

**CIHM
Microfiche
Series
(Monographs)**

**ICMH
Collection de
microfiches
(monographies)**



Canadian Institute for Historical Microreproductions / Institut canadien de microreproductions historiques

© 1994

Technical and Bibliographic Notes / Notes techniques et bibliographiques

The Institute has attempted to obtain the best original copy available for filming. Features of this copy which may be bibliographically unique, which may alter any of the images in the reproduction, or which may significantly change the usual method of filming, are checked below.

L'Institut a microfilmé le meilleur exemplaire qu'il lui a été possible de se procurer. Les détails de cet exemplaire qui sont peut-être uniques du point de vue bibliographique, qui peuvent modifier une image reproduite, ou qui peuvent exiger une modification dans la méthode normale de filmage sont indiqués ci-dessous.

- Coloured covers/
Couverture de couleur
- Covers damaged/
Couverture endommagée
- Covers restored and/or laminated/
Couverture restaurée et/ou pelliculée
- Cover title missing/
Le titre de couverture manque
- Coloured maps/
Cartes géographiques en couleur
- Coloured ink (i.e. other than blue or black)/
Encre de couleur (i.e. autre que bleue ou noire)
- Coloured plates and/or illustrations/
Planches et/ou illustrations en couleur
- Bound with other material/
Relié avec d'autres documents
- Tight binding may cause shadows or distortion
along interior margin/
La reliure serrée peut causer de l'ombre ou de la
distorsion le long de la marge intérieure
- Blank leaves added during restoration may appear
within the text. Whenever possible, these have
been omitted from filming/
Il se peut que certaines pages blanches ajoutées
lors d'une restauration apparaissent dans le texte,
mais, lorsque cela était possible, ces pages n'ont
pas été filmées.

- Coloured pages/
Pages de couleur
- Pages damaged/
Pages endommagées
- Pages restored and/or laminated/
Pages restaurées et/ou pelliculées
- Pages discoloured, stained or foxed/
Pages décolorées, tachetées ou piquées
- Pages detached/
Pages détachées
- Showthrough/
Transparence
- Quality of print varies/
Qualité inégale de l'impression
- Continuous pagination/
Pagination continue
- Includes index(es)/
Comprend un (des) index

Title on header taken from: /
Le titre de l'en-tête provient:

- Title page of issue/
Page de titre de la livraison
- Caption of issue/
Titre de départ de la livraison
- Masthead/
Générique (périodiques) de la livraison

- Additional comments: / Copy has manuscript annotations.
Commentaires supplémentaires:

This item is filmed at the reduction ratio checked below/
Ce document est filmé au taux de réduction indiqué ci-dessous.

10X	12X	14X	16X	18X	20X	22X	24X	26X	28X	30X	32X
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

The copy filmed here has been reproduced thanks to the generosity of:




Anglican Church of Canada
General Synod Archives

The images appearing here are the best quality possible considering the condition and legibility of the original copy and in keeping with the filming contract specifications.

Original copies in printed paper covers are filmed beginning with the front cover and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression, or the back cover when appropriate. All other original copies are filmed beginning on the first page with a printed or illustrated impression, and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression.

The last recorded frame on each microfiche shall contain the symbol \rightarrow (meaning "CONTINUED"), or the symbol ∇ (meaning "END"), whichever applies.

Maps, plates, charts, etc., may be filmed at different reduction ratios. Those too large to be entirely included in one exposure are filmed beginning in the upper left hand corner, left to right and top to bottom, as many frames as required. The following diagrams illustrate the method:



L'exemplaire filmé fut reproduit grâce à la générosité de:

Anglican Church of Canada
General Synod Archives

Les images suivantes ont été reproduites avec le plus grand soin, compte tenu de la condition et de la netteté de l'exemplaire filmé, et en conformité avec les conditions du contrat de filmage.


Les exemplaires originaux dont la couverture en papier est imprimée sont filmés en commençant par le premier plat et en terminant soit par la dernière page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration, soit par le second plat, selon le cas. Tous les autres exemplaires originaux sont filmés en commençant par la première page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration et en terminant par la dernière page qui comporte une telle empreinte.

