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then last wamable prove of fiemdibip. In then with a Benediction dismised the mesting ${ }^{\circ}$ "


## TORGNTU PUOLLU LIBRAKY

## From the Port Fiope Guide.

"Presentation or a Perse.-Our readers areaware that since the commenco meat of last July, in consenuence of the temporary absence of the leector, the Rav. Mr. Hichin has omiciated for the congre,gation of St. John's Church in this Town. Sovema moniews of the Church, mwilling that M:. Hichie, on the ex. peeted return of Mr. Sho:t, shoud leare withut an acknowldjment of his acceptable serviees duing the above time, detenmed to presemt hin, on the evening of the deth inst, with a fuml amunting to filty pounds, collected by the Ladies
 prepanatury note was sant to the Rer. Genticman, who, until then, was nut atare of what had taken place." • *

## From the Port INope Atlas.

"Tra Mev. Mr. Htueie. - Dor some time past, the services is St. John'a Chuels and the duties of the parish have been conducted by the Rev. Mr. Hickie, ata mile, eamast, zaalous, and sensible preacher. The Rector, Mr. Shortt, whose phace Ni. Ifickic has so eficiently filled, having recently returned from England, Mi. Hickic leaves Port Hope, but carries with him the best wishes, not of his own conyregation merely, but of all denominations of Christians here. The following letter shows, very umistakably, how highly his services are appreciated":-

Weduesday Murning, 4th November, 1857.
Reverend and Dear Sne,-We have much pleasure in communcating that a Fund, collectod by the Ladies, amounting to Fifty pounds, has been placed in our hands for the parpose of being presented to you as a gift, at the close of your labours, at the Bible Chass meeting this erening. This fund is the contribution of some mombers of St. John's Chureh, of this Town; and is intended to be presented as a sliyht token of their appreciation of the valuable services reudered to this congregation during your sojourn amonerst us. With every wish for the future welfare of yourself and family, both here and hercafter.

## Believe us,

> Reverend and Dear Sir, Your sincere Friends,

> B. SEYMOUT, DAVID SMART,
> H. H. MEREDITH.

## To

## The Reverend

 Jons Hickre,"The presentation took place in the Temperance Hall, at the close of the Bible Class services, which were conducted by the Rev. Messrs. Brent and Hickie. The Hall was densely crowded. David Smant, Esq., after delivering an appropriate and affectionate Address, in the name and on behalf of the congregation, prescnted the ir 'nded gift. Mr. Hichie made a suitable reply, gratefully thanking his audience. dir invariable kindness during his sojourn among them, and for their last valuable proof of friendship. He then with a Benediction dismissed the meetiag."

## A DEFENCE

OF THE

## 

## AND <br> "VINDICATION."

## BY THE REV. JOHN HICKIE,

late incumbent of omeneg.

"If the world hate you, ye know that it hated me before it hated you. It re were of the world, the worid would love his own: but because ye are not of the world, but Thave chosen you out of the world, therefore the world hateth you, Remember the word that I said unto you, the servant is not greater than his ford. If they have persecuted me, they will also persecnte you; if they have kept ony saying, they will keep yours also. But all these things will they do unto you for my name's sake, because they know not him that sent me." John 15, 18-21.
"Here I am determined by the grace of God to conques or die; and have taken the following for a motto, and have placed it before me on the mantel-piece, 'stand thon as a beaten anvil to the stroke; for it is the property of a good warrior to beflayed alive, and yet conquer.'" Dr. Adam Clarke.

## THE BISHOP'S COURT.

## (From the Ecclesiastical Gazette.)

"Saturday, 29th Soptember. Tho Court met at ono o'clock, the Chancellor, "at the instance of his Lordship the Hishop, pronounced the judgnent of the "Court in the case of the Rev. Mr. Lewis," (Incumbent of Prescott,) "which "was in substance as fellows :-"The case against the Rev. gentleman" ("for "conduct unbecoming a Clergyman, and tending to bring scandal on the "church") "whs considered proved with the exception of the worus "false and "malicious" contained in one portion of the charge." "It contained an ad"monishment to the Rev. defendant, and condemned him in the costs of the "proceedinga, suspension to follow if such costs are not paid up within a month. "Mr. M. C. Cameron applied for leave to appeal, which was noted.
${ }^{6 T h e}$ case of the Rev. Mr. Hickie was then called on, but the defendant did "not appear personally or by counsel. The service of the cotice on the Rev. "gentleman to attend having been proved, and proof having been also adduced "that he was the author of the pamphlet with the publication of which he was "charged, the Councellor real from the pamphlets (the same being fied on record "in the Court,) several passages denying the doctrines of the Holy Trinity; that " our blessed Lord had a reasonable soul counected with his body; and the neces"sity of the holy sacraments, \&c., \&c.,--the Court then adjourned to deliberate, "and on reassembling, the Honourable the Chancellor by request of the Bishor "read the judgment of the Court, by which sentence of deprivation was pronounc"ed against the Rev. defendant, and he was further cordemned in the cost of "the proceedings."
The difference in the judgment in the two foregoing cases mast forcibly strike the impartial reader. For in the case of Mr. Lewis, in which "scandalous and nnbecoming couduct was considered proved," there was only "an admonish. ment." Not even a suspension, unless the compliant attendants on Dr. Strachan's Court should : delayed in getting the rewards of their servility in the cause of their lawned benefactor. Whereas I have been deprived of my pension without being charged with any crime, except that I did not act the hypocrite and dissemble my religious sentiments. The "Diskop's Court, a remnant of tyrannical Popery, is now extablished by Dr. Strachan and John Hilliard Cameron in To. ronto ; and we may seo henceforward (according to the custom of the Romish Church) the Episcopal Minister stripped of his means of subsistence for a devia. tion from Puseyite "Orthodoxy," and the "scandalous" druakard and debauchee Let vistullty yotree.
"What will become of tho Christian Church? Who will endure hardships na "a good soldier for Jesus Christ? Where is the Christing, man or woman, that "will come out decidedly on the Tord's side, and diligently oppose the errors, and "sins, and follies of the present age?
' O I sleep not thou, as others do ;
Awake, be vigiltant, be brave:
The coward and the sluggard ton
Must wear the fetters of the slave.'
" $O$ for a baptism of the heavenly fire! $O$ for a light from heaven! $O$ Lord! "wilt thou not revive us again". May the clouds of wrath pass away, and the sun
" of righteousness shine with healing in his wings. Muy the church awake to a
"sense of her true condition, "loor these things I weep; mine eye, mine eye
"runneth dowa with water, because the comforter that should relieve my soul is
"far from me: my children are desolate, lecause the enemy prevailed." "Lam.

1. 16. (Mcthodist Protestant.)

I woukd avail myself of this occasion to say, that instead of gaining any peetuniary recompense for the labour which I underwent in writing and getting pulslished the pamphlets of which this is a dofence-insteul of gatining, I have been at great loss. I distributed several handreds of the "(iuspel Church," mud never accepted money for one of them. I also gave nway mmy numbers of the "Vindication," and what I did sell did not, at the price I remerally asked for them, I ay the one-third of what their primting cost. However, I intend, whatever matr be the sacrifice, not to cease from circulating what I belicre more and more every day to be the unadulterated truth of the Gospel; relying on the positive word of Jehovah, that "He that grocth forth and weepeth, bearing precious seed, shall donbtloss come again with rejoicing, briuging his sheaves with him." Ps. cxxvi, 6 .

O Lord! d the sun vake to a mine eye y soul is " Lam. ting pulsave been nd never e" V'inhem, ! ay mar be ore every word of ed, shall exxvi, 6.

# ITLIIS FROM TIIE PRESS. 

"A Vindication of the Pamphet enlled the "Gospel Church"; by a Clergy. "man-Peterborouylh, published by ' 1 '. \& R. White.
"This pamphlet is, as its title impunts, a delence of a former pmphlet by the "game author, und which, becanse of its extremely "low church," or, as the "writer would perhaps profer" us to cull it, evangelienl views, incured the dis"pleasure of a certain Doctur of Divisity, and of the Church Niewspaper, "The "Echo." The views propomaded upeab somewhat originnl-decidedy not such "us we should have looked for from a Chergynan of the Church of dinglame "but the 'Viarlicution' affionds ample proof that they are not without the author. "ity of Holy Writ, nor do they lack the suphort of some of the ablest Christian "Authors. For ourselves, we musesitatingly endonse the opinions advanced ; for "our readers, we recommend them to procure the pemplet and judge fur them-"selves."-Peterborough Review.
${ }^{6}$ The questions, which have for some time ayitated the ranks of the lepisco. "palians in Cinada W'est, lie between the Livamrelical und the Hiph Chareh, cr ${ }^{6}$ Puserite wings of the denomination-cach chaming to be more truly orthodos "and C'atholic than the other. Anoner others, the Rev. Tohn Hickie, an Epis. "copalian Clereyman of the Vills.ge of Omenee, in the dionese of Joronto, C'ars. "ada West, went inio a carefind and thorough cxamination of the clams of hoth "parties. Alter n loug and faithful investigation, he, undonbtedly to his own "surprise, as well as that of others, came onst in a new field unknown and unfore. "secn even to himself. He becrume satisfied that both parties wele cherishing "orroneous sentiments, and that the Episcopal Church embodicd in its creeds " and forms much that is unsarmated ly the Jible. Joinif un honest and con"scientions man, he at once announced his convictions to the world.
"The Peterborough Review, published in Peterborowigh, C. W., of the 13 th "ult., contains a statement of this aftais, from which we copy the following ex" tract:-
"Mr. Hickic, having persistently for a considerable time balanced the opinions "of both partics, secms to lave cone fully and determinately to the conclosion, "that both the above parties are ir: crror; and that wher are called sacraments "were to be only of temporary use and obligation, till the carly converts to "christinnity wonld know the will of the Lord more perfectly at the time of the
"coming of the Loid with power, that is, at the destruetion of Jerusalem; after
"which time, the meridian blaze of Chist's truth and glory would cause all the
"christian worshippers to worship the Father "in spivit and in truth."
"Mr. Hickie wrote a panphlet setting forth his viows as to the gross errors
${ }^{16}$ which, be thought, prevailed concerning the sacraments. In it he explained

- the dectrine of the Trinity; which shonld be noderstood ns menning a three-fold "manifestation of the one Lorl, an! mot three distinct individnal Beings. He
"also expozed in it the erroneous notion of our Saviour poserssing a hmman
"rational sonl, when a sensitite soul omly was necessary; the divine nature per-
"forming the functions of reason, end supplying the place of what we call the
"mind-the spiritual and intellectual principle in man. This pamphlet having
"been circulated widely during the preceding year, and having been defendod
"from the pulpit and in private by Mr. Hickie, he thought it right to ascertain
"monequivocally and publicly, whether his ministrations, aceording to his well
"known views and teachings, were acceptable to his congregation, and whether
"they wished him to continue to olliciate amoar them. Notice to this effect hav-
" int been given ont in the church on Sunday, the 27 th of March, an unusually
"large meeting took place on the next daty, when, after hearing Mr. Hichie at
"length, only one * in the assemblare was found to vote against his remaining.
"On the Sth of May following, a commission, comprising Arehdeacon Bethme,
"and two elerical associate inguisitors, (Messis. Allen and Short) arrived in Omo
"mee. No publie notice had been given, but snch was the interest felt, that the
"Church was densely crowded. The commission, having commenced to take
"evidence as to Mr. Hickic being the author of the two pamphlets generally at-
"tributed to him, and having declared that there was no other charge of any kind
"against him, Mr. Mickie thereupon rose, and, after having frankly proclaimed
"himself the author, requested to be heard for one half hour ; engaging at the
"same time to show, how the members of the commission were as far asunder in
"their religious views and teachings as the Poles; and that after speaking the allot-
"ted time, if he should not succeed in proving his pamphlets to be scriptural, and
"the doctrines held by the members of the Commission respectively to be erron-
"eous in the estimation of the audience, he would forthwith burn all his pamph
$\because$ lets. This reasonable proposal the Commission repeatedy and perseveringly
"refused, stating that they were acting in the capacity of a grand jury to hear
"only one side of the question, and that an opportunity would be given to Mr.
"Hickic to speak in his defeace before any ulterior measures concerning hmm
"would be resorted to. † Mr. Hiekie further challenged one or all of the Com-
"missioners, or any person to be selected by them, to meet him in a public discus-
"sion on the disjuted points, at any place or time, before twelve or more of the in-
"habitants; buv the whole three revernd gentlemen ahsolntely decined all con-
"troversy: and refused to hear Mr. Hickie"s de "ence. Such arbitrary dencanor
"of the Commissioners, coupled with very uncomplincontary language to Mr. Hickie
"and the mecting from the two clerical attendants, lad the effect of raising the
"excitement of the congregation to an indiscribatio height. Mr. Hickie pro-
"duced an address to him from seventysin of his congregation, which had been
"sigued in two or three days, requestin" him to contime among them.
"On the 20th insuant it was communicated to Mr. Hiekic, that Arehdeacon
*This ferson is a Mr. Willim Turner, lately a storelneper in Omemee, who had been appointed Churcl. Warden some time before by me, and whose violent nispleasure 1 incurred for reprimanding his ill-behaved children is the Sunday School.

> "Forgiveness to the injured doth belong,
> He never pardons, who hath tone the wrong."
$\dagger$ The "uiterior measures" coneerning me were commeneed shortly affer the above occurrence; but the opportunity of speaking in my defence, which was promised publicly to me, was never given. The promise, even at the time it was soade, was judged by all present to be more jestitical than real.
a three-fold eings. He of a haman nature perwe eall the hlet lasving n defendod to ascertain to his well ad whether ; effect hav. n unusually - Hickie at remaining. in Bethme, ed in Omes It, that the ed to take neralls atof any lind proclaimed ring at the asunder in ig the allotptural, and o be crronhis pamph rseveringly ary to hear ven to Mr. rning hmı the Combhie diseusof the ined all condencanor Mr. Hickie aising the lichie prohad been
rehdeacon
emce, who ose violent e Sunday which was ine it was
" Bethune Ifad written a letter to one * of the Church Wardens, to sas, that, hy "the direction of lho Bhshop of 'Toronto, Mr. Hickie shombla no lomger vificiate in "the Chureh at Omemee, and that he should be kept ont of the parsonare. $f$ 'The
"Church was therefore locked, so as io hinder Mr. Hiekie and the conyrewation
"from meeting there on Baster Sunday. The people were very much incensed,
"and found means of opening their Chureh for themselves and their minister;
"though the latter for peace sake urgently for two or three days previously had
"pressed his wish for leaving the Clurch and for preaching in the Town Hall.
"Finding on the Sunday morning that the Chureh was open and the congrega-
"tion assombled therein waiting for him, he gratified their desire, as he had re-
"ceived no direct prohibition to do so. For the same reason he presided at the
"vestry meeting next day, when a number of people attended. On this occasion
"the obnoxions Church Warden was got rid of, Mr. Hickie was unamimonsly
"voted thanks, and was requested to eontinue to prench in the Church. Mr.
"Hiekio thanked them ; but expressed decidedly his determination not to trouble
"the Clurcl.. but to preach in the Town Hall for the future. $\ddagger$
"Although this cose beare hard on Mr. Hickic, yet, as it exhibits in the elcurest "light the arbitrary, unjust, and venomous spirit, wheh is engendered by religit "ous partialism in every form, we are confident it will lead to the promotion of "liberal Christianity in Canada West. The people will not countenance perse"cution for an honest difference of opinion. Let "Orthodoxy," persist in ex"hibiting its horns and hoofs, and in pushing and kicking with the sane. It "will soon find itself deserted ly all the high-minded and generous hearted."New York Christian Ambassador.

## "To the Rev. Mr. Hickie, Omfaree.

"Rev. Sin, -I mderstand that, on a recent oceasion, you invited to a public "disenssion any clergyman, who ventured to eall in question the correctness of eer"tainstringe opinions, put forth by you in the pulpit and the press. I'his was a bold "challenge, and, if unanswered, might leave an impression on people's minds that

[^0]"Sour npinions could not be controverted or denied. Now, I be; of you to bear " in mind, that at the time of your ordination, you, in the most solemn manner, "pledged yourself to preach mul teach in accordance with the naticles of that "Church, of which I am an unwothy minister. If you are, or consider yourself "a minister of that Church, there can be no matter of dispute hetween us, as we "both pledred ourselves to defend and promulate the same great fimdamental "truths of the Chrisian relizion. If, however, in accordance with the statement "in your pamphlet, page 62, ("I am not the hived teacher or ohedient instrument "of any religions party or denomination,") you made a public declaration that "you have seceded from the Church of Eughand, that you deny her articles and "refinte her discipline; I shall be most happy to meet you in discussion, when and "where you please, it heing fully understood that I appear to defend, you to ass"sail, the doctrines of the Chureh of Eingland.
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { I am, hev. Sir, } \\
& \text { Your Ohedient Servant, }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

"Lindsay, April 22nd, $18: 9$.

## "To the Edilor of the Omemee Warder. <br> " mr. vicars's chadmenge consimered.

"Mr. Entron,-I have lately seen in the columns of the Omemee Warder, two "letters against a pauphlet called the "Gospel Church." One of the writers "designates himself "an mworthy Church warden," and the other writer desiry. "nates himself "an unworthy Minister." Now, however unworthy they, in their "assumed humility, may have considered themselves, or others may have consid. "ered them, yet one thing is plain to all reasomable persons who have read their "productions, that they are maworthy of a reply. For, what is the substance of "the seve":l charges brought against me? Why, that I act inconsistently with "what I pledged myself to, "in the most solemn manner," at my ordination.
"Now, let us look at some of those pledges to be found in the "Ordering of "Priests," in our prayer-books. The Bishop says to the Candidate for the Priest-"hood:-"Are you persuaded that the Holy Scriptures contain sufficiently all "Doctrine required of necessity for eternal salvation through faith in Jesus Christ". "And are you determined, out of the said Scriptures, to instruct the people com"mitted to your charge, and to teach nothing, as required of necessity to eter. "nal salvation, but that which you shall be persualed may be concluded and "proved by the Scriptures?
"Ansmer-I am so persuaded, and have so determined by God's grace.
"" The Bishop-Will you be ready, with all faithful diligence, to banish and ""drive away all crroneons and strange doctrines contrary to God's Word; and ""to use both public and private monitions and exhortations, as well to the sick "'as to the whole, within your cure, as need shall require, and occasion shall be "'given?
""Answer-I will, the Lord being my helper.
""The Bishop-Will you be diligent in prayers, and in reading of the Holy ""Scriptires, and in such studies as help to the knowledge of the same, laying ""aside the stuly of the world and the flesh?
""Anstwer-I will endeavour myself so to do, the Lord being my helper.
"When, in aecordance with those pledges taken "in the most solemm manner,"
" I used "diligence in reading of the Holy Scriptures, and in such studies as help "to the knowledge of the same, laying aside the stady" of the word and the "flesh," (which, that all Ministers wonld do, is the greaty needed and manimous"ly wished for thing) and when "I determined ont of the sain scriptures to in"struct the people committed to my charge, and to teach nothing, as required "of necessity to eternal saluation, but that which I became persuated may bee "conchaded and proved lyy the Scriptures,"-when, I say, after long and laborivos "stady, I determined humbly to benefit my fellow-men with the fruits of my re. "searches, I was immediately assailed with charges of unfaithfrluess to my ordin"ation vows, \&e. It is true, that the phedges, which I, through ignorance, made, "of maintaining man-invented, inti-seriptural doetrines or forms, I considered, on "observing their erroneonsness, would he (like Herod's oath) " more honored in "the breach tham in the observance." Let any candid person read the sixth As"ticle of the Church, and then tell me how I could have acted otherwise than I "have doas.
"Here I nay be told that in outstripping the Protestant doctrines I outstrip "the Reformers themselves. And what if I do? Is there not a canse? For "thongh the Refomers, conducted by Divine grace, did wonders in casting off "the great bulk of the incmstations of Popery, yet their hands were too finl, and "their spinitual insight was of so late a date, that we are maturally surprised how "exceedingly well they did in their day. But we have not the same dificulties "and pessecutions to contend with as they had; white we enjoy their invahable "works and example, inciting us, not to indolence and lomish-ike unconcern, "but to investigation, perseverance, and decision. Mosheim, writing in defence "of the Reformers of the Sisteenth Century, says in prage 8.3, vol. 2:-"The "" glorions defenders of religions liberty, to whom we owe the varions blessings of "" the leformation, as they were conducted only by the suggestions of their natn""ral sagacieg, whose advances in the pursuit of kuowledge are gradual and pro""gressive, could not at once behold the truth in all its lustre, and in all its ""extent, lint, as moually happens to persons that have been long accustomed to ""the darkness of ignorance, their approaches towards knowledge were but slow, ""and their views of things but imperfect." "

[^1]""To the law and to the testimony," then, and I would even add, look to "the prager-book. For in the last rubriek after the "Communion," it is said, "that it is "against the truth of Chuist"s natural body to be at one time in more "places than one," or to suppose a corporal presence in the Lord"s Supper; and "yet we pray that we may be partaker, of Christ's most blessed body, \&e. Wut "Mr. Viears will not go with me to the Scriptures, nor to the prayerbook, in "discussion; becanse, says he, "if you are, or consider yourself, a Minister of "that Church, there can be no matter of dispute between us." liy "that "Church" Mr. Viears means the Church of Enybud; and it surprises me how "Mr. Vicars can be ignorint of the well lanown fact, how that its Ministers c very"where, for the last three centuries, have hal "Llection," "Predestination," "and "Fres Will," as "matter: of dispute" between them. And who has not "heard of the notorions disputings between the High-Churcia and Low.Chareh; "necmsine each other of respectively shiding into Rome and Cieneva; handying "the bickering epithets of Altitudinatians, Latitulinarians, 1'ericious Heretics, "demilminte, de., de. $\dagger$ Mr. Vicars should, for peace sake, send to the respee "tive parties throughnt the British empixe, as weil as to his clerical brethren in "this Dincese, (where both parties are about equally divided) an encyclical letter "to awaken them all ont of their suicidal revery; for that if they "are, or con"sider themselves Ministers of that Church, there can be no matter of dispute "betueen them."
"Mr. Vicars further says, "if you made a puhlic declaration that you have "seceded from the Church of Eugland, that you deny her Articles and refute her
"followed Christ. Their teaching must be tried by the "law and the testimony **"
"In what part of the inspied writing: are we taught that the influence which renders the ministry fflectunt is communicated, like an electrie slock, by carnal contact? The doctrine of apostotion suceession is nothing but a Popish firment, invented to supply a fictitions title to a class of men who have no valid cham to

$\dagger$ Who has not read or heard of the mecmly and discreditable scenss wheh of late have been wituessed at St. Paul's, Kniphtsmidye, St. Bamabas', Piadico,
 ed Church, ia Eughad, on Easter Sunday, Istio, carried on by the Evangelicals or Low Church party and instigated (most probally) by dissenters corertly, was pungh, I do believe, to put to the hush the Funcy lately congregated at Farnhorough, to abet the disynsting encomer between sayens mill Heenan. How very trme the remark, that often "an error is cmacifen, while crime is hugged." Certainly the Rev. Bryan Kinw, with his intoning Cuates and whiterobed choristers, seemed to have more regard for religion-anotwithetanding all their ridictlons nonsense-than the "stanch Protestants" whoo, like the incarmate fiends at the time of the Freuch revolution, chamed in mockery the praises of Cod, hurled their bibles, prayer-books, and cushons at the senseless decomations in the Church, and attacked most rudely the silly othiats. Sinch iredigions and disgraceful firuit on the part of the Low Church, shows the nature and quality of the tree; unless they assert with the I. Catholics that "the wrath of man worketh the righteous: ness of Cod, James i 20," and that they "may du evil, that good might come."
add, look to ," it is said, time in more Supper; and $d y, \& c$. But ayerbook, is a Minister of
liy" that rises me how inisters cuery"destination," who has not Low.Church; a; bandying wis Iferetics, to the respee 1 hrethren in aclical letter "are, or cou$r$ of dispute a:t you have ind refute her Inence which ck, by carmal pish firment, alid claim to . 2.36.
enes which of as', Pimutico, last mentionEvangelicals corertly, was then at Farnenau. How is hugged." roled choris. their ridichnate fiends at t fiod, hurled in the Church, raceful firuit tree; muless he righteousaight come."
"discipline, I shall be most happy to meet sou in discussion, "\&e." The Bishop "ame clerey may adnire Mr. Vicars"s hernical determination of entering into the "threatened polemical disenssion; lut Mr. Vicars has his own mivate opinion, "awh thimks "discretion to be the beter part of valour." This is plain from the "indispensable condition on which he can mect me, riz:-That I made a public "declaration of so and so, which he well knows I never did-Mr. Viears wats "me to reject all the Articles, doctrines, and discipline of the Church; in shont, "to leave it; holding to the adige, "That it is a had wind that will not bow "somolode rood." I have clearly and openty awodmy opinions; Mr. Vicars "knows them, calls them strance in his letter, purposes to lecture on them; and " still will nut venture into a dischesion of them, bat on a certain condition!!!

