is unworthy, organization only increases the evil. Neither the rigid military religioniam of the junkers, though it has its beauty, nor the scientific industrialism of the commercial classes, though it has its necessity, nor the nationalization of education, though it has its nobility, is improved by the extreme to which it is pushed by a people of inexorable and therefore imperfect logic. For life is crushed in these iron grooves. That which other peoples have held lightly and with a sense of the simultaneous pull of rival ideals and aspects Germany drives to a one-sided finality. As her philosophy has made of Darwinism an excuse for militarism, so her militarism shrinks from no brutality implicit in a syllogism, while a horde of poets and philosophers follows in the wake of her armies, ready to find a logical niche for any unforeseen barbarity and to cover even an accidental atrocity with a fine-sounding theory.
Other peoples find military operations occasionally necessary ;
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but Bismarek must deelave "War is the natural condition of humanity," Moltke must make it a religion, Bernhardi a biologioal necemaity, and Treitschke a philosophy of history. Other peoples find it necessary to rely more on manufactures and less on agriculture, but for Germany this must be a Weltpolitilk necessitating "places in the sun"-market-places ordinary mortals call them. Other peoples find it neceseary to have shipa, but "the future of Germany is on the water ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ " These poor Teutons can think only in terms of the State, in which they have merged their docile zouls.

## VI.

Now every country is already sufficiently Prussian to be only saved by its inefficiency. Every country holds in solution the elements that could be precipitated into a Prussin-medisval religionism, divine right of kings (even republics have always Pretenders latent), Aghting services and traditions and illusions of the glory of conquest, grinding factories, lust of world-trade and of new Alric markets, etc. As Burns almost wrote,

> O wad somos powar gie na, brithen, To nee oursolves no wo no therr.

Prussia is a distorting ivinor in which we may see ourcelves straightened out-our incoherence distorted into systematic rigidity. We may also see ourselves upside down, for Prussia stands upon its apex-Junkerdom and the Kaiser-instead of being "broad-based upon the people's will." The vision should be enough to keep us right side up. If Englishmen do not think at all, they at least escape the bad thinking of the Germans, which beginning on a wrong basis, gets steadily worse the more logical it is. With an illogical person two wrongs may always make a right, but your German never blunders back into sense. If, however, England is now strung up to thinking point, let her think out a better social order for organization than Prussia possesses. The real trouble with Prussian organization is not that it is efficient, but that it is premature. The Englishman may be uneducated, but the German is highly miseducated. That is, I take it, the answer to Sir Leo Chiozza Money, who could not understand why Mr. Sidney Webb's Socialist organ the New Statesman should be ${ }^{80}$ against Prussia and conscription. But if Prussia's approach to State Socialism leaves even the Socialist cold, it is because Bismarck stole Lassalle's clothes and put military buttons on them.
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National service with civil rights must form part of any rational social order, but when conscription came on us like a thief in the night, it combined the immaturity of Prussia with the inefficiency of England. Like the pessimist in the humorous definition, placed between two evils we chose both. We want an efficient England, not an efficient Prussia. But an inefficient Prussia would be "peasimism" indeed.

## SOME APOLOGISTS FOR GERMANY

> "He takes the part which he thinks mont in need of his support, not iso much out of magnanimity, as to prevent too great a degree of presumption or aelf-complacency on the triumphant side."-Haerext.

## 1.

Ir I had not read the Fatherland every week and not been deluged with abusive letters from German-Americans, I should have been tempted to think there was something to be said for Germany. But the gross vulgarity and exaggeration of the proGermans of America, their rancorous misreading both of British history and their own President, contract the sympathies. I feel they would have a much better case if they would consent to be even a little in the wrong. Just as I feel my own admirable countrymen would occupy much firmer ground if they would consent to tone down their saintliness and chivalry. The only hero-or heroine-of this epic is Belgium. She is the only figure sans peur et sans reproche. There was nothing heroic in our going to help her. True, we were not bound to help her-our grarantee was not unconditional ; but if "a German Antwerp is a pistol pointed straight at England," Sancho Panza himself would have scarcely refrained from the adventure. Our generosity and loving kindness to her refugees went beyond the bounds of military necessity -we are entitled to plume ourselves on that. But to vaunt our honour in the business would be like bragging of our honesty because we had thwarted a shopkeeper's attempt to give us short change. Few now, however-though Belgium did inspire our first volunteers-represent us as fighting primarily for the sanctity of treaties. Even Mr. Garvin now admits that Colonel John Ward, M.P., went to the heart of the matter when he cried in the House : "Surely anyone can see that the battlefields of Flanders and France are as much our own battlefields as though the battles were being fought in our own villages." The soldier "shrivelled up sophistry," Mr. Garvin tells us. ${ }^{1}$ I believe it is Mr. Shaw who claims to have shrivelle. ${ }^{2}$ it up. But surely it was neither he nor the colonel, but the i plain-dealer of politics, Mr. Bonar Law,
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who by offering Mr. Aequith his co-operation even before Belgium was invaded, and by saying in the House immediately after she was invaded that woe owed Belgium a debt that we could never repay, surely it was he who put the war on its true bacis as the longimpending struggle between England and Germany. It has indeed been somewhat disconcerting to all of us who have for years been thrilling with expectancy of this titanic War for tar World, to be fobbed off, when it did come, with talk about assassinated archdukes or violated treaties. In so elemental a contest for hegemony the pretext for hostilities is of only minor relevance, and there is even a sense in which neither side can be classed as "right" or "wrong." Kant somewhat ironically wonders that the word "right " has not been openly banished from politics as a pedantry. But surely the real distinction between England and Germany is not that one is "right " and the other "wrong," but that one is England and the other Germany, and that it would be a sad day for the world if Germany triumphed. The victory of England is desirable-even for the outside world-not because she is "right," but because she is England, because she represents a freer and less selfish civilization. She may be no better than Germany in her lust of empire, but once her rule is accepted she will rule with justice, with sympathy, with generosity, and without crushing her subjects with her Kultur. Had Germany possessed the naval hegemony instead of England, there would have been no "freedom of the seas " even in peace, but vexatious tariffs and closed areas. Wordsworth lamented of his country,

> "Oh, grief! that earth's best hopen reet all with thee !"

But at any rate they are earth's best hopes. Placed between the German devil and the deep sea Britannia rules over, no sane person could hesitate to commit himself to the mercy of the waves.
Which things being so, even Mr. Shaw's contention-in perhaps his finest piece of English-that the old British lion seized the chance of making a spring at Germany, when she had foolishly handicapped herself with two other antagonists, would not put England in the wrong. She was merely following the maxim of Barney Barnato-" if you see another man about to hit you, you hit him first."

> II.

Still less sympathy have I with Mr. Shaw's pseudo-Prussian logic in acceptance of her "frightfulness" as fair fighting, his
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professed inability to see why civilians who pay for the war should not suffer by it as much as combatants. No form of fighting is unfair, if fair warning has been given; but if the parties have bound themselves by the law of nation:-such as it is-not to use this or that weapon or method, a breach of these conventions is treachery. Even so, you may play Association football or "Rugger," but you cannot suddenly throw the ball you have agreed only to kick. At the Hague Peace Conferences of 1899 and 1907 Germany undertook a number of obligations-buch as not to bombard undefended towns or to terrorize non-combatantswhich she has drastically ignored. Indeed, such breaches of faith are declared by Dr. von Campe to be wrong only in Civil Law, not in International Law. "A nation which against its vital interest would observe an international treaty would commit high treason against itself." The learned German jurist does not perceive that he has destroyed all possibility of that International Law of which he treats. His countryman Kant was more clearsighted. For the sixth article of his Treaty for "Perpetual Peace" runs :-

> "No State at war with another shall countenance such modes of hostility as would make mutual confidence impossible in a subsequent state of peace ; such are the employment of assassins (percussores), breaches of capitulation, the instigation and making use of treachery (perduellio) in the hostile State."

Even if it were true that humanity's demand for an international ethic protecting non-combatants and mitigating war is a logical absurdity, we ought not rudely to dispel a delusion which, unlike $s o$ many of humanity's delusions, makes for a better world. Life is psychological, not logical. But for once it is humanity, and not Mr. Shaw, that is logical. The world was really not born yesterday, as some of our writers seem to think, and by its unfortunately long practice of war it has arrived at many a convention of which not necessity is the mother, but convenience. Non-combatants and women were to be sacred because they could be struck out from each side of the equation without affecting the military values. There have always been people who urged that the more frightful war was made the less it would be practised. But the more reasonable view has prevailed that since there always voould be wars, they should be made as mild as possible. Hence did the medieval Church invent "the Truce of God " (denied to-day even
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on Christmas); hence did the "Decree of Etarnal Pacification" of 1495 abolish private war. Hence, after the brutal religious and civil wars of the sixteenth century whicis disgusted Ariosto, Rabelais, and Montaigne, the attempt of Grotius in 1625 and of Vattel in 1758 to humanize war and limit its effects on neutrals; hence finally the Red Cross League and Hague Conventions.
"I saw," says Grotius, "in the whole Christian world a licence of fighting at which even barbarians might blush, wars begun on trifling pretexts or none at all, and carried on without reverence for any Divine or human law, as if that one declaration of war let loose every crime."
But the laws that were to be silent during arms were, he protested, only the laws of civil life, not the laws of natural justice (dictata rectae rationis). In his great work "De Jure Pelli et Pacis" the Dutch jurist proceeded to lay down such natural laws, main. taining against his countrymar. Erasmus, that war thus hon ourably declared and bounded was not unchristian. It would he interesting to compare his rules with those of the Hague. Suffice it to say that he bans poison, or poisoned missiles, burning of the harvest, destruction of houses or works of art, plundering of churches, sinking of piratical ships containing innocent passengers, killing of the unarmed or the old or women and children, causing unnecessary loss of life, etc., etc.; indeed, all his laws might be immed up in the one that prohibits cocrything tending to prevent the resumptioi: :f friendly relations between the belligerents. A study of Grotius enables us to see more clearly how Germany has sinned against the light, and how much cultivated ground has been reswamped by the German Ocean.

But it was not left to the year 1625 to humanize war. The doctrine of Clausewitz and Mr. Shaw that "war is the extreme form of violence" would have been repudiated by all the greatest spirits of antiquity, from Moses to Cicero and Seneca, from Plato to Plutarch. If ight we must, it is still men that are fighting, not fiends or beasts.
"C ar legislator," writes Josephus, defending the Jewish Kultur against Apion,
"would have us treat ihose that are esteemed our enemies with moderation; for he doth not allow us to set their country on fire, nor permit us to cut down those trees that bear fruit; nay, further, he forbids us
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 to spoil those that have been slain in war. He hath also provided for such as are taken captive, that they may not be injured, and especially that the women may not be abused."And the customs Josephus was declaring at the end of the first century were already over a thousand years old. "Non-combatants to be spared," says Plato's "Republic," "no houses to be burnt, no farms to be devastated, the dead to be honourably buried, no trophies of war to be placed in the temple of the gods." While thus from hoary antiquity we find man labouring to minimize the bestiality of war, it was reserved for the remorseless logic of the Germans to say that since war is bestial we must be as beastly as possible.

## III.

When, however, it is sought to soften our just fury against Germany by the plea that not all Germans are beasts, we enter upon more reasonable regions of controversy. There, indeed, we come upon Burke's immortal contribution to eirenics-that you cannot draw an indictment against a whole people. No less an anti-German than Mr. Lloyd George has said (I quote the report, grammar and all):
> "We are not fighting the German people. The German people are just as much under the heel of the Prussian military caste, and more so, thank God, than any other nation of Europe. It will be a day of rejoicing for the German peasant and artisan and trader, when the military caste is broken. (Cheers)."

That Mr. Lloyd Ceorge spoke truly may be read clearly in a German letter written on July 28th, 1916, and vouched for by Mr. David Starr Jordan, Chancellor of Leland Stanford University, in which the junker regards the war not only as a bid for the mastery of the world, but as the salvation of his noble order from the stupid people (der dumme Michel), with its democratic and pacifist chimeras. Even without such testimony, it was obvious that from the militants we must deduct the millions of Social Democrats, who have only become militants in the actual crisis of war, and that against Bernhardi, who is disavowed by the intellectuals as practically unknown in Germany, we must set such writers as Captain Persius, the well-known naval expert, who not three weels
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before the war published in "The Peace Movement," issued at Berne, a strong plea for Mr. Churchill's suggestion as to limitation of armameats, and was attscked in the Kreus Zoitung of last December as the reputeú author of "Der Zusammenbruch," a work avowedly written to prove the suicidal results of a clash between the British and German fleets. The pacifist and anti-absolutist Jews of the Berliner Tageblatt, the journal to which Captain Persius is attached, occupy of course the same position. And before the war, evidences of the existence of a sane minority abounded on every hand. Thus the Frankfurter Zeitung of Necember 14th, 1918, contains a report of a speech delivered by Pastor NithaiStahn on "The Moral Code of Nations," repudiating the idea that this is not the same for all nations, asserting that each of them is but a branch on the great tree of humanity, and that to reach this common ideal we must overcome
" (1) The obsolete ideal of national arrogance; (2) the romance of war, the ideal of the beast of prey, not of man; (8) that nervous and immature sense of honour which is ever ready to unsheathe the sword." ${ }^{3}$
The same Frankfort organ on December 10th rejoiced in the prospective Angio-German understanding. In the Reichstag sitting of December 12th, Herr A. Alpers, the member for Hanover, exhorted opposition to any future armament bills and pointed to the readiness repeatedly shown by the British Government for mutual limitation of ships. And nobody in Europe has denounced armaments more fearlessly than the veteran Professor Brentano of Munich University. Even now, with war at full blaze, voices are raised against the mad militarist Kultur. Thus, according to the German papers, a great grandson cf Schiller, Baron von Gleichen, lecturing to an audience that filled the great hall of the Reichstag to its utmost capacity, derided the halfunderstood catchwrords of the Kulturists and what Romain Rolland calls "the mobilization of the intellect for war." "Get real culture," he told them, "and you will get the brotherhood of the nations."

Militarism, in fact, has never been without an opposition even in the palmy days directly after the Franco-Prussian war. From an address delivered at Munich in 1875 by the late Dr. Döllinger ${ }^{2}$ we learn that there were then two parties in Germany-the one looking
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forward to its becoming again, as from the tenth to the thirteenth century, the spiritual leader of the world, and the other predicting "the apeedy downfall of the empire and the rushing in of chaos." Thus we see that when Germany was made over again in his own image by Bismarck, large sections escaped the hypnosis, and remain as a powerful nucleus for a modern State conception.

## IV.

Nor is it necessary to believe in all the atrocities or to believe that the genuine ones represent more than a jackboot minority. Our own men have got out of hand too sometimes. The early Christians and even the latter-day Jews have been accused of using human blood in their mysterious rites-hate is a marvellous myth-maker. In Serbia at least we know from Dr. Ella ScarlettSynge that the Bavarian regiments behaved excellently, and the same pleader for fair play gives a certificate of decency to most German internment camps. A German officer's diary issued by the British Press Bureau in November, 1014, in evidence of the vandalism in Belgium, bears also proof that it was not abstract malevolence. Thus, under date August 28rd, we read :-
"Our men came back and said we could not get on way further as the villagers were shooting at us from every house. We shot the whole lot, sixteen of them. The losses in our regiment (thirty killed and many wounded) were caused chiefly by villagers who shot at us from the houses. The men were absolutely mad at this sneaking way of fighting. They wanted to burn everything, and they succeeded too in setting light to several houses." It is also asserted in a German volume on pictorial slanders that a picture of a Russian pogrom that appeared in the German-Jewish magazine Ost und West was passed off on, and by, Le Journal as an episode in Belgium. A child whose hands were cut off figured in the martyrology, but must have been carried off by the Russians who came to England vid Archangel, for nobody has ever been able to produce her. As for the destruction of cathedrals I do not know that you can shell or bomb a to wn so accurately as to avoid them, and the sudden passion for medieval architecture among the Philistines of my acquaintance is not convincing. When $I$ hear these plaints ad nauseam about the Cathedral of Rheims, I cannot help recalling a passage written
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by the poet of the Gothic cathedral, Victor Hugo himself, who relates casually in his "Choses Vues" how a month before the coronation of Charles X. in this very Rheims Cathedral a swarm of masons with ladders and hammers occupied a complete week in breaking off every bit of projecting sculpture in the world-famous façade for fear a piece of the stonework might fall on the King's head. Their fragments encumbered the pavement and were swept away. "I long possessed a head of Chri : fallet in this fashion," says Victor Hugo.

## $\nabla$.

A charwoman, working in the house of a Jewish friend of mine, startled him by remarking "Jews is a bad lot." But, she added meditatively, "Christians is wuss." In 20 far as the apologists argue-with "Vernon Lee "-that at any rate Prussin is better than Russia, since whereas Social Democracy is proscribed in Russia it is a great political party in Germany, with a popular Press, few people except Mr. Wells will disagrec. (Mr. Wells is, however, not wrong in relying upon the illogic and inefficiency of Russia, for Social Democrats may represent their party in the Duma, though they have to disappear as swiftly is possible into the recesses of Russia as soon as its dissolution removes their immunity.) But with all my respect for Mr. Morel, I cannot follow him when he tries to make out that Germany is more sinned against than sinning. Under the title of "Ten Years of Secret Diplomacy," he has published a long cock-andbull story (I refer merely to the Gallic cock and John Bull) showing that France and England were in collusion to keep Germany out of colonies, markets, and places in the sun, and that when in 1000 the representatives of the Powers drew up the Act of Algeciras "in the name of God Almighty" to guarantee the independence, integrity, and cconomic freedom of Morocco, a secret treaty was already in existence, with the connivance of England, practically partitioning it between France and Spaina partition since carried out. I will grant Mr. Morel that, so far as he deals with facts, his book is an excellent illustration of "the levity of war-politics " and the tragi-comedy of diplomacy. I will even concede that such an impartial authority as Sir Harry Johnston confirms the tale of the constriction of German colonial expansion at every possible point, and the creation by France at least of protectionist areas closed to her rival. In Sir Harry's article "The Problems of Germany," fortunately published
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before the war, we were warned that Germany " must break out comewhere," for her view that England's veto lay across her path, though distorted, was mainly right :-
"England who at conferences and by : reaties and understandings was willing to agree to Belgium, the United States, Spain, France, Russia, Portugal, Greece, Bulgaria, getting, annexing, occapying something, but never Germany or Austria, except with a tremendous outcry and veiled threats of war. . . . Germany winces yet from the sermons in the British Press whenever she has hungered after a naval station at Trieste, a port in the Euphrates delta, or a Pacific island. And even while such sermons are being written the Anglo-Saxon mouth opens and englobes the Malay provinces of the lingdom
of Siam. . . . . Yet more than ever Germany was in need of an outlet for her enormously developed industries. She wanted-as also Austria-lands in which vast quantities of raw products could be found or grownespecially cotton, iron, and coal-and to which manufactures could be sent. And, further, there was that vaguely defined desire which comes to all successful peoples-the wish to extend the home empire over other kingdoms, to subjugate, control, educate other peoples. Where could Germany look to found such an empire if she did not strike soon?"
In the same vein, Mr. J. A. Hobson writes ${ }^{1}$ that "the present war is in the main a product of these economic antagonisms," especially "the close protection of the French colonial system, recently and in defiance of treaty rights extended to Moroceo," and supplemented by the fear that Great Britain would abandon Free Trade. And he cites the Belgian economist, Henri Lambert, to show Germany's apprehension of being left out in the coldGermany with a growing population of seventy millions and only one-tenth of the territory possessed by Britain; menaced, moreover, by Russia's threat of serious modifications of her present commercial treaty with Germany when it expired in 1916.
VI.

I have given the full strength of the Morel case, and even bolstered it up by quotations from Sir Harry Johnston, who is

$$
1 \text { "Towarda Intornational Government." }
$$
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now all for dismembering and despolling Germany. And I have done so because it is, as the Ibsen lady said of literature, "iso irrelevant." The world hiad passed beyond "ordeal by battle." Europe had moved on: cruel, satanic even, as Mr. Morel had shown it could be to coloured and inferior races, the great advance in means of communication was unifying it, internationalizing it. The fect that Germany was born too late for her fair share in the spoils of other continents, that the best parts of the globe were staked out, was not, in the phrase of her own Leibnitz, a "sufficient reacon" for setting the world ablaze. If, by the flibustering code by which past empires have been won, Germany was theoretically entitled to hew out an ernpire in her turn, it is precisely her application of this code that constitutes her treason against humanity. For it was a code outworn and obsolescent, that even in its prime had never been accepted consciously and in all its logical implications. The British Empire of which Germany was so jealous was only a nominal empire. It had grown up without design, through individual activities, trading companies and historical accidents. It had no cohesion, nu protective tariffs. The seas were free. Germany was welcome to all the trade she could do, and economists say that with Canads she was actually doing twice the trade that England was. It is Germany that now bids fair to make a real British Empire, as Napoleon made the German Empire.
It is true France tried to Frenchify trade, but France wo'ld have crumbled before Germany by the mere decay of her population. There was no reason whatever for the arbitrament of war ; the pen of the German clerk was mightier than the sword. If England unduly favoured France it was in sheer terror of the blonde beast, who, even if he had a good case before the war, has retrospectively spoiled it by a display of strength and of savagery that shows how justifled this apprehension was. The course of the war has vindicated the assertion of Professor Usher in his book on "Pan-Germanism" that "the Germans aim at nothing less than the domination of Europe and the world by the Germanic race." It is certainly no negligible observer who informed us before the war that "the Germans consider perfectly feasible the construction of a great confederation of States, including Germany, Austria, Hungary, the Balkan States and Turkey, which would control a great band of territory stretching south-east from the North Sea to the Persian Gulf."
It is urged that Germany needed either coloniesझto receive her
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sury'us population or a great new market to give them employment at home. I deny both alternatives. When Germmny says "I must live," I agree cheerfully, but when ohe says "I must live outaide Europe," I reply, "Je n'en voie pas la necessite." Such colonies as were open to her to administer as German colonies were incapable of sustaining white populationa, and in point of fact her emigration had ceased of late, owing to the improvement of the homeland. If it is sald that neverthelens a point of saturation must ultimately be reached and she must then either have the new market or elee see her sons absorbed by non-German Americas, my anower is, that this is the beat poosible fate for them. Why, having attained a population of nearly seventy millions, should not Germany be satisfied to maintain it at this and let the others form part of new geographical and political creations ? Seventy millions are enough to preserve Germanismus in all its greatness (not to mention the millions of Austria). Why this bloodthirsty elinging to every German ? Let this blood-it it is so marvellous-blend with and improve the blood of the world under other constellations. The new world is a melting pot, not a preserving pot. A reduplicated Europe would be a bore. "The old order changeth, yielding place to new," and the German may well be as content as God to fulfl himself in many ways.

The friends of Germany will answer, "This is all very fine philosophy 1 But, coming from England, it is her customary British cant. What of her Canada, her Australia, her New Zealand ! She can conserve her race even in emigration." But here again the shadow is taken for substance. In the first place, half of England's emigrants go to the United States. In the second place, myriads of Germans go to Canada. The notion that Canada can be kept English (apart from a great province being already French) is a British illusion. I do not even say with Goldwin Smith it will join the United States-Germany by her war has stopped that for a long time, if not for all timebut it will be a United States-a Melting Pot of every people under the sun, and even its English institutions will not prevent the rise of a new political entity with a psychology of its own. The same with Australia. The idea that the British Empire can be populated with the surplus population of two little islands, with a falling birth-rate still further reduced by the war, is a fallacy more than once dealt with in this book.
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## VII.

As for the destre "which comes to all sucesuatul peoples-- the what to extend the home emple over other kingdoms, to subjugate, control, educate other peoplos "- thant is the most parsnicious of all. What England did by genius, Germany nithes to do by consciousness and talent. But genius, despite the proo German Carlyle and his Goethe, is not an tefinite cappecity for taking pains. It is all very earnest and touching, this devotion to Doubechlond ueber Alles: but you cannot by taling thought add a foot to your stature; you cannot get by cunning what Eaghand got by luck ; you cannot turn back the stream of history. Moreover, just when John Bull was beginning to discover that Empire in the German sense was a mistake, that intensive imperialiam or the perfection of the homeland was the true iden, just as he was trying by the door of self-government to beck out of India, into which he had blundered, 101 the German comes along with all the vulgarity of a parrenu coveting and aping the life whowe finer principle he missen, glorifying empire like a pirate ling, commercialising it like a tradeuman, and ateeling himself by a pecudo-philosophy to justify the crimes we had begun to repent of. And the irony of the situation is that we hear ournelves summoned to follow this groses refraction of our ideal and to begin copying our own caricature.
This is why, much as I twmpathise with Mr. Morel's eampaign against receret diplomae: I sannot follow him in his vindication of Germany. In the Consu business Mr. Monel had to deal only with crude fects whose face-value was their all-in-all : here he has to deal with compleaties and world-currents and historio phonomena, and his timeless abstract standards of equity cannot be applied to England, France, and Germany as though there were the E, F, G of a mathematicel proposition, and not nations with immensely varying histories, temperamenta, idenk, and ambitions. His notion that E, F, G were equal entities, entitled to an equal share in the partition of the backward regions of the earth, is a mere piece of ideology. $G$ by her refusal o limit armaments had already imposed an intolerable burden on E and $F$, which would alone have justified them in opening up new sources of revenue to her disadvantage. She meant to use every new territorial gain as a fulcrum for world-power, and her worldpower, unlike E's, would have been a grinding tyranny. Dirhenourable as was the partition of Morocco, there was at least
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no danger in $F$ being there. Would it have been equally ease to enthrome G oppoite Gibraltar $\&$ If I took a knife from a madman, would Mr. Morel eay I was a thief ? Let Mr. Morel read the receat terlbute to von Eindenburg b:- the chief of his stafl, ill he atid felle to underutand how German ambition has ruined our scaeration I

## THE KAISER AT THE JUDGMENT BAR

> "For in thome daye might only shall be admired And valour and heroio virtue called ; To overcome in battlo, and subdue Nations, and bring home apoile with infinite Manealaughter, shall be hold the higheat pitch Of human glory, and for glory done Of triumph, to be atjled great conqueross, Patrons of mankind, Gode and sone of Godes, Destroyers rightlier calld and plaguen of men."
> "Pampime Love."

"A fivirito has no friend."-Gmat.

## 1.

Medinval art has familiarised us ad nauceam with Heaven and Hell. In mosaic and enamel, in fresco and bronze, in marble and jewrel-work, majestic on canvas or minute in missal, the same picture perpetually assails us-the Judge cuper-dominant in the centre, the rising dead at his feet, the saints on his right hand, smug and symmetrical in their haloes, the sinnery on his left on route for the torture chambers below.

This conception of the Last Judgment is for us moderns dead -killed by our sense of justice. A brave attempt to replace it by a better has just been made by "A Fumble Cleric," in a book called "The Grand Assize" which in a more sencible world than ours would at once have been adopted as a Sunday school prive. The ethical basis of this new " Last Judgment " is that "anyone who looks into his own nature must feel his brotherhood with all who have been found out." Divine punishment, not calculated to regenerate this nature by suppressing the evil germs and developing the good, is merely a barbarous futility. The Judge is tharefore no aloof avenger, but a friend and brother; no prisoner is brought to the bar unless he is so self-ratisfied that the leaven of better impulses is not working of itself, nor is he then accused except by himsell. The only Advocate who appean
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in briefed for Mo side, and the Judge, ali love and pity, sums up and delivers a centence whose purpose is purification.
Before this bar "our humble clerk "arraigns the leading types of our day, from the Plutocrat to the Derelict, from the Actor to the Daughter of Joy, from Mru. Grundy to the Party Politician, and to create all these so various trials obviously requires no amall knowledge of the world and the human heart. One suspects that the author is that rase cove a priest to whom religion is a call as well as a calling, and who has a touch of the spiritual genius as well as the humility of St. Francis. Where, unless weary worldlings had poured out to him their egotistic troubles, could he have gained this uncaany insight into the windinge of their ways and the labyrinths of their hearts ? Especially is this borne in upon us when such a figure as "The Aotor" appears before the Divine tribunal-and misses his audience badly ! Since Browning vivisected Bishop Blougram there has been no such incisive yet pitiful study of a complex modern temperament. Indeed, we find Browning's Bishop uttering the very core of the new goupel :-

> "No, whon the fight begins within himeolf, A manis worth comething . . ."

## II.

The problem of "The Grand Assize" is thus threefold: First, to eet out Everyman's spiritual failure as he sees it in his own heart, despite the outer gauds of suocess; secondly-rince Everyman is good as well as bad-to say all that can be said in his favour; and finally to discover a way out for the soul through its tangle of evil. The Plutocrat, for example, who has risen to riches on the ruins of a thousand lives, has yet benefted industry and art, and been unhappy in his home life, and by his damnation to a life of poverty is to have the chance of winning his way back to the human brotherhood. It is a method which may be fruitfully applied in all directions, and it is not surprising that the author applies it to the Kaiser.
Nor is it surprising that under this method the Kaiser is far trom appearing the vulgar ogre of the British cartoonist, though there is humour enough in the figure he presents before the Judge-whom he salutes as an equal, and before whom, "being hopelesaly mechanical," he behaves "as at a court-martial."
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Neverthelese, I am atruid that in this one instance the charity of even our new St. Francis has failed before his patatotiem, and that he.has not sought so eagerily as with his other occupants of the dock to furnich the Advocate with an extenuating plea. Even the Judge betrays for once a British bins, and his judganent has the severity of Dreco rather than the compansionatenesis of Christ. It is true the Kniser is not to be put into a cage, as the British workman demande, but it jars one's sense of the judicial, not to mention the goddilike, to find the Judge telling him "Only by appealing to the brute in man did you gain your empire over the masces."

## III.

The case against the Kniser is surely dark enough-the childish passion for soldiers, the mail-cairt fist, the megalomanis, the vanity of a jack-of-all-arts, the epileptic outbursts of rage, the reactionary medisevalism with its Torquemada-like ruthlessness-to be in no need of British blacking. And, on the other hand, the Advocate would surely find ample material for the other side of the balancesheet. He would plead that not by appealing to the brute in man but to the soul in man had the Kaiser gained his empire over the masses: by giving his subjects a shining example of labour and prayer and purpore. "While other kings," he would say, "have been sunk in debsuchery, his life has been a model of domesticity and temperance; while others have given laws only to fachion and folly, he has infused his ideals even into his school-children's copybooks: while other courts have reeked with inanity, he has chowen for companions the leaders of thought and life, to that in his kingitom science and literature were honoured as jockeys and play-actors elsewhere; he has studied at first-hand all European problems, and while the majority of rulers must rely upon an Aaron for their language, be hes crystallized his thoughts with such epigrammatic eloquence that they have turned into proverbs. For a generation be has kept the peace in face of the most militarist gang in Turope, and his resolute patience was only sapped by their arrogance. As a youth he had the courage to oust Biamarck : as a man he has not recoiled before even a world in arms. And if, my lord, he feels himseli your favourite, that, I submit, is only what some of the greatest figures in history have felt, from David your pealmist to the British Cromwell. It is only an exceus of their virtue-the virtue of faith."

## THE KAISER AT THE JUDGMEANT BAR

## IV.

Hearing which, the Judge would, I imagine, wind up: "Your punishment, prisoner at the bar, shall be to be born again, but of Belgian refugees in poverty, and a modern man of genius instead of a mediseval man of talent. Hence, what you shall strive for shall be Brotherhood, not Empire, and in place of a world of fiatterers and parasites to magnify each mediocre gitt, there shall be round you a world of enemies and disbelievers to depreciate, flout, and deny you. Instead of the crown of sovereignty you shall wear the crown of thorns. You shall know no glory of triumph, but only the tragedy of labouring in the darkness for a caure that shall seem hopeless, till at last, fainting and heart-sick at the sight of cities denolated and homes death-stricken and millions of men turned into manure-heaps, you shall cry out: ' My God, my God, why hact Thou forsaken me ?' And in that moment perchance through the great blackness you shall see the glimmer of light."

## THE WAR AND THE DRAMA

"Destinio this hage chaoe turmogling." Fideusd Bpmonam.

## 1.

Thar the drama has ceased to hold the mirror up to Nature or to uplift our age by its art, is a proposition urged with increasing frequency and uneasiness. The war, with its great moral issues and its high fate-driven personalities, has deepened this sense of a wasted or perverted instrument. The war has provided the themes, urges M. Victor Giraud, the editor of the Reoue des Deue Mondes, it only remains for writers to find a modern framework for a drama which shall be to our generation what the classic drama was to our forefathers.

Nearly twenty years betore the war we find Maeterlinck defining the same want. "When I go to the theatre," he said in his essay, "Le Tragique Quotidien," "it seems to me that I am passing some hours with my ancestors." Dramatiste, he explained, continued to draw their inspiration from violence, whereas "the greater part of our lives pesses far from blood and cries and swords. Our tears have become silent, invisible, almost spiritual." Hence the " material sublime" had ceased to appeal. "Violated virgins and imprisoned citizens "were but the outworn motifs of the obsolescent theatre of "blood, external tears and death." The Sage sitting by his lamp, a hand opening or closing a door, a ray of light through a casement, a shadow on a blind-such were the only legitimate effects open to the modern dramatist, if his colour-scale was to be as subdued and subtle as life's. And in 1004, in "Le Drame Moderne," our poet noted with satisfaction that this internalization of the drama was duly proceeding in Björnson, Hauptmann, and especially Ibsen, and he looked forward to a still more pacific theatre, our clearer conscience and broader love eliminating many even of the spiritual conflicts on which the older drama hinged. In the end the modern theatre might be "a theatre of peace, of beauty without tears."
One has only to turn to Maeterlinck's latest utterance, "The Hour of Destiny " to see how grimly life has taught him to contra-
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dict himself. His cry now is of "ruins and cecrifices, nameless tortures and numberless dead," and we are enjoined to destroy "root and branch," and "even against our own sense of pity and generocity "-as ruthlessly as Samuel hewed Agag in pieces before the Lord-an enemy who is "in secret alliance with the evil influences of the earth." For Maeterlinck is a Belgian-and no longer a Belgian Shakespeare or a Belgian mystic, brooding on "The Treasure of the Eumble," but a Belgian Avenger of Blood.

## II.

Among our dramatic critics-drawn for the most part from the genteel circles of a sophisticated and pacific civilization a similar reaction against violence had taken place, if without the Maeterlinckiaa profundity. They had seen the drama become-in the Robertsonian theatre-a storm in a teacup. They had seen the disappearance of the robustious actor and the growth of the natural, if not always audible, jeuns premier. They had believed -with that admirable light comedian, Mr. Charles Hawtrey-that the day of the high tragedian is over, though he might linger on in those occasional galvanizations of Shakespeare which piety for the dead classics would continue to inspire. But, in truth, Shakespeare seemed as barbarous to them as he had seemed to Voltaire. Ignorant of life, all the flamboyance of passion an-: colour, all the odd gleams of purity and beauty, all the pathos and grotesquerie that challenge the artist's eye from Clapham to Martaban, had ceased to exist for them when these things went out of fashion on the stage. All characters not common as City clerks were improbable ; sentiments not expressed currently in drawingsooms were fustian. They recognized comedy by soda-water syphons and cigarettes, and melodrama by pistols. That pistols might consist with comedy, or cigarettes with tragedy-even blank verse tragedy-they could not conceive.
The war must change all that. It has demonstrated that, far trom growing more inward, life is more crudely external than ever. It is still heroic and vulgar in the grandiose old fashion. There are soldiers, not chocolate, but iron, there are traitors and bullies. There are clamorous and riotous crowds that pillage and run amok, there are love-makings and clownings under the shadow of death, there are monstrous coincidences, impudently improbable. It is, in fact, melodrama that stands vindicated, if not in its method, at least in its material. Even the spy does, it appears, really
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exist, though he is revealed-in the German variety-rather as a great soldiar-soul and martyr than as the comic Judan of our heatres. And after̀ the revelation of Germany's scientific ruthlessness and imperial ambitions we can no longer scofl-like Shakespeare and the Elizabethan critics-at Mariowe's picture of " Tamburlaine the Great."

> "He that calle himsolf the scourge of Jove, The Emperor of the world and carthly God."

Even the "swank" of Tamburlaine's chariot drawn by bitted and bridled kings has a cartoon-truth, if not a literal truth.

## III.

And with the vindication of melodrama goes the vindication of high tragedy-indeed Greek tragedy was literally melodrama. High tragedy we thought high-falutin. We had not, we moderns, sentiments of such an amplitude. As for verse, who spoke it 9 The newspaper-and newspaper prose-that was modern life. Yet suddenly wa, have seen every newspaper bursting out into poetry-and quite shamelessly and daily, as though, under the pressure and urge of national emotion, verve was actually the natural language of speech. I remember at the first night of Lengyel's study of the Japenese, "Typhoon" $\rightarrow$ production we owe to the artistic passion of the ill-fated Laurence Irving-the amazement of critics and audience alike at the self-immolating patriotism of the little yellow men, at the utter absorption of the individual life in the service of the State, a sacrifice carried on as continuously and unfalteringly in perieds of peace as in the heats of war. That the germs of patriotic abnegation existed in England too, and might be developed to equal intensity at a certain temperature, was unknown, or rather forgotten. And, because it was forgotten, patriotism was relegated to melodrama and the music-halls. It was the last refuge, not of a scoundrel, but of a comic singer. To have rendered it in the key of high art would have confused the critics and closed the box-office. Tragedy was equally taboo. ${ }^{2}$

[^37]
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I imagine that the typical producer of his day, Charles Frohman, never produced an "unhappy ending," never allowed his dramatists to suggeat that a beloved and blameless person might be cruabed mercileasly between two giant forces at clash. Yet this "unhappy ending" has proved far less depressing than many a Frohman comedy. In no Frohman comedy shall you And a curtain-tag as besutiful and exalting as his own last apeech : "Why fear death ? It is life's finest adventure." That, spcken not in the academio armchair, but in the Lusitanda settling down to her doom, will for ever oling round his memory like an aureole of light. It is the great, the Plutarchian word. Before I knew of it, my mind kept going back on our talke, seeing him-as he ent at his favourite view over the Thames-overbrooded as in a Meeterlinck play by a pall of destiny, that made his unconscious sunniness and optimism only the more tragio. But now, by his great last word, he has dispelled the pall and has fired an image of himself more radiant and lovable than ever. He atands, as it were, tip-toeing into the unknown, welcoming the last great adventure, so that

## "Eternal monahine gathers round hir heed."

II only he had had the courage to put his own larger self on the stage !
But, alas ! the Stage Society-free from the commercial conditions that hem the managers and actor-managers-has none the more ventured to present life at its true height or depth. It has only replaced the "happy ending" by the ugly ending. As if to be unpleasant-the phrase is actually flaunted by Bernard Shaw-sufficed to create art I The Stage Society, though it has come original plays to its credit, has done nothing to win back the lost province of poetry ; indeed, its members seem to have flattered themselves they were "seeing life," much as the nightbird imagines he is sceing it.

[^38]
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## IV.

The pasaing of the high tragedian-so cheerfully recorded by Mr. Charles Hawtrey-mennt the lose of the drama's highest organ-poetio tragedy-and with it a shrinking of human values. That sense of the greatness of human life, which the most ranting Shakespearean aotor conveyed, which the veriest barn-stormer adumbrated, which lingered like the echoes of thunder even in the tragedics of Sheridan Knowlee, had vanished from our postprandial theatre. No wonder that the Germans (whowe artizan class in the very stress of Armagrddon built for itcell a great classio theatre) considered Shakespeare theirs, and the Er.glishman a " slacker." ${ }^{1}$

There is a subtle relation between all a nation's activities, and in an age when war is far more science and organization than brute courage, the British cult of brainlessmess on the stage could not but be a sinister index of military laches. And if our working classes rose $s 0$ slowly to the conception of national secrifice, may it not be because no efflort had been made to use the theatre to cultivate those ideals and impulecs, the traditional channel for which their estrangement from the Church had choked up? I do not mean that the theatre should have appealed for recruits
${ }^{2}$ " Spealing in tho Pruemien Diet lest Thuradey, Herr you Loobol, the Miniter of the Interior, eadd that all playe whioh had booa paceed by the Ocncomilip before the war had bena reconaldored upon the principlo that the programmee muat now have 's merlous moral besif.' Betrean Auguet let, 1914 ad the and of 1915, eighty-one playe were forbiddon in Berlin alone" (The Timer, Marah, 1910).
"the programme for the thestrioal wrok in Borlin eading January 173 preseate somointareeting featrares, andofiersentrilingocotrent to the thentrioal faroo L Londoo in the reme period. To begia with, there are two largs houmen in which firmt-lime opers is performed overy night, and two others in whiah mundo of a lighter charrater mas bo heard. Among the operan are 'Tannhhusor,' "The Flying Dutohman;,
 Wobore ' Ireipohtite, 'Ia Traviatan' In throe theotece we have plays by 8 ghato. apeare: ' Eamiot' (in two houseo), 'Iwalfth Night' 'Jalium Omens,' 'The Comody al Errora,' and 'Jidrammer Nights Dream.' Schillor's playe, with their hitorical and patriotio tocehing are greatily in ovidence: 'Dio Jungtras voa Oriosan,' ' Maris Stuart,' 'Wallaontetns Tod. Goethe's ' Fanet ' (Arnt and eecond parth), and - Gotar roa Berlichingen 'are boting pecformed in tro housen. Ibwen meemetin great domand, expeoislly his 'Rommemholm,' and woll-thown and popular dramatitats like Gaster Iroltag, Happtmann, and Sudormann figure largely on tho lint. Looking at the programmes of the ton beat theatres in Berlin for tho weven daye between January oth and January 17th, wo hevo forty-five dififareat playe and operas, not oan of which that is not o gratat dramatio or munionl posponion, not caly of Cermany, but of tho world " (Daily Cironicle, January 13th, 1916).

About tho eame period the namo organ mald of a new revue at the Emptro: "A newcomer is Mine - who diarobee by degrees, with a netve insonoianco rare oven at that historic houso." It is only fair to add, however, that the Tageczeisung bemomin the impotence of decent Cormane in their efrorts to got a pure atage.
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or for more devotion in the munition workers, but that it whould have fortered that habit of mind and finenese of temper which would have made such appeale superfluous. What wo need from our stage is a drama that helps us to move habitually on the high plane to which we are roused by the death and heroism of our soldiers and our sons, by the agony and aspiration of our country. A nation that never breathes the mountain air of high art, nor over takes the sacrament of poetry in common, is not likely to sustain itself long in the rarefled and glacial air of eacrifice. A nation whone greatest ectore are drawn of to the musio-halls is not likely to disentangle itself from commercialism when the hour for heroism strikes; a nation that feede its spiritual fires upon the slag and aches of dead formule is not likely to burn with a clear flame.

## $\nabla$.

In what form, however, can M. Victor Giraud's demand for a drams suitable to our own age be eatisfied ? The old classic drama of every country had-as Macterlinck has pointed out in - prefece to MIS. Sutro"e play, "The Cave of Illusion " $-a$ beckground of supernatural powers who lent to the action the necesany depth, mystery and grandeur. This beokground, blotted out or at least belogged by modern conceptions, must-he urgesbe restored in some form or other, if our drama is to be raised to the atmosphere of "Hamlet," "OEdipus " or "Antigone." Such atmosphere as Ibsen achieven in his social dramas Maeterlinck believes to be merely unhealthy and unbreathable.
It is true, of course, that we are at a transitional moment in which neither Jove nor Jehovah, neither the Furies nor the Fiends, neither the ghont in "Hamlet" nor the witches in "Macbeth" correspond to our sense of the vast myaterious forces beyond and around our little life. And this uncertainty is accentuated by the war and finds expression in the candid and nalve confession of many unphilosophical people that they are waiting to see by its issue whether there is a God or not. In such a period the hack dramatist, shrinking from the ancient supernatural background, and having no substitute in a personal sease of the universe, produces not art at all but photography. Our stage figures have the sharp-cut shallow objectivity of cardboard characters in a toy theatre or the Indians and cowboys of the cinematograph. But if this war, with all its worldtragedy and epical happenings, does not suggest to us a modern
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handling of the drama, or comething nobler than the glorification of the Briton who stays at home to outwit German apies by his superior bratn-power, we may well agree with our admirable light comedian that upon the high tragedian the curtain has been reng down.
Not that this nobler drams is half so necemary to-day-when Wife ittrelk is exalting enough-as it was in the piping thmes of pence. ${ }^{2}$
To-day a theatrioal form of "Tipperary" may be even more needful as a relaration from the over-atimulus. Nor is it neeces eary that even of the nobler drama the theme should be the war. Topical art is a dubious and dangerous province. "We do not find," wrote Matthew Amold, "that "The Perme' occupied a particularly high rank among the dramas of Asechylus because it represented a matter of contemporary interest. . . . The
${ }^{2}$ A jowner writiva by ge to the Pall Mall Gamme fil Maroh, 1018, oa "Theatro
 without uplifiting influcace whother artietio or apifitual:-
"Bif, -Iant wook you quolted the Olwreh Tímee es maying " there are mose peoplo

 duly editied to reed that the event of the eoceon way 'Jowoph and hin Breshroa' -

 and the Iton' $\rightarrow$ Ohristian myatary-play.
"But what rartied mo mont was the heting: 'The Dramb-Rotroppeot.' Of consro, I soce sroulied that Inat was fall otop to tho theatrical poriod of tiso Ohuroh, but I am loft woudering how abmace of ast promotos epiritina priditantion.
"Do the ploves tate down thetr plotures in Iont, I wonder, or oence to reed


 ther have ' Brambet ' Withooet the prince of powis?
 Pioe in the almort total reparitica betweco the Puritan claness and the ctace. Nor,
 wisthat the family party if boing drivin more and more from the theatro.
"A geacration may aripe that mowe not oven 'Jowoph'' But this in all the more reacon ing the Ohurch shocild rally to tho higher craphe, and even throw over the oldefahioned notion that litoratere and Inat ase incompatible for it there itro playe that would profane Bant Holiday, these are playt that would hallow Good Friday- "The Pualng of the 'Ihird Floor Beok' or 'The Showing ap of Blanco Pomet, tor exmple.
"But if the dramatint and his worka are left temporariy or totally outando the Ohuroh, of the Ohuroh consoloumese, in what ceto of the Church Ittoolf ? Why ecoording to The Times edvertisoment of the Boripture Roadorn' Acoociation, and the authority of Bir Charion Booth, "the great mavies of the proplo romain apart from all forme of roligious coommunion. Thue the total abotainowe from the Themtos are parnlloled and oven outnumbered by the total abotininem from the Churoh. For the majority of the netion, theo, there is noither Thoetso nor Ohuroh. No wonder it in an ase of joy-rides. Might not the two boyootted
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Crocks felt, no doubt, with their exquisite magesity of taste, that an sotion of precent times was too near theor, too much mired up with what was accidental and pasesing to form a sufficiently grand, detached and rell-subaistent object for a tracio poem."
Nevertheloes, topical art with all its dangers is not to be banished and ii EPchylus, in 472 anc., could dramatize the battle of Salamis and the defeat which the Greek navies had inficted on Xerxes in only $400 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{c}_{\text {, }}$, there is no enthetic reacon why a modern poet should not dramatize Armagedion as precipitately * Mr. Stephen Phillipa. True that by this hacty eelsure of current matter the poet lowes the immense co-operation of the mytho-poetio instinct which shapes and selects the otory, and of time, which invests it with glamour. But the Greeks put so much stress upon this factor that they never treated an original theme at all-the daring of Agathon, the contemporary of Euripiden. in inventing the plot of "the Flower," finding no imitators. The moderns who have thrown over the ancients by inventing their own themes might also succeed in handling their own times. It in all a question of the existence of the poets. Wordsworth told Charles Iamb he could have written Shakespeave's playa if he had had a mind to, and Iamb retorted it was precisely the mind that was lacking. Granted the poete, I see no inherent reason why the raw stufif of to-day should not be transfigured into tragio poetry in "the grand atyle"" The war cartainly, as M. Giraud cays, offers us matter enough. Nor is it wanting in suggestions of manner.

## VI.

For the man in the street the grand tragedy of the war was to be the fate of the Kniser, passing in punishment for his ${ }^{2} \beta$ pess from the apex of an empire to St. Helena, or Devil's Island, or a cage, or even, according to Punch, a gibbet. This concept of tragedy by "decline and fall " is the conventional one. It is the tragedy of Agamemnon in Swachylues, of Wolecy and Richard II. in Shakegpeare.

> "For God's mike, lot ua itt upon the ground And toll mod otories of the denth of linge."

But who cannot see that this isolation of an individual is utterly disproportionate to the gigantic scale and issues of the war? Already in fact the Kaiser has receded to the background even in Germany, where von Hindenburg and three or four others

## THE WAR DOR THE WO: LD

take precedence in the popular imagination. The fall of the Kriecer would be almost anecdotal in selation to the real theme of the world-tragedy.

The young Germen students who in defence of thelr Stateconcept advanced in olow formation under a bellish British fire, singing "Die Wecht am Rhein," mant themedres fighting for a modern Athens, measeed by all the world's devils and by, barbarians of every hue. To the Sower of Engiand freah Yrom the public echoole, who freely and in the cause of freedom had thrown up their careess with a gallant gesture, it was thowe very ctudenta who were barbarians and devils. Hese in the true tragedy of the was, here the core of its pathos. "For the masces," writes a Timee correspondent, "it is a parely defensive war brought about by a wanton attack of jealous foes upon the mort peaceful country in the world." He happens to be writing of Germany, but the description will fit any of the many belligerents. "The people are inspired by faith that their cause is abeolutely justified. They take their loses ase a hind of religious secrifice." There lies the spiritual tragedy of our mutual murderinge. For tragedy, as Hegel pointed out, mity be a clash not of good and evil, of right and wrong, but of two goode or two sights. And even il one of these is lees good or leses right objectively-and we know from Bismarck how public opinion is manufactured in Prese bureaus and other laboratories-yet if to the protagonists themselves their ideal seems good or sight, if they are alike in at least willing the highest, then the fact that one is more or less mistriken does not lessen the pity and terror of the crech when these opposed wills collide.
And the tragedy is one not only of ideals, but of these incarnated in masses, not in individuals. Were we content to concentrate upon individuals we could find as great a subject of tragic irony in our guiltless Lloyd George as in the guilty Kaiser. The hated apostle of peace and social reform turned into the idolized Minister of Munitions ! Munitions which are not only non-productive negatively but destructive positively! The savinge and social hopes of generations past and to come swallowed up in and by shells !
But Lloyd George would not, like the Kniser, be the centre of a personal tragedy. He would be only a symbol-like the reported convervion of a rectory into a shell-factory-of the bankruptcy of civilization, Christianity, and social reform, in a world that the Viatorian prophets saw moving majestically towards
"One far-of divine event."
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Socialistes would pleoe the tragedy in the breakdown of the growing International brotherhood, end the collappoof internationalimm lecestainly one of ite elemeats, whether the nationalism of the belligerents to contracted with coasciove Socialism or with the unconsciovs communiam of commercial axchange and coumopolitan capital.
Morcover, the nower antions-the United States, Cansia, Australia, the Argeatime-had been recruiting thair population upon an faduatrial and not upon an ethnio basia, and this reaction to a bristling nationalism cuts acrose all the latant tendencies of the atcam and cloctric age of aivilization.

## VII.

In a aymbolio drama lies, therefore, one poudible development of a molern tragedy: in the presentation of clashing world-currents through flgures incarnating the opposed tendencies. But these Agures must stake their all upon the isaue. Ilke Kruger, who stood for nationalism, like Cobden, who stood for internationalism, they must be carved in granite. They cannot tumn lightly from peace to war, from militariam to pacifism, from faith to unfaith. That way lies comedy. When I saw Kruger in his exile, standing before his great Dutch Bible, I realized that his tragedy lay less in his fall than in the clash of his nalve belief with the bigger battalions on whose side Providence had ranged itsell. The dramatist, though he may use his figures as aymbols and thus infuse his drama with a significance lecking to the suffering of individuals, must never forget that art deals with individuals and not with "imms." It is not in the collapee of intermationalism or Socialism, of the Transvaal or Belgium, that poetic tragedy lies, but in the reflection of these events in the souls of the protagoniste.
In the Mass-Drama-another modern potentiality exploited by Bauptmann in "The Weavers," and less purely by Hardy in "The Dynasts," that gigantic canvas more populated than Tintoretto's Paradiso-no one individual summarizes the suffering. Hauptmann's hero is the crowd, and so is Hardy's, despite that Napoleon occupies the foreground. Yet it is always through the individual soul that the great tragic forces are seen passing, refracted according to the nature of each.

## VIII.

Tragedy, interppreted as the clash of forces, and with the symbolization of these forces by individuals, or by masses seen
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through individuals, is thus our modern form of the higher drama. Mr. Galeworthy's "Strife," which is an exact exemplification of this formula of the clash, carries it in its very title. The "Armageddon " of Mr. Stephen Phillips, though its matter is burningly topical, is not a modern drama at all, and its supernatural stage machinery, its resuacitation of Beelvebub and Belial, is still more obsolete. Even Mr. Hardy, whose vision is so fresh and fearless, has environed his great epio-drama with the "Overworld," and created a series of "Phantom Intelligences "-Spirits and Chorusea of Pity and Rumour, Spirits Sinister and Ironic, not to mention the Shade of the Earth. It iooks as if the poets felt instinctively the need of that deeper beckground of which Meeterlinck speaks, as il without some equivalent of it they cannot reapond to M. Victor Giraud's demand for a drama that shall be to us what the classic drama was to our forefathers. Mont of all do they seem to need a direct medium for that "criticism of life" which, pace Matthen Arnold, is far more the drama's function than that of poetry in general. Yet, as a device for a running commentary upon the action, Mr. Hardy's neo-mythic figures are not markedly superior to the Greek Chorus, while, as a substitute for the old supernatural background, they have the fatal defect of unreality. Mr. Hardy himself admits their insubatantiality without apparently understanding its cause. That lies in the fact that all the figures of traditional myth, from the talking serpent of Eden to those old German gods whom it is now sought to galvanize, had their day of belief, when they were felt as matter-of-fact as men and horses, and the aura of their ancient reality still lingers and vibrates about them. Of the Seraphs and the Cherubim the Hebrew liturgy even records the exact measurements from toe to wing-tip, and that the angel has still a living appeal is shown by the legend of the angels that appeared at Mons on the side of the Britimh. But Mr. Hardy-as Charles Lamb said of much amaller. writers-for the supernatural gives us the non-natural.

## IX.

Far more serious a contribution to the modern drama is Mr. Hardy's atmosphere of Fate. As given upon our stage by Mr. Granville Barker, "The Dynasts " was strangely debased into a British war-play with a patriotic tag, but it is in truth the spacious utterance of an agnostic Spinoza. These swarms of figures from Napoleon to the omallest drummer-boy, from the beacon-watchers
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in Werear to the candie-snuffers in the House of Commons, from empresses and archduchesses to trulls and market-women, are all exhibited as caught in the wave of a common destiny. The immanent World-Spirit-itself perhaps ironically unconscious-is ceen animating the entire spectacle as an organic whole. We behold "as it were the interior of a brain which seems to manifest the volitions of a Univeral Will, of whose tissues the personages of the action form portion." The puppets in short dance and Ironic Spirita bidus

## "Mark the twitchings of this Bonsparte As he with other figuree foota his reel"

And one must confess that the world-war scems to afford an uncomfortable conflrmation of Hardy's dramatic method. Here is an immense net in which all the nations have tangled themselves, though at the moment of the outbreak probably not a soul in the world wanted war, for even the Prussian militarists must have wished to draw beck when they knew England was coming The frantic struggles of the diplomatists to break through thin own coils were only equalled by the desperate efforts of emperors. Read the last wild telegrams exchenged at dead of night between Tear and Kaiser, between Emperor and King. These mightiest of mankind, who bestride the planat like Colossi and command the homage of half the human race, show as straws in a maelotrom. It might well seem as if-in Hardy's words:-

> "Ere aystemed atary were globed and lit Tho ollugghters of the ruco were with, And warting war, by land and wae, Fixed, like all olve, immutably."

And the effort to end the war seems as beyond individual volition as the effort to avert it. An immense force, clearly made up of individual minds, yet gigantic and impersonal, urges forward the combat, denies retreat.

> "A will that wills above the will of eech, Yet but the will of all conjunctively."

It is public opinion, of which the largest constituent is fear of public opinion. We are all caught in the panic of a stampeding mob. Nobody knows why everybody is pushing, yet we are all pushed and push to our mutual destruction.
Nevertheless, it is precisely because of its all-enveloping
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fatalism that "The Dyasasts" cannot become a model for the modern dramatist.