Un des symboles suivants apparaîtra sur la dernière image de chaque microfiche, selon le cas: le symbole \rightarrow signifie "A SUIVRE", le symbole ∇ signifie "FIN".

Les cartes, planches, tableaux, etc., peuvent être filmés à des taux de réduction différents. Lorsque le document est trop grand pour être reproduit en un seul cliché, il est filmé à partir de l'angle supérieur gauche, de gauche à droite, et de haut en bas, en prenant le nombre d'images nécessaire. Les diagrammes suivants illustrent la méthode.

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART

(ANSI and ISO TEST CHART No. 2)



APPLIED IMAGE Inc

1853 East Main Street
Rochester, New York 14609 USA
(716) 482-0300 - Phone
(716) 288-5888 - Fax

M 523
M 11

BISHOP'S COURT, WINNIPEG, MANITOBA,

March 18th. 1873

To the Standing Committee of the Venerable Society for the Propagation of the Gospel.

MY LORDS AND GENTLEMEN - It was with much concern that we learned from your Memorandum of November 24th, 1872, that you are to continue the reduction of the grants to the Dioceses of Rupert's Land, Qu'Appelle and Calgary.

We thank you for the modification of the original resolution, but it does not meet our necessity.

I am, therefore, once more bringing our circumstances very fully before you in the hope that you may not only suspend further reduction, but restore the grants, at least for the next few years, which will evidently be a very critical time for our Church in Northwest Canada. Those Dioceses have only for a few years been receiving settlers and are likely to receive them in increasing numbers, including always a considerable proportion from England.

The maxim you quote is inapplicable to us, "that some of the Colonial Missions, which have for a long period received, and still receive, support from the Society, should be required to depend on local resources."

It is true that the original Diocese of Rupert's Land had for a number of years previous to the opening up of settlement a grant for two Missionaries for half-breed and Indian natives, but the colonial life of Rupert's Land only began, 16 years ago, when the railway reached a farming country, 1,500 miles from the sea coast.

The Dioceses of Qu'Appelle and Calgary have each two or three small towns, barely able to support their Clergy, but those Dioceses are still in that elementary condition that it would be impossible for them to maintain any of their Missions without help from outside. The Diocese of Rupert's Land is already in a somewhat different position. It might, at least for a year or two, so far stand your reduction as to make a fight for existing Missions, if it could depend on a continuance of the help received in the past few years from Eastern Canada. Unfortunately it cannot do this. But, in any case, the reduction of your grant must prevent an extension of Missions urgently needed and give a crushing blow to Church progress. It means nothing less than the surrender of large districts, being yearly developed by railways, with their proportion of scattered Church immigrants to the care of other bodies.

You refer very kindly to the generous way in which the Diocese of Quebec met your warning of reduction. The funds of Quebec have been admirably administered. It has acted with the spirit that might be expected of that Diocese. But how widely different is the situation of Rupert's Land from that of Quebec! Quebec has its own difficulties from the risk of the diminution of the English residents, and it has certain poor parishes with a small number of families; but it has had your aid for more than a century and in its early days had advantages. The invested funds for its Clergy approach \$400,000. It is practically stationary. In 1870 it had 63 Clergy and in 1807 it had 73, of whom 7 were retired. There is no probability of any great call for new Missions. Its parishes are supplied with churches. How is it with us? We have started, as a voluntary Church, simply dependent on the settlers coming to us. We have not, aside from the College Professors, \$50,000 of invested funds for our Clergy bearing interest. In 1870

there were but two clergymen among the new settlers outside the Red River Half-breed Parishes. Now there are 34. We need new Missions yearly and no limit can be put to their number in the future. We have over 120 congregations without churches, worshipping in school or hall, and yet the most important deficiency is not touched. The special difficulties of church work in Northwest Canada are unprecedented. Deducting 40,000, the population of Winnipeg there may be 200,000 in Manitoba. Owing to the provision of railways in advance of settlement, this small population is fairly scattered over an area as large as England. A railway from the south entered Winnipeg in 1896. In six years the Canadian Pacific Railway was carried 1,500 miles west of Winnipeg to the Pacific, and about as far east into old Canada. Immigrants came in and took homes along it. In the 19 years since 1896 nearly 1,800 miles of railway have been built in Manitoba alone, in connection with 20 railways or branches, of which 12 enter Winnipeg.