I remain, Mr. Editor,
Yours very truly,

## JOHN HICKIE.

Omemee, April 29th, 1839.
*The following (as I have accidentally heard) is from a lagman wion lives near Ieterborough:-

## "To the Elitor of the Peterborough Examiner.

"Mr. Editor,-Church Estahishments have always been the mblushing advo"cates of mental slavery. They are unfuestionably "great marvels," and are "surely deserving of ermeful support, becanse they abrogate the tabour of think"ing, and dispense with the fatiguing task of iaguiry and private judgment, and "with it the preplexities often attendant thereon!! He, who enters within the "precincts of an estallishment, hows his neck to the yoke at the portals; and har"ing gromoneed the required shibboleth, sacrificed to the idnl mitomity, and "registered his consent and helief to the required propositions, the they juispic"uous or mpsterions, consistent or discrepmat-having renomeced liberty of "thought, surembered his judgment, and ensiaved his convictions, he prostrates "himself before the anthority whieh stanys him slave, and which, heing to him "more potent than conscience, (the arbiter of right) exoneraters hin from the "conserquences of holief. State Churches have, in fact, reversed the legitimate "operation of the intellectual powers; nay, with awfil presumption they have "done the same with the teachisgs of inspration. The very religion which came "from God has leere employed to rear the Inquisition, and kid.Ale fires for the "martyrs. Christianit, has no tendency to break the hmman spirit or to make "man i slave. It contains nothing netrowing or depressing, nud nothing of the "littleness of systems, which crajt and ambition have engendered. It contains "no yoke of ceremonies; no outu"ard religion. It is a State Chureh which per"emptorily decrees to the mind, "Hither shalt thou come but no farther;" "which draws a line, beyond which no energy must presume to pass; which de. "scribes a circle, whose magic boundaries must for ever confine the knowledge "and information of man. But their might and power have passed the meridian. "Knowledge in its onward floorl has long since swept beyond the sites which sup. "port their ponderous foundation. And, as each succeeding wave is silently "advancing, it truly indicates the extent of the progress of moral and intellect "ual powers in arms against this giant evil, which has been in every age the ally "of the tyrant and the foe of religivus liberty."

[^2]
## To the Editor of the Omemee Warder.

"Omenee, June 8th, 1859.

*     * "P. S.-I argain challenge Mr. Vicars to meet me in fair, open con"troversy, on the points of doctrina on which we differ.

The above challenge is still unaccepted, and, in the words of Mr. Vicars, this circumstance leaves an impression on people's minds that Mr. Hichie's "opinions could not be controverted or denied."

Uctuber, 1860.
"Nor should a student in divinity imagine that our age is arrived at a full un"derstanding of everything which can be known by the Scriptures. Every age "since the Reformation hath thrown some further light on dillicult texts and par"agraphs of the Bible, which have been long onscured by the early rise of Auti"christ: and sinee there are at present many dillieulties and darknesses hanging "about certain truths of the Christian religion, and since several of these relate "to important doctrines, such as the origin of sin, the fall of Adam, the person of "Christ, the blessed Trinity, and deerees of Cod, de., which do stiil embariass "the minds of honest and inquiring readers, and which make work for noisy con"troversy; it is certain there are several things in the Bible yet unknown, and
" $n$ ot sufficiently explained; and it is certain that there is some uay to solve "these dificulties, and to reconeile these seeming contradietions. And why " may not a sincere searelier of truth in the present age, by labour, diligence, "study, and prayer, with the best use of his reasoning powers, find out the proper "solution of those knots and perplexities which have hitherto been unsolved, and "which have afforded matter for angry quarrelling? Happy is every man whe "shall be favoured of Heaven, to give a helping hand towards the introduction of "the blessed age of light and love."-Dr. Isaac Watts.

Combe on the "Constitution of Man" relates the observations of two eminent men. The first observation is from the present Arehbishop of Dublin, who says: "In proportion as any brauch of study leads to important and useful results, in "proportion as it gains ground in public estimation-in proportion as it tends to "overthrow prevailing errors-in the same degree it may be expeeted to call "forth angry declamation from those who are trying to despise what they will not "learn, and are wedded to prejudices which they cannot defend. Galileo probab" ly would have escaped persecution, if his discoveries could have been disproved, "and his reasonings refuted. 'But till the advocates of Christianity will have "beeome universally much better acquainted with the true character of their re"ligion, than, universally, they have ever yet been, we must always expect that "every branch of studf, every scientific theory, that is brought into notice, will "be assailed on religious grounds, by those who either have not studied the sub. "ject, or who are incompetent judges of it; or again, who are addressing them-
"selves to such persons as are so circumstanced, and wish to excite and to take "advantage of the passions of the ignorant. Illectere si nequco superos, The second observation is from the Rer. A. Sedgwick, who says:-"A Brab"min crushed with a stone the microscope that first showed him living things "among the vegetables of his daily food. The spirit of the Brahmin lives in "Christendon. The bad principles of our natme are not bounded by caste or "climate; and men are still to be found who, if not restrained by the wise and "humme !aws of their country, would try to stiffe by personal violence, and crush " hy brute force, every truth not hatched among their own conceits, and confined "within the narrow fences of their own ignorance." $\dagger$
"Now if persons who have picked up these objections from others, and take "for granted they are of weight, upon the word of those from whom they receiv"ed them, or, by often retailing of them, come to sec, or fancy they see, thern to "to be of weight, will not prepare themselves for such an examination, with a "competent degree of knowledge; or will not give that time and attention to "the subject, which, from the nature of it, is necessary for attaining sueh infor"mation: in this case, they must remain in doubtless ignormee, or error; in "the same way as they must, with regard to common sciences, and matters of "common life, if they negleet the necessary means of being infurmed in them." -Bp. Butler's Analogy of Religion, page 259.
The following extract is from the "Catholic Layman," a monthly periodical published in Dublin, by Clergymen of the Chureh of Eugland:-"The history of "such a revolution of opinion as this (effected by Galileo) affords us a striking "proof of how little value are argments by which it is often attempted to pro"vent any reformers from even getting a hearing. It is of no purpose to ask the "reformer, "Do you pretend that all the rest of the world are wrong, and that "you alone are in the right? Are you wiser than all the great men who have "lived and been honoured before you? Must we look on them as simpletons and "idiots"? Questions tike these are often addressed to the putters forth of strange "doctrine, and seem well calculated to reduce them to silence if they have any "modesty at all. And, yet, we see from this history (of Gahileo) that it is quite "possible that the reformer may be in the right, and all the rest of the world in "the wroug; and we learn to be cautious how we refuse a hearing even to what "is most opposed to our prejudices, since it may happen to us to find truth where "we least expect it.

[^3]"We have seen several maintain that the question is decided at once arainst "Luther, Melarecthon, and the rest of them, hy the mere fact that they were inno"vators, and were putting forward views at varince with those held by the great "body of Christians in their times. To one who looks at the guestion rightly, "this is just a reason why their doctrines are entitled to a very serions cxamina"tion. If they had been hazy, menuring: men, they would have continued to "believe as their fithers had believed before them-they would have swallowed "everything indiscriminately. The fact that after stulying both sides they chang"ed their opinions-that they gave up opinions which had all the weight of m"thority to commend them, aud adopted others which conld have no recoumond. "ation at all, unless it were the fore of truth which combelled them to recerive "them-this fact is one which ourcht to induce a candid person to give a very "sarious consideration to the argunents by which they professel to have been "inflinenced.
"Galifeo had to face a powerful party, which, when invited to look on an ob"ject in the heavens which Aristotle had never suspectel, immediately refused "all evidence to those seases to which at other times they so confitenty appabed. "Plagiarist! liar! impostor! herelic! were the malignat exclanations lyy which "the poor philozopher was unsparingly assailed. In one of his keters he expres"ses strongly the hopeleszuess of convineing prople who were resulved not to be "convinced." See No. of Octetior 15, 18.3." *
"In the "Chronological Tables" appented to Monheim's histury, we are told that in the vithl Ceatury "Virgilins was also acensed of herecy be Pope Zachat, because he was a grod mathematician, and helieved the existence of Antipores." We are there told further of Ceccus Asculanas, who, in the xath Contury, "was burnt at Florence by the Juquisition for making some experinent. in mechenic; that appeared miraculous to the vulgar." Aut towe notsee "lomest (iminabli" at the present day yielding deferene to the imposition long practiond hy the pricsts at Naples concerning the liguefuction of St. Jumarins' hood, hecanse he deems it politieally necessary to do so? 'It is the considemation of expectiency which causes most people of discernment to reject, or he careless abont truth. I have experienced as much indifference to Giospel truth amony all the lvotestant sects (clerical mallay) as among Homan Catholies; and ns much umeasonable bitterness of disposition towards me, especially from the aged who wish to be accomed religious.
"The Christian prays for fuller manifestations of Christs power and olory and "love to him; but he is often not aware that this is, in truth, praying to he brought "into the furnace: for in the furnace only it is that Christ can walk with his "friends, and displar, in their preservation and deliverance, His own Almighty "power."-Rev. E. Nangle. thy the great stion rightly, tons cxaminacontinued to we swallowed ss they chang. weight of aureconmend. 'm to receive 0 give a iery to have heen onk on nn obately refused tiy appacaled. (1ns ly which rs he expres. ed not to be
, we are told ape \%achant, Antipores." matury, "wass in mechonics " G:milahli" hy the facests ase he demms lirncy which wh. I have otestant sects mable hitter. be accomuted
nd mory and to be irought ralk with his vn Almighty

## PREFACE.

I intend in this preface to say but little of myself. The well disposed reader would sympathise with me were he to know the losses sustaned by me for conncience sake; but sympathy from man is not to le relied on. If a person, who breats the carrent of ignorance and prejudier, have not confort and help from above, he is of all men most miserable. For he has not only to endure the rude nssaults of the mprincipted wortding, who too often has intuence in the conyre. gation and even at oficiat pesition in it, bat ho has also to suffer from the jealous insinuations and cahmuious reports, industrionsly circulated by hireling Ministers and their obsequins ndherents. However, "I believed, and therefore have I spoken."

> "Should varth against my soul engage, And hellish dart be hyidd, Then I can smite at Sutais raze, And face a frowning worh."

Protestant ministers affect to commiserate the want of intelligence, mind, and nipity, whervable in Roman Catholics, in a ilind obedience to the despotie sway of their miests, who have ahsolute dominion over the fath of their flochs, and will not aike them the right of pricate judgment. Jut when their own errors are prochamm, they then take the very same gromad as the priests, and, on the con, troverial punts, formad their hearers, as stringently end as unreasombly, the exercise of their reasoning fowers. They stopenmiry by alleging that the Church ha; "authority in controversics of faith." Thus the divines of the Church of Enghand, thealing exactly in the steps of lopery, enacted the same method for deciliur controversy.
In a mote in Mosheim, p. 280, we read:-"These di:ines have been confuted "hy the leamed Dr. Whithy, in his important work, concerning the interpreta"tion of Seripture after the monner of the Fathers, which was pmblished at "London in swo. in the year 1714, under the following title: A Dissertation, $\$$ "c. "In this dissertation, which was the forerumer of the many remarkable attempts "that were afterwards male to deliver the right of private judgment, in matters "of religion, from the ; aints of hmman anthority, the judicious author has "shown, first, that the Holy Scripture is the only rule of faith, and that by it "alone we are to julge of the doetrines that are necessary to salvation; secondly, "that the fathers, both of the primitive times and also of succeeding ages, are "extremely deficient and unsuccessful in their exphications of the sacred writhors: "and, thirdly, that it is impossible to terminate the debates that have been raised "concerning the Holy Trinity by the opinions of the Fathers, the decisions of "Councila, or by any tradition that is really universal. Fithe contradictions, abs.
"surdities, the romantic conceits, and extraragant fancies, that are to be found in "the commentaries of the Fithers, were never represented in such a ridiculous "point of view as they are in this performance."
In the "Gospel Church" P.11, I Alowed from Mosheim the awfinstate religion was in thring the Nicene Are of the fomrth century. Dr. Cumming in his ninth Lecture to le fond in his late work "lectures for the Tines" draws a mone bideous picture (if possible) of the same period wherein the doctrine of the Trinity was establiwhed, and concludes ay follows:-" We are driven from all aystems of "Eccesastical polity, from all preseriptions of patronage or popmar election, sim. "ply" "to the law and to the "estimony." It alone is the stamard of truth; its "tentimony alone is onr protection meanst cror. Whatever is according to this "Book, is trith; bnt if all the Bishops, and Fathers, and Doctors of the Universal "Church were to assert something not according to it, their consentameons assev. "erations would weigh but as a feather against one single text taken from the "Oracles of God."
Most of the persons that I have conversed with concerning my view of one in. dividual Spirit or Person oniy in the (iothead, exhinted in lievelation in his three offecs or attitudes of Lather, Som, mand Holy Chost, have, after al dispassionate hearing, admitted their entire concurrence with the same. With regard to our Lord having assumed at his incamation a rational, human som, the preconceived opinion proves more difficult of removal. For, owing to the hahitnal teaching and absence of consideration, there are unhappity conmected in peoples minds with the dea of Chist's hmman soul two things, viz:- the seat of compassion, and the action of mediation. In both these partientars (that $i$, in the source of compassion, and in the netion of a Mediator,) the great high pritst is cast into the shade, the sun of righteonsmess is celipsed, and the supplemented sonl is prominentiy set forth in his place. The Apostle l'anl, in Hel. iv, 14, 15, dechares that om:great high priest who "is passed into the heavens" in his official attitule of hedeemer; (for, as the absolute God, his throne was there from the time of their creation); and who can be touched with the feeling of our infirmities; (as we see from Jer. xaxi 20, Hos. xi \& de., the blessed God always was long hefore his inearnation); and who was in all points tempted like as we are; (as when satum said to our Lord, If thou be the Son of God, cast thyself down, \&c.:)-the Apostle Panl de clares this high priest to be the Son of God, that is, Christ in his Divine Nature. Then, when we consider the infinite holiness necessary in the Atoner, so as to qualify him to offer up an eligible expiation to his infinitely holy Godhead which was offended, we see the incapability of Christ's humam soul to offer such an ade. qute atonement. For the human soul of Chisist, even if he had oue, was undenibly finite. And as the competence and value of the Intercessor depends on the worth of the Expiator, therefore the nature of Clrist's human son's contribution of intercession for us is palpably absurd. Christ's human body was valuable in the way of our redemption; for through its instrumentality in passive ohed: ence and suffering, and in its bearing vicariously our sins on the tree, (lst Peter

## to be found in

 ha ridiculous1 state religion in his ninth drawn a mone of the Trinity all wistems of - election, vin. of truth; its orting to this the Universal theons assev. cen from the
ew of one in. min his three dispassionate cyard to our preconceived teaching and s minds with sion, and the of compasof the shade, minently set ne mor:great - hedeemer; (reation); efrom Jer. camation); said to our the Paul de ne Nature. er, so as to head which ach an ade. was undedepends on s contribuas valuable sive ohedi (lst Peter
3. 21.) those who rely hy faith on the atonement mady hy means of it, "are sancti-
 If nll this he true, I may wrely empuis what wow, or what is, the province, or use, of a bamas ratiomat somil to ous redeming (boul.

 Iy Popish and mancripural. Liexilen, in ofliciationg fecmatiog to their dexirn, rither aceorting to the Eiphecopal prayer bow or the Midhodist book of dis. ripline, I should be prochaning solomily and deliberately whit I believed to

 men are conerivel and horn insing and that one Saciome Chist suith, Nome can cutco juto the limwdan of (ionl, except ho be regenerate ant born anew of vater and of the Hhly cihost, de. Here the people ave umistaknhly instructed in the ductrine of Ibiptismal regencratima, "and also in the absolute necessity

- It wombld beomo the "low Churd" clerey rather to lay aside all evasion and equisocation; and, ats !ourg as they use their prewer book oflice of Baptism, finity to avow liaptismal lecenematin. This is the pinion of the candid str. Whatomery, as the following extrat firm his "fospel before the Aze" shews: "Lint the "is anothre view" (he sas:) "of the subject, viz:-whe is the doctrine "whichs the honest Churchman, whon professes to act ont the spirit of his Charch
 "purstion is simply this. Does the Churd of Emehme consides the eflieacy of "the haptisual sticement so comtingent a thins, and so baren a rite, as to regurd "the chit! in the same spiritual condition before buptism, as it does afler it "has been brptised. Now we framkly confess the sectarian alchymy, which eer"tain Low Churdhnen have applied to onr office of baptism, in order to chango "into another meaning certain terms which obvionsly inply a regeneration to "accompany the sucrement, -womh desorve a description we shonh be sony to "apply. "Truly those, who have leen strickea into horvors at a certain "mmber "ninety," maje legin ta trmhle at munher one, - that is, thematies. Perhaps "the records of the Church contain nothiag more jesnitically unfair than certain "atempts to prove this,-manely, that the Chued of Engtand in her office for "infant baptism dial not know what sho intented, -amd does not intend what sho "dechares - - Againstall this, one simple passauge in her service is an "anplo reply:-"Scemg now, deaty helowd hrethem, that this child is regen"errte, fre" But is this vegeneration mo sulstantive reality"? Is it a merc ideal "possibiliey, a contingent eomething which finth eamot apprehend? No, we beg "Hom to listren to the following werds:-"Let us sive thanks unto Almighty Goul "for these benefits." Here undonhtedy is a ruturn of yrateful payer, not for : "fiction or iden, hut for positive mul ascertainalle grod. But what follows is 'astill more conchave: "And with one accond make our mayers into Him, that "this child may lead the rest of his life according to this beginning." Now let. "us, for God's sake, be candid, - what can the Clmechmean ly "this hegiming," ""xepet it be a commenced life of the new creature in the second Adam, as op. "posed to the death of the ohl hife ly oriminal sin in the first Alam". No words "rom be more decisipe than theses and it is more uorshy a Popish controver. "sialist thren a minis/er of our reformed Cathoic Church, to bend these

of water laptism. "I man at ass to concerive what ather memaines con be at fached to the words, or for what other purpose are they reperted on kuch ocea *ions. Now, I do mot helieve thut our lard, in the forcoging pmssage of scripturn, meant materin! water; and in this helief I mu confirmed by severnd of the mont eminent Irotestat divines, whose manes I have given in pure is of my "S"indieation," on the anthority of the Hon. and lier. Bmptist Noel. I shall only add here what Bishop Jugtor says in his Liberty of l'ropheceying, payo 218, con, ecruing the passuge in Joln iii 5 :- "The water mad the spinit in this flace wignify the "same thing; and hy water is meant the eflect of the spirit clemsing and purity"ing the soul, as appears in its parallel of Christ bipitiong with the Spint mad "fire."
Again, in the prager following the address, our Snviour being haptized in tho Jordan is snin to hare sunctified veater "to the mysticul whathy awny of sin," or, "for this holy Saermment;" mud, therefore, shortly after, the sametifiention of the water, which is athout to be used in the performane of the rite, is bescought from God!! It was the fulfiling of ull riyhteonsurss, that is, the complying with all the obligntions of the ceremonial, as well as the moml haw, that our Saviour designed by his baptism, and not the sanctifacation of water. Indeed, it is high time we should give up such Romish puerilities.
Again, tho neconut, (recorded in Mark x;) of young children being brought to Christ, and being blessed by him, is addressed to the people present, who shalt stand up for the purpose of attending to it, and who shall be invited to hear it. Who would not believe that there wouk be some allusion, at least, to water haptism in it, when so prominenty bronght forward on such an occasion? And jet there is there nothing of the kind thronghont.

Agatin, the salvation of Nonh in the ark by wat an; at at the children of Isract being led sately through the lied Sea, are said to have been figurative of God's "holy baptisur." If the baptism by (iod, which is unguestionalhy "holy;" (i. c. confering and promoting holinessi) and which was always folt and experienced, even from the fill of man, by God's people-if the "one baptism" through which "ho eun shanit "eare all baptized into une body"-if this inwand baptism by

- I can show from the highest authorities of the Chureh of Lingland that the exphation of the sacmanents being generally necessary to salvation, mems "universully i.c. to wll men," as fle Provosts Catechism in Trinity Cullege, Toronto, defines the word "renerally." I shath here only instance a pamphlet written by the Rer. W. B. Knight, eximining Chaplain to the Bishop of Lhandaft, This panphet is professedly writen for the conversion of Baptiste, :mat has been printed and circulated by the great Church society "for promoting Christian knowledge." It says:- "The third text which I design to examine is John iii 5 , "SAxeept a man be bom of water and of the spirit, he camot enter into the "Kingdom of God." There camot be a stronger proof than this very verse con"tains, that no person whaterer, man, woman, or child, cem, in the revealed "way, enter into the Kinydom of God, muless he be born of water as well as of "the spirit, as mentioned in the text. The Spirit is not more capressly declar"ed to be cssential than water. Boih ure essential."

Cton low at a kuch ocea of sicripturv, of the mont my " 'Vimdi. all only ald 1:3, concermesifnity the and purity: surit mud tized in tho wiy of sin," ctification of is besomult mblying with cur' Saviour d, it is hiyh brought to t, who slall 1 to hear it. o watter hatp? And yet en of Isran ve of God's holy," (i. c. experienced, rourh which laputisin by
mid that the ion, means ity College, a pamphlet of Llandaft. al has been - Christim John iii 5, ter into the $y$ verse conhe revealed ts well as of sslydeclar-

 Renf sea, were types to the one anti-type-spiritual hatism, ur, as St. Peter calls it, tho maswer of a good conscience townd Ciod by the resurrection of Jestas
Christ.

Bint neither the Chureh of limeghed nor the Methorlists, in their books of devotion, (tirl am exmuining hoth nt the smme time) menu the Spiritual baptism, but water-biptism, in the phees referred to.
Agnin, they pruy thmt throngh their loptism its recipient "may be received into the urk of ("hrist's Churela." I presume it is the spinitmul Chmeh of "hrist that is menut here; and, if it in, those that are born into it are horn, "not of corruptible seed," (such ass water) "but of incorrmptible, by the word of Goul, which liveth mul ahiteth for ever: " (lst Pet. i 23, ) for that only "which is born of the Spinit is spinite" According to the apostolic doctrine, there is hut one loptism now renaining in force: "One Lord, one faith, one buptism." And as the Christian dispensation is thut of Christ, the one baptism nust be the baptism of Christ ; $\dagger$ which is not by water, but ly the Holy Ghost. By receiving the same Spirit, we become of the same spiritual body. The outward and visible sign may introduce us into membership, with such moutward and visible Church as holds with the retention of the sign; but it is the effectual operation of the Spirit of Christ in ns, that renders us mombers of his body, or trae spiritual Church.
From all that I cmu see of the nature, use, and bencfil of water-baptism; and from the settled conviction on my mind of the gross ertors and transpurent incongranties to be fomed in the authorized tormalaries of the different Irotestant denominations concerning it; I should be rauked with those, who not only "teach for doctrines the commandments of men," but also amoner those, who "speak lies in hypocrisy, having their conscience seared with a hot iron," if I were to practise or commenance what is so much akin to Popery, and so foreign to the true spirituality of it Gospel Chmech. As an accomable being I clam for myself freedom of opinion, and I freely and fully accord the same to all others.

[^4]We find moreover, in Juhn iii. "0, the Raptist enying, "He (i. (. Chinit) muet increase, but I must decrease," 'This indicates that as the Spiritnal ministhation of 'Thist should advance, Joha's watery one should recede. Lustead of the latter being joined with the former, it should fall into disuse by degrecs. From the manner in wheh Paul in lat Cor. i, thanke? Cod that he had bap:tized hat two or three families, it is evident that the aposthes looked not on water-haptiem as an essential or integral part of Christianity. We see also that in the apostolic times regeneration was not conseguent upon its alministration ; for the Sumaritans received the baptism of the Holy (Ghost some time after their water-haptish (Aets S) : Cornclins and his friends received it before ( 1 ets a 47 ) ; and bimon the soncerer received it not at all, though he was baptized with water (Acts viii).

