

CIHM/ICMH Microfiche Series.

CIHM/ICMH Collection de microfiches.



Canadian Institute for Historical Microreproductions / Institut canadian de microreproductions historiques





Technical and Bibliographic Notes/Notes techniques st bibliographiques

The Institute has attempted to obtain the best original copy available for filming. Features of this copy which may be bibliographically unique, which may alter any of the images in the reproduction, or which may significantly change the usual method of filming, are checked below.	L'Institut a microfilmé le meilleur exemplaire qu'il lui a été possible de se procurer. Les détails de cet exemplaire qui sont peut-être uniques du point de vue bibliographique, qui peuvent modifier une image reproduite, ou qui peuvent exiger une modification dans la méthode normale de filmage sont indiqués ci-dessous.
Coloured covers/	Coloured pages/
Couverture de couleur	Pages de cuuleur
Covers damaged/	Pages damaged/
Couverture endommagée	Pages endommagées
Covers restored and/or laminated/	Pages restored and/or laminated/
Couverture restaurée et/ou pailiculée	Pages restaurées et/ou pelliculées
Cover title missing/	Pages discoloured, stained or foxed/
Le titre de couverture manque	Pages décolorées, tachetées ou piquées
Coloured maps/	Pages detached/
Cartes géographiques en couleur	Pages détachées
Coloured ink (i.e. other than blue or black)/	Showthrough/
Encre de couleur (i.e. autre que bleue ou noire)	Transparance
Coloured plates end/or illustrations/	Quality of print varies/
Planches et/ou illustrations en couleur	Qualité inégale de l'impression
Bound with other material/	Includes supplementary material/
Reilé avec d'autres documents	Comprend du matériel supplémentaire
Tight binding may cause shadows or disturtion along interior margin/ La reliure serrée peut causer de l'ombre ou de la distortion le long de la marge intérieure	Oniy edition available/ Seule édition disponible
Blank leaves added during restoration may appear within the text. Whenever possible, these have been omitted from filming/ Il se peut que certaines pages blanches ejoutées lors d'une restauration appareissent dans le texte, mais, lorsque cela était possible, ces pages n'ont pas été filmées.	Silps, tissues, etc., have been refilmed to ensure the best possible image/ Les pages totalement ou partiellement obscurcles par un feuillet d'errata, une pelure, etc., ont été filmées à nouveau de façon à obtenir la meilleure image possible.
Additional comments:/ Various pagings.	

This item is filmed at the raduction ratio checked below/ Ce document est filmé au taux de réduction indiqué ci-dessous.

Commentaires supplémentaires;

V



Th to

Th po of fili

Or be the sid ot firs sid or

The she TIN wh

Ma dif ent beg rigi req me

The copy filmed here has been reproduced thanks to the generosity of:

University of British Columbia Library

The images appearing here are the best quality possible considering the condition and legibility of the original copy and in keeping with the filming contract specifications.

Original copies in printed paper covers are filmed beginning with the front cover and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression, or the hack cover when appropriate. All other original copies are filmed beginning on the first page with a printed or illustrated impression, and ending on the last page with a printed or illustrated impression.

The last recorded frame on each microfiche shall contain the symbol \longrightarrow (meaning "CON-TINUED"), or the symbol ∇ (meaning "END"), whichever applies.

Maps, plates, charts, etc., may be filmed at different reduction ratios. Those too large to be entirely included in one exposure are filmed beginning in the upper left hand corner, left to right and top to bottom, as many frames as required. The following diagrams illustrate the method:



L'exemplaire filmé fut reproduit grâce à la générosité de:

University of British Columbia Library

Les images suivantes ont été reproduites avec le plus grand soin, compte tenu de la condition et de la netteté de l'exemplaire filmé, et en conformité avec les conditions du contrat de filmage.

Les exemplaires originaux dont la couverture en papier est imprimée sont filmés en commençant par le premier plat et en terminant soit par la dernière page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration, soit par le second plat, selon le cas. Tous les autres exemplaires originaux sont filmés en commençant par la première page qui comporte une empreinte d'impression ou d'illustration et en terminant par la dernière page qui comporte une telle empreinte.

Un des symboles suivants apparaîtra sur la dernière image de chaque microfiche, selon le cas: le symbole \longrightarrow signifie "A SUIVRE", le symbole \forall signifie "FIN".

Les cartes, planches, tableaux, etc., peuvent être filmés à des taux de réduction différents. Lorsque le document est trop grand pour être reproduit en un seul cliché, il est filmé à partir de l'angle supérieur gauche, de gauche à droite, et de haut en bas, en prenant le nombre d'images nécessaire. Les diagrammes suivants illustrent la méthode.



1	2	3
4	5	6

ils u lifier ne age

ita

lure

X



01

ASTRONOMY







Bagues Reed

OF

Plate

n

ASTRONOMY.

SIR JOHN F. W. HERSCHEL, BART., K.H.

BY

M.A., D. M. F.R.S.L. & E., HOF, M.R.I.A., F.R.A.S., F.G.S., M.C.U.P.S.

MEMBER OF THE INSTITUTE OF FRANCE;

Strongentistant in Researce Wember of the Imperial, Royal, and National Academies of Sciences of the family of the Imperial, Royal, and National Academies of Sciences of the family of the Imperial, Boyal, and Washington (U.S.). The Italian and Halvetic Societies, International Machineton (U.S.).

The Italian and Helvetic Societies;
Sc. of Albany (U.S.), Bologna, Catania, Dijon, Lausane, Names and Evidence, Rome, Venice, Utrecht, and Wilna;
Philomathic loss as the sector of Bengal; South African Lit, and Phil. Society;
Asiatic Society of Bengal; South African Lit, and Phil. Society;
Asiatic Society of Bengal; South African Lit, and Phil. Society;
Asiatic Society of Bengal; South African Lit, and Phil. Society;
Asiatic Society of Bengal; South African Lit, and Phil. Society;
Asiatic Society of Bengal; South African Lit, and Phil. Society;
Asiatic Society of Bengal; South African Lit, and Phil. Society;
Asiatic Society of Bengal; Society of Reverses, and Soc. of Arts, Manufactures and Commerce, London;
Cold and March and Asiatic Society of Bengin;
Asiatic Society of Bengal; Society of British Uniana;
Sc. & Soc.

SEVER SDITTON.

FOR DIAN.

CAMAN : OBTICTS, & GREEN. TU TOWAN

1 . P.



Bagues Reed

OF

ASTRONOMY.

BY

SIR JOHN F. W. HERSCHEL, BART., K.H.

M.A., D.C.L., F.R.S.L. & E., HON. M.R.I.A., F.R.A.S., F.G.S., M.C.U.P.S.

MEMBER OF THE INSTITUTE OF FRANCE;

Correspondent or Honorary Member of the Inperial, Royal, and National Academies of Sciences of Amsterdam, Berlin, Breslau, Brussels, Copenhagen, Göttingen, Haarlem, Massachusetts (U.S.), Modena, Moscow, Naples, Pesth, Petersburg, Stockholm, Turin, Vienna, and Washington (U.S.) ' The Italian and Heivelo Societics; The Academies, Institutes, &c. of Albany (U.S.), Bologna, Catania, Djon, Lausanne, Nantes, Padua, Palermo, Rome, Venice, Utrecht, and Wilna; The Philomathic Society of Parkai Society of Bengai; South African Lit. and Phill. Society; Literary and Historical Society of Guebec; Historical Society of New York; Batavian Society of Experimental Philosophical Society of New York; Leeds and Manchester Literary and Philosophical Society of Goographical Society of Berlin; Astronomical and Meteorological Society of British Guiana; &c. &c. &c.

SEVENTH EDITION.

LONDON:

LONGMAN, GREEN, LONGMAN, ROBERTS, & GREEN.

1864.



THE REV. W. RUTTER DAWES, F.R.A.S.

&c. &c. &c.

MY DEAR MR. DAWES,

In availing myself of your permission to dedicate to you an Edition of these Outlines, enriched by accounts of several of your own recent discoveries, I should ill acquit myself to my own feelings if I did not add to the expression of that grateful sense of your many and important services to our common Science, which every astronomer must acknowledge, that of affectionate esteem and regard, the natural result of a prolonged and most friendly intercourse.

Believe me,

Very truly yours,

J. F. W. HERSCHEL.

Collingwood, Feb. 15. 1858.

τə



PREFACE

то

THE FIRST EDITION.

THE work here offered to the Public is based upon and may be considered as an extension, and, it is hoped, an improvement of a treatise on the same subject, forming Part 43. of the Cabinet Cyclopædia, published in the year 1833. Its object and general character are sufficiently stated in the introductory chapter of that volume, here reprinted with little alteration; but an opportunity having been afforded me by the Proprietors, preparatory to its re-appearance in a form of more pretension, I have gladly availed myself of it, not only to correct some errors which, to my regret, subsisted in the former volume, but to remodel it altogether (though in complete accordance with its original design as a work of explanation); to introduce much new matter in the earlier portions of it; to re-write, upon a far more matured and comprehensive plan, the part relating to the lunar and planetary perturbations, and to

A 4

PREFACE TO

folld

and

can

resp chos

whi

not.

dist

the

of

plac

the

posi

with

ary

turl

and

per

epo

tion

and

wh

wit

thi

wo

ce

 \mathbf{th}

ge

by

 \mathbf{p}

bring the subjects of sidereal and nebular astronomy to the level of the present state of our knowledge in those departments.

The chief novelty in the volume, as it now stands, will be found in the manner in which the subject of Perturbations is treated. It is not—it cannot be made *elementary*, in the sense in which that word is understood in these days of light reading. The chapters devoted to it must, therefore, be considered as addressed to a class of readers in possession of somewhat more mathematical knowledge than those who will find the rest of the work readily and easily accessible; to readers desirous of preparing themselves, by the possession of a sort of carte du pays, for a campaign in the most difficult, but at the same time the most attractive and the most remunerative of all the applications of modern geometry. More especially they may be considered as addressed to students in that university, where the "Principia" of Newton is not, nor ever will be, put aside as an obsolete book, behind the age; and where the grand though rude outlines of the lunar theory, as delivered in the eleventh section of that immortal work, are studied less for the sake of the theory itself, than for the spirit of far-reaching thought, superior to and disencumbered of technical aids, which distinguishes that beyond any other production of the human intellect.

In delivering a rational as distinguished from a technical exposition of this subject, however, the course pursued by Newton in the section of the "Principia" alluded to, has by no means been servilely

viii

THE FIRST EDITION.

onomy edge in

tands. iect of hot be ord is chaped as somee who ly acelves. for a time f all espelents vton lete ugh 'the lied the dishes in-

he he he

followed. As regards the perturbations of the nodes and inclinations, indeed, nothing equally luminous can ever be substituted for his explanation. But as respects the other disturbances, the point of view chosen by Newton has been abandoned for another, which it is somewhat difficult to perceive why he did not, himself, select. By a different resolution of the disturbing forces from that adopted by him, and by the aid of a few obvious conclusions from the laws of elliptic motion which would have found their place, naturally and consecutively, as corollaries of the seventeenth proposition of his first book (a proposition which seems almost to have been prepared with a special view to this application), the momentary change of place of the upper focus of the disturbed ellipse is brought distinctly under inspection; and a clearness of conception introduced into the perturbations of the excentricities, perihelia, and epochs which the author does not think it presumption to believe can be obtained by no other method, and which certainly is not obtained by that from which it is a departure. It would be out of keeping with the rest of the work to have introduced into this part of it any algebraic investigations; else it would have been easy to show that the mode of procedure here followed leads direct, and by steps (for the subject) of the most elementary character, to the general formulæ for these perturbations, delivered by Laplace in the Mécanique Céleste.*

The reader will find one class of the lunar and planetary inequalities handled in a very different

* Livre ii. chap. viii, art. 67.

ix

PREFACE TO

Td

as to

nom

com

lieve

T nd

then subj

with

valu

for of t

the

sho

fera

ject

into

manner from that in which their explanation is usually presented. It comprehends those which are characterized as incident on the epoch, the principal among them being the annual and secular equations of the moon, and that very delicate and obscure part of the perturbational theory (so little satisfactory in the manner in which it emerges from the analytical treatment of the subject), the constant or permanent effect of the disturbing force in altering the disturbed orbit. I will venture to hope that what is here stated will tend to remove some rather generally diffused misapprehensions as to the true bearings of Newton's explanation of the annual equation.*

If proof were wanted of the inexhaustible fertility of astronomical science in points of novelty and interest, it would suffice to adduce the addition to the list of members of our system of no less than eight new planets and satellites during the preparation of these sheets for the press. Among them is one whose discovery must ever be regarded as one of the noblest triumphs of theory. In the account here given of this discovery, I trust to have expressed myself with complete impartiality; and in the exposition of the perturbative action on Uranus, by which the existence and situation of the disturbing planet became revealed to us, I have endeavoured, in pursuance of the general plan of this work, rather to exhibit a rational view of the dynamical action. than to convey the slightest idea of the conduct of those masterpieces of analytical skill which the researches of Messrs. Leverrier and Adams exhibit.

· Principia, lib. i. prop. 66, cor. 6.

THE FIRST EDITION.

is usuch are incipal ations e part ory in lytical anent urbed here erally ngs of tility d in-

d ino the eight on of one f the here ssed exby oing red. her on, of re-

To the latter of these eminent geometers, as well as to my excellent and esteemed friend the Astronomer Royal, I have to return my best thanks for communications which would have effectually relieved some doubts I at one period entertained had I not succeeded in the interim in getting clear of them as to the *compatibility* of my views on the subject of the annual equation already alluded to, with the tenor of Newton's account of it. To my valued friend, Professor De Morgan, I am indebted for some most ingenious suggestions on the subject of the mistakes committed in the early working of the Julian reformation of the calendar, of which I should have availed myself, had it not appeared preferable, on mature consideration, to present the subject in its simplest form, avoiding altogether entering into minutiæ of chronological discussion.

J. F. W. HERSCHEL.

Collingwood, April 12, 1849.

PREFACE

39 an [] Ec ed ar ce

> tu ju

ed

th tei it an

as

m

tif

als

th

av

of

oι

fo

tł

a

si

6 F

С

8

1

TO

THE FIFTH EDITION.

THE rapid progress of science renders it necessary frequently to revise and bring up elementary works to the existing state of knowledge, under penalty of their becoming obsolete. In former editions of this work, this has been done, so far as it could be done without incurring the necessity of an almost total typographical reconstruction. But Astronomy, within the last few years, has been enriched by so many and such considerable additions, that it has been considered preferable (another edition being called for), not indeed to recast the general plan of the work, but to incorporate these in it in due order and sequence, thereby materially enlarging the volume, and giving it in many respects the air of a new work. The articles thus introduced are distinguished from those of the former editions between which they have been inserted by the addition to the last current number of an *italic* letter — thus, between Arts.

PREFACE TO THE FIFTH EDITION.

394. and 395. will be found inserted 394. a, 394. b, and 394. c. The enclosure of any passage in brackets [] indicates its having been introduced in the Fourth The index references in this as in former Edition. editions, being to the articles and not to the pages, are thus preserved. Together with these recent accessions to our knowledge, I have taken the opportunity of introducing several things which might justly have been noted as deficient in the former editions, - as, for instance, the account of the methods by which the mass of the Earth has been determined, and that of the successful treatment, and it is presumed final subjugation, of those rebellious ancient Solar Eclipses which have so much harassed A brief account of M. Foucault's reastronomers. markable pendulum experiments, and of that beautiful instrument, the gyroscope, is introduced: as are also notices of Professor Thomson's speculations on the origin of the Sun's heat, and his estimate of its average expenditure, as well as of some curious views of M. Jean Reynaud, on the secular variation of our climates, supplementary to those put forward in former editions of this work. I could have wished that its nature and limits would have permitted some account of Mr. Cooper's magnificent contributions to sidereal astronomy, in his catalogue of upwards of 60,000 previously unregistered ecliptic stars; of Mr. Bishop's ecliptic charts and those of M. Chacornac; of Mr. Carrington's elaborate circumpolar catalogue; and of Mr. Jones's immense work on the zodiacal light, forming the third volume of the account of the

y

cs of

is

e

ıl

1-

y

ł

xiii

PREFACE TO

tl

United States' Japan Expedition, which reached me too late to allow of drawing up a fitting analysis of his results. These gentlemen will severally please to accept, however, this respectful tribute of my admiration for their important labours. Some new speculations are also hazarded; as, for instance, on the subject of the Moon's habitability, the cause of the acceleration of Encke's comet. &c., and a few numerical errors are corrected which have hitherto escaped notice and public comment as blemishes,-as for example, in some of the numbers in Art. 422. in the explanation of the phænomena of a lunar eclipse, in the evaluation of the total mass of the atmosphere, Art. 242., and in the distance of the Moon, Art. 403. (for which, however, I am not answerable).

In the numerical statement of special astronomical elements, it is unavoidable that slightly different values of the same quantity should from time to time come to be substituted for those before received, as its determination acquires additional exactness. To have altered the figures in such cases wherever they occur, throughout the letter-press, would have entailed a great probability of error and confusion; and, as the Synoptic Tables of astronomical elements at the end of the work have been carefully revised in conformity with the best current authorities, the reader is requested, whenever he may observe any discrepancy of this nature, to prefer the tabulated values.

Several of the wood-cuts, which were originally drawn correctly, have been inverted right hand for left by the engraver. So far as explanation goes,

xiv

THE FIFTH EDITION.

this is not of the slightest moment. To a reader in the Southern Hemisphere, they are right as they stand; and one in the Northern has only to imagine himself so situated.

JOHN F. W. HERSCHEL

xv

Collingwood, Feb. 17. 1858.

ed me ysis of ease to hy ade new ce, on use of a few therto s,—as 22. in clipse, ohere, . 403.

erent time d, as To they enand, ts at d in the any ated

ally for oes,



LIST OF PLATES.

PLATE I. Frontispiece.

Fig. 1. Faculæ of the Sun.

Fig. 2. Spots on ditto.

- Fig. 3. Appearance of ditto in a total Eclipse, showing the red flames.
- Fig. 4. 5. Spots as seen by Mr. Dawes.

PLATE II. Fig. 1. Messicr's 13th Nebula resolved into Stars.

Fig. 2. The Comet of 1819.

Fig. 3. The Nebula in Andromeda.

PLATE III. Fig. 1. Mars as seen August 16th, 1830.

Fig. 2. Juniter as seen September 23rd, 1832.

Fig. 3. Saturn, showing the interior rings and belts.

- PLATE IV. Fig. 1. The great Nebula in Orion. Fig. 2. The great Nebula in Argo.
- PLATE V. Fig. 1. Nebula (30 Doradûs) in the Nubecula Major.
 - Fig. 2. Lunar Volcano, as shown by a 20 feet reflecting Telescope, aperture 18 inches.
- PLATE VI. Fig. 1. Various Appearances of Halley's Comet at its last Apparition.

Fig. 2. Double Comet of Biela, as seen on its last return.

- Fig. 3. Messier's 51st Nebula as shown by Lord Rosse's great Reflector.
- PLATE A. Figures illustrative of the Perturbations of Uranus by Neptune.
- **PLATE B.** Fig. 1. The willow-leaved structure of the sun's photosphere. Fig. 2. The same in the neighbourhood of a spot.
- PLATE C. A portion of the Moon's surface, from a model by Mr. Nasmyth.

a



PREFACE TO T	HE FIRS	т Ерг	TION	-	-	-	-	Pa	ge vii—zi.
PREFACE TO T	UR FIFT	н Ер	TION	-	-	-	-	•	xii—xv.
INTRODUCTION	•	-	•	-	-	-	-	•	• 1

PART I.

CHAPTER I.

General notions. Apparent and real motions. Shape and size of the Earth. The horizon and its dip. The atmosphere. Refraction. Twilight. Appearances resulting from diurnal motion. From change of station in general. Parallactic motions. Terrestrial parallax. That of the stars insensible. First step towards forming an idea of the distance of the stars. Copernican view of the Earth's motion. Relative motion. Motions partly real, partly apparent. Geocentric astronomy, or ideal reference of phænomena to the Earth's centre as a common conventional station

CHAPTER II.

Terminology and elementary geometrical conceptions and relations. I									
minology relating to	the globe	of the	Earth	-to the	celestial	sphe	ere.		
Celestial perspective	-	•	-	•	•	-	58		

CHAPTER III.

Of the nature of astronomical instruments and observations in general. Of sidereal and solar time. Of the measurements of time. Clocks. chronometers. Of astronomical measurements. Principle of telescopic ights to increase the accuracy of pointing. Simplest application of this principle. The transit instrument. Of the measurement of angular intervals. Methods of increasing the accuracy of reading. The vernier. The microscope. Of the mural circle. The Meridian circle. Fixation of polar and horizontal points. The level, plumbline, artificial horizon. Principle of collimation. Collimators of Rittenhouse, Kater, and Bohnenberger. Of compound instruments with co-ordinate circles. The equatorial, altitude, and azimuth instrument. Theodolite. Of the sextant and reflecting circle. Principle of repetition. Of micrometers. Parallel wire micrometer. Principle of the duplication of images. The heliometer. Double refracting eye-piece. Variable prism micrometer. Of the position micrometer. Illumination of wires. Solar telescope and eye-piece. Helioscopy. Collimation of large reflectors -- 75

CHAPTER IV.

OF GEOGRAPHY.

Of the figure of the Earth. Its exact dimensions. Its form that of equilibrium modified by centrifugal force. Variation of gravity on its surface. Statical and dynamical measures of gravity. The pendulum. Gravity to a spheroid. Other effects of the Earth's rotation. Trade winds. Veering of the winds. Cyclones. Foucault's pendulum. The gyroscope. Determination of geographical positions—of latitudes—of longitudes. Conduct of a trigonometrical survey. Of maps. Projections of the sphere. Measurement of heights by the barometer.

Page 129

Of

Of

Gr

CHAPTER V.

OF URANOGRAFHY.

Construction of celestial maps and globes by observations of right ascension and declination. Celestial objects distinguished into fixed and erratic. Of the constellations. Natural regions in the heavens. The Milky Way. The Zodiac. Of the ecliptic. Celestial latitudes and longitudes. Precession of the equinoxes. Nutation. Aberration. Refraction. Parallax. Summary view of the uranographical corrections - 190

CHAPTER VI.

OF THE SUN'S MOTION AND PHYSICAL CONSTITUTION.

Apparent motion of the Sun not uniform. Its apparent diameter also variable. Variation of its distance concluded. Its apparent orbit an ellipse about the focus. Law of the angular velocity. Equable description of arcas. Parallax of the sun. Its distance and magnitude. Copernican explanation of the Sun's apparent motion. Parallelism of the Earth's axis. The seasons. Heat received from the Sun in different parts of the orbit. Effect of excentricity of the orbit and position of its axis on elimate. Mean and true longitudes of the Sun. Equation of the center. Sidereal, tropical, and anomalistic years. Physical constitution of the Sun. Its spots, Faculae, Probable nature and cause of the spots. Recent discoveries of Mr. Dawes. Of Mr. Nasmyth. Rotation of the Sun on its axis. Its atmosphere. Supposed clouds. Periodical recurrence of a more and less spetted state of its surface. Temperature of its surface. Its expenditure of heat. Probable cause of solar radiation 219

CHAPTER VH.

Of the Moon. Its sidereal period. Its apparent diameter. Its parallax, distance, and real diameter. First approximation to its orbit. An ellipse about the Earth in the focus. Its excentricity and inclination. Motion of its nodes and apsides. Of occultations and solar eelipses generally. Limits within which they are possible. They prove the Moon to be an opaque solid. Its light derived from the Sun. Its

ΧХ

phases. Synodic revolution or lunar month. Harvest Moon. Of eclipses more particularly. Their phænomena. Their periodical recurrence. Physical constitution of the Moon. Its mountains and other superficial features. Indications of former volcanic activity. Its atmosphere. Climate. Radiation of heat from its surface. Rotation on its own axis. Libration. Appearance of the Earth from it. Probable elongation of the Moon's figure in the direction of the Earth. Its habitability not impossible. Charts, models, and photographs of its surface - Page 262

CHAPTER VIII.

Of terrestrial gravity. Of the law of universal gravitation. Paths of projectiles, apparent, real. The Moon retained in her orbit by gravity. Its law of diminution. Laws of elliptic motion. Orbit of the Earth round the Sun in accordance with these laws. Masses of the Earth and Sun compared. Density of the Sun. Force of gravity at its surface. Disturbing effect of the Sun on the Moon's motion - 290

CHAPTER IX.

OF THE SOLAR SYSTEM.

CHAPTER X.

OF THE SATELLITES.

Of the Moon, as a satellite of the Earth. General proximity of satellites to their primaries, and consequent subordination of their motions. Masses of the primaries concluded from the periods of their satellites. Maintenance of Kepler's laws in the secondary systems. Of Jupiter's satellites. Their eclipses, etc. Velocity of light discovered by their means. Satellites of Saturn—of Uranus—of Neptune - 354

CHAPTEP XI.

OF COMETS.

Great number of recorded comets. The number of those unrecorded probably much greater. General description of a comet. Comets without tails, or with more than one. Their extreme tenuity. Their probable structure. Motions conformable to the law of gravity. Actual dimensions of comets. Periodical return of several. Halley's comet. Other uncient comets probably periodic. Encke's comet--

at of on its dum. Trade The s-of Pror. 129

and The and tion. cor-190

also it an nable wide. m of n in and Sun. ears. oable wwes. bere. otted re of 219

llax, An tion. ipses the Its xxi

Biela's — Faye's — Lexell's — De Vico's — Brorsen's — Peter's. Great comet of 1843. Its probable identity with several older comets. Great interest at present attached to cometary astronomy, and its reasons. Remarks on cometary orbits in general. Great comet of 1858 Page 370

PART II.

OF THE LUNAR AND PLANETARY PERTURBATIONS.

CHAPTER XII.

Subject propounded. Problem of three bodies. Superposition of small motions. Estimation of the disturbing force. Its geometrical representation. Numerical estimation in particular cases. Resolution into rectangular components. Radial, transversal, and orthogonal disturbing forces. Normal and tangential. Their characteristic effects. Effects of the orthogonal force. Motion of the nodes. Conditions of their advance and recess. Cases of an exterior planet disturbed by an interior. The reverse case. In every case the node of the disturbed orbit recedes on the plane of the disturbing on an average. Combined effect of many such disturbances. Motion of the Moon's nodes. Change of inclination. Conditions of its increase and diminution. Average effect in a whole revolution. Compensation in a complete revolution of the nodes. Lagrange's theorem of the stability of the inclinations of the planetary orbits. Change of obliquity of the ecliptic. Precession of the equinoxes explained. Nutation. Principle of forced vibrations - 410

CHAPTER XIII.

THEORY OF THE AXES, PERIHELIA, AND EXCENTRICITIES.

Variation of elements in general. Distinction between periodic and secular variations. Geometrical expression of tangential and normal forces. Variation of the Major Axis produced only by the tangential force. Lagrange's theorem of the conservation of the mean distances and periods. Theory of the Perihelia and excentricities. Geometrieal representation of their momentary variations. Estimation of the disturbing forces in nearly circular orbits. Application to the case of the Moon. Th. my of the lunar apsides and excentricity. Experimental illustration. Application of the foregoing principles to the Planetary theory. Compensation in orbits very nearly circular. Effects of ellipticity. General results. Lagrange's theorem of the stability of the excentricities - 445

CHAPTER XIV.

Of the inequalities independent of the excentricities. The Moon's variation and parallactic inequality. Analogous planetary inequalities. The dep Sate elen Van the qua roid sate the sate disc

sity

Of th scal con Mil par Int tion Pan tha lax tau Lis tud

Varia gu ter Do sys un Ac nic the

Three cases of Planetary perturbation distinguished. Of inequalities dependent on the excentricites. Long inequality of Jupiter and Saturn. Law of reciprocity between the periodical variations of the elements of both planets. Long inequality of the Earth and Venus. Variation of the epoch. Inequalities incident on the epoch affecting the mean motion. Interpretation of the constant part of these inequalities. Annual equation of the Moon. Her secular acceleration. Lunar inequalities due to the action of Venus. Effect of the spheroidal figure of the Earth and other planets on the motions of their satellites. Of the tides. Masses of disturbing bodies deducible from the perturbations they produce. Mass of the Moon, and of Jupiter's satellites, how ascertained. Perturbations of Uranus resulting in the discovery of Neptune. Determination of the absolute mass and density of the Earth - Page 486

PART III.

OF SIDEREAL ASTRONOMY.

CHAPTER XV.

Of the fixed stars. Their classification by magnitudes. Photometric scale of magnitudes. Conventional or vulgar scale. Photometric comparison of stars. Distribution of stars over the heavens. Of the Milky Way or galaxy. Its supposed form that of a flat stratum partially subdivided. Its visible course among the constellations. Its Internal structure. Its apparently indefinite extent in certain directions. Of the distance of the fixed stars. Their annual parallax. Parallactic unit of sidereal distance. Effect of parallax analogous to that of aberration. How distinguished from it. Detection of parallax by meridional observations. Henderson's application to a centauri. By differential observations. Discoveries of Bessel and Struve. List of stars in which parallax has been detected. Of the real magnitudes of the stars. Comparison of their lights with that of the Sun -561

CHAPTER XVI.

Variable and periodical stars. List of those already known. Irregularities in their periods and lustre when brightest. Irregular and temporary stars. Ancient Chinese records of several. Missing stars. Double stars. Their classification. Specimens of each class. Binary systems. Revolution round each other. Describe elliptic orbits under the Newtonian law of gravity. Elements of orbits of several. Actual dimensions of their orbits. Coloured double stars. Phænomenon of complementary colours. Sanguine stars. Proper motion of the stars. Partly accounted for by a real motion of the Sun. Situation of the solar npex. Agreement of southern and northern stars

reat reat sons.

370

mall preinto disects. is of 1 by disage. on's inuomy of the rin-410

and mal tial trithe ase Exto lar. the 445

> vaies.

in giving the same result. Principles on which the investigation of the solar motion depends. Absolute velocity of the Sun's motion. Supposed revolution of the whole sidereal system round a common centre. Systematic parallax and aberration. Effect of the motion of light in altering the apparent period of a binary star - Page 596

CHAPTER XVII.

OF CLUSTERS OF STARS AND NEBULÆ.

Of clustering groups of stars. Globular clusters. Their stability dynamically possible. List of the most remarkable. Classification of nebulæ and clusters. Their distribution over the heavens. Irregular clusters. Resolvability of nebulæ. Theory of the formation of clusters by nebulous subsidence. Of elliptic nebulæ. That of Andromeda. Annular and planetary nebulæ. Double nebulæ. Nebulous stars. Connection of nebulæ with double stars. Insulated nebulæ of forms not wholly irregular. Of amorphous nebulæ. Their law of distribution marks them as outliers of the galaxy. Nebulæ and nebulous group of Orion — of Argo—of Sagittarius—of Cygnus. The Magellanic clouds. Singular nebula in the greater of them. Variable nebulæ. The zodiacal light. Shooting stars. Speculations on the dynamical origin of the Sun's heat

PART IV.

OF THE ACCOUNT OF TIME.

CHAPTER XVIII,

Natural units of time. Relation of the sidereal to the solar day affected by precession. Incommensurability of the day and year. Its inconvenience. How obviated. The Julian Calendar. Irregularities at its first introduction. Reformed by Augustus. Gregorian reformation. Solar and lunar cycles. Indiction. Julian period. Table of Chronological eras. Rules for calculating the days elapsed between given Dates. Equinoctial time. Fixation of ancient dates by eclipses. Notes and additions

uny

anal first

com adop of an any obse natu hima

APPENDIX.

I. Lists of Northern and Southern Stars, with their app	roxin	nate	
Magnitudes, on the Vulgar and Photometric Scale	-	-	705
II. Synoptic Table of the Elements of the Planetary Sys	tem	•	707
III. Synoptie Table of the Elements of the Orbits of the	Sate	llites,	
so far as they are known	-	-	712
IV. Elements of Periodical Comets	-	-	715
Table of Numbers in frequent use among Astronomers	-	-	716
lspex	-	-	717

xxiv

G

OF

ASTRONOMY.

The reader is requested to correct the following ERRATA,

In § 815, list of parallaxes, for 1830 Groomhridge † - 0.71 (Wichmann) read 70, p. Ophiuchi - 0.16 (Krüger). And dele note † at foot of page.

" 833, line 39 of §, for 9750 read 125.

" ", 48 ", ", 173524 read 4340.

Herschel's 'Outlines of Astronomy.'

only so, but too orten also erroneously, owing to instatten analogies, and the general prevalence of vulgar errors. As a first preparation, therefore, for the course he is about to commence, he must loosen his hold on all crude and hastily adopted notions, and must strengthen himself, by something of an effort and a resolve, for the unprejudiced admission of any conclusion which shall appear to be supported by careful observation and logical argument, even should it prove of a nature adverse to notions he may have previously formed for himself, or taken up, without examination, on the credit of

of on. ion of 596

naular lusdrolous æ of and The iable the 633

ected nconics at ation. ronogiven Notes 666

in giving the same result. Principles on which the investigation of the solar motion depends. Absolute velocity of the Sun's motion. Supposed revolution of the whole sidereal system round a common centre. Systematic parallax and aberration. Effect of the motion of light in altering the apparent period of a binary star - Page 596

CHAPTER XVII.

OF CLUSTERS OF STARS AND NEBULZE.

Of clustering groups of stars. Globular clusters. Their stability dynamically possible. List of the most remarkable. Classification of nebulæ and clusters. Their distribution over the heavens. Irregular clusters. Resolvability of nebulæ. Theory of the formation of clusters by nebulous subsidence. Of clliptic nebulæ. That of Andro-

intes.	raquino	etai	ume.	rixa	non or	ancier	n annes	by	ec	npses.	notes	
and add	litions	-	-	-	-	-			•	•	666	

APPENDIX.

I. Lists of Northern and Southern Stars, with their app	oroxi	mate	
Magnitudes, on the Vulgar and Photometrie Scale	-	-	705
II. Synoptic Table of the Elements of the Planetary Syn	stem	-	707
III. Synoptic Table of the Elements of the Orbits of the	Sate	ellites,	
so far as they are known	-	-	712
IV. Elements of Periodical Comets	-	-	715
Table of Numbers in frequent use among Astronomers	-	-	716
INDEX	-	-	717

(1.) espe not d learn them necti whie fore, whie enter at le notic only anald first com adop of an any obsei natu hims

xxiv

f n of

of ar s-

666

05

07

712 715

716 717 OF

ASTRONOMY.

INTRODUCTION.

(1.) EVERY student who enters upon a scientific pursuit, especially if at a somewhat advanced period of life, will find not only that he has much to learn, but much also to un-Familiar objects and events are far from presenting learn. themselves to our senses in that aspect and with those connections under which science requires them to be viewed, and which constitute their rational explanation. There is, therefore, every reason to expect that those objects and relations which, taken together, constitute the subject he is about to enter upon will have been previously apprehended by him, at least imperfectly, because much has hitherto escaped his notice which is essential to its right understanding: and not only so, but too often also erroneously, owing to mistaken analogies, and the general prevalence of vulgar errors. As a first preparation, therefore, for the course he is about to commence, he must loosen his hold on all crude and hastily adopted notions, and must strengthen himself, by something of an effort and a resolve, for the unprejudiced admission of any conclusion which shall appear to be supported by careful observation and logical argument, even should it prove of a nature adverse to notions he may have previously formed for himself, or taken up, without examination, on the credit of
2

others. Such an effort is, in fact, a commencement of that intellectual discipline which forms one of the most important ends of all science. It is the first movement of approach towards that state of mental purity which alone can fit us for a full and steady perception of moral beauty as well as physical adaptation. It is the "euphrasy and rue" with which we must "purge our sight" before we can receive and contemplate as they are the lineaments of truth and nature.

(2.) There is no science which, more than astronomy, stands in need of such a preparation, or draws more largely on that intellectual liberality which is ready to adopt whatever is demonstrated, or concede whatever is rendered highly probable, however new and uncommon the points of view may be in which objects the most familiar may thereby become placed. Almost all its conclusions stand in open and striking contradiction with those of superficial and vulgar observation, and with what appears to every one, until he has understood and weighed the proofs to the contrary, the most positive evidence of his senses. Thus, the earth on which he stands, and which has served for ages as the unshaken foundation of the firmest structures, either of art or nature, is divested by the astronomer of its attribute of fixity, and conceived by him as turning swiftly on its centre, and at the same time moving onwards through space with great The sun and the moon, which appear to untaught rapidity. eyes round bodies of no very considerable size, become enlarged in his imagination into vast globes, - the one approaching in magnitude to the earth itself, the other immensely surpassing it. The planets, which appear only as stars somewhat brighter than the rest, are to him spacious, elaborate, and habitable worlds; several of them much greater and far more curiously furnished than the earth he inhabits, as there are also others less so; and the stars themselves, properly so called, which to ordinary apprehension present only lucid sparks or brilliant atoms, are to him suns of various and transcendent glory-effulgent centres of life and light to myriads of unseen worlds. So that when, after dilating his thoughts to comprehend the grandeur of those

ic g m hi sp vi m

li⊊

w pe to tei mi tal the tha sho tio on fac one as asi afte ado (woi ratl latt obje und of to

voh

bein

copi

INTRODUCTION.

ιt

ıt

h

 \mathbf{nr}

si-

ch

n-

y,

ly

it-

W

)e-

nd

gar

the

on

ın-

or

ty,

ind

eat

 $_{ght}$

enap-

imr as

us,

uch

he

emsion

uns

life fter

1050

he

ideas his calculations have called up, and exhausting his imagination and the powers of his language to devise similes and metaphors illustrative of the immensity of the scale on which his universe is constructed, he shrinks back to his native sphere, he finds it, in comparison, a mere point; so lost even in the minute system to which it belongs— as to be invisible and unsuspected from some of its principal and remoter members.

(3.) There is hardly any thing which sets in a stronger light the inherent power of truth over the mind of man, when opposed by no motives of interest or passion, than the perfect readiness with which all these conclusions are assented to as soon as their evidence is clearly apprehended, and the tenacious hold they acquire over our belief when once admitted. In the conduct, therefore, of this volume, I shall take it for granted that the reader is more desirous to learn the system which it is its object to teach, as it now stands, than to raise or revive objections against it; and that, in short, he comes to the task with a willing mind; an assumption which will not only save the trouble of piling argument on argument to convince the seeptical, but will greatly facilitate his actual progress; inasmuch as he will find it at once easier and more satisfactory to pursue from the outset a straight and definite path, than to be constantly stepping aside, involving himself in perplexities and circuits, which, after all, can only terminate in finding himself compelled to adopt the same road.

(4.) The method, therefore, we propose to follow in this work is neither strictly the analytic nor the synthetic, but rather such a combination of both, with a leaning to the latter, as may best suit with a *didactic* composition. Its object is not to convince or refute opponents, nor to inquire, under the semblance of an assumed ignorance, for principles of which we are all the time in full possession — but simply to *teach* what is *known*. The moderate limit of a single volume, to which it will be confined, and the necessity of being on every point, within that limit, rather diffuse and copious in explanation, as well as the eminently matured and

B 2

ascertained character of the science itself, render this course both practicable and eligible. Practicable, because there is now no danger of any revolution in astronomy, like those which are daily changing the features of the less advanced sciences, supervening, to destroy all our hypotheses, and throw our statements into confusion. Eligible, because the space to be bestowed, either in combating refuted systems, or in leading the reader forward by slow and measured steps from the known to the unknown, may be more advantageously devoted to such explanatory illustrations as will impress on him a familiar and, as it were, a practical sense of the sequence of phenomena, and the manner in which they are produced. We shall not, then, reject the analytic course where it leads more easily and directly to our objects, or in any way fetter ourselves by a rigid adherence to method. Writing only to be understood, and to communicate as much information in as little space as possible, consistently with its distinct and effectual communication, no sacrifice can be afforded to system, to form, or to affectation.

(5.) We shall take for granted, from the outset, the Copernican system of the world; relying on the easy, obvious, and natural explanation it affords of all the phenomena as they come to be described, to impress the student with a sense of its truth, without either the formality of demonstration or the superfluous tedium of eulogy, calling to mind that important remark of Bacon: — "Theoriarum vires, areta et quasi se mutuo sustinente partium adaptatione, quâ quasi in orbem coharent, firmantur *;" not failing, however, to point out to the reader, as occasion offers, the contrast which its superior simplicity offers to the complication of other hypotheses.

(6.) The preliminary knowledge which it is desirable that the student should possess, in order for the more advantageous perusal of the following pages, consists in the familiar practice of acquai and sp enough the te Of couperusa plete every clearno absene say as (7.)

reader studies the lat that it of this an emi like a those v and pr its san only to ledge o without any of him to within possess with t illustra positio of imp presen such 1 to be 1 sense d

^{* &}quot;The confirmation of theories relies on the compact adaptation of their parts, by which, like those of an arch or dome, they mutually sustain each other, and form a coherent whole," This is what Dr. Whewell expressively terms the *constilence* of inductions.

INTRODUCTION.

5

tice of decimal and sexagesimal arithmetic; some moderate acquaintance with geometry and trigonometry, both plane and spherical; the elementary principles of mechanics; and enough of optics to understand the construction and use of the telescope, and some other of the simpler instruments. Of course, the more of such knowledge he brings to the perusal, the casier will be his progress, and the more complete the information gained; but we shall endeavour in every case, as far as it can be done without a sacrifice of clearness, and of that useful brevity which consists in the absence of prolixity and episode, to render what we have to say as independent of other books as possible.

(7.) After all, I must distinctly caution such of my readers as may commence and terminate their astronomical studies with the present work (though of such, - at least in the latter predicament, --- I trust the number will be few), that its utmost pretension is to place them on the threshold of this particular wing of the temple of Science, or rather on an eminence exterior to it, whence they may obtain something like a general notion of its structure; or, at most, to give those who may wish to enter a ground-plan of its accesses, and put them in possession of the pass-word. Admission to its sanctuary, and to the privileges and feelings of a votary, is only to be gained by one means, - sound and sufficient knowledge of mathematics, the great instrument of all exact inquiry, without which no man can ever make such advances in this or any other of the higher departments of science as can entitle him to form an independent opinion on any subject of discussion within their range. It is not without an effort that those who possess this knowledge can communicate on such subjects with those who do not, and adapt their language and their illustrations to the necessities of such an intercourse. Propositions which to the one are almost identical, are theorems of import and difficulty to the other; nor is their evidence presented in the same way to the mind of each. In teaching such propositions, under such circumstances, the appeal has to be made, not to the pure and abstract reason, but to the sense of analogy - to practice and experience: principles and

в З

6

modes of action have to be established not by direct argument from acknowledged axioms, but by continually recurring to the sources from which the axioms themselves have been drawn; viz. examples; that is to say, by bringing forward and dwelling on simple and familiar instances in which the same principles and the same or similar modes of action take place: thus erecting, as it were, in each particular case, a separate induction, and constructing at each step a little body of science to meet its exigencies. The difference is that of pioneering a road through an untraversed country and advancing at ease along a broad and beaten highway; that is to say, if we are determined to make ourselves distinctly understood, and will appeal to reason at all. As for the method of assertion, or a direct demand on the faith of the student (though in some complex cases indispensable, where illustrative explanation would defeat its own end by becoming tedious and burdensome to both parties), it is one which I shall neither willingly adopt nor would recommend to others.

(8.) On the other hand, although it is something new to abandon the road of mathematical demonstration in the treatment of subjects susceptible of it, and to teach any considerable branch of science entirely or chiefly by the way of illustration and familiar parallels, it is yet not impossible that those who are already well acquainted with our subject, and whose knowledge has been acquired by that confessedly higher practice which is incompatible with the avowed objects of the present work, may yet find their account in its perusal, -- for this reason, that it is always of advantage to present any given body of knowledge to the mind in as great a variety of different lights as possible. It is a property of illustrations of this kind to strike no two minds in the same manner, or with the same force; because no two minds are stored with the same images, or have acquired their notions of them by similar habits. Accordingly, it may very well happen, that a proposition, even to one best acquainted with it, may be placed not merely in a new and uncommon, but in a more impressive and satisfactory light by such a course --- some obscurity may be dissipated, some inward misgivings cleared

up, cept befo the stud then harn to la what (9 nical too num to h lines appli conc not i the c know in tl sonir empl ginal were stant imag from direc assoc supp \mathbf{syml} into I ca degr

be e

phra

INTRODUCTION.

up, or even some links supplied which may lead to the perception of connections and deductions altogether unknown before. And the probability of this is increased when, as in the present instance, the illustrations chosen have not been studiously selected from books, but are such as have presented themselves freely to the author's mind as being most in harmony with his own views; by which, of course, he means to lay no claim to originality in all or any of them beyond what they may really possess.

(9.) Besides, there are cases in the application of mechanical principles with which the mathematical student is but too familiar, where, when the data are before him, and the numerical and geometrical relations of his problems all clear to his conception, - when his forces are estimated and his lines measured, - nay, when even he has followed up the application of his technical processes, and fairly arrived at his conclusion, - there is still something wanting in his mind not in the evidence, for he has examined each link, and finds the chain complete - not in the principles, for those he well knows are too firmly established to be shaken - but precisely in the mode of action. He has followed out a train of reasoning by logical and technical rules, but the signs he has employed are not pictures of nature, or have lost their original meaning as such to his mind: he has not seen, as it were, the process of nature passing under his eye in an instant of time, and presented as a consecutive whole to his imagination. A familiar parallel, or an illustration drawn from some artificial or natural process, of which he has that direct and individual impression which gives it a reality and associates it with a name, will, in almost every such case, supply in a moment this deficient feature, will convert all his symbols into real pictures, and infuse an animated meaning into what was before a lifeless succession of words and signs. I cannot, indeed, always promise myself to attain this degree of vividness of illustration, nor are the points to be elucidated themselves always capable of being so paraphrased (if I may use the expression) by any single in-

8

stance adducible in the ordinary course of experience; but the object will at least be kept in view; and, as I am very conscious of having, in making such attempts, gained for myself much clearer views of several of the more concealed effects of planetary perturbation than I had acquired by their mathematical investigation in detail, it may reasonably be hoped that the endeavour will not always be unattended with a similar success in others.

(10.) From what has been said, it will be evident that our aim is not to offer to the public a technical treatise, in which the student of practical or theoretical astronomy shall find consigned the minute description of methods of observation, or the formulæ he requires prepared to his hand, or their demonstrations dravn out in detail. In all these the present work will be found meagre, and quite inadequate to his wants. Its aim is entirely different; being to present to him in each case the mere ultimate rationale of facts, arguments, and processes; and, in all cases of mathematical application, avoiding whatever would tend to encumber its pages with algebraic or geometrical symbols, to place under his inspection that central thread of common sense on which the pearls of analytical research are invariably strung; but which, by the attention the latter claim for themselves, is often concealed from the eye of the gazer, and not always disposed in the straightest and most convenient form to follow by those who string them. This is no fault of those who have conducted the inquiries to which we allude. The contention of mind for which they call is enormous; and it may, perhaps, be owing to their experience of how little can be accomplished in carrying such processes on to their conclusion, by mere ordinary clearness of head; and how necessary it often is to pay more attention to the purely mathematical conditions which ensure success, — the hooks-and-eyes of their equations and series, - than to those which er hain causes with their effects, and both with the human reason, - that we must attribute something of that indistinctness of view which is often complained of as a grievance by the carnest student, and still more commonly ascribed ironically to the native

elo hei ela tha eon to No dit hai its in his ade tio ma and is a pro (kne hist dev mo pro ind dev fro gra and not ma acq in l mit be reg ran

INTRODUCTION.

cloudiness of an atmosphere too sublime for vulgar comprehension. We think we shall render good service to both classes of readers, by dissipating, so far as lies in our power, that aceidental obscurity, and by showing ordinary untutored comprehension clearly what it *can*, and what it *cannot*, hope to attain.

(10 a.) To conclude: "Rome was not built in a day." No grand practical result of human industry, genius, or meditation, has sprung forth entire and complete from the master hand or mind of an individual designer working straight to its object, and foreseeing and providing for all details. As in the building of a great city, so in every such product, its historian has to record rude beginnings, circuitous and inadequate plans; frequent demolition, renewal and rectification; the perpetual removal of much cumbrous and unsightly material and seaffolding, and constant opening out of wider and grander conceptions; till at length a unity and a nobility is atteined, little dreamed of in the imagination of the first projector.

(10 b.) The same is equally true of every great body of knowledge, and would be found s snally exemplified in the history of astronomy, did the object of this work allow us to devote a portion of it to its relation. What concerns us more is, that the same remark is no less applicable to the process by which knowledge is built up in the mind of each individual, and by which alone it can attain any extensive development or any grand proportions. No man can rise from ignorance to anything deserving to be called a complete grasp of any considerable branch of science without receiving and discarding in succession many crude and incomplete notions, which so far from injuring the truth in its ul.imate reception, act as positive aids to its attainment by acquainting him with the symptoms of an insecure footing in his progress. To reach from the plain the loftiest summits of an Alpine country, many inferior eminences have to be sealed and relinquished; but the labour is not lost. The region is unfolded in its closer recesses, and the grand panorama which opens from aloft is all the better understood and

out ery for led by oly led

our

ich nd on, le- \mathbf{nt} its. ich nd m, ith e**c-** \mathbf{rls} by min **bse** 011of DS, ed ere to ns 1:1ith we \mathbf{ch}

nt,

ve

the more enjoyed for the very misconceptions in detail which it rectifies and explains.

(10 c.) Astronomy is very peculiarly in this predicament. Its study to each individual student is a continual process of rectification and correction—of abandoning one point of view for another higher and better—of temporary and occasional reception of even positive and admitted errors for the convenience they afford towards giving clear notions of important truths whose essence they do not affect, by sparing him that contention of mind which fatigues and distresses. We know, for example, that the earth's diurnal motion is real, and that of the heavens only apparent; yet there are many problems in astronomy which are not only easier conceived, but more simply resolved by adopting the idea of a diurnal rotation of the heavens, it being understood once for all that appearances are alike in both suppositions.

GENER. SIZE MOSI SULT IN C LAX. WAR COPF MOTI CENT TO STAT

(11.)

of the constit known other, and es of obje The to the as state of the as state of the to the as state of the to the as state of the to the obje the to the obje the to the obje the to the to the obje the obj

* Αστ that αστ over, coi † Λο

GENERAL NOTIONS.

CHAPTER 1.

GENERAL NOTIONS. — APPARENT AND REAL MOTIONS. — SHAPE AND SIZE OF THE EARTH. — THE HORIZON AND ITS DIP. — THE AT-MOSPHERE. — REFRACTION. — TWILIGHT. — APPEARANCES RE-SULTING FROM DIURNAL MOTION — FROM CHANGE OF STATION IN GENERAL. — PARALLACTIC MOTIONS. — TERRESTRIAL PARAL-LAX. — THAT OF THE STARS INSENSIBLE. — FIRST STEP TO-WARDS FORMING AN IDEA OF THE DISTANCE OF THE STARS. — COPERNICAN VIEW OF THE EARTH'S MOTION. — RELATIVE MOTION. — MOTIONS PARTLY REAL, PARTLY APPARENT. — GEO-CENTRIC ASTRONOMY, OR IDEAL REFERENCE OF PHÆNOMENA TO THE EARTH'S CENTRE AS A COMMON CONVENTIONAL STATION.

(11.) THE magnitudes, distances, arrangement, and motions of the great bodies which make up the visible universe, their constitution and physical condition, so far as they can be known to us, with their mutual influences and actions on each other, so far as they can be traced by the effects produced, and established by legitimate reasoning, form the assemblage of objects to which the attention of the astronomer is directed. The term astronomy * itself, which denotes the *law* or rule of the *astra* (by which the ancients understood not only the $s \rightarrow properly$ so called, but the sun, the moon, and all the visible constituents of the heavens), sufficiently indicates this; and, although the term astrology, which denotes the *reason*, *theory*, or *interpretation* of the stars[†], has become degraded in its application, and confined to superstitious and delusive attempts to divine future events by their dependence on pre-

ent. s of cathe imring ses. n is are conof a

for

^{*} A $\sigma\tau\eta\rho$, a star; voµos, a luw; or $\nu\epsilon\mu\epsilon\mu$, to tend, as a shepherd his flock; so that $a\sigma\tau\rho$, $\nu\rho\mu\sigma$ s means "shepherd of the stars." The two etymologies are, however, coincident.

[†] Ao, ", reason, or a word, the vehicle of reason ; the interpreter of thought.

tended planetary influences, the same meaning originally attached itself to that epithet.

(12.) But, besides the stars and other celestial bodies, the earth itself, regarded as an individual body, is one principal object of the astronomer's consideration, and, indeed, the chief of all. It derives its importance, in a practical as well as theoretical sense, not only from its proximity, and its relation to us as animated beings, who draw from it the supply of all our wants, but as the station from which we see all the rest, and as the only one among them to which we can, in the first instance, refer for any determinate marks and measures by which to recognize their changes of situation, or with which to compare their distances.

(13.) To be reader who now for the first time takes up a book on astro. . . , it will no doubt seem strange to class the earth with the heavenly bodies, and to assume any community of nature among things apparently so different. For what, in fact, can be more apparently different than the vast and seemingly immeasurable extent of the earth, and the stars, which appear but as points, and seem to have no size at all? The earth is dark and opaque, while the celestial bodies are brilliant. We perceive in it no motion, while in them we observe a continual change of place, as we view them at different hours of the day or night, or at different seasons of the The ancients, accordingly, one or two of the more enyear. lightened of them only excepted, admitted no such ommunity of nature; and, by thus placing the heavenly bodies and their movements without the pale of analogy and experience, effectually intercepted the progress of all reasoning from what passes here below, to what is going on in the regions where they exist and move. Under such conventions, astronomy, as a science of cause and effect, could not exist, but must be limited to a mere registry of appearances, unconnected with any attempt to account for them on reasonable principles, however successful to a certain extent might be the attempt to follow out their order of sequence, and to establish empirical laws expressive of this order. To get rid of this prejudice, therefore, is the first step towards acquiring a knowledge of

what chor has l after an ic it is (1-

arrar not a we c it n insta whet and s in m motic their of co spect chang chang with will amon part, ceive the s ever be by for it motic idle i arrivi unive (13)of a habit whole

what is really the case; and the student has made his first effort towards the acquisition of sound knowledge, when he has learnt to familiarize himself with the idea that the earth, after all, *may* be nothing but a great star. How correct such an idea may be, and with what limitations and modifications it is to be admitted, we shall see presently.

(14.) It is evident, that, to form any just notions of the arrangement, in space, of a number of objects which we cannot approach and examine, but of which all the information we can gain is by sitting still and watching their evolutions, it must be very important for us to know, in the first instance, whether what we call sitting still is *really* such: whether the station from which we view them, with ourselves, and all objects which immediately surround us, be not itself in motion, unperceived by us; and if so, of what nature that motion is. The apparent places of a number of objects, and their apparent arrangement with respect to each other, will of course be materially dependent on the situation of the spectator among them; and if this situation be liable to change, unknown to the spectator himself, an appearance of change in the respective situations of the objects will arise, without the reality. If, then, such be actually the case, it will follow that all the movements we think we perceive among the stars will not be real movements, but that some part, at least, of whatever changes of relative place we perceive among them must be merely apparent, the results of the shifting of our own point of view; and that, if we would ever arrive at a knowledge of their real motions, it can only be by first investigating our own, and making due allowance for its effects. Thus, the question whether the earth is in motion or at rest, and if in motion, what that motion is, is no idle inquiry, but one on which depends our only chance of arriving at true conclusions respecting the constitution of the universe.

(15.) Nor let it be thought strange that we should speak of a motion existing in the earth, unperceived by its inhabitants: we must remember that it is of the earth as a whole, with all that it holds within its substance, or sustains

inally

s, the neipal , the well ts rcnpply Il the n the sures with

up a ss the unity at, in and stars, ; all ? s are n we t difof the e ennmus and ence, what here omy, st be with iples, empt irical dice, ge of

on its surface, that we are speaking; of a motion common to the solid mass beneath, to the ocean which flows around it. the air that rests upon it, and the clouds which float above it in the air. Such a motion, which should displace no terrestrial object from its relative situation among others, interfere with no natural processes, and produce no sensations of shocks or jerks, might, it is very evident, subsist undetected There is no peculiar sensation which advertises us by us. that we are in motion. We perceive jerks, or shocks, it is true, because these are sudden changes of motion, produced. as the laws of mechanics teach us, by sudden and powerful forces acting during short times; and these forces, applied to our bodies, are what we feel. When, for example, we are carried along in a carriage with the blinds down, or with our eyes closed (to keep us from seeing external objects), we perceive a tremor arising from inequalities in the road, over which the carriage is successively lifted and let fall, but we have no sense of progress. As the road is smoother, our sense of motion is diminished, though our rate of travelling is accelerated. Railway travelling, especially by night or in a tunnel, has familiarized every one with this remark. Those who have made aeronautic voyages testify that with closed eyes, and under the influence of a steady breeze communicating no oscillatory or revolving motion to the car, the sensation is that of perfect rest, however rapid the transfer from place to place.

(16.) But it is on shipboard, where a great system is maintained in motion, and where we are surrounded with a multitude of objects which participate with ourselves and each other in the common progress of the whole mass, that we feel most satisfactorily the identity of sensation between a state of motion and one of rest. In the cabin of a large and heavy vessel, going smoothly before the wind in still water, or drawn along a canal, not the smallest indication acquaints us with the way it is making. We read, sit, walk, and perform every customary action as if we were on land. If we throw a ball into the air, it falls back into our hand; or if we drop it, it alights at our feet. Insects buzz around us as in the free do i the carr bodi the reali air. mov look moti — e

(1tion, size. is lin of oi and form in al are t matt earth selve inact a lin to re gina On othe west the s viole have must subt

free air; and smoke ascends in the same manner as it would do in an apartment on shore. If, indeed, we come on deck, the case is, in some respects, different; the air, not being carried along with us, drifts away smoke and other light bodies — such as feathers abandoned to it — apparently, in the opposite direction to that of the ship's progress; but, in reality, *they* remain at rest, and we leave them behind in the air. Still, the illusion, so far as massive objects and our own movements are concerned, remains complete; and when we look at the shore, we then perceive the effect of our own motion transferred, in a contrary direction, to external objects — external, that is, to the system of which we form a part.

" Provehimur portu, terræque urbesque recedunt."

(17.) In order, however, to conceive the earth as in motion, we must form to ourselves a conception of its shape and size. Now, an object cannot have shape and size unless it is *limited* on all sides by some definite outline, so as to admit of our imagining it, at least, disconnected from other bodies, The first rude notion we and existing insulated in space. form of the earth is that of a flat surface, of indefinite extent in all directions from the spot where we stand, above which are the air and sky; below, to an indefinite profundity, solid This is a prejudice to be got rid of, like that of the matter. earth's immobility; ---- but it is one much easier to rid ourselves of, inasmuch as it originates only in our own mental inactivity, in not questioning ourselves where we will place a limit to a thing we have been accustomed from infancy to regard as immensely large; and does not, like that, originate in the testimony of our senses unduly interpreted. On the contrary, the direct testimony of our senses lies the other way. When we see the sun set in the evening in the west, and rise again in the east, as we cannot doubt that it is the same sun we see after a temporary absence, we must do violence to all our notions of solid matter, to suppose it to have made its way through the substance of the earth. It must, therefore, have gone under it, and that not by a mere subterraneous channel; for if we notice the points where it

ainnuleach feel atate eavy , or s us orm row lrop the

sets and rises for many successive days, or for a whole year, we shall find them constantly shifting, round a very large extent of the horizon; and, besides, the moon and stars also set and rise again in *all* points of the visible horizon. The conclusion is plain: the earth cannot extend indefinitely in depth downwards, nor indefinitely in surface laterally; it must have not only bounds in a horizontal direction, but also an *under side* round which the sun, moon, and stars can pass; and that side must, at least, be so far like what we see, that it must have a sky and sunshine, and a day when it is night to us, and *vice versâ*; where, in short,

> — " redit a nobis Aurora, diemque reducit. Nosque uhi primus equis oriens afflavit anhelis, Illic sera rnbens accendit lumina Vesper." Georg.

(18.) As soon as we have familiarized ourselves with the conception of an earth without *foundations* or fixed supports — existing insulated in space from contact of every thing external, it becomes easy to imagine it in motion — or, rather, difficult to imagine it otherwise; for, since there is nothing to *retain* it in one place, should any causes of motion exist, or any *forces* act upon it, it must obey their impulse. Let us next see what obvious circumstances there are to help us to a knowledge of the *shape* of the earth.

(19.) Let us first examine what we can actually see of its shape. Now, it is not on land (unless, indeed, on uncommonly level and extensive plains), that we can see any thing of the general figure of the earth ; — the hills, trees, and other objects which roughen its surface, and break and elevate the line of the horizon, though obviously bearing a most minute proportion to the whole earth, are yet too considerable with respect to ourselves and to that small portion of it which we can see at a single view, to allow of our forming any judgment of the form of the whole, from that of a part so disfigured. But with the surface of the sea or any vastly extended level plain, the case is otherwise. If we sail out of sight of land, whether we stand on the deck of the ship or elimb the mast, we see the surface of the sea—not losing

itself in well-de us in a line is apparen fact of and the that it called t atmosp tortion taken,geomet (for ins good. (20.)

man, an affords; which r can be occasion real bout the ver markab area, as a lower the carr surface, been in (21.) every p

figure c can be (22.) be repr let A, (points From c

THE HORIZON AND ITS DIP.

,

e

0

U.

n it

0

;;

ιt

ıt

ıe

ζ-

r,

to

or

ls

а

ts

n-

ıy

ıd

 \mathbf{te}

st

ch

ıy

is-

xof

or

ng

itself in distance and mist, but terminated by a sharp, clear, well-defined line or offing as it is called, which runs all round us in a circle, having our station for its centre. That this line is really a circle, we conclude, first, from the perfect apparent similarity of all its parts; and, secondly, from the fact of all its parts appearing at the same distance from us, and that, evidently, a moderate one; and thirdly, from this, that its apparent diameter, measured with an instrument called the dip sector, is the same (except under some singular atmospheric circumstances, which produce a temporary distortion of the outline), in whatever direction the measure is taken,—properties which belong only to the circle among geometrical figures. If we ascend a high eminence on a plain (for instance, one of the Egyptian pyramids), the same holds good.

(20.) Masts of ships, however, and the edifices erected by man, are trifling eminences compared to what nature itself affords; Ætna, Teneriffe, Mowna Roa, are eminences from which no contemptible *aliquot* part of the whole earth's surface can be seen; but from these again — in those few and rare occasions when the transparency of the air will permit the real boundary of the horizon, the true sea-line, to be seen the very same appearances are witnessed, but with this remarkable addition, viz. that the angular *diameter* of the visible area, as measured by the dip sector, is materially *less* than at a lower level; or, in other words, that the *apparent size* of the earth has sensibly diminished as we have receded from its surface, while yet the *absolute quantity* of it seen at once has been increased.

(21.) The same appearances are observed universally, in every part of the earth's surface visited by man. Now, the figure of a body which, however seen, appears always *circular*, can be no other than a sphere or globe.

(22.) A diagram will elucidate this. Suppose the earth to be represented by the sphere L H N Q, whose centre is C, and let A, G, M be stations at different elevations above various points of its surface, represented by a, g, m respectively. From each of them (as from M) let a line be drawn, as M N n,

a tangent to the surface at N, then will this line represent the visual ray along which the spectator at M will see the visible horizon; and as this tangent sweeps round M, and comes successively into the positions MOo, MPp, MQq, the point of contact N will mark out on the surface the circle NOPQ. The area of the spherical surface compre-



hended within this circle is the portion of the earth's surface visible to a spectator at M, and the angle N M Q included between the two extreme visual rays is the measure of its apparent angular diameter. Leaving, at present, out of consideration the effect of refraction in the air below M, of which more hereafter, and which always tends, in some degree, to *increase* that angle, or render it more *obtuse*, this is the angle measured by the dip sector. Now, it is evident, 1st, that as the point M is more elevated above m, the point immediately below it on the sphere, the visible area, *i. e.* the spherical segment or slice NOP Q, increases; 2dly, that the distance of the visible *horizon** or boundary of our view from the eye,

Οριζω, to terminate.

viz. the become diameto 180°, or sible qu The fig the hori explain more d MQqt extende as the j will ope but will fairly u its whol is the lin (23.)

Mm, w sphere a hang; fo part of perpendi that it is adjusted M we w a spirit-l direction XMY, and the \mathbf{A} m. the vaul portion other we zone Y zone depresse

THE HORIZON AND ITS DIP.

viz. the line MN, increases; and, 3dly, that the angle NMQ becomes less obtuse, or, in other words, the apparent angular diameter of the earth diminishes, being nowhere so great as 180°, or two right angles, but falling short of it by some sensible quantity, and that more and more the higher we ascend. The figure exhibits three states or stages of elevation, with the horizon, &c. corresponding to each, a glance at which will explain our meaning; or, limiting ourselves to the larger and more distinct, M N O P Q, let the reader imagine n N M, M Q q to be the two legs of a ruler jointed at M, and kept extended by the globe N m Q between them. It is clear, that as the joint M is urged home towards the surface, the legs will open, and the ruler will become more nearly straight, but will not attain perfect straightness till M is brought fairly up to contact with the surface at m, in which case its whole length will become a *tangent* to the sphere at m, as is the line x y.

(23.) This explains what is meant by the *dip of the horizon*. M m, which is perpendicular to the general surface of the sphere at m, is also the direction in which a *plumb-line** would hang; for it is an observed fact, that in all situations, in every part of the earth, the direction of a plumb-line is exactly perpendicular to the surface of still water; and, moreover, that it is also exactly perpendicular to a line or surface truly adjusted by a *spirit-level.** Suppose, then, that at our station M we were to adjust a line (a wooden ruler for instance) by a spirit-level, with perfect exactness; then, if we suppose the direction of this line indefinitely prolonged both ways, as X M Y, the line so drawn will be at right angles to Mm, and therefore parallel to x m y, the tangent to the sphere at A spectator placed at M will therefore see not only all m. the vault of the sky above this line, as X Z Y, but also that portion or zone of it which lies between X N and Y Q; in other words, his sky will be more than a hemisphere by the zone YQXN. It is the angular breadth of this redundant zone — the angle YMQ, by which the visible horizon appears depressed below the direction of a spirit-level — that is called

* See these instruments described in Chap. III.

ent the und 27, the

face added f its conhich e, to angle at as at eyerical cance eye,

the *dip of the horizon*. It is a correction of constant use in nautical astronomy.

(24.) From the foregoing explanations it appears, 1st, That the general figure of the earth (so far as it can be gathered from this kind of observation) is that of a sphere or globe. In this we also include that of the sea, which, wherever it extends, covers and fills in those inequalities and local irregularities which exist on land, but which can of course only be regarded as trifling deviations from the general outline of the whole mass, as we consider an orange not the less round for the roughness on its rind. 2dly, That the appearance of a visible horizon, or sea-offing, is a consequence of the curvature of the surface, and does not arise from the inability of the eve to follow objects to a greater distance, or from atmospheric indistinctness. It will be worth while to pursue the general notion thus acquired into some of its consequences. by which its consistency with observations of a different kind, and on a larger scale, will be put to the test, and a clear conception be formed of the manner in which the parts of the earth are related to each other, and held together as a whole.

(25.) In the first place, then, every one who has passed a little while at the sea side is aware that objects may be seen perfectly well beyond the offing or visible horizon — but not the whole of them. We only see their upper parts. Their bases where they rest on, or rise out of the water, are hid from view by the spherical surface of the sea, which protrudes between them and ourselves. Suppose a ship, for instance, to sail directly away from our station; - at first, when the distance of the ship is small, a spectator, S, situated at some certain height above the sea, sees the whole of the ship, even to the water line where it rests on the sea, as at Λ . As it recedes it diminishes, it is true, in apparent size, but still the whole is seen down to the water line, till it reaches the visible horizon at B. But as soon as it has passed this distance, not only does the visible portion still continue to diminish in apparent size, but the hull begins to disappear bodily, as if sunk below the surface. When it has reached a certain

distan and s this st specta cends tion. horizo hull sight ; descen it. TI the wa the di seen is segme great whole. (26. illustra

from I on his nearly set, an out ne great below wester Paris : (27. of two other the ad not fo to see will be metho

emine

celebra

SIZE OF THE EARTH.

distance, as at C, its hull has entirely vanished, but the masts and sails remain, presenting the appearance c. But if, in

this state of things, the spectator quickly ascends to a higher station, T, whose visible horizon is at D, the hull comes again in sight; and, when he descends again, he loses



it. The ship still receding, the lower sails seem to sink below the water, as at d, and at length the whole disappears: while yet the distinctness with which the last portion of the sail d is seen is such as to satisfy us that were it not for the interposed segment of the sea, ABCDE, the distance TE is not so great as to have prevented an equally perfect view of the whole.

(26.) The history of aëronautic adventure affords a curious illustration of the same principle. The late Mr. Sadler, the celebrated aëronaut, ascended on one occasion in a balloon from Dublin, and was wafted across the Irish Channel, when, on his approach to the Welsh coast, the balloon descended nearly to the surface of the sea. By this time the sun was set, and the shades of evening began to close in. He threw out nearly all his ballast, and suddenly sprang upwards to a great height, and by so doing brought his horizon to dip below the sun, producing the whole phenomenon of a western sunrise. M. Charles in his memorable ascent from Paris in 1783 witnessed the same phenomenon.

(27.) If we could measure the heights and exact distance of two stations which could barely be discerned from each other over the edge of the horizon, we could ascertain the actual size of the earth itself and, in fact, were it not for the effect of refraction, by which we are enabled to see in some small degree *round* the interposed segment (as will be hereafter explained), this would be a tolerably good method of ascertaining it. Suppose A and B to be two eminences, whose perpendicular heights Aa and Bb (which

с З

c in

That ered obe. er it eguy be f the l for of a iture f the h atirsue nces, erent nd a parts as a

sed a seen t not Their e hid rudes ance, n the some even As it ill the visible e, not ish in , as if ertain

for simplicity, we will suppose to be exactly equal) are known, as well as their exact horizontal interval aDb, by

measurement; then it is clear that D, the visible horizon of both, will lie just half-way between them, and if we suppose aDb to be the sphere of the earth, and C its centre in the figure C D b B, we know Db, the length of the arch



of the circle between D and b, - viz. half the measured interval, and b B, the excess of its secant above its radius which is the height of B, — data which, by the solution of an easy geometrical problem, enable us to find the length of the radius D C. If, as is really the case, we suppose both the heights and distance of the stations inconsiderable in comparison with the size of the earth, the solution alluded to is contained in the following proposition : —

The earth's diameter bears the same proportion to the distance of the visible horizon from the eye as that distance does to the height of the eye above the sea level.

When the stations are unequal in height, the problem is a little more complicated.

(28.) Although, as we have observed, the effect of refraction prevents this from being an exact method of ascertaining the dimensions of the earth, yet it will suffice to afford such an approximation to it as shall be of use in the present stage of the reader's knowledge, and help him to many just conceptions, on which account we shall exemplify its application in numbers. Now, it appears by observation, that two points, each ten feet above the surface, cease to be visible from each other over still water, and in average atmospheric circumstances, at a distance of about 8 miles. But 10 feet is the 528th part of a mile, so that half their distance, or 4 miles, is to the height of each as 4×528 or 2112:1, and therefore in the same proportion to 4 miles is the length of the earth's diameter. It must, therefore, be equal to 4×211 which i (29.)ascertai if we ta been ac a few We ha surface, the rou its gene geration miles in of the inches by a pr inch, w Now, 1 extensi above t that if its seas in dia a few within hills v of sand (30.mile presen would (31 much

would

the st

the o

such

in co

 $\mathbf{22}$

 $4 \times 2112 = 8448$, or, in round numbers, about 8000 miles, which is not very far from the truth.

(29.) Such is the first rough result of an attempt to ascertain the earth's magnitude; and it will not be amiss, if we take advantage of it to compare it with objects we have been accustomed to consider as of vast size, so as to interpose a few steps between it and our ordinary ideas of dimension. We have before likened the inequalities on the earth's surface, arising from mountains, valleys, buildings, &c. to the roughnesses on the rind of an orange, compared with its general mass. The comparison is quite free from exaggeration. The highest mountain known hardly exceeds five miles in perpendicular elevation : this is only one 1600th part of the earth's diameter; consequently, on a globe of sixteen inches in diameter, such a mountain would be represented by a protuberance of no more than one hundredth part of an inch, which is about the thickness of ordinary drawing-paper. Now, as there is no entire continent, or even any very extensive tract of land, known, whose general elevation above the sea is any thing like half this quantity, it follows, that if we would construct a correct model of our earth, with its seas, continents, and mountains, on a globe sixteen inches in diameter, the whole of the land, with the exception of a few prominent points and ridges, must be comprised on it within the thickness of thin writing-paper; and the highest hills would be represented by the smallest visible grains of sand.

(30.) The deepest mine existing does not penetrate half a mile below the surface : a scratch, or pin-hole, duly representing it, on the surface of such a globe as our model, would be imperceptible without a magnifier.

(31.) The greatest depth of sea, probably, does not very much exceed the greatest elevation of the continents; any would, of course, be represented by an excavation, in about the same proportion, into the substance of the globe : so that the ocean comes to be conceived as a mere film of liquid, such as, on our model, would be left by a brush dipped in colour, and drawn over those parts intended to represent

are , by

tion gth both in d to mce the is a acing

ared

s ----

ing uch age onion wo ble rie ect or nd th to

24

the sea: only, in so conceiving it, we must bear in mind that the resemblance extends no farther than to proportion in point of quantity. The mechanical laws which would regulate the distribution and movements of such a film, and its adhesion to the surface, are altogether different from those which govern the phenomena of the sea.

(32.) Lastly, the greatest extent of the earth's surface which has ever been seen at once by man, was that exposed to the view of Messrs. Welsh and Green, in their balloon ascent of Nov. 10, 1852, to the enormous height of 23,027 feet, or rather less than five miles. To estimate the proportion of the area visible from this elevation to the whole earth's surface, we must have recourse to the geometry of the sphere, which informs us that the convex surface of a spherical segment is to the whole surface of the sphere to which it belongs as the versed sine or thickness of the segment is to the diameter of the sphere; and further, that this thickness, in the case we are considering, is almost exactly equal to the perpendicular elevation of the point of sight above the surface. The proportion, therefore, of the visible area, in this case, to the whole earth's surface, is that of five miles to 8000, or 1 to 1600. The portion visible from Ætna, the Peak of Teneriffe, or Mowna Roa, is about one 4000th.

(33.) When we ascend to any very considerable elevation above the surface of the earth, either in a balloon, or on mountains, we are made aware, by many uneasy sensations, of an insufficient supply of *air*. The barometer, an instrument which informs us of the weight of air incumbent on a given horizontal surface, confirms this impression, and affords a direct measure of the rate of diminution of the quantity of air which a given space includes as we recede from the surface. From its indications we learn, that when we have ascended to the height of 1000 feet, we have left below us about one-thirtieth of the whole mass of the atmosphere: that at 10,600 feet of perpendicular elevation (which is rather less than that of the summit of \mathcal{A} tna*) we have ascended

* The height of Ætna above the Mediterranean (as it results from a barome-

throug that of the pol From from t pable (propor althou above and m yet th quanti portion decrea our ex mecha is suffi the ea the ter not on tained ascerta the sli (34)nice q to the rigoro purpo distan its dia nothin sustai It sec height miles eighth trical u constat

THE ATMOSPHERE.

hat

in

uld

hnd

om

ace

sed as-

cet, 1 of

th's

ere,

cal

it

to

ess, the

ce.

to

or of

iou

on

ns,

ent

'en

a of

the

ve

us

ier

ed

11e-

through about one-third; and at 18,000 feet (which is nearly that of Cotopaxi) through one-half the material, or, at least, the ponderable body of air incumbent on the earth's surface. From the progression of these numbers, as well as, à priori, from the nature of the air itself, which is *compressible*, i. e. capable of being condensed or crowded into a smaller space in proportion to the incumbent pressure, it is easy to see that, although by rising still higher we should continually get above more and more of the air, and so relieve ourselves more and more from the pressure with which it weighs upon us, yet the amount of this additional relief, or the *ponderable* quantity of air surmounted, would be by no means in proportion to the additional height ascended, but in a constantly An easy calculation, however, founded on decreasing ratio. our experimental knowledge of the properties of air, and the mechanical laws which regulate its dilatation and compression, is sufficient to show that, at an altitude above the surface of the earth not exceeding the hundredth part of its diameter, the tenuity, or rarefaction, of the air must be so excessive, that not only animal life could not subsist, or combustion be maintained in it, but that the most delicate means we possess of ascertaining the existence of any air at all would fail to afford the slightest perceptible indications of its presence.

(34.) Laying out of consideration, therefore, at present, all nice questions as to the probable existence of a domite limit to the atmosphere, beyond which there is, absolutely and rigorously speaking, *no* air, it is clear, that, for all practical purposes, we may speak of those regions which are more distant above the earth's surface than the hundredth part of its diameter as void of air, and of course of clouds (which are nothing but visible vapours, diffused and *floating* in the air, sustained by it, and rendering it *turbid* as mud does water). It seems probable, from many indications, that the greatest height at which visible clouds *ever exist* does not exceed ten miles; at which height the density of the air is about an eighth part of what it is at the level of the sea.

trical measurement of my own, made in July, 1824, under very favourable circonstances) is 10,872 English feet. -- Author.

(35.) We are thus led to regard the atmosphere of air, with the clouds it supports, as constituting a coating of equable or nearly equable thickness, enveloping our globe on all sides; or rather as an aërial ocean, of which the surface of the sea and land constitutes the bed, and whose inferior portions or strata, within a few miles of the earth, contain by far the greater part of the whole mass, the density diminishing with extreme rapidity as we recede upwards, till, within a very moderate distance (such as would be represented by the sixth of an inch on the model we have before spoke a of, and which is not more in proportion to the globe on which it rests, than the downy skin of a peach in comparison with the fruit within it), all sensible trace of the existence of air disappears.

(36.) Arguments, however, are not wanting to render it, if not absolutely certain, at least in the highest degree probable, that the surface of the aërial, like that of the aqueous ocean, has a real and definite limit, as above hinted at; beyond which there is positively *no* air, and above which a fresh quantity of air, could it be added from without, or carried aloft from below, instead of dilating itself indefinitely upwards, would, after a certain very enormous but still finite enlargement of volume, sink and merge, as water poured into the sea, and distribute itself among the mass beneath. With the truth of this conclusion, however, astronomy has little concern; all the effects of the atmosphere in modifying astronomical phenomena being the same, whether it be supposed of definite extent or not.

(37.) Moreover, whichever idea we adopt, within those limits in which it possesses any appretiable density its constitution is the same over all points of the earth's surface; that is to say, on the great scale, and leaving out of consideration temporary and local causes of derangement, such as winds, and great fluctuations, of the nature of waves, which prevail in it to an immense extent. In other words, the law of diminution of the air's density as we recede upwards from the level of the sca is the same in every column into which we may conceive it divided, or from whatever point of

REFRACTION.

the surface we may set out. It may therefore be considered as consisting of successively superposed strata or layers, each of the form of a spherical shell, concentric with the general surface of the sea and land, and each of which is rarer, or specifically lighter, than that immediately beneath it; and denser. or specifically heavier, than that immediately above it. This, at least, is the kind of distribution which alone would be consistent with the laws of the equilibrium of fluids. Inasmuch. however, as the atmosphere is not in perfect equilibrium, being always kept in a state of circulation, owing to the excess of heat in its equatorial regions over that at the poles, some slight deviation from the rigorous expression of this law takes place, and in peculiar localitics there is reason to believe that even considerable permanent depressions of the contours of these strata, below their general or spherical level, subsist. But these are points of consideration rather for the meteorologist than the astronomer. It must be observed, moreover, that with this distribution of its strata the inequalities of mountains and valleys have little concern. These exercise hardly more influence in modifying their general spherical figure than the inequalities at the bottom of the sea interfere with the general sphericity of its surface. They would exercise absolutely none were it not for their effect in giving another than horizontal direction to the currents of air constituting winds, as shoals in the ocean throw up the currents which sweep over them towards the surface, and so in some small degree tend to disturb the perfect level of that surface.

(38.) It is the power which air possesses, in common with all transparent media, of *refracting* the rays of light, or bending them out of their straight course, which renders a knowledge of the constitution of the atmosphere important to the astronomer. Owing to this property, objects seen obliquely through it appear otherwise situated than they would to the same spectator, had the atmosphere no existence. It thus produces a false impression respecting their places, which must be rectified by ascertaining the amount and direction of the displacement so apparently produced on each, before we

air, quan all e of erior n by nishithin l by n of, ch it the dis-

r it, proeous bcresh ried upnite ured ath. has ying supnose

once; oni as lich tho rds nto ; of

can come at a knowledge of the true directions in which they are situated from us at any assigned moment.

(39.) Suppose a spectator placed at A, any point of the earth's surface K A k; and let L l, M m, N n, represent the successive strata or layers, of decreasing density, into which we may conceive the atmosphere to be divided, and which are spherical surfaces concentric with K k, the earth's surface. Let S represent a star, or other heavenly body, beyond the utmost limit of the atmosphere. Then, if the air were away, the spectator would see it in the direction of the straight line A S. But, in reality, when the ray of light S A reaches the atmosphere, suppose at d, it will, by the laws of optics, begin to bend *downwards*, and take a more inclined direction, as dc. This bending will at first be imperceptible,



owing to the extreme tenuity of the uppermost strata; but as it advances downwards, the strata continually increasing in density, it will continually undergo greater and greater *refraction* in the same direction; and thus, instead of pursuing the straight line S d A, it will describe a curve S d c b a, continually more and more concave downwards, and will reach the earth, not at A, but at a certain point a, nearer to S. eye. Sd. wou tato SDtics, ray what and recti SD refra abov will thro were posit the v rema through (4 the than the t appa actu visik raise situa beec ray (4 refr

whi

rais

very

geo

 $\mathbf{28}$

REFRACTION.

This ray, consequently, will not reach the spectator's S. The ray by which he will see the star is, therefore, not eye. S d A, but another ray which, had there been no atmosphere, would have struck the earth at K, a point behind the spectator; but which, being bent by the air into the curve S D C B A, actually strikes on A. Now, it is a law of optics, that an object is seen in the direction which the visual ray has at the instant of *arriving at the eye*, without regard to what may have been otherwise its course between the object and the eye. Hence the star S will be seen, not in the direction A S, but in that of A s, a tangent to the curve SDCBA, at A. But because the curve described by the refracted ray is concave downwards, the tangent A s will lie above A S, the unrefracted ray: consequently the object S will appear more elevated above the horizon A H, when seen through the refracting atmosphere, than it would appear were there no such atmosphere. Since, however, the disposition of the strata is the same in all directions around A, the visual ray will not be made to deviate *laterally*, but will remain constantly in the same vertical plane, S A C', passing through the eye, the object, and the earth's centre.

(40.) The effect of the air's refraction, then, is to raise all the heavenly bodies higher above the horizon in appearance than they are in reality. Any such body, situated actually in the true horizon, will appear above it, or will have some certain apparent altitude (as it is called). Nay, even some of those actually below the horizon, and which would therefore be invisible but for the effect of refraction, are, by that effect, raised above it and brought into sight. Thus, the sun, when situated at P below the true horizon, A H, of the spectator, becomes visible to him, as if it stood at p, by the refracted ray P q r t A, to which A p is a tangent.

(41.) The exact estimation of the amount of atmospheric refraction, or the strict determination of the angle S A s, by which a celestial object at any assigned altitude, H A S, is raised in appearance above its true place, is, unfortunately, a very difficult subject of physical inquiry, and one on which geometers (from whom alone we can look for any information

they

the the hich surbeair the S A s of ned ble,

H

but ing ter urba, vill

to

furni

sirab

notio

objec as if

(4

2d refra

apper

those

tange

the z

mode

vicin

comp 4tl

way

tude

sensi

amou

than

moon

the s

whole

sight

bend

their

or m

mean

the r

owing

55°,

amou

and o

baro

6tł

5tl

3d

on the subject) are not yet entirely agreed. The difficulty arises from this, that the density of any stratum of air (on which its refracting power depends) is affected not merely by the superincumbent pressure, but also by its temperature or degree of heat. Now, although we know that as we recede from the earth's surface the temperature of the air is constantly diminishing, yet the law, or amount of this diminution at different heights, is not yet fully ascertained. Moreover, the refracting power of air is perceptibly affected by its moisture; and this, too, is not the same in every part of an aërial column; neither are we acquainted with the laws of its distri-The consequence of our ignorance on these points is bution. to introduce a corresponding degree of uncertainty into the determination of the amount of refraction, which affects, to a certain appretiable extent, our knowledge of several of the most important data of astronomy. The uncertainty thus induced is, however, confined within such very narrow limits as to be no cause of embarrassment, except in the most delicate inquiries, and to call for no further allusion in a treatise like the present.

(42.) A "Table of Refractions," as it is called, or a statement of the amount of apparent displacement arising from this cause, at all altitudes, or in every situation of a heavenly body, from the horizon to the zenith*, (or point of the sky vertically above the spectator), and under all the circumstances in which astronomical observations are usually performed which may influence the result, is one of the most important and indispensable of all astronomical tables, since it is only by the use of such a table we are enabled to get rid of an illusion which must otherwise pervert all our notions respecting the celestial motions. Such have been, accordingly, constructed with great care, and are to be found in every collection of astronomical tables. Our design, in the present treatise, will not admit of the introduction of tables; and we must, therefore, content ourselves here, and in similar cases, with referring the reader to works especially destined to

• From an Arabie word of this signification. See this term technically defined in Chap. II.

REFRACTION.

lty

(on

by

e or

ede

ntly

at

the

re;

rial

tri-

s is

the

:0 a

the

hus

nits

lost

1 a

ιte-

om

nly

sky

ces

ned

ant

nly

an

re-

çly,

ery

ent

we

ses,

to

ined

furnish these useful aids to calculation. It is, however, desirable that he should bear in mind the following general notions of its amount, and law of variations.

(43.) 1st. In the *zenith* there is no refraction. A celestial object, situated vertically over head, is seen in its true direction, as if there were no atmosphere, at least if the air be tranquil.

2dly. In descending from the *zenith* to the horizon, the refraction continually increases. Objects near the horizon appear more elevated by it above their true directions than those at a high altitude.

3dly. The *rate* of its increase is nearly in proportion to the tangent of the apparent angular distance of the object from the zenith. But this rule, which is not far from the truth, at moderate *zenith distances*, ceases to give correct results in the vicinity of the horizon, where the law becomes much more complicated in its expression.

4thly. The average amount of refraction, for an object halfway between the zenith and horizon, or at an apparent altitude of 45° , is about 1' (more exactly 57''), a quantity hardly sensible to the naked eye; but at tl \circ visible horizon it amounts to no less a quantity than 33', which is rather more than the greatest apparent diameter of either the sun or the moon. Hence it follows, that when we see the lower edge of the sun or moon just *apparently* resting on the horizon, its whole disk is in reality below it, and would be entirely out of sight and concealed by the convexity of the earth, but for the bending round it, which the rays of light have undergone in their passage through the air, as alluded to in art. 40.

5thly. That when the barometer is higher than its average or mean state, the amount of refraction is greater than its mean amount; when lower, less: and,

6thly. That for one and the same reading of the barometer the refraction is greater, the colder the air. The variations, owing to these two causes, from its mean amount (at temp. 55° , pressure 30 inches), are about one 420th part of that amount for each degree of the thermometer of Fahrenheit, and one 300th for each tenth of an inch in the height of the barometer.

(44.) It follows from this, that one obvious effect of refraction must be to shorten the duration of night and darkness, by actually prolonging the stay of the sun and moon above the horizon. But even after they are set, the influence of the atmosphere still continues to send us a portion of their light; not, indeed, by direct transmission, but by reflection upon the vapours and minute solid particles which float in it, and, perhaps, also on the actual material atoms of the air itself. To understand how this takes place, we must recollect. that it is not only by the direct light of a luminous object that we see, but that whatever portion of its light which would not otherwise reach our eyes is intercepted in its course, and thrown back, or laterally, upon us, becomes to us a means of illumination. Such reflective obstacles always exist floating in the air. The whole course of a sun-beam penetrating through the chink of a window-shutter into a dark room is *visible* as a bright line in the air: and even if it be stifled, or let out through an opposite crevice, the light scattered through the apartment from this source is sufficient to prevent entire darkness in the room. The luminous lines occasionally seen in the air, in a sky full of partially broken clouds, which the vulgar term "the sun drawing water," are similarly caused. They are sunbeams, through apertures in clouds, partially intercepted and reflected on the dust and vapours of the air below. Thus it is with those solar rays which, after the sun is itself concealed by the convexity of the earth, continue to traverse the higher regions of the atmosphere above our heads, and pass through and out of it. without directly striking on the earth at all. Some portion of them is intercepted and reflected by the floating particles above mentioned, and thrown back, or laterally, so as to reach us, and afford us that secondary illumination, which is twilight. The course of such rays will be immediately understood from the annexed figure, in which A B C D is the earth; A a point on its surface, where the sun S is in the act of setting; its last lower ray S A M just grazing the surface at A, while its superior rays S N, S O, traverse the atmosphere above A without striking the earth, leaving it

finally passin which atmos A, B, more the wh receive

.....

by the receive the sur or refle is belo which the visi stronge is, and part of thinnes minate then, and no the tw (45.]atmosp portion

TWILIGHT.

rc-

ırk-

oon

nce

heir

tion

ı it,

air cet, ject hich its is to vays eam to a if it ight ient lines

oken

' are

es in

and

rays

ty of

' the

f it,

rtion

icles

reach

twi-

nder-

the

h the

r the

e the

ng it

33

finally at the points P Q R, after being more or less bent in passing through it, the lower most, the higher less, and that which, like S R O, merely grazes the exterior limit of the atmosphere, not at all. Let us consider several points, A, B, C, D, each more remote than the last from A, and each more deeply involved in the *earth's shadow*, which occupies the whole space from A beneath the line A M. Now, A just receives the sun's last direct ray, and, besides, is illuminated



by the whole reflective atmosphere P Q R T. It therefore receives twilight from the whole sky. The point B, to which the sun has set, receives no direct solar light, nor any, direct or reflected, from all that part of its visible atmosphere which is below A P M; but from the lenticular portion P R x, which is traversed by the sun's rays, and which lies above the visible horizon B R of B, it receives a twilight, which is strongest at R, the point immediately below which the sun is, and fades away gradually towards P, as the luminous part of the atmosphere thins off. At C, only the last or thinnest portion, P Q z of the lenticular segment, thus illuminated, lies above the horizon, C Q, of that place; here, then, the twilight is feeble, and confined to a small space in and near the horizon, which the sun has guitted, while at D the twilight has ceased altogether.

(45.) When the sun is above the horizon, it illuminates the atmosphere and clouds, and these again disperse and scatter a portion of its light in all directions, so as to send some of its

rays to every exposed point, from every point of the sky. The generally diffused light, therefore, which we enjoy in the daytime, is a phenomenon originating in the very same causes as the twilight. Were it not for the reflective and scattering power of the atmosphere, no objects would be visible to us out of direct sunshine ; every shadow of a passing cloud would be pitchy darkness; the stars would be visible all day, and every apartment, into which the sun had not direct admission, would be involved in nocturnal obscurity. This scattering action of the atmosphere on the solar light, it should be observed, is increased by the irregularity of temperature caused by the same luminary in its different parts, which, during the daytime, throws it into a constant state of undulation, and, by thus bringing together masses of air of very unequal temperatures, produces partial reflections and refractions at their common boundaries, by which some portion of the light is turned aside from the direct course, and diverted to the purposes of general illumination. A secondary twilight, however, may be traced even beyond the point D, consequent on a re-reflection of the rays dispersed through the atmosphere in the primary one. The phenomenon seen in the clear atmosphere of the Nubian desert, described by travellers under the name of the "After-glow," would seem to arise from this cause.

(46.) From the explanation we have given, in arts. 39 and 40, of the nature of atmospheric refraction, and the mode in which it is produced in the progress of a ray of light through successive strata, or layers, of the atmosphere, it will be evident, that whenever a ray passes *obliquely* from a higher level to a lower one, or *vice versâ*, its course is not rectilinear, but concave downwards; and of course any object seen by means of such aray, must appear deviated from its true place, whether that object be, like the celestial bodies, entirely beyond the atmosphere, or, like the summits of mountains seen from the plains, or other terrestrial stations at different levels seen from each other, immersed in it. Every difference of level, accompanied, as it must be, with a difference of density in the aërial strata, must also have, corresponding to it, a certain amount of refraction; less, indeed, than what wou'd be pro appret betwe distin celest which (47 forms sun, f pears or ova than t zon, lower neithe viates effect, the raj ing the cumfe circula raiged ened. cquall length sun or appear with : from t pariso them a acquir ciatio sky le parent strum illusio horizo moon

REFRACTION.

be produced by the *whole* atmosphere, but still often of very appretiable, and even considerable, amount. This refraction between terrestrial stations is termed *terrestrial refraction*, to distinguish it from that total effect which is only produced on celestial objects, or such as are beyond the atmosphere, and which is called eelestial or astronomical refraction.

(47.) Another effect of refraction is to distort the visible forms and proportions of objects seen near the horizon. The sun, for instance, which at a considerable altitude always appears round, assumes, as it approaches the horizon, a flattened or oval outline; its horizontal diameter being visibly greater than that in a vertical direction. When very near the horizon, this flattening is evidently more considerable on the lower side than on the upper; so that the apparent form is neither circular nor elliptic, but a species of oval, which deviates more from a circle below than above. This singular effect, which any one may notice in a fine sunset, arises from the rapid rate at which the refraction increases in approaching the horizon. Were every visible point in the sun's circumference equally raised by refraction, it would still appear circular, though displaced; but the lower portions being more raised than the upper, the vertical diameter is thereby shortened, while the two extremities of its horizontal diameter are equally raised, and in parallel directions, so that its apparent length remains the same. The dilated size (generally) of the sun or moon, when seen near the horizon, beyond what they appear to have when high up in the sky, has nothing to do with refraction. It is an illusion of the judgment, arising from the terrestrial objects interposed, or placed in close comparison with them. In that situation we view and judge of them as we do of terrestrial objects — in detail, and with an acquired habit of attention to parts. Aloft we have no associations to guide us, and their insulation in the expanse of sky leads us rather to undervalue than to over-rate their apparent magnitudes. Actual measurement with a proper instrument corrects our error, without, however, dispelling our illusion. By this we learn, that the sun, when just on the liorizon, subtends at our eyes almost exactly the same, and the moon a materially less angle, than when seen at a great alti-

35

sky.

oy in

same

and

d be

ssing sible

not

rity.

ht, it

tem-

te of

ir of

and

por-

and contude in the sky, owing to its greater distance from us in the former situation as compared with the latter, as will be explained farther on.

(48.) After what has been said of the small extent o.² the atmosphere in comparison with the mass of the earth, we shall have little hesitation in admitting those luminaries which people and adorn the sky, and which, while they obviously form no part of the earth, and receive no support from it, are yet not borne along at random like clouds upon the air, nor drifted by the winds, to be external to our atmosphere. As such we have considered them while speaking of their refractions — as existing in the immensity of space beyond, and situated, perhaps, for any thing we can perceive to the contrary, at enormous distances from us and from each other.

(49.) Could a spectator exist unsustained by the earth, or any solid support, he would see around him at one view the whole contents of space — the visible constituents of the universe : and, in the absence of any means of judging of their distances from him, would refer them, in the directions in which they were seen from his station, to the concave surface of an imaginary sphere, having his eye for a centre, and its surface at some vast indeterminate distance. Perhaps he might judge those which appear to him large and bright, to be nearer to him than the smaller and less brilliant; but, independent of other means of judging, he would have no warrant for this opinion, any more than for the idea that all were equidistant from him, and *really* arranged on such a spherical surface. Nevertheless, there would be no impropriety in his referring their places, geometrically speaking, to those points of such a purely imaginary sphere, which their respective visual rays intersect; and there would be much advantage in so doing, as by that means their appearance and relative situation could be accurately measured, recorded, and The objects in a landscape are at every mapped down. variety of distance from the eye, yet we lay them all down in a picture on one plane, and at one distance, in their actual apparent proportions, and the likeness is not taxed with incorrectness, though a man in the foreground should be represented larger than a mountain in the distance. So it is

to maj hea app owe mag onl the (the por lool of (wil hen wil but սոl of d vap geo alw sphmen selv rota face sen obt atır or glo his stra clos

 \mathbf{tru}

wh

CHANGE OF HORIZON IN TRAVELLING.

37

in the be ex-

o.[°] the e shall which iously it, are ir, nor \mathbf{As} refracd, and e coner. rth, or ew the of the adging direce conve for stance. re and lliant ; l have a that such a mpro-.ng, to ı their much ce and d, and every down actual th inbe re-) it is

to a spectator of the heavenly bodies pictured, projected, or mapped down on that imaginary sphere we call the *sky* or *heaven*. Thus, we may easily conceive that the roon, which appears to us as large as the sun, though less bright, *may* owe that apparent equality to its greater proximity, and *may* be really much less; while both the moon and sun may only appear larger and brighter than the stars, on account of the remoteness of the latter.

(50.) A spectator on the earth's surface is prevented, by the great mass on which he stands, from seeing into all that portion of space which is below him, or to see which he must look in any degree downwards. It is true that, if his place of observation be at a great elevation, the dip of the horizon will bring within the scope of vision a little more than a hemisphere, and refraction, wherever he may be situated, will enable him to look, as it were, a little round the corner; but the zone thus added to his visual range can hardly ever, unless in very extraordinary circumstances, exceed a couple of degrees in breadth, and is always ill seen on account of the vapours near the horizon. Unless, then, by a change of his geographical situation, he should shift his horizon (which is always a plane passing through his eye, and touching the spherical convexity of the earth); or unless, by some movements proper to the heavenly bodies, they should of themselves come above his horizon; or, lastly, unless, by some rotation of the earth itself on its centre, the point of its surface which he occupies should be carried round, and presented towards a different region of space; he would never obtain a sight of almost one half the objects external to our atmosphere. But if any of these cases be supposed, more, or all, may come into view according to the circumstances.

(51.) A traveller, for example, shifting his locality on our globe, will obtain a view of celestial objects invisible from his original station, in a way which may be not inaptly illusstrated by comparing him to a person standing in a park close to a large tree. The massive obstacle presented by its trunk euts off his view of all those parts of the landscape which it occupies as an object; but by walking round it a

р З
complete successive view of the whole panorama may be obtained. Just in the same way, if we set off from any station, as London, and travel southwards, we shall not fail to notice that many celestial objects which are never scen from London come successively into view, as if rising up above the horizon, night after night, from the south, although it is in reality our horizon, which, travelling with us southwards round the sphere, sinks in succession beneath them. The novelty and splendour of fresh constellations thus gra-



dually brought into view in the clear calm nights of tropical climates, in long voyages to the south, is dwelt upon by all who have enjoyed this spectacle, and never fails to impress itself on the recollection among the most delightful and interesting of the associations connected with extensive travel. A glance at the accompanying figure, exhibiting three successive stations of a traveller, A, B, C, with the horizon corresponding to each, will place this process in clearer evidence than any description.

(52.) Again: suppose the earth itself to have a motion of rotation on its centre. It is evident that a spectator at rest (as it appears to him) on any part of it will, unperceived by himself, be carried round with it: unperceived, we say, because his horizon will constantly contain, and be limited by, the same terrestrial objects. He will have the same landscape constantly before his eyes, in which all the familiar

object retain invaria of the around tainin with r ones v earth, its rel in the article is con rotatio the ea sonall the pa wheth sawed stands to be be in he wil it whi under (53 suppo

suppo stantly region and el mittin latter, appea by his disclo the st horize will d

DIURNAL ROTATION OF THE EARTH.

objects in it, which serve him for landmarks and directions, retain, with respect to himself or to each other, the same invariable situations. The perfect smoothness and equality of the motion of so vast a mass, in which every object he sees around him participates alike, will (art. 15.) prevent his entertaining any suspicion of his actual change of place. Yet, with respect to external objects, - that is to say, all celestial ones which do not participate in the supposed rotation of the earth, -his horizon will have been all the while shifting in its relation to them, precisely as in the case of our traveller in the foregoing article. Recurring to the figure of that article, it is evidently the same thing, so far as their visibility is concerned, whether he has been carried by the earth's rotation successively into the situations A, B, C; or whether, the earth remaining at rest, he has transferred himself personally along its surface to those stations. Our spectator in the park will obtain precisely the same view of the landscape, whether he walk round the tree, or whether we suppose it sawed off, and made to turn on an upright pivot, while he stands on a projecting step attached to it, and allows himself to be carried round by its motion. The only difference will be in his view of the tree itself, of which, in the former case, he will see every part, but, in the latter, only that portion of it which remains constantly opposite to him, and immediately under his eye.

(53.) By such a rotation of the earth, then, as we have supposed, the horizon of a stationary spectator will be constantly depressing itself below those objects which lie in that region of space towards which the rotation is carrying him, and elevating itself above those in the opposite quarter, admitting into view the former, and successively hiding the latter. As the horizon of every such spectator, however, appears to him motionless, all such changes will be referred by him to a motion in the objects themselves so successively disclosed and concealed. In place of his horizon approaching the stars, therefore, he will judge the stars to approach his horizon; and when it passes over and hides any of them, he will consider them as having sunk below it, or set; while

D 4

39

v all oress inivel. succorence

be

any

fail

een

up

ugh

ith-

em.

gra-

n of rest l by say, ited ame iliar

those it has just disclosed, and from which it is receding, will seem to be rising above it.

(54.) If we suppose this rotation of the earth to continue in one and the same direction, — that is to say, to be performed round one and the same *axis*, till it has completed an entire revolution, and come back to the position from which it set out when the spectator began his observations, — it is manifest that every thing will then be in precisely the same relative position as at the outset: all the heavenly bodies will appear to occupy the same places in the concave of the sky which they did at that instant, except such as may have actually moved in the interim; and if the rotation still continue, the same phenomena of their successive rising and setting, and return to the same places, will continue to be repeated in the same order, and (if the velocity of rotation be uniform) in equal intervals of time, *ad infinitum*.

(55.) Now, in this we have a lively picture of that grand phenomenon, the most important beyond all comparison which nature presents, the daily rising and setting of the sun and stars, their progress through the vault of the heavens, and their return to the same apparent places at the same hours of the day and night. The accomplishment of this restoration in the regular interval of twenty-four hours is the first instance we encounter of that great law of *periodicity**, which, as we shall see, pervades all astronomy; by which expression we understand the continual reproduction of the same phenomena, in the same order, at equal intervals of time.

(56.) A free rotation of the earth round its centre, if it exist and be performed in consonance with the same mechanical laws which obtain in the motions of masses of matter under our immediate control, and within our ordinary experience, must be such as to satisfy two essential conditions. It must be invariable in its direction with respect to the sphere itself, and uniform in its velocity. The rotation must be performed round an axis or diameter of the sphere, whose poles or extremities, where it meets the surface, correspond

• Heplosos, a going round. a circulation or revolution.

40

star

stra

take

the

whi

mar chai

star hav

(

how

acco

hea

scri

and of 1

ana

to s the

a g

alw

APPARENT DIURNAL MOTION.

, will

htinue e ported an which — it is e same es will he sky have ll cong and e to be ion be

grand which an and s, and ours of oration arst inwhich, ression pheno-

e, if it nechamatter ury exlitions. *sphere* nust be whose espond always to the same points on the sphere. Modes of rotation of a solid body under the influence of external agency are conceivable, in which the poles of the imaginary line or axis about which it is at any moment revolving shall hold no fixed places on the surface, but shift upon it every moment. Such changes, however, are inconsistent with the idea of a rotation of a body of regular figure about its axis of symmetry, performed in free space, and without resistance or obstruction from any surrounding medium, or disturbing influences. The complete absence of such obstructions draws with it, of necessity, the strict fulfilment of the two conditions above mentioned.

(57.) Now, these conditions are in perfect accordance with what we observe, and what recorded observation teaches us. in respect of the diurnal motions of the heavenly bodies. We have no reason to believe, from history, that any sensible change has taken place since the earliest ages in the interval of time elapsing between two successive returns of the same star to the same point of the sky; or, rather, it is demonstrable from astronomical records that no such change has And with respect to the other condition, --taken place. the permanence of the axis of rotation, - the appearances which any alteration in that respect must produce, would be marked, as we shall presently show, by a corresponding change of a very obvious kind in the apparent motions of the stars; which, again, history decidedly declares them not to have undergone.

(58.) But, before we proceed to examine more in detail how the hypothesis of the rotation of the earth about an axis accords with the phenomena which the diurnal motion of the heavenly bodies offers to our notice, i⁺ will be proper to describe, with precision, in what that diurnal motion consists, and how far it is participated in by them all; or whether any of them form exceptions, wholly or partially, to the common analogy of the rest. We will, therefore, suppose the reader to station himself, on a clear evening, just after sunset, when the first stars begin to appear, in some open situation wheneo a good general view of the heavens can be obtained. He

will then perceive, above and around him, as it were, a vast concave hemispherical vault, beset with stars of various magnitudes, of which the brightest only will first catch his attention in the twilight; and more and more will appear as the darkness increases, till the whole sky is over-spangled with When he has awhile admired the calm magnificence them. of this glorious spectacle, the theme of so much song, and of so much thought, - a spectacle which no one can view without emotion, and without a longing desire to know something of its nature and purport, - let him fix his attention more particularly on a few of the most brilliant stars, such as he cannot fail to recognize again without mistake after looking away from them for some time, and let him refer their apparent situations to some surrounding objects, as buildings, trees, &c., selecting purposely such as are in different quarters of his horizon. On comparing them again with their respective points of reference, after a moderate interval, as the night advances, he will not fail to perceive that they have changed their places, and advanced, as by a general movement, in a westward direction; those towards the eastern quarter appearing to rise or recede from the horizon, while those which lie towards the west will be seen to approach it; and, if watched long enough, will, for the most part, finally sink beneath it, and disappear; while others, in the castern quarter, will be seen to rise as if out of the earth, and, joining in the general procession, will take their course with the rest towards the opposite quarter.

(59.) If he persist for a considerable time in watching their motions, on the same or on several successive nights, he will perceive that each star appears to describe, as far as its course lies above the horizon, a circle in the sky; that the circles so described are not of the same magnitude for all the stars; and that those described by different stars differ greatly in respect of the parts of them which lie above the horizon. Some, which lie towards the quarter of the horizon which is denominated the SOUTH *, only remain for a short time above

* We suppose our observer to be stationed in some northern latitude; some where in Europe, for example.

APPARENT DIURNAL MOTION.

it, and disappear, after describing in sight only the small upper segment of their diurnal circle; others, which rise between the south and east, describe larger segments of their circles above the horizon, remain proportionally longer in sight, and set precisely as far to the westward of south as they rose to the eastward; while such as rise exactly in the east remain just twelve hours visible, describe a semicircle, and set exactly in the west. With those, again, which rise between the east and north, the same law obtains; at least, as far as regards the time of their remaining above the horizon, and the proportion of the visible segment of their diurnal circles to their whole circumferences. Both go on increasing; they remain in view more than twelve hours, and their visible diurnal arcs are more than semicircles. But the magnitudes of the circles themselves diminish, as we go from the east. northward; the greatest of all the circles being described by those which rise exactly in the east point. Carrying his eye farther northwards, he will notice, at length, stars which, in their diurnal motion, just graze the horizon at its north point, or only dip below it for a moment; while others never reach it at all, but continue always above it, revolving in entire circles round ONE POINT called the POLE, which appears to be the common centre of all their motions, and which alone, in the whole heavens, may be considered immoveable. Not that this point is marked by any star. It is a purely imaginary centre; but there is near it one considerably bright star, called the Pole Star, which is easily recognized by the very small circle it describes; so small, indeed, that, without paying particular attention, and referring its position very nicely to some fixed mark, it may easily be supposed at rest, and be, itself, mistaken for the common centre about which all the others in that region describe their circles; or it may be known by its configuration with a very splendid and remarkable constellation or group of stars, called by astronomers the GREAT BEAR.

(60.) He will further observe, that the apparent relative situations of all the stars among one another, is not changed by their diurnal motion. In whatever parts of their circles

vast magttens the with ence and view omention such after refer ts, as n difagain lerate rceive by a wards n the e scen or the thers, of the their

ching ts, he as its at the at the reatly rizon. ich is above

some

they are observed, or at whatever hour of the night, they form with each other the same identical groups or configurations, to which the name of CONSTELLATIONS has been given. It is true, that, in different parts of their course, these groups stand differently with respect to the horizon; and those towards the north, when in the course of their diurnal movement they pass alternately above and below that common centre of motion described in the last article, become actually inverted with respect to the horizon, while, on the other hand, they always turn the same points towards the pole. In short, he will perceive that the whole assemblage of stars visible at once, or in succession, in the heavens, may be regarded as one great constellation, which seems to revolve with a uniform motion, as if it formed one coherent mass; or as if it were attached to the internal surface of a vast hollow sphere, having the earth, or rather the spectator, in its centre, and turning round an axis inclined to his horizon, so as to pass through that fixed point or pole already mentioned.

(61.) Lastly, he will notice, if he have patience to outwatch a long winter's night, commencing at the earliest moment when the stars appear, and continuing till morning twilight, that those stars which he observed setting in the west have again risen in the east, while those which were rising when he first began to notice them have completed their course, and are now set; and that thus the hemisphere, or a great part of it, which was then above, is now beneath him, and its place supplied by that which was at first under his feet, which he will thus discover to be no less copiously furnished with stars than the other, and bespangled with groups no less permanent and distinctly recognizable. Thus he will learn that the great constellation we have above spoken of as revolving round the pole is co-extensive with the whole surface of the sphere, being in reality nothing less than a universe of luminaries surrounding the earth on all sides, and brought in succession before his view, and referred (each luminary according to its own visual ray or direction from his eye) to the imaginary spherical surface, of which he himself occupies the centre. (Sce art. 49.) There is

ht, they nfiguran given. e groups id those al movecommon actually he other ole. In of stars may be revolve nass; or t hollow s centre, s to pass

to outearliest morning g in the ich were mpleted nisphere, beneath st under opiously led with . Thus ve above with the less than all sides, referred direction of which There is



APPEARANCES RESULTING FROM CHANGE OF STATION. 45

always, therefore (he would justly argue), a star-bespangled canopy over his head, by day as well as by night, only that the glare of daylight (which he perceives gradually to efface the stars as the morning twilight comes on) prevents them from being seen. And such is really the case. The stars actually continue visible through telescopes in the daytime; and, in proportion to the power of the instrument, not only the largest and brightest of them, but even those of inferior lustre, such as scarcely strike the eye at night as at all conspicuous, are readily found and followed even at noonday,—unless in that part of the sky which is very near the sun, — by those who possess the means of pointing a telescope accurately to the proper places. Indeed, from the bottoms of deep narrow pits, such as a well, or the shaft of a mine, such bright stars as pass the zenith may even be discerned by the naked eye; and we have ourselves heard it stated by a celebrated optician, that the earliest circumstance which drew his attention to astronomy was the regular appearance, at a certain hour, for several successive days, of a considerable star, through the shaft of a chimney. Venus in our climate, and even Jupiter in the clearer skies of tropical countries, are often visible, without any artificial aid, to the naked eye of one who knows nearly where to look for them. During total eclipses of the sun, the larger stars also appear in their proper situations.

S

5.

(62.) But to return to our incipient astronomer, whom we left contemplating the sphere of the heavens, as completed in imagination beneath his feet, and as rising up from thence in its diurnal course. There is one portion or segment of this sphere of which he will not thus obtain a view. As there is a segment towards the north, adjacent to the pole above his horizon, in which the stars *never set*, so there is a corresponding segment, about which the smaller circles of the more southern stars are described, in which they *never rise*. The stars which border upon the extreme circumference of this segment just graze the southern point of his horizon, and show themselves for a few moments above it, precisely as those near the circumference of the northern segment graze his northern

46

horizon, and dip for a moment below it, to re-appear immediately. Every point in a spherical surface has, of course, another diametrically opposite to it; and as the spectator's horizon divides his sphere into two hemispheres — a superior and inferior — there must of necessity exist a depressed pole to the south, corresponding to the elevated one to the north, and a portion surrounding it, perpetually beneath, as there is another surrounding the north pole, perpetually above it.

> "Hic vertex nobis semper sublimis; at illum Sub pedibus nox atra videt, manesque profundi." — Viroit. One pole rides high, one, plunged beneath the main, Seeks the deep night, and Pluto's dusky reign.

(63.) To get sight of this segment, he must travel south-In so doing, a new set of phenomena come forward. wards. In proportion as he advances to the south, some of those constellations which, at his original station, barely grazed the northern horizon, will be observed to sink below it and set; at first remaining hid only for a very short time, but gradually for a longer part of the twenty-four hours. They will continue, however, to circulate about the same pointthat is, holding the same invariable position with respect to them in the concave of the heavens among the stars; but this point itself will become gradually depressed with respect to the spectator's horizon. The axis, in short, about which the diurnal motion is performed, will appear to have become continually less and less inclined to the horizon; and by the same degrees as the northern pole is depressed the southern will rise, and constellations surrounding it will come into view; at first momentarily, but by degrees for longer and longer times in each diurnal revolution - realizing, in short, what we have already stated in art. 51.

(64.) If he travel continually southwards, he will at length reach a line on the earth's surface, called *the equator*, at any point of which, indifferently, if he take up his station and recommence his observations, he will find that he has both the centres of diurnal motion in his horizon, occupying opposite points, the northern Pole having been depressed, and the southern raised; so that, in this geographical position,

n di ho tv si re hi

hi

tl

p

it

APPEARANCES RESULTING FROM CHANGE OF STATION. 47

the diurnal rotation of the heavens will appear to him to be performed about a horizontal axis, every star describing half its diurnal circle above and half beneath his horizon, remaining alternately visible for twelve hours, and concealed during the same interval. In this situation, *no* part of the heavens is concealed from his *successive* view. In a night of twelve hours (supposing such a continuance of darkness possible at the equator) the whole sphere will have passed in review over him—the whole hemisphere with which he began his night's observation will have been carried down beneath him, and the entire opposite one brought up from below.

(65.) If he pass the equator, and travel still farther southwards, the southern pole of the heavens will become elevated above his horizon, and the northern will sink below it; and the more, the farther he advances southwards; and when arrived at a station as far to the south of the equator as that from which he started was to the north, he will find the whole phenomena of the heavens reversed. The stars which at his original station described their whole diurnal circles above his horizon, and never set, now describe them entirely below it, and never *rise*, but remain constantly invisible to him; and *vice versa*, those stars which at his former station he never saw, he will now never cease to see.

(66.) Finally, if, instead of advancing southwards from his first station, he travel northwards, he will observe the northern pole of the heavens to become more elevated above his horizon, and the southern more depressed below it. In consequence, his hemisphere will present a less variety of stars, because a greater proportion of the whole surface of the heavens remains constantly visible or constantly invisible: the circle described by each star, too, becomes more nearly parallel to the horizon; and, in short, every appearance leads to suppose that could he travel far enough to the north, he would at length attain a point vertically under the northern pole of the heavens, at which none of the stars would either rise or set, but each would circulate round the horizon in circles parallel to it. Many endeavours have been made to reach this point, which is called the north pole of the

pcar imof course, pectator's superior ssed pole he north, s there is ve it.

GIL.

el southforward. those conrazed the t and set; , but gras. They e point respect to ; but this respect to which the come cond by the southern come into onger and in short,

at length r, at any ation and has both pying opessed, and position,

earth, but hitherto without success; a barrier of almost insurmountable difficulty being presented by the increasing rigour of the climate: but a very near approach to it has been made; and the phenomena of those regions, though not precisely such as we have described as what must subsist atthe pole itself, have proved to be in exact correspondence with its near proximity. A similar remark applies to the south pole of the earth, which, however, is more unapproachable, or, at least, has been less nearly approached, than the north.

(67.) The above is an account of the phenomena of the dimrnal motion of the stars, as modified by different geographical situations, not grounded on any speculation, but actually observed and recorded by travellers and voyagers. It is, however, in complete accordance with the hypothesis of a rotation of the earth round a fixed axis. In order to show this, however, it will be necessary to premise a few observations on *parallactic motion* in general, and on the appearances presented by an assemblage of remote objects, when viewed from different parts of a small and circumscribed station.

(68.) It has been shown (art. 16.) that a spectator in smooth motion, and surrounded by, and forming part of, a great system partaking of the same motion, is unconscious of his own movement, and transfers it in idea to objects external and unconnected, in a contrary direction; those which he leaves behind appearing to recede from, and those which he advances towards to approach, him. Not only, however, do external objects at rest appear in motion generally, with respect to ourselves when we are in motion among them, but they appear to move one among the other - they shift their relative apparent places. Let any one travelling rapidly along a high road fix his eye steadily on any object, but at the same time not entirely withdraw his attention from the general landscape, - he will see, or think he sees, the whole landscape thrown into rotation, and moving round that object as a centre; all objects between it and himself appearing to move backwards, or the contrary way to hi direc from instaalso, circul whiel chang urisin motio positi imagi its ce

ing th A Q, instar we m and a their fore, surfac portic A B objece (69 adduc

addud as we serve eye is advan or co objee

PARALLACTIC MOTION.

49

ost inceasing it has gh not osist *at* ndence to the unapl, than

of the geogran, but vagers. othesis rder to ew obppearwhen scribed

tor in of, a ious of ternal ich he hich he wever, , with them, v shift velling iv obattenink he noving it and y way

to his own motion; and all beyond it, forwards, or in the direction in which he moves: but let him withdraw his eye from that object, and fix it on another, — a nearer one, for instance, — immediately the appearance of rotation shifts also, and the apparent centre about which this illusive circulation is performed is transferred to the new obj et, which, for the moment, appears to rest. This appar nt change of situation of objects with respect to one another, wrising from a motion of the spectator, is called a *parallactic* motion. To see the reason of it we must consider that the position of every object is referred by us to the surface of an imaginary sphere of an indefinite radius, having our eye for its centre; and, as we advance in any direction, A B, carry-



ing this imaginary sphere along with us, the visual rays ΛP , ΛQ , by which objects are referred to its surface (at C, for instance), shift their positions with respect to the line in which we move, ΛB , which serves as an axis or line of reference, and assume new positions, B P p, B Q q, revolving round their respective objects as centres. Their intersections, therefore, p, q, with our visual sphere, will appear to recede on its surface, but with different degrees of angular velocity in proportion to their proximity; the same distance of advance ΛB subtending a greater angle, $\Lambda P B = c P p$, at the near object P than at the remote one Q.

(69.) A consequence of the familiar appearance we have adduced in illustration of these principles is worth noticing, as we shall have occasion to refer to it hereafter. We observe that every object nearer to us than that on which our eye is fixed appears to recede, and those farther from us to advance in relation to one another. If then we did not know, or could not judge by any other appearances, which of two objects were nearer to us, this apparent advance or recess of

one of them, when the eye is kept steadily fixed on the other, would furnish a criterion. In a dark night, for instance, when all intermediate objects are unseen, the apparent relative movement of two lights which we are assured are themselves fixed, will decide as to their relative proximities. That which seems to advance with us and gain upon the other, or leave it behind it, is the farthest from us.

(70.) The apparent angular motion of an object, arising from a change of our point of view, is called in general *parallax*, and it is always expressed by *the angle* A P B *subtended at the object* P (see fig. of art. 68.) by a line joining the two points of view A B under consideration. For it is evident that the difference of angular position of P, ith respect to the invariable direction A B D, when viewed from A and from B, is the difference of the two angles D B P and D A P; now, D B P being the exterior angle of the triangle A B P, is equal to the sum of the interior and opposite, D B P = D A P + A P B, whence D B P - D A P = A P B.

(71.) It follows from what has been said that the amount of parallactic motion arising from any given change of our point of view is, cæteris paribus, less, as the distance of an object viewed is greater; and when that distance is extremely great in comparison with the change in our point of view, the parallax becomes insensible; or, in other words, objects do not appear to vary in situation at all. It is on this principle, that in alpine regions visited for the first time we are surprised and confounded at the little progress we appear to make by a considerable change of place. An hour's walk, for instance, produces but a small parallactic change in the relative situations of the vast and distant masses which surround Whether we walk round a circle of a hundred yards in us. diameter, or merely turn ourselves round in its centre, the distant panorama presents almost exactly the same aspect, ---we hardly seem to have changed our point of view.

(72.) Whatever notion, in other respects, we may form of the stars, it is quite clear they must be immensely distant. Were it not so, the apparent angular interval between any two of them seen over head would be much greater than when press who high swell wind figur the s

a spec chang nicest two st (after taken equall riation surface *lutely* are del of the to or f (73.

dimens nothing interva observe d'unet measur adaptee

DISTANCE OF THE STARS IMMENSE.

when seen near the horizon, and the constellations, instead of preserving the same appearances and dimensions during their whole diurnal course, would appear to enlarge as they rise higher in the sky, as we see a small cloud in the horizon swell into a great overshadowing eanopy when drifted by the wind across our zenith, or as may be seen in the annexed figure, where a b, A B, a b, are three different positions of the same stars, as they would, if near the earth, be seen from



a spectator S, under the visual angles $a \, S \, b$, A S B. No such change of apparent dimension, however, is observed. The nicest measurements of the apparent angular distance of any two stars inter se, taken in any parts of their diarnal course, (after allowing for the unequal effects of refraction, or when taken at such times that this cause of distortion shall act equally on both.) manifest not the slightest perceptible variation. Not only this, but at whatever point of the earth's surface the measurement is performed, the results are absolately identical. No instruments ever yet invented by man are delicate enough to indicate, by an increase or diminution of the angle subtended, that one point of the earth is nearer to or further from the stars than another.

(73.) The necessary conclusion from this ' int the dimensions of the earth, large as it is, are e_{outp} aratively *nothing*, absolutely imperceptible, when compared with the interval which separates the stars from the earth. If an observer walk round a circle not more than a few yards in d'uneter, and from different points in its circumference measure with a sextant or other more exact instrument adapted for the purpose, the angles PAQ, PBQ, PCQ, sub-

8 3

her, nce, relanem-Fhat r, or

ising aral. anded two ident ct to . and A P; B P, P =

nount f our of an emely w, the ts do iciple, e surar to k, for he reround rds in e, the ect, —

rm of istant. n any than

tended at those stations by two well-defined points in his visible horizon, PQ, he will at once be advertised, by the difference of the results, of his change of distance from them arising from his change of place, although that difference may be so small as to produce no change in their *general* aspect to his unassisted sight. This is one of the innumerable instances where accurate measurement obtained by instrumental means places us in a totally different situation in respect to matters of fact, and conclusions thence deducible, from what we should



hold, were we to rely in all cases on the mere judgment of the eve. To so great a nicety have such observations been carried by the aid of an instrument called a theodolite, that a circle even a few inches in diameter may thus be rendered sensible, may thus be detected to have a size, and an ascertainable *place*, by reference to objects distant by fully 100,000 times its own dimensions. Observations, differing, it is true, somewhat in method, but identical in principle, and executed with quite as much exactness, have been applied to the stars, and with a result such as has been already stated. Hence it follows, incontrovertibly, that the distance of the stars from the earth cannot be so small as 100,000 of the earth's diameters. It is, indeed, incomparably greater; for we shall hereafter find it fully demonstrated that the distance just named, immense as it may appear, is yet much underrated.

(74.) From such a distance, to a spectator with our fa culties, and furnished with our instruments, the earth would

be in size, discen stand imagi the co forme separa viz. a vast d togeth of the zone t eve, a and th spoken horizon scribed horizor

(75.)the sta centre horizon spectate observe tuation which i commo the san its cont centre, oppo it The as horizon the san appear same to follows

SENSIBLE AND RATIONAL HORIZON.

53

his the icm nay t to nces eans ters ould

nt of been hat a lered n asfully ring, r, and ed to ated. f the f the r; for tance mder-

ur fa would be imperceptible; and, reciprocally, an object of the earth's size, placed at the distance of the stars, would be equally undiscernible. If, therefore, at the point on which a spectator stands, we draw a plane touching the globe, and prolong it in imagination till it attain the region of the stars, and through the centre of the earth conceive another plane parallel to the former, and co-extensive with it, to pass; these, although separated throughout their whole extent by the same interval, viz. a semidiameter of the earth, will yet, on account of the vast distance at which that interval is seen, be confounded together, and undistinguishable from each other in the region of the stars, when viewed by a spectator on the earth. The zone they there include will be of evanescent breadth to his eve, and will only mark out a great circle in the heavens, one and the same for both the stations. This great circle, when spoken of as a circle of the sphere, is called the *celestial* horizon or simply the horizon, and the two planes just described are also spoken of as the sensible and the rational horizon of the observer's station.

(75.) From what has been said (art. 73.) of the distance of the stars, it follows, that if we suppose a spectator at the centre of the earth to have his view bounded by the rational horizon, in exactly the same manner as that of a corresponding spectator on the surface is by his sensible horizon, the two observers will see the same stars in the same relative sitnations, each beholding that entire hemisphere of the heavens which is above the celestial horizon, corresponding to their common zenith. Now, so far as appearances go, it is clearly the same thing whether the heavens, that is, all space with its contents, revolve round a spectator at rest in the earth's centre, or whether that spectator simply turn round in the opposite direction in his place, and view them in succession. The aspect of the heavens, at every instant, as referred to his horizon (which must be supposed to turn with him), will be the same in both suppositions. And since, as has been shown, appearances are also, so far as the stars are concerned, the same to a spectator on the surface as to one at the centre, it follows that, whether we suppose the heavens to revolve

E 3

without the earth, or the earth within the heavens, in the opposite direction, the diurnal phenomena, to all its inhabitants, will be no way different.

(76.) The Copernican astronomy adopts the latter as the true explanation of these phenomena, avoiding thereby the necessity of otherwise resorting to the eumbrous mechanism of a solid but invisible sphere, to which the stars must be supposed attached, in order that they may be carried round the earth without derangement of their relative situations inter Such a contrivance would, indeed, suffice to explain the se. diurnal revolution of the stars, so as to "save appearances;" but the movements of the sun and moon, as well as those of the planets, are incompatible with such a supposition, as will appear when we come to treat of these bodies. On the other hand, that a spherical mass of moderate dimensions (or, rather, when compared with the surrounding and visible universe, of evaneseent magnitude), held by no tie, and free to move and to revolve, should do so, in conformity with those general laws which, so far as we know, regulate the motions of all material bodies, is so far from being a postulate difficult to be eoneeded, that the wonder would rather be should the fact prove otherwise. As a postulate, therefore, we shall henceforth regard it; and as, in the progress of our work, analogies offer themselves in its support from what we observe of other celestial bodies, we shall not fail to point them out to the reader's notice.

(77.) The earth's rotation on its axis so admitted, explaining, as it evidently does, the apparent motion of the stars in a completely satisfactory manner, prepares us for the further admission of its motion, bodily, in space, should such a motion enable us to explain, in a manner equally so, the apparently complex and enigmatical motions of the sun, moon, and planets. The Copernican astronomy adopts this idea in its full extent, ascribing to the earth, in addition to its motion of *rotation* about an axis, also one of *translation* or transference through space, in such a course or *orbit*, and so regulated in direction and celerity, as, taken in conjunction with the motions of the other bodies of the universe, shall

rend pres post out conc doct theo with assig tonia show cepti intel degr whie (7)

ment betw ceive of m to his way amon joine said] is suc parts whiel whiel physi him a havin not)? preser appea that [of all sented

RELATIVE MOTION.

in the tants,

is the y the anism ist be round s inter in the ces;" ose of s will n the is (or, e uniree to those otions fficult ld the shall work, e obthem

plainnrs in orther ach a b, the sun, s this to its on or nd so oction shall render a rational account of the appearances they successively present, — that is to say, an account of which the several parts, postulates, propositions, deductions, intelligibly cohere, without contradicting each other or the nature of things as concluded from experience. In this view of the Copernican doctrine it is rather a geometrical conception than a physical theory, inasmuch it simply assumes the requisite motions, without attempting to explain their mechanical origin, or assign them any dependence on physical causes. The Newtonian theory of gravitation supplies this deficiency, and, by showing that all the motions required by the Copernican conception must, and that no others can, result from a single, intelligible, and very simple dynamical law, has given a degree of certainty to this conception, as a matter of fact, which attaches to no other creation of the human mind.

(78.) To understand this conception in its further developments, the reader must hear steadily in mind the distinction between relative and absolute motion. Nothing is easier to perceive than that, if a spectator at rest view a certain number of moving objects, they will group and arrange themselves to his eye, at each successive moment, in a very different way from what they would do were he in active motion among them, - if he formed one of them, for instance, and joined in their dance. This is evident from what has been said before of parallactic motion; but it will be asked, How is such a spectator to disentangle from each other the two parts of the apparent motions of these external objects,---that which arises from the effect of his own change of place, and which is therefore only apparent (or, as a German metaphysician would say, subjective - having reference only to him as perceiving it), — and that which is real (or objective having a positive existence, whether perceived by him or not)? By what rule is he to ascertain, from the appearances presented to him while himself in motion, what would be the appearances were he at rest? It by no means follows, indeed, that he would even then at once obtain a clear conception of all the motions of all the objects. The appearances so presented to him would have still something subjective about them.

Е 4

of t

serv

nnd

of t

as s

woul

sudd

it fr

the s

of a

of ol

serve

redu

effect

(sup)

only

his o

on tl

conve

all, a

other

chang

could

of th

obicc

centr

56

They would be still appearances, not geometrical realities. They would still have a reference to the point of view, which might be very unfavourably situated (as, indeed, is the case in our system) for affording a clear notion of the real movement of each object. No geometrical figure, or curve, is seen by the eye as it is conceived by the mind to exist in reality. The laws of perspective interfere and alter the apparent directions and foreshorten the dimensions of its several parts. If the spectator be unfavourably situated, as, for instance, nearly in the plane of the figure (which is the case we have to deal with), they may do so to such an extent, as to make a considerable effort of imagination necessary to pass from the sensible to the real form.

(79.) Still, preparatory to this ultimate step, it is first necessary that the spectator should free or clear the appearances from the disturbing influence of his own change of place. And this he can always do by the following general rule or proposition: -

The relative motion of two bodies is the same as if either of them were at rest, and all its motion communicated to the other in an opposite direction.*

Hence, if two bodies move alike, they will, when seen from each other (without reference to other near bodies, but only to the starry sphere), appear at rest. Hence, also, if the absolute motions of two bodies be uniform and rectilinear, their relative motion is so also.

(80.) The stars are so distant, that as we have seen it is absolutely indifferent from what point of the earth's surface we view them. Their configurations *inter se* are identically the same. It is otherwise with the sun, moon, and planets, which are near enough (especially the moon) to be *parallactically* displaced by change of station from place to place on our globe. In order that astronomers residing on different points

[•] This proposition is equivalent to the following, which precisely meets the case proposed, but requires somewhat more thought for its clear apprehension than can perlaps be expected from a beginner :---

PROF.—If two bodies, A and B, be in motion independently of each other, the motion which B seen from A would appear to have if A were at rest is the same with that which it would appear to have, A being in motion, if, in addition to its own motion, anotion equal to As and in the sume direction were communicated to it.

IDEAL REFERENCE TO THE EARTH'S CENTER. 57

of the earth's surface should be able to compare their observations with effect, it is necessary that they should clearly understand and take account of this effect of the difference of their stations on the appearance of the outward universe as seen from each. As an exterior object seen from one would appear to have shifted its place were the spectator suddenly transported to the other, so two spectators, viewing it from the two stations at the same instant, do not see it in the same direction. Hence arises a necessity for the adoption of a conventional centre of reference, or imaginary station of observation common to all the world, to which each observer, wherever situated, may refer (or, as it is called, reduce) his observations, by calculating and allowing for the effect of his local position with respect to that common centre (supposing him to possess the necessary data). If there were only two observers, in fixed stations, one might agree to refer his observations to the other station; but, as every locality on the globe may be a station of observation, it is far more convenient and natural to fix upon a point equally related to all, as the common point of reference; and this can be no other than the centre of the globe itself. The parallaetie change of apparent place which would arise in an object, could any observer suddenly transport himself to the centre of the earth, is evidently the angle CSP, subtended at the object S by that radius CP of the earth which joins its centre and the place P of observation.

C S

alities. which he case moveis seen reality. ent diparts. stance, lave to make a om the

rst netrances place. rule or

f either l to the

n seen es, but , if the ilinear,

en it is face we lly the which ctically on our points

neets the ehension

other, the the sume o its own to it.

CHAPTER II.

TERMINOLOGY AND ELEMENTARY GEOMETRICAL CONCEPTIONS AND RELATIONS.— TERMINOLOGY RELATING TO THE GLOBE OF THE EARTH — TO THE CELESTIAL SPHERE. — CELESTIAL PERSPEC-TIVE.

(81.) SEVERAL of the terms in use among astronomers have been explained in the preceding chapter, and others used anticipatively. But the technical language of every subject requires to be formally stated, both for consistency of usage and definiteness of conception. We shall therefore proceed, in the first place, to define a number of terms in perpetual use, having relation to the globe of the earth and the celestial sphere.

(82.) DEFINITION 1. The axis of the earth is that diameter about which it revolves, with a uniform motion, from west to east; performing one revolution in the interval which elapses between any star leaving a certain point in the heavens, and returning to the same point again.

(83.) DEF. 2. The *poles* of the earth are the points where its axis meets its surface. The North Pole is that nearest to Europe; the South Pole that most remote from it.

(84.) DEF. 3. The *carth's equator* is a great circle on its surface, equidistant from its poles, dividing it into two hemispheres—a northern and a southern; in the midst of which are situated the respective poles of the earth of those names. The *plane* of the equator is, therefore, a plane perpendicular to the earth's axis, and passing through its centre.

(85.) DEF. 4. The *terrestrial meridian* of a station on the earth's surface, is a great circle of the globe passing through both poles and through the place. The plane of the meridian is the plane in which that circle lies.

(86.) station

(87.) the plan sensible points of must set south points (88.)

is its an terrestri seconds, cording vatory a This def sidered a physical quaintan modifica it, neces

(89.) earth's s a circle be situat (90.)

surface i station r nomers a this stat respectiv of Ferro from Gr measured meridian same th between (91.) usually

TERMINOLOGY.

(86.) DEF. 5. The sensible and the rational horizon of any station have been already defined in art. 74.

(87.) DEF. 6. A meridian line is the line of intersection of the plane of the meridian of any station with the plane of the sensible horizon, and therefore marks the north and south points of the horizon, or the directions in which a spectator must set out if he would travel directly towards the north or south pole.

(88.) DEF. 7. The *latitude* of a place on the earth's surface is its angular distance from the equator, measured on its own terrestrial meridian: it is reckoned in degrees, minutes, and seconds, from 0 up to 90°, and northwards or southwards according to the hemisphere the place lies in. Thus, the observatory at Greenwich is situated in $51^{\circ} 28' 40''$ north latitude. This definition of latitude, it will be observed, is to be considered as only temporary. A more exact knowledge of the physical structure and figure of the earth, and a better acquaintance with the niceties of astronomy, will render some modification of its terms, or a different manner of considering it, necessary.

(89.) DEF. 8. Parallels of latitude are small circles on the earth's surface parallel to the equator. Every point in such a circle has the same latitude. Thus, Greenwich is said to be situated in the parallel of 51° 28' 40".

(90.) DEF. 9. The longitude of a place on the earth's surface is the inclination of its mer lian to that of some fixed station referred to as a point to reekon from. English astronomers and geographers use the observatory at Greenwich for this station; foreigners, the principal observatories of their respective nations. Some geographers have adopted the island of Ferro. Hereafter, when we speak of longitude, we reekon from Greenwich. The longitude of a place is, therefore, measured by the arc of the equator intercepted between the meridian of the place and that of Greenwich; or, which is the same thing, by the spherical angle at the pole included between these meridians.

(91.) As *latitude* is reckoned north or south, so *longitude* is usually said to be reckoned west or east. It would add

AND THE PEC-

have antibject usage ceed, etual estial

dia*from* thich the

where est to on its

ıemivhich ımes. cular

n the ough ridian







greatly, however, to systematic regularity, and tend much to avoid confusion and ambiguity in computations, were this mode of expression abandoned, and longitudes reckoned invariably westward from their origin round the whole circle from 0 to 360°. Thus, the longitude of Paris is, in common parlance, either 2° 20′ 22″ east, or 357° 39′ 38″ west of Greenwich. But, in the sense in which we shall henceforth use and recommend others to use the term, the latter is its proper designation. Longitude is also reckoned in time at the rate of 24 h. for 360°, or 15° per hour. In this system the longitude of Paris is 23 h. 50 m. $39\frac{1}{2}$ s.*

t p is c

pi

po

ax

by

 \mathbf{sp}

of

ein

the

san

as i

the

his

.

whie

neete sensa

we ca

eyes, terna

posed the w

with

with is the stitut

langu

on th

† I Nadi

(

(92.) Knowing the longitude and latitude of a place, it may be laid down on an artificial globe; and thus a map of the earth may be constructed. Maps of particular countries are detached portions of this general map, extended into planes; or, rather, they are representations on planes of such portions, executed according to certain conventional systems of rules, called *projections*, the object of which is either to distort as little as possible the outlines of countries from what they are on the globe—or to establish easy means of ascertaining, by inspection or graphical measurement, the latitudes and longitudes of places which occur in them, without referring to the globe or to books—or for other peculiar uses. See Chap. IV.

(93.) DEF. 10. The *Tropics* are two parallels of latitude, one on the north and the other on the south side of the equator, over every point of which respectively, the sun in its diurnal course passes vertically on the 21st of March and the 21st of September in every year. Their latitudes are about 23° 28' respectively, north and south.

(94.) DEF. 11. The Arctic and Antarctic circles are two small circles or parallels of latitude as distant from the north and south poles as the tropics are from the equator, that is to say, about 23° 28'; their latitudes, therefore, are about

^{*} To distinguish minutes and seconds of time from those of angular measure we shall invariably adhere to the distinct system of notation here adopted (°'', and h. m. s.). Great confusion sometimes arises from the practice of using the same marks for both.

TERMINOLOGY.

end much to a, were this skoned invae circle from in common 8" west of l henceforth latter is its d in time at this system

a place, it nus a map of lar countries stended into lanes of such conal systems is either to es from what cans of ascerthe latitudes without repeculiar uses.

s of latitude, side of the , the sun in f March and latitudes are

cles are two om the north ator, that is e, are about

angular measure in here adopted the practice of 66° 32'. We say *about*, for the places of these circles and of the tropics are continually shifting on the earth's surface, though with extreme slowness, as will be explained in its proper place.

(95.) DEF. 12. The sphere of the heavens or of the stars is an imaginary spherical surface of infinite radius, having the eye of any spectator for its centre, and which may be conceived as a ground on which the stars, planets, &c., the visible contents of the universe, are seen projected as in a vast picture. *

(96.) DEF. 13. The *poles* of the celestial sphere are the points of that imaginary sphere towards which the earth's axis is directed.

(97.) DEF. 14. The celestial equator, or, as it is often called by astronomers, the *equinoctial*, is a great circle of the celestial sphere, marked out by the indefinite extension of the plane of the terrestrial equator.

(98.) DEF. 15. The celestial horizon of any place is a great circle of the sphere marked out by the indefinite extension of the plane of any spectator's *sensible* or (which comes to the same thing as will presently be shown), his *rational* horizon, as in the case of the equator.

(99.) DEF. 16. The *zenith* and *nadir* \dagger of a spectator are the two points of the sphere of the heavens, vertically over his head, and vertically under his feet, or the *poles* of

 \dagger From Arabic words sent, vertex, and almadhir, corresponding or opposite to. Nadir corresponds evidently to the German κ -eder (down), whence our nether.

^{*} The ideal sphere without us, to which we refer the places of objects, and which we carry along with us wherever we go, is no doubt intimately connected by association, if not entirely dependent on that obscure perception of sensation in the retime of our eyes, of which, even when closed and unexcited, we cannot entirely divest them. We have a real spherical surface within our eyes, the seat of sensation and vision, corresponding, point for point, to the external sphere. On this the stars, &c. are really mapped down, as we have supposed them in the text to be, on the imaginary concave of the heavens. When the whole surface of the retina is excited by light, habit leads us to associate it with the idea of a real surface existing without us. Thus we become impressed with the notion of a sky and a heaven, but the concave surface of the retina itself is the true seat of all visible angular dimension and angular motion. The substitution of the retina for the heavens would be awkward and inconvenient in language, but it may always be mentally made. (See Schiller's pretty enigma on the eye in his Turaudor)

the celestial horizon; that is to say, points 90° distant from every point in it.

(100.) DEF. 17. Vertical circles of the sphere are great circles passing through the zenith and nadir, or great circles perpendicular to the horizon. On these are measured the *altitudes* of objects above the horizon—the complements to which are their zenith distances.

(101.) DEF. 18. The celestial meridian of a spectator is the great circle marked out on the sphere by the prolongation of the plane of his terrestrial meridian. If the earth be supposed at rest, this is a fixed circle, and all the stars are carried across it in their diurnal courses from east to west. If the stars rest and the earth rotate, the spectator's meridian, like his horizon (art. 52.), sweeps daily across the stars from west to east. Whenever in future we speak of the meridian of a spectator or observer, we intend the celestial meridian, which being a circle passing through the poles of the heavens and the zenith of the observer, is necessarily a vertical circle, and passes through the north and south points of the horizon.

(102.) DEF. 19. The *prime vertical* is a vertical circle perpendicular to the meridian, and which therefore passes through the east and west points of the horizon.

r

t

a

tł

ol

uj

W.

ci

in

is

in

821

pas

hoi

(an

(103.) DEF. 20. Azimuth is the angular distance of a celestial object from the north or south point of the horizon (according as it is the north or south pole which is *elevated*), when the object is referred to the horizon by a vertical circle; or it is the angle comprised between two vertical planes - one passing through the elevated pole, the other through the Azimuth may be reckoned castwards or westwards, object. from the north or south point, and is usually so reckoned only to 180° either way. But to avoid confusion, and to preserve continuity of interpretation when algebraic symbols are used (a point of essential importance, hitherto too little insisted on), we shall always reckon azimuth from the points of the horizon most remote from the elevated pole, westward (so as to agree in general directions with the apparent diurnal motion of the stars), and carry its reckoning from 0° to 360° if

TERMINOLOGY.

distant from

re are great great circles neasured the nplements to

ectator is the olongation of earth be suprs are carried west. If the meridian, like ne stars from the meridian tial meridian, of the heavens vertical circle, points of the

eal circle perpasses through

tance of a ceof the horizon h is elevated), vertical circle; l planes—one through the or westwards, y so reckoned usion, and to braic symbols erto too little rom the points , westward (so parent diurnal n 0° to 360° if always reckoned positive, considering the eastward reckoning as negative.

(104.) DEF. 21. The *altitude* of a heavenly body is its apparent angular elevation above the horizon. It is the complement to 90°, therefore, of its zenith distance. The altitude and azimuth of an object being known, its place in the visible heavens is determined.

(105.) DEF. 22. The declination of a heavenly body is its angular distance from the equinoctial or celestial equator, or the complement to 90° of its angular distance from the nearest pole, which latter distance is called its *Polar distance*. Declinations are reckoned *plus* or *minus*, according as the object is situated in the northern or southern celestial hemisphere. *Polar distances* are always reckoned from the North Pole, from 0° up to 180°, by which all doubt or ambiguity of expression with respect to sign is avoided.

(106.) DEF. 23. Hour circles of the sphere, or circles of declination, are great circles passing through the poles, and of course perpendicular to the equinoctial. The hour circle, passing through any particular heavenly body, serves to refer it to a point in the equinoctial, as a vertical circle does to a point in the horizon.

(107.) DEF. 24. The hour angle of a heavenly body is the angle at the pole included between the hour circle passing through the body, and the celestial meridian of the place of observation. We shall always reckon it *positively* from the *upper* culmination (art. 125.) westwards, or in conformity with the *apparent* diurnal motion, completely round the circle from 0° to 360°. Hour angles, generally, are angles included at the pole between different hour circles.

(108.) DEF. 25. The right ascension of a heavenly body is the arc of the equinoctial included between a certain point in that circle called the *Vernal Equinox*, and the point in the same circle to which it is referred by the circle of declination passing through it. Or it is the angle included between two hour circles, one of which passes through the vernal equinox (and is called the equinoctial colure), the other through the

body. How the place of this initial point on the equinoctial is determined, will be explained further on.

(109.) The right ascensions of celestial objects are always reckoned *eastwards* from the equinox, and are estimated either in degrees, minutes, and seconds, as in the case of terrestrial longitudes, from 0° to 360°, which completes the circle; or, in time, in hours, minutes, and seconds, from 0h. to 24h. The apparent diurnal motion of the heavens being contrary to the real motion of the earth, this is in conformity with the westward reckoning of longitudes. (Art. 91.)

(110.) Sidereal time is reckoned by the diurnal motion of the stars, or rather of that point in the equinoctial from which right ascensions are reckoned. This point may be considered as a star, though no star is, in fact, there; and, moreover, the point itself is liable to a certain slow variation, -so slow however, as not to affect, perceptibly, the interval, of any two of its successive returns to the meridian. This interval is called a sidereal day, and is divided into 24 sidereal hours, and these again into minutes and seconds. A clock which marks sidereal time, i. e. which goes at such a rate as always to show Oh. Om. Os. when the equinox comes on the meridian, is called a sidereal clock, and is an indispensable piece of furniture in every observatory. Hence the hour angle of an object reduced to time at the rate of 15° per hour, expresses the interval of sidereal time by which (if its reckoning be positive) it has past the meridian, or if negative, the time it wants of arriving at the meridian of the place of observation. So also the right ascension of an object, if converted into time at the same rate (since 360° being described uniformly in 24 hours, 15° must be so described in 1 hour), will express the interval of sidereal time which elapses from the passage of the vernal equinox across the meridian to that of the object next subsequent.

(111.) As a globe or maps may be made of the whole or particular regions of the surface of the earth, so also a globe, or general map of the heavens, as well as charts of particular parts, may be constructed, and the stars laid down in their proper situations relative to each other, and to the poles

• Tŋ,

of

TERMINOLOGY.

equinoctial

are always e estimated the case of npletes the ls, from 0h. avens being conformity 91.)

motion of octial from nt may be there; and, w variation, he interval, dian. This 24 sidereal s. A clock ch a rate as mes on the ndispensable e the hour of 15° per y which (if dian, or if idian of the n of an ob-360° being o described time which across the

he whole or lso a globe, of particuid down in to the polcs

of the heavens and the celestial equator. Such a representation, once made, will exhibit a true appearance of the stars as they present themselves in succession to every spectator on the surface, or as they may be conceived to be seen at once by one at the centre of the globe. It is, therefore, independent of all geographical localities. There will occur in such a representation neither zenith, nadir, nor horizon neither east nor west points; and although great circles may be drawn on it from pole to pole, corresponding to terrestrial mcridians, they can no longer, in this point of view, be regarded as the celestial meridians of fixed points on the earth's surface, since, in the course of one diurnal revolution, every point in it passes beneath each of them. It is on account of this change of conception, and with a view to establish a complete distinction between the two branches of Geography and Uranography*, that astronomers have adopted different terms, (viz. declination and right ascension) to represent those arcs in the heavens which correspond to latitudes and longitudes on the earth. It is for this reason that they term the equator of the heavens the equinoctial; that what are meridians on the earth are called hour circles in the heavens, and the angles they include between them at the poles are called hour angles. All this is convenient and intelligible; and had they been content with this nomenclature, no confusion could ever have arisen. Unluckily, the early astronomers have employed also the words latitude and longitude in their uranography, in speaking of arcs of circles not corresponding to those meant by the same words on the earth, but having reference to the motion of the sun and planets among the stars. It is now too late to remedy this confusion, which is ingrafted into every existing work on astronomy: we can only regret, and warn the reader of it, that he may be on his guard when, at a more advanced period of our work, we shall have occasion to define and use the terms in their celestiul sense, at the same time urgently recommending to future writers the adoption of others in their places.

* Γη, the earth ; γραφειν, to describe or represent ; oupavos, the heaven.

F

(112.) It remains to illustrate these descriptions by reference to a figure. Let C be the centre of the earth, N C S

an

tυ

will pole.

circle

ZSi

ew t

More

nation

Hhy

and t

petual

never

00, t

above

sented

sented

this fig

variety

science

knowle

angles,

parent

concave

configu

(114)



Its axis; then are N and S its poles; E Q its equator; A B the parallel of latitude of the station A on its surface; A P parallel to S C N, the direction in which an observer at A will see the elevated pole of the heavens; and A Z, the prolongation of the terrestrial radius C A, that of his zenith. N A E S will be his meridian; N G S that of some fixed station, as Greenwich; and G E, or the spherical angle G N E, his longitude, and E A his latitude. Moreover, if ns be a plane touching the surface in A, this will be his sensible horizon; n A s marked on that plane by its intersection with his meridian will be his meridian line, and n and s the north and south points of his horizon.

(113.) Again, neglecting the size of the earth, or conceiving him stationed at its centre, and referring every thing to his rational horizon; let the annexed figure represent the sphere of the heavens; C the spectator; Z his zenith; and N his nadir: then will H A O a great circle of the sphere, whose poles are Z N, be his celestial horizon; P p the elevated and depressed POLES of the heavens; H P the altitude of the pole, and H P Z E O his meridian; E T Q, a great circle perpendicular to P p, will be the equinoctial; and if Υ represent the equinox, Υ T will be the right ascension, T S the declination,

CELESTIAL PERSPECTIVE.

ns by reth, NCS

and P S the *polar distance* of any star or object S, referred to the equinoctial by the *hour circle* PSTp; and BSD



uator; AB urface; AP ver at A will the prolongah. NAES d station, as VE, his lonbe a plane ble horizon; with his mee north and

r conceiving thing to his t the sphere and N his here, whose elevated and e of the pole, rcle perpenepresent the declination,

will be the diurnal circle it will appear to describe about the nole. Again, if we refer it to the horizon by the vertical circle ZSM, OM will be its azimuth, MS its altitude, and ZS its zenith distance. H and O are the north and south, ew the east and west points of his horizon, or of the heavens. Moreover, if H h, O o, be small circles, or parallels of declination, touching the horizon in its north and south points, H h will be the circle of *perpetual apparition*, between which and the elevated pole the stars never set; O o that of perpetual occultation, between which and the depressed pole they never rise. In all the zone of the heavens between H h and Oo, they rise and set; any one of them, as S, remaining above the horizon in that part of its diurnal circle represented by a B A, and below it throughout all the part represented by A D a. It will exercise the reader to construct this figure for several different elevations of the pole, and for a variety of positions of the star S in each.

(114.) Celestial perspective is that branch of the general science of perspective which teaches us to conclude, from a knowledge of the real situation and forms of objects, lines, angles, motions, &c. with respect to the spectator, their apparent aspects, as seen by him projected on the imaginary concave of the heavens; and, vice versâ, from the apparent configurations and movements of objects so seen projected.

ray

beit

mai

infi

are

or

the

am

ges

in

con

and

abo

bei

mo

stre

to

aga

less

and

poi

noi

not

the

pea

abo

for

cer

lin

ma

tio

sta Sej

ma

col of

vis

of for

to conclude, so far as they can be thence concluded, their real geometrical relations to each other and to the spectator. It agrees with ordinary perspective when only a small visual area is contemplated, because the concave ground of the celestial sphere, for a small extent, may be regarded as a plane surface, on which objects are seen projected or depicted as in common perspective. But when large amplitudes of the visual area are considered, or when the whole contents of space are regarded as projected on the whole interior surface of the sphere, it becomes necessary to use a different phraseology, and to resort to a different form of conception. In common perspective there is a single "point of sight," or "centre of the picture," the visual line from the eye to which is perpendicular to the "plane of the picture," and all straight lines are represented by straight lines. In celestial perspective, every point to which the view is for the moment directed, is equally entitled to be considered as the "centre of the picture," every portion of the surface of the sphere being similarly related to the eye. Moreover, every straight line (supposed to be indefinitely prolonged) is projected into a semicircle of the sphere, that, namely, in which a plane passing through the line and the eye cuts its surface. And every system of parallel straight lines, in whatever direction, is projected into a system of semicircles of the sphere, meeting in two common apexes, or vanishing points, diametrically opposite to each other, one of which corresponds to the vanishing point of parallels in ordinary perspective; the other in such perspective has no existence. In other words, every point in the sphere to which the eye is directed may be regarded as one of the vanishing points, or one apex of a system of straight lines parallel to that radius of the sphere which passes through it or to the direction of the line of sight, seen in perspective from the earth, and the point diametrically opposite, or that from which he is looking, as the other. And any great circle of the sphere may similarly be regarded as the vanishing circle of a system of planes, parallel to its own.

(115.) A familiar illustration of this is often to be had by attending to the lines of light seen in the air, when the sun's
CELESTIAL PERSPECTIVE.

ed, their

pectator.

all visual

l of the

ded as a

depicted

es of the

tents of

r surface

nt phra-

ght," or

eye to

' and all

celestial

moment

" centre

re being

ght line

l into a

passing

d every

, is pro-

eting in

ally op-

inishing

in such

point in

d as one

straight through

spective

or that

t circle

ig circle

had by

ie sun's

ion. In

69

rays are darted through apertures in clouds, the sun itself being at the time obscured behind them. These lines which, marking the course of rays emanating from a point almost infinitely distant, are to be considered as parallel straight lines, are thrown into great circles of the sphere, having two apexes or points of common intersection - one in the place where the sun itself (if not obscured) would be seen, the other diametrically opposite. The first only is most commonly suggested when the spectator's view is towards the sun. But in mountainous countries, the phenomenon of sunbeams converging towards a point diametrically opposite to the sun, and as much depressed below the horizon as the sun is elevated above it, is not unfrequently noticed, the back of the spectator being turned to the sun's place. Occasionally, but much more rarely, the whole course of such a system of sunbeams, stretching in semicircles across the hemisphere from horizon to horizon (the sun being near setting), may be seen.* Thus again, the streamers of the Aurora Borcalis, which are doubtless electrical rays, parallel, or nearly parallel to cach other, and to the dipping needle, usually appear to diverge from the point towards which the needle, freely suspended, would dip northwards (i. e. about 70° below the horizon and 23° west of north from London), and in their upward progress pursue the course of great circles till they again converge (in appearance) towards the point diametrically opposite (i. e. 70° above the horizon, and 23° to the castward of south), forming a sort of canopy over head, having that point for its centre. So also in the phenomenon of shooting stars, the lines of direction which they appear to take on certain remarkable occasions of periodical recurrence, are observed, if

F 3

[•] It is in such cases only that we conceive them as circles, the ordinary conven tons of plane perspective becoming untenable. The author had the good fortune to witness on one occasion the phenomenon described in the text under circumstances of more than usual grandeur. Approaching Lyons from the south on Sept. 80. 1826, about 54 h. r. M., the sun was seen nearly setting behind broken masses of stormy cloud, from whose apertures streamed forth beams of rosecoloured light, traceable all across the hemisphere almost to their opposite point of convergence behind the snowy precipices of Mont Blane, conspicuously visible at nearly 100 miles to the eastward. The impression produced was that of another but feebler sun about to rise from behind the mountain, and darting forth precursory beams to meet those of the real oue opposite.

prolonged backwards, apparently to meet nearly in one point of the sphere; a certain indication of a general near approach to parallelism in the real directions of their motions on those occasions. On which subject more hereafter.

(116.) In relation to this idea of celestial perspective, we may conceive the north and south poles of the sphere as the two vanishing points of a system of lines parallel to the axis of the earth; and the zenith and nadir of those of a system of perpendiculars to its surface at the place of observation, &c. It will be shown that the direction of a *plumb-line* at every place is perpendicular to the surface of still water at that place, which is the true horizon; and though mathematically speaking no two plumb-lines are exactly parallel (since they converge to the earth's centre), yet over very small tracts, such as the area of a building—in one and the same town, &c., the difference from exact parallelism is so small that it may be practically disregarded.* To a spectator looking upwards such a system of plumb-lines will appear to converge to his zenith; downwards, to his nadir.

(117.) So also the celestial equator, or the equinoctial, must be conceived as the vanishing circle of a system of planes parallel to the earth's equator, or perpendicular to its axis. The celestial horizon of any spectator is in like manner the vanishing circle of all planes parallel to his true horizon, of which planes his *rational* horizon (passing through the earth's centre) is one, and his *sensible* horizon (the tangent plane of his station) another.

(118.) Owing, however, to the absence of all the ordinary indications of distance which influence our judgment in respect of terrestrial objects; owing to the want of determinate figure and magnitude in the stars and planets as commonly seen—the projection of the celestial bodies on the ground of the heavenly concave is not usually regarded in this its true light of a perspective representation or picture, and it even requires an effort of imagination to conceive them in their true relations, as at vastly different distances, one behind the other,

* An interval of a mile corresponds to a convergence of plumb-lines amounting to somewhat less space than a minute. und ordi nece mad curi as 1 low (tude F twe ZA No fro by (the 801 the the the th he

and

shor

thos To

actu

nom

coni

ture

side

in ta

th

he

ELEMENTARY RELATIONS.

71

one point approach on those

ctive, we re as the the axis a system ervation, *b-line* at water at matheparallel er very and the m is so a specwill aplir.

al, must nes pas. The er the zon, of earth's lane of

dinary in reminate monly und of s true en rer true other,

mount-

and forming with one another lines of junction violently foreshortened, and including angles altogether differing from those which their projected representations appear to make. To do so at all with effect presupposes a knowledge of their actual situations in space, which it is the business of astronomy to arrive at by appropriate considerations. But the connections which subsist among the several parts of the picture, the purely geometrical relations among the angles and sides of the spherical triangles of which it consists, constitute, under the name of Uranometry*, a preliminary and subordinate branch of the general science, with which it is necessary to be familiar before any further progress can be Some of the most elementary and frequently ocmade. curring of these relations we proceed to explain. And first. as immediate consequences of the above definitions, the following propositions will be borne in mind.

(119.) The altitude of the elevated pole is equal to the latitude of the spectator's geographical station.

For it appears, see fig. art. 112., that the angle P A Z between the pole and the zenith is equal to N C A, and the angles Z A n and N C E being right angles, we have P A n = A C E. Now the former of these is the elevation of the pole as seen from E, the latter is the angle at the earth's centre subtended by the arc E A, or the latitude of the place.

(120.) Hence to a spectator at the north pole of the earth, the north pole of the heavens is in his zenith. As he travels southward it becomes less and less elevated till he reaches the equator, when both poles are in his horizon—south of the equator the north pole becomes depressed below, while the south rises above his horizon, and continues to do so till the south pole of the globe is reached, when that of the heavens will be in the zenith.

(121.) The same stars, in their diurnal revolution, come to the meridian, *successively*, of every place on the globe once in twenty-four sidereal hours. And, since the diurnal rotation is uniform, the interval, in sidereal time, which elapses

* Oupavos, the heavens; $\mu\epsilon\tau\rho\epsilon\omega$, to measure : the measurement of the heavens.

between the same star coming upon the meridians of two different places is measured by the difference of longitudes of the places.

(122.) Vice versa — the interval elapsing between two different stars coming on the meridian of one and the same place, expressed in sidereal time, is the measure of the difference of right ascensions of the stars.

(123.) The equinoctial intersects the horizon in the east and west points, and the meridian in a point whose altitude is equal to the co-latitude of the place. Thus, at Greenwich, of which the latitude is $51^{\circ} 28' 40''$, the altitude of the intersection of the equinoctial and meridian is $38^{\circ} 31' 20''$. The north and south poles of the heavens are the poles of the equinoctial. The east and west points of the horizon of a spectator are the poles of his celestial meridian. The north and south points of his horizon are the poles of his prime vertical, and his zenith and nadir are the poles of his horizon.

(124.) All the heavenly bodies *culminate* (i. e. come to their greatest altitudes) on the meridian; which is, therefore, the best situation to observe them, being least confused by the inequalities and vapours of the atmosphere, as well as least displaced by refraction.

(125.) All celestial objects within the circle of perpetual apparition come twice on the meridian, above the horizon, in every diurnal revolution; once *above* and once *below* the pole. These are called their *upper* and *lower culminations*.

(126.) The problems of uranometry, as we have described it, consist in the solution of a variety of spherical triangles, both right and oblique angled, according to the rules, and by the formulæ of spherical trigonometry, which we suppose known to the reader, or for which he will consult appropriate treatises. We shall only here observe generally, that in all problems in which spherical geometry is concerned, the student will find it a useful practical maxim rather to consider the poles of the great circles which the question before him refers to than the circles themselves. To use, for example, in the relations he has to consider, polar distances rather than declinations, zenith distances rather than altitudes, &c. Bear-

 $\mathbf{72}$

ELEMENTARY RELATIONS.

ns of two longitudes

n two dif. ame place, ference of

n the east e altitude reenwich, of the in-° 31' 20". e poles of prizon of a Che north prime verprizon. he to their

efore, the ed by the l as least

perpetual prizon, in the pole.

lescribed riangles, ales, and suppose propriate at in all student ider tho m refers , in the han de-Benring this in mind, there are few problems in uranometry which will offer any difficulty. The following are the combinations which most commonly occur for solution when the place of one celestial object only on the sphere is concerned.

(127.) In the triangle Z P S, Z is the zenith, P the elevated pole, and S the star, sun, or other celestial object. In this triangle occur, 1st, P Z, which being the complement of P H (the altitude of the pole), is obviously the complement of the latitude (or the co-latitude, as it is called) of the place; 2d, P S, the polar distance, or the complement of the declination (co-declination) of the star; 3d, Z S, the zenith distance or co-altitude of the star. If P S be greater than 90°, the object is situated on the side of the equinoctial opposite to that of the elevated pole. If Z S be so, the object is below the horizon.

In the same triangle the angles are, 1st, Z P S the hour angle; 2d, P Z S (the supplement of S Z O, which latter is the azimuth of the star or other heavenly body), 3d, P S Z, an angle which, from the infrequency of any practical reference to it, has not acquired a name.*

The following five astronomical magnitudes, then, occur among the sides and angles of this most useful triangle: viz. 1st, The co-latitude of the place of observation; 2d, the polar distance; 3d, the zenith distance; 4th, the hour angle; and 5th, the sub-azimuth (supplement of azimuth) of a given celestial object; and by its solution therefore may all problems be resolved, in which three of these magnitudes are directly or indirectly given, and the other two required to be found.

(128.) For example, suppose the time of rising or setting of the sun or of a star were required, having given its right ascension and polar distance. The star rises when *apparently* on the horizon, or *really* about 34' below it (owing to refraction), so that, at the moment of its apparent rising, its zenith

[•] In the practical discussion of the measures of double stars and other objects by the aid of the position micrometer, this angle is sometimes required to be known; and, when so required, it will be not inconveniently referred to as "the angle of position of the zenith."

distance is 90° 34' = ZS. Its polar distance PS being also given, and the co-latitude ZP of the place, we have given



the three sides of the triangle, to find the hour angle Z P S, which, being known, is to be added to or subtracted from the star's right ascension, to give the sidereal time of setting or rising, which, if we please, may be converted into solar time by the proper rules and tables.

(129.) As another example of the use of the same triangle, we may propose to find the local sidereal time, and the latitude of the place of observation, by observing equal altitudes of the same star east and west of the meridian, and noting the interval of the observations in sidereal time.

The hour angles corresponding to equal altitudes of a fixed star being equal, the hour angle east or west will be measured by half the observed interval of the observations. In our triangle, then, we have given this hour angle Z P S, the polar distance P S of the star, and Z S, its co-altitude at the moment of observation. Hence we may find P Z, the co-latitude of the place. Moreover, the hour angle of the star being known, and also its right ascension, the point of the equinoctial is known, which is on the meridian at the moment of observaation; and, therefore, the local sidereal time at that moment. This is a very useful observation for determining the latitude and time at an unknown station. OF T TIC

> tion stoc and mon of a be

> > curs to i

its r

phy

NATURE OF ASTRONOMICAL INSTRUMENTS.

75

CHAPTER IIL*

OF THE NATURE OF ASTRONOMICAL INSTRUMENTS AND OBSERVA-TIONS IN GENERAL. - OF SIDEREAL AND SOLAR TIME. - OF THE MEASUREMENTS OF TIME. - CLOCKS, CHRONOMETERS. - OF AS-TRONOMICAL MEASUREMENTS. --- PRINCIPLE OF TELESCOPIC SIGHTS TO INCREASE THE ACCURACY OF POINTING .- SIMPLEST APPLICA-TION OF THIS PRINCIPLE. --- THE TRANSIT INSTRUMENT. --- OF THE MEASUREMENT OF ANGULAR INTERVALS. --- METHODS OF INCREAS-ING THE ACCURACY OF READING. - THE VERNIER. - THE MICRO-SCOPE. - OF THE MURAL CIRCLE. - THE MERIDIAN CIRCLE. -FIXATION OF POLAR AND HORIZONTAL POINTS. - THE LEVEL, PLUMB-LINE, ARTIFICIAL HORIZON .- PRINCIPLE OF COLLIMATION. -COLLIMATORS OF RITTENHOUSE, KATER, AND BOHNENBERGER.-OF COMPOUND INSTRUMENTS WITH CO-ORDINATE CIRCLES. --- THE EQUATORIAL, ALTITUDE, AND AZIMUTH INSTRUMENT. - THEO-DOLITE. - OF THE SEXTANT AND REFLECTING CIRCLE. - PRIN-CIPLE OF REPETITION. --- OF MICROMETERS. --- PARALLEL WIRE MICROMETER. - PRINCIPLE OF THE DUPLICATION OF IMAGES. -THE HELIOMETER. - DOUBLE REFRACTING EYE-PIECE. - VARIA-BLE PRISM MICROMETER. --- OF THE POSITION MICROMETER. ---ILLUMINATION OF WIRES. - SOLAR TELESCOPE AND EYE-PIECE - HELIOSCOPY. -- COLLIMATION OF LARGE REFLECTORS.

(130.) OUR first chapters have been devoted to the acquisition chiefly of preliminary notions respecting the globe we inhabit, its relation to the celestial objects which surround it, and the physical circumstances under which all astronomical observations must be made, as well as to provide ourselves with a stock of *technical words* and elementary ideas of most frequent and familiar use in the sequel. We might now proceed to a more exact and detailed statement of the facts and theories of astronomy; but, in order to do this with full effect, it will be desirable that the reader be made acquainted with the

g also given

PS, m the ng or time

ingle, itude of the erval

fixed sured our polar ment de of own, ial is ervanent. tude

[•] The student who is anxious to become acquainted with the chief subject matter of this work, may defer the reading of that part of this chapter which is devoted to the description of particular instruments, or content himself with a cursory perusal of it, until farther advanced, when it will be necessary to return to it.

principal means which astronomers possess, of determining, with the degree of nicety their theories require, the data on which they ground their conclusions; in other words, of ascertaining by measurement the apparent and real magnitudes with which they are conversant. It is only when in possession of this knowledge that he can fully appretiate either the truth of the theories themselves, or the degree of reliance to be placed on any of their conclusions antecedent to trial : since it is only by knowing what amount of error can certainly be perceived and distinctly measured, that he can satisfy himself whether any theory offers so close an approximation, in its numerical results, to actual phenomena, as will justify him in receiving it as a true representation of nature.

(131.) Astronomical instrument-making may be justly regarded as the most refined of the mechanical arts, and that in which the nearest approach to geometrical precision is required, and has been attained. It may be thought an easy thing, by one unacquainted with the niceties required, to turn a circle in metal, to divide its circumference into 360 equal parts, and these again into smaller subdivisions, - to place it accurately on its centre, and to adjust it in a given position; but practically it is found to be one of the most difficult. Nor will this appear extraordinary, when it is considered that, owing to the application of telescopes to the purposes of angular measurement, every imperfection of structure or division becomes magnified by the whole optical power of that instrument; and that thus, not only direct errors of workmanship, arising from unsteadiness of hand or imperfection of tools, but those inaccuracies which originate in far more uncontrollable causes, such as the unequal expansion and contraction of metallic masses by a change of temperature, and their unavoidable flexure or bending by their own weight, become perceptible and measurable. An angle of one minute occupies, on the circumference of a circle of 10 inches in radius, only about $\frac{1}{350}$ th part of an inch, a quantity too small to be certainly dealt with without the use of magnifying glasses; yet one minute is a gross quantity in the astronomical measurement of an angle. With the instruments

INSTRUMENTAL AND OTHER SOURCES OF ERROR. 77

now employed in observatorics, a single second, or the 60th part of a minute, is rendered a distinctly visible and appretiable quantity. Now, the arc of a circle, subtended by one second, is less than the 200,000th part of the radius, so that on a circle of 6 feet in diameter it would occupy no greater linear extent than ¹/₅₇₀₀th part of an inch; a quantity requiring a powerful microscope to be discerned at all. Let any one figure to himself, therefore, the difficulty of placing on the circumference of a metallic circle of such dimensions (supposing the difficulty of its construction surmounted), 360 marks, dots, or cognizable divisions, which shall all be true to their places within such narrow limits; to say nothing of the subdivision of the degrees so marked off into minutes, and of these again into seconds. Such a work has probably baffled, and will probably for ever continue to baffle, the utmost stretch of human skill and industry; nor, if executed, could The ever varying fluctuations of heat and cold it endure. have a tendency to produce not merely temporary and transient, but permanent, uncompensated changes of form in all considerable masses of those metals which alone are applicable to such uses; and their own weight, however symmetrically formed, must always be unequally sustained, since it is impossible to apply the sustaining power to every part separately: even could this be done, at all events force must be used to move and to fix them ; which can never be done without producing temporary and risking permanent change of It is true, by dividing them on their centres, and in form. the identical places they are destined to occupy, and by a thousand ingenious and delicate contrivances, wonders have been accomplished in this department of art, and a degree of perfection has beer riven, not merely to chefs d'œuvre, but to instruments of moderate prices and dimensions, and in ordinary use, which, on due consideration, must appear very But though we are entitled to look for wonders surprising. at the hands of scientific artists, we are not to expect miracles. The demands of the astronomer will always surpass the power of the artist; and it must, therefore, be constantly the aim of the former to make himself, as far as possible, independent

mining, data on , of asnitudes in pose either eliance o trial: an cerhe can pprox-, as will nature. justly nd that n is rean casy to turn D equal place it sition; ifficult. d that, of anr diviof that worktion of more n and ature, eight. ninute ies in small ifying astroments

of the imperfections incident to every work the latter can place in his hands. He must, therefore, endeavour so to combine his observations, so to choose his opportunities, and so to familiarize himself with all the causes which may produce instrumental derangement, and with all the peculiarities of structure and material of each instrument he possesses, as not to allow himself to be misled by their errors, but to extract from their indications, as far as possible, all that is *true*, and reject all that is erroneous. It is in this that the art of the practical astronomer consists, — an art of itself of a curious and intricate nature, and of which we can here only notice some of the leading and general features.

p it

a

d

(1

ci ci

e

S0

pr

W

pr

in

W

po

ur

80

th

aļ

aş

aı

k

cl

ti

tl

p

t

a

9

(132.) The great aim of the practical astronomer being numerical correctness in the results of instrumental measurement, his constant care and vigilance must be directed to the detection and compensation of errors, either by annihilating, or by taking account of, and allowing for them. No…, if we examine the sources from which errors may arise in any instrumental determination, we shall find them chiefly reducible to three principal heads: —

(133.) 1st, External or incidental causes of error; comprehending those which depend on external, uncontrollable circumstances: such as, fluctuations of weather, which disturb the amount of refraction from its tabulated value, and, being reducible to no fixed law, induce uncertainty to the extent of their own possible magnitude; such as, by varying the temperature of the air, vary also the form and position of the instruments used, by altering the relative magnitudes and the tension of their parts; and others of the like nature.

(134.) 2dly, *Errors of observation*: such as arise, for example, from inexpertness, defective vision, slowness in seizing the exact *instant* of occurrence of a phenomenon, or precipitancy in anticipating it, &c.; from atmospheric indistinctness; insufficient optical power in the instrument, and the like. Under this head may also be classed all errors arising from momentary instrumental derangement, — slips in clamping, looseness of screws, &c.

(135.) 3dly, The third, and by far the most numerous class

INSTRUMENTAL AND OTHER SOURCES OF ERROR. 79

of errors to which astronomical measurements are liable, arise from causes which may be deemed instrumental, and which may be subdivided into two principal classes. The first comprehends those which arise from an instrument not being what it professes to be, which is error of workmanship. Thus, if a pivot or axis, instead of being, as it ought, exactly cylindrical, be slightly flattened, or elliptical, - if it be not exactly (as it is intended it should be) concentric with the circle it carries; - if this circle (so called) be in reality not exactly circular, or not in one plane; - if its divisions, intended to be precisely equidistant, should be placed in reality at unequal intervals, - and a hundred other things of the same These are not mere speculative sources of error, but sort. practical annoyances, which every observer has to contend with.

(136.) The other subdivision of instrumental errors comprehends such as arise from an instrument not being placed in the position it ought to have; and from those of its parts, which are made purposely moveable, not being properly disposed inter se. These are errors of adjustment. Some are unavoidable, as they arise from a general unsteadiness of the soil or building in which the instruments are placed; which, though too minute to be noticed in any other way, become appretiable in delicate astronomical observations: others, again, are consequences of imperfect workmanship, as where an instrument once well adjusted will not remain so, but keeps deviating and shifting. But the most important of this class of errors arise from the non-existence of natural indications, other than those afforded by astronomical observations themselves, whether an instrument has or has not the exact position, with respect to the horizon and its cardinal points, the axis of the earth, or to other principal astronomical lines and circles, which it ought to have to fulfil properly its objects.

(137.) Now, with respect to the first two classes of error, it must be observed, that, in so far as they cannot be reduced to known laws, and thereby become subjects of calculation and due allowance, they actually vitiate, to their full extent, the results of any observations in which they subsist. Being,

atter can bur so to ties, and may proculiarities sesses, as ut to ext is *true*, he art of a curious y notice

er being neasured to the nilating, ", if we any ineducible

; comcollable disturb , being extent ng the of the and the

for exseizing precistinctnd the urising lamp-

s class

us t

suffi

legit

pher

ficat

cessi

rude

strut

to be

degr

obse

fined

first

perce

press

term

nolo

of fa

are l

verba

offer

out a

them

inqui

evide

laws

to ou

betw

curre

happ

strun

deter

pessi

dem: tion

so fa

fined

(1

however, in their nature casual and accidental, their effects necessarily lie sometimes one way, sometimes the other: sometimes diminishing, sometimes tending to increase the re-Hence, by greatly multiplying observations, under sults. varied circumstances, by avoiding unfavourable, and taking advantage of favourable circumstances of weather, or otherwise using opportunity to advantage - and finally, by taking the mean or average of the results obtained, this class of errors may be so far subdued, by setting them to destroy one another, as no longer sensibly to vitiate any theoretical or practical conclusion. This is the great and indeed only resource against such errors, not merely to the astronomer. but to the investigator of numerical results in every department of physical research.

(138.) With regard to errors of adjustment and workmanship, not only the possibility, but the certainty of their existence, in every imaginable form, in all instruments, must be Human hands or machines never formed a contemplated. circle, drew a straight line, or erected a perpendicular, nor ever placed an instrument in *perfect* adjustment, unless accidentally; and then only during an instant of time. This does not prevent, however, that a great approximation to all these desiderata should be attained. But it is the peculiarity of astronomical observation to be the ultimate means of detection of all mechanical defects which elude by their minutcness every other mode of detection. What the eve cannot discern nor the touch perceive, a course of astronomical observations will make distinctly evident. The imperfect products of man's hands are here tested by being brought into comparison under very great magnifying powers (corresponding in effect to a great increase in acuteness of perception) with the perfect workmanship of nature; and there is none which will bear the trial. Now, it may seem like arguing in a vicious circle, to deduce theoretical conclusions and laws from observation, and then to turn round upon the instruments with which those observations were made, accuse them of imperfection, and attempt to detect and rectify their errors by means of the very laws and theories which they have helped

us to a knowledge of. A little consideration, however, will suffice to show that such a course of proceeding is perfectly legitimate.

r effects

other;

the re-

under

taking

other-

taking

class of

roy one

tical or

ed only

momer.

depart-

work-

ieir ex-

nust be

rmed a

lar, nor

ss acci-

to all

uliarity

of de-

eir mi-

he eve

omical

perfect

ht into

onding

h) with

which

g in a

s from

uments

of im-

rors by

helped

This

(139.) The steps by which we arrive at the laws of natural phenomena, and especially those which depend for their verification on numerical determinations, are necessarily suc-Gross results and palpable laws are arrived at by cessive. rude observation with coarse instruments, or without any instruments at all, and are expressed in language which is not to be considered as absolute, but is to be interpreted with a degree of latitude commensurate to the imperfection of the observations themselves. These results are corrected and refined by nicer scrutiny, and with more delicate means. The first rude expressions of the laws which embody them are nerceived to be inexact. The language used in their expression is corrected, its terms more rigidly defined, or fresh terms introduced, until the new state of language and terminology is brought to fit the improved state of knowledge of facts. In the progress of this scrutiny subordinate laws are brought into view which still further modify both the verbal statement and numerical results of those which first offered themselves to our notice; and when these are traced out and reduced to certainty, others, again, subordinate to them, make their appearance, and become subjects of further Now, it invariably happens (and the reason is inquiry. evident) that the first glimpse we catch of such subordinate laws — the first form in which they are dimly shadowed out to our minds — is that of errors. We perceive a discordance between what we expect, and what we find. The first occurrence of such a discordance we attribute to accident. It happens again and again; and we begin to suspect our in-We then inquire, to what amount of error their struments. determinations can, by possibility, be liable. If their limit of pessible error exceed the observed deviation, we at once condemn the instrument, and set about improving its construction or adjustments. Still the same deviations occur, and, so far from being palliated, are more marked and better defined than before. We are now sure that we are on the

traces of a law of nature, and we pursue it till we have reduced it to a definite statement, and verified it by repeated observation, under every variety of circumstances. the

ser

whi

in

of

wit

diti

nec

tion

by i

wou

it a

No

that

thou

on 1

will

the

amo

any

que

grad

calle

of a

posi

of e

angl

qua

sup

this

it n

wha

devi

prec

stru

prac

an

(140.) Now, in the course of this inquiry, it will not fail to happen that other discordances will strike us. Taught by experience, we suspect the existence of some natural law. before unknown; we tabulate (i. e. draw out in order) the results of our observations; and we perceive, in this synoptic statement of them, distinct indications of a regular progres-Again we improve or vary our instruments, and we sion. now lose sight of this supposed new law of nature altogether. or find it replaced by some other, of a totally different cha-Thus we are led to suspect an instrumental cause racter. for what we have noticed. We examine, therefore, the *theory* of our instrument; we suppose defects in its structure, and, by the aid of geometry, we trace their influence in introducing actual errors into its indications. These errors have their laws, which, so long as we have no knowledge of causes to guide us, may be confounded with laws of nature, as they are mixed up with them in their effects. They are not fortuitous, like errors of observation, but, as they arise from sources inherent in the instrument, and unchangeable while it and its adjustments remain unchanged, they are reducible to fixed and ascertainable forms; each particular defect, whether of structure or adjustment, producing its own appropriate form of error. When these are thoroughly investigated, we recognize among them one which coincides in its nature and progression with that of our observed discordances. The mystery is at once solved. We have detected, by direct observation, an instrumental defect.

(141.) It is, therefore, a chief requisite for the practical astronomer to make himself completely familiar with the *theory* of his instruments. By this alone is he enabled at once to decide what *effect* on his observations any given imperfection of structure or adjustment will produce in any given circumstances under which an observation can be made. This alone also can place him in a condition to derive available and practical means of destroying and eliminating altogether

INSTRUMENTAL SOURCES OF ERROR.