This extension of railways in Manitoba goes on with almost increasing rapidity. In the past three years a new great trunk line, The Canadian Northern Railway has been built through a district, including nearly a fourth of the Province, hitherto only sparsely settled in spots. This railway runs for 170 miles north of Gladstone, and of these 50 miles were made last summer. It is to be carried this summer to the Saskatchewan river, perhaps 100 miles west. Notice how this development of country affects the Church. We have in all that country just one Missionary in the town of Dauphin, provided by your special grant of £100 in 1895, and now left on us. But the Presbyterians have already five men and the Methodists six in that field.

Then, in the past year, the Northern Pacific Railway built a branch of 46 miles from Belmont to Elgin, towards Hartney; the Pipestone Railway was extended for 20 miles to the western boundary of the Province, making a branch of 50 miles from Souris; the Southeastern was built for 50 miles from Winnipeg towards the Lake of the Woods. We have no Missionary along any of these lines, though villages are rising up at the railway stations. If we receive from Eastern Canada as much as we have had for some years, we hope to open up four new Missions—two for the country north of Gladstone, at Arden and Gill Plains; one at Elgin, and one in the Pipestone Valley. The Stonewall Railway was also extended last summer for 20 miles to Foxton. We have Missionaries at both places, but next year this railway will likely be carried on to Lake Winnipeg, through country where we have no Missionary.

I have mentioned the Southeastern Railway, which is to go from Winnipeg to Lake Superior for over 400 miles, along the south of the eastern part of my Diocese, chiefly in Ontario. This has been wild country, but a view of great development opens up; in fact, there may be a call for a new Diocese, if the Church is to have any chance. It runs through agricultural, wooded and mineral country. Many mines are being worked, but as yet on a small scale. As I said, 50 miles were made last year—this summer it goes to the Lake of the Woods, perhaps to Rainy River. Seventy surveyors are engaged this winter on the other end from Lake Superior to Rainy River. We have along Rainy River a Missionary for settlers at Fort Frances and an Indian clergyman in an Indian Mission. But how does this extension of railway cause such difficulty? It is by scattering the incoming settlers, so that everywhere settlement is almost incredibly sparse. I have formerly illustrated this by the school statistics. Since I returned from England I have obtained those for 1897. Schools were in operation in only 815 out of nearly 1,000 school districts. Of these districts 763 had not an average attendance of 30 children, 663 not of 20, 470 not of 15, and 224 not of 10. Yet there is rapid growth, for, while, in 1896, there were only 36 school districts with an average of 30 children, in 1897 there were 82. It is easy to see the significance

There is a
hope of
one

of the figures. In the school district of Winnipeg there are thirty schools, over 100 teachers, and nearly 6000 children. In other districts with towns or villages there is a considerable number, but there is scarcely a country district with an average attendance of 20. Such a district for taxing purposes takes in the land within three miles of the school, but the school attendance is often larger. If there are not 20 children of all denominations there can hardly be even 100 Church children, but in the majority of the schools in the Province there are not 15 children. How many Church families can there be in such a district? Hence most of our Missions vary from 200 to 300 square miles, and not a few are much larger, and yet out of our 41 to 55 Missions including those under living, only five to six have as many as 80 families attending the services, reaching 20 of them about a village and in some cases as far as about a second village or hamlet, and then from 10 to 12 families about outstations. And an increase in population may only create new difficulty. Many of our Missions have in them large tracts not regularly worked but rather spheres of influence. There are too few families in these tracts for a regular service, but as population creeps on new centres arise where six or seven families can be got together, and perhaps one little hamlet springs up. The Mission can now only be at all adequately worked by division into two Missions. If funds do not allow of this our people would be centres opened by others and are lost to us. There are two large Missions, Budyshie and Russell, ripe for division. If we cannot after Easter place two clergymen in each the Church must suffer badly. Alas! This is no new experience. In 40 of our 41 Missions under clergymen there are from two to four Presbyterian ministers and as many Methodist for our one. No doubt many settlers usually of Church families warrant this inequality. It is due to our want of funds. The following 16 villages and hamlets, with population varying from 50 to 250, all of them centres of agricultural country and generally provided with elevators for grain, have no resident minister of our Church, while there are resident Presbyterian or Methodist ministers, and generally reporters and churches, of both Bodies. Thompson City, Greenwood, Kenway, Sweeney, Elmer, Donald, Donald, Wawanesa, Methven, Nesha, Balmont, Hibernia, Stockton, Cypress, River, Glenboro, Raywell, Roland, Miami, Crystal City, Nung, Whitewater, Pilot Mound, Napinka, Pearson, Buscarth, Stratclair, Newdale, Boshah, Franklin, Arden, Plumus, Macdonald, Bigon, Burnside, Austin, High Bluff, Balmoral, Kewatin, Makinak, Passwood. We have services in 18 of them and near two or three more, and churches in six of them.