## $\mathbf{x}$.

Hardy himself seems to have felt that the drawback of "The Dynasts" ley in its impracticability on the stage. When he finished it he felt like sending it to the managers-so he once told me- with a "Play that if you can I" And, indeed, so cosmic a spectacle-some episodes of which were to be viewed from standpoints in the stellar system-might well have seemed adapted only to an audience of archangels. But nowadays aviators might almost supply the audience, and films, taken by them, might almosit pacs on their visions to the patrons of the cinematograph, which could in any case render the big battle-pieces. No, the real objection to "The Dynasts" is that it is a puppet-play.
In the Greek dramas Fate-at best an uncertain and wavering conception-was limited to a family, aynasty; it was the Nemesis of insolence, it was Ate visiting the sins of the fathers on the children. Inilibsen's "Ghosts," Ate took the modern shape of heredity, and was the Nemesis for vice. But in Hardy the fog of Fate swathes and muffies and equalives everything. If Fate is to play a part in modern drama, it will be at most the Fate suggested in Maeterlinck's "Hour of Destiny." Here we have an evil Fate planetary will or ill-will, making for the iron hegemony of Germany, yet avertible by a gigantic effort of the rest of the world. That is a conception not free from confusion, for what is avertible is not the will of the planet, but at most only a planetary tendency capable of being counteracted by another planetary tendency-with which we may range ourselves! This gense of freedom to fight Fate is not to be found in the brooding Belgian poet, but the outraged Belgian patriot feels it in his blood and bones. And even Hardy turned from an agnostic philosopher into a Wessex yeoman when at the call of the blood he affixed a doggerel tag to the stage representation of his fatalistic drama, some words like

> "The images of old haroje wass May apur to emulate our ancentorn."

Philosophy, we perceive, breaks down in the streas of action, and it is no true philosophy that would build a drama on a basis which dramatista themselves, put to the test, are the first to abandon.
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## XI.

But whether it is the business of the dramatist to indicate his own "planetary tendency" is a moot point. According to Bradley, he should, and our British thinker finds fault with Hegel for ignoring that one of the colliding forces that make drama may be evil. No fault can be found with Stephen Phillips on this score, for his Kaiser is merely Attila reanimated by Satan. This is a sufficient warning against writing topical drama before Time, which tries all, has sifted things unmistakably. The spirit is like to be as partisan as the matter is raw. Think what a contemporary English poet would have made of Joan of Are! Think what even the author of "King Henry VI." made of her!
The dynamic drama has, indeed, its place. The dramatistlike Brieux in some of his plays-may seek to enforce a point of view. But the dynamic drama, like the topical, has its risks. Like the political pamphlet, it is apt to become obsolete by its own success or its own failure, and to turn into a platitude or an absurdity. The poet is safest in limiting himself to the clash of forces. For Life offers enough of beauty and pity and terror to build the highest art, aīi. these abide eternally, and appeal afresh and under constantly changing aspects to every fresh generation. To the apostles of causes the lack of the didactic will appear as a grave defect, but if the poet has written greatly he cannot avoid teaching. Prudens quastio dimidium responsionis. A wise question is half the answer, said Bacon in one of his profoundest sentences. And the artist's exposition of the colliding forces cannot fail to throw light upon the rights and the wrongs thereof.
Since these colliding forces run through creation-war proper being only what Bacon calls an "ostensive instance "-it follows that the drams, whose life is clash, is the truest of all literary torms. "All things run," said Heraclitus. He should have said that they run into one another. Nothing exists but by clashing against something else, which by limiting it also defines it, just as the sea and the land-"commensurate antagonists" Elia finely calls them-perpetually bound and fashion each other. Tragedy is thus no external accident, but the very root of reality.
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snys Meredith. But it is not only "by what ia falee within". that " we we betrayed." Tragedy is of the texture of things.
" Annt lacryma serum."
Superfucus, therefore, to revive Beeluebub or Belial or to hatch new-Iangled Spirits of Irony and Pity, when life itself ofers every elesment of pathos and myytery, of horror and deviliy, that pockic dignity demands. Out of the clash and conflict of the lorives of life the modern dramatist may build a tragedy as noble and unadorned as a Doric temple sising 'twist sea and sky on its rocky headland.

## THE TWO EMPIRES

(From the Shakespeare Tercentenary Book of Homage.)

## Ir e'er I doubt of England, I recall

 Gentle Will Shakespeare, her authentic son, Wombed in her soul and with her meadown one, Whove tears and laughter hold the world in thrill, Impartial bard of Briton, Romad, Gaul, Jew, Gentile, white or bleck. Greek poets shun Strange realms of song-his ventures overrun The giobe, his sovereign art embraces all.Such too is Fingland's Empire-hers the art To hold all friths and races 'neath her sway, An art wherein love playe the better part. Thus comes it, all beside her fight and pray, While, like twin sons of that same mighty heart, St. George and Shakespeare share one April day.

## THE LEVITY OF WAR-POLITICS


#### Abstract

"I can juat momember, though I wee then a child, the controverny with the United States over Oregon which hrought both countrice to the verge of a conflich. In thot caco a vast and fartile territory was in dispate, a territory worth fighting for, 00 fir atia ita value want. Yot who has over doubted, when once the excitesment had prewed awny, that it would havo been a firghtiful misfortune for both nations had they fought for it? Since then, how many war panice have we not meen in Faghond? At one time man talked of war with France as inevitable; and within the lat ten years there were many who mot up Rumis as the enomy with whom thore coald be no nettled peace till there had frat beon a war. Now, by the axercies of a littie good ponse and good tomper on both sides, we have eatablished triendly relations with both thewe countries. Why not with all countries?"-Lond Burca in War and Peace, January, 1914 "Wait and woo."-Min' Acoutri.


## I.

Sprantive in the Elouse of Commons on January 20th, 1916, Sir Edward Grey described the most gigantic calamity that has ever befallen the human race as "a war forced upon Europe after every effort had been made to find a settlement without war, which could casily hove boon found." That cheers and not hisses followed this tremendous statement, means, I suppose, that it was taken as an impeachment of Germany for refusing the arbitrament of reason, whether in the shape of the Conference proposed by the speaker or the reference to the Bague Tribunal suggested by Serbia and the Trar. But if Germany refused the settlement that could so "easily have been found," she must either have done so because the diplomatists bungled their jobin which appalling alternative Sir Edward Grey may have contributed to the bungle-or because (as in 1870) she desired and preferred that arbitrament of the sword which even the Hague Conference left open to independent States-in which case a settlement could not "easily have been found "and Sir Fdward Grey was talking nonsense.

An examination of the facts makes it probable that the first alternative is the correct one, and if it be indeed true that the
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diplomatists bungled their job, what is to be said of the mongtrous levity with which mankind placed its fortunes in their hands ? If States and their populations have ceased to be estates pasaing with their tenantry from sovereiga to sovereign by dower, the peoples of Europe are still puppets worked by the makers of their Foreign Policy. So far as Eagland is concerned, its diplomatic representatives are not notorious for knowledge of languagen, paychology, or even foreign politics. Of the hundred and twenty big and little, who " lie abroad for their country's good," few have any experience of the land of their abode, and the consuls who do have experience. can hardly ever, rise to diplomatic rank. Diplomatic talent is understood to be limited to young gentlemen with not leus than four hundred a year. Sir Edward Pears telle us that in the fateful months preceding the entry of Turkey into the war neither our Ambassedor at the Porte nor his main secretaries could speak Turkish 1 That the Ambassadors, though the chartered spies of the nations, did not perceive the war coming is thus not calculated to surprise us. One of them-a representative of the Entente Powers at Berlin-gravely told the interviewer of Der Tag that the grouping of the Powers in the European Balance was the surest safeguard of peace. The date of this Solomonic utterance was May, 1014. And our Ministers-our hired watchmen-were equally myopic. Speaking at the festive boand of the Mansion House to the bankers and merchants of the City of London, Mr. Lloyd George-in the prehistoric times when he was Chancellor of the Rxchequer-said that if there was civil war in Ireland, complicated with industrial trouble, the situation would be "of the gravest with which any Govermment in this country has had to deal for centuries." This was said July 17th, 1914. And the same blindness appears in the Austrian Cabinet Ministers, all of whom had given their names in support of the Twenty-first World Peace Congress that was to have been held on September 15th to 10th, 1914, in the Parliamentary Buildings at Vienna. Well might the philosopher bid his son obmerve with how little wisdom the world is governed.

## II.

"Every effort had beep made to find a settlement without war !" Sir Edward Grey spoke truly. Not only did he toil like a galleyslave in the last desperate days of peace, but he had just concluded a rettlement with Germany over a number of colonial dangenpoints.

## THE WAR EOR THE WORLD

Unless Germany, therefore, absolutely meant war at any price, be was in a better poaition than over to keep us at peace with her. Austria, as we have seun, had a completely pacifist Cabinet. Why, then, did the negotiations fail? Iight is thrown upon this question by an actual member of the British War Cabinet. In an article published in the Daily Chronicle on the firstianniversary of the war, Mr. Masterman has given us an historic picture of the "company of tired men," sitting in almost continuous session during twelve hot summer days and nights, conscious that the whole future of civilization was at stake, and surrounded by a whir of telegrams from every capital in Europe, to the menace in which Sir Edward Grey kept replying by an endlessly changing series of conciliatory propocitions, pleading frenviedly if only for delay, even by a few hours.

Imagine the fate of "ie world hanging on the tick of a clock, on the frantic telegraphing of a "company of tired men," who had even forgotten, one hears, that the difference between London and Berlin time would make the respite even shorter than it eeemed.

## III.

"In the changing hours of that terxific struin " it is no wonder that Mr. Masterman could not understand the "combination of truculence and contempt " which ran through the German replies to Sir Edward Grey's heroic eflorts. A year later, with his brain lese "tired," he offers the explanation that Germany thought Sir Edward was only "bluffing." The War Party at Vienna and Berlin started with the frm conviction that "England would not fight."

There lies the dog-if I may quote a Cerman proverb. Sir Edward Grey could not get himself believed. He was the voice of England, yet he could not get her voice understood. If that is not to fail as her representative, I know not what failure is. And the incredulity with which he was met when he did menace war rested on his prior meeknesses. He had been a peace-at-any-price man. He had let the Balkan States and the oppressed rninorities of the world understand that their sufferings must not disturb the repose of Europe. Let sleeping dogs lie, even if they overlaid infants. Peace was the supreme good. And, knowing how lightly all these dogs were sleeping and how carefully they had been divided into rival packs, one can understand his gingerly footsteps. But when at last his sense of England's honowr was stirred to
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Aghting-point, and be stamped a bold foot, no Central European dog cocked an ear or stificened a briotle. Germany did not believe England would fight, attests Baron Beyens, tho Belgian Minister at Berlin. ${ }^{1}$ If the boy who cried "wolf" too often was not believed when the woll actually appeared, the came fate befell the woll who had alvays gone dreseod as a lamb.
Could there be a grimmer irony ? Not only has Bir Edward Grey always failed to bluff \& la Palmerston, he han never even called up to the value of his hand. And when he at last does call up to its value he is supposed to be bluffing.

## IV.

The conclusion is inescapable that had our relations with Ruscia and France been alliances instead of ententes- "understandinge" which are really mirunderstandinge-had they been public politics instead of secret commitments or obligations of honour, Germany would have never risked "taking on " England simultaneously with France and Rusaia, though she obviously wanted war with the two lister.
Up to the eleventh hour France hervelf, nay Fingland hersell, did not know if England was coming in-witnese Preaident Poincart's appeal to King George on July sist, 1014; how much lese then could Germany know I Sir Edward Grey remained equivocal; he would and would not send support : we were not committed, he told both France and our House of Commons. And Lord Cromer authenticaten his scoursey: "In July, 1914, the Government of this country was wholly free from any engagement to support France or Russia in the event of war." It was this facing-bothe ways in the quest for peace that finally broke it.
Thus, it wis not "the Balance of Power" which has failed to keep the peace of Europe; it was the uncertainty whether the equilibrating alliances existed or not on our nide. We had their entanglements, but not their prophylectic profit.
In the lact analysis the blame lies less on the unfortunate Foreign Secretary, who could not make himself understood in German, than on the syitem which combines the defects of satocracy with the drawbecks of democracy; which gave Sir
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Edward Grey a free hand to undertake obligations that without the ratification of Parliament he could not fulai. For part of his original indecinion anme from uncertainty as to the attitude of the Commons.

They called it "continuity of Foreign Policy "-this subtraction of the power of treaty-maling from Parlinment. Hed the Inner Cabinet Arrot anked Parliament mokat Foreign Poliey wais to be continuove, we should all have understood our sesponsibilities; wo ahould have elther made a peace with Germany or an unequivocal alliance against: her; and if we thought even France, Rusaia, and our fleet united would not be sufficient to keep the monster quiet, we ahould all have endorved Lord Roberts's demand for National Service, and the transition to a more or lem millitary State would have been made methodically and not enforced in a panic with all its disorder and discontent.

Leaving the Cabinet in the midat of its tragic anvieties, Mr. Marterman one dar., he telle us, went to spenk at an immense provincial meeting. And when he upoke of the pooulble imminence of war, halk the audience thought he was ineane and halk that he was trying to evade the topics that really mattered. And this is twentieth century democracy! "Be not like dumb driven cattle," said Longelllow. But it is as cattile that our cons and our brothers have gone to the shambles.

## $\nabla$.

Again, if it in true that a settlement could caolly have been found - day before the war, why cannot it as eacily be found a day after the war, not to say two years after? Why must we gamble with the lives and resources of genarations because forsooth diplomatic dignity or Machiavellian prudence requires that neither side shall make a move towards conciliation? As it it were all a gigantic Iandelide boyond human interference ! Why should negotiations be broken off by war instead of remaining continuously in being, the sival diplomatists feeling each other's pulee day by day? Crucified humanity cries out against such cataclymmic imbecility. Again, if a settlement could casily have been tound, it cannot be so abmolutely necessary that "the military domination of Germany shall be wholly and finally destroyed." On Auguat 2nd, 1014, it was, according to Sir Edward Grey, quite eacy to live in Europe with Germany. On Auguast sth this became so impossible that the flower of England and the resources of generations must be
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mecrisced to wipe out Germany. It unay be caid that the invacion of Belcium was a revelation; it wos no revelotion, for military plans exdited againat the contingenoy-ns againat every otherand it had been discuseed by Mr. Belloc and other military wittern. What Germany was we already knew from the treatment of Aleaco-Lorraine and Prumian Poland. Yet we continued to live forty-lour years in the rame world with her. A cat has even lived amicably with a rat, according to to an enzeging atory in The Times. ATalmudical parable runs: "' You and I cannot live in the came world,' sald God to the haughty man." But England in not God, and she is only lese "haughty" than Germany.
One can understand that after those twelve hot, tragio dayn, and that apparent "combination of truculence and contempt" in face of all the tired men's efforts for peace, Mr. Aequith's irritated brain should declare that we would not sheathe the sword till the military domination of Germany had been "wholly and innally" deatroyed. But what ane cannot understand is the levity with which Mr. Asquith long repeated the exact words of this moment of brain-weariness without any attempt to modify their "truculence and contempt," or at least to clarify and expand them into a practical political propoaition. I would respectifully commend to Ms. Asquith the profound warning of Burke that "firmness is then anly a vistue when it accompanies the most perfect visdom," and that "inconstancy is a sort of natural corrective of human theirmity." ${ }^{1}$

## V.

"No one in his wildeat dreams," aaid Mr. Masterman, "would have imagined a year ago to-day" that we should have three million volunteers or raised a thousand millions for the war, ete., etc. Mr. Masterman, in his justifiable pride as a Briton, torgot he was damning himself as a Cabinet Minister. If the Cabinet did not foresee they would raise the necessary force: and finances, how dared they go into the war? Bloch had explained in six volumes that war was now an athair of trenches, yet they, Mr. Lloyd George unblushingly confesses, had not foreseen that trench warfare and the munitions therefor would play such a great part. Moreover, in view of this very Eurupean
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war, ho and his colloagues had arraged for an Expeditionary Force of 100,000 meen. That was to be Eagland's military contribution, for she whe, they sald, a naval Power. Yet within - year they dicooversed we needed to send not 100,000 men; nor even a million men, but every available Eaglishman, even at the risk of ahattering the proverbial "palladium of Britiah liberty." Imacine a hoveckecper who, charged to be always prepared for a dosen gueste of carual advent, is found, when the long-expected visitors arrive at last, to have laid in two tomatoes and halif atin of condensed soup I

## VII.

"I don't think they play at all fairly, and they all quarrel 20 dreadfully one can't bear onesell spenk-and they don't seem to have a : r sules in particular, at least, is there ave, nobody attends to them - and you've no idea how confusing it is, all the things being alive." So said "Alice in Wonderland," and the moot cursory examination of history revenis it as a Wonderiand truly Alicisa. If the present war was due to the ambiguity attaching to ententes, the Crimean War was due to the ambiguity of a treaty. Saye Juatin MoCarthy in his "Eistory of Our Own Times" (Vol. II., chap. 25) :
> "It may not perhape give an uninitiated reader any very exalted opinion of the utility and beauty of diplomatic arrangements, to hear that disputes covering more than a century of time, and causing at least two great wass, arose out of the impossibility of reconciling two different interpretations of the meaning of two or three lines of a treaty."

The Franco-Prussian war-the prelude to the present cater stropho-reveals the cume tersifying flippancy: high politiss would be high comedy were it not high tragedy. Although the first link in the fatal chain was forged by Germany, when the mulish militarist brain of Moltke was allowed to override the sagacity of Bismarck and Alsace-Lorraine was annexed, yet it cannot be overlooked that it was France herself that loosed the thunderbolts of war. However Bismarck, by doctoring the Ems telegram, may have fooled her, yet it was to the top of her own bent that she was fooled, and had there been no French fireeaters and no Empress eager for the glory of her son and her
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Church, the Franco-Promilan war would never have been. To quote another modern historian : ${ }^{2}$
"Whether the majority of the Ascembly really desired war is even now a matter of doubt. But the clamour of a hundred madmen within its walle, the ravinge of journalists and incendiaries, who at such a time are to the true expreasion of public opinion what the Spanion inquisition was to the Christian religion, paralysed the will and understanding of less infatuated men."
These madmen, es it turned out, ruined first their own country and then the world. Their ardour for war was only equalled by their unpreparedness for it, and France was humbled to the dust. England had been pro-German, but her chivalrous sympathy with the hardly-entreated lover might have turned her pro-French, had not the washing of disty linen after the war revealed "a private engagement between France and Pruasia which would have allowed France on certain conditions to annex Belgium."'s Alarmed and angry, England pressed upon France and Prussia a new treaty by which all three Powers bound themselves afresh to maintain the independence of Belgium. But this was not the orly flouting of parchment, for Russin had scized the opportunity of Prusais and France being at deathgrips to disavow the Treaty of Paris neutralizing the Black Sea, and Italy profited by the same pre-occupation of "The Concert of Europe" to re-occupy Rome. No wonder Gilbert in "The Happy Land " made Mr. Ayrton define a treaty as "that useful instrument which enables the man of honour to promise, when taken at a disadvantage, that which (under happier ciscumstances) he has not the remotest intention of performing." With European politics thus proceeding "on the hold assumption that the stronger has always a right to do anything he pleases with the weaker," ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ or on the well-known formula of Wordsworth,

> "The good old rule, the nimple plan
> That they may take who have the powor, And they may teep who can,"
the attempt to apply suddenly a standard of "All for Law, or the World Well Lost," is of a flippancy almost too great even for politics.
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## VIII.

This "law of the stronger" was scecepted by Europe when it allowed Alsace-Lorraine to be annexed and blood and iron to be established as the suling principle. The sequel has been in keeping. Rape was followed by mesalliance, when France, re-estranged from Gagland, distraught between dreams of revanche and nightmares of further disintegration at the hands of the Huns, threw herself into the arms of Russia and her savings into its lap, the first civilization in the world thus mismating with one of the most backward. "The Rights of Man " which had been the goupel and glory of the ville lumicre were abandoned with a levity worthy of a Mexican mob. I remember the days when the Franco-Russian alliance was being cemented, the popularity at the Paris Foire aus Pain d'Epices of the gingerbread effigies of hand-shaking French and Russian sailors. In the very quarter of the Bastille the fickle populace had already forgotten Liberty; throughout France the peasants strangled her with their stockings.
Nor can all our admiration for the sublime stand France is making to-day-her whole population knit in love and sacri-fice-blind us to her levity in not bearing sons for the day of battle. By an infinitely imprudent prudence and a tragically improvident providence she reduced her families to a minimum, and simultaneously with pining for Alsace-Lorraine and reaching out for Morocco, she surrendered portions of her own beloved soil to black and yellow labour, importing Africans and coolies for her vineyards and coal-mines. ${ }^{2}$

The ominous growth of the German navy brought England more vaguely into the same grouping and ultimately into battleline with Russia, her bogey of the last generation ; with Serbia, from which she had only reces ly withdrawn her Minister; with Montenegro, whose war habits, though they kindled Tennyson, have chilled Miss Durham, who really knows them; and with other still less civibised populations. With equal levity the people of Goethe and Beethoven fraternized with the illiterate Turk, the people of Luther with the lethargic Mussulman, and the people of Kant with the assassins of Armenia. Even in such a record of levity the mutability of Italy stands pre-eminent.

The levity in the history of Belgium belongs rather to the Great Powers than to the tiny territory that, though neutralized,
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was allowed to have an army and even to go on great imperial adventures denied to Germany. To domesticate a kitten and then let it produce a brood of Congolese tiger-cats ; to safeguard a country's neutrality and not its morality; seems to me an inconsequence of which only politicians are capable. The Belgian Minister for the Colonies told us at a banquet this February that "Belgium without the Congo was unthinkable." I am very villing Belgium shall have a great colonial empire-she is perhaps the one country that now deserves it, and whose tribulations will have taught her sympathy even wita blacks. But my brain is quite able to think of a Belgium without the Congo, and quite unable to think of a Congo'd Belgium as entitled to a protective neutrality.
In such a sphere as politics-where "to think clear and to see straight " is impolitic-it is no wonder that none of the belligerent populations is able to bear the truth about its own military and naval operations, and that the word "success" must accompany every notification -a levity that does not shrink even from

## "SUCCESS OF OUR RETRBAT!"

How long we hid from ourselves the truth about the Dardanelles, where, in the words of Armold White, "you cannot pierce the carth with a bayonet in any square yard of the beaches of Hellas and Suvia Bay without touching the corpse of a British, an Australian, or an Indian soldier !" This monumental example of levity in military operations was probably mainly due to neglect of Lord Salisbury's famous advice to "get large maps." We were only a few miles from an historic capital. Looking round the world for some comforting instance of absence of levity, I can only find it in the warnings of those German Socialists who opposed the annexation of Alsace-Lorraine as holding the seeds of future war, and in the Social Democratic group in the Alsace-Lorraine Assembly, who eighteen months before this war issued a manifesto appealing for a loyal understanding between France and Germany, as, even to be re-annexed to France, they could not contemplate brain can imagine."

## IX.

On July 17th, 1914, Mr. Lloyd George, addressing the bankers already mentioned, said :
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#### Abstract

" It is sed that so much of the capital of the world should be wasted in wars and preparations for wars. During the last ten years alone the nations of the world have epent 4,600 millions in war and preparations for war1,000 millions more than Britain has advanced in fitty years to civilize the world."


Not three weeks later he had consented to a war which now costs us ife millions a day, and the total cost of which for the Allies is some'twelve millions a day, the very sum which paid bis vaunted old age pensions for a year. Well might Lord Sumner say that " if the House of Lords and the House of Commons could be thrown into a volcano every day the loss represented would be less than the daily cost of the campaign." The expression was unfortunate, since our sense of loss in such a contingency is not acute, but the image is vivid. And to think that John Bright once fulminated because the annual expenditure on our Army and Navy was $\mathbf{~} 26,000,000 \mathrm{I}$. It has been calculated that should the war last another year the total cost to the Allies would be $88,000,000,000$. Mr. Arthur Kiddy, city editor of the Morning Post, estimated the total expense for all the belligerents at $£ 12,000,000,000$, of which rather more than $88,000,000,000$ would fall upon England. ${ }^{2}$ Such astronomical figures are perhaps the cause of the levity with which we dispense them. They mean no more to us than the distances of the Milly Way. But even these figures are too small, for they do not allow for the fact that the expenditure is destructive, and each pound destroys-it has been estimated-ten shilings. Dr. Anna S. Shaw in her fascinating autobiography relates how when a child of four she visited Speke Island of Queenstown and watched the convicts, whose "hard labour" was to carry buckets of water from one shore to the other and empty them into the sea. But war labour is even more wasteful, for it does not merely dissipate present labour ; it destroys past labour too. Not to mention the cost of that cheapest of commodities, human life.

Levitas, levitas, omnis levitas!

[^45]
## THE PLACE OF PEACE

So came I to a scene of Witches' Sabbath-Ear-cracking cannon-claps made devils'-thunder, Mixed with the hiss and flare of foul explosives And screams of disembowelled men and horses. Green o'er the soil a ghastly vapour glided, In heaven, roaring, hung death-raining navies, Rocks burst into eye-gouging chips of granite, The waters spouted up in boiling pillars, Death boomed at once from earth and sky and ocean, And men of every race, black, white or yellow, At death-grips, clawed and stabbed and bit and throttled. Miasma-breeding, lay unburied corpses, Envied of youths gangrened and semi-frozen. Leviathans ten thousand shipwrights toiled at, With freights, the harvest of a world of workers, Were gulped like paper-boats, and as an infant Rubs figures from its slate, the painful garner Of generations-cities, railways, harbours, And carven treasure of the Middle AgesWas childishly expunged. I saw around meLooming incarnadined, phantasmagoricMillions of torsos, eyeless, noseless, limbless, Millions of women, binding up the bleeding, Millions of women wailing o'er the corpses To make which other women fashioned fire-balls ; On all the roads processions blister-footedOld men, and haggard women, violated, And crying children falling dead from hunger. God I such a maze and burr bemused my brain-cells, That half distraught I asked a dying groaner, "What is this place, and what purports this frenzy ?"
"It is," he said, with kindling eye and accent,
"The plain of Armageddon, and the war For righteousness."

## THE PLACE OF PEACE

## I fled that dreaditul valley,

Stumbling through bloody mists and fumes and roarings, Until the last reverberations faded, And in the sunlit grounds of some great mansion I found sweet haven. There among the roses, And on the grass in all its green enchantment, Walked gentle women with attendant mankind, Whilst here and there upon the sward recumbent Beside their shadows in some nook of summer, I noted pesceful figures so engronsed, Fach seemed the apirit of the brooding season. One read, one toyed with chese-men, one lay fluting, One wrote a scroll in inks of many colours, One drew great pentagons and epicycles, One calculated horoscopes; the noblest, A priestly figure with a beard white-flowing. Interpreted a text apocalyptic. Enraptured with this place of peace, I questioned A passer what it was.

Quoth he, "A mad-house I"

## THE MILITARY PACIFISTS


#### Abstract

"It weo the mane matice which the iovil empioyn, when he would medace thew who are en their guard, ty tumoforming himolf from an angel of darkone into an angel of light, and metting plaviblo appearancem betore them, cuarime hirs poitet if the clamen foot be not man in the begimatig." "Dom Quarosis"


## 1.

Twe Pacific Eacifits are bad enough for the temper. The "sea-green incorruptible" of Pacifism, for example, reproaches me for refusing to think the soldier negligible. "Fighting is for tig 1 ", " he writes to me, "and I do not happen to be a tiger." Uniortranately other creatures do happen to be tigers, and I am vastly ebliged by the soldier and his rifle. The Pacifist is a shirker, not of military duty, but of unpleasant facts. Needs must when the devil drives, and a citizen army, purely for defensive puxpones, with civil rights, and war under democratic control is-at this stage of human evolution when Reason and Love are embryonic and insufficiently diffused-in no essential contradiction with the spirit of Pacifism. So, too, righteous rebellion is no more war proper than resistance to assassination is violence proper.
But the Pacific Pacifists are bearable compared with the Military Pacifists. Their notion of ending war by wiping out Germany is the most dangerous form of homicidal mania now endemic. These well-menaing Utopians overlook two amall things-that you cannot end Germany and that you cannot end war, at least in our time. It is true Mr. Asquith continues to ingeminate that "the military domination of Germany" must be "wholly and finally destroyed," but Mr. Asquith appears to believe like the Bellman in "The Hunting of the Snaris": "What I tell you three times is true." 1 Even the Russian Foreign Miniater, Sazonofl, has had sense enough to declare that you cannot extirpate nearly seventy millions of people. And uniess you do extion-
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pate them you can no more get rid of their bellicosity than you can breed hedgehogs without bristles.
Delonda ant Carthago. After twenty centuries, nineteen of them Christian, two great countries again at death-grips, one omnipotent at sea and one apparentiy invincible on lapd, and each crying this of the other !

It is true I have myself walked over the ruins of Carthage. But it required three Punic Wars and a hundred and cighteen years to destroy her, and Cato, of the famous delenda ect, did not live to see it done. Whereas our Pacifist Militarists want to make only one bite at their cherry. And this although, as Bonar Law pointed out, it was the commercial Carthage that was conquered by military Rome, and though it is we that have, like Carthage, the motley hordes, and Germany which has, like Rome, the unified army.
As a rule, Utopians do no harm, if little good. But in chasing the mirage of a Germany in ruins they may work woeful mischief to England, setting her fortunes, as they do, on the fall of a single die, and declaring, as they do, that nothing matters-not even bankruptcy-so long as the pursual of their Will-o'the-Wisp is unrelaxed. Being militarists, they imagine themselves practical, and that is the worst delusion of all. When a "practical" man gets a bee in his bonnet, his vary command of the machinery of action makes him infinitely more dangerous than your pale academic idealists. Imagine Sancho Panza tilting against windmills ! In his fury against giants he would have actually destroyed the sails as well as himsell. Whereas Don Quixote only killed seven sheep when he mistook them for the squadrons of Alifanfaron, his henchman would have slain the flock. Your Military Pacifist not only idealizes his impossible Dulcinea, he would actually marry her. He would pay a pedigree price for Rozinante.

## II.

The notion of ending war by the sword is not only chimerical -like the notion of ending beards by the razor-it has not even the moral value of most Utopian ideas. As I wrote to a Peace Conference: "Tennyson, who is considered out-of-date, though he predicted the Zeppelins, has really said the last word on the subject.

> ' Move upward, working out the beast, And let the ape and tiger die.'

## THE MILTARY PACIFISTS

In short, all war is gorilla warfare, and can only ead when the gorilla is worked out. Even, therefore, if we could extirpate Germany and leave our children the legaoy of a compulsory military pesce, they would only be like the children of millionaires, who generally go to the dogs. Every generation must work out its own peace or fight its own battles. There is no pre-natal salvation. The world can only be saved by Reason and Love. But even of these each generation must bring its own."

## III.

The whole conception of setting up posterity in vegetable beatitude belongs, in fact, to the same order of religious thinking as the lotus-eating heaven that awaits ourselves. "No patchedup peace," cries the Stop-the-Peace party. "Nothing that would expose our children to a revival of the German menace." We are, forsooth, to be wild boars that our children may be tame pigs in clover. But we cannot, if we would, steal their burdens and responsibilities. Nothing can be saved or lost except for our own generation. To suppose that you can establish a State, or even a state of peace, in scacula sceculorum is a fallacy. As Mr. G. K. Chesterton has pointed out, a post painted white does not remain white. Zoroaster and the old Persian theologians who saw the universe under the image of the war of Ormuzd and Ahriman failed in insight and courage when they threw in the sop of a "final " victory with the coming of "The Good Kingdom," or "The Kingdom of Desire."
The reward of battle is not victory, but the beginning of new battle, and the cost of everything must be paid again and again. Nothing is on sale, but everything on hire, and it is not liberty alone whose price is eternal vigilance. Have we not just seen that no British might, however ancient, is beyond challenge; no British right, however constitutional, beyond annulment; no British newspaper, however old-established, beyond bankruptey ?

One mint ask the Military Militarists at least why, if war brings $\quad$ y noble virtues, our children should be removed from: . uences. And one might ask even the Pacifist Militarists why our children should not "do their bit."
"The work we have on hand must be done once for all," says The Times. That is dangerous nonsense. "Never again !" says the Military Pacifist. And echo answers mockingly: "Ever
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again !" For there must be either a win or a draw. If a win, the conquered side will prepare for reprisals-alis Rlache or la revamehs ; Hif draw, either adde will think
"Oh, the little mone, and how much it in."

Far saner is the saying of the Talmud: "It is not thy duty to complete the work, neither is it thy duty to neglect it."

## IV.

When, therefore, we find Mr. Asquith saying at a Lord Mayor's banquet, "Be the journey long or short, we shall not pause or falter until we have secured for the amaller States of Europe their charter of independence, and for Europe ittell, and for the world at large, their final emancipation from the reign of force," though our heart glow, and we see the world through rosy mists as of Guildhall port, yet our head mirgives us. For though Mr. Asquith's journey, is in the right direction, and I wish him God-speed, yet if it means that this final emancipation is to be wrought at one blow, and if to deliver this blow we are to throw the "British Empire's last shilling" upon the green eloth, then it is a madder Quixotism than Cervantes ever dreamed of. But Mr. Asquith's knight-errantry seems to know no bounds. Did he not cay when he was falsely accused of telling what would at worat have been a diplomatic or white-paper lie about Lord Kitchener's alleged reaignation, that that would have been "stooping to an infamy almost indescribable"? What words, I wonder, would he have had left for a statesman who remained in offee after saying that sooner than introduce conseription he would resiga.
So white a flower of blamelps life has seldom been seen in a politician's buttonhole. But if standards of honour are to be kept at such Alpine heights, we cannot lower our standards of sanity too abysmally. The Stop-the-Peace party should really attend to Tolstoy's edjuration to "stop and think."

$$
\mathbf{v}
$$

As for Perpetual Peace, Immanuel Kant, who wrote a great little treatise on the subject in the practical form of a treaty, did not expect humanity ever to reach it. It was to be a "regulative" idee. Aad it was to te epproached, not by militarism,
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but by moral improvement. Not was it powible, without grave injustices, till humanity had organized itsell in sepublice. Kant, who was as shrewd as he was profound-he had Scotch bloodanw to the heart of a subject about which mort pacifists-and mort of the well-meaning World Parliament projecta now pouring co protusely from the Prese of every country-merely grope and tumble.

Before you can have the "United States of Europe" you must have the separate republican States that America united. To unite States at so many varying phaces of political evolutioneven if a principle of representation could be found-would tend to stereotype the backward. For either the central authority would not interfese with their internal affairs, thus leaving their present despots a free hand, or it would interfere to repress revolution, and thus make it eternally hopeless.
It was upon this rock that the Holy Alliance of 1815 split. Peace, though honestly sought, was sought, not on the basis of a re-arrangement of the world by Reason and Love, but on the existing basis of autocracies and monarchies, with a potentislity of dragooning minorities, national or sectional, by the "Supernational Authority" of which we now hear again. Moreover, when it is sought to net up a tribunal of justice among the nations on the analogy of justice among individuals, the analogy breaks down. For what is a nation ? What is England ? What Germany? What Russis ? These are living and therefore perpetually shifting concepts, alvays expanding, diminishing, changing. How, again, find a common basis for Mexico and China, for Canada and Monaco? If it be said that individuals too differ in size and strength and wealth and are constantly changing in all these qualities, and yet a common rule of justice hias been established, the answer is that it has not been established. A state of comparative social peace has been establishedtranquillity tempered by strikes and starvation. It is not, as Nietzsche argued, that social ethics is the device of the weak to keep themselves in existence against the strong. Quite the contrary. The social order is the device of the strong to keep the weak in existence for their service. Until a righteous social order In entablished we cry "Peace, peace, when there is no peace," even in the individual commonwealth.
"The Kingdom of God," like charity, begins at home. When it is in reasonable swing there, we may begin to link it up with other provinces of the kingdom. To bring about a millennium
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of the existing order-uninformed by social pacrion and devold even of the tragle spirituality of war-would be to bring about, not the ITingdom of God, but of the devil. The sond to Mr. Asquith's noble ideal in long and toilsome. I ame very willing we shall not pause and talter in it, but to auppose that the destruction of Germany is the end of the journey, to ery Dolenia eat Carthago in the name of Pespetual Peace generations belore the world is ripe for it, is mese chicanery.

## VI.

Perpetual Peace, in its literal sense, is as much a fallecy as perpetual motion, nay a greater fallacy, for perpetual motion, though we cannot create it, at least exists in Nature, whereas Perpetual Peace does not exist at all. If it did, it would mean a universe, not of life, but of death, and it is as barren an ideal for humanity as for Nature. What is meant, however, is not stagnation, but movement without murder. Even this cannot be found in Nature, nor can humanity create it except within the narrow human sphere. But it is as posible there as within the narrower spheres of families, clans, and nations, and were the Martians really able to invade our globe and perpetually menacing us, it would be achieved to-morrow. Hegel, who preceded Treitschke and Moltke in glorifying war, held war was indigpensable because everything needed oppocition. He forgot that humanity finds all the opposition it needs in Nature.

The question remains whether our Quizote could utterly destroy Germany, even if it was the knightly thing to do. But that is a technical question which the militarists can answer better than I. My province is merely to point out that that way lies Madness, not Perpetual Pence.
"From the lie there comes no life," said Heine, "and God can never be saved by the devil."

## THE ABSURD SIDE OF ALLIANCES

> " Now B., on ecme convenient day, Will mate a secsot logive with A., In which they prectically wey
> Theyll go for C. togother; The meret, being cow of Atato, Is cortain to ovaporato, And C. may moon anticipato

> Extruanoly viltry weathor. 80 C. his nelighbour will fatigue With patriotio beso intrigue, Untll he maken a ceeret league

> With each of both the others ; And any two to fight ase loth, Because the third in bound by oath To fight egainat and for them both, As onemies and brothern."

Admban Rom.

## 1.

Tamas immortal lines by a coniectioner of musical comedy, who in a more literate age might have become our Aristophanes, sufficiently dispose of "the Balance of Power" as a moral mechanism. "At the very moment the Aot of Algeciras was signed," wrote Baron Greindl, the Belgian diplomatic representative at Berlin in 1911, "three at least of the participating Powers were contracting undertakings among themselves which were incompatible with their public professions." As the poet goes on to aay-and Italy and Bulgaria have illustrated the thesis afresh-

> "You cannot depend On a foe or a friend When it comes to the Balance of Power."

The question is, however, not one of morals, but of politicsof security, first, against war; secondly, against conquest. But the first kind of security seems-for any individual member of the rival groups of Powers-to be diminished rather than enhanced, since friction between any two members compromises

## Muchocon Resoution MET CAAIT
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all the it.sers. Indeed, it is less surprising that the jugglers should drop one of so many balls than that they should keep them all safely in the air. And the fall of one means the collapse of all. Thus a shot fired in Serbia has assassinated millions of every race, creed and colour, and sent people to die at their Antipodes or in regions they had never heard of. Australians have perished in Gallipoli, and the bones of Dorset Yeomanry lie in the deserts of Tripoli.

Security against conquest is, however, another matter. San Marino has maintained herself for centuries by playing off one neighbour against another, and why should not the British Empire copy San Marino ? That policy is not refuted by Mr. shaw's cumparison of it to the attempt to empty the Atlantic by
uring its water into the Pacific. Redistribution of forces is its or a ace. To balance things in motion means perpetual shifting o: position. To be with Prussia against France in 1815 and with France against Prussia in 1915, to be with Turkey against Russia in the Crimean War and with Russia against Turkey to-day, is not the absurdity I would indict. For Lord Salisbury to say "The Ottoman Empire must stand," and for Mr. Asquith to say it must fall, is not ridiculous. Circumstances alter cases. What is absurd in this shifting quadrille is to lampoon the partner of yesterday and beslaver the partner of to-day.

## II.

There are obviously two, and only two, methods of political alliance. The one seeks the line of greatest united power, the other of greatest common ideals. The first is a mechanical union, the second a moral. A moral union is obviously only possible between nations of the same degree of political development. Thus when Russia pursued what the foreign editor of the Novoe Vremya now calls the "ill-omened policy" of supporting "thrones," wherever they tottered, when it combated republican France, propped up 'Iurkey, and built up Prussia and the Kaiser, its alliances were moral. When it joined republican France, the alliance was mechanical.

Now it may be politically permissible for a nation to marry, so to speak, for money and position, and not for love. But it is not permissible to pretend that the heiress is your affinity. For though it is not theoretically impossible to achieve such a happy match, it is an unlikely political contingency that the path of safety and power should also coincide with the course of true
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love or the road to righteousness. Such alliances, if not immoral, become so when they pretend to be moral.

Yet it is this make-believe that all nations childishly play at, it is in honour of this puerile pretence that presidents, kings and emperors raise their glasses. The rich and newly-divorced bride is invariably beautiful, and the love that binds her and her new partner is a romantic passion. In the quest of "the Balance of Power " the erstwhile President of the Amphictyon of Europe must woo with mandolin, purse, and sonnet every minx and drab of a State that once panted for a single glance from his beaur yeux. Sir Edward Grey is simultaneously glorified as the paladin of Europe and vilipended for having failed to win over what is now described as "bloodthirsty Bulgaria." One wonders if Roumania got ready noble manifestos for either contingency.
The notion that our alliances can be moral and not mechanical survives the revelation that Sir Edward Grey has had to bribe his way, or has tried to bribe his way, offering now Cyprus to Greece, anon the Dalmatian coast to Italy, and that we are compelled to tolerate the Militarismus of Japan against China, despite our treaty obligation to maintain the integrity of Chinese independence.
The German Chancellor in a flash of candour admitted that the invasion of Belgium was wrong. The blush of shame was transient and soon sicklied o'er with the pale cast of sophistry. But why blush at all ? Why should we not all admit that necessity tnows no law-and no love?

## III.

With alliances candidly envisaged as political and mechanical, the incessant chassex-crofsex of the political dance would not expose us to the indecent necessity of virtuous protestation. Germany-herself guilty of siding with the assassins of the Armenians-makes great play with our hypocrisy in calling in coloured troops to "take up the white man's burden." It is strange how, forgetting that $K$ rieg iot Krieg, she becomes as romantic as Ruskin where other people's cold-bloodedness is concerned. The real inadvisability of such alliances lies in their future rebound on ourselves.
But apart from the fact that coloured interests are threatened by Germany no less than white interests, these motley forces are to us mere engines and munitions of war, and they have the
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advantage over white allies that there is no need to express devoted affection for them. It is true a Manchester paper suggested I ought to recognize the enlightenment of our Fiji Islanders in choosing between us and Prussian militarism, but this was surely written by a budding Swift.
No, let us not be too adoring even of our white allies. Lord Melbourne said there was no $d-d$ nonsense of merit about the Garter; let there be no d-d nonsense of sentiment about alliances. Then we shall all look less silly. To-day, owing to the tactlessness of the censor and the editors, Russia has been so overdone with compliments that she has grown suspicious and begins to ask what chestnuts England wants pulled out of the fire. ${ }^{1}$ As for France, what schoolboy does not remember the disdain for the defeated of Vaterloo, the miseries of Froggy, the French Master ? Is he a hero now, I wonder, in every dormitory?
An octogenarian tells how he formed one of a bodyguard of young men to protect John Bright from the angry Manchester mob. Bright was then the "pro-Russian" who was ready to see Turkey dismembered, as Tsar Nicholas I, had so wickedly suggested.
Again and again Bright protested in his speeches that though he thought the safety of England did not demand that the ruili'ary power of Russia should be wholly and finally destroyed, yet ue was as good an Englishman as any anti-Russian.
The inconvenient memory of this octogenarian recalls that France was the Germany of his young days, the country that had to be crushed before she got too strong. Then the rhyme ran :

> " Two bony Frenchmen and one Portugee, One jolly Englishman can lick all three."

In 1858 there was a panic. Bent on revenge for Waterloo, France, it was said, designed to invade England. A pro-Frenchman was a traitor. Yet by "de end of 1858 the English and French were allies in the Crimean War. Frenchmen changed from "a people of treacherous and envious instincts " to "a polished and chivalrous nation," and the octogenarian remembers seeing Englishmen "hugging, and even kissing them, Continental fashion."

> "I have known Russians," he says, "to be regarded as the heroic saviours (along with ourselves) of Europe
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against France, then as dark conspirators against all civilization and human freedom, and finally as heroes of defence against the aggressions of a world-threatening German militarism. The Turks I have known in turns to be regarded as innocent 'gentlemen ' persecuted by Russia, vile assassins of Bulgaria, enlightened reformers under a regime of Young Turks, and finally as despicable tools of German wickedness. I need not say how Bulgaria itself has changed from good to bad, or how the Boers of South Africa have changed from bad to good. In my young days i'he Prussians were so popular that public-houses were called after their kings and generals. The hope of all Englishmen seemed to be that Prussia should become the centre of a great united Germany to form a bulwark against Russian possible aggressions. . . . When Russia conquered Poland our poet described how 'Freedom shrieked as Kosciuszko fell.' But I have lived to hear regrets at the ejection of Russia from Poland. It is a wondrous kaleidoscopic jumble " (Edward Owen Greening).

A jumble indeed! And who knows where Germany will be at the next shake ? Says Thackeray in his article on "The German in England," "It insults every country with which it has to deal by absurd assumptions of superiority. It threatens all with war, or discord, or invasion; it shuts up its ports to foreign commerce, and distrusting everyone, cheating where it can, bullying where it dares, and insolent always, it bewails the unfriendliness of Europe, and complains of unjust isolation."
Thackeray was speaking not of Germany but of France-the France of 1842.
And for this France the seeds of distrust lingered on till the very eve of the Great War. Witness our shrinking from the construction of a Channel Tunnel which would have now-free from submarine risk-not only conveyed our soldiers to the Continent, but subserved the still more valuable function of pouring in food to us from all allied and neutral lands in the event of Germany's success in seriously interfering with our food-ships. Now we have come back to the hugging and kissing, hut our countries, alas ! are still uncoupled. And to think that in a few years hence the quadrille will be differently disposed; the presidents and the emperors now devastating each others'
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dominions in implacable enmity will be gaising their glasses to each other with rhetorical flourishes.

It is all very like the mentality of schoolboys, among whom the thickest comrades are apt to tumble into a period of dumb hostility to be succeeded by a period of enihanced appreciation. I can vividly recall the bliss of these reconciliations when the rosy points of the boycotted pal fused into a picture more glowing than ever. Indeed, the whole war is reminiscent of a school. boy scuffle, with each urchin crying to the Master: "Piease sir, it wasn't me. He began it." It would all be aupremely laughable were it not also a tragedy too deep for tear.
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"For with worde we govern men."-Lond Buaconarinco.
"We shall not sheathe the sword . . . till the military domination of Prussia is wholly and finally destroyed. . . ."Mr. Aequith.

No patched-up peace that will expose our children to a revival of the German menace. -Joun Hodge, M.P. and British statesmen generally.

Unless Germany is forced out of Belgium, all Europe will be under the rule of blood and iron.-British Press, passim.
"This must be a war to end war."-Mr. H. G. Wells.
" It is absolutely necessary that Russia and England be driven from their present unnatural position of power." Herr Pattat, late President of the Austrian Chamber of Deputies.
"If we do not accomplish this, the war will end without any real decision, and peace will not liberate the world from the perpetual war-danger with which England and Russia threaten the civilized world."Herr Pattaj.
"Unless Belgium is evacuated, there will be an appalling era of militarism, directed against Germany." - Bund Neves Vaterland."
"The supreme task of the negotiators of the settlement must be to exterminate not only war itself, which has destroyed whole generations, but also the fever of arma-ments."-Herr Bakun in Vossische Zeitung.
"They must also devise some sort of assurance that this bloody war will not be followed
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be playing the fool if we proceed to set up a fiscal system which inevitably makes for illwill among nations."-J. M. Robertson, M.P.
"Belgium, and I will add Serbia, must recover all, and more than all, they have lost." -Mr. Asquitir.
"The war was made in Ger-many."-I. Zanawili's "Appeal to Neutrals."

Forty years of preparation for the crushing of England.British Press, passim.
"No one thought of attacking Germany ; there was not a measure taken by any other Power that was not purely defensive ; the German preparations were for attack and were far ahead of others on the Continent." - Sir Edward Grey.
by an economic war."-Herr Baluns in same.
"One has never heard anything, on the other hand, as to England and Japan being willing to give up the colonies occupied by them."-Vossische Zcitung.
"We did not want this war." -Bethmann-Hollweg.
" It was not we who conjured up this war."-Count Trisa (Austria).
"A programme for the smashing of Germany drove her opponents into the war."Hamburger Fremdenolatt (Militarist).
"For the last forty-three years there has not been a single man in the whole domain of Germany, who wanted war, not one . . . In England, on the contrary, I found during my last visits in 1907 and 1908 everywhere a frightful, blind hatred of Germany and the impatient expectation of a war of annihilation." - Housron Candiberlain in the Father. land.
"The dread of Germany's designs was a delusion, a disastrous misunderstanding." Manifesto of $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ German intellectuals.
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"With the Germans their own natural superiority has become a first principle.G. K. Chrsterton in New York American.
"The fleet is at this moment performing not for Britain alone nor yet for Britain's Allies, but for the whole world a most important part in the drama now being played out for the freedom of the world."-Ma. Balpour at the Empire.

> "The Bulwark of the cause of man."-The Times.
"We and our Allies believe that we are fighting to maintain the cause of Christ."-The Bishop of Norwich.
"We shall not pause or falter till we have assured . . . for Europe and for the world at large their final emancipation from the reign of force." Mr. Asquitra.
"Germany's philosophy is that a settled peace spells disintegration, degeneracy, \&c. We are fighting this idea."Sir Edward Grey.

Bryce Report on the atrocities in Belgium. Press comment on the sinking of the Lusitania, the Zeppelin raids,
"The Germans err rather on the side of an exaggerated appreciation of the merits of other nations."-Houston ChamberLarn in the Fatherland.
"Germany is really fighting for the whole of Europe when trying to break England's rule."
-Kölnische Volkszeitung.
"We are fighting for a just cause, for freedom, for the right of our nation to exist, for a long future peace."-The Kaiser.
"We are fighting against a hydra of enemies in a battle for our existence and for the liberty of the world."-TaAR Ferdinand.
" A war for truth and right, for humanity and morality: a war for Christianity itself." -Pastor Dorrfuss.
"Christmas still finds the peoples of Europe engaged in the sorry task of turning this old and beautiful Continent into a heap of ruins."-Herr Ballin.
"We have hated war, To us it was the nightmare oi the world.
Alone we bear the load now; That eternal peace may come." -Bruno Frane, Strophen im Kriege.
"These things are not separate acts, but links in the system of murder-the question is justified whether we
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Bombardment of Rheims Cathedral, de.
"A war made up, mainly, apparently of calculated ferocity, shameful and murderous atrocities. My German neighbours were after all, it appears, apies, and the stories of a longplanned invasion only too true." - Letter to Nobman Angrin, printed in War and Peace.
"Then by what right can you still pretend, as you have written, that you are fighting for the cause of liberty and progress?"-Romann Rolland (open letter to Gerhart Hauptmann).
" The strugnle of civilization itself against barbarism." Bergson.
> "The killing of Germans is a divine service."-Archdeacon Wilberforce.

"Germany has violated the Hague Conventions by pillage, illegal levies, bombarding undefended towns, torpedoing passenger vessels, collective penalties for individual acts, wanton destruction of artistic buildings, \&c."-Nerw Statesman.
can regard such fighters as being on the same level as honourable soldiers and sailors. -Lokalanzeiger.
"Innumerable are the cases in which, in the course of this war, Engand has lifted from her face that mask of the pioneer for human liberty, justice and civilization and shown her true features. Compared with the envy and greed which has caused a world conflagration, how harmless does the honest, manly German anger against England appear."-Pamphlets of "War Committee of German Industry in Berlin."
(No. 18, The Baralong.)
" A war between Germanism and barbarism-the logical successor of our wars against the Huns."-Karl Layprecat.
"Bayoneting the enemy is serving God." - Pastoz Schlettiz.
"It is probable that the English are confessing to themselves that a war against the German Empire, even though it be waged with a gigantic indecency, with robbery, piracy, kidnapping, violation of the Red Cross, with flag juggling, with assassination and butchery of the lowest kind, is no good and profitable business.-Hamburger Nachrichten.
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"Nobody wants peace more than we want it."-Sir EDWARD Grey.

[^48]"We ask in astonishment how the policy of a people can sink further than the stage which England has reached with the defence of the Baralong casc."-Herar Fiscrazces in the Reichstag.
"The arrest [of the consuls at Salonika] is only one more link in the long chain of violations of international law perpe. trated cy England and France." -German Press, passim.
"We must resume and continue the work of th: Hague Conference. We must do away with all prejudices against international treaties. It is not brute force which can give value to treaties, but good faith between the nations that ratifled them.-Herr Ballin.
" If our enemies desire the murder of mer and the desolation of Europt to go on, theirs is the blame."-Betrimanno Hollwec.
"The road to peace lies through victory."-Münchner Neueste Nachrichten.

## NOVELISTS AND THE WAR


#### Abstract

"There is not a more . . . deepised animal than a mere author. . . . Your opinion is honeat, you will asy; then ten to one it is not profitable. It is at any rate jour own. So much the worse ; for then it in not the world's."Hazhitt, "On the Ariatocracy of Lettera."


Fros divers quarters one hears grumblings and sneers at the intrusion of "novelists" into war questions. Mr. Wells, Mr. Shaw, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Jerome, Mr. Galsworthy, Mr. Hall Caine, Mr. Chesterton, all flourish their criticisms and counsels before a public persuaded that its newspapers should only be written by hacks. Few people seem to underatand that the novelist is-with the exception of the Commander-in-Chief-the most important person for the conduct of a war. England has already paid dearly enough for her distrust of the "intellectual," but when even Germany, which has so marvellously mobilized her men of science, has forgotten the novelist, how can we expect happy-go-lucky England to realize that without a novelist no War Cabinet is complete? Pray do not suspect irony; some covert allusion to the inferior fiction of Official Reports. The argument is plain and straightforward. War being not a duel of guns but of the men behind the guns and of the people behind the men, it follows that however important it is for Governments to consult the experi in explosives, it is still more important for them to consult the expert in psychology. This is exactly what the serious novelist is-a professor of human nature. His books are merely applied psychology, none the less science becsuse it is entertainment. Nobody dissents from Pope's dictum that

> "The proper study of mankind is man,"
yet an authority upon man-his habits and ideas, his taboos and tetiches-ranks as a scientist below a Fabre who studies insects, even when, like Swift, he labours to show man quite as mean as the insect.