The continume of water-haptism by the apostles was hat an occasional condescension; * for it haring heen :m ancient custom, both among Jews and Gentiles, to initate their proselites by it ; and it also having $\dagger$ been administered by Johu muder divine anthosity, and taken up from him ly the disciples of our Lord; it was become a cerrmony of considerable aceomit with the genematity, who saw not sufficiently into the purity and simpliciiy of the Goipel: therefore it coukd not, even after the inward baptisin of Christ, (in his official sphereas the Holy Ghos!) was manifested and experienced, be every where laid aside suddenty; noither wats it required so to be, but as John intimated, to decrease according as the pouer of Godliness would prevail over its form. In like mamer, the apostles also oceasionally complied with the rites of the Mosaic law in varions particulars, viz:circumcision, vows, sharings, exterior purifications, sacrifices, anointings, de., all which were permitted for a season; yet had the professors of Christituity abode in the Spirit of it, and sincerely songht a srowth therein, ceremonics of all kinds would som have been extinct in the Chureh. But, instead of growing in grace, and in the saring knowledge of onr Lord Jesus Christ, a falling away began early $\dagger$ to take place, and in proportion as the life of religion dwindled, forms and shat dows were more and more fastened mon, and gradually increated upon, the dedining state of the Churel, as the Spirit of Anti-Christ gained ground.

[^5]c. (hinint) must al minista:tions at of the lattere es. From the red hut two or laptiem as aml apostolic times Samaritans re--hytisu (iets Simon the sorts viii). casional condes and Cientiles, terod by John f our Lord ; it r, viho saw not e it could not, e Holy Ghost) $y$; neither wals s the power of thes also oceaicular's, viz:ntings, dec., all istimity aloode ss of all kinds wing in grace, ay began early orms and shaupou, the dend.
dight gain the ght gatin them iight gain the is sate some."
the purity of knew him not: me baptizing the stume saill liuing on him, 33.
est as well as of my book I en to write of lesire may be he Scope:"-

Thave also been hamed liy my fricuds for discontimung the administration of the hord's supper. On the other hand, I camot help olten expressing my surprise that they; whether Clerical or Lay; having any knowledge of the spirituality of the Gospel, should not only beliere in the dectrine of the Trinity, which Dr. Whately, one of their Arehbishops, allows to be unscriptural, and to be erroncously catled a mystery, lon that they should also repeatedly, in their commmion service, call the Lord's Supper a mystery. For not only the phaimess of our Lord's directions to lis diseiples, who were, at the time of the supper, in sorrow at the thought of his departure from them; and the seriptural doctrine of Zuingle (who is said in Mosheim to have been, beyond comparison, the brightest ornament of the Protestant canse) : and the doctrines of the other Swiss Reformers, teach us to look upen the bread and wine in $n 0$ other light than as the signs and symbols of the alsent body and blood of Chist; but also the Spiritual Balbylon is characterised in Rev. xvii. s, as having upou her forchead a name written-"Mystery."

It is astonishing how the miry clay of Popery adhered to Luther all throngh, for he even believed in Consubstantiation. Mosheim says that Calvin's views on the Lord's supper were genenally regarded nearly as erroncous as Luther's. How, in conjunction with Popery and Lutheranism, Cilvin looked upen the Lord's Supper as a mystery, may loe seen from the following, where he says:-_"If it be "asked me how it is, that is, how believers sacramentally receive Christ's body "and blood, I shall not be ashamed to confess, that it is a seeret too high for me "to comprehend in my spirit, or explain in words"

Again, I feel surprised how any persons, who do not believe in the ubiquity or ommipresence of Christ's ereated body, and who agree with the Scripture, and witl the last mulnic after the Commmion Serviec in the Churel of England prayer book, that Cluist's lmman body is limited, and is to remain at the right hand (that is the principal phace) of power till the restitution of all things; how such persons can pray, according either to the Chureh of England, or Methodist, Communion Service, that they "may be partakers of his" (i.e. Clinist's) "most blessed body and blood." It is true, the ministers of both denominations, in the "prayer of consecration," imitate closeiy the Romish priest in his prayer of consecration at the Mass, by laying their hands "upon all the bread" and "upon all the vessels which contain the wine": but, notwithstanding all the process of the formal sanctification of the elements, yet I to not believe that the body of Christ is partaken of, or can be there to be partaken of.

I know how the monstronsness of this doctrine is sought to be obviated by saying, as the Preslyteriuns do in their answer to "What is the Lord's Supper," that "the worthy receivers are, not after a corporal and carnal manner, but by faith, made partakers of his," (i. e. Christ's) "loody and blood." Now, I believe the R. Catholies would say much the same thing; and would insist on it, that it is "by faith" that they become partakers of the Lord's body. The R. Catholics believe that the consecrated bread becomes the body of Christ. The Protestant very properly considers such a doctrine of Transubstantiation to be nothing more or
less than a gross, senseless error ; but still he believen, that he receives the hotr of Christ in a supernatural way by faith, de. I, on the contrary, belicve with the Swiss leformens, that we camot become partakers of the Lord's body by faith, or byany other way; for Scripture and reasn mint out its impossibility. We may be partakers of the Divine nuture, ("ul Peter i.4), and of the benefits resulting from our Saviours hody being given for us and his blood shed for us, to our "spiritual nourishment and groweth in grace"; lut the actual flesh of Christ, no matter how spiritualzed, profiteth nothing. John vi. 63.
I know also, from conversations I have had fremently with Chureh people and Dissenters, the surprise manifested by them, when i showed them from their Ar. ticles of faith, their Sacramental serviees, or their Catechisms, what ahsurdities they prayed for, sametioned, and consequently professed. They would remank, perhaps, that they were not aware of so much indisputable error belonging to their systems of divinity; for that their ministers shured over suelh, and taught from their pulpits only what was spiritual. All this that they say may be true; but, if so, it is putting a piece of new cloth unto an old gament, or, now wine into old bottles. lint new wine should be put into new bottles; the old leaven should be purged out so that there may be a new hmp seeving "in newness of spirit, and not in the olduess of the letter"; "for the letter killeth, but the spirit giveth life." Rom. vii. 6 and 2nd Cor. iii. 6.

I have shown in the "Viadication" by extracts from Dr. Adam Clark, Bishop Newton, Rev. D. Brown, the Cottage Bille, de., how that the words "till he come" meant till the Lord come in his avenging power to destroy the city and temple of Jerusalem. I also stated that those whom the Apostle, in the passage referred to, addressed, and reproved for impropriety of conduct at the Lord's Sup. per, were Jews, * and consequently familiar with the meming generally attached to the words "till I come," viz:-that they related to the destruction of Jerusalem. I would remark here further, in comobonation of this view of the Apos. tle's words, that the Lord's Supper is mentioned by Matthew, who wrote his Gospel about the year 38; by Mark, who wrote his Gospel ahout the year 61; and by Luke, who wrote his Gospel abont the year 6:3. Buat Jolu, who wrote his Gospel about the year 98 , being 35 years after any of the others had written their Gospels, or the Apostle Panl had written the epistle to the Corinthians,

* In a work mneh used and patronized hy the Chureh of England, entitled "Help to the reading of the Bible," by the Rev. B. E. Nicholls, Curate of St. John's, Walthamston, are the following remarks on the first Epistle to the Corin-thians:-"Shortly after Paul's departure from Cominth, the peace of the Church was disturbed by one or more false teachers, probably Jews, (2nd Cor. xi 22) whe endeavoured to draw aside the converts from Paul and his doctrines, by calling in question the authority of his mission, and ridiculing the plain and simple style in which he delivered his instructions. Hence arose divfisions and other irregularities among the Corinthians, totally inconsisient with the genuine spirit of the Gospel, sueh as uncleamess, covetousness, litigation, feasting with idolaters in their saerifices, want of decorum in public worship, particularly in receiving the Lord's Supper.
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Clark, Bishop rords" "till he $y$ the city and in the passage he Lord's Supnerally attachaction of Jeruw of the $A$ pos. wrote his Gosyear 61 ; and who wrote his rs had written ne Corinthians,
gland, entitled Curate of st. le to the Corinof the Church Lud Cor xi 22) ctrines, by callain and simple and other ircnuine spirit of with idolaters dy in receiving
which latter contains the displited passaye, and which was written in the year bub -John, the only one of the writers of the New 'estament who wrote after the destruction of Jertatem (which occured in the year 71) wrote nothing whatever about the Lord's supper. We naty undoubtedy infer from this fact, that the period of time designed hy our hord fore the shervance of the Ordinance had exfired: ho having come aceording to his predicted deelaration and according to general expectation, mat having destroged the temple mod having fully brought ahout the time of refomation, all thenceforward were to "worship the liather ise spirit and in tiuth."

The "Eincyclopurdia of CVeful Knowledge" (to which I have oceasionally referred) speaking of John's (inspel says:-"St. John, therefore undertook, perhaps at the request of the true helievers in Asia, to write what Clement of Alesandriat called a spiritual gopel ; and, necordingly, we tind in it more of doctrine, and less of kistoricul narratire, than in any of the thers. It is also to be remembered, that this book, which contans so much additional information relative to the doctrines of Chinstianity, and which may be considered as a standard of fuith for cell ages, was writen by that apostle, who is known to bave enjoyed, in at greater degree than the rest, the affection and confidence of the divine Author of our religion; and to whom was given a spectial revelation conce ang the state of the Christian church in all succeeding generations."

Dr. Mcllvane, the present bishop of Ohio, in his sermon on Jolm vi 53, 54, says:-"Nor is it any more explicable that St. John, who alone of all the Evan"celists gives the conversation hefore us, shouk be the only one to omit all ac"count of the exphanatory institution of the Satrancent; his namative alone pre"sents the difliculty to he solved, and his alone omits the necessary explanation. "To those, who, in his days, and "fterwards, had no gospel but his, as no "doubt was the cuse with many, a conversation was stated, on the understanding "of which, as containing a duty, eternal life depends; and that eonversation re"ferred, for the only understanding and fulfilling the duty, to the institution of a "certain Saerament, and yet of that institution not a uord is given by St. John. "So improbable an omission of so mecessary a key, is strong evidence that the "conversation had no primary reference to that Sacrament." *

[^6]I would argae, in like manner, that "so imprubahle an omission of so necessary " an acemut of the institution of the Lord's supper, is "strong evidence"
that eateth mue thesh, and drinketh my boon, duelleth in me, and I in him," is abluded to. In fact the bih of John is atimbly mized up and identified with the words of the institntion of the ordinaner, leading the undisecming to believe that the Lord's supper was intended in both, and thas far sanctioning the line of Romish argumentation, so forchly contended agatinst hy the bishom of Ohio, butendorsed by the Methodists in their Communionservice, whech inditatively follows in the wake of the Church of Congland.

I may, at this time, be understood ta have proved how Seripture is wrested to prove and mpold Calvin's doctrine of the receiving of the body of Christ in the 1aml's Supper by the fathful, which doctrine maty :upropriately be called the tadpole of Popery. The Church of Eugland needs this comse of action: to prowa that the "inward part or thiner signified," in the sacrament of the Lord's Supprer is the "hody and blood of Chist, which are" it says:-" rcrily and indeed telien and received by the faithful in the hord's Supper:" "The liothodists need such a conrse ; for they adopt into their lath Artide of faith the following definition, to be fome abso in the 2ath Artiche of the Charch of Euchmel. "The Supper of the Lond is not omly a sion that Christians ought to have among themselvers one to another, hat rather is a Sacranent of omr redemption by Chist's death; insomeh, that to such ats rightly. worthily, and with faith receive the sane, the hread which we break is a partaking \& of the body of Christ; and likewise the cup of blessing is a partaling of the blood of "hrist." || The Preshyterims require such a course to support what they lay down in their "Shorter Ciatechism" concerning the Buchrist, viz:-"The Lords Supper is a Sacmment, wherein, by giving and receiving bread and wine, according to Christ's appointment, his death is shewed forth; and the worthy reecivers are, not after a comporal and ramal namer, but by faith, made partulero: of his body and blood, with all his benefits, to their spivitual nowishment and woweth in grace." It is mumeessary to refer also to lidependents, \&e., to show in their doctrine of the Lord's Supher th close aflinity to Popery.
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that Jula, who wrote after the age of figurative observances, $\dagger$ deemed it contrary to the Spirit's teaching to advert, in his "spiritual Gospel," to m ordinarice, which with others of a similar nature, had given way to the spirituel worship of Jehovah, $\ddagger$ as foretuld by our Lord in his conversation with the Samaritan wonan at Jacol's well.
$\dagger$ (iilh, in his "Itiructions for semehing the Soriptures," sats:-"The figura. "tive institutions of the law, which received a spiritual accomplishment in "Christ, as their true snlnstance, might here he recommended to attention; butas "their mystical signifieation and then were searcely so much as hinted at in "the Ciospels or eurly instrnctions, given by the Apstles, hat reserved from - bubes, tor the pmrpose of beine atterward explained, to perfert the knowlodgo "of the mone advaned Clnistians, the intelligent ingmere may advantageously "dhlay the inverigation of the nltimate memines, thl he reach the kipistle to tho "Henrews, which is the proper suat of this subject."
$\ddagger$ The render with bear in mind, that Clement of Alexandria, calls John's Gos. pet a"spiritual Gospel." Aad thongh it should be granted that the word "hap tize, ${ }^{6}$ in our Lomds Commissim. pinted to an outward ahlution of the boly, yet the same argement, just now lais down, of John omitting in his Ciospel to mentiom any dinction to baptize, would show that the inspired livangelist, who wrote after ithe time of hefomation"--"the haur when the true worshippers shouid worship the Father in spirit and in truth "-that he (as I have already remark (d) deemed it contasy the Spirits teaching to record for observance, or even nlvert to orlinances, which were only of emporary obligation. It may aks in here observed that Luke, who is the latest writer of the Evangelists next to John, in like mamer omits all mention of our Louds commission to baptize.

But it may here be asked, "Did not our Lord in Matt, xxvii 19, 20 , promise that he wonlil be with those that baptized "unto the end of the utorld"? In renly I would sas, that it is his "preached (rospel" which our Hedeemer (in his office of Sonctifier) will ever he with: that therely "all nations should bo blessed." and the heathen be justified through faith in the sane. See Gat. iii. 8 and Johu xvis. I would add, that it is eenerally eoncerled by the best anthorities thats according to the Greek languace in which Matthew's Gospel was writen, the words Suntelein tou aionos should not he translated "and ot the world" but "end of the age." This wonld exactly arree with all that I have been saying of outwad ordinances being enjoined and sanctioned only till the end of the Jewishane, that is, "till the time of reformation," atter the destruction of Ierusalem. If tho realer will refer to Bishop Nowtons xviith Dissertation on the lropheries, he will find the following remarks on Matt. xxiv $3:-$-. Tell wh when shall these "things los, and what shall be the sign of thy eoming, and of the end of the "word?" These are only difierent expressions. to denote the same pariod with "the destruction of Jensaiem; for when the eonerived would be the destruction
 "they enneeived wond be the coming of Christ, then they conerived would he "the "end of the wordd." or rather (as it should be tendered) "the "e:seln. "sion of the age "- "Suntelin tou aionos." "Therent of the worn," or "thes "conclusion of the age," is the same period with the destruetion of , fermalem: "for there heing two ames (as they were called) among thi Jews, the me undor

[^7]When it is considered that bread broken and wine poured out, were our Lord's chosen types of his body and bloud, as mentioned ia the institution of the Lord's Supper; and that they were the universal food, ut least in eastern countries, and in formor times, of mankind, aceessimbt to ahi, amd not requiring "priestly intervention fur proparation or cist:ibation "- Whe: this i, considered, we may fairly conclude that the efiects of the body and hood, fiven and shed thes cmblematically, or, to speak more groperly, the spiritual bentits and grace derived from a lively faith in the atonement made ly our Lord fin us by the giving of his saered body and the shodding of his bioon, come not by the intervention of heman hands, nor can they be prevented from reaching the nowly why human wit. Bishop Nellvane, in the semon I have athuded to on the Gta of Johm, speaking of the manma is a type of our Lerd"s feesh and blood, pives a better exposition of my meaning than I cen do myself. Ite thus procects:-
"The whole tonor of the clapter from whith we have sclecita the text, com"pels us to understand, that, as in the first sentonce of the te.s, "Fi.seept ye cat "the fiesh of the Son of Men, and dink his bloot, ye have no life in you," our "Lord is speaking of a mecessicy as miversal us the nature of faller man: so, in "the second sentence, "Whoso eateh my flesh and drinketh my blood hath cter"nal life," he is speaking of a remedy equaly wiversai and apphicable; one which "depends not on any outwart circumstunce, insitution, of privilege, which a "believer may, or may not. yosecs; bat it is acecssible wherever Chist is hown, "and his word received. Its chosentype was the stanhe. "Yourfathers did eat "manne in the wilderness and ate dead. This is the bretd which cometh down "from heaven, that is mam may cat thereof and nov dic." but it was remarkably "the attribute of that lered in the whierness, that it was alike accessible to all "that needed it. Priostly intorvention had nothing to do witt its preparation or "distribution. Priests obtained it no mure cabily, or ürecty, or abundanty, un"der no more privilege, of any sort, than the mearest of the peonle. The fam"ily of Aaron was treated, in regend to the common bread of larael, not as the "sacerdotal family, but simpiy as a porton oí the dependent people of God. It "was before the appoinment of the sacrameatal rites of the ceremonial law that "the mama was first given, and its ortinance appoimed; and when the ceremo"nial law brought in its miesthood, and sacrifices, and sacramental institutions, no "change was made in the universal freeness of the mana; in its perfect inde"pendence of all sacramental, all sacerdotal ageney, in its being the narestricted "common bread of all the people of God alike. So it continued until the host
"the law, the other under the Messiah; when the city and temple were destroyed, "and the Jewish polity in Church and state was dissolved, the former age must of "course be concluded, and the age under the Messiah be commenced * "

- But here the phrase appears to be used much in the same manner as in the
- Epistle to the Hebrews ix 26.-"But now once in the end of the world hath he "appeared to put away sin br the sacrifice of himself; "in the end of the world," ".epi suntclua ton cionon." "in the conclucion of the Jewish age or ages."" tiion of my :cept ye cat in you," our man: so, in od hath eter; one which ege, which a ist is known, thers cid cat ometis down sremarkably essible to all reparation or miantly, un-

The fanel, not as the of God. It nial law that the coremoistitutions, no perficet inde. narestricted matil the loost
"had cressed the Jordan, and nxchanged the bread of the wilderness for "the "new corn" of the promised land. And such is our Lord's chusen tyre of his "ffesh and hood, as the liviny hread frum heaven, without which we cannot have "etermal hif."
"You will rendily perceive, in these remarlis, the interpretation I put on the "words of the teat. Sis the iboth and hood of Christ, which we mast receive, I "undorstand Christ himat!f. - Wh: mat rechive him as our diet, according to












 commmion."
This is whatare to come from the woumbe ovaronkal Bishoy of Ituron. For what elec in expeced va paryed in in the beptiset server, hut to have sin
 to thy hoty bartism, way reccive remission of his sins by siritual regeneration" " So is the suthoritative atsotution an the "Visitation of the Sick" the Minister saps, "And by his authorti" committed to me I absolve mee fion all thy sins. Brily, ! the xxith Ardicle, Sacramonts are defined as pfectual sirns of erace, ant dionis good will twand us, by the which "(wamanents) he doth wont invisibly in us, and doth noi oaly quicken, hat also stiengthen and confirm our fuith in hin:" Jeain in the Navinh hitide, Maptism is derlared to be
 ceive Baptism rightly" (hativ, intlue fonm) "wo ratted into the Chaw in, the promises of the forgiveness of sim, and of vir mhntion to be the sons os God by the Holy Ghost, are visibly simned and sealed.: It comes to this the co, that if the nhaces in the Prayer look to which I have refered mean anything, it is, that "bantism, the Suncr of the lord, and the authonitative absolution do take away Shind scal the pardea of the transeressor;" and it so, sass Bizhop Cronin, "the Church of Liome is righto. \&ie."
Several years ago I held more than ono long argument with Bishop Cronin, both in my own house and elsewhere on the Pupery in the Prayer Book; and on those oceasions I told him plainly that he and his ministerial brethen were as onen to the charge of the opus opercium, or "office and ministry," ecelesiastical scheme, as the H. C. Priests. In the Bishop of Huron's covert attack on Bishop Strachan-who, as is miversally lanown, is a worldy minded old man, governed in religion by Archdencon Bethune, that deep, Puseyite barometer of the Diocese, who with Fabion caution, has been trying for many years to Romnanize this Protestant Province-in this inconsistent attack, in the midst of the Synod, one is reminded of the damsels at the Inn, bucining up "The Knight oi the rueful countenance." He has passed the night prostrate on the door of the Chapel, and looks grim enongh, whilo the ruddy wonches are bursting with iaughter.
But ray priacipal reasoa for quoting here from the Dishop of Hurun's Circular,
"And if you auk, then, why his fitsh and Good are so particularly mentionel, 1 "answer, because it is as having been once offered up on the cross, a propiti"ntory sacrifice for our sins, that we are to receive our Sitvion? Christ crucified "-Christ as having been "wounded," under the sword of the law, "for our "tranggressions": as having poned out his prorigus blood for the remission of "our sins. We must alwayt keep that grent sacritiee, of which his flesh and "blood were the constituents, in the eye and mulrace of our faith. And then "again, by eating that flesh and drinhing that hood, 1 understand simply that "habitual exercise of earnest faith in Christ as the propitiation for our sins "in his death, and as our mufailing life, now that he hath ascented to the right "hand of the Father Amighty, whereby we cone to him, trust in him, appropri"ate his benefits to our souls, and live on the daily supplies ot his grace."
is to show the reader more fully, how the artful mixing up of the vith of John with the words of the institution of the Lord's supper is confessediy wrong. Fur in the Circular the Bishop says:--" When we add to this that those young men, "who are thus tanght, in the hirst year of their university course, to toy with tha "Samments of the Church of Jome, are further instricted that the recificut of "the bread and wine in the Sacrament of the Lord's Supper partakes of the glo. "rified humanity of the Son of God, 1 think it will be acknowledred that the "teaching is dangerous in a very high degree. Moreover, in this (the Provost's) "Catechism, our Lord's words, recorded in the tith chapter of st. doh's (iesperl, "are repeatedly ruoted, as spoken concerning the Sacrament of the Lord"s sup"ree, as in the following answers:-
"Question,-Prove from Holy Scripture, that the Lort's Supper is generally "necessary?" Answer,-"Then Jesus said unto them, Verily, Verily, I say unto "you, except ye eat the flesh of the Son of man, and drink his bood, ye have no "life in you." -John vi. 5.3 . Question,- What words of our Lord show this"? "Answer, -Our Lord speaks of the spiritual beuefits which should certainly flow "from eating his flesh and blood, of which benefits the wieked camot be thought "to partake-" Whoso eateth my flesh, and drinketh my blood, hath etermal life; "and I will raise finm up at the last day. He that eateh my tlesh, and drinketh "my Wood, dwelleth in me, and I in him."-,Iohn vi, ot, de. Question."Prove from Holy Scripture that the Holy Euchrist sustuins the spiritual "life imparted by baptism. Answer,-"Then Jesus said muto then, Verily, "verily, I say unto you, except ye eat the flesh of the Son of man, and drink his blood, you have no life in you."-dohn vi. 53.

After thus quoting from the Catechism used in the College, Bishop Cronin continues to say:-" In these questions and answers, taken from diferent parts of "the Catechism, the student is mhesitatingly taught to interpret the words of our "Lord, in the 6th of John, as spoken concerning the Sacrament of the Lord's "Supper. Commentators of the Chureh of Eugland since the Reformation, and "some Roman Catholic Divines, have interpreted the sixth Chapter of St. John's "Gospel as having no reference whatever to the Sacrament of" the Lord's Sup. "per, and one of the latter has asserted that "the Universal Church has under"stood this passagc, ever since its promulgation, to mean spiritual eating "and drinking by a living faith." One of our own most eminent Reformers, "(Archbishop Cranmer) when combating the doctrine of transubstantiation, thus "expressed himself concerning this passage :-" Christ in that place of John "spake not of the material and Sacramental brada, nor of the Sacremental "eating (for that was spoken two or three years before the Sacrament was first "ordained): but he spake of spiritual bread; many times reppating, I am the

It has been remarked to me, that uy strictures on the remains of lopery to be found in the liturgies and Catechims of the various Protestant Churches are just nonl much called for: and that the time is fitst approaching, when tho prople will no longer tamely sumit to eroneons teaching. In comfirmation of this change shortly coning round, 1 am referred to what is gomg on in lingloud at the pre sent time in the Established Church, and to the fisc, that lound Ehary lately preo sonted a petition, sipned ly 460 cherermen, "praving her lagesty to appoint a Hoyal Commission to revise the Book of Common Irayer, with the uhjet of soeing whether it can be made more profitable then it now is for the relightus edificabtion and instruction of the poople." - This is certanly anowe in the ripht direction: and I am hapy to lean from the petitioners adress, that four thousand of their clerieal brothen had alveaty expressed their "just scruples" under their signature, hoping for relief from what is called in the petition "semblances of dangerous error." In the mean time 1 see from the Jinglish payers that eleven thonsumd Chargmen have putitioned agrainst a vevision; so that looking at the cavel lootla way, I think it will be a long time before the "Superiors in ecdesastieal concerns" will do anything in the matter. But even if a "ritual, elear in terms from what they are nuthorizel to aschew in fact," were allowed thy the hierarchy, still it would be only a superficial healing of the rankling wound left as a haritage to the Chureh by medioual Popery. Lintil the doctrines of the Trino
"hread of life which came down from heaven ; and of spiritual eating by faith; "after which sort he was at the same present time eaton of as many as be-
"lieved on him, although the Sacrament was not at that time made and institut-
"ed. And therefore he said, 'Your fathers did eat mamar in the desert, and died;
"hut he that eateth this bread shatl live for cver.' Therefore, this place of St.
"John can in no wise be understood of the Sacramental bread, whieh neither
"came from heaven, neither giveth life to all that eat. Nor of such head could
"Christ did then consecrate so many years before the institution of his holy sup"per."."
The Toronto Weekly Ginbe of September 28, 1860, (the Filitoss of which are Free Church Preshyterians) noticing this unsemly controvesy between the mitred
"Lords" of T ronte and Huron, (who like deshurim of old, have "waxal fat, and
"kicked)" con ains among other remarks the following:-"Suftice it to say, that
"extracts from the Catechism conclusively show that the Gloritication of the
"Virgin Mary, the Jutercession of Saints, Laptisual refeneration, the recognition
"of Giher staraments hesides Daptism and the Londss sup er, and a modified
"form of transubstantiation, are tanght by the provost of Trinity College. It
"dues not lie within our province to enharge upon this fact. If the Episcopalinu
"body in Canada, by whom Trinity College has been hilt and is sujfented, de-
"sire that Tractarianism shall he tiught wifhia its walle, it is not our business to " convince them of their ertor."
With regard to this later dedaration of the Preshyterian penmen I would submissively remark, that they, with others of the various shades of Protestantism who coudemn the Tractarians, may fairly be excused from the duty of being their "brothers' keepers," while their own formularies of faith or devotion are inpreg. nated with "Baptismal regeneration and a modified Transubstantiation."