83

we have repeated

ill not fail Caught by tural law, order) the s synoptic r progress, and we ltogether, erent chantal cause the theory ture, and, in introrrors have of causes e, as they e not forrise from ible while reducible r defect, s own aphly invesides in its d discorddetected.

practical with the ed at once imperfecany given ide. This available iltogether

the influence of such imperfections, by so arranging his observations, that it shall affect their results in opposite ways, and that its influence shall thus disappear from their mean, which is one of the chief modes by which precision is attained in practical astronomy. Suppose, for example, the principle of an instrument required that a circle should be concentric with the axis on which it is made to turn. As this is a condition which no workmanship can exactly fulfil, it becomes necessary to inquire what errors will be produced in observations made and registered on the faith of such an instrument, by any assigned deviation in this respect; that is to say, what would be the disagreement between observations made with it and with one absolutely perfect, could such be obtained. Now, simple geometrical considerations suffice to show-1st. that if the axis be excentric by a given fraction (say one thousandth part) of the radius of the circle, all angles read off on that part of the circle towards which the excentricity lies, will appear by that fractional amount too small, and all on the opposite side too large. And, 2dly, that whatever be the amount of the excentricity, and on whatever part of the circle any proposed angle is measured, the effect of the error in question on ...e result of observations depending on the graduation of its circumference (or limb, as it is technically called) will be completely annihilated by the very easy method of always reading off the divisions on two diametrically opposite points of the circle, and taking a mean; for the effect of excentricity is always to increase the arc representing the angle in question on one side of the circle, by just the same quantity by which it diminishes that on the other. Again, suppose that the proper use of the instrument required that this axis should be exactly parallel to that of the earth. As it never can be *placed* or remain so, it becomes a question, what amount of error will arise, in its use, from any assigned deviation, whether in a horizontal or vertical plane, from this precise position. Such inquiries constitute the theory of instrumental errors; a theory of the utmost impertance to practice, and one of which a complete knowledge will enable an observer, with moderate instrumental means, often to

G 2

attain a degree of precision which might seem to belong only to the most refined and costly. This theory, as will readily be apprehended, turns almost entirely on considerations of pure geometry, and those for the most part not difficult. In the present work, however, we have no further concern with it. The astronomical instruments we propose briefly to describe in this chapter will be considered as perfect both in construction and adjustment.*

(142.) As the above remarks are very essential to a right understanding of the philosophy of our subject and the spirit of astronomical methods, we shall elucidate them by taking one or two special cases. Observant persons, before the invention of astronomical instruments, had already concluded the apparent diurnal motions of the stars to be performed in circles about fixed poles in the heavens, as shown in the foregoing chapter. In drawing this conclusion, however, refraction was entirely overlooked, or, if forced on their notice by its great magnitude in the immediate neighbourhood of the horizon, was regarded as a local irregularity, and, as such, neglected, or slurred over. As soon, however, as the diurnal paths of the stars were attempted to be traced by instruments, even of the coarsest kind, it became evident that the notion of exact circles described about one and the same pole would not represent the phenomena correctly, but that, owing to some cause or other, the apparent diurnal orbit of every star is distorted from a circular into an oval form, its lower segment being flatter than its upper; and the deviation being greater the nearer the star approached the horizon, the effect being the same as if the circle had been squeezed upwards from below, and the lower parts more than the higher. For such an effect, as it was soon found to arise from no casual or instrumental cause, it became necessary to seek a natural one; and refraction readily occurred, to solve the difficulty. In fact, it is a case precisely analogous to

what torti and esta that effe appo ones pare betw is of (1 of t heav perie to e keep diffe servi of ti to a that fact. our diffe sun, eith our conf all t (1 givi side

> as t axis

> moo

nati

and

^{*} The principle on which the chief adjustments of two or three of the most useful and common instruments, such as the transit, the equatorial, and the sextant, are performed, are, however, noticed, for the convenience of readers who may use such instruments without going faither into the areana of practical astronomy,

SIDEREAL AND SOLAR TIME.

elong only ill readily rations of icult. In cern with efly to det both i.

to a right the spirit by taking re the inconcluded formed in n in the however, eir notice bourhood , and, as r, as the ed by inent that and the orrectly, t diurnal an oval and the hed the ad been ore than to arise ssary to to solve gous to

the most , and the aders who practical what we have already noticed (art. 47.), of the apparent distortion of the sun near the horizon, only on a larger scale, and traced up to greater altitudes. This new law once established, it became necessary to modify the expression of that aneuently received, by inserting in it a salvo for the effect of refraction, or by making a distinction between the *apparent* diurnal orbits, as affected by refraction, and the *true* ones cleared of that effect. This distinction between the *apparent* and the *true*—between the *uncorrected* and *corrected* between the *rough* and *obvious*, and the *refined* and *ultimate* is of perpetual occurrence in every part of astronomy.

(143.) Again. The first impression produced by a view of the diurnal movement of the heavens is that all the heavenly bodies perform this revolution in one common period, viz. a day, or 24 hours. But no sooner do we come to examine the matter instrumentally, i. e. by noting, by timekeepers, their successive arrivals on the meridian, than we find differences which cannot be accounted for by any error of observation. All the stars, it is true, occupy the same interval of time between their successive appulses to the meridian, or to any vertical circle; but this is a very different one from that occupied by the sun. It is palpably shorter; being, in fact, only 23^h 56' 4.09", instead of 24 hours, such hours as our common clocks mark. Here, then, we have already two different days, a sidereal and a solar; and if, instead of the sun, we observe the moon, we find a third, much longer than either, — a lunar day, whose average duration is $24^{h} 54^{m}$ of our ordinary time, which last is solar time, being of necessity conformable to the sun's successive re-appearances, on which all the business of life depends.

(144.) Now, all the stars are found to be unanimous in giving the same exact duration of $23^{h} 56' 4'09''$, for the sidereal day; which, therefore, we cannot hesitate to receive as the period in which the earth makes one revolution on its axis. We are, therefore, compelled to look in the sun and moon as exceptions to the general law; as having a different nature, or at least a different relation to us, from the stars; and as having motions, real or apparent, of their own, inde-

9 S

pendent of the rotation of the earth on its axis. Thus a great and most important distinction is disclosed to us.

(145.) To establish these facts, almost no apparatus is required. An observer need only station himself to the north of some well-defined vertical object, as the angle of a building, and, placing his eye exactly at a certain fixed point (such as a small hole in a plate of metal nailed to some immoveable support), notice the successive disappearances of any star behind the building, by a watch.* When he observes the sun, he must shade his eye with a dark-coloured or smoked glass, and notice the moments when its western and eastern edges successively come up to the wall, from which, by taking half the interval, he will ascertain (what he cannot directly observe) the moment of disappearance of its centre.

(146.) When, in pursuing and establishing this general fact, we are led to attend more nicely to the times of the daily arrival of the sun on the meridian, irregularities (such they first seem to be) begin to make their appearance. The intervals between two successive arrivals are not the same at all times of the year. They are sometimes greater, sometimes less, than 24 hours, as shown by the clock; that is to say, the solar day is not always of the same length. About the 21st of December, for example, it is half a minute longer, and about the same day of September nearly as much shorter, than its average duration. And thus a distinction is again pressed upon our notice betwen the actual solar day, which is never two days in succession alike, and the mean solar day of 24 hours, which is an average of all the solar days throughout the year. Hore, then, a new source of inquiry opens to us. The sun's apparent motion is not only not the

unifor matter quire scribed called observ irregu affect degree and w amoun equali into v before instru compa averag of the fluctu ations enorm smalle ordiaa undul to the (14)motio longs tincti every nevei avera reeke nome the reck in th

same

^{*} This is an excellent practical method of ascertaining the rate of a clock or watch, being exceedingly accurate if a few precautions are attended to; the chief of which is, to take care that that part of the edge behind which the star (a bright one, not a planet) disappears shall be quite smooth; as otherwise vaniable refraction may transfer the point of disappearance from a protuberance to a notch, and thus vary the moment of observation unduly. This is easily secured, by nailing up a smooth-edged board. The verticality of its edge should be ensured by the use of a plumb-line.

INEQUALITY OF SOLAR DAYS.

Thus a

atus is to the gle of a d point o some neces of he oboloured vestern l, from what he of its

general of the (such The nme at etimes to say, ut the r, and vorter, ngain tich is r day days quiry)t the

lock or o; the le star e varice to a cured, add be same with that of the stars, but it is not (as the latter is) uniform. It is subject to fluctuations, whose laws become matter of investigation. But to pursue these laws, we require nicer means of observation than what we have described, and are obliged to call in to our aid an instrument called the transit instrument, especially destined for such observations, and to attend minutely to all the causes of irregularity in the going of clocks and watches which may affect our reckoning of time. Thus we become involved by degrees in more and more delicate instrumental inquiries; and we speedily find that, in proportion as we ascertain the amount and law of one great or leading fluctuation, or inequality, as it is called, of the sun's diurnal motion, we bring into view others continually smaller and smaller, which were before obscured, or mixed up with errors of observation and instrumental imperfections. In short, we may not inaptly compare the mean length of the solar day to the mean or average height of water in a harbour, or the general level of the sea unagitated by tide or waves. The great annual fluctuation above noticed may be compared to the daily variations of level produced by the tides, which are nothing but cnormous waves extending over the whole ocean, while the smaller subordinate inequalities may be assimilated to waves ordinarily so called, on which, when large, we perceive lesser undulations to ride, and on these, again, minuter ripplings, to the series of whose subordination we can perceive no end.

(147.) With the causes of these irregularities in the solar motion we have no concern at present; their explanation belongs to a more advanced part of our subject: but the distinction between the solar and sidereal days, as it pervades every part of astronomy, requires to be early introduced, and never lost sight of. It is, as already observed, the *mean* or *average* length of the solar day, which is used in the civil reckoning of time. It commences at midnight, but astronomers, even when they use mean solar time, depart from the civil reckoning, commencing their day at noon, and reckoning the hours from 0 round to 24. Thus, 11 o'clock in the forenoon of the second of January, in the civil reckon-

a 4

ing of time, corresponds to January 1 day 23 hours in the astronomical reckoning; and 1 o'clock in the afternoon of the former, to January 2 days 1 hour of the latter reckoning. This usage has its advantages and disadvantages, but the latter seem to preponderate; and it would be well if, in consequence, it could be broken through, and the civil reckoning substituted. Uniformity in nomenclature and modes of reckoning in all matters relating to time, space, weight, measure, §c., is of such vast and paramount importance in every relation of life as to outweigh every consideration of technical convenience or custom.*

(148.) Both astronomers and civilians, however, who inhabit different points of the earth's surface, differ from each other in their reckoning of time; as it is obvious they must, if we consider that, when it is noon at one place, it is midnight at a place diametrically opposite; sunrise at another; and sunset, again, at a fourth. Hence arises considerable inconvenience, especially as respects places differing very widely in situation, and which may even in some critical cases involve the mistake of a whole day. To obviate this inconvenience, there has lately been introduced a system of reckoning time by mean solar days and parts of a day counted from a fixed instant, common to all the world, and determined by no local circumstance, such as noon or midnight, but by the motion of the sun among the stars. Time, so reckoned, is called equinoctial time; and is numerically the same, at the same instant, in every part of the globe. Its origin will be explained more fully at a more advanced stage of our work.

(149.) Time is an essential element in astronomical observation, in a twofold point of view: — 1st, As the represen-

tative unifor and t succes theref censio depend dynan detern refere staten object been, object such regist and of (15)chrone are al coarse out, f clepsy a lerg ceptik dence watch conve case the a the fl of a ' is in dirce till 1 cepti origi weig

^{*} The only disadvantage to astronomers of using the civil reckoning is this that their observations being chiefly carried on during the night, the day of their date will, in this reckoning, always have to be changed at midnight, and the former and latter portion of every night's observations will belong to two differently numbered civil days of the month. There is no denying this to be an inconvenience. Habit, however, would alleviate it; and some inconveniences must be cheerfully submitted to by all who resolve to act on general principles. All other classes of men, whose occupation extends to the night as well as day, submit to it, and find their advantage in doing so.

MEASUREMENT OF TIME.

in the oon of coning, ut the n conconing des of , meaevery hnical

10 ini each must. s midother; le inridely es innconn of inted nined it by med, e, at will our

)sersen-

his their d the lifferbe an ences iples. day, tative of angular motion. The earth's diurnal motion being uniform, every star describes its diurnal circle uniformly; and the time elapsing between the passage of the stars in succession across the meridian of any observer becomes, therefore, a direct measure of their differences of right ascension. 2dly, As the fundamental element (or natural independent variable, to use the language of geometers) in all dynamical theories. The great object of astronomy is the determination of the laws of the celestial motions, and their reference to their proximate or remote causes. Now, the statement of the law of any observed motion in a celestial object can be no other than a proposition declaring what has been, is, and will be, the real or apparent situation of that object at any time, past, present, or future. To compare such laws, therefore, with observation, we must possess a register of the observed situations of the object in question, and of the times when they were observed.

(150.) The measurement of time is performed by clocks, chronometers, clepsydras, and hour-glasses. The two former are alone used in modern astronomy. The hour-glass is a coarse and rude contrivance for measuring, or rather counting out, fixed portions of time, and is entirely disused. The clepsydra, which measured time by the gradual emptying of a large vessel of water through a determinate orifice, is susceptible of considerable exactness, and was the only dependence of astronomers before the invention of clocks and At present it is abandoned, owing to the greater watches. convenience and exactness of the latter instruments. In one case only has the revival of its use been proposed; viz. for the accurate measurement of very small portions of time, by the flowing out of mercury from a small orifice in the bottom of a vessel, kept constantly full to a fixed height. The stream is intercepted at the moment of noting any event, and directed aside into a receiver, into which it continues to run, till the moment of noting any other event, when the intercepting cause is suddenly removed, the stream flows in its original course, and ceases to run into the receiver. The weight of mercury received, compared with the weight re-

ceived in an interval of time observed by the clock, gives the interval between the events observed. This ingenious and simple method of resolving, with all possible precision, a problem of much importance in many physical inquiries, is due to the late Captain Kater.

(151.) The pendulum clock, however, and the balance watch, with those improvements and refinements in its structure which constitute it emphatically a chronometer *, are the instruments on which the astronomer depends for his knowledge of the lapse of time. These instruments are now brought to such perfection, that an habitual irregularity in the rate of going, to the extent of a single second in twentyfour hours in two consecutive days, is not tolerated in one of good character; so that any interval of time less than twentyfour hours may be certainly ascertained within a few tenths of a second, by their use. In proportion as intervals are longer, the risk of error, as well as the amount of error risked, becomes greater, because the accidental errors of many days may accumulate; and causes producing a slow progressive change in the rate of going may subsist unperceived. It is not safe, therefore, to trust the determination of time to clocks, or watches, for many days in succession, without checking them, and ascertaining their errors by reference to natural events which we know to happen, day after day, at equal intervals. But if this be done, the longest intervals may be fixed with the same precision as the shortest; since, in fact, it is then only the times intervening between the first and the last moments of such long intervals, and such of those periodically recurring events adopted for our points of reckoning, as occur within twenty-four hours respectively of either, The whole days are which we measure by artificial means. counted out for us by nature; the fractional parts only, at either end, are measured by our clocks. To keep the reckoning of the integer days correct, so that none shall be lost or counted twice, is the object of the calendar. Chronology marks out the order of succession of events, and refers them

Χρονοs, time ; μετρειν, to measure.

to th ing i regul subdi occur (1: the n is fun we a brigh astro come error (1: purp

tende it is on w deter visua (1)

for t of an doub binat

> E X

object often the more whice fitte the cons posi

91

to their proper years and days; while chronometry, grounding its determinations on the precise observation of such regularly periodical events as can be conveniently and exactly subdivided, enables us to fix the moments in which phenomena occur, with the last degree of precision.

(152.) In the culmination or transit (i. e. the passage across the meridian of an observer,) of every star in the heavens, he is furnished with such a regularly periodical natural event as we allude to. Accordingly, it is to the transits of the brightest and most conveniently situated fixed stars that astronomers resort to ascertain their exact time, or, which comes to the same thing, to determine the exact amount of error of their clocks.

(153.) Before we describe the instrument destined for the purpose of observing such culminations, however, or those intended for the measurement of angular intervals in the sphere, it is requisite to place clearly before the reader the principle on which the telescope is applied in astronomy to the precise determination of a direction in space, — that, namely of the visual ray by which we see a star or any other distant object.

(154.) The telescope most commonly used in astronomy for these purposes is the refracting telescope, which consists of an object-glass (either single, or as is now almost universal, double, forming what is called in optics, an achromatic combination) A; a tube AB, into which the brass cell of the



object-glass is firmly screwed, and an eye-lens C, for which is often substituted a combination of glasses designed to increase the magnifying power of the telescope, or otherwise give more distinctness of vision according to optical principles which we have no occasion here to refer to. This also is fitted into a cell, which is screwed firmly into the end B of the tube, so that object-glass, tube, and eye-glass may be considered as forming one piece, invariable in the relative position of its parts.

ves the us and a prois due

alance struetre the knowe now rity in ventyone of ventytenths ls are error many ogresl. It me to thout ice to y, at ervals since, e first those konther, are , at konst or logy hem

(155.) The line P Q joining the centres of the object and eye-glasses and produced, is called the *axis* or *line of collima*tion of the telescope. And it is evident, that the situation of this line holds a fixed relation to the tube and its appendages, so long as the object and eye-glasses maintain *their* fixity in this respect.

(156.) Whatever distant object E, this line is directed to, an inverted picture or image of that object F is formed (according to the principles of optics), in the focus of the objectglass, and may there be viewed as if it were a real object, through the eye-lens C, which (if of short focus) enables us to magnify it just as such a lens would magnify a material object in the same place.

(157.) Now as this image is formed and viewed in the air, being itself immaterial and impalpable - nothing prevents our placing in that very place F in the axis of the telescope, a real, substantial object of very definite form and delicate make, such as a fine metallic point, as of a needle --- or better still, a cross formed by two very fine threads (spider-lines), thin metallic wires, or lines drawn on glass intersecting each other at right angles — and whose intersection is all but a mathematical point. If such a point, wire, or cross be carefully placed and firmly fixed in the exact focus F, both of the object and eye-glass, it will be seen through the latter at the same time, and occupying the same precise place as the image of the distant star E. The magnifying power of the lens renders perceptible the smallest deviation from perfect coincidence, which, should it exist, is a proof, that the axis Q P is not directed rigorously towards E. In that case, a fine motion (by means of a screw duly applied), communicated to the telescope, will be necessary to vary the direction of the axis till the coincidence is rendered perfect. So precise is this mode of pointing found in practice, that the axis of a telescope may be directed towards a star or other definite celestial object without an error of more than a few tenths of a second of angular measure.

(158.) This application of the telescope may be considered as completely annihilating that part of the error of observa-

THE TRANSIT INSTRUMENT.

e object and e of collimasituation of appendages, eir fixity in

directed to, formed (acthe objectreal object, enables us a material

wed in the ng prevents telescope, a nd delicate - or betider-lines), ecting each is all but a ss be careboth of the tter at the the image f the lens ect coincixis Q P is i fine monicated to on of the precise is axis of a efinite ccenths of a

onsidered observation which might otherwise arise from an erroneous estimation of the direction in which an object lies from the observer's eye, or from the centre of the instrument. It is, in fact, the grand source of all the precision of modern astronomy, without which all other refinements in instrumental workmanship would be thrown away; the errors capable of being committed in pointing to an object, without such assistance, being far greater than what could arise from any but the very coarsest graduation. * In fact, the telescope thus applied becomes, with respect to angular, what the microscope is with respect to linear dimension. By concentrating attention on its smallest parts, and magnifying into palpable intervals the minutest differences, it enables us not only to scrutinize the form and structure of the objects to which it is pointed, but to refer their apparent places, with all but geometrical precision, to the parts of any scale with which we propose to compare them.

(159.) We now return to our subject, the determination of time by the transits or culminations of celestial objects. The instrument with which such culminations are observed is called a *transit instrument*. It consists of a telescope firmly fastened on a horizontal axis directed to the east and west points of the horizon, or at right angles to the plane of the

^{*} The honour of this capital improvement has been successfully vindicated by Derham (Phil. Trans. xxx. 603.) to our young, talented, and unfortunate countryman Gascoigne, from his correspondence with Crabtree and Horrockes, in his (Derham's) possession. The passages cited by Derham from these letters leave no doubt that, so early as 1640, Gascoigne had applied telescopes to his quadrants and sextants, with threads in the common focus of the glasses; and had even carried the invention so far as to illuminate the field of view by artificial light, which he found "very helpful when the moon appeareth not, or it is not other-wise light enough." These inventions were freely communicated by him to Crabtree, and through him to his friend Horrockes, the pride and boast of British astronomy; both of whom expressed their unbounded admiration of this and many other of his delicate and admirable improvements in the art of observation. Gascoigne, however, perished, at the age of twenty-three, at the battle of Marston Moor ; and the premature and sudden death of Horrockes, at a yet carlier age, will account for the temporary oblivion of the invention. It was revived, or re-invented, in 1667, by Picard and Auzout (Lalande, Astron. 2310.), after which its use became universal. Morin, even earlier than Gascoigne (in 1635), had proposed to substitute the telescope for plain sights; but it is the thread or wire stretched in the focus with which the image of a star can be brought to exact coincidence, which gives the telescope its advantage in practice; and the idea of this does not seem to have occurred to Morin. See Lalande, abi suprà.)

meridian of the place of observation. The extremities of the axis are formed into cylindrical pivots of exactly equal diameters, which rest in notches formed in metallic supports, bedded (in the case of large instruments) on strong pieces of stone, and susceptible of nice adjustment by screws, both in a vertical and horizontal direction. By the former adjustment, the axis can be rendered precisely horizontal, by *level*-



ling it with a *level* made to rest on the pivots. By the latter adjustment the axis is brought precisely into the east and west direction, the criterion of which is furnished by the observations themselves made with the instrument, in a manner presently to be explained, per

the

por

is 1

tele

cele

clo

pan

the

and

WOI

per

erro

(

allo

and

espe

We

corr

or t

to g

mer

the

strie

In g

of a

the

of t

the

risk

a y

*

inci

not that

effe

lo s

or by a well-defined object, called a *meridian mark*, originally determined by such observations, and then, for convenience of ready reference, permanently established, at a great distance, exactly in a *meridian line* passing through the central point of the whole instrument. It is evident, from this description, that, if the axis, or line of collimation of the telescope be once well adjusted at right angles to the axis of the transit, it will never quit the plane of the meridian, when the instrument is turned round on its axis of rotation.

(160.) In the focus of the eye-piece, and at right angles to the length of the telescope, is placed, not a single cross, as in our general explanation in art. 157., but a system of one



horizontal and several equidistant vertical threads or wires, (five or seven are more usually employed,) as represented in the annexed figure, which always appear in *the field of view*, when properly illuminated, by day by the light of the sky, by night by that

of a lamp introduced by a contrivance not necessary here to explain. The place of this system of wires may be altered by adjusting screws, giving it a lateral (horizontal) motion; and it is by this means brought to such a position, that the middle one of the vertical wires shall intersect *the line of collimation* of the telescope, where it is arrested and

PRINCIPLE OF REVERSAL.

es of the qual diupports, pieces of both in adjusty levelon the ent the he east rion of vations ument, lained. ginally nience it disentral nis deof the ixis of when

les to as in f one rtical more e anthe , by that here the tal) tion, the and permanently fastened.* In this situation it is evident that the middle thread will be a visible representation of that portion of the celestial meridian to which the telescope is pointed; and when a star is seen to cross this wire in the telescope, it is in the act of culminating, or passing the celestial meridian. The instant of this event is noted by the clock or chronometer, which forms an indispensable accompaniment of the transit instrument. For greater precision, the moments of its crossing all the vertical threads is noted, and a mean taken, which (since the threads are equidistant) would give exactly the same result, were all the observations perfect, and will, of course, tend to subdivide and destroy their errors in an average of the whole in the contrary case.

(161.) For the mode of executing the adjustments, and allowing for the errors unavoidable in the use of this simple and elegant instrument, the reader must consult works especially devoted to this department of practical astronomy.[†] We shall here only mention one important verification of its correctness, which consists in reversing the ends of the axis, or turning it east for west. If this be done, and it continue to give the same results, and intersect the same point on the meridian mark, we may be sure that the line of collimation of the telescope is truly at right angles to the axis, and describes strictly a plane, *i.e.* marks out in the heavens a great circle. In good transit observations, an error of one or two tenths of a second of time in the moment of a star's culmination is the utmost which need be apprehended, exclusive of the error of the clock: in other words, a clock may be compared with the earth's diurnal motion by a single observation, without risk of greater error. By multiplying observations, of course, a yet greater degree of precision may be obtained.

(162.) The plane described by the line of collimation of

^{*} There is no way of bringing the *true optic axis* of the object glass to coincide *exactly* with the line of collimation, but, so long as the object glass does not shift or shake in its cell, any line *holding an invariable position* with respect to that *axis*, may be taken for the *conventional* or astronomical axis with equal effect.

[†] See Dr. Pearson's Treatise on Practical Astronomy. Also Bianchi Sopra lo Stromento de' Passagi. Epheni, di Milano, 1824.

a transit ought to be that of the meridian of the place of observation. To ascertain whether it is so or not, celestial observation must be resorted to. Now, as the meridian is a great circle passing through the pole, it necessarily bisects the diurnal circles described by all the stars, all which describe the two semicircles so arising in equal intervals of 12 sidereal hours each. Hence, if we choose a star whose whole diurnal circle is above the horizon, or which never sets, and observe the moments of its upper and lower transits across the middle wire of the telescope, if we find the two semidiurnal portions east and west of the plane described by the telescope to be described in *precisely* equal times, we may be sure that plane is the meridian.

(163.) The angular intervals measured by means of the transit instrument and clock are arcs of the equinoctial, intercepted between circles of declination passing through the objects observed; and their measurement, in this case, is performed by no artificial graduation of circles, but by the help of the earth's diurnal motion, which carries equal arcs of the equinoctial across the meridian, in equal times, at the rate of 15° per sidereal hour. In all other cases, when we would measure angular intervals, it is necessary to have recourse to circles, or portions of circles, constructed of metal or other firm and durable material, and mechanically subdivided into equal parts, such as degrees, minutes, &c. The simplest and most obvious mode in which the measurement of the angular interval between two directions in space can be performed is as follows. Let A B C D be a circle, divided into 360 degrees, (numbered in order from any point 0° in the circumference, round to the same point again,) and connected with its centre by spokes or rays, x, y, z, firmly united to its circumference or *limb*. At the centre let a circular hole be pierced, in which shall move a pivot exactly fitting it, carrying a tube, whose axis, a b, is exactly parallel to the plane of the circle, or perpendicular to the pivot; and also two arms, m, n, at right angles to it, and forming one piece with the tube and the axis; so that the motion of the axis on the centre shall carry the tube and arms smoothly round the circle, to be arreste called lar int



either e or betw the divi the latte the cons the last some m division degrees, ing of th where it done for " read o unaltere readings be the centre c mencem (164.)

of making invariab on an any with it, some first section fastened

MEASUREMENT OF ANGULAR INTERVALS. 97

arrested and fixed at any point we please, by a contrivance ealled a *clamp*. Suppose, now, we would measure the angular interval between two fixed objects, S, T. The plane of



the circle must first be adjusted so as to pass through them both, and immoveably fixed and maintained in that position. This done, let the *axis a b* of the tube be T directed to one of them, S, and *clamped*. Then will a mark on the arm m point

either exactly to some one of the divisions on the limb, or between two of them adjacent. In the former case, the division must be noted as the reading of the arm m. In the latter, the fractional part of one whole interval between the consecutive divisions by which the mark on m surpasses the last inferior division must be estimated or measured by some mechanical or optical means. (See art. 165.) The division and fractional part thus noted, and reduced into degrees, minutes, and seconds, is to be set down as the reading of the limb corresponding to that position of the tube a b, where it points to the object S. The same must then be done for the object T; the tube pointed to it, and the *limb* "read off," the position of the circle remaining meanwhile unaltered. It is manifest, then, that, if the lesser of these readings be subtracted from the greater, their difference will be the angular interval between S and T, as seen from the centre of the circle, at whatever point of the limb the commencement of the graduations or the point 0° be situated.

(164.) The very same result will be obtained, if, instead of making the tube moveable upon the circle, we connect it invariably with the latter, and make both revolve together on an axis concentric with the circle, and forming one piece with it, working in a hollow formed to receive and fit it in some fixed support. Such a combination is represented in section in the annexed sketch. T is the tube or sight, fastened, at p p, on the circle A B, whose axis, D, works in

of oblestial n is a pisects seribe dereal iurnal bserve ss the iurnal escope re that

of the interh the is pere help of the ate of would irse to other l into st and igular brmed 360 cumwith cumerced, tube, ircle, n, at and shall o bo

the solid metallic centring E, from which originates an arm, F, carrying at its extremity an index, or other proper mark.



to point out and read off the exact division of the circle at B, the point close to it. It is evident that, as the telescope and circle revolve through any angle, the part of the limb of the latter, which by such revolution is carried past the index F, will measure the angle described. This is the most usual mode of applying divided circles in astronomy.

(165.) The index F may either be a simple pointer, like a clock hand (fig. a); or a vernicr (fig. b); or, lastly, a com-



pound microscope (fig. c), represented in section in fig. d, and furnished with a cross in the common focus of its object and eye-glass, moveable by a fine-threaded screw, by which the intersection of the cross may be brought to exact coincidence with the image of the nearest of the divisions of the circle formed in the focus of the object lens upon the very same principle with that explained, art. 157. for the pointing of the telescope, only that here the fiducial cross is made moveable; and by the turns and parts of a turn of the screw require the orig This sin of a cir the mic be exec footing measure

(166.depend, pointed of the vision of tions ca latter of in the l limb, be without of instru of inaccu With re, *a b* be no ends of a the other what sin if, in pla itself be b, an ey focus, as tube on t brought that cross may be a assisted distinctn

• In the

error of div centrale de

SOURCES OF INSTRUMENTAL EXACTNESS.

99

required for this purpose the distance of that division from the original or zero point of the microscope may be estimated. This simple but delicate contrivance gives to the reading off of a circle a degree of accuracy only limited by the power of the microscope, and the perfection with which a screw can be executed, and places the subdivision of angles on the same footing of optical certainty which is introduced into their measurement by the use of the telescope.

(166.) The exactness of the result thus obtained must depend, 1st, on the precision with which the tube a b can be pointed to the objects; 2dly, on the accuracy of graduation of the limb; 3dly, on the accuracy with which the subdivision of the intervals between any two consecutive graduations can be performed. The mode of accomplishing the latter object with any required exactness has been explained in the last article. With regard to the graduation of the limb, being merely of a mechanical nature, we shall pass it without remark, further than this, that, in the present state of instrument-making, the amount of error from this source of inaccuracy is reduced within very narrow limits indeed.* With regard to the first, it must be obvious that, if the sights ab be nothing more than simple crosses, or pin-holes at the ends of a hollow tube, or an eye-hole at one end, and a cross at the other, no greater nicety in pointing can be expected than what simple vision with the naked eye can command. But if, in place of these simple but coarse contrivances, the tube itself be converted into a telescope, having an object-glass at b, an eye-piece at a, and a fiducial cross in their common focus, as explained in art. 157.; and if the motion of the tube on the limb of the circle be arrested when the object is brought just into coincidence with the intersectional point of that cross, it is evident that a greater degree of exactness may be attained in the pointing of the tube than by the unassisted eye, in proportion to the magnifying power and distinctness of the telescope used.

* In the great Ertel circle at Pulkova, the probable amount of the accidental error of division is stated by M. Struve not to exceed 0".264. Desc. de l'Obs. centrale de Pulkova, p. 147.

н 2

n arm, mark.

le at B, ope and o of the idex F, t usual

r, like a a com-

<u>a</u>

fig. d, s object which coincio of the he very pointis made e screw

(167.) The simplest mode in which the measurement of an angular interval can be executed, is what we have just described; but, in strictness, this mode is applicable only to terrestrial angles, such as those occupied on the sensible horizon by the objects which surround our station, - because these only remain stationary during the interval while the telescope is shifted on the limb from one object to the other. But the diurnal motion of the heavens, by destroying this essential condition, renders the direct measurement of angular distance from object to object by this means impossible. The same objection, however, does not apply if we seek only to determine the interval between the *diurnal circles* described by any two celestial objects. Suppose every star, in its dimenal revolution, were to leave behind it a visible trace in the heavens, -a fine line of light, for instance, - then a telescope once pointed to a star, so as to have its image brought to coincidence with the intersection of the wires, would constantly remain pointed to some portion or other of this line, which would therefore continue to appear in its field as a luminous line, permanently intersecting the same point, till the star came round again. From one such line to another the telescope might be shifted, at leisure, without error; and then the angular interval between the two diurnal circles, in the plane of the telescope's rotation, might be mea-Now, though we cannot see the path of a star in the sured. heavens, we can *wait* till the star itself crosses the field of view, and seize the moment of its passage to place the intersection of its wires so that the star shall traverse it; by which, when the telescope is well clamped, we equally well secure the position of its diurnal circle as if we continued to see it ever to long. The reading off of the limb may then be performed at leisure; and when another star comes round into the plane of the circle, we may unclamp the telescope, and a similar observation will enable us to assign the place of its diurnal circle on the limb: and the observations may be repeated alternately, every day, as the stars pass, till we are satisfied with their result.

(168.) This is the principle of the mural circle, which is nothing more than such a circle as we have described in art. 163., firmly supported, in the plane of the meridian, on a long and powerful horizontal axis. This axis is let into a massive pier, or wall, of stone (whence the name of the instrument), and so secured by screws as to be capable of adjustment both in a vertical and horizontal direction; so that, like the axis of the transit, it can be maintailed in the exact direction of the east and west points of the horizon, the plane of the circle being consequently truly meridional.

(169.) The meridian, being at right angles to all the diurnal circles described by the stars, its arc intercepted between any two of them will measure the least distance between these circles, and will be equal to the difference of the declinations, as also to the difference of the meridian altitudes of the objects — at least when corrected for refraction. These differences, then, are the angular intervals directly measured by the mural circle. But from these, supposing the law and amount of refraction known, it is easy to conclude, not their differences only, but the quantities themselves, as we shall now explain.

(170.) The declination of a heavenly body is the complement of its distance from the pole. The pole, being a point in the meridian, might be directly observed on the limb of the circle, if any star stood exactly therein; and thence the polar distances, and, of course, the declinations of all the rest, might be at once determined. But this not being the case, a bright star as near the pole as can be found is selected, and observed in its upper and lower culminations; that is, when it passes the meridian above and below the pole. Now, as its distance from the pole remains the same, the difference of reading off the circle in the two cases is, of course (when corrected for refraction), equal to twice the polar distance of the star; the arc intercepted on the limb of the circle being, in this case, equal to the angular diameter of the star's diurnal circle. In the annexed diagram, H P O represents the celestial meridian, P the pole, B R, A Q, C D the diurnal eircles of

ave just only to sensible because hile the e other. ing this of anpossible. ek only cles destar, in le trace -then a image wires, other of r in its e same ich line without diurnal e men-· in the field of interit; by ly well med to hen be round escope, lace of may be we are

ment of

stars which arrive on the meridian at B, A, and C in their upper and at R, Q, D in their lower culminations, of which D

and Q happen above the horizon H O. P is the pole; and if we suppose h p o to be the mural circle, having S for its centre, b ac p d will be the points on its P_{a} circumference corresponding to B A C P D in the heavens. Now the arcs b a, b c, b d, and c d are given immediately by observation;



and since CP=PD, we have also cp=pd, and each of them $=\frac{1}{2}cd$, consequently the place of the polar point, as it is called, upon the limb of the circle becomes known, and the arcs pb, pa, pc, which represent on the circle the polar distances required, become also known.

(171.) The situation of the pole star, which is a very brilliant one, is eminently favourable for this purpose, being only about a degree and half from the pole; it is, therefore, the star usually and almost solely chosen for this important purpose; the more especially because, both its culminations taking place at great and not very different altitudes, the refractions by which they are affected are of small amount, and differ but slightly from each other, so that their correction is easily and safely applied. The brightness of the pole star, too, allows it to be easily observed in the daytime. In consequence of these peculiarities, this star is one of constant resort with astronomers for the adjustment and verification of instruments of almost every description. In the case of the transit, for instance, it furnishes an excellent object for the application of the method of testing the meridional situation of the instrument described in art. 162., in fact, the most advantageous of any for that purpose, owing to its being the most remote from the zenith, at its upper culmination, of all bright stars observable both above and below the pole.

(172.) The place of the *polar point* on the limb of the mural

OBSERVATION BY REFLECTION ON MERCURY. 103

circle once determined, becomes an origin, or zero point, from which the polar distances of all objects, referred to other points on the same limb, reckon. It matters not whether the actual commencement 0° of the graduations stand there, or not; since it is only by the *differences* of the readings that the arcs on the limb are determined; and hence a great advantage is obtained in the power of commencing anew a fresh scries of observations, in which a different part of the circumference of the circle shall be employed, and different graduations brought into use, by which inequalities of division may be detected and neutralized. This is accomplished practically by detaching the telescope from its old bearings on the circle, and fixing it afresh, by screws or clamps, on a different part of the circumference.

(173) A point on the limb of the mural circle, not less imperiodi than the polar point, is the horizontal point, which, being once known, becomes in like manner an origin, or zero point, from which altitudes are reckoned. The principle of its determination is ultimately nearly the same with that of the polar point. As no star exists in the celestial horizon, the observer must seek to determine two points on the limb, the one of which shall be precisely as far below the horizontal point as the other is above it. For this purpose, a star is observed at its culmination on one night, by pointing the telescope directly to it, and the next, by pointing to the image of the same star reflected in the still, unruffled surface of a fluid at perfect rest. Mercury, as the most reflective fluid known, is guarally chosen for that use. As the surface of a fluid at rest is more sarily horizontal, and as the angle of reflection, by the k we of optics, is equal to that of incidence, this image will be just as much depressed below the horizon as the star itself is above it (allowing for the difference of refraction at the moments of observation). The arc intercepted or the limb of the circle between the star and its reflected image thus consecutively observed, when corrected for refraction, is the double altitude of the star, and its point of bisection the horizontal point. The reflecting surface of a

their ich D



'them it is d the *polar*

brilonly e, the purtions ie re-, and on is star, constant ion of f the r the ation most peing tion. the

aural

fluid so used for the determination of the altitudes of objects is called an *artificial horizon*.*

(174.) The mural circle is, in fact, at the same time. a transit instrument; and, if furnished with a proper system of vertical wires in the focus of its telescope, may be used as such. As the axis, however, is only supported at one end, it has not the strength and permanence necessary for the more delicate purposes of a transit; nor can it be verified, as a transit may, by the reversal of the two ends of its axis. east for west. Nothing, however, prevents a divided circle being permanently fastened on the axis of a transit instrument, either near to one of its extremitics, or close to the telescope, so as to revolve 't't, the reading off being performed by one or more micro pes fixed on one of its piers. Such an instrument is called a TRANSIT CIRCLE, or a MERI-DIAN CIRCLE, and serves for the simultaneous determination of the right ascensions and polar distances of objects observed with it; the time of transit being noted by the clock, and the circle being read off by the lateral microscopes. There is much advantage, when extensive catalogues of small stars have to be formed, in this simultaneous determination of both their celestial co-ordinates: to which may be added the facility of applying to the meridian circle a telescope of any length and optical power. The construction of the mural circle renders this highly inconvenient, and indeed impracticable beyond very moderate limits.

(175.) The determination of the horizontal point on the limb of an instrument is of such essential importance in astronomy, that the student should be made acquainted with every means employed for this purpose. These are, the artificial horizon, the plumb-line, the level, and the collimator. The artificial horizon has been already explained. The plumb-

^{*} By a peculiar and delicate manipulation and management of the setting, bisection, and reading off of the eircle, aided by the use of a moveable horizontal micrometic wire in the focus of the object-glass, it is found practicable to observe a slow moving star (as the pole star) on one and the same night, both by reflection and direct vision, sufficiently near to either culmination to give the horizontal point, without risking the change of refraction in twenry-four hours; so that this source of error is thus completely eliminated.
DETERMINATION OF THE HORIZONTAL POINT. 105

line is a fine thread or wire, to which is suspended a weight, whose oscillations are impeded and quickly reduced to rest by plunging it in water. The direction ultimately assumed by such a line, *admitting its perfect flexibility*, is that of gravity, or perpendicular to the surface of still water. Its application to the purposes of astronomy is, howe *x*, so delicate, and difficult, and liable to error, unless extraordinary precautions are taken in its use, that it is at present almost universally abandoned, for the more convenient, and equally exact instrument *the level*.

(176.) The level is a glass tube nearly filled with a liquid, (sulphuric ether, or chloroform, being those now generally



used, on account of their extreme mobility, and not being liable to freeze,) the bubble in which, when the tube is placed horizontally, would rest indifferently in any part if the tube could be mathematically straight. But that being impossible to execute, and every tube having some slight curvature; if the convex side be placed upwards the bubble will occupy the higher part, as in the figure (where the curvature is purposely exaggerated). Suppose such a tube, as A B, firmly fastened on a straight bar, C D, and marked at a b, two points distant by the length of the bubble; then, if the instrument be so placed that the bubble shall occupy this interval, it is clear that C D can have no other than one definite inclination to the horizon; because, were it ever so little moved one way or other, the bubble would shift its place, and run towards the elevated side. Suppose, now, that we would ascertain whether any given line P Q be horizontal; let the base of the level C D be set upon it, and note the points a b, between which the bubble is exactly contained; then turn the level end for end, so that C shall rest on Q,

bjects

me, a ystem sed as end, or the cd, as s axis, circle nstruto the g perpiers MERInation served k, and here is stars f both he faf any mural oracti-

on the ice in with artinator. umb-

setting, orizonable to oth by ive the hours; and D on P. If then the bubble continue to occupy the same place between a and b, it is evident that P Q can be no otherwise than horizontal. If not, the side towards which the bubble runs is highest, and must be lowered. Astronomical levels are furnished with a divided scale, by which the places of the ends of the bubble can be nicely marked; and it is said that they can be executed with such delicacy, as to indicate a single second of angular deviation from exact horizontality. In such levels accident is not trusted to to give the requisite curvature. They are ground and polished internally by peculiar mechanical processes of great delicacy.

(177.) The mode in which a level may be applied to find the horizontal point on the limb of a vertical divided circle may be thus explained: Let A B be a telescope firmly fixed to such a circle, D E F, and moveable in one with it on a

horizontal axis C, which must be like that of a transit, susceptible of reversal (see art. 161.), and with which the circle is inseparably connected. Direct the telescope on some distant well-defined object S, and bisect it by its horizontal wire, and in this position clamp it fast. Let L be a level fastened at right angles to an arm, L E F, furnished with a micro-



d

si ti w si ci

p

W

as di

its

w

gi

th

as

 \mathbf{pl}

co

is

po

ad

ho

of

ce

of

flo

wl

ho

in

scope, or vernier at F, and, if we please, another at E. Let this arm be fitted by grinding on the axis C, but capable of moving smoothly on it without carrying it round, and also of being clamped fast on it, so as to prevent it from moving until required. While the telescope is kept fixed on the object S, let the level be set so as to bring its bubble to the marks a b, and clamp it there. Then will the arm L C F have some certain determinate inclination (no matter what) to the horizon. In this position let the circle be read off at F, and then let the whole apparatus be *reversed* by turning its horizontal axis end for end, without unclamping the level

THE FLOATING COLLIMATOR.

This done, by the motion of the whole arm from the axis. instrument (level and all) on its axis, restore the level to its horizontal position with the bubble at a b. Then we are sure that the telescope has now the same inclination to the horizon the other way, that it had when pointed to S, and the reading off at F will not have been changed. Now unclamp the level, and, keeping it nearly horizontal, turn round the circle on the axis, so as to carry back the telescope through the zenith to S, and in that position clamp the circle and telescope fast. Then it is evident that an angle equal to twice the zenith distance of S has been moved over by the axis of the telescope from its last position. Lastly, without unclamping the telescope and circle, let the level be once more rectified. Then will the arm L E F once more assume the same definite position with respect to the horizon; and, consequently, if the circle be again read off, the difference between this and the previous reading must measure the arc of its circumference which has passed under the point F, which may be considered as having all the while retained an invariable position. This difference, then, will be the double zenith distance of S, and its half will be the zenith distance simply, the complement of which is its altitude. Thus the altitude corresponding to a given reading of the limb becomes known, or, in other words, the horizontal point on the limb is ascertained. Circuitous as this process may appear, there is no other mode of employing the level for this purpose which does not in the end come to the same thing. Most commonly, however, the level is used as a mere *fiducial* reference, to preserve a horizontal point once well determined by other means, which is done by adjusting it so as to stand level when the telescope is truly horizontal, and thus leaving it, depending on the permanence of its adjustment.

(178.) The last, but probably not the least exact, as it certainly is, in innumerable cases, the most convenient means of ascertaining the *horizontal point*, is that afforded by the floating collimator, an invention of Captain Kater, but of which the optical principle was first employed by Rittenhouse, in 1785, for the purpose of fixing a definite direction in space by the emergence of parallel rays from a material

the he he hich onothe and s to origive l in-7. find rcle ixed on a

Let e of o of ing obthe F at)

at

ng

vel

в

th

ge ol

as

ci

ob

set ow A up by the do the

ne

the

fro

on

flec

par

gla

foc

cro

the

tion

ins

the

poi

ins

me

the

wh

the

pła

app

ho

by

object placed in the focus of a fixed lens. This elegant instrument is nothing more than a small telescope furnished with a cross-wire in its focus, and fastened horizontally, or as nearly so as may be, on a flat iron *float*, which is made to swim on mercury, and which, of course, will, when left to itself, assume always one and the same invariable inclination to the horizon. If the cross-wires of the collimator be illu-



minated by a lamp, being in the focus of its object-glass, the rays from them will issue parallel, and will therefore be in a fit state to be brought to a focus by the object-glass of any other telescope, in which they will form an image as if they came from a celestial object in their direction, i.e. at an altitude equal to their inclination. Thus the intersection of the cross of the collimator may be observed as if it were a star, and that, however near the two telescopes are to each other. By transferring then, the collimator still floating on a vessel of mercury from the one side to the other of a circle, we are furnished with two quasi-celestial objects, at precisely equal altitudes, on opposite sides of the centre; and if these be observed in succession with the telescope of the circle, bringing its cross to bisect the image of the cross of the collimator (for which end the wires of the latter cross are purposely set 45° inclined to the horizon), the difference of the readings on its limb will be twice the zenith distance of either; whence, as in the last article, the horizontal or zenith point is immediately determined. Another, and, in many respects, preferable form of the floating collimator, in which the telescope is vertical, and whereby the zenith point is directly ascertained, is described in the Phil. Trans. 1828, p. 257., by the same author.

(179.) By far the neatest and most delicate application of

COLLIMATION BY REFLEXION.

the principle of collimation of Rittenhouse, however, is suggested by Bohnenberger, which affords at once, and by a single observation, an exact knowledge of the *nadir* point of an astronomical circle. In this combination, the telescope of the

circle is its own collimator. The object observed is the central intersectional cross of the wires in its own focus reflected in mercury. A strong illumination being thrown upon the system of wires (art. 160.) by a lateral lamp, the telescope of the instrument is directed vertically downwards towards the surface of the mercury, as in the figure annexed. The rays diverging from the wires issue in parallel pencils from the object-glass, are incident on the mercury, and are thence reflected back (without losing their parallel character) to the object-



glass, which is therefore enabled to collect them again in its focus. Thus is formed a reflected image of the system of cross-wires, which, when brought by the slow motion of the telescope to exact coincidence (intersection upon intersection) with the real system as seen in the eye-piece of the instrument, indicates the precise and rigorous verticality of the optical axis of the telescope when directed to the nadir point.

(180.) The transit and mural circle are essentially meridian instruments, being used only to observe the stars at the moment of their meridian passage. Independent of this being the most favourable moment for seeing them, it is that in which their diurnal motion is parallel to the horizon. It is therefore easier at this time than it could be at any other, to place the telescope exactly in their true direction; since their apparent course in the field of view being parallel to the horizontal thread of the system of wires therein, they may, by giving a fine motion to the telescope, be brought to exact

inhed or to to ion

n a ıny hey ltithe tar, ler. sel are ual be ıgtor set on ce, eris d, he

 \mathbf{bf}

the

110

coincidence with it, and time may be allowed to examine and correct this coincidence, if not at first accurately hit, which is the case in no other situation. Generally speaking, all angular magnitudes which it is of importance to ascertain exactly, should, if possible, be observed at their maxima or minima of increase or diminution; because at these points they remain not perceptibly changed during a time long enough to complete, and even, in many cases, to repeat and verify, our observations in a careful and leisurely manner. The angle which, in the case before us, is in this predicament, is the altitude of the star, which attains its maximum or minimum on the meridian, and which is measured on the limb of the mural circle.

(181.) The purposes of astronomy, however, require that an observer should possess the means of observing any object not directly on the meridian, but at any point of its diurnal course, or wherever it may present itself in the heavens. Now, a point in the sphere is determined by reference to two great circles at right angles to each other; or of two circles, one of which passes through the pole of the other. These, in the language of geometry, are *co-ordinates* by which its situation is ascertained: for instance, — on the earth, a place is known if we know its longitude and latitude; — in the st.rry heavens, if we know its right ascension and declination; — in the visible hemisphere, if we know its azimuth and altitude, &c.

(182.) To observe an object at any point of its diurnal course, we must possess the means of directing a telescope to it; which, therefore, must be capable of motion in two planes at right angles to each other; and the amount of its angular motion in each must be measured on two circles *co-ordinate* to each other, whose planes must be parallel to those in which the telescope moves. The practical accomplishment of this condition is effected by making the axis of one of the circles penetrate that of the other at right angles. The pierced axis turns on fixed supports, while the other has no connection with any external support, but is sustained entirely by that which it penetrates, which is strengthened and enlarged at

INSTRUMENTS WITH CO-ORDINATE CIRCLES. 111

the point of penetration to receive it. The annexed figure exhibits the simplest form of such a combination, though very far indeed from the best in point of mechanism. The two circles are *read off* by verniers, or microscopes; the one attached to the fixed support which carries the principal axis, the other to an arm projecting from that axis. Both circles also are susceptible of being clamped, the clamps being attached to the same ultimate bearing with which the apparatus for reading off is connected.

(183.) It is manifest that such a combination, however its principal axis be pointed (provided that its direction be invariable), will enable us to ascertain the situation of any object

with respect to the observer's station, by angles reckoned upon two great circles in the visible hemisphere, one of which has for its poles the prolongations of the principal axis or the vanishing points of a system of lines parallel to it, and the other passes always through these poles: for the former great circle is the vanishing line of all planes parallel to the circle A B, while the latter, in any position of the instrument, is the vanishing line of all the

ıd

h

11

in

r

ts

ıg

ıd

r.

it,

or

ıb

at

ct

al

ıs.

vo

es,

se,

its

ce

he

a-

th

al

to

es

 \mathbf{ar}

te

 \mathbf{b}

1. 13

es

is

bn

at

at



planes parallel to the circle G H; and these two planes being, by the construction of the instrument, at right angles, the great circles, which are their vanishing lines, must be so too. Now, if two great circles of a sphere be at right angles to each other, the one will always pass through the other's poles.

(184.) There are, however, but two positions in which such an apparatus can be mounted so as to be of any practical utility in astronomy. The first is, when the principal axis C D is parallel to the earth's axis, and therefore points to the poles of the heavens which are the vanishing points of all lines in this system of parallels; and when, of course, the plane of the circle Λ B is parallel to the earth's equator, and

therefore has the equinoctial for its vanishing circle, and measures, by its arcs read off, hour angles, or differences of right ascension. In this case, the great circles in the heavens, corresponding to the various positions, which the circle G H can be made to assume, by the rotation of the instrument round its axis C D, are all hour-circles; and the arcs read off on this circle will be declinations, or polar distances, or their differences.

(185.) In this position the apparatus assumes the name of an equatorial, or, as it was formerly called, a parallactic instrument. It is a most convenient instrument for all such observations as require an object to be kept long in view, because, being once set upon the object, it can be followed as long as we please by a single motion, i. e. by merely turning the whole apparatus round on its polar axis. For since, when the telescope is set on a star, the angle between its direction and that of the polar axis is equal to the polar distance of the star, it follows, that when turned about its axis, without altering the position of the telescope on the circle G H, the point to which it is directed will always lie in the small circle of the heavens coincident with the star's diurnal path. In many observations this is an inestimable advantage, and one which belongs to no other instrument. The equatorial is also used for determining the place of an unknown by comparison with that of a known object, in a manner to be described in the fifth chapter. The adjustments of the equatorial are somewhat complicated and difficult. They are best performed in this manner: --- 1st, Follow the pole star round its whole diurnal course, by which it will become evident whether the polar axis is directed above or below, to the right or to the left, of the true pole, --- and correct it accordingly (without any attempt, during this process, to correct the errors, if any, in the position of the declination axis). 2dly, after the polar axis is thus brought into adjustment, place the plane of the declination circle in or near the meridian; and, having there secured it, observe the transits of several known stars of widely different declinations. 1f the intervals between these transits correspond to the known

diff tha fore one will axis (fror of t wor pola obje ven com ulti swif unit by (pref ener a re ing whe teles of v of t men (1appa vant oceu sequ GΗ sure

p. 45. ail th to ave

THE EQUATORIAL INSTRUMENT.

differences of right ascensions of the stars, we may be sure that the telescope describes a true meridian, and that, therefore, the declination axis is truly perpendicular to the polar one; — if not, the deviation of the intervals from this law will indicate the direction and amount of the deviation of the axis in question, and enable us to correct it.*

(186.) A very great improvement has, within a few years from the present time, been introduced into the construction of the equatorial instrument. It consists in applying a clockwork movement to turn the whole instrument round upon its polar axis, and so to follow the diurnal motion of any celestial object, without the necessity of the observer's manual intervention. The driving power is the descent of a weight which communicates motion to a train of wheelwork, and thus, ultimately, to the polar axis, while, at the same time, its too swift descent is controlled and regulated to the exact and uniform rate required to give that axis one turn in 24 hours, by connecting it with a regulating clock, or (which is found prefer 'le in practice) by exhausting all the superfluous f the driving power, by causing it to overcome ener a reguined friction. Artists have thus succeeded in obtaining a perfectly smooth, uniform, and regulable motion, which, when so applied, serves to retain any object on which the telescope may be set, commodiously, in the centre of the field of view for whole hours in succession, leaving the attention of the observer undistracted by having a mechanical movement to direct; and with both his hands at liberty.

(187.) The other position in which such a compound apparatus as we have described in art. 182. may be advantageously mounted, is that in which the principal axis occupies a vertical position, and the one circle, A B, consequently corresponds to the celestial horizon, and the other, G H, to a vertical circle of the heavens. The ingles measured on the former are therefore *azimuths*, or differences

ind of ens, H ent off neir

e of

inuch ew, as ing ice, its olar out the lie ar's ble ent. an n a ustiffilow will e or rect , to tion ustthe sits If

wn

[•] See Littrow on the Adjustment of the Equatorial (Mem. Ast, Soe, vol. il, p. 45.), where formulæ are given for ascertaining the amount and direction of ail the misadjustments simultaneously. But the practical observer, who wishes to avoid bewildering himself by doing two things at once, had better proceed as recommended in the text.

of azimuth, and those of the latter zenith distances, or altitudes, according as the graduation commences from the upper point of its limb, or from one 90° distant from it. It is therefore known by the name of an azimuth and altitude in-The vertical position of its principal axis is sestrument. cured either by a plumb-line suspended from the upper end, which, however it be turned round, should continue always to intersect one and the same fiducial mark near its lower extremity, or by a level fixed directly across it, whose bubble ought not to shift its place, on moving the instrument in The north or south point on the horizontal circle azimuth. is ascertained by bringing the vertical circle to coincide with the plane of the meridian, by the same criterion by which the azimuthal adjustment of the transit is performed (art. 162.), and noting, in this position, the reading off of the lower circle; or by the following process.

(188.) Let a bright star be observed at a considerable distance to the east of the meridian, by bringing it on the cross wires of the telescope. In this position let the horizontal circle be read off, and the telescope securely clamped on the vertical one. When the star has passed the meridian, and is in the descending point of its daily course, let it be followed by moving the whole instrument round to the west, without, however, unclamping the telescope, until it comes into the field of view; and until, by continuing the horizontal motion, the star and the cross of the wires come once more to coincide. In this position it is evident the star must have the same precise altitude above the western horizon, that it had at the moment of the first observation above the eastern. At this point let the motion be arrested, and the horizontal circle be again read off. The difference of the readings will be the azimuthal arc described in the interval. Now, it is evident that when the altitudes of any star are equal on either side of the meridian, its azimuths, whether reckoned both from the north or both from the south point of the horizon, must also be equal, - consequently the north or south point of the horizon must bisect the azimuthal are thus determined, and will therefore become known.

ŀ

HOW TO DRAW A MERIDIAN LINE.

(189.) This method of determining the north and south points of a horizontal circle is called the "method of equal altitudes," and is of great and constant use in practical astronomy. If we note, at the moments of the two observations, the time, by a clock or chronometer, the instant halfway between them will be the moment of the star's meridian passage, which may thus be determined without a transit; and, vice versâ, the error of a clock or chronometer may by this process be discovered. For this last purpose, it is not necessary that our instrument should be provided with a horizontal circle at all. Any means by which altitudes can be measured will enable us to determine the moments when the same star arrives at equal altitudes in the eastern and western halves of its diurnal course; and, these once known, the instant of meridian passage and the error of the clock become also known.

(190.) Thus also a meridian line may be drawn and a meridian mark erected. For the readings of the north and south points on the limb of the horizontal circle being known, the vertical circle may be brought exactly into the plane of the meridian, by setting it to that precise reading. This done, let the telescope be depressed to the north horizon, and let the point intersected there by its cross-wires be noted, and a mark crected there, and let the same be done for the south horizon. The line joining these points is a meridian line, passing through the centre of the horizontal circle. The marks may be made secure and permanent if required.

(191.) One of the chief purposes to which the altitude and azimuth circle is applicable is the investigation of the amount and laws of refraction. For, by following with it a circumpolar star which passes the zenith, and another which grazes the horizon, through their whole diurnal course, the exact *apparent* form of their diurnal orbits, or the ovals into which their circles are distorted by refraction, can be traced; and their deviation from circles, being at every moment given by the nature of the observation *in the direction in which the refraction itself takes place* (i. e. in altitude), is made a matter of direct observation.

(192.) The zenith sector and the theodolite are peculiar $\frac{1}{12}$

, or altihe upper t. It is *itude in*is is scper end, e always s lower bubble ment in al circle de with which ed (art. of the

derable on the orizonped on eridian, et it be e west, comes prizone once r must i, that e the nd the of the erval. ur are ether int of th or thus

modifications of the altitude and azimuth instrument. The former is adapted for the very exact observation of stars in or near the zenith, by giving a great length to the vertical axis, and suppressing all the circumference of the vertical circle, except a few degrees of its lower part, by which a great length of radius, and a consequent proportional enlargement of the divisions of its arc, is obtained. The latter is especially devoted to the measures of horizontal angles between terrestrial objects, in which the telescope never requires to be elevated more than a few degrees, and in which, therefore. the vertical circle is either dispensed with, or execute on a smaller scale, and with less delicacy; while, on the other hand, great care is bestowed on securing the exact perpendi cularity of the plane of the telescope's motion, by resting its horizontal axis on two supports like the piers of a transitinstrument, which themselves are firmly bedded on the spokes of the horizontal circle, and turn with it.

(193.) The next instrument we shall describe is one by whose aid the angular distance of any two objects may be measured, or the altitude of a single one determined, either by measuring its distance from the visible horizon (such as the sea-offing, allowing for its dip), or from its own reflection on the surface of mercury. It is the sextant, or quadrant, commonly called *Hadley*'s, from its reputed inventor, though the priority of invention belongs undoubtedly to Newton, whose claims to the gratitude of the navigator are thus doubled, by his having furnished at once the only theory by which his vessel can be securely guided, and the only instrument which has ever been found to avail, in applying that theory to its nautical uses.*

(194.) The principle of this instrument is the optical property of reflected rays, thus announced: — " The angle be-

^{*} Newton communicated it to Dr. Halley, who suppressed it. The description of the instrument was found, after the death of Halley, among his papers, in Newton's own handwriting, by his exceutor, who communicated the papers to the Royal Society, twenty-five years after Newton's death, and eleven after the publication of Hadley's invention, which might be, and probably was, independent of any knowledge of Newton's, though Hutton insinnates the contrary.

THE SEXTANT.

tween the first and last directions of a ray which has suffered two reflections in one plane is equal to twice the inclination of the reflecting surfaces to each other." Let A B be the

limb, or graduated arc, of a portion of a circle 60° in extent, but divided into 120 equal parts. On the radius C B let a silvered plane glass D be fixed, at right angles to the plane of the circle, and on the moveable radius C E let another such silvered glass, C, be fixed. The glass D is permanently fixed



parallel to A C, and only one half of it is silvered, the other half allowing objects to be seen through it. The glass C is wholly silvered, and its plane is parallel to the length of the moveable radius C E, at the extremity E of which a vernier is placed to read off the divisions of the limb. On the radius A C is set a telescope F, through which any object, Q, may he seen by *direct* rays which pass through the unsilvered portion of the glass D, while another object, P, is seen through the same telescope by rays, which, after reflection at C, have been thrown upon the silvered part of D, and are thence The two directed by a second reflection into the telescope. images so formed will both be seen in the field of view at once, and by moving the radius CE will (if the reflectors be truly perpendicular to the plane of the circle) meet and pass over, without obliterating each other. The motion, however, is arrested when they meet, and at this point the angle included between the direction CP of one object, and FQ of the other, is twice the angle ECA included between the fixed and moveable radii CA, CE. Now, the graduations of the limb being purposely made only half as distant as would correspond to degrees, the arc A E, when read off, as if the graduations were whole degrees, will, in fact, read double its real amount, and therefore the numbers so read off will express not the angle E C A, but its double, the angle subtended by the objects.

13

The urs in ertical rtical ich a largeter is s beuires here. cuter other endi ig its insitokes

e by y be ither ch as ction rant, ough ton, thus y by struthat

probe-

crippers, pers after was, the

(195.) To determine the exact distances between the stars by direct observation is comparatively of little service; but in nautical astronomy the measurement of their distances from the moon, and of their altitudes, is cf essential importance; and as the sextant requires no fixed support, but can be held in the hand, and used on ship-board, the utility of the instrument becomes at once obvious. For altitudes at sea, as no level, plumb-line, or artificial horizon can be used, the sea-offing affords the only resource; and the image of the star observed, seen by reflection, is brought to coincide with the boundary of the sea seen by direct rays. Thus the altitude above the sea-line is found; and this corrected for the dip of the horizon (art. 23.) gives the true altitude of the star. On land, an artificial horizon may be used (art. 173.), and the consideration of dip is rendered unnecessary.

(196.) The adjustments of the sextant are simple. They consist in fixing the two reflectors, the one on the revolving radius C E, the other on the fixed one C B, so as to have their planes perpendicular to the plane of the circle, and parallel to each other, when the reading of the instrument is zero. This adjustment in the latter respect is of little moment, as its effect is to produce a constant error, whose amount is readily ascertained by bringing the two images of one and the same star or other distant object to coincidence; when the instrument ought to read zero, and if it does not, the angle which it does read is the zero correction and must be subtracted from all angles measured with the sextant. The former adjustments are essential to be maintained, and are performed by small screws, by whose aid either or both the glasses may be titted a little one way or another until the direct and reflected images of a vertical line (a plumb-line) can be brought to coincidence over their whole extent, so as to form a single unbroken straight line, whatever be the position of the moveable arm, in the middle of the field of view of the telescope, whose axis is carefully adjusted by the optician to parallelism with the plane of the limb. In practice it is usual to leave only the reflector D on the fixed radius adjustable, that on hay coi the one adj pur the the bei hur reat and and (wit of Boi dua deg ann ject for and 011 circ

the

obj

mo

ma

01

du: tw

the

this

a I

bei

the

PRINCIPLE OF REPETITION.

c stars stances nportt, but utility itudes an be image coin-Thus rected ude of 173.),

They lving their: llel to This as its eadily same nstruwhich acted r adrmed may d reught ingle hovecope, elismleave it on

the moveable being set to great nicety by the maker. In this case the best way of making the adjustment is to view a pair of lines crossing each other at right angles (one being horizontal the other vertical) through the telescope of the instrument, holding the plane of its limb vertical, — then having brought the horizontal line and its reflected image to coincidence by the motion of the radius, the two images of the vertical arm must be brought to coincidence by tilting one way or other the fixed reflector D by means of an adjusting screw, with which every sextant is provided for that purpose. When both lines coincide *in the centre of the field* the adjustment is correct.

(197.) The reflecting circle is an instrument destined for the same uses as the sextant, but more complete, the circle being entire, and the divisions carried all round. It is usually furnished with three verniers, so as to admit of three distinct readings off, by the average of which the error of graduation and of reading is reduced. This is altogether a very refined and elegant instrument.

(198.) We must not conclude this part of our subject

without mention of the "principle of repetition;" an invention of Borda, by which the error of graduation may be diminished to any degree, and, practically speaking, annihilated. Let P Q be two objects which we may suppose fixed, for purposes of mere explanation, and let K L be a telescope moveable on O, the common axis of two circles, A M L and a b c, of which



the former, A M L, is absolutely fixed in the plane of the objects, and carries the graduations, and the latter is freely moveable on the axis. The telescope is attached permanently to the latter circle, and moves with it. An arm O a A carries the index, or vernier, which reads off the graduated limb of the fixed circle. This arm is provided with two clamps, by which it can be temporarily connected

P

e

a

h

6]

fit

pť

or

re

th

 \mathbf{pl}

fo

cy

sc

th

ex

ob

an

do

the

pa

wł

tu

SCI

all

kr

th

m

S

aı

si

with either circle, and detached at pleasure. Suppose, now, the telescope directed to P. Clamp the index arm OA to the inner circle, and unclamp it from the outer, and read off. Then carry the telescope round to the other object Q. In so doing, the inner circle, and the index-arm which is clamped to it, will also be carried round, over an arc A B, on the graduated limb of the outer, equal to the angle POQ. Now clamp the index to the outer circle, and unclamp the inner. and read off: the difference of readings will of course measure the angle POQ; but the result will be liable to two sources of error - that of graduation and that of observation, both which it is our object to get rid of. To this end transfer the telescope back to P, without unclamping the arm from the outer circle; then, having made the bisection of P, clamp the arm to b, and unclamp it from B, and again transfer the telescope to Q, by which the arm will now be carried with it to C, over a second arc, BC, equal to the angle POQ. Now again read off; then will the difference between this reading and the *original* one measure *twice* the angle POQ, affected with both errors of observation, but only with the same error of graduation as before. Let this process be repeated as often as we please (suppose ten times); then will the final arc ABCD read off on the circle be ten times the required angle, affected by the joint errors of all the ten observations, but only by the same constant error of graduation, which depends on the initial and final readings off alone. Now the errors of observation, when numerous, tend to balance and destroy one another; so that, if sufficiently multiplied, their influence will disappear from the result. There remains, then, only the constant error of graduation, which comes to be divided in the final result by the number of observations, and is therefore diminished in its influence to one tenth of its possible amount, or to less if need be. The abstract beauty and advantage of this principle seem to be counterbalanced in practice by some unknown cause, which, probably, must be sought for in imperfect clamping.

(199.) Micrometers are instruments (as the name im-

MICROMETERS.

ports^{*}) for measuring, with great precision, small angles, not exceeding a few minutes, or at most a whole degree. They are very various in construction and principle, nearly all, however, depending on the exceeding delicacy with which space can be subdivided by the turns and parts of a turn of fine screws. Thus — in the *parallel wire micrometer*, two parallel threads (spider's lines are generally used) stretched on sliding frames, one or both moveable by screws in a di-

rection perpendicular to that of the threads, are placed in the common focus of the object and eye-glasses of a telescope, and brought by the motion of the screws



exactly to cover the two extremities of the image of any small object seen in the telescope, as the diameter of a planet, &c., the angular distance between which it is required to measure. This done, the threads are closed up by turning one of the screws till they exactly cover each other, and the number of turns and parts of a turn required gives the interval of the threads, which must be converted into angular measure, either by actual calculation from the linear measure of the threads of the screw and the focal length of the object-glass, or experimentally, by measuring the image of a known object placed at a known distance (as a foot-rule at a hundred yards, &c.) and therefore subtending a known angle.

(200.) The *duplication of the image* of an object by optical means furnishes a valuable and fertile resource in micrometry. Suppose by any optical contrivance the single image A of any object can be converted into two, exactly equal and similar, A B, at a distance from one another, dependent (by



Mikpor, small ; µετρείν, to measure.

se, now, OA to cad off. In so lamped on the . Now inner, aeasure sources ı, both fer the om the mp the er the d with 20Q. n this 20Q, th the be ren will es the en obation, alone. hd to mul-There rhieh f obone The o be hich,

im-

some mechanical movement) on the will of the observer, and in any required direction from one another. As these can, therefore, be made to approach to or recede from each other at pleasure, they may be brought in the first place to approach till they touch one another on one side, as at A C, and then being made by continuing the motion to cross and touch on the opposite side, as A D, it is evident that the quantity of movement required to produce the change from one contact to the other, *if uniform*, will *measure* the double diameter of the object A.

(201.) Innumerable optical combinations may be devised to operate such duplication. The chief and most important (from its recent applications), is the *heliometer*, in which the image is divided by bisecting *the object-glass of the telescope*, and making its two halves, set in separate brass frames, slide laterally on each other, as A B, the motion being produced

and measured by a screw. Each half, by the laws of optics, forms its own image (somewhat blurred, it is true, by diffraction *), in its own axis; and thus two equal and similar images are formed side by side in the focus of the eye-piece, which may be made to approach and recede by the



2

ce

p0

te

of

an

tu

op

wi

on

per

out

cat

rot

det

the

the tha

to

lel ach

but

pri

the cee

fro be

 cir

otl

CO

fra

int

lig

(a th ra

motion of the screw, and thus afford the means of measurement as above described.

(202.) Double refraction through crystallized media affords another means of accomplishing the same end. Without going into the intricacies of this difficult branch of optics, it will suffice to state that objects viewed through certain crystals (as Iceland spar, or quartz) appear double, two images equally distinct being formed, whose angular distance from each other varies from nothing (or perfect coincidence), up to

• This might be cured, though at an expense of light, by limiting each half to a circular space by diaphragme, as represented by the dotted lines.

MICROMETERS.

r, and can, other o apd, and touch untity conneter

ed to rtant h the cope, slide uced

urcords out s, it ysges om to

half

a certain limit, according to the direction with respect to a certain fixed line in the crystal, called its optical axis. Suppose, then, to take the simplest case, that the eye-lens of a telescope, instead of glass, were formed of such a crystal (say of quartz, which may be worked as well or better than glass), and of a spherical form, so as to offer no difference when turned about on its centre, other than the inclination of its optical axis to the visual ray. Then when that axis coincides with the line of collimation of the object-glass, one image only will be seen, but when made to revolve on an axis perpendicular to that line, two will arise, opening gradually out from each other, and thus originating the desired duplication. In this contrivance, the angular amount of the rotation of the sphere affords the necessary datum for determining the separation of the images.

(203.) Of all methods which have been proposed, however, the simplest and most unobjectionable would appear to be the following. It is well known to every optical student, that two prisms of glass, a flint and a crown, may be opposed to each other, so as to produce a *colourless deflection* of parallel rays. An object seen through such a compound or achromatic prism, will be seen simply deviated in *lirection*, but in no way otherwise altered or distorted. Let such a prism be constructed with its surfaces so nearly parallel that the *total deviation* produced in traversing them shall not exceed a small amount (say 5'). Let this be cut in half, and from each half let a circular disc

be formed, and cemented on a circular plate of parallel glass, or otherwise sustained, close to and concentric with the other by a framework of metal so light as to intercept but a small portion of the light which passes on the outside (as in the annexed figure), where the dotted lines represent the radii sustaining one, and the un-



123

dotted those carrying the other disc. The whole must be so mounted as to allow one disc to revolve in its own plane behind the other, fixed, and to allow the amount of rotation to be read off. It is evident, then, that when the deviations produced by the two discs conspire, a total deviation of 10' will be effected on all the light which has passed through them; that when they oppose each other, the rays will emerge undeviated, and that in intermediate positions a deviation varying from 0 to 10', and calculable from the angular rotation of the one disc on the other, will arise. Now, let this combination be applied at such a point of the cone of rays, between the object-glass and its focus, that the dises shall occupy exactly half the area of its section. Then will half the light of the object lens pass undeviated - the other half deviated, as above described; and thus a duplication of image, variable and measureable (as required for micrometric measurement) will occur. If the object-glass be not very large, the most convenient point of its application will be externally before it, in which case the diameter of the discs will be to that of the object-glass as 707 : 1000; or (allowing for the spokes) about as 7 to 10.

(204.) The Position Micrometer is simply a straight thread or wire, which is carried round by a smooth revolving motion, in the common focus of the object and eye-glasses, in a plane perpendicular to the axis of the telescope. It serves to determine the situation with respect to some fixed line in the field of view, of the line joining any two objects or points of an object seen in that field — as two stars, for instance, near enough to be seen at once. For this purpose the moveable thread is placed so as to cover both of them, or stand, as may best be judged, parallel to their line of junction. And its angle, with the fixed one, is then read off upon a small divided eirele exterior to the instrument. When such a micrometer is applied (as it most commonly is) to an equatorially mounted telescope, the zero of its position corresponds to a direction of the wire, such as, prolonged, will represent a circle of declination in the heavens - and the "angles of position" so read off are reckoned invariably from one

the bla thu fie an

th

poi

ced

obj

ext

ord

in

esp the

cen

wit

in t

leli

the

giv

jud

the

pos

pie

int

eitl

dar

lan

tel

sur

of

dir

MICROMETERS.

point, and in one direction, viz., north, following, south, preceding ; so that 0° position corresponds to the situation of an object exactly north of that assumed as a centre of reference, _90° to a situation exactly eastward, or following; 180° exactly south; and 270° exactly west, or preceding in the order of diurnal movement. When the relative position of two stars, very near to each other, so as to be seen at once in the same field of view, is to be determined in this way, especially if they be of unequal magnitudes, the best form of the instrument consists, not in a single thin wire to be placed centrally across both the stars, but in two thick parallel wires, between which both stars are brought under inspection in a symmetrical situation, by which arrangement the parallelism of the line joining their centers with the direction of the wires can be very much more accurately judged of. It gives great advantage, moreover, to the precision of such a judgment, if the position of the observer be such as to bring the principal section of his eye (that which in his upright position is vertical) into parallelism with the wires.

(204 a.) To see the fiducial threads or wires of an eyepiece or micrometer in a dark night is impossible without introducing some artificial light into the telescope, so as either to illuminate the field of view, leaving the threads dark, or vice verså. To illuminate the field, the light of a lamp is introduced by a lateral opening into the tube of the telescope, and dispersed by reflexion on a white unpolished surface, so arranged as not to intercept any part of the cone of ray going to form the image. For illuminating the wires, direct lamp light is thrown on them from the side towards the eye; the superfluous rays being stifled by falling on a black internal coating, or suffered to pass out to the tube through an opposite aperture opening into a dark chamber.

(204 b.) When the wires are seen dark on an illuminated field, the colour of the illuminating light is of great importance. As a matter of experience, it is certain that a red illumination affords a far sharper and clearer view of the wires than any other.

(204 c.) For observing the sun, darkening glasses are neces-

ust be plane otation ations of 10' rough 3 will a deangu-Now, cone discs n will other on of netric very be exdiscs llow-

hread otion, plane o den the its of near eable may id its mall ch a quaonds sent gles one

hig

the

by

for

mi

the gla

ide

ord

fac

be

con

the

unt

figu

rea

jus

the

pen

(A)

fixe

tur

wir

and

and

con

pla

am

in :

axi

and

col

thu

me ve

the

au

In this case red glasses are inappropriate, because sary. they transmit the solar heat freely, by which the eye would be seriously injured, and even when very deep tinted, render prolonged inspection intolerably painful. Green glasses are free from this objection. Both the light and heat of the sun, however, may be subdued by reflexion at glass surfaces, the light returned by regular reflexion on glass being only about 21 per cent. of that incident on it. A reflecting telescope specifically adapted for viewing the sun may be constructed by making the specula of glass, the object mirror having the form of a double concave lens, whose anterior surface (that producing the image) is worked into a paraboloid of the proper focal length, and the posterior to a sphere of considerably greater curvature to transmit and disperse outwards the refracted rays into the open air behind (for which purpose the telescope should be open at both ends) and to so weaken those reflected by dispersing them as not to interfere with the distinctness of the image. Neither the quality of the glass, nor accuracy of figure in the posterior surface is of any importance to the good performance of such a reflector.* Should the light be not sufficiently enfectled by the first reflexion, it may be still further reduced (to about 1-900th part of its original intensity) by making the small speculum of glass also in the form of a prism; the reflection being performed on one of its exterior surfaces, and the refracted portion being turned away and thrown out at the other.

(204 d.) Advantage may be also taken (as in Sir D. Brewster's polarizing eye-piece) of the properties of polarized light, which may be diminished in any required degree by partial reflexion in a plane at right angles to that of its first oblique reflexion. Or without polarization, the light may be enfeebled by successive reflexions between parallel surfaces to any extent.

(204 e.) When the object in view is to scrutinize, under

^{*} I would take this opportunity earnestly to recommend the construction of a *helioscope* on this principle, first propounded and more fully described in my Cape observations (p. 436) to the attention of the practical optician. (Author.)

COLLIMATION OF REFLECTING TELESCOPES. 127

high magnifying powers, minute portions of the solar disc; the light and heat of the general surface may be intercepted by a metallic screen placed in the focus where the image is formed, and pierced with a very small hole, allowing that minute portion only to pass through and be examined with the eye-piece; the observer being thus defended from the glare. By this arrangement, Mr. Dawes, to whom the idea is due, has been enabled to observe some very extraordinary peculiarities in the constitution of the sun's surface, discernible in no other way, an account of which will be found in their proper place.

(204 f.) Since the use of large reflectors has become common among astronomers, the necessity of supporting the ponderous masses of their specula without constraint or undue pressure in any direction (which would distort the figure of their polished surfaces), renders the use of some ready method of verifying, from instant to instant, the adjustment of their lines of collimation (or the optical axis of the reflectors), and of readjusting it, when shifted, indis-For this purpose, a small collimating telescope pensable. (Art. 178.), illuminated by reflexion from a lamp outside, is fixed within the tube of the reflector, its object-end being turned towards the speculum. Upon the image of the crosswires of this telescope formed in the focus of the reflector, and seen through its eye-piece as a real object, the transits and altitudes of celestial objects may be observed as if it consisted of actual wires; for these, it is manifest, if once placed so as to bisect a star, will continue to do so, whatever amount of tilting the reflector might be subjected to, either in a lateral or vertical plane. The rays from the star and the axis of the collimator remaining parallel, the latter axis, and not that of the reflector, becomes in fact the real line of collimation or optic axis of the instrument, when objects are thus directly referred to it. Should convenience of micrometric measurement, or the observation of faint objects in a very feebly illuminated field, preclude such direct reference, the position of the speculum must from time to time be examined, and if faulty, readjusted by bringing the micrometer

because would , render ses are he sun, ces, the y about lescope tructed ing the e (that of the consie outwhich d to so to iner the sterior of such cebled ed (to ng the he rees, and out at

ir D. arized legree of its light arallel

under

ion of a in my uthor.) wires to coincidence with the image of those of the collimator by an appropriate mechanism communicating the requisite small amount of movement to the speculum in its cell.*

• See Phil. Trans. 1833, pp. 448-9, where this application of the collimating \bar{j} rinciple used by the author since 1833, is first described. See also "Results of astronomical observation at the Cape of Good Hope," preface, p. xiv. It is to be presumed that Mr. Stoney in bringing before the British Association in 1856, this application of the collimating principle as a novelty has been unaware of this its prior use since he has not alluded to it. The direct reference of objects to the collimating eross, described in the text, would seem to have been overlooked by him. These remarks apply to the report of the contents of his paper published in the "Athenœum" of August 50th, 1856.

OF

F

D T

C/ GI C(JE B/

(20) pravapp par from situ seq heat the diff res the to ; an of

> des her and clin tie we

OF GEOGRAPHY.

llimauisite

imating Results It is ition in naware ence of ve been s of his

CHAPTER IV.

OF GEOGRAPHY.

OF THE FIGURE OF THE EARTH. — ITS EXACT DIMENSIONS. — ITS FORM THAT OF EQUILIBRIUM MODIFIED BY CENTRIFUGAL FORCE. — VARIATION OF GRAVITY ON ITS SURFACE. — STATICAL AND DYNAMICAL MEASURES OF GRAVITY. — THE PENDULUM. — GRAVITY TO A SPHEROID. — OTHER EFFECTS OF THE EARTH'S ROTATION. — TRADE WINDS. — VEERING OF THE WINDS. — CYCLONES. — FOU-CAULT'S PENDULUM. — THE GYROSCOPE. — DETERMINATION OF GEOGRAPHICAL POSITIONS. — OF LATITUDES. — OF LONGITUDES. — CONDUCT OF A TRIGONOMETRICAL SURVEY. — OF MAPS. — PRO-JECTIONS OF THE SPHERE. — MEASUREMENT OF HEIGHTS BY THE BAROMETER.

(205.) GEOGRAPHY is not only the most important of the practical branches of knowledge to which astronomy is applied, but it is also, theoretically speaking, an essential part of the latter science. The earth being the general station from which we view the heavens, a knowledge of the local situation of particular stations on its surface is of great consequence, when we come to inquire the distances of the nearer heavenly bodies from us, as concluded from observations of their parallax as well as on all other occasions, where a difference of locality can be supposed to influence astronomical results. We propose, therefore, in this chapter, to explain the principles, by which astronomical observation is applied to geographical determinations, and to give at the same time an outline of geography so far as it is to be considered a part of astronomy.

(206.) Geography, as the word imports, is a delineation or description of the earth. In its widest sense, this comprehends not only the delineation of the form of its continents and seas, its rivers and mountains, but their physical condition, climates, and products, and their appropriation by communities of men. With physical and political geography, however, we have no concern here. Astronomical geography has for

its objects the exact knowledge of the form and dimensions of the earth, the parts of its surface occupied by sea and land, and the configuration of the surface of the latter, regarded as protuberant above the ocean, and broken into the various forms of mountain, table land, and valley; neither should the form of the bed of the ocean, regarded as a continuation of the surface of the land beneath the water, be left out of consideration: we know, it is true, very little of it; but this is an ignorance rather to be lamented, and, if possible, remedied, than acquiesced in, inasmuch as there are many very important branches of inquiry which would be greatly advanced by a better acquaintance with it.

(207.) With regard to the figure of the earth as a whole, we have already shown that, speaking loosely, it may be regarded as spherical; but the reader who has duly appreciated the remarks in art. 22. will not be at a loss to perceive that this result, concluded from observations not susceptible of much exactness, and embracing very small portions of the surface at once, can only be regarded as a first approximation, and may require to be materially modified by entering into minutiæ before neglected, or by increasing the delicacy of our observations, or by including in their extent larger areas of its surface. For instance, if it should turn out (as it will), on minuter inquiry, that the true figure is somewhat elliptical, or flattened, in the manner of an orange, having the diameter which coincides with the axis about $\frac{1}{300}$ th part shorter than the diameter of its equatorial circle; — this is so triffing a deviation from the spherical form that, if a model of such proportions were turned in wood, and laid before us on a table, the nicest eye or hand would not detect the flattening, since the difference of diameters, in a globe of fifteen inches, would amount only to to the of an inch. In all common parlance, and for all ordinary purposes, then, it would still be called a globe; while, nevertheless, by careful measurement, the difference would not fail to be noticed; and, speaking strictly, it would be termed, not a globe, but an oblate ellipsoid, or spheroid, which is the name appropriated by geometers to the form above described.

den fori sup sigh of c devi conc expl men (2 far c

cir

hoi

be

a ki to it our vast geon from to c This were ence 3.14 lengt as a i know cumf the e part; lengt and d natio inche (21)

all du

FIGURE OF THE EARTH.

sions land, ed as rious d the on of conhis is edied, y imanced

vhole, iy be ciated e that ble of of the ation, g into of our eas of will), ellipig the horter rifling f such s on a ening, inches, mmon still be ement, eaking oblate ed by (208.) The sections of such a figure by a plane are not circles, but ellipses; so that, on such a shaped earth, the horizon of a spectator would nowhere (except at the poles) be exactly circular, but somewhat elliptical. It is easy to demonstrate, however, that its deviation from the circular form, arising from so very slight an "*ellipticity*" as above supposed, would be quite imperceptible, not only to our eyesight, but to the test of the dip-sector; so that by that mode of observation we should never be led to notice so small a deviation from perfect sphericity. How we are led to this conclusion, as a practical result, will appear, when we have explained the means of determining with accuracy the dimensions of the whole, or any part of the earth.

(209.) As we cannot grasp the earth, nor recede from it far enough to view it at once as a whole, and compare it with a known standard of measure in any degree commensurate to its own size, but can only creep about upon it, and apply our diminutive measures to comparatively small parts of its vast surface in succession, it becomes necessary to supply, by geometrical reasoning, the defect of our physical powers, and from a delicate and careful measurement of such small parts to conclude the form and dimensions of the whole mass. This would present little difficulty, if we were sure the earth were strictly a sphere, for the proportion of the circumference of a circle to its diameter being known (viz. that of 3.1415926 to 1.0000000), we have only to ascertain the length of the entire circumference of any great circle, such as a meridian, in miles, feet, or any other standard units, to know the diameter in units of the same kind. Now, the circumference of the whole circle is known as soon as we know the exact length of any aliquot part of it, such as 1° or $\frac{1}{360}$ th part; and this, being not more than about seventy miles in length, is not beyond the limits of very exact measurement, and could, in fact, be measured (if we knew its exact termination at each extremity) within a very few feet, or, indeed, inches, by methods presently to be particularized.

(210.) Supposing, then, we were to begin measuring with all due nicety from any station, in the exact direction of a

meridian, and go measuring on, till by some indication we were informed that we had accomplished an exact degree from the point we set out from, our problem would then be at once resolved. It only remains, therefore, to inquire by what indications we can be sure, 1st, that we have advanced an exact degree; and, 2dly, that we have been measuring in the exact direction of a great circle.

S

a ir

tl

oj m

36

di

m

wi

un

mo

ave

to wh

am

ver

qua

No

rais

wh

(fig

thre

sou

lati

mu

can

met

rem

ma

can

tion

(

(211.) Now, the earth has no landmarks on it to indicate degrees, nor traces inscribed on its surface to guide us in such a course. The compass, though it affords a tolerable guide to the mariner or the traveller, is far too uncertain in its indications, and too little known in its laws, to be of any use in such an operation. We must, therefore, look outwards, and refer our situation on the surface of our globe to natural marks, external to it, and which are of equal permanence and stability with the earth itself. Such marks are afforded by the stars. By observations of their meridian altitudes, performed at any station, and from their known polar distances, we conclude the height of the pole; and since the altitude of the pole is equal to the latitude of the place (art. 119.), the same observations give the latitudes of any stations where we may establish the requisite instruments. When our latitude, then, is found to have diminished a degree, we know that, provided we have kept to the meridian, we have described one three hundred and sixtieth part of the earth's circumference.

(212.) The direction of the meridian may be secured at every instant by the observations described in art. 162. 188.; and although local difficulties may oblige us to deviate in our measurement from this exact direction, yet if we keep a strict account of the amount of this deviation, a very simple calculation will enable us to *reduce* our observed measure to its *meridional* value.

(213.) Such is the principle of that most important geographical operation, the measurement of an arc of the meridian. In its detail, however, a somewhat modified course must be followed. An observatory cannot be mounted and dismounted at every step; so that we cannot identify and measure an exact degree *neither more nor less*. But this is

FIGURE OF THE EARTH.

tion we ee from at once y what iced an in the

indicate in such e guide 1 in its any use itwards, natural nce and rded by es, perstances, itnde of .9.), the here we atitude, w that, bed one erence. ured at 2. 188.; in our a strict e calcuto its

nt geoe mericourse ed and ify and this is of no consequence, provided we know with equal precision how much, more or less, we have measured. In place, then, of measuring this precise aliquot part, we take the more convenient method of measuring from one good observing station to another, *about* a degree, or two or three degrees, as the case may be, or indeed any determinate angular interval apart, and determining by astronomical observation the precise difference of latitudes between the stations.

(214.) Again, it is of great consequence to avoid in this operation every source of uncertainty, because an error committed in the length of a single degree will be multiplied 360 times in the circumference, and nearly 115 times in the diameter of the earth concluded from it. Any error which may affect the astronomical determination of a star's altitude will be especially influential. Now, there is still too much uncertainty and fluctuation in the amount of refraction at moderate altitudes, not to make it especially desirable to avoid this source of error. To effect this, we take care to select for observation, at the extreme stations, some star which passes through or near the zeniths of both. The amount of refraction, within a few degrees of the zenith, is very small, and its fluctuations and uncertainty, in point of quantity, so excessively minute as to be utterly inappretiable. Now, it is the same thing whether we observe the pole to be raised or depressed a degree, or the zenith distance of a star when on the meridian to have changed by the same quantity (fig. art. 128.). If at one station we observe any star to pass through the zenith, and at the other to pass one degree south or north of the zenith, we are sure that the geographical latitudes, or the altitudes of the pole at the two stations, must differ by the same amount.

(215.) Granting that the terminal points of one degree can be ascertained, its *length* may be measured by the methods which will be presently described, as we have before remarked, to within a very few feet. Now, the error which may be committed in fixing each of these terminal points cannot exceed that which may be committed in the observation of the zenith distance of a star properly situated for the

кз

purpose in question. This error, with proper care, can hardly exceed half a second. Supposing we grant the possibility of ten feet of error in the length of each degree in a measured arc of five degrees, and of half a second in each of the zenith distances of one star, observed at the northern and southern stations, and, lastly, suppose all these errors to conspire, so as to tend all of them to give a result greater, or all less, than the truth, it will appear, by a very easy proportion, that the whole amount of error which would be thus entailed on an estimate of the earth's diameter, as concluded from such a measure, would not exceed 1147 yards, or about two thirds of a mile, and this is ample allowance.

(216.) This, however, supposes that the form of the earth is that of a perfect sphere, and, in consequence, the lengths of its degrees in all parts precisely equal. But, when we come to compare the measures of meridional arcs made in various parts of the globe, the results obtained, although they agree sufficiently to show that the supposition of a spherical figure is not very remote from the truth, yet exhibit discordances far greater than what we have shown to be attributable to error of observation, and which render it evident that the hypothesis, in strictness of its wording, is untenable. The following table exhibits the lengths of arcs of the meridian (astronomically determined as above described), expressed in British standard feet, as resulting from actual measurement made with all possible care and precision, by commissioners of various nations, men of the first eminence, supplied by their respective governments with the best instruments, and furnished with every facility which could tend to ensure a successful result of their important labours. The lengths of the degrees in the last column are derived from the numbers set down in the two preceding ones by simple proportion, a method not quite exact when the arcs are large, but sufficiently so for our purpose.

In colu crea least form (2 smoo near assis that direc

Sw Ru Ru Pr De He En Fr

Ro

An Ind

Ind

Per Ca

Ca

follow Swed Swed Russi Russi Pruss Denn Hano Engli

Franc

FIGURE OF THE EARTH.

Mean Length of the Degree at the Mid-dle Lati-Measured Latitude of Middle of Arc. Arc Country. Length in Feet. measured. tude in Feet. +660 20/ 10//.0 10 37/ 19/1.6 Sweden*, A B --593277 365744 - + 66 19 37 0 57 30.4 351832 367086 Sweden, A - + 58 17 37 3 35 5.2 Russia, A 1309742 365368 +563 55.5 8 2 28.9 2937439 365291 Russia, B -. +54 58 26.0 1 30 29.0 Prussia, B --551073 365420 Denmark, B -- + 54 8 13.7 1 31 53.3 559121 365087 Hanover, A B -- + 52 32 16.6 0 57.4 736425 365300 2 - + 52 35 45 3 57 13.1 England, A 1442953 364971 -England, B +522 19.4 2 50 23.5 -1036409 364951 . 8 20 0.3 +46 52 2 France, A --3040605 364872 France, A B -+44 51 2.5 12 22 12.7 4509832 364572 -Rome, A --+42 59 2 9 47 787919 364262 + 39 12 ----1 28 45.0 America, A . -538100 363786 +168 21.5 15 57 40.7 India, A B -5794598 36:3044 +12 32 20.8 1 34 56.4 India, A B 574518 362956 • Peru, A B - 1 31 0.4 3 7 3.5 1131050 363626 Cape of Good Hope, A -33 18 30 1 13 17.5 445506 364713 -35 43 20.0 3 34 34.7 Cape of Good Hope, B 1301993 364060

It is evident from a mere inspection of the second and fifth columns of this table, that the measured length of a degree increases with the latitude, being greatest near the poles, and least near the equator. Let us now consider what interpretation is to be put upon this conclusion, as regards the form of the earth.

(217.) Suppose we held in our hands a model of the earth smoothly turned in wood, it would be, as already observed, so nearly spherical, that neither by the eye nor the touch, unassisted by instruments, could we detect any deviation from that form. Suppose, too, we were debarred from measuring directly across from surface to surface in different directions

• The astronomers by whom these measurements were executed were as follows : ---

Sweden, A B — Svanberg. Sweden, A — Maupertuis. Russia, A — Struve, Russia, B — Struve, Tenner. Prussia — Bessel, Bayer. Denmark — Schumacher. Hanover — Gauss. England — Roy, Kater. France, A — Lacaille, Cassini.

~

France, A B — Delambre, Mechain. Rome — Boscovich. America — Mason and Dixon. India, 1st — Lambton. India, 2d — Lambton, Everest. Peru — Lacondamine, Bouguer. Cape of Good Hope, A — Lacaille. Cape of Good Hope, B — Maclear. — Astr. Nachr. 574.

к4

135

e, can possiee in a n each rthern cors to ter, or y proe thus cluded about

earth e engths en we ide in hough ofa xhibit to be der it ng, is f arcs ve der from cision, t emie best could bours. erived nes by e arcs with any instrument, by which we might at once ascertain whether one diameter were longer than another; how, then, we may ask, are we to ascertain whether it is a true sphere or not? It is clear that we have no resource, but to endeavour to discover, by some nicer means than simple inspection or feeling, whether the convexity of its surface is the same in every part; and if not, where it is greatest, and where least.

Suppose, then, a thin plate of metal to be cut into a concavity at its edge, so as exactly to fit the surface at A: let this now be removed from A, and applied successively to several other parts of the surface, taking care to keep its plane always on a great circle



of the globe, as here represented. If, then, we find any position, B, in which the light can enter in the middle between the globe and plate, or any other, C, where the latter tilts by pressure, or admits the light under its edges, we are sure that the *curvature* of the surface at B is less, and at C greater, than at A.

(218.) What we here do by the application of a metal plate of determinate length and curvature, we do on the earth by the measurement of a degree of variation in the altitude of the pole. Curvature of a surface is nothing but the continual deflection of its tangent from one fixed direction as we advance along it. When, in the same measured distance of advance we find the tangent (which answers to our horizon) to have shifted its position with respect to a fixed direction in space, (such as the axis of the heavens, or the line joining the earth's centre and some given star,) more in one part of the earth's meridian than in another, we conclude, of necessity, that the curvature of the surface at the former spot is greater than at the latter; and vice versâ, when, in order to produce the same change of horizon with respect to the pole (suppose 1°) we require to travel over a longer measured space at one point than at another, we assign to that point a less curvat seci wai sph san (ear

eacl to c as r men dire of equ resp sequ and poi one vili circ The and rad

FIGURE OF THE EARTH.

vature. Hence we conclude that the curvature of a meridional section of the earth is sensibly greater at the equator than towards the poles; or, in other words, that the earth is not spherical, but *flattened* at the poles, or, which comes to the same, protuberant at the equator.

(219.) Let NABDE Frepresent a meridional section of the earth, C its centre, and NA, BD, GE, arcs of a meridian,



each corresponding to one degree of difference of latitude, or to one degree of variation in the meridian altitude of a star, as referred to the horizon of a spectator travelling along the meridian. Let n N, a A, b B, d D, g G, e E, be the respective directions of the *plumb-line* at the stations N, A, B, D, G, E, of which we will suppose N to be at the pole and E at the equator; then will the tangents to the surface at these points respectively be perpendicular to these directions; and, consequently, if each pair, viz. n N and a A, b B and d D, g G and e E, be prolonged till they intersect each other (at the points x, y, z), the angles N x A, B y D, G z E, will each be one degree, and, therefore, all equal; so that the small curvilinear arcs NA, BD, GE, may be regarded as arcs of circles of one degree each, described about x, y, z, as centres. These are what in geometry are called centres of curvature, and the radii x N or x A, y B or y D, z G or z E, represent radii of curvature, by which the curvatures at those points

ertain then, ere or avour on or me in least.



d any le belatter es, we s, and

plate th by ide of tinual e adice of rizon) ection ining art of ssity, reater oduce (supice at cur-

are determined and measured. Now, as the arcs of different circles, which subtend equal angles at their respective centres, are in the direct proportion of their radii, and as the arc N A is greater than B D, and that again than G E, it follows that the radius N x must be greater than B y, and B y than E z. Thus it appears that the mutual intersections of the plumb-lines will not, as in the sphere, all coincide in one point C, the center, but will be arranged along a certain curve, x y z (which will be rendered more evident by considering a number of intermediate stations). To this curve geometers have given the name of the *evolute* of the curve N A B D G E, from whose centers of curvature it is constructed.

(220). In the flattening of a round figure at two opposite points, and its protuberance at points rectangularly situated to the former, we recognize the distinguishing feature of the elliptic form. Accordingly, the next and simplest supposition that we can make respecting the nature of the noridian, since it is proved not to be a circle, is, that it is an ellipse, or nearly so, having NS, the axis of the earth, for its shorter, and EF, the equatorial diameter, for its longer axis; and that the form of the earth's surface is that which would arise from making such a curve revolve about its shorter axis NS. This agrees well with the general course of the increase of the degree in going from the equator to the pole. In the ellipse, the radius of curvature at E, the extremity of the longer axis is the least, and at that of the shorter axis, the greatest it admits, and the form of its evolute agrees with that here represented.* Assuming, then, that it is an ellipse, the geometrical properties of that curve enable us to assign the proportion between the lengths of its axes which shall correspond to any proposed rate of variation in its curvature, as well as to fix upon their absolute lengths, corresponding to any assigned length of the degree in a given latitude. Without troubling the reader with the investigation, (which may be found in any work on the conic sections,) it will be suf-

• The dotted lines are the portions of the evolute belonging to the other quadrants,

ficie mos hav that B in sphe

Gi Le Di Pr

T of N

> Ec Po Po Pr

> > T

13 :

arc Carl caus mou emp near insp the mins

has neou and of M but (2 we J

† 1 ‡ I slight

DIMENSIONS OF THE EARTH.

ficient to state the results which have been arrived at by the most systematic combinations of the measured arcs which have hitherto been made by geometers. The most recent is that of Bessel*, who by a combination of the ten arcs, marked B in our table, has concluded the dimensions of the terrestrial spheroid to be as follows: ---

			Feet.		Miles.
Greater or equatorial diameter	•	=	41,847,192	=	7925.604
Lesser or polar diameter	-	=	41,707,314	-	7899.114
Difference of diameters, or polar compress	ion	=	139,768	=	26.471
Proportion of diameters as 299.15 to 298.	15.				

The other combination whose results we shall state, is that of Mr. Airy †, who concludes as follows: ----

							reet.		Miles.
Equatorial diameter	•	-	-	-	•	= 41	,847,426	-	7925-648
Polar diameter -	-	-	-	-	-	= 41	,707,620	=	7899.170
Polar compression	-	-	-	-	-	-	139,806	-	26.478
Proportion of diamete	rs as	299 :	3 3 t o	298.3	3.				

These conclusions are based on the consideration of those 13 arcs, to which the letter A is annexed ‡, and of one other arc of 1° 7' 31".1, measured in Piedmont by Plana and Carlini, whose discordance with the rest, owing to local causes hereafter to be explained, arising from the exceedingly mountainous nature of the country, render the propriety of so employing it very doubtful. Be that as it may, the strikingly near accordance of the two sets of dimensions is such as to inspire the greatest confidence in both. The measurement at the Cape of Good Hope by Lacaille, also used in this determination, has always been regarded as unsatisfactory, and has recently been demonstrated by Mr. Maclear to be erroneous to a considerable extent. The omission of the former, and the substitution for the latter, of the far preferable result of Mr. Maclear's second measurement would induce, however, but a trifling change in the final result.

(221.) Thus we see that the rough diameter of 8000 miles we have hitherto used, is rather too great, the excess being

erent cenle arc llows than f the 1 one ertain concurve curve con-

posite uated f the sition idian, se, or orter, ; and arise NS. ise of n the f the s, the ı that e, the n the corre, as ng to Witha may e suf-

e other

Schumacher's Astronomische Nachrichten, Nos. 333, 334, 335, 438. (1841).

[†] Encyclopædia Metropolitana, "Figure of the Earth" (1831).
‡ In those which have both A and B, the numbers used by Mr. Airy differ slightly from Bessel's, which are those we have preferred.

about 100 miles, or $\frac{1}{80}$ th part. As convenient numbers to remember, the reader may bear in mind, that in our latitude there are just as many thousands of feet in a degree of the meridian as there are days in the year (365): that, speaking loosely, a degree is about 70 British statute miles, and a second about 100 feet; that the equatorial circumference of the earth is a little less than 25,000 miles (24,899), and the ellipticity or polar flattening amounts to one 300th part of the diameter.

(222.) The two sets of results above stated are placed in juxtaposition, and the particulars given more in detail than may at first sight appear consonant, either with the general plan of this work, or the state of the reader's presumed acquaintance with the subject. But it is of importance that he should early be made to see how, in astronomy, results in admirable concordance emerge from data accumulated from totally different quarters, and how local and accidental irregularities in the data themselves become neutralized and obliterated by their impartial geometrical treatment. In the cases before us, the modes of calculation followed are widely different, and in each the mass of figures to be gone through to arrive at the result, enormous.

(223.) The supposition of an elliptic form of the earth's section through the axis is recommended by its simplicity, and confirmed by comparing the numerical results we have just set down with those of actual measurement. When this comparison is executed, discordances, it is true, are observed, which, although still too great to be referred to error of measurement, are yet so small, compared to the errors which would result from the spherical hypothesis, as completely to justify our regarding the earth as an ellipsoid, and referring the observed deviations to either local or, if general, to comparatively small causes. [For § (223 a) see Note D].

(224.) Now, it is highly satisfactory to find that the general elliptical figure thus *practically* proved to exist, is precisely what *ought theoretically* to result from the rotation of the earth on its axis. For, let us suppose the earth a sphere, at rest, of uniform materials throughout, and externally covered

circ and one teri arou pola exis tori wat Hoy liqu if it ther hem mea all l equa elev the to l that pow have In (libri inte regi is n such nom ther can (2 whin the Λs but

with
FIGURE OF THE EARTH.

with an ocean of equal depth in every part. Under such circumstances it would obviously be in a state of equilibrium; and the water on its surface would have no tendency to run one way or the other. Suppose, now, a quantity of its materials were taken from the polar regions, and piled up all around the equator, so as to produce that difference of the polar and equatorial diameters of 26 miles which we know to exist. It is not less evident that a mountain ridge or equatorial continent, only, would be thus formed, down which the water would run into the excavated part at the poles. However solid matter might rest where it was placed, the liquid part, at least, would not remain there, any more than if it were thrown on the side of a hill. The consequence therefore, would be the formation of two great polar seas, hemmed in all round by equatorial land. Now, this is by no means the case in nature. The ocean occupies, indifferently, all latitudes, with no more partiality to the polar than to the equatorial. Since, then, as we see, the water occupies an elevation above the centre no less than 13 miles greater at the equator than at the poles, and yet manifests no tendency to leave the former and run towards the latter, it is evident that it must be *retained* in that situation by some adequate power. No such power, however, would exist in the case we have supposed, which is therefore not conformable to nature. In other words, the spherical form is not the figure of equi*librium* ; and *therefore* the earth is either not at rest, or is so internally constituted as to *attract* the water to its equatorial regions, and retain it there. For the latter supposition there is no primâ facie probability, nor any analogy to lead us to such an idea. The former is in accordance with all the phenomena of the apparent diurnal motion of the heavens; and therefore, if it will furnish us with the *power* in question, we can have no hesitation in adopting it as the true one.

(225.) Now, every body knows that when a weight is whirled round, it acquires thereby a tendency to recede from the centre of its motion; which is called the centrifugal force. A stone whirled round in a sling is a common illustration; but a better, for our present purpose, will be a pail of water,

ers to titude of the eaking and a ence of nd the part of

ced in l than eeneral ed achat he in adfrom tal ird and In the widely urough

earth's blicity, e have en this erved, f meawhich tely to ferring o com-

geneecisely of the ere, at overed

suspended by a cord, and made to *spin round*, while the cord hangs perpendicularly. The surface of the water, instead of

remaining horizontal, will become concave, as in the figure. The centrifugal force generates a tendency in all the water to leave the axis, and press towards the circumference; it is, therefore, urged against the pail, and forced up its sides, till the excess of height, and consequent increase of presure downwards, just counterbalances its centrifugal force, and a state of equilibrium is attained. The experiment is a very easy and instructive one, and is admirably calcalculated to show how the form of equilibrium accommodates itself to varying circumstances. If, for example, we allow the rotation to cease by degrees, as it becomes slower we shall see the concavity of the water regularly diminish; the elevated out-

ward portion will descend, and the depressed central rise, while all the time a perfectly *smooth* surface is maintained, till the rotation is exhausted, when the water resumes its horizontal state.

(226.) Suppose, then, a globe, of the size of the earth, at rest, and covered with a uniform ocean, were to be set in rotation about a certain axis, at first very slowly, but by degrees more rapidly, till it turned round once in twenty-four hours; a centrifugal force would be thus generated, whose general tendency would be to urge the water at every point of the surface to *recede* from the *axis*. A rotation might, indeed, be conceived so swift as to *flirt* the whole ocean from the surface, like water from a mop. But this would require a far greater velocity than what we now speak of. In the case supposed, the *weight* of the water would still keep it on the earth; and the tendency to recede from the axis could only be satisfied, therefore, by the water leaving the poles, and flowing towards the equator; there heaping itself up in a ridge, just as the water in our pail accumulates against the



sid

tei

T

po

ra

the

net

rar

dis

im

haj

nat

it d

in

fact

all

bec

sub

sub

toge

but

who

mu

laps

be (

up

surf

of e

of 1

tine

tra

be a

pola

app

deep

we

Ç

FIGURE OF THE EARTH.

ead of



l rise,

nined, es its

th, at in rogrees ours; neral f the deed, n the ire a case i the only and in a side; and being retained in opposition to its weight, or natural tendency towards the centre, by the pressure thus caused. This, however, could not take place without laying dry the polar portions of the land in the form of immensely protuberant continents; and the difference of our supposed cases, therefore, is this:—in the former, a great equatorial continent and polar seas would be formed; in the latter, protuberant land vould appear at the poles, and a zone of ocean be disposed around the equator. This would be the first or immediate effect. Let us now see what would afterwards happen, in the two cases, if things were allowed to take their natural course.

(227.) The sea is constantly beating on the land, grinding it down, and scattering its worn off particles and fragments, in the state of mud and pebbles, over its bed. Geological facts afford abundant proof that the existing continents have all of them undergone this process, even more than once, and been entirely torn in fragments, or reduced to powder, and submerged and reconstructed. Land, in this view of the subject, loses its attribute of fixity. As a mass it might hold together in opposition to forces which the water freely obeys; but in its state of successive or simultaneous degradation, when disseminated through the water, in the state of sand or mud, it is subject to all the impulses of that fluid. In the lapse of time, then, the protuberant land in both cases would be destroyed, and spread over the bottom of the ocean, filling up the lower parts, and tending continually to remodel the surface of the solid nucleus, in correspondence with the form of equilibrium in both cases. Thus, after a sufficient lapse of time, in the case of an earth at rest, the equatorial continend, thus foreibly constructed, would again be levelled and transferred to the polar excavations, and the spherical figure be so at length restored. In that of an earth in rotation, the polar protuberances would gradually be cut down and disappear, being transferred to the equator (as being then the deepest sea), till the earth would assume by degrees the form we observe it to have — that of a flattened or *oblate* ellipsoid. (228.) We are far from meaning here to trace the pro-

cess by which the earth really assumed its actual form; all we intend is, to show that this is the form to which, under the conditions of a rotation on its axis, it must *tend*; and which it would attain, even if originally and (so to speak) perversely constituted otherwise.

(229.) But, further, the dimensions of the earth and the time of its rotation being known, it is easy thence to calculate the exact amount of the centrifugal force *, which, at the equator, appears to be $\frac{1}{289}$ th part of the force or weight by which all bodies, whether solid or liquid, tend to fall towards the earth. By this fraction of its weight, then, the sea at the equator is lightened, and thereby rendered susceptible of being supported on a higher level, or more remote from the centre than at the poles, where no such counteracting force exists; and where, in consequence, the water may be considered as specifically heavier. Taking this principle as a guide, and combining it with the laws of gravity (as developed by Newton, and as hereafter to be more fully explained), mathcmaticians have been enabled to investigate, à priori, what would be the figure of equilibrium of such a body, constituted internally as we have reason to believe the earth to be; covered wholly or partially with a fluid; and revolving uniformly in twenty-four hours; and the result of this inquiry is found to agree very satisfactorily with what experience shows to be the case. From their investigations it appears that the form of equilibrium is, in fact, no other than an oblate ellipsoid, of a degree of ellipticity very nearly identical with what is observed, and which would be no doubt accurately so, did we know, with precision, the internal constitution and materials of the earth.

(230.) The confirmation thus incidentally furnished, of the hypothesis of the earth's rotation on its axis, cannot fail to strike the reader. A deviation of its figure from that of a sphere was not contemplated among the original reasons for adopting that hypothesis, which was assumed solely on account of the casy explanation it offers of the apparent diurnal motion of the heavens. Yet we see that, once admitted,

Newton's Principia, iii, Prop. 19.

it dr mark could the e strate amou ment our s ing a seque entiro Newt inscru pheno (23)menti ject. must g which the we with it Now, tually ward 1 success made v the glo progres the inc its pro amoun the equ matter, crease : square (232)by spea differen

VARIATION OF TERRESTRIAL GRAVITY. 145

it draws with it, as a necessary consequence, this other remarkable phenomenon, of which no other satisfactory account could be rendered. Indeed, so direct is their connection, that the ellipticity of the earth's figure was discovered and demonstrated by Newton to be a consequence of its rotation, and its amount actually calculated by him, long before any measurement had suggested such a conclusion. As we advance with our subject, we shall find the same simple principle branching out into a whole train of singular and important consequences, some obvious enough, others which at first seem entirely unconnected with it, and which, until traced by Newton up to this their origin, had ranked among the most inscrutable arcana of astronomy, as well as among its grandest phenomena.

(231.) Of its more obvious consequences, we may here mention one which falls naturally within our present subjeet. If the earth really revolve on its axis, this rotation must generate a centrifugal force (see art. 225.), the effect of which must of course be to counteract a certain portion of the weight of every body situated at the equator, as compared with its weight at the poles, or in any intermediate latitudes. Now, this is fully confirmed by experience. There is actually observed to exist a difference in the gravity, or downward tendency, of one and the same body, when conveyed successively to stations in different latitudes. Experiments made with the greatest care, and in every accessible part of the globe, have fully demonstrated the fact of a regular and progressive increase in the weights of bodies corresponding to the increase of latitude, and fixed its amount and the law of its progression. From these it appears, that the extreme amount of this variation of gravity, or the difference between the equatorial and polar weights of one and the same mass of matter, is 1 part in 194 of its whole weight, the rate of increase in travelling from the equator to the pole being as the square of the sine of the latitude.

(232.) The reader will here naturally inquire, what is meant by speaking of the same body as having different weights at different stations; and, how such a fact, if true, can be as-

L

; all inder and ocak)

d the ulate t the it by wards it the being entre xists; red as , and ed by nathcwhat ituted o be; g uniquiry rience rs that oblate l with ely so, n and

of the fail to t of a ns for on aciurnal nitted,

certained. When we weigh a body by a balance or a steelyard we do but counteract its weight by the equal weight of another body under the very same circumstances; and if both the body weighed and its counterpoise be removed to another station, their gravity, if changed at all, will be changed equally, so that they will still continue to counterbalance each other. A difference in the intensity of gravity could, therefore, never be detected by these means; nor is it in *this* sense that we assert that a body weighing 194 pounds at the equator will weigh 195 at the pole. If counterbalanced in a scale or steelyard at the former station, an additional pound placed in one or other scale at the latter would inevitably sink the beam.

(233.) The meaning of the proposition may be thus explained: — Conceive a weight x suspended at the equator by

a string without weight passing over a pulley, A, and conducted (supposing such a thing possible) over other pulleys, such as B_s round the earth's convexity, till the other end hung down at the pole, and there sustained the weight y. If, then, the weights x and y were such as, at any one station,

equatorial or polar, would exactly counterpoise each other on a balance, or when suspended side by side over a single pulley, they would not counterbalance each other in this supposed situation, but the polar weight y would preponderate; and to restore the equipoise the weight x must be increased by $\frac{1}{194}$ th part of its quantity.

(234.) The means by which this variation of gravity may be shown to exist, and its amount measured, are twofold (like all estimations of mechanical power), statical and dynamical. The former consists in putting the gravity of a weight in equilibrium, not with that of another weight, but with a natural power of a different kind not liable to be affected by local situation. Such a power is the elastic force of a spring. Let A B C be a strong support of brass standing on the foot A E D cast in one piece with it, into which is let a smooth



plat talit whi polis and adju taind with tude instr adde scene tact imag be n sprin seenr the w It wi with longe requi requi will, the ty matte spiral struct 10,00 of 10 grain a qua tact a

> * W fined as degree of riability porting howeve point of laboriou [See No

VARIATION OF TERRESTRIAL GRAVITY.

plate of agate, D, which can be adjusted to perfect horizontality by a level. At C let a spiral spring G be attached,

which carries at its lower end a weight F, polished and convex below. The length and strength of the spring must be so adjusted that the weight F shall be sustained by it just to swing clear of contact with the agate plate in the highest latitude at which it is intended to use the instrument. Then, if small weights be added cautiously, it may be made to descend till it *just grazes* the agate, a contact which can be made with the utmost imaginable delicacy. Let these weights be noted; the weight F detached; the spring G carefully lifted off its hook, and



secured, for travelling, from rust, strain, or disturbance, and the whole apparatus conveyed to a station in a lower latitude. It will then be found, on remounting it, that, although loaded with the same additional weights as before, the weight F will no longer have power enough to stretch the spring to the extent required for producing a similar contact. More weights will require to be added; and the additional quantity necessary will, it is evident, measure the difference of gravity between the two stations, as exerted on the whole quantity of pendent matter, i.e. the sum of the weight of F and half that of the spiral spring itself. Granting that a spiral spring can be constructed of such strength and dimensions that a weight of 10,000 grains, including its own, shall produce an elongation of 10 inches without permanently straining it*, one additional grain will produce a further extension of 1000 th of an inch, a quantity which cannot possibly be mistaken in such a contact as that in question. Thus we should be provided with

steelight of if both nother maged palance could, in *this* at the cd in a pound vitably

nus exator by

Pay

ther on single in this prepontust be

ty may ld (like amical. ight in with a sted by spring. he foot

^{*} Whether the process above described could ever be so far perfected and refined as to become a substitute for the use of the pendulum must depend on the degree of permanence and uniformity of action of springs, on the constancy or variability of the effect of temperature on their elastic force, on the possibility of transporting them, absolutely maltered, from place to place, &c. The great advantages, however, which such an apparatus and mode of observation would possess, in paint of convenience, cheapness, portability, and expedition, over the present laborious, tralious, and expensive process, render the attempt well worth making. [See Note E.]

148

the means of measuring the power of gravity at any station to within $\frac{1}{1000}$ th of its whole quantity.

hut

not

this

cur

whi

non

cart

pro

this

on l

fere

exer

amo witl

cati

m a

resu

by a it.

not

but

to p othe

on i

fron

evid

exer

whe

the

ever

ellip

exist

at t

ellips

as a

parti

(2

(

(235.) The other, or dynamical process, by which the force urging any given weight to the earth may be determined. consists in ascertaining the velocity imparted by it to the weight when suffered to fall freely in a given time, as one This velocity cannot, indeed, be directly measured; second. but indirectly, the principles of mechanics furnish an easy and certain means of deducing it, and, consequently, the intensity of gravity, by observing the oscillations of a pendulum. It is proved from mechanical principles^{*}, that, if one and the same pendulum be made to oscillate at different stations, or under the influence of different forces, and the numbers of oscillations made in the same time in each case be counted, the intensities of the forces will be to each other as the squares of the numbers of oscillations made, and thus their proportion becomes known. For instance, it is found that, under the equator, a pendulum of a certain form and length makes 86,400 vibrations in a mean solar day; and that, when transported to London, the same pendulum makes 86,535 vibrations in the same time. Hence we conclude, that the intensity of the force urging the pendulum downwards at the equator is to that at London as $(86,400)^2$ to $(86,535)^2$, or as 1 to 1.00315; or, in other words, that a mass of matter weighing in London 100,000 pounds, exerts the same pressure on the ground, or the same effort to crush a body placed below it, that 100,315 of the same pounds transported to the equator would exert there.

(236.) Experiments of this kind have been made, as above stated, with the utmost care and minutest precaution to ensure exactness in all accessible latitudes; and their general and final result has been, to give $\frac{1}{194}$ for the fraction expressing the difference of gravity at the equator and poles. Now, it will not fail to be noticed by the reader, and will, probably, occur to him as an objection against the explanation here given of the fact by the earth's rotation, that this differs materially from the fraction $\frac{1}{289}$ expressing the centrifugal force at the equator. The difference by which the former fraction exceeds the latter is $\frac{1}{360}$, a small quantity in itself,

· Newton's Principia, ii. Prop. 21. Cor. 3.

tation

e force

nined. to the as one sured; 1 casy the inlulum. nd the ons, or f oscilintenof the ecomes ator, a rations ondon, c time. ing the idon as other 00,000 e same of the ere. s above to engeneral xpress-Now, proba. on here differs rifugal former i itself,

but still far too large, compared with the others in question, not to be distinctly accounted for, and not to prove fatal to this explanation if it will not render a strict account of it.

(237.) The mode in which this difference arises affords a curious and instructive example of the indirect influence which mechanical causes often exercise, and of which astronomy furnishes innumerable instances. The rotation of the earth gives rise to the centrifugal force; the centrifugal force produces an ellipticity in the form of the earth itself; and this very ellipticity of form modifies its power of attraction on bodies placed at its surface, and thus gives rise to the difference in question. Here, then, we have the same cause exercising at once a direct and an indirect influence. The amount of the former is easily calculated, that of the latter with far more difficulty, by an intricate and profound application of geometry, whose steps we cannot pretend to trace m a work like the present, and can only state its nature and result.

(238.) The weight of a body (considered as undiminished by a centrifugal force) is the effect of the earth's attraction on This attraction, as Newton has demonstrated, consists, it. not in a tendency of all matter to any one particular centre, but in a disposition of every particle of matter in the universe to press towards, and if not opposed to approach to, every other. The attraction of the earth, then, on a body placed on its surface, is not a simple but a complex force, resulting from the separate attractions of all its parts. Now, it is evident, that if the earth were a perfect sphere, the attraction exerted by it on a body any where placed on its surface, whether at its equator or pole, must be exactly alike, - for the simple reason of the exact symmetry of the sphere in every direction. It is not less evident that, the earth being elliptical, and this symmetry or similitude of all its parts not existing, the same result cannot be expected. A body placed at the equator, and a similar one at the pole of a flattened ellipsoid, stand in a different geometrical relation to the mass as a whole. This difference, without entering further into particulars, may be expected to draw with it a difference in 1. 3

its forces of attraction on the two bodies. Calculation confirms this idea. It is a question of purely mathematical investigation, and has been treated with perfect clearness and precision by Newton, Maclaurin, Clairaut, and many other eminent geometers; and the result of their investigations is to show that, owing to the elliptic form of the earth alone, and independent of the centrifugal force, its attraction ought to increase the weight of a body in going from the equator to the pole by almost exactly $\frac{1}{500}$ th part; which, together with $\frac{1}{280}$ th due to the centrifugal force, make up the whole quantity, $\frac{1}{194}$ th, observed.

(239.) Another great geographical phenomenon, which owes its existence to the earth's rotation, is that of the tradewinds. These mighty currents in our atmosphere, on which so important a part of navigation depends, arise from, 1st, the unequal exposure of the earth's surface to the sun's rays, by which it is unequally heated in different latitudes; and, 2dly, from that general law in the constitution of all fluids, in virtue of which they occupy a larger bulk, and become specifically lighter when hot than when cold. These causes, combined with the earth's rotation from west to ear, afford an easy and satisfactory explanation of the magnificent phenomena in question.

(240.) It is a matter of observed fact, of which we shall give the explanation farther on, that the sun is constantly vertical over some one or other part of the earth between two parallels of latitude, called the tropics, respectively $23\frac{1}{g}^2$ north, and as much south of the equator; and that the whole of that zone or belt of the earth's surface included between the tropics, and equally divided by the equator, is, in consequence of the great altitude attained by the sun in its diurnal course, maintained at a much higher temperature than those regions to the north and south which lie nearer the poles.* Now, the heat thus acquired by the earth's surface is con expan cumbe to the from t fore h surface placed any la curren being (deficie contin is plac atmos regula meter perate (24)throug the gre

the pro

they c

parent

becaus

proper

the po

impuls

of its

deficie

up wit

Hence

from t

face, a

the diı west.

be sim

cause,

^{*} First distinctly delivered by Hadley, though often erroneously attributed to Edmund Halley, whose theory of the trade winds is altogether erroneous. (See Dove, Meteorol. Untersuchungen, p. 237.)

TRADE WINDS.

is communicated to the incumbent air, which is thereby expanded, and rendered specifically lighter than the air incumbent on the rest of the globe. It is therefore, in obedience to the general laws of hydrostatics, displaced and buoyed up from the surface, and its place occupied by colder, and therefore heavier air, which glides in, on both sides, along the surface, from the regions beyond the tropics; while the displaced air, thus raised above its due level, and unsustained by any lateral pressure, flows over, as it were, and forms an upper current in the contrary direction, or towards the poles; which, being cooled in its course, and also sucked down to supply the deficiency in the extra-tropical regions, keeps up thus a continual circulation. That this is a real cause (vera causa) is placed in complete evidence by the general fact that the atmospheric pressure at the surface of the sea diminishes regularly from either tropic to the equator, where the barometer stands habitually about 0ⁱⁿ.2 lower than in the temperate zones.

(241.) Since the earth revolves about an axis passing through the poles, the equatorial portion of its surface has the greatest velocity of rotation, and all other parts less in the proportion of the radii of the circles of latitude to which they correspond. But as the air, when relatively and apparently at rest on any part of the earth's surface, is only so because in reality it participates in the motion of rotation proper to that part, it follows that when a mass of air near the poles is transferred to the region near the equator by any impulse urging it directly towards that circle, in every point of its progress towards its new situation it must be found deficient in rotatory velocity, and therefore unable to keep up with the speed of the new surface over which it is brought. Hence, the currents of air which set in towards the equator from the north and south must, as they glide along the surface, at the same time lag, or hang back, and drag upon it in the direction opposite to the earth's rotation, i. e. from east to Thus these currents, which but for the rotation would west. be simply northerly and southerly winds, acquire, from this cause, a *relative* direction towards the west, and assume the

1.4

conl inand other ns is lone, ught or to with hole

hich adehich 1st, "ays, and, s, in spc-1ses, d an eno-

shall ntly veen 23¹/₂° hole veen conits lhan the face

outed eous.

character of permanent north-easterly and south-easterly winds.

curi

eacl

to a

-- 11

way

grea

nort

mus

sepa

free

thes

syst

reali

eartl

(it n

the

ever

it is

pens

rises

rotat

latit

as it

more

moti

relat

surf

all t

on i

nort

reste

We

gale vers

fact

re-a briu

(

(242.) Were any considerable mass of air to be suddenly transferred from beyond the tropics to the equator, the difference of the rotatory velocities proper to the two situations would be so great as to produce not merely a wind, but a tempest of the most destructive violence. But this is not the case: the advance of the air from the north and south is gradual, and all the while the earth is continually acting on, and by the friction of its surface accelerating its rotatory velocity. Supposing its progress towards the equator to cease at any point, this cause would almost immediately communicate to it the deficient motion of rotation, after which it would revolve quietly with the earth, and be at relative rest. We have only to call to mind the comparative thinness of the coating which the atmosphere forms around the globe (art. 35.), and the immense mass of the latter, compared with the former (which it exceeds at least 1,200,000 times), to appretiate fully the influence of any extensive territory of the earth over the atmosphere immediately incumbent on it, in destroying any impulse once given to it, and which is not continually renewed.

(243.) It follows from this, then, that as the winds on hoth sides approach the equator, their easterly tendency must diminish.* The lengths of the diurnal circles increase very slowly in the immediate vicinity of the equator, and for several degrees on either side of it hardly change at all. Thus the friction of the surface has more time to act in accelerating the velocity of the air, bringing it towards a state of *relative* rest, and diminishing thereby the relative set of the currents from east to west, which, on the other hand, is feebly, and, at length, not at all, reinforced by the cause which originally produced it. Arrived, then, at the equator, the trades must be expected to lose their easterly character altogether. But not only this, but the northern and southern

^{*} See Captain Hall's "Fragments of Voyages and Travels," 2nd series, vol. i., p. 162., where this is very distinctly, and, so far as 1 am aware for the first time, reasoned out.

TRADE WINDS.

currents here meeting and opposing, will mutually destroy each other, leaving only such preponderancy as may be due to a difference of local causes acting in the two hemispheres —which in some regions around the equator may lie one way, in some another.

(244.) The result, then, must be the production of two great tropical belts, in the northern of which a constant north-easterly, and in the southern a south-easterly, wind must prevail, while the winds in the equatorial belt, which separates the two former, should be comparatively calm and free from any steady prevalence of easterly character. All these consequences are agreeable to observed fact, and the system of aërial currents above described constitutes in reality what is understood by the regular *trade winds*.

(245.) The constant friction thus produced between the earth and atmosphere in the regions near the equator must (it may be objected) by degrees reduce and at length destroy the rotation of the whole mass. The laws of dynamics, however, render such a consequence, generally, impossible; and it is easy to see, in the present case, where and how the compensation takes place. The heated equatorial air, while it rises and flows over towards the poles, carries with it the rotatory velocity due to its equatorial situation into a higher latitude, where the earth's surface has less motion. Hence, as it travels northward or southward, it will gain continually more and more on the surface of the earth in its diurnal motion, and assume constantly more and I. re a westerly relative direction; and when at length it returns to the surface, in its circulation, which it must do more or less in all the interval between the tropics and the poles, it will act on it by its friction as a powerful south-west wind in the northern hemisphere, and a north-west in the southern, and restore to it the impulse taken up from it at the equator. We have here the origin of the south-west and westerly gales so prevalent in our latitudes, and of the almost universal westerly winds in the North Atlantie, which are, in fact, nothing else than a part of the general system of the re-action of the trades, and of the process by which the equilibrium of the earth's motion is maintained under their action.

asterly

uddenly he difations but a is not outh is ng on, otatory o cease ommuhich it e rest. of the e (art. ith the to apof the i it, in is not

nds on v must e very nd for at all. in aca state set of und, is which r, the r altonthern

s, vol. i., the first







(245 a.) If in any region of the earth's surface, where the latitude is considerable, and where, in consequence the circumferences of the diurnal circles described by points on the same meridian a few degrees asunder differ considerably, an impulse (from whatever cause arising) from the pole towards the equator be communicated to a portion of the atmosphere covering several square degrees; an observer situated on the equatorial limit of the area so disturbed will, in the first instant of the disturbance, experience a wind blowing directly from the pole, i. e., a north wind in the northern hemisphere and a south in the southern. To fix our idee , suppose him situate in north latitude and beyond the tropic. The air which reaches him in the first instant, arising from a place in his immediate vicinity, has the same diurnal rotatory velocity with himself, and will therefore have no relative movement westward. But the southward movement of the whole mass of air continuing, the wind which subsequently reaches his station arriving from latitudes continually more and more north, and therefore setting out with a rotatory velocity continually more and more inferior to that of the observer, will lag more and more behind the easterly motion of the earth's surface at his station, and will therefore become, relatively to him, more and more of an east wind. In other words, a wind commencing to blow from the north will not continue long to do sc, but will "draw towards the east," veering gradually round to N.N.E. and N.E.; vice versa if the impulse of the mass of air be from south to north. The first impression on the observer will be that of a south wind. which in the progress of time will veer round through S.S.W. to S.W., and so mutatis mutandis in the other hemisphere; and thus arises a general tendency of the wind in extra-tropical latitudes to veer in a fixed direction, or " to follow the sun," which meteorological observation very decisively confirms as a matter of fact, and is therefore pro tanto a proof of the reality of the assigned cause.*

(245 b.) It is, however, in these tremendous visitations

* See Article Meteorology, Encyc. Brit. § 76-

TRADE WINDS AND CYCLONES.

called "hurricanes," which sweep across land and sea with a devastating power exceeded only by the earthquake, that we find the most striking verification of the principle above stated. Suppose that in any locality in the northern hemisphere some considerable portion of the surface, whether of sea or land, should become so much more heated by the sun's rays than that surrounding it, as to determine in upward movement in the air above it in the nature of ar ascending column, thereby giving rise to a diminished barometric pressure, and as a necessary consequence to an indraught of air from all quarters towards the heated area. Those portions which arrive from the east and west, participating in the entire diurnal movement corresponding to the latitude, will simply meet and be hurried upwards, without any tendency to gyrate round a center. But the portions which arrive from the northward will all reach the heated region or its immediate confines with a modified power. Those which come from the north-easterly quadrant will have their westerly force increased, and those from the north-west quadrant, their easterly-force diminished, so that in arriving from the northward, the general current setting to the heated region will have assumed a tendency from east to west, and in arriving from the southward from west to east, and these portions being drawn up together into the ascending column, will necessarily assume a rotation round its general axis in the direction N.W.S.E.*, whereas, were the earth at rest, the air coming in from all quarters with equal force, each particle would make direct for the center, and simply be thrown up vertically without any gyration.

(245 c.) The rotation thus given to the ascending column in the northern hemisphere is in a direction contrary to that of the hands of a watch face upwards, which we may term retrograde. And by a similar reasoning, in the southern it will be seen that a contrary, or direct rotation ought to arise from the operation of the same causes. It is, moreover, obvious, that the energy of the vortex so produced must be, *cæteris paribus*, proportional to that of its efficient causes. In

* See Encyclop. Brit., Meteorology, § 73., for the complete reasoning out of this process,

cirthe an rds ere the incthy ere him air ace ory tive the atly ore tory the tion me, ther not st," â if The nd, W. re; pithe onof

ons

the

high latitudes there is a deficiency of solar heat to produce a powerful ascensional current. On and about the equator, on the other hand, though heat be abundant, the other efficient cause, viz. a considerable difference of diurnal rotatory volocity, is absent. Such movements, therefore, cannot exist on the equator, and their intensity will chiefly be confined to regions in moderate latitudes.

> (245 d.) Now every one of these particulars is in exact conformity with the history of those hurricanes, or cyclones, as they have been called, from their revolving character, which infest the Atlantic along the west coasts of the United States and the West Indies, the Indian Ocean, and (under the name of typhoons) the China scas. Their extent and violence are frightful; their rotation in the same hemisphere is invariably the same, and in each, that which theory indicates; and they are utterly wanting on the equator. This grand result, the establishment of which we owe to the labours of Mr. Redfield, Colonel Reid, and Mr. Piddington, forms a capital feature in the array of evidence by which the rotation of the earth, as a physical fact, is demonstrated.

(245 e.) Another class of phænomena, inexplicable except on the hypothesis of the earth's rotation on its axis, but flowing easily and naturally from the admission of that principle, has, within a few years from the present time, been brought under our inspection by M. Foucault. If a heavy mass of metal (a globe of lead, for example) be suspended by a long wire from a solid and perfectly fixed support, over the center of a plane table of a circular form, and, being drawn aside from the perpendicular (suppose in the direction of the meridian), be then allowed to oscillate, taking extreme care to avoid giving it any lateral motion (which may be accomplished by drawing it aside by a fine thread, and, when quite at rest, burning off the thread), it will of course commence its oscillations in the plane of the meridian. But when watched attentively, marking on the table the points of its circumference, from time to time, opposite to its points of extreme excursion, it will in a few minutes be seen to have (apparently) shifted

FOUCAULT'S PENDULUM.

its plane of motion; the northern extremity of its excursions to and fro having invariably gone round in azimuth towards the east, and the southern towards the west (supposing the experiment made in the northern hemisphere—vice versâ in the southern). Although in a few oscillations the deviation is too small to be readily perceived, it at length becomes apparent that the path traced on the table by the projection of the center of the globe, instead of being a rigorous straight line, as it must be according to the laws of dynamics, were the table at rest, is, in reality, a looped curve of the form here shown (fig. a.) (the intervals of the loops being much



exaggerated); all of them passing through the center of the table.

(245 f.) It is evident that such a motion is quite different from that which a small lateral motion accidentally communicated to the pendulous body would produce. The effect of such an impulse would be to make the central mass describe a series of elongated ovals, a kind of elliptic spiral, the convolutions of which would pass, not through, but round the center, as here represented (fig. b.); and that indifferently in one direction or the other, according to the

duce a tor, on fficient y voloxist on ned to

exact clones, racter, United (under at and sphere ory in-This to the ngton, which rated. except s, but f that time, If a e susl supform, ippose to osateral de by ff the n, the ively, from rsion,

hifted

accident of the lateral impulse. On the other hand, the observed effect is precisely such as would take place, sup-



posing the plane of oscillation to remain invariable, and the table to revolve beneath it in its own plane in a contrary direction (from north to west), with an angular motion duly Supposing the oscillating ball to leave a trace on adjusted. the table so turning, that trace would evidently be such a one as described in the preceding article; and if we admit the rotation of the earth, it is a fact that the table, though unperceived by us, does so turn. It is not transferred by the earth's rotation bodily to the castward by a parallel movement of all its parts. The southern extremity of its meridional diameter S is carried in a given time (suppose one minute) more to the eastward than the northern; so that it has virtually rotated in its own plane through an angle corresponding to the difference of these two movements of transference.

(245 g.) This difference is a maximum at the pole (where it is obvious that the table turns entirely in its own plane, as the earth's surface there does); and it is *nil* at the equator, where, in consequence, the experiment would be made in vain (the entire rotation of the table *there* being in a plane perpendicular to its own); and generally the effect will be 0

c fi

i

r tl

THE GYROSCOPE.

more strikingly developed in high than in low latitudes. To show this more clearly, suppose P the north pole, C the center

of the earth, CPQ its axis prolonged, AB two successive positions of the table at an interval of one minute of time, during which the meridian AP has rotated through an angle of 0° 15' round P to the position BP. The plane of the table, being a tangent to the earth's surface, will, if produced (whether it be at A or B), meet the axis at Q, the vertex of a cone having for its base the diurnal circle of the place of observation. During the small interval in question, the



portion AQB of this conical surface may be regarded as plane, and the motion of the table will be the same as if it formed a part of that plane, and revolved round a pivot at Q, the meridional diameter aa being transferred into the position bb, making with aa an angle equal to AQB. Now this angle at the equator is *nil*, the summit of the cone being there infinitely remote; whereas, on the pole it is identical with the spherical angle APB, the table there rotating about its own center.

(245 h.) The gyroscope is an instrument devised by M. Foucault to exhibit the same sort of effect in another manner. It depends on the very obvious principle that a body revolving round one of its axes of permanent rotation, and free from any disturbing attachment to surrounding objects, will preserve its plane of rotation unaltered. Imagine a metallic disc, thin in the center but very thick at the circumference so as to present in section the figure A B, to be fixed on an axis C D, perpendicular to its plane which turns in pivot-holes C D, on opposite ends of the diameter of a ring of metal, which is itself provided with exterior pivots on the extremities of a diameter at right angles to the former,

he P-

the ary uly e on h a mit ugh by llel its one that igle of ere , às tor, in ine

be



and let these rest in pivot holes E F, at the lower ends of a semicircular metallic arc E G F, supported from its middle G by a torsionless suspension, such as may be formed by attaching a thread to a hook at the lower end of a steel arm, terminating in an inverted conical point resting in a polished agate cup as at H. The whole of this apparatus is to be executed with extreme delicacy, and with every precaution to secure perfect equilibrium and freedom from friction in Suppose now that by some sufficient mechanical the pivots. means an exceedingly rapid rotation is communicated to the disc which is then abandoned to itself. It is evident then, 1st, that it may be set in rotation originally in any given plane, and 2ndly, that however that initial plane be situated, it will thenceforward continue to rotate in that plane, since the mode of suspension is such as to exercise no control over it, in that respect. If the disc be heavy, the initial rotation

ł

n

t

n

n

r

n

iı

p

THE GYROSCOPE.

very rapid (and especially if suspended in vacuo) the motion will be kept up for a considerable time — quite long enough to exhibit the phænomena due to the earth's rotation.

(245 i.) There being no action exerted by either the pivots or the suspension which can affect the plane of rotation, this will necessarily continue unchanged, so that the axis C D about which it spins will remain parallel to itself, however the point of suspension may be varied in place by bodily transfer of the whole apparatus, or in relative position by change in the absolute direction of gravity consequent on the earth's diurnal rotation. Suppose then the axis C D to point at any instant to a given fixed star, then if the earth were at rest and the diurnal movement of the starry heavens real, it could not continue so to point, since the star would move away out of its line of direction, and would appear to leave it behind. The contrary however is the case. The axis of the disc continues to point to the star so long as the disc itself continues to revolve, and, could its rotation be kept up for twenty-four hours, would doubtless continue to follow it through its whole diurnal circle both above and below the horizon, affording thus a clear ocular demonstration of the earth's rotation, since if a line, of whose fixity of direction we are à priori sure, appear to vary in position with respect to the visible horizon and surrounding objects it cannot be but that that horizon and those terrestrial points of reference have, themselves, shifted in position by a corresponding opposite movement.

(245 j.) If the conditions of suspension be such as to limit the axis of rotation of the disc to a plane holding a determinate position with respect to the horizon, as, for instance, that of the horizon itself, or of the meridian of the place, its movements are in conformity with what the principle of dynamics indicate as the result of a composition of the free rotation of the disc and that of the earth so partially communicated to it. We shall not however pursue the subject into these details. The student will find them lucidly explained by Professor Powell, in the monthly notices of the

s of a niddle ed by arm, lished to be ution on in nical o the then, lane, t will the er it, ntion

Astronomical Society for April, 1855. The mechanical fact on which the whole theory turns (the powerful resistance opposed by a rapidly revolving heavy body to a change of position in its axis of rotation) may be brought under the evidence of the senses by the following simple and elegant experiment. Let any one detach an 18-inch terrestrial globe from its wooden frame, and, holding it by the brass meridian with the plane of that circle horizontal, let a rapid rotation be given to the globe by an assistant. So long as no attempt is made to alter the position of the axis, the only sensation experienced by the holder will be the effort of sustaining the weight of the globe, just as if it were at rest. But so soon as he attempts to shift the direction of the axis, whether in a horizontal, a vertical, or any other plane, he will at once become aware of a resistance in the revolving globe to such a change, quite different from the simple inertia of a globe at rest-a kind of internal struggle-an effort to twist the globe in his hands, as if some animal were inclosed within its hollow, or as if it were no longer equally balanced on its center. If he endeavour to roll the globe on its brass meridian in a right line along the floor (which with a non-rotating globe would be easy) he will find it impracticable without perpetually and forcibly interfering, not merely to keep the meridian upright but to prevent its running out of the right line. Suppose, for instance, the brass meridian to be vertical and its plane coincident with that of the true meridian, the axis horizontal, and the globe to rotate in the direction in which the heavens appear to revolve, i. e. from the east upwards; to the west downwards, and let him attempt to roll it (lightly held by the finger and thumb by the highest point of the circle) in a northerly direction. He will find it run round to the eastward, causing the plane of the brass meridian to shift in azimuth in a direction similar to that of the hands of a watch, and vice versa if he try to make it roll southwards. That end of the axis which rises appears to be swept along with the revolving motion of the globe as seen from above.

i

n

a

b

la

ธเ

tr

of

GEOGRAPHICAL POSITIONS.

(246.) In order to construct a map or model of the earth, and obtain a knowledge of the distribution of sea and land over its surface, the forms of the outlines of its continents and islands, the courses of its rivers and mountain chains, and the relative situations, with respect to each other, of those points which chiefly interest us, as centres of human habitation, or from other causes, it is necessary to possess the means of determining correctly the situation of any proposed station on its surface. For this two elements require to be known, the latitude and longitude, the former assigning its distance from the poles or the equator, the latter, the meridian on which that distance is to be reckoned. To these, in strictness, should be added, its height above the sea level; but the consideration of this had better be deferred, to avoid complicating the subject.

(247.) The latitude of a station on a sphere would be merely the length of an arc of the meridian, intercepted between the station and the nearest point of the equator, reduced into degrees. (See art. 88.) But as the earth is elliptic, this mode of conceiving latitudes becomes inapplicable, and we are compelled to resort for our definition of latitude to a generalization of that property (art. 119.), which affords the readiest means of determining it by observation, and which has the advantage of being independent of the figure of the earth, which, after all, is not exactly an ellipsoid, or any known geometrical solid. The latitude of a station, then, is the altitude of the elevated pole, and is, therefore, astronomically determined by those methods already explained for ascertaining that important element. In consequence, it will be remembered that, to make a perfectly correct map of the whole, or any part of the earth's surface, equal differences of latitude are not represented by exactly equal intervals of surface.

(248.) For the purposes of geodesical * measurements and trigonometrical surveys, an exceedingly correct determination of the latitudes of the most important stations is required.

fact ance ge of r the gant strial brass rapid ng as only sus-; rest. axis, 1e, he lving le in--an were qually obe on h with practinerely ng out ridian e true in the om the npt to lighest find it brass o that ake it ppears obe as

^{*} Γη, the earth ; δεσιs (from δεω, to bind), a joining or connexion (of parts).

For this purpose, therefore, the zenith sector (an instrument capable of great precision) is most commonly used to observe stars passing the meridian near the zenith, whose declinations have become known by previous long series of observations at fixed observatories, and which are therefore called standard or fundamental stars. Recently a method* has been employed



with great success, which consists in the use of an instrument similar in every respect to the transit instrument, but having the plane of motion of the telescope not coincident with the meridian, but with the prime vertical, so that its axis of rotation prolonged passes through the north and south points of the horizon. Let A B C D be the celestial hemisphere projected on the horizon, P the pole, Z the zenith, A B the meridian, C D the prime vertical, Q R S part of the diurnal circle of a star passing near the zenith, whose polar distance P R is but little greater than the co-latitude of the place, or the arc P Z, between the zenith and pole (art. 112.). Then the moments of this star's arrival on the prime vertical at Q and S will, if the instrument be correctly adjusted, be those of its crossing the middle wire in the field of view of the

• Devised originally by Römer. Revived or re-invented by Bessel. - Astr Nachr. No. 40.

DETERMINATION OF LATITUDES. telescope (art. 160.). Consequently the interval between

these moments will be the time of the star passing from Q

to S, or the measure of the diurnal arc Q R S, which corre-

sponds to the angle QPS at the pole. This angle, there-

nent serve tions ns at rd or loyed

ument having ith the xis of points sphere B the liurnal stance ce, or Then l at Q those of the

- Astr

fore, becomes known by the mere observation of an interval of time, in which it is not even necessary to know the error of the clock, and in which, when the star passes near the zenith, so that the interval in question is small, even the rate of the clock, or its gain or loss on true sidereal time, may be neglected. Now the angle QPS, or its half QPR, and PQ the polar distance of the star, being known, PZ the zenith distance of the pole can be calculated by the resolution of the right-angled spherical triangle PZQ, and thus the co-latitude (and of course the latitude) of the place of obscrvation becomes known. The advantages gained by this mode of observation are, 1st, that no readings of a divided arc are needed, so that errors of graduation and reading are avoided : 2dly, that the arc QRS is very much greater than its versed sine RZ, so that the difference RZ between the latitude of the place and the declination of the star is given by the observation of a magnitude very much greater than itself, or is, as it were, observed on a greatly enlarged scale. In consequence, a very minute error is entailed on RZ by the commission of even a considerable one in QRS: 3dly, that in this mode of observation all the merely instrumental errors which affect the ordinary use of the transit instrument are either uninfluential or eliminated by simply reversing the axis. (249.) To determine the latitude of a station, then, is easy. It is otherwise with its longitude, whose exact determination is a matter of more difficulty. The reason is this: — as there

are no meridians marked upon the earth, any more than parallels of latitude, we are obliged in this case, as in the case of the latitude, to resort to marks external to the earth, i.e. to the heavenly bodies, for the objects of our measurement; but with this difference in the two cases - to observers situated at stations on the same meridian (i. e. differing in latitude) the heavens present different aspects at all moments.

The portions of them which become visible in a complete diurnal rotation are not the same, and stars which are common to both describe circles differently inclined to their horizons, and differently divided by them, and attain different altitudes. On the other hand, to observers situated on the same *parallel* (*i. e.* differing only in longitude) the heavens present the same aspects. Their visible portions are the same; and the same stars describe circles equally inclined, and similarly divided by their horizons, and attain the same altitudes. In the former case there *is*, in the latter there is *not*, any thing in the appearance of the heavens, watched through a whole diurnal rotation, which indicates a difference of locality in the observer.

(250.) But no two observers, at different points of the earth's surface, can have at *the same instant* the same celestial hemisphere visible. Suppose, to fix our ideas, in observer stationed at a given point of the equator, and that at the moment when he noticed some bright star to be in his zenith, and therefore on his meridian, he should be suddenly transported, in an instant of time, round one quarter of the globe in a *westerly* direction, it is evident that he will no longer have the same star vertically above him: it will now appear to him to be just rising, and he will have to wait six hours before it again comes to his zenith, *i.e.* before the earth's rotation from west to east *carries him hack again* to the line joining the star and the earth's centre from which he set out.

(251.) The difference of the cases, then, may be thus stated, so as to afford a key to the astronomical solution of the problem of the longitude. In the case of stations differing only in latitude, the same star comes to the meridian at the same *time*, but at different *altitudes*. In that of stations differing only in longitude, it comes to the meridian at the same *altitude*, but at different *times*. Supposing, then, that an observer is in possession of any means by which he can certainly ascertain the *time* of a known star's transit across his meridian, he knows his longitude; or if he knows the difference between its time of transit across his meridian and across that of any other station, he knows their difference of

DETERMINATION OF LONGITUDES.

longitudes. For instance, if the same star pass the meridian of a place A at a certain moment, and that of B exactly one hour of sidereal time, or one twenty-fourth part of the earth's diurnal period, later, then the difference of longitude between A and B is one hour of time or 15° of arc, and B is so much west of A.

(252.) In order to a perfectly clear understanding of the principle on which the problem of finding the longitude by astronomical observations is resolved, the reader must learn to distinguish between time, in the abstract, as common to the whole universe, and therefore reckoned from an epoch independent of local situation, and local time, which reckons, at each particular place, from an epoch, or initial instant, determined by local convenience. Of time reckoned in the former, or abstract manner, we have an example in what we have before defined as equinoctial time, which dates from an epoch determined by the sun's motion among the stars. Of the latter, or local reckoning, we have instances in every sidereal clock in an observatory, and in every town clock for common Every astronomer regulates, or aims at regulating, his use. sidereal clock, so that it shall indicate Oh Om Os, when a certain point in the heavens, called the equinox, is on the meridian of his station. This is the *cpoch* of his sidereal time; which is, therefore, entirely a local reckoning. It gives no information to say that an event happened at such and such an hour of sidereal time, unless we particularize the station to which the sidereal time meant appertains. Just so it is with mean or common time. This is also a local reckoning, having for its epoch mean noon, or the average of all the times throughout the year, when the sun is on the meridian of that particular place to which it belongs; and, therefore, in like manner, when we date any event by mean time, it is necessary to name the place, or particularize what mean time we intend. On the other hand, a date by equinoctial time is absolute, and requires no such explanatory addition.

(253.) The astronomer sets and regulates his sidereal clock by observing the meridian passages of the more conspicuous and well-known stars. Each of these holds in the heavens a

M 4

omplete common orizons, ltitudes. *parallel* he same divided In the thing in a whole y in the

of the celestial observer t at the s zenith, y transhe globe o longer appear x hours earth's the line et out. be thus tion of liffering at the stations at the n, that he can across ws the an and ence of

certain determinate and known place with respect to that imaginary point called the equinox, and by noting the times of their passage in succession by his clock he knows when the At that moment his clock ought to have equinox passed. marked Oh Om O'; and if it did not, he knows and can correct its error, and by the agreement or disagreement of the errors assigned by each star he can ascertain whether his clock is correctly regulated to go twenty-four hours in one diurnal period, and if not, can ascertain and allow for its rate. Thus. although his clock may not, and indeed cannot, either be set correctly, or go truly, yet by applying its error and rate (as they are technically termed), he can correct its indications, and ascertain the exact sidereal times corresponding to them, and proper to his locality. This indispensable operation is called getting his local time. For simplicity of explanation, however, we shall suppose the clock a perfect instrument; or, which comes to the same thing, its error and rate applied at every moment it is consulted, and included in its indications.

(254.) Suppose, now, of two observers, at distant stations, A and B, each, independently of the other, to set and regulate his clock to the true sidercal time of his station. It is evident that if one of these clocks could be taken up without deranging its going, and set down by the side of the other, they would be found, on comparison, to differ by the exact difference of their local epochs; that is, by the time occupied by the equinox, or by any star, in passing from the meridian of A to that of B; in other words, by their difference of longitude, expressed in sidercal hours, minutes, and seconds.

(255.) A pendulum clock cannot be thus taken up and transported from place to place without derangement, but a chronometer may. Suppose, then, the observer at B to use a chronometer instead of a clock, he may, by bodily transfer of the instrument to the other station, procure a direct comparison of sidereal times, and thus obtain his longitude from A. And even if he employ a clock, yet by comparing it first with a good chronometer, and then transferring the latter instrument for comparison with the other clock, the same end will be accomplished, provided the going of the chronometer can be depended on.

DETERMINATION OF LONGITUDES.

(256.) Were chronometers perfect, nothing more complete and convenient than this mode of ascertaining differences of longitude could be desired. An observer, provided with such an instrument, and with a portable transit, or some equivalent method of determining the local time at any given station, might, by journeying from place to place, and observing the meridian passages of stars at each, (taking care not to alter his chronometer, or let it run down,) ascertain their differences of longitude with any required precision. In this case, the same time-keeper being used at every station, if, at one of them, A, it mark true sidereal time, at any other, B, it will be just so much sidereal time in error as the difference of longitudes of A and B is equivalent to: in other words, the longitude of B from A will appear as the error of the time-keeper on the local time of B. If he travel westward, then his chronometer will appear continually to gain, although it really goes correctly. Suppose, for instance, he set out from A, when the equinox was on the meridian, or his chronometer at 0^h, and in twenty-four hours (sid. time) had travelled 15° westward to B. At the moment of arrival there, his chronometer will again point to O^h; but the equinox will be, not on his new meridian, but on that of A, and he must wait one hour more for its arrival at that of B. When it does arrive there, then his watch will point not to 0^h but to 1^h, and will therefore be 1^h fast on the local time of B. If he travel eastward, the reverse will happen.

(257.) Suppose an observer now to set out from any station as above described, and constantly travelling westward to make the tour of the globe, and return to the point he set out from. A singular consequence will happen: he will have lost a day in his reckoning of time. He will enter the day of his arrival in his diary, as Monday, for instance, when, in fact, it is Tuesday. The reason is obvious. Days and nights are caused by the alternate appearance of the sun and stars, as the rotation of the earth carries the spectator round to view them in succession. So many turns as he makes absolutely round the centre, so often will he pass through the earth's shadow, and emerge into light, and so many nights

to that e times ien the o have correct errors clock is diurnal Thus, r be set rate (as cations, o them, ation is nation. ent; or, plied at cations. stations, regulate evident deranger, they t differpied by idian of longiup and , but a to use

ransfer

t com-

e from it first

latter

same

chro-

and days will he experience. But if he travel once round the globe in the direction of its motion, he will, on his arrival, have really made one turn more round its centre; and if in the opposite direction, one turn less than if he had remained upon one point of its surface: in the former case, then, he will have witnessed one alternation of day and night more, in the latter one less, than if he had trusted to the rotation of the earth alone to carry him round. As the earth revolves from west to east, it follows that a westward direction of his journey, by which he counteracts its rotation, will cause him to lose a day, and an eastward direction, by which he conspires with it, to gain one. In the former case, all his days will be longer; in the latter, shorter than those of a stationary observer. This contingency has actually happened to circumnavigators. Hence, also, it must necessarily happen that distant settlements, on the same meridian, will differ a day in their usual reckoning of time, according as they have been colonized by settlers arriving in an eastward or in a westward direction, - a circumstance which may produce strange confusion when they come to communicate with each other. The only mode of correcting the ambiguity, and settling the disputes which such a difference may give rise to, consists in having recourse to the equinoctial date, which can never be ambiguous.

(258.) Unfortunately for geography and navigation, the chronometer, though greatly and indeed wonderfully improved by the skill of modern artists, is yet far too imperfect an instrument to be relied on implicitly. However such an instrument may preserve its uniformity of rate for a few hours, or even days, yet in long absences from home the chances of error and accident become so multiplied, as to destroy all security of reliance on even the best. To a certain extent this may, indeed, be remedied by carrying out several, and using them as checks on each other; but, besides the expense and trouble, this is only a palliation of the evil — the great and fundamental, - as it is the only one to which the determination of longitudes by time-keepers is liable. It becomes necessary, therefore, to resort to other means of communicating from one station to another a knowledge of its local time, or

DETERMINATION OF LONGITUDES.

of propagating from some principal station, as a centre, its local time as a universal standard with which the local time at any other, however situated, may be at once compared, and thus the longitudes of all places be referred to the meridian of such central point.

(259.) The simplest and most accurate method by which this object can be accomplished, when circumstances admit of its adoption, is that by telegraphic signal. Let A and B be two observatories, or other stations, provided with accurate means of determining their respective local times, and let us first suppose them visible from each other. Their clocks being regulated, and their errors and rates ascertained and applied, let a signal be made at A, of some sudden and definite kind, such as the flash of gunpowder, the explosion of a rocket, the sudden extinction of a bright light, or any other which admits of no mistake, and can be seen at great dis-The moment of the signal being made must be noted tances. by each observer at his respective clock or watch, as if it were the transit of a star, or any astronomical phenomenon, and the error and rate of the clock at each station being applied, the local time of the signal at each is determined. Consequently, when the observers communicate their observations of the signal to each other, since (owing to the almost instantaneous transmission of light) it must have been seen at the same absolute instant by both, the difference of their local times, and therefore of their longitudes, becomes known. For example, at A the signal is observed to happen at 5^h 0^m 0^s sid. time at A, as obtained by applying the error and rate to the time shown by the clock at A, when the signal was seen At B the same signal was seen at 5^h 4^m 0^s, sid. time there. at B, similarly deduced from the time noted by the clock at B, by applying its error and rate. Consequently, the difference of their local epochs is 4^m 0^s, which is also their difference of longitudes in time, or 1° 0' 0" in hour angle.

(260.) The accuracy of the final determination may be increased by making and observing several signals at stated intervals, each of which affords a comparison of times, and the mean of all which is, of course, more to be depended

und the arrival, if in the ed upon vill have ie latter ie earth west to ney, by se a day, th it, to nger; in This . vigators. t settleir usual nized by irection, on when ly mode es which recourse us. tion, the

tion, the ally immperfect ch an inw hours, ances of stroy all h extent nd using ense and reat and *termina*mes nenicating time, or

on than the result of any single comparison. By this means, the error introduced by the comparison of clocks may be regarded as altogether destroyed.

(261.) The distances at which signals can be rendered visible must of course depend on the nature of the interposed country. Over sea the explosion of rockets may easily be seen at fifty or sixty miles; and in mountainous countries the flash of gunpowder in an open spoon may be seen, if a proper station be chosen for its exhibition, at much greater distances.

(262.) When the direct light of the flash can no longer be perceived, either owing to the convexity of the interposed segment of the earth, or to intervening obstacles, the sudden illumination cast on the under surface of the clouds by the explosion of considerable quantities of powder may often be observed with success; and in this way signals have been made at very much greater distances. Whatever means can be devised of exciting in two distant observers the same sensation, whether of sound, light, or visible motion, at precisely the same instant of time, may be employed as a longitude signal. Wherever, for instance, an unbroken line of electrotelegraphic connection has been, or hereafter may be, established, the means exist of making as complete a comparison of clocks or watches as if they stood side by side, so that no method more complete for the determination of differences of longitude can be desired. Thus, the difference of longitude between the observatories of Greenwich and Paris was ascer-The extreme deviation of the most discortained in 1854. dant result from the mean of 29 single determinations (0 h. 9 m. 20.64 sec.), amounted barely to a quarter of a second.

(263.) Where no such electric communication exists, however, the interval between observing stations may be increased by causing the signals to be made not at one of them, but at an intermediate point; for, provided they are seen by both parties, it is a matter of indifference where they are exhibited. Still the interval which could be thus embraced would be very limited, and the method in consequence of little use, but for the following ingenious contrivance, by which it can be extended to any distance, and carried over any tract of country, however difficult.

DETERMINATION OF LONGITUDES.

(264.) This contrivance consists in establishing, between the extreme stations, whose difference of longitude is to be ascertained, and at which the local times are observed, a chain of intermediate stations, alternately destined for signals and for observers. Thus, let A and Z be the extreme stations. At B let a signal station be established, at which rockets, &c. are fired at stated intervals. At C let an observer be placed, provided with a chronometer; at D, another signal station; at E, another observer and chronometer; till the whole line is occupied by stations so arranged, that the signal at B can be seen from A and C; those at D, from C and E; and so on. Matters being thus arranged, and the errors and rates of the clocks at A and Z ascertained by astronomical observation, let a signal be made at B, and observed at A and C, and the times noted. Thus the difference between A's clock and C's chronometer becomes known. After a short interval (five minutes for instance) let a signal be made at D, and observed by C and E. Then will the difference between their respective chronometers be determined; and the difference between the former and the clock at A being already ascertained, the difference between the clock A and chronometer E is therefore known. This, however, supposes that the intermediate chronometer C has kept true sidereal time, or at least a known rate, in the interval between the signals.



Now this interval is purposely made so very short, that no instrument of any pretensions to character can possibly produce an appretiable amount of error in its lapse by deviations from its usual *rate*. Thus the time propagated from A to C may be considered as handed over, without gain or loss (save from error of observation), to E. Similarly, by the signal made at F, and observed at E and Z, the time so transmitted to E is forwarded on to Z; and thus at length the clocks at A and Z are compared. The process

means, nay be

endered erposed usily be ries the proper stances. nger be erposed sudden by the ften be e been ans can ne scnrecisely ngitude electroestabparison that no nces of ngitude ascerdiscor-8 (0 h. cond. exists, hay be nt one ley are e they s emuence ce, by d over
may be repeated as often as is necessary to destroy error by a mean of results; and when the line of stations is numerous, by keeping up a succession of signals, so as to allow each observer to note alternately those on either side, which is easily pre-arranged, many comparisons may be kept running along the line at once, by which time is saved, and other advantages obtained.* In important cases the process is usually repeated on several nights in succession.

£

2

I

8

t

i

W

d

tl

iı

C

W

al

u

00

CC

po

or

po

on

hi

ini Su

wit

und

opp

som

strip

WOO

of s [Vi

(265.) In place of artificial signals, natural ones, when they occur sufficiently definite for observation, may be equally employed. In a clear night the number of those singular meteors, called shooting stars, which may be observed, is often very great, especially on the 9th and 10th of August, and some other days, as November 12 and 13; and as they are sudden in their appearance and disappearance, and from the great height at which they have been ascertained to take place are visible over extensive regions of the earth's surface, there is no doubt that they may be resorted to with advan tage, by previous concert and agreement between distant observers to watch and note them. † Those sudden disturbances of the magnetic needle, to which the name of magnetic shocks has been given, have been satisfactorily ascertained to be, very often at least, simultaneous over whole continents. and in some, perhaps, over the whole globe. These, if observed at magnetic observatories with precise attention to astronomical time, may become the means of determining their differences of longitude with more precision, possibly, than by any other method, if a sufficient number of remarkable shocks be observed to ascertain their identity, about which the intervals of time between their occurrence (exactly alike at both stations) will leave no doubt.

† This idea was first suggested by the late Dr. Maskelyne, to whom, however, the practically useful fact of their periodic recurrence was unknown. Mr. Cooper has thus employed the meteors of the 10th and 12th August, 1847, to determine the difference of longitudes of Markree and Mount Eagle, in Ireland. Those of the same epoch have also been used in Germany for ascertaining the longitudes of several stations, and with very satisfactory results.

[•] For a complete account of this method, and the mode of deducing the most advantageous result from a combination of all the observations, see a paper on the difference of longitudes of Greenwich and Paris, Phil. Trans. 1826; by the Author of this volume.

ECLIPSES OF JUPITER'S SATELLITES.

(266.) Another species of natural signal, visible at once over a whole terrestrial hemisphere, is afforded by the eclipses of Jupiter's satellites, of which we shall speak more at large when we come to treat of those bodies. Every such eclipse is an event which possesses one great advantage in its applicability to the purpose in question, viz. that the time of its happening, at any fixed station, such as Greenwich, can be predicted from a long course of previous recorded observation and calculation thereon founded, and that this prediction is sufficiently precise and certain, to stand in the place of a corresponding observation. So that an observer at any other station wherever, who shall have observed one or more of these eclipses, and ascertained his local time, instead of waiting for a communication with Greenwich, to inform him at what moment the eclipse took place there, may use the predicted Greenwich time instead, and thence, at once, and on the spot, determine his longitude. This mode of ascertaining longitudes is, however, as will hereafter appear, not susceptible of great exactness, and should only be resorted to when others cannot be had. The nature of the observation also is such that it cannot be made at sea*; so that, however useful to the geographer, it is of no advantage to navigation.

(267.) But such phenomena as these are of only occasional occurrence; and in their intervals, and when cut off from all communication with any fixed station, it is indispensable to possess some means of determining longitudes, on which not only the geographer may rely for a knowledge of the exact position of important stations on land in remote regions, but on which the navigator can securely stake, at every instant of his adventurous course, the lives of himself and comrades, the interests of his country, and the fortunes of his employers. Such a method is afforded by LUNAR OBSERVATIONS. Though

by a rous, 1 obasily dong tages y re-

they / emmeoften , and y are n the take rface, dvan istant sturbgnetic aed to nents, if obon to ining sibly, markabout kactly

ne most aper on by the

h, how-Mr. 847, to reland. ing the

[•] To accomplish this is still a desideratum. Observing chairs, suspended with studious precaution for ensuring freedom of motion, have been resorted to, under the vain hope of mitigating the effect of the ship's oscillation. The epposite course seems more promising, viz. to merely deaden the motion by a somewhat stiff suspension (as by a coarse and rough cable), and by friction strings attached to weights running through loops (not pull-ys) fixed in the wood-work of the vessel. At least, such means have been found by the author of singular efficacy in increasing personal comfort in the suspension of a cot. [Vide Journal of the Society of Arts, Jan. 4, 1861.]

we have not yet introduced the reader to the phenomena of the moon's motion, this will not prevent us from giving here the exposition of the principle of the lunar method; on the contrary, it will be highly advantageous to do so, since by this course we shall have to deal with the naked principle, apart from all the peculiar sources of difficulty with which the lunar theory is encumbered, but which are, in fact, completely extraneous to the *principle* of its application to the problem of the longitudes, which is quite elementary.

b

oi in th cu w

vi

w

m

fr ti

la

re

W

pr

0ι

ste

ele

vi

we

pr

of

ne

gr

th

 \mathbf{th}

ev

ex

ase

car

ob

sta

the

(268.) If there were in the heavens a clock furnished with a dial-plate and hands, which always marked Greenwich time, the longitude of any station would be at once determined, so soon as the *local time* was known, by comparing it with this clock. Now, the offices of the dial-plate and hands of a clock are these: — the former carries a set of marks upon it, whose position is known; the latter, by passing over and among these marks, informs us, by the place it holds with respect to them, what it is o'clock, or what time has elapsed since a certain moment when it stood at one particular spot.

(269.) In a clock the marks on the dial-plate are uniformly distributed all around the circumference of a circle, whose centre is that on which the hands revolve with a uniform motion. But it is clear that we should, with equal certainty. though with much more trouble, tell what o'clock it were, if the marks on the dial-plate were unequally distributed, --- if the hands were excentric, and their motion not uniform, --provided we knew, 1st, the exact intervals round the circle at which the hour and minute marks were placed; which would be the case if we had them all registered in a table, from the results of previous careful measurement :- 2dly, if we knew the exact amount and direction of excentricity of the centre of motion of the hands; --- and, 3dly, if we were fully acquainted with all the mechanism which put the hands in motion, so as to be able to say at every instant what were their velocity of movement, and so as to be able to calculate, without fear of error, HOW MUCH time should correspond to SO MUCH angular movement.

(270.) The visible surface of the starry heavens is the

LUNAR METHOD.

ena of g here on the nce by nciple, ich the pletely roblem

d with h time, ied, so th this s of a pon it, er and s with lapsed spot. formly whose niform tainty, vere, if d, —if rm, rcle at would m the knew centre ly acnds in were culate. ond to

s the

dial-plate of our clock, the stars are the fixed marks distributed around its circuit, the moon is the moveable hand, which, with a motion that, superficially considered, seems uniform, but which, when carefully examined, is found to be far otherwise, and which, regulated by mechanical laws of astonishing complexity and intricacy in result, though beautifully simple in principle and design, performs a monthly circuit among them, passing visibly over and hiding, or, as it is called, occulting some, and gliding beside and between others; and whose position among them can, at any moment when it is visible, be exactly measured by the help of a sextant, just as we might measure the place of our clock-hand among the marks on its dial-plate with a pair of compasses, and thence, from the known and calculated laws of its motion, deduce the time. That the moon does so move among the stars, while the latter hold constantly, with respect to each other, the same relative position, the notice of a few nights, or even hours, will satisfy the commencing student, and this is all that at present we require.

(271.) There is only one circumstance wanting to make our analogy complete. Suppose the hands of our clock, instead of moving *quite close* to the dial-plate, were considerably elevated above, or distant in front of it. Unless, then, in viewing it, we kept our eye just in the line of their centre, we should not see them exactly thrown or projected upon their proper places on the dial. And if we were either unaware of this cause of optical charge of place, this parallax-or negligent in not taking it into account-we might make great mistakes in reading the time, by referring the hand to the wrong mark, or incorrectly appreciating its distance from the right. On the other hand, if we took care to note, in every case when we had occasion to observe the time, the exact position of the eye, there would be no difficulty in ascertaining and allowing for the precise influence of this cause of apparent displacement. Now, this is just what obtains with the apparent motion of the moon among the The former (as will appear) is comparatively near to stars. the earth — the latter immensely distant; and in consequence

of our not occupying the centre of the earth, but being carried about on its surface, and constantly changing place, there arises a *parallax*, which displaces the moon apparently among the stars, and must be allowed for before we can tell the true place she would occupy if seen from the centre.

(272.) Such a clock as we have described might, no doubt. be considered a very bad one; but if it were our only one, and if incalculable interests were at stake on a perfect knowledge of time, we should justly regard it as most precious. and think no pains ill bestowed in studying the laws of its movements, or in facilitating the means of *reading* it correctly. Such, in the parallel we are drawing, is the lunar theory, whose object is to reduce to regularity, the indications of this strangely irregular-going clock, to enable us to predict, long beforehand, and with absolute certainty, whereabouts among the stars, at every hour, minute, and second, in every day of every year, in Greenwich local time, the moon would be seen from the earth's centre, and will be seen from every accessible point of its surface; and such is the lunar method of longi-The moon's apparent angular distance from all those tudes. principal and conspicuous stars which lie in its course, as seen from the earth's centre, are computed and tabulated with the utmost care and precision in almanacks published under national control. No sooner does an observer, in any part of the globe, at sea or on land, measure its actual distance from any one of those standard stars (whose places in the heavens have been ascertained for the purpose with the most anxious solicitude), than he has, in fact, performed that comparison of his local time with the local times of every observatory in the world, which enables him to ascertain his difference of longitude from one or all of them.

(273.) The latitudes and longitudes of any number of points on the earth's surface may be ascertained by the methods above described; and by thus laying down a sufficient number of principal points, and filling in the intermediate spaces by local surveys, might maps of countries be constructed. In practice, however, it is found simpler and easier to divide each particular nation into a series of great triangles, the angles of tria its nati the poss poin may Nov bein othe trig

W

th

th

w

re

TI

mi

ot

me

do

sto

pre

of

cat

the

ext

miles

CONSTRUCTION OF MAPS.

which are stations conspicuously visible from each other. Of these triangles, the angles only are measured by means of the theodolite, with the exception of one side only of one triangle, which is called a base, and which is measured with every refinement which ingenuity can devise or expense command. This base is of moderate extent, rarely surpassing six or seven miles, and purposely selected in a perfectly horizontal plane, otherwise conveniently adapted to the purposes of measure-Its length between its two extreme points (which are ment. dots on plates of gold or platina let into massive blocks of stone, and which are, or at least ought to be, in all cases preserved with almost religious care, as monumental records of the highest importance), is then measured, with every precaution to ensure precision*, and its position with respect to the meridian, as well as the geographical positions of its extremities, carefully ascertained.

(274.) The annexed figure represents such a chain of



triangles. A B is the base, O, C, stations visible from both its extremities (one of which, O, we will suppose to be a national observatory, with which it is a principal object that the base should be as closely and immediately connected as possible); and D, E, F, G, H, K, other stations, remarkable points in the country, by whose connection its whole surface may be covered, as it were, with a network of triangles. Now, it is evident that the angles of the triangle A, B, C being observed, and one of its . ides, A B, measured, the other two sides, A C, B C, may be calculated by the rules of trigonometry; and thus each of the sides A C and B C

* The greatest *possible* error in the Irish base of between seven and eight miles, near Londonderry, is supposed not to exceed two inches.

22

arried there mong e true

doubt, y one, knowecious. of its rectly. heory, of this , long among day of e seen essible longil those as seen ith the under iy part istance in the e most t comery obin his

points above aber of y local a prace each gles of

tr

sp

sp

di

ta

us

ta

th

st

we tic

an

th

ac

an

ea

sp

tic

fei

If

ax

po

an

sp

N,

lit

rea

be

jec

wl B

th

an

mo

to ric

th

8a

becomes in its turn a *base* capable of being employed as known sides of other triangles. For instance, the angles of the triangles A C G and B C F being known by observation, and their sides A C and B C, we can thence calculate the lengths A G, C G, and B F, C F. Again, C G and C F being known, and the included angle G C F, G F may be calculated, and so on. Thus may all the stations be accurately determined and laid down, and as this process may be carried on to any extent, a map of the whole country may be t aus constructed, and filled in to any degree of detail we plcase.

(275.) Now, on this process there are two important remarks to be made. The first is, that it is necessary to be careful in the selection of stations, so as to form triangles free from any very great inequality in their angles. For instance, the triangle K B F would be a very improper one to determine the situation of F from observations at B and K, because the angle F being very acute, a small error in the angle K would produce a great one in the place of F upon the line B F. Such ill-conditioned triangles, therefore, must be avoided. But if this be attended to, the accuracy of the determination of the calculated sides will not be much short of that which would be obtained by actual measurement (were it practicable); and, therefore, as we recede from the base on all sides as a centre, it will speedily become practicable to use as bases, the sides of much larger triangles, such as G F, G H, H K, &c.; by which means the next step of the operation will come to be carried on on a much larger scale, and embrace far greater intervals, than it would have been safe to do (for the above reason) in the immediate neighbourhood of the base. Thus it becomes easy to divide the whole face of a country into great triangles of from 30 to 100 miles in their sides (according to the nature of the ground). which, being once well determined, may be afterwards. by a second series of subordinate operations, broken up into smaller ones, and these again into others of a still minuter order, till the final filling in is brought within the limits of personal survey and draftsmanship, and till a map is constructed, with any required degree of detail.

CONSTRUCTION OF MAPS.

byed as ngles of rvation, late the nd C F may be be accumay be try may etail we

portant ry to be riangles For inr one to and K. r in the F upon e, must r of the ch short irement rom the practies, such step of n larger ld have e neighide the to 100 round), s, by a smaller ler, till ersonal d, with

(276.) The next remark we have to make is, that all the triangles in question are not, rigorously speaking, plane, but spherical — existing on the surface of a sphere, or rather, to speak correctly, of an ellipsoid. In very small triangles, of six or seven miles in the side, this may be neglected, as the difference is imperceptible; but in the larger ones it must be taken into consideration. It is evident that, as every object used for pointing the telescope of a theodolith has some certain elevation, not only above the soil, but above the level of the sea, and as, moreover, these elevations differ in every instance, a reduction to the horizon of all the measured angles would appear to be required. But, in fact, by the construction of the theodolite (art. 192.), which is nothing more than

an altitude and azimuth instrument, this reduction is made in the very act of reading off the horizontal angles. Let E be the centre of the earth; A, B, C, the places on its spherical surface, to which three stations, A, P, Q, in a country are referred by radii E A, E B P, E C Q. If a theodolite be stationed at A, the axis of its horizontal circle will point to E when truly adjusted, and its plane will be a tangent to the



sphere at A, intersecting the radii E B P, E C Q, at M and N, above the spherical surface. The telescope of the theodolite, it is true, is pointed in succession to P, and Q; but the readings off of its azimuth circle give - not the angle PAQ between the directions of the telescope, or between the objects P, Q, as seen from A; but the azimuthal angle M A N, which is the measure of the angle A of the spherical triangle BAC. Hence arises this remarkable circumstance, — that the sum of the three observed angles of any of the great triangles in geodesical operations is always found to be rather more than 180°. Were the earth's surface a plane, it ought to be exactly 180°; and this excess, which is called the spherical excess, is so far from being a proof of incorrectness in the work, that it is essential to its accuracy, and offers at the same time another palpable proof of the earth's sphericity.

м 3

(277.) The true way, then, of conceiving the subject of a trigonometrical survey, when the spherical form of the earth is taken into consideration, is to regard the network of triangles with which the country is covered, as the bases of an assemblage of pyramids converging to the centre of the earth. The theodolite gives us the true measures of the angles included by the planes of these pyramids ; and the surface of an imaginary sphere on the level of the sca intersects them in an assemblage of spherical triangles, above whose angles, in the radii prolonged, the real stations of observation are raised, by the superficial inequalities of mountain and valley. The operose calculations of spherical trigonometry which this consideration would seem to render necessary for the reductions of a survey, are dispensed with in practice by a very simple and easy rule, called the rule for the spherical excess, which is to be found in most works on trigonometry. If we would take into account the ellipticity of the earth, it may also be done by appropriate processes of calculation, which, however. are too abstruse to dwell upon in a work like the present.

(278.) Whatever process of calculation we adopt, the result will be a reduction to the level of the sea, of all the triangles, and the consequent determination of the geographical latitude and longitude of every station observed. Thus we are at length enabled to construct maps of countries; to lay down the outlines of continents and islands; the courses of rivers; the places of cities, towns and villages; the direction of mountain ridges, and the places of their principal summits; and all those details which, as they belong to physical and statistical, rather than to astronomical geography, we need not here dilate on. A few words, however, will be necessary respecting maps, which are used as well in astronomy as in geography.

(279.) A map is nothing more than a representation, upon a plane, of some portion of the surface of a sphere, on which are traced the particulars intended to be expressed, whether they be continuous outlines or points. Now, as a spherical surface *

[•] We here neglect the ellipticity of the carth, which, for such a purpose as map-making, is too trifling to have any material influence.

PROJECTIONS OF THE SPHERE.

can by no contrivance be extended or projected into a plane, without undue enlargement or contraction of some parts in proportion to others; and as the system adopted in so extending or projecting it will decide *what* parts shall be enlarged or relatively contracted, and in what proportions; it follows, that when large portions of the sphere are to be mapped down, a great difference in their representations may subsist, according to the system of projection adopted.

(280.) The projections chiefly used in maps, are the orthographic, stereographic, and Mercator's. In the orthographic

projection, every point of the hemisphere is referred to its diametral plane or base, by a perpendicular let fall on it, so that the representation of the hemisphere thus mapped on its base, is such as would actually appear to an eye placed at an infinite



distance from it. It is obvious, from the annexed figure, that in this projection only the central portions are represented of their true forms, while all the exterior is more and more distorted and crowded together as we approach the edges of the map. Owing to this cause, the orthographic projection, though very good for small portions of the globe, is of little service for large ones.

(281.) The stereographic projection is in great measure free from this defect. To understand this projection, we must conceive an eye to be placed at E, one extremity of a diameter, E C B, of the sphere, and to view the concave surface of the sphere, every point of which, as P, is referred to the diametral plane A D F,



perpendicular to E B by the visual line P M E. The stereographic projection of a sphere, then, is a true perspec-

ect of a he earth k of tries of an ie earth. ngles ince of an em in an s, in the used, by The r. this conductions y simple s, which ve would y also be lowever, sent. , the ref all the geogra-Thus lies; to

principal to phyography, , will be in astroa, upon a which are

courses he direc-

purpose as

her they

surface *

tive representation of its concavity on a diametral plane; and, as such, it possesses some singularly elegant geometrical properties, of which we shall state one or two of the principal.

(282.) And first, then, all circles on the sphere are represented by circles in the projection. Thus the circle X is projected into x. Only great circles passing through the vertex B are projected into straight lines traversing the centre C: thus, BPA is projected into CA.

2ndly. Every very small triangle, G H K, on the sphere, is represented by a *similar* triangle, ghk, in the projection. This is a very valuable property, as it insures a general similarity of appearance in the map to the reality in all its smaller parts, and enables us to project at least a hemisphere in a single map, without any violent distortion of the configurations on the surface from their real forms. As in the orthographic projection, the borders of the hemisphere are unduly crowded together; in the stereographic, their projected dimensions are, on the contrary, somewhat enlarged in receding from the centre.

(283.) Both these projections may be considered *natural* ones, inasmuch as they are really perspective representations of the surface on a plane. Mercator's is entirely an artificial one, representing the sphere as it cannot be seen from any one point, but as it might be seen by an eye carried successively over every part of it. In it, the degrees of *longitude*,



С

s J s

by di

and those of *latitude*, bear always to each other their due proportion: the equator is conceived to be extended out into a straight line, and the meridians are straight lines at right angles to it, as in the figure. Altogether, the general cha-

PROJECTIONS OF THE SPHERE.

185

e; and, cal procipal. are recle X is ugh the ing the

bhere, is h. This milarity smaller bhere in nfigurae orthoanduly cted direceding

natural entations artificial com any succesingitude,

> ncir due out into at right ral cha

racter of maps on this projection is not very dissimilar to what would be produced by referring every point in the globe to a circumscribing cylinder, by lines drawn from the centre, and then unrolling the cylinder into a plane. Like the stereographic projection, it gives a true representation, as to form, of every particular small part, but varies greatly in point of scale in its different regions; the polar portions in particular being extravagantly enlarged; and the whole map, even of a single hemisphere, not being comprizable within any finite limits.

(283 a.) A very convenient projection, at once simple in principle, and remarkable for the facility with which places on the earth's surface may be laid down from a knowledge of their latitudes and longitudes, or stars from that of their right ascensions and polar distances; or read off from the chart when projected, is one in which (the radius of a circle being divided into ninety equal parts, representing degrees of polar distance,) parallels of latitude or of declination are expressed by concentric circles, described through each of the points of division, and circles of longitude or of declination are represented by the radii. In a planisphere constructed on this principle, the proportions of the spaces occupied on the chart by equal areas differently situated, are better preserved than in any of those already described, and with an amount of distortion of shape, on the whole, as little offensive as the nature of a planisphere chart allows. This projection (as does also one recently proposed by Colonel James, which takes in two-thirds of the sphere) admits of being extended considerably beyond a hemisphere, without producing a very intolerable distortion.

(283 b.) The following projection, in which equal areas on the projection correspond *precisely* to equal areas on the spherical surface projected, is also occasionally employed.* Take out, upon any scale, from a table of natural sines, the sines of $30', 1^\circ, 1^\circ, 30', \ldots$ up to 45° , and from any center

^{*} See "Results of Astronomical Observations at the Cape of Good Hope," by the Author, Plate XI., where this projection is used to exhibit the law of distribution of the Nebulæ.

with these as radii describe circles. These will represent the projections of small circles of the sphere about a pole, where projection is their common center, having the respective polar distances 1° , 2° , 3° , ... 90°.