It is true Mr. Belloc has an eager following, but this is because of his scrupulously stony avoidance of the fiesh-and-blood aspect of war, for he discourses exclusively, like " my uncle Toby," of sectors
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and salients, of envelopmen ( rand objeotives and Polish triangles, presumably to cover up his past as a novelist.
To the novelist human and uneshamed the strategy of war is not eo fascinating as its paychology, as its pathological problems. There is, for example, the phenomenon of "double personality," firt diagnosed by Stevenson in his classical treatise on "Dr. Jekyll and Mr. Hyde." Under the contagion of the crowd, aided by alechol, a modern civilized man, even a professor of ethics, can, it apprars, pass into "the fighting state" of a primitive savage. The admirable Dr. Jekyll had, according to Stevenson, increasing difficulty in dispossessing the deplorable Mr. Hyde every time he let him get his foot in. And so we find, even when "the fighting state "has subsided, that an offlcer and a gentleman will write home that his "bag " of Germans was so many brace. Nevertheless then is reason to hope that with the complete return to civil conditiom the military Hyde disappears. For a French manufacturer, some of whose employees came back dieabled, tells me that they have ' un trou dans la mémoire "-a hole in the memory : a sense only of some unreal nightmare. Reality is the old workshop. As the deady poison-gas of the Germans may be got by decompos. ing commonsalt, so the common man may be decomposed intordemon. Bu: he returns gladly to his simple table self. This explains howretired majors can become the pious pillars of our Southern wataing-places.
Similar deconpositions appear to be wrought by war upon the stay-at-homes. In Germany Eucken, the great spiritual teacher, defends his country's crimes. Britons, whose proudest boast is that they never ihall be slaves, vote away Parliament and Magna Charta, and call or bureaucracy and the censor. Yet psychology bids us hope tha; with the ebbing of war, Eucken will become ethical again and Inglishmen re-anglicized, though whether we shall quite slough our $\mathrm{F} y$ de is a subtle question, which may be recommended to the discples of Henry James.
Absorbing as thea speculations are, they must yield place to the

[^49]
## THE WAR FOR THE WORLD

practical questions of the war, for it is in the handling of these that the novelist is most needed, though least in request. As the economist advises on the effect of withdrawing gold, as the general cr the journalist reports on the sort of shells necessary, so :he novelist chould advise the Government how its measures will affect humen nature. Thus, if the Germans had had one on their war staft, they would never have invaded Belgium and turned England into the United Kingdom and our chaos of colonies into the Brtish Empire. They would never have sunk the Lusitania and lost America, or executed Nurse Cavell and created infinitely more enemy soldiers than she rescued. We often hear of the Machiavellian methods of the Germans. But Muchiavelli was a rovelist (he wrote "Belphegor" as well as "The Prince"), ard Machia velli would have never let them in for blunders like that. On the contrary, he might have taught them (as he does in his "Dscourses on Titus Livius ") " how one humane act availed more with the men of Falerii than all the might of the Roman arms "; how "cities and provinces into which the instruments andengines of war, with every other violence to which men resort, aave failed to force a way, may be thrown open to a single act of tenderness, mercy, chastity or generosity." It is the moral taught by the novelist Essop in his story of the trial of strength 'tvixt the wind and the sun to divest the traveller of his cloak-the inest political fable ever written; it is the teaching of those still more famous Christmas stories, likewise in Greek, whose paradoxlogy proclaims that the ineek shall inherit the earth. And if the jermans would have gained mightily at the moment by such a rovelist on their war-staff, how much the French and British mayhave lost in the future by neglecting to consult a novelist befor using coloured troops I For the effects upon the whites, and tle after-effects on the black, red, and yellow majorities of the world's population, required the gravest expert consideration by colour specialists as well as by general practitioners nf humannature. Rudyard Kipling was available for the Hindoo and ?ierre Loti for the Senegalese, but I doubt if either was called inby his Government. It is not too late, however, to take expert ophion on the question of reprisals for the Zeppelin raids. Shall we a enge our slaughtered babes by bombing German babies? The asswer clearly depends upon the effect on the Germans. Sir Arthr Conan Doyle has opined that it would serve to check the Zeppelins. But he is not an expert on Prussian psychology. We need here a German novelist-Dr. Ewers, for example. Perhaps, in the difficulty of
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communicating with the enemy, Mr. Ford Madox Hueffer would do. And he, I imagine, would testify that the Prussians would cheerfully sacrifice two German babies to blow up one British brat. Not to mention that their Press Bureau would presently prove that it was we who began this massacre of innocents. Personally I should advise dropping Dickens's "Christmas Carol " on undefended German towns. This combined demonstration of power and forbearance might penetrate even the hide of the rhinoceros (or should it be Rhincoceros ?)
Nor can I believe that the Censorship Bureau is as expertly run by nobodies as it wald be by novelists. These forty bluepencilling gentlemen-forty fooling as one - can they really appraise the precise effect, say, of the repercussion on Russia of the elimination from British journalism of everything except a purring satisfaction with the Russian bureaucracy? Does it really tend to make the Russian people more anxious for victory?
But by far the most important of the questions that call for the novelist is the popular demand for the extirpation of Prussian militarism as a condition precedent to peace, as indeed the only way to avoid a "premature" or "an inconclusive peace." Of course, if this means rooting out the Prussians, it is a military question on which the novelist must not presume to offer an opinion, and if the military experts assure me that with the forces at our disposal, and despite the accessory hordes of Austrians, Bulgarians, and Turks, we can wipe out sixty-seven millions of the stoutest fighting stock on earth, or at least render it impotent to reproduce its martial strain, I can only express my satisfaction. But if it means that we are to force a change of heart upon Germany, so that she purge herself from within of her militarism, then as a novelist I must regretfully report that this can never be done by castigation. For in order that the chastised party may be converted he must be conscious of his guilt. A clerk caught forging, a schoolboy caught cribbing, may draw the conclusion, as they writhe under the judge or the rod, that cheating never prospers. But a cuffragette caught window-breaking had a feeling of injury, not guilt, and her punishment only enhanced her sense of saintliness. Did the Germans feel that they had drowned the world in tears and blood for mere lust of domination, then punishment would seem to them a righteous Nemesis and they would turn from their idolatry of force. But so far from feeling guilty they look upon themselves as a nation of martyrs : holy innocents assailed by a combination of all the white and coloured devils of the world,
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jealous of their culture and their commerce. To our ery of "Prussian militarism" they oppose "British navalism "-the dictatorial might of our Grand Fleet, with its 2,800 subsidiary vessels. The Bryce Report on Belgium they counter with equally official documents on the Russians in Poland or the Turcos in France. "Nothing," says the Kölnische Volcesecitung, "can ever wash out of the conscience of the English Government the war with all its horrors," whilst "the case for Germany," according to a writer in the Fatherland, has "the grandeur of a mighty crusade, the sanctification of a sacrificial cause, the glory of a vast and universal ideal."
Against such a state of mind-aggravated as it is by Germany's crafty introduction of civilization into the conquered parts of Russia-force is powerless. The more the Germans are crushed, the more holy and innocent they will feel, the more sternly they will brace themselves to build up their army afresh. As the blood of the martyrs is the seed of the Church, so punishment would only be the seed of a new and still mightier Germany. And hence the conversion of Christmas ${ }^{1}$ to Christianity, by consecrating it to a Conference of the Belligerents for a belated peace, might be more fatal to militarism than all the military victories we promise ourselves.
But I am encroaching upon religion, and the novelist-no less than the bishop-must confine himself to mundane considerations when he touches on war. He has not even the bishop's privilege of blessing the war. He remains a simple student of its psychology, zealous to impart his wisdom at his country's call.
${ }^{1}$ This was originelly published in the Ohristmas numbes of the Herald foy 1016.

## WALKING IN WAR-TIME

"Give me the clear blue sky over $m y$ head, and the green turf beneath my feet, a winding road before me, and a throe hours' march to dinner . . . and to be known by no other name than the Gentleman in the parlour."НАзиттт.
"How do I get to Bourton-on-the-Hill q"
The brawny farm-lounger looked at me with an ingratiating smile.
"How much will you give me to tell you $?$ "
I was taken aback. In a goodly experience of tramping my native land I had never been asked for money before by any human finger-post. "You surely don't want to be paid ?" I gasped. But perhaps-I was thinking-so contorted a route, which had already been given me in terms of fish-ponds, a private drive, swans, a house with a cupola, white gates, and half-invisible footpaths, made an abnormal tax upon one's instructor.
"Why not ? " he answered with another Alice-like repartee. "I'm a stud-groom." Ultimately-though he never learnt my name-he turned into a special constable, who had been trying to test if the "German spy" thought the information worth buying. But he candidly admitted he did not see the military advantage to the invader of learning the way to the sleepy Gloucester village, and for the excellent chart he contributed to my notebook he refused the tip.
Nearer the danger-zone one does not come off so easily. On the east coast I have fluttered the farmyards and sent the ploughboys speeding for miles on their cycles to the nearest police station. "They says you were looking round," explained the panting Dogberty as he demanded my papers. The west coast is only less vigilant. "Be you a German spy, zur $?$ " anxiously asked a raw recruit, commencing sentinel.

Medio tutissimus ibis-keep to the Middle Counties-is my advice to the knights of the knapsack. In the more military areas it is terrifying-and illuminating-to mark how everything can be transformed under espionitis. Walking slowly, you are spying; briskly, you are fleeing. To tie your shoe-string near a bridge,
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viaduct or culvert is absolutely prohibited by the Defence of the Realm Act. Asking the way is suspicious, knowing it still more so. Consulting your road-map is flagrantly hostile, taking a Naturenote treasonable. A book is a code, a manuscript a report, a sketch a chart, accounts statistics, a scrawl a cypher, an electric torch a wireless installation, a Kodak death and damnation. Your haversack holds bombs, your card-case somebody else's cards ; your very passport is no proof you have not murdered the owner. A beard is glaringly false ; beardlessness a shaven mask. If your purse is full it is with the wages of Judas, if you have but little money you are doubtless out to make it. To tender gold is to damage British credit; your paper is probably forged. Gossiping with the cottagers is extracting information; giving pennies to their children is bribery and corruption. To smoke is to reek of the Fatherland; to eschew tobacco the last sacrifice of the Prussian patriot ; to light your pipe at night is to escort a Zeppelin. Is your name as Saxon as Alfre: $;$ Athelstan-it is clearly assumed. Does it begin with a Z? 1 are obviously the cousin of a notorious count. You may not whistle-that is a call ; nor singfor that is a password. If you look up you are awaiting airmen, and if you look down you are avoiding men's eyes; as for looking round, we have seen what comes of that. Blowing your nose, you are signalling with a handkerchief; swinging your stick, you are a semaphore; feeding pigeons may bring you to the gallows. Quaffing at the village pump, you are pumping it on the water supply. Conversing with the village idiot, you are in the Intelligence Department of Berlin. Quoting your newspaper, you are certainly " spreading a false report." Rambling idly, you may be coming near a "specified area," or you may be out at too late an hour without a permit in writing. Who knows that the bun that bulges your pocket is not a bomb? Particularly parlous is it to telephone; to telegraph requires an arduous avoidance of dangerous ambiguities. "Back to-night. Don't wait up" is clearly a warning to submarines. "Tell Willy all is arranged" may be a message to one's Imperial master. "Please return to London and let the matter drop " is an unmistakable instruction to Zeppelins. To refer to Burns or Shelley would be fatal.

But even in the hub of Engiand, far from military or naval bases or burring bombardiers, the amateur tramp finds himself begirt by novel conditions. The professional tramp has vanished from the roads, whether from the difficulty of pitching a plausible tale of out of work, whether because, like the criminal proper, he
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has enlisted. Soldiers jostle you at every turn-some superb types of manhood, bronzed and stalwart, others pitiably puny and puerile. ${ }^{2}$ The horizon is clouded with khaki, if not with majorskhaki strolling, khaki galloping, khaki cycling, khaki motor-cycling, khaki motoring, khaki driving lorries. It makes day bright with its bugles and sleep impossible with its munition waggons. It fllls the roads with dust and the inns with life. It crowds the bars, absorbs the dining-tables, occupies the beds, congests the cathedrals. There never was, I fancy, such a "Merry England." The war is, after all, a great gay adventure. The white tents gleam in an atmosphere of picnic. Everywhere tongues clack, throats sing, bands blare, driuks fizz, billiard balls rattle. We ought to invite a specially conducted party of real German spies to see "panic-stricken Britain." The English may take their pleasures sadly : they certainly take their corpses cheerfully. But, then, true religion is always joyous-and the real religion of Englarid, as of most countries, is patriotism. Liste uing to the preachers, it is difficult to escape the conviction that Christ was in the army and the Madonna made munitions.
I came into Winchester of a Saturday night through a swollen but sluggish stream of soldiery, that overflowed the High Street. A rare quadruped tried feebly to assert its right to the roadway; one saw it almost whelmed in the yellow flood. It was, in factthat night-

> Khaki, khaki, everywhere, And not a drop to drink.

For at 9 the bars close : even for the civilian in his own hotelpiece of the Act I do not profess to understand, but which is Solomon and Solon combined compared with the total closing of lonely roadside inns between 2 anil 6 . For this is what I found ten long miles from a military camp. Arriving at the only inn for some hours, after toiling all the morning in the hot sun, it seemed impossible to get even bread and water. "Closed till 6," announced a placard, with Prussian firmness, and it was only ten minutes past 2. Happily the English are not yet quite Prussian-oerboten is not yet an ultimatum. By a side-door I managed to sidle into a kitchen, and by casuistry, aided by coins, I achieved some cheese-biscuits, while the landlord, with a providential inspiration, suggested that cider was "non-alcoholic." Pleasant and popular fiction. But why the poor pedestrian should
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be starved is one of the many mysteries of the War Office. It looks as if the Government had fallen in with the degenerate view of innkeepers that their business is to provide liquids and not solids. As if it were not a sufficient drawbeck to rural Britain that bread and cheese is your only pabulum.
My host, for once afraid I might not be a German apy, but a British bloodhound on the track of publicans and sinners, was depressed and oracular. He was a long, lean, untidy man, and the wisdom of the War Office weighed on him. "This war won't finish by fighting," he said gloomily. "By exhaustion."

The retreat of the Russian Steam-Roller found its explanation at the mouth of another bar-oracle. "What did you expect? You can't win a war on temperance !" Evidently the abolition of vodka rankles in the British breast-the Russian Alliance is no longer above criticism. They will be touching the beer-barrel next : already, indeed, a hand Has been nearly laid on its sacred staves. That British beer would win over lager I never heard doubted, though not a few sighed for the end of the war, mainly on commercial grounds. Thus the fishmonger lamented the falling-off due to the prodigal leavings of the billeted-the whole town fed from their crumbs. Thus the farmer deplored the loss of labour. After being apprised the soldiers might be hired for agricultural work, he had wasted a week in correspondence, only to be told-too late-that this particular regiment could not be had. (Laudation of the War Office may be heard in Heaven-I have never come across it on earth.) But there were not wanting buxom landladies with soft hearts, wao sould not bear to see the young fellows go off-" and come back their own akeletons." The only blood-lust came from the prosperous classes, from elderly civilians comfortably ensconced in centralBritish boarding-houses. These were all resolved to fight to the last schoolboy. Not so khaki. It was frankly bored. "Fed up !" said an offlcer, formerly of the Manchester Cotton Exchange, "Nigh a twelvemonth of drill, and not yet got our real rifes. Conscription ? The front is choked with men. Loth to return to indoor work? Don't you believe it I Soldiering is beastly dull."

But even the ubiquitous khaki could not really produce the impression of a country at war. In the towns all was bustle and life; in the fields and woods the pomp of summer denied death. The corn grew golden in the meadows, the great sunny sheep-dotted spaces, relieved by mellow thatch and tile and grey
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church tower, drowsed under the blue sky, to which larks rose, chanting the prean of all this holy peace. If there was a scarcity of labour, it only added to the tranquillity; if I saw the mistress of a celebrated school gleaning in her own meadow, that only ennanced the idyll. The appearance of a war correspondent at a great Midland pleasure city, with films from the front, did not beguile the Boanerges of the boarding-houses from the promenade concert. Lolling over five rows of stalls, in a spacious solitude, I beheld the ruin wrought by the German bombs. After two hours of pictorial havoc and platform indignation, it required an eflort to remember that British bombs are not exactly creative.
"To-morrow night," said the Strolling Player in a breathless gush, "we shall perform that great military drama, played throughout the entire North of England, called 'Man and Wife,' and showing how the Englishwoman, married to a German, refused to betray her country. We will now proceed to entertain you with singing and dancing, and the whole will conclude with the screaming farce of 'The Doctor's Visit.' " It was at Chipping Campden, in a portable repertory theatre, pitched in a field. But, alas ! delectable as is Chipping Campden and the architecture thereof, I could not wait for the great war-drama, and the melodrams which began the bloated programme-like the farce which wound it up-was as remote from the war as from reality. In Turkey they have proclaimed a holy war, and in England that the war is holy. Yet this is what we chorused with shrieks and giggles, in the heart of England and Nature-in the great white tent under the harvest moon :
> "She's got a fice like a pork-pie cut
> I. pieces

> With crences:
> She said, 'Kiss me,' but I cried 'Tut! Tat!' Tcoral, looral, lay !"

It is perhaps no worse than in London, where you have to pay more than 9 . for your stall. And to one constantly depressed by our theatrical fare, it was a gleam of comfort to come-in a suburb of Worcester-upon a cinema advertising "William Shakespeare, the Greatest Work of the Age." One forgave a certain confusion between the greatness of Shakespeare and the greatness of this particular picture-drama. But it was disappointing to find that it turned on Shakespeare's rise to fame and
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riches. This is indeed a British Shakespeare, by no means the one made in Germany. Nevertheless the programme opened up poetic vistas. "Born 1664, died 1001." A Shakespeare of ninety-seven sets one dreaming. What might the hoary Bard of Avon not have given us, nonagenarian Hamlete, octogenarian Othellos ! Alas ! the printer has tranoposed the figures, and 1016 reminds us wistfully of the tribute that was to have celebrated the third centenary of his pacsing-the homage of a united world. Yes, if only for Shakespeare's eake, we must set the war over by 1916.

## APPEMNDX

Thin article, with its one word on the paninem of come of our soldiest, was strangely represented by an hyoterical Ammerioan corroppondent as ancering at the Army. And the reference to the enlistment of criminale was taken as implying that all rearuits ware ariminala! Since it ww pablished, both Prew and Parlimenent have recounded with the coandal of the original recruiting in which the incredible error neoms to have been committed of paying doctors half a crown for emch man they pacood, with the remult that now the Government is eerioualy embarramed at the claim for pensions on the part of many invalids who only joined to get into the military hospital or for a restecure or the pension. On the appenrance of the attack upon me, an Army doctor at the Alderahot hospital wrote to mea ropert of the "extrwordinary number of cases of trampa, lunatice, and incurablee recruited "that had come under his own treatment, including two one-la ad men, two cemee of advanced cancer of the stomech (both died within air weokn of enlistment, and one had a tumour visible several gards off), cacen of semi-blindneen, innumerable cases of advanoed phthisis (the patient very often admitted at the point of death), "innumerable cases of the refuse of workhouse infirmarien, senile, toothlem and decropit old men, who oulist as forty-five, are really fify-air to fifty-nine and look over soventy." Thene, he man, "die of like fiee in a cold anap." "One boy," he adda, "told me that he had heen three timeu in a manatorium. When I raproached him for now giving on this trouble, he retorted civilly, 'Sir, I know that very well, but a young chap can't walk about in civilian clothes nowedage. The sergeunte make his lifo a mivery !' He was right and I begged his pardon."

As to lunaticn, maye my authority, "it appeans to be the thing in Iroland to get the family idic into the Army and aubsequently to protent veheunently he is the sole support." Few of these casce are "dangerous," but they are in come cases "quite unable to tell their names and are found wandering."
"There is an odd and ainiater significance," mays the Observer, reviewing Mr. Holmes's book "My Police Court Friends with the Colours," "in the fict that some of the heroes of the great campaign have made their only previous public appearance in the police-coust"" The only "ainister significance" is the atupidity of society in having 10 minhandled the criminal, who
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is tike mud, meroly " mattor in the wrong plece " in our civilization, but whoee virtece find thetr sull appraieal in the fighting line. 80, tog, Prance has now extructed haroic earvice from the youth of har Peaitoatiary Colonice. "When the eaterpriaing berglar's not s-burgling" he is throwing bombe in Flanders, and rocoiving atolon property from the Huns, it appears from the Daily Nowe (Decumber 17th, 1918), which siven uealco a plening pieture a the Central Criminal Court, which hed juat finished the chorteat meadion on record. The Judgo's Court-the frmous No. 1-had beon provionaly clowed fur some dayn. According to The Timce the decreace in crime has brought about a reduction in the prison cotimaten of $\mathrm{E100}, 000$, and a score of grols have boen clowed wholly or in part. "Judges," mald Mr. Juatice Horridge at the Notte Amben, "go from place to place, finding little or no erime to

## ON CATCHING UP A LIE

I owe to the courtesy of an evening paper the opportunity of scotching further-killed it never can be-the lie circulated by a New York correspondent of a Sunday paper that I had "eold and published "a two-column sneer at the British Army in a great "pro-German" American paper, stabbing my country, so to speak, in the back, and in the dark, and for thirty pieces of silver. When I say that the "pro-German" paper has published an attack from my pen on Prussian militarism and publishes every week an article by Mr. G. K. Chesterton as well as many from Kipling, Belloc, \&c., that the article now indicted appeared simultaneously in the Daily Chronicle (to an unqualified chorus of approval), and that so far from sneering at the British Army it is to be given in French by the Reoue de France to amuse our Ally, it will be seen that the libel was tolerubly complete.
And yet, as I have said, it bears a charmed life. It has set out round the world, ${ }^{1}$ and-with a week's start-can never be overtaken. In vain the Sunday paper has expressed its regret; its readers are not observers. Some will have seen the lie and not the contradiction, others the contradiction and not the libel. I did not even see the lie myself, though I glanced through the papes for the more offlcial war lies, and though it was headed in large capitals: "Why is Mr. Zangwill Allowed?" (The answer to Brudder Bones is, I suppose, "Because he will not be sllent.")
My first intimation of the libel came from a neighbour and of its seriousness from a dismayed friend who wrote: "I hear that at the dinner that was given to Beerbohm Tree last night it was the subject of a good deal of disagreeable talk." That great British actor having sailed for the States before the falsehood wes exposed, we perceive how the seed of error might be indefinitely and innocently scattered. Nothing would surprise me less if the next time 1 have a piece at a theatre a gentleman in the gallery hisses to avenge England-to the great relief of the critics, thes
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given a ove for their sesthetic principles. It is true the Sunday poper has asked the journals that copied its accusation to copy the correction. But few will do anything so foolish, and even legal compulaion cannot extend to the withdrawal of statements of my demerits which are not nevemarily untrue because I omitted to sneer at the British Army. Why should these journale withdraw their whipe and scorpions merely because there was no crime to chastise? If I know newapaper nature, they will not, and the only journal I have looked into bears out my foresight, for it corrects its account but not its abuse. Nor will the anonymous patriots who obocenely reviled my race on portcards now write to congratulate me on it.
No; a lie once loosed is a mephitic vapour that, unlike the Arabian finn, can never be got back into its bottle.
But how came the journalist to loove the lie ?
He was suffering, I take it, from pro-Germania-a malady akin to that diagnosed in my very article as espionitio. The unhappy vietim scents pro-Germaniam in every writer who deviates by a hair's breadth from the stupident view of the greatent number. And if to loathe Prussia and all her works; if to watch with patriotic grief the Pruasianizing of England; if to dread-as I see Magna Charta, Parliament, the Prese, all her great historio landmarke, disappearing - that our young men who have gone out to fight for England will and no England to return to ; if to hold that the duty of us who are beyond the age for foresign service is to go to the front for the defence of England againgt her home-born Huns, and to preserve England for her absent sons ; if this be pro-Germaniam, then I mint acsuredly be written down a proGerman !

But it is not even necessary to watch over England-the simplest guardianship of reason, of justice, of the sense of humour, is pro-German : as if to the diseased logic of the afflicted patriot, reason, justice, and humour were German ! Breathe one ayllable ouggesting that France, Russia, Belgium, Italy, Serbia, Montenegro, or Japan (with power to add to their number) are not academies of archangels, and you are equally pro-German. There Was a moment-with Bulgaria balancing-when Sofia too was a holy city, though finally Tsar Ferdinand had a Jewish nose. Who would dare to say to-day what the Westminder said then-that German.
Faimess, in short, is the mark of the beast. My libellist con-
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lespes it openly. "A judicial trame of mind" he clacees undes "German propeganda." The Americans do not understand it, this observor telle un. "To be fair to an opponeat argues wenknew in one's own cace." What a stendard !
The true British pataiot must meeert that the German grey in jet-black and the British Grey neow-white. I foar colour-blindnew is not my forte. But I thought if there was one thing John Bull prided himpeli on it wes fairnese. Does the ldeal hold good then only for aport ? Is it unimportant that a thing if "not cricket" the moment the thing is important ? My wiee woman witee to me: "We have belogged ourselven with talt of our Governing Clases instead of asking ourvelven if they could really govern, and have prattled about the Truditions of our Publie Schoole instend of acking ii the traditions of schoolboys werse the lent word neces. sary in conducting modern life." Iet us at least not throw away the one jewelled word in their treditions, Fairplay, when we have to face edult problems, isoues aflecting the whole future of humanity 1
Where was our Public School Tradition when our scientistes and echolars shamelessly turned and "nt Carman saholarehip and acience, to which they had all their sives pald homage ?

Was it "cricket" when we hastened to anticipate with jeens and accusations of theatricality the Kaiser'e sumoured design to se-create the Kingdom of Poland, though wo had made the wellin sing with cheers for the Tear's greciecly idention proposal? Why make Turkey's German ally responsible for the Armenian maseacres which she could have atopped by a word, but hold Eagland blamelese for Rumin's antiJJevish pogrome ?
It is true the Germans have not "played the game" either, have indeed played it foully, opening up still lower circles in the Inferno of War.
But this is no reason why we should copy their spiritual poisonges, however the devil of military necensity drive us to copy their chlorine. What military advantage is there in denying their achievements, caricaturing their motives, and embellishing ow own?
This is the true " fog of war "-that we no longer see each otber, that we hack blindly in the cark at the monstrous images we hare made of each other. The German crimes are largely the outcome of an inhuman logic pushed to extremes by a penic fear, ${ }^{2}$ and
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the bult of the Cermans are no more responslble for them than you or I for the doathe in the Dardanelles. When we last caught sight af their taces-on Christinas Eve in the trenches-what was there but the lineameats of our common, our poor, pitiful humanity?




## PATRIOTISM AND PERCENTAGE

[Orionsaliy Publishad in 1904.]<br>"Patriotism-the last refuge of a Tarifi-Reformer."-Dr. Jounson (with apologies).

I.

Randers who merely desire to beguile a tedious air-journey, no less than serious students of history, may be safely counselled to procure Li Hang Li's new work, "Sixty Celestial Centuries," for our accomplished academician is never dull, not even for a century. Peculiarly suggestive are the early chapters in which he recounts the Tariff War provoked by the Lord Chamberlain of England (thereafter known as the Lord Protector), and traces the inevitable rise of China, as the greatest collection of customers the world had ever seen, to the hegemony of the competing tradespeoples. Now that mankind is peacerully gathered under the great Chinese umbrella, there is a fascination in reviewing these

> "Old unhappy far-off thinga, And battiea long ago,"
and for the literary antiquarian the pensive pleasure is enhanced when he lights upon such a passage as that in which Li Hang Li tells how the War of Tariffs was carried into the domain of the spirit. It would appear that the Lord Chamberlain (or Jo) was not actually first in the field, though his Tyrtsean speeches practically operated as a heavy tax upon the patience of other peoples. The first tangible blow in that long campaign which devastated the medireval world was struck by the Monroe States of North and South America, already armed with a crippling duty on foreign works of art, calculated to protect the American citizen against the influences of Beauty, and with a formidable Copyright Law, by which only the strongest exotic authors could achieve entry. The blow was as cunning as it was crushing. A sudden and simple extension was given to the Law totally prohibiting the importation of contract-labour, and all foreign actors and theatrical troupes were turned back at the Custom House. Sara Bernhardt
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and Mrs. Siddons, David Garrick and Sir Charles Wyndham, Coquelin and Molidre, Duse and Blondin-all, says Li Hang Li, wese treated with impartial injustice, and after a few daya of detention on EUlis Island were shipped back to their homes, eandwiohed between emigrants rejected for baving come with a labour-contract, and emigrante rejected for having come without any prospect of one. The closing of Araerican ports to these celebrities was naturally acoompanied by the vigorous manufacture of native talent. A host of Press agents arose of unparalleled activity and imagination, and soon the home market was stocked with autochthonous tragedians and comedians of the highest brands. The fall in the price of theatre tickets that followed was a complete exposure of the Free Trade fallacy, the consumer actually paying less for his celebrities. It is true that the American theatrical archives seem to chronicle the subsequent performanoes of a number of English actors, and more particularly English actresses, but these, Li Hang Li surmises, may have entered untaxed, under the head of raw material. So successful was this measure that it was extended to musicians, and even to preachers and lecturers, and as those rejected immigrants were one and all repatriated at the expense of the shipping companies, a new terror was added to the Atlantic by the company's inspector. The examination of the passengers for any trace of genius proved an irksome preliminary to the purchase of tickets. Harmless old checsemongers with prophetic beards were kept back on suspicion ; respectable widows with dyed hair were refused cabins as tragic muses; while a cockney accent and diamonds were sufficient to discredit an innocent barmaid as a comédienne. In the Europe: panic that followed this Draconian enactment-a panic especially evere in Bohemis-many artists, Italian and Polish, no less than Bohemian, mostly singers, pianists, and fiddlers, declared themcelves of American birth, and passed triumphantly through the barrier. Their triumph, however, was of short duration; for their foreion names had been confiscated at the Custom House, and this - . jof reputation left them performing to empty benches. A famous pianist, who had smuggled himself in by having his hair cut, found his audience melting away as he played, unable to penetrate through his disguise.
The Retaliation policy of Europe was prompt but for the most part inefficacious. England's exclusion of American spelling was evaded by the printing of an "Encyclopædia Britannica" from old British plates. The impost upon the cake-walk in France
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was a negligible source of revenue outaide Paris. More galling was the heavy duty by the Germans upon Transatlantic reputations, 40 per cent. being deducted from the scholarn and 80 from the soldiers. But the crushing ad valorem duty imposed by the European Zollverein upon guide-books written in English served mainly to beneft Italy, as the country most overrun by the American tourist. It says much for the anti-American ardour of Britain that she should have consented to a tax that pressed so hardly upon her own pilgrims ; but the medieval Briton never seems to have minded cutting off his nose in the interests of universal ugliness. As Li Hang Li pithily remarks, the Bull in a China shop ever does more damage to others than good to himself. These European reprisals but provoked an American embargo upon foreign plays, and by the aici of a bounty indigenous Ibsens and home-grown Hauptmanns were fostered, and a goodly crop of gloomy dramas was produced, which, although exported to Japan under a preferential tarifif, seem to have mainly returned with a drawback. It is interesting to learn that exception was everywhere made in favour of musical comedies, respecting which-as a necessity of life-all medisval nations appear to have practised reciprocity.

The exclusion of European novels followed in a natural sequence, whether in their own tongues or in American. Even pirated editions no longer had the protection of American law. The great gain in public decenc, that ensued led to the prohibition of nonAmerican characters in native work.
The selection of Paris or Florence as the scene of action for American heroines was likewise prohibited to the native novelist, even when he lived in Europe, and all bookstores hiving such hybrid fiction were liable to be raided by the police. The French accent was forbidden in quotations in Congress, and World Fairs were abolished in favour of Pan-American Exhibitions. These statesmanlike measures served to fan the feeble spark of American self-consciousness and to nurse the young patriotism to a less apologetic assertiveness.

The over-production of local colour and the glut in historic romance were but temporary evils of the home market, due to the action of publishing trusts, and the exportations to the new markets in Cuba and the Philippines served to relieve the congestion. It was in vain that England retaliated by prohibiting American humour ; it was cabled over as news, and even penetrated as after-dinner speeches. Beaten in the battle of the
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books, England fell back on forbidding the entry of American heiresses into the peerage. This feeble and irrelevant measure had an unexpected consequence. The Monroe States discovered that they could manufacture their own peers, at far less cost and with the latest improvements. Dukes and earls were turned out at Washington, and polished at a culture factory in the suburbs of Boston. They were in high demand for home consumption, and the output could hardly keep pace with the orders from Chicago and San Francisco. But to follow the 'rasned Li Hang Li into this section of hi: istory would take me "far. I wish, however, I had space to quote from his chapter

## II.

I have been reading another of Li Hang Li's fascinating chapters on medieval history. The author of "Sixty Celestial Centuries" is at his profoundest in dealing with the curious confusion of thought and life which characterized the Western world at the period of the first Russo-Japanese war. The Flowery Philosopher draws an instructive parallel between that selfcontradictory century and the early centuries of the Christian Church, when the European barbarians, lacking the consistent doctrine of Confucius, found themselves torn between two opposite teachings-the ancient militarism and the new gospel of turning the other cheek. It needed, he points out, all the ingenuity of the Fathers to reconcile Bloodshed and Brotherhood, and in the last extremity the Church was compelled to demand penances from those who had murdered, even for the highest objects and in the most glittering costumes. The contradiction of Church and Camp lost its acuteness with the habit of the ages, and ended, says Li Hang Li, in Christianity wearing its pigtail both in front and behind without any sense of incongruity. The Church blessed the banners of the departing warriors, and even the lay world grew to think that it was only for the extension of Christianity that wars were ever waged at all.
But scarcely had custom dulled the edge of this inconsistency, says our historian, when another self-contradiction began to grow, glaring. A greater force than Christianity had arisen to divide the human heart against itself-the force of Percentage. Poor, weltering barbarians!-Li Hang Li pauses to meditate-we Chinese were feeble, and engaged in washing the dirty linen of the West, but at least we were spared those internal contradictions
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which distract the soul of a people and render it incapable of philosophic fruits.

At frast it looked, indeed, as if the development of international finance and of the joint-stock company was making uninterruptedly for the abolition of war, and would bring to the rest of the world the Brotherhood already established among a third of its inhabitants-the four hundred millions of our medieval China. It scemed as if the Profts might succeed where the Prophets had failed. The Hebrew Bible-which was read on Sundays when the barbarians reposed themselves from lifo-had predicted that mankind would beat their swords into ploughshares. What seemed more imminent was their beating them into bourse shares. There was no nation which did not take the kindliest interest in the concerns of every other. Was there a country in need of a railway ? The whole Western world co-operated to build it. Not alone the rich but the smallest tradespeople hastened to contribute their obol to the good work. Widows gave their mites ; orphans-with a filial piety almost Chinesethrew upon the treasure-heap the savings of their fathers' lifetimes. Clergymen, for once collaborating in the work of peace and goodwill, were the keenest to assist in these international operations. These brotherly societies built harbours where there had been only rocks, they irrigated lands where only weeds had thriven, and called into being new and flourishing communities. No soil was too remote, no people too alien, for the workings of this cosmopolitan beneficence. London was lit with gas, Assisi with electricity. The Persians found their mines developed, the Belgians were assisted to the rubber of the African forests, the Russians were encouraged to strike oil, the Sicilians were supplied with steamers, the Egyptians with hotels, the Bulgarians with waterworks, the Arabs of North Africa with tramears, and the Esquimaux with patent medicines. No territory so backward or barren but i , human brotherhood was ready to rush to its help, train its people, develop its industries and its commerce, insure it against fire, provide it with every necessity, and educate it to every luxury. Such was the state of mind to which the West had advanced in its slow progression towards our Eastern perfection. The ancient attitude of being hostile to every other country, envious of every other Power, seemed outgrown and obsolete, and all men appeared to seek their own good in all mankind's. Humanity bade fair to be finally unified by bonds issued at 5 per cent.
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But, alas ithese barbarians were ctill cavages, and the old ideals persisted. Like a sloughing make, the West lay sickening; the new akin of commercialism only half put forth, the old akin of militarism only half put off. A truly piebald monster, this boasted civilization of theirs. On the one hand, a federation of peoples eagerly strengthening one another; on the other hand, packs of peoples jealously snapping at one another. A sextet of nations styling themselves Great Powers, all with vast capitals invested in developing one another's resources, were yet feverishly occupied in watching and cramping the faintest extension of one another's dominions. A more ironic situation had never been presented in human history, not even when Christianity was at its apogee. For whereas, says Li Hang Li, : the contest between Church and Camp it was simple enough to sheive the Sermon on the Mount, in the contest between Commerce and Camp both factors were of equai vitality and insistence. The results of this shock of opposite forces of development were paradoxical, farcical even. In the ancient world there had been the same struggle for supremacy, but the Babylonians or the Egyptians did not build up each other's greatness. The Romans did not lend money to the Carthaginians, nor did Hannibal sell the Romans elephants. But in this cra the nations fought by taling up one another's war loans. In lulls of peace they built for one another the ships they would presently be bombarding one another with. ${ }^{2}$ The ancient mistress of the world never developed a country till it belonged to Rome. The mediseval rival mistresses were all engaged in developing countries which belonged to their rivals, or to which they might one day themselves belong. In brief, two threads of social evolution had got tangled up and tied into a knot, so that neither t'read could be followed clearly. It was death to give away your country's fortifications to another country, but an easy life to contribute to the strengthening of the other country's fortifications-at a percentage. It was high treason to help the enemy in war time, but you could sell him your deudliest inventions if your Government offered less or waved you aside. And you could manufacture those weapons and export them to tise enemy by the million so long as he had not given you notice that he was going to fight you next week. Quite often a nation was hoist with its own petard, ${ }^{2}$ and no sooner had you
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devactated your encma's coumbry than you lent him money to build it up again. In vain chells hised and dynamite exploded. The stockbroker followed'ever on the heels of the soldier, and the graes of new life (and new lomens) sprang up over the blackened suins. Indeed, nations, instead of being extinguished in the struggle for political exdistence because they were too wenk to pay their debta, had to be kept artificially alive in order to pay them.
And not only was it permiesible to arm your enemy of to-morrow: it was considernd exemplary to tench him the whole art of war ; to train his young idea how to shoot; to familiarize him with the latent instruments and the most scientific manceuvres. It was thus that the unthinking Wext equipped Japan with the thumderbolts destined to recoil upon Europe's own head.

The Sage here refers the reader to the ficcal chapter from which I have already quoted, and remarks the? even the Lord Chamberlain of England, the notorious Iord Proiector, in his plea for the splendid isolation of his country, did not extend his political insight to the underlying international threads, which, by linking Stock Exchange with Stock Exchange, were maling isolation impossible. So long as Britons insisted on using their eavings, not for the development of home industries, but for furthering every cort of foreign enterprise, taxation on foreign products did but little to redress the balance in favour of their own country. With one hand they were crippling the foreigner, but with the other they were propping him up. With the right hand they waved the Union Jack; with the left they pocketed the forcign dividends. Had the Lord Chamberlain been logical, he would have appealed to his countrymen not only to pay more for their food and manufactures in the larger interests of Empire, but to draw less from their investments. He seems to have gone so far as to say that who sups with the Tear must have a long spoon, but this apprehension of Russia's deaigns was not scocompanied by a warning to his countrymen to desist from collaborating in them. A consistent Chamberlain would have said: "Let no Anglo-Saxon collaborate in the Trans-Siberian Railway, whether as shareholder or engineer, and whosoever buys Russian bonds is a traitor to Britain. Take only South African shares, howsoever swindling. In view, too, of the dangerous potentialities of the Monroe Doctrine, let every
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good patriot sell out his American stock, not help to capitalise and fonter the Power which may one day turn and read us."

But these conshierations, obwerves IN Brang IL, obvious as they appear to us to-day, were hidden from even the mont sageaious of medieval mandaring, and it was they and their purblind per-centage-hunting peoples who awrikened in China the sleeping Dragon that mas to swallow them all.

## THE WAR AND THE CHURCHES

> " L'Europe fat un champ de manciore et d'horreur: Et l'orthodoxie mome, aveugle en in tureur, De sees dogmes trompoury nourrisant son id6e, Oublia la donceur aux chrettions command6o, Et crut, pour venger Dieu de coe fien ennemit, Tout ce gue Diou defand lGgitime et permin."

Bormenv.

## 1.

If a man could be drained of his blood, and yet go about with every vital function absolutely unimpaired, if a motor-car could be eviscerated of its valves and cylinders and yet whis along exactly as before, if an eagle could have its pinions amputated and yet sail aloft into the empyrwean as superbly as ever, we should come to the conclusion that the blood, the machinery, the winge, played no real part in the life of the man, the car, the bird, but were mere ornamental appendages. And since, were Christianity now abolished and exiled by the Defence of the Realm Act, there would be no difference whatever visible in the functioning of the State and the prosecution of the war, ${ }^{2}$ can we escape a similar conclusion about the Churah \&
Some of its best sons do not think so. "War being a survival of barbarism," writes the Bishop of Hereford, "is essentially opposed to the spirit of Christ " (The Times, January 24th, 1916). "At the outbreak of the war," says the Dean of Durham, "men awoke to the discovery that Christendom was really swayed by motives which had no pretence of being Christian, and that the Churches had become parasitic, bestowing their facile consecrations on every national ambition and failing to rebuke any national crime " (" The Faith and the War," Macmillan). "The message of Christ to the nations," says the Dean of St. Paul's in the same volume, "has never been accepted in practice and seldom even
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understood. moting pesce and soodone." Even quite commonming the nations is not an ingpiritiag the same conclusion, for piace Christians appear to have reached preaching at Chiswick (Janury ecory to the Bishop of London, of Engiand we find people who 20rd, 1916): "From end to end grown to believe-although they the bottom of their hearts have war was the al.solute breakdown of Chraid to admit it-that the
Now with the whole of Ewn of Christianity."
for the gospel of nonoreciatencope honeycombed by institutions portentous aituation, auguring posis is a serious, awkward and religious ideas of Christening ponsibly a transformation in the anticipate that " of all the n. Well may the Dean of Durham will, perhaps, be the most national institutions, the Churchea sternly handled." and the most the old myntical Caristianity Mr. Galsworthy has announced that agree rather with the Dean of Stead. Let me say at once that I of the fallure of Christianity when Paul's: "It is nonsense to talk tried. ${ }^{1 / 2}$

## II.

Not content with the passive contradiction between "Rule, Britannia " and the Sermon on the Mount, the Church has not infrequently become a political platform for speeding up the war. Thus, even in the Intercessory Services of the New Year, the Bishop of Carlisle dealt with the lack of patriotism of the industrial and other classea, the Dean of Durham emphasired the need of civilian sacrifice, the new Master of the Temple attributed our failure to our contempt for education, Dr. F. B. Meyer, for the Free Churches, suggested a commission to inquire into the sources of moral and religious decay, and Canon E. F. Pearce, speaking at Westrinster Abbey, deprecated criticism of the Ministry or the Granc Fleet. And if a rare clerio-like the Headmaster of Eton-tries timidly to suggest that alinging to
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Gibraltar is not precisely identical with elinging to the Roek of Ages, the howl that goes up is a prompt remiader that the Church existe only on sufferance. No wonder it has abounded in these "facile consearations" of which the Dean of Durham speaks. No wonder the Church hes always made religion a branch of politics, instead of makions politics a branch of religion.

And with the puipit thus turned into a platform, the transition to a rearuiting station was simple. Every church had become one, Mr. Snowden complained in the House of Commons, and indeed special appeals for recruits were read both in the Free and the Established Churches. Nor has the patriotism of the clergy been merely vicarious. As was once suid of Archbishop Trench, the heart of the soldier beat under the camock of the priest, and, not content with risling their lives as chaplains, many ministers have gone to the trenches as fighters. Though even Parliament felt it ccandalous to consoript clergymen, they themselves were restive under episcopal veto and many petitioned for its removal. Their cons, at any rate, have hastened to the front and have died, the Bishop of Sheffield tells us, in a higher ratio than the sons of any other class, thirteen sons of bishops alone perishing up to the end of last year. And thus, as Coleridge wrote " in April, 1798, during the alarm of an invaion ":--
"The sweet words
Of Chrintian promise, wordo that oren yot
Might atem deatruction were they winely preached,
Are mattered o'er by mea whoes tonee procluim
How fiat and wearicome they feel their trade."

In Germany we even hear of sosaries whoee beads are toy shells and cartridges, while the military authorities are considering the powsibility of using church bells for making shells.

And apart from everywhere blessing the war, the Church has nowhere intervened to modify its abominations or misalliances, except, of course, when committed by the enemy. No German pulpit has castigated the sinking of the Lustianta, and in England the debate on "air reprisals" has been left almost exclusively to laymen. A few odd and obscure clergymen like the Rev. F.C. Davies of Enfield have preached pacifist doctrine, but the only Christian sect that has given a sign of life is that which dispenses with clergymen. But even the young Quakers have gone out to the front as ambulance-men or compromised as mine-sweepers, indeed many appear to have become actual Eghters. For climax
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## the Dofly Eeprese denounces in flaring humouricss headlines "A Prece Crank Churah." ${ }^{1}$

## III.

While the bulk of the Churah ceems blind to this glaring diserepancy between precopt and practico-or at least to be using that third eyelid which, es Oliver Wendell Holmes pointed out, exdudes not all light but just as much as it is wished to exclude -one is comported to find from the volume already quited that the Chursch possenses a minority which is not afraid to look facts in the face. This collection of independent essays by members of the Council of the Church Union is one of the most aignificant cymptoms of Christian vitality that I have come across for years. It confronts with courage and heterodoxy the fearful problems nieed by the war. In Catholicism the Modernist wing has been crushed; whether it will carry Protestantism remains to be seen.
The bulk of the volume does not indeed concern the central Christian problem of non-resistance: it is occupied or precocupied with problems which belong equally to Judaism or religion generally, which indeed have no special reason for being debated now except that the levity i mankind neglects them until they are forced in gigantic cont re upon its consciousness. Thus, the problems of evil, of provide ice, of immortality, belong to the homespun of daily life. Even the problem of war faces one every time one opens a history book. If God and war cannot be reconciled, then it was not necensary to wait till August, 1014, to become an atheist. Voltaire did not become one, though more of the horrors of war are collected in a chapter of "Candide" than appeared even aredible before to-day. And the reconciliation of Christianity with war is equally a problem af the past. But for the man in the street these $p$ oblems are practically novel, and particularly is he struck by the flagrant contradiction between the teaching of Christ and the great war in which so many Christian nations are fighting one another, while Germany lacks even the minor alleviation of fighting the Turk, nay, is found fighting like a fiend, whilo the Paynim fights like a gentleman.

## IV.

Neglecting, therefore, all the other theological problems of the war, which are common to all religions, and limiting our${ }^{1}$ In "Boly Rumaja" 27 followers of Tolstoy, inoluding a Jכw, have h- in courtmartiallod for iscming a pamphlot with the now-fanglod dootrine "Thr ". shalt not till." They were, however, aoquitted.
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edives to the stagle polat of the inconsistency with the Goupel doctrine of non-redstrance, we thd the more consclous part of the Church provided with only too many solutions. The Founder spoke with Oriental hypesbole. Ot He did not really forbid faghting. Or it He did, not fighting in mell-defence, still lees for the defence of others, nor can we suddenly apply an ideal for which the paot has not prepared. Or even if war is unchristian its results may be Christian, both direetly by suppreaing wicked. ness and indirectly by improving the coldiers and the nation.

The proofs that the Master did not really forbid aighting ave equally variod. The doctrine of turning the other cheek referred only to privato frictions. Living in a amall State under the pas romana, He "neither directly contemplated nor provided for" a Christianity divided by independent nationalities (Cyril William Emmet, "Ethics of the New Testament"). Or if He did forevee it, He would not spare His followers the responaliblity of applying His spirit to modern politics (ibld.). Or He expected the end of the world coon, so that non-recistance was merely what the German theologians call an "Interimoethik," acole for the interval (bbld.). Or Englishmen and the Englieh nation are two distinct thinge and it is therefore "sophistic "of Dr. Lyttelton to argue that because England is a Christian nation therefore English mon are debarred from fighting. (A delightfully Hibernian refutation of sophistry upon which Mrr. Glazebrook, chairman of the Churchmen's Union, is to be congratulated.)

As for the legitimacy of celi-defence, it is difficult to disagree with the divine who writes: "If anyone is attacked on four sides and defende his life, he acts in seli-defence and fulfils a Christian duty." Unfortunately the argument comes from Dr. Dryander, the German Court chaphain, who adds: "We are in this position." 2 That the indirect effectes of war may be Christian is a favourite apologia. Think of the Christianity that lies in offering yoursell as a target in the trenches. "Greater love hath no man than this that a man lay down his life for his friends." Think, too, how the spiritual lite is quickened in a man constanthy on the brink of death. Think, too, of the uplifting of the civilian population. The Rev. Dimsdale Young (ex-President of the Wesleyan Conference) boldly expressed his belief that Christianity had gained greatly by the war. In particular immortality was now the leading light of man. More boldly still the war in its direct
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## eftectes has boen mado aynonymoves with Christiantty

againat millitariom-hay to lill war itcell Coristianity. A war Prince of Peace wiabes. It is a holy to the millenaium. "We and our All war. It is the ola dolorosa of Norwich, "that we are par Allies believe," eald the Bishop Christ."'s Leve diffidently, "It is Gedto maintain the cause of London, in his New Yearis diocod's war," aried the Bishop of principal of a Baptist college in the letter. And while the trined that relentless war was in the North of England maindeacon Wilberforee, Chaplain of thr present supreme duty, Archheditate to eay "the killing of the House of Commons, did not the fullest sense of the term "o Germans is a Divine service in paralleled in the book cireulated -a view of courre absolutely chaplain Sehletter, which teech among soldiers by the German and amash in his akull is God's zervice" "to bayonet the enemy House of the Prussian Diet by zervice " (quoted in the Lower "Love itself may demand represaion of alist, Herr Hoffmann). or nations," urges Principal Garvie. of crime among individuals The war being thue pre-eminenti qualited to denounce "a prematury Christian, the Church is as bustious patiot; 'ho break up the Epeace" as any of the rumby howling down St. Paul. "Anythin quiet of Quaker meetings than a premature pence," declared thing in such a war is better the Arehbishop of Canterbury sed the Bishop of Iondon.' And appeal of Christian Churches actually refused to sign a proposed not yet gone so far as to endor an early peace. The Church has Beatitude, Cursed be the endorse the rumbustious veraion of the pro-Germans. But it has Peacemakers, for they shall be called the Bishop of Chelmaford not shrunk from suggesting-through Christian blood have flowed togat now that coloured blood and owes it to these benighted heatherer in the same cause, England truast that at least the Society for to bring them to baptism. I have the grace or the humone to the Conversion of the Jews will to cease from troubling just now.
 thin oheoling the ardour of bie funfor phyop ramariced notivoly that 14 ther oilerges to do war work or oven to ifght, the
 This biahop hes, however 0 ,
 botion cod will como down off the fonco on our fiddo." "Wo muett oleanno Enginnd
 nationa."
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That is a War Economy I can cordially recommend to the Society and its supporters. ${ }^{1}$

## V.

Nothing marks the movement of modern thought more significantly than that the Church has now practically lost its ancient repugnance to blood, just as it abandoned its ancient objection to interest. In France there are 20,000 soldier priests. In England the Bishop of Bangor seems to have been alone in recalling clearly to the priest panting for the fray that "shedding blood is and has been everywhere at all times considered contrary to the law of the Church and an offence to the conscience of Christian men" : Even the Bishop of Hereford was content to point to the remedial rear of an army as the more appropriate place for a minister of the Gospel. Though the Archbishop of Canterbury admitted in the House of Lords that "the technical law of the Church forbade the shedding of blood by those in holy orders," he preferred to rest the case for nonconscription of clerics on other grounds, and he said the ordination candidates of the Church of England have "come forward" splendidly.: And the tradition thus abandoned is older than the texts for non-resistance. David was not allowed to build God's Temple because he had been a warrior; Solomon was forbidden to use iron tools in its structure because they were associated with bloodshed, and it was in pursuance of this tradition, and not on account of the Sermon on the Mount, that the medireval Church instituted a service of expiation for soldiers, and with a grim humourlessness burnt its heretics to avoid shedding their blood, while forbidding its priests to practise harmless necessary surgery. If the surrender of such quibbles and tortuosities leaves the Church to face the naked facts of life, it is a manlier Church that accepts
1 A German theologian (Joseph Bohmidlin) lamente shat the war has divided Brtitieh and Gorman miemionarios in thoir African wort and that Gorman mir rionarios aro in concontration onmpes in India.
${ }^{2}$ The Bithop of Worcester deolined to ordain any young men who had not attoatod. Of thirty.two theologioal colleges and hontole in the Church of Enghand nino are oloeed; there are somo 340 studonts as against 1,258 normally, Roman Oatholio and Jewinh priente sad students are exempth though the Chiol Rebbi hus annullod the Mowaic Law prohibiting "Cohenim" (the prieotly tribe) from being neer the doend.
3 "None Gght better for the King than we do," asid Origen, apparently conaldering Orare est pugnare. Ohrist wants more of thin sort of fighting, wooording to Father Vaughan, who sayi our buainose in to keop on Killing Germana, anci àgarded the success of a Conference on "The Call of War to Prayer" as "a pat on the bed from our bloanod Lord." Sundey labour in making munitions hai been nowher denounced.
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war as a high tragedy, which, no less than a stage tragedy, me7 je a purgation by pity and terror. But a manlier Church ir not necessarily a more Christian Church. When the child of a fi iandi oi mine, hearing that some soldiers had shot and killed a sordie: of another nation, inquired in incredulous horror, "But didn'i they know he was there $f$ " those infant lips reduced to naught all the eloquence of the bishops. Verily, "except ye be converted and become as little children, ye shall not enter into the Kingdom of
Heaven." :

## VI.

If, as one born unburdened by the apostolic paradoxes that embarrass the bishops, I might venture to give them ghostly counsel, I would begin by remarking that if the Church now finds itself in an incongruous position it has only itself to blame for neglecting the path of silence and peace pointed out by the Master. post showing the way out for the Church, when it confronts what it can neither countenance nor cure. The Church is not a political platform. One does not go to an Abbey or a Cathedral to hear speeches or newspaper articles. The Church should have remained a centre of beauty and prayer and hallowed quiet, of great literature and noble music, a balm to the wounded spirit, an anodyne, a counteractive, a reminder of realities no less substantial than the war ; of the good that may yet-despite the howlers-down of St. Paul-overcome evil. The Church should have communed with its own heart and been still. "Things without remedy," said Lady Macbeth, "should be without regard." To those who brought it the problem of their conscience-should they fight? -the answer was the same. "Render unto Cresar the things that are Cessar's." Every citizen must fight-unless not to fight is even more dangerous. Martyrdom was ever the Christian's privilege and seal.
With the results of the fight the Church as such is not concerned. Jesus did not win. Providence if on the side of the biggest

[^58]
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battalions, for it would be an unjust Providence that refused to give even the devil his due. On the plane of phyaical force, the greatest and most efflcient force will always win. On the plane of spirit physical force is not 80 much impotent as irrelevant. "Thou hast conquered, 0 Galilaean," was not a surrender to phyrical force. And this brings me to the crux and conclusion of the wh. le matter.

The difficulties of the Christian Church are not confined to war-time. They are perpetual and inherent. They arise from its being the Church of a majority and from trying in war-time to be everywhere a national Church. But Christianity is a spirit, not an institution, and that spirit the spirit of a minority. That the Sermon on the Mount is impossible as the basis of a State has been candidly admitted by high ecclesiastical authority. But it was never meant to be nationalized. ${ }^{2}$ It was meant to be the inspiration of a few-the salt of the earth, the yeast to leaven the lump. Its hyperbolism, its spiritual extremism, is necessary to offset the grossness of the body politic. It is not "Interval Ethics," it is "Minority Ethics." For, although it appeals to all mankind, it is aware that only the elect will vibrate to its teaching.

Christianity cannot "pay." It is a religion for losers. The voice crying in the wilderness can never receive the fee of a K.C. or a Cabinet Minister. The attempt to fit this tragic universe of ours into a comfortable Church establishment is hopeless. The function of the Christian is to struggle and suffer. And hence in every great crisis the real Christians will be found, not in the Church, but outside it. They are the eternal protestants of humanity and must in every age be crucified for its salvation.

[^59]
## WRITTEN BY A JEW ON CHRISTMAS EVE

(The trenches have been cautioned this year against a Christmas truce.-Daily Paper.)
When we beheld thy kingdom come on earth, All eyes upstrained to thee, all knees low-bent, Man swathed in thee as in an element, Art, Music, Letters circling round thy birth, Bejewelled temples blazoning thy worth, Jehovah banished to our nomad tentThen, brother, thee enthroned, with bitter mirth, We left and on our thorny way we went.
But now that once again we see thee bleed, Deserted, where thy worshippers have banned thee, Thy agony is ours, thy homeless needAfter such startling glories so to brand thee! Dear fainting Jesu, now to thine own seed Creep home again-who else can understand thee?

## MR. MOREL AND THE CONGO

(Sperch at the City Temple, October 20th, 1910.)

[^60]I esterem it a great privilege to be associated with this tribute to the magnificent work of Mr. Morel. For, unlike my friend Sir Arthur Conan Doyle, I have no peculiar claim to speak on the crime of the Congo. Sir Arthur has devoted himself to the cause of the oppressed native with the Quixotism which the sight of injustice always awakens in him: he has written a book, he has toured the country in company with Mr. Morel to arouse public opinion. I, on the other hand, am only one of the public whose opinion has been aroused, and I appear here at the penitent form -if the expression may be permitted in this temple of the new theology-to express my shame at having so long passively connived at atrocities for which every British citiren is responsible under the Berlin Treaty. We cannot leave these things, it would seem, to our professional politicians. They suffer from that dread Congolese disease, sleeping sickness. Private men must rush forward to uplift the flag of England's honour which their nerveless fingers have dropped in the dust. While noble lords and knights profess to lead us along the paths of chivalry, it was left to a Liverpool shipping clerk to be the banner-bearer of Britain.

There is a girl in one of Mr. Henry James's novels, a sweet innocent American girl, who being brought in contact with a complis European lady wonders whether "the great historic word 'wicked" "could be applied to her. Most of us, toothough we know how weak and foolish our friends can be-are fortunate enough to make our acquaintance with "wicked" people only in newspapers, novels and melodramas. We, too, are apt to think that wickedness has been largely banished from civilization-it is an ignorance we acquire at school, where we are taught that barbarians roamed where now are only civilized Christians. And so we cry like that cheery character in "The Cloister and the Hearth ": "Courage, the devil is dead."

## MR. MOREL AND THE CONGO

 Liberal Christianity, I presume, does not believe in the devilin the personal devil, that is. But in the impersonal devil, who can help believing ? For if we see no concrete evil epirit, we do see everywhere a spirit of evil that may still justify us in speaking of the devil. In the old monastic legends the devil was represented as always taking different shapes the better to do his evil work. But I do not think the devil ever disguised himseli more effectually than when he made people believe he was dead and gone, and that Christianity reigned in Christendom witheut a rival. It is through this clever dodge of his-this policy of lying low and "sayin' numan"-that he has been able to execute in the Congo a work of evil of unparalleled magnitude, to drench with blood and tears a country half as large as Europe. For who could believe that in our own century a Christian King could have sold his soul to him for gold ? Who could believe that the genial long-bearded Leopold was a monstrous Moloch to whom thousands of little African children were sacrificed, a Juggernaut, with a rubber-tyred car, whose wheels revolved remorselessly in the gore of the myriads it crushed 9 These things do not happen nowadays, we thought-they belong to the days of Nero or Herod. And even when-largely through the labours of Mr. Morel-it was brought home to us that this Christian King out-heroded Herod, we felt that his death would mean the windup of this Satanic era. The Congo would pass over to Belgium and a Christian Parliament would hasten to atone for the past and to send its rays of love and light over darkest Africa. How the devil chuckled in his sleeve amid all his sorrow at the death of his royal henchman! For he knew that Parliaments and peoples are as temptable by gold as kings and individuals, that Belgium, whose financiers, statesmen, and soldiers had already been tainted by complicity, would not lightly abandon its unholy gains, still less spend a million a year for twenty years to bring about that moral regeneration of the natives which it professed wha its dearest object. But nevertheless a Parliament cannot act as brazenly as an autocratic monarch, and is moreover always sure to contain some champions of righteousness, if only by way of opposition. And so the devil has been so far defeated that he has been expelled from portions of the Congo and given notice of ejection from others, and though an area has still been indefinitely reserved as the devil's playground, we are entitled to congratulate ourselves-and still more Mr. Morel and the Congo Reform Association-on a gigantic amelioration. Rubber is no longer
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collected by the lach and the knite and the gun, little children no longer hold up their bleeding stumpa in mute protest against Europe. Tue only bleeding now rnown to us is that of the rubber trees, killed and drained of their precious sap in hot haste by the companies which have to clear out, and which in their ruthless greed would leave nothing behind them but a desert.