- The petition states among many other things, "That a beneficial change might be found in discontinuing the congregational use of the Athanasian creed."
ity, the mational humna sonl of Christ, and the Sacramenta, are left altogether to Rome-justly entitled to thrir troublesome poziession from being their inventor, their whalder, and their gomusher-until this is dune, the ratical point of corruption and wehknss (comprehendin! asumed mysterionsness, inextriablo labyrintios, nod supercilions muresamabhens;) will not bo seripharally, rationally, and unprejulicedly remedibed.

Hore I will be suspected of encouratring the Protestant Churches to harmonize with the Ifyitics of ohd in the rejection of Sacraments, ice., as the Prabicians and Quakers dill. In roply I feely acchombedge thet the suspicion of such being my ardent desire is richt. And what would bo the consequence? lihy, instead of a christianity, for the most part merely nomimat, worldy minded, inconsistent, and distracted by hostile contentions, you would vilness, admire, und enjoy a Christianity, that would, as designed by its zracious Author, bring "(Clory to Goul in the highest, and on enth grace, wool will townd mon." This Chistanity would indeed dispense with typical forns, whelh may be made ns doors of entrasee into outwerd and risiblo Churehes, and as marks and pedeces of fellowhip, amongst mon; but they are not such to the spiritual commanity of the invicibie Church of Christ, the members whereof, as lively stones, are huit up a spiritual house, an holy priesthood, to oficr up spinitual sacrifies, aceeptable to God by Jesus Christ, 1st Peter ii. 5.
For the substantiating of riy observations, I may be permitted to refer to Mo. shein, who secras to have been very much disinelined to speali favourably of the Mystics, but, impelled by force of obvious sruth, he speaks of them (Cent. 14) as follows:-"A prodigious number of the people, denominated Mystice, resided and " propagated their tenets in almost every part of Europe. There were undonbt"edly among them many persons of eminent piety, atho endearoured to wear men "from an excessive attachment to the caternal part of religium, and to form "them to the love of God, and the practice of gemine virtue." Again, writing on "the dismal state of the Chureh," (Cent. 16) just before the Reformation, he says:-"If any sparks of yeal piety subsisted nuder this despotic empire of super. "stition, they were only to be found among the Myelics. For this sect, renounc"ing the subtilty of the schools, the vain contentions of the learned, with all the "acts and ceremonies of external worship, exhorted their followers to aim at "nothing but internal sanctity of heart, and communion with God, the centre and "source of holiness and periection. Hence the Mystics were loved and respect. "ed by many persons, who had a serious sease of religion, and were of a tender "and devotional complexion."

From the circumstances connceted with the conversion of Saul of Tarsus (as recorded by the inspired Physician) I am encouraged in prayerfuily treasuring the hope, that those persons, who for a season may indulge blindly in ascetic intolerance towards me and my pamphlets, may, in the merciful hour of God's risitation, receive "the ingrafted word," and experience a "time of refreshing from the
presence of the Lord." My own exjerienc in this respect, of God's long suffor. ing mercy, should authorize the to believe so; it is as follows:-
About thirty years ago, whilst atteuling one of my quaricrly examinations in the Dublin University, preparatively to my ordination in the Charch of Enghad; in taking an evening walk through tho metropolis, my attention was attracted to a cousiderable number of persons, who wert crowded aroum the door of a Lecture room. On enguiry I soon understood that a puble discussion on the doctrine of the Trinity was about to he held between Swedenhorginus nul smae Collegians. All were waiting impatiently for one of the controversialists from the Collecg. It being considembly past the time of commencing, I was rernested to take the place of the unacenuntably absent Collequan. It being on the side of orthodoxy and the Collore, I readily consented. Thourh of Jmior Sophister's standing, or, in other words, in my thind year or attentane at Colleres, yed I only knew as mue? athout the doctrine of the "Trinity then as phe genmatity of the l). Ds. A. Ms, nul Rovels. Rncw ahont it, and that i.s. I may sely, litte or nothing at all. - After this, many years passed awny ; during which I troubled myself as little about the investigntion of the doetrine as outher ministers, who have neither inclination nor disinterestedues enough to enguire into it. But when, in the Lord's own good time, the light of the knowherge of the gloy of (iod in the fuce of Jesus Christ shone upoume, then I halted not "between two ophinions" longer than to have mys sentimente matured. I "roufered not with flesh and blood;" but, constrained hy the love of Christ, manifested so graciously in the light and happiness ronchafed to me in the revelation of his will, I east my burthen of impediments, which hay in my way "ion-wand, on the Savions, and determined in tho Lord's strength to follow his merciful guidnece throngh good and evil report.

Through the remander of ny life, (which camot be long, as I have already lived over two score years and ten) and on my dying bed, it will, I trust, afford me the greatest gratifieation, to take a retrospective view of my disregard of worldy considerations and consequenees when the truth and glory of my mereiful Redeemer were concerned. Looking back to the period of my decision for Christ and the avowal of his truth, I hope, on the eve of my departure hence, to look up and say:

> "Many days have passed since then, Many changes I have seen, Yet have been upheld till now:
> Who could hold me up but thou?

Omemee, October, 1860.

[^8]
## ON THE TRINITY.

In parge 16 of the "V"indieation" is the following commentary from the Cottage Bible on Heb. i. $8:-$ "He (the Son) is also asserted to be the 'hrightness' "effulpence, and beaming forth of the Father's glory, mad the express character "of his person; so that whatever perfections exist in the mature of the eternal "Father, have an exact comerpurt in the person of the Son: just as every mark "or chatacter of a sent is imprinted on the melted was to which it is applied. "And as God gracionsly desifned from eternity to be manifested in the flesh; so "he was virtually, though not actually so, before the worths were made."
In agrecment with the above I would remark that the design or determination in the divine mind was infallibly sure of aceomphishment; and therefore, the manfestation of (Gorl in his Sonship-character was virtmally, and to all hatents and purposes, as real from eternity ns if it had actually taken place. The wondrous plan of salvation was devised from eternity on the eredit of this design, for it was held in the Court of heaven as done and accepted. In this way the Sonship of God our Saviour is casily muderstood to have been elernal.

The learned Dr. Adam Clarke, and other Methodist Ministers following in his steps, have denied the eternal Sonship of our Lord, * owing to their not considering what those writers, who are generally held up as the most learned and "oro thodox" divines, say concerning the meaning of the term "Son," when applied

- There is a sect of religionists pretty numerous in the States and sprinkled through Canada, who entertain the wildest notions concerning our Saviour. They profess to believe that our Lord derited his existence, or was produced, from the self existent God: and as he did not come into existence from nothing, 1nt partook of that nature from whence he proceeded, therefore he could not (they say) be strictly ealled a created Being. They consider the Son to have been regularly born of the Father, consequently not co-equal nor co-existent with him; but that though he is thus inferion to the Father, yet he is superior, as the real Son of God, to both men and Angels. They believe that the Son died in his divine nature ; that is, that his divine nature actually expir $d$ on Calvary Cross for Sinners.

One is here reminded of the ichor, or divine blood, represented by heathen writers, in their Epie effusions, as flowing from the grievonsly wounded Mars and the Goddess Venns; thourh these ancient poets, with all their license of fabulous flights of faney, did not subject their Deities to death, as the Christians (or Smithist's) do nur Lord's divinity. However, that I may shew the milikelihood of such delusions being checked liy the opinions of Trinitarians, I will instance the renarks made by one of this seet, who, I understand, is their cleverest eontroversial deionder. The work, from which I take the extract, is entitled the "True Messiah "; "By Dawid Millard, minister of the Gospel." In page 96, he says:-
"Dr. Adam Clarke takes the astonishing gromed that all of the Son of Gord "wh ch the Bible reveals to us is human nature, and $l$ have in a few instancore
*o the Lord. For those divines, such us Romaine, de., truly mantuin that the term "Son" as used in the phruse, Son of God, de', is not a mume of mature, or of essence, or in conserpence of my kind of genemtion, such ns we naturally imagine it shonld be from the moming customarily ntuehed to it by us ; but that it is a name of office, or character, conveging to ns the iden of the dutiful and submissive obedience paid ly God to the demands mud reguirements of his own law and justice.

Another reason, owiug to which Dr. Clarke mul his followers lave erred, is the way in which the doctrine of the Trinity is held, vis:-that there are three "persons" or "subsistences" § in the (iothead-that the second person is the proper, real, or natural Son of the first person or Futher-and therefore the Clarkites assert that it follows unturully mul inevitably, that the Son is posterior in point of time to the Finther, and comsernently that there emmot be meteral Sonship. This is one of the fruits of the nbsurdities of the doetrine of the Trinity, that it drives its ndrocates into ndenial of the eternal Sonship of the Prinee of lifedisrobes him of one of the eharneteristics of Deity-and so far makes him a creature.
"heard the same semtiment admuced by Methodist preachers. $\ddagger$ The doctor, in "commenting on Lake i. 3.5, remarks.
"We maty mhinly perceive here that the angel does not give the appellation of "Son of Cood to the divine nature of" Christ, but to that holy person, or thing, "which was to be born of the Virgin. The divine muture could not be bom of the "Virgin, the huma nature was born of her. 'Iwo matures must ever be distin"guished in Christ; the human natnre, in reference to which le is the Son of "Gorl, and inferior to him; and the divine nature, which was from eternity. Is "there any part of the Scaptures in which it is planly said, that the divine no"ture of Jesus was the Son of God? Here, I trust, I may be peemitted to bay, "with all the respect for those who differ from me, that the doctrine of the eter" and Souship of Clurist is anti-scriptural, mud highly danserons."
"Now, if" these remarks of the doctor be trin", what becomes of his fivourite "Trinity? The doctrine of the Trinity teaches that God is thee persons, Father,
"Son, and Holy (ihost. Is the Son, one of those three l'ersons, no more than "human nature? Is one thind constituent pant of the eternal God, hum mature?
"If the Son of God is nothing more than limman mature this conclusion is irresist"ible, or otherwise there is no Son in the Gorlhead. l?ut if (iod is now three "Persons, Father, Son, and Moly (ihost, he was cterally the same; and if there "was not etemally a Son in the Goedhead, then the doctrine of the Trinity was "not reterally true, and some later chan monst have taken place in the God"head to make it true now.' 'How planly is it manifest that this learned com"mentator, in stmy $\quad$ ging to extricate himself ont of in glaring absurdity, blunders "into a palpable reputation of his own darling doctrines." "

[^9]§ Subsistences: Real Beings. See Walker's dictionary.

Now it must be admitted that there ean be no prescience or forckowledge of fontingencies, or things uncertain of fulfilment, with the Ahmighty. God from eternity foresaw man's fall, and how man was to be rescued from its awful con-sequences-by the Godhead assuming the attitude of a Son. He therefore at the , same time, that is from eternity, assumed in the Divine mind that manifestation

 Z1-2. ath ten of the Father. Then, considerin? how the cternal God was so eonstantly called the "Word" among the Jews, as Bishop Pearson in paza 120 says; considering how the Lord "possessed his Son in the begiming of his way, before his works of old," as some think is the meaning of Prov. 822 ; and considering how the Son, that is, God in his represcutation of himself in the character of a Son, as he designed and detemined in his own Divine mind, was in this way with the Gather or absolute God from eternity; I say, considering the foregoing, I think we may evidently see how that "In the begiming was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was * God."

The reader is probably aware that the words of Scripture last quoted are to be found in Jolin i. 1. In the 3rdand 10 th verses the "Word" is deelared (1st) to have been the Creator. "All things were made by him; and without him was not

[^10]any thing made that was made." "He was in the world, and the world was made by him." Bishop Burnet, in his exposition of the "nd of the 39 Artictes. says:-"The beginning also here is set to import, that it was before creation or "time. Now a daration before time is eternal: so this beginning can be no "other than that duration which was before all things that were made. It is "also pleinly said, over and orer again, that alt things were made by this Word. "A power to croate mast be infinite: for it is certain, that a power which ean "give being is without bourts. And although the word make may seem capable "of a larger sense, yet, as in other places of the New 'lestament, the stricter word "crate is nsed and applicel to Chist as the Mater of all things in heaven or "earth, visible and invisible, so the word male is used through the Old Testa" ment for create; so that Godss making the heaven and the carih is the char"aeter frequently griven of him to distinguish him from idols and false Gods. And "of this: Merd it is likewise said, that he was with (iod, and wert God. * * * *
": All the names, the operations, and even the attributes of God, are in full and "plain words given to Christ. He is called God; his blood is said to be the "blood of Giod; God is said to hare luid down his life for us; "Christ is call"ed the true God, the crocut God, the Lord of glory, the Ring of kings, and "the Lord of lordes ; and more particularly the name Jehovah is ascribed to "him in the same word in which the LXX interpreters had translated it through" out the whole Old I'estament." "
"The creatiug, the preserving, and the governing $\dagger$ of all things, is also ascrib.

* "God mimifert in the flesh:" so condescondingly and fully reeognized tho body prepared for him by his Father, that is, by his absolute Godhead, and in which he tabernaeled, as to call it fis own boty; and its properites, such as hife, blood, de., to call them the life, blood, de., of God.
$\dagger$ In Isa. in. 67 , and Mat. ii. 6 , Chast is plainly declared to be our Governor.
The Trinitarian will say, the Father also is a Governor and so is the Holy Ghost. But how will this square with the following unintentional overthrow of the doctrine of the Trinity by Bishop Pearson on Art. 1 ps. 21 25, where he says :-"If "then there were more Supreme Governors of the world than one, each of them 4absolute and free, they might have contrary determinations conceraing the sane "thin , than which nothins can be more prejurlicial unto Govermment. God is : "God of oder, not confusion; and therefore of unity, not adm tling multiplica. "tion. If it be better that the Universe shoud be governed by one than many. "eve may be assured that it is so, because nothin,s must be conceived of God \% but what is best. He therefore who made all thin rs, by that right is Lord of all; "and becanse all power is his, he wlone ru'nth over all. 'It is necossary thus to * believe the Unity of the Godhead, that being assured there is a mature worthy "of our devotions, and challengin 5 our religious subjection, we may learn t" "know whose that nature is to which we owe on adorations, lest our mind:; "shonld woinder and fluctuate in our worship about various and uncertuin ob"jects'. 'Upon this foundation the whole heart of man is cutirely required of ar him, and en gated to him. "Hear O Israel: the Lord our God is one Lord: " and (or rather, therefore) thoushat love the Lord thy God with all thine heart, a\&c. Whosoever were truly and by nature (God, could not choose but challenge *our love upon the ground of an intinite excelleney, and transecndent beauty of < boliness: and therefore if thore were more so Gods then one, our lovo must
"ed to Christ in o variety of plaees, but most remarkably where it is said, that 8 y "him were all things creuted, that are in heaven, and that are in earth, "visible and invisible; whether they be thronts, or dominions, or principal"ities, or powers: all things were created by him, and for him: "and he is "before all things, and by him all things consist. He is said to have knoun" "what was in man, to have known mens secret thoughts, and to have known "all things: that as the Father was known of none but of the Son; so none "knew the Son but the Father. He pardons sin, sends the Spirit, give "grace and eternal life; and he shall raise the dead at the last day."

Trinitarians cannot see how suele unquestionable omingotence and omniscience, as have just been described, are consistent with the state of humiliation in which our Lord plaeed himself as our substitute in order to obey the demands of his Father's (or Godhead's) justice. They do not perecive how necessury it was for the complete earrying out of his humiliation, and service to the behests of his supreme holiness, that he should, as a Son and Scrvant, leave in abogance his in. trinsic will, power, knowledre, \&e., in order the more frilly fo conncet every thing coming from him with his Godhead which was reigning in heaven. $\dagger$ Therefore
"necessarily be terminated unto more than one, an: conseytuently divided be-
"tween them; and as our love, so also the proper effect thereof-our cheerfinl
"and ready obedience, which, like the child propounded to the jud ment of Sol-
"omon, as soon as it is divided, is destroyed. No man can serve two masters:
"for either he will hate the one, and love the other ; or else he will hold to the "one, and despise the other."
*Thus believed the Monarchians-' $a$ name priven to thase' (says the Encro clopodia of relicious knowledge) "who seceded from the ancient onthodox faith, "because they insisted upon the divine anity, which they considered to be in"fringed by the common doetrine, which taught that there are three eternal per"sons in the divine nature."
$\dagger$ And yet how otherwise can the circumstance of an engel appearing unto our Lord from heaven, strengthening his haman body in its arony, be explained or accounted for. Sively our Lord in his divine nature intinsically possessed omnipotent strength ; but he saw it nufit and unseasonable to exercise it whilst he was in a position of humiliation, and whilst he was carrying through the obedience which was to be the believer's title to heaven. Paul, in Col. 1. 19-22, clearly declares this, as follows:-"For it seemed fit that in himself should all futness dwell; and having made peace mimoteri tire mioon of ms cross, by himself to reconcile all things utto hasele; by himself, I say, whether they be things in earth, or things in heaven. And you, that were sometime alienated and enemics in your mind by wicked works, yet now hath he reconciled in tine bovy of his firsis throcgis deatn, to preseat you holy and unblameable and urroproveable in ms own (the Son's) siglit."
In the beginning of the passage just quoted the authorized twanslation says, "For it pleased the Father ;" whereas "the Father" is not in the oriminal Greek, and should not be 'interpolated to fill up a fancied ellipsis. Rut, whichever way the passage be translated, it is certain, according to it,' that the fulnessof the Godhead dwelt in the Son, whe consequently, being fully $G$ od, was capable, as an infinitely holy Hioh Priest, of making, and he did make, peace; that is, he did offer up an infinitrly holy and entirely satisfactory sacrifice-his human body being offered up on the altar of his divinity-through the blood of his cross.

They attribute our Lord's not excreising his will mad power separately from himself (or his Godhead) in glory to his want of knowledte and power as man. Butas Scripture and reason will not support this theory, the Athanesian creed must serve the turn. This creed, which is so much objected to, even by Church peon ple, says:-"Perfect God, and perfect Man: of a reasonable soul and human

He also reconciled motals (not unto another funcied Perom in the Trinity) bus. unto massar. And he did all in the body of his flesk through deuth, without the instrumental appendage of a humatational soul, which woud lammortah, and consequenty could not be "excerting sormownt eren unto death;" but he did all by the instrumentality of the byoot or cilal sensitice sonl, which our Lord poured forth unto death, lsaiah liii. 12, and such a sont as the Psalmist in the 116th Psalm, praised Giod for delivering from dalh. Here then in this portion of Seripture taken from the first chapte of Colossians we see cmboliod the four following propositions:-1st. That the Son is fully (iod: 2nd. That he has made peace, and reconciled simers monto masmer: :3d. That he did so hy atoning for theirsins "in the boly of his flesh". "hhrongh the blood of his cross" : And 4th. That the end, which he had gracionsly in view in all this, was to present his Church "holy and unblameable and uneproveable in his oun sight."

Bradley in his sermon on Knh. v. 2i, 26, 27, says:-"1I (the liridegroom) "presents her to umsetr. Ho takes her in all her bhect misery, as the beloved "of his son", and he loves her and delights in lee the mure, because no hand save "his own has rescued her, bectuse she owes all hor greatness and happiness "to him alone." [See Jays olservations in ]age wo of the "Vindication."]
It seems an insu;ceable dificulty to Trinitarians to admit that Cluist, as is declared in Ejh. v., should pipesent the Church to himsslf; that Gcd should give himself for us, ats we rod in 'Tit. ii. 14; on that tiod shou'd sneak to Cod, as in Heb. i. 8. The lampare of summisson, used by Gon in his official character in the heavens before his incamation, implying obedience to lins own Deity (as we see in Heb. x. $7,(!)$ seems in them strange mand uncemtabie; as does also the exclamation on the eross, "My God, my (iod;" beeause they are mot taught to perceive the two diferent pusilions of the same divine Person-the onc, as the absolute God of justice and holiness, exacting the ransom; the othor as man'o substitute representatively paying the ransom.

In like maner by a figure of speech called personifention, which is very comemon in the Seripture, "the hood of spmatinu" is sutd to spedk better things than the blood of Ahel. And by sintee of the atomement methe by this blood, which figuratively is suid to speak, "morey and touth" me satil to weet together harmoniously. In other words, God the Saciours merey, brought into luwful exercise by vitue of the atonement ande by him for simens, mects his justice, which is conspicuous in his attitude of the absolute Ciod or Fattlet': tums aside its awards ; and thus, what the attrihutes of ford do, may ba said to be done by God hinself. Wherefore though a mediator is net a mediator of one for there mast be two parties to meliate between, yet God is one-one holy Spirit, one Person. Dut as his mercy, in his aspert as the ledeemer, pleads with his justica in his aspect as the holy God, therefore Christ is figuratirely said to intercedo with the Father for mankind; not that there is actially an oral advocacy, but a vistual interposition between the unimpatimble justice of Cod and wilty simners, as I have cidenvoured to deseribe. Thus the Lord remains a just Cood and a Saviour. Is. slv. 21 .

