



IMAGE EVALUATION
TEST TARGET (MT-3)









Photographic
Sciences
Corporation

23 WEST MAIN STREET
WEBSTER, N.Y. 14580
(716) 872-4503

Technical and Bibliographic Notes/Notes techniques et bibliographiques

The Institute has attempted to obtain the best original copy available for filming. Features of this copy which may be bibliographically unique, which may alter any of the images in the reproduction, or which may significantly change the usual method of filming, are checked below.

L'Institut a microfilmé le meilleur exemplaire qu'il lui a été possible de se procurer. Les détails de cet exemplaire qui sont peut-être uniques du point de vue bibliographique, qui peuvent modifier une image reproduite, ou qui peuvent exiger une modification dans la méthode normale de filmage sont indiqués ci-dessous.

- [x] Coloured covers/ Couverture de couleur
- [ ] Covers damaged/ Couverture endommagée
- [ ] Covers restored and/or laminated/ Couverture restaurée et/ou pelliculée
- [ ] Cover title missing/ Le titre de couverture manque
- [ ] Coloured maps/ Cartes géographiques en couleur
- [ ] Coloured ink (i.e. other than blue or black)/ Encre de couleur (i.e. autre que bleue ou noire)
- [ ] Coloured plates and/or illustrations/ Planches et/ou illustrations en couleur
- [ ] Bound with other material/ Relié avec d'autres documents
- [ ] Tight binding may cause shadows or distortion along interior margin/ La reliure serrée peut causer de l'ombre ou de la distortion le long de la marge intérieure
- [ ] Blank leaves added during restoration may appear within the text. Whenever possible, these have been omitted from filming/ Il se peut que certaines pages blanches ajoutées lors d'une restauration apparaissent dans le texte, mais, lorsque cela était possible, ces pages n'ont pas été filmées.
- [ ] Additional comments:/ Commentaires supplémentaires:

- [ ] Coloured pages/ Pages de couleur
- [ ] Pages damaged/ Pages endommagées
- [ ] Pages restored and/or laminated/ Pages restaurées et/ou pelliculées
- [x] Pages discoloured, stained or foxed/ Pages décolorées, tachetées ou piquées
- [ ] Pages detached/ Pages détachées
- [x] Showthrough/ Transparence
- [ ] Quality of print varies/ Qualité inégale de l'impression
- [ ] Includes supplementary material/ Comprend du matériel supplémentaire
- [ ] Only edition available/ Seule édition disponible
- [ ] Pages wholly or partially obscured by errata slips, tissues, etc., have been refilmed to ensure the best possible image/ Les pages totalement ou partiellement obscurcies par un feuillet d'errata, une pelure, etc., ont été filmées à nouveau de façon à obtenir la meilleure image possible.

This item is filmed at the reduction ratio checked below/
Ce document est filmé au taux de réduction indiqué ci-dessous.

10X	12X	14X	16X	18X	20X	22X	24X	26X	28X	30X	32X
						✓					





REPORTS

ON THE

IMPROVEMENT & ENLARGEMENT

OF THE

HARBOUR OF MONTREAL

BY

Messrs. McALPINE, KIRKWOOD, CHILDE, T. C. KEEFER
AND CHARLES LEGGE, Civil Engineers.

MONTREAL:
"GAZETTE" PRINTING HOUSE, CORNER ST. FRANÇOIS-XAVIER & CRAIG STS.
1873.

REPORTS

ON THE

IMPROVEMENT & ENLARGEMENT

OF THE

HARBOUR OF MONTREAL

BY

Messrs. McALPINE, KIRKWOOD, CHILDE, T. C. KEFER
AND CHARLES LEGGE, Civil Engineers.

MONTREAL:
"GAZETE" PRINTING HOUSE, CORNER ST. FRANÇOIS-XAVIER & CRAIG STS.
1873.

The subject of improving the Harbour of Montreal, and of providing increased accommodation and facilities for discharging and loading outward and inward cargoes, has long been before the public.; but the necessity of the work in consequence of the great increase of the commerce of the Port has now become more important than ever. The Harbour Commissioners having obtained the opinions of several eminent American and Canadian engineers, on this important question, the Council of the Board of Trade readily acceded to the suggestion of the Hon. John Young M. P., that these opinions, should be printed in a pamphlet form for the use of Members, and as the new Board of Harbor Commissioners is about being organized, these documents cannot fail to be interesting to the public, and useful to those engaged in the Commerce of the City.

MONTREAL, *24th June*, 1873.

WM. J. PATTERSON, ESQ.,
Secretary Board of Trade,
Montreal.

SIR,

Some time ago I addressed a letter to the Harbour Commissioners, suggesting that the Report on increasing Harbour accomodation, made by Messrs McAlpine, Kirkwood and Childes, should be published, as it was out of print; but at that time the Commissioners did not see the necessity of doing so.

As Mr. Tradeau, the Deputy Commissioner of Public Works has drawn up a plan for harbour enlargement which has been submitted to the Members of the Board of Trade and Corn Exchange, I would again suggest to your Board, the advisibility of printing for the use of Members, Messrs McAlpine, Kirkwood and Childe's Report. The cost of 1,000 copies would not exceed $25 or $35, but perhaps 500 would be sufficient. I make this request for its publication, because it was at the instance of the Board of Trade, and at its suggestion in 1857, that the then Harbour Commissioners, organized the above named gentlemen, to consider the subject of Harbour improvements.

The question is one of vital interest to the Commerce of Montreal, and the magnitude of the probable future trade, requires the most extensive accomodation, and to make available in the harbour, every natural advantage our position possesses, and thereby lessen harbour dues.

Messrs McAlpine, Kirkwood and Childe, are among the most eminent of American engineers. A great many of our young merchants have I daresay never seen their Report on our Harbour, and I trust the Council will accede to my suggestion of having it printed, with an extract from Mr. Keefer's Report in reference to covering the shoals below Point St. Charles with crib work.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your obedient servant,
JOHN YOUNG.

OFFICE BOARD OF TRADE.

Montreal, *3rd July*, 1873.

HON. JOHN YOUNG, M. P.,

Montreal.

DEAR SIR,

Referring to your letter of 24th ulto., suggesting that the Report of Messrs. McAlpine, Kirkwood, and Childs, on Harbor accommodation here, be re-printed at an expense of about $25 to $30 for 1,000 copies, I am now to inform you that the Council have voted the sum of *Forty* ($40.00) Dollars, towards defraying the expense of re-printing the document, along with the extract from Mr. Keefer's Report to which you refer.

I am to express the hope that, while the Council have not determined that the Report shall be re-published at the instance of the Board of Trade, the above mentioned definite amount appropriated, may warrant you in undertaking to have it reproduced in better style, perhaps, than you had at first contemplated.

I am, dear Sir,

Your obedient servant,

(Signed) WM. J. PATTERSON.

Secretary.

REPORT

OF

MESSRS. JOHN CHILDE, W. J. McALPINE AND JAS. P. KIRKWOOD,

CIVIL ENGINEERS,

ON THE

Improvement of the Harbour of Montreal,

AND ON THE

TRADE & NAVIGATION OF THE ST. LAWRENCE.

At a Meeting of the Montreal Harbour Commissioners, held on the 24th April, 1857, the following resolution was adopted:

"That in view of the augmenting trade of the Port, and of the proximate completion of the 20-feet Channel in Lake St. Peter, the Board are of opinion that the time has arrived for taking into consideration the question of increasing the capacity of the Harbour; and that, in order to attract public attention to the subject, and to elicit an expression of public opinion, it is resolved that the Report this day handed in by Mr. Young be published, and the Plans of Docks prepared by Mr. Forsyth be left for public inspection in the Merchants' Exchange."

HARBOUR OFFICE,
MONTREAL, 30th May, 1857.

JOHN G. DINNING, Esq.,
Secretary Board of Trade.

SIR,—I am directed by the Harbour Commissioners to transmit herewith, a copy of their Chairman's letter on the subject of the necessity for increased Harbour accommodation; and to beg that you will take an early opportunity of

submitting the same to the Council of the Board of Trade, in order to elicit from them an expression of opinion on this very important matter.

The Engineers' Plans, alluded to in Mr. Young's letter, will be left in the Merchants' Exchange this afternoon, and you will have the goodness to place them in the Reading-Room where they may be easily referred to.

I have the honor to be, Sir,

Your obedient servant,

ALEX. CLERK,
Secretary.

OFFICE OF THE BOARD OF TRADE,
MONTREAL, 9th February, 1857.

SIR,—I beg to subjoin an extract from the minutes of the Special General Meeting of the Board held yesterday, embodying a resolution to be submitted to the action of the Council at their first meeting, viz.:—

Resolved,—"That the Council of the Board be instructed to suggest to the Harbour Commissioners the propriety of placing the whole subject of Harbour enlargement before two or more qualified Engineers, to obtain estimates, and an opinion as to the place where increased accommodation can be secured at the least cost and with the greatest facilities to the commerce of the Port."

Have the goodness to place this before the Commissioners without delay.

I have the honor to be, Sir,

Your most ob'dt. servant,

JOHN G. DINNING,
Secretary.

ALEXANDER CLERK,
Secretary Harbor Commissioners.

LETTER OF INSTRUCTIONS TO THE BOARD OF ENGINEERS FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING ON INCREASED ACCOMMODATION IN THE HARBOUR OF MONTREAL.

HARBOUR COMMISSIONERS' OFFICE,
MONTREAL, 9th Nov., 1857.

GENTLEMEN,—In order to obtain the fullest benefit from your advice on the important question submitted to you as to the best means of providing additional Harbour accommodation at this Port, and, to give a direction to your investigations, the Harbour Commissioners would call your attention to the following points:—

1. As a preliminary,—Have the Commissioners, in your opinion, acted wisely in deepening Lake St. Peter, and otherwise improving the navigation between Montreal and Quebec. Or whether would it have been more advantageous to the Trade and Commerce of the Province not to have deepened Lake St. Peter, but to have allowed the interior vessel to proceed to Quebec, and there exchange cargoes with the ocean vessel? Should you be of opinion, on examination, that no adequate public advantage has resulted, or is likely to result, from improving the navigation below Montreal, so that vessels from sea drawing 20 feet may ascend at the lowest stage of water to this Port, it will then be a matter for your consideration and report, whether more extensive Harbour accommodation should be made at this point, or whether the necessary facilities should be provided at Quebec for the general trade of the interior.

2. The Commissioners have prosecuted the improvement of the navigation below Montreal, under the conviction that if a sailing vessel of 2000 or a steamer of 3000 tons could ascend from sea to this port without the necessity of lighterage, there to meet, at the foot of canal and inland navigation, the sailing or steam vessel of the interior, specially adapted to river and lake navigation, the cost of transport on imports and exports would thereby be reduced to a lower rate than if such inland vessels proceeded below this port, on a route which (by a comparatively small outlay) could be made available for the largest class of ocean steamers and sailing vessels. Your opinion on this point is requested.

3. Another consideration will present itself for your opinion before advising the Commissioners to proceed with any extensive improvements, namely, the magnitude of the trade of the interior and of the West, and whether it is possible to attract a large share of it to this port. The Commissioners desire to direct your attention to the fact, that although the magnificent canals on the St. Lawrence are in perfect order, and have been in operation since 1849, with a system of railways also in operation for two years, running from Quebec, and connecting with all points south and west, yet, up to the close of 1856, the St. Lawrence route has only succeeded in attracting fifteen per cent. of the Western Canadian and Western United States trade, eighty-five per cent. of that trade passing through the Erie Canal and over the railways of the State of New York. Should you, upon examination find, that with the improvements now going forward on the Erie Canal, the route West *via* Buffalo and Oswego is likely to continue to be the best and cheapest to the Eastern States, New York, and Europe, then this opinion must guide you as to the extent of the works which you would propose for further Harbour accommodation.

4. The Harbour Commissioners have been of opinion, that the St. Lawrence route, as a means of transport between Europe, the Eastern States, Western Canada, and the Western States, has not yet been fully developed; that if the Welland Canal were enlarged, so as to admit the passage of vessels of 1000 tons, and a Canal constructed to connect the St. Lawrence with Lake Champlain, of the same size and suitable facilities created in this port, so as to shorten the stay of the western and ocean vessel, and thus reduce the cost of insurance, storage and price of handling property, to the lowest possible rates,—a vast increase of trade would thereby be attracted to the St. Lawrence, to the great advantage not only of this port, but to the general public interests. It will be found that a vessel from sea in the port of Montreal is 120 miles nearer to ports on the Lakes than are any of the seaports on this continent; while the distance from Chicago, or from any other Lake port, to Liverpool, is 480 miles less *via* Montreal than *via* the port of New York. To these points your attention is directed.

5. Should your investigations as to the merits of the several channels of trade between the Atlantic and the interior, result in your finding that the port of Montreal possesses superior advantages as a depot for the transfer of cargo between the ocean and the upper Lake vessel, and that the St. Lawrence route may be made the cheapest to Great Britain and to other European countries, and also the best route to the Eastern States and to New York, then a large increase of Harbour accommodation becomes imperative, and the nature and site for the improvements in the Harbour will come next in order for your consideration and report, together with an estimate of the probable cost thereof.

6. On the question of site there has been much public discussion. It has been urged by some, that the improvements should be made in or near Hochelaga Bay; by others that docks could be constructed with advantage to the public at or near Viger Square; by others that a dock could be made by enclosing the present harbour; while another party recommends that the space between Windmill Point and Point St. Charles should be enclosed. On no one of these schemes have the Harbour Commissioners any opinion to offer. They desire to leave you at full liberty thoroughly to investigate and report on what seems to you best calculated to promote the general trade of the Province.

7. The Commissioners would refer you to plans, prepared under their directions by their Engineer, Mr. Forsyth, showing the proposed improvements in Hochelaga Bay, and at Point St. Charles; as well as to a report by their Chairman, Mr. Young, dated 23rd April, 1857, on the same subject; and also to a collection of various communications, which from time to time appeared in the public prints in favor of particular localities as sites for such improvements.

Should any further information be required by you, the Commissioners will be ready to furnish the same, so far as they may have it in their power to do so.

JOHN YOUNG, *Chairman*,
ALEX. CLERK, *Secretary*.

To JOHN CHILDE,
W. J. McALPINE,
JAS. P. KIRKWOOD,
} Esquires, Engineers.

CHICAGO, March 24th, 1858.

Hon. JOHN YOUNG,

Chairman of the Board of Harbour Commissioners of Montreal:

SIR,—Under your letter of instructions of the 9th of November last, [*a a*], the undersigned, together with their late associate, Captain John Childe, met at Montreal on the ninth day of Nov. last, and proceeded to examine the several sites proposed for an extension of the Harbour, and also of the works which have been in progress under the direction of the Harbour Commissioners during the last four years for the improvement of the channel of the St. Lawrence below Montreal.

They also examined the River between Montreal and Quebec, and the system of lights and buoys which have been placed there by the Trinity Board and Harbour Commissioners of Montreal.

After making these personal examinations, and discussing the various subjects to which their attention was called by your letter of instructions, and deciding upon the general outline of the Report, the preparation of its different portions was allotted to each of the members of the Board.

An ardous portion of the examination was undertaken by Captain Childe, and was prosecuted with his usual zeal and earnestness until his last fatal illness.

The compilation of the labors of the other members of the Commission, and the final arrangement of the Report, was also assumed by Captain Childe; but his lamented death, in February last, prevented the completion of either of these duties.

His family have placed in our hands the voluminous notes and memoranda which he had with great industry prepared and collected, and we have incorporated his opinions, both written and verbal, as far as it was possible, in the following Report.

The undersigned have felt it necessary to make these explanations in apology for the delay in the final completion of the Report.

The result of their investigations on the several subjects stated in your letter of instructions are given, but not in all cases in the order mentioned.

In connection with this Report, they present various communications which they have received from mercantile gentlemen, and from the Trinity Board of Quebec, on several subjects which they have had under consideration.

They also present the Estimates and Plans of the proposed Harbour at Montreal, prepared by your Engineer, Mr. Forsyth.

We take pleasure in alluding to the professional skill and ability desplayed by Mr. Forsyth in the preparation of these plans and estimates, and in his courtesy in furnishing us with every aid in his power to enable us to examine and readily understand the plans and projects brought before us.

Respectfully submitted,

WM. J. McALPINE
JAMES P. KIRKWOOD.

REPORT.

A glance at the map of North America will show nearly at its geographical centre a plateau from which navigable streams flow into the ocean to the North, South, and East. (*a*)

This plateau, scarcely two thousand feet above the level of the sea, is approached from the South by the Mississippi River, which forms a natural navigation for two thousand miles, to the Gulf of Mexico; from the East by the St. Lawrence and chain of lakes, giving a natural navigation to the Atlantic for a distance of two thousand five hundred miles; and from the North by the Rivers Saskatchawan, Red, and Severn, which have a natural though not continuous navigation for more than one thousand miles, to Hudson's Bay. (*b*)

The territory lying to the east and south of this remarkable plateau, in the salubrity of its climate, in the fertility of its soil, in its varied productions, and in its extent and ready access to the great markets of the world, combines advantages seperior to those of any other portion of the globe.