Wickedness, you see, is "no great historic word," if historic means antiquated. Wickedness is modern, up-to-dato. Wickedness is as fresh as this morning's paper-nay, it often is this morning's paper, crammed with lies and sensation. For another of the devi's running contrivances is to make people believe that what they read is true. The first book printed was the Bible, consequently people have ever since associated print with truth. That was a very ingenious revenge of the devil on the Bible. One of the most frequent shapes the devil takes nowadays is that of newspaper proprietor. We runs papers in all countries-he and his little printer's devils-and it is these papers of his which have so long cortributed to keep back the truth of this Congo business. The devil is particularly clever in clouding over ugly truths with a mist of fine words, and one of his most complicated tricks is to accuse his enemies of being his friends. Men like Mr. Morel, whose whole life has shown an exalted sacrifte of personal interests, find themselves bespattered with doubts and suspicions. "What is he making out of it?" the devil whispers. I know no finer weapon in the devil's armoury than this insinuation. For most people are unable to understand that a man will act not only not for his own personal interests, but actually against them. And this same weapon has been turned against Mr. Morel's country. What is England going to make out of it ! Has she not her eye on grabbing land and selling gin ? Against these guiles and wiles of the devil there is only one defence-the good old defence of "tell the truth and shame the devil." Mr. Morel told the truth and fortunately for him the evidence was too glaring. The crime of the Congo needed no Sherlock Holmes. Charred villages and rivers of blood and heaps of severed hands-these need no ingenious puttings of two and two together to make five. A clodhopper could trace how Leopold stole his treasure out of these poor dead hands, how these bleeding stumps upheld the pomp of his royal state, and the magnificent establishments of the company promoters, the $\mathbf{8 0 0}$ per cent. concessionaires. We have heard of the skeleton at the feast, but what of the skeleton under the feast, the skeleton upon whose bones rest so many banqueting
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tables! If I had a cinematograph I should like to show you a picture of barons, counts, and grand marnhals of Eelgium banqueting amid all the outer refmements of civilization - with upotless napery and silver plate and white-gloved footmen-and below, in an African foreat, the cannibal chiefs they employed to extort their profits, feeding on the bodies of their victims. And there were missionaries from Belgium itself scattered amid these foresto-misaionaries who eaw and knew. They were there to spread Christianity. But the wonder to me is that, when they esw, they did not hury back to Belgium, where their teaching was $s 0$ much more needed. But they stayed on, and with them missionaries from other sects and countries, who appear in some instances to have played a noble part in publishing the truth or protecting the natives. But the irony remains that their mission in the Congo was I ss to spread Christianity than to protect the natives against the ravages of Christendom.
And this irony was even vaster than the mere missionary comedy-for it embraced all Belgium, which was only in the Congo on a mission of civilisation, nay all Europe and America, which had guaranteed this moral and industrial regeneration. The devil, we have seen, plays many a part, but his climax of audacity, his crowning theatrical creation, is the role of philanthropist. The old rhyme says that " when the role of philanthe devil a saint would be" says that "when the devil was sick, strong and active that he Not so. It is when the devil is most Congo Free State is a masterpicce a saint. The very name of International Association, actually declared that it was for created the Congo Free State, and commerce of Africa and motives." With the blessingor other humane and benevolent prayers of Bismarck, the Coss of the British churches and the white man's burden." I Congo was launched to "take up the discovered that when he hope that Mr. Kipling has by this time acting as Poet Lauraate to the dhat noble Christian poem he was wild" whose burden the whito man The "fluttered foll and describes as

> "Half-dovil and balf-child." It is true. The native of the Congo is no angel. But what is to be thought of the white man who has not even the excuse of childishness for his devilry? The white man who has demoralised even the savage, who has taught cruelty even to the barbarian? The white man who created a condition to which even slavery is
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enviable? For alaves are at least fed and guarded like horsea, not atarved and mutilated. Australia began as a convict prison and rose to a colony. The Congo began as a colony and sank to a convict-prison. And this was how the white Belgian took up his burden. They say the devil is not so black as he is painted. I can quite believe it. I can even believe his predominant hue is white.

There is indeed a "white man's burden," but it is to battle against evil, in whatever spot and under whatever complexion. For white men are rare. There are not many Conan Doyles. Still rarer are the Morels who devote their entire lives to the destruction of come piece of the devil's work. And let us remember that, unlike Conan Doyle, Morel had no name to conjure with when he began his career of Quixotry. To-day, when Lord Cromer and the Archbishop of Canterbury vie with each other in the praises of Morel, it is easy to forget the long obscure struggle of an unknown youth uncheered and unsupported save by his conscience. He was only twenty-four when he couched his lance and charged - a shippingclerk against a king and all his minions of darkness. Can we have a better proof that one man with God is a majority ? For this clerk has moved Parliaments and Foreign Offees and Churches in more than one cuuntry, aye in more than one continent. He has even achieved the miracle of bringing the Established and Dissenting Churches together-upon this question at least.

There are books laying down the rules for clerks-books of the school of Samuel Smiles-that tell how clerks may rise to success. Respect for seniors, deference to employers, strict attention to business-and the like. Model yourselif on your masters and you will rise to mastership. Young Morel did not follow this road to success. On the contrary, he attended to things that were not his business; he gut wind of the corpses rotting in the Congo, of what lay behind this profitable Liverpool business of shipping rubber from the Congo to Antwerp; he even remonstrated with his employers. And his success puts Samuel Smiles to shame. True, it is not a pecuniary suecess. There Samuel Smiles was right. But Mr. Morel has cleared an area larger than France and Germany from super-slavery; he has restored some of the rights both native and international that were guaranteed by the Berlin Congress. This is a success which puts him on a par with a great soldier or a great politician. But a great soldier when he comes home is feted by the nation, ennobled by the sovereign, and presented by Parliament with a purse of gold. And a great
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politician receiver plece and power and alary. Mr. Morel has received neither gold nor a tille. But if he hae not made money he has made history. And if ne has not achieved a knighthood he has achieved something finer and rarer-he has been a knight, a knight without fear or reprosch. If we are to define Mr. Morel as a politician, we shall call him, as Sir Harry Johnston has so justly called him, "a great Imperialist." Just as politician has been degraded to mean a party politician, instead of a inan who eerves the public good, so Imperialist has been degraded to mean a man who extends the area of the Empire. I should like it to mean a man who extends the honour of the Empire. For many years Mr. Morel with the Congo Reform Association stood alone in demanding that England's treaty rights should not be trampled upon by King Leopold or the Belgians ! Can you believe it ? Britannia, who, we are given to understand, rules the waves, left it to a mere private citizen to vindicate her rights and her honour I Even now Britannia only opposes a passive resistance to Belgian arrogance. She refuses to recognize the annexation of the Congo till Mr. Morel's reforms are carried out, but ahe should have refused to permit the anneration without obtaining guarantees for these reforms. And even her minimum of resistance to Belgium would, I grieve to say, have been withheld, had Belgium not been a minor Power. I know no epoch in English history when England's sense of dignity and self-respect stood so near zero. The more Dreadnoughts we build, the more panic-stricken we become. Consols are low to-day, but not so low as the British Lion's tail. If there is the slightest stiffiness in that tail, it is due not to the Foreign Office, not to the professional politicians, not to the noiry so-called Imperialists, not to the House of Lords, not to our Howards and our Percys, but to the sleepless insistence of an ex-clerk. Gentlemen, Mr. Morel has obeyed that great dictum of the Talmud : in a land where there is no man, be thou a man. And he is a man of bull-dog tenacity-he will not let go. No Acts of the Beigian Parliament, no soft soap of politicians and financiers, no bright, bubbles of promises, will make him relax his grip of the question till the entire area of the Congo is restored to its native owners, with freedom of trade for themselves and the world. And this shall and must come to pass. The Congo Slave State shall be truly the Congo Free State. And then, just as "hen Dante paced the streets of Ravenna, the people would say "There goes the man who has been in hell," so we hall say, as we see Morel go by, "There goes the man who has defeated the devil."

## THE AWKWARD AGE OF THE WOMEN'S MOVEMENT

(From the Fortinigitly Reoivo, November, 1012.)<br>"' And what did she got by It?' mid my Unole Toly.<br>'What dow any woman got by it ?' mid my fether.<br>"Martyodom,' roplied the young Benodictina."<br>"Thatran Brandy."

Ther present situation of Women's Suftrage in England recalle the old purzle: What happens when an irresidtible force meets an immovable body ? The irresistible force is the religious prasion of myriads of women, the fury of seli-eacrifice, the si,ghteous zeal that shrinke not even trom crime; the immovable boriy may be summed up as Mr. Aequith. Almost as gross an incarnation of Tory prejudice as Squire Western, who laid it down that women ahould come in with the first dish and go out with the first glass, Mr. Asquith is all that stands between the sex and the suffrage.

The answer to the old puzzele, I suppose, would be that though the immovable body does not move, yet the impect of the irresistible force generates heat, which, as we know from Tyndall, is a mode of motion. At any rate, heat is the only mode in which the progress of Women's Suftrage can be registered to-day. The movement has come to what Mr. Henry James might call "the awkward age": an age which has paseed beyond argument without arriving at achievement ; an age for which words are too small and blows too big. And because impatience has been the salvation of the movement, and because the suffragette will not believe that the fiery charger which has carried her so far cannot really climb the last ridge of the mountain, but must be replaced by a mule-that miserable compromise between a steed and an anti-suffragist-the awkward age is also the dangerous age.
When the Cabinet of Clement's Inn, perceiving that if a Women's Suffrage Bill did not pass this session, the last chanceunder the Parliament Act-was gone for this Parliament, resolved to rouse public opinion by breaking tradesmen's windows, it overlooked that the English are a nation of shopkeepers, and that
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## the public opinion thus roused would be for the first time almost

 unreservedly on the alde of the Governmeat. And when the Cabinet of Downing Street, moved to responsive reckleamess, rided the quarters of the Women's Social and Politioal Union and indicted the leaders for criminal conspiracy, it equally ovionconspiracy was at leactor of the situation. The Cabinet of the incentive. It held in order the a restraint to suffragettes as an naturally daring or maddened by more violent members, the souls tion of minor forms of laveleses forable feeding. By its impoaimajor forms. Crime was controlled it checked the suggention of steadied by a timetable. The inter a curriculum and temper distributed among the supposed neurruptions at meetinga were and woe to the maenad who miseed paths like parts at a play, too, the suffragettes lived for missed her cue. With the police, co-operation, each aide recognizing most part on terms of cordial duty. When the suffragettes planned the other must do its or the House of Commons, they gaved a raid upon Downing Street were provided with a sufficient gave notice of time and place, and Were the day inconvenient for the porce of police to prevent it. social engagements, another day police, owing to the pressure of The entente cordiale extended even was fixed, politics permitting. and the bench, and, as in thosen in some instances to the gaolers cution of which Milton's friend, early days of the Quaker persesometimes left their cells for a night or good-naturedly shortened aight to attend to imperative affairs pressing solicitation of perturbed cancelled their sentences at the by all these gentle presences magistrates. Prison was purified the removal of the abuses challend women criminals profited by a home from home, in which beaming them. Holloway became oftenders, and to which husbande cong wardresses welcomed old cabs, much as Enwood and his bonducted erring wives in taxifrom Newgate to Bridewell, explethren marched of themselves of London that their word was thaining to the astonished citizens stood higher than Consols, and the weeper. A suffragette's word table. True, there were brutal interl-game was played cards on lost their heads, or hysterical magistrudes when Home Secretaries When the chivalrous constabulary of Wes their sense of justice, or Whitechapel police, dense to .the of Westminster was replaced by even these tragedies were transfused besies of the situation; but duel of woman's wit and man's lused by its humours, by the subtle strike itself, with all its grim
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plot of a Gilbertian opera. It placed the Government on the horas of an Irish bull. Either the law must kill or torture prisoners condemned for mild offences, or it must permit them to dictate their own terms of durance. The Criminal Code, whose dignity generations of male sebels had failed to impair, the whole array of wardess, lawyers, judges, juries, and policemen, which all the $s c o r n$ of a Tolatoy could not shrivel, shrank into a laughing-stock. And the comedy of the situation was complicated and enhanced by the faot that the Home Office, so far from being an Inquisition, was more or lese tenanted by sympathisers with Female Suttrage, and that a Home Secretary who secretly admired the Quirotry of the hunger-strikers was forced to feed them forcibly. He must either be denounced by the suffragettes as a Torquemada or by the public as an incapable. Bayard himself could not have coped with the position. There was no place like the Home Office, and its administrators, like the Governors of the Gold Const, had to be relioved at frequent intervals. As for the police, their one aim in lifo became to avoid arreating suffragettes.

Such was the situation which the Governmental coup transformed to tragedy unrelieved, giving us in the place of ordered lawlessness and rerponsible leadership a guerilla wartare against society by irreuponsible individuals, more or less unbalanced. That the heroic incendiary Mrs. Leigh, who deserved penal servitude and a statue, had been driven wild by forcible feeding was a fact that hari given considerable uneasiness to headquarters, but she had $\mathbf{b}: 3$ sept in comparative discipline. Now that discipline has beer destroyed, it is possible that other free-lances will eatch the contagion of crime; nay, there are signs that the leaders themselves are, being infected through the difflculty of disavowing their martyrs. The wisest course for the Government would be to pardon Miss Pankhurst, of Paris, and offcially invite her to resume control of her followers before they have quite controlled her.
But even without such a crowning confession of the failure of its coup the humiliation of the Government has been sufficiently complete. Forced to put Mrs. Pankhurst and the Pethick Lawrences into the luxurious category of political prisoners, next to release them altogether, and finally to liberate their humblest followers, their hunger-strike on behalf of whose equal treatment set a new standard of military chivalry, the Government succeeded only in investing the vanished Christabel with a new glamour. The Women's Social and Political Union has again baffled the
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Government, and come triumphantly even through the window. breaking eplisode. For if that epleode was followed by the rejeotion of the second reading of the Women's Suftrage Bill, cocond readinge, like the nathe of the profane, had come to be absolutely without signifunace, and the blocking of the Bill beyond this stage had been ascured long before by the twetion of Mr. Redmond, whose pamion for justice, like Mr. Asquith's peadoa for popular government, is 20 curioualy monocexual. The only discount from the Union's winninge is that it gave mendacious M.P.'' anxjous to back out of Women's Suftrage, a soft bed to lie on.
One should perhaps also add to the debit side of the account a considerable loss of popularity on the part of the suftragettex, a lons which would become complete were window-breaking to pam into graver crimes, and which would entirely paralyse the efiect of their tactics.
For the tactics of the prison and the hunger-strike depend for their value upon the innocency of the prisoners. Their offlace must be merely nominal or technical. The suffragettes had rediscovered the Quaker truth that the spirit is atronger than all the forces of Government, and that thinge may really come by fanting and prayer. Even the window-breaking, though a purilous appromech to the methods of the Pagan male, was only a damage to insensitive material, for which the window-breakers were prepared to pay in conscious suffering. But once the injury wal done to fleah and blood, the injurer when punished would only be paying tooth for tooth; and all the gympathy would go, not to the assailant, but to the victim. Mrs. Pankhurst says the Government $m$. st either give votes to women or "prepare to send large numbers of women to penal servitude." That would be indeed awkward for the Government if penal cervitude were eacily procurable. Unfortunately, the women must first qualify for it, and their crimes would disembarrass the Government. Mrr. Leigh could have been safely left to starve had her attempted anon of a theatre really come oft, especially with lones of life. Thus violence may be " militant," but it is not "tactice." And violence against society at large is peculiarly tactless. George Fox would hardly occupy so exalted a niche in history it he had used his hammer to make not shoes but corpses.
The suffragettes who rum amok have, in lact, become the victims of their own vocabulary. Their Union was "militant"" but a church nuilitant, not an army militant. The Salvation
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Army might as well suddenly take to ahooting the heathen. It was only by mob misunderstanding that the suffragettes were conceived as viragoes, just as it was only by mob misunderstanding that the members of the Society of Friends were conceived as desperadoes. If it cannot be said that their proceedings were as quintessentially peaceful as some of those absolutely mute Quaker meetings which the police of Charles II. humorously enough broke up as "riota," yet they had a thousand propaganda meetings (ignored by the Press) to one militant action (recorded and magnified). Even in battle nothing could be more decorous or constitutional than the overwhelming majority of their "pinpricks."

I remember a beautiful young lady, faultlessly dressed, who in soft, musical accents interrupted Mr. Birrell at the Mansion House. Stewards hurled themselves at her, policemen hastened from every point of the compass; but unruffed as at the dinnertable, without turning a hair of her exquisite chevelure, she continued gently explaining the wishes of womankind till she disappeared in a whirlwind "of hysteric masculinity. But in gradually succumbing to the vulgar misunderstanding, playing up to the caricature, and finally assimilating to the crude and obsolescent methods of men, the suffragettes have been throwing away their own peculiar glory, their characteristic contribution to history and politics. Rosalind in search of a vote has supplied humanity with a new type who snatched from her testifyinge a grace beyond the reach of Arden. But Rosalind with a revolver would be merely a reactionary. Hawthorne's Zenobia, who, for all her emancipation, drowned herself in a fit of amorous jealousy, was no greater backslider from the true path of woman's advancement. It is some relief to find that Mra. Pankhurst's latest programme disavows attacks on human life, limiting itself to destruction of property, and that the Pethick Lawrences have grown still saner.
There might, indeed, be-for force is not always brute-some excuse and even admiration for the Terrorist, did the triumph of her cause appear indefinitely remote, were even that triumph to be brought perceptibly nearer by forcibly feeding us with horrors. But the contrary is the case: even the epidemic of crime foreshadowed by Mrs. Pankhurst could not appreciably delay Women's Suffrage. It is coming as fast as human nature and the nature of the Parliamentary machine will allow. To try to terrorise Mr. Asquith into bringing in a Government
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measure is to credit him with a wisdom and a nobility almost divine. No man is great enough to put himself in the right by admitting he was wrong. And even if he were great enough to admit it under argument, he would have to be god-like to admit it under menace. Rather than admit it, Mr. Asquith has let himself be driven into a position more ludierous than perhaps any Prime Minister has occupied. For though he declares Women's Suffrage to be "a political disaster of the gravest kind," he is ready to push it through if the House of Commons wishes, relying for ite rejection upon the House of Lords which he has denounced and eviscerated. He is even not unwilling it shall pass if only the that he loves woman mis maximised by Adult Suffrage. It is not
But although Mr. more, but the Tory party less. short step between the ridiculcannot be expected to take the one Women's Reform Bill, yet it is and the sublime and bring in a official disavowals he will drop not unlikely that despite the on the ground of time. It is diff his Men's Reform Bill, if only Rule and Welsh Disestablishmentt to see how that and Home session. If the Reform Bill is droppan be squeezed into one again for some sort of Conciliation Bed, the ground will be open Adult Female Suffrage is only an Bill, since the demand for measure. It is just possible that angry appendix to the male appear in these islands by way of a Women's Suffrage may first and this Irish entrance by a side-door ase in the Home Rule Bill, dodging as it does the main isene of wild be peculiarly English, Imperial aftairs. But already the of women's claim to vote in amendment in return for some more talk of withdrawing this from Mr. Redmond; it is in any caee or less shadowy promises the only real way for this Parliament obnoxious to the Irish, and a Conciliation Bill like that originally would seem to lie through and "torpedoed" at the eleventh proposed by Mr. Brailsford There is no reason, however, to euppour by Mr. Lloyd George. mould be less hostile to such a suppose that Mr. Lloyd George the only measure that could be carrie than before, especially as so narrow as to ensure its acceptance by after this session must be parliamentary. struggle over Female by the House of Lords. The against it than a competition formale Suffrage is less a struggle to annex the balance of the inevits spoils. Each party is striving no measure can possibly be devised to female electorate. But as to equalise their winnings, the profavour both parties, or even cearcely survive analyaic. the prospects of a Conciliation Bill Hence Christabel Pankhurst, that
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shrewd practical politician who is giving up to womankind what was meant for party, has long since waved aside all Conciliation Bills and clauses and demands a Government measure. But Mr. Brailsford and his faithful band of M.P.'s, together with Mrs. Fawcett and her National Union, aro-despite the known deatruc. tive designs of the Nationalists-patiently porsuing the everlessening hope of a conciliatory clause in an ever-receding Reform Bill. At the same time, taking a lesson from the militant camp, Mrs. Fawcett's Union has started a fighting fund to "keep the Liberal out " at certain by-elections where a Labour member can be put up to split the Liberal vote. The profit of these tactics seems less to the Women's Movement than to the Tory and Labour parties, neither of which pledges itself to anything in return. ${ }^{1}$
All things considered, I am afraid the Suftrage Movement will have to make upits mind to wait for the next Parliament. There is more hope for the premature collapee of this Parliament than for its passing of a Suffrage Bill or clause. And at the general election, whenever it comes, Yotes for Women will be put on the programme of both parties. The Conservatives will offer a mild dose, the Liberals a democratic. Whichever fails at the polls, the principle of Women's Suffrage will be sate. ${ }^{\text {? }}$
This prognostic, it will be seen, involves the removal of the immovable Asquith. But he must either consent to follow a plebiscite of his party or retire, like his doorkeeper, from Downing Street, under the imtolerable burden of the suffragette. Much as his party honours and admires him, it cannot continue to repudiate the essential principles of Liberalism, nor find refuge in his sophism that Liberalism removes artificial barriers, but cannot remove natural barriers. What natural barrier prevents a woman from accepting or rejecting a man who proposes to represent her in Parliament? No; after his historie innings Mr. Asquith will sacrifice himself and retire, covered with laurels and contradictions. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Pending which event the suffragettes, while doing their best to precipitate it through the downfall of the

[^61]
## AWKWARD AGE OF THE WOMENS MOVEMENT

Government, may very reasonably continue their polioy of pinprick to keep politicians from going to sleep; but serious violence would be worse than a crime, it would be a blunder. No general dares throw away his men when nothing is to be gained, and our analysis shows that the interval between women and the vote can only be shortened by bringing on a general election.

There are, indeed, sceptics who fear that even at the next general election both parties may find a way of circumventing Women's Suffrage by secretly agreeing to keep it off both programmes; but the country itself is too sick of the question to endure this, even if the Women's Liberel Federation and the corresponding Conservative body permitted it. That the parties would go so far as to pair off their women workers against each other is unlikely. At any rate, now, when other forms of agitation are more or less futile, is the moment for these and cognate bodies to take up the running.
But even if these women workers fail in backbone, and allow themselves, as so often before, to be lulled and gulled by their male politicians, there yet remains an ardent body to push forward their cause. Mrs. Humphry Ward and the Anti-Suffragist 3 may be trusted to continue tireless and ever-inventive. Mrs. Ward's League to promote the return of women as town and county councillors is her latest device to prove the unfitness of women for public affiairs, and since the Vegetarian League for combating the carnivorous instincts of the tigress by feeding her on blood, there has been no quite so happy adaptation of means to end. If anything could add to the educative efficiency of the new League, Anti-Suftragista.

# THE MILITANT SUFFRAGISTS 

(From the Englioh Reoiew, November, 1918.)<br>> " When lawlees mobe inoult the Court, That man ahall be my boent, If breaking windowe be the aport, Who bravely breake the mont."

Cowpme.
1.

The Women's Social and Political Union, the most troublesome institution of modern times, was founded in October, 1908. It has, therefore, just completed a decade of activity-of activity unparalleled and exuberant, rich in comedy and tragedy, in heroism and fiamboyance-and it is high time the public should cease gasping and come to a just comprehension of what is passing under its nose. Part of its hebetude is due to the Press, which leads it by that nose, and which, since the days when Milton looked to it for the safeguarding of liberty and justice, has become a medium of organized misinformation, so unreliable that one cannot even wholly disbelieve it. Albert Hall mass-meetings, with every seat paid for, have been edited away, while with equal cynicision trivial incidents have been spiced to the humour of the mob. King Demos, like other monarchs, hears only what tickles the royal ear. In their wonderfully organized campaigns at by-elections-at which they have generally hired all the halls and commandeered the best street-pitches before the other side has quite realized there is a contest-the Suffragettes have held as many as two hundred public meetings in a single week. In the ordinary propagands of the Union, the number of platform or drawing-room meetings has reached a hundred a day in London alone. Flower-festivals, bazaars, plays, caravan-tours, processions, bands-what form of picturesque persuasion has it left untried, where have its cohorts not come gleaming in purple, white and green? Hyde Park has known them, and Trafalgar Square, music-halls and village greens, the town mansions of peeresses and the drawing-rooms of the provincial bourgeoisic;
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they have even scandalized the faithless by praying a real prayer in Weatminster Abbey. Yet, when a journalist wrote that their treacurer had invested their funds in bonds, the compositor automatically put it "bombs."
At the recent Medical Congress in London a Scotch doctor strove to class their militancy with the dancing or other maniacal epidemics of the fourteenth century: he himself was suffering from contagious misunderstanding. Said an elderly schoolmistress to the jury that was trying her this spring on the charge of window breaking: "I think that all of you would look forward with dread to forcible feeding as carried on in our prisons. Well, I declare to you that the idea of lifting my hand in cool determina-tion to destroy was a more dreadful idea than that of forcible feeding. You little know how we women have to screw up our courage to acting point." Such a militancy is, iudeed, too rational to be formidable. It is of the brain, not of the fist. So far from being hysterical, it has been turned on and off like a tap. In periods of false promises from politicians, there have been truces more faithfully observed than any in the Balkans.
But at first it was not even a cerebral militancy. It was as metaphorical as the Salvation Army. In the overwhelming majority of instances, the operations of this unprecedented Union have been devoid of all violence save that inflicted on its members by the Government, the police, and the mob. E, I when it strove to supplement its constitutional agitation by illegal acts, its breaches of law were long merely technical or symbolical, designed to embarrass the Government by a plethora of prisoners, and to achieve the advertisement denied to a peaceful propaganda. When, finally, a handful of desperate spirits proclaimed a guerilla war against society, it was merely against dead matter, and it is amazing that, with so many fanstics smarting under almost intolerable tortures and indignities, not one has lost her balance so far as to destroy life. The women's war remains unstained by blood other than their own. They have been stoned and beaten, ducked in horse-ponds, obscenely maltreated, imprisoned in the third class with drunkards and pickpockets, sentenced to penal servitude, loathsomely fed by tubes and pumps. Captain Scott, perishing in the Antarctic snows for lack of food, was less essentially heroic and no greater a pioneer than Miss Wallace-Dunlop, the fragile inventress of the hunger-strike, starving with luxuries heaped beseechingly around her. It is impossible not to think of the temptation in the wilderness.
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Tre thisit-strike and the sleep-atrike push the doctrine of "Entusivon solles "to extremes undreamed of by Goethe. In an age of luxury and materialism almost unerampled, amid an epidemic of negroid dancing that might well have occupied the Scotch doctor, we have witnessed the miracle of prison-doors flying open by force of faith and sell-sacrifice. The great saying of Zwinglius "You can hill the body, but not the soul," has received almost incredible illustration. It is not too much to say that the Suffragettes have enlarged our conception of human nature and of the pitifulness of politics and politicians.

## II.

"You approve of voten for women!" a famous American exchimed to me. "That kind of vote !" By a figure of speech yet unclased in treatises on rhetoric, he had mixed up the and with the means, the ballot-paper with the match-box. Had he attended a Suftragette meeting at the Albert Hall, he would have found the "kind of vote" quite other-some ten thousand souls of all social classes sitting prim as Elia's Quakers, spellbound by a simple little woman in black, and waking oniy to pour at her feet their gold, their cheques, their jewellery, the profits of hawking the paper in the wintry streets, the little hoard saved for a summer boliday, even the week's Old Age Pension. The collection at the last assembly -after the Government had left the Union for deadwas fifteen thousand pounds, subscribed in a few minutes. These gatherings have been the communions of a new religion that has already its ritual, its hymnology, its cacred music, its symbolism (the broad-arrows of the prison garb its proudest emblem), its pageantry, its martyrology, and its dogma of Pankhurst infallibility.
"I look upon myself on these occacions," said Mrs. Pankhurst, " not as a chairman, but as a general reviewing his troops." From a burning faith to a faith in burning, the transition-as all male history proves-is facile. But Mrs. Pankhurst did not begin as a soldier. Her military status has been a gradual growth, unforeceen by herself. The journals of 1891 record that at the funeral of Charles Bradlaugh a deputation from a "Women's Franchise League" was among the many that brought wreaths, and that it consisted of rhe Countess Schach, Mrs. Herbert Burroughs, and Mrs. Pankhurst. And when I once strove to mitigate her growing bellicosity by telling her how sympathetically the Lord Chancellor

## THE MILITANT SUEFRAGISTS

had apoken at a dinner-party, tha burst out: "Don't talk to me of Eialdane ! Twenty years ago he was our League's representstive in Parliament!" Twenty years agol I was ailenced. A long period of obecure labour-the apede-work 00 glibly recommended, but 00 often as fruitless as the sextom's-evidently lay behind this exploaive phase: the genesis and collapee of Leagues and efforts untold. The great little lady, who, on her husband's death, had supported herseli and her family as a registrar of births and deaths, had had many a birth and death of soheme and dream to register in the annals of her cause before there came into being at her house in Manahester that W.S.P.U. which will surely live to recond its victory. Her own birthday was the anniversary of the fall of the Bastille. That has not counted for nothing in $\mathbf{~ i m a}$

## III.

Most of the pioncers of the W.S.P.U. were Manchester working women-0ne, Annie Kenney, a mill-hand, who, as a half-timer of ten, had had a finger torm ofl by the machinery-and the new gospel was preached at the "wakes" or local Lancashire fairs. Militancy, even metaphorical, was unthought of. The first sparks of that were, strangely enough, struck out at the Free Trade Hall by the flintiness of one of the oldest supporters of Women's Suffrage, Sir Edward Grey. Prophesying, in October, 1005, the overthrow of the Conservatives at the coming General Flection, he yet refused to say what would be the attitude of a Liberal Government to "Votes for Women." The question had even (by request) been put into peaceful writing, and signed "Annie Kenney, Member of the Oldham Committee of the Card and Blowing-Room Operatives." The humble mill-hand rose as the monster meeting was closing, and insisted on a reply. Here again a great pioneering deed was done, destined to find imitations and reverberations innumerable. Sir Edward Grey was silent, but it was Annie Kenney who stood upon a peak in Darien.
Those who know the temper of a political meeting at a passionate crisis will appreciate the almost superhuman courage needed for a girl to get up and traverse it. The vast gathering of Liberals, hoarse from cheering the doctrines of liberty and equality, howled at the frail little figure, stewards precipitated themselves upon her. It was at this moment that Christabel Pankhurst leapt into history. She sprang up, threw one arm round her friend, and warded off the hysterical males with the other. They saratched and tore at her
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hands, till, as her sister Sylvis records, "the blood ran down on Annie's hat, which lay on the seat, and stained it red."

Expelled from the meeting, the two girls tried to form one of their own outside. Charged with "obstruction and acsaulting the police," and refusing to pay a fine, they were thrown into gaol, dressed in serge, and fed on akilly. In that prison the real W.S.P.U. was born. The same Free Trade Hall that had howled down the questioners was packed to fter the ex-prisoners. Thus is persecution ever the pillar of the Church.
Annie Kenney, abandoning her cloge, except for ceremonial occasions, set out to rouse London-with two pounds in her pocket. Little Mrs. Drummond, the wife of an impecunious uphoisterer, a cheery, humoursome Scotch body, plump of person and prodigious of voice-the Madame Sans Gene of the movement, destined also to become its field-marshal-joined her with a borrowed typewriter. The Pankhurste, too, migrated to the capital. And, one wonderful day, they found the propertied Pethick Lawrences, the able barrister and his brilliant and beautiful wife, self-consecrated from girlhood to social service, and oddly bearing the same Christian name as Mre, Pankhurst. The new Emmeline became the Honorary Treasurer, and from that moment the fledgling Union was feathered and winged and taloned.
Among the more noteworthy of the early recruits were Theresa Billington, a young school-teacher with brains and looke, Mrr. Despard, the septuagenarian sister of General French, not inferior to him in dash and brio, and Mrs. Baines, .nno had been a Salvation Army captain, and was now the wife of a journeyman bootmaker. Graduaily, figures like Mrs. Ayrton, the scientist, Miss Ethel Smyth, the composer, Miss Beatrice Harraden, the novelist, began to appear on the same platiorm with Ledy Constance Lytton, the Countess Russell, and Mrs. Walker, the eloquent Poplar laundress. And, gradually, it began to be understood that a suffragette was not necessarily an elderly spectacled female ; the type even changed in Punch to a pretty girl. But the notion that the suffragette is a neurotic spinster is inexpugnable. It has even survived the discovery that some of the fiercest of the militants are married men-unique exemplars of the fabular chivalry of man.

## IV.

In 1870 Mrs. Pankhurst's husband had drafted a measure which under the name of the Women's Disabilities Removal Bill, was
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introduced into Parliament by John Bright's hrother, and pawed its second reading by a majority of 88. It is a pity the long-due Reform was not carried in this negative shape, for the ary of "Votes for Women " accentuates the opposition of ses rather than the common citizenship, and whereas the motive power of the cuffrage movement had been woman's comsciousness of her own dignity, it is becoming more and more her consciousness of man's indignity. Man has failed to run things decently. There must be "joint housekeeping." Woman must help man to set his house in order. "I, for one," cried Mre. Pankhurst, "looking round on the sweated and decrepit members of my sex, say that men have had control of these things long enough." In particular, the "social evil " has entered into the Suftragette consciousness, the veil of our compromise with polygamy has been lifted, and the sins of the male, assuredly great enough to besafe from exaggeration, have been magnifled by taking the abnormal for the average. Woman's place in our matrimonial system was represented much as the West represents her place in the Oriental scheme, or as Mark Twain's Yankee described the Court of King Arthur, with all the facts and little of the truth. If a minute minority forthwith demanded equal immorality with man, its organ, the Preewoman, was not destined to exemplify the survival of the unfittest, and by the vast majority the vote is regarded as the great instrument of social purification. It is even to abolish venereal discasc. The example of Suffrage countries is cited to show how the age of consent has everywhere been raised, drunkenness abated, and child-life saved. Thus every day that goes by without the vote means the degradation of souls and bodies innumerable, and a very massacre of innocents. Hence this ardour of self-sacrifice, hence the religious exaltation.
Annie Kenney's deed of derring-do came like a trumpet-call to the Millennium. "Here at last is action I" cried Mrs. Pethick Lawrence, and a thousand devotees rushed into it. Heckling became a fine art, and even a joyous; for, despite all the suffering it cost them, they carried it throuoh with such inexhaustible spirit and invention as to restore a touch of chic and bravado to our drab life and add to the gaiety of nations. Miss Pankhurst even managed to badger Cabinet Ministers in the -witness-box. Why interjection was invariably answered by the. witness-box. Why legitimate to men were punished wred by ejection, why petitions for men's worst assaults on women is sentences deemed sufficient tions of armon lapethes on women, is a mystery. But if cienunciations of armon leave the Suffragette cold, it is because the vocabulary
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of vituperation had been carhasited over a phace which now looms to us as ecdate as an Impremionist picture in a Euturist exhibition. Parliament actually paceed a Bill to protect public meetinge from her-a measuru which, like overy other hatched againgt her, has been a still-born monstroaity. There was no meeting, however guarded, to which, by hook or crook, organ-pipe or drain-pipe, the did not gain adnuisaion, padlocking hersell against eagy expulsion, while, even were her bodily presence averted, always, bike the horms of Mifiand faintly blowing, came from some well-placed ruegaphone that incvitable and implacable alogan, which, challed on pavements or scrawied on walls or blaconed on aky-aigns, became a univeral and ubiquitous obscamion. Steamers carried it under the terrace of Parliament, or balloons suppended it from above. Cabinet Ministers were dogged to their privateat haunts, for the leakages of information were everywhere. Since Christianity, no auch force had arisen to divide families. No household, however Philistine, was cafe from a geolbird. If Lady Anon asked Iady Aliamode when her daughter was coming out, it no longer referred to the young lady's debut. The mont obstinate autocrat since Pharaoh, Mr. Asquith has been shown similar aigns and wonders. "We are the appointed plagues," asid Mrs. Pank. hurat, with a rare touch of humour. And nothing has plagued British Society more than that outbreak of religion which brought disgrace upon so many respectable homes. Incidentally, the prisons and the courts were improved by recciving critics instead of criminals. "We do not care for ourselves," cried Christabel Pankhurst at the London Police Court, "because prison is nothing to us. But the injustice done here to thousands of helpless creatures is too terrible to contemplate." Warders and wardresses, too, profited by the society of their new prisoners. It was like a rise in the social scale to them. Nor was even the Bench immune from education.
"Boyle I" called the magistrate. "Mes Boyle," corrected the prisoner. "We always call our prisoners by their surnames," explained the magistrate. "We are here to teach you better manners," said the Suffragette.

## $\nabla$.

Simultaneously with these constitutional tactics there had gone a political militancy, equally constitutional. "The Liberal Govern• ment refuses the vote-turn the Liberal out," was the siraple
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formola, and to at overy by-election the F.S.P.U. worked against the Government candidate. He might be an old and tried Sufireo ciat. The Concervative candidate might be an old and scurrilous anti-Suffragiat. No matter. The law of the Medes and Pank. hurats do not change.

It was Chriatabel, LI.B., to whom this policy was duc. She had become the political chief of the movement, and her record proves that woman, not maa, is the logical animal. Unfortunately, in polities we have to do, not with the logical, but the paychological. The public, exhorted by her to vote for anti-Suftragista and to overthrow Sufiragiste, became utterly confused. It has not the clarity of brain of a Bachelor of Laws. The demand for Women's Suffrage was already sufiliciently obscure. To pursue obsewrum per obocorine could only occur to a novice in attairs. To make the public's confusion worec confounded, the rival Suffragists of Mrs. Fawcett's National Union would be imploring it to support the candidate denounced by the Suffragettes. Fither policy has its points. Together they were suicidal. Both factions would have done better to pair and leave the constituency.

The electorate thus bemused stolidly followed its own political intereats. Indeed, to expect it to give them all up for women was fantastic. In a close election the Suftragettes might hope to turn a few waverers, but even if tineir exhaustless energies triumphed, their part was obscured, not alwaye wilfully, in the confusion of electoral issues. In the few instances where the issue was more or less isolated, the women's candidate was hopelessly defeated.

Within Parliament as little impression was made as at the polls. Mrs. Fawcett's alliance with the Labour party, dubious enough at best, was neutralized by the Pankhurst opposition to the Labour pasiy. The Women's Liberal Federation, the sole instrument that could have brought effective pressure on the Government, was divided. Women's disunion is man's domination. No Minister would stake his fortunes on Women's Suftrage, and M.P.'s are peculiarly sluggish towards changes in the Franchise, which force them to face a new and uncertain electorate. Such as favoured the Reform were more concerned it should benefit their party than womanhood, so that, though the abstract principle has commanded a composite majority since 1886, no possible measure could be framed to satisfy both parties. Is it surprising if the parliamentary history of Women's Suffrage reads like a fantasia by Boz on the arts of circumlocution and "How not to do it"? Seven times it has passed its second reading. The culminating
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comedy when Acguith blundered like a beginner, and the Speaker, by not spoaking, misled Parilament and the country, gees beyond caything in Dickens.
Despairing of the force of argument, the Suffragettes turned to the argument of force. They were outside the Constitution. Very well, they would be outaide the law. A specious logie ahowed that Reform Bills had only been carried in 1802, in 1807, and in 1885, and that, in every case, they had been preceded by srota. That other riots (a.s., the Chartist) had not been followed by Reform Bills was overiooked. That riots are to the riotous sex was aleo forgotien. Stones thrown by logic-sldden schoolmistrecses are not the true volcanic jet that sobers statesmen. To coften still further the force of the stones, they were thrown, not at Government windows in particular, but at the plate glase of the public in general. The injured shopkeepers would put presure on the Government-they would sise as one woman to demand Women's Suffrage. So ran the Pankhurst cyllogiam. But that is not the paychology of "the nation of shopkeepers." There was method in the madness, but the public saw only madness in the method. Yet the Pankhurst logie did not flinch. "How far shall you go 9 " Mrn. Pankhurst ypas acked. "Just as far as we are driven," was the question-begging reply. And so acids were poured into letter-boxes or upon goll-greens, telegraph lines were cut, fire-engines were called out on talse alarms. A grave paychologioal change took place in Mrs. Pankhurst, and found expression in a public ejaculation. "One thing we thank men for-and that is for teaching us the joy of battle." The woman, who in 1008 had feared that women could not be got to walk through a few otreets, did not fear in 1012 to invite them to arson. It is "Black Friday " that marks the turning-point in Suffragette pwyohology. In November, 1910, a deputation to the Premier had been so grievoualy and obreenely handle-d by the crowd and by the imported East End police-whose conduct the House of Commons atendiantly refured to investigate-that it was resolved henceforward to inconvenience oneself as little and society as seriously as powaible.

## VI.

That a women's political movement would take different shape from a male movement might have been anticipated. Force would, of course, be banished, the policy would be as shifting as the
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menthercock, whille ceduction and eajolery would reduce male diplomeey to a conree buangling. The excot contrary has been the acs. The aimplest diplomacy has been banished; even ordinary politeneso. "You're a linr," eald Mrs. Drummond to Lloyd George, when admitted to a iriendly interviow. Whereas men would have made the m: ot of Mr. Asquith's little procesions and persuaded him that he was practically arrived-il, incieed, he had not always been there-the women have pushed him violently beckwards. Instead of eaving his face, they have slapped it. Nor did it profit a Minister to be on their alde. He merely added hypoorisy to the crime of his collengues. The cole method of the campaign has been the frontal atteck, and it has been pursued with an unowervingness that has more of natural law than of human elaaticity. People have talked of militant tactics. There have been no tactica. There has been only militancy. When Mrs Loyd George addressed an audience of Liberal women on Women's Suftrage, an invading body of Suftragettes denied him a hearing, though the only ration detre of interruptions was that Ministers were evading the subject. According to the rules of war, urged Mre. Pethick Lawrence, an enemy taking cover among neutrals may be pursued there. But " may " is not "must." That your volley may damage your own aide more than the enemy, that you make bad blood between fellow-suftragists, that you confuse the country and rob it of Mr. Lloyd George'n powerful speech on your behalf-all this is nothing. The law of Minister-baiting is inviolable.
The traveller up the Alpine railway knows how the line zigzage with wrigglings innumerable, how frequently it goes beck or itself, passing and repassing the same point, though always on higher level; how it even disappears for a time in a tunnel But Christabel Pankhurst will only go straight up her mountain -tunnelling is peculiarly anathema. That would be well enough if she could command the funioulatre of military force. But her physical force is even amaller than her political. Both are just sufficient for vivid advertisement, but her challenges in both to the Government approach megalomania. "Seize the mace," ahe cried in a Suffragette rush on the House, "and you will be the Cromwells of the twentieth century." She overlooked Cromwell's musketeers. Even Joan of Arc had the army of France behind her, not her fellow-maidens. At the head of a party in the House, Miss Pankhurst would have rivalled Parnell; with the Labour party she could do infinitely more than Mr. Ramsay
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Macdonald. For the combinations of parliamentary atoms she has a wonderful floir. But what is the use of divining the enemy's movements when all you can do is to commit hari-keri on his dooratep? Since the Children's Crusade of 1912, there has been no such blend of the ridiculous and the sublime as the war against England declared by logic-ridden ledies. Their attempts to intimidate the nation have the pethetic futility of Don Quixote's tiltings. A nation, especially ours, takes a good deal of terrorizing. The fre insurance societies soon accommodate themselves to the new risk. It is only because there has been no war on British soil for over a century that Britons have been so startled by burvings and harryings inetlably trivial, compared with real war-horrors. But John Bull has not called for Women's Suffrage: on the contrary the sleeping doge of hooliganism have been aroused. The dread of riots undoubtedly keyed up the debates in the House to an intensity unknown during the forty years of parliamentary flistation with the Woman Question. But the House did not surrender.

The real damage inflicted by Miss Pankhurst is not physical. In Mrs. Gaskell's great novel, "North and South," Margaret Fale, turning upon the mill-owner who has dared to propose to her because she rescued him from his strikers, cries out: "Any woman worthy the name of woman would come forward to shield with her reverenced helplessness a man in danger from the violence of numbers." "Reverenced helplessness !" That is no small asset in the turmoil of life, however imperfect the ideal. The destruction of this asset, as well as of the asset of respect for law and order, for statesmen and magistrates, is a grievous wound to the State:

> "We do it wrong, being eo majextioal, To offer it the chow of violence."

Says Imlac in "Rasselas": "Man cannot so far know the connection of causes and events as that he may venture to do wrong in order to do right. When we pursue our end by lawtul means, we may always console our miscarriage by the hope of future recompense. When we consult only our own policy and attempt to find a nearer way to good by overleaping the settled boundaries of right and wrong, we cannot be happy even by success, because we cannot escape the consciousness of our fault ; but if we miscarry, the disappointment in irretrievably embittered."

## THE MILITANT SUFFRAGISTS

Militancy may not have put beck the clock of suffrage, but it has put back the clock of civilization.
But, if, anything could excuse the militants, it is the taunt of a Cabinet Minister that he saw no such ebullition of popular feeling as had burnt down Nottingham Castle. Mr. Eobhouse was perfectly correct. But how inconceivable of a Liberal statesman to weigh a cause by its violence! "From the moment Mr. Hobhouse's speech was delivered," Mrr. Pethick Lawrence told the jury this June, "women began to feel that self-sacrifice was futile, that nothing could touch the hearts or conscience of legislators but . . . damage to property."

## VII. <br> Miss Christabel Pankhurst has taken her motto from Blake: <br> "If the sun and moon should doubt, They'd immedistaly go out"

She combines the spiritual assurance and practical ius of a St. Catherine of Siena with the determination of a hustler and the logic of a Bachelor of Laws. There is, perhaps, something of Manchester in her machine-like rigidity. But it gives her the invaluable quality of decision. And never was this quality exhibited more finely than in her handling (from her Paris exile) of the problem of bringing out the suffagette when printer after printer was warned off by the Government. Her refusal to let the Labour Party print it was a master-stroke.
Inferior to her mother as an orator, despite her vivacity and charm, and only occasionally touching the same high note of and greater figure by her cocksureness. It is the young generation kicking at the door. "When should the Government generation the vote ?" "To-day 1" That is the the Government give us the Government would risk an in the note of Christabel. That throw the still unstable results of mal crisis which might overand Labour parties are only pmany asssions, that the Irish system as herself, does not diminursuing the same single-eyed werved first. There is nothing shish her resentment at not being she has told us, nor is Parlig she despises so much as the M.P., template. That sounds like a ton a career she would ever conthe one breach in the relentlesanco of masculine inconsequence, In the internal conduct of the of the female logic. m...
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has been as marked as in the external. With the transition to militancy went also a transition to military law, and the organisation ceased to elect its offlicers. Autocracy was found the best means of promoting demoaracy. Of the original pioneers of the movement, only the working women have remained with the Pankhursts. Mirs. Despard founded the Freedom League, Miss Billington has become a critic. And not only were women sacrificed the moment their opinion ran counter to Christabel's, even the greatest friends in the House of Commons went unheeded, though it might have been thought they understood the machine better. Nay, even the two Emmelines were parted on the policy of arson.

The Pethick Lawrences had been travelling in Canada, had seen fresh horizons, and, removed from the Pankhurst hypnosis, had readjusted their perspective. The split occurred at an unfortunate moment for Mru. Pankhurst, when the cause was already overclouded, and the return of the Pethick Lawrences was the one patch of blue, and a mighty audience waited in the Albert Hall to welcome them home. It was only a few minutes before the meeting that sinister rumours began to circulate-the colour seemed to go out of the emblazoned banners. It was Mrs. Pankhurst's formidable task to explain that she had ruthlessly shed the beloved Treasurer, that the very organ of the movement, Votes for Women, would be replaced by a raw new paper. The little woman stood alone on the platform, bereft even of Christabel. Never had she shown such greatness. A few simple sentences, crystalline in sound as in form, and the vast audience was hers again. In a few weeks the Suffragette had cut out the Pethick Lawrence paper as the official organ. But never a word of recrimination has come from either side. Neither party has spoken of the other except in terms of regard. It is an episode for which you will find no parallel in male factions.

## VIII.

Havi-hasi, the one resource of the Suffragettes, turns out to be their strongest weapon. Englishmen are not so brutish that they can lear the sight of martyred innocence. The heroic suicide of a lady of wealth and station on the public doorstep of the Derby is worth a wilderness of fires, and the cross that was borne before her body at the great funeral was a more victorious ymbol than the hammer. Militancy is only successful in so

## THE MILITANT SUFFRAGISTS

far as it brings suffering to the militants. If this were a real war, could one say the greater their casualties the nearer their triumph? In war you menace the enemy with death. Mrs. Pankhurst is menacing the enemy with her own death. Even if we allow the Government merely the wisdom of knowing that the blood of the martyrs is the seed of the Church, the fact that she is not allowed to die, even though Ministers are at their wits' ends to keep her and the law alive together, is a comforting reassurance of human progreas. Four years ago Mrs. Painkhurst said in the dock: "Our words have always been-be patient, exercise self-restraint, show our so-called superiors that the criticism of women being hysterical is not true, use no violence, offer yourselves to the violence of others." Militancy was born out of despair of constitutionalism : out of despair of militancy Mrs. Pankhurst has come back to the teaching of "Corinthians." Crime is now merely a cover for her hunger-strike. Her utter celfeasneas, the unbreakable energy of that frail body under the Cat-and-Mouse Bill (aptly compared to the Iron Maiden of the Middle Ages, whose iron spikes slowly squeered out the life of the victim), the noble eloquence which moved the prosecuting Attorney-General, Sir Rufus Isaacs, to tearr-these are beginning to tell even on the elorgy, always the last to recognize religion in its contemporary visiare. Even bishops have demanded the death of the Cat-and-Mouse Bill, that bungle of benevolence and barbarism devised in a panic to save the forms of Law, and carried finally through the House of Lords-whose function, sccording to Asquith, is to "impose delay"-in sixty seconds. But the Bill has been killed without prayers in aid. The prisoners. have torn up their licences or sold them by auction-Mrs. Pankhurst's fetched a hundred pounds. Some have escaped, come have refused to quit the cell. Mre. Pankhurst-a convict under three years' hard labour-left England, like her fellow-politicians, when the House rose: to recuperate for a lecture campaign in America. The suppressed Suffragette has a larger circulation than ever. The officials of the W.S.P.U., so recently condemned to long terms of durance, are at their desks in Kingsway, calmly pursuing the "criminal" routine of the office. "There is no writes the Suffragette "the imagination of either men or devils," withstand, if not living, "that the women of this Union cannot paralysed and humiliated. It is magnificent, but it is not the vote.

## the war for the world

## IX.

Podsnapa, posing as open-minded, prattle that women ought to have the vote-excepting the Suffragettes, who have clearly shown themselves unfitted. The contrary is the more rational course. Every militant has earned a hundred votes. The weakness of the argument from martyrdom lies precisely in its irrelevance to the other women, the stodgy indifferentists or the angry Antis. But to impose freedom on those who would rather be alaves, like to impore insurance on those who would rather be feckless, is the task of Liberal statesmanship. To repudiate the task, to deny freedom even to thove who demand it, is the negation of Liberalism. That come Conservatives, too, favour Female Suffrage only shows how overdue it is. Even the Anti-Suffrage Society of Mrs. Humphry Ward demands municipal office for women. The vast transformations already effected in women's rocial, economic, and educational status call, in fect, for a correlative political revolution. To imagine it is "Votes for Women" that menaces the old order is to take the branch for the root. There is no anti-Suffragist M.P.--the Prime Minister not excluded -whose wife or daughter does not spout from political platforms. Not even Christabel Pankhurst is a keener politician than Mrs. Humphry Ward.
The errors of political novices adventuring in unmapped territory, but offering their life for their cause, will seem small to ponterity in comparison with the Liberal Leader's sin against Liberalism. That the protagonist of the people, the historic overthrower of the Lords, should be the evil genius of the woman's movement, is a tragic paradox. Mr. Asquith is a statesman of grave and lofty conceptions and otherwise unblemished honesty, but his latest pose that there is little to be asid on one side or the other is more amaxing than his ancient antagonism. That ww self-stultifying, but dignified; this is unpardonable frivolity. A recent cartoon in the Suffragette represents Justice as saying to him : "Why not give them the vote, and release me from tasty that are an outrage on my name ?" And Mr. Asquith replies: "Now, enough of that, my woman, I've suspected all along yor were on their side." If he did not suspect it all along, he nuspecth it now. And the public at large suspects it, and is more ready to receive Women's Suffrage than many a project which politiciam palm off upon it. That Women's Suffrage will pass over the body o. Mr. Asquith is one of the few certainties of the near future.
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## PROLOGUE FOR A WOMEN'S

 THEATRE(Spoken by Miss Fay Davis at the Actresses' Franchise League
Matinee, October 27th, 1011, Lyceum Theatre.)
Burore the sunrise there must come the grey,
So bear with me-the prologue to the play. Not mere diversion is our true intent, To whisper it-on politics we're bent. While prear hers rarely to performance reach, We at one blow shall both perform and preach. You dreamed us dummies to fit dresses on, To prop heroic mask of Amazon, Princess, or Queen-ourselves but tailors' blocks, Or if with thoughts, then merely orthodox. Not so; behind our mask we keep our soul, Nor take our mimic world for the great whole. All noble causes tax our pence and prayers. Are all the men and women merely players, As Shakespeare said? Then players in their turn Are men and women who aspire and yearn. And is it true that all the world's a stage? Then we would act on that and on the age. And so we covet parts in that great play For which the whole world is a stage to-day ; That drama with a purpose finely human, To raise man higher by uplifting woman. We, too, demand by love and sacrifice To pay our quota of the grievous price Blind man exacts for setting woman free: Labours and pains no less than gold the fee, The scoff, the blow, the prison-worst of all, The bitter need like men to bawl and brawl. And wherefore, prithee, all this monstrous ransom? How is the not man's equal, save more handsome?
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In Shakespeare's day, if Clio's voice be truth's, His heroines were played by beardless youths. Just fanoy Rosalind a real male, Quaffing between the acta her stoup of ale, Or Perdita concealing manly art, Or Deademona shaving for the part. Imagine some mere man for Enlen TerryYou might as well replece champagne by sherry. We've won equelity upon the boards, But on the world's stage men are still the lords, Making sad miechief with their stupid swords. The time is out of joint-let's set it right, Not whine and wail with Hamiet "cursed spite." That cry was merely masculine hysteris, For real statesmanship you need Egeria. But Hamlet was so hard soliloquising, He had no ear for feminine advising. Ah, if instead of suicide-suggestion, To vote or not to vote had been the question, Ophelia had met, with mocking flout, Hamlet's male insolence of smeer and doubt. Nunnery forsooth! When she at Hamlet's fat form Could thunder suftrage from the castle-platform ! "The time is out of joint 9 " Then what's the cure ? Joint work of men and women to be sure: Joint work to forter every noble growth, Joint work to make a better world for both. Refuse us this, let false friends trick the nation To burke the Bill that brings Conciliation, Then have at you, my lords, on with the fray. How long, $O$ lords ? Till woman has her way.

## THE WAR AND THE WOMEN

## 0 Woman in our hours of Pence

 At war with Parliament and Polices, When man it is that etarta the row. The beot munition maker thou.
## I. WOMAN AS WORKER.

Ir cannot be a mere coincidence that the war was made in Germany, the Male State in excelsis, where woman, in the Kaiser's favourite saying, must stick to her three K'K-Kitchen, Kids, and Kirk, we may perhaps render it. ${ }^{2}$ Not for her the glories of the Turnverein, the beatitude of the beer-hall, or the goupel of slashing the other cheek; not even the equality of the university. It is her lord alone that makes her the Mrs. Doctor or the Mrs. Professor.

