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Another Combine,
The manufacturers of builders' hardware in the United States are reported to have come to an understanding whereby all the designs have been are that a advanced from 25 to 50 per cent. at once, and the near for cent. advance on all goods is slated for
$\qquad$ A considerable number of architects and architectural students bition at our visited and inspected the exhiOur recent our Montreal office of drawings submitted in in future students' competition. Drawings submitted in Montrempetitions will in like manner be exhibited terest and, in the hope that they may awaken inarchitecture a spirit of emulation among students of -
The Law Affecting Architects and contractors, es-
Architects and pecially those who now or in the
Contractors. future may have to do with the
erection of buildings in the Province of Quebec, may
With profit read the series of lectures by Mr. J. S.
Archibald delivered before the P.Q.A.A., publication of
Which is commenced in the present number. These
lectures deal in a very clear and concise manner with
the legal responsibilities of architects and contractors
under the Civil Code of the Province of Quebec.

We were much struck with the remark of a young architect Was preparing for some new houses, that he
had $r$ c visited the site and did not know its exact locatiou on the street. We wondered how far these houses when built would prove satisfactory to the owner and future occupants. Architects young and old should bear in mind that a careful study of the site is the first requisite to the production of a successful building, and is therefore a duty which they owe to themselves as well as to their clients.

Cement Constructior

Several articles are in course of preparation for our May number treating of the physical properties of cement, methods of cement testing and using cement in construction. Particular reference will be made to methods of reinforcing concrete with steel. In view of the truly wonderful progress which is taking place in the use of cement for construction purposes and the certainty that the material will come into more general use in the future, a consideration of the subject at some length in these columns seems advisable and likely to be of interest and value to our readers. should lead to the adoption by Toronto architects, engineers and contractors of a new formula to govern the depth to which foundations should be carried in order to escape frost. The practice until this year was to regard 3 feet 6 inches as the utmost limit of depth necessary to ensure safety. This theory has been dispelled by the extreme frost of last winter, which in some instances caused pipes to freeze at a depth of five feet below the street surface. In Montreal,
where the winters are usually much more severe than in Toronto, the rule is to place all water and other pipes at least seven feet below ground.

The Collapse of a New York Steel Building

On March and the Darlington hotel building, an eleven storey steel frame structure in course of erection at 59 West 46 th street, New York, suddenly collapsed and twenty-one persons were killed. There was an outer casing of brickwork covering the steel framework. The floors were supported by cast-iron columns seated on separate off-set brick piers with concrete footings and granite caps and placed ${ }_{1} 3$ feet apart. These columns carried I-beam girders and floor beams about 12 and 8 inches depth, the average spacing of the floor beams being 4 feet. Beam, column and girder connections and splices were, according to the specifications, to be bolted, no rivets being used. There were no knee or other braces. The building was designed to carry a floor load of 130 lbs . per square foot. Beams and girders were proportioned for working loads of onequarter of this load and columns for one-fifth. The architects who prepared the plans and specifications were not employed to superintend the construction, but this duty was largely entrusted to one of their draftsmen who left their employ for the purpose. The original plans were submitted to the Building Department and approved. Afterwards these plans were changed and a new set of plans submitted which were rejected; then a third set was prepared which were also rejected. The contractors then proceeded with the erection of the building in violation of a notice from the Building Department that operations must cease until the building laws were complied with. Objection was made by the Department to the improper method of bolting beam, girder and column connections, and to the relative rate of construction of walls and steel work. The Building Department found itself powerless, however, to enforce the building regulations, and the contractors taking advantage of this fact, proceeded with the construction of the building.

When the collapse came a number of arrests were made and an investigation was instituted by a Coroner's Jury to ascertain the cause. The jury reported finding the owner and steel work contractors "grossly criminally negligent in the methods adopted and followed by them in the erection of the building". The jury further made the following recommmendation: We recommend that the practice of the erection of buildings without the superintendence of the original architect or a competent builder of at least five years' experience be prohibited by law. We further recommend that the Building Department of the City of New York have a corps of competent engineers to inspect the erection of all buildings requiring encineering skill, and that a copy of the approved plans of each building be kept on the premises during construction." The building regulations at present in use in New York were formulated only three or four years ago by a Commission appointed for the purpose, and were thought to be very caretully and skilfully prepared to cover all possible contingencies The occurrence to which we have referred, however, shows them to be faulty in at least one most important particular, and should lead the authorities of New York and other cities to carefully revise and make as effective as possible their regulations governing the construction
of important buildings. of important buildings.

THE TORONTO FIRE.
Two things have specially impressed us in connile the tion with the disastrous fire in Toronto-one that decide insurance companies required only two days to d. the to advance insurance rates trom 50 to 75 per cent. nder other, that the City Council of Toronto have had of an consideration for two or three years the framing nas $^{\text {yet }}$ up-to-date building by-law, and no decision relative been reached. This is a fair example of the in colive speed with which private corporations work in coll
the consideration and adoption of regulations will we will insure that none but substantial buildings will erected in the place of those destroyed:

This fire, as well as those in Baltimore, Roch $\mathrm{C}^{5 \mathrm{te}^{1 /}} \mathrm{th}^{\mathrm{h}^{2}}$ and elsewhere has clearly demonstrated the fact thot tha $^{a^{2}}$ no better check can be put in the way of a confla fork tion such as Toronto has experienced than solid walls. Unfortunately, many of the warehouse whic stood in the path of this fire were so flimsily co ed that the walls were not strong enough


Corner of Bay and Wellington Streets, nair which the Fire Originated.
parison with public bodies. Perhaps the thing that the most requires prompt action at the present time is ding adoption and putting into force of proper build wil regulations. There is now an opportunity such ${ }^{25}$ ater $^{\text {t }}$ probably never occur again of improving the charat ity of buildings for business purposes. The opportur should not be lost. It would be unreasonable to coiding firms who have been burned out to deter the rebu in $s^{\text {is }}$ of their warehouses, therefore the Mayor should in of upon immediate action being taken in the direction
 West of Bay Street.
alone, and when attacked by the fire toppled over leaving a clear path for the extension of the conflagration. The insurance companies should change their methods of assessing buildings, and offer greater inducements than heretofore to the owners of substantially constructed buildings. In the past buildings have been simply grouped into classes, each class being rated the same regardless of differences in construction. This method should no longer prevail, but substantial inducement should be given those who will put up solidly built structures and employ fire-proofing methods. When it is considered what great losses have been incurred by the occupants of buildings destroyed in the recent fire because of interruption to their business, it will be seen that, apart altogether from the question of insurance rates, it would pay the owners ard occupants of such buildings to use a higher standard of construction.


View on Wellington Street.
At least one important building was saved by the fact that the important building was savedows on one side were protected by
iron shutters. iron shutters. The advantage of protecting windows question. Ther methods equally effective is beyond the progress value of open spaces in preventing $H_{\text {ad }}$ it progress of fire has also been demonstrated. West of the Custor the open spaces immediately to the Hotel, and Custom House and east of the Queen's Were wall the fact that facing on these open spaces spread furth of solid brick, the fire would doubtless have pressure further in both directions. While the water of the was very defective, yet in the face this fact unfavorable weather conditions prevailing What the pressure bearing on the situation. No matter have been stossure might have been, the fire could not got heen stopped by the fire brigade after it had once enquire if, by the exercisnection it seems pertinent to part of the by the exercise of better judgment on the not have beens of the Fire Department, the fire might ginated. It is confined to the building in which it oribuilding. It is said to have stated at the rear of the firemen brok that, instead of attacking it there, the flames broke open the front doors, thus allowing the ing and be driven by the fierce wind through the build$h_{0} u_{\text {ses }}$ across the street, setting fire to the large wareChief of thesite. It would seem to be the duty of the street instefire Department to direct his men on the buildings, where of as on this occasion entering burning throwings, where he was partially disabled, thus direction of affarrs.
"Here all is sunny, and when the truant gull Skims the green level of the lawn, his wing Dispetals roses; here the house is framed of kneaded brick and plumed mountain pine Such clay as artists fashion, breaks. My house, I say.'

## LESSONS FROM THE SOUTH KENSINGTON MUSEUM.

One of the best things in London is the South Kensington Museum. It shows examples of nearly every kind of design and is invaluable to designers of all kinds. Books and photographs are very well-there are books and photograpbs in the Museum Library-but when it comes to really feeling at home with design, to know what it is really like and measure one's mind against it with certainty, there is nothing like an example.
For purp For purposes of study it need not be the original ; a model is as good. A model may fail to convey exactly the sentiment attaching to its original, or the beauty it has acquired from a bath in the atmosphere of centuries; but these are accidents which the designer's own work cannot share, and it is just as well that their attractions should not disturb his judgment as to what is essential. All that is necessary is that the actual modelling of the object should be presented to the eye ; if coloured like the original when it was new, so much the better.

As a matter of fact it is a great question whether the most important part of the work of the South Kensington Museum is not done by models of its own originals, rather than by the originals themselves. Part of its work is to send out examples to Provincial Museums and Art Schools, and though it sometimes sends originals, the greater part of these little circulating exhibitions consists of models in plaster, made by workmen, attached to the Museum, who have attained to so much skill, in colouring as well as in casting, that the copies are, for practical purposes, facsimiles. They demonstrate incidentally the possibility of improving architectural casts by the application of colour ; which would, in many cases, add to their instructiveness by expressing the design more prefectly. In the case of the casts which constitute the circulating exhibitions, colour is essential as part of the design of the original or to represent its material. The works exemplified are, for the most part, textiles, book binding and leather work, wood carving, silver and other surface metal work, medals, plaster work, gesso, and other decorative handiwork. The examples already prepared are in constant circulation, and a demand in any direction is met by fresh preparations. Classes of work which are not easily reproduced by casting or electro typing, or are not sufficiently portable, are represented by photographs or coloured drawings; and there is a catalogue, 138 pages long, of books for circulation in the same manner. In addition to these the Museum has a collection of classified lantern slides on all subjects, from the composition of monumental buildings down to lace work, with catalogue numbers running to 3,000 , which is available for lectures and instruction in any part of the country. The card catalogue of this collection is worth noting. Each card has, underneath the title of its subject, a print of the negative from which the slide was made, and, an application for slides for a lecture on a given subject is responded to by a set of the cards which catalogue the
illustrations of that subject ; so that the lecturer can make his choice with certainty.