Its discovery, settlement, and developement, have followed

(*a*) See Map in the Appendix.

(*b*) See Appendix, note B.

each other so rapidly, that its history must almost be written annually to give any correct statement of its present condition, or to furnish the basis of an estimate of its future importance and influence upon the trade and commerce of the world. (*d*)

The district to which this reference has been made embraces seven of the North-western United States and Canada West. (*e*) It contains nearly four hundred thousand square miles of land susceptible of the highest degree of cultivation, and is now occupied by eight millions and a half of people. To the north of this district there is a large area of sparsely-settled country. The portion which lies within the drainage of the Saskatchawan and Red Rivers possesses a climate and soil analogous to the southern water-shed of the Baltic.

To the west is another large area of land watered by the upper Missouri, and of equal fertility to that lying along the upper Mississippi, into which settlements have already been rapidly and extensively made.

The latitude of the north shore of Lake Superior corresponds with the south of England, and passes through central Europe and Asia; but its isothermal line, which passes through Sweden, Norway, Central Asia, and China, takes a north-western direction from Lake Superior, and in the valley of the Saskatchawan is removed fourteen degrees of latitude to the north. The Isothermal line of this valley for the summer corresponds with that of France and Central Europe. The mild climate which is thus indicated, ripens corn and wheat, and renders it a desirable district for the large immigration which has been recently arriving on this continent from the North of Europe.

These great districts, in connection with that portion of the continent lying to the eastward, contain within themselves all of the elements for the support of the most prosperous nation on the globe. The forest contains the finest timber, the earth the most valuable minerals, and the soil produces the largest crops of the most valuable cereals.

The natural advantages of this interior district, situated at from one to two thousand miles from the ocean, would have been almost valueless except for the magnificent water-lines which surround and penetrate it, and furnish so cheap a tran-

(*d*) See Appendix, note D.

(*e*) See Appendix, note E.

sport for the immense commerce which has grown up between it and the great markets of the world.

Half a century ago this region contained only straggling forts and trading Posts, and now it contains nearly one third of the population north of the Gulf of Mexico.

Immigration from the Eastern States and from the Old World has poured into it like those earlier living streams that flowed from the North over Southern Europe, but unlike them it has been a flood of civilization over solitudes and barbarism.

Its future none may predict; but judging from the past, it will year by year assume more importance, and will warrant the largest expenditures to accommodate the vast trade which it will furnish to its outlet-channels of commerce.

For this trade as it now exists, the most costly works have been constructed to secure its advantages, to each of the great north-eastern Atlantic cities.

The Canadas have improved the St. Lawrence by a series of magnificent canals round the rapids of the river, and by a canal to connect Lakes Ontario and Erie; New York has spaned the portage between the Hudson and the Lakes by canals of great length; Pennsylvania has connected the Atlantic with the Ohio River by a canal carried over the Alleghany Mountains; Maryland and Virginia have expended large sums in extending their canals, projected across the same barrier; while individual enterprise has extended railways from the Atlantic almost to the extremity of the North-west through Canada, New England, New York, Pennsylvania, and Maryland.

In these works there has been expended, eastward of the district of which we are treating, more than four hundred millions of dollars, and an equal sum within it, to connect the interior with the land and water lines which form its outlet-channels of trade. (*f*)

The value of the commerce of the Lakes in 1855 was ascertained to be more than six hundred millions of dollars, and at this time it may be taken at seven hundred millions. The value of the commerce of New York canals is two hundred millions of dollars; of the St. Lawrence is one hundred millions; and of the five trunk-lines of railroads is probably equal to three hundred millions.

The value of the vessels employed on the Lakes is fifteen

millions of dollars, and those employed in this trade on the canals and rivers east of the Lakes are valued at an equal sum. (*h*)

A statement of the leading features and amount of the business done at the most important Lake ports, in 1856 and 1857 will be found in the notes attached to this Report, (*i*)

The two natural outlet-channels for the trade of the north-west are the Mississippi and the St. Lawrence Rivers.

The Ohio River, which runs along the southern border of this territory is navigable for one thousand miles, to its junction with the Mississippi. Around the falls at Louisville, a canal has been built which is used in low water, and which allows the passage of vessels of three hundred and fifty tons.

The Mississippi, the upper portion of which runs along the western border of the territory, is navigable from the Falls of St. Anthony, for two thousand and forty-nine miles to the Gulf of Mexico. At the head of navigation it has an elevation of eight hundred and fifty feet above the sea, and has an average fall of five inches per mile. The Rock Island and Des Moine rapids are navigable except in low stages of water.

The great chain of Lakes, which run through the northern portions of the territory, connects with the River and Gulf of St. Lawrence, and gives a continuous navigation for two thousand five hundred miles, to the ocean.

Lake Superior is six hundred feet above the level of the sea, and is twenty-seven feet above the level of Lakes Huron and Michigan. At the outlet of Lake Superior there is a canal one mile in length, and it has two locks which will pass vessels of two thousand tons. The Niagara River between Lakes Erie and Ontario, has a fall of two hundred and seventy feet. The Welland Canal which connects the navigation between these Lakes is twenty-eight miles long, with twenty-seven locks which will pass vessels of four hundred tons. The St. Lawrence River from the east end of Lake Ontario to Montreal has a fall of two hundred and twenty feet, which is overcome by seven short canals, of an aggregate length of forty-seven miles, with twenty-seven locks which will pass vessels of eight hundred tons.

The Channel of the St. Lawrence has been deepened so that sea-going vessels drawing eighteen feet at the lowest stage of

water come up the river as high as Montreal, and operations are now in progress by which a channel of twenty feet will be given.

All of these works excepting that at the outlet of Lake Superior have been constructed by the Canadian Government.

The State of New York has built a canal from Buffalo on Lake Erie, and from Oswego on Lake Ontario, to Albany on the Hudson River, a combined length of five hundred and sixty-nine miles, with locks which allow the passage of boats of two hundred and fifty tons.*

She has also constructed a canal sixty-five miles long from the Hudson River to Lake Champlain, with locks which will pass boats of eighty tons; and from the northern end of Lake Champlain the Canadian Government has constructed a canal twelve miles long and locks in the Richelieu River, which will allow vessels of three hundred tons to pass between the St. Lawrence and Lake Champlain.

The State of Pennsylvania has constructed a canal from Chesapeake Bay, for three hundred and fifty-four miles (including thirty-seven miles of railroad), to the Ohio River, with locks which will pass vessels of sixty tons.

The States of Maryland and Virginia have constructed canals from tide-water to the eastern base of the Alleghany Mountains, but have not yet extended them to the Ohio River, as originally projected.

The States of Ohio has constructed six hundred and forty-six miles of canal of the same size as the New York canals, to connect the Ohio River with Lake Erie in three places.

The States of Indiana has constructed four hundred and sixty nine miles of canals of the same size and for the same purpose as the Ohio canals.

The State of Illinois has constructed a canal of one hundred and two miles long, with locks which will allow the passage of boats of one hundred and fifty tons between Lake Michigan and the Illinois and Mississipi Rivers.

The State of Wisconsin has constructed canals and a slack-water navigation, which will allow vessels of three hundred tons to pass from Lake Michigan and Greenbay to the Wisconsin and Mississipi Rivers.

* These canals were originally built with locks which allowed the passage of boats of 69 tons; the locks are now enlarged to the size above stated, and the enlarged channel-way is nearly completed. The dimensions of the boats are those which the canal will pass when fully completed.

The trunk lines of railways have been constructed by individual enterprise, assisted in some cases by the Government.

The first of these trunk lines extends from a point 140 miles below Quebec, and from Portland, through both Eastern and Western Canada to the State of Michigan.

The second extends from Boston to Montreal and the eastern end to Lake Ontario, and to Albany, where it connects with the Central line through the State of New York

The third extends by two lines from the city of New York to Lake Erie, where it is connected with the Canadian line leading westward, and by another line along the southern shore of Lake Erie, to Chicago, branching off to Cincinnati on the Ohio and St. Louis on the Mississippi.

The fourth extends from Philadelphia to the Ohio River, and thence to Cincinnati, St. Louis, and Chicago, connecting with the third line at Cleveland on Lake Erie.

The fifth extends from Baltimore to the Ohio River, and thence to Cincinnati, where it connects with the other lines leading westward.

The first line is also extended across the State of Michigan by two roads, one leading to Grand Haven on Lake Michigan and the other to Chicago.

From Chicago seven lines of Railways have been opened to the Mississippi at Cairo, St. Louis, Alton, Quincy, Burlington, Rock Island, Fulton, Dubuque, and Prairie du Chien, and two lines northward, to Fond-du-Lac and Milwaukee.

From each of these main trunk lines, others radiate in every direction, reticulating almost every part of the territory in question. Some of these might also be included among the trunk lines, while others are but tributaries to the main lines which have been above noted.

This territory of the Northwest, as thus described, has within itself a natural navigation of eight thousand miles, an artificial water navigation of one thousand miles, and eight thousand miles of railway in operation, besides a thousand miles in progress.

From the preceding sketch, it will be seen that the territory in question has two natural and two artificial water lines leading to the ocean, besides five great trunk railways extending to the Atlantic seaboard.

The ocean ports at the termini of the two natural water-lines are Montreal and New Orleans, and the termini of the artificial water-lines are New York and Philadelphia.

The ocean ports at the termini of the trunk railways are Quebec, Portland, Boston, New York, Philadelphia, and Baltimore. (*k*)

The relative value of the several lines for the transport of the trade and commerce between the territory and the Atlantic will now be examined.

The Ohio and Mississippi Rivers have a current of from one to three miles an hour in the direction of the greatest movement of the tonnage. These rivers are broad and very shallow in ordinary low water, obstructed by sandbars, and by snags on the lower half of the voyage. The channels are tortuous and in some places very changeable, and can only be navigated by steamboats of a peculiar character, having small draft of water and propelled by powerful machinery which must be made as light as possible and cannot therefore be adapted to the economical use of fuel.

The cost of transport by this route is therefore more expensive than it would be, considered without reference to the peculiarities of the navigation and of the vessels employed. (*l*)

At New Orleans (to ocean terminus) there is a limited demand for the agricultural productions of the upper portion of the these rivers, the country adjacent to the lower portion being nearly sufficient to furnish the requisite supply of these articles.

The vessels from that port have large export cargoes of the productions of the lower country and a very limited amount of return freight, and have an increased length of voyage to reach European ports compared with those sailing from the north-eastern Atlantic ports.

The climate of the lower country injuriously affects most of the products of the northwest, and diminishes their value when shipped by that route.

The expense of transfering cargo at New Orleans is much greater than at the north-east. All of these circumstances combine to the prejudice of this route, and confine the exports

(*k*) See Appendix Note K.
(*l*) See Appendix Note L.

by it, from the territory in question, to a narrow belt along the Ohio and along the upper Mississippi between Cairo and the Des Moines Rapids.

The canals of Pennsylvania drain but a small area along the upper Ohio, in consequence of their limited size, great lockage, and disconnected water-lines.

The determination of the question of the best route for the water-borne trade is therefore reduced to a comparison between the routes through the State of New York and that along the St. Lawrence.

For the present purpose each of these routes will be examined as if they had already been improved and completed upon the most advantageous plans, which the anticipated extent of the trade through them would warrant. (*m*)

With this view the cost of transport on the Erie and Oswego canals will be taken, as if they were enlarged throughout. The Caughnawaga Canal, from the St. Lawrence to Lake Champlain, will be considered as completed on the same scale as the St. Lawrence Canals; and the Champlain Canal will be regarded as also enlarged to the same dimensions.

The locks on the Welland Canal will be considered as enlarged to the same capacity as those on the St. Lawrence Canals; and the St. Lawrence River between Montreal and Quebec will be regarded as improved so as to allow sea-going vessels of a capacity for twenty feet of water-draft, to meet the lake craft side by side in a safe and commodious harbour at the former port.

It has been ascertained that the tonnage of the exports * from an agricultural district is four times as great as the tonnage of its imports, when they are of equal value.

The surplusses of the territory in question are mostly raw and heavy commodities, embracing the cereals and lumber, and a small amount (relatively) of flour and salted meats; and in return for these articles of export, are demanded the manufactures and merchandise from the East, and groceries from the East and South; while the prairie country of the south-western portion of the territory requires also large supplies of lumber

(*m*) See Apendix Note M.

* By the word "exports," as used in this place, is to be understood the surplus not required for consumption within the district.

from the northern and north-eastern portions of the district. (*n*)

The raw commodities, and those of considerable bulk or weight in proportion to their value, must necessarily be transported by the cheapest conveyance, almost irrespective of the rapidity of movement.

These classes include by far the largest amount of tonnage moved.

Next in importance are the bulky and heavy articles of manufactures, merchandise and groceries, when cheapness of transport is important, but where greater celerity and certainty of movement are required than in the first-mentioned class.

Articles of great value in proportion to their bulk or weight, and those of a perishable character, require the more speedy transport, almost without regard to the cost of conveyance.

Sailing vessels furnish the cheapest transport, and are chiefly employed in the conveyance of the first class; steamboats and propellers are required for the second class; and the railways enjoy the monopoly of the last of the classes above mentioned.

Some of the articles embraced in these classes are conveyed exclusively by sail-vessels, some by steam-vessels, and some by the railways; but for many others there is a strong competition between the different modes of transport, the more rapid but expensive ones drawing to themselves the conveyance of articles which belong to the cheaper modes of transport. (*o*)

The lateness of the season, the demand for or value of the article in market, or the necessities of the owner, frequently change the movement from a slower to a more speedy conveyance.

During the last ten years important changes have taken place, which modify to a considerable extent the conclusions which were arrived at by those who have examined the cost of transport at an earlier day than the present.

The demands of trade in its infancy required only the smallest class of vessels; as it increased, the demand was at first met by an increased *number* of vessels, which, for the convenience of the architect, was confined to the same model and

(*n*) See Appendix, Note N.
(*o*) See Appendix, Note O.

size. When the trade upon any particular route became important, vessels of improved models and increased size were introduced. The prejudices of navigators were for a long period opposed to any considerable increase in the size of vessels; but the large increase of the mercantile navy of the last quarter of a century has, year by year, led to the substitution of ships of increased size. (*p*)

The packet-ships from the port of New York have been enlarged from two hundred and fifty to fifteen hundred tons, and steamers two to three thousand tons are now in common use upon the ocean.

The limited trade of the lakes was accommodated at first by a small class of vessels. This trade has increased with great rapidity, and, wherever it has become of sufficient importance to warrant the use of large vessels, they have been supplied; so that at this time a considerable portion of this trade is done in vessels of from two to five times the size of those employed a few years since.

It has been found that the cost of building, equipping, and running the larger vessels does not increase in the same proportion as the increase in their capacity; and therefore that the cost of transport is reduced by increasing the size of the vessel, whenever there is sufficient amount of trade to ensure full cargoes.

The best-informed navigators upon the lakes estimate the cost of transport in the largest class of vessels now employed, at one-fourth less than in the small vessels which were formerly exclusively employed in the lake trade.

The increasing demands of trade and the competition of the railways have called into use a class of steam-vessels denominated propellers, of great carrying capacity, and with engines adapted to a slow speed, so as to obtain the greatest economy of fuel.

Those of this class first built were small vessels, but it was soon ascertained that the greatest economy was attained by enlarging them to the greatest size that the lake harbours would admit.

The economy with which these vessels are run, combined with the greater celerity and certainty of their voyages, ena-

(*p*) See Appendix, Note P.

bles them to share with sailing vessels the carriage of the bulky and cheap articles going towards tide-water; and as their charges are so much lower than those of the railway, and their deliveries are as prompt and but little longer, they have rapidly drawn to themselves a larger portion of the business which had begun to seek the latter; and thus, by generally securing full cargoes in both directions, they have effected a material reduction in the charges of freight both ways.

The grain trade of the lakes *now* requires the handling of a million of tons per annum at each end of the route, and at each transfer of cargo. At the principal shipping ports on the lakes warehouses of great capacity have been erected, into which the grain is elevated by steam power from cars or waggons, weighed and held in store, and loaded into vessels, at less than one-fourth the cost of the former hand method. (*q*)

The vessel being loaded in much less time, her port expenses are very much reduced, which again results in diminishing the cost of transport.

Similar arrangements will doubtless soon be brought into use for transferring of other freight, which, with the continued improvements yearly made in every branch of transport, will prove an additional stimulant to this trade, already so large.

In comparing the routes through the State of New York with each other and with the St. Lawrence, it is necessary to observe, that by the way of Buffalo and Oswego a transhipment must be made from the lake vessels to canal boats, and that the extra cost of canal transport and heavy tolls must be added to these routes; while by the way of Lake Champlain to New York, and by the St. Lawrence to Montreal, no transhipment is required, and the extra cost of the movement on the canal and of tolls is very much reduced.