That to this status of the German woman Armageddon may be due, is no fantastic speculation. For it is only by aheer absence of humour that Germany's brain could have tumefied with the notion of a Teutonic mission to mankind-by submarine and poison-gas-and absence of humour is directily traced by Meredith to contempt for the woman.
"If the German men," he observed in his "Pseay on Comedy," "would consent to talk on equal terms with their women, and to listen to them, Comedy, or in any form, the Comio spirit will come to them." That is to say, women's corrective criticism would have brought proportion, and proportion is the mother of humour. But they have not listened to their women, and $=0$ (as by the bad fairy's gift at a christening) all the other delightiul gifts of the race, all the music, science, and philosophy, are spoiled. In place of humour-the dancing smile in the eyes of visdomthe Teuton has only the grin of the gargoyle. "Fis irony," cays Meredith, "is a missile of terrific tonnage; sarcasm he emits like a blast from the dragon's mouth. He must and will be Titan."
If, then, his inolent isolation from feminine infuence is the ${ }^{1}$ It cooms an fronio Nomonids that the moral of Germany ahould now be undor. minod by the dieaffection of all theos kitohon-womon, wailing for bettri 1
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deepest cause of his awashbuckling temper, it followe that the position of women is not a factor of history to be lightly diseregarded, nor one that fails to wreak its effects because historians and politicians neglect to take it into account.
Electricians divide bodies by the resistance they offer to the passage of the eloctric current as calculated in "ohms." Humanity may be divided into clacees by the resistance they offer to new ideas. The Americans, for example, have a omall ohmage, the English a high. Judged by the evolution of their women, old countries like Sweden and Finland ave less resistant than even the New World. In England woman has not moved a step in any direction without a hue and cry. Tragical is the story of the first medical pionecre, and equality with the man physician is even yet not won, though every new female doctor is now hailed as a godeend by the male millions engaged night and day in making work for her. ${ }^{2}$ The "loot volts" is the pathetic name for the units of electric pressure wasted through resistance. What a ghastly waste of human force this Britiolh bull-doggedness is answerable for!
But sometimes in every country this ohmage of obatinncy is overwhelmed by sudden forces. Social evolution, which proceeds usually like the smail, proceeds at these moments like the kangaroo-" by leaps and bounds "-just as geological changes, which in normal times are imperceptibly slow, are sometimes cataclymio. Such a volcanic upheaval has the war brought to women. In this transformation of the social landscape, the Suffrage question has become a relatively insigniflcant landmark. The cause of woman's sudden rise in status is the discovery that, like the hores, she is not merely a domestic beast of burden, but may aloo be useful for war. In a passive sense, the discovery was not new. Did not Sir Walter Scott announce it in his famous apostrophe to "Woman in our hours of eace"? Did not Victor Hugo glority the Frenchwomen in the siege of Paris, who "gave to despairing combatants the encouragement of their smile, who refused, even before hunger, even before death, the surrender of their city" ? But Patience smiling at grief, though it may be set on a monument, wins little real regard in the "man-made" world. Not even the active business services universa"!y rendered
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in France by Frenchwomen could reavee them from the insienisounce atteching to a sext that mesely creates and does not destroy. And in England, though Forence Nightingale practically eaved our Crimean asmy, ahe was impotent to help the army of women pushing into the arens at home. Bealdes, war had not for centuries really come home to the British brenat. In the great Napoleonio days, when Jane Austen was writing her quiet country-house comedies with never a word of the events that were ahaking mankind, war was for England a foreign adventure, restricted mainly to two social classes, the cream of the cream and the drege of the gutter.
Your military eoquaintance-your gay ensigns and crusty colonels-went of to the wars much as an expedition now goes off to the Antaretic.
If you were a Society lady or a Becky Sharp you could follow Wellington to Flanders and dance in the great Brussels ball that Waterloo broke up. At the frat booming of the cannon you fled, or otayed to pray for the fighters. It was all very interesting and picturesque, but except on the black days, eloquently described in "Vanity Fair," when casualty lists were coming in, it did not ectually touch the rooted population. If this was no with the average male civilian, how much more with the female I But now it appears that the civilian cannot be left out of the business, and that the female may be as destructive as the male. Womeneven ladies of quality-can actually make shells, nay, according to $\operatorname{Mr}$. Asquith who saw thousands of whilom dressmakers, milliners and pariour-maids at their fell work, they can make them "perhape a little better" than men-an opinion expressed with still more enthusiasm by that special correspondent of The Times who "saw a girl doing a particular operation on a lathe which had been previously worked by a skilled man." She was doing, he records, 150 per ahift to the man's $801^{1}$ And this revelation led

[^63]
## THE WAR FOR THE WORLD

our Arch Anti-Sumragist, Mr. Asquith, to the surmise that po albly women could do many other unexpected thinge. A Dani come to judgment, indeed I It is true thare wero-long befó the war-seven million women "gainfully occupied," but the State had never yet obworved them, nor over considered the employment or unemployment a factor in coolal phenomene To-day every eye is apon Venus rising-as in Botticelli's picturs 2To her shell. The State includer women in the National Registes The Times devotes to their services a chapter of its "History a the War." The War Oftice publiches the names of dead nurne in the casualty listr.
And not only does woman feed the aghting line directly a munition maker, borve trainer and general provider, and tend it as nuree, doctor and ambulance bearer, it has been discovered that in every direction she can relieve man and release him for the tront. In the antediluvian age before the war, any feminine encroachment npon the male preserve would have been met-as the workmen in the Brieux play, "Ia Femme Seule," met their women competitors-with the male alst. And is the new function involved changes of vesture or appearance, then the small boy, whom I have elsewhere saluted as "the scavenger of manners," would have made life unbearable for the innovatrese until she had worn him out by multiplication. But to-day? Why, the mere pictures in The Times" "History of the War" reveal women (in appropriate costumes) as police patrols, telegraph mesuengerr, postwomen, ploughwomen, aheep shearess, page-giris, motoriste, van-drivers, commissionaires, railway booking cleske, tieket and luggage porters, omnibus and tram conductresses, billposters, butchers and bargees! One hears, too, of female grooms, lamplighters, vets., cattle drovers, scavengers (in new overalls), commercial travellers and chartered accountants. There is even, O tempora, O mores - a games-mistress in a boys' school! The very Government offices-immemorial abodes of the barnaclo-have women clerks and lift attendants. What wonder if there move through our streets without ragamufin rebuke the khaki-clad warriors of the Women's Voluntear Reserve! Some Sootch substitutes for men have even donned the breeke. ${ }^{2}$ But in addition to
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the mayy ways in which woman is ar' wally yceen itoking the furnaces Af wax, there is a growing recognition that even the woman at home io playing her part in the war. That men must Aght and women muat weep was long the stock argument of the antioguftragistefor who would give a vote to tears i In vain we suffragicts tried to make them underntand that the fighting part of a nation was only the white-erested wave that throws itcell furiously on the abore-behind it was the whole ocean of national energy. In vain we pointed out that a nation wae, after all, only a collection of homes, and that it was from these homes that all the national etrength iscued, were it but in the shape of "man that is bosn of woman " or resources born of both.
To-day Press megaphones and flamboyant porters have prochimed this truth to the dullest. Every hoarding has shown us the munition maker hand in hand with the woldier; warriors both. The War Loan carried on the tale. "Do you want to save our sailors' and soldiers' lives i " women, no less than men, were acked in great Governmental adverticements. "Do you want to bring the war to an end 9 " "You can make your money fight for you." "If you cannot use the aword for your country, you can use your pen by filling up this form." One of the latest and mont decorative of there posters, though bristling with cannon and bayonets, is headed simply, "Appeal to Women." The silver bullet, in short, can be sped by a woman's hand, and the sinsws of war are sexless. ${ }^{2}$
With half a million German Women making war material from the very outbreak of war, with the domesticated Frou producing 40 per cent. of the explosives and 80 per cent. of the
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equipment, not to mention ber replecing railwas, tramway, an motor men, with, in faot, over two million of her torn from thi Idtchen into industrial life, it could hardly eccape attention ever in Germany that the three K's had been tranccended, and that the great male K (STiog or Shedr) was not co outade the female province as that arrant, K, the Kaiser, had imagined. ${ }^{1}$ - As the Prankfurler Zotivng confessed with characteristic German thoroughness, "Many of us have in these months felt it to be a defect that in Cermany the State, with its system of universal cervice, embraces only the men, and them only in sof far as they are capable of bearing arms. This system was decided upon at a time when wass were conducted with weapons only, and it no longer fits the present atate of thinge, in which everything, gold and food, industrial products and science, is a means of carrying on the war, and in which the war itself consiats to a great extent of esievtific and economic labour."
War sonsists to a great extent of scientific and coconomio labour I So at last man has discovered mid-day at 12 o'alock ! "Every pit is a trench, every workehop a rampart," crien Lloyd George, vividly lamenting the legions of miners and munition-makere a short-nighted policy had lured to Flandern. Armageddon may even, it appeare, finally hinge on the manufacture of machine tools. With war thus got beyond the tomahawk stage, the poos squaw can now as little be excluded from the tug of it as ahe ever was from the misery and murdeiouaness of it. Indeed, according to The Times' correspondent, "the full utilisation of the resources already in sight dopende on female labour." Battles are won in the factory as well as the field, and in the cornfeld no less than the field of war. They were always won in the kitchen and the nurvery. But it is characteristic of the titanic, humourless Teuton that,
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haviag at last discovered the importance of the other sex, be prococds to glorlty, not woman, but the Cerman womannotorioualy the least attractive type in Europe. This creature is, ceconding to the Berlin Pook, to rear a race of demi-gode and take "her predentined place on the throne as queen over all her aisters, the edored from afar by the men of all clases, the mate of the Cermans only:"
The game of "Cherches in Femme" has so long distorted the Freach vision that France cannot even now ind her as quickly as Germany has done. For Germany had only to open its eyes to see: whereas the long practice of the leer hid given France a permanent squint.
In the German railway, tramways and shope a aystematic subatitution of women for men began simultaneously with the mobilisation of the army ; in France the subetituted reserve was, as far as posaible, drawn from males too old or too young for war; and, although women did largely repluce men, it wis mainly as a family affair. Mothers, sisters, daughters, wives, stepped into the breach less as women than as relatives. This was neturna enough on the land, where the women have abeolutely repleced the men, even to the hardest ploughing. But the sem replaced has prevailed outside the home. ih P. But the same syatem the example-which wee lame. The Paris "Underground " set of the family to occupy the pely followed-of inviting the women warm till their return. me places of their menkind and keep them the principle is recomieed when, alas I they are not to return relatives of fallen soldiers to The Government has chowen the the French Army. And in phileri in the base establiohments of woman has not asserted herself eeparate organizations. Thus in as an independent sex, with ber own." Nevertheless, since many woman is atill not "on never come back to their post many of the males, alas! will inevitably escape dislodgment at the of this new labour must thus evading the labour problem the end of the war. Not by vent it.

In the higher circles of French femininity there is even leas change. The Germans may capture French provincer, they cannot shake the fortress of French convention. War-charity among the grandes dames, if on a magnifled scale, moves in the old social grooves and cliques, and is run with the same fashionable Catholic machinery. Nor has the joune fille bien cleote been free to choose her own "good work," though, like a lay nun, she has
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been given plenty. But the mondaine has not abandoned her frivolity, nor has the was-after the first panic and in despite of the billowing crape in the streets-succeeded in apoiling the appearance of the Parisienne. Pacis still sules the fashions as Britannia the waves.
Italy falls even below France in the hardling of the woman question. At the outset of the war woman-conductors were hooted off the trams. Decidedly the Latin races have a larger ohmage than the Saxion.

## II. WOMAN AS FIGHTER.

> "Babe Christabel mas rogully born."-Granto Mamas.

And all this new activity and all this reinterpretation and recognition of old activity takes place in the fierce light that beats upon a boom. Had not the Female Suffrage question been set in violent motion by the Pankhursts, it is possible that the objectlessons of the war would not have been reaped for the benefit of the cause. Even a partisan of the feminine vote like Mr. Lloyd George must find fresh food for sympathy as he rides in his motor car under the skilled steenswomanship of his chsufteuse, Miss Caroline Marah, the celebrated hunger-striker. And nothing has more contributed to the sinking in of these morals than the wise and patriotic action of the Pankhursts in suspending their militancy, whose relative innocuousness was, moreover, suddenly revealed by the bonfires of the man-made hell. The Suffragotte, stii! doggedly declaring that there was no way of winning the vote save by fighting, and that in the impossibility of fighting, it was useless going on, suspended publication. The other suffrage parties which had not placed their trust in their fighting power found no such difficulty in continuing their organs, even though their activities were mainly transterred to relief work and military service of every kind, for which their existing organization of women provided a ready-made machinery. The National League for Opposing Woman Suffrage pursued similarly the path of beneficence, so that the suffrage movement may be congratulated on having called into existence this valuable federation of female activities. The anti-suffragist women had always occupied a Gilbertian platform in emphasising from it that woman's place was the home, and the paradox was not diminished by the attempt to eke out its negations with a demand for the municipal franchise. For it is obvious that the female anti-suttragist, like
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mate organ of the movement. It should rather have been calle the War Baby, so unmistrizably was it a child of military passion (It is significant that the care of the war babies is precisely th task selected by the Pankhursts from all the philanthropi possibilities.) Not one of the Press demagogues who daily a weekly whip up the beast in man, not one of the militarists whe are out to crush militarism, could vie with Christabel Pawshursi in her impassioned torrents of Jingoese. The worst extravagancer of our junker journalists were outdone. I know no male fireeater who has set forth so drastic a programme as this "female of the species."
"Institute compulsory national service, military and industrial Tighten the blockade so that Germany shall not receive a single thing helpful to them in the prosecution of the war. Purify the ifficial organization of the country of naturalized Germans and of Germans born in England but of German blood. Purify it, too, of any of British blood who may be pro-German or hall. hearted in the prosecution of the war." Even "true-born Englishmen," you see, less bellicose than the majority, are to be kicked out of England I And it is only the other day that the papers were discussing what island could serve as the St. Eelem of the suffragettes.

Of course, this root and branch rodomontade is only another illustration of her head-long extremism, of her crude conception of statesmanship as militancy, and of tacticis as invariably frontal and furious. The climax of this raging, tearing campaign wes reached when among the men "half-hearted in the prosecution of the war" were suddenly included Mr. Asquith and Sir Edwand Grey. A "Great Patriotic Meeting," called at the Albert Hall wr. revealed at the eleventh hour as designed to hurl them from power -a revelation which explained why The Times had been daily devoting to the mere preliminary booming of the meeting ten times the space it had accorded to the most important and sensetional suffrage gatherings at this same hall. The manceuvre whs circumvented through the immediate refusal of the hall by its proprietors. ${ }^{1}$

Infinitely more popular have been the furious rushes directed by Miss Pankhurst againt the Union of Democratic Control, body constituted of the very men who first risked their reputation
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on behalf of her derided movement. Not that they had not already been castigated the moment they had disagreed with her tactics. But she might have remembered that the Union was the first political body to announce that by "Democratic Control" it meant a joint Government of men and women, and that its object was to sweep away the secret diplomacy and veiled autocracy that nullity the male vote, and will make the female vote, when it is obtained, equally ineffective in the vast issues of peace and war. These issues mould our lives far more than the questions we are permitted to vote upon, and to bring them equally under the sphere of the vote must be the desire of every suffragist. But then there never was a person more essentially anti-suffragist than Christabel Pankhurst. Nobody has ever been allowed a vote in the affisirs of her Union. She is simply a dictator, born out of her due sex and time. It happened that the state of society afforded no scope for her natural driving power, and so she was reduced to the leadership of women. But her constant obsession with the image of Joan of Are shows-as the psycho-analyst would say-that all along she has sub-consciously hankered to lead men. For Joan of Are did not win the battles of France with an army of Amazons. Now, spurring and cheering on the army of men, bidding them roll their enemies in the dust, Miss Pankhurst is at last in her true element. And the word "Purge, purge," which she ingeminates, recalls her other ambition to be Cromwell-the Cromwell of "Pride's Purge" and "Take away that bauble." She actually calls for a Cromwell to purge a certain London club of its "pro-Germans." And her following has changed with her programme. Of the Women's Social and Political Union, practically only the name remains, and of the Suffragette, not even the name, for it has recently become Britannia, who has only in common with the Suffragette that she is a female. Even Britannia now stands suppressed for superpatriotic scandal-mongering. No wonder the protestants of the Union call for balance and balance-sheets, in the fear that the Pankhursts are giving up to England what was meant for the suffragist war-chest. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{2}$ A manifento sdopted at a meoting on November 29th, 1915, complaing that the W.8.P. U. Wan virtually disbanded and thore had been no balanco-nheot aince opring, 1014. "My mothor and I iptond to remain," was Mine Panlhument's reply. By the constitution we cannot reaign." The protestante now publish monthly paper of thoir own, asllod the Suffragette Newe Shect. Miss Sylvis Panithurat, who "Frman suffrag" sway from her mother and sintor, has a formula of her own. pecifit, inbour and andis paper of hor own called the Woman's Dreadnought, a bold w.w.
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But with the larger public, of courso-apart from the Albert Hall mistake, and even that had its votaries and coteries-the new Pankhurst programme is immensely popular. Philistine M.P.'s have supported their meetings, bishope blemed their propaganda, noble londs prosed on their platform, genteel indies walked in their processions-processions actually paid for by the Minister of Munitions, their whilom bete noire, Mr. Lloyd Georgeto demand the free and equal right to make explosives, and the papers have photographed and puffed them. Reported at last and at length by the great organs that had boycotted her, acclaimed by the great mobe that had clamoured to duck her, Christabel Pankhurst, in the new-born Suffragote, cried in capital letters with a lack of humour that touched the sublime:

## "Tluet the Peoplis and Defy the Ceanise."

It is a tragic circle in human affirs that the ex-martyr becomes the parvenu persecutor. But this assimilation of the Pankhursts to the mob is an asset to their cause proper. The masses, taught thus to find in woman so potent a reinforcement of their prejudices, will come to recognize how stupid was the anti-nuftirage policy which deprived them of so valuable an ally. It wase always the fatal mistake of Miss Pankhurst to overlook that woman's suffrage was essentially a man's question, that in man's hands lay the ultimate power of granting or withholding it, and that only by pleasing men could women-in the last analysis-achieve their emancipation. Now that by a happy accident the Pankhursts' platform coincides with that of the man in the street, now that the Pankhursts are able to "feed the brute " with his own gross diet, they stand far nearer his heart and their goal. Not to fight man but to second and sponge him in his own fight is the road to female suffrage. The palm denied to the Christian martyr will be won by the recruiting sergeant.

The tragedy of this degeneration lies not in the character of Christabel Pankhurst-which is unchanged and unchangeablebut in the character of Mrs. Pankhurst, possessed by the daimon of her daughter. It is impossible to read the earlier speeches of Mrs. Pankhurst without seeing that in her the age had produced one of those rare spirits who come to interpret and incarnate the great saying of St. Paul to the Corinthians: "Hopeth all things, suffereth all things, believeth all things." The first Mrs. Pankhurst knew that the Kingdom of Heaven suffereth
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no violence and is not taken by amault, and her victory, had it come then, would heve been a victory for "female" suffrage, for the contribution of gentleness and social reform which woman hen to bring to politics. Her victory, when it comes now, will be only a vietory for a awmbuciling sutinge, apparelled at all pointa like a men.

## III. WOMCAN AS PEACEMAKER.

"Boynelo pis vivanto ae wition de is gearre-l'Antigone etarnallo qui


Happily, other wamen have appeared, not so content as the Pankhursts "to play the sedulous ape" to man, or to be dominated by his outlool. The women who met at the Hague in an International Congress that embenced both English women and German women had anticipated Romain Rolland's appeal to memen to cease to be " men's shadows." "The women who do not fight have no right to goad on the fight," said the distinguished French women who addressed a greeting to the congress. And they laid down "the fundamental principle of feminism " as "the wish to create, while destroying war, a better and juster humanity." Just because they had no political voice in any of the belligerent countries, it was for them now to say what the men who were fighting could not say, and to preserve the spirit of international fraternity. And so this congress of women from a dozen nations, under the presidency of Jane Addams, protested unanimously against "the madness and horror of war," believing with Queen Elinor in " King John ":
"This might have bean pervonted and made whole By very enery arguments of love, Which now the manege of two kingdome munt With fearful bloody imee arbitrate."
The congress protested, too, against the assumption that women were protected in the war, and adjured "the Governments of the world "to put an end to it. Nor was their protest to be platonic. Under the inspiration of the practical and elaborately worked out project by Miss Julia Wales, of Wisconsin University, entitled "Continuous Mediation without Armistice," it was resolved to try to create a conference of neutral nations for this purpose, also "to invite suggentions for settlement from each of the belligerent
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nations," and in any case to suomit simultaneously to all of them "reasonable proposals as a basis of peace." Women would, in lect, try to mediate between their males, as one tries to disentangle dogs. Nay, more, the women have ectually gone out from this congress-like Queen's Messengers-and have been received by kings, premiers and presidents. The scheme of "conitinuous mediation " has been adopted likewise by the Quakers, and is sald to be regarded by some Governments as "the sanest plan yet suggested.". For climax, the congress resolved that an international meeting of women shall be held in the same town and at the same time as the Congress of Powers that is to frame the terms of the peace settlement after the war, for the purpose of presenting practical proposals to this conference. Women roill be "men's shadow," but in what a novel sense ! Side by side with the portentous and pontifical male congress which has always hitherto done the carving of the nations, and never failed to make a hash of it, will sit-like sober peahens beside their peacocks $a$ body of women interpreting national dignity and sovereignty, and all the grandiose vocabulary of the male, in terms of human life.
"We women judge war differently from men," said Dr. Aletta Jacobs, the Dutch initiatress of the Peace Congress. "Men consider in the first place the economic results, the extension of power and so forth. But what is material loss to us women in comparison to the number of fathers, husbands, brothers and sons who march out to war never to return? We women consider above all the damage to the race resulting from war and the grief and the pain and the misery it entails." That woman should thus revise what Thackeray called "the devil's code of honour "is not surprising, for she has actually borne in pain and reared in sick anxiety the body it is proposed to mutilate. "Unruly" as Shakespeare's Duchess of York, she cries to her lord :

## "Hzdet thou grouned for him As I have done, thoud'ret be more pitiful."

I do not forget the Spartan mother who bade her son return with his shield or on it. But that mother had had no chance of developing an outlook of her own. Sparta was not so much a State as a barrack ; every mother's son, unless he had been killed off as too sickly for $\varepsilon$ soldier, was taken from her at the age of seven to be stupefied by drill. She could only please her master by exaggerated echoes of his "Laconic" wisdom. To-day even in the Sparta of Prussia Clara Zetkin and other women have courted martyrdom
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by their protests against the war. And the wisdom of even the male peacemaker is no longer to go unquestioned, for, as we have seen, woman has resolved to shadow the Peace Congress and send it suggestions. There is a certain high comedy in the situation because everything will probably have been cut-and-dried beforehand by secret treaty, as it was at the Congress of Berlin. But what a stride forward in the yosition of woman since 1878, when Beaconsfield and Bismarck remodelled Europe, with results that are before us I It is she who aspires to save civilization in the collapse of the politicians, and religion in the breakdown of the bishops. Not every pious lady has been making shells on Sunday, and Christianity never had a nobler and more eloquent apostle than Miss Maude Royden, touring heathen Britain in a van, or Miss Cavell, laying down her life with the immortal sentence, "Patriotism is not enough." The "imperishable story of her latest hours," declared the Premier to the Commons, "has taught the bravest of us the supreme lesson of courage." "Yes, sir," he added emphatically, "and in the United Kingdom and throughout the Dominions of the Crown there are thousands of such women, and a year ago we did not know it." What a confession I For seven years thousands of women had been martyriving themselves for the cause of female freedom, and the Prime Minister and Parliament did not know such women existed 1: No wonder the seventh centenary of Magna Charta-entirely ignored by Englishmenwas celebrated this year only by the Women's Freedom League.:

Thus there is solid ground for confidence that the enfranchisement of women will not end in the addition of ten million pseudomales to the electorate. What Mr. Roosevelt-in his gentle voice-
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calls the shrieking sisterhood will not merely echo the bawling brotherhood. Much more likely is it that the preudo-chivalry of the male, with all its glittering mediseval lumber, will be swept away by female common sense as remorcelemaly as his military plumes and laces have beesi shorn away by the shears of necemity. Women will play the Sancho Pansa to the demented Don Quiscte with his babble of "batties, enchantments, adventuree, extravegances, combats and challenges," and where he saw two mighty armies with pomp and pageantry of "arms, colours, devices and mottocs," she will secionly the two flocks of sheep that were really there, obscured by the cloud of dust: the dumb herds driven to slaughter and lost in the dust thrown into the world's eyes by politicians and poets. She will see Roxinante, not as the war-horse clothed in thunder, but as the lean starveling hack of reality, and Dulcinea, in whose honour the battle is joined, as the frowny hoyden she is. There are indeed a few men who can see through the dust almost as clearly as women. "Only the other day," complains The Times of July 17th, "a member of Parliament was talking about the money that would be wanted for housing after the war, and evidence is always cropping up to show that social reform ctill fills the minds of politicians and officials as the real busincess before them. The war is only an episode in their lives." Degenerate Britons I How-as Roosevelt witheringly puts it-shall milk and water match blood and iron \& Unfortunately, Miss Margaret Scott tells us that vithout a quart of milk a day a sturdy soldier cannot be reared; and it would even seem as if "social reform " is as necessary to sate guard the population as trenches and field-guns.

One of the few genuine "war-profits" has been the attention drewn to the cradle ay the real "cradle of liberty." A meeting at the Guildhall, presided over by the Lond Meyor, for the reduction of the wastage of child life, tool on for the first time the true guise of a "great patriotic meeting." The war, though war-wages and allowances have nouriohed the mothers as never before, has also taken many from the nursery, or exposed them-in the first rapture of handling money without even the necessity of feeding their lords-to the temptation of drink; they have talcen man's place in the tap-room, as everywhere else. Heace even politicians have begun to see the need of looking after our first future line of defence-our infantry.

Histerims.tell us that the Crusades, denigned to win the tomb of Christ, promoted commercial intercouric between Fast and
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West. Germany, setting out to assert the male ideal, has given an immense jog to the feminine. But the price would have staggered the optimism of Pangloss. Ho-ti, whose house must be burnt down before he could taste crackling, rometed his pig infinitely cheaper. The low of legions of our young men (come of them even by marriage to French or Flanders lasses) will increase the number of spinsters, who will clamour with increasing outspokenness for a sevised sex ethic. ${ }^{1}$ The entry of women into so many occupations will produce temale blacklegs and gravely agitate the trade unions, already torn between the alternative of admitting women, with "equal pay for equal work," or seeing themselves undercut by cheaper but not always less efficientand sometimes even more efficient-lemale labour.a
The servant problem will be aggravated; the girls who have tasted the higher wages and urban freedom of the munition factories will not lightly return to domestic service, especially in the country house.
There will be friction all along the line at those points which women have not yet stormed-and these embrace in England the whole of the legal profession, the higher walks of the Civil Service and even of medicine, not to mention Parliament and Govermment. The end of the war will bring, not peace, but sex strite added to the inevitable economic discontents. For the social landscape cannot be transformed for women without changing man's situation too. When the valleys are exalted, the hills are apt to subside. By an odd coincidence the female chapter of The Times" "History of the War" winds up with a picture of "A Woman Making a Doll's House." That was, it appears, and not only from Ibsen, an exclusively male occupation. What sinister symbolism lurks in this climax i Is the man to be henceforward the pampered puppet?
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> (Speech to the United Sufiniciates at Kingeway Hall, February 234, 1016.)
> "We thought her dying whoo ahe alopt, And alopplay when che diod."-Hoon.

The resolution that I have the privilege to move suns as follow:-
"That this meeting is profoundly convinced that the bacis of peace at the end of the present war, in common with all other internstional and domestic affirir, cannot be satisfactorily settled while women are excluded from the rights of citizenship; and accordingly it demands that the Government take advantage of the present party truce to carry into law a non-party measure for women's enfranchisement."

But I confers I feel somewhat embarrassed at having to raise the question of votes for women at this juncture. Instead of condoling with women upon their leck of votes, I feel more like congratulating them upon it. For upon us who have votes-be we English or German, French or Austrian-lies at least some part of the responsibility for the moat terrible war in history, the gigantic misery and waste of which not even all the heroism and self-sacrifice it has called forth can redeem, nor all the splendours and profits of victory wipe out. It is with the a nosrt and connivance of us men that millions of educated Eurepeans are at this moment burrowing underground, side by side with Asiatics and Africans, in some instances recent converts from cannibalism, ${ }^{1}$ and that the era which prated of the Super-man has produced the Super-rabbit.' It is with our consent and connirance that colossal sums which might have renewed the whole social fabric
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of Eusope are squandered at an appalling apeed in abelly and bombe, which in their turn deatroy yot mose of the alowly-garnered producte of labous. It is with our consent and connivance that the noblest and strongest of our sex are being eliminated or mutileted, and that inatead of the survival, we have the funeral of the attest. It is with our consent and connivance that half the human ruce is at war and the other half caught in the currente of ruin, while the wail of broken bodies goes up from three continents. It is with our consent and connivance that a coloweal world-industry has been set up, the object of which is to produce dead people, an industry the capital sunk in which is so vast, and the plant of which is so extensive, embracing as it does eerial and submarine machinery so well as surface plant, that it coots some two hundred pounds to turn out a single corper. ${ }^{2}$ I do not asy this output of carrion is quite useless : it serves to manure the fields of Europe and even to produce fruite more or lese valuable in the spiritual and political spheres. Nor do I say that England could easily have avoided going into the business-or could at this moment ceace manufacturing corpees, or allow them to be made exclusively by Germans established in Belgium and France.' AllI desire to point out is that we have now before us the resulte of the male direction of the planet. It is open to argument whether women, or women with men, would have done better: it is beyond question that they could not powibly have done worse. And, since what cannot possibly be done worse stande a very large chance of being done better, common sense combines with every dictate of reason and justice to deminnd that in the busiheses of running the State women should now have an equal hand.
And though from one point of view their freedom from our
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blood-guilt is enviable, it is not fair either to them or to us that they should have no share in the responsibility for the titanic tragedy which they are now asked to endure, alleviate and pay for. Granted even that woman's place is the home, the waves of war do not draw back at her door-step. Foreign Policy stands in no sharp separation from Domestic Economy. Polities is no strange monastic region remote from female interests. Bombs and shells do not avoid the home because it is women's place. Precisely upon the home beat the questions of food-prices and coal-prices, child-labour and war-pensions. And all these questions, like the workings of military law upon her sex, find woman without even the protection of the vote. But to-day, even for the rabidest anti-suffragist, the home is not woman's exclusive - lace-she is indispensable in the firing zone, in the khaki faccories, in the hospitals ; and England, which so bitterly opposed her entrance into the medical schools, is now thanking God that so many female doctors are available and is crying for more. Not a few eminent men have gone out to America to champion the cause of the Allies and of British freedom. I know none who has done it more vigorously or effectively than Christabel Pankhurst, who said in New York: "You would not have thought much of us suffragettes, of our intelligence, our patriotism, our love of freedom, if we had let militarism, the Kaiser and all his tribe, use us in this task of breaking down the world's stronghold of liberty-use us to help destroy the mother of Parliaments. No! No I That shall never be." One would have thought that if only in graceful acknowledgment, the mother of Parliaments would now remember the daughters of England. Are they, who have so nobly and uncomplainingly taken their place in every department of the national life in order to help wage this war which was thrust upon them, to have no voice in the Peace Settlement either?

But even as I ask this question, I am conscious of a mocking sprite that answers it by another. "What voice are you men going to have in the Peace Settlement ? " I am here to cry "Votes for women." Ought I not rather to be crying "Votes for men ?" For our vaunted male vote is powerless in foreign affairs-which, as we have just seen, are really domestic affairs by a roundabout route. We men are humoured like little children with a nursery vote, but when it comes to adult business, to questions of life and death, to things that change life for generations, we are as helpless as babes or females. The Government conceals from us-even
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from some of its own colleagues 2-the engagements that commit us to war. Our responsibility for this cosmic fury is not, therefore, so heavy as it seems : it is not an immediate reaponsibility, but it lies in our not having democratived our Government in the past; and it will lie upon us in the future if we do not now set to work to make-in the language of Mr. Asquith-" the will of the people prevail."
As you are perhape aware, a Union of Democratic Control has just been founded, on whose council I have the honour to be, and since this Union is already spreading its roots far and wide in London and the provinces, Mr. Brailsford and myself thought it desirable it should define its position towards Suffrage before it spread any further. For we know the strength and prevalence of the delusion that the will of the people is the will of half the people, that Democracy is a matter of trousers, and that the voice of the people is the voice of man. The Union was more than willing to put itself on record as free from this favourite fallacy, and at its second meeting the other day passed a resolution declaring that "the Union of Democratic Control, convinced that democracy must be based on the equal citizenship of men and women, invites the co-operation of women." It further recognized the convergence of the two lines of work by the election of a prominent moman suffragist on the executive committee.
It is true that of the four cardinal points of the Union's programme, the fourth, calling upon Great Britain to propose an all-round reduction of armaments as part of the Peace Settlement, has no special importance for women, except in their role of housekeepers; but as regards the first point of the Union's programme it is peculiarly necessary to guard against women being overlooked. For this article demands that no province shall be transferred from one Government to another without the consent, by plebiscite or otherwise, of the population of such province. And the tendency to forget that the population includes women is more marked when men are making politics than when they are making love. The sccond point, aiming to remove Foreign Policy from the sphere of secret diplomacy to the control of Parliament, means an enrichment of the vote which will make women's struggle for it infinitely more worth while. And the remaining point, which seeks to replace the bankrupt policy of "the Balance of Power" by the establishment of an International Council, and thus foreshadows what Tennyson called "the Parliament of Man," is a warning to ${ }^{1}$ Burke apeaks of the dovico of the "doomble Cabinet"; and Bright complatinod
that wara wore docided by onty two or three moon.
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women to be on their guard as to the interpretation of this poel phrace. ${ }^{1}$
But my embarrassment in proposing the resolution I have move springs not only from the fact that even the male populatic has no voice in the Peace Settlement. The resolution asks 1 Parliament to pass Women's Sutfrage, but there is practically 1 Parliament in which to pass it. The papers exultingly tell us th Germany is on short commons. But it is England which is a short commons. There are at Westminster no bells and no bills, divisions and no debates, or none that are not talked out; the are numerous by-elections but no ballots. On such short commor are we that 200 M. P.'s have gone to the front. All honour to the -but the front is no place for a member of Parliament. The plac of a member of Parliament is in Westminster-it is what we pa him for-and if he cannot be in Westminster he must resign. 0 at least he must give place to a locum tenens, the constituency agree ing to keep his place open for him. As a result of this slackness o the People's House we have witnessed the amaxing spectacle o the House of Lords meeting in its absence to pick up the fragment into which the Commons had torn Magna Charta. I alway predicted that Mr. Asquith would tame neither the Lords nor the Ladies, but such a topsy-turvy situation leaves the most ironic imagination gasping. It would not surprise me now to see the Lords forcing Female Suffrage upon a kicking Radical Cabinet But whether forced by the Lords or forced by the Ladies, forced it must be. The notion that this is a dead season in politics, that 200 members may go off to the war, and that those who do not eerve their country in the trenches ought to neglect it on the benches, is a notion that cannot bear a moment's criticism. I quite agree, of cour.e, that in a time of national danger all parties should stand together. But they should stand together only against the external danger, not against the internal progress of their own country. I admit, too, that on the heads of the Ministers lie terrific burdens and terrific responsibilities, largely indeed due to their own failure to provide for the contingencies they secretly risked, but none the less terrific. But if the heads of departments may thus be excused from attending to anything but the war, all the more reason why the other 600 should get busy about something else. An easy division of labour would leave the war to the Cabinet and social legislation to the Commons. To divert at such
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a moment a single foot-pound of energy from the struggle against Germany would be almoot treason. But for those who cannot bring energy to bear upon the struggle, to be overwhelmed by the burden of other people's activities is not patriotiam but paralysia. I wish the House would take cxample by my French nursery governess, who, out off from her family in the danger area, and from her father in the trenches, and without in these latter days any news from either, calmly continues her routine of duty. There ahould now reign in the House of Commons not atagnation, eat, breathe, sle:p nothing but war would be intelligible if instead of being a great nation we were a nomadic tribe of scalp-huntera, or even if the war were to be a mere brief interruption of our civilized routine-a spasm of intensity, an affair of three months. But, according to Lord Kitchener, it is to last three years-and even then there is no telling. We know that history has had its Seven Years' War, its Thirty Years' War, and even longer wars. Are we during this indefinable interval to cease to exist as a civilization ? Our M.P.'s in the rabbit-warrens are at least nobly aivilization ? our M.P.'s at home to become rabbits in hutchobly active : are in a lettuce-land ${ }^{1} 1$ Surely this is in in hutches-lettuce eaters the state of war. If we are to is no necessary consequence of adjust ourselves to this new con live in a state of war, we must the dangerous bicycle, to the mition as we adjusted ourselves to adjusted ourselves to the menacing motor-car, as we have a permanent condition of life streets. In still darker ages war was aities taught, the great cathedrale the great international universpun, and the great pictures paints rose, the great tapestries were picture-plays still gallop, la painted. Even with us plays still run, teach, despite the unbelaw courts still sit, the universities still salleries are still open, and patriotism of absentee tutors, "Business as usual" is the novels still pour from the presses. brave merchantmen; eve motto everywhere-even with our where, as in a sacred hush, mere except in the House of Commons, to receive the ukases of the Cabitheir eyes and open their ears Times, the House of Commons is ninet. In the language of The 1 soe "O Parlin ${ }^{1}$ See "Our Parliamentery Lonforn," by T. P. O'Connor, M.P., ea artiole in the boon ohnirman for ment yeare of in which he reporta an M.P. as anying: "I have edacation authority; I heve given yoare of council; I am also the heed of the quentions, and especially of the edvestion quention life to the mastery of thece local netice are at the dispoasl of the Elouse of Commons and of experience and all my yot I am doing nothing." of the Hovee of Commons and of the Government ; and
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be businese-like is to have no party quarrels, but also to do business, to close even before the other public houses. But me tell the members that England expects the House to do duty. Even the Stock Exchange could not be kept permane closed, nor can we afford to spike our legislative machine at very moment when it could be most productive.

Last year Mr. Galsworthy made a burning appeal for a num of legislative reforms which, though favoured by the vast majo of civilized beings, and tending to eliminate a vast volum preventable suffering, could never be got through the House lack of time. The House, busy with Welsh sects and Irish tions, had never a day off for the questions of sweating unhealthy housing and child-feeding, for the protection of s birds or the rights of animals. Surely now, if ever, is the 1 to clear up cli these arrears, to set the crooked straight, to red the wrongs of the lower creatures, and even of women. B suppose to our panic-stricken Parliament the mere sugges that it should perform the functions for which we pay it seem heretical. And to the world at large our resolution that House should now proceed to give votes to women will seem 1 tively pro-German. On the contrary, it is not giving vote women that is pro-German. There is nothing more charact tically and pertinaciously Prussian. One would have tho that, in view of our perpetual preachment against the Ger doctrine that Might is Right, we would jump at the opportu to enfranchise the weaker sex, and to build the tabric of $\mathbf{S}$ not on brute force, but on reason and justice. Our war age the Germans, we say, is to prove that this principle of thei wrong. How much more logical to prove it to them by example than by our artillery ! And this is a war, we say behalf of oppressed nationalities and populations-a war human freedom. Are the rights of Englishwomen less than rights of semi-savage Bulgarians or Serbians ? The exclusi Male State was always an unnatural monster, and if this is ry a war to end Militarism, as we hear on every hand, it must war to end the Male State. For what is Militarism but expression of the Male State, the mark of the beast? It is to Male State in excelsis, to Germany, that we owe all this in culable misery. I was looking the other day at an old English 1 published in 1688 called "The Pleasure of Princes, or Men's Recreations." It wound up with a section on cock-figh In Prussia the pleasure of princes is man-fighting, and wi
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not only a gond man's recreation, it is the very soul of his good-
ness, without which he were a wicked waster and weakling.
It has been urged that women are just as martiag. that when Carlyle said the popu are just as martial as men, millions mostly fools, thise population of England was thirty forget its female half, and concept of the State did for once not vote would only duplicate the therefore the duplication of the The answer is that it may duplicate ther of enfranchised fools. it will duplicate also the nuplicate the fools and fire-eaters, but the status and prestige of voters of brave and wise spirits with apparent counting of heads, it is the And in politics, despite the long run, the minority that cares and minority that tells in the intensive minority it is that stands to labours and sacrifices. This The male fighters for justice and to gain from Women's Suffrage. doubled, and their courage quad freedom will find their numbers you will soon find the concepts of thed. Give women votes and siderable and salutary transformation. In pressing for this reform during ing that the Government take ad this very season, in demandtruce to call into law a non-party mantage of the present party chisement, neither you nor I have theasure for women's enfranto worry or embarrass the Gove the faintest intention or desire would gladly excuse Mr. Asquith Government. On the contrary, we able-bodied men-or even 400 from attendance. Six hundred job without the assistance of are quite competent to do the Has it not frequently been admitted singister or bureaucrat. cf our M.P.'s-in favour of Womitted that they are-the majority would cease ? Well, "militancy" Suffrage, if only "militancy" replaced by male militancy, militancy in ceased. It has been in the earth beneath and in the militancy in the heavens above and of so appalling a sweep and waters under the earth, militancy and Mrs. Humphry Ward must laracter that even Lord Curzon old days of defaced golf-greens and back with a sigh to the good only has female militaricy ceased-incinerated villas. And not have seen, by female service ; service it has been replaced, as we so self-sacrificing, and so heroic as to devoted, so multifarious, of equal footing as futile as it as to make any further denial arch-priest of Anti-Suffrage, it would be ungrateful. Even that precedent, has conferred the Ire Kaiser, breaking through all female nurses. Everywhere, you Cross for bravery on some forty sexes is being reduced to its proper the distinction between the exceptions the sphere of privs proper sphere-which is with few 271
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But I shall be told that Women's Suftrage is not suitable the present truce, that it is a party question. I do not admi as I have said, that the iruce should extend to party legislatic of an internal character. But in any case how can that be party question which each of the great parties has refused to $\mathbf{p}$ on its programme, which counts avowed sympathizers in bo camps, and which Mr. Asquith has repeatedly and generous admitted is handicapped in the House by not being a par question? If its partisans now evade the issue on the plea it a party question, they will be confessing that their real conce is not for the cause, but for what their party can get out of Gratitude has been defined as a lively sense of future favou Is politics only a lively sense of future votes? Well, we sh see if the politicians will admit as much.

The cause of Women's Sufirage, so far from being one that $m$ or should be shelved at this moment, is one of peculiar importan at this moment. For it is a moment at which even the male vi has been reduced to impotence, at which Parliament is only tied House. We stand under military law which sweeps aw for very questionable reasons and in the throes of panic eve constitutional safeguard built up by the wisdom and experier of generations of Englishmen, including free speech, an uncensol Press, and trial by jury. England has agreed not to end the v without the consint of both France and Russia, and, wise unwise, this world-shaking decision was made by a few gent men whose diplomacy is already under a cloud. We have a agreed to pool our resources with our Allies, and this new epo making arrangement was come to, not in the House of Commo not in London at all, but round a table in Paris. And the $n$ device of the "token vote," the blank cheque given by Par ment for an unstated number of soldiers, seems to remove b the army and the national purse from the control of the Commc No wonder The Times exclaims that we are approaching the id Parliament-that Parliament in which " none are for a pa and all are for the State." They are not for the State so m as for the Staff-that military junta which is always so soon re to cry, "L'Etat c'est moi.". Even in peace times the milit note dominates in our State processions and parades of Emp In vain among these prancing persons will you look for leaders of civilization. How poor a figure cuts the poet beside cavorting colonel-the colonel even censors the poet's pls It is the perpetuation of this military symbolism and pres
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that makes it so easy for a nation to slip back into its primeval eavagery, and into ite primeval serfdom, to cast ofl its parliamentary institutions and all the awaddling safeguards of civilization when the tom-tom calls to slaughter. There is nothing an near the skin as the war-paint. Victory or defeat may equally bring us this wave of Militarism, of Conscription, of further reduction of liberty, and the danger is the greater because we are under a Liberal Government and thus deprived of an Opposition to criticize reactionary measures. Standing as we do under this sinister menace, it is peculiarly necessary at this moment that the concept of the Male State should not go unchallenged and that there should be a vivid and effective extension of the area of human liferty by the triumph of Female Suffrage. In pressing now for votes for women we are fighting equally to keep our votes as men. The cause of women has become the cause of freedom and civilization, and it is for the sake of these great causes, even more than on behalf of women, that I ask you to pass this resolution. anic every experience uncensored d the war d, wise of ew gentle have also tew epoch Commons d the ner by Parlis move bot Commons g the ide or a part te so mud soon read te militar of Empir ok for beside $t^{\prime}$ et's play ad prestis

## FOR SMALL MERCIES

(Dedicated to "The Nation.")
Thinxing of Poland and her tortured Jews, 'Twixt Goth and Cossack hounded, crucifled On either frontier, e'en the Pale denied, Wand'ring with bloodied staff and broken shoes, Scarred like their greatest son with stripe and bruise, Though thrice a hundred thousand fight beside Their Russian brethren and are glorified By death for those who flout them and abuse,
I suddenly was touched to thankful tear.
Not that one wave had ebbed of all this woe, Not that one heart had softened in "the spheres," 1 One touch of bureau-malice to forgo, But that amid blind eyes, dumb mouths, deaf ears, One voice in England said these things were so.

April, 1915.
${ }^{2}$ Only pormiadible form of Runaian roferenco to the Tarer and hin Oounnollor

## ROSY RUSSIA

"The whole scesery wan areotls disposed to captivate those good couls, Whow credulous morality is so invaluable a tromare to cranty pollitioinas."一

## 1.

Gradualiy the great land which gloomed like an Brebus on the dawn, and now it lies before us with the beautiful myntic rosedow of snow-mountains, or some port of Asabis Felix at sunsise. Darkest Russio-the Russia of knouts and exiles, of pogroms and poetic provocatoure, of cruel Comaciss driving chained gange of hopes-has disappeared Rusia of bankrupt finances and bankrupt It was never more, we are told a: wave of the diplomatic wand. of the noveliste, unreal as the than a literary nightmare, a Russia Hardy \&cotch explorers have fabular islands on the medieval map. fastnesses and remotest turncras penetrated on foot to the deepest cealed its trowning peaks and of the Real Russia. They have shrines. These processions mand found them honeycombed with white spaces-they are not conching footsore over the great gleam. These moujiks not convicte, but pilgrims following the they never were drunk, eveng in the mud-they are not drunk, they are myntice meditating the when vodka was the stafl of life; hood of the Trar. One sinister eletherhood of man and the fathermarosanct realm, one subtle and eement indeed does exist in this and ingenuous Paradise-that blerpentine race in this illiterate nigh twenty centuries of whipe ansphemous tribe which through with dogged materialiam thet and scorpions keeps proclaiming viperous brood is warmed and God is merely One. And even this bites. And these careful scientific obser the Christian breast it by Russian statesmen, returning observations are corroborated London, and by British novelists from financial weekends in Russia have not come across a single after a whole fortnight in
To one like myself, brought angle pogrom, profoundly disconcerting. It up in the Jingo faith, all this is Great Macdermott," elegant was in my schooldays that "the crush-hat in hand, his shirt-front
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ohining and bulging like a great white flower of patriot bellowed the historic song from which Jingolem took its name
"Wo don't want to aght, but by Jinge is we do-"
With what grim guasto we proclaimed in chorus-to the clad been-tankardo-our sonosous determination that so loag as Bri to themselves keep true,
"The Rumians shall not have Conetantino-o-opla."
But it was not Constantinople that was Ruasia's supreme objee in those day: : that was India ; and all through my sehoold I was obsecsed by a vision of Rusia on the pounce for it, warned by my teachers to be on my guard against Ruasian glinge, meandering steadfastly for the Himalayas. How grat we schoolboya felt towards Aighanistan- -0 obviously erected Providence as a "buffer-state." No wonder we saw Ruasih Indian ink.

And now all my boyish apprehensions and patriotio chor have proved puerile indeed - sheer waste of nerves and hary
At a banquet to Ruscian journalists in London a fam Russian war correspondent calmily obeerved that "of course th were cranks everywhere, but he could say from his seventy ye knowledge of Russian life that the people who dreamt about conquest of Indis could be found in Russia only in a mad-hou Shades of my achoolmasters I Manes of our politiciens 1 Le seems too mild a word for the war policy of your generati The very Victorian hymn of Jingoism could not now be s under the Defence of the Realm Act, and the Great Minderr would be clapped into geol. Could even Tennymon's "Mau pess uncensored, if anyone quoted the line about the Vengen of God being wreaked on a giant-Tsar I Asfor the wortd-covel will of Peter the Great, it is as mythical, saye our Ruavian, as Constitution of Otho or the donation of Constantine. Rus in fact, covets no British territory, her minsion is to spread Come Christendom through the East, and to throw the protection of bureaucracy over the Slav peoples that have dritted too far $m$ ward from her great mother-winge. But though she covets British territory, even Britain would gain by coming within spiritual and political orbit. Have not British writers ep taneously testified that Russia's novelists open up for us a horizons of human fraternity, sound new notes of pity, reveal a perspectives of social freedom ?
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 ts name:the clash of 8 as Britons
e objective schoolday for it, was maian wrip ow grateful erected by Ruessia in
ic chorusa and larynx - famou ourse then enty year' $t$ about the ned-house." 1 Levits eneration no be sum tandermoth "Maud" Vengeane dd-coveting vian, as the e. Rusin nd Comend tion of he 10 far wed covets within he iters apor lor us men seveal per

And yet it is so diffecult to shake of early teachinge. Schoolmanters abould realiy be cassful to trafilok only in truth absolute and eternal. Here am I still remembering the legends of the imprisoning and censoriage of those very novelista, still singing that Britons never, never, never, shall be Slavs. An unworthy onegestion even comes up in my mind that the freedom of Russia may appeal so strongly to the British novelist because he-poor Pharicee-ridden wretch-cannot be improper even in his books, whereas a deputy of the Duma may walk about Petrop:ad with his mistress. A plague on my schoolmasters! They taught me the 018 precepts of the Old Testament, but "love Russia" was not among them, and oven the New Testament only tells me to love my "enemies," and my "enemies" are now settled for me by military law. My parlourmaid-as I have related-aaid to my wife the day Armageddon broke out: "The Germans are on our wide, aren't they, mum q" On being corrected, she duly proceeded $^{\prime \prime}$ -despite the New Testament-to hate the Germans and love the Russians. Those of us whose emotions are not so facile are being bullied, badgered, or beguiled to go and do likewise. But "love light as air" refuses to be bound to a logical chain whose first link is Serbia. Nor do I see why love is required. Alliances, springing from common interests, but not from common blood, taith or political constitution, must remain merely military. To be faithful to our obligations is all that is necessary. It is a partnership, not a marriage bond, and when finances are pooled, too, what more can a partner ask : Why, most Englishmen have never seen a Russian, always excepting those that saw him pass in his myriads through Eagland on that famous journey from Archangel to Flanders. Moreover, with our knowledge of the transitory and mutable character of alliances, we should be foolish to contract them with emotions attached, emotions which we may soon have to unLearn or even to exchange. Possibly the real design of these exhortations to "love Russia " is upon our pockets. But that needs no Pres conspiracy, no special supplements. It is surely sufficient to show that, though Russia is practically bankrupt, it is only for beck of ready money and that her potential assets -in the hands of the British receiver-are incalculable. Twirl a globe and see how this Colossus bestrides Europe and Asia, the greatest continuous empire in the world and one of the least exploited. One may safely lend money to such a Power or sink it in such a continent, and the more steadily Russia pays the interest and the dividends, the more she will be loved. To what end, then, these laboured rhapsodies
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on Rusgia's religious genius ? And above all, why glority Russia's freedom from industrialiam, when the effect, it not the object, of these very preans is to open her up to British company promoters ? The moujik is admirable indeed when sober; and though you cannot make men sober by Act of Parliament, it appears that you can by Imperial Ukese : ${ }^{2}$ but the days of the Socialistic Mir are over, and it is not the Alliance with Britain that is going to keep Russia a land of ancient piety, fraternity, and pastoral simplicity. Nor is it likely that these are the qualities which Britain will now import from Russia, together with those delectable ballets, novels and symphonies, and still more delectable debentures. A natural optimism inclines me to believe that the Russians, who-whatever the real colour of Russia-are assuredly a great and charming people, will not altogether escape the contagion of our democratic principles. I should be afraid that we in turn might not escape the infection of their bureaucracy did not our new geographers certify that Russian autocracy is only \& more efficient and concentrated form of freedom. It is so comforting to know on unimpeachable authority that Darkest Russia, not Rosy Russia, is the mirage in the literary heaven, and that the rubescence which enchants us now is the herald of a new day and not, as we foolishly feared, the rosiness of blood.

## II.

It is the military necessity-which proverbially knows no law -that has become the mother oi all this unnecessary invention, and it is in deference, I suppose, to British pharisaism that the great Russian people-constituted as it is of forty-eight races with a dozen creeds, and embracing as it does some of the finest modern types on the planet-is presented by our Scotch sentimentalist as a vast communion of saints of the primitive peasant type.

If any hint of the true heterogeneity is allowed to creep into the preposterous picture, it is by way of the Tartar, who bringt odious order and Philistine prose into the divine carclessness, the glad camaraderle of the true Russian, and of whom the Russian Jew is probably onlr a long lost brother, converted to the Hebrew faith in the dark ages.
It is no longer scratch the Russian and find the Tartar, but

[^72]
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Russia' ject, of noters? gh you hat you Mir are to keep splicity. rill now , novels natural hatever larming aocratic : escape raphers ad con. aow on Russia, e which oolishly
no law rention, hat the ces with modern talist
ep into bringo lessness om the arted to
cocratch the Jew-whom, indeed, it is far easier and more enjoyable to soratch.
Britons have often been reproached for carrying their island with them on their travelo-coolum non animam mutant; but the ieland has alway: produced fantastic and rhapsodical travellers who go to the other extreme, and thus it is that Stephen Graham has found his soul in Russia, and in lieu of surveying Russia by the torchlight of British freedom he brings back to Britain the ghootly gleam of the "Greek Fire" with which the miraclemongering priests edify the Russian pilgrims in Jerusalem.
In this "dim religious light" all the medieval spectres glimmer and gibber again, the monstrous blood-myth resurges from its grave in Kiev, and England herself in that mysterious phosphorescence shows as an ugly and unchristian nation that has sold her soul to the devil of industrial development. If only Holy Russia can be saved from going likewise to the Jews-for, of course, it is this Oriental people that has made the West Occidental I
When John Ruskin preached to John Bull against railways and factories, Britain was consumed with laughter, but to-day when a Scotchman Ruskinizes for Russia, he is hailed almost as a European redeemer. Simplicity, as Oscar Wilde said, is the last refuge of the complex.
So, too, "backwards" is the last cry of progress. The latest young Englishman prostrates himself before ikons, and English achoolgirls prattle of that sweetly pretty piety of the moujik.
And shall Russian Jews, ungraced by this precious faith, overflow their Pale and spread all over Russia to batten and fatten upon the exploitation of her resources and ruin the pastoral Paradise of the true-born Russian? Never while Stephen Graham is alive to save the country of his second birth !
It all reminds one irreaistibly of Defoe's "True-born Englishman":
" Scota from the northern frocen banke of Tas With packs and plode came whigging all a way; Thick as the locustu which in Esypt swarmed, With pride and hangry hopes completely armed ; With native truth, direeses, and no money, Plundered our Canaan of the milk and honey. Here they grow quickly Lords and Gentlemen, And all their race are True-born Englishmen."
Our Scotch scribe not only boasts himself a "true-bom English-
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man," but he has become a "Real Ruscian" into the bargai The last hope of the "Black Hundreds," he babbles of ritur murders to make Shylock's pound of flesh oreep, and has asxim lated their archaic policy of segregating the Soots- 1 mean th Jewn-by a Roman wall.
I could almost fancy myrell listening again to that Russia baroness who, brought to luncheon at my house one day by common friend, fell to expatiating on the terrible problem of th Jews in Russia A sympathetic soul, thought I, till graduall I became aware that the terrible problem was not for the Jews but for the Russians.

Once, in fact, permit these terrible Hebrews to escape from thei Pale, once allow them the educational and industrial facilities o their fellow-Russians, and hey presto I they are the sulers o Russia.