The special interest of these proceedings to us is that they are conducted away from London, at any distance in Great Britain or Ireland from which an application is made ; and one of the directors of the Museum has expressed the opinion, not official but personal, that it is conceivable that the distance to which the material of the circulating department is sent, might be extended to the colonies. The Museum authorities seem to be always on the look out for fields for further expansion of the Museum's usefulness, and an enquiry from any bona fide Museum or School of Art in Canada would be heard with attention at any rate and might be productive.
The weak point in the situation is the absence of depositories on the other side ; and, in view of the excess of advantage over cost, one wonders at it. Toronto ought to have a museum of architecture and decorative art. It is a mistake to try to go on without one ; and to have one is not such a great affair after all in the way of cost. It is not necessary to have originals to do good work ; and it is not necessary to have everything that can be got together. Many of the old world museums are fuller than would be necessary for us, or indeed desirable. For them there is a mixed motive. There are more critics of the past among their frequenters than creators of the future, and for historical purposes a collection cannot be too complete. But what we, in Canada, want to study is not history in design but design in history. We need only a skeleton collection, the elemental types and nothing else; but we need these if we are not to flounder about, arriving at last, if we arrive anywhere at all, only at a point from which we should have started.
A well selected collection, arranged according to subject only, ignoring history as a theme by itself, would not be too extensive either to be purchased or housed. The greater part of such a collection has already been cast, and there is nothing to prevent special castings being made to complete a sequence or scheme.
Casts of the various objects of manufacture would be for the most part inexpensive. It is architecture that costs sums to cast which require consideration; yet architecture is, if anything, more in need of models than the manufacturing arts, for its originals, while the buildings exist, cannot be transported. The cost of an architectural room may be fairly gathered from an examination of the rooms at the South Kensington Museum ; for the cost of each piece that has been 1 ught is, as a rule, printed in a corner of the label which gives the name and date of the object from which the cast was taken. The examples below are given, not as subjects particularly suitable for a Toronto gallery, but as types of size in relation to cost. Size at the South Kensington Museum runs large. They have, in casts, the whole three portals of the 13 th Century Gothic Cathedral of Santiago de Compostella, in Spain; measuring 57 feet in width, covered with sculptured figures in all possible and impossible places, and costing $£ 2,300$. They have the whole of Trajan's column (the top half standing on the ground beside the bottom half); the whole doorway of San Petronio at Bologna, 51 ft . by 40 ft .; the whole of the mantel from the Palais de Justice at Bruges, including 6 or 8 feet pro-
jection of the ceiling above it. In originals, they have a Flemish rood screen 42 ft . by 30 ft . costing $t^{9^{0}} 9^{a^{5}}$ and the timbered tront of the Sir Paul Pindar hous But it stood on Bishopsgate Street, except the gable. not to consider objects of this magnitude for the selected ning of a collection, here are some examples, sel as being familiar either as individuals or as types :
I. Niccolo Pisano's pulpit in the Duomo of Pisa; panels beast ${ }^{\text {cro }}$ with figures half detached; 8 columns resting on ben ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{a}}$ groups of figures; a sufficient height of the columb, which the pulpit is set, included, and the steps which go cos the column. Over all about $14 \mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{x} 18 \mathrm{ft} . \times 13 \mathrm{ft}$. high. $£_{320}$.
2. Tomb of Carlo Marsuppini in Santa Croce, Floren with Elaborate $15^{\text {th }}$ century work. Carved podium; niche 20 ft columns, entablature and circular pediment; 12 ft , wide cornic high over all; pair of figures at base; pair of figures one with ${ }^{\text {ro }}$ bas relief in tympanum; elaborate sarcophagus in niche, cumbent figure on top. $£_{210}$.
3. Donatello's Singing Gallery for the cathedral at Florence. Double planes, columns in front of figures; about is 8 ft . by and 4 ft . projection. $£_{152}$.
4. $3^{\text {th }}$ Century Doorway from Norwich Cathedral. Jal En recessed four times with columns in front of mouldings. ff. throned figure with angels on soffit of the arch. Over all by ${ }_{15} \mathrm{ft}$. high. £120.
5. Choir screen from cathedral at Hildesheim.
arches; 12 filled each with a figure, the $13^{\text {th }}$ a doorway. open arcade above. 25 ft . long by 11 ft . high. £81 10 s .
6. Tomb of Filippo Decio from the Campo Santo Half reclining figure on top reading a book. The arms ${ }^{\text {m }}$, hig ${ }^{\text {th }}$ being partly free complicate casting. 6 ft .6 in wide, 9 $£_{45}$.
7. Chimney piece from Hotel Lallemant Bourges, French, rall $^{\text {bl }}$ of Century. Large fircplace, carved projecting top and por ceiling. $12 \mathrm{ft}, \times 13 \mathrm{ft}, £_{44}$.
8. Doorway, 15th Century Italian. Pilasters, lintel an cornice; all carved. Io ft .6 in . by 12 ft . high. £ $344^{\text {ros. }}$ Century
9. Portion of Dutch screen, with 5 elaborate $16^{\text {th }}$ 年 columns. $11 \mathrm{ft} . \times 6 \mathrm{ft}$. £ 98 s .
10. Anglo Saxon cross, at least 15 ft . high, with low carving. $£_{7}{ }^{1} 5$ s.
11. There are several valuable models to scale, of buildiph the or rooms. These appear cost is not attached. Models of sections of Italian build aucei decorated by painting, probably cost serious sums to pr but possibilities are shown by a model of Fergusson's the 0 restoration of the Parthenon, which cost only i4 shillings.

Some of the Italian originals at the South Kensing to ton Museum were got at prices which tempt one or $^{2}$ stray from decision in favour of casts. 675 orer black marble doorway 9 or 10 ft . high, carved all $f^{10}$ with cinque cento carving, is cost price or less, ainly for a carved stone mantel 8 ft . by 12 ft . is cer the) less than cost. But buying prices are not what ticular used to be, and as a matter of fact these part in the pieces are not such good work as the subjects le $1{ }^{5 s}$. cast room ; and a cast on the same scale costs less. is

If there is to be any dealing in originals, Engla dow the country for it. There is a continual pulling their and rebuilding going on and good details find ington way to dealers or to museums. The South Kens kind Museum has some beautiful specimens of this were gifts from the owners of old buildings which pulled down.

In fact it is well onding in conclusion that gifts to the South Kensington Museum far exceed io number and value, its purchases. It is also the rep have itory of some fine collections which the owners $\mathrm{cleu}^{\text {s }}$, no means of housing themselves. At first as a nutacted later as a recognized centre, the Museum has attrac gifts and loans, which is also instructive.
W. A. LANGTON.

THE FINK TRUSS BY GRAPHICAL STATICS.
By W. H. B., Berlin, Ont.
is ingess or Wright's solution in the March number
is ingen, and roundabout. Here is another:


Assume a vertical load of ro units applied at each Panel point. Consider first the primary members of
the truss, giving a frame of single triangulation, Fig. 2.


The load BC, 10 , is carried 5 to A and adds 5 to $\mathrm{CD} ; \mathrm{DE}$ adds 5 to CD and 5 to A and adds 5 to
Ignd so on. There remain at A and O as they do not affect the frame. ${ }^{\text {stress }}$ diagram loads CE, ET, and TV, 20 each. The

${ }^{V} \mathrm{~V}_{\text {TEC MV }}$ is the force polygon, made up ot the loads VT, MV is the force polygon, made up of the
MV T, TE, and EC, and the two reactions CM and to. It is a straight line because all the forces applied frame trame are parallel. Beginning at point $O$ of the $M V$, the kre in the stress diagram, from the ends of Parallel known force at O, the two lines VP, and PM the re to VP and PM of the frame. The force MV, around the at support $O$, is upward. Following the known triangle in the direction given by that of because the direction is towards $O$, the apex, and PM
in tension because the direction is away from O . Mark these lines at once, in the stress diagram, + for compression and - for tension. Pass next to the apex SPVT of the frame, because the load VT and the stress VP are known. Draw PS and ST parallel to the corresponding lines of the frame. Both of these stresses are found to he compression. Proceed in like manner throughout the frame to the support A. For the closing line, the stress JC, the points J and C are fixed and the line must at the same time be parallel to the frame line JC Fig. 2. If the procedure has been correct this will be so. With the reaction line, CM, the operation is carried back to the point M from which it started, and the necessary check of its correctness is had.
Consider next the secondary stresses induced by transference of the intermediate loads to the main panel points. In the present case one determination only is required. Take the frame consisting of the triangles L and K Fig. I, shown separately in Fig. 4. The supports are A and D. Fig. $;$ is the stress diagram :


The stresses for the complete frame, Fig. I, are obtained by adding the respective stresses of Fig. 5 to those of Fig. 3. BL Fig. 5, and CJ Fig. 3, give the required stress BL; CK and CJ give CK ; BL and EH give DG ; and so on. In the bottom chord the end panels only are affected by the secondary system. LK, KJ, and the similar members throughout the frame are affected by the secondary loads only, while stress 's JH, HN , etc., are obtained from the assumed primary loading only.
It will be seen that this solution gives the same -tresses as that of Professor Wright, whose solution would not be possible were the stresses LK, KJ, etc., due to anything else than the loads at the secondary panel points alone.

The solution given is for static symmetrical loading. The maximum stresses in a roof truss are due to drifted now and wind pressure acting on one side only. These torces may cause reversal of some of the stresses due to static loads.
The term vector diagram is new, to the present writer at least. It may have a certain fitness in that the objective at any stage is the determination of two lines of which the directions are known. It is farfetched at best, and is an unnecessary innovation. And why deprive surselves of the useful and expressive word "stress" which has the authority of Rankine and othet toremost investigators? Professor Wright lacks a common word for tension and compression. Why interpolate "push" and "pull" when we may as well say "compression" and "tension" directly? The direction followed around the diagram governs in either case.
Some writers use - to indicate compression and + tension, on the mental association that compression subtracts frow the length of a member and tensionsadds to it. It may be a matter of early training. The use of + for compression and - for tension has in its favor the practical, and graphically strong, argument that + is a good symbolical representation of a built compression member of a truss while - suggests a rod or bar. in tension.