(284.) We shall not, of course, enter here into any geographical details; but one result of maritime discovery on the great scale is, so to speak, massive enough to call for mention as an astronomical feature. When the continents and seas are laid down on a globe (and since the discovery of Australia and the recent addition to our antarctic knowledge of Victoria Land by Sir J. C. Ross, we are sure that no very extensive tracts of land remain unknown), we find that it is possible so to divide the globe into two hemispheres, that one shall contain nearly all the land; the other being almost entirely sea. It is a fact, not a little interesting to Englishmen, and, combined with our insular station in that great highway of nations, the Atlantic, not a little explanatory of our commercial eminence, that London* occupies nearly the center of the terrestrial hemisphere. Astronomically speaking, the fact of this divisibility of the globe into an oceanic and a terrestrial hemisphere is important, as demonstrative of a want of absolute equality in the density of the solid material of the two hemispheres. Considering the whole mass of land and water as in a state of equilibrium, it is evident that the half which protrudes must of necessity be buoyant; not, of course, that we mean to assert it to be lighter than water, but, as compared with the whole globe, in a less degree heavier than that fluid. We leave to geologists to draw from these premises their own conclusions (and we think them obvious enough) as to the internal constitution of the globe, and the immediate nature of the forces which sustain its continents at their actual elevation; but in any future investigations which

C

tl

E

0

d

[•] More exactly, Falmouth. The central point of the hemisphere which contains the maximum of land falls very nearly indeed upon this port. The land in the opposite hemisphere, with exception of the tapering extremity of South America and the slender peninsula of Malacca, is wholly insular, and were it not for Australia, would be quite insignificant in amount. This interesting feature of geography was first noticed by Colson (Phil. Tr. xxxix, p. 210.). A pair of planispheres for the horizon of London has been published by Hughes (London, 1839).

BAROMETRIC MEASUREMENT OF HEIGHTS. 187

may have for their object to explain the local deviations of the intensity of gravity, from what the hypothesis of an exact elliptic figure would require, this, as a general fact, ought not to be lost sight of.

(285.) Our knowledge of the surface of our globe is incomplete, unless it include the heights above the sea level of every part of the land, and the depression of the bed of the ocean below the surface over all its extent. The latter object is attainable (with whatever difficulty and howsoever slowly) by direct sounding; the former by two distinct methods: the one consisting in trigonometrical measurement of the differences of level of all the stations of a survey; the other, by the use of the barometer, the principle of which is, in fact, identical with that of the sounding line. In both cases we measure the distance of the point whose level we would know from the surface of an equilibrated ocean; only in the one case it is an ocean of water; in the other, of air. In the one case our sounding line is real and tangible; in the other, an imaginary one, measured by the length of the column of quicksilver the superincumbent air is capable of counterbalancing.

(286.) Suppose that instead of air, the earth and ocean were covered with oil, and that human life could subsist under such circumstances. Let ABCDE be a continent, of which the portion ABC projects above the water, but is



covered by the oil, which also floats at an uniform depth on the whole ocean. Then if we would know the depth of any point D below the sea level, we let down a plummet from F. But, if we would know the height of B above the same level, we have only to send up a float from B to the surface of the oil; and having done the same at C, a point at the sea level, the difference of the two float lines gives the height in question.

pole, e re-

r geory on ull for inents ery of vledge nat no d that s, that almost nglish-; great tory of rly the aking, and a e of a naterial of land at the not, of water, heavier these bvious nd the ents at which

e which t. The unity of lar, and t. This t. xxxix. ublished

(287). Now, though the atmosphere differs from oil in not having a positive surface equally definite, and in not being capable of carrying up any float adequate to such an use, yet it possesses all the properties of a fluid really essential to the purpose in view, and this in particular, --- that, over the whole surface of the globe, its strata of equal density supposed in a state of equilibrium, are parallel to the surface of equilibrium. or to what would be the surface of the sea, if prolonged under the continents, and therefore each or any of them has all the characters of a definite surface to measure from, provided it can be ascertained and identified. Now, the height at which, at any station B, the mercury in a barometer is supported, informs us at once how much of the atmosphere is incumbent on B, or, in other words, in what stratum of the general atmosphere (indicated by its density) B is situated: whence we are enabled finally to conclude, by mechanical reasoning*, at what height above the sea-level that degree of density is to be found over the whole surface of the globe. Such is the principle of the application of the barometer to the measurement of heights. For details, the reader is referred to other works.†

(288.) We will content ourselves here with a general caution against an implicit dependence on barometric measurements, except as a differential process, at stations not too remote from each other. They rely in their application on the assumption of a state of equilibrium in the atmospheric strata over the whole globe—which is very far from being their actual state (art. 37.). Winds, especially steady and general currents sweeping over extensive continents undoubtedly *tend* to produce some degree of conformity in the curvature of these strata to the *general* form of the land-surface, and therefore to give an undue elevation to the mercurial column at some points. On the other hand, the existence of localities on the earth's surface, where a permanent depres-

* Newton's Princip. ii. Prop. 22.

[†] Biot, Astronomic Physique, vol. iii. For tables, see the work of Biot cited. Also those of Oltmann, annually published by the French board of longitudes in their Annuaire; and Mr. Baily's Collection of Astronomical Tables and Formulæ. See also Encyc. Brit., "Meteorology," § 34.

NATURAL GEOGRAPHICAL DIVISIONS.

sion of the barometer prevails to the astonishing extent of nearly an inch, has been clearly proved by the observations of Ermann in Siberia and of Ross in the Antarctic Seas, and is probably a result of the same cause, and may be conceived as complementary to an undue habitual elevation in other regions. The mode in which both elevations and depressions of a permanent character may be maintained in the surface of a fluid in motion, will not be enigmatical to any one who contemplates the ripple caused by a pebble in a brook.

(289.) Possessed of a knowledge of the heights of stations above the sea, we may connect all stations at the same altitude by level lines, the lowest of which will be the outline of the sea-coast; and the rest will mark out the successive coast-lines which would take place were the sea to rise by regular and equal accessions of level over the whole world, till the highest mountains were submerged. The bottoms of valleys and the ridge-lines of hills are determined by their property of intersecting all these level lines at right angles, and being, subject to that condition, the shortest and longest, that is to say, the steepest, and the most gently sloping courses respectively which can be pursued from the summit to the sea. The former constitute "the water courses" of a country; the latter its lines of "watersched"* by which it is divided into distinct basins of drainage. Thus originate natural districts of the most ineffaceable character, on which the distribution, limits, and peculiarities of human communities are in great measure dependent. The mean height of the continent of Europe, or that height which its surface would have were all inequalities levelled and the mountains spread equally over the plains, is according to Humboldt 1342 English feet; that of Asia, 2274; of North America, 1496; and of South America, 2302.⁺

* Wasser-scheide, the separation of the waters.

[†] Humboldt's numbers are the halves of these, but express, not the mean heights of the *surfaces*, but the heights of the several *centres* of *gravity* of the continental masses above the sea level.

oil in not ot being 1 use, yet ial to the the whole osed in a ilibrium, ed under as all the ovided it at which, upported, **icumbent** e general : whence asoning*, nsity is to ch is the measurel to other

eral caumeasurenot too cation on nospheric om being eady and undoubtthe cur--surface, nercurial stence of t depres-

rk of Biot h board of stronomical

CHAPTER V.

OF URANOGRAPHY.

CONSTRUCTION OF CELESTIAL MAPS AND GLOBES BY OBSERVATIONS OF RIGHT ASCENSION AND DECLINATION. — CELESTIAL OBJECTS DISTINGUISHED INTO FIXED AND ERRATIC. — OF THE CONSTEL-LATIONS. — NATURAL REGIONS IN THE HEAVENS. — THE MILKY WAY. — THE ZODIAC. — OF THE ECLIPTIC. — CELESTIAL LATITUDES AND LONGITUDES. — PRECESSION OF THE EQUINOXES. — NUTATION. — ABERRATION. — REFRACTION. — PARALLAX. — SUMMARY VIEW OF THE URANOGRAPHICAL CORRECTIONS.

it b to to T r

h

tr

th

la

ar

m

n

as

je

m

la

its

re

di

th

th

m

SC

th

(290.) THE determination of the relative situations of objects in the heavens, and the construction of maps and globes which shall truly represent their mutual configurations as well as of catalogues which shall preserve a more precise numerical record of the position of each, is a task at once simpler and less laborious than that by which the surface of the earth is mapped and measured. Every star in the great constellation which appears to revolve above us, constitutes, so to speak, a celestial station; and among these stations we may, as upon the earth, triangulate, by measuring with proper instruments their angular distances from each other, which, cleared of the effect of refraction, are then in a state for laying down on charts, as we would the towns and villages of a country: and this without moving from our place, at least for all the stars which rise above our horizon.

(291.) Great exactness might, no doubt, be attained by this means, and excellent celestial charts constructed; but there is a far simpler and easier, and at the same time, infinitely more accurate course laid open to us if we take advantage of the earth's rotation on its axis, and by observing each celestial object as it passes our meridian, refer it separately and independently to the celestial equator, and thus ascertain

CONSTRUCTION OF CELESTIAL MAPS.

its place on the surface of an imaginary sphere, which may be conceived to revolve with it, and on which it may be considered as projected.

(292.) The right ascension and declination of a point in the heavens correspond to the longitude and latitude of a station on the earth; and the place of a star on a celestial sphere is determined, when the former elements are known, just as that of a town on a map, by knowing the latter. The great advantages which the method of meridian observation possesses over that of triangulation from star to star, are, then, 1st, That in it every star is observed in that point of its diurnal course, when it is best seen and least displaced by refraction. 2dly, That the instruments required (the transit and meridian circle) are the simplest and least liable to error or derangement of any used by astronomers. 3dly, That all the observations can be made systematically, in regular succession, and with equal advantages; there being here no question about advantageous or disadvantageous triangles, &c. And, lastly, That, by adopting this course, the very quantities which we should otherwise have to calculate by long and tedious operations of spherical trigonometry, and which are essential to the formation of a catalogue, are made the objects of immediate measurement. It is almost needless to state, then, that this is the course adopted by astronomers.

(293.) To determine the right ascension of a celestial object, all that is necessary is to observe the moment of its meridian passage with a transit instrument, by a clock regulated to exact sidereal time, or reduced to such by applying its known error and rate. The *rate* may be obtained by repeated observations of the same star at its successive meridian passages. The *error*, however, requires a knowledge of the *equinox*, or initial point from which all right ascensions in the heavens reckon, as longitudes do on the earth from a first meridian.

(294.) The nature of this point will be explained presently; but for the purposes of uranography, in so far as they concern only the actual configurations of the stars *inter*

TIONS JECTS STEL-MILKY FUDES ATION. EW OF

bjects globes ns as recise once ace of great tutes, ns we with other, state lages e, at

> d by but infilvaneach ately rtain

and

If

divi

to (

any

obse

to s

the

plac

chan

situ

to be

and i

the n

of pla

the i

is no

telese

conti

less, i

altitu

stars '

situat

sun, a

season

taken

respec

there

most 1

most b

a const

and no

as mai

making

heaven

The in

(298)

se, a knowledge of the equinox is not necessary. The choice of the equinox, as a zero point of right ascensions, is purely artificial, and a matter of convenience. As on the earth, any station (as a national observatory) may be chosen for an origin of longitudes; so in uranography, any conspicuous star might be selected as an initial point from which hour angles might be reckoned, and from which, by merely observing differences or intervals of time, the situation of all others might be deduced. In practice, these intervals are affected by certain minute causes of inequality, which must be allowed for, and which will be explained in their proper places.

(295.) The declinations of celestial objects are obtained, 1st, By observation of their meridian altitudes, with the mural or meridian circle, or other proper instruments. This requires a knowledge of the geographical latitude of the station of observation, which itself is only to be obtained by celestial observation. 2dly, And more directly, by observation of their polar aistances on the mural circle, as explained in art. 170., which is independent of any previous determination of the latitude of the station; neither, however, in this case, does observation give directly and immediately the exact declinations. The observations require to be corrected, first for refraction, and moreover for those minute causes of inequality which have been just alluded to in the case of right ascensions.

(296.) In this manner, then, may the places, one among the other, of all celestial objects be ascertained, and maps and globes constructed. Now here arises a very important question. How far are these places permanent? Do these stars and the greater luminaries of heaven preserve for ever one invariable connection and relation of place *inter se*, as if they formed part of a solid though invisible firmament; and, like the great natural land-marks on the earth, preserve immutably the same distances and bearings each from the other? If so, the most rational idea we could form of the universe would be that of an earth at absolute rest in the center, and a hollow crystalline sphere circulating round it,

FIXED AND ERRATIC STARS.

and carrying sun, moon, and stars along in its diurnal motion. If not, we must dismiss all such notions, and inquire individually into the distinct history of each object, with a view to discovering the laws of its peculiar motions, and whether any and what other connection subsists between them.

(297.) So far is this, however, from being the case, that observations, even of the most cursory nature, are sufficient to show that some, at least, of the celestial bodies, and those the most conspicuous, are in a state of continual change of place among the rest. In the case of the moon, indeed, the change is so rapid and remarkable, that its alteration of situation with respect to such bright stars as may happen to be near it may be noticed any fine night in a few hours; and if noticed on two successive nights, cannot fail to strike the most careless observer. With the sun, too, the change of place among the stars is constant and rapid; though, from the invisibility of stars to the naked eye in the day-time, it is not so readily recognized, and requires either the use of telescopes and angular instruments to measure it, or a longer continuance of observation to be struck with it. Nevertheless, it is only necessary to call to mind its greater meridian altitude in summer than in winter, and the fact that the stars which come into view at night (and which are therefore situated in an hemisphere opposite to that occupied by the sun, and having that luminary for its centre) vary with the season of the year, to perceive that a great change must have taken place in that interval in its relative situation with respect to all the stars. Besides the sun and moon, too, there are several other bodies, called planets, which, for the most part, appear to the naked eye only as the largest and most brilliant stars, and which offer the same phenomenon of a constant change of place among the stars; now approaching, and now receding from, such of them as we may refer them to as marks; and, some in longer, some in shorter periods, making, like the sun and moon, the complete tour of the heavens.

(298.) These, however, are exceptions to the general rule. The innumerable multitude of the stars which are distributed

0

ice ely th, an ous our oball are ust

red, the Chis the ined obious ious nownmee to hose d to

> ang haps tant hese ever s if and, erve the the the it,

194

over the vault of the heavens form a constellation, which preserves, not only to the eye of the casual observer, but to the nice examination of the astronomer, a uniformity of aspect which, when contrasted with the perpetual change in the configurations of the sun, moon, and planets, may well be termed invariable. It is true, indeed, that, by the refinement of exact measurements prosecuted from age to age, some small changes of apparent place, attributable to no illusion and to no terrestrial cause, have been detected in Such are called, in astronomy, the proper many of them. motions of the stars. But these are so excessively slow, that their accumulated amount (even in those stars for which they are greates:) has been insufficient, in the whole duration of astronomical history, to produce any obvious or material alteration in the appearance of the starry heavens.

(299.) This circumstance, then, establishes a broad distinction of the heavenly bodies into two great classes; — the fixed, among which (unless in a course of observations continued for many years) no change of mutual situation can be detected; and the erratic, or wandering — (which is implied in the word planet*) — including the sun, moon, and planets, as well as the singular class of bodies termed comets, in whose apparent places among the stars, and among each other, the observation of a few days, or even hours, is sufficient *o exhibit an indisputable alteration.

(300.) Uranography, then, as it concerns the fixed celestial bodies (or, as they are usually called, the *fixed stars*), is reduced to a simple marking down of their relative places on a globe or on maps; to the insertion on that globe, in its due place in the great constellation of the stars, of the pole of the heavens, or the vanishing point of parallels to the earth's axis; and of the equator and place of the equinox: points and circles these, which, though artificial, and having reference entirely to our earth, and therefore subject to all changes (if any) to which the earth's axis may be liable, are yet so convenient in practice, that they have obtained an

Πλανητης, a wanderer.

OF THE CONSTELLATIONS.

on, which er, but to formity of change in , may well t, by the om age to butable to letected in the *proper* slow, that for which le duration or material

broad dissscs; — the observations ituation can — (which is , moon, and ned comets, among each h hours, is

e fixed cefixed stars), ative places lobe, in its of the pole lels to the e equinox: and having pject to all p liable, are btained an admission (with some other circles and lines), sanctioned by usage, in all globes and planispheres. The reader, however, will take care to keep them separate in his mind, and to familiarize himself with the idea rather of two or more celestial globes, superposed and fitting on each other, on one of which — a real one — are inscribed the stars; on the others those imaginary points, lines, and circles, which astronomers have devised for their own uses, and to aid their calculations; and to accustom himself to conceive in the latter or artificial spheres a capability of being shifted in any manner upon the surface of the other: so that, should experience demonstrate (as it does) that these artificial points and lines are brought, by a slow motion of the earth's axis, or by other secular variations (as they are called), to coincide, at very distant intervals of time, with different stars, he may not be unprepared for the change, and may have no confusion to correct in his notions.

(301.) Of course we do not here speak of those uncouth figures and outlines of men and monstered which are usually scribbled over celestial globes and maps, and serve, in a rude and barbarous way, to enable us to talk of groups of stars, or districts in the heavens, by names which, though absurd or puerile in their origin, have obtained a currency from which it would be difficult to dislodge them. In so far as they have really (as some have) any slight resemblance to the figures called up in imagination by a view of the more splendid "constellations," they have a certain convenience; but as they are otherwise entirely arbitrary, and correspond to no natural subdivisions or groupings of the stars, astronomers treat them lightly, or altogether disregard them^{*}, except for briefig naming remarkable stars, as a Leonis, β Scorpii, &c. &c., by letters of the Greek alphabet attached

[•] This disregard is neither supercilious nor eauseless. The constellations seem to have been almost purposely named and delineated to cause as much confusion and inconvenience us possible. Innumerable snakes twine through long and converted areas of the heavens, where no memory can follow them; bears, lions, and fishes, large and small, northern and southern, confuse all nomenclature, &c. A Letter system of constellations might have been a material help as an artificial memory.

to them. The reader will find them on any celestial charts or globes, and may compare them with the heavens, and there learn for himself their position.

(302.) There are not wanting, however, natural districts in the heavens, which offer great peculiarities of character. and strike every observer: such is the milky way, that great luminous band, which stretches, every evening, all across the sky, from horizon to horizon, and which, when traced with diligence, and mapped down, is found to form a zone completely encircling the whole sphere, almost in a great circle, which is neither an hour circle, nor coincident with any other of our astronomical grammata. It is divided in one part of its course, sending off a kind of branch, which unites again with the main body, after remaining distinct for about 150 degrees, within which it suffers an interruption in its continuity. This remarkable belt has maintained, from the earliest ages, the same relative situation among the stars; and, when examined through powerful telescopes, is found (wonderful to relate!) to consist entirely of stars scattered by millions, like glittering dust, on the black ground of the general heavens. It will be described more particularly in the subsequent portion of this work.

(303.) Another remarkable region in the heavens is the zodiac, not from any thing peculiar in its own constitution, but from its being the area within which the apparent motions of the sun, moon, and all the greater planets are confined. To trace the path of any one of these, it is only necessary to ascertain, by continued observation, its places at successive epochs, and entering these upon our map or sphere in sufficient number to form a series, not too far disjoined, to connect them by lines from point to point, as we mark out the course of a vessel at sea by mapping down its place from day to day. Now when this is done, it is found, first, that the apparent path, or track, of the sun on the surface of the heavens, is no other than an exact great circle of the sphere which is called the *ecliptic*, and which is inclined to the equinoctial at an angle of about 23° 28', intersecting it at two opposite points, called the equinoctial points, or

OF THE ECLIPTIC AND ZODIAC.

197

ial charts vens, and

districts character, that great across the raced with zone comreat circle, any other one part of inites again about 150 in its con-, from the the stars; es, is found scattered by und of the rticularly in

wens is the constitution, o apparent ets are conit is on'y , its places our map or not too far point, as we ng down its it is found, on the sureat circle of is inclined intersecting points, or equinoxes, and which are distinguished from each other by the epithets vernal and autumnal; the vernal being that at which the sun crosses the equinoctial from south to north; the autumnal, when it quits the northern and enters the southern hemisphere. Secondly, that the moon and all the planets pursue paths which, in like manner, encircle the whole heavens, but are not, like that of the sun, great circles exactly returning into themselves and bisecting the sphere, but rather spiral curves of much complexity, and described with very unequal velocities in their different parts. They have all, however, this in common, that the general direction of their motions is the same with that of the sun, viz. from west to east, that is to say, the contrary to that in which both they and the stars appear to be carried by the diurnal motion of the heavens; and, moreover, that they never deviate far from the ecliptic on either side, crossing and recrossing it at regular and equal intervals of time, and confining themselves within a zone, or belt (the zodiac already spoken of), extending (with certain exceptions among the smaller planets) not further than 8° or 9° on either side of the ecliptic.

(304.) It would manifestly be useless to map down on globes or charts the apparent paths of any of those bodies which never retrace the same course, and which, therefore, demonstrably, must occupy at some one moment or other of their history, every point in the area of that zone of the heavens within which they are circumseribed. The apparent complication of their movements arises (that of the moon excepted) from our viewing them from a station which is itself in methon, and would disappear, could we shift our point of view and observe them from the sun. On the other hand the apparent motion of the sun is presented to us under its least involved form, and is studied, from the station we occupy, to the greatest advantage. So that, independent of the importance of that luminary to us in other respects, it is by the investigation of the laws of :, motions in the first instance that we must rise to a knowledge of those of all the other bodies of our system.

(305.) The ecliptic, which is its apparent path among the

stars, is traversed by it in the period called the sidereal year, which consists of 365d 6r. 9m 9.6s, reckoned in mean solar time or 366d 6h 9m 3.6s reckoned in sidereal time. The reason of this difference (and it is this which constitutes the origin of the difference between solar and sidereal time) is, that as the sun's apparent annual motion among the stars is performed in a contrary direction to the apparent *diurnal* motion of both sun and stars, it comes to the same thing as if the diurnal motion of the sun were so much *slower* than that of the stars. or as if the sun lagged behind them in its daily course. When this has gone on for a whole year, the sun will have fallen behind the stars by a whole circumference of the heavens—or, r other words—in a year the sun will have made fewer diu. evolutions, by one, than the stars. So that the same interval of time which is measured by 366^d 6^h, &c. of sidereal time, will be called 365 days, 6 hours, &c., if reckoned in mean solar time. Thus, then, is the proportion between the mean solar and sidereal day established, which, reduced into a decimal fraction, is that of 1.00273791 to 1. The measurement of time by these different standards may be compared to that of space by the standard feet, or ells of two different nations; the proportion of which, once settled and borne in mind, can never become a source of error.

(306.) The position of the ecliptic among the stars may, for our present purpose, be regarded as invariable. It is true that this is not strictly the case; and on comparing together its position at present with that which it held at the most distant epoch at which we possess observations, we find evidences of a small change, which theory accounts for, and whose nature will be hereafter explained; but that change is so excessively slow, that for a great many successive years, or even for whole centuries, this circle may be regarded, for most ordinary purposes, as holding the same position in the sidereal heavens. t

s I

t

te tl

ci

ci of

01

pa la

ar

bo th

fig cit

(307.) The poles of the ecliptic, like those of any other great circle of the sphere, are opposite points on its surface, equidistant from the ecliptic in every direction. They are of course not coincident with those of the equinoctial, but

CELESTIAL LATITUDES AND LONGITUDES.

removed from it by an angular interval equal to the inclination of the ecliptic to the equinoctial (23° 28'), which is called the obliquity of the ecliptic. In the next figure, if P p represent the north and south poles (by which when used without qualification we always mean the poles of the equinoctial), and $\mathbf{E} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{V}$ the equinoctial, $\mathbf{V} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{W}$ the ecliptic, and $\mathbf{K} \mathbf{k}$, its poles — the spherical angle QVS is the obliquity of the ecliptic, and is equal in angular measure to P K or S Q. If we suppose the sun's apparent motion to be in the direction VSAW, V will be the vernal and A the autumnal equinox. S and W, the two points at which the ecliptic is most distant from the equinoctial, are termed solstices, because, when arrived there, the sun ceases to recede from the equator, and (in that sense, so far as its motion in declination is concerned) to stand still in the heavens. S, the point where the sun has the greatest northern declination, is called the summer, and W, that where it is farthest south, the winter solstice. These epithets obviously have their origin in the dependence of the seasons on the sun's declination, which will be explained in the next chapter. The circle $\mathbf{F} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{k} \mathbf{p}$, which passes through the poles of the ecliptic and equinoctial, is called the solstitial colure; and a meridian drawn through the equinoxes, P V p A, the equinoctial colure.

(308.) Since the ecliptic holds a determinate situation in the starry heavens, it may be employed, like the equinoctial, to refer the positions of the stars to, by circles drawn through them from *its* poles, and therefore perpendicular to it. Such

circles are termed, in astronomy, circles of latitude — the distance of a star from the ccliptic, reckoned on the circle of latitude passing through it, is called the *latitude* of the stars — and the E are of the ccliptic intercepted between the vernal equinox and this circle, its *longitude*. In the figure, X is a star, P X R a circle of declination drawn o 4



l year, n solar reason e origin that as formed of both diurnal ie stars, course. ill have of the ill have So rs. 366d 6h, , &c., if oportion l, which, '91 to 1. irds may r ells of e settlcd þr. ars may, e. It is mparing ld at the

d at the we find for, and hange is e years, ded, for h in the

y other surface, They are tial, but

through it, by which it is referred to the equinoctial, and K X T a circle of latitude referring it to the ecliptic then, as V R is the right ascension, and R X the declination, of X, so also is V T its longitude, and T X its latitude. The use of the terms longitude and latitude, in this sense, seems to have originated in considering the ecliptic as forming a kind of natural equator to the heavens, as the terrestrial equator does to the earth — the former holding an invariable position with respect to the stars, as the latter does with respect to stations on the earth's surface. The force of this observation will presently become apparent.

(309.) Knowing the right ascension and declination of an object, we may find its longitude and latitude, and vice versâ This is a problem of great use in physical astronomy-the following is its solution : - In our last figure, E K P Q, the solstitial colure is of course 90° distant from V, the vernal equinox, which is one of its poles - so that V R (the right ascension) being given, and also V E, the arc E R, and its measure, the spherical angle E P R, or K P X, is known. In the spherical triangle K P X, then, we have given, 1st, The side P K, which, being the distance of the poles of the ecliptic and equinoctial, is equal to the obliquity of the ecliptic; 2d, The side P X, the polar distance, or the complement of the declination R X; and, 3d, the included angle KPX; and therefore, by spherical trigonometry, it is easy to find the other side K X, and the remaining angles. Now K X is the complement of the required latitude X T, and the angle PKX being known, and PKV being a right angle (because SV is 90°), the angle XKV becomes known. Now this is no other than the measure of the longitude VT of the object. The inverse problem is resolved by the same triangle, and by a process exactly similar.

(310.) It is often of use to know the situation of the ecliptic in the visible heavens at any instant; that is to say, the points where it cuts the horizon, and the altitude of its highest point, or, as it is sometimes called, the *nonagesimal* point of the ecliptic, as well as the longitude of this point on the ecliptic itself from the equinox. These, and all questions

NONAGESIMAL. ANGLE OF SITUATION.

referable to the same data and quæsita, are resolved by the spherical triangle Z P E, formed by the zenith Z (considered as the pole of the horizon), the pole of the equinoctial P, and

the pole of the ecliptic E. The sidereal time being given, and also the right ascension of the pole of the ecliptic (which is always the same, viz. $18^{h} 0^{m} 0^{s}$), the hour angle Z PE of that point is known. Then, in this triangle we have given P Z, the colatitude; P E, the polar distance of the pole of the ecliptic, $23^{\circ} 28'$, and the angle Z P E



from which we may find, 1st, the side Z E, which is easily seen to be equal to the altitude of the nonagesimal point sought; and 2dly, the angle P Z E, which is the azimuth of the pole of the ecliptic, and which, therefore, being added to and subtracted from 90°, gives the azimuth of the eastern and western intersections of the ecliptic with the horizon. Lastly, the longitude of the nonagesimal point may be had, by calculating in the same triangle the angle P E Z, which is its complement.

(311.) The angle of situation of a star is the angle included between circles of latitude and of declination passing through it. To determine it in any proposed case, we must resolve the triangle P S E, in which are given P S, P E, and the angle S P E, which is the difference between the star's right ascension and 18 hours; from which it is easy to find the angle P S E required. This angle is of use in many inquiries in physical astronomy. It is called in most books on astronomy, the angle of *position*, but this expression has become otherwise and more conveniently appropriated. (See Art. 204.)

(312.) The same course of observations by which the path of the sun among the fixed stars is traced, and the celiptic marked out among them, determines, of course, the place of the equinox V (Fig. art. 308.) upon the starry sphere, at

tial, and diptic lination, de. The second for the percentrial nvariable bes with se of this

on of an ice versâ ny—the P Q, the e vernal the right , and its known. ven, 1st, es of the y of the the comed angle it is easy s. Now , and the ht angle known. ude V T the same

h of the s to say, de of its agesimal point on uestions

that time-a point of great importance in practical astronomy, as it is the origin or zero point of right ascension. Now, when this process is repeated at considerably distant intervals of time, a very remarkable phenomenon is observed : viz. that the equinox does not preserve a constant place among the stars, but shifts its position, travelling continually and regularly, although with extreme slowness, backwards, along the ecliptic, in the direction V W from east to west, or the contrary to that in which the sun appears to move in that As the ecliptic and equinoctial are not very much circle. inclined, this motion of the equinox from east to west along the former, conspires (speaking generally) with the diurnal motion, and carries it, with reference to that motion, continually in advance upon the stars: hence it has acquired the name of the precession of the equinoxes, because the place of the equinox among the stars, at every subsequent moment, precedes (with reference to the diurnal motion) that which it held the moment before. The amount of this motion by which the equinox travels backward, or retrogrades (as it is called), on the ecliptic, is 0° 0' 50.10" per annum, an extremely minute quantity, but which, by its continual accumulation from year to year, at last makes itself very palpable, and that in a way highly inconvenient to practical astronomers, by destroying, in the lapse of a moderate number of years, the arrangement of their catalogues of stars, and making it necessary to reconstruct them. Since the formation of the earliest catalogue on record, the place of the equinox has retrograded already about 30°. The period in which it performs a complete tour of the ecliptic, is 25,868 years.

(313.) The immediate uranographical effect of the precession of the equinoxes is to produce a uniform *increase of longitude* in all the heavenly bodies, whether fixed or erratic. For the vernal equinox being the initial point of longitudes, as well as of right ascension, a retreat of this point on the eeliptic *tells* upon the longitudes of all alike, whether at rest or in motion, and produces, so far as its amount extends, the *appearance* of a motion in longitude common to all, as if the 1

C

f

١

v

r

f

a

n

PRECESSION OF THE EQUINOXES.

whole heavens had a slow rotation round the poles of the ecliptic in the long period above mentioned, similar to what they have in twenty-four hours round those of the equinoctial. This increase of longitude, the reader will of course observe and bear in mind, is, properly speaking, neither a real nor an apparent movement of the stars. It is a purely technical result, arising from the gradual shifting of the zero point from which longitudes are reckoned. Had a fixed star been chosen as the origin of longitudes, they would have been invariable.

(314.) To form a just idea of this curious astronomical phenomenon, however, we must abandon, for a time, the consideration of the ecliptic, as tending to produce confusion in our ideas; for this reason, that the stability of the ecliptic itself among the stars is (as already hinted, art. 306.) only approximate, and that in consequence its intersection with the equinoctial is liable to a certain amount of change, arising from *its* fluctuation, which mixes itself with what is due to the principal uranographical cause of the phenomenon. This cause will become at once apparent, if, instead of regarding the equinox, we fix our attention on the pole of the equinoctial, or the vanishing point of the earth's axis.

(315.) The place of this point among the stars is easily determined at any epoch, by the most direct of all astronomical observations, — those with the meridian or mural circle. By this instrument we are enabled to ascertain at every moment the exact distance of the polar point from any three or more stars, and therefore to lay it down, by triangulating from these stars, with unerring precision, on a chart or globe, without the least reference to the position of the ecliptic, or to any other circle not naturally connected with it. Now, when this is done with proper diligence and exactness, it results that, although for short intervals of time, such as a few days, the place of the pole may be regarded as not sensibly variable, yet in reality it is in a state of constant, although extremely slow motion; and, what is still more remarkable, this motion is not uniform, but compounded of

l astrocension. distant served: e among lly and s, along , or the in that y much st along diurnal on, conired the place of noment, which it otion by (as it is an exal accupalpable, nomers, of years, aking it n of the has reerforms

he prerease of erratic. gitudes, on the at rest nds, the s if the

one principal, uniform, or nearly uniform, part, and other smaller and subordinate periodical fluctuations: the former giving rise to the phenomena of *precession*; the latter to another distinct phenomenon called *nutation*. These two phenomena, it is true, belong, theoretically speaking, to one and the same general head, and are intimately connected together, forming part of a great and complicated chain of consequences flowing from the earth's rotation on its axis: but it will be conducive to clearness at present to consider them separately

(316.) It is found, then, that in virtue of the uniform part of the motion of the pole, it describes a circle in the heavens around the pole of the ecliptic as a centre, keeping constantly at the same distance of 23° 28' from it in a direction from east to west, and with such a velocity, that the annual angle described by it, in this its imaginary orbit, is 50.10"; so that the whole circle would be described by it in the above-mentioned period of 25,868 years. It is easy to perceive how such a motion of the pole will give rise to the retrograde motion of the equinoxes; for in the figure, art. 308, suppose the pole P in the progress of its motion in the small circle POZ round K to come to O, then, as the situation of the equinoctial EVQ is determined by that of the pole, this, it is evident, must cause a displacement of the equinoctial, which will take a new situation, EUQ, 90° distant in every part from the new position O of the pole. The point U, therefore, in which the displaced equinoetial will intersect the celiptic, *i. e.* the displaced equinox, will lie on that side of V. its original position, towards which the motion of the pole is directed, or to the westward.

١

ŀ

е

s

a

Π

a

W

SI

a

n

a

of

of

(317.) The precession of the equinoxes thus conceived, consists, then, in a real but very slow motion of the pole of the heavens among the stars, in a small circle round the pole of the ecliptic. Now this cannot happen without producing corresponding changes in the apparent diurnal motion of the sphere, and the aspect which the heavens must present at very remote periods of history. The pole is nothing more than the vanishing point of the earth's axis. As this point, then,

THE POLE STAR NOT ALWAYS THE SAME. 205

has such a motion as we have described, it necessarily follows that the earth's axis must have a conical motion, in virtue of which it points successively to every part of the small eircle in question. We may form the best idea of such a motion by noticing a child's peg-top, when it spins not upright, or that amusing toy the te-to-tum, which, when delicately exccuted, and nicely balanced, becomes an elegant philosophical instrument, and exhibits, in the most beautiful manner, the whole phenomenon. The reader will take eare not to confound the variation of the position of the carth's axis in space with a mere shifting of the imaginary line about which it revolves, in its interior. The whole earth participates in the motion, and goes along with the axis as if it were really a bar of iron driven through it. That such is the case is proved by the two great facts: 1st, that the latitudes of places on the earth, or their geographical situation with respect to the poles, have undergone no perceptible change from the earliest ages. 2dly, that the sea maintains its level, which could not be the case if the motion of the axis were not accompanied with a motion of the whole mass of the earth.*

(318.) The visible effect of precession on the aspect of the heavens consists in the *apparent* approach of some stars and constellations to the pole and recess of others. The bright star of the Lesser Bear, which we call the pole star, has not always been, nor will always continue to be, our cynosure. At the time of the construction of the earliest catalogues it was 12° from the pole — it is now only 1° 24', and will approach yet nearer, to within half a degree, after which it will again recede, and slowly give place to others, which will succeed in its companionship to the pole. After a lapse of about 12,000 years, the star *a* Lyræ, the brightest in the northern hemisphere, will occupy the remarkable situation of a pole star approaching within about 5° of the pole.

(319.) At the date of the erection of the Great Pyra nid of Gizch, which precedes by 3970 years (say 4000) the pre-

d other former atter to ese two to one nnected shain of ts axis: consider

rm part heavens astantly n from angle so that ve-menve how rograde suppose ll circle of the his, it is , which ry part , thereect the le of V, pole is

ceived, pole of he pole ducing of the at very e than , then,

^{*} Local changes of the sea level, arising from purely geological causes, are easily distinguished from that general and systematic alteration which a shifting of the axis of rotation would give rise to.

sent epoch, the longitudes of all the stars were less by 55° 45' than at present. Calculating from this datum* the place of the pole of the heavens among the stars, it will be found to fall near a Draconis; its distance from that star being 3° 44' 25". This being the most conspicuous star † in the immediate neighbourhood was therefore the pole star at that epoch. And the latitude of Gizeh being just 30° north, and consequently the altitude of the north pole there also 30°, it follows that the star in question must have had at its lower culmination, at Gizeh, an altitude of 26° 15' 35". Now it is a remarkable fact, ascertained by the late researches of Col. Vyse, that of the nine pyramids still existing at Gizeh, six (including all the largest) have the narrow passages by which alone they can be entered, (all which open out on the northern faces of their respective pyramids) inclined to the horizon downwards at angles as follows.

1st, or Pyramid of Cheops 2d, or Pyramid of Cephren				-	-	-	-	26 ⁰	41'	
				-	-	-		25	55	
3d, or	Pyram	id of M	vcerinus	-	-	-	-	26	2	
4th,	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	27	0	
5th,	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27	12	
9th,	-	-	- .	-	-	-	-	28	0	
		•				Mean	-	26	47	

si ol in gr th

th

th

co

th

su

ciı

(w

(S

coi and

to suc

the

a 1

Of the two pyramids at Abousseir also, which alone exist in a state of sufficient preservation to admit of the inclinations of their entrance passages being determined, one has the angle 27° 5', the other 26°.

(320.) At the bottom of every one of these passages therefore, the *then* pole star must have been visible at its lower culmination, a circumstance which can hardly be supposed to have been unintentional, and was doubtless connected (perhaps superstitiously) with the astronomical observation of that star, of whose proximity to the pole at the epoch of the erec-

+ a Draconis is now an *inconspicuous* star of the 4th magnitude, but there is distinct evidence to show that it was formerly brighter.

[•] On this calculation the diminution of the obliquity of the ecliptic in the 4000 years elapsed has no influence. That diminution arises from a change in the plane of the earth's *orbit*, and has nothing to do with the change in the position of its αxis , as referred to the starry sphere.

NUTATION OF THE EARTH'S AXIS.

tion of these wonderful structures, we are thus furnished with a monumental record of the most imperishable nature.

(321.) The *nutation* of the earth's axis is a small and slow subordinate gyratory movement, by which, if subsisting alone, the pole would describe among the stars, in a period of about ninetcen years, a minute ellipsis, having its longer axis equal to 18''.5, and its shorter to 13''.74; the longer being directed towards the pole of the ecliptic, and the shorter, of course, at right angles to it. The consequence of this real motion of the pole is an *apparent* approach and recess of all the stars in the heavens to the pole in the same period. Since, also, the place of the equinox on the ecliptic is determined by the place of the pole in the heavens, the same cause will give rise to a small alternate advance and recess of the equinoctial points, by which, in the same period, both the longitudes and the right ascensions of the stars will be also alternately increased and diminished.

(322.) Both these motions, however, although here considered separately, subsist jointly; and since, while in virtue of the nutation, the pole is describing its little ellipse of $18''\cdot 5$ in diameter, it is carried by the greater and regularly progressive motion of precession over so much of its circle round the pole of the ecliptic as corresponds to nineteen years, that is to say, over an angle of nineteen times $50''\cdot 1$ round the centre (which, in a small circle of $23^{\circ} 28'$ in diameter, corresponds to 6' 20'', as seen from the centre of the sphere): the path which it will pursue in virtue of the two motions, subsisting jointly, will be neither an ellipse nor an exact circle, but a gently undulated ring like that in the figure (where, however, the undulations are much exaggerated). (See fig. to art. 325.)

(323.) These movements of precession and nutation are common to all the celestial bodies, both fixed and erratic; and this circumstance makes it impossible to attribute them to any other cause than a real motion of the earth's axis such as we have described. Did they only affect the stars, they might, with equal plausibility, be urged to arise from a *real* rotation of the starry heavens, as a solid shell, round

ne exist inclinane has

s theres lower osed to l (perof that e erec-

> ic in the nange in e in the

there is

an axis passing through the poles of the ecliptic in 25,868 years, and a real elliptic gyration of *that* axis in nineteen years: but since they also affect the sun, moon, and planets, which, having motions independent of the general body of the stars, cannot without extravagance be supposed *attached* to the celestial concave*, this idea falls to the ground; and there only remains, then, a real motion in the earth by which they can be accounted for. It will be shown in a subsequent chapter that they are necessary consequences of the rotation of the earth, combined with its elliptical figure, and the unequal attraction of the sun and moon on its polar and equatorial regions.

(324.) Uranographically considered, as affecting the apparent places of the stars, they are of the utmost importance in practical astronomy. When we speak of the right ascension and declination of a celestial object, it becomes necessary to state what epoch we intend, and whether we mean the mean right ascension-cleared, that is, of the periodical fluctuation in its amount, which arises from nutation, or the apparent right ascension, which, being reckoned from the actual place of the vernal equinox, is affected by the periodical advance and recess of the equinoctial point produced by nutation - and so of the other elements. It is the practice of astronomers to reduce, as it is termed, all their observations, both of right ascension and declination, to some common and convenient epoch-such as the beginning of the year for temporary purposes, or of the decade, or the century for more permanent uses, by subtracting from them the whole effect of precession in the interval; and, moreover, to divest them of the influence of nutation by investigating and subducting the amount of change, both in right ascension and declination, due to the displacement of the pole from the centre to the circumference of the little ellipse above mentioned. This last process is technically termed correcting

* This argument, cogent us it is, acquires additional and decisive force from the law of nutation, which is dependent on the position, for the time, of the lunar orbit. If we attribute it to a real motion of the celestial sphere, we must then maintain that sphere to be kept in a constant state of tremor by the motion of the moon l

CORRECTIONS FOR PRECESSION AND NUTATION. 209

or equating the observation for nutation; by which latter word is always understood, in astronomy, the getting rid of a periodical cause of fluctuation, and presenting a result, not as it was observed, but as it would have been observed, had that cause of fluctuation had no existence.

(325.) For these purposes, in the present case, very convenient formulæ have been derived, and tables constructed. They are, however, of too technical a character for this work; we shall, however, point out the manner in which the investigation is conducted. It has been shown in art. 309. by what means the right ascension and declination of an object are derived from its longitude and latitude. Referring to the figure of that article, and supposing the trianged KPX orthographically projected on the plane of the ecliptic as in the annexed figure: in the triangle KPX, KP is the obliquity of the ecliptic, KX the co-latitude (or complement of latitude), and the angle PKX the co-longitude of the object X. These are the data of our question, of which the second is constant, and the other two are varied by the effect of precession and nutation : and their variations (considering the minuteness of the latter effect generally, and the small number of years in comparison of the whole period of 25,868, for which we ever require to estimate the effect of the

former,) are of that order which may be regarded as infinitesimal in geometry, and treated as such without fear of error. The whole question, then, is reduced to this:— In a spherical triangle KPX, in which one side KX is constant, and an angle K, and adjacent side KP vary by given infinitesimal changes of the position of P: re-



quired the changes thence arising in the other side PX, and the angle KPX. This is a very simple and easy problem of

n 25,868 nineteen l planets, l body of l *attached* und; and by which absequent e rotation , and the polar and

g the apmportance right asbecomes nether we is, of the nutation, oned from ed by the coint pronts. It is ermed, all ination, to beginning de, or the from them moreover, estigating ascension pole from pse above correcting

ve force from time, of the ere, we must y the motion
spherical geometry, and being resolved, it gives at once the reductions we are seeking; for PX being the polar distance of the object, and the angle K PX its right ascension *plus* 90°, their variations are the very quantities we seek. It only remains, then, to express in proper form the amount of the precession and nutation in *longitude* and *latitude*, when their amount in right ascension and declination will immediately be obtained.

(326.) The precession in *latitude* is zero, since the latitudes of objects are not changed by it : that in longitude is a quantity proportional to the time at the rab of $50'' \cdot 10$ per annum. With regard to the nutation in *longitude* and *latitude*, these are no other than the abscissa and ordinate of the little ellipse in which the pole moves. The law of its motion, however, therein, cannot be understood till the reader has been made acquainted with the principal features of the moon's motion on which it depends.

(327.) Another consequence of what has been shown respecting precession and nutation is, that sidereal time, as astronomers use it, *i. e.* as reckoned from the transit of the equinoctial point, is not a mean or uniformly flowing quantity, being affected by nutation; and, moreover, that so reckoned, even when cleared of the periodical fluctuation of nutation, it does not strictly correspond to the earth's diurnal rotation. As the sun loses one day in the year on the stars, by its direct motion in longitude; so the equinox gains one day in 25,868 years on them by its retrogradation. We ought, therefore, as carefully to distinguish between mean and apparent sidereal as between mean and apparent solar time.

(328.) Neither precession nor nutation change the apparent places of celestial objects *inter se.* We see them, so far as these causes go, as they are, though from a station more or less unstable, as we see distant land objects correctly formed, though appearing to rise and fall when viewed from the heaving deck of a ship in the act of pitching and rolling. But there is an optical cause, independent of refraction or of perspective, which displaces them *one among the other*, and causes us to view the heavens under an aspect always to a

ABERRATION OF LIGHT.

t once the ar distance nsion plus :. It only int of the when their imediately

e latitudes is a quanper annum. *tude*, these the little its motion, reader has res of the

een shown eal time, as insit of the g quantity, o reckoned, f nutation, al rotation. tars, by its one day in We ought, mean and blar time. e apparent , so far as on more or tly formed, from the nd rolling. ction or of other, and lways to a

certain slight extent false; and whose influence must be estimated and allowed for before we can obtain a precise knowledge of the place of any object. This cause is what is called the aberration of light; a singular and surprising effect arising from this, that we occupy a station not at rest but in rapid motion; and that the apparent directions of the rays of light are not the same to a spectator in motion as to one at rest. As the estimation of its effect belongs to uranography, we must explain it here, though, in so doing, we must anticipate some of the results to be detailed in subsequent chapters.

(329.) Suppose a shower of rain to fall perpendicularly in a dead calm; a person exposed to the shower, who should stand quite still and upright, would receive the drops on his hat, which would thus shelter him, but if he ran forward in any direction they would strike him in the face. The effect would be the same as if he remained still, and a wind should arise of the same velocity, and drift them against him. Suppose a ball let fall from a point A above a horizon " line E F, and that at B were placed to receive it the open mouth of an inclined hollow tube P Q; if the tube were held im-



moveable the ball would strike on its lower side, but if the tube were carried forward in the direction E F, with a velocity properly adjusted at every instant to that of the ball, while *preserving its inclination* to the horizon, so that when the ball in its natural descent reached C, the tube should have been carried into the position R S, it is evident that the

 $\mathbf{211}$

ball would, throughout its whole descent, be found in the axis of the tube; and a spectator referring to the tube the motion of the ball, and carried along with the former, unconscious of its motion, would fancy that the ball had been moving in the inclined direction **R** S of the tube's axis.

(330.) Our eyes and telescopes are such tubes. In whatever manner we consider light, whether as an advancing wave in a motionless ether, or a shower of atoms traversing space, (provided that in both cases we regard it as absolutely incapable of suffering resistance or corporeal obstruction from the particles of transparent media traversed by it*,) if in the interval between the rays traversing the object glass of the one or the cornea of the other (at which moment they acquire that convergence which directs them to a certain point in fixed space), and their arrival at their focus, the cross wires of the one or the retina of the other be slipped aside, the point of convergence (which remains unchanged) will no longer correspond to the intersection of the wires or the central point of our visual area. The object then will appear displaced; and the amount of this displacement is aberration.

r

ra d

P li

si

it

si

В

is

ap

сx

 \sin

the

sig

dis

ero

wh

wh

mo mu

or

scri

to (

to 1

hav

seer

(331.) The earth is moving through space with a velocity of about 19 miles per second, in an elliptic path round the sun, and is therefore changing the direction of its motion at every instant. Light travels with a velocity of 192,000 miles per second, which, although much greater than that of the earth, is yet not *infinitely so*. Time is occupied by it in traversing any space, and in that time the earth describes a space which is to the former as 19 to 192,000, or as the tangent of 20".5 to radius. Suppose now A P S to represent a ray of light from a star at A, and let the tube P Q be that of a telescope so inclined forward that the focus formed by

 $\mathbf{212}$

^{*} This condition is indispensable. Without it we fall into all those difficulties which M. Doppler has so well pointed out in his paper on Aberration (Abhandlungen der k. boemischen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften. Folge V. vol. iii.). If light itself, or the luminiferons ether, be corporeal, the condition insisted on amounts to a formal surrender of the dogma, either of the extension or of the impenetrability of matter; at least in the sense in which those terms have been hitherto used by metaphysicians. At the point to which science is arrived, probably few will be found disposed to maintain either the one or the other.

ABERRATION OF LIGHT.

its object glass shall be received upon its cross wire, it is evident from what has been said, that the inclination of the tube must be such as to make PS: SQ:: velocity of light: velocity of the earth:: 1: tan. 20".5; and, therefore, the angle SPQ, or PSR, by which the axis of the telescope must deviate from the true direction of the star, must be 20".5.

(332.) A similar reasoning will hold good when the direction of the earth's motion is not perpendicular to the visual ray. If S B be the true direction of the visual ray, and A C

the position in which the telescope requires to be held in the apparent direction, we must still have the proportion BC : BA :: velocity of light: velocity of the earth :: rad. : sine of $20^{\prime\prime}$.⁵ (for in such small angles \vec{D} it matters not whether we use the

sinces or tangents). But we have, also, by trigonometry, BC: BA::sine of BAC: sine of ACB or CBP, which last is the apparent displacement caused by aberration. Thus it appears that the sine of the aberration, or (since the angle is extremely small) the aberration itself, is proportional to the sine of the angle made by the earth's motion in space with the visual ray, and is therefore a maximum when the line of sight is perpendicular to the direction of the earth's motion.

(333.) The uranographical effect of aberration, then, is to distort the aspect of the heavens, causing all the stars to crowd as it were directly towards that point in the heavens which is the vanishing point of all lines parallel to that in which the earth is for the moment moving. As the earth moves round the sun in the plane of the celiptic, this point must lie in that plane, 90° in advance of the earth's longitude, or 90° behind the sun's, and shifts of course continually, describing the circumference of the eeliptic in a year. It is easy to demonstrate that the effect on each particular star will be to make it apparently describe a small ellipse in the heavens, having for its centre the point in which the star would be seen if the earth were at rest.



P 3

in the be the inconbeen

whatancing rersing plutely a from in the of the cquire in fixed of the coint of cer cord point ad; and

relocity and the tion at 92,000 that of by it in aribes a as the present be that ned by

> ose diffiperration folge V. ondition xtension se terms rience is e or the

(334.) Aberration then affects the apparent right ascensions and declinations of all the stars, and that by quantities easily calculable. The formulæ most convenient for that purpose, and which, systematically embracing at the same time the corrections for precession and nutation, enable the observer, with the utmost readiness, to disencumber his observations of right ascension and declination of their influence, have been constructed by Prof. Bessel, and tabulated in the appendix to the first volume of the Transactions of the Astronomical Society, where they will be found accompanied with an extensive catalogue of the places, for 1830, of the principal fixed stars, one of the most useful and best arranged works of the 'kind which has ever appeared.

(335.) When the body from which the visual ray emanates is itself in motion, an effect arises which is not properly speaking aberration, though it is usually treated under that head in astronomical books, and indeed confounded with it, to the production of some confusion in the mind of the student. The effect in question (which is independent of any theoretical views respecting the nature of light*) may be explained as follows. The ray by which we see any object is not that which it emits at the moment we look at it, but that which it did emit some time before, viz. the time occupied by light in traversing the interval which separates it from us. The aberration of such a body then arising from the earth's velocity must be applied as a correction, not to the line joining the earth's place at the moment of observation with that occupied by the body at the same moment, but at that antecedent instant when the ray quitted it. Hence it is easy to derive the rule given by astronomical writers for the case

0

0

t

fe k

A i

a

0

re

* The results of the undulatory and corpuscular theories of light, in the matter of aberration are, in the main, the same. We say in the main. There is, however, a minute difference even of numerical results. In the undulatory doctrine, the propagation of light takes place with equal velocity in all directions, whether the luminary be at rest or in motion. In the corpuscular, with an excess of velocity in the direction of the motion over that in the contrary equal to twice the velocity of the body's motion. In the cases, then, of a body moving with equal velocity directly to and directly from the earth, the aberrations will be *alike* on the undulatory, but different on the corpuscular hypothesis. The utmost difference which can arise from this cause *in our system* cannot amount to above six thousandths of a second.

EQUATION OF LIGHT.

antities for that ne same able the able the able inabulated ns of the mpanied), of the arranged

anatesis speaking nat head t, to the student. eoretical lained as not that which it light in The IS. e arth's ine joinvith that at anteis easy the case

> ht, in the in. There indulatory i all direccular, with ic contrary of a body ic aberrahypothesis, im cannot

of a moving object. From the known laws of its motion and the earth's, calculate its apparent or relative angular motion in the time taken by light to traverse its distance from the earth. This is the total amount of its apparent misplacement. Its effect is to displace the body observed in a direction contrary to its apparent motion in the heavens. And it is a compound or aggregate effect consisting of two parts, one of which is the aberration, properly so called, resulting from the composition of the earth's motion with that of light, the other being what is not inaptly termed the Equation of light, being the allowance to be made for the time occupied by the light in traversing a variable space.

(336.) The complete Reduction, as it is called, of an astronomical observation consists in applying to the place of the observed heavenly body as read off on the instruments (supposed perfect and in perfect adjustment) five distinct and independent corrections, viz. those for refraction, parallax, aberration, precession, and nutation. Of these the correction for refraction enables us to declare what would have been the observed place, were there no atmosphere to That for parallax enables us to say from its displace it. place observed at the surface of the earth, where it would have been seen if observed from the centre. That for aberration, where it would have been observed from a motionless, instead of a moving station: while the corrections for precession and nutation refer it to fixed and determinate instead of constantly varying celestial circles. The great importance of these corrections, which pervade all astronomy, and have to be applied to every observation before it can be employed for any practical or theoretical purpose, renders this recapitulation far from superfluous.

(337.) Refraction has been already sufficiently explained, Art. 40. and it is only, therefore, necessary here to add that in its use as an astronomical correction its amount must be applied in a contrary sense to that in which it affects the observation; a remark equally applicable to all other corrections.

(338.) The general nature of parallax or rather of paral-

216

lactic motion has also been explained in Art. 80. But parallax in the uranographical sense of the word has a more technical meaning. It is understood to express that optical displacement of a body observed which is due to its being observed, not from that point which we have fixed upon as a conventional central station (from which we conceive the apparent motion would be more simple in its laws), but from some other station remote from such conventional centre: not from the centre of the earth, for instance, but from its surface: not from the centre of the sun (which, as we shall hereafter see, is for some purposes a preferable conventional station), but from that of the earth. In the former case this optical displacement is called the *diurnal* or geocentric parallax; in the latter the annual or heliocentric. In either case parallax is the *correction* to be applied to the apparent place of the heavenly body, as actually seen from the station of observation, to reduce it to its place as it would have been seen at that instant from the conventional station.

(339.) The diurnal or geocentric parallax at any place of the earth's surface is easily calculated if we know the distance of the body, and, *vice versâ*, if we know the diurnal parallax that distance may be calculated. For supposing S the object,

C the centre of the earth. A the station of observation at its surface, and CAZ the direction of a perpendicular to the surface at A. then will the object be seen from A in the direction A S. and its apparent zenith diswill be ZAS: tance whereas, if seen from the centre, it will appear in the direction CS, with an angular distance from the zenith of A equal to Z C S;



so that ZAS - ZCS or ASC is the parallax. Now since by trigonometry CS : CA :: sin CAS = sin

SUMMARY OF URANOGRAPHICAL CORRECTIONS. 217

80. But nas a more hat optical its being l upon as a neeive the), but from centre: not om its suras we shall onventional ormer case geocentric In either e apparent the station have been

ny place of the distance al parallax the object,

Now S = sin

ZAS: sin ASC, it follows that the sine of the parallax $= \frac{\text{Radius of earth}}{\text{Distance of body}} \times \sin Z \Lambda S.$

(340.) The diurnal or geocentric parallax, therefore, at a given place, and for a given distance of the body observed, is proportional to the sine of its apparent zenith distance, and is, therefore, the greatest when the body is observed in the act of rising or setting, in which case its parallax is called its horizontal parallax, so that at any other zenith distance, $parallax = horizontal parallax \times sine of apparent zenith$ distance, and since ACS is always less than ZAS it appears that the application of the reduction or correction for parallax always acts in diminution of the apparent zenith distance or increase of the apparent altitude or distance from the Nadir, i.e. in a contrary sense to that for refraction.

(341.) In precisely the same manner as the geocentric or diurnal parallax refers itself to the zenith of the observer for its direction and quantitative rule, so the heliocentrie or annual parallax refers itself for its law to the point in the heavens diametrically opposite to the place of the sun as seen from the earth. Applied as a correction, its effect takes place in a plane passing through the sun, the earth, and the observed Its effect is always to decrease its observed distance body. from that point or to increase its angular distance from the And its sine is given by the relation, Distance of the sun. observed body from the sun : distance of the earth from the sun:: sine of apparent angular distance of the body from the sun (or its apparent elongation): sine of heliocentric parallax. *

(342.) On a summary view of the whole of the uranographical corrections, they divide themselves into two classes, those which do, and those which do not, alter the apparent configurations of the heavenly bodies inter se. The former are real, the latter technical corrections. The real corrections are refraction, aberration and parallax. The technical are

^{*} This account of the law of heliocentrie parallax is in anticipation of what follows in a subsequent chapter, and will be better understood by the student when somewhat farther advanced.

precession and nutation, unless, indeed, we choose to consider parallax as a technical correction introduced with a view to simplification by a better choice of our point of sight.

(343.) The corrections of the first of these classes have one peculiarity in respect of their law, common to them all, which the student of practical astronomy will do well to fix in his memory. They all refer themselves to definite apexes or points of convergence in the sphere. Thus, refraction in its apparent effect causes all celestial objects to draw together or converge towards the zenith of the observer : geocentric parallax, towards his Nadir : heliocentric, towards the place of the sun in the heavens: aberration towards that point in the celestial sphere which is the vanishing point of all lines parallel to the direction of the earth's motion at the moment, or (as will be hereafter explained) towards a point in the great circle called the ecliptic, 90° behind the sun's place in that circle. When applied as corrections to an observation, these directions are of course to be reversed.

(344.) In the quantitative law, too, which this class of corrections follow, a like agreement takes place, at least as regards the geocentric and heliocentric parallax and aberration, in all three of which the amount of the correction (or more strictly its sine) increases in the direct proportion of the sine of the apparent distance of the observed body from the *apex* appropriate to the particular correction in question. In the case of refraction the law is less simple, agreeing more nearly with the tangent than the sine of that distance, but agreeing with the others in placing the maximum at 90° from its apex.

(345.) As respects the *order* in which these corrections are to be applied to any observation, it is as follows: 1. Refraction; 2. Aberration; 3. Geocentric Parallax; 4. Heliocentric Parallax; 5. Nutation; 6. Precession. Such, at least, is the order in theoretical strictness. But as the amount of aberration and nutation is in all cases a very minute quantity, it matters not in what order they are applied; so that for practical convenience they are always thrown together with the precession, and applied after the others.

OF THE SUN'S MOTION.

CHAPTER VI.

OF THE SUN'S MOTION AND PHYSICAL CONSTITUTION.

DIAMETER ALSO VARIABLE. --- VARIATION OF ITS DISTANCE CON-CLUDED. --- ITS APPARENT ORBIT AN ELLIPSE ABOUT THE FOCUS. -LAW OF THE ANGULAR VELOCITY. - EQUABLE DESCRIPTION OF AREAS. -- PARALLAX OF THE SUN. -- ITS DISTANCE AND MAG-NITUDE. - COPERNICAN EXPLANATION OF THE SUN'S APPARENT MOTION .- PARALLELISM OF THE EARTH'S AXIS. - THE SEASONS. - HEAT RECEIVED FROM THE SUN IN DIFFERENT PARTS OF THE ORBIT. - EFFECT OF EXCENTRICITY OF THE ORBIT AND POSITION OF ITS AXIS ON CLIMATE. - MEAN AND TRUE LONGITUDES OF THE SUN .- EQUATION OF THE CENTRE. - SIDEREAL, TROPICAL, AND ANOMALISTIC YEARS. - PHYSICAL CONSTITUTION OF THE SUN. --ITS SPOTS. - FACULÆ. - PROBABLE NATURE AND CAUSE OF THE SPOTS .- RECENT DISCOVERIES OF MR. DAWES .- OF MR. NASMYTH. -ROTATION OF THE SUN ON ITS AXIS .- ITS ATMOSPHERE. -- SUP-POSED CLOUDS .- PERIODICAL RECURRENCE OF A MORE AND LESS SPOTTED STATE OF ITS SURFACE.--- TEMPERATURE OF ITS SURFACE. RADIATION.

(346.) In the foregoing chapters, it has been shown that the apparent path of the sun is a great circle of the sphere, which it performs in a period of one sidereal year. From this it follows, that the line joining the earth and sun lies constantly *in one plane*; and that, therefore, whatever be the real motion from which this apparent motion arises, it must be confined to one plane, which is called the *plane of the ecliptic*.

(347.) We have already seen (art. 146.) that the sun's motion in right ascension among the stars is not uniform. This is partly accounted for by the obliquity of the celiptic, in consequence of which equal variations in longitude do not correspond to equal changes of right ascension. But if we observe the place of the sun daily throughout the year, by the transit and circle, and from these calculate the longitude for each day, it will still be found that, even in its own proper

to consider a view to tht.

asses have o them all, well to fix *inite apexes* oction in its together or centric pane place of point in the ll lines panoment, or a the great ce in that tion, these

ass of cort least as nd aberrarection (or tion of the n the apex In the pre nearly t agreeing its apex. ctions are efraction; ntric Past, is the of aberraantity, it for pracwith the

path, its apparent angular motion is far from uniform. The change of longitude in twenty-four mean solar hours averages 0° 59' 8"·33; but about the 31st of December it amounts to 1° 1' 9"·9, and about the 1st of July is only 0° 57' 11"·5. Such are the extreme limits, and such the mean value of the sun's apparent angular velocity in its annual orbit.

(348.) This variation of its angular velocity is accompanied with a corresponding change of its distance from us. The change of distance is recognized by a variation observed to take place in its apparent diameter, when measured at different seasons of the year, with an instrument adapted for that purpose, called the heliometer*, or, by calculating from the time which its disc takes to traverse the meridian in the transit instrument. The greatest apparent diameter corresponds to the 1st of January, or to the greatest angular velocity, and measures 32' 36".2, the least is 31' 32".0; and corresponds to the 1st of July; at which epochs, as we have seen, the angular motion is also at its extreme limit either way. Now, as we cannot suppose the sun to alter its real size periodically, the observed change, of its apparent size can only arise from an actual change of distance. And the since or tangents of such small arcs being proportional to the arcs themselves, its distances from us, at the above-named epoch, must be in the inverse proportion of the apparent It appears, therefore, that the greatest, the diameters. mean, and the least distances of the sun from us are in the respective proportions of the numbers 1.01679, 1.00000, and 0.98321; and that its apparent angular velocity diminishes as the distance increases, and vice versâ.

0

n

b

SI

ri

f

C

W

b

Ί

g

as

fe

W

nt

(349.) It follows from this, that the real orbit of the sun, as referred to the earth supposed at rest, is not a circle with the earth in the centre. The situation of the earth within it is *excentric*, the *excentricity* amounting to 0.01679 of the mean distance, which may be regarded as our unit of measure in this inquiry. But besides this, the *form* of the orbit is not circular, but elliptic. If from any point O, taken to represent the earth, we draw a line, O Λ , in some fixed

'Hλios the sun, and μετρείν to measure.

FORM OF THE SUN'S APPARENT ORBIT. 221

m. The averages counts to 7' 11".5. ue of the

ompanied us. The served to at differl for that from the n in the er correangular ".0; and we have it either its real size can And the tional to e-named apparent est, the re in the 000, and minishes

the sun, cle with vithin it of the neasure orbit is ken to e fixed direction, from which we then set off a series of angles, A O B, A O C, &c. equal to the observed longitudes of the

sun throughout the year, and in these respective directions measure off from O the distances O A, O B, O C, &c. representing the distances deduced from the observed diameter, and then connect all the extremities A, B, C,



&c. of these lines by a continuous curve, it is evident this will be a correct representation of the relative orbit of the sun about the earth. Now, when this is done, a deviation from the cireular figure in the resulting curve becomes apparent; it is found to be evidently longer than it is broad — that is to say, elliptic, and the point O to occupy, not the centre, but one of the foci of the ellipse. The graphical process here described is sufficient to point out the general figure of the curve in question; but for the purposes of exact verification, it is necessary to recur to the properties of the ellipse*, and to express the distance of any one of its points in terms of the angular situation of that point with respect to the longer axis, or diameter of the ellipse. This, however, is readily done; and when numerically calculated, on the supposition of the excentricity being such as above stated, a perfect coincidence is found to subsist between the distances thus computed, and those derived from the measurement of the apparent diameter.

(350.) The mean distance of the earth and sun being taken for unity, the extremes are 1.01679 and 0.98321. But if we compare, in like manner, the mean or average angular velocity with the extremes, greatest and least, we shall find these to be in the proportions of 1.03386, 1.00000, and 0.96670. The variation of the sun's *angular velocity*, then, is much greater in proportion than that of its distance — fully twice as great; and if we examine its numerical expressions at different periods, comparing them with the mean value, and also with the corresponding distances, it will be found, that, by

• See Conic Sections, by the Rev. H. P. Hamilton, or any other of the very numerous works on this subject.

whatever fraction of its mean value the distance exceeds the mean, the angular velocity will fall short of *its* mean or average quantity by very nearly *twice* as great a fraction of the latter, and *vice versa*. Hence we are led to conclude that the *angular velocity* is in the inverse proportion, not of the distance simply, but of its *square*; so that, to compare the daily motion in longitude of the sun, at one point, A, of its path, with that at B, we must state the proportion thus: —

O $B^2 : O A^2 ::$ daily motion at A : daily motion at B. And this is found to be exactly verified in every part of the orbit.

(351.) Hence we deduce another remarkable conclusion viz. that if the sun be supposed really to move around the circumference of this ellipse, its actual speed cannot be uniform, but must be greatest at its least distance and less at its greatest. For, were it uniform, the apparent angular velocity would be, of course, inversely proportional to the distance: simply because the same linear change of place, being produced in the same time at different distances from the eye, must, by the laws of perspective, correspond to apparent angular displacements inversely as those distances. Since, then, observation indicates a more rapid law of variation in the angular velocities, it is evident that mere change of distance, unaccompanied with a change of actual speed, is insufficient to account for it; and that the increased proximity of the sun to the earth must be accompanied with an actual increase of its real velocity of motion along its path.

(352.) This elliptic form of the sun's path, the excentric position of the earth within it, and the unequal speed with which it is actually traversed by the sun itself, all tend to render the calculation of its longitude from theory (*i.e.* from a knowledge of the causes and nature of its motion) difficult; and indeed impossible, so long as the *law* of its actual velocity continues unknown. This *law*, however, is not immediately apparent. It does not come forward, as it were, and present itself at once, like the elliptic form of the orbit, by a direct comparison of angles and distances, but requires an attentive consideration of the whole series of observations registered

LAWS OF THE SUN'S APPARENT MOTION.

223

during an entire period. It was not, therefore, without much painful and laborious calculation, that it was discovered by Kepler (who was also the first to ascertain the elliptic form of the orbit), and announced in the following terms: — Let a line be always supposed to connect the sun, supposed in motion, with the earth, supposed at rest; then, as the sun moves along its ellipse, this line (which is called in astronomy the radius vector) will describe or sweep over that portion of the whole area or surface of the ellipse which is included between its consecutive positions: and the motion of the sun will be such that equal areas are thus swept over by the revolving radius vector in equal times, in whatever part of the circumference of the ellipse the sun may be moving.

(353.) From this it necessarily follows, that in *un*equal times, the areas described must be proportional to the times. Thus, in the figure of art. 349. the time in which the sun moves from A to B, is to the time in which it moves from C to D, as the area of the elliptic sector A O B is to the area of the sector D O C.

(354.) The circumstances of the sun's apparent annual motion may, therefore, be summed up as follows: - It is performed in an orbit lying in one plane passing through the earth's centre, called the plane of the ecliptic, and whose projection on the heavens is the great circle so called. In this plane its motion is from west to east, or to a spectator looking down on the plane of the elliptic from the northern side, in a direction the reverse of that of the hands of a watch laid face uppermost. In this plane, however, the actual path is not circular, but elliptical; having the earth, not in its center, but in one focus. The excentricity of this ellipse is 0.01679, in parts of a unit equal to the mean distance, or half the longer diameter of the ellipse; i. e. about one sixtieth part of that semi-diameter; and the motion of the sun in its circumference is so regulated, that equal areas of the ellipse are passed over by the radius vector in equal times.

(355.) What we have here stated supposes no knowledge of the sun's actual distance from the earth, nor, consequently, of the actual dimensions of its orbit, nor of the body of the

ceeds the r average he latter, e angular ce simply, notion in vith that

on at B. rt of the

lusion bund the be uniess at its velocity listance; produced ve, must, angular e, then, i in the distance, sufficient the sun crease of

xcentric ed with tend to .e. from lifficult; velocity ediately present a direct ttentive gistered

sun itself. To come to any conclusions on these points, we must first consider by what means we can arrive at any knowledge of the distance of an object to which we have no access. Now, it is obvious, that its *parallax* alone can afford us any information on this subject. Suppose P A B Q to represent the earth, C its centre, and S the sun, and A, B



a it

ri g ol

da

p

e

eu

th

is

w

th

elı

for

at

de

su

831

thi

601

we

fro

car

us

con

two situations of a spectator, or, which comes to the same thing, the stations of two spectators, both observing the sun S at the same instant. The spectator A will see it in the direction A Sa, and will refer it to a point a in the infinitely distant sphere of the fixed stars, while the spectator B will see it in the direction $B \ S \ b$, and refer it to b. The angle included between these directions, or the measure of the celestial arc a b, by which it is *displaced*, is equal to the angle A S B; and if this angle be known, and the local situations of A and B, with the part of the earth's surface A B included between them, it is evident that the distance C S may be calculated. Now, since A S C (art. 339.) is the parallax of the sun as seen from A, and B S C as seen from B, the angle A S B, or the total apparent displacement is the sum of the two parallaxes. Suppose, then, two observers - one in the northern, the other in the southern hemisphere-at stations on the same meridian, to observe on the same day the meridian altitudes of the sun's centre. Having thence derived the apparent zenith distances, and cleared them of the effects of refraction, if the distance of the sun were equal to that of the fixed stars, the sum of the zenith distances thus found would be precisely equal to the sum of the latitudes north and south of the places of observation. For the sum in question would then be equal to the angle Z C X, which is the meridional distance of the stations across the equator. But the effect of parallax being in both cases to increase the apparent zenith

 $\mathbf{224}$

ints, we at any have no un afford B Q to nd A, B

he same the sun it in the infinitely r B will he angle e of the he angle ituations included may be rallax of he angle n of the e in the stations meridian ived the effects of at of the d would id south n would ridional e effect t zenith

distances, their observed sum will be greater than the sum of the latitudes, by the sum of the two parallaxes, or by the angle A S B. This angle, then, is obtained by subducting the sum of the north and south latitudes from that of the zenith distances; and this once determined, the horizontal parallax is easily found, by dividing the angle so determined by the sum of the sines of the two latitudes.

(356.) If the two stations be not exactly on the same meridian (a condition very difficult to fulfil), the same process will apply, if we take care to allow for the change of the sun's actual zenith distance in the interval of time elapsing between its arrival on the meridians of the stations. This change is readily ascertained, either from tables of the sun's motion, grounded on the experience of a long course of observations, or by actual observation of its meridional altitude on several days before and after that on which the observations for parallax are taken. Of course, the nearer the stations are to each other in longitude, the less is this interval of time, and, consequently, the smaller the amount of this correction; and, therefore, the less injurious to the accuracy of the final result is any uncertainty in the daily change of zenith distance which may arise from imperfection in the solar tables, or in the observations made to determine it.

(357.) The horizontal parallax of the sun has been concluded from observations of the nature above described, performed in stations the most remote from each other in latitude, at which observatories have been instituted. It has also been deduced from other methods of a more refined nature, and susceptible of much greater exactness, to be hereafter described. Its amount so obtained, is about 8".6. Minute as this quantity is, there can be no doubt that it is a tolerably correct approximation to the truth; and in conformity with it, we must admit the sun to be situated at a mean distance from us, of no less than 25984 times the length of the earth's radius, or about 95000000 miles. [See Note F.]

(358.) That at so vast a distance the sun should appear to as of the size it does, and should so power ully influence our condition by its heat and light, requires us to form a very

 $\mathbf{225}$

grand conception of its actual magnitude, and of the scale on which those important processes are carried on within it, by which it is enabled to keep up its liberal and unceasing supply of these elements. As to its actual magnitude we can be at no loss, knowing its distance, and the angles under which its diameter appears to us. An object, placed at the distance of 95000000 miles, and subtending an angle of 32' 1'', must have a real diameter of 882000 miles. Such, then, is the diameter of this stupendous globe. If we compare it with what we have already ascertained of the dimensions of our own, we shall find that in linear magnitude it exceeds the earth in the proportion $111\frac{1}{2}$ to 1, and in bulk in that of 1384472 to 1.

t

C

0

с

is

b

di

fa

W

re

im

fu

sit

mu

ma

an

in

to

tha

poir

the

stat

mus less

orbit

(359.) It is hardly possible to avoid associating our conception of an object of definite globular figure, and of such enormous dimensions, with some corresponding attribute of That the sun is not a massiveness and material solidity. mere phantom, but a body having its own peculiar structure They show and economy, our telescopes distinctly inform us. us dark spots on its surface, which slowly change their places and forms, and by attending to whose situation, at different times, astronomers have ascertained that the sun revolves about an axis nearly perpendicular to the plane of the ecliptic, performing one rotation in a period of about 25 days, and in the same direction with the diurnal rotation of the earth, *i. e.* from west to east. Here, then, we have an analogy with our own globe; the slower and more majestic movement only corresponding with the greater dimensions of the machinery, and impressing us with the prevalence of similar mechanical laws, and of, at least, such a community of nature as the existence of inertia and obedience to force Now, in the exact proportion in which we invest may argue. our idea of this immense bulk with the attribute of inertia, or weight, it becomes difficult to conceive its circulation round so comparatively small a body as the earth, without, on the one hand, dragging it along, and displacing it, if bound to it by some invisible tie; or, on the other hand, if not so here to it, pursuing its course alone in space, and leaving the carth If we connect two solid masses by a rod, and fling behind. them aloft, we see them circulate about a point between them,

which is their common centre of gravity; but if one of them be greatly more ponderous than the other, this common centre will be proportionally nearer to that one, and even within its surface; so that the smaller one will circulate, in fact, about the larger, which will be comparatively but little disturbed from its place.

(360.) Whether the earth move round the sun, the sun round the earth, or both round their common centre of gravity, will make no difference, so far as appearances are concerned, provided the stars be supposed sufficiently distant to undergo no sensible apparent parallactic displacement by the motion so attributed to the earth. Whether they are so or not must still be a matter of enquiry; and from the absence of any measureable amount of such displacement, we can conclude nothing but this, that the scale of the sidereal universe is so great, that the mutual orbit of the earth and sun may be regarded as an imperceptible point in comparison with the distance of its nearest members. Admitting, then, in conformity with the laws of dynamics, that two bodies connected with and revolving about each other in free space do, in fact, revolve about their common centre of gravity, which remains immoveable by their mutual action, it becomes a matter of further enquiry, whereabouts between them this centre is Mechanics teach us that its place will divide their situated. mutual distance in the inverse ratio of their weights or masses*; and calculations grounded on phenomena, of which an account will be given further on, inform us that this ratio, in the case of the sun and earth, is actually that of 354936 to 1,-the sun being, in that proportion, more ponderous than the earth. From this it will follow that the common point about which they both circulate is only 267 miles from the sun's centre, or about $\frac{1}{3300}$ th part of its own diameter.

(361.) Henceforward, then, in conformity with the above statements, and with the Copernican view of our system, we must learn to look upon the sun as the comparatively motionless centre about which the earth performs an annual elliptic orbit of the dimensions and excentricity, and with a velocity,

Principia, lib. i. lex. iii. cor. 14.

ą 2

scale on in it, by g supply can be at which its istance of nust have ameter of t we have , we shall n the proto 1.

our cond of such tribute of n is not a structure They show heir places at different in revolves hnc of the ut 25 days, rotation of we have an re majestic mensions of evalence of community nce to force ch we invest f inertia, or ution round out, on the bound to it t so here to g the earth d, and fling ween them,

regulated according to the law above assigned; the sun occupying one of the foci of the ellipse, and from that station quietly disseminating on all sides its light and heat; while the earth travelling round it, and presenting itself differently to it at different times of the year and day, passes through the varieties of day and night, summer and winter, which we enjoy; its motion (art. 354.) being from west to east.

(362.) In this annual motion of the earth, its axis preserves, at all times, the same direction as if the orbitual movement had no existence; and is carried round parallel to itself, and pointing always to the same vanishing point in the sphere of the fixed stars. This it is which gives rise to the variety of seasons, as we shall now explain. In so doing, we shall neglect (for a reason which will be presently explained) the ellipticity of the orbit, and suppose it a circle, with the sun in the center and the four quadrants of its orbit to be described in equal times, the motion in a circle being uniform.

f

d

h

'l ti

ne po it: lig th lig sea

in

as

or

the

reg

30'

nes

tim

hen evic



(363.) Let, then, S represent the sun, and A, B, C, D, four positions of the earth in its orbit 90° apart, viz. A that which it has at the moment when the sun is opposite to the intersection of the plane of the ecliptic B G, with that of the equator F E, B that which it has a quarter of a year subsequently or 90° of longitude in advance of A; C, 180° and D, 270° in advance of A.* In each of these positions

• The figure by a mistake of the engraver is inverted right and left, so that the earth is made to move the wrong way round the sun — a point of no consequence to the reasoning, and which the reader will rectify in imagination.

OF THE SEASONS.

the sun at station at; while lifferently s through which we ast.

axis pree orbitual parallel to g point in res rise to n so doing, sently ext a circle, of its orbit ircle being



A, B, C, D, viz. A that osite to the vith that of r of a year A; C, 180° se positions

and left, so that oint of no connagination. let P Q represent the axis of the earth, about which its diurnal rotation is performed without interfering with its annual motion in its orbit. Then, since the sun can only enlighten one half of the surface at once, viz. that turned towards it, the shaded portions of the globe in its several positions will represent the dark, and the bright, the enlightened halves of the earth's surface in these positions. Now, 1st, in the position A, the sun is vertically over the intersection of the equinoctial F E and the ecliptic H G. It is, therefore, in the vernal equinox; and in this position the poles P, Q, both fall on the extreme confines of the enlightened side. In this position, therefore, it is day over half the northern and half the southern hemisphere at once; and as the earth revolves on its axis, every point of its surface describes half its diurnal course in light, and half in darkness; in other words, the duration of day and night is here equal over the whole globe: hence the term equinox. The same holds good at the autumnal equinox on the position C.

(364.) B is the position of the earth at the time of the northern summer solstice. (See art. 389.) Here the north polr P, and a considerable portion of the earth's surface in its neighbourhood, as far as B, are situated within the enlightened half. As the earth turns on its axis in this position, therefore, the whole of that part remains constantly enlightened; therefore, at this point of its orbit, or at this season of the year, it is continual day at the north pole, and in all that region of the earth which encircles this pole as far as B — that is, to the distance of $23^{\circ} 27' 30''$ from the pole, or within what is called in geography the *arctic circle*. On the other hand, the opposite or south pole Q, with all the region comprised within the antarctic circle, as far as 23° 27' 30" from the south pole, are immersed at this season in darkness during the entire diurnal rotation, so that it is here continual night.

(365.) With regard to that portion of the surface comprehended between the arctic and antarctic circles, it is no less evident that the nearcr any point is to the north pole, the

larger will be the portion of its diurnal course comprised within the bright, and the smaller within the dark hemisphere; that is to say, the longer will be its day, and the shorter its night. Every station north of the equator will have a day of more and a night of less than twelve hours' duration, and vice versâ. All these phænomena are exactly inverted when the earth comes to the opposite point D of its orbit.

(366.) Now, the temperature of any part of the earth's surface depends mainly on its exposure to the sun's rays. Whenever the sun is above the horizon of any place, that place is receiving heat; when below, parting with it, by the process called radiation; and the whole quantities received and parted with in the year (secondary causes apart) must balance each other at every station, or the equilibrium of temperature (that is to say, the constancy which is observed to prevail in the annual averages of temperature as indicated by the thermometer) would not be supported. Whenever, then, the sun remains more than twelve hours above the horizon of any place, and less beneath, the general temperature of that place will be above the average; when the reverse, below. As the earth, then, moves from A to B, the days growing longer, and the nights shorter in the northern hemisphere, the temperature of every part of that hemisphere increases, and we pass from spring to summer; while, at the same time, the reverse obtains in the southern hemisphere. As the earth passes from B to C, the days and nights again approach to equality-the excess of temperature in the northern hemisphere above the mean state grows less, as well as its defect in the southern; and at the autumnal equinox C, the mean state is once more attained. From thence to D, and, finally, round again to A, all the same phænomena, it is obvious, must again occur, but reversed, - it being now winter in the northern and summer in the southern hemisphere.

(367.) All this is consonant to observed fact. The continual day within the polar circles in summer, and night in winter, the general increase of temperature and length of

OF THE SEASONS.

omprised rk hemi-, and the nator will ve hours' e exactly t D of its

ne earth's un's rays. place, that it, by the ceived and ist balance mperature prevail in d by the ver, then, horizon of ire of that rse, below. rs growing emisphere, increases, the same c. As the h approach e northeru well as its nox C, the to D, and, nena, it is being now ern hemi-

> The cond night in length of

day as the sun approaches the elevated pole, and the reversal of the seasons in the northern and southern hemispheres, are all facts too well known to require further comment. The positions A, C of the earth correspond, as we have said, to the equinoxes; those at B, D to the solstices. This term must be explained. If, at any point, X, of the orbit, we draw X P the earth's axis, and X S to the sun, it is evident that the angle P X S will be the sun's polar distance. Now, this angle is at its maximum in the position D, and at its minimum at B: being in the former case = $90^{\circ} + 23^{\circ} 28' = 113^{\circ}$ 28', and in the latter $90^{\circ} - 23^{\circ}$ $28' = 66^{\circ}$ 32'. At these points the sun ceases to approach to or to recede from the pole, and hence the name solstice.

(368 a.) Let us next consider how these phænomena are modified by the ellipticity of the earth's orbit and the position of its longer axis with respect to the line of the solstices. This ellipticity (art. 350.) is about one sixtieth of the mean distance, so that the sun, at its greatest proximity is about one thirtieth of its mean distance nearer us than when most remote. Since light and heat are equally dispersed from the sun in all directions, and are spread, in diverging, over the surface of a sphere enlarging as they recede from the center, they must diminish in intensity according to the inverse proportion of the surfaces over which they are spread, i. e. in the inverse ratio of the squares of the distances. Hence the hemisphere opposed to the sun will receive in a given time, when nearest, two thirtieths or one fifteenth more heat and light than when most remote, as may be shown by an easy calculation.* Now, the sun's longitude when at its least distance from the earth (at which time it is said to be in perigee and the earth in its perihelion †) is at present 280° 28' in which position it is on the 1st of January, or eleven days after the time of the winter solstice of the northern hemisphere; or, which is the same thing, the summer solstice of the southern (art. 364.), while on the other hand the sun is most remote (in apogee or the earth in its aphelion ‡), when

• $\binom{6}{30}^2 = \binom{62}{630}^2$ very nearly, $= \binom{6}{30}^2 = \frac{96}{90}^6$ very nearly, $= \frac{16}{15}$. $\uparrow \pi \epsilon \rho_i$, about or in the neighbourhood of; $\gamma \eta$, the carth; $\frac{5}{10} \lambda \omega s$, the sun. $\ddagger \lambda \pi \delta \rho$, away from.

in longitude 100° 28' or on the 2nd of July, i.e. cleven · days after the epoch of the northern summer or southern winter solstice. We shall suppose, however, for simplicity of explanation, the perigee and apogee to be coincident with the solstice. At and about the southern summer solstice then, the whole earth is receiving per diem the greatest amount of heat that it can receive, and of this the southern hemisphere receives the larger share, because its pole and the whole region within the antaretic circle is in perpetual sunshine, while the corresponding northern regions lie in shadow. On the other hand, at and about the northern summer solstice. although it is true that the reverse conditions as to the regions illuminated prevail, yet the whole earth is then receiving per diem less heat owing to the sun's remoteness: so that on the whole if the seasons were of equal duration, or in other words, if the angular movement of the earth in its elliptic orbit were uniform, the southern hemisphere would receive more heat per annum than the northern, and would consequently have a warmer mean temperature.

(368b.) Such, however, is not the ease. The angular yelocity of the earth in its orbit, as we have seen (art. 350.), is not uniform, but varies in the inverse ratio of the square of the sun's distance, that is, in the same precise ratio as his heating power. The momentary supply of heat then received by the earth in every point of its orbit varies exactly as the momentary increase of its longitude, from which it obviously follows, that equal amounts of heat are received from the sun in passing over equal angles round it, in whatever part of the ellipse those angles may be situated. Supposing the orbit, then, to be divided into two segments by any straight line drawn through the sun, since equal angles in longitude (180°) are described on either side of this line, the amount of heat received will be equal. In passing then from either equinox to the other, the whole earth receives equal amount of heat, the inequality in the intensities of solar radiation in the two intervals being precisely compensated by the opposite inequality in the duration of the intervals them-

t

1

c

8

tı

n

f

sl

A

OF THE SEASONS.

eleven. southern olicity of ent with solstice greatest southern e and the ual sunshadow. solstice, s to the then reeness: so ion, or in th in its re would nd would

gular ve-350.), is square of tio as his then res exactly which it received . in whatıl. Supts by any es in lonline, the hen from es equal solar rasated by ls themselves; which amounts to about $7\frac{1}{2}$ days, by which the northern spring and summer are together longer than the southern. For these intervals are to each other in the proportion of the two unequal segments of the whole ellipse into which the line of the equinoxes divides it. (See art. 353.)

(368 c.) In what regards the comfort of a climate and the character of its vegetation, the intensity of a summer is more naturally estimated by the temperature of its hottest day, and that of a winter by its sharpest frosts, than by the mere durations of those seasons and their total amount of heat. Supposing the excentricity of the earth's orbit were very much greater than it actually is; the position of its perihelion remaining the same; it is evident that the characters of the seasons in the two hemispheres would be strongly contrasted. In the northern, we should have a short but very mild winter with a long but very cool summer -i. e. an approach to perpetual spring; while the southern hemisphere would be inconvenienced and might be rendered uninhabitable by the fierce extremes caused by concentrating half the annual supply of heat into a summer of very short duration and spreading the other half over a long and dreary winter, sharpened to an intolerable intensity of frost when at its climax by the much greater remoteness of the sun.

(369.) As it is, the difference, except under peculiar circumstances, is not very striking, being masked to a certain extent by the action of another very influential cause to be explained in (art. 370.). This does not prevent, however, the direct impression of the solar heat in the height of summer, — the glow and ardour of his rays, under a perfectly clear sky, at noon, in equal latitudes and under equal circumstances of exposure, — from being materially greater in the southern hemisphere than in the northern. One fifteenth is too considerable a fraction of the whole intensity of sunshine not to aggravate in a serious degree the sufferings of those for instance who are exposed to it in thirsty deserts, without shelter. The accounts of these sufferings in the interior of Australia are of the most frightful kind, and would seem far

to exceed what have ever been undergone by travellers in the northern deserts of Africa.*

(369 a.) It must be observed moreover, that in estimating the effect of any additional fraction (as one fifteenth) of solar radiation on temperature, we have to consider as our unit. not the number of degrees above a purely arbitrary zero point (such as the freezing point of water or the zero of Fahrenheit's scale) on which a thermometer stands in a hot summer day, as compared with a cold winter one, but the thermometric interval between the temperatures it indicates in the two cases, and that which it would indicate did the sun not exist, which there is good reason to believe † would be at least as low as 239° below zero of Fahrenheit. And as a temperature of 100° Fahrenheit above zero is no uncommon one in a fair shade exposure under a sun nearly vertical, we have to take one fifteenth of the sum of these intervals (339°), or 23° Fahrenheit, as the least variation of temperature under such circumstances which can reasonably be attributed to the actual variation of the sun's distance.

b

1

ť

tl

a

n

fe

 \mathbf{pl}

m

ti

W

m

 \mathbf{pr}

at

it to

ag

for

pla

verg

(369 b.) In what has been premised we have supposed the situation of the axis of the earth's orbit to coincide with the line of the solstices, neglecting the difference of about eleven days' motion at present existing between them. But this near coincidence has not always been the state of things, and will not always continue to be so. By the effect of precession (art. 312.), both the line of equinoxes and those of solstices retreat on the ecliptic by an annual angular movement of $50'' \cdot 1$, which cause alone would earry them round, with respect to the axis of the earth's ellipse through a complete revolution, in 25868 years. And in this period, supposing the axis to retain a fixed position, the perihelion would come to coincide successively in longitude with both the sol-

† See METEOROLOGY, Encycl. Brit. (new edition) Art. 36.

 $\mathbf{234}$

^{*} See the account of Captain Sturt's exploration in Athenæum, No. 1019. "The ground was almost a molten surface, and if a match accidentally fell upon it, it immediately ignited "The author has observed the temperature of the surface soil in South Africa as high as 150° Fahrenheit. An ordinary lucifer match does not ignite when simply pressed upon a smooth surface at 212° , but in the act of withdrawing it, it takes fire, and the slightest friction upon such a surface of course ignites it.

PERIODIC VARIATION OF CLIMATES.

llers in

imating of solar ur unit, ry zero zero of n a hot but the ndicates did the believe † renheit. ro is no n nearly of these iation of asonably tance.

ossed the ide with of about em. But of things, t of prethose of ur moven round, h a comiod, supon would the sol-

> No. 1012. y fell upon ture of the linary lueice at 212°, upon such

stices and with both the equinoxes. But, besides this, owing to the operation of causes hereafter to be explained, the axis does not remain so fixed, but shifts its position, with a much slower angular movement, of 11".8 per annum in the opposite direction to that in which precession carries the line of equinoxes, and by which movement alone, if uniformly continued, the direction of the axis itself would be carried entirely round the whole circumference of the ecliptic in an immensely long period (no less than 109830 years). Thus then we see that the vernal equinox and the perihelion recede from each other by the joint annual amount of 61".9 or a degree in 58.16 years, which is, in effect, the same as if the perihelion made a complete revolution with reference to a fixed equinox in 20984 years. In consequence of this joint variation then, the place of the perihelion must have coincided with the vernal equinox (or have been situated in longitude 0°) about 4000 years before the Christian era, and in longitude 90° about A.D. 1250, and will be situated in longitudes 180° and 270° respectively about the year A.D. 6500 and 11700. At the latter of these epochs, the case we have considered in the foregoing articles (368 a. et seq.) will be reversed, and the extreme summer and winter of the southern hemisphere will be transferred to the northern.

(369 c.) In the immense periods which geologists contemplate in the past history of the earth, this alternation of climates must have happened, not once only, but thousands of times, and it is not impossible that some of the indications which they have discovered of the prevalence at some former epoch or epochs of widely different climates from the present in the northern hemisphere, may be referable, in part at least, to this cause, though we are very far from supposing it competent (even taken in conjunction with other variations to be explained further on, which will sometimes go to exaggerate and sometimes to palliate its influence,) to account for the whole of the changes which appear to have taken place.*

• M. Reynaud (Extrait de Philosophie religieuse. Paris: Imprimerie Duvergue), from whom we have horrowed the latter part of this interesting speculation, attributes more influence to this cause, in historical times, than we should

(370.) A conclusion of a very remarkable kind, recently drawn by Professor Dove from the comparison of thermometric observations at different seasons in very remote regions of the globe, may appear on first sight at variance with much that is above stated. That eminent meteorologist has shown, by taking at all seasons the mean of the temperatures of points diametrically opposite to each other, that the mean temperature of the whole earth's surface in June considerably exceeds that in December. This result, which is at variance with the greater proximity of the sun in December, is, however, due to a totally different and very powerful cause, - the greater amount of land in that hemisphere which has its summer solstice in June (i. e. the northern, see art. 362.); and the fact is so explained by him. The effect of land under sunshine is to throw heat into the general atmosphere, and so distribute it by the carrying power of the latter over the whole earth. Water is much less effective in this respect, the heat penetrating its depths, and being there absorbed; so that the surface never acquires a very elevated temperature even under the equator.

(371.) The great key to simplicity of conception in astronomy, and, indeed, in all sciences where motion is concerned, consists in contemplating every movement as referred to points which are either permanently fixed, or so nearly so as that their motions shall be too small to interfere materially with and confuse our notions. In the choice of these primary points of reference, too, we must endeavour, as far as possible, to select such as have simple and symmetrical geometrical relations of situation with respect to the curves described by the moving parts of the system, and which are thereby fitted to perform the office of natural centers—advantageous sta-

be disposed to allow it, when, for instance, he would explain by it the almost total disappearance of the date palm from Judza since the time of Pliny, at which it appears to have flourished in perfection. At that epoch, however, the perihelion occupied a situation only 20° from the December solstice; which implies a difference between the sun's perihelial and solsticial distances not exceeding a thousandth part of its mean distance, corresponding to a difference of a five-hundredth part in the solar radiation. The effect of this, reekoned on the principles explained in the text, would not exceed two-thirds of a degree Fahr, in the midsummer temperature of Judza at noon. See also his "Discours sur la Constitution physique de la Terre" (*Encyclopédic Nouvelle*.)

u

GEOCENTRIC AND HELIOCENTRIC PLACES.

tions for the eye of reason and theory. Having learned to attribute an orbital motion to the earth, it loses this advantage, which is transferred to the sun, as the fixed center about which its orbit is performed. Precisely as, when embarrassed by the earth's diurnal motion, we have learned to transfer, in imagination, our station of observation from its surface to its center, by the application of the diurnal parallax; so, when we come to inquire into the movements of the planets, we shall find ourselves continually embarrassed by the orbital motion of our point of view, unless, by the consideration of the annual or heliocentric parallax, we consent to refer all our observations on them to the center of the sun, or rather to the common center of gravity of the sun, and the other bodies which are connected with it in our system.

(372.) Hence arises the distinction between the geocentric and heliocentric place of an object. The former refers its situation in space to an imaginary sphere of infinite radius, having the center of the earth for its center- the latter to one concentric with the sun. Thus, when we speak of the heliocentric longitudes and latitudes of objects, we suppose the spectator situated in the sun, and referring them by circles perpendicular to the plane of the ecliptic, to the great circle marked out in the heavens by the infinite prolongation of that plane.

(373.) The point in the imaginary concave of an infinite heaven, to which a spectator in the sun refers the earth, must, of course, be diametrically opposite to that to which a spectator on the earth refers the sun's center; consequently the heliocentric latitude of the earth is always nothing, and its heliocentric longitude always equal to the sun's geocentric longitude + 180°. The heliocentric equinoxes and solstices are, therefore, the same as the geocentric reversely named; and to conceive them, we have only to imagine a plane passing through the sun's center, parallel to the earth's equator, and prolonged infinitely on all sides. The line of intersection of this plane and the plane of the ecliptic is the line of equinoxes, and the solstices are 90° distant from it.

(374.) Were the earth's orbit a circle, described with a uniform velocity about the sun placed in its center, nothing

ecently momeregions h much shown. f points mperaexceeds ce with owever, greater summer and the ler sunand so over the respect, sorbed; empera-

n astroncerned, to points as that lly with primary bossible. netrical ibed by y fitted ous sta-

he almost Pliny, at however, e; which is not exence of a ed on the a degree is " Dis-•)

could be easier than to calculate its position at any time with respect to the line of equinoxes, or its longitude, for we should only have to reduce to numbers the proportion following; viz. One year : the time clapsed :: 360° : the are of longitude The longitude so calculated is called in astropassed over. nomy the mean longitude of the earth. But since the earth's orbit is neither circular, nor uniformly described, this rule will not give us the true place in the orbit at any proposed Nevertheless, as the excentricity and deviation moment. from a circle are small, the true place will never deviate very far from that so determined (which, for distinction's sake, is called the mean place), and the former may at all times be calculated from the latter, by applying to it a c "rection or equation (as it is termed), whose amount is never very great, and whose computation is a question of pure geometry, depending on the equable description of areas by the earth about the sun. For since, in elliptic motion according to Kepler's law above stated, areas not angles are described uniformly, the proportion must now be stated thus; - One year : the time elapsed :: the whole area of the ellipse : the area of the sector swept over by the radius vector in that time, This area, therefore, becomes known, and it is then, as above observed, a problem of pure geometry to ascertain the angle about the sun (XSZ, fig. art. 362.), which corresponds to any proposed fractional area of the whole ellipse supposed to be contained in the sector XZS. Suppose we set out from X, the perihelion, then will the angle X SZ at first increase more rapidly than the mean longitude, and will, therefore, during the whole semi-revolution from A to M, exceed it in amount; or, in other words, the true place will be in advance of the mean: at M, one half the year will have elapsed, and one half the orbit have been described, whether it be eircular or elliptie. Here, then, the mean and true places coincide; but in all the other half of the orbit, from M to A, the true place will fall short of the mean, since at M the angular motion is slowest, and the true place from this point begins to lag behind the mean-to make up with it, however, as it approaches A, where it once more overtakes it.

EQUATION OF THE CENTER.

(375.) The quantity by which the *true* longitude of the earth differs from the *mean* longitude is called the equation of the center, and is *additive* during all the half-year in which the earth passes from A to M, beginning at 0° 0' 0", increasing to a maximum, and again diminishing to zero at M; after which it becomes subtractive, attains a maximum of subtractive magnitude between M and A, and again diminishes to 0 at A. Its maximum, both additive and subtractive, is $1^{\circ} 55' 33''.3$.

(376.) By applying, then, to the earth's mean longitude the equation of the center corresponding to any given time at which we would ascertain its place, the true longitude becomes known; and since the sun is always seen from the earth in 180° more longitude than the earth from the sun, in this way also the sun's true place in the ecliptic becomes known. The calculation of the equation of the center is performed by a table constructed for that purpose, to be found in all "Solar Tables."

(377.) The maximum value of the equation of the center depends only on the ellipticity of the orbit, and may be expressed in terms of the excentricity. Vice versâ, therefore, if the former quantity can be ascertained by observation, the latter may be derived from it; because, whenever the law, or numerical connection, between two quantities is known, the one can always be determined from the other. Now, by assiduous observation of the sun's transits over the meridian, we can ascertain, for every day, its exact right ascension, and thence conclude its longitude (art. 309.). After this, it is easy to assign the angle by which this observed longitude exceeds or falls short of the mean; and the greatest amount of this excess or defect which occurs in the whole yer: 10 maximum equation of the center. This, as a means of accortaining the eccentricity of the orbit, is a far more easy and accurate method than that of concluding the sun's distance by measuring its apparent diameter. The results of the two methods coincide, however, perfectly.

(378.) If the ecliptic coincided with the equinoctial, the effect of the equation of the center, by disturbing the unifor-

me with e should ng; viz. ongitude in astroe earth's this rule proposed leviation iate very s sake, is times be rection or ery great, geometry, the earth ording to described is;-One lipse : the that time. , as above the angle nds to any used to be t from X, case more e, during amount; ce of the and one ireular or cide; but rue place motion is as to lag er, as it

mity of the sun's apparent motion in longitude, would cause an inequality in its time of coming on the meridian on successive days. When the sun's center comes to the meridian, it is apparent noon, and if its motion in longitude were uniform, and the ecliptic coincident with the equinoctial, this would always coincide with the mean noon, or the stroke of 12 on a well-regulated solar clock. But, independent of the want of uniformity in its motion, the obliquity of the ecliptic gives rise to another inequality in this respect; in consequence of which, the sun, even supposing its motion in the ecliptic uniform, would yet alternately, in its time of attaining the meridian, anticipate and fall short of the mean noon as shown by the clock. For the right ascension of a celestial object forming a side of a right-angled spherical triangle, of which its longitude is the hypothenuse, it is clear that the uniform increase of the latter must necessitate a deviation from uniformity in the increase of the former.

(379.) These two causes, then, acting conjointly, produce, in fact, a very considerable fluctuation in the time as shown per clock, when the sun really attains the meridian. It amounts, in fact, to upwards of half an hour; apparent noon sometimes taking place as much as $16\frac{1}{4}$ min. before mean noon, and at others as much as $14\frac{1}{3}$ min. after. This difference between apparent and mean noon is called the *equation* of time, and is calculated and inserted in ephemerides for every day of the year, under that title: or else, which comes to the same thing, the moment, in mean time, of the sun's culmination for each day, is set down as an astronomical phænomenon to be observed.

(380.) As the sun, in its apparent annual course, is carried along the ecliptic, its declination is continually varying between the extreme limits of $23^{\circ} 27' 30''$ north, and as much south, which it attains at the solstices. It is consequently always vertical over some part or other of that zone or belt of the earth's surface which lies between the north and south parallels of $23^{\circ} 27' 30''$. These parallels are called in geography the *tropics* ; the northern one that of *Cancer*, and the southern, of *Capricorn*; because the sun, at the respective

as : " 8 nor actu Tai trea orig the Hip autu or st then in lo when the e years use o

80

80

сı

V(

G

C

th

vs

de

17

the

not

son

nary • Tl

longi

† Re diurnal

SIGNS OF THE ZODIAC.

l cause on suceridian, re unial, this roke of t of the ecliptic consein the attainn noon celestial ngle, of that the eviation

produce, as shown lian. It ent noon re mean is differequation ides for th comes he sun's pnomical

s carried cing beas much equently or belt in geo-, and the spective solstices, is situated in the divisions, or signs of the ecliptic so denominated. Of these signs there are twelve, each occupying 30° of its circumference. They commence at the versul equinox, and are named in order—Aries, Taurus, Gemini, Cancer, Leo, Virgo, Libra, Scorpio, Sagittarius, Capricornus, Aquarius, Pisces.* They are denoted also by the following symbols: $-\gamma$, 8, Π , Ξ , Ω , \mathfrak{m} , Δ , \mathfrak{m} , t, \mathfrak{B} , \mathfrak{m} , \mathfrak{K} . Longitude itself is also divided into signs, degrees, and minutes, &c. Thus 5^s 27° 0' corresponds to 177° 0'.

(381.) These Signs are purely technical subdivisions of the ecliptic, commencing from the actual equinox, and are not to be confounded with the constellations so called (and sometimes so symbolized). The constellations of the zodiac, as they now stand arranged on the ecliptic, are all a full "sign" in advance or anticipation of their symbolic cognomens thereon marked. Thus the constellation Aries actually occupies the sign Taurus 8, the constellation Taurus, the sign Gemini II, and so on, the signs having retreated † among the stars (together with the equinox their origin), by the effect of precession. The bright star Spica in the constellation Virgo (a Virginis), by the observations of Hipparchus, 128 years B.C., preceded, or was westward of the autumnal equinox in longitude by 6°. In 1750 it followed or stood eastward of the same equinox by 20° 21'. Its place then, as referred to the ecliptic at the former epoch, would be in longitude 5° 24° 0', or in the 24th degree of the sign A, whereas in the latter epoch it stood in the 21st degree of ug, the equinox having retreated by 26° 21' in the interval, 1878 years, clapsed. To avoid this source of misunderstanding, the use of "signs" and their symbols in the reckoning of celestial longitudes 's now almost entirely abandoned, and the ordinary reckoning (by degrees, &c. from 0 to 360) adopted in

† Retreated is here used with reference to longitude, not to the apparent diurnal motion.

They may be remembered by the following memorial hexameters :-Sout Aries, Taurus, Gemini, Cancer, Leo, Virgo, Libraque, Scorpius, Arciteneus, Caper, Amphora, Pisces,

its place, and the names Aries, Virgo, &c. are becoming restricted to the constellations so called.*

(382.) When the sun is in either tropic, it enlightens, as we have seen, the pole on that side the equator, and shincs over or beyond it to the extent of $23^{\circ} 27' 30''$. The parallels of latitude, at this distance from either pole, are called the *polar circles*, and are distinguished from each other by the names *arctic* and *antarctic*. The regions within these circles are sometimes termed *frigid zones*, while the belt between the tropics is called the *torrid zone*, and the intermediate belts *temperate zones*. These last, however, are merely names given for the sake of naming; as, in fact, owing to the different distribution of land and sea in the two hemispheres, zones of *climate* are not co-terminal with zones of *latitude*.

(383.) Our seasons are determined by the apparent passages of the sun across the equinoctial, and its alternate arrival in the northern and southern hemisphere. Were the equinox invariable, this would happen at intervals precisely equal to the duration of the sidereal year; but, in fact, owing to the slow conical motion of the earth's axis described in art. 317., the equinox retreats on the ecliptic, and meets the advancing sun somewhat before the whole sidereal circuit is completed. The annual retreat of the equinox is 50''·1, and this are is described by the sun in the celiptic in 20^{m} 19^{s} ·9. By so much shorter, then, is the periodical return of our seasons than the true sidereal revolution of the earth round the sun. As the latter period, or sidereal year, is equal to 365^{d} 6^h 9^m 9^{s} ·6, it follows, then, that the former must be only 365^{d} 5^h 48^{m} 49^{s} ·7; and this is what is meant by the tropical year.

(384.) We have already mentioned that the longer axis of the ellipse described by the earth has a slow motion of 11".8 per annum in advance. From this it results, that when the earth, setting out from the perihelion, has completed one sidereal period, the perihelion will have moved forward by

242

1

a g P w

[•] When, however, the place of the sun is spoken of, the old usage prevails. Thus, if we say "the sun is in Aries," it would be interpreted to mean between 0° and 30° of longitude. So, also, "the first point of Aries" is still understood to mean the vernal, and "the first point of Libra," the autumnal equinox; and so in a few other cases.

TROPICAL AND ANOMALISTIC YEARS. 243

ming re

ghtens, as nd shines e parallels called the er by the ese circles t between termediate rely names ing to the mispheres, latitude. parent pasnate arrival the equinox ely equal to wing to the in art. 317., e advancing completed. l this are is s.9. By so our seasons nd the sun. 365^d 6^h 9^m nly 365^d 5^h ical year. nger axis of on of 11".8 at when the upleted one forward by

> usage prevails. mean between still understood equinox; and

11".8, which are must be described by the earth before it can again reach the perihelion. In so doing, it occupies 4^m 39^s.7, and this must therefore be added to the sidereal period, to give the interval between two consecutive returns to the perihelion. This interval, then, is 365^d 6^h 13^m 49^s·3*, and is what is called the anomalistic year. All these periods have their uses in astronomy; but that in which mankind in general are most interested is the tropical year, on which the return of the seasons depends, and which we thus perceive to be a compound phænomenon, depending chiefly and directly on the annual revolution of the earth round the sun, but subordinately also, and indirectly, on its rotation round its own axis, which is what occasions the precession of the equinoxes; thus affording an instructive example of the way in which a motion, once admitted in any part of our system, may be traced in its influence on others with which at first sight it could not possibly be supposed to have any thing to do.

(385.) As a rough consideration of the appearance of the earth points out the general roundness of its form, and more exact inquiry has led us first to the discovery of its elliptic figure, and, in the further progress of refinement, to the perception of minuter local deviations from that figure; so, in investigating the solar motions, the first notion we obtain is that of an orbit, generally speaking, round, and not far from a circle, which, on more careful and exact examination, proves to be an ellipse of small excentricity, and described in conformity with certain laws, as above stated. Still minuter enquiry, however, detects yet smaller deviations again from this form and from these laws, of which we have a specimen in the slow motion of the axis of the orbit spoken of in art. 372.; and which are generally comprehended under the name of perturbations and secular inequalities. Of these deviations, and their causes, we shall speak hereafter at length. It is the triumph of physical astronomy to have rendered a complete account of them all, and to have left nothing unexplained,

^{*} These numbers, as well as most of the other numerical data of our system, are taken from Mr. Baily's Astronomical Tables and Formulæ.

g

n

v

b

m

w

st

fa

flo

vie

tha

a l

tra

cło

lik

lin

* by s

tion

Uni reco

been

verif Tr.

parts lengt

have

part o 10 15

1 '

tion v This

natur

emitte their :

to tha be the

passed

to ente

repeat

mos, p on the

No. 15

either in the motions of the sun or in those of any other of the bodies of our system. But the nature of this explanation cannot be understood till we have developed the law of gravitation, and carried it into its more direct consequences. This will be the object of our three following chapters; in which we shall take advantage of the proximity of the moon, and its immediate connection with and dependence on the earth, to render it, as it were, a stepping-stone to the general explanation of the planetary movements. We shall conclude this by describing what is known of the physical constitution of the sun.

(386.) When viewed through powerful telescopes, provided with coloured glasses, to take off the heat, which would otherwise injure our eyes, the sun is observed to have frequently large and perfectly black spots upon it, surrounded with a kind of border, less completely dark, called a penumbra. Some of these are represented at a, b, c, d, in Plate I. fig. 2., at the end of this volume. They are, however, not permanent. When watched from day to day, or even from hour to hour, they appear to enlarge or contract, to change their forms, and at length to disappear altogether, or to break out anew in parts of the surface where none were before. In such cases of disappearance, the central dark spot always contracts into a point, and vanishes before the border. Occasionally they break up, or divide into two or more, and in those cases offer every evidence of that extreme mobility which belongs only to the fluid state, and of that excessively violent agitation which seems only compatible with the atmospheric or gaseous state of matter. The scale on which their movements take place is immense. A single second of angular measure, as seen from the earth, corresponds on the sun's disc to 461 miles; and a circle of this diameter (containing therefore nearly 167000 square miles) is the least space which can be distinctly discerned on the sun as a visible area. Spots have been observed, however, whose linear diameter has been upwards of 45000 miles*;

* Mayer, Obs. Mar. 15. 1758. "Ingens macula in sole conspiciebatur, enjus diameter $=\frac{1}{20}$ diam. solis."

PHYSICAL CONSTITUTION OF THE SUN.

245

any other as explanathe law of sequences, mapters; in f the moon, nce on the the general all conclude constitution

es, provided hich would o have fresurrounded alled a pe-, b, c, d, in y are, howto day, or or contract, r altogether, where none the central ishes before ide into two nce of that id state, and as only comof matter. is immense. m the earth, a circle of 000 square liscerned on d, however, 000 miles*;

conspiciebatur,

and even, if some records are to be trusted, of very much greater extent.* That such a spot should close up in six weeks' time (for they seldom last much longer), its borders must approach at the rate of more than 1000 miles a day.

(387.) Many other circumstances tend to corroborate this view of the subject. The part of the sun's disc not occupied by spots is far from uniformly bright. Its ground is finely mottled with an appearance of minute, dark dots, or pores, which, when attentively watched, are found to be in a constant state of change. There is nothing which represents so faithfully this appearance as the slow subsidence of some flocculent chemical precipitates in a transparent fluid, when viewed perpendicularly from above: so faithfully, indeed, that it is hardly possible not to be impressed with the idea of a luminous medium intermixed, but not confounded, with a transparent and non-luminous atmosphere, either floating as clouds in our air, or pervading it in vast sheets and columns like flame, or the streamers of our northern lights, directed in lines perpendicular to the surface.[†] [See § (387 a) Note G].

* Half the sun's disc is said in certain ancient annals to have been obscured by spots. This is monstrous — but on at least two occasions before the invention of telescopes spots have been seen with the naked eye. M. Gautier (Bibl, Univ, de Geneve, July and Aug, 1852) mentions as one of the largest spots on record, that observed by Sir W. Herschel, in 1779, which he there states to have been 470" in diameter, or 15'7 times that of the earth. I have not been able to verify the citation. The great spot of 1779 mentioned by Sir W. H. (Phil, Tr. 1795) as having been seen with the naked eye, consisted, he says, of two parts, the largest of which "measured 1' 8".06 in diameter, which is equal in length to more than 31000 miles," " Both together," he adds, "must certainly have extended above 50000." This corresponds to 113" which is not a fourth part of M. Gautier's quantity; moreover, 470" on the sun's disc corresponds, not to 15.7, but to 27:3 diameters of the earth...(Note added in 1858.)

† The light emanating immediately from the sun shows no sign of polarization whether radiating from the central or circumferential portions of its disc. This has been adduced as affording a direct experimental proof of the gaseous nature of the surface from which its light proceeds. It is argued that the light emitted by incandescent solid or fluid terrestrial bodies at great obliquities to their surfaces, is always found to be partially polarized in a plane perpendicular to that in which the angle of emanation lies, and that consequently such cannot be the nature of the solar surface. In former editions of this work, I have passed this argument sub silentia, and should not have thought it necessary now to enter a protest against its validity (resting as it does on anthority of one of the greatest names in optical science), but that I find it prominently put forward and repeated and strongly insisted on in recent works of conspienous merit. (Kosmos, *passim*, especially vol. iii. pp. 47., 284., and notes 99., 483. transl.; Gautier on the Sun, Bibl. Univ. 1852; Yaughan, Rep. Brit. Assoc. 1867; Athencum, No. 1560, &c. &c.) The fallacy consists in the assumption that the surface
(388.) Lastly, in the neighbourhood of great spots, or extensive groups of them, large spaces of the surface are often observed to be covered with strongly marked curved or branching streaks, more luminous than the rest, called *facula*, and among these, if not already existing, spots frequently break out. They may, perhaps, be regarded with most probability as the ridges of immense waves in the luminous regions of the sun's atmosphere, indicative of violent agitation in their neighbourhood. They are most commonly, and best seen, towards the borders of the visible disc, and their appearance is as represented in Plate I. fig. 1.

(389.) But what are the spots? Many fanciful notions have been broached on this subject, but only one seems to have any degree of physical probability, viz. that they are the dark, or at least comparatively dark, solid body of the sun itself, laid bare to our view by those immense fluctuations in the luminous regions of its atmosphere, to which it appears to be subject. Respecting the manner in which this disclosure takes place, different ideas again have been advocated. Lalande (art. 3240.) suggests, that eminences in the nature of mountains are actually laid bare, and project above the luminous ocean, appearing black above it, while their shoaling declivities produce the penumbre, where the luminous fluid is less deep. A fatal objection to this theory is the uniform shade of the penumbra and its sharp termination, both inwards, where it joins the spot, and outwards, where

from which the light emanates at the borders of the sun is necessarily oblique to the visual ray by which we see it ; which, though true of the general surface regarded as a portion of a sphere 880000 miles in diameter, is not so of each particular square foot or square inch which, not being obscured from sight by intervening protuberances, may send out rays to reach the eye of a terrestrial spectator. Supposing the sun to be an incandescent solid not more rough than the earth or the moon, it is obvious that whether from the centre or from the borders, the light by which we see it must consist of a mixture of rays emergent from the *local surface* at every possible angle of obliquity and in every possible plane without the smallest preference. A luminous portion of the surface surface occupying the ten thousandth part of a square second, would correspond to a sectional area of the visual beam upwards of twenty square miles in extent, admitting every variety of plain, precipice, slope, or rugged ground. The general surface of a forest seen on the horizon is parallel to the mathematical horizon, but who would assert that the ray by which its extreme visible leaf is seen, necessarily emanates from that leaf at any one obliquity or in any one plane of emergence rather than any other ?- (Note added in 1858.)

I

a

0

h

NATURE OF THE SUN'S SPOTS.

pots, or exce are often curved or lled *facula*, frequently h most proe luminous ent agitation ly, and best , and their

iful notions ne seens to nat they are body of the fluctuations ch it appears ch this disn advocated, n the nature ct above the their shoalhe luminous heory is the termination, vards, where

necessarily v ae of the general eter, is not so of eured from sight eye of a terresd not more rough ntre or from the re of rays emerty and in every tion of the sun's ould correspond miles in extent, ground. The e mathematical ne visible leaf is or in any one 8.)

it borders on the bright surface. A more probable view has been taken by Sir William Herschel*, who considers the luminous strata of the atmosphere to be sustained far above the level of the solid body by a transparent elastic medium, carrying on its upper surface (or rather, to avoid the former objection, at some considerably lower level within its depth) a cloudy stratum which, being strongly illuminated from above, reflects a considerable portion of the light to our eyes, and

forms a penumbra, while the solid body shaded by the clouds reflects none. (See *fig.*) The temporary removal of both the strata, but more of the upper than the lower, he supposes effected by powerful upward currents of the atmosphere, arising, perhaps, from spiracles in the body, or from local agitations.



247

(389a.) Such was the state of our knowledge of the appearance and constitution of the solar spots at the time when this work first issued from the press. But in 1851, a further step towards penetrating the mystery of their nature was made by that excellent and indefatigable observer Mr. Dawes, who availing himself of the ingenious contrivance described in (art. 204 e.) has been enabled to scrutinize the interior of the penumbræ of the pots, under high magnifying powers, in perfect security and with all the advantage which the absence of extraneous glare confers on the examination of feebly illuminated objects. So viewed, he has found the blacker portions occupying the middle of the penumbra, and which to former observers appeared so dark and so uniform as to lead them to believe it to be the sun's actual surface seen through an aperture in an exterior envelope-to be, itself, only an additional and inferior stratum of very feebly luminous (or illuminated) matter, which he has called "the cloudy stratum," which again in its turn is

* Phil. Trans. 1801.

R 4







frequently seen to be pierced with a smaller and usually much more rounded aperture, which would seem at length to afford a view of the real solar surface of most intense Figs. 4. 5. Pl. I., represent spots so seen on blackness. 23rd December, 1851, and 17th January, 1852. In tracing the changes in the spots, from day to day, Mr. Dawes has also been led to conclude, that, in many instances, they have a movement of rotation about their own centers. This was particularly remarkable in the spot of 17th January, which between that date and 23rd January had revolved in its own plane through an angle of more than 90°; the "cloudy stratum," with its central aperture, presenting itself under the aspect represented at b fig. 5., instead of that at a, which it originally had, its general form remaining all the while unchanged. [See Note G.]

(390.) When the spots are attentively watched, their situation on the disc of the sun is observed to change. They advance regularly towards its western limb or border, where they disappear, and are replaced by others which enter at the eastern limb, and which, pursuing their respective courses, in their turn disappear at the western. The apparent rapidity of this movement is not uniform, as it would be were the spots dark bodies passing, by an independent motion of their own, between the earth and the sun; but is swiftest in the middle of their paths across the disc, and very slow at its borders. This is precisely what would be the case supposing them to appertain to and make part of the visible surface of the sun's globe, and to be carried round by a uniform rotation of that globe on its axis, so that each spot should describe a circle parallel to the sun's equator, rendered elliptic by the effect of perspective. Their apparent paths also across the disc conform to this view of their nature, being, generally speaking, ellipses, much elongated, concentric with the sun's disc, each having one of its chords for its longeraxis, and all these axes parallel to each other. At two periods of the year only do the spots appear to describe straight lines, viz. on and near to the 11th of June and 12th of December, on which days, therefore, the plane of the

OF THE SUN'S ROTATION ON ITS AXIS.

circle, which a spot situated on the sun's equator describes (and consequently, the plane of that equator itself), passes through the earth. Hence it is obvious, that the plane of the sun's equator is inclined to that of the ecliptic, and intersects it in a line which passes through the place of the earth on these days. The situation of this line, or *the tine* of the nodes of the sun's equator as it is called, is, therefore, defined by the longitudes of the earth as seen from the sun at those epochs, which are respectively 80° 21' and 260° 21' (=80° 21' + 180°) being, of course, diametrically opposite in direction.

(391.) The inclination of the sun's axis (that of the plane of its equator) to the ecliptic is determined by ascertaining the proportion of the longer and the shorter diameter of the apparent ellipse described by any remarkable, well-defined spot; in order to do which, its apparent place on the sun's disc must be very precisely ascertained by micrometric measures, repeated from day to day as long as it continues visible, (usually about 12 or 13 days, according to the magnitude of the spots, which always vanish by the effect of foreshortening before they attain the actual border of the disc — but the larger spots being traceable closer to the limb than the smaller.*) The *reduction* of such observations, or the conelusion from them of the element in question, is complicated with the effect of the earth's motion in the interval of the observations, and with its situation in the ecliptic, with respect to the line of nodes. For simplicity, we will suppose the earth situated as it is on the 10th of March, in a line at right angles to that of the nodes, *i. e.* in the heliocentric longitude 170° 21', and to remain there stationary during the whole passage of a spot across the disc. In this case the axis of rotation of the sun will be situated in a plane passing through the earth and at right angles to the plane of the ecliptic. Suppose C to represent the sun's centre, P C p its axis, EC the line of sight, PNQApS a section of the sun passing through the earth, and Q a spot situated on its

• The great spot of December, 1719, is stated to have been seen as a notch in the limb of the sun.

lly the on ing has ave was ich its idy der t a, the heir

hey here the rses, rent vere n of st in t its sing e of otadeptic also ing, with ger two ribe 2th

the

equator, and in that plane, and consequently in the middle of its apparent path across the disc. If the axis of rotation



were perpendicular to the celiptic, as N S, this spot would be at A, and would be seen projected on C, the centre of the sun. It is actually at Q, projected upon D, at an apparent distance CD to the *north* of the centre, which is the apparent smaller semi-axis of the ellipse described by the spot, which being known by micrometric measurement, the value of $\frac{CD}{CN}$ or the

cosine of QCN, the inclination of the sun's equator becomes known, CN being the apparent semi-diameter of the sun at that time. At this epoch, moreover, the northern half of the circle described by the spot is visible (the southern passing behind the body of the sun), and the south pole p of the sun is within the visible hemisphere. This is the case in the whole interval from December 11th to July 12th, during which the visual ray falls upon the southern side of the sun's equator. The contrary happens in the other half year, from July 12th to December 11th, and this is what is understood when we say that the ascending node (denoted &) of the sun's equator lies in 80° 21' longitude - a spot on the equator passing that node being then in the act of ascending from the southern to the northern side of the plane of the celiptic -- such being the conventional language of astronomers in speaking of these matters.

OF THE SUN'S SPOTS.

(392.) If the observations are made at other seasons (which, however, are the less favourable for this purpose the more remote they are from the epochs here assigned); when, moreover, as in strictness is necessary, the motion of the earth in the interval of the measures is allowed for (as for a change of the point of sight); the calculations requisite to deduce the situation of the axis in space, and the duration of the revolution around it, become much more intricate, and it would be beyond the scope of this work to enter into them.* According to the best determinations we possess, the inclination of the sun's equator to the celiptic is about 7° 20' (its nodes being as above stated), and the period of rotation 25 days 7 hours 48 minutes †; the corresponding synodic period being 27 days 5 hours 5 minutes.

(393.) The region of the spots is confined, generally speaking, within about 25° on either side of the sun's equator; beyond 30° they are very rarely seen; in the polar regions, The actual equator of the sun is also less frequently never. visited by spots than the adjacent zones on either side, and a very material difference in their frequency and magnitude subsists in its northern and southern hemisphere, those on the northern preponderating in both respects. The zone comprised between the 11th and 15th degree to the northward of the equator is particularly fertile in large and durable spots. These circumstances, as well as the frequent occurrence of a more or less regular arrangement of the spots, when numerous, in the manner of belts parallel to the equator, point evidently to physical peculiarities in certain parts of the sun's body more favourable than in others to the production of the spots, on the one hand; and on the other, to a general influence of its rotation on its axis as a determining cause of their distribution and arrangement, and would appear indicative of a system of movements in the fluids which con-

be m. 100 ler ing the nes at the ng n is ole the or. eth ay ies hut to ng

of

f

n

[•] See the theory in Lalande's Astronomy, art. 3258., and the formulæ of computation in a paper by Petersen, Schumacher's Nachrichten, No. 419.

⁴ Bianchi (Schumacher's Nach., 483.), agreeing with Langier. Delambre makes it 25⁴ 0^b 17^m; Petersen, 25⁴ 4^b 30^m; Schwabe, 25⁴ 12^b 10^m (Poggendorff, vol. 85. 1852.) The inclination of the axis is uncertain to half a degree, and the node to several degrees. The continual changes in the spots themselves cause this uncertainty.

stitute its luminous surface bearing no remote analogy to our trade winds — from whatever cause arising. (See art. 239. et seq.)

(394.) The duration of individual spots is commonly not great; some are formed and disappear within the limit of a single transit across the disc - but such are for the most part small and insignificant. Frequently they make one or two revolutions, being recognized at their reappearance by their situation with respect to the equator, their configurations inter se, their size, or other peculiarities, as well as by the interval elapsing between their disappearance at one limb and reappearance on the other. In a few rare cases, however, they have been watched round many revolutions. The great spot of 1779 appeared during six months, and one and the same groupe was observed in 1840 by Schwabe to return eight times.* It has been surmised, with considerable apparent probability, that some spots, at least, are generated again and again, at distant intervals of time, over the same identical points of the sun's body (as hurricanes, for example, are known to affect given localities on the earth's surface, and to pursue definite tracks). The uncertainty which still prevails with respect to the exact duration of its rotation renders it very difficult to obtain convincing evidence of this; nor, indeed, can it be expected, until by bringing together into one connected view the recorded state of the sun's surface during a very long period of time, and comparing together remarkable spots which have appeared on the same parallel, some precise periodic time shall be found which shall exactly conciliate numerous and well-characterized appearances. The inquiry is one of singular interest, as there can be no reasonable doubt that the supply of light and heat afforded to our globe stands in intimate connexion with those processes which are taking place on the solar surface, and to which the spots in some way or other owe their origin.

(394 a.) Meanwhile M. Schwabe, of Dessau, by compar-

^{*} Schum. Nach. No. 418. p. 150. The recent papers of Biela, Capocei, Schwabe, Pastorff and Schmidt, in that collection, will be found highly interesting.

PERIODICITY OF THF SUN'S SPOTS.

ing together the records of the general state of the sun's surface in respect of the abundance and paucity of spots exhibited by it from 1826 to 1850, has been led to a highly remarkable conclusion, viz. that their degree of copiousness is subject to a law of periodicity; alternate minima and maxima recurring at nearly equal intervals. The interval from minimum to minimum, as well as could be ascertained from the moderate interval embraced by the observations compared was provisionally estimated by M. Schwabe at about ten years. More recently, M. Wolf, of Berne*, from a careful assemblage and discussion of all the recorded observations of spots which could be collected from their first telescopic discovery (by Fabricius and Harriot, in 1610) to the present time, while fully confirming their periodicity, has fixed upon the somewhat longer period, from minimum to minimum, of 113.11, being exactly at the rate of nine periods per century, the last year of each century (1700, 1800, &c.) being a year of minimum. In the minima there is for the most part an extreme paucity, and sometimes an entire absence of spots.[†] The maxima (in which they are often so copious that 50 or 100 have been counted at once on the disc) do not appear to fall exactly in the middle year between the minima, but rather earlier, about the fifth year of the period. What is extremely remarkable, and must certainly be received as strongly corroborative, both of the general fact of periodicity and of the correctness of M. Wolf's period, is, that we find recorded in history by chroniclers and annalists on several occasions before the invention of telescopes, the appearance of spots, or groups of spots, so considerable as to have become matter of vulgar observation, as for instance in the years A.D. 807, 840, 1096, and 1607 ‡, and several others in which, though no spots are recorded, a great deficiency in the sun's light has been remarked. Thus in the annals of the year A.D. 536, the sun is said to have suffered a great diminution of light, which continued fourteen

* Rudolf Wolf. Transactions of Society of Nat. Phil. Berne. 1852.

† As in 1856.

0

۶t

a

st

r

y

18

1-

ıd

r,

at

ıe

rn

a-

in

al

vn

ue

th

ry

d,

n-

a

le

вC

te

ŀУ

le

be

e

n

[‡] Those spots were taken for planets seen on the sun; that of 840 for Venus; those of 807 and 1607 for Mercury.

months. From October, A.D. 626, to the following June, a defalcation of light to the extent of one-half is recorded; and in A.D. 1547, during three days, the sun is said to have been so darkened that stars were seen in the day-time. Now of all these instances, supposing them all to have been owing to spots, either unusually large or numerous, there are only two, those of A.D. 807 and 1607, which deviate so much as two years from the epochs of maximum fixed as above.

(394 b.) Sir W. Herschel (Ph. Tr. 1801), considering the appearance of abundant spots on the sun's disc as evidence of an agitated state of its gaseous envelope, and regarding the extrication of light and heat as the results of chemical processes likely to be promoted by the more intimate mixture of heterogeneous materials having mutual affinities, has attempted to show, though from very imperfect records (such as alone could be procured by him at that date) that years of remarkably abundant or deficient spots have been also remarkable respectively for their high or low general temperature, and especially for abundant and deficient harvests. The point has been inquired into by M. Gautier*, who from an assemblage of meteorological averages obtained in thirtythree stations in Europe, and twenty-nine in America during eleven years of observation, finds a triffing preponderance (0°-11 Fahr.) in the opposite direction. On the other hand M. Wolf, in the memoir above cited, from an examination of the Chronicles of Zurich from the year A.D. 1000 to A.D. 1800. is led to a conclusion in accordance with this speculation, and considers them as affording decisive evidence " that years rich in solar spots are in general drier and more fruitful than those of an opposite character, while the latter are wetter and more stormy than the former."

(394 c.) Although more properly belonging to the domain of general physics than of astronomy, it is impossible to omit mentioning here the singular coincidence of this period of the recurrence of the solar spots with that of those great disturbances in the magnetic system of the earth to which the cpithet of "magnetic storms" has been affixed. These

* Bibl. Univ. de Geneve. 1844.

EXTERIOR ATMOSPHERE OF THE SUN.

ñ.

d

n

of

0

У

18

ıe

ce

ıg

al

х-

as

ch

ırs

lso

)e-

sts.

 \mathbf{pm}

ty-

ng

ice

nd

lof

0,

n,

rs

ul

 \mathbf{re}

in

to

d

ht

h

e

disturbances, during which the magnetic needle is greatly and universally agitated (not in a particular limited locality, but at one and the same instant of time over whole continents, or even over the whole earth), are found, so far as observation has hitherto extended, to maintain a parallel both in respect of their frequency of occurrence and intensity in successive years with the abundance and magnitude of the spots in the same years, too close to be regarded as fortuitous. The coincidence of the epochs of maxima and minima in the two series of phenomena amounts indeed to identity, a fact evidently of most important significance, but which neither astronomical nor magnetic science is yet sufficiently advanced to interpret.

(395.) Above the luminous surface of the sun, and the region in which the spots reside, there are strong indications of the existence of a gaseous atmosphere having a somewhat imperfect transparency. When the whole disc of the sun is seen at once through a telescope magnifying moderately enough to allow it, and with a darkening glass such as to suffer it to be contemplated with perfect comfort, it is very evident that the borders of the disc are much less luminous than the center. That this is no illusion is shown by projecting the sun's image undarkened and moderately magnified, so as to occupy a circle two or three inches in diameter, on a sheet of white paper, taking care to have it well in focus, when the same appearance will be observed.* This can only arise from the circumferential rays having undergone the absorptive action of a much greater thickness of some imperfectly transparent envelope (due to greater obliquity of their passage through it) than the central.-But a still more convincing and indeed decisive evidence is offered by the phæno-

[•] This has been denied by Arago on the evidence of certain phænomena observed with his "polariscope;" but the fact is so palpable, that it is matter of some astonishment that it could ever fail to strike the most superficial observer. The matter has been placed beyond a doubt, however, by direct experiments both photometric and thermic. The details of the latter by Sig. Seechi will be found in Astron. Nashr. Nos. 806. 833, and go to prove that the calorific radiation of the center of the sun's disc is nearly double of that from its borders, and that the equatorial regions are somewhat hotter than the polar (Comptes Renulur Aug. 26th, 1852).—(Note added 1858.)

Such eclipses mena attending a total eclipse of the sun. (as will be shown hereafter) are produced by the interposition of the dark body of the moon between the earth and sun, the moon being large enough to cover and surpass, by a very small breadth, the whole disc of the sun. Now when this takes place, were there no vaporous atmosphere capable of reflecting any light about the sun, the sky ought to appear totally dark, since (as will hereafter abundantly appear) there is not the smallest reason for believing the moon to have any atmosphere capable of doing so. So far, however, is this from being the case, that a bright ring or corona of light is scen, fading gradually away, as represented in Pl. I. fig. 3., which (in cases where the moon is not centrally superposed on the sun) is observed to be concentric with the latter, not the former body. This corona was beautifully seen in the eclipse of July 7. 1842, and with this most remarkable addition-witnessed by every spectator in Pavia, Milan, Vienna, and elsewhere : three distinct and very conspicuous rose-coloured protuberances (as represented in the figure cited) were seen to project beyond the dark limb of the moon, likened by some to flames, by others to mountains, but which their enormous magnitude (for to have been seen at all by the naked eye their height must have exceeded 40,000 miles), and their faint degree of illumination, clearly prove to have been cloudy masses of the most excessive tenuity, and which doubtless owed their support, and probably their existence, to such an atmosphere as we are now speaking of. In the total eclipse of July 28. 1851, similar rose-coloured protuberances were observed, one in particular of a form quite decisive as to their cloudy nature, rising straight up verti-



cally from the edge of the dise, and then suddenly turning off at a right angle (as in the annexed figure) which repre-

TEMPERATURE OF THE SUN'S SURFACE.

sents the appearance as seen by Prof. Schmidt, at Rastenburg, just as a column of smoke rising in calm air is often seen to be drifted off horizontally when it has attained such a height as to bring it into an upper current of wind. To complete the resemblance, a detached and perfectly insulated mass B of the same rosy colour was observed at some distance from the drifted train A, which was connected with another mass C, by a narrow red band or streak D.

(395 a.) The existence of such an atmosphere superior to the luminous envelope being admitted, affords an easy explanation of the faculæ, considered as vast waves in the photosphere (art. 388.). In an atmosphere consisting of strata gradually decreasing in density, any cause of undulation acting on the inferior strata will throw them up to a vastly greater height, and therefore produce far greater waves in them than would arise from the same cause acting on the surface of a definite ocean of liquid matter, by reason of their being partially sustained against gravity, leaving their inertia free to carry them up to a higher level. The experiment is easily tried in oil floating on water, or in saline solutions increasing in density downwards, and is at once anusing and instructive.

(396.) That the temperature at the visible surface of the sun cannot be otherwise than very elevated, much more so than any artificial heat produced in cur furnaces, or by chemical or galvanic processes, we have indications of several distinct kinds: 1st, From the law of decrease of radiant heat and light, which, being inversely as the squares of the distances, it follows, that the heat received on a given area exposed at the distance of the earth, and on an equal area at the visible surface of the sun, must be in the proportion of the area of the sky occupied by the sun's apparent disc to the whole hemisphere, or as 1 to about 92,000. A far less intensity of solar radiation, collected in the focus of a burning glass, suffices to dissipate gold and platina in vapour. 2dly, From the facility with which the calorific rays of the sun traverse glass, a property which is found to belong to the heat of artificial

moon, which all by nilės), have which ce, to n the protuquite verti-

oses

tion

sun,

y a

7hen

able

pear

hcre

any

this

ht is

g. 3.,

posed

, not

n the

kable

filan,

cuous

cited)

rning epre-

fires in the direct proportion of their intensity.^{*} 3dly, From the fact, that the most vivid flames disappear, and the most intensely ignited solids appear only as black spots on the disc of the sun when held between it and the cye.[†] From the last remark it follows, that the body of the sun, however dark it may appear when seen through its spots, may, nevertheless, be in a state of most intense ignition. It does not, however, follow of necessity that it must be so. The contrary is at least physically possible. A perfectly reflective canopy would effectually defend it from the radiation of the luminous regions above its atmosphere, and no heat would be conducted downwards through a gaseous medium increasing rapidly in density. That the penumbral clouds are highly reflective, the fact of their visibility in such a situation can leave no doubt.

(397.) As the magnitude of the sun has been measured, and (as we shall hereafter see) its weight, or quantity of ponderable matter, ascertained, so also attempts have been made, and not wholly without success, from the heat actually communicated by its rays to given surfaces of material bodies exposed to their vertical action on the earth's surface, to estimate the total expenditure of heat by that luminary in a given The result of such experiments has been thus antime. nounced. Supposing a cylinder of ice 45 miles in diameter, to be continually darted into the sun with the velocity of light, and that the water produced by its fusion were continually carried off, the heat now given off constantly by radiation would then be wholly expended in its liquefaction, on the one hand, so as to leave no radiant surplus; while on the other, the actual temperature at its surface would undergo no diminution.[‡]

■ By direct measurement with the actinometer, I find that out of 1000 calorific solar rays, 816 penetrate a sheet of plate glass 0⁻¹² inch thick; and that of 1000 rays which have passed through one such plate, 859 are capable of passing through another. H. 1827.

The ball of ignited quicklime, in Lieutenant Drummond's oxy-hydrogen lamp, gives the nearest imitation of the solar splendour which has yet been produced. The appearance of this against the sun was, however, as described in an imperfect trial at which I was present. The experiment ought to be repeated under favonrable circumstances. — Note to the ed. of 1833. According to the more recent experiments of Messrs. Fizeau and Foucault, the intensity of the light at the surface of Drummond's lime-ball is only one-146th part of that at the surface of the sun 1— (Note added 1858.)

"Results of Astronomical Observations at the Cape of Good Hope," p. 444.

AMOUNT OF HEAT GIVEN OUT BY THE SUN. 259

(397 a.) Another mode of expressing the heat generated and radiated off from the sun's surface, well calculated to impress us with an overwhelming idea of the tremendous energies there constantly in action, is that employed by Professor Thomson, who estimates the dynamical effect which would be produced in our manufactories by a consumption of fuel competent to evolve the heat given out by *each individual square yard* of that surface, at 63000 horse-power, to maintain which would require the combustion of 13500 pounds of coal per hour.*

(398.) This immense escape of heat by radiation, we may remark, will fully explain the constant state of tumultuous agitation in which the fluids composing the visible surface are maintained, and the continual generation and filling in of *the pores*, without having recourse to internal causes. The mode of action here alluded to is perfectly represented to the eye in the disturbed subsidence of a precipitate, as described in art. 387., when the fluid from which it subsides is warm, and losing heat from its surface.

(399.) The sun's rays are the ultimate source of almost every motion which takes place on the surface of the earth. By its heat are produced all winds, and those disturbances in the electric equilibrium of the atmosphere which give rise to the phænomena of lightning, and probably also to those of terrestrialmagnetism and the aurora. By their vivifying action vegetables are enabled to draw support from inorganic matter,

From most e disc n the dark neless, vever, is at would egions downensity. fact of

asured, of poni made, bodies to estia given hus anheter, to *pht*, and carried ld then id, so as actual on.[‡]

> of 1000 lick; and e capable

hydrogen yet been described ht to be According intensity h part of

[•] See Trans. R. S. Edin, xxi. p. 69. "On the Mechanical Energies of the Solar System," by W. Thomson, Esq., Prof. Nnt. Phil., Glasgow. The Professor grounds this estimate on M. Pouillet's determination of the amount of solar radiation and Mr. Joule's estimate of the mechanical equivalent of a centigrade thermal unit. The author of this work found at the Cape of Good Hope, by experiments made on six summer days, from December 23rd, 1836, to January 9th, 1837, the sun being nearly vertical in each experiment, that in that latitude at midsummer, at noon, and at 140 feet above the sca level, the solar radiation is competent to melt an ineh in thickness from a sheet of ice exposed perpendicularly to its rays (if wholly so employed) in 2^{h} , 12^{m} . 42^{*}. Fstimating the heat absorbed in traversing our atmosphere at one-third of the total quantity ineident on it, this gives, all reductions made, 43:39 feet in thickness of ice *per minute* melted at the sun's surface. M. Pouillet's experiments (made in June, 1837), give 11:80 metres or 38-7 feet per minute. Forty feet may therefore be taken as a probable mean, and from this the result in (art. 397.) is calculated.

and become, in their turn, the support of animals and of man, and the sources of those great deposits of dynamical efficiency which are laid up for human use in our coal strata.* By them the waters of the sea are made to circulate in vapour through the air, and irrigate the land, producing springs and rivers. By them are produced all disturbances of the chemical equilibrium of the elements of nature, which, by a series of compositions and decompositions, give rise to new products, and originate a transfer of materials. Even the slow degradation of the solid constituents of the surface, in which its chief geological changes consist, is almost entirely due, on the one hand, to the abrasion of wind and rain, and the alternation of heat and frost; on the other, to the continual beating of the sea waves, agitated by winds, the results of solar radiation. Tidal action (itself partly due to the sun's agency) exercises here a comparatively slight influence. The effect of occanic currents (mainly originating in that influence), though slight in abrasion, is powerful in diffusing and transporting the matter abraded; and when we consider the immense transfer of matter so produced, the increase of pressure over large spaces in the bed of the ocean, and diminution over corresponding portions of the land, we are not at a loss to perceive how the elastic power of subterranean fires, thus repressed on the one hand and relieved on the other, may break forth in points where the resistance is barely adequate to their retention, and thus bring the phænomena of even volcanic activity under the general law of solar influence.

(400.) The great mystery, however, is to conceive how so enormous a conflagration (if such it be) can be kept up. Every discovery in chemical science here leaves us completely at a loss, or rather, seems to remove farther the prospect of probable explanation. If conjecture might be hazarded, we should look rather to the known possibility of an indefinite generation of heat by friction, or to its excitement by the

* So in the edition of 1833. The celebrated engineer Stephenson is generally, but erroneously, cited as the source of this remark.

+ So in the edition of 1833.

PROBABLE ORIGIN OF SOLAR RADIATION. 261

electric discharge, than to any actual combustion of ponderable fuel, whether solid or gaseous, for the origin of the solar radiation.* Photographic representations of the spots have been made with much success by Mr. Delarue, with a "photohelioscope" at Kew: also by the Rev. W. Selwyn, Canon of Ely, &c.

• Electricity traversing excessively rarefied air or vapours gives out light, and, doubtless, also heat. May not a continual current of electric matter be constantly circulating in the sun's immediate neighbourhood, or traversing the planetary spaces, and exciting, in the upper regions of its atmosphere, those phenomena of which, on however diminutive a scale, we have yet an unequivocal manifestation in our aurora borcalis. The possible analogy of the solar light to that of the aurora has been distinctly insisted on by the late Sir W. Herschel, in his paper already cited. It would be a highly curious subject of experimental enquiry, how far a mere reduplication of sheets of flame, at a distance one behind the other (by which their light might be brought to any required intensity), would communicate to the heat of the resulting compound ray the penetrating character which distinguishes the solar calorific rays. We may also observe that the tranquillity of the sun's polar, as compared with its equatorial regions (if its spots be really atmospheric), cannot be accounted for by its rotation on its axis only, but must arise from some cause external to the luminous surface of the sun, as we see the helts of Jupiter and Saturn, and our tradewinds, arise from a cause external to these planets, combining itself. with their rotation which alone can produce no motions when once the form of equilibrium is attained.

The prismatic analysis of the solar beam exhibits in the spectrum a series of "fixed lines," totally unlike those which belong to the light of any known terrestrial flame. This may hereafter lead us to a clearer insight into its origin. But, before we can draw any conclusions from such an indication, we must recollect, that previous to reaching us it has undergone the whole absorptive action of our atmosphere, as well as of the sun's. Of the latter we know nothing, and may conjecture every thing ; but of the blue colour of the former we are sure; and if this be an inherent (i. e. an absorptive) colour, the air must be expected to act on the spectrum after the analogy of other coloured media, which often (and especially light blue media) leave unabsorbed portions separated by dark intervals. It deserves enquiry, therefore, whether some or all the fixed lines observed by Wollaston and Fraunhofer may not have their origin in our own atmosphere. Experiments maile on lofty mountains, or the cars of balloons, on the one hand, and on the other with reflected beams which have been made to traverse several miles of additional air near the surface, would decide this point. The absorptive effect of the sun's atmosphere, and possibly also of the medium surrounding it (whatever it be) which resists the motions of comets, cannot be thus eliminated. -- Note to the edition of 1833. The idea of referring the origin of the solar heat to friction has been worked out into an elaborate theory by Prof. Thomson, in his paper already cited, of which some account will be given in a more advanced portion of this work. (1858.) The recent re-markable results of what is called "Spectrum Analysis" afford a curious commentary on this note. (1863.)

Note on Aat. (394 a.)—Tho year 1856 was remarkable for the absence of spots in the sun (in exact accordance with Wolf's period); during 1857 the phase of increased activity came on; and the present year (1858) is ushered in with a magnificent display of spots in the sun's southern hemisphere. – Note added Jan. 4, 1858.)

an. icy em ugh By ium ions nate the rical) the and aves, ction comrents asion, aded; o proie bed ons of elastic hand ere the bring eneral

low so pt up. pletely cct of ed, we efinite by the

enerally,

CHAPTER VII.

OF THE MOON. ---- ITS SIDEREAL PERIOD. --- ITS APPARENT DIAMETER. --- ITS PARALLAX DISTANCE, AND REAL DIAMETER --- FIRST APPROXIMATION TO ITS ORBIT. - AN ELLIPSE ABOUT THE EARTH IN THE FOCUS. - ITS EXCENTRICITY AND INCLINATION. - MOTION OF ITS NODES AND APSIDES. -- OF OCCULTATIONS AND SOLAR ECLIPSES GENERALLY. - LIMITS WITHIN WITCH THEY ARE POS-SIBLE. - THEY PROVE THE MOON TO BE AN OPAQUE SOLID. - ITS LIGHT DERIVED FROM THE SUN. - ITS PHASES. - SYNODIC RE-VOLUTION OR LUNAR MONTH. - HARVEST MOON. - OF ECLIPSES MORE PARTICULARLY. - THEIR PHENOMENA. - THEIR PERIODICAL RECURRENCE. - PHYSICAL CONSTITUTION OF THE MOON. - ITS MOUNTAINS AND OTHER SUPERFICIAL FEATURES. --- INDICATIONS OF FORMER VOLCANIC ACTIVITY. --- ITS ATMOSPHERE. --- CLIMATE. - RADIATION OF HEAT FROM ITS SURFACE. - ROTATION ON ITS OWN AXIS. - LIBRATION. - APPEARANCE OF THE EARTH FROM IT .- PROBABLE ELONGATION OF THE MOON'S FIGURE IN THE DIRECTION OF THE EARTH .---- ITS HABITABILITY NOT IMPOSSIBLE. -CHARTS, MODELS, AND PHOTOGRAPHS OF ITS SURFACE.

(401.) THE moon, like the sun, appears to advance among the stars with a movement contrary to the general diurnal motion of the heavens, but much more rapid, so as to be very readily perceived (as we have before observed) by a few hours' cursory attention on any moonlight night. By this continual advance, which, though sometimes quicker, sometimes slower, is never intermitted or reversed, it makes the tour of the heavens in a mean or average period of 27^{4} 7^{h} 43^{m} 11^s.5, returning, in that time, to a position among the stars nearly coincident with that it had before, and which would be exactly so, but for reasons presently to be stated.

(402.) The moon, then, like the sun, apparently describes an orbit round the earth, and this orbit cannot be very different from a circle, because the apparent angular diameter of the full moon is not liable to any great extent of variation.

(403.) The distance of the moon from the earth is con-

DISTANCE AND MAGNITUDE OF THE MOON. 263

cluded from its horizontal parallax, which may be found either directly, by observations at remote geographical stations, exactly similar to those described in art. 355., in the case of the sun, or by means of the phænomena called occultations, from which also its apparent diameter is most readily and correctly found. From such observations it results that the mean or average value of the moon's horizontal parallax is 57'2".325*, and the mean distance of the center of the moon from that of the earth is 60.255 of the earth's equatorial radii, or about 238793 miles, taking with Mr. Adams 57' 2".325 for the mean horizontal parallax. This distance, great as it is, is little more than one-fourth of the diameter of the sun's body, so that the globe of the sun would nearly twice include the whole orbit of the moon; a consideration wonderfully calculated to raise our ideas of that stupendous luminary!

(404.) The distance of the moon's center from an observer at any station on the earth's surface, compared with its apparent angular diameter as measured from that station, will give its real or linear diameter. Now, the former distance is easily calculated when the distance from the earth's center is known, and the apparent zenith distance of the moon also determined by observation; for if we turn to the figure of art. 339., and suppose S the moon, A the station, and C the earth's center, the distance SC, and the earth's radius CA, two sides of the triangle A C S are given, and the angle CAS, which is the supplement of ZAS, the observed zenith distance, whence it is easy to find A.S., the moon's distance from A. From such observations and calculations it results, that the real diameter of the moon is 2160 miles, or about 0.2729 of that of the earth, whence it follows that, the bulk of the latter being considered as 1, that of the former will be 0.0204, or about $\frac{1}{45}$. The difference of the apparent diameter of the moon, as seen from the earth's center and from any point of its surface, is technically called the augmentation of the apparent diameter, and its maximum

• This result, recently arrived at by Mr. Adams, coincides almost precisely with that assigned by Henderson, viz. 57' 2".31.

s 4

TER. IRST RTH TION OLAR POS--ITS 3 RE-**IPSES** DICAL - ITS TIONS MATE. ON ITS FROM THE SIBLE.

mong iurnal to be by a By licker, makes of 27^d mong which ated. cribes very meter ation. s con-

occurs when the moon is in the zenith of the spectator. Her mean angular diameter, as seen from the center, is 31'7'', and is always= $0.545 \times$ her horizontal parallax.

(405.) By a series of observations, such as described in art. 403., if continued during one or more revolutions of the moon, its real distance may be ascertained at every point of its orbit; and if at the same time its apparent places in the heavens be observed, and reduced by means of its parallax to the earth's center, their angular intervals will become known, so that the path of the moon may then be laid down on a chart supposed to represent the plane in which its orbit lies, just as was explained in the case of the solar ellipse (art. 349.). Now, when this is done, it is found that, neglecting certain small, though very perceptible deviations of which a satisfactory account will hereafter be rendered, the form of the apparent orbit, like that of the sun, is elliptic, but considerably more eccentric, the eccentricity amounting to 0.05484 of the mean distance, or the major semi-axis of the ellipse, and the earth's center being situated in its focus.

(406.) The plane in which this orbit lies is not the ecliptic, however, but is inclined to it at an angle of 5° 8' 48", which is called the inclination of the lunar orbit, and intersects it in two opposite points, which are called its nodes—the *ascending node* being that in which the moon passes from the southern side of the ecliptic to the northern, and the *descending* the reverse. The points of the orbit at which the moon is nearest to, and farthest from, the earth, are called respectively its *perigee* and *apogee*, and the line joining them and the earth the line of *apsides*.

(407.) There are, however, several remarkable circumstances which interrupt the closeness of the analogy, which cannot fail to strike the reader, between the motion of the moon around the earth, and of the earth around the sun. In the latter case, the ellipse described remains, during a great many revolutions, unaltered in its position and dimensions; or, at least, the changes which it undergoes are not perceptible but in a course of very nice observations, which have disclosed, it is true, the existence of "perturbations," but of

MOTION OF THE MOON'S NODES.

so minute an order, that, in ordinary parlance, and for common purposes, we may leave them unconsidered. But this cannot be done in the case of the moon. Even in a single revolution, its deviation from a perfect ellipse is very sensible. It does not return to the same exact position among the stars from which it set out, thereby indicating a continual change in the *plane* of its orbit. And, in effect, if we trace by observation, from month to month, the point where it traverses the ecliptic, we shall find that the nodes of its orbit are in a continual state of *retreat* upon the ecliptic. Suppose O to be the earth, and A b a d that portion of the plane of the ecliptic which is intersected by the moon, in its alternate passages through it, from south to north, and vice versâ; and let A B C D E F be a portion of the moon's orbit, embracing a complete sidereal revolution. Suppose it to set out from the ascending node,

A; then, if the orbit lay all in one plane, passing through O, it would have a, the opposite point A in the ecliptic, for its descending node; after passing which, it would again ascend at A. But, in fact,



its real path carries it not to a, but along a certain curve, A B C, to C, a point in the ecliptic less than 180° distant from A; so that the angle A O C, or the arc of longitude described between the ascending and the descending node, is somewhat less than 180°. It then pursues its course below the celiptic, along the curve C D E, and rises again above it, not at the point c, diametrically opposite to C, but at a point E, less advanced in longitude. On the whole, then, the arc described in longitude between two consecutive passages from south to north, through the plane or the ecliptic, falls short of 360° by the angle A O E; or, in other words, the ascending node appears to have retreated in one lunation on the plane of the ecliptic by that amount. To complete a sidereal revolution, then, it must still go on to describe an arc, E F, on its orbit, which will no longer,

Her ′7″,

d in f the nt of 1 the allax come down orbit ellipse , negons of d, the lliptic, unting of the s.

cliptic, which ts it in ascendm the escende moon respecm and

> ircumwhich of the n. Iu great nsions; ercephave but of

however, bring it exactly back to A, but to a point somewhat above it, or *having north latitude*.

(408.) The actual amount of this retreat of the moon's node is about 3' 10".64 per diem, on an average, and in a period of 6793.39 mean solar days, or about 18.6 years, the ascending node is carried round in a direction contrary to the moon's motion in its orbit (or from east to west) over a whole circumference of the ecliptic. Of course, in the middle of this period the position of the orbit must have been precisely reversed from what it was at the beginning. Its apparent path, then, will lie among totally different stars and constellations at different parts of this period; and this kind of spiral revolution being continually kept up, it will, at one time or other, cover with its disc every point of the heavens within that limit of latitude or distance from the ecliptic which its inclination permits; that is to say, a belt or zone of the heavens, of 10° 18' in breadth, having the ecliptic for its middle line. Nevertheless, it still remains true that the actual place of the moon, in consequence of this motion, deviates in a single revolution very little from what it would be were the nodes at rest. Supposing the moon to set out from its node A, its latitude, when it comes to F, having completed a revolution in longitude, will not exceed 8'; which, though small in a single revolution, accumulates in its effect in a succession of many: it is to account for, and represent geometrically, this deviation, that the motion of the nodes is devised.

(409.) The moon's orbit, then, is not, strickly speaking, an ellipse returning into itself, by reason of the variation of the plane in which it lies, and the motion of its nodes. But even laying aside this consideration, the axis of the ellipse is itself constantly changing its direction in space, as has been already stated of the solar ellipse, but much more rapidly; making a complete revolution, in the same direction with the moon's own motion, in 3232.5753 mean solar days, or about nine years, being about 3° of angular motion in a whole revolution of the moon. This is the phænomenon known by the name of the revolution of the moon's *apsides*. Its

n

 $\mathbf{266}$

ECLIPSES OF THE SUN.

cause will be hereafter explained. Its immediate effect is to produce a variation in the moon's distance from the earth, which is not included in the laws of exact elliptic motion. In a single revolution of the moon, this variation of distance is triffing; but in the course of many it becomes considerable, as is easily seen, if we consider that in four years and a half the position of the axis will be completely reversed, and the apogee of the moon will occur where the perigee occurred before.

(410.) The best way to form a distinct conception of the moon's motion is to regard it as describing an ellipse about the earth in the focus, and, at the same time, to regard this ellipse itself to be in a twofold state of revolution; 1st, in its own plane, by a continual advance of its axis in that plane; and 2dly, by a continual *tilting* motion of the plane itself, exactly similar to, but much more rapid than, that of the earth's equator produced by the conical motion of its axis, described in art 317.

(411.) As the moon is at a very moderate distance from us (astronomically speaking), and is in fact our nearest neighbour, while the sun and stars are in comparison immensely beyond it, it must of necessity happen, that at one time or other it must pass over and occult or eclipse every star and planet within the zone above described (and, as seen from the surface of earth, even somewhat beyond it, by reason of parallax, which may throw it apparently nearly a degree either way from its place as seen from the centre, according to the observer's station). Nor is the sun itself exempt from being thus hidden, whenever any part of the moon's dise, in this her tortuous course, comes to overlap any part of the space occupied in the heavens by that luminary. On these occasions is exhibited the most striking and impressive of all the occasional phenomena of astronomy, an eclipse of the sun, in which a greater or less portion, or even in some rare conjunctures the whole, of its disc is obscured, and, as it were, obliterated, by the superposition of that of the moon, which appears upon it as a circularly-terminated black spot, producing a temporary diminution of daylight, or even nocturnal darkness, so that the stars appear as if at midnight.

ne-

)n's

n a the the ıole e of sely rent cond of one vens iptic zone ; for t the tion, ould t out ving 8'; in its d ref the g, an on of But llipse s has more ction days, in a nown

Its

In other cases, when, at the moment that the moon is centrally superposed on the sun, it so happens that her distance from the carth is such as to render her angular diameter less than the sun's, the very singular phenomenon of an *annular solar eclipse* takes place, when the edge of the sun appears for a few minutes as a narrow ring of light projecting on all sides beyond the dark circle occupied by the moon in its centre.

(412.) A solar eclipse can only happen when the sun and moon are in conjunction, that is to say, have the same, or nearly the same, position in the heavens, or the same longitude. It appears by art. 409. that this condition can only be fulfilled at the time of a new moon, though it by no means follows, that at every conjunction there must be an eclipse of the sun. If the lunar orbit coincided with the ecliptic, this would be the case, but as it is inclined to it at an angle of upwards of 5°, it is evident that the conjunction, or equality of longitudes, may take place when the moon is in the part of her orbit too remote from the ecliptic to permit the discs to meet and overlap. It is easy, however, to assign the limits within which an eclipse is possible. To this end we must consider, that, by the effect of parallax, the moon's apparent edge may be thrown in any direction, according to a spectator's geographical station, by any amount nct exceeding her horizontal parallax, and the same holds good of the sun, so that there is a displacement to the extent of the difference of the two parallaxes. Now, this comes to the same (so far as the possibility of an eclipse is concerned) as if the apparent diameter of the moon, seen from the earth's centre, were dilated by twice the difference of their horizontal parallaxes: for if, when so dilated, it can touch or overlap the sun, there must be an eclipse at some part or other of the earth's surface. If, then, at the moment of the nearest conjunction, the geocentric distance of the centres of the two luminaries do not exceed the sum of their semidiameters and of the last mentioned difference, there will be an eclipse. The sum is, at its maximum, about 1° 34" 26'. In the spherical triangle SNM, then, in which S is the sun's centre, M the moon's, SN the

SOLAR ECLIPTIC LIMITS.

elliptic, M N the moon's orbit, and N the node, we may suppose the angle N S M a right \perp

angle, $SM = 1^{\circ} 34' 26''$, and the angle $MNS = 5^{\circ} 8' 48''$, the inclination of the orbit. Hence we calculate SN, which comes out $16^{\circ} 58'$. If, then, at the moment



of the new moon, the moon's node is farther from the sun in longitude than this limit, there can be no eclipse; if within, there may, and probably will, at some part or other of the earth. To ascertain precisely whether there will or not, and, if there be, how great will be the part eclipsed, the solar and lunar tables must be consulted, the place of the node and the semidiameters exactly ascertained, and the local parallax, and apparent augmentation of the moon's diameter due to the difference of her distance from the observer and from the center of the earth (which may amount to a sixtieth part of her horizontal diameter), determined; after which it is easy, from the above considerations, to calculate the amount overlapped of the two discs, and their moment of contact.

(413.) The calculation of the occultation of a star depends on similar considerations. An occultation is *possible*, when the moon's course, as seen from the earth's centre, carries her within a distance from the star equal to the sum of her semidianeter and horizontal parallax; and it will happen at any particular spot, when her apparent path, as seen from that spot, carries her center within a distance equal to the sum of her augmented semidiameter and actual parallax. The details of these calculations, which are somewhat troublesome, must be sought elsewhere.*

(414.) The phænomenon of a solar eclipse and of an occultation are highly interesting and instructive in a physical point of view. They teach us that the moon is an opaque body, terminated by a real and sharply defined surface in-

rally n the the clipse few sides re. 1 and ne, or e lonı only means pse of c, this gle of juality e part e discs gn the end we moon's ling to exceedhe sun. ference (so far parent , were llaxes; , there urface. he geodo nct t mens, at its SNM, 5N the

^{*} Woodhouse's Astronomy, vol. i. See also Trans. Ast. Soc. vol. i. p. 325. See also Prof. Loomis's Introduction to Practical Astronomy, in which every detail of the calculation will be found illustrated by numerical examples.

tercepting light like a solid. They prove to us, also, that at those times when we cannot see the moon, she really exists, and pursues her course, and that when we see her only as a crescent, however narrow, the whole globular body is there, filling up the deficient outline, though unseen. For occultations take place indifferently at the dark and bright, the visible and invisible outline, whichever happens to be towards the direction in which the moon is moving; with this only difference, that a star occulted by the bright limb, if the phænomenon be watched with a telescope, gives notice, by its gradual approach to the visible edge, when to expect its disappearance, while, if occulted at the dark limb, if the moon, at least, be more than a few days old, it is, as it were. extinguished in mid-air, without notice or visible cause for its disappearance, which, as it happens instantaneously, and without the slightest previous diminution of its light, is always surprising; and, if the star be a large and bright one, even startling from its suddenness. The re-appearance of the star, too, when the moon has passed over it, takes place in those cases when the bright side of the moon is foremost, not at the concave outline of the crescent, but at the invisible outline of the complete circle, and is scarcely less surprising, from its suddenness, than its disappearance in the other case.*

(415.) The existence of the complete circle of the disc, even when the moon is not full, does not, however, rest only on the evidence of occultations and eclipses. It may be

^{*} There is an optical illusion of a very strange and unaccountable nature which has often been remarked in occultations. The star appears to advance actually upon and within the edge of the disc before it disappears, and that sometimes to a considerable depth. I have never myself witnessed this singular effect, but it rests on most unequivocal testimony. I have called it an optical illusion; but it is *barely possible* that a star may shine on such occasions through deep fissures in the substance of the moon. The occultations of close double stars ought to be murrowly watched, to see whether both individuals are thus projected, as well as for other purposes connected with their theory. I will only hint at one, viz, that a double star, too close to be seen divided with any telescope, may yet be detected to be double by the mode of its disappearance. Should a considerable star, for instance, instead of undergoing instantaneous and complete extinction, go ont by two distinct steps, following close upon each other; first losing a portion, then the whole remainder of its light, we may be sure it is a double star, though we cannot see the individuals separately. — Note to the edit. of 1833.

THE CRESCENT MOON.

seen, when the moon is crescent or waning, a few days before and after the new moon, with the naked eye, as a pale round body, to which the crescent seems attached, and somewhat projecting beyond its outline (which is an optical illusion arising from the greater intensity of its light). The cause of this appearance will presently be explained. Meanwhile the fact is sufficient to show that the moon is not inherently luminous like the sun, but that her light is of an adventitious nature. And its crescent form, increasing regularly from a narrow semicircular line to a complete circular disc, corresponds to the appearance a globe would present, one hemisphere of which was black, the other white, when differently turned towards the eye, so as to present a greater or less portion of each. The obvious conclusion from this is, that the moon is such a globe, one half of which is brightened by the rays of some luminary sufficiently distant to enlighten the complete hemisphere, and sufficiently intense to give it the degree of splendour we see. Now, the sun alone is competent to such an effect. Its distance and light suffice; and, moreover, it is invariably observed that, when a crescent, the bright edge is towards the sun, and that in proportion as the moon in her monthly course becomes more and more distant from the sun, the breadth of the crescent increases, and vice versâ.

(416.) The sun's distance being 23984 radii of the earth, and the moon's only 60, the former is nearly 400 times the latter. Lines, therefore, drawn from the sun to every part of the moon's orbit may be regarded as very nearly parallel.* Suppose, now, O to be the earth, ABCD, &c. various positions of the moon in its orbit, and S the sun, at the vast distance above stated; as is shown, then, in the figure, the hemisphere of the lunar globe turned towards it (on the right) will be bright, the opposite dark, wherever it may stand in its orbit. Now, in the position A, when in conjunction with the sun, the dark part is entirely turned towards O, and the bright from it. In this case, then, the

* The angle subtended by the moon's orbit, as seen from the sun (in the mean state of things), is only 17' 12".

at at , and as a there, ulta-, the wards s only if the ce, by ect its if the were, ise for ly, and ght, is ht one, nce of s place remost, at the ely less nnce in

ie disc, st only nay be

le nature 5 advance and that 5 singular in optical 5 through 6 are thus will only telescope, Should a complete her; first re it is a ote to the

moon is not seen, it is *new* moon. When the moon has come to C, half the bright and half the dark hemisphere are pre-



sented to O, and the same in the opposite situation G: these are the first and third quarters of the moon. Lastly, when at E, the whole bright face is towards the earth, the whole dark side from it, and it is then seen wholly bright or *full moon*. In the intermediate positions BDFH, the portions of the bright face presented to O will be at first less than half the visible surface, then greater, and finally less again, till it vanishes altogether, as it comes round again to A.

(417.) These monthly changes of appearance, or *phases*, as they are called, arise, then, from the moon, an opaque body, being illuminated on one side by the sun, and reflecting from it, in all directions, a portion of the light so received. Nor let it be thought surprising that a solid substance thus illuminated should appear to *shine* and again illuminate the earth. It is no more than a white cloud does standing off upon the clear blue sky. By day, the moon can hardly be distinguished in brightness from such a cloud; and, in the dusk of the evening, clouds catching the last rays of the sun appear with a dazzling splendour, not inferior to the sceming brightness of the moon at night.* That the earth sends also such a light to the moon, only probably more powerful by

• The actual illumination of the lunar surface is not much superior to that of weathered sandstone rock in full sunshine. I have frequently compared the moon setting behind the grey perpendicular façade of the Table Mountain, illuminated by the sun just risen in the opposite quarter of the horizon, when it has scarcely been distinguishable in brightness from the rock in contact with it. The sun and moon being nearly at equal altitudes and the atmosphere perfectly free from cloud or vapour, its effect is alike on both luminaries. (II, 1848.)

THE MOON'S SYNODIC REVOLUTION.

reason of its greater apparent size*, is agreeable to optical principles, and explains the appearance of the dark portion of the young or waning moon completing its crescent (art. 413). For, when the moon is nearly new to the earth, the latter (so to speak) is nearly full to the former; it then illuminates its dark half by strong *earth-light*; and it is a portion of this, reflected back again, which makes it visible to us in the twilight sky. As the moon gains age, the earth offers it a less portion of its bright side, and the phænomenon in question dies away. The light of the full moon is estimated by Bouguer on the result of photometric comparisons, as only one 300000th part of that of the sun.

(418.) The lunar month is determined by the recurrence of its phases: it reckons from new moon to new moon; that is, from leaving its conjunction with the sun to its return to conjunction. If the sun stood still, like a fixed star, the interval between two conjunctions would be the same as the period of the moon's sidereal revolution (art. 401.); but, as the sun apparently advances in the heavens in the same direction with the moon, only slower, the latter has more than a complete sidereal period to perform to come up with the sun again, and will require for it a longer time, which is the lunar month, or, as it is generally termed in astronomy, a synodical period. The difference is easily calculated by considering that the superfluous are (whatever it be) is described by the sun with the velocity of 0°.98565 per diem, in the same time that the moon describes that are plus a complete revolution, with her velocity of 13°.17640 per diem; and, the times of description being identical, the spaces are to each other in the proportion of the velocities. Let V and v be the mean angular daily motions of the sun and moon as above, x the superfluous are; then $V: v:: 360^\circ + x: x$; and V-v: v:: 360° : x, whence x is found; and $\frac{x}{2}$ = the time in days in which

the sun describes the arc x, that is, the synodical period = $\frac{360^\circ}{V-v}$

ome ore-

these when whole or *full* ortions s than again,

phases, ppaque lecting ceived. be thus inte the ing off odly be in the he sun beeming ds also ful by

> to that of bared the lountain, when it with it. perfectly (48.)

^{*} The apparent diameter of the moon is 32' from the earth; that of the earth seen from the moon is twice her horizontal parallax, or 1° 54'. The apparent surfaces, therefore, are as $(114)^2$: $(32)^2$, or as 13: 1 nearly.

which reduced to numbers, gives, $29^{4} \cdot 530589 = 29^{4} \cdot 12^{h} \cdot 44^{m} \cdot 2^{s} \cdot 87$.

(419.) Supposing the position of the nodes of the moon's orbit to permit it, when the moon stands at A (or at the new moon), it will intercept a part or the whole of the sun's rays, and cause a solar eclipse. On the other hand, when at E (or at the full moon), the earth O will intercept the rays of the sun, and *cast a shadow* on the moon, thereby causing a lunar eclipse. And this is consonant to fact, such eclipses never happening but at the time of the full moon. But, what is still more remarkable, as confirmatory of the position of the earth's sphericity, this shadow, which we plainly see to enter upon and, as it were, eat away the disc of the moon, is always terminated by a circular outline, though, from the greater size of the circle, it is only partially seen at any one time. Now, a body which always casts a circular shadow must itself be spherical.

(420.) Eclipses of the sun are best understood by regarding the sun and moon as two independent luminaries, each moving according to known laws, and viewed from the earth; but it is also instructive to consider eclipses generally as arising from the shadow of one body thrown on another by a luminary *much larger than either*. Suppose, then, A B to



represent the sun, and C D a spherical body, whether earth or moon, illuminated by it. If we join and prolong A C, B D; since A B is greater than C D, those lines will meet in a point E, more or less distant from the body C D, according to its size, and within the space C E D (which represents a cone,

SOLAR AND LUNAR ECLIPSES.

since C D and A B are spheres), there will be a total shadow. This shadow is called the *umbra*, and a spectator situated within it can see no part of the sun's disc. Beyond the umbra are two diverging spaces (or rather, a portion of a single conical space, having K for its vertex), where if a spectator be situated, as at M, he will see a portion only (A O N P) of the sun's surface, the rest (B O N P) being obscured by the earth. He will, therefore, receive only partial sunshine; and the more, the nearer he is to the exterior borders of that cone which is called the *penumbra*. Beyond this he will see the whole sun, and be in full illumination. All these circumstances may be perfectly well shown by holding a small globe up in the sun, and receiving its shadow at different distances on a sheet of paper.

(421.) In a lunar eclipse (represented in the upper figure), the moon is seen to enter* the penumbra first, and by degrees, get involved in the umbra, the former bordering the latter like a smoky haze. At this period of the eclipse, and while yet a considerable part of the moon remains unobscured, the portion involved in the umbra is invisible to the naked eye, though still perceptible in a telescope, and of a dark grey hue. But as the eclipse advances, and the enlightened part diminishes in extent, and grows gradually more and more obscured by the advance of the penumbra, the eye, relieved from its glare, becomes more sensible to feeble impressions of light and colour; and phænomena of a remarkable and instructive character begin to be developed. The *umbra* is seen to be very far from totally dark; and in its faint illumination it exhibits a gradation of colour, being bluish, or even (by contrast) somewhat greenish, towards the borders for a space of about 4' or 5' of apparent angular breadth inwards, thence passing, by delicate but rapid gradation, through rose red to a fiery or copper-coloured glow, like that of dull red-hot iron. As the eclipse proceeds this glow spreads over the whole surface of the moon, which then

T 2

^h 44^m

noon's le new s rays, n at E rays of using a colipses But, position nly see e moon, rom the uny one shadow

by reninaries, from the enerally other by A B to

> er earth C, BD; n a point ng to its a cone,

^{*} The actual contact with the penumbra is never seen; the defalcation of light comes on so very gradually that it is not till when already deeply immersed, that it is perceived to be sensibly darkened.

becomes on some occasions so strongly illuminated, as to cast a very sensible shadow, and allow the spots on its surface to be perfectly well distinguished through a telescope.

(422.) The cause of these singular, and sometimes very beautiful appearances, is the refraction of the sun's light in passing through our atmosphere, which at the same time becomes coloured with the hues of sunset by the absorption of more or less of the violet and blue rays, as it passes through strata nearer or more remote from the earth's surface, and therefore, more or less loaded with vapour. To show this, let A D a be a section of the cone of the umbra, and F B h f



of the penumbra, through their common axis D E S, passing through the centers E S of the earth and sun, and let K M kbe a section of these cones at a distance E M from E, equal to the radius of the moon's orbit, or 60 radii of the earth.* Taking this radius for unity, since E S, the distance of the sun, is 23984, and the semidiameter of the sun 111 such units, we readily calculate D E=218, D M=158, for the

* The figure is unavoidably drawn out of all proportion, and the angles violently exaggerated. The reader should *try* to draw the figure in its true proportions,

PHÆNOMENA OF A LUNAR ECLIPSE.

distances at which the apex of the geometrical umbra lies behind the earth and the moon respectively. We also find for the measure of the angle E D B, 15' 46", and therefore D B E=89° 44' 14", whence also we get M C (the linear semidiameter of the umbra)=0.725 (or in miles 2868), and the angle C E M, its apparent angular semidiameter as seen from E=41' 32". And instituting similar calculations for the geometrical penumbra we get M K=1.280 (5064 miles), and K E M 1° 13' 20"; and it may be well to remember that the doubles of these angles, or the mean angular diameters of the umbra and penumbra, are described by the moon with its mean velocity in 2^h 46^m, and 4^h 56^m respectively, which are therefore the respective durations of the total and partial obscuration of any one point of the moon's disc in traversing centrally the geometrical shadow.

(423.) Were the earth devoid of atmosphere, the whole of the phænomena of a lunar celipse would consist in these partial or total obscurations. Within the space Cc the whole of the light, and within K C and c k a greater or less portion of it would be intercepted by the solid body B b of the earth. The refracting atmosphere, however, extends from $\mathbf{B} b$, to a certain unknown, but very small distance B H, b h, which, acting as a convex lens, of gradually (and very rapidly) decreasing density, disperses all that comparatively small portion of light which falls upon it over a space bounded externally by H g, parallel and very nearly coincident with BF, and internally by a line Bz, the former representing the extreme exterior ray from the limb a of the sun, the latter, the extreme interior ray from the limb A. To avoid complication, however, we will trace only the courses of rays which just graze the surface at B, viz.: B z from the upper border, A, and B v from the lower, a, of the Each of these rays is bent inwards from its original sun. course by *double* the amount of the horizontal refraction (33') i. e. by 1° 6', because, in passing from B out of the atmosphere, it undergoes a deviation equal to that at entering, and in the same direction. Instead, therefore, of pursning the courses B D, B F, these rays respectively will occupy

to cast

s very ight in the time corption passes surface, tow this, F B h f

> S, passing let K M k n E, equal he earth.* nce of the 111 such 58, for the

nd the ungles are in its true

the positions B z y, B v, making, with the aforesaid lines, the angles D B y, F B v, each 1° 6'. Now we have found D B E=89° 44' 14" and therefore F B E (=D B E + angular diam. of \odot)=:90° 16' 17"; consequently the angles E B y and E B v will be respectively 88° 38' 14" and 89° 10' 17", from which we conclude E z=42.04 and E v=69.14; the former falling short of the moon's orbit by 17.96, and the latter surpassing it by 9.14 radii of the earth.

. (424.) The penumbra, therefore, of rays refracted at B, will be spread over the space v B y, that at H over g H d, and at the intermediate points, over similar intermediate spaces, and through this compound of superposed penumbræ the moon passes during the whole of its path through the geometrical shadow, never attaining the *absolute* umbra B z bWithout going into detail as to the intensity of at all. the refracted rays, it is evident that the totality of light so thrown into the shadow is to that which the earth intercepts, as the area of a circular section of the atmosphere to that of a diametrical section of the earth itself, and, therefore, at all events but feeble. And it is still further enfeebled by actual clouds suspended in that portion of the air which forms the visible border of the earth's disc as seen from the moon, as well as by the general want of transparency caused by invisible vapour, which is especially effective in the lowermost strata, within three or four miles of the surface, and which will impart to all the rays they transmit the ruddy hue of sunset, only of double the depth of tint which we admire in our glowing sunsets, by reason of the rays having to traverse twice as great a thickness of atmosphere. This redness will be most intense at the points x, y, of the moon's path through the umbra, and will thence degrade very rapidly outwardly, over the spaces x C, y c, less so inwardly, over x y. And at C, c, its hue will be mingled with the bluish or greenish light which the atmosphere scatters by irregular dispersion, or in other words by our twilight (art. 44.). Nor will the phænomenon be uniformly conspicuous at all times. Supposing a generally and deeply clouded state of the atmosphere around the edge of the earth's disc visible from the moon
PHÆNOMENA OF A LUNAR ECLIPSE.

(*i. e.* around that great circle of the earth, in which, at the moment the sun is in the horizon,) little or no refracted light may reach the moon.* Supposing that circle partly clouded and partly clear, patches of red light corresponding to the clear portions will be thrown into the umbra, and may give rise to various and changeable distributions of light on the eclipsed disc; while, if entirely clear, the eclipse will be remarkable for the conspicuousness of the moon during the whole or a part of its immersion in the umbra.[‡]

(425.) Owing to the great size of the earth, the cone of its umbra always projects far beyond the moon; so that, if, at the time of a lunar eclipse, the moon's path be properly directed, it is sure to pass through the umbra. This is not, however, the case in solar eclipses. It so happens, from the adjustment of the size and distance of the moon, that the extremity of her umbra always falls near the earth, but sometimes attains and sometimes falls short of its surface. In the former case (represented in the lower figure, art. 420.) a black spot, surrounded by a fainter shadow, is formed, beyond which there is no eclipse on any part of the earth, but within which there may be either a total or partial one, as the spectator is within the *umbra* or *penumbra*. When the apex of the umbra falls on the surface, the moon at that point will appear, for an instant, to just cover the sun; but, when it falls short, there will be no total eclipse on any part of the earth; but a spectator, situated in or near the prolongation of the axis of the cone, will see the whole of the moon on the sun, although not large enough to cover it, *i. e.* he will witness an annular celipse, a phænomenon to which much interest is attached by reason of some curious optical phanomena first observed by Mr. Baily at the moments of the forming and breaking of the annulus, like beads of light

, the ound E +ngles ' and E v =7.96,

at B, Hd, ediate mbræ h the Bzb ity of ght so rcepts, that of , at all actual ms the oon, as by inermost which hue of nire in raverse ess will hrough vardly, And at reenish ersion, ill the Supsphere moon

^{*} As in the celipses of June 5. 1620, April 25. 1642. Lalande, Ast. 1769. Also December 9. 1601, and June 10. 1816, on which occasions the moon was totally invisible even in telescopes.

[†] As in the eclipse of Oct. 13, 1837, observed by the author.

¹ As in that of March 19. 1848, when the moon is described as giving "good light" during more than an hour after its total immersion, and some persons even doubted its being eclipsed. (Notices of R. Ast. Soc. viii. p. 132.)

alternating with black thready elongations of the moon's limb, known by the name of "Baily's beads."

(426.) Owing to a remarkable enough adjustment of the periods in which the moon's synodical revolution, and that of her nodes, are performed, eclipses return after a certain period, very nearly in the same order and of the same magnitude. For 223 of the moon's mean synodical revolutions, or *lunations*, as they are called, will be found to occupy 6585.32 days, and nineteen complete synodical revolutions of the node to occupy 6585.78. The difference in the mean position of the node, then, at the beginning and end of 223 lunations, is nearly insensible; so that a recurrence of all eelipses within that interval must take place. Accordingly, this period of 223 lunations, or eighteen years and ten days, is a very important one in the calculation of eclipses. It is supposed to have been known to the Chaldeans, the earliest astronomers, the regular return of eclipses having been known as a physical fact for ages before their exact theory was understood. In this period there occur ordinarily 70 eclipses, 29 of the moon, and 41 of the sun, visible in some part of the earth. Seven eclipses of either sun or moon at most, and two at least (both of the sun), may occur in a year.