The want of a resident minister in such towns places us at a disadvantage. Outstations through the country, at a distance from the towns, are opened up by the resident ministers of the other Bodies, but our visiting clergyman with his other stations cannot do this. Thus the scattered families too distant from the village service, stray away. In many of these towns, for justice to the Church in the surrounding country, we should have a resident clergyman.

In the population of 24,000 in Manitoba there are many thousands belonging to foreign nationalities, but if we allow 100,000 pure one-fourth of the Church in the English-speaking settlements, there should be over 10000 Church people, who would so designate themselves at the census. Last Easter our clergy reported 5,158 families and 13,860 adult members not living in families. Allowing for considerable omissions these can scarcely represent a larger population than 27,000. This leaves one-third of our Church people outside the services of our clergy. Thus is being reproduced with us, what has so grievously afflicted the Church in parts of Eastern Canada, large tracts of country without any adequate provision of the means of Grace by our Church for our people. Naturally in such circumstances our few members dwindle away and, when an effort is at last made, seem

have refused new connections or at any rate promised help to other Bishops -
others have not consistent interest in the Church or preference for it to venture on
what for some time must call for self denial in providing in part for the support
of a clergyman and in time for the building of a church.

No doubt much of this failure is owing to our having thrown upon us in this
isolated Diocese the whole responsibility for the salaries of our clergy. We re-
ceive grants of money from England and funds from Eastern Canada but we alone
have had in these 19 years the responsibility of providing the salary for the clergy.
How different, for example, the position of the Presbyterians? The Central Body
with headquarters in Eastern Canada takes the responsibility. It both finds men
and guarantees salary. We are unable to be responsible for the full salary of a
Missionary in a new field, and the people for a year or two are unable to give a
guarantee of the needed measure of help. Hence we fail to enter when settlement
is in its first stage and when help is most eagerly welcomed. The inability to place
a Missionary in a large new district is disastrous for the future. It is just as if
an English county were relinquished to the care of other Bishops.

We may, to-day, only be able to speak in most of our Missions of some 40
to 50 families, but these are scattered over a vast area of fertile land which gener-
ally may be expected within a generation to have a considerable population with
villages and towns. The loss of a hold at this stage is fatal for the future position
and influence of the Church.

And we have a people who are well learning the lesson of self support and
will make a good use of any help. All may not do as we could wish. There are
refusals trying and incalculable. But to expect anything else would be to look for
the impossible. The action of our people generally is deeply gratifying. They
have come to us gradually in the past 19 years. Most of them start with little
means and have everything to build up for themselves. The farmers commence
on the bare prairie. Yet how do we stand to-day? The City of Winnipeg main-
tains all the services to seven Parish Churches and five Mission Churches and
Rooms, and it gave last year \$2,835.95 to our missions. After Easter there will
be 16 Rectories maintaining 22 clergymen besides three practical Rectories, be-
cause, while receiving in grants \$350, they are expected to return \$300 to our Mis-
sion Fund. They will probably be Rectories next year. Then 11 of our Missions
do not receive each more than \$150 (£40) after deducting their payment to the
Mission Fund. Our 55 Rectors and Clerical Missionaries in the country settle-
ments are ministering to over 200 congregations, many of them as yet very small.
With the admirable and never failing help of the S. P. C. K. churches are profit-
ably being built, largely free of debt and consecrated. There are already 70
churches in the settlements outside Winnipeg. Others are on the way. Since I
returned in June I have consecrated 8 and 6 more have been opened, of which 4
are out of debt and one very nearly so. They only wait arrangements for conse-
cration. The amount guaranteed in voluntary offerings for the year ending last
Easter for the support of the Clergy was \$31,027 (£6,915), giving an average
of \$6.40 per family, \$30,960.30 (£6,422) being paid before Easter. The amount
raised for the Mission Funds of the Diocese was \$6,524.10, or an average of £1.26
(\$3.30) per family. The sum raised for all Church purposes was \$27,666.24
(\$5,873.00), being an increase of \$1,881.20 (£2,875) on the previous year. This
gave an average of \$17 (£3.10s.) per family.