The cost of transport by all these routes except that by the way of Buffalo, will be greatly reduced by the enlargement of the locks of the Welland Canal.

These locks, enlarged to the same size as those on the St. Lawrence Canals (with some additional length), and those on the St. Lawrence also lengthened, would allow the use of ves-

(*q*) See Appendix, Note O.

sels of eight hundred and fifty tons, which is probably as large as could enter the Lake harbors.

The advantage of the use of such large vessels, making such long voyages will reduce the cost of transport by the other three routes so much less than that by the way of Buffalo, so as to divert a large portion of the Western trade from that port, through the Welland Canal, and the other route to New York and Montreal.

The cost of the new locks on the Welland Canal, and of some improvements in the channel is estimated at two millions one hundred and fifty thousand dollars. (*r*)

It is believed that the increased business that would be diverted through it, together with the natural increase of the trade, would warrant the construction of these enlarged locks at as early a day as they could be built.

From the computations which follow, it will be seen that the cost of transport to New York by the way of the proposed Caughnawaga and enlarged Champlain Canals in ordinary vessels is less than by the way of Oswego.

The Champlain route thus improved will have the further advantage of the more economic use of vessels of the largest class proceeding from any port on the Lakes directly to New York, without breaking bulk, and also the diminished length of canal navigation by that route.

The construction of the Caughnawaga Canal will enable such vessels to land and receive cargo at Burlington and Whitehall, from whence Western freights can be carried to and from Boston by railways cheaper than by any other railroad route to that city.

This canal would thus open a considerable portion of Western New England to this route, and add very largely to the revenues of the Welland and St. Lawrence Canals, and also give value to the railways of Western New England which terminate at Lake Champlain, and those connected with such roads, many of which are now unproductive.

The Caughnawaga Canal built, the State of New York would not long hesitate in the enlargement of the Champlain Canal, so as to allow the largest Lake craft to come directly to her seaport.

[*r*] See Appendix, Note R

The route by the St. Lawrence to Montreal requires to be next noticed.

It will possess advantages equal to all those which have been mentioned in any of the other routes named, in the improvements in navigation, the increase in the size of vessels, in their improved models, in the facilities for loading and discharging cargoes at both ends of their routes, in the length of voyages without transhipment, in having the least distance between any of the lake ports and the seaport, and in having the shortest length of taxed canal navigation.

When this route has been improved in the manner already suggested (the details of which will be subsequently discussed), there can be no question but it will draw enough of the Western trade to amply repay the cost of the works which have been proposed.

In addition to the advantages which have been already stated, in each of which it shares equally with all the other routes, it possesses a water power located at its eastern extremity, which may be considered of unlimited capacity.

The value of a water-power thus located will be appreciated when it is considered that throughout the whole grain-growing region of the West there is almost none, certainly no amount of water-power at all adequate to the manufacture of the immense quantity of cereals which must be exported from that region.

The value of such a power is enhanced by being located in close contiguity to the dense population along the Atlantic, where the offal has the greatest value, and it is also increased because it can be directly reached by lake craft without transhipment or drayage.

The whole available power at Black Rock, Rockport, Rochester and Oswego has been already occupied. (*s*)

These places are at a great distance from the seaboard. At Black Rock and Oswego the Lake vessels can discharge grain into the flouring-mills, and the manufactured flour can be loaded directly from the mills into canal boats. At the other places named, grain to be floured must be subjected to an extra transhipment, the cost of canal transport, and in many cases to an expensive drayage.

[*s*] See Appendix, Notes S. T. U.

The plan of the contemplated harbor at Montreal provides for a large water-power, with the means of increasing it almost without limit, and so located that Lake vessels may discharge their cargoes of grain, designed for manufacture, lying alongside the flouring-mills, and the grain so manufactured can be delivered on board the ocean ship or steamer as well as on cars for direct transport to the East, without drayage. (*t*)

The rapid growth of the trade at Oswego will best serve to illustrate the advantages which would be enjoyed at Montreal by the construction of the proposed works. The present condition of the trade at Oswego is not alone due to the cheapness of the greater length of untaxed Lake navigation which it enjoys, but to that cause combined with the advantage of receiving and manufacturing grain without the transhipment or cartage. (*u*)

At the port of New York there is no water-power, and Western grain designed for export from that port is subjected to the expenses of transhipment at the place where it is manufactured, or to the extra cost of the transport of the raw material on the ocean.

These expenses will be obviated by the consignment of grain to Montreal, and it will there have another advantage in the better condition in which flour will be shipped, as the barrels will not be liable to any damage or loss in the movement, or in the exposure to the weather. This cannot be assumed at less than twenty-five cents per barrel, or five per cent on the cost of the article.

A considerable portion of the surplus of the West is required for consumption in the manufacturing districts of New England, and at the fisheries at the outlet of the St. Lawrence. (*v*)

The interior of New England can be supplied from Montreal cheaper than from any other direction, and the monopoly of this trade may be counted upon as belonging to this route.

The supply to the fisheries can also be obtained through this route as cheap as by any other.

The British Government, by an enlightened policy, has thrown open the navigation of the St. Lawrence free to all nations, and the products of the Western States may be ex-

[*v*] See Appendix, Note V.

changed at Montreal for the products of any other country, free from any duty to the English Government. The cheapness, shortness, and other advantages of this route, when fully appreciated, will doubtless attract to it so considerable a share of the Western trade, as to warrant the expenditure proposed for the enlargement of the locks of the Welland Canal, and for the proposed harbor improvement at Montreal.

The following table of the cost of transport per ton by the several routes is made up from Chicago, as a starting-point common to all, from which vessels of eight hundred tons will perform the duty as far eastward as they can be navigated on each route. (*w*)

The routes through the Erie Canal, both by the way of Buffalo and of Oswego, will require the voyage of the large vessels to terminate at those ports, and the cargo to be transferred into canal boats of two hundred and fifty tons.

The route through Lake Champlain to New York, and that through the St. Lawrence to Montreal, will allow the large vessels to proceed directly to those ports without transfer of cargo.

TABLE.

First.—From Chicago to New York by the way of the Lake to Buffalo, the Erie Canal, and the Hudson River to New York.

	By Sailing Vessels.	By Steam Vessels.
From Chicago to Buffalo, 914 miles Lake navigation, at 2 and 3½ mills	$1.83	$3.20
" Buffalo to West Troy, 353 " Canal " at 8 mills	2.82	2.82
" West Troy to New York 151 " River " at 3 and 5 mills	0.45	0.76
Transferring cargo at Buffalo	0.20	0.20
1418 miles	$5.30	$6.98

Second.—From Chicago to New York by the way of the Lakes and Welland Canal to Oswego, and thence by the Oswego and Erie Canals and the Hudson Rriver to New York.

From Chicago to Oswego, 1057 miles Lake navigation, 2 and 3½ mills	$2.11	$3.70
Additional expense on Welland Canal, 28 miles, 3 mills	0.8	0.8

[*w*] See Appendix, Note W.

	By Sailing Vessels.	By Steam Vessels.
From Oswego to West Troy, 202 miles Canal navigation, 8 mills	1.62	1.62
From West Troy to New York, 151 miles River navigation, 3 and 5 mills	0.45	0.76
Transferring cargo at Oswego	0.20	0.20
1410 miles	$4.46	$4.46

Third.—From Chicago to New York by the way of the Lakes, the Welland, St. Lawrence, Caughnawaga and Champlain Canals and the Hudson River to New York.

From Chicago to New York, 1632 miles, at 2 and 3½ mills	$3.26	$5.71
Additional expenses on the Welland, St. Lawrence, Caughnawaga and Champlain Canals, 167 miles, 3 mills	0.50	0.50
1632 miles	$3.76	$6.21

Fourth.—From Chicago to Montreal by way of the Lakes and River St. Lawrence and the Welland and St. Lawrence Canals.

From Chicago to Montreal, 1278 miles, at 2 and 3½ mills	$2.56	$4.57
Additional expense in the St. Lawrence and Welland Canals, 75 miles, at 3 mills	0.22	0.22
1287 miles	$2.78	$4.69

The comparison of the routes by railroad from the termination of the voyages of the large vessels to certain points is as follows:—

Ftrst.—From Chicago to Buffalo by Lake vessels, and thence to New York by railroad.

From Chicago to Buffalo, 914 miles, as before	$1.83	$4.20
" Buffalo to New York, 444 " Railroad, at 1½ cts.	6.66	6.66
Transferring cargo at Buffalo	0.20	0.20
1358 miles	$8.69	$10.06

Second.—From Chicago to Oswego by Lake vessels, and thence to New York by Railroad.

From Chicago to Oswego, 1067 miles, as before	$2.19	$3.88
" Oswego to New York, 327 " by Railroad, at 1½c	4.90	4.90
Transferring cargo at Oswego	0.20	0.20
1284 miles	$7.29	$8.88

Third.—From Chicago to Whitehall by Lake vessels, and thence to New York by Railroad.

From Chicago to Whitehall, 1415 miles, at 2 and 3½ mills. Additional expense of Welland, St. Lawrence and Caughnawaga Canals, 101 miles, at 3 mills	0.30	0.30
From Whitehall to New York, 223 miles by Railroad, at 1½ cents	3.35	3.35

	By Sailing Vessels.	By Steam Vessels.
Transferring cargo at Whitehall	0.10	0.20
1638 miles.....	$6.68	$8.80

Fourth.—From Chicago to Whitehall by Lake vessels, and thence to Boston by Railroad.

From Chicago to Whitehall, 1415 miles, and transferring cargo, as in No. 3.....	½3.33	$5.45
" Whitehall to Boston, 191 " by Railroad, 1½ c.	2.87	2.87
1606 miles.....	$6.20	$8.22

Fifth.—From Chicago to Burlington by Lake vessels, and thence to Boston by Railroad.

From Chicago to Burlington, 1351 miles, at 2 and 3½ mills	$2.70	$4.73
Additional expenses of Welland, St. Lawrence, and Caughnawaga Canals, 101 miles, at 3 mills.....	0.70	0.30
From Burlington to Boston, 253 miles, at 1½ cents.....	3.87	3.87
Transferring cargo at Burlington.....	0.20	0.30
1608 miles.....	$.07	$9.10

Sixth.—From Chicago to Montreal by Lake vessels, and thence to Boston by Railroad.

From Chicago to Montreal, 1278 miles, as before.....	$2.78	$4.69
" Montreal to Boston, 341 " by Railroad, 1½ cts	5.12	$6.12
Transferring cargo at Montreal.....	0.20	0.20
1610 miles.....	$8·10	$10.1

Seventh.—From Chicago to Montreal by Lake vessels, and thence to Portland by Railroad.

From Chicago to Montreal, as before, 1278 miles.....	$2.78	$4.66
" Montreal to Portland by R. road, 292 " at 1½ cts	$2.78	$4.38
Transferring cargo at Montreal.....	0.20	0.20
1570 miles.....	$7.36	$9.28

It is obviously impossible to incorporate in such estimates all of the incidental advantages of some of the routes to which allusions have been made; but as these would not affect the general conclusions which are derived from the tables, a more particular consideration of them is not deemed necessary.

These tables of the cost of transport, as has been already mentioned, do not show the present charges by the several routes, but are only intended to exhibit the comparative cost of each route when they have been fully improved in the manner which has been previously stated.

The present charges for transport between the West and the Atlantic are more in favor of the routes to New York than the above tables would indicate; but any conclusions drawn

from the present conditions would be obviously, of only temporary application. (*a*)

Having brought the cost of transport from the interior to Montreal, the next point for consideration is as to the expediency of continuing the lake vessel to Quebec, or of bringing the sea-going vessel to Montreal. Our late associate, Mr. Childe, had fully examined this question, and we quote from his notes as follow:—

"It has been already shown that the shortest and cheapest route from Chicago to tide water is via the St. Lawrence, and it is admitted by all commercial men that unobstructed transport trade will always take the shortest and cheapest route. As a question of practical economy, it must also be admitted, without the necessity of argument, that vessels properly constructed for the lake and river traffic west of Montreal, will be neither safe nor profitable for the gulf and ocean; nor, on the other hand, would the deeper build of sea-going vessels be suitable for the canals and shallow parts of the river and lakes. It follows, then, that a port of transhipment must be provided. The natural course of Canadian trade and population has from an early period made Quebec and Montreal prominent centres of both upon the river. These cities divide the river trade, and are together capable of affording all the facilities that the future commerce of the river may require. The differences peculiar to each seem to spring solely from natural causes, to wit: at Quebec the river harbor is deep and broad, the channel from thence to the ocean has always been unobstructed and sufficient for the largest class of vessels. The changes of tidal level (13 and 18 feet respectively for summer and spring) would be detrimental to general traffic, but are of very great advantage in the landing, preparation, and shipment of timber, which is chiefly transported in rafts from the upper country to Quebec. For such reasons the immense timber and lumber trade of the provinces will doubtless continue to be transacted at Quebec.

"Quebec and Montreal must enjoy a very large increase of general traffic by the increase of population in their respective districts, and also by all public works, which serve to expedite and cheapen the collection, transportation, and distribution of

(*a*) See Appendix, Note A.

produce and merchandise whether inward or outward bound via the St. Lawrence route.

"It is apparent that the position of Montreal, at the head of ocean navigation and at the foot of the lowest rapids, possesses certain advantages peculiar to itself. It is surrounded by a more populous and fertile region of country, at the confluence of the St. Lawrence, Ottawa, and Lake Champlain routes of trade, and the focus towards which the continuous influences of railways and the natural and artificial water-channels of the West and North-west will more and more concentrate the trade of the lake countries. These countries now number eight millions of people: at the close of another century they will probably come up to twenty millions.

"We notice also, as a proof of the eligible commercial position of Montreal, that in the years preceding 1856, during which the corn laws of England and all differential duties favoring the direct export and import trade with the Canadas had been repealed, and the bonding system of the United States and the reciprocity treaty with that country established, the ports of the United States became virtually *free* to Canadian trade, thereby diverting from the St. Lawrence route $\frac{13}{16}$ of the Canadian cereal exports and $\frac{5}{8}$ of all imports. Yet the imports into Montreal increased at the same time fifty per cent, but $\frac{1}{16}$ of this increase appears to have accrued on the first year of the reciprocity trade with the United States."

"In 1855 the total imports by the river are stated at.....	$11,494,028
Total imports from United States ports...	20,825,432
Making total imports.....	$32,319,460

of which Montreal absorbed $12,372,580, or over $\frac{3}{8}$ of the whole; and $878,552 more than the total imports that year by the river.

Thus showing Montreal to be largely on the increase, notwithstanding the diversion of the trade from the river to other routes, via Portland, Boston and New York. (*b*)

"But the true interests of Canada, and of the North-Western Lake States, requires that that trade and its future increase shall be restored to the shorter and cheaper route via the St. Lawrence, not by restrictive Governmental enactments,

(*b*) See Appendix, Note R.

but by perfected canals, deepened channels, numerous light-houses, and well instructed pilots.

"Other local considerations point to Montreal as the sea-port of the West, and as the proper point of transhipment between sea-going and interior Lake vessels.

"1st. Because the larger sea-going vessels can continue their voyage from Quebec to Montreal, one hundred and eighty miles, at less cost per ton than would attend running the smaller interior vessels from Montreal to Quebec; for with the completed twenty feet channel and corresponding harbour extension at Montreal, there is no reason to apprehend extra risk or detention.

"For instance a steamer of medium size arrives at Quebec fully loaded with 1200 tons of goods, 250 for Quebec and 950 for Montreal and the West, with an average of 100 passengers. After discharging the Quebec freights her actual expenses to Montreal and back will be as follows, exclusive of lake dues, which ought to be rescinded on the completion of the new channel, if not before:

Pilotage up and down,	$107
Wharfage at Montreal 12 days,	100
Coals consumed, average 70 tons ($280)	280
Sums expended in running up and down and mooring at wharves two days, for which the pay and subsistence of officers and men will be	140
Interest and insurance (2 days) on cost of ship	128
Total disbursements Quebec to Montreal and back,	755
Add contingent expenses	75
	$830

"If we count each passenger as equal in rate and measurement to two tons, and that the ships take at Montreal for cargo twenty-five passengers and 1,000 tons, the total movement up and down will be equivalent to 2,200 tons, nett cost per ton 38¾ cents, which is 2½ mills per ton per mile, or 3⅞ cents per barrel for flour from Montreal to Quebec. To perform this account of transportation by two medium sized interior steamers fully loaded with 500 tons each, with passenger accommodations will be as follows:

Pilotage for both, up and down	$112
Wharfage at Quebec 5 days, ½ ct	50
Coal consumed 40 tons to each=80	320
	$482

"Prominent merchants largely engaged in the forwarding business between the upper lakes and the ports of Montreal and Quebec, object to sending their steamers to Quebec on account of detentions from the want of suitable wharf space, from the tidal changes, and from the risk of grounding at low water at the wharves; but these evils can be obviated in time by building more wharves and extending them to deeper water, and by a tidal dock for which there exists an admirable site at the mouth of the creek on the north-westerly side of that city. But apart from all local questions the general accumulation of export products at Montreal, as the terminus of 1500 miles of inland navigation, is much better security against detention of vessels there either for loading or discharging, than can be had at Quebec at any time. We therefore make allowance of one day for each trip in favor of Montreal, and state:

Previous amount brought forward	$482
Three days time in running and mooring at wharves and other detentions, for which the pay and subsistence of officers and men will be	215
Interest and insurance 3 days on cost	192
For contingent expenses $50 each	100
Total by inland steamers	$989

"Which divided by 2,200 tons, as before, gives 45 cents per ton=2$\frac{9}{10}$ mills per ton per mile and 4$\frac{1}{2}$ cents pe. barrel of flour. The above shows the comparison between sea-going steamships of 1,200 tons and lake vessels of 500 tons. Steamships of 2,400 tons are now built for this route, the cost of transport by which, compared with the largest lake craft (800 tons), would show a still larger result in favor of bringing the ocean steamships to Montreal.