(427.) The commencement, duration, and magnitude of a lunar eclipse are much more easily calculated than those of a solar, being independent of the position of the spectator on the earth's surface, and the same as if viewed from its centre. The common center of the *umbra* and *penumbra* lies always in the ecliptic, at a point opposite to the sun, and the path described by the moon in passing through it is its true orbit as it stands at the moment of the full moon. In this orbit, its position, at every instant, is known from the lunar tables and ephemeris; and all we have, therefore, to ascertain, is, the *moment when* the distance between the moon's center and the center of the shadow is exactly equal to the sum of the semidiameters of the moon and *penumbra*, or of the moon and *umbra*, to know when it enters upon and leaves them respectively. No lunar eclipse can take place, if, at the moment

HARVEST MOON.

of the full moon, the sun be at a greater angular distance from the node of the moon's orbit than $11^{\circ} 21'$, meaning by an *eclipse* the immersion of any part of the moon in the *umbra*, as its contact with the *penumbra* cannot be observed (see note to art. 421.).

(428.) The dimensions of the shadow, at the place where it crosses the moon's path, require us to know the distances of the sun and moon at the time. These are variable; but are calculated and set down, as well as their semidiameters, for every day, in the ephemeris, so that none of the data are wanting. The sun's distance is easily calculated from its elliptic orbit; but the moon's is a matter of more difficulty, by reason of the progressive motion of the axis of the lunar orbit. (Art. 409.) Both, however, are readily obtained from the ephemeris for every day; the sun's distance being given explicitly and the moon's implicitly, from her tabulated apparent diameter.

(428 a.) It deserves to be mentioned that the moon may be seen eclipsed while the sun is yet above the horizon by a spectator properly situated, so that both luminaries being on his mathematical horizon shall be raised above it by refraction, which (art. 43.) exceeds the apparent diameter of either. This singular conjuncture of circumstances is said to have been observed from Montmartre, near Paris, by the assembled academicians of that eity in A.D. 1668.

(428 b.) The full moon which happens on or nearest to the 21st of September is called the harvest moon, because it rises from night to night, after the full, more nearly after sunset than any other full moon in the year, and is therefore favourable for evening work in carrying in the late crops. Suppose the full moon to happen on that day (the time of the autumnal equinox) the sun is then entering Libra, and the moon Aries, the former setting due west, the latter rising due east; the southern half of the celiptic is then entirely above and the northern below the horizon, and the horizon. In advancing then 12°, or one day's motion, along the celiptic (or along its own orbit, which is not much in-

moon's

of the that of certain e same revoluoccupy olutions he mean 1 of 223 ce of all ordingly, ten days, es. It is e earliest ing been ct theory inarily 70 e in some r moon at bccur in a

hitude of a those of a bectator on its centre. lies always d the path this orbit, unar tables certain, is, center and sum of the e moon and s them rethe moment

clined to it) it will become less depressed below the horizon, and have, therefore, a less hour angle to travel over by the diurnal motion after sunset the next night to bring it into view than at any other time. The most favourable harvest moon is when the full moon, falling on the 21st of September, happens at the same time to be in the ascending node of her orbit, which then coincides with the vernal equinox.

(429.) The physical constitution of the moon is better known to us than that of any other heavenly body. By the aid of telescopes, we discern inequalities in its surface which can be no other than mountains and valleys, --- for this plain reason, that we see the shadows cast by the former in the exact proportion as to length which they ought to have, when we take into account the inclination of the sun's rays to that part of the moon's surface on which they stand. The convex outline of the limb turned towards the sun is always circular, and very nearly smooth; but the opposite border of the enlightened part, which (were the moon a perfect sphere) ought to be an exact and sharply defined ellipse, is always observed to be extremely ragged, and indented with deep recesses and prominent points. The mountains near this edge cast long black shadows, as they should evidently do, when we consider that the sun is in the act of rising or setting to the parts of the moon so circumstanced. But as the enlightened edge advances beyond them, *i. e.* as the sun to them gains altitude, their shadows shorten; and at the full moon, when all the light falls in our line of sight, no shadows are seen on any part of her surface. From micrometrical measures of the lengths of the shadows of the more conspicuous mountains, taken under the most favourable circumstances, the heights of many of them have been calculated. Messrs. Beer and Maedler, in their elaborate work, entitled "Der Mond," have given a list of heights resulting from such measurements, for no less than 1095 lunar mountains, among which occur all degrees of elevation up to 3569 toises (22,823 British feet), or about 1400 feet higher than Chimborazo in the Andes. The existence of such mountains is further corroborated by their appearance, as small points or islands of light beyond the extreme edge of the enlightened

PHYSICAL CONSTITUTION OF THE MOON.

part, which are their tops catching the sun-beams before the intermediate plain, and which, as the light advances, at length connect themselves with it, and appear as prominences from the general edge.

(430.) The generality of the lunar mountains present a striking uniformity and singularity of aspect. They are wonderfully numerous, especially towards the southern portion of the disc, occupying by far the larger portion of the surface, and almost universally of an exactly circular or cup-shaped form, foreshortened, however, into ellipses towards the limb; but the larger have for the most part flat bottoms within, from which rises centrally a small, steep, conical hill. They offer, in short, in its highest perfection, the true volcanic character, as it may be seen in the crater of Vesuvius, and in a map of the volcanic districts of the Campi Phlegraei* or the Puy de Dôme, but with this remarkable peculiarity, viz.: that the bottoms of many of the craters are very deeply depressed below the general surface of the moon, the internal depth being often twice or three times the external height. In some of the principal ones, decisive marks of volcanic stratification, arising from successive deposits of ejected matter, and evident indications of lava currents streaming outwards in all directions, may be clearly traced with powerful telescopes. (See Pl. V. fig. 2.)† In Lord Rosse's magnificent reflector, the flat bottom of the crater called Albategnius is seen to be strewed with blocks not visible in inferior telescopes, while the exterior of another (Aristillus) is all hatched over with deep gullies radiating towards its center. What is, moreover, extremely singular in the geology of the moon is, that, although nothing having the character of seas can be traced, (for the dusky spots, which are commonly called seas, when closely examined, present appearances incompatible with the supposition of deep water,) yet there are large regions perfectly level, and apparently of a decided alluvial character; as there are also here and there, chains of mountains whose appearance suggests no suspicion of volcanic origin. [See (430 a) in Note H].

* See Breislak's map of the environs of Naples, and Desmarest's of Auvergne. + From a drawing taken with a reflector of twenty feet focal length (h).

orizon, by the it into arvest ember, of her

better By the e which is plain in the e, when s to that convex circular, the ensphere) s always ith deep this edge do, when etting to the ento them all moon, lows are cal meaispicuous nstances, Messrs. ed "Der om such s, among 69 toises nn Chimntains is points or

ightened

(431.) We perceive on the moon no clouds, nor any other decisive indications of an atmosphere. Were there any, it could not fail to be perceived in the occultations of stars and the phænomena of solar eclipses, as well as in a great variety The moon's diameter, for example, as of other phænomena. measured micrometrically, and as estimated by the interval between the disappearance and reappearance of a star in an occultation, ought to differ by twice the horizontal refraction at the moon's surface. No appretiable difference being perceived, we are entitled to conclude the non-existence of any atmosphere at its edge dense enough to cause a refraction of 1" i. e. having one 1980th part of the density of the earth's atmosphere. In a solar eclipse, the existence of any sensible refracting atmosphere in the moon, would enable us to trace the limb of the latter beyond the cusps, externally to the sun's disc, by a narrow, but brilliant line of light, extending to some distance along its edge. No such phænomenon is seen. Very faint stars ought to be extinguished before occultation, were any appretiable amount of vapour suspended near the surface of the moon. But such is not the case; when occulted at the bright edge, indeed, the light of the moon extinguishes small stars, and even at the dark limb, the glare in the sky caused by the near presence of the moon, renders the occultation of very minute stars unobservable. But during the continuance of a total lunar eclipse, stars of the tenth and eleventh magnitude are seen to come up to the limb, and undergo sudden extinction as well as those of greater brightness.* Hence, the climate of the moon must be very extraordinary; the alternation being that of unmitigated and burning sunshine fiercer than an equatorial noon, continued for a whole fortnight, and the keenest severity of frost, far exceeding that of our polar winters, for an equal time. Such a disposition of things must produce a constant transfer of whatever moisture may exist on its surface, from the point beneath the sun to that opposite, by distillation in vacuo after the manner of the little instrument called a cryophorus. The consequence must be absolute aridity below the vertical sun,

* As observed by myself in the eclipse of Oct. 13. 1887.

y other any, it tars and variety mple, as interval r in an fraction ng perof any ction of e earth's sensible to trace y to the tending nenon is before spended ie case; t of the imb, the e moon, ole. But s of the to the greater be very ated and ontinued frost, far . Such unsfer of he point cuo after s. The ical sun, 1.



CLIMATE AND HEAT OF THE MOON.

constant accretion of hoar frost in the opposite region, and, perhaps, a narrow zone of running water at the borders of the enlightened hemisphere.^{*} It is possible, then, that evaporation on the one hand, and condensation on the other, may to a certain extent preserve an equilibrium of temperature, and mitigate the extreme severity of both climates; but this process, which would imply the continual generation and destruction of an atmosphere of aqueous vapour, must, in conformity with what has been said above of a lunar atmosphere, be confined within very narrow limits.

(432.) Though the surface of the full moon exposed to us must necessarily be very much heated, - possibly to a degree much exceeding that of boiling water, - yet we feel no heat from it, and even in the focus of large reflectors, it fails to affect the thermometer. No doubt, therefore, its heat, (conformably to what is observed of that of bodies heated below the point of luminosity,) is much more readily absorbed in traversing transparent media than direct solar heat, and is extinguished in the upper regions of our atmosphere, never reaching the surface of the earth at all. Some probability is given to this by the tendency to disappearance of clouds under the full moon, a meteorological fact, (for as such we think it fully entitled to rank t,) for which it is necessary to seek a cause, and for which no other rational explanation seems to offer. As for any other influence of the moon on the weather, we have no decisive evidence in its favour.

(433.) A circle of one second in diameter, as seen from the earth, on the surface of the moon, contains about a square mile. Telescopes, therefore, must yet be greatly improved, before we could expect to see signs of inhabitants, as manifested by edifices or by changes on the surface of the soil. It should, however, be observed, that, owing to the small density of the

* So in ed. of 1833.

M. Arago has shown, from a comparison of rain, registered as having fallen during a long period, that a slight preponderance in respect of quantity falls near the new moon over that which falls near the full. This would be a natural and necessary consequence of a preponderance of a cloudless sky about the full, and forms, therefore, part and parcel of the same metcorological fact,

[†] From my own observations, made quite independently of any knowledge of such a tendency having been observed by others. Humboldt, however, in his Personal Narrative, speaks of it as well known to the pilots and scamen of Spanish America.

CLIMATE AND HEAT OF THE MOON.

constant accretion of hoar frost in the opposite region, and, perhaps, a narrow zone of running water at the borders of the enlightened hemisphere.[•] It is possible, then, that evaporation on the one hand, and condensation on the other, may to a certain extent preserve an equilibrium of temperature, and mitigate the extreme severity of both climates; but this process, which would imply the continual generation and destruction of an atmosphere of aqueous vapour, must, in conformity with what has been said above of a lunar atmosphere, be confined within very narrow limits.

(432.) Though the surface of the full moon exposed to us must necessarily be very much heated, - possibly to a degree much exceeding that of boiling water, - yet we feel no heat from it, and even in the focus of large reflectors, it fails to affect the thermometer. No doubt, therefore, its heat, (conformably to what is observed of that of bodies heated below the point of luminosity.) is much more readily absorbed in traversing transparent media than direct solar heat, and is extinguished in the upper regions of our atmosphere, never reaching the surface of the earth at all. Some probability is given to this by the tendency to disappearance of clouds under the full moon, a meteorological fact, (for as such we think it fully entitled to rank †,) for which it is necessary to seek a cause, and for which no other rational explanation seems to offer. As for any other influence of the moon on the weather, we have no decisive evidence in its favour.

(433.) A circle of one second in diameter, as seen from the earth, on the surface of the moon, contains about a square mile. Telescopes, therefore, must yet be greatly improved, before we could expect to see signs of inhabitants, as manifested by edifices or by changes on the surface of the soil. It should, however, be observed, that, owing to the small density of the

* So in ed. of 1833.

[†] From my own observations, made quite independently of any knowledge of such a tendency having heen observed by others. Humboldt, however, in his Personal Narrative, speaks of it as well known to the pilots and seamen of Spanish America.

M. Arago has shown, from a comparison of rain, registered as having fallen during a long period, that a slight preponderance in respect of quantity falls near the new moon over that which falls near the full. This would be a natural and necessary consequence of a preponderance of a cloudless sky about the full, and forms, therefore, part and parcel of the same metcorological fact.

materials of the moon, and the comparatively feeble gravitation of bodies on her surface, muscular force would there go six times as far in overcoming the weight of materials as on the earth. Owing to the want of air, however, it seems impossible that any form of life, analogous to those on earth, can subsist there. No appearance indicating vegetation, or the slightest variation of surface, which can, in our opinion, fairly be ascribed to change of season, can any where be discerned.

(434.) The lunar summer and winter arise, in fact, from the rotation of the moon on its own axis, the period of which rotation is *exactly* equal to its sidereal revolution about the earth, and is performed in a plane 1° 30' 11" inclined to the ecliptic, whose ascending node is always precisely coincident with the descending node of the lunar orbit. So that the axis of rotation describes a conical surface about the pole of the ecliptic in one revolution of the node. The remarkable coincidence of the two rotations, that about the axis and that about the earth, which at first sight would seem perfectly distinct, has been asserted (but we think somewhat too hastily*) to be a consequence of the general laws to be explained hereafter. Be that how it may, it is the cause why we always see the same face of the moon, and have no knowledge of the other side.[†]

(435.) The moon's rotation on her axis is uniform; but since her motion in her orbit (like that of the sun) is not so, we are enabled to look a few degrees round the equatorial parts of her visible border, on the eastern or western side, according to circumstances; or, in other words, the line joining the centers of the earth and moon fluctuates a little in its position, from its mean or average intersection with her surface, to the east or westward. And, moreover, since the

* See Edinburgh Review, No. 175. p. 192.

⁺ Strange to say, there are persons who find it diffient to regard as a rotation on its own axis, that peculiarity of the moon's motion which consists in its keeping the same face always towards the earth Should any of our readers be in this predicament, we recommend him to plant a staff upright in the ground, and, grasping it with both hands, walk round it, keeping as close to it as possible, with his face always turned towards it; when the unmistakeable sensation of giddiness will effectually satisfy him of the fact of his rotation on his own axis, or he may walk round a tree, always facing it, and earrying a compass in his hand, and while watching the needle during a few circuits endeavour to persuade himself that he does not turn upon his own center.

APPEARANCE OF THE EARTH FROM THE MOON. 287

axis about which she revolves is neither exactly perpendicular to her orbit, nor holds an invariable direction in space, her poles come alternately into view for a small space at the edges of her disc. These phænomena are known by the name of *librations*. In consequence of these two distinct kinds of libration, the same identical point of the moon's surface is not always the center of her disc, and we therefore get sight of a zone of a few degrees in breadth on all sides of the border, beyond an exact hemisphere.

(436.) If there be inhabitants in the side of the moon turned towards us, the earth must present to them the extraordinary appearance of a moon of nearly 2° in diameter, exhibiting phases complementary to those which we see the moon to do, but immovably fixed in their sky, (or, at least, changing its apparent place only by the small amount of the libration,) while the stars must seem to pass slowly beside and behind it. It will appear clouded with variable spots, and belted with equatorial and tropical zones corresponding to our trade-winds; and it may be doubted whether, in their perpetual change, the outlines ... our continents and seas can ever be clearly discerned. During a solar eclipse, the earth's atmosphere will become visible as a narrow, but bright. luminous ring of a ruddy colour, where it rests on the earth, gradually passing into faint blue, encircling the whole or part of the dark disc of the earth, the remainder being dark and ragged with clouds.

(436 a.) On the subject of the moon's habitability, the complete absence of air noticed in art. (431.), if general over her whole surface, would of course be decisive. Some considerations of a contrary nature, however, suggest themselves in consequence of a remark lately made by Prof. Hansen, viz., that the fact of the moon turning always the same face towards the earth is in all probability the result of an elongation of its figure in the direction of a line joining the centers of both the bodies acting conjointly with a nonraincidence of its center of gravity with its center of symmetry. To the middle of the length of a stick, loaded with a heavy weight at one end and a light one at the other, attach a string, and swing it round. The heavy weight will assume and

vitation go six on the ms imth, can or the , fairly cerned. t, from f which out the 1 to the ncident the axis of the arkable xis and em permewhat vs to be e cause have no

m; but not so, uatorial rn side, the line a little with her ince the

a rotation sists ih its readers be he ground, is possible, insation of own axis, bass in hisbur to per-

maintain a position in the circulation of the joint mass farther from the hand than the lighter. This is not improbably what takes place in the moon. Anticipating to a certain extent what he will find more fully detailed in the next chapter, the reader may consider the moon as retained in her orbit about the earth by some coercing power analogous to that which the hand exerts on the compound mass above described through the string. Suppose, then, its globe made up of materials not homogeneous, and so disposed in its interior that some considerable preponderance of weight should exist excentrically situated: then it will be easily apprehended that the portion of its surface nearer to that heavier portion of its solid content, under all the circumstances of a rotation so adjusted, will permanently occupy the situation most remote from the earth. Let us now consider what may be expected to be the distribution of air, water, or other fluid on the surface of such a globe, suppose ing its quantity not sufficient to cover and drown the whole mass. It will run towards the lowest place, that is to say, not the nearest to the center of figure or to the central point of the mere space occupied by the moon, but to the center of the mass, or what is called in mechanics the center of gravity. There will be formed there an ocean, of more or less extent according to the quantity of fluid, directly over the heavier nucleus, while the lighter portion of the solid material will stand out as a continent on the opposite side. And the height above the level of such ocean to which it will project will be greater, the greater the excentricity of the center of Suppose then that in the case of the moon this gravity. excentricity should amount to some thirty or forty miles, such would be the general elevation of the lunar land (or the portion turned earthwards) above its ocean, so that the whole of that portion of the moon we see would in fact come to be regarded as a mountainous elevation above the sea level.

(436 b.) In what regards its assumption of a definite level, air obeys precisely the same hydrostatical laws as water. The lunar atmosphere would rest upon the lunar ocean, and form in its basin a *lake of air*, whose upper portions at an altitude such as we are now contemplating, would be of excessive

POSSIBLE HABITABILITY OF THE MOON.

289

tenuity, especially should the lunar provision of air be less abundant in proportion than our own. It by no means follows, then, from the absence of visible indications of water or air on this side of the moon, that the other is equally destitute of them, and equally unfitted for maintaining animal or vegetable life. Some slight approach to such a state of things actually obtains on the earth itself. Nearly all the land is collected in one of its hemispheres, and much the larger portion of the sea in the opposite (art. 284.). There is evidently an excess of heavy material vertically beneath the middle of the Pacific; while not very remote from the point of the globe diametrically opposite rises the great table-land of India, and the Himalaya chain, on the summits of which the air has not more than a third of the density it has on the sea-level, and from which animated existence is for ever excluded.

(437.) The best charts of the lunar surface are those of Cassini, of Russel (engraved from drawings, made by the aid of a seven feet reflecting telescope,) the seleno-topographical charts of Lohrmann, and the very elaborate projection of Beer and Maedler accompanying their work already cited. Madame Witte, a Hanoverian lady, has recently succeeded in producing from her own observations, aided by Maedler's charts, more than one complete model of the whole visible lunar hemisphere, of the most perfect kind, the result of incredible diligence and assiduity. Single craters have also been modelled on a large scale, both by her and Mr. Nasmyth. Still more recently (1851-1863), photography has been applied with success to the exact delineation of the lunar surface, by Mr. Whipple, using for this purpose the great Chanhofer equatorial of the Observatory at Cambridge, 0.8.; by Mr. Hartnup, with the equatorial of the Liverpool Observatory; but more especially by Mr. Delarue, with an equatorially mounted Newtonian reflector of 13 inches aperture and 10 feet focal length.* [See § (437a) in Note I.]

rther oably ertain next in her ous to above made in its weight easily to that ircumoccupy w conof air, suppose e whole to say, ral point enter of gravity. s extent heavier erial will And the l project center of oon this y miles, d (or the he whole ne to be evel. ite level, ter. The and form altitude excessivo

CHAPTER VIII.

OF TERRESTRIAL GRAVITY. — OF THE LAW OF UNIVERSAL GRA-VITATION. — PATHS OF PROJECTILES; APPARENT — R.A. — THE MOON RETAINED IN HER ORBIT BY GRAVITY. — ITS 'AW OF DIMINUTION. — LAWS OF ELLIPTIC MOTION. — ORBIT OF THE EARTH ROUND THE SUN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THESE LAWS. — MASSES OF THE EARTH AND SUN COMPARED. — DENSITY OF THE SUN. — FORCE OF GRAVITY AT ITS SURFACE. — DISTURBING EFFECT OF THE SUN ON THE MOON'S MOTION.

(439.) Whatever attempts may have been made by metaphysical writers to reason away the connection of cause and effect, and fritter it down into the unsatified. y relation of habitual sequence †, it is certain that the conception of some more real and intimate connection is quite as strongly impressed upon the human mind as that of the existence of an external world, — the vindication of whose reality has (strange

† See Brown "On Cause and Effect,"—a work of great acuteness and subtlety of reasoning on some points, but in which the whole train of argument is vitiated by one enormous oversight; the omission, namely, of a distinct and immediate personal consciousness of causation in his enumeration of that sequence of events, by which the volition of the mind is made to terminate in the motion of material objects. I mean the consciousness of effort, accompanied with intention thereby to accomplish an end, as a thing entirely distinct from mere desire or volition on the one hand, and from mere spasmodic contraction of muscles on the other. Brown, 3d edit. Edin. 1815, p. 47. (Note to edition of 1833.)

[·] Princip. Lex. i.

OF TERRESTRIAL GRAVITY.

291

to say) been regarded as an achievement of no common merit in the annals of this branch of philosophy. It is our own immediate consciousness of effort, when we exert force to put matter in motion, or to oppose and neutralize force, which gives us this internal conviction of power and causation so far as it refers to the material world, and compels us to believe that whenever we see material objects put in motion from a state of rest, or deflected from their rectilinear paths and changed in their velocities if already in motion, it is in consequence of such an EFFORT somehow exerted, though not accompanied with our consciousness. That such an effort should be exerted with success through an interposed space, is no doubt difficult to conceive. But the difficulty is no way alleviated by the interposition of any kind of material communication. The action of mind on matter admits of no explanation in words or elucidation by parallels. We know it as a fact, but are utterly incapable of analysing it as a process.

(440.) All bodies with which we are acquainted, when raised into the air and quietly abandoned, descend to the earth's surface in lines perpendicular to it. They are therefore urged thereto by a force or effort, which it is but reasonable to regard as the direct or indirect result of a consciousness and a will existing somewhere, though beyond our power to trace, which force we term gravity, and whose tendency or direction, as universal experience teaches, is towards the earth's center; or rather, to speak strictly, with reference to its spheroidal figure, perpendicular to the surface of still water. But if we cast a body obliquely into the air, this tendency, though not extinguished or diminished, is materially modified in its ultimate effect. The upward impetus we give the stone is, it is true, after a time destroyed, and a downward one communicated to it, which ultimately brings it to the surface, where it is opposed in its further progress, and brought to rest. But all the while it has been continually deflected or bent aside from its rectilinear progress, and made to describe a curved line concave to the earth's center; and having a highest point, vertex, or apogee, just as the moon has in its orbit, where the direction of its motion is perpendicular to the radius. (441.) When the stone which we fling obliquely upwards

L GRA-RAL — TS TAW OF THE LAWS. — OF THE TURBING

with the its orbit. We come ains and bodies so ons they first law pncave to

by metacause and elation of n of some ongly imnce of an s (strange

> and subtlety argument is tinct and imt sequence of he motion of vith intention ere desire or muscles on of 1833.)

meets and is stopped in its descent by the earth's surface, its motion is not towards the center, but inclined to the earth's radius at the same angle as when it quitted our hand. As we are sure that, if not stopped by the resistance of the earth, it would continue to descend, and that obliquely, what presumption, we may ask, is there that it would ever reach the center towards which its motion, in no part of its visible course, was ever directed? What reason have we to believe that it might not rather circulate round it, as the moon does round the earth, returning again to the point it set out from, after completing an elliptic orbit of which the earth's center occupies the lower focus? And if so, is it not reasonable to imagine that the same force of gravity may (since we know that it is exerted at all accessible heights above the surface, and even in the highest regions of the atmosphere) extend as far as 60 radii of the earth, or to the moon? and may not this be the power, -for some power there must be, - which deflects her at every instant from the tangent of her orbit, and kceps her in the elliptic path which experience teaches us she actually pursues?

(442.) If a stone be whirled round at the end of a string it will stretch the string by a centrifugal force, which, if the speed of rotation be sufficiently increased, will at length break the string, and let the stone escape. However strong the string, it may, by a sufficient rotary velocity of the stone, be brought to the utmost tension it will bear without breaking; and if we know what weight it is capable of carrying, the velocity necessary for this purpose is easily calculated. Suppose, now, a string to connect the earth's center with a weight at its surface, whose strength should be just sufficient to sustain that weight suspended from it. Let us, however, for a moment imagine gravity to have no existence, and that the weight is made to revolve with the *limiting velocity* which that string can barely counteract: then will its tension be just equal to the weight of the revolving body; and any power which should continually urge the body towards the center with a force equal to its weight would perform the office, and might supply the place of the string, if divided.

GRAVITATION OF THE MOON TO THE EARTH. 293

Divide it then, and in its place let gravity act, and the body will circulate as before; its tendency to the center, or *its weight*, being just balanced by its centrifugal force. Knowing the radius of the earth, we can calculate by the principles of mechanics the periodical time in which a body so balanced must circulate to keep it up; and this appears to be 1^{h} 23^{m} 22^{s} .

(443.) If we make the same calculation for a body at the distance of the moon, supposing its weight or gravity the same as at the earth's surface, we shall find the period required to be 10^h 45^m 30^s. The actual period of the moon's revolution, however, is 27^d 7^h 43^m; and hence it is clear that the moon's velocity is not nearly sufficient to sustain it against such a power, supposing it to revolve in a circle, or neglecting (for the present) the slight ellipticity of its orbit. In order that a body at the distance of the moon (or the moon itself) should be capable of keeping its distance from the earth by the outward effort of its centrifugal force, while yet its time of revolution should be what the moon's actually is, it will appear* that gravity, instead of being as intense as at the surface, would require to be very nearly 3600 times less energetic; or, in other words, that its intensity is so enfeebled by the remoteness of the body on which it acts, as to be capable of producing in it, in the same time, only $\frac{1}{3600}$ th part of the motion which it would impart to the same mass of matter at the earth's surface.

(444.) The distance of the moon from the earth's center is very nearly sixty times the distance from the center to the surface, and $3600: 1:: 60^2: 1^2$; so that the proportion in which we must admit the earth's gravity to be enfeebled at the moon's distance, if it be really the force which retains the moon in her orbit, must be (at least in this particular instance) that of the squares of the distances at which it is compared. Now, in such a diminution of energy with increase of distance, there is nothing *prima facie* inadmissible. Emanations from a center, such as light and heat,

* Newton, Princip. b. i., Prop. 4., Cor. 2.

υ 3

ace, its earth's d. As e earth, nat preach the y visible believe oon does ut from, 's center onable to we know surface, extend as y not this which deorbit, and hes us she

of a string ich, if the ngth break strong the e stone, be breaking; rying, the ed. Suph a weight ufficient to , however, e, and that ocity which tension be v; and any owards the erform the if divided.

do really diminish in intensity by increase of distance, and in this identical proportion; and though we cannot certainly argue much from this analogy, yet we do see that the power of magnetic and electric attractions and repulsions is ctually enfeebled by distance, and much more rapidly than in the simple proportion of the increased distances. The argument therefore, stands thus :- On the one hand, Gravity is a real power, of whose agency we have daily experience. We know that it extends to the greatest accessible heights, and far beyond; and we see no reason for drawing a line at any particular height, and there asserting that it must cease entirely; though we have analogies to lead us to suppose its energy may diminish as we ascend to great heights from the surface, such as that of the moon. On the other hand we are sure the moon is urged towards the earth by some power which retains her in her orbit, and that the intensity of this power is such as would correspond to a gravity, diminished in the proportion-otherwise not improbable-of the squares of the distances. If gravity be not that power, there must exist some other; and, besides this, gravity must cease at some inferior level, or the nature of the moon must be different from that of ponderable matter; - for if not, it would be urged by both powers, and therefore too much urged and forced inwards from her path.

(445.) It is on such an argument that Newton is understood to have rested, in the first instance, and provisionally, his law of universal gravitation, which may be thus abstractly stated:— "Every particle of matter in the universe attracts every other particle, with a force directly proportioned to the mass of the attracting particle, and inversely to the square of the distance between them." In this abstract and general form, however, the proposition is not applicable to the case before us. The earth and moon are not mere *particles*, but great spherical bodies, and to such the general law does not immediately apply; and, before we can make it applicable, it becomes necessary to enquire what will be the force with which a congeries of particles, constituting a solid mass of any assigned figure, will attract another such collection of

GENERAL LAW OF GRAVITATION.

295

ce, and ertainly e power ctually n in the rgument is a real ce. We ghts, and ne at any ust cease uppose its s from the r hand we ome power sity of this ninished in he squarcs there must 1st cease at be different it would be d and forced

on is underrovisionally, is abstractly erse attracts portioned to to the square and general e to the case particles, but law does not applicable, it ne force with olid mass of collection of material atoms. This problem is one purely dynamical, and, in this its general form, is of extreme difficulty. Fortunately however, for human knowledge when the attracting and attracted bodies are spheres, it admits of an easy and direct solution. Newton himself has shown (*Princip*. b. i. prop. 75.) that, in that case, the attraction is precisely the same as if the whole matter of each sphere were collected into its center, and the spheres were single particles there placed; so that, in this case, the general law applies in its strict wording. The effect of the trifling deviation of the earth from a spherical form is of too minute an order to need attention at present. It is, however, perceptible, and may be hereafter noticed.

(446.) The next step in the Newtonian argument is one which divests the law of gravitation of its provisional character, as derived from a loose and superficial consideration of the lunar orbit as a circle described with an average or mean velocity, and elevates it to the rank of a general and primordial relation by proving its applicability to the state of existing nature in all its circumstances. This step consists in demonstrating, as he has done* (Princip. i. 17. i. 75.), that, under the influence of such an attractive force mutually urging two spherical gravitating bodies towards each other, they will each, when moving in each other's neighbourhood, be deflected into an orbit concave towards the other, and describe, one about the other regarded as fixed, or both round their common centre of gravity, curves whose forms are limited to those figures known in geometry by the general name of conic sections. It will depend, he shows, in any assigned case, upon the particular circumstances of velocity, distance, and direction, which of these curves shall be described, - whether an ellipse, a circle, a parabola, or

U 4

[•] We refer for these fundamental propositions, as a point of duty, to the immortal work in which they were first propounded. It is impossible for us, in this volume, to go into these investigations : even did our limits permit, it would be utterly inconsistent with our plan; a general idea, however, of their conduct will be given in the next chapter. We trust that the careful and attentive study of the Principia in its original form will never be laid aside, whatever be the improvements of the modern analysis as respects facility of calculation and expression. From no other quarter can a thorough und complete comprehension of the mechanism of our system, (so far as the immediate scope of that work extends,) be anything like so well, and we may add, so easily obtained.

an hyperbola; but one or other it *must* be; and any one of any degree of eccentricity it *may* be, according to the circumstances of the case; and, in all cases, the point to which the motion is referred, whether it be the centre of one of the spheres, or their common centre of gravity, will of necessity be the *focus* of the conic section described. He shows, furthermore (*Princip.* i. 1.), that, in every case, the *angular velocity* with which the line joining their centres moves, must be inversely proportional to the square of their mutual distance, and that equal areas of the curves described will be swept over by their line of junction in equal times.

(447.) All this is in conformity with what we have stated of the solar and lunar movements. Their orbits are ellipses, but of different degrees of eccentricity; and this circumstance already indicates the general applicability of the principles in question.

(448.) But here we have already, by a natural and ready implication (such is always the progress of generalization), taken a further and most important step, almost unperceived. We have extended the action of gravity to the case of the earth and sun, to a distance immensely greater than that of the moon, and to a body apparently quite of a different nature than either. Are we justified in this? or, at all events, are there no modifications introduced by the change of data, if not into the general expression, at least into the particular interpretation, of the law of gravitation? Now, the moment we come to numbers, an obvious incongruity strikes us. When we calculate, as above, from the known distance of the sun (art. 357.), and from the period in which the earth circulates about it (art. 305.), what must be the centrifugal force of the latter by which the sun's attraction is balanced, (and which, therefore, becomes an exact measure of the sun's attractive energy as exerted on the earth,) we find it to be immensely greater than would suffice to counteract the earth's attraction on an equal body at that distance-greater in the high proportion of 354936 to 1. It is clear, then, that if the earth be retained in its orbit about the sun by solar attraction, conformable in its rate of diminution with the

GRAVITATION AND MASS OF THE SUN.

general law, this force must be no less than 354936 times more intense than what the earth would be capable of exerting, *cæteris paribus*, at an equal distance.

(449.) What, then, are we to understand from this result? Simply this, —that the sun attracts as a collection of 354936 earths occupying its place would do, or, in other words, that the sun contains 354936 times the mass or quantity of ponderable matter that the earth consists of. Nor let this conclusion startle us. We have only to recall what has been already shown in (art. 358.) of the gigantic dimensions of this magnificent body, to perceive that, in assigning to it so vast a mass, we are not outstepping a reasonable proportion. In fact, when we come to compare its mass with its bulk, we find its density* to be less than that of the earth, being no more than 0.2543. So that it must consist, in reality, of far lighter materials, especially when we consider the force under which its central parts must be condensed. This consideration renders it highly probable that an intense heat prevails in its interior by which its elasticity is reinforced, and rendered capable of resisting this almost inconceivable pressure without collapsing into smaller dimensions.

(450.) This will be more distinctly appretiated, if we estimate, as we are now prepared to do, the intensity of gravity at the sun's surface.

The attraction of a sphere being the same (art. 445.) as if its whole mass were collected in its centre, will, of course, be proportional to the mass directly, and the square of the distance inversely; and, in this case, the distance is the radius of the sphere. Hence we conclude[†], that the intensities of solar and terrestrial gravity at the surfaces of the two globes are in the proportions of 27.9 to 1. A pound of terrestrial matter at the sun's surface, then, would exert a pressure equal to what 27.9 such pounds would do at the

one of he ciro which e of the eccessity shows, *angular* es, must mutual (will be

ve stated cllipses, imstance principles

nd ready lization), perceived. se of the an that of different all events, e of data, particular e moment strikes us. listance of the earth centrifugal balanced, f the sun's d it to be the earth's ater in the en, that if n by solar h with the

^{*} The density of a material body is as the mass directly, and the volume inversely: hence density of \odot : density of \oplus : $\frac{854935}{1384472}$: 1: 0.2543: 1.

[†] Solar gravity: terrestrial:: $\frac{354936}{(44000)2}$: $\frac{1}{(4000)}^2$::27.9:1; the respective radii of the sun and earth being 440000, and 4000 miles.

earth's. The efficacy of muscular power to overcome weight is therefore proportionally nearly 28 times less on the sun than on the earth. An ordinary man, for example, would not only be unable to sustain his own weight on the sun, but would literally be crushed to atoms under the load.*

(451.) Henceforward, then, we must consent to dismiss all idea of the earth's immobility, and transfer that attribute to the sun, whose ponderous mass is calculated to exhaust the feeble attractions of such comparative atoms as the earth and moon, without being perceptibly dragged from its place. Their centre of gravity lies, as we have already hinted, almost close to the centre of the solar globe, at an interval quite imperceptible from our distance; and whether we regard the earth's orbit as being performed about the one or the other center makes no appretiable difference in any one phenomenon of astronomy.

(452.) It is in consequence of the mutual gravitation of all the several parts of matter, which the Newtonian law supposes, that the earth and moon, while in the act of revolving, monthly, in their mutual orbits about their common center of gravity, yet continue to circulate, without parting company, in a greater annual orbit round the sun. We may conceive this motion by connecting two unequal balls by a short stick, which, at their common center of gravity is suspended by a long string and made to gyrate conically round a point vertically below that of suspension. Their joint system will circulate as one pendulous mass about this imaginary center, while yet they may go on circulating round each other in subordinate gyrations, as if the stick were quite free from any such tie, and merely hurled through the air. If the earth alone, and not the moon, gravitated to the sun, it would be dragged away, and leave the moon behind - and vice versa; but, acting on both, they continue together under its attraction, just as the loose parts of the earth's surface continue to rest upon it. It is, then, in strictness, not the earth or the moon which describes an ellipse around the sun, but their common center of gravity. The effect is to produce

* A mass weighing 12 stone or 168 lbs, on the earth would produce a pressure of 4687 lbs, on the sun,

SOLAR DISTURBANCE OF THE MOON'S MOTION. 299

a small, but very perceptible, monthly equation in the sun's apparent motion as seen from the earth, which is always taken into account in calculating the sun's place. The moon's actual path in its compound orbit round the earth and sun is an epicycloidal curve intersecting the orbit of the earth twice in every lunar month, and alternately within and without it. But as there are not more than twelve such months in the year, and as the total departure of the moon from it either way does not exceed one 400th part of the radius, this amounts only to a slight undulation upon the earth's ellipse, so slight, indeed, that if drawn in true proportion on a large sheet of paper, no eye unaided by measurement with compasses would detect it. The real orbit of the moon is everywhere concave towards the sun.

(453.) Here moreover, *i. e.* in the attraction of the sun, we have the key to all those differences from an exact elliptic movement of the moon in her monthly orbit, which we have already noticed (arts. 407. 409.), viz. to the retrograde revolution of her nodes; to the direct circulation of the axis of her ellipse; and to all the other deviations from the laws of elliptic motion at which we have further hinted. If the moon simply revolved about the earth under the influence of its gravity, none of these phenomena would take place. Its orbit would be a perfect ellipse, returning into itself, and always lying in one and the same plane. That it is not so, is a proof that some cause disturbs it, and interferes with the earth's attraction; and this cause is no other than the sun's attraction — or rather, that part of it which is not equally exerted on the earth.

(454.) Suppose two stones, side by side, or otherwise situated with respect to each other; to be let fall together; then, as gravity accelerates them equally, they will retain their relative positions, and fall together as if they formed one mass. But suppose gra.ity to be rather more intensely exerted on one than the other; then would that one be rather more accelerated in its fall, and would gradually leave the other; and thus a relative motion between them would arise from the difference of action, however slight.

veight e sun would e sun, *

lismiss ribute xhaust e carth place. hinted, nterval er we one or ny one

tion of an law act of ommon parting Ve may lls by a is susround t system aginary nd each uite free air. If sun, it d — and er under surface not the the sun, produce

produce a

(455.) The sun is about 400 times more remote than the moon; and, in consequence, while the moon describes her monthly orbit round the earth, her distance from the sun is alternately $\frac{1}{400}$ th part greater and as much less than the earth's. Small as this is, it is yet sufficient to produce a perceptible excess of attractive tendency of the moon towards



the sun, above that of the earth when in the nearer point of hey orbit, M, and a corresponding defect on the opposite part, N; and, in the intermediate positions, not only will a difference of forces subsist, but a difference of directions also; since however small the lunar orbit M N, it is not a point, and, therefore, the lines drawn from the sun S to its several parts cannot be regarded as strictly parallel. If, as we have already seen, the force of the sun were equally exerted, and in parallel directions on both, no disturbance of their relative situations would take place; but from the non-verification of these conditions arises a disturbing force, oblique to the line joining the moon and earth, which in some situations acts to accelerate, in others to retard, her elliptic orbitual motion; in some to draw the earth from the moon, in others the moon from the earth. Again, the lunar orbit, though very nearly, is yet not quite coincident with the plane of the ecliptic; and hence the action of the sun, which is very nearly parallel to the last-mentioned plane, tends to draw her somewhat out of the plane of her orbit, and does actually do so -producing the revolution of her nodes, and other phenomena less striking. We are not yet prepared to go into the subject of these perturbations, as they are called; but they are introduced to the reader's notice as early as possible, for the purpose of reassuring his mind, should doubts have arisen as to the logical correctness of our argument, in consequence of our temporary neglect of them while working our way upward to the law of gravity from a general consideration of the moon's orbit.

an the es her sun is an the a perowards

p**oin**t of te part, ı differ-; since nt, and, al parts have al-, and in relative ation of the line s acts to tion; in he moon nearly, tic; and allel to nt out of oducing striking. ese perd to the e of ree logical nporary the law orbit.

CHAPTER IX.

OF THE SOLAR SYSTEM.

APPARENT MOTIONS OF THE PLANETS. — THE STATIONS AND RE-TROGRADATIONS.—THE SUN THEIR NATURAL CENTER OF MOTION. —INFERIOR PLANETS.—THEIR PHASES, PERIODS, ETC. —DIMEN-SIONS AND FORM OF THEIR ORBITS.—TRANSITS ACROSS THE SUN. —SUPERIOR PLANETS.—THEIR DISTANCES, PERIODS, ETC.—KEP-LER'S LAWS AND THEIR INTERPRETATION.—ELLIPTIC ELEMENTS OF A PLANET'S ORBIT.—ITS HELIOCENTRIC AND GEOCENTRIC PLACE.—EMPIRICAL LAW OF PLANETARY DISTANCES;—VIOLATED IN THE CASE OF NEPTUNE.—THE ASTEROIDS.—PHYSICAL PE-CULLARITIES OBSERVABLE IN EACH OF THE PLANETS.

(456.) THE sun and moon are not the only celestial objects which appear to have a motion independent of that by which the great constellation of the heavens is daily carried round the earth. Among the stars there are several, — and those among the brightest and most conspicuous, - which, when attentively watched from night to night, are found to change their relative situations among the rest; some rapidly, others much more slowly. These are called *planets*. Four of them - Venus, Mars, Jupiter, and Saturn - are remarkably large and brilliant; another, Mercury, is also visible to the naked eye as a large star, but, for a reason which will presently appear, is seldom conspicuous: a sixth, Uranus, is barely so discernible, while the rest of which about fifty are already known, and probably many more remain to be discovered, are visible only through telescopes*, and with one exception (that of Neptune) can only be known among the multitude of minute stars those instruments reveal to us by watching them from night to night and attending to their

* One only, Vesta, is said to have been once seen by Schröter with the naked eye.

changes of place. All these have been discovered since the commencement of the current century, and forty-five of them since 1844. A list of their names, discoverers, and the dates of their respective discovery will be found in the Appendix. All of them but Neptune belong to a peculiar and very remarkable class or family of planets to which the name of Asteroids has been assigned.

(457.) The apparent motions of the planets are much more irregular than these of the sun or moon. Generally speaking, and comparing their places at distant times, they all advance, though with very different *average* or *mean* velocities, in the same direction as those luminaries, *i. e.* in of position to the apparent diurnal motion, or from west to east: all of them make the entire tour of the heavens, though under very different circumstances: and all of them, with the exception of certain among the telescopic planets, are confined in their visible paths within very narrow limits on either side the ecliptic, and perform their movements within that zone of the heavens we have called, above, the Zodiac (art. 303.).

(458.) The obvious conclusion from this is, that whatever be, otherwise, the nature and law of their motions, they are performed *nearly in the plane of the ecliptic*—that plane, namely, in which our own motion about the sun is performed. Hence it follows, that we see their evolutions, not in *plan*, but in *section*; their real angular movements and linear distances being all *foreshortened* and confounded undisting ishably, while only their deviations from the ecliptic appear of their natural magnitude, undiminished by the effect of perspective.

(459.) The apparent motions of the sun and moon, though not uniform, do not deviate very greatly from uniformity; a moderate acceleration and retardation, accountable for by the ellipticity of their orbits, being all that is remarked. But the case is widely different with the planets : sometimes they advance rapidly; then relax in their apparent speed — come to a momentary stop; and then actually reverse their motion, and run back upon their former course, with a rapidity at first increasing, then diminishing, till the reversed or retrograde motion ceases altogether. Another station, or moment

APPARENT MOTIONS OF THE PLANETS.

of apparent rest or indecision, now takes place; after which the movement is again reversed, and resumes its original direct character. On the whole, however, the amount of direct motion more than compensates the retrograde; and by the excess of the former over the latter, the gradual advance of the planet from west to east is maintained. Thus, supposing the Zodiac to be unfolded into a plane surface, (or represented as in Mercator's projection, art. 283. taking the celiptie E C for its ground line,) the track of a planet when mapped down by observation from day to day, will offer the



appearance PQRS, &c.; the motion from P to Q being direct, at Q stationary, from Q to R retrograde, at R again stationary, from R to S direct, and so on.

(460.) In the midst of the irregularity and fluctuation of this motion, one remarkable feature of uniformity is observed. Whenever the planet crosses the ecliptic, as at N i me figure, it is said (like the moon) to be in its node; and as the earth necessarily lies in the plane of the ecliptic, the planet cannot be apparently or uranographically situated in the celestial circle so called, without being cally and locally situated in that plane. The visible passage of a planet through its node, then, is a phenomenon indicative of a circumstance in its real motion quite independent of the station from which we view it. Now, it is easy to ascertain, by observation, when a planet passes from the north to the south: side of the ecliptic : we have only to convert its right ascensions and declinations into longitudes and latitudes, and the change from north to south latitude on two successive days will advertise us on what day the transition took place; while a simple proportion, grounded on the observed state of its motion in latitude in the interval, will suffice to fix the precise hour and minute of its arrival on the ecliptic. Now, this being done for several transitions from side to side of the ecliptic, and their dates thereby fixed, we find, universally,

the the formation of the dates pendix. Wery rename of

ich more peaking, advance, s, in the n to the of them very difception of d in their side the t zone of . 303.). t whatever s, they are ic, namely, d. Hence but in secnces being bly, while cir natural ve.

on, though formity; a for by the ked. But etimes they ed — come eir motion, rapidity at l or retroor moment

that the interval of time elapsing between the successive passages of each planet through *the same node* (whether it be the ascending or the descending) is always alike, whether the planet at the moment of such passage be direct or retrograde, swift or slow, in its apparent movement.

(461.) Here, then, we have a circumstance which, while it shows that the motions of the planets are in fact subject to certain laws and fixed periods, may lead us very naturally to suspect that the apparent irregularities and complexities of their movements may be owing to our not seeing them from their natural center (art. 338. 371.), and from our mixing up with their own proper motions movements of a parallactic kind, due to our own change of place, in virtue of the orbital motion of the earth about the sun.

(462.) If we abandon the earth as a center of the planetary motions, it cannot admit of a moment's hesitation where we should place that center with the greatest probability of trath. It must surely be the sun which is entitled to the first trial as a station to which to refer to them. If it be not connected with them by any physical relation, it at least possesses the advantage, which the earth does not, of comparative immobility. But after what has been shown in art. 449., of the immense mass of that luminary, and of the office it performs to us as a quiescent center of our orbitual motion, nothing can be more natural than to suppose it may perform the same to other globes which, like the earth, may be revolving round it; and these globes may be visible to us by its light reflected from them, as the moon is. Now there many facts which give a strong support to the idea that the planets are in this predicament.

(463.) In the first place, the planets really are great globes, of a size commensurate with the earth, and several of them much greater. When examined through powerful telescopes, they are seen to be round bodies, of sensible and even of considerable apparent diameter, and offering distinct and characteristic peculiarities, which show them to be material masses, each possessing its individual structure and mechanism; and *that*, in one instance at least, an exceedingly

THE SUN THE CENTER OF OUR SYSTEM. 305

essivo it be cr the grade,

while ject to ally to ities of m from cing up ickind, orbital

anetary here we of trath. rst trial, onnected esses the e immo-., of the performs , nothing the same ng round reflected ets which re in this

are great d several powerful sible and g distinct o be mature and beedingly artificial and complex one. (See the representations of Mars, Jupiter, and Saturn, in Plate III.) That their distances from us are great, much greater than that of the moon, and some of them even greater than that of the sun, we infer, 1st, from their being occulted by the moon, and 2dly, from the smallness of their diurnal parallax, which, even for the nearest of them, when most favourably situated, does not exceed a few seconds, and for the remote ones is almost imperceptible. From the comparison of the diurnal parallax of a celestial body, with its apparent semidiameter, we can at once estimate its real size. For the parallax is, in fact, nothing else than the apparent semidiameter of the earth as seen from the body in question (art. 339. et seq.); and, the intervening distance being the same, the real diameters must be to each other in the proportion of the apparent oncs. Without going into particulars, it will suffice to state it as a general result of that comparison, that the planets are all of them incomparably smaller than the sun, but some of them as large as the earth, and others much greater.

(464.) The next fact respecting them is, that their disnances from us, as estimated from the measurement of their angular diameters, are in a continual state of change, periodically increasing and decreasing within certain limits, but by no means corresponding with the supposition of regular circular or elliptic orbits described by them about the earth as a center or focus, but maintaining a constant and obvious relation to their apparent angular distances or elongations from the sun. For example; the apparent diameter of Mars is greatest when in opposition (as it is called) to the sun, i. c. when in the opposite part of the ecliptic, or when it comes on the meridian at midnight, - being then about 18", - but diminishes rapidly from that amount to about 4", which is its apparent diameter when in conjunction, or when seen in nearly the same direction as that luminary. This, and facts of a similar character, observed with respect to the apparent diameters of the other planets, clearly point out the sun as having more than an accidental relation to their movements. (465.) Lastly, certain of the planets, (Mercury, Venus,

and Mars,) when viewed through telescopes, exhibit the appearance of phases like those of the moon. This proves that they are opaque bodies, shining only by reflected light, which can be no other than that of the sun's; not only because there is no other source of light external to them sufficiently powerful, but because the appearance and succession of the phases themselves are (like their visible diameters) intimately connected with their elongations from the sun, as will presently be shown.

(466.) Accordingly it is found, that, when we refer the planetary movements to the sun as a center, all that apparent irregularity which they offer when viewed from the earth disappears at once, and resolves itself into one simple and general law, of which the earth's motion, as explained in a former chapter, is only a particular case. In order to show how this happens, let us take the case of a single planet, which we will suppose to revolve round the sun, in a plane nearly, but not quite, coincident with the ecliptic, but passing through the sun, and of course intersecting the ecliptic in a fixed line, which is the line of the planet's nodes. This line must of course divide its orbit into two segments; and it is evident that, so long as the circumstances of the planet's motion remain otherwise unchanged, the times of describing these segments must remain the same. The interval, then, between the planet's quitting either node, and returning to the same node again, must be that in which it describes one complete revolution round the sun, or its periodic time; and thus we are furnished with a direct method of ascertaining the periodic time of each planet.

(467.) We have said (art. 457.) that the planets make the entire tour of the heavens under very different circumstances. This must be explained. Two of them—Mercury and Venus — perform this circuit evidently as attendants upon the sun, from whose vicinity they never depart beyond a certain limit. They are seen sometimes to the east, sometimes to the west of it. In the former case they appear conspicuous over the western horizon, just after sunset, and are called evening stars: Venus, especially, appears occasionally in this situation

INFERIOR PLANETS.

307

hibit the is proves ted light, ly because ufficiently ion of the intimately s will pre-

e refer the at apparent the earth simple and plained in a der to show ngle planet, , in a plane ecliptic, but ng the eclipanet's nodes. vo segments; tances of the the times of me. The inher node, and t in which it sun, or its direct method

hets make the circumstances. Try and Venus upon the sun, a certain limit. as to the west uous over the alled evening this situation with a dazzling lustre; and in favourable circumstances may be observed to cast a pretty strong shadow.* When they happen to be to the west of the sun, they rise before that luminary in the morning, and appear over the eastern horizon as morning stars: they do not, however, attain the same elongation from the sun. Mercury never attains a greater angular distance from it than about 29°, while Venus extends her excursions on either side to about 47°. When they have receded from the sun, eastward, to their respective distances, they remain for a time, as it were, immovable with respect to it, and are carried along with it in the ecliptic with a motion equal to its own; but presently they begin to approach it, or, which comes to the same, their motion in longitude diminishes, and the sun gains upon them. As this approach goes on, their continuance above the horizon after sunset becomes daily shorter, till at length they set before the darkness has become sufficient to allow of their being seen. For a time, then, they are not seen at all, unless on very rare occasions, when they are to be observed passing across the sun's disc as small, round, well-defined black spots, totally different in appearance from the solar spots (art. 386.). These phenomena are emphatically called *transits* of the respective planets across the sun, and take place when the earth happens to be passing the line of their nodes while they are in that part of their orbits, just as in the account we have given (art. 412.) of a solar eclipse. After having thus continued invisible for a time, however, they begin to appear on the other side of the sun, at first showing themselves only for a few minutes before sunrise, and gradually longer and longer as they recede from him. At this time their motion in longitude is rapidly retrograde. Before they attain their greatest elongation, however, they become stationary in the heavens; but their recess from the sun is still maintained by the advance of that luminary along the ecliptic, which continues to leave them behind, until, having reversed their

* It must be thrown upon a white ground. An open window in a whitewashed room is the best exposure. In this situation I have observed not only the shadow, but the diffracted fringes edging its outline.—II. Note to the edition of 1833. Venus may often be seen with the naked eye in the daytime.

motion, and become again *direct*, they acquire sufficient speed to commence overtaking him — at which moment they have their greatest *western* elongation; and thus is a kind of oscillatory movement kept up, while the general advance along the ecliptic goes on.

(468.) Suppose PQ to be the ecliptic, and A B C D the orbit of one of these planets, (for instance, Mercury,) scen almost edgewise by an eye situated very nearly in its plane; S, the sun, its centre; and A, B, C, D successive positions of the planet, of which B and D are in the nodes. If, then, the



sun S stood apparently still in the ecliptic, the planets would simply appear to oscillate backwards and forwards from A to C, alternately passing before and behind the sun; and, if the eyc happened to lie exactly in the plane of the orbit, transiting his disc in the former case, and being covered by it in the latter. But as the sun is not so stationary, but apparently carried along the ecliptic PQ, let it be supposed to move over the spaces ST, TU, UV, while the planet in each case executes one quarter of its period. Then will its orbit be apparently carried along with the sun, into the successive positions represented in the figure; and while its real motion round the sun brings it into the respective points, B, C, D, A, its apparent movement in the heavens will seem to have been along the wavy or zigzag line ANHK. In this, its motion in longitude will have been direct in the parts A N, N H, and retrograde in the parts H n K; while at the turns of the zigzag, as at H, it will have been stationary.

(469.) The only two planets — Mercury and Venus — whose evolutions are such as above described, are called inferior planets; their points of farthest recess from the sun are called (as above) their greatest castern and western elongations; and their points of nearest approach to it, their inferior and superior conjunctions, — the former when the

at speed any have of oscilce along

CD the ry,) seen ts plane; ositions of

then, the

nets would from A to and, if the bit, transitby it in the apparently ed to move in each case its orbit be e successive real motion s, B, C, D, A, to have been s, its motion N, N H, and ns of the zig-

hd Venus i, are called from the sun western *elon*to it, their er when the planet passes between the earth and the sun, the latter when behind the sun.

(470.) In art. 467. we have traced the apparent path of an inferior planet, by considering its orbit in section, or as viewed from a point in the plane of the ecliptic. Let us now contemplate it *in plan*, or as viewed from a station above that plane, and projected on it. Suppose then, S to represent the sun, a b c d the orbit of Mercury, and A B C D a part of that of the earth—the direction of the circulation being the

same in both, viz. that of the arrow. When the planet stands at a, let the earth be situated at A, in the direction of a tangent, a A, to its orbit; then it is evident that it will appear at its greatest elongation from the sun,— the angle a A S, which measures their apparent interval as seen from A, being



then greater than in any other situation of a upon its own circle.

(471.) Now, this angle being known by observation, we are hereby furnished with a ready means of ascertaining, at least approximately, the distance of the planet from the sun, or the radius of its orbit, supposed a circle. For the triangle S A a is right-angled at a, and consequently we have S a: SA :: sin. SA a :: radius, by which proportion the radii S a,S A of the two orbits are directly compared. If the orbits were both exact circles, this would of course be a perfectly rigorous mode of proceeding: but (as is proved by the inequality of the resulting values of S a obtained at different times) this is not the case; and it becomes necessary to admit an excentricity of position, and a deviation from the exact circular form in *both* orbits, to account for this difference. Neglecting, however, at present this inequality, a mean or average value of S a may, at least, be obtained from the frequent repetition of this process in all varieties of situation of the two bodies. The calculations being performed, it is concluded that the mean distance of Mercury from the sun is about 36000000 miles; and that of Venus, similarly derived, about 68000000; the radius of the earth's orbit being 95000000.

(472.) The sidereal periods of the planets may be obtained (as before observed), with a considerable approach to accuracy, by observing their passages through the nodes of their orbits; and indeed, when a certain very minute motion of these nodes and the apsides of their orbits (similar to that of the moon's nodes and apsides, but incomparably slower) is allowed for, with a precision only limited by the imperfection of the appropriate observations. By such observation, so corrected, it appears that the sidereal period of Mercury is 87^d 23^h 15^m 43.9"; and that of Venus, 224d 16h 49m 8.0". These periods, however, are widely different from the intervals at which the successive appearances of the two planets at their eastern and western elongations from the sun are observed to happen. Mercury is seen at its greatest splendour as an evening star, at average intervals of about 116, and Venus at intervals of about 584 days. The difference between the sidereal and synodical revolutions (art. 418.) accounts for this. Referring again to the figure of art. 470., if the earth stood still at A, while the planet advanced in its orbit, the lapse of a sidereal period, which should bring it round again to a, would also produce a similar elongation from the sun. But, meanwhile, the earth has advanced in its orbit in the same direction towards E, and therefore the next greatest elongation on the same side of the sun will happen — not in the position a A of the two bodies, but in some more advanced position, e E. The determination of this position depends on a calculation exactly similar to what has been explained in the article referred to; and we need, therefore, only here state the resulting synodical revolutions of the two planets, which come out respectively 115.877^d, and 583.920^d.

(473.) In this interval, the planet will have described a whole revolution *plus* the arc $a \ c \ e$, and the earth only the arc A C E of its orbit. During its lapse, the *inferior conjunction* will happen when the earth has a certain intermediate situation, B, and the planet has reached b, a point between the sun and earth. The greatest elongation on the opposite side of the sun will happen when the earth has come to C, and the planet to c where the line of junction C c is a tangent

INFERIOR PLANETS.

311

e obtained accuracy, eir orbits; hese nodes the moon's llowed for, of the aporrected, it 7d 23h 15m ese periods, t which the eastern and to happen. vening star, intervals of al and syno-

erring again at A, while ereal period, so produce a e, the earth wards E, and te side of the two bodies, etermination y similar to red to; and ng synodical respectively

described a only the arc *r conjunction* ediate situabetween the opposite side e to C, and is a tangent to the interior circle on the opposite side from M. Lastly, the *superior* conjunction will happen when the earth arrives at D, and the planet at d in the same line prolonged on the other side of the sun. The intervals at which these phænomena happen may easily be computed from a knowledge of the synodical periods and the radii of the orbits.

(474.) The circumferences of circles are in the proportion of their radii. If, then, we calculate the circumferences of the orbits of Mercury and Venus, and the earth, and compare them with the times in which their revolutions are performed, we shall find that the actual velocities with which they move in their orbits differ greatly; that of Mercury being about 109360 miles per hour, of Venus 80000, and of the earth 68040. From this it follows, that at the inferior conjunction, or at b, either planet is moving in the same direction as the earth, but with a greater velocity; it will, therefore, leave the earth behind it; and the apparent motion of the planet viewed from the earth, will be as if the planet stood still, and the earth moved in a contrary direction from what it really does. In this situation, then, the apparent motion of the planet must be contrary to the apparent motion of the sun; and, therefore, retrograde. On the other hand, at the superior conjunction, the real motion of the planet being in the opposite direction to that of the earth, the relative motion will be the same as if the planet stood still, and the earth advanced with their united velocities in its own proper direction. In this situation, then, the apparent motion will be direct. Both these results are in accordance with observed fact.

(475.) The stationary points may be determined by the following consideration. At a or c, the points of greatest elongation, the motion of the planet is directly to or from the earth, or *along* their line of junction, while that of the earth is nearly perpendicular to it. Here, then, the apparent motion must be direct. At b, the inferior conjunction, we have seen that it must be retrograde, owing to the planet's motion (which is there, as well as the earth's. Hence, the

x 4
312

stationary points ought to lie, as it is found by observation they do, between a and b, or c and b, viz. in such a position that the obliquity of the planet's motion with respect to the line of junction shall just compensate for the excess of its velocity, and cause an equal advance of each extremity of that line, by the motion of the planet at one end, and of the earth at the other: so that, for an instant of time, the whole line shall move parallel to itself. The question thus proposed is purely geometrical, and its solution on the supposition of circular orbits is easy. Let E e and P p represent small



arcs of the orbits of the earth and planet described contemporaneously, at the moment when the latter appears stationary, about S, the sun. Produce p P and e E, tangents at P and E, to meet at R, and prolong E P backwards to Q, join ep. Then since P E, pe are parallel we have by similar triangles P p : Ee :: P R : R E, and since, putting v and V for the respective velocities of the planet and the earth, P p : Ee :: v : V; therefore

v: V :: P R : R E :: sin. P E R : sin. E P R:: cos. S E P : cos. S P Q:: cos. S E P : cos. (S E P + E S P)

because the angles SER and SPR are right angles. More-

INFERIOR PLANETS.

over, if r and **R** be the radii of the respective orbits, we have also

r: R:: sin. SEP: sin. (SEP+ESP)

from which two relations it is easy to deduce the values of the two angles $S \ge P$ and $\ge S P$; the former of which is the apparent elongation of the planet from the sun^{*}, the latter the difference of heliocentric longitudes of the earth and planet.

(476.) When we regard the orbits as other than circles (which they really are), the problem becomes somewhat complex—too much so to be here entered upon. It will suffice to state the results which experience verifies, and which assigns the stationary points of Mercury at from 15° to 20° of elongation from the sun, according to circumstances; and of Venus, at an elongation never varying much from 29°. The former continues to retrograde during about 22 days; the latter, about 42.

(477.) We have said that some of the planets exhibit phases like the moon. This is the case with both Mercury and Venus; and is readily explained by a consideration of their orbits, such as we have above supposed them. In fact, it requires little more than mere inspection of the figure annexed,

to show, that to a spectator situated on the earth E, an inferior planet, illuminated by the sun, and therefore bright on the side next to him, and dark on that turned from him, will appear *full* at the superior con-



junction A; gibbous (i.e. more than half full, like the moon between the first and second quarter) between that point and the points B C of its greatest elongation; half-mooned at these points; and crescent-shaped, or horned, between these

• If $\frac{R}{r} = m$ and $\frac{V}{v} = n$, SEP = ϕ , ESP = ψ , the equations to be resolved are sin. $(\phi + \psi) = m \sin \phi$, and cos. $(\phi + \psi) = n \cos \psi$, which give cos. $\psi = \frac{1 + mn}{m + n}$

servation position ext to the ess of its remity of and of the the whole s proposed position of sent small

bed contemrs stationary, ngents at P Is to Q, join e by similar ing v and V I the earth,

P+ESP)

and the inferior conjunction D. As it approaches this point, the crescent ought to thin off till it vanishes altogether, rendering the planet invisible, unless in those cases where it *transits* the sun's disc, and appears on it as a black spot. All these phenomena are exactly conformable to observation.

(478.) The variation in brightness of Venus in different parts of its apparent orbit is very remarkable. This arises from two causes: 1st, the varying proportion of its visible illuminated area to its whole disc; and, 2dly, the varying angular diameter, or whole apparent magnitude of the disc itself. As it approaches its inferior conjunction from its greater elongation, the half-moon becomes a crescent, which thins off; but this is more than compensated, for some time, by the increasing apparent magnitude, in consequence of its diminishing distance. Thus the total light received from it goes on increasing, till at length it attains a maximum, which takes place when the planet's elongation is about 40° .

(479.) The transits of Venus are of very rare occurrence, taking place alternately at the very unequal but regularly recurring intervals of 8, 122, 8, 105, 8, 122, &c., years in succession, and always in June or December. As astronomical phænomena, they are extremely important; since they afford the best and most exact means we possess of ascertaining the sun's distance, or its parallax. Without going into the nicetics of calculation of this problem, which, owing to the great multitude of circumstances to be attended to, are extremely intricate, we shall here explain its principle, which, in the abstract, is very simple and obvious. Let E be the earth, V Venus, and S the sun, and CD the portion of Venus's relative orbit which she describes while in the act of transiting the sun's disc. Suppose A B two spectators at opposite extremities of that diameter of the earth which is perpendicular to the ecliptic, and, to avoid complicating the case, let us lay out of consideration the earth's rotation, and suppose A, B, to retain that situation during the whole time of the transit. Then, at any moment when the spectator at A sees the center of Venus projected at a on the sun's disc, he at B will see it projected at b. If then one or other spectator could suddenly

TRANSITS OF VENUS AND MERCURY.

transport himself from A to B, he would see Venus suddenly displaced on the disc from a to b; and if he had any means of noting accurately the place of the points on the disc, either by micrometrical measures from its edge, or by other means, he might ascertain the angular measure of a b as seen from the earth. Now, since A V a, B V b, are straight lines; and



therefore make equal angles on each side V, $a \ b$ will be to A B as the distance of Venus from the sun is to its distance from the carth, or as 68 to 27, or nearly as $2\frac{1}{2}$ to 1; $a \ b$ therefore occupies on the sun's disc a space $2\frac{1}{2}$ times as great as the earth's diameter; and its angular measure is therefore equal to about $2\frac{1}{2}$ times the earth's apparent diameter at the distance of the sun, or (which is the same thing) to five times the sun's horizontal parallax (art. 298.). Any error, therefore, which may be committed in measuring $a \ b$, will entail only one fifth of that error on the horizontal parallax concluded from it.

(480.) The thing to be ascertained, therefore, is, in fact, neither more nor less than the breadth of the zone PQRS, p q r s, included between the extreme apparent paths of the center of Venus across the sun's disc, from its entry on one side to its quitting it on the other. The whole business of the observers at A, B, therefore, resolves itself into this; --- to ascertain, with all possible care and precision, each at his own station, this path, — where it enters, where it quits, and what segment of the sun's disc it cuts off. Now, one of the most exact ways in which (conjoined with careful micrometric measures) this can be done, is by noting the time occupied in the whole transit: for the relative angular motion of Venus being, in fact, very precisely known from the tables of her motion, and the apparent path being very nearly a straight line, these times give us a measure (on a very enlarged scale) of the lengths of the chords of the segments cut off; and the

is point, ner, renwhere it ot. All tion. different his arises ts visible e varying the disc from its nt, which ome time, nce of its ed from it um, which 0°.

occurrence, ; regularly , years in stronomical they afford taining the the nicetics great mulextremely ich, in the the earth, of Venus's f transiting pposite ezrpendicular , let us lay se A, B, to the transit. s the center will see it d suddenly

sun's diameter being known also with great precision, their versed sines, and therefore their difference, or the breadth of the zone required, becomes known. To obtain these times correctly, each observer must ascertain the instants of ingress and egress of the *center*. To do this, he must note, 1st, the instant when the first visible impression or notch on the edge of the disc at P is produced, or the *first external contact*; 2dly, when the planet is just wholly immersed, and the broken edge of the disc just closes again at Q, or the first *internal* contact; and, lastly, he must make the same observations at the egress at R, S. The mean of the internal and external contacts, corrected for the curvature of the sun's limb in the intervals of the respective points of contact, internal and external, gives the entry and egress of the planet's center.

(481.) The modifications introduced into this process by the earth's rotation on its axis, and by other geographical stations of the observers thereon than here supposed, are similar in their principles to those which enter into the calculation of a solar eclipse, or the occultation of a star by the moon, only Any consideration of them, however, here, more refined. would lead us too far: but in the view we have taken of the subject, it affords an admirable example of the way in which minute elements in astronomy may become magnified in their effects, and, by being made subject to measurement on a greatly enlarged scale, or by substituting the measure of time for space, may be ascertained with a degree of precision adequate to every purpose, by only watching favourable opportunities, and taking advantage of nicely adjusted combinations of circumstance. So important has this observation appeared to astronomers, that at the last transit of Venus, in 1769, expeditions were fitted out, on the most efficient scale, by the British, French, Russian, and other governments, to the remotest corners of the globe, for the express purpose of performing it. The celebrated expedition of Captain Cook to Otaheite was one of them. The general result of all the observations made on this most memorable occasion gives 8"5776 for the sun's horizontal parallax. The two next

occurrences of this phænomenon will happen on Dec. 8. 1874 and Dec. 6. 1882.

(482.) The orbit of Mercury is very elliptical, the excentricity being nearly one fourth of the mean distance. This appears from the inequality of the greatest elongations from the sun, as observed at different times, and which vary between the limits $16^{\circ} 12'$ and $28^{\circ} 48'$, and, from exact measures of such elongations, it is not difficult to show that the orbit of Venus also is slightly excentric, and that both these planets, in fact, describe ellipses, having the sun in their commom focus.

(483.) Transits of Mercury over the sun's disc occasionally occur, as in the case of Venus, but more frequently; those at the ascending node in November, at the descending in May. The intervals (considering each node separately) are usually either 13 or 7 years, and in the order 13, 13, 13, 7, &c.; but owing to the considerable inclination of the orbit of Mercury to the ecliptic, this cannot be taken as an exact expression of the said recurrence, and it requires a period of at least 217 years to bring round the transits in regular order. One will occur in the present year (1848), the next in 1861. They are of much leas astronomical importance than that of Venus, on account of the proximity of Mercury to the sun, which affords a much less favourable combination for the determination of the sun's parallax.

(484.) Let us now consider the superior planets, or those whose orbits enclose on all sides that of the earth. That they do so is proved by several circumstances: — 1st, They are not, like the inferior planets, confined to certain limits of clongation from the sun, but appear at all distances from it, even in the opposite quarter of the heavens, or, as it is called, in *opposition*; which could not happen, did not the earth at such times place itself between them and the sun: 2dly, They never appear horned, like Venus or Mercury, nor even semilunar. Those, on the contrary, which, from the minuteness of their parallax, we conclude to be the most distant from us, viz. Jupiter, Saturn, Uranus, and Neptune, never appear otherwise than round; a sufficient proof, of

ion, their preadth of nese times of ingress e, 1st, the the edge *al contact*; *and the* or the first the observaternal and *the sun's* ontact, inthe planet's

ocess by the ical stations e similar in ulation of a moon, only vever, here, taken of the ay in which ified in their ement on a asure of time of precision favourable ljusted comobservation of Venus, in ficient scale, ernments, to s purpose of aptain Cook ult of all the ceasion gives ne two next

itself, that we see them always in a direction not very remote from that in which the sun's rays illuminate them; and that, therefore, we occupy a station which is never very widely removed from the centre of their orbits, or, in other words, that the earth's orbit is entirely enclosed within theirs, and of comparatively small diameter. One only of them, Mars, exhibits any perceptible *phase*, and in its deficiency from a circular outline, never surpasses a moderately *gibbous* appearance, — the enlightened portion of the disc being never less than seven-eighths of the whole. To understand this, we need only cast our eyes on the annexed figure, in which E is the earth, at its apparent greatest elongation from the sun S, as seen from Mars, M. In this position.

the angle S M E, included between the lines S M and E M, is at its maximum; and therefore, in this state of things, a spectator on the earth is enabled to see a greater portion of the dark hemisphere of Mars than in any other situation. The extent of the phase, then, or greatest observable degree of gibbosity, affords a measure— a sure, although a coarse and rude one—of the angle S M E, and therefore of the proportion of the distance S M, of Mars, to S E, that of the earth from the sun, by which it appears that the diameter of the orbit of Mars cannot be less than $1\frac{1}{2}$ times that of the



earth's. The phases of Jupiter, Saturn, Uranus, and Neptune, being imperceptible, it follows that their orbits must include not only that of the earth, but of Mars also.

(485.) All the superior planets are retrograde in their apparent motions when in *opposition*, and for some time before and after; but they differ greatly from each other, both in the extent of their are of retrogradation, in the duration of their retrograde movement, and in its rapidity when swiftest. It is more extensive and rapid in the case of Mars than of Jupiter, of Jupiter than of Saturn, of that planet than of Uranus, and of Uranus again than $N_{\rm CPUARC}$. The angular velocity with which a planet appears to re-

SUPERIOR PLANETS.

trograde is easily ascertained by observing its apparent place in the heavens from day to day; and from such observations, made about the time of opposition, it is easy to conclude the relative magnitudes of their orbits, as compared with the earth's, supposing their periodical times known. For, from these, their mean angular velocities are known also, being inversely as the times. Suppose, then, E e to be a very small por-

tion of the earth's orbit, and M m a corresponding



portion of that of a superior planet, described on the day of opposition, about the sun S, on which day the three bodies lie in one straight line SEMX. Then the angles ESe and MSm are given. Now, if e m be joined and prolonged to meet SM continued in X, the angle eXE, which is equal to the alternate angle X e Y, is evidently the retrogradation of Mars on that day, and is, therefore, also given. $\mathbf{E} e$, therefore, and the angle $\mathbf{E} \mathbf{X} e$, being given in the right-angled triangle $\mathbf{E} e \mathbf{X}$, the side $\mathbf{E} \mathbf{X}$ is easily calculated, and thus S X becomes known. Consequently, in the triangle Sm X, we have given the side SX and the two angles $m \otimes X$, and $m \otimes S$, whence the other sides, S m, m X, are easily determined. Now, S m is no other than the radius of the orbit of the superior planet required, which in this calculation is supposed circular, as well as that of the earth; a supposition not exact, but sufficiently so to afford a satisfactory approximation to the dimensions of its orbit, and which, if the process be often repeated, in every variety of situation at which the opposition can occur, will ultimately afford an average or mean value of its diameter fully to be depended upon.

(486.) To apply this principle, however, to practice, it is necessary to know the periodic times of the several planets. These may be obtained directly, as has been already stated, by observing the intervals of their passages through the ecliptic; but, owing to the very small inclination of the orbits of some of them to its plane, they cross it so obliquely that the precise moment of their arrival on it is not ascer-

ot very them; ver very in other in theirs, of them, deficiency y gibbous sec being inderstand figure, in clongation position,



s, and Neporbits must so.

de in their some time cach other, ion, in the its rapidity in the case urn, of that in Naprane. pears to re-

tainable, unless by very nice observations. A better method consists in determining, from the observations of several successive days, the exact moments of their arriving in opposition with the sun, the criterion of which is a difference of longitudes between the sun and planet of exactly 180°. The interval between successive oppositions thus obtained is nearly one synodical period; and would be exactly so, were the planet's orbit and that of the earth both circles, and uniformly described; but as that is found not to be the case (and the criterion is, the inequality of successive synodical revolutions so observed), the average of a great number, taken in all varieties of situation in which the oppositions occur, will be freed from the elliptic inequality. and may be taken as a mean synodical period. From this, by the considerations and by the process of calculation, indicated (art. 418.) the sidereal periods are readily obtained. The accuracy of this determination will, of course, be greatly increased by embracing a long interval between the extreme observations employed. In point of fact, that interval extends to nearly 2000 years in the cases of the planets known to the ancients, who have recorded their observations of them in a manner sufficiently careful to be made use of. Their periods may, therefore, be regarded as ascertained with the utmost exactness. Their numerical values will be found stated, as well as the mean distances, and all the other elements of the planetary orbits, in the synoptic table at the end of the volume, to which (to avoid repetition) the reader is once for all referred.

(487.) In casting our eyes down the list of the planetary distances, and comparing them with the periodic times, we cannot but be struck with a certain correspondence. The greater the distance, or the larger the orbit, evidently the longer the period. The order of the planets, beginning from the sun, is the same, whether we arrange them according to their distances, or to the time they occupy in completing their revolutions; and is as follows: — Mercury, Venus, Earth, Mars, the recently discovered family of Asteroids, Jupiter, Saturn.

KEPLER'S THIRD LAW.

r method f several in oppoerence of tly 180°. obtained xactly so, th circles, to be the sive synof a great which the inequality, From this, ulation, inly obtained. , be greatly en the exhat interval the planets observations made use of. ascertained values will and all the optic table at petition) the

he planetary mes, we can-The greater e longer the n the sun, is to their distheir revolu-Earth, Mars, iter, Saturn.

Uranus, and Neptune. Nevertheless, when we come to examine the numbers expressing them, we find that the relation between the two series is not that of simple proportional increase. The periods increase more than in proportion to the distances. Thus, the period of Mercury is about 88 days, and that of the Earth 365 - being in proportion as 1 to 4.15, while their distances arc in the less proportion of 1 to 2.56; and a similar remark holds good in every instance. Still, the ratio of increase of the times is not so rapid as that of the squares of the distances. The square of 2.56 is 6.5536, which is considerably greater than 4.15. An intermediate rate of increase, between the simple proportion of the distances and that of their squares is therefore clearly pointed out by the sequence of the numbers; but it required no ordinary penctration in the illustrious Kepler, backed by uncommon perseverance and industry, at a period when the data themselves were involved in obscurity, and when the processes of trigonometry and of numerical calculation were encumbered with difficulties, of which the more recent invention of logarithmic tables has happily left us no conception, to perceive and demonstrate the real law of their connection. This connection is expressed in the following proposition: - "The squares of the periodic times of any two planets are to each other, in the same proportion as the cubes of their mean distances from the sun." Take, for example, the Earth and Mars *, whose periods are in the proportion of 3652564 to 6869796, and whose distance from the sun is that of 100000 to 152369; and it will be found, by any one who will take the trouble to go through the calculation, that ---

$(3652564)^2$: $(6869796)^2$:: $(100000)^3$: $(152369)^3$.

(488.) Of all the laws to which induction from pure observation has ever conducted man, this *third law* (as it is called) of Kepler may justly be regarded as the most remark-

[•] The expression of this law of Kepler requires a slight modification when we come to the extreme nicety of numerical calculation, for the greater planets, due to the influence of their masses. This correction is imperceptible for the Earth and Mars.

able, and the most pregnant with important consequences. When we contemplate the constituents of the planetary system from the point of view which this relation affords us, it is no longer mere analogy which strikes us — no longer a general resemblance among them, as individuals independent of each other, and circulating about the sun, each according to its own peculiar nature, and connected with it by its own peculiar tie. The resemblance is now perceived to be a true *family* likeness; they are bound up in one chain interwoven in one web of mutual relation and harmonious agreement—subjected to one pervading influence, which extends from the centre to the farthest limits of that great system, of which all of them, the earth included, must henceforth be regarded as members.

(489.) The laws of elliptic motion about the sun as a focus, and of the equable description of areas by lines joining the sun and planets, were originally established by Kepler, from a consideration of the observed motions of Mars; and were by him extended, analogically, to all the other planets. However precarious such an extension might then have appeared, modern astronomy has completely verified it as a matter of fact, by the general coincidence of its results with entire series of observations of the apparent places of These are found to accord satisfactorily with the planets. the assumption of a particular ellipse for each planet, whose magnitude, degree of excentricity, and situation in space, are numerically assigned in the synoptic table before referred It is true, that when observations are carried to a high to. degree of precision, and when each planet is traced through many successive revolutions, and its history carried back, by the aid of calculations founded on these data, for many centuries, we learn to regard the laws of Kepler as only first approximations to the much more complicated ones which actually prevail; and that to bring remote observations into rigorous and mathematical accordance with each other, and at the same time to retain the extremely convenient nomenciature and relations of the ELLIPTIC SYSTEM, it becomes necessary to modify, to a certain extent, our verbal

INTERPRETATION OF KEPLER'S LAWS.

e sun as a as by lines tablished by motions of y, to all the tension might letely verified e of its results ent places of factorily with planet, whose tion in space, pefore referred rried to a high raced through rried back, by ata, for many Kepler as only uplicated ones te observations th each other, ely convenient IC SYSTEM, it ent, our verbal expression of the laws, and to regard the numerical data or elliptic elements of the planetary orbits as not absolutely permanent, but subject to a series of extremely slow and almost imperceptible changes. These changes may be neglected when we consider only a few revolutions; but going on from century to century, and continually accumulating, they at length produce material departures in the orbits from their original state. Their explanation will form the subject of a subsequent chapter; but for the present we must lay them out of consideration, as of an order too minute to affect the general conclusions with which we are now concerned. By what means astronomers are enabled to compare the results of the elliptic theory with observation, and thus satisfy themselves of its accordance with nature, will be explained presently.

(490.) It will first, however, be proper to point out what particular theoretical conclusion is involved in each of the three laws of Kepler, considered as satisfactorily established, -what indication each of them, separately, affords of the mechanical forces prevalent in our system, and the mode in which its parts are connected, - and how, when thus considered, they constitute the basis on which the Newtonian explanation of the mechanism of the heavens is mainly supported. To begin with the first law, that of the equable description of areas. - Since the planets move in curvilinear paths, they must (if they be bodies obeying the laws of dynamics) be deflected from their otherwise natural rectilinear progress by force. And from this law, taken as a matter of observed fact, it follows, that the direction of such force, at every point of the orbit of each planet, always passes through the sun. No matter from what ultimate cause the power which is called gravitation originates, - be it a virtue lodged in the sun as its receptacle, or be it pressure from without, or the resultant of many pressures or solicitations of unknown fluids, magnetic or electric ethers, or impulses, - still, when finally brought under our contemplation, and summed up into a single resultant energyits direction is, from every point on all sides, towards the

¥ 2

sun's center. As an abstract dynamical proposition, the reader will find it demonstrated by Newton, in the first proposition of the Principia, with an elementary simplicity to which we really could add nothing but obscurity by amplification, that any body, urged towards a certain central point by a force continually directed thereto, and thereby deflected into a curvilinear path, will describe about that center equal areas in equal times : and vice versa, that such equable description of areas is itself the essential criterion of a continual direction of the acting force towards the center to which this character belongs. The first law of Kepler, then, gives us no information as to the nature or intensity of the force urging the planets to the sun; the only conclusion it involves is, that it does so urge them. It is a property of orbital rotation under the influence of central forces generally, and, as such, we daily see it exemplified in a thousand familiar instances. A simple experimental illustration of it is to tie a bullet to a thin string, and, having whirled it round with a moderate velocity in a vertical plane, to draw the end of the string three gh a small ring, or allow it to coil itself round the finger, or round a cylindrical rod held very firmly in a horizontal position. The bullet will then approach the center of motion in a spiral line; and the increase not only of its angular but of its linear velocity, and the rapid diminution of its periodic time when near the center, will express, more clearly than any words, the compensation by which its uniform description of areas is maintained under a constantly diminishing distance. If the motion be reversed, and the thread allowed to uncoil, beginning with a rapid impulse, the velocity will diminish by the same degrees as it before increased. The increasing rapidity of a dancer's pirouette, as he draws in his limbs and straightens his whole person, so as to bring every part of his frame as near as possible to the axis of his motion, is another instance where the connection of the observed effect with the central force exerted, though equally real, is much less obvious.

(491.) The second law of Kepler, or that which asserts that the planets describe ellipses about the sun as their focus,

INTERPRETATION OF KEPLER'S LAWS.

osition, the in the first y simplicity bscurity by rtain central and thereby about that i, that such criterion of s the center of Kepler, intensity of y conclusion a property entral forces plified in a nental illusand, having ertical plane, ing, or allow lindrical rod e bullet will ne; and the velocity, and en near the the compens maintained e motion be nning with a same degrees of a dancer's ens his whole e as near as stance where central force 18.

which asserts s their focus,

involves, as a consequence, the law of solar gravitation (so be it allowed to call the force, whatever it be, which urges them towards the sun) as exerted on each individual planet, apart from all connection with the rest. A straight line, dynamically speaking, is the only path which can be pursued by a body absolutely free, and under the action of no external force. All deflection into a curve is evidence of the exertion of a force; and the greater the deflection in equal times, the more intense the force. Deflection from a straight line is only another word for curvature of path; and as a circle is characterized by the uniformity of its curvatures in all its parts-so is every other curve (as an ellipse) characterized by the particular law which regulates the increase and diminution of its curvature as we advance along its circumference. The deflecting force, then, which continually bends a moving body into a curve, may be ascertained, provided its direction, in the first place, and, secondly, the law of curvature of the curve itself, be known. Both these enter as elements into the expression of the force. A body may describe, for instance, an ellipse, under a great variety of dispositions of the acting forces: it may glide along it, for example, as a bead upon a polished wire, bent into an elliptic form; in which case the acting force is always perpendicular to the wire, and the velocity is uniform. In this case the force is directed to no fixed center, and there is no equable description of areas at all. Or it may describe it as we may see done, if we suspend a ball by a very long string, and, drawing it a little aside from the perpendicular, throw it round with a gentle impulse. In this case the acting force is directed to the center of the ellipse, about which areas are described equably, and to which a force proportional to the distance (the decomposed result of terrestrial gravity) perpetually urges it. * This is at once a very easy experiment, and a very instructive one, and we shall again refer to it. In the case before us, of an ellipse described by the action of

• If the suspended body be a vessel full of fine sand, having a small hole at its bottom, the elliptic trace of its orbit will be left in a sand streak on a table placed below it. This neat illustration is due, to the best of my knowledge, to

a force directed to the focus, the steps of the investigation of the law of force are these: 1st, The law of the areas determines the actual *velocity* of the revolving body at every point, or the space really run over by it in a given minute portion of time; 2dly, The law of curvature of the ellipse determines the linear amount of deflection from the tangent in the direction of the focus, which corresponds to that space so run over; 3dly, and lastly, The laws of accelerated motion declare that the intensity of the acting force causing such deflection in its own direction, is measured by or proportional to the amount of that deflection, and may therefore be calculated in any particular position, or generally expressed by geometrical or algebraic symbols, as a law independent of particular positions, when that deflection is so calculated or We have here the spirit of the process by which expressed. Newton has resolved this interesting problem. For its geometrical detail, we must refer to the 3d section of his Principia. We know of no artificial mode of imitating this species of elliptic motion; though a rude approximation to it --- enough, however, to give a conception of the alternate approach and recess of the revolving body to and from the focus, and the variation of its velocity-may be had by suspending a small steel bead to a fine and very long silk fibre, and setting it to revolve in a small orbit round the pole of a powerful cylindrical magnet, held upright, and vertically under the point of suspension.

(492.) The third law of Kepler, which connects the distances and periods of the planets by a general rule, bears with it, as its theoretical interpretation, this important consequence, viz. that it is one and the same force, modified only by distance from the sun, which retains *all* the planets in their orbits about it. That the attraction of the sun (if such it be) is exerted upon all the bodies of our system indifferently, without regard to the peculiar materials of which they may consist, in the exact proportion of their inertiæ, or quantities of matter; that it is not, therefore, of the nature of the elective attractions of chemistry or of magnetic action, which is powerless on other substances than iron and some

INTERPRETATION OF KEPLER'S LAWS.

igation e areas t every minute ellipse tangent at space d motion ing such portional e be calressed by endent of culated or by which For its ion of his tating this nation to it ternate apn the focus, suspending fibre, and e pole of a vertically

cts the disrule, bears portant conodified only he planets in sun (if such tem indifferls of which r inertiæ, or of the nature gnetic action, on and some one or two more, but is of a more universal character, and extends equally to all the material constituents of our system, and (as we shall hereafter see abundant reason to admit) to those of other systems than our own. This law, important and general as it is, results, as the simplest of corollaries, from the relations established by Newton in the section of the Principia referred to (Prop. xv.), from which proposition it results, that if the earth were taken from its actual orbit, and launched anew in space at the place, in the direction, and with the velocity of any of the other planets, it would describe the very same orbit, and in the same period, which that planet actually does, a minute correction of the period only excepted, arising from the difference between the mass of the earth and that of the planet. Small as the planets are compared to the sun, some of them are not, as the earth is, mere atoms in the comparison. The strict wording of Kepler's law, as Newton has proved in his fiftyninth proposition, is applicable only to the case of planets whose proportion to the central body is absolutely inappretiable. When this is not the case, the periodic time is shortened in the proportion of the square root of the number expressing the sun's mass or inertia, to that of the sum of the numbers expressing the masses of the sun and planet; and in general, whatever be the masses of two bodies revolving round each other under the influence of the Newtonian law of gravity, the square of their periodic time will be expressed by a fraction whose numerator is the cube of their mean distance, *i. e.* the greater semi-axis of their elliptic orbit, and whose denominator is the sum of their masses. When one of the masses is incomparably greater than the other, this resolves into Kepler's law; but when this is not the case, the proposition thus generalized stands in lieu of that law. In the system of the sun and planets, however, the numerical correction thus introduced into the results of Kepler's law is too small to be of any importance, the mass of the largest of the planets (Jupiter) being much less than a thousandth part of that of the sun. We shall presently, however, perceive all the importance of this generalization, when we come to speak of the satellites.

(493.) It will first, however, be proper to explain by what process of calculation the expression of a planet's elliptic orbit by its *elements* can be compared with observation, and how we can satisfy ourselves that the numerical data contained in a table of such elements for the whole system does really exhibit a true picture of it, and afford the means of determining its state at every instant of time, by the mere application of Kepler's laws. Now, for each planet, it is necessary for this purpose to know, 1st, the magnitude and form of its ellipse; 2dly, the situation of this ellipse in space, with respect to the ecliptic, and to a fixed line drawn therein; 3dly, the local situation of the planet in its ellipse at some known epoch, and its periodic time or mean angular velocity, or, as it is called, its mean motion.

(494.) The magnitude and form of an ellipse are determined by its greatest length and least breadth, or its two principal axes; but for astronomical uses it is preferable to use the seni-axis major (or half the greatest length), and the excentricity or distance of the focus from the center, which last is usually estimated in parts of the former. Thus, an ellipse, whose length is 10 and breadth 8 parts of any scale, has for its major semi-axis 5, and for its excentricity 3 such parts; but when estimated in parts of the semi-axis, regarded as a unit, the excentricity is expressed by the fraction $\frac{3}{2}$.

(495.) The ecliptic is the plane to which an maabitant of the earth most naturally refers the rest of the solar system, as a sort of ground-plane; and the axis of its orbit might be taken for a line of departure in that plane or origin of angular reckoning. Were the axis *fixed*, this would be the best possible origin of longitudes; but as it has a motion (though an excessively slow one), there is, in fact, no advantage in reckoning from the axis more than from the line of the equinoxes, and astronomers therefore prefer the latter, taking account of its variation by the effect of precession, and restoring it, by calculation at every instant, to a fixed position. Now, to determine the situation of the ellipse described by a planet with respect to this plane, three *elements* require to be known:—1st, the *inclination* of the plane of the planet's orbit to the plane of the celiptic; $2d^3y$, the line in which

THE PLANE OF THE ORBIT.

329

by what s elliptic tion, and data constem does means of the mere net, it is itude and ; in space, n therein; e at some r velocity,

are deteror its two eferable to . ngth), and he center, er. Thus, any scale, bity 3 such , regarded tion 3. nabitant of ar systen, t might be of angular e the best on (though vantage in ine of the ter, taking n, and red position. scribed by require to he planet's in which these two planes intersect each other, which of necessity passes through the sun, and whose position with respect to the line of the equinoxes is therefore given by stating its longitude. This line is called the line of the nodes. When the planet is in this line, in the act of passing from the south to the north side of the ecliptic, it is in its ascending node, and its longitude at that moment is the element called the longitude of the node. These two data determine the situation of the plane of the orbit; and there only remains for the complete determination of the situation of the planet's ellipse, to know how it is placed in that plane, which (since its focus is necessarily in the sum) is ascertained by stating the longitude of its perihelion, or the place which the extremity of the axis nearest the sun occupies, when orthographically projected on the ecliptic.*

(496.) The dimensions and situation of the planet's orbit thus determined, it only remains, for a complete acquaintance with its history, to determine the circumstances of its motion in the orbit so precisely fixed. Now, for this purpose, all that is needed is to know the moment of time when it is either at the perihelion, or at any other precisely determined point of its orbit, and its whole period; for these being known, the law of the areas determines the place at every other instant. This moment is called (when the perihelion is the point chosen) the *perihelion passage*, or, when some point of the orbit is fixed upon, without special reference to the perihelion, the *epoch*.

(497.) Thus, then, we have *seven* particulars or elements, which must be numerically stated, before we can reduce to calculation the state of the system at any given moment. But, these known, it is easy to ascertain the apparent positions of each planet, as it would be seen from the sun, or is seen from the earth at any time. The former is called the *heliocentric*; the latter the *geocentric*, place of the planet.

(498.) To commence with the heliocentric places. Let S represent the sun; P A N the orbit of the planet, being an

^{*} What is most improperly called in some books the "longitude of the perihelion on the orbit" is a broken arc or an angle made up of two in different planes, viz. from the equinox to the node on the celiptic and thence to the perihelion on the orbit.

ellipse, having the S in its focus, and A for its perihelion; and let $p a \ N \ \Upsilon$ represent the projection of the orbit on the



plane of the ccliptic, intersecting the line of equinoxes $S \Upsilon$ in Υ , which, therefore, is the origin of longitudes. Then will S N be the line of nodes; and if we suppose B to lie on the

south, and A on the north side of the ecliptic, and the direction of the planet's motion to be from B to A, N will be the ascending node, and the angle Υ S N the *longitude of the node*. In like manner, if P be the place of the planet at any time, and if it and the perihelion A be projected on the ecliptic, upon the points p, \cdots the angles Υ S p, Υ S a, will be the respective heliocentric longitudes of the planet and of the perihelion, the former of which is to be determined, and the latter is one of the given elements. Lastly, the angle p S P is the heliocentric latitude of the planet, which is also required to be known.

(499.) Now, the time being given, and also the moment of the planet's passing the perihelion, the interval, or the time of describing the portion Λ P of the orbit, is given, and the periodical time, and the whole area of the ellipse being known, the law of proportionality of areas to the times of their description gives the magnitude of the area Λ S P. From this it is a problem of pure geometry to determine the corresponding *angle* Λ S P, which is called the planet's *true anomaly*. This problem is of the kind called transcendental, and has been resolved by a great variety of processes, some more, some less intricate. It offers, however, no peculiar difficulty, and is practically resolved with great facility by the help of tables constructed for the purpose, adapted to the case of each particular planet. *

• It will readily be understood, that, except in the case of uniform circular motion, an equable description of areas about any center is incompatible with an equable description of angles. The object of the problem in the text is to pass from the area, supposed known, to the angle, supposed unknown; in other words, to derive the true amount of angular motion from the perihelion, or the true anomaly from what is technically called the mean anomaly, that is, t' mean angular motion which would have been performed had the motion to angle been uniform instead of the motion in area. It happens fortunately, that this is the

GEOCENTRIC PLACE OF A PLANET.

ihelion; t on the ting the , which, igitudes. f nodes; on the I the di-V will be gitude of planet at d on the S a, will net and of ined, and the angle ich is also

noment of the time a, and the pse being e times of ea Λ S P. ermine the anet's true scendental, psses, some o peculiar facility by udapted to

form circular mpatible with the text is to own: in other helion, or the t is, t' mean in an angle been hat this is the

(500.) The true anomaly thus obtained, the planet's angular distance from the node, or the angle N S P, is to be found. Now, the longitudes of the perihelion and node being respectively Υ a and Υ N, which are given, their difference a N is also given, and the angle N of the spherical rightangled triangle A N a, being the inclination of the plane of the orbit to the ecliptic, is known. Hence we calculate the arc N A, or the angle N S A, which, added to A S P, gives the angle N S P required. And from this, regarded as the measure of the are N P, forming the hypothenuse of the right-angled spherical triangle P N p, whose angle N, as before, is known, it is easy to obtain the other two sides, N p and P p. The latter, being the measure of the angle $p \, S \, P$, expresses the planet's heliocentric latitude; the former measures the angle N S p, or the planet's distance in longitude from its node, which, added to the known angle Υ S N, the longitude of the node, gives the heliocentric longitude. This process, however circuitous it may appear, when once well understood may be gone through numerically by the aid of the usual logarithmic and trigonometrical tables, in little more time than it will have taken the reader to peruse its description.

(501.) The geocentric differs from the heliocentric place of a planet by reason of that parallactic change of apparent situation which arises from the earth's motion in its orbit. Were the planets' distances as vast as those of the stars, the earth's orbital motion would be insensible when viewed from them, and they would always appear to us to hold the same relative situations among the fixed stars as if viewed from the sun, *i. e.* they would then be seen in their *heliocentric* places. The difference, then ' tween the heliocentric and geocentric places of a plane. The fact, the same thing with its *parallax*, arising from the earth's removal from the centre

simplest of all problems of the transcendental kind, and can be resolved, in the most difficult case, by the rule of "false position," or trial and error, in a very few minutes. Nay, it may even be resolved approximately on inspection by a simple and easily constructed piece of mechanism, of which the reader may see a description in the Cambridge Philosophical Transactions, vol. iv. p. 425., by the author of this work.

of the system and its annual motion. It follows from this, that the first step towards a knowledge of its amount, and the consequent determination of the apparent place of each planet, as referred from the earth to the sphere of the fixed stars, must be to ascertain the proportion of its linear distances from the earth and from the sun, as compt = d with the earth's distance from the sun, and the angular positions of all three with respect to each other.

(502.) Suppose, therefore, S to represent the sun, E the earth, and P the planet; S Υ the line of equinoxes, Υ E the earth's orbit, and P p a perpendicular let fall from the planet on the ecliptic. Then will the angle S P E (according to the general notion of parallax conveyed in art. 69) re-



present the parallax of the planet arising from the change of station from S to E; E P will be the apparent direction of the planet seen from E; and if S Q be drawn parallel to

E p, the angle Υ S Q will be the geocentric longitude of the planet, while Υ S E represents the heliocentric longitude of the earth, Υ S p that of the planet. The former of these, Υ S E, is given by the solar tables; the latter, Υ S p, is found by the process above described (art. 500). Moreover, S P is the radius vector of the planet's orbit, and S E that of the earth's, both of which are determined from the known dimensions of their respective ellipses, and the places of the bodies in them at the assigned time. Lastly, the angle P S p is the planet's heliocentric latitude.

(503.) Our object, then, is, from all these data, to determine the angle Υ S Q, and P E p, which is the geocentric latitude. The process, then, will stand as follows:—1st, In the triangle S P p, right-angled at p, given S P, and the angle P S p (the planet's radius vector and heliocentric latitude), find S p and P p; 2dly, In the triangle S E p, given S p (just found), S E (the earth's radius vector), and the angle E S p (the difference of heliocentric longitudes of the earth and planet), find the angle S p E, and the side E p. The former being equal to the alternate angle p S Q.

ORDER OF DISCOVERY OF THE PLANETS.

333

om this, nt, and of each he fixed ear dised with positions

1, E the es, Y E rom the ccording . 69) ree planet station he appaeen from arallel to ide of the gitude of of these, $\Upsilon S p$, is Moreover, SE that he known ces of the the angle

ta, to degeocentric vs: -1st, P, and the eliocentric le S E p, etor), and gitudes of l the side le $p \le Q$. is the parallactic removal of the planet in longitude, which, added to Υ S p, gives its geocentric longitude. The latter, E p (which is called the *curtate distance* of the planet from the earth), gives at once the geocentric latitude, by means of the right-angled triangle P E p, of which E p and P p are known sides, and the angle P E p is the geocentric latitude sought.

(504.) The calculations required for these purposes are nothing but the most ordinary processes of plane trigonometry; and, though somewhat tedious, are neither intricate nor difficult. When executed, however, they afford us the means of comparing the places of the planets actually observed with the elliptic theory, with the utmost exactness, and thus putting it to the severest trial; and it is upon the testimony of such computations, so brought into comparison with observed facts, that we declare that theory to be a true representation of nature.

(505.) The planets Mercury, Venus, Mars, Jupiter, and Saturn, have been known from the earliest ages in which astronomy has been cultivated. Uranus was discovered by Sir W. Herschel in 1781, March 13th, in the course of a review of the heavens, in which every star visible in a telescope of a certain power was brought under close examination, when the new planet was immediately detected by its disc, under a high magnifying power. It has since been ascertained to have been observed on many previous occasions, with telescopes of insufficient power to show its disc, and even entered in catalogues as a star; and some of the observations which have been so recorded have been used to improve and extend our knowledge of its orbit. The discovery of the asteroids dates from the first day of 1801, when Ceres was discovered by Piazzi, at Palermo; a discovery speedily followed by those of Juno by professor Harding, of Gentingen, in 1804; and of Pallas and Vesta, by Dr. Olbers, of Bremen, in 1802 and 1807 respectively. It is extremely remarkable that this important addition to our system had been in some sort surmised as a thing not unlikely, on the ground that the intervals between

the orbit of Mercury and the other planetary orbits, go on doubling as we recede from the sun, or nearly so. Thus. the interval between the orbits of the Earth and Mercury is nearly twice that between those of Venus and Mercury; that between the orbits of Mars and Mercury nearly twice that between the Earth and Mercury; and so on. The interval between the orbits of Jupiter and Mercury, however, is much too great, and would form an exception to this law, which is, however, again resumed in the case of the three planets next in order of remoteness, Jupiter, Saturn, and Uranus. It was therefore thrown out, by the late professor Bode, of Berlin,* as a possible surmise, that a planct not then yet discovered might exist between Mars and Jupiter; and it may easily be imagined what was the astonishment of astronomers on finding not one only, but four planets, differing greatly in all the other elements of their orbits, but agreeing very nearly, both inter se, and with the above stated empirical law, in respect of their mean distances from the sun. No account, d priori or from theory, was to be given of this singular progression, which is not, like Kepler's laws, strictly exact in numerical verification: but the circumstances we have just mentioned tended to create a strong belief that it was something beyond a mere accidental coincidence, and bore reference to the essential structure of the planetary system. It was even conjectured that the asteroids are fragments of some greater planet which formerly circulated in that interval, but which has been blown to atoms by an explosion; an idea countenanced by the exceeding minuteness of these bodies which present discs; and it was argued that in that case innumerable more such fragments must exist and might come to be hereafter discovered. Whatever may be thought of such a speculation as a physical hypothesis, this conclusion has been verified to a considerable extent as a matter of fact by subsequent discovery, the result

* The progression is (rather rudely) that of the numbers 4, 4+3, 4+6, 4+12, &c. The empirical law itself, as we have above stated it, is ascribed by Voiron, not to Bode (who would appear, however, at all events, to have first drawn attention to this interpretation of its interruption,) but to professor Titas of Wittemberg. (Voiron, Supplement to Baily.)

DISCOVERY OF THE NEW PLANETS.

of a careful and minute examination and mapping down of the smaller stars in and near the zodiac, undertaken with that express object. Zodiacal charts of this kind, the product of the zeal and industry of many astronomers, have been constructed, in which every star down to the ninth, tenth, or even lower magnitudes, is inserted, and these stars being compared with the actual stars of the heavens, the intrusion of any stranger within their limits cannot fail to be noticed when the comparison is systematically conducted. The discovery of Astræa and Hebe by professor Hencke in 1845 and 1847 revived the flagging spirit of enquiry in this direction; with what success, the list in the Appendix to this volume will best show. The labours of our indefatigable countryman, Mr. Hind, have been rewarded by the discovery of no less than eight of them.

(506.) The discovery of Neptune marks in a signal manner the maturity of Astronomical science. The proof, or at least the urgent presumption of the existence of such a planet, as a means of accounting (by its attraction) for certain small irregularities observed in the motions of Uranus, was afforded almost simultaneously by the independent researches of two geometers, Messrs. Adams of Cambridge and Leverrier of Paris, who were enabled, from theory alone, to calculate whereabouts it ought to appear in the heavens, if visible, the places thus independently calculated agreeing surprisingly. Within a single degree of the place assigned by M. Leverrier's calculations, and by him communicated to Dr. Galle of the Royal Observatory at Berlin, and within two and a half from that indicated by Mr. Adams, it was actually found by Dr. Galle on the very first night (Sept. 23. 1846) after the receipt of M. Leverrier's communication, on turning a telescope on the spot, and comparing the stars in its immediate neighbourhood with those previously laid down in one of the zodiacal charts already alluded to.*

* Constructed by Dr. Bremiker, of Berlin. On reading the history of this noble discovery, we are ready to exclaim with Schiller ---

"Mit dem Genius steht die Natur in ewigem Bunde,

Was der Eine verspricht leistet die Andre gewiss."

Professor Challis, of the Cambridge Observatory, directing the Northum-

, go on Thus, ercury is [ercury; ly twice n. The ry, howon to this case of Jupiter, it, by the nise, that een Mars t was the only, but nts of their d with the n distances ory, was to s not, like cation: but d to create a mere ace essential conjectured blanet which been blown by the ext discs; and e such fragdiscovered. is a physical considerable y, the result

> 4, 4+3, 4+6, , is ascribed by ts, to have first professor Titlus

(507.) The mean distance of Neptune from the sun, however, so far from falling in with the supposed law of planetary distances above mentioned, offers a decided case of discord-The interval between its orbit and that of Mercury. ance. instead of being nearly double the interval between those of Uranus and Mercury, does not, in fact, exceed the latter interval by much more than half its amount. This remarkable exception may serve to make us cautious in the too ready admission of empirical laws of this nature to the rank of fundamental truths, though, as in the present instance. they may prove useful auxiliaries, and serve as stepping stones, affording a temporary footing in the path to great discoveries. The force of this remark will be more apparent when we come to explain more particularly the nature of the theoretical views which led to the discovery of Neptune itself.

(508.) We shall devote the rest of this chapter to an account of the physical peculiarities and probable condition of the several planets, so far as the former are known by observation, or the latter rest on probable grounds of conjecture. In this, three features principally strike us as necessarily productive of extraordinary diversity in the provisions by which, if they be, like our earth, inhabited, animal life must be supported. These are, first, the difference in their respective supplies of light and heat from the sun; secondly, the difference in the intensities of the gravitating forces which must subsist at their surfaces, or the different ratios which. on their several globes, the inertiæ of bodies must bear to their weights; and, thirdly, the difference in the nature of the materials of which, from what we know of their mean density, we have every reason to believe they consist. The intensity of solar radiation is nearly seven times greater on Mercury than on the Earth, and on Neptune 900 times less;

s N ta

a

aı

se

ou

is

Wo

dor

berland telescope of that Institution to the place assigned by Mr. Adams's calculations and its vicinity, on the 4th and 12th of August 1846, saw the planet on both those days, and noted its place (among those of other stars) for re-observation. He, however, *postponed the comparison* of the places observed, and, not possessing Dr. Bremiker's chart (which would have at once indicated the presence of an unmapped star), remained in ignorance of the planet s existence us a visible object till its announcement as such by Dr. Galle,

PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION OF THE PLANETS.

the proportion between the two extremes being that of upwards of 5600 to 1. Let any one figure to himself the condition of our globe, were the sun to be septupled, to say nothing of the great ratio! or were it diminished to a seventh, or to a 900th of its actual power! It is true that owing to the remarkable difference between the properties of radiant heat as emitted from bodies of very exalted temperature, as the sun, and as from such as we commonly term warm, it is very possible that a dense atmosphere surrounding a planet, while allowing the access of solar heat to its surface, may oppose a powerful obstacle to its escape, and that thus the feeble sunshine on a remote planet may be retained and accumulated on its surface in the same way (and for the same reason) that a very slight amount of sunshine, or even the dispersed heat of a bright though clouded day, suffices to maintain the interior of a closed greenhouse at a high temperature. We cannot then absolutely conclude the prevalence of that excessive cold on the surface of a distant planet which its mere remoteness from the sun might lead us, primâ facie, to expect.

(508. b.) Again, the intensity of gravity, or its efficacy in counteracting muscular power and repressing animal activity, on Jupiter, is nearly two and a half times that on the Earth, on Mars not more than one-half, on the Moon one-sixth, and on the smaller planets probably not more than one-twentieth; giving a scale of which the extremes are in the proportion of sixty to one. Lastly, the density of Saturn hardly exceeds one-eighth of the Earth's, so that it must consist of materials not heavier on the average than dry fir wood. Now, under the various combinations of elements so important to life as these, what immense diversity must we not admit in the conditions of that great problem, the maintenance of animal and intellectual existence and happiness, which seems, so far as we can judge by what we see around us in our own planet, and by the way in which every corner of it is crowded with living beings, to form an unceasing and worthy object for the exercise of the Benevolence and Wisdom which preside over all !

sun, howf planetary of discord-' Mercury, en those of the latter iis remarkin the too to the rank t instance, is stepping h to great e apparent nature of of Neptune

pter to an condition of wn by obconjecture. necessarily ovisions by al life must in their re-1; secondly, orces which itios which, ust bear to e nature of their meau nsist. The greater on) times less;

y Mr. Adams's 1846, saw the other stars) for places observed, once indicated of the planets Galle.

(509.) Quitting, however, the region of mere speculation. we will now show what information the telescope affords us of the actual condition of the several planets within its reach. Of Mercury we can see little more than that it is round, and exhibits phases. It is too small, and too much lost in the constant neighbourhood of the Sun, to allow us to make out more of its nature. The real diameter of Mercury is about 3200 miles: its apparent diameter varies from 5" to 12". Nor does Venus offer any remarkable peculiarities: although its real diameter is 7800 miles, and although it oceasionally attains the considerable apparent diameter of 61", which is larger than that of any other planet, it is yet the most difficult of them all to define with telescopes. The intense lustre of its illuminated part dazzles the sight, and exaggerates every imperfection of the telescope; yet we see elearly that its surface is not mottled over with permanent spots like the Moon; we notice in it neither mountains nor shadows, but a uniform brightness, in which sometimes we may indeed fancy, or perhaps more than fancy, brighter or obscurer portions, but can seldom or never rest fully satisfied of the fact. It is from some observations of this kind that both Venus and Mercury have been concluded to revolve on their axes in about the same time as the Earth, though in the ease of Venus, Bianchini and other more recent observers have contended for a period of twenty-four times that length. The most natural conclusion, from the very rare appearance and want of permanence in the spots, is, that we do not see, as in the Moon, the real surface of these planets, but only their atmospheres, much loaded with clouds, and which may serve to mitigate the otherwise intense glare of their sunshine.

(510.) The case is very different with Mars. In this planet we frequently discern, with perfect distinctness, the outlines of what may be continents and seas. (See Plate III. *fig.* 1., which represents Mars in its gibbous state, as seen on the 16th of August, 1830, in the 20-fect reflector at Slough.) Of these, the former are distinguished by that ruddy colour which characterizes the light of this planet

JUPITER,

culation, affords us its reach. ound, and lost in the to make lercury is rom 5" to culiarities: ough it octer of 61", is yet the opes. The sight, and yet we see permanent ountains nor metimes we brighter or fully satisfied and that both volve on their hough in the ent observers s that length. e appearance e do not see, ets, but only d which may of their sun-

ars. In this tinctness, the See Plate III. state, as seen t reflector at shed by that f this planet (which always appears red and fiery), and indicates, no doubt, an ochrcy tinge in the general soil, like what the red sandstone districts on the Earth may possibly offer to the inhabitants of Mars, only more decided. Contrasted with this (by a general law in optics), the seas, as we may call them, appear greenish.* These spots, however, are not always to be seen equally distinct, but, when seen, they offer the appearance of forms considerably definite and highly characteristic[†], brought successively into view by the rotation of the planet, from the assiduous observation of which it has even been found practicable to construct a rude chart of the surface of the planet. The variety in the spots may arise from the planet not being destitute of atmosphere and clouds; and what adds greatly to the probability of this is the appearance of brilliant white spots at its poles, -one of which appears in our figure, - which have been conjectured, with some probability, to be snow; as they disappear when they have been long exposed to the sun, and are greatest when just emerging from the long night of their polar winter, the snow line then extending to about six degrees (reckoned on a meridian of the planet) from the pole. By watching the spots during a whole night, and on successive nights, it is found that Mars has a rotation on an axis inclined about 30° 18' to the ecliptic, and in a period of 24h 37m 23s⁺ in the same direction as the Earth's, or from west to cast. The greatest and least apparent diameters of Mars are 4" and 18", and its real diameter about 4100 miles.

(511.) We now come to a much more magnificent planet, Jupiter, the largest of them all, being in diameter no less than 87,000 miles, and in bulk exceeding that of the Earth nearly 1300 times. It is, moreover, dignified by the attendance of four moons, satellites, or secondary planets, as they are

^{*} I have noticed the phænomena described in the text on many occasions, but never more distinct than on the occasion when the drawing was made from which the figure in Plate I. is engraved. — Author.

[†] The reader will find many of those forms represented in Shumacher's Astronomische Nachrichten, No. 191, 434, and in the chart in No. 349. by Messrs Beer and Müdler.

t Beer and Mädler, Astr. Nachr. 349.







called, which constantly accompany and revolve about it, as the Moon does round the Earth, and in the same direction, forming with their principal, or *primary*, a beautiful miniature system, entirely analogous to that greater one of which their central body is itself a member, obeying the same laws, and exemplifying, in the most striking and instructive manner, the prevalence of the gravitating power as the ruling principle of their motions: of these, however, we shall speak more at large in the next chapter.

(512.) The disc of Jupiter is lways observed to be crossed in one certain direction by dark bends or belts presenting the appearance, in Plate III. fig. 2., which represents this planet as seen on the 23rd of September, 1832, in the 20-feet reflector at Slough. These belts are, however, by no means alike at all times; they vary in breadth and in situation on the disc (though never in their general direction). They have even been seen broken up and distributed over the whole face of the planet; but this phænomenon is extremely rare. Branches running out from them, and subdivisions, as represented in the figure, as well as evident darker spots, are by no means uncommon. But the most singular phænomenon presented by the belts of Jupiter is the occasional appearance upon them of perfectly round, well defined, bright spots (not unlike the discs of the satellites (see art. 540.), as they are occasionally seen projected on the planet when passing between it and the Earth, only smaller. They vary in situation and number, as many as ten having, on one occasion (Oct. 28, 1857), been seen at once, but, so far as hitherto observed, only on the southern hemisphere of Jupiter. They were first noticed by Mr. Dawes in the spring of 1849, but first described and figured by Mr. Lassell, March 27, 1850. They have been more recently again and more distinctly and consecutively observed by the former of these observers, who has given figures of them in Ast. Soc. Not. xviii, pp. 8. 40.

(512. a.) From the appearances and configurations of the helts, attentively watched, it is concluded that this planet revolves in the surprisingly short period of 9^{h} 55^m 50^s (sid.

SATURN.

as

m,

ire

eir

nd

er,

in-

ore

be

)re-

ents

the

7 no

tua-

on).

over

ex-

bdi-

rker

rular

onal

right

), as

vhen

vary

- oc-

r as

biter.

849,

850.

and

who

40.

f the lanet

(sid.

341

time), on an axis perpendicular to the direction of the belts. Now, it is very remarkable, and forms a most satisfactory comment on the reasoning by which the spheroidal figure of the Earth has been deduced from its diurnal rotation, that the outline of Jupiter's disc is evidently not circular, but elliptic, being considerably flattened in the direction of its axis of rotation. This appearance is no optical ill usion, but is authenticated by micrometrical measures, which assign 106 to 100 for the proportion of the equatorial and polar diameters. And to confirm, in the strongest manner, the truth of those principles on which our former conclusions have been founded, and fully to authorize their extension to this remote system, it appears, on calculation, that this is really the degree of oblateness which corresponds, on those principles, to the dimensions of Jupiter, and to the time of his rotation.

(513.) The parallelism of the belts to the equator of Jupiter, their occasional variations, and these appearances of spots seen upon them, render it extremely probable that they subsist in the atmosphere of the planet, forming tracts of comparatively clear sky, determined by currents analogous to our trade-winds, but of a much more steady and decided character, as might indeed be expected from the immense velocity That it is the comparatively darker body of of its rotation. the planet which appears in the belts is evident from this, --that they do not come up in all their strength to the edge of the disc, but fade away gradually before they reach it. The round bright spots described above may therefore not impossibly be insulated masses of cloud, of local origin, analogous to the cumuli which sometimes cap ascending columns of vapour in our atmosphere. The apparent diameter of Jupiter varies from 30" to 46".*

(514.) A still more wonderful, and, as it may be termed, elaborately artificial mechanism, is displayed in Saturn, the next in order of remoteness to Jupiter, to which it is not much inferior in magnitude, being about 79,000 miles in dia-

[•] Prof. P. Smyth and Mr. Delaue have published fine representations of Jupiter, the former as seen from the Peak of Teneriffe (alt. 10,700 ft.), the latter in his observatory at Cranford.

meter, nearly 1000 times exceeding the earth in bulk, and subtending an apparent angular diameter at the earth, of about 18" at its mean distance. This stupendous globe, besides being attended by no less than eight satellites, or moons, is surrounded with three broad, flat, and extremely whin rings, concentric with the planet and with each other, the inner being very faint and semi-transparent; all lying in one plane, and separated by a very narrow interval from each other throughout their whole circumference, as they are from the planet by a much wider. The dimensions of this extraordinary appendage are as follows *: ---

		MILLCS.
Exterior diameter of exterior bright ring -		40.095=176,418
Interior ditto		$35 \cdot 289 = 155,272$
Exterior diameter of interior bright ring -		34.475 = 151,690
Interior ditto		26.668 = 117,339
Equatorial diameter of the body		17.991 = 79,160
Interval between the planet and interior bright ri	ing -	4.339 = 19,090
Interval of the rings		0.408 = 1,791
Thickness of the ring not exceeding		- = 250

The figure (Pl. III. *fig.* 3.) represents Saturn surrounded by its rings, and having its body striped with dark belts, somewhat similar, but broader and less strongly marked than those of Jupiter, and owing, doubtless, to a similar cause.[†] Whatever be the materials of which the ring consists (and there are strong reasons, Art. 522., for believing it not to consist of solid matter) it is at least substantial enough to east a shadow, which, when the Earth is properly situated, may be seen on the body of the planet on the side next the Sun; as also to receive one when thrown on it by the body on the opposite side. The form of this latter shadow, minutely scrutinized with powerful telescopes, has led some observers to conclude that the edge of the outer ring is in some degree rounded, and that the two rings do not lie precisely in one

[†] The equatorial bright belt is generally well seen. The subdivision of the dark one by two narrow bright bands is seldom so distinct as represented in the plate.

^{*} These dimensions are calculated from Prof. Struve's micrometric measures, Mem. Ast Soc. iii. 301., with the exception of the thickness of the ring, which is concluded from its total disappearance in 1833, in a telescope which would certainly have shown, as a visible object, a line of light one-twentieth of a second in breadth. The interval of the rings here stated is possibly somewhat too small.

SATURN.

plane.* From the parallelism of the belts with the plane of the ring, it may be conjectured that the axis of rotation of the planet is perpendicular to that plane; and this conjecture is confirmed by the occasional appearance of extensive dusky spots on its surface, which, when watched, like the spots on Mars or Jupiter, indicate a rotation in $9^h 57^m 1.91^{\circ}$ (according to the observations of Mr. Airy) about an axis so situated.

(515.) The axis of rotation, like that of the earth, preserves its parallelism to itself during the motion of the planet in its orbit; and the same is also the case with the ring, whose plane is constantly inclined at the same, or very nearly the same, angle to that of the orbit, and, therefore, to the ecliptic, viz. 28° 11'; and intersects the latter plane in a line, which makes at present † an angle with the line of equinoxes of 167° 31'. So that the nodes of the ring lie in 167° 31' and 347° 31' of longitude. Whenever, then, the planet happens to be situated in one or other of these longitudes, as at C, the plane of the ring passes through the sun, which then illuminates only the edge of it. And if the earth at that moment be in F, it will see the ring edgeways, the planet being in opposition, and therefore most favourably situated (cæteris paribus) for observation. Under these circumstances the ring, if seen at all, can only appear as a very narrow straight line of light projecting on either side of the body as a prolongation of its diameter. In fact, it is quite invisible in any but telescopes of extraordinary power.[‡] This remarkable phænomenon takes place at intervals of fifteen years nearly (being a semi-period of Saturn in its orbit). One disappearance at least must take place whenever Saturn passes either node of its orbit; but three must frequently happen, and two are possible. To show this, suppose S to be the sun, ABCD part of Saturn's orbit situated so as to

and , of obe, , or nely , the a one each from xtra-

iles. 5,418 5,272 1,690 7,339 9,160 9,090 1,791 250

unded belts, d than cause.† ts (and not to ugh to tuated, e body nutely servers degree in one

> neasures, g, which h would leth of a omewhat

on of the ed in the

^{*} The excessive thinness of the rings leads us to demur to the former of these conclusions as a result of observation, though fully admitting it as theoretically probable.

⁺ According to Bessel, the longitude of the node of the ring increases by 46".462 per annum. In 1800 it was 166° 53' 8".9.

¹ Its disappearance was complete when observed with a reflector eighteen inches in aperture and twenty feet in focal length on the 29th of April, 1833, by the author. z 4

include the node of the ring (at C); EFGH the Earth's orbit; SC the line of the node; EB, GD parallel to SC touching the earth's orbit in EG; and let the direction of motion of both bodies be that indicated by the arrow. Then since the ring preserves its parallelism, its plane can nowhere intersect the earth's orbit, and therefore no disappearance can take place, unless the planet be between B and D: and, on the other hand, a disappearance is possible (if the earth be rightly situated) during the whole time of the description



of the arc B D. Now, since S B or S D, the distance of Saturn from the Sun, is to S E or S G, that of the Earth, as 9.54 to 1, the angle C S D or C S B=6° 1', and the whole angle B S D=12° 2', which is described by Saturn (on an average) in 359.46 days, wanting only 5.8 days of a complete year. The Earth then describes very nearly an entire revolution within the limits of time when a disappearance is possible; and since, in either half of its orbit E F G or G H F, it may equally encounter the plane of the ring, one such encounter at least is unavoidable within the time specified.

(516.) Let G a be the are of the Earth's orbit described from G in 5.8 days. Then if, at the moment of Saturn's arrival at B, the Earth be at a, it will encounter the plane of the ring advancing parallel to itself and to B E to meet it, somewhere in the quadrant H E, as at M, after which it will be behind that plane (with reference to the direction
DISAPPEARANCE OF SATURN'S RING.

of Saturn's motion) through all the arc MEFG up to G. where it will again overtake it at the very moment of the planet quitting the arc BD. In this state of things there will be two disappearances. If, when Saturn is at B, the Earth be anywhere in the arc a H E, it is equally evident that it will meet and pass through the advancing plane of the ring somewhere in the quadrant H E, that it will again overtake and pass through it somewhere in the semicircle EFG, and again meet it in some point of the quadrant G H, so that three disappearances will take place. So, also, if the Earth be at E when Saturn is at B, the motion of the Earth being at that instant directly towards B, the plane of the ring will for a short time leave it behind; but the ground so lost being rapidly regained, as the earth's motion becomes oblique to the line of junction, it will soon overtake and pass through the plane in the early part of the quadrant E F, and passing on through G before Saturn arrives at D, will meet the plane again in the quadrant G H. The same will continue up to a certain point b, at which, if the earth be initially situated, there will be but two disappearances — the plane of the ring there overtaking the earth for an instant, and being immediately again left behind by it, to be again encountered by it in G H. Finally, if the initial place of the earth (when Saturn is at B) be in the arc b F a, there will be but one passage through the plane of the ring, viz., in the semicircle G H E, the earth being in advance of that plane throughout the whole of b G.

(517.) The appearances will moreover be varied according as the earth passes from the enlightened to the unenlightened side of the ring, or vice versa. If C be the ascending node of the ring, and if the under side of the paper be supposed south and the upper north of the ecliptic, then, when the Earth meets the plane of the ring in the quadrant H E, it passes from the bright to the dark side : where it overtakes it in the quadrant E F, the contrary. Vice versa, when it overtakes it in F G, the transition is from the bright to the dark side, and the contrary where it meets it in G H. On the other hand when the earth is overtaken by the ring-plane

orth's SC on of Then where rance and, earth iption

nce of rth, as whole on an mplete revos pos-HF, e such fied. cribed turn's. plane meet which ection

in the interval E b, the change is from the bright to the dark When the dark side is exposed to sight, the aspect of side. the planet is very singular. It appears as a bright round disc, with its belts, &c., but crossed equatorially by a narrow and perfectly black line. This can never of course happen when the planet is more than 6° 1' from the node of the ring. Generally, the northern side is enlightened and visible when the heliocentric longitude of Saturn is between 173° 32' and 341° 30', and the southern when between 353° 32' and 161° The greatest opening of the ring occurs when the 30'. planet is situated at 90° distance from the node of the ring, or in longitudes 77° 31' and 257° 31', and at these points the longer diameter of its apparent ellipse is almost exactly double the shorter.

(518.) It will naturally be asked how so stupendous an arch, if composed of solid and ponderous materials, can be sustained without collapsing and falling in upon the planet? The answer to this is to be found in a swift rotation of the ring in its own plane, which observation has detected, owing to some portion of the ring being a little less bright than others, and assigned its period at 10^h 32^m 15^s, which, from what we know of its dimensions, and of the force of gravity in the Saturnian system, is very nearly the periodic time of a satellite revolving at the same distance as the middle of its breadth. It is the centrifugal force, then, arising from this rotation, which sustains it; and although no observation nice enough to exhibit a difference of periods between the outer and inner rings have hitherto been made, it is more than probable that such a difference does subsist as to place each independently of the other in a similar state of equilibrium. Still, it might be urged, such is the thinness of the rings that it may very well be doubted, whether the strain brought upon either of them by the difference of its interior and exterior centrifugal forces, if solid, would not suffice to tear it in pieces. A fluid constitution would obviate this difficulty; and indeed it is very possible that the rings may be gaseous, or rather such a mixture of gas and vapour as consists with our idea of a cloud.

EQUILIBRIUM OF SATURN'S RINGS.

(519.) Although the rings are, as we have said, very nearly concentric with the body of Saturn, yet micrometrical measurements of extreme delicacy* have demonstrated that the coincidence is not mathematically exact, but that the center of gravity of the rings oscillates round that of the body, describing a very minute orbit, probably under laws of much complexity. Trifling as this remark may appear, it is of the utmost importance to the stability of the system of the rings, if solid and coherent. Supposing them mathematically perfect in their circular form, and exactly concentrie with the planet, it is demonstrable that they would form a system in a state of unstable equilibrium, which the slightest external power would subvert-not by causing a rupture in the substance of the rings-but by precipitating them unbroken on the surface of the planet. For the attraction of such a ring or rings on a point or sphere excentrically within them, is not the same in all directions, but tends to draw the point or sphere towards the nearest part of the ring, or away from the center. Hence, supposing the body to become, from any cause, ever so little excentric to the ring, the tendency of their mutual gravity is not to correct but to increase this excentricity, and to bring the nearest parts of them together. Now, external powers, capable of producing such excentricity, exist in the attractions of the satellites, as will be shown in Chap. XII.; and in order that the system may be stable, and possess within itself a power of resisting the first inroads of such a tendency, while yet nascent and feeble, and opposing them by an opposite or maintaining power, it has been shown that it is sufficient to admit the rings, if solid, to be loaded in some part of their circumference, either by some minute inequality of thickness, or by some portions being denser than others. Such a load would give to the whole ring to which it was attached somewhat of the character of a heavy and sluggish satellite maintaining itself in an orbit with a certain energy sufficient to overcome minute causes of disturbance, and establish an average bearing on its center.

* By Struve, confirming a suspicion suggested by the eye-observations of M. Schwabe.

dark ect of cound urrow appen ring. when 2' and 1 161° en the e ring, points exactly

ous an can be planet? of the wing to others, hat we in the a satelof its om this on nice ter and robable epend-Still, it it may either centripieces. indeed rather ır idea

But even without supposing the existence of any such load, -of which, after all, we have no proof, - and granting, in its full extent, the general instability of the equilibrium, we think we perceive, in the rapid periodicity of all the causes of disturbance, a sufficient guarantee of its preservation. However homely be the illustration, we can conceive nothing more apt, in every way, to give a general conception of this maintenance of equilibrium under a constant tendency to subversion, than the mode in which a practised hand will sustain a long pole in a perpendicular position resting on the finger, by a continual and almost imperceptible variation of the point of support. Be that, however, as it may, the observed oscillation of the centers of the rings about that of the planet is in itself the evidence of a perpetual contest between conservative and destructive powers - both extremely feeble, but so antagonizing one another as to prevent the latter from ever acquiring an uncontrollable ascendancy. and rushing to a catastrophe.

(520.) This is also the place to observe, that as the smallest difference of velocity between the body and the rings must infallibly precipitate the latter on the former, never more to separate (for they would, once in contact, have attained a position of *stable equilibrium*, and be held together ever after by an immense force); it follows, either that their motions in their common orbit round the sun must have been adjusted to each other by an external power, with the minutest precision, or that the rings must have been formed about the planet while subject to their common orbital motion, and under the full and free influence of all the acting forces.

(521.) [The exterior ring of Saturn is described by many observers as rather less luminous than the interior, and the inner portion of this latter than its outer. On the night of Nov. 11, 1850, however, Mr. G. B. Bond, of the Harvard Observatory (Cambridge, U. S.), using the great Fraunhofer equatorial of that institution, became aware of a line of demarcation between these two portions so definite, and an extension inwards of the dusky border to such an extent (one fifth, by measurement, of the joint breadth of the two old

CONSTITUTION OF SATURN'S RINGS.

rings), as to justify him in considering it as a newly-discovered ring. On the nights of the 25th and 29th of the same month, and without knowledge of Mr. Bond's observations, Mr. Dawes, at his observatory at Wateringbury, by the aid of an exquisite achromatic by Merz, of $6\frac{1}{2}$ inches aperture, observed the very same fact, and even more distinctly, so as to be sure of a decidedly darker interval between the old and new rings, and even to subdivide the latter into two of unequal degrees of obscurity, separated by a line more obscure than either.

(522.) Dr. Galle of Berlin, however, would appear to have been the first to notice (June 10. 1838) a faint extension of the inner ring towards the body of the planet, to about half the interval between the then recognized inner ring and the body, as shown by micrometrical measures. But this result remained unpublished (or at least not generally known) until after the observations of Messrs. Bond and The most remarkable feature of this singular dis-Dawes. covery is, that subsequent observations, from many quarters, have concurred in showing the new ring to consist of semitransparent materials through which the limb of the planet may be seen up to the edge of the interior bright ring. Dark lines (apparently of a transitory nature) have been observed on the bright rings parallel to the permanent dark interval dividing them. All these indications taken in conjunction with what is said in art. 518. decidedly point to a vaporous constitution of these wonderful appendages.*]

(522 a.) Still it has been thought remarkable that this new ring, or appendage to the rings, should not have been discovered earlier; and it has even been conjectured that the breadth of the ring has been gradually increasing inwards since the time of Huyghens, its first discoverer: and this conjecture for a while appeared to be supported by micrometrical measures obtained by M. Otto Struve (with whom the conjecture originated), which seemed to show a still

ad, , in we ises ion. ing this to to will the n of obt of itest exvent ncy,

must re to ed a after tions isted prethe and

llest

the t of vard ofer det an tent

^{*} The passage of Saturn across any considerable star would afford an admirable opportunity of testing the existence of fissures in the rings, as it would flash in succession through them. The opportunity of watching for such occultations when Saturn traverses the Milky-Way, for instance — should not be neglected.

further diminution of the interval between the rings and the ball. The question, however, appears to be definitively settled in the negative by the elaborate micrometrical measures of M. Main, at the Royal Observatory at Greenwich, and by the discussions entered into by M. Kaiser.

(522 b.) The rings of Saturn must present a magnificent spectacle from those regions of the planet which lie above their enlightened sides, being seen as vast luminous arches, spanning the sky from horizon to horizon, and holding an almost invariable situation among the stars. To a spectator situated any where in the axis of the planet, it is evident that their interior and exterior outlines must both appear as circles corresponding to parallels of declination, and must occasion a permanent eclipse of every heavenly body lying between these parallels. It is otherwise to a spectator situated on the planet's surface. To such a one the interior and exterior outline of each ring would, by the effect of perspective, be thrown into nonconcentric ellipses, so that (supposing he could see through the whole planet and obtain a view of the whole ring) it would appear broader on the side nearest to him than on that most remote. These ellipses, moreover, when traced along the heavens, would not coincide with parallels of declination ‡; but would deviate from such parallels towards the elevated pole, as is evident, if we consider that a perpendicular ST from any point S on the planet's surface to the plane of the ring AB is parallel to the axis of



rotation; so that the right cone ASD, generated by the revolution of AS round ST, traces on the heavens a circle of decli-

* Mem. Ast. Soc. xxv.

+ Ast. Soc. Notice, xvi. 66.

[‡] The circumstances have been traced in minute detail by Dr. Lardner, who first, I believe, drew attention to the effect of situation on the surface of the planet in modifying the phænomena presented by the rings.

URANUS. --- NEPTUNE.

nation, having the edge A of the ring for its upper culminating point: whereas the oblique cone ASB, tracing the visible course of the ring in the heavens, though coincident with the former at its upper culmination A, lies elsewhere wholly exterior to it, and has its inferior culmination B nearer to the clevated pole by the angle BSD, the difference of the angles of the two cones. The apparent course of either edge of the ring, then, is a curve touching the circle of declination at which that edge culminates, but receding from it towards the elevated pole, so as to allow stars or the Sun to be visible at certain seasons under the ring at their rising - to be eclipsed wholly or partially by it at its under edge, and again to emerge before setting. This will not prevent, however, some considerable regions of Saturn from suffering very long total interception of the Solar beams, affording, to our ideas, but an inhospitable asylum to animated beings, ill compensated by the feeble light of the satellites. But we shall do wrong to judge of the fitness or unfitness of their condition from what we see around us, when perhaps the very combinations which convey to our minds only images of horror, may be, in reality, theatres of the most striking and glorious displays of beneficent contrivance.

(523.) Of Uranus we see nothing but a small round uniformly illuminated disc, without rings, belts, or discernible spots. Its apparent diameter is about 4", from which it never varies much, owing to the smallness of our orbit in comparison of its own. Its real diameter is about 35,000 miles, and its bulk 82 times that of the earth. It is attended by four satellites, whose existence may be considered as conclusively established (and more have been suspected).

(524.) The discovery of Neptune is so recent, and its situation in the celiptic at present so little favourable for seeing it with perfect distinctness, that nothing very positive can be stated as to its physical appearance. It was at first suspected to have a ring, but the suspicion has not been verified. It is attended by at least one satellite, the existence of which has been demonstrated by the observations of Mr. Lassell, M. Otto Struve, and Mr. Bond.

the tled s of d by

icent ibovo rches, ng an ctator vident car as l must y lying or situior and of perat (supobtain a the side ellipses, coincide rom such we cone planet's e axis of

> the revoe of decli-

Lardner, who urface of the

(525.) If the immense distance of Neptune precludes all hope of coming at much knowledge of its physical state, the minuteness of the Asteroids is no less a bar to any enquiry One of them, Pallas, has been said to have into theirs. somewhat of a nebulous or hazy appearance, indicative of an extensive and vaporous atmosphere, little repressed and condensed by the inadequate gravity of so small a mass. It is probable, however, that the appearance in question has originated in some imperfection in the telescope employed, or other temporary causes of illusion. In Vesta and Pallas only have sensible discs been hitherto observed, and those only with very high magnifying powers. Vesta was once scen by Schræter with the naked eye. No doubt the most remarkable of their peculiarities must lie in this condition A man placed on one of them would spring of their state. with ease 60 feet high, and sustain no greater shock in his descent than he does on the earth from leaping a yard. On such planets giants might exist; and those enormous animals, which on earth require the buoyant power of water to counteract their weight, might there be denizens of the land. From some recent researches of M. Leverrier, it appears that we shall be warranted in attributing to the totality of the Asteroids a quantity of matter quite insignificant.

(525 a.) There is a remarkable division of the planetary system into two families or elasses of planets, the large, and the small. To the latter family belong those interior to the orbits of Jupiter, viz., Mercury, Venus, the Earth, and Mars, with the Asteroids. To the former, all exterior to the orbits of the first elass-Jupiter, Saturn, Uranus, and Neptune. The Asteroids themselves, however, may be considered as forming a family apart, their magnitudes being as much inferior to those of the interior planets as these are to the exterior, or in a still lower ratio. Not less remarkable is the circumstance that while all the interior planets revolve on their axes (so far as is known) in about the same time (24^h), the exterior (as is certain in the case of Jupiter and Saturn at least) have periods of rotation less than half that length. In point of density, too, as we shall see further on. an

GENERAL VIEW OF THE SOLAR SYSTEM.

353

equally marked distinction of specific character is preserved, all the interior ones having about the same density as the Earth, while that of all the exterior is very much less, not exceeding a quarter of the Earth, and agreeing (in the cases of Jupiter and Uranus) very closely with that of the Sun.

(526.) We shall close this chapter with an illustration calculated to convey to the minds of our readers a general impression of the relative magnitudes and distances of the parts of our system. Choose any well levelled field or bowling-green. On it place a globe, two feet in diameter; this will represent the Sun; Mercury will be represented by a grain of mustard seed, on the circumference of a circle 164 feet in diameter for its orbit; Venus a pea, on a circle of 284 feet in diameter; the Earth also a pea, on a circle of 430 feet; Mars a rather large pin's head, on a circle of 654 feet; the Asteroids, grains of sand, in orbits of from 1000 to 1200 feet; Jupiter a moderate-sized orange, in a circle nearly half a mile across; Saturn a small orange, on a circle of four-fifths of a mile; Uranus a full-sized cherry, or small plum, upon the circumference of a circle more than a mile and a half; and Neptune a good-sized plum, on a circle about two miles and a half in diameter. As to getting correct notions on this subject by drawing circles on paper, or, still worse, from those very childish toys called orreries, it is out of the question. To imitate the motions of the planets, in the above-mentioned orbits, Mercury must describe its own diameter in 41 seconds; Venus in 4^m 14^s; the Earth, in 7 minutes; Mars, in 4^m 48^s; Jupiter, 2^h 56^m; Saturn, in 3^h 13^m; Uranus, in 2^h 16^m; and Neptune, in 3^h 30^m.*

* In the "Penny Encyclopædia," vol. 22. p. 197, the diameters of the orbits of the planets here set down, are quoted as their *distances* from the center, and the size of the sun is enlarged to four feet, while the sizes of the planets are unaltered.

all the uiry have fan con-It is rigil, or allas hose once most ition oring 1 his On mals, er to land. that f the etary and

b the

fars.

rbits

une.

l as nuch

the

the on 4^h), urn gth. an

AA

CHAPTER X.

OF THE SATELLITES.

OF THE MOON, AS A SATELLITE OF THE EARTH. — GENERAL PROX-IMITY OF SATELLITES TO THEIR PRIMARIES, AND CONSEQUENT SUBORDINATION OF THEIR MOTIONS. — MASSES OF THE PRIMARIES CONCLUDED FROM THE PERIODS OF THEIR SATELLITES. — MAIN-TENANCE OF KEPLER'S LAWS IN THE SECONDARY SYSTEMS. — OF JUPITER'S SATELLITES. — THEIR ECLIPSES, ETC. — VELOCITY OF LIGHT DISCOVERED BY THEIR MEANS. — SATELLITES OF SATURN — OF URANUS — OF NEPTUNE.

(527.) In the annual circuit of the earth about the sun, it is constantly attended by its satellite, the moon, which revolves round it, or rather both round their common center of gravity; while this center, strictly speaking, and not either of the two bodies thus connected, moves in an elliptic orbit, undisturbed by their mutual action, just as the center of gravity of a large and small stone tied together and flung into the air describes a parabola as if it were a real material substance under the earth's attraction, while the stones circulate round it or round each other, as we choose to conceive the matter.

(528.) If we trace, therefore, the *real* curve actually described by either the moon's or the earth's centers, in virtue of this compound motion, it will appear to be, not an exact ellipse, but an undulated curve, like that represented in the figure to article 324., only that the number of undulations in a whole revolution is but 13, and their actual deviation from the general ellipse, which serves them as a central line, is comparatively very much smaller—so much so, indeed, that every part of the curve described by either the earth or moon is *concave* towards the sun. The excursions of the earth on either side the ellipse, indeed, are so very small as to be hardly appretiable. In fact, the center of gravity of the earth and moon lies always *within* the surface of the earth, so

OF THE MOON AS A SATELLITE.

that the monthly orbit described by the earth's center about the common center of gravity is comprehended within a space less than the size of the earth itself. The effect *is*, nevertheless, sensible, in producing an apparent monthly displacement of the sun in longitude, of a parallactic kind, which is called the *menstrual equation*; whose greatest amount is, however, less than the sun's horizontal parallax, or than 8.6".

(529.) The moon, as we have seen, is about 60 radii of the earth distant from the center of the latter. Its proximity, therefore, to its center of attraction, thus estimated, is much greater than that of the planets to the sun; of which Mercury, the nearest, is 84, and Uranus 2026 solar radii from its It is owing to this proximity that the moon remains center. attached to the earth as a satellite. Were it much farther, the feebleness of its gravity towards the earth would be inadequate to produce that alternate acceleration and retardation in its motion about the sun, which divests it of the character of an independent planet, and keeps its movements subordinate to those of the earth. The one would outrun, or be left behind the other, in their revolutions round the sun (by reason of Kepler's third law), according to the relative dimensions of their heliocentric orbits, after which the whole influence of the earth would be confined to producing some considerable periodical disturbance in the moon's motion, as it passed or was passed by it in each synodical revolution.

(530.) At the distance at which the moon really is from us, its gravity towards the earth is actually less than towards the sun. That this is the case appears sufficiently from what we have already stated, that the moon's *real* path, even when between the earth and sun, is *concave towards the latter*. But it will appear still more clearly if, from the known periodic times * in which the earth completes its annual and

* R and r radii of two orbits (supposed circular), P and p the periodic times; then the arcs in question (A and a) are to each other as $\frac{R}{p}$ to $\frac{r}{p}$; and since the versed sines are as the squares of the arcs directly and the radii inversely, these are to each other as $\frac{R}{P^2}$ to $\frac{r}{p^2}$; and in this ratio are the forces acting on the revolving bodies in either case.

A A 2

un, it is

revolves enter of either of rbit, ungravity o the air ubstance te round matter. hally dein virtue an exact d in the ations in ion from l line, is eed, that or moon carth on as to be y of the earth, so

the moon its monthly orbit, and from the dimensions of those orbits, we calculate the amount of deflection in either, from their tangents, in equal very minute portions of time, as one second. These are the versed sines of the arcs described in that time in the two orbits, and these are the measures of the acting forces which produce those deflections. If we execute the numerical calculation in the case before us, we shall find 2.233; 1 for the proportion in which the intensity of the force which retains the earth in its orbit round the sun actually exceeds that by which the moon is retained in *its* orbit about the earth.

(531.) Now the sun is about 400 times more remote from the earth than the moon is. And, as gravity increases as the squares of the distances decrease, it must follow that at *equal* distances, the intensity of solar would exceed that of terres trial gravity in the above proportion, augmented in the further ratio of the square of 400 to 1; that is, in the proportion of 355,000 to 1; and therefore, if we grant that the intensity of the gravitating energy is commensurate with the mass or inertia of the attracting body, we are compelled to admit the mass of the earth to be no more than $\frac{355000}{355000}$ of that of the sun.*

(532.) The argument is, in fact, nothing more than a recapitulation of what has been adduced in Chap. VIII. (art. 448.) But it is here re-introduced, in order to show how the mass of a planet which is attended by one or more satellites can be as it were weighed against the sun, provided we have learned, from observation, the dimensions of the orbits described by the planet about the sun, and by the satellites about the planet, and also the periods in which these orbits are respectively described. It is by this method that the masses of Jupiter, Saturn, Uranus, and Neptune have been ascertained, and from which their densities are concluded. See art. (561.).

(533.) Jupiter, as already stated, is attended by four satellites; Saturn by eight; Uranus certainly by four; and

^{*} In the synoptic table at the end of this volume, the mass of the sun is taken somewhat higher, according to the most recent determination. It has not been thought worth while to alter all the figures of the text in conformity with that estimate.

SATELLITES OF JUPITER.

Neptune by one, or possibly more. These, with their respective primarics (as the central planets are called) form in each case miniature systems entirely analogous, in the general laws by which their motions are governed, to the great system in which the sun acts the part of the primary, and the planets of its satellites. In each of these systems the laws of Kepler are obeyed, in the sense, that is to say, in which they are obeyed in the planetary system-approximately, and without prejudice to the effects of mutual perturbation, of extraneous interference, if any, and of that small but not imperceptible correction which arises from the elliptic form of the central body. Their orbits are circles or ellipses of very moderate excentricity, the primary occupying one About this they describe areas very nearly proporfocus. tional to the times; and the squares of the periodical times of all the satellites belonging to each planet are in proportion to each other as the cubes of their distances. The tables at the end of the volume exhibit a synoptic view of the distances and periods in these several systems, so far as they are at present known; and to all of them it will be observed that the same remark respecting their proximity to their primaries holds good, as in the case of the moon, with a similar reason for such close connection.

(534.) Of these systems, however, the only one which has been studied with attention to all its details, is that of Jupiter; partly on account of the conspicuous brilliancy of its four attendants, which are large enough to offer visible and measurable discs in telescopes of great power; but more for the sake of their eclipses, which, as they happen very frequently, and are easily observed, afford signals of considerable use for the determination of terrestrial longitudes (art. 286.). The greater facility and exactness now attainable by lunar observations (art. 287.), was the best, or rather the only one which could be relied on for great distances and long intervals.

(535.) The satellites of Jupiter revolve from west to east (following the analogy of the planets and moon), in planes

f those r, from as one in that acting ute the 2.233; e which eds that earth. te from es as the at equal f terres e further ortion of ensity of ass or inthe mass he sun.* han a re-III. (art. how how bre satelvided we he orbits satellites se orbits that the ave been ncluded.

> by four our; and

un is taken as not been v with that

very nearly, although not exactly, coincident with that of the equator of the planet, or parallel to its belts. This latter plane is inclined 3° 5' 30" to the orbit of the planet, and is therefore but little different from the plane of the ecliptic. Accordingly, we see their orbits projected very nearly into straight lines, in which they appear to oscillate to and fro, sometimes passing before Jupiter, and casting shadows on his disc (which are very visible in good telescopes, like small round ink spots, the circular form of which is very evident), and sometimes disappearing behind the body, or being eclipsed in its shadow at a distance from it. It is by these eclipses that we are furnished with accurate data for the construction of tables of the satellites' motions, as well as with signals for determining differences of longitude.

(536.) The eclipses of the satellites, in their general conception, are perfectly analogous to those of the moon, but in their detail they differ in several particulars. Owing to the much greater distance of Jupiter from the sun, and its greater magnitude, the cone of its shadow or umbra (art. 420.) is greatly more elongated, and of far greater dimension, than that of the earth. The satellites are, moreover, much less in proportion to their primary, their orbits less inclined to its ecliptic, and (comparatively to the diameter of the planet) of smaller dimensions, than is the case with the moon. Owing to these causes, the three interior satellites of Jupiter pass through the shadow, and are totally eclipsed, every revolution; and the fourth, though, from the greater inclination of its orbit, it sometimes escapes eclipse, and may occasionally graze as it were the border of the shadow, and suffer partial eclipse, yet does so comparatively seldom, and, ordinarily speaking, its eclipses happen, like those of the rest, each revolution.

(537.) These eclipses, moreover, are not seen, as is the case with those of the moon, from the center of their motion, but from a remote station, and one whose situation with respect to the line of shadow is variable. This, of course, makes no difference in the *times* of the eclipses, but a very great one in their visibility, and in their apparent situations

ECLIPSES OF JUPITER'S SATELLITES.

with respect to the planet at the moments of their entering and quitting the shadow.

(538.) Suppose S to be the sun, E the earth in its orbit EFG K, J Jupiter, and $a \ b$ the orbit of one of its satellites. The cone of the shadow, then, will have its vertex at X, a point far beyond the orbits of all the satellites; and the



penumbra, owing to the great distance of the sun, and the consequent smallness of the angle (about 6' only) its disc subtends at Jupiter, will hardly extend, within the limits of the satellites' orbits, to any perceptible distance beyond the shadow, — for which reason it is not represented in the figure. A satellite revolving from west to east (in the direction of the arrows) will be eclipsed when it enters the shadow at a, but not suddenly, because, like the moon, it has a considerable diameter seen from the planet; so that the time elapsing from the first perceptible loss of light to its total extinction will be that which it occupies in describing about Jupiter an angle equal to its apparent diameter as seen from the center of the planet, or rather somewhat more, by reason of the penumbra; and the same remark applies to its emergence at b. Now, owing to the difference of telescopes and of eyes, it is not possible to assign the precise moment of incipient obscuration, or of total extinction at a, nor that of the first glimpse of light falling on the satellite at b, or the complete recovery of its light. The observation of an eclipse, then, in which only the immersion, or only the emersion, is seen, is incomplete, and inadequate to afford any precise information, theoretical or practical. But, if both the immersion and emersion can be observed with the same telescope and by the same person, the interval of the times will give the duration,

s latter and is ecliptic. rly into ind fro, lows on ce small vident), r being by these for the well as eral conn, but in ng to the and its art. 420.) ion, than ch less in ned to its planet) of Owing oiter pass volution; ion of its lly graze l eclipse, speaking, ution. as is the

r motion,

ion with

f course,

ut a very

ituations

that of

and their mean the exact middle of the eclipse, when the satellite is in the line S J X, *i. e.* the true moment of its opposition to the sun. Such observations, and such only, are of use for determining the periods and other particulars of the motions of the satellites, and for affording data of any material use for the calculation of terrestial longitudes. The intervals of the satellites' revolutions; from which their sidereal periods must be concluded by the method in art. 418.

(539.) It is evident, from a mere inspection of our figure, that the eclipses take place to the west of the planet, when the earth is situated to the west of the line S J. i. e. before the opposition of Jupiter; and to the east, when in the other half of its orbit, or after the opposition. When the earth approaches the opposition, the visual line becomes more and more nearly coincident with the direction of the shadow, and the apparent place where the eclipses happen will be continually nearer and nearer to the body of the planet. When the earth comes to \mathbf{F} , a point determined by drawing $b \mathbf{F}$ to touch the body of the planet, the emersions will cease to be visible, and will thenceforth, up to the time of the opposition, happen behind the disc of the planet. Similarly, from the opposition till the time when the earth arrives at I, a point determined by drawing a I tangent to the eastern limb of Jupiter, the *emersions* will be concealed from our view. When the earth arrives at G (or H) the immersion (or emersion) will happen at the very edge of the visible disc, and when between G and H (a very small space), the satellites will pass uneclipsed behind the limb of the planet.

(540.) Both the satellites and their shadows are frequently observed to *transit* or pass across the disc of the planet. When a satellite comes to m, its shadow will be thrown on Jupiter, and will appear to move across it as a black spot till the satellite comes to n. But the satellite itself will not appear to enter on the disc till it comes up to the line drawn from E to the eastern edge of the disc, and will not leave it till it attains a similar line drawn to the western edge. It appears then that the shadow will *precede* the satellite in its

ECLIPSES OF JUPITER'S SATELLITES.

progress over the disc *before* the opposition of Jupiter, and vice verså. In these transits of the satellites, which, with very powerful telescopes, may be observed with great precision, it frequently happens that the satellite itself is discernible on the disc as a bright spot if projected on a dark belt; but occasionally also as a dark spot of smaller dimensions than the shadow. This curious fact (observed by Schroeter and Harding) has led to a conclusion that certain of the satellites have occasionally on their own bodies, or in their atmospheres, obscure spots of great extent. We say of great extent; for the satellites of Jupiter, small as they appear to us, are really bodies of considerable size, as the following comparative table will show: *—

,	Mean apparent diameter as seen from the earth.	Mean apparent diameter as seen from Jupiter's center.	Diameter In miles.	Mass.†
Jupiter	S9 ^{//} ·91		91128	1.0000000
1st satellite	1.105	33' 11''	2508	0.0000123
2d —	0.911	17 35	2068	0.0000232
3d	1.488	18 0	S377	0.0000885
4th	1.273	8 46	2890	0.0000427

From which it follows, that the first satellite appears, when on Jupiter's horizon, as large as our moon to us; the second and third nearly equal to each other, and of somewhat more than half the apparent diameter of the first, and the fourth about one quarter of that diameter. So seen, they will frequently, of course, eclipse one another, and cause eclipses of the sun (the latter visible, however, only over a very small portion of the planet), and their motions and aspects with respect to each other must offer a perpetual variety and singular and pleasing interest to the inhabitants of their primary.

(541.) Besides the eclipses and the transits of the satellites across the disc, they may also disappear to us when not celipsed, by passing *behind* the body of the planet. Thus, when the earth is at E, the immersion of the satellite will be seen at a, and its emersion at b, both to the west of the

† Laplace, Mec. Cel. liv. viii. § 27.

the f its , are rs of any udes. e the their 418. gure, when pefore other earth e and r, and e con-When bF to to be sition, m the point limb view. emerc, and tellites

> uently planet. wn on oot till ll not Irawn ave it e. It in its

^{*} Struve, Mem. Art. Soc. iii. 301. Main. Do. xxv. p. 51.

362

planet, after which the satellite, still continuing its course in the direction b, will pass behind the body, and again emerge on the opposite side, after an interval of occultation greater or less according to the distance of the satellite. This interval (on account of the great distance of the earth compared with the radii of the orbits of the satellites) varies but little in the case of each satellite, being nearly equal to the time which the satellite requires to describe an arc of its orbit, equal to the angular diameter of Jupiter as seen from its center, which time, for the several satellites, is as follows: viz., for the List, 2^h 20^m; for the second, 2^h 56^m; for the third, 3^h 43^m; and for the fourth, 4^h 56^m; the corresponding diameters of the planets as seen from these respective satellites being, 19° 49'; 12° 25'; 7° 47'; and 4° 25'.* Before the opposition of Jupiter, these occultations of the satellites happen after the eclipses : after the opposition (when, for instance, the earth is in the situation K), the occultations take place before the eclipses. It is to be observed, that, owing to the proximity of the orbits of the first and second satellites to the planet, both the immersion and emersion of either of them can never be observed in any single eclipse, the immersion being concealed by the body, if the planet be past its opposition, the emersion if not yet arrived at it. So also of the occultation. The commencement of the occultation, or the passage of the satellite behind the disc, takes place while obscured by the shadow, before opposition, and its re-emergence after. All these particulars will be easily apparent on mere inspection of the figure (art. 536.). It is only during the short time that the earth is in the arc G H (i.e. between the sun and Jupiter, that the cone of the shadow converging (while that of the visual rays diverges) behind the planet, permits their occultations to be completely observed both at ingress and egress, unobscured, the eclipses being then invisible.

(542.) An extremely singular relation subsists between the mean angular velocities or *mean motions* (as they are termed)

* These data are taken approximately from Mr. Woolhouse's paper in the supplement to the Nautical Almanack for 1835.

ECLIPSES OF JUPITER'S SATELLITES.

of the three first satellites of Jupiter. If the mean angular velocity of the first satellite be added to twice that of the third, the sum will equal three times that of the second. From this relation it follows, that if from the mean longitude of the first, added to twice that of the third, be subducted three times that of the second, the remainder will always be the same, or constant, and observation informs us that this constant is 180°, or two right angles; so that the situations of any two of them being given, that of the third may be found. It has been attempted to account for this remarkable fact, on the theory of gravity by their mutual action; and Laplace has demonstrated, that if this relation were at any one epoch approximately true, the mutual attractions of the satellites would, in process of time, render it exactly so. One curious consequence is, that these three satellites cannot be all eclipsed at once; for, in consequence of the last-mentioned relation, when the second and third lie in the same direction from the center, the first must lie on the opposite; and therefore, when at such a conjuncture the first is eclipsed, the other two must lie between the sun and planet, throwing their shadows on the disc, and vice versâ.

(543.) Although, however, for the above mentioned reason, the satellites cannot be all eclipsed at once, yet it may happen, and occasionally does so, that all are either eclipsed, occulted, or projected on the body, in which case they are, generally speaking, equally invisible, since it requires an excellent telescope to discern a satellite on the body, except in peculiar circumstances. Instances of the actual observation of Jupiter thus denuded of its usual attendance and offering the appearance of a solitary disc, though rare, have been more than once recorded. The first occasion in which this was noticed was by Molyneux, on November 2d (old style), 1681.* А similar observation is recorded by Sir W. Herschel as made by him on May 23d, 1802. The phænomenon has also been observed by Mr. Wallis, on April 15th, 1826 (in which case the deprivation continued two whole hours); and lastly by Mr. H. Griesbach, on September 27th, 1843.

* Molyneux, Opties, p. 271.

irse in merge reater iterval d with ttle in e time s orbit, rom its ollows: for the ponding atellites , the ophappen instance, ke place ig to the ellites to either of , the ime past its So also of tation, or ace while re-emerparent on y during between nverging e planet, ved both eing then

> ween the termed)

(544.) The discovery of Jupiter's satellites, one of the first fruits of the invention of the telescope, and of Galileo's early and happy idea of directing its new-found powers to the examination of the heavens, forms one of the most memorable epochs in the history of astronomy. The first astronomical solution of the great problem of "the longitude" -practically the most important for the interests of mankind which has ever, been brought under the dominion of strict scientific principles, dates immediately from their discovery. The final and conclusive establishment of the Copernican system of astronomy may also be considered as referable to the discovery and study of this exquisite miniature system, in which the laws of the planetary motions, as ascertained by Kepler, and especially that which connects their periods and distances, were speedily traced, and found to be satisfactorily maintained. And (as if to accumulate historical interest on this point) it is to the observation of their eclipses that we owe the grand discovery of the successive propagation of light, and the determination of the enormous velocity of that wonderful element. This we must explain now at large.

(545.) The earth's orbit being concentric with that of Jupiter and interior to it (see fig. art. 536.), their mutual distance is continually varying, the variation extending from the sum to the difference of the radii of the two orbits; and the difference of the greater and least distances being equal to a diameter of the earth's orbit. Now, it was observed by Roemer (a Danish astronomer, in 1675), on comparing together observations of eclipses of the satellites during many successive years, that the eclipses at and about the opposition of Jupiter (or its nearest point to the earth) took place too soon - sooner, that is, than, by calculation from an average, he expected them; whereas those which happened when the earth was in the part of its orbit most remote from Jupiter were always too late. Connecting the observed error in their computed times with the variation of distance, he concluded, that, to make the calculation on an average period correspond with fact, an allowance in respect of time behoved to be made proportional to the excess or defect of Jupiter's

SATELLITES OF SATURN.

distance from the earth above or below its average amount, and such that a difference of distance of one diameter of the carth's orbit should correspond to 16^m 26^s·6 of time allowed. Speculating on the probable physical cause, he was naturally led to think of a gradual instead of an instantaneous propagation of light. This explained every particular of the observed phænomenon, but the velocity required (192,000 miles per second) was so great as to startle many, and, at all events, to require confirmation. This has been afforded since, and of the most unequivocal kind, by Bradley's discovery of the aberration of light (art. 329.). The velocity of light deduced from this last phænomenon differs by less than one eightieth of its amount from that calculated from the eclipses, and even this difference will no doubt be destroyed by nicer and more rigorously reduced observations. The velocity has also been determined by M. Fizeau (by direct experiments with a reflecting apparatus on a most ingenious principle, suggested by Mr. Wheatstone for measuring the velocity of the electric current) at 70,000 geographical leagues, 25 to the degree = 194,600 statute miles per second.

(546.) The orbits of Jupiter's satellites are but little excentric, those of the two interior, indeed, have no perceptible excentricity. Their mutual action produces in them perturbations analogous to those of the planets about the sun, and which have been diligently investigated by Laplace and others. By assiduous observation it has been ascertained that they are subject to marked fluctuations in respect of brightness, and that these fluctuations happen periodically, according to their position with respect to the sun. From this it has been concluded, apparently with reason, that they turn on their axes, like our moon, in periods equal to their respective sidereal revolutions about their primary.

(547.) The satellites of Saturn have been much less studied than those of Jupiter, being far more difficult to observe. The most distant has its orbit materially inclined (no less than $12^{\circ} 14'$)^{*} to the plane of the ring, with which the orbits of all the rest nearly coincide. Nor is this the only

* Lalande, Astron., Art. 3075

the leo's rs to most first tude" ikind strict very. nican ble to stem, ied by ls and ctorily cest on nat we tion of of that rge. that of inutual ng from ts; and g equal rved by ing toduring out the h) took from an ppened te from ed error ince, he e period behoved upiter's

circumstance which separates it by a marked difference of character from the system of the seven inferior ones, and renders it in some sort an anomalous member of the Saturnian Its distance from the planet's center is no less than system. 64 times the radius of the globe of Saturn, a distance from the primary to which our own moon (at 60 radii) offers the only parallel. Its variation of light also in different parts of its orbit is very much greater than in the case of any other secondary planet. Dominic Cassini indeed (its first discoverer, A. D. 1671) found it to disappear for nearly half its revolut in when to the east of Saturn, and though the more powerful telescopes now in use enable us to follow it round the whole of its circuit, its diminution of light is so great in the eastern half of its orbit as to render it somewhat difficult to perceive. From this circumstance (viz. from the defalcation of light occurring constantly on the same side of Saturn as seen from the earth, the visual ray from which is never very oblique to the direction in which the sun's light falls on it) it is presumed, with much certainty, that this satellite revolves on its axis in the exact time of rotation about the primary; as we know to be the case with the moon, and as there is considerable ground for believing to be so with all secondaries.

(548.) The next satellite in order, proceeding inwards, (as it used to be considered until the recent discovery of an intermediate one,) was the first to be detected.* It is by far the largest and most conspicuous of all, and is probably not much inferior to Mercury in size. It is the only one of the number whose theory and perturbations have been at all enquired into further than to verify Kepler's law of the periodic times, which holds good, mutatis mutandis, and under the requisite reservations, in this, as in the system of Jupiter. The three next satellites, still proceeding inwards t, are very minute, and require pretty powerful telescopes to see them; while the two interior satellites, which just skirt the edge of

- * By Huyghens, March 25, 1655.
- By Bessel, Astr. Nachr. Nos. 193, 214.
 Discovered by Dominic Cassiui in 1672 and 1684.

SATELLITES OF URANUS.

the ring *, can only be seen with telescopes of extraordinary power and perfection, and under the most favourable atmospheric circumstances. At the epoch of their discovery they were seen to thread, like beads, the almost infinitely thin fibre of light to which the ring, then seen edgeways, was reduced, and for a short time to advance off it at either end, speedily to return, and hastening to their habitual concealment behind or on the body. An eighth very faint satellite has been recently detected (between the two outermost of the *old* satellites) simultaneously (within the same hour) by Mr. Dawes, Mr. Lassell, and Professor Bond †, the two former observing together in Mr. Lassell's observatory at Starfield, the latter in that of Cambridge, U.S.

(549.) Owing to the obliquity of the ring and of the orbits of the satellites to Saturn's ecliptic, there are no eclipses, occultations, or transits of these bodies or their shadows across the disc of their primary (the interior ones excepted), until near the time when the ring is seen edgewise, and when they do take place their observation is attended with too much difficulty to be of any practical use, like the eclipses of Jupiter's satellites for the determination of longitudes, for

* Discovered by Sir William Herschel in 1789.

† On the night of the 19th of Sept. 1848. Considerable confusion used already to prevail, before the discovery of this satellite, in the nomenclature of the Saturnian system, owing to the order of discovery not coinciding with that of distances. Astronomers were not agreed whether to call the two interior satellites the 6th and 7th (reckoning inward) and the older ones the 1st, 2d, 3d, 4th, and 5th, reekoning outward; or to commence with the innermost and reckon outwards, from 1 to 7. This confusion, which the introduction of an eighth would have rendered intolerable, has heen obviated by a mythological nomenclature, suggested in a former edition of this work, and which has been generally accepted, in consonance with that at length completely established for the primary planets. Taking the names of the Titanian divinities, the following verses (pardoning false quantities) afford an easy artificial memory.

> Iapetus cunctos supra rotat, hunece sequuntur Hyperion, Titan, Rhēa, Dioně, Těthys, Enceladus, Mimas—

It is worth remarking that Simon Marius, who disputed the priority of the discovery of Jupiter's satellites with Galileo, proposed for them inythological names, viz: —Io, Europa, Ganymede, and Cullisto. The revival of these names would savour of a preference of Marius's claim, which, even if an absolute priority were conceded (which it is not), would still leave Galileo's general daim to the use of the telescope as a means of astronomical discovery intact. But in the case of Jupiter's satellites there exists no confusion to rectify. They are constantly referred to by their numerical designations in every almannek.

nce of s, and urnian ss than e from ers the parts of y other rst dishalf its ie more it round great in difficult defalcaf Saturn is never t falls on satellite bout the n, and as with all

vards, (as of an inis by far pably not y one of een at all w of the nd under Jupiter. are very ce them; c edge of

which reason they have been hitherto little attended to by astronomers.

(550.) A remarkable relation subsists between the periodic times of the two interior satellites of Saturn and those of the two next in order of distance; viz. that the period of the third (Tethys) is double that of the first (Mimas), and that of the fourth (Dione) double that of the second (Enceladus). The coincidence is exact in either case to about one 800th part of the larger period.

(551.) The satellites of Uranus require very powerful and perfect telescopes for their observation. Four are certainly known to exist, to which (proceeding from without, inwards in succession) the names Oberon, Titania, Umbriel, and Ariel, of the fairies, sylphs, and gnomes of Shakspere and Pope, have been assigned respectively. Of these Oberon and Titania are tolerably conspicuous in a reflecting telescope of 18 or 20 inches in aperture. They were discovered by Sir W. Herschel in 1787, and have since been reobserved by the author of this work, and subsequently by Messrs. Lassell, Otto Struve, and Lamont. Umbriel (a much fainter object) was also very probably seen by Sir W. Herschel, and described by him as "an interior satellite," but his observations of it were not sufficiently numerous and precise to place its existence, at that time, beyond question. It was rediscovered, however, by M. Otto Struve*, and observed subsequently, on numerous occasions, by Mr. Lassell, to whom we also owe the first discovery of Ariel[†], as well as a fine series of observations and micrometrical measures of all four. obtained at his observatory at Liverpool and during his residence in Malta in 1852-3, which forms a remarkable epoch in the history of astronomical observation. Three other satellites, intermediate between Oberon and Titania, the others exterior to both, were suspected by Sir W. Herschel. but their existence has not been confirmed. The periods and distances of the four known satellites will be found in the synoptic table at the end of the volume.

* October 8, 1847.

† September 14. 1847.

SATELLITES OF NEPTUNE.

(552.) The orbits of these satellites offer remarkable, and, indeed, quite unexpected and unexampled peculiarities. Contrary to the unbroken analogy of the whole planetary system - whether of primaries or secondaries - the planes of their orbits are nearly perpendicular to the ecliptic, being inclined no less than 78° 58' to that plane, and in these orbits their motions are retrograde; that is to say, their positions, when projected on the ecliptic, instead of advancing from west to east round the centre of their primary, as is the case with every other planet and satellite, move in the opposite direction. Their orbits are nearly or quite circular, and they do not appear to have any sensible, or, at least, any rapid motion of nodes, or to have undergone any material change of inclination, in the course, at least, of half a revolution of their primary round the sun. When the earth is in the plane of their orbits, or nearly so, their apparent paths are straight lines or very elongated ellipses, in which case they become invisible, their feeble light being effaced by the superior light of the planet, long before they come up to its disc, so that the observations of any eclipses or occultations they may undergo is quite out of the question with our present telescopes.

(553.) If the observation of the satellites of Uranus be difficult, those of Neptune, owing to the immense distance of that planet, may be readily imagined to offer still greater difficulties. Of the existence of two, discovered by Mr. Lassell*, there can remain no doubt, having also been observed by other astronomers, both in Europe and America. According to M. Otto Struve \dagger the orbit of the first is inclined to the ecliptic at the considerable angle of 35° ; but whether, as in the case of the satellites of Uranus, the direction of its motion be retrograde it is not possible to say until it shall have been longer observed.

* On July 7th, 1847 (suspected in 1846), and August 14th, 1850.

[†] Astron. Nachr. No. 629. from his own observation, September 11th to December 20th, 1847.

o by

iodic of the f the that dus). 300th

il and tainly wards , and e and beron escope ed by served s. Lasfainter erschel, his obecise to It was bserved whom a fine ll four, his ree epoch other ia, the erschel, periods und in

CHAPTER XI.

OF COMETS.

GREAT NUMBER OF RECORDED COMETS.—THE NUMBER OF THOSE UNRECORDED PROBABLY MUCH GREATER. — GENERAL DESCRIP-TION OF A COMET. — COMETS WITHOUT TAILS, OR WITH MORE THAN ONE. — THEIR EXTREME TENUITY. — THEIR PROBABLE STRUCTURE. — MOTIONS CONFORMABLE TO THE LAW OF GRAVITY. — ACTUAL DIMENSIONS OF COMETS. — PERIODICAL RETURN OF SEVERAL.—HALLEY'S COMET. — OTHER ANCIENT COMETS PROBABLY PERIODIC.—ENCKE'S COMET. — DIELA'S. — FAYE'S.— LEXELL'S.—DE VICO'S. — BRORSEN'S. — PETERS'S. — GREAT COMET OF 1843. —ITS PROBABLE IDENTITY WITH SEVERAL OLDER COMETS. — GREAT INTEREST AT PRESENT ATTACHED TO COMETARY ASTRONOMY, AND ITS REASONS.—REMARKS ON COMETARY ORBITS IN GENERAL. —GREAT COMET OF 1858.

(554.) THE extraordinary aspect of comets, their rapid and seemingly irregular motions, the unexpected manner in which they often burst upon us, and the imposing magnitudes which they occasionally assume, have in all ages rendered them objects of astonishment, not unmixed with superstitious dread to the uninstructed, and an enigma to those most conversant with the wonders of creation and the operations of natural Even now, that we have ceased to regard their causes. movements as irregular, or as governed by other laws than those which retain the planets in their orbits, their intimate nature, and the offices they perform in the economy of our system, are as much unknown as ever. No distinct and satisfactory account has yet been rendered of those immensely voluminous appendages which they bear about with them, and which are known by the name of their tails, (though improperly, since they often precede them in their motions,) any more than of several other singularities which they present.

(555.) The number of comets which have been astronomically observed, or of which notices have been recorded

OF COMETS.

in history, is very great, amounting to several hundreds*; and when we consider that in the earlier ages of astronomy, and indeed in more recent times, before the invention of the telescope, only large and conspicuous ones were noticed; and that, since due attention has been paid to the subject, scarcely a year has passed without the observation of one or two of these bodies, and that sometimes two and even three have appeared at once; it will be easily supposed that their actual number must be at least many thousands. Multitudes, indeed, must escape all observation, by reason of their paths traversing only that part of the heavens which is above the horizon in the daytime. Comets so circumstanced can only become visible by the rare coincidence of a total eclipse of the sun, - a coincidence which happened, as related by Seneca, sixty-two years before Christ, when a large comet was actually observed very near the sun. Several, however, stand on record as having been bright enough to be seen with the naked eye in the daytime, even at noon and in bright sun-Such were the comets of 1402, 1532, and 1843, and shine. that of 43 B. C. which appeared during the games celebrated by Augustus in honour of Venus shortly after the death of Cæsar, and which the flattery of poets declared to be the soul of that hero taking its place among the divinities.

(556.) That feelings of awe and astonishment should be excited by the sudden and unexpected appearance of a great comet, is no way surprising; being, in fact, according to the accounts we have of such events, one of the most imposing of all natural phænomena. Comets consist for the most part of a large and more or less splendid, but ill defined nebulous mass of light, called the head, which is usually much brighter towards its center, and offers the appearance of a vivid *nucleus*,

F THOSE DESCRIP-ITH MORE ROBABLE GRAVITY. TURN OF PROBABLY CLL'S.--DE 843.--ITS -- GREAT STRONOMY, GENERAL.

rapid and er in which udes which lered them tious dread conversant of natural egard their laws than eir intimate omy of our distinct and e immensely h them, and ough improotions,) any y present. been astroeen recorded

[•] See catalogues in the Almagest of Riccioli; Pingré's Cometographie; Delambre's Astron. vol. iii.; Astronomische Ablandlungen, No. 1. (which contains the elements of all the orbits of comets which have been computed to the time of its publication, 1823); also a catalogue, by the Rev. T. J. Hussey. Lond. & Ed. Phil. Mag. vol. ii. No. 9. et seq. In a list cited by Lalande from the 1st vol. of the Tables de Berlin, 700 comets are enumerated. See also notices of the Astronomical Society and Astron. Nachr. passim. A great many of the more ancient comets are recorded in the Chinese Annals, and in some cases with sufficient precision to allow of the calculation of rudely approximate orbits from their motions so described.

like a star or planet. From the head, and in a direction opposite to that in which the sun is situated from the comet appear to diverge two streams of light, which grow broader and more diffused at a distance from the head, and which most commonly close in and unite at a little distance behind it, but sometimes continue distinct for a great part of their course; producing an effect like that of the trains left by some bright meteors, or like the diverging fire of a skyrocket (only without sparks or perceptible motion). This is the tail. This magnificent appendage attains occasionally an immense apparent length. Aristotle relates of the tail of the comet of 371 B.C., that it occupied a third of the hemisphere, or 60°; that of A. D. 1618 is stated to have been attended by a train no less than 104° in length. The comet of 1680, the most celebrated of modern times, and on many accounts the most remarkable of all, with a head not exceeding in brightness a star of the second magnitude, covered with its tail an extent of more than 70° of the heavens, or, as some accounts state, 90°; that of the comet of 1769 extended 97°, and that of the last great comet (1843) was estimated at about 65° when longest. The figure (fig. 2., Plate II.) is a representation of the comet of 1819-by no means one of the most considerable, but which was, however, very conspicuous to the naked eye.

(557.) The tail is, however, by no means an invariable appendage of comets. Many of the brightest have been observed to have short and feeble tails, and a few great comets have been entirely without them. Those of 1585 and 1763 offered no vestige of a tail; and Cassini describes the comets of 1665 and 1682 as being as round* and as well defined as Jupiter. On the other hand, instances are not wanting of comets furnished with many tails or streams of diverging light. That of 1744 had no less than six, spread out like an immense fan, extending to a distance of hearly

^{*} This description, however, applies to the "dise" of the head of these comets as seen in a telescope. Cassini's expressions are, "aussi roud, aussi net, et anssi clair que Jupiter," (where it is to be observed that the latter epithet must by no means be translated *bright*). To understand this passage fully, the reader must refer to the description given further on, of the "dise" of Halley's comet, after its perihelion passage in 1835-6.

EXTREME TENUITY OF COMETS.

373

30° in length. The small comet of 1823 had two, making an angle of about 160°, the brighter turned as usual from the sun, the fainter towards it, or nearly so. The tails of comets, too, are often somewhat curved, bending, in general, towards the region which the comet has left, as if moving

telescopes, or with difficulty by the naked eye, and which are by far the most numerous, offer very frequently no appearance of a tail, and appear only as round or somewhat oval vaporous masses, more dense towards the center, where, however, they appear to have no distinct nucleus, or anything which seems entitled to be considered as a solid This was shown in a very remarkable manner in the body. case of the comet discovered by Miss Mitchell in 1847, which on the 5th of October in that year passed centrally over a star of the fifth magnitude: so centrally that with a magnifying power of 100° it was impossible to determine in which direction the extent of the nebulosity was greatest. The star's light seemed in no degree enfeebled; yet such a star would be completely obliterated by a moderate fog, extending only a few yards from the surface of the earth. And since it is an observed fact, that even those larger comets which have presented the appearance of a nucleus have yet exhibited no phases, though we cannot doubt that they shine by the reflected solar light, it follows that even these can only be regarded as great masses of thin vapour, susceptible of being penetrated through their whole substance by the sunbeams, and reflecting them alike from their interior parts and from their surfaces. Nor will any one regard this explanation as forced, or feel disposed to resort to a phosphorescent quality in the comet itself, to account for the pluenomena in question, when we consider (what will be hereafter shown) the enormous magnitude of the space thus illuminated, and the extremely small mass which there is ground to attribute to these bodies. It will then be evident that the most unsubstantial clouds which float in the highest regions of our atmosphere, and seem at sunset to be drenched in light, and

somewhat more slowly, or as if resisted in their course. (558.) The smaller comets, such as are visible only in

ction

omet oader

which

e be-

irt of ıs left

ı skyhis is

onally

1e tail of the

e been

es, and

with a

1 mag-1 70° of

of the

t comet

omet of

t which

variable

ve been

w great

of 1585

lescribes l as well

are not

reams of

x, spread

of *r*.early

hese comets net, et aussi

iet must by

, the reader lley's comet,

The

The

ввЗ

to glow throughout their whole depth as if in actual ignition, without any shadow or dark side, must be looked upon as dense and massive bodies compared with the filmy and all but spiritual texture of a comet. Accordingly, whenever powerful telescopes have been turned on these bodies, they have not failed to dispel the illusion which attributes *solidity* to that more condensed part of the head, which appears to the naked eye as a nucleus; though it is true that in some, a very minute stellar point *has* been seen, indicating the existence of something more substantial.

(559.) It is in all probability to the feeble coercion of the elastic power of their gascous parts, by the gravitation of so small a central mass, that we must attribute this extraordinary development of the atmospheres of comets. If the earth, retaining its present size, were reduced, by any internal change (as by hollowing out its central parts) to one thousandth part of its actual mass, its coercive power over the atmosphere would be diminished in the same proportion, and in consequence the latter would expand to a thousand times its actual bulk; and indeed much more, owing to the still farther diminution of gravity, by the recess of the upper parts from the center.* An atmosphere, however, free to expand equally in all directions, would envelope the nucleus spherically, so that it becomes necessary to admit the action of other causes to account for its enormous extension in the direction of the tail, - a subject to which we shall presently take occasion to recur.

(560.) That the luminous part of a comet is something in the nature of a smoke, fog, or cloud, suspended in a transparent atmosphere, is evident from a fact which has been often noticed, viz. — that the portion of the tail where it comes closest to, and surrounds the head, is yet separated from it by an interval less luminous, as if sustained and kept off from contact by a transparent stratum, as we often see one layer of clouds over another with a considerable clear space

^{*} Newton has calculated (Princ. III. p. 512.) that a globe of air of ordinary density at the earth's surface, of one inch in dimmeter, if reduced to the density due to the altitude above the surface of one radius of the earth, would occupy a sphere exceeding in radius the orbit of Saturn. The tail of a great comet then, for anght we can tell, may consist of only a very few pounds or even ounces of matter.

MOTIONS OF COMETS.

between. These, and most of the other facts observed in the history of comets, appear to indicate that the structure of a comet, as seen in section in the direction of its length, must be that of a hollow envelope, of a parabolic form, enclosing near its vertex the nucleus and head, something as represented in the annexed figure. This would account for the apparent division of the tail into two principal lateral



branches, the envelope being oblique to the line of sight at its borders, and therefore a greater depth of illuminated matter being there exposed to the eye. In all probability, however, they admit great varieties of structure, and among them may very possibly be bodies of widely different physical constitution, and there is no doubt that one and the same comet at different epochs undergoes great changes, both in the disposition of its materials and in their physical state.

(561.) We come now to speak of the motions of comets. These are apparently most irregular and capricious. Sometimes they remain in sight only for a few days, at others for many months; some move with extreme slowness, others with extraordinary velocity; while not unfrequently, the two extremes of apparent speed are exhibited by the same comet in different parts of its course. The comet of 1472 described an arc of the heavens of 40° of a great circle* in a single day. Some pursue a direct, some a retrograde, and others a tortuous and very irregular course; nor do they confine themselves, like the planets, within any certain region of the heavens, but traverse indifferently every part. Their variations in apparent size, during the time they continue visible, are no less remarkable than those of their velocity; sometimes they make their first appearance as faint and slow moving objects, with little or no tail; but by degrees ac-

* 120° in extent in the former editions. But this was the are described in *longitude*, and the comet at the time referred to had great north latitude.

вв4

nition, oon as nd all enever s, they solidity to the a very istence

of the n of so extra-If the y any) to one er over portion, nousand to the e upper free to nucleus e action n in the resently

a transas been where it parated nd kept see one ar space r of ordiced to the of a great ds or even

hing in

celerate, enlarge, and throw out from them this appendage, which increases in length and brightness till (as always happens in such eases) they approach the sun, and are lost in his beams. After a time they again emerge, on the other side, receding from the sun with a velocity at first rapid, but gradually decaying. It is for the most part after thus passing the sun, that they shine forth in all their splendour, and that their tails acquire their greatest length and developement; thus indicating plainly the action of the sun's rays as the exciting cause of that extraordinary emana-As they continue to recede from the sun, their motion tion. diminishes and the tail dies away, or is absorbed into the head, which itself grows continually feebler, and is at length altogether lost sight of, in by far the greater number of cases never to be seen more.