Aecordingly when I humbly ask the Father for forgiveness, I ask it of him in the name of his Sou Jesus Christ, that is, in the name which lie sraciously bore officially in the work of redemption, and which he still bears in his vintual intercession between us and his offended justice. How wery difierent this rational ox-

Aesh eubsisting." Such high-handed decisions on what they do not wish to invertigate nor understand may satisfy the generality of people, but such as Arians and Unitarians will only be the more confirmed in their horvid errors by the course of doctrine and argumentation followed by Trinitarians with respect to the Trinity, and the human rational soul commonly supposed to have been created for a residence in Christ's body from the time of its formation. The following quotations from the cleverest Uniturian writers will prove what I have stated. Here thea is what they sis:-
"There is but one way to overthrow the Unitarian doct:ine. It is to prove "not that Christ is "a Prince and a Saviour by the right hand of God highly ex"alted," but that he is the Infinite God himself, by whom that exaltation was "given. It is not to prove that the Father made himself manifest through the "Son, as it is written, "the word was made flesh," that is, "the divine wisdom "and power were maniested in a human form," but it is to prowe that the Fa"ther, who is the being manifested, is the same with the Son, who was the me"dium of the manifestation. The question between us and Trinitarians is simply "this: Did the Saviour, when he said, "My F"uther is wreater then I," mean what "he seemed to say, and what he was understood by those who heard him to say, "or did he me:n that, white there was an apparent inferionity, he was in foet "equal with the Father, possessed of the same attribules, being himself the abso" lute and Supreme Cod. Here is the true point of the controversy."
"Spealing of the day of judgment, Clurist says:-Of that day, and that hour, "t knoweth no nan; no, not the angels which are in heaven, neithe: the Son, but "my Father. Matthew has it "my Father only." Here our opponents resort to "the two noture scheme again, in order to evade the foree of this. They say, "Christ only spoke of himself here, as aman; that is, in his human nature, he "did not know when that day would be, but that in his divine nature he did "f know. This is a very unfair disposal of the text, besides it is indireetly accusing "the Son of God with dishonesty. That Trinitarians may see the result of their "inode of reasoning, we will suppose the following figure: A certain person is "standing before me, and another asks me, do you see that person? I shut up "one cye, and look at the person with the other cye, and answer-No. I only * mean, I do not see the person with the eye that is shut, although I sce him all "the while with the one that is open. Who would not aceuse me with dishonesty "for such conduct? Yot let Trinitarians remember, they lay just such dishonesty "to the charge of the Moly Jesus, in their explanation of this passage."
planation of the Trinity is to that given by Trinitarians may be seen from the following defence of God's Tripersonality taken from Nicholls's work, much used in the Chureh Sunday Schools in the old country. He plainly makes out two Gods, for he says:-"Arain, a person is deseribed in the Old Testament under "various titles, particularly as the Angel of the Lord, to whom the name and at "t tributes of Jehovah are given, yet represented as distinet from God, and acting, "as the term Angol imports, under a Diviue commission. See Gea. xvi. 7 " 111
" By the Trinitarian doctrine of incarnation, we are taght that Jesus Christ is "composed of two whole distinct natures, human and divine; that in his hu"man nature, he is truly and properly a man, and, that in his divine nature, he "is the very and eternal (iod. This doctrine to me appears as diflicult to under"stand, as the assertion that God is three persons. Not content with asserting "God to be theee persons, Trinitarians would also teachs us that the Son, one of "the three, is two persons. I am, however, :awe that they will he unvilling to "admet this statement ; but if their dectrine does not phanly imply it, I am at an "entire loss to know the meaming of the tems they usc. As man, they tell us "Christ possossed a human body and a reasonable soul. Fvory one knows thas "a human bolly and a recasonuble soul constitute a complede person or being. "This is one person. In his divine neture they assert that he is the very and "cternal God, and this, acceording to their systom, must be at least as much as "one person more. As cane and one mako tu"s, the phan conchision follows, that "the Triniturian doctrine asserts the Son of Gol to he two persons!!!
"But it is asserted, that "the two natures are so mysteriously united as to con"stitute but one person." liefore I admit the correctuess of this stateraent, I "must recuire some other definition of the tavo ncitures, than fos state the one to "be very mant, and the other very (ion; for I need not to be taught that very "wan is one percon, and very God another. It would be no more absurd for "Thimarims to assert that God is litee persons, wh yet ?ut one person, than is "is to say the son of God is very God and very man, and ynt hat one person. "Did I believe that Jcsus Christ was "thuly and properly a man", and also the " "wory and ctemal Gol," I wouhd fur sooner mive up the ile the: Cod is thee "persons than that the som is two. How Trinitarians ant along with this difi"culty I know not, for dey sera gencrally pretty sibnt about it. The Nestorians " in the fifth century, in asserting the ductrine of incamation, frank onned their "bolice that Christ was two persons. And why aro not Twinimins, at the pre"sent day, willing to achowledge the eme seniment, vhile thein duetrine plainly "implies it? It is beceuse they know it would iavolve a palpable ahsundty? and "do they aid their course, in the least, by monempins to cencesl an absurdity "which their doctrize so phanly involves? In argumentis wed hy Thinitaians, "they acknowledse a plain distimction between the two natures, as much as to "assert they are tro porsons. They say Clmist sometimes spoke as man, and "sometimes as Qod. That somatmes the kaman rature spoke and sometimes "the divine nethre. That the two nutures eonversed te.gether; that the one "nature prayed to the other nature. And fron these itatement; what concep"tion can we fom of the two natures, but that they are fao persons? Dut to "acknowledge this, the support of Scripture would still bo noedod. What Serip"ture eren asserts that Chist possesses two whole and dist inct natwes?"
"While Trinitarions contend that it is idolaty to toovetip amything but the "very God, I would ask, is their system fice from danger in this respect? They * toll us they worship Chist, and that he is te, manas well as very God. I

* would then as', do they worship the whole of Chist, or only a part of him? If *they worship only a put of him, they are certainly dishonest in their worship;
"and if they worship the whoce of him, they must worship very man as well as
"rery Gorl. But on this principle, their own stat $n . .$. . wroud y rove them idob "aters, since $w \cdot r y$ men camot be very Godl." -

I have given these ruotations from Cinitarian writere, to show how prevalent they are afoiast Trinitarian argments, though sometimes both the parties unite together atainst Gugel thuth when it mutually answers their purposes. For instanee, both the paties refer timuphantly to Joln siv. 2:3:-"Jesus answered and said unto him, If a man love me, he will licep iny worls; and my Father will love him, and we will come unto him, and make our abode with him." Trinitarians consider Jesus and the Futher diferent Persons on aceount of the conjunction and rouphins the two nams; thonsh, for the same reasom, fod and the Father in James i. -7, \&c., should be two Persons. God and Father are only different names of him whom the Shorter Ca'cohism defines to be "a Sparit,

- It was owiny to such reasonius as the fore roin?, that the Mononhysites, Entychians, and Monothelists, who mantamed one will and one operation in Christ, so lone and su sucessfitly stood out a a minst their opponents. As it was through a rabid eppesition to the views of A Pollinaris, which had been circulated in the fourth contury, that Nestoriamism sprung up in the fifth contury ; so in opposition to Nestorianism arese those (hasition eonfederations (which I have just named) in the same eentury, and which I believe, if cleariy deseribed as to the ir religious belief would be adnowled ed Apollinamian in pinciple. It may here be mentioned that Pope Ilonosits was a Monothelite, as also the Maronites who inhabit Moms Libans, wha as shown by Moshem. deeped their origin from the Monophysites, and adhered to the doctrine of the Momothelites s for sereme eentmies. At fength they joined vaturaraly at least the Chusch of Rome white "one boty of them retired into the walleys of Piedmont, where they joined the Waldenses; another, above six hunded in numher, with a hishop and several ecelesinsties at their head, fled into Corsica, and implored the protection of the repulic of Genoa against the violence of the Inquisitors." It woud have saved the Maronites their presens miscrable state of de natation, as a!s) the termble massaces just fallen on them, if they had kent aloof from the Jesuits, who lately incited them to wenture on the extirpation of the Drases, who on their pat have retaliated with a hatharous retribution. The Jesuits should be hed responsible for all the blood that has been shed on the oceasion. They are iamarialy the insti gators of dark erimes. They are a curse to every country where they ditain my intuence. Thourh Mosheim Chemselv of Rome with the greatest aversion and abhorrence," ret havin ${ }^{\text {g p placed }}$ be influened by its Machiavelian poliey, which has lately plunsed the poor people into the greatest bloodshed and misery. Where principle is violated the cone duct will be involved in eudless inconsistencies. The path of uneompromising rectitude is the only way of pleasantness and peace, both for individuals and countrics. Nothinf but a return to this staight and onward path can save any anominally Christian people from destruction.
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 tionally, but competely, "ress it. The former sas:-
"It appems evident to me that (hrist is represented in scripture, as present "wiha his disciphes in distinction from his (tom and Father. John xir, 2:, "Jesus "auswered and said moto him, If a man love me, he will keep my words: and my "Father will Ime him, and we will come unto him, oud maks omer abode with "him." The word aee always implies as much astuc: and who would haw "supposed from reading this text, that ("hrist and his frather ate one and the "same beine? "And are will comer moto hin, and make our ahode with him." "lat the parage speak fur itself. Mr. Nion sars:-"We ean rive instanese in
 "the Aportle P'anl, aut hy maty whers In lst Thess. ji. ls, are the words: ""Wherefore we widd have cone mato yon, cren 1 Poul, once and again, but "Sitan limdered us," where the spostle :p,plies the promount, ue and us, to satimself. We mint grote wher passages showing the same nse of the plural, $\dagger$ "hit it is not neediul." ["ilose upotations are eximeto from Eiliot"s "Diseoursex
 126, 120.]

- But the diffre from the Trintarians in this, that they say that the Father in the etemat Gou, hat that the son was created by, or derived from the Father; fand consegnonty is not co-equal with ibe Father ar eternal Gool.
$\dagger$ On reforing ta the farels Testannond corbaring verse of with verses 1.5 and 16 of lake sir., we will see one person addurssed in the plural number; that is, as if thee or more bersons wre ahdrescol- "For I sity unto yoas": (Greek) umin. Soe atso Jolm, in the 2lat chapier of his Cospel: v. 24, sayiug of himself, "wa know that his te-timony is the."

The late Ier. Wm. liomnine (to whese comions woms I reforel in page 7.3 of ney "Vintheation," and of whem I there sand, that "his evamgelical orthodoxy as a "Irinitarian Minister of the Church of Thigland in too renowned for me to remark it,") in his whh liseourse "upon the right love or the ford (iod" sets at rest, beyond cavil or disphe, though mintentionally, the ofthuped-on argunent of 'Trintarimes, viz: that in serem places of Sompure the Hehrew for dehoval or Lord, and God, being Atehim, which is in the phat mmmer, and acknowledg. ed to be so by the Jews as wall as Christians, shows a phuality of Persons (as they call them) in the (indhead. Wrom the subjoined remarks of Mr. Romane, we may eridently see that if the personality in Johorah, as deseribed by the Alchim, it phural, then the personality in each Person must also be plural; or, in other words, there is a phurality of persons in one person, which is the height of absurdity. Mr. Romaine says:- Each of the persons is called Alehim. The Fa"ther is so called, Ist Chron. xxix. 10 : "And David said, Blessed be thon Jehovah, "Alehim of Israel, our Father, for ever and ever." The Son is Alehim, Isaiah "xlv. 21: "There is no Alehim else beside me; a just Cod and a Saviour." The












 so that the suat is the .sehin."


 They we comant ham:












 the Qorthent)."


 then the Lod Cherist is here send to be the spirit, (ihet is, whot pesating hime


 lim. Aram, in Juhn xvi. \&, the ontine of the Hoy Ghost is delared to consist

 the word of God is anicl, and powetul, and sharper then any twedged sword, piacing even to the dividing acmuder of sotl :and shint and of the foints and mat row, and is a discorner of the thoughts ame intents of the heant. Neither is there any creature that is not manifest in his sight: Thit all things we naked and opened unto the eyes of him with whom we have to do." It might, mombutedy, be said of the word of God, as it is ontwadiy prachec', (uhem aydice by the spivit of Srati,) that it is quick and powerful, and shaner than may troedged sworl. Whan, however, we viow this passege as a whote, we can enencoly fail to perceive
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 men in his cemede we the lhy thot.

 Holy (thow.t.

- Anointing with oil hat hene costomary anone the fown es the apmentment of their prophets, priests, and kings. Bat thenth the pactice was discontimed




thon foht then "y, and they nhat twe chatem: but thon ant the same, arad thy yeara simll not fuit." (ifab, i. i-l').



 ander the veit of humbity, the hoves in ylury were commanded to worshif him;


 signed at the first, in the beriminer, w, i:n wiow swots, frometernity) "inso


Our hord is described ats having sat iown oa the risht hand of the Majesty mat high," that in, in the hiverest position of powe:. The meming of which in, that thongh as "God hessed for ever" he wathom etomity at the right ham of mis. jesty wr pewer, that i , in the chict position of aninence, far ahowe all principalities and powess, got being made perfoe or quatifich through the human suci-
 value, he was emblycl, harmoniotisly with his truth, hats justice, and his hofimes, to take the same porition of power as Redecmer and Moliator, and exeresece it gracionsly for the sathation of man. That no local position is litoraly meant, such as is ernemally thought of when one in satid to sit at the right hand of another, tis phain from leve vii. 15, where the Lami, long after his exatation to ghory, is described an in the midst of the Throne. In ahon i. 1s, we rent of the Son being in the bosom of the Lath.s ; wheh cuseriphion of where our Mediators dwells is intended to convey is simers the iba of how the absolute God or Fo ther is delighfud in viewne himself in the attitme of the ton or Striour. This

Y Bishop Burnet on the hast of the xxwx Anticke whel speak of God as a Being "withotit boly, parts, or passions," says:-"The lamgage of the Scriphes "speaks to the capacities of men, and ven of rude men in dark thmes, in which " nost of the Seriptures were writ: hut though God is spoken of as having a face, "ceyes, ears, a smelling, hands, and feet, and as comin'g down to viow things on "earth, all this is expressed after the manner of men, and is to be understood "in a way suitabie to a pure Spirit." I might here remark that the circumstance of Stephen at his martydom seemy Jesns standing at the right hand of God, should be understood "in a way suilable to apure Spivit;" and then, in. stead of one standing on one side of another (whichother is spoken of as "dwelling in light inaceessikle," and ats "the God whom no man hath seen or can see") instead of this carnal, chidish, and mitiseriptural idea, we would consider the meaning to he, Jesus standiug in the highest position of his (iodhead's effut. gence and hower, or in the midst of the Throne. Miner "on the kestivals," foscribing low nu sixviou sits at the right hand of Goul, falls into the gilgar esror, and displaess the incurnate ciod, not only from "the midst of the 'Throns," but from the Throne allogether: : and assigns to him, as it he were not God, or as it he were conother God, at veal literal locality at the right hand of the Thaone.

 Sas:iuns, seems tone to be the memine of the word ', "heluned Sm," "deme Son" • \&e., when spoken of Clumes.


 them that this Conforter shond abinde with them forever. Sow mak how the
 mul comforting province ur chameter; fore he says to the sume discifles, "Lool an with yon alway, aren monto the sad of the worde. $\dagger$
(iod, says Milnor, "may import his silting in his human shape on the right
 and manifests sone extrumblinary presence of Good. ©

- Bishop Peatson (on Article 2, Aptistes Cread) spating of whet he calloz, "Christ's right muto the title of the sox of (ion hy commission, as sent by him," says:- ". Secomdy, it is as modountrelly the, that the samu" "luist, thus bom" (as to his hmman mane) "ot the Virain be the Shirit of (iod," (thm is, ly the Spirit of his own (indheal) "was desigucel to su high murne be the specinl "and inmediate will of (God, that ley virtue therenf he mast be acknowlotered
 "hot written in your Law. I sibit, ye arw (ions"?" Are not thesse hae very woris "of the eighty second Pralm? "If he called them (Gods," if (ind himsolf:o "ogpake, or the P'saluist from him, it this be the hagnaw on the Soripure, if they "be catled Giods "unto whom the word of " (ionl canne" ("and the Seripure can"not be broken," nor the anthrity thereof in an" partionhr donicel; "say ye of "him whom the bather hath sanctified and SEN'f into the word," whom he hath "ronsecrated and commissions to the most aminent and extmordinaly Oftiece.

 Low the title of sion was justly held in consequence of the filial, Son like obediense paid to the commission from his Godhered. Thas, has I have satid from first to last, is my view of the origin end signification of the tenm Don when applied to out Loud as the "Sent" or Pursonator" ol' himsol! in heaven. Let me he per.
 testant divines is shamefin and heothenish, when they asort that "the communi"cation of the Divine essence, on nature, le the Pather to the $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{m}$, is a proper "generation, by which he which commmicatoth is "proper Fothor and he to "whom it is commmicated, a proper Sori" ! !! see Bu. Pealsom, id.
$\dagger$ 'lo see this more elemly, let us turn to Jom xwi. T, where Chist tollis his disciples, that atter his depatine from them in the flesh the zould send the combertor mito them. In Joh xiv. 16, 2(6, he fold them that the Fialher would gire and send the same Comforter to them; therefore the liather and Christ must bo one Person. But further, we see in the lith verse of the latere chapter that Christ himself was the Comforter that was to be given, for he there says:-"I will not leave you comforlless: $I$ will come to yon. 'Thus an Mr. day says oa Revo ii. 3s, Christ is "the (iiver and the (iift; He is all in all," and therefore
§ Notwithatanding the generaliz-reported orithodox theolngy of Niher and utbers, as to their meaning of Chria's stuing al tiee right hami of doci, still, while lite remants, I shati be dehighted to sing:--Lef all ereation join in one.
"Tubless the saered mano
Of 11 tm who sita upon the Elurote.
.tint hadore the Lamb."

































 doctriment t? ${ }^{2}$ Thinits.






















































 "dhat what these inspirat andes were remond, thene was notunger the seme in-











 "ut"a servant, and ihnt in the nature of man."

Paul instruets us in 1st. Tim. ii. 5, that Christ Jesus is the "one mediator between God and wen;" lant he tells ns alin in Rom. viii. 26, 27, that the Spirit mukes intercession for $u s$, or (which is the same thing) is our mediator ; therefore Christ and the iloly (ihost minst be one amd the same person muder different manes. Th short, the duetrine of one vermal shinit or leing in his three-fold of fice of Father, son. and Holy (ihost, as revealed in Seripture, is appropriately exphaned by resembling the Father to the sum in its aboohte essence and original mannificeuce; ly rembling the Word or Son to it in its ilhminating virtue or quality; and by resemhlug the Holy Chost to it in its warming and maturing dflicacy.

The oft-told legend of St. Patrick converting on Trish Paalite Chief to a belief in the doctrine of the Trinity by exhbiting a shan ock with three leares on one stem is still mentioned to people who are ignorant enough to belicre it. But as each person of the Trinity is said to be whole and very God, as truly as the Trinity is Cod, it follows, that, to have the comparison between the Shamock and the Trinity hold gool, each of the shamrock's three leaves must be a whole and very plant as truly as the trime Shamrock is one plant: snch not being the case, the comparison is foolish. For 20 , or 30, or any number of Persons, may similarly be proved to be in the Godhead by conuting the leaves of cabbage growing from the stalk, or the number of branches from the trunk of a tree. I often hear also of the silly comparison of water, iee, and snow, to the three Persons of the Trinity. But as there is here a "dividing of the substance," and an mequality in the substances and in the time of their existence, therefore the comparison is quite eontrary to the Athanism Creed and to what is tanght hy the doctrine of the 'Trinity. *

Wesley, and of course the Methodists after him, it speak of the light derived from three candles as explanatory of the grace derived from the three persons of the 'irinity, the light from the candles being oue and the same as the grace of God from the three persons of the Trinity. But we real also in Rev. i. 4, of grace solieited for the seren churches by John, which must be the same power of grace issuing from the seven Spirits, and consequently, aceording to this mode of argunent, we may add the seven Spirits to the three of the Trinity, and then God will he ten persons.

I shonid not omit to state here what eaps the chimax of the "old wives fables" commonly told to support the ductrine of the 'Trinity. The story in its most captivating form I have scen in poetry in the "Toronto Leho" of May 27, 1838. * "And in the mity of this Codhead there he three Persons of one substance." Sec Chureh of England "Articles of religion" No. 1.

I I hoped for the credit of the onlightened elristianity which is gencrally supposed to be gaining gromed in this latter half of the lith century, that foolish, chitdish stories about " hannted places," and the apparition of Ghosts and faries to frighten children and the credulonsly ignorant, were only to be heard of anong the most igrorant Rom:n Catholics, or the notoriously impious "Rappers."

As the Echo, in all its advertisencuts, asserts that it is "The only Chureh ut England religions newspaper published in Canada," therofore the more attention is to be paid to the tale when it comes from such an orthodox Cathedre. It is sulustantially as follows:-On one occasion St. Angrstine was anxiously oecupied in stntying the Bible in order to solve the "rarions doults" which had arisen in his mind concerning thee persons in one (iod. liengg "heated and fererish", from the "puzling theme" he was enguped in pontering on, he closed the Bible, and took a walk to the sea side, where, still ahsombed in thought, he espied a little "urchin" habouring earnestly at the water"s bink. Perceiving that the "child had scooned a bole, shallow sund narrow in the shinimg sand, over which at work the labouring infant stooped, still ponsing water in with lmsy hand," the Saint said to him, "Fiar hos, I pray, what toil is thine". Let me its end and purpore
But, to my great surprise, I see such dehsions ane moted by a denomination which "hats shown itscle ia master piece of social orvanation for promoting conversion, and securing its conquests." I work calleal the • History of Wesleran Methodism," or, "Weshey and his times," hy (inorge smith, L. L. D. F. A.s. dedicated, May 4, 1850, tö the President of the Westeram Conference, 2nd Edition, has lately been mithished for the momparicular standy of the rome itincrant
 * lieve that Godshould ahow supernatual eriflences oecasionally to appear of "the things which are "unseen" and "etermal":" Presenting this bold front to the jeers forcel from the discerning hy the recital of sudh "old wives fahbes" ats he recites, he affects to quote them miblurhing! in order to support the generally questioned somuduess of mine of the fommer of his sect.
Thus in page se, he gives mextract from Werley's jommal of the stmmenows in the parsonage house at Epwoth; of the servant heming dismal groais in the dining room, as of a dying person; knolongs were heard in one phare, whd then in several parts of the house at the same the ; mating someds, as if bottles were being broken to pieces; frotsteps were distinctly heard as of a peeson walking abont; doons were pushed opeat, and riolonty shat: and what is must remakable, these numatneal and maceomable noises continued for months, and one member of the fimily was amoyed in a similar way thinty-fur years aftermats, athough then residing in London!!! Dr. Suith will not " listem to Di. Priestly " who," he says, "argues that where no good end was to be answered, we may safely con"chude that no miracle wats wronght; and who snposes, as the most probable "solution, that it was a trick of the servants, assisted hy some of the neighbours, "for the sake of amusing themselves and puzaling the fanily."

In page 559, Dr. Smith, by another extract, shows how (ieorge Lukins was the. mented by seren derils, which freenently dedared the secere of how they minit be expelled by the prayer of seven ministers. A physicim of linistol conterated that lukins was altogether an impostor. One of the seven was rery much of the physician's mind; but, knowing Lukins to be altogether illiterate, lue atked hita it question in Latin, and Lukins at once replicd in Latin. This carrien contrien to the minds of all the gentlemen, that the contortions of the young mann were effectuated by an evil influence, and, ly consequence, that dukins was a domo niae ! I! Dr. Sunith edifies his readers with other extracts from Wesley"s joumal, yiz: Elizabeth Boothis "possession." int a Sommirangelist"s methodicel puredh ing, singing, \&c., whilst astcep. What intelligent judgent can we expect on find anong the Hethodist laity, when ministers so instructed are set over them? AI this is done to prop up John Wesley's singularities.

In Wesley s puerile clays (when impressions are most easily made) there pre
understand. The boy replied,-"An easy task is mine, to sweep into this hole will the wide occan's brine!" "O foolish boy!" the Saint exclaimed, "to hope that the broad ocean in that hole should lee: " "O foolish man!" exelaimell the boy, "thy scope is still more hopeless than the toil I ply." The lessun desired io be taken from this childish narrative is, that as St. Augustine was prohibited by an angel in the form of an infant from enquiring into the doctrine of the Trinity, so should every one instructed therefrom never attempt to think about it!!!

I may be asked what John xrii. omeans? For there our Saviour says, "And now, O Father, glorify thou ne with thine own self with the grory which I had with thee before the world was." This question should not be put to me by any jerson who has attentively read my other pamphlets, or the former part of this "1) efence." For all through I have been explaning how our Lord, under the furm of" a "Servant" or "Son," (names of oflice) invariahy displayed to the eyes and ears of all within his reach the comexion of operation between his alsolute (iodhead in a state of glory in heaven, and the same Godhead while effecting ous
vailed generally a belief in witcheraft. and in what was marvellons :med supenatmal. is In 1 Thit, a Mrs. Hicks, and her daughter aged ninc, were hamped at Huntingdon for selling their souls to the devil, and mising a stum ly fatting oft their stockings and naking a lather of soap! This fact modifies the blame nttributable to Westey for his child-like credulity as to holgohlin arparitions and demoniacal possessions: but for those ministers at the present day-who proudly bear his name to characterize them denominationally, and who persuade themselves to follow him in all his freaks of imarination-for those to rndorse his aprite stories, ite, when they ought to know better, is almost mpandonable.

Equally with the design apparently of justifying Wesley is the olject of Dr. Smith to instil into the minds of his readers a sympathy for the early Methotists, who, he shows, had been in many instances perseented by the foflowers of the (Chureh of England. Such an olject on his part would be befter mitentained if the Methodists, at the present day, would shew that indulgence to others, which they in tormer times so pathetically had elaimed for themselves.

Hillard speaking of them, says:-"The Methodists ought to be the hast people - to raise the arm of oppression against dissenting Christians. But a tew years - sinec, they well knew what it was to endure such kind of treatment themselves, "and I regret that they have so soon forgotten the "urormuood and the gall." - "They are now fast treading in the tracks of their predecessors, and 1 would to "God they would be seasonably apprized of their degeneracy. Of such, I find -itoo many, particularly among the preachers. lieports as false as they were un-- farourable, have been carried from circuit to circuit. With such, 'rinity is - their God, \&e.: Such is the fruit of implieitly following Wesley, who (in the sermon on Ist John v. 7, containing the simile of the candles) absolutely exoludes from Salvation all who do not believe in the doctrine of the Trinity as he does, "unless" (as Romanists say when they shut out Protestants from the pale of sislvation) "on the footing of honest Heathens, upon the plea of invincible ignor* ance "! ! In the same sermon Wesley declares the Romanists to be far more orthodox than those who hold the doetrine of Calvin as to election! Which doetrine he as grossly misrepresents, as did lately the glib Daniel MeAffee in his alus. ion letters on the sermons of Spurgeon-a candid, straightforward Chistian.