The same comparison of sea-going and inland sailing vessel shews a much larger difference in favor of sending ocean vessels to Montreal." (*ci*)

It is evident, as stated by Captain Childe, that there must be a transfer of cargo between the vessels which are employed in the interior trade and those which are employed upon the ocean, and we agree with the opinion expressed by him that this transfer can be made to the best advantage at Montreal.

As the estimates which have been before given of the cost

(*ci*) See Appendix, Note Ci.

of transport from the interior, brought the comparison to the two seaports of Montreal and New York, it is deemed proper to continue the comparison across the ocean and to the West Indies and South America.

It is true that there is but little general trade now existing between Canada and these Southern ports; but it is evident that the large supplies of lumber in its various forms which are now drawn from the United States to the West India islands, and to the Southern continent, can be supplied from the extensive forests of Canada East by direct shipment on more favorable terms than from the North-eastern ports of the United States, and, as the supplies of these articles at those ports are being rapidly exhausted, it cannot be long before resort must be had to the St. Lawrence for this article of commerce.

The wood exports of the United States to the West Indies and to the Southern continent in 1856 amounted to four and a half millions of dollars, being sixty per cent of the whole wood exports of the country. (*d'*)

The following table shows that the cost of transport from the St. Lawrence to these Southern ports does not exceed $1.50 per ton more than from Boston or New York, which, from the less cost of these wooden products at the former place, would enable the Canada merchants eventually to command the market.

Tables of the cost per ton by sailing vessels.

1st. From Montreal to,—

	HAVANA.		JAMAICA.		RIO JANIERO.	
	Distance. miles.	Cost.	Distance. miles.	Cost.	Distance. miles.	Cost.
	2910	$ cts. 2 91	3005	$ cts. 3 10	6800	$ cts. 6 80
At one mill per mile, add cost from Chicago to Montreal, as before.....	1278	2 78	1278	2 78	1278	2 78
Total.....	4188	5 69	4373	5 88	8078	8 58

(*d'*) See Appendix, Note D'.

2nd. From New York to,—

	HAVANA.		JAMAICA.		RIO JANIERO.	
	Distance, miles.	Cost.	Distance, miles.	Cost.	Distance, miles.	Cost.
	1290	$ cts. 1 29	1495	$ cts. 4 50	5210	$ cts. 5 21
At one mill per mile, add the cost from Chicago to New York, as before....	1410	4 16	1410	4 46	1410	4 46
Total.....	2700	5 75	2905	5 96	6620	9 67

The comparison of the distance and cost to Liverpool will be as follows. (*e*)

	Miles.	Cost. By sail.	By steam.
1st. From Chicago to Montreal, as before.....	1278	$2.78	$4.69
From Montreal to Liverpool by Straits of Belle Isle	2682	2.68	5.36
Add for towage on St. Lawrence.....		0.30	
	3960	$5.76	$10.05
		By sail.	By steam.
2nd. From Chicago to New York, as before...	1410	$4.46	$6.36
From New York to Liverpool	2980	2.98	5.96
	4300	$7.44	$12.32
Difference in favor of the St. Lawrence route...	430	$1.78	$2.27

The cost of transport from the Western interior to European ports is shown by these calculations to be about twenty-five per cent. cheaper by the St. Lawrence than by any other route. The ocean charges are, however, nearly twice as much now from Montreal as from New York. This difference is to a large extent accidental, and must gradually and rapidly decrease with the growth of the Canadian provinces. Various considerations, to which we will now allude, confirm this view.

The trade from the port of New York has been long well matured. For a great length of time no burthensome restrictions have existed to discourage her commerce. She has been to all the nations of the world a free port, and her position as regards the inland trade of the lake basins, which her canals

(*e*) See Appendix, Note E.

have controlled since 1830, aided by a harbor of easy access, has made her familiarly known to ships of all nations. Her connections with the interior are equally well developed, and a long experience has systemized her forwarding facilities and reduced the cost and charges of transportation from the interior to a minimum. Vessels coming to the port from sea are sure of a cargo of some kind home or coastwise to other ports. In the same way steam vessels and canal barges from the interior lakes and rivers, as well as coastwise, can always count on a return of freight more or less from that accumulation of foreign merchandise which is delivered at New York to meet the consumption of the Western States, of the State of New York, and of a considerable portion of the province of Canada. At the port of New York every facility, growing out of a long and large experience in both the interior and the ocean trade, is thus well understood. The port of Montreal, on the contrary, is thus far very deficient in similar advantages. It is but nine years since the restrictive laws of Great Britain, as regards foreign shipping entering the Gulf of the St. Lawrence were removed. Previous to that time no foreign vessel entered that port. The trade was entirely carried on in British bottoms, and was hampered with conditions which cramped and depressed it, increased the costs of foreign stuffs, and, so far as any commercial regulations can produce such effects, suppressed the commercial capabilities of the Provinces, and discouraged mercantile enterprise. This exclusion of all foreign vessels kept that large portion of the commercial marine, including all the United States ships, ignorant of the navigation of the Gulf.

The entire absence of lights until very recently, gave to the Imperial policy a tendency to discourage a wide knowledge of its waters, and gave to the navigation a bad name which it was the interest of the few ships that monopolized its trade to increase. In 1851 there was not one light-house on the North Shore between Quebec and Belle Isle, a distance of eight hundred miles; add to this that the canal improvements on the St. Lawrence have been but recently completed, and that Montreal could not command an interior trade of any consequence until these were, not merely in regular operation, but well known to shippers on the lakes, and the resources and convenience of the port will be sufficiently understood. The

railway communication between Montreal and the interior has been open scarcely two years, while from New York it has been open from ten to fifteen years. Above Montreal the canals around the rapids are on a scale now to pass steam vessels of 800 tons burthen. The enlargement of the Welland Canal to the same capacity, and the construction of the Caughnawaga Canal will render the navigation from the lakes all that can be desired. Below Montreal the river has been deepened within the last four years from eleven feet of water on the bars to eighteen feet of water. Ten lights are now established between Quebec and the mouth of the Gulf, and others are about being constructed, rendering the navigation now comparatively safe. Steam-tugs, established by Government, are stationed at Quebec, and operate below the city, affording facilities equal to any other port to vessels navigating the Gulf waters.

These improvements are being sensibly felt at the ports of Montreal and Quebec. The number of foreign ships entering the St. Lawrence in 1857 was one hundred and seventeen. A fortnightly line of steamships (fifteen hundred ton ships) from Montreal to Liverpool is now successfully* in operation, and is tending fast to make the peculiar advantages of the place, as regards the lake trade, known and appreciated.

The necessary facilities for utilizing the St. Lawrence River are thus being rapidly furnished by Canadian enterprise, but it will take time to make them known, to concentrate capital upon them, to gather in all the available aids to the growth of the trade, and to establish those lines of transportation with the interior which are so essential to the certain, rapid, and economical movement of merchandise, and for preventing undue detention of goods at the shipping port.

As all these different facilities take shape, and the existing deficiencies in these and other respects disappear, it is evident that the port of Montreal will assimilate in the economical position of the port of New York, and will be able more and more to control that portion of the inland commerce for which she is in position so favorably situated.

In further illustration of these remarks, we will here enter into some details. (*f*)

* A weekly line of vessels of twenty-four hundred tons is to commence running in August next.

(*f*) See Appendix, Note F.

During the last six years the Government has been engaged in establishing a thorough system of lights through the Gulf of St. Lawrence, to which allusion has already been made. These, with a more thorough survey of the channels and a more intimate acquaintance with the route on the part of the Gulf pilots and navigators, have even now all but entirely removed the apprehensions which formerly existed as to the dangers of the route.

The Northern coast of Newfoundland, the Straits of Belle Isle, and the route along the coast of Labrador, through the Gulf of St. Lawrence, are certainly more free from those dense fogs which prevail on the Banks for one thousand miles of the passage, followed by vessels from the North of Europe, than the north-eastern coast of the United States, and the ports between New York and Cape Race. The steamers plying between Montreal and Liverpool uniformly take the passage referred to, through the Straits of Belle Isle, and, in proof of its general exemption from the fogs which prevail during certain months to the south and also of the shortness of this route, they make shorter passages than the Cunard or Collins steamers from Boston and New York to the same port. (*g*)

The undersigned has made careful enquiries of masters of vessels who have for a long time been engaged in the navigation below Quebec, a few of whom were well acquainted with the navigation through the Straits of Belle Isle, and from all of whom they have received the strongest assurances of the safe and convenient navigation to the open sea by that route, although hitherto it has not been much used except by the steamers aforesaid. (*h*)

The Straits of Belle Isle are more particularly alluded to on account of the shortness of that passage as compared with the route by Cape Race, which is better known and has been more usually taken by sailing vessels.

The River St. Lawrence between Quebec and Montreal has been well lighted and buoyed under the directions of the Trinity Board and Harbour Commissioners of Montreal. A particular examination of the efficiency of their system of lights was made by the undersigned in November last, under

(*g*) See Appendix G.
(*h*) See Appendix, Note H.

circumstances which gave them an opportunity of forming a correct opinion of its value; and they are thus enabled to say, from their own observation, that this portion of the river, as now improved and lighted, presents no difficulties to its safe and convenient navigation.

The more northern portion of the St. Lawrence route may lead to the assumption that it remains closed by ice later than the New York routes. But such is not the fact. The great body of water passing down the St. Lawrence, and its derivation from the upper lakes, the waters of which never attain the low temperature of the streams within the same region of country, seems to more than compensate for the more northern longitude of this route.

The tables in the Appendix will show the dates of the first arrivals of sailing vessels at the port of Quebec (indication of the river being free of ice), and the dates of the opening of the port of Buffalo and of the navigation upon the Erie and the Canadian canals. (*i*)

The first has been furnished by the Trinity Board at Quebec, and the others have been taken from the reports of the canal Commissioners of the State of New York, from the reports of the Canadian Board of Works and other official reports.

It should be remarked, that, as respects the downward trade of the lakes, the first and last voyages of the season of navigation upon the canal between Buffalo and Albany occupy about ten days, while the voyage between Lake Erie and Quebec by steam vessels would occupy five days, and from Lake Ontario three days.

The mean for the last ten years as derived from these tables is as follows:—

	Opens	Closes
Straits of Mackinaw		
Port Colborne	"	"
Port of Buffalo	"	"
Port of Oswego	"	"
Port of Albany	"	"
Port of Montreal	"	"
Port of Quebec	"	"
Bic	"	"
Erie Canal	"	"
Welland Canal	"	"
St. Lawrence Canals	"	"

(*i*) See Appendix, Note I.

Cornwall Canal.....	Opens	Closes
Beauharnois Canal.....	"	"
Lachine Canal.....	"	"
S. Lawrence River between Lake Ontario, Montreal and Lachine...	"	"
Do. between Montreal & Quebec.	"	"

Taking into account the difference in time between the voyages from Lake Ontario to Albany or Quebec, and the dates of the opening of the navigation on the two routes, it appears that the navigation is open about five days earlier and is closed about three days later on the St. Lawrence route than it is on the Erie Canal.

The large emigrant passenger business which is now concentrated almost exclusively upon New York, might, we should think, by proper exertions, be shared by the port of Montreal, and much in that way drawn to that port whence a return cargo of flour or grain would be always certain. The emigrant can be carried to Montreal from Europe for the same charges as to New York with equal profit, and can be forwarded from Montreal to the Western States for less expense to himself than from the port of New York.

If with these conditions, a share of this business cannot be drawn to Montreal now, there must exist prejudices and drawbacks unknown to us, which time will ameliorate or remove.

The examination of the question of the location of the proposed harbor at Montreal was also very carefully investigated by the late Capt. Childe, and in the following remarks upon that branch of the question, his views will be generally quoted.

The foregoing considerations bring us to the conclusion that the Harbor Commissioners are right in their views respecting the need of an early extension of the Harbor of Montreal. As now situated, it is at best only a summer harbor, suited to the domestic, coast, wise, and river trade, and affording very inadequate accommodation for even the limited number of sea-going vessels of large size which now visit that port. (*j*)

"When the channel below Montreal is enlarged to the depth of twenty feet (which will be done by 1860), the increased number of this class of large vessels together with those of a smaller size from the ocean, and the lake craft which will be attracted to this port by the improvements of the route above Montreal, will more and more demonstrate that the present harbor accommodations are entirely inadequate to meet the increased requirements of the trade which

(*j*) See Appendix, Note J.

will year by year be drawn to this point." The objections to the present harbor are, that it is too limited in extent to accommodate the present amount of commerce, and that unless it be enlarged and improved it will seriously retard the growing trade of the St. Lawrence route; that it does not possess a sufficient area of deep water to accommodate the number of large vessels now running to that port, and that the increased depth cannot be given without endangering the present wharves and rendering the construction of new ones necessary; that it is subject to the fluctuations of the waters in the St. Lawrence, and exposed at some seasons of the year to driving ice, so that vessels must leave the port in the fall and seek refuge in some of the sheltered bays below Montreal.

The several plans for the improvement of the Harbour which have been presented to us are as follows: (*k'*)

1st. An inland harbour north of Hochelaga Bay.

2nd. An inland harbour at Viger square.

3rd. An elevated harbour at Point St. Charles.

Estimates of the comparative cost of these several plans have been prepared by Mr. Forsyth, the engineer of the Harbour Commissioners, which were reviewed by the late Captain Childe. (*l'*)

From the great difficulties and somewhat unusual magnitude and character of the works required for each of these plans, any estimate of their cost must to a certain extent be uncertain. It is probable that the expense of works upon either of the plans presented would be greater than the estimates, but they are relatively sufficiently accurate for a comparison of the merits of the several plans.

The site proposed for the harbour at Hochelaga Bay is three miles distant from the Merchants' Exchange and about one fourth of a mile north of the river, in the depression which is the extension of the Craig Street valley.

The level of the surface of the water in the proposed harbour would be thirty seven feet above the level of the water in the river, and the communication between the basin and the river would be made by two locks located at the north end of the basin and connecting with the river along the valley of the small stream which discharges into Hochelaga Bay. The basin would be excavated so as to give a depth of 20 feet of water over an area of——acres, and would be surrounded by wharves of stone masonry resting on timber

(*k'*) See Appendix, Note K'.

(*l'*) See Appendix, Note L'.

cribs filled with stone. Graving and repairing docks would be built on the south side of the basin, discharging the surplus water into the river by a conduit.

The water required for lockage would be supplied by a conduit from the head of the Lachine Rapids a distance of eleven and one half miles, with a fall of nine and a half feet, and at an estimated cost of £504,330, or would be elevated from the river by fixed pumping machinery driven by steam power, which Mr. Childe estimated would cost £34,506, and an additional sum of £17,000, per annum to run and maintain the works. Mr. Childe expressed his opinion very decidedly against this location of the harbour, and in this opinion the undersigned coincide, for the reason that it would disturb all the present located commercial business of the city and either compel the abandonment of the warehouses and wharves now constructed, or separate the domestic and the foreign business, to the great inconvenience of the trade. It would also tax the commerce with the delay and expense of the passage of all vessels which came into port, either from sea or from the interior, into and from the basin. These inconveniences and extra expenses would in the opinion of the undersigned so interfere with and tax the commerce of the port as to neutralize any advantage which might be anticipated from this location of the harbour.

We cannot however overlook the admirable position of Hochelaga Bay itself for the transaction of the large timber business of the Port of Montreal.

The vast timber products of the Ottawa and its tributaries, which must be brought by water and rail to the St. Lawrence, can meet the ocean ship at this point, and then be loaded with a facility which no other point on the harbour presents.

At Albany and Troy, when the canal craft meets the coasting vessels, many miles of wharves are required to conduct the lumber business which in both of these places is a large source of revenue to the towns.

Hochelaga Bay will soon become occupied in the same manner, and will relieve the commercial harbour wherever located, from a kind of business which the experience of other places shows could not be done contigious to it without interference with the other trade.