THE ARCHITECT BEFORE THE LAW.*
By S. G. Archibald, Advocate.
Your President has asked me to give a course of three
lectures before this Ased lectures before this Association on the subject of the Architect Before the Law, a subject as interesting as broad. It will not be my aim to cover the whole subject in detail, nor would it be possible for me to do so. I shall endeavor, therefore, to give you as complete an idea as I can, in the time at our disposal, of certain of the more important and vital questions with which architects have to deal in the daily practice of their profession, and I propose to begin with the question of the ten-year guarantee to which architects and builders are subjected.
An architect before the law is one who makes the plans and specifications for a building and who directs work, whose exceution is entrusted either to a contractor or to workmen. The profession is, perhaps, the oldest of all professions. When Adam delved and Eve span, I presume Adam was his own architect; and when Noah built the ark, I presume, also, he was his own architect under the guiding hand of Providence. Since then architecture has advanced with advancing civilization and with its advance the law in respect thereto has become gradually more and more complete, more and more crystallized by commentators and judicial decisions. Our own law is that of the French law before the Code Napoleon, although that Code differs but slightly from ours on the subject.
The Architect must not only be an artist, he must be a "savant" as well. Mathematics, Mechanics, Physics, Geometry, Geology and Law must all be his in greater or less measure. For as Desgodets says, he cannot lay the foundation of a building if he does not know what ought to be observed between neighbors with regard to fences, wells, closets, cess-pools and so forth ; he cannot erect it, unless he knows how he should place direct and side views, customary views and those of servitudes ; and how he should place chimneys, hearths, furnaces, and galleries, and what contribution each neighbor ought to make to the mitoyen wall. He cannot cover it, unless he knows on what side and how, he must drain off the water; and
finally he cannot tear it down umless he thows finally he cannot tear it down unless he knows the precautionary measures which he must take with regard to
the neighbors, who are always ready, at we least tault to seek reparation from the proprietor the least fault, added, that without some knowledge of the law ot privilege, he will not know hown to protect himself of priviof his payment for work done upon the building. In addition to this theoretic knowledge, his artistic sellse must be highly developed, while on the other hand the range of his practical work-a-day knowledge must be almost un-
limited. 1rmited.
As I have said, we will consider this evening the question of the "ten-year guarantee." The nature of the contect is one of "louage d'ouvrage") or tor and the archi-work-a contract by which one of thease and hire and do something for the other in consideratities agrees to price. Thus the architect leases his work represented an the plans and specifications and by his time and anted by if he also directs the construction. Now, as a rule, and as a matter of common law, the reception by the proprietor of work done releases the workman in respect of that particular work, in the absence of fraud on his part ; but our law governing architects in this regard is found in Articles 1688 and 2259 of the Civil Code of Lower Canada which derogate from the common law and are as follows: (1688) If a building perish in whole or in part within ten years from a defect in construction, or even from the unfavorable nature of the ground the architect superintending the work, and the builder are jointly and severally liable for the loss; and 2259 says, "after ten years, architects and contractors are discharged from the warranty of the work they have done or directed." $\dagger$
While at first sight this guarantee may seem to some unduly severe, yet reflection will lead to the conviction that it is a most salutary measure. In passing this law

[^0]the Legislature had a three-fold object:- the was to be protected against his own ignorance, ne tect and builder against their carelessness and ic fin means of this wholesome threat, and the public ig danger to which it might be exposed from the of the former and the carelessness of the latter. see that not only are private interests at stake, public order, and this principle will be found do fountain and source of the architect's weighty bility.
What structures does the Code refer to when it atide ${ }^{1 y^{5}}$, Article 1688, "if a building perish," and in Articley " that architects are discharged from the work otler. done or directed. Taking these two articles tog Courts in France have decided that any hab be matter how small, for men or animals, would be old ed a building. Greater repairs, modification of ings which would affect the solidity of the matir $\mathrm{m}^{\text {a }}$ the establishment of a well, of a bridge, of a te or of chimneys have all been held by French be works subject to this guarantee. Accessory affecting the solidity of the structure itself, ever, not come under this heading. The quest in each particular case must be decided by its merits, but this guiding principle must there is only a guarantee in respect of imm10 structions, and where time alone will discover thereof.
Now, what classes of people are subject to this tee? Evidently not the manual laborer who his services. There is no "lien de droit" bet wed1 the owner and his liability, if any ever attaches is that of the general law, that everyone is for his own fault. On the other hand, the lessor this fur whose object is an immoveable, is liable to this $c$ tee and these lessors are architects, builders amters ath gineers. Under Article 1696 , masons, carpenters
workmen who tudertake work by contract for workmen who midertake work by contract this They are regarded as contractors with respect work. The position of the sub-contractor has subject of a good deal of discussion and the Frem in ind ors are divided upon the subject. Those whin iet that, as there is "on "lien" hetiveen the prop this sub-contractor, he should not be subjected to this side tee, have the weight of jurisprudence on their indeed if this were not so the principle of pith skil would be violated inasmuch as persons withont without experience would take contracts with the ject of sub-letting them. Such a svstem wonld ilder. the advantage of the careless and ignorant it would multiplv litigation in multiplving s Gencrallv speaking, therefore, we mav sav that tho se are subject to this guarantee who have indertaken with the owner either the conduct or the cons an immoveable or of an integral part thereof.
The architect with respect to the proprietor m two different positions, and his responsibility accordingly. He may only furnish the plans cations-or he may, in addition, direct and work. What then will be the extent of his where he only furnishes the plans and spe With regard to the plans it is evident that he chald warrant them in so far as they have been faithor de lowed. His liability therefor, will only be which are the necessary consequence of the plans has drawn or of the specifications which he has Supposing that for motives of economy the himself employs the workmen to execute plan by an architect, and after the building has been con ${ }^{\text {st }}{ }^{\text {th }}$. ed some five or six years, it threatens to fall do wh proprietor, in order to exercise a recourse agaill architect would require to prove that the plat1s a fications had been followed, and that the defect them and not in the construction-such a defect, an ample, as a weak foundation or insufficient beaml forth.
So also with respect to the material, the architect only be liable for the nature of the material

Thifies, but not for the quality used by the contractor. have specirchitect who specified wood where he should dangered on steel, will be liable if the building be ena material that account. On the other hand, if he specify proper material comonly believed among architects to be A very much, he will not be so liable.
Presents itself with respertant and interesting question defects in the with respect to the architect's liability for This point is very much where he only furnishes the plan. authors and noty much controverted. Some of the French that the architect nobly Lepage and Guillouard consider to the arehitect has no responsibility with respect the contractor whoch as he may never see it, but that for this. On the oth on the spot must answer alone seem almost the other hand, though this guarantee may Order and safety to to be chs, there is the question of public clusion would seem to be considered, and the sounder conWith this reuld seem to be, that the architect is charged lor a certain piece ofposibility. The proprietor asks for a plan answer for piece of ground. How then can the architect
ine ine the ground? $^{\text {depthess of his plan if he does not exam- }}$ tion his foundation should he be able to tell of what thin of the soil? thing he should do This, it seems to me, is the very first cially withind do and besides is a thing which falls speWithout such the sphere of his particular knowledge. Therest guess work-somation his foundations will be the the contractor ark-someone must be liable. Shall it be discovedge as the archite, as a rule, has not so special a architering the fault in the in that respect, and who, on dientect to notify in the soil will have to come to the order and that he wouthat his foundations are insuffiOrder to get some idenld do well to visit the ground in Surely not. A some idea of what his foundation should be. With a not. A defect in the soil is intimately connected ${ }^{c}$ ording to the soil plan because the plan must vary actather an error of. An error in this respect would be therefore, efror of conception than of execution. I am, Iects in the opround that the architect is liable for de${ }^{\text {and }}$ specifications. defects in soil for it goes without saying that these ${ }^{s h c h}$ as a soareful which the architect is liable, are only cover upon a careful man, versed in his profession would dis${ }^{\text {responsibility }}$ a reasonable examination. The architect's Planh, for the rat is this: that he must answer for his ${ }^{\text {Ior }}$ Which the nature of his materials, and for the soil the cormmon law drawn his plans-and, of course, under to the owner, ever his own fault which causes damage ${ }^{\text {hot }}$ in question. If, when the solidity of the building is Plans for a school If, for example, he were asked to give Pupils, and school capable of accommodating five hundred If, ${ }^{\text {che }}$ it, he would itruction it was found that 300 would If, however, would be liable in damages for this fault. ${ }^{\text {direct the }}$, as is generally the case, the architect also Besides, all of this responsibility is still more weighty only furnishes the obligations to which the architect who proper executione plans is held, he must warrant their Proper quality and must see that the materials are of the ing, is responsible are properly used, and, generally speakbuilding. Not only
in the soil, in this case, is the arelitect liable for defects Which soil, but he is liable for defects in construction of the building such on account of the peculiar situation erected on a bilding. Thus, for example, if the house is to be be a vice a high bank exposed to heavy gales, it would had shown construction to roof it with slate if experience resist hewn that that class of roof could not properly
With wing winds. With reavy winds.
architect is ispect to materials furnished by the owner, the Would have not liable unless a reasonable examination other lave shown him their defective nature. If, on the can be no they are furnished by the contractor, there course no doubt of his liability, saving always his reAll defectst such contractor.
${ }^{\text {or matects }}$ mabsolute or negative other than those of soil Ior example, may be called defects of construction-such,
sions of any of the materials, or a lack of proportion between the different parts so as to affect the solidity of the structure.
In addition to all this there is a presumption of defect in construction under the law, when a building threatens ruin within ten years without extraordinary reason.
But not only are the architect and builder jointly and severally liable for their own work, but they are liable where they have gone on with work already commenced by others ; the architect is liable where the plans are furnished by another architect, and the builder is liable where he goes on with work commenced by another. The leading case upon the subject is that of Wardle vs. Bethune, which was carried to the Privy Council. The facts in that case were as follows (R.L. 637) :-
Wardle, a builder, contracted to build Christ Church Cathedral, in Montreal, according to plans furnished bv an architect and upon foundations laid by a previous contractor and approved by an architect having charge of the work. Before the cathedral was finished the tower sank and damaged the building. The cause of the sinking was found to be the insufficiency of the foundation as planned by the original architect and constructed by the former builder. This defect, though not patent, might have heen discovered by Wardle. It was proved at the trial that Wardle had no knowledge of, and took no part in the testing of the soil or in the designing or planning of the building or foundation. Under these facts the Privy Council held that the builder was responsible for such defects. and was not freed from liability either by acting under the direction of his employer's architect, or by reason of the fact that the defective foundations were the work of a previous builder. This judgment was a confirmation of judgments already rendered in our Superior and Appeal Courts.
The legal question was very fully gone into on both sides and a mass of authorities cited. Counsel for Mr. Wardle argued that as the foundations were not contracted for or executed by him he could not be liable for any defect in their execution nor for any defect in the soil upon which they were built. He was only bound to put the building on the foundations as they stood, and having done that properly he had no further liability. The plans and drawings were made by an architect employed by the Cathedral, and he, and not the builder, should be held liable. For the Cathedral, the case of Brown vs. Laurie, the leading case in our Courts, was relied upon and it was contended that both under the old French law and under our law the builder who constructs on insufficient foundation is liable even though he acts under the orders of his employer's architect. If the obligation to answer for the foundations is a necessary part of the obligation to warrant the stability of the building, how can a distinction be made between a builder, building on his own foundation, and a builder building on a foundation made by another builder. And if a builder is not relieved by following the directions of the architect, how can he be relieved, by the fact that the foundations were already laid, from the duty of examining them. In this case, as in all others of this nature, the element of public policy is not to be lost sight of. In rendering judgment in this case, their Lordships referred to Brown vs. Laurie, and adopted the principles there laid down.
Their Lordships, after a discussion of the case went on to state, that the broad general rule of law-the rule certain for architects and builders in the execution of the works entrusted to them-is that there is annexed to the contract, by force of law, a warranty of the solidity of the building, that it shall stand for ten years at least: t1- + the approval and directions of a supervising archite , or his omission to ascertain the nature of the soil of the foundation, by known and available tests does not exomerate the builder from the consequences of following sur direction, or of building on the foundation withont making himself sure of its sufficiency.
In connection with the same building, Sentt, the architect, sued Christ Church Cathedral for commissions due him. The defence was that the Church had suffered dam-

## THE CANADIAN ARCHITECT AND BUILDER

age, for which he was responsible, which more than offset any commission due him. Scott answered that he had laithfully followed the plans of Mr. Wills, the architect who had first been engaged for the building, and that he vas not liable for defects in Mr. Wills' plans. This pre tension was overruled and the Courts laid down the principle that an architect was liable even where he only followed plans of another architect handed him by his em-
ployers.