It is only when one looks at mape and figures that the complet silliness of this Slavonic superstition breaks upon one. Th Russian Empire-even without the territorial gains the wal may bring it-stretches over nearly nine million square miles and occupies one-sixth of the land surface of the globe. Siberia alone is more than a million miles larger than the whole of Europe.
And this Empire, which, like the United States, has the supreme advantage of continuousness, is inhabited by nearly 180 million people, of whom only six millions are Jews. And it is these six millions-one in thirty of the population-who, given a free field and no disfavour, are to dominate Russia, the tip of the tail wagging the Bear ! It is a great compliment to the Jews, but it is also a great absurdity.
Contemporary politios shows us numerous examples of races kept from equal rights with the governing race on the groundor pretext-of intellectual inferiority ; that is, for example, the justification of the "white man's burden." But I hardly recall any other example of a white people crushed down by another white people on the ground of its admitted superiority. And from a simple geographical point of view, what the ruling majority claims is to bar one of the greatest and oldest members of the human family from access to nearly a sixth of the globe. And this insolent and inhuman claim is enforced not only against Russia's own Jews, but against subjects of her Allies like myself. The utter unreason of this claim stands out more vividly when it is recalled that in the larger half of this prohibited area-in Siberia-only ten millions of people eke out a livelihood, and that
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a Continent half as large again as the United States has boen left almost in primeval forest. Is there any reacon why the Jews, instead of being cooped up in stinking poverty in the towns of the Pale, should not be invited to carve out a province with the ploughshare from these vast neglected territories ?
The Russian Jews are, according to Mr. Graham himself, "a great people," even "a people of genius." Physically they are a far finer type than the Western Jews; spiritually, they bubble with artistic vitality of every sort.
And while the majority are sunk in their native piety and poverty-that feckless faith which our sentimental tramp adores when it is tangled up with trinities-there is an industrial and commercial minority which is infinitely more valuable to Russia than her deposits of coal or petroleum.
If Russia proper is an aggregation of analphabetic peasants, all the more reason why that section of her population which possesses an ancient tradition of calture should gratify its passion for
education.
If Russia proper is inapt for industry and has hitherto been exploited by her German enemies, is not that the very reason why she should now be developed by her Jewish citizens, why she should yoke their financial talents to the service of the State?
These six millions of Jews are hers body and soul. They love the soil which they have inhabited for centuries-in some cases longer than the "true-born Russians."
The truest Christians in Russia, they are ready to forgive the unspeakable past. They ask nothing better than to live and die for "Mother Russia," and if the still more ancient "Mother Zion" has been invoked these latter days, it was from sheer hopelessness of ever being treated as children of Russia.
Was there ever a more deplorable example of muddled statecraft ? A Tsar who throws away so rich a tender of love and service is no "Little Father:" he is a "Prodigal Son."
Equal rights for the Jew-or even equal wrongs with the Russian-would indeed bring a problem-but for the Jew: the problem of his dissolution in the melting-pot of common citizenship. But to the Russian this enfranchisement of the Jew would be the solution, not the establishment, of a problem. And this problem was never more than a mirage, a Brocken spectre, a phantasm born of ignorance and fear, a superfluous addition to the sorrows of peoples and the cares of kings. I know, indeed, no more tragic purblindness in history than that Russia, endowed
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with a human asset of value incalculable and incomparable, should see herrelf burdened instead of enriched.

## She has a treasure and can see only a problem. The pity and folly of it all. ${ }^{3}$

${ }^{1}$ Binoe this ariliole was writion-and refuesd pabliontion by Libberal editorpM. Ohukovaly, one of the leading journaliste who recontly viditod un, han pablithod In the Rueshoos stovo an article very much in this voin, and the Potrograd Correupondont of The Timee hac masibly tranalated ft for the "Ittorary Sapplameat" of Maroh 10th, 1016. Ho woadore whother the flood of books in praieo of Rundis will not mabmerge Loadon- "Glorions Ruade, "Friondils Rumpia," "Contomperary Rumita" "to. to. and procesdas
"Ir. Btopbon Grahmes has already written about halt a dosen books on Rasine, and Fill witto at leeat two docen more before the war is over. Judying by his photograph, bo loves to wear a Rumian ahirt and barre shoon. His hair So cut in the altre-Ruedian stylo. Bome timo ago he travolled dith our pilgrime to Jerumiam to pray at the meored ahrine, and ever uinco thon he hat hold forth about the myticenl mindion of Rauale. Ho takee himoolf to bo a disciplo of Dontolovaky, but in reality ho is a amart journalint who is maling the beot of a fechionablo aubject.
"' 'Down with Vligil, long livo Puahlin !' oxolaime one of our admirera, and prophesion that Oxford atodoats will soon rolinquich the one to tako ap the other. Livy will bo supernedod by Karamatn; Plato by Vladimir Solovier:' 'The Rumaina haggege will tako the plece of Greok and Latin in all cohoole in Rurope.' "War and Pecice" is the greationt novel over, writton." "The future bolonge only to Revaiten, not to France, not even to Zhagland.' These aro samplos cullod from the name nourco.
" Kaglish people do not fully realieo that thore are man Rucrien, not one, and that comotimen Mary is very andious to bo Marthe. Untili had roed all these books Ihad no idee we were so good. Our refleotion in the Eng linh looking. glace makeen ut look very handeome. It epprave wi are the frecet peoploin the world. Who would have thought it ? All Er rifiver have oauso for ongy, it moons. I lowrat this to-day from Mr. Garetin's Li:3k
It fis odd in this conneotion taat the Ruade Society, founded at the Speakor's Hoveo amid univernal newapapor applaveo, to foment friendly rolations botwreon the two oountrios, whould have come under the criticiem of the Doily Chronicle for fte liok of a reoponsilble Committee and Treacurer, and that the "Ruesilen Chambor of Commerce "should be dinavowed both by Ruadia and the Foralgn Omice.

## AT THE CONGRESS

Ther Zionist Congrese I
Stx hundred gentlemen in Western costume,
A tribune, Presidents, Vice-Presidents, Motions, Amendments, Votes, a mort of papers, Programmes and Budgets, Parties, Factions, Groups, Leaders and sheep, the passionless Reporters, White-hot orations, cheers and counter-cheers,
Interruptions, rulings, points of order, hisecs, Invective, passion, personalities,
Volcanic jets, vibrations, scenes in the Chamber, A Jewish Parliament !

Alas 1 this solid-seeming Hebrew House of Commons, With all its vivid drama, For want of one thing is a painted show,
A filmy phanto-mime, a picture-play.
Is it because it stands in a Christian cicy?
That even the House is hired for a week from the heathen ? And in alien tongues the speakers shout for Zion,
Sans common speech for Mother Zion's children? These are mere echoes from the emptiness,
But not its heart.
For all these Parliaments, Chambers, Reichstags, Dumas, With their Presidents and Premiers, In their broadcloth and fine linen, And their Statesmen,
Be they guardians of the nation's great tradition,
Or seers and spinners of its nobler future,
All these eloquent expounders,
In these fora of civilization ;
And all the floors they take, these high-toned speakers, All the polished planks beneath their spotless shoes, Rest-and without them were but scraps of paperOn bayonets.

Bayosets trained-see the Soldier's Vedo-mecumTo twist in the entrails.

Yea, hid by all the sober civic ritual, Unseen beneath the Ministers and Members,
A glittering forest of steel upholds the Chamber, The people's bones have made its gleaming pillars, The frescoes on its old historic walls Are Blood.

For as of old in those far-famous cities Now sunk to burrows that the pick explores Four thousand years beneath our year of grace, They built their houses over human offerings, So still upon foundation-surifices, Rises the Talking House in Christendom.
It is not that we lack these dark foundations, Our bones upprop the Parliaments of Europe, Our young men die, but not for dreams of ours, Nor for the honour of the God of Irrael.
And even those who dream the dream oi Zion, Beglamoured by the shining Tower of David,
Like birds that dash themselven against a lighthouse, Shattered and bleeding drop into the darkness.
But hark! A witty speaker holds the Congress ! The bored reporters scrawl in ahorthand, "Laughter I"

## THE STORY OF THE STEAMROLLER

Not to be published.
Preas Burean.

## BEZALEL

Berantr, alled with wisdom to design
.Stones, precious woods, rich-broidened fabrics, gold, Fed not the few with cunning manifold Nor empty loveliness: his art divine Set up a Tabernacle as a sign Of oneness for a rabble many-souled, So that each span of desert should behold A nomad people with s steadinst shrine.
But we, its sons, who wabder in the dark, Footsore, far-acattered, growing less and less, What whiteness gleams our brotherhood to mark, What promised land our journey's end to bless? We are, unless we build some shrine and ark, A dying rabble in a wilderness.

## THE WAR AND THE JEWS

"Acrow the Reatera ing hay glowed The flicker of a blood-red dawn. Once more the clarion coek han erowed, Once mose the avord of Chrint is drawn. A million burning roottroco light The world-wide path of Iareal!s filght. Where is the Hoincw's Ahtherland? The foll of Chriat is rore beoted; The Bon of Man is bruised and banned, Nor finds whereon to lay his hoad. His eup is gall, his meat is teare, His pamion lasta a thoumend jeare."- Ruma Luecmus. (Originally published, July, 1916, Slightly revised, but the fog of war and censorahip prevents absolute accuracy up to date.)

## 1. This Erebraw Hungty-Duncty

Ther first thing to grasp if you would understand the Jewish question is that the Jews do not exist. Six hundred thousand Jews are fighting in the war, but not the Jewn. Their fighting ended in the year 188 with the revolt of Bar Cochba against the Romans. Josephus's "Bistory of the Jews" gets as far as the year 78, and is thus atill almost up to date. The Jewries of the world are now mere scattered shards of a broken vessel, though the potsherds fill more space than the original pot. Two and two no longer make one, they only make four. An international Jewry with international aims is a myth. "Isracl"a Mission is Peace " is the motto printed on the booles of the Jewish Publicetion Society of America, and it is a true interpretation of Publicaof Jerusalem. But Israel is no more interpretation of the voice War. Twenty thousand Jew more organized for Peace than for 50,000 for the German, 170,000 fighting for the British Empire, 850,000 for the Russian.
The shade of Josephus might have looked around for his atylue in 1860 when the French Jews founded the Alliance Israelite Universelle on an international basis. But this attempt at federa-
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tion under the hegemony of French Jewry was shattered by the Franco-Prusoinn War. Since 1870 it is German Jewry that han been pushing for predominance, with or without a demoeratic bacis. Juat before the war it was waging a bitter fight for the adoption of German as the language of the Technical Institute of Haifa. Other of the founders, as well as the Jewe of Palestine, not unnaturally favoured Hebrew. When Turikey joined the war, the Bismarckr of the Berlin Ghetto took advantage of their position in Palestine to buy up the institution. The zionist movement, started in 1890, with its more democratic striving for a unified Irrael, likewise fell under thin Jewry's "will to power." But at the outbrenk of war the international organ of the movement-Die Welb-was suspended, and the German Zionist Federation coolly used its local organization for the gathering of German volunteers. To its call to arms for the Fatheriand its numerous student and gymnastic societies, both in Berlin and the provinces, responded almost to a youth. ${ }^{2}$ Once more has the attempt to put Humpty Dumpty together again proved a labour of Tantalus.

## II. The Wandeange Jew.

"There is no luck for Israel," says the Talmud. Individual Jews are frequently ilhewd and fortunate, but as a people Imrael is, in his own expressive idiom, a Schlemihh, a hapless ne'er-do-well. Twenty centuries of wandering find him concentrated preciecly in the valley of Armageddon. And here in a hundred places he must again gracp the Wanderce's staff. Symbolic is the figure of the Chief Rabbi of Serbis wandering acroes Europe to beg for his pitiful flock. A workhouse and a hostel at London are congested with Belgian Jews. Forty ravaged towns have poured their Ghettos into Warsaw. Prague, Vienna, Budapest, scethe sullenly with refugees. Vienna, indeed, refused to receive any Galician refugee who could not show ten pounde, Hungary was even stonier. A census taken of 4,068 Jews who fled into Alexandria showed subjects of England, France, Russia, Spain, America, Turkey, Persia, Roumanie, Italy, Greece and Serbia, while another thousand had already wandered farther-to othes Egyptian cities, to Americe, Australia, South Atrica, Russian. The only important section of Jewry that hes escaped the war is that
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which has poured itself into the American Melting-Pot-and even there the banks on the East side failed I And not only are ten of the thirteen millions of Jewry in the European cockpit: nearly three millions are at the fiercest centre of aghting-in Poland.

## Poland-be it German, Russian, or Austrian Poland-is pre-

 eminently the home of Jewry, and Poland, even more than Belgium, has been the heart of hell. For two of the Powers that combined to dismember it are now fighting the third across its fragments, and Jewish populations are at their thickest along those 600 miles of border country through which Russia invades East Prussian Poland or Galician Poland, Germany hacks her way toward Warsaw, or Austria huris her counter-attacks.It is upon the Ghettos of Lomzha and Bialystok that the bombs of the German airman do their deadliest work; Czernowita, the capital of Bukowina, which has been twice taken by the Russinns and once retaken by the Austrians, holds 15,000 Jews, or 40 per cent. of the mishmash of races. For 700 years Poland has been a haven for Jewry-volcanic though the soil has proved at periodic eruptions of Jew-hate. The royal marriage which united the territories of Catholic Poland with Greek Church Lithuania produced a sundering of State and religion by which the Jews of the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries profited, while in the sixteenth century, when the great expulsion of the Jews from Spain and Portugal had infected Germany and France with the virus of persecution, the accident of a series of peculiarly wise and tolerant monarchs opened Poland to a still larger volume of Jewish immigration, and even gave its Jews a measure of autonomy and dignity. They were the recognized providers of an urban and industrial population to a mainly agricultural people. Thus were they collected for the holocaust of to-day. For, of course, the partition of Poland left them still pullulating, whether in Prussian Danzig, Russian Warsaw, or Austrian Lemberg. And not only have they duplicated the tragedy of the Poles in having to fight what is practically a civil war, not only have they suffered almost equally in the suin of Poland so poignantly described by Paderewski, in the burnings, bombardings, pillagings, tramplings, not only have they shared in the miseries of towns taken and retaken by the rival armies, but they have been accused hysterically or craftily before both belligerents of espionage or treachery, and even of poisoning the wells, and crucifed by both. Hundreds have been shot, knouted, hanged, imprisoned as hostages; women
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have been outraged, whole popalations have died, come before th cnemy, many hounded out by their own military suthoritie wandering-but not into the wide world. Into the towns outsid the Pale they might not escapo-these were not open even to th wounded soldier. In the long history of the martwr-people the is no ghastlier chapter. Yet $\because$ is lost-and neoesmarily lostthe fachomless ocean of Christian suffering, in the great worl tragedy. But while Poland and Belgium are crowned by thei sorrows and cheered by the hope of rebirth, while the agony Belgium has become an immortal heroie memory, the agony a Irrael is obscuse and unknown, unlightened by sympathy, anre deemed by any national prospect, happy if it only encapes mockery It is related that when one of these ejected foot-sore population wandering at midnight on the wintry roads, with their weepin children, met marching regiments of their own army, the wome stretched out their hands in frantic beseechment to the Jews in th ranks. But the Jewish soldiers could only weep like the childrenand march on.

## III. To their Tente, 0 Ibraith

"You are the only people," said Agrippa, trying to hold bacl the Jews of Palestine from rising againat the Roman Empire "who think it a disgrace to be servants of those to whom all th world hath submitted." To-day, servants of all who have has boured them, the Jews are spending themselves pasaionately is the service of all. At the outbreak of the war an excited English woman, hearing that the Colopre Gasette, said to be run by Jew was abusing England, wrote te ne, foaming at the quill, demand ing that the Jews should stop the paper. That the Jewe do no exist, or that an English Jew could not posaibly interfere with the patriotic journalism of a German subject, nay, that the abus in the Cologne Gazette was actually a proof of Jewish loyalty, did not occur to the worthy lady. Yet the briefest examination of the facts would have shown her that the Jews merely reflect theis environment, if with a stronger tinge of colour due to their more vivid temperament, their gratitude and attachment to their havens and fatherlands, and their anxiety to prove themselves .ore patriotic than the patriots. It is but rarely that a Jen makes the faintest criticism of his country in war-fever, and when he docs so, he is disavowed by his community and its Press. For the Jew his country can do no wrong. Wherever we turn, thero
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fore, wo find the Jow prominently patriotic. ${ }^{1}$ In Eacland the late Lord Rothechild preaded over the Red Cross Fund, and the Lord Chief Justice is understood to have eaved the financial situation, not only for England, but for all her Allies. In Germany Herr Ballin, the Jew who refused the baptismal path to preferment, the creator of the mercantile marine, and now the organiser of the national food-supply, stande as the Kaiser's triend, inter. preter, and henchman; great organizing work at the War Office has also been done by Herr Rathenau; while Maximilian Harden brazenly voices the goapel of Prussianism, and Ernst Lissauer Hew converted to the religion of Love-singe "The Song of Hate." In France, Dreyfus-a more Christian Jew albeit unbap-tized-has charge of a battery to the north of Paris, while General Heymann, Grand Offleer of the Legion of Honour, commands an Army Corpe. In Turkey, the racially Jewish Enver Beys is the ruling apirit, having defeated the Jewish Djavid Bey, who was for alliance with France; while Italy, on the contrary, has joined the Allies, through the influence of Baron Sonnino, the son of a Jew, supported by the Jewish Republican leader Salvatore Barzillai, now a member of the Cabinet, in which, too, Luzzatti is Finance Minister. The military hospitals of Turkey are all under the direction of the Austrian Jew, Hecker. In Hungary it is the Jews who, with the Magyars, are the brains of the nation. Belgium has sent several thousand Jews to the colours, and, at a moment when Belgium's fate hange upon England, has entrusted her interests at the Court of St. James to a Jewish Minister, Mr. Hymans, and the Chief Rabbi has persisted, in deflance of the Germans, in praying every Sabbath in Bruseels for King Albert, and thus bringing upon himself six months' confinement in Germany. T wo thousand five hundred Jews fight for Serbia. Even from Moroceo and Tripoli come Jewish troope-they number 20 to 80 per cent. of the Zouaves. Nor are ${ }^{1}$ The film-play "Wake Up," which hae brought 30,000 recruith, was writtea bya Cohen, of the tth Betted by a popular dally with a Jowish editor. Sorgeat Mick regiment, and is popularly hoyal Fusilliors, hae rocruitod some 3,000 mon for his ministor was diamiswod for favouring the "Thn King's Rocruiter." A Liverpool docided " Prienta" may eorve. - Enver Bey belongi to the
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the British Colonies behind the French. From Australia, New Zealand, from Canada, South Africa, from every possession and dependency, stream Jewish soldiers or sailors. Even the little contingent from Rhodesia had Jews, and the first British soldier to fall in German South-West Africa was Ben Rabinson, a famous athlete. In Buluwayo half a company of reserves is composed of Jews. Altogether some 8,000 Jews have been fighting in South Africa.
When Joseph Chamberlain offered the Zionists a plateau in East Africa, the hali-dozen local Britons held a " mass meeting " of protest. Yet to-day, though the offer was rejected of the Zionists, fifty Jewish volunteers-among them Captain Blumen thal, of the Artillery, and Lieutenant Eckstein, of the Mounted Riffes-are serving in the Defence Force enlisted at Nairobi. Letters from British Jews published in a single number of the Jewoish World, taken at random, reveal the writers as with the Australian fighting force in Egypt, with the Japanese at the taking of Tsing-Tau, with the Grand .Fleet in the North Sea; while the killed and wounded in the same issue range over almost every British regiment, from the historic Black Watch, Grenadier Guards or King's Own Scotch Borderers down to the latest Middlesex and Manchester creations. The old world and the new are indeed at clash when a Jewish sailor on Passover eve, in lieu of sitting pillowed at the immemorial ritual meal, is at his big gun, " my eye fixed to the telescopic sights and an ear in very close proximity to an adjacent navyphone, and the remainder of the time with my head on a projectile for a pillow." AngloJewry, once the home of timorous mothers and Philistine fathers, has become a Maccabsean stronghold. One distinguished family alone-the Spielmals-boasts thirty-five members with the forces. ${ }^{2}$ Another-the Beddingtons-claims thirty-nine. A letter of thanks from the King has published the fact that an obscure Jew in a London suburb has five sons at the front. In another family (the Hamburgers), with five sons at the front, one came from Australia to enlist and one from the Argentine.' From Cairo hails a private of the 10th London Regiment, a champion wrestler of nearly six feet four, who is said to speak thirteen languages, including Tartar and Serbian.
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One Spanish-Jewish family has three sons in the Belgian army, two in the Canadian force, and one a Derby volunteer. Of such is the brotherhood of Israel.
And in all these armies the old Maccabsean valour which had not feared to challenge the Roman Empire at its mightiest, and to subdue which a favourite general had to be detached from the less formidable Britain, has been proved afresh. ${ }^{1}$ "The Jewish bravery astonished us all," said the Vice-Governor of Kovno; and, indeed, the heroism of the Russian Jew has become a household word. More than 400 privates-they cannot be officers-were accorded the Order of St. George within a few weeks, as well as a nurse whose name is censored. One Jew, who brought down a German aeroplane, was awarded al four degrees of the Order at once. Another has received a guld medal for exceptional bravery. "The capture of the line of Jaroslav forceptional The Times (October 4th, 1914) "was directly due to thes" says and cleverness of a young Jewish private " In Ee the heroism Pass won the Victoria Cross for carre." In England Lieut. de heavy fire, and perished a for carrying a wounded man out of German sap. Two other hours later in trying to capture a in the lesser distinctions Jews figure among the V.C.'s, and many Jews had won 651 medels Austria up to the end of the year the Netter gained the muldals, crosses, \&c. In France Sergeant for the victory of Fra-coveted Military Medal. "I give my life young immigrant Jewnee and the peace of the world," wrote a of letters from German who died on the battlefield. A collection shop of Berlin, reveals soldiers, published by the Jewish BookJews have shared to equal devotion to Germany, where the 6,888 up to eud of Marc full in the rain of "Iron Crosses": Serbia has paid express tribute of "the First Class). The King of proud priviloge-fall on the sons of a homolens rece that in $y$, the valour and In the world - have found liberty, equality, and fratornity. The more fact that the half omancipatod, sholongs to the race that oven in ' oultured' Gormany is only tall, or even tho bare dofoet in anghand juutice it lord and ohiof, and that tho down. rejoioco that our young Jowa by onlititing, would bo a ditatior to civilization. i alroedy tootifiod thoir tuper. doy onlioting in more than their due proportion havo and I rojoico equally that the War Offoe Emplre that aproeda ite wings over ue. corving togothor, hae recognizod that offioe, by cocording them the opporttanity of anothor link in tho mighty and multiplo choir fooling of apecinl brotherfiood io only it only a grooter strongth for tho jorvioo of England Empe, and that thbir union rouse tho old Mecoabean thrill of horoio arriour and morifioo." May your Shofar-oall ¿A Onadina lad, not yot thirteon, hid our and seorifioe." front an a trumpotor and dospantch-oanrior, and wewnay, managod to got to the
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the devotion of the Jews who are serving in my army." And to the question "What shall it profit the Jew to fight for the whole world ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ a Yiddish journalist, Mr. Morris Myer, has found a noble answer. There is a unity behind all this seeming self-sontradiction, he points out. "All these Jews are dying for the same thing-for the honour of the Jewish name."

## IV. "Suyfrannce the Badoe."

And yet these are not really Jewish forces even in the religious sense, for they waive their religious demands. The Anglo-Jewish volunteer, who might easily stipulate for special treatment, accepts the very disregard of his dietary and ritual that constitutes the tragedy of Russo-Jewish conscription. While the Indian troops are scrupulously safeguarded in their dietary, while beef and pork are kept religiously remote, while the Mahomedan, Sikh, and Hindoo have each their slaughterc: to kill the goats, by "halal" for the Moslem and by " jatka" for the others, the Jewish soldiers in England, France, and Germany are limited to army chaplains or feld rabbis who distribute prayer-books and administer to the dying (wheri they chance to come upon them) the consolations of their neglected religion. Soldiers under the ever-present shadow of death are naturally susceptible to their childish memories. "On Seder night," wrote an English recruit from the trenches, "I could picture everyone at home, sitting round the Passover table, and the thought made me feel as if I could cry my eyes out." A Jewish battalion would apparently have attracted volunteers both racially and spiritually. And yet the Anglo-Jewish community frowned upon fue suggestion, and the Jewish chaplain himself, the zealous hardworked chaplain whose labours would have been so lightened by concentration, dil his best to keep his flock sundered and dispersed. This instinctive shrinking from solidarity is doubtless a heritage of the tragic centuries. The Jew is so old and worldlywise. Experience has burnt into him that together with the movement of attraction towards the Jew in moments of national crisis-simultaneously with the process that knits him with the nation in love and service-goes a reverse movement of repulsion. The very drawing together of the nation in the stress and zest and blood-sacrifice of war enhances the national consciousness and rouses a keener historic sense of the native tradition, before which the Jew looms more foreign than ever.
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## V. The Riddie of Russo-Jewry.

The devotion of the Jew to the British flag needs no explanation. Both socially and by legislation England has given the world a lesson in civilization. And if France only just escaped the pollution of the Dreyfus affair, if Germany and Austria are anti-Semitic in temper, all these countries have yet given the Jew his constitutional rights, and the Kaiser in particular has had the sense and the spirit to turn his ablest Jews into friends and henchmen. The appointment of several hundred officers during the war has probably removed the last tangible grievance of German Jewry. As for Turkey, she has been since 1492 a refuge of Jewry from Christian persecution, while Italy, which has had a Jewish Prime Minister as well as a Jewish War Minister (General Ottolenghi), stands equal with England in justice to the Jew. But that the Russian Jews, yet reeking from the blood of a hundred pogroms, should have thrown themselves into Russia's struggle with almost frenzied fervour, this is, indeed, a phenomenon that invites investigation, and invites it all the more because the Jews in America, remote from the new realities, continue their barren
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curses against Russia, and include in their malisons those who, like myrelf, proclaim the cause of the Allies the cause of civilization.
It would be easy to dismiss the enthusiasm of the Russian Jews as more politic than patriotic or to say that they have made a virtue of necessity. But it bears all the marks of a sincere upwelling, a spiritual outreaching to their fellow-Russians. Such scenes as marked the proclamation of war have never been known in Russian Jewry. The Jewish Deputy in the Duma and the Jewish Press were at one in proffering heart and soul to the country. From the Great Synagogue of St. Petersburg 5,000 Jews, headed by the Crown Rabbi, marched to the Tsar's Palace, and kneeling before it, sang Hebrew hymns and the Russian Anthem. Their flags bore the motto, "There are no Jews or Gentiles now." At Kiev 10,000 Jews, carrying Russian banners and the Scrolls of the Law, paraded the town, and similar demonstrations occurred wherever Jews dwelt. A Warsaw writer records that the Jews wept with emotion in the synagogues as they prayed for Russia's victory. Thousands of youths who had escaped conscription offered themselves as volunteers; in Rostofil even a girl smuggled herself among them and went through several battles before she was detected. The older generation poured out its money in donatives. The Dowager Empress accepted and named a Red Cross Hospital. One wealthy Jew in the province of Kherson undertook to look after all the families of Reservists in six villages, or 1,880 souls.

Something must, perhaps, be discounted for the hysteria and hypnosis of war-time. And other factors than patriotism proper may have entered into the enthusiasm. The young generation had reached the breaking point. Baffled of every avenue of distinction, the most brilliant blocked from the schools and universities by the diabolical device of admitting even the small percentage by ballot and not by merit, grown hopeless of either Palestine without or the Social Revolution within, the young Jews hovered gloomily between suicide and baptism, between depravity and drink. Some with a last glimmer of conscience and faith had thought to avoid the stigma of Christianity by becoming merely Mahomedans: others to dodge at least the Greek ©hurch had exploited an Episcopalian missionary. But even for these Russia refused to open up a career. To this desperate generation the war came as an outlet from a blind alley, a glad adventure. Hence the reckless bravery on the battlefield.
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$\qquad$
But there was reason, too, in the ecstasy. England, ever the Jew's star of hope, was at last to fight side by side with Russia. For the Russian the Alliance was a pride, for the Jew an augury of Liberty. The great democracies of the West would surely drag Russia in their train. And for the elders the fear of Germany was the beginning of wisdom. The very first day of the war she has taken possession of the undefended town of Kalicz on the Russian border, and in this town, more than a third Jewish, had initiated her policy of "frightfulness." And mingling with this sinister first impression came the stories of wealthy Jews returning from Karlsbad, Wiesbaden, and other summer resorts from which they had been ejected as "alien enemies." The Jew began to cling to the devil he knew, to realise that, after all, Russia was his home.
But when every allowance is made for lower factors, there remains a larger and deeper truth underlying the enthusiasm, the truth which it takes a poet to feel and which found its best expression in the words of the Russo-Yiddish writer, Shalom Asch, whose dramas hav seen played in Berlin and whose books published in English. Germany's aeroplanes had rained down on the Pale not bombs, but leaflets, announcing herself as the deliverer of the oppressed peoples under the Russian yoke and promising to grant the Jews equal rights. To these seductive attempts to exploit the Jewish resentment against Russia, Shalom Asch answered sternly :
"' The oppressed peoples under the Russian yoke' have risen as one man against the German bird of prey. . . . The Jews are marching in the Russian ranks for the defence of their Fatherland. Nor is it the youth alone that has done its duty. In every town of Russia Jews have established committees : our sisters are joining the Red Cross, our fathers are collecting funds. . . . Thousands of Kusso-Jewish volunteers have enlisted in France . . . even from Amer a, where Germany has tried to exploit our sufferings, they are beginning to come. For this is not a war to defend the Russian bureaucracy which is responsible for the pogroms, but to defend the integrity of our Fatherland. . . . Nor do we do our duty in order to 'earn' equal rights . . . but because, deeply hidden in our hearts, there is a burning feeling for Russia. . . . Inok at America, where hundreds of societies and
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streets bear the names of our Rumien towns. . . . No Pale, no restrictions, no pogroms, can eradicate from our hearts this natural feeling of love for our country, and God be thanked for it 1 . . . Nobody gives a Fatherland and nobody can take! $د$ way. We have been in Russia as long as the Slav peoples. The history of the Jews in Poland begins with the very flrst page of Polish history. Equal rights must be ours because for a thousand years and more we have abeorbed into our blood the sap of the Slav soil, the Sliav landecape is reflected in our thought and imagination. We shall fight against the system of Gooernment which refuses to recognize our equality, as woe foughs against it in 1005. But the Russian soil is sacred, th belongs to the peoples of Russia, and whoever dares to touch it will find in the Jewo his first foe 1 "

## VI. Poles verrus Jxws, Russin Intirvening.

In 1912 the leading organ of the Warsaw Jewry consulted me on a burning question of internal politics on which, it was said, the fate of the Jews of Poland hung. The Poles had put up for the Duma an anti-Semitic candidate and threatened pogroms if the Jews of Warsaw, whose numbers controlled the election, did not vote for him. While deprepating responsibility and pointing out that no outsider could gauge the factors, I yet could not but add my voice to those that declared a vote against themselves to be too degrading. The Jews chose the manlier course. True, they still voted for a Pole ; they did not put forward a Jew, but at least they threw out the avowed Jew-hater. The threatened results followed. The first stroke was the establishment of a ruthless boycott, which soon ruined thousands of Jewish artisans, dealers, shopkeepers. No Polish doctor would treat a Jew, no chemist make up a prescription. Nor did murderous riots fail ; but here Russia intervened-to keep down Polish nationalism ! Into this embittered aisiosphere broke the war.

When the Grand Duke published his historic promise of autonomy for Poland, the Jews rejoiced equally with the Poles. But the Poles were not to be pacified. "There is but one thing that Russia expects of you," the Grand Duke had warned them : "that you respect the rights of those nationalities with which history has bound you." This statesmanlike proviso fell on deaf earsthe Poles on the verge of their own freedom were busy devising how to oppress another race, complaining that it adulterated their
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nationality, and wildly proposing its emigration on mases to Americin. One paper actually published a picture of Jewn killing a Christian child for its blood for Passover cake-" a practice exposed in the Beilis case." But no Polish intollectual has come forward to rebuke the mob on behali of the Jewn, though the Russian intelligentoia is solid for them. "Freedom shrieked when Kosciuszko fell," but it is the race of Kosciuszko that now looms as the foe of freedom.
A mont instructive document has come into my hands, drawn up by the Polish Committee in Paris which was deputed by the French Government to certify the Polish nationality of individuals claiming to remain in Paris. For at the expulsion of alien enemies it had been decided to exempt those races, such as Alsatians, Crechs and Poles, that were only German or Austrian subjects by force of compulsion. Now the Poles of Paris had long suffered from the grievance that the Prefecture of Police refused to recognize them as a separate nationality, classifying them as Germans, Austrians, or Russians, according to the particular slice of Poland they hailed from. No sooner was the Polish nationality re-established, however, than this Committee reported against the inclusion of Polish Jews in the list of the exempt, and even went out of its way to credit the Jews of Russia, Austria and Germany with one and the same nationality-and that a German I For is not the dielect of them all Y:Idish, and is not Yiddish a form of German ?
In Russian Poland "pro-German" was the word against the Jews. In Austrian Poland, however, it had to be replaced by "pro-Russian," unless in the portions conquered by Russis, when "pro-German" came in useful again. In all parts they were, of course, accused of hoarding coin and food supplies, though, according to an Italian paper, in Austrian Poland the arch speculator in corn was no other than the Archduke himself. Thus in the midst of their own terrible sufferings the Poles, by denouncing the Jewn, poured oil on the flames of hell. Whenever a town taken by one side was retaken by the other, Poles hastened to the conqueror to accuse Jews of being Russian spies or German agents, as the case might demand. If the Jews went out with the civil population to meet the incoming invader and to demand peaceful treatment, they were liable to be denounced subsequently as traitors ; if they cowered at home, they were immediately denounced as the one inexorable element. The fact that Germany had made a rival promise of Polish autonomy exposed all Polish inhabitants to cruel cross-currents of temptation, and doubtless divided their
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house still more against itself. It is a profound leason for statesmen that the only cection of Poland that was satisfed, and that both offers of autonomy left cold, was Galicia, for Austrian Poland had its constitutional rights, and was free to live its own life.

It is natural to asume, therefore, that come Jews on the Russian borders of Galicia may have gravitated towards Austria in the hope of cacaping the Rusaian yoke. We know, indeed, that at Kielce the Polem themselves danced in the streets at the proupeot of being rid of Rusaia. But that the Jews played everywhere a double part is a pure fantary of Polish hate. Military law is not far removed from lynch law, yet Jews were aoquitted of thewe charges even by courts-martial. In Samose, after five Jew had been hanged, a Russian pope came forward and unmasked the Polish plot, showing that it was the denouncers themselves wino had trafficked with the Austrians. At Krasnik the rabbi was sco sure of the innocence of his flock that he offered his own neck to the noose instead-and the offer was accepted I

Orloff, the chairman of the Real Russians of Moscow, sent to Poland to inveatigate, reported that the Jews were more loyal than the Poles' report which cost his expulsion from the party. Even Stephen Graham, who has become the mouthpiece of Darkest Russia, gives the Jews a certificate of loyalty. They were furthat accused of poisoning the wells, an accusation last made against them in these regions in 1861, when Casimir the Great gave the Jews of Kalicz a charter of protection against such charges.

One must not suppose, however, that the Poles were alway conscious perjurers : even in England we know how war sets up a very madness of denunciation-an epidemic of espionitis. Did not the Home Secretary report to Parliament that he had inveatigated a hundred thousand accusations without finding a single spy ? Imagine the state of mind in a country of peasants already saturated with Jew-hate. Even Jews saying their prayers were supposed to be communicating with the enemy by wireless telephony. And the Russians who at the bidding of the Poles executed rough and-ready injustice were not wilful persecutors. Indeed, no small part of the blame must be placed on the German newspapers, which boasted that the Russian Jews were their allies. A Russian Army Order now before me quotes these papers and enjoins that Jewish hostages shall always be taken, "to assure the army from the bad influence of the Jewish population." We need look no further for the origin of the 215 pogroms reported from Poland.

Whether all these pogroms really took place, and how many of
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the gruesome details are true, cannot be eatablished at present. Russia-after her financial confabulation with the British Treasury-denied that any were authentic. Austria and Germany through equally official channels maintain that the reality is even worse. As for the Press, Bismarck's discovery that it can be manipulated is now common property. The newapers, instead of incressing information, only thicken the fog. Perhaps it is the character of hell to have

## "No light but rather darineen viaible."

In Milton's hell this "served only to discover sights of woe," and in Poland there is sufficient illumination to show us spectacles best left dark. It is significant that the Jewish Deputy's answer in the Duma to Sazonoff's denial of outrages was suppressed in the Russian papers. But, entirely discounting German sources, there are before me too many letters from natives of those hapless regions, too many. indictments from neutrals like Brandes, too many cries of horror from Russians like Prince Paul Dolgorukofl, and above all too many unconscious admissions in the Russian and Polish Press, to leave any hope that this dolorous chapter of Jewish history is only a pro-German figment. Of the pogrom at Josefow I even possess the names of 81 victims. As for the oblawas, or military drives, at a few hours' notice from the whole zone of operations, they are not even denied. What with these and the panic-stricken flights, Poland has been full of " With in great numbers, wandering about, lost, shot been full of "Jews spies, arrested, lialle to execution" (the shot at, accused of being Graham's). A Russian (the description is Stephen these hegiras-trains packed from gives us a vivid picture of people, or great processions of menf to roof with half-dressed ing for days the wintry roads men, women, and children, trudgof their boots, a woe-begone mass at by the Polish villagers. Fifty haf bundles and babies, jeered a single day in a melancholy national been buried at Warsaw in quarter these streams of misery have prossion. And from every the floor of every synagogue and have flowed into Warsaw, till sleeping populations. The last Jewish building was packed with novelist, Perez, were spent in receiving of the great Yiddish folk whose lives and naive faith heiving myriads of the simple A cart at the head of eact congregats so wonderfully described. Law and often its violated virgegation carried its Scrolls of the Poet.
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## VII. Remsia agabetr Rubsy.

Neither of the two great Jewish issues-the abolition of the Pale in Russia, ${ }^{1}$ and the return to Palestine-can fail to be profoundly affected by the war. To follow the movement of opinion in Russia on the Jewish question has been like watching the owaying of the battle-line in Flanders. It is clear that the good and evil spirits, that Ormuzd and Ahriman, are at tug-ofwar. And the vacillations are reflected in the utterances of Russian politicians.
Professor Miliukofl, the Liberal leader, who at the outset of the war saw freedom coming to the Jews, now sees it hopelessly receding. A hundred circumstances justify either view. On the one hand, the passionate fidelity of the Jew is seen to touch the Russian heart ; on the other hand, the forces of reaction still lurk and are intensified by the chauviniam engendered by war. One day we hear that the diabolical education system is to be
${ }^{2}$ A fortnight after the outbreat of the war (Auguat 18th, 1914) I eddreseed to The Timece oletior apon this point :-
"The rumour roportod in your iorve of to-day that the Tear is about to give civll and political righto to his Jows will, is confirmed, do muoh to rolieve the foolinge of thowe who, liko myooli, believo that the Entonto with Rumia wes too high a price to pas even for ealoty againot the German poril. Not that the Ruminay are not a Ano pooplo ; it it only with the Rumaitan Government that ofrilizetion has a quarrol, and the quarrol is as muoh on behalif of hor Rumaina as hor Jowinh rubjecti. The
 that that Covernment ic entoring upon an ere of greator intolligenco, and learning at lant from her British ally that minoritioe and dopondencies aro atteched mon clocely by love than by fear. The emaneipation of the Rumaian Jewe would be fell as an immonco reliof in many countrion, not only among Jown, who have folt bittoriy that the old land of freedom was helping in roluntarly to perpotuato the Palo, bof among Chrietians aleo, for all oivilizatioa suffors undor this modinval survival with Ite sequole in macearie and omigration. In Ruedia there is a coloneal fiold-hat of Europe and hate of Avis- for the onorgion of the atr million Jows now ocoped up in a province of which they are forbiddon even the villages.
"Their onfranchisement would, indeed, be a logical oonsequonce of the rodemp. tion of Poland, for how could Ruala pormitt the Jowa in hor Polish dominion to bo freer than in Ruadia proper? But there is no logio in Ruasia, and it in, alan 1 fre from improbablo that the Polos, now ongaged in a barbaroue boyoott of thoir Jown, Tould be atupld onough to imitato Rourio and dony thomequallty. In that cum as the Jews of Khive and Boarman Poland would looe their hard. won rights juad Rusela. And Rusuian Jewa would only asearedly count es human boing is if Rusain inetond of oonquoring Gorman and Austrian Poland, hercolf lowes to Gormany ber German-apoalting provinces. In theso-and they inolude the bulk of the Jowill Palo-the Jows would be soised at a stroke of the rights they have so long vaint domandod from Ruesta. Is it not tragio that in this instanco ocivilization shouli havo more to gain from Gorman militariam than from our Eaotorn ally? I hop that in the final iesue of this cosmic cataclysm England will not be found the coti. patr of Powers opponod to hor noblost traditions but that by hor insietence on juatioe and froodom all round the will rotrospeotivoly juatify hor Entento, hhow I glorious proft on hor outlis in armamonte, resume her moral hogemony of the worlid and her old pleos in the afrootione of mantind."
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swept away, the next the Bleck Eumdreds who were ready to embrace the Jew are demanding that in conquered Galicis the Austrian Jew should be hampered by the same educational restrictions as in Rusais proper, and that even their lands should be conflseated-and this though :he shrewder Cermany has been introducing equal sights for the Jews in the parte of Poland just conquered by her. One day the very dock labousers of Nicolayev send a thousand roubles to help the Polish Jews, the next the Tsar assents to the new Local Government Bill for Poland, forbidding Jews to be even mayors or town clerks. Now the Jew Katz becomes a national hero for keeping back, with only eight men, a whole Cerman force, anon the same wounded warrior is expelled from a hospital in Petrograd and a section of the Prens clamours for the exclusion of Jews from the army. But the brain and heart of Russis are sound. It is from her own great writer, Andreyev, that has come the touching picture of the Jewish soldier slinking into the hospital which his companions enter as heroes, and hardly daring to groan in the wards for fear of drawing attention to the fact that he is outride the Pale. And into this wavering battle-line of good and evil, of Russie against Russia, comes like a cavalry charge the glorious Manifess of the Intellectuais, signed by over cro ge glorious Manifesto ing Senators, members of both Fouse hundred notables, includand, above all, the greatest writere of Profensors, Academicians, ment, which, inter alia, testifies hof Russia. This noble docurestrictions have been maintained abominably the anti-Jewish and children, for example, being ven through the war (wives and fathers, dying in hospitale 0 able to visit their husbands sorely-tried Jewish nation for hiussia), pays tribute to "the sublime contributions in which has given to the world many and poetry . . . and which apheres of religion, philosophy, and insulted by false charges." now again submitted to trials devotion to the common cause, Atter recapitulating the Jew's his rights of citizenship as "not stigmatizes the limitations of a method damaging to the very inty a crying injustice, but also it concludes, "let us bear in interests of the State. Russians," other fatherland than Russia, mind that the Russian Jew has no than the soil on which he is and that nothing is dearer to a man welfare and power of Russis born. Let us understand that the welfare and liberties of all are inseparably bound up with the whole Empire. Let us conce nationalities that constitute the with our intelligence and owe this truth, let us act in accordance 808
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that the disappearance of all linde of pertecoution of the Jews and their complete emanajpation, so as to be our equals in all rights of citizenship, will form one of the conditions of a really constructive Imperial policy."
Nor is this inner travail for righteousnese, though by tar the most important force making for Jewich emancipation, the only force at work. The assurance I had the privilege to receive frum Sir Edward Grey, that he would neglect no step to encourage it, has been widely published. ${ }^{2}$ But this does not carry us far, for Russia recents interference in her intermal affairs. "Rumia is not on trial in this wars," said the Nooos Vremya haughtily, and even Lord Reading has reminded us that at the Peace Settle. ment we shall not be making terms with Russia. Thareal import. ance belongs, therefore, to Sir Edward Grey's further assurance to me that at the end of the war no transferred population shall be deprived of its status. Hence should Ruasia reconquer any portion of Galicia, she will have to leave the Jews their pre-existing equal righte, and these sights will then become the leverage for raising the Jewish status throughout the sest of Russia. For it is impossible that Russia will be able to allow her new subjects an equality which she refuses to the old.
In any event, and whatever the result of the war, irresistible economic considerations in, favour of Jewish emancipation are working with the higher forces. It has at last been perceived by Russians that the Jews are necessary to Russia, that without them she cannot go forward on the new path of industrinal and commercial development, and that if she is not to be exploited by the all-penetrating Germans, she must be taken in hand by her own subjects.
To capture German trade the Pale of Jewish settlement must be abolished. And from every Christian quarter, from towns and conferencen, from the Imperial Economic Council of Petrograd itsell, come petitions for its abolition. The loyal response of the Jews to the recent call for the mobilization of trade and commerce has made the need of them even plainer. And the very hatred of the Poles for the Jews is curiously working in the same direction. For the Poles allege that it is not so much their own old-established Jews they object to as the immigrants who pour in from Russia, Russianizing everything, and undermining Polish nationality, and the Poles have gone so far as to prevent
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## the native Jowe co-operating with Jows from Rusain proper

 oven upon warrelief committece.And this unveloome westward stream of immigration they trece to the economic effects of the existence of the Pale. Were this only abolished, the Jew would expand eastwards over Russia, not come pushing into Poland; nay, the Jewe already in Poland would begin to migrate into the new territory opened up to the Jow. And now that Poland has been warned by Brandes, Luzzatti, Andreyer, and other makers of European opinion, that at the Peace Congreses her own autonomy will not be accorded her is that a modus ofoends with the Jews must be found, and it is certain that in this compromice she will demand equal rights for the Jews throughout the rest of Rusain; lest otherwise they stream towards her more liberal soill.
And not only are these forces of hate working for Jewish emancipation, but, under "the Divinity that shapes our ends, rough-hew them as we will," these forces are even making for the sory for the Jew is at last in the air. An infuential Ruscian paper, the Ruscikos Slooo, has started a symposium on the subject. Even, Thar Nicholas, according to the Novoe Vremya, favoured Palestine, while a contemporary Russian statesman would acoupt a British Protectorate over Syria. According to the Novaya Gaueta, it is East Africa or some German colony that is to be amigned the Iews. In Italy the Palestine ideal is combining the Jews under Luzzatti with the Catholics under Tonnallo, Gustave Herve preaches it in France, and the Labour parties of the world, which are already solid for Jewish emancipartion would not oppose this supplementary measure. Even ipation, Mritish Cabinet powerful elements upon Palestine. Iovers of the "avour the claims of the Jews pinned their faith to Armageddo "prophecies" have always Palestine was always to be the ime The return of the Jews to world-war. Let us turn, therefore to chaping in the Holy Land.

## VIII. The Real Jewibi Ariy.

The Orient is pre-eminently the region of rumour and fantasy, and the reports that have penetrated to us from the bazaars of Palestine or been carried by a myriad refugees are more contra. $\quad$. $\quad$.
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dictory even than the war reports of Europe. The Zionist bank has been officially closed and officially forced to open. Locusts have eaten the harvest, and it will be more abundant than ever. In part these contradictions merely mirror the ever-changing policy of the Porte. We may distinguish three stages, the flrst before Turkey had joined in the war, the second when she behaved according to Turldsh notions, and the third and still ruling phase in which Germany stepped in to undo the harm to the general cause done by Turkey's own methods.
To the first phase belongs the economic damage to Palestine wrought by the general European situation, for the trade of Palestine depends almost entirely on the distant world-and ships were few. The great majority of the Jews in particular live on sums sent from Europe, and the mails had practically ceased to run. To the second phase belong the seizure of food supplies and munitions of war, ${ }^{1}$ che Ottomanization or expulsion of the Palestine Jews, their enrolment in the army, unless they paid the decessary bakshecsh, the attempt to uproot Zionism, destroy the Jewish colonies, and settle Circassians on the Jewish land. To the third, or German-American, phase belong better economic conditions, the more favourable treatment of the Jews, and the explanation that only Zionism, with its stamps, flags, and symbols, was and is to be the object of attack ; also the foregathering of Arabs and Jews in Jerusalem. ${ }^{2}$ There is still, however, a policy of ruthlessness, so far as French or English property is concerned, and unfortunately the bulk of the Jewish colonies belong to Baron Edmond de Rothschild, of Paris, or to the Ica, an association which controls the legacy of Baron de Hirsch.

It has been a blessing for the Jews of Palestine that through all this time of turmoil the United States have been represented at the Sublime Porte by Mr. Morgenthau, who combines the humanitarianism of the American with the special solicitude of the Jew. When Mr. Morgenthau passed through London on his way to his post, he was a prey to modest shrinking : had he known he would
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 anging eflrst haved phase causelestine Pales5 were sums - run. munilestine essary ewish third, itions, aation and is 5 and thless, and Baron which
have tofrepresent halt the world in war-time, he would probably have drawn back. Yet no veteran diplomatist could have done better. It is owing to him that speedy help for Palestine was forthcoming from the Jew of the Unitod States, and it was his con-in-law, Mr. Maurice Wertheim, who carried the gald on an American battleship, supervised its distribution on revencifo principles, and supplied history with the one reliable acco. riat of the situation. By gracious direction of the Secretary of the $1: 3 \mathrm{syy}$, Mr Josephus Daniels, a further supply of food was sent by che U.S. collier Vulcan, while the U.S. cruiser Tennessee transporceo. thousands of refugees gratuitously from Jaffa to Alerandriasporioa expulsion was the fate of all Jews who Jaffa to Alexandria, ${ }^{2}$ For nationality (at a fee), and it would appeuld not take on Ottoman Galilee consented to any extent to appear that only the Jews of Judsea preferring exile. ${ }^{2}$ extent to become Turks, the Jews of
But if the cause of Zionism has thus received a serious setback, if the heroic work of the colonists for a whole generation seems undone, if the old Jewish exodus from Egypt to Palestine has been reversed after 8,000 years by this great exodus from Palestine to which may bring Zionism nearer than ever to its hope. For among the refugees at Alexandria were a number of young Zionist colonists, wishful neither to turn Turk nor to resume the Russian. For the suzerain of Palestine they might have been ready to fight, had not the Turks declared a "holy"" have been ready to fight, Jews felt was as little their business as "war, which these young they had long since quitted. But Egst fight for the Russia English, and inasmuch as Russian Egypt had been proclaimed to fight in an allied army, they wan allowed absent subjects Palestine !

The idea of fighting for Palestine was not, indeed, new. It had more than once been brought to me by the despairing younger generation. But now it had come in practicable form. Through their spokesman, a Russo-Jewish journalist, the young Zionists begged to be enlisted as a British-Palestine Battalion. To
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the British military mind, nursed on the Bible, the idea did not lack faccination, and General Maxwell, the Grand Commander of Egypt, appointed Colonel J. H. Patterson, the distinguished Irish soldier and sportsman, to organize the corpe. The Colonel cabled to me, asking for a message of encouragement, and I cabled back my welcome of the incident as an omen for the establishment of a British Protectorate in Palestine. This mensage, toned down by the local military censorship into a wish for the men's "happy return " to Palestine, was read to them, and the Colonel made a speech that was translated into Hebrew and ended with the words, "Pray with me that I should not only, as Moses, behol Canaan from afar, but be divinely permitted to lead you into the Promised Land." The troops were then solemnly sworn in by the Chief Rabbi of Alexandria, who gave a stirring address, and then, with "Hedads" for King George, the Colonel, and the cabler, the young Zionists, 500 strong, marched off singing their national hymn.
Hurriedly equipped, mainly with Turkish rifles, and wearing a small brass dise with the "Shield of David" over their black Turkish greatcoats-or a red shield instead of a cross for the Medical Corps-they pitched their tents in the old Biblical \&ashion, and the word of command rang through the air in Hebrew.
After only three weeks' training they, with their thousand mules, were transferred to the Dardanelles as the "Zion Mule Transport Corps," whose perilous function was to bring ammunition and stores up to the trenches. Very soon they were publicly thanked by the General, while two, for gallantry in operations near Krithia, have received the D.C.M. But, as one of the wounded said, "Proud as I am of my wound, I should be the happiest man alive had I received it on the soil of Palestine."1 The original negotiator of the corps has come to me in England on a mission of gathering recruits in every available country. Thus after a gap of 1,782 years, and as if symbolically at the very moment when the Turk had prohibited the immemorial prayer at the Wailing Wall, there was again a Jewish army, however humble. And this army in alliance with the British I

Palestine alone cannot solve the Jewish problem, and "equal
${ }^{3}$ For farther detalls 100 "With the Zionists in Gallipoli," by Colonel Patterson, D.s.0. Ho reyn, "The troope in G. always cide: "Lot us havo the Zion men. Britiah officors ased to writo and ray that thoy had nover mot ruch gallant followh. They wero in feot quito foarlows." Ono of tho Jowish oficoors was Coptain Trumplo. dor, who had alroedy been deooretod by the Tuar with the Oroes of St. George in gold for apecial gallantry. There were nine tillod and sirty wounded.
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rights everywhere " remains an imperative necessity. But only Jewish nationalism can ever write a new chapter of Josephus. "They may hang us, violate our women, drag us through the seven hells," wrote some Russo-Jewish volunteers from the French va by دappy ade a rords, anaan mised Chief with ; the tional aring black r the hion, aules, Tranition blicly tions the e the ne." gland Thus very rayer vever

# "RUSSIA AND THE JEWS" 

## TWO LETTERS TO "THE NATION."

## I.

Sir, -Is no organ safe from Mr. Stephen Graham? In his self-appointed role of defender of Holy Russia, that voluminous young writer displays a vigilance and an industry positively German, and an efficiency no less Teutonic in its disregard of established standards. His latest exploit is an attempt to capture the Nation. But those of your readers who may be impressed by the plausible tone of his letter in your last issue may be recommended to turn to his article under the same title in the current number of the English Review. Throughout that article Mr. Graham is incredibly engaged in fanning the almost extinct embers of the Blood Accusation. He actually writes-in language which even the Qussiun Censor would hardly permit-"Beilis was innocent-though he was actually involved in the murder. Someone was guilty, a madman or a Jew, and, indeed, the probability is that a Jew actually committed the crime. Whether it was for ritual purposes or not is another matter." The Beilis case re-opened, you see, the whole monstrous medireval myth still treated as a live possibility. Indeed, Mr. Graham's whole article reads like an expansion of the dialogue which I put into the mouth of the Jew-baiting Russian baron in "The MeltingPot." It is literary mine-sowing, and in a friendly area, for $\mathbf{8 6 0 , 0 0 0}$ Russian Jews are now fighting for their fatherland.

As-for his contribution to your own columns, his cool assertion that " no harm has been done to the Jews during this war "coming as it does at a moment when the Polish Jews are living through one of the greatest tragedies in history-almost freezes my ink. One must set aside, of course, what the Jews have erffered in common with their fellow-Russians, but the tale of heir special miseries is so superfluously tragic that it has brought numerous protests from Russian newspapers and Russian parties. Thus already in the Russkiya Vedomosti of November 8rd, Prince Paul Dolgorukofi denounced that pitiless interpretation of the

## "RUSSIA AND THE JEWS"

laws of the Pale by which the Jewish soldier's nearest and dearest cannot visit his death-bed if "se hospital lies outside the prescribed region, or which, aftr: the amputation of a leg, hounds him out of the prohibited area as soon as he can hobble. Is it for the purity of her Christianity that Mr. Graham has become the apostle of Russia? Well, her Christian chivalry to her Jewish lieges-and many a Russian Jew has rallied to her colours who was safely outside Russia-may be gauged by the instances collected by Mr. George Kennan in the American Outlook for January 27th. Mr. Kennan has been accused of creating the "Russia of the novelists." He has therefore wisely confined himself to bald extracts from Russia's Press, such as reports of wounded Jewish soldiers being excluded even from hospitals.
Moreover, Mr. Graham cannot have forgotten the recent historic indictment of Poland by Brandes, his detailed statement of war pogroms, such as that at Josefow, where, under that other mediæval suspicion of "poisoning the wells," seventy-eight Jews were killed, many women violated, and houses and shops looted. It is this indictment which has transformed Brandes from the idol of Poland to a dog of a Jew. For one of the first feats of the great humanist was to expend on the literature and romance of Poland all the enthusiasm he could spare from neglecting the romance and literature of his native Jewry. Now, a generation later-disillusioned over the Poles who, in the very height of their struggle for freedom, are seeking to crush or uproot the Jews whom they originally invited to settle among them-Brandes sorrowfully recalls his youthful rhapsodies. "I said, Poland stands as the emblem of all that the greatest of mankind have loved and sought for. Am I to feel shame for these words now when the destiny of Poland is to be fulfilled ?" Brandes's generous ardour is still not that of a Jew on behalf of the Jewsas Mr. Graham and his tribe pretend of all such natural emotions still less is it "pro-German"; it is the old universal passion for freedom and justice.
Mr. Graham, waving aside all these facts with a Podsnappery truly magnificent, observes, with bureaucratic toploftiness, almost as himself a member of "the spheres": "The Russian Government is not in the habit of entering the journalistic arena to deny libels." Why, this is precisely what the Russian Government did when it officially denied in The Times of January 22nd the libel fathered on M. Sazonoff by Mr. Stephen Graham that after the war nothing would be done for the Jews. It was at
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M. Sazonoft's own house at lunch that, according to Mr. Graham, the Russian Foreign Minister made his statement to him, and as, in the same number of the English Review, Mr. Graham repeats a conversation on the Jewish question with the Lord Chief Justice at the dinner-table, I can only deplore that a journalist with such a code should be given such prominence in The Times, or that a writer with so much engaging enthusiasm and literary charm and so precious a sense of Russian mysticism and brotherhocd, a writer who mignt really help Russia and England to help each other, should have gone so hopelessly astray in the dreary bogs of reactionary politics.