The remarks of Mr. Childe on the Viger Square project are as follows:—

"The extension of the Lachine Canal from the upper level of the St. Paul lock through the city to Viger Square, and the construction *there* or at some other lower portion of the Craig Street valley, of a dock-harbour for sea-going vessels, and a connecting ship-canal thence to Hochelaga Bay, would have been a judicious harbour location and a suitable termination of the canal, had it been undertaken at an early period, before the city population had densely spread improvements through said valley, which now renders such location and connection of canal and harbour, it seems to us, totally impracticable on account of the great destruction of property and other damages that must accrue." This scheme would bring the water fifteen feet deep at the Hay Market, twenty and one half feet deep at St. George's Street, fifteen and a half feet deep at St. Dominick Street, and fifteen and a half feet deep at St. Denis Street: this high level being the only one favorable for a ship-canal to the River at Hochelaga Bay, while the upper level of the St. Gabriel lock being nine and a half feet lower, and too low for a twenty feet depth of lock and canal.

"The difficulty, then, (apart from the destruction and damage to city improvements,) is that the ground south of Viger Square is much too low for the Canal extension at the required level as compared with the higher ground at and north of that square where deep-water accommodation is required."

The undersigned fully concur with the opinion expressed by Mr. Childe that this plan is now impracticable on account of the great destruction of private property and the consequent cost, and also because it is liable to the same objections though not to the same extent as the Hochelaga scheme.

The project for a harbour at Point St. Charles contemplates the construction of an embankment from the northern abutment of the Victoria Bridge, nearly perpendicular thereto and nearly parallel to the currents of the river for four thousand feet to a point south-east of Windmill Point, and thence at right angles to fourteen hundred feet to the shore near the old outlet-lock of the Lachine Canal, an area of about one hundred and thirty acres.

The surface of the water in this basin would be elevated twenty feet above the level of mean low water in the St. Lawrence, and would be connected therewith at the eastern end of the basin by a lock with a chamber four hundred feet long and seventy-five feet wide in the clear, provided with an intermediate pair of gates placed 150

feet below the upper gates, so as to lock vessels of smaller size with more economy of water.

It is also proposed to connect the basin with the Lachine Canal by a lock of five feet lift, chamber 400 feet long and 75 wide, and to provide for graving and repairing docks.

The area enclosed by the embankment is chiefly shoal water, and will require but a small amount of excavation to give the requisite depth in the basin. Along the embankment of the Grand Trunk Railway (which form the enclosure of the western end) and the north side of the basin, an excavation of from one to four feet of rock would be required to obtain the depth of twenty feet in this portion of the basin. This rock would be needed for the outside protection of the enclosing bank.

The whole basin would be surrounded by a puddle wall to prevent the waste of water, and the outside embankment protected from the river currents and ice by a heavy revetted wall. The western end of the basin would be effectually protected from the river by the railway embankment and bridge abutment; while on the river side, the direction of the current being nearly parallel to the enclosing bank, would not in ordinary cases subject it to much danger when the ice is passing down the river. Mr. Childe examined the question of supplying the dock at this location with water, and we quote from his notes as follows:

"Three modes of supply water for dock purposes at this point are suggested. First, from the Lachine Canal, by increasing the section of said canal everywhere to its full width, which would let down enough more water to supply the dock, and with less current probably to obstruct navigation than is now experienced in the narrow rocky reaches above.

"Second, from the tail-race of the Water-Works, which would give an ample supply so long as the pumps shall be worked by water-power, the bottom of the wheels being four feet above the surface of water in the proposed dock; and the distance being $1\frac{3}{4}$ miles, gives fall sufficient to prevent back-water upon the wheels.

"But neither of these sources will be as constantly reliable as is desired. At best, both are but secondary to other and prior uses; while the regular working of the harbour locks is of the utmost importance, and should not be subject to adverse control or accidents, which might at times cut off the supply. An independent source therefore will be greatly preferable, and this is happily at hand, forming the third mode of supply; which is to take water from the

head of the Lower Lachine Rapids above Knox's mill by an open canal 5½ miles long with a mean width of 20 feet and depth of 7 feet, and a fall of 13¼ feet, which will deliver at Point St. Charles three times as much water as the dock will require, for the estimated cost of £80,126, including right of way for a canal three times wider and ten feet deep, which may be made to bring down a very large amount of water for manufacturing purposes, beyond what will be needed for the dock.

"In fact, there is a legitimate relation between the manfacture of flour and the very extensive traffic in wheat and flour which the new dock is designed to accommodate; and when joined to the cheap freights of seven to nine hundred ton vessels west from Montreal, and from one thousand to twenty-five hundred tons sea-going vessels east from the same port, and with Railways from the dock *via* Victoria Bridge to all parts of the Eastern States, it is very clear that the milling power so easily brought from the rapids to the proposed dock and its vicinity will serve to render Montreal one of the largest wheat and flour markets in North America; and secondarily, for general manufacturing purposes, the Harbour Commissioners, statesmen, and capitalists can confer no greater benefit upon the Province of Canada and industrial population of Montreal than by developing this water power, and leasing it to enterprising individuals who will thereby create a diversity of labor and furnish employment to thousands who would otherwise be idle.

"Water for the dock for milling and other manufactures, can all be passed through the same canal by carrying it over the St. Pierre River and the Water-Works tail-race at one and the same point, and under the Grand Trunk Railway by a very shallow sophon.

"Montreal will then enjoy the advantage not possessed by any other sea-port within our knowledge, of delivering wheat from the lake vessels to the mills on one side, and of rolling the flour from the other side into sea-going vessels for export, or into cars for consumption in New England. The surplus water will pay interest upon three times its cost. (*m'*) From all these considerations, and from the vast amount of Western trade likely to take the St. Lawrence route, we are united in opinion that a dock harbour of one hundred and ten, to one hundred and thirty acres, is the best form for a permanent increase of accom-

(*m'*) See Appendix M'.

modation, and that Point St. Charles is very much the best site therefor.

"The cost of construction, including the £80,125 for an independent supply of water, as before explained, is estimated by the engineer, Mr. Forsyth, at £510,000.

"It is not necessary that the whole work should be executed at once and before any part could be brought into use. The enclosing banks faced with stone upon the top and river side, puddle walls, lock and two or three piers will be the extent of the first construction. Afterwards, as the commerce of the port increases, the work of excavation, inner facing with crib-work and masonry, and other piers, may be carried on from time to time without interfering with the use of all parts previously completed."

"It is unnecessary for us in this report to enter upon the details of construction. We simply advise that the largest area, sheltered by the railway works from drifting ice, be enclosed; that one lock 400 feet by 75 in the clear, with an intermediate pair of gates 150 feet from the head gates be adopted; also that solid crib work be used for inside walls from the bottom of the dock to within three feet of water surface, and surrounded by 8 or 9 feet of well-dressed stone-work."

The undersigned coincide with the opinion of Mr. Childe, that this location and plan for furnishing increased harbour accommodations is without question the best of any of those which have been laid before us, and will without doubt afford better accommodation to the present and anticipated trade than at any other location.

Captain Childe advocates the plan of supplying water to the locks by an independent canal, by means of which a large surplus will be provided which may be used for milling purposes.

It has been represented to us by some of the best-informed commercial gentlemen of Montreal that there is a present demand for an increased amount of water-power; and that what would be furnished by the construction of the independent canal, would be disposed of upon terms which would repay the cost of construction. (*n'*)

The great advantages which would be given to this route by the development of the valuable water-power which exists at Montreal, has been alluded to in the former portion of this Report, and its

---

(*n'*) See Appendix, Note N'.

value to the city itself has been discussed in the above remarks of Captain Childe. In these opinions also the undersigned agree.

The plan of the dock is complete without this independent hydraulic canal, as it can be supplied with water from either the Lachine canal or the tail-race of the Water-Works, or by pumping from the river at a less cost than by the independent canal; but as the latter may in itself be made a source of revenue, and as has been stated, a valuable adjunct to the route, it will doubtless be found expedient to construct it at an early day, although its cost is not chargeable to the scheme of the dock.

GENERAL REMARKS.

It will be observed, that the enlargement of the locks of the Welland canal, so as to allow the largest class of vessels which can navigate the upper lakes (eight hundred tons) has been assumed as necessary to the success of the whole system of Canadian works; and that whenever this shall be done, the contest for the Western trade will be between the ports of Oswego and Montreal.

That portion of the Western and Upper Canadian trade which is to seek foreign markets can then be transported on the downward trip, at less cost by the St. Lawrence route than by the way of New York.

The importance of the up cargoes has been already discussed; and the influence upon the cost of transportation by this route must be duly considered before its full advantages can be determined.

The foreign export of the agricultural products brought to the seaboard by the New York works forms but one third of the whole while the home demand consumes two thirds. As a general proposition it may be stated that the imports will follow the same course as the exports; and hence that although the improved St. Lawrence route will present strong inducements for the one-third of the trade, yet if the preponderance of the remainder of this trade is left to the New York route, it will materially aid that line in its contest for even the foreign trade, by giving to it the upper cargoes which tend so much to cheapen transport.

The construction of the proposed Caughnawaga Canal from the St. Lawrence opposite Lachine to Lake Champlain, will allow the large lake vessels to continue their voyage to Whitehall (two hundred and ten miles from New York, and one hundred and thirty-seven miles nearer the sea-board, than can be done by the way of Oswego), at twenty cents per ton less cost, even if the Champlain

Canal should not be enlarged so as to allow the vessels to go to New York.

The economy of time and transport by the Lake Champlain route could not fail to attract to it a large share of the trade between the Western States and New England, as well as a portion of the New York trade.

This diversion of business would all pass through the St. Lawrence canals, and would prove a highly productive source of revenue to those works, which have never hitherto more than paid the cost of maintainance.

This course of trade, once established, would tend to reduce the expense of transport to and from Montreal to a minimum, by giving to the vessels those return cargoes, the value and importance of which have been so fully commented upon.

It may not be generally understood that the vessels which would take the Caughnawaga Canal would pass by the mouth of the Lachine Canal and within seven miles of the City of Montreal, and would, when at Burlington or Whitehall, be nearer to any of the towns of New England than when at Albany.

The Lachine Canal affords a most admirable opportunity for the erection of warehouses for the reception of grain, flour, and other Western products; because from this point such products may, during the summer, be despatched by water to all foreign ports by the St. Lawrence, and also to the nearest water approach to New England, and also to New York itself; and during the suspension of navigation, by railway to all of the Canadian and American North-eastern Atlantic ports,—in both cases with less expense of time or money than from any other point which can be reached by the lake craft.

These Western products may then be shipped to Montreal; and their final destination, whether to foreign or domestic ports, be determined when the vessel has arrived at Lachine, as the price of the article in the various markets best indicates.

The enlargement of the locks of the Welland Canal, the construction of the Caughnawaga Canal and docks at Montreal, and the completion of the river improvements, should be prosecuted simultaneously, and all of them are necessary for a full development of the advantages of the St. Lawrence route.*

---

* Routes for a canal are now being surveyed from Georgian Bay to Lake Ontario and to the Ottawa, by which it is said that some four hundred

The lengthening of the locks of the St. Lawrence canals, although important, is an improvement which can be postponed without any serious detriment. Nor is the public interest confined to the water-lines of the St. Lawrence; the Provinces having a large pecuniary interest in the railways of Canada.

It is impossible to secure the carrying trade between the West, or even of Upper Canada and the seaboard by railways, against the cheap water-routes through New York; but the success of the Canadian railway is intimately dependent upon the diversion of a considerable portion of this trade to the St. Lawrence.

This water route through the St. Lawrence, when improved, has been shown to be cheaper than any other to the sea-board; and when it shall have drawn to itself the business to which it is legitimately entitled, there must go with it such an amount of passengers and light freight traffic, as to give to the parallel railway an increase of business which will be of great value to its revenues.

The remarks which have already been made on this division of business between the water and railway lines, in a preceding part of this Report, will further show how dependent each of these systems of transport is upon the other. In the present conditions of trade in this country, neither, as a general proposition, can be successful without the other; and although they are competitors for some kinds of business, yet the advancement of each, (and especially of the water-line), improves the condition of the other.

The Grand Trunk Railway is now extended to Portland, and will soon be completed to Trois Pistoles, on the St. Lawrence, to which latter place the navigation may be rendered available earlier in the spring and later in the fall.

The dates of the opening of the Welland and the St. Lawrence canals compared with those of New York and the length of the voyages through them, together with this extension of the season by the railway to Trois Pistoles, will give to the St. Lawrence route an advantage which has not been adverted to in the preceding part of this Report.

---

miles of navigation would be saved to the commerce of Lakes Michigan and Superior. The trade of Lake Erie and the southern portion of Huron would not be affected by this saving of distance. The large lockage, great cost, and some serious difficulties in the construction, in either of these canals render the probability of any diversion of the anticipated trade of the Welland Canal too remote to warrant any delay in that work.

This advantange will be largely shared in by the Grand Trunk Railway, and especially on that portion of it eastward of Montreal; nor is it improbable that the railway system of Canada may be extended through New Brunswick to Halifax in Nova Scotia, and, by a Federation of the Provinces under one general government, which has already been agitated, and will, no doubt, be accomplished at an early day, together with the circumstances already alluded to, point to the advisability and security of providing the largest accommodation for the trade at a point which may be so admirably adapted to its transhipment and distribution as that of Montreal.

In the examination of these subjects, we have labored under the disadvantage of the want of an intimate acquaintance with the condition of the trade of Canada which a residence would have furnished. Our remarks have therefore taken a wider range so as to embrace those districts with which we are more familiar, but which are also deeply interested in the improvement of the St. Lawrence route.

To the people of Canada, however, these are questions of still deeper interest. With a climate, soil, and productions at least equal to the contiguous districts of the United States, and having the means of securing not only the cheapest channel to the ocean but also the cheapest to New England and New York, her future progress must be vastly accelerated.

The countless emigration from Europe which has hitherto passed almost in sight of her rich, healthy, and well-situated lands, to seek abodes in the Western States, far removed from the world's markets, and oftentimes in unhealthy climates, and on lands but little if any better than those which lie unoccupied along the water-courses which discharge into the St. Lawrence, will, when these advantages are availed of, settle within her borders, and greatly add to her wealth and prosperity.

A liberal expenditure for the completion of the magnificent public works along the St. Lawrence cannot fail to divert to this route a large share of the trade and travel between the West and Atlantic, and while this will recompense for the expenditure, it will not only add to her commercial prosperity, but will also render her unrivalled advantages known to the stream of emigration which in flowing through her channels must be largely attracted to her territory.

The conclusions to which the Board have arrived may be briefly stated as follows:—

1st. That the natural advantages of the route between the wes-

tern interior and the seaboard by the way of the St. Lawrence are sufficient to warrant the expenditures which have been made, and also those which are proposed to complete the improvements along that route; and that when thus improved, it will present the cheapest mode of communication not only to the sea-board, but also to New-England and New-York.

2nd. That the amount of business which will be drawn to this route by the advantages which it will possess when so improved, will be sufficient to warrant the expenditures necessary in making them.

3rd. That the port of Montreal is the proper place for transferring cargoes from the interior to sea-going vessels; and therefore that the Harbour Commissioners are right in their plans for deepening the channel below Montreal so as to allow vessels drawing twenty feet to come to the latter port.

4th. That the present harbour facilities of Montreal are entirely inadequate to accommodate the present trade; and that such an increase as may be expected on the completion of the improvements already mentioned, will require a large addition thereto.

5th. That the location of an enlarged harbor at Point St. Charles is the best site that can be found at Montreal; and that the facilities which a harbor at this place, upon the plan suggested, will amply accommodate the trade in question; and finally, that in our opinion the improvements in the channel of the St. Lawrence at and near Montreal, and the construction of the proposed harbour, are not local questions but of national importance, by which the final success of the scheme of Canadian public works will be materially influenced.

HARBOUR OF MONTREAL.