The ar
committed by is liable along with the builder for errors work well by the latter, because he is held to see that a builder- well conceived by him is well executed by the ald vs. David, ald vs. David, 14 L. C. R., 31. In this case the floor of a
building on St. James street had sunk in consequence of the insufficiency of the timbers used to support the joists. It was there held that the architects, as well as the carpenters and joiners employed in the erection of the building, were jointly and severally liable for the damages neurred.
It was held in a case of Cowen vs. Evans that where a contractor undertakes certain works for the proprietor of a building lor a certain price, independently of the other contractors, and not having the general direction of the works, he is not liable for the laults of the other contractors. In this case Cowen put a brick wall on the stone foundation of another contractor ; after his wall was up some way, he found defects in the foundation, pulled down his wall, and sued for annulment of the contract. He was answered that he had accepted the foundation and was responsible for it. This plea was dismissed, the Court rendering judgment in the sense just quoted. The architect must also warrant that he has not violated neighborhoorl. regulations or any of the laws of neighborhoorl. Thus, if a mitoyen wall be pierced without directly over beams of or if a chimney hearth be placed neighbor's wall without wood, or il a well be dug near a lor, in all this class of cases the propritl being provided not only the damage to his own building but whatever he may have been compelled to pay his neighbor for damage done to him. The architect must build so as to leave no room for any complaint on the part of the neighbors. This guarantee must be distinguished from the guarantee of solidity on the part of the architect, from which he is relieved after ten years. Under Article 1053 of our Civil damage to another liable for his own fault which causes will only begin when he had knowledge of it and would not the moment in favor of the architect for 30 years from be acquired This, at least, is the opinion of the majority of the authors, though Duvergier, Troplong, Fremy-Ligneville and Perriquet consider that it is preseribed in ten yearsand is in the same position in that respect as the guarantee with respect to solidity. This, however, is hardly a question of practical utility. It will be time enough for you to discuss it, when you are actually troubled with
it. If a fire were caused through a beam, placed neath a fire were caused through a beam, placed underneath a hearth, becoming ignited, the action against the it ochect would lie from the moment of the fire whenever it occurred, and would only prescribe by thirty years. The guarantee of solidity, on the other hand, only lasts for ten years, because the law has fixed this as an arbitrary period after which the building will be presumed to have fallen through some other cause than a defect in construction. The practical effect of this is, that a defect which doesn't threaten the ruin of the building for ten years is no defect at all. Even should the whole edifice crumble to the earth in its eleventh year, yet would there be no liability on the architect's part, if no fraud is alleged against hin. Not only is there a presumption that the building was properly constructed ; there is more, there is an actual bar to any action on the part of the proprietor, who will not be admitted to prove, even out of the mouth of the architect himself, that there was any defect in construction. Questions of guarantee, however, are of no importance the moment fraud is alleged. Evervone is
liable for his fraud. If, for example, you have purpd to build a stone wall three feet thick, but have put filled in the centre with rubbish and have merely puisclab stone lacing, you could not possibly hope to be action in any other way than by thirty years without acovery the part of the owner from the date of the $d$ the fraud.
We have seen that the architect under the law is for every derogation from the principles of his art apre as a every infraction of the rules and laws which, as a sional man, he ought to know. Can he, by contrurde the proprietor, rid himself of this weighty responsibility? What if, when he has pointed out proprietor the defects in the soil or in the mate the plans furnished him, the proprietor insists ceeding and contracts to relieve him from the gosit imposed upon him by law. What then is his pospp A glance at the principle in virtue of which this bility is placed upon the architect will give us Public order and safety are concerned, and p viduals cannot by contract derogate from that cerns public order. The architect is not relieved a case and his duty to himself and the public is to to proceed with the work. It, of course, saying that he is not responsible towards who has so released him, but he would be persons damaged in any way by the ruin of It is often important to establish the which this guarantee will begin to run, and from the date when the work was received. be safer to have this fact fixed by a writing. upon this point will then be removed and the both proprietor and architect better served. of prescription of this action has some theoretic but is not of practical importance for the rupy is contended on the one hand that the arbitrary ten years puts an end to any action against the p even though the building have fallen down withe years. In other words, the owner must suc the before the ten years have expired. On the otho te is argued that the fall of the building within only gives rise to a right of action, which right be prescribed by thirty years, and this latter to me the more sound of the two. The question of proof with respect to this guarantee is a who ing and important one. Does article 1688, that the architect and the builder are jointly alld liable for the loss, if the building perish within from a defect in construction or from the nature of the ground, mean that they will be until they prove themselves imnocent, or does bind them for ten years, when without that ar the would have been discharged by the reception of It can readily be seen how vitally this question the architcet. Who must prove his case-the p demands damages or the architect, who says responsible?"

At common law the reception of the work charge the workman. The proprietor has had ity to examine it; has examined it and has paid for it, and the workman's responsibility in this case Article 1688 specially derogate principle and says "architects and builders must their work for ten years." The French author much divided upon this question. Troplong, Guillouard and Laurent argue that fault is not and that all Article 1688 intended was to carry tect outside the common law which would have him from the moment his work had been receive proprictor. These are onerons sections and $\mathrm{m}^{\text {11 }}$ terpreted strictly and the very fact of the receptio work creates a presumption that it was well do $\mathrm{be}^{\mathrm{in}} \mathrm{fl}^{110}$ article does not say that they are presumed it simply says that if the defect exist, they it. They argue, therefore, that the proprictor, in responsibility, must prove the fault which he On the other hand, Marcade, Fremy - Ligneville, and bac. and an,
clears himself the damage caused will be presumed to be being by his fault. This contention has the advantage of being supported by the jurisprudence in France. In my lown it is the correct solution. A building which falls terial, or only do so through defect of construction, mawhich or soil, or on account of some extraordinary event which could easily be established in proof. Now the all these for ten years must guarantee the building against easy for him to save the last, and it will be perfectlv event which to make affirmative proof of any fortuitous this, he is liable have caused the ruin, and if he can't do If he is liable
If this were not so, you would force the proprietor to fects of constrative proof; because, if he must prove that departicular defects ansed the ruin, he must prove the had fallen defects, and this, in cases where the building him to make. Hight be very difficult proof, indeed. for prove thate. He would often be forced to attempt to to go through ning else could have caused the ruin, forced thake negative a process of elimination, in fact forced to intentiogative proof. I do not believe that that was the the Legislature spirit of the law. As I have already said architects and in placing this heavy responsibility on public safety and builders, did so because public order and at all cost, were involved. Architects and builders must, building cost, be thoroughly alive to the importance of burden was and safely, and that is why this salutary must stand placed upon their shoulders. The building cause for its ten years, or the architect must show good not be in its not doing so. While this interpretation may yet I do accordance with the exact wording of the Code The Privy Comink it in accordance with its spirit.
ready cited Council in the case of Wardle vs. Bethune algave exped, while not called upon to pass upon this point, the buildersion to the opinion that the onus would be on It is hardly the circumstances under discussion.
of the architect necessary for me to say that this liability damage sulfect or builder for the whole amount of the that as betwed, has only reference to the proprietor and fault. Thus theen themselves each is liable for his own of construction architect would alone be liable for defects the other han depending solely on defects of plan. On own mistakes, whe builder would be alone liable for his plan. Whers, where the architect had only furnished the is liable Where the architect has also directed the work, each ceived tor his own fault. The builder would not be reto a fault set up the negligence of the architect with respect Article 1688 whited by himself. As regards third parties no application. which we have been discussing, would have them would be The architect's responsibility towards Article lo53, governed by the general law contained in fault which causes every one is responsible for his own We have causes damage to another.
serious responsibilitefore, gentlemen, that you are under a erect are solidibility to look to it that the buildings you fession to which and built according to the rules of the proliberate yourself from belong, and further that you cannot the proprietor from this burden even by contract with derogate fror, because as private individuals you cannot citizens from the laws of private individuals you cannot ated.

## MONTREAL LETTER

## No. 1.

To the studemontreal in general.
beknown, some for architecture to whom the cities of Europe are sake of old some for their ostentatious splendours, others tor the sation and world charms, arrival at Montreal brings a new senits archite not one of unadulterated p'easure. Every town has best way to tural character just as it has its specific odours. The late in thy understand this architectural character is to arrive saily forth internoon, to feed at some famous hostelry and then things--to cross waning light to get the general impression of cathedral walls the great squares and steal around the high scale in walls what time they stand up in all their grandeur of light means deepening silhouette against a lemon sky. Daydetails are details to the rnving quizzical architectural eye and, spoiled are architectural accidents whereby much good work is Washed and little bad work is redeemed. At dusk the details are truer as out and a first impression at the magic hour may be the ruer as it certainly is the more lasting on this account. Un-
fortunately tween Monsteamers which have spent the night at anchor beaffer Montreal and Quebec are apt to arrive in the morning and that "Goe beanties of the St. Lawrence it is forcibly brought home
comparison of workmanship is trying to the latter. Thus it is conceivable that the sensation above referred to may have suffered by unlair comparison and too much sunshine upon a a certain tair Seplember day.
But to return to the general architectural character of the place, which is over theme, it must be understood that the writer flace, there are many expensive buildings and some handsome finds there areal; there are a few really good buildings and a ones in Montreadingly beantiful ones, but all these are but a drop in the bucket and the average structure fronting on her streets is neither good nor beautiful-rarely sensible.