The above calculations are only approximate, as no notice is taken of the
adult members outside families. On the other hand, 630 Indian families are in-
cluded, most of whom give nothing, and the others little.

The grants to our Missions have been gradually but steadily reduced as the
Missions have grown. The maximum in a settlement Mission will after Easter
be reduced from \$400 to \$350. The reductions on the grants this year to existing

provisions will still center in the same way, however, in some cases
your, your, and I cannot see that there are any real gains to be made
in our relation with our churches and Missions. We may say that
in 1861 we had to pay your reduction, which is \$27,000. It is
the only year in which we had to pay \$100,000. We shall have no trouble of a similar
nature, and we have no reason to think that in this young country to pay our
Missions. It is a great opportunity. We have in the past few years been only receiving
Missions we have grown up from a large and growing adventurist business. There is
no one who has to give up the Missions, we are practically what we were such
one is given.

It is I would say that the effect of your reduction in this House, this year
is seriously misstated in the Memorandum. It is there stated that the
grant in 1861 was £1,215, and for 1862 £1,500, being a reduction of £121, which
spread over 31 Missions gives only £4 for each. But this is not as we feel it
the annual grant was in 1861 £1,215 but the Society gave a single grant of
£200 so that the House received £405. The annual grant in both 1861 and
1862 included a most valuable grant of £90 for studentships in St. John's College,
an invaluable help in securing clergy, but it is not for Missions. Thus, then, is
as we feel the reduction. We received for Missions £1,475 in 1861 and we
are receiving £1,354 in 1862, being a reduction of £121 or 8.2 per cent. This is
the reduction per Mission is thus £4 not £4 a reduction that must make
serious demands on our other funds, and, therefore, be most disturbing. Though
this is the third year of the reduction, its effect with us was previously broken by
a single grant. No doubt we were warned that this was given us simply to pre-
pare us for the coming reduction, but when our Missions required all available
funds, it is difficult to see the application intended by the Society with the £200.
At least we could do nothing.

It must be evident from what has been said that the House of Rupert's Land
was never more in need of help, that such help will be made excellent use of, and
that any withdrawal of funds in this critical time in the development of the coun-
try must be disastrous for the Church. The next few years must determine to
what extent the Church is to be a force in the country. If you continue your
grant for one ten years longer, we may hope that by that time the most of the
Furk land in Manitoba will be opened for settlement, so that, if we had then
such a partial hold over the country, as we have now comparatively to other
Bodies, we might be able to bear reduction and subdivide Missions gradually
ourselves.

One reason for reduction is said to be the abounding needs of the heathen
world. We admit the supreme duty on all Christians for the heathen, and with
the A. M. S. alleges this as its reason for the reduction of its grant, we feel the
weight of the reason, though, even then, I cannot admit that, if we are unable to
find the funds, it can be the duty of that Society to leave the children God has
given to us labors, to be the prey of the old Heathenism, the Romanism, or what
ever may turn up.

But your Society has surely from all its history a duty for Colonists in our
position that no other need can touch. The Society was founded for Christian
settlers in the colonies and plantations of the Crown of England, and throughout
its past history this has been kept in view. In your Report of 1865 we find: "No
one can look at the present condition of the scattered branches of our Communion
without seeing how wisely designed were the original principles of the Society,
which laid down that a Church's first obligations were to her own children dis-
persed abroad. Thus, which is a simple matter of Christian duty, is found also to
be the soundest statesmanship." And in accordance with that policy you, of your

your mission, which, alas, was not expanding with, instead that, for your
grate from a year to the other year. The smaller your party is, the more reason
we have a responsibility upon us, about this.