The following remarks on the project of extending the Harbour of Montreal in front of the City, were inadvertently omitted by the Engineers, Messrs. W. T. McAlpine, and James P. Kirkwood, in their printed Report, and are now authorised by them to be appended to the same:—

The examination of the plan for enclosing the front of the present harbour by a breakwater, and deepening the Harbour itself by dredging, was also made by the late Captain John Childe. His views upon the subject were as follows:

Next in order is the suggested plan of enclosing the present River Harbour by a permanent ice-barrier extending in an easterly direc- from Wind-mill Point about 1350 feet, and thence 4000 feet in the direction of Monarque Street Wharf, opposite the lower half of St. Helen's Island, and terminating at a point about 650 feet south-easterly from the east end of the Victoria pier. This plan has been presented for consideration with the expectation that it would meet the wants of commerce for many years, be very much cheaper in construction than a dock-harbour, and retain the whole traffic upon the natural river level. But on examination we find that this plan will enclose an area of about 110 acres, exclusive of the present wharves and deepest water, and will require to be deepened to 20 feet water by dredging an average of 10 feet, giving 1,777,000 cubic yards of mud, sand and pebles, which we estimate may be taken out at 2s. per yard, or total cost of £177,700. Then a suitable ice-barrier, exposed as this would be to the action of the water and ice, would cost as we estimate, not less than £60 per lineal foot, and for the whole enclosure of ground, 5350 feet, ... £321,000
Dredging, as above, ... 177,700

A total of £498,700

If by this plan the future wants of commerce could be answered, there would he reason for its adoption; but such a harbour does not,

in our judgment, meet the leading requirements before stated, to wit: safe and convenient storage at all seasons; convenient accessibility by carts and railroad cars; and the facility of separating the transit from the distributing trade. We think that the risk of property stored upon or contiguous to such a barrier in winter will be greater than at any other point proposed; that the whole structure, without piers and warehouses, will be out in the river and inaccessible by carts and cars, except *via* lower bridge of Lachine Canal or ferry-boats; that the river and coastwise domestic trade being immediately connected with the general population of the city, will continually require all the present river harbor in front of and below the city, but will not need the deep water or expensive ice-barrier, and warehouses called for by the transit, interior and foreign trade. If the whole domestic and foreign trade were confined for some years to the present harbor by the deep dredging and expensive structure before mentioned, the time will come when more space must be needed; and at any time the transit foreign trade may be more favorably detached to a position contiguous to the present harbor and to the city than can be the domestic, river and coastwise trade. The latter does not now nor will it probably for fifty years, require more and larger accommodation than the river and its western branch afford; but it is to cheapen, develope and guard the transit and foreign trade that deeper water and larger and better harbor facilities are called for. We therefore advise the construction of those where they will for all time be devoted to the transit and foreign trade, leaving the domestic trade undisturbed where convenience has already placed it, and where it will need but very light expenditures from time to time for its enlargement. By this course the *ultimate* cost of harbor facilities for all species of trade will be greatly lessened; and for the next three or four years, whilst the work of a permanent and contiguous dock-harbor shall be in progress, no interruption or curtailment will be experienced in the use of the present harbor.

In advising, therefore, against the third scheme, we turn with more confidence to the *fourth* and last position at Point Saint Charles.

The undersigned are of opinion that this plan of enlarging and partially protecting the present harbor would be inadequate to meet the requirements of the commerce which will be attracted to the St. Lawrence route, when it shall have been improved in the manner herein contemplated. This plan would only accommodate a limited

amount of trade, and would be only a Summer habor, and would not afford sufficient refuge for vessels when ice is driving in the river.

W. J. McALPINE.
JAS. P. KIRKWOOD.

NOTE.

The following private notes and observations of the late Captain John Childe, in reference to the improvement of the Harbor of Montreal, having been received since the meeting of the Board of Trade on the 21st instant, the Harbor Commissioners deem it important that the same should be communicated in full to the members of that body.

FROM CAPT. CHILDE'S NOTE BOOK.

Montreal Harbor.

The foregoing considerations bring us to the conclusion that the Harbor Commissioners are right in their views respecting the need of early extension of the Harbor of Montreal. As now situated, it is at best only a Summer harbor, suited to the domestic, coastwise and river trade, and affording in all respects very inadequate facilities for the few sea-going vessels of large class which reach Montreal by the improved channel of 18 feet water; and when this channel shall be carried to a depth of 20 feet, as may so readily be done, the deficiency of Harbor accommodation will be more and more seriously felt as the size and number of such vessels increase, as they are sure to do, until they reach the maximum suited to the completed 20 feet channel, and to the vastly extended trade from the west, which the enlargement of the Welland Canal, milling power at Montreal, and other improvements before spoken of, must inevitably draw to Montreal for storage and export to foreign countries, or distribution to the north-eastern states.

The practical question for us now to arrange is, therefore, where and how can the Harbor be extended most advantageously, all things considered, to meet the present and future wants of domestic (and foreign) commerce *via* the St. Lawrence route.

But before proceeding to answer from a comparison of the several proposed sites, we will state:

1st. That any additional Harbor accommodation should be in place and form adapted to the general and particular traffic for which its facilities may be required.

2nd. That it should be a Harbor of protection for repair or building of vessels, and for safe and convenient storage in winter as well as in summer.

3rd. That it should be a part of or near the present summer Harbor, and communicate conveniently therewith, and also with the Lachine Canal.

4th. That it should be as near as practicable to the commercial portion of the city, for the convenience of the distribution trade which must become very extensive, and has already made Montreal the chief importing city of Canada.

5th. That it should be accessible to railroad cars, and thus be brought at all seasons, especially in winter, into convenient connection with the west, with Quebec, Portland and Boston, and with the interior manufacturing towns of New England.

6th. That it should afford special facilities to the transit trade, by bringing the lake and sea-going vessels side by side or to the opposite sides of the same warehouse, that one may discharge directly into the other, or both at pleasure to and from store, without the expense, delay, or waste of cartage.

And 7th. (The preceding conditions of service being fulfilled), it should be so situated as to obtain, with reasonable expense, an ample supply of water for dock uses, and in connection therewith, bring to the Harbour and to its vicinity from the Lachine rapids, a large amount of water for milling wheat into flour and for general manufacturing purposes.

Keeping these important objects and uses in mind, we pass to a particular examination of each scheme presented for Harbour improvement. And first we take up that proposed for Hochelaga Bay.

We find here a sufficient area of farming alluvial land apparently free from rocks, and situated in the depressed portion of the Craig street valley about three miles north from the Exchange and Custom House. A dock Harbour of any needed size and depth can here be excavated some rods, and the bottom of the dock about 17 feet above the river at low water level, giving with 20 feet depth of water, 37 feet lockage to the river, and very good building grounds on all sides of the Harbour.

The connection with the river is suitably proposed at the mouth of the brook, a little north of the New Hochelaga wharf, where a narrow belt of deep and nearly still water is found between the bank and strong river current, favorable for bringing vessels to the entrance of the locks.

The river channel also is deep enough here for any vessel that can come up from tide water, but is much narrower than above or below the island, and consequently the current is very strong. Thus far considered, the Hochelaga site is very practicable, but, to obtain a supply of water for it, is a far more serious matter. The quantity required for one lockage every three-fourths of an hour is 165 cubic feet per second. This cannot be taken from the Lachine canal by pipe through Craig street, the fall being nine feet, without increasing the velocity of the current detrimentally to the navigation, unless the canal is made wider, nor from the tail race of the water works, because the surface of the proposed Hochelaga Harbour must be 10 feet higher than said tail race. It remains then to bring in water by a separate conduit from the head of the Lachine rapids, a distance of 11½ miles, and total fall of 9½ feet, the estimated cost of which is £504,330, or to furnish the required supply faom the river at the nearest point to the dock, an average lift of 37 feet, which will cost for fixed pumping machinery £34,560, and the annual expenses for seven months' navigation will be, including interest and depreciation, about £17,000. Either of these modes of supply is objectionable. The first, because of its insuperable cost, and the second on account of the great annual cost of working, and especially the risk of breakage or explosion to which such machinery is always liable; and we cannot believe it judicious to trust the operations of the very large tide of commerce which moves through the proposed Harbour, to the casualities that may and do often interrupt the working of the best steam machinery.

The most favorable ground for the Hochelaga dock will carry its centre three miles from the Merchants' Exchange, or, by very greatly increasing the amount and cost of excavation, it might be brought half a mile nearer by moving half its length south and keeping the lock entrance from the river as before; but the average distance of 2½ or 3 miles would prove a great inconvenience and expense to the business of the city, equal in cost of cartage alone at 10 cents per barrel to the freight charges inland for 200 miles, or for 500 miles of ocean transit. Nor could there be any connection with the Lachine canal except by locking to and from the river, or

any reasonably cheap way of bringing water here from the Lachine rapids for millings or other manufacturing purposes.

We find, therefore, that the scheme for a Dock Harbour near Hochelaga Bay fulfils only the 2d of the seven conditions of service before stated, and is so distant, isolated and expensive (see estimate in the Appendix), that we deem it unworthy of further consideration, and we advise its rejection.

" The extension of the Lachine Canal from the Upper level of the St. Paul lock through the city to Viger Square, and the construction *there* or at some lower portion of the Craig Street valley, of a dock-harbour for sea-going vessels, and a connecting ship-canal thence to Hochelaga Bay, would have been a judicious harbour location and a suitable termination of the canal, had it been undertaken at an early period, before the city population had densely spread improvements through said valley, which now renders such location and connection of canal and harbour, it seems to us, totally impracticable on account of the great destruction of property and other damages that must accrue." This scheme would bring the water fifteen feet deep at the Hay Market, twenty and one half feet deep at St. George's Street, fifteen and a half feet deep at St. Dominique Street, and fifteen and a half feet at St. Denis Street: this high level being the only one favorable for a ship-canal to the River at Hochelaga Bay, while the upper level of the St. Gabriel lock being nine and a half feet lower, and too low for a twenty feet depth of lock and canal.

" The difficulty, then, (apart from the destruction and damage to city improvements,) is that the ground south of Viger Square is much too low for the Canal extension at the required level as compared with the higher ground at and north of that square where deep-water accommodation is required."

Next in order is the suggested plan of enclosing the present River Harbour by a permanent ice barrier extending in an Easterly direction from Wind-mill Point about 1350 feet, and thence 4000 feet in the direction of Monarque Street Wharf, opposite the lower half of St. Helen's Island and terminating at a point about 650 feet south-easterly from the east end of the Victoria pier. This plan has been presented for consideration with the expectation that it would meet the wants of commerce for many years, be very much cheaper in construction than a dock-harbour, and retain the whole traffic upon the natural river level. But on examination we find this plan

will enclose an area of about 110 acres, exclusive of the present wharves and deepest water, and will require to be deepened to 20 feet water by dredging an average of 10 feet, giving 1,777,000 cubic yards of mud, sand and pebbles, which we estimate may be taken out at 2s. per yard, or total cost of £177,700. Then a suitable ice-barrier, exposed as this would be to the action of the water and ice, would cost, as we estimate, not less than £90 per lineal foot, and for the whole

Enclosure of ground, 5350 feet,.....	£351,000
Dredging, as above,.....	177,700
A total of.....	£498,700

If by this plan the future wants of commerce could be answered, there would be some reason for its adoption; but such a harbour does not, in our judgment, meet the leading requirements before stated, to wit: sure and convenient storage at all seasons; convenient accessibility by carts and railroad cars; and the facility of separating the transit from the distributing trade. We think that the risk to property stored upon or contiguous to such a barrier in winter will be greater than at any other point proposed; that the whole structure, without piers and warehouses, will be in the river and inaccessible by carts and cars, except via Island Wharf or ferry-boats; that the river and coastwise domestic trade being immediately connected with the general population of the city, will continually require all of the present river harbour in front of and below the city, but will not need the deep water or expensive ice-barrier, and warehouses called for by the transit, interior and foreign trade. If the whole domestic and foreign trade were confined for some years to the present harbour by the deep dredging and expensive structure before mentioned, the time will come when more space must be needed; and at any time the transit foreign trade may be more favorably detached to a position contiguous to the present harbour and to the city than can be the domestic, river and coastwise trade. The latter does not now, nor will it probably for fifty years, require more and larger accommodation than the river and its western branch afford; but it is to cheapen, develope and guard the transit and foreign trade that deeper water and larger and better harbor facilities are called for. We therefore advise the construction of those where they will for all time be devoted to the transit and foreign trade, leaving the domestic trade undisturbed where convenience has already placed it, and where it will need but very light

expenditures from time to time for its enlargement. By this course the *ultimate* cost of harbour facilities for all species of trade will be greatly lessened; and for the next three or four years, whilst the work of a permanent and contiguous dock harbour shall be in progress, no interruption or curtailment will be experienced in the use of the present harbour.

In advising therefore, against the third scheme, we turn with more confidence to the *fourth* and last position at Point St. Charles.

Here we found a large and naturally available area of shallow water, well protected by the abutment and bank of the Grand Trunk Railway from the swift currents and downward flow of river ice; and by enclosing it on two sides, beginning at the Victoria Bridge abutment, and running parallel with the high-water current about 4,000 feet to a point east of the Windmill Point, thence to the same point about 1,400 feet, an area of about 130 acres will be conveniently secured for harbour purposes. The enclosing bank will be made water-tight by a verticle puddle wall, and covered on the outside slope by the rock excavated from the inside and bottom. At the centre of the north side a ship lock, 75 by 400 feet chamber, will connect the enclosed space with the present harbour and river channel. Thus by a lock-lift of 20 feet, and the shelter afforded by the railway, you will acquire for first-class sea-going vessels as much useful area as is now furnished by the unprotected river harbour for river and coastwise transports. We believe that a dock-harbour thus situated, will be perfectly safe from water and ice floods, and meet fully and substantially all of the requirements before stated:— 1st. As a depositary for grain, flour, and prepared lumber from the west and north, and for cargoes of foreign merchandise; 2nd. As a safe place for storage, for ship-building, repairs, and for milling or manufacturing purposes; 3rd. As most convenient for communication with both the River, Harbour, and Lachine Canal; 4th. As near as practicable to the city (the centre being ¾ths of a mile from the Merchauts' Exchange), and of more convenient access by carts and cars than any erections could be, for enclosing the present harbour; and 6th. As peculiarly well adapted by absence of currents for bringing the lake and sea-going vessels side by side for the cheapest transhipment of rolling freights and lumber, or to the same warehouse for grain. All these objects and uses unite to make Point St. Charles the most suitable place for your harbour improvement; while the 7th and last consideration, for bringing here a

large quantity of water for dock and milling purposes, cannot be applied to any other locations without costing more than it is worth.

"Three modes of supplying water for dock purposes at this point are suggested. First, from the Lachine Canal, by increasing the section of said canal every where to its full width, which would afford enough surplus water to supply the dock, and with less current probably to obstruct navigation than is now experienced in the narrow rocky reaches above.

"Second, from the tail-race of the Water Works, which would give an ample supply as long as the pumps shall be worked by water power, the bottom of the wheels being four feet above the surface of water in the proposed dock; and the distance being 1¾ miles, gives fall sufficient to prevent back water upon the wheels.

"But neither of these sources will be as constantly reliable as is desired. At best, both are but secondary to other and prior uses; while the regular working of the harbour locks is of the utmost importance, and should not be subject to adverse control or accidents, which might at times cut off the supply. An independent source therefore will be greatly preferable, and this is happily at hand, forming the third mode of supply; which is to take water from the head of the Lower Lachine Rapids above Knox's mills by an open canal 5½ miles long with a mean width of 20 feet and depth of 7 feet, and a fall of 13¼ feet, which will deliver at Point St. Charles three times as much water as the dock will require, for the estimated cost of £80,125, including right of way for a canal three times wider and ten feet deep, which may be made to bring down a very large amount of water for manufacturing purposes, beyond what will be needed for the dock.

"In fact there is a legitimate relation between the manufacture of flour and the very extensive traffic in wheat and flour which the new dock is designed to accommodate; and when joined to the cheap freights of nine hundred ton vessels west from Montreal, and with one thousand to twenty-five hundred tons sea-going vessels east from the same port, and with railways from the dock *via* Victoria Bridge to all parts of the Eastern States, it is very clear that the milling power so easily brought from the rapids to the proposed dock and its vicinity will serve to render Montreal one of the largest wheat and flour markets in North America; and secondarily, for general manufacturing purposes, the Harbour Commissioners, statesmen and capitalists can confer no greater benefit upon the Province

of Canada and industrial population of Montreal than by developing this water power, and leasing it to enterprising individuals who will thereby create a diversity of labor and furnish employment to thousands who would otherwise be idle.

"Water for the dock for milling and other manufactures, can all be passed through the same canal by carrying it over the St. Pierre River and the Water Works tail-race at one and the same point, and under the Grand Trunk Railway by a verry shallow syphon.

"Montreal will then enjoy the advantage not possessed by any other sea-port within our knowledge, of delivering wheat from the lake vessels to the mills on one side, and of rolling the flour from the other side into sea-going vessels for exports, or into cars for consumption in New England. *The surplus water will pay interest upon three times its cost.*

"From all these considerations, and from the vast amount of Western trade likely to take the St. Lawrence route, we are united in opinion that a dock harbour of one hundred and ten, to one hundred and thirty acres, is the best form for a permanent increase of accommodation, and that Point St. Charles is very much the best site therefore.

The cost of construction, including the £80,125 for an independent supply af water, as before explained, is estimated by the engineer, Mr. Forsyth, at £510,000.

"It is not necessary that the whole work should be executed at once and before any part could be brought into use. The enclosing banks faced with stone upon the top and river side, puddle walls, lock and two or three piers will be the extent of the first constructions. Afterwards, as the commerce of the port increases, the work of excavation, inner facing with crib-work and masonry, and other piers, may be carried on from time to time without interfering with the use of all parts previously completed."

"It is unnecessary for us in this report to enter upon the details of construction. We simply advise that the largest area, sheltered by the railway works from the drifting ice, be enclosed; that one lock 400 feet by 75 in the clear, with an intermediate pair of gates 150 feet from the head gates be adopted; also, that solid crib work be used for inside walls from the bottom of the dock to within three of water surface, and surmounted by 8 or 9 feet of well-dressed stone work."