Architecture is a public ar-the only truly democratic art-and Architecture private examples however good will never redeem a the architectural character of a city where the citizens duell in the architectural makes the sensation above alluded to different from that produced by many other cities is the fact that there is so little decent building tradition manifesting is self. Where houses do not stand cheek by jowl they are most of them afflicted with do not three backs and the backs are as a rule the less offenone front and three backs ind pure elevation. Where houses stand sive compositions other the prevailing ideal is differentiation-this is hard up to each other the prevmities in wood work with the underattained by recourse cony as an excuse, or to crimes in zinc perlying idea of a batcony all and a very light heart. Of material petrated with no excuse at all and ate, frauds-wood masquerading as there is one ray of hope: this Amid these distressing reflecrionsly a phase in the city's developcaricaturing of Architecture it onseableness will succumb the rigment, and from its werich by the way a new arrival has no right to mention.)
A little closer inspection reveals the fact that two serviceable ypes of plain house were evolved in Monireal a short time back and it is deplorable to think that the excellent traditions of which they are manifestations have not struck deeper roots.

There are in Montreal many plain limestone houses-some quite plain-others with a soupscon of French archat house tinct-not very sincere but quite charming. Substable tin or these are, built of fair blocks of stone with reasonable thows, iron roofs and decently proportioned chimneys and wind archiThere are none of them quite goode measured drawings of, but ectural students trouble the streets and represent a once live hey are the best things on the st-the kind of Iradition, that is, ocal building tradition at least caturate himself it his work is with which the architect must saturse of the modern hous that it be indigenous at all. It is the curse of the modern house that it usually proclaims pretty clearly of whing been bred on the soil on as far as possible every hint dition has quite died ont of the buldwhich it stands. Local England and on this side many of the ing trades in most parts of Englas a tradition at all but here is towns have not yet got the length of a tradition at all; but here is Montreal with a very excellentradition in quet grey stone houses -and the tradition appears to have been plucked up by the roots about two score years ago, since when, chaos!-conkion worse contounded. The other type is in brick. Red brick, green shutters, white window frames, a medium pitched roof-what more can any designer want? Properly used these simple ingredients have been combined to form streets it is a pleasure to walk down, far more to live in; yet this type too has given place to the vulgarities above alluded to, and is no longer put upnay it is being pulled down in several places at this moment.

The problem of winter glazing outside the ordinary window frames has been solved in Montreal in a more slovenly way the appearin other civilized cities subject to a consely on the external window finish, and for four months of the year every house in Montreal wears spectacles which spoil the expression of eyes that might be quite pleasani. In Russia and Northern Germany the double glezing has been solved in a variety of ways, neat and handy, some of them very ingenious and all without recourse to the barbas expedien of slapping a rough c.samfered frame of inferior glass on the outer edge of the window opening.

The real difficulty which has not from its nature been better solved elsewhere is the roofing question. The slates of Montreal are very good and very ugly, and they dontimprove or deteriorate with the kindly hand of time. Lead is no use. Copper goes as black as the slates. The good old in has been out ond market goodness knows how lisg, expression to these obdurate the genius who could give artistic expet in evidence. A medium and unsympathetic materias of sow, a steep pitched roof is a pitch roof has to be cleared solution, danger to the community-a flat roof ever to look right even in the but how is a building as a growing shadows with its top shorn glory of evening light and growing shadows, wite and there, in off and its chimneys grown gravel?
Yes, the architects of Montreal have their little problems to solve and by degrees, if properly faced, the architectural character of the place will improve, but it is not solving the problem of designing for a flat roof treatment to put an $80^{\circ}$ slate slip above the cornice just as an acknowledgement of the old habit of slating roofs, whice "tat rooriligums and whigmaleeries' is it any use relying on from the drawbacks of a dull sky line.
There mork in Montreal-a
There is a little and a do much to improve the present state of closer
things.
There are a few and a very few beautiful new buildings in Montreal-and these willbe recorded from time to time by the Gargoyle.

## PROVINCE OF QUEBEC ASSOCIATION OF ARCHITECTS.* <br> Address by John S. Archibald ist Vice President. <br> There comes a time in the life's journey vice President.

 is advisable and advan the life's journey of everyone, when it recently travelled, Suctageous to stop and calmly survey the road ing for the reason. Such contemplation may not always be pleaswe feel convinced that it matter what the results may have been again we could improve we had to travel the same ground over more satisfactory. Fe on the past and the results would be time, lost opportunitiaults become apparent in the perspective of background of whes stand out strongly in vivid contrast to the which called torthe little has been accomplished and results the distance, to become pigary effort, seem, in the blue haze of the first thoughts which strike us. Yet when these depressing mists have been brushed avide, we begin to see and realize the steep hills successfully travelled, the may milestones passed, and here and there, the seeds sown on the journey springing up and bearing truit according to the quality of the seed placed in in the hands of the sower. And so it is with the life of our Association. We are now entering upon the 14th year of its existence, and from the point of view of time and circumstances, I consider it advantageous to glance over our past history with a view to finding out if any work whatever has been accomplished, what that work may be, if the results obtained can be considered satisfactory and on what lines must the work continue.The Association was brought into being on the 3oth December in the year 1890 , when, at that time, the Act of Incorporation was assented to by the Lieutenant Governor in Council.
I have very little to say regarding the first period of the Association life, viz., from the date of its inception up to the year 1898. At the same time, we cannot be too loud in our praise of those members of our profession who recognizing their duty to the puolic interests, comprehending the immense responsibility placed upon the shoulders of the followers of our profession in the Province of Quebec, and being alive to the possibility and advisability of advancing the art of Architecture by united efforts along recognized lines, bent all their efforts to obtain the original charter These members have every right to congratulate themselves on being the pioneers of compulsory registration of arehitects on the Continent of America. At this late date it is difficult to realize the mightly obstacles and prejudices that had to be overcome, and when we to-day discuss this phase of the question, we are apt to forget the labour and toil which terminated in such a successful issue. Their example has been followed by the State law enacted for the attempts have been made to have a similar opinion that some of us will live Ontario, and I am strongly of the begun in the Province of Quebec, will from the Atlantic to the Pacifice, will branch out and bear fruit the profession recognized Pacific, and we shall have the practice of the attention of the legit ive ar such importance as to merit of enactments similar to those bodies and result in the passing

It is true, that up to 1808 , he under which we now govern. ited in extent, but this I consider was a the Association was limperiod, wherein its limited membership became alive probation ray of its usefulness, its possibilities and even its alive to the scope even at this time it began to prove its usefulness to those of the protession who were not included in its membership, for it was often used as a shield behind which they were pleased to protect themselves. In the public eye it justified its right to exist by demonstrating on every possible occasion that it existed primarily for the protection of the public and for the fostering of art. It is not surprising therefore that when amendments to the Charter were desired in 1898, all parties recognized the right of such an Association to exist, and as a result, little or no opposition was
met with

From this period, the scope and usefulness of the Associatis is now enhanced and the horizon has extended.
It is ou the work of this second period that I desire to dwell for a few minutes, not with a view to bolstering up a case for congratulation, but with the objects stated in the introductory marks.
The first step that was necessary after the charter had been amended, was to perfect the organization. To the Association this was imperative, in order that full benefit could be taken of
its provisions. The Association was complicated and required the most car of the
*Delivered before the Province of Quebec aretul study
*Delivered before the Province of Quebec Adsociation of Architects,
March 15th, rgo4.
and investigation. It was necessary that the members of the Council at that time make themselves thoroughly familiar wespect, all its different parts, to examine its mechanism in every rek time, and where possible to test it under action. This all took the and those of you who have been members of Council durities and last five and a half years, will remember the difficulties the tribulations which we had to undergo. In some respect other provisions of the Charter turned out satisfactory, but in the respects it is found to be entirely inadequate. In spite orrors careful consideration that was given to its compilation, enshat have been noted, omissions discovered, and in some case: can be called by no other word than "carelessness."
In the 8 th annual report, presented to the members in ${ }^{1899^{5} \text {, }}$ we read "The arduous task of the material organization is now nearly haviny completed and the Assoch much coveted by many simila Asing secured an authority morn Associations and from other points of view, much niore more ${ }^{\text {e5 }}$ than ours. Not only the interests of the profession bun mpeten pecially those of the public, are now protected againsthing appeared and doubtful practice." According to this everything ape and ${ }^{3}$ rosy and hopeful, but under the experience of the past $h$ is greal half years, we are forced to the conclusion that there is of the room for improvement in our charter. The protection how in public, spoken of, amounts to nothing, for no matter how Archir competent a man may be to practice the profession of ${ }^{\text {as }}$ he tecture, our charter has got no authority over him desifinat designates himself "Architect." The usefulness of this dings are ion becomes apparent when we realize the fact that building going up around us every day from plans drawn by persun in and than those of the profession, and yet we cannot even step law, wo say "nay." Even when someone does transgress the "ade in oll are met with the difficulty that no provision has been to be tried charter for naming the court in which the case ought to ${ }^{\text {to }}$ cer ${ }^{\text {an }}$ and we are therefore open to the danger that, under heavy circumstances, the Association might be mulcted member damages when prosecuting. Even in the case where mee ${ }^{\text {ms }}$, "10 after belonging to the Association, have fallen into arm ariear find that under our charter we cannot sue them for such an anter The time has therefore come when we should look the wa the boldly in the face with a view to amending cur charter afficulty in lines of greater possibilities. There should be no having our charter amended, that the practice of the prol ${ }^{\text {an }}$ would be protected instead of the mere name of "Architect ${ }^{\text {archil }}$ thus make it impossible for any individual to undertakining on tectural work unless having undergone architectural thand the lines laid down in our charter.
 been amended in many respects. This arose from a ${ }^{\text {ang the }}$ acquaintancesh $p$ with the work, and the by-laws governing of examinations have received much attention. This question tend the examinations has at all times been a difficult one that all. or with, but under the new by-laws, it is anticipated thark of the nearly all the difficulties will be removed and the work Examining Board made much simpler.
Second-Duty to the Public.-The Association has at work times recognized their duty to the public and much good wor of has been done in this respect. We see evidence every day ${ }^{\text {dr }}$ the public becoming educated to a higher standard and appre ation of art and for this no small meed of praise is due and Association, for they have, by means of lectures, exhibitions ised the mere fact of the existence of such an Association, exerilding leavening effect on the public mind. In our city, the but ittent laws were drafted by the Association, and by steady, perstis there effort, have passed into law. It is undoubtedly true, thal we have is great room for improvement in these by-laws, yet we have established the principle and precedent that we, as a body, a right to be heard and our views receive every consideralior the
The Association should at all times keep an eye city, ${ }^{10}$ regulations governing the erection of buildings in the ciniating protest against any tinkering that might result in depreve the $\mathrm{e}^{10}$ their value, and at all times seize the opportunity to have amended and improved in the public interests.
That we as a body have been considered worthy of attent it io $^{\text {a }}$ is evidenced in the action which crowned our efforts in the apppiily, ment of an Architect to the Building Inspectorship of this ${ }^{\text {an }}$ 五 and this department of the city work has graciously received of our suggestions from the Association, made in the interests of profession or the public.
It is more directly in the public interest that legal proce $\mathrm{e}^{\text {ding }} \mathrm{g}^{5}$.

