

COOK & CO. STOCK BROKERS, 25 TORONTO PLACE, Continuous market quotations by direct wire.

PRICE ONE CENT

PUPILS AT THE PAVILION.

PROBABLE VACANT JUDGESHIPS. Names Mentioned in Connection with the Chief Justiceship and Other Vacancies.

A NOBLE MAN'S FUNERAL. A Memorial But Had Even—The Distinguished Fatherhood and the Name of the Deceased.

WELLD BATTERY PRACTICE. The Willard Canal Boys Held a Practice Match on Saturday Evening.

THE SPORTING CALENDAR. RACING IN ENGLAND AND AT SHEPHERD BAY.

The Trotting Meeting at Glen Groves. The Great Two-Year Old Selling.

MR. CHAPLEAU'S DECISION. The Ontario Public Health Officer's Decision.

THE QUEBEC LICENSING GOVERNMENT. Hon. Mr. Chapleau's Return from Montreal.

Ontario Public Health Officer's Decision. The Ontario Public Health Officer's decision regarding the licensing of public houses in Quebec is a subject of great interest. The decision is based on the grounds that the public health officer has the right to refuse a license if he is satisfied that the premises are not fit for the purpose. This decision is a landmark one, as it is the first time that a public health officer has refused a license on these grounds. It is a clear statement of the principle that the public health officer is the guardian of the public health, and that he has the right to refuse a license if he is satisfied that the premises are not fit for the purpose. This decision is a landmark one, as it is the first time that a public health officer has refused a license on these grounds. It is a clear statement of the principle that the public health officer is the guardian of the public health, and that he has the right to refuse a license if he is satisfied that the premises are not fit for the purpose.

The Quebec Licensing Government. Hon. Mr. Chapleau's return from Montreal today, and his long conference with the members of the Executive Council, is a subject of great interest. The decision is based on the grounds that the public health officer has the right to refuse a license if he is satisfied that the premises are not fit for the purpose. This decision is a landmark one, as it is the first time that a public health officer has refused a license on these grounds. It is a clear statement of the principle that the public health officer is the guardian of the public health, and that he has the right to refuse a license if he is satisfied that the premises are not fit for the purpose.

THE QUEBEC LICENSING GOVERNMENT. Hon. Mr. Chapleau's return from Montreal today, and his long conference with the members of the Executive Council, is a subject of great interest. The decision is based on the grounds that the public health officer has the right to refuse a license if he is satisfied that the premises are not fit for the purpose. This decision is a landmark one, as it is the first time that a public health officer has refused a license on these grounds. It is a clear statement of the principle that the public health officer is the guardian of the public health, and that he has the right to refuse a license if he is satisfied that the premises are not fit for the purpose.

THE QUEBEC LICENSING GOVERNMENT. Hon. Mr. Chapleau's return from Montreal today, and his long conference with the members of the Executive Council, is a subject of great interest. The decision is based on the grounds that the public health officer has the right to refuse a license if he is satisfied that the premises are not fit for the purpose. This decision is a landmark one, as it is the first time that a public health officer has refused a license on these grounds. It is a clear statement of the principle that the public health officer is the guardian of the public health, and that he has the right to refuse a license if he is satisfied that the premises are not fit for the purpose.

THE QUEBEC LICENSING GOVERNMENT. Hon. Mr. Chapleau's return from Montreal today, and his long conference with the members of the Executive Council, is a subject of great interest. The decision is based on the grounds that the public health officer has the right to refuse a license if he is satisfied that the premises are not fit for the purpose. This decision is a landmark one, as it is the first time that a public health officer has refused a license on these grounds. It is a clear statement of the principle that the public health officer is the guardian of the public health, and that he has the right to refuse a license if he is satisfied that the premises are not fit for the purpose.

THE QUEBEC LICENSING GOVERNMENT. Hon. Mr. Chapleau's return from Montreal today, and his long conference with the members of the Executive Council, is a subject of great interest. The decision is based on the grounds that the public health officer has the right to refuse a license if he is satisfied that the premises are not fit for the purpose. This decision is a landmark one, as it is the first time that a public health officer has refused a license on these grounds. It is a clear statement of the principle that the public health officer is the guardian of the public health, and that he has the right to refuse a license if he is satisfied that the premises are not fit for the purpose.

THE QUEBEC LICENSING GOVERNMENT. Hon. Mr. Chapleau's return from Montreal today, and his long conference with the members of the Executive Council, is a subject of great interest. The decision is based on the grounds that the public health officer has the right to refuse a license if he is satisfied that the premises are not fit for the purpose. This decision is a landmark one, as it is the first time that a public health officer has refused a license on these grounds. It is a clear statement of the principle that the public health officer is the guardian of the public health, and that he has the right to refuse a license if he is satisfied that the premises are not fit for the purpose.

THE QUEBEC LICENSING GOVERNMENT. Hon. Mr. Chapleau's return from Montreal today, and his long conference with the members of the Executive Council, is a subject of great interest. The decision is based on the grounds that the public health officer has the right to refuse a license if he is satisfied that the premises are not fit for the purpose. This decision is a landmark one, as it is the first time that a public health officer has refused a license on these grounds. It is a clear statement of the principle that the public health officer is the guardian of the public health, and that he has the right to refuse a license if he is satisfied that the premises are not fit for the purpose.