But your third reason for resignation is that, since the organization of the
Church in Canada, the people themselves have a claim on the right for stronger
than before, competition and a new duty, which they have, and the Church
You may be satisfied for such a financial return for services the Church has.
You consider that it is the duty of the Church in Canada to do as you
and that to be the duty, but can your duty cease, if the Church in Canada
wants the will, or if there is no probability of its adopting an early and
adequate organization for our assistance?

I think there are important considerations that should lead you to change your
attitude and for some years stay your account.

First. Does there not interest you, in connection the idea of a much closer rela-
tion between the Provinces of Canada and between the Churches than exists? The
Provinces of Canada do not correspond to counties in England, but rather are like
separate countries as England, Scotland, and Ireland, duly some of them from
distance and circumstances more apart. They have separate interests and separate
Governments and Legislatures, though all under one Federal Government and
Parliament. Has there been any connection between the Churches of
Canada and Rupert's Land? The Church of Ireland was closely joined to the
Church of England, yet, in the day of its difficulty, when there was so much to
arouse sympathy, there was no very substantial help from England. What then
may be expected from the Church in the Province of Canada, struggling with dif-
ficulties in every Province, when 1,000 miles of almost unsettled country separate
our settled districts?

Secondly. Do you not misapprehend the importance yet of the consolidation
of the Church in Canada? It is at present little more than a name. The Prov-
ince of Rupert's Land has legally adopted the General Synod into its Constitution,
conveying to it all the jurisdiction it has claimed. The Province of Canada has
done nothing of the kind. There is a General Synod with a Constitution, to the
formation of which the Provincial Synod of Canada was a party by arranging for
Diocesan representatives, but there is no place and no recognition of authority for
the General Synod in the whole ecclesiastical system of the Province of Canada.
No attention need be paid by any Synod, Diocese, Parish or individual to any of
its directions or advice. The Provincial Synod of Canada has simply passed a res-
olution recognizing the fact of its formation, but it has no power to do more.
It has referred to it certain questions and it may urge its D & F Mission
work in that of the General Synod, but that is all. The General Synod is in our
eyes a body, having no legal jurisdiction in the Province of Canada, as legally con-
stituted.

Thirdly. You certainly misunderstand the effect of the action of the Provin-
cial Synod of Canada in proposing to give up its separate D & F Mission work.
You gather from it "the assured conviction that the Church not only can, but will
provide for its needs, as a whole." We see just the opposite of this, and I am
afraid that we are much better informed. The first General Synod appointed a
Mission Committee, divided into two sections, the Eastern and Western. The
Western Section formulated a Mission scheme, covering the whole Mission work
of the Church. It did not interfere with any invested funds of any Diocese; but
it implied the abandonment of independent Diocesan Mission efforts. I am bold
to think that, if the Church had with faith accepted this scheme, and worked it, as
proposed, the prospect of increased funds would have been most hopeful. I can
not imagine how it would have injured any Diocese. I believe we in the West

would have willingly agreed that weak Dioceses should have a firm claim for its own Mission on what is raised up to what it had raised previously. This is the method so admirably worked by the Presbyterian Body. The historical case of the weak parts of the Church especially in the new fields of the Northwest would have brought out a very different response from the City. But the Eastern Committee rejected the scheme substituting one very much in the line of the D. & F. Mission plan but still displaying some of our proposals for greater simplicity. We in the West at once appreciated our views though with regret and a foreboding of failure. For example, we do thoroughly work our own Diocesan effort that it is difficult to see what we can expect from our people for the General Synod scheme. The General Synod adopted the Eastern scheme in its main features. Now it has been blocked by their Dioceses. The Committee appointed by the General Synod has never been able to get to work. And at last the Provincial Synod of Canada instead of closing its D. & F. Mission effort has only agreed to merge it in that of the General Synod on condition that the ~~effort~~ ^{effort} for the more active working of the effort be eliminated by the General Synod. This practically will reduce the whole scheme to the old inadequate D. & F. Mission effort. We received for our Settlement Mission from the D. & F. M. Board this year \$250,000 being the first payment since 1893, when we received \$100,000. But it may not be fair to consider what we receive as the Board may pass us over on account of the funds raised directly by our department. But the Diocese of the Appelle I believe had no department last year and received \$100,000. What ground is there for anticipating any change? A very poor Diocese in the Province of Canada, the Diocese of Alberta is recognized as having a special claim and needs all available funds and is likely to need them for an indefinite period. Its Missions have to be carried on much more than the Church in Canada could in the past supply that it has an important association in England. And now its needs will be increased by your reduction. The presence of Delegates from the West on the Board is quite illusory. Most of our Dioceses cannot send them. The expense will prohibit more than two or three from the others, and the evident helplessness of any adequate help will show strange any attendance.