This work has always been done in a quiet way, and it is no doubt from this fact that much of the criticism has arisen, but
the Councen this ouncil have always folt it incumbent upon them to perform this part of their duty with as little noise as possible, recognizing the fact that this part of the work of our Association, while being is oftensity, does not redound to the glory of our profession. It the tekin that the Association does little or nothing respecting yet in one legal proceedings against offenders of our Charter has passed year alone, eleven actions were entered and no year whereas which has been free from some action or other, bu bued with the are liberal profession and as such should be im ed, and the highest ideals, legal proceedings are never enterto bring about never will be, unless all other means possible fail Efforts
in other have also been made to safeguard the public interests cedent, respects. Efforis have been made to establish a pre ings are to fact to make it law, that when any public build$\mathrm{G}_{\text {overn }}$ are to be erected by either Federal, Provincial or Civic best thent, that means should be adopted to call forth the we have the profession can produce. It is to be regretted that the struggle been more successfut, but yet we must not give up least expect ; we must persevere, and some time, when we THIRD-E it our continued knocking may be heard.
preamabieducation.- One of the duties laid down in the a standard of our charter, states, that it is "Expedient to insure in the prove perfection in the present practice of our profession of our Alovince of Quebec." We think that considering the age Work has siderable been of the first importance and has taken up a conesuited a mount of the Association's time. It may not have under the all that might be desired, but there is nothing perfect ecognition sun, yet there is cause for congratulation. The one of the pa the necessity for proper architectural education is must nee paramount causes of our being, and while the work must not be shirke arduous and require much painstaking, it Being be shirked
students to powered by the charter to make it compulsory for all practice, thass certain examinations before being permitted to before the Association acknowledged it as their duty to place them to ace students such information as would be necessary for As a result, acquire before presenting themselves for examination. ains loins many useful and valuable books. These are being added our library to time and before many months have elapsed, to be studied will contain all of the books suggested as authorities our purched, in the pamphlet regarding examinations. Of ccurse money purchases, in this respect, are limited to the amount of recognizing this fisposal and this is very limited indeed, and the authog this fact, your Association made arrangements with Library of thies of McGill University, whereby the Architectural our Ass of this seat of learning was thrown open to members of should Asociation. This is a valuable acquisition, and the members should show their apprecittion of such liberal consideration by exercising their right of membership on every possible occasion. Our Association has also exercised some influence over archilectural education, as taught at McGill, the authorities of which have at all times received our suggestions with kindest consideration and have profited by them on every possible occasion. In the recognition of our duty to the cause of education, a for me of scholarship has received the attention of the Council nearly two years and has now become an accomplished fact and we trust that by the time the term of scholarship has ended traveleans will have been provided for following it up with a We travelling scholarship.
ed with might also point out the connection that has been establishcolleth the R.I.B.A. of England, that well known pioneer of collective effort, and as a result of the efforts of some of our tor their, it is now made possible for anyone to present himself Still, in examination in our city.
will in spite of all that has been done regarding education, 1 We hadmit, that if we are to live up to our ideals and to our duty We have to go much further. As the Association is dependent practite ranks of our students and draughtsmen for its coming practitioners, we should endeavor to inculcate in their minds such feelings of self-interest and good fellowship as will make them aspire to the ranks of our membership. As a parent body, we must take an interest in their educational welfare and we to bin therefore endeavor to bring them together in our rooms, to bind them together by some junior Association and to under-
also be jealous of their right and opportunities given them to educate the public in the art of Architecture.

Courses of public lectures should be given at stated intervals and thus interest the public in the possibilities of our art with a view to a higher appreciation in their own particular case, and eventually bring a stronger force of public opinion to bear on the problem of the archilectural improvement of our own city.
Fourth-Mutual Improvement.-The duty of the Association to its own members has not been overlooked and I expect that it is under this title that we will encounter the greatest criticism. The success or otherwise of the Association in this respect, depends entirely upon the pont of view of the critic. Some criticise as if the question of our Professional Tariff was of the first and vital interest. Others are ready to judge the value of the Association by the number of legal proceedings that have been taken against offenders, while a few believe that our first duty is to the public. They may all be right in their way, yet it is my humble opinion that we are formed into an Association, first, for the public good, and second, for the good of the pro-fession-and the majority of the profession at that-and judging by the work of the past Councils, I am not alone in my belief. After several attempts our tariff was at last amended and passed by the Lieutenant-Governor in Council. You are thoroughly familiar with the facts of the case and $i t$ is useless now to repeat them. True, it was not the tariff that was passed by the Association, but I for one will frankly admit that I would rather have it than none at all, for at least we have the principle accepted and established that architects have a right to a Tariff that will be accepted at law. To my mind, the tarifi as amended is a standing lesson to architects to be more business-like in their methods. Who among us, would give out work amounting to any considerable amount, unless the contractur is tied hard and arite the name of common sense there fas fore, why shoudd written con wrauld be removed at the outset. It may be that the difficulties woutd bo the feeling that it might be awkward to place neglect arises from the feen before the client at the outset, and the question of commission for santed and that matlers, in this hope that he will take this for granted ans No is respect, will run along their own smcoln course. No one is compelled to work for three or four per cent., even athough such amount be stipulated in the tariff. Suppose you are offered work at 3 per cent. would you accept it? (Let us hope not.) Then on what different basis are you when the legal tariff places the comwhar mission to yourself and to the profession, to inform your client, that duty to where such a clat for the full professional charge. Surely you written agreement for the full professional charge. Surely you are not gning to allow the law to dictate the value of your services. You are the best judge as to what your services are worth, and tariff or no tariff, your self respect should be the best judge as to what commission would remunerate you tor the bervices rendered. Do not suppose for a moment that even if the legal tariff was satisfactory that the problem would be solved. There is nothing to hinder an architect working for less; it is purely a matter of conscience; you cannot make men honorable by law and you cannot lav down by statute that no man shall be allowed to work for less than the stipulated amount. the purely a question of labour and hire. On the other hand, it is the duty of everyone in the profession to get a higher it is the duty of everyone inder and if the services justify it, and remuneration wherever possiber will be put in the way of an archino stumbling block whan a higher remuneration for his work. tect who desires to obtain the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Counci! is

The tariff as passed by the Liederment has been entered into. the minimum where no written agreeme, and if law places the Every servant is worthy of his hire, and if law places the ninimum on any particular services, there must be some higher rate. It is therefore the duty of those who feel themselves capable of giving more than the average return, to endeavor to get a higher remuneration.
We hear much of the desired amendments to our legal tariff along certain lines, but I go further and say, that possible and of our Association to raise the minimum unsider the extraurdiwherever justifiable, especially when we consider the exteraordinary complexity and the knowhedgiff which was formed years ago work in these later days; was of a simpler nature, is at present when architectural
A careful watch has always been kept over the interests of A carern in the legislature and elsewhere, and occasions have members in without the help of an Association such as this, all risen when, wisurd our interests would be abortive.
Atempts have been made to reduce the period of responsibility
Attempts have been mace to to leave the responsibility upon of contractors to tour years and to ten year term. This was architects according to
successfully frustrated.
In a case that has recently been decided in the Court of Appeals, our profession has been put under an undoubted disadvantage, and cfforts are now being made with a view to having he Civil Code amended both in regard to the term of prescripton for coy accident that may occur within ten years and also the responsibility attached to archilects from that of the contractors.
intercourse, and with that end in view monthly meetings have
been established, where been established, where discussions take place on subjects perthe benefit that would acend and the members would only realize meetings, a better attendae to them by taking part in these These meetings are bounce would reward our efforts.
will and toleration. Such a to establish a friendly spirit of good Association would be Such a spirit is a necessity; without it our justified.

Such is a very superficial resume of the work undertaken and that might be desired by any five and a half years. It is not all look at the question from any means, but I have endeavored to pointing our the roed from anbiased point of view, and, while pointing out the good work that has been done, I am not in the least backward in acknowledging its imperfections and short-
comings. comings.
We have heen criticised on more than one occasion and on severals counts. I do not question the right of any member to criticism carried out the Association or the Council, for such griticism carried out along certain lines would result in much grood. Constructional criticism is at all times beneficial, for it not only points out the evil but it comes for ward with a remedy. not point out the way to go, it at least shows up to disadvantage the way travelled, but what I object to is that system of criticism of the negative type that stands aloof and simply says that the Association bas done nothing and that no good can be got out of it. These crities cannot evade their responsibility; they have no right to sit on the fence and arbitarily say that we have done nothing, for if such be the case, then they are as much responsible as any member of Council, for it implies that they lendering their services. The Association but refrained from the member who is willing to take his share at all times welcomes the member who is willing to take his share of the work, and the pity is, that there are so tew who seem to realize their responsi-
bility in the matter. The mere fact of bility in the matter. The mere fact of having a Council does not remove this responsibility from the shoulders of the members, and the Council have at all times welcomed suggestions and well directed criticisms on the work.

To the criticisms that have been applied to the tariff, I have alsociation, with respect to illegal practice, I would rems of the Association, with respect to illegal practice, I would remark, that it is
not the first duty of the Association to not the first duty of the Association to prosecute such offenders. "If such was the reason of our existence, I would heartily say its system of police, yet the mere necessity for having this protection is not the cause of its being. Police are necessary for the holding in check of law breakers, but the duty of the citizen is to keep the law and to foster and conserve its best interests, and so it is with our Association. Legal proceedings are an unour zeal for the wellfare of our Associati importance, and in this phase of the question blind us to our higher duty not let guard the public interests as pertaining to our work-to safe"Esprit de and standard of our profession and to foster that "Esprit de corps" without which our efforts would be abortive and valueless. Did we obtain the charter in order to probibit tory to all minds? If such was the or to obtain a tariff satisfacit not expressed? It was not expressed, simply because was matters were recognized as mere incidents. The because such the Association had a higher idea of their responsibility and of the fulfiment of that responsibility, they had to sink everything that appeared personal or mercenary. What does the preaming of the charter of the Association say? "Whereas it is deemed expedient for the protection of the public interests in the erectionof public and private buildings-and to insure a standard of efficiency in the persons practicing the profession of Architecgranted, first, because it was deemed find that the charter was granted, first, because it was deemed expedient for the protection of public interests ; secondly, to establish a standard of efficiency, or in other words a recognition of the necessity for professional education; and thirdly, with the object of advanciug porated, according to the second ain the Association was incorobject of the "acquirement second clause of the preamble, for the ledge amongst its members, and more particures protessional knowtion of that species of knowledge whe particularily the acquisiscientific and practical ture." In the practical efficiency of the protession of architecture," In the face of such high and dignified ideals, does not emphasis on such questions as seem trivial, and the laying of appear selfish and mercenary? Do not misunderstand
prosecute persons prad me-I do not say that we should not prosecute persons practicing illegally, or that we should not
make a serious endeavortoamend our tariff, but I do say questions are not of the vital importance some way that such think, and, I am sometimes afraid, hat in laying would have us on the ee questions, we may lose sight of greater opportunities to do good, and in the effort to catch the shadow, lose hold al logether of the substance.
in my remarks on the
lines along which the future work lics. We cannot angested the remain stationary; we must pork lies. We cannot and must not posed responsibilities with feelingw forward accepting our self imrealization of our duty ; seize hold of the opportunity in the full to advance the interests of our profession in this fair Dominion in particular. But this every individual member of the Association. But how help of
be done? By the fostering of that "Esprit de corps" which is a primary necessity, where persons are brought together to all all collectively for a given purpose, and I think that you wi. grant there is room for improvement in this respect.