[^12]redmpution in a state of hamiliation on carth; and how, with the desire of impres simy this fact on people's minds, he prayed, gave thanks, looked up, \&e., to his 1)eity in glory, or, in other words, to himself who was at the same in heaven. See John iii. 13, and xiv. 3. This our Lord shewed whe he said, "He that secth me seeth him that sent me:" Jolur xii. 15.

My interrogator should also recollect how that I am a firm believer in the eter. mal Sonship, of Christ. Aud if, at the ereation of our word, "the morning stars sany together, and all the sons of (iod shouted for joy," (Sob xxxviii. 7) how much nare joyfully did those angels give an additional reveme of glory to the ciermal liug when displaying himself to them in the attitude which, in the fulness, of time, he was actually and permanently to assume, and when exhibiting graciously, "hefore the word was," to the same admising hosts how he was in this attitude to bring many sons to ghory and enol them anong the celestial ranks. to: "juin the everlasting song and crown him Lord of all." Thus had our loord fromy, before the word was, not only as the absolute God; but atso glory, in ad dition thereto, as the declared and anticipated Redeemer of mankind. The propriety of my viewnitg the Father and Son as one and the same Person in atwofold attitude, will appear from the following consideration:-

Let us suppose the case of a creditorexacting froma miscrably insolvent debter the complete payment of a debt. Seeing the impossibility of obtaining payment from the debtor he procures it by other mems, esen by his son, who actuall fays the entive sum to him in behalf of the dobtor. Though the debtor may feel obliged to his creditor for saying to the son, "If you pay the debt I will allow you to do so, and I will sead you for that purpose where you will make out the unouni, but, mark, every farthing of what is due to me I must and will have"thongh he may feel sonvewat thankful for his good will in allowing thus the deht to be paid by mother-yet he camot forget that the debt had to be paid, and that he unst feel grateful (at least principally) to him who liberated him by actuaily paying the debt for him. Thus, according to the Trinitarian hypothesis, tho Father is inexorably inplacable till he is rendered merciful by the intercession of another ferson of the Trinity who sits at his right hand for that purpose. Is his: a proper light in which to represent the lyather of compassion, to whom mercie: belong and whose name and nature are love.
Now consider the case of the insolvent debtor according to my humble viex of it. Ifis creditor sees that he is nterly unable to meet the cham against him ; however, he visits him and says to him, "1 know your helplessuess and inabihty to pray me that which a sense of what is due to my justice, holinees, truth, and righteous govermment absolutely demands. I have made you this visit not as an exacting ereditor, but as one that feels for you. look upon me in that dight; and, asi I have to support my word and rule in their integrity, the debe must bo paid, but here is the full umount for yon. Pay it back now to me; and then, I having obtained literally what I rowed to demand and threatened to exact, can,
consistently with my periections, shew you merey, hy fiving you as full a dischargo as if you had paid in the debt from your own resources."

The etermal Spirit required an atonement to be made to his violated laws; man was unable and incligible to do this; therefore the gracions Lord, assuming the character of Redecmer, made the atonement to his offemded jnstice in and through the human shine which he had assumed; and he hands over to believing sinners what he did, and what he suffered, throtgh the tabemacle of his body, for them -he hands it over to them, and makes it theirs so entirely, as that they may plead the same as effectually as if they had done and suffered so themselves. Thus our Lord says in Is. ixiii. b., "And I looked, and there was none to help; and I wondered that there was none to uphold: therefore mine own arm brought salvation unto me."

The king of glory viewed in heaven, as I have endeavoured to describe, in his attituda as the Savion-the simen's "all in all ; " being their "wisdon, rightcousness, sauctification, and redemption "; $;$ is (as Bradley says) the one and only object of adomation there. Therefore the "great voice of much peopic" was heard by John "saying, Allehuia; Salvation, and glory, and honour, and power, unto the Lord our God." The Lord of lords shatl thus coutinue to have Salvation ascribed to him, till "the Kingdoms of this word are become the Kingtoms of our loord, and of his Christ; and he shall reign for ever mad ever." (hev. xir. 1, xi. 15.)

The opinion generally held of the antiquity of the doctrine of the Trinity keens back many from investigating it: but it should be recollected, that it was not es. tablished as an Article of faith till the fatter end of the fomrth Century, when the Church, both according to Moshein and Mituer, had made very rapid adrances in degeneracy. Milner says, "Flarian" (of the fourth century) "was the first who invented the doxology," "Clory be to the Father, Son, and the Holy ribost." " In the fuliowing extract from the Canatian Independent of Oct. 16,1857 , may be seen the real reason why the doctrines of the Trinity, the hu-

[^13]man rational soul of Chist, and the Sacraments, are foum in the Church of laz. land Prayerbook:-
 "-Liturgical Purity our rightut hacritance, writun by an English harris"fer, from which some extracts are fimmet, which sulply the partienlars of a "pencral statencut recently made, coneronins the jutch-womk dianater of the "Church of Enghand I'vagerbobl. Says the anthor Mro Wisher, himself a Church" man:-
"The real thuth then, is, that the Payrobook of our Church is not, ns many "persons too hatity assme, the gemuine work of the Refomers properly so"caled: that is, of the martyred fonaters of the Mretestant Chatimity of Eny" lank. It was not composed hy them as an oripinat work, neither den we possros "it in tive fom in which they finally left it. It was, wa the contame a conaniure
 "Servicedooks of that day: and it received its latest, and therefme to ns, mos. "important revisions, not under the anspices of Cramer and his assacoste-s, hat
 "trogiade movement in the divection of Rome. The revision, whish it under"went in the reign of Difizathe was confesselly a revision of compomise ; the "aroued intention of which was, to make the liturey "such as neithor homen"ist nor Protestant cond justly exrept against." That of lifie, hovever, was "still more deciledy anti-Protertant and reactionary. Liomamism and liational"ism are, at the present moment, leafully peratent themothout the land. Both,
 "dencies of the Prayer-book, the well as ly thee incomsistencies which may natu"rally be supposed to disfigure the pages of a work, derived from so mant, and "at the same time, such incongrnous sources. Weth, noreover, are likely" to be "perpetuated indefinitely by the continach nse, in our varions Schonfs and other "Educational Tustitutions, of a Caterhism so hasedy pervaded hy the sacmans:-
 "tinct enuchation of, or even alhsion the the grand essental doctrines of the "Cospel."
"Well has Mr. Binncy said:-"It is fearful to think wha so nmount and dreth "of suffering lie hid in the recesses of the homes: mid hoarts o" the Enghish clerey! "How many of them there are that wam under sormow, which they dare not at"ter, from the pressure upon thom of harowing thoughts on the language of "their formaties! How many, alas! have heome callows-have riven up "thought-dare not think-wial wot think! What temble toil, intense sud na"availing, to repress donbtr, and sophisticate the underctanding, and to fond an "interpretation that may be held as harmonizing with"scriptural thath! And "what stabs and darts, and shootings through the sonl of the flaming arrows of "remonse and shame, as ever and anon, white the words of the book are breatlew: "to hearen, repugnase to their meaning lacerates the heart""

To shan fersons whare expecting a revision of the Prater bew the fratios. ness uf their expectations, 1 shath unty quere from the "Comadian Churd Prost" of May 3b, 1 : 6 有, the following extract:-" On the oceasion of the disctission in "the Wonse of lomet, on Thestay, (May kth,) not a siagle Peer offered Lord
 "name of the whole Eqiseopai berch, said, "We think a vertal revirion wond "one be woth its cost, and we think that a doctrinal sevision would throw the "Whate Church inte confusion:" The Sishop of Oxford wotd net have a lation

 "st-ongly recomument his brother Decrs to read a book by the Disecoung Min"ister, Mr. Jhaney, called " I.ights :mit shatows." "
 Hat uninfleenced by the ery of antiguty, when it is an antiguity of errer; or hy the ery of unity, when it is an mity of ignoranere; or hy the anthemes of the dhatsasian Creed and its abetors, when they are in opposinion to the terth of (ivet: *

- The Encyelopodia of religions knowled ge, speraky of the Athandian cred. says:-"'The eternal generation of the Son of foul torns an essential part of this "creet, as well of the Nicene. Most cortain it is, that many of the Christian "fathers maintan this mysterious doctrine of etwnal generation; and it has han "able defenders, down io Br. J. Owen, de. On the other hand, Trinitarians "equally zalons hase considered the opinion as hoth inconsistent in itself, and "derogatory to the Son of God-as implying derivation and inferiority." W.. should not wonder affer this at what Dr. Whately says of the eflect of the dispmiations of Scholatic divines on the Unity of (iove viz: that they "have in fact nearly explained it away, and bewildered the minds of their disciples." The Encyclopordia further on, speaking of the "dinmatory clanses" of the Athanasian crech, says, "-Now it is most certain, that we camot his, too much cantion "on this sulject. The Seripture inded speaks of tiath in Chast as necessary to "satation, but refers rather, perhap, to the vital principle itself, than to *ay "form of confession; and it seems above all things improper to mingle anathe" mass with our dovotions. This hat led many of the English clergy and bishops, "to wish they were well rid of this creed altogetier, which is certainly a prevail"ing sentiment; and were the question now put, on admitting this formulary into "the Church service, there are, perhips, but few, comparatively, that would vore "for it. However orthodox it may be, it does not appear to be writien ia a


## "Christian Spirit."

The distinction of Persons (for the denial of which exclusion from salvation is pronomed hy the Ahamasian ereed) is founded on the oecurrence of the Father in heaven, the Son on earth, de, at the baptism of Jesns. But how often do we read of our Lord being on earth, and speaking to the Patriarehs, Moses, de., on several oceasions, humtreds of years before his actual incarnation. Was the lond God not in his glory in the heavens, when on these occasions he appeared un earth? Will the Trintarians thus strip the Ommpotent Spirit of his atribute of Ommprence? And if they will not, how is it that they will not explain the fact of Got being in heaven and on eath at the same time, by referning to what is frequently met with in the Old Testanent, and which is declared by our Lord himself in Jolniii. 1: $:$, vii. 34 , xin. $3, x$ xii. 24, \&e. Thas the power of the Moly One, by virtue of Lis Omamerseace, manifeting himself in his three attitudes of
unimfluenced, in short, lio auy considmation, lwside the ghory of ford and the sal sation of the immental soul, I hope payerfilly and pereveringly to en forwarl

Fibher, Son, and Holy (Ghost, to mortale, heing paplained to the Christian work, wond liberate it from priestl dognatism and fetterad moderstandingo.

Both the doetrines of the 'lrinity, and of our domal having in lasaen with him
 mertats, are clearly ignomed in Is. vi., compared with doln xii. 41. Ake in
 painly that there is only one l'erson enthrom in heaven and adored there, wiz: the hord of lords-om blessed saviour. Daniol vii., eompared by ('umming with Rev. i., (See Dre Cmmming's whervations in my "(iosplel Chureh") shews the sane fact of the one Preson only in heaven; hat in the twon-fold manifestation of himself; as the "Ancient of days" and the "Som of man." Then again, ats in Dan. Weke and latah, you also are told in hev. iv. "2, of the same one Jersona reigning in glowe, "And, behok, a throne was set in hearen, and one sat on the throme." Owr Lowd is here in this chapter insoribed to be similarly attembed and adored as in the other phaces referred to. Th the 3nd velse we reat that "he that sat was to book mon like a jasper and a sardine stone: and there was a rainbew romd abont the throne." The emerah rainhow is the woll-known symblof of cosenante! merey. As the varions colors benuteously mite in the rainbow, so the diftereat attributes of the Gothad met harmonionsly in God, when he occupies the position of "God our Siviour" "Merey and trith are met tomether; rightoonsmess and peace have kissed each other."
It mast be quite evident that the same one person, who is said in Rev. iv. 2 , to have sat on the throne, is our liedeemer, who is also deseribed in ch. r. fi, (ass also in other places) to be "in the midst of the throne." But as in Ban. vii. because the Lord appears in a two-fold manifestation of himself, therefore the con ohusion is inconsiderately taken np, that there must be teo Persons meant there. 1 shall, without further examination of Rev. chaps. iv. v. as to the receiver of "the book" and its possessor, being the same Persou in different chanacters, quote from the "Achill Missionary Herald" an extract from the Rev. Mr. Ningle's late work mon the Book of Revelation. From it will he seen that it is the root, that is, the Godhead or Creator of David, and not the offipring, that is, the humen nature of Dawid, that reliever, pities, and assists me.
$\because$ And one of the elders saith unto me, "Weep not: behold, the Lion of the tribe "ol Juda, the Root of David, hath prevailed to opm the book, and to loose the ". seven seals thereof."-5.5. Here the evangelist wats informed that although - no creature could reveal God's secret purposes, one was found adeplate to this - great work. The deity of Christ qualified him to secure this privilege to his "Chureh; he is therefore described in this verse as "the Liom of the tribe of "Juda," and "the root of David," not the offspring, because he derived the - courage and energy which were needed for this mighty undertaking from the con--s sciousness of his oneness with the Father, as the root or origin of all things. $\because$ What follows (in s. (i, 7,) proves heyoud dispute that it was Christ, the eternal

- Word, who was alluded to by the eller. The Deity of Chist was an essentiat - qualification for the periomance of the work which no creature coukl aceom"plish; but justice had closed up every asenu- through which a gracions revela-"- tion could find its way from a food of intinite holiness to fallen and polhted man, "atonement was therefore neded; henee the same person who is described ver. $b$, "as the "Lion of the tribe of Juda," and "the Root of Bavil," appears ia this "verse as a "Lamb as it had been slain." This uombrous lamb is further $\because$ identified with the Godhead, by being presented to the mind of St. Johu as "being present at the same time" in the midst of the throne" and in the midst "of God's ministers and people."
in what I have undertaken and openly arowed; leaving the issun in the hands of (iod, who, whilst he dwelt in our nature here lelow, told his followers, "Lo, Inm with you alutay."
> "His purposes will ripen fast,
> Enading every hour:
> The loud may have a bitter taste,
> But sweet will he the flower."

From this estract it may be perceise how that when we speak off or pray to.
 not the fancied buman soul of Christ, hat his (iwhead reconciled to simmers drowh the atmement made by its dwe ting in the "boty prepared" for it- the tlesh that rested in hope, becouse it was not to ho letia the gease, nom he sulfis-
 shrine on boty made ghomens low the ersidene of Itim who "is athe ta sublue all things to himself. See Psimu xvi. 9, 10.

It is asked why shomb not we think that Christ had a hmman mind or retionel soul like what mank has, and why show not he wifor in that as well as in his
 answer to this is, that Christ's som ("yen if he had one cond not suffer in the only way in which its property of retlective resomin, wond eanse it to do so and, therefore, while "he bore our sins in his own bons on the tree," his sont must have bea boperative. I shall further exphan my mine in lishop Pearson's words on the fitita Articie of the Apostles" Credi, where he says:- " There is is "wom that never dieth which could not loofe within his breast, that is, a re"morse of conscience seater ia the sonl for what that soul hath tone: but such a "remosse of conscience conld not be in Christ, who, though he took upon himself
"the sins of those which otherwise lad heen damen, yet that act of his was "most virtnons, charitable, and mosi glorions act, highly conformable to the will "of Goul, and consequently could not be the olject of remorse." But I an antieiphting what I should reserve fior the next division of my "Defence," vizo "The rationat human soul of Christ." This much, howerer, I may premise, that Marin. batry, whels consists in giving aloration to the Virgin Nary, and several other rrours of Romanism, such as the immalate conception, merits of Sants, Purgatory, de., can most effectually be overeme in the mind of Roman datholics by Protestants eschewing the montende nution of our Lord having in immortal, governing, soul. For this latter doctrine is the fomdation of what has been epty cermed a pramid of fatschood, hased in priesteraft, and culnanating im illobtypersecution and cruelty nounted on every step.

## ON THE HOMAN SOUL OF CHRIST.


 lightener of all men; and (i) how he is theo wedered to he whe incanate do-

 ters. But the words dechering our homdes incenation say mathes of at ham
 It other word, the Woad, in the fulness of his fiolleat, an!, at the same time.
 ad" for him, aud dwelt in it, as in a sheme tem, thbeancle, or whate, and was unto it in the place of an inionany sun. She word "dwelt" in the orimal
 tion should be "dwelt in a tabemache or tent."
 "Word, by whom were made all thing buth in heaven and derth, wan himati "amade flesh." He had often, inteed, under the old dipensatim, :ssumed s "humarar or shelic form, and sometims appered in the "form of God:" int "now becunce or was "made flesh:" mot tramsenty" apyevatine, as of odd, in "the heman form, hut lo falenacted, or, as bodmide expreses it, "pitchon "his tabernache" to abido sonese time with men: the glery of the Divine Nature "bsing veiled in the humasite", jhet as that of the Strechionh was shoouded in the "aceompaying doud; and as the glory shone at times bore or less consin's. "onsty through the cloul, whe theny of the Word, "as of the only begutes of "the Father," shone throust the reil of human muture with beams of grace "and trith." In the note su the wod "dwelt" the same commentary remarks: "Hitmally, tabernac!ed (so Hiceliy) Campell, "stjoumed" see Meb. xi. !. "Rut Doduridge thinks it an allazon to the sichanald or diviac glory wheh resid. "cd in the taberiaclc."
The question then is, was there a human soul with the IFord in the human forms in which he oftex appeared before his advent, ut, was there a luman soul with him in the temple, when ho specially dwelt betwen the Cherubins to meet and niswer his praying people? or was the temple worshpped? For his appearing in buman fom before his actual incaration and his dwelling in the Jewish tembu were typical of his dwelling afterwards in the temple of his body; and if in the type or figure there was confessedly no hman soul nor outward temple wershipped, neither should there be, nfter the the incarnation, in the antitype.

Bradley, in his sermon on Joh ii. 21. "He spake of the temple of hishody."
sars:-" It is the humam herly of Chrint riewed as the tomple no tho living (iond.
". Ind in looking at it in this light, we mat kepp in mind the dewioh templo. Is
or is char that in wing the lagnage bofure us, the lame Josis hat it in his mind:


- hinself. Ifo womld not othorwise hevoso promptly nud mathally mate nse of " it to siznify himself. Ito maty tace this resmblame in there putheaters. it -arpresents onr lond's human mature me, fins, a dredling ghare for (iod to in - hellit ; then, as a form wheren to manifest or reveal himsalf; and then, as " $n$ momument to his pmise."



 writing on that article of the Creed when states that our dond whe cosctived hy




Prand and the beloved hiscipte sem to lawe maletond it simitaty, fior they

 Shint of fied: every spirit that ronfesenth that fons (lhist is comb the the flest if of God: and every spini that conferseth not that Jow Chinst is come in the flesh ss not of God," lat John iv. 2, 3.
Biabop Dearson (thto ii) says: "For wo are here to remmoner atain the mon
 - the Firgin Mary; :s also that the worl hom was not takenprecisciy for tho ". Nativity of our Saviour, lout as compehsming in it whatseres botonged to his - human gencration: and when aftewnat the concention was attributed to the
 - hand cosecive him, but the bessed Viren hy the power and "peration of the


I shall shew presenty from 1st Pet, ith. 15. 20, how that the ciphit, when sat in Stripture to ate we go in lehalf of (Chisi, is no other l'mson than Chrith him-
 Pabson say: - "That no more in left to be attuthed to the sinity than what "is necesary to cause the Virgin to pertorm the actions of at mothei
"Hat an the hoods in the languge of the lichreus did signify that whataree of " Which the flesh wats formed in the womh, so we acknowledge in the generation "of Jerth Christ, that he was made of the substance of his Mother."

When our lord in the fibluess of time was about to put on, or chathe himedt
 the word carnems, induerat, , when he was about to come into the word, he saith
in his Fiather or absulate Comithead:-"Sucrifice und ofiring thou wouldest nut, lout a body hast then propured mo"; this borly was prepured rlao (2) by the spirit, cansing (ns Pearsom mys) tho Lirgin to perform the netions of a mother:

 vi. 13. Bradley says on this hast test:-" "This is a prophery of the Messinio.


In bhort, we ree from thu: Hith, ant the carly (lhe: lian Church, how that a
 to receive fiad, who thromsthat wed medtum was tomanifint himselt (that is, his (iothead's attrimates, not his haman soml's henighitios.) to the worth; and
 of Fiather, sion, and Holy (ihust, as 1 hawe shewn.


 and in the Eundish tauguge mint.
In a prodiminary way ! would reanat, hat I almit filly that our Lond's lumas'















 lis ing subl, when aimated his hamen louly"?
 our blessed sus iour hat, :unt wheh is distinguishen from the nous, i. s. the mind



 word of (Gom take phace:") he says:-"What then is the hariee betwer in men and






how it, as the litebleod, was "proured out untu death" Is. liii, 10, 12. What dorss bul this menn else, thum that wur lord gave "his life an mimem for many," Mank x. 45: That "he bore our sitis in his own thaty on the tree," lit, Det. ii. $\because 1$, roconciling simuers in the body of his glish through douth, Col. i. 22, mud giving them "bohdness to chter inter the holion loy the blrod of Jesms, by it new
 his plesh."

It in asked, how conld our Savione be methomed a perfect man, ore ergual to his bethren in wht things, it he has not a mational soul in the same way as other men?

The maswer to this is plain, viz. 'That ome land's human body virtually, that is, fo all inteuts and parposes, had a ratimal sonl, went the wery beat linet of
Bas." The "Contase bible" in at mite on the sane verse says:--"It is (says - Boddrive very wident, that the aposile refers to andion which perailend



 - note on 1.t Thes. . . 2.: where we have diatmanished the rationil soml from - Whe animat: but whether twe latere ("hich is common to lowte-) he matio is,



- tient; that they feed, hat they recollect, and that they dicem; inul, therefore,
" that they mask."
Ap. Whately, in his appendix on "Ambignons 'Terms" writine on the term

 "the intellectual powers collectively; in which somse it em latrdy be sad to be -attogether denied to butes; since several of what we reckon imbellectual pro-- cosses in the haman mind, are cridently such as some brutes are capuble of. - Reason is, howerer, trequatly cmployed to demote thos intedectual powers ex-- chasively in which min differs from limens; thongh what these are, to one has - beem alde prectsoly to detme. The employment at with of the faculy of Abstrac-- tion senems to be the pmincipal; that heing, nt least, prineipally coneemed in the - ate of langurge. The momal faculty, or power, of distimguishing ripht from - wroner, (which anmears also to be closely comecten with Ahetmetion.) is one of
 "it it man also. The deserption given by that anther of om diseermment of $\checkmark$ good fond bad combet, (viz. as wholly deprodent on corpertation of reward and - panistomant, wond eptally apply to many of the brutecreation, copecially - the mone intelligent of domestic :amimats, as dugs mal homses."

The reader, viewing the foregong rmandis from a common sense stand-point, (muless his mind is incurahly preoceupied by loug and imperceptibly erroneons :onehingu) must be convincel that the mind, we inmortal principle, was not needod by our Saviour to qualify him fir the perception and endurnce of the sufferinys required for our salsation.
The great charge, hrought arainst the Apollinanims, was, that they drew a distinction letween the Greek worls pseuke and nous. The finner word (pseukē) they said was properly translated soul, hat did not generally signify the munaterial and immortal spirit in Iloly seripture, but the appetites of the human frame. They said, that it was the word nous or "mind" which alone formed a judg.
rational soul; for the "Counstluy," husing tahen up hisatherie in his holy fom-
















 "danent the noers alome."









 "nify the immateriw und immortal spirit. Int is genally asid in seripture - for the perts conerrace in carying on the circulation io the biond, wat in

 "sire aind eraring. erery instinci and yussion of the astimal facultiss, shonld
 "should he their whyht. 'There shouk not be a motion onstiarise of edesire in $\because$ any of the appetiles hat vilat tomk its rise from hro. Thame fand not bee as

"Rat the text woes ma to chan the serviee of all the rational ficultives; for "that is the senise of the (imek werd, which is renained "with ath thy mind." "It denotes that power of ho mind wherely it dadnes ome thine from anowher: "it is what the loricims call discursus, or the ant of casming. 'themind hav.
 "them, is then mabled to reason upon them; and this fuculty of iectioning is "here meant: so that every thing wheh the mind can re:sommononght to lead "it up to (ioul and to increase its hove to (iod. Reason, with ail its powers, "shank be under the inthence of divine love. And thes the Lond (ionl expects "ns to keep the first and great commandment: he repmies all the afections of "body, sont, and spivil, and all the reasoning faculties to be invanably fixcel "upon him, de."
mostly, or altogether, without sails. He would be forecd to acknowledge that the steam ship, of modern times was really the most expeditions and useful for all naval purposes. The application of the foregoing comparison to the genema upinion of the necessity of om Sariour possessing a mational sonl, so as to considor him a perfect man, is ohvions. For as stem effectually dispenses with sails, sa, in like mamer, the "Divine nathe of Christ performed the finctions of reason, and suphed the phate of what we call the mind, the spiritual and intelleetual principhe in man."