(562.) Without the clue furnished by the theory of gravitation, the enigma of these seemingly irregular and capricious movements might have remained for ever unresolved. But Newton, having demonstrated the possibility of any conic section whatever being described about the sun, by a body revolving under the dominion of that law, immediately perceived the applicability of the general proposition to the case of cometary orbits; and the great comet of 1680, one of the most remarkable on record, both for the immense length of its tail and for the excessive closeness of its approach to the sun (within one sixth of the diameter of that luminary), afforded him an excellent opportunity for the trial of his theory. The success of the attempt was complete. He ascertained that this comet described about the sun as its focus an elliptic orbit of so great an excentricity as to be undistinguishable from a parabola, (which is the extreme, or limiting form of the ellipse when the axis becomes infinite,) and that in this orbit the areas described about the sun were. as in the planetary ellipses, proportional to the times. The representation of the apparent motions of this comet by such an orbit, throughout its whole observed course, was found to be as satisfactory as those of the motions of the planets in their nearly circular paths. From that time it became a received truth, that the motions of comets are regulated

endage, always re lost on the at first rt after il their ; length of the emanae motion into the at length of cases

of graviapricious ed. But ny conic y a body ately perb the case ne of the length of ich to the uminary), al of his ete. He un as its as to be treme, or infinite,) sun were, The les. t by such ras found e planets t became regulated by the same general laws as those of the planets—the difference of the cases consisting only in the extravagant elongation of their ellipses, and in the absence of any limit to the inclinations of their planes to that of the ecliptic—or any general coincidence in the direction of their motions from west to east, rather than from east to west, like what is observed among the planets.

(563.) It is a problem of pure geometry, from the general laws of elliptic or parabolic motion, to find the situation and dimensions of the ellipse or parabola which shall represent the motion of any given comet. In general, three complete observations of its right ascension and declination, with the times at which they were made, suffice for the solution of this problem, (which is, however, by no means an easy one,) and for the determination of the elements of the orbit. These consist, mutatis mutandis, of the same data as are required for the computation of the motion of a planet; (that is to say, the longitude of the perihelion, that of the ascending node, the inclination to the ecliptic, the semiaxis, excentricity, and time of perihelion passage, as also whether the motion is direct or retrograde;) and, once determined, it becomes very easy to compare them with the whole observed course of the comet, by a process exactly similar to that of art. 502., and thus at once to ascertain their correctness, and to put to the severest trial the truth of those general laws on which all such calculations are founded.

(564.) For the most part, it is found that the motions of comets may be sufficiently well represented by parabolic orbits,—that is to say, ellipses whose axes are of infinite length, or, at least, so very long that no appretiable error in the calculation of their motions, during all the time they continue visible, would be incurred by supposing them actually infinite. The parabola is that conic section which is the limit between the ellipse on the one hand, which returns into itself, and the hyperbola on the other, which runs out to infinity. A comet, therefore, which should describe an elliptic path, however long its axis, must *have* visited the sun before, and must again return (unless disturbed) in some

determinate period,—but should its orbit be of the hyperbolic character, when once it had passed its perihelion, it could never more return within the sphere of our observation, but must run off to visit other systems, or be lost in the immensity of space. There is no instance of a comet whose orbit has been very carefully calculated by more than one computist being *proved* to have described a hyperbola, though several have been suspected of doing so.* Many have been well ascertained to move in ellipses. These latter, in so far as their orbits can remain unaltered by the attractions of the planets, must be regarded as permanent members of our system.

(565.) We must now say a few words on the actual dimensions of comets. The calculation of the diameters of their heads, and the lengths and breadths of their tails, offers not the slightest difficulty when once the elements of their orbits are known, for by these we know their real distances from the earth at any time, and the true direction of the tail, which we see only for reshortened. Now calculations instituted on these principles lead to the surprising fact, that the comets are by far the most voluminous bodies in our system. The following are the dimensions of some of those which have been made the subjects of such enquiry.

(566.) The tail of the great comet of 1680, immediately after its perihelion passage, was found by Newton to have been no less than 20000000 of leagues in length, and to have occupied only two days in its emission from the comet's body ! a decisive proof this of its being darted forth by some active force, the origin of which, to judge from the direction of the tail, must be sought in the sun itself. Its greatest length amounted to 41000000 leagues, a length much exceeding the whole interval between the sun and earth. The tail of the comet of 1769 extended 16000000 leagues, and that of the great comet of 1811, 36000000. The portion of the head of this last, comprised within the transparent atmospheric envelope which separated it from the tail, was 180000 leagues

* For example, that of 1723, calculated by Burekhardt; that of 1771, by both Burekhardt and Encke; and the second comet of 1818, by Rosenberg and Schwabe.

HALLEY'S COMET.

erbolic could on, but nensity bit has mputist several en well o far as of the of our

etual dineters of ils, offers of their distances instituted he comets em. The nich have

mediately n to have d to have et's body ! me active on of the est length eding the uil of the at of the heric en-O lengues

> 771, by both osenberg and

in diameter. It is hardly conceivable, that matter once projected to such enormous distances should ever be collected again by the feeble attraction of such a body as a comet—a consideration which accounts for the surmised progressive diminution of the tails of such as have been frequently observed.

(567.) The most remarkable of those comets which have been ascertained to move in elliptic orbits is that of Halley, so called from the celebrated Edmund Halley, who, on calculating its elements from its perihelion passage in 1682, when it appeared in great splendour, with a tail 30° in length, was led to conclude its identity with the great comets of 1531 and 1607, whose elements he had also ascertained. The intervals of these successive apparitions being 75 and 76 years, Halley was encouraged to predict its reappearance about the year 1759. So remarkable a prediction could not fail to attract the attention of all astronomers, and, as the time approached, it became extremely interesting to know whether the attractions of the larger planets might not materially interfere with its orbitual motion. The computation of their influence from the Newtonian law of gravity, a most difficult and intricate piece of calculation, was undertaken and accomplished by Clairaut, who found that the action of Saturn would retard its return by 100 days, and that of Jupiter by no less than 518, making in all 618 days, by which the expected return would happen later than on the supposition of its retaining an unaltered period, --and that, in short, the time of the expected perihelion passage would take place within a month, one way or other, of the middle of April, 1759. - It actually happened on the 12th of March in that year. Its next return was calculated by several eminent geometers*, and fixed successively for the 4th, the 7th, the 11th, and the 26th of November, 1835; the two latter determinations appearing entitled to the higher degree of confidence, owing partly to the more complete discussion bestowed on the observations of 1682 and

* Damoiseau, Pontecoulant, Rosenberger, and Lehmann.

1759, and partly to the continually improving state of our knowledge of the methods of estimating the disturbing effect of the several planets. The last of these predictions, that of M. Lehmann, was published on the 25th of July. On the 5th of August the comet first became visible in the clear atmosphere of Rome as an exceedingly faint telescopic nebula, within a degree of its place as predicted by M. Rosenberger for that day. On or about the 20th of August it became generally visible, and, pursuing very nearly its calculated path among the stars, passed its perihelion on the 16th of November; after which, its course carrying it south, it ceased to be visible in Europe, though it continued to be conspicuously so in the southern hemisphere throughout February, March, and April, 1836, disappearing finally on the 5th of May.

(568.) Although the appearance of this celebrated comet at its last apparition was not such as might be reasonably considered likely to excite lively sensations of terror, even in superstitious ages, yet, having been an object of the most diligent attention in all parts of the world to astronomers, furnished with telescopes very far surpassing in power those which had been applied to it at its former appearance in 1759, and indeed to any of the greater comets on record, the opportunity thus afforded of studying its physical structure, and the extraordinary phænomena which it presented when so examined have rendered this a memorable epoch in cometic history. Its first appearance, while yet very remote from the sun, was that of a small round or somewhat oval nebula, quite destitute of tail, and having a minute point of more concentrated light excentrically situated within it. It was not before the 2d of October that the tail began to be developed, and thenceforward increased pretty rapidly, being already 4° or 5° long on the 5th. It attained its greatest apparent length (about 20°) on the 15th of October. From that time, though not yet arrived at its peritunon, it decreased with such rapidity, that already on the 29th it was only 3°, and on November the 5th 21° in length. There is every
HALLEY'S COMET.

reason to believe that before the perihelion, the tail had altogether disappeared, as, though it continued to be observed at Pulkowa up to the very day of its perihelion passage, no mention whatever is made of any tail being then seen.

(569.) By far the most striking phænomena, however, observed in this part of its career, were those which, commencing simultaneously with the growth of the tail, connected themselves evidently with the production of that appendage and its projection from the head. On the 2d of October (the very day of the first observed commencement of the tail) the nucleus, which had been faint and small, was observed suddenly to have become much brighter, and to be in the act of throwing out a jet or stream of light from its anterior part, or that turned towards the sun. This ejection after ceasing awhile was resumed, and with much greater apparent violence, on the 8th, and continued, with occasional intermittences, so long as the tail itself continued visible. Both the form of this luminous ejection, and the direction in which it issued from the nucleus, meanwhile underwent singular and capricious alterations, the different phases succeeding each other with such rapidity that on no two successive nights were the appearances alike. At one time the emitted jet was single, and confined within narrow limits of divergence from the At others it presented a far shaped or swallownucleus. tailed form, analogous to that of a gas-flame issuing from a flattened orifice : while at others again two, three, or even more jets were darted forth in different directions.* (See figures a, b, c, d, plate I. fig 4., which represent, highly magnified, the appearances of the nucleus with its jets of light, on the 8th, 9th, 10th, and 12th of October, and in which the direction of the anterior portion of the head, or that fronting the sun, is supposed alike in all, viz. towards the upper part of the engraving. In these representations the head itself is omitted, the scale of the figures not permitting its introduction: e represents the nucleus and head as seen October

f our effect nat of n the clear scopic by M. of Aunearly ion on rrying tinued aghout ully on

comet onably even in ie most nomers, er those n 1759, rd, the ructure, d when cometic from the nebula, of more It it. n to be y, being greatest From ecreased only 3°, is every

[•] See the exquisite lithographic representations of these phenomena by Bessel. Astron. Nachr. No. 302, and the fine series by Schwabe in No. 297. of t^{1} at coll stion, as also the magnificent drawings of Struve, from which our figures 2, b, c, d, are copied.

9th on a less scale.) The direction of the principal jet was observed meanwhile to oscillate to and fro on either side of a line directed to the sun in the manner of a compass-needle when thrown into vibration and oscillating about a mean position, the change of direction being conspicuous even from hour to hour. These jets, though very bright at their point of emanation from the nucleus, faded rapidly away, and became diffused as they expanded into the coma, at the same time curving backwards as streams of steam or smoke would do, if thrown out from narrow orifices, more or less obliquely in opposition to a powerful wind, against which they were unable to make way, and, ultimately yielding to its force, so as to be drifted back and confounded in a vaporous train, following the general direction of the current. *

(570.) Reflecting on these phanomena, and carefully considering the evidence afforded by the numerous and elaborately executed drawings which have been placed on record by observers, it seems impossible to avoid the following conclusions. 1st. That the matter of the nucleus of a comet is powerfully excited and dilated into a vaporous state by the action of the sun's rays, escaping in streams and jets at those points of its surface which oppose the least resistance, and in all probability throwing that surface or the nucleus itself into irregular motions by its reaction in the act of so escaping, and thus altering its direction.

2dly. That this process chiefly takes place in that portion of the nucleus which is turned towards the sun; the vapour escaping chiefly in that direction.

3dly. That when so emitted, it is prevented from proceeding in the direction originally impressed upon it, by some force directed *from* the sun, drifting it back and carrying it out to vast distances behind the nucleus, forming the tail or so much of the tail as can be considered as consisting of material substance.

4thly. That this force, whatever its nature, acts unequally

* On this point Schwabe's and Bessel's drawings are very express and unequivocal. Struve's attention seems to have been more especially directed to the serutiny of the nucleus.

HALLEY'S COMET.

on the materials of the comet, the greater portion remaining unvaporized, and a considerable part of the vapour actually produced, remaining in its neighbourhood, forming the head and coma.

5thly. That the force thus acting on the materials of the tail cannot possibly be identical with the ordinary gravitation of matter, being centrifugal or repulsive, as respects the sun, and of an energy very far exceeding the gravitating force towards that luminary. This will be evident if we consider the enormous velocity with which the matter of the tail is carried backwards, in opposition both to the motion which it had as part of the nucleus, and to that which it acquired in the act of its emission, both which motions have to be destroyed in the first instance, before any movement in the contrary direction can be impressed.

6thly. That unless the matter of the tail thus repelled from the sun be retained by a peculiar and highly energetic attraction to the nucleus, differing from and exceptional to the ordinary power of gravitation, it must leave the nucleus altogether; being in effect carried far beyond the coercive power of so feeble a gravitating force as would correspond to the minute mass of the nucleus; and it is therefore very conceivable that a comet may lose, at every approach to the sun, a portion of that peculiar matter, whatever it be, on which the production of its tail depends, the remainder being of course less excitable by the solar action, and more impassive to his rays, and therefore, *pro tanto*, more nearly approximating to the nature of the planetary bodies.

7thly. That considering the immense distances to which at least some portion of the matter of the tail is carried from the comet, and the way in which it is dispersed through the system, it is quite inconceivable that the whole of that matter should be reabsorbed — that therefore it must lose during its perihelion passage some portion of its matter, and if, as would seem far from improbable, that matter should be of a nature to be repelled from, not attracted by, the sun, the remainder will, by consequence, be, pro quantitate inertia, more energetically attracted to the sun than the mean of both. If then

jet was side of -needle a mean en from bir point ray, and the same ke would obliquely hey were s force, so ous train,

sfully conand clabol on recora comet is tate by the jets at those ance, and in is itself into so escaping,

that portion the vapour

n proceeding y some force ing it out to il or so much of material

cts unequally

v express and unlly directed to the

the orbit be elliptic, it will perform each successive revolution in a shorter time than the preceding, until, at length, the whole of the repulsive matter is got rid of. — But to return to the comet of Halley.

(571.) After the perihelion passage, the comet was lost sight of for upwards of two months, and at its reappearance (on the 24th of January, 1836) presented itself under quite a different aspect, having in the interval evidently undergone some great physical change which had operated an entire transformation in its appearance. It no longer presented any vestige of tail, but appeared to the naked eye as a hazy star of about the fourth or fifth magnitude, and in powerful telescopes as a small, round, well defined disc, rather more than 19 in diameter, surrounded with a nebulous chevelure or b greater extent. Within the disc, and somewhat coma or excentricative situated, a minute but bright nucleus appeared, · from which extended towards the posterior edge of the dise (or that remote from the sun) a short vivid luminous ray. (See fig. 1. pl. VI.) As the comet receded from the sun, the coma speedily disappeared, as if absorbed into the disc, which, on the other hand, increased continually in dimensions, and that with such rapidity, that in the week elapsed from January 25th to February 1st (calculating from micrometrical measures, and from the known distance of the comet from the earth on those days), the actual volume or real solid content of the illuminated space had dilated in the ratio of undiminished rapidity, until, from this cause alone, it ceased to be visible, the illumination becoming fainter as the magnitude increased; till at length the outline became undistinguishable from simple want of light to trace it. While this

a

0

te

th

de

th

th

it

sm

gre

mo to

gre

and

pro

at

tem

A. D

day

* On the night of the 22nd of January the comet was observed by M. Boguslaw-ki of Breslau, as a star of the sixth magnitude, a bright concentrated point, which showed no disc with a magnifying power of 140, and that it actually was the comet he assured himself by turning his telescope the next night on the place where he saw it (which he had carefully noted and registered). It was gone. From his observation it appears then that at 17^{h} 50^m M.T. at Breslau, Jan. 92, the diameter of the nucleus with its envelope was rigorously nil, at which moment, within an hour one way or the other, the process of formation of the envelope must have commenced.

GREAT COMET OF 1680.

tion the turn

lost rance quite gone entire sented i hazy werful r more lure or newhat peared, the disc ous ray. the sun, the disc, nensions, ed from ometrical net from solid conratio of out .h it ceased the magundistin-Vhile this

> y M. Bogustrated point, actually was to n the place It was gone. slau, Jan. 22. nil, at which mation of the

increase of dimension proceeded, the form of the disc passed, by gradual and successive additions to its length in the direction opposite to the sun, to that of a paraboloid, as represented in g fig. 1, plate VI., the anterior curved portion preserving its planetary sharpness, but the base being faint and ill-defined. It is evident that had this process continued with sufficient light to render the result visible, a tail would have been ultimately reproduced; but the increase of dimension being accompanied with diminution of brightness, a short, imperfect, and as it were rudimentary tail only was formed, visible as such for a few nights to the naked eye, or in a low magnifying telescope, and that only when the comet itself had begun to fade away by reason of its increasing distance.

(572.) While the parabolic envelope was thus continually dilating and growing fainter, the nucleus underwent little change, but the ray proceeding from it increased in length and comparative brightness, preserving all the time its direction along the axis of the paraboloid, and offering none of those irregular and capricious phænomena which characterized the jets of light emitted anteriorly, previous to the perihelion. If the office of those jets was to feed the tail, the converse office of conducting back its successively condensing matter to the nucleus would seem to be that of the ray now in question. By degrees this also faded, and the last appearance presented by the comet was that which it offered at its first appearance in August; viz. that of a small round nebula with a bright point in or near the center.

(573.) Besides the comet of Halley, several other of the great comets recorded in history have been surmised with more or less probability to return periodically, and therefore to move in elongated ellipses around the sun. Such is the great comet of 1680, whose period is estimated at 575 years, and which has been considered, with at least a high *primâ facie* probability, to be identical with a magnificent comet observed at Constantinople and in Palestine, and referred by contemporary historians, both European and Chinese, to the year A.D. 1105; with that of A.D. 575, which was seen at noon-day close to the sun; with the comet of 43 B.C., already

c c

spoken of as having appeared after the death of Cæsar, and which was also observed in the day-time; and finally with two other comets, mention of which occurs in the Sibylline Oracles, and in a passage of Homer, and which are referred, as well as the obscurity of chronology and the indications themselves will allow, to the years 618 and 1194 B.C. It is to the assumed near approach of this comet to the earth about the time of the Deluge, that Whiston ascribed that overwhelming tide-wave to whose agency his wild fancy ascribed that great catastrophe-a speculation, it is needless to remark, purely visionary. These coincidences of time are certainly remarkable, especially when it is considered how very rare are the appearances of comets of this class. Professor Encke, however, has discussed, with all possible care, the observations recorded of the comet of 1680, taking into consideration the perturbations of the planets (which are of triffing importance, by reason of the great inclination of its orbit to the ecliptic), and his calculations show that no elliptic orbit, with such a period as 575 years, is competent to represent them within any probable or even possible limits of error, the most probable period assigned by them being 8814 Julian years. Independent of this consideration, there are circumstances recorded of the comet of A.D. 1106 incompatible with its motion in any orbit identical with that of the comet of 1680, so that the idea of referring all these phænomena to one and the same comet, however seducing, must be relinquished.

(574.) Another great comet, whose return about the year 1848 had been considered by more than one eminent authority in this department of astronomy * highly probable, is that of 1556, to the terror of whose aspect some historians have attributed the "bdication of the Emperor Charles V. This comet is supposed to be identical with that of 1264, mentioned by many historians as a great comet, and observed ulso in China,—the conclusion in this case resting upon the coincidence of elements calculated on the observations, such as they are, which have been recorded. On the o it el to th

so

01

re

sh

as

ha

ha

on

* Pingré, Cometographie, i. 411; Lalande, Astr. 3185.

COMETS OF SHORT PERIOD.-ENCKE'S COMET. 387

subject of this coincidence Mr. Hind has entered into many elaborate calculations, the result of which is strongly in favour of the supposed identity. This probability is farther increased by the fact of a comet, with a tail of 40° and a head bright enough to be visible after sunrise, having appeared in A. D. 975: and of two others having been recorded by the Chinese annalists in A. D. 395 and 104. It is true that if these be the same, the mean period would be somewhat short of 292 years. But the effect of planetary perturbation might reconcile evon greater differences, and though up to the time of our writing (1858) no such comet has yet been observed, two or three years must yet elapse, in the opinion of those best competent to judge, before its return must be considered hopeless.

(575.) In 1661, 1532, 1402, 1145, 891, and 243 great comets appeared—that of 1402 being bright enough to be seen at noon day. A period of 129 years would conciliate all these appearances, and should have brought back the comet in 1789 or 1790 (other circumstances agreeing). That no such comet was observed about that time is no proof that it did not return, since, owing to the situation of its orbit, had the perihelion passage taken place in July it might have escaped observation. Mechain, indeed, from an elaborate discussion of the observations of 1532 and 1661, came to the conclusion that these comets were not the same; but the elements assigned by Olbers to the earlier of them differ so widely from those of Mechain for the same comet on the one hand, and agree so well with those of the last named astronomer for the other *, that we are perhaps justified in regarding the question as not yet set at rest.

(576.) We come now, however, to a class of comets of short period, respecting whose return there is no doubt, inasmuch as two at least of them have been identified as having performed successive revolutions round the sun; have had their return predicted already several times; and have on each occasion scrupulously kept to their appointments.

> * See Schumacher's Catal. Astron. Abhandl. i. c c 2

, and with ylline ed, as themt is to about overscribed emark, rtainly ry rare Encke, rvations tion the ortance, ecliptic), i such a n within lost pron years. imstances with its of 1680, one and ished. the year authority e, is that ians have

V. This

264, men-

observed

servations,

On the

The first of these is the comet of Encke, so called from Professor Encke of Berlin, who first ascertained its periodical return. It revolves in an ellipse of great eccentricity (though not comparable to that of Halley's), the plane of which is inclined at an angle of about $13^{\circ} 22'$ to the plane of the ecliptic, and in the short period of 1211 days, or about $3\frac{1}{3}$ years. This remarkable discovery was made on the occasion of its fourth recorded appearance, in 1819. From the ellipse then calculated by Encke, its return in 1822 was predicted by him, and observed at Paramatta, in New South Wales, by M. Rümker, being invisible in Europe : since which it has been re-predicted and re-observed in all the principal observatories, both in the northern and southern hemispheres, as a phænomenon of regular occurrence.

(577.) On comparing the intervals between the successive peribelion passages of this comet, after allowing in the most careful and exact manner for all the disturbances due to the actions of the planets, a very singular fact has come to light, viz. that the periods are continually diminishing, or, in other words, the mean distance from the sun, or the major axis of the ellipse, dwindling by slow and regular degrees at the rate of about 0^d 11176 per revolution. This is evidently the effect which would be produced by a resistance experienced by the comet from a very rare ethereal medium pervading the regions in which it moves; for such resistance, by diminishing its actual velocity, would diminish also its centrifugal force, and thus give the sun more power over it to draw it nearer. Accordingly this is the solution proposed by Encke, and at present generally received. Should this be really the case, it will, therefore, probably fall ultimately into the sun, should it not first be dissipated altogether,-a thing no way improbable, when the lightness of its materials is considered. The considerations adduced at the end of art. 570. would seem, however, to open out another possible explanation of the phænomenon in question, not necessarily leading to such a catastrophe.

(578.) By measuring the apparent magnitude of this comet at different distances from the sun, and thence, from a know-

BIELA'S COMET.

ledge of its actual distance from the earth at the time, concluding its real volume, it has been ascertained to contract in bulk as it approaches to, and to expand as it recedes from, that luminary. M. Valz, who was the first to notice this fact, accounts for it by supposing it to undergo a real compression or condensation of volume arising from the pressure of an æthereal medium which he conceives to grow more dense in the sun's neighbourhood. But such an hypothesis is evidently inadmissible, since it would require us to assume the exterior of the comet to be in the nature of a skin or bag impervious to the compressing medium. The phænomenon is analogous to the increase of dimension above described, as observed in the comet of Halley, when in the act of receding from the sun, and is doubtless referable to a similar cause, viz. the alternate conversion of evaporable matter into the states of visible cloud and invisible gas, by the alternating action of cold and heat. This comet has no tail, but offers to the view only a small ill-defined nucleus, excentrically situated within a more or less elongated oval mass of vapours, being nearest to that vertex which is towards the sun.

(579.) Another comet of short period is that of *Biela*, so called from M. Biela, of Josephstadt, who first arrived at this interesting conclusion on the occasion of its appearance in 1826. It is considered to be identical with comete which appeared in 1772, 1805, &c., and describes its very excentric ellipse about the sun in 2410 days, or about $6\frac{3}{4}$ years; and in a plane inclined 12° 34' to the ecliptic. It appeared again, according to the prediction, in 1832 and in 1846. Its orbit, by a remarkable coincidence, very nearly intersects that of the earth; and had the latter, at the time of its passage in 1832, been a month in advance of its actual place, it would have passed through the comet, — a singular rencontre, perhaps not unattended with danger.*

* Should calculation establish the fact of a resistance experienced also by this comet, the subject of periodical comets will assume an extraordinary degree of interest. It cannot be doubted that many more will be discovered, and by

from iodical chough is incliptic, This fourth en calby him, by M. nas been vatories, a phæ-

accessive the most ue to the to light, , in other r axis of at the rate the effect ed by the he regions ishing its force, and it nearer. e, and at the case, un, should y improbred. The uld seem, on of the g to such

> this eomet n a know-

(580.) This comet is small and hardly visible to the naked eye, even when brightest. Nevertheless, as if to make up for its seeming insignificance by the interest attaching to it in a physical point of view, it exhibited at its appearance in 1846, a phænomenon which struck every astronomer with amazement, as a thing without previous example in the history of our system.* It was actually seen to separate itself into two distinct comets, which, after thus parting company, continued to journey along amicably through an arc of upwards of 70° of their apparent orbit, keeping all the while within the same field of view of the telescope pointed towards them. The first indication of something unusual being about to take place might be, perhaps, referred to the 19th of December, 1845, when the comet appeared to Mr. Hind pear-shaped, the nebulosity being unduly elongated in a direction inclining northward. But on the 13th of January, at Washington in America, and on the 15th and subsequently in every part of Europe, it was distinctly seen to have become double; a very small and faint cometic body, having a nucleus of its own, being observed appended to it, at a distance of about 2' (in arc) from its center, and in a direction forming an angle of about 328° with the meridian, running northwards from the principal or original comet (see art. 204). From this time the separation of the two comets went on progressively, though slowly. On the 30th of January, the apparent distance of

their resistance questions will come to be decided, such as the following:—What is the law of density of the resisting medium which surrounds the sun? Is it at rest or in motion? If the latter, in what direction does it move? Circularly round the sun, or traversing space? If circularly, in what plane? It is obvious that a circular or vorticose motion of the ether would accelerate some comets and retard others, according as their revolution was, relative to such motion, direct or retrograde. Supposing the neighbourhood of the sun to be filled with a material fluid, it is not conceivable that the circulation of the planets in it for ages should not have impressed upon it some degree of rotation in their own direction. And this may preserve them from the extreme effects of accumulated resistance, — Note to edition of 1833.

resistance, — Note to edition of 1833.
Perhaps not quite so. To say nothing of a singular surmise of Kepler, that two great comets seen at once in 1618, might be a single comet separated into two, the following passage of Hevelius cited by M. Littrow (Nachr. 564.) does really seem to refer to some phænomenon bearing at least a certain analogy to it.
In ipso disco," he says (Cometographia, p. 326.) "quattor rel quinque corpus cula quædam sive nucleos reliquo corpore aliquanto densiores ostendebat."

the nucleus had increased to 3', on the 7th of February to 4', and on the 13th to 5', and so on, until on the 5th of March the two comets were separated by an interval of 9' 19", the apparent direction of the line of junction all the while varying but little with respect to the parallel.*

(581.) During this separation, very remarkable changes were observed to be going on, both in the original comet and its companion. Both had nuclei, both had short tails, parallel in direction, and nearly perpendicular to the line of junction, but whereas at its first observation on January 13th, the new comet was extremely small and faint in comparison with the old, the difference both in point of light and apparent magnitude diminished. On the 10th of February, they were nearly equal. although the day before the moonlight had effaced the new one, leaving the other bright enough to be well observed. On the 14th and 16th, however, the new comet had gained a decided superiority of light over the old, presenting at the same time a sharp and starlike nucleus, compared by Licut. Maury to a diamond spark. But this state of things was not to continue. Already, on the 18th, the old comet had regained its superiority, being nearly twice as bright as its companion, and offering an unusually bright and starlike nucleus. From this period the new companion began to fade away, but continued visible up to the 15th of March. On the 24th the comet appeared again single, and on the 22nd of April both had disappeared.

(582.) While this singular interchange of light was going forwards, indications of some sort of communication between the comets were exhibited. The new or companion comet, besides its tail, extending in a direction parallel to that of the other, threw out a faint are of light which extended as a kind of bridge from the one to the other; and after the restoration of the original comet to its former preeminence, it, on its part, threw forth additional rays, so as to present (on the 22nd and 23rd February) the appearance of a comet with

) naked ake up g to it earance her with in the separate parting gh an arc g all the e pointed unusual d to the Mr. Hind l in a di-January, sequently ve become a nucleus ce of about g an angle s from the is time the ly, though distance of

wing:---What he sun? Is it ? Circularly It is obvious one comets and motion, direct filled with a hets in it for in their own f accumulated

se of Kepler, separated into ir. 564.) does analogy to it. *inque* corpus ndebat."

^{*} By far the greater portion of this increase of apparent distance was due to the comet's increased proximity to the earth. The real increase reduced to a distance=1 of the comet was at the rate of about 3" per diem.

392

three faint tails forming angles of about 120° with each other, one of which extended towards its companion.*

(583.) Professor Plantamour, director of the observatory of Geneva, having investigated the orbits of both these comets as separate and independent bodies, from the extensive and careful series of observations made upon them, arrived at the conclusion that the increase of distance between the two nuclei, at least during the interval from February 10th to March 22nd, was simply apparent, being due to the variation of distance from the earth, and to the angle under which their line of junction presented itself to the visual ray; the real distance during all that interval (neglecting small fractions) having been on an average about thirty-nine times the semi-diameter of the earth, or less than two-thirds the distance of the moon from its center. From this it would appear that already, at this distance, the two bodies had ceased to exercise any perceptible amount of perturbative gravitation on each other; as, indeed, from the probable minuteness of cometary masses we might reasonably expect. It may well be supposed that astronomers would not allow so remarkable a duplication to pass unwatched at the next return of the comet in 1852. In August and September c that year both nuclei were observed by Professor Challis Cambridge, Secchi at Rome, and M. Struve, presenting, as regards direction, the same relative situation with regard to each other, so that we have here the historical proof of a permanent addition to the members of our system taking place at a definite instant under our very eye. (Pl. VI., fig. 2.)

(584.) A third comet, of short period, has been added to our list by M. Faye, of the observatory of Paris, who detected it on the 22d of. November, 1843. A very few observations sufficed to show that no parabola would satisfy the conditions of its motion, and that to represent them completely, it was necessary to assign to it an elliptic orbit of very moderate excentricity. The calculations of M. Nicolai,

i

0

86

pa of

pi

W

* These last mentioned particulars rest on the testimony of Lieutenant Maury of Washington, who had the advantage of using a nine-inch object-glass of Munich manufacture. It does not appear that any *large* telescope was turned upon it in Europe on the dates in question.

EFFECT OF PLANETARY PERTURBATION.

subsequently revised and slightly corrected by M. Leverrier, have shown that an almost perfect representation of its motions during the whole period of its visibility would be afforded by assuming it to revolve in a period of 2717^d.68 (or somewhat less than 71 years) in an ellipse whose excentrieity is 0.55596, and inclination to the ecliptic 11° 22' 31"; and taking this for a basis of further calculation, and by means of these data and the other elements of the orbit estimating the effect of planetary perturbation during the revolution now in progress, he fixed its next return to the perihelion for the 3d of April, 1851, with a probable error one way or other not exceeding one or two days. This prediction has been strikingly verified. It actually passed its perihelion on the 1st of April, 1851, having been rediscovered by Professor Challis at Cambridge in November, 1850, and followed beyond the perihelion by M. Otto Struve up to March 4. 1851.

(585.) The effect of planetary perturbation on the motion of comets has been more than once alluded to in what has been above said. Without going minutely into this part of the subject, which will be better understood after the perusal of a subsequent chapter, it must be obvious, that as the orbits of comets are very excentric, and inclined in all sorts of angles to the ecliptic, they must, in many instances, if not actually intersect, at least pass very near to the orbits of some of the planets. We have already seen, for instance, that the orbit of Biela's comet so nearly intersects that of the earth, that an actual collision is not impossible, and indeed (supposing neither orbit variable) must in all likelihood happen in the lapse of some millions of years. Neither are instances wanting of comets having actually approached the earth within comparatively short distances, as that of 1770, which on the 1st of July of that year was within little more than seven times the moon's distance. The same comet, in 1767, passed Jupiter at a distance only one 58th of the radius of that planet's orbit, and it has been rendered extremely probable that it is to the disturbance its former orbit underwent during that appulse that we owe its appearance within

her,

tory these xtenn, nrween 10th e vaunder l ray; smail times ds the would es had rbative robable expect. ot allow he next mber c hallis ting, as gard to f a perg place .2.)dded to vho delew obsatisfy m comorbit of Nicolai, ieutenant oject-glass

as turned

This exceedingly remarkable our own range of vision. comet was found by Lexell to describe an elliptic orbit with an excentricity of 0.7858, with a periodic time of about five years and a half, and in a plane only 1° 34' inclined to the ecliptic, having passed its perihelion on the 13th of August, 1770. Its return of course was eagerly expected, but in vain, for the comet has never been certainly identified with any comet since seen. Its observation on its first return in 1776 was rendered impossible by the relative situations of the perihelion and of the earth at the time, and before another revolution could be accomplished (as has since been ascertained), viz. about the 23d of August, 1779, by a singular coincidence it again approached Jupiter within one 491st part of its distance from the sun, being nearer to that planet by one fifth than its fourth satellite. No wonder, therefore, that the planet's attraction (which at that distance would exceed that of the sun in the proportion of at least 200 to 1) should completely alter the orbit and deflect it into a curve, not one of whose elements would have the least resemblance to those of the ellipse of Lexell. It is worthy of notice that by this rencontre with the system of Jupiter's satellites, none of *their* motions suffered any perceptible derangement, -a sufficient proof of the smallness of its mass. Jupiter, indced, seems, by some strange fatality, to be constantly in the way of comets, and to serve as a perpetual stumbling-block to them.

(586.) On the 22nd of August, 1844, Signor de Vico, director of the observatory of the Collegio Romano, discovered a comet, the motions of which, a very few observations sufficed to show, deviated remarkably from a parabolic orbit. It passed its perihelion on the 2nd of September, and continued to be observed until the 7th of December. Elliptic elements of this comet, agreeing remarkably well with each other, were accordingly calculated by several astronomers; from which it appears that the period of revolution is about 1990 days, or $5\frac{1}{2}$ (5.4357) years, which (supposing its orbit undisturbed in the interim) would bring it back to the perihelion on or about the 13th of January, 1850, on which

COMETS OF DE VICO AND BRORSEN.

occasion, however, by reason of its unfavourable situation with respect to the sun and earth, it could not be observed. As the assemblage and comparison of these elements, thus computed independently, will serve better, perhaps, than any other example, to afford the student an idea of the degree of arithmetical certainty capable of being attained in this branch of astronomy, difficult and complex as the calculations themselves are, and liable to error as *individual* observations of a body so ill defined as the smaller comets are for the most part, we shall present them in a tabular form, as on the next page: the elements being as usual; the time of perihelion passage, longitude of the perihelion, that of the ascending node, the inclination to the ecliptic, semiaxis and excentricity of the orbit, and the periodic time.

This comet, when brightest, was visible to the naked eye, and had a small tail. It is especially interesting to astronomers from the circumstance of its having been rendered exceedingly probable by the researches of M. Leverrier, that it is identical with one which appeared in 1678 with some of its elements considerably changed by perturbation. This comet is further remarkable, from having been concluded by Messrs. Laugier and Mauvais, to be identical with the comet of 1585 observed by Tycho Brahe, and possibly also with those of 1743, 1766, and 1819.

(587.) Elliptic elements have in like manner been assigned to the comet discovered by M. Brorsen, on the 26th of February, 1846, which, like that last mentioned, speedily after its discovery began to show evident symptoms of deviation from a parabola. These elements, with the names of their respective calculators, are as follow. The dates are for February 1846, Greenwich time.

Computed by		Brunnow.	Hind.	Van Willingen and De Haan.	
Perihelion passage		25 ^d • 37794	25 ⁴ • 33109	25 ^d · 02227	
Long, of Perihelion		116° 28′ 34″·0	116° 28′ 17″.8	116° 23' 52''.9	
Long, of Ω –		102 39 36° 5	102 45 20° 9	103 31 25· 7	
Inclination –		30 55 6• 5	30 49 3• 6	30 30 30· 2	
Semiaxis – –		3°15021	312292	2.87052	
Excentricity –		0°79363	0.79771	0'77313	
Period (days) –		2042	2016	1776	

narkable rlit with of about clined to 13th of expected, identified first retive situime, and has since 1779, by er within nearer to o wonder, t distance f at least deflect it the least is worthy Jupiter's ptible dcits mass. to be conperpetual

de Vico, liscovered servations olic orbit. and con-Elliptic with each ronomers; n is about the perion which

342° 32′ 40′′′1 | 342° 29′ 44′′.9 | 342° 31′ 15′′.5 | 342° 34′ 31′′.5 | 342° 30′ 49′′6 1844, Sep. 63 49 0·1 2 54 50.3 Brunnow. 2^d-47402 3.10295 0-61788 1996 63 54 40.8 1844, Sep. 2 52 51.8 Sch abert. 2^d·56348 3-02612 0.60866 1923 2d-48745 63 49 30.6 1844, Sep. 2 54 45.0 3-09946 0-61726 Faye. 1993 63 48 55.2 Goldschmidt. 1844, Sep. 2 55 1-9 2^d-45430 3-11111 0.61861 2400 63 52 24.1 1844, Sep. 2 54 27.1 2^d-50412 3-08582 0-61566 Hind. 1980 342° 31' 5".5 63 48 48 9 1844, Sep. 2 54 45.8 2d-47594 0.61716 3-09853 Nicolai. 1992 Longitude of perihelion ł . Pcrihelion passage . Computed by Longitude of 2 -Period (days) Inclination -Excentricity Semiaxis -

ELEMENTS OF THE PERIODICAL COMET OF DE VICO.

This comet is faint, and presents nothing remarkable in its appearance. Its chief interest arises from the great similarity of its *parabolic* elements to those of the comet of 1532, the place of the perihelion and node, and the inclination of the orbit, being almost identical.

(588.) Elliptic elements have also been calculated by M. D'Arrest, for a comet discovered by M. Peters, on the 26th of June 1846, which go to assign it a place among the comets of short period, viz. $5804^{d}\cdot3$, or very nearly 16 years. The excentricity of the orbit is 0.75572, its semiaxis 6.32066, and the inclination of its plane to that of the ecliptic $31^{\circ}2'14''$. This comet passed its perihelion on the 1st of June 1846.

(589.) By far the most remarkable comet, however, which has been seen during the present century, is that which appeared in the spring of 1843, and whose tail became visible in the twilight of the 17th of March in England as a great beam of nebulous light, extending from a point above the western horizon, through the stars of Eridants and Lepus, under the belt of Orion. This situation was low and unfavourable; and it was not till the 19th that the head was seen, and then only as a faint and ill-defined nebula, very rapidly fading on subsequent nights. In more southern latitudes, however, not only the tail was s 1, as a magnificent train of light extending 50° or 60° in length; but the head and nucleus appeared with extraordinary splendour, exciting in every country where it was seen the greatest astonishment and admiration. Indeed, all descriptions agree in representing it as a stupendous spectacle, such as in superstitious ages would not fail to have carried terror into every bosom. In tropical latitudes in the northern hemisphere, the tail appeared on the 3d of March, and in Van Diemen's Land, so early as the 1st, the comet having passed its perihelion on the 27th of February. Already on the 3d the head was so far disengaged from the immediate vicinity of the sun, as to appear for a short time above the horizon after sunset. On this day when viewed through a 46-inch achromatie telescope it presented a planetary disc, from which rays

emerged in the direction of the tail. The tail was double, consisting of two principal lateral streamers, making a very small angle with each other, and divided by a comparatively dark line, of the estimated length of 25°, prolonged however on the north side by a divergent streamer, making an angle of 5° or 6° with the general direction of the axis, and traceable as far as 65° from the head. A similar though fainter lateral prolongation appeared on the south side. A fine drawing of it of this date by C. P. Smyth, Esq. of the Royal Observatory, C.G.H., represents it as highly symmetrical, and gives the idea of a vivid cone of light, with a dark axis, and nearly rectilinear sides, inclosed in a fainter cone, the sides of which curve slightly outwards. The light of the nucleus at this period is compared to that of a star of the first or second magnitude; and on the 11th, of the third; from which time it degraded in light so rapidly, that on the 19th it was invisible to the naked eye, the tail all the while continuing brilliantly visible, though much more so at a distance from the nucleus, with which, indeed, its connexion was not then obvious to the unassisted sight — a singular feature in the history of this body. The tail, subsequent to the 3d, was generally speaking a single straight or slightly curved broad band of light, but on the 11th it is recorded by Mr. Clerihew, who observed it at Calcutta, to have shot forth a lateral tail nearly twice as long as the regular one but fainter, and making an angle of about 18° with its direction on the southern side. The projection of this ray (which was not seen either before or after the day in question) to so enormous a length, (nearly 100°) in a single day conveys an impression of the intensity of the forces acting to produce such a velocity of material transfer through space, such as no other natural phænomenon is capable of exciting. It is clear that if we have to deal here with matter, such as we conceive it, viz. possessing inertia - at all, it must be under the dominion of forces incomparably more energetic than gravitation, and quite of a different nature.

(590.) There is abundant evidence of the comet in question having been seen in full daylight, and in the sun's immediate vicinity. It was so seen on the 28th of February, the day

GREAT COMET OF 1843.

after its perihelion passage, by every person on board the H.E.I.C.S. Owen Glendower, then off the Cape, as a short dagger-like object close to the sun a little before sunset. On the same day at 3^h 6^m P. M., and consequently in full sunshine, the distance of the nucleus from the sun was actually measured with a sextant by Mr. Clarke of Portland, United States, the distance center from center being then only 3° 50′ 43″. He describes it in the following terms: "The nucleus and also every part of the tail were as well defined as the moon on a clear day. The nucleus and tail bore the same appearance, and resembled a perfectly pure white cloud without any variation, except a slight change near the head, just sufficient to distinguish the nucleus from the tail at that point." The denseness of the nucleus was so considerable, that Mr. Clarke had no doubt it might have been visible upon the sun's disc, had it passed between that and the observer. The length of the visible tail resulting from these measures was 59' or not far from double the apparent diameter of the sun; and as we shall presently see that on the day in question the distance from the earth of the sun and comet must have been very nearly equal, this gives us about 1700000 miles for the linear dimensions of this the densest portion of that appendage, making no allowance for the foreshortening, which at that time was very considerable.

(591.) The elements of this comet are among the most remarkable of any recorded. They have been calculated by several eminent astronomers, among whose results we shall specify only those which agree best; the earlier attempts to compute its path having been rendered uncertain by the difficulty attending exact observations of it in the first part of its visible career. The following are those which seem entitled to most confidence: —

	Encke.	Plantamour.	Knorre.	Nicolai.	Peters.
Perihel pats., 1843 Feb., mean time at Greenwich. – Long. of perihel. Long of Ω – Inclination – Perihel. dist. Motion –	274 45096 2790 2' 30'' 4 15 5 35 12 33 0.00522 Itetrograde.	27d-42935 278° 18' 3'' 0 51 4' 35 8 56 0 00581 Retrograde.	27d-39638 2780 287 257 1 48 3 35 35 29 0 000579 Retrograde,	27d-43023 278° 36' 33'' 1 37 55 35 36 29 0-060558 Retrograde.	27d-41319 2790 59' 7'' 3 55 17 35 15 42 0 00428 Hetrograde.

a very ratively lowever in angle d tracefainter A fine . of the ly symt, with a a fainter The light a star of the third; ai on the il ail the nore so at connexion a singular sequent to or slightly ecorded by shot forth r one but s direction ray (which uestion) to conveys an to produce e, such as ng. It is uch as we be under getic than

double,

n question immediate y, the day

(592.) What renders these elements so remarkable is the smallness of the perihelion distance. Of all comets which have been recorded this has made the nearest approach to the sun. The sun's radius being the sine of his apparent semi-diameter (16' 1'') to a radius equal to the earth's mean distance = 1, is represented on that scale by 0.00466, which falls short of 0.00534, the perihelion distance found by taking a mean of all the foregoing results, by only 0.00068, or about one seventh of its whole magnitude. The comet, therefore, approached the luminous surface of the sun within about a seventh part of the sun's radius! It is worth while to consider what is implied in such a fact. In the first place, the intensity both of the light and radiant heat of the sun at different distances from that luminary increase proportionally to the spherical area of the portion of the visible hemisphere covered by the sun's disc. This disc, in the case of the earth. at its mean distance has an angular diameter of 32' 1"'1. At our comet in perihelio the apparent angular diameter of the sun was no less than 121° 32'. The ratio of the spherical surfaces thus occupied (as appears from spherical geometry) is that of the squares of the sines of the fourth parts of these angles to each other, or that of 1:47042. And in this proportion are to each other the amounts of light and heat thrown by the sun on an equal area of exposed surface on our earth and at the comet in equal instants of time. Let any one imagine the effect of so fierce a glare as that of 47000 suns such as we experience the warmth of, on the materials of which the earth's surface is composed. To form some practical idea of it we may compare it with what is recorded of Parker's great lens, whose diameter was 32¹ inches, and focal length six feet eight inches. The effect of this, supposing all the light and heat transmitted, and the focal concentration perfect, (both conditions very imperfectly satisfied,) would be to enlarge the sun's effective angular diameter to 23° 26', which, compared on the same principle with a sun of 32' in diameter, would give a multiplier of only 1915, and when increased sevenfold (as was usually the case), by interposing a concentrating lens. 13405 instead of 47000. The heat to which the comet was

V ol cr is, in Vo

subjected therefore surpassed that in the focus of the lens in question, on the lowest calculation, in the proportion of $24\frac{1}{2}$ to 1 without, or $3\frac{1}{2}$ to 1 with the concentrating lens. Yet that lens, so used, melted carnelian, agate, and rock crystal!

(593.) To this extremity of heat however the comet was exposed but for a short time. Its actual velocity in perihelio was no less than 366 miles per second, and the whole of that segment of its orbit above (i.e. north of) the plane of the ecliptic, and in which, as will appear from a consideration of the elements, the perihelion was situated, was described in little more than two hours; such being the whole duration of the time from the ascending to the descending node, or in which the comet had north latitude. Arrived at the descending node, its distance from the sun would be already doubled, and the radiation reduced to one fourth of its maximum The comet of 1680, whose perihelion distance was amount. 0.0062, and which therefore approached the sun's surface within one third part of his radius (more than double the distance of the comet now in question) was computed by Newton to have been subjected to an intensity of heat 2000 times that of red-hot iron,-a term of comparison indeed of a very vague description, and which modern thermotics do not recognize as affording a legitimate measure of radiant heat.*

(594.) Although some of the observations of this comet were vague and inaccurate, yet there seem good grounds for believing that its whole course cannot be reconciled with a parabolic orbit, and that it really describes an ellipse. Previous to any calculation, it was remarked that in the year 1668 the tail of an immense comet was seen in Lisbon, at Bologna, in Brazil, and elsewhere, occupying nearly the same situation among the stars, and at the same season of the year, viz. on the 5th of March and the following days.

401

le is the ts which ch to the apparent th's mean 66, which by taking , or about therefore, in about a ile to cont place, the the sun at oportionally hemisphere of the earth, 2' 1"'.1. At ter of the sun rical surfaces ry) is that of nese angles to roportion are wn by the sun th and at the imagine the ns such as we of which the actical idea of Parker's great length six feet the light and perfect, (both to enlarge the nich, compared ameter, would eased sevenfold centrating lens, the comet was

^{*} A transit of the comet of 1843 over the sun's disc must probably have taken place shortly after its passage through its descending node. It is greatly to be regretted that so interesting a phænomenon should have passed unobserved. Whether it be possible that some offset of its tail, darted off so late as the 7th of March, when the comet was already far south of the celiptic, should have crossed that plane and been seen near the Pleiades, may be doubted. Certain it is, that on the evening of that day, a decidedly cometic ray was seen in the immediate neighbourhood of those stars by Mr. Nasmyth. (Ast. Soc Notices, vol. v. p. 270.)

402

Its brightness was such that its reflected trace was easily distinguished on the sea. The head, when it at length came in sight, was comparatively faint and scarce discernible. No precise observations were made of this comet, but the singular coincidence of situation, season of the year, and physical resemblance, excited a strong suspicion of the identity of the two bodies, implying a period of 175 years within a day or two more or less. This suspicion has been converted almost into a certainty by a careful examination of what is recorded of the older comet. Locating on a celestial chart the situation of the head, concluded from the direction and appearance of the tail, when only that was seen, and its visible place. when mentioned, according to the descriptions given, it has been found practicable to derive a rough orbit from the course thus laid down: and this agrees in all its features so well with that of the modern comet as nearly to remove all doubt on the subject. Comets, moreover, are recorded to have been seen in A. D. 268, 442-3, 791, 968, 1143, 1317, 1494, which may have been returns of this, since the period above-mentioned would bring round its appearance to the years 268, 443, 618, 793, 968, 1143, 1318, and 1493, and a certain latitude must always be allowed for unknown perturbations.

(595.) But this is not the only comet on record whose identity with the comet of '43 has been maintained. In 1689 a comet bearing a considerable resemblance to it was observed from the 8th to the 23d of December, and from the few and rudely observed places recorded, its elements had been calculated by Pingré, one of the most diligent enquirers into this part of astronomy.* From these it appears that the perihelion distance of that comet was very remarkably small, and a sufficient though indeed rough coincidence in the places of the perihelion and node tended to corroborate the suspicion. But the inclination (69°) assigned to it by Pingré appeared conclusive against it. On recomputing the elements, however, from his data, Professor Pierce has assigned to that comet an inclination widely differing from Pingré's, viz.

9

ol

C

on

* Author of the "Cométographie," a work indispensable to all who would study this interesting department of the science.

GREAT COMET OF 1843.

was easily ngth came ible. No ie singular d physical tity of the n a day or ted almost is recorded t the situaappearance sible place, iven, it has t from the its features y to remove recorded to 1143, 1317, e the period rance to the 1493, and a nown pertur-

ecord whose ntained. In ce to it was er, and from elements had ent enquirers appears that y remarkably idence in the roborate the it by Pingré the elements, gned to that Pingré's, viz.

o all who would

30° 4'*, and quite within reasonable limits of resemblance. But how does this agree with the longer period of 175 years before assigned? To reconcile this we must suppose that these 175 years comprise at least eight returns of the comet, and that in effect a mean period of 213.875 must be allowed for its return. Now it is worth remarking that this period calculated backwards from 1843.156 will bring us upon a series of years remarkable for the appearance of great comets, many of which, as well as the imperfect descriptions we have of their appearance and situation in the heavens, offer at least no obvious contradiction to the supposition of their identity with this. Besides those already mentioned as indicated by the period of 175 years, we may specify as probable or possible intermediate returns, those of the comets of 1733? 1689 above-mentioned, 1559?, 1537⁺, 1515[§], 1471, 1426, 1405-6, 1383, 1361, 1340 , 1296, 1274, 1230 , 1208, 1098, 1056, 1034, 1012**, 990? ††, 925?, 858??, 684 ‡‡, 552, 530 §§, 421, 245 or 247 |||, 180 ¶¶, 158. Should this view of the subject be the true one, we may expect its return about

* United States Gazette, May 29. 1843. Considering that all the observations lie near the descending node of the orbit, the proximity of the comet at that time to the sun, and the loose nature of the recorded observations, no doubt almost any given inclination *might* be deduced from them. The true test in such cases is not to ascend from the old incorrect data to elements, but to descend from known and certain elements to the older data, and ascertain whether the recorded phænomena can be represented by them (perturbations included) within fair limits of interpretation. Such is the course pursued by Clausen

+ P. Passage 1733.781. The great southern comet of May 17th seems too early in the year.

t P. P. 1536 906. In January 1537, a comet was seen in Pisces.

A comet predicted the death of Ferdinand the Catholic § P. P. 1515.031. He died Jan. 23. 1515.

| P. P. 1340'031. Evidently a southern comet, and a very probable appearance.

9 P. P. 1230.656, was perhaps a return of Halley's. ** P. P. 1011.906. In 1012, *e* very great comet in the southern part of the heavens. "Son éclat blessait les yeux." (Pingré Cométographie, from whom all these recorded appearances are taken.)

17 P. P. 990.031. " Comète fort épouvantable," some year between 989 and 998

P. P. 683.781. In 684, appeared two or three comets. Dates begin to be obscure

§§ Two distinct comets appeared in 530 and 531, the former observed in China, the latter in Europe.

P. P. 246.281; both southern comets of the Chinese annals. The year of one or other may be wrong.

19 P. P. 180 656. Nov. 6. A.D. 180. A southern con et of the Chinese annals,

p n 2

the end of 1864 or beginning of 1865, in which event it will be observable in the Southern Hemisphere both before and after its perihelion passage.*

(596.) M. Clausen, from the assemblage of all the observations of this comet known to him, has calculated elliptic elements which give the extraordinarily short period of 6.38 years. And in effect it has been suggested that a still further subdivision of the period of 21.875 into three of 7.292 years would reconcile this with other remarkable comets. This seems going too far, but at all events the possibility of representing its motions by so short an ellipse will easily reconcile us to the admission of a period of 21 years. That it should only be visible in certain apparitions, and not in others, is sufficiently explained by the situation of its orbit.

(597.) We have been somewhat diffuse on the subject of this comet, for the sake of showing the degree and kind of interest which attaches to cometic astronomy in the present state of the science. In fact, there is no branch of astronomy more replete with interest, and we may add more eagerly pursued at present, inasmuch as the hold which exact calculation gives us on it may be regarded as completely established; so that whatever may be concluded as to the motions of any comet which shall henceforward come to be observed, will be concluded on sure grounds and with numerical precision; while the improvements which have been introduced into the calculation of cometary perturbation, and the daily increasing familiarity of numerous astronomers with computations of this nature, enable us to trace their past and future history with a certainty, which at the commencement of the present century could hardly have been looked upon as attainable. Every comet newly discovered is at once subjected to the ordeal of a most rigorous enquiry. Its elements, roughly calculated within a few days of its appearance, are gradually approximated to as observations accumulate, by a

* Clausen, Astron. Nachr. No. 415. Mr. Cooper's remarks on this comet in his Catalogue of Comets (notes, p. xviii.) go to assign by far the greatest weight of probability to a period of 35.17 for this comet.

INTEREST ATTACHED TO COMETARY ASTRONOMY. 405

multitude of ardent and expert computists. On the least indication of a deviation from a parabolic orbit, its elliptic elements become a subject of universal and lively interest and discussion. Old records are ransacked, and old observations reduced, with all the advantage of improved data and methods, so as to rescue from oblivion the orbits of ancient comets which present any similarity to that of the new visitor. The disturbances undergone in the interval by the action of the planets are investigated, and the past, thus brought into unbroken connection with the present, is made to afford substantial ground for prediction of the future. A great impulse meanwhile has been given of late years to the discovery of comets by the establishment in 1840*, by his late Majesty the King of Denmark, of a prize medal to be awarded for every such discovery, to the first observer, (the influence of which may be most unequivocally traced in the great number of these bodies which every successive year sees added to our list,) and by the circulation of notices, by special letter †, of every such discovery (accompanied, when possible, by an ephemeris), to all observers who have shown that they take an interest in the enquiry, so as to ensure the full and complete observation of the new comet so long as it remains within the reach of our telescopes. Among the observers who have been most successful in the discovery of comets, we find no less than 29 discovered by Pons, 14 by Messier, and 10 by Méchain, 8 by De Vico, 8 by Miss C. Herschelwho, however, is not the only female observer of these bodies, the comet of 1847 having been independently detected by two ladies, Miss Maria Mitchell, of Nantucket, U.S., and Madame Rümker, of Hamburg, the priority lying with the American astronomess.

(598.) It is by no means merely as a subject of antiquarian interest, or on account of the brilliant spectacle which comets occasionally afford, that astronomers attach a high degree of importance to all that regards them. Apart even from the singularity and mystery which appertains to their physical

DD3

vent it will before and

the obserted elliptic period of that a still to three of remarkable events the et an ellipse period of 21 apparitions, the situation

he subject of and kind of the present of astronomy more eagerly exact calcupletely estao the motions be observed, umerical pren introduced and the daily with compust and future ement of the ked upon as at once sub-Its elements, pearance, are mulate, by a

> on this comet in e greatest weight

^{*} See the announcement of this institution in Astron, Nachr. No. 400.

⁺ By the late Prof. Schumacher, Director of the Royal Observatory of Altona.

constitution, they have become, through the medium of exact calculation, unexpected instruments of enquiry into points connected with the planetary system itself, of no small importance. We have seen that the movements of the comet Encke, thus minutely and perseveringly traced by the eminent astronomer whose name is used to distinguish it, has afforded ground for believing in the presence of a resisting medium filling the whole of our system. Similar enquiries. prosecuted in the cases of other periodical comets, will extend. confirm, or modify our conclusions on this head. The perturbations, too, which comets experience in passing near any of the planets, may afford, and have afforded, information as to the magnitude of the disturbing masses, which could not well be otherwise obtained. Thus the approach of this comet to the planet Mercury in 1838 afforded an estimation of the mass of that planet the more precious, by reason of the great uncertainty under which all previous determinations of that element laboured. Its approach to the same planet in the year 1848 was still nearer. On the 22d of November their mutual distance was only fifteen times the moon's distance from the earth.

(599.) It is, however, in a physical point of view that these bodies offer the greatest stimulus to our curiosity. There is, beyond question, some profound secret and mystery of nature concerned in the phænomenon of their tails. Perhaps it is not too much to hope that future observation, borrowing every aid from rational speculation, grounded on the progress of physical science generally, (especially those branches of it which relate to the ætherial or imponderable elements,) may ere long enable us to penetrate this mystery, and to declare whether it is really *matter* in the ordinary acceptation of the term which is projected from their heads with such extravagant velocity, and if not impelled, at least directed in its course by a reference to the sun, as its point of avoidance. In no respect is the question as to the materiality of the tail more forcibly pressed on us for consideration, than in that of the enormous sweep which it makes round the sun in perihelio, in the manner of a straight and rigid rod, in defiance of the

GENERAL REMARKS.

m of exact nto points small imthe comet d by the uish it, has a resisting c enquiries, vill extend,

The perng near any ormation as h could not f this comet ation of the of the great ions of that lanet in the rember their m's distance

w that these v. There is, ry of nature Perhaps it is borrowing the progress anches of it nents,) may l to declare ation of the such extraected in its avoidance. of the tail h in that of in periheance of the law of gravitation, nay, even of the received laws of motion, extending (as we have seen in the comets of 1680 and 1843) from near the sun's surface to the earth's orbit, yet whirled round unbroken : in the latter case through an angle of 180° in little more than two hours. It seems utterly incredible that in such a case it is one and the same material object which is thus brandished. If there could be conceived such a thing as a negative shadow, a momentary impression made upon the luminiferous ather behind the comet, this would represent in some degree the conception such a phænomenon irresistibly calls up. But this is not all. Even such an extraordinary excitement of the æther, conceive it as we will, will afford no account of the projection of lateral streamers; of the effusion of light from the nucleus of a comet towards the sun; and its subsequent rejection; of the irregular and capricious mode in which that effusion has been seen to take place; none of the clear indications of alternate evaporation and condensation going on in the immense regions of space occupied by the tail and coma, - none, in short, of innumerable other facts which link themselves with almost equally irresistible cogency to our ordinary notions of matter and force.

(600.) The great number of comets which appear to move in parabolic orbits, or orbits at least undistinguishable from parabolas during their description of that comparatively small part within the range of their visibility to us, has given rise to an impression that they are bodies extraneous to our system, wandering through space, and merely yielding a local and temporary obedience to its laws during their sojourn. What truth there may be in this view, we may never have satisfactory grounds for deciding. On such an hypothesis, our elliptic comets owe their permanent denizenship within the sphere of the sun's predominant attraction to the action of one or other of the planets near which they may have passed, in such a manner as to diminish their velocity, and render it compatible with elliptic motion.* A similar cause

* The velocity in an ellipse is always less than in a parabola, at equal distances from the sun; in an hyperbola always greater.

v v 4

408

acting the other way, might with equal probability give rise to a hyperbolic motion. But whereas in the former case the comet would remain in the system, and might make an indefinite number of revolutions, in the latter it would return no more. This may possibly be the cause of the exceedingly rare occurrence of a hyperbolic comet as compared with elliptic ones.

(601.) All the planets without exception, and almost all the satellites, circulate in one direction. Retrograde comets, however, are of very common occurrence, which certainly would go to assign them an exterior or at least an independent origin. Laplace, from a consideration of all the cometary orbits known in the earlier part of the present century, concluded, that the mean or average situation of the planes of all the cometary orbits, with respect to the ecliptic, was so nearly that of perpendicularity, as to afford no presumption of any cause biassing their directions in this respect. Yet we think it worth noticing that among the comets which are as yet known to describe elliptic orbits, not one whose inclination is under 17° is retrograde; and that out of thirtysix comets which have had elliptic elements assigned to them, whether of great or small excentricities, and without any limit of inclination, only five are retrograde, and of these, only two, viz. Halley's and the great comet of 1843, can be regarded as satisfactorily made out. Finally, of the 125 comets whose elements are given in the collection of Schumacher and Olbers, up to 1823, the number of retrograde comets under 10° of inclination is only 2 out of 9, and under 20°, 7 out of 23.* A plane of motion, therefore, nearly coincident with the ecliptic, and a periodical return, are circumstances eminently favourable to direct revolution in the cometary as they are decisive among the planetary orbits. [Here also we may notice a very curious remark of Mr. Hind, (Ast. Nachr. No. 724.) respecting periodic comets, viz., that so far as at present known, they divide themselves for the most part into two families,-the one having periods of about

* So in edition of 1850. See, however, Appendix, Table IV., for a more recent view of these statistical particulars.

HIND ON PERIODICAL COMETS.

409

give rise case the an indeceturn no ceedingly red with

d almost etrograde e, which t least an of all the esent cenon of the ie ecliptic, d no preis respect. nets which one whose t of thirtyed to them, ithout any l of these, 43, can be f the 125 h of Schuretrograde and under nearly corn, are cirtion in the tary orbits. Mr. Hind, s, viz., that ves for the ds of about

for a more re-

75 years, corresponding to a mean distunce about that of Uranus; the other corresponding more nearly with those of the asteroids, and with a mean distance between these small planets and Jupiter. The former group consists of four members, Halley's comet revolving in 76 years, one discovered by Olbers in 74, De Vico's 4th comet in 73, and Brorsen's 3d in 75 respectively. Examples of the latter groupe are to be seen in the table, p. 652., at the end of this volume.]

We may add, too, that a marked tendency in the major axes of periodical comets to groupe themselves about a certain determinate direction in space, that is to say, a line pointing to the sphere of the fixed stars northward to 70° long. and 30° N. lat. or nearly towards the star λ Persei (in the Milky Way), and in the southern to a point (also in the Milky Way) diametrically opposite. (Ast. Nachr. No. 853.)

(601 a.) The third great comet of the present century (those of 1811 and 1843 being the other two) appeared from June 2, 1858, to January, 1859, being known as Donati's comet, from its first discoverer. Its head was remarkably brilliant; and its tail, like a vast aigrette or gracefully-curved plume, extended, when longest, over a space of upwards of 30°. Its curvature was very marked, deflecting towards the region quitted by the comet, as if left behind (no proof, as generally supposed, of any resistance experienced in its motion, but a necessary consequence of the combination of its impulse outwards from the sun with the proper velocity of the comet at the moment of its emission). The American observers speak of two long, narrow, perfectly straight rays of faint light, tangents to the limiting curves of the aigrette at its quitting the head. The phænomena of the nucleus under high magnifying powers were very complex and remarkable. In each of the years 1861, 1862, appeared great comets: that of 1861, through, or very near whose tail the earth passed on the 30th of July, was remarkable for the great length and straitness of one side of its tail; that of 1862 for the high condensation of the nucleus and of the single jet issuing from it.

PART II.

OF THE LUNAR AND PLANETARY PERTURBATIONS.

" Magnus ab integro sæclorum nascitur ordo." - VIBO. Pollio.

CHAPTER XII.

SUBJECT PROPOUNDED. - PROBLEM OF THREE BODIES. - SUPER-POSITION OF SMALL MOTIONS. -- ESTIMATION OF THE DISTURBING FORCE. --- ITS GEOMETRICAL REPRESENTATION. --- NUMERICAL ES-TIMATION IN PARTICULAR CASES. - RESOLUTION INTO RECT-ANGULAR COMPONENTS. --- RADIAL, TRANSVERSAL, AND ORTHO-GONAL DISTURBING FORCES. --- NORMAL AND TANGENTIAL. --- THEIR CHARACTERISTIC EFFECTS. -EFFECTS OF THE ORTHOGONAL FORCE. - MOTION OF THE NODES. - CONDITIONS OF THEIR ADVANCE AND RECESS. - CASES OF AN EXTERIOR PLANET DISTURBED BY AN INTERIOR .--- THE REVERSE CASE. --- IN EVERY CASE THE NODE OF THE DISTURBED ORBIT RECEDES ON THE PLANE OF THE DISTURBING ON AN AVERAGE. -- COMBINED EFFECT OF MANY SUCH DISTURBANCES. - MOTION OF THE MOON'S NODES. - CHANGE OF INCLINATION. - CONDITIONS OF ITS INCREASE AND DIMINUTION. - AVERAGE EFFECT IN A WHOLE REVOLUTION. - COMPENSATION IN A COMPLETE REVOLUTION OF THE NODES. - LAGRANGE'S THEOREM OF THE STABILITY OF THE INCLINATIONS OF THE PLA-NETARY ORBITS. - CHANGE OF OBLIQUITY OF THE ECLIPTIC. -PRECESSION OF THE EQUINOXES EXPLAINED. --- NUTATION .--- PRIN-CIPLE OF FORCED VIBRATIONS.

(602.) In the progress of this work, we have more than once called the reader's attention to the existence of inequalities in the lunar and planetary motions not included in the expression of Kepler's laws, but in some sort supplementary to them, and of an order so far subordinate to those leading features of the celestial movements, as to require, for their detection, nicer observations, and longer-continued comparison between facts and theories, than suffice for the establishment and verification of the elliptic theory. These inequalities

PERTURBATIONS.

are known, in physical astronomy, by the name of perturba-They arise, in the case of the primary planets, from tions. the mutual gravitations of these planets towards each other, which derange their elliptic motions round the sun; and in that of the secondaries, partly from the mutual gravitation of the secondaries of the same system similarly deranging their elliptic motions round their common primary, and partly from the unequal attraction of the sun and planets on them and on their primary. These perturbations, although small, and, in most instances, insensible in short intervals of time, yet, when accumulated, as some of them may become, in the lapse of ages, alter very greatly the original elliptic relations, so as to render the same elements of the planetary orbits, which at one epoch represented perfectly well their movements, inadequate and unsatisfactory after long intervals of time.

(603.) When Newton first reasoned his way from the broad features of the celestial motions, up to the law of universal gravitation, as affecting all matter, and rendering every particle in the universe subject to the influence of every other, he was not unaware of the modifications which this generalization would induce upon the results of a more partial and limited application of the same law to the revolutions of the planets about the sun, and the satellites about their primaries, as their only centers of attraction. So far from it, his extraordinary sagacity enabled him to perceive very distinctly how several of the most important of the lunar inequalities take their origin, in this more general way of conceiving the agency of the attractive power, especially the retrograde motion of the nodes, and the direct revolution of the apsides of her orbit. And if he did not extend his investigations to the mutual perturbations of the planets, it was not for want of perceiving that such perturbations must exist, and might go the length of producing great derangements from the actual state of the system, but was owing to the then undeveloped state of the practical part of astronomy, which had not yet attained the precision requisite to make such an attempt inviting, or indeed feasible. What

IONS.

lio.

- SUPER-DISTURBING ERICAL ES-NTO RECT-ND ORTHO-AL. --- THEIR NAL FORCE. R ADVANCE STURBED BY E THE NODE NE OF THE MANY SUCH CHANGE OF DIMINUTION. MPENSATION LAGRANGE'S OF THE PLA-ECLIPTIC. -10N.--- PRIN-

e than once inequalities led in the plementary lose leading re, for their comparison tablishment inequalities

Newton left undone, however, his successors have accomplished; and, at this day, it is hardly too much to assert that there is not a single perturbation, great or small, which observation has become precise enough clearly to detect and place in evidence which has not been traced up to its origin in the mutual gravitation of the parts of our system, and minutely accounted for, in its numerical amount and value, by strict calculation on Newton's principles.

(604.) Calculations of this nature require a very high analysis for their successful performance, such as is far beyond the scope and object of this work to attempt exhibiting. The reader who would master them must prepare himself for the undertaking by an extensive course of preparatory study, and must ascend by steps which we must not here even digress to point out. It will be our object, in this chapter, however, to give some general insight into the nature and manner of operation of the acting forces, and to point out what are the circumstances which, in some cases, give them a high degree of efficiency — a sort of purchase on the balance of the system; while, in others, with no less amount of intensity, their effective agency in producing extensive and lasting changes is compensated or rendered abortive; as well as to explain the nature of those admirable results respecting the stability of our system, to which the researches of geometers have conducted them; and which, under the form of mathematical theorems of great simplicity and elegance, involve the history of the past and future state of the planetary orbits during ages, of which, contemplating the subject in this point of view, we neither perceive the beginning nor the end.

(605.) Were there no other bodies in the universe but the sun and one planet, the latter would describe an exact ellipse about the former (or both round their common center of gravity), and continue to perform its revolutions in one and the same orbit for ever; but the moment we add to our combination a third body, the attraction of this \mathbf{v} ." draw both the former bodies out of their mutual orbits, and, by acting on them unequally, will disturb their relation to each

SUPERPOSITION OF SMALL MOTIONS.

accomsert that l, which etect aud its origin tem, and ad value,

very high ar beyond xhibiting. imself for ory study, here even is chapter, nature and point out give them he balance amount of ensive and e; as well respecting earches of er the form l elegance, e planetary pject in this ng nor the

> se but the cact ellipse center of in one and dd to our will draw s, and, by ion to each

other, and put an end to the rigorous and mathematical exactness of their elliptic motions, not only about a fixed point in space, but about one another. From this way of propounding the subject, we see that it is not the whole attraction of the newly-introduced body which produces perturbation, but *the difference* of its attractions on the two originally present.

(606.) Compared to the sun, all the planets are of extreme minuteness; the mass of Jupiter, the greatest of them all, being not more than about one 1100th part that of the sun. Their attractions on each other, therefore, are all very feeble, compared with the presiding central power, and the effects of their disturbing forces are proportionally minute. In the case of the secondaries, the chief agent by which their motions are deranged is the sun itself, whose mass is indeed great, but whose disturbing influence is immensely diminished by their near proximity to their primaries, compared to their distances from the sun, which renders the difference of attractions on both extremely small, compared to the whole amount. In this case the greatest part of the sun's attraction, viz. that which is common to both, is exerted to retain both primary and secondary in their common orbit about itself, and prevent their parting company. Only the small overplus of force on one as compared with the other acts as a disturbing power. The mean value of this overplus, in the case of the moon disturbed by the sun, is calculated by Newton to amount to no higher a fraction than $\frac{1}{638000}$ of gravity at the earth's surface, or $\frac{1}{17\pi}$ of the principal force which retains the moon in its orbit.

(607.) From this extreme minuteness of the intensities of the disturbing, compared to the principal forces, and the consequent smallness of their momentary effects, it happens that we can estimate each of these effects separately, as if the others did not take place, without fear of inducing error in our conclusions beyond the limits necessarily incident to a first approximation. It is a principle in mechanics, immediately flowing from the primary relations between forces and the motions they produce, that when a number of very

414

minute forces act at once on a system, their joint effect is the sum or aggregate of their separate effects, at least within such limits, that the original relation of the parts of the system shall not have been materially changed by their Such effects supervening on the greater movements action. due to the action of the primary forces may be compared to the small riplings caused by a thousand varying breezes on the broad and regular swell of a deep and rolling ocean, which run on as if the surface were a plane, and cross in all directions without interfering, each as if the other had no It is only when their effects become accumulated existence. in lapse of time, so as to alter the primary relations or data of the system, that it becomes necessary to have especial regard to the changes correspondingly introduced into the estimation of their momentary efficiency, by which the rate of the subsequent changes is affected, and periods or cycles of immense length take their origin. From this consideration arise some of the most curious theories of physical astronomy,

(608.) Hence it is evident, that in estimating the disturbing influence of several bodies forming a system, in which one has a remarkable preponderance over all the rest, we need not embarrass ourselves with combinations of the disturbing powers one among another, unless where immensely long periods are concerned; such as consist of many hundreds of revolutions of the bodies in question about their common center. So that, in effect, so far as we propose to go into its consideration, the problem of the investigation of the perturbations of a system, however numerous, constituted as ours is, reduces itself to that of a system of three bodies: a predominant central body, a disturbing, and a disturbed; the two latter of which may exchange denominations, according as the motions of the one or the other are the subject of enquiry.

(609.) Both the intensity and direction of the disturbing force are continually varying, according to the relative situation of the disturbing and disturbed body with respect to the sun. If the attraction of the disturbing body M, on the central body S, and the disturbed body P, (by which desig-

NATURE OF THE DISTURBING FORCE.

nations, for brevity, we shall hereafter indicate them,) were equal, and acted in parallel lines, whatever might otherwise be its law of variation, there would be no deviation caused in the elliptic motion of P about S, or of each about the The case would be strictly that of art. 454.; the other. attraction of M, so circumstanced, being at every moment exactly analogous in its effects to terrestrial gravity, which acts in parallel lines, and is equally intense on all bodies, great and small. But this is not the case of nature. Whatever is stated in the subsequent article to that last cited, of the disturbing effect of the sun and moon, is, mutatis mutandis, applicable to every case of perturbation; and it must be now our business to enter, somewhat more in detail, into the general heads of the subject there merely hinted at.

(610.) To obtain clear ideas of the manner in which the disturbing force produces its various effects, we must ascertain at any given moment, and in any relative situations of the three bodies, its direction and intensity as compared with the gravitation of P towards S, in virtue of which latter force alone P would describe an ellipse about S regarded as fixed, or rather P and S about their common center of gravity in virtue of their mutual gravitation to each other. In the treatment of the problem of three bodies, it is convenient, and tends to clearness of apprehension, to regard one of them as fixed, and refer the motions of the others to it as to a relative center. In the case of two planets disturbing each other's motions, the sun is naturally chosen as this fixed center; but in that of satellites disturbing each other, or disturbed by the sun, the center of their primary is taken as their point of reference, and the sun itself is regarded in the light of a very distant and massive satellite revolving about the primary in a relative orbit, equal and similar to that which the primary describes *absolutely* round the sun. Thus the generality of our language is preserved, and when, referring to any particular central body, we speak of an exterior and an interior planet, we include the cases in which the former is the sun and the latter a satellite; as, for example, in the Lunar theory. It is a principle in dynamics, that the

ect is the st within ts of the by their novements mpared to breezes on ing ocean, cross in all her had no ccumulated ons or data we especial ed into the ich the rate ods or cycles consideration l astronomy. the disturbem, in which the rest, we is of the disre immensely any hundreds their common se to go into cation of the onstituted as ree bodies: a isturbed; the ns, according ne subject of

> he disturbing relative situth respect to dy M, on the which desig-

relative motions of a system of bodies inter se are no way altered by impressing on all of them a common motion or motions, or a common force or forces accelerating or retarding them all equally in common directions, i. e. in parallel lines. Suppose, therefore, we apply to all the three bodies, S, P, and M, alike, forces equal to those with which M and P attract S, but in opposite directions. Then will the relative motions both of M and P about S be unaltered; but S, being now urged by equal and opposite forces to and from both M and P, will remain at rest. Let us now consider how either of the other bodics, as P, stands affected by these newly-introduced forces, in addition to those which before acted on it. It is clear that now P will be simultaneously acted on by four forces; firstly, the attraction of S in the direction P S; secondly, an additional force, in the same direction, equal to its attraction on S; thirdly, the attraction of M in the direction P M; and fourthly, a force parallel to M S, and equal to M's attraction on S. Of these, the two first, following the same law of the inverse square of the distance S P, may be regarded as one force, precisely as if the sum of the masses of S and P were collected in S; and in virtue of their joint action, P will describe an ellipse about S, except in so far as that elliptic motion is disturbed by the other two forces. Thus we see that in this view of the subject the relative disturbing force acting on P is no longer the mere single attraction of M, but a force resulting from the composition of that attraction with M's attraction on S transferred to P in a contrary direction.

(611.) Let C P A be part of the relative orbit of the disturbed, and M B of the disturbing body, their planes intersecting in the line of nodes S A B, and having to each other the inclination expressed by the spherical angle P A α . In M P, produced if required, take M N : M S :: M S² : M P². Then, if S M* be taken to represent, in quantity and direction, the accelerative attraction of M on S, M S will represent

• The reader will be careful to observe the order of the letters, where forces are represented by lines. M S represents a force acting from M towards S, S M from S towards M.

S
ESTIMATION OF THE DISTURBING FORCE. 417

in quantity and direction the new force applied to P, parallel to that line, and N M will represent on the same scale the accelerative attraction of M on P. Consequently, the disturbing force acting on P will be the resultant of two forces applied at P, represented respectively by N M and M S,



which by the laws of dynamics are equivalent to a single force represented in *quantity* and *direction* by N S, *but having* P for its point of application.

(612.) The *line* N S, is easily calculated by trigonometry, when the relative situations and real distances of the bodies are known; and the *force* expressed by that line is directly comparable with the attractive forces of S on P by the following proportions, in which M, S, represent the masses of those bodies which are supposed to be known, and to which, at equal distances, their attractions are proportional: —

Disturbing force : M's attraction on S:: N S : S M;

M's attraction on S : S's attraction on M :: M : S;

S's attraction on M : S's attraction on P :: S P^2 : S M^2 : by compounding which proportions we collect as follows : —

Disturbing force : S's attraction on P :: M. N S. S P² : S. S M³.

A few numerical examples are subjoined, exhibiting the results of this calculation in particular cases, chosen so as to exemplify its application under very various circumstances,

no way otion or retardlel lines. S, P, and P attract motions ing now h M and either of vly-introted on it. ed on by ction PS; , equal to the direcand equal lowing the P, may be e masses of their joint in so far as two forces. relative disnere single mposition of ed to P in a

of the displanes interp each other P Aa. In $I S^2 : M P^2$. and direction, ill represent

rs, where forces towards S, S M

throughout the planetary system. In each case the numbers set down express the proportion in which the central force retaining the disturbed body in its elliptic orbit exceeds the disturbing force, to the nearest whole number. The calculation is made for three positions of the disturbing body — viz. at its greatest, its least, and its mean distance from the disturbed.

Disturbing Bedy.	Disturbed Body.	Ratio at the greatest Dis- tance : i.	llatio at the mean Distance. : 1.	Ratio at the least Distance. : 1.
The Sun -	The Moon -	90	179	89
Jupiter	Saturn	854	312	128
Jupiter	The Earth -	95683	147575	53268
Venus	The Earth -	255208	210245	26833
Neptune -	Uranus	57420	56592	5519
Mercury -	Neptune -	845	845	845
Jupiter	Ceres	6493	6937	1033
Saturn	Jupiter	20248	21579	3065

(613.) If the orbit of the disturbing body be circular, S M is invariable. In this case, N S will continue to represent the disturbing force on the same invariable scale, whatever may be the configuration of the three bodies with respect to each other. If the orbit of M be but little elliptic, the same will be nearly the case. In what follows throughout this chapter, except where the contrary is expressly mentioned, we shall neglect the excentricity of the disturbing orbit.

(614.) If P be nearer to M than S is, M N is greater than MP, and N lies in MP prolonged, and therefore on the opposite side of the plane of P's orbit from that on which M The force N S therefore urges P towards M's is situated. plane, and towards a point X, situated between S and M, in the line S.M. If the distance M.P be equal to M.S as when P is situated, suppose, at D or E, M N is also equal to M P or M S, so that N coincides with P, and therefore X with S, the disturbing forces being in these cases directed towards the central body. But if M P be greater than M S, M N is less than MP, and N lies between M and P, or on the same side of the plane of P's orbit that M is situated on. The force N S, therefore, applied at P, urges P towards the contrary side of that plane towards a point in the line M S pro-

ESTIMATION OF THE DISTURBING FORCE. 419

e numbers da ntral force c xceeds the h he calculaody — viz.

om the dis-



circular, S M represent the vhatever may espect to each the same will t this chapter, ned, we shall

s greater than erefore on the t on which M towards M's n S and M, in o M S as when equal to M P fore X with S, rected towards h M S, M N is or on the same ated on. The wards the conline M S produced, so that X now shifts to the farther side of S. In all cases, the disturbing force is wholly effective in the plane M P S, in which the three bodies lie.



It is very important for the student to fix distinctly and bear constantly in his mind these relations of the disturbing agency considered as a single unresolved force, since their recollection will preserve him from many mistakes in conceiving the mutual actions of the planets, &c. on each other. For example, in the figures here referred to, that of Art. 611. corresponds to the case of a nearer disturbed by a more distant body, as the earth by Jupiter, or the moon by the Sun; and that of the present article to the converse case: as, for instance, of Mars disturbed by the earth. Now, in this latter class of cases, whenever M P is greater than M S, or SP greater than 2 SM, N lies on the same side of the plane of P's orbit with M, so that N S, the disturbing force, contrary to what might at first be supposed, always urges the disturbed planet out of the plane of its orbit towards the opposite side to that on which the disturbing planet lies. It will tend greatly to give clearness and definiteness to his ideas on the subject, if he will trace out on various suppositions as to the relative magnitude of the disturbing and disturbed orbits (supposed to lie in one plane) the form of the oval about M considered as a fixed point, in which the point N lies when P makes a complete revolution round S.

(615.) Although it is necessary for obtaining in the first

instance a clear conception of the action of the disturbing force, to consider it in this way as a single force having a definite direction in space and a determinate intensity, yet as that direction is continually varying with the position of N S, both with respect to the radii S P, S M, the distance P M, and the direction of P's motion, it would be impossible, by so considering it, to attain clear views of its dynamical effect after any considerable lapse of time, and it therefore becomes necessary to resolve it into other equivalent forces acting in such directions as shall admit of distinct and separate consideration. Now this may be done in several different. modes. First, we may resolve it into three forces acting in fixed directions in space rectangular to one another, and by estimating its effect in each of these three directions separately, conclude the total or joint effect. This is the mode of procedure which affords the rendiest and most advantageous handle to the problem of perturbations when taken up in all its generality, and is accordingly that resorted to by geometers of the modern school in all their profound researches on the subject. Another mode consists in resolving it also into three rectangular components, not, however, in fixed directions, but in variable ones, viz. in the directions of the lines N Q, Q L, and L S, of which L S is in the direction of the radius vector S P, Q L in a direction perpendicular to it, and in the plane in which SP and a tangent to P's orbit at P both lie; and lastly, N Q in a direction perpendicular to the plane in which P is at the instant moving The first of these resolved portions we may term about S. the radial component of the disturbing force, or simply the radial disturbing force; the second the transversal; and the third the orthogonal.* When the disturbed orbit is one of small excentricity, the transversal component acts nearly in the direction of the tangent to P's orbit at P, and is therefore confounded with that resolved component which we shall presently describe (art. 618.) under the name of the tangential

• This is a term coined for the occasion. The want of some appellation for this component of the disturbing force is often felt.

RESOLUTION OF THE DISTURBING FORCE. 421

force. This is the mode of resolving the disturbing force followed by Newton and his immediate successors.

(616.) The immediate actions of these components of the disturbing force are evidently independent of each other, being reetangular in their directions; and they affect the movement of the disturbed body in modes perfectly distinct and characteristic. Thus, the radial component, being directed to or from the control body, has no tendency to disturb either the plane of 1's orbit, or the equable description of areas by P about S, since the law of areas proportional to the times is not a character of the force of gravity only, but holds good equally, whatever be the force which retains a body in an orbit, provided only its direction is always towards a fixed center." Inasmuch, however, as its law of variation is not conformable to the simple law of gravity, it alters the elliptic form of P's orbit, by directly affecting both its enrvature and velocity at every point. In virtue, therefore, of the action of this disturbing force, the orbit deviates from the elliptic form by the approach or recess of P to or from S, so that the effect of the perturbations produced by this part of the disturbing force falls wholly on the radius vector of the disturbed orbit.

(617.) The transversal disturbing force represented by Q.L. on the other hand, has no direct action to draw P to or from S. Its whole efficiency is directed to accelerate or retard P's motion in a direction at right angles to S.P. Now the area momentarily described by P about S, is, cateris paribus, directly as the velocity of P in a direction perpendicular Whatever force, therefore, increases this transverse to S.P. velocity of P, accelerates the description of areas, and vice versâ. With the area ASP is directly connected, by the nature of the ellipse, the angle ASP described or to be described by P from a fixed line in the plane of the orbit, so that any change in the rate of description of areas ultimately resolves itself into a change in the amount of angular motion about S, and gives rise to a departure from the elliptic laws. Hence arise what are called in the perturbational theory

me appellation for

turbing

aving a

, yet us

ition of

distanco

po.sible,

ynamical

therefore

ent forces

and sepa-

1 different

acting in

r, and by

tions sepa-

the mode

ost advan-

when taken

sorted to by

rofound re-

in resolving

however, in

he directions

in the direc-

perpendienlar

ngent to P's

ction perpen-

stant moving

we may term

or simply the

rsal; and the

rbit is one of

s nearly in the

d is therefore

hich we shall

the tangential

• Newton, i. 1. E E 3

equations (*i.e.* changes or fluctuations to and fro about an average quantity) of the mean motion of the disturbed body.

(618.) There is yet another mode of resolving the disturbing force into rectangular components, which, though not so well adapted to the computation of results, in reducing to numerical calculation the motions of the disturbed body, is fitted to afford a clearer insight into the nature of the modifications which the form, magnitude, and situation of its orbit undergo in virtue of its action, and which we shall therefore employ in preference. It consists in estimating the components of the disturbing force, which lie in the plane of the orbit, not in the direction we have termed radial and transversal, i. e. in that of the radius vector P S and perpendicular to it, but in the direction of a tangent to the orbit at P, and in that of a normal to the curve, and at right angles to the tangent, for which reason these components may be called the tangential and normal disturbing When the orbit of the disturbed body is circular, or forces. nearly so, this mode of resolution coincides with or differs but little from the former, but, when the ellipticity is considerapie, these directions may deviate from the radial and transversal directions to any extent. As in the Newtonian mode of resolution, the effect of the one component falls wholly upon the approach and recess of the body P to the central body S, and of the other wholly on the rate of description of areas by P round S, so in this which we are now considering, the direct effect of the one component (the normal) falls wholly on the curvature of the orbit at the point of its action, increasing that curvature when the normal force acts inwards, or towards the concavity of the orbit, and diminishing it when in the opposite direction; while, on the other hand, the tangential component is directly effective on the velocity of the disturbed body, increasing or diminishing it according as its direction conspires with or opposes its It is evident enough that where the object is to motion. trace simply the changes produced by the disturbing force, in angle and distance from the central body, the former mode

in

n th

6i by

wi

po

lin

EFFECTS OF THE ORTHOGONAL FORCE.

of resolution must have the advantage in perspicuity of view and applicability to calculation. It is less obvious, but will abundantly appear in the sequel that the latter offers peculiar advantages in exhibiting to the eye and the reason the momentary influence of the disturbing force on the *elements* of the orbit itself.

(619.) Neither of the last mentioned pairs of resolved portions of the disturbing force tends to draw P out of the plane of its orbit PSA. But the remaining or orthogonal portion N Q acts directly and solely to produce that effect. In consequence, under the influence of this force, P must quit that plane, and (the same cause continuing in action) must describe a curve of double curvature as it is called, no two consecutive portions of which lie in the same plane passing through S. The effect of this is to produce a continual variation in those elements of the orbit of P on which the situation of its plane in space depends; i.e. on its inclination to a fixed plane, and the position in such a plane of the node or line of its intersection therewith. As this, among all the various effects of perturbation, is that which is at once the most simple in its conception, and the easiest to follow into its remoter consequences, we shall begin with its explanation.

(620.) Suppose that up to P (Art. 611. 614.) the body were describing an undisturbed orbit C.P. Then at P it would be moving in the direction of a tangent P R to the ellipse **P** A, which prolonged will intersect the plane of M's orbit somewhere in the line of nodes, as at R. Now, at P, let the disturbing force parallel to N Q act momentarily on P; then P will be deflected in the direction of that force, and instead of the arc $\mathbf{P} p$, which it would have described in the next instant if undisturbed, will describe the arc Pq lying in the state of things represented in Art. 611. below, and in Art. 614. above, $\mathbf{P}p$ with reference to the plane \mathbf{PSA} . Thus, by this action of the disturbing force, the plane of P's orbit will have shifted its position in space from PSp (an elementary portion of the old orbit) to P S q, one of the new. Now the ine of nodes SAB in the former is determined by prolonging

E E 4

about sturbed

the disugh not reducing ed body, e of the nation of we shall stimating ie in the e termed ector P S a tangent the curve, ason these disturbing circular, or differs but is considerand transonian mode falls wholly the central escription of e now conthe normal) point of its al force acts nd diminish n the other ctive on the minishing it opposes its object is to urbing force, former mode

Pp into the tangent PR, intersecting the plane MSB in R, and joining S.R. And in like manner, if we prolong Pq into the tangent Pr, meeting the same plane in r, and join Sr, this will be the new line of nodes. Thus we see that, under the circumstances expressed in the former figure, the momentary action of the orthogonal disturbing force will have caused the line of nodes to retrograde upon the plane of the orbit of the disturbing body, and under those represented in the latter to advance. And it is evident that the action of the other resolved portions of the disturbing force will not in the least interfere with this result, for neither of them tends either to carry P out of its former plane of motion, or to prevent its Their influence would merely g. to transfer the quitting it. points of intersection of the tangents P p or P q from R or r to R' or r', points nearer to or farther from S than R r, but in the same lines.

(621.) Supposing, now, M to lie to the left instead of the right side of the line of nodes in fig. 1., P retaining its situation, and M P being less than M S, so that X shall still lie between M and S. In this situation of things (or *configuration*, as it is termed of the three bodies with respect to each other), N will lie *below* the plane A S P, and the disturbing force will tend to raise the body P above the plane, the resolved orthogonal portion N Q in this case acting upwards. The disturbed are P q will therefore lie above P p, and when prolonged to meet the plane M S B, will intersect it in a point *in advance* of R; so that in this configuration the node will advance upon the plane of the orbit of M, provided always that the latter orbit remains fixed, or, at least, does not itself shift its position in such a direction as to defeat this result.

ľ

Ł

n

b

tl

(1

g

 \mathbf{p}

in

th

th

M

in

of

P

res

op

its

rev

(622.) Generally speaking, the node of the disturbed orbit will recede upon any plane which we may consider as fixed, whenever the action of the orthogonal disturbing force tends to bring the disturbed body nearer to that plane; and vice versa. This will be evident on a mere inspection of the annexed figure, in which C Λ represents a semicircle of the projection of the fixed plane as seen from S on the sphere of the heavens, and C P Λ that of the plane of P's undisturbed

MOTION OF THE NODES.

orbit, the motion of P being in the direction of the arrow, from C the ascending, to A the descending node. It is at



once seen, by prolonging Pq, Pq' into arcs of great circles, Pr, Pr', (forwards or backwards, as the case may be) to meet C A, that the node will have retrograded through the arc Ar, or Cr, whenever Pq lies between CPA and C A, or when the perturbing force carries P towards the fixed plane, but will have advanced through Ar'or Cr' when Pq' lies above C P A, or when the disturbing impulse has lifted P above its old orbit or away from the fixed plane, and this without any reference to whether the undisturbed orbitual motion of P at the moment is carrying it towards the plane C A or from it, as in the two cases represented in the figure.

(623.) Let us now consider the mutual disturbance of two bodies M and P, in the various configurations in which they may be presented to each other and to their common central body. And first, let us take the case, as the simplest, where the disturbed orbit is exterior to that of the disturbing body (as in fig. art. 614.), and the distance between the orbits greater than the semiaxis of the smaller. First, let both planets lie on the same side of the line of nodes. Then (as in art. 620.) the direction of the whole disturbing force, and therefore also that of its orthogonal component, will be towards the opposite side of the plane of P's orbit from that on which M lies. Its effect therefore will be, to draw P its plane in a direction from the plane of M's orbit, so that in this state of things the node will advance on the latter plane, however P and M may be situated in these semicirenmferences of their respective orbits. Suppose, next, M transferred to the opposite side of the line of nodes, then will the direction of its action on P, with respect to the plane of P's orbit, be reversed, and P in quitting that plane will now approach to

in R, q into n S r, her the entary sed the or the hatter to other he least ther to yent its sfer the R or rtr, her the h

d of the ituation, between a, as it is), N will vill tend thogonal rbed are to meet ce of R; npon the ter orbit position

bed orbit as fixed, ce tends and vice of the e of the phere of isturbed

instead of receding from the plane of M's orbit, so that its node will now recede on that plane.

(624.) Thus, while M and P revolve about S, and in the course of many revolutions of each are presented to each other and to S in all possible configurations, the node of P's orbit will always advance on M's when both bodies are on the same side of the line of nodes, and recede when on the opposite. They will therefore, on an average, advance and recede during equal times (supposing the orbits nearly circular). And, therefore, if their advance were at each instant of its duration equally rapid with their recess at each corresponding instant during that phase of the movement, they



b oi

Ta

A

can

Su

its

exis

rev

that

part

the

won

would merely oscillate to and fro about a mean position, without any permanent motion in either direction. But this is not the case. The rapidity of their recess in every position favourable to recess is greater than that of their advance in the corresponding opposite position. To show this, let us consider any two configurations in which M's phases are diametrically opposite, so that the triangles P S M, P S M', shall lie in one plane, having any inclination to P's orbit, according to the situation of P. Produce P S, and draw Mm, M'm' perpendicular to it, which will therefore be equal. Take M N : M S :: M S² : M P², and M' N' : M'S :: M' S² : M' P²: then, if the orbits be nearly circles, and therefore M S = M' S, N'M' will be less than M N; and therefore (since P M' is greater than P M) PN' : PM'

MOTION OF THE NODES.

in a greater ratio than PN: PM; and consequently, by similar triangles, drawing Nn, N'n' perpendicular to PS, N' n' : M' m' in a greater ratio than Nn : Mm, and therefore N'n' is greater than Nn. Now the plane PMM' intersects P's orbit in PS, and being inclined to that orbit at the same angle through its whole extent, if from N and N'perpendiculars be conceived let fall on that orbit, these will be to each other in the proportion of Nn, N'n'; and therefore the perpendicular from N' will be greater than that from N. Now since by art. 611. N'S and NS represent in quantity and direction the total disturbing forces of M' and M on P respectively, therefore these perpendiculars express (art. 615.) the orthogonal disturbing forces, the former of which tends (as above shown) to make the nodes recede, and the latter to advance; and therefore the preponderance in every such pair of situations of M is in favour of a retrograde motion.

(625.) Let us next consider the case where the distance between the orbits is less than the semiaxis of the interior, or in which the least distance of M from P is less than MS.



Take any situation of P with respect to the line of nodes A C. Then two points d and e, distant by less than 120°, can be taken on the orbit of M equidistant from P with S. Suppose M to occupy successively every possible situation in its orbit, P retaining its place; — then, if it were not for the existence of the are de, in which the relations of art, 624. are reversed, it would appear by the reasoning of that article that the motion of the node is direct when M occupies any part of the semiorbit F M B, and retrograde when it is in the opposite, but that the retrograde motion on the whole would predominate. Much more then will it predominate

t its

the each f P's re on a the e and hearly each t each t, they

osition, aut this position ince in let us ses are S M', s orbit, d draw fore be : M'S les, and N; and : P M'

when there exists an arc dMe within which if M be placed, its action will produce a retrograde instead of a direct motion.

(626.) This supposes that the arc de lies wholly in the semicircle FdB. But suppose it to lie, as in the annexed figure, partly within and partly without that circle. The greater part dB necessarily lies within it, and not only so,



428

but within that portion, the point of M's orbit nearest to P, in which, therefore, the retrograding force has its maximum, is situated. Although, therefore, in the por-

tion B e, it is true, the retrograde tendency otherwise general over the whole of *that* semicircle (Art. 624.) will be reversed, yet the effect of this will be much more than counterbalanced by the more energetic and more prolonged retrograde action over dB; and, therefore, in this case also, on the average of every possible situation of M, the motion of the node will be retrograde.

(627.) Let us lastly consider an interior planet disturbed by an exterior. Take M D and M E (fig. of art. 611.) each equal to MS. Then first, when P is between D and the node A, being nearer than S to M, the disturbing force acts towards M's orbit on the side on which M lies, and the node recedes. It also recedes when (M retaining the same situation) P is in any part of the arc E C from E to the other node, because in that situation the direction of the disturbing force, it is true, is reversed, but that portion of P's orbit being also reversely situated with respect to the plane of M's, P is still urged towards the latter plane, but on the side opposite to M. Thus, (M holding its place) whenever P is anywhere in D A or E C, the node recedes. On the other hand, it advances whenever P is between A and E or between C and D, because, in these arcs, only one of the two determining elements (viz. the direction of the disturbing force with respect to the plane of P's orbit; and the situation of the one plane with respect to the other as to above and below) has undergone reversal. Now first, whenever M is anywhere

placed, motion. y in the annexed lc. The only so, rtion, the nearest to efore, the has its ted. Alin the porse general ill be rethan counlonged res case also, the motion

isturbed by each equal the node A, acts towards recedes. It on) P is in , because in e, it is true, so reversely still urged osite to M. nywhere in er hand, it or between e two deterurbing force situation of and below) is any where but in the line of nodes, the sum of the arcs D A and E C exceeds a semicircle, and that the more, the nearer M is to a position at right angles to the line of nodes. Secondly, the arcs favourable to the recess of the node comprehend those situations in which the orthogonal disturbing force is most powerful, and vice versâ. This is evident, because as P approaches D or E, this component decreases, and vanishes at those points (612.). The movement of the node itself also vanishes when P comes to the node, for although in this position the disturbing orthogonal force neither vanishes nor changes its direction, yet, since at the instant of P's passing the node (A) the recess of the node is changed into an advance, it must necessarily at that point be stationary.* Owing to both these causes, therefore, (that the node recedes during a longer time than it advances, and that a more energetic force acting in its recess causes it to recede more rapidly,) the retrograde motion will preponderate on the whole in each complete synodic revolution of P. And it is evident that the reasoning of this and the foregoing articles, is no way vitiated by a moderate amount of excentricity in either orbit.

(628.) It is therefore a general proposition, that on the average of each complete synodic revolution, the node of every disturbed planet recedes upon the orbit of the disturbing one, or in other words, that in every pair of orbits, the

• It would seem, at first sight, as if a change *per saltum* took place here, but the continuity of the node's motion will be apparent from an inspection of the annexed figure, where bad is a portion of P's disturbed path near the node A,



concave towards the plane G Λ . The momentary place of the moving node is determined by the intersection of the tangent $b \ e$ with $\Lambda \ G$, which as b passes through a to d, recedes from Λ to a, rests there for an instant, and then advances again.

430

node of each recedes upon the other, and of course upon any intermediate plane which we may regard as fixed. On a plane not intermediate between them, however, the node of one orbit will advance, and that of the other will recede. Suppose for instance, CAC to be a plane intermediate between PP



and M M the two orbits. If p p and m m be the new positions of the orbits, the node of P on M will have receded from A to 5, that of M on P from A to 4, that of P and M on C C respectively from A to 1 and from A to 2. But if F A F be a plane not intermediate, the node of M on that plane has receded from A to 6, but that of P will have *advanced* from A to 7. If the fixed plane have not a common intersection with those of both orbits, it is equally easy to see that the node of the disturbed orbit may either recede on both that plane and the disturbing orbit or advance on the one and recede on the other, according to the relative situation of the planes.

(629.) This is the case with the planetary orbits. They do not all intersect each other in a common node. Although perfectly true, therefore, that the node of any one planet would recede on the orbit of any and each other by the individual action of that other, yet, when all act together, recess on one plane may be equivalent to advance on another, so that the motion of the node of any one orbit on a given plane, arising from their joint action, taking into account the different situations of all the planes, becomes a curiously complicated phænomenon whose law cannot be very easily expressed in

t t w to by

an the the

am

mo

the

app

larg

moo

And

with

of re

of a

amon

state

nodes

culate

of all

perfec

vation

real p

concer

be of

inclina

(63)

MOTION OF THE NODES.

P P

e new ecceded and M But if on that have ommon easy to rede on on the tuation

> They though planet the in-, recess her, so h plane, ifferent plicated ssed in

words, though reducible to strict numerical statement, being, in fact, a mere geometrical result of what is above shown.

(630.) The nodes of all the planetary orbits on the *true* celiptic, as a matter of fact, are retrograde, though they are not all so on a fixed plane, such as we may conceive to exist in the planetary system, and to be a plane of reference unaffected by their mutual disturbances. It is, however, to the ecliptic, that we are under the necessity of referring their movements from our station in the system; and if we would transfer our ideas to a fixed plane, it becomes necessary to take account of the variation of the ecliptic itself, produced by the joint action of all the planets.

(631.) Owing to the smallness of the masses of the planets, and their great distances from each other, the revolutions of their nodes are excessively slow, being in every case less than a single degree per century, and in most cases not amounting to half that quantity. It is otherwise with the moon, and that owing to two distinct reasons. First, that the disturbing force itself arising from the sun's action, (as appears from the table given in art. 612.) bears a much larger proportion to the earth's central attraction on the moon than in the case of any planet disturbed by any other. And secondly, because the synodic revolution of the moon, within which the average is struck, (and always on the side of recess) is only $29\frac{1}{2}$ days, a period much shorter than that of any of the planets, and vastly so than that of several among them. All this is agreeable to what has already been stated (art. 407, 408.) respecting the motion of the moon's nodes, and it is hardly necessary to mention that, when calculated, as it has been, à priori from an exact estimation of all the acting forces, the result is found to coincide with perfect precision with that immediately derived from observation, so that not a doubt can subsist as to this being the real process by which so remarkable an effect is produced.

(632.) So far as the physical condition of each planet is concerned, it is evident that the position of their nodes can be of little importance. It is otherwise with the mutual inclinations of their orbits with respect to each other, and to

432

the equator of each. A variation in the position of the ecliptic, for instance, by which its pole should shift its distance from the pole of the equator, would disturb our seasons. Should the plane of the earth's orbit, for instance, ever be so changed as to bring the ecliptic to coincide with the equator, we should have perpetual spring over all the world; and, on the other hand, should it coincide with a meridian, the extremes of summer and winter would become intolerable. The enquiry, then, of the variations of inclination of the planetary orbits *inter se*, is one of much higher practical interest than those of their nodes.

(633.) Referring to the figures of art. 610. et seq., it is evident that the plane SPq, in which the disturbed body moves during an instant of time from its quitting P, is differently inclined to the orbit of M, or to a fixed plane, from the original or undisturbed plane P S p. The difference of absolute position of these two planes in space is the angle made between the planes PSR and PSr, and is therefore calculable by spherical trigonometry, when the angle R Sror the momentary recess of the node is known, and also the inclination of the planes of the orbits to each other. We perceive, then, that between the momentary change of inclination, and the momentary recess of the node there exists an intimate relation, and that the research of the one is in fact bound up in that of the other. This may be, perhaps, made clearer, by considering the orbit of P to be not merely an imaginary line, but an actual circle or elliptic hoop of some rigid material, without inertia, on which, as on a wire, the body P may slide as a bead. It is evident that the position of this hoop will be determined at any instant, by its inclination to the ground plane to which it is referred, and by the place of its intersection therewith, or node. It will also be determined by the momentary direction of P's motion, which (having no inertia) it must obey; and any change by which P should, in the next instant, alter its orbit, would be equivalent to a shifting, bodily, of the whole hoop, changing at once its inclination and nodes.

(634.) One immediate conclusion from what has been

CHANGE OF INCLINATION.

on of the s distance r seasons. ever be so e equator, l; and, on idian, the ntolerable. ion of the r practical

t seq., it is irbed body tting P, is ixed plane, e difference is the angle is therefore angle RSrn, and also each other. y change of node there of the one his may be, of P to be le or elliptic which, as on evident that any instant, t is referred, or node. It ction of P's y; and any nt, alter its of the whole pointed out above, is that where the orbits, as in the case of the planetary system and the moon, are slightly inclined to one another, the momentary variations of the inclination are of an order much inferior in magnitude to those in the place of the node. This is evident on a mere inspection of our figure, the angle R Pr being, by reason of the small inclination of the planes S P R and R S r, necessarily much smaller than the angle R S r. In proportion as the planes of the orbits are brought to coincidence, a very trifling angular movement of P p about P S as an axis will make a great variation in the situation of the point r, where its prolongation intersects the ground plane.

(635.) Referring to the figure of art. 622., we perceive that although the motion of the node is retrograde whenever the momentary disturbed are P Q lies between the planes CA and CGA of the two orbits, and vice versâ, indifferently whether P be in the act of receding from the plane C A, as in the quadrant C G, or of approaching to it, as in G A, yet the same identity as to the character of the change does not subsist in respect of the inclination. The inclination of the disturbed orbit (i. e. of its momentary element) Pq or Pq', is measured by the spherical angle P r H or P r' H. Now in the quadrant C G, P r H is less, and P r' H greater than PCH; but in GA, the converse. Hence this rule:— 1st., If the disturbing force urge P towards the plane of M's orbit, and the undisturbed motion of P carry it also towards that plane; and 2dly, if the disturbing force urge P from that plane, while P's undisturbed motion also carries it from it, in either case the inclination momentarily increases; but if, 3dly, the disturbing force act to, and P's motion carry it from-or if the force act from, and the motion carry it to, that plane, the inclination momentarily diminishes. Or (including all the cases under one alternative) if the action of the disturbing force and the undisturbed motion of P with reference to the plane of M's orbit be of the same character, the inclination increases; if of contrary characters, it diminishes.

t has been

(636.) To pass from the momentary changes which take







place in the relations of nature to the accumulated effects produced in considerable lapses of time by the continued action of the same causes, under circumstances varied by these very effects, is the business of the integral calculus. Without going into any calculations, however, it will be easy for us to demonstrate from the principles above laid down, the leading features of this part of the planetary theory, viz. the periodic nature of the change of the inclinations of two orbits to each other, the re-establishment of their original values, and the consequent oscillation of each plane about a certain mean position. As in explaining the motion of the nodes, we will commence, as the simplest case, with that of an exterior planet disturbed by an interior one at less than half its distance from the central body. Let ACA' be the great circle of the heavens into which M's orbit seen from S is projected, extended into a straight line, and A g C h A' the corresponding projection of the orbit of P so seen. Let M occupy some fixed situation, suppose in the semicircle A C, and let P describe a complete revolution from A through g Ch to A'. Then while it is between A and g or in its first quadrant, its motion is from the plane of M's orbit, and at the same time the orthogonal force acts



from that plane: the inclination, therefore, (art. 635.) increases. In the second quadrant the motion of P is to, but the force continues to act from, the plane, and the inclination again decreases. A similar alternation takes place in its course through the quadrants Ch and hA. Thus the plane of P's orbit oscillates to and fro about its mean position twice in each revolution of P. During this process if M held a fixed position at G, the forces being symmetrically alike on either side, the extent of these oscillations would be exactly equal, and the inclination at the end of one revolution of P

CHANGE OF INCLINATION.

ts.

ed)y

18.

be id

ry 1a-

of

ch

l e

wu.

one Let

M's

ine,

rbit

0088

olu-

veen lane

acts

in-

but

tion

its

lane

wice eld a

e on

actly

bf P

would revert precisely to its original value. But if M be elsewhere, this will not be the case, and in a single revolution of P, only a partial compensation will be operated, and an overplus on the side, suppose of diminution, will remain outstanding. But when M comes to M', a point equidistant from G on the other side, this effect will be precisely reversed (supposing the orbits circular). On the average of both situations, therefore, the effect will be the same as if M were divided into two equal portions, one placed at M and the other at M', which will annihilate the preponderance in question and effect a perfect restoration. And on an average of all possible situations of M, the effect will in like manner be the same as if its mass were distributed over the whole circumference of its orbit, forming a ring, each portion of which will exactly destroy the effect of that similarly situated on the opposite side of the line of nodes.

(637.) The reasoning is precisely similar for the more complicated cases of arts. (625.) and (627.). Suppose that owing either to the proximity of the two orbits, (in the case of an exterior disturbed planet) or to the disturbed orbit being interior to the disturbing one, there were a larger or less portion, d e, of P's orbit in which these relations were reversed. Let M be the position of M' corresponding to d e, then taking G M' = G M, there will be a similar portion d'e' bearing precisely the same reversed relation to M', and therefore, the actions of M' M, will equally neutralize each other in this as in the former state of things.

(638.) To operate a complete and rigorous compensation, however, it is necessary that M should be presented to P in every possible configuration, not only with respect to P itself, but to the line of nodes, to the position of which line the whole reasoning bears reference. In the case of the moon for example, the disturbed body (the moon) revolves in $27^{d} \cdot 322$, the disturbing (the sun) in $365^{d} \cdot 256$, and the line of nodes in $6793^{d} \cdot 391$, numbers in proportion to each other about as 1 to 13 and 249 respectively. Now in 13 revolutions of P, and one of M, it the node remained fixed, P would have been presented to M so nearly in every configuration as to

FF 2

operate an almost exact compensation. But in 1 revolution of M, or 13 of P, the node itself has shifted $\frac{13}{240}$ or about $\frac{1}{10}$ of a revolution, in a direction opposite to the revolutions of M and P, so that although P has been brought back to the same configuration with respect to M, both are $\frac{1}{19}$ of a revolution in advance of the same configuration as respects The compensation, therefore, will not be exact, the node. and to make it so, this process must be gone through 19 times, at the end of which both the bodies will be restored to the same relative position, not only with respect to each The fractional parts of entire other, but to the node. revolutions, which in this explanation have been neglected, are evidently no farther influential than as rendering the compensation thus operated in a revolution of the node slightly inexact, and thus giving rise to a compound period of greater duration, at the end of which a compensation almost mathematically rigorous, will have been effected.

(639.) It is clear then, that if the orbits be circles, the lapse of a very moderate number of revolutions of the bodies will very nearly, and that of a revolution of the node almost exactly, bring about a perfect restoration of the inclinations. If, however, we suppose the orbits excentric, it is no less evident, owing to the want of symmetry in the distribution of the forces, that a perfect compensation will not be effected either in one or in any number of revolutions of P and M, independent of the motion of the node itself, as there will always be some configuration more favourable to either an increase of inclination than its opposite is unfavourable. Thus will arise a change of inclination which, were the nodes and apsides of the orbits fixed, would be always progressive in one direction until the planes were brought to coincidence. But, 1st, half a revolution of the nodes would of itself reverse the direction of this progression by making the position in question favour the opposite movement of inclination; and, 2dly, the planetary apsides are themselves in motion with unequal velocities, and thus the configuration whose influence destroys the balance, is, itself, always shifting its place on the orbits. The variations of inclination

STABILITY OF THE PLANETARY INCLINATIONS. 437

m

rg

of

he

8

cts

ct,

19

red

ach

tire

ted,

tho

ode

riod

nost

the

odies

most

ions.

less

ution

ected d M,

will

er an

able.

the

pro-

ht to

ıld of g the

f in-

elves ation

ways

ation

dependent on the excentricities are therefore, like those independent of them, periodical, and being, moreover, of an order more minute (by reason of the smallness of the excentricities) than the latter, it is evident that the total variation of the planetary inclinations must fluctuate within very narrow limits. Geometers have accordingly demonstrated by an accurate analysis of all the circumstances, and an exact estimation of the acting forces, that such is the case; and this is what is meant by asserting the stability of the planetary system as to the mutual inclinations of its orbits. By the researches of Lagrange (of whose analytical conduct it is impossible here to give any idea), the following elegant theorem has been demonstrated:—

"If the mass of every planet be multiplied by the square root of the major axis of its orbit, and the product by the square of the tangent of its inclination to a fixed plane, the sum of all these products will be constantly the same under the influence of their mutual attraction." If the present situation of the plane of the ecliptic be taken for that fixed plane (the ecliptic itself being variable like the other orbits), it is found that this sum is actually very small: it must, therefore, always remain so. This remarkable theorem alone, then, would guarantee the stability of the orbits of the greater planets; but from what has above been shown of the tendency of each planet to work out a compensation on every other, it is evident that the minor ones are not excluded from this beneficial arrangement.

(640.) Meanwhile, there is no doubt that the plane of the ecliptic does actually vary by the actions of the planets. The amount of this variation is about 48" per century, and has long been recognized by astronomers, by an increase of the latitudes of all the stars in certain situations, and their diminution in the opposite regions. Its effect is to bring the ecliptic by so much per annum nearer to coincidence with the equator; but from what we have above seen, this diminution of the obliquity of the ecliptic will not go on beyond certain very moderate limits, after which (although in an immense period of ages, being a compound cycle resulting from the joint action of all the planets,) it will

again increase, and thus oscillate backward and forward about a mean position, the extent of its deviation to one side and the other being less than 1° 21'.

(641.) One effect of this variation of the plane of the ecliptic,— that which causes its nodes on a fixed plane to change,— is mixed up with the precession of the equinoxes, and undistinguishable from it, except in theory. This lastmentioned phænomenon is, however, due to another cause, analagous, it is true, in a general point of view, to those above considered, but singularly modified by the circumstances under which it is produced. We shall endeavour to render these modifications intelligible, as far as they can be made so without the intervention of analytical formulæ.

(642.) The precession of the equinoxes, as we have shown in art. 312., consists in a continual retrogradation of the node of the earth's equator on the ecliptic; and is, therefore, obviously an effect so far analogous to the general phænomenon of the retrogradation of the nodes of the orbits on each other. The immense distance of the planets, however, compared with the size of the earth, and the smallness of their masses compared to that of the sun, puts their action out of the question in the enquiry of its cause, and we must, therefore, look to the massive though distant sun, and to our near though minute neighbour, the moon, for its explanation. This will, accordingly, be found in their disturbing action on the redundant matter accumulated on the equator of the earth, by which its figure is rendered spheroidal, combined with the earth's rotation on its axis. It is to the sagacity of Newton that we owe the discovery of this singular mode of action.

(643.) Suppose in our figure (art. 611.) that instead of one body, P, revolving round S, there were a succession of particles not coherent, but forming a kind of fluid ring, free to change its form by any force applied. Then, while this ring revolved round S in its own plane, under the disturbing influence of the distant body M, (which now represents the moon or the sun, as P does one of the particles of the earth's equator,) two things would happen: 1st, its figure

PRECESSION OF THE EQUINOXES.

t

d

ie ·

to

8, t-

e,

ve

es

er

SO

wn

de

re,

128-

oits

ets,

the

use,

tant r its

di3-

the

dal, the

ular

d of

n of free

this

bing the

the

gure

would be bent out of a plane into an undulated form, those parts of it within the arcs D A and E C being rendered more inclined to the plane of M's orbit, and those within the arcs A E, C D, less so than they would otherwise be; 2dly, the nodes of this ring, regarded as a whole, without respect to its change of figure, would retreat upon that plane.

(644.) But suppose this ring, instead of consisting of discrete molecules free to move independently, to be rigid and incapable of such flexure, like the *hoop* we have supposed in art. 633., but having inertia, then it is evident that the effort of those parts of it which tend to become more inclined will act through the medium of the ring itself (as a mechanical engine or lever) to counteract the effort of those which have *at* the same instant a contrary tendency. In so far only, then, as there exists an excess on the one or the other side will the inclination change, an average being struck at every moment of the ring's motion; just as was shown to happen in the view we have taken of the inclinations, in every complete revolution of a single disturbed body, under the influence of a fixed disturbing one.

(645.) Meanwhile, however, the nodes of the rigid ring will retrograde, the general or average tendency of the nodes of every molecule being to do so. Here, as in the other case, a struggle will take place by the counteracting efforts of the molecules contrarily disposed, propagated through the solid substance of the ring; and thus at every instant of time, an average will be struck, which being identical in its nature with that effected in the complete revolution of a single disturbed body, will, in every case, be in favour of a recess of the node, save only when the disturbing body, be it sun or moon, is situated in the plane of the earth's equator.

(646.) This reasoning is evidently independent of any consideration of the cause which maintains the rotation of the ring; whether the particles be small satellites retained in circular orbits under the equilibrated action of attractive and centrifrugal forces, or whether they be small masses conceived as attached to a set of imaginary spokes, as of a wheel,

FF 4

centering in S, and free only to shift their planes by a motion of those spokes perpendicular to the plane of the wheel. This makes no difference in the general effect; though the different velocities of rotation, which may be impressed on such a system, may and will have a very great influence both on the absolute and relative magnitudes of the two effects in question — the motion of the nodes and change of inclination. This will be easily understood, if we suppose the ring without a rotatory motion, in which extreme case it is obvious that so long as M remained fixed there would take place no recess of nodes at all, but only a tendency of the ring to tilt its plane round a diameter perpendicular to the position of M, bringing it towards the line S M.

(647.) The motion of such a ring, then, as we have been considering, would imitate, so far as the recess of the nodes goes, the precession of the equinoxes, only that its nodes would retrograde far more rapidly than the observed precession, which is excessively slow. But now conceive this ring to be loaded with a spherical mass enormously heavier than itself, placed concentrically within it, and cohering firmly to it, but indifferent, or very nearly so, to any such cause of motion; and suppose, moreover, that instead of one such ring there are a vast multitude heaped together around the equator of such a globe, so as to form an elliptical protuberance, enveloping it like a shell on all sides, but whose mass, taken together. should form but a very minute fraction of the whole spheroid. We have now before us a tolerable representation of the case of nature *; and it is evident that the rings, having to drag round with them in their nodal revolution this great inert

• That a perfect sphere would be so inert and indifferent as to a revolution of the nodes of its equator under the influence of a distant attracting body appears from this, — that the direction of the resultant attraction of such a body, or of that single force which, opposed, would neutralize and destroy its whole action, is necessarily in a line passing through the center of the sphere, and, therefore, can have no tendency to turn the sphere one way or other. It may be objected by the reader, that the whole sphere may be conceived as consisting of ringa parallel to its equator, of every possible diameter, and that, therefore, its nodes should retrograde even without a protuberant equator. The inference is incorrect, but our limits will not allow us to go into an exposition of the fallacy. We should, however, caution him, generally, that no dynamical subject is open to more mistakes of this kind, which nothing but the closest attention, in every varied point of view, will detect.

PRECESSION AND NUTATION EXPLAINED.

mass, will have their velocity of retrogradation proportionally diminished. Thus, then, it is easy to conceive how a motion similar to the precession of the equinoxes, and, like it, characterized by extreme slowness, will arise from the causes in action. It may seem at first sight paradoxical that the whole effect of the external attraction should terminate in the production of such a movement, without producing any change in the inclination of the equator to the ecliptic. But a due consideration of the reasoning in arts. 636, 637. will make it evident that for every particle in the revolving ring, (in every situation of the disturbing body) whose change of motion would tend to create a change of inclination in one direction, there exists another, exercising an equal tendency of an opposite kind.

(648.) Now a recess of the node of the earth's equator, upon a given plane, corresponds to a conical motion of its axis round a perpendicular to that plane. But in the case before us, that plane is not the ecliptic, but the moon's orbit for the time being; and it may be asked how we are to reconcile this with what is stated in art. 317. respecting the nature of the motion in question. To this we reply, that the nodes of the lunar orbit, being in a state of continual and rapid retrograda-



.

c

n

h

D

1.

ıı

90

SS

ıe

ıg

en

es

ld

ch

ed

ed

in-

nd

e a

ich

ng

er,

id.

ase

rag

ert

tion

ears

r of ion,

ore

cted ings

pdes

ect, We

ı to

very

tion, while its inclination is preserved nearly invariable, the point in the sphere of the heavens round which the pole of the earth's equator revolves (with that extreme slowness characteristic of the precession) is itself in a state of continual circulation round the pole of the ecliptic, with that much more rapid motion which belongs to the lunar node. A glance at the annexed figure will explain this better than words. P is the

pole of the ecliptic, \overline{A} the pole of the moon's orbit, moving round the small circle $\overline{A} \xrightarrow{B} C \xrightarrow{D}$ in 19 years; *a* the pole of the earth's equator, which at each moment of its progress has a *direction* perpendicular to the varying position of the line A *a*, and a *velocity* depending on the varying intensity

of the acting causes during the period of the nodes. This velocity however being extremely small, when A comes to B, C, D, E, the line A a will have taken up the positions B b, C c, D d, E e, and the earth's pole a will thus, in one tropical revolution of the node, have arrived at e, having described not an exactly circular arc a e, but a single undulation of a wave-shape or epicycloidal curve, a b c d e, with a velocity alternately greater and less than its mean motion, and this will be repeated in every succeeding revolution of the node.

(649.) Now this is precisely the kind of motion which, as we have seen in art. 325., the pole of the earth's equator really has round the pole of the ecliptic, in consequence of the joint effects of precession and nutation, which are thus uranographically represented. If we superadd to the effect of lunar precession that of the solar, which alone would cause the pole to describe a circle uniformly about P, this will only affect the undulations of our waved curve, by extending them in length, but will produce no effect on the depth of the waves, or the excursions of the earth's axis to and from the pole of the ecliptic. Thus we see that the two phænomena of nutation and precession are intimately connected, or rather both of them essential constituent parts of one and the same. It is hardly necessary to state that a rigorous phænomenon. analysis of this great problem, by an exact estimation of all the acting forces and summation of their dynamical effects, leads to the precise value of the coefficients of precession and nutation, which observation assigns to them. The solar and lunar portions of the precession of the equinoxes, that is to say, those portions which are uniform, are to each other in the proportion of about 2 to 5.

(650.) In the nutation of the earth's axis we have an example (the first of its kind which has occurred to us) of a periodical movement in one part of the system, giving rise to a motion having the same precise period in another. The motion of the moon's nodes is here, we see, represented, though under a very different form, yet in the same exact periodic time, by a movement of a peculiar oscillatory kind

impressed on the solid mass of the earth. We must not let the opportunity pass of generalizing the principle involved in this result, as it is one which we shall find again and again exemplified in every part of physical astronomy, nay, in every department of natural science. It may be stated as "the principle of forced oscillations, or of forced vibrations," and thus generally announced : —

If one part of any system connected either by material ties, or by the mutual attractions of its members, be continually maintained by any cause, whether inherent in the constitution of the system or external to it, in a state of regular periodic motion, that motion will be propagated throughout the whole system and will give rise, in every member of it, and in every part of each member, to periodic movements executed in equal period, with that to which they owe their origin, though not necessarily synchronous with them in their maxima and minima.*

9

r

e

8

:t

e

11

g

h

m

12

er

he.

18

11

s,

n

ar

is

 \mathbf{er}

X-

8

to he

d,

ct

hđ

The system may be favourably or unfavourably constituted for such a transfer of periodic movements, or favourably in some of its parts and unfavourably in others; and accordingly as it is the one or the other, the *derivative* oscillation (as it may be termed) will be imperceptible in one case, of appretiable magnitude in another, and even more perceptible in its visible effects than the original cause in a third; of this last kind we have an instance in the moon's acceleration, to be hereafter noticed.

(651.) It so happens that our situation on the earth, and the delicacy which our observations have attained, enable us to make it as it were an instrument to *feel* these forced vibrations, — these derivative motions, communicated from various quarters, especially from our near neighbour, the moon, much in the same way as we detect, by the trembling of a board beneath us, the secret transfer of motion by which the sound of an organ pipe is dispersed through the air, and carried down into the earth. Accordingly, the monthly re-

[•] See a demonstration of this theorem for the forced vibrations of system, connected by material ties of imperfect elasticity, in my treatise on Sound, Encyc. Metrop. art. 323. The demonstration is easily extended and generalized to take in other systems.

volution of the moon, and the annual motion of the sun, produce, each of them, small nutations in the earth's axis, whose periods are respectively half a month and half a year, each of which, in this view of the subject, is to be regarded as one portion of a period consisting of two equal and similar parts. But the most remarkable instance, by far, of this propagation of periods, and one of high importance to mankind, is that of the tides, which are forced oscillations, excited by the rotation of the earth in an occan disturbed from its figure by the varying attractions of the sun and moon, each revolving in its own orbit, and propagating its own period into the joint phænomenon. The explanation of the tides, however, belongs more properly to that part of the general subject of perturbations which treats of the action of the radial component of the disturbing force, and is therefore postponed to a subsequent chapter.

CHAPTER XIII.

ł

3

ł

s

d

,

1

e

e

THEORY OF THE AXES, PERIHELIA, AND EXCEN-TRICITIES.

" Incipiunt magni procedere menses."-VIRG. Pollio.

VARIATION OF ELEMENTS IN GENERAL. — DISTINCTION BETWEEN PERIODIC AND SECULAR VARIATIONS. — GEOMETRICAL EXPRESSION OF TANGENTIAL AND NORMAL FORCES. — VARIATION OF THE MAJOR AXIS PRODUCED ONLY BY THE TANGENTIAL FORCE. — LAGRANGE'S THEOREM OF THE CONSERVATION OF THE MEAN DISTANCES AND PERIODS. — THEORY OF THE PERIHELIA AND EXCENTRICITIES. — — GEOMETRICAL REPRESENTATION OF THEIR MOMENTARY VARIA-TIONS. — ESTIMATION OF THE DISTURBING FORCES IN NEARLY CIRCULAR ORBITS. — APPLICATION TO THE CASE OF THE MOON. — THEORY OF THE LUNAR APSIDES AND EXCENTRICITY. — EXPE-RIMENTAL ILLUSTRATION. — APPLICATION OF THE FOREGOING PRINCIPLES TO THE PLANETARY THEORY. — COMPENSATION IN ORBITS VERY NEARLY CIRCULAR. — EFFECTS OF ELLIPTICITY. — GENERAL RESULTS. — LAGRANGE'S THEOREM OF THE STABILITY OF THE EXCENTRICITIES.

(652.) In the foregoing chapter we have sufficiently explained the action of the orthogonal component of the disturbing force, and traced it to its results in a continual displacement of the plane of the disturbed orbit, in virtue of which the nodes of that plane alternately advance and recede upon the plane of the disturbing body's orbit, with a general preponderance on the side of advance, so as after the lapse of a long period to cause the nodes to make a complete revolution and come round to their former situation. At the same time the inclination of the plane of the disturbed motion continually changes, alternately increasing and diminishing; the increase and diminution however compensating each other, nearly in single revolutions of the disturbed and disturbing bodies, more exactly in many, and with perfect

accuracy in long periods, such as those of a complete revolution of the nodes and apsides. In the present and following chapters we shall endeavour to trace the effects of the other components of the disturbing force, — those which act in the plane (for the time being) of the disturbed orbit, and which tend to derange the elliptic form of the orbit, and the laws of elliptic motion in that plane. The small inclination, generally speaking, of the orbits of the planets and satellites to each other, permits us to separate these effects in theory one from the other, and thereby greatly to simplify their consideration. Accordingly, in what follows, we shall throughout neglect the mutual inclination of the orbits of the disturbed and disturbing bodies, and regard all the forces as acting and all the motions as performed in one plane.

(653.) In considering the changes induced by the mutual action of two bodies in different aspects with respect to each other on the magnitudes and forms of their orbits and in their positions therein, it will be proper in the first instance to explain the conventions under which geometers and astronomers have alike agreed to use the language and laws of the elliptic system, and to continue to apply them to disturbed orbits, although those orbits so disturbed are no longer, in mathematical strictness, ellipses, or any known curves. This they do, partly on account of the convenience of conception and calculation which attaches to this system, but much more for this reason, - that it is found, and may be demonstrated from the dynamical relations of the case, that the departure of each planet from its ellipse, as determined at any epoch, is capable of being truly represented, by supposing the ellipse itself to be slowly variable, to change its magnitude and excentricity, and to shift its position and the plane in which it lies according to certain laws, while the planet all the time continues to move in this ellipse, just as it would do if the ellipse remained invariable and the disturbing forces had no existence. By this way of considering the subject, the whole effect of the disturbing forces is regarded as thrown upon the orbit, while the relations of the planet to that orbit remain unchanged. This course of procedure, indeed, is the most natural, and is in some sort forced upon us by the

VARIATION OF ELEMENTS.

extreme slowness with which the variations of the elements, at least where the planets only are concerned, develope themselves. For instance, the fraction expressing the excentricity of the earth's orbit changes no more than 0.00004 in its amount in a century; and the place of its perihelion, as referred to the sphere of the heavens, by only 19' 39" in the same time. For several years, therefore, it would be next to impossible to distinguish between an ellipse so varied and one that had not varied at all; and in a single revolution, the difference between the original ellipse and the curve really represented by the varying one, is so excessively minute, that, if accurately drawn on a table, six feet in diameter, the nicest examination with microscopes, continued along the whole outlines of the two eurves, would hardly detect any perceptible interval between them. Not to call a motion so minutely conforming itself to an elliptic eurve, elliptic, would be affectation, even granting the existence of trivial departures alternately on one side or on the other; though, on the other hand, to neglect a variation, which continues to accumulate from age to age, till it forces itself on our notice, would be wilful blindness.

(654.) Geometers, then, have agreed in each single revolution, or for any moderate interval of time, to regard the motion of each planet as elliptic, and performed according to Kepler's laws, with a reserve in favour of those very small and transient fluctuations which take place within that time, but at the same time to regard all the *elements* of each ellipse as in a continual, though extremely slow, state of change; and, in tracing the effects of perturbation on the system, they take account principally, or entirely, of this change of the elements, as that upon which any material change in the great features of the system will ultimately depend.

(655.) And here we encounter the distinction between what are termed secular variations, and such as are rapidly periodic, and are compensated in short intervals. In our exposition of the variation of the inclination of a disturbed orbit (art. 636.), for instance, we showed that, in each single revolution of the disturbed body, the plane of its motion underwent fluctuations to and fro in its inclination to that of

the disturbing body, which nearly compensated each other; leaving, however, a portion outstanding, which again is nearly compensated by the revolution of the disturbing body, yet still leaving outstanding and uncompensated a minute portion of the change which requires a whole revolution of the node to compensate and bring it back to an average or mean value. Now, the two first compensations which are operated by the planets going through the succession of configurations with each other, and therefore in comparatively short periods, are called periodic variations; and the deviations thus compensated are called inequalities depending on configurations; while the last, which is operated by a period of the node (one of the elements), has nothing to do with the configurations of the individual planets, requires a very long period of time for its consummation, and is, therefore, distinguished from the former by the term secular variation.

(656.) It is true, that, to afford an exact representation of the motions of a disturbed body, whether planet or satellite, both periodical and secular variations, with their corresponding inequalities, require to be expressed; and, indeed, the former even more than the latter; seeing that the secular inequalities are, in fact, nothing but what remains after the mutual destruction of a much larger amount (as it very often is) of periodical. But these are in their nature transient and temporary: they disappear in short periods, and leave no The planet is temporarily drawn from its orbit (its trace. slowly varying orbit), but forthwith returns to it, to deviate presently as much the other way, while the varied orbit accommodates and adjusts itself to the average of these excursions on either side of it; and thus continues to present, for a succession of indefinite ages, a kind of medium picture of all that the planet has been doing in their lapse, in which the expression and character is preserved; but the individual features are merged and lost. These periodic inequalities, however, are, as we have observed, by no means neglected, but it is more convenient to take account of them by a separate process, independent of the secular variations of the elements.

(657.) In order to avoid complication, while endeavouring

GEOMETRICAL EXPRESSION OF FORCE.

;

3

٠,

е

)f

or e of ly ns uhe ng n-

of ite, ing ner

ties

ual

of

nd

no

its

ate

 \mathbf{bit}

ese

nt,

ure

ich

ual

cs,

ed,

nte

its.

ng

to give the reader an insight into both kinds of variations, we shall for the present conceive all the orbits to lie in one plane, and confine our attention to the case of two only, that of the disturbed and disturbing body, a view of the subject which (as we have seen) comprehends the case of the moon disturbed by the sun, since any one of the bodies may



be regarded as fixed at pleasure, provided we conceive all its motions transferred in a contrary direction to each of the Let therefore APB be the undisturbed elliptic others. orbit of a planet P; M a disturbing body, join M P, and supposing M K = M S take $M N : M K :: M K^2 : M P^2$. Then if S N be joined, N S will represent the disturbing force of M or P, on the same scale that S M represents M's attraction on S. Suppose Z P Y a tangent at P, S Y perpendicular to it, and N T, N L perpendicular respectively to S Y and P S produced. Then will N T represent the tangential, TS the normal, NL the transversal, and LS the radial components of the disturbing force. In circular orbits or orbits only slightly elliptic, the directions PSL and SY are nearly coincident, and the former pair of forces will differ but slightly from the latter. We shall here, however, take the general case, and proceed to investigate in an elliptic orbit of any degree of excentricity the momentary changes produced by the action of the disturbing force in those elements
on which the magnitude, situation, and form of the orbit depends (*i.e.* the length and position of the major axis and the excentricity), in the same way as in the last chapter we determined the momentary changes of the inclination and node similarly produced by the orthogonal force.

(658.) We shall begin with the momentary variation in the length of the axis, an element of the first importance, as on it depends (art. 487) the periodic time and mean angular motion of the planet, as well as the average supply of light and heat it receives in a given time from the sun, any permanent or constantly progressive change in which would alter most materially the conditions of existence of living beings on its surface. Now it is a property of elliptic motion performed under the influence of gravity, and in conformity with Kepler's laws, that if the velocity with which a planet moves at any point of its orbit be given, and also the distance of that point from the sun, the major axis of the orbit is thereby also given. It is no matter in what *direction* the planet may be moving at that moment. This will influence the excentricity and the position of its ellipse, but not its length. This property of elliptic motion has been demonstrated by Newton, and is one of the most obvious and elementary conclusions from his theory. Let us now consider a planet describing an indefinitely small arc of its orbit about the sun, under the joint influence of its attraction, and the disturbing power of another planet. This arc will have some certain curvature and direction, and, therefore, may be considered as an arc of a certain ellipse described about the sun as a focus, for this plain reason, — that whatever be the curvature and direction of the arc in question, an ellipse may always be assigned, whose focus shall be in the sun, and which shall coincide with it throughout the whole interval (supposed indefinitely small) between its extreme points. This is a matter of pure It does not follow, however, that the ellipse thus geometry. instantaneously determined will have the same elements as that similarly determined from the arc described in either the previous or the subsequent instant. If the disturbing force did not exist, this would be the case; but, by its action, a

VARIATION OF THE MAJOR AXIS.

t

d

e

ıd

in

as

ar

tht

18-

ter

ngs

er-

rith

ves

e of

eby

may

cen-

This

rton,

sions

g an

the

er of

ature

rc of

this

ction

rned,

ncide

itely

pure

thus

that

the

force

on, a

variation of the element from instant to instant is produced. and the ellipse so determined is in a continual state of change. Now when the planet has reached the end of the small arc under consideration, the question whether it will in the next instant describe an arc of an ellipse having the same or a varied axis will depend, not on the new *direction* impressed upon it by the acting forces, — for the axis, as we have seen, is independent of that direction, — not on its change of distance from the sun, while describing the former arc, - for the elements of that arc arc accommodated to it, so that one and the same axis must belong to its beginning and its end. The question, in short, whether in the next arc it shall take up a new major axis or go on with the old one will depend solely on this — whether its *velocity* has or has not undergone a change by the action of the disturbing force. For the central force residing in the focus can impress on it no such change of velocity as to be incompatible with the permanence of its ellipse, seeing that it is by the action of that force that the velocity is maintained in that due proportion to the distance which elliptic motion, as such, requires.

(659.) Thus we see that the momentary variation of the major axis depends on nothing but the momentary deviation from the law of elliptic velocity produced by the disturbing force, without the least regard to the direction in which that extraneous velocity is impressed, or the distance from the sun at which the planet may be situated, at the moment of its Nay, we may even go farther, for, as this holds impression. good at every instant of its motion, it will follow, that after the lapse of any time, however great, the total amount of change which the axis may have undergone will be determined only by the total deviation produced by the action of the disturbing force in the velocity of the disturbed body from that which it would have had in its undisturbed ellipse, at the same distance from the center, and that therefore the total amount of change produced in the axis in any lapse of time may be estimated, if we know at every instant the efficacy of the disturbing force to alter the velocity of the body's motion, and that without any regard to the alterations which

662

the action of that force may have produced in the other elements of the motion in the same time.

(660.) Now it is not the whole disturbing force which is effective in changing P's velocity, but only its tangential component. The normal component tends merely to alter the curvature of the orbit or to deflect it into conformity with a circle of curvature of greater or lesser radius, as the case may be, and in no way to alter the velocity. Hence it appears that the variation of the length of the axis is due entirely to the tangential force, and is quite independent on the normal. Now it is easily shown that as the velocity increases, the axis increases (the distance remaining unaltered *) though not in the same exact proportion. Hence it follows that if the tangential disturbing force conspires with the motion of P, its momentary action increases the axis of the disturbed orbit, whatever be the situation of P in its orbit, and vice versá.

(661.) Let A S B (fig. art. 657.) be the major axis of the ellipse A P B, and on the opposite side of A B take two points P' and M', similarly situated with respect to the axis with P and M on their side. Then if at P' and M' bodies equal to P and M be placed, the forces exerted by M' on P' and S will be equal to those exerted by M on P and S, and therefore the tangential disturbing force of M' on P' exerted in the direction P' Z' (suppose) will equal that exerted by M on P in the direction P Z. P' therefore (supposing it to revolve in the same direction round S as P) will be retarded (or accelerated, as the case may be) by precisely the same force by which P is accelerated (or retarded), so that the variation in the axis of the respective orbits of P and P' will be equal in amount, but contrary in character. Suppose now M's orbit to be circular. Then (if the periodic times of M and P be not commensurate, so that a moderate number of revolutions may bring them back to the same precise relative positions) it will necessarily happen, that in the course of a very great

* If a be the semiaxis, r the radius vector, and v the velocity of P in any point of an ellipse, a is given by the relation $v^2 = \frac{2}{r} - \frac{1}{a}$, the units of velocity and force being properly assumed.

VARIATION OF THE MAJOR AXIS.

r

-

t.

of

r-

in

hO

n-

is

ses

me

tial

ary

· be

the

ints

h P

al to

nd S

here-

d in

l on

olve

icce-

e by

on in

qual

M's

ad P

tions

s) it

reat

n any

y and

number of revolutions of both bodies, P will have been presented to M on one side of the axis, at some one moment, in the same manner as at some other moment on the other. Whatever variation may have been effected in its axis in the one situation will have been reversed in that symmetrically opposite, and the ultimate result, on a general average of an infinite number of revolutions, will be a complete and exact compensation of the variations in one direction by those in the direction opposite.

(662.) Suppose, next, P's orbit to be circular. If now M's orbit were so also, it is evident that in one complete synodic revolution, an exact restoration of the axis to its original length would take place, because the tangential forces would be symmetrically equal and opposite during each alternate quarter revolution. But let M, during a synodic revolution, have receded somewhat from S, then will its disturbing power have become gradually weaker, so that, in a synodic revolution the tangential force in each quadrant, though reversed in direction being inferior in power, an exact compensation will not have been effected, but there will be left an outstanding uncompensated portion, the excess of the stronger over the feebler effects. But now suppose M to approach by the same gradations as it before receded. It is clear that this result will be reversed; since the uncompensated stronger actions will all lie in the opposite direction. Now suppose M's orbit to be elliptic. Then during its recess from S or in the half revolution from its perihelion to its aphelion, a continual uncompensated variation will go on accumulating in one direction. But from what has been said, it is clear that this will be destroyed, during M's approach to S in the other half of its orbit, so that here again, on the average of a multitude of revolutions during which P has been presented to M in every situation for every distance of M from S, the restoration will be effected.

(663.) If neither P's nor M's orbit be circular, and if moreover the directions of their axes be different, this reasoning, drawn from the symmetry of their relations to each other, does not apply, and it becomes necessary to take a more general $a \circ 3$

view of the matter. Among the fundamental relations of dynamics, relations which presuppose no particular law of force like that of gravitation, but which express in general terms the results of the action of force on matter during time, to produce or change velocity, is one usually cited as the "Principle of the conservation of the vis viva," which applies directly to the case before us. This principle (or rather this theorem) declares that if a body subjected at every instant of its motion to the action of forces directed to fixed centers (no matter how numerous), and having their intensity dependent only on the distances from their respective centers of action, travel from one point of space to another, the velocity which it has on its arrival at the latter point will differ from that which it had on setting out from the former, by a quantity depending only on the different relative situations of these two points in space, without the least reference to the form of the curve in which it may have moved in passing from one point to the other, whether that curve have been described freely under the simple influence of the central forces, or the body have been compelled to glide upon it, as a bead upon a smooth wire. Among the forces thus acting may be included any constant forces, acting in parallel directions, which may be regarded as directed to fixed centers infinitely distant. It follows from this theorem, that, if the body return to the point P from which it set out, its velocity of arrival will be the same with that of its departure; a conclusion which (for the purpose we have in view) sets us free from the necessity of entering into any consideration of the laws of the disturbing force, the change which its action may have induced in the form of the orbit of P, or the successive steps by which velocity generated at one point of its intermediate path is destroyed at another, by the reversed action of the tangential force. Now to apply this theorem to the case in question, let M be supposed to retain a fixed position during one whole revolution of P. P then is acted on, during that revolution, by three forces: 1st. by the central attraction of S directed always to S; 2nd. by that to M, always directed to M; 3rd. by a force equal to M's attraction on S; but in the direction M S, which

VARIATION OF THE MAJOR AXIS.

therefore is a constant force, acting always in parallel directions. On completing its revolution, then, P's velocity, and therefore the major axis of its orbit, will be found unaltered, at least neglecting that excessively minute difference which will result from the non-arrival after a revolution at the *exact* point of its departure by reason of the perturbations in the orbit produced in the interim by the disturbing force, which for the present we may neglect.

(664.) Now suppose M to revolve, and it will appear, by a reasoning precisely similar to that of art. 662., that whatever uncompensated variation of the velocity arises in successive revolutions of P during M's recess from S will be destroyed by contrary uncompensated variations arising during its approach. Or, more simply and generally thus: whatever M's situation may be, for every place which P can have, there must exist some other place of P (as P'), in which the action of M shall be precisely reversed. Now if the periods be incommensurable, in an indefinite number of revolutions of both bodies, for every possible combination of situations (M, P) there will occur, at some time or other, the combination (M, P')which neutralizes the effect of the other, when carried to the general account; so that ultimately, and when very long periods of time are embraced, a complete compensation will be found to be worked out.

(665.) This supposes, however, that in such long periods the orbit of M is not so altered as to render the occurrence of the compensating situation (M, P') impossible. This would be the case if M's orbit were to dilate or contract indefinitely by a variation in *its* axis. But the same reasoning which applies to P, applies also to M. P retaining a *fixed* situation, M's velocity, and therefore the axis of its orbit, would be exactly restored at the end of a revolution of M; so that for every position P M there exists a compensating position P M'. Thus M's orbit is maintained of the same magnitude, and the possibility of the occurrence of the compensating situation (M, P') is secured.

(666.) To demonstrate as a rigorous mathematical truth the complete and absolute ultimate compensation of the va-

455

of of ral me, the lies this t of (no lenttion, hich that ntity two f the point reely body nooth l any ay be t. It point same irpose tering force, orm of geneyed at Now e suplution three ays to 1 force which

riations in question, it would be requisite to show that the minute outstanding changes due to the non-arrivals of P and M at the same exact points at the end of each revolution, cannot accumulate in the course of infinite ages in one direction. Now it will appear in the subsequent part of this chapter, that the effect of perturbation on the excentricities and apsides of the orbits is to cause the former to undergo only periodical variations, and the latter to revolve and take up in succession every possible situation. Hence in the course of infinite ages, the points of arrival of P and M at fixed lines of direction. S.P., S.M., in successive revolutions, though at one time they will approach S, at another will recede from it, fluctuating to and fro about mean points from which they never greatly depart. And if the arrival of either of them at P, at a point nearer S, at the end of a complete revolution, cause an excess of velocity, its arrival at a more distant point will cause a deficiency, and thus, as the fluctuations of distance to and fro ultimately balance each other, so will also the excesses and defects of velocity, though in periods of enormous length, being no less than that of a complete revolution of P's apsides for the one cause of inequality, and of a complete restoration of its excentricity for the other.

(667.) The dynamical proposition on which this reasoning is based is general, and applies equally well to cases wherein the forces act in one plane, or are directed to centers anywhere situated in space. Hence, if we take into consideration the inclination of P's orbit to that of M, the same reasoning will apply. Only that in this case, upon a complete revolution of P, the variation of inclination and the motion of the nodes of P's orbit will prevent its returning to a point in the exact *plane* of its original orbit, as that of the excentricity and perihelion prevent its arrival at the same exact *distance* from S. But since it has been shown that the inclination fluctuates round a mean state from which it never departs much, and since the node revolves and makes a complete circuit, it is obvious that in a complete period of the latter the points of arrival of P at the same longitude

VARIATION OF THE MAJOR AXIS.

will deviate as often and by the same quantities above as below its original point of departure from exact coincidence; and, therefore, that on the average of an infinite number of revolutions, the effect of this cause of non-compensation will also be destroyed.

(668.) It is evident, also, that the dynamical proposition in question being general, and applying equally to any number of fixed centers, as well as to any distribution of them in space, the conclusion would be precisely the same whatever be the number of disturbing bodies, only that the periods of compensation would become more intricately involved. We are, therefore, conducted to this most remarkable and important conclusion, viz. that the major axes of the planetary (and lunar) orbits, and, consequently, also their mean motions and periodic times, are subject to none but periodical changes; that the length of the year, for example, in the lapse of infinite ages, has no preponderating tendency either to increase or diminution, — that the planets will neither recede indefinitely from the sun, nor fall into it, but continue, so far as their mutual perturbations at least are concerned, to revolve for ever in orbits of very nearly the same dimen ons as at present.

(669.) This theorem (the Magna Charta of our system), the discovery of which is due to Lagrange, is justly regarded as the most important, as a single result, of any which have hitherto rewarded the researches of mathematicians in this application of their science; and it is especially worthy of remark, and follows evidently from the view here taken of it, that it would not be true but for the influence of the perturbing forces on other elements of the orbit, viz. the perihelion and excentricity, and the inclination and nodes; since we have seen that the revolution of the apsides and nodes, and the periodical increase and diminution of the excentricities and inclinations, are both essential towards operating that final and complete compensation which gives it a character of mathematical exactness. We have here an instance of a perturbation of one kind operating on a perturbation of another to annihilate an effect which would otherwise

the and ion, one this ities ergo take the 1 M ions, will oints rival of a rrival us, as lance ocity, than cause ricity

oning nerein anyiderasame coml the rning nat of t the hown which nakes od of itude

accumulate to the destruction of the system. It must, however, be borne in mind, that it is the smallness of the excentricities of the more influential planets, which gives this theorem its practical importance, and distinguishes it from a mere barren speculative result. Within the limits of ultimate restoration, it is this alone which keeps the periodical fluctuations of the axis to and fro about a mean value within moderate and reasonable limits. Although the earth might not fall into the sun, or recede from it beyond the present limits of our system, any considerable increase or diminution of its mean distance, to the extent, for instance, of a tenth of its actual amount, would not fail to subvert the conditions on which the existence of the present race of animated beings depends. Constituted as our system is, however, changes to anything like this extent are utterly precluded. The greatest departure from the mean value of the axis of any planetary orbit yet recognized by theory or observation (that of the orbit of Saturn disturbed by Jupiter), does not amount to a thousandth part of its length.* The effects of these fluctuations, however, are very sensible, and manifest themselves in alternate accelerations and retardations in the angular motions of the disturbed about the central body, which cause it alternately to outrun and to lag behind its elliptic place in its orbit, giving rise to what are called equations in its motion, some of the chief instances of which will be hereafter specified when we come to trace more particularly in detail the effects of the tangential force in various configurations of the disturbed and disturbing bodies, and to explain the consequences of a near approach to commensurability in their periodic times. An exact commensurability in this respect, such, for instance, as would bring both planets round to the same configuration in two or three revolutions of one of them, would appear at first sight to destroy one of the essential elements of our demonstration. But even supposing such an exact adjustment to subsist at any epoch, it could

* Greater deviations will probably be found to exist in the orbits of the small extra-tropical planets. But these are too insignificant members of our system to need special notice in a work of this nature.

DISPLACEMENT OF THE UPPER FOCUS.

not remain permanent, since by a remarkable property of perturbations of this class, which geometers have demonstrated, but the reasons of which we cannot stop to explain, any change produced on the axis of the disturbed planet's orbit is necessarily accompanied by a change *in the contrary direction* in that of the disturbing, so that the periods would recede from commensurability by the mere effect of their mutual action. Cases are not wanting in the planetary system of a certain approach to commensurability, and in one very remarkable case (that of Uranus and Neptune) of a considerably near one, not near enough, however, in the smallest degree to affect the validity of the argument, but only to give rise to inequalities of very long periods, of which more presently.*

(670.) The variation of the length of the axis of the disturbed orbit is due solely to the action of the tangential disturbing force. It is otherwise with that of its excentricity and of the position of its axis, or, which is the same thing, the longitude of its perihelion. Both the normal and tangential components of the disturbing force affect these elements. We shall, however, consider separately the influence of each,



and, commencing, as the simplest case, with that of the tangential force; — let P be the valce of the disturbed planet in its e'liptic orbit A P B, whose axis at the moment is A S B and focus S. Suppose Y P Z to be a tangent to this orbit at

* 41 revolutions of Neptune are nearly equal to 81 of Uranus, giving rise to an inequality, having 6805 years for its period.

wenhis n a ltiical thin ig) t sent tion h of s on ings es to reatplaat of nount these theme anwhich lliptic ons in hererly in nfiguxplain lity in h this round of one of the posing could

> he small system

Then, if we suppose A B = 2 a, the other focus of the Р. ellipse, H, will be found by making the angle Z P H = Y P Sor Y P H=180°-Y P Z, or S P H=180°-2 Y P S, and taking P H = 2 a - S P. This is evident from the nature of the ellipse, in which lines drawn from any point to the two foci make equal angles with the tangent, and have their sum equal to the major axis. Suppose, now, the tangential force to act on P and to increase its velocity. It will therefore increase the axis, so that the new value assumed by a(viz. a')will be greater than a. But the tangential force does not alter the angle of tangency, so that to find the new position (H') of the upper focus, we must measure off along the same line P H, a distance P H' (= 2 a' - S P) greater than PH. Do this then, and join SH' and produce it. Then will A' B' be the new position of the axis, and $\frac{1}{2}$ S H' the new excentricity. Hence we conclude, 1st, that the new position of the perihelion A' will deviate from the old one A towards the same side of the axis A B on which **P** is when the tangential force acts to increase the velocity, whether P be moving from perihelion to aphelion, or the contrary. 2dly, That on the same supposition as to the action of the tangential force, the excentricity increases when P is between the perihelion and the perpendicular to the axis F H G drawn through the upper focus, and diminishes when between the aphelion and the same perpendicular. 3dly, That for a given charge of velocity, i.e. for a given value of the tangential force, the momentary variation in the place of the perihelion is a maximum when P is at F or G, from which situation of P to the perihelion or aphelion, it decreases to nothing, the perihelion being stationary when P is at A or B. 4thly, That the variation of the excentricity due to this cause is complementary in its law of increase and decrease to that of the perihelion, being a maximum for a given tangential force when P is at A or B, and vanishing when at G or F. And lastly, that where the tangential force acts to diminish the velocity, all these results are reversed. If the orbit be very nearly circular* the points F, G, will be

* So nearly that the cube of the excentricity may be neglected.

so situated that, although not at opposite extremities of a *diameter*, the *times* of describing AF, F B, B G, and G A will be all equal, and each of course one quarter of the whole periodic time of P.

(671.) Let us now consider the effects of the normal component of the disturbing force upon the same elements. The direct effect of this force is to increase or diminish the curvature of the orbit at the point P of its action, without producing any change on the velocity, so that the length of the



axis remains unaltered by its action. Now, an increase of curvature at P is synonymous with a decrease in the angle of tangency SPY when P is approaching towards S, and with an increase in that angle when receding from S. Suppose the former case, and while P approaches S (or is moving from aphelion to perihelion), let the normal force act inwards or towards the concavity of the ellipse. Then will the tangent **P** Y by the action of that force have taken up the position PY'. To find the corresponding position H' taken up by the focus of the orbit so disturbed, we must make the angle $S P H' = 180^{\circ} - 2 S P Y'$, or, which comes to the same, draw P H' on the side of P H opposite to S, making the angle H P H'=twice the angle of deflection Y P Y' and in P H' take P11'=P H. Joining, then, SH' and producing it, A'S H' M' will be the new position of the axis, A' the new perihelion, and 1 SH' the new excentricity. Hence we conclude, 1st, that the normal force acting inwards, and P moving towards the perihelion, the new direction S A' of the perihelion is in advance (with reference to the direction of P's

of the r p s 5, and nature ie two r sum l force refore iz. a') es not 7 posialong reater ice it. ι S Η' at the he old which locity, n, or as to creases lar to dimiicular. given in the or G, ion, it hen \mathbf{P} tricity crease ini for ishing force ersed. ill be

revolution) of the old-or the apsides advance - when P is anywhere situated between F and A (since when at F the point H' falls upon H M between H and M). When P is at F the apsides are stationary, but when P is anywhere between M and F the apsides retrograde, H' in this case lying on the opposite side of the axis. 2dly, That the same directions of the normal force and of P's motion being supposed, the excentricity increases while P moves through the whole semiellipse from aphelion to perihelion - the rate of its increase being a maximum when P is at F, and nothing at the aphelion and perihelion. 3dly, That these effects are reversed in the opposite half of the orbit, A G M, in which P passes from perihelion to aphelion or recedes from S. 4thly, That they are also reversed by a reversal of the direction of the normal force, outwards, in place of inwards. 5thly, That here also the variations of the excentricity and perihelion are complementary to each other; the one variation being most rapid when the other vanishes, and vice versâ. 6thly, And lastly, that the changes in the situation of the focus H produced by the actions of the tangential and normal components of the disturbing force are at right angles to each other in every situation of P, and therefore where the tangential force is most efficacious (in proportion to its intensity) in varying either the one or the other of the elements in question, the normal is least so, and vice versâ.

(672.) To determine the momentary effect of the whole disturbing force then, we have only to resolve it into its tangential and normal e mponents, and estimating by these principles separately the effects of either constituent on both elements, add or subtract the results according as they conspire or oppose each other. Or we may at once make the angle H P H" equal to twice the angle of deflection produced by the normal force, and lay off P H"=P H+twice the variation of a produced in the same moment of time by the tangential force, and H" will be the new focus. The momentary velocity generated by the tangential force is eal-culable from a knowledge of that force by the ordinary principles of dynamics; and from this, the variation of the axis is

EFFECTS ON THE APSIDES AND EXCENTRICITIES. 463

easily derived.* The momentary velocity generated by the normal force in its own direction is in like manner calculable from a knowledge of that force, and dividing this by the linear velocity of P at that instant, we deduce the angular velocity of the tangent about P, or the momentary variation of the angle of tangency S P Y, corresponding.

(673.) The following résumé of these several results in a tabular form includes every variety of case according as P is approaching to or receding from S; as it is situated in the arc F A G of its orbit about the perihelion or in the remoter arc G M F about the aphelion, as the tangential force accelerates or retards the disturbed body, or as the normal acts inwards or outwards with reference to the concavity of the orbit.

EFFECTS OF THE TANGENTIAL DISTURBING FORCE.

Direction of P's motion.	Situation of P in orbit.	Action of Tangential Force.	Effect on Elements.
Approaching S. Ditto, Receding from S. Ditto, Indifferent, Ditto, Ditto, Ditto,	Anywhere. Ditto. Ditto. Ditto. About Aphelion. Ditto. About Perihelion. Ditto.	Accelerating P. Retarding P. Accelerating P. Accelerating P. Accelerating P. Accelerating P. Accelerating P. Retarding P.	Apsides recede, advance, advance, recede, Excentr. decreases, increases, decreases,

EFFECTS OF THE NORMAL DISTURBING FORCE.

Direction of P's motion.	Situation of P in orbit.	Action of Normal Force.	Effect on Elements.
Indifferent, Ditto. Ditto. Ditto. Approaching S. Ditto. Receding from S. Ditto.	About Aphelion. Ditto. About Perihelion. Ditto. Anywhere. Ditto. Ditto. Ditto.	Inwards, Outwards, Inwards, Outwards, Inwards, Outwards, Inwards, Outwards,	Apsides recede. advance, recede. Excentr. increases, decreases, increases,

* $\frac{1}{a} = \frac{2}{r} - v^{2}$, and $\frac{1}{a'} = \frac{2}{r} - v'^{2}$. $\frac{1}{a'} - \frac{1}{a} = v^{2} - v'^{2} = (v + v') (v - v')$ or when in-

finitesimal variations only are considered $\frac{a'-a}{a^2} = 2v(v'-v)$ or $a'-a = 2a^2v(v'-v)$

from which it appears that the variation of the axis arising from a given variation of velocity is independent of r_i or is the same at whatever distance from S the change takes place, and that *cateris paribus* it is greater for a given change of velocity (or for a given tangential force) in the direct ratio of the velocity itself:

n P is F the n P is where is case e same being hrough he rate nothing ects are M, in from S. of the inwards. city and ne variand vice situation angential at right therefore roportion er of the versâ. he whole into its by these on both

they connake the produced wice the he by the

The mo-

ce is cal-

ary prin-

he axis is

(674.) From the momentary changes in the elements of the disturbed orbit corresponding to successive situations of P and M, to conclude the total amount of change produced in any given time is the business of the integral calculus, and lies far beyond the scope of the present work. Without its aid, however, and by general considerations of the periodical recurrence of configurations of the same character, we have been able to demonstrate many of the most interesting conclusions to which geometers have been conducted, examples of which have already been given in the reasoning by which the permanence of the axes, the periodicity of the inclinations, and the revolutions of the nodes of the planetary orbits have been demonstrated. We shall now proceed to apply similar considerations to the motion of the apsides, and the variations of the excentricities. To this end we must first trace the changes induced on the disturbing forces themselves, with the varying positions of the bodies, and here as in treating of the inclinations we shall suppose, unless the contrary is expressly indicated, both orbits to be very nearly circular, without which limitation the complication of the subject would become too embarrassing for the reader to follow, and defeat the end of explanation.

(675.) On this supposition the directions of S P and S Y, the perpendicular on the tangent at P, may be regarded as coincident, and the normal and radial disturbing forces become nearly identical in quantity, also the tangential and transversal, by the near coincidence of the points T and L (fig. art. 687.).



DISTURBING FORCES IN CIRCULAR ORBITS. 465

So far then as the *intensity* of the forces is concerned, it will make very little difference in which way the forces are resolved, nor will it at all materially affect our conclusions as to the effects of the normal and tangential forces, if in estimating their quantitative values, we take advantage of the simplification introduced into their numerical expression by the neglect of the angle PSY, *i.e.* by the substitution for them of the radial and transversal components. The *character* of these effects depends (art. 670, 671.) on the direction in which the forces act, which we shall suppose normal and tangential as before, and it is only on the estimation of their quantitative effects that the error induced by the neglect of this angle can In the lunar orbit this angle never exceeds 3° 10′, and fall. its influence on the quantitative estimation of the acting forces may therefore be safely neglected in a first approximation. Now M N being found by the proportion $M P^2 : M S^2 ::$ MS: MN, NP (=MN-MP) is also known, and therefore NL = NP. sin NPS = NP. sin (ASP + SMP) and $LS=PL-PS=NP.\cos NPS-PS=NP.\cos (ASP$ +SMP)-SP become known, which express respectively the tangential and normal forces on the same scale that S M represents M's attraction on S.* Suppose P to revolve in the direction E A D B. Then, by drawing the figure in various situations of P throughout the whole eircle, the reader will easily satisfy himself -1st. That the tangential force accelerates P, as it moves from E towards A, and from D towards B, but retards it as it passes from A to D, and from B to E. 2nd. That the tangential force vanishes at the four points A, D, E, B, and attains a maximum at some intermediate points. 3rdly. That the normal force is directed outwards at the

* M S=R; S P=r; M P=f; A S P= θ ; A M P=M; M N= $\frac{R^3}{f^3}$; N P = $\frac{R^3-f^3}{f^2} = (R-f)\left(1 + \frac{R}{f} + \frac{R^3}{f^3}\right)$; whence we have N L=(R-f). sin $(\theta + M)$ $\cdot \left(1 + \frac{R}{f} + \frac{R^9}{f^2}\right)$; L S=(R-f). cos $(\theta + M) \cdot \left(1 + \frac{R}{f} + \frac{R^3}{f^3}\right) - r$. When R and f, owing to the great distance of M, are nearly equal, we have R-f=P V, $\frac{R}{f}$ = 1 nearly, and the angle M may be neglected; so that we have N P=3 P V.

its of ons of duced s, and out its odical have g conmples which nelina. orbits apply ind the . ist first iselves, reating y is exircular, t would d defeat

nd SY, urded as become usversal, t. 687.).

нп

syzigies A, B, and inwards at the points D, E, at which points respectively its outward and inward intensities attain their maxima. Lastly, that this force vanishes at points intermediate between A D, D B, B E, and E A, which points, when M is considerably remote, are situated nearer to the quadrature than the syzygies.

(676.) In the lunar theory, to which we shall now proceed to apply these principles, both the geometrical representation and the algebraic expression of the disturbing forces admit of great simplification. Owing to the great distance of the sun M, at whose center the radius of the moon's orbit never subtends an angle of more than about 8', N P may be regarded as parallel to A B. And D S E becomes a straight line coincident with the line of quadratures, so that V P becomes the cosine of A S P to radius S P, and N L = N P. sin A S P; L P = N P. cos A S P. Moreover, in this case (see the note on the last article) N P=3 P V=3 S P . \cos ASP; and consequently $NL = 3SP \cdot \cos ASP \cdot \sin ASP$ $=\frac{3}{2}$ SP. sin 2 A SP, and L S = SP (3. cos A SP² - 1) $=\frac{1}{2}$ S P (1+3. cos 2 A S P) which vanishes when cos A S $P^2 = \frac{1}{3}$, or at 64° 14′ from the syzygy. Suppose through every point of P's orbit there be drawn SQ=3SP. cos A S P², then will Q trace out a certain looped oval, as in the figure, cutting the orbit in four points 64° 14' from A and B respectively, and P Q will always represent in quantity and direction the normal force acting at P.



(677.) It is important to remark here, because upon this the whole lunar theory and especially that of the motion of

MOTION OF THE LUNAR APSIDES.

the apsides hinges, that all the acting disturbing forces, at equal angles of elongation $A \otimes P$ of the moon from the sun, are *cæteris paribus* proportional to $\otimes P$, the moon's distance from the earth, and are therefore greater when the moon is near its apogee than when near its perigee; the extreme proportion being that of about 28:25. This premised, let us first consider the effect of the normal force in displacing the lunar apsides. This we shall best be enabled to do by examining separately those cases in which the effects are most strongly contrasted; viz. when the major axis of the moon's orbit is directed towards the sun, and when at right angles to that direction. First, then, let the line of apsides be



directed to the sun as in the annexed figure, where A is the perigee, and take the arcs A a, A b, B c, B d each= 64° 14'. Then while P is between a and b the normal force acting outwards, and the moon being near its perigee, by art. 671. the apsides will recede, but when between c and d, the force there acting outwards, but the moon being near its apogee, they will advance. The rapidity of these movements will be respectively at its maxima at A and B, not only because the disturbing forces are then most intense, but also because (see art. 671.) they act most advantageously at those points to displace the axis. Proceeding from A and B towards the neutral points a b c d the rapidity of their recess and advance diminishes, and is nothing (or the apsides are stationary) when P is at either of these points. From b to D, or rather to a point some little beyond D (art. 671.) the force acts inwards, and the moon is still near perigee, so that in this arc п 11 2

points their terme-, when juadra-

proceed entation dmit of the sun it never v be reight line becomes \mathbf{P} . sin his case SP. cos sin ASP $P^{2} - 1$ when cos e through SP. cos as in the A and B ntity and



upon this motion of

of the orbit the apsides advance. But the rate of advance is feeble, because in the early part of that are the normal force is small, and as P approaches D and the force gains power, it acts disadvantageously to move the axis, its effect vanishing altogether when it arrives beyond D at the extremity of the perpendicular to the upper focus of the lunar ellipse. Thence up to c this feeble advance is reversed and converted into a recess, the force still acting inwards, but the moon now being near its apogee. And so also for the arcs $d \mathbf{E}$, $\mathbf{E} a$. In the figure these changes are indicated by ++ for rapid advance, — — for rapid recess, + and for feeble advance and recess, and 0 for the stationary points. Now if the forces were equal on the sides of + and - it is evident that there would be an exact counterbalance of advance and recess on the average of a whole revolution. But this is not the case. The force in apogee is greater than that in perigee in the proportion of 28:25, while in the quadratures about D and E they are equal. Therefore, while the feeble movements + and - in the neighbourhood of these points destroy each other almost exactly, there will necessarily remain a considerable balance in favour of advance, in this situation of the line of apsides.

(678.) Next, suppose the apogee to lie at A, and the perigee at B. In this case it is evident that, so far as the direction of the motions of the apsides is concerned, all the conclusions of the foregoing reasoning will be reversed by the substitution of the word perigee for apogee, and vice versâ; and all the signs in the figure referred to will be changed. But now the most powerful forces act on the side of A, that is to say, still on the side of advance, this condition also being reversed. In either situation of the orbit, then, the apsides advance.

(679.) (Case 3.) Suppose, now, the major axis to have the situation D E, and the perigee to be on the side of D. Here, in the arc b c of P's motion the normal force acts inwards, and the moon is near perigee, consequently the apsides advance, but with a moderate rapidity, the maximum of the inward normal force being only half that of the outward.

MOTION OF THE LUNAR APSIDES.

In the arcs A b and c B the moon is still near perigee, and the force acts outwards, but though powerfully towards A and B, yet at a constantly increasing disadvantage (art. 671.) Therefore in these arcs the apsides recede, but moderately. In a A and B d (being towards apogee) they again advance, still with a moderate velocity. Lastly, throughout the are d a, being about apogee with an inward force, they recede. Here as before, if the perigee and apogee forces were equal, the advance and recess would counterbalance; but as in fact the apogee forces preponderate, there will be a balance on the entire revolution in favour of recess. The same reasoning of course holds good if the perigee be towards E. But now, between these cases and those in the foregoing articles, there is this difference, viz. that in this the dominant effect results from the inward action of the normal force in quadratures, while in the others it results from its outward, and doubly powerful action in syzygies. The recess of the apsides in their quadratures arising from the action of the normal force will therefore be less than their advance in their syzygies; and not only on this account, but also because of the much less extent of the arcs bc and da on which the balance is mainly struck in this case, than of a b and c d, the corresponding most influential arcs in the other.

(680.) In intermediate situations of the line of apsides, the effect will be intermediate, and there will of course be a situation of them in which on an average of a whole revolution, they are stationary. This situation it is easy to see will be nearer to the line of quadratures than of syzygies, and the preponderance of advance will be maintained over a much more considerable are than that of recess, among the possible situations which they can hold. On every account, therefore the action of the normal force causes the lunar apsides to progress in a complete revolution of M or in a synodical year, during which the motion of the sun round the earth (as we consider the earth at rest) brings the line of syzygies into all situations with respect to that of apsides.

(681.) Let us next consider the action of the tangential force. And as before (Case 1.), supposing the perigee of the 1113

ance rmal gains effect exlunar 1 and , but o for icated and joints. – it is ice of lution. r than in the erefore, hood of re will dvance,

he perithe diall the by the versâ; hanged. A, that o being apsides

> ave the Here, nwards, apsides a of the utward.

moon at A, and the direction of her revolution to be A D B E, the tangential force retards her motion through the quadrant A D, in which she recedes from S, therefore by art. 670. the apsides recede. Through D B the force accelerates, while the moon still recedes, therefore they advance. Through B E the force retards, and the moon approaches, therefore they continue to advance, and finally throughout the quadrant E A the force accelerates and the moon approaches, therefore they recede. In virtue therefore of this force, the apsides recede, during the description of the arc E A D, and advance during D B E, but the force being in this case as in that of the normal force more powerful at apogec, the latter will preponderate, and the apsides will advance on an average of a whole revolution.

(682.) (Case 2.) The perigee being towards B, we have to substitute in the foregoing reasoning approach to S, for recess from it, and *vice versâ*, the accelerations and retardations remaining as before. Therefore the results, as far as direction is concerned, will be reversed in each quadrant, the apsides advance during E A D and recede during D B E. But the situation of the apogee being also reversed, the predominance remains on the side of E A D, that is, of advance.

(683.) (Case 3.) Apsides in quadratures, perigee near D.— Over quadrant A D, approach and retardation, therefore advance of apsides. Over D B recess and acceleration, therefore again advance; over B E recess and retardation with recess of apsides, and lastly over E A approach and acceleration, producing their continued recess. Total result: advance during the half revolution A D B, and recess during B E A, the acting forces being more powerful in the latter, whence of course a preponderant recess. The same result when the perigee is at E.

(684.) So far the analogy of reasoning between the action of the tangential and normal forces is perfect. But from this point they diverge. It is not here as before. The recess of the apsides in quadratures does not now arise from the predominance of feeble over feebler forces, while that in syzygies results from that of powerful over powerful ones. The maxi-

MOTION OF THE LUNAR APSIDES.

mum accelerating action of the tangential force is equal to its maximum retarding, while the inward action of the normal at its maximum is only half the maximum of its outward. Neither is there that difference in the extent of the arcs over which the balance is struck in this, as in the other case, the action of the tangential force being inward and outward alternately over equal arcs, each a complete quadrant. Whereas, therefore, in tracing the action of the normal force, we found reason to conclude it much more effective to produce progress of the apsides in their syzygy, than in their quadrature situations, we can draw no such conclusion in that of the tangential forces: there being, as regards *that* force, a *complete symmetry* in the four *quadrants*, while in regard of the normal force the symmetry is only a *half-symmetry* having relation to two *semicircles*.

(685.) Taking the average of many revolutions of the sun about the earth, in which it shall present itself in every possible variety of situations to the line of apsides, we see that the effect of the normal force is to produce a rapid advance in the syzygy of the apsides, and a less rapid recess in their quadrature, and on the whole, therefore, a moderately rapid general advance, while that of the tangential is to produce an equally rapid advance in syzygy, and recess in quadrature. Directly, therefore, the tangential force would appear to have no ultimate influence in causing either increase or diminution in the *mean motion* of the apsides resulting from the action of the normal force. It does so, however, indirectly, conspiring in that respect with, and greatly increasing, an indirect action of the normal force in a manner which we shall now proceed to explain.

(686.) The sun moving uniformly, or nearly so, in the same direction as P, the line of apsides when in or near the syzygy, in advancing follows the sun, and therefore remains materially longer in the neighbourhood of syzygy than if it rested. On the other hand, when the apsides are in quadrature they recede, and moving therefore contrary to the sun's motion, remain a shorter time in that neighbourhood, than if they rested. Thus the advance, already preponderant, is made to

11 11 -1

•) B E, adrant 0. the while h B E e they at E A re they recede, during of the ill prege of a

re have S, for retardais far as ant, the DBE. the preadvance. ear D.-herefore n, thereon with acceleraadvance BEA, whence when the

he action from this recess of the presyzygics he maxi-

preponderate more by its longer continuance, and the recess, already deficient, is rendered still more so by the shortening of its duration.* Whatever cause, then, increases directly the rapidity of both advance and recess, though it may do both equally, aids in this indirect process, and it is thus that the tangential force becomes effective through the medium of the progress already produced, in doing and aiding the normal force to do that which alone it would be unable to effect. Thus we have perturbation exaggerating perturbation, and thus we see what is meant by geometers, when they declare that a considerable part of the motion of the lunar apsides is due to the square of the disturbing force, or, in other words, arises out of a second approximation in which the influence of the first in altering the data of the problem is taken into account.

(687.) The curious and complicated effect of perturbation, described in the last article, has given more trouble to geometers than any other part of the lunar theory. Newton himself had succeeded in tracing that part of the motion of the apogee which is due to the direct action of the radial force; but finding the amount only half what observation assigns, he appears to have abandoned the subject in despair. Nor, when resumed by his successors, did the inquiry, for a very long period, assume a more promising aspect. On the contrary, Newton's result appeared to be even minutely verified, and the elaborate investigations which were lavished upon the subject without success began to excite strong doubts whether this feature of the lunar motions could be explained at all by the Newtonian law of gravitation. The doubt was removed, however, almost in the instant of its origin, by the same geometer, Clairaut, who first gave it currency, and who gloriously repaired the error of his momentary hesitation, by demonstrating the exact coincidence between theory and observation, when the effect of the tangential force is properly taken into the account. The lunar apogee circulates, in $3232^{d} \cdot 575343$, or about $9\frac{1}{2}$ years.

* Newton, Princ, i. 66. Cor. 8,

VARIATION OF THE MOON'S EXCENTRICITY. 473

(688.) Let us now proceed to investigate the influence of the disturbing forces so resolved on the excentricity of the lunar orbit, and the foregoing articles having sufficiently familiarized the reader with our mode of following out the changes in different situations of the orbit, we shall take at once a more general situation, and suppose the line of apsides in any position with respect to the sun, such as Z Y, the perigee being at Z, a point between the lower syzygy and the quadrature next following it, the direction of P's motion as all along supposed being A D B E. Then (commencing with the normal force) the momentary change of excentricity



will vanish at a, b, c, d, by the vanishing of that force, and at Z and Y by the effect of situation in the orbit annulling its action (art. 671.). In the arcs Z b and Y d therefore the change of excentricity will be small, the acting force nowhere attaining either a great magni-

tude or an advantageous situation within their limits. And the force within these two ares having the same character as to inward and outward, but being oppositely influential by reason of the approach of P to S in one of them and its recess in the other, it is evident that, so far as these ares are concerned, a very near compensation of effects will take place, and though the apogeal arc Y d will be somewhat more influential, this will tell for little upon the average of a revolution.

(689.) The arcs b D c and d E a are each much less than a quadrant in extent, and the force acting inwards throughout them (which at its maximum in D and E is only half the outward force at A, B) degrades very rapidly in intensity towards either syzygy (see art. 676.). Hence whether Z be between b c or b A, the effects of the force in these arcs will not produce very extensive changes on the excentricity, and the changes which it does produce will (for the reason already given) be opposed to each other. Although, then,

recess, tening rectly to both at the um of normal effect. n, and leclare sides is words, fluence en into

bation, to geo-Newton otion of e radial ervation despair. y, for a On the inutely avished doubts plained ibt was by the nd who tion, by and obroperly ites, in

the arc a d be farther from perigee than b c, and therefore the force in it is greater, yet the predominance of effect here will not be very marked, and will moreover be partially neutralized by the small predominance of an opposite character in Y d over Z b. On the other hand, the arcs a Z, c Y are both larger in extent than either of the others, and the seats of action of forces doubly powerful. Their influence, therefore, will be of most importance, and their preponderance one over the other, (being opposite in their tendencies,) will decide the question whether on an average of the revolution, the excentricity shall increase or diminish. It is clear that the decision must be in favour of cY, the apogeal arc, and, since in this the force is outwards and the moon receding from the earth, : 1 increase of the excentricity will arise from A similar reasoning will, evidently, lead to the its inflaence. same conclusion were the apogee and perigee to change places, for the directions of P's motion as to approach and recess to S will be indeed reversed, but at the same time the dominant forces will have changed sides, and the are a AZ will now give the character to the result. But when Z lies between A and E, as the reader may easily satisfy himself, the case will be altogether different, and the reverse conclusion Hence the changes of excentricity emergent on will obtain. the average of single revolutions from the action of the normal force will be as represented by the signs + and - in the figure above annexed.

(690.) Let us next consider the effect of the tangential force. This retards P in the quadrants A D, B E, and



accelerates it in the alternate ones. In the whole quadrant A D, therefore, the effect is of one character, the perigee being less than 90° from every point in it, and in the whole quadrant B E it is of the opposite, the apogee being so situated (art.670.) Moreover,

in the middle of each quadrant, the tangential force is at its

VARIATION OF THE MOON'S EXCENTRICITY. 475

maximum. Now, in the other quadrants, E A and D B, the change from perigeal to apogeal vicinity takes place, and the tangential force, however powerful, has its effect annulled by situation (art. 670.), and this happens more or less nearly about the points where the force is a maximum. These quadrants, then, are far less influential on the total result, so that the character of that result will be decided by the predominance of one or other of the former quadrants, and will lean to that which has the apost in it. Now in the quadrant BE the force retards the moon and the moon is in Therefore the excentricity increases. apogee. In this situation therefore of the apogce, such is the average result of a complete revolution of the moon. Here again also if the perigee and apogee change places, so will also the character of all the partial influences, are for arc. But the quadrant AD will new preponderate instead of D E, so that under this double reversal of conditions the result will be identical. Lastly, if the line of apsides be in AE, BD, it may be shown in like manner that the excentricity will diminish on the average of a revolution.

(691.) Thus it appears, that in var ing the excentricity, precisely as in moving the line of apsides, the direct effect of the tangential force conspires with that of the normal, and tends to increase the extent of the deviatious to and fro on either side of a mean value which the varying situation of the sun with respect to the line of apsides gives rise to, having for their period of restoration a synodical revolution of the sun and apse. Supposing the sun and apsis to start together, the sun of course will outrup the apsis (whose period is nine years), and in the lapse of about $(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{52})$ part of a year will have gained on it 90°, during all which interval the apse will have been in the quadrant A E of our figure, and the excentricity continually decreasing. The decrease will then cease, but the excentricity itself will be a minimum, the sun being now at right angles to the line of apsides. Thence it will increase to a maximum when the sun has gained another 90°, and again attained the line of apsides, and so on alternately. The actual effect on the numerical value

ore the t here urtially aracter cY are nd the luence, lerance s,) will olution, s clear eal arc, receding ise from d to the change bach and me time re a A Z en Z lies himself, nclusion rgent on e normal - in the

ngential E, and iternate quadrant fect is of perigee om every ie whole he oppoig so siforcover, is at its

476

of the lunar excentricity is very considerable, the greatest aud least excentricities being in the ratio of 3 to 2.*

(692.) The motion of the apsides of the lunar orbit may be illustrated by a very pretty mechanical experiment, which is otherwise instructive in giving an idea of the mode in which orbitual motion is carried on under the action of central forces variable according to the situation of the revolving body. Let a leaden weight be suspended by a brass or iron wire to a hook in the under side of a firm beam, so as to allow of its free motion on all sides of the vertical, and so that when in a state of rest it shall just clear the floor of the room, or a table placed ten or twelve feet beneath the hook. The point of support should be well secured from wagging to and fro by the oscillation of the weight, which should be sufficient to keep the wire as tightly stretched as it will bear, with the certainty of not breaking. Now, let a very small motion be communicated to the weight, not by merely withdrawing it from the vertical and letting it fall, but by giving it a slight impulse sideways. It will be seen to describe a regular ellipse about the point of rest as its center. If the weight be heavy, and carry attached to it a pencil, whose point lies exactly in the direction of the string, the ellipse may be transferred to paper lightly stretched and gently pressed against it. In these circumstances, the situation of the major and minor axes of the ellipse will remain for a long time very nearly the same, though the resistance of the air and the stiffness of the wire will gradually diminish its dimensions and excentricity. But if the impulse communicated to the weight be considerable, so as to carry it out to a great angle (15° or 20° from the vertical), this permanence of situation of the ellipse will no longer subsist. Its axis will be seen to shift its position at every revolution of the weight, advancing in the same direction with the weight's motion, by an uniform and regular progression, which at length will entirely reverse its situation, bringing the direction of the longest excursions to coincide with that

Airy, Gravitation, p. 106.

EXPERIMENTAL ILLUSTRATION.

greatest

oit may t, whieh mode in of cenevolving s or iron so as to l, and so or of the he hook. wagging hould be will bear, ery small ely withby giving leseribe a . If the cil, whose he ellipse d gently uation of for a long f the air ninish its lse comcarry it this perr subsist. evolution with the gression, bringing with that in which the shortest were previously made; and so on, round the whole circle; and, in a word, imitating to the eye, very completely, the motion of the apsides of the moon's orbit.