This will hardly be disputed by anyone, on the general aspect of the question at any rate, although at the same time it is gmon fying to acknowledge instances are by no means uncom treat where we have received nothing but the most considerate that these instances are far too uncommon, and where it ought have the rule it is more often the exception. It is not where werit de direct intercourse with one another that the want of us to act ${ }^{50}$ corps " is most felt, for then our self respect compels us to accasions that our motives are not open to question, but it is on occas but where our actions may remain undiscovered; when nothinn mine brotherly consideration and common fairness should dem mat be is weighedse, and when the hope of personal advantage weighed in the balance against our duty to our confrough then that we deplore the dearth of that spirit which oug's
imbue the disciples of that world imbue the disciples of that world-old profession, which is our adoption. The want of brotherly feeling is in evidence public hands. We see it in the petty jealousy which questions the pusal acknowledpestowed upon a confrere. We see ine by ourselv We see it in the willingness to accept work at any commission long as we can prevent it entering another office, and we see the feet of any with which we rush to throw our services build. Heet of any one whom rumour credits with the intention How can we expect sincere individual effort for the advance We ar of our profession and art under such circumstances? Still I one against another instead of working hand in han There not consider the solution a helpless one-far from condition of affairs and without woin wan ascribe may touch on a tew.

And the first is, that we are Colonists. Now I do not use this the word in any depreciatory manner, but merely to describe dly labitions and circumstances under which we undoub labour. I mean by this, that we belong to a country comp? tively in its infancy, whose population is composed of peof gathered from the four winds of heaven or of descendants those who only a generation or two ago, made this the land the their adoption. We have consequently not yet reached period when our people shall be knit together by the hands of time and when a common tradition shall obliteral kalediscopic origin. We may point to our profession in Esprit countries of Europe, and lament the want of a similar de sorps" in our own midst, but that condition was no a year, neither in one hundred and fifty years. The follo of our profession in Europe can pride himself on the fact that is walking, humbly it may be in the footsteps of the Master He whose names and works are held in world-wide reverence is imbued with that spirit of tradition which deifies the common-place object; while we may only louk back a few to the time when the Chief's wigwam was the Parthenon land, or to more recent times wben the standard bearer profession went around with his T-square made plan in one and his kit of tools in the other

Again, these are the days when the spirit of personal a disement permeates every action. We will never return to days when the personal gratification, engendered by love who art, shall be considered full value for the labour expended. the among us to-day would be willing to give our labour for it is mere pittance often grudgingly given to the old masters well to recognize the fact that the world is very poor re of persons, and that the "survival of the fittest" is the proclaimed day after day from the house tops.
for existence, we must not be surprised therefore, if, in instances the corners of the finer qualities of mankind ragged; but, recognizing the force of circumstances, stand shoulder to shoulder, giving mutual assistance and pro senting a solid front to the varied influences that in many way threaten the very foundation of our profession. As a remedy for the present condition of.
True, much can antidote.
True, much can be ante. can be brought into play from some of the influences One I would mention is, such schools take advantage of thender the influences of the broadmindedness of its professory, band of young men is being tratned up inder the same masters, the same influmeng traned up under the same masters, and it is but natural to expect that same professional atmosphere, the ranks of practicing arpect that when they take their place in one ranks of practicing architects, that their treatment towards another and to their profession, another and to their profession, shall be governed by that brotheing spirit which at all times is to be found amongst students havim a common Alma Mater.
The second, is that power which is lying dormant in our Association, and it lies dormant purely through the indifferen of the individual members.
If this Association will only use its inherent power to establish a proper "Esprit de corps". among the members, it will have is justified the fact of its being. That it has the power to do so gh apparent to those who are accustomed to meet here thoug wo solely on business matters. But as an Association merely, wal will be powerless ; it requires the assistance of every individuion member, who should, in the full realization of the noble profestat to which he belongs and which contains on its roll, names
stand out as beacon lights, illuminating stand out as beacon lights, illuminating the surrounding darkne nd of doubt and superstition, sink every feeling that does not to the glory and advancement of our profession.


#### Abstract

\section*{BY THE WAY.}

The selfishness of human nature has been exemplified by many Baltimore property owners who, taking aqvantage of the demand for accommodation created; by the recent fire, have raised rents to double and treble what they were formerly receiving. Short term tenants were given the alternative of paying the exorbitant rates or finding new quarters.


> The difference hot..** *
alive to difference between a municipal official who is as a a sinecure, is well iilustrated by the results of a recent change of superintendents of buildings for the Borough of Manhattan. The new superintendent reports :- "When I assumed office there were pending, exclusive of fire escapes and unsafe building cases,over 4,000 violations. During the present quarter, 2,167 new cases have been filed, 5,237 cases have been dismissed, and 757 cases sent to the corporation counsel for prosecution, leaving pending in this office 605 violations, 500 fire cases, and 735 unsafe building cases. Cases in which the time given by the building code to the owner to comply with the law has not yet expired, and they constitute the ordinary current business of this bureau, which is receiving our daily
attention."

A case was heard before ${ }^{*} \mathrm{Mr}$. Justice Gibson recently in which Robert J. Dalzell sued Miss Florence O'Mahony to recover 14ol. 16s. 9., balance due for work done and materials supplied to her for building purposes. The plaintiff is a merchant residing at Killorgin, County Kerry, and the defendant resides at Beau-
fort, near Killarney. She by her defence denied liability, and counter claimed for 631 , and pleaded that the balance had been fully discharged, but lodged $5^{1} \mathrm{I}$. in court. It appeared that the defendant was her own contractor and clerk of works, and that she had obtained part of the materials for the building of her house from the defendant. He advised her agdinst using a certain description of slate for the roof, but she disregarded his advice and followed her own will, and when it turned out a failure in the hands of slaters not used to it, she shifted the blame on the plaintiff. She had the house reslated, and, then counter claimed for the cost, 631. A large quantity of the correspondence which had passed between the parties was read, among which was a post card which the defendant addressed to the plaintiff, which was in the following terms: "Deluge recommenced; mastic cement not had time evidently to harden before the rain came, and the last rain has made it as soft as putty, and is calmly soaking through. I foresee we shall spend the winter in macintoshes, come down to breakfast under umbrellas, and keep a lifeboat attached to the pump instead of a fire hose. I shall expect you to supply all the above yratis."

Mr. Justice Gibson found for the plaintiff for 1241. 17 s .9 d. , and allowed the defendant iol. on her counter claim-The Architect.

Mr. John Clare, of the firm of Clare Bros. \& Co., Preston, Ont., died suddently on the 2 Ist inst. from a stroke of appoplexy. Mr. Clare, who was $5^{6}$ years of age, was very bighly esteemed in the community where he lived.
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## NOTES.

In a recent lecture on geology in Glasgow, Mr. A. McWilliam A.R.S.M., stated that granite consisted mainly of two minerala quartz (hardness proportionat 6) andness 7 whilst the hardness of a bestqu 6) and felspar ( was $61 / 2$. The wear readily understood. powers of granite would therefor cen of potash and soda was clay with less than about 2 per or pipeclay; while containing 5 pect iron ${ }^{\text {an }}$ generally a fair amount of potash and sod. of oxides of in ordinar red brick clay.
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A NEW FLOORING MATERIAL.
Mr. Henry M. Morgan, United States Consul at Lucerne, Switzerland, writes : Architect Siegward, of Lucerne, has patented a new system of a concrete flooring, consisting of hollow tubes of mortar and iron. It is fireproof, and will, I believe, be of conIt is interest to builders in the United States.
It is claimed that this system is an improvement on
the inventions of Monder others. It consistonnier, Hennebique, Koener, and mortar into hollows in manufacturing, in a factory, the ready tor delivew beams for forming a floor or roof laid together divery to the builder-one which can be By this means one supporting walls without planking. very short time, floor after another can be laid in a work upon time, and the floor so laid can be used to This appears to without scaffolding.
to the appears to me as a great advantage compared dependent largely upon temperature and weather, and
in all cases must be left for some days to dry before they can be walked upon.
One advantage claimed for the Siegwart system is that no workmen are required other than the ordinary laborers. Another fact which should be considered is that armored beams which are made in the building can only be depended upon for uniformity when the mortar is mixed in exactly the same proportions and when it is not influenced by shocks, frost, or rain during the time of setting. When this is done in the factory it is far easier to secure uniformity and protect the beams against weather conditions.

The beams manufactured at Lucerne have a uniform breadth of 25 centimeters ( 9.84 inches) and are manufactured in five sizes, viz. : $9,12,15,18$ and 21 centimeters $(3.5,4.7,5.9,7.08$ and 8.36 inches) high, according to the length of span and load. The size of the iron rods in the beams is between 5 and ro millimeters ( 1.96 and 3.9 inches,) and generally six such rods are used in each beam. Two of these rods are

# The Canadian Bridge Co., Limited 

Walkerville, Ontario

Manufacturers of

## STEEL BULLDINCS, ROOF TRUSSES

Railway and Highway Bridges and Structural Steel and Iron Work of all description. Estimates furnished upon application.


[^1]HARDWOOD FLOORING,

END MATCHED, BORED
AND STEEL POLISHED.

Interior Hardwood Finish
Outside Window Blinds Gancy Wood Grilts
Write Us For Prices.

THE SEAMAN

KENT CO.. LIMITED, MEAFORD, ONT.

## Blast Furnace <br> SLAG

(A MOLTEN ROCK)

## FOR CONCRETE WORK

 STRONG AS STONECHEAP AS GRAVEL

te we Write for Prices. te te

DOOLITTLE \& WILCOX HEIMILTON - ONT.

# OONGRFTF BUIHDINGS 

Flollow Block Machines,
Sill Machines
ALL KINDS OF ARCHITECTURAL ORNAMENTS AND
MOUI.DINGS, SILLS, HOI.LOW BLOCKS, ETC.
laid parallel with the under border of the beam, and the other four are bent upward into the form of a knot The proportion order to strengthen their holding power. Though the beams cement with coarse sand is 1 to 4 same supporting are made hollow, they have the a great reduction power as though they were solid, with factor where freight weight. This is an important beams, being hollow charges are to be considered. The tions for heating. , offer also more favorable condicement for joining the sides are ridged, so that the vacant spaces and thus form a solid can enter into the together of the beams is dorm a solid mass. The laying beams.