It in asked how conld our Lord withont a mational soul render an active obedience to his Law, so as thereby to give befievers :n that obedience a title to heaven?
fie answering this it should be considered, that it was net ihe human nature of Christ that in any way was the Agent in procuring ns righteousness, but that it wats the Divine Natme, which (having been in the blivine mind from etemity "in the form of (Gon " as "the mily herotion Son,") did, in the fulness of time, take 1.he form of a Servent ly sulmission and obedience to the Divine Law, and by the instrumentality of the homan matne influenced by the Godhead from wihin to whedience, did perform in, and by it, the righteonsness necessary for man to trust in. That we are to look on the Divine natue, and on it alone, dwelling in the "body preparel" for it. as the Aerent of our reconciliation, is clear from the

 extract from 10p. Parson on the Sind Article of the Creed:-
"As the gravity of the wheme leareth propertion to the person offended: so - the value of eratration an, eth from the dignity of the person atisfing: because $\cdots$ the satisfaction consideth in a manation of that homon which lay the ding - was eclipsel ; and all homom duth increase poportionably as the person yieh - ing it is homorable. If then by erey sin we lave offended Gol, who io of in "finite emineney, according mot wheh the inginy is aggravated; how shall we - ever be secure of our reancitation mato (iond, except the person who hath an$\cdots$ dertaken to make the reparation lon of the same infinite dignity, so as the hom-- our rember hy his obdidnce mas prove propertionable to the offence and that "dishonomr which arose from on disohedience? This seruple is no otherwise to -be satisficd than by a belief in such a Mediator as is the only begollen Son of -God, of the same substunce with the Father, and consequently of the same "power and dignity with the God whom by our sins we have offended."

This reasoning of Pearson is unanswerable. It shews conclusively how our Saviour must have been truly Gol, to have offered an eligible atomement to infinite eminence; and as Chart's hmman nature never could be infinite by any union with the Deity, therefore it couk not present an adequate righteonsness by active obedience to entitlo to hamen, nor an adeguate atomement to free vicarionsly from guilt believers in it. Therefore it was God in, and livems of, tho body whiel be assumed, that ded all for mennine in the das of his hmmination, and the lounsurl thint erwise 10 $n$ Son ot the same t to infinmy union by aetive icarionty the boty ation, :2m?
does all for them now in his morionsly ritheial state of mediation on high. Judeed our great High Priest, who latid down his lifw, that is, the life of his human borly: and who laid on that borly the ininuities of ne all: most have had an infuite mind to comprelend the vast amonnt of elebt which the haman fanily in all ages ine enrred.

Pearson on Irt. 4, sirs:-"If then we consider the perfection and latitude of "his knowletge; he melerstood all the sins of men for which he suffered, all the "evil and the ernilt, all the offence against the Moycosty, and ingratitude agninst the "goodness, of farl, which was contained in all those sins."

John Roman, in his "Pilgrim's Progres"," stage ", Part ", expans very fally the nature of the righteonsess which jestifes, in the following manner:-
" Chro-But if he purts with hiss righteonsness to us, what vill he have for hime "self?
"Creat.-He has more righteousness than you have necrl of. or than he need"eth himself.
"Chr--Preve make that appeur.
"(iveat.-With all my heart: hut firet I muat preanion, that he of whom we are "now abont to spoak, is one hat has not his fellow. Ho has two natures in one "person, plain to be distinguished, impossible to be divided. Vnto each of these "antures a righteonsusss belongeth, and cach riphteonsmess is assential to that "nature: so that one may as casily came that mature to be extinet, as to separate
 "not mate partakers, fo as that they, or any of then, should be put npon us, that "we might he made just, and live therory. Bosides these, there is a rightcous. "ness whel this person has, as these two matures are joined in one. And this is "not the righteoneness of the Goothen?. as Whimgnished from the manhood; nor "Hherighteouness of of the manhoor, as distingnished from the Coodhead; but a "rightennsness which stamteth in the union of hoth natures. and may properly be "eabled the rightronsness that is essential to his being prepared ot Gorl to the ca"pacity of the mediatury oftiee, which he was entrustel with. If he parts with his "first righteonsness, he parts with his forthead : if he partswith hissecond right"eousness, he parts with the purity of his manood ; if he pats with his third, her "parts with that perfection which capacitates him for the office of metration. "Ine has therefore another righteousnes, which stamblh is praformaver, on "obmemate To a mevended wher; and that is what he puts upon simers, sued that "hy which their sins are covered. Wherefore he saith, "As by one man's dis"obediene many were made simers, so by the obedience of one shall many be "wade righteuus." Rom. r. 19.
From these expositions of hmyan and Pearson it is quite apparent that the in: finitely holy obedience of Christ, after he har elothed himself with the human body, was an adequate offering to his infinitely holy justice, which demander such' an offering. Thus Christ suffered in the flesh, (lst Pet. iv. 1) which was the pro.per sulject of his lassion; as through the lust of the flesh disobedience first cane
into the woild; and through the influence of which everiasting death comes, Ron. viii. 13.

I have been asked by people, "What is the meaning of Inke ii. 52 ," where it is said that ". Jesus iucreased in wisdom and statare." They ask, "is not the one in resiect of his bodys the ofinu of his soml"?
It is true, it would appear at first wight that he, whose knovidedge iesaid to have increused with his yars, mast have had a subject proper for it, via : a mational human sonl. But the meaning that I belie ve should be taken from the words, is:That the wistom of the indwolling God was exhibited and developed gradually, and aeromben to circumstunces, througit the medium of his hman body. For instanes, ath thewh doctors in the temple that heard (hrist, when he was ahowt twelve yew: wi age, "were astonished at his understanding and answers; "ard well they might, tow the words which this Divine Teacher uttered from hman lips _-"they were sinnit. and they were hife" Jolm vi, 63.
The cotthe bible, commenting on Mrank xiio. In, quotes the following extract from Dr. Fy" Smith's Messiah, vol, 2:-"The Scripitures appear to ua, on the one "haud, to teach the existence of such a mion as produces a personal oneuess: "i and on the other, to exclude the notion of transmutation, or confusion, of the "essential properti"s of either nature with respect to the other. It follows that, "whatever eommunication of supernatural qualities, powers, or enjoyments, was "made by the indwelling divinity to the man Christ Jesus, it was malo in vetrious "degrees, and onsuccessive occasions, as the Divine wisdom judgred fit: and "this necessury limitation would apmy to times or seasons which the Jather has "put in his own power" ( $\Lambda$ cts i. 7.) "as much as to any other concervable class "of orjects."
 human mind, eithor by reciving lampletge throug the eenses or through reflec. tion-the ways by which men rewiok kumaly? but that they origmated wh the indwelling Cherst, acting iat his piotioce of the siphit, and consequently not through any haman cxperiance:-" And have shatil come forth a rod cut of the
 Iord shall wet num him, the spirit of wisdos cind understanaing, the snivit of counsel and inght, the mint of lonovedge and of the far of the Lord: and shan make him of ruiek undestanding in the foar of the Insed: and he shall not jadge
 Chestis human boty, dumg his hamilition liere onearth, was subservicid to its Divine resident, passively, an an a metiun, to canibit and cary ont the de. signeli display of glory before mortal eyes, Jolm i. 14. The quotation from Mocheyne (to be fuand in my Viadication 1 m. 11, 1?, explans satisfactorily what 1 mean, whore it says:-"The fect were keman feet that stood upon Mount "Olivet. The eyos were human eyes that looked down upon the dazaling city. "The tears werchuman tcars that fell upon the ground. But oh, there was the "denderness of God beating beneath that mantle. Look and live, simers.
"Look and live. Dehold your God. He that hath seen a weeping Christ hath "seen the Father. This is God manifest in the flesh."
Can any thing then be plainer than that the declaration of "Jesus increasing in wisdom," or, as it is in Luke i. 80, "waxing strong in spirit," means the progressive manifestation of the wistom of that Cod, who dwelt within; and who (through the muntle of our nature, which he had put on) in his conversations, \&ce, gave such demonstrations that it was the indwelling (iod alone, without any cooperation from a hman mational mind, that actuated and governed all that he did and said- "I say, gave such demonstrations of his Goihead as that, in the words of MeCherne from the phace already alluded to, "He manifested forth his "glory, and his disceiples believed on him. Almighty power spolie in a human "voice, and the love of (God, too, shone in it?"
It is also objected that in Heb. iv. 15, we can see the feetings of Christ's human mind there dectared to be rembered the more compassionate from his experiencing similar attaels from temptations with simers: for that the Apostle there gays:-"For we have not an high priest which eamot he tonched with the feelius of our infinities ; $\dagger$ hat was in all points tempted like as we are, yet without sin." On this passame the Cottage bible has the following note:-"Which camot he "touched"- Whackinht. "Who camot symputhize"- "Like as we are""i. e. "iat the same prints."
Now it at comes to this-is the Ifigh Priest, here spoken of, the great "I Am," dwellime in the human hody which he essumel, and which ie oflered up to his offembdintice as an expatory victim or acrifice, he having power to lay duw: his (han:m) life, and haviug power to take it again. I believe all will answer this in the athimative. Themas it is the (iochear of Chmist, and the Cothend alone. that is the IIigh Pricst ; \& so it is the Godheal alone that sympathizes with mortal.

- "As som as num had divested himseif of Gonds imace, his shameful naked. "ncoss made him mon into the thickets; wor could he com sime then look his "Maker direetly m the face, wor endme to hear his immediade vinet. There-
"fore, when dood himself wonk eome and hell anong men, he veited his deity "with haman flesh: there he stool behiad the ucall, and show edhimself throngh "the latices."-Ap, Juchiton's sermom on 2nd Cor. $v .20$.
t Dishop Bumet (1h. I) :ays:-"The thisd thing under the head I now con"sider in, Golis being withont passions.' 'Yet after all this, the ere several "passione, such as anger, fury, jutousy, and revenge, bowels of mocy, con"possime and pity, joy and somow, that ate wacribed to (ion in the common

"relation to those figures that are taken from the parts of a hanam lody." Whern "God changes the comse of his procectings, he is raid to repent: when his dis"pensations of Providence are very gente, and his judpments come slowly from "him, he is satd to have bouds. Aid thus ant the varictics of Providence comus "to be exprested hat all that variety of passons, which among men mingt give "oceasion to such a variety of proceceding."
§ "On hessed sacrifue, who was also Priest and Altar, offered himsolf we, "cheorfully ! Then sixith he, "1o, I come to do thy will, o Cod." Heh.. . ""And I lay down my life for the sheep," saith the good Shephad." Johes. 15. See $\Lambda_{1}$. Leighton il.

Agnin, I would ask, is the sympathy spoken of by the Apustle lity representerit as belunging to the Deity, and to the beity alone? No, my opponent: answer,
 alone; and the expresions, by which these sympathies awe deseabed, related palpably to what is luman in our Savionr. If this he the case, I would asti, lanw is it that the Lord is described as having sympathzed with his people of oh, many hundred years before his adveat, and conseprenty before the creation of the human soul which current opinion antiwerchurally attributes to him ; for "in all their affliction be was afflicted." Is. ixiii. 9."
In the 15 th and 16 th verses of the same chapter we ser the Church calling the Lord its Father and Redeener white it looks for smmathy:-" Low down from heaven, and behold from the habitation of thy holiness and of thy ghory : where is thy zeal and thy strength, the sounding of thy bouels and of thy mercies toward me? are they restrained? Doubtless thou art our liather, though Abrainm he ignorant of us, and Isracl acknowledsed us nut: thou, O Lord, art our Father, our Redecmer ; thy name is from everlasting."

In Jer. xxxi. 20, sympathy is expressed hy (iod as felt hy him, just as it would be expressed if felt hy a human soul; and yet not the slightest idea of a human

* Let the reader but look to "Chrixtias Jreasury" in the Montiral IItness of Sep. 12th, 1860 , and there see this very passare of lo. Nizio. 3, made appositely to exphan, by a comparison of it with IIM. iv. 15, how revy unnecessary is the idea of Chist having a haman rational soul in order to hase him fout for mortals and sympathize with them:-
"In all their affliction he was smicten, and the angel of his prosence savel "them; in his love and in his pity he redemed them; and he bare them and car"ried them all the days of ohd. Is. 1xiii. 9."
"Divine Sympathy.-How sweet is sympathy :-Fet human sympathy is very "often feeble-it may soothe, but camot hel". The kind risit, the loving word, "is at times precious; but they reach not our ease, they bring nis no permanemt "relicf. Love is often without power to assist the beloved ohject. Not so when
" God loveth, for then the loving heart moves an omipotent anm, and opens in-
"finite resources. But there is something astounding in the thonght, that the
"aftlictions of a worm-a sinful worm, should afflict the heart of the Infinite,
"the heart of God. Yet such is the testimony of his own word. What an ex-
"pression of love is that? "They put away the strance gods from amour them,
"and served the Lord; and his soul uas griered for the misery of Israel."
"What exquisite tenderness is manilested when the God of the unirerse decheres,
""He that toucheth yon, boucheth the appie of mine cye"? Tried fellow-tran-
"eller, when thy road is rouch, when thy strenoth is small, when thy heart is "pained, when thy sighs are heary, (iod symputhizes with thee. His eye seen, "his ear hears, and his heart feels; for ibse as a futher pitich his chidren, so
"the Lore pitieth them that Sear him. for he linozeth our frame, be remom.
"bereth that we are dust." Beliere this, and be sad if thou canst. Delieve thit:,
"Wand complain if thou dust. Believe this rather, and ro on thy way rejoicing.
"What con you desire more? God rour Father. God, as a father pitying you,
$\because$ - -pitying you as his belored child. The sympathy of God should be thy solace.
"thy comfort, and thy jou. "Wop whaye not an Hiph Priest which camen


 fone why farels are trotbled for him; I will surely have merey unon him, saith the Lem!." $\ddagger$ "luan alsou to hos, si. se, !', where Goul, uninthenced hy a human
 how shatl I make thee ats duall: how shati I set thee as \%eboim? mine heart is turawd within me, my repentings are kintled together. I will not execute the fiereness on mine atas, I will not retura to destroy Bharam: for I am God, nitd now mon ; the jloly Gate in the midat withere."
 ont, and asu: anch foum, that he wat mowd with compassion towned them, be Canse they were as sheep not having ashepherd. Ifere, they say, als also in the
 behohl dooned de:thatem. vats it not the sight presented to his bodily eyes that moved the tempernes of his human heat?

In reply I woll! ohsorve, that if it he sin, you must give to Christ's hmman heart or simbl, and wot to his (Bullomb, the gratitude and ofory the to such manifestations of sumpathy and compasion. Howewr, gou will see, that, many hundred yeass before our (iod hexame incarmate, he foll and acted aceording to how he sho persoms and thimg ; or, to apook more propert, the languge of Scripture (in which such seeme, iedins, and actine are described) it is accommodated to our wory imperfert comprehnsina, and to onr customary maner of speaking. Thus in (iene vi. 5 , we read:--" Aud bod sum that the wickedness of man was grom in the earth * * *und it appented the hord that he had made man on
 the berd reme doten to see the wity and the tower, which the ehithen of men
 - matas.:

I need mot, I hope ser mome th shew that it was the (iondead of our Lord that felt and symatherell hefore, athl ming, his stay in the human body on the certh;

 Christ Jews his howl." Ihit. itio. S. Jit the knowlente which the Aposto prized





 "mecy." 'Ina, at the sound of their (the people's) repeatings, his bowebs "wonld rewomd with compassion by "h seceet sympathy and harmony, as one "string well turei to another. stirs when it is towhed: Jer. axai. 20." Ap. Leighton's sermon on Isa. $x \times x .15-1 \mathrm{c}$.

Jude 25 ; who exercises "lovingkindness, judgment, and righteousness in the "arth"; desiring those that understand and know him as such, to glory in the same; "for in these things I madime, saith the Loma." The prophet Micahe expriencing this knowledge, says:-"Who is a Ciod like unto thee, that pardoneth iniquity, and passeth by the trantgression of the remmant of his heritage? he retaineth not his anger for ever, hecause he manarmia in mercy," Mic. vii. 18. It was the same God still, "who, for the jo!n that was set before him, endured the c:oss, despising the shame."

Inconsiterate persons (and they are far the most numerous) think that these hast words taken from Heis. xii. 2, relate mudoubtedly to the manhood of Chist feeling $\$$ a prospect of the joy to be indulged in, in the days when the lond wotd make up his jewels: and thus loce, ngain, the prevalent earor is manifested, of ascribing to the victim or thinf offered the praiee due to the High Priest or offeres -of ascribing to the human heart what belongs to Gom - -and of thus worshipping and serving the creature more than the Creator; lem. i. 23. Whereas the Gothead, in aeknowledging the body which he had assumed to be his body, so inlentifies himself with it, as to have it deelared that he endured the cross, when it was ouly his beody that sulfered.

Bishop Pearson's words will explain my views far better than my own words an. He says:-"For the Messiah was to be the glory of the people Istael, yea, "even of the God of Irael; he the Urim and Thummim, loy whom the will of "God, as ly in greater Oracle, was revealed; he the the dik of the Corenant, "the only Propitiatory hy his hood; he which was to hapitize with the Holy "Ghost and with tire, the true fire which came doun from heaven; he which "was to tuke up his mamatio: in orn mash, and to dwell among us, that we "anight behod his glory * * * That Vord which was in the begiming, "which then was with God, and was food, in the funess of" time being made flesh "did suffer. For the "princes of this world crucified the Eord of Citory"; and "" God purchased his Church with his own blood." That Person which was "begotten of the Father before all works, and so was really the "Lord of elory,"
"and most truly God, took upon Fim the mature of man, and in that nature, leing "still the same Person which before he was, did suffer.
"For as the "Word was made flesh," though the word was nover made, (as being "in the begiming (iod) but the flesh, that is, the humanit; was made, and the

[^14]* "It was familiar (i. e. customary) thus to do among the Jews, muder their de"generscy; wherefore one came to Christ, and said ; "(iood wawtw, what shall "I do to have eternal life?" But what was Christ's answer! how did he take it?
"Why callest thou me good?" says Christ, "there is none good sare one, that is
"Goi." He, that had more right to keep it than all mankind rejected it: and
"why? because he saw the man adressed it to his manhood after the way of the
"times, and not to his divinity which dwelt within it : therefore Christ re "fuses it." No Cross, No Crown : part 1, ch. 9. By William Pem. in the ahes. loneth he revii. 18. red the
"Word assuming it becane flesh; so saith St. Peter, (1st Peter iv. 1,) "Christ "suffered for us in the flesh," in that nature of man whicla he took upon him: "and so the son did suffer, not in that nature in which he was bergoten of the "Father hefore anl womb, but in that flesh which hy his incamation he became. "For he was "put to death in the flesh, but quickened hy the Sipirit," (lst Pet. "iii. 18), suffered in the weakness of his hmanity, the sose lyy the power of his "Dirinity. As "he was made of the seed of David arcerding to the flesth, in
 "desh," in the lansuage of St. Peter, 1st Peter iii. 18, iv. 1 ; tand as he was do. "clared to be the Son of God with power, accorting to the spirit of holiness"; "so was he "quickened by the Spirit." Thus the proper snhject and recipient of "our Saviour's passion, which he underwent for us, was that nature which he "t took for us." $\dagger$
Secing from reason and Scripture, that we, who are "partakers of feeh and Hood," conld expect no redemption bit hy him who "likewise took part of the same;" :and we conld look for no Redecmer, but such a one who ly consunguinity wis our brother; secing the wonderful love and condescension of Immamel in "contrivirg the wondrous plan" of our reconciliation with his offended ciodhead; contemplatints all this, we are fored to exelain with the Apostle, "Great is the mystery of Godliness, God was manifest in the flesh."

IIere I an told that the Apostle means, "great is the mystery of the Trinity." In reply I will say, that I should either be "walkintr in cralthess," and "handling the word of Cod deceitfully;" or be ranking myself with the shallow-minded, who, like poor inorant Roman Catholics, receive unenquiringly the prevalent opinion of their lorests; if I were, for a moment, th think that the doctrine of the Trinity was alluded to, while I see piainly, as I have already intimated, that it was a profoud admination of God's condescending, mmerited, love to rebellious man, that caused the $A$ postle's remarkable declamation that has been referred to. In face, these words (to be fomm in 1st Tim. iii. 16,) are adroitly used by those, who, in this mamer, try to cover their setreat from rational enquiry and from an impartial examination of God's "Law and testimony." I must conclude with the prophet that "they speak nut according to this word, because there is no light in them."
But they tell us firther, that as we camot inderstand the nature of God, nor his attributes, nor the mamer of growth of the vegetable, mineral, and animal lingdoms of nature, that therefore we should class the doctrines of the Trinity and of Chist having a human soul in the same category of mysteries.
This mode of evading rational enquiry is nothing less than throwing dust into the eyes of their crednlous followers; for it is admitted on all hands, that the nature of God, his attributes, and the st $t$ operations of nature, are of the "secret things that belong unto the Lord our God." Such things are either

[^15]atablished truths recorded in fiods holy word, or recognised from (xperionce or *sientife researches, and thesefore shond be implicitly heliened. She when we sere such cminent divines as the perent Arebishop of Duhlim camdity condemming the notion, that the doctrine of the Trinity is anystery or "atsothene temen," and acknowledging that it is out be be found in Hoty Suriptue as thee Dersons
 and when we see him dectaring that the leaving such falsely ealled mysterbes to be handed and moulded for us by one "spinitual gnides" results from the obin dolence, the spinitual carclessnes:-the weakness, and the dishoment ambition, of
 that the so-celled mysteries of the Chistian fith are concealed only "Som thuse who wifully shat their cyes against the light of "livine mevelation," " bow shour we put the doctrine of the Trinity, de., on a par with the divine nature or attributes of God.

I have shown in the "Gospel Chureh" from 13p. Pearson, how that in Pralm xvi. 10, the word "soul" may be translated in that phace, as it is in many other places of Scripture, "hody"; and how the word "hell" may be transtated "grave." The Bishop, on the Article, "He desceuded into Hell," also observes, "that in the Aguileian Creed, where this Article was first expressed, (about four "hundred years after Chist) there was no mention of Chist's harial; but the "words of their confession ram thas, "crucified under Pontius Pilate, hedescend. "edin inferna." From whence there is no question but the observation oi' Rufje"nus, who first expounded it, was most true, that though the Roman and Orien"tal Creeds had not these words, yet they had the sense of them in the word bur"icd. It appeareth therefore that the first intention of putting these worls in the "Creed was only to express the Durial of our wiuviour, or the desernt of his "body into the grave. They were jifol put in the dquilcian Cecod to rignitity the "Eurial of Chist, and those which had ouly the Bural in their ('reed did "confess as much as those which withont the Burial did express the De"sceat."
I have shown in "The Gospel Church" how in the Amorien Eipiscopal prayer book, and in the Methodist book of disciphene, the Article "He desectuted iuto Hell," is left out of what is called the Apoather" Creed; fur the reason, 1 sup pose, that it is thought umecessary, when the word "Bursal" (which means the same thing) is there. The reader, who is opento convietion, cannot bot see, from the confessed meaning given to the words "He desecended into lfoll" on their introduction into the Cred-the meaning universally attached to them by the early Carch-the capability and probability of those words (as also of the passage in Psahn xvi. 10, on which they are founded) meaning (as Lishop Pearson proves) he descent of our Saviour's body into the grave, or, in other words,

* See in pages 23, 24, and 25 of the "Vindication" extracts from Archbishop Whately's works on what are called "Mysteries."
his Batial-the ignoring totally of those words in modern timos hy layer and re-

 poing fictes that the words "He desecnded into Ifoll" dwot mean our Lord"s sond descending iuto some famed place in the invisible worth.