(693.) Now, if we inquire into the cause of this progression of the apsides, it will not be difficult of detection. When a weight is suspended by a wire, and drawn aside from the vertical, it is urged to the lowest point (or rather in a direction at every instant perpendicular to the wire) by a force which varies as the sine of the deviation of the wire from the perpendicular. Now, the sines of very small arcs are nearly in the proportion of the arcs themselves; and the more nearly, as the arcs are smaller. If, therefore, the deviations from the vertical be so small that we may neglect the curvature of the spherical surface in which the weight moves, and regard the curve described as coincident with its projection on a horizontal plane, it will be then moving under the same circumstances as if it were a revolving body attracted to a center by a force varying directly as the distance; and, in this case, the curve described would be an ellipse, having its centre of attraction not in the focus, but in the center *, and the apsides of this ellipse would remain fixed. But if the excursions of the weight from the vertical be considerable, the force urging it towards the center will deviate in its law from the simple ratio of the distances; being as the sine, while the distances are as the arc. Now the sine, though it continues to increase as the arc increases, yet does not increase so fast. So soon as the are has any sensible extent, the sine begins to fall somewhat short of the magnized which an exact numerical proportionality would require and therefore the force urging the weight towards its center or point of rest at great distances falls, in like proportion, somewhat short of that which would keep the body in its precise elliptic orbit. It will no longer, therefore, have, at those greater distances, the same command over the weight, in proportion to its peed, which would enable it to

* Newton, Princip. 1. 47.

deflect it from its rectilinear tangential course into an ellipse. The true path which it describes will be *less curved in the remoter parts* than is consistent with the elliptic figure, as in the annexed cut; and, therefore, it will not so soon have its motion brought to be again at right angles to the radius. It will require a longer continued action of the central force to do this; and before it is accomplished, more than a quadrant



of its revolution must be passed over in angular motion round the center. But this is only stating at length, and in a more circuitous manner, that fact which is more briefly and summarily expressed by saying that the apsides of its orbit are progressive. Nothing beyond a familiar illustration is of course intended in

what is above said "we case is not an exact parallel with that of the lunar or ω_{i} , the disturbing force being simply radial, whereas in the lunar orbit a transversal force is also concerned, and even were it otherwise, only a confused and indistinct view of apsidal motion can be obtained from this kind of consideration of the curvature of the disturbed path. If we would obtain a clear one, the two foci of the instantaneous ellipse must be found from the laws of elliptic motion performed under the influence of a force directly as the distance, and the radial disturbing force being decomposed into its tangential and normal components, the momentary influence of either in altering their positions and consequently the directions and lengths of the axis of the ellipse must be The student will find it neither a difficult nor accertained. an uninstructive exercise to work out the case from these principles, which we cannot afford the space to do.

(694.) The theory of the motion of the planetary apsides and the variation of their excentricities is in one point of view much more simple, but in another much more complicated than that of the lunar. It is simpler, because owing to the exceeding minuteness of the changes operated in the course of a single revolution, the angular position of the bodies with respect to the line of apsides is very little altered by the

APPLICATION TO THE PLANETARY THEORY. 479

motion of the apsides themselves. The line of apsides neither follows up the motion of the disturbing body in its state of advance, nor vice versû, in any degree capable of prolonging materially their advancing or shortening materially their receding phase. Hence no second approximation of the kind explained in (art. 686.), by which the motion of the lunar apsides is so powerfully modified as to be actually doubled in amount, is at all re-uired in the planetary theory. On the other hand, the latter theory is rendered more complicated than the former, at least in the cases of planets whose periodic times are to each other in a ratio much less than 13 to 1, by the consideration that the disturbing body shifts its position with respect to the line of apsides by a much greater angular quantity in a revolution of the disturbed body than in the case of the moon. In that case we were at liberty to suppose (for the sake of explanation), without any very egregious error, that the sun held nearly a fixed position during a single lunation. But in the case of planets whose times of revolution are in a much lower ratio this cannot be permitted. In the case of Jupiter disturbed by Saturn for example, in one sidereal revolution of Jupiter, Saturn has advanced in its orbit with respect to the line of apsides of Jupiter by more than 140°, a change of direction which entirely alters the conditions under which the disturbing forces act. And in the case of an exterior disturbed by an interior planet, the situation of the latter with respect to the line of the apsides varies even more rapidly than the situation of the exterior or disturbed planet with respect to the central body. To such cases then the reasoning which we have applied to the lunar perturbations becomes totally inapplieable; and when we take into consideration also the excentricity of the orbit of the disturbing body, which in the most important cases is exceedingly influential, the subject becomes far too complicated for verbal explanation, and can only be successfully followed out with the help of algebraic expression and the application of the integral calculus. To Mercury, Venus, and the earth indeed, as disturbed by Jupiter, and Lanets superior to Jupiter, this objection to the reasoning in

ellipse. l in the e, as in have its radius. cal force juadrant l over in er. But and in a et which expressed its orbit nd a famitended in allel with ng simply s also cond and ina this kind th. If we tantaneous otion pere distance, d into its v influence ently the must be ifficult nor from these

ry apsides te point of omplicated ring to the the course odies with red by the

question does not apply; and in each of these cases therefore we are entitled to conclude that the apsides are kept in a state of progression by the action of all the larger planets of our system. Under certain conditions of distance, excentricity, and relative situation of the axes of the orbits of the disturbed and disturbing planets, it is perfectly possible that the reverse may happen, an instance of which is afforded by Venus, whose apsides recede under the combined action of the earth and Mercury more rapidly than they advance under the joint actions of all the other planets. Nay, it is even possible under certain conditions that the line of apsides of the disturbed planet, instead of revolving always in one direction, may librate to and fro within assignable limits, and in a definite and regularly recurring period of time.

695.) Under any conditions, however, as to these particulars, the view we have above taken of the subject enables us to assign at every instant, and in every configuration of the two planets, the momentary effect of each upon the perihelion and excentricity of the other. In the simplest case, that in which the two orbits are so nearly circular, that the relative situation of their perihelia shall produce no appreciable difference in the intensities of the disturbing forces, it is very easy to show that whatever temporary oscillations to and fro in the positions of the line of apsides, and whatever temporary increase and diminution in the excentricity of either planet may take place, the final effect on the average of a great multitude of revolutions, presenting them to each other in all possible configurations, must be *nil*, for both elements.

(696.) To show this, all that is necessary is to cast our eyes on the synoptic table in art. 673. If M, the disturbing body, be supposed to be meccessively placed in two diametrically opposite situations in its orbit, the aphelion of P will stand related to M in one of these situations precisely as its perihelion in the other. Now the orbits being so nearly circles as supposed, the distribution of the disturbing forces, whether normal or tangential, is symmetrical relative to their common diameter passing through M, or to the line of

EFFECTS OF ELLIPTICITY.

syzygies. Hence it follows that the half of P's orbit " about perihelion" (art. 673.) will stand related to all the acting forces in the one situation of M, precisely as the half " about aphelion" does in the other: and also, that the half of the orbit in which P " approaches S," stands related to them in the one situation precisely as the half in which it " recedes from S" in the other. Whether as regards, therefore, the normal or tangential force, the conditions of advance or recess of apsides, and of increase or diminution of excentricities, are reversed in the two supposed cases. Hence it appears that whatever situation be assigned to M, and whatever influence it may exert on P in that situation, that influence will be annihilated in situations of M and P, diametrically opposite to those supposed, and thus, on a general average, the effect on both apsides and excentricities is reduced to nothing.

(697.) If the orbits, however, be excentric, the symmetry above insisted on in the distribution of the forces does not exist. But, in the first place, it is evident that if the excentricities be moderate, (as in the planetary orbits,) by far the larger part of the effects of the disturbing forces destroys itself in the manner described in the last article, and that it is only a residual portion, viz. that which arises from the greater proximity of the orbits at one place than at another, which can tend to produce permanent or secular effects. The precise estimation of these effects is too complicated an affair for us to enter upon; but we may at least give some idea of the process by which they are produced, and the order in which they arise. In so doing, it is necessary to distinguish between The effects the effects of the normal and tangential forces. of the former are greatest at the point of conjunction of the planets, because the normal force itself is there always at its maximum; and although, where the conjunction takes place at 90° from the line of apsides, its effect to move the apsides is nullified by situation, and when in that line its effect on the excentricities is similarly nullified, yet, in the situations rectangular to these, it acts to its greatest advantage. On the other hand, the tangential force vanishes at conjunction

refore a state of our cricity, turbed reverse Venus, e earth he joint possible he disrection, ad in a

ese parsubject ery conof each In the circular, oduce no sturbing emporary apsides, in the hal effect resenting must be

cast our isturbing diametrif P will cly as its so nearly ng forces, e to their p line of

ΙI

whatever be the place of conjunction with respect to the line of apsides, and where it is at its maximum its effect is still liable to be annulled by situation. Thus it appears that the normal force is most influential, and mainly determines the character of the general effect. It is, therefore, at conjunction that the most influential effect is produced, and therefore, on the long average, those conjunctions which happen about the place where the orbits are nearest will determine the general character of the effect. Now, the nearest points of approach of two ellipses which have a common focus may be very variously situated with respect to the perihelion of either. It may be at the perihelion or the aphelion of the disturbed orbit, or in any intermediate position. Suppose it to be at the perihelion. Then, if the disturbed orbit be *interior* to the disturbing, the force acts outwards, and therefore the apsides recede : if exterior, the force acts inwards, and they advance. In neither case does the excentricity change. If the conjunction take place at the aphelion of the disturbed orbit, the effects will be reversed: if intermediate, the apsides will be less, and the excentricity more affected.

(698.) Supposing only two planets, this process would go on till the apsides and excentricities had so far changed as to alter the point of nearest approach of the orbits so as either to accelerate or retard and perhaps reverse the motion of the apsides, and give to the variation of the excentricity a corresponding periodical character. But there are many planets all disturbing one another. And this gives rise to variations in the points of nearest approach of all the orbits taken two and two together, of a very complex nature.

(699.) It cannot fail to have been remarked, by any one who has followed attentively the above reasonings, that a close analogy subsists between two sets of relations; viz. that between the inclinations and nodes on the one hand, and between the excentricity and apsides on the other. In fact, the strict geometrical theories of the two cases present a close analogy, and lead to final results of the very same nature. What the variation of excentricity is to the motion

COMPOUND CYCLES OF EXCENTRICITIES, ETC. 483

of the perihelion, the change of inclination is to the motion of the node. In either case, the period of the one is also the period of the other; and while the perihelia describe considerable angles by an oscillatory motion to and fro, or circulate in immense periods of time round the entire circle, the excentricities increase and decrease by comparatively small changes, and are at length restored to their original magnitudes. In the lunar orbit, as the rapid rotation of the nodes prevents the change of inclination from accumulating to any material amount, so the still more rapid revolution of its apogee effects a speedy compensation in the fluctuations of its excentricity, and never suffers them to go to any material extent; while the same causes, by presenting in quick succession the lunar orbit in every possible situation to all the disturbing forces, whether of the sun, the planets, or the protuberant matter at the earth's equator, prevent any secular accumulation of small changes, by which, in the lapse of ages, its ellipticity might be materially increased or dimi-Accordingly, observation shows the mean excentrinished. city of the moon's orbit to be the same now as in the earliest ages of astronomy.

(700.) The movements of the perihelia, and variations of excentricity of the planetary orbits, are interlaced and complicated together in the same manner and nearly by the same laws as the variations of their nodes and inclinations. Each acts upon every other, and every such mutual action generates its own peculiar period of circulation or compensation; and every such period, in pursuance of the principle of art. 650., is thence propagated throughout the system. Thus arise cycles upon cycles, of whose compound duration some notion may be formed, when we consider what is the length of one such period in the case of the two principal planets-Jupiter and Saturn. Neglecting the action of the rest, the effect of their mutual attraction would be to produce a secular variation in the excentricity of Saturn's orbit, from 0.08409, its maximum, to 0.01345, its minimum value: while that of Jupiter would vary between the narrow limits, 0.06036 and 0.02606: the greatest excentricity of Jupiter corresponding

he line is still rs that ermines at coned, and s which est will ow, the have a respect helion or rmediate en, if the orce acts erior, the case docs place at ill be reand the

would go ged as to as either ion of the y a correny planets variations aken two

y any one ings, that ions; viz. hand, and In fact, present a very same he motion

to the least of Saturn, and vice verså. The period in which these changes are gone through, would be 70414 years. After this example, it will be easily conceived that many millions of years will require to elapse before a complete fulfilment of the joint cycle which shall restore the whole system to its original state as far as the excentricities of its orbits are concerned.

(701.) The place of the perihelion of a planet's orbit is of little consequence to its well-being; but its excentricity is most important, as upon this (the axes of the orbits being permanent) depends the mean temperature of its surface, and the extreme variations to which its seasons may be liable. For it may be easily shown that the mean annual amount of light and heat received by a planet from the sun is, cæteris paribus, as the minor axis of the ellipse described by it. Any variation, therefore, in the excentricity, by changing the minor axis will alter the mean temperature of the surface. How such a change will also influence the extremes of temperature appears from art. 368. et seq. Now it may naturally be inquired whether (in the vast cycle above spoken of, in which, at some period or other, conspiring changes may accumulate on the orbit of one planet from several quarters,) it may not happen that the excentricity of any one planet-as the earth -may become exorbitantly great, so as to subvert those relations which render it habitable to man, or to give rise to great changes, at least, in the physical comfort of his state. To this the researches of geometers have enabled us to answer in the negative. A relation has been demonstrated by Lagrange between the masses, axcs of the orbits, and excentricities of each planet, similar to what we have already stated with respect to their inclinations, viz. that if the mass of each planet be multiplied by the square root of the axis of its orbit, and the product by the square of its excentricity, the sum of all such products throughout the system is invariable; and as, in point of fact, this sum is extremely small, so it will always remain. Now, since the axes of the orbits are liable to no secular changes, this is equivalent to saying that no one orbit shall increase its excentricity, unless at the expense

STABILITY OF THE EXCENTRICITIES.

485

in which 14 years. hat many complete the whole ties of its

orbit is of entricity is bits being rface, and be liable. amount of is, cæteris oy it. Any the minor ce. How f temperaiturally be , in which, ccumulate it may not s the earth vert those o give rise f his state. s to answer strated by and excenve already if the mass axis of its ty, the sum *iable* ; and so it will are liable ng that no he expense of a common fund, the whole amount of which is, and must for ever remain, extremely minute.*

• There is nothing in this relation, however, taken per se, to secure the smaller planets — Mercury, Mars, Juno, Ceres, &c. — from a catastrophe, could they accumulate on themselves, or any one of them, the whole amount of this excentricity fund. But that can never be : Jupiter and Saturn will always retain the lion's share of it. A similar remark applies to the *inclination fund* of art. 639. These funds, be it observed, can never get into debt. Every term of them is essentially positive.
CHAPTER XIV.

OF THE INEQUALITIES INDEPENDENT OF THE EXCENTRICITIES. THE MOON'S VARIATION AND PARALLACTIC INEQUALITY. - ANA-LOGOUS PLANETARY INEQUALITIES .- THREE CASES OF PLANETARY PERTURBATION DISTINGUISNED. - OF INEQUALITIES DEPENDENT ON THE EXCENTRICITIES .- LONG INEQUALITY OF JUPITER AND SATURN .- LAW OF RECIPROCITY BETWEEN THE PERIODICAL VA-RIATIONS OF THE ELEMENTS OF BOTH PLANETS. -- LONG INE-QUALITY OF THE EARTH AND VENUS .- VARIATION OF THE EPOCH. -INEQUALITIES INCIDENT ON THE EPOCH AFFECTING THE MEAN MOTION. -- INTERPRETATION OF THE CONSTANT PART OF THESE INEQUALITIES. - ANNUAL EQUATION OF THE MOON. - HER SE-CULAR ACCELERATION. - LUNAR INEQUALITIES DUE TO THE AC-TION OF VENUS. - EFFECT OF THE SPHEROIDAL FIGURE OF THE EARTH AND OTHER PLANETS ON THE MOTIONS OF THEIR SATEL-LITES. - OF THE TIDES. - MASSES OF DISTURBING BODIES DE-DUCIBLE FROM THE PERTURBATIONS THEY PRODUCE. - MASS OF THE MOON, AND OF JUPITER'S SATELLITES, HOW ASCERTAINED .---PERTURBATIONS OF URANUS RESULTING IN THE DISCOVERY OF NEPTUNE. - DETERMINATION OF THE ABSOLUTE MASS AND DENSITY OF THE EARTH.

(702.) To calculate the actual place of a planet or the moon, in longitude and latitude at any assigned time, it is not enough to know the changes produced by perturbation in the elements of its orbit, still less to know the *secular* changes so produced, which are only the outstanding or uncompensated portions of much greater changes induced in short periods of configuration. We must be enabled to estimate the actual effect on its longitude of those periodical accelerations and retardations in the rate of its mean angular motion, and on its latitude of those deviations above and below the mean plane of its orbit, which result from the continued action of the perturbative forces, not as compensated in long periods, but as in the act of their generation and destruction

COMPOUND MOTION OF THE UPPER FOCUS. 487

in short ones. In this chapter we purpose to give an account of some of the most prominent of the *equations* or inequalities thence arising, several of which are of high historical interest, as having become known by observation previous to the discovery of their theoretical causes, and as having, by their successive explanations from the theory of gravitation, removed what were in some instances regarded as formidable objections against that theory, and afforded in all most satisfactory and triumphant verifications of it.

(703.) We shall begin with those which compensate themselves in a synodic revolution of the disturbed and disturbing body, and which are independent of any permanent excentricity of either orbit, going through their changes and effecting their compensations in orbits slightly elliptic, almost precisely as if they were circular. These inequalities result, in fact, from a circulation of the true upper focus of the disturbed ellipse about its mean place in a curve whose form and magnitude the principles laid down in the last chapter enable us to assign in any proposed case. If the disturbed orbit be circular, this mean place coincides with its centre: if elliptic, with the situation of its upper focus, as determined from the principles laid down in the last chapter.

(704.) To understand the nature of this circulation, we must consider the joint action of the two elements of the disturbing force. Suppose H to be the place of the upper



focus, corresponding to any situation P of the disturbed body,

IES. ---- ANA-NETARY ENDENT ER AND CAL VA-NG INE-EPOCH. IE MEAN F THESE HER SE-THE AC-OF THE R SATEL-DIES DE-MASS OF AINED. VERY OF ASS AND

et or the ime, it is turbation le secular unding or induced in nabled to periodical n angular and below continued d in long estruction

and let P P' be an infinitesimal element of its orbit, described in an instant of time. Then supposing ne disturbing force to act, P P' will be a portion of an ellipse, having H for its focus, equally whether the point P or P' be regarded. But now let the disturbing forces act during the instant of describing P P'. Then the focus H will shift its position to H' to find which point we must recollect, 1st. What is demonstrated in art. (671.), viz. that the effect of the normal force is to vary the position of the line P' H no as to make the angle H P H' equal to double the variation of the angle of tangency due to the action of that force, without altering the distance PH: so that in virtue of the normal force alone, H would move to a point h, along the line H Q, drawn from H to a point Q, 90° in advance of P, (because SH being exceedingly small, the angle P H Q may be taken as a right angle when PSQ is so,) H approaching Q if the normal force act outwards, but receding from Q if inwards. And similarly the effect of the tangential force (art. 670.) is to vary the position of H in the direction H P or P H, according as the force retards or accelerates P's motion. To find H' then from H draw HP, HQ, to P and to a point of P's orbit 90° in advance of P. On HQ take Hh, the motion of the focus due to the normal force, and on H P take H k the motion due to the tangential force; complete the parallelogram H H', and its diagonal H H' will be the element of the true path of H in virtue of the joint action of both forces.

(705.) The most conspicuous case in the planetary system to which the above reasoning is applicable, is that of the moon disturbed by the sun. The inequality thus arising is known by the name of the moon's variation, and was discovered so carly as about the year 975 by the Arabian astronomer Aboul Wefa.* Its magnitude (or the extent of fluctuation to and fro in the moon's longitude which it produces) is considerable, being no less than 1° 4', and it is otherwise interesting as being the first inequality produced by perturbation, which Newton succeeded in explaining by

* Sedillot, Nouvelles Recherches pour servir à l'Histoire de l'Astronomie chez les Arabes.

"VARIATION" OF THE MOON EXPLAINED.

the theory of gravity. A good general idea of its nature may be formed by considering the direct action of the disturbing forces on the moon, supposed to move in a circular orbit. In such an orbit undisturbed, the velocity would be uniform; but the tangential force acting to accelerate her motion through the quadrants preceding her conjunction and opposition, and to retard it through the alternate quadrants, it is evident that the velocity will have two maxima and two minima, the former at the syzygies, the latter at the quadra-Hence at the syzygies the velocity will exceed that tures. which corresponds to a circular orbit, and at quadratures will fall short of it. The true orbit will therefore be less curved or more flattened than a circle in syzygies, and more curved (i. e. protuberant beyond a circle) in quadratures. This would be the case even were the normal force not to act. But the action of that force increases the effect in question, for at the syzygies, and as far as 64° 14' on either side of them, it acts outwards, or in counteraction of the earth's attraction, and thereby prevents the orbit from being so much curved as it otherwise would be; while at quadratures, and for 25° 46' on either side of them, it acts inwards, aiding the earth's attraction, and rendering that portion of the orbit more curved than it otherwise would be. Thus the joint action of both forces distorts the orbit from a circle into a flattened or elliptic form, having the longer axis in quadratures, and the shorter in syzygies; and in this orbit the mean moves faster than with her mean velocity at syzygy (i. e. where she is nearest the earth) and slower at quadratures where farthest. Her angular motion about the earth is therefore for both reasons greater in the former than in the latter situation. Hence at syzygy her true longitude seen from the earth will be in the act of gaining on her mean, - in quadratures of losing, and at some intermediate points (not very remote from the octants) will neither be gaining nor losing. But at these points, having been gaining or losing through the whole previous 90°, the amount of gain or loss will have attained its maximum. Consequently at the octants the true longitude will deviate most from the mean in excess and defect, and the

oit, deturbing g H for garded. stant of ition to demonnormal to make he angle altering ce alone, wn from H being s a right e normal ds. And 70.) is to according 'o find H' int of P's he motion ke H k the allelogram f the true es. ry system at of the us arising was dis-Arabian extent of ch it proand it is produced aining by

ronomie chez

inequality in question is therefore *nil* at syzygies and quadratures, and attains its maxima in advance or retardation at the octants, which is agreeable to observation.

(706.) Let us, however, now see what account can be rendered of this inequality by the simultaneous variations of the axis and excentricity as above explained. The tangential force, as will be recollected, is nil at syzygies and quadratures, and a maximum at the octants, accelerative in the quadrants EA and DB, and retarding in AD and BE In the 'wo former then the axis is in process of lengthening; in the two latter, shortening. On the other hand the normal force vanishes at (a, b, d, e) 64° 14' from the syzygies. It acts outwards over e A a, b B d, and inwards over a D b and dEe. In virtue of the tangential force, then, the point H moves towards P when P is in AD, BE, and from it when in DB, EA, the motion being nil when at A, B, D, E, and most rapid when at the octant D, at which points, therefore, (so far as this force is concerned,) the focus H would have its mean situation. And in virtue of the normal focus, the motion of H in the direction HQ will be at its maximum of rapidity towards Q at A, or B, from Q at D or



E, and nil at a, b, d, e. It will assist us in following out these indications to obtain a notion of the form of the curve

"VARIATION" OF THE MOON EXPLAINED. 491

really described by H, if we trace separately the paths which H would pursue in virtue of either motion separately, since its true motion will necessarily result from the superposition of these partial motions, because at every instant they are at right angles to each other, and therefore cannot interfere. First, then, it is evident, from what we have said of the tangential force, that when P is at A, H is for an instant at rest, but that as P removes from A towards D, H continually approaches P along their line of junction HP, which is, therefore, at each instant a tangent to the path of H. When P is in the octant, H is at its mean distance from P (equal to PS), and is then in the act of approaching P most rapidly. From thence to the quadrature D the movement of H towards P decreases in rapidity till the quadrature is attained, when H rests for an instant, and then begins to reverse its motion, and travel from P at the same rate of progress as before towards it. Thus it is clear that, in virtue of the tangential force alone, H would describe a four-cusped curve a, d, b, e, its direction of motion round S in this curve being opposite to that of P, so that A and a, D and d, B and b, E and e, shall be corresponding points.

(707.) Next as regards the normal force. When the



moon is at A the motion of H is towards D, and is at its

d quation at

can be tions of tangenquadrain the E In ung; in normal ries. It Db and point H from it , B, D, E, h points, focus H ie normal be at its Q at D or

> wing out the curve

maximum of rapidity, but slackens as P proceeds towards D and as Q proceeds towards B. To the curve described, HQ will be always a tangent, and since at the neutral point of the normal force (or when P is 64° 14' from A, and Q 64° 14' from D), the motion of H is for an instant nil and is then reversed, the curve will have a cusp at *l* corresponding, and H will then begin to travel along the arc lm, while P describes the corresponding arc from neutral point to neutral point through D. Arrived at the neutral point between D and B, the motion of H along QH will be again arrested and reversed, giving rise to another cusp at m, and so on. Thus, in virtue of the normal force acting alone, the path of H would be the four-cusped, elongated curve lmno, described with a motion round S the reverse of P's, and having a, d, b, e for points corresponding to A, B, D, E, places of P.

(708.) Nothing is now easier than to superpose these motions. Supposing H_1 , H_2 to be the points in either curve corresponding to P, we have nothing to Δb but to set from off S, Sh equal and parallel to S H_1 in the one curve and from h, h H equal and parallel to S H_2 in the other. Let this be done for every corresponding point in the two curves, and there results an oval curve a d b e, having for its semiaxes $Sa = Sa_1$ $+ Sa_2$; and $Sd = Sd_1 + Sd_2$. And this will be the true path of the upper focus, the points a, d, b, e, corresponding to A, D, B, E, places of P. And from this it follows, 1st, that at A, B, the syzygies, the moon is in perigee in her momentary ellipse, the lower focus being nearer than the upper. 2dly, That in quadratures D, E, the moon is in apogee in her *then* momentary ellipse, the upper focus being then nearer



"VARIATION" OF THE MOON EXPLAINED. 493

than the lower. 3dly, That H revolves in the oval a d b ethe contrary way to P in its orbit, making a complete revolution from syzygy to syzygy in one synodic revolution of the moon.

(709.) Taking 1 for the moon's mean distance from the earth, suppose we represent Sa_1 or Sd_1 (for they are equal) by 2a, Sa_2 by 2b, and Sd_2 by 2c, then will the semiaxes of the oval a d b e, Sa and Sd be respectively 2a+2b and 2a+2c, so that the excentricities of the momentary ellipses at A and D will be respectively a+b and a+c. The total amount of the effect of the tangential force on the axis, in passing from syzygy to quadrature, will evidently be equal to the length of the curvilinear arc $a_1 d_1$ (fig. art. 708.), which is necessarily less than $Sa_1 + Sd_1$ or 4a. Therefore the total effect on the semiaxis or distance of the moon is less than 2a, and the excess and defect of the greatest and least values of this distance thus varied above and below the mean value S A=1 (which call α) will be less than α . The moon then is moving at A in the perigee of an ellipse whose semiaxis is $1 + \alpha$ and excentricity $\alpha + b$, so that its actual distance from the earth there is $1 + \alpha - \alpha - b$, which (because α is less than a) is less than 1-b. Again, at D it is moving in apogee of an ellipse whose semiaxis is $1-\alpha$ and excentricity a+c, so that its distance then from the earth is 1-a+a+c, which (a being greater than α) is greater than 1+c, the latter distance exceeding the former by 2a - 2a + b + c.

(710.) Let us next consider the corresponding changes induced upon the angular velocity. Now it is a law of elliptic motion that at different points of differentellipses, each differing very little from a circle, the angular velocities are to each other as the square roots of the semiaxes directly, and as the squares of the distances inversely. In this case the semiaxes at A and D are to each other as $1 + \alpha$ to $1-\alpha$, or as 1:1 -2α , so that their square roots are to each other as $1:1-\alpha$. Again, the distances being to each other as $1 + \alpha - \alpha - b: 1 - \alpha + \alpha + c$, the inverse ratio of their squares (since α , a, b, c, are all very small quantities) is that of $1-2\alpha+2\alpha+2c: 1+ 2\alpha-2\alpha-2b$, or as $1:1-\alpha-2b-2c$. The angular

ards D d, HQ point of Q 64° is then ng, and e P deneutral ween D arrested 1 so on. e path of *nn o*, dend having E, places

these mocurve cora off S, Sh pm h, h H s be done and there s $Sa = Sa_1$ true path onding to llows, 1st, in her mothe upper. ogee in her

velocities then are to each other in a ratio compounded of these two proportions, that is in the ratio of

1:1+3a-4a-2b-2c,

which is evidently that of a greater to a less quantity. It is obvious also, from the constitution of the second term of this ratio, that the normal force is far more influential in producing this result than the tangential.

(711.) In the foregoing reasoning the sun has been regarded as fixed. Let us now suppose it in motion (in a circular orbit), then it is evident that at equal angles of elongation (of P from M seen from S), equal disturbing forces, both tangential and normal, will act : only the syzygies and quadratures, as well as the neutral points of the normal force, instead of being points fixed in longitude on the orbit of the moon, will advance on that orbit with a uniform angular motion equal to the angular motion of the sun. The cuspidated curves $a_1 d_1 b_1 e_1$ and $a_2 d_2 b_2 e_2$, fig. art. 708., will, therefore, no longer be re-entering curves; but each will have its cusps screwed round as it were in the direction of the sun's motion, so as to increase the angles between them in the ratio of the synodical to the sidereal revolution of the moon (art. 418.). And if, in like manner, the motions in these two curves, thus separately described by H, be compounded, the resulting curve, though still (loosely speaking) a species of oval, will not return into itself, but will make successive spiroidal convolutions about S, its farthest and nearest points being in the same ratio more than 90° asunder. And to this movement that of the moon herself will conform. describing a species of elliptic spiroid, having its least distances always in the line of syzygies and its greatest in that of quadratures. It is evident also, that, owing to the longer continued action of both forces, i.e. owing to the greater arc over which their intensities increase and decrease by equal steps, the branches of each curve between the cusps will be longer, and the cusps themselves will be more remote from S, and in the same degree will the dimensions of the resulting oval be enlarged, and with them the amount of the inequality in the moon's motion which they represent.

• VARIATION" OF THE MOON EXPLAINED.

(712.) In the above reasoning the sun's distance is supposed so great, that the disturbing forces in the semi-orbit nearer to it shall not sensibly differ from those in the more The sun, however, is actually nearer to the moon remote. in conjunction than in opposition by about one two-hundredth part of its whole distance, and this suffices to give rise to a very sensible inequality (called the parallactic inequality) in the lunar motions, amounting to about 2' in its effect on the moon's longitude, and having for its period one synodical revolution or one lunation. As this inequality, though subordinate in the case of the moon to the great inequality of the variation with which it stands in connexion, becomes a prominent feature in the system of inequalities corresponding to it in the planetary perturbations (by reason of the very great variations of their distances from conjunction to opposition), it will be necessary to indicate what modifications this consideration will introduce into the forms of our focus curves, and of their superposed oval. Recurring then to our figures in art. 706, 707., and supposing the moon to set out from E, and the upper focus, in each curve from e, it is evident that the intercuspidal arcs e a, a d, in the one, and ep, pal, ld, in the other, being described under the influence of more powerful forces, will be greater than the arcs db, be, and dm, mbn, ne corresponding in the other half revo-





lution. The two extremities of these curves then, the initial and terminal places of e in each, will not meet, and the same

ided of

It is of this in pro-

oeen reon (in a ngles of sturbing syzygies e normal the orbit n angular The cus-08., will, each will irection of veen them tion of the motions in , be compeaking) a will make rthest and ° asunder. ll conform, least disest in that the longer he greater ecrease by the cusps ore remote ons of the ount of the ent.

conclusion will hold respecting those of the compound oval in which the focus really revolves, which will, therefore, be as in the annexed figure. Thus, at the end of a complete lunation, the focus will have shifted its place from e to f in a line parallel to the line of quadratures. The next revolution, and the next, the same thing would happen. Meanwhile, however, the sun has advanced in its orbit, and the line of quadratures has changed its situation by an equal angular In consequence, the next terminal situation (g) of motion. the forces will not lie in the line ef prolonged, but in a line parallel to the new situation of the line of quadratures, and this process continuing, will evidently give rise to a movement of circulation of the point e, round a mean situation in an annual period; and this, it is evident, is equivalent to an annual circulation of the central point of the compound oval itself, in a small orbit about its mean position S. Thus we see that no permanent and indefinite increase of excentricity can arise from this cause; which would be the case, however, but for the annual motion of the sun.

(713.) Inequalities precisely similar in principle to the variation and parallactic inequality of the moon, though greatly modified by the different relations of the dimensions of the orbits, prevail in all cases where planet disturbs planet. To what extent this modification is carried will be evident, if we cast our eyes on the examples given in art. 612., where it will be seen that the disturbing force in conjunction often exceeds that in opposition in a very high ratio, (being in the case of Neptune disturbing Uranus more than ten times as great). The effect will be, that the orbit described by the center of the compound oval about S, will be much greater relatively to the dimensions of that oval itself, than in the case of the moon. Bearing in mind the nature and import of this modification, we may proceed to enquire, apart from it, into the number and distribution of the undulations in the contour of the oval itself arising from the alternations of direction plus and minus of the disturbing forces in the course of a synodic revolution. But first it should be mentioned that. in the case of an exterior disturbed by an interior planet,

ANALOGOUS PLANETARY DISTURBANCES.

nd oval fore, be omplete o f in aolution, anwhile, line of angular on (g) of in a line ures, and a movetuation in valent to compound S. Thus of excenthe case,

ple to the n, though dimensions rbs planet. be evident, 12., where action often eing in the en times as bed by the uch greater than in the and import apart from tions in the tions of dihe course of tioned that, rior planet, the disturbing body's angular motion exceeds that of the disturbed. Hence P, though advancing in its orbit, recedes relatively to the line of syzygies, or, which comes to the same thing, the neutral points of either force overtake it in succession, and each, as it comes up to it, gives rise to a cusp in the corresponding *focus curve*. The angles between the successive cusps will therefore be to the angles between the corresponding neutral points for a fixed position of M, in the same constant ratio of the synodic to the sidercal period of P, which however is now a ratio of less inequality. These angles then will be contracted in amplitude, and, for the same reason as before, the excursions of the focus will be diminished, and the more so the shorter the synodic revolution.

(714.) Since the cusps of either curve recur, in successive synodic revolutions in the same order, and at the same angular distances from each other, and from the line of conjunction, the same will be true of all the corresponding points in the curve resulting from their superposition. In that curve, every cusp, of either constituent, will give rise to a convexity, and every intercuspidal are to a relative concavity. It is evident then that the compound curve or true path of the focus so resulting, but for the cause above mentioned, would return into itself, whenever the periodic times of the disturbing and disturbed bodies are commensurate, because in that case the synodic period will also be commensurate with either, and the are of longitude



intercepted between the sidercal place of any one conjunction, and that next following it, will be an aliquot part of 360°.

КК

In all other cases it would be a non-reentering, more or less undulating and more or less regular, spiroid, according to the number of cusps in each of the constituent curves (that is to say, according to the number of neutral points or changes of direction from inwards to outwards, or from accelerating to retarding, and *vice versa*, of the normal and tangential forces,) in a complete synodic revolution, and their distribution over the circumference.

(715.) With regard to these changes, it is necessary to distinguish three cases, in which the perturbations of planet by planet are very distinct in character. 1st. When the disturbing planet is exterior. In this case there are four neutral points of either force. Those of the tangential force occur at the syzygies, and at the points of the disturbed orbit (which we shall call points of equidistance), equidistant from the sun and the disturbing planet (at which points, as we have shown (art. 614.), the total disturbing force is always directed inwards towards the sun). Those of the normal force occur at points intermediate between these last mentioned points, and the syzygies, which, if the disturbing planet be very distant, hold nearly the situation they do in the lunar theory, *i. e.* considerably nearer the quadratures than the syzygies. In proportion as the distance of the disturbing planet diminishes, two of these points, viz. those nearest the syzygy, approach to each other, and to the syzygy, and in the extreme case, when the dimensions of the orbits are equal, coincide with it.

(716.) The second case is that in which the disturbing planet is interior to the disturbed, but at a distance from the sun greater than half that of the latter. In this case there are four neutral points of the tangential force, and only two of the normal. Those of the tangential force occur, at the syzygies, and at the points of equidistance. The force retards the disturbed body from conjunction to the first such points after conjunction, accelerates it thence to the opposition, thence again retards it to the next point of equidistance, and finally again accelerates it up to the conjunction. As the disturbing orbit contracts in dimension the points of equi-

or less y to the nat is to anges of ating to l forces,) ion over

essary to of planet Then the are four tial force bed orbit tant from nts, as we is always ie normal last mening planet n the lunar than the disturbing nearest the gy, and in s are equal,

disturbing ce from the s case there d only two ceur at the prec retards such points opposition, puidistance, netion. As nts of equidistance approach; their distance from syzygy from 60° (the extreme case) diminishing to nothing, when they coincide with each other, and with the conjunction. In the case of Saturn disturbed by Jupiter, that distance is only 23° 33'. The neutral points of the normal force lie somewhat beyond the quadratures, on the side of the opposition, and do not undergo any very material change of situation with the contraction of the disturbing orbit.

(717.) The third case is that in which the diameter of the disturbing interior orbit is less than half that of the disturbed. In this case there are only two points of evanescence for either force. Those of the tangential force are the syzygies. The disturbed planet is accelerated throughout the whole semi-revolution from conjunction to opposition, and retarded from opposition to conjunction, the maxima of acceleration and retardation occurring not far from quadrature. The neutral points of the normal force are situated nearly as in the last case; that is to say, beyond the quadratures towards the opposition. All these varieties the student will easily trace out by simply drawing the figures, and resolving the forces in a series of cases, beginning with a very large and ending with a very small diameter of the disturbing orbit. It will greatly aid him in impressing on his imagination the general relations of the subject, if he construct, as he proceeds,



for each case, the elegant and symmetrical ovals in which the points N and L (*fig.* art. 675.) always lie, for a fixed position $\frac{K K^2}{2}$

500

of M, and of which the annexed figure expresses the forms they respectively assume in the third case now under consideration. The second only differs from this, in having the common vertex m of both ovals outside of the disturbed orbit A P, while in the case of an exterior disturbing planet the oval m L assumes a four-lobed form; its lobes respectively touching the oval m N in its vertices, and cutting the orbit A P in the points of equidistance and of tangency, (*i. e.* where M P S is a right angle) as in this figure.



(718.) It would be easy now, bearing these features in mind, to trace in any proposed case the form of the spiroid curve, described, as above explained, by the upper focus. It will suffice, however, for our present purpose to remark, 1st, That between every two successive conjunctions of P and M the same general form, the same subordinate undulations, and the same terminal displacement of the upper focus are continually 2dly, That the motion of the focus in this curve repeated. is retrograde whenever the disturbing planet is exterior, and that in consequence the apsides of the momentary ellipse also recede, with a mean velocity such as, but for that displacement, would bring them round at each conjunction to the same relative situation with respect to the line of syzygies. 3dly, That in consequence of this retrograde movement of the apse, the disturbed planet, apart from that consideration, would be twice in perihelio and twice in aphelio in its momentary ellipse in each synodic revolution, just as in the case of the moon disturbed by the sun - and that in consequence of this and of the undulating movement of the focus H itself, an inequality will arise, analogous,

IONG EQUATION OF JUPITER AND SATURN. 501

mutatis mutandis in each case, to the moon's variation, under which term we comprehend (not exactly in conformity to its strict technical meaning in the lunar theory) not only the principal inequality thus arising, but all its subordinate fluctuations. And on this the parallactic inequality thus violently exaggerated is superposed.

(719.) We come now to the class of inequalities which depend for their existence on an appretiable amount of permanent excentricity in the orbit of one or of both the disturbing and disturbed planets, in consequence of which all their conjunctions do not take place at equal distances either from the central body or from each other, and therefore that symmetry in every synodic revolution on which depends the exact restoration of both the axis and excentricity to their original values at the completion of each such revolution no longer subsists. In passing from conjunction to conjunction, then, there will no longer be effected either a complete restoration of the upper focus to the same relative situation, or of the axis to the same length which they respectively had at the outset. At the same time it is not less evident that the differences in both respects are only what remain outstanding after the compensation of by far the greater part of the deviations to and fro from a mean state which occur in the course of the revolution; and that they amount to but small fractions of the total excursions of the focus from its first position, or of the increase and decrease in the length of the axis effected by the direct action of the tangential force, - so small, indeed, that, unless owing to peculiar adjustments they be enabled to accumulate again and again at successive conjunctions in the same direction, they would be altogether undeserving of any especial notice in a work of this nature. Such adjustments, however, would evidently exist if the periodic times of the planets were exactly commensurable; since in that case all the possible conjunctions which could ever happen (the elements not being materially changed) would take place at fixed points in longitude, the intermediate points being never visited by a conjunction. Now, of the conjunctions thus distributed, their relations to the lines of symmetry in the

forms consiing the ed orbit met the ectively he orbit e. where

s in mind, oid curve, 8. It will 1st, That nd M the hs, and the ontinually this curve terior, and ellipse also that disunction to f syzygics. nent of the nsideration, elio in its st as in the nd that in vement of analogous,

кк З

orbits being all dissimilar, some one must be more influential than the rest on each of the elements (not necessarily the same upon all). Consequently, in a complete cycle of conjunctions, wherein each has been visited in its turn, the influence of that one on the element to which it stands so especially related will preponderate over the counteracting and compensating influence of the rest, and thus, although in such a cycle as above specified, a further and much more exact compensation will have been effected in its value than in a single revolution; still that compensation will not be complete, but a portion of the effect (be it to increase or to diminish the excentricity or the axis, or to cause the apse to advance or to recede,) will remain outstanding. In the next cycle of the same kind this will be repeated, and the result will be of the same character, and so on, till at length a sensible and ultimately a large amount of change shall have taken place, and in fact until the axis (and with it the mean motion) shall have so altered as to destroy the commensurability of periods, and the apsides have so shifted as to alter the place of the most influential conjunction.

(720.) Now, although it is true that the mean motions of no two planets are exactly commensurate, yet eases are not wanting in which there exists an approach to this adjustment. For instance, in the case of Jupiter and Saturn, a cycle composed of five periods of Jupiter and two of Saturn, although it does not exactly bring about the same configuration, does so pretty nearly. Five periods of Jupiter are 21663 days, and two periods of Saturn, 21519 days. The difference is only 146 days, in which Jupiter describes, on an average, 12°, and Saturn about 5°; so that after the lapse of the former interval they will only be 7° from a conjunction in the same parts of their orbits as before. If we calculate the time which will exactly bring about, on the average, three conjunctions of the two planets, we shall find it to be 21760 days, their synodical period being 7253.4 days. In this interval Saturn will have described 8° 6' in excess of two sidereal revolutions, and Jupiter the same angle in excess of five. Every third conjunction, then, will take place 8° 6' in

LONG EQUATION OF JUPITER AND SATURN. 503

advance of the preceding, which is near enough to establish, not, it is true, an identity with, but still a great approach to the case in question. The excess of act on, for several such triple conjunctions (7 or 8) in succession, will lie the same way, and at each of them the elements of P's orbit and its angular motion will be similarly influenced, so as to accumulate the effect upon its longitude; thus giving rise to an irregularity of considerable magnitude and very long period, which is well known to astronomers by the name of the great inequality of Jupiter and Saturn.

(721.) The arc 8° 6' is contained $44\frac{4}{9}$ times in the whole circumference of 360°; and accordingly, if we trace round this particular conjunction, we shall find it will return to the same point of the orbit in so many times 21760 days, or in 2648 years. But the conjunction we are now considering is The other two will happen at points only one out of three. of the orbit about 123° and 246° distant, and these points also will advance by the same arc of 8° 6' in 21760 days. Consequently the period of 2648 years will bring them all round, and in that interval each of them will pass through that point of the two orbits from which we commenced: hence a conjunction (one or other of the three) will happen at that point once in one third of this period, or in 883 years; and this is, therefore, the cycle in which the "great inequality" would undergo its full compensation, did the elements of the orbits continue all that time invariable. Their variation, however, is considerable in so long an interval; and, owing to this cause, the period itself is prolonged to about 918 years.

(722.) We have selected this inequality as the most remarkable instance of this kind of action on account of its magnitude, the length of its period, and its high historical interest. It had long been remarked by astronomers, that on comparing together modern with ancient observations of Jupiter and Saturn, the mean motions of these planets did not appear to be uniform. The period of Saturn, for instance, appeared to have been lengthening throughout the whole of the seventeenth century, and that of Jupiter shortening—that is to say, the one planet was constantly lagging behind, and the

luential rily the of conirn, the ands so eracting lthough ch more lue than not be ase or to the apse In the , and the at length hall have the mean mensuraas to alter

motions of s are not ljustment. , a cycle f Saturn, figuration, are 21663 difference n average, ose of the unction in culate the age, three be 21760 In this ess of two n excess of ce 8° 6' in

кк4

other getting in advance of its calculated place. On the other hand, in the eighteenth century, a process precisely the reverse seemed to be going on. It is true the whole retardations and accelerations observed were not very great; but, as their influence went on accumulating, they produced, at length, material differences between the observed and calculated places of both these planets, which as they could not then be accounted for by any theory, excited a high degree of attention, and were even, at one time, too hastily regarded as almost subversive of the Newtonian doctrine of gravity. For a long while this difference baffled every endeavour to account for it; till at length Laplace pointed out its cause in the near commensurability of the mean motions, as above shown, and succeeded in calculating its period and amount.

(723.) The inequality in question amounts, at its maximum, to an alternate gain and loss of about 0° 49' in the longitude of Saturn, and a corresponding loss and gain of about 0° 21' That an acceleration in the one planet in that of Jupiter. must necessarily be accompanied by a retardation in the other, might appear at first sight self-evident, if we consider, that action and reaction being equal, and in contrary directions, whatever momentum Jupiter communicates to Saturn in the direction P M, the same momentum must Saturn communicate to Jupiter in the direction M.P. The one, therefore, it might seem to be plausibly argued, will be dragged forward, whenever the other is pulled back in its orbit. The inference is correct, so far as the general and final result goes; but the reasoning by which it would, on the first glance, appear to be thus summarily established is fallacious, or at least incomplete. It is perfectly true that whatever momentum Jupiter communicates directly to Saturn, Saturn communicates an equal momentum to Jupiter in an opposite linear direction. But it is not with the absolute motions of the two planets in space that we are now concerned, but with the relative motion of each separately, with respect to the sun regarded as at rest. The perturbative forces (the forces which disturb these relative motions) do not act along the line of junction of the planets (art. 614.). In the reasoning thus objected to, the attraction

LAW OF RECIPROCITY.

the other e reverse urdations as their t length, acculated t then be attention, as almost For a long count for a the near hown, and

maximum, longitude oout 0° 21' one planet the other, nsider, that directions, turn in the mmunicate re, it might d forward, e inferenco s; but the ppear to be incomplete. apiter comes an equal on. But it ets in space e motion of as at rest. cse relative the planets attraction of each on the sun has been left out of the account*, and it remains to be shown that these attractions neutralize and destroy each other's effects in considerable periods of time, as bearing upon the result in question. Suppose then that we for a moment abandon the point of view, in which we have hitherto all along considered the subject, and regard the sun as free to move, and liable to be displaced by the attractions of the two planets. Then will the movements of all be performed about the common center of gravity, just as they would have been about the sun's center regarded as immoveable, the sun all the while circulating in a small orbit (with a motion compounded of the two elliptic motions it would have in virtue of their separate attractions) about the same center. Now in this case M still disturbs P, and P, M, but the whole disturbing force now acts along their line of junction, and since it remains true that whatever momentum M generates in P, P will generate the same in M in a contrary direction; it will also be strictly true that, so far as a disturbance of their elliptic motions about the common center of gravity of the system is alone regarded, whatever disturbance of velocity is generated in the one, a contrary disturbance of velocity (only in the inverse ratio of the masses and modified, though never contradicted, by the directions in which they are respectively moving), will be generated in the other. Now when we are considering only inequalities of long period comprehending many complete revolutions of both planets, and which arise from changes in the axes of the orbits, affecting their mean motions, it matters not whether we suppose these motions performed about the common center of gravity, or about the sun, which never departs from that center to any material extent (the mass of the sun being such in comparison with that of the planets, that that center always lics within his surface). The mean motion

^{*} We are here reading a sort of recantation. In the edition of 1833 the remarkable result in question is sought to be established by this vicious reasoning. The mistake is a very natural one, and is so apt to haunt the ideas of beginners in this department of physics, that it is worth while expressly to warn them against it.

therefore, regarded as the average angular velocity during a revolution, is the same whether estimated by reference to the sun's center, or to the center of gravity, or, in other words, the relative mean motion referred to the sun is identical with the absolute mean motion referred to the center of gravity.

(724.) This reasoning applies equally to every case of mutual disturbance resulting in a long inequality such as may arise from a slow and long-continued periodical increase and diminution of the axes, and geometers have accordingly demonstrated as a consequence from it, that the proportion in which such inequalities affect the *longitudes* of the two planets concerned, or the maxima of the excesses and defects of their longitudes above and below their elliptie values, thence arising, in each, are to each other in the inverse ratio of their masses multiplied by the square roots of the major .xes of their orbits, and this result is confirmed by observation, and will be found verified in the instance immediately in question as nearly as the uncertainty still subsisting as to the masses of the two planets will permit.

(725.) The inequality in question, as has been observed in general, (art. 718.) would be much greater, were it not for the partial compensation which is operated in it in every triple

conjunction of the planets. Suppose P Q R to be Saturn's orbit, and p q r Jupiter's; and suppose a conjunction to take place at P p, on the line S A; a second at 123° distance, on the line S B; a third at 246° distance, on S C; and the next at 368°, on S D. This last-mentioned conjunction, taking place nearly in the situation of the first, will produce



nearly a repetition of the first effect in retarding or accelerating the planets; but the other two, being in the most remote situations possible from the first, will happen under entirely different circumstances as to the position of the perihelia of the orbits. Now, we have seen that a presentation of the one planet to the other in conjunction, in a variety of situations,

LONG INEQUALITY OF VENUS.

tends to produce compensation; and, in fact, the greatest possible amount of compensation which can be produced by only three conjunctions is when they are thus equally distributed round the center. Hence we see that it is not the whole amount of perturbation which is thus accumulated in each triple conjunction, but only that small part which is left uncompensated by the intermediate ones. The reader, who possesses already some acquaintance with the subject, will not be at a loss to perceive how this consideration is, in fact, equivalent to that part of the geometrical investigation of this inequality which leads us to seek its expression in terms of the third order, or involving the cubes and products of three dimensions of the excentricities and inclinations; and how the continual accumulation of small quantities, during long periods, corresponds to what geometers intend when they speak of small terms receiving great accessions of magnitude by the introduction of large coefficients in the process of integration.

(726.) Similar considerations apply to every case of approximate commensurability which can take place among the mean motions of any two planets. Such, for instance, is that which obtains between the mean motion of the earth and Venus, -13 times the period of Venus being very nearly equal to 8 times that of the earth. This gives rise to an extremely near coincidence of every fifth conjunction, in the same parts of each orbit (within $\frac{1}{240}$ th part of a circumference), and therefore to a correspondingly extensive accumulation of the resulting uncompensated perturbation. But, on the other hand, the part of the perturbation thus accumulated is only that which remains outstanding after passing the equalizing ordeal of five conjunctions equally distributed round the circle; or, in the language of geometers, is dependent on powers and products of the excentricities and inclinations of the fifth order. It is, therefore, extremely minute, and the whole resulting inequality, according to the elaborate calculations of Mr. Airy, to whom it owes its detection, amounts to no more than a few seconds at its maximum, while its period is no less than 240 years. This example will

luring a e to the : words, cal with avity. case of h as may ease and ordingly roportion the two d defects c values, erse ratio the major y observmediately sting as to

bserved in not for the very triple



cclerating ost remote er entirely erihelia of of the one situations,

serve to show to what minuteness these enquiries have been carried in the planetary theory.

(727.) That variations of long period arising in the way above described are necessarily accompanied by similarly periodical displacements of the upper focus, equivalent in their effect to periodical fluctuations in the magnitude of the excentricity, and in the position of the line of apsides, is evident from what has been already said respecting the motion of the upper focus under the influence of the disturbing forces. In the case of circular orbits the mean place of H coincides with S the center of the sun, but if the orbits have any independent ellipticity, this coincidence will no longer exist - and the mean place of the upper focus will come to be inferred from the average of all the situations which it actually holds during an entire revolution. Now the fixity of this point depends on the equality of each of the branches of the cuspidated curves, and consequent equality of excursion of the focus in each particular direction, in every successive situation of the line of conjunction. But if there be some one line of conjunction in which these excursions are greater in any one particular direction than in another, the mean place of the focus will be displaced, and if this process be repeated, that mean place will continue to deviate more and more from its original position, and thus will arise a circulation of the mean place of the focus for a revolution about another mean situation, the average of all the former mean places during a complete cycle of conjunctions. Supposing S to be the sun, O the situation the upper focus would have, had these inequalities no existence, and H K the path of the upper focus, which it pursues about O by reason of them, then it is evident that in the course of a complete cycle of the inequality in question, the excentricity will have fluctuated between the extreme limits SJ and SI and the direction of the longer axis between the extreme position S H and S K, and that if we suppose i j h k to be the corresponding mean places of the focus, ij will be the extent of the fluctuation of the mean excentricity, and the angle $h \, s \, h$, that of the longitude of the perigee.

LONG INEQUALITIES OF ELEMENTS.

ave been

the way similarly nt in their le of the apsides, is the motion ing forces. coincides any indeexist -and be inferred ually holds f this point of the cusscursion of successive ere be some are greater , the mean ocess be ree more and rise a circulution about former mean Supposing

Supposing would have, path of the on of them, lete cycle of 1 have flucand the diposition S II orresponding of the fluctuk, that of the



(728.) The periods then in which these fluctuations go through their phases are necessarily equal in duration with that of the inequality in longitude, with which they stand in connection. But it by no means follows that their maxima all coincide. The variation of the axis to which that of the mean motion corresponds, depends on the tangential force only whose maximum is not at conjunction or opposition, but at points remote from either, while the excentricity depends both on the normal and tangential forces, the maximum of the former of which is at the conjunction. That particular conjunction therefore, which is most influential on the axis, is not so on the excentricity, so that it can by no means be concluded that either the maximum value of the axis coincides with the maximum, or the minimum of the excentricity, or with the greatest excursion to or fro of the line of apsides from its mean situation, all that can be safely asserted is, that as either the axis or the excentricity of the one orbit varies, that of the other will vary in the opposite direction.

(729.) The primary elements of the lunar and planetary orbits, which may be regarded as variable, are the longitude of the node, the inclination, the axis, excentricity, longitude of the perihelion, and epoch (art. 496.). In the foregoing articles we have shown in what manner each of the first five of these elements are made to vary, by the direct action of the perturbing forces. It remains to explain in what manner the last comes to b affected by them. And here it is necessary, in the first instance, to remove some degree of obscurity

which may be thought to hang about the sense in which the term itself is to be understood in speaking of an orbit, every other element of which is regarded as in a continual state of variation. Supposing, then, that we were to reverse the process of calculation described in arts. 499. and 500. by which a planet's heliocentric longitude in an elliptic orbit is computed for a given time; and setting out with a heliocentric longitude ascertained by observation, all the other elements being known, we were to calculate either what mean longitude the planet had at a given epochal time, or, which would come to the same thing, at what moment of time (thenceforward to be assumed as an epoch) it had a given mean longitude. It is evident that by this means the epoch, if not otherwise known, would become known, whether we consider it as the moment of time corresponding to a convenient mean longitude, or as the mean longitude corresponding to a convenient time. The latter way of considering it has some advantages in respect of general convenience, and astronomers are in agreement in employing, as an element under the title "Epoch of the mean longitude," the mean longitude of the planet so computed for a fixed date ; as, for instance, the commencement of the year 1800, mean time at a given place. Supposing now all the elements of the orbit invariable, if we were to go through this reverse process, and thus ascertain the epoch (so defined) from any number of different perfectly correct heliocentric longitudes, it is clear we should always come to the same result. One and the same "epoch" would come out from all the calculations.

(730.) Considering then the "epoch" in this light, as merely a result of this reversed process of calculation, and not as the direct result of an observation instituted for the purpose at the precise epochal moment of time, (which would be, generally speaking, impracticable,) it might be conceived subject to variation in two distinct ways, viz. dependently and independently. Dependently it must vary, as a necessary consequence of the variation of the other elements; because, if setting out from one and the same observed heliocentric longitude of the planet, we calculate back to the epoch with two different sets of intermediate elements, the one set con-

VARIATION OF THE EPOCH.

sisting of those which it had immediately before its arrival at that longitude, the other that which it takes up immediately after (i. e. with an unvaried and a varied system), we cannot (unless by singular accident of mutual counteraction) arrive at the same result; and the difference of the results is evidently the variation of the epoch. On the other hand, however, it cannot vary independently; for since this is the only mode in which the unvaried and varied epochs can become known, and as both result from direct processes of calculation involving only given data, the results can only differ by reason of the difference of those data. Or we may argue The change in the path of the planet, and its place in thus. that path so changed, at any future time (supposing it to undergo no further variation), are entirely owing to the change in its velocity and direction, produced by the disturbing forces at the point of disturbance; now these latter changes (as we have above seen) are completely represented by the momentary change in the situation of the upper focus, taken in combination with the momentary variation in the plane of the orbit; and these therefore express the total effect of the disturbing forces. There is, therefore, no direct and specific action on the epoch as an independent variable. It is simply left to accommodate itself to the altered state of things in the mode already indicated.

(731.) Nevertheless, should the effects of perturbation by inducing changes on these other elements affect the mean longitude of the planet in any other way than can be considered as properly taken account of, by the varied periodic time due to a change of axis, such effects must be regarded as incident on the epoch. This is the case with a very curious class of perturbations which we are now to consider, and which have their origin in an alteration of the average distance at which the disturbed body is found at every instant of a complete revolution, distinct from, and not brought about by the variation of the major semi-axis, or momentary "mean distance" which is an imaginary magnitude, to be carefully distinguished from the average of the actual distances now contemplated. Perturbations of this class (like the moon's

hich tho it, every state of the prowhich a omputed ongitude g known, ie planet the same assumed is evident n, would nt of time the mean latter way of general mploying, ongitude," ixed date; 300, mean ents of the se process, number of it is clear e and the tions.

light, as n, and not r the purwould be, conceived pendently necessary because, blocentric poch with e set con-

variation, with which they are intimately connected) are independent on the excentricity of the disturbed orbit; for which reason we shall simplify our treatment of this part of the subject, by supposing that orbit to have no permanent excentricity, the upper focus in its successive displacements merely revolving about a mean position coincident with the lower. We shall also suppose M very distant, as in the lunar theory.

(732.) Referring to what is said in arts. 706. and 707., and to the figures accompanying those articles, and considering first the effect of the tangential force, we see that besides the effect of that force in changing the length of the axis, and consequently the periodic time, it causes the upper focus H to describe, in each revolution of P, a four-cusped curve, a, d, b, e, about S, all whose intercuspidal arcs are similar and equal. This supposes M fixed, and at an invariable distance, - suppositions which simplify the relations of the subject, and (as we shall afterwards show) do not affect the general nature of the conclusions to be drawn. In virtue, then, of the excentricity thus given rise to, P will be at the perigee of its momentary ellipse at syzygies and in its apogee at quadratures. Apart. therefore, from the change arising from the variation of axis, the distance of P from S will be less at syzygies, and greater at quadratures, than in the original circle. But the average of all the distances during a whole revolution will be unaltered; because the distances of a, d, b, e from S being equal, and the ares symmetrical, the approach in and about perigee will be equal to the recess in and about apogee. And, in like manner, the effect of the changes going on in the length of the axis itself, on the average in question, is nil, because the alternate increases and decreases of that length balance each other in a complete revolution. Thus we see that the tangential force is excluded from all influence in producing the class of perturbations now under consideration.

(733.) It is otherwise with respect to the normal force. In virtue of the action of that force the upper focus describes, in each revolution of \mathbf{P} , the four-cusped curve (*fig.* art. 707.), whose intercuspidal arcs are alternately of very unequal extent, arising, as we have seen, from the longer duration and

INEQUALITIES INCIDENT ON THE EPOCH. 513

greater energy of the outward than of the inward action of the disturbing force. Although, therefore, in perigee at syzygies and in apogee at quadratures, the apogeal recess is much greater than the perigeal approach, inasmuch as S d greatly exceeds S a. On the average of a whole revolution, then, the recesses will preponderate, and the average distance will therefore be greater in the disturbed than in the undisturbed orbit. And it is manifest that this conclusion is quite independent of any change in the length of the axis, which the normal force has no power to produce.

(734.) But neither does the normal force operate any change of linear velocity in the disturbed body. When carried out, therefore, by the effect of that force to a greater distance from S, the angular velocity of its motion round S will be diminished: and contrariwise when brought nearcr. The average of all the momentary angular motions, therefore, will decrease with the increase in that of the momentary distances; and in a higher ratio, since the angular velocity, under an equable description of areas, is inversely as the square of the distance, and the disturbing force, being (in the case supposed) directed to or from the center, does not disturb that equable description (art. 616.). Consequently, on the average of a whole revolution, the angular motion is slower, and therefore the time of completing a revolution, and returning to the same longitude, longer than in the undisturbed orbit, and that independent of and without any reference to the length of the momentary axis, and the "periodic time" or "mean motion" dependent thereon. We leave to the reader to follow out (as is easy to do) the same train of reasoning in the cases of planetary perturbation, when M is not very remote, and when it is interior to the disturbed orbit. In the latter case the preponderant effect changes from a retardation of angular velocity to an acceleration, and the dilatation of the average dimensions of P's orbit to a contraction.

(735.) The above is an accurate analysis, according to strict dynamical principles, of an effect which, speaking roughly, may be assimilated to an alteration of M's gravitation towards S by the mean preponderant amount of the outward and

LL

) are inor which the subt excens merely ne lower. r theory. 707., and onsidering esides the axis, and focus H to e, a, d, b, c, and equal. e,-suppoand (as we ature of the excentricity momentary es. Apart, tion of axis, and greater the average will be unm S being n and about ogee. And, g on in the estion, is nil, that length Thus we see uence in prosideration. hal force. In describes, in ig. art. 707.),

ery unequal

duration and

514

inward action of the normal forces constantly exerted-nearly as would be the case if the mass of the disturbing body were formed into a ring of uniform thickness, concentric with S, and of such diameter as to exert an action on P everywhere equal to such mean preponderant force, and in the same direction as to inwards or outwards. For it is clear that the action of such a ring on P, will be the difference of its attractions on the two points P and S, of which the latter occupies its center, the former is excentric. Now the attraction of a ring on its center is manifestly equal in all directions, and therefore, estimated in any one direction, is zero. On the other hand, on a point P out of its center, if within the ring, the resulting attraction will always be outwards, towards the nearest point of the ring, or directly from the center.* But if P lie without the ring, the resulting force will act always inwards, urging P towards its center. Hence it appears that the mean effect of the radial force of the ring will be different in its direction, according as the orbit of the disturbing body is exterior or interior to that of the disturbed. In the former

* As this is a proposition which the equilibrium of Saturn's ring renders not merely speculative or illustrative, it will be well to demonstrate it; which may be done very simply, and without the aid of any calculus. Conceive a spherical shell, and a point within it: every line passing through the point, and termi-nating both ways in the shell, will, of course, be equally inclined to its surface at either end, being a chord of a spherical surface, and, therefore symmetrically related to all its parts. Now, conceive a small double cone, or pyramid, having its apex at the point, and formed by the conical motion of such a line round the point. Then will the two portions of the spherical shell, which form the bases of both the cones, or pyramids, be similar and equally inclined to their axes. Therefore their areas will be to each other as the squares of their distances from the common apex. Therefore their attractions on it will be equal, because the attraction is as the attracting matter directly, and the square of its distance inversely. Now, these attractions act in opposite directions, and therefore counteract each other. Therefore the point is in equilibrium between them; and as the same is true of every such pair of areas into which the spherical shell can be broken up, therefore the point will be in equilibrium however situated within such a spherical shell. Now take a ring, and treat it similarly, breaking its eircumference up into pairs of elements, the bases of triangles formed by lines passing through the attracted point. Here the attracting elements being lines, not surfaces, are in the simple ratio of the distances, not the duplicate, as they should be to maintain the equilibrium. Therefore it will not be maintained, but the nearest elements will have the superiority, and the point will, on the whole, be urged towards the nearest part of the ring. The same is true of every linear ring, and is therefore true of any assemblage of concentric ones forming a flat annulus, like the ring of Saturn.

INEQUALITIES INCIDENT ON THE EPOCH. 515

-nearly dy were with S, erywhere the same that the its attracr occupies ction of a tions, and On the n the ring, owards the ter.* But act always ppears that be different rbing body the former

ing renders not it; which may eive a spherical int, and termito its surface symmetrically yramid, having line round the form the bases to their axes. distances from al, because the its distance inerefore counterthem; and as ical shell can be situated within breaking its cirormed by lines ents being lines, plicate, as they be maintained, int will, on the ame is true of concentric ones case it will act in diminution, in the latter in augmentation of the central gravity.

(736.) Regarding, still, only the mean effect, as produced in a great number of revolutions of both bodies, it is evident that such an increase of central force will be accompanied with a diminution of periodic time and distance of a body revolving with a stated velocity, and vice versa. This, as we have shown, is the first and most obvious effect of the radial part of the disturbing force, when exactly analyzed. It alters permanently, and by a certain mean amount, the distances and times of revolution of all the bodies composing the planetary system, from what they would be, did each planet circulate about the sun uninfluenced by the attraction of the rest; the angular motion of the interior bodies of the system being thus rendered less, and those of the exterior greater, than on that supposition. The latter effect, indeed, might be at once concluded from this obvious consideration, --- that all the planets revolving interiorly to any orbit may be considered as adding to the general aggregate of the attracting matter within, which is not the less efficient for being distributed over space, and maintained in a state of circulation.

(737.) This effect, however, is one which we have no means of measuring, or even of detecting, otherwise than by calculation. For our knowledge of the periods of the planets is drawn from observations made on there in their actual state, and therefore under the influence of this, which may be regarded as a sort of *constant part* of the perturbative action. Their observed mean motions are therefore affected by the whole amount of its influence; and we have no means of distinguishing this by observation from the direct effect of the sun's attraction, with which it is blended. Our knowledge, however, of the masses of the planets assures us that it is extremely small; and this, in fact, is all which it is at all important to us to know, in the theory of their motions.

(738.) The action of the sun upon the moon, in like manner, tends, by its mean influence during many successive revolutions of both bodies, to increase permanently the moon's distance and periodic time. But this general average

LLO

is not established, either in the case of the moor or planets, without a series of surbordinate fluctuations, which we have purposely neglected to take account of in the above reasoning, and which obviously tend, in the average of a great multitude of revolutions, to neutralize each other. In the lunar theory, however, some of these subordinate fluctuations are very sensible to observation. The most conspicuous of these is the moon's annual equation; so called because it consists in an alternate increase and decrease in her longitude, corresponding with the earth's situation in its annual orbit; i.e. to its angular distance from the perihelion, and therefore having a year instead of a month, or aliquot part of a month, for its period. To understand the mode of its production, let us suppose the sun, still holding a fixed position in longitude, to approach gradually nearer to the earth. Then will all its disturbing forces be gradually increased in a very high ratio compared with the diminution of the distance (being inversely as its cube; so that its effects of every kind are three times greater in respect of any change of distance, than they would be by the simple law of proportionality). Hence, it is obvious that the focus H (art. 707.) in the act of describing each intercuspidal are of the curve $a, d, b_{1} e$, will be continually carried out farther and farther from S; and the curve, instead of returning into itself at the end of each revolution, will open out into a sort

of enspidated spiral, as in the figure annexed. Retracing now the reasoning of art. 733. as adapted to this state of things, it will be seen that so long as this dilatation goes on, so long will the difference between M's recess from



S in aphelio and its approach in perihelio (which is equal to the difference of consecutive long and short semidianeters of this curve) also continue to increase, and with it the average of the distances of M from S in a whole revolution, and consequently also the time of performing such a revolution. The reverse process will go on as the sun again recedes. Thus it appears that, as the sun approaches the earth, the

ANNUAL INEQUALITY OF THE MOON.

mean angular motion of the moon on the average of a whole revolution will diminish, and the duration of each lunation will therefore exceed that of the foregoing, and vice vers \hat{a} .

(739.) The moon's orbit being supposed circular, the sun's orbitual motion will have no other effect than to keep the moon longer under the influence of every gradation of the disturbing force, than would have been the case had his situation in longitude remained unaltered (art. 711). The same effects, therefore, will take place, only on an increased scale in the proportion of the increased time; *i.e.* in the proportion of the sidereal revolution of the moon. Observation confirms these results, and assigns to the inequality in question a maximum value of between 10' and 11', by which the moon is at one time in advance of, and at another behind, its mean place, in consequence of this perturbation.

(740.) To this class of inequalities we must refer one of great importance, and extending over an immense period of time, known by the name of the secular acceleration of the moon's mean motion. It had been observed by Dr. Halley, on comparing together the records of the most ancient lunar eclipses of the Chaldean astronomers with those of modern times, that the period of the moon's revolution at present is sensibly shorter than at that remote epoch; and this result as confirmed by a further comparison of both sets of observations with those of the Arabian astronomers of the eighth and ninth centuries. It appeared, from these comparisons, that the rate at which the moon's mean motion increases is about 11 seconds per century, — a quantity small in itself, but becoming considerable by its accumulation during a succession of ages. This remarkable fact, like the great equation of Jupiter and Saturn, had been long the subject of toilsome investigation to geometers. Indeed, so difficult did it appear to render any exact account of, that while some were on the point of again declaring the theory of gravity inadequate to its explanation, others were for rejecting altogether the evidence on which it rested, although quite as satisfactory as that on which most historical events

LL3

lanets, ie have soning, ltitude theory, re very these is nsists in , correhit; i.e. herefore 1 month, duction, in lon-. Then in a very distance of every hange of of pros H (art. re of the ther and ning into nto a sort

equal to meters of e average tion, and evolution. recedes. earth, the

are credited. It was in this dilemma that Laplace once more stepped in to rescue physical astronomy from its reproach, by pointing out the real cause of the phænomenon in question, which, when so explained, is one of the most curious and instructive in the whole range of our subject, one which leads our speculations farther into the past and future, and points to longer vistas in the dim perspective of changes which our system has undergone and is yet to undergo, than any other which observation assisted by theory has developed.

(741.) The year is not an exact number of lunations. It consists of twelve and a fraction. Supposing then the sun and moon to set out from conjunction together; at the twelfth conjunction subsequent the sun will not have returned precisely to the same point of its annual orbit, but will fall somewhat short of it, and at the thirteenth will have overpassed it. Hence in twelve lunations the gain of longitude during the first half year will be somewhat under and in thirteen somewhat over-compensated. In twentysix it will be nearly twice as much over-compensated, in thirty-nine not quite so nearly three times as much, and so on, until, after a certain number of such multiples of a lunation have clapsed, the sun will be found half a revolution in advance, and in place of receding farther at the expiration of the next, it will have begun to approach. From this time every succeeding cycle will destroy some portion of that overcompensation, until a complete revolution of the sun in excess shall be accomplished. Thus arises a subordinate or rather supplementary inequality, having for its period as many years as is necessary to multiply the deficient are into a whole revolution, at the end of which time a much more exact compensation will have been operated, and so on. Thus after a moderate number of years an almost perfect compensation will be effected, and if we extend our views to centuries we may consider it as quite so. Such at least would be the case if the solar ellipse were invariable. But that ellipse is kept in a continual but excessively slow state of change by the action of the planets on the earth.

SECULAR ACCELERATION OF THE MOON.

the most herefore the most system to be the most

tions. It a the sun ; at the ha.e reorbit, but will have gain of hat under n twentynsated, in ch, and so a lunation rolution in piration of n this time that overn in excess e or rather nany years o a whole nore exact Thus Oľ. erfect comr views to h at least invariable. sively slow the earth. Its axis, it is true, remains unaltered; but its excentricity is, and has been since the earliest ages, diminishing; and this diminution will continue (there is little reason to doubt) till the excentricity is annihilated altogether, and the earth's orbit becomes a perfect circle; after which it will again open out into an ellipse, the excentricity will again increase, attain a certain moderate amount, and then again The time required for these evolutions, though decrease. calculable, has not been calculated, further than to satisfy us that it is not to be reckoned by hundreds or by thousands It is a period, in short, in which the whole history of years. of astronomy and of the human race occupies but as it were a point, during which all its changes are to be regarded as uniform. Now, it is by this variation in the excentricity of the earth's orbit that the secular acceleration of he moon is caused. The compensation above spoken of (even after the lapse of centuries) will now, we see, be only imperfectly effected, owing to this slow shifting of one of the essential The steps of restoration are no longer identical with, data. nor equal to, those of change. The struggle up hill is not maintained on equal terms with the downward tendency. The ground is all the while slowly sliding beneath the feet of the antagonists. During the whole time that the earth's excentricity is diminishing, a preponderance is given to the re-action over the action; and it is not till that diminution shall cease, that the tables will be turned, and the process of ultimate restoration will commence. Meanwhile, a minute, outstanding, and uncompensated effect in favour of acceleration is left at each recurrence, or near recurrence, of the same configurations of the sun, the moon, and the solar These accumulate, and at length affect the moon's perigee. longitude to an extent not to be overlooked.

(742.) The phænomenon, of which we have now $e^{-1/4}$ account, is another and very striking example of the propagation of a periodic change from one part of a system to another. The planets, with one exception, have no direct appreciable action on the lunar motions as referred to the earth. Their masses are too small, and their distances too

L L 4

great, for their difference of action on the moon and earth ever to become sensible. Yet their effect on the earth's orbit is thus, we see, propagated through the sun to that of the moon; and, what is very remarkable, the transmitted effect thus indirectly produced on the angle described by the moon round the earth is more sensible to observation than that directly produced by them on the angle described by the earth round the sun.

(743.) Referring to the reasoning of art. 738., we shall perceive that if, owing to any other cause than its elliptic motion, the sun's distance from the earth be subject to a periodical increase and decrease, that variation will give rise to a lunar inequality of equal period analogous to the annual equation. It thus happens that very minute changes inipressed on the orbit of the earth, by the direct action of the planets, (provided their periods, though not properly speaking secular, be of considerable length,) may make themselves sensible in the lunar motions. The longitude of that satellite, as observed from the earth, is, in fact, singularly sensible to this kind of reflected action, which illustrates in a striking manner the principle of forced vibrations laid down in art. (650.). The reason of this will be readily apprehended, if we consider that however trifling the increase of her longitude which would arise in a single revolution, from a minute and almost infinitesimal increase of her mean angular velocity, that increase is not only repeated in each subsequent revolution, but is reinforced during each by a similar fresh accession of angular motion generated in its lapse. This process goes on so long as the angular motion continues to increase, and only begins to be reversed when lapse of time, bringing round a contrary action on the angular motion, shall have destroyed the excess of velocity previously gained, and begun to operate a retardation. In this respect, the advance gained by the moon on her undisturbed place may be assimilated, during its increase, to the space described from rest under the action of a continually accelerating force. The velocity gained in each instant is not only effective in carrying the body forward during each subsequent instant,

INDIRECT ACTION OF VENUS ON THE MOON. 521

d earth e earth's o that of nsmitted l by the ion than ed by the

we shall s elliptic ject to a give rise he annual inges imon of the r speaking hemselves t satellite, ensible to a striking wn in art. hended, if r longitude ninute and r velocity, aent revor fresh ac-This proutinues to se of time, ar motion, sly gained, spect, the place may described ting force. ffective in ut instant, but new velocities are every instant generated, and go on adding their cumulative effects to those before produced.

(744.) The distance of the earth from the sun, like that of the moon from the earth, may be affected in its average value colimated over long periods embracing many revolutions, in two modes, conformably to the theory above delivered. 1st, it may vary by a variation in the length of the axis major of its orbit, arising from the direct action of some tangential disturbing force on its velocity, and thereby producing a change of mean motion and periodic time in virtue of the Keplerian law of periods, which declares that the periodic times are in the sesquiplicate ratio of the mean distances. Or, 2dly, it may vary by reason of that peculiar action on the average of actual distances during a revolution, which arises from variations of excentricity and perihelion only, and which produces that sort of change in the mean motion which we have characterized as incident on the epoch. The change of mean motion thus arising, has nothing whatever to do with any variation of the major axis. It does not depend on the change of distance by the Keplerian law of periods, but by that of areas. The altered mean motion is not sub-sesquiplicate to the altered axis of the ellipse, which in fact does not alter at all, but is sub-duplicate to the altered average of distances in a revolution; a distinction which must be carefully borne in mind by every one who will clearly understand either the subject itself, or the force of Newton's explanation of it in the 6th Corollary of his celebrated 66th Proposition. In whichever mode, however, an alteration in the mean motion is effected, if we accommodate the general sense of our language to the specialties of the case, it remains true that every change in the mean motion is accompanied with a corresponding change in the mean distance.

(745.) Now we have seen, art. (726.), that Venus produces in the earth a perturbation in longitude, of so long a period (240 year), that it cannot well be regarded without violence t_{ij} ordinary language, otherwise than as an equation of the mean motion. Of course, therefore, it follows that during that half of this long period of time, in which the earth's motion is
522

retarded, the distance between the sun and earth is on the increase, and vice verså. Minute as is the equation in question, and consequent alteration of solar distance, and almost inconceivably minute as is the effect produced on the moon's mean angular velocity in a single lunation, yet the great number of lunations (1484), during which the effect goes on accumulating in one direction, causes the moon at the moment when that accumulation has attained its maximum to be very sensibly in advance of its undisturbed place (viz. by 23" of longitude), and after 1484 more lunations, as much in arrear. The calculations by which this eurious result has been established, formidable from their length and intricacy, are due to the industry, as the discovery of its origin is to the sagacity, of Professor Hansen.

(746.) The action of Venus, just explained, is indirect, being as it were a sort of reflection of its influence on the earth's orbit. But a very remarkable instance of its influence, in actually perturbing the moon's motions by its direct attraction, has been pointed out, and the inequality due to it computed by the same eminent geometer.* As the details of his processes have not yet appeared, we can here only explain, in general terms, the principle on which the result in question depends, and the nature of the peculiar adjustment of the mean angular velocities of the earth and Venus which render it effective. The disturbing forces of Venus on the moon are capable of being represented or expressed (as is indeed generally the case with all the forces concerned in producing planetary disturbance) by the substitution for them of a series of other forces, each having a period or cycle within which it attains a maximum in one direction, decreases to nothing, reverses its action, attains a maximum in the opposite direction, again decreases to nothing, again reverses its action and re-attains its former magnitude, and so on. These cycles differ for each particular constituent or term, as it is called, of the total forces considered as so broken up into partial ones, and generally speaking, every combination which

* Astronomische Nachrichten, No. 597.

DIRECT ACTION OF VENUS ON THE MOON. 523

on the uestion, most ine moon's he great goes on e moment o be very by 23" of in arrear. has been ieacy, are is to the

3 indirect, nce on the s influence, direct aty due to it the details here only h the result adjustment enus which enus on the essed (as is oncerned in ion for them cycle within decreases to in the opporeverses its on. These erm, as it is ken up into nation which can be formed by subtracting a multiple of the mean motion of one of the bodics concerned from a multiple of that of the other, and, when there are three bodies disturbing one another, every such triple combination becomes, under the technical name of an argument, the cyclical representative of a force acting in the manner and according to the law described. Each of these periodically acting forces produces its perturbative effect, according to the law of the superposition of small motions, as if the others had no existence. And if it happen, as in an immense majority of cases it does, that the cycle of any particular one of these partial forces has no relation to the periodic time of the disturbed body, so as to bring it to the same, or very nearly the same point of its orbit, or to any situation favourable to any particular form of disturbance, over and over again when the force is at its maximum; that force will, in a few revolutions, neutralize its own effect, and nothing but fluctuations of brief duration can result from its action. The contrary will evidently be the case, if the cycle of the force coincide so nearly with the cycle of the moon's anomalistic revolution, as to bring round the maximum of the force acting in one and the same direction (whether tangential or normal) either accurately, or very nearly indeed to some definite point, as, for example, the apogee of her orbit. Whatever the effect produced by such a force on the angular motion of the moon, if it be not exactly compensated in one cycle of its action, it will go on accumulating, being repeated over and over again under circumstances very nearly the same, for many successive revolutions, until at length, owing to the want of precise accuracy in the adjustment of that cycle to the anomalistic period, the maximum of the force (in the same phase of its action) is brought to coincide with a point in the orbit (as the perigee), determinative of an opposite effect, and thus, at length, a compensation will be worked out; in a time, however, so much the longer as the difference between the cycle of the force and the moon's anomalistic period is less.

(747.) Now, in fact, in the case of Venus disturbing the moon, there exists a cyclical combination of this kind. Of

course the disturbing force of Venus on the moon varies with her distance from the earth, and this distance again depends on her configuration with respect to the earth and the sun, taking into account the ellipticity of both their orbits. Among the combinations which take their rise from this latter consideration, and which, as may easily be supposed, are of great complexity, there is a term (an exceedingly minute one), whose argument or cycle is determined by subtracting 16 times the mean motion of the earth from 18 times that of The difference is so very nearly the mean motion of Venus. the moon in her anomalistic revolution, that whereas the latter revolution is completed in 27^d 13^h 18^m 32.3^s, the cycle of the force is completed in 27^d 13^h 7^m 35.6^s, differing from the other by no more than 10^m 56.7^s, or about one 3625th part of a complete period of the moon from apogee to apogee. During half of this very long interval (that is to say, during about $136\frac{1}{2}$ years), the perturbations produced by a force of this character, go on increasing and accumulating, and are destroyed in another equal interval. Although therefore excessively minute in their actual effect on the angular motion, this minuteness is compensated by the number of repeated acts of accumulation, and by the length of time during which they continue to act on the longitude. Accordingly M. Hansen has found the total amount of fluctuation to and fro, or the value of the equation of the moon's longitude, so arising to be 27.4". It is exceedingly interesting to observe that the two equations considered in these latter paragraphs, account satisfactorily for the only remaining material differences between theory and observation in the modern history of this hitherto rebellious satellite. We have not thought it necessary (indeed it would have required a treatise on the subject) to go into a special account of the almost innumerable other lunar inequalities which have been computed and tabulated, and which are necessary to be taken into account in every computation of her place from the tables. Many of them are of very much larger amount than these. We ought not, however, to pass unnoticed, that the parallactic inequality, already explained

t e t c hi th g1 th

sp

th

hu

TI

we

EFFECT OF THE EARTH'S SPHEROIDAL FIGURE. 525

art. (712.), is interesting, as affording a measure of the sun's distance. For this equation originates, as there shown, in the fact that the disturbing forces are not precisely alike in the two halves of the moon's orbit nearest to and most remote from the sun, all their values being greater in the former half. As a knowledge of the relative dimensions of the solar and lunar orbits enables us to calculate à priori, the amount of this inequality, so a knowledge of that amount deduced by the comparison of a great number of observed places of the moon with tables in which every inequality but this should be included, would enable us conversely to ascertain the ratio of the distances in question. Owing to the smallness of the inequality, this is not a very accurate mode of obtaining an element of so much importance in astronomy as the sun's distance, but were it larger (i.e. were the moon's orbit considerably larger than it actually is), this would be, perhaps, the most exact method of any by which it could be concluded.

(748.) The greatest of all the lunar inequalities, produced by perturbation, is that called the evection. It arises directly from the variation of the excentricity of her orbit, and from the fluctuation to and fro in the general progress of the line of apsides, caused by the different situation of the sun, with respect to that line (arts. 685. 691.). Owing to these causes the moon is alternately in advance, and in arrear of her elliptic place by about 1° 20' 30". This equation was known to the ancients, having been discovered by Ptolemy, by the comparison of a long series of observations handed down to him from the earliest ages of astronomy. The mode in which the effects of these several sources of inequality become grouped together under one principal argument common to them all, belongs, for its explanation, rather to works specially treating of the lunar theory than to a treatise of this kind.

(749.) Some small perturbations are produced in the lunar orbit by the protuberant matter of the earth's equator. The attraction of a sphere is the same as if all its matter were condensed into a point in its center; but that is not the

es with lepends he sun, Among ter conof great te one), cting 16 that of motion of ereas the the cycle ring from e 3625th to apogee. y, during a force of g, and are therefore e angular number of th of time tude. Acof fluctuathe moon's edingly innsidered in r the only nd observaus satellite. would have special acinequalities which are putation of very much ever, to pass ly explained

case with a spheroid. The attraction of such a mass is neither exactly directed to its center, nor does it exactly follow the law of the inverse squares of the distances. Hence will arise a series of perturbations, extremely small in amount, but still perceptible in the lunar motions; by which the node and the apogee will be affected. A more remarkable consequence of this cause, however, is a small nutation of the lunar orbit, exactly analogous to that which the moon causes in the plane of the earth's equator, by its action on the same elliptic protuberance. And, in general, it may be observed, that in the systems of planets which have satellites, the elliptic figure of the primary has a tendency to bring the orbits of the satellites to coincide with its equator, -a tendency which, though small in the case of the earth, yet in that of Jupiter, whose ellipticity is very considerable, and of Saturn especially, where the ellipticity of the body is reinforced by the attraction of the rings, becomes predominant over every external and internal cause of disturbance, and produces and maintains an almost exact coincidence of the planes in question. Such, at least, is the case with the nearer satellites. The more distant are comparatively less affected by this cause, the difference of attractions between a sphere and spheroid diminishing with great rapidity as the distance increases. Thus, while the orbits of all the interior satellites of Saturn lie almost exactly in the plane of the ring and equator of the planet, that of the external satellite, whose distance from Saturn is between sixty and seventy diameters of the planet, is inclined to that plane con-On the other hand, this considerable distance, siderably. while it permits the satellite to retain its actual inclination. prevents (by parity of reasoning) the ring and equator of the planet from being perceptibly disturbed by its attraction, or being subjected to any appretiable movements analogous to our nutation and precession. If such exist, they must be much slower than those of the earth; the mass of this satellite being, as far as can be judged by its apparent size, a much smaller fraction of that of Saturn than the moon is of

OF THE TIDES.

a mass is it exactly s. Hence in amount, ch the node able consetion of the noon causes n the same be observed, tellites, the o bring the equator, — a earth, yet in able, and of ody is reinpredominant irbance, and dence of the use with the ratively less ons between pidity as the f all the inthe plane of the external en sixty and at plane conble distance, inclination, uator of the ttraction, or nalogous to ey must be f this satelrent size, a moon is of the earth; while the solar precession, by reason of the immense distance of the sun, must be quite imperceptible.

(750.) The subject of the tides, though rather belonging to terrestrial physics than properly to astronomy, is yet so directly connected with the theory of the lunar perturbations, that we cannot omit some explanatory notice of it, especially since many persons find a strange difficulty in conceiving the manner in which they are produced. That the sun, or moon, should by its attraction heap up the waters of the ocean under it, seems to them very natural. That it should at the same time heap them up on the opposite side seems, on the contrary, palpably absurd. The error of this class of objectors is of the same kind with that noticed in art. 723., and consists in disregarding the attraction of the disturbing body on the mass of the earth, and looking on it as wholly effective on the superficial water. Were the earth indeed absolutely fixed, held in its place by an external force, and the water left free to move, no doubt the effect of the disturbing power would be to produce a single accumulation vertically under the disturbing body. But it is not by its whole attraction, but by the difference of its attractions on the superficial water at both sides, and on the central mass, that the waters are raised : just as in the theory of the moon, the difference of the sun's attractions on the moon and on the earth (regarded as moveable and as obeying that amount of attraction which is due to its situation) gives rise to a relative tendency in the moon to recede from the earth in conjunction and opposition, and to approach it in quadratures. Referring to the figure of art. 675., instead of supposing ADBC to represent the moon's orbit, let it be supposed to represent a section of the (comparatively) thin film of water reposing on the globe of the earth, in a great circle, the plane of which passes through the disturbing body M, which we shall suppose to be the moon. The disturbing force on a particle at P will then (exactly as in the lunar theory) be represented in amount and direction by NS, on the same scale on which SM represents the moon's whole attraction on a particle situated at S. This force, applied at P, will urge it in the direction PX parallel







to NS; and therefore, when compounded with the direct force of gravity which (neglecting as of no account in this theory



the spheroidal form of the earth) urges P towards S, will be equivalent to a single force deviating from the direction P S towards X. Suppose PT to be the direction of this force, which, it is easy to see, will be directed towards a point in DS produced, at an extremely small

distance below S, because of the excessive minuteness of the disturbing force compared to gravity.* Then if this be done at every point of the quadrant AD, it will be evident that the direction PT of the resultant force will be always that of a tangent to the small cuspidated curve a d at T, to which tangent the surface of the ocean at P must everywhere be perpendicular, by reason of that law of hydrostatics which requires the direction of gravity to be everywhere perpendicular to the surface of a fluid in equilibrio. The form of the curve D P A, to which the surface of the ocean will tend to conform itself, so as to place itself everywhere in equilibrio under two acting forces, will be that which always has PT for its radius of curvature. It will therefore be slightly less curved at D. and more so at A, being in fact no other than an ellipse. having S for its center, d a for its evolute, and SA, SD for its longer and shorter semi-axes respectively; so that the whole surface (supposing it covered with water) will tend to assume, as its form of equilibrium, that of an oblongated ellipsoid, having its longer axis directed towards the disturbing. body, and its shorter of course at right angles to that The difference of the longer and shorter semidirection. axes of this ellipsoid due to the moon's attraction would be

• According to Newton's calculation, the maximum disturbing force of the sun on the water does not exceed one 25736400th part of its gravity. That of the moon will therefore be to this fraction as the cube of the sun's distance to that of the moon's directly, and as the mass of the sun to that of the moon inversely, *i. e.* as $(400)^8 \times 0.011364$; 3544936, which, reduced to numbers, gives, for the moon's maximum of power to disturb the waters, about one 12560000th of gravity, or somewhat less than 23 times the sun's.

about 58 inches: that of the ellipsoid, similarly formed in virtue of the sun, about $2\frac{1}{2}$ times less, or about 23 inches.

(751.) Let us suppose the moon only to act, and to have no orbitual motion; then if the earth also had no diurnal motion, the ellipsoid of equilibrium would be quietly formed, and all would be theneeforward tranquil. There is never time, however, for this spheroid to be fully forme I. Before the waters can take their level, the moon has advanced in her orbit, both diurnal and monthly, (for in this theory it will answer the purpose of clearness better, if we suppose the earth's diurnal motion transferred to the sun and moon in the contrary direction,) the vertex of the spheroid has shifted on the earth's surface, and the ocean has to seek a new The effect is to produce an immensely broad and bearing. excessively flat wave (not a circulating current), which follows, or endeavours to follow, the apparent motions of the moon, and must, in fact, by the principle of forced vibrations, imitate, by equal though not by synchronous periods, all the periodical inequalities of that motion. When the higher or lower parts of this wave strike our coasts, they experience what we call high and low water.

(752.) The sun also produces precisely such a wave, whose vertex tends to follow the apparent motion of the sun in the heavens, and also to imitate its periodie inequalities. This solar wave co-exists with the lunar - is sometimes superposed on it, sometimes transverse to it, so as to partly neutralize it, according to the monthly synodical configuration of the two luminaries. This alternate mutual reinforcement and destruetion of the solar and lunar tides cause what are called the spring and neap tides — the former being their sum, the latter Although the real amount of either tide is, their difference. at present, hardly within the reach of exact calculation, yet their proportion at any one place is probably not very remote from that of the ellipticities which would belong to their respective spheroids, could an equilibrium be attained. Now these ellipticities, for the solar and lunar spheroids, are respectively about two and five feet; so that the average spring tide will be to the neap as 7 to 3, or thereabouts.

M M

ct force theory e earth) be equideviat-PS to-**I** to be , which, directed S proy small ss of the be done that the hat of a hich tane be perwhich reendicular the curve o conform nder two its radius ved at D, n ellipse, , SD for that the ll tend to olongated e disturb. s to that ter semiwould be

> force of the ty. That of distance to he moon innbers, gives. 12560000th

530

(753.) Another effect of the combination of the solar and lunar tides is what is called the priming and lagging of the If the moon alone existed, and moved in the plane of tides. the equator, the tide-day (i. e. the interval between two successive arrivals at the same place of the same vertex of the tide-wave) would be the lunar day (art. 143.), formed by the combination of the moon's sidereal period and that of the earth's diurnal motion. Similarly, did the sun alone exist, and move always on the equator, the tide-day would be the mean solar day. The actual tide-day, then, or the interval of the occurrence of two successive maximu of their superposed waves, will vary as the separate waves approach to or recede from coincidence; because, when the vertices of two waves do not coincide, their joint height has its maximum at a point intermediate between them. This variation from uniformity in the lengths of successive tide-days is particularly to be remarked about the time of the new and full moon.

(754.) Quite different in its origin is that deviation of the time of high and low water at any port or harbour, from the culmination of the luminaries, or of the theoretical maximum of their superposed spheroids, which is called the "establishment" of that port. If the water were without inertia, and free from obstruction, either owing to the friction of the bed of the sea, the narrowness of channels along which the wave has to travel before reaching the port, their length, &c. &c., the times above distinguished would be identical. But all these causes tend to create a difference, and to make that difference not alike at all ports. The observation of the establishments of harbours is a point of great maritime importance; nor is it of less consequence, theoretically speaking, to a knowledge of the true distribution of the tide-waves over the globe. In making such observations, care must be taken not to confound the time of "slack water," when the current caused by the tide ceases to flow visibly one way or the other, and that of high or low water, when the level of the surface ceases to rise or full. These are totally distinct phænomena, and depend on entirely different causes, though in land-locked places they may sometimes coincide in point

OF THE TIDES.

of time. They are, it is feared, too often mistaken one for the other by practical men; a circumstance which, whenever it occurs, must produce the greatest confusion in any attempt to reduce the system of the tides to distinct and intelligible laws.

(755.) The declination of the sun and moon materially affects the tides at any particular spot. As the vertex of the tide-wave tends to place itself vertically under the luminary which produces it, when this vertical changes its point of incidence on the surface, the tide-wave must tend to shift accordingly, and thus, by monthly and annual periods, must tend to increase and diminish alternately the principal tides. The period of the moon's nodes is thus introduced into this subject; her excursions in declination in one part of that period being 29°, and in another only 17°, on either side the equator.

(756.) Geometry demonstrates that the efficacy of a luminary in raising tides is inversely proportional to the cube of its distance. The sun and moon, however, by reason of the ellipticity of their orbits, are alternately nearer to and farther from the earth than their mean distances. In consequence of this, the efficacy of the sun will fluctuate between the extremes 19 and 21, taking 20 for its mean value, and that of the moon between 43 and 59. Taking into account this cause of difference, the highest spring tide will be to the lowest neap as 59+21 to 43-19, or as 80 to 24, or 10 to 3. Of all the causes of differences in the height of tides however, local situation is the most influential. In some places the tide-wave, rushing up a narrow channel, is suddenly raised to an extraordinary height. At Annapolis, for instance, in the Bay of Fundy, it is said to rise 120 feet. Even at Bristol the difference of high and low water occasionally amounts to 50 feet.

(757.) It is by means of the perturbations of the planets, as ascertained by observation and compared with theory, that we arrive at a knowledge of the masses of those planets which having no satellites, offer no other hold upon them for this purpose. Every planet produces an amount of perturbation in the motions of every other, proportioned to its mass, and

ar and of the lane of vo sucof the by the of the e exist, be the interval erposed r recede o waves ; a point iformity to be re-

n of the from the naximum establishrtia, and the bcd the wave &c. &c., But all ake that n of the time imly speakde-waves must be vhen the e way or level of distinct , though in point

to the degree of advantage or *purchase* which its situation in the system gives it over their movements. The latter is a subject of exact calculation; the former is unknown, otherwise than by observation of its effects. In the determination, however, of the masses of the planets by this means, theory lends the greatest assistance to observation, by pointing out the combinations most favourable for eliciting this knowledge from the confused mass of superposed inequalities which affect every observed place of a planet; by pointing out the laws of each inequality in its periodical rise and decay; and by showing how every particular inequality depends for its magnitude on the mass producing it. It is thus that the mass of Jupiter itself (employed by Laplace in his investigations, and interwoven with all the planetary tables) has been ascertained, by observations of the derangements produced by it in the motions of the ultra-zodiacal planets, to have been insufficiently determined, or rather considerably mistaken, by relying too much on observations of its satellites, made long ago by Pound and others, with inadequate instrumental means. The same conclusion has been arrived at, and nearly the same mass obtained, by means of the perturbations produced by Jupiter on Encke's comet. The error was one of great importance; the mass of Jupiter being by far the most influential element in the planetary system, after that of the sun. It is satisfactory, then, to have ascertained, as Mr. Airy has done, the cause of the error; to have traced it up to its source, in insufficient micrometric measurements of the greatest elongations of the satellites; and to have found it disappear when measures, taken with more care and with infinitely superior instruments, are substituted for those before employed.

(758.) In the same way that the perturbations of the planets lead us to a knowledge of their masses, as compared with that of sun, so the perturbations of the satellites of Jupiter have led, and those of Saturn's attendants will no doubt hereafter lead, to a knowledge of the proportion *their* masses bear to their respective primaries. The system of Jupiter's satellites has been elaborately treated by Laplace; and it is from his theory, compared with innumerable observations of

MASS OF THE MOON DETERMINED.

their eclipses, that the masses assigned to them, in art. 540. have been fixed. Few results of theory are more surprising than to see these minute atoms weighed in the same balance, which we have applied to the ponderous mass of the sun, which exceeds the least of them in the enormous proportion of 65000000 to 1.

(759.) The mass of the moon is concluded, 1st, from the proportion of the lunar to the solar tide, as observed at various stations, the effects being separated from each other by a long series of observations of the relative heights of spring and neap tides which, we have seen, (art. 752.) depends on the proportional influence of the two luminaries. 2dly, from the phænomenon of nutation, which, being the result of the moon's attraction alone, affords a means of calculating her mass, independent of any knowledge of the sun's. Both methods agree in assigning to our satellite a mass about one seventy-fifth that of the earth.*

(760.) Not only, however, has a knowledge of the perturbations produced on other bodies of our system enabled us to estimate the mass of a disturbing body already known to exist, and to produce disturbance. It has done much more, and enabled geometers to satisfy themselves of the existence, and even to indicate the situation of a planet previously unknown, with such precision, as to lead to its immediate discovery on the very first occasion of pointing a telescope to the place indicated. We have already (art. 506.) had occasion to mention in general terms this great discovery; but its importance, and its connexion with the subject before us, calls for a more specific notice of the circumstances attending it. When the regular observation of Uranus, consequent on its discovery in 1781, had afforded some certain knowledge of the elements of its orbit, it became possible to calculate backwards into time past, with a view to ascertain whether certain stars of about the same apparent magnitude, observed by Flamsteed, and since reported as missing, might not possibly be this planet. No less than six ancient observations of it as a supposed star were thus found to have

is a vise ion, ory out dge fect 's of IOWtude oiter iterl, by moently too ound same s obpiter ance; ment satisdone, urce, elonwhen berior f the

ı in

pared Juloubt asses iter's it is ns of

[•] Laplace, Expos. du Syst. du Monde, pp 285. 300. Later researches have shewn that this is somewhat too large, about $\frac{1}{64}$ th being the value at present received.

been recorded by that astronomer, --- one in 1690, one in 1712, and four in 1715. On further inquiry, it was also ascertained to have been observed by Bradley in 1753, by Mayer in 1756, and no less than twelve times by Le Monnier, in 1750, 1764, 1768, 1769, and 1771, all the time without the least suspicion of its planetary nature. The observations, however, so made, being all circumstantially registered, and made with instruments the best that their respective dates admitted, were quite available for correcting the elements of the orbit, which, as will be easily understood, is done with so much the greater precision the larger the arc of the ellipse embraced by the extreme observations employed. It was, therefore, reasonably hoped and expected, that, by making use of the data thus afforded, and duly allowing for the perturbations produced since 1690, by Saturn, Jupiter, and the inferior planets, elliptic elements would be obtained, which, taken in conjunction with those perturbations, would represent not only all the observations up to the time of executing the calculations, but also all future observations, in as satisfactory a manner as those of any of the other planets are actually represented. This expectation, however, proved delusive. M. Bouvard, one of the most expert and laborious calculators of whom astronomy has had to boast, and to whose zeal and indefatigable industry we owe the tables of Jupiter and Saturn in actual use, having undertaken the task of constructing similar tables for Uranus, found it impossible to reconcile the ancient observations above mentioned with those made from 1781 to 1820, so as to represent both series by means of the same ellipse and the same system of perturbations. He therefore rejected altogether the ancient series, and grounded his computations solely on the modern, although evidently not without serious misgivings as to the grounds of such a proceeding, and "leaving it to future time to determine whether the difficulty of reconciling the two series arose from inaccuracy in the older observations, or whether it depend on some extraneous and unperceived influence which may have acted on the planet."

(761.) But neither did the tables so calculated continue

PERTURBATIONS OF URANUS.

to represent, with due precision, observations subsequently made. The "error of the tables" after attaining a certain amount, by which the true longitude of Uranus was in advance of the computed, and which advance was steadily maintained from about the year 1795 to 1822, began, about the latter epoch, rapidly to diminish, till, in 1830–31, the tabular and observed longitudes agreed. But, far from remaining in accordance, the planet, still losing ground, fell, and continued to fall behind its calculated place, and that with such rapidity as to make it evident that the existing tables could no longer be received as representing, with any tolerable precision, the true laws of its motion.

(762.) The reader will easily understand the nature and progression of these discordancies by casting his eye on fig. 1. Plate A, in which the horizontal line or abscissa is divided into equal parts, each representing 50° of heliocentric longitude in the motion of Uranus round the sun, and in which the distances between the horizontal lines represent each 100" of error in longitude. The result of each year's observation of Uranus (or of the mean of all the observations obtained during that year) in longitude, is represented by a black dot placed above or below the point of the abscissa, corresponding to the mean of the observed longitudes for the year above, if the observed longitude be in excess of the calculated, below if it fall short of it, and on the line if they agree; and at a distance from the line corresponding to their difference on the scale above mentioned.* Thus in Flamsteed's earliest observations in 1690, the dot so marked is placed above the line at 65".9 above the line, the observed longitude being so much greater than the calculated.

(763.) If, neglecting the individual points, we draw a curve (indicated in the figure by a fine unbroken line) through their general course, we shall at once perceive a

м м 4

535

12, ned 156, 764, cion ade. struwere hich, the emwas, king perd the hich, d rene of ns, in lanets roved orious nd to oles of n the it imtioned both tem of ncient odern, to the e time e two ns, or ed inntinue

^{*} The points are laid down from M. Leverier's comparison of the whole series of observations of Uranus, with an ephemeris of his own calculation, founded on a complete and searching revision of the tables of Bouvard, and a rigorous computation of the perturbations caused by all the known planets capable of exercising any influence on it. The differences of longitude are geocentric, but for our present purpose it matters not in the least whether we consider the errors in helicentric or in geocentric longitude.

certain regularity in its undulations. It presents two great elevations above, and one nearly as great intermediate depression below the medial line or abscissa. And it is evident that these undulations would be very much reduced, and the errors in consequence greatly palliated, if each dot were removed in the vertical direction through a distance and in the direction indicated by the corresponding point of the curve A. B. C. D. E. F. G. H, intersecting the abscissa at points 180° distant, and making equal excursions on either side. Thus the point a for 1750 being removed upwards or in the direction towards b through a distance equal to cb would be brought almost to precise coincidence with the point d in the abseissa. Now, this is a clear indication that a very large part of the differences in question is due, not to perturbation, but simply to error in the elements of Uranus which have been assumed as the basis of calculation. For such excesses and defects of longitude alternating over arcs of 180° are precisely what would arise from error in the excentricity, or in the place of the perihelion, or in both. In ellipses slightly excentric, the true longitude alternately exceeds and falls short of the mean during 180° for each deviation, and the greater the excentricity, the greater these alternate fluctuations to and fro. If then the excentricity of a planet's orbit be assumed erroneously (suppose too great) the observed longitudes will exhibit a less amount of such fluctuation above and below the mean than the computed, and the difference of the two, instead of being, as it ought to be, always nil, will be alternately + and - over arcs of 180°. If then a difference be observed following such a law, it may arrive from erroneously assumed excentricity, provided always the longitudes at which they agree (supposed to differ by 180°) be coincident with those of the perihelion and aphelion; for in elliptic motion nearly circular, these are the points where the mean and true longitudes agree, so that any fluctuation of the nature observed, if this condition be not satisfied, cannot arise from error of excentricity. Now the longitude of the perihelion of Uranus in the elements employed by Bouvard is (neglecting fractions of a degree) 168°, and of the aphelion

348°. These points then, in our figure, fall at ϖ , and α respectively, that is to say, nearly half way between AC, CE, EG, &c. It is evident therefore that it is not to error of excentricity that the fluctuation in question is mainly due.

t

-

ιt

e

e

ıe

7e

0°

us

c-

be

in

ge

m,

ve

sea

ire

or

tly i

ıllə

the

ua-

be

gi-

bve

of

vill

hce

ne-

les

ent

tic

an

lhe

hot

he

is

lon

(764.) Let us now consider the effect of an erroneous assumption of the *place* of the perihelion. Suppose in fig. 2. Plate A, ox to represent the longitude of a planet, and xythe excess of its true above its mean longitude, due to ellip-Then if R be the place of the perihelion, and P, or ticity. T, the aphelion in longitude, y will always lie in a certain undulating curve PQRST, above * PT, between R and T, and below it between P and R. Now suppose the place of the perihelion shifted forward to r, or the whole curve shifted bodily forward into the situation pqrst, then at the same longitude ox, the excess of the true above the mean longitude will be x y' only; in other words, this excess will have diminished by the quantity yy' below its former amount. Take therefore in o N (fig. 3. Pl. A) oy = ox and yy' always = yy'in fig. 2., and having thus constructed the curve KLMNO, the ordinate yy' will always represent the effect of the supposed change of perihelion. It is evident (the excentricity being always supposed small), that this curve will consist also of alternate superior and inferior waves of 180° each in amplitude, and the points L, N of its intersection with the axis will occur at longitudes corresponding to X, Y intermediate between the maxima Q, q; and S, s of the original curves, that is to say (if these intervals Qq, Ss, or $\mathbf{R}r$ to which both are equal, be very small) very nearly at 90° from the perihelion and aphelion. Now this agrees with the conditions of the case in hand, and we are therefore authorised to conclude that the major portion of the errors in question has arisen from error in the place of the perihelion of Uranus itself, and not from perturbation, and that to correct this portion, the perihelion must be shifted somewhat forward. As to the amount of this shifting, our only object being explanation, it will not be necessary here to enquire into it. It will suffice that it must be such as shall make the curve

* The curves, figs. 2, 3, are inverted in the engraving.

ABCDEFG as nearly as possible similar, equal, and opposite to the curve traced out by the dots on the other side. And this being done, we may next proceed to lay down a curve of the residual differences between observation and theory in the mode indicated in art. (763.)

(765.) This being done, by laying off at each point of the line of longitudes an ordinate equal to the difference of the ordinates of the two curves in fig. 1. when on opposite, and their sum when on the same side of the abscissa, the result will be as indicated by the dots in fig. 4. And here it is at once seen that a still farther reduction of the differences under consideration would result, if, instead of taking the line A B for the line of longitudes, a line a.b slightly inclined to it were substituted, in which case the whole of the differences between observation and theory from 1712 to 1800 would be annihilated, or at least so far reduced as hardly to exceed the ordinary errors of observation; and as respects the observation of 1690, the still outstanding difference of about 35" would not be more than might be attributed to a not very careful observation at so early an epoch. Now the assumption of such a new line of longitudes as the correct one is in effect equivalent to the admission of a slight amount of error in the periodic time and epoch of Uranus; for it is evident that by reckoning from the inclined instead of the horizontal line, we in effect alter all the apparent outstanding errors by an amount proportional to the time before or after the date at which the two lines intersect (viz. about 1789). As to the direction in which this correction should be made, it is obvious by inspection of the course of the dots, that if we reckon from A B, or any line parallel to it, the observed planet on the long run keeps falling more and more behind the calculated one; *i. e.* its assigned mean angular velocity by the tables is too great and must be diminished, or its periodic time requires to be increased.

(766.) Let this increase of period be made, and in correspondence with that change let the longitudes be reckoned on a b, and the residual differences from that line instead of A B, and we shall have then done all that can be done in

PERTURBATIONS OF URANUS BY NEPTUNE. 539

the way of reducing and palliating these differences, and that, with such success, that up to the year 1804 it might have been safely asserted that positively no ground whatever existed for suspecting any disturbing influence. But with this epoch an action appears to have commenced, and gone on increasing, producing an acceleration of the motion in longitude, in consequence of which, Uranus continually gains on its elliptic place, and continued to do so till 1822, when it ceased to gain, and the excess of longitude was at its maximum, after which it began rapidly to lose ground, and has continued to do so up to the present time. It is perfectly clear, then, that in this interval some extraneous cause must have come into action which was not so before, or not in sufficient power to manifest itself by any marked effect, and that that cause must have ceased to act, or rather begun to reverse its action, in or about the year 1822, the reverse action being even more energetic than the direct.

(767.) Such is the phænomenon in the simplest form we are now able to present it. Of the various hypotheses formed to account for it, during the progress of its development, none seemed to have any degree of rational probability but that of the existence of an exterior, and hitherto undiscovered, planet, disturbing, according to the received laws of planetary disturbance, the motion of Uranus by its attraction, or rather superposing its disturbance on those produced by Jupiter and Saturn, the only two of the old planets which exercise any sensible disturbing action on that Accordingly, this was the explanation which naplanet. turally, and almost of necessity, suggested itself to those conversant with the planetary perturbations who considered the subject with any degree of attention. The idea, however, of setting out from the observed anomalous deviations, and employing them as data to ascertain the distance and situation of the unknown body, or, in other words, to resolve the inverse problem of perturbations, "given the disturbances to find the orbit, and place in that orbit of the disturbing planet," appears to have occurred only to two mathematicians, Mr. Adams in England and M. Leverrier in France, with

ite nd of in

the the and sult s at nces the ined dif-.800 y to pects e of to a v the rrect ount it is f the hding after 789). nade, hat if erved ehind locity or its

orres-

ed on

ad of

one in

sufficient distinctness and hopefulness of success to induce them to attempt its solution. Both succeeded, and their solutions, arrived at with perfect independence, and by each in entire ignorance of the other's attempt, were found to agree in a surprising manner when the nature and difficulty of the problem is considered; the calculations of M. Leverrier assigning for the heliocentric longitude of the disturbing planet for the 23d Sept. 1846, 326° 0′, and those of Mr. Adams (brought to the same date) 329° 19′, differing only 3° 19′; the plane of its orbit deviating very slightly, if at all, from that of the ecliptic.

(768.) On the day above mentioned — a day for ever memorable in the annals of astronomy - Dr. Galle, one of the astronomers of the Royal Observatory at Berlin, received a letter from M. Leverrier, announcing to him the result he had arrived at, and requesting him to look for the disturbing planet in or near the place assigned by his calculation. He did so, and on that very night actually found it. A star of the eighth magnitude was seen by him and by M. Encke in a situation where no star was marked as existing in Dr. Bremiker's chart, then recently published by the Berlin Academy. The next night it was found to have moved from its place. and was therefore assuredly a planet. Subsequent observations and calculations have fully demonstrated this planet, to which the name of Neptune has been assigned, to be really that body to whose disturbing attraction, according to the Newtonian law of gravity, the observed anomalies in the motion of Uranus were owing. The geocentric longitude determined by Dr. Galle from this observation was 325° 53', which, converted into heliocentric, gives 326° 52', differing 0° 52' from M. Leverrier's place, 2° 27' from that of Mr. Adams, and only 47' from a mean of the two calculations.

(769.) It would be quite beyond the scope of this work, and far in advance of the amount of mathematical knowledge we have assumed our readers to possess, to attempt giving more than a superficial idea of the course followed by these geometers in their arduous investigations. Suffice it to say, that it consisted in regarding, as unknown quantities, to be determined, the

PERTURBATIONS OF URANUS BY NEPTUNE. 541

mass, and all the elements of the unknown planet (supposed to revolve in the same plane and the same direction with Uranus), except its major semiaxis. This was assumed in the first instance (in conformity with "Bode's law," art. (505.), and certainly at the time with a high *primâ facie* probability,) to be double that of Uranus, or 38.364 radii of the Earth's orbit. Without some assumption as to the value of this element, owing to the peculiar form of the analytical expression of the perturbations, the analytical investigation would have presented difficulties apparently insuperable. But besides these, it was also necessary to regard as unknown, or at least as liable to corrections of unknown magnitude of the same order as the perturbations, all the elements of Uranus itself, a circumstance whose necessity will easily be understood, when we consider that the received elements could only be regarded as provisional, and must certainly be erroneous, the places from which they were obtained being affected by at least some portions of the very perturbations in question. This consideration, though indispensable, added vastly both to the complication and the labour of the inquiry. The axis (and therefore the mean motion) of the one orbit, then, being known very nearly, and that of the other thus hypothetically assumed, it became practicable to express in terms, partly algebraic, partly numerical, the amount of perturbation at any instant, by the aid of general expressions delivered by Laplace in his "Mécanique Céleste" and elsewhere. These, then, together with the corrections due to the altered elements of Uranus itself, being applied to the tabular longitudes, furnished, when compared with those observed, a series of equations, in which the elements and mass of Neptune, and the corrections of those of Uranus entered as the unknown quantities, and by whose resolution (no slight effort of analytical skill) all their values were at length obtained. The calculations were then repeated, reducing at the same time the value of the assumed distance of the new planet, the discordances between the given and calculated results indicating it to have been assumed too large when the results were found to agree better, and the solutions to be, in fact, more satisfactory.

luce heir each d to diffif M. the those ering ly, if

ever of the ved a ıe had irbing Ie did of the e in a Bredemy. place, servaplanet, really to the in the gitude ° 53', ffering f Mr. ons. k, and ehave e than ters in hsisted

d, the

Thus, at length, elements were arrived at for the orbit of the unknown planet, as below.

			Leverrier.	Adams.
Epoch of Elements - Mean longitude in Epoch Semiaxis Major - Excentricity	-	- - -	Jan. 1. 1847. 318° 47' 4 36·1539 0·107610	Oct. 6. 1846. 323° 2' 37 • 2474 0 • 120615
Longitude of Perihelion - Mass (the Sun being 1) -	-	-	284° 45' 8 0.00010727	299° 11′ 0.0001.5003

The elements of M. Leverrier were obtained from a consideration of the observations up to the year 1845, those of Mr. Adams, only as far as 1840. On subsequently taking into account, however, those of the five years up to 1845, the latter was led to conclude that the semiaxis ought to be still much further diminished, and that a mean distance of 33.33 (being to that of Uranus as 1:0.574) would probably satisfy all the observations very nearly.*

(770.) On the actual discovery of the planet, it was, of course, assiduously observed, and it was soon ascertained that a mean distance, even less than Mr. Adams's last presumed value, agreed better with its motion; and no sooner were elements obtained from direct observation, sufficiently approximate to trace back its path in the heavens for a considerable interval of time, than it was ascertained to have been observed as a star by Lalande on the 8th and 10th of May, 1795, the latter of the two observations, however, having been rejected by him as faulty, by reason of its non-agreement with the former (a consequence of the motion of the planet in the interval). From these observations, combined with those since accumulated, the elements calculated by Prof. Walker, U.S., result as follows : —

-	- Jan. 1. 1847, M. noon, Greenwich,
-	- 328° 32' 44" 2
-	- 30.0367
-	- 0.00871946
-	- 47° 12′ 6″·50
-	- 130° 4′ 20′′·81
-	- 1° 46′ 58″*97
-	- 164.6181 tropical year.
-	- 2°·18688

* In a letter to the Astronomer Royal, dated Sept. 2. 1846, - i. e. three weeks previous to the optical discovery of the planet.

PERTURBATIONS OF URANUS BY NEPTUNE. 543

(771.) The great disagreement between these elements and those assigned either by M. Leverrier or Mr. Adams will not fail to be remarked; and it will naturally be asked how it has come to pass, that elements so widely different from the truth should afford anything like a satisfactory representation of the perturbation in question, and that the true situation of the planet in the heavens should have been so well, and indeed accurately, pointed out by them. As to the latter point, any one may satisfy himself by half an hour's calculation that both sets of elements do really place the planet, on the day of its discovery, not only in the longitudes assigned in art. 763., *i. e.* extremely near its apparent place, but also at a distance from the Sun very much more approximately correct than the mean distances or semiaxes of the respective orbits. Thus the radius vector of Neptune, calculated from M. Leverrier's elements for the day in question, instead of 36.1539 (the mean distance) comes out almost exactly 33; and indeed, if we consider that the excentricity assigned by those elements gives for the perihelion distance 32.2634, the longitude assigned to the perihelion brings the whole arc of the orbit (more than 83°), described in the interval from 1806 to 1847 to lie within 42° one way or the other of the perihelion, and therefore, during the whole of that interval, the hypothetical planet would be moving within limits of distance from the sun, 32.6 and 33.0. The following comparative tables of the relative situations of Uranus, the real and hypothetical planet, will exhibit more clearly than any lengthened statement, the near imitation of the motion of the former by the latter within that interval. The longitudes are heliocentric.*

* The calculations are carried only to tenths of degrees, as quite sufficient for the object in view.

bit of

1846. 2' 474 9615 11' 5003

onsiderof Mr. ng into 345, the be still of 33.33 y satisfy

was, of ed that a ed value, elements imate to interval as a star latter of by him ormer (a b). From ated, the lows : — Greenwich.

-i. e. three

	Uranus. Nej		tune.	Leverrier.		Adams.	
A. D.	Long.	Long.	Rad. Vec.	Long.	Rad. Vec.	' Long.	Rad. Vec.
1805.0	1970.8	2350.9	30.3	2410.2	33.1	246°·5	34.2
1810.0	220.9	247.0	30.3	251.1	32.8	255.9	33.7
1815.0	243.2	258.0	30.3	261.2	32.2	265.5	33.3
1820.0	264.7	268.8	30.2	271.4	32.4	275.4	33·1
1821.0	269.0	271.0	30.2	273.5	32.3	277.4	33.0
1822.0	273.3	273.2	30.2	275.6	32.3	279.5	33·0
1823.0	277.6	275.3	30.2	277.6	32.3	281.5	32.9
1824.0	281.8	277.4	30.2	279.7	32.3	283.6	S2·9
1825.0	285.8	279.6	50.2	281.8	32.3	285.6	32.8
1850.0	306.1	290.5	30.1	292.1	32.3	296.0	32.8
1835.0	326.0	301.4	30.1	302.5	32.4	306.3	32.8
1840.0	345.7	312.2	30.1	312.6	32.6	316.3	32.9
1845.0	365.3	323.1	30.0	322.6	32.9	326·0	33.1
1847.0	373.3	327·6	30· 0	326.5	33.1	329 3	33.2

(772.) From this comparison it will be seen that Uranus arrived at its conjunction with Neptune at or immediately before the commencement of 1822, with the calculated planet of Leverrier at the beginning of the following year 1823, and with that of Adams about the end of 1824. Both the theoretical planets, and especially that of M. Leverrier, therefore, during the whole of the above interval of time, so far as the *directions* of their attractive forces on Uranus are concerned, would act nearly on it as the true planet must have done. As regards the intensity of the relative disturbing forces, if we estimate these by the principles of art. (612.) at the epochs of conjunction, and for the commencement of 1805 and 1845, we find for the respective denominators of the fractions of the sun's attraction on Uranus regarded as unity, which express the *total* disturbing force, N S, in each case, as below :

			1805.	Conjunction	n. 1845.
Nentune with -	Peirce's mass	1 19840	27540	7508	\$\$ 390
	Struve's mass	$\frac{1}{14496}$	20244	5519	23810
Leverrier's theo	retical Planet, m	ASS 1 9322	20837	5193	19935

The masses here assigned to Neptune are those respectively deduced by Prof. Peirce and M. Struve from observations of

PERTURBATIONS OF URANUS BY NEPTUNE. 545

the satellite discovered by Mr. Lassell made with the large telescopes of Fraunhofer in the observatories of Cambridge, U.S. and Pulkova respectively. These it will be perceived differ very considerably, as might reasonably be expected in the results of micrometrical measurements of such difficulty, and it is not possible at present to say to which the preference ought to be given. As compared with the mass assigned by M. Struve, an agreement on the whole more satisfactory could not have been looked for within the interval immediately in question.

Vec

4.2

3·7 3·3

3.1

3∙0 3•0

2·9 2·9

2.8

2.8

2·8

13·1 13·2

anus

ately

lanet

, and

theo-

efore,

is the

rned,

done.

ces, if

pochs

1845,

of the

press

1845.

2390

23810

9935

ively

ns of

(773.) Subject then to this uncertainty as to the real mass of Neptune, the theoretical planet of Leverrier must be considered as representing with quite as much fidelity as could possibly be expected in a research of such exceeding delicacy, the particulars of its motion and perturbative action during the forty years elapsed from 1805 to 1845, an interval which (as is obvious from the rapid diminution of the forces on either side of the conjunction indicated by the numbers here set down) comprises all the most influential range of its This will, however, be placed in full evidence by action. the construction of curves representing the normal and tangential forces on the principles laid down (as far as the normal constituent is concerned) in art. (717.), one slight change only being made, which, for the purpose in view, conduces greatly to clearness of conception. The force LS (in the figure of that article) being supposed applied at P in the direction L s, we here construct the curve of the normal force by erecting at P (fig. 5. Plate A) P W always perpendicular to the disturbed orbit, A P, at P, measured from P in the same direction that S lies from L, and equal in length to LS. P W then will always represent both the directiond magnitude of the normal force acting at P. And in like manner, if we take always PZ on the tangent to the disturbed orbit at P, equal to NL of the former figure, and measured in the same direction from P that L is from N, PZ will represent both in magnitude and direction Thus will be traced the tangential force acting at P. out the two curious ovals represented in our figure of

their proper forms and proportions for the case in question. That expressing the normal force is formed of four lobes, having a common point in S, viz., SW m X Sa Sn Sb SW, and that expressing the tangential, AZcfBedYAZ, consisting of four mutually intersecting loops, surrounding and touching the disturbed orbit in four points, A B c d. The normal force acts outwards over all that part of the orbit, both in conjunction and opposition, corresponding to the portions of the lobes m, n, exterior to the disturbed orbit, and inwards in every other part. The figure sets in a clear light the great disproportion between the energy of this force near the conjunction, and in any other configuration of the planets; its exceedingly rapid degradation as P approaches the point of neutrality (whose situation is 35° 5' on either side of the conjunction, an arc described synodically by Uranus in $16^{y} \cdot 72$; and the comparatively short duration and consequent inefficacy to produce any great amount of perturbation, of the more intense part of its inward action in the small portions of the orbit corresponding to the lobes a, b, in which the line representing the inward force exceeds the radius of the circle. It exhibits, too, with no less distinctness, the gradual developement, and rapid degradation and extinction of the tangential force from its neutral points, c, d, on either side up to the conjunction, where its action is reversed, being accelerative over the arc dA, and retardative over Ac, each of which arcs has an amplitude of 71° 20', and is described by Uranus synodically in 34^y.00. The insignificance of the tangential force in the configurations remote from conjunction throughout the arc c B d is also obviously expressed by the small comparative development of the loops e, f.

(774.) Let us now consider how the action of these forces results in the production of that peculiar character of perturbation which is exhibited in our curve, fig. 4. Plate A. It is at once evident that the increase of the longitude from 1800 to 1822, the cessation of that increase in 1822, and its conversion into a decrease during the subsequent interval is in complete accordance with the growth, rapid decay, extinction at conjunction, and subsequent reproduction

PERTURBATIONS OF URANUS BY NEPTUNE. 547

in a reversed sense of the tangential force: so that we cannot hesitate in attributing the greater part of the perturbation expressed by the swell and subsidence of the curve between the years 1800 and 1845, — all that part, indeed, which is symmetrical on either side of 1822 — to the action of the tangential force.

(775.) But it will be asked, — has then the normal force (which, on the plain showing of fig. 5., is nearly twice as powerful as the tangential, and which does not reverse its action, like the latter force, at the point of conjunction, but, on the contrary, is there most energetic,) no influence in producing the observed effects? We answer, very little, within the period to which observation had extended up to The effect of the tangential force on the longitude is 1845. direct and immediate (art. 660.), that of the normal indirect, consequential, and cumulative with the progress of time (art. 734.). The effect of the tangential force on the mean motion takes place through the medium of the change it produces on the axis, and is transient: the reversed action after conjunction (supposing the orbits circular), exactly destroying all the previous effect, and leaving the mean motion on the whole unaffected. In the passage through the conjunction, then, the tangential force produces a sudden and powerful acceleration, succeeded by an equally powerful and equally sudden retardation, which done, its action is completed, and no trace remains in the subsequent motion of the planet that it ever existed, for its action on the perihelion and excentricity is in like manner also nullified by its reversal of direction. But with the normal force the case is far otherwise. Its *immediate* effect on the angular motion is *nil*. It is not till it has acted long enough to produce a perceptible change in the distance of the disturbed planet from the sun that the angular velocity begins to be sensibly affected, and it is not till its whole outward action has been exerted (i.e. over the whole interval from neutral point to neutral point) that its maximum effect in lifting the disturbed planet away from the sun has been produced, and the full amount of diminution in angular velocity it is capable of causing has been developed.

NN2

estion. lobes, SW, ΥAΖ, unding Bcd. of the ling to d orbit, a clear nis force 1 of the proaches ther side ranus in isequent n, of the ortions of the line s of the e gradual n of the ither side ed, being Ac, each described ce of the njunction ed by tho

ese forces or of per-4. Plate longitude in 1822, absequent th, rapid roduction

This continues to act in producing a retardation in longitude long after the normal force itself has reversed its action, and from a powerful outward force has become a feeble inward A certain portion of this perturbation is incident on one. the epoch in the mode described in art. (731.) et seq., and permanently disturbs the mean motion from what it would have been, had Neptune no existence. The rest of its effect is compensated in a single synodic revolution, not by the reversal of the action of the force (for that reversed action is far too feeble for this purpose), but by the effect of the permanent alteration produced in the excentricity, which (the axis being unchanged) compensates by increased proximity in one part of the revolution, for increased distance in the other. Sufficient time has not yet elapsed since the conjunction to bring out into full evidence the influence of this force. Still its commencement is quite unequivocally marked in the more rapid descent of our curve fig. 4., subsequent to the conjunction than ascent previous to that epoch, which indicates the commencement of a series of undulations in its future course of an elliptic character, consequent on the altered excentricity and perihelion (the total and ultimate effect of this constituent of the disturbing force) which will be maintained till within about 20 years from the next conjunction, with the exception, perhaps, of some trifling inequalities about the time of the opposition, similar in character, but far inferior in magnitude to those now under discussion.

(776.) Posterity will hardly credit that, with a full knowledge of all the circumstances attending this great discovery — of the calculations of Leverrier and Adams — of the communication of its predicted place to Dr. Galle — and of the new planet being actually found by him in that place, in the remarkable manner above commemorated; not only have doubts been expressed as to the validity of the calculations of those geometers, and the legitimacy of their conclusions, but these doubts have been carried so far as to lead the objectors to attribute the acknowledged fact of a planet previously unknown occupying that precise place in the heavens

PERTURBATIONS OF URANUS BY NEPTUME. 549

at that precise time, to sheer accident !" What share accident may have had in the successful issue of the calculations, we presume the reader, after what has been said, will have little difficulty in satisfying himself. As regards the time when the discovery was made, much has also been attributed to fortunate coincidence. The following considerations will, we apprehend, completely dissipate this idea, if still lingering in the mind of any one at all conversant with the subject. The period of Uranus being 84.0140 years, and that of Neptune 164.6181, their synodic revolution (art. 418.), or the interval between two successive conjunctions, is 171.58 years. The late conjunction having taken place about the beginning of 1822; that next preceding must have happened in 1649, or more than 40 years before the first recorded observation of Uranus in 1690, to say nothing of its discovery as a planet. In 1690, then, it must have been effectually out of reach of any perturbative influence worth considering, and so it remained during the whole interval from thence to 1800. From that time the effect of perturbation began to become sensible, about 1805 prominent, and in 1820 had nearly reached its maximum. At this epoch an alarm was sounded. The maximum was not attained, --- the event, so important to astronomy, was still

* These doubts seem to have originated partly in the great disagreement between the predicted and real elements of Neptune, partly in the near (possibly precise) commensurability of the mean motions of Neptune and Uranus. We conceive them however to be founded in a total misconception of the nature of the problem, which was not, from such obviously uncertain indications as the observed discordances could give, to determine as astronomical quantities the axis, excentricity and mass of the disturbing planet; but practically to discover where to look for it: when, if once found, these elements would be far better ascertained. To do this, any axis, excentricity, perihelion, and mass, however wide of the truth, which would represent, even roughly the amount, but with tolerable correctness the direction of the disturbing force during the very moderate interval when the departures from theory were really considerable, would equally serve their purposes; and with an excentricity, mass, and perihelion disposable, it is obvious that any assumption of the axis between the limits 30 and 38, nay, even with a much wider inferior limit, would serve the purpose. In his attempt to assign an inferior limit to the axis, and in the value so assigned, M. Leverrier, it must be admitted, was not successful. Mr. Adams, on the other hand, influenced by no considerations of the kind which appear to have weighed with his brother geometer, fixed ultimately (as we have seen) before the actual discovery of the planet, on an axis not very egregiously wrong. Still it were to be wished, for the satisfaction of all parties, that some one would undertake the problem de novo, employing formulæ not liable to the passage through infinity, which, technically speaking, hampers, or may be supposed to hamper, the con-tinuous application of the usual perturbational formulæ when cases of commensurability occur.

ritude n, and nward ent on 1., and would effect by the tion is he perhe axis in one other. ction to . Still ie more he conndicates s future ered ext of this intained on, with out the inferior

l knowiscovery he coml of the e, in the ly have culations clusions, lcad the net prchcavens

N N 3

in progress of developement, --- when the fact (any thing rather than a striking one) was noticed, and made matter of com-But the time for discussing its cause with any plaint. prospect of success was not yet come. Every thing turns upon the precise determination of the epoch of the maximum. when the perturbing and perturbed planet were in conjunction, and upon the law of increase and diminution of the perturbation itself on either side of that point. Now it is always difficult to assign the time of the occurrence of a maximum by observations liable to errors bearing a ratio far from inconsiderable to the whole quantity observed. Until the lapse of some years from 1822 it would have been impossible to have fixed that epoch with any certainty, and as respects the law of degradation and total arc of longitude over which the sensible perturbations extend, we are hardly yet arrived at a period when this can be said to be completely determinable from observation alone. In all this we see nothing of accident, unless it be accidental that an event which must have happened between 1781 and 1953, actually happened in 1822; and that we live in an age when astronomy has reached that perfection, and its cultivators exercise that vigilance which neither permit such an event, nor its scientific importance, to pass unnoticed. The blossom had been watched with interest in its developement, and the fruit was gathered in the very moment of maturity."

(776 a.) In the foregoing chapters we have enumerated and described the several bodies so far as known of which our system consists, and have shown how their mutual distances from and their motions with respect to each other may

^{*} The student who may wish to see the perturbations of Uranus produced by Neptune, as computed from a knowledge of the elements and mass of that planet, such as we now know to be pretty near the truth, will find them stated at length from the calculations of Mr. Walker, (of Washington, U. S.) in the "Proceedings of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences," vol. i. p. 334. et seq. On examining the comparisons of the results of Mr. Walker's formulæ with those of Mr. Adams's theory in p. 342, he will perhaps be surprised at the enormous difference between the actions of Neptune and Mr. Adams's "hypothetical planet" on the longitude of Uranus. This is easily explained. Mr. Adams's perturbations are deviations from Bouvard's orbit of Uranus, as it stood immediately previous to the late conjunction. Mr. Walker's are the deviations from a mean or undisturbed orbit freed from the influence of the long inequality resulting from the uear commensurability of the motions.

MASS AND DENSITY OF THE EARTH.

be determined, and their masses compared with that of the central body, and ultimately with that of our own planet as a unit of reference; but nothing has been said respecting the means by which that unit itself can be brought into comparison with the mass, weight, or inertia of those portions of its substance which we see and handle on its surface. This datum — the total weight of the earth itself — the number of times that its entire mass exceeds that of a pound of lead or other matter - or in other words (its bulk being accurately known), its mean density - remains up to this point of the present work undetermined, and is the one thing wanting to complete our knowledge of the data of our system and fully to connect astronomy with ordinary mechanics. We shall now therefore proceed to explain the methods by which this has been accomplished.

(776 b.) The principle which at once suggests itself to every mind is to measure the direct attraction, if it be possible, of some known mass, at some known distance, on some other. We say, if it be possible, because whatever notion we may form a priori of the weight of the earth as estimated in pounds or tons, it is clearly something enormous; and moreover, since it follows from the law of gravitative attraction* that the attractions of spheres of equal density on points at their surface are to each other as their radii, the attraction of a globe a foot in diameter, of the same average density of the earth, on a material point at its own surface would only amount to the 41,849,280th part of the weight of such material point; and therefore its attraction on a spherical body, suppose also a foot in diameter, placed in contact with it, would only amount to one 167,397,120th part of the weight of such body. Now when we have to deal with fractions of such an order of minuteness, all ordinary modes of directly measuring forces and weights break down, and the utmost resources of invention and art must be taxed even to render them perceptible, to say nothing of their precise determination.

(776 c.) The first and most obvious mode of producing a

* Princip, bk, 1, prop. 72.

rather of comth any turns simum, onjuncperturalways ximum r from ntil the possible respects r which arrived y deternothing ch must appened omy has that viscientific watched rathered

merated of which cual disher may

s produced ass of that hem stated S.) in the i. p. 334. r's formulæ rised at tho s's "hypoued. Mr. as it stood deviations inequality

magnified result is to augment, in as high a ratio as possible, the attracting mass; and therefore to substitute some great natural mass of the most suitable form which can be found. for an artificial sphere. And as the resources afforded by the integral calculus furnish the means of calculating the attraction of a body of any size and figure of known materials on a point any where situated without it, the idea naturally enough suggested itself to take some large mountain, of as regular a shape as might be found, for the attracting body, and to measure its attraction, on a principle pointed out by Newton*, by the deviation from verticality of a plumb-line suspended near it, which will necessarily be drawn aside towards the mountain. As the deflexion to be expected however, even in the case of a very large mountain, is still exceedingly minute, the working out of this idea into practice calls for very exact and refined astronomical observations.

(776 d.) In the first place the question arises in limine, how are we to ascertain, at any place, what is a vertical direction? The *deviated* plumb-line, it is obvious, cannot give us this information, nor can levels, for the surface of still water is always at right angles to the single force, whatever that may be, which results from a combination of all the forces acting on it — in other words, to the direction of the deviated plumb-line. Here it is that our knowledge of the figure and dimensions of the earth stand us in stead. We cannot, it is true, remove the mountain so as to find where the plumb-line would point, or the level rest in its absence; but we can shift our station to the opposite side, and by sidereal observation ascertain whether the direction of the plumb-line has varied by more or less than the amount of change due to such a change of station on the globe. Thus then we proceed : ---

Suppose M the mountain, A B a circle of latitude passing through two stations P, Q, at its foot, (or rather at such heights on its slope as shall correspond to the maxima of its lateral attraction,) at each of which let observations be made with a portable zenith sector alternately established at

* Treatise on the System of the World in a popular way, (1728).

one and the other of the zenith distances of some star passing very near the zenith of the mountain (so as to free the



observations from uncertainty of refraction). Were there no lateral attraction, the plumb-lines at both stations would point directly to the center of curvature C of the terrestrial spheroid (art. 219.), and the angle between them, P C Q, would be the difference of latitudes of the stations. Now the dimensions and ellipticity of the earth as a whole being known, this latter difference can be independently determined by a trigonometrical survey instituted for the purpose, a base being measured, and the meridional distance P Q ascertained by triangulation (art. 274. et seq.), which, converted into seconds of latitude, gives the difference in question; to which, were there no local attraction, the observed difference of zenith distances ought to correspond. But this will not be the case. The mountain will attract the plummet both ways inwards, into situations P R, Q R, including a greater angle than P C Q, and this being the observed angle or apparent difference of zenith distances — subtracting from it the difference of latitude so independently obtained, the excess will represent the sum of the two deviations north and south due to the attraction required. The mountain has then to be surveyed, and modelled, and mineralogical specimens taken from every accessible part of it, and their specific gravities

le, eat nd, by atals lly ิ ลร dy, by line side sted still racons. ine, 1 digive still ever the the the We here nce; l by the ht of Thus sing such

a of

s be

ed at

determined; and thus, no matter with what amount of calculation (for it is no light task), the total lateral attraction is computed in units of some definite scale; such, for instance, that each unit shall represent the total attraction of a sphere of 1lb. weight, on a point 1 foot distant from its center. The sum of all these units, each reduced to a horizontal direction, is the total lateral attraction of the mountain, and is therefore to the total vertical attraction of the earth, as the tangent of the deviation (taken so as to divide the total observed difference in the ratio of the computed attractions at either station), is to radius.

(776 e.) The process is laborious and costly-requires excellent instruments and the cooperation of more than one practised observer. It has, however, been put in execution on several occasions; viz., 1st, by the French Academicians, Bouguer and La Condamine, who in the course of their operations in Peru for the measurement of an arc of the meridian (art. 216.) instituted observations of the kind above described on Chimborazo in 1738. Their means of observation, however, were not such as to afford any distinct result, though a deviation of the plumb-line to the amount of about 11" appears to have been obtained. 2nd, by Maskelyne, in 1774, on the mountain Schehallien in Scotland, a mountain, not indeed of any great magnitude, being only about 3000 feet in altitude, but well situated, and otherwise well adapted for the experiment. It was successful. A joint amount of the lateral deviations on either side, of 11".6, was well ascertained to be produced by the local attraction, and the calculations being executed, (by Dr. Hutton and subsequently by Professor Playfair,) a result entitled to some reliance was obtained, according to which the mean density of the earth comes out 4.713 times that of water at the surface. More recently, we find a series of observations instituted by Col. James, Superintendent of the Ordnance Survey, on Arthur's Seat near Edinburgh*, by which, from a deflexion of 2".21 observed on the north and of 2".00 on the south side of that mountain, a mean density results of 5.316.

* Phil. Tr. 1856, p. 591.
PENDULUM OBSERVATIONS.

of caltraction istance, a sphere or. The l direci, and is h, as the total obctions at

uires exthan one xecution Academicourse of an arc of the kind means of y distinct amount of y Maskecotland, a only about rwisc well A joint 1".6, was ction, and and sub-1 to some n density at the surations in-Ordnance ch, from a 00 on the of 5.316.

(776 f.) Observations of the time of oscillation of a pendulum afford (see art. 235.) a direct measure of the force by which the oscillating mass is urged vertically downwards. Hence it follows that if this time be very precisely determined, both at the summit and at the foot of a mountain or elevated table land, the attraction of the mass of such mountain or table land vertically downwards will become known. For gravity decreasing inversely as the square of the distance would be enfeebled by the increase of that distance in a proportion which can be precisely calculated from the known height of the upper station; and therefore, could the pendulum be supported in the air at that height, the increase of its time of oscillation, under those circumstances, would be exactly known by calculation. But being supported on a mountain mass, protruding above the level surface of the terrestrial spheroid, the attraction of that mass acts on it in addition to the so diminished force of general gravitation, and prevents it from losing on the sea-level rate so much as it would do were the mountain devoid of attractive power. Experiments of this nature have been made by the Italian astronomers Plana and Carlini on Mont Cenis in Savoy, and the result, all computations executed, have given 4.950 for the mean density in question.

 $(776 \ g.)$ But it is also possible to descend below, as well as to rise above, the general sea-level, and to observe the pendulum at great depths below that level, as in deep mines. It was shown by Newton* that the attraction of a hollow, spherical, homogeneous shell on a point however situate within it, is simply nil, i.e. that the material point so placed is equally attracted by it in all directions. Hence by descending below the surface, we set ourselves free of the attraction of the whole spherical shell exterior to the point of observation, and the remaining attraction is the same as that of the whole interior mass collected in its center. This may, or may not, be less than the attraction of the whole earth on a point at its surface. It will be less if the earth be homogeneous or of the same density throughout; for in that

* Princip. Lib. i. Prop. 70.

case Newton has shown* that the attractive forces of the whole sphere, and of the interior sphere, each on a point on its own surface, are to each other as their radii. But if the internal portions of the earth be more dense than the external, (as they must be if the foregoing determinations be any approach to truth,) it may be greater. The experiment has been made, on three several occasions, by the present Astronomer Royal (Mr. Airy). On the two first in the Dolcoath mine in Cornwall at a depth of 1200 feet — a clock and pendulum were transported alternately to the bottom and the mouth of the shaft. On both these occasions the arrangements were defeated; on the first, by the accidental combustion of the packages of instruments in mid-air while in the act of raising them from below, attended with their precipitation down the shaft of the mine; on the other, by the subsidence of a mass of rock, "many times the size of Westminster Abbey," during the experiments, deluging the mine with water and forcing a premature conclusion. The third attempt, (in the Harton Coal Pit, near South Shields, 1200 feet in depth,) proved perfectly successful, and the oscillations of the pendulum below being compared with those of the clock above, by the immediate transmission of the beats of the latter down the mine by an electric wire, the great difficulty (that of the exact transmission of time) was ganihilated. The result of this experiment was that a pendulum vibrating seconds at the mouth of the pit, would gain 21 sec. per day at its bottom; and the final result (of which the calculations have very recently been published[†]) gives 6.565 for the mean density of the earth.

(776 h.) . The difference between these several results is considerable, and even the interval between the last mentioned and the highest of the others pretty large: it is bridged over however, so to speak, and the interval partly filled up, by the results of a totally different class of experiments of a much more curious and artificial nature, which we have now to describe. We have already seen (art. 234.) that the force of gravity may be brought directly into comparison

* Princip. Lib. i. Prop. 73. † Phil. Trans. 1856, p 297.

THE CAVENDISH EXPERIMENT.

with other material forces by using as an intermedium the elastic power of a spring. What is true of gravitation to the whole mass of the earth is equally so of gravitation towards any material mass, as a leaden ball. It may be measured by equilibrating it with the tension of a spring; provided, 1st, that we can frame a spring so delicate as to be visibly and measurably affected by so minute a force; 2ndly, that the force can be so applied as to be the only force tending to bend the spring, a condition which implies that it shall act on it, not vertically, but horizontally, so as to eliminate the weight of the spring, or at least prevent its being mixed up with the result; and, 3rdly, that we shall possess some independent means of measuring the elastic power of the spring itself. All these conditions are satisfied by the balance of torsion, devised by Michell with a view to this enquiry, and applied, after his death, to the intended purpose by Cavendish, in the celebrated experiment usually cited as "the Cavendish Experiment."*

(776 i.) The apparatus consists essentially of a long wooden rod made so as to unite great strength with little weight; carrying at its extremities two equal balls A, B, and suspended



in a horizontal situation by a wire no thicker than necessary securely to sustain the weight, from a point over its center

* Phil. Trans. 1798, 469. Cavendish expressly states that Michell's invention of this beautiful instrument, and his communication of it to him, was antecedent to the publication of Coulomb's researches.

of the oint on t if the xternal, any apent has Astro-)olcoath ock and and the gements abustion ne act of ipitation bsidence tminster ine with third atds, 1200 cillations e of the beats of eat diffinihilated. vibrating . per day culations for the

results is ast menge: it is val partly of experiwhich we (34.) that mparison

p 297.

of gravity, the wire being arranged as in the figure, so as to relieve the rod of the weight of the balls, its office being solely to keep them apart at a given horizontal distance. -It is evident that when suspended from C, and allowed to take its position of equilibrium undisturbed by any external force. the rod will assume such a situation that the wire CD shall be quite devoid of torsion; but that if the rod AB be disturbed from this neutral position, CD remaining vertical, the elastic force of the wire called into action by the torsion so induced will tend to bring it back to the point of departure by a force proportional to the angle of torsion. When so disturbed then, and abandoned to itself, it will oscillate backward and forward in horizontal arcs, the oscillations being all performed in equal times; and from the time observed to be occupied in each oscillation, the weights of the balls and that of the rod being known, we are able, from dynamical principles, to determine the motive force by which the wire acts on the balls, or the force of torsion. Suppose, now, two heavy leaden spheres to be brought, laterally, up nearly into contact, the one with A, the other with B, but on opposite sides of them, they will attract A, B, and their attractions will conspire in twisting the wire the same way; so that the point of rest will be changed from the original neutral point to one in which the torsion shall just counterbalance the attractions. By shifting the attracting balls alternately to the one and the other sides of A B, these will assume positions of rest alternately on opposite sides of the original neutral point, and equidistant from it, so that the deviation, if any, shall thus become doubled in its effect on the readings off of a scale marked by a pointer at the end of the rod, which may be observed through a telescope placed at a distance, so that the approach of the observer's person may create no disturbance.

(776 j.) Practically, the observation is not so simple as in the above statement. The balls can hardly ever be brought completely to rest; and the neutral point has to be concluded by noting the extremes of the arc of oscillation, perpetually diminishing by the resistance of the air. And when the

THE CAVENDISH EXPERIMENT.

attracting balls are brought into action, their attraction (acting laterally, according to the inverse squares of the distances) mixes itself with the force of torsion, to produce a compound law of force, under whose influence the times, velocities, and arcs have a different relation from those due to the torsion alone, and which, when investigated rigorously, lead to calculations of great complexity. Fortunately, the extreme minuteness of the attractive forces dispenses with a rigorous solution of this problem, and allows of a very simple and ready approximation, quite exact enough for the purpose. But besides these, a host of disturbing influences, arising from currents of air induced by difference of temperature, has to be contended with or guarded against, so as to render the experiment one of great difficulty and full of niceties, the mere enumeration of which here, however, would lead us far beyond our limits. *

(776 k.) The experiment, as conducted by Cavendish, afforded as its final result 5.480. Repeated since, with greater precautions, by Professor Reich, 5.438 was obtained; and still more recently, by the late F. Baily, in a series of experiments exhibiting an astonishing amount of skill and patience in overcoming the almost innumerable obstacles to complete success, 5.660; a result undoubtedly preferable to the two former. Thus the final result of the whole enquiry will stand as below, the densities concluded being arranged in order of magnitude: —

Schehallien experiment, by Maskelyne, ealculat	ed by 1	Playfair T)	4.713
Carlini from pendulum on Mount Cenis (correc	ted by	Giulio)	-	4.950
Col. James from attraction of Arthur's Seat	-	•	-	5.316
Reich, repetition of Cavendish experiment	-	-	-	5.438
Cavendish, result 5.480, corrected by Mr. Baily	's recor	nputation	-	5.448
Baily's repetition of Cavendish experiment	-	•	-	5.660
Airy, from pendulum in Harton coal-pit -	-	-	-	6.262
General m	iean		-	5.441+
Mean of greatest and least				5.639

[•] The reader is warned to be on his guard against accepting as correct an account of the principle of the Cavendish experiment, professing to emanate from one very high astronomical authority, and passed without note or comment (and therefore so far sanctioned) by another, but which involves a total misconception of its true nature (Arago, Lezione di Astronomia tradutie ed annotate di E. Capocei, Napoli, 1851, p. 238.)

so as to e being ice. It to take l force. D shall be disical, the rsion so eparture Vhen so oscillate cillations observed the balls ynamical the wire se, now, ip nearly on oppoir attrac-; so that l neutral erbalance ternately ume posioriginal leviation, the readf the rod, l at a dishay create

nple as in e brought concluded rpetually when the

[†] Newton, by one of his astonishing divinations, had already expressed his

(776 *l*.) Calculating on $5\frac{1}{2}$ as a result sufficiently approximative and convenient for memory; taking the mean diameter of the earth, considered as a sphere, at 7912.41 miles, and the weight of a cubic foot of water at 62.3211 lbs.; we find for its solid content in cubic miles, 259,373 millions, and for its weight in tons of 2240 lbs. avoird. each, 5842 trillions (= 5842×10^{18}).

opinion that the mean density of the earth would be found to be between five and six times that of water. (Princ. iii. 10.)

ameter es, and we find and for ons (=

etween five

PART III.

OF SIDEREAL ASTRONOMY.

CHAPTER XV.

OF THE FIXED STARS. - THEIR CLASSIFICATION BY MAGNITUDES. -PHOTOMETRIC SCALE OF MAGNITUDES. --- CONVENTIONAL OR VUL-GAR SCALE. - PHOTOMETRIC COMPARISON OF STARS. - DISTRIBU-TION OF STARS OVER THE HEAVENS .- OF THE MILKY WAY OR GALAXY. --- ITS SUPPOSED FORM THAT OF A FLAT STRATUM PAR-TIALLY SUBDIVIDED. - ITS VISIBLE COURSE AMONG THE CONSTEL-LATIONS. --- ITS INTERNAL STRUCTURE. --- ITS APPARENTLY INDE-FINITE EXTENT IN CERTAIN DIRECTIONS. --- OF THE DISTANCE OF THE FIXED STARS. - THEIR ANNUAL PARALLAX. - PARALLACTIC UNIT OF SIDEREAL DISTANCE .- EFFECT OF PARALLAX ANALOGOUS TO THAT OF ABERRATION. - HOW DISTINGUISHED FROM IT. - DE-TECTION OF PARALLAX BY MERIDIONAL OBSERVATIONS. - HEN-DERSON'S APPLICATION TO a CENTAURI. - BY DIFFERENTIAL OB-SERVATIONS. - DISCOVERIES OF BESSEL AND STRUVE. - LIST OF STARS IN WHICH PARALLAX HAS BEEN DETECTED .- OF THE REAL MAGNITUDES OF THE STARS. -- COMPARISON OF THEIR LIGHTS WITH THAT OF THE SUN.

(777.) BESIDES the bodies we have described in the fore going chapters, the heavens present us with an innumerable multitude of other objects, which are called generally by the name of stars. Though comprehending individuals differing from each other, not merely in brightness, but in many other essential points, they all agree in one attribute, — a high degree of permanence as to apparent relative situation. This has procured them the title of "fixed stars;" an expression which is to be understood in a comparative and not an absolute sense, it being certain that many, and probable that all, are in a state of motion, although too slow to be perceptible

unless by means of very delicate observations, continued during a long series of years.

(778.) Astronomers are in the habit of distinguishing the stars into classes, according to their apparent brightness. The brightest stars are said These are termed magnitudes. to be of the first magnitude; those which fall so far short of the first degree of brightness as to make a strongly marked distinction are classed in the second; and so on down to the sixth or seventh, which comprise the smallest stars visible to the naked eye, in the clearest and darkest night. Beyond these, however, telescopes continue the range of visibility, and magnitudes from the 8th down to the 16th are familiar to those who are in the practice of using powerful instruments; nor does there seem the least reason to assign a limit to this progression; every increase in the dimensions and power of instruments, which successive improvements in optical science have attained, having brought into view multitudes innumerable of objects invisible before; so that, for any thing experience has hitherto taught us, the number of the stars may be really infinite, in the only sense in which we can assign a meaning to the word.

(779.) This classification into magnitudes, however, it must be observed, is entirely arbitrary. Of a multitude of bright objects, differing probably, intrinsically, both in size and in splendour, and arranged at unequal distances from us, one must of necessity appear the brightest, one next below An order of succession (relative, of course, to it, and so on. our local situation among them) must exist, and it is a matter of absolute indifference, where, in that infinite progression downwards, from the one brightest to the invisible, we choose to draw our lines of demarcation. All this is a matter of pure convention. Usage, however, has established such a convention; and though it is impossible to determine exactly, or à priori, where one magnitude ends and the next begins, and although different observers have differed in their magnitudes, yet, on the whole, astronomers have restricted their first magnitude to about 23 or 24 principal stars; their second to 50 or 60 next inferior; their third to about 200 yet

MAGNITUDES OF THE STARS.

ntinued

ing the ghtness. are said ir short marked a to the isible to Beyond isibility, miliar to uments; it to this power of al science les innuny thing the stars h we can

wever, it multitude , both in nces from ext below course, to s a matter rogression we choose matter of ed such a e exactly, xt begins, eir magnicted their trs; their ut 200 yet smaller, and so on; the numbers increasing very rapidly as we descend in the scale of brightness, the whole number of stars already registered down to the seventh magnitude, inclusive, amounting to from 12000 to 15000.

(780.) As we do not see the actual disc of a star, but judge only of its brightness by the total impression made upon the eye, the apparent "magnitude" of any star will, it is evident, depend, 1st, on the star's distance from us; 2d, on the absolute magnitude of its illuminated surface; 3d, on the intrinsic brightness of that surface. Now, as we know nothing, or next to nothing, of any of these data, and have every reason for believing that each of them may differ in different individuals, in the proportion of many millions to one, it is clear that we are not to expect much satisfaction in any conclusions we may draw from numerical statements of the number of individuals which have been arranged in our artificial classes antecedent to any general or definite principle of arrangement. In fact, astronomers have not yet agreed upon any principle by which the magnitudes may be photometrically classed à priori, whether for example a scale of brightnesses decreasing in geometrical progression should be adopted, each term being one half of the preceding, or one third, or any other ratio, or whether it would not be preferable to adopt a scale decreasing as the squares of the terms of an harmonic progression, i. e. according to the series 1, $\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{25}, \&c.$ The former would be a purely photometric scale, and would have the apparent advantage that the light of a star of any magnitude would bear a fixed proportion to that of the magnitude next above it, an advantage, however, merely apparent, as it is certain, from many optical facts, that the unaided eye forms very different judgments of the proportions existing between bright lights, and those between feeble ones. The latter scale involves a physical idea, that of supposing the scale of magnitudes to correspond to the appearance of a first magnitude standard star, removed successively to twice, three times, &c. its original or standard distance. Such a scale, which would make the nominal magnitude a sort of index to the presumable or average dis-

002

tance, on the supposition of an equality among the real lights of the stars, would facilitate the expression of speculative ideas on the constitution of the sidereal heavens. On the other hand, it would at first sight appear to make too small a difference between the lights in the lower magnitudes. For example, on this principle of nomenclature, the light of a star of the seventh magnitude would be thirty-six 49ths of that of one of the sixth, and of the tenth 81 hundredths of the ninth, while between the first and the second the proportion would be that of four to one. So far, however, from this being really objectionable, it falls in well with the general tenor of the optical facts already alluded to, inasmuch as the eye (in the absence of disturbing causes) does actually diseriminate with greater precision between the relative intensities of feeble lights than of bright ones, so that the fraction $\frac{56}{36}$ for instance, expresses quite as great a step downwards (physiologically speaking) from the sixth magnitude, as $\frac{1}{4}$ does from the first. As the choice, therefore, so far as we can see, lies between these two scales, in drawing the lines of demarcation between what may be termed the photometrical magnitudes of the stars, we have no hesitation in adopting, and recommending others to adopt, the latter system in preference to the former.

(781.) The conventional magnitudes actually in use among astronomers, so far as their usage is consistent with itself, conforms moreover very much more nearly to this than to the geometrical progression. It has been shown * by direct photometric measurement of the light of a considerable number of stars from the first to the fourth magnitude, that if M be the number expressing the magnitude of a star on the above system, and m the number expressing the magnitude of the same star in the loose and irregular language at present conventionally or rather provisionally adopted, so far as it can be collected from the conflicting authorities of different observers, the difference between these numbers, or M-m, is the same in all the higher parts of the scale, and is less than half as magnitical progression.

* See "Results of Observations made at the Cape of Good Hope, &c. &c." p. 371. By the Author.

FRACTIONAL SUBDIVISION OF MAGNITUDES. 565

nitude (0^m. 414). The standard star assumed as the unit of magnitude in the measurements referred to, is the bright southern star α Centauri, a star somewhat superior to Arcturus in lustre. If we take the distance of this star for unity, it follows that when removed to the distances 1.414, 2.414, 3.414, &c. its apparent lustre would equal those of average stars of the 1st, 2d, 3d, &c. magnitudes, as ordinarily reckoned, respectively.

(782.) The difference of lustre between stars of two consecutive magnitudes is so considerable as to allow of many intermediate gradations being perfectly well distinguished. Hardly any two stars of the first or of the second magnitude would be judged by an eye practised in such comparisons to be exactly equal in brightness. Hence, the necessity, if anything like accuracy be aimed at, of subdividing the magnitudes and admitting fractions into our nomenclature of brightness. When this necessity first began to be felt, a simple bisection of the interval was recognized, and the intermediate degree of brightness was thus designated, viz. 1.2 m, 2.3 m, and so on. At present it is not unfrequent to find the interval trisected thus: 1 m, 1.2 m, 2.1 m, 2 m, &c. where the expression 1.2 m denotes a magnitude intermediate between the first and second, but nearer 1 than 2; while 2.1 m designates a magnitude also intermediate, but nearer 2 than This may suffice for common parlance, but as this depart-1. ment of astronomy progresses towards exactness, a decimal subdivision will of necessity supersede these rude forms of expression, and the magnitude will be expressed by an integer number followed by a decimal fraction; as for instance, 2.51 which expresses the magnitude of γ Geminorum on the vulgar or conventional scale of magnitudes, by which we at once perceive that its place is almost exactly half way between the 2d and 3d average magnitudes, and that its light is to that of an average first magnitude star in that scale (of which α Orionis in its usual or normal state * may be taken as a typical specimen) as 1^2 : $(2.51)^2$, and to that of α

* In the interval from 1836 to 1839 this star underwent considerable and remarkable fluctuations of brightness.

ve ideas e other ı differ-For exa star of that s of the oportion om this general 1 as the y discritensities ction 36 ds (phy-1 does can see, demaril magniing, and reference

1 lights

e aniong th itself, in to the cect phonumber if M be he above the same convenn be colbservers, the same f a-mag-

e, &c. &c."

Centauri as 1²: (2.924)², making its place in the photometrie scale (so defined) 2.924. Lists of stars northern and southern, comprehending those of the vulgar first, second, and third magnitudes, with their magnitudes decimally expressed in both systems, will be found at the end of this work. The light of a star of the sixth magnitude may be roughly stated as about the hundredth part of one of the first. Sirius would make between three and four hundred stars of that magnitude.

(783.) The exact photometrical determination of the comparative intensities of light of the stars is attended with many and great difficulties, arising partly from their differences of colour; partly from the eircumstance that no invariable standard of artificial light has yet been discovered; partly from the physiological cause above alluded to, by which the eve is incapacitated from judging correctly of the proportion of two lights, and can only decide (and that with not very great precision) or to their equality or inequality; and partly from other physiological causes. The least objectionable method hitherto proposed would appear to be the following. A natural standard of comparison is in the first instance selected, brighter than any of the stars, so as to allow of being equalized with any of them by a reduction of its light optically effected, and at the same time either invariable, or at least only so variable that its changes can be exactly calculated and reduced to numerical estimation. Such a standard is offered by the planet Jupiter, which, being much brighter than any star, subject to no phases, and variable in light only by the variation of its distance from the sun, and which moreover comes in succession above the horizon at a convenient altitude, simultaneously with all the fixed stars, and, in the absence of the moon, twilight, and other disturbing causes (which fatally affect all observations of this nature), combines all the requisite conditions. Let us suppose, now, that Jupiter being at A and the star to be compared with it at B, a glass prism C, is so placed that the light of the planet deflected by total internal reflexion at its base, shall emerge parallel to B E the direction of the star's visual ray. After reflexion, let it be received on a lens D, in whose focus

PHOTOMETRIC SCALE OF MAGNITUDES.

567

F, it will form a small bright star-like image capable of being viewed by an eye placed at E, so far out of the axis of the cone of diverging rays as to admit of seeing at the same time, and

metric

uthern,

d third ssed in . The y stated s would gnitude. he comh many ences of variable ; partly

hich the

oportion

not very

d partly

tionable

llowing.

instance

allow of

its light

riable, or

etly cal-

Such a

ng much

riable in

sun, and

zon at a

ed stars.

disturb-

s nature),

ose, now,

d with it

nt of the ase, shall

sual ray.

ose focus



with the same eye, and so comparing, this image with the star seen directly. By bringing the eye nearer to or further from the focus F, the apparent brightness of the focal point will be varied in the inverse ratio of the square of the distance E F, and therefore may be *equalized*, as well as the eye can judge of such equalities, with the star. If this be done for two stars several times alternately, and a mean of the results taken, by measuring E F, their relative brightness will be obtained: that of Jupiter, the temporary standard of comparison, being altogether eliminated from the result.

(784.) A moderate number of well selected stars being thus photometrically determined by repeated and careful measurements, so as to afford an ascertained and graduated scale of brightness among the stars themselves, the intermediate steps or grades of magnitude may be filled up, by inserting between them, according to the judgment of the eye, other stars, forming an ascending or descending *sequence*, each member of such a sequence being brighter than that below, and less bright than that above it; and thus at length, a scale of numerical magnitudes will become established, complete in all its members, from Sirius, the brightest of the stars, down to the least visible magnitude.* It were much to be wished that

* For the method of combining and treating such sequences, where accumulated in considerable numbers, so as to eliminate from their results the influence of erroneous judgment, atmospheric circumstances, &c., which often give rise to Contradictory arrangement; in the order of stars differing but little in magnitude,

this branch of astronomy, which at present can hardly be said to be advanced beyond its infancy, were perseveringly and systematically cultivated. It is by no means a subject of mere barren curiosity, as will abundantly appear when we come to speak of the phænomena of variable stars; and being moreover one in which amateurs of the science may easily chalk out for themselves a useful and available path, may naturally be expected to receive large and interesting accessions at their hands.

(785.) If the comparison of the apparent magnitudes of the stars with their numbers leads to no immediately obvious conclusion, it is otherwise when we view them in connection with their local distribution over the heavens. If indeed we confine ourselves to the three or four brightest classes, we shall find them distributed with a considerable approach to impartiality over the sphere: a marked preference however being observable, especially in the southern hemisphere, to a zone or belt, following the direction of a great circle passing through ϵ Orionis and α Crucis. But if we take in the whole amount visible to the naked eye, we shall perceive a great increase of number as we approach the borders of the Milky Way. And when we come to telescopic magnitudes, we find them crowded beyond imagination, along the extent of that circle, and of the branches which it sends off from it; so that in fact its whole light is composed of nothing but stars of every magnitude, from such as are visible to the naked eye down to the smallest point of light perceptible with the best telescones.

(786.) These phenomena agree with the supposition that the stars of our firmament, instead of being scattered in all directions indifferently through space, form a stratum of which the thickness is small, in comparison with its length and breadth; and in which the earth occupies a place somewhere about the middle of its thickness, and near the point where it subdivides into two principal lamine, inclined at a small angle to each other (art. 302.). For it is certain that, to an eye so

as well as for an account of a series of photometric comparisons (in which however, not Jupiter, but the moon, was used as an intermediate standard), see the work above eited, note on p. 353. (Results of Observations, &c.) Prof. Heis of ... is, so far as we are awnre, the only observer who has adopted and extended the method of sequences there employed.

GENERAL FORM OF THE GALAXY.

situated, the apparent density of the stars, supposing them pretty equally scattered through the space they occupy, would be least in a direction of the visual ray (as S A), perpendicular to the lamina, and greatest in that of its breadth, as S B, S C, S D; increasing rapidly in passing from one to the other direction, just as we see a slight haze in the atmosphere thickening into a decided fog bank near the horizon, by the rapid increase of the mere length of the visual ray. Such is the view of the construction of the starry firmament taken by Sir William Herschel, whose powerful telescopes first effected a complete analysis of this wonderful zone, and demonstrated the fact of its entirely consisting of stars.* So crowded are



they in some parts of it, that by counting the stars in a single field of his telescope, he was led to conclude that 50000 had passed under his review in a zone two degrees in breadth, during a single hour's observation. In that part of the milky way which is situated in 10h 30m R A and between the 147th and 150th degree of N P D, upwards of 5000 stars have been reckoned to exist in a square degree. The immense distances at which the remoter regions must be situated will sufficiently account for the vast predominance of small magnitudes which are observed in it.

(787.) The course of the Milky Way as traced through the heavens by the unaided eye, neglecting occasional deviations and following the line of its greatest brightness as well as its varying breadth and intensity will permit, conforms as nearly as the indefiniteness of its boundary will allow it to be fixed, to that of a great circle inclined at an angle of about 63° to the equinocetial, and cutting that circle in R A 6 h 47 m and

be said and sysof mere come to noreover c out for y be exir hands. les of the ious contion with re confine shall find partiality g observe or belt, hrough ε e amount ncrease of ay. And nd them hat circle, at in fact very magwn to the escones. ition that ed in all of which ngth and mewhere where it hall angle an eye so

> which howrd), see the Prof. Heis id extended

[•] Thomas Wright of Durham (Theory of the Universe, London, 1750) appears so early as 1734 to have entertained the same general view as to the constitution of the Milky Way and starry firmament, founded, quite in the spirit of just astronomical speculation on a partial resolution of a portion of it with a "one-foot reflector" (a reflector one foot in focal length). See an account of this rare work by Mr. de Morgan in Phil. Mag. Ser. 3, xxxii, p. 241, et seq.

18h 47m, so that its northern and southern poles respectively are situated in R. A. 12h 47m NPD 63° and R. A. 0h 47m NPD 117°. Throughout the region where it is so remarkably subdivided (art. 186.), this great circle holds an intermediate situation between the two great streams; with a nearer approximation however to the brighter and continuous stream, than to the fainter and interrupted one. If we trace its course in order of right ascension, we find it traversing the constellation Cassiopeia, its brightest part passing about two degrees to the north of the star δ of that constellation, i. e. in about 62° of north deelination, or 28° NPD. Passing thence between γ and ε Cassiopeiæ it sends off a branch to the south-preceding side, towards a Persei, very conspicuous as far as that star, prolonged faintly towards ε of the same constellation, and possibly traceable towar the Hyades and Pleiades as remote outliers. The main stream however (which is here very faint), passes on through Auriga, over the three remarkable stars, ϵ , ζ , η , of that constellation preceding Capella, called the Hœdi, preceding Capella, between the feet of Gemini and the horns of the Bull (where it intersects the ecliptic nearly in the Solstitial Colure) and thence over the club of Orion to the neck of Monoceros, intersecting the equinoctial in R. A. 6h 54m. Up to this point, from the offset in Perseus, its light is feeble and indefinite, but thenceforward it receives a gradual accession of brightness, and where it passes through the shoulder of Monoceros and over the head of Canis Major it presents a broad, moderately bright, very uniform, and to the naked eye, starless stream up to the point where it enters the prow of the ship Argo, nearly on the southern tropic.* Here it again subdivides (about the star m Puppis), sending off a narrow and winding branch on the preceding side as far as y Argûs, where it terminates abruptly. The main stream pursues its southward course to the 123d parallel of NPD, where it diffuses

• In reading this description a celestial globe will be a necessary companion. It may be thought needless to detail the courr. of the Milky Way verbally, since it is mapped down on all celestial charts and globes. But in the generality of them, indeed in all which have come to our knowledge, this is done so very loosely and incorrectly, as by no means to dispense with a verbal description.

COURSE OF THE VIA LACTEA TRACED.

itself broadly and again subdivides, opening out into a wide fan-like expanse, nearly 20° in breadth formed of interlacing branches, all which terminate abruptly, in a line drawn nearly through λ and γ Argûs.

(788.) At this place the continuity of the Milky Way is interrupted by a wide gap, and where it recommences on the opposite side it is by a somewhat similar fan-shaped assemblage of branches which converge upon the bright star n Argûs. Thence it crosses the hind feet of the Centaur, forming a curious and sharply defined semicircular concavity of small radius, and enters the Cross by a very bright neck or is thmus of not more than 3 or 4 degrees in breadth, being the narrowest portion of the Milky Way. After this it immediately expands into a broad and bright mass, enclosing the stars α and β Crucis, and β Centauri, and extending almost up to α of the latter constellation. In the midst of this bright mass, surrounded by it on all sides, and occupying about half its breadth, occurs a singular dark pear-shaped vacancy, so conspicuous and remarkable as to attract the notice of the most superficial gazer, and to have acquired among the early southern navigators the uncouth but expressive appellation of the coal-sack. In this vacancy which is about 8° in length, and 5° broad, only one very small star visible to the naked eye occurs, though it is far from devoid of telescopic stars, so that its striking blackness is simply due to the effect of contrast with the brilliant ground with which it is on all sides surrounded. This is the place of nearest approach of the Milky Way to the South Pole. Throughout all this region its brightness is very striking, and when compared with that of its more northern course already traced, conveys strongly the impression of greater proximity, and would almost lead to a belief that our situation as spectators is separated on all sides by a considerable interval from the dense body of stars composing the Galaxy, which in this view of the subject would come to be considered as a flat ring or some other reentering form of immense and irregular breadth and thickness, within which we are excentrically situated, nearer to the southern than to the northern part of its circuit.

ectively 0h 47 m remarkin interwith a continu-If we travers-; passing ; constel-'NPD. nds off a rsei, very towards towar' The main n through that conpreceding ms of the Solstitial of Mono-Up to ecble and accession houlder of presents a naked eye, row of the e it again arrow and rûs, where its southit diffuses

y companion. erbally, since generality of to very loosely bn.

572

(789.) At a Centauri, the Milky Way again subdivides *, sending off a great branch of nearly half its breadth, but which thins off rapidly, at an angle of about 20° with its general direction, towards the preceding side, to η and d Lupi, beyond which it loses itself in a narrow and faint The main stream passes on increasing in breadth streamlet. to y Normæ, where it makes an abrupt elbow and again subdivides into one principal and continuous stream of very irregular breadth and brightness on the following side, and a complicated system of interlaced streaks and masses on the preceding, which covers the tail of Scorpio, and terminates in a vast and faint effusion over the whole extensive region occupied by the preceding leg of Ophiuchus, extending northwards i ' parallel of 103° NPD, beyond which it cannot be traced, 1 wide interval of 14°, free from all appearance of nebulous light, separating it from the great branch on the north side of the equinoctial of which it is usually represented as a continuation.

(790.) Returning to the point of separation of this great branch from the main stream, let us now pursue the course of Making an abrupt bend to the following side, it the latter. passes over the stars ι Are, θ and ι Scorpii, and γ Tubi to y Sagittarii, where it suddenly collects into a vivid oval mass about 6° in length and 4° in breadth, so excessively rich in stars that a very moderate calculation makes their number exceed 100,000. Northward of this mass, this stream crosses the ecliptic in longitude about 276°, and proceeding along the bow of Sagittarius into Antinous has its course rippled by three deep concavities, separated from each other by remarkable protuberances, of which the larger and brighter (situated between Flamsteed's stars 3 and 6 Aquilæ) forms the most conspicuous patch in the southern portion of the Milky Way visible in our latitudes.

(791.) Crossing the equinoctial at the 19th hour of right ascension, it next runs in an irregular, patchy, and winding stream through Aquila, Sagitta and Vulpecula up to Cygnus;

* All the maps and globes place this subdivision at β Centauri, but erroneously.

COURSE OF THE VIA LACTEA TRACED.

at ε of which constellation its continuity is interrupted, and a very confused and irregular region commences, marked by a broad dark vacuity, not unlike the southern "coal-sack," occupying the space between ε , α , and γ Cygni, which serves as a kind of center for the divergence of three great streams; one, which we have already traced; a second, the continuation of the first (across the interval) from α northward, between Lacerta and the head of Cepheus to the point in Cassiopeia whence we set out, and a third branching off from γ Cygni, very vivid and conspicuous, running off in a southern direction through β Cygni, and s Aquilæ almost to the equinoctial, where it loses itself in a region thinly sprinkled with stars, where in some maps the modern constellation Taurus Poniatovii is This is the branch which, if continued across the placed. equinoctial, might be supposed to unite with the great southern effusion in Ophiuchus already noticed (art. 789.). Α considerable offset, or protuberant appendage, is also thrown off by the northern stream from the head of Cepheus directly towards the pole, occupying the greater part of the quartile formed by α , β , ι , and δ of that constellation.

(792.) We have been somewhat circumstantial in describing the course and principal features of the Via Lactea, not only because there does not occur any where (so far as we know) any correct account of it, but chiefly by reason of its high interest in sidereal astronomy, and that the reader may perceive how very difficult it must necessarily be to form any just conception of the real, solid form, as it exists in space, of an object so complicated, and which we see from a point of view so unfavourable. The difficulty is of the same kind which we experience when we set ourselves to conceive the real shape of an auroral arch or of the clouds, but far greater in degree, because we know the laws which regulate the formation of the latter, and limit them to certain conditions of altitude — because their motion presents them to us in various aspects, but chiefly because we contemplate them from a station considerably below their general plane, so as to allow of our mapping out some kind of ground-plan of their shape. All these aids are wanting when we attempt to map

vides*, th, but)° with η and did faint breadth in subof very e, and a s on the inates in e region g northt cannot pearance h on the y repre-

his great course of g side, it Tubi to pval mass y rich in number m crosses ulong the opled by remark-(situated the most ky Way

> of right winding Cygnus;

> > but erro-

and model out the Galaxy, and beyond the obvious conclusion that its form must be, generally speaking, flat, and of a thickness small in comparison with its area in length and breadth, · the laws of perspective afford us little further assistance in the Probability may, it is true, here and there eninquiry. lighten us as to certain features. Thus when we see, as in the coal-sack, a sharply defined oval space free from stars, insulated in the midst of a uniform band of not much more than twice its breadth, it would seem much less probable that a conical or tubular hollow traverses the whole of a starry stratum, continuously extended from the eye outwards, than that a *distant* mass of comparatively moderate thickness should be simply perforated from side to side, or that an oval vacuity should be seen foreshortened in a distant foreshortened area, not really exceeding two or three times its own breadth. Neither can we without obvious improbability refuse to admit that the long lateral offsets which at so many places quit the main stream and run out to great distances, are either planes seen edgeways, or the convexities of curved surfaces viewed tangentially, rather than cylindrical or columnar excrescences bristling up obliquely from the general And in the same spirit of probable surmise we may level. account for the intricate reticulations above described as existing in the region of Scorpio, rather by the accidental crossing of streaks thus originating, at very different distances, or by a cellular structure of the mass, than by real intersections. Those cirrous clouds which are often seen in windy weather, convey no unapt impression either of the kind of appearance in question, or of the structure it suggests. It is to other indications however, and chiefly to the telescopic examination o." its intimate constitution, and to the law of the distribution of stars, not only within its bosom, but generally over the heavens, that we must look for more definite knowledge respecting its true form and extent.

(793.) It is on observations of this latter class, and not on merely speculative or conjectural views, that the generalization in Art. 786., which refers the phænomena of the starry firmament to the system of the Galaxy as their embodying fact,

LAW OF DISTRIBUTION OF THE STARS.

is brought to depend. The process of "gauging" the heavens was devised by Sir W. Herschel for this purpose. It consisted in simply counting the stars of all magnitudes which occur in single fields of view, of 15' in diameter, visible through a reflecting telescope of 18 inches aperture, and 20 feet focal length, with a magnifying power of 180°: the points of observation being very numerous and taken indiscriminately in every part of the surface of the sphere visible in our latitudes. On a comparison of many hundred such "gauges" or local enumerations it appears that the density of star-light (or the number of stars existing on an average of several such enumerations in any one immediate neighbourhood) is least in the pole of the Galactic circle*, and increases on all sides, with the Galactic polar distance (and that nearly equally in all directions) down to the Milky Way itself, where it attains its maximum. The progressive rate of increase in proceeding from the pole is at first slow, but becomes more and more rapid as we approach the plane of that circle according to a law of which the following numbers, deduced by M. Struve from a careful analysis of all the gauges in question, will afford a correct idea.

Average Number of Stars in a Field 15' in Diameter.
4.15
4.68
6.52
10.36
17.68
30.30
122.00

From which it appears that the *mean* density of the stars in the galactic circle exceeds in a ratio of very nearly 30 to 1 that

a plane of ultimate reference, the ground-plane of the sidereal system. † Etudes d'Astronomie Stellaire, p. 71. M. Struve maintains the Galactic circle to be a small, not a great, circle of the sphere. The appeal is to the eyesight. I retain my own conviction.

clusion a thickorcadth, e in the ere ene, as in m stars, ch more able that a starry rds, than hickness t an oval hortened breadth. refuse to ny places ances, are of curved cal or coe general e we may cribed as accidental distances, eal interh in windy e kind of gests. It telescopic he law of osom, but for more ent. hd not on

eralization starry firying fact,

^{*} From γαλα, γαλακτοs, milk; meaning the great circle spoken of in Art. 787., to which the course of the Via Lactea most nearly conforms. This circle is to sidereal what the invariable ecliptic is to planetary ustronomy a plane of ultimate reference, the ground-plane of the sidereal system.

in its pole, and in a proportion of more than 4 to 1 that in a direction 15° inclined to its plane.

(794.) These numbers fully bear out the statement in Art. 786. and even draw closer the resemblance by which that statement is there illustrated. For the rapidly increasing density of a fog-bank as the visual ray is depressed towards the plane of the horizon is a consequence not only of the mere increase in length of the foggy space traversed, but also of an actual increase of density in the fog itself in its lower strata. Now this very conclusion follows from a comparison *inter se* of the numbers above set down, as M. Struve has clearly shown from a mathematical analysis of the empirical formula, which faithfully represents their law of progression, and of which he states the result in the following table, expressing the densities of the stars at the respective distances, 1, 2, 3, &c., from the galactic plane, taking the mean density of the stars in that plane itself for unity.

Distances from the Galactic Plane.	Density of Stars.	Distances from the Galactic Plane.	Density of Stars.
0.00	1.00000	0.20	0.08646
0.02	0.48568	0.00	0.05510
0.10	0.33288	0.40	0.03079
J·20	0.23895	0.80	0.01414
0.30	0.17980	0.866	0.00582
0.40	0.13021		

The unit of distance, of which the first column of this table expresses fractional parts, is the distance at which such a telescope is capable of rendering just visible a star of average magnitude, or, as it is termed, its space-penetrating power. As we ascend therefore from the galactic plane into this kind of stellar atmosphere, we perceive that the density of its parallel strata decreases with great rapidity. At an altitude above that plane equal to only one-twentieth of the telescopic limit, it has already diminished to one-half, and at an altitude of 0.866, to hardly more than one-two-hundredth of its amount in that plane. So far as we can perceive there is no flaw in this reasoning, if only it be granted, 1st, that the level planes are continuous, and of equal density throughout; and, 2dly, that or absolute and definite limit is set to telescopic vision,

f

h

d

ŧ.

F

n

ťŀ

LAW OF DISTRIBUTION OF THE STARS.

beyond which, if stars exist, they elude our sight, and are to us as if they existed not: a postulate whose probability the reader will be in a better condition to estimate, when in possession of some other particulars respecting the constitution of the Galaxy to be described presently.

(795.) A similar course of observation followed out in the southern hemisphere, leads independently to the same conclusion as to the law of the visible distribution of stars over the southern galactic hemisphere, or that half of the celestial surface which has the south galactic pole for its center. A system of gauges, extending over the whole surface of that hemisphere taken with the same telescope, field of view and magnifying power employed in Sir William Herschel's gauges, has afforded the average numbers of stars *per* field of 15' in diameter, within the areas of zones encircling that pole at intervals of 15°, set down in the following table.

Zones of Galactic South Polar Distance.	Average Number of Stars per Field of 15'.
0° to 15°	6.05
15 to 30	6.62
30 to 45	9.08
45 to 60	13.49
60 to 75	26.29
75 to 90	59.06

(796.) These numbers are not directly comparable with those of M. Struve, given in Art. 793. because the latter corresponds to the limiting polar distances, while these are the averages for the included zones. That eminent astronomer, however, has given a table of the average gauges appropriate to *each degree* of north galactic polar distance*, from which it is easy to calculate averages for the whole extent of each zone. How near a parallel the results of this calculation for the northern hemisphere exhibit with those above stated for the southern, will be seen by the following table.