Fourthly I suspect you altogether overestimate the ability of the Church in Canada. You hear much in the newspapers of the resources of the Dominion and the Dominion, as a colony, is powerful and capable, but the Church in Canada is weak and has everywhere difficulty from the vast area over which it has to work. The Church in Australia is the dominant Body, having 40 per cent of the population. The Church in Canada has only about 13 per cent. There are just the two important cities of Montreal and Toronto, about half the size of Melbourne and Sydney. There are no other towns of any size. The young City of Winnipeg is already the third in business, though not in population or means. When you speak of richer Dioceses you are using a term which has for you in England a meaning that applied to us is not true in fact. The wealthiest men in Canada are not Churchmen. The predominant Protestant element is Scotch, not English. No doubt there are some wealthy Churchmen in Montreal and Toronto, and others scattered about, but even of this number, quite inconsiderably small as it is from an English point of view, only a proportion are liberal in giving for Mission purposes.

But whatever the ability of the Church in Canada, it surely should be a very important factor in determining your action that it has no belief in its ability. We are told that it is with difficulty that the Dioceses raise what they require for their own Missions. Several have had considerable deficiencies. The Church of Canada has not only never accepted any responsibility for us, but has made us understand that it cannot help us adequately. It is sufficient to look at the past. In the ten years up to 1894 we received on an average \$772,000 from the D. & F. M.

8

Boards, and \$1,000 from occasional visits of some member of our Cathedral staff. But the receipts from the D. & F. M. Board were perfectly uncertain both as to time and amount. The largest amount was \$1,944.42 (1880), in 1881-4. In this year the Presbyterians gave to their Missions in this Diocese \$17,800.80 (\$3,860). We asked from our fellow-churchmen \$6,000, not half of what the Presbyterians were receiving, and the D. & F. M. Board published our statement, but nothing came of it. In our financial year for 1898 we received from the D. & F. M. Board only \$11.55, and in other ways \$523, being in all \$534.55 (1898) from Eastern Canada. Driven to extremity with calls for Missionaries we could not supply, and charged by our people with neglect and want of energy and interest in them, we appealed to the Provincial Synod of Canada and to the Bishops to allow us to send a Deputation. The Provincial Synod of Canada passed a very cordial resolution, and most of the Bishops, perhaps all, gave their consent. This consent has been since asked from individual Bishops and given by them, or, at any rate, not refused by any. Accordingly, for six years, beginning in 1893, we have sent a Deputation, usually our General Missionary, Canon Rogers, who has worked systematically and with great ability for some two or three months yearly in such Parishes of some of the larger cities and towns as have admitted him. And we are deeply indebted to this and to the Clergy and kind contributors who helped. The average receipts have been \$5,325.28. But the amount has been steadily diminishing since 1894, when we received \$7,078.50, till last year, when we got \$4,210, and it is greatly to be feared that this year, from the illness of Canon Rogers and other causes, there will be a still more serious falling off—indeed, a falling off which, when added to your deduction of nearly \$1,800, may neutralize all our own progress and prevent the slightest advance.

Whatever the cause of the inability of our fellow-churchmen in Eastern Canada to help in Mission work in Northwest Canada, as the Presbyterian and Methodist bodies have done, it has led to results, in keeping from its sympathy and interest, far reaching beyond the mere loss of Mission funds. The constant voluntary appeals for the Northwest in the Churches of these bodies has led to help in their work here in every direction. For example, the Presbyterians raised a fund of \$100,000 for the building of churches and manse in the West and have spent in the past 19 years considerably over \$100,000 on their College in this city. Considerable bequests from Eastern friends have been received by both the Presbyterian and Methodist Colleges. Now, I question if the gifts from Eastern Canada for St. John's College and all other Church objects from Churchmen outside Mission funds and Mission gifts in kind would in the whole, 19 years reach \$1,000. And the Presbyterians receive variable, indeed, but at times considerable, help from their British churches. Three years ago they received \$15,000.