Then, lat him consider the comelnsion to which he mat conm, according to Bishog D'earsun's decision, vio: "Ihat if it can he answerel that our Saviour's -descent into Hell hus no relation to his soul, but to his body omhe, which de"seended to the arave; I say, if this sense can be attived th this Articte, then tha
 Ghat that the liond was to him in the phace of a Soul) will he somen, and the "Catholies" argment of no validity:" Simen substantially is the vendict of Pearson; according to which I must, so far, comsider myself acmuitted of heresg or arror. And firthermore, I consiler those in culpatle error who ditier with mo on this subject, and saty, that our Surion's sond descended into Heit, when at thes same time they almit the doctrine to be unseriptual. For instance, the same Bishon on the same Article says:- "Now thase worls as they lie in the Creed, "he descended into Hell, are no where fomally and exprestly bliveren in the "Soriptures; nor can we find any one place in which the Hody (ihost hath said "in express and plain terms that Christ as he died and was Imried, so he descend. "erd into Hell."
But I imarine that I hear my opponents remarking here to me:-"How is it that you do not refer to the well-knowa pasarage in 1at let. iii. 1!, which was notorionsly considered by the Ancient Jathers, and also by the Chureh of Fingland at the sime of the Roformation, an all-sufficient foundation for their belief of the descent of our Savion's sonl into the region of departembsouls in Hades? Are not the Syndical decrees and decisions of the venerable Fathers of the Church, (whose memories have been held in veneration for many centmies) aur the opinions of the Archbishops and Bishops of the Church of Bugland, to le received in preference to your isolated and new-light assertions? lesside you should bear in mind that the greatest Divines anst the most admired writers of the present day, regard 1st Pet. iii. 19, as establishing the Article of the descent of our Lord's sonl into Hell. Only look, they say, at the remarks of the Rev. Dr. Rrummachor, whose works of "dilisha the Tisinite," \&c., are admired all over the world. See how in his "Suffering Saviour" he speaks on the subject muder our consideration. He there says:-
"]3ut it is undeniable that mysterious passages of Scripture intimate that the "Prinee of Peace, after having lail aside his earthly body, had by ho means con. "cluded his mission. For the Apostle Peter says in his first Epistle iii. 19, 20, "that Clhist went in the Spirit-that is, divested of his bodiey personalits-and "preached unto the spirits in prison; which sometime were disobedient, when "once the long-suffering of God waited in the days of Nooh, while the ark "was a preparing. And. supported be this passare especially, tho Apostle"
"Creed aszerts a descent into helt, inamethatelg setper the death of Chist.
"Now, if Chist menceld the habhitations of those depurted spirits of wa antedilu-
" riom world, it was in onter to amonnee his vietory th then, as the words in the
"orisinal expresuly intimate. That it was also in orter to preach repentance
"and afler foith to them, and then to combut those s.in beliered, as living
"trophics with him into hearem, we are inthe to think, when combining it
"with those other words of the same Apmstle, Cha iv, fil!"
I will answer all this; and olso shew in the nuswer what I crignond in the forepart of this treatise for shew, viz:- Jhat the preaching of Chist hy his sparit is the preaching of Christ himself in his office of the "Ouickener," and that in that oftice or character he ratised himetf, that is his iondy, from the grave. 13at I with not have the answer in my own word, bat in the words of the lishop, from whase farfamed exprosition of what is called the Aposites Cperd I have guntod so mach atready. A very ordinary temer will glean from the brikhples ramaris a satisfactory miswer to the ohjections and riews of my opponemts.

I shath preface the extracts from l'arson he ghting nu extari from biano Burnets exposition of the thind Ariede of the Eaghish Churen: merely wemarking, that Dr. Isatac Wats, in his "Smpros rasent of tho mimp" says:-"We " should be very cmions in examining all propestions that fretom th this bunour "of heing gencral principhes: and we should not withon just widme adn into "this rank mere maters of common fame, or commonly vectivel opinisus, no. "nor the gencral determimation of the leamed, or the cstablistued artictes of any

 "errors, wherem multitudes of men have follured one anderer for uhole agres "almost blindfold."
But to proced with my pronf-I will first guote an extract from Dishop laio net's exposition of the thim Aiticle of the Chureh of lingland, which says:-" As Christ died for us and was biried, so also is it to be lelieted, that he went dhow into Hell." On this the bishop writes as follows:- "This was mula faller - when the Articles were fist preparel and puhishod in Kinip Jodwand reign; "for these worts were added to it, That the body of Christ lay in the grave "until teis resurrection; but his spivit, which he gate up, was with the "spirits which were detained in person. or in hell, and preathed to therin, as "the place in St. Peter testifieth." 'Thus a delermined sense was put up on this "Article, which is now left more at large, and is conceived in world of a morn "general simnifation. Th order to the exphining this, it is to bo premisen, that "the Article in the Creed, of Christ's descent into hell, is mentioned by no writure "before Rufin, who in the begiming of the fifth century does indeed speak of it; "but he tells us, that it was neither in the symbol of the luman, nor of the "Oriental Churches; and that he found it in the symbol of his own Chureh at

[^16]$\because$ Aquilen. But as there was no other Article in that symbol that related to " Christ's burial ; so the words which he gives us, descendit ad infirna, he de"scended to the lower parts, do very naturally signify burial, according to turse "words of St. P'aul, "He ascended; what is it, bont that be also descended first "to the lower parts of the carth?" Eph. iv. 9. And Muthin hinself undersituoct "theso words in that sense."

Burnct says more in the sama place to the sume eniect: but I pass on to quote, as I intended, from Pearson, who says:-"But Christ was veally before the flood, "for he prenched to them that lived before it; and at the creation of the worh, "for he created it. That he preached to those before tho flood, is evident by "the words of St. Peter, who snith, that Christ was put to death in the flesk, but Coquickened by the Spirit; by which also he went and preached unto the "spirits in prison, which sometime acere disobedient, when once the long-suf: "fering of God waited in the days of Noah, while the Ark was a preparing.
"From whieh words it appeareth, that Christ preached by the same spirit, by tha "virtue of which ho was raised from the dead: lut that Spirit was not his soul, "but something of a greater power. Secondly, that those to whom he preached " were such as were disobedient. Thirdly, that the time when they were disobe"dient was the time before the flood, while the Ark was preparimg. It is cer"tain then that Christ did preach untu those persons which in the days of Noals "Were disobedient all that time the long suffering of (iod waited, and, conse"quently, so long as repentance was oficred.
"It remaineth therefore that the phan interpetation be acknowleded for the "true; that Christ did preach unto those men which lived before the llood, even " while they lived, and conseqnently that he was before it. For thongh this was " not done by an immediate act of the Son of God, as if he persomally had ap. "peared on earth, and actually preached to that old world; but by the ministry "of al Prophet, by the sending of Noalh, the eighth preacher of righteousness: "yet to do any thing by another not able to perform it without him, as much de" nonstrates the existence of the principal cause, as if he did it of himseif with" out any intervening instrument.
"For certainly he which was before Abraham was in the diay; of Herod borm " of: : woman; he which preached in the days. of Noah began to preach in the "reign of Tliberius, being at that time about thirty years of age: he was de"monstrated the Sou of God with power who was the seed of David accordiug to "the flesh; he who diad on the Cross raised him from the dead who died so, being " put to death through the flesh, and guickened by the Spirit; he was of the "fathers according to the flesh who was Giod over all blessed for cver. Seeine "these and the like actions and affections cannot come from the same nature, or and yet must be attributed to the same Person: ans we tunst taknowledue a de"versity of natures united, so must we confess the identity of the Person in " whom they are conjoined, against the ancient heresy of the Nestorims, con"demned in the Council of Ephesus.
 - The spirit, In which alsa he we ne wall percethed amio the spivis in prisun:
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 "hris" : ondhat miy mond ve screve, i. c. evorship and glorify, Matt. is. 10. Heme the rende: siould ramerne.. what I have quoted from Burnet, that the rorcis "wher with the tather and ibe Son together is worshipped and grenified."
with all that follows in what is called the Nieene Creed, were not inserted in that ('reed until fifty six years after the Council of Niee was held. For in the year 281 Theodosius summoned a Comucil at Constantinople, composed of sueh bishops as he thought were faromrhble to his religious riews, and it was in that Commcil that the foreguing words in support of the doctrine of the Trinity, and the remainder of the Creed, were first heard of and decided on. Mosheim says:-"A " hundred and fifty bishops who were present at this Council, gave the finishing "touch to what the Comneil of Nice had left imperfect, and fixed, in a full and "deterninate manner, the doctrine of three persons in one God, whieh is, as yet, "reeeived among the qenerality of Christians." Mosh. (1) 114. Nilner in his (hureh History sars of this Council :- "The Conncil was very confused and dis"orderly, greatly iuferior in wisdom and piety to the Conncil at Nice." "Fac"tion was high, and charity was low at this time." "This Conncil very accur"ately defined the doetrine of the Trinity, and enlarged a little the Nicene Creed: "they delivered it as we now have it in our Commmion Serrice."

This admission of Miner coneerning the confused and disorderly actions of the Conncil in which the doctrine of the Trinity was first established, nearly four handred years afier Christ, should have considerable weight with the reader; and the more so, when it is known that Milner was an extremely strenuous and laborions supporter of the doctrine of the Trinits.

It apmears from Brown's "Eneyelopedia of Religions Kinowledge," that tho first general Comeil was oceacioned in 325 by the Arian heresy, and was held in Niee. Also that the second general Council was held in 381 at Constantinople, "in order to oppose the reputed heresies of Sabellius, Mereellus, Photinus, and Apollinaris. "which heresies were still more or less privately tanght."
It may naturally be asked, what accompanied the establishing of the Council's decrees against those so calied heresies? In answering this question $I$ have only to look at what Dr. Cumming in his late work "Lectures for the times" says in his ninth Lecture, viz:-"Then, as to persecution, I find it stated in Manse's "Councils, Vol. 3. p. 527,-'But the Emperor (Thodosins)provided, by the most. "severe laws, that whecer dissented from the Nicene and Constantinople Symbol "of faith, should be denrived of their bishopries, and not only should not be pro"moted by nthers, but should be driven from the Church, from the walls of the "cities, and from the company of men." "
There are two arguments constantly brought forward by those ministers who baptize infants, and by those who baptize adults, which being specions and plans:hle are casily caught up, and, of course, repeated by their undiscening hearers. One of these arguments is, that it is right to contime the Sacraments not as saving ordinances, but as outward and risible signs of an inward and spiritual grape: or, in other words, as "significant rites."

- For whose opirions against the doctrines of the Trinity and our Saviour hav. ing a rational soul, see "Gospel Church" ps. 12-15.

Here it scems to be overlooked, that typical ceremonies in connexion with Christian Worship are divectly at variance with the acknowledged fact, that the old Jewish system of types was abrogated by the death of Christ; and that it was our Saviour's own law, that the Father was to be worshipped, not accerding to to the shadowy ritual of the Jews and Samaritans, but in spirit and in truth. Under the Gospel dispensation the worship of God is at once simple and spiritual ; it is the communion of the soul of man with his Creator, by his direct influence in his office as the Spirit, and through his sole mediation in his office as the Saviour. Consistently wiht this truth, all observances in worship, which are of a rurely ceremonial nature, all mere types and shadows, are by a general law abolished. They are at once fulfilled and abrogated by the great realities of the (rospel of 'hrist. The Sacraments exactly answer to this description. They are in their nature wholly ceremonial; they are mere shadows or figmes. As all Protestant writers acknowledge the Sacraments to be ceremonial in their nature, I shall here only quote from two, viz:-Bishop, Hall and Mr. Romaine, who are known to have been strong supporters of the Sacraments.

The former, in his fith book of contemplations, says:--. "I wonder to see the "Israelites fed with Sacraments; their bred was sacranental, whereof they com-
" mmicated every day, and now their drink was sacramental.
"Behold, their whole meals were sacramental. * * * The ancient Jews
" kept our feasts, and we sill keep theirs." Mr. Romaine (in his discourse upon (ch. 4 v. 6, of The Song of Solomon) says:-." "The shadows were to flee away. "The legal ceremonies are called skadows in Scripture, because they were outward
"and visible signs of inward and spiritual objects. St. Paul says, the ceremonial
" law "had the shadow of good things to come," Heb. x. 1; of the good things
"which are now come to us by the advent of Christ; and it had the patterns
" and examples of heavenly things; every one of which had God for its author,
"'and was instituted by him to be an apt figure, and to raise a just idea of some
"spiritual object; as Moses was admonished of God, when he was about to make
"the tabernacle. "For see," saith he, "that thou make all things according to
"the prattern showed to thee in the mount." Every rite and ceremony was a pat-
"tern of someheavenly object, the real existence of which the pattern ? ?uveü, as
"as a shadow proves the reanity of the substance from which it is cast, and the re"semblance and likeness of which is set before the eyes, as the shadow of a body "is a representation of it. The scripture has expressly determined what all these "shadows were to represent: for the Apostle, speaking of them in Col. ii. 17, de"clares, "that they were the shadow of things to come, but the body is of Christ." "Christ is the reality of all the shadows of the law; he is the body, and the sub"stance, of whom they are the pictures. If you take away their reference to him, "they cease to be examples and shadows of heavenly things; but if you suppose "them to represent him and his actions, and sufferings, \&c., then they answered " many noble purposes, until he came in the flesh to fulfil them; for then these " shadows were to flce away; one great end of their institution being answered.
"The observance of tiem was io bo no lonear in foren: hat tier were entiocty "to be repealed ana abrocated. ITnerewer, umit this hinsed doy shoutd bromb,
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and spiritual worship of Gord. As to the Lord's supper (the only sacrament from which advantage is supposed hy most Christians to be really derived) its sig. nification was naturally understood by the twelve apostles, to whom the words "Do this in remembrance of me" were addressed. For they were all Jews, or Galileans, who had long been accustomed to observe the rites of the supper of the Passover. Among those rites were numbered the breaking of the bread, and the handing of the cup, with the blessing and giving of thanks. As they had al. ready heen habituated to these customs, so was the Lord Jesus well aware that they would still maintain them : for, as it has already been remarked, the apostles continued in the practice of parts of the Jewish ritual, long after the crucifixion of our lord, and, although that ritual was in fact abolished by his death, the sudden disuse of it does not appear to have been enjoined mon them by their divine Master. We see Paul in his Eipistle to the Galatians (wheh was written A. D. 53) openly reproving Peter for compelling the believing (ientiles to live after the manner of the Jewish law, Gal. ii. 1.4. Haring these facts in our view, we may reasonably interpret the words of Jesuls as commanding nothing more than that his apostles should call $h \mathrm{im}$ to their reollection, when they met to celebrate the supper of the Passover. "This culp," said Jesns, is the New Testament in - my blood." Now, it was not evcry cmp of wine which represented the New Thestament in the blood of Christ: it was the cup of wine drunk at the supper of the Passorer-an institution which they were then celebrating, and which, in some of its circunstances, was expressly typical of the doath of the Messiah. It appears, then, by no means improbable that it was to the cup of the Passocer exclusively that our Savion's injunction applied- This do ye, as oft as ye drink it, in remembrance of me ${ }^{\prime}$; that is, us often as se meet together to cele. brate the supper of the Passover, ant to drink of that cup, which represents the New Testanent in my blood, take care that ye forget not the true purport of the ceremony-do it in remembrane of me. Now, as it is admitted by all Christians that the supper of the Passover is not to be observed, it follows that the comanand for a temporary parpose, of celebrating it in remembance of our Lord is also not to be observed. Hence the Jews, atiter thrir conversion, are said to bo under, not the old, but the new covenant t that is, not under the old but the new manner of administration of the covenant; for the covenant of grace, as to the substance of it, is for ever the stumb

## A. FOWLIS \& Co.,

## Hilaratware Mrerchands.

## A LARGE STOCK OF <br> SHELT AND HEAVY IIARDWARE <br> Coustanlly on hand, at very low prices for Cash. <br> No. 5, Market Filock, Peterboroush, C. WV.

 unusual indurements; a continuation of the liberal patronage of in? past, is respectfully solicited.Peterborough, February, 1861.

THooksellers and stationer.

 and a general assortment of PLAIN und FANCY STATIONERY.





[^0]:    - The other Chureh Warden, Mr. Wm. Curry, was not written to on this oeeasion. He appears to have been looked upon all through this business as having no mind of his own, and eonsequently to have been the faeile tool of his despotic partner in office. "Ullius addictus jurare in verba magistri."
    $\dagger$ I had left the parsonage several months before, with the settled determina. tion of never returning to it, or having anything to do with it. Therefore Areh. deaeon Bethune's missive, to keep me out of the parsonage, was a grataitous and unnecessary insult.
    $\ddagger$ It is to be deplored that, shortly after this, the interior of the Chureh at Omemce was daubed with some kind of dirt in the night time. The perpetrators of this foul deed are hitherto unknown. Some supposed that it was done to annoy the rough, vindietive Church Warden, who was almost universally disliked at' the time. Others thought that it was done to east odium on Mr. Hickie's friends; for that they would most likely be suspected, under the circumstances, for doing harm to the Church which they had evacuated. But, whoever were the guilty partics, it is certain that not one of Mr. Hickie's bitterest persecutors ever insinuated that he had the slightest knowledge of the transaction; or that he would look upon it in ality way but with the greatest detestation.

[^1]:    "* But, besides this, the Reformers did not claim to be infallible, nor do we ar"tribute infallibility to them. We are bound to follow them no further than they

[^2]:    Ashburnham.
    Yours truly,
    C. S. D.

[^3]:    - The above words of the Latin poet may be thus paraphrased:-"When convinced that in their persecuting position they disqualify themselves from expecting assistance from on high, they will employ evil intluences, which ean only be derived from the powers of darkness."
    $\dagger$ Yet truth will-must at last prevail, And raise a shining refulgent tlame, That will dispel the darkness that pervades This wretched world, and its priest-ridden slaves.

[^4]:    * The eflicacy of Christ's mesmrection is the gift of the Spirit, and the Spinit of Christ in a believer rectifies his conscience, and makes it good, so that it can return a surect answer to God mon every word of his; for the work of the Spirit in the heat answers every word of fath spoken from God; particnlarly it can say to God, I was inded filthy and unclean thoughont, but 1 ann now weoshed, and justified, and sanctificd in the name of the Lord Jesns, amb by the Spirit of my (iod. It is this Spirit-baptism which saves, and not the water, which puts away the filth of the tlesh ouly, but leaves the filth of the Spirit as much as ever. The truly baptized first dies unto sin, and is raised up afterwards fiom sin unto righteousuess.
    $\dagger$ Zuinglius, writing concerning the Commission of our Lord to his disciples to baptise in the nane of the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, says:-"Jesus Christ "did not by these words institute a form of baptism which we shonld use, as divines have falsely taught." -Zuin. Lib. De. Bupt. P. 56, 'Tom. 2, Oper.

[^5]:    * Paul says:-" And mito the dews I became as a few, that I might gain the Jews: to them that are under the law, as under the law, that I might gan them that are muder the law- To the weak became I as weak, that I might gain the: weak: I am made cell thinges to all men, that I might ly all means satre some." 1st Cor. in 20,22 .
    $\dagger$ The objeet of Jolu's water-bantism was typically to manifest the purity of Chist's approaching Kingdom of Grace. He therefore says:-"I knew him not: but that he should be made manifest to lsrael, therefore am I come baptizing with water * * * * He that sent me to baptize with water, the same sail monto me, upon whom thou shalt see the Spirit descending, and remaining on him, the same is he which baptizeth with the Holy Ghost." John i $31,33$.
    $\ddagger$ Kuinglius, the founder of the Reformed Choreh, and the earliest as well as the most eminent of all the Refomers, says:-"In the beginning of my book I must ingenuously protess, that almost all those that have mudertaken to write of baptism, even from the very times of the Apostles, have, (which I desire may be snoken with the farour of all) not in a few things, erred from the Scopr.: -Z̈un, De. Éapl. P. 56, Tom. 2 Oper.

[^6]:    * Bishop. Mellvaine is evidently right, when he proves that the cating of Christ's flesh, de., in dohn vi, has no primary reference to the Lord's Supper; that it was to the spirit those words referret - "a spiritual participation of him; which alone "could profit them with (ieds ; that Christ's words were to be taken in that spirit"nal sense, and only when so taken would they be words of life to the souls of "nen." The compilers of the prayer book, however, seem to have thought differmaty: for, in the praver in the communion service, after the "consecration," we read as follows:-" We chonot presune to come to this thy Table, \&c., ** Grant us therefore, gracious Lord, so to eat the flesh of thy dear Son Jesus Christ, and to drink his blood, that our siuful bodies may be made clean by his body, and our souls washed through his most precions blood, and that we may evemore divell in him, und he in $u s$ :" Thus the $\overline{6}$ th verse in John vi, which says, "He

[^7]:    
    
    
    
     -xitacin.

[^8]:    - A Wesleyan Missionary, wilh whom I lately had a long conversation on the doetrines of the Trinity and the man soul of Christ, told me, that, not having completed his term of probation or trial as a preacher, he should shortly undergo an examination on the doctrine of the Trinity. He jocularly added, that he was quite at ease on that point, for that he was sure of his knowing as much about the loctrine as the examiners themselves.

[^9]:    $\ddagger$ Such ns Lorenzo Dow, the cecentric Arminian. who ioined with Dr. Alam Clarke in calling the
     comived at ly the Wrale yan Indy. whon alow its comtimance by the cominame of Conference, though, for proulential reasons, the: will nun ulhow its open pronulgation. I may le wrong in whal I hava Just stated; fint I have oftem hoard such to le the ease. As never having befonged to any but the Prelatieal or Episeopatian Chmrel. I cammo be expected to have an acearate knowledge of the arcana sf the differem Protestant denominations.

[^10]:    *Trinitarians place great weight on the wond with in this verse, and they insist that it implies another brsite the $\boldsymbol{F}$ (ather. But it is not said, "with the Father" ; but "with God." If then the word with in this velse be allowed the force they elaim for it, the Word, that is, Christ would be another and differeat Being from God; in short, Christ would not be God at all. This inextricable confusion of ideas comnected with the logic of Trinitarians is shewn up by Unitarians, as the following from one of their ministers (W. G. Eliot) will explain.
    "The "Logos" is taken as another term for Christ, as if the Aposto had said, "In "the beginning was Jesus Christ, and Jesus Chist was with God, and Jesus Christ "was God." This explamation is thou ght ly those who receive it to remore all "difficulty, and to make the whole passa ge plain. But it is only because they are $\because$ aecustomed to it, and do not perceive the force of the words used. In fact it "expresses a direct contradiction, which cannot itscif be explained, except by "saying that the terms used have no distinct or intelligible meaning. When we "say that James is with John, we canot take a plainer way of saying that James "and John are two separate beings. To say that James is uith Joln and that "James is John, is a contradiction in terms. Why does not the same hold true "of God and of Christ? If by the Lnons wo understiond a personal existence "distincl from God, we niay say that the Logos was with (rod, but not at the "same time that the Logos was God. To say one is to deny the other. We shall "not, therefore, escape the diffliculty of the passaye by adopting the Trinitarian "theory. We may not be guite satisfied with our own explanation, and some "parts of it may continue to perplex us, but we camot receive an explanation "twhich so evidently contradicts itself." Eliot on the Uni'y of God. Page 71. ;ain, the term. "God"" by itself is understood by Trinitarians to comprehend and include within it the idea of three distinct persons. J'ut how will this look in the words already cited, "The Word was with God." They say the "Word" is vertainly one Person; they also say that the term "God" includes three Persons; if therefore the "Word" was with "God", there must; according to what Trinitarians hold, have beon four Persons.

[^11]:    5 "Who matntained. lhat, now whthanding tive two natures in Chrisf, viz, the human and the divine, there was, g:cetrihless, but ons will, which was the bivine." Sce Mosheim (i) 76, for ull hat am here quoting.

[^12]:    § : Many jears ago, I was usking an experienced lhysician. and one particularly eminent for cur"ing lunary: "Sir, have you not seen reason to believe, that sime Lunatics are renly, Demonines?" "He answered, "Sir. I have been often inclined to think, that most Lunatics are Demoniacs"! ! Wesla's Sirmon on "Evil Angels."

[^13]:    - This doxology is repeated abont cight times in the Church morning service. Nothing but hahit could reconcile people to snch a "vain" (and, too trequently, flippant) "repetition." lin the year lifte I visited the "lownship of Wilmot, Canada West; where, after due notice, a considerable number tumed out to hear me, being attracted ly the novelty of the circmastance, as no Church Clergyman had ever ofliciated there before. As the service proceded, and whilst the responses were being made by an Giglishnan, who was not remamable either for his sobriety or steadiness, great disorder appeared in the congrecration, and seemed to be momentarily on the increase. I stopped the service and enquired the cause, when I was informed by some lankee Canadians (of whom the congregation was principally composed) that they did not think it right to allow a strange Minister to be mocked and insulted by repeating ofter him what he said, and that they were about to put the person who did so out of the homse. Upon my ex. baiming that what they judged so strange and unbecoming, was the usual way of conducting the Chureh of England service, they permitted us to get through with, tolerable acquiescence.

[^14]:    \& See note $t$, on Gith pare.

[^15]:    $\dagger$ See Pearson on the 2nd and 3rd Articles of the Apostles' Creed.

[^16]:    - Johm i. 4 , John v. 21, 28.