> • Etudes d'Astronomie Stellaire, p. 34. P P

in a

it in which y inressed hly of l, but in its comtruve pirical ission, e, cxances, ensity

> table nuch a verage power. o this sity of At an of the l at an dth of here is e level ; and, *vision*,

Zones of Galactic North Polar Distance.	Average Number of Stars per Field of 15' from M. Struve's Table.	
0° to 15°	4.32	
15 to 30	5.42	
30 to 45	8.21	
45 to 60	13.61	
60 to 75	24.09	
75 to 90	53.43	

It would appear from this that, with an almost exactly similar law of apparent density in the two hemispheres, the southern were somewhat richer in stars than the northern, which may, and not improbably does arise, from our situation not being precisely in the middle of its thickness, but somewhat nearer to its northern surface.

(797.) When examined with powerful telescopes, the constitution of this wonderful zone is found to be no less various than its aspect to the naked eye is irregular. In some regions the stars of which it is wholly composed are scattered with remarkable uniformity over immense tracts, while in others the irregularity of their distribution is quite as striking, exhibiting a rapid succession of closely clustering rich patches separated by comparatively poor intervals, and indeed in some instances by spaces absolutely dark and completely void of any star, even of the smallest telescopic magnitude. In some places not more than 40 or 50 stars on an average occur in a "gauge" field of 15', while in others a similar average gives a result of 400 or 500. Nor is less variety observable in the character of its different regions in respect of the magnitudes of the stars they exhibit, and the proportional numbers of the larger and smaller magnitudes associated together, than in respect of their aggregate numbers. In some, for instance, extremely minute stars, though never altogether wanting, occur in numbers so moderate as to lead us irresistibly to the conclusion that in these regions we see *fairly through* the starry stratum, since it is impossible otherwise (supposing their light not intercepted) that the numbers of the smaller

TELESCOPIC CONSTITUTION OF THE GALAXY. 579

magnitudes should not go on continually increasing ad infinitum. In such cases moreover the ground of the heavens, as seen between the stars, is for the most part perfectly dark, which again would not be the case, if innumerable multitudes of stars, too minute to be individually discernible, existed beyond. In other regions we are presented with the phanomenon of an almost uniform degree of brightness of the individual stars, accompanied with a very even distribution of them over the ground of the heavens, both the larger and smaller magnitudes being strikingly deficient. In such cases it is equally impossible not to perceive that we are looking through a sheet of stars nearly of a size, and of no great thickness compared with the distance which separates them from us. Were it otherwise we should be driven to suppose the more distant stars uniformly the larger, so as to compensate by their greater intrinsic brightness for their greater distance, a supposition contrary to all probability. In others again, and that not unfrequently, we are presented with a double phænomenon of the same kind, viz. a tissue as it were of large stars spread over another of very small ones, the intermediate magnitudes being wanting. The conclusion here seems equally evident that in such cases we look through two sidereal sheets separated by a starless interval.

(798.) Throughout by far the larger portion of the extent of the Milky Way in both hemispheres, the general blackness of the ground of the heavens on which its stars are projected, and the absence of that innumerable multitude and excessive crowding of the smallest visible magnitudes, and of glare produced by the aggregate light of multitudes too small to affect the eye singly, which the contrary supposition would appear to necessitate, must, we think, be considered unequivocal indications that its dimensions *in directions where these conditions obtain*, are not only not infinite, but that the spacepenetrating power of our telescopes suffices fairly to pierce through and beyond it. It is but right however to warn our readers that this conclusion has been controverted, and that by an authority not lightly to be put aside, on the ground of certain views taken by Olbers as to a defect of perfect trans-

РР**2**

exactly res, the orthern, r situaness, but

the con-3 various e regions red with n others king, expatches d in some id of any In some ccur in a re gives a le in the agnitudes mbers of her, than instance, wanting, bly to the ough the supposing e smaller

parency in the celestial spaces, in virtue of which the light of the more distant stars is enfectled more than in proportion to their distance. The extinction of light thus originating, proceeding in geometrical progression while the distance increases in arithmetical, a limit, it is argued, is placed to the space-penetrating powers of telescopes, far within that which distance alone apart from such obscuration would assign. It would lead us too far aside of the objects of a treatise of this nature to enter upon any discussion of the grounds (partly metaphysical) on which these views rely. It must suffice here to observe that the objection alluded to, if applicable to any, is equally so to every part of the galaxy. We are not at liberty to argue that at one part of its circumference, our view is limited by this sort of cosmical veil which extinguishes the smaller magnitudes, cuts off the nebulous light of distant masses, and closes our view in impenetrable darkness; while at another we are compelled by the clearest evidence telescopes can afford to believe that star-strown vistas lie open, exhausting their powers and stretching out beyond their utmost reach, as is proved by that very phænom n which the existence of such a veil would render impoviz. infinite increase of number and diminution of magnitude, terminating in complete irresolvable nebulosity. Such is, in effect, the spectacle afforded by a very large portion of the Milky Way in that interesting region near its point of bifurcation in Scorpio (arts. 789, 792.) where, through the hollows and deep recesses of its complicated structure we behold what has all the appearance of a wide and indefinitely prolonged area strewed over with discontinuous masses and clouds of stars which the telescope at length refuses to analyse.* Whatever other conclusions we may draw, this must any how be regarded as the direction of the greatest linear extension of the ground-plan of the galaxy. And it

* It would be doing great injustice to the illustrious astronomer of Pulkova (whose opinion, if we here seem to controvert, it is with the utmost possible deference and respect) not to mention that at the time of his writing the remarkable essay already more than once cited, in which the view in question are delivered, he could not have been aware of the important facts alluded to in the text, the work in which they are described being then unpublished.

ha

he

DISTANCE OF THE FIXED STARS.

would appear to follow, also, as a not less obvious consequence, that in those regions where that zone is clearly resolved into stars well separated and *seen projected on a black ground*, and where by consequence it is *certain* if the foregoing views be correct that we look out beyond them into space, the smallest visible stars appear as such, not by reason of excessive distance, but of a real inferiority of size or brightness.

(799.) When we speak of the comparative remoteness of certain regions of the starry heavens beyond others, and of our own situation in them, the question immediately arises, what is the distance of the nearest fixed star? What is the scale on which our visible firmament is constructed? And what proportion do its dimensions bear to those of our own immediate system? To these questions astronomy has at length been enabled to afford an answer.

(800.) The diameter of the earth has served us for the base of a triangle, in the trigonometrical survey of our system (art. 274.), by which to calculate the distance of the sun; but the extreme minuteness of the sun's parallax (art. 357.) renders the calculation from this "ill-conditioned" triangle (art. 275.) so delicate, that nothing but the fortunate combination of favourable circumstances, afforded by the transits of Venus (art. 479.), could render its results even tolerably worthy of reliance. But the earth's diameter is too small a base for direct triangulation to the verge even of our own system (art. 526.), and we are, therefore, obliged to substitute the annual parallax for the diurnal, or, which comes to the same thing, to ground our calculation on the relative velocities of the earth and planets in their orbits (art. 486.), when we would push our triangulation to that extent. It might be naturally enough expected, that by this enlargement of our base to the vast diameter of the earth's orbit, the next step in our survey (art. 275.) would be made at a great advantage; - that our change of

PP 3

ht of tion ting, ance d to that vould of u of the rely. ed to, aluxy. rcumwhich bulous trable learest strown mt bem n 0 nitude, h is, in of the f bifurhollows ve bcfinitely ses and uses to w, this greatest And it

> Pulkova t possible ng the reestion are to in the

^{*} Professor Loomis (Progress of Astronomy, 1850, p. 141.) with the facts adduced before him, arrives at a contrary conclusion. Astronomers will judge of the validity of his objections. Prof. Argelander (Astron. Nachr. 996.) has eited me in support of Olbers' theory, in direct opposition to my own opinion, here, (as I should have thought distinctly enough,) recorded.

station, from side to side of it, would produce a considerable and easily measurable amount of apqual parallax in the stars, and that by its means we should come to a knowledge of their distance. But, after exhausting every refinement of observation, astronomers were, up to a very late period, unable to come to any positive and coincident conclusion upon this head; and the amount of such parallax, even for the nearest fixed star examined with the requisite attention, remained mixed up with, and concealed among, the errors incidental to all astronomical determinations. The nature of these errors has been explained in the earlier part of this work, and we need not remind the reader of the difficulties which must necessarily attend the attempt to disentangle an element not exceeding a few tenths of a second or at most a whole second from the host of uncertainties entailed on the results of observations by them: none of them individually perhaps of greater magnitude, but embarrassing by their number and fluctuating amount. Nevertheless, by successive refinements in instrument making, and by constantly progressive approximation to the exact knowledge of the Uranographical corrections, that assurance had been obtained, even in the earlier years of the present century, viz. that no star visible in northern latitudes, to which attention had been directed, manifested an amount of parallax exceeding a single second of arc. It is worth while to pause for a moment to consider what conclusions would follow from the admission of a parallax to this amount.

(801.) Radius is to the sine of 1" as 206265 to 1. In this proportion then *at least* must the distance of the fixed stars from the sun exceed that of the sun from the earth. Again, the latter distance, as we have already seen (art. 357.), exceeds the earth's radius in the proportion of 23984 to 1. Taking therefore the earth's radius for unity, a parallax of 1" supposes a distance of 4947059760 or nearly five thousand millions of such units: and lastly, to descend to ordinary standards, since the earth's radius may be taken at 4000 of our miles, we find 19788239040000 or about twenty billions of miles for our resulting distance.

(802.) In such numbers the imagination is lost. The only

DISTANCE OF THE FIXED STARS.

mode we have of conceiving such intervals at all is by the time which it would require for light to traverse them. Light, as we know (art. 545.), travels at the rate of 192000 miles per second, traversing a semidiameter of the earth's orbit in 8^m 13*3. It would, therefore, occupy 206265 times this interval or 3 years and 83 days to traverse the distance in question. Now as this is an inferior limit which it is already ascertained that even the brightest and therefore (in the absence of all other indications) the nearest stars exceed, what are we to allow for the distance of those innumerable stars of the smaller magnitudes which the telescope discloses to us! What for the dimensions of the galaxy in whose remoter regions, as we have seen, the united lustre of myinds of stars is perceptible only in powerful telescopes as a feeble nebulous gleam !

(803.) The space-penetrating power of a telescope or the comparative distance to which a given star would require to be removed in order that it may appear of the same brightness in the telescope as before to the naked eye, may be calculated from the aperture of the telescope compared with that of the pupil of the eye, and from its reflecting or transmitting power, i. e. the proportion of the incident light it conveys to the observer's eye. Thus it has been computed that the space-penetrating power of such a reflector as that used in the star-gauges above referred to is expressed by the number 75. A star then of the sixth magnitude removed to 75 times its distance would still be perceptible as a star with that instrument, and admitting such a star to have 100th part of the light of a standard star of the first magnitude, it will follow that such a standard star, if removed to 750 times its distance, would excite in the eye, when viewed through the gauging telescope, the same impression as a star of the sixth magnitude does to the naked eye. Among the infinite multitude of such stars in the remoter regions of the galaxy, it is but fair to conclude that innumerable individuals equal in intrinsic brightness to those which immediately surround us must exist. The light of such stars then must have occupied upwards of 2000 years in travelling over the distance which separates them from our own system. It follows then that when we observe the

PP 4

 $\mathbf{583}$

lerable e stars, dge of ent of period, clusion ven for ention, errors ture of of this ficulties ngle an most a l on the vidually y their ccessive tly pro-Uranoed, even t no star ad been a single ment to ission of

In this ced stars Again, exceeds Taking supposes illions of andards, ur miles, of miles

The only

places and note the appearances of such stars, we are only reading their history of two thousand years' anterior date thus wonderfully recorded. We cannot escape this conclusion but by adopting as an alternative an intrinsic inferiority of light in *all* the smaller stars of the galaxy. We shall be better able to estimate the probability of this alternative when we shall have made acquaintance with other sidereal systems whose existence the telescope discloses to us, and whose analogy will satisfy us that the view of the subject here taken is in perfect harmony with the general tenor of astronomical facts.

(804.) Hitherto we have spoken of ι parallax of 1" as a mere limit below which that of any star yet examined assuredly, or at least very probably falls, and it is not without a certain convenience to regard this amount of parallax as a sort of unit of reference, which, connected in the reader's recollection with a parallactic unit of distance from our system of 20 billions of miles, and with a $3\frac{1}{4}$ year's journey of light, may save him the trouble of such calculations, and ourselves the necessity of covering our pages with such enormous numbers, when speaking of stars whose parallax has actually been ascertained with some approach to certainty, either by direct meridian observation or by more refined and delicate These we shall proceed to explain, after first methods. pointing out the theoretical peculiarities which enable us to separate and disentangle its effects from those of the Uranographical corrections, and from other causes of error which being periodical in their nature add greatly to the difficulty of the subject. The effects of precession and proper motion (see art. 852.) which are uniformly progressive from year to year, and that of nutation which runs through its period in nineteen years, it is obvious enough, separate themselves at once by these characters from that of parallax; and, being known with very great precision, and being certainly independent, as regards their causes, of any individual peculiarity in the stars affected by them, whatever small uncertainty may remain respecting the numerical elements which enter into their computation (or in mathematical language their co-efficients), can give rise to no embarrassment. With

PARALLAX OF THE FIXED STARS.

regard to aberration the case is materially different. This correction affects the place of a star by a fluctuation annual in its period, and therefore, so far, agreeing with parallax. It is also very similar in the law of its variation at different seasons of the year, parallax having for its apex (see art. 343, 344.) the apparent place of the sun in the ecliptic, and aberration a point in the same great circle 90° behind that place, so that in fact the formulæ of calculation (the coefficients excepted) are the same for both, substituting only for the sun's longitude in the expression for the one, that longitude diminished by 90° for the other. Moreover, in the absence of absoluic certainty respecting the nature of the propagation of light, astronomers have hitherto considered it necessary to assume at least as a possibility that the velocity of light may be to some slight amount dependent on individual peculiarities in the body emitting it.*

(805.) If we suppose a line drawn from the star to the earth at all seasons of the year, it is evident that this line will sweep over the surface of an exceedingly acute, oblique cone, having for its axis the line joining the sun and star, and for its base the earth's annual orbit, which, for that present purpose, we may suppose circular. The star will therefore appear to describe each year about its mean place regarded as fixed, and in virtue of parallax alone, a minute ellipse, the section of this cone by the surface of the celestial sphere, perpendicular to the visual ray. But there is also another way in which the same fact may be represented. The apparent orbit of the star about its mean place as a center, will be precisely that which it would appear to describe, if seen from the sun, supposing it really revolved about that place in a circle exactly equal to the earth's annual orbit, in a plane parallel to the ecliptic. This is evident from the equality and parallelism of the lines and directions concerned. Now the effect of aberration (disregarding the slight variation of

re only or date clusion ority of hall be ernative sidercal us, and subject genor of

1" as a ined aswithout llax as a reader's r system of light, ourselves enormous actually either by l delicate fter first ble us to e Uranoor which difficulty er motion year to period in selves at hd, being ainly inual pecull uncernts which language With t.

[•] In the actual state of astronomy and photology this necessity can hardly be considered as still existing, and it is desirable, therefore, that the practice of astronomers of introducing an unknown correction for the constant of aberration into their "equations of condition" for the determination of parallax, should be disused, since it actually tends to introduce error into the final result.

the earth's velocity in different parts of its orbit) is precisely similar in law, and differs only in amount, and in its bearing reference to a direction 90° different in longitude. Suppose, in order to fix our ideas, the maximum of parallax to be 1" and that of aberration 20.5", and let A B, a b, be two circles imagined to be described separately, as above, by the star about its mean place S, in virtue of these two causes respectively, S Y being a line parallel to that of the line of equinoxes. Then if in virtue of parallax alone, the star would be found at a in the smaller orbit, it would in virtue of aberration alone be found at A, in the larger, the angle a SAbeing a right angle. Drawing then A C equal and parallel to Sa, and joining SC, it will in virtue of both simultaneously be found in C, i. e. in the circumference of a circle whose radius is SC, and at a point in that circle, in advance of A, the aberrational place, by the angle ASC. Now since SA: AC:: 20.5: 1, we find for the angle ASC 2° 47' 35",



and for the length of the radius SC of the circle representing the compound motion $20^{\prime\prime}\cdot524$. The difference $(0^{\prime\prime}\cdot024)$ between this and SC, the radius of the aberration circle, is quite imperceptible, and even supposing a quantity so minute to be capable of detection by a prolonged series of observations, it would remain a question whether it were produced by paralax or by a specific difference of aberration from the general average $20^{\prime\prime}\cdot5$ in the star itself. It is therefore to the difference of 2° 48' between the angular situation of the displaced star in this hypothetical orbit, *i. c.* in the *arguments*

PARALLAX OF THE FIXED STARS.

(as they are called) of the joint correction ($\Upsilon S C$) and that of aberration alone ($\Upsilon S A$), that we have to look for the resolution of the problem of parallax. The reader may easily figure to himself the delicacy of an inquiry which turns wholly (even when stripped of all its other difficulties) on the *precise* determination of a quantity of this nature, and of such very moderate magnitude.

(806.) But these other difficulties themselves are of no triffing order. All astronomical instruments are affected by differences of temperature. Not only do the materials of which they are composed expand and contract, but the masonry and solid piers on which they are erected, nay even the very soil on which these are founded, participate in the general change from summer warmth to winter cold. Hence arise slow oscillatory movements of exceedingly minute amount, which levels and plumblines afford but very inadequate means of detecting, and which being also annual in their period (after rejecting whatever is merely casual and momentary) mix themselves intimately with the matter of our inquiry. Refraction too, besides its casual variations from night to night, which a long series of observations would eliminate, depends for its theoretical expression on the constitution of the strata of our atmosphere, and the law of the distribution of heat and moisture at different elevations, which cannot be unaffected by difference of season. No wonder then that mere meridional observations should, almost up to the present time, have proved insufficient, except in one very remarkable instance, to afford unquestionable evidence, and satisfactory quantitative measurement of the parallax of any fixed star.

(807.) The instance referred to is that of α Centauri, one of the brightest and for many other reasons, one of the most remarkable of the southern stars. From a series of observations of this star, made at the Royal Observatory of the Cape of Good Hope in the years 1832 and 1833, by Professor Henderson, with the mural circle of that establishment, a parallax to the amount of an entire second was concluded on his reduction of the observations in question after his return to England. Subsequent observations by Mr. Maclear,

ecisely bearing uppose, o be 1" o circles the star respecof equir would irtue of gle a SA parallel simultaa circle advance low since 47' 35",

bresenting $(0'' \cdot 024)$ h circle, is so minute ' observaproduced from the erefore to ion of the arguments

partly with the same, and partly with a new and far more efficiently constructed instrument of the same description made in the years 1839 and 1840, have fully confirmed the reality of the parallax indicated by Professor Henderson's ob servations, though with a slight diminution in its concluded amount, which comes out equal to 0".9128 or about $\frac{1}{11}$ ths of a second; bright stars in its immediate neighbourhood being unaffected by a similar periodical displacement, and thus affording satisfactory proof that the displacement indicated in the case of the star in question is not merely a result of annual variations of temperature. As it is impossible at present to answer for so minute a quantity as that by which this result differs from an exact second, we may consider the distance of this star as approximately expressed by the parallactic unit of distance referred to in art. 804.

(808.) A short time previous to the publication * of this important result, the detection of a sensible and measurable amount of parallax in the star N° 61 Cygni of Flamsteed's catalogue of stars was announced by the celebrated astronomer of Königsberg, the late M. Bessel.+ This is a small and inconspicuous star, hardly exceeding the sixth magnitude, but which had been pointed out for especial observation by the remarkable circumstance of its being affected by a proper motion (see art. 852.), i. e. a regular and continually progressive annual displacement among the surrounding stars to the extent of more than 5" per annum, a quantity so very much exceeding the average of similar minute annual displacements which many other stars exhibit, as to lead to a suspicion of its being actually nearer to our system. It is not a little remarkable that a similar presumption of proximity exists also in the case of α Centauri, whose unusually large proper motion of nearly 4" per annum is stated by Professor Henderson to have been the motive which induced him to subject his observations of that star to that severe discussion which led to the detection of its parallax. М.

• Prof. Henderson's paper was read before the Astronomical Society of London, Jan. 3. 1839. It bears date Dec. 24, 1838.

† Astronomische Nachrichten, Nos. 365, 366, Dec. 13. 1838.

far more scription med the reson's ob oncluded $\frac{10}{11}$ this of *ood being and thus licated in of annual present to his result listance of actic unit*

a * of this neasurable 'lamsteed's ted astro-This is a the sixth special obng affected d continuurrounding quantity so nte annual o lead to a em. It is h of proxiunusually stated by ch induced severe dis-M. allax.

al Society of

Bessel's observations of 61 Cygni were commenced in August 1837, immediately on the establishment at the Königsberg observatory of a magnificent heliometer, the workmanship of the celebrated optician Fraunhofer, of Munich, an instrument especially fitted for the system of observation adopted; which being totally different from that of direct meridional observation, more refined in its conception, and susceptible of far greater accuracy in its practical application, we must now explain.

(809.) Parallax, proper motion, and specific aberration (denoting by the latter phrase that part of the aberration of a star's light which may be supposed to arise from its individual peculiarities, and which we have every reason to believe at all events an exceedingly minute fraction of the whole,) are the only uranographical corrections which do not necessarily affect alike the apparent places of two stars situated in, or very nearly in, the same visual line. Supposing then two stars at an immense distance, the one behind the other, but otherwise so situated as to appear very nearly along the same visual line, they will constitute what is called a star optically double, to distinguish it from a star physically double, of which more hereafter. Aberration (that which is common to all stars), precession, nutation, nay, even refraction, and instrumental causes of apparent displacement, will affect them alike, or so very nearly alike (if the minute difference of their apparent places be taken into account) as to admit of the difference being neglected, or very accurately allowed for, by an easy calculation. If then, instead of attempting to determine by observation the place of the nearer of two very unequal stars (which will probably be the larger) by direct observation of its right ascension and polar distance, we content ourselves with referring its place to that of its remoter and smaller companion by differential observation, i. e. by measuring only its *difference* of situation from the *latter*, we are at once relieved of the necessity of making these corrections, and from all uncertainty as to their influence on the And for the very same reason, errors of adjustment result. (art. 136.), of graduation, and a host of instrumental errors,

which would for this delicate purpose fatally affect the absolute determination of either star's place, are harmless when only the difference of their places, each equally affected by such causes, is required to be known.

(810.) Throwing aside therefore the consideration of all these errors and corrections, and disregarding for the present



r r P s h ir

si

ir

gı

pa

an

be

in

bo

mo

the

tha

wit

nec

as

at

 \mathbf{obs}

rap

stat

stoc

alte

the minute effect of specific aberration and the uniformly progressive effect of proper motion, let us trace the effect of the differences of the parallaxes of two stars thus juxtaposed, or their apparent relative distance and position at various seasons of the year. Now the parallax being inversely as the distance, the dimensions of the small ellipses apparently described (art. 805.) by each star on the concave surface of the heavens by parallactic displacement will differ, - the nearer star describing the larger ellipse. But both stars lying very nearly in the same direction from the sun, these ellipses will be similar and similarly situated. Suppose S and s to be the positions of the two stars as seen from the sun, and let ABCD, abcd, be their parallactic ellipses; then, since they will be at all times similarly situated in these ellipses, when the one star is seen at A, the other will be seen at a. When the earth has made a quarter of a revolution in its orbit, their apparent places will be $\mathbf{B}b$; when another quarter, Cc; and when another, Dd. If, then, we measure carefully, with micrometers adapted for the purpose, their apparent situation with respect to each other, at different times of the year, we should perceive a periodical change,
PARALLAX OF THE FIXED STARS.

both in the *direction* of the line joining them, and in the *distance* between their centers. For the lines A a and C c cannot be parallel, nor the lines B b and D d equal, unless the ellipses be of equal dimensions, *i. e.* unless the two stars have the same parallax, or are equidistant from the earth.

(811.) Now, micrometers, properly mounted, enable us to measure very exactly both the distance between two objects which can be seen together in the same field of a telescope, and the position of the line joining them with respect to the horizon, or the meridian, or any other determinate direction in the heavens. The double image micrometer, and especially the heliometer (art. 200, 201.) is peculiarly adapted for this purpose. The images of the two stars formed side by side, or in the same line prolonged, however momentarily displaced by temporary refraction or instrumental tremor, move together, preserving their relative situation, the judgment of which is no way disturbed by such The heliometer also, taking in a irregular movements. greater range than ordinary micrometers, enables us to compare one large star with more than one adjacent small one, and to select such of the latter among many near it, as shall be most favourably situated for the detection of any motion in the large one, not participated in by its neighbours.

(812.) The star examined by Bessel has two such neighbours, both very minute, and therefore probably very distant, most favourably situated, the one (s) at a distance of 7' 42", the other (s') at 11' 46" from the large star, and so situated, that their directions from that star make nearly a right angle with each other. The effect of parallax therefore would necessarily cause the two distances Ss and Ss' to vary so as to attain their maximum and minimum values alternately at three-monthly intervals, and this is what was actually observed to take place, the one distance being always most rapidly on the increase or decrease when the other was stationary (the uniform effect of proper motion being understood of course to be always duly accounted for). This alternation, though so small in amount as to indicate, as a final

the less ected

f all esent

y proof the sed, or easons stance, scribed eavens r star g very es will be the nd let since llipses, n at a. in its nother easure , their fferent hange,

result, a parallax, or rather a difference of parallaxes between the large and small stars of hardly more than one third of a second, was maintained with such regularity as to leave no room for reasonable doubt as to its cause, and having been confirmed by the further continuance of these observations, and quite recently by the exact coincidence between the result thus obtained, and that deduced by M. Peters from observations of the same star at the observatory of Pulkova*, is considered on all hands as fully established. The parallax of this star finally resulting from Bessel's observation is 0".348 so that its distance from our system is very nearly three parallactic units. (Art. 804.)

(813.) The bright star α Lyræ has also near it, at only 43" distance (and therefore within the reach of the parallel wire or ordinary double image micrometer) a very minute star, which has been subjected since 1835 to a severe and assiduous scrutiny by M. Struve, on the same principle of differential observation. He has thus established the existence of a measurable amount of parallax in the large star, less indeed than that of 61 Cygni (being only about $\frac{1}{4}$ of a second), but yet sufficient (such was the delicacy of his measurements) to justify this excellent observer in announcing the result as at least highly probable, on the strength of only five nights' observation, in 1835 and 1836. This probability, the continuation of the measures to the end of 1838 and the corroborative, though not in this case precisely coincident, result of Mr. Peters's investigations have converted into a certainty. M. Struve has the merit of being the first to bring into practical application this method of observation, which, though proposed for the purpose, and its great advantages pointed out by Sir William Herschel so early as 1781[†], remained long unproductive of any result, owing partly to the imperfection of micrometers for the measurement of

b

le

res

lea of

Cy

tio

ada list

* With the great vertical circle by Ertel.

+ It has been referred even to Galileo. But the general explanation of Parallax in the Systema Cosmicum, Dial. iii, p. 271 (Leyden edit, 1699) to which the reference applies, does not touch any of the peculiar features of the case, or meet any of its difficulties.

PARALLAX OF THE FIXED STARS.

distance, and partly to a reason which we shall presently have occasion to refer to.

(814.) If the component individuals S, s (fig. art. 810.) be (as is often the case) very close to each other, the parallactic variation of their angle of position, or the extreme angle included between the lines A a, C c, may be very considerable, even for a small amount of difference of parallaxes between the large and small stars. For instance in the case of two adjacent stars 15" asunder, and otherwise favourably situated for observation, an annual fluctuation to and fro in the apparent direction of their line of junction to the extent of half a degree (a quantity which could not escape notice in the means of numerous and careful measurements) would correspond to a difference of parallax of only $\frac{1}{8}$ of a second. A difference of 1" between two stars apparently situated at 5" distance might cause an oscillation in that line to the extent of no less than 11°, and if nearer one proportionally still greater. This mode of observation has been applied to a considerable number of stars by Lord Wrottesley, and with such an amount of success, as to make its further application desirable. (Phil. Trans. 1851.*)

(815.) The following are some of the principal fixed stars to which parallax has been up to the present time more or less probably assigned:

	0			110000	(TT - 1
α	Centauri		-	0.976	(Henderson, corrected by Peters.)
61	Cygni -	. .	-	0.348	(Bessel.)
α	Lyræ -	-	-	0.122	(W. Struve corrected by O. Struve.)
	Sirius -		-	0.120	(Henderson corrected by Peters.)
830	Groombridge	t -	-	0.71	(Wichmann)
1	Ursæ Majoris		-	0.133	(Peters)
	Arcturus		-	0.127	ditto
	Polaris -		-	0.067	ditto
	Capella -		•	0.046	ditto

Although the extreme minuteness of the last four of these results deprives them of much numerical reliance, it is at least certain that the parallaxes by no means follow the order of magnitudes, and this is farther shown by the fact that α Cygni, one of M. Peters's stars, shows absolutely no indications of any measurable parallax whatever.

* See Phil. Trans. 1826, p. 266. *et seq.* and 1827, for a list of stars well adapted for such observation, with the times of the year most favourable. — The list in Phil. Trans. 1826, is incorrect.

† Groombridge's catalogue of circumpolar stars.

QQ

tween d of a we no g been ations, , result obserova*, is warallax 0".348 y three

at only parallel minute vere and ciple of he exisrge star, out $\frac{1}{4}$ of y of his nouncing h of only bability, and the incident, d into a to bring h, which, vantages , 1781 †, partly to ement of

lanation of it. 1699) to ures of the

(816.) From the distance of the stars we are naturally led to the consideration of their real magnitudes. But here a difficulty arises, which, so far as we can judge of what optical instruments are capable of effecting, must always remain Telescopes afford us only negative information insuperable. as to the apparent angular diameter of any star. The round. well-defined, planetary discs which good telescopes show when turned upon any of the brighter stars are phænomena of diffraction, dependent, though at present somewhat enigmatically, on the mutual interference of the rays of light. They are consequently, so far as this inquiry is concerned, mere optical illusions, and have therefore been termed spurious The proof of this is that telescopes of different aperdiscs. tures and magnifying powers, when applied for the purpose of measuring their angular diameters, give different results, the greater aperture (even with the same magnifying power) giving the smaller disc. That the true disc of even a large and bright star can have but a very minute angular measure, appears from the fact that in the occultation of such a star by the moon, its extinction is absolutely instantaneous, not the smallest trace of gradual diminution of light being perceptible. The apparent or spurious disc also remains perfectly round and of its full size up to the instant of disappearance, which could not be the case were it a real object. If our sun were removed to the distance expressed by our parallactic unit (art. 804.), its apparent diameter of 32' 1".5 would be reduced to only $0'' \cdot 0093$, or less than the hundredth of a second, a quantity which we have not the smallest reason to hope any practical improvement in telescopes will ever show as an object having distinguishable form.

C

p is ha be co ou mi de ill

ful

20, (39

(817.) There remains therefore only the indication which the quantity of light they send to us may afford. But here again another difficulty besets us. The light of the sun is so immensely superior in intensity to that of any star, that it is impracticable to obtain any direct comparison between them. But by using the moon as an intermediate term of comparison it may be done, not indeed with much precision, but sufficiently well to satisfy in some degree our curiosity on the subject.

ABSOLUTE LIGHT OF CERTAIN STARS.

Now α Centauri has been directly compared with the moon by the method explained in Art. 783. By a mean of eleven such comparisons made in various states of the moon, duly reduced and making the proper allowance on photometric principles for the moon's light lost by transmission through the lens and prism, it appears that the mean quantity of light sent to the earth by a full moon exceeds that sent by α Centauri in the proportion of 27408 to 1. Now Wollaston, by a method apparently unobjectionable, found * the proportion of the sun's light to that of the full moon to be that of 801072 to 1. Combining these results, we find the light sent us by the sun to be to that sent by a Centauri as 21,955,000,000, or about twenty-two thousand millions to 1. Hence from the parallax assigned above to that star, it is easy to conclude that its intrinsic splendour, as compared with that of our sun at equal distances, is 2.3247, that of the sun being unity.+

(818.) The light of Sirius is four times that of α Centauri, and its parallax only 0".15. (Art. 230.) This in effect ascribes to it an intrinsic splendour equal to 169.35 times that of α Centauri, and therefore 393.7 times that of our sun. \ddagger

* Wollaston, Phil. Trans. 1829. p. 27.

+ Results of Astronomical Observations at the Cape of Good Hope, §c. Art. 278. p. 363. If only the results obtained near the quadratures of the moon (which is the situation most favourable to exactness) be used, the resulting value of the intrinsic light of the star (the sun being unity) is 41586. On the other hand, if only those procured near the full moon (the worst time for observation) be employed, the result is 14017. Discordances of this kind will startle no one conversant with Photometry. That a Centauri really emits more light than our sun must, we conceive, be regarded as an established fact. To those who may refer to the work cited it is necessary to mention that the quantity there designated by M, expresses, on the scale there adopted, 500 times the actual illuminating power of the moon at the time of observation, that of the mean full moon being unity.

 \ddagger See the work above cited, p. 367.—Wollaston makes the light of Sirius one 20,000-millionth of the sun's. Steinheil by a very uncertain method found $\bigcirc =$ (3286500)⁹ × Arcturus.

a second, a b hope any how as an h which the here again h is so imthat it is vecn them. comparison sufficiently

he subject.

ally led

; here a

t optical

remain

ormation

e round,

es show

ænomena

hat enig-

of light.

oncerned,

d spurious

ent aper-

e purpose

esults, the

ng power)

en a large

r measure,

such a star

us, not the

perceptible.

round and

vhich could

sun were

llactic unit be reduced

CHAPTER XVI.

VARIABLE AND PERIODICAL STARS. -- LIST OF THOSE ALREADY KNOWN .- IRREGULARITIES IN THEIR PERIODS AND LUSTRE WHEN BRIGHTEST. - IRREGULAR AND TEMPORARY STARS. - ANCIENT CHINESE RECORDS OF SEVERAL. --- MISSING STARS. --- DOUBLE STARS. -THEIR CLASSIFICATION .- SPECIMENS OF EACH CLASS .- BINARY SYSTEMS. --- REVOLUTION ROUND EACH OTHER. --- DESCRIBE EL-LIPTIC ORBITS UNDER THE NEWTONIAN LAW OF GRAVITY. - ELE-MENTS OF ORBITS OF SEVERAL. - ACTUAL DIMENSIONS OF THEIR ORBITS .- COLOURED DOUBLE STARS .- PHÆNOMENON OF COMPLE-MENTARY COLOURS. - SANGUINE STARS. - PROPER MOTION OF THE STARS. - PARTLY ACCOUNTED FOR BY A REAL MOTION OF THE SUN .--- SITUATION OF THE SOLAR APEX. --- AGREEMENT OF SOUTH-ERN AND NORTHERN STARS IN GIVING THE SAME RESULT .--- PRING CIPLES ON WHICH THE INVESTIGATION OF THE SOLAR MOTION DEPENDS. - ABSOLUTE VELOCITY OF THE SUN'S MOTION. - SUP-POSED REVOLUTION OF THE WHOLE SIDEREAL SYSTEM ROUND A COMMON CENTER. --- SYSTEMATIC PARALLAX AND ABERRATION. ---EFFECT OF THE MOTION OF LIGHT IN ALTERING THE APPARENT PERIOD OF A BINARY STAR.

k

iı

w

p

a

ne

to ab

th

an

Su

ho

an

int

and

per

flue

the

tho

 \mathbf{the}

atti

(819.) Now, for what purpose are we to suppose such magnificent bodies scattered through the abyss of space? Surely not to illuminate our nights, which an additional moon of the thousandth part of the size of our own would do much better, nor to sparkle as a pageant void of meaning and reality, and bewilder us among vain conjectures. Useful, it is true, they are to man as points of exact and permanent reference; but he must have studied astronomy to little purpose, who can suppose man to be the only object of his Creator's care, or who does not see in the vast and wonderful apparatus around us provision for other races of animated beings. The planets, as we have seen, derive their light from the sun; but that cannot be the case with the stars. These doubtless, then, are themselves suns, and may, perhaps, each

in its sphere, be the presiding center round which other planets, or bodies of which we can form no conception from any analogy offered by our own system, may be circulating.

(820.) Analogies, however, more than conjectural, are not wanting to indicate a correspondence between the dynamical laws which prevail in the remote regions of the stars and those which govern the motions of our own system. Wherever we can trace the law of periodicity-the regular recurrence of the same phænomena in the same times — we are strongly impressed with the idea of rotatory or orbitual motion. Among the stars are several which, though no way distinguishable from others by any apparent change of place, nor by any difference of appearance in telescopes, yet undergo a more or less regular periodical increase and diminution of lustre, involving in one or two cases a complete extinction These are called periodical stars. The longest and revival. known and one of the most remarkable is the star Omicron, in the constellation Cctus (sometimes called Mira Ceti), which was first noticed as variable by Fabricius in 1596. It appears about twelve times in eleven years, or more exactly in a period of 331d 8h 4m 16s; remains at its greatest brightness about a fortnight, being then on some occasions equal to a large star of the second magnitude; decreases during about three months, till it becomes completely invisible to the naked eye, in which state it remains about five months: and continues increasing during the remainder of its period. Such is the general course of its phases. It does not always however return to the same degree of brightness, nor increase and diminish by the same gradations, neither are the successive intervals of its maxima equal. From the recent observations and inquiries into its history by M. Argelander, the mean period above assigned would appear to be subject to a cyclical fluctuation embracing eighty-eight such periods, and having the effect of gradually lengthening and shortening alternately those intervals to the extent of twenty-five days one way and the other.* The irregularities in the degree of brightness attained at the maximum are probably also periodical.

Astronom, Nachr. No. 624.
 Q Q 3

READY WHEN CIENT STARS. BINARY BE EL-- ELE-THEIR OMPLE-OF THE OF THE SOUTH-- PRIN-MOTION --- SUP-IOUND A T10N.---PPARENT

se such space? al moon lo much and reaful, it is rmanent to little ot of his onderful mimated ght.from These aps, cach

Hevelius relates * that during the four years between October 1672 and December 1676 it did not appear at all. It was unusually bright on October 5. 1839 (the epoch of its maximum for that year according to M. Argelander's observations) when it exceeded α Ceti and equalled β Aurigæ in lustre.

(821.) Another very remarkable periodical star is that called Algol, or β Persei. It is usually visible as a star c. the second magnitude, and such it continues for the space of $2^d 13\frac{1}{2}^h$, when it suddenly begins to diminish in splendour, and in about $3\frac{1}{2}$ hours is reduced to the fourth magnitude, at which it continues about 15^m. It then begins again to increase, and in $3\frac{1}{2}$ hours more is restored to its usual brightness, going through all its changes in 2^d 20^h 48^m 54^{s.7}. This remarkable law of variation certainly appears strongly to suggest the revolution round it of some opaque body, which when interposed between us and Algol, cuts off a large portion of its light; and this is accordingly the view taken of the matter by Goodricke, to whom we owe the discovery of this remarkable fact †, in the year 1782; since which time the same phænomena have continued to be observed, but with this remarkable additional point of interest; viz. that the more recent observations as compared with the earlier ones indicate a diminution in the periodic time. The latest observations of Argelander, Heis, and Schmidt, even go to prove that this diminution is not uniformly progressive, but is actually proceeding with accelerated rapidity, which however will probably not continue, but, like other cyclical combinations in astronomy, will by degrees relax, and then be changed into an increase, according to laws of periodicity

* Lalande's Astronomy, Art. 794.

† The same discovery appears to have been made nearly about the same time by Palitzeh, a farmer of Prolitz, near Dresden, — a peasant by station, an astronomer by nature, — who, from his familiar nequaintance with the aspect of the heavens, had been led to notice among so many thousand stars this one as distinguished from the rest by its variation, and nad ascertained its period. The same Palitzeh was also the first to re-discover the predicted comet of Halley in 1759, which he saw nearly a month before any of the astronomers, who, armed with their telescopes, were anxiously watching its return. These anecdotes carry us back to the era of the Chaldean shepherds. Montanari in 1669, and Maraldi in 1694, had already noticed a fluctuation of brightness in Algol. tl w ti si

le

cu

G

PERIODICAL STARS.

which, as well as their causes, remain to be discovered. The first minimum of this star in the year 1844 occurred on Jan. 3, at 4^h 14^m Greenwich mean time.*

(822). The star δ in the constellation Cepheus is also subject to periodical variations, which, from the epoch of its first observation by Goodricke in 1784 to the present time, have been continued with perfect regularity. Its period from minimum to minimum is $5^d 8^h 47^m 39^{\bullet} 5$, the first or epochal minimum for 1849 falling on Jan. 2. $3^h 13^m 37^{\circ}$ M. T. at Greenwich. The extent of its variation is from the fifth to between the third and fourth magnitudes. Its increase is more rapid than its diminution, the interval between the minimum and maximum of its light being only 1^d 14^h, while that from the maximum to the minimum is 3^d 19^h.

(823.) The periodical star β Lyræ, discovered by Goodricke also in 1784, has a period which has been usually stated at from 6^d 9^h to 6^d 11^h, and there is no doubt that in about this interval of time its light undergoes a remarkable diminution and recovery. The more accurate observations of M. Argelander however have led him to conclude + the true period to be 12^d 21^h 53^m 10^s, and that in this period a double maximum and minimum takes place, the two maxima being nearly equal and both about the 3.4 magnitude, but the minima considerably unequal, viz. 4.3 and 4.5m. In addition to this curious subdivision of the whole interval of change into two semi-periods, we are presented in the case of this star with another instance of slow alteration of period, which has all the appearance of being itself periodical. From the epoch of its discovery in 1784 to the year 1840 the period was continually lengthening, but more and more slowly, till at the last-mentioned epoch it ceased to increase, and has since been slowly on the decrease. As an epoch for the least or absolute minimum of this star, M. Argelander's calculations enable us to assign 1846 January 3^d 0^h 9^m 53^s G. M. T.

* Ast. Nach. No. 472.

[†] Astron. Nachr. No. 624. See also the valuable papers by this excellent astronomer in A. N. Nos. 417, 455, &c.

Q Q 4

October It was s maxivations) stre.

is that star e. ae space lendour, itude, at n to inghtness, This rengly to y, which a large taken of covery of nich time but with that the lier ones atest obto prove but is achowever combinathen be eriodicity

he same time on, an astroispect of the one as diseriod. The of Halley in who, armed se nuccdotes in 1669, and Algol.

600

(824.) The following list comprises most of the variable stars at present known:---

••••••							1	Г				
	p		N.D	р	М	tagn.	Period					
Star.	18	ю.	1850).		1	Days.	Discovered by				
					Max.	Min.	1					
T? Pisc	0°	24'	76°	23'	9.5	11	242 ±	Luther, 1855.				
a Cass	0	32	34	17	2	2.5	79-1	Birt, 1831.				
S? Pisc	1	10	81	51	9	13		Hind, 1851.				
R? Pisc	1	23	87	54	7.5	9.5	343	Hind, 1850.				
o Ceti -	2	12	93	40	2	12	331.336	Fabricius, 1596.				
p Pers	2	58	49	38	2.3	4.5	2.8673	Goodricke, 1782.				
A Taur	3	52	77	56	4	5.4	4 ±	Daxendell, 1848.				
R f Taur	4	20	80	10	8	13.5	057	rind, 1849.				
B? Duio	4	22	80	22	8.0	12.9	207	Hind 1949				
Anri	4	01 61	02	0.1	9	12.9	237 8	Hoia 1848.				
• Auri. •	4	53	105	24	7	4	200 ±	Schmidt 1855				
a Orio	*	47	89	38	1	1.5	196.4	J Harschal 1996				
Cemi -	6	95	69	13	3.7	4.5	10.15	Schmidt 1847				
R? Gemi -	6	58	67	4	7	11	370	Hind. 1848				
R? Can. m	7	0	79	44	8			Argelander, 1854				
S? Can. m.	7	25	81	22	8.1			Hird. 1856.				
S? Gemi -	7	34	66	12	9	13.5	295	Hind, 1848.				
T? Gemi	7	40	65	54	9	13.5	287	Hind. 1848.				
U ? Gemi	7	46	67	37	9	13.5	100 ?	Hind, 1855.				
R ? Canc	8	8	77	51	6	10	350	Schwerd, 1829.				
S ? Canc	8	35	70	25	8	10.5	9.484	Hind, 1848.				
S? Hyd	8	46	86	22	8.5	13.5	260	Hind, 1848.				
	8	48	69	35	8.5	12		Hind, 1850.				
T? Hyd	8	49	98	39	8.5	10 5	240 ±	Hind, 1851.				
a Hyd	9	20	98	0	2.5	3	55	J. Herschel, 1837.				
3 Leon	9	21	81	10	6	U	78	Smyth, -?				
ψ Leon	9	36	75	18	6	0	Long.	Montanari, 1667.				
R? Leon	9	39	77	53	5	10	313 ?	Koch, 1782.				
R? Urs. M.	10	34	20	26	7.5	13	301.35	Pogson, 1853.				
η Argus-	10	39	148	54	1	4	46 years ?	Burchell, 1823.				
a Urs. M.	10	54	27	26	1.5	2	Long.	Lalande, 1786.				
IS I COME.	11	57	70	23	80							
0 Urs. M.	12	8	32	8	2	2.2	Long.					
R 2 Virg	12	20	80	28	C.F	·•	145.794	Harding 1800				
S ? He M	19	37	99	11	0.5	10	140724	Porson 1959				
II ? Virg -	12	43	83	39	7.0	12	221750	1 08:001, 1000.				
v Hydr -	13	22	119	20	14	50	495	Maraldi, 1704				
S? Virg -	13	25	96	25	5.5	iii ii	377 ?	Hind. 1852.				
n Urs. M.	13	42	39	56	1.5	2	Long	Lalande, 1786.				
Libr	14	45	101	45	8	9.5		Schumacher?				
B Urs. m.	14	51	15	14	2	2.5	Long.	Struve, 1838.				
S? Sern	15	15	75	9	8	10	367	Tording, 1828.				
R ? Cor. B.	15	42	61	23	Ğ		323	1 igott, 1795.				
R ? Serp	15	44	74	24	6.5	10	359	Harding, 1826.				
R? Scorp.	16	9	112	20	9.0			Chacornac,?				
S ? Scorp.	16	9	112	20	9	12		Chacornac, 1855.				
80 Mess	16	9	112	26				Pogson, 1860.				

AIHI

nc

m ala lis

sca the No mi

irr An eya aft suc Th equ ela

VARIABLE AND PERIODICAL STARS.

iable

lby

55. ι.). 1596. , 1782. , 1848. 9. 8. 6 1855. el, 1836. 1847. 18. er, 1854. 56. 48. 48. 55. , 1829. 48. 48. 50.

Stor	R.	A.	N. P.	D.	м	agn.	Perlod,	Discovered by			
otar,	185	0.	1850).	Max.	Min.	Days.	Discovered by			
S? Ophi, -	160	26'	106°	52'	9.3	13.5	220 ?	Pogson, 1854,			
* Nova -	16	51	102	39	4.5	13.5		Hind, 1848.			
R? Ophi	16	59	105	53	8	13	396?	Pogson, 1853.			
a Here	17	8	75	26	3.1	3.7	66.33 ?	W. Herschel, 1795			
κ Cor. A.	18	23	128	50	3	6	Long.	Halley, 1676.			
R ? Seut	18	39	95	51	5	9	61	Pigott, 1795.			
β Lyras -	18	45	56	49	3.5	45	12.914	Goodrieke, 1784.			
13 Lyra -	18	51	46	15	4.3	4.6	48	Baxendell, 1856.			
R? Aqui -	18	59	82	0	6.5						
R? Cygn	19	33	40	8	8	14	415.20	Pogson, 1812.			
χ Cygn	19	41	56	37	5	11	406.06	Kirch, 1687.			
η Aqui	19	45	89	22	3.3	4.7	7.1763	Pigott, 1784.			
η Cygn	19	51	55	19	4.5	5.5	Long.	J. Herschel, 1842			
R? Capr	20	3	104	42	9.5	13.5		Hind, 1848.			
34 Cygn	20	12	52	26	3	6	18 years?	Janson, 1600.			
Delph.	20	33	77	49	8	8	274 ?	Struve, 1823.			
Urs. m.	20	38	1	20	5	11		Pogson, 1853.			
T? Capr	21	15	105	47	9.0		274				
μ Ceph	21	39	31	54	3	6	Long.	W. Herschel, 1789			
S? Pega	22	15	82	44	8.5	13.5		Hind, 1848.			
δ Ceph	22	24	32	21	37	4.7	5.3664	Goodricke, 1784.			
β Pega	22	57	62	44	2	2.5	41	Sehmidt, 1848.			
R? Pega	22	59	80	16	8.5	13.5	350	Hind, 1848.			
R? Aqua	23	37	106	6	6.5	10	388 50	Harding, 1810.			
R ? Cass -	23	51	39	26	6	14	434 ?	Pogson, 1853.			

(826.) Irregularities similar to those which have been noticed in the case of o Ceti, in respect of the maxima and minima of brightness attained in successive periods, have been also observed in several others of the stars in the foregoing list. χ Cygni, for example, is stated by Cassini to have been scarcely visible throughout the years 1699, 1700, 1701, at those times when it was expected to be most conspicuous. No. 59 Scuti is sometimes visible to the naked eye at its minimum, and sometimes not so, and its maximum is also very irregular. Pigott's variable star in Corona is stated by M. Argelander to vary for the most part so little that the unaided eye can hardly decide on its maxima and minima, while yo after the lapse of whole years of these slight fluctuations, they suddenly become so great that the star completely vanishes. The variations of a Orionis, which were most striking and unequivocal in the years 1836 - 1840, within the years since elapsed became much less conspicuous. In Jan. 1849 they

601

1853. , 1704. 852. , 1786. , cher, --? 1838. g, 1828. 1795. g, 1826. nac, --?

nac, 1855.

1860.

851.

782. 1853.

hel, 1837. —?

nri, 1667.

, 1823. , 1786.

5, 1809.

had recommenced; and on Dec. 5th, 1852, Mr. Fletcher observed α Orionis brighter than Capella, and actually the largest star in the Northern hemisphere. The star called U Geminorum, in the list above given, is stated by Mr. Pogson to be subject to alternations or twinklings of light from the ninth to the thirteenth magnitude, in intervals from nine to fifteen seconds, neighbouring stars of equal brightness remaining steady!

(827.) These irregularities prepare us for other phænomena of stellar variation, which have hitherto been reduced to no law of periodicity, and must be looked upon, in relation to our ignorance and inexperience, as altogether casual; or, if periodic, of periods too long to have occurred more than once within the limits of recorded observation. The phænomena we allude to are those of Temporary Stars, which have appeared, from time to time, in different parts of the heavens, blazing forth with extraordinary lustre; and after remaining awhile apparently immovable, have died away, and left no trace. Such is the star which, suddenly appearing some time about the year 125 B. C., and which was visible in the daytime, is said to have attracted the attention of Hipparchus, and led him to draw up a catalogue of stars, the earliest on Such, too, was the star which appeared, A. D. record. 389, near α Aquilæ, remaining for three weeks as bright as Venus, and disappearing entirely. In the years 945, 1264, and 1572, brilliant stars appeared in the region of the heavens between Cepheus and Cassiopeia; and, from the imperfect account we have of the places of the two earlier, as compared with that of the last, which was well determined, as well as from the tolerably near coincidence of the intervals of their appearance, we may suspect them, with Goodricke, to be one and the same star, with a period of 312 or perhaps of 156 years. The appearance of the star of 1572 was so sudden, that Tycho Brahe, a celebrated Danish astronomer, returning one evening (the 11th of November) from his laboratory to his dwelling-house, was surprised to find a group of country people gazing at a star, which he was sure did not exist half an hour before. This was the star in question. It.

IRREGULAR AND TEMPORARY STARS.

603

cher obally the called U Pogson from the nine to tness re-

enomena ed to no lation to al; or, if than once ænomena have apheavens, remaining nd left no some time n the dayipparchus, earliest on red, A. D. bright as 945, 1264, f the heam the imearlier, as etermined, e intervals Goodricke, or perhaps 572 was so stronomer, om his land a group ure did not It. estion.

was then as bright as Sirius, and continued to increase till it surpassed Jupiter when brightest, and was visible at midday. It began to diminish in December of the same year, and in March, 1574, had entirely disappeared. So, also, on the 10th of October, 1604, a star of this kind, and not less brilliant, burst forth in the constellation of Serpentarius, which continued visible till October, 1605.

(828.) Similar phænomena, though of a less splendid character, have taken place more recently, as in the case of the star of the third magnitude discovered in 1670, by Anthelm, in the head of the Swan; which, after becoming completely invisible, re-appeared, and, after undergoing one or two singular fluctuations of light, during two years, at last died away entirely, and has not since been seen.

(829.) On the night of the 28th of April, 1848, Mr. Hind observed a star of the fifth magnitude or 5.4 (very conspicuous to the naked eye) in a part of the constellation Ophiuchus (R.A. 16^h 51^m 1^{s.5}. N.P.D. 102° 39' 14"), where, from perfect familiarity with that region, he was certain that up to the 5th of that month no star so bright as 9.10 m. previously existed. Neither has any record been discovered of a star being there observed at any previous time. From the time of its discovery it continued to diminish, without any alteration of place, and before the advance of the season rendered further observation impracticable, was nearly extinct. Its colour was ruddy, and was thought by many observers to undergo remarkable changes, an effect probably of its low situation.

(830.) The alterations of brightness in the southern star η Argûs, which have been recorded, are very singular and surprising. In the time of Halley (1677) it appeared as a star of the fourth magnitude. Lacaille, in 1751, observed it of the second. In the interval from 1811 to 1815, it was again of the fourth; and again from 1822 to 1826 of the second. On the 1st of February, 1827, it was noticed by Mr. Burchell to have increased to the first magnitude, and to equal α Crucis. Thence again it receded to the second; and so continued until the end of 1837. All at once in the be-

ginning of 1838 it suddenly increased in lustre so as to surpass all the stars of the first magnitude except Sirius, Canopus, and α Centauri, which last star it nearly equalled. Thence it again diminished, but this time not below the first magnitude until April, 1843, when it had again increased so as to surpass Canopus, and nearly equal Sirius in splen-It is now (1863) again of the fourth magnitude. dour. "A strange field of speculation," it has been remarked, "is opened by this phænomenon. The temporary stars heretofore recorded have all become totally extinct. Variable stars, so far as they have been carefully attended to, have exhibited periodical alternations, in some degree at least regular, of splendour and comparative obscurity. But here we have a star fitfully variable to an astonishing extent, and whose fluctuations are spread over centuries, apparently in no settled period, and with no regularity of progression. What origin can we ascribe to these sudden flashes and relapses? What conclusions are we to draw as to the comfort or habitability of a system depending for its supply of light and heat on so uncertain a source?" Speculations of this kind can hardly be termed visionary, when we consider that, from what has before been said, we are compelled to admit a community of nature between the fixed stars and our own sun; and when we reflect that geology testifies to the fact of extensive changes having taken place at epochs of the most remote antiquity in the climate and temperature of our globe; changes difficult to reconcile with the operation of secondary causes, such as a different distribution of sea and land, but which would find an easy and natural explanation in a slow variation of the supply of light and heat afforded primarily by the sun itself.

(831.) The Chinese annals of Ma-touan-lin^{*}, in which stand officially recorded, though rudely, remarkable astronomical phænomena, supply a long list of "strange stars," among which, though the greater part are evidently comets, some may be recognized as belonging in all probability to the class of *Temporary Stars* as above characterized. Such is that which is recorded to have appeared in A. D. 173, between α

* Translated by M. Edward Biot, Connoissance des Temps, 1846.

aı sifr an WO A. ma th cor une ma stai in t star indi the lowi of tl (8 com missi have entri stars. mista really heave pract and i espec mode advan and i are a natur * H He plac Biot dis

MISSING STARS.

and β Centauri, which (no doubt, scintillating from its low situation) exhibited "the five colours," and remained visible from December in that year till July in the next. And another which these annals assign to A.D. 1011, and which would seem to be identical with a star elsewhere referred to A. D. 1012, "which was of extraordinary brilliancy, and remained visible in the southern part of the heavens during three months,"* a situation agreeing with the Chinese record, which places it low in Sagittarius. Among several less unequivocal is one referred to B. C. 134, in Scorpio, which may possibly have been Hipparchus's star. None of the stars of A. D. 389, 945, 1264, and 1572, however, are noticed in these records. It is worthy of especial notice, that all the stars of this kind on record, of which the places are distinctly indicated, have occurred, without exception, in or close upon the borders of the Milky Way, and that only within the following semicircle, the preceding having offered no example of the kind.

(832.) On a careful re-examination of the heavens, and a comparison of catalogues, many stars are now found to be missing; and although there is no doubt that these losses have arisen in the great majority of instances from mistaken entries, and in some from planets having been mistaken for stars, yet in some it is equally certain that there is no mistake in the observation or entry, and that the star has really been observed, and as really has disappeared from the The whole subject of variable stars is a branch of heavens. practical astronomy which has been too little followed up, and it is precisely that in which amateurs of the science, and especially voyagers at sea, provided with only good eyes, or moderate instruments, might employ their time to excellent advantage. It holds out a sure promise of rich discovery, and is one in which astronomers in established observatories are almost of necessity precluded from taking a part by the nature of the observations required. Catalogues of the com-

s to ius, led. first d so)lenude. " is ofore rs, so bited ır, of ave a e flucettled origin What ability on so dly be at has nity of l when tensive ote anhanges causes, which nriation the sun

ch stand nomical among s, some he class is that tween a 846.

^{*} Hind, Notices of the Astronomical Society, viii. 156., eiting Hepidannus. He places the Chinese star of 173 B.c. between a and β Canis Minoris, but M. Biot distinctly says a, β pied oriental du Centaure.

parative brightness of the stars in each constellation have been constructed by Sir Wm. Herschel, with the express object of facilitating these researches, and the reader will find them, and a full account of his method of comparison, in the Phil. Trans. 1796, and subsequent years.

Ľ

0

t

0

tl

p

st

w

fa

N

ae

91

he

SCE

tri

cor

11

con

by

Cy

nea

of

the facid

The

mag

60 e

tion,

moti

vidu

hind

tivel

and i least

posse

(

(833.) We come now to a class of phænomena of quite a different character, and which give us a real and positive insight into the nature of at least some among the stars, and enable us unhesitatingly to declare them subject to the same dynamical laws, and obedient to the same power of gravitation, which governs our own system. Many of the stars, when examined with telescopes, are found to be double, i.e. to consist of two (in some cases three or more) individuals placed near together. This might be attributed to accidental proximity, did it occur only in a few instances; but the frequency of this companionship, the extreme closeness, and, in many cases, the near equality of the stars so conjoined, would alone lead to a strong suspicion of a more near and intimate relation than mere casual juxtaposition. The bright star Castor, for example, when much magnified, is found to consist of two stars of nearly the third magnitude, within 5" of each other. Stars of this magnitude, however, are not so common in the heavens as to render it otherwise than excessively improbable that, if scattered at random, they would fall so near. But this improbability becomes immensely increased by a consideration of the fact, that this is only one out of a great many similar instances. Michell, in 1767, applying the rules for the calculation of probabilities to the case of the six brightest stars in the group called the Pleiades, found the odds to be 500000 to 1 against their proximity being the mere result of a random scattering of 1500 stars (which he supposed to be the total number of stars of that magnitude in the celestial sphere *) over the heavens. Speculating further on this, as an indication of physical connexion rather than fortuitous assemblage, he was led to surmise the possibility (since converted into a certainty, but at that time,

* This number is considerably too small, and in consequence, Michell's olds in this case materially overrated. But enough will remain, if this be rectified, fully to bear out his argument. Phil. Trans. vol. 57.

DOUBLE STARS.

antecedent to any observation) of the existence of compound stars revolving about one another, or rather about their common center of gravity. M. Struve, pursuing the same train of thought as applied specially to the cases of double and triple combinations of stars, and grounding his computations on a more perfect enumeration of the stars visible down to the 7th magnitude, in the part of the heavens visible at Dorpat, calculates that the odds are 9579 to 1 against any two stars, from the 1st to the 7th magnitude inclusive, out of the whole possible number of binary combinations then visible, falling (if fortuitously scattered) within 4" of each other. Now the number of instances of such binary combinations actually observed at the date of this calculation was already 91, and many more have since been added to the list. Again, he calculates that the odds against any such stars fortuitously scattered, falling within 32'' of a third, so as to constitute a triple star, is not less than 173504 to 1. Now, four such combinations occur in the heavens; viz. θ Orionis, σ Orionis, 11 Monocerotis, and ζ Cancri. The conclusion of a physical connexion of some kind or other is therefore unavoidable.

(834.) Presumptive evidence of another kind is furnished by the following consideration. Both a Centauri and 61 Cygni are "Double Stars." Both consist of two individuals, nearly equal, and separated from each other by an interval of about a quarter of a minute. In the case of 61 Cygni, the stars exceeding the 7th magnitude, there is already a primâ facie probability of 9578 to 1 against their apparent proximity. The two stars of a Centauri are both at least of the 2nd magnitude, of which altogether not more than about 50 or 60 exist in the whole heavens. But, waving this consideration, both these stars, as we have already seen, have a proper motion so considerable that, supposing the constituent individuals unconnected, one would specdily leave the other behind. Yet at the earliest dates at which they were respectively observed these stars were not perceived to be double, and it is only to the employment of telescopes magnifying at least 8 or 10 times, that we owe the knowledge we now possess of their being so. With such a telescope Lacaille, in

ave ress will 1, in

ite a itive and same vitastars, , i.e. duals ental e freid, in vould imate ; star con-5" of ot so exceswould ly inne out blying of the found being which hitude lating rather possitime, l's odds

ectified,

607

125

1751, was barely able to perceive the separation of the two constituents of α Centauri, whereas, had one of them only been affected with the observed proper motion, they should then have been 6' as under. In these cases then some physical connexion may be regarded as proved by this fact alone.

c

0

je

a ß

 $\gamma \\ \gamma$

γ

a (

ζC

γA γD

a Ce

B Ce B Sci

a Ca

• No

δ Her

7 Lyr

(835.) Sir William Herschel has enumerated upwards of 500 double stars, of which the individuals are less than 32''asunder. M. Struve, prosecuting the inquiry with instruments more conveniently mounted for the purpose, and wrought to an astonishing pitch of optical perfection, has added more than five times that number. And other observers have extended still further the catalogue of "Double Stars," without exhausting the fertility of the heavens. Among these are a great many in which the distance between the component individuals does not exceed a single second. They are divided into classes by M. Struve (the first living authority in this department of Astronomy) according to the proximity of their component individuals. The first class comprises those only in which the distance does not exceed 1"; the 2nd those in which it exceeds 1" but falls short of 2"; the 3rd class extends from 2" to 4" distance; the 4th from 4'' to 8''; the 5th from 8'' to 12''; the 6th from 12'' to 16''; the 7th from 16" to 24", and the 8th from 24" to 32". Each class he again subdivides into two sub-classes of which the one under the appellation of *conspicuous* double stars (duplices lucidæ) comprehends those in which both individuals exceed the $8\frac{1}{4}$ magnitude, that is to say, are separately bright enough to be easily seen in any moderately good telescope. All others, in which one or both the constituents are below this limit of easy visibility, are collected into another sub-class, This arrangewhich he terms residuary (Duplices relique). ment is so far convenient, that after a little practice in the use of telescopes as applied to such objects, it is easy to judge what optical power will probably suffice to resolve a star of any proposed class and either sub-class, or would at least be so if the second or residuary sub-class were further subdivided by placing in a third sub-class "delicate" double stars, or those in which the companion star is so very minute as to

DOUBLE STARS.

require a high degree of optical power to perceive it, of which instances will presently be given.

(836.) The following may be taken as specimens of each class. They are all taken from among the lucid, or conspicuous stars, and to such of our readers as may be in possession of telescopes, and may be disposed to try them on such objects, will afford him a ready test of their degree of efficiency.

	Class I.	, 0" to 1".						
γ Coronæ Bor. γ Centauri. γ Lupi. • Arietis. ζ Herculis. γ 2 Andromedæ	η Coronæ. η Herculis. λ Cassiopeiæ. λ Ophiuchi. π Lupi. λ Cygni.	 Ophiuchi. φ Draconis. φ Ursæ Majoris. χ Aquilæ. ω Leonis. φ Andromedæ. 	Atlas Pleiadum. 4 Aquarii. 5 Aquarii. 42 Comæ. 52 Arietis. 66 Piscium.					
	Class II	1., 1'' to 2''.						
γ Circini. δ Cygni. ¢ Chamæleontis.	6 Bootis. 1 Cassiopeiæ. 1 2 Cancri.	ξ Ursæ Majoris. π Aquilæ. σ Coronæ Bor.	2 Camelopardi. 32 Orionis. 52 Orionis.					
	Class II	I., 2" to 4".						
a Piscium. β Hydræ. γ Ceti. γ Leonis. γ Coronæ Aus.	γ Virginis. δ Scrpentis. ε Bootis. ε Draconis. ε Hydræ.	ς Aquarii. ς Orionis. ι Leonis. ι Trianguli. κ Leporis.	μ Draconis, μ Canis. ρ Herculis. σ Cassiopeiæ. 44 Bootis.					
	Class IV	V., 4" to 8".						
a Crucis. a Herculis. a Geminorum. 8 Geminorum. 6 Coronæ Bor.	 θ Phœnicis. κ Cephei. λ Orionis. μ Cygni. ξ Bootis. 	ξ Ccphei. π Bootis. ρ Capricorni. ν Argûs. ω Aurigæ.	μ Eridani. 70 Ophiuchi. 12 Eridani. 32 Eridani. 95 Herculis.					
,	Class V	8" to 12".						
β Orionis. γ Arietis. γ Delphini.	ζ Antliæ. η Cassiope θ Eridani.	i O eiæ. f E 2 C	ι Orionis. æ. f Eridani. 2 Canum Ven.					
•	Class VI	12" to 16".						
a Centauri. β Cephei. β Scorpii.	γ Volantis η Lupi. ζ Ursæ Ma	κ Bootis. 8 Monocerotis. jor. 61 Cygni.						
	Class VI	[., 16" to 24".						
a Canum Ven. e Normæ. § Piscium.	θ Serpentis κ Coronæ χ Tauri.	s. 24 C Aus. 41 E 61 O	omæ. Draconis. Pphiuchi.					
	Class VI	I., 24" to 32".						
δ Herculis. η Lyræ.	к Herculis y Draconi	s. χC s. 23 (ygni. Drionis.					
		кк						

(837.) Among the most remarkable triple, quadruple, or multiple stars (for such also occur), may be enumerated,

γ Andromedæ.	θ Orionis.	ξ Scorpii.
e Lyræ.	μ Lupi.	11 Monocerotis.
5 Cation	µ Bootis.	12 Lyncis.

Of these, γ Andromedæ, μ Bootis, and μ Lupi appear in telescopes, even of considerab'e optical power, only as ordinary double stars; and it is only when excellent instruments arc used that their smaller companions are subdivided and found to be, in fact, extremely close double stars. e Lyræ offers the remarkable combination of a double-double star. Viewed with a telescope of low power it appears as a coarse and easily divided double star, but on increasing the magnifying power, each individual is perceived to be beautifully and closely double, the one pair being about $2\frac{1}{a}$, the other about $3^{\prime\prime}$ asunder. Each of the stars & Cancri, & Scorpii, 11 Monocerotis, and 12 Lyncis consists of a principal star, closely double, and a smaller and more distant attendant, while θ Orionis presents the phænomenon of four brilliant principal stars, of the respective 4th, 6th, 7th, and 8th magnitudes, forming a trapezium, the longest diagonal of which is 21".4, and ac

t

p

ti

a

u

e١

su

m m ch ser sed alt by eng pec dou

iner

whi

due

cha

othe

tion

then

who

than

migl



companied by two excessively minute and very close companions (as in the annexed figure), to perceive both which is one of the severest tests which can be applied to a telescope.

(838.) Of the "delicate" sub-class of double stars, or these consisting of very large and conspicuous principal stars, accompanied by very minute companions, the following specimens may suffice:

a 2 Cancri.	a Polaris.	 Ursæ Majoris, 	7 Bootis.
a 2 Capricorni.	a Scorpii.	« Circini,	φ Virginis.
a Indi.	B Aquarii.	K Geminorum.	x Eridani.
a Lyræ.	γ Hydræ.	μ Persei.	16 Auriga.

OF BINARY STARS.

ple, or d,

oear in s ordiuments d found e offers Viewed nd easily power, closely bout 3" Monocey double, 9 Orionis stars, of orming a , and ac

lose comi which is telescope. s, or those stars, acing speci-

ootis. irginis. ridani. Aurigæ.

(839.) To the amateur of Astronomy the double stars offer a subject of very pleasing interest, as tests of the performance of his telescopes, and by reason of the finely contrasted colours which many of them exhibit, of which more hereafter. But it is the high degree of physical interest which attaches to them, which assigns them a conspicuous place in modern Astronomy, and justifies the minute attention and unwcaried diligence bestowed on the measurement of their angles of position and distances, and the continual enlargement of our catalogues of them by the discovery of new ones. It was, as we have seen, under an impression that such combinations, if diligently observed, might afford a measure of parallax through the periodical variations it might be expected to produce in the relative situation of the small attendant star, that Sir W. Herschel was induced (between the years 1779 and 1784) to form the first extensive catalogues of them, under the scrutiny of higher magnifying powers than had ever previously been applied to such purposes. In the pursuit of this object, the end to which it was instituted as a means was necessarily laid aside for a time, until the accumulation of more abundant materials should have afforded a choice of stars favourably circumstanced for systematic ob-Epochal measures however, of each star, were servation. secured, and, on resuming the subject, his attention was altogether diverted from the original object of the inquiry by phænomena of a very unexpected character, which at once engrossed his whole attention. Instead of finding, as he expected, that annual fluctuation to and fro of one star of a double star with respect to the other, - that alternate annual increase and decrease of their distance and angle of position, which the parallax of the earth's annual motion would produce, - he observed, in many instances, a regular progressive change; in some cases bearing chiefly on their distance, - in others on their position, and advancing steadily in one direction, so as clearly to indicate either a real motion of the stars themselves, or a general rectilinear motion of the sun and whole solar system, producing a parallax of a higher order than would arise from the earth's orbitual motion, and which might be called systematic parallax.

RR2

(840.) Supposing the two stars, and also the sun, in motion independently of each other, it is clear that for the interval of several years, these motions must be regarded as rectilinear and uniform. Hence, a very slight acquaintance with geometry will suffice to show that the apparent motion of one star of a double star, referred to the other as a center, and mapped down, as it were, on a plane in which that other shall be taken for a fixed or zero point, can be no other than a right line. This, at least, must be the case if the stars be independent of each other; but it will be otherwise if they have a physical connexion, such as, for instance, real proximity and mutual gravitation would establish. In that case, they would describe orbits round each other, and round their common center of gravity; and therefore the apparent path of either, referred to the other as fixed, instead of being a portion of a straight line, would be bent into a curve concave towards that other. The observed motions, however, were so slow, that many years' observation was required to ascertain this point; and it was not, therefore, until the year 1803, twenty-five years from the commencement of the inquiry, that any thing like a positive conclusion could be come to respecting the rectilinear or orbitual character of the observed changes of position.

(841.) In that, and the subsequent year, it was distinctly announced by him, in two papers, which will be found in the Transactions of the Royal Society for those years^{*}, that there exist sidereal systems, composed of two stars revolving about each other in regular orbits, and constituting what may be termed *binary stars*, to distinguish them from double stars generally so called, in which these physically connected stars are confounded, perhaps, with others only *optically* double, or casually juxtaposed in the heavens at different distances from the eye; whereas the individuals of a binary star are, of course, equidistant from the eye, or, at least, cannot differ more in distance than the semi-diameter of the orbit they describe about each other, which is quite insignificant compared with the immense distance between them and the earth. a la ta M

eı

pı

ol

th

cx

ob

th

kii

dy

na

* The announcement was in fact made in 1802, but unaccompanied by the observations establishing the fact.

OF BINARY STARS.

Between fifty and sixty instances of changes, to a greater or less amount, in the angles of position of double stars, are adduced in the memoirs above mentioned; many of which are too decided, and too regularly progressive, to allow of their nature being misconceived. In particular, among the more conspicuous stars, — Castor, γ Virginis, ξ Ursæ, 70 Ophiuchi, σ and η Coronæ, ξ Bootis, η Cassiopeiæ, γ Leonis, ζ Hereulis, δ Cygni, μ Bootis, ε 4 and ε 5 Lyræ, λ Ophiuchi, μ Draconis, and ζ Aquarii, are enumerated as among the most remarkable instances of the observed motion; and to some of them even periodic times of revolution are assigned; approximative only, of course, and rather to be regarded as rough guesses than as results of any exact calculation, for which the data were at the time guite inadequate. For instance, the revolution of Castor is set down at 334 years, that of γ Virginis at 708, and that of γ Leonis at 1200 years.

(842.) Subsequent observation has fully confirmed these results. Of all the stars above named, there is not one which is not found to be fully entitled to be regarded as binary; and, in fact, this list comprises nearly all the most considerable visible in our latitudes which have yet been detected, though (as attention has been closely drawn to the subject, and observations have multiplied) it has, of late, received large accessions. Upwards of a hundred double stars, certainly known to possess this character, were enumerated by M. Mädler in 1841*, and more are emerging into notice with every fresh mass of observations which come before the They require excellent telescopes for their effective public. observation, being for the most part so close as to necessitate the use of very high magnifiers (such as be considered extremely powerful microscopes if employed to examine objects within our reach), to perceive an interval between the individuals which compose them.

(843.) It may easily be supposed, that phænomena of this kind would not pass without attempts to connect them with dynamical theories. From their first discovery, they were naturally referred to the agency of some power, like that of

> * Dorpat Observations, vol ix. 1840 and 1841. R P 3

notion terval ilinear h geoof one r, and er shall than a stars be if they l proxiat case, nd their ent path being a concave ver, were to ascerthe year of the in-1 be come f the ob-

distinctly ind in the that there ving about it may be uble stars double, or ances from ar are, of nnot differ orbit they icant com-I the carth.

panied by the

gravitation, connecting the stars thus demonstrated to be in a state of circulation about each other; and the extension of the Newtonian law of gravitation to these remote systems was a step so obvious, and so well warranted by our experience of its all-sufficient agency in our own, as to have been expressly or tacitly made by every one who has given the subject any share of his attention. We owe, however, the first distinct system of calculation, by which the elliptic elements of the orbit of a binary star could be deduced from observations of its angle of position and distance at different epochs, to M. Savary, who showed*, that the motions of one of the most remarkable among them (ξ Ursæ) were explicable. within the limits allowable for error of observation, on the supposition of an elliptic orbit described in the short period of 581 years. A different process of computation conducted Professor Encket to an elliptic orbit for 70 Ophiuchi, described in a period of seventy-four years. M. Mädler has especially signalized himself in this line of inquiry (see Table). Several orbits have also been calculated by Mr. Hind, Admiral Smyth, Mr. Jacob, Mr. Powell, M. Villarceaux, Professors Winnecke and Klinkerfuss; and the author of these pages has himself attempted to contribute his mite to these interesting investigations. The following may be stated as the chief results which have been hitherto obtained in this branch of astronomy :---

* Connoiss. des Temps, 1830.

† Berlin Ephem. 1832.

Inclina

Perihelion

Position

Excen-

Apparent

Star's Name,

⁺ The "position of the node" in col. 4. expresses the angle of position (see art. 204.) of the line of intersection of the plane of the orbit, with the plane of the heavens on which it is seen projected. The "inclination" in col. 6. is the inclination of these two planes to one another. Col. 5. shows the angle actually included in the plane of the orbit, between the line of nodes (defined as above) and the line of apsides. The elements assigned in this table to ∞ Leonis, ξ Bootis, and Castor must be considered as very doubtful. Some cause of perturbation has been suspected to exist in the movements of p. Ophiuchi. Mr. Jacob, comparing some old (and no doubt very rude) observations by Richaud and Feuillé, in 1690 and 1709, draws a similar conclusion in the case of the system of a Centauri. Comparing the more modern (and only reliable observations) however, this opinion seems hardly entitled to the confidence with which he insists on it. A very few years' additional observation will decide the question. This magnificent double star well merits the most careful and diligent attention of astronomers. be in ion of stems xperibeen en the er, the elliptic 1 from fferent of one licable, on the period nducted chi, deller has Table). nd, Adux, Proof these to these stated as in this

1832. osition (see he plane of ol. 6, is the e angle ac-(defined as o & Leonis, use of per-uchi. Mr. by Richaud case of the iable obser-with which with which le the ques-and diligent

																							-						
By whom computed	Mädler.	Villarceaux.	Mädler.	Winnecke.	Mädler.	Winnecke.	Savary.	Herschel, junior.	Mädler.	Villarceaux.	Ditto.	Klinkerfuss.	Encke.	Herschel, junior.	Madler.	E. B. Poweil.	Herschel, junior.	Hind.	Herschel, junior.	Ditto.	Madler.	Hind.	Mädler.	Hind.	E. B. Powell.	Jacob.	Ditto.	Hind.	E. B. FOWELL
Perihelion Passage.	1830-417	1830-48	1807-21	1850-336	1853-37	1815-53	1817-25	1816-73	1816-44	1816-86	1849-76	1876-44	1806-88	1807-06	1812.73	1806-92	1779-88	1862-87	1836-43	1855-83	1913-90	1699-26	1826-60	1826-48	1829-70	1831-17	1851-50	1859-63	1858-00
Period in Years.	30-216	36-357	42.500	43.677	58-910	58-94	58-262	60.720	61-464	61-576	82.533	133-35	73.862	80.340	92-870	98-146	117-140	178-700	182-120	252-660	232.124	632-270	608-450	736-880	240.00	195-12	000.17	81-40	75.30
nclina- tion.	4° 5'	3 43	9 28	0 40	3 17	8 36	0 40	9 9	4 56	2 49	6 33	7 14	6 25	5	4 51	92 6	0 5	6 23	36	0 3	0 58	3 14	9 29	5 39	9 9	6 47	7 56	2 53	7 50
	4	4	5	9	9	4	5	õ	2	5	4	ŝ	4	4	ò	4	õ	4	õ	7	2	4	õ	õ	4	4	4	9	-
vode Node rbit.	39	55	1	29	•	•	38	22	48	57	27	•	22	46	53	RZ	59	24	45	29	37	33	38	24	53	57	22	C1	•
Ferih from 0	276	104	215	215	266	•	131	134	130	128	185	•	125	145	142	r -	100	243	313	97	87	356	64	69	96	101	291	26	
de.	25'	51	6	18	28	23	22	47	52	50	11	51	12	01	55	30	59	54	33	9	2	24	2	3	00	57	2	22	50
Positi of No	19°	34	20	22	٦	18	95	97	98	95	135	111	147	137	126	296	359	24	5	58	23	11	25	21	60	-	86	17	177
Excen- tricity.	0-43200	0.44891	0-28900	0-28652	0-23486	•	0-41640	0-37770	0-41350	0.43148	0-64338	0-36047	0-43007	0-46670	0-44380	0.546	0.59374	0.60667	0-87952	0-75820	0.79725	0-24050	0-69978	0-72560	0.38870	0.30880	0.95000	0.77520	0-96600
Apparent semi-axis.	1//-208	1-97.4	0-005	0-9567	1-292	1.030	3.857	3.278	2.417	2.439	0.857		4.328	4-392	4.192		12.560	1.811	3.580	8.086	7-008	6.300	3.918	5-194	2.94	2.717	15-500	13.570	30-000
	1.	1		•	1	8				+	1		1				•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
ne,	- 6	-		، م ا	- 6	ع.د	, ,	عرا		. p	-	۔ عہ :	•	-	- 0	- p	•	,	•	•	1	•	63	- q	0	- P	-	ې. م	•
Star's Nat	Herealis	Ditto	Cor R	Ditto	Cancri	Ditto	Ilrsæ	Ditto	Ditto	Ditto	T.Ponis	Ditto	Onhiuchi	Ditto	Ditto	Ditto	Bootis	Cveni	Virgins	Castor	Ditto	Ditto	Cor. B.	Ditto	Ditto	Ditto	Centauri	Ditto	Ditto
	2				2			r 4 -				5 10	. n.				~	00	2.6	0.	0.	0	1. 0	-		-	2. a	2	2
	1 -	-	- 6	4 6	4 0.5	6.	4	4	. 4	1			-	-	-	-			-	-	1	ž	-	-	-	-	1	12	-

R R 4

OF BINARY STARS.

8

(844.) Of the stars in the above list, that which has been most assiduously watched, and has offered physician of the greatest interest, is y Virginis. It is a star of the vulgar 3rd magnitude (3.08=Photom. 3.494), and its component individuals are very nearly equal, and as it would seem in some slight degree variable, since, according to the observations of M. Struve, the one is alternately a little greater, and a little less than the other, and occasionally exactly equal to it. It has been known to consist of two stars since the beginning of the eighteenth century; the distance being then between six and seven seconds, so that any tolerably good telescope would resolve it. When observed by Herschel in 1780, it was 5".66, and continued to decrease gradually and regularly till at length, in 1836, the two stars had approached so closely as to appear perfectly round and single under the highest magnifying power which could be applied to most excellent instruments - the great refractor at Pulkowa alone, with a magnifying power of 1000, continuing to indicate by the wedge-shaped form of the disc of the star its composite nature. By estimating the ratio of its length to its breadth and measuring the former, M. Struve concludes that, at this epoch (1836.41), the distance of the two stars, center from center, might be stated at 0".22. From that time the star again opened, and is now again a perfectly easily separable star. This very remarkable diminution and subsequent increase of distance has been accompanied by a corresponding and equally remarkable increase and subsequent diminution of relative angular motion. Thus, in the year 1783 the apparent angular motion hardly amounted to half a degree per annum, while in 1830 it had increased to 5°, in 1834 to 20°, in 1835 to 40°, and about the middle of 1836 to upwards of 70° per annum, or at the rate of a degree in five days. This is in entire conformity with the principles of Dynamics, which establish a necessary connexion between the angular velocity and the distance, as well in the apparent as in the real orbit of one body revolving about another under the influence of mutual attraction; the former varying inversely as the square of the latter, in both orbits, what-

h

tu

ex ba

51**2**)

in to

of

ORBITS OF BINARY STARS.

ever be the eurve described and whatever the law of the attractive force. It fortunately happens that Bradley, in 1718, had noticed and recorded in the margin of one of his observation books, the apparent direction of the line of junction of the two stars, as seen on the meridian in his transit telescope, viz., parallel to the line joining two conspicuous stars α and δ of the same constellation, as seen by the naked eye. This note, rescued from oblivion by the late Professor Rigaud, has proved of singular service in the verification of the elements above assigned to the orbit, which represent the whole series of recorded observations that date up to the end of 1846 (comprising an angular movement of nearly nine-tenths of a complete circuit), both in angle and distance, with a degree of exactness fully equal to that of observation itself. No doubt can, therefore, remain as to the prevalence in this remote system of the Newtonian law of gravitation.

(845.) The observations of ξ Ursæ Majoris are equally well represented by M. Mädler's elements (4 c of our table), thus fully justifying the assumption of the Newtonian law as that which regulates the motions of their binary systems. And even should it be the case, as M. Mädler appears to consider, that in one instance at least (that of p Ophiuchi), deviations from elliptic motion, too considerable to arise from mere error of observation, exist (a position we are by no means prepared to grant*), we should rather be disposed to look for the cause of such deviations in perturbations arising (as Bessel has suggested) from the large or central star itself being actually a close and hitherto unrecognized double star than in any defect of generality in the Newtonian law.

(846.) If the great length of the periods of some of these bodies be remarkable, the shortness of those of others is hardly less so. ζ Herculis has already completed two revo-

been of the vulgar ponent em in oservareater, exactly s since e being lerably Ierschel adually had apd single be apractor at ntinuing the star ts length concludes wo stars, rom that perfectly ution and nied by a nd subseus, in the ounted to reased to middle of f a degree principles h between apparent t another er varying its, what-

[•] p Ophiuchi belongs to the class of very unequal double stars, the magnitudes of the individuals being 4 and 7. Such stars present difficulties in the exact measurement of their angles of position which even yet continue to embarrass the observer, though, owing to later improvements in the art of excenting such measurements, their influence is confined within much narrower limits than in the earlier history of the subject. In simply placing a fine single wire parallel to the line of junction of two such stars it is easily possible to commit an error of 3° or 4° . By placing them between two parallel thick wires such errors are in great measure obviated.

618

lutions since the epoch of its first discovery, exhibiting in its course the extraordinary spectacle of a sidereal occultation, the small star having twice been hidden behind or before the large one. η Coronæ, ζ Caneri, ξ Ursæ and α Centauri have each performed more than one entire circuit, and 70 Ophiuchi and γ Virginis have accomplished by far the larger portion of one in angular motion. If any doubt, therefore, could remain as to the reality of their orbitual motions, or any idea of explaining them by mere parallactic changes, or by any other hypothesis than the agency of centripetal force, these facts must suffice for their complete dissipation. We have the same evidence, indeed, of their rotations about each other, that we have of those of Uranus and Neptune about the sun; and the correspondence between their calculated and observed places in such very elongated ellipses, must be admitted to carry with it proof of the prevalence of the Newtonian law of gravity in their systems, of the very same nature and cogency as that of the calculated and observed places of comets round the central body of our own.

t

S

tl

si

ti

¢e

th

eq

th

Tł

do

des

the

tua

pos

Ke

ma

0.3

nci

pos

cert

and

secc

(847.) But it is not with the revolutions of bodies of a planetary or cometary nature round a solar center that we are now concerned; it is with that of sun round sun-each, perhaps, at least in some binary systems where the individuals are very remote and their period of revolution very long, accompanied with its train of planets and their satellites, closely shrouded from our view by the splendour of their respective suns, and crowded into a space bearing hardly a greater proportion to the enormous interval which separates them, than the distances of the satellites of our planets from their primaries bear to their distances from the sun itself. A less distinctly characterized subordination would be incompatible with the stability of their systems, and with the planetary nature of their orbits. Unless closely nestled under the protecting wing of their immediate superior, the sweep of their other sun in its perihelion passage round their own might carry them off, or whirl them into orbits utterly incompatible with the conditions necessary for the existence of their inhabitants. It must be confessed, that we have

DIMENSIONS OF ORBITS OF DOUBLE STARS. 619

here a strangely wide and novel field for speculative excursions, and one which it is not easy to avoid luxuriating in.

(848.) The discovery of the parallaxes of a Centauri and 61 Cygni, both which are above enumerated among the "conspicuous " double stars of the 6th class (a distinction fully merited in the case of the former by the brilliancy of both its constituents), enables us to speak with an approach to certainty as to the absolute dimensions of both their orbits, and thence to form a probable opinion as to the general scale on which these astonishing systems are constructed. The distance of the two stars of 61 Cygni subtends at the earth an angle which, since the earliest micrometrical measures in 1781, has varied hardly half a second from a mean value 15". On the other hand, the angle of position has altered since the same epoch by nearly 50°, so that it would appear probable that the true form of the orbit is not far from circular, its situation at right angles to the visual line, and its periodic time probably not short of 500 years. Now, as the ascertained parallax of this star is 0".348, which is, therefore, the angle the radius of the earth's orbit would subtend if equally remote, it follows that the mean distance between the stars is to that radius, as $15''\cdot 5:0''\cdot 348$, or as $44\cdot 54:1$. The orbit described by these two stars about each other undoubtedly, therefore, greatly exceeds in dimensions that described by Neptune about the sun. Moreover, supposing the period to be five centuries (and the distance being actually on the increase, it can hardly be less) the general propositions laid down by Newton*, taken in conjunction with Kepler's third law, enable us to calculate the sum of the masses of the two stars, which, on these data, we find to be 0.353, the mass of our sun being 1. The sun, therefore, is neither vastly greater nor vastly less than the stars composing 61 Cygni.

(849.) The data in the case of α Centauri are more uncertain. Since the year 1822, the distance has been steadily and pretty rapidly decreasing at an average rate of about half a second per annum, and that with little change till lately in the

* Principia, l. i. Prop, 57, 58, 59.

in its n, the re the i have hiuchi tion of remain , of exy other se facts we the other, out the ted and nust be of the ry same observed

lies of a that we -each, dividuals ry long, atellites, of their g hardly separates ets from un itself. d be inand with y nestled erior, the und their ts utterly existence we have

angle of position.* Hence, it follows evidently that the plane of its orbit passes nearly through the earth, and (the distance about the middle of 1834 having been $17\frac{1}{2}''$) it is very probable that either an occultation, like that observed in ζ Herculis, or a close appulse of the two stars, will take place about the year 1859. As the observations we possess afford no sufficient grounds for a satisfactory calculation of elliptic elements we must be content to assume what, at all events, they fully justify, viz., that the major semiaxis must exceed 12", and is very probably considerably greater. Now this with a parallax of 0".913 would give for the real value of the semiaxis 13.15 radii of the earth's orbit, as a minimum. The real dimensions of their ellipse, therefore, cannot be so small as the orbit of Saturn; in all probability exceeds that of Uranus; and may possibly be much greater than either.

6

r

p P

1

W

o a

1

tł

h

t٧

st

a

It

ca

m

na

gr

CII

"

alt

ari

ab

as

oft

(850.) The parallel between these two double stars is a remarkable one. Owing no doubt to their *comparative* proximity to our system, their apparent proper motions are both unusually great, and for the same reason probably rather than owing to unusually large dimensions, their orbits appear to ns under what, for binary double stars, we must call unusually large angles. Each consists, moreover, of stars, not very unequal in brightness, and in each both the stars are of a high yellow approaching to orange colour, the smaller individual, in each case, being also of a deeper tint. Whatever the diversity, therefore, which may obtain among other sidereal objects, these would appear to belong to the same family or genus.

(851.) Many of the double stars exhibit the curious and beautiful phænomenon of contrasted or complementary colours.[‡] In such instances, the larger star is usually of a

* In the 70 years between Lacaille's observations and 1822, there exists no record of any observed angle of position.

† Similar combinations are very numerous. Many remarkable instances occur among the double stars catalogued by the author in the 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 6th and 9th volumes of Trans. Roy. Ast. Soc. and in the volume of Southern observations already cited. See Nos. 121, 375, 1066, 1907, 2030, 2146, 2274, 2772, 3853, 3395, 3998, 4000, 4055, 4196, 4210, 4615, 4649, 4765, 5003, 5012, of these catalogues. The fine binary star, B. A. C. No. 4923, has its constituents 15'' apart the one fine. The other **7** m. orange.

t " ------ other suns, perhaps,

With their attendant moons thou wilt desery,

 $\mathbf{620}$

COLOURED DOUBLE STARS.

1at the id (the 7¼") it hat obars, will ions we calculae what. emiaxis greater. eal value inimum. ot be so s that of er. tars is a ve proxare both

y rather s appear call untars, not rs are of smaller

Whatng other the same

rious and ementary ally of a

e exists no

e instances d, 3rd, 4th, of Southern 2146, 2244, 5003, 5012, constituents

ruddy or orange hue, while the smaller one appears blue or green, probably in virtue of that general law of optics, which provides, that when the retina is under the influence of excitement by any bright, coloured light; feebler lights, which seen alone would produce no sensation but of whiteness, shall for the time appear coloured with the tint complementary to that of the brighter. Thus a yellow colour predominating in the light of the brighter star, that of the less bright one in the same field of view will appear blue; while, if the tint of the brighter star verge to crimson, that of the other will exhibit a tendency to green-or even appear as a vivid green, under favourable circumstances. The former contrast is beautifully exhibited by . Cancrithe latter by γ Andromedæ^{*}, both fine double stars. If, however, the coloured star be much the less bright of the two, it will not materially affect the other. Thus, for instance, η Cassiopeiæ exhibits the beautiful combination of a large white star, and a small one of a rich ruddy purple. It is by no means, however, intended to say, that in all such cases one of the colours is a mere effect of contrast, and it may be easier suggested in words, than conceived in imagination, what variety of illumination two suns-a red and a green, or a yellow and a blue one-must afford a planet enculating about either; and what charming contrasts and "grateful vicissitudes,"-a red and a green day, for instance, alternating with a white one and with darkness, - might arise from the presence or absence of one or other, or both, above the horizon. Insulated stars of a red colour, almost as deep as that of blood to occur in many parts of the heavens,

> Communicating male and female fight, (Which two great sexes animate the world,) Stored in each orb, perhaps, with some that live." *Paradise Lost*, viii. 148,

• The small star of γ Andromedæ is close double. Both its individuals are green: a similar combination, with even more decided colours, is presented by the double star, h. 881.

+ The following are the R. ascensions and N. P. distances for 1830, of some of the most remarkable of these sanguine or ruby stars : --







but no green or blue *star* (of any decided hue) has, we believe, ever been noticed unassociated with a companion brighter than itself. Many of the red stars are variable.

t

1

r

d

tl

tl

81

q

e

a

u

W

ε]

88

am

dis

to

sm

lat

the

ide

ye

a c

COL

are

not

to on nay pro que

a pr the l

(852.) Another very interesting subject of inquiry, in the physical history of the stars, is their proper motion. It was first noticed by Halley, that three principal stars, Sirius, Arcturus, and Aldebaran, are placed by Ptolomy, on the strength of observations made by Hipparchus, 130 years B.C., in latitudes respectively 20', 22', and 33' more northerly than he actually found them in 1717.* Making due allowance for the diminution of obliquity of the ecliptic in the interval (1847 years) they ought to have stood, if really fixed, respectively 10', 14', and 0' more southerly. As the circumstances of the statement exclude the supposition of error of transcription in the MSS., we are necessitated to admit a southward motion in latitude in these stars to the very considerable extent, respectively, of 37', 42', and 33', and this is corroborated by an observation of Aldebaran at Athens, in the year A. D. 509, which star, on the 11th of March in that year, was seen immediately after its emergence from occultation by the moon, in such a position as it could not have had if the occultation were not nearly central. Now, from the knowledge we have of the lunar motions, this could not have been the case had Aldebaran at that time so much southern latitude as at present. A priori, it might be expected that apparent motions of some kind or other should be detected among so great a multitude of individuals scattered through space, and with nothing to keep them fixed. Their mutual attractions even, however inconceivably enfeebled by distance, and counteracted by opposing attractions from opposite quarters, must in the lapse of countless ages produce

R. A.	N. P. D.	R. A.	N. P. D.	R. A.	N. P. D.
h. m. s.	0 / //	h. m. s.	0 / //	h. m. s.	0 <i>i ii</i>
4 40 53	61 46 21	10 52 10	107 24 45	21 37 18	31 59 47
4 51 51	105 2 4	12 37 31	148 45 47	21 37 20	52 54 47
5 38 29	136 32 15	16 29 44	122 2 0	21 15 37	48 8 12
9 27 56	152 2 48	20 7 8	111 50 11		
9 48 31	130 47 12	21 15 36	48 22 40		•

Of these No. 6. (in order of right ascension) is in the same field of view with a Hydrae et Crateris, and No. 7. with β Crucis. No. 2. (in the same order) is variable.

* Phil. Trans. 1717, vol. xxx. fo. 736.

PROPER MOTIONS OF THE STARS.

lieve, ighter

in the It was Sirius, on the 3 B.C., y than nce for nterval ed, reircumerror of ıdmit a ry con-I this is nens, in in that a occulot have rom the ot have outhern ed that letected through mutual by disrom opproduce N. P. D.

81 59 47 52 54 47 48 8 12

view with e order) is some movements --- some change of internal arrangement -resulting from the difference of the opposing actions. And it is a fact, that such apparent motions are really proved to exist by the exact observations of modern astronomy. Thus, as we have seen, the two stars of 61 Cygni have remained constantly at the same, or very nearly the same, distance, of 15", for at least fifty years past although they have shifted their local situation in the heavens, in this interval of time, through no less than 4' 23", the annual proper motion of each star being 5".3; by which quantity (exceeding a third of their interval) this system is every year carried bodily along in some unknown path, by a motion which, for many centuries, must be regarded as uniform and rectilinear. Among stars not double, and no way differing from the rest in any other obvious particular, s Indi*, Groomb. 1830, and µ Cassiopeiæ are to be remarked as having the greatest proper motions of any yet ascertained, amounting respectively to 7".74, 7".75 and 3".74 of annual displacement. And a great many others have been observed to be thus constantly carried away from their places by smaller, but not less unequivocal motions.

(853.) Motions which require whole centuries to accumulate before they produce changes of arrangement, such as the naked eye can detect, though quite sufficient to destroy that idea of mathematical fixity which precludes speculation, are yet too triffing, as far as practical applications go, to induce a change of language, and lead us to speak of the stars in common parlance as otherwise than fixed. Small as they are, however, astronomers, once assured of their reality, have not been wanting in attempts to explain and reduce them to general laws. No one, who reflects with due attention on the subject, will be inclined to deny the high probability, nay certainty, that the sun as well as the stars must have a proper motion in some direction; and the inevitable consequence of such a motion, if unparticipated by the rest, must

* D'Arrest. Astr. Nachr., No. 618.; Argelander, Do. No. 475. † The reader may consult "a list of 314 stars having, or supposed to have, a proper motion of not less than about 0".5 of a great circle " (per annum) by the late F. Baily, Esq. Trans. Ast. Soc. v. p. 158.
624

be a slow *average* apparent tendency of all the stars to the vanishing point of lines parallel to that direction, and to the region which he is leaving, however greatly individual stars might differ from such average by reason of their own peculiar proper motion. This is the necessary effect of perspective; and it is certain that it must be detected by observation, if we knew accurately the apparent proper motions of all the stars, and if we were sure that they were independent, *i.e.* that the whole firmament, or at least all that part which we see in our own neighbourhood, were not drifting along together, by a general *set* as it were, in one direction, the result of unknown processes and slow internal changes going on in the sidereal stratum to which our system belongs, as we see motes sailing in a current of air, and keeping nearly the same relative situation with respect to one another.

(854.) It was on this assumption, tacitly made indeed, but necessarily implied in every step of his reasoning, that Sir William Herschel, in 1783, on a consideration of the apparent proper motions of such stars as could at that period be considered as tolerably (though still imperfectly) ascertained, arrived at the conclusion that a relative motion of the sun, among the fixed stars in the direction of a point or parallactic apex, situated near λ Herculis, that is to say, in R.A. 17^h 22^m=260° 34', N.P.D. 63° 43' (1790), would account for the chief observed apparent motions, leaving, however, some still outstanding and not explicable by this cause ; and in the same year Prevost, taking nearly the same view of the subject, arrived at a conclusion as to the solar apex (or point of the sphere towards which the sun relatively advances), agreeing nearly in polar distance with the foregoing, but differing from it about 27° in right ascension. Since that time methods of calculation have been improved and concinnated, our knowledge of the proper motions of the stars has been rendered more precise, and a greater number of cases of such motions have been recorded. The subject has been resumed by several eminent astronomers and mathematicians: viz. 1st, by M. Argelander, who, from the consideration of the proper motions of 21 stars exceeding

.

17

th

9',

on

poi

800

on

tro

Cap

of 1

at t

deci

a m

in t

may

the

On

sout

tions

vals

they

tions

he c

follo

nearl

spher

truth

the p

MOTION OF THE SUN IN SPACE.

625

o the stars pecurspecservaons of ndent, which along n, the going , as we rly the

indeed, g, that of the ; period) ascera of the oint or say, in , would leaving, by this he same he solar elatively he forecension. mproved tions of greater d. The onomers ho, from xcceding 1" per annum in arc, has placed the solar apex in R. A. 256° 25', N. P. D. 51° 23'; from those of 50 stars between 0".5 and 1".0, in 255° 10', 51° 26'; and from those of 319 stars having motions between 0".1 and 0".5 per annum, in 261° 11', 69° 2': 2ndly, by M. Luhndahl, whose calculations, founded on the proper motions of 147 stars, give 252° 53', 75° 34': and 3rdly, by M. Otto Struve, whose result 261° 22', 62° 24', emerges from a very elaborate discussion of the proper motions of 392 stars. All these places are for A. D. 1790.

(855.) The most probable mean of the results obtained by these three astronomers, is (for the same epoch) $R.A. = 259^{\circ}$ 9', N.P.D. 55° 23'. Their researches, however, extending only to stare visible in European observatories, it became a point of high interest to ascertain how far the stars of the southern hemisphere not so visible, treated independently on the same system of procedure, would corroborate or controvert their conclusion. The observations of Lacaille, at the Cape of Good Hope, in 1751 and 1752, compared with those of Mr. Johnson at St. Helena, in 1829-33, and of Henderson at the Cape in 1830 and 1831, have afforded the means of deciding this question. The task has been executed in a masterly manner by Mr. Galloway, in a paper published in the Philosophical Transactions for 1841 (to which we may also refer the reader for a more particular account of the history of the subject than our limits allow us to give). On comparing the records, Mr. Galloway finds eighty-one southern stars not employed in the previous investigations above referred to, whose proper motions in the intervals elapsed appear considerable enough to assure us that they have not originated in error of the earlier observa-Subjecting these to the same process of computation tions. he concludes for the place of the solar apex, for 1790, as follows: viz. R.A. 260° 1', N.P.D. 55° 37', a result so nearly identical with that afforded by the northern hemisphere, as to afford a full conviction of its near approach to truth, and what may fairly be considered a demonstration of the physical cause assigned.

(856.) Of the mathematical conduct of this inquiry the nature of this work precludes our giving any account; but as the philosophical principle on which it is based has been misconceived, it is necessary to say a few words in explanation of it. Almost all the greatest discoveries in astronomy have resulted from the consideration of what we have elsewhere termed RESIDUAL PHENOMENA*, of a quantitative or numerical kind, that is to say, of such portions of the numerical or quantitative results of observation as remain outstanding and unaccounted for after subducting and allowing for all that would result from the strict application of known It was thus that the grand discovery of the principles. precession of the equinoxes resulted as a residual phænomenon, from the imperfect explanation of the return of the seasons by the return of the sun to the same apparent place among the fixed stars. Thus, also, aberration and nutation resulted as residual phænomena from that portion of the changes of the apparent places of the fixed stars which was left unaccounted for by precession. And thus again the apparent proper motions of the stars are the observed residues of their apparent movements outstanding and unaccounted for by strict calculation of the effects of precession, nutation, The nearest approach which human theories and aberration. can make to perfection is to diminish this residue, this caput mortuum of observation, as it may be considered, as much as practicable, and, if possible, to reduce it to nothing, either by showing that something has been neglected in our estimation of known causes, or by reasoning upon it as a new fact, and on the principle of the inductive philosophy ascending from the effect to its cause or causes. On the suggestion of any new cause hitherto unresorted to for its explanation, our first object must of course be to decide whether such a cause would produce such a result in kind : the next, to assign to it such an intensity as shall account for the greatest possible amount of the residual matter in hand. The proper motion of the sun being suggested as such a cause, we have two

* Discourse on the Study of Natural Philosophy. (1833.) Cub. Cyclopædia, No. 14.

I k r p 0 n а, le co ali we It of in are dist But com elcn The stan inqu or to the gene them accor to in class. or, 20 of th

PROPER MOTION OF THE SUN.

things disposable-its direction and velocity, both which it is evident, if they ever became known to us at all, can only be so by the consideration of the very phænomenon in ques-Our object, of course, is to account, if possible, for tion. the whole of the observed proper motions by the proper assumption of these elements. If this be impracticable, what remains unaccounted for is a residue of a more recondite kind, but which, so long as it is unaccounted for, we must regard as purely casual, seeing that, for anything we can perceive to the contrary, it might with equal probability be one way as the other. The theory of chances, therefore, neccessitates (as it does in all such cases) the application of a general mathematical process, known as "the method of least squares," which leads, as a matter of strict geometrical conclusion, to the values of the elements sought, which, under all the circumstances, are the most probable.

(857.) This is the process resorted to by all the geometers we have enumerated in the foregoing articles (art. 854,855). It gives not only the direction in space, but also the velocity of the solar motion, estimated on a scale conformable to that in which the velocity of the sidercal motions to be explained are given; i.e. in seconds of arc as subtended at the average distance of the stars concerned, by its annual motion in space. But here a consideration occurs which tends materially to complicate the problem, and to introduce into its solution an element depending on suppositions more or less arbitrary. The distance of the stars being, except in two or three instances, unknown, we are compelled either to restrict our inquiry to these, which are too few to ground any result on, or to make some supposition as to the relative distances of the several stars employed. In this we have nothing but general probability to guide us, and two courses only present themselves, either, 1st, To class the distances of the stars according to their magnitudes, or apparent brightnesses, and to institute separate and independent calculations for each class, including stars assumed to be equidistant, or nearly so: or, 2dly, To class them according to the observed amount of their apparent proper motions, on the presumption that 882

Cyclopædia,

the

but

been

ana-

lomy

else-

ve or

ume-

out-

owing

cnown

of the

nome-

of the

t place

utation

of the

ich was

ain the

residues

counted

utation,

theories

his caput

much as

g, either

r estima-

hew fact,

scending

restion of

tion, our

h a cause

assign to possible

er motion

have two

those which appear to move fastest are really nearest to us. The former is the course pursued by M. Otto Struve, the latter by M. Argelander. With regard to this latter principle of classification, however, two considerations interfere with its applicability, viz. 1st, that we see the real motion of the stars foreshortened by the effect of perspective; and 2dly, that that portion of the total apparent proper motion which arises from the real motion of the sun depends, not simply on the absolute distance of the star from the sun, but also on its angular apparent distance from the solar apex, being, cæteris paribus, as the sine of that angle. To execute such a classification correctly, therefore, we ought to know both these particulars for each star. The first is evidently out of our reach. We are therefore, for that very reason, compelled to regard it as casual, and to assume that on the average of a great number of stars it would be uninfluential on the result. But the second cannot be so summarily disposed of. By the aid of an approximate knowledge of the solar apex, it is true, approximate values may be found of the simply apparent portions of the proper motions, supposing all the stars equidistant, and these being subducted from the total observed motions, the residues might afford ground for the classification in question.* This, however, would be a long, and to a certain extent precarious system of procedure. On the other hand, the classification by apparent brightness is open to no such difficulties, since we are fully justified in assuming that, on a general average, the brighter stars are the nearer, and that the exceptions to this rule are casual in that sense of the word which it always bears in such inquiries, expressing solely our ignorance of any ground for assuming a bias one way or other on either side of a determinate numerical rule. In Mr. Galloway's discussion of the southern stars the consideration of distance is waived altogether, which is equivalent to an admission of complete ignorance on this point, as well

V M ar th ta pr CO or ad C81 wit 154say wit ear (

84

mu a m of t and exa any a pr the prep the tion alleg of S have then appe fact circu

• E † N Sec, h

[•] M. Argelander's classes, however, are constructed without reference to this consideration, on the sole basis of the total apparent amount of proper motion, and are, therefore, pro tanto, questionable. It is the more satisfactory then to find so considerable an agreement among his partial results as actually obtains.

as respecting the real directions and velocities of the individual motions.

(858.) The velocity of the solar motion which results from M. Otto Struve's calculations is such as would carry it over an angular subtense of $0^{\prime\prime}\cdot3392$ if seen at right angles from the average distance of a star of the first magnitude. If we take, with M. Struve, senior, the parallax of such a star as probably equal to $0^{\prime\prime}\cdot209^*$, we shall at once be enabled to compare this annual motion with the radius of the earth's orbit, the result being 1.623 of such units. The sun then advances through space (relatively, at least, among the stars), carrying with it the whole planetary and cometary system with a velocity of 1.623 radii of the earth's orbit[†], or 154,185,000 miles *per annum*, or 422,000 miles (that is to say, nearly its own semi-diameter) *per diem*: in other words, with a velocity a very little greater than one-fourth of the earth's annual motion in its orbit.

(859.) Another generation of astronomers, perhaps many, must pass away before we are in a condition to decide from a more precise and extensive knowledge of the proper motions of the stars than we at present possess, how far the direction and velocity above assigned to the solar motion deviates from exactness, whether it continue uniform, and whether it show any sign of deflection from rectilinearity; so as to hold out a prospect of one day being enabled to trace out an arc of the solar orbit, and to indicate the direction in which the preponderant gravitation of the sidereal firmament is urging the central body of our system. An analogy for such deviation from uniformity would seem to present itself in the alleged existence of a similar deviation in the proper motions of Sirius and Procyon, both which stars are considered to have varied sensibly in this respect within the limits of authentic and dependable observation. Such, indeed, would appear to be the amount of evidence for this as a matter of fact as to have given rise to a speculation on the probable circulation of these stars round opaque (and therefore in-

* Etudes d'Astronomie Stellaire, p. 107.

† Mr. Airy (Mem. Ast. Soc. xxviii) makes this velocity materially greater. See, however, Note (L).

) us. , the ciple with f the , that arises n the on its æteris clasthese of our led to e of a result. By the s true, parent s equioserved fication a cere other n to no g that, er, and ense of ressing ias one al rule. he connivalent as well

r motion, ry then to obtains.

visible) bodies at no great distances from them respectively, in the manner of binary stars: [and it has been recently shown by M. Peters (Ast. Nachr. 748.) that, in the case of Sirius, such a circulation, performed in a period of 50.093 years in an ellipse whose excentricity is 0.7994, the perihelion passage taking place at the epoch A. D. 1791.431, would reconcile the observed anomalies, and reduce the residual motion to uniformity. See § (859 *a*), Note J.

g

8

8

n

tı

a

ณ

di

 \mathbf{q}

g

80

di

ju

ni

ca

wo

dir

ins

yea

vie

sim

ana

par

eac

mo

in th

be a

the other

chan

(860.) The whole of the reasoning upon which the determination of the solar motion in space rests, is based upon the entire exclusion of any law either derived from observation or assumed in theory, affecting the amount and direction of the real motions both of the sun and stars. It supposes an absolute non-recognition, in those motions, of any general directive cause, such as, for example, a common circulation of all about a common center. Any such limitation introduced into the conditions of the problem of the solar motion would alter in toto both its nature and the form of its solution. Suppose for instance that, conformably to the speculations of several astronomers, the whole system of the Milky Way, including our sun, and the stars, our more immediate neighbours, which constitute our sidereal firmament, should have a general movement of rotation in the plane of the galactic circle (any other would be exceedingly improbable, indeed hardly reconcilable with dynamical principles), being held together in opposition to the centrifugal force thus generated by the mutual gravitation of its constituent stars. Except we at the same time admitted that the scale on which this movement proceeds is so enormous that all the stars whose proper motions we include in our calculations go together in a body, so far as that movement is concerned (as forming too small an integrant portion of the whole to differ sensibly in their relation to its central point); we stand precluded from drawing any conclusion whatever, not only respecting the absoluto motion of the sun, but respecting even its relative movement among those stars, until we have established some law, or at all events framed some hypothesis having the provisional force of a law, connecting the whole, or a part of the motion of each individual with its situation in space.

SPECULATIONS ON A CENTRAL SUN.

ely, in shown Sirius, years helion would esidual

deteron the rvation tion of oses an general ulation intromotion olution. tions of y Way, neighhave a ic circle hardly ether in mutual he same nt promotions , so far ll an inheir redrawing absolute ovement w, or at hal force otion of

(861.) Speculations of this kind have not been wanting in astronomy, and recently an attempt has been made by M. Mädler to assign the local center in space, round which the sun and stars revolve, which he places in the group of the Pleiades, a situation in itself utterly improbable, lying as it does no less than 26° out of the plane of the galactic circle, out of which plane it is almost inconceivable that any general circulation can take place. In the present defective state of our knowledge respecting the proper motion of the smaller stars, especially in right ascension, (an element for the most part far less exactly ascertainable than the polar distance, or at least which has been hitherto far less accurately ascertained,) we cannot but regard all attempts of the kind as to a certain extent premature, though by no means to be discouraged as forerunners of something more decisive. The question, as a matter of fact, whether a rotation of the galaxy in its own plane exist or not might be at once resolved by the assiduous observation both in R. A. and polar distance of a considerable number of stars of the Milky Way, judiciously selected for the purpose, and including all magnitudes, down to the smallest distinctly identifiable, and capable of being observed with normal accuracy: and we would recommend the inquiry to the special attention of directors of permanent observatories, provided with adequate instrumental means, in both hemispheres. Thirty or forty years of observation perseveringly directed to the object in view, could not fail to settle the question.*

(862.) The solar motion through space, if real and not simply relative, must give rise to uranographical corrections analogous to parallax and aberration. The solar or systematic parallax is no other than that part of the proper motion of each star which is simply apparent, arising from the sun's motion, and until the distances of the stars be known, must

ss 4

^{*} An examination of the proper motions of the stars of the B. Assoc. Catal. in the portion of the Milky Way nearest either pole (where the motion should be almost wholly in R A) indicates no distinct symptom of such a rotation. If the question be taken up fundamentally, it will involve a redetermination from the recorded proper motions, both of the precession of the equinoxes and the change of obliquity of the celiptic.

remain inextricably mixed up with the other or real portion. The systematic aberration, amounting at its maximum (for stars 90° from the solar apex) to about 5" displaces all the stars in great circles diverging from that apex through angles proportional to the sines of their respective distances from it. This displacement, however, is permanent, and therefore uncognizable by any phænomenon, so long as the solar motion remains invariable; but should it, in the course of ages, alter its direction and velocity, both the direction and amount of the displacement in question would alter with it. The change, however, would become mixed up with other changes in the apparent proper motions of the stars, and it would seem hopeless to attempt disentangling them.

(863.) A singular, and at first sight paradoxical effect of the progressive movement of light, combined with the proper motion of the stars, is, that it alters the apparent periodic time in which the individuals of a binary star circulate about each other.* To make this apparent, suppose them to circulate round each other in a plane perpendicular to the visual ray in a period of 10,000 days. Then if both the sun and the center of gravity of the binary system remained fixed in space, the relative apparent situation of the stars would be exactly restored to its former state after the lapse of this interval, and if the angle of position were 0° at first, after 10,000 days it would again be so. But now suppose that the center of gravity of the star were in the act of receding in a direct line from the sun with a velocity of one-tenth part of the radius of the earth's orbit per diem. Then at the expiration of 10,000 days it would be more remote from us by 1000 such radii, a space which light would require 5.7 days to traverse. Although really, therefore, the stars would have arrived at the position 0° at the exact expiration of 10,000 days, it would require 5.7 days more for the notice of that fact to reach our system. In other words, the period would appear to us to be 1005.7 days, since we could only conclude the period to be completed when to us as observers the original angle of position was again restored. A contrary motion would produce a contrary effect.

* Astronomische Nachrichten, No. 520. by the Author.

OF

0

S

• It sensibl Few p extends full at bright, is, he w which come to

CLUSTERS OF STARS AND NEBULÆ.

CHAPTER XVII.

OF CLUSTERS OF STARS AND NEBULÆ.

OF CLUSTERING GROUPS OF STARS. --- GLOBULAR CLUSTERS. --- THEIR STABILITY DYNAMICALLY POSSIBLE .- LIST OF THE MOST REMARK-ABLE. - CLASSIFICATION OF NEBULÆ AND CLUSTERS. - THEIR DISTRIBUTION OVER THE HEAVENS. - IRREGULAR CLUSTERS. -RESOLVABILITY OF NEBULE. - THEORY OF THE FORMATION OF CLUSTERS BY NEBULOUS SUBSIDENCE. - OF ELLIPTIC NEBULÆ. -THAT OF ANDROMEDA. - ANNULAR AND PLANETARY NEBULÆ. -DOUBLE NEBULÆ. - NEBULOUS STARS. - CONNEXION OF NEBULÆ WITH DOUBLE STARS. - INSULATED NEBULÆ OF FORMS NOT WHOLLY IRREGULAR. - OF AMORPHOUS NEBULE. - THEIR LAW OF DISTRIBUTION MARKS THEM AS OUTLIERS OF THE GALAXY. -- NEBULÆ, AND NEBULOUS GROUP OF ORION -- OF ARGO -- OF SAGITTARIUS - OF CYGNUS. - THE MAGELLANIC CLOUDS. - SIN-GULAR NEBULA IN THE GREATER OF THEM .--- VARIABLE NE-BULE .- THE ZODIACAL LIGHT .- SHOOTING STARS .- SPECULA-TIONS ON THE DYNAMICAL ORIGIN OF THE SUN'S HEAT.

(864.) WHEN we cast our eyes over the concave of the heavens in a clear night, we do not fail to observe that here and there are groups of stars which seem to be compressed together in a more condensed manner than in the neighbouring parts, forming bright patches and clusters, which attract attention, as if they were there brought together by some general cause other than casual distribution. There is a group, called the Pleiades, in which six or seven stars may be noticed, if the eye be directed full upon it; and many more if the eye be turned carelessly aside, while the attention is kept directed* upon the group. Telescopes show fifty or sixty

tion. (for l the ngles om it. refore ages, nount The anges would

fect of proper eriodic about to cirto the the sun d fixed would of this st, after bse that eceding he-tenth h at the from us 5.7 days would ation of e notice e period uld only bservers contrary

[•] It is a very remarkable fact, that the center of the visual area is far less sensible to feeble impressions of light, than the exterior portions of the retina. Few persons are aware of the extent to which this comparative insensibility extends, previous to trial. To estimate it, let the reader look alternately full at a star of the fifth magnitude, and beside it; or choose two, equally bright, and about 3° or 4° apart, and look full at one of them, the probability is, he will see only the other. The fact accounts for the multitude of stars with which we are impressed by a general view of the heavens; their paucity when we come to count them.

large stars thus crowded together in a very moderate space, comparatively insulated from the rest of the heavens. The constellation called Coma Berenices is another such group, more diffused, and consisting on the whole of larger stars.

(865.) In the constellation Cancer, there is a somewhat similar, but less definite, luminous spot, called Præsepe, or the bee-hive, which a very moderate telescope, - an ordinary night-glass for instance, — resolves entirely into stars. In the sword-handle of Perseus, also, is another such spot, crowded with stars, which requires rather a better telescope to resolve into individuals separated from each other. These are called clusters of stars; and, whatever be their nature, it is certain that other laws of aggregation subsist in these spots, than those which have determined the scattering of stars over the general surface of the sky. This conclusion is still more strongly pressed upon us, when we come to bring very powerful telescopes to bear on these and similar spots. There are a great number of objects which have been mistaken for comets, and, in fact, have very much the appearance of comets without tails: small round, or oval nebulous specks, which telescopes of moderate power only show as such. Messier has given, in the Connois. des Temps for 1784, a list of the places of 103 objects of this sort; which all those who search for comets ought to be familiar with, to avoid being misled by their similarity of appearance. That they are not, however, comets, their fixity sufficiently proves; and when we come to examine them with instruments of great power, - such as reflectors of eighteen inches, two feet, or more in aperture,any such idea is completely destroyed. They are then, for the most part, perceived to consist entirely of stars crowded together so as to occupy almost a definite outline, and to run up to a blaze of light in the centre, where their condensation is usually the greatest. (See fig. 1. pl. II., which represents (somewhat rudely) the thirteenth nebula of Messier's list (described by him as nébuleuse sans étoiles), as seen in a reflector of 18 inches aperture and 20 feet focal length.) Many of them, indeed, are of an exactly round figure, and convey the complete idea of a globular space filled full of

sta fan ow cou are cula at th it w cont toge exce more (8) tesqu like c which when a less (for th eye,) f from 1 dimens thus in not for Their general and, in the rat is not r stars th in their the surf tion of hand, w hardly 1 collapse. force, w sphericit

CLUSTERS OF STARS.

stars, insulated in the heavens, and constituting in itself a family or society apart from the rest, and subject only to its own internal laws. It would be a vain task to attempt to count the stars in one of these *globular clusters*. They are not to be reckoned by hundreds; and on a rough calculation, grounded on the apparent intervals between them at the borders, and the angular diameter of the whole group, it would appear that many clusters of this description must contain, at least, five thousand stars, compacted and wedged together in a round space, whose angular diameter does not exceed eight or ten minutes; that is to say, in an area not more than a tenth part of that covered by the moon.

(866.) Perhaps it may be thought to savour of the gigantesque to look upon the individuals of such a group as suns like our own, and their mutual distances as equal to those which separate our sun from the nearest fixed star: yet, when we consider that their united lustre affects the eye with a less impression of light than a star of the fourth magnitude, (for the largest of these clusters is barely visible to the naked eyc,) the idea we are thus compelled to form of their distance from us may prepare us for almost any estimate of their dimensions. At all events, we can hardly look upon a group thus insulated, thus in seipso totus, teres, atque rotundus, as not forming a system of a peculiar and definite character. Their round figure clearly indicates the existence of some general bond of union in the nature of an attractive force; and, in many of them, there is an evident acceleration in the rate of condensation as we approach the center, which is not referable to a merely uniform distribution of equidistant stars through a globular space, but marks an intrinsic density in their state of aggregation, greater in the center than at the surface of the mass. It is difficult to form any conception of the dynamical state of such a system. On the one hand, without a rotatory motion and a centrifugal force, it is hardly possible not to regard them as in a state of progressive collapse. On the other, granting such a motion and such a force, we find it no less difficult to reconcile the apparent. sphericity of their form with a rotation of the whole system

he he p,

nat

or iry In ot, e to iese e, it iots, over nore very here n for mets vhich essier f the earch hisled ever, come ch as re,— 1, for wded o run sation esents s list in a hgth.) , and ull of

round any single axis, without which internal collisions might at first sight appear to be inevitable. If we suppose a globular space filled with equal stars, uniformly dispersed through it, and very numerous, each of them attracting every other with a force inversely as the square of the distance, the resultant force by which any one of them (those at the surface alone excepted) will be urged, in virtue of their joint attractions, will be directed towards the common center of the sphere, and will be directly as the distance therefrom. This follows from what Newton has proved of the internal attraction of a homogeneous sphere. (See also note on Art. 735.) Now, under such a law of force, each particular star would describe a perfect ellipse about the common center of gravity as its center, and *that*, in whatever plane and whatever direction it might revolve. The condition, therefore, of a rotation of the cluster, as a mass, about a single axis would be unnecessary. Each ellipse, whatever might be the proportion of its axis, or the inclination of its plane to the others, would be invariable in every particular, and all would be described in one common period, so that at the end of every such period, or annus magnus of the system, every star of the cluster (except the superficial ones) would be exactly re-established in its original position, thence to set out afresh, and run the same unvarying round for an indefinite succession of ages. Supposing their motions, therefore, to be so adjusted at any one moment as that the orbits should not intersect each other, and so that the magnitude of each star, and the sphere of its more intense attraction, should bear but a small proportion to the distance separating the individuals, such a system, it is obvious, might subsist, and realize, in great measure, that abstract and ideal harmony, which Newton, in the 89th Proposition of the First Book of the Principia, has shown to characterize a law of force directly as the distance.*

(867.) The following are the places, for 1830, of the principal of these remarkable objects, as specimens of their class:---

* See also Quarterly Review, No. 94. p. 540.

Of t ω Ce It is about in a s great of full to the list (I fine ni in a la former (868)

comple are ger which stars, in again, i nebulæ and wh may be properl of stars classes, nebulæ The gr of an in showed

CLASSIFICATION OF NEBULÆ.

of their

	R. A	•	N. P. D.		R. A.			N. P. D.			R. A	N. P. D.		
h.	m.	8.	0		h.	m.	8,	0		h.	m,	8,	•	,
0	16	25	163	2	15	9	56	87	16	17	26	51	149	34
9	8	S 3	154	10	15	34	56	127	15	17	28	42	93	8
12	47	41	159	57	16	6	55	112	33	11	26	4	114	2
13	4	S O	70	55	16	23	2	102	40	18	55	49	150	14
15	16	58	196	S 5	16	35	37	53	13	21	21	43	78	S4
15	S4	10	60	46	16	50	24	119	51	21	24	40	91	34

Of these, by far the most conspicuous and remarkable is ω Centauri the fifth of the list in order of Right Ascension. It is visible to the naked eye as a dim round cometic object about equal to a star 4.5 m., though probably if concentered in a single point, the impression on the eye would be much greater. Viewed in a powerful telescope it appears as a globe of fully 20' in diameter, very gradually increasing in brightness to the center, and composed of innumerable stars of the 13th and 15th magnitudes (the former probably being two or more of the latter closely juxtaposed). The 11th in order of the list (R. A. 16^h 35^m) is also visible to the naked eye in very fine nights, between η and ζ Herculis, and is a superb object in a large telescope. Both were discovered by Halley, the former in 1677, and the latter in 1714.

(868.) It is to Sir William Herschel that we owe the most complete analysis of the great variety of those objects which are generally classed under the common head of Nebulæ, but which have been separated by him into-1st. Clusters of stars, in which the stars are clearly distinguishable; and these, again, into globular and irregular clusters; 2d. Resolvable nebulæ, or such as excite a suspicion that they consist of stars, and which any increase of the optical power of the telescope may be expected to resolve into distinct stars; 3d. Nebulæ, properly so called, in which there is no appearance whatever of stars; which, again, have been subdivided into subordinate classes, according to their brightness and size; 4th. Planetary nebulæ; 5th. Stellar nebulæ; and, 6th. Nebulous stars. The great power of his telescopes disclosed the existence of an immense number of these objects before unknown, and showed them to be distributed over the heavens, not by any

means uniformly, but with a marked preference to a certain district, extending over the northern pole of the galactic circle, and occupying the constellations Leo, Leo Minor, the body, tail, and hind legs of Ursa Major, Canes Venatici, Coma Berenices, the preceding leg of Bootes, and the head, wings, and shoulder of Virgo. In this region, occupying about one-eighth of the whole surface of the sphere, one-third of the entire nebulous contents of the heavens are con-On the other hand, they are very sparingly gregated. scattered over the constellations Aries, Taurus, the head and shoulders of Orion, Auriga, Perseus, Camelopardalus, Draco, Hercules, the northern part of Serpentarius, the tail of Serpens, that of Aquila, and the whole of Lyra. The hours 3, 4, 5, and 16, 17, 18, of right ascension in the northern hemisphere are singularly poor, and, on the other hand, the hours 10, 11, and 12 (but especially 12), extraordinarily rich in these objects. In the southern hemisphere a much greater uniformity of distribution prevails, and with exception of two very remarkable centers of accumulation, called the Magellanic clouds (of which more presently), there is no very decided tendency to their assemblage in any particular region.

(869.) Clusters of stars are either globular, such as we have already described, or of irregular figure. These latter are, generally speaking, less rich in stars, and especially less condensed towards the center. They are also less definite in outline; so that it is often not easy to say where they terminate, or whether they are to be regarded otherwise than as merely richer parts of the heavens than those around Many, indeed the greater proportion of them, are them. situated in or close on the borders of the Milky Way. In some of them the stars are nearly all of a size, in others extremely different; and it is no uncommon thing to find a very red star much brighter than the rest, occupying a conspicuous situation in them. Sir William Herschel regards these as globular clusters in a less advanced state of condensation, conceiving all such groups as approaching, by their mutual attraction, to the globular figure, and assembling

then laws obse into one beau × Cr area of ab of th shade appea (87 sidere intrin unless a join the re loose exting the m appear globul optical obviou resolva usually would power. into a structe Bolve had res tacle af and oth is decla words c (871.

RESOLVABLE NEBULÆ.

themselves together from all the surrounding region, under laws of which we have, it is true, no other proof than the observance of a gradation by which their characters shade into one another, so that it is impossible to say where one species ends and the other begins. Among the most beautiful objects of this class is that which surrounds the star \times Crucis, set down as a nebula by Lacaille. It occupies an area of about one 48th part of a square degree, and consists of about 110 stars from the 7th magnitude downwards, eight of the more conspicuous of which are coloured with various shades of red, green, and blue, so as to give to the whole the appearance of a rich piece of jewellery.

(870.) Resolvable nebulæ can, of course, only be considered as clusters either too remote, or consisting of stars intrinsically too faint to affect us by their individual light, unless where two or three happen to be close enough to make a joint impression, and give the idea of a point brighter than the rest. They are almost universally round or oval-their loose appendages, and irregularities of form, being as it were extinguished by the distance, and the only general figure of the more condensed parts being discernible. It is under the appearance of objects of this character that all the greater globular clusters exhibit themselves in telescopes of insufficient optical power to show them well; and the conclusion is obvious, that those which the most powerful can barely render resolvable, and even those which, with such powers as are usually applied, show no sign of being composed of stars, would be completely resolved by a further increase of optical power. In fact, this probability has almost been converted into a certainty by the magnificent reflecting telescope constructed by Lord Rosse, of six fect in aperture, which has "solved or rendered resolvable multitudes of nebulæ which had resisted all inferior powers. The sublimity of the spectacle afforded by that instrument of some of the larger globular and other clusters enumerated in the list given in Art. 867. is declared by all who have witnessed it to be such as no words can express.

(871.) Although, therefore, nebulæ do exist, which even in

ain ctic the tici. ead, ying hird conngly and raco, l of ours hern , the · rich eater on of l the is no icular

s we latter y less ite in they than round h, are Way. e, in ng to pying rschel tc of ig, by abling

this powerful telescope appear as nebulæ, without any sign of resolution, it may very reasonably be doubted whether there be really any essential physical distinction between nebula and clusters of stars, at least in the nature of the matter of which they consist, and whether the distinction between such nebulæ as are easily resolved, barely resolvable with excellent telescopes, and altogether irresolvable with the best, be any thing else than one of degree, arising merely from the excessive minuteness and multitude of the stars, of which the latter, as compared with the former, consist. The first impression which Halley, and other early discoverers of nebulous objects received from their peculiar aspect, so different from the keen, concentrated light of mere stars, was that of a phosphorescent vapour (like the matter of a comet's tail) or a gaseous and (so to speak) elementary form of luminous sidercal matter.* Admitting the existence of such a medium, dispersed in some cases irregularly through vast regions in space, in others confined to narrower and more definite limits, Sir W. Herschei was led to speculate on its gradual subsidence and condensation by the effect of its own gravity, into more or less regular spherical or spheroidal forms, denser (as they must in that case be) towards the Assuming that in the progress of this subsidence. center. local centers of condensation, subordinate to the general tendency, would not be wanting, he conceived that in this way solid nuclei might arise, whose local gravitation still further condensing, and so absorbing the nebulous matter, each in its immediate neighbourhood, might ultimately become stars, and the whole nebula finally take on the state of a cluster of stars. Among the multitude of nebulæ revealed by his telescopes, every stage of this process might be considered as displayed to our eyes, and in every modification of form to which the general principle might be conceived to The more or less advanced state of a nebula towards apply. its segregation into discrete stars, and of these stars themselves towards a denser state of aggregation round a central nucleus, would thus be in some sort an indication of age.

• Halley, Phil. Trans., xxix. p. 390.

N W th of or co m att as and ind of for ante bodi case the resu Amo by i oppo veloc of pi whicl give : we m in th It wi densa by no each; marke of a to perma is a fa theref possibl

THEORY OF THE FORMATION OF CLUSTERS. 641

Neither is there any variety of aspect which nebulæ offer, which stands at all in contradiction to this view. Even though we should feel ourselves compelled to reject the idea of a gaseous or vaporous "nebulous matter," it loses little or none of its force. Subsidence, and the central aggregation consequent on subsidence, may go on quite as well among a multitude of discrete bodies under the influence of mutual attraction, and feeble or partially opposing projectile motions, as among the particles of a gaseous fluid.

(872.) The "nebular hypothesis," as it has been termed. and the theory of sidereal aggregation stand, in fact, quite independent of each other, the one as a physical conception of processes which may yet, for aught we know, have formed part of that mysterious chain of causes and effects antecedent to the existence of separate self-luminous solid bodies; the other, as an application of dynamical principles to cases of a very complicated nature no doubt, but in which the possibility or impossibility, at least, of certain general results may be determined on perfectly legitimate principles. Among a crowd of solid bodies of whatever size, animated by independent and partially opposing impulses, motions opposite to each other *must* produce collision, destruction of velocity, and subsidence or near approach towards the center of preponderant attraction; while those which conspire, or which remain outstanding after such conflicts, must ultimately give rise to circulation of a permanent character. Whatever we may think of such collisions as events, there is nothing in this conception contrary to sound mechanical principles. It will be recollected that the appearance of central condensation among a multitude of scparate bodies in motion, by no means implies permanent proximity to the center in each; any more than the habitually crowded state of a market place, to which a large proportion of the inhabitants of a town must frequently or occasionally resort, implies the permanent residence of each individual within its area. It is a fact that clusters thus centrally crowded do exist, and therefore the conditions of their existence must be dynamically possible, and in what has been said we may at least perceivo

тт

gn of there bulæ er of such ellent e any e exh the first ers of o difs, was omet's lumisuch a h vast l more on its its own neroidal rds the sidence, general in this on still matter, become te of a revealed be conation of eived to towards s them. central of age.

some glimpses of the manner in which they are so. The actual intervals between the stars, even in the most crowded parts of a resolved nebula, to be seen at all by us, must be enormous. Ages, which to us may well appear indefinite, may easily be conceived to pass without a single instance of collision, in the nature of a catastrophe. Such may have gradually become rarer as the system has emerged from what must be considered its chaotic state, till at length, in the fulness of time, and under the pre-arranging guidance of that DESIGN which pervades universal nature, each individual may have taken up such a course as to annul the possibility of further destructive interference.

(873.) But to return from the regions of speculation to the description of facts. Next in regularity of form to the globular clusters, whose consideration has led us into this digression, are elliptic nebulæ, more or less elongated. And of these it may be generally remarked, as a fact undoubtedly connected in some very intimate manner with the dynamical conditions of their subsistence, that such nebulæ are, for the most part, beyond comparison more difficult of resolution than those of globular form. They are of all degrees of excentricity, from moderately oval forms to ellipses so clongated as to be almost linear, which are, no doubt, edge-views of very flat ellipsoids. In all of them the density increases towards the centre, and as a general law it may be remarked that, so far as we can judge from their telescopic appearance, their internal strata approach more nearly to the spherical form than their external. Their resolvability, too, is greater in the central parts, whether owing to a real superiority of size in the central stars or to the greater frequency of cases of close juxta-position of individuals, so that two or three united appear as one. In some the condensation is slight and gradual, in others great and sudden: so sudden, indeed, as to offer the appearance of a dull and blotted star, standing in the midst of a faint, nearly equable elliptic nebulosity, of which two remarkable specimens occur in R.A. 12^h 10^m 33^s, N.P.D. 41° 46', and in 13^h 27^m 28^s, 119° 0′ (1830).

w (n 17 11 is ' a Ma hav app rese tele grad more brig of tl extre but v to ex with evider as de of Ca nearly as to i genera rant i sudden of a st sown o of 200 richest descrip parativo whole 1 diverge axis. structu

NEBULA OF ANDROMEDA.

he

ed

be

ite,

of

ave

hat

the

that

dual

ility

n to

, the

this

And

tedly

mical

or the

lution

ees of

elon-

-views

ty in-

nay be

escopic

to the

y, too,

a real

greater

als, so

ne con-

udden :

ull and

equable

s occur

7m 28s,

(874.) The largest and finest specimens of elliptic nebula which the heavens afford are that in the girdle of Andromeda (near the star ν of that constellation) and that discovered in 1783, by Miss Carolina Herschel, in R. A. 0h 39m 12s, N.P.D. 116° 13'. The nebula in Andromeda (Plate II. fig. 3.) is visible to the naked eye, and is continually mistaken for a comet by those unacquainted with the heavens. Simon Marius, who noticed it in 1612 (though it appears also to have been seen and described as oval, in 995), describes its appearance as that of a candle shining through horn, and the resemblance is not inapt. Its form, as seen through ordinary telescopes, is a pretty long oval, increasing by insensible gradations of brightness, at first very gradually, but at last more rapidly, up to a central point, which, though very much brighter than the rest, is decidedly not a star, but nebula of the same general character with the rest in a state of extreme condensation. Casual stars are scattered over it, but with a reflector of 18 inches in diameter, there is nothing to excite any suspicion of its consisting of stars. Examined with instruments of superior defining power, however, the evidence of its resolvability into stars, may be regarded as decirive. Mr. G. P. Bond, assistant at the observatory of Cambridge, U.S., describes and figures it as extending nearly 2¹/₂° in length, and upwards of a degree in breadth (so as to include two other smaller adjacent nebulæ), of a form, generally speaking, oval, but with a considerably protuberant irregularity at its north following extremity, very suddenly condensed at the nucleus almost to the semblance of a star, and though not itself clearly resolved, yet thickly sown over with visible minute stars, so numerous as to allow of 200 being counted within a field of 20' diameter in the But the most remarkable feature in his richest parts. description is that of two perfectly straight, narrow, and comparatively or totally obscure streaks which run nearly the whole length of one side of the nebula, and (though slightly divergent from each other) nearly parallel to its longer These streaks (which obviously indicate a stratified axis. structure in the nebula, if, indeed, they do not originate in

тт2

the interposition of imperfectly transparent matter between us and it) are not seen on a general and cursory view of the nebula; they require attention to distinguish them*, and this circumstance must be borne in mind when inspecting the very extraordinary engraving which illustrates Mr. Bond's account. The figure in given our Plate II. fig. 3., is from a rather hasty sketch, and makes no pretensions to exactness. A similar, but much more strongly marked case of parallel arrangement than that noticed by Mr. Bond in this, is one in which the two semi-ovals of an elliptically formed nebula appear cut asunder and separated by a broad obscure band parallel to the longer axis of the nebula, in the midst of which a faint streak of light parallel to the sides of the cut appears, is seen in the southern hemisphere in R. A. 13^h 15^m 31°, N.P.D. 132° 8' (1830). The nebulæ in 12^h 27^m 3^s, 63° 5', and 12h 31m 11s, 100° 40' present analagous features.

(875.) Annular nebulæ also exist, but are among the rarest objects in the heavens. The most conspicuous of this class is to be found almost exactly half way between β and γ Lyræ, and may be seen with a telescope of moderate power. It is small and particularly well defined, so as to have more the appearance of a flat oval solid ring than of a nebula. The axeof the ellipse are to each other in the proportion of about 4 to 5, and the opening occupies about half or rather more than half the diameter. The central vacuity is not quite dark, but is filled in with faint nebula, like a gauze stretched over a hoop. The powerful telescopes of Lord Rosse resolve this object into excessively minute stars, and show filaments of stars adhering to its edges. \dagger

(876.) PLANETARY NEBULÆ are very extraordinary objects. They have, as their name imports, a near, in some instances, a perfect resemblance to planets, presenting discs round, or slightly oval, in some quite sharply terminated,

* Account of the nebula in Andromeda, by G. P. Bond, A	Assistant at the
Cambridge Observatory, U. S. Trans. American Acad., vol. iii.	. p. 80.
† The places of the annular nebulæ, at present known (for 18	30) are,

	R. A.			N.P.	F		N. P. D.				
1.	17 ^h	10 ^m	39 *	128°	18/	3.	18 ^h	47m	13	57°	11'
2,	17	19	2	115	37	4.	20	9	S 3	59	57

644

* P

R. h. 1 1. 7 5 2. 9 1 3. 9 5 4. 10 1

PLANETARY NEBULÆ.

in others a little hazy or softened at the borders. Their light is in some perfectly equable, in others mottled and of a very peculiar texture, as if curdled. They are comparatively rare objects, not above four or five and twenty having been hitherto observed, and of these nearly three fourths are situated in the southern hemisphere. Being very interesting objects we subjoin a list of the most remarkable. Among these may be more particularly specified the sixth in order, situated in the Cross. Its light is about equal to that of a star of the 6.7 magnitude, its diameter about 12", its disc circular or very slightly elliptic, and with a clear, sharp, welldefined outline, having exactly the appearance of a planet with the exception only of its colour, which is a fine and full blue verging somewhat upon green. And it is not a little remarkable that this phænomenon of a blue colour, which is so rare among stars (except when in the immediate proximity of yellow stars) occurs, though less strikingly, in three other objects of this class, viz. in No. 4, whose colour is skyblue, and in Nos. 11 and 12, where the tint, though paler, is Nos. 2, 7, 9, and 12, are also exceedingly still evident. characteristic objects of this class. Nos. 3, 4, and 11 (the latter in the parallel of v Aquarii, and about 5^m preceding that star), are considerably elliptic, and (respectively) about 38", 30" and 15" in diameter. On the disc of No. 3, and very nearly in the center of the ellipse, is a star 9m, and the texture of its light, being velvety, or as if formed of fine dust, clearly indicates its resolvability into stars. The largest of these objects is No. 5, situated somewhat south of the parallel of β Ursæ Majoris and about 12^m following that Its apparent diameter is 2' 40", which, supposing it star.

R.A. N			N.P.D.			R.A.			N.P.D.		R.A.				N.P.D.		
<u> </u>	. 8.	.0	-		h.	m.	s,	0	7		h.	m.	S.	0	-		
1. 7 34	1 2	104	20	5.	11	4	49	54	4	9.	19	34	21	104	33		
2. 9 16	5 39	147	35	6.	11	41	56	146	14	10.	19	40	19	39	54		
8. 9 59	52	129	36	7.	15	5	18	135	1	11.	20	54	53	102	2		
4. 10 16	5 36	107	47	8.	19	10	9	83	46	12.	23	17	44	48	24		
							гт	3									

* Places for 1830 of twelve of the most remarkable planetary nebulæ.

en the this cry ınt. ther Α allel ie in bula band st of eut h 15^m m 3ª, ires. rarest s class Lyræ, It is

ere the ne axe. about more quite retched resolve aments

ry obn some g discs ninated,

nt at the re, P.D. 9 11' 57

placed at a distance from us not more than that of 61 Cygni, would imply a linear one seven times greater than that of the orbit of Neptune. The light of this stupendous globe is perfectly equable (except just at the edge, where it is slightly softened), and of considerable brightness. Such an appearance would not be presented by a globular space uniformly filled with stars or luminous matter, which structure would necessarily give rise to an apparent increase of brightness towards the center in proportion to the thickness traversed by the visual ray. We might, therefore, be induced to conclude its real constitution to be either that of a hollow spherical shell or of a flat disc, presented to us (by a highly improbable coincidence) in a plane precisely perpendicular to the visual ray.

(877.) Whatever idea we may form of the real nature of such a body, or of the planetary nebulæ in general, which all agree in the absence of central condensation, it is evident that the intrinsic splendour of their surfaces, if continuous, must be almost infinitely less than that of the sun. A circular portion of the sun's disc, subtending an angle of 1', would give a light equal to that of 780 full moons; while among all the objects in question there is not one which can be seen with the naked eye. M. Arago has surmised that they may possibly be envelopes shining by reflected light, from a solar body placed in their center, invisible to us by the effect of its excessive distance; removing, or attempting to remove the apparent paradox of such an explanation, by the optical principle that an illuminated surface is equally bright at all distances, and, therefore, if large enough to subtend a measurable angle, can be equally well seen, whereas the central body, subtending no such angle, has its effect on our sight diminished in the inverse ratio of the square of its distance.* The immense optical

pov enig whi in s (is th class edly fact, their ties o for c the c wanti tems the ar is imp the fig physic rative of the infinite more n such c of indi larity complie and cor and adr and un (879 symmet we are phænom rounded could it de

area the a

Longitude

* Sce th † Phil.

[•] With due deference to so high an authority we must demur to the conclusion. Even supposing the envelope to reflect and scatter (equally in all directions) all the light of the central sun, the portion of the light so scattered which would fail to our share could not exceed that which that sun itself would send to us by direct radiation. But this, *ex hypothesis*, is too small to affect the eye with any huminous perception, when concentrated in a point, much less then

powers applied by Lord Rosse to the examination of these enigmatical objects have hitherto only added to the mystery which hangs about them, by disclosing caprices of structure in several of them of the most extraordinary nature.*

(878.) Double nebulæ occasionally occur - and when such is the case, the constituents most commonly belong to the class of spherical nebulæ, and are in some instances undoubtedly globular clusters. All the varieties of double stars, in fact, as to distance, position, and relative brightness, have their counterparts in double nebulæ; besides which the varieties of form and gradation of light in the latter afford room for combinations peculiar to this class of objects. Though the conclusive evidence of observed relative motion be yet wanting, and though from the vast scale on which such systems are constructed, and the probable extreme slowness of the angular motion, it may continue for ages to be so, yet it is impossible, when we cast our eyes upon such objects, or on the figures which have been given of them[†], to doubt their physical connexion. The argument drawn from the comparative rarity of the objects in proportion to the whole extent of the heavens, so cogent in the case of the double stars, is infinitely more so in that of the double nebulæ. Nothing more magnificent can be presented to our consideration, than such combinations. Their stupendous scale, the multitude of individuals they involve, the perfect symmetry and regularity which many of them present, the utter disregard of complication in thus heaping together system upon system, and construction upon construction, leave us lost in wonder and admiration at the evidence they afford of infinite power and unfathomable design.

(879.) Nebulæ of regular forms often stand in marked and symmetrical relation to stars, both single and double. Thus we are occasionally presented with the beautiful and striking phænomenon of a sharp and brilliant star concentrically surrounded by a perfectly circular disc or atmosphere of faint

тт4

61 an ous t is an ace ucc of ness inof a oy a pen-

ture eral. n, it es, if f the ig an) full is not go has ng by er, inoving, ich an inated fore, if qually b such nverse optical

> e concluall direcred which ould send et the eye less thew

could it do so if spread over a surface many million times exceeding in angular area the apparent disc of the central sun itself. (See Annuaire du Bureau des Longitudes, 1842, p. 409, 410, 411.)

^{*} See the figures in his paper, Phil. Trans. 1850.

[†] Phil. Trans. 1833, Plate vii.

light, in some cases dying away insensibly on all sides, in others almost suddenly terminated. These are *Nebulous Stars*. Fine examples of this kind are the 45th and 69th nebulæ of Sir Wm. Herschel's fourth class* (R. A. 7^h 19^m 8^s, N. P. D. $68^{\circ} 45'$, and $3^{h} 58^{m} 36^{s}$, $59^{\circ} 40'$), in which stars of the 8th magnitude are surrounded by photospheres of the kind above described respectively of 12'' and 25'' in diameter. Among stars of larger magnitudes, 55 Andromedæ and 3 Canum Venaticorum may be named as exhibiting the same phænomenon with more brilliancy, but perhaps with less perfect regularity.

(880.) The connexion of nebulæ with double stars is in many instances extremely remarkable. Thus in R. A. 18^h 7^m 1^s, N. P. D. 109° 56', occurs an elliptic nebula having its longer axis about 50", in which, symmetrically placed, and rather nearer the vertices than the foci of the ellipse, are the equal individuals of a double star, each of the 10th magnitude. In a similar combination noticed by M. Struve (in R. A. 18^h 25^m, N. P. D. 25° 7'), the stars are unequal and situated precisely at the two extremities of the major axis. In R. A. 13^h 47^m 33^s, N. P. D. 129° 9', an oval nebula of 2' in diameter has very near its center a close double star, the individuals of which, slightly unequal, and about the 9·10 magnitude, are not more than 2" asunder. The nucleus of Messier's 64th nebula is "strongly suspected" to be a close double star — and several other instances might be cited.

(881.) Among the nebulæ which, though deviating more from symmetry of form, are yet not wanting in a certain regularity of figure, and which seem clearly entitled to be regarded as systems of a definite nature, however mysterious their structure and destination, by far the most remarkable are the 27th and 51st of Messier's Catalogue.[†] The former

+ Places for 1830 : R. A. 19^h 52^m 12^s, N. P. D. 67^o 44', and R. A. 13^h 22^m 39^s, N. P. D. 41^o 56'.

con uni and env whi less forn here Ros scrib muc rend powe mear (8) inch globu tance ent p cumfe turne it (at round Rosse appear of a : toward arrang central appare nebula band o clearly evident still m Rosse (883)

The classes here referred to are not the species described in Art. 868., but lists of nebule, eight in number arranged according to brightness, size, density of elustering, &c., iu one or other of which all nebulæ were originally elassed by him. Class I. contains "Bright nebulæ;" II. "Faiut do.;" III. "Very faint do.;" IV. "Planetary nebulæ, stars with bars, milky chevelures, short rays, remarkable shapes, &c.;" V "Very large nebulæ;" VI. "Very compressed rich clusters;" VII. "Pretty much compressed do.;" VIII. "Coarsely scattered clusters."

NEBULÆ OF PECULIAR FORMS.

649

consists of two round or somewhat oval nebulous masses united by a short neck of nearly the same density. Both this and the masses graduate off, however, into a fainter nebulous envelope which completes the figure into an elliptic form, of which the interior masses with their connexion occupy the lesser axis. Seen in a reflector of 18 inches in aperture, the form has considerable regularity; and though a few stars are here and there scattered over it, it is unresolved. Lord Rosse, viewing it with a reflector of double that aperture, describes and figures it as resolved into numerous stars with much intermixed nebula; while the symmetry of form, by rendering visible features too faint to be scen with inferior power, is rendered considerably less striking, though by no means obliterated.

(882.) The 51st nebula of Messier, viewed through an 18inch reflector, presents the appearance of a large and bright globular nebula, surrounded by a ring at a considerable distance from the globe, very unequal in brightness in its different parts, and subdivided through about two-fifths of its circumference as if into two laminæ, one of which appears as if turned up towards the eye out of the plane of the rest. Near it (at about a radius of the ring distant) is a small bright round nebula. Viewed through the 6-feet reflector of Lord Rosse the aspect is much altered. The interior, or what appeared the upturned portion of the ring, assumes the aspect of a nebulous coil or convolution tending in a spiral form towards the center, and a general tendency to a spiroid arrangement of the streaks of nebula connecting the ring and central mass which this power brings into view, becomes apparent, and forms a very striking feature. The outlying nebula is also perceived to be connected by a narrow, curved band of nebulous light with the ring, and the whole, if not clearly resolved into stars, has a "resolvable" character which evidently indicates its composition. (See Pl. VI. fig. 3.) A still more decidedly spiral structure is indicated by Lord Rosse in Messicr's 99th nebula.

(883.) We come now to a class of nebulæ of totally differ-

in urs. of D. the ind ter. and ame less

s in 18^h g its and the tude. 18^h pre-13^h er has ls of b, are 64th ar —

more rtain to be rious kable rmer 8., but lensity sed by y faint t rays, ed rich attered

3h 22m

ent character. They are of very great extent, utterly devoid of all symmetry of form, - on the contrary, irregular and capricious in their shapes and convolutions to a most extraordinary degree, and no less so in the distribution of their light. No two of them can be said to present any similarity of figure or aspect, but they have one important character in They are all situated in, or very near, the borders common. of the Milky Way. The most remote from it is that in the sword handle of Orion, which being 20° from the galactic circle, and 15° from the visible border of the Via Lactea, might seem to form an exception, though not a striking one. But this very situation may be adduced as a corroboration of the general view which this principle of localization suggests. For the place in question is situated in the prolongation of that faint offset of the Milky Way which we traced (Art. 787.) from α and ε Persei towards Aldebaran and the Hyades, and in the zone of Great Stars noticed in Art. 785. as an appendage of, and probably bearing relation to that stratum.

١

t

iı

C.

a

it

m

br

in

ru

it,

wi

wł

a n

at

ma

nel

ciri

bri

for

refl

in

indi

and

refle

mat

(884.) From this it would appear to follow, almost as a matter of course, that they must be regarded as outlying, very distant, and as it were detached fragments of the great stratum of the Galaxy, and this view of the subject is strengthened when we find on mapping down their places that they may all be grouped in four great masses or nebúlons regions, — that of Orion, of Argo, of Sagittarius, and of Cygnus. And thus, inductively, we may gather some information respecting the structure and form of the Galaxy itself, which, could we view it as a whole, from a distance such as that which separates us from these objects, would very probably present itself under an aspect quite as complicated and irregular.

(885.) The great nebula surrounding the stars marked θ 1 in the sword handle of Orion was discovered by Huyghens in 1656, and has been repeatedly figured and described by astronomers since that time. Its appearance varies greatly (as that of all nebulous objects does) with the instrumental power applied, so that it is difficult to recognize in representations made with inferior telescopes, even principal features, to say nothing of subordinate details. Until this

NEBULA OF ORION.

651

levoid r and extratheir ilarity cter in oorders in the ralactic , might But of the uggests. ation of rt. 787.) des, and an apatum. a matter y distant, un of the ned when ay all be - that of nd thus,

ecting the l we view parates us elf under

arked θ 1 Huyghens cribed by es greatly trumental in reprencipal fea-Until this became well understood, it was supposed to have changed very materially, both in form and extent, during the interval clapsed since its first discovery. No doubt, however, now remains that these supposed changes have originated partly from the cause above-mentioned, partly from the difficulty of correctly drawing, and, still more, engraving such objects, and partly from a want of sufficient care in the earlier delineators themselves in faithfully copying that which they Our figure (Plate IV., fig. 1.) is reduced really did see. from a larger one made under very favourable circumstances, from drawings taken with an 18-inch reflector at the Cape of Good Hope, where its meridian altitude greatly exceeds what it has at European stations. The area occupied by this figure is about one 25th part of a square degree, extending in R.A. (or horizontally) 2^m of time, equivalent almost exactly to 30' in arc, the object being very near the equator, and 24' vertically, or in polar distance. The figure shows it reversed in both directions, the northern side being lowermost, and the preceding towards the left hand. In form, the brightest portion offers a resemblance to the head and yawning jaws of some monstrous animal, with a sort of proboscis running out from the snout. Many stars are scattered over it, which for the most part appear to have no connexion with it, and the remarkable sextuple star θ 1 Orionis, of which mention has already been made (Art. 837.), occupies a most conspicuous situation close to the brightest portion, at almost the edge of the opening of the jaws. It is remarkable, however, that within the area of the trapezium no nebula exists. The general aspect of the less luminous and cirrous portion is simply nebulous and irresolvable, but the brighter portion immediately adjacent to the trapezium, forming the square front of the head, is shown with the 18-inch reflector broken up into masses (very imperfectly represented in the figure), whose mottled and curdling light evidently indicates by a sort of granular texture its consisting of stars, and when examined under the great light of Lord Rosse's reflector, or the exquisite defining power of the great achromatic at Cambridge, U.S., is evidently perceived to con-

sist of clustering stars. There can therefore be little doubt as to the whole consisting of stars, too minute to be discerned individually even with these powerful aids, but which become visible as points of light when closely adjacent in the more crowded parts in the mode already more than once suggested.

(386.) The nebula is not confined to the limits of our figure. Northward of θ about 33', and nearly on the same meridian are two stars marked C 1 and C 2 Orionis, involved in a bright and branching nebula of very singular form, and south of it is the star ι Orionis, which is also involved in strong nebula. Careful examination with powerful telescopes has traced out a continuity of nebulous light between the great nebula and both these objects, and there can be little doubt that the nebulous region extends northwards, as far as ε in the belt of Orion, which is involved in strong nebulosity, as well as several smaller stars in the immediate neighbourhood. Professor Bond has given a beautiful figure of the great nebula in Trans. American Acad. of Arts and Seiences, new series, vol. iii.

f

f

W

te

u

of

th

an

ni

an

co

mo

the

an

ins

He

com

18h †

read

fig. Hoi

lect

(887.) The remarkable variation in lustre of the bright star η in Argo, has been already mentioned. This star is situated in the most condensed region of a very extensive nebula or congeries of nebular masses, streaks and branches, a portion of which is represented in fig. 2. Plate IV. The whole nebula is spread over an area of fully a square degree in extent, of which that included in the figure occupies about one-fourth, that is to say, 28' in polar distance, and 32' of are in R. A., the portion not included being, though fainter, even more capriciously contorted than that here depicted, in which it should be observed that the preceding side is towards the right hand, and the southern uppermost. Viewed with an 18-inch reflector, no part of this strange object shows any sign of resolution into stars, nor in the brightest and most condensed portion adjacent to the singular oval vacancy in the middle of the figure is there any of that curdled appearance, or that tendency to break up into bright knots with intervening darker portions which characterize the nebula of Orion, and indicate its resolvability. The whole

NEBULA OF ARGO.

doubt scerned become e more ggested. of our he same onis, inar form, involved ful telebetween e can be wards, as in strong mmediate ful figure Arts and

the bright his star is extensive branches, The IV. are degree ipies about and 32' of igh fainter, e depicted, ing side is Viewed t. bject shows ightest and val vacancy hat curdled right knots acterize the The whole is situated in a very rich and brilliant part of the Milky Way. so thickly strewed with stars (omitted in the figure), that in the area occupied by the nebula, not less than 1200 have been actually counted, and their places in R.A. and P.D. determined. Yet it is obvious that these have no connexion whatever with the nebula, being, in fact, only a simple continuation over it of the general ground of the galaxy, which on an average of two hours in Right Ascension in this period of its course contains no less than 3138 stars to the square degree, all, however, distinct, and (except where the object in question is situated) seen projected on a perfectly dark heaven, without any appearance of intermixed nebulosity. The conclusion can hardly be avoided, that in looking at it we see through, and beyond the Milky Way, far out into space, through a starless region, disconnecting it altogether from our system. " It is not easy for language to convey a full impression of the beauty and sublimity of the spectacle which this nebula offers, as it enters the field of view of a telescope fixed in Right Ascension, by the diurnal motion, ushered in as it is by so glorious and innumerable a procession of stars, to which it forms a sort of climax," and in a part of the heavens otherwise full of interest. One other bright and very remarkably formed nebula of considerable magnitude precedes it nearly on the same parallel, but without any traceable connexion between them.

(888.) The nebulous group of Sagittarius consists of several conspicuous nebulæ * of very extraordinary forms by no means easy to give an idea of by mere description. One of them $(h, 1991 \dagger)$ is singularly trifid, consisting of three bright and irregularly formed nebulous masses, graduating away insensibly externally, but coming up to a great intensity of

[•] About R. A. 17^h 52^m, N.P. D. 113° 1', four nebulæ, No. 41 of Sir Wm. Herschel's 4th class, and Nos. 1, 2, 3, of his 5th all connected into one great complex nebula, - In R. A. 17^h 53^m 27^s, N.P. D. 114° 21', the 8th, and in 18^h 11^m, 106° 15', the 17th of Messier's Catalogue.

[†] This number refers to the catalogue of nebulæ in Phil. Trans., 1833. The reader vill find figures of the several nebulæ of this group in that volume, pl. iv. fig. 35., in the Author's "Results of Observations, &c., at the Cape of Good Hope," Plates i, fig. 1., and ii. figs. 1 and 2, and in Mason's Memoir in the collection of the American Phil. Soc., vol. vii. art. xiii.

654

light at their interior edges, where they enclose and surround a sort of three-forked rift, or vacant area, abruptly and uncouthly crooked, and quite void of nebulous light. A beautiful triple star is situated precisely on the edge of one of these nebulous masses just where the interior vacancy forks out into two channels. A fourth nebulous mass spreads like a fan or downy plume from a star at a little distance from the triple nebula.

(889.) Nearly adjacent to the last described nebula, and no doubt connected with it, though the connexion has not yet been traced, is situated the 8th nebula of Messier's Catalogue. It is a collection of nebulous folds and masses, surrounding and including a number of oval dark vacancies, and in one place coming up to so great a degree of brightness, as to offer the appearance of an elongated nucleus. Superposed upon this nebula, and extending in one direction beyond its arca, is a fine and rich cluster of scattered stars, which seem to have no connexion with it, as the nebula does not, as in the region of Orion, show any tendency to congregate about the stars.

(890.) The 19th nebula of Messier's Catalogue, though some degrees remote from the others, evidently belongs to this group. Its form is very remarkable, consisting of two loops like capital Greek Omegas, the one bright, the other exceedingly faint, connected at their bases by a broad and very bright band of nebula, insulated within which by a narrow comparatively obscure border, stands a bright, resolvable knot, or what is probably a cluster of exceedingly minute A very faint round nebula stands in connexion with stars. the upper or convex portion of the brighter loop.

(891.) The nebulous group of Cygnus consists of several large and irregular nebulæ, one of which passes through the double star k Cygni, as a long, crooked, narrow streak, forking out in two or three places. The others *, observed in the first instance by Sir W. Herschel and by the author of this work as separate nebulæ, have been traced into connexion by Mr. Mason, and shown to form part of a curious and intricate nebulous system, consisting, 1st, of a long, narrow, curved,

* R.A. 20h 49m 20s, N.P.D. 58º 27'.

THE MAGELLANIC CLOUDS.

655

I surround y and un-A beauof one of eancy forks preads like ce from the

nebula, and has not yet Catalogue. urrounding es, and in tness, as to Superposed beyond its which seem a not, as in egate about

rue, though belongs to ting of two he other exad and very by a narrow , resolvable ngly minute nexion with

s of several through the eak, forking l in the first of this work xion by Mr. nd intricate row, curved, and forked streak, and 2dly, of a cellular effusion of great extent, in which the nebula occurs intermixed with, and adhering to stars around the borders of the cells, while their interior is free from nebula, and almost so from stars.

(892.) The Magellanic clouds, or the nubeculæ (major and minor), as they are called in the celestial maps and charts, are, as their name imports, two nebulous or cloudy masses of light, conspicuously visible to the naked eye, in the southern hemisphere, in the appearance and brightness of their light not unlike portions of the Milky Way of the same apparent size. They are, generally speaking, round, or somewhat oval, and the larger, which deviates most from the circular form, exhibits the appearance of an axis of light, very ill defined, and by no means strongly distinguished from the general mass, which seems to open out at its extremities into somewhat oval sweeps, constituting the preceding and following portions of its circumference. A small patch, visibly brighter than the general light around, in its following part, indicates to the naked eye the situation of a very remarkable nebula (No. 30. Doradûs of Bode's catologue), of which more here-The greater nubecula is situated between the meafter. ridians of 4^h 40^m and 6^h 0^m and the parallels of 156° and 162° of N.P.D., and occupies an area of about 42 square degrees. The lesser, between the meridians * 0h 28m and 1h 15m and the parallels of 162° and 165° N. P. D., covers about ten square Their degree of brightness may be judged of from degrees. the effect of strong moonlight, which totally obliterates the lesser, but not quite the greater.

(893.) When examined through powerful telescopes, the constitution of the nubeculæ, and especially of the nubecula major, is found to be of astonishing complexity. The general ground of both consists of large tracts and patches of nebulosity in every stage of resolution, from light, irresolvable with 18 inches of reflecting aperture, up to perfectly separated stars like the Milky Way, and clustering groups sufficiently insulated and condensed to come under the designation of irregular, and in some cases pretty rich clusters. But be-

* It is laid down nearly an hour wrong in all the celestial charts and globes

sides those, there are also nebulæ in abundance, both regular and irregular; globular clusters in every state of condensation; and objects of a nebulous character quite peculiar, and which have no analogue in any other region of the heavens. Such is the concentration of these objects, that in the area occupied by the nubecula major, not fewer than 278 nebulæ and clusters have been enumerated, besides 50 or 60 outliers, which (considering the general barrenness in such objects of the immediate neighbourhood) ought certainly to be reckoned as its appendages, being about 61 per square degree, which very far exceeds the average of any other, even the most crowded parts of the nebulous heavens. In the nubecula minor, the concentration of such objects is less, though still very striking, 37 having been observed within its area, and 6 adjacent, but outlying. The nubeculæ, then, combine, each within its own area, characters which in the rest of the heavens are no less strikingly separated, -viz., those of the galactic and the nebular system. Globular clusters (except in one region of small extent) and nebulæ of regular elliptic forms are comparatively rare in the Milky Way, and are found congregated in the greatest abundance in a part of the heavens, the most remote possible from that circle; whereas, in the nubecula, they are indiscriminately mixed with the general starry ground, and with irregular though small nebulæ.

(894.) This combination of characters, rightly considered, is in a high degree instructive, affording an insight into the probable comparative distance of stars and nebulæ, and the real brightness of individual stars as compared one with Taking the apparent semidiameter of the nubecula another. major at 3°, and regarding its solid form as, roughly speaking, spherical, its nearest and most remote parts differ in their distance from us by a little more than a tenth part of our distance from its center. The brightness of objects situated in its nearer portions, therefore, cannot be much exaggerated, nor that of its remoter much enfeebled, by their difference of distance; yet within this globular space, we have collected upwards of 600 stars of the 7th, 8th, 9th, and 10th magnitudes, nearly 300 nebulæ, and globular and other clusters,

two son to 1 (

n

t

v

k

m

a

di

in

an

(m

by

oce

bee

as

No

THE MAGELLANIC CLOUDS.

657

regular sation; which Such ccupied ilæ and outliers, bjects of reckoned nich very crowded ninor, the striking, icent, but in its own re no less the nebuon of small compararegated in s, the most e nubeculæ, eral starry

considered, ht into the alæ, and the ed one with he nubecula ly speaking, ffer in their part of our exts situated exaggerated, difference of ave collected 10th magniher clusters, of all degrees of resolubility, and smaller scattered stars innumerable of every inferior magnitude, from the 10th to such as by their multitude and minuteness constitute irresolvable nebulosity, extending over tracts of many square degrees. Were there but one such object, it might be maintained without utter improbability that its apparent sphericity is only an effect of foreshortening, and that in reality a much greater proportional difference of distance between its nearer and more remote parts exists. But such an adjustment, improbable enough in one case, must be rejected as too much so for fair argument in two. It must, therefore, be taken as a demonstrated fact, that stars of the 7th or 8th magnitude and irresolvable nebula may co-exist within limits of distance not differing in proportion more than as 9 to 10, a conclusion which must inspire some degree of caution in admitting, as certain, many of the consequences which have been rather strongly dwelt upon in the foregoing pages.

(895.) Immediately preceding the center of the nubecula minor, and undoubtedly belonging to the same group, occurs the superb globular cluster, No. 47. Toucani of Bode, very visible to the naked eye, and one of the finest objects of this kind in the heavens. It consists of a very condensed spherical mass of stars, of a pale rose colour, concentrically enclosed in a much less condensed globe of white ones, 15' or 20' in diameter. This is the first in order of the list of such clusters in Art. 867.

(896.) Within the nubecula major, as already mentioned, and faintly visible to the naked eye, is the singular nebula (marked as the star 30 Doradûs in Bode's Catalogue) noticed by Lacaille as resembling the nucleus of a small comet. It occupies about one-500th part of the whole area of the nubecula, and is so satisfactorily represented in Plate V., fig. 1., as to render further description superfluous. See § (896 a), Note K.

(897.) We shall conclude this chapter by the mention of two phænomena, which seem to indicate the existence of some slight degree of nebulosity about the sun itself, and even to place it in the list of nebulous stars. The first is that

called the zodiacal light, which may be seen any very clear evening soon after sunset, about the months of March, April, and May, or at the opposite seasons before sunrise, as a conc or lenticularly-shaped light, extending from the horizon obliquely upwards, and following generally the course of the ecliptic, or rather that of the sun's equator. The apparent angular distance of its vertex from the sun varies, according to circumstances, from 40° to 90°, and the breadth of its base nernendicular to its axis from 8° to 30°. It is extremely faint and ill defined, at least in this climate, though better seen in tropical regions, but cannot be mistaken for any atmospheric meteor or aurora borealis. It is manifestly in the nature of a lenticularly-formed envelope*, surrounding the sun, and extending beyond the orbits of Mercury and Venus, and nearly, perhaps quite, attaining that of the earth, since its vertex has been seen fully 90° from the sun's place in a great circle. It may be conjectured to be no other than the denser part of that medium, which, we have some reason to believe, resists the motion of comets; loaded, perhaps, with the actual materials of the tails of millions of those bodies, of which they have been stripped in their successive perihelion passages (Art. 566.). An atmosphere of the sun, in any proper sense of the word, it cannot be, since the existence of a gaseous envelope propagating pressure from part to part; subject to mutual friction in its strata, and therefore rotating in the same or nearly the same time with the central body; and of such dimensions and ellipticity, is utterly incompatible with dynamical laws. If its particles have inertia, they must necessarily stand with respect to the sun in the relation of separate and independent minute planets, each having its own orbit, plane of motion, and periodic time. The total mass being almost nothing compared to that of the sun, mutual perturbation is out of the question, though collisions among such as may cross each other's paths may operate in the course of

[•] I cannot imagine upon what grounds Humboldt persists in ascribing to it the form of a ring encircling the sun. For a most elaborate series of observations of the zodiacal light, by the Rev. G. Jones, see "United States Japan Expedition," vol. iii, 4°. Washington. 1856. It contains 357 plates of its appearance.




METEOROLITES AND SHOOTING STARS.

indefinite ages to effect a subsidence of at least some portion of it into the body of the sun or those of the planets.

(898.) Nothing prevents that these particles, or some among them, may have some tangible size, and be at very great distances from each other. Compared with planets visible in our most powerful telescopes, rocks and stony masses of great size and weight would be but as the impalpable dust which a sunbeam renders visible as a sheet of light when streaming through a narrow chink into a dark chamber. It is a fact, established by the most indisputable evidence, that stony masses and lumps of iron do occasionally, and indeed by no means unfrequently, fall upon the earth from the higher regions of our atmosphere (where it is obviously impossible they can have been generated), and that they have done so from the earliest times of history. Four instances are recorded of persons being killed by their fall. A block of stone fell at Ægos Potamos, B.C. 465, as large as two mill-stones; another at Narni, in 921, projected, like a rock, four feet above the surface of the river, into which it was seen to fall. The emperor Jehangire had a sword forged from a mass of meteoric iron which fell, in 1620, at Jahlinder, in the Punjab.* Sixteen instances of the fall of stones in the British Isles are well authenticated to have occurred since 1620, one of them in London. In 1803, on the 26th of April, thousands of stones were scattered by the explosion into fragments of a large fiery globe over a region of twenty or thirty square miles around the town of L'Aigle, in Normandy. The fact occurred at mid-day, and the circumstances were officially verified by a commission of the French government.† These, and innumerable other instances ‡, fully establish the general fact; and after vain attempts to account for it by volcanic projection, either from the earth or the moon, the planetary nature of these bodies seems at length to be almost generally admitted. The heat which they possess when fallen, the igneous phænomena which accompany

^{*} See the emperor's own very remarkable account of the occurrence, translated in Phil. Trans. 1793, p. 202.

[†] See M. Biot's report in Mém. de l'Institut. 1806.

[‡] See a list of upwards of 200, published by Chladni, Annales du Burcan des Longitudes de France, 1825.

them, their explosion on arriving within the denser regions of our atmosphere, &c., are all sufficiently accounted for on physical principles, by the condensation of the air before them in consequence of their enormous velocity, and by the relations of air in a highly attenuated state to heat.*

e

n

r

0

a

S

tł

id

Α

re

าท

 \mathbf{pl}

co

ciı

it

su

un

bre

 \sin

ear

and

tin

mo

als

fro

Cui

con

fro

as i

(899.) Besides stony and metallic masses, however, it is probable that bodies of very different natures, or at least states of aggregation, are thus circulating round the sun. Shooting stars, often followed by long trains of light, and those great fiery globes, of more rare, but not very uncommon occurrence, which are seen traversing the upper regions of our atmosphere, sometimes leaving trains behind them remaining for many minutes, sometimes bursting with a loud explosion, sometimes becoming quietly extinct, may not unreasonably be presumed to be bodies extraneous to our planet, which only become visible when in the act of grazing the confines of our atmosphere. Among the last mentioned meteors are some which can hardly be supposed solid masses. The remarkable meteor of Aug. 18. 1783, traversed the whole of Europe, from Shetland to Rome, with a velocity of about 30 miles per second, at a height of 50 miles from the surface of the earth, with a light greatly surpassing that of the full moon, and a real diameter of fully half a mile. Yet with these vast dimensions, it made a sudden bend in its course; it changed its form visibly, and at length quietly separated into several distinct bodies, accompanying each other in parallel courses, and each followed by a tail or train.

(900.) There are circumstances in the history of shooting stars, which very strongly corroborate the idea of their extraneous or *cosmical* origin, and their circulation round the sun in definite orbits. On several occasions they have been observed to appear in unusual, and, indeed, astorishing numbers, so as to convey the idea of a shower of rockets, or of snow-flakes falling, and brilliantly illuminating the whole heavens for hours together, and that not in one locality, but over whole continents and oceans, and even (in one instance) in both hemispheres. Now it is extremely remarkable that, whenever this great display has been exhibited (at least in

[•] Edinburgh Review, Jan. 1848, p. 195. It is very remarkable that no new chemical element has been detected in any of the numerous meteorolites which have been subjected to analysis.

PERIODIC APPEARANCE OF METEORS.

 \mathbf{s}

n

e

e

is

38

Ig

nt

e,

ъ,

ıy

es

ed

si-

re.

lly

18.

ne,

50

ss-

fа

nd

tly

hch

in.

ing

ex-

the

een

m-

of

ole

but

ce)

at,

in

new nich modern times), it has uniformly happened on the night between the 12th and 13th, or on that between the 13th and 14th of November. Such cases occurred in 1799, 1823, On tracing back the records of 1832, 1833, and 1834. similar phænomene, it has been ascertained, moreover, that more often those identical nights, but sometimes those immediately adjacent, have been, time out of mind, habitually signalized by such exhibitions. Another annually recurring epoch, in which, though far less brilliant, the display of meteors is more *certain* (for that of November is often interrupted for a great many years), is that of the 10th of August, on which night, and on the 9th and 11th, numerous, large, and bright shooting stars, with trains, are almost sure to be scen. Other epochs of periodic recurrence, less marked than the above, have also been to a certain extent established.

(901.) It is impossible to attribute such a recurrence of identical dates of very remarkable phanomena to accident. Annual periodicity, irrespective of geographical position, refers us at once to the place occupied by the earth in its annual orbit, and leads direct to the conclusion that at that place the easth incurs a nability to *frequent* encounters or concurrences with a stream of meteors in their progress of circulation round the sun. Let us test this idea by pursuing it into some of its consequences. In the first place then, supposing the earth to plunge, in its yearly circuit, into a uniform ring of innumerable small meteor-planets, of such breadth as would be traversed by it in one or two days; since during this small time the motions, whether of the earth or of each individual meteor, may be taken as uniform and rectilinear, and those of all the latter (at the place and time) parallel, or very nearly so, it will follow that the relative motion of the meteors referred to the earth as at rest, will be also uniform, rectilinear, and parallel. Viewed, therefore, from the center of the earth (or from any point in its circumference, if we neglect the diurnal velocity as very small compared with the annual) they will all appear to diverge from a common point, fixed in relation to the celestial sphere, as if emanating from a sidereal apex (Art. 115.).

U U 3

(902.) Now this is precisely what actually happens. The meteors of the 12th-14th of November, or at least the vast majority of them, describe apparently arcs of great circles, passing through or near γ Leonis. No matter what the situation of that star with respect to the horizon or to its cast and west points may be at the time of observation, the paths of the meteors all appear to diverge from that star. On the 9th-11th of August the geometrical fact is the same, the apex only differing; B Camelopardali being for that epoch the point of divergence. As we need not suppose the meteoric ring coincident in its plane with the ecliptic, and as for a ring of meteors we may substitute an elliptic annulus of any reasonable excentricity, so that both the velocity and direction of each meteor may differ to any extent from the earth's, there is nothing in the great and obvious difference in latitude of these apices at all militating against the conclusion.

(903.) If the metcors be uniformly distributed in such a ring or elliptic annulus, the earth's encounter with them in every revolution will be certain, if it occur once. But if the ring be broken, if it be a succession of groupes revolving in an ellipse in a period *not* identical with that of the earth, years may pass without a rencontre; and when such happen, they may differ to any extent in their intensity of character, according as richer or poorer groupes have been encountered.

(904.) No other plausible explanation of these highly characteristic features (the annual periodicity, and divergence from a common apex, always alike for each respective epoch) has been even attempted, and accordingly the opinion is generally gaining ground among astronomers that shooting stars belong to their department of science, and great interest is excited in their observation and the further development of their laws. The most connected and systematic series of observations of them, having for their object to trace out their relative paths with respect to the earth, are those of Benzenberg and Brandes, who, by noting the instants and apparent places of appearance and extinction, as well as the precise

ap th in in 16 mi ine rei \mathbf{A}_{1} sui of per lou the viz per ear 10 the tra pos (app and mai and ligh eart into ecli Case dist of] leas in a to 2 abor

PERIODIC APPEARANCE OF METEORS.

e

e

t

t

3

c

r.

e

r

3e

c,

ic

ıc

nt

us

st

.. in

he

in

h,

n,

er,

n-

a-

co

h)

is

 \mathbf{ng}

est

of

oť

eir

en-

ent

ise

apparent paths among the stars, of individual meteors, from the extremities of a measured base line nearly 50,000 fect in length, were led to conclude that their heights at the instant of their appearance and disappearance vary from 16 miles to 140, and their relative velocities from 18 to 36 miles per second, velocities so great as clearly to indicate an independent planetary circulation round the sun. A very remarkable meteor or bolide, which appeared on the 19th August, 1847, was observed at Dieppe and at Paris, with sufficient precision to admit of calculation of the elements of its orbit in absolute space. This calculation has been performed by M. Petit, director of the observatory of Toulouse, and the following hyperbolic elements of its orbit round the sun are stated by him (Astr. Nachr. 701.) as its result; viz., Semimajor axis = -0.3240083; excentricity = 3.95130; perihelion distance = 0.95626; inclination to plane of the carth's equator, 18° 20' 18"; ascending node on the same plane, 10° 34' 48"; motion direct. According to this calculation, the body would have occupied no less than 37340 years in travelling from the distance of the nearest fixed star supposed to have a parallax of 1''.

(905.) It is by no means inconceivable that the earth approaching to such as differ but little from it in direction and velocity, may have attached many of them to it as permanent satellites, and of these there may be some so large, and of such texture and solidity, as to shine by reflected light, and become visible (such, at least, as are very near the earth) for a brief moment, suffering extinction by plunging into the earth's shadow; in other words, undergoing total eclipse. Sir John Lubbock is of opinion that such is the case, and has given geometrical formulæ for calculating their distances from observations of this nature.* The observations of M. Petit would lead us to believe in the existence of at least one such body, revolving round the earth, as a satellite, in about 3 hours 20 minutes, and therefore at a distance equal to 2.513 radii of the earth from its center, or 5000 miles above its surface.

Phil, Mag., Lond. Ed. Dub. 1848, p. 80.

† Comptes Rendus, Oct. 12, 1846, and Aug. 9, 1847.

u v Ł

wi

un

ne

nu

un

its

tio

su

ob

its

fac

of

scr

aff

٠

whe

is n per

be i

tiali

this fore

theo latte

pare

emp say

I Abł

(905 a.) In art. 400. the generation of heat by friction is suggested as affording a possible explanation of the supply of solar heat, without actual combustion. A very old doctrine, advocated on grounds anything rather than reasonable or even plausible by Bacon, but afterwards worked into a circumstantial and elaborate theory by the elder Seguin, which makes heat to consist in a continual, rapid, vibratory or gyratory motion of the particles of bodies, has of late been put forward into great prominence by Messrs. Mayer, Joale and Thomson. According to this theory motion once generated, or however originating, is never destroyed, but continues to subsist in the form of "vis viva" among the molecules of bodies, even when by their impact or mutual obstruction they appear to have been brought to rest.* The "vis viva" only this another form, and is disseminated, as increased vibrato r gyratory movement, among their molecules; as such it is heat, or light, or both, and is communicated to the molecules of the luminiferous ether, and so distributed throughout that ether, constituting the phænomena of radiant light and heat. Granting a few postulates (not very easy of conception, and still less so of admission when conceived,) this theory is not without its plausibility, and certainly does (on its own conventions) give a consistent account of the production of heat by friction and impact. It has been applied by Messrs. Watherson and Thomson to explain the evolution of solar light and heat, as follows. According to the former, the meteorolites which, revolving in very excentric or cometic orbits, arrive within the limits of the solar atmosphere are precipitated on the sun's surface in such abundance, and with such velocity, as to generate in the way above described the totality of the emitted radiants. Prof. Thomson, undismayed as would appear by the perpetual battery thus kept up on the sun's surface (on every square foot of which, on Mr. Watherson's view of the subject, a weight of matter equal to 5 lbs. would require to be delivered per hour with a velocity of 390 miles per second, covering the whole surface

* On this point see a paper by the Author on the absorption of light, Lond. and Ed. Phil. Mag. and Journ., 3rd series, vol. iii, No. 18, Dec. 1833.

PRODUCTION OF SOLAR HEAT AND LIGHT. 665

s

f

,

r

·h

l-

r-

1,

ю

of

n ,"

ed

as

he

ed .nt

of

d,)

bes

·0-

cd

he or ere nd ed isept on ter h a with stony or other solid material, to the depth of 12 feet per annum, if of the density of granite,) prefers to consider the nebula of the zodiacal light in z vaporous state as continually subsiding into the sun, by gradual spiral approach, until suddenly meeting with greatly increased resistance in its atmosphere (as arriving in a state of more rapid revolution) by friction on the external envelope or photosphere of its surface (art. 389.), produces there the heat and light actually observed; whereas the theory of Mr. Watherson would place its origin on the solid surface itself, contrary to the observed fact.* Our readers will judge for themselves what degree of support the telescopic aspect of the sun's surface as described in arts. 386—395, and especially (387 a), Note G, affords.