Yours, \&c.<br>Israel Zanowill.

February 16th, 1916.

## II.

Sir,-I am very surprised to learn from Mr. Stephen Graham that the Lord Chief Justice read his article through before publieation in the English Reviero ; and I apologize to him for assuming he had reproduced Lord Reading's dinner-table conversation without permission. He makes no attempt, however, to justify that article (still connecting Beilis and the Jews with the murder which everybody knows was committed by the woman Tchebiriakova), or to answer Dr. Brandes's indictment of the Poles, or to justify his assertion that " no harra has been done the Jews during this war"; and he cannot glide away with some graceful compliments to my literary merits. I had already regretfully acknowledged his, and the situation is too serious for posturings. It really will not do to pretend that I am "kicking up a dust" to cover that the Bund "had been publishing false news of a pogrom at Lodz, and so weakening the strength and unity of the Allies." What have I to do with the Bund ? Moreover, the Bund did not confine its news of pogroms to Irodz, and it added that, under pretext of treachery, whole Jewish populations had been hounded from their homes. One of these drives was even described in the Daily Mail of February 15th. Mr. Graham's proof that there was no pogrom at Lodz is that nothing appeared about it in the Russian or English Press. Doubtless the German Press is as tainted as either, but the charge that 216 pogroms have occurred in Poland is most solemnly made by the chaplain of the Jewish forces in Germany, and a number of these are
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uttested from a variety of other sources with which I will not weary or sicken your readers. The Rabbi adds: "There is no hope of seeing an end to these horrort." As for the pogrom in Lods, $s 0$ far from the news having been false, or nothing having appeared in the Russian Press, the Nowrij Woschod, of Petrograd (November 26th), said in a paragraph passed by the Censor : "The Military Commandant of the town of Lods, who received a Jewish deputation, begged it to tranquillize the population, as those guilty of the pogrom would be punished according to military law." In fairness to Mr. Graham, it musi be admitted it was not a "bad" pogrom, though it was renewed several times, and included the ironic incident of the wounding of a Jewish soldier by the mob.

Mr. Graham's suggestion that the Jews are inventing slanders against Russia, and thereby weakening the Allies, is as unworthy as it is mistaken. At the outbreak of the war the English organ, Darkest Russia, ceased publication with the dignified remark that the best thing it could now contribute was its silence. The chivalrous reply of the pro-Russian Press was to flll the air with glorifications of Russia and vilifications of the Jews under cover of " the fog of war," relying on its ability to becloud and menace any Jewish critic with the suspicion of anti-Britishism or even pro-Germanism. Mr. Graham seems to forget that the treason to the cajse of the Allies is committed by the perpetrators of homors, not the narrators. The humour of the situation is that in defending the cause of the Allies I ha.e become a by-word in the German Press, branded as a pro-Russian turncoat, the butt of lectures, poems, paragraphs, and cartoons. It is the same in the pro-German Press of the States. Let me quote only one sentence from an "Open Letter" addressed to me by the notorious Fatherland of New York. "Your amazing statement that 'it is better that the Russian Jews should continue to suffer than that the great interests of civilization should be submerged by the triumph of Prussian militarism' surpasses in its cruelty and injustice anything I have ever seen written by a Jew." although my plea for our Allies written by a Jew." And this Grey's promise to me to neglect no step to arced by Sir Edward for the Jews in Russia. Imagine, thep to encourage equal rights other neutral countries, whose, then, the effect in America and ment has desired to retain sympathies the British GovernThe Times. It is he, not I of Stephen Graham's firebrands in German game. His I or the Bund, that has heen playing the game. His fantastic solution of the Polish-Jewish
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problem-exclusion of the Jew from any rights under Poliah autonomy and their departure in their millions to Americenappearing as it did in an organ popularly supponed to be the very voice of Britain, created a panic throughout the Pale, and even agitated America with apprehension of a gigantic immigration. As a witty American cartoonist put it, "Ruscia grants the Jews equal rights-in America $l^{\prime \prime}$ When it is remembered that Germany does give the Jews equal righte, and has hastened to give them even to the Russian Jews in conquered Lods, while the "Black Hundred "Prens is urging Russia to take them away even from the Austrian Jews in ornquered Lemberg, it will be understood how the pro-German iffect of Stephen Graham's Polish propaganda was aggravated when he announced that Sazonoff, the Russian Foreign Minister, had told him the only alleviation the Russian Jews were likely to find after the war was -the deprivation of their right to serve in the army. That is to say, the Jew's reward for his heroic patriotism-and eighty Jewish chevaliers of St. George have been registered in Moscow alone-is to lose his one equal right-that of dying for Russia. It is a pity this brilliant idea did not occur to Russia before she had made myriads of Jewish widows. But if Russia does mean to throw over the Jews, the least she could do, out of consideration for her Allies, is to concedal her intentions. No wonder that Sazonoff and the Russian Embassy hastened to disavow their indiscreet exponent.

Mr. Graham replies: "I have never written anywhere that M. Sazonoff said that nothing would be done for the Jews." This is mere quibbling, for what he said M. Sazonoff offered was even less than nothing. In the Sunday Times of January 17th Mr. Graham reported the conversation: " "Is anything likely to be done to relieve the tension of the Jewish problem $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ 'M. Sazonoff thought it possible that they might be excused military service in future if they wished it. He recognized the great difficulty of dealing with the Jewish problem, but spoke enthusiastically of the comingrestoration of Poland." "But the repudiation by the Russian Government does not limit itself to what M. Saronoff said. It denies he made any statement whatever. "We are informed that M. Sazonoff has made no statement whatsoever concerning the Jewish question in Russia" (The Times, January 22nd). Yet, in your last issue, Mr. Graham asserts : "M. Sazonoff gave me express permission to quoto his remarks." I must leave them to fight it out between themselven.

## "RUSSIA AND THE JEWS"

So tar as the Jewn are concerned, the effect of Mrr, Graham's incessant output of books and articles, his threless discourses in clube, hotele, halls, and churches, is to prepare the world for England's abandonment of the Russian Jows at the end of this war of freedom. As the Pall Mall Gavecte said on Saturday, in winding up its eulogy of his new book: "To demand rights for Russian Jews upon English or American canalogies is aimply to treat with contempt the realities of an Empire whove polijtical intelligence and institutions are atill in embryo." But the Jew are only a side iscue. The real danger from Mr. Graham's crusade is to Russia and to England. He wishes to bring Russia and England together. It is the last thing he shourd do, with his obviously sincere denire to save Russia from industrialism. To work day and night to introduce Russia to "the nation of chopkeepers " is, indeed, a curious way of saving her from developing like the West. Also, he wishes us to love Russia. But we are already hopelessly united, commercially and politically, and we all do love Russia-that Ruscia whose coul has been revealed to us by the great writers whom she has exiled and imprisoned. How can we not welcome her into the great democratic brotherhood of England and France, how can we not love the poor moujik who, as Mr. Graham tells us, goes off to the "holy war" without even knowing against whom? Why, before Mr. Graham was heard of, I had anticipated his tender sympathy for the Russian pilgrims to Jerusalem, and I placed on the stage the teaching of Russia's greatest spirit. I might love Russia even more, were a Jew allowed to see her, but even the Lord Chief Justice, a saviour of the Russian financial situation, cannot enter Russia by simple virtue of his British citizenship. Mr. Graham is trying to make us love the wrong Russia-the Russia which he may foist upon Englishmen but never upon the Russians. He has had a mystic vision a la Pobiedonostseff of a Holy Russia, bathed in the light that never was on sea or land, and it leads straight not to Tolstoy, but to Torquemada. Such a unity of Church and State as he beholds is impossible, if only because Russia has nearly twenty million Mahomedans. Mr. Graham's reactionary mysticism would not matter if it stopped at Russia, where the bureaucracy has no need of his services. But he has become a carrier of political infection to England. His new book actually suggests that England should copy the Russian Constitution-the Constitution of the very Empire whose primitiveness the Pall Mall acknowledges. England is to refuse
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naturalization to anyone umprovided with a baptimal certiticate I A pretty propoaition for the head of the Enapise of all areeds and races, which has jusit enlisted them all in its fight for world. freedom! Even Rusda does not go 50 las, for her millions of Musoulmans are recognized as Rundans.

But Mr. Graham goes yet farther or still more beckwards. In attacking Bermard Shaw he opealay of those who ase "whirpering treason against Ruseia." Ruscia has then, it ceems, already annezed the British Brapire, and British citisens are aapable of "treason" against her. Did I not warn Mr. Wells in yous columass that the "Liberal fear of Rusaia " was not of her enmity? It show to what intellectual poverty we are come that the subjective visions and poetic enapshots of our new Sentimental Journeyer abould be hailed as heaven-eent statesmanahip. "No book could be better timed," says Sunday's Obseroer. Well-timed, indeed. To the British conscience, uneasy about Rumia, Mr. Graham comes as a providential pacifier, a soothing syrup. Popoulue oult dectipl a decipitur. Not that he is a wilful deceiver, As I told him when I firut came under the fascination of his style and personality, he is a poet wallsing in a powder factory. Smoking the "enchanted cigarettes" whose cloud-rings hide from him the real Russia, he does not realize that he is dropping lighted matches in the most explonive area of Furcys.

Youse, te.
March, 1916.
Iomat Zunewill.

## THEODOR HERZL

## Farawert, 0 Prince, farewell, 0 sorely-tried I

 You dreamed a dream and you have paid the cost : To eave a people leaders must be loot, By triende and foes alike be arucified. Yet 'tis your body only that has died, The nobleat soul in Judah is not duat But fre that works in every vein-and muot Re-ehape our life, re-kindling Irael's pride.So we behold the captain of our atrife Triumphant in this moment of eclipse ; Death has but fixed him in immortal life, His flag upheld, the trumpet at his lipa. "And while we, weeping, rend our garment's hem, "Next year," we cry, "Next year, Jerusalem."

Kingesdown,<br>July sth, 1904.

## THE JEWISH FACTOR IN THE WAR AND THE SETTLEMENT

(A paper read before the Fablan Society on December 10th, 1015. This paper naturally overiaps the preceding article at \& fow points, but carries the story later.)
> "silence, consont, and defonce of the wrong done, all make thome who practiee them nocemplices in the sin which they sook to ahroud or axcese."The Timee, Jan. 13th, 1016.

## 1.

I resh honoured by the request of the Fabian Society to contribute a paper on "The Jewish Factor in the War and the Settlement," yet it is scarcely possible to treat this subject as straightforwardly as doubtless other factors have besn treated by your lecturers. For while these other factors are plain and palpable, the JewsI have ventured to assert-do not exist : as a political entity, that is. Nor is this a parador of my own. You will ind it in The Times atlas. An intelligent Icelander or Somali, studying a stranded copy of it, would never discover from it that there were such folk as Jews in the world. On no map would he diserver any trace of a territory belonging to them, while, even from the mape coloured according to religion, their existence would be equally unsuspected. Indeed, the only religious division in which they could possiblv find place would be the light-orange departments allotted to " is heathen."

I am weii a , rare of the legend that they not only exist, but are a federation of millionaires darkly bent on subduing the world, or at least on pulling its strings in the Jowish interest. But as I happen to have been engaged for some fifteen years in trying to focus Jewish forces, if only for self-defence, I am in a position to assure you that this legend is funnier than anything in Thackeray's burlesque of Disraeli. The Jews are a frightened people ; sixteen centuries of Christian love have broken down their nerves. For the persecution which began with Constantine, the founder of State Christianity, has known scarcely a lull. if there is any object that could federate the Jewish millionaires, it would be that of at present faint, but fi. ed to gather force and frequenoy as all the swachbucklers who otarted the war become increadingly alick of it, refponsibility for it, that it was an affair of Jewish financiers or wire-pullers, ${ }^{2}$ or perhaps, as one of my anonymous correspondents explains to me, a consequenoe of the vermin-lite multiplication of the Jew in Germany, which unhappy land having thus no room for its own race was compelled to look for "a place in the sun." (The Jew of Germany, I may remark, are less than 1 per cent. of the population.) You will now be also in a position to appreciate the suggestion of The Times that the Jews are the instigators of the Armenian massacres,' and although the journalists harp on Thear Ferdinand's nove (as though it were a Jew's harp), and a nriter in the Fortnighty Reotew dwells with unction on "that scmewhat Judaio nose of the Kaiser, through which he speaks with a distinctly Judaio muffle," you will not, I imagine, deduce that Jowish Jevuitry has set its scions on the thrones of Bulgaria and Germany in order to deatroy Britain.

## III.

The proposition that the Jewe do not exist requires, however, a alight modification. Though the race has no cohesion as a people yet where it exists in large numbers it forms sub-nationalities and these do sonstitute political entities, sometimes exercising, as in Austria, $t^{\prime}$ at hotch-potch of races, a certain autonomy. By far the chief of these sub-nationalities is the Jewry of Russia, recently ${ }^{1}$ The Dutoh Ontholio papar Tijd has actually maid that tho big Jowish bankers power the Gersean prinoen and diplomatioty to go to war, to aoquire utill more Times quotes a Vie would becomo holplone. So too the Clarion hore, while The bocomed a milliomanees saying that the way will and when the lant Jow Jownen, Ronikn Sohwlmmer, that the Ford Peeco Ship itration of an Hangarina
$\triangle 4$ membey of an Amerioan Colloge Powoo Ship tot out.
of Morgenthan, the Jowinth Amberego at Constantinople teatifles that the voice "What ho has done for thin unhappy poople the the only one raicod for mosey. miraculous."
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calculated at aix millione, a population larger than that of Paleatine in the daye of Solomoh. These Rusaian Jewe are halt the Jews of the world and almost the whole Jewish problem. The Jewe of Enagland are too few to be regarded as a sub-nationality; they are merely a amall diswenting rect, not, indeed, reaching hali a million in the whole British Empire, including South Africa, where a Mark Twain might be forgiven for saying he pervonally knew two millions. Betreen all these hyphenated sub-nationalities and localized rects there is normally as much repulsion as attraction, but the latent kinship flames up under the persecution of any fracdon, and the million Jews of New York who are said to be capable of swinging a Presidential election, and whove attitude towards. Rusaia resembles the American-Irish rolc in the Home Rule atruggle, may be regarded as a distinct factor in the war-indeed, the only Jewish factor; not anti-British, but certainly not pro-Ally. ${ }^{2}$ Mr. Jacob Schif, the most powerful Jew in the States, refused to touch the War Loan unless with a guarantee-which was refusedthat no part of the money should go to Russin. Though Germanborn, he was quite willing to help England, but as the fmancier of the Japanese war against Ruscia, he refused to stultify himself.:

[^78]
## JEWISE FACIOR IN TEDE WAR AND SEXTLEMENT

The Jowiah ideal is of course the antithenis of the Prusaian, and it abo happens that the Jews in the feld, beginning with some 350,000 Ruminn Jewn, are overwhelmingly on the side of the Allies. Yet it would be falee to claim them as a proo-Ally force, for they have merely obeyed local patriotism, alaying one another at its bidding, and in Germany they are the brain-power behind the Throne. One body, indeed, of Jewish coldiess, the Zion Mule Transport Corpe-rocruitod for the Britich Army out of the refugees from Paleatine, and constituting the first Hehrew regiment aince the year 188-doces reprewent an independent shoice of sides, for it was inspired by frith in England, and the hipe that England, the historic champion of small peoples, would lead the Jow into the Promised Land. But this Jewish contribution-valuable as is the factor in 80 mighty a was.

For centure indeed, the Holy Ingiand has been the political hope of the Jewof ealvation. How dicconcerpe, the cradle of liberty, the fount nominally waged moreover forting, then, that in this great war, neutral Jewish opinion ver for every Jewish ideal, large rections of greatent Ally, and, indeed, by ifterly deake the defeat of Britain's influences, tend to its dow, bournalistic and other imponderable for Ruscis could overcome even the To underutand how this hatred and their loathing for Prumian milite and reverence for Britain have tried to arouse them, we muiturism, to whose dangers I pogrome, but what $M$. In, we must remember not merely the invaluable compilation, "TThe Wolt has called, in the titte of his Rumia" At the outbreat of Legal Sufferinge of the Jews in themselver-w the a few prive of the war the Ruasian Jews found Pele whose very villages priviloged exceptions-incarcerated in a mort offices of dignity in State or education except for a minute or army, and disallowed higher choven-with a last toveminute percentage of the candidates, intelleotual promiso bouch of iniquitous ingenuity-not for their who applied for admit by lot. In 1018, of 8,008 Jewish students 102 were admitted. It wns the universities and technical colleges, people. Profeseor Dicey, in an intellectual starvation of a whole mrote: "The worst evil of Ruseinn duotion to Mr. Woll"s book, the Jewish subjecte of the Tear wian despotism is that it threatens strange discumalon of the hore with moral degradation. . . . The m.n.
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save a Jew from the disabilities to which he is subject tells its own tale. . . . The persecution of Rusian Jew is not a matter which affects Russia alone. . . . It is assuredly the concern of every civilised State that the alow and laborious progress of mankind should suffer no retrogression."

When Professor Dicey wrote this in 1012 England was not bound to protest merely as a civilized State. She was bound to protest as a State in semi-alliance with Russia. But Sir Edward Grey interpreted otherwise the great tradition in his keeping. "I cannot interfere in the internal affairs of Russia." That became his formula and he did not budge from it, even when he was shown how Russia put a slur on British citivenship by refusing Britishborn Jews the right to enter Russia. America on this very ground broke off her commercial treaty with Russia, but England dares not claim for her Lord Chief Justice and her Home Secretary those rights to British protection against injustice and wrong, in whatever land they might be, which Palmerston vindicated even for the Portuguese Jew of Gibraltar, robbed by a mob at Athens. And when the Entente was changed into an Alliance, and England's responsibility for Russia proportionately increased, Sir Edward Grey still clung to his formula, though Russia's internal affairs had clearly become England's internal aftairs, involving her and her fortunes in the odium they excited. In vain, while urging upon the Jews of neutral lands that the issue was wider than the rights or wrongs of the Russian Jews, I urged our Government to press upon the Tsar the necessity for their instant emancipation-s measure the casier and the more natural inasmuch as they had come into the war with borning enthusiasm, inexhaustible sacrifices, and incredible heroism. Their emancipation would have meant to the Allied cause an immense asset of good-will-the good-will of a people of journalists. But the opportunity was let slip, though it was a war for rightcousness and the freedom of small nationalities, and though even a Russian senator-Baron Rosen, formerly Ambassador at Washington-cried out in the Imperial Council : "It is impossible simultaneously to serve two Gods-it is impossible to profess as regards international relations the great principles of liberty and justice and to ignore them as regards inner affairs. This would be unparalleled political hypocrisy and cynicism." But was there at least an alleviation-for war-time only-of "the legal sufterings of the Jews of Russia"? Surely Russis was touched by their Jewish patriotism. They were the sole nationality from which "only sons" were conscripted, and
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they bore it without a murmur; they even added volunteersthey came buck from America itself. Their wealthier classes poured out funds; they organized hospitale. Surely Rusaisthe land, as Stephen Graham tells us, of pure primitive Christianity -could not but respond to this supreme example of Christian forgiveness !
How Rusais responded you shall now hear. And though a stream of documents has poured upon me from Russian Jewry, it is not their evidence that I shall call, though it is naturally nearer to the facts. I will go only to the speeches in the Duma, published in the Russian pappers, neither censored by the Russian Government $n \cdot z$ contradicted by it.
> V. Miliukorr, the mask of military requirements," said Professor Democrats), "unheard of mear of the Cadet party (Constitutional uncommitted arimes were adopted of corporate responsibility for reminding one of the savage laws of the Dainst the Jews-measures us in the eyes of the civilized world." "Tark Ages, and degrading Kerenski (Labour party), "have been "The Jews," said A. F. calumny." "A eeries of measures" crucified by hatred and deputy), "absolutely incredible es," cried Friedman (ihe Jewish humanity, whether for their cruelty unheard of in the history of What was this pretext? cruelty or their pretext." Socialist Democrats, answer- Let Twchkheldze, the leader of the said in the Duma on August 10th answer it. "Gentlemen," he more on its last legs turns again 1915, "the Government once This time, instead of a charge again for a scapegoat to the Jews. of felony and treachery what has happened behind tull Russia, and all Europe, knows The guilty are not the Jews the armies and on the battlefields. guilty are the traitors-some whole country knows that. The some of them now hanged-who them recently in high office; tracts. ${ }^{{ }^{1}}{ }_{1}$ was, like the were the measures to repress the innocent Jews ? One the Germans, from thei Belgium to take hostages, but, unlike
1 Theoe were named by the the Dame on Maralh 21 by the Chaisman of the Army and Navy Commiacion in and Geacenl Griforyev, Who sumpiadod Sulhominor, the formor Warinion in
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Jewish elders were thrown into prison-the punishment, as a Christian eritic put it in the Duma, of enjoying publie respect. But the main measure, as trangpires from all the Dums speeches, consisted, or consists-Ior it has not yot quite ceased-in driving out whole populations at a few hours' notice from their homes and possessions ; in turning some 000,000 Jews into vagaboads and mendicants. The sick, including women in child-birth and onses of contagious disease, were dragged from their bede, the orphans from the asylums, the lunation from the madhouses, and such as could be packed in any goods' trucks available wese sent ofr without food or water with letters of consignment likegoods; the others, men, women and children, had to go afoot through the wintry roads. If a baby died on the way, the parents could not stop to bury it ; if the scarlatina or typhus patients died in the train, the bodies were not removed. Drioubinski, the peasant deputy, told the Duma of an evacuation of Jews which he had himselif witnessed in the Government of Redom. "At 11 o'clock at night," 00 ran his description, "the whole Jewish population was suddenly exiled. Whoever was found at daybreak would be hanged. In the darkness of the night began the exodus to the nearest city, thirty verats (that is, twenty miles) distant. There was no means of transport. The old, the sick, the paralytic, were carried by the others." A letter was read in the Duma from a young American Jew who had emigrated from Russia ten years before at the age of cighteen, leaving his follss still there. When war broke out he risked his life in returning to Russia ola Archangel to enlist, " because," he wrote, "I love the land of my birth more than my life, more than the liberty I enjoyed in America. . . . In the Carpathians I loat my right arm, almost up to the shoulder, and was invalided home. On my way, I met, quite by chance, at a railway station my mother and my family, expelled from our native place. This tragedy broke me up again, and I am now in the military hospital of Riga. . . . Tell the Gentlemen of the Right," he wound up, "that I do not regret the arm I have lost. What I regret is the human dignity I enjoyed on the foreign soil of America."
"Gentlemen," cried the leader of the Social Democrats in a burst of irrepressible indignation, "it is now a year that we are being told that this shambles, this ocean of blood, is in the name of law, truth and justice, in the name of the highest principles of freedom, equality and fraternity. If so, let me ask the Government a few questions. Under what law is a whole people made to
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answer for the crimes-let un asoume they are the heaviest crimesof some of its members? What kind of truth is it, when lying communications are being fabricated and published in the offcial organ of the Government, that the Jews of Kuzhi have betrayed the Russian soldiers to the enemy? Why have the various periodical publications been ordered to publish this lie under threat of penalties \& What justice is this that requires that a Jewish and mutilated, shall be expelled within twenty-four hours from places in Russia where he was looking for employment? What hamanity is this which forbids the offering of food to hungry Jewish fugitives immured in sealed waggons at the stations? What freedom is this to have the whole Jewish Press suppressed and destroyed by a single stroke of the pen ? What fraternity is this when a part of the army is incited against the Jewish soldiers who are risking their lives in the same trenches side by side with the others ? The Germans are accused of violating thy side with rules of war. . . . But, gentlemen, in the name of the accepted law are orders issued to the Russian Army to drive that code of Jewish population forward under the Army to drive the peaceful By virtue of what code are Jewish fire of the enemy's bullets? as hostages and thrown into prisubjects of Russia being taken torture and to have them shot prison, in order to subject them to for not having spared Rheims The Germans are being branded what ethical or esthetic prins Cathedral. But let me ask you Jewish woman within the precingle underlies the outraging of a had fied in the hope of escapirghets of the sy. agogue whither she Such have been the " lapang her terrible fate ?"
war-time-the mere militogal "sufferings of the Jews in Russia in remember, the facts furnished measures. I have omitted, you will not repeated a syllable which by my Jewish informants. I have referred to the horrors of th Russia has censored. Nor have I Jewish Pale was the very heactual warfare; the fact that the pillaged by both belligerents, the war zone, borabarded and inhabitants shot and hanged and taken and retaken, its miserable not recalled to you the myrieds of by each side in turn. I have legitimately on the battlefields of orphans and widows created A triend of mine a neutral. Jew from Russia who neutral, relates that in Switzerland he met a all day long writing appeals toen all these things, sits in his room nations, begring them to mals to the rulers and potentates of the You perceive that he is mad.
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But I should be giving you a false perspective if I failed to point out that the Jews, though the worst, are not the only victims of the Russian bureaucracy. The opportunity of the war was takennot to fuse all sects and races in the glow of their patriotism. On the contrary, every religious and racial minority was oppressed in turn. Listen to the Moalem deputy in the Duma : "Wholesale expulsions of the male population, violation of the unprotected women left behind, ruined and devastated villages, an impoverished hungry, terior-stricken and unprovided-for population, this is the position of the Moslems in the Caucusus." Listen to the Lithuanian deputy: "Whole territories, with millions of inhabitants, are given over to fire and sword. The whole population is driven out, the country laid waste, the people turned into beggars." Listen to the Polish deputy: "The population was driven in front, the cattle requisitioned, the country devastated, several millions of people were made paupers. The military censomhip suppressed all references to the facts." And the Letts will tell you of similar persecution, and the Ruthenians of the persecution of their Press and their religion; and the Finns of further encroachments on their constitution; and the Russian people generally of trade unions rooted out and the Press strangled.
"What will they say in Prance, our great democratic Ally 9 " thundered a Russian orator at the Duma. "What will they say in liberty-loving England ?"
Liberty-loving England I Blindfolded England, whose heroic sons have offered their lives for righteousness, but whose able editors and governors have sent them to death with their eyes bandaged.
"Unparalleled political hypocrisy and cynicism I" I thank thee, Russ, for teaching me that word. Pliny tells us that after the death of Domitian the Romans flocked to hear the orators recounting the tales of the tyrant's victims, but that they could hardly bear to listen for sheer shame at their own passive complicity in his crimes. I believe it is with a similar feeling that Englishmen will one day hear what has been going on in this war. For we are living through one of those periods described by Mommsen when words no longer correspond to things.
Bismarck said that the Germans feared God and had no other fear. Sir Edward Grey feared Russia, and had no fear for England's dishonour. I do not say he has not made protests. He has-but tepid, timorous. So far, England is the only country that the Steam Roller has crushed.
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## VI.

"The fear of God," anys the Bible-that somewhat discredited work-" is the beginning of wiedom." But has the fear of Russia been the beginning of wisdom ? Let the whilom Russian Ambassador at Washington speak again. "It is inconceivable," he told the Imperial Council this September, "that thowe who guide our home policy should not be able to realize that by our medieval treatment of the Jewish population of Russia, and by our systematic outrages upon the constitutional habit of mind of the Finnish people, we are helping enormously the pro-German propaganda in neutral countries which our enemies carry on with lavish means to the detriment of the cause of the Allies ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ "Yes, indeed, these things, of which we are not permitted a whisper here, lose nothing, -we may be sure-through the German megaphone. And then we wonder that the Bryce Report on Belgium leaves the Swedes or Roumanians comparatively cold, and Professor Wilson and the Pope are not as furiously British as Mr. Blatchford. Vain to expect these atrocities on enemies to move the neutrals when Russia has provided such an antidote in the shape of atrocities on her own subjects. In the recent debate on the alleged indiscretions of The Times Ministers made great play with the importance of influencing neutrals. Yet this is how they have influenced them. ${ }^{1}$
I said Sir Edward Grey had made protests-but as a favour to the Jews, crumbs thrown to a beggar. He has not, apparently, understood the importance to England of Liberalizing Russia. It is not only that the national unity so necessary to peaceful warfare was shattered from within-Russia's will to victory enfeebled. All these oppressed minorities of religion and race have, like the Jews, brethren in other lands, and some, like the Moslem, infinitely more powerful brethren than the Jews. What of the Holy War which Germany has not succeeded in kindling? What of the reverberation in India with its sixty-two millions of Mussulmans ?
And when a portion of the Ukrainian population, whose newsंpapers have been suppressed and whose religion oppressed, finds itself captured by the Germans, what-asked Miliukon-must
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be the effect on their brethren living on the still Russian side of the frontier, when they see the new tree Ukroinian literature springing up under the wily conquerore ? Will they in fact not pray likewise to come under "Prussian militariam"? And the conquered Galician Poles-the Catholics: were they likely to remain content with the promise of the resurrection of Poland when the first fruit of Russian rule was the proclamation of the Greek Church as the established religion ? And the commercial classes hall-ruined by the expulaion of the Jew: : how can they continue to finance the wir? And the depopulated zones: how can they feed the army ? And the goods the Jews are compelled to leave behind : may they not ultimately increase the German warstore? And the trains so badly wanted for the transport of munitions: why must a train with 110 truckeful of Jews, Hiving or dead, be kept waiting two days in a siding ? All these questions you will find in the Duma debates, together with the significant remark of Drioubinski that the military authorities direct a mass of energy towards politics-and that bad politics-instead of towards their legitimate goal. But, in the language of a distinguished Russian, an army that makes politics cannot make war.
"What will they say in Engiand ?" But, you see, poor fogbound England knew nothing of these doings. While all that was best in Russie was proud of the Allinace with the Mother of Parliaments, and was yearning for an encouraging word of comradeship from the countrymen of Byron in the common fight for freedom and progress, ${ }^{2}$ nothing has reached Russia from England save a chorus of adulation capped by Stephen Graham's sentimental glorifications of the old order-eflusions which have been denounced by the intelligenticia ana leading Russian newspapers as a systematic misleading of the British people. Can we wonder if millions of Russians of all sects and races begin to see hope for Russia only in the defeat of Russia ? I tried to arouse England to the danger to the common cause; I explained orally to the Foreign Office why the Steam-Roller was in retreat; and I tried to explain to Englishmen at large why it was essential to victory that they should rally by an expression of sympathy the fainting energies of Russian Liberalism. But the great Liberal organs and magazines had established a self-denying ordinance, and when at last
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> the Daily Chronicle put my patriotio article in type, every word of it was blue-pencilled by a pro-German cencor.?

## VII.

Mr. Brailoford, in a brilliant chapter, has pointed out that, through the necessities of modern political grouping, nations no longer retain their full covereign rights, and that therefore they may the lese reluctantly paces over into the future World-State. That thought is at once an explanation, a consolation, and a warning. England is literally not herech. She is Ruscia, France, Italy, Serbia, even Japan. I have urged upon the neutral Jew to trust in the influence of France and England upon Rusela. So far, however, it appeate that Liberty, like water, meele its lowest level. And the moral is-what Professor Dicey felt by instinct-that the world must be treated as a whole. Since any nation, however ignoble, or even any tribe, however savage, may now become the ally and alloy of any nation, however noble, it is clear that advanced peoples can no longer maintain their freedom and ideale, nor the gains of civilization be regarded as secure, till the whole world is lifted to the same level.
Thus, it is not as a Jew that I stand here asking for justice. Both our ideal interests an Finglishmen and our practical interests as belligerents demand the immediate emancipation of the Russian Jew, as of every other oppressed nationality in the Russian Empire. It cannot be pootponed till the Settlement, for it is a war-need even more than a peace-nced. It will help to win the war. Why is national unity leas vital to Rucsia than to England and France? Why have the Allies who finance her $s 0$ lavishly not demanded a Coalition Government ?
But while Sir Edward Grey has been shivering before Russia, the Rusco-Jewish problem, like the Ulrainian problem and the Polish problem, has partially solved itsell, pro tem. at lenst. A third of the prisoners of the Pale have been annexed by Germany,
1
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and tliey have already equal rights and education. ${ }^{1}$ The lovers of Liberty Who rhapeodired over Rumelo's promice of an independent Poland are now trembling leat the Kaiser conry it out.
In that cure, however, the Gelician Jews might be worse of than belore. For in the very midat of their panase of Liberty and their denunciations of the Rumeian tyrant, the Poles were contemplating the refusal of equal sights to the two million Jewe against whom they had been carrying on a bitter boycott. These beganars were not yet on hosseback before thoy mint the hooves of their steeds trampling on pooser devils. As you have had a lecture on Poland, you will be aware that the old Poland of 1772 embraced, beaddes Poles proper, the Lithuanians and the Letts, peoples not of Slav but of Baltic origin, who now clamour for separate nationolity, and the White-Rumians and Ulowinians or Ruthenians, whow differentia is seligious. But the dream of Poland is to rule over them all.:

## Big folk have littlo folk upon whowe rights to trample, Litilo folk have lomer folle and follow the exmmple.

Even Sinkiewics who appealed to "the conscience of the world" on behalf of the Poles in Prussia has omitted to protest against the boycott of the Jews by his own countrymen.

## VIII.

A critic in a French magaxine, seviewing some Ghetto storics, remarked that reading them was like seeing the bay on whose ahore he lived from the opposite curve, $s 0$ that all his familiar
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landmarks were reversed or sovenled under a new aspect. Thus,
his own people, so ceremely conscious of their centrallim, were turned into "the henthen," while their religion, the last word of aweetncese and light, now appoared as a gyonym for hatred and darkness. To-day a Ghetto story-eppocililly if haid in the RumeoPolish Pale-would seveal the war for rightcousmets as an incomprebinadble nightmare in which the Jew fervent to pour out his blood and his treanure for Rusia fande himeelk hounded and tortured between the eeparate hates of the Rumaian and the Pole, and only aaved by the conquering Eniser bringing, bike Napoleon, equal rights for all racea. Even in England the Jew who won the Victoria Crow and was refused a meal in a rectaurant in one of our greatest Liberal centres-in Leeds ${ }^{2}$ to be precies-must have been somewhat bemused, the more 20 as he himedif makes speeches on the Asquith model.
The angle at which the Jew sees the war can thus ravely be what the Censorehip Burean would conalder a right angle it is either too obtuse or too scute. A Christian gunner- if thet is not an Irich bull-wiote to the Yorkoidre Evoning Pose: "I ama a Britisher, home on seven days' leave, after being out in France for fitteen months. . . . What has, surprised me as much as anything in this war of surprises is the great number of Jewish boyn who are doing their bit at the Front and doing it right. Most of them have enlisted under wrong names, hiding their proper names under English ones. Some of my beat pals at the Front are Jews, whom anyone would welcome as pals and who are true as steel."
That the Christian gunner is not oxaggerating let the story of Private Sam Thomson illustrate-the young aignaller of the Camerons who in a house at Loos killed single-handed three Germans and captured thirty, and whove real name was Sam Woolf. Sam was anxious to give his all for England, yet he felt it necemary to amuggle himselt into her army. And it is a and thet that, deapite the resounding ery for rearuits, Jews have been frequently refused or, if accepted, "chipped," as it is called, by their comrades. It is the same in the French trenches, where the Jewish Volunteers in the Foreign Legion are accused of enlisting for the food. Even a Jewish officer in an English regiment who gave up the Bar to collist found life almont unbearable.

[^82]
## THE WAR DOA THE WORLD

And is this is seo in tree domer. mives, what mues be the altuntion In Ruala, where eves the lav! !. on the alde of the mob, and what must have boen the patriotien of thowe persecutod Jewish volunthers of the Freach Toreign Legion, who, boing shot as mutinewr: for domanding to be sumoved to another regiment, lecod the faring aquad with unbandaged ayce, crying "Vive in Prance! Vive in Rumeie !" Surely Jows are the only Chrietiane nowndayn.

## IX.

So much for the Jewich factor in the war. It has ropented, you see, the sufferingen and heroiman of Belgium, but without its glories and without its hopes. The notion that after the war the world will be righteously re-arranged, and Sir Edward Grey will wipe awny the tears from off all fecee, has alreedy been disimpated by his bribes-rejected or cocepted-to Bulgaria, Greece, and Italy. The war hao degenerated, under prewure of neceasity, into an old-hachioned afficir of apolie and rewarde. It in true that President Wileon has announced that at the Pence Settlement he will press for equal rights for the Ruscian and Roumanian Jewn, but I do not know that he will be auked to the Conference. It in true that the Berlin Congrew of 1878 sccorded equal rights to the Jewe of Roumania, but this Jewinh clavee has been left by all the guaranteeing Powers, England ineluded, "a scrap of paper."
The Fabians are cocustomed to make large coastructive demands upon Christendom, and you harbour more than one speculative cociologist, who baits and badgers our poor humanity with demands for a radical reconatruction of ite waye of living, thinking, and even feeling: a revaluation of all values. My own demand upon Caristendom has been preciely the oppositeI have acked it only to carry out its most conventional doctrines. I have not even acked for Christianity, only for the pre-Christian virtues: justice, kindnets, hair-play. Perhape my demand was the more revolutionary of the two: at any rete, it has been no more succentul. There are indeed signs that after the war the long agony of the Rusgian Jew will find alleviation-but it will not be through the action of Ceristians or of statesmen. What is bringing about the emancipation of Ruasian Jewry is not the pale God of Galilee, but Mammon and Mars. The very expulsions of the war, the congestions of refugees, have broken down the Pale and created a new order, which can never quite return to the old,
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 though the "Black Eundrede" and the "True Rumotang" (or "True Pruacians," es thoy aro now called in Rusela) are moving bell and carth to bring book yooterday. And at the moment thoy have almont sucocedied. The mort renctionary partices are in powes; Liberal groups and even Moderates havo been baftied: the Dama has been indefaitely clowed; the manceuvere (already exposed in that avembly) of egging on the Christian coldiers againat their Jewish comrades exploited afreal. with a diabolical cunning that perceives that in the Jowish valour and manifold milliary distinotions, plus the 70,000 Jewish acsualties already known, lies the collapee of the whole "True Rumian "case. Secret orders have been froued to the commanders to report on the behaviour of the Jembh coldiess-l.e., of course, on their mio-behaviour-with a view to excluding Jow from the army altogether after the war. With a strange hash of war and politici, army orders arraign the slacknew of the Jewish soldiers who yet dare to demand equal rights; their Jewish-German apeech is proclaimed the obvious channel of communication with the enemy, and this though every attempt to entablish charges of treachery and espionage has broken down. Hence an intolerable situation for the Jewish voldiers on all the fronter, friction with, cometimes accaasination by, their comrades-to the weakening of the Rumian Army and the Allied cause. And in Jewinh towns renewed plunderinge and burnings of houses, blood-ritual charges, pogroms, expulsions, violations of women. ${ }^{2}$
## X.

And yet I do not despair. For all the intelligent classes in Russia have now discovered that in the Jewn Ruasia ponesses a commercial asset more valuable than all her oil-wells, and if the Germans are not to come back, the Jews must be given a tree hand-and a free foot-in developing Rusais be given a free wealth. I may regret, as much oping Russia for the common-
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of the old Rumia with its idyllic ignorance, ample piety and village socialism, but Rumis is too sich a territory to remain unexploited, and the Germans were fact chaiging it into a modern induetrial State. And so all the commercial clasees of Holy Rumaia are now clamouring for the Pale of settlement to be abolished. The Zemtevos, or agricultural communities of the Empire, and the Congrese of the towns, the All-Russian Military Commercial Conference, the All-Rusuian Conference of Lavryers, the Conference of the Stock Exchange Committees, even the Conference of the Siberian Municipalitien, unanimously eaho the ery. The pery Comeacks demand an import of Jewe into their undeveloped districts-indeed, Jewe are by no means unpopular among the Ruasian peasantry: on the contrary, Ruasia is the only country where Judaisen gains converts-the Saturdayites and the new Irraelites, who are stricter than the Jews proper.
I must not deny that beaides the commercial demand there is also an idealistio demand-indeed, this was plain from the speeches I have quoted. A very noble and statesman-like proJewish manilesto was published by the intaligentola: in Ruasia no less than in England the intellectual claceses underatand politics better than the Cabinet clasees. The novelists Gorky, Andreyev, and Mereshkovaky are the chief contributors to a book just issued called "The Shield," which declares that the treatment of the Jews in the dishonour of Russia. I quote some detached sentences from Gorky's "Introduction":-
"It is a heary task-one feels painfully awkward suggesting to grown-up and educated people: Be human. Hatred towarde the Jewe is purely zoological or pathological. The Jewe are human beinge and therefore they must be free-as all others are. So much has been said of the glorious, broad, beautiful Russian soul. One begins to ask despairingly where really is its breadth, its strength, its beauty? The situation of the Jewe in Ruscis is an ignominy to Rumainn culture : it is a result of our negligence towards oureelves. It is our conscience that is blotted by the poison of calumny, the tears and blood of numberiens pogroms. The Jews are more European than we, for to begin with, the feeling of respect to labour and to human life is more developed in them. I admire the spiritual struggle of the Jewish people ; their sturdy idealism-their unshakeable belief
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in the victory of good over evil and in the poomibilities of happiness on earth. The Jews are the old and powerful yeant of mankind. They have ahrays elevated its spirit, bringing new and otirring noble thoughts and calling forth new strivinge after the better thinge. We Russians might and can learn much from the Jewe."
Andreyev goce further, and, recalling "the heroism of the Jewe and their tragic and deep love for the land of their birth," confemes that he sulfers by their persecution and that Rumia's Allies are cecretly ashamed of her barbarism, and acks, "Are nee not the Jews of Europe, looked on ackance by the Western nations ? "

A Bloc, composed of groups of the Duma and Imperial Coumcil of all parties except the Extreme Right and the Extreme Left, has long demanded the complete abolition of all Jewish disqualifications-indeed, there is a majority for this demand in both Houses. "What great work can be accomplished," asked an orator in the Dumi; "what great problem colved by a nation in which millions of citizens are treated as slaves and pariahs ?" Even the bureaucracy with a touch of right feeling has abolished the educational restrictions in farr... of the relatives of soldiers at the Front. On every side the ictten fencing of the Pale is giving way of itself-at one brave knightly blast from Sir Edward Grey's horn it would collapse like the walle of Jericho. ${ }^{2}$ Even the Poles are beginning to bethink themselves. In Warsav, under the mitigated affliction of the Kaiser's rule, they are co-operating with the Jews in keeping public order.
Their common misfortunes, said Prince Lubomiraky, the Mayor of Warsat, would beget harmony. Profescor Yavoreky, the President of the Chief Polish Council, has published at Vienns a statement admitting that Jews should receive full rights in an independent Poland. Whether in Poland or in Russia, the Jewe will be loyal and valuable citizens. They do not cherish rancour." "An eye for an eye and a tooth for a tooth "-which was never a motto for vengeance, but only a legal maxim for the Hebrew courts in adjudging compensation for bodily damage-was practically abolished even in the courts centuries before the Christian era. It is with grim amusement
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that I have watched this much-abused masim of the barbarous Jew glorifed into a popular alogan of contemporary Christendom. No, there will be no danger to Russis from Jewish emancipation. The only danger will be to the Jewish race deprived of the ringfence of persecution within which an unintelligent anti-Semitism hes conserved it. Such a colution of the Jewish problem, unless accompanied by the concession of a core of nationality under a federal concept, might well be the dissolution of the Jew. ${ }^{2}$
That is why even the most satisfactory measure of emancipation would leave the Jew unsatisfied if the Peace Settlement produced a World-Parliament, as some hopelessly hopeful speculators still anticipate. The Jew would not even be satisfied with the more practicable and limited Supernational Authority proposed by the Fabian Society. Like The Times' atlas, the able memorandum inspired by Mr. L. S. Woolh, is utterly unaware of Jews-a final proof of their lack of self-consciousness. But the angle from which the conscious Jew sees the world is like the angle from which the homeless tramp peers in at the dinner-party. I have dramatically put into the mouth of a German war lord the argument that England's loathing for Prussian bellicosity is only the paychology of the successful gambler who wishes to breal off the game at the moment he holds the bulk of the stakes. And in truth to eternalize the momentary grouping of peoples and ponsessions in a world that has hitherto always proceeded by flus and combat, in which empires have risen and set, in which every hill has been abased and every valley exalted as unfailingly as by geologic procese, would be to make not a righteous but an unrighteous Peace. There must, if the flux is to be suddenly frozen, be a universal readjustment on the hasis of Reason and Love. Otherwise can anyone tell me why Russia should be lest in perpetual possession of hali Europe and a third of Asia or one-sixth of the land of the globe, while Jewry owns not a single equare inch of national territory? The Fabian project recognizes between forty and fifty sovereign States. I know thove sovercign States. One of the greatest-Americaprolesses to have the right to exclude and re-ship the povertystricken European emigrant after he has sold off his all in the quent for a better labour-market. And this with a territory almont as large as Europe, peopled by little more than twice the population of Great Britain. A Sovereign Right, Mr. Cecil
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Chesterton proclaims it. As a director of emigration whose heart has boen torn by these tragedies, 1 apit on these Sovereign Rights.
Mr. Chesterton, who hat done yeoman's service in America as a champion of the Allied cause ${ }^{2}$ does not seem to see that for a petty population to collar a continent is pure Pruasianism. The Germans demand the freedom of the meas. The Jewe demand the freedom of the lands.
And these Great Powers that are to be confirmed for all time in their Great Posscasions, they are to have a free hand over their subject. The Supernational Authority, says the Fabian scheme, is not to require any alteration in their internal lawa. How familiar it sounds 1 "I cannot interfere in the internal affairs of Russia." The hands are the hands of the Fabians, but the voice is the voice of Sir Edward Grey. You make a moral desert and call it Peace.
No, for the Jew your World-Peace would be a Premature, an All-Too-Conclusive Peace. The world is not yet ripe enough. Leaden instincts cannot, as Herbert Spencer pointed out, be transmuted into the gold of an ethical civilization. If human nature were ripe for Pence, any scheme, however bad, would ensure it. As things are, the best scheme will not avail. I do not even believe in these dramatic eliminations of evil. As a dramatist mywelf, I am not taken in by "happy endinge"-I know that the atory must go can, though the curtain has fallen, that the tableau breaks up and the devil that has departed by the stage door may fly in again from the pit. Still, were the landlemeness of the Jews the only obstacle to Universal Peace, I should be the first to waive their claim. Jerusalem, which means the heritage of double peace, would be better built so than by actual restoration.
Though hali my manhood has been devoted to the quest for a Jewish State, I have never regarded a World Settlement based on racial dififerences as a final goal, nor do I ahare the current enthusiasm for the amaller nationalities. The mere fact that a group of people hates its neighbours aftords no basis for reverence. Moses told the Jews "Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself," and Seneca reminded the imperial Romans that all men are sacred: homo sacra res homind. Moreover, the world always has

w.n.
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been and always will be a melting pot ${ }^{2}$ It is curious that, even before the present German megalomania began, Fichte claimed the French as a people of Teutonic stock, no less than the Spaniards and the Italians, and it is true that a Gothic strain exista in them all.
The alien internment campe througbout Europe are like scientific illustrations of the fusing-procese caught in the act. As for Jewish blood, I am probably the only person in Ioadon who has never been suspected of it. The eighteenth century may have pushed cosmopolitanism too far. The nineteenth reacted with equal exaggeration to nationalism, and the twentieth is an era of nationalism run med. With Schechter, the great Jewish scholar, whose loss we are just lamenting, I feel that for salvation our -ravaged world will have to turn back to international ideals, and these, the old Jewish ideals-"To do justice, love mercy, and walk humbly with thy God." The claims of the Jevrish rece do not rest on its separate blood but on its quality and its history.

## XI.

I have referred to the funniness of Thackeray's burlesque of Disraeli. And yet I should be quite content to take my summary of the Jewish position from "Codlingiby." "Over the entire world," mused the Marquik, "spreads a vast brotherhood, suffering, silent, scattered, aympathixing, wading-an immense Freemasonry. Once this world-spread band was an Arabian clan -a little nation alone and outlying amongat the mighty monarchies of ancient time, the Megatherie of history. The asils of their rare ships might be seen in the Egyptian waters; the camels of their caravans might thread the sands of Baalbec, or wind through the date-groves of Damascus: their flag was raived, not ingloriously, in many wars, against mighty odds; but 'twas a amall people, and on one dark night the Lion of Judah went down before Vespacian's Eagles, and in flame and death and atruggle, Jerusalem agonized and died."
Truth, you see, will out, even in a jester's mouth, for the art of buriesque, which depends on the assumption that there in nothing
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great or romantic, stumbles into sheer reality when the facts are so strange and tragic that the highfalutio' of parody is too lowly rather than too lofty for them. Thackeray was no lese veraciously inepired here than George Eliot in "Daniel Deronda." The picture only fails in completenems because Thackeray-correctly following his model-laid too much stress on the material romance of the ships and comels and warriors of ancient Judem, and neglected the inftritely more important kingdom of the spirit whose toundetions Juden hid.
"In the eighth century before Christ," eaye Professor Huxley, "in the heart of a world of idolatrous polytheiste, the Hebrew prophets put forth a conception of religion which appears to me as wonderful an inspiration of genius as the art of Pheidias or the science of Ariatotle." Eight centuries hiter the conception to Which Huxley paid tribute was crystallized and carried to a wider audience by a young Jow from Galilee, and six centuries after that was accommodated to the Arab mind by another Semite near Mecen. Without a knowledge of the Biblo-which, in the words of Lord Bryce, is "the one piece of literature, ancient or modern, that is common to all the peoples of European origin in both hemispheres"-European art and literature would be unintelligible. After collision with every great ancient empire and perrecution by every modern, the race that wrote the Old Testament and the New survived to write the gospels of modern Socialism, and remains today one of the factors of human evolution, one of the roads to the super-race. Its existence even in dispersion enriches the world, giving in our own day, for example, a Meldola to British science, a Bergeon to French philosophy, a Schnitzler to Austrian drama, a Berension to American art criticism, an Ehrlich to German medicine, a Lumatti to Italian statesmanship, a Jovef Isracls to Dutch painting, a Brandes to Scandtnavian criticism, a Ronetti Roman to Roumanian poetry, a Rubinstein to Russian music, a Vambery to Eungarian adventure, an Enver Pasha to Turkish arma, a Zamenhof to Fsperanto internationalism, a Sara Bernhardt to the world's stage, a Leo Bakst to the neweat Nobel Prize List. ${ }^{2}$ Concentrated on a soil of its own, under conditions that might stimulate afreah its spiritual genius, this stock might well produce a super-State, a Kultur, not of militarism but of humanism.
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## $X 11$.

## But where in this State to be ?

That question way fint mooted in thlo very hall by the International Council of the organfeation over which I have the honour to prealde, for the Zioniet movement had plumped for Polectine without any prictical invertigation. The limitations of thene prevent me from diecuasing the anowers in any detail. Joneph Chamberiain it was who firut tempted tho late Dr. Herzal, chie grieat Zionist leader, with portions of the British Irmpire, first 'with Ed-Arisch in the Sinai Peninsule, and-when the Khedival Government made a difficulty about deflecting the water-with a cmall plateau in Britiah Fast Africa. I converted Mr. Chamberlain to the conception of not a plateau, but the whole of British Fast Africu turned into a British Judsen, and had the conception been carried out, England to-day would have had a Maccabrean force to defend that zone of war against the Germans. My organization has not dealt with Governments on any bacis but the Bismarclian do ut des. But a Chamberinin is rare. It apparently requires a mont abnormal staterman to see that an Emplre whichis trying to hold a fifth of the globe with an external force of some ten million whites would be strengthened by a powerful and wellpopulated Jewish colony.

Lord Strathcona saw this as regards Cannda, but not Sir Wriltrid Laurier. Mr. Dealin eaw it for Australia, but not his local Premiers. Your Colonial Briton is ever a dog-in-the-manger. We have dealt also with Turkey-not for Palestine, but for Cyrenaica, since bloodily and mperfectly annexed by Italy, deapite the Report of our Scientific Commirgion that it was not good enough even for the homelese Jewn. And a simillar expedition went to Angola by arrangement with the Portuguese Colonial Office, and its Report' which we have published, was to have been discussed at Zurich in the very month the war broke out, together with an earlier proponal for reconverting Mesopotamia into a world-granary by irrigating it at the cost of a few hours of Armageddon, and eettling it with Jewish homesteads. The project has been recently revived by Mr. Hermann Landau, a philanthropist who has the advantage over me with the public of having himseli made money. He contends that there is a proftt of ninety millions in the scheme, 00 that $I$, who only estimated it at twentytwo millions, have begun to loom as the coberer businese man of the two. Mesopotamia, you will remember, is not only a blessed
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word, but the aradie of the Jewinh rece, with a Elebrew tradition older than Palestino's and embrecing also a inter period of bloom. For a thouend years Babylonis was the Yery focus of Judairm, Jowe have lived there under the aucceadive domination of the Grecke, the Parthiane, the Perrians, the Arabe, the Mongols, and the Turke, and ave still there to-day in parts now under the British Alag (which, according to Sir John Jackicon, the Arabe would like to see waving over all Mesopotamia). Did I not eay that oven Thackeray's buriosque could not reach the romance of reality?
And Amally there is Palestine, which, as the Manchenter Guardian explains, is now necemary to the British Empire, insasmuch as "there can be no satisfactory defence of Erypt or the Suez Canal so long as Palestine is in the occupation of a hostile or possibly hostile Power." If Britain took Palestine, she could make no greater stroke of policy than to call in the Jews to regenerate it for her. Failing this conquest, even if Turkey under German shrewdness made a similar offer to the Jewn, I for one would hold no truck with the acsaasing of the Armenians, should it turn out that the Turke proper, and not the Kurde, are responsible. The acceptance of Palestine from such a Power would be an anti-climax to Jewish history. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{3}$ Mr. Morgrathan oneperted thet Turtery mill Polvotion to the Jows, and found

 anvo told tham to co and coen exr Palcolino for thomedves: Of cource, Ruects and




 con athad il hrolquriont done cicrionos nation fuivo quil dopuis doux millo
 Parfo, Inbruary 2nd, 1016).


> To Min Eunownis (Por farous of tho Deily Oivronicie)
"Doar Mr. Enagrill, And not, what is to provert the Jowe having Parattee and rutotige o smi Jedin ?-Ioars very divocily.
"E. G. WInre"
To is. Whats (Pue tavear of the Daily Oivomicis).
"Dori Mr, Folle- Four 'War fan tho Air,' published in 1908, han beoome. molity 00 nocen thet I dase not suphy too ecoptionlly to yoar nuggotion that the tho ha sipe to roerveto the old Juideo in Prinino. The fiden it oortainly in the sif. And, caommons as aso the obsteolom and dimoultion-diticaltite whith have
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But evea under Brituch sumerniaty the revtoration of the Jow would not be enery. Despite the harois creations of Jewish colonion -now, alas I hali destroyed-the Jows hold too few vected intercentie in the coil to have a clain to it on any beale of Recipolitils. They numbered, even before the great waremigration, only 100,000 out of 700,000 mainly Arabes, and ponemed only $I$ per cent, of the soil. Unlese, thesifore, the Arabe would trek into Arabia, or could be precefully expropriated, any Government ret up on a constitrotional democratic bails morild result, not in a Jowish autonomy, but in an Arab sutonomy.
It all requires a radioally imaginative policy $\rightarrow$ dealing in futures as well as pasts by men rendy to rescue human history trom its monotonove factors of blood and gold. Napoleon, unders the spell of the forty centuries that regaried him trom the Pyramide, announced his decign to restose the Jews to thetr hand. Will England, with Egypt equally at her feet, carry out the plan abe foiled Napoleon in ? Fad ahe the power and the genius to do 80 , a new chapter would be opened in the history of mankind, the eads of the ages would meet, and the "tribe of the wandering foot and weary brewst," which for nineteen humdred years has prayed for Palestine cothe twenty times a day, would find itself on its holy soil under the egie of the greatert Impaice in the world, victorious after the greatent struggle in her history. And ineamuch as by her union with Rumia Eagland would have marched to this victory over the bodies of the Rumian Jewn, her restoration of Palestine to their rice would be at ance a penceoffering to her own conscience and a consoling anaurance to the martyre of the Pale that they had not agonized in vain.








"Vary animis
"Iouse carowne".

## MR. CHAMBERLAIN AND ZIONISM

tWO Lemyers to "the thics."