The preceding considerations bring us to the conclusion that the

Harbour Commissioners are right in their views respecting the need of an early extension of the Harbor of Montreal. In its present condition it is at best only a summer harbour devoted to the domestic, coastwise and river trade, and affording in all respects very inadequate facilities for the few sea-going vessels of large class which now reach Montreal, by the improved channel, of 17 feet water; and when this channel shall be carried to the depth of 20 feet, as may so readily be done within the next two years by the moderate additional expenditure of £25,000, the deficiency of harbour accommodation will be more and more seriously felt as the size and number of such vessels increase, as they are sure to do, until they reach the maximum suited to the completed 20 feet channel and to the vastly extended trade with the west, which the enlargement of the Welland Canal, the milling power of the Lachine Rapids, and the railways must inevitably draw to Montreal.

"It has been already shown that the shortest and cheapest route from Chicago to tide-water is viâ the St. Lawrence, and it is admitted by all commercial men that unobstructed transport trade will always take the shortest and cheapest route. As a question of practical economy, it must also be admitted, without the necessity of argument, that vessels properly constructed for the lake and river traffic west of Montreal, will be neither safe nor profitable for the gulf and ocean; nor, on the other hand, would the deeper build of sea-going vessels be suitable for the canals and shallow parts of the river and lakes. It follows, then, that a port of transhipment must be provided. The natural course of Canadian trade and population has from an early period made Quebec and Montreal prominent centres of both upon the river. These cities divide the river trade, and are together capable of affording all the facilities that the future commerce of the river may require. The difference peculiar to each, seem to spring solely from natural causes, to wit: at Quebec the river harbour is deep and broad, the channel from thence to the ocean has always been unobstructed and sufficient for the largest class of vessels. The change of tidals level (13 and 18 feet respectively for summer and spring) would be detrimental to general traffic, but are of very great advantage in the landing, preparation, and shipment of timber, which is chiefly transported in rafts from the upper country to Quebec. For such reasons the immense timber and lumber trade of the provinces will doubtless continue to be transacted at Quebec.

"Quebec and Montreal must enjoy a very large increase of general traffic by the increase of population in their respective districts, and also by all public works which serve to expedite and cheapen the collection, transportation and distribution of produce and merchandise whether inward or outward bound via the St. Lawrence route.

"'It is apparent that the position of Montreal, at the head of ocean navigation and at the foot of the lowest rapids, possesses certain advantages peculiar to itself. It is surrounded by a more populous and fertile region of country, at the confluence of the St. Lawrence, Ottawa and Lake Champlain routes of trade, and the focus towards which the continuous influences of railways and the natural and artificial water-channels of the West and North-west will more and more concentrate the trade of the lake countries. These countries now number eight millions of people: at the close of another century they will probably come up to twenty millions.

"We notice also, as a proof of the eligible commercial position of Montreal, that in the years preceding 1856, during which the corn laws of England and all differential duties favoring the direct export and import trade with the Canadas had been repealed, and the bonding system of the United States and the reciprocity treaty with that country established, the ports of the United States became virtually *free* to Canadian trade, thereby diverting from the St. Lawrence route $\frac{1}{18}$ of the Canadian cereal exports. The imports into Montreal increased at the same time fifty per cent, but $\frac{1}{14}$ of this increase appears to have accrued on the first year of the reciprocity treaty with the United States."

"In 1855 the total imports by the river are stated at	$11,494,038
Total imports from United States ports	20,825,432
Making total imports	$32,319,460

of which Montreal absorbed $12,372,480, or over $\frac{3}{7}$ of the whole; $1,878,422 more than the total imports that year by the river.

Thus showing Montreal to be largely on the increase, notwithstanding the diversion of the trade from the river to other routes, via Portland, Boston and New-York.

"But the true interests of Canada, and of the North-western Lake States, require that that trade and its future increase shall be restored to the shorter and cheaper route via the St. Lawrence, not by restrictive governmental exactments, but by perfected canals, deepened channels, numerous light-houses and well instructed pilots,

"Other local considerations point to Montreal as the sea-port of the West, and of the proper point of transhipment between sea-going and interior lake vessels.

"1st. Because the larger sea-going vessels can continue their voyage from Quebec to Montreal, one hundred and eighty miles, at less cost per ton than would attend running the smaller interior vessels from Montreal to Quebec; for with the completed twenty feet channel, and corresponding harbour extension at Montreal, there is no reason to apprehend extra risk or detention.

"For instance, a steamer of medium size arrives at Quebec fully loaded with 1200 tons of goods, 250 for Quebec and 950 for Montreal and the West, with an average of 100 passengers. After discharging the Quebec freights, her actual expenses to Montreal and back will be as follows, exclusive of lake dues, which ought to be rescinded on the completion of the new channel, if not before:

Pilotage up and down	$107
Wharfage at Montreal, 12 days	100
Coals consumed, average 70 tons ($280)	280
Sums expended in running up and mooring at wharves two days, for which the pay and subsistence of officers and men will be	140
Interest and Insurance (2 days) on cost of ship	128
Total disbursements Quebec to Montreal and back	755
Add contingent expenses	75
	$830

"If we count each passenger as equal in rate and measurement to two tons, and that the ships take at Montreal for cargo twenty-five passengers and 1000 tons, the total movement up and down will be equivalent to 2200 tons, nett cost per ton 38¾ cents, which is 2½ mills per ton per mile, or 3⅞ cents per barrel for flour from Montreal to Quebec. To perform this amount of transportation by two medium-sized interior steamers fully loaded with 500 tons each, with passenger accommodations, will be as follows:

Pilotage for both, up and down	$112
Wharfage a Quebec 5 days, ½ ct	50
Coal consumed, 40 tons to each=80	320
	$482

"Prominent merchants largely engaged in the forwarding business between the upper lakes and the ports of Montreal and Quebec, object to sending their steamers to Quebec on account of detentions from the want of suitable wharf space, from the tidal changes, and from the risk of grounding at low water at the wharves; but these

evils can be obviated in time by building more wharves and extending them to deeper water, and by a tidal dock, for which there exists an admirable site at the mouth of the creek on the north-westerly side of that city. But apart from all local questions, the general accumulation of export products at Montreal, as the terminus of 1500 miles of inland navigation, is much better security against detention of vessels there either for loading or discharging, than can be had at Quebec at any time. We therefore make allowance of one day for each trip in favor of Montreal, and state:

Previous amount brought forward	$482
Three days' time in running and mooring at wharves and other detentions, for which the pay and subsistence of officers and men will be	215
Interest and Insurance 3 days on cost	192
For contingent expenses, $50 each	100
Total by inland steamers	$989

"Which divided by 2,200, as before, gives 45 cents per ton= $2\frac{9}{16}$ mills per ton per mile and $4\frac{1}{2}$ cents per barrel of flour. The above shows the comparison between sea-going steamships of 1,200 tons and lake vessels of 500. Steamships of 2,400 tons are now built for this route, the cost of transport by which, compared with the largest lake craft (800 tons), would show a still larger result in favor of bringing the ocean steamships to Montreal.

The same comparison of sea-going and inland sailing vessels shews a much larger difference in favor of sending ocean vessels to Montreal."

HARBOUR OFFICE,
Montreal, 24th April, 1858.

NOTE.—Steamships now, (1873), come from sea to Montreal of 4,000 tons burthen.

HARBOUR IMPROVEMENTS.

[COPY.]

HARBOUR COMMISSIONERS' OFFICE,
January 13, 1854.

SIR,—I am directed by the Commissioners to refer the accompanying extract from a letter from the Secretary of the Board to you for your consideration and reply.

I am at the same time to advert to the Report made by Mr. Gzowski and yourself on the 28th January last, on the subject of enlarging and improving the Harbour, and to state that in acting upon that report the Commissioners deemed it expedient to adopt the scheme therein recommended of placing Docks upon the Point St. Charles Shoals, as the "best" plan that could be adopted for affording additional accommodation in the Harbour for sea-going and other vessels. I am now however, to call your attention to the fact that many important changes have taken place in the Trade and prospects of the Harbour since your report was written and that other important changes are announced to the public as to take effect in the course of the ensuing summer. I have reference more especially to the arrival last year of a class of vessels of larger tonnage and draft than any we had been accustomed to previously, and to the fact that vessels of 2000 tons burden are already advertised to sail between Great Britain and Montreal, as regular Traders, in the course of the ensuing summer. These vessels it is understood, are to be of the great length of 300 feet, that is to say longer by a 100 feet, than the Locks of the Lachine Canal, a circumstance which would necessarily exclude them from participating in the advantages of the projected Docks, so long as the entrance to them was through the route of the Waste Weir of the Canal, as hitherto proposed. Moreover the site of the Victoria Bridge to cross the St. Lawrence has been determined on since your report was drawn up; and although the Harbour Commissioners have no official information on

the subject to guide them, yet they believe it has been decided that the Bridge referred to is to abut on Point St. Charles at a point within the limits of the Harbour, and consequently that it will cross the line marked upon the plan which accompanied your Report as indicating the outer boundary of the proposed Docks.

Under these circumstances, and more especially as the question of Harbour Improvement and Extension, is now practically engaging the attention of the Board of Trade and the public, I am instructed to inquire whether the facts here adverted to, or others which may have occurred to yourself, have induced you to reconsider the scheme you recommended, in conjunction with Mr. Gzowski; or whether anything has occurred to induce you to recommend the immediate prosecution of that additional, but subordinate, part of your plans, so frequently talked over with the Commissioners, viz.: that of covering the shoals in front of the present ship harbor, with such wharves as the nature of the locality will admit of? Your views with reference to improving Hochelaga Bay, are also required, and as the Commissioners are anxious to place your opinions upon all these points before the Board of Trade, to assist them in their deliberations upon the scheme of Harbour Improvements now before them, I am instructed to request that you will furnish me with your views thereon, at your earliest convenience.

I have the honor to be, Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

JOHN GLASS, Sec.

MONTREAL, 14th January, 1854.

Sir,—I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of yesterday, enclosing one from the Council of the Board of Trade of this City to the Harbour Commissioners, and requesting my views upon the present position of the question of enlargement of the Harbour. Some misconception appears to exist, with respect to the report of Mr. Gzowski and myself, upon this subject, arising, probably, from the fact that the instructions under which that report was made have not been closely examined. We were called upon to report upon the "best" means of enlarging the Harbour, and especially upon the practicability of conducting a branch of the Lachine Canal through Craig Street, and forming Docks and Basins where sea going vessels could be brought alongside of warehouses.

We did not consider it necessary to advert to the practicability of constructing breast wharves in Hochelaga Bay, or of extending accommodation similar to that already enjoyed, because the Commissioners did not need Engineers to determine what was so manifest; besides, we were aware that all other available means than what we alluded to, had already been under their consideration, and we felt convinced that when all the disposable room for wharfage similar to the present, had been occupied, there would still be a deficiency of Harbour accommodation—and none at all of that kind which would place this city upon a par with competing Sea Ports.

When it is remembered that the whole of the accommodation for the sea draught is now limited to the space between the Island Wharf and the Lachine Canal, there can be but one opinion as to the necessity for more deep-water wharfage. The present locks of the Canal being too short for Ocean Steamers, we proposed to send the ordinary traders up through the locks to basins under Point St. Charles, in order to vacate the space now occupied by them for the use of the longer craft; but, as even the plan of commencement proposed by us, limited as it was, will require time to carry it out, and as important changes and advances in our position have taken place, as you remark, since that Report was written, I consider the real question now to be: how shall we most expeditiously prepare for the triple line of steamers and the larger sea-going craft which the construction of our railways, the prosperity of the Province, and the deepening of Lake St. Peter are about to bring to our harbor?

Since our report was written, the Corporation have decided upon bringing down the tail-race of the new Water Works to Point St. Charles. This channel will conduct the whole body of water brought from the head of the Lachine Rapids (varying from three to five hundred horse power, according to the season,) and will have a material influence on the dock question at Point St. Charles. The proposition to fill the docks from the Lachine Canal,—although the only possible mode just then,—involved both the consent of the Board of Works, and the rights or claims of mill owners, as well as a higher lockage than was necessary for the purpose of evading the winter floods.

Under these circumstances, I am of opinion that our scheme should now be carried out in its integrity, and wholly independent of the canal; and as it must necessarily be expensive—but can be constructed proportionately cheaper upon a large scale than upon a

small one,—I think the co-operation of the Railway Company, to whom it is most important, should be invited. I am convinced that this is the best means, and the only direction, in which in the words of our instructions, "ample" accommodation can be afforded.

But as such a work must necessarily be one of years, I proceed to state what I think should be first entered upon, in order that immediate accommodation be prepared, and at the same time be made for the larger scheme.

The Commissioners are aware that under my instructions of 6th and 8th September last, I am preparing a report with estimates upon the ship channel between the harbor and tide-water including, of course, the corresponding demands within the harbor. An attempt was made to determine, by boring, the character of the shoals in front of the wharves in October last, but from the difficulties encountered was deferred, to be done upon the ice.

I regret that I cannot speak positively as to the character of these shoals, in order to meet the present call from the Council of the Board of Trade; but as I have no doubt that they are such as can be removed without difficulty, I will explain what I had intended to propose for the purpose of affording immediate relief.

In my report upon the Montreal and Kingston Railway made about two years since—(when the prospects of that work were such as not to warrent the expenditure of such a sum as would carry out the scheme since proposed, in order to make perfect connection with our Harbour) I proposed as follows:—"For the present the buildings could all be confined to the main shore, and wharves be constructed similar to those in the Harbour with moveable fittings —allowing them to be covered by the water in the winter. The extent of accommodation here would be greater than could be afforded elsewhere, because the lower edge of the Point St. Charles Shoal has a frontage of nearly half a mile upon deep water, and directly opposite this there is an Island Shoal nearly thirty acres in extent, with an average of about seven feet only at low water."

This Island Shoal hangs down from the main one under Point St. Charles, and is connected with it by a narrow neck, having nine feet upon it at low water. From the front of the wharves below the Canal, there is nearly a third of a mile of deep water until the "neck" is encountered. There is over twenty feet of water upon each side of this neck, and its width between these depths is 400 yards. I propose to cut a channel through this, and place the excavation upon

the top of the Island Shoal below it, raising this Shoal above water and surrounding it with crib work, after dredging the outer edge of it to twenty feet water. By this step three important objects are gained; a dangerous and troublesome Shoal will be raised above water, and made use of as an Entrepot Wharf; a new outlet and inlet into the Harbour will be obtained, instead of the single narrow pass between the Island Wharf and the Island Shoal referred to; and large Ships and long Steamers, need not then be turned in order to leave the Harbour. This new channel and removal of the Island Shoal would be almost indispensible in order to form a proper approach to the long locks, docks and basins, proposed at Point St. Charles. The new Island Wharf thus formed could be connected with the old by a ferrry boat working into slips upon slack chains lying upon the bottom. This moveable bridge could be used with but little interruption in consequence of the double entrance to the Harbour. The Island Shoal will afford as great a wharf frontage as can be had in Hochelaga Bay, and will enable Lake Craft loading transhipped goods at it, to pass upwards without the aid of tug steamers which will be required if they go below the Current. This extra tugging would not only be a charge on the trade—but in consequence of the narrow entrance to our harbour, any tugging, must always be inconvenient.

Until detailed plans and estimates can be prepared, I would estimate the probable cost of getting rid of this shoal and obtaining a new wharf and a new channel at fifty thousand pounds. If preparations are soon made a large portion of this work could be constructed in the ensuing season and much additional discharging room be ready for the fall ships.

With respect to a line of wharfing along the lower edge of the Point St. Charles Shoal (on the southern line of the proposed new channel) I am of opinion that it should be commenced as part of the main retaining wall of the proposed Point St. Charles Docks. With respect to plans of the docks called for by the Council of the Board of Trade, I beg to say that there is none other than the sketch submitted with our Report. The object of that report was merely to point out the direction in which we considered the attention of the Commissioners should be turned. A well considered plan, with estimates, embracing the full extent of the works will require time, and an appropriation which we did not possess, nor was it proper to go farther with the question until its utility had been fully canvassed. The scale of the works at Point St. Charles may be

extended to an indefinite extent (and this constitutes the chief merit of the site) but the important question is to ascertain how much of it should be undertaken first, and this will very much depend I suppose upon the interest taken in it by the Grand Trunk Company.

I understand the present action of the Harbour Commissioners to be for the purpose of obtaining authority to go into the scheme· Obtain the necessary plans, estimates, and report, as well as the views of the Railway Company and be guided by the results.

With respect to Hochelaga Bay, I entertain no doubt the whole of the available frontage at this point will be occupied with breast wharfes.

The depth of water will be against the extention of piers and formation of slips. If the Bytown Railway goes there it will need nearly the whole frontage for lumber wharves—all of this space and more will be needed for lumber, coal, and ship yards, and if we had more available frontage in the suburbs, it would soon be needed.