Yet we have here, notwithstanding all our difficulties, a Church fully organized and fairly equipped, for which we have to thank the kind help of the C. M. S. in Indian Missions, and of your Society, the C. & C. C. S., and Eastern Canada in Settlement Missions, and of your Society, the C. M. S., the S. P. C. K., and English friends from time to time in the College, and of the S. P. C. K. in every kind of Church work and I may add also the splendid efforts of our own settlers in view of all they have had to do for themselves. The organization is so complete that it requires but a continuance of present help for a few years to fit the Church to go alone; but at this stage this help is absolutely necessary for the Church taking its proper place and doing its proper work for Christ in the future. Failure to occupy opening fields is fatal for future influence. It cannot, speaking humanly, be repaired.

Your action is not viewed without apprehension by many of your warm friends. May I quote some words from the Report of the large Committee of the Lambeth Conference on the duties of the Church to the Colon-

* I find
how a
change of
the closing
of our age
this would
16 months

ten (18 Bishops) ? "A comparison of the progress of the various religious bodies in the Dominion of Canada, according to the census returns of 1881 and 1891, would suggest lessons as to the serious danger of any premature withdrawal of financial support. The principle of gradual withdrawal, according to the growth of the colony, is undoubtedly sound, but special circumstances require special treatment, and liberal aid in the early stages of a rising community, in any special time of distress, and at epochs (such as the present in Northwest America) on which the issues of the whole future largely depend, is from every point of view wise and true policy."

You are probably aware that our anxieties are not confined to your action. The C. M. S., which has most extensive Indian Missions in the Diocese under ten Clergymen and a number of Catechists, after leaving to us its Red River Missions, which cost us still over \$1,000 yearly, has been reducing its block grant by 1/20th yearly, or £12 ms. This year the sixth instalment is withdrawn. The Indians themselves are utterly helpless. Our Settlement Parishes and Missions, with scarcely an exception, collect yearly for these Missions, but they cannot begin to touch this great work, and the help from Canada is here also entirely uncertain. What is to happen in a year or two we cannot see, except abandonment; but God can open a way.

Then St. John's College, the only Church school in the Northwest of the kind, taxes our strength greatly. As a Theological College it has furnished 91 of our present Clergy and 22 for other Northwestern and Western Dioceses. It is also a residential College in Arts in the University of Manitoba and has done a noble service for the country. But it is suffering with all like institutions in Canada from the fall of the rate of interest, indeed, more than those in the East, as the fall is so much greater here. When I first invested the small Professorial Endowments, the current rate on absolutely safe security was from 10 to 12 per cent. The other day the City of Winnipeg disposed of \$600,000 debentures so as simply to pay 3.35 per cent. Meanwhile undergraduates increase, the College is too full, and the requirements of the studies of the University are more pressing. We have to come upon our people yearly for current needs. We are greatly pressed.

Let me say a few words, in conclusion, about the western half of my Diocese. Manitoba is a purely agricultural country. Since it received settlers they have had to contend with the low price of all agricultural products, aggravated with us by the cost of freight over 1,500 miles to the sea, largely by railway. Yet Manitoba is advancing by leaps and bounds. The progress is marvellous. It is impossible to pass in review what the small community, that is being brought together in Manitoba, has done for itself, not only in a quite remarkable provision of the means of grace by the members of the different denominations, but in furnishing schools, building up noble charitable institutions—and the hospitals and other institutions in the young city of Winnipeg are noble—making roads and bridges over such a vast area, not to speak of the erection of houses, farm buildings and shops, without feeling what a fine, generous and pushing people is being reared in this fair Province, and what hope the Dominion may well have in the future of Northwest Canada. It is not too much to say that by another generation Manitoba will be in every respect one of the most important Provinces of the Dominion. How will the Church stand?

With the deepest gratitude for your past help and a profound sense of how much of our present position we owe to it,

I am, Very Sincerely Yours,

R. RUPERT'S LAND.