## 1.


Sna,-As your correapondent mentions me in connection with Mr. Chamberinin's offer of a territory to the Zioniste, I trust I may be permitted to correct his history. It is indeed curious to represeat the rejection of the Eant Atrions platesu as having occurred with "no diserntient voice," when he himselif scarcely conceals that a large minority of the Zionists seceded and formed the Jewich Territorial Organization rather than countenance this act of folly. The project for which I won the eympathy of Mr. Chamberlain was, howeves, a far wider scheme than that originally saggested-nothing leas, in fect, than the convermion af British Fast Atrico into a Britioh Jewish colony. British Fast Atricu was then a nondescript pomevilon, ance designed to afford an emigration outlet for Hindus, later hailed an a paradice for Britome, and suftering, like the Britinh Fmpire in general, from - confused and vacillating policy. I suggested to the late Mr. Iytielton-and my elaborate scheme doubtiens atill lies in the archives of the Colonial Ofilce-how British Eant Africa might be developed so as to' strengthen this Empire of all areeds and colours by a loyal and gratefuil Jewiah land, populated mainly by Jewe from Rincie-gricaltural pioneers in the frat instance trom the Jewish farm colonice in the Weat and South of Russia.
It is oharecteristio of Mr. Chamberinin's statermanship that when I unfolded this echeme to him at his house in Prince'sgardens he exclaimed, "There'll be the devil to pay, but I'II stick to you through thick and thin." He promised to take the platiorm on behalf of the scheme whenever I should give the word. Mr. Chamberlain, then at the height of his vitality, was keenly conscious of the haphazard fachion in which the British Empire

## TEE WAR FOR THE WORID

had erown up, and as becouls ansious to introduce order and rescon into its futuree. Ms. Winston Churchill chowed a cimilar imaginetive sympathy with the Britich-Jewlah sobeme, which, whin Enat Afrion bocame dificult, evolved into the general conception of crosting a Jewiah laod of refluge in come part of the Empise in need of white population.
Two such parte leap to the eyo-Austerlita, whose doleful and dangerous emptinces 2Tis TVmos correspondence is now ithistrating atresh (Australia almost as large as Europe and with a tar cmaller poppulation than London), and Canada, another and still greater continent, in which (ccoording to a member of the Dominion Cabinet whose specech on Dominion Day was reported in your invue of the and inst.) of 41 million acres of posaible farm lands only thirty-tik million sares are under cultivation. Yet Sir Wiltrid laurier told me he could not pomibly offer ne a tract, and the late Iord Strathcona said my appliontion was tea years too late-Canada was now getting all the immigration it needed. (He seems to have been too optimistic, for the latest statistice show a cocasiderable falling offi) As for Australia, I found Sir Altred Deaitin as infouribio-it as persoanlly charming-as Sir Wiltrid Laurier, nor did a leategthy negotiation with Sir Newton Moore regarding Weot Australis (which dota the population of Portamouth over a million equare miles) yield any better recoults.

Sirs, your columns bear daily witness to the troubles and problems which are avenging the illogicality of the Empire. To hold nearly one-fourth of the globe with only (outidide thene islands) some ten million white men is certainly a miracie of his. tory. But it roems to me a very unstable miracla, and an ofter to provide white population ebould not, I submit, have seocived co many rebutios. To populate the great empty speces of the British Empire with the surplus population-ander a falling birth-rate-of two little islande is impoomible, and in co tar as it is attempted it calls forth proterts against "Desested Villages." Never was there a more cormical example of the ilenire to eat one's cake and to have it too. Even from a moral point of view I question the right of any country to hold territories it cannot populate while other races are agonizing for lack of "a place in the sun."

> Yours obediently, Inener Zurowning Preaident. Jewiar Therationil Organteations, July 101k, 1014.

## 10. CEAMBIHRLAD AND 2TONTSM

## II.

(This econd letter was in type but mas withdrawn by me whea the wir broke out, to a walt i more tavsurable opportuaity of

## To zeis Thiroz or "Thas Thome"

Sit-Mis. Chamberialn's facumion into Jewlah polities split the Zilonist movement preciely as hise dynamic personality split parties more in the worldis aye. But is a minority aplits oft, leaving the majority to its own devioce, the historian, ecocerding to your Jewith Correspondent, is justibed in informing an ignorunt pablic that the voting was unanimous. This is surely to write history with words zather than with lects. To anyone who remembers that the Aight over the East African plateau offered by Mr. Chamberlain to the Zionists was one of the bitterest in Jewich history, the statement that the sejection was carriod "with no discentient voice" must appenr a monumental combination of the exppreado ourt with the suggeato fald.
Equally setoniahing is your contributor's aseertion that not a smali platenu in the far interior but the whole of British East Africa wae at stake. This is, indeed, a nowomes fall- 10 now that it never emerged during the three years of the struiggle, and no hint of it appears elther in Lord Landowne's formal ofter or in the formal refueal by the Zionist Congreme. But oven if it were as true sa it is new, a gradual spread of Jewrish colonization from a manall nucleus under merely muniaipal rights is far rermoved from the proposition which I laid before Mr. Iyttelton, and which he read, he told me, "in a glow": to wit, that British East Afrion, which was rum at a lowe and had no specifo character, " be given in trust to the Jewinh people to be worked up into a model British colony". To England it was a white elephanteven to-day Mr. Lloyd George has to make hay of $£ 250,000$ to feed it withal-and I proposed that, with due mefeguarding of existing interests, the Jewish people should assume all inancial responaibility and take it over as a land of refuge for their oppremed masces under the name of Britich Judes or British Palestine, with a British Jewish Governor as a aymbol to both peoples of its dual deatiny. Mr. Lyttelton agreed that under such a scheme SirjMatthew Nathan (now, but not then, a member of the council of our organization) would provide an ideal figure for the pont. That this conception had never been in Mr. Chamberlain's mind

## THE WAR FOR THE WORLD

wee quite clear from M/s ctartiod exopptance of it. Eifo enthucieom wes andorend in the Governmeat that followed by Mr. Winstoe Cirucinm in a letter of noble eloguence. It wes ouly from Fat Aprice itrait that oppoiltion ovier came. And so it has boun with all our attumptes to and a tevitiory within the Butteh Fropito. The mein at ithe cratre seos the perypective; the man in the coloay hay dyes caly fot himentis.
And thir reminde men to my that my former letter did injurtice to Lond stratheona 11 it conveysed tho suggention that the epical tmaghantion, which had thrown the Canadian Fucito Railivay carom a pathlews continent, falled to we the value of a Jewiah coloay to the lased he foved and had hall-crentod. I well remembiber the marrellowe actogcuarian in his bleck strill cap jumping on a chats to point out to me on a wall-map the territory he thought the Doninion Governmeat would allot to us. It whe he who, during the Imperial Confecrence, armaged my interviow with Sif Whatd Iaviles and it wos "the man from home" who incisted thint Canada could doal only with the findividual retties. In vain I. olated out to siir Wiatid I Murier thate the rigorous Bunday lav made Cenade almost tanpomible for the individual mettior who ralued his Judaisa, and that an empts land liteo Conada had a duty towneris a people that had juit undergones one of the greateit mavemeres in all history. Canada, he replicd, could not alter itta polieg under any circumptances.
Whethse, however, at a period when, scconding to Mre. Sihney Wobb, the artiacial rowtriction of the birthrate meneces the whole future of white civilisation, when evea France muat import colouted labour, and when Canadis herself in receiving the lewon of a redreod fmmigretion, the Cuasdians will really prove such Modes and Peritans or wuch doge-fin-the-manger, I talke leave to doubt, Mr. Borden, I noted, recomtly wrote to you ammesting that Caingda must have a voice in the altairs of the Mapirie. But st the Euphté ta have no corresponding rolice in the aftuirs of Cunade $f$ Are the Colonites always to put their own intereste, or tragined intergetes first, hreapective of how they embroil or dimerve the Empire P Prom conversations within the liat few days with leading Britich statermen I find there is much iy mpathy with the magnanimove view of Mr. Jewe Collinge that a Jewish coloay would be at once an amet to the Emplie and a Vindication $\alpha$ its ancient Quirotio tredition. But I. feel rure that in whatever part of the Emples it wer proposed to plant the colony, a ery of agoay and protest would go up.

## MR. GEAMBERTAIN AND ETONISM

I am wall awne that a Jewiah coicay in duraralio or Canedia could nower such the meveare $\alpha$ artonomy porible in a mase pocmaion lise Eact Aition. Bat under the graval lawe of the Commonwralith or the Domiaion a new 8 fente could enally be carved out troes the vaet arm of unoccuplied terittory. Canadia and Avatrulis are coatineats that have the misfortunco or the modesty to mintivie thmmedrue for countrion. Thay apply to thriee millioa equare milo comecptione that mould bo anarow foe thries humdrod thoumand. But three millitan equare selis of bomogrecous humanity have nover yot amilictod our planato sir Wiltard I murior himeall belonge to the Freach eretion of Caradi, and I pray that ovea Australia may croape the deadly mocotony which if ber fond and foolish dream.

Youre obrdisaty,<br>Yanich Zuwawri.

Jewher Thantionial Ozonntantion.

ENVOI :
OLVIAR SNTGHVG.
Orivase's ciaging
Comes dowa to my etudy,
As I ait in the twilight
Poring the problem
Of this battered old planet,
This universe tragical,
Bloodily twriling.
Nearly all his amall span
And through both of his birthdays:
This censelear hell-fury,
This horror has hurtiod,
Yet he lies in his cot,
Happy, sloeps and siaging.
Thus-I muse-at the core
Of our battered old planet,
Something young and untainted,
Something gay and undeunted,
Like a bud in its whitences,
Like a hird in its joy.
Through the foul-melling darkness,
Through the muck and the claughter, Pushes stendily forward, Singing.
 Elormioces Jlcersor in the borimem.

## ITALIAN FANTASIES 4

## ISRAEL ZANGWILL

## In One Volume, with Colourod Irontificices. Demy 800, price 81. ©d. not

## The Wertmineter Gaunte saye:

"It touches life at every point ; it is concerned with all the great and ewential and profound thinge ; it brings to bear on them an intelligence inflexdlues incisive, immensely sich, and entirely unheritant. Yet it is in no sense a cold, merely intellectual book, for every page of it is lit upiwith a peculiarly controlled imagination, lightened with a lambent humour, and warmed with a pervonality ardent and tender and charitable. . . . Bencath the eyes of Balanm lie apread out the motley honts of the worid; he neither bleseses nor bans: he broode and balances and picles his way. Neverthelean, in these pages we hear the sing of the woodman's are in the forest of humanity. Many a 'green-sobed senator. trembles and nods, and yet, when the day's work is done, it becomes clear that all through it has been against decas and parasite and wealonese, against the thick overgrowth and tangle that shuts out the noonday and haunts the mind's twilight with terrore and shadows and chimeras dire, that all Mr. Zangwill's craft and atreagth have been so fearlevaly and vigorously directed. The are he wields is reason, tempered and edged; and the hope he burns with is the hope of mankind freed from the shackles of its own contriving, freed from bigothy, and tyranay and stupor and timidity, from the religions that lie strangled in the toils of their ereeds, from a science that weighe the univerve in the scales of an ology, from an art that panders or flatters or is a thing mercly of cults and dilettantishnem. . . . How to give some hint of the qualities and the allies of its reason; how to reflect the ardour and flickering of its hope. For everything that angers or persuades, rouses and trets the mind, has gone to the book's writing-indignis. tion sometimes grave and lofty, cometimes close and corrosive, irony and satire, wit and fanoy. How many men are the alaves of what they know ! ... Here an extreordinarily wide and sytematised knowledge of books and art and history, and, above all, of life, slaves like the Jinnee of the ring or the lamp; it springes up and vanishes instantly at its master's bidding."

WILLIAY HMLNHIANN, 81 BIEDFORD ET., LONDON, W.O.

## BLIND CHILDREN

(Poems)

## II <br> ISRAEL ZANGWILL In One Volumes, square soo, price ble net.

The Speatator caye:
"These is so much poetey in Mr. Enngwills prove, that we might readily put up with some samisture of prove in his poetry. Yet though he many not alwaye move in the fetters of metre and shyme with the asmured eave of a master, and though at times a harsh note or a jarring phrme impairs the pleagure of the reader, these is such force, pracion, and poignancy in the expreasion of his varying moods that one cannot choose but hear. At his best, and that is in his aimplicity and irony, he reminds one irsesistibly of the greatest modern alnger of his race-EReine."
The Daily Nows sayn:
"This is the work of a adribere spicit, a true poet, and a great Jew. Mr. Zengwill loves his rece, and in him that race bes found its greatest utterance in Eraglish opeech."
The Aoademy says:
"Our own feeling is that Mr. Zangwill, by perfectly natural meane, reproduces much of Beine's spirit."
The IFooning Standerd and Sh. Jemee', Gavatice eays:
"It in imporilibl to rad these pages without feeling strong thought and due mantal grasp in every line of them. There is nothing ehans or vapld. And in many crocs the execution is also delightoul, and the varses have the beath and magical completenew of a true poem."
The Booliman may: :
"Mr. Zangwill is not all poet, but an exceptionally fullminded writer of puose and verse, and taking the two together his contributions to the literature of this generation have been considerable. The insemaibility of the ordinary man is not his, nor the pathetic morbidity of poets whose senses have played them false, so his place is with none of these, but with the constituents of that aristocracy to which the greatest of writers belong."


#   

 THE WAR GOD A. Tragedy in Five Acts ISRAEL ZANGWILL Small Demy 8vo, \&, e4, net. (SHUOND EDITIOM)Ma. H. W. Mussingerax in the Nation: "I am very gled to see Mr. Zangwill's powerful play 'The War God, pubilished by Mr. Heinemann, for its production is by far the mont important event of the dramatic scason of 1011. . ... While we have benn thying to pick the lock of the door which shuts out the English the air."
Mr. Joan Mnstrinad: "It seems to me the only play of our time which makes modern life significent. I think it is splendid, altogether a fine and noble thing, with all the benuts and depth which one has wanted so much for so long. It is much the biggent thing done here for many years."
Mes. Alucz Miynimis: "A very great tregedy-full of genius. Its language moves in blank verve as the appropriate ritual of this momentous theme."
Mis. Jucras Doverus: "Mr. Zangwill is a man of genius. He has put on the stage a play which grapples with reality in its grimmest form. . . . The play is big with the fate of nations. . . . No play of our time cuts deeper into the flesh of reality."
MIn. W. T. STIAD: "I admire the courage which led Mr. Zangwill to ensay this task of high emprise. . . . It is a play which the large audience followed with intense interest and discursed with great earnestness between the acts."
Mr. Winini Archera: "An admirable, even s, noble, specimen of art. . . . A very fine piece of aymbolic drama."
Miss Bentarcs Huapides: "I go about thinking of nothing else. It stirs and holds one's brain, one's soul, one's imagination. We have indeed reacon to be grateful for such a noble worls."
Mrs. Dispard : "A wonderful play, which, in its streagth of purpose and its courage verging on audacity, ready to meet all issues so that truth may be revealed, forces upon us the conviction that the drama has, in its hand, a great power."
Mr. Yosirio Markno in the Westmineter Gasetto, "I have found out that every sort of human brain (that you can get at present moment) is condensed into three hours' play ! It is the real sketch of this world, and ,we are all living in it." . . . No better psychology have I ever seen."

## THE WAR GOD

English Reotio: "Mr. Zangwill's play 'The War God' . . . is without question a thing of note and real intellectual distinction. i... It holds the sudience from begtning to end. .. The language is lofty, distinguished, at thons riagine with the true poetic note of tragedy, but alwas polished, fuent, greceful."

Athonarm: "Cun be warmly commender. It hanales a great subject manfully; it breathem a lofty idealin and faces oppoaing fucts and axgumentes"

Times: "The whole play was applauded and evidently lept the crowded house interested throughout."

Daily Chronicle: ". . . does hopour to our stage, homoure to Mr. Zangwill, eapecial honour to Sir Herbert Thee. Fere is the first ecrious, strenuous political play that has been produced for years and yeare, a play grappling with big problems in a big way."
Globe: "We welcome the drama of war and peace among the
worls that have counted in 1911."
Dally Telegraph : "An extremely, vigarous piece of work, full alike of thought and dramatic power."

## Morming Leader: "A wonderful piece of drama."

Era: "A subject of infinity imagination and extent, a work of real genius."

Church Times: "A vast andience heand the blank verse with breathless attention, and followed with pointed applause the sentiments ,which one might think were least likely to be applauded."

Catholic Times: "The interest which has been aroused in Mr. Zangwill's remaritable play is fully justifted. . If the pens of the dramatic eritics had been tempered by the true catholic spirit, it would have been hailed as a memorable drama and one of a character never more necessary than in the prement age of unrest. Its production should have an edifying influence upon the theatrical

Methodita Times: "We are often told of the potentialities of the stage as a great moral teacher. If many plays were of the quality of "The War God,' we might begin to believe in those potentialities."

Pall Mall Gasette: "A worls conceived and executed on the grand scale, and yet supremely successful as drame, for it roused every audience that saw it to unwonted heights of enthusiasm. It is a very big thing and will provoke an immense amount of discussion."
Evoning Times: " 'The War God' is full of unforgettable lines. There are passages which haunt the memory and make us wonder whether Mr. Zangwill's metier is really prose or verse."

# PLASTER SAINTS 

## A High Comedy in Three Movements

EI

## ISRAEL ZANGWILL

Small:Demy 8vo, 28. ©d. net.
Fovtaighty Rurive: "In 'Pluter Saints' Mr. Zangwill has given un the mout laman, the mout dramatic, and the moost wholly remerepble play of the present season. Like all great work, it has excital heatel cutroversies: and in many cases the author's theme and puxpere have been misunderstood and misrepresented. . . . I moust pass over many of the subtle incidents and dramatic soenes that help to make the remaining two acts of 'Plaster Saints' atriumphefotage eraftumamehip. The 'action' of the entire play takes place between tea-time and dinner - and never were a few hours so charged with shocke and convulsions."
Sne Thomas Barciny mays: "The best piece of dramatic work I have seen for many years. In artistic coherion it compares well with the best of Brieur, and it is a picture of English middle-class character in its present transition state which, in my humble judgment, has not yet been excelled."

The Scoteman: "Mr. Zangwills bold treatment of a very dillicult subject renders his play a noteworthy contribution to our drama."
Westminder Gezette: "A moving and interesting drama."
Observer: " Mr. Zangwill's beat play."
The Nation: "Mr. Zangwill selects themes which are of the firt significance and importance; bone of the bone, flesh of the flesh of modern society."
Mr. Huni Canve says: "The triumph of the play is the character of the Woman."

Ouve Scrmminzer says: "'Plester Saints' is splendid, I followed it breathlessly."
w.w.

# THE MELTING POT 

## A. Play in Four Acte

## 15 <br> ISRAEL ZANGWILL

## Small Demy 8vo, 2n, Cu. net. TRIED DDITION.
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IMverrata3 Sporting and Dramatic Nocm- " A groat aubleat moat groatly treated. Not meroly onthralling es a piay but will take ito prace in litoraturn."

WILLAM HRENEH LANN, 21 BEDFORD ET., LOMDON, W.O.

# THE NEXT RELICION 

## A Play in throe Aete <br> \% <br> ISRAEL ZANGWILL

8 mall Demy ova, 2n. Ca, net.

## 0300ND EDITTOET.

Mcelhodiar Times: "There is a mighty sermon in it. The clove of the last sect is tremendous, and I feel that the Loed Chamberiain has done a wrong to religion by forbidding this play."

Gmozer Bernamd 8mat (The Nation): "Mis. Zangwill, regarding literature as before all things a spiritual force, witeo a powerful and interesting play."
Wrurin Ancriza (Morming Laader): "And now, to complete the evidence that the intellect of the country is setting towards the theatre, we have Mr. Zangwill's great play, 'The Next Religion.' It is a splendidly vivid epitome, one may almost say, of the apifitual struagies of the age. It is noble as thought, it is powerful as drama -and of course it is mown down by the Censorzhip."
W. L. Counteney (Dally Telgraph): "Mr. Zangwill has followed his very remarkable play. 'The War God,' by a drama very nearly as remartable. It is of extreme signiticance, as ahowing how the modern dramatist chims the whole aphere of human ambition and thith as the material for his fearless art."
Sne Fhear Jomasion (Daily Cliromich): "One of the mont remarkable drames which have ever been put on the stage. The play was followed with a rapt attention, fiattering alike to actors and author. I accorded the play a most careful and unwavering hearing, a feat rendered ponsible, not to say ensy, by its unflagging interest and ite vivid and natural dialogue. There is absolutely nothing said or done by the players in this remariable piece which merits the play being pronounced by the Censor as unsuited for representation. I sincerely hope that some adjustment may take place which, without in any way marring the full force of 'The Nest Religion,' may permit of its being acted everywhere, inside and outside the Censor's sphere of influence."
H. W. Massingerax (The Nation): "In the line of drama Mr. Zangwill is trying to do what Mar.tiew Arnold, or Dr. Martineai,

[^87]
## THE MEXT RELIGION opinions-mminas.

 or Tolstoy, or Profeseor Barnack have tried to do in the line of literature. The Cencorship grows daily a more palpably wicked institution."W. T. Smand (Reolow of Reoinad): "A daring attempt to represent in diamatic form the confused conflict that is going onin the modern world on the subject of religion. Mr. Zangwill has a gift of clear and almost scorching expreasion, and his lateat play makes one furiously to think.'
A Fencow or tie Royal Socmer (Glagen Herald): "I for one think better of the value of the stage after observing how the audience appreciated and how deeply it was moved by Mr. Zangwill's noble drama."

Standard: "Mr. Zangwill will probably be scelaimed as one of the few men who have succeeded in portraying, woman without grotesque exaggeration of her failings or her virtues. Mary Trame is a picture drawn with the most subtle strokes of humour and understanding."

Manchester Guardian: "The play was so sincerely, logically, and clearly thought out and so vigorously expressed that it made an afterncon of a most stimulating kind."

Athencoum: "More deeply religious than most sermons. A sincere and highly honourable piece of work. The wife is handled with poignancy, insight, and rare sympathy. The force of the play lies really in the dialogre of the first two acts-always trenchant, ironie, masterful, and at times broadening into lofty and fullmouthed harmonies."
H. W. Nevinson: "It was a great play and a great performance that the New Players' Society witnessed at the Pavilion for two afternoons last werk. Mr. Zangwill, one of the wittiest of living writern, is one of the most sincere. Like Mr. Bernard Shaw, he has proved that laughter and irony can go oide by side with intense earnestness of purpone. The reformer is not necessarily a stuffy and solemn person. But in all Mr. Zangwill's best work and speeches there is a deep and prophetic note, seldom heard even in the greatest of his English-speaking contemporaries. It comes nearest to Tolstoy among the moderns; but one may trace it, I think, to the inherited influence of a race greater in prophecy than any Europenn race has been."

## NOVELS BY ISRAEL ZANGWILL

Uniformly bound. Price 6a, cack, -

## CHILDREN OF THE GRETTO

 Thence

## DREAMERS OF THE GEEETIO

"A brave, logerat, abeortlag, and, on the whol, provenatvo book,"-T. E.


## GFIMITO TRAGHDIES

"This sumasteable and eagroceing volume."-The Spmeter.

## GHETYO COMEDIES

${ }^{\text {"Hils }}$ Howerful peo bloade humours, pathos, iroay and pity fin theoe olowor


## THE CELIBATES' CLUB

## 2vation.

## THE GREY WIG, STORIES AND NOVELPTTES

Inolvaing "Meroly Mary Ann," "The Bitg Bow Myutary," and other colobsated mboriac.

## THE KING OF SCENORRERS

"It is a boentiful atory and is that great ractiy, an eatisely now thing, that is a good ne it is nev." - Tha Daily OMronide.

## THE MANTLE OF ELIJAH

"On evcry page come witty and memorablo phraco, or traceohant thooght, or vivid ploture." -The Omblook.
THE MASTER
"A raittable human dooument, in whiok the charcoters do areotly as they would in Hife."-The Queen.

## WIMHOUT PRENUDICE [Essays]

[^88]WITE LOUIS 00WBR.
THE PREMIER AND THE PAINTER

[^89]WILLIAY HEINEMANN, 21 BEDFORD ST., LOYDONT, W.O.


[^0]:    ${ }^{3}$ Boo my book, "Without Projudice" (originally published in the Pall Mall

[^1]:    been replaced by a Germany of blood and iron, a Germany
    A anptain in the Austaring Polish Legion caid ho vrae firhting for the Ame beosuce of Anotrin's good trontmeat of the Polen.

[^2]:    mentel gag. Inven our Ministore are atonimhed when told things, lonown for months to everybody ontaide the ambit of our Preas Bureen, astate of thinge that might produce fatal surpricen.
    ${ }^{2}$ The fining of the Byetemar 2800 tor a comir aartoon will boan hintorionl indox

[^3]:    In the dobato on Mr. McKenna's latt Budget, the grament in our hiotory, one preaker "complained there hed handly bean a quorwa prowat throughout" (The I imes, April 6th, 1016).
    " Itatian Prantation," Risorgimeato.

[^4]:    2 The ocendon was s dinner to Mr. Lhaloy Sambourne, of Purel, over which I tee

[^5]:    ${ }^{2}$ Soveaty-five per cont. emanot read or write, acoording to a lotior in The Times (January 8 rd 1910).
    : Beo The Timey' Ruedian Supplomeat (Pobruary 24th, 1916).

    - Eee Dr. Baroles, aleo a Ruasian Counteos in the Daily Oinowiche, interviowed by Harold Begblo.
    - Mre. Roua Nowmarch in The Timen, January 4th, 1916.
    " "Runate, the Beltrane, and the Dardanolloas" (Graavillo Fortecous).
    "Ohanging Ruacia," by Btophen Grahame. (Graavillo Fortacous).

[^6]:    "Beo that often eccoollent book, "Irurope's Dobe to Ruatio"" by Dr. Baralea, a writer, however, who does not halt between two opiniona, but expresem both on diftergat pager, doubtloes therough the noomeity of living up to hir title. 4: Morming Pool.

    - Pall Mall Gesette, Fobrwary 27th, 1015.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ The phay, no faterously supprosed by the Foreign Office, has bocome almost atert-book in many American schools and colliogen and in constantly performed by their dramatic sooieties. As I write I recoive a letter from a achoolmintreen in Conncoulout, who mayn: "My pupile love the story and quotations, and we often rooite the laot glorious outburat, 'What a meothing and quotation, and we aftion Lstin, to., wo. Oh, it is aplendid to see the Little ofttrems-Iating, Colte, Jewr and Gontilos, ill ropeat it undormandingly."

    - "The War God," 40 I.

[^8]:    ${ }^{2}$ Oanads, it should not be forgotten, is only cocond as a "Moling Pot" to the Unitod Staton, and the effoct of the war upon Toutonic blood there is uhown by the potitioned to change the of Berlin (Ontario), who are moath of German dotcent, mmigration, yet numeroum onough to support the Uneayinne, amore moecont onlistod more freoly than they were eccopted, Ruania might not yot bo sunffiontly moltod. Soe ther was foared their hatroed to for Pobruary 29th, 1016, pablished in Jelted. Soo Oity, in national organ, Svoboda,
     be any Ukretinian language." remaried: "There nover was, is not, end emanot

[^9]:    ${ }^{2}$ I muat head off at once the oritio who would ignore the contente of this book by a digreasion proving the right word is "pecificiat." "Pacifisto" in used in Frenah, and "Yacifint" in Corman, and we must acoept this ahort form, if only as a wan-eoonomy.

[^10]:    2 I asked a young rolative of mino bnok from Gallipoli how ho conld find it in his
     mans," he replied aimply.

[^11]:    ${ }^{3}$ Travalated in The Timas, Marah 16th, 1916, under tho tutio "Ohanged Barilin."

[^12]:    "Pitt's speeches are full of prognostications of her financial collapse, but France sustained a war of over twenty years, and it was not the forty-five milliards of assignats that finally stopped her. Theoretically, a country can carry on provided production is equal to,

[^13]:    nolthber andoertand the proopporty aleo servoe to koep our maseen quile-they
    
    
    

[^14]:    ${ }^{2}$ Sppoch at Onadim, Masch 2sth, 1016.

[^15]:    - "Ficoal Pollog after the War." Deily Oivomich, March 10th, 191a.

[^16]:    ${ }^{10}$ At Portemonth Polion Oourt, on Batrurday, Hecrbert Colo, decoribed as an author and publinhor, was obarged mader the Vagrincy Aot with attimpting to prooeiro

[^17]:    ; "Uno maladio nouvollo s'entripandue en Erurope ; elle a saise nos princes, ot bour fult entrotonir un nombro desordonn' do troupes. EEllo a seer redoublomente, ot ollo doviont nlocemairement contaglouso ; oarr, oftot qu'un Etat angmento oo qu'il
     Begne rien par il que la ruine commune. Oheque monerque tient sur phed toutioe
    
    

[^18]:    1 This hes, of courne, hagpeand, an the repat collision botwens tho Leverock and
     throe or moces have hooxouembly blown up th harbour, and a number have foundersd
    
    
    
    

[^19]:    ${ }^{2}$ "The arguments of 8ir Edward Croy and the euppottere of his polioy, who atrippod of the apocious garniahinge with which they wert ari hally dackod, but the tatiored and tawdry rminanta of which fow now ovor pretan 10 darinh, aso beved on the following easumptions, all of which are opea to grave objections:-
    "(1) That moral right and olatract justion have no ploon in Foreign Poliog, which is and munt be besed sololy on considerations of oxpedianer.
    "(2) That the support of Ruasia (it is sbeurd to tall of 'frifondohip' in this connection) wan nocoviary to thiv country to maintuin the 'balanee of power' and to chock the alloged eypirations of Gormany to tho hegen pany of Europe.
    "(3) That Ruecta's oupport conld bo bought and reviand by the searifice of Pueris, and that themforo Porits ahould bo mado a sacrition to that ead" ("The Perian Oil Conomaiom" by Professor E. ©. Browne).

[^20]:    1 "Tho houses of tho ancieas ofty of Yucola are divind" enys Oharion Yamb "betweva the dwoliors on the hill and tin tho ralloy. Thismarifed diotination formed an obvious division betwrean the boyn who lived above (howevere brought formoditr in ecommon echool) and the boye whoep peternal ruaichaoe was on Ahe phinin;
    
    
    

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ The famous Skoda gun, says a newspaper, sends a "Pilsener" shell which kills everyone within 150 yards and kills many who are further off. The mere pressure of gas breaks in the partitions and roofs of bomb-proof shelters. Scores of men who oscapo metal fragments, stones, and showers of earth are killed, lacerated, or blindod by the pressure of the ges. The gas gets into the body cavities and expands, tearing the flesh asunder. Sometimes only the clothes are stripped off, loening intact the boots. Of men close by not a fragment remains; the clothes disappeors and only small motal articles are found. If the shell is very near, ho oxplosion melts rifie barrels as if they were struck by lightning. Men who disappear in such oxplosions are often reported missing, as there is no proof of their death. In such This instrument of twentieth century civilization proof of their death. normal trajectory of four and a half milos high, and in coft giound it penetrate 20 foot before exploding, which take miles high, and in soft giound it penetrates A "Jeck Johacion" ean makes hole 40 feot eorous and 18 feet pact.

[^22]:    mobgun ", is a tube 88 feot long, weighing 97 tona and wound with 190 miles of ottool ribbon." It haris a 2,000 lib. tholl 12 miles with marrellone accurreoy ocech die oharge breedin an enereg capablo of litting 82,000 tona (W. G. Fitzgerald on "The
    Wortihope of Whr").

[^23]:    ${ }^{2}$ That is why there can be no superman. The real literal superman is already here in the shape of the man in the 2eppelin, who hovers over us-according to his have been evolving a God. Wo can no longer evolve externally; internally wo Plato, have not managed to perpetuate accidental supermen, Buddha, Moses or ${ }^{2}$ As controveray equal to perpetuate themselves. Tom Thumb. A letter sent from Franco wise, ao gunpowder equalizes Hercules and 1916, says: "We had an officor killed and quoted in The Times of January 24 th, in height and an absolute Hercules-it mall; he was a aplendid man, 6 ft .5 ins . who fired the infernal grenade that killed mimes one furious to think that the man chested man, whom he could have crushed with onery likely a puny littlo weak. would have beon worth ten ordinery men."

[^24]:    Alpine. This perhape makees the diatinotion between maurder and killing in war. If would only be if a man neod a gun for his privato onde that it would bo murdor, and this consideratios might bo pointed out to the "conscientions objeotor" who "objecte to murde-ing." On the other hand, oven the race unitod can hardly be caid to posceen the goodnegs or omnimaience qualifying it to serro a Krupp gan.
    ${ }^{2}$ Sop aloo Major Mott' Lottionina Locture, bef orot the Medical Society of London, on "The Eifeots of High Erploaires on the Contral Nerrons Byatem."

    I I was walking in the Wordeworth country with a world-famous chemist, whon, dicoumaing dienpprovingly the misapplications of chemistry to war and the consolesa gueat for atill groator powern of doutruction, ho suddenly atartiod mo by maying "They might blow up the world." Ho monnt periously that radium had dieclosed I could not hected now forces with which tho chemists might secidontally intorfere. breed, though $I$ hoped it tould be would bo in very fitting onding for our murderous breed, though I hoped it would be German "efflicang" that woald do the deod.

[^25]:    1 "One of the firnt elomente of sucoesofol strategy is surprivo. In the old dayia goneral of genius could outflank his foe by a forced march or iny some ingenious trap or ambuah. But how can you outilank a foe who has no flanki How can you lay an ambush for the modern Intolligence Dopartment, nith its yoroplay can you have done under the circumervous syatom ? . . What could Napofeon himself megalomaniae would have perished of one is inolined to suspect that that rolcanic Has," The First Hundred Thouasand ").

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mr. Roger Fry, after a recent viait to Brance, roporty the mamo thing, thet the peneants regard war as an anachronimen : "C"out trop blto, in guerre."

[^27]:    : "I am wetching thio war in ito aticota apon the maves. I beliovo that norre bofore in the history $\alpha$ the world wao the futility of was. men more chanerly by
     good of war $y^{\prime}$ And the anurriner.' And ho haniting himiolif now, 'What is tho Booth, interviowed by Harold Bro monken is 'Rotton' ("Gonerai"' Brammoll
    

    - "They nomed to bo obemedhacho" with dortare hami coturully begun.
     ground. Dowohed groupt ot to hundred ploces along mor bally wounded on tho olubbed, bit, and ehoked Avagoly" (Looig Edacar Brome the batile front atabbed, Doosmber 2zad, 1015).

[^28]:    1 "In the engioe-room a sholl lioked up the oil and uprayed it around in fiames of blue and green, mourring its victims and blazing where it foll. Mon huddled together in dark compartmonts, but the sholle sought them out, and there doath had a rich harrest. The torrifio air pressure resulting from explosion in a confined apece, loft a doop impresaion on the minds of the mon in the Blucher. . . . Closed iron doors bend outward like tinplates, and through it all the bodide of men aro whirled about like doad los res in a winter blast, to be battered to doath againat the iron walle. poore wore shuddering horrors, intensified by the darkness or semi-gloom. As one poor wrotoh was passing through a trap-door a sholl burat near him. Ho wes the ongineil-way through. The trap-door olosed with a terrifio anap. In one of the ongine-rooms men were picked up by that torrible Lyflumeck, like tho whirl-drift were other horrors too foarfal to reoount " (Theath amidat the machinery. There Were othor horrors too foarfal to recount " (The Times, Fobruary, 1915).

[^29]:    powoo broaling out bofate whe youterdey paid to underwitions for the "riak" of

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ There died the other day another of EEaloy's collengues on the National Observer, William Macdonald, who lite Honioy himeolf was a arippla

[^31]:    II.-The New State Idol.

    Less grotesque, if no less perilous, is the German movement not to restore old gods, but to give new lamps for old. Why should

[^32]:    1 "Wo do not atand and ahall not plece oursolves before the court of Earopo. Our power aball areate now law in Erorope Germany strikee" (Martimilian Harden).
    Bimilarly Thomas Kann ohimod that thin is a war of Eulher againat oivilization and Priodriah Gurdolf wrote: "Wer starl int eu wohaffon, dor dari anch zomattree." " "Denteche Roligion" "ceems to have been invented by Friedrich Lange, an ax-editor of the Tiafiche Rundichen. German world-rulo aet the rulo of the Gorman girit in the note of Rohrbech. That the coming Imperor of Europe will be a Gorman Emperor is the thesil of Alfons Puquet.

[^33]:    ${ }^{2}$ Aocording to Olemeat of Alerandrts the "Oallod" (ndyrou) are rmaly anty thowe who ahooes to obry.
    Thon In Angrent and Soptember Thon hat vouchenfod us Hohoneollere woether. Thoa haot holped egrioulturs by the high pricou whioh tt hae beon ablo to got for ito hormes from the army authorition," do., ota. (Puetor Powerner's Baveit Eormon).

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ The reacon Germane are disliked, acoording to Neumann,
    "Mittol-Earopa," is bscause their Stats heoording to Naumann, the author of aition from privato Capitalimen to Socialinm arrived at the "socond stop" of tranrateling of the common prodice of all form the wee of all" a "national order for the

[^35]:    - Obecruer, jenamy 4th, 1016.

[^36]:    : Oitod in War and Peace, January, 1014.
    : Studies in European History:"

[^37]:    ${ }^{1}$ A coldier beak from the tront, who signs himeolf "Wounded," laments in a letter to The Times, of December 6th, 1015: "The goneral rottonneas of tecto and feoling in a country which can amve itaolf with 'Oherlio Cheplin' is days like themo. Thooe of ni who got home wounded hed our depresaion confirmed."

    On the general fatuity of our stere, of whioh the latent examplo is a "Dismoll" up-to-date, pro-Rumeins and sath.Itritinh, my wise woman writen to me: "Oay Ioadon tetegs han been ruined by London 'moviety' $\rightarrow$ silly out-of-dete nort of bustaver '8oototy' in that ecnvo reachod ity moxith daring tho oulmination of

[^38]:    the 'Ancian Refgimo' whioh gave ite groat drame to Franeos and Bnglinh 'Sooletry' has boone sort of pato imitation of ith very exponaive and giving no edequate roturn elther in enjoyment or direotion to the nation vhioh oupportit it. The trigedy of tho ninotcoonth sentury in 'mimed opportunition.' The drame has boon rifitoonted by the unintolligent mhoritore of woulth and their amoointoes; dimoot the only, good playi I have meen ere the oqnioal onoes 'The Importanco of
    
     conditions mako the thestre and the nowspapor such expenaire thinge to run that monoy has ahed itt ourve over both of thom. Yot there aro lote of tulents good.will ind generoitity amongut us, and we eorld provido vory approdiktivo sudhenose if wo could acford to pay for our conta."

[^39]:    "For tragic life God wot, No villin need be,"

[^40]:    2 "Gurmany Bulowe The Wes."
    In fairneas of Sir Ixdward Grey comathing ahould pochagy be allowed for the minaloulation in Gumany prodvoed by the vagatien of Cir ICdward Oawea ford the

[^41]:    This bombest of the dentruotion of Germany, the the equal rodomontede of Turtoy's suiaide, wis reuponalble for much of our opponenteg ercoity. Indicoroot wittern have parcollod ous the German Impire, and in a Fronch wort by O. Reolus,
    

[^42]:    1 G. A. Petto, "Hirtory of Modern Europe."
    : Joutin LloCerthy, "A Hithory of Our Own Times," Vol. IV.

[^43]:    ${ }^{3}$ The Times, Juls 13th, 1914

[^44]:    w.w.

[^45]:    2 "The cont of no war hac oven appronimated to the cont of the prement war. The larget amount vpant by Groat Britain on war in a ninglo year before the present Wrar whi $871,000,000$. The revolutionary and Napoleonio War cont in the aggregato E831,000,000; that war was epread over twenty years. The Crimenn War cont 867,600,000; that was apread over three finencinl yours. The Boer War cort es11,000,000; that was greed ovor four finnucial yoars" (Intarviow with Mr. Lioyd George, Pcurcon's I (agasine, Maroh, 1015).

[^46]:    ${ }^{2}$ Binco thin wes Writion both Mr. Aeguith and Bir Edward Groy have Wionty tonod down the crudity of the Guildhall formule whib Sird Edward Groy loote forward to the Gorman pooplo indeting "upon tho control of ite Government." Ho adroontion in ahort a Union of Domoorstio Coatrol-Sor Gormany।

[^47]:    ${ }^{1}$ Even from the utilitarian point of viow the alliance of Rusain with Western Powora is not oscily workable, for, as M. Stephon Piohon, lato Fronch Foroign Ministor, points out, when co-operation is required, the difforent political constito. thons are a great bar to connaltation and joint resolution.

[^48]:    "Peace through Victory." ("La paix par la victoire.")French formula.

[^49]:    1 "The Auntrinano" ne: a correppondent of 1916), writing of the nightitteorroponaent of the Yorning Poot (February 8th water during the day; at ights onm the Correo, "are deprived of their allowance of literally driven to the attaci in rum in ferved out to the thirsty men, who aro then codden Austrians roll dom the close formation, and intoxicated. . . Some rum. In the oharge I Invariabls the mountain -ride, too intoxicatod to koop their foot morning remember nothing \& their pritonert tall into a drunken aloop, and noxt in given even to toetotaler. htheir night's adventaren." The British ration of rum neitupaporra in March, 1916,
    

[^50]:    ${ }^{1}$ Soo Appendix

[^51]:    ${ }^{1}$ I last mot it in a great Auntralian newnpaper.

[^52]:    1 "It was fors, not ambition, thot had led ovon pacilist Garmanas to support i"
    

[^53]:    ${ }^{1}$ According to Lloyd's Rogistor there wore at the end of involve foroign warships, amounting to 117,650 tone the end of September, 1912, jards.
    ${ }^{3}$ Litorally true of Cormany, for Piesia 7 yer
    War Mifinitor, dopendent on Germany for vholla end oording to Goneral Polivanoff, the

[^54]:    break of war we, too, were dependeat on enemy countrion for eleotrical apparatus, fiold-ghasee, to., whilo, eccording to Sir Edward Carzon, wo hed to look to Auntris for epare gun parts and cocemortoe, not is winglo oce of which had beva mado in

[^55]:    ${ }^{1}$ An anonymous printed postcard asking me to holp stop the war "for the make of Jesus Christ" is the only rominder I have poreonally had that I am living in a Now Tostament country - fact which just bofore the war was daily impresced on my conaciousaess by the Kikuyu controveray.

[^56]:    ${ }^{2}$ The Popo's pachetioally inafifective protent againat "the gaioide of Eharope" of Cardinal Boal abourdum of his porition and of Romman Catholiciman. The attompt
     the Goldon Agel Dr. Wilism B 1016). As if before the airteenth centary hy abmotioss," and a writor (" $M$.") in the aloo regarde the war as "a luevon for procoden faith intolloctual lovity of our geners on. In tuardian blamees with equal
     Catholioimm ; in reality it is only atrengthening the paganiemar in etrongthoning

[^57]:    ${ }^{1}$ Eruckon's viow in that the world it not yot reedy for the pore milk of human Eindness and that Luthor had to countenance war.

[^58]:    Somewhat belajediy, but in noble lagruage, the Binhop of Lincoln proteated "It is with ohsilantions treetment of the "conecientions objector." loeding roligions cuteristio Toutonic thoroughnens that tho Christliche Well, the To proech Christienity, $=-1$ thany, domanded " moratorium for Christianity." way only to provoke "mooking witlit, in thew daye of torpedo and poison.gat Church Conforence imagined that holl that langhter." Cariously enough the Pree unreality of the divisions of Christendom, the soldiors would be finding out was the having comforted one another's flocis in the hour of deathe ohnplaing and pedre:

[^59]:    ${ }^{2}$ The Bishop of Carlisle confonsod that the Church is more Jowish and Pagen than Christian, but he doee not coem to seo that a National Frith omanot be otherwiso.

[^60]:    "The strongest man on earth is he who stands most alona"-Isoun, "An Enemy of the People."

[^61]:    ${ }^{1}$ "Mr. Zangwill misconceives the nature of the rolation betreen the National Union and the Labour party," wroto Mru. Fawoett in the next number of the Fortnightly. "It is emphatioully not of the nature of a bargain." But eurely thin is ozsothy what is here paid.

    This prophecy still holde the fiold, though the precont Parlinment has bee nnocascionably long a-dying. The Reform Bill was withdenwn as propheniod.

    - Itnow looke es if through tho was Mr. Aequith han found tie light, for ho has promicod Mre. Fowoett that the quention chall be "folly and limpartinly woighed without any projudgment from the controrcmitu of tho prest."

[^62]:    ${ }^{1}$ Thero wae (cocording to The Times) a very hrgo incroneo in 1015 of modical and dontan atachanta, An enormonas amount of work has beon done ander Goverre. toxine. They have aleo madiontoriee in the mating of aynthetic druga and antio. matioal problome arting ont of appoifion and onan.

[^63]:    1 "Tho women who have takon the place of men in varions tradoe are doing amacingly good work. It is eatimatod that the number of womon subatitutod for nen in tho motal trades is 77,000 , in the losther tradee 14,000, and in misoollinneous ingly hargo body are in commere many are in Government employmont, an increas. difution of laboor and on agrioultural mort and a groat numbor are employed in the tinds of work requiring the omployment of machinery they are doing many othorr Mr. Rumoiman, Proident of tho Board of Trede, interviow with Anociatod Prea, Now York, March 20th, 1916.)
    Tho Kinistry of I unitions has publizhed olaviahly illuatrated volumo, ahowing the wamea munitioncern in thoir many now rotier cs engineort, aholl-makers, forewomen, aplondid." "protice by Mr. Hloyd Geores, who enyn in eum, "The women are

[^64]:    ${ }^{1}$ "Tho gomerral maneger of the Midiand Reilway, aftor a worive of oxnoting working
    
    
     Work, Marah, 1916).

[^65]:    ryMyivio Bnalinhroman, in sending mo an appoel aho had rooetrod from the
     mant hio no monopoly of relgarity. Could you not mato como protent 9 I low $\alpha$ the Derry, 'Bi. Georgo Ior Merry England.' 'God end the Right' oven iho shous for I know what thoont in thati oharge Marmatado for over', "arms my hoart, Patriotime 7 ' - It if worne than 'God and is the monning of 'Soli-Intoroot and and God,' whioh is avon inforior in tite lilt and yommon !' for it is rocilly ' Xammon
    "What has truok mo mot puinfull y
     the rust of in with noble oxamplo, the rulo the modora world. Inotoed of laupiring copt 1) and ozamplo. What ovenn io thy roproese zo both by procopt (and such a pros.
     courrage from thboir cooiel ouperiora: thonan Engiinhmen neod no axamplo $\alpha$ unknown horow who have beon alwayis abount na to the otokeri and the countloen atare, but our generous ruco in titill chit dout ue in our every. day Ilvee are brave by
    
     mation

[^66]:    ${ }^{1}$ Acoording to an inventigation by the Fublan Women's Groap, roported on by thrico their oikhty over 61 por cont. of thowe woman workoris maintain nourty playing tho part of broedwinner popularly limited to the mate.

[^67]:    ${ }^{2}$ Lord Willoughby do Broke was to have beon the ohiof apeaker. $\mathbf{A}$ dirculem
    

[^68]:    ${ }^{1}$ On this point $m y$ wito Englishwoman witon: "I thoroughly aympathize with your stapefaction over Mr. Aequith's ignorance of his fellow-countrypomen. The bosutiful thing about Edith Cavoll is that she is typical of what is finest in us-like Correggio bofore the masterpioces of Rome, one may any, "I, too, am a woman land 1 have known charwomen and washerwomen who have made one inclined to neoded no ant. But I beat you as woll as Mr. Aequith in ono bit of knowlodge-it with the cuseedness of to toech mo a woman's capacity for martyrdom. And now not give Edith Cavell that doubtfal titly declare 'I don't liko martyra', and I ahould Utopinas, wont to meot doath cheorfully. brave Englishwoman, who like Moro's
    "The moat intorenting point that I hi.
    of doath amongat us. Thpose hat $I$ have noticod in this war in a diminishod foar not croato that attitude of mind; it eeem to do with the war, which roveals but did holl, which had so long obnessed meems to me largely duo tn the diminiched foar of when wo had reverses in South Africa couraged. Then I know that, even among the wel. was stimulated instead of dif. lateot. bearon of civilization will beoome ingina Hilled off, the rotk of women as torch.

[^69]:    ${ }^{2}$ The maler have already begun to olamour from another point of viow. "The time is coming and coming feet, when the birth of ohildren fill be of viow. "The neoparity to the nation. Lot us therefore have no canting talle about ' morality' $(\mathrm{l}$ " O Oins
    ' Owing to the aftitude of the Dockers' Union, all the women employed at the

[^70]:    ${ }^{1}$ A pioture in the Obeerver of November 29th, 1914, shows ue our "Mijian Warriors." doceribod an "formorily cannibale but now montly Wewloyan Mothodivtan"
    Dr. Max Dreneoir, Profeseor of Paychology at the Univernity of Beritio, aftor a otudy of ifo in the tronchen, roports that its ofarrectorintion aro "animaliztio."

[^71]:    ${ }^{2}$ The O.D.C. has wince added a fifth point repidiating eoconomio war after the conalusion of Poeco.

[^72]:    ${ }^{1}$ A writar in the Atlandic Monthly (Decomber, 1915) said that after the firet for weoks illicit distilling increasod hrgely, and that injurious conocotions are drunk in Ramie contataing wood-aloohol, varnish, and oven enu-do-cologne.

[^73]:    ${ }^{2}$ Out of the gee members of the Zionint Otedente' Oorporation 728 have borpe acme, and 21 h have gained distinctions- romarkablo parcontage, ahowing braino and bravery go together. According to an articlo in the Vawichie Zeinung by Profoseor Ladwig Stein there havo bece 288 Jewinh Heutenanta.

[^74]:    ${ }^{1}$ The richly-promising Captain Harold I. I. Spielman was killed in Gallipoli.

    - At tho boginning of January, 1915, I wrote the following appeal for Jowith recruits: "Now that English women and babes are being bombarded by Germen piratos, no man who onjoye the priceloss prorogative of Britich citizenship can withous dhame rofuso to rally to Britain's defence. Eepeoially does this duty-thin

[^75]:    2 To the diagust of the Zemechechima, the organ of the Black Hundreds, which sayn it is aloulatod to produce a "coldness" botween the two countries.

[^76]:    ${ }^{2}$ We have a vivid recount of the situation in Jeruaslom from Mise Anne Landen, the headmistross of the Evelina do Rothechild School, who was chivalrously treatod by Djemal Pesha: "The colonists had to givo ap their horees, their carth, their oxen and oown, their labourers and-morest wound perhaps of all-their irrigation pipes which conduct the wator to the orange groves. In Jerusalori evory cab-hores was taken and all onemy property conficcated . . . very soon Paloetine was like $s$ corked-up bottlo."
    in The Jaffa Hobrow weolly, Hapoel Hazair, ataton that Djemal Pacha hu barricadod the Wailing Wall. Thas is the Jew denied access to the last fragment of his aucient glories.

[^77]:    ${ }^{1}$ Thoce warblpa ooming for a doopitod race groantly put up the Jowish atatue
     Hon unique in historyy ho mould indibt on rights for doolaring that it he were at the Poecoe pronferemono.
     ${ }^{1}$ The Turrkinh Government with dmonog and food to reseh tho Jown of Pulawitine ${ }^{10}$ jotn the Cabinet as asment with doth dolightiful informulity prosed Yo. Yoverutheu
    

[^78]:    ${ }^{1}$ To thin and othor noutral Jowrion I ismod the following appoal th the carly days of the war :-" Although the mont zonotrous var in human hintory was 'Ifade in Germany;' and although Gormany's bohaviour in war is as barbarous as hor tomper in posos, I note with regret that a cortatn mootion of Jowry in Amorion and other noutral oountrion coorig to withhoid aympethy from Brtalin and hor Allion. In to far as theop Joware Gorman-born, thoir faeling for Gormany is es intollidible as mine for Bngland, But in $s$ far as they are owayed by oondderation for the intorenta of tho Rusdan Jown (to whom Cormany and Avotis aro ofioring equal righta) lot me tell them that it were bottor for the Jowiah minority to contince to anfor, and that I would far sooner lowe ny own righte as an Bnglinh attimen, than Prat the grett intervate of oivilization should be aubenerged by the trivmph of Prandan militaricm. And in asying thin I apent not as a Britich patriot but ase Forld-patriot, dimayed and dirgueted by the ahhommoidoel of tho Gothis Bupermaa. I am well aware that Cermany Prome agente paint Gurmany ma the guardian of oivilization, an engol fightlay depperately againit horden of as vegoe imported from Afrios and Ade. But if wo are ving bleck forcon it in for a white purpow ; ahe it uning white forcen for a blols purpowe. But it in not orou certain that the Jews of Resals will contince to mufor, onoe Eagland is rolloved from this Toutomio nightmare. The acrurance I hare been privileged to obteinfrom 8 ir Edward Groy that ho will negloot no opportunit of oncouregang the emanalpetion of the Rumina Jown, marts a turalas polat in thair hintory, suplacing en it does wiady Rumaian rumonar by a solid politioal beals of hope. Nor is this the mere mitreravoe of a politioian in a ceitis. I ame in a poedtion to otete thatit roprecoate the attitude of all that in boet in Englinh thought. It is with oonidonco, therolore, that I appeel to Amorionen and othor 'noutral' Jown not to lot the ahadow of Runsis alismato thetr fympathice from the indoultablo island whioh now, as not coldom betore, is fighting for mankind, and whioh may yot dvilize Ruado-and Garmany $1^{\prime \prime}$
    "A book "Dor Wolt-Krige und dio Judon" (B. Bogel, Berlin, 1016) fiercoly ate ala mo for pro-Ruginaing, ado many Gorman paport. Profener forment Cohen, the dietinguhated neo-Kantan, has alwo looturod against mo on this aame
    amaning ground.

[^79]:    ${ }^{1}$ "It in a quention," writes the New York Praing Pow of Apall 18, 1916, "how long such practices oan go on without alionating from Rumic the aympathion of the outatde world and so infloting the greatost harm on the ownes of the Alices." i hacrecution me a Spanish Journal of lomario (Argeatino) with an article on the pertecution of the Jown in Busais and the Duma opeoohes.

[^80]:    1 Interviow with Milinkoff (Deily Oivenicle, Mrach 10t, 1910): "You would not offend tho Rusian poopla, you Fould not evea oftead the beot mea in tho Govern-
    

[^81]:     denied Polinh Univority. There are two Jowith proticoorn. Manlodpal affatrod
    
    
     Jownenting ditily in Wirme ot tho noup titcchen ( many io boerar). Tho German Gorernor of Poland. Von Puttramer, myo thet the oonditione in many parto moom rovolting, and that aithough the Jowr have oollooved two and a hali miltsoan roubben towarde tho sooeral charifty fund of $11,000,000$ roublea, they havo only broa given $150,000 \mathrm{by}$ the Poloe. "The Jowre are atruid of the Polen, and havo no conaiddence II the Gorman Gorernmont," ho sume up. Germany proper is altrondy citated by the fora of largo Jontith immingrition from ite nein provinow.
    ${ }^{2}$ The Gormane, froed from Sispoloon, erauhood down Daneo and Poleo. Doppto
     Ruthenes, and Roummainar. Tho troekment of the Jown by the Rormmanians in
     the Jown the Zionith majority cruched the Zlooite minoority. There hes nover yi boen afight for Liberty-orily for one', own liberty. Ewea Yonteneiros, Temay. con'" "rough rook-throne of frodom," han moastrovely perswoutted the catbolio Albenicans.

[^82]:    ${ }^{2}$ a town whase a Mra. A. Lery hae ais sone at the Pront, two wounded et Bonton, U.X.A., to ealint. boy of whioh-Enery Grow--mme all the way from

[^83]:    , the passing
     incondiary dooumentinto raid (This Trimes, Marob 11th, 1916). Tho him of the corolatione and buying ap oodin oirouler cocousing Jows of forionting atrikeo und
     The attuation at the momant of gooting at Bakte, drrootly incitiod by the police. Dume the pro-jowith Bloc had going, to proas in vary, bleok, and oven in the
    
     Colonol hilinecoisdoti, han broon hangod.

[^84]:    1 Jow may at the momeat swide in every Bumian town مxoopt thomoontaining royal raidonces.
    Doublo-Headed Fagle. Jed the Hife of the chairman of the Jow-baiting Order, the

[^85]:    ${ }^{2}$ Boveatera por cont. of the marriages of Jows in Gormany in 1911 were with Ohrititians it ti lo16 the rate had rison to 81 por cent.

[^86]:    ${ }^{1}$ Even the Jown have developed a hybrid strain of Spaniah Jow. The Lovantine Jowa, oxpollod from Spain ebout 1492, etill have journast in the Spanimh of Corvantow and a logel contiment for King Alfonco.
    ${ }^{2}$ Lord 4 eton (from the Catholio atandpoint)) conaldersed that "the theory of nationality is more abored and more orrminal than the theory of Socioliam" ("Bietory of Freedom"). The wornt of trying to kill two birin wilh one stone is that it often falle betwein both

[^87]:    

[^88]:    "17. Zangwill combines the biting wit of Shav with the ripe wixdom of George Mordith."-Berliner Tageblath.

[^89]:    "Oloveriy original, and ofton lightoned with bright tiakhen of wit."-The Morning Paof