The south shore is a blank.—The Island of St. Helens and the opposite shore between the Bonsecours Church and the Longueuil Wharf are useless, and when we look at the wharf frontage not only of rival sea ports, but even of our inland towns, such as Kingston and Toronto, and compare it with our own, it is evident that after having occupied all the ground which the river affords, we must go out of it, and adopt artificial means to make this city what she can be made, the principal port for the imports of the country.

I have the honor to be, Sir,
Your obedient servant,

THOS. KEEFER,
Engineer H. C.

STATEMENT of the Revenue of the Harbor for the five years preceding 1854, furnished to the Board of Trade in compliance with the request of the Council of said body:

1849—Income	£9391	Expenditure	£8055
		Surplus	1336
	£9391		
1850—Income	£10209	Expenditure	£7621
		Surplus	2588
	£10209		
1851—Income	£12459	Expenditure	£10343
		Surplus	2116
	£12459		
1852—Income	£12544	Expenditure	£9954
		Surplus	2590
	£12554		
1853—Income	£15500	Expenditure	£11650
		Surplus	3850
	£15500		

N.B.—This return for 1853 is not strictly accurate, as the accounts for the year are not yet closed; but it may be taken as a close approximation to the final result.

STATEMENT of the Revenue derived from Tonnage passing through Lake St. Peter in the year 1853:

Income	£2427	Expenditure—say interest on debentures	£2825
Deficit	397		
	£2825		£2825

STATEMENT of the number and tonnage of vessels entered and cleared at the Port of Montreal in the five years preceding 1854—distinguishing those entered and cleared at the Custom House, from those entered and cleared at the Office of the Wharfinger:

INWARDS.

	Entered at Custom House.		Entered at Wharfinger's Office.		Total.	
Years.	Vessels.	Tonnage.	Vessels.	Tonnage.	Vessels.	Tonnage.
1849.....	144	37425	.....	.....	.....	.....
1850.....	211	46156	3726	391520	3937	437676
1851.....	243	56788	3846	357115	4091	414363
1852.....	192	46079	3995	379130	4187	425633
1853.....	252	59213	4533	427495	4818	491928

OUTWARDS.

Years.	Entered at Custom House		Entered at Wharfinger's Office.		Total.	
	Vessels.	Tonnage.	Vessels.	Tonnage.	Vessels.	Tonnage.
1849.....	159	38068	.....	.....	.....	.....
1850.....	210	46334	3719	300635	3929	436959
1851.....	252	56391	3838	357115	4090	413406
1852.....	109	47637	3991	379130	4200	416767
1853.....	254	59802	4564	427405	4818	487307

STATEMENT of the number of rafts (with their contents) entered and discharged in the Harbor of Montreal, in each of the four years preceding 1854:

Years.	Rafts.	CONTENTS.		
		Lumber Feet B'd Me'sure.	Timber Cubic Feet	Firewood Crrds.
1850.....	119	202700	234600	3304
1851.....	142	199000	259200	2608
1852.....	165	222500	479200	2770
1853.....	169	227600	377200	3020

JOHN GLASS,
Secretary.

MEMORANDUM

OF

MR. CHARLES LEGGE, CIVIL ENGINEER,

Containing his plans and suggestions for providing additional accommodation for shipping in the Harbor of Montreal alluded to in the foregoing report of Mr. Forsyth, Harbour Engineer.

The attention of the undersigned having been directed to the preparation of a plan for affording additional accommodation for shipping in the present harbour of Montreal, the accompanying sketches have been prepared with that view, and are now submitted with the following explanatory remarks.

The points selected as the sites for the proposed improvements are at the foot of the Lachine Canal and in the Island shoal opposite the central portion of the city.

At the first named point it is suggested that wharves be constructed on each side of the contemplated Tail Race, belonging to the Hydraulic and Dock Scheme, furnishing when completed, a total length of wharfage of 2,300 feet; being 1,000 feet on the north or city side, and 1,309 feet on the south or dock side. The intervening space about 030 feet in width to be dredged to a depth of 20 feet, and the material so obtained placed behind the cribbing on each side, furnishing a total width for the entire length of 100 feet on which to erect temporary sheds, &c., for the reception of flour, goods, &c.

The inner wharf wil be connected to the main shore by a cart road passing under a bridge provided for that purpose in the railway embankment, and following the embankment on a ramp road 30 feet wide, to Windmill Point; a second road can also easily be obtained,

by following the canal embankment and passing underneath the railway swing bridge.

Access to the outer wharf will be had by means of a floating pontoon at the upper end, 200 feet in length, and 30 feet wide, to be put in position in the spring and removed to a place of security on the close of navigation.

These wharves will be raised 10½ feet above summer level, or 2 feet above those of the present harbour.

The constructions will be of the most solid and substantial character, to enable them to resist the impact of ice; the cribbing 30½ feet in height, will possess a width of twenty-one feet on the bottom and eighteen feet on the top, with a front batter of 1 in 10, and plumb in rear; filled from the bottom to the level of summer water, with stones, and from thence to the top with material from the dredging. From the distance of one foot under low water, to the top, the exposed face will be sheeted with 4 inch tamarack planks, securely fastened to the timbers of the superstructure with iron straps and spikes. The top of the wharf over the crib-work, will also be protected in a similar manner with tamarack planks, and the remaining portion of 82 feet in width, covered with pine plank 3 inch thick resting on timber sills placed 4 feet apart secured to sub-sills in order to prevent the floor being disturbed when covered with water and ice during the periods of inundations. The outer slopes will be covered with a rip-rap wall 2 feet thick to protect the embankment against the cutting action of the current.

The cost of the work at this point will be about as follows:

	Cost.	Cost. Per square foot.	Cost. Per lineal foot.	Length of Wharf. feet.	Square feet.
1st wharf near city,	$100,421	$0.99	$116.77	1000	101,000
2nd wharf on opposite side,	$119,570	$0.92	$ 91.77	1300	130,000
Total	$229.991			Ft. 2300	231,000

costing on an average 0.95 cents per square foot of surface.

By running a street 40 feet wide in rear of each wharf, and dividing the space intervening between it and the front into lots, furnishes 11 of 200 feet in length by 60 feet in width, or equivalent to 12,000 square feet each.

These lots could be advantageously leased to parties engaged in shipping business, and a revenue derived therefrom, which would go far towards meeting the interest on the first cost, leaving the dues from vessels occupying berths to pay the balance, and form a sinking fund for the liquidation of the debt.

Temporary sheds can be elected either by the harbour authorities or by those parties leasing the lots, for protecting flour and general merchandise from the weather.

The proximity of those wharves to the manufacturing and milling establishments at the lower entrance of the Lachine Canal, the Grand Trunk Railway, and the warehouses on the city side of the canal, as well as being essentially land wharves, will enhance their value materially; while at the same time constituting the first step towards the Hydraulic and Dock Scheme, of which they will constitute a portion.

The second point selected as a site for proposed harbour extension, is the "Island Shoal" opposite the city.

This shoal forms a continuation of the Point St. Charles one on which the projected dock harbour is located, being connected with it by means of a narrow neck 250 feet in width, over which there is not less than 6 feet draft of water. From the point of this connection, the shoal gradually widens, and extends downwards to a point opposite Bonsecours market, a distance of about 2,400 feet, the average width being 1,100 feet; on the inner side or between it and the city, is the present channel of 300 feet in width, and 20 feet draft of water, while on the opposite or south side, with the exception of the connecting neck before referred to, the draft of water ranges from 11 to 20 feet, affording facilities for a second navigable channel at a moderate outlay, for vessels leaving the hydraulic dock when built; this will surround the entire shoal with 20 feet water, and admit of a portion of it being elevated above the water to form the proposed improvement.

To carry the work out in an economical manner and at the same time to attain the greatest amount of service room for shipping and transacting business, should be the governing considerations in determining the character of the structure to occupy the shoal, at the same time keeping in view the necessity of bringing into use the different sections of the work so soon as completed and the whole plan so arranged as to admit of extension from year to year in order to keep pace with the business requirements of the port, without in any manner marring the general character of the whole when eventually carried out to its full extent. To accomplish this, various plans could be suggested, the most efficient, in the opinion of the undersigned, being one or other of the following.

The sketch as laid down on the plan, shews a scheme, which if car-

ried out, will be second only to the hydraulic and dock one, in point of extent, and facility afforded for exchange of cargoes. It comprises a solid wharf 200 feet in width, raised 10 feet above summer level and running in the form of a horse shoe or magnet, surrounds the shoal on the inner, upper and outer sides, leaving the lower side open. The outer side of the wharf in its entire length, will rest in 20 feet water, and be made up of solid crib work, built and protected in the most approved manner, the cribbing on the inner side, will rest in 10 feet water, bordering on an inner channel dredged to that depth, for the use of the river craft—the space intervening between the internal and external cribs, of 164 feet in breadth, will be filled up to the level of the wharf by the dredging from the various channels, and securely planked over.

The construction will commence at the upper end and proceed downwards as required, forming when completed a deep water wharfage of 4719 feet, with river or 10 feet water wharfage of 4095 feet the superficial area will amount to 868,000 square feet, furnishing a road of 50 feet in width around the entire wharf and 150 feet in width for service ground. This space (within the letters A B C D E F I K on the plan) will give 44 lots of 75 feet by 200 feet, each, in the event of the road being placed in the centre of the wharf; or, 22 lots 150 feet by 200 feet each, should the location of the road be one ither side.

The cost will amount to about $613,396, being $0.71 per square foot, or $141.33 por running foot; this amount though large in the aggregate, is the cheapest in proportion to the extent of accommodation furnished, of either of the plans devised.

In another plan estimated, the width is reduced to 150 feet, with the same extent of wharfage as the previous one—the amount of the estimate is $487,392, with a superficial area of 651,000, at a cost per square foot of $0.75, and $112.30 per lineal foot.

A third plan, still further reduced in width to 100 feet, but with the same length as before, foots up to $410,708, and an area of 434,000 square feet, making each foot cost $0.93, but with a diminution to $94.63 per running foot.

Another modification is to embrace only that portion of the plan within the letters A B C D, making it 240 feet in width, and dredging the channel exterior to it to 20 feet instead of 10 feet as in the previous cases; this channel would take the place of that previously

mentioned as the one to be formed by dredging across the neck of the shoal.

The entire wharf would then be surrounded by deep water, and furnish 5345 feet of wharfage at a cost of $468,889, with a superficial area of 583,200 square feet, making each foot cost $0.84, and every lineal one $192.96.

Abstracting all the foregoing results, we find the following:

ISLAND SHOAL.

	Ft. wide.	Ft. long.	Cost.	Per sqare ft.	Plineal feet.	Area.
Wharf	200	4340	$613,396	$0.71	$141,33	868,000
"	150	4350	$487,393	$0.75	$112 30	651,000
"	100	4340	$410,708	$0.93	$ 94 63	434,000
"	240	2430	$468,889	$0.84	$192.96	583,200
FOOT OF CANAL.						
Inner wharf	100	1000	$100,421	$0.99	$116.77	181,000
Outer wharf	100	1300	$119,570	$0.92	$ 91.97	130,000

From the foregoing it appears that plan No. 1 has the preference over its three competitors, in as far as cost per square foot is concerned, and must therefore be looked upon with a most favorable eye. The accompanying detailed drawings of crib work, &c., will shew the manner in which it is contemplated to execute the work, but as it is of so simple a character, and so well understood, no further reference need be made to it here.

The main object to be served by the construction of the proposed wharf, is the reception of freight from the inland craft, and placing it in such position as to admit of being transferred to the transatlantic vessels without cartage and other incidental expense attending the present landing of goods, &c. A number of sheds can be fitted up for the reception of wheat, which can be discharged into them from the inland craft, by means of floating elevators, and transferred again to the outward vessels, by the same means, when occasion requires.

For connecting the cart traffic with the shore, the plan of the ferry scows suggested by Mr. Keefer some years since, will answer a good purpose. One could be established between the outer end of the Russell pier and the new wharf, the distance being only 450 feet; a second one at the Island wharf with a distance of 350 feet; a third at the Grand Trunk pier, with a distance of 450 feet, when lengthened according to the pencil line; and a fourth at Victoria pier, likewise 450 feet, the slack chain could pass around a drum on the scow, worked by a small caloric engine. The passage of the scow across so short a distance would be rapid, and with capacity for ten or twelve loaded trucks at a time, great expedition would result.

A vast addition to the harbour will be made, spreading the expenditure over a number of years according as required, and placing the additional accommodation in a central position with reference to the existing warehouses in the city, having ready access to them, though the facilities offorded by the scows, moreover, from the revenue derived from the ground rent, being to a certain extent self supporting; in other words, a large share of the interest on the capital employed in the construction, would not be dependent on the ordinary harbour dues, but be realized in another and more equitable manner. A great number of craft would also be brought into the harbour, which at present discharge their freight in the canal basin, without passing the guard lock.

On a careful summing up of the foregoing, the undersigned is of opinion that the interests of the harbour and the commercial community would be best consulted by building the wharves at the foot of the Lachine Canal for the reasons already stated, and then gradually extending plan No. 1 on the Island Shoal, as demanded from time to time by the exigencies of commerce.

CHARLES LEGGE.
Civil Engineer.

MONTREAL, *July, 15th*, 1861.

MINUTE OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE HARBOUR COMMISSIONERS UPON THE FOREGOING REPORT,

At a meeting of the Board of Harbour Commissioners, held at Montreal, on Saturday, 20th July, 1861.

PRESENT:

Commissioners, H. H. WHITNEY, Esq., *Chairman.*
" The Hon. JOHN YOUNG,
" His Worship the Mayor, C. S. RODIER, Esq.,
" A. M. DELISLE, Esq.,
" EDWIN ATWATER, Esq., President, Board of Trade

The foregoing Report of Mr. Forsyth, Harbour Engineer, upon the best mode of procuring additional accomodation in the Harbour, and the Memorandum of Mr. Legge, Civil Engineer, on the same subject having been read and discussed, and the plans prepared by these gentlemen respectively having been duly examined and considered.

MR. DELISLE, seconded by HIS WORSHIP THE MAYOR, moved the following resolution:

"That while the Harbour Commissioners fully appreciate the talent and ingenuity displayed in the plan of Mr. Legge, Civil Engineer, recommending the wharfing of the shoals from Windmill Point downwards, they do not consider that his suggestions, (with the exception of improving the shoals opposite the Island wharf, which they will be prepared to take into consideration, as soon as their means, and the requirements of trade, may justify their doing so) have in any respect affected the opinion they have arrived at, that the most proper and judicious mode of improving the harbour, both as respects the interests of trade generally, and the City of Montreal in particular, is, the construction of breast wharves in twenty feet water, as occasion may require, from the Military Hos-

"pital downwards, according to the recommendation contained in the "Report of Mr. Forsyth, the Harbour Engineer; the same being "considerably cheaper in construction, and affording all the facilities "required by the shipping. And further that Mr. Forsyth's report "be printed and published in pamphlet form, together with the me- "morandum furnished by Mr. Legge, alluded to therein."

To which MR. YOUNG, seconded by MR. ATWATER, moved the following amendment.

"That while it is necessary to increase harbour accommodation in "every part of the harbour where it is required, the wants of the "trade of the port demand greater accommodation than now exists in proximity with the Lachine Canal.

"That till the new wharf under construction at Victoria pier "shall have been completed, and the action of the river thereon as- "certained, it is inexpedient to construct any more wharves below "that point; and from the great expense of cartage from the canal "to the wharves constructed as far down as Monarque street wharf "suggested by Mr. Forsyth, it becomes necessary to carry out, at "once the wharves as agreed on with the Grand Trunk Railway "Company in November, 1859, and to commence the wharves on the "shoals opposite to the city, according to the plan suggested by Mr. "Legge."

The amendment having been put to the vote, the following division took place.

For..... Mr. Young, and Mr. Atwater.

Against..... Mr. Delisle and His Worship the Mayor.

The votes being equally divided, Mr. Whitney, the chairman, voted against the amendment, and so it passed in the negative.

The original motion being then put, the following division took place.

For..... Mr. Delisle, and His Worship the Mayor.

Against..... Mr. Young, and Mr. Atwater.

The votes being again equally divided, Mr. Whitney, the chairman, gave his casting vote in favor of the motion and so it passed in the affirmative, and it was resolved accordingly.

It was then moved by MR. DELISLE, seconded by HIS WORSHIP THE MAYOR, and resolved:

"That the Harbour Commissioners are prepared to carry out with "the 'Montreal Terminus Company' the arrangements entered into

" in November, 1859, with the Grand Trunk Railway Company for " the establishment of a City Terminus."

It was then moved by MR. YOUNG, seconded by MR. ATWATER, and resolved:

" That the plans of harbour extension, prepared respectively " by Mr. Forsyth, and Mr. Legge, be sent to the Board of Trade " Room, for general reference."

ALEX. CLERK,
Secretary.

H. H. WHITNEY.
Chairman.