The beams are supplied in different lengths. In Lucerne they are made up to 5.5 meters ( 18 feet) long; in Italy and Germany, up to 6.5 meters (21.3 feet) They cand in Russia, up to 7.5 meters ( 24.6 feet) long. They can be used, in addition to floors, for terraces, side pressure, as sports, and for walls where there is houses, etc. It has been demonstral bunkers, wareload from four to five times as great as that with a beams have only bent to the extent as the normal the ters ( 0.0394 and 0.0788 inch) extent of 1 or 2 milimeclaimed for these beams are: Great supporting power and security from fire; they come dry and hard from the factory and can, therefore, be used at once as floors for working on; greater facility and speed in building and cold by their use; freedom from excess of heat and cold by reason of their being hollow; thickness of be used as a heating floor by sending beams can through them.

The manufacture of the beams as practiced in the Siegwart Beam Factory in Lucerne, Switzerland, and in other European countries is very simple. They are

THE CANADIAN ELECTRICAL NEWS
manufactured in layers of 2.5 meters ( 8 feet)
$e^{\text {at }}$ th and not singly. The hollow spaces are formed laid means of iron molds, around which the cement is olds and the iron rods placed in position. These iron size b) are constructed so that they can be reduced in sizo ${ }^{\text {nen }}$ the turning of a screw and withdrawn when the ce the has become hard. The beams are cut, before hine cement has set, by means of a patent cutting machight which can be placed in any position. Six to be hours after laying the beams the iron molds can for withdrawn, but they are generally lett to harden two four to six days before they are separated. to three weeks they are ready for delivery.

There are already a large number of buildings, both public and private, in Switzerland in which the Suild Wart beams have been employed, and in all the buld ings now in course of construction in Lucerne they in being used. At present there are three factories in Germany, three in Russia and one in Italy occupied manfacturing beams under the Siegwart patent.

## MECHANICS' LIEN LAW.

At the recent session of the Legislature of Britsh Columble de the addition of a number of sections relating of Brits to the Mechanics' Lien Act of that province. The limit of money or stamps payable to any judge or officer in any brought to realize a payable to any judge or officer in is placed at $\$ 1$ on every $\$ 100$ claim up to $\$ 1,000$, and this placed at $\$ 1$ on every $\$ 100$ than wage-earners. The this is only payable by person not exceed $=5$ per cent. Of the the plaintiff in any actio actual disbursements. Whent. of the amount of judgment, the plaintiff such costs must not the costs are awarded the of the plaintiff besides most noteceed 25 per cent. Where th expensive course is actual disbursements. the solicitor course is not taken by a plaintiff the costs allo curred icitor must in no case exseed what would have curred had the least expensive course been taken. of discharging or vacating a lien a reasonable amount of the drawing and registering a lien may be allowed, in additit left to the of an action. Costs not otherwise provided for fication left to the discretion of the judge or officer to whom applic
is made.

PERSONAL.
Mr. J. A. Pearson, architect, of Toronto, has recently returned from a visit to
Europe Mp.
Mr. A. J. Rattray has recently com menced architectural practice in Toronto, Building.

## NOTES.

A striking feature of the new cathedral at Liverpool, England, will be the height cf the vaulting of the nave and choir.
Measured in the barrel 116 feet, and in barrel vaulting it will be feet. No cathedral ingh transepts 140 proaches its height. The ine country approaches its height. The nearest is West minster, the nave of which has a height of 102 feet, while York measures 99 feet ; reaches only 74 and Lincoln 82 . Chester lery" of only 78. The "whispering gallery of St Paul's Cathedral is roo feet
from the floor. Incombustible prepared by paint for woodwork is powder 20 per ming logether in a fine per cent, percelain. pulverized glass, 20 per cent.
(silicious), porcelain, 20 per cent. stone (silicious), 20 per cent. calcium lime. Then mix these compounds with 30 per cent. of
silicate of soda silicate of soda. The lime gives unctuo-
sity to the bining with mixture, and by partially commore intimate the solub'e glass, makes a of the several ingrede. Other proportions the percentage of silients can be used, but should remaine of silicate of soda and lime should remain as above. The mixture is applied to the surface in the same manner
as ordinary as ordinary paint. The first coat sets immediately, and the second may be given coats wre hours afterwards. Two coats are usually sufficient.
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## finepant Giass Windows <br> THAT <br> ARE <br> FIRE-PROOF mike

Many practical tests have proved it's efficiency.

Used in conjunction with our hollow sheet-metal frames and other fire-proof fittings, it gives the most perfect protection available

It's adoption lessens insurance rates.

If you want to know more about "fire-proof windows," write us, it's an interesting subject.

Metallic Roofing $\mathrm{C}_{0}$.,
TORONTO.

These windows in a fire-proof building, complete the security, and in any building will thoroughly prevent the spread and advancement of the fiercest flames.

Better than iron shutters (even if they happened to be closed at the needed time); fireproof glass remains intact, resisting both the intense heat of the fire and the action of water.





| $\begin{array}{l}\text { SUPLLEMENT TO } \\ \text { OANADIAN AROHIEOT AND BUILDER } \\ \text { APRIL, } 1904\end{array}$ |
| :--- |

ARBITRATION OF TRADE DISPUTES
A conference of representatives of the Ontario Association of Architects, the Architectural Bighteen Ciub, the Builders' Exchange and the Trades and Labor Council of Toronto, was held a few days ago in the rooms of the Ontario Association of Architects to consider whether an agreement might be reached in which matters under dispute between contractors and workmen might be adjusted by a board of arbitrators and strikes in the building trades avoided. The conference was of a very friendly character, and resulted in the ratification hy the persons present of a form of agreement submitted by Mr. W. L. Symons, President of the Ontario Association of Architects. Copies of this agreemant will be submitted to the Builders'

Vixchange and the Trades and Labor Council for further consideration and approval.

## NotF.S.

Mr. E. Rodigers, Building Inspector of Wimipeg, reports that up to the end of March, there were 127 permits granted for the erection of 215 buildings, at a total estimated cost of almost three-quarters of a million dollars, or to be exact, $\$ 719,000$. In comparison with the same perind of last year, the increase in the number of permits is 9 : in buildings $\$ 92 ;$ in expenditure, $\$ 202,5$ ho,
The Western Architect states that machine window glass is reaching the market and elicits most contradictory reports. Some lotsare reported as very straight and clear, but thin; while others are said to bee thin and anything but straight. A very high authority in the glasn trate expresses entire confidence in the ultimate success of the process, and says that the thinness is now being remedied.

## WROUGHT IRON FENCE

Forms a Perfect Protection a Neat Appearance
Forms a Perfect Protection and Will Last a Life Time


DESIGN NO. 432 .
FROM \$1.00 A FOOT UPWARDS.
SEND FOR BULLETIN NO. 17.

## Canada Foundry Company, Limited

 head office, toronto, ont.District Offices: Montreal Halifax Ottawa Winnipeg Vancouver Victoria Bossland

## HOT BLAST HEATING SYSTEMS

## FOR

## SCHOOLS, COLLECES, HOSPITALS, FACTORIES, AND PUBLIC BUILDINGS

Using Exhaust Steam or High or Low Pressure Live Steam. Plans and specifications submitted. Steam Specialties, Fans, Blowers and Exhausters of all kinds. Engines for High or Low Pressure
Steam. Particulars furnished on application to

NOTES.
The twin central towers on the Machinery Palace at the World's Fair are 265 feet high.
The sarpenters and joiners of Quebec, are demanding an eight hour day and as cents per hour.
Heilding pernits to the value of $\$ 300,000$ have been issued in Vancouver this year. An active season is looked for, the Building lospecters estimate beiog an expenditure of $\$ 2,000,000$ as compared uith $\$ 1,500,000$ last year. A large sum will be spent on the construction of housos, the demand for which greatly exceeds the supply.
One of the twelven massive bronze cornices which are being made for Sesator Willian: A. Clark's $\$ 5$, soo,oove resodence in Ne: York City, and two bronze statues for the siame structure, have reachod the Werld's Fair for exhibition. The cornices are thirteen feet high and eight teet in width. The style of archii. ecture is Louis XVI. The value of the twelve pieces is $\$ 100,000$. The statuex are two striking pieces in bronze by George Gray Barhave and are entitled "God Pan" and The Hewer." Each is cast in a single piece.

## BUSINESS NOTES.

The Kichter Mannfacturing Company, of Tenalfy, N.J., manutacturers of burlapo, propose to extablish a branch factory in Canada.
The Canadian Commercial agent at Sydney reports that in the Austratian markets there should ber a good opening for heat. iog apparatus. He thinks that in churches, schools and shops beyond tbe const line a manufacturer would find a good trade if considerable capizal were forthcoming to ensure an uttimately profitable business.

From the Aritish. Clayworker it is learned that Messra. James Buchanan and Sin, brick machinery manufacturerx, of Liverpool. have received an important orrder for the centire plant and machinery and ot her accossorien, for a new and large brick works
for Canada. The mwhinery is for Canada. The machinery is intended to treat shales, firc-clay, also surface clay, for making comoson, buff, red-facing bricks, cesses, to soit the different materials.


# ARCHITECTS! 

## LUXFER WINDOW PRISMS

Do not fear a little expense for good material.

It will pay you in the long run.
Your work is more satisfactory to your client and he is better pleased with what he has got.

## LUXFER FIREPROOF GLASS

Write us for prices or other information.

GLASS MOSAIC WALLS

## PAGES

## MISSING


[^0]:    *A series of lectures prepared for and delivered before the Province of
    Quebec Association of Aretitects, + In the Province of Quebec.
    +1903 , and published by permission.

[^1]:    The third edition of the Canadian ConThe book Hook is now on sale. larged to has been revised and sale. and the title thards of revised and enand the title changed to hundred pags,
    Contractor's Canadian contractor's Hand-Book the Cand Estimator, of performing various bearing on the cost ing been added. The price add.
    free, is $\$ 1.00$ to the third edition, mailed dan Architect subscribers of the Cana-publish-subscribers. Builder, and $\$ 1.50$ publishers,

    The C. H. Mortimer Publishing Co, of Toronto, Limited
    Confederation Life Bldg, Toronto. Imperial Building, Montreal.

[^2]:    T. A. MORRISON \& CJ. Pressed Bricks, Roman
    Manufactured Stone and Manufactured Stone and Contractors' Plant, Crushed Stone for Concrete, Etc. Mechanics' Bldg., MONTREAL