* The quantity of matter annually required to be deposited on the sun, whether in a pulverulent, liquid, or vaporous form, by Prof. Thomson's theory, is nearly double of that ealled for by Mr. Watherson's, viz., 24 feet of granite per annum, *i.e.* a mile in 260 years; so that the sun's apparent diameter would be increasing at the rate of about 1" per 100,000 years on this hypothesis. In the "Manuel de la Science, ou Annuaire du Cosmos" for 1859, by the

In the "Manuel de la Science, ou Annuaire du Cosmos" for 1859, by the Abbé Moigno (a work of high interest, and, generally speaking, of great impartiality in the discussion of elaims to scientilie priority), pp. 85, 6, 7, 2^{mo} partie, this article is so translated (probably for want of a perfect appretiation of the theory in question and to M. Seguin's doctrine. This, however (especially the latter, as stated at length in 1?t. 1. pp. 224. et seq.), 1 am very far from prepared to give:—and the English reader will, I presume, consider the terms employed quite sufficiently guarded, even as respects the general principle; to say nothing of the specialities of M. Seguin's theory.—[Note added, 1859.]

PART IV.

OF THE ACCOUNT OF TIME.

CHAPTER XVIII.

NATURAL UNITS OF TIME. — RELATION OF THE SIDEREAL TO THE SOLAR DAY AFFECTED BY PRECESSION. — INCOMMENSURABILITY OF THE DAY AND YEAR. — ITS INCONVENIENCE. — HOW OB-VIATED. — THE JULIAN CALENDAR. — IRREGULARITIES AT ITS FIRST INTRODUCTION. — REFORMED BY AUGUSTUS. — GREGORIAN REFORMATION. — SOLAR AND LUNAR CYCLES. — INDICTION. — JULIAN PERIOD. — TABLE OF CHRONOLOGICAL ERAS. — RULES FOR CALCULATING THE DAYS ELAPSED BETWEEN GIVEN DATES. — EQUINOCTIAL TIME. — FIXATION OF ANCIENT DATES BY ECLIPSES.

(906). TIME, like distance, may be measured by comparison with standards of any length, and all that is requisite for ascertaining correctly the length of any interval, is to be able to apply the standard to the interval throughout its whole extent, without overlapping on the one hand, or leaving unmeasured vacancies on the other; to determine, without the possible error of a unit, the number of integer standards which the interval admits of being interposed between its beginning and end; and to estimate precisely the fraction, over and above an integer, which remains when all the possible integers are subtracted.

(907). But though all standard units of time are equally possible, theoretically speaking, yet all are not, practically, equally convenient. The solar day is a natural interval which the wants and occupations of man in every state of society force upon him, and compel him to adopt as his fundamental unit of time. Its length as estimated from the departure of the sun from a given meridian, and its next return to the same, is subject, it is true, to an annual fluctuation in excess and defect of its mean value, amounting at its

ma pu est tac ing age exi ear on any she suc hea wh aln cep rac obs Fre side seco the star true suff fron orbi (the the side bodi the a impo plies * 7 ation

voluti

maximum to full half a minute. But except for astronomical purposes, this is too small a change to interfere in the slightest degree with its use, or to attract any attention, and the tacit substitution of its mean for its true (or variable) value may be considered as having been made from the earliest ages, by the ignorance of mankind that any such fluctuation existed.

(E CY

в-

rs

N

ES

ES.

BY

on

for

be

its

or

he,

ger

ed

ely

en

lly

ly,

val

of

his

lhe

ext 1a-

its

(908). The time occupied by one complete rotation of the earth on its axis, or the mean * sidereal day, may be shewn, on dynamical principles, to be subject to no variation from any external cause, and although its duration would be shortened by contraction in the dimensions of the globe itself, such as might arise from the gradual escape of its internal heat, and consequent refrigeration and shrinking of the whole mass, yet theory, on the one hand, has rendered it almost certain that this cause cannot have effected any perceptible amount of change during the history of the human race; and, on the other, the comparison of ancient and modern observations affords every corroboration to this conclusion. From such comparisons, Laplace has concluded that the sidereal day has not changed by so much as one hundredth of a second since the time of Hipparchus. The mean sidercal day therefore possesses in perfection the essential quality of a standard unit, that of complete invariability. The same is true of the mean sidereal year, if estimated upon an average sufficiently large to compensate the minute fluctuations arising from the periodical variations of the major axis of the earth's orbit due to planetary perturbation (Art. 668.).

(909.) The mean solar day is an immediate derivative of the sidereal day and year, being connected with them by the same relation which determines the synodic from the sidereal revolutions of any two planets or other revolving bodies (Art. 418.). The *exact* determination of the ratio of the sidereal to the solar day, which is a point of the utmost importance in astronomy, is however, in some degree, complicated by the effect of precession, which renders it necessary

[•] The true sidereal day is variable by the effect of nutation; but the variation (an excessively minute fraction of the whole) compensates itself in a revolution of the moon's nodes.

to distinguish between the absolute time of the earth's rotation on its axis, (the real natural and invariable standard of comparison,) and the mean interval between two successive returns of a given star to the same meridian, or rather of a given meridian to the same star, which not only differs by a minute quantity from the sidereal day, but is actually not the same for all stars. As this is a point to which a little difficulty of conception is apt to attach, it will be necessary to explain it in some detail. Suppose then π the pole of the ecliptic, and P that of the equinoctial, A C the solstitial colure at any given moment of time, and $\mathbf{P} p q r$ the small circle described by P about π in one revolution of the equinoxes, i.e. in 25870 years, or 9448300 solar days, all projected on the plane of the ecliptic A B C D. Let S be a star anywhere situated on the ecliptic, or between it and the small circle P q r. Then if the pole P were at



rest, a meridian of the earth setting out from PSC, and revolving in the direction CD, will come again to the star after the exact lapse of one sidereal day, or one rotation of the earth on its axis. But P is not at rest. After the lapse of one such day it will have come into the situation (suppose) p, the vernal equinox B having retreated to b, and the colure PC having taken up the new position pc. Now a conical movement impressed on the axis of rotation of a globe already rotating is equivalent to a rotation impressed

on to per fer thr glo pas me thi hoi me 80 of Af trai tota No con wh the wh of rev hav woi snc one of 1.00 (as lagg situ afte beg mor s al deci

NATURAL UNITS OF TIME.

on the whole globe round the axis of the cone, in addition to that which the globe has and retains round its own independent axis of revolution. Such a new rotation, in transferring P to p, being performed round an axis passing through π , will not alter the situation of that point of the globe which has π in its zenith. Hence it follows that $p \pi c$ passing through π will be the position taken up by the meridian $\mathbf{P} \pi \mathbf{C}$ after the lapse of an exact sidereal day. But this does not pass through S, but falls short of it by the hour-angle πp S, which is yet to be described before the meridian comes up to the star. The meridian, then, has lost so much on, or lagged so much behind, the star in the lapse of that interval. The same is true whatever be the arc $\mathbf{P} p$. After the lapse of any number of days, the pole being transferred to p, the spherical angle π p S will measure the total hour angle which the meridian has lost on the star. Now when S lies any where between C and r, this angle continually increases (though not uniformly), attaining 180° when p comes to r, and still (as will appear by following out the movement beyond r) increasing thence till it attains 360° when p has completed its circuit. Thus in a whole revolution of the equinoxes, the meridian will have lost one exact revolution upon the star, or in 9448300 sidereal days, will have re-attained the star only 9448299 times: in other words, the length of the day measured by the mean of the successive arrivals of any star outside of the circle P p q r on one and the same meridian is to the absolute time of rotation of the earth on its axis as 9448300 : 9448299, or as 1.00000011 to 1.

(910.) It is otherwise of a star situated within this circle, as at σ . For such a star the angle $\pi p \sigma$, expressing the lagging of the meridian, increases to a maximum for some situation of p between q and r, and decreases again to o at r; after which it takes an opposite direction, and the meridian begins to get in advance of the star, and continues to get more and more so, till p has attained some point between s and P, where the advance is a maximum, and thence decreases again to o when p has completed its circuit. For

h's ird ve fa y a not tle esthe the q rion blar D. een e at

und tar of pse se) the w a f a scd

any star so situated, then, the mean of all the days so estimated through a whole period of the equinoxes is an absolute sidercal day, as if precession had no existence. de

cul

diu

or

the

fro

poi ecli

slo infl

atic

the 4·2

abs

inv emj

ado

as r cen the

the sent the

case

and

by [

the of g

whic

with

stan

grea

our

parts

year

any

but 1

(9

(911.) If we compare the sun with a star situated in the ecliptic, the sidereal year is the mean of all the intervals of its arrival at that star throughout indefinite ages, or (without fear of sensible error) throughout recorded history. Now, if we would calculate the synodic sidereal revolution of the sun and of a meridian of the earth by reference to a star so situated, according to the principles of Art. 418., we must proceed as follows: Let D be the length of the mean solar day (or synodic day in question) d the mean sidereal revolution of the meridian with reference to the same star, and y the sidereal year. Then the arcs described by the sun and the meridian in the interval D will be respectively $360^{\circ} \frac{D}{y}$ and $360^{\circ} \frac{D}{d}$. And since the latter of these exceeds the former by precisely 360° , we have

$$360^{\circ} \frac{\mathrm{D}}{d} = 360^{\circ} \frac{\mathrm{D}}{y} + 360^{\circ};$$

whence it follows that

$$\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{d}} = 1 + \frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{y}} = 1.00273780,$$

taking the value of the sidereal year y as given in Art. 383, viz. 365^d 6^h 9^m 9.6^s. But, as we have seen, d is not the absolute sidereal day, but exceeds it in the ratio 1.00000011: 1. Hence to get the value of the mean solar day, as expressed in absolute sidereal days, the number above set down must be increased in the same ratio, which brings it to 1.00273791, which is the ratio of the solar to the sidereal day actually in use among astronomers.

(912). It would be well for chronology if mankind would, or could have contented themselves with this one invariable, natural, and convenient standard in their reckoning of time. The ancient Egyptians did so, and by their adoption of an historical and official year of 365 days have afforded the only example of a practical chronology, free from all obscurity or complication. But the return of the seasons, on which

NATURAL UNITS OF TIME.

depend all the more important arrangements and business of cultivated life is not conformable to such a multiple of the Their return is regulated by the tropical year, diurnal unit. or the interval between two successive arrivals of the sun at the vernal equinox, which, as we have seen (Art. 383.), differs from the sidereal year by reason of the motion of the equinoctial points. Now this motion is not absolutely uniform, because the ecliptic, upon which it is estimated, is gradually, though very slowly, changing its situation in space under the disturbing influence of the planets (Art. 640.). And thus arises a variation in the tropical year, which is dependent on the place of the equinox (Art. 383.). The tropical year is actually about 4.21^s shorter than it was in the time of Hipparchus. This absence of the most essential requisite for a standard, viz. invariability, renders it necessary, since we cannot help employing the tropical year in our reckoning of time, to adopt an arbitrary or artificial value for it, so near the truth, as not to admit of the accumulation of its error for several centuries producing any practical mischief, and thus satisfying the ordinary wants of civil life; while, for scientific purposes, the tropical year, so adopted, is considered only as the representative of a certain number of integer days and a fraction --the day being, in effect, the only standard employed. The case is nearly analagous to the reckoning of value by guineas and shillings, an artificial relation of the two coins being fixed by law, near to, but scarcely ever exactly coincident with, the natural one, determined by the relative market price of gold and silver, of which either the one or the other -whichever is really the most invariable, or the most in use with other nations, - may be assumed as the true theoretical standard of value.

(913). The other inconvenience of the tropical year as a greater unit is its incommensurability with the lesser. In our measure of space all our subdivisions are into aliquot parts: a yard is three feet, a mile eight furlongs, &c. But a year is no *exact* number of days, nor an integer number with any exact fraction, as one third or one fourth, over and above; but the surplus is an *incommensurable* fraction, composed of

so an

he

of ut if un ed, as (or of eal ian \underline{D} .

ely

83, ab-: 1. be 91, dly nc. an

or

ich

hours, minutes, seconds, &c., which produces the same kind of inconvenience in the reckoning of time that it would do in that of money, if we had gold coins of the value of twentyone shillings, with odd pence and farthings, and a fraction of a farthing over. For this, however, there is no remedy but to keep a strict register of the surplus fractions; and, when they amount to a whole day, cast them over into the integer account.

(914.) To do this in the simplest and most convenient manner is the object of a well-adjusted calendar. In the Gregorian calendar, which we follow, it is accomplished with considerable simplicity and neatness, by carrying a little farther than is done above, the principle of an assumed or artificial year, and adopting two such years, both consisting of an exact integer number of days, viz. one of 365 and the other of 366, and laying down a simple and easily remembered rule for the order in which these years shall succeed each other in the civil reckoning of time, so that during the lapse of at least some thousands of ye the sum of the integer artificial, or Gregorian, years _____sed shall not differ from the same number of real tropical years by a whole day. By this contrivance, the equinoxes and solstices will always fall on days similarly situated, and bearing the same name in each Gregorian year; and the seasons will for ever correspond to the same months, instead of running the round of the whole year, as they must do upon any other system of reckoning, and used, in fact, to do before this was adopted as a matter of ignorant haphazard in the Greek and Roman chronology, and of strictly defined and superstitiously rigorous observance in the Egyptian.

(915.) The Gregorian rule is as follows:— The years are denominated as years current (not as years elapsed) from the midnight between the 31st of December and the 1st of January immediately subsequent to the birth of Christ, according to the chronological determination of that event by Dionysius Exiguus. Every year whose number is not divisible by 4 without remainder, consists of 365 days; every year which is so divisible, but is not divisible by 100, of 366; every year

di ye 18 36 ord let con nu div of 1 con all yea trop 365the say, ficie cept this word cont deela days. calcu the which years (9 The This and a and A to Ja (9) a rat witho

divisible by 100, but not by 400, again of 365; and every year divisible by 400, again of 366. For example, the year 1833, not being divisible by 4, consists of 365 days; 1836 of 366; 1800 and 1900 of 365 each; but 2000 of 366. In order to see how near this rule will bring us to the truth, let us see what number of days 10000 Gregorian years will contain, beginning with the year A. D. 1. Now, in 10000, the numbers not divisible by 4 will be 3 of 10000 or 7500; those divisible by 100, but not by 400, will in like manner be $\frac{3}{4}$ of 100, or 75; so that, in the 10000 years in question, 7575 consist of 366, and the remaining 2425 of 365, producing in all 3652425 days, which would give for an average of each year, one with another, 365^d·2425. The actual value of the tropical year, (art. 383.) reduced into a decimal fraction, is 365.24224, so the error in the Gregorian rule on 10000 of the present tropical years, is 2.6, or 2^d 14^h 24^m; that is to say, less than a day in 3000 years; which is more than sufficient for all human purposes, those of the astronomer excepted, who is in no danger of being led into error from this cause. Even this error is avoided by extending the wording of the Gregorian rule one step farther than its contrivers probably thought it worth while to go, and declaring that years divisible by 4000 should consist of 365 This would take off two integer days from the above davs. calculated number, and 2.5 from a larger average; making the sum of days in 100000 Gregorian years, 36524225. which differs only by a single day from 100000 real tropical years, such as they exist at present.*

(916.) In the historical dating of events there is no year A.D. 0. The year immediately previous to A.D. 1, is always called B.C. 1. This must always be borne in mind in reckoning chronological and astronomical intervals. The sum of the nominal years B.C. and A.D. must be diminished by 1. Thus, from Jan. 1. B.C. 4713, to Jan. 1. A.D. 1582, the years elapsed are not 6295, but 6294.

(917.) As any distance along a high road might, though in a rather inconvenient and roundabout way, be expressed without introducing error by setting up a series of milestones,

* See note (A) at the end of the chapter.

XX

nd do yof out cen ger

ent

the ith ttle ned on-) of and ears , 80 the roed ears and and the tead ; do o do d in ined are the of

> i by nich rear

brd-

ny-

at intervals of unequal lengths, so that every fourth mile, for instance, should be a yard longer than the rest, or according to any other fixed rule; taking care only to mark the stones so as to leave room for no mistake, and to advertise all travellers of the difference of lengths and their order of succession; so may any interval of time be expressed correctly by stating in what Gregorian years it begins and ends, and whereabouts in each. For this statement coupled with the declaratory rule, enables us to say how many integer years are to be reckoned at 365, and how many at 366 days. The latter years are called bissextiles, or leap-years, and the surplus days thus thrown into the reckoning are called intercalary or leapdays.

(918.) If the Gregorian rule, as above stated, had always and in all countries been known and followed, nothing would be easier than to reckon the number of days elapsed between the present time, and any historical recorded event. But this is not the case; and the history of the calendar, with reference to chronology, or to the calculation of ancient observations, may be compared to that of a clock, going regularly when left to itself, but sometimes forgotten to be wound up; and when wound, sometimes set forward, sometimes backward, either to serve particular purposes and private interests, or to rectify blunders in setting. Such, at least, appears to have been the case with the Roman calendar, in which our own originates, from the time of Numa to that of Julius Cæsar, when the lunar year of 13 months, or 355 days, was augmented at pleasure to correspond to the solar, by which the seasons are determined, by the arbitrary intercalations of the priests, and the usurpations of the decemvirs and other magistrates, till the confusion became inextricable. To Julius Cæsar, assisted by Sosigenes, an eminent Alexandrian astronomer and mathematician, we owe the neat contrivance of the two years of 365 and 366 days, and the insertion of one bissextile after three common years. This important change took place in the 45th year before Christ, which he ordered to commence on the 1st of January, being the day of the new moon immediately following the winter solstice of the year before. We may

ji b sa of

ลร We th est to eq ter sys as . 4th in y and Au thre sum futu neve its c refor A. U. 16, fact, are 1 previ have this p past as if inter

* Se not dec state th

JULIAN REFORMATION

judge of the state into which the reckoning of time had fallen, by the fact, that to introduce the new system it was necessary to enact that the previous year, 46 B.C., should consist of 445 days, a circumstance which obtained for it the epithet of "the year of confusion."

(919.) Had Cæsar lived to carry out into practical effect, as Chief Pontiff, his own reformation, an inconvenience would have been avoided, which at the very outset threw the whole matter into confusion. The words of his edict, establishing the Julian system have not been handed down to us, but it is probable that they contained some expression equivalent to "every fourth year," which the priests misinterpreting after his death to mean (according to the sacerdotal system of numeration) as counting the leap year newly elapsed as No. 1. of the four, intercalated every third instead of every This erroneous practice continued during 36 years, 4th year. in which therefore 12 instead of 9 days were intercalated, and an error of three days produced; to rectify which, Augustus ordered the suspension of all intercalation during three complete quadriennia, — thus restoring, as may be presumed his intention to have been, the Julian dates for the future, and re-establishing the Julian system, which was never afterwards vitiated by any error, till the epoch when its own inherent defects gave occasion to the Gregorian reformation. According to the Augustan reform the years A.U.C. 761, 765, 769, &c., which we now call A.D. 8, 12, 16, &c., are leap years. And starting from this as a certain fact, (for the statements of the transaction by classical authors are not so precise as to leave absolutely no doubt as to the previous intermediate years,) astronomers and chronologists have agreed to reckon backwards in unbroken succession on this principle, and thus to carry the Julian chronology into past time, as if it had never suffered such interruption, and as if it were certain* that Cæsar, by way of securing the intercalation as a matter of precedent, made his initial year

X X 2

675

or ıg es all ICby relato ter ays aprays buld veen this eferoserlarly . սթ ; backrests, rs to own æsar, ented ns arc , and s, till sisted and years after ce in nence mmee may

[•] Scaliger, Ideler, and the best authorities consider it probable. A strong, if not decisive, argument in its favour, is that Augustus evidently intending to reinstate the Julian idea, and with a clear view of the recent inconveniences present

ti

b

n

tł

ti

y

88

pe

fr

co

co

of

de

ex

int

ca

4>

im

aco

da

(or

of

exa

use

the

and

ber

To

div

nur

15,

yea

the all

peri

45 B.C. a leap year. Whenever, therefore, in the relation of any event, either in ancient history, or in modern, previous to the change of style, the time is specified in our modern nomenclature, it is always to be understood as having been identified with the assigned date by threading the mazes (often very tangled and obscure ones) of special and national chronology, and referring the day of its occurrence to its place in the Julian system so interpreted.

(920.) Different nations in different ages of the world have of course reckoned their time in different ways, and from different epochs, and it is therefore a matter of great convenience that astronomers and chronologists (as they have agreed on the uniform adoption of the Julian system of years and months) should also agree on an epoch antecedent to them all, to which, as to a fixed point in time, the whole list of chronological eras can be differentially referred. Such an epoch is the noon of the 1st of January, B. c. 4713, which is called the epoch of the Julian period, a cycle of 7980 Julian years, to understand the origin of which, we must explain that of three subordinate cycles, from whose combination it takes its rise, by the multiplication together of the numbers of years severally contained in them, viz: — the Solar and Lunar cycles, and that of the indictions.

(921.) The Solar cycle consists of 28 Julian years, after the lapse of which the same days of the week on the Julian system would always return to the same days of each month throughout the year. For four such years consisting of 1461 days, which is not a multiple of 7, it is evident that the least number of years which will fulfil this condition must be seven times that interval, or 28 years. The place in this cycle for any year A.D., as 1849, is found by adding 9 to the year, and dividing by 28. The remainder is the number sought, 0 being counted as 28.

to his mind, did actually direct the future intercalations to take place in odd years u.c. Such then, no doubt, must have sen Cæsar's intention. For the correction of Roman dates during the fifty-two years between the Julian and Augustan reformations, see Ideler, "Handbuch der Mathematischen und Technischen Chronologie," which we take for our guide throughout this chapter.

LUNAR CYCLE. INDICTION.

(922.) The Lunar cycle consists of 19 years or 235 lunations, which differ from 19 Julian years of 365¹/₄ days only by about an hour and a half, so that, supposing the new moon to happen on the first of January, in the first year of the cycle, it will happen on that day (or within a very short time of its beginning or ending) again after a lapse of 19 years; and all the new moons in the interval will run on the same days of the month as in the preceding cycle. This period of 19 years is sometimes called the Metonic cycle, from its discoverer Meton, an Athenian mathematician, a discovery duly appretiated by his countrymen, as ensuring the correspondence between the lunar and solar years, the former of which was followed by the Greeks. Public honours were decreed to him for this discovery, a circumstance very expressive of the annoyance which a lunar year of necessity inflicts on a civilized people, to whom a regular and simple calendar is one of the first necessities of life. A cycle of $4 \times 19 = 76$ years was proposed by Callippus as a supposed improvement on the Metonic, but in this interval the errors accumulated to 6 hours and in $4 \times 76 = 304$ years to an entire To find the place of a given year in the lunar cycle, day. (or as it is called the Golden Number,) add 1 to the number of the year A. D., and divide by 19, the remainder (or 19 if exactly divisible,) is the Golden Number.

(923.) The cycle of the indiction is a period of 15 years used in the courts of law and in the fiscal organization of the Roman empire, under Constantine and his successors, and thence introduced into legal dates, as the Golden Number, serving to determine Easter, was into ecclesiastical ones. To find the place of a year in the indiction cycle, add 3 and divide by 15. The remainder (or 15 if 0 remain) is the number of the indictional year.

(924.) If we multiply together the numbers 28, 19, and 15, we get 7930, and, therefore, a period or cycle of 7980 years will bring round the years of the three cycles again in the same order, so that each year shall hold the same place in all the three cycles as the corresponding year in the foregoing period. As none of the three numbers in question have any

x x 3

of us rn en ten roin ave om onave ears ; to list 1 an h is ılian olain n it bers and after ulian

d years corree-Augusnischen

onth

1461

least

t be

h this

o the

mber

common factor, it is evident that no two years in the same compound period can agree in all the three particulars: so that to specify the numbers of a year in each of these cycles is, in fact, to specify the year, if within that long period : which embraces the entire of authentic chronology. The period thus arising of 7980 Julian years, is called the Julian period, and it has been found so useful, that the most competent authorities have not hesitated to declare that, through its employment, light and order were first introduced into chronology.* We owe its invention or revival to Joseph Scaliger, who is said to have received it from the Greeks of Constantinople. The first year of the current Julian period, or that of which the number in each of the three subordinate cycles is 1, was the year 4713 B.C., and the noon of the 1st of January of that year, for the meridian of Alexandria, is the chronological epoch, to which all historical eras are most readily and intelligibly referred, by computing the number of integer days intervening between that epoch and the noon (for Alexandria) of the day, which is reckoned to be the first of the particular era in question. The meridian of Alexandria is chosen as that to which Ptolemy refers the commencement of the era of Nabonassar, the basis of all his calculations.

(925.) Given the year of the Julian period, those of the subordinate cycles are easily determined as above. Conversely, given the years of the solar and lunar cycles, and of the indiction, to determine the year of the Julian period proceed as follows: — Multiply the number of the year in the solar cycle by 4845, in the lunar by 4200, and in the Cycle of the Indictions by 6916, divide the sum of the products by 7980, and the remainder is the year of the Julian period sought.

(926.) The following table contains these intervals for some of the more important historical eras: ---

* Ideler, Handbuch, &c. vol. i. p 77.

In

Name

Julian

Creatio Era o Kus Ditto Era of Destru Dedica Olymp use) Buildir U. C. Era of Eclipse Eclipse Metonia Callippi Philipp Era of t Eclipse Cæsarea Julian r Spanish Actian e Actian e Vulgar Era of I Hejira moon Era of Y Eclipse (Gelalæan Last da nation Last day

New Sty Ditto Commend Epoch Epoch of the R. Epoch of Associa

CHRONOLOGICAL ERAS.

Intervals in Days between the Commencement of the Julian Period, and that of some other remarkable chronological and astronomical Eras.*

Names by which the Era is usually cited.	First day current of the Era.	Chronological Designation of the Year.	Current Year of the Julian Period.	Interval, days elapsed. For days cur- rent add 1.
Tulium Encele	Tulian Dutan	1	1	1
Julian period	Top 1	0.0 4719		
Creation of the world (Ilsher)	(Iap 1)	4004	710	959 069
Era of the Deluge (Aboulhassan	E.h 19	9109	1619	598 466
Kuschiar)	reb. 10.	5102	1012	000,100
Ditto Vulgar Computation	(Jan 1)	9348	9966	863 817
Era of Abraham (Sir H. Nicolas) -	Oct. 1.	2015	2699	985.718
Destruction of Troy. (ditto)	July 12.	1184	3530	1.289,160
Dedication of Solomon's Temple -	(May L)	1015	3699	1.350.815
Olympiads (mean epoch in general	July 1.	776	3938	1.458.171
use)				1,100,111
Building of Rome (Varronian epoch.	April 22.	7.53	3961	1.446.502
U. C.)		1		-,,
Era of Nabonassar	Feb. 26.	747	3967	1.448.638
Eclipse of Thales	May 28.	585	4129	1.507,900
Eclipse of Larissa	May 19.	557	4157	1,518,118
Metonic cycle (Astronomical epoch)	July 15.	432	4282	1,563,831
Callippic cycle Do. (Biot)	June 28.	330	4384	1,599,608
Philippic era, or era of Philip Aridæus	Nov. 12.	324	4390	1,603,398
Era of the Seleucidæ	Oct. 1.	312	4402	1,607,739
Eclipse of Agathocles	Aug. 15.	310	4404	1,608,422
Cæsarean era of Antioch	Sept. 1.	49	4665	1,703,770
Julian reformation of the Calendar	Jan. 1.	45	4669	1,704,987
Spanish era	Jan. 1.	38	4676	1,707,544
Actian era in Rome	Jan. 1.	30	4684	1,710,466
Actian era of Alexandria	Aug. 29.	50	4684	1,710,706
Vulgar or Dionysian era	Jan. 1.	A, D. 1	4714	1,721,424
Era of Diocletian	Aug. 29.	284	4997	1,825,030
Hejira (astronomical epoch, new moon)	July 15.	622	5335	1,948,439
Era of Yezdegird	June 16.	632	5345	1,952,063
Eclipse of Sticklastad	Aug. 31.	1030	5743	2,097,508
Gelalæan era (Sir H. Nicolas) -	March 14.	1079	5792	2,115,235
Last day of Old Style (Catholic nations)	Oct. 4.	1582	6295	2,299,160
Last day of Old Style in England -	Sept. 2.	1752	6465	2,861,221
Greaorian Epochs.	Gregorian			
	Dates.	1.000	0005	0.000 1.01
New Style in Catholic nations -	Oct. 15.	1582	6295	2,299,161
Ditto in England	Sept. 14.	1752	0405	2,361,222
Epoch of Bode's catalogue of stars	Jan. 1.	1801	0514	2,378,862
Epoch of the catalogue of stars of the R. Astronomical Society	Jan. 1.	1830	6543	2,389,454
Epoch of the catalogue of the British Association	Jan. 1.	1850	6563	2,396,759

* See note (C) at the end of this chapter.

onl of riod r in the prolian

the

for

680

(927.) The determination of the exact interval between any two given dates, is a matter of such importance, and, unless methodically performed, is so very liable to error, that the following rules will not be found out of place. In the first place it must be remarked, generally, that a date, whether of a day or year, always expresses the day or year current and not elapsed, and that the designation of a year by A. D. or B. C. is to be regarded as the name of that year, and not as a mere number uninterruptedly designating the place of the year in the scale of time. Thus, in the date, Jan. 5. B.C. 1, Jan. 5 does not mean that 5 days of January in the year in question have elapsed, but that 4 have elapsed, and the 5th is current. And the B.C. 1, indicates that the first day of the year so named, (the first year current before Christ,) preceded the first day of the vulgar era by one year. The scale of A.D. and B.C., as already observed, is not continuous, the year 0 in both being wanting ; so that (supposing the vulgar reckoning correct) our Saviour was born in the year B.C. 1.

(928.) To find the year current of the Julian period, (J. P.) corresponding to any given year current B.C. or A.D. If B.C., subtract the number of the year from 4714 : if A. D., add its number to 4713. For examples, see the foregoing table.

(929.) To find the day current of the Julian period corresponding to any given date, Old Style. Convert the year B.C. or A. D. into the corresponding year J. P. as above. Subtract 1 and divide the number so diminished by 4, and call Q the integer quotient, and R the remainder. Then will Q be the number of entire quadriennia of 1461 days each, and R the residual years, the first of which is always a leap-year. Convert Q into days by the help of the first of the annexed tables, and R by the second, and the sum will be the interval between the Julian epoch, and the commencement, Jan. 1. of the year. Then find the days intervening between the beginning of Jan. 1., and that of the date-day by the third table, using the column for a leap-year, where R=0, and that for a common year when R is 1, 2, or 3. Add the days so found to those in Q + R, and the sum will be the days el by

Ja F M A M

Jι

cor Se

Pro

disr

day

For Afte

CHRONOLOGICAL INTERVALS.

elapsed of the Julian period, the number of which increased by 1 gives the day current.

TABLE 1. Multiples of 1461, the days in a Julian Quadriennium.						
1	1461	4	5844	7	10227	
2	2922	5	7305	8	11688	
3	4383	6	8766	9	13149	

en

d,

at he te, ear ear ar, *the* ite,

Jat 4 inear gar obng; iour

f. P.)

B.C., d its

cor-B.C. tract tract the be d R

year.

exed

erval

n. 1.

the third and days

days

TABLE 2. Days in Residual years.				
0	0			
1	366			
2	. 791			
8	1096			

		In a common Year.	In a leap Year.			In a common Year.	In a leap Year.
Jan. 1.	-	0	0	July 1.	-	181	182
Feb. 1.	-	31	51	Aug. 1,	-	212	213
March 1.	-	59	60	Sept. 1.	-	245	244
April 1.	-	90	91	Oct. 1.	•	275	274
May 1.	-	120	121	Nov. 1.	-	304	305
June 1.	-	151	152	Dec. 1.	-	3 34	335

TABLE 3. - Days elapsed from Jan. 1. to the 1st of each Month.

EXAMPLE. — What is the current day of the Julian period corresponding to the last day of Old Style in England, on Sept. 2., A. D. 1752.

1000	1,461,000
600	876,600
10	14,610
6	8,766
R=0	0
Jan. 1. to Sept. 1.	244
Sept. 1. to Sept. 2.	1
	2,361,221 days elapsed.
Current day th	e 2,361,222ª
	1000 600 6 R=0 Jan. 1. to Sept. 1. Sept. 1. to Sept. 2. Current day th

(930.) To find the same for any given date, New Style. Proceed as above, considering the date as a Julian date, and disregarding the change of style. Then from the resulting days, subtract as follows:—

For any date of	New Styl	e, anto	cedent to Mar	ch 1. A. D.	1700	- 10 days.
After Feb. 28.	1700 and l	before	March 1. A.D.	1800 -		- 11 days.
**	1800 1900	97 93	19 99	1900 - 2100 -	:	 12 days. 13 days, &c.

(931.) To find the interval between any two dates, whether of Old or New Style, or one of one, and one of the other. Find the day current of the Julian period corresponding to each date, and their difference is the interval required. If the dates contain hours, minutes, and seconds, they must be annexed to their respective days current, and the subtraction performed as usual.

(932.) The Julian rule made every fourth year, without exception, a bissextile, beginning with the year J. P. 1, which is to be accounted as such. This is, in fact, an over-correction; it supposes the length of the tropical year to be $365\frac{1}{4}$, which is too great, and thereby induces an error of 7 days in 900 years, as will easily appear on trial. Accordingly, so early as the year 1414, it began to be perceived that the equinoxes were gradually creeping away from the 21st of March and September, where they ought to have always fallen had the Julian year been exact, and happening (as it appeared) too carly. The necessity of a fresh and effectual reform in the calendar was from that time continually urged, and at length admitted. The change (which took place under the popedom of Gregory XIII.) consisted in the omission of ten* nominal days after the 4th of October, 1582, (so that the next day was called the 15th, and not the 5th,) and the promulgation of the rule already explained for future regulation. The change was adopted immediately in all catholic countries; but more slowly in protestant. In England, "the change of style," as it was called, took place after the 2d of September, 1752, eleven nominal days being then struck out; so that, the last day of Old Style being the 2d, the first of New Style (the next day) was called the 14th, instead of the 3d. The same legislative enactment which established the Gregorian year in England in 1752, shortened the preceding year, 1751, by a full quarter. Previous to that time, the year was held to begin with the 25th March. and the year A.D. 1751 did so accordingly; but that year was not suffered to run out, but was supplanted on the 1st January by the year 1752, which (as well as every subsequent year) it was enacted should commence on that day, so that our English year 1751 was in effect an "annus confusionis," and consisted of only 282 days. Russia is now the

* See note (B) at the end of this chapter.

on he en pr da sta kn aff obs car its for bei dic ind evi rest the seve taki such anci cise and date the (the the t rise (opin defin publ whic of su (9) is the been

only country in Europe in which the Old Style is still adhered to, and (another secular year having elapsed) the difference between the European and Russian dates amounts, at present, to 12 days.

(933.) It is fortunate for astronomy that the confusion of dates, and the irreconcilable contradictions which historical statements too often exhibit, when confronted with the best knowledge we possess of the ancient reckonings of time, affect recorded observations but little. An astronomical observation, of any striking and well-marked phænomenon, carries with it, in most cases, abundant means of recovering its exact date, when any tolerable approximation is afforded to it by chronological records; and, so far from being abjectly dependent on the obscure and often contradictory dates, which the comparison of ancient authorities indicates, is often itself the surest and most convincing evidence on which a chronological epoch can be brought to rest. Remarkable eclipses, for instance, now that the lunar theory is thoroughly understood, can be calculated back for several thousands of years, without the possibility of mistaking the day of their occurrence. And, whenever any such eclipse is so intervoven with the account given by an ancient author of some historical event, as to indicate preeisely the interval of time between the eclipse and the event, and at the same time completely to identify the eclipse, that date is recovered and fixed for ever. This has been done in the cases of four very remarkable total eclipses of the sun, (the dates of which are accordingly entered as epochs in the table of Chronological Eras, art. 926), which have given rise (at least one of them) to much discussion and diversity of opinion among astronomers, but which have at length been definitively settled by Mr. Airy on the occasion of the recent publication of Prof. Hansen's Lunar Tables, the accuracy of which is such as justify the most entire reliance on the results of such calculations grounded upon them.

(933 a.) The solar eclipse designated as that "of Thales" is the celebrated one which is stated by Herodotus to have been predicted by that philosopher, and to have caused the

ch he be on

out ich ecld, s in 80 the t of ays s it tual ged, lace the 582, ith,) ture all and, the then 2d, 4th, hich ened s to rch, vear 1st ose-, so nfuthe

suspension of a battle between the Mcdes and Lydians, followed by a treaty of peace. Only a total eclipse, as Mr. Baily had clearly shown, could have so attracted the attention of the combatants; and in a very remarkable memoir on the subject (Phil. Trans. 1811) that eminent astronomer was led, by the use of the best tables then in existence, to identify this eclipse with the total one of Sept. 30th, B.C. 610, which, according to those tables, must have passed over the mouth of the river Halys (where it had all along been assumed, though without any positive grounds for the assumption, the battle was fought). The same conclusion having been arrived at by M. Oltmanns, the point was supposed to be settled. Prof. Hansen's tables, however, throw the path of the shadow in this eclipse altogether out of Asia Minor, and even north of the sea of Azof. On the other hand the eclipse of B.C. 585, which was also total, passed, according to those tables, over Issus, a locality satisfying all the circumstantial and general military conditions of the narrative even better than the Halys, and at this spot there can now be little or no doubt the battle was really fought.

(933 b.) The total eclipse of the sun which was witnessed by the fleet of Agathocles in his escape from Syracuse, blockaded by the Carthaginians, on the second day of his voyage to Cape Bon, had been considered by Mr. Baily in the memoir above cited, and found to be incompatible (according to the then existing tables) with the year B.C. 310, supposing the former eclipse to have been rightly identified. This having now been shown not to be the case, it is all the more satisfactory to find that, under very reasonable and natural suppositions respecting Agathocles' voyage, the total eclipse which did undoubtedly pass on the date assigned very near the southern corner of Sicily might have enveloped his fleet, and that no other eclipse by possibility could have done so.

(933 c.) The "eclipse of Larissa" is related by Xenophon to have caused the capture of the Asiatic eity of that name, by producing a panic terror in its Median defenders, of which the Persian besiegers took advantage. The site of Larissa has been satisfactorily identified by Mr. Layard with NIM-

RO bu tio as of his of the ext wi of ing ren Sti ste cat kn yea dist bre giv day Th and \mathbf{chr} to 1 354 ent to The the . at A

be re

have

418.

mont (Inti

" wh

ECLIPSE OF LARISSA.

, fol-Mr. ttenir on omer e, to 610, r the med, 1, the n arto be th of r, and clipse those antial better e or no

nessed blockoyage hemoir to the *ng the* g now actory sitions th did uthern hat no

iophon name, which Larissa N1M- ROUD, and a pyramid of stone close to it (the city being of burnt brick on a substructure of stone) is expressly mentioned by Xenophon, the remains of which still exist; as well as those of a neighbouring castle near Mespila (Mosul) built of *shelly stone*, (also expressly mentioned as such by the Greek historian). According to Hansen's tables the total eclipse of Aug. 15th, B.C. 310, passed centrally over Nimroud, and, the total shadow being in this instance a very small one, not exceeding some 25 miles in diameter,—we are thus presented with a datum, in those remote times, having all the precision of a most careful modern observation, not only for establishing a chronological epoch, but for affording a point of reference in the history of the moon's motion. The eclipse of Sticklastad is of no historical importance.*

(934.) The days thus parcelled out into years, the next step to a perfect knowledge of time is to secure the identification of each day, by imposing on it a name universally known and employed. Since, however, the days of a whole year are too numerous to admit of loading the memory with distinct names for each, all nations have felt the necessity of breaking them down into parcels of a more moderate extent; giving names to each of these parcels, and particularizing the days in each by numbers, or by some especial indication. The lunar month has been resorted to in many instances; and some nations have, in fact, preferred a lunar to a solar chronology altogether, as the Turks and Jews continue to do to this day, making the year consist of 12 lunar months, or 354 days. Our own division into twelve unequal months is entirely arbitrary, and often productive of confusion, owing to the equivoque between the lunar and calendar month.† The intercalary day naturally attaches itself to February as the shortest month.

[•] The solar eclipse in the first year of the Peloponnesian War, which was total at Athens, "some stars becoming visible," according to Thucydides, deserves to be recomputed. See Heis. He supposes the eclipse not total, and the "stars" to have been planets.

 $[\]dagger$ "A month in law is a lunar month or twenty-eight days," (11 see Art. 415.) "unless otherwise expressed." — *Blackstone*, ii, chap. 9.: "a lease for twelve months is only for forty-eight weeks," *Ibid.*; yet the same eminent authority (Introd. § 3.) informs us that "the law is the perfection of reason," and that "what is not reason is not law."

(935.) Astronomical time reckons from the noon of the eurrent day; civil from the preceding midnight, so that the two dates coincide only during the earlier half of the astronomical and the latter of the civil day. This is an inconvenience which might be remedied by shifting the astronomical epoch to coincidence with the civil. There is, however, another inconvenience, and a very serious one, to which both are liable, inherent in the nature of the day itself, which is a local phænomenon, and commences at different instants of absolute time, under different meridians, whether we reckon from noon, midnight, sunrise, or sunset. In consequence, all astronomical observations require in addition to their date, to render them comparable with each other, the longitude of the place of observation from some meridian, commonly respected by all astronomers. For geographical longitudes, the Isle of Ferroe has been chosen by some as a common meridian, indifferent (and on that very account offensive) to all nations. Were astronomers to follow such an example, they would probably fix upon Alexandria, as that to which Ptolemy's observations and computations were reduced, and as elaiming on that account the respect of all while offending the national crotism of none. But even this will not meet the whole difficulty. It will still remain doubtful, on a meridian 180° remote from that of Alexandria, what day is intended by any given date. Do what we will, when it is Monday the 1st of January, 1849, in one part of the world, it will be Sunday the 31st of December, 1848, in another, so long as time is reekoned by local hours. This equivoque, and the necessity of specifying the geographical locality as an element of the date, can only be got over by a reekoning of time which refers itself to some event, real or imaginary, common to all the globe. Such an event is the passage of the sun through the vernal equinox, or rather the passage of an imaginary sun, supposed to move with perfect equality, through a vernal equinox supposed free from the inequalities of nutation, and receding upon the ecliptic with perfect uniformity. The actual equinox is variable, not only by the

eff res pla rio in wh ap ob of suc pla abs are wh in the dire obs uni lon yea bot whi inde the of t whi (noci one rate trop epoc curr tabl of th and heer

EQUINOCTIAL TIME.

he

he

0-

ce

to

m-

le,

10-

ne,

on,

cal

em

of

all

of

an,

ns.

uld

ob-

ing

nal

nole 80°

any

t of

day

e is

sity

the

hich

to to

sun

an

ity,

tics

hni-

the

effect of nutation, but by that of the inequality of precession resulting from the change in the plane of the ecliptic due to planetary perturbation. Both variations are, however, periodical, the one, in the short period of 19 years, the other, in a period of enormous length, hitherto uncalculated, and whose maximum of fluctuation is also unknown. This would appear, at first sight, to render impracticable the attempt to obtain from the sun's motion any rigorously uniform measure of time. A little consideration, however, will satisfy us that such is not the case. The solar tables, by which the apparent place of the sun in the heavens is represented with almost absolute precision from the earliest ages to the present time, are constructed upon the supposition that a certain angle, which is called "the sun's mean longitude," (and which is in effect the sum of the mean sidereal motion of the sun, plus the mean sidereal motion of the equinox in the opposite direction, as near as it can be obtained from the accumulated observations of twenty-five centuries,) increases with rigorous uniformity as time advances. The conversion of this mean longitude into time at the rate of 360° to the mean tropical year, (such as the tables assume it,) will therefore give us both the unit of time, and the uniform measure of its lapse, which we seek. It will also furnish us with an epoch, not indeed marked by any real event, but not on that account the less positively fixed, being connected, through the medium of the tables, with every single observation of the sun on which they have been constructed and with which compared.

(936.) Such is the simplest abstract conception of equinoctial time. It is the mean longitude of the sun of some one approved set of solar tables, converted into time at the rate of 360° to the tropical year. Its unit is the mean tropical year which those tables assume, and no other, and its epoch is the mean vernal equinox of these tables for the current year, or the instant when the mean longitude of the tables is rigorously 0, according to the assumed mean motion of the sun and equinox, the assumed epoch of mean longitude, and the assumed equinoctial point on which the tables have been computed, and no other. To give complete effect to

this idea, it only remains to specify the particular tables fixed upon for the purpose, which ought to be of great and admitted excellence, since, once decided on, the very essence of the conception is that no subsequent alteration in any respect should be made, even when the continual progress of astronomical science shall have shown any one or all of the elements concerned to be in some minute degree erroneous (as necessarily they must), and shall have even ascertained the corrections they require (to be themselves again corrected, when another step in refinement shall have been made).

C

t

6

n

3

tł

cł

0^d

co

fo

me

be

fra

ced

for

par

requ abov

work

for ti both

meri

been Dela

of th end a

to ma when

furth

(937.) Delambre's solar tables (in 1828) when this mode of reckoning time was first introduced *, appeared entitled to this distinction. According to these tables, the sun's mean longitude was 0°, or the mean vernal equinox occurred, in the year 1828, on the 22d of March at 1^h 12^m 59^s·05 mean time at Greenwich, and therefore at 1^h 12^m 20^s·65 mean time at Paris, or 1^h 56^m 34^s·55 mean time at Berlin, at which instant, therefore, the equinoctial time was 0^d 0^h 0^m 0^s·00, being the commencement of the 1828th year current of equinoctial time, if we choose to date from the mean tabular equinox, nearest to the vulgar era, or of the 6541st year of the Julian period, if we prefer that of the first year of that period.

(938.) Equinoctial time then dates from the mean vernal equinox of Delambre's solar tables, and its unit is the mean tropical year of these tables ($365^{d}\cdot242264$). Hence, having the fractional part of a day expressing the difference between the mean local time at any place (suppose Greenwich) on any one day between two consecutive mean vernal equinoxes, that difference will be the same for every other day in the same interval. Thus, between the mean equinoxes of 1828 and 1829, the difference between equinoctial and Greenwich time is $0^{d}\cdot956261$ or $0^{d}\cdot22^{h}\cdot57^{m}\cdot95$, which expresses the equinoctial day, hour, minute, and second, corresponding to mean noon at Greenwich on March 23, 1828, and for the noons of the 24th, 25th, &c., we have only to substitute 1d, 2d, &c. for 0^{d} , retaining the same decimals of a day, or the same hours, minutes, &c., up to and including March 22,

* On the instance of the author of these pages.

1829. Between Greenwich noon of the 22d and 23d of March, 1829, the 1828th equinoctial year terminates, and the 1829th commences. This happens at 0^d·286003, or at 6^h 51^m 50^s·66 Greenwich mean time, after which hour, and until the next noon, the Greenwich hour added to equinoetial time 364^d 956261 will amount to more than 365 242264, a complete year, which has therefore to be subtracted to get the equinoctial date in the next year, corresponding to the Greenwich time. For example, at 12^h 0^m 0^s Greenwich mean time, or 0^d·500000, the equinoctial time will be 364.9562610 + .500000 = 365.456261, which being greater than 365.242264, shows that the equinoctial year current has changed, and the latter number being subtracted, we get 0^d·213977 for the equinoctial time of the 1829th year current corresponding to March 22, 12^h Greenwich mean time.

(939.) Having, therefore, the fractional part of a day for any one year expressing the equinoctial hour, &c., at the mean noon of any given place, that for succeeding years will be had by subtracting 0^{d} ·242264, and its multiples, from such fractional part (increased if necessary by unity), and for preeding years by adding them. Thus, having found 0.198525 for the fractional part for 1827, we find for the fractional parts for succeeding years up to 1853 as follows*: --

1828	•956261	1835	·260413	1842	•564565	1848	•110981
1829	·713997	1836	018149	1843	·322301	1849	·868717
1830	·471733	1837	·775885	1844	·080037	1850	·626453
1831	·229469	1838	·533621	1845	·837773	1851	•384189
1832	·987205	1839	·291357	1846	•595509	1852	141925
1833	·744941	1840	·049093	1847	·353245	1853	·899661
1834	·502677	1841	•806829				
				•	•	•	

^{*} These numbers differ from those in the Nautical Almanack, and would require to be substituted for them, to carry out the idea of equinoceial time as above laid down. In the years 1828 — 1833, the late eminent editor of that work used an equinox slightly differing from that of Delambre, which accounts for the difference in those years. In 1834, it would appear that a deviation both from the principle of the text and from the previous practice of that ephemeris took place, in deriving the fraction for 1834 from that for 1833, which has been ever since perpetuated. It consisted in rejecting the mean longitude of Delambre's tables, and adopting Bessel's correction of that element. The effect of this atteration was to insert 3^m 3°68 of purely imaginary time, between the end of the equinoctial year 1833 and the beginning of 1834, or, in other words, to make the interval between the noons of March 22 and 23, 1834, 24 3^m 3°68, when reekoned by equinoctial time. In 1835, and in all subsequet years, a further departure from the principle of the text took place by substituting Bessel's correction of the substituting Bessel's correction for the substituting the substituting the fraction for 1835, when the substituting Bessel's correction of the substituting Bessel's correction of the substituting the substituting the substituting Bessel's correction of the substituting the substituting the substituting Bessel's correction for the substituting the substituting Bessel's correction for the substituting Bessel's correction for the substituting Bessel's correction for the substitution for the substituting t

bles and ence spect nical erned they they c step

mode led to mean in the n time ime at noctiai quinox, Julian d. vernal

e mean having between ich) on ninoxes, y in the of 1828 cenwich sses the nding to for the itute 1d, y, or the urch 22, tropical year of 365:2422175 for Delambre's. Thus the whole subject fell into confusion. Under the present eminent superintendent of the Nautical Almanack a compromise has been effected — a fixed equinoctial year of 365:242216 mean solar days has been adopted (and it is to be hoped will henceforward be adhered to), and corrections stated by which the data in the Almanacks for 1828 —1834 may be brought into consistency with those in after years. According to this arrangement the fractional parts in question for 1828 — 1856 will run as follows:—

1828	958321	1896	020593	1843	*325081	1850	·629569
1829	•716105	1837	*778377	1844	082865	1851	•3 873 <i>5</i> 3
1830	•473889	1898	•536161	1845	•840649	1852	·145197
1831	·231673	1899	•293945	1846	·598433	1859	·902921
1832	•989457	1840	*051729	1847	•356217	1854	·660705
1833	·747241	1841	*809513	1848	·114001	1855	·418489
1834	·505025	1842	.567297	1849	·871785	1856	·176273
1835	·262809						

[Note (A) on Art. (916.)

A rule proposed by Omar, a Persian astronomer of the court of Gelaleddin Melek Schah, in A.D. 1079, (or more than five centuries before the reformation of Gregory) deserves notice. It consists in interpolating a day, as in the Julian system, every fourth year, only postponing to the 33d year the intercalation which on that system would be made in the 32d. This is equivalent to omitting the Julian intercalation altogether in each 128th year (retaining all the others). To produce an accumulated error of a day on this system would require a lapse of 5000 years, so that the Persian astronomer's rule is not only far more simple, but materially more exact than the Gregorian.]

[Note (B) on Table, Art. (926.)

The civil epochs of the Metonic cycle, and the Hejira, are each one day later than the astronomical, the latter being the epochs of the absolute *new* moons, the former those of the earliest possible visibility of the lunar crescent in a tropical sky. M. Biot has shown that the solstice and new moon not only coincided on the day here set down as the commencement of the Callippic cycle, but that, by a happy coincidence, a bare possibility existed of seeing the crescent moon at Athens within that day, reckoned from midnight to midnight.]

[Note (C) on Art. (932.)

The reformation of Gregory was, after all, incomplete. Instead of 10 days he ought to have omitted 12. The interval from Jan. 1, A. n. 1, to Jan. 1, A. n. 1582, reckoned as Julian years, is 577460 days, and as tropical, 577448, with an error not exceeding 0⁴01, the difference being 12 days, whose omission would have completely restored the Julian epoch. But Gregory assumed for his fixed point of departure, not that epoch, but one later by 324 years, viz. Jan. 1, A. n. 325, the year of the Council of Nice; assuming which, the difference of the two reckonings is 9⁴505, or, to the nearest whole number, 10 days. To such as may have occasion, in an insulated case, to compute the interval between the beginnings of two proposed years, or the number of days elapsed from Jan. 1st 0^h of the one to Jan. 1st 0^h of the other, the following formulæ will be found useful, in which it is to be observed that the

notation $\frac{m}{n}$ is used to express the *integer portion* only of the quotient when one number *m* is divided by another *n*, and where D is the number of days required.

who styl afte

(

con the thr Ind of g desi of t nitu one Cap Ast. clud follo T equa dian The 428: abou situa wich pola cons and

of lo

690

NOTES.

Case 1.-Years before Christ-from B.C. x to A.D. 1.

$$D = x.365 + \frac{x+4}{4}$$

Case 2.-Years after Christ-from A.D. 1 to A.D. z.

(A) ..., D =
$$(x - 1) \cdot 365 + \frac{x - 1}{4}$$

(B) ..., D = $(x - 1) \cdot 365 + \frac{x - 1}{4} - \frac{x - 200}{100} + \frac{x}{400}$

where the formula (A) is to be used if the later date (x) is before the change of style in that particular country for which the calculation is made, and (B) if after.]

[Note (D), Art. (223 a.)

(223 a.) Since the table of measured arcs in Art. 216 was compiled, vast additions have been made to our knowledge of the true figure and dimensions of our globe; especially through the extension of the two great arcs of Russia and India, the former of which has been enlarged to an amplitude of $25^{\circ} 20'$, the latter to $21^{\circ} 21'$. The whole series of geodesical measurements of any authority, executed in all parts of the world (these and the French arc, the next in magnitude, of course included), have been lately combined under one general and comprehensive system of calculation by Capt. A. R. Clarke, R.E., in an elaborate memoir (Mem. R. Ast. Soc. vol. xxix. 1860), of which the final result (including some slight subsequent corrections) may be stated as follows:—

The earth is not exactly an ellipsoid of revolution. The equator itself is slightly elliptic, the longer and shorter diameters being respectively 41,852,864 and 41,843,096 feet. The ellipticity of the equatorial circumference is therefore $\frac{1}{4283}$, and the excess of its longer over its shorter diameter about two miles. The vertices of the longer diameter are situated in longitudes 14° 23' E. and 194° 23' E. of Greenwich, and of its shorter in 104° 23' and 284° 23' E. The polar axis of the earth is 41,707,796 feet in length, and consequently the most elliptic meridian (that of long. 14° 23' and 194° 23' has for its ellipticity $\frac{1}{9875}$, and the least so (that of long. 104° 23' and 284° 23') an ellipticity of $\frac{1}{3823}$.

YY 2

691

nabe 328 ing as 9

ita

7

eddin on of ulian lation itting hers). lapse imple,

te day te new rescent on not llippic ng the it.]

O days I, A. D. 8, with mission ned for rs, viz. the difber, 10 ute the nber of the folhat the

nt when

of days

F

in

to

for

the

ap bo

nee

cui

to

to sev

as of

thes

sun

valı

exa

dist

is st time

seve

anot

near

min

M. 1

conc

even

mile

as sh

orbit

General Schubert also (Mem. Imp. Acad. Petersb. 1859) has arrived at a somewhat similar conclusion, by a totally different (and, as appears to us, less general and definitive) system of calculation. He makes the ellipticity of the equator $\frac{1}{8868}$, and places the vertices of its longer axis 26° 41' to the eastward of Capt. Clarke's. His polar axis, as deduced from each of the three great meridian arcs, the Russian, Indian, and French respectively, is 41,711,019, 41,712,534, and 41,697,496 feet, the mean of which, giving to each a weight proportional to the length of the arc from which it is deduced *, is 41,708,710.

A very remarkable consequence follows from these results. If we reduce the polar axis last found to British imperial inches, the result is 500,504,520, exceeding 500,500,000 by On the other hand, Capt. Clarke's result similarly 4520 in. reduced is 500,493,552, falling short of 500,500,000 by 6448 in., so that we may take 500,500,000 inches for the length of the earth's polar axis, with every probability of being within 150 yards of the truth. Were our imperial standard of length, then, increased by exactly one-thousandth part, the inch, foot, yard, &c. retaining their present relative proportions, our inch would then be, with all but mathematical precision, one five-hundred-millionth part of the earth's polar axis, a unit common to all the world. And, what is still more remarkable, if at the same time our standard of weight were increased by one eight-thousandth part (or oneeighteenth of a grain on the ounce), an ounce of distilled water, at our present standard temperature of 62° Fahr., would occupy precisely one-thousandth part of our (then) cubic foot, and our half-pint precisely one-hundredth. Thus, by a change such as would be absolutely unfelt in any commercial transaction, we should be put in possession of a modular system (which we might decimalize if thought proper) far superior both in principle and in accuracy to anything which has yet been devised on the important

* This is not Gen. Schubert's mode of procedure. He arbitrarily excludes the result of the French arc, and gives the Russian double the weight of the Indian-a procedure manifestly unfair.

NOTES.

subject of a national system of weights and measures, the French metrical system not excepted.]

[Note (E) on Art. 234.

Mr. Broun of Edinburgh, and M. Babinet of Paris, have each separately and independently devised extremely ingenious applications of the principle of the torsion balance, to bring into equilibrium the force of gravity and the clastic force of a metallic spring, with a view to utilize the method here suggested of ascertaining the variation of gravity. It were much to be desired that both their methods should be brought to the test of practical trial.]

[Note (F), Art. (357 a.)

A series of concerted observations of the differences of apparent declinations between the planet Mars and neighbouring fixed stars, set on foot at the instance of M. Winnecke, during its last opposition, which took place under circumstances of proximity to the earth particularly favourable to the determination of its parallax, has resulted in assigning to that planet a parallax greater by about one-twentyseventh part than that which would correspond to its distance as computed for the time by the hitherto assumed dimensions of the planetary orbits. The conclusion of course is, that these dimensions (including the earth's distance from the sun) have been over-estimated by that fractional part of their value; that the sun's parallax, in place of 8".6, or more exactly 8".5766, should be set down at 8".8953, and its distance at 91,600,000 instead of 95,000,000 miles. Now it is strongly corroborative of this conclusion, and, at the same time, affords a striking instance of the way in which the several departments of science depend on and illustrate one another, that a correction in the same direction, and to nearly the same amount, is indicated by a recent redetermination, by direct experiment, of the velocity of light by M. Foucault; who finds that velocity not only less than that concluded by M. Fizeau's experiments (see Art. 545), but even less than the commonly received estimate of 192,000 miles per second, by about the same fractional part. Light, as shown in Art. 545, travels over the diameter of the earth's orbit in 16^m 26^s·6; and the time remaining the same, a dimi-

YY 3

359) tally tive) the axis is, as , the ,019, jiving from

esults. perial 00 by ailarly 00 by or the ility of nperial usandth relative matical 's polar is still weight or onedistilled P Fahr., r (then) Thus, ny comon of a thought uracy to nportant

ly excludes ight of the
OUTLINES OF ASTRONOMY.

nished speed corresponds to a diminished diameter, and therefore the sun's distance computed from the velocity so determined, and the time as given by observation, will come to be diminished in the same proportion. These and several other conspiring indications lead to an extremely strong presumption that all the dimensions of our system have been overrated, and should be diminished by about one-twentyeighth part.

The distances being diminished in any ratio, the estimated masses will require to be diminished also, in the ratio of the cubes of the distances; for *all* the distances will have to be reckoned on a new scale, and among the rest the diameters of the orbits of all satellites (the moon excepted); and as the squares of the periodic times are as the sums of the masses directly and the cubes of the distances inversely, the times remaining unchanged the masses must be diminished in the same proportion as those cubes.

The time is not yet come for a complete and final determination of the exact "coefficient of diminution" to be applied to our planetary elements. The whole matter is too recent, and we must wait for the next transits of Venus in 1874 and 1882 for a full and precise settlement of this important question. Meanwhile, therefore, and provisionally, the reader will bear in mind that in all our numerical statements of distances (those of the fixed stars and the velocity of light inclusive, but that of the moon excepted), as well in the text as in the tables of elements, the numbers set down have to be diminished by one twenty-eighth of their value, and in the case of the masses (the moon's excepted) in the ratio of $27^3: 28^3$ or of 0.89664 to 1.

The superficial reader (one of a class too numerous) may think it strange and discreditable to science to have erred by nearly four millions of miles in estimating the sun's distance. But such may be reminded that the error of $0''\cdot32$ in the sun's parallax, on which the correction turns, corresponds to the apparent breadth of a human hair at 125 feet, or of a sovereign at 8 miles off, and that, moreover, *this error has been detected* and the correction applied; and that the detection and the

sur pec J. the 186 und circ con bet sha see of wh in ima figu by : plie lum Fig bor nish Mr. Ί near the real mile forn cide mos

zont

and correction have originated with the friends and not with the enemies of science.]

[Note (G), Art. (387 a.)

This curious appearance of the "pores" of the sun's surface has lately received a most singular and unexpected interpretation from the remarkable discovery of Mr. J. Nasmyth, who, from a series of observations published in the Memoirs of the Lit. and Phil. Society of Manchester for 1862, made with a reflecting telescope of his own construction under very high magnifying powers and under exceptional circumstances of tranquillity and definition, has come to the conclusion that these pores are the polygonal interstices between certain luminous objects of an exceedingly definite shape and general uniformity of size, whose form (at least as seen in projection, in the central portions of the disc) is that of the oblong leaves of a willow tree. These cover the whole disc of the sun (except in the space occupied by spots) in countless millions, and lie crossing each other in every imaginable direction. A representation copied from the figure in Mr. Nasmyth's memoir (the engraver being aided by photographs from his original drawings obligingly supplied by him for the purpose) of the structure of the general luminous surface, is given in our Fig. 1, Plate B, while Fig. 2 of the same plate exhibits their arrangement at the borders and in the penumbræ of a spot. This most astonishing revelation has been confirmed by the observations of Mr. Delarue and Mr. Pritchard.

The apparent size of these objects (from which all, or nearly all, the solar light seems to emanate, and which are therefore its *immediate* source) is extremely small, but their real dimensions very considerable, being not less than 1000 miles in length and 100 in breadth. Whether their solid form be that of flat sheets, or spindles, remains as yet undecided, but their nearly uniform breadth makes the latter most probable, and also that they lie for the most part horizontally with regard to the sun's general surface. In forming

x y 4

4

and y so ome eral prepeen nty-

ated the o be eters a the asses imes the

etero be s too us in this ally, prical the ted), nbers th of oon's

may ed by ance. h the ds to of a r has ction

0

e d

tl

 \mathbf{n}

01

p

m

01

gı tb

fr

be

fra fa

 \mathbf{gr}

W

ins

to

po

the

eng nish

'nas

ing

and mod

the

if, t ang scop

whi pose renc diffe

pho

plet gian shou

view

fixe

form

our judgment as to the nature of these bodies it deserves especially to be borne in mind that nature presents to our experience no class of bodies, occurring by millions, all of one definite form not spherical, and all nearly of one uniform size, among masses of unorganized matter.*

In speaking of the intimate nature of the sun's luminous envelope, the remarkable phænomenon witnessed on the 1st of September 1859, by two independent observers, Mr. Carrington and Mr. Hodgson, ought not to be passed in These two gentlemen viewing the sun, each at his silence. own residence and without previous concert, at the same instant of time on that day, were both surprised by the sudden appearance, in the immediate confines of a large irregular spot, of what seemed to be two luminous clouds, much more brilliant than the general surface of the sun. They lasted about five minutes, and disappeared almost instantaneously, sweeping in that interval among the details of the spot, with a visible progressive motion, over a space which could not be estimated at less than 35,000 miles. The magnetic needle, as was afterwards ascertained, underwent a considerable and sudden disturbance at that very time; and the phænomenon, indeed, occurred during the continuance of one of the most remarkable and universal "magnetic storms" on record. To Mr. Carrington, also, we owe a long-continued and most elaborate series of observations of the solar spots (contained in a memoir recently presented to the Royal Society), continued through a whole period of their maximum and minimum frequency, in which the laws of their movement on the sun's surface are investigated.]

[Note (H), Art. (430 a.

The enormous size and vast depth of many of the lunar craters, far surpassing, in both respects, anything observed

^{*} In a matter of such very delicate observation it ought, however, to be mentioned that Mr. Dawes, one of our best observers, professes himself unsatisfied as to the reality of these "willow-leaves" as distinct from certain *filamentous* appearances frequently before observed. Of continental observation (with really fine instruments) we have no report.

NOTES.

on our globe, are certainly very striking features, but are easily reconcileable with what we know of the special conditions which obtain on the moon's surface. For while on the one hand the force of volcanic explosion and ejection is nowise dependent on the total mass of the planetary body on which the volcano may subsist, the repressing power to prevent an outbreak, which is the weight of the incumbent matter, is only about one-sixth of what an equal mass of overlying matter would exert on the earth, the force of gravity on the moon being less than that on the earth in that proportion. Again, when disrupted and scattered in fragments, the force generating their velocity of projection being the same, and therefore also that velocity, the broken fragments, stones, scorize, &c. ejected would be hurled to far greater distances, being less powerfully coerced by gravity, in the same ratio. Most of the ejected matter would therefore fly out beyond the rims of the craters, instead of falling back to refill them. And lastly, we have to add, the absence of the resistance of an atmosphere-that powerful coercer of projectile range here on earth.]

[Note (I) on Art. (437.)

Our Plate C exhibits the appearance of a very rough and volcanic portion of the moon's surface as modelled from telescopic observation by Mr. Nasmyth, the engraving being taken from the photograph of the original model kindly furnished us by him for the purpose. A very ingenious idea of Mr. Wheatstone has enabled the photographer to produce stereoscopic views of the moon, presenting it, not as a flat disc, but as a sphere, with all the mountains in full relief, and with all the appearance of a real object. Owing to the libration of the moon (Art. 435) the same point of her surface is seen sometimes on one side of the center of her disc, and sometimes on the other, the effect being the same as if, the moon remaining fixed, the eye were shifted from right to left through an angle equal to the total libration. Now this is the condition on which stereo-scopic vision depends: so that by choosing two epochs in different lunations in which the moon shall be presented in the two aspects best adapted for the purpose, and in the same phase of illumination (which the annual motion of the carth renders possible, by bringing the moon to the same elongation from the sun, in different parts of her own elliptic orbit), and taking separate and independent photographs of it in each aspect, the two stereoscopically combined, so completely satisfy all the requisite conditions as to show the spherical form just as a giant might see it whose stature were such that the interval between his eyes should equal the distance between the place where the earth stood when one view was taken, and that to which it would have to be removed (our moon heing fixed) to get the other. Nothing can surpass the impression of real corporeal form thus conveyed by some of these pictures as taken by Mr. Delaruc with his powerful reflector, the production of which (as a step in some sort taken by man

ves our one size,

ous

1st Mr. d in t his e inlden ular more isted usly, with ot be edle, e and enon, most cord. most ained iety), ı and ment

lunar erved

e menatisfied mentous (with

OUTLINES OF ASTRONOMY.

outside of the planet he inhabits) is one of the most remarkable and unexpected triumphs of scientific art.

Mr. Birt has recently bestowed much pains on the frequent and minute scrutiny of particular and limited regions of the lunar surface.]

[Note (J), Art. (859 a.)

It is not necessary that the companion body producing these disturbances of proper motion should be non-luminous. Nothing prevents that it should be a small companion star which, though invisible to ordinary telescopes, or lost in the brightness of the disturbed luminary, may become visible through telescopes of increased power. Antares has such a minute companion at only 12" distance, a Lyræ at 43", and Procyon at 46". A disturbance in the regular progression of proper motion of the conspicuous star, periodical in its nature, both in R.A. and in N.P.D., would arise from the displacement of the larger star around the common center of gravity, however comparatively massive, and (mass for mass) greater the greater the distance of the individuals. It was not, therefore, without much interest that astronomers received the announcement of the recent detection of a small companion of Sirius, by Mr. Alvan Clarke, a most eminent and successful constructor of large achromatic telescopes, by means of an instrument of this description constructed by him of 18 inches aperture. According to Messrs. Rutherford, Bond, and Chacornac, this companion is at present situated at about 10" distance. nearly following Sirius. It remains to be examined, however, by a series of observations of distance and position continued for many years, 1st, Whether this star really is a satellite or binary companion of the principal star -a conclusion to which some have jumped (not unnaturally); and, 2nd, Whether, if so, its attraction will explain the observed inequalities of proper motion, which by no means follows of course: far more so, as M. Goldschmidt assures us that, with a telescope of very inferior power to that of Mr. Clarke, he has detected no less than six small companions of Sirius at distances from 10" to 60". Should this be

v in w n v c ti ha th m as st

in

th

pa

to ins ba ob 14 rea tha nel app abl con as esc in 70° 185 186 um

sem

NOTES.

verified, we have our choice of disturbing influences; and it would be hard if the minute displacements, respecting which Messrs. Auwers and Peters agree (or any other) could not be plausibly explained *antecedently* to the indispensable verification of a real physical connexion. Meanwhile the conclusions of these geometers rest upon observed inequalities in R.A. only. Professor Safford, of Ann Arbor., U.S., has, however, investigated those in polar distance, and finds that they are alike reconcileable with an elliptic, orbital motion, with one not incompatible with that previously assigned, and with the hypothesis that the newly discovered star, so far as it has yet been observed, is really the disturbing body.]

[Note (K), Art. (896 a.)

Several objects observed as nebulæ are now missing from the heavens. They are such as have been (for the most part) only once observed, and may reasonably be supposed to have been telescopic comets. This, indeed, has in one instance proved to have been really the case; as, by tracing back the part of the 2nd comet of 1792 to the date of the observation of a nebula discovered by Maskelyne on Feb. 14, 1793, but which is now missing, it appears to have really occupied that place (R.A. 2^h 39^m, N.P.D. 46° 15') at But, besides these, there are cases in which a that time. nebula, undoubtedly such, has either disappeared and reappeared in the same place, or has undergone some remarkable change of brightness; or, lastly, has been observed as a conspicuous object in a part of the heavens so well-known as to make it exceedingly improbable that it should have escaped all previous observation.

On the 11th Oct. 1852, Mr. Hind discovered a nebula in Taurus, previously unnoticed—in R.A. 4^h 14^m, N.P.D. 70° 49' (1860). He saw it repeatedly, and in 1855 and 1856 it was reobserved by M. D'Arrest. On the 3rd Oct. 1861, M. D'Arrest missed it. "Hujus nebulæ . . . ne umbram quidam," he says, "detegere valeo. Attamen semel ac sæpius a me annis 1855 et 1856 observata est,

ted ute

ng us. tar the ble ıch at ılar eriuld the ive, the \mathbf{rest} ent van rge this ure. nac, nce, ver, ued llite sion 2nd, ved s of hat, Mr. ions be

OUTLINES OF ASTRONOMY.

ejusque locus quater determinatus." On Dec. 29, 1861, it was again seen, though with the utmost difficulty, in the great Pulkowa refractor by M. Otto Struve, after which it had so far increased in brightness on March 22, 1862, as to bear a faint illumination of the wires.

n

d

v

(

0

st

n

80

aı

aj

u

M

a

oł

tu

ca

su

oc

sit

ob

 \mathbf{de}

the

va

η.

we

ter

a

de

cat in

for of

of

On Sept. 1, 1859, Mr. Tuttle discovered a nebula not previously observed, in R.A. 18^h 23^m 55^s, N.P.D. 15^o 29' 48" (1860). This nebula is described by M. Auwers as pretty bright and elongated in form. On the night of Sept. 24, 1862, it appeared to M. D'Arrest so brilliant and remarkable that he considers it impossible it should have been overlooked (if then so conspicuous) in the sweeps made by my father and myself over that part of the heavens.

Mons. Chacornac has recently announced in the 'Bulletin Meteor. de Paris,' under date of April 28, 1863, the discovery of a nebula in Taurus, in R.A. 5^h 29^m 4^s, N.P.D. 68° 52' 20'' (1860), so conspicuous as to render its non-previous discovery most improbable, in a portion of the heavens so frequently under inspection, if always of its present brightness.

Certainly the last place in the heavens in which the discovery of a new nebula would have been expected, is within the cluster of the Pleiades. Yet here, elose over Merope, one of the more conspicuous stars of that cluster, on October 19, 1859, Mr. Tempel observed a large bright nebula which he took for a comet, and was only undeceived when, on observing it next night, he found it unchanged in place. On Dec. 31, 1860, it was seen, though with some difficulty, by himself and Dr. Pope, with the sixfeet refractor at Marseilles. Its place for 1860 is R.A. 3^h 37^m 52^s, N.P.D. 66° 40' 13". M. Auwers describes it as 15' in extent and triangular in form—but conceives that it might have escaped previous notice by reason of its proximity to so bright a star as Merope. Mr. Hind states also that he has often suspected nebulosity about some of the smaller outlying stars of the Pleiades.

Not less singular and startling is the observation by Mr. Pogson of the bright and very conspicuous and well-known

NOTES.

31, it n the which 62, as

a not 5° 29' ers as ght of t and have s made a ulletin he dis-N.P.D. on-precavens present

ch the ted, is , close of that a large us only pund it though the sixs R.A. cs it as that it proxices also of the

by Mr. .known

nebula, the 80th of Messier's catalogue, often observed, and described as a compressed and beautiful globular cluster of very minute stars, in R.A. 16^h 8^m 41^o, N.P.D. 112^o 37' 34" (1860). While examining the neighbourhood of this object on May 28, 1860, his attention was arrested "by the startling appearance of a star, 7.8^m, in the place which the nebula had previously occupied." He had seen the nebula so recently as May 9, with the same telescope and power, and it presented nothing unusual. On June 10th the stellar appearance had vanished, but the cluster yet shone with unusual brilliancy and condensation. Professor Luther and M. Auwers had also perceived the change (from a nebula to a star) so early as May 21st, a week before Mr. Pogson's observation, when it was rated as a star of the 6.7 magni-On the 10th of June it had disappeared. tude. In this case it is probable that the nebula was simply effaced by the superior light of a considerable star in its center; but the occurrence of a temporary or a variable star in so peculiar a situation is assuredly very remarkable.

Lastly, by a letter from Mr. E. B. Powell, of Madras, an observer of too much experience and note to be easily deceived or to speak on light grounds, I am informed that the southern end of the very remarkable lemniscate-shaped vacuity close to the bright central star in the nebula about η Argus (see Plate IV. Fig. 2), which, when the drawings were made from which that figure was taken, was *closed* and terminated by a strong and sharply-cut outline (marked by a small star in the *upper* edge of that vacuity), is now decidedly open!!

This is perhaps the right place to mention that a general catalogue of nebulæ and clusters of stars (5078 in number), in order of R.A., and brought up to 1860, with precession for 1880 and descriptions, has been prepared by the author of this work, and is now in the hands of the Royal Society.]

[Note (L), Art. (858 a.)

A fundamentally different method of treating the problem of the sun's proper motion from any of those described in

OUTLINES OF ASTRONOMY.

r

р

n

SI

tl

w

re

th

т

ar

62

ls

2d

Aş

is

and

in

giv

not

the

tici

em

the rea

wan vel ext

abo

how

how

to i

and

ren

art. 857, has been adopted by the present Astronomer-Royal, by which the assumption of an approximate knowledge of the situation of the "solar apex," is altogether dispensed with. It consists in referring the absolute proper annual motions both of the sun and the stars used in the enquiry, to linear coordinates fixed in space, and treating the question as a purely geometrical one, according to the rules of the calculus of probabilities, basing his procedure on two distinct assumptions, between which the truth must lie, viz.:-1. That all the "irregularities of proper motion" (meaning thereby all the residual amounts of annual movement in each case, which are not accountable for by solar motion), are mere results of error of observation and are not caused by any real motions in the stars. This is evidently an extreme supposition .-- 2. That none of such residual movements are due to error of observation, but all originate in real stellar movement. This is as clearly an extreme supposition the other way. Assuming then M. Struve's classification of the stars according to a scale of distances which has at least no primâ facie improbability, and using for the purpose those 113 stars of a catalogue prepared with great care by Mr. Main, and published in the 'Memoirs of the Astronomical Society,' which indicate great proper motion, he arrives at the following conclusions as to the situation of the apex, and the annual parallactic motion of the sun as seen from a star of the first magnitude, on each of these two suppositions.

let Supposition Solar apex in R.A. 256° 54'; N.P.D. 50° 31'. Parallactic motion 1".269.

2d Supposition Solar apex R.A. 261° 29'; N.P.D. 65° 16'. Parallactic motion 1''.9 12.

So far as the situation of the apex is concerned, both these results stand in what (considering the nature of the subject) may be called good accordance with those of our art. 854. The parallactic motion (or which comes to the same, the actual velocity of the solar motion), is, indeed, much greater than that of art. 858. But this evidently results from the restriction of the enquiry to stars of great proper motion.

(858 b.) These results were deduced by Mr. Airy in a memoir communicated to the Roy. Ast. Soc. in 1859. The subject has since been resumed (avowedly in extension of the same principle to a larger list of stars), by Mr. Dunkin, who, using the same geometrical formulæ, but basing his results on the observed proper motions of 1167 stars, 819 in the northern and 348 in the southern hemisphere, of all magnitudes, and all (sensible) amounts of proper motion, arrives at the following results on either of Mr. Airy's two extreme suppositions.

1st Supposition Solar apex in R.A. 261° 14; N.P.D. 57° 5'. Parallactic motion 0".3346.

2d Supposition Solar apex 263° 44'; N.P.D. 65° 0'. Parallactic motion 0".4103.

Agreeing remarkably (as to the second supposition, which is by far the most reasonable of 'the two), with the other, and, as regards both, exhibiting an almost perfect accordance in respect of parallactic motion with M. Struve's results as given in art. 858.

(858 c.) A very extraordinary circumstance remains to be noticed. From the general agreement of all the results, of the investigations of so many astronomers and mathematicians, entering on the enquiry in such various ways, and employing such a multitude of stars so variously combined, there cannot remain a shadow of doubt either as to the reality of the solar motion, or as to its direction in space towards a point very near to R.A. 259°, N.P.D. 56°. As to its velocity there is also every reason to believe that it is not extravagantly over- or under-estimated in the statement above given. But when we come to ascertain by calculation how large a portion of the whole proper motions of the stars. how much of that general residuum or caput mortuum alluded to in art. 856, as left outstanding after precession, aberration, and nutation, have exercised their solvent influences on it, remains yet unaccounted for; we shall find it includes by

loyal, lge of cnsed nnual ry, to estion of the o dist lie, tion " noveor by vation This such out all rly an en M. cale of bility, ie prein the e great s as to motion de, on

0° 31′.

5° 16′.

l, both of the of our to the indeed, idently

OUTLINES OF ASTRONOMY.

far the larger part of the total phænomenon of stellar proper motion. The sum of the squares of the total residua (in seconds of arc), uncorrected for the proper motion of the sun, for example, in Mr. Dunkin's 1167 stars are, in R.A., 78.7583, and in N.P.D. 63.2668. And when corrected for the effect of that motion (so concluded), they are represented in R.A. by 75.5831, and in N.P.D. by 60.9084. No one need be surprised at this. If the sun move in space, why not also the stars? and if so it would be manifestly absurd to expect that any movement could be assigned to the sun by any system of calculation which should account for more than a very small portion of the totality of the observed displacements. But what is indeed astonishing in the whole affair, is, that among all this chaotic heap of miscellaneous movement, among all this drift of cosmical atoms, of the laws of whose motions we know absolutely nothing, it should be possible to place the finger on one small portion of the sum total, to all appearance undistinguishably mixed up with the rest, and to declare with full assurance that this particular portion of the whole is due to the proper motion of our own system.]

L LISTS OF NORTHERN AND SOUTHERN STARS. WITH THEIR

APPENDIX

oper (in sun, c.A., r the ed in one why osurd e sun more d dis-whole meous of the ng, it portion mixed at this motion

APPENDIX.

I. LISTS OF NORTHERN AND SOUTHERN STARS, WITH THEIR APPROXIMATE MAGNITUDES, ON THE VULGAR AND PHOTOMETRIC SCALE.

ARS.

2	
Ú	
2	5
0.0	
P	
é	
ら	
-	
_	5

		Mag.				Mag.			Ma	÷.		M	÷.
Star.	(3	ilg. P	hot.	Star.	(>	ulg. Phot.	Star.	-	Vulg.	Phot.	Star.	Vulg.	Phot.
Arcturus	0	1 1	.18	y Cassiopeiæ	10	-52 2-93	n Draconis	•	3-02	3.43	€ Aurigæ (Var.)	3-37	3.78
Capella -	- 14	0: 1	.4:	a Andromedæ	- 10	-54 2-95	B Draconis	•	3-06	3.47	y Lyncis	3 • 39	3-80
I.vra		0: 1	.4:	« Cassiopeiæ	61	-57 2-98	8 Arietis -	•	3-09	3.50	C Draconis -	3.40	3-81
Procvon	-1-	0: 1	: •	y Geminorum	•	00-8 62.	Y Pegasi -	•	3.11	3.52	# Herculis	3-41	3.82
a Orionis -	- 14	0: 1	•43	Algol (Var.)	01	.62 3.03	e Virginis?	•	3.14	3.55	β Canis Min.? -	3-41	3.82
Aldebaran	- 1 -	1:1	:2:	e Pegasi -	1	62 3.03	0 Aurigae	•	3.17	3.58	C Tauri	3.42	3.83
a Aquilæ -	-	28 1	69.	y Draconis -	- 67	-62 3-03	B Herculis	•	3.18	3.59	8 Draconis	3.42	S8.8
Pollux -		6:	:0:	8 Leonis -	10	-63 3-04	a Canum Ven.	•	3-22	3-63	μ Geminorum -	8.42	3.83
Regulus -	- 1	6:	:0:	a Ophiuchi	61	-63 3-04	B Ophiuchi	•	3-23	3.64	γ Boötis	3.43	3.84
a Cvani -	-1 -	90 2	-31	B Cassiopeiæ	61	-63 3-04	& Cygni -	•	3-24	3.65	e Geminorum -	S-43	S-84
Castor	-	94 2	35	y Cygni -	- 5	63 3-04	e Persei -	•	3-26	3.67	 Herculis 	3-44	3-85
e Ursæ (Var.)		95 2	-36	a Pegasi -	01	-65 3-06	7 Tauri? -	•	3-26	3.67	5 Geminorum -	3-44	3*85
a Ursæ (Var.)		96 2	-37	B Pegasi -	•	-65 3-06	C Persei	•	3-27	3-68	q Orionis	3.45	3-86
a Persei -	57	07 2	-48	a Coronæ	61	01.8 69.	C Herculis	•	3-28	69-8	B Cephei	3-45	3.86
" Ursæ (Var.)	61	18 2	65.	7 Ursæ	•	-71 3-12	. Aurigae	•	S-29	3.70	0 Ursee	3-45	3.86
y Urionis	61 1	18 2	65-	B Ursæ	61	77 3.18	y Ursæ Minor	•	3-30	3-71	· Urse · ·	3-46	3-87
B Tauri -	67	28 2	69.	e Bootis -	10	-80 3-21	7 Pegasi -	•	3-31	3.72	n Auriga	3.46	3-87
Polaris -	12	28 2	69-:	e Cygni -	10	-88 3-29	C Aquilæ -	•	3-32	3-73	γ Lyræ	3-47	3.88
v Leonis -	61	34 2	75	a Cephei -	61	-90 3.31	B Cygni -	•	3-33	3.74	7 Geminorum	3-48	68- 8
a Arietis -	67	40 2	18.	a Serpentis	c.1	-92 3-33	γ Persei -	•	3-34	3.75	γ Cephei	3.48	3-89
C Urse	10	43 2	.84	& Leonis -	01	-94 3-35	# Ursæ	•	3-35	376	k Ursæ	3-49	3-90
3 Andromedæ	¢1	45 2	98.	y Aquilæ -	5	98-39 86-	B Triang. Bor.	•	3-35	3.76	e Cassiopeiæ -	S-49	3-90
B Amigæ -	- 23	48 2	68-	8 Cassiopeiæ	- 10	-99 3-40	& Persei	1	3.36	3-77	e Aquilæ	3.50	16-8
~ Andromedæ	61	50 2	16-2	n Boötis -	•	-01 3-42	↓ Ursæ	•	3.36	3-77			

Star	× (lag.	5		Ma	sio			Ma				Ma	si .
	Vulg.	Phot.	0141.		Vulg.	Phot.	otar.		Vulg.	Phot.	Star.		Vulg.	Phot.
Sirius -	- 0.08	0-49	a Hvdræ -	•	2-30	2.71	k Scorpii -	1.	16.2	3.32	8 Corvi .	1.	3-22	3-63
n Argûs (Var.)	1	1	8 Canis -	•	2.32	2.73	n Centauri -	•	2.91	3.32	B Eridani -	•	3-26	3-67
Canopus	- 0-29	02-0	a Pavonis -	•	2-33	2.74	k Argûs -	•	2-94	3.35	0 Argûs -	•	3-26	3.67
a Centauri	- 0.59	1-00	B Gruis -	•	2.36	2.77	B Corvi -	•	2-95	3.36	B Hydri -	٠	3-27	3-68
Rigel -	- 0-82	I -23	o Sagittarii	1	2.41	2.82	B Scorpii -	•	2.96	3-37	€ Corvi -	•	3-28	69.8
a Eridani -	- 1.09	1.50	8 Argûs -	•	2.42	2.83	Centauri -	•	2-96	3.97	B Aræ -	•	3-91	3.72
8 Centauri	- 1.17	1.58	B Ceti -	•	2.46	2.87	C Ophiuchi	•	2.97	3.38	a Toucani -	•	3.32	3-73
a Crucis -	- 1-2	9.I	A Argûs -	•	2-46	2.87	a Aquarii -	•	2-97	3.38	B Capricorni	•	3 - 32	3-73
Antares -	- 1-2	9.1	0 Centauri -	•	2.54	2-95	TArgûs -	•	2-98	3-39	P Argûs -	•	3-32	3-73
Spica -	- 1.38	1.79	B Canis -	•	2.58	2.99	5 Centauri -	•	2.99	3.40	# Scorpii -	•	3-35	3.76
Fomalhaut	- 1.54	56. I	k Orionis -	•	2.59	3.00	a Leporis -	•	3.0 8	3•4I	B Leporis -	•	3-35	3.76
3 Crucis -	- 1-57	1-98	8 Orionis -	•	2.61	3.02	8 Ophiuchi	•	3.00	3.41	γ Lupi -	•	3.36	3-77
a Gruis -	- 1.66	2.07	y Centauri	•	2.68	60- 8	C Sagittarii	1	3-01	3-42	v Scorpii -	•	3.37	3-78
γ Crucis -	- 1.73	2.14	e Scorpii -	•	2-71	3.12	# Ophiuchi	•	3-05	3.46	· Orionis -	•	3-97	3-78
← Orionis -	- 1.84	2-25	C Argus -	•	2.72	3.13	8 Libra -	•	3-07	3.48	a Aræ -	•	3.40	3-81
€ Canis -	- 1-86	2-27	a Phœnicis	•	2.78	3.19	Virginis -	•	5.08	3.49	π Sagittarii	•	3.40	3.81
A Scorpii -	- 1-87	2-28	· Argûs -	•	2.80	3-21	" Argus -	•	3-08	3.49	a Muscæ -	•	3.43	3.84
& Orionis -	- 2.01	2.42	a Lupi -	•	2.82	3-23	8 Sagittarii	•	3-11	3.52	a Hydri? -	•	3.44	3-85
B Argûs -	- 2.03	2.44	€ Centauri -	٠	2.82	3-23	a Libræ -	•	3.12	3.53	T Scorpii -	•	3.44	3-85
γ Argûs -	- 2.08	2.49	7 Canis -	1	2.85	3-26	A Sagittarii	•	3.13	3.54	C Hydræ -	•	3.45	3.86
e Argûs -	- 2.18	2.59	B Aquarii -	1	2.85	3.26	8 Lupi -	•	3.14	3.55	γ Hydræ -	•	3.46	3-87
a Trianguli A.	- 2.23	2.64	& Scorpii -	•	2.86	3-27	a Columbæ	•	3.15	3.56	B Trianguli	•	3.46	3.87
€ Sagittarii	- 2-26	2.67	7 Ophiuchi	•	2.89	3.30	 Centauri - 	•	3-20	3.61	σ Scorpii -	۰	3.50	16-8
e Scorpii -	- 2.29	2.70	Y Corvi -	•	2.90	3-31	8 Capricorni	1	3-20	3.61	T Argus -	•	3.50	16-8
				1										

N. taken tricity eclipt node E, fo expres miles axis, in the pla the pla the sis axis of beat reare num

2. SOUTHERN STARS.

706

APPENDIX.

II. SYNOPTIC TABLE OF THE ELEMENTS OF THE PLANETARY SYSTEM.

N.B. — α denotes the mean distance from the sun, that of the earth being taken for unity; P the mean sidercal period in mean solar days; ϵ the eccentricity in decimal parts of the semi-axis; *i* the inclination of the orbit to the ecliptic; \Im the longitude of the ascending node; ϖ that of the perihelion from node on orbit; L the mean longitude of the planet at the moment of the epoch E, for which the elements are stated; M the denominator of the fraction expressing the mass of the planet, that of the sun being 1; D the diameter in miles; Δ the density, that of the carth being 1; T the time of rotation on its axis, in sidereal hours; *d* the mean angular equatorial diameter of the body of the planet, at its mean distance from the earth, in seconds; ϵ the ellipticity of the sis of rotation to the plane of the ecliptic; H the mean intensity of light and heat received from the sun, that received by the earth being 1. The asteroids are numbered in their order of discovery.

		a	Р	e		i	
0	The Sun				0	1	"
8	Mercury -	0.3870981	87.9692580	0.2054925	7	0	9.1
ŧ	Venus -	0.7233316	224.7007869	0.0068722	3	23	28.5
Æ	Earth -	1.0000000	865 2563612	0.0167918			
8	Mars -	1.5236923	686 9796458	0.0931125	1	51	6.2
1	Ceres -	2.7664313	1680 650	0.0802096	10	36	27.8
2	Pallas -	2.7686906	1683-103	6.2400116	34	43	8.7
3	Juno -	2.6707543	1594.221	0.2565949	13	1	9.0
4	Vesta -	2.3616682	1325.640	0.0890879	7	7	55.7
5	Astræa -	2.5778960	1511.800	0.1872123	5	19	5.7
6	Hebe -	2.4246290	1379.007	0.2028856	14	46	38.0
7	Iris -	2.3860360	1346.212	0.2312145	5	27	53.3
8	Flora -	2.2013860	1193.004	0.1567040	5	53	8.0
9	Metis -	2.3860333	1346.211	0.12:38156	5	36	7.3
10	IIvgeia -	3:1511972	2043-200	0.0993911	3	48	47.1
11	Parthenope	2.4524062	1402.770	0.0990197	4	37	0.9
12	Victoria -	2.3344916	1302.756	0.2178214	8	23	1.5
13	Egeria -	2.5762970	1510.400	0.0867520	16	31	54.9
14	Irene -	2.5863965	1519.288	0 1656725	9	7	27.3
15	Eunomia -	2.6441373	1570.452	0.1868308	11	43	35.2
16	Psyche -	2.9263840	1828.493	0.1341254	3	3	58.6
17	Thetis -	2.4732811	1420.721	0.1276441	5	56	5.6
18	Melpomene	2.2956321	1270.430	0.2174420	10	8	58.6
19	Fortuna -	2.4415662	1393.481	0.1572326	I	32	80.2
20	Massilia -	2.4089122	1365.621	0.1442977	0	41	11.1
21	Lutetia -	2.4347444	1387 643	0.1617091	3	. 35	21.0
22	Calliope -	2.9094987	1812.699	0.1019602	13	45	28 4
23	Thalia -	2.6282154	1556.291	0.2321817	10	13	13.1
24	Themis -	3.1439415	2036.147	0.1170627	0	48	51.6
25	Phocea -	2 3999822	1358 033	0.2547900	21	34	54.9
26	Proserpina -	2.6552100	1580.319	0.0871572	3	35	S8 0
27	Euterpe -	2.3463460	1312.760	0.1736210	1	35	30.3
28	Bellona -	2.7784700	1691.986	0.1500986	9	21	26.3
29	Amphitrite	2:5536647	1490.542	0.0737850	6	7	49.8
1			1		1		

2.90 | 3.31 | 3 Capricorni

1

•

y Corvi

01.2

02.7

Z Z 2

		u	Р	e	i
					0 / //
- 30	Urania -	2 3654133	1328.795	0.1276142	2 6 1.8
31	Euphrosyne	3.1571421	2048 988	0.2181494	26 26 0.0
32	Pomona -	2.5887137	1521.332	0.0802144	5 28 51.6
- 33	Polyhymnia	2.8650620	1771.328	0.3381960	1 56 18 9
34	Circe -	2.6870950	1608.877	0.1056142	5 26 37.3
35	Leucothea -	3.0060548	1903.680	0.2136315	8 10 82.1
36	Atalanta -	2.7479006	1663.792	0.2971309	18 41 51.6
37	Fides -	2 6421473	1568.674	0.1748927	3 7 10.5
38	Leda -	2.7401483	1656.758	0.1554322	6 58 25.3
- 39	Lætitia -	27699820	1683 862	0.1111018	10 20 58.3
40	Harmonia -	2.2677226	1247.335	0.0463143	4 15 52.3
41	Daphne -	2.7665526	1680.725	0.2690810	16 3 13 7
49	Isis -	2.439999	1392.14	0.2256143	8 34 29.8
43	Ariadne -	2.203358	1194.61	0·1675933	3 27 39 1
44	Nysa –	2.424741	1379.10	0.1203245	3 41 40.9
45	Eugenia -	2.720510	1638 • 98	0.0822361	6 34 57.0
46	Hestia -	2.526151	1466 • 52	0.1646660	2 17 36.7
47	Aglaia -	2.880197	1785.38	0.1324005	5 0 9.6
48	Doris -	3.109420	2002.71	0.0769467	6 29 40 9
49	Pales -	3 080259	1974.60	0.2385024	3 8 45.1
50	Virginia -	2.649741	1575.45	0.2873290	2 47 36.9
51	Nemansa -	2.365579	1328.94	0.0662400	9 56 47.3
52	Europa -	3.099773	1993-39	0.1014745	7 24 40.3
53	Calvoso -	2.620957	1549.84	0.2038207	5 6 42.7
54	Alexandra -	2.714864	1633-88	0.1966174	11 47 11.3
55	Pandora -	2.759621	1674.45	0.1420334	7 13 28.1
56	Melete -	2.597110	1528.74	0.2370434	8 1 50.8
57	Mnemosyne	3.157288	2049.13	0.1041157	15 8 1.6
58	Concordia -	2.694441	1615.48	0.0401686	5 1 6.7
59	Olympia -	2.713870	1632.98	0.1173539	8 37 41.3
60	Echo -	2.393088	1352.19	0.1847386	3 34 18.7
61	Danaid -	3.003978	1901.71	0.1684354	18 16 32.3
62	Erato -	3.130695	2023.29	0.1709820	2 12 23.9
63	Ausonia -	2.393785	1352.78	0.1247228	5 46 54 5
64	Angelina -	2.680557	1603.01	0.1290952	1 19 51-5
65	Maximiliana	3.419842	2309.98	0.1201719	3 28 9.5
66	Maia	2.663544	1.587.77	0.1339165	3 2 24.6
67	Asia -	2.490277	137.5.29	0.1848489	5 59 27.9
68	Leto	2.7996.58	1640.92	0.1607847	8 10 16-5
60	Hasparia	2.004032	1893-12	0.1745949	8 28 25-0
70	Panona	2.620115	1557 00	0.1050100	11 21 56.5
71	Niaba	9.756150	1671.90	0.1797990	99 18 90.51
70	Foronia	9.9740.59	1059:91	0-1164641	5 95 55-0
70	Clutio	9.665541	1580.56	0.0454991	0 04 57.0
10	Galatas	0.575010	1500+45	0.9554010	2 24 010 9 16 40 0
74	Bunndiac -	0.665956	1590.94	0.2054010	5 15 45 8
10	Euryoice -	2.003030	1009 01	0.0003110	4 59 88
10	Freia -	0.670750	1506:01	0.10002110	2 13 30
177	rrigga •	2.073752	1596.91	0.1358136	2 27 55 2
78	Diana •	2.020310	1554.60	0.2066804	8 39 47 0
19	Luniton	5.0007700	4990,5949010	0.0491606	1 10 51.0
14	Saturn -	0.5007001	10750-0100174	0.0561500	1 18 515
2	Saturn -	9 9 9 8 7 8 6 1	10759 2198174	0.0166602	2 29 351
6	Vranus -	19.1823900	60106.120	0.0007100	0 46 284
Ψ	Neptune -	30-0362690	00120-170	0 0087192	1 46 59.0

•												
			ន			w			\mathbf{L}		Е	
6 1·8 6 0·0		0	,	"	0	,		0	,	"		đ
8 51.6	18	45	57	30.9	74	21	46.9	166	0	48.6	1801. Jan.	1.0. G.
6 18 9		74	54	12.9	128	43	53.1	11	33	5.0	Do.	,
6 37.3	١Ă			-	99	30	50	100	39	10.2	Do.	
0 82.1	18	48	0	S.2	332	23	56.6	64	22	55.5	Do.	
1 51.6	, ľi	80	48	47.9	148	27	14.1	25	44	50.6	1864. Oct.	12.0, G.
7 10.5	2	172	42	15.1	122	4	48.1	354	44	38.9	1864. Sept.	6.0. G.
58 25 3	3	170	52	4.9	54	44	9.6	268	18	46.8	1864. June,	8 0. G.
20 58.5	4	103	23	11.7	250	38	10 3	52	34	2.6	1863. Nov.	23.0, G.
15 52 5	5	141	25	43.5	135	20	26 3	262	24	22.5	1864. June,	26 ·0, G.
04 90.8	6	138	37	21.4	15	22	126	269	8	16.9	1862. May,	31.0, B.
07 99.1	7	259	48	13.3	41	19	33.2	· 8	9	6.5	1862. Sept.	12·0, W.
41 40.9	8	110	17	48 6	32	54	28.3	68	48	31 ·9	1848. Jan.	1·0, B.
94 57.0	9	68	31	58.4	71	32	19.0	248	1	48.7	1863. May,	30 ·0, B .
17 36.7	10	286	43	55·0	234	49	57.7	316	54	16.7	1862. Apr.	26 O, G.
0 9.6	111	125	5	56·1	317	21	4.7	304	57	4.5	1862. July,	21 v, B.
29 40.9	12	235	33	34.9	301	56	36.0	312	33	52.4	1857. Aug.	2.0, G.
8 45.1	13	49	19	45.4	119	0	16.2	111	51	59.4	1864. Jan.	10.0, B.
47 36.9	14	86	42	8.8	180	6	33.9	98	57	33.4	1862. June,	1.0, G.
56 47.3	15	293	58	20.7	27	37	24.7	107	0	24.5	1862, Jan.	30 0, W.
24 40.3	16	150	34	1.6	14	44	57.6	226	7	32.6	1863. Apr.	29.5, W.
5 6 42.7	11/	125	21	37.2	200	40	0.1	245	5	1.1	1860. July,	12·0, B.
47 11.3	18	150	4	30.7	15	9	58.0	107	39	20.9	1858. Mar.	6.0, G.
7 13 28.1	19	211	27	18.2	30	29	32.3	41	51	13.5	1860. Nov.	8.0, B.
8 1 50.8	20	206	42	50.8	90	20	234	940	01	39.8	1865. Aug.	29.5, B.
5 8 1.6	21	80	30	01.0	520	21	19.1	004	21	00.0	1860, Jan.	19 ⁰ , G.
5 1 6.7	22	67	20	10.0	104	04	6.7	141	40	50.9	1860 Fol	10.0 W
8 37 41.3	20	90	11	120	130	40	94.9	69	94	90.7	1869 Oct	19.0, W.
3 34 18.7	05	014	11	10 1	309	59	58.9	108	4	97.7	1869 Jan	190 B
8 16 32.3	25	45	55	9.4	234	50	23.9	61	14	30.0	1860 Feb	6.0 G
2 12 23.9	97	03	46	99.1	87	40	8.9	311	56	4.5	1863 July.	23.0 B
5 46 54 5	98	144	41	9.9	192	55	29.6	66	3	57.4	1869 Mar	24.0 B
1 19 51 5	29	3.56	28	37.9	57	23	12.1	283	40	58.5	1863. June.	30 0. B.
3 28 9.5	30	309	16	8.4	30	52	49.6	73	59	9.5	1862. Dec.	17.0. B.
3 2 24 6	31	31	28	40.0	94	15	6.4	155	10	34.1	1862. Mar.	9 0. B.
5 59 27.3	32	220	47	37.3	194	2	32.3	6	29	1.5	1862. Oct.	1.0. W.
8 10 16.5	33	9	6	44.1	342	27	53.9	285	39	12.6	1863. May.	28.5. W.
8 28 25 0	34	184	42	23.0	150	14	45.2	105	34	22.0	1863. Jan.	3.0. B.
11 31 56'5	35	355	51	20.9	201	26	27.1	69	52	4.3	1863. Nov.	16.5. B.
23 18 29.5	36	359	10	46.4	42	38	20.0	71	20	46.7	1861. Jan.	0.0, B.
5 25 55 2	37	8	9	37.4	66	4	28.2	42	34	35.2	1856. Jan.	0.0, B.
2 24 570	38	296	27	34.9	100	51	44.3	112	58	27.6	1856. Jan.	0.0, B.
9 15 450	39	157	20	6.9	2	11	S6•9	146	41	34.5	1856. Jan.	1.0, B.
4 59 80	40	93	34	23.7	, 1	2	41.7	225	47	29.8	1863. May,	12 0, B.
2 15 50	41	179	2	30.1	220	5	20.1	335	3	21.7	1862. Sept. 9	23·435,B.
2 27 552	42	84	31	6.9	317	59	39.2	247	46	19.5	1860. Jan.	1.0, B.
0 39 110	43	264	35	52.7	277	50	50.4	132	1	30.2	1863. Jan.	00, B.
1 18 51.9	44	131	3	5.2	111	28	29 3	116	18	19.1	1860. Jan.	28 O, B.
0 00 357	45	148	5	51.8	229	51	52.7	294	35	2.8	1858, Jan.	00, B.
0 46 984	55	181	33	41.1	354	43	56.3	86	7	34.3	1863. Jan.	00, B.
1 46 590	47	4	11	52.2	313	53	08	116	34	11.4	1859, June,	17·0, B.
1 40 000	48	185	14	13.2	76	52	38.5	16	6	47 0	1858. Feb,	3 Q. B.

SYNOPTIC TABLE OF ELEMENTS (continued).

z z 3

		8			-			L		Е	
	0	,	"	0	,	"	0	,	"		d
4 9	·290	29	4.2	32	31	40.6	159	34	55.3	1860. Jan.	28 O, B,
50	173	36	12.2	9	51	30 3	89	16	52.2	1863. Jan.	0.0, B.
51	175	39	11.2	175	10	53.1	177	11	25.3	1858, Mar.	25.5, B.
52	129	57	16.5	101	54	57.2	136	20	51.4	1858. Jan.	0.0, B.
53	144	1	52.5	92	47	35.8	239	19	16.8	1863. May	31.5, B.
54	313	49	0.7	294	53	46.1	149	40	216	1861. Jan.	8.0, B.
55	10	57	29.1	11	28	S8.6	28	26	57.6	1858. Dec.	30 O, B.
56	194	25	59.4	293	37	37 9	62	16	45.7	1862. Dec.	18 O, B.
57	200	5	25.1	52	53	130	28	35	25.6	1860, Jan.	· 1 0, B.
58	161	11	39.8	180	17	24.0	162	28	26.1	1860, Jan,	0.0, B.
59	170	22	31·4	16	54	44.4	190	6	18.6	1863. Jan.	0.0, B.
60	191	59	47.3	98	30	17.3	232	27	11.8	1863. Jan.	0.0, B.
61	334	16	57.9	342	44	12.7	73	6	25.4	1862. Jan.	0.0, B.
62	126	12	55.1	34	0	8.2	176	1	23.2	1863. Mar.	25·5, B.
63	338	3	48.9	269	41	0.7	177	7	91	1861. Mar.	5 O, B.
64	311	4	46 ·9	123	43	50 ·3	182	57	5.4	1861. May	28·0, B.
65	158	53	48.7	258	22	17.1	192	17	21.4	1861. Mar.	18 0, B.
66	8	13	12.5	38	15	4.7	188	42	32.5	1861. May	27 0, W.
67	202	40	10.1	306	18	47.9	313	38	23.3	1862. Jan.	0.0, B.
68	44	37	54.7	358	57	32 0	282	33	47.2	1862. Jan.	0·0, B.
69	186	59	4.0	111	8	42.0	164	2	21.0	1861, June	3 0, B.
70	48	16	27.8	299	47	316	250	94	16.1	1861, June	0.0, B.
71	316	18	48.4	221	58	46.8	322	15	20.8	1861, Sept.	25.5, B.
72	207	37	13.1	309	48	37.9	22	52	55.9	1863. Jan.	0.0, B.
73	7	32	18.9	61	33	51.1	189	47	11.9	1862. May	26 0, W.
74	200	29	20.2	6	42	36.2	0	31	29.4	1862. Sept.	16·0, B.
75	359	52	19.1	334	40	12.1	4	40	23.1	1862, Nov.	0 0, W.
76	212	29	32.5	67	10	17 • 9	28	48	2.8	1862, Oct.	24.5, G.
77	2	7	1.7	58	9	1.3	39	25	26.4	1863. Jan.	0.0, B.
78	334	2	\$4 ·2	121	13	59·2	173	41	43.8	1863. May	8.5, B.
79											
4	98	26	18.9	11	8	34.6	112	15	23 0	1801. Jan.	1.0, G.
h	111	56	37.4	89	9	2 9·8	135	20	6.5	Do.	
ĥ	72	59	35.3	167	31	16.1	177	48	23 ·0	Do	
U)	130	11	52.5	47	19	38.5	348	21	5.0	1856, Jan.	1.0. B.

SYNOPTIC TABLE OF ELEMENTS (continued. See 257 a, Note F.)

	м	D	d	Δ	Т	γ	н	ē
⊙∞++⊕+७₩४₩₽	1 4865751 401839 359551 2680337 1047:871 3501:600 20470 18780?	882000 3183 8108 7925•648 4546 90734 76791 35307 39793 ?	" 1923.64 6.89 17.55 - 6.46 37.91 17.50 3.91 2.88	0.25 1.14 0.84 1.00 0.72 0.24 0.11 0.20 0.15	h m • 607 48 21 5 23 21 24 0 0 24 37 9 55 27 10 29 17 -	o / // 82 40	45940 6.674 1.911 1.000 0.431 0.036 0.011 0.003 0.001	298 62 16·84

Ceres	Piazzi	Jan. 1, 1801.
Pallas	Olbers	Mar. 28, 1802.
Juno	Harding	Sept. 1, 1804.
Vesta	Olbers	Mar. 29, 1807.
Astræa	Hencke	Dec. 8, 1845.
Hebc	Hencke	July 1, 1847.
Iris	Hind	Aug. 13, 1847.
Flora	Hind	Oct. 18, 1847.
Metis?	Graham	Apr. 25, 1848.
Hygeia	Gasparis	Apr. 12, 1849.
Parthenone	Gasparis	May 11, 1850.
Victoria	Hind	Sent. 13, 1850.
Egoria ?	Gaenarie	Nov 19 1850
Irono	Hind	May 10 1851
Funomio	Gaspania	Lubr 00 1951
Daugha	Gasparis	Man 17 1950
rsyche	Gasparis	Mar. 17, 1852.
Inetis	Luther	Apr. 17, 1852.
Melpomene	Hind	June 24, 1852.
Fortuna	Hind	Aug. 22, 1852.
Massilia	Gasparis	Sept. 19, 1852.
Lutetia	Goldschmidt	Nov. 15, 1852.
Calliope	Hind	Nov. 16, 1852.
Thalia	Hind	Dec. 15, 1852.
Themis?	Gasparis	Apr. 5, 1853.
Phocea	Chacornac	Apr. 6, 1853.
Proserpina	Luther	May 5, 1853.
Euterpe	Hind	Nov. 8, 1853.
Bellona	Luther	Mar. 1, 1854.
	f Marth	Mar. 1, 1854.
Amphitrite	Pagson	Mar. 1, 1854.
Urania	Hind	July 22, 1854
Euphrosyne	Ferguson	Sent. 1, 1854.
Pomona	Goldschmidt	Oct 96 1854
Polubumnia	Chagornag	Oct 98 1854
Cinco	Chasorman	Apr 6 1955
Laurathan	Luthon	Apr. 10 1955
Leucotnea	Caldashmidt	Apr. 19, 1855.
A talanta	Lash	Oct. 5, 1055.
rides	Change	Jen 10 1855.
Leda	Chacornac	Jan. 12, 1856.
Lætitia?	Chacornac	reb. 8, 1856.
Harmonia	Goldschmidt	Mar. 1, 1856.
Daphne	Goldschmidt	May 22, 1856.
Isis?	Pogson	May 23, 1856.
Ariadne	Pogson	Apr. 15, 1857.
Nysa	Goldschmidt	May 27, 1857.
Eugenia?	Goldschmidt	June 28, 1857.
Hestia?	Pogson	Aug. 16, 1857.
Aglaia	Luther	Sept. 15, 1857.
Doris	Goldschmidt	Sept. 19, 1857.
Pales?	Goldschmidt	Sept. 19, 1857.
Virginia	Ferguson	Oct. 4, 1857.
Nomansa ?	Laurent	Jan 29, 1858
Furone	Goldschmidt	Feb 4, 1858
Caluma	Luthor	Anr 4 1858
Alexandra	Goldenhuide	Apr 11 1959
Alexandra	Constantiat	Sunt 10, 1959
Pandora	Searle	Sept. 10, 1858.

NAMES OF DISCOVERERS AND DATES OF DISCOVERY OF THE ASTEROIDS.

đ

a 90, B. 90, B. 95, B. 90, B. 15, B. 90, B. 90, B. 90, B. 90, B.

5.0, B. 5.0, B. 5.0, B. 5.0, B. 5.0, B. 5.5, B. 5.5, B.

5 0, B. 5 0, B. 8 0, B. 8 0, B. 7 0, W. 0 0, B.

0.0, B. 3.0, B. 0.0, B. 5.5, B. 0.0, B. 6.0, W. 6.0, W. 6.0, W. 4.5, G. 0.0, B. 8.5, B.

1 0, G.

1·0, B.

Note F.)

298 62 16·84

0410016131

224

•

Melete	Goldschmidt	Sept. 9, 1857.
Mnemosyne	Luther	Sept. 22, 1859,
Concordia	Luther	Mar. 24, 1860.
Olympia	Chaeornac	Sept. 13, 1860.
Echo	Ferguson	Sept. 15, 1860.
Danäe	Goldschmidt	Sept, 9, 1860.
Erato	Lesser	Sept. 14, 1860.
Ausonia	Gasparis	Feb. 11, 1861.
Angelina	Tempel	Mar. 6, 1861.
Maximiliana	Tempel	Mar. 10, 1861.
Maia	Tuttle	Apr. 10, 1861.
Asia	Pogson	Apr. 18, 1861.
Leto	Luther	Apr. 29, 1861.
Hesperia	Sciaparelli	Apr. 29, 1861.
Panopea	Goldschmidt	May 5, 1861.
Niobe	Luther	Aug. 13, 1861.
Feronia	Peters	Jan. 29, 1862.
Clytie	Tuttle	Apr. 7, 1862.
Galatea	Tempel	Aug. 29, 1862.
Enrydice	Peters	Sept. 22, 1862.
Freia	D'Arrest	Nov. 14, 1862.
Frigga	Peters	Nov 12, 1862.
Diana	Luther	Mar. 15, 1863.
No. 79	Watson	Sept. 14, 1863.

Note.—Many of the names of the Asteroids appear to us very unhappily chosen. Thus, confusion is very likely to arise in printing or speaking, between Iris and Isis, Lutetia and Lætitia, Thetis and Metis, Thetis and Themis, Vesta and Hestia, Hygeia and Egeria, Egeria and Eugenia, Pallas and Pales. Is it too much to hope that the discoverers of the interfering members of these pairs will reconsider their names? It is not yet too late: there is yet a Muse (Clio) left; the Nymphs, Dryads, Oceanidæ, &c., afford an infinite choice of elassic names, graceful and euphonous. Metis is known to few as a mythological name, Pales to fewer as that of a female divinity, Nemansa to none as the name of anybody (the ancient name of Nismes was Nemansus).

III.

SYNOPTIC TABLE OF THE ELEMENTS OF THE ORBITS OF THE SATELLITES, SO FAR AS THEY ARE KNOWN.*

1. THE MOON.

Mcan distance from earth -	-	-	-	-	60° •27343300	
Mean sidereal revolution -	-	-	-	-	27 ^d ·321661418	6
Mean synodical ditto -	-	-	-	-	29 ^d ·530588715	;
Excentricity of orbit -	-	-	••	-	0.054908070	,
Mean revolution of nodes -	-	-	-	-	6793 ^d ·391080	
Mean revolution of apogee -	-	-	-	-	3232 ^d ·575343	
Mean longitude of node at epoch	h -	-	-	-	13° 53' 17"·7	
Mean longitude of perigee at did	to -	-	-	-	266 10 7 .5	
Mean inclination of orbit -	-	-	-	-	5 8 39 •96	;
Mean longitude of moon at epo	ch -	-	-	-	118 17 8 .3	
Mass, that of earth being 1,	-	-	-	-	0.011364	
Diameter in miles	-	-	-	-	2164.6	
Density, that of the earth being	1, -	-	-	-	0.55654	

• The distances are expressed in equatorial radii of the primaries. The epoch is Jan. 1. 1801, unless otherwise expressed. The periods, &c., are expressed in mean solar days.

ppily ween Vesta Is it pairs Clio) classic name, me of BITS N.* 3300 61418 88715

> The are ex-

2. SATELLITES OF JUPITER.

Sat.		Aldere	al Rev	rolution.	Mean Distar	ine.	Inclinat to a fixed to	ion of Orbit Plane proper each.	Pla Pla	Equal	f the fixed upiter's tor.	Retrograde Revolution of Nodes on the fixed Plane.	Mass, that of Jupiter being 1,000,000,000.
	1	-	8				0			•		Years.	
	1 ***	18	51	33 -506	6-04853		0	0		0	9	•	17328
01	0	13	14	36-393	9.62347		0	27 50		- 0	5	29-9142	23235
ŝ	1	8	42	33-362	15-35024		0	12 20	_	с С	61	141-7390	88497
4	16	16	31	49.702	26-99835	-	0	14 58	_	0 24	4	531-0000	42659

The excentricities of the 1st and 2d Satellitee we insensible, those of the 3d and 4th small, but variable, in consequence of their mutual perturbation.

3. SATELLLITES OF SATURN.

Name and order Satellite.	ð	Sid	erea	Revol	lution.	Menn distance.	Epoch of Elements.	Mean L(Epoch.	de at the	Excentricity.	Perlsaturniur	ġ
		-	-	8	p			•	:			~ 0	•
1. Mimas	•	0	55	37	22.9	3-3607	1790-0	256	58	48			
2. Enceladus	,	-	80	53	6-7	4-3125	1836-0	67	41	36			
S. Tethys	•	-	21	18	25.7	5-3396	Ditto	313	43	48	0-04 ?	542	
4. Dinne	•	61	17	41	6.8	6-8398	Ditto	327	40	48	0.02 ?	42 ?	
5 Bhea -		4	12	25	10.8	9.5528	Ditto	353	44	0	0-02 ?	95 2	
6. Titan -	•	15	56	41	25.2	22-1450	1830-0	137	2]	24	0-029314	256 38 1	-
7. Hyperion		21	5	-	40·8	26-7834		•	•	•	Rather large.		
8. Iapetus		19	2	53	40.4	64-3590	1790-0	269	37	48			

The longitudes are reckoned in the plane of the ring from its descending node with the celiptic. The first seven satellites move in, or very nearly in, its plane; that of the 8th is inclined to it at an angle about half way intermediate between the planes of the ring and of the planes's

713 orbit. The apsides of Titan have a direct motion of 30' 28" per annum in longitude (on the celiptic). The discovery of Hyperion is quite recent, having been made on the same night (Sept. 19. 1848), by Mr. Lassell, of Liverpool, and Prof. Bond, of Cambridge, U. S. Its distance and period are as yet hardly more than conjecture. Messrs. Kater, Encke, and Lassell agree in representing the ring of Saturn as subdivided by several narrow dark lines, besides the broad black divisions which ordinary telescopes show.

APPENDIX.







		ľ						
No.	Name.		3	derea	I Rev	olution.	Mean Distance.	Nodes and Inclinations.
			9	-	8	-		The orbits are inclined at an angle of about 78°
1	Ariel		7	12	29	20.66	7-40	58' to the ecliptic in a plane whose ascending
5	Umbriel		4	0	28	8-00	10-31	node is in long. 165º 30' (Equinox of 1798).
8	Titania		80	16	56	31.90	16-92	Their motion is retrograde. The orbits are
4	Oberon	,	13	Ξ	-	12.6	22-56	nearly circular.

(Lassell, Astr. Soc. Notices, xiii. 151.)

4. SATELLITES OF URANUS.

5. SATELLITES OF NEPTUNE.

1. Sidereal revolution = 54 21h 2m 43t. Longitude of & = 1750 40'? Longitude of perihelion = 1770 30'? Inclination to ecliptic = 1510 0'? Excentricity = 0'10597? Mean distance about 12 radii of the planet.--(*Hind, Astr. Soc. Novices*, xv. 47.)

714

APPENDIX.

И.

ELEMENTS OF PERIODICAL COMETS.

Halley's.	Encke's.	Biela's.	Faye's.	De Vicu's.	Bronen's.
 1835. Nov. 15.	1845. Aug. 9.	1846. Feb. 11.	1843. Oct. 17.	1844. Sent. 2	1846. Feb. 9.
22h 41m 22s	15h 11m 11	Oh 2m 50	Sh 42m 16ª	11h 36m 53a	55 18L 46
3040 31' 32"	1570 44' 21"	1090 5' 47"	490 34' 19'	342º 31' 15''	1160 28' 54
55 9 59	334 19 33	245 56 58	209 29 19	63 49 31	102 39 36
17 45 5	13 7 34	12 34 14	11 22 31	2 54 45	30 55
17-98796	2-21640	3-50182	3-81179	3-09946	3-15021
1662,96-0	0-847436	0.755471	0-555962	0-617256	0-795629
27865 ^d -74	1205 ^d -23	2393d-52	2718d-26	1993d-09	2042-24
Retrograde.	Direct.	Direct.	Direct.	Direct	Direct

 τ is the time of perihelion passage; π the longitude of the perihelion; and \mathfrak{B} that of the ascending node for the epoch of the perihelion; , the inclination to the celiptic; \mathfrak{a} , the semi-axis; \mathfrak{e} , the excentricity; \mathfrak{P} , the period in days.

N. B. The reader will find a complete list of elements of all known comets up to June, 1847, by *all* their several computors, in Prof. Enckets edition of Olbers's "Abhandhung über die leichteste und bequemste Methode. die Bahn eines Cometen zu berechnen." The list is compiled by Dr. Galle. It contains orbits of 178 distinct comets. From an examination of these orbits we collect the following, as a more correct statement of cometary statistics than that in art. 601. viz.:--Retrograde comets under 10° inclination, 3 out of 15; noore 200, 9 out of 29. Retrograde comets, moving in orbits sensibly elliptic, under 17⁶ inclination, 0 out of 9. In such orbits, of all inclinations from 0 to 90⁹, 11 out of 37. Thus we see that the induction of that article is materially strengthened by the enlarged field of comparison. Mr. Cooper has also given a catalogue of 198 comets with *all* their computed elements, and copious and interesting notes (Dublin, 1852). While these has also given a catalogue of 198 comets with all their computed elements, and copious and interesting notes (Dublin, 1852). While these sheets are passing through the press, we learn that the second comet of 1851, discovered by M. D'Arrest, has been again on its predicted return on the 4th Dec. 1857, at the Cape, by Mr. Maclear.

LABLE OF INUMBERS IN FREQUENT USE AMON	IG A	STRONOMERS.	[See (257a,) N	ote F.J
		No. or Multiplier.	Logarithm.	Log. Reciprocal.
Circumference of a circle to diameter 1	*	3-1415927	0-4971499	9-5028501
No. of degrees in circular arc=radius	•	57-2957795	1-7581225	8-2418775
seconds in do. do	•	206264-8	5-3144251	4-6855749
the whole circumference, 360°	•	1296000	6-1126050	S-8873950
sine of 1' to radius =1 • • • •	•	0-0002909	6-4637261	3-5362739
Number whose natural logarithm is I	•	2-7182818	0.4342945	9-5657055
Multiplier to reduce common to natural logarithms	•	2-3025851	0-3622149	9-6377851
Amplitude of the probability curve for probability $=\frac{1}{2}$	•	0-47694	9-6963040	0-3036960
Multiplier to reduce French metres to British feet	•	3-28090	0.5159929	9-4840071
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	89-37079	1.5951741	8-4048259
toises to British feet	•	6-394593	0-8058129	9-1941871
grammes to British grains	•	15-423460	1-1884551	8-8115649
litres to British cubic inches	•	61-027045	17855223	8-2144777
Length of seconds pendulum. London, vacuum, sea-level, in inches	•	39-13929	1-5926129	8-4073871
Velocity (in feet per 1") generated by gravity in 1" (lat. 35° 16')	•	32-18169	1-5076088	8.4923912
Earth's mean diameter in British standard miles	•	7912-410	3-8983088	6-1016912
Mean harometric pressure at sea-level on 1 square inch in lbs	•	14-7304	1-1682145	8-8317855
Weight of 1 cubic inch of distilled water, 620 Fahr., bar. 30 inches, in grai	- 50	252-458	2.4021892	7-5978108
Specific gravity of mercury at 320 Fahr. (water at 400)	•	13-596	1-1334112	8-8665888
Velocity of sound in dry air at 32° Fahr., in feet per second -	•	1089-42	3 -0371 964	6-9628036
- light in vacuo in British standard miles per second -	•	191515	5-2822029	4-7177971
Multiplier to reduce sidereal days to mean solar days -	•	0-9972696	9-9988126	0-0011874
vear to mean solar days	•	365-2563612	2.5625977	7-4374023
- tropical year to mean solar days	•	365-2422414	2.5625809	7-4374191
- mean synodic lunar months to mean solar days -	•	29-5305887	1-4702726	8-5297274
The sun's mean equatorial horizontal parallat	•	8"-5776	0-9333658	9-0666342
The moon's ditto ditto.	•	3422/1-325	S-5343212	6-4656788
The sun's mean apparent semidiameter	•	961''-820	2-9830938	7-0169062
The moon's ditto	•	934"-685	2-9706652	7-0293348
Constant of aberration	•	20/1-4452	1-3105914	8-6894086
Maximum of mutation of obliquity of ecliptic	•	9/1-2236	0.9649005	9-0350995
in longitude	•	17/1-2524	1-2368495	8-7631505
Mean annual mercession of the cournoxes (for 1790)	•	50/1-23492	1-7010057	8-2989943
Constant of refraction (= ref. at 45° alt. bar. 29.6. therm. 50° Fahr.)	•	57"-524	17598491	8-2401509
Mean horizontal refraction	•	1980/	3-2966652	6-7033345
Mean obliquity of the ecliptic, Jan. 1, 1860	'	230 27' 27"-38		

716

APPENDIX.

INDEX.

N.B. The references are to the articles, not to the pages. ... attached to a reference number indicates that the reference extends to the article cited, and several subsequent in succession.

A.

ABERRATION of light explained, 329. Its uranographical effects, 333. Of an object in motion, 335. How distinguished from parallax, 805. Systematic, 862.

Aboul Wefa, 705.

8-2989943 8-2401509 6-7033345

1-7010057 1-7598491 3-2966652

27' 27''-38 50'1-23492

230

1086

Fahr.)

ŝ

Mean annual precession of the equinoxes (for 1790) Constant of refraction (= ref at 45° alt, bar. 29%, therm. Mean horizontal refraction (= Mean 1. 186%)

Acceleration, secular, of moon's mean motion, 740.

Adams, 506. 767.

- Adjustment, errors of, in instruments, 136. Of particular instruments. (See those instruments.) Ætna, portion of earth visible from, 32.
- Height of, 32. note.
- Ayathocles, eclipse of, 933. b., and Table of Eras, art. 926.
- Air, rarefaction of, 33. Law of density, 37. Refractive power affected by moisture. 41.
- Airy (G. B., Esq.), his results respecting figure of the earth, 220. Rescarches on perturbations of the carth by Venus, 726. Rectification of the mass of Jupiter, 757.
- Albategnius, 430.
- Algol, 821.
- Altitude, used to find time, 129.
 - and azimuth instrument, 187.
- s, equal, method of, 188.
- Andromeda, nebula in, 874.
- Angle of position, 204. Of situation, 311. Angles, measurement of, 163. 167. Hour, 107.
- Angular velocity, law of, variation of, 350.
- Anomalistic year, 384.
- Anomaly of a planet, 499.
- Annular nebulæ, 875.
- Antarctic circle, 364. 382.
- Apex of aberration, 343. Of parallax, 343. Of refraction, 343. Solar, 854. Of shooting stars, 902. 904.
- Aphelion, 368.

Apogee, 368. Of moon, 406. Period of its revolution, 687.

- Apsides, 406. Revolution of lunar, 409. Motion of, investigated, 675. Application to lunar, 676... Motion of, illustrated by experiment, 692. Of planetary orbits, 694. Libration of, 694. Motion in orbits very near to circles, 696. In excentric orbits, 697
- Arago, 387. 395. 432. 776 j. 877.
- Arcs of meridian, how measured, 211. Measures of some, 216. Russian, Indian, and French, 223, a., Note D.
- Arctic circle, 364. 382.
- Areas, Kepler's law of, 352 ..., 490.
- Argelander, his researches on variable stars, 820 ..., on sun's proper motion, 854.
- Argo, nebulæ in, 887. Irregular star η in constellation, 830.
- Ariel, 551.

Aristillus, 430.

- Ascension, right, 108. (See Right ascension.)
- Asteroids, their existence suspected previous to their discovery, 505. Appearance in telescopes, 525. Gra-vity on surface of, 525. Elements, Appendix, Synoptic Table. Total mass of, inconsiderable, 525. List of discoverers, and dates of discovery. (See Synoptic Tables.)
- Astraa, discovery of, 505.

Astrometer, 783.

- Astronomy. Etymology, 11. General notions, 11.
- Atmosphere, constitution of, 33 ... Possible limit of, 36. Its waves, 37. Strata, 37. Causes refraction, 38. Total mass of, 242. Twilight, 44. Of Jupiter, 513. Of the sun, see Of the moon, 431. Sun.
- Attraction of a sphere, 446-450. (See Gravitation.) To a spheroid, 238.

- Augmentation of moon's apparent diameter, 404.
- Augustus, his reformation of mistakes in the Julian calendar (919.). Era of, 926.
- Aurora Borealis, 115.
- Australia, excessive summer temperature of, 369.

Auzout, 158. note.

- Averages of results, their use, 137.
- Axis of the earth, 82. Rotation permanent, 56. Major, of the earth's orbit, 373. Of sun's rotation, 392. Polar, its exact length, 223. a., Note D. Equatorial, longer, ib.
- Axis of a planetary orbit. Momentary variation of, caused by the tangential force only, 658. 660. Its variations periodical, 661... Invariability of, and how understood, 668.
- Azimuth, 103-and altitude instrument, 187.

В.

Babinet, historsion gravimeter, Note E. Baily, his observation of annular eclipso

of the san, 425. His beads, 425. Barometer, nature of its indication, 33. Use in calculating refraction, 43.

In determining heights, 287.

Base, measurement of, 273.

Beads, Baily's, 425.

- Beer and Maedler, their work on the moon, 429.
- Belts of Jupiter, 512. Of Saturn, 514.
- Bessel, his results respecting the figure of the earth, 220. Discovers parallax of \$1 Cygni, 812.
- Biela's comet, 579...
- Biot, his aëronautic ascent, 32.
- Birt, his examination of lunar craters, 430, a., Note H.
- Bissextile, 932. Omar's proposal for its periodical omission, Note A. on art, 926.
- Bode, his (so-called) law of planetary distances, 405. Violated in the case of Neptune, 507.
- Boguslawski, remarkable observation of Halley's comet by, 571. note.
- Bohnenberger, his principle of collimation, 179.
- Bond, Prof., his observations of interior ring of Saturn, 521. His discovery
- of an eighth satellite of Saturn, 548. Borda, his principle of repetition, 198. Bouvard, his suspicion of extraneous
- influence on Uranus, 760.

Brewster (Sir D.), his polarizing eyepicce, 204. d. Co

Co Co

Co

5

8

8

С

c

0

M

ti Si G

n

Ci

5

ci fc O

ď

ti U

õ

ar

Com fe

Com

Conj

Conj

OI

46

đu

ex Cons

in

R

tio

su: Corr

tio

su

np Crat

Cope

Con

Con

- British modular system of weights and measures, 223. a., Note D.
- Broun, his torsion gravimeter, Note E.

C.

Cæsar, his reform of the Roman calendar, 917.

Calendar, Julian, 917. Gregorian, 914. Calms, equatorial, 244.

- Carrington, solar phænomenon observed by, 387. a., Note G. His researches on the sun's spots, *ib*.
- Cause and effect, 439. and note.
- Cavendish, his experiment, 776. h.
- Center of the earth, 80. Of the snn, 462. of gravity, 360. Revolution about, 452. Of the earth and moon, 452. Of the sun and earth, 451.
- Contrifugal force, Elliptic form of earth produced by, 224. Illustrated, 225. Compared with gravity, 229. Of a body revolving on the earth's surface, 452.
- Jes, discovery of, 505.
- Challis (Prof.), 506. note.
- Charts, celestial, 111. Construction of, 291... Bremiker's, 506. and note.
- Chinese records of comets, 574. Of irregular stars, 831.
- Chronometers, how used for determining differences of longitude, 255
- Circle, arctic and antarctic, 95. Vertical, 100. Hour, 106. Divided, 163. Meridian, 174. Reflecting, 197. Repeating, 198. Galactic, 793. Mural, 163. 168. Arctic and antarctic, 364. 312. — 's co-ordinate, 181.
- Clamp, 163.
- Clarke (Alvan), his detection of a companion of Sirius, 859. a., Note J.
- Clarke (Capt. A. R.), his computation of the dimensions and figure of the earth, 223. a., Note D.
- Clausen, his orbit of comet of 1843, 596.

Clepsydra, 150.

- Climate, 366... Secular changes of, 369. b..., 701.
- Clock, 151. Error and rate of, how found, 253.
- Clockwork applied to equatorial, 186.
- Clouds, greatest height of, 34. Magel-
- lanic, 892... Clusters of stars, 864... Globular, 867. Irregular, 869.

Collimation, line of, 185.

Collimator, floating, 178. Bohnenberger's, 179.

- Coloured stars, 851 ...
- Colson, his maps, 284.

Colures, 307.

1

,

i.,

n

)f

1,

11

J.

n

C

В,

f,

kν

- Comets, 554. Seen in day-time, 555. 590. Tails of, 556...566. 599. Extreme tenuity of. 558. General de-scription of, 560. Motions of, and described, 561 ... Parabolie, 564. Elliptic, 567... Hyperbolic, 564. Dimensions of, 565. Of Halley, 567 ... Of Cæsar, 573. Of Encke, 576. Of Biela, 579. Its subdivision into two, 580. Of Faye, 584. Of Lexell, 585. Of De Vico, 586. Of Bror-sen, 587. Of Peters, 588. Synopsis of elements (Appendix). Increase of visible dimensions in receding from the sun, 571.580. Great, of 1834, 589 ... Its supposed identity with many others, 594... Interest at-tached to subject, 597. Cometary statistics, and conclusions therefrom, 601. Conclusions from the phænomena of their tails, 570. Possible cause of their acceleration of period, 570. Heat sustained by, 592. Principal discoverers of, 597. Periodic, form two distinct families, 601. a. Of 1680, 573. Of 1556, 574. Of d'Arrest, 601. b.
- Commensurability (near) of mean motions; of Saturn's satellites, 550. Of Uranus and Neptune, 669. and note. Of Jupiter and Saturn, 720. Earth and Venus, 726. Effects of, 719.
- Compensation of disturbances, how effected, 719. 725.
- Compression of terrestrial spheroid, 221. Configurations, inequalities depending on, 655...
- Conjunctions, superior and inferior, 469.473. Perturbations chiefly produced at, 713.
- Consciousness of effect when force is exerted, 439.
- Constellations, 60. 301. How brought into view by change of latitude, 52. Rising and setting of, 58.
- Copernican explanation of diurnal motion, 76. Of apparent motions of sun and planets, 77.
- Correction of astronomical observations, 324... —s. Uranographical summary, view of, 342... Order of application of, 345.
- Craters, lunar, their great size ex-

plained, 430. a., Note H. Models of by Mr. Nasmyth, 437.

- Culminations, 125. Upper and lower, 126.
- Cycle, of conjunctions of disturbing and disturbed planets, 719. Metonic, 926. Callippic, *ib.* Solar, 921. Lunar, 922. Of indictions, 923. Of eclipses, 426. Cyclones, 245. a.

mes, 240. 0.

D.

Darkening glasses, 204. e.

- Dates, Julian and Gregorian, interval between, how computed, 927...
- Dawes (Rev. W. R.), his mode of observing solar spots, 204. e. His discovery of the sun's interior envelope, 389. a. His observation of interior ring of Saturn, 521. His discovery of bright spots on Jupiter's belts, 512. Of an eighth satellite of Saturn, 548.
- Day, solar, lunar, and sidereal, 143. Ratio of sidereal to solar, 305. 909. 911. Solar unequal, 146. Mean ditto, invariable, 908. Civil and astronomical, 147. Intercalary, 916.
- Days clapsed between principal chronological eras, 926. Rules for reckoning between given dates, 927. Formulæ for, Note C. Of week not the same over the globe, 257. And nights, their inequality explained, 365.
- Declination, 105. How obtained, 295. Parallels of, 113.
- Definitions, 82
- Delarue (W., Esq.), his stereographs of the moon, 430. a., Note H. His photographs of the sun, 400 a. His verification of the willow-leaved structure of its surface, 397. a., Note G.
- Degree of meridian, how measured, 210. ... Error admissible in, 215. Length of in various latitudes, 216. 221.
- Density of earth, how determined, 776 a. b.
- Densities of sun and planets, 508. b. (See also Synoptie Tablo
- Diameters of the earth, 220, 221. Of planets, synopsis, Appendix. (See also each planet.)
- Dilatation of comets in receding from the sun, 578,

Diminution of gravity at equator, 231. Dione, 548. Dip of horizon, 23.

sector, 18, 19.

Discs of stars, 816.

- Distance of the moon, 403.; the sun, 357.; fixed stars, 807. 812...; of the earth and planets from the sun, hitherto overrated, correction required, 357. a., Note F. ; polar, 105. Districts, natural, in heavens, 302.
- Disturbing forces, 455. Of sun, on moon, 606. Nature of, 609... General estimation of, 611. Nume-rical values, 612. Unresolved in direction, 614. Resolution of, in two modes, 615. 618. Effects of 616... On each resolved portion, 616 ... Geomoon, expressions of, 676. metrical representations of, 676.717. Diurnal motion explained, 58. Pa-

rallax, 339. Rotation, 144. Double refraction, 202. Image mi-

- crometer, a new, described, 203. Comet, 580. Nebulæ, 878.
- Specimens of Double Stars, 833... Orbital motion cach class, 835. of, 839. Subject to Newtonian at-truction, 843. Orbits of particular, 843. Demensions of these orbits, 844.848. Coloured, 851 ... Apparent periods affected by motion of light, 863.
- Dove, his law of temperature, 370. Of rotation of winds, 245. a.

Drainage basins, 289.

E.

- Earth. Its motion admissible, 15. Spherical form of, 18. 22... Optical effect of its curvature, 25. Diurnal rotation of, 52. Uniform, 56. Permanence of its axis, 57. Figure spheroidal, 207. 219 ... Dimensions of, 209, 210. 220. 223. a., Note D. Elliptic figure a result of theory, 229. Temperature of surface, how maintained, 336. Appearance as seen from moon, 436. Velocity in its orbit, 474. Disturbance by Venus, 726. Density of, 776. a. b. Solid content of, 776. l.
- Eclipses, 411 ... Solar, 420. Lunar, 421... Annular, 425. Periodic return of, 426. Number possible in a year, 426. Of Jupiter's satellites, 266. 538. Of Saturn's, 549. Total of sun, phænomena of, 395. Ancient, their use in fixing dates, 933 ...

- Ecliptic, 305... Its plane slowly vari-able, 306. Cause of this variation explained, 640. Poles of, 307. Limits, solar, 412. Lunar, 527. Obliquity of, 305, 306.
- Egyptians, ancient, chronology, 912.
- Elements of a planet's orbit, 493. Variations of, 652... Of double star orbits, 843. Synoptic table of planctary, &c., Appendix.
- Ellipse, variable, of a planet, 653. Momentary or osculating, 654.

F

F

Fe

F,

Gı

Ga

- Elliptic motion a consequence of gravitation, 446. Laws of, 489 ... Their theoretical explanation, 491.
- Ellipticity of the Earth, 208. 221. Of its meridians, 223. a., Note D. Of its equator, ib. Of Jupiter, 512. a. Elongation, 331. Greatest, of Mercury
- and Venus, 467.
- Enceladus, 548., note. Encke, comet of, 576. His hypothesis of the resistance of the ether. 577.
- Epoch, one of the elements of a planet's orbit, 496. Its variation not inde-pendent, 730. Variations incident on, 731. 744.
- Equation of light, 335. Of the center, 375. Of time, 379. Lunar, 452. Annual, of the moon, 738. Menstrual, of the sun, 528.
- Equator, 84. 112. Of the earth somewhat elliptic, 223. a., Note D.
- Equatorial, 185.
- instrument, 84. 112.

calms, 224.

Equilibrium, figure of, in a rotating body, 224. Exemplified by nn experiment, 225.

Equinoctial, 97. 113. Time, 148. 935.

- Equinox, 293. 303. 307. 362.
- Equinoxes, precession of, 318. Its effects, 313. In what consisting, 314 ... Its physical cause explained, 642... Eras, chronological list of, 926.

Erratic stars, 297.

Errors, classification of, 133. Instrumental, 135 ... Of adjustment, 136. Their detection, 140. Destruction of accidental ones by taking means. 137. Of clock, how obtained, 293. Establishment of a port, 754.

Ether, resistance of, 577. Evection of moon, 748.

Evolute of ellipse, 219, 220.

- Excentricitics, stability of Lagrange's
- theorem respecting, 701. Excentricity in a divided circle, how
- climinated, 141. Earth's orbit, 354.

variution · Li-Ob-

12. Var oranet-

Mo-

gra-Their . Of

Of 12. a. rcury

thesis 577. anet's indecident

enter, 452. Men-

some-

tating hn ex-

8. 935.

Its ef-314... 642...

nstrut, 136. uction neuns. 1, 293.

ange's

e, how t, 354. INDEX.

How ascertained, 377. Of the moon's, 405. Momentary perturbation of, investigated, 670. Application to lunar theory, 688. Variations of, in orbits nearly circular, 696. In excentric orbits, 697. Permanent inequalities depending on, 719.

F.

Faculæ of the sun, 388. Explained, 395. a.

Faye, comet of, 584. and Appendix.

Field of view, 160.

Fixed stars. See Stars.

Fizeau, his measure of the velocity of light, 545.

Flora, discovery of, 505.

- Focus, npper. Its momentary change of place, 670, 671. Path of, in virtue of both elements of disturbing force, 704. Traced in the case of the moon's variation, 706... And parallactic inequality, 712. Circulation of, about a mean situation in planetary perturbations, 727.
- Force, metaphysical conception of, 439.
- Forced vibration, principle of, 650.
- Forces, disturbing. See Disturbing force.
- Foucault, his pendulum experiment, 245. c. His gyroscope, 245. h. His determination of the velocity of light, 357. a., Note F.
- Friction as possibly a source of the sun's heat, 400. 905. a...

G.

Galactic circle, 793. Polar distance, ib.

- Galaxy composed of stars, 302. Sir W. Herschel's conception of its form and structure, 786. Distribution of stars generally referable to it, 786. Its course among the constellations, 787... Difficulty of conceiving its real form, 792. Telescopic analysis of, 797. In some directions unfathomable, in others not, 798.
- Galle (Dr.), 506. Finds Neptune in place indicated by theory, 768. First notices the interior ring of Saturn, 522.

Galloway, his researches on the sun's proper motion, 855.

Gascoiyne, 158.

Gauging the heavens, 793.

- Geocentric longitude, 503. Place, 371. 497.
- Geodesical measurements,-their nature, 247.

Geography, 111. 129... 205... Glasses, darkening, 204. c.

- Globe, artificial, an experiment with, 245. j.
- Globular clusters, 865. Their dynamical stability, 866. Specimen list of, 867.

Golden number, 922.

- Goodricke, his discovery of variable stars, 821.
- Goldschmidt. (See Asteroids.) His observations of Sirius, 859. a., Note J.
- Gravitation, how deduced from phænomena, 444... Elliptic motion a con-sequence of, 490...
- Gravity, center of, see Center of gravity. Gravity diminished by centrifugal
- force, 231. Measures of, statical, 234. Dynamical, 235. Force of, on the moon, 433... On bodies at surface of the sun, 440. Of other plancts, see their names.

Green, his balloon ascent, 32.

Greenwich, latitude of, 123.

Gregorian reform of calendar, 915. Gyroscope, 245. h ...

H.

Habitability of the meon, 436. a, b.

- Hadley, his sextant, 194. His explanation of the trade winds. (See Winds.)
- Halley. His comet, 567. First notices proper motions of the stars, 852.
- Hansen. His detection of long inequalities in the moon's motions, 745 ...

Harding discovers Juno, 505.

Harvest moon, 428. b.

Heat, supply of, from sun alike in summer and winter, 368. How affectcd by changes in the earth's orbit, .369. b ... How kept up, 400. Sun's expenditure of, estimated, 397. Received from the sun by different plancts, 508. Endured by comets in perihelio, 592.

Hebe, discovery of, 505.

3 A

Heights above the sea, how measured, 286. Mean of the continents, 289. Heliocentric place, 372. 498. 500.

Heliometer, 201.

Helioscope, 204. e.

- Hemisphercs, terrestrial and aqueous, 284.
- Hencke discovers Hebe and Astrea, 505.

Henderson, his determination of the parallax of a Centauri, 807.

Herschel (Sir Wm.), discovers Uranus, 595, and two satellites of Saturn, 548. His method of gauging the heavens, 793. Views of the structure of the Milky Way, 786. Of nebular subsidence, and sidereal aggregation, 869. 874. His eathlogues of double stars, 835. Discovery of their binary connexion, 839. Of the san's proper motion, 854. Classifications of nebulæ, 868. 879. note.

Herschel (Miss C.), comets discovered by, 597. Nebula discovered by, 874.

Hind, his calenlation of the return of comets, 574. Classification of comets, 601.

Hipparchus, 281.

- Hodgson, his observations of a phænomenon in the sun, 387. a., Note G.
- Horizon, 22. Dip of, 23. 195. Rational and sensible, 74. Celestial, 98. 113. Artificial, 173.
- Horizontal point of a mural circle, how determined, 175.

Horrockes, 158.

Hour circles, 106. 113. Glass, 150. Humboldt, his determination of the

mean heights of continents, 289.

Hurrieanes, 245. b.

Hyperion, 548.

I.

Iapetus, 548.

- Illumination of field of view, 204. a. Red, its advantages, 204. b. Of wires, 204. b.
- Immersions and emersions of Jupiter's satellites, 538...
- Inch, British, remarkable relation of to the length of the earth's axis of rotation, 223. a., Note D.
- Inclination of the moon's orbit, 406. Of planets' orbits disturbed by orthogonal force, 619. Physical importance of, as an element, 632. Momentary variation of, estimated, 633.

Criterion of momentary increase or diminution, 635. Its changes periodical and self-correcting, 636. Application to case of the moon, 638.

L

L

L

La

ž

ŝ

o

4 Let

2

Lev

Lex

Lib

Ligi

a

N

3

re

Е

tr

SU

st

Е

pa Zo

Lim Loca

Lohr

Lond sp Long

mi 25

tel

26 By tia lio

Of

tio

cra

mo

Luna

Luna

La

- Inclinations, stability of, Legrange's theorem, 639. Analogous in their perturbations to excentricitics, 699. Indictions, 923.
- Inequality. Parallactic of moon, 712. Great, of Jupiter and Saturn, 720...
- Inequalities, independent of excentricity, theory of, 702... Dependent on, 719.
- Instrument-making, its difficulties, 131. Equatorial, 185. Alt-azimuth, 187.

Instrumental errors, how detected, 139. Instruments, theory of, 140...

Intercalation, 916.

Iris, discovery of, 505 ...

Iron, meteoric, 888.

Isis. (See table of Asteroids.)

J.

- James (Col.), his projection of the sphere, 283. His measure of attraction of Arthur's Seat, 776. e.
- Julian period, 924. Date, 930. Reformation, 918.

Juno, discovery of, 505.

- Jupiter, physical appearance and description of, 511. Ellipticity of, 512. Belts of, 512. Gravity on surface, 508. Satellites of, 510. 535. Their use for determining longitudes, 266. Scen without satellites, 543. Density of, 508. b. Recommended as a photometric standard, 783. Elements of, &c. (See Synoptic Table, Appendix.)
- Jupiter and Saturn, their mutual perturbations, 700. 720...

К.

- Kater, his mode of measuring small intervals of time, 150. His collimator, 178.
- Kepler, his laws, 352. 487. 489. Their physical interpretation, 490...

L.

Lagging of tides, 753. Lagrange, his theorems respecting the

stability of the planetary system, 639. 669. 701.

- Laplace accounts for the secular acceleration of the moon, 740.
- Larissa, eclipse of, 933. And Table, 926.
- Lassell, his observation of spots on Jupiter's belts, 512. His discovery of Ariel and Umbriel, 557. Of an eighth satellite of Saturn, Hyperion, 548. His observations at Malta, 551.
- Latitude, terrestrial, 88. Parallels, of, 89. How ascertained, 119. 129. Römer's mode of obtaining, 248. On a spheroid, 247. Celestial, 308. Heliceentric, how calculated, 500. Geocentric, 503. Of a place invariable, 31. Of Greenwich, 123.
- Laws of nature how arrived at, 139. Subordinate, appear first in form of errors, 139. Kepler's, 352. 487...
- Level, spirit, 176. Lines, 289. Sea, 285. Strata, 287.
- Leverrier, 506, 507. 767.
- Lexell, comet of, 585.
- Libration of the moon, 435. How availed of for storeoscopy, 430. a., Note H. Of apsides, 694.
- Note H. Of apsides, 694. Light, aberration of, 331. Velocity of, 331. How ascertained, 545. Overrated, its correction, 357. a., Note F. Equation of, 335. Extinction of, in traversing space, 798. Distance measured by its motion, 802... Of certain stars compared with the sun, 817... Effect of its motion in altering apparent period of a double star, 863. Zodiacal, 897.
 - Limits, ecliptic. (See Ecliptic limits.) Local time, 252.
 - Lohrmann, his charts of the moon, 437. London, center of the terrestrial hemisphere, 284.
- Longitude, terrestrial, 90. How determined, 121, 251... By chronometers, 255. By signals, 264. By electric telegraph, 262. By shooting stars, 265. By Jupiter's satellites, &c., 266. By lunar observations, 267... Celestial, 308. Mean and true, 375. Heliccentric, 500. Geocentric, 372. 503. Of Jupiter's satellites, curious relations of, 542.
- Lunar distances, 367... Volcanos and craters, 430. a., Note II.
- Lunation (synodic revolution of the moon), its duration, 418.

- Maclear, his measurement of arc at the Cape, 220. His rediscovery of d'Arrest's comet, 601. b.
- Magellanic clouds, 892...
- Magnetic storm, remarkable, 387. a. Note G.
- Magnetism, terrestrial, connected with spots on the sun, 394. c.
- Magnitudes of stars, 780... Common and photometric scales of, 780... And Appendix.
- Main, his observation of Saturn, 522. a. Maps, geographical, construction of,
- 273. Celestial, 290... Of the moon, 437. Projections used in, 246.280... Mark, meridian, 190.
- Mars, phases of, 484. Gravity on surface, 508. Continents and seas of, 510. Elements (Appendix). Rotation on its axis, 510.
- Maskelyne, his measure of attraction of a mountain, 776. e.
- Masses of planets determined by their satellites, 532. By their mutual perturbations, 757. Of Jupiter's satellites, 758. Of the moon, 759. Of the sun and planets, over-estimated, correction, 357. a., Note F.
- Mean motions of Jupiter's satellites, singular relation of, 542. Do. of Saturn's, 550.
- Menstrual equation, 528.
- Mercator's projections, 281. 283.
- Mercury, synodic revolution of, 472. Velocity in orbits, 474. Stationary points of, 476. Phases, 477. Greatest clongations, 482. Transits of, 483. Heat received from sun, 508. Physical appearance and description, 509. Elements of (Appendix).
- Meridian, terrestrial, 85. Celestial, 101. Line, 87. 190. Circle, 174. Mark, 190. Arc, how measured, 210. Arcs, lengths of, in various latitudes, 216. Length of a degree of, in feet, 221.
- Messier, his catalogue of nebulæ, 865.
- Meteors, 898. Periodical, 500... Heights of, 904.
- Metis, discovery of, 505.
- Michell, his invention of the torsionbalance, 776. h. Its application to measure density of the earth, 776. i. His speculations on the distribution of stars, 833.

nmll olli-

heir

or

eri-

lp-

8.

çe's

ieir

99.

12.

D...

tri-

ent

tics,

uth,

139.

the

rac-

Re-

de-

512.

face,

Their

266.

Den-

as a

Ele-

able,

per-

the

Micrometers, 199 ... Double image, 200 ... Position, 204.

Milhy way. (See Galaxy, 302.) Mimus, 550., and note.

Mines, oscillations of pendulum in, 833.

Mira Ceti, 820.

Mitchell (Miss), her discovery of a comet, 558. 597.

Month, lunar, 418. 934. note.

- Moon, her motion among the stars, 401. Distance of, 403. Magnitude and horizontal parallax, 404. Augmentation, 404. Ilcr orbit, 405. Revolution of nodes, 407. Apsides, 409. Occultation of stars by, 414. Phases of, 416. Brightness of surface, 417., notc. Redness in eclipses, 422. Sidereal and synodic revolution, 418. Physical constitution of, 429 ... Destitute of scnsible atmosphere, 431. Mountains of, 430. Volcanic eraters of, 430. a., Note H. Climate, 431 ... Inhabitants, 434. Habitability, 436. a, b. Libration, 435. Visible in total eclipse, 424. note. Harvest, 428. 6. Influence on weather, 432. and note. Rotation on axis, 435. Appearance from earth, 436. Maps and models of 437, 430.a. Stereographic representation of, ib. Real form of orbit round the sun, 452. Gravity on surface, 508. Her mass and density. (See Synoptic Table of Elements, III.) Motion of her nodes and change of inclination explained, 638 ... Motion of apsides, 676 Variation of excentricity, 688 ... Parallactic inequality, 712. Annual equation, 738. Evection, 748. Variation, 705... Tides produced by, 751.
- Motion, apparent and real, 15. Diurnal, 52. Parallactic, 68. Relative and absolute, 78... Angular, how measured, 149. Proper, of stars, 852 ... Of sun, 854.
- Mountains, their proportion to the globe, 29. Of the moon, 430. Attraction oi, 776. d.

Mowna Roa, 32.

Mural circle, 168.

N.

Nabonassar, era of, 926. Nadir, 99.

Nasmyth (Jas., Esq). his discovery of the willow-leaved structure of the

sun's photosphere, 387. a., Note G. His model of lunar craters, Note I. Nebula, classifications of, 868. 879.

Law of distribution, 868. note. Resolvable, 870. Elliptic, 873. Of Andromeda, 874. Annular, 875. Planetary, 876. Coloured, *ib.* Dou-ble, 878. Of sub-regular forms, 881. 882. Irregular, 883. Of Orion, 885. Of Argo, 887. Of Sagittarius, 888. Of Cygnus, 891. Miss-ing, 896. a., Noto K. Variable. ib. General catalogue of, ib.

С

0

0

0

0

 P_{a}

Pa

Pa

Pa

1

t

3

3

8

it

N

st OS

pl of Par

Pea

Pen

Pene

vi Fe

su

Pen

Sp Peri

Peril

Peril

67

rio

of

Pa

Nebular hypothesis, 872.

- Nebulous matter, 871. Stars, 880. Neptune, discovery of, 506. 768. Perturbations produced on Uranus by, analysed, 765 ... Place indicated by theory, 767. Elements of, 771 Perturbing forces of, on Uranus, geometrically exhibited, 773. Their effects, 774 ...
- Newton, his theory of gravitation, 490 ...et passim.
- Nodes of the sun's equator, 390. Of the moon's orbit, 407. Passage of planets through, 460. Of planetary orbits, 495. Perturbation of, 620 ... Criterion of their advance or recess, 622. Recede on the disturbing orbit, 624... Motion of the moon's, theory of, 638. Analogy of their variations to those of peribelia, 699.
- Nomenclature of Saturn's satellites, 548., note.

Nonagesimal point, how found, 310.

Noon, mean and apparent, 378.

Normal disturbing force and its effects, 618. Action on excentricity and perihelion, 673. Action on lunar apsides, 676. Of Neptune on Uranus, its effects, 775.

Nubeculæ, major and minor, 892 ...

Number, golden, 922.

Nutation, in what consisting, 321. Period, 322. Common to all celestial bodies, 323. Explained on physical principles, 648. Mode of correcting for, 325.

0.

Oberon, 551. Object glass, divided, 201.

Objects, test for telescopes, 836.

Obliquity of ccliptic, 303. Produces the variations of season, 362. Slowly diminishing, and why, 640.

Observation, astronomical, its peculiarities, 138.

- Occultation, perpetual, circle of, 113. Of a star by the moon, 413... ()f Jupiter's satellites by the body, 541. Of Saturn's, 549.
- Olbers discovers Pallas and Vesta, 505. His hypothesis of the partial opacity of space, 799.
- Omar, his proposal for a rule for bissextiles, Note A., 926.
- Opucity, partial, of space, 798.
- Oscillations, forced principle of, 650.
- Orbits of planets, their elements (Appendix) of doublo stars, 843. Of comets. (See Comets.)
- Orthogonal disturbing force, and its effects, 616. 619.

Orthographic projection, 280.

P.

- Palitzch discovers the variability of Algol, 821.
- Pallas, discovery of, 505.
- Polm trees, their disappearance from Judea, 369. c.
- Parallactic instrument, 185. Inequality of the moon, 718. Of planets, 713. Unit of sidereal distances, 804. Motion, 68.
- Parallaz, 70. Geocentric or diurnal, 339. Heliocentric, 341. Horizontal, 355. Of the moon, 404. Of the sun, 357. 479. 481. Over-estimated, its probable correction, 357, a., Note F. Of Mars, ib. Annual, of stars, 800. How investigated, 805... Of particular stars, 812, 813. 815. Systematic, 862. Effect of, on lunar distances, 271. As a uranographical correction, 341. Calculation of, 338.
- Paris, longitude of, 262.
- Peak of Teneriffe, 32.
- Pendulum-clock, 89. A measure of gravity, 235.
- Pendulum used as a measure of gravity, 235. Seconds, length of, 225. Foncault's, 245. e... Used to measure density of the earth, 776. f, g.
- Penumbra, 420. Of soinr spots. (See Spots.)
- Perigee, 368. a. Of the moon, 406. Perihelia and executricities, theory of, 670...
- Perihelion, 368. Of the carth, its period of revolution, 366. b. Effect of its revolution on seasons, 369. b.

Longitude of, 495. Passage, 496. Heat endured by comets in, 592.

Period, Julian, 924. Of Planets (Ap.) Periodic time of a body revolving at the carth's surface, 442. Of planets, how ascertained, 486. Law of, 48. Of a disturbed planet permanently altered, 734...

Periodical stars, 820... List of, 825.

- Perspective, celestial, 114.
- Perturbations, 602... Of Uranus by Neptune, 767...
- Peters, his researches on parallax, 815. On proper motions of stars, 859.
- Phases of the moon explained, 416. Of Mercury and ^{vr}enus, 465. 477. Of superior planets, 484.
- Photographic representation of the moon, 457.
- Photometric scale of star magnitudes, 780.

Photometry of stars, 783.

Piazzi discovers Ceres, 505.

- Picard, 158.
- Piddington on Cyclones, 245. d.
- Pigott, variable stars discovered by, 824...
- Places, menn and true, 374. Geometric and heliocentric, 371. 497.

Planctary nebulæ, 876...

- Planets, 299. 455. Apparent motions, 457... Stations and retrogradations, 459. Reference to sun as their center, 462. Community of nature with the earth, 463. Apparent diamcters of, 464. Phases of, 465. Inferior and superior, 476. Transits of (see Transit). Motions explained, 468. Distances, how concluded, 471. Periods, how found, 472. Synodical revolution, 472.486. Superior, their stations and retrogradations, 485. Magnitude of orbits, how concluded, 485. Elements of, 495. (See Appendix for Synoptic Table.) Densities, 508. Physical peculiarities, &c., 509... Illustration of their relative sizes and distances, 526. Division into elasses, 525. a.
- Plantamour, his calculations respecting the double comet of Biela, 583.
- Pleiades, 865. Assigned by Mädler ns the central point of the sidereal system, 861. Bright nebula discovered in, 896. a., Note K.
- Plumb-line, direction of, 23. Usc of, in observation, 175. On a spheroid, 219.
- Pogson, his observation of variable stars, 825. Discovery of asteroids. (See

G. e I. 379. 368. Of 875. Dou-881, rion, agit-Aiss-

e. ib.

0. Pers by, ed by 71... anus,

Their 1, 490

). Of age of netary 620... recess, ng ornoon's, f their rihelia,

tellites,

310.

effects, ty and lunar n Ura-

92...

r, 321. I celeson phyof cor-

> roduces , 362. ay, 640.

Synoptic Table.) His observation of a temporary star in a globular cluster, 896. a., Note K.

Plar distance, 105. Point, on a mural circle, 170. 172.

Polarization, 397.

- Polarizing eye-piece, 204. d. Poles, 83. 112, 113. Of ecliptic, 307. Their motion among the stars. 317.
- Pole-star, 95. Useful for finding the latitude, 171. Not always the same, 318. What, at epoch of the building of the pyramids, 319.
- Pores of the sun's surface, 387. Explained, 398. In what consisting, 387. a., Note G.
- Position, angle of, 204. Micrometer, ib. Ponillet (M.), his measure of solar radiation, 397. a., note.
- Powell (E. B., Esq.), his clements of double star orbits, 843. His observations of a variable nebula, 896. a., Note K.
- Powell (Prof.), his explanation of the gyroscope, 345. j.

Præscpe, Cancri, 865.

- Precession of the equinoxes. 312. In what consisting, 314 ... Effects, 313. Physical explanation, 642...
- Priming and lagging of tides, 753.
- Principle of arcas, 490. Of forced vibrations, 650. Of repetition, 198. Of conservation of vis viva, 663. Of collimation, 178.
- Pritchard (Rev. C.), his verification of the willow-leaved structure of the sun's photospher , 387, a., Note G.

Problem of three bodies, 608.

Problems in plane astronomy, 127 ... 309 ...

- Projection of a star on the moon's limb, 414. note.
- Projections of the sphere, 280 ... A simple and convenient described, 283. Of equal areas, 283. b.
- Proper motions of the stars, 852. Of Sirius, inequalities in, 859. Probable explanation of, 859. a., Note J. Of the sun, 853. 858, a., Note L.

Pyramids, 319.

R.

Radial disturbing force, 615 ... Radiation, solar, on planets, 508. On comets, 592.

Rate of clock, how obtained, 293. Reading off, methods of, 165. Opposite effect of in climinating errors,141. Redfield on hurricanes, 245. d.

Reduction of astronomical observations, 336.

Reflecting circle, 197.

Reflectors, large, how collimated, 204. f. Reflexion, observations by, 173.

- Reformation of calendar by Cæsar, 918. By Augustus, 919. By Pope Gregory, 932. Proposal by Omar for, 906, Note A.
- Refraction, 38. Astronomical and its effects, 39, 40. Measure of, and law of variation, 43. How detected by observation, 142. Terrestrial, 44. How best investigated, 191.
- Reid (Col.), on hurricanes, 245. d.

Repetition, principle of, 198.

- Resistance of ether, 577.
- Retrogradations of planets, 459. Of (See Nodes.) nodes.

Sa

Sci

Sel

Sch

e

ti

e

0

c Sea

oi de

ea Seas

tu Sect

Secu

E

Sext

Sha

Shoo

loi (S Sider

(5

25

Sign

Sign

Siriu

81

cei 85

Situa

42 pi

Sch

Reversal, principle of, 161.

Reynaud (M.), his speculations on variation of c imate, 369. c. note.

Rhca, 548. no.e.

- Right ascension, 108. How determined, 293.
- Rings of Saturn, dimensions of, 514. Phænomena of their disappearance, 515... Equilibrium of, 518... Multiple, 521, and Appendix. Interior, 521 ... Appearance of, from Saturn, 522. Attraction of, on a point within, 735. note.
- Rising and setting of celestial objects, time of, 128.
- Rittenhouse, his principle of collimation, 178.
- Rockets used as signals for longitude, 545.
- Rosse (Earl of), his great reflector, 870. 882. His account of nebulæ, 870, 882.
- Rotation, diurnal, 58. Its effect on figure of the carth, 224. Of the earth demonstrated, 231 ... Parallactic, 68. Of planets, 509... Of Jupiter, 512. Of fixed stars on their axes, 820.
- Rümker (Madame), her discovery of a comet, 597.
- Russell, his charts and globe of the moon, 437.

s.

Sadler, two sunrises and sunsets observed by, in one day, 26.

Safford (Professor), his researches on the proper motion of Sirius, 859. a., Note J.
Saros, 426.

- Sutellites of Jupiter, 511. Of Saturn, 518.547. Nomenclature of, 548. note. Remarkable relation of periods among, 550. Discovery of an among, 550. Discovery of an eighth, 548. Of Uranus, 523. 552. Of Neptune, 524. 553. Used to determine masses of their primaries, 532. Obcy Kepler's laws, 533. Eclipses of Jupiter's, 535... Longitude determined by (see Longitude). Relations among their motions, 542. Other phænomena of, 540. Their dimensions and masses, 540. Discovery, 544. Velocity of light ascertained from, 545.
- Saturn, remarkable deficiency of density, 508. Rings of, 514. (See Rings.) Physical description of, 514. Satellites of, 547. and Appendix. (See also elements in Appendix.)
- Schehallien, its attraction measured, 776. e.
- Schmidt, his observations of solar eclipses, 395.
- Schubert (Gen.), his determination of the dimensions and figure of the earth, 223. a., Note D.
- Schwabe, his discovery of periodicity of solar spots, 394. a. Of excentricity of Saturn's rings, 519. note.
- Sea, proportion of its depth to radius of the globe, 31. Its action in modelling the external form of the earth, 227.
- Seasons explained, 362 ... Temperaturo of, 366.
- Sector, zenith, 192.
- Secular variations, how detected, 385. Explained, 655...
- Selenography, 437. Sextant, 193...
- Shadow, dimensions of the earth's, 422. 428. Cast by Venus, 267. Of Jupiter's satellites seen on disc, 540.
- Shooting stars, 115. Used for finding longitudes, 265. Periodical, 900. (See Metcors.)
- Sidereal time, 110. 143. 910. Year. (See Year.) Day, 144. (See Day.) Signals, rocket, 545. Telegraphic, 259 ...
- Signs of zodiae, 380.
- Sirius, its parallax and absolute light, 818. Its revolution about an unseen center, 859. Small companions of, 859. a., Note J. Situation, angle of, 311.

- Solar cycle, 921.
 - Solstices, 363.
 - Space, question as to its absolute transparency, 798.
- penetrating power, 803.
- Sphere, 95. Projections of, 280. Attraction of, 735. note.
- Spherical excess, 277.
- Spheroid, attraction of, 238. Spheroidal form of Earth (see Earth) produces inequalities in the moon's motion, 749.
- Spots on Sun, 389... Scen with naked eye, 387. 394. a. Size of, 386. Na-ture of, 389. Movements of, 390. Duration of, 394. Periodicity of, 394. a. Connection with our seasons. 394. b.
- Spring, proposed use of for determining variation of gravity, 274.
- Standards of length, weight, and capacity, 223. a., Note D.
- Stars, visible by day, 61. Fixed and erratic, 297. Fixed, 777... Their apparent magnitudes, 778 ... Comparison by an astrometer, 783. Law of distribution over heavens, 785 ... alike in either hemisphere, 794. Parallax of certain, 815. Dises of, 816. Real size and absolute light, 817. Periodical, 820 ... Temporary, 827. Irregular, 830. Missing, 832. Double, 833... Coloured, 851, and note. Proper motions of, 852. Irregularities in motions accounted for, 859. Clusters of, 864 ... Nebulous, 879 ... Nebulous-double, 880.
- Stationary points of planets, 459. How determined, 475. Of Mercury and Venus, 476.
- Stereograms of the moon, 430. a., Note н.

Stereographic projection, 281.

- Sticklastad, celipse of, 926. and Table, 933. c.
- Stones metcoric, 899. Great shower of, ib.
- Struve, his researches on the law of distribution of stars, 793. Discovery of parallax of a Lyræ, 813. Catalogue and observations of double stars, 835.
- Struce (Otto), his researches on proper motions, 854. Ilis conjecture of the increase in breadth of Saturn's ring, 522. a.

Style, old and new, 932.

Sun, oval shape and great size on

serva-

,204.f.

nr, 918. o Greiar for,

and its nd law tod by ial, 44.

5. d.

59. Of

son vaote.

deter-

of, 514.

carance. .. Mul-Interior, Saturn, int with-

objects,

collima-

ngitude,

tor, 870. 870.882. effect on Of tho Paral-

)9... Of s on their

- covery of
- pe of the

nsets ob-

arches on s, 859. a., horizon explained, 47. Apparent motion not uniform, 34. Beams converging, 115. Orbit elliptic, 349. Greatest and least apparent diameters, 348. Actual distance, 357. Overrated, its probable correction, 357. a., Note F. Magnitude, 358. Rotation on axis, 359, 360. Mass, 449. Physical constitution, 386. Spots, ib ... Its parallax, 355. Light, not polarized, 387. note. Its interior envelope, 389. a. Its pores, 387, 387. a., Note G. Willow-leaved structure of its photosphere, ib. Faculæ, 388. Situation of its equator, 390... Maculiferous zones of, 393. Atmosphere, 395. Its rose-coloured clouds, 395. Relative illumination of center and borders, 386... 395. Temperature, 396. Expenditure of Action in producing heat, 397. winds, &c. 399. Speculation on cause of its heat, 400. and note, 905. a, b. Eclipses, 420. Density of, 449. Natural center of planetary system, 462. Distance, how determined, 479. Its size illustrated, 526. Action in producing tides, 751. Proper motion of, 854... 858, a, b, c, Note L. Absolute velocity of, in space, 858. Central, speculations on, 861.

Sunsets, two witnessed in one day, 26.

Superposition of small motions, 607.

- Survey, trigonometrical nature of, 274.
- Synodic revolution, 418. Of sun and moon, ib.
- System, solar, its motion in space, 858

т.

Tails of comets. (See Comets.)

Tangential force and its effects, 618. Momentary action on perihelia, 673. Wholly influential on velocity, 660. Produces variations of axis, ib ... Double the rate of advance of lunar apsides, 686. Of Neptune on Uranns, and its effects, 774.

Telegraphic signals, 259 ...

- Telescope, 54. Its application to astronomical instruments, 117. For viewing the sun. (See Helioscope.)
- Telescopic sights, invention of, 158. note.
- Temperature of carth's surface at different seasons, 366. In South Africa

and Australia, 369. Of the sun, 396.

Temporary stars, 827...

Tethys, 548. note.

- Thales, eclipse of, 933. a. 926. Table. Theodolite, 192. Its use in surveying, 276.
- Theory of instrumental errors, 141. Of gravitation, 490 ... Of nebulous subsidence and sidereal aggregation, 872.
- Thomson (Prof.), his estimate of the sun's expenditure of heat, 397. a. His theory of the source of do., 905. a.
- Tides, a system of forced oscillations, 651. Explained, 750... Priming and lagging of, 753. Periodical in-equalities of, 755. Instances of very high, 756.
- Time, sidereal, 110. 327. 911. Local, Sidereal and solar, 129. 152. 252. 243. Mean and apparent sidereal, 327. Measures angular motion, 149. How itself measured, 150 ... Very small intervals of, 150. Equinoctial, 257. 925... Measures, units, and reckoning of, 906 ... Required for light of stars to reach the earth, 802.

Titan, 548. note.

- Titius (Prof.), his law of planetary distances, 505. note.
- Torsion balance used to measure density of the earth, 776. i. Torsion balance to measure the variation of gravity, Note E.

Trade winds, 239 ...

Transit instrument, 159

- Transits of stars, 152. Of planets across the sun, 467. Of Venus, 479 .. Mercury, 483. Of Jupiter's satellites across disc, 540. Of their shadows, 549.
- Transparency of space, supposed by Olbers imperfect, 798.
- Transversal disturbing force, and its effects, 615 ...
- Triangles ill-conditioned, 275. On an ellipsoid, 276.

Trigonometrical survey, 274.

Tropics, 93. 380.

Twilight, 44.

U.

Umbra in eclipses, 420. Of Jupiter, 538. Umbriel, 551.

Ui Ui Uı Ūı

> 1 7 Va d t. Vel 3 ae 3 8 Ver

ti F b P a m 0 Ver Ver Ves Via Vill d Vol

Va Vą sun,

Table. eying,

1. Of 18 subgation,

of the 197. a. , 905.

ations, riming ical inof very

Local, d solar, idercal, motion, , 150... Equis, units, tequired e earth,

tary dis-

nre den-Torsion iation of

plancts nus, 479 r's satclheir sha-

osed by

and its

. On an

Uranography, 111. 300. Uranographical corrections, 342... Problems, 127... 309... Uranometry, 118.

Uranus, discovery of, 505. Heat re-ceived from sun by, 508. Physical description of, 523. Satellites of, 551. Perturbations of by Neptune, 760... Old observations of, 760.

- Vanishing point of parallel lincs, 116. Line of parallel planes, 117. Variation of the moon explained, 705 ...
- Variations of elements, 653. Pcriodical and secular, 655. Incident on the epoch, 731.
- Velocity, angular, of sun not uniform, 350. Linear, of sun not uniform, 351. Of planets, Mercury, Venus, and Earth, 474. Of light, 545. Overestimated, its probable correction, 357. a., Note F. Of shooting stars, 899. 904.
- Venus, synodic revolution of, 472. Stationary points, 476. Velocity of, 474. Phases, 477. Point of greatest hrightness, 478. Transits of, 479. Physical description and appearance, 509. Inequality in earth's motion produced by, 726. In that of the moon, 743 ...

- Vernier, 97. Vertical, prime, 102. Circles, 100.
- Vesta, discovery of, 505.
- Via Lactea. (See Galaxy.)
- Villarceaux (M. Yvon), his orbits of double stars, 843.

Volcanos, lunar, 430. a., Note H.

Watershed, 289.

Watherson, his theory of the sun's heat, 905. a.

W.

Weight of bodies in different latitudes. 322. Of a body on the moon, 508. On the sun, 450.

Welsh, his balloon ascents, 32.

- Wheatstone, his measurement of the velocity of electricity, 545. His method of stereographing the moon, 430. a., Note H.
- Whipple, his photographs of the moon, 437.
- Willow-leaved forms observed in the sun's photosphere, 387. a., Note G. Winds, trade, 240 ...
- Winnecke (M.), his proposal for observing the parallax of Mars, 357. a., Note F.
- Witte (Madame), her models of the moon, 437.
- Wolf, his period of the solar spots, 394. a.
- Wollaston (Dr.), his estimate of the comparative light of the sun, moon, and stars, 817 ...

Y.

Year, sidereal, 305. Tropical, 383. Anomalistic, 384., and day incommensurable, 913. Leap, 914. Of confusion, 917. 932. Beginning of, in England changed, 932.

z.

Zenith, 99. Sector, 192. Zodiac, 305. Zodiacal light, 895 Zones of climate and latitude, 382.

THE END.

3в

Jupiter,

729

v.

LONDON PRINTED BY SPOTTISWOODE AND CO. NEW-STBEET SQUARE

.

.



London. I oliginar & C?

























To told out opposite Page 614.











POPULAR WORKS

ON

SCIENCE, NATURAL HISTORY, AND THE USEFUL ARTS.

A DICTIONARY of CHEMISTRY and the Allied Branches of other Sciences; founded on that of the late Dr. UBE. By HENEY WATTS, B.A. F.O.S. assisted by eminent Contributors. In course of publication Monthly, to be completed in 33 Parts, forming 4 volumes. Vol. I. may be had, price 31s. 6d.

The ELEMENTS of CHEMISTRY, THEORETICAL and PRAC-TICAL. By WILLIAM ALLEN MILLER, M.D. LL.D. F.R.S. F.G.S. Professor of Chemistry in King's College, London. Revised Edition. 3 vols. 8vo. £2. 12s.

PART I. CHEMICAL PHYSICS, Third Edition, 12s.

PART II. INORGANIC CHEMISTRY, Second Edition, 20s.

PARTIII, ORGANIC CHEMISTRY, Second Edition, 20s.

DR. URE'S DICTIONARY of ARTS, MANUFACTURES, and MINES. Fifth Edition, rewritten and enlarged. Edited by ROBERT HUNT, F.R.S. F.S.S. Keeper of Mining Records, &c.; assisted by eminent Contributors. With about 2,000 Wood Engravings. 3 vols. 8vo. price £4.

A TREATISE on the STEAM ENGINE, in its various Applications to Mines, Mills, Steam Navigation, Railways, and Agriculture. By JOHN BOUENE, O.E. Fifth Edition; with 37 Plates and 546 Woodouts (200 new in this Edition). 4to 42s.

By the same Author,

A CATECHISM of the STEAM-ENGINE, comprising Practical Instructions for the Manufacture and Management of Engines of every class. New Edition, with 80 Woodcuts. Fcp. 8vo. 6s.

The APPLICATION of CAST and WROUGHT IRON to BUILD-ING PURPOSES. By WILLIAM FAIRBAIRN, C.E. LL.D. F.R.S. F.G.S. Third Edition, revised and enlarged, with many Illustrations. Svo.

[In the press.

By the same Author,

USEFUL INFORMATION for ENGINEERS. 2 vols. orown 8vo. 10s. 6d. each. TREATISE on MILLS and MILLWORK. 2 vols. 8vo. 32s.

The STORY of the GUNS. By Sir JAMES EMERSON TENNENT, K.C.S. LL.D. F.R.S. &c. Fcp. 8vo. with numerous Engravings on Wood.

Just ready.

- MANUAL of the METALLOIDS. By JAMES APJOHN, M.D. F.R.S. M.R.I.A. Professor of Chemistry in the University of Dublin. Edited by the Rev. J. A. GALBEAITH, M.A. and the Rev. S. HAUGHTON, M.D. Fop. Svo. with 38 Woodcuts, price 7s. 6d.
- A TREATISE on ELECTRICITY, in Theory and Practice. By A. DE LA RIVE, Professor in the Academy of Geneva. Translated for the Author by O. V. WALKEE, F.R.S. With Illustrations. 3 vols. 8vo. £3. 13s.
- The CORRELATION of PHYSICAL FORCES. By W. R. GROVE, Q.C. M.A. V.P.R.S. Corresponding Member of the Academies of Rome, Turin, &c. Fourth Edition. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

į1

- The LAW of STORMS considered in connection with the ordinary Movements of the Atmosphere. By H. W. DOVE, F.R.S. Second Edition, translated, with the Author's sanction, by R. H. SCOTT, M.A. Trin. Coll. Dublin. With Diagrams and Charts. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- The WEATHER-BOOK: a Manual of Practical Meteorology. By Rear-Admiral ROBBET FITZ ROY, R.N. F.R.S. Third Edition, revised, with 16 Illustrations engraved on Wood. 8vo. 15s.

Popular Works on Science &c.

- A GUIDE to GEOLOGY. By JOHN PHILLIPS, M.A. F.R.S. F.G.S. &c. 5th Edition, thoroughly revised; with Four Plates and 53 Woodouts. Fop. 8vo. 6s. 6d.
- A GLOSSARY of MINERALOGY. By HENBY WILLIAM BEISTOW, F.G.S. of the Geological Survey of Great Britain. With 486 Figures on Wood. Orown Svo. 12s.
- The COMPARATIVE ANATOMY and PHYSIOLOGY of the VERTEBRATE ANIMALS. By RICHARD OWEN, F.R.S. D.O.L. Superintendent of the Natural History Departments, British Museum. 2 vols. 8vo. with above 1,200 Woodcuts. [In the press.
- A DICTIONARY of SCIENCE, LITERATURE, and ART: comprising the History, Description, and Scientific Principles of every Branch of Human Knowledge. Edited by W. T. BRANDE, F.R.S.L. and E. Fourth Edition, revised and corrected. 8vo.
- A PRELIMINARY DISCOURSE on the STUDY of NATURAL PHILOSOPHY. By Sir JOHN F. W. HERSCHEL, Bart. Fop. 8vo. 3s. 6d. And by the same Author, OUTLINES of ASTRONOMY, 3s. 6d. [Being Two Treatises in LAEDNER'S Cabinet Cyclopadia.]
- HOMES WITHOUT HANDS: an Account of the Habitations constructed by various Animals, arranged according to their Principles of Construction. By the Rev. J. G. WOOD, M.A. With numerous 'Illustrations on Wood from Original Drawings. Now in course of publication in 20 Monthly Parts, price is. each.
- The SEA and its LIVING WONDERS. By Dr. GEORGE HARTWIG. Second Edition, with numerous Wood Engravings and Chromo-lithographic Illustrations. 8vo. 18s.

By the same Author,

- The TROPICAL WORLD: a Popular Scientific Account of the Animal and Vegetable Kingdoms in Equatorial Regions. With Eight Chromoxylographs and 172 Woodcuts. 8vo. 21s.
- MANUAL of PROTOZOA: with Introduction on the Principles of Scientific Zoology. By J. R. GEBENF, B.A. Edited by Rev. J. A. GALBBAITH, M.A. and Rev. S. HAUGHTON, M.D. New Edition, with 16 Woodouts. Fcp. 8vo. price 2g.

By the same Authors and Editors,

- MANUAL of the SUB-KINGDOM CELENTERATA. New Edition, with 39 Woodcuts. Fcp. 8vo. price 5s.
- LECTURES on the SCIENCE of LANGUAGE. By MAX MÜLLER, M.A. Fellow of All Souls' College, Oxford. FIRST SERIES. Fourth Edition, revised. 8vo. price 12s.
- ••* The SECOND SERIES, comprising Professor Müllers's Course of Lectures delivered in 1863, is in the press.
- PHYSIOLOGICAL ANATOMY and PHYSIOLOGY of MAN. By ROBERT BENTLEY TODD, M.D. F.R.S. and WILLIAM BOWMAN, F.R.S. of King's College. With numerous original Illustrations. Vol. II. 8vo. price 251.

. A New and Revised Edition of Vol. I. is preparing for publication.

London: LONGMAN, GREEN, and CO. Paternoster Row.

		11
		,
A. F.R.S. and 53 Wood-		
M BRISTOW, ares on Wood.		
Y of the O.L. Superin- 2 vols. 8vo. In the press.		
RT: com- ry Branch of E. Fourth in the press.		
ATURAL 8vo. 3s. 6d. [Being Two		1
Labitations ples of Con- strations on 20 Monthly		
HARTWIG. lithographic		
nt of the ht Chromo-		
Principles Rev. J. A. n, with 16		
L. New Müller, th Edition,		
delivered in		
of MAN. F.R.S. of p. price 25s.		
Pom		
LUW,		